Human Leukemic Cells performing Oxidative Phosphorylation (OXPHOS) Generate an Antioxidant Response Independently of Reactive Oxygen species (ROS) Production
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A B S T R A C T

Tumor cell metabolism is altered during leukemogenesis. Cells performing oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) generate reactive oxygen species (ROS) through mitochondrial activity. To limit the deleterious effects of excess ROS, certain gene promoters contain antioxidant response elements (ARE), e.g., the genes NQO-1 and HO-1. ROS induces conformational changes in KEAP1 and releases NRF2, which activates AREs. We show in vitro and in vivo that OXPHOS induces, both in primary leukemic cells and cell lines, de novo expression of NQO-1 and HO-1 and also the MAPK ERK5 and decreases KEAP1 mRNA. ERK5 activates the transcription factor MEF2, which binds to the promoter of the miR-23a–27a–24-2 cluster. Newly generated miR-23a destabilizes KEAP1 mRNA by binding to its 3′UTR. Lower KEAP1 levels increase the basal expression of the NRF2-dependent genes NQO-1 and HO-1. Hence, leukemic cells performing OXPHOS, independently of de novo ROS production, generate an antioxidant response to protect themselves from ROS.

© 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Eukaryotic cells perform oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS), which uses the energy released by the mitochondrial oxidation of certain metabolites, i.e. glucose, to produce adenosine triphosphate (ATP). OXPHOS is an efficient way of releasing energy, however it produces reactive oxygen species (ROS) through mitochondrial activity. In fact, ROS and mitochondria are functionally linked in several ways (Willems et al., 2015). Most cancer cells change their metabolism from respiration/OXPHOS to anaerobic glycolysis and, hence, do not completely oxidize glucose. This is called the Warburg effect. This metabolic change is not absolute and tumor cells continue, at least partially, to perform OXPHOS (Jose and Rossignol, 2013; Obre and Rossignol, 2013). During these waves cells can increase OXPHOS and need to protect themselves from ROS production. In fact, low ROS levels could facilitate tumorigenesis while excessive levels are deleterious (Devasagayam et al., 2004). Therefore, they should be tightly regulated before high levels are produced.

Why most tumor cells continue performing a certain degree of OXPHOS, in spite of the dangers of high ROS levels, is still an enigma. However, it is plausible that cells possess an anti-ROS mechanism that OXPHOS induces, both in primary leukemic cells and cell lines, de novo expression of NQO-1 and HO-1 and also the MAPK ERK5 and decreases KEAP1 mRNA. ERK5 activates the transcription factor MEF2, which binds to the promoter of the miR-23a–27a–24-2 cluster. Newly generated miR-23a destabilizes KEAP1 mRNA by binding to its 3′UTR. Lower KEAP1 levels increase the basal expression of the NRF2-dependent genes NQO-1 and HO-1. Hence, leukemic cells performing OXPHOS, independently of de novo ROS production, generate an antioxidant response to protect themselves from ROS.

metabolism is a dynamic process with a wide remodeling of the metabolic pathways that likely occurs during tumorigenesis (Jezeck et al., 2010; Bellance et al., 2009; Jose and Rossignol, 2013; Villalba et al., 2013). During these waves cells can increase OXPHOS and need to protect themselves from ROS production. In fact, low ROS levels could facilitate tumorigenesis while excessive levels are deleterious (Devasagayam et al., 2004). Therefore, they should be tightly regulated before high levels are produced.

Why most tumor cells continue performing a certain degree of OXPHOS, in spite of the dangers of high ROS levels, is still an enigma. However, it is plausible that cells possess an anti-ROS mechanism when performing OXPHOS. The MAPK extracellular signal-regulated kinase-5 (ERK5) is essential for mitochondrial function and for generating efficient antioxidant responses in leukemic cells (Charni et al., 2010; Lopez-Royuela et al., 2014). In fact, several types of oxidative stress activate ERK5 (Zhao et al., 2011), which can be considered a redox MAPK. Nuclear factor (erythroid-derived 2)-like 2 (NFE2L2 or NRF2) binds to anti-oxidant response elements (ARE) in gene promoters and, consequently, regulates oxidative stress (Kessler and Wakabayashi, 2010). In endothelial cells, steady laminar blood flow (s-flow) activates...
ERK5 that induces up-regulation of NRF2-dependent gene expression, although the mechanism is not fully elucidated (Kim et al., 2012; Negro et al., 2011). Therefore, ERK5 could link OXPLOS and the antioxidant response.

