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Abstract

In this paper we propose a simple mean-field "toy" model for the liquid-glass phase transition. This is the system of $N$ point-like particles confined in a finite volume of a $D$-dimensional space interacting via infinite-range oscillating potential. In the framework of the replica approach it is shown that such a system exhibits the phase transition between the high-temperature liquid phase and the low-temperature glass phase. This phase transition is described in terms of the standard one-step replica symmetry breaking scheme.

\footnote{On leave from Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics, Moscow}
1 Introduction

The problem of the liquid-glass phase transitions attracts permanent interest during last decades (for reviews see e.g. [3]). In the recent years in additional to the traditional experimental and phenomenological investigations a notable progress has been achieved in a first principle statistical mechanical study of the glass phase (see [2] and references therein). Leaving apart a wide scope of non-equilibrium properties of glasses, in a pure statistical mechanical approach one is aiming to investigate the thermodynamical properties of the $N$-particle system with the two body interparticle interactions described by the Hamiltonian

$$H[x_i] = \sum_{i,j=1}^N U(x_i - x_j), \quad (1.1)$$

where $x_i$ is the vector in a $D$-dimensional space which points the position of the $i$-th particle, and $U(x)$ is the interparticle potential (one, of course, can consider more general systems with two or more sorts of particles and different potentials for different particles). In a realistic systems the potential $U(x)$ must be attractive (and sufficiently quickly decaying) at large distances and strongly repulsive at short distances.

In a very simplified form the scheme of calculations is supposed to look as follows. Instead of the plain (and hopeless) integration over all positions of the particles in the partition function

$$Z = \prod_{i=1}^N \int d^D x_i \exp \left( -\beta H[x_i] \right) \quad (1.2)$$

in the systematic approach, first of all, one should find (or rather guess) the space structure and the energy of the (zero-temperature) ground state configuration of the Hamiltonian, and then, using one or another approximation, integrate over the fluctuations around this state. Depending on the value of the temperature one eventually finds that either the proposed non-trivial ground state is stable with respect to the fluctuations (at low enough temperatures), or (at sufficiently high temperatures) the fluctuations destroy proposed ground state and the thermodynamic state of the system is a liquid where all the particles are delocalized.

Indeed, the above scheme works rather well if the low temperature ground state of the system is ordered. In this case we are dealing with the crystal which is characterized by one or another global symmetry breaking, and which can be sufficiently easily described analytically. However, in the study of the disordered glass state, the situation becomes much more complicated, because in this case the low-temperature solid state is characterized by the random positions of the particles, and it is impossible to define what kind of the global symmetry is broken. All that resembles a lot the problem we are facing in spin-glasses, where the spins are getting frozen in a random state which can not be characterized by any apparent global symmetry breaking. The only but quite essential difference is that, unlike spin-glasses, here we do not have quenched disorder installed in the initial Hamiltonian. Nevertheless, the ideas borrowed from the spin-glass theory, and in particular the use of the replica technique (which, as we realize now, has much deeper meaning than just a technical trick to pass over the averaging of the logarithm of the partition function) turned out to be quite fruitful also for structural glasses, as was shown in the series of papers by Mezard and Parisi [2].
To demonstrate the effect of a symmetry breaking in ordered magnetic systems one can introduce a conjugated field coupled to the order parameter, which at the end (after taking the thermodynamic limit) is set to zero. In spin-glasses the same effect can be achieved by introducing several weakly coupled copies (replicas) of the original system. In a similar way, to demonstrate the effect of the freezing into a random glass state in the system of particles described by the Hamiltonian, eq.(1.1), let us introduce two identical copies of the same system described the following Hamiltonian

\[ H = \sum_{i,j}^N U(x_i - x_j) + \sum_{i,j}^N U(y_i - y_j) + \epsilon \sum_i^N W(x_i - y_i), \tag{1.3} \]

which contains a weak (controlled by the parameter \( \epsilon \)) attractive potential \( W \) between particles \( x \) and \( y \) of the two systems. After taking the thermodynamic limit the parameter \( \epsilon \) must be set to zero. This trick can result in two types of situations:

1. After taking the limit \( \epsilon \to 0 \) the particles of the two systems becomes completely independent (uncorrelated). This would indicate that the particles do not have a "memory" of their spatial positions so that they are free to move (non localized in space) and the original system is in the (high-temperature) liquid phase.

