“Push and Pull”: Biomechanics of the Pollination Apparatus of Oncidium spp.
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Comprising ca. 28,000, species the Orchidaceae constitute one of the most species-rich plant families. Orchids differ from other monocotyledons i.a., in the formation of so-called pollinaria, which are entities consisting of pollen grains aggregated into compact pollinia and accessory structures, a viscidium and mostly also a pollinium stalk. The viscidium releases an adhesive material that attaches the pollinarium to a pollinator. Pollinaria are part of a complex pollination apparatus that enables the orchids to colonize niches in which only a few individuals of the respective pollinator occur infrequently. Because the aggregated pollen grains are removed from the flower at once, the development of a mechanical barrier ensuring that only suitable pollinators are able to access the flowers and more importantly to remove the pollen are important selective traits. In this paper we describe the functional morphology of the pollination apparatus in two orchid species, Oncidium wentworthianum and O. otogaya, by experimentally mimicking the pollination process. Furthermore, we analyzed the mechanical resistance of this apparatus by means of force measurements and showed that it most probably constitutes a hierarchical two-stage barrier. The first stage consists of the presence of the anther cap that not only protects the pollinia, but also serves to prevent premature removal of young and unripe pollinia from the flower. As soon as the pollinaria are ripe, the anther cap sheds and the second stage of the mechanical barrier takes effect, a severable bond between pollinarium and rostellum. This bond can be overcome by a potential pollinator, applying a load of at least 10.8 mN (O. otogaya) or 12.6 mN (O. wentworthianum), respectively, on the viscidium which at the same time disengages the pollinarium from its anchorage. The adhesive material produced by the viscidium creates sufficient adhesive contact between pollinarium and pollinator. Potential pollinators, such as Centris spp. or Trigona spp. bees, should be well able to exert such forces by pushing their head/forebody into the orchid flowers. Thus, whether a pollinator is able to detach the pollinarium depends on both how forcefully it can push and how strongly it can pull the orchid pollination apparatus.
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INTRODUCTION

Many people are passionate about the splendor of orchid flowers without probably being aware of their functional-morphological peculiarities. Also Darwin was fascinated by these plants (Yam et al., 2009) and dedicated a whole book exclusively to the pollination of orchids by insects (Darwin, 1890). The complex pollination mechanism, which we describe below, was undoubtedly one of the factors responsible for the enormous radiation of the Orchidaceae family (Johnson and Edwards, 2000), resulting in more than 28,000 species currently known (Christenhusz and Byng, 2016). They are organized into the five subfamilies Apostasioideae, Vanilloideae, Cypripedioideae, Orchidoideae, and Epidendroideae (Chase et al., 2015; Givnish et al., 2015): the latter two being the most derived and species-rich subfamilies, and accounting for 98% of all orchids species (Singer and Cocucci, 1997).

Most orchids are characterized by the fact that style and staminal filaments are fused into a column, also called gynostemium, and that part of the stigma, the rostellum, is sterile and involved into pollen transfer. Another key development in orchids is the pollinium, which is a cohesive mass of agglomerated pollen grains that is removed as a unit during the pollination process (Pacini and Hesse, 2002; Harder and Johnson, 2008). In many orchids several pollinia are attached via a caudicle (derived from the anther) and/or a stalk-like stipe (derived from the column), to a sticky pad formed by the rostellum (Dressler, 1993; Stern, 2014). This pad is referred to as viscidium, syn. retinaculum (Schick, 1989), and becomes attached to the pollinator through its adhesive material. The entirety of pollinia, caudicle/stipe, and viscidium is called a pollinarium and is the main part of a complex and sophisticated pollination apparatus that makes it possible for the orchids also to colonize niches in which only a few individuals of the respective pollinator occur and thus pollinator visits are infrequent (Johnson and Edwards, 2000). The viscidium thereby sticks to the departing pollinator and the entire pollinarium is removed from the flower and transferred to the stigmatic surface of a conspecific flower. The avoidance of self-pollination is a fascinating topic on its own, which we do not elaborate on here [for more details we refer to, e.g., Borba and Semir (1999) and Johnson and Edwards (2000)]. The fact that all pollen grains are removed at once, however, renders pollination into an “all-or-nothing” process, hence, demonstrating the importance that pollinaria can only be removed by suitable pollinators (Wagenitz, 1981; Jersáková et al., 2006). Filtering for efficient pollinators by the morphological evolutionary adaptation of flowers to prevent a loss of the whole gamet production is particularly pronounced in Epidendroideae, but also widespread among other orchid families (Tremblay, 1992; Pansarin et al., 2017). Filtering is realized by 1) attracting suitable pollinators by either visual or olfactory stimuli (Faegri and Pijl, 1979) and/or 2) morphological traits or mechanical barriers of the flower that only allow legitimate pollinators to access the pollen or a reward of whatever kind (Brantjes, 1981; Dressler, 1981; Claßen-Bockhoff et al., 2004; Reith et al., 2006; Córdoba and Cocucci, 2011). Vice versa, coevolutionary processes lead to morphological, physiological, and behavioral pollinator specialization (Darwin, 1890; Johnson and Anderson, 2010).

