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Abstract
Summary This study investigated the association between mild cognitive impairment (MCI) and physical function and bone health in older adults. MCI was associated with poor physical performance but not bone mineral density or bone microarchitecture.

Purpose Cross-sectional study to investigate the association between mild cognitive impairment (MCI) and physical performance, and bone health, in a community-dwelling cohort of older adults.

Methods Cognitive function of 222 men and 221 women (mean age 75.5 and 75.8 years in men and women, respectively) was assessed by the Strawbridge questionnaire and Mini Mental State Exam (MMSE). Participants underwent dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA), peripheral-quantitative computed tomography (pQCT) and high-resolution peripheral-quantitative computed tomography (HR-pQCT) scans to assess their bone density, strength and microarchitecture. Their physical function was assessed and a physical performance (PP) score was recorded.

Results In the study, 11.8% of women and 8.1% of men were cognitively impaired on the MMSE (score < 24). On the Strawbridge questionnaire, 24% of women were deemed cognitively impaired compared to 22.3% of men. Cognitive impairment on the Strawbridge questionnaire was associated with poorer physical performance score in men but not in women in the unadjusted analysis. MMSE < 24 was strongly associated with the risk of low physical performance in men (OR 12.9, 95% CI 1.67, 99.8, $p = 0.01$). Higher MMSE score was associated with better physical performance in both sexes. Poorer cognitive function, whether assessed by the Strawbridge questionnaire, or by MMSE score, was not associated with bone density, shape or microarchitecture, in either sex.

Conclusion MCI in older adults was associated with poor physical performance, but not bone density, shape or microarchitecture.

Keywords Mild cognitive impairment · Physical performance · Bone parameters · Epidemiology

Introduction

Mild cognitive impairment (MCI) is a heterogeneous state between normal ageing and early dementia [1]. The prevalence of MCI is rising as the population ages. It has been estimated to affect 19% of individuals aged 65 and over [2]. While cognitive decline is a well-documented clinical hallmark of MCI, changes in physical health are also apparent during the course of MCI and include increased physical fragility and reduced physical performance [3–6], resulting in individuals being at increased risk of injuries [7].

Emerging data suggest bone mineral density (BMD) is reduced in severely cognitively impaired individuals, compared to cognitively normal individuals of a similar age [8]. Although it has been suggested that there may be a link...
between cognitive impairment and BMD [9], little is known about bone loss rates in MCI subjects. The majority of evidence to date has been derived from studies set in long-term care/nursing homes and hospitals [7, 10–12] where individuals are frail and severely cognitively impaired. The purpose of this study was to further investigate whether an association exists between MCI and both bone health and physical performance status in a well-established community-dwelling cohort of older adults. If MCI was associated with reduced bone density, this might be considered an important risk factor for fracture that could be addressed in treatment algorithms that included anti-osteoporosis therapy.

**Methods**

The Hertfordshire Cohort Study (HCS) is a population-based UK cohort of older adults. Study design and recruitment have been described in detail previously [13]. In brief, we traced men and women born between 1931 and 1939 in Hertfordshire and who still lived there in 1998–2003. A nurse-administered questionnaire, which included details of socioeconomic status and dietary calcium intake, was conducted at this time. In 2011–2012, 443 participants consented to a home visit by a trained research nurse. At this visit, a nurse-administered questionnaire was again administered which included details of smoking status, alcohol consumption and physical activity (average minutes per day spent walking, cycling, gardening, playing sport and doing housework in the last 2 weeks). Height was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm and weight to the nearest 0.1 kg on a SECA floor scale (Chasmors Ltd., London, UK). Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight divided by square of height (kg/m²).

All assessments detailed below were performed in 2011/2012.

**Cognitive function assessment**

Participants completed a Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE) [14] and Strawbridge questionnaire. The Strawbridge questionnaire consisted of 16 questions assessing four functional domains: physical, nutritive, cognitive and sensory. The cognitive domain of the questionnaire was scored positive when difficulty was reported often or very often for paying attention, finding the right word, remembering things or remembering where something was put [15].

**Physical performance assessment**

Hand grip strength was measured using a Jamar handheld dynamometer [16]. Participants undertook three physical performance tasks: (1) gait speed [17], (2) repetitive sit-to-stand [18] and (3) modified Tinetti balance test [19]. A physical performance score was scored according to Guralnik et al. [20]. Participants were given scores of 0 to 4, with best performance scoring 4. The scores for three physical performance tasks were then summed. The maximum possible score was 12 and the minimum was 0. In keeping with previous work [20], those with a score equal to or lower than 9 were deemed as having low physical performance.

**Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA)**

A DXA scan was performed to measure BMC (g) and aBMD (g/cm²) at the non-dominant hip using a Lunar Prodigy Advanced Scanner, UK. Positioning for all scans was completed in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions. The effective dose to the subject during each scan was 0.009 μSv.

**Peripheral quantitative computed tomography (pQCT)**

Distal radial and tibial pQCT scans were performed on the non-dominant side except when it had previously fractured (Stratec 2000 instrument, voxel size 80 μm). A scout view was performed on the lower leg to place a reference from which measurement sites are determined. Two slices were taken in the radial scan (4 and 66%). Four slices were taken for the lower leg scan (4, 14, 38 and 66%). Measurements were taken from both the radius and tibia total bone areas (TBAs), trabecular bone mineral density (tBMD), cortical bone mineral density (cBMD) and cortical bone area (cBA). Short-term measurement precision error was expressed as a coefficient of variation, ranged from 0.88% (total tibial density, 4% slice) to 8.8% (total radial area, 66% slice), but was typically between 1 and 3%. These figures were obtained using images acquired from 20 volunteers who were part of the study and hence were representative of the study population. The effective dose to the subject during each scan was 0.01 μSv.

**High-resolution peripheral quantitative tomography (HR-pQCT)**

Each participant had measurements of the non-dominant distal radius and distal tibia using HR-pQCT (XtremeCT, Scanco Medical AG, Switzerland) except when it had previously been fractured in which case the dominant side was scanned. A total of 110 slices were obtained which represented a volume of bone 9 mm in axial length with a nominal resolution (voxel size) of 82 μm. Antero-posterior 2D scout views were performed to determine the region to be scanned. All scans were
performed in keeping with the manufacturer’s guidelines and as described by Boutroy et al. [21].

Image analysis was carried out using the standard manufacturer’s method which has been described in detail previously [22]. Analysis produced the following outcomes: total BMD (Tt.vBMD, g/cm³), trabecular BMD (Tb.vBMD, g/cm³), trabecular number (Tb.N, per mm), trabecular thickness (Tb.Th, μm), trabecular separation (Tb.Sp, μm), cortical area (Ct.area, mm²), cortical density (Ct.vBMD, g/cm³), cortical porosity (Ct.Po, %) and cortical thickness (Ct.Th, μm). Short-term precision values for cortical and trabecular BMD have been shown to range from 0.3 to 1.2 [23]. The effective dose to the subject during each scan was < 3 μSv.

Results

Study participants and characteristics

The characteristics of the study participants are displayed in Table 1. The mean (SD) age of participants was 75.5 (2.5) and 75.8 (2.6) years in men and women, respectively. On average, men were taller (p < 0.001) and heavier (p < 0.001) than women but BMI did not differ significantly by sex.

MMSE and Strawbridge questionnaire assessments

The median (IQR) MMSE score was 28 (25–29) for both men and women. In the study, 11.8% of women were cognitively impaired on the MMSE (score < 24), compared to 8.1% of men. On the Strawbridge questionnaire, 24% of women were deemed cognitively impaired compared to 22.3% of men.

