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Abstract

Background: Conduct disorder (CD) is a complex mental disorder characterized by severe rule breaking and aggressive behaviour. While studies have shown that several therapeutic interventions are effective in treating CD symptoms, researchers call for treatments based on etiological knowledge and potential patho-mechanisms. Mentalization-based treatment (MBT) may represent such a treatment approach: Studies have shown that individuals with CD show mentalizing deficits and that mentalizing might represent a protective factor against the development of the disorder. As MBT focuses on the understanding of social behaviour in terms of mental states, fostering mentalizing might help CD individuals to (re)gain an adaptive way of coping with negative emotions especially in social interactions and thus reduce aggressive behaviour. For this purpose, MBT was adapted for adolescents with CD (MBT-CD). This is a protocol of a feasibility and pilot study primarily investigating the acceptability of the intervention and the scientific assessments by CD individuals and their families based on quantitative and qualitative data as well as necessary organizational resources. Secondary aim is to investigate the course of symptom severity and mentalizing skills.

Methods: The bi-centre study is carried out in two outpatient settings associated with University Hospitals (Heidelberg and Mainz) in Germany. Adolescents aged between 11 and 18 years with a CD or oppositional defiant disorder (ODD) diagnosis are included. Participants receive MBT-CD for 6 to 12 months. Primary outcome of the feasibility study (e.g. recruitment and adherence rates) will be descriptively analysed. Multilevel modelling will be used to investigate secondary outcome data.

Discussion: Fostering the capacity to mentalize social interactions triggering non-mentalized, aggressive behaviour might help CD individuals to behave more adaptively. The feasibility trial is essential for gathering information on how to properly conduct MBT-CD including appropriate scientific assessments in this patient group, in order to subsequently investigate the effectiveness of MBT-CD in an RCT.

Background And Rationale

Conduct Disorder (CD) is a severe and complex mental disorder most common in adolescence. It is defined as a “repetitive and persistent pattern of behaviour in which the basic rights of others or major age-appropriate societal norms or rules are violated” [1]. Epidemiological studies have shown that about 5–10% of all children and adolescents meet the criteria for CD, while boys are more likely to be diagnosed than girls [2]. CD is a serious risk factor for the development of antisocial personality disorder (ASPD). More than 50% of men with ASPD fulfilled criteria for CD prior to the age of 15 [3]. Moreover, CD is often comorbid with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder [4] and is associated with an increased risk for the development of a number of other mental disorders, including anxiety disorders, depression, substance use disorder and bipolar disorder [5]. The following environmental factors have been identified to be associated with an increased risk for the development of CD [6]: dysfunctional parent-child interactions, critical life events such as parental divorce, parental loss, as well as early neglect, physical and sexual abuse [6–8]. The accumulation of risk factors further increases the risk for the development of CD [9]. At
the same time protective factors like intelligence or social support can help reduce the risk for dysfunctional development [10]. Based on these findings, there is consensus that the emergence of CD is complex [11], yet still, very little is known about the mechanisms contributing to the development and maintenance of CD subsequent to the exposure to the identified risk factors.

So far, meta-analyses have shown that a number of interventions are effective in reducing CD symptoms [12, 13]. Among these, cognitive-behavioural therapy, social skills training, parent training, and multi-systemic therapy are regarded as evidence-based treatments [12, 14]. They differ in their involvement of parents or peers, but their focus on CD symptom management is common to all. However, effect sizes are small, conduct problems oftentimes persist and it remains unclear which treatment works best for whom and why [12]. Moreover, drop-out rates of around 20% [12] and low motivation to seek treatment in the first place render the effective and sustainable treatment of CD pathology difficult. Importantly, interventