Emotionally Neutral Stimuli Are Not Neutral in Schizophrenia: A Mini Review of Functional Neuroimaging Studies
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Reliable evidence shows that schizophrenia patients tend to experience negative emotions when presented with emotionally neutral stimuli. Similarly, several functional neuroimaging studies show that schizophrenia patients have increased activations in response to neutral material. However, results are heterogeneous. Here, we review the functional neuroimaging studies that have addressed this research question. Based on the 36 functional neuroimaging studies that we retrieved, it seems that the increased brain reactivity to neutral stimuli is fairly common in schizophrenia, but that the regions involved vary considerably, apart from the amygdala. Prefrontal and cingulate sub-regions and the hippocampus may also be involved. By contrasts, results in individuals at risk for psychosis are less consistent. In schizophrenia patients, results are less consistent in the case of studies using non-facial stimuli, explicit processing paradigms, and/or event-related designs. This means that human faces may convey subtle information (e.g., trustworthiness) other than basic emotional expressions. It also means that the aberrant brain reactivity to neutral stimuli is less likely to occur when experimental paradigms are too cognitively demanding as well as in studies lacking statistical power. The main hypothesis proposed to account for this increased brain reactivity to neutral stimuli is the aberrant salience hypothesis of psychosis. Other investigators propose that the aberrant brain reactivity to neutral stimuli in schizophrenia results from abnormal associative learning, untrustworthiness judgments, priming effects, and/or reduced habituation to neutral stimuli. In the future, the effects of antipsychotics on this aberrant brain reactivity will need to be determined, as well as the potential implication of sex/gender.
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INTRODUCTION

Blunting of affect is one of the core negative symptoms of schizophrenia (1). In an effort to better understand this cardinal symptom, experimental studies have been performed using various types of emotional stimuli, including emotional images taken from validated databases. Decades of experimental research in the field have failed to confirm that emotional experience is reduced in schizophrenia as it would be expected from clinical observations. Instead, a meta-analysis of
26 studies using lab emotion induction paradigms revealed that schizophrenia patients tend to experience negative emotions (aversion) when presented with neutral or even positive stimuli (2). Likewise, another meta-analysis by Llerena et al. (3) showed that arousal ratings were increased in schizophrenia patients, compared to controls, when presented with neutral stimuli (3). In parallel to these behavioral studies, several functional neuroimaging studies have been performed in order to characterize the pathophysiological processes involved in the emotional disturbances associated with schizophrenia. The results of the neuroimaging studies are not always consistent with the aforementioned behavioral data, but fit with clinical observations. Thus, several studies have shown that frontal and limbic activations are reduced in schizophrenia patients presented with emotional stimuli (4), seemingly suggesting that this blunted brain reactivity of schizophrenia patients explains their emotional flattening. In 2012, Anticevic et al. (5) performed a meta-analysis of 35 functional neuro-imaging studies, which focused on the amygdala reactivity to emotional stimuli in schizophrenia. The amygdala obviously plays a key role in fear processing, and it had been hypothesized that abnormal amygdala activity could fuel paranoid ideation in schizophrenia (6). The meta-analysis from Anticevic et al. (5) showed that schizophrenia is associated with small reductions in amygdala activity in functional neuroimaging studies using explicit or implicit emotional images (faces or scenes). Importantly, a sub-analysis of the meta-analysis showed that this reduced amygdala activity is only present in studies examining the “negative emotion minus neutral” contrast, but not in the studies examining the “negative emotion minus baseline rest” contrast. In this latter case, there were no significant differences in amygdala activations between schizophrenia patients and controls. As observed by the authors, this subtle though important observation raised the possibility that schizophrenia patients may actually display hyper-activations in response to neutral stimuli, which could explain the apparent fronto-limbic hypo-activations that were initially reported.

Over the years, growing interest has been invested in studying how schizophrenia patients respond to emotionally neutral stimuli, using functional neuroimaging. To date, some studies have shown that the amygdala reactivity to neutral stimuli was increased in schizophrenia (7–12). Hyper-activations have also been observed in frontal, cingulated, and other limbic regions (13–18). Such results suggest that the previously reported fronto-limbic hypo-activations in schizophrenia patients in response to negative emotional stimuli may actually be explained by hyper-activations in response to neutral stimuli, as most functional neuroimaging studies examine relative activations during exposure to emotional vs. neutral stimuli. However, a minority of studies have failed to show that the brain reactivity to neutral stimuli is increased in schizophrenia (regardless of the brain region investigated) (19, 20). Given the uncertainty of findings, we sought to review the functional neuro-imaging studies in the field in order to identify the factors that could explain why some studies produce significant results, while others do not. Considering that the increased brain reactivity of schizophrenia patients to emotionally neutral stimuli is sometimes cited as one of the pillars of the highly influential aberrant salience hypothesis of psychosis (21), we also sought to briefly discuss, in the current mini-review, the various models that have been proposed to account for this intriguing phenomenon.