MicroRNAs (miRNAs), a class of short, non-coding RNA molecules, regulate multiple physiological processes through regulating posttranscriptional gene expression by annealing to the 3’ untranslated regions of target mRNAs to generally promote mRNA degradation or translational repression (Chhabra et al., 2010). The microRNA-200a (miR-200a) activates Nrf2 transcriptional activity by degrading Kelch-like ECH-associated protein 1 (Keap1) mRNA (Eades et al., 2011). The decrease in Keap1 allows Nrf2 to escape ubiquitination and subsequent proteolysis, thus inducing its nuclear translocation. Another miR that has been linked to regulation of metabolism and the production of ROS is miR-23 (Rathore et al., 2012; Gao et al., 2009; Kulshreshtha et al., 2007). The unconventional promoter region of the miR-23α–27α–24-2 cluster lacks common promoter elements (Chhabra et al., 2010), but it contains several putative MEF2 binding sites (Rathore et al., 2012). This transcription factor mediates several ERK5 functions, including metabolic regulation (Lopez-Royuela et al., 2014) and activates a reporter plasmid driven by the 2.4 kb upstream of the miR-23α–27α–24-2 cluster promoter (Rathore et al., 2012).

We performed transcriptome analysis of Jurkat cells expressing a small hairpin RNA for ERK5 (shERK5) and compared the genes down regulated in shERK5 expressing cells with the predicted targets of miR-23a. We identified KEAP1 as a possible candidate to mediate the antioxidant response in cells performing OXPLOS. We next tested this hypothesis; the results elucidated the molecular mechanism. ERK5-mediated miR-23 upregulation controls the antioxidant response during OXPLOS by decreasing KEAP1 mRNA independently of ROS.

2. Materials & Methods

2.1. Reagents and Antibodies

RIPA buffer to prepare protein extracts was from Euromedex. The complete protease inhibitor cocktail (Complete EDTA-free) and the phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (PhosSTOP) were from Roche. H₂O₂ was from SIGMA and DCA from Santa Cruz Technologies. Galactose and glutamine were from GIBCO. The antibody against KEAP1 and MEF2 (E-17) were from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. ERK5 antibody was from Cell Signaling Technology. The antibody against KEAP1 was from Covance. The MEF2 antibody was from Santa Cruz Technologies and the histone H3 (D2B12) XP® Rabbit mAb (ChIP Formulated) from Cell Signaling Technology.

2.2. Cell lines and Culture Conditions

The leukemic T Jurkat TAg and the OCI-AML3, NB4 and MOLM-13 AML human cell lines were grown in RPMI 1640-GLutaMAX (GIBCO) supplemented with 5% (Jurkat) or 10% (AML) FBS. In certain experiments, cells were grown in RPMI 1640 without glucose (GIBCO 11879) with the addition of 2 mM glutamine and 10 mM galactose (OXPLOS medium). The Jurkat TAg cells carry the SV40 large T Ag to facilitate cell transfection.

2.3. Primary Leukemic Cells

Data and samples from patients with different hematological cancers were collected at the Oncology and Clinical Hematology Department of the CHU Montpellier, France, after patient’s informed consent (Allende-Vega et al., 2015; Krzywinska et al., 2015). Patients were enrolled in two independent clinical programs approved by the “Comités de Protection des Personnes Sud Méditerranée I (ref 1324)” and ID-RCB: 2011-A0924-37. All samples from cancer patients were collected at diagnosis.

2.4. ChIP Analysis

Jurkat cells growing exponentially were cross-linked in situ and subjected to nuclear isolation, DNA shearing, preclearing, and immunoprecipitation. Procedures used were modified from the ChIP-IT kit (Active Motif) using enzymatic DNA shearing as described previously in detail (Ramachandran, 2008; Rathore et al., 2012). The Pol II (8WG16 monoclonal) ChIP antibody was from Covance. The MEF2F antibody was from Santa Cruz Technologies and the histone H3 (D2B12) XP® Rabbit mAb (ChIP Formulated) from Cell Signaling Technology.

