Biofortification of field-grown cassava by engineering expression of an iron transporter and ferritin
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Less than 10% of the estimated average requirement (EAR) for iron and zinc is provided by consumption of storage roots of the staple crop cassava (Manihot esculenta Crantz) in West African human populations. We used genetic engineering to improve mineral micronutrient concentrations in cassava. Overexpression of the Arabidopsis thaliana vacuolar iron transporter VIT1 in cassava accumulated three- to seven-times-higher levels of iron in transgenic storage roots than nontransgenic controls in confined field trials in Puerto Rico. Plants engineered to coexpress a mutated A. thaliana iron transporter (IRT1) and A. thaliana ferritin (FER1) accumulated iron levels 7–18 times higher and zinc levels 3–10 times higher than those in nontransgenic controls in the field. Growth parameters and storage-root yields were unaffected by transgenic fortification in our field data. Measures of retention and bioaccessibility of iron and zinc in processed transgenic cassava indicated that IRT1 + FER1 plants could provide 40–50% of the EAR for iron and 60–70% of the EAR for zinc in 1- to 6-year-old children and nonlactating, nonpregnant West African women.

Micronutrient deficiency poses a threat to human health worldwide. An estimated 161 million children under 5 years of age are stunted, partly because of hidden hunger, which occurs when foodstuffs lack essential vitamins and minerals¹. In Nigeria, 75% of preschool children and 67% of pregnant women are anemic², and 20% of children under 5 years of age have zinc deficiency³. Iron-deficiency anemia affects the immune system, stunts growth and impairs cognitive development⁴. Zinc deficiency causes increased risk of death from diarrhea, stunting and hindered cognitive development⁵. Biofortification of staple food crops through biotechnology is one of several strategies for improving essential micronutrients in foods for at-risk populations⁶. Approximately 800 million people worldwide consume the tropical root crop cassava, and one-third of the sub-Saharan African population relies on cassava for more than 50% of their caloric intake⁷. Although cassava is an excellent source of carbohydrate, the storage roots provide inadequate levels of bioavailable iron and zinc⁸. A lack of genetic variation for mineral traits within the cassava germplasm⁹ makes breeding new lines with improved mineral content challenging. A genetic engineering strategy has been undertaken to increase iron and zinc concentrations in cassava storage roots⁹.

Genetic engineering has been successfully applied to increase mineral concentrations in cereal crops, including rice. Iron concentrations in polished rice grains have been increased by overexpressing the soybean or rice storage protein ferritin¹⁰ and coexpressing Arabidopsis nicotianamine synthase, common bean ferritin and Aspergillus phytase¹¹. Overexpression of AtIRT1, AtNAS1 and bean FERRITIN in rice resulted in 3.8-fold higher iron and 1.8-fold higher zinc concentrations than in the wild-type control¹². Recently, overexpression of the soybean ferritin SFR-H1 and rice nicotianamine synthase OsNAS2 has achieved dietary targets for both iron and zinc nutrition in rice grains¹³. Despite successes in rice, reports of engineering-improved mineral biofortification in dicotyledonous plants are rare and are mainly restricted to the model plant A. thaliana. Nongrass plants use a reduction-based mechanism for iron acquisition¹⁴ mediated by the plasma-membrane-bound oxidoreductase FRO2, and the ZIP-family transporter IRT1. Transgenic overexpression of the algal iron assimilatory protein FEA1 has resulted in a threefold increase in storage-root iron concentrations in greenhouse-grown cassava¹⁵, but these promising results were not maintained in field trials. Increased zinc concentrations in storage roots have been achieved by overexpression of the A. thaliana (At) zinc transporters AtZIP1 and AtMTP1, but shoot development in transgenic plants is impaired¹⁶.

We previously found that overexpression of the A. thaliana vacuolar iron transporter VIT1 in cassava results in a three- to four-times increase in iron concentration in storage roots compared with the concentrations in nontransgenic controls under greenhouse conditions¹⁷. Here, we report that coexpression of a mutant A. thaliana iron transporter (IRT1)¹⁸ and ferritin (FER1) generates transgenic cassava plants that accumulate iron and zinc in storage roots to substantial levels in the human diet. Data from field-grown VIT1 and IRT1 + FER1 transgenic lines in Puerto Rico field trials (2014–2017) indicate that both technologies result in cassava storage roots and foodstuffs with elevated iron and zinc levels that may beneficially affect the nutritional status of consumers.