Here, we analyze the flower’s mechanical barriers in two Oncidium Sw. species belonging to the subtribe Oncidiinae (Orchidaceae), which represents the most highly derived orchids of the New World (Dressler, 1993; Mosquera-Mosquera et al., 2019). Flowers of Oncidiinae (Figure 1) characteristically have large lips featuring so-called calli. The latter resemble tumors from which the name of the type genus, Oncidium, derives (from the greek word “onkos” = swelling or tumor) (Castro and Singer, 2019). The callus attracts oil gathering bees either by offering true reward produced in epidermal elaiophores or by deceit (Pemberton, 2008). A thickened structure of the column situated below the stigmatic surface, the so-called tabula infrastigmatica, serves female oil-collecting bees as a grip. They can grasp it with their mandibles to free their anterior legs for oil collection (Dressler, 1981; Davies et al., 2014). During this maneuver they come into contact with the viscidium, overcome the mechanical barrier, and establish a sufficiently large contact area with the viscidium that causes the pollinarium to stay attached to the insect and to be removed from the flower when it departs. According to Schick (1989) the adhesive may consist of two phases: a hydrophilic derivative of the cell walls and a lipophilic part, originating from the protoplasm and heterogeneous in nature itself.

The detailed pollination process of Oncidium orchids, however, is still largely unknown and also pollinators are rather generically reported. Castro and Singer (2019) summarized that Oncidiinae are mostly pollinated by oil- or perfume-collecting bees. Pollinators of Oncidium spp. are reported to be mainly fairly large apid bees (Hymenoptera, Apidae) belonging to the genera of Centris Fabricius, Trigona Jurine, Tetrapedia Klug, and Epicharis Klug (Dressler, 1993; Parra-Tabla et al., 2006; Singer, 2003; Carmona-Diaz and García-Franco, 2008; Castro and Singer, 2019; Ferreira et al., 2019).

**FIGURE 1** | Flower of Oncidium wentworthianum in (A) lateral view and (B) frontal view. ac = anther cap, ca = callus, lb (mp) = labelllum (modified petal), p = petal, s = sepal, st = (fertile part of the) stigma, ti = tabula infrastigmatica, vc = viscidium. Scale bar: ca. 4 mm.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Material
Experiments were performed with *Oncidium wentworthianum* Bateman ex Lindl. and *O. otogaya*, both provided by the Zoological-Botanical Garden "Wilhelma," Stuttgart, Germany. Plants of both species were grown in a mixture of orchid soil and tree bark. From *O. otogaya*, only an offshoot was available whose roots were wrapped in filter paper towels during the time of the study (approx. 2 weeks) and moistened with tap water each day. *O. wentworthianum* remained in the flowerpot during the time of measurement; the root ball was dipped in tap water every 2 days for about 1 min. Both orchids were kept in a glass cabinet during the experimental period to assure an elevated relative humidity.

Light Microscopy
A Leica MZ 125 stereomicroscope (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany) was used for sample preparation and to get an *in situ* impression of flower details. Images were taken using a Nikon Coolpix E995 digital camera adapted to the stereomicroscope with a C-Mount adapter and a MDC 2 relay lens MXA 29005 (Nikon Corporation, Tokyo, Japan).

Cryo-Scanning Electron Microscopy (cryo-SEM)
A Hitachi S-4800 (Hitachi High-Technologies Corp., Tokyo, Japan) cryo-SEM equipped with a Gatan ALTO 2500 cryopreparation system (Gatan, Inc., Abingdon, United Kingdom) was used for imaging the flower surface details. Therefore, the viscidium of a fresh flower was partly removed manually using the head of a pin and mounted on metal holders with Tissue-Tek® O.C.T.™ Compound (Sakura Finetek Europe B. V., Zoeterwoude, The Netherlands). The samples on holders were frozen on a cryostage at 140°C, sputter-coated with gold-palladium (6 nm) in the cryopreparation chamber, and examined in the SEM at −120°C and an accelerating voltage of 1 kV.