2. Even after taking the limit \( \epsilon \to 0 \) the positions of the particles of the two systems remain correlated. This would indicate that the particles become localized in space so that the original system is in the (low-temperature) solid state. The order parameter describing this phase can be defined e.g. in terms of the correlation function between particles of the two copies of the system.

In fact, like in spin glasses, to obtain more detailed information about this type of phase transition, instead of the two copies it is more convenient to introduce a general \( n \) replicas of the original system (see Section 2). It should be also noted that in real calculations the introduction of the supplementary attractive potential between replicas is actually not necessary. It is well known that e.g. in the case of the paramagnetic-ferromagnetic phase transition, instead of introducing a conjugated field, it is sufficient just to suppose the possibility of the global symmetry breaking to prove its existence afterwards. In a similar way, here we are also going to admit the possibility of the effective space correlations among particles of different (originally non-coupled) replicas, while the validity of this assumption can be checked aposteriori.

Although the above idea is very simple, actual calculations for realistic models turn out to be quite sophisticated (see e.g. [2]). What is lacking in this field is the analog of the mean-field models of spin-glasses, like the SK-model [4] or REM [5], which despite of their non-physical nature, turned out to be very powerful tool for understanding the nature of the spin-glass state. The aim of this paper is to present a very simple mean-field model of a glass, which, despite of its completely non-physical structure, exhibits non-trivial liquid-glass phase transition (Section 3). Moreover, the nature of this phase transition turns out to be of the so-called one-step replica symmetry breaking type (the one, which, in particular, takes place in REM), which is characterized by a finite jump of the order parameter (like at the first-order phase transitions) and continuous free energy function (as it should be at the second-order phase transition).
2 Replica Calculations

The general scheme of the replica calculations (which we will follow in the next section) can be described as follows. First, we take $n$ non-coupled copies of the original system. The corresponding replica partition function is

$$Z_n = \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{N} \prod_{a=1}^{n} \int d^D x_i^a \right] \exp \left( -\beta \sum_{a=1}^{n} H[x_i^a] \right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.1)

where the parameter $n$ should be set to $n = 1$ et the end. Next, following the standard scheme of the one-step replica symmetry breaking we divide $n$ replicas into $n/m$ groups each consisting of $m$ replicas. We will suppose that particles belonging to different groups of replicas are non-correlated, while those of the same group could become effectively coupled. In this case the partition function, eq.(2.1), reduces to

$$Z_n = [Z_m]^\frac{n}{m},$$ \hspace{1cm} (2.2)

and the density of the free energy of the system is then

$$f = -\frac{1}{\beta N} \ln (Z_n) = -\frac{n}{\beta m N} \ln (Z_m)$$ \hspace{1cm} (2.3)

After taking the limit $n \to 1$ we get:

$$f(m, \beta) = -\frac{1}{\beta m N} \ln (Z_m)$$ \hspace{1cm} (2.4)

where $m$ becomes now the continuous variational parameter bounded by the condition $m \leq 1$ (this constraint comes from the original bound $m \leq n$ in the limit $n \to 1$).

The assumption that the positions $x_i^a$ of particles in different replicas are correlated can be explicitly represented as follows:

$$x_i^a = x_i + u_i^a,$$ \hspace{1cm} (2.5)

where $x_i$ play the role of the center of mass of the replica "molecules", and $u_i^a$ are the deviations of the particles from the center of mass. Of course, these deviations are bounded by the condition

$$\sum_{a=1}^{m} u_i^a = 0$$ \hspace{1cm} (2.6)

In this way the replica partition function takes the following form:

$$Z_m = \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{N} \int d^D x_i \right] \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{N} \prod_{a=1}^{m} \int d^D u_i^a \right] \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{N} \frac{m^D}{\delta} \left( \sum_{a=1}^{m} u_i^a \right) \right] \exp \left( -\beta \sum_{a=1}^{m} H[x_i + u_i^a] \right)$$ \hspace{1cm} (2.7)

We see that now the problem becomes similar to that of statistical systems with quenched disorder in replica representation: according to eq.(2.7), $x_i$'s play the role of disorder parameters, while $u_i^a$
are the dynamical variables. As usual, after averaging over the disorder parameters \( \{x_i\} \) we will get the partition function \( Z_m \) represented in terms of a new replica Hamiltonian \( H_m[u^a_i] \):

\[
Z_m = \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{N} \prod_{a=1}^{n} \int d^D u^a_i \right] \exp \left( -\beta H_m[u^a_i] \right),
\]

where the variables \( u^a_i \) with different replicas could become coupled.