Associations between cognitive impairment and physical performance outcomes

Men had a greater mean maximum grip strength compared to women, 36.1 and 21.3 kg, respectively (p < 0.001). Gait speed was faster in men than in women: 0.78 vs 0.73 m/s (p = 0.006). The median time for the repetitive sit-to-stand test in men (15.8 s, IQR 13.6–18.8) was less than that for women (17.0 s, IQR 13.8–20.2), p = 0.05. Fifty-six (26.3%) women were unable to perform the Tinetti balance test for greater than 10 s, compared to 43 (20.1%) men (p = 0.13). One hundred and forty-one women (71.2%) had a physical performance score of 9 or less, compared to 116 men (56.9%) (p = 0.003).

Table 2 shows the associations between being cognitively impaired as defined by the Strawbridge questionnaire and physical performance outcomes in men and women.

Cognitive impairment on the Strawbridge questionnaire was associated with poorer physical performance score in men but not in women in the unadjusted analysis. In women, cognitive impairment on the Strawbridge questionnaire was associated with a weaker grip strength; however, statistical significant was lost when confounders were adjusted for. Table 3 shows the associations between MMSE score (and MMSE score < 24) and physical performance outcomes in men and women.

In women, MMSE score was associated with gait speed, physical performance score and higher prevalence of low physical performance score (≤ 9) following adjustments for confounders. As in men, MMSE score was associated with physical performance score. However, following adjustment for confounders, this association became non-significant. In men, MMSE score < 24 was associated with poorer gait speed, repetitive sit-to-stand, modified Tinetti balance test and physical performance score. In men,
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Exclusion criteria

Study participants who were taking drugs that are known to alter bone metabolism (e.g. bisphosphonates) were excluded from the study. However, women who were taking hormone replacement therapy (HRT) were allowed to participate.

Statistical analysis

Variables were assessed for normality and transformed where necessary using the Fisher-Yates rank-based inverse normal transformation to create z-scores (FY z-scores). Descriptive statistics for continuous variables were expressed as mean and standard deviation (SD) or median and interquartile range (IQR). Categorical variables were expressed as frequency and percentage (%). Differences between men and women were assessed using Student’s t tests, Mann-Whitney tests or Pearson’s χ² tests, as appropriate. Linear and logistic regression analyses were used to examine the associations between being cognitively frail on the Strawbridge Cognitive Domain and MMSE score and physical performance, and bone outcomes. The regression analyses were undertaken with and without adjustment for the following lifestyle confounders: age, BMI, social class, smoker status, alcohol consumption, physical activity and dietary calcium intake, and, in women only, years since menopause and HRT use. Statistical analyses were performed using STATA, version 14.
MMSE < 24 was strongly associated with the risk of low physical performance (OR 12.9, 95% CI 1.67, 99.8, \( p = 0.01 \)), although we were unable to run adjusted models due to low numbers.

**Associations between cognitive impairment on bone parameters**

Bone mineral content (BMC) and bone mineral density (BMD) were higher in men than in women at both hip and spine (data not shown). Male mean radial (4 and 66% slices) and tibial (4, 14, 38 and 66% slices) bone parameters as assessed by pQCT and HRpQCT scans were significantly greater than those in females \( (p < 0.001) \). Few statistically significant relationships were found between DXA, pQCT or HRpQCT outcomes and either cognitive frailty, as assessed by the Strawbridge questionnaire, or MMSE score in both men and women (unadjusted and adjusted, data not shown).

**Discussion**

In this study, we utilised the Strawbridge cognitive domain and MMSE to investigate the potential association between cognitive impairment and both physical performance status, and bone mineral density and architecture in a community-dwelling cohort of elderly adults. We have shown MCI is associated with poor physical performance, but not bone density or microarchitecture in this cohort. It is recognised that physical performance decline is one of the most important predictors of future adverse health consequences such as disability, institutionalisation, hospitalisation and need for home healthcare assistance [24]. Thus, given the findings of this study, and the magnitude and speed of population ageing, identification of individuals with MCI is an important health priority.