2.5. Transient Transfection

Jurkat cells in logarithmic growth phase were transfected with the indicated amounts of plasmid by electroporation (Garaude et al., 2006; Garaude et al., 2008). In each experiment, cells were transfected with the same total amount of DNA by supplementing with empty vector. Cells were incubated for 10 min at RT with the DNA mix and electroporated at 260 mV, 960 μF in 400 μl of RPMI 1640. Expression of the different proteins was confirmed by Western blot. In all experiments related to luciferase measurement, cells were transfected with a β-Galactosidase reporter plasmid as previously described (Garaude et al., 2008). The relative luciferase units (RLU) were calculated by dividing the luciferase values between the β-Galactosidase values to avoid differences in transfection. The transfection efficiency in Jurkat TAg cells is between 60 and 80%.

2.6. Plasmids

The 3’-UTR of KEAP1 was a generous gift of Dr. Qun Zhou, University of Maryland School of Medicine (Eades et al., 2011). The sequence corresponding to the miR-23a/24-2 promoter (2046 bp upstream of the miR-23a precursor) was cloned into the BglII/HindIII and NheI/XhoI restriction sites of pGL3-basic vector (Saumet et al., 2009). The wild type miR-23a/24-2 locus was cloned into the EcoRl/BamHI sites of the MIE retroviral vector (containing the IRES-GFP cassette) using the following primers: sense, ggattgcctactcagaattgtgact and antisense, ccggatccgtctgctggagttg. The locus expressing only miR-24 and miR-27a (miR23D) was similarly cloned using the primers ggatttcgctgtgactctcccagagga (sense) and ccggatccgctgctggagttg (antisense). The locus expressing only miR-23a (miR24D-27) was cloned using the primers ggatttcgctgtgactctcccagagga (sense) and ccggatccgctgctggagttg (antisense) (Rathore et al., 2012).

The MEF2C constructs were a generous gift from Dr. T. Gulick and have been previously used (Lopez-Royuela et al., 2014). The expression vectors for ERK5, a constitutively active MEK5 mutant (S313D/T317D, termed MEK5), β-galactosidase, the pSUPER expression vector for GFP alone or GFP plus shERK5 and the pSiRen- retro- puro (BD Biosciences) retroviral vectors for shERK5 and control have been previously described (Garaude et al., 2006). The expression vectors for the catalytically inactive ERK5 mutant (ERK5KM: K84 mutated to M) in pLZR (Garaude et al., 2006). The expression vectors corresponding to the miR-23a/24-2 promoter (2046 bp upstream of the miR-23a precursor) were cloned into the BglII/HindIII and NheI/XhoI restriction sites of the MIE retroviral vector (containing the IRES-GFP cassette) using the following primers: sense, ggattgcctactcagaattgtgact and antisense, ccggatccgtctgctggagttg. The locus expressing only miR-24 and miR-27a (miR23D) was similarly cloned using the primers ggatttcgctgtgactctcccagagga (sense) and ccggatccgctgctggagttg (antisense). The locus expressing only miR-23a (miR24D-27) was cloned using the primers ggatttcgctgtgactctcccagagga (sense) and ccggatccgctgctggagttg (antisense) (Rathore et al., 2012).

2.7. Counting and Determination of Cell Viability

Cell number, viability and cell death were analyzed with the Muse Cell Analyzer (Millipore) by incubating cells with Muse Count & Viability and Annexin V and Dead Cell kits respectively, following the manufacturer’s instructions.

2.8. Induction of Oxidative Stress and ROS Measurement

Cell lines were plated at 300,000 cells/ml and treated with different H₂O₂ concentrations for the indicated times, harvested and counted to
perform further analysis. To evaluate ROS levels, we labeled cells with CellROX® Deep Red Reagent or with CH-H2DCFDA (Life Technologies) for 30 min and analyzed them by FACS following manufacturer’s instructions.

2.9. Immunoblotting

Protein analysis by immunoblotting was performed essentially as previously described (Garaude et al., 2006; Garaude et al., 2008). Briefly,
samples were collected, washed out with PBS and lysed with RIPA buffer. Protein concentration was determined by BCA assay (Pierce) before electrophoresis in 4–15% TGX gels (BioRad) and equal amount of protein was loaded in each well. Protein transfer was performed in TransTurbo system (BioRad) in PVDF membranes. After blocking for 1 h with 5% non-fat milk, membranes were incubated overnight at 4 °C in agitation with primary antibodies, washed three times with TBS-Tween 0.1% and incubated with the appropriate HRP-labeled secondary antibody for 1 h. Membranes were washed out three times with TBS-Tween 0.1% and developed with Substrat HRP Immobilon Western (Millipore). Band quantification was performed using the "ImageLab" software from BioRad and represented as the ratio between the protein of interest and a control protein i.e. actin. The value of 1 is arbitrarily given to control cells. One blot representative of several experiments is shown.