In the final step of calculations will be looking for the extremum of the free energy, eq.(2.4), with respect to the continuous parameter \( m \), bounded by the condition \( m \leq 1 \). As usual in the replica calculations, after the parameter \( m \) (which originally was introduced to describe the number of replicas) is taken to be smaller than one, the minimum of the free energy becomes a maximum. In the present case due to the constraint, eq.(2.6), the number of independent replica variables \( u^a_i \) is equal to \((m - 1)\). After taking the parameter \( m \) to be smaller than one this number formally becomes negative which effectively changes the minimum of the free energy into the maximum (this situation is quite similar to that of the standard replica calculations in disordered systems [3]).

The maximum of the free energy, eq.(2.4), is defined by the saddle-point equation

\[
\partial f(m, \beta) / \partial m = 0 \quad (2.9)
\]

If the solution of this equation, \( m_*(\beta) \), appears to be smaller than one, then for the physical free energy one finds: \( f(\beta) = f(m_*(\beta), \beta) \). This situation corresponds to the solid glassy phase, and it will be shown to take place only at temperatures smaller than a certain critical temperature \( T_c \).

At \( T \geq T_c \) in the high-temperature liquid phase the formal solution of eq.(2.9) yields \( m_*(\beta) \geq 1 \) (which is not allowed by the constraint \( m \leq 1 \)) and it can be proved that at the interval \( 0 \leq m \leq 1 \) the maximum of the free energy \( f(m, \beta) \) is achieved at \( m = 1 \). Thus, the above scenario looks quite similar to that of the standard one-step replica symmetry breaking in the random energy model of spin-glasses [4].

3 The model

The model we consider in this paper is defined by the following Hamiltonian:

\[
H = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i<j}^{N} U(|x_i - x_j|) + \frac{1}{2L} \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i^2 \quad (3.1)
\]

where \( \{x_i\} \) are the coordinates of the point-like particles in the \( D \)-dimensional space and

\[
U(|x|) = \sqrt{2} \cos(|x|) \quad (3.2)
\]

is the infinite-range oscillatory interparticle interaction potential. The second (Gaussian) part of the Hamiltonian, eq.(3.1), bounds the particles to be effectively confined in the finite volume with the linear size \( L \). The parameter \( L \gg 1 \) is supposed to be large compared to the oscillation period of the potential, eq.(3.2), and it will remain finite in the thermodynamic limit \( N \to \infty \).
The interaction potential, eq. (3.2), yields the alternating concentric bands of positive and negative energy. In dimensions greater than \( D = 1 \) in a system of \( N \) particles with the Hamiltonian, eq. (3.1), it creates highly complicates "interference" pattern, so that such system appears to be strongly "frustrated" in a sense that there exists no optimal position of the particles which would satisfy all interparticle interactions. Therefore, a generic solid state (if it exists) in this model must be a glass and not the crystal.

The corresponding replica partition function is

\[
Z_m = \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{N} \int \frac{d^D x_i^a}{(2\pi L)^{D/2}} \right] \exp \left( \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{a=1}^{m} \sum_{i<j}^{N} U(|x_i^a - x_j^a|) - \frac{\beta m}{2L} \sum_{a=1}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_i^a)^2 \right) \tag{3.3}
\]

(for convenience we have introduced here the "natural" normalization factor \((2\pi L)^{-D/2}\) for the integration over \(\{x_i^a\}\)). After the splitting of the degrees of freedom \(\{x_i^a\}\) into the center of mass \(\{x_i\}\) and the deviations \(\{u_i^a\}\) (eqs. (2.5)-(2.6)), we get:

\[
Z_m = (2\pi L)^{-\frac{NmD}{2}} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{N} \int d^D u_i^a \right] \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{m} \int d^D x_i \right] \times \exp \left( \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{a=1}^{m} \sum_{i<j}^{N} U(|x_i - x_j + u_i^a|) - \frac{\beta m}{2L} \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i^2 - \frac{\beta}{2L} \sum_{a=1}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (u_i^a)^2 \right) \tag{3.4}
\]