Force Measurements
To measure the forces necessary to remove pollinaria from *Oncidium* spp. flowers the natural process was mimicked using a custom-build lab setup ([Figure 2A](#)). Living, turgescent individual *Oncidium* flowers were held with flat-ended tweezers that were mounted on an adjustable stand by a clamp ([Figures 2A,B](#)). Flowers, which for morphological reasons could not be grasped with the tweezers without being damaged, were mounted on a piece of stiff Styrofoam using double-sided adhesive tape. Manageability of the flower and accessibility of the viscidium were ensured by trimming the petals and the column wings (staminodia) with a razor blade. Thereby care was taken not to damage the viscidium, rostellum, or anther cap and to keep the flowers turgescent during the measurements (10 min)

The pollinator was mimicked by a round-tipped glass rod (diameter equals 2.87 mm, length equals 14.69 mm) produced by heating and melting the end of a glass rod, which gave a perfectly round tip due to the surface tension of the molten glass ([Figure 2C](#)). The glass rod was attached to a Fort 10 force transducer (10 g capacity, World Precision Instruments, Inc., Sarasota, FL, United States) and moved (i.e., approached and retracted) perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the viscidium at a continuous speed of 190 μm s⁻¹ using a motorized micromanipulator DC3001R combined with a MS314 controller (World Precision Instruments, Inc., Sarasota, FL, United States). Data were recorded using AcqKnowledge 3.7.0 software (Biopac Systems Ltd., Goleta, CA, United States) at a sample rate of 500 s⁻¹. After...
making contact with the viscidium, the tip of the glass rod was moved forward for between 0.22 and 11.94 s, preloading the sticky viscidium material at forces between 0.045 and 74.087 mN. After keeping the glass rod in contact with the viscidium/flower for several seconds (min. = 1.01 s, max = 6.15 s), the glass rod was pulled off from the flower, either with the pollinarium sticking to it or not.

To determine to what extent the anther cap affects the force required to extract the pollinarium, the anther cap was removed vertically upwards in about half of the flowers shortly before starting the experiment, by using pointed tweezers (in some flowers the anther cap had already fallen off by itself). From 29 O. otogaya flowers, 16 were tested without anther cap. From O. wentworthianum 18 out of 29 were tested without anther cap.

The force measurements were conducted at 23.7 ± 1.69°C temperature and 47.3 ± 9.98% relative humidity. After each measurement, the adhesive residues left by the viscidium on the glass rod were wiped off with acetone-soaked filter paper.

**Data Evaluation**

The parameters gained from the raw data (i.e., force-time curves) (Figure 3 and Supplementary Material) using the AcqKnowledge 3.7.0 software (Biopac Systems Ltd., Goleta, CA, United States) were 1) load, 2) duration of load, 3) relaxation time, and 4) pull-off force. Data analysis and statistical evaluation were performed using the software R version 3.2.3 (R Core Team, 2015). The relationship between load and pull-off force was checked using the Spearman rank order correlation test, because tests for normality (Shapiro-Wilk test) revealed nonnormal distribution of the data.

**Estimation of Adhesive Strength of the Viscidium**

The adhesive strength was calculated as the ratio of the maximum pulling force over the contact area. The contact area $A_c$ was estimated from the distance $d$ traveled by the glass rod during the loading phase (i.e., loading duration * loading velocity) and the radius $r$ of the glass rod under the assumption that $A_c$ corresponds to the lateral area of a spherical dome:

$$A_c = 2\pi rd.$$

Adhesive strength was only estimated for trials that did not lead to removal of the pollinarium from the flower. It should be noted that the forward movement of the glass rod led not only to deformation of the adhesive material but probably also to deformations of the underlying flower structures. Estimations of the contact area and consequently of the adhesive strength are thus to be interpreted with care and should be considered as first-order approximations.