2.10. In Vivo Mouse Experiments

In vivo experiments were carried out using 6 to 8 week/old NSG mice. Mice were bred and housed in pathogen-free conditions in the animal facility of the European Institute of Oncology–Italian Foundation for Cancer Research (FIRC), Institute of Molecular Oncology (Milan, Italy). All animal experiments were carried out in accordance with national and international laws and policies. For induction of acute leukemia in mice, 1 million AML cells were injected intravenously (i.v.) through the lateral tail vein in non-irradiated mice. At day 80, when human cells reached 1% in blood, mice were separated into two groups of four mice: control and DCA (50 mg/kg, 1 dose/day by gavage, starting at day 1 for 16 consecutive days). At day 140 post-graft, bone marrow and spleen were collected and mRNA isolated for analysis. We used the following human primers that did not recognize mouse mRNAs:

ERK5: Forward: (5’-CGCTACTTCCGTCAACTGC-3’) Reverse: (5’-AGCCATACAAAGCTCAAATC-3’), KEAP1: Forward: (5’-GAGGGCTTGACGTAGAACCG-3’) Reverse: (5’-GCTCGAGTCCAGGTCTTCC-3’), NQO-1: Forward: (5’-CTGCTTGACGGTGTAGCCC-3’) Reverse: (5’-TGAGCTACCCATTCGCTG-3’), HO-1: Forward: (5’-ACAAGAGAGCAGCAGTCTCTC-3’) Reverse: (5’-AGAGCTACCAGGTCTC-3’), Actin: Forward: (5’-GAGGGAAATCGTGCGTGACA-3’) Reverse: (5’-AATAGTGACCTGCCG-3’).

2.11. Statistical Analysis

The statistical analysis of the difference between means of paired samples was performed using the paired t test. The results are given as the confidence interval (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001). All the experiments described in the figures with a quantitative analysis have been performed at least three times in duplicate. Other experiments were performed three times with similar results. We used actin as a loading control and the histograms represent the ratio (value of protein of interest)/(value of actin).

![Fig. 3.](image-url) Cells performing OXPHOS activate an antioxidant response in vitro and in vivo in primary leukemic cells. A) Tumor cells from 4 hematological cancer patients (2 MM, 1 B-CLL and 1 T cell lymphoma) were treated with various concentrations of DCA for 24 h and mRNA was analyzed by qPCR. B) NSG mice were engrafted with primary human AML cells. At day 80 post-graft, they were treated with DCA (n = 4) or left untreated (n = 4). At day 140 mRNA from AML tumor cell from bone marrow or spleen was isolated and the expression of different proteins was quantified by qPCR. The data represent means ± SD; *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 Student’s t-test compared to non treated cells or mice.
3. Results

3.1. OXPHOS-Induced Increase in ROS Levels is Cell Type Dependent

Leukemic cells performing OXPHOS increase ERK5 levels (Charni et al., 2010). This enzyme is essential for the antioxidant response that keeps ROS under control (Lopez-Royuela et al., 2014). To investigate if leukemic cells stably performing OXPHOS showed higher ROS levels, we incubated cells in a glucose-free culture medium with a final glutamine concentration of 4 mM and 10 mM galactose. Glutamine was used to drive mitochondria to utilize OXPHOS and galactose allowed cells to synthesize nucleic acids through the pentose phosphate pathway (Reitzer et al., 1979; Rossignol et al., 2004; Charni et al., 2010; Lopez-Royuela et al., 2014). We called it ‘OXPHOS medium’, because it forced leukemic cells to use OXPHOS as primary ATP source (Charni et al., 2010; Rathore et al., 2012; Allende-Vega et al., 2015). Three out of four leukemic cell lines cultured in OXPHOS medium for several weeks showed increased ROS levels (Fig. 1 and Supplemental Fig. 1A and B). The exception was the acute promyelocytic leukemia (APL) NB4 cell line. We also treated cells with dichloroacetate (DCA), a PDK1 inhibitor that induces OXPHOS through PDH activation in all of these leukemic cell lines (Charni et al., 2010; Allende-Vega et al., 2015). OCI and MOLM-13 AML cell lines responded by increasing ROS levels whereas NB4 did not. Jurkat, a T cell leukemia cell line, showed a minor increase. H₂O₂, which served as a positive control, increased ROS in all cell lines. Hence, not all leukemic cell lines increased ROS levels when performing OXPHOS.