Decreasing physical activity (PA) or maintaining a persistent physically inactive lifestyle is associated with greater decline in physical performance [25]. Furthermore, studies have

---

**Table 1 Baseline characteristics of study participants**

| Characteristics                                | Men                      | Women                    | \( p \) value |
|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| \( N \)                                        | \( \text{Mean} \) SD     | \( N \) \( \text{Mean} \) SD |              |
| Age (years)                                   | 222 75.5 2.5             | 221 75.8 2.6             | 0.280        |
| Height (cm)                                   | 221 172.7 6.5            | 217 158.8 6.1            | < 0.001      |
| Weight (kg)                                   | 221 82.2 1.2             | 221 70.2 1.2             | < 0.001      |
| BMI (kg/m\(^2\))                              | 221 27.9 3.9             | 217 28.4 5.1             | 0.252        |
| Activity time in last 2 weeks (min/day)       | 205 176.4 105.0–270.0    | 210 199.6 135.0–282.9    | 0.089        |
| Daily dietary calcium intake (mg)             | 222 1221 1021–1432       | 221 1105 939–1281        | < 0.001      |
| Alcohol consumption (units per week)          | 222 6.5 1.0–14.0         | 221 0.5 0.0–3.5          | < 0.001      |
| Smoker status                                 | 85 38.3 142 64.3         |                          | < 0.001      |
| Ex                                            | 126 56.8 73 33.0         |                          |              |
| Current                                       | 11 5.0 6 2.7            |                          |              |
| Social class                                  | 89 42.2 100 45.2         |                          | 0.520        |
| I–IIINM                                       | 122 57.8 121 54.8        |                          |              |
| Years since menopause                         |                          | 218                      |              |
| < 20 years                                    |                          | 23 10.6                  |              |
| \( \geq 20 \) to < 25 years                  |                          | 53 24.3                  |              |
| \( \geq 25 \) to < 30 years                  |                          | 45 20.6                  |              |
| \( \geq 30 \) to < 35 years                  |                          | 24 11.0                  |              |
| \( \geq 35 \)                                 |                          | 11 5.0                   |              |
| Hysterectomy                                   |                          | 62 28.4                  |              |
| HRT use                                       |                          | 213                      |              |
| Never                                         |                          | 116 54.5                 |              |
| 5 years or more ago                           |                          | 91 42.7                  |              |
| Current                                       |                          | 6 2.8                    |              |
Cognitive impairment as defined by the Strawbridge questionnaire as an explanatory variable for physical performance outcomes

Table 2

|                  | Men Unadjusted | Adjusted* | Women Unadjusted | Adjusted* |
|------------------|----------------|-----------|------------------|-----------|
|                  | N              | Regression | 95% CI           | p value   | N        | Regression | 95% CI           | p value   |
| Grip (kg)        | 219            | -1.10     | (-3.46, 1.26)    | 0.361     | 191      | 0.12      | (-2.15, 2.39)    | 0.919     |
| Gait speed (FY z-score) | 205            | -0.28     | (-0.62, 0.05)    | 0.094     | 178      | -0.21     | (-0.55, 0.12)    | 0.216     |
| Repetitive sit-to-stand (FY z-score) | 194            | -0.01     | (-0.37, 0.35)    | 0.963     | 170      | 0.00      | (-0.36, 0.35)    | 0.995     |
| Physical performance score (FY z-score) | 202            | -0.38     | (-0.77, 0.00)    | 0.050     | 177      | -0.34     | (-0.71, 0.03)    | 0.072     |
| Tinetti balance test < 10 s | 212            | 1.79      | (0.84, 3.81)     | 0.129     | 188      | 1.40      | (0.60, 3.28)     | 0.440     |
| Low physical performance score | 202            | 2.01      | (0.96, 4.23)     | 0.065     | 177      | 2.46      | (0.98, 6.15)     | 0.055     |
| Odds ratio       | N              | Odds ratio | 95% CI           | p value   | N        | Odds ratio | 95% CI           | p value   |
| Tinetti balance test < 10 s | 212            | 1.79      | (0.84, 3.81)     | 0.129     | 188      | 1.40      | (0.60, 3.28)     | 0.440     |
| Low physical performance score | 202            | 2.01      | (0.96, 4.23)     | 0.065     | 177      | 2.46      | (0.98, 6.15)     | 0.055     |

*Adjusted for age, BMI, social class, smoker status, alcohol consumption, physical activity, dietary calcium intake and years since menopause and HRT use in women

...
Table 3  MMSE scores and MMSE score < 24 as explanatory variables for physical performance outcomes

| MMSE score                        | Unadjusted | Adjusted<sup>a</sup> | Unadjusted | Adjusted<sup>a</sup> | Unadjusted | Adjusted<sup>a</sup> | Unadjusted | Adjusted<sup>a</sup> |
|-----------------------------------|------------|----------------------|------------|----------------------|------------|----------------------|------------|----------------------|
| N                                 | Regression coefficient | 95% CI | p value | N                                 | Regression coefficient | 95% CI | p value | N                                 | Regression coefficient | 95% CI | p value | N                                 | Regression coefficient | 95% CI | p value |
| Grip (kg)                         | 221 0.32   | (−0.05, 0.69)       | 0.091      | 193 0.04             | (−0.33, 0.41)       | 0.827      | 221 0.00             | (−0.29, 0.29)       | 0.996      | 196 0.11             | (−0.45, 0.23)       | 0.517      |  |
| Gait speed (FY z-score)           | 207 0.04   | (−0.01, 0.09)       | 0.122      | 180 0.03             | (−0.02, 0.08)       | 0.224      | 205 0.08             | (0.02, 0.13)       | 0.004      | 181 0.06             | (0.01, 0.11)       | 0.017      |  |
| Repetitive sit-to-stand (FY z-score) | 196 −0.04 | (−0.10, 0.01)      | 0.120      | 172 −0.04            | (−0.09, 0.01)       | 0.155      | 187 −0.01            | (−0.07, 0.05)       | 0.746      | 167 −0.01            | (−0.07, 0.06)       | 0.793      |  |
| Physical performance score (FY z-score) | 204 0.06 | (0.00, 0.12)        | 0.049      | 179 0.05             | (−0.00, 0.11)       | 0.555      | 198 0.10             | (0.05, 0.16)       | <0.001     | 174 0.07             | (0.01, 0.13)       | 0.012      |  |
| Tinetti balance test < 10 s       | 214 0.94   | (0.83, 1.06)        | 0.307      | 190 0.93             | (0.82, 1.07)       | 0.320      | 213 0.87             | (0.78, 0.97)       | 0.013      | 188 0.93             | (0.81, 1.08)       | 0.353      |  |
| Low physical performance score    | 204 0.89   | (0.79, 1.00)        | 0.046      | 179 0.88             | (0.77, 1.01)       | 0.079      | 198 0.83             | (0.72, 0.96)       | 0.009      | 174 0.84             | (0.71, 0.99)       | 0.042      |  |
| MMSE score < 24                   |            |                      |            |                      |            |                      |            |                      |
| N                                 | Regression coefficient | 95% CI | p value | N                                 | Regression coefficient | 95% CI | p value | N                                 | Regression coefficient | 95% CI | p value | N                                 | Regression coefficient | 95% CI | p value |
| Grip (kg)                         | 221 −2.66  | (−6.22, 0.89)       | 0.141      | 193 0.91             | (−2.74, 4.56)       | 0.624      | 221 −0.61            | (−3.06, 1.84)       | 0.626      | 196 0.27             | (−2.28, 2.83)       | 0.834      |  |
| Gait speed (FY 7-score)           | 207 −0.90  | (−1.40, −0.39)      | 0.001      | 180 −0.73            | (−1.27, −0.20)       | 0.007      | 205 −0.34            | (−0.76, 0.08)       | 0.110      | 181 0.30             | (−0.69, 0.09)       | 0.128      |  |
| Repetitive sit-to-stand (FY 7-score) | 196 0.82  | (0.29, 1.34)        | 0.002      | 172 0.53             | (−0.03, 1.09)       | 0.005      | 187 0.05             | (−0.42, 0.52)       | 0.822      | 167 0.11             | (−0.40, 0.62)       | 0.667      |  |
| Physical performance score (FY 2-score) | 204 −1.07 | (−1.62, −0.52)        | <0.001     | 179 −0.82            | (−1.38, −0.26)       | 0.004      | 198 −0.54            | (−1.03, −0.06)       | 0.029      | 174 −0.42            | (−0.89, 0.06)       | 0.087      |  |
| Tinetti balance test < 10 s       | 214 3.13   | (1.12, 8.78)        | 0.030      | 190 2.33             | (0.67, 8.07)       | 0.183      | 213 2.06             | (0.87, 4.89)       | 0.103      | 188 1.42             | (0.50, 4.02)       | 0.507      |  |
| Low physical performance score<sup>b</sup> | 204 12.92   | (1.67, 99.81)      | 0.014      |                      |                      |            |                      |                      |            |                      |                      |            |  |