We designed IRT1 and FER1 expression cassettes to improve mineral uptake, by placing AtIRT1 (ref. ¹³) under control of the A14 promoter, and to store iron in plastids, by expressing AtFER1 (ref. ¹³) under control of the patatin type 1 promoter (Supplementary Fig. 1a). Transgenic cassava plants of cultivar TME 204 coexpressing IRT1 and FER1 mRNA were established in the greenhouse. The shoot and
storage-root growth phenotypes were similar for all transgenic and nontransgenic control lines during 16 weeks of growth (Supplementary Fig. 1b–g). The presence of IRT1 and FER1 transgenes in the leaves of 4-week-old plants was confirmed by PCR (Supplementary Fig. 2a). Southern blot analyses verified the integration of the IRT1 and FER1 transgenes at one or two copies of the transfer DNA (T-DNA; Supplementary Fig. 2b), and mRNA expression of IRT1 and FER1 was confirmed by RT–qPCR in leaves, fibrous roots and storage roots of transgenic plants (Supplementary Fig. 2c–h). Inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (ICP–OES) analysis revealed that the storage roots of IRT1 + FER1 transgenic plants had five- to six-times-higher iron and zinc concentrations than the storage roots of nontransgenic controls (Supplementary Fig. 1j,k). The maximum iron accumulation reached 55 ± 13 µg/g dry weight (DW; mean ± s.d.) compared with 10 ± 2 µg/g DW for storage roots of nontransgenic plants (Supplementary Fig. 1j), and 26 ± 12 µg/g DW zinc compared with 5 ± 1 µg/g DW zinc in the nontransgenic controls (Supplementary Fig. 1k). IRT1 + FER1 transgenic plants had leaf iron concentrations two to three times higher than those of nontransgenic controls (Supplementary Fig. 1h), but no increase in zinc concentration was observed in foliar tissues (Supplementary Fig. 1i). The total iron and zinc content was determined in leaves, petioles, stem, fibrous roots and storage-root peels to assess whether the elevated mineral levels in the storage-root parenchyma resulted from depletion in other organs. When assessed as whole plants, IRT1 + FER1 transgenic lines, compared with nontransgenic controls, showed significantly higher (P ≤ 0.01) total iron and zinc content, by up to five and two times, respectively (Supplementary Fig. 3a,b). The maximum total iron accumulation reached 7,059 ± 204 µg iron in transgenic line 8023-14 compared with 1,311 ± 38 µg iron in nontransgenic plants. The iron content increased in all organs except fibrous roots, and the greatest increase occurred in storage roots (Supplementary Fig. 3a). The zinc content was increased in storage roots, root peels and stems, but not in leaves, petioles or fibrous roots of IRT1 + FER1 plants (Supplementary Fig. 3b).

IRT1 and IRT1 + FER1 transgenic lines were evaluated in confined field trials at Isabela field station, University of Puerto Rico (Supplementary Fig. 4). Over a 12-month trial period, no significant differences were found between VIT1 transgenic plants and nontransgenic controls for root or shoot biomass, storage-root dry-matter content, number of roots, harvest index or linamarin concentration (Fig. 1 and Supplementary Fig. 5). For IRT1 + FER1 transgenic plants, 12 of the 17 lines tested in the field generated storage-root yields (Fig. 1g) and shoot yields (Supplementary Fig. 6a) comparable to those of nontransgenic controls, and there were no significant differences in the number of storage roots, harvest index, dry matter or total linamarin concentration (Supplementary Fig. 6).

Mineral accumulation was determined in the storage roots of field-grown plants. We previously reported that cassava plants expressing AtVIT1 accumulated up to 48 µg/g DW iron in storage roots under greenhouse conditions, a level three to four times higher than that in nontransgenic controls12. Similar results were seen in field-grown materials, in which 10 of the 15 VIT1 lines had iron concentrations three to seven times higher, reaching a maximum of 60 ± 7 µg/g DW (Fig. 2a). No elevation in zinc concentration was observed in the storage roots produced by VIT1 transgenic plants (Fig. 2b). All 17 IRT1 + FER1 transgenic lines grown in the field accumulated significantly elevated levels of iron (P ≤ 0.001) in their storage roots, which reached 130 ± 39 µg/g DW, an 18-fold increase over the 7.2 ± 3 µg/g DW in nontransgenic controls (Fig. 2c). Fifteen IRT1 + FER1 transgenic lines also had significantly elevated levels of storage-root zinc (P ≤ 0.001), and line 8023-19 reached a maximum of 103 ± 30 µg/g DW, a level ten times higher than that in nontransgenic controls (Fig. 2d). The elevated iron in these storage roots was positively correlated with an elevation of zinc concentration (r = 0.64; Fig. 2c,d). VIT1 transgenic plants showed a minor but significant elevation in copper, manganese and nickel concentrations (Supplementary Fig. 7), but the cadmium concentrations were below the detection limits. Likewise, IRT1 + FER1 plants had elevated copper and manganese concentrations (Supplementary Fig. 8), but the nickel and cadmium concentrations were below the detection limits (data not shown).

The IRT1 transporter would be expected to drive iron and zinc uptake from the soil, and FER1 would be expected to provide a sink for iron storage13. Native IRT1 is a high-affinity ferrous-ion transporter necessary for metal uptake and is upregulated under low-iron conditions14. The mutant version of IRT1 (IRT1 K146R K171R) that we used maintains an upregulated state under iron-abundant conditions, and efficacy has been demonstrated in A. thaliana15. We found that overexpression of mutant IRT1 drove elevated iron and zinc accumulation in a crop plant under iron-abundant field conditions (83 µg/g DW iron; Supplementary Fig. 4a). IRT1 can transport manganese, cadmium and cobalt in addition to iron and zinc16. Elevated concentrations of toxic heavy metals in biofortified foods is a safety concern. Field-grown cassava plants coexpressing IRT1 + FER1 accumulated elevated manganese and copper levels, but not to toxic levels (Supplementary Fig. 8a,b).

The cadmium concentrations in greenhouse growth medium and field soil were below detectable levels, a result that may explain why cadmium was not detected in the storage roots of transgenic cassava plants. The potential for IRT1 + FER1 plants to accumulate cadmium was further tested by growing the high-iron- and high-zinc-accumulating line 8023-19 (Fig. 2c,d) in potting medium spiked with 10 µM cadmium sulfate. Nontransgenic control plants accumulated undetectable cadmium in the control medium, with levels increasing to 0.8 ± 0.3 µg/g DW in leaves and 0.64 ± 0.2 µg/g DW in storage roots, when grown in medium supplemented with cadmium sulfate. Transgenic plants also accumulated cadmium when grown in high-cadmium medium but did so at levels two to five times lower than those in the nontransgenic controls (Supplementary Fig. 9a,b). In the presence of high cadmium, transgenic plants accumulated less iron and zinc in their storage roots than when grown in medium without supplemental cadmium (Supplementary Fig. 9d,f), thus indicating possible competition among cadmium, iron and zinc transport in cassava. Cultivation of cassava plants on medium artificially supplemented with cadmium indicated that IRT1 + FER1 transgenic plants accumulated cadmium at levels lower than those in nontransgenic controls (Supplementary Fig. 9a,b), thus suggesting that IRT1 + FER1 transgenic plants would not pose a higher risk of cadmium toxicity than nonmodified plants if grown in high-cadmium soils.

We planted stake cuttings from nontransgenic controls, three VIT1 (8012-4, 8012-11 and 8012-18) and three IRT1 + FER1 (8023-8, 8023-10 and 8023-17) transgenic lines in the field to assess the stability of mineral enhancement across the vegetative cropping cycle (Supplementary Fig. 10). After 12 months of growth, the storage roots were harvested and analyzed. All VIT1 lines showed a significant (P ≤ 0.001) six- to seven-times-higher iron concentration than that in nontransgenic controls, reaching a maximum of 62 ± 14 µg/g DW (Supplementary Fig. 11a), levels equivalent to those obtained in the first planting cycle (Fig. 2a). VIT1 transgenic plants also showed a minor but significant increase in zinc concentration (Supplementary Fig. 11b). Likewise, IRT1 + FER1 lines established from stake cuttings accumulated iron and zinc, reaching 80 µg/g DW and 60 µg/g DW, respectively, in their storage roots (Supplementary Fig. 11g,h), levels equivalent to the concentrations measured in the first cropping cycle (Fig. 2c,d). At the end of the second 12-month growing period, there were no significant differences between shoot and storage-root yields in two of the VIT1 transgenic lines (Supplementary Fig. 11e–f). Lower shoot and root yields were observed in IRT1 + FER1 transgenic plants than in nontransgenic controls over the second cropping
cycle (Supplementary Fig. 11i–l). However, the storage-root yields observed for all three transgenic lines remained equivalent to historical averages achieved for the control cultivar TME 204, as measured across five confined field trials previously performed at the Isabela field station, Puerto Rico (Supplementary Fig. 12).