where \(u_{ij}^a \equiv u_i^a - u_j^a\). Keeping only extensive in \(N\) terms (the only relevant ones in the thermodynamic limit \(N \to \infty\)) for the (Gaussian) averaging over \(\{x_i\}\) we obtain:

\[
\left[ \prod_{i=1}^{N} \int d^D x_i \exp \left( -\frac{\beta m}{2L} x_i^2 \right) \right] \exp \left( \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{a=1}^{m} \sum_{i<j}^{N} U(|x_i - x_j + u_i^a|) \right) =
\]

\[
\left( \frac{2\pi L}{\beta m} \right)^{\frac{Nd}{2}} \exp \left( \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{a=1}^{m} \sum_{i<j}^{N} U(|x_i - x_j + u_i^a|) \right) +
\]

\[
\frac{\beta^2}{2N} \sum_{a,b=1}^{m} \sum_{i<j}^{N} \sum_{k<l}^{N} U(|x_i - x_j + u_i^a|) U(|x_k - x_l + u_k^b|) \tag{3.5}
\]

where

\[
(\ldots) \equiv \left( \frac{2\pi L}{\beta m} \right)^{\frac{Nd}{2}} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{N} \int d^D x_i \exp \left( -\frac{\beta m}{2L} x_i^2 \right) \right] (\ldots) \tag{3.6}
\]

According to the above definition, eq. (3.6), one can easily prove (under condition \(L \gg 1\)):

\[
U(|x|) = 0 \tag{3.7}
\]
\[
U(|x_i|)U(|x_j|) \simeq \delta_{ij} \tag{3.8}
\]

and

\[
U(|x_i|)U(|x_j + u|) \simeq J_0(|u|)\delta_{ij} \tag{3.9}
\]

where \(J_0(u)\) is the Bessel function. In particular, for \(u \ll 1\) we have:

\[
J_0(u \ll 1) \simeq 1 - \frac{1}{2}u^2 \tag{3.10}
\]

Substituting eq.(3.5) into eq.(3.4) and using eqs.(3.7) and (3.9) for the partition function, eq.(3.4) we obtain:

\[
Z_m = m^{ND} \frac{2 \beta}{N(2\pi L)^{ND(m-1)}} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{N} \prod_{a=1}^{m} \int d^D u_i^a \right] \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{N} \delta \left( \sum_{a=1}^{m} u_i^a \right) \right] \times
\]

\[
\times \exp \left( -\frac{\beta}{2L} \sum_{a=1}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (u_i^a)^2 + \frac{\beta^2}{2N} \sum_{a,b=1}^{m} \sum_{i<j}^{N} J_0(|u_i^a - u_j^b + u_j^b - u_j^a|) \right) \tag{3.11}
\]

Assuming that all the deviations \(\{u_i^a\}\) are small (only under this condition the separation of the degrees of freedom defined in eqs.(2.3)-(2.4) makes physical sense), using eq.(3.10), we get

\[
Z_m = m^{ND} \beta \frac{2 \beta}{N(2\pi L)^{ND(m-1)}} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{N} \prod_{a=1}^{m} \int d^D u_i^a \right] \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{N} \delta \left( \sum_{a=1}^{m} u_i^a \right) \right] \times
\]

\[
\times \exp \left( \frac{1}{4} \beta^2 m^2 N - \frac{1}{2} (\beta^2 m + \frac{\beta}{L}) \sum_{a=1}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (u_i^a)^2 \right) \tag{3.12}
\]

Simple Gaussian integration over \(\{u_i^a\}\) (taking into account the constraints \(\sum_{a=1}^{m} u_i^a = 0\)) yields:

\[
Z_m = \exp \left( \frac{1}{4} \beta^2 m^2 N - \frac{1}{2} ND m \ln \beta - \frac{1}{2} ND (m-1) \ln (1 + \beta mL) \right) \tag{3.13}
\]

For the free energy density, eq.(2.4), we eventually obtain:

\[
f(\beta, m) = \frac{D}{2\beta} \ln \beta - \frac{1}{4} \beta m + \frac{D}{2\beta} (1 - \frac{1}{m}) \ln (1 + \beta mL) \tag{3.14}
\]