**RESULTS**

**Morphology of the Pollination Apparatus**

The viscidium of Oncidium spp. is ellipsoidal, about 1 mm high, 0.4 mm wide, and 0.1 mm thick. It sits in a pouch-shaped structure, formed by the rostellum that is narrowing toward the column (Figure 4A). The stipe is attached to the upper edge of the cushion-shaped viscidium. In case of the presence of an anther cap, it is almost completely covered by this. The anther cap is attached to the flower via a thin band of tissue on either side (Figure 4A) and features a lip-like thickened structure where it touches the stipe (Figure 4B). When the anther cap is removed, the two pollinia and the stipe, which connects the pollinia to the viscidium, become visible (Figure 4C). After manipulation of the pollinarium with a pinhead, in order to (partly) release it from the rostellum/flower, the viscidium is shown to protrude into the rostellar pouch with a 0.2 mm thick half-lobed structure at its backside (Figure 4D). It appears that the cushion-like viscidium completely consists of a translucent adhesive material that is overlaid only by a very thin membrane (Figure 4E). Apparently, this adhesive dries and hardens very quickly as can be seen in Figure 4F by a more than 2 mm long, obviously quite stiff (no drooping caused by gravity) and erect filament of adhesive that remained stuck to the tip of the glass rod after touching and pulling-off from the viscidium and thereby damaging the thin adhesive coverage, but not removing the pollinarium from the flower (Figure 4F). Only the bottom and side parts of the viscidium seem to be connected to the rim of the rostellar pouch by the same (or a similar) sticky material that covers the viscidium, as can be seen from the hardened remnants of the substance pointing toward the pollinia in Figure 2C.
FIGURE 4 | (A–E,G–I) Cryo-SEM images and (F,J) digital photographs of the pollination apparatus of Oncidium wentworthianum. (A) A flower with unreleased pollinarium and still attached anther cap. The column wings (staminodia) have been trimmed using a razor blade (arrow) to allow for a lateral perspective. The connective tissue between anther cap and flower is ruptured, probably during sample preparation (arrowheads). (B) Detail of image (A) showing the lip (arrow) of the anther cap that touches the stipe. (C) Lateral view of the pollinarium, which still sits in the rostellar pouch. The anther cap has been removed. The stipe is slightly bent upwards what might have been caused by removal of the anther cap and/or by the freezing process during cryo-SEM. (D) A pollinarium that was manipulated with a pinhead. The viscidium is partly released from its original position revealing the scutellum at its backside (arrow). The rostellar pouch thereby was deformed. The adhesive substance of (Continued)
have been attached to the rostellum via tiny and distinct tissue connections (Figures 4G,H). At least in the “released” state, the stipe can be described as a semitubular structure with its opening facing the opening of the rostellar pouch (Figure 4I).

Forces Required to Overcome an Initial Pollinium Attachment to the Plant

By applying load to the viscidium, while mimicking a pollinator visit, the viscidium is pushed deeper into the pocket formed by the rostellum, and at the same time, it is forced upwards. This upward movement causes the stipe to detach from the rostellum (Figure 4I). Once the viscidium was properly attached to the glass rod, and the pollinarium has been removed from the flower; it could not be removed from the glass rod except by scratching it off. Attempts to do so by pulling always resulted in tearing apart the stipe.

From all 29 O. otogaya flowers tested, the pollinarium could be successfully removed in only 8 cases. These were solely flowers, which did not have an anther cap. Among the O. wentworthianum flowers the pollinarium could be removed in 11 out of 29 trials with only one successful removal from a flower with the anther cap still attached (Table 1).

There was significant evidence ($p < 0.05$) suggesting a negative linear correlation between pull-off force and load, only for trials in which the pollinarium was not removed from the flower. This is true for both O. otogaya and O. wentworthianum (Table 1; Figure 5). The absolute pull-off forces, necessary to remove the pollinia from the flowers, were comparatively low and ranged between 2.95 and 8.29 mN for O. otogaya and between 3.46 and 12.19 mN (3.46 and 7.46 mN, if only flowers without anther cap were considered) for O. wentworthianum.

For flowers without anther cap, the minimal load needed to start a successful removal process of the pollinarium was 8.99 mN in O. otogaya and 11.66 mN in O. wentworthianum. After applying loads higher than 10.82 mN (O. otogaya) and 12.58 mN (O. wentworthianum), respectively, the pollinarium could always be removed in flowers without anther cap (Figures 6A,B).

Adhesive Strength of the Viscidium

The adhesive strength of the viscidia was calculated from the estimated contact area and the maximum pull-off force. For obvious reasons this could only be done for trials in which the pollinarium itself remained attached to the flower. For O. otogaya the mean adhesive strength is 5.62 ± 4.01 kPa (max. 15.38 kPa), and 4.07 ± 2.08 kPa (max. 8.96 kPa) for O. wentworthianum.