We analyzed the localization of iron and zinc in the stems and storage roots of transgenic plants by using elemental mapping through synchrotron X-ray fluorescence microscopy (XRF)\(^2\). On the basis of variations in tissue-section thickness and hydration, elemental and Compton-scattering XRF maps were obtained to compare and report elemental distributions (Supplementary Fig. 13a,b). The maps revealed that accumulated iron was associated with vascular tissues of the stem and storage roots in \(VIT1\) and \(IRT1 + FER1\) plants (Supplementary Fig. 14). \(VIT1\) stems and storage roots showed strong localization of iron but minimal localization of zinc (Supplementary Fig. 14b,c), whereas strong colocalization of iron and zinc was seen in \(IRT1 + FER1\) plants within the same tissue types (Supplementary Fig. 14c,f). In stems, this colocalization was associated with the stele and in the storage root with xylem vessels of the storage parenchyma (Supplementary Fig. 14c,f).

To be nutritionally useful, the increased mineral concentrations in transgenic plants must be retained in foodstuffs after processing. Therefore, we assessed the retention and bioaccessibility of iron and zinc in foods prepared from biofortified storage roots. Peeling and boiling of cassava is performed by many communities in East Africa\(^2\). \(VIT1\) and \(IRT1 + FER1\) transgenic storage-root parenchyma tissues showed no significant decrease in iron or zinc content after boiling (Supplementary Fig. 15a–c). Processing to produce the West African cassava foodstuffs gari and fufu\(^2\) is a more complex process involving chopping, soaking, fermenting, pressing and roasting. Iron retention in gari and fufu reached a minimum of 60% in both \(VIT1\) and \(IRT1 + FER1\) storage parenchyma compared with raw roots from the same plants (Fig. 3a,b), thus indicating the release of iron from the food matrix during processing. Zinc concentrations were 25–45% lower in gari and 55–60% lower in fufu than in unprocessed storage roots harvested from \(IRT1 + FER1\) transgenic plants, along with nontransgenic control.

Storage-root yields for \(VIT1\) and \(IRT1 + FER1\) transgenic plants, along with nontransgenic control. Box-and-whisker plots were constructed with the \(R\) package ggplot2. The upper whisker extends from the hinge to the largest value, no further than 1.5 times the interquartile range (IQR) of the hinge. Data beyond the ends of the whiskers are considered outlying points and are plotted individually. For \(VIT1\), \(n = 9\) biologically independent plants (3 plants/replicate); for \(IRT1 + FER1\), \(n = 4\) biologically independent plants. Statistical tests were performed with two-sided Student’s \(t\) test, relative to nontransgenic control. 

\(0.05; ** P \leq 0.01; *** P \leq 0.001; \) WT, wild-type plants; EV control, empty-vector control plants.
transgenic lines (Fig. 3c). Importantly, however, equal rates of mineral loss were also found in gari and fufu prepared from storage roots of nontransgenic controls (Fig. 3a–c), thus indicating that the minerals in transgenic plants were retained at levels similar to the baseline levels present in nonmodified tissues. The steps in processing resulting in loss of iron and zinc are unknown, but a similar loss of iron has been reported during milling of rice, millet and wheat24, and significantly lower levels (18–36%) of zinc bioaccessibility in processed gari than in uncooked samples from the same plants (Fig. 3g). The significantly higher iron bioaccessibility in VIT1 transgenic storage roots had significantly lower levels of both iron (20–65%) and zinc (15–65%) bioaccessibility in processed gari and fufu than in uncooked samples from the same plants (Fig. 3d,e). IRT1 + FER1 transgenic storage roots showed significantly higher levels (27–54%) of iron bioaccessibility in processed fufu (Fig. 3f) and significantly lower levels (18–36%) of zinc bioaccessibility in processed gari than in uncooked samples (Fig. 3g). The significantly higher iron bioaccessibility in VIT1 transgenic plants than in nontransgenic controls (Fig. 3d) may have been due to an association of stored iron with soluble organic acids within the vacuole26, whereas the lack of differences in bioaccessibility in IRT1 + FER1 transgenic plants relative to nontransgenic controls (Fig. 3f,g) may have resulted from iron stored as ferritin being less available for release from the storage-root tissues19.