3.2. OXPHOS Induces an Antioxidant Response

All cell lines performing OXPHOS increased ERK5 mRNA levels (Fig. 2A). This was associated with decreased KEAP1 mRNA levels. Figure 4. Increase in ROS levels is not essential for KEAP1 downregulation. A) Jurkat cells were treated with increasing concentrations of H₂O₂ for 1 h and mRNA expression was analyzed. B) OCI-AML3 cells (left) or primary tumor cells from a BCL patient (right) were treated with 1.5 mM NAC 1 h before adding DCA (20 mM) for 24 h. Cells were labeled with CH-H2DCFDA and analyzed by FACs for ROS production. Keap1 mRNA and protein were analyzed as described in Fig. 2. C) Primary tumor cells from 2 BCL patients were treated as in (B) before analyzing KEAP1 mRNA expression, results represent the means ± SD of these two patients in triplicate. The data represent means ± SD; *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 Student’s t-test compared to non-transfected cells.
increased mRNA levels for the antioxidant genes *NQO-1* and *HO-1*. Induction of OXPHOS with DCA also caused a decrease in KEAP1 and increase in *NQO-1* mRNA (Fig. 2B). This was concentration and time-dependent (Supplemental Fig. 2). Interestingly, NB4 and Jurkat cells, which did not increase ROS after DCA treatment, still produced this antioxidant response. Protein expression correlated with mRNA levels in cells performing OXPHOS (Fig. 2C).

3.3. OXPHOS Induces an Antioxidant Response in Primary Leukemic Cells In Vitro and In Vivo

We validated these results in primary leukemic cells derived from 4 patients with hematological neoplasias (Fig. 3A). These cells also increased ERK5 and *NQO-1* and decreased KEAP1 mRNAs, on average, following DCA treatment.

To test this in vivo, we engrafted AML primary cells in non-obese diabetic/severe combined immunodeficient (NOD/SCID)-interleukin-2 receptor γ null (NSG) mice, as previously described (Allende-Vega et al., 2015). Mice with established tumors (day 80 post-graft) were treated with DCA (Fig. 3B). The treatment was not toxic and did not show any notable effect on cell survival (Allende-Vega et al., 2015). Human tumor AML cells gather in mouse spleen and bone marrow, hence we isolated mRNA from these organs. We used human-specific primers to analyze the expression of the selected mRNAs and found an increase in ERK5 and *NQO-1* and a decrease in KEAP1 mRNAs (Fig. 3B).

3.4. OXPHOS-Induced Antioxidant Response was ROS Independent

NB4, and partially Jurkat, cells did not increase ROS when performing OXPHOS, although they mounted an antioxidant response similar to other cell lines (Figs. 1 and 2). To investigate further if ROS were essential for the antioxidant response, we induced oxidative stress with *H*₂*O*₂ in Jurkat cells and observed similar effects to those produced by OXPHOS: increase in ERK5 and *NQO-1* and decrease in KEAP1 mRNAs (Fig. 4A and Supplemental Fig. 1). Hence, the increase in ROS levels could also mediate this antioxidant response. To explore this possibility, we blocked DCA-induced ROS production with the antioxidant N-acetyl-cysteine (NAC). We focused in OCI-AML3 (Fig. 4B left panels), in which DCA significantly increased ROS levels (Fig. 1). To firmly establish that DCA had a significant effect, we used a different dye to monitor ROS from that in Fig. 1. While NAC efficiently blocked the DCA-induced increase in ROS (Fig. 4B, upper left panel and Supplemental Fig. 1B), it failed to affect DCA effects on KEAP1 mRNA or protein (Fig. 4B, bottom left panels). As described above, DCA effectively induced ROS in Jurkat cells but decreased KEAP1 expression (Figs. 1 and 2). NAC blocked the former but not the latter effect, that is the decrease in KEAP1 expression (Supplemental Fig. 3). Next we used tumor cells from a BCL patient (BCL-P2) that could be maintained in vitro for several weeks. NAC effectively blocked the DCA-induced ROS increase (Fig. 4B right top panel and Supplemental Fig. 1B). However, in contrast to cell lines, NAC decreased KEAP1 mRNA levels without affecting protein expression (Fig. 4B right bottom panels). In any case, NAC did not affect DCA-
induced decrease in either KEAP1 mRNA or protein. This was confirmed in freshly primary leukemic cells of another two BCL patients (Fig. 4C).