METHODS

Briefly, a search was performed in Pubmed, Embase, and Google scholar, using the following key words: “schizophrenia” or “psychosis” and “fMRI” or “PET” or “neuroimaging,” and “salience” or “emotion” or “neutral.” The references of all the studies included in the review were also screened. For the purpose of this mini-review, we only included studies on neutral stimuli investigated within the confines of studies on emotional processing, meaning that studies investigating other types of salience (reward, novelty, etc.) were not included, as well as studies using cognitive paradigms (e.g., episodic memory, etc.) using only neutral stimuli. Studies assessing complex emotional and cognitive interactions (e.g., emotional response inhibition, emotional memory, etc.) were also not included, as well as EEG and structural imaging studies. As shown in Table 1, 36 studies were retrieved, and the following factors were extracted: the population under study (including their age and sex), the type of imaging (positron emission tomography, PET vs. functional magnetic resonance imaging, fMRI), the analysis model (event-related or block design), the type of stimuli (faces vs. other), and the emotional processing instruction (implicit or explicit). Paradigms asking participants to passively view the emotional stimuli or to identify the gender of the displayed faces were classified as implicit paradigms, whereas paradigms asking participants to recognize emotional expressions or to rate their emotional responses to the emotional material were classified as explicit paradigms. Finally, we also specified the contrast that was used for the analyses (example: neutral vs. baseline rest) as well as the kind of search that was undertaken (whole brain vs. analyses based on regions of interest).