The nutritional effects of consuming cassava storage roots biofortified by overexpression of VIT1 and IRT1 + FER1 was assessed
**Fig. 3 | Mineral retention and bioaccessibility of processed VIT1 and IRT1+FER1 storage roots.** a, Iron concentrations after processing of gari and fufu from the storage roots of VIT1 plants. b, c, Iron (b) and zinc (c) concentrations after processing of gari and fufu from storage roots of IRT1+FER1 plants. d, e, Iron (d) and zinc (e) bioaccessibility of after processing of gari and fufu from storage roots of VIT1 plants. f, g, Iron (f) and zinc (g) bioaccessibility after processing of gari and fufu from storage roots of IRT1+FER1 plants. For VIT1, n = 3 biologically independent plants; for IRT1+FER1, n = 4 biologically independent plants (2 technical replicates/plant). Box-and-whisker plots were constructed with the R package ggplot2. The upper whisker extends from the hinge to the largest value, no further than 1.5× the IQR from the hinge. The lower whisker extends from the hinge to the smallest value, at most 1.5× the IQR of the hinge. Data beyond the ends of the whiskers are considered outlying points and are plotted individually. Statistical tests were performed with two-sided Student's t test, relative to raw storage roots within each line (a–c) or to nontransgenic control (d). *P ≤ 0.05; **P ≤ 0.01; ***P ≤ 0.001.
by calculating their potential contribution to the EAR\textsuperscript{27} for iron and zinc. On the basis of consumption patterns in West Africa\textsuperscript{28}, iron and zinc present in nonmodified cassava storage roots provide only 5–8% and 13–14% of the EAR for iron and zinc, respectively, for children 1–3 years old (Fig. 4a,d). Our data on mineral accumulation and retention enabled us to predict that \textit{IRT1}+\textit{FER1} transgenic plants of TME 204 contributed up to 40–50% of the EAR for iron for children (1–3 years old) and nonlactating, nonpregnant women, and 65–75% of the EAR for iron for children (4–6 years old) (Fig. 4a,c). In addition, \textit{IRT1}+\textit{FER1} plants provided 60–70% of the EAR for zinc for children (1–3 years old), children (4–6 years old) and nonlactating, nonpregnant women (Fig. 4b,d).

Caco-2 studies were not undertaken on the iron- and zinc-biofortified foods reported here, because it can assay for only iron bioavailability but does not generate data for zinc bioavailability or provide quantifiable values for mineral release from a digested food. Instead, an in vitro bioaccessibility assay was used to assess the release of iron and zinc from gari and fufu in transgenic versus nontransgenic derived foods, thus enabling calculation of the EAR for both minerals (Fig. 4).

In summary, of the 18 transgenic cassava plant lines coexpressing \textit{IRT1}+\textit{FER1} mRNA, 17 (94%) attained nutritionally meaningful levels of iron and zinc that were able to provide 30–50% of the EAR for iron, and 15 attained levels were able to provide 40–70% of the EAR for zinc for children and nonlactating, nonpregnant women (Figs. 2 and 4). The success of our method surpasses that previously reported for approaches to engineer biofortification by using \textit{Agrobacterium}-mediated integration into the plant genome. For example, in rice, more than 1,600 transgenic T0 lines were required to generate two low-T-DNA-copy lines with nutritionally meaningful iron and zinc elevation\textsuperscript{13}. It is therefore possible that even low-level transgenic expression of mutated \textit{IRT1} may be effective for driving substantial uptake of iron and zinc from the growth medium into plant cells. The loss of iron and zinc during cassava processing occurred at levels higher than expected (Fig. 3), but, crucially, the loss rates were equivalent in transgenic and nontransgenic plants,

---

**Fig. 4 | Contribution of biofortified transgenic cassava to EARs for iron and zinc.**

\textbf{a, b.} Graphical plots of iron (a) and zinc (b) concentrations from the transgenic cassava plants against the percentage EAR for children (1–3 years of age), children (4–6 years of age) and nonpregnant nonlactating women. Blue dashed line, percentage EAR calculated from processed food of baseline wild-type storage roots; red dashed line, percentage EAR calculated from processed food of transgenic cassava storage roots. **c.** Iron-biofortified \textit{VIT1} (containing an additional 40–50 µg/g iron) or \textit{IRT1}+\textit{FER1} (containing an additional 80–90 µg/g iron) cassava lines, showing the potential nutritional contribution toward the EARs for different demographic groups relative to baseline WT-TME 204 (10 µg/g iron). **d.** Zinc-biofortified \textit{IRT1}+\textit{FER1} (containing an additional 90–100 µg/g zinc) cassava lines showing the potential nutritional contribution toward the EARs for different demographic groups relative to baseline WT-TME 204 (10 µg/g zinc).
and the available iron and zinc in processed foods remained meaningful in terms of nutritional value (Fig. 4). Factors such as the levels of vitamin C and organic acids present in the diet can increase mineral bioaccessibility and improve mineral absorption in the digestive tract. Cassava is not a recognized source of organic acids, whereas vitamin C present in fresh storage roots is degraded up to 99% by commonly used processing techniques. The nutritional value of the elevated iron and zinc present in biofortified cassava foodstuffs can be improved by the consumption of other foods in the diet that contain these absorption promoters. Therefore future studies should evaluate the effects of dietary vitamin C levels on mineral micronutrient bioavailability in biofortified cassava foodstuffs.