### 3.5. ERK5 Controls Keap1 Expression

We investigated the molecular mechanisms responsible for the decrease in KEAP1 expression. Overexpression of ERK5 in Jurkat cells decreased KEAP1 mRNA and this correlated with an increase in NQO-1 (Fig. 5A and B). Conversely, expression of a small hairpin RNA for ERK5 (shERK5), which decreased ERK5 protein levels (Fig. 5B), increased KEAP1 and decreased NQO-1 mRNA levels (Fig. 5A). KEAP1 protein levels corresponded to those of its mRNA (Fig. 5B). The shERK5-mediated increase in KEAP1 protein occurred after the decrease in ERK5 (Fig. 5C), showing that ERK5 was necessary and sufficient to activate this antioxidant response.

We next investigated the effect of shERK5 on cells performing OXPHOS by growing shERK5-transfected Jurkat cells in OXPHOS media or by treating them with DCA. We could not transfect cells growing in OXPHOS media because the survival rate was very low. The OXPHOS-induced increase in ERK5 levels was totally abrogated in shERK5-expressing cells, which actually showed a time-dependent decrease (Fig. 6A and B). In contrast to cells transfected with empty vector, KEAP1 mRNA levels did not decrease in shERK5-expressing cells. The level of NQO-1 showed a much lower increase in these cells. shERK5-expressing cells failed to down regulate KEAP1 and up regulate NQO-1 after H2O2 treatment (Fig. 6C). This showed that ERK5 could mediate several antioxidant responses.

**Fig. 6.** ERK5 enables NRF2-mediated antioxidant response in cells performing OXPHOS. 10^7 Jurkat-TAg cells were transfected with 5 μg of the empty pcDNA vector or shERK5 as described in Fig. 4. A) Transfected cells were placed on glucose or OXPHOS media after 24 h and left for the indicated times before mRNA expression was analyzed by qPCR. B) Cells were prepared as in (A), kept in glucose and treated with 20 mM DCA before mRNA analysis. C) Cells prepared as in (B) but they were treated with different concentrations of H2O2 for 1 h before analyzing mRNA. The data represent means ± SD; *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 Student’s t-test compared to non-treated cells or to empty vector transfected cells when depicted in the graphic.
3.6. ERK5 Mediated OXPHOS-Induced miR-23a Up Regulation

As previously described, the decrease in KEAP1 mRNA could be due to miR-23-mediated inhibition. In fact, cells growing in OXPHOS up regulates miR-23 by an unknown mechanism (Rathore et al., 2012). The promoter of the miR-23a–27a–24–2 locus contains consensus sites for the transcription factor MEF2, which increases expression of a reporter plasmid driven by the miR-23a–27a–24–2 locus proximal promoter (Rathore et al., 2012). Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays showed that MEF2 bound to the miR-23a–27a–24–2 locus promoter in Jurkat cells (Fig. 7A). Anti-Histone-3 and an irrelevant IgG antibody were used as positive and negative controls. ChIP assays also showed that RNA Pol II bound to this promoter (Fig. 7A). In summary, we concluded that MEF2 also activated its transcription.