MAIN RESULTS

A few patterns emerge from the results described in Table 1. Out of 36 studies, 22 studies found brain hyper-activations in response to emotionally neutral stimuli in psychotic individuals (schizophrenia patients or individuals at risk for psychosis), relative to controls. Out of 29 studies in schizophrenia, 20 studies found brain hyper-activations, suggesting that the phenomenon is relatively robust in this population [Note: the study from Whalley et al. (38) found non-significant trends for the between-group difference; therefore, it will not be considered in the subsequent discussion of results]. By contrast, in individuals at risk for psychosis, only two studies out of seven found brain hyper-activations. Brain regions varied significantly across studies. The regions the most frequently found to be impaired were the amygdala (n = 10), prefrontal (n = 14) and cingulate sub-regions (n = 6), and the (para-) hippocampus (n = 6). The amygdala, hippocampus, and (anterior) cingulate gyrus are regions with well-established roles in emotion salience attribution (43, 44), and all have been consistently shown to be involved in the emotional disturbances associated with schizophrenia (4, 45).
| Reference                      | Participants          | Mean age (years); % of males | Task                          | Implicit/explicit | Event/block | Whole brain/ROI | Contrast                          | Increased activations                                                                 | Decreased activations                                                                 |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Bjorkquist and Herbener (13)   | 14 SCZ, 14 controls   | 31.6; 71                    | Positive, negative, and neutral IAPS images | Explicit           | Block       | Whole brain    | Neutral vs. affective             | Middle cingulate                                                                     |                                                                                      |
| Dowd and Barch (22)            | 40 SCZ, 32 controls   | 36.8; 65                    | Positive, negative, and neutral pictures, words and faces | Explicit           | Event       | ROI            | (Post hoc) neutral vs. baseline   | No differences                                                                       |                                                                                      |
| Fernandez-Egea et al. (7)      | 11 SCZ patients, 10 controls | 28.6; 100              | Happy, sad, and neutral faces | Explicit           | PET         | ROI            | Control vs. baseline            | Amygdala                                                                           |                                                                                      |
| Habel et al. (14)              | 17 SCZ patients, 17 controls | 34.4                    | Angry, fear, happy, neutral, and sad faces | Explicit           | Event       | Whole brain    | Neutral vs. baseline             | Cuneus, dorso-lateral prefrontal cortex, inferior parietal, middle frontal, middle orbito-frontal, postcentral gyrus, precuneus, putamen, subgenual anterior cingulate, superior occipital, superior parietal | Cuneus, fusiform gyrus, superior temporal gyrus                                       |
| Hall et al. (8)                | 24 SCZ patients, 24 controls | Un-specified             | Fearful and neutral faces     | Implicit           | Block       | Whole brain and ROI (amygdala) | Neutral vs. baseline             | Amygdala, fusiform gyrus, lingual gyrus, posterior cingulate                          |                                                                                      |
| Holt et al. (9)                | 15 SCZ, 16 controls   | 47.7; 100                   | Fearful, happy, and neutral faces | Implicit           | Block       | ROI            | Neutral vs. baseline             | Amygdala, hippocampus                                                               |                                                                                      |
| Holt et al. (23)               | 14 SCZ, 18 controls   | 42.9; 78.6                  | Pleasant, unpleasant, neutral sentence pairs | Explicit           | Event       | Whole brain    | (Post hoc) neutral vs. baseline   | Posterior cingulate gyrus                                                          |                                                                                      |
| Jensen et al. (24)             | 13 SCZ, 13 controls   | 37.6; 76.9                  | Classic conditioning (loud noise) | Implicit           | Event       | Whole brain    | Neutral comparator vs. baseline   | Hippocampus, middle cingulate, prefrontal cortex, thalamus, ventral striatum       |                                                                                      |
| Lakis and Mendrek (25)         | 37 SCZ, 37 controls   | 32.5; 51                    | Positive, negative, and neutral IAPS images | Implicit           | Block       | Whole brain    | Neutral vs. baseline             | Amygdala, angular gyrus, middle frontal gyrus, middle temporal, superior orbito-frontal | Anterior cingulate, cuneus, mid cingulate, precentral gyrus, putamen                  |
| Lee et al. (19)                | 15 SCZ, 14 controls   | 31.7; 53.3                   | Positive, negative, and neutral IAPS images | Explicit (ambivalence task) | Event       | ROI            | (Post hoc) neutral vs. baseline   | No differences                                                                      |                                                                                      |
| Lindner et al. (26)            | 36 SCZ (25 flat affect), 40 controls | 30.6; 63.9             | Disgust, fear, happy, and neutral faces | Priming            | Block       | ROI            | Fearful vs. neutral              | Amygdala (only in patients with flat affect)                                        |                                                                                      |
| Mier et al. (10)               | 11 SCZ, 16 controls   | 32.5; 64                    | Angry, disgust, fear, happy and neutral faces | Explicit           | Event       | ROI            | (Post hoc) neutral vs. baseline   | No differences                                                                      |                                                                                      |
| Mier et al. (11)               | 16 SCZ, 16 controls   | 34.3; 68.8                   | Angry, fearful, happy, and neutral faces | Implicit           | Event       | ROI            | Neutral vs. baseline             | Amygdala, superior temporal sulcus                                                  |                                                                                      |
| Modinos et al. (27)            | 15 SCZ (FEP), 20 controls | 27.9; 73.3              | Positive, negative, and neutral IAPS images | Explicit           | Event       | Whole brain and ROI (amygdala) | Neutral vs. baseline             | Amygdala, anterior insula, inferior frontal gyrus                                   |                                                                                      |

(Continued)
| Reference          | Participants | Mean age (years); % of males | Task                                                                 | Implicit/explicit | Event/block | Whole brain/ROI | Contrast                                                                 | Increased activations                                                                 | Decreased activations                                                                 |
|--------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Mothershill et al. (15) | 25 SCZ, 21 controls | 42.9; 80                      | Angry and neutral faces (dynamic)                                     | Implicit          | Block       | Whole brain     | Neutral vs. baseline                                                     | Lack of deactivation of medial prefrontal cortex                                    | Cerebellum*                                                                            |
| Pankow et al. (28)   | 35 SCZ, 36 controls       | 31.1; 62.9                     | Positive, negative, and neutral IAPS images                          | Implicit          | Event       | ROI              | (Post hoc) neutral vs. baseline                                         | No differences                                                                       |                                                                                         |
| Potvin et al. (16)   | 22 TR-SCZ vs. 24 SCZ vs. 39 controls | TR-SCZ: 33.4; 72.7; SCZ: 31.3; 54.2 | Positive, negative, and neutral IAPS images                          | Implicit          | Block       | Whole brain     | Neutral vs. baseline                                                     | Dorso-medial prefrontal cortex (TR vs. SCZ and HC)                                   |                                                                                         |
| Rauch et al. (29)    | 12 SCZ, 12 controls       | 27.7; 75                       | Happy, sad and neutral faces (priming)                               | Explicit          | Event       | ROI              | Neutral face vs. erased faces                                           | No differences                                                                       | Amygdala (if neutral prime)                                                              |
| Reske et al. (30)    | 18 SCZ (FEP), 18 controls | 31.9; 55.6                     | Happy, sad, and neutral faces                                        | Explicit          | Event       | Whole brain     | Emotions vs. baseline (with post hoc for neutral faces)                  | Posterior cingulate*                                                                  | Anterior cingulate*, fusiform gyrus*, orbitofrontal                                   |
| Romanuk et al. (31)  | 20 SCZ, 20 controls       | 36.4; 70                       | Classic conditioning (IAPS pictures)                                 | Implicit          | Event       | ROI              | Neutral stimuli vs. averse stimuli                                       | No differences                                                                       | Middlebrain                                                                            |
| Schwartz et al. (32) | 8 SCZ, 8 controls         | 52.1; 87.5                     | Fearful and neutral faces                                            | Implicit          | Block       | ROI              | Initial encoding vs. repeated encoding                                  | Lack of reduction of the fusiform gyrus activation with repeated presentation of faces* |                                                                                         |
| Shin et al. (12)     | 16 SCZ, 16 controls       | 32.0; 100                      | Fearful, happy, and neutral faces                                    | Implicit          | Block       | Whole brain and ROI (amygdala)                                         | Neutral vs. baseline                                                                 | Amygdala and superior orbitofrontal gyrus                                               |
| Surguladze et al. (18) | 15 SCZ, 11 controls     | 43.1; 100                      | Fearful and neutral faces                                            | Implicit          | Event       | Whole brain     | Neutral vs. baseline                                                     | Para-hippocampal gyrus, fusiform gyrus                                                |                                                                                         |
| Suslow et al. (33)   | 30 SCZ, 35 controls       | 30.9; 56.7                     | Angry, happy, and neutral faces                                      | Priming           | Event       | ROI              | (Post hoc) neutral vs. baseline                                         | Amygdala (initial phase, neutral prime)                                              |                                                                                         |
| Taylor et al. (34)   | 21 SCZ, 21 controls       | 40.7; 66.7                     | Angry, fearful, happy, sad, and neutral faces                         | Implicit          | Event       | Whole brain     | Neutral faces: preference > gender identification                       | Hippocampus, insula, middle frontal gyrus, middle temporal gyrus and para-hippocampus |                                                                                         |
| Taylor et al. (35)   | 23 SCZ patients, 15 controls | 39.2; 73.9                     | Positive, negative, and neutral IAPS images                          | Explicit          | Blocks      | ROI              | Neutral vs. baseline                                                     | No differences                                                                       | Amygdala, medial prefrontal cortex                                                   |
| Taylor et al. (36)   | 14 SCZ, 13 controls       | 36.4; 71.4                     | Negative and neutral IAPS images                                     | Explicit          | PET         | ROI              | Neutral (non-aversive) vs. baseline                                     | No differences                                                                       | Amygdala                                                                               |
| Ursu et al. (37)     | 20 SCZ, 20 controls       | 28.8; 75                       | Positive, negative, and neutral IAPS images                          | Explicit          | Event       | ROI              | Neutral vs. baseline                                                     | No differences                                                                       |                                                                                         |
| Whalley et al. (38)  | 15 SCZ, 14 controls       | 38.4; 73.3                     | Positive and neutral IAPS pictures                                  | Explicit          | Blocks      | ROI              | Neutral vs. baseline                                                     | Amygdala and hippocampus (non-significant trend)                                      |                                                                                         |
| Individuals at risk for psychosis |                  |                                |                                                                      |                   |             |                 |                                                                            |                                                                                         |                                                                                         |
| Barbour et al. (39)  | 19 SCZ offsprings, 25 controls | 8–19; 63.2                     | Angry, fearful, happy, sad and neutral faces                         | Explicit          | Event       | ROI              | (Post hoc) neutral vs. baseline                                         | No differences                                                                       |                                                                                         |
| Diwakar et al. (40)  | 19 CHR, 24 controls       | 14.3; 63.2                     | Negative, positive, and neutral faces                               | Explicit          | Event       | ROI              | Neutral vs. baseline                                                     | No differences                                                                       |                                                                                         |