The United Nations Sustainable Development Goals call for an end to global hunger and decreases in all forms of malnutrition by the year 2030 (ref. 31). Nutritional security for the global population could be improved through biofortification of staple food crops such as cassava. We report that iron-enriched and iron- and zinc-enriched cassava storage roots can be grown in the field without decreases in yield. Our biofortified plant lines, or indeed other staple dicot crops such as sweet potato and potato, may be exploited as exemplars to improve the nutritional quality of cassava cultivars grown in different regions.
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Generation of transgene constructs, plant transformation and plant materials.

The binary vector p8023 bearing dual expression cassettes for At thaliana iron-regulated transporter (AtIRT1, Atg196/90.2) and ferritin 1 (AtFER1, Atg501600) was generated in the p5000 binary vector\(^1\). The amino acid sequence information for mutated AtIRT1 (ref. \(^1\)) in binary vector pELC (provided by E. Connolly, University of South Carolina) was used to commercially synthesize this sequence after M. esculenta codon optimization. Both the promoter and the 3′ UTR for driving expression of AtIRT1 were obtained from Arabidopsis c Rew driving expression of AtIRT1 (ref. \(^1\)). The resulting binary vector bearing the T-DNA with AtIRT1 and the plant selectable marker nptII driven by the duplicated CaMV 35S promoter was named p8023. This construct was electroporated into Agrobacterium strain LBA4404 and used for transformation of cassava cultivar TME 204, as described earlier\(^1\). The mutated version of AtIRT1 is referred to as AtIRT1 herein for simplicity.

Molecular characterization of in vitro- and greenhouse-grown transgenic plants.

Total RNA was extracted from 50 mg of leaves obtained from 4- to 6-week-old in vitro-grown cassava plantlets with a Promega RNA isolation kit, as described earlier\(^2\) and RT–PCR reactions were performed with AtIRT1- and AtFER1-specific primers (Table 1). For RT-qPCR, total RNA was extracted from 50 mg of leaves, fibrous roots and lyophilized storage roots obtained from 16-week-old greenhouse-grown plants\(^2\), and RT–qPCR reactions were performed with AtIRT1- and AtFER1-specific primers (Table 1). Transcript levels in transgenic and wild-type plants were normalized to the expression level of protein phosphatase 2A with the relative standard-curve method\(^1\).

Plant establishment and growth in the greenhouse.

In vitro transgenic and wild-type plantlets were planted in Fafard 51 mixture potting compost (Conrad Fafard) and established in the greenhouse\(^3\). For all experiments, eight independent transgenic plant lines were established, and plants were grown at 32°C/27°C (day/night) with 70–95% relative humidity. Plants were watered with reverse-osmosis water two or three times per day, as required, and fertilized twice weekly with Jack’s professional fertilizer (JR Peters) at a rate of 100 µg/g DW (ref. \(^4\)).

Determination of total biomass in the greenhouse.

Total biomass was determined for transgenic and wild-type plants after 16 weeks of growth in the greenhouse. Senescent leaves were collected in brown bags on alternate days over the 16-week period and dried for 4–5 d in an oven at 60°C. After 16 weeks, fresh weights of leaves, petioles, stems, fibrous roots, storage-root peels and storage-root parenchyma were recorded. Collected tissues were dried as described above. Samples of storage-root parenchyma (20–25 g fresh weight) were placed in 50-mL conical tubes, frozen at −80°C for 2–3 h and freeze-dried with a lyophilizer (Freezone18, Labconco) for at least 48 h. Freeze-dried storage-root samples were weighed to determine dry-matter content, and total biomass per plant was calculated.

Determination of T-DNA copy number.