One can easily prove that this function of the parameter \(m\) has a unique maximum at \(m = m^*\) defined by the saddle-point equation \(\partial f / \partial m = 0\):

\[
\frac{1}{2D} (\beta m)^2 = \ln (1 + \beta mL) - (1 - m) \frac{\beta mL}{1 + \beta mL} \tag{3.15}
\]

At \(L \gg 1\) the approximate solution of the above equation can be obtained explicitly:
\[ m_*(\beta) \simeq \frac{1}{\beta} \sqrt{2D \ln L} \quad (3.16) \]

Since the function \( f(\beta, m) \) is defined only at the interval \( 0 \leq m \leq 1 \), in the case \( m_*> 1 \) the maximum of \( f \) is achieved at \( m = 1 \). Thus, the maximum of the function \( f(\beta, m) \) \( (0 \leq m \leq 1) \) takes place at

\[
m_*(\beta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\beta} \sqrt{2D \ln L} \equiv \frac{\beta_c}{\beta} & \text{for } \beta > \beta_c \\ 1 & \text{for } \beta \leq \beta_c \end{cases} \quad (3.17)
\]

where

\[
\beta_c \simeq \sqrt{2D \ln L} \quad (3.18)
\]

Correspondingly, for the physical free energy of the system, \( f(\beta) = f(\beta, m_*) \), we obtain the following result:

\[
f(\beta) = \begin{cases} \frac{D}{2\beta} \ln \beta - \frac{1}{4} \beta - \frac{D}{2\beta \beta_c} (\beta - \beta_c) \ln (1 + \beta_c L) & \text{for } \beta > \beta_c \\ \frac{D}{2\beta} \ln \beta - \frac{1}{4} \beta & \text{for } \beta \leq \beta_c \end{cases} \quad (3.19)
\]

One can easily verify that direct (without replicas) calculation of the free energy

\[
f(\beta) = -\frac{1}{\beta N} \ln \left( \prod_{i=1}^{N} \int d^p x_i \exp \{-\beta H[x_i]\} \right) \quad (3.20)
\]

yields the same result as in eq.(3.19) in the high-temperature region, \( \beta \leq \beta_c \). Thus, plain integration over all particles positions (as it is done in the direct calculations, eq.(3.20)) correctly describes only the high-temperature (liquid) phase, but it completely misses the ”condensation” of the particles into the solid (glassy) phase at \( \beta > \beta_c \).

The order parameter which describes the correlations of the particles inside the replica ”molecules” in the glassy phase can be defined in the standard way:

\[
Q = \langle (u^a - u^b)^2 \rangle \quad (3.21)
\]

where \( a \neq b \). Since all the replicas are equivalent we can also define \( Q \) as follows:

\[
Q = \frac{1}{m(m-1)} \sum_{a,b}^{m} \langle (u^a - u^b)^2 \rangle \quad (3.22)
\]

Using the constraint, eq.(2.6), we get:

\[
Q = \frac{2Dm}{(m-1)} \langle (u^a)^2 \rangle \quad (3.23)
\]

where the replica number \( a \) and the space vector component \( \alpha \) are arbitrary. Note that the above definition of the order parameter is valid only for the glassy phase at \( m < 1 \). In the liquid phase \( (m \equiv 1) \) the positions of the particles are not correlated by definition.
Proceeding similarly to the calculation of the replica partition function, (eq.(3.12)), one finds:

$$\langle (u_a^a)^2 \rangle = \frac{\left[ \prod_{a=1}^{m} \int d^D \mathbf{u}^a \right] \delta \left( \sum_{a=1}^{m} \mathbf{u}^a \right) \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{\beta}^2 m + \frac{\beta}{L}) \sum_{a=1}^{m} (\mathbf{u}^a)^2 \right)}{\left[ \prod_{a=1}^{m} \int d^D \mathbf{u}^a \right] \delta \left( \sum_{a=1}^{m} \mathbf{u}^a \right) \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{\beta}^2 m + \frac{\beta}{L}) \sum_{a=1}^{m} (\mathbf{u}^a)^2 \right)}$$  (3.24)

Straightforward calculations yield:

$$\langle (u_a^a)^2 \rangle = \frac{m - 1}{\beta m (\beta m - L^{-1})}$$  (3.25)

Correspondingly for the order parameter, eq.(3.23), we get:

$$Q = \frac{2D}{\beta (\beta m - L^{-1})}$$  (3.26)