(Continued)
Hyper-activations were found in studies using implicit as well as explicit emotion processing paradigm. The very fact that the aberrant brain reactivity of psychotic individuals to neutral stimuli was present in studies using implicit emotion paradigms suggests that this phenomenon does not result exclusively from impaired top-down cognitively controlled mechanisms. Notably, the failure to detect increased brain reactivity to neutral stimuli in schizophrenia was observed mostly in studies using explicit emotion processing paradigms (seven times out of nine) (19, 22, 28, 31, 35–37). In individuals at risk for psychosis, all the five negative studies used explicit emotion processing paradigms (20, 39–42). This observation may be explained by the fact that explicit emotion paradigms, such as emotional facial expression recognition paradigms, are more cognitively demanding than implicit emotion paradigms, such as those asking participants to identify the gender of the facial stimuli. Alternatively, the failure to detect increased brain reactivity in these studies (in schizophrenia and individuals “at risk”) may be explained by a lack of power, since 11 of these 13 fMRI studies used event-related rather than block designs (19, 20, 22, 28, 31, 35, 37, 39–42). Of interest, all the studies which reported hippocampal hyper-activations used implicit paradigms (9, 18, 24, 34).

The aberrant brain reactivity to neutral stimuli has been observed in studies using facial stimuli as well as images (e.g., animals, natural scenes, concrete objects, etc.) taken from the International Affective Pictures System (IAPS) (46). However, results were more consistent in studies using facial stimuli. Indeed, in schizophrenia, seven out of nine studies that failed to highlight increased brain reactivity used stimuli that were not (exclusively) human faces (19, 22, 28, 31, 35–37), as well as three out of the five negative studies performed in individuals at risk for psychosis (20, 41, 42). This observation suggests that human faces convey information not present in non-facial visual stimuli, which may elicit aberrant brain reactivity in psychotic individuals. At the moment, the available literature does not allow to determine if aberrant brain reactivity is also present in schizophrenia/psychosis in response to non-visual neutral stimuli (e.g., heard words, sounds, etc.).

Lastly, to determine the impact of the patients’ sex on results, we performed a median split of studies based on the percentage of males included in the schizophrenia group of each studies. By doing so, we found no significant influence on sex on neuroimaging outcomes. However, it must be mentioned that only one study explicitly examined the impact of sex differences on the aberrant brain reactivity to neutral stimuli in schizophrenia (25).

**EXPLANATORY MODELS**

To explain the increased brain reactivity to neutral stimuli observed in schizophrenia, most authors have referred to the highly influential aberrant salience hypothesis of psychosis (21). According to this hypothesis, the positive symptoms of schizophrenia (e.g., loss of contact with reality) result from the aberrant attribution of motivational value to irrelevant stimuli, due to increased phasic dopamine release in sub-cortical regions (21). According to this model, delusional thinking would emerge from a cognitive attempt to organize these aberrantly salient

---

**TABLE 1 | Continued**

| Task | Contrast | Whole brain/ROI | Reference |
|------|----------|-----------------|-----------|
| Explicit Event | Whole brain Neutral vs. baseline | No differences | Van Buuren et al. (20) |
| | ROI Neutral vs. baseline | Posterior cingulate, temporal pole | Seifert et al. (17) |
| Implicit/vent | Event Neutral vs. baseline | Anterior insula, inferior frontal gyrus (UHR and FEP vs. HC) | Modinos et al. (41) |
| | ROI Neutral vs. baseline | Inferior frontal gyrus, superior frontal gyrus, and thalamus | Modinos et al. (27) |

**Note:** Neutral and emotional images.
experiences. Consistently with this model, three studies found associations between increased brain reactivity to neutral stimuli and positive symptoms in schizophrenia (18, 27, 31); however, two studies have found an association between brain reactivity to neutral stimuli and negative symptoms in schizophrenia (16, 26), and only a paucity of studies \( (n = 7) \) have examined potential associations with psychiatric symptoms. Although the results described in the current review are consistent with the aberrant salience hypothesis, one of the implicit assumptions of this hypothesis is that the chaotic attribution of motivational value to irrelevant stimuli is not explained by the subtle characteristics of stimuli, and that nearly any kind of stimuli can elicit these aberrant experiences.

The case of human faces is interesting in that regard. Although faces used in experimental studies can be neutral in their expressed emotion, it is impossible to rule out that even emotionally neutral faces are neutral in every other aspect. Based on recent work performed in social neuroscience, we now know that emotionally neutral faces convey subtle information that is implicitly processed by the brain (e.g., physiognomic features such as mouth curvature, distance between the eyes and fullness of lips, as well as traits like masculinity and typicality) (47, 48). Indeed, when watching emotionally neutral faces, humans make several spontaneous judgments of which they are barely aware of, including evaluations of trustworthiness, attractiveness, dominance, and intro-/extra-version (47). Thus far, trustworthiness judgments are the ones having received the greatest attention in schizophrenia research. Importantly, preliminary studies have shown that SCZ patients under-estimate the trustworthiness of human faces (49). Based on these findings, a few fMRI studies have examined how schizophrenia patients process (emotionally neutral) faces while judging their trustworthiness. Pinkham et al. (50) showed in two studies that paranoid schizophrenia patients have abnormal amygdala activity when they are processing faces judged as being untrustworthy, compared to controls and non-paranoid schizophrenia patients. Finally, Mukherjee et al. (51) showed that the connectivity between the amygdala and the insular cortex is reduced in schizophrenia patients, compared to controls, when making approachability judgments. Regardless of the heuristic value of the work, it cannot fully explain why the brain reactivity of schizophrenia patients to neutral stimuli is increased, simply because these aberrant brain responses have been observed not only in fMRI studies using human faces, but also in several studies using IAPS images (although less consistently) (Table 1), which comprise several types of stimuli other than human faces (e.g., natural scenes, animals, objects, complex social situations, etc.).

Alternatively, some fMRI studies have investigated how neutral stimuli may acquire aberrant significance via impaired associative learning. This possibility has been examined in two studies using aversive pavlovian conditioning paradigms (24, 31). In response to conditioned stimuli paired to unconditioned neutral stimuli, schizophrenia patients displayed increased activations in emotionally relevant brain regions, such as the ventral striatum and the hippocampus (24). The results of this study tentatively suggest that schizophrenia patients confer aberrant salience to irrelevant stimuli via the learning of inappropriate associations. However, the study of Romaniuk et al. did not find increased activations in schizophrenia in response to neutral stimuli (31).

The fact that schizophrenia patients confer emotional salience to irrelevant stimuli may also be explained by a priming effect, reflecting a negative evaluative bias. This hypothesis has been investigated in three fMRI studies using prime faces being presented for a time span too short (e.g., 33 ms) to be consciously processed by participants, followed by target (neutral) faces presented during a longer time span. While Lindner et al. (26) showed that schizophrenia patients have increased amygdala activations when the prime faces express emotions, Suslow et al. (33) demonstrated that these hyper-activations are present in schizophrenia when the prime faces have a neutral expression, and Rauch et al. (29) did not observe increased activations in schizophrenia. The results of these studies make it difficult to determine if the increased brain responses of schizophrenia patients to neutral stimuli are explained by a priming effect or not.

Finally, the aberrant brain reactivity to irrelevant stimuli in schizophrenia may be explained by reduced neural habituation to emotionally neutral faces (52), but this possibility has been examined in only one study (32).

**LIMITATIONS**

A few methodological issues limit the interpretation of the available literature. The first issue has to do with the difficulty to retrieve all the functional neuroimaging studies having examined the brain activity of schizophrenia or psychosis-prone individuals during the neutral condition specifically. It is possible that the studies that did not report the results for this experimental condition were precisely the ones that did not find significant between-group differences. Thus, we cannot exclude that the results described here are partially confounded by a reporting bias. However, to minimize this bias, we retrieved all relevant papers instead of relying on abstracts.

Another issue is that all fMRI studies performed in patients diagnosed with schizophrenia have included patients on antipsychotics. Only one study was performed in drug-free patients (28), and none was performed in drug-naïve patients. This means that we cannot rule out the possibility that the aberrant brain reactivity of schizophrenia patients to neutral stimuli is brought about by the use of antipsychotics. This is very unlikely however, given that: (i) dopamine plays a key role in motivational salience (53); (ii) striatal dopamine release is increased in schizophrenia and positively correlated with the positive symptoms of the disorder (54); and (ii) antipsychotics are dopamine-D \(_2\) receptor blockers in the striatum (55). If anything, the fact that schizophrenia patients were treated with antipsychotics may have masked some effects, and this may explain why some studies have failed to detect increased brain reactivity to neutral stimuli in psychotic individuals (19, 20). At the other end of the spectrum, only one study examined how treatment-resistant schizophrenia patients respond to emotionally neutral stimuli (16), although 25–30% of schizophrenia are resistant to antipsychotics, and that treatment resistance is associated with substance misuse, tobacco smoking, suicide, poor quality of life, and increased medication...
side effects (56). The study found that treatment-resistant schizophrenia patients have hyper-activations in the dorso-medial prefrontal cortex, a region involved in several higher social cognitive processes (57), compared to a control group of schizophrenia patients and to a group of healthy volunteers.

Another issue is that the validity of the neutral minus baseline contrast (used in most of the studies described in Table 1) rests on the assumption that baseline brain activity in schizophrenia patients is comparable to baseline activity in controls. However, substantial evidence from resting-state fMRI studies reliably shows that the connectivity within executive, emotional salience, and default mode networks is significantly disrupted in schizophrenia (58, 59). More importantly in the current context, Taylor et al. (60) used positron emission tomography to measure cerebral blood flow in schizophrenia and found that the baseline (tonic) brain activity was increased in the (ventral) striatum and the amygdala in schizophrenia. Likewise, Pinkham et al. (61) and Scheef et al. (62) recently used arterial spin labeling to measure cerebral blood flow in schizophrenia, and both studies found that the amygdala activity was increased at rest in schizophrenia patients, compared to healthy controls [The Scheef et al. (62) study also found hyper-perfusion in the thalamus, the parahippocampus, and the precuneus]. The study of Pinkham et al. (61) is particularly interesting in that it showed that the amygdala was specifically hyper-active at rest in patients with paranoid symptoms. As such, these latter results suggest that a tonic hyper-activity of the amygdala, a limbic region playing a well-documented role in fear processing (63), may fuel paranoid ideation in schizophrenia. From a methodological point of view, these results might explain the heterogeneity of findings of the fMRI studies having examined the neural activity in the entire affective network in schizophrenia patients, using the Emotion minus Rest contrast (5). Likewise, these results might also explain why some studies examining the Neutral minus Rest contrast failed to show that there is increased brain reactivity to neutral stimuli in psychotic individuals (19, 20), and why a minority of studies have even reported decreased brain activations in schizophrenia during the Neutral minus Rest contrast (Table 1).

Finally, another limitation is that the potential impact of sex-differences on the aberrant brain reactivity to neutral stimuli has been scarcely studied, although sex-differences are increasingly investigated in neuro-imaging studies in schizophrenia (64). To date, most studies of gross anatomy show more enlarged ventricles and smaller frontal lobes in men than in women with schizophrenia, reflecting normal sexual dimorphism. In comparison, studies of brain asymmetry and specific cortico-limbic structures suggest a disturbance of normal sexual dimorphism. The relevance of this question is further justified by the findings from Blackford et al. (52), who recently observed that schizophrenia patients have increased limbic (e.g., amygdala and hippocampus) activations when viewing emotionally neutral faces of the opposite sex, but not faces of the same sex.

**FUTURE PERSPECTIVES**

The available literature suggests that increased brain reactivity to neutral stimuli is fairly common in schizophrenia patients, but that the regions involved vary considerably from one study to another, apart from the amygdala. Prefrontal and cingulate sub-regions as well as the hippocampus may also be involved. By contrasts, results in individuals at risk for psychosis are less consistent. However, smaller effects are to be expected in these “at risk” individuals, and studies performed to date in these individuals may have not been adequately powered to detect such smaller effects. In the future, fMRI studies on the topic will need to be performed in larger samples of individuals at high-risk of developing schizophrenia, in first-episode of psychosis patients, as well as in patients who are drug free and drug naïve. The longitudinal effects of antipsychotics on this aberrant brain reactivity will also need to be determined, as well as the potential implication of sex/gender. Methodology-wise, cerebral blood flow at rest will need to be measured in future investigations. Also, it will need to be determined if the aberrant brain reactivity to neutral stimuli is associated with the positive symptoms of schizophrenia or not. Finally, greater attention will need to be paid to non-visual stimuli, as well as to characteristics of faces other than their emotional expressions.
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