To further study the role of the ERK5/MEF2 pathway in miR-23 expression, we overexpressed either ERK5 WT, the inactive ERK5 mutant ERK5 KM, or shERK5 and investigated the effect on the activity of a reporter plasmid driven by a 2.4 kb fragment of the miR-23a promoter (Fig. 7B). ERK5 increased expression of the promoter. Both ERK5 KM, which functions as a dominant negative construct in Jurkat cells (Charni et al., 2009), and shERK5 decreased the expression of the reporter (Fig. 7B). As previously described (Rathore et al., 2012), cells performing OXPHOS increased expression of this reporter (Fig. 7B). Inhibition of the ERK5 pathway inhibited OXPHOS-induced reporter activation and ERK5 overexpression increased it. Strong activation of the ERK5 pathway by co-overexpression of a constitutively active mutant of MEK5 (MEK5D), the upstream kinase of ERK5, and ERK5 induced a greater response (Fig. 7C). This was enhanced by cotransfection with MEF2C, which alone also significantly increased the expression of the reporter. In contrast, expression of a dominant negative form of MEF2C (MEF2C-DN) decreased the effect of ERK5 and MEK5D on cells in OXPHOS medium (Fig. 7C). Thus, ERK5 controls miR-23a expression through MEF2. We then investigated if miR-23a regulates KEAP1 mRNA levels.

3.7. miR23a Targets KEAP1 mRNA

We used transcriptomic data of Jurkat cells expressing shERK5 and compared the genes downregulated by shERK5 with the predicted targets of the miR-23a–27a–24–2 cluster (compiling miRbase/microcosm and TargetScan predictions). This identified KEAP1 as a potential target of miR-23a (according to miRbase/microcosm). To investigate this possibility, we overexpressed the miR-23a locus in leukemic Jurkat T cells. This construct also expressed GFP as a control for transfection (Rathore et al., 2012). To evaluate the role of individual miRNAs in this locus, we used mutants of the miR-23a–27a–24–2 cluster: one mutant deleted of the pre-miR-24 and pre-miR-27 (miR-23Δ24–27) and one mutant deleted of the pre-miR-23a (miR-23Δ23). All these constructs significantly overexpressed the encoded miRNAs (Rathore et al., 2012).

Full miR-23a–27a–4–2 locus and mutant miR-23Δ24–27, but not miR-23a23 mutant, reduced expression of KEAP1 mRNA and protein (Fig. 8A and B), suggesting that this mRNA was a genuine target of miR-23a. To prove it, we used a reporter plasmid containing the 3’UTR of KEAP1 mRNA fused downstream of the luciferase gene (Eades et al., 2011). We transfected it into Jurkat cells together with the different constructs of the miR-23a–27a–24–2 locus. miR-23a overexpression led to a statistically significant decrease in luciferase expression, showing that KEAP1 mRNA was a direct miR-23a target (Fig. 8C). This regulation was physiologically relevant, because cells that overexpressed miR-23a increased expression of endogenous NQO-1 mRNA (Fig. 8D).

4. Discussion

A wide remodeling of the metabolic pathways is likely to occur during tumorigenesis (Jezek et al., 2010; Bellance et al., 2009; Jose and Rossignol, 2013; Villalba et al., 2013; Smolkova et al., 2011). During this process, cells adapt in different ways to obtain energy, including OXPHOS. In this case, they must protect themselves from excessive ROS production, preferably before this occurs. While we have only investigated this using leukemic cells, it is possible that all cells, transformed and non-transformed, have this pathway. In the future, it will be important to investigate this idea, although this requires knowing the “initial” metabolic status of the selected cells in order to be able to alter it.
We describe here a mechanism that regulates the antioxidant response in cells performing OXPHOS in the absence of ROS increase. Mitochondria are the first source of ROS, although it is also the main organelle that assures their removal. ERK5 is essential for OXPHOS in leukemic cells (Charni et al., 2010), but also for protecting cells from excessive ROS (Lopez-Royuela et al., 2014). The last function involves NRF2 activation (Kim et al., 2012; Nigro et al., 2011), which can be mediated through SIRT1 expression (Lopez-Royuela et al., 2014) or by decreasing KEAP1 levels, as we describe here. How OXPHOS activates ERK5 is uncertain, but ERK5 translocates to mitochondria in cells performing OXPHOS (Charni et al., 2010), from where it could regulate activation of nuclear respiratory factors NRF1 and NRF2. NRF1 can regulate MEF2 expression, leading to expression of mitochondrial genes (Ramachandran, 2008). But ERK5 can also regulate mitochondrial activity by directly regulating MEF2, which mediates several mitochondrial functions (el Azzouzi et al., 2010; Ramachandran, 2008).

The mechanism described here involved miR-23a. Its expression must be finely regulated because high levels induce cell death through impairment of glutamine metabolism and mitochondrial activity and hence also affect ROS control (Gao et al., 2009; Rathore et al., 2012; Chhabra et al., 2011; Safdar et al., 2009; Kulshreshtha et al., 2007). In fact, transient overexpression of the whole locus is toxic in lymphocytes several days post-transfection (Rathore et al., 2012). In contrast, too low a level could also originate an impaired antioxidant response, as we illustrated here. In addition, miRNAs have multiple targets and their effects depend on the relative expression of their targeted mRNAs in each specific tissue. miR-23 expression is cell-dependent: miR-23a is downregulated during lymphoid development and upregulated during myeloid development (Jin et al., 2008); and it promotes myeloid development and blocks lymphoid development (Kong et al., 2010). This suggests that miR-23a differentially affects different tissues, although it can promote the same pathways in different cell types.

In this work we have developed a leukemic cell model for investigating the antioxidant response in cells performing OXPHOS. Understanding the mechanism(s) should facilitate the development of novel therapeutic approaches for leukemia (Villalba et al., 2014). In fact, metabolism is now seen as a good candidate for specifically targeting tumor cells (Obre and Rossignol, 2015), although expression or mutation of proteins regulating metabolism, e.g. p53, could greatly affect the efficacy of anti-metabolic tumor treatments (Allende-Vega et al., 2015). Leukemic cells show high ROS levels that can contribute to disease development and progression. However, high levels can be deleterious for cells and hence, leukemic cells also express increased levels of antioxidant proteins, which detoxify ROS (Rushworth and MacEwan, 2011; Rushworth et al., 2012). Although initially NRF2 can protect non-malignant cells from malignant transformation, after that NRF2 can protect the tumor cells from oxidative stress and chemotherapy-induced cytotoxicity (Rushworth and MacEwan, 2011; Hayes and McMahon, 2009). In AML, high NRF2 expression is driven by NF-κB (Abdul-Aziz et al., 2015). Interestingly, ERK5 activates NF-κB (Garaude et al., 2006).

Fig. 8. miR-23a targets KEAP1 mRNA. A) Jurkat cells were transfected with the whole miR-23a–27a–24-2 locus or with the constructs miR-23Δ24-27 and miR-23Δ23. The expression of KEAP1 mRNA was analyzed by qPCR and represented as the % of mRNA compared to cells transfected with the control vector. B) Expression of KEAP1 protein and the quantification.

C) Jurkat cells were transfected with the different constructs together with a reporter plasmid containing the 3′UTR of KEAP1 mRNA downstream of the luciferase mRNA. Data are represented as the % of luciferase expression in cells transfected with the empty vector. D) The expression of NQO-1 mRNA was analyzed by qPCR in cells transfected as in (A). The data represent means ± SD; *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 Student’s t-test compared to empty vector transfected cells or as depicted in the graphic.
and also controls miR-23 expression (Rathore et al., 2012). Moreover, ERK5 protects AML cells from oxidative stress (Lopez-Royuela et al., 2014). In summary, the ERK5/MEF2/miR-23/KEAP1 pathway represents a potential target for therapeutic intervention. Moreover, our results help explain how NRF2 supports leukemogenesis: it would reinforce leukemic cell survival under different metabolic conditions.

DCA can induce an antioxidant response through NRF2 activation, which can drive cytoprotective gene expression. However, DCA has other effects on cell biology: it changes metabolism and induces p53 activation (Allende-Vega et al., 2015; Charni et al., 2010). Moreover, DCA synergizes with genotoxic drugs, such as doxorubicin or vincristine, to activate p53. Hence, we cannot attribute the effect of DCA on tumor cells uniquely to its role in NRF2 activation. DCA has been used to treat several types of cancer with some positive results, including leukemia. Therefore, we believe that its anti-tumorigenic activity is higher than its pro-tumorigenic action.

During tumorigenesis, the immune system exerts additional pressure that likely contributes to the selection of certain tumor clones. Hence, the immune system may be a factor in selecting tumor cells with a defined metabolic state (Villalba et al., 2013; Catalaná et al., 2015). Notably, ERK5 is an important factor in both tumor immune eva-
sion and tumor cell metabolism (Charni et al., 2009; Charni et al., 2010). The fact that ERK5 is involved in several phenomena described here, namely tumor cell evasion, metabolism and antioxidant response, shows that several phenomena converge to generate a specific phenotype in a clinical tumor.
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