<sup>a</sup> Adjusted for age, BMI, social class, smoker status, alcohol consumption, physical activity, dietary calcium intake and years since menopause and HRT use in women

<sup>b</sup> Numbers were very low for the MMSE < 24 vs. low physical performance score in men and were insufficient to run the adjusted model
activity group showed significantly greater scores on the MMSE. Finally, malnutrition must be considered as a possible contributing factor in the development of sarcopenia or presarcopenia in adults with MCI; this can be targeted in interventions as appropriate [34]. These findings suggest not only a link between MCI and physical performance, but that intervention is feasible and beneficial in a range of populations.

Much of the available literature reporting associations between cognitive impairment and physical performance have been performed in Asian populations [35–40]. Decreased physical performance, as assessed by the short performance battery test, was associated with subsequent cognitive decline in 298 subjects of mean age 72 years at baseline [35] and a high prevalence of sarcopenia (> 20%) was recognised in Japanese subjects attending a memory loss clinic [36]. Reduced muscle strength and function was demonstrated in a Taiwanese population where the prevalence of sarcopenia was 7% [37] while sarcopenia was significantly associated with cognitive impairment and depressive symptoms among otherwise healthy older men living in a Taiwanese veterans retirement community [38]. While in another study that considered relationships between metabolic and atherosclerotic disease with outcomes in a Chinese population, cognitive impairment, high waist-hip ratio, diabetes, stroke and heart disease were all independently associated with higher physical frailty with adjustment for age, physical activity level and appendicular skeletal muscle mass [39]. In a final study of Chinese older adults > 65 years, the cognitively impaired group had weaker grip strength and performed worse in the physical function tests [40]. In rare European data, among some 1600 UK men enrolled in the British Regional Heart Study, compared with participants in the normal cognitive ageing group, participants with significant cognitive impairment were more likely to have a BMI > 30 and to be sarcopenic [41].

One of the limitations of this study is the lack of a standardised diagnostic tool for MCI. Therefore, by using the Strawbridge questionnaire and MMSE, we may have under- or overestimated MCI in our cohort. Additionally, our analyses are cross-sectional, and longitudinal data are now needed. Finally, appreciation that the Strawbridge questionnaire provides a subjective measure of cognitive impairment must be made. By contrast, one of the study’s strengths is the study population. The individuals recruited were a non-selective community-dwelling population who were born in Hertfordshire and continued to live there at the age of 60–75 years. HCS characteristic and mortality patterns have previously been demonstrated to be similar to those of England [42], thus generalisation to the wider population of older adults can be made. Using standardised batteries for physical performance tests and bone mineral architecture analysis which have well-known predictive ability for adverse health outcomes in older adults was another strength.

Longitudinal studies objectively assessing the full spectrum of cognitive impairment within a community-dwelling population would be beneficial to confirm our results. Additionally, it may identify which stage of cognitive impairment is associated with increased falls and reduction in bone mineral architecture.

Conclusions

This study suggests mild cognitive impairment in elderly community-dwelling adults is associated with poor physical performance especially in men but not altered bone density or microarchitecture.
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