DISCUSSION

The experiments mimicking the first stage of the pollination process of Oncidium flowers, i.e., the removal of the pollinarium from the flower, reveal that a successful pollinarium removal depends on whether the anther cap is present or not. As anther caps in Oncidium sp. seem to be shed with an increasing flower age, which is for example reported for Oncidium sphaelatum (Pemberton, 2008), their presence or absence is an indirect indicator of pollen “ripeness.” This is related to the developmental stage of any other flower structure contributing to a fully functional pollination apparatus. When mature, the backside of the viscidium, referred to as scutellum, consists of dead cells with thickened walls, and while still in contact with the rostellum in its central part, the peripheral tissue already separates from the rostellum as observed by Schick (1989) in O. hastatum, except for the tissue strands seen in Figure 4G at the upper edge of the rostellar pouch. Concurrently the stipe differentiates from the rostellum tissue by insertion of a separation layer and mechanical stresses progressively build up in the stipe due to thickening of the cell walls (Schick, 1989).

Due to narrowing of the rostellum pouch, pushing back of the viscidium automatically leads to its upward movement, which leads to rupturing of the connection between viscidium and rostellum and between rostellum and stipe (Figures 4G,H,J, 7B). Furthermore, the rostellum pouch is also widened during this process, additionally helping to release the stipe from the rostellum. The semitubular shape of the stipe is probably induced by previously build-up stresses that are released, when the connection between rostellum and stipe breaks, and increases its ex situ bending stiffness, ensuring precise positioning of the pollinia in relation to the viscidium (Schick, 1989) and thereby also an exact deposit on the stigma in the next visited flower. The probability of self-pollination is drastically reduced because the stipe of the pollinarium attached to the pollinator reconfigures over a time period of several minutes or hours, which eventually brings the pollinia into a position that allows them to come into contact with the stigma (Johnsson and Edwards, 2000). The mature pollination apparatus finds itself in a rather delicate mechanical state of equilibrium. In compliance with the development of mechanical stresses reported by Schick (1989), our cryo-SEM analysis suggests that the mature stipe is prestressed transversely to its longitudinal axis (Figure 4I), which in combination with the deformation caused by a pollinator pushing against the viscidium causes the stipe to curl and to consequently detach and lift off from the rostellum. When the anther cap is still present its lip blocks...
this relaxation and the back and upward movement caused by a pollinator and thus forestalls a premature release of the pollinium (Figures 4B, 7A).

A load slightly higher than 10 mN, that is required to successfully remove the pollinarium from the flowers of the two Oncidium species, serves 1) for the establishment of a sufficient contact between the adhesive of the viscidium and the glass rod (or the pollinator) and 2) for the release of the mechanical anchoring of the pollinarium. The linear correlation between the load and pull-off force indicates a dependence of the viscidium’s adhesive force on the load, which let us hypothesize a pressure sensitive property of the adhesive. This is also supported by the viscoelastic relaxation under load (Figure 3), which is typical for pressure sensitive adhesives (Feldstein, 2009).

Calculations of the adhesive strength yielded values that are considerably lower than those of artificial pressure sensitive adhesives, like for example styrenic block copolymers (~200–800 kPa) (Pandey et al., 2020) or comparable biological pressure sensitive adhesives. Trichome secretions of the flypaper trap of the protocarnivorous plant Roridula gorgonias for example have a median adhesive strength between 17.5 and 156.2 kPa, depending on trichome length and corresponding functional adaptation (Voigt et al., 2009). The values determined here, however, are based on in vivo experiments; i.e., the viscidium was attached to the rostellum and not to an uncompliant, stiff support. It is thus likely that the established contact area is overestimated which in turn entails an underestimation of the adhesive strength. In any case, a

**TABLE 1** | Correlation analysis between load and pull-off force (Spearman’s rank correlation) in flowers with and without anther cap and removed/nonremoved pollinarium.

| Plant species               | Flower with anther cap | Removal of pollinarium from flower | p-value | ρ     | n   | R²  |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|---------|-------|-----|-----|
| Oncidium otogaya            | Yes                    | No                                 | <0.001  | −0.90 | 13  | 0.99|
|                             | Yes                    | NA                                 | <0.01   | −0.88 | 8   | 0.65|
|                             | No                     | Yes                                | >0.05   | −0.52 | 8   | 0.27|
| Oncidium wentworthianum     | Yes                    | No                                 | <0.05   | −0.78 | 10  | 0.35|
|                             | Yes                    | NA                                 | NA      | NA    | NA  | NA  |
|                             | No                     | No                                 | <0.05   | −0.74 | 8   | 0.40|
|                             | No                     | Yes                                | >0.05   | −0.41 | 10  | 0.11|

Correlation coefficient ρ at a significance level of 0.05. p, probability value; n, sample size; R², coefficient of determination.