T-DNA copy number was determined by extraction of genomic DNA from 1–2 g of young leaves obtained from 4- to 6-week-old greenhouse-grown transgenic and control plants with the CTAB protocol. Genomic DNA (20 µg) from each sample was digested with 80 units of SpeI (New England BioLabs) overnight, subjected to electrophoresis on a 1% agarose gel and transferred with standard procedures. A 2× 35S promoter (50 ng) was used as a probe. Hybridization and washing procedures were performed as previously described\(^5\).

Growth of transgenic plants in medium spiked with cadmium.

Plants were treated with cadmium by transferring wild-type plants and plants transgenic for p8023 into 3-inch pots, weaning in a mist chamber for 1 week (ref. \(^3\)) and growing thereafter in a greenhouse at 35°C/30°C (day/night) temperature cycle and 80–90% relative humidity for 13 weeks. Plants were watered with reverse-osmosis water two or three times per day, as required, and fertilized twice weekly with Jack’s professional fertilizer (JR Peters) at a rate of 100 p.p.m. (ref. \(^6\)). One set of plants was treated with 10 µM CdSO\(_4\) added along with the fertilizer twice per week, and the other was maintained as a control with a 0 µM cadmium treatment. Four biological replicates were maintained for each control and transgenic plant line. After 13 weeks, leaves and storage roots were harvested and processed for measurement of minerals by ICP–OES.

Establishment and execution of confined field trials.

Confined field trials were conducted at the Isabela Agriculture Research Station, Puerto Rico, Mayaguez. Soil samples (~250 g) were obtained from 10 cm below the soil surface with a wooden spatula, and chemical analysis was performed by A&L Analytical Laboratories (Supplementary Fig. 4). In vitro plantlets were established in 50-mL conical tubes and shipped from DDPSC, St. Louis, to the University of Puerto Rico. Plantlets were transferred to Rain Forest potting soil (Sungro) in 4-inch pots and hardened for 1 month under light shelves (12 h light), followed by an additional 1 month in a greenhouse before being planted in the field. Confined field trials were established with a randomized block design with three replicates and six plants per line per replicate (Supplementary Fig. 4). A distance of 1.5 m was maintained between plants across all plants in the trial, which in turn were surrounded by a single row of nontransgenic wild-type plants. Drip irrigation was used in the dry season; otherwise, the trials were rain fed. The final harvest was performed 12 months after planting, and storage roots were harvested manually. At harvest, agronomic traits including shoot biomass, number of roots and root yield were determined. The harvest index was calculated as the storage root fresh weight divided by the total fresh weight of storage roots plus shoot biomass on a per-plant basis. Dry-matter content was assessed by lyophilization of 35 g fresh weight of storage-root parenchyma for 2 d. Three storage-root peels for each field plot replicate were cleaned, dried, waxed and shipped to DDPCS, St. Louis. After receipt, the storage roots were peeled, chopped with ceramic knives and lyophilized as previously described\(^6\), in preparation for elemental and bioaccessibility analysis. Stake-derived field trials were established by obtaining stem cuttings from nontransgenic controls, three VTI (8012-4, 8012-11 and 8012-18) and three IRT1 + FER1 (8023-8, 8023-10 and 8023-17) transgenic lines at the time of harvest, 12 months after planting from in vitro plants. Stake cuttings were obtained from wood-stem material 6.0–8.0 inches in length and 1.5–2.0 inches in diameter, comprising five to seven nodes. Stakes were used to establish a randomized block design with four replicates and 20 plants per line per replicate. Other conditions remained the same as described above.

Measurement of mineral concentrations.

All plant tissues were harvested and dried for 48 h in a 60°C oven. Two subsamples (~0.5 g dry weight each) of ground, homogenized dried tissue were predigested overnight with 3 mL of ultrapure nitric acid in borosilicate glass tubes. Samples were digested as previously described\(^5\), and elemental concentrations were determined by ICP–OES (CORS ICP Model PCE12; Spectro). Peach-leaf standards (SRM 1547 A; National Institute of Standards and Technology) were digested and analyzed along with each run of experimental samples to verify reliability of the procedures and analytical measurements; ICP–OES uses a single-monoparticle capillary lens (capillary Pebl605)\(^7\) was used to focus the beam to a 20-µm-diameter spot. For scans, stem and storage-root thin cross-sections (0.2–0.3 mm thick) were obtained from live-tissue samples, weighed and mounted between one layer of 6.5-µm Kapton polyimide film and a layer of 25-µm Kapton tape, such that the thinner layer faced the detector. Fluorescence was measured with a 384-element Maia detector placed upstream of the sample and operating in backscatter geometry\(^8\). XRF images were obtained by continuous scanning of...
the sample horizontally across the beam, with typical integration times of 0.005 s/pixel. For petioles, stems and storage roots, two sections were obtained from plants of 8012-5, 8012-11 (VIT1 transgenic plants) and 8023-9, 8023-15 (IRT1 + FER1 transgenic plants) and each of the wild type and the empty-vector controls. Petioles were mounted alongside the sections. Sections were mounted and scanned simultaneously. Fluorescence data were analyzed with the dynamic analysis method to obtain elemental maps with the software GeoPIXE v7.1. The incident flux was calibrated with reference films of known weight concentration, which were used to calculate elemental-weight concentrations from XRF peak areas via a fundamental-parameters approach.