Substituting here the saddle-point value of \(m = m_*(\beta)\) obtained above, eq.(3.16), at \(L \gg 1\) we eventually find:

$$Q \simeq \frac{2D}{\beta \sqrt{2D \ln L}} = \frac{2D}{\beta \beta_c}$$  (3.27)

We see that in the whole low-temperature region at \(\beta > \beta_c \simeq \sqrt{2D \ln L} \gg 1\) the value of the order parameter \(Q\) (as well as the value of the typical deviation \(\langle (u_a^a)^2 \rangle\)) remains small, which justify the approximation made in calculation of the replica partition function, eq.(3.12), as well as the whole original idea of splitting the degrees of freedom into the centers of masses of the replica "molecules" and the small deviations \(u^a\), eqs.(2.5)-(2.6).

4 Discussion

According to eq.(3.21) the order parameter \(Q\) describes the typical correlations of the particles belonging to the same replica molecule. On the other hand, according to the procedure described in Section 2, the positions of the particles belonging to different molecules remain non-correlated, and the value of the the fraction of the particles bounded in molecules is controlled by the parameter \(m_*(\beta)\). According to the standard physical interpretation of the replica parameters (see e.g. [3],[6]), the fraction of particles localized in space (a kind of a "glass condensate") must be equal to \((1 - m_*(\beta)) = 1 - \beta_c / \beta\), and the fraction of particles which remain free to move must be equal to \(m_*(\beta) = \beta_c / \beta\). Physically the order parameter \(Q\) describes the typical value of the space fluctuations of the localized particles around their equilibrium positions.

It is interesting to note that the value of the order parameter \(Q\) computed in the previous Section remains finite in the whole low-temperature (glassy) phase including the phase transition point. In particular, according to eq.(3.27) at \(\beta = \beta_c\) we have:

$$Q_c \simeq \frac{1}{\ln L}$$  (4.1)
and $Q(T \to 0) \to 0$. Note also that in the high-temperature phase this order parameter is not
defined: in terms of replicas it can be defined only for $m \neq 1$ while at $\beta < \beta_c$, $m_*(\beta) \equiv 1$, and in
physical terms it describes the fluctuation of the localized particles which are just absent at $\beta < \beta_c$.

Thus, here we face the typical scenario of the phase transition with one-step replica symmetry
breaking well known after the random energy model of spin glasses \[5\]. On one hand, in terms of
the free energy and others thermodynamical functions this is the phase transition of the second
order (the free energy function is continuous at $T_c$ and it has a singularity only in the second
derivative over the temperature, see eq.(3.19)), while on the other hand, the order parameter which
characterizes the low-temperature phase has a finite value right at $T_c$ (which corresponds to the
first-order phase transitions).

In physical terms the present phase transition can be described as follows. Above $T_c$ all the
particles of the system are delocalized and the system is in the liquid phase. Just below $T_c$ the
glassy phase is characterized by a small fraction (equal to $1 - T/T_c$) of particles which become
localized at random positions in space such that their thermal fluctuations around these equilibrium
positions described by the order parameter $Q$ have a finite (small) value right at $T_c$, eq.(1.1). At
lowering the temperature the fraction of localized particles increases as $(1 - T/T_c)$ while the value
of their thermal fluctuations around the localized positions decrease as $Q(T) \approx 2D_T T$, eq.(3.27).
Eventually, at $T \to 0$ all the particles of the system become localized in a random positions (without
thermal fluctuations) such that the system turns into perfectly frozen glass state.

Of course, from the physical point of view the present model has two very important defects
which makes it not more than a "toy model" of the glass transition. First, it has completely non-
physical long-range structure of the interactions between particles. It this property (like in the SK
model of spin-glasses) which makes the model solvable in terms of the mean-field approach. Second,
the particles in this model are point-like. Although formally, due to the proper normalization of
the parameters of the Hamiltonian, the mean-field thermodynamic limit in this model is quite
reasonable (see calculations of Section 3), nevertheless, from the physical point of view it looks
very strange: here we have an infinite number of particles which are confined inside a finite volume.
Presumably this last drawback could be cured by introducing a finite size for the particles involved.
On one hand, this should not ruin the mean-field nature of the model, while on the other hand, it
would make the thermodynamic limit much more reasonable, where both the number of particles
and the volume of the system are taken infinite while the density of the particles remains finite.
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