**FIGURE 5** | Pull-off force vs. load, measured in Oncidium otogaya and O. wentworthianum flowers with (left) and without anther cap (right) and grouped by species (color of the symbol) and success of pollinarium removal (shape of the symbol). Statistical details for the linear regression lines are displayed in Table 1.
Potential pollinators of Oncidium spp. have been reported to be fairly large apid bees, which, deducing from their size [Centris Fabricius: 9–19 mm, Trigona Jurine: 4–9 mm, Tetrapedia Klug: 8–13 mm, and Epicharis Klug: 15–25 mm (Michener, 2000)], probably are able to easily produce forces well above 10 mN. Information on forces insects in general and bees in particular are able to apply by pushing is scarce. The forces measured by Reith et al. (2006) for Bombus terrestris L. (Hymenoptera, Apidae, size: 12–19 mm) are 24.6 ± 14.8 mN (max. 59 mN) for workers and 46.8 ± 25.5 mN (max. 90 mN) for queens. For Apis mellifera L., a mean value of 14 ± 7.4 mN (max. 29 mN) was measured, which is approximately half the force measured by Córdoba and Cocucci (2011) for A. mellifera (26.26 ± 3.89 mN). For different Bombus species the latter authors measured forces of well over 200 mN. It should however be noted that Reith et al. (2006) and Córdoba and Cocucci (2011) used different experimental setups to assess the insects pushing forces. Whereas in the approach of Córdoba and Cocucci (2011) the force insects exert for opening a trap door in a kind of escape reaction was recorded, in the approach of Reith et al. (2006) the pushing forces invested by insects to get access to a food source in an artificial flower were measured. As the pollinia are dislodged by the bee rather accidentally (at least from the bee’s point of view) while collecting oil from the elaiophores (Singer, 2003; Pansarin et al., 2017), it seems evident that they will not push on the viscidium with their full strength. Also Córdoba and Cocucci (2011) reported that pollinators are able to exert a lot higher maximum force than actually needed to operate the flower’s mechanism. While only female Centris bees collect oil, there are reports of male Centris bees that may be involved in the pollination process of Oncidium as well. When the flowers of Oncidium move or “dance” in the wind (hence their name “dancing ladies”), they may be mistakenly perceived by male Centris bees as enemies and tempt them to attack in a behavior known as pseudoantagonism (Dressler, 1993; Castro and Singer, 2019). While attachment of the pollinia would be in principle possible also to male Centris bees, regarding the remarkably quick-setting properties of the glue of the viscidium (Dressler, 1961) (Figure 4F), Castro and Singer (2019) doubt the existence of such an attachment mechanism of rapidly striking a flower while attempting to
part of the severable interconnection between the pollinaria and another maturity. At the second stage, a mechanical barrier formed by anther cap effect of coevolution between plants and their pollinators, able to push and then pull the pollination apparatus constitutes a two-stage barrier preventing risk of erroneous removal of pollinium from the flower. At the first stage, the presence of an anther cap efficiently prevents visiting pollinators from removing the pollinia before these reach maturity. At the second stage, a mechanical barrier formed by a severable interconnection between the pollinia and another part of the flower, the rostellum, ensures that only legitimate pollinators, able to push and then pull the pollination apparatus strongly enough, are able to remove the pollinia which can be hypothesized as effect of coevolution between plants and their pollinators.

CONCLUSION

In the studied Oncidium species, the complex pollination apparatus constitutes a two-stage barrier preventing risk of erroneous removal of pollinium from the flower. At the first stage, the presence of an anther cap efficiently prevents visiting pollinators from removing the pollinia before these reach maturity. At the second stage, a mechanical barrier formed by a severable interconnection between the pollinia and another part of the flower, the rostellum, ensures that only legitimate pollinators, able to push and then pull the pollination apparatus strongly enough, are able to remove the pollinia which can be hypothesized as effect of coevolution between plants and their pollinators.
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