Determination of total linamarin concentration in field-grown storage roots. Total linamarin concentrations were assessed in field-grown storage roots. Approximately 10 mg of peeled storage root tissue was lyophilized to a dry powder and extracted with 100 mL of 100% ethanol containing 10 mg/mL of 1,1,1-trifluoro-2,2,2-trichloroethane. The extracts were centrifuged at 15,000 g for 15 min and the residues were dissolved in 7 mL of 2% HNO3. Mineral concentrations were determined by ICP–OES (Ciros ICP- FCE12). Certified standards were used to calibrate the ICP–OES instrument. ‘Blank’ samples, consisting of digestion solutions with no cassava material, were used to determine background levels of minerals from the bioaccessibility assay. Duplicate 0.5 g (dry weight) aliquots of each of the uncooked, gari or fusf samples were digested and analyzed by ICP–OES as described above, to determine the starting mineral concentrations of each sample. Duplicate mineral concentrations were averaged and converted to an average content (1 g basis). Mineral concentrations in the duplicate supernatant samples were averaged and multiplied by the number of milliliters of digestion solution used to obtain the milligrams of mineral on a per gram of sample basis. The mineral concentrations were converted to average mineral amounts released from each sample (normalized to a 1 g basis).

Bioaccessibility percentages were then calculated with these content values: percentage bioaccessibility = (amount of each mineral released×100)/starting content of each mineral. Triplicate aliquots of each food sample (uncooked root, gari or fufu) were used to calculate a mean and s.d. for each sample.

Determination of EARs. The EAR is a commonly used method to determine and express the average daily nutrient intake estimated to meet requirements of half the healthy individuals in a particular life stage and sex group within a given population. The EARs for different demographic groups were calculated on the basis of assumptions made from food consumption28 (66.7 μg DW/d), nutrient retention (70%), absorbed proportion (5%) and nutrient required (460 μg) for children 1–3 years of age; on the basis of assumptions made from food consumption (116.7 μg DW/d), nutrient retention (70%), absorbed proportion (5%) and nutrient required (500 μg) for children 4–6 years of age; and on the basis of assumptions made from food consumption (233.3 μg DW/d), nutrient retention (70%), absorbed proportion (5%) and nutrient required (1,460 μg) for nonlactating, nonpregnant women29 (Fig. 4a, c). Zinc-biofortified cassava lines showing the potential nutritional contribution toward the EARs for different demographic groups were calculated on the basis of assumptions made from food consumption (66.7 μg DW/d), nutrient retention (70%), absorbed proportion (15%) and nutrient required (740 μg) for children 1–3 years of age; on the basis of assumptions made from food consumption (116.7 μg DW/d), nutrient retention (70%), absorbed proportion (15%) and nutrient required (1200 μg) for children 4–6 years of age; and on the basis of assumptions made from food consumption (233.3 μg DW/d), nutrient retention (70%), absorbed proportion (15%) and nutrient required (2500 μg) for nonlactating, nonpregnant women30 (Fig. 4b, d). Nutrient absorption was calculated by multiplication of the food consumed per day with the additional nutrient concentration, nutrient retention and absorbed proportion of the food31.

Statistical analysis. The construction of graphics and the statistical analysis were performed with R software, version 3.4.0 (ref. 42). Box-and-whisker plots were constructed with the R package ggplot2 (ref. 43). The upper whisker extends from the hinge to the largest value no further than 1.5xIQR from the hinge (where IQR is the distance between the first and third quartiles). The lower whisker extends from the hinge to the smallest value, at most 1.5xIQR below the hinge. Outliers are plotted individually. To generate P values as indicated in the graphics, a two-sample t test was performed, comparing the wild type to the transgenic lines, unless otherwise stated.

Reporting Summary. Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data availability
The datasets generated and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request. All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article and its supplementary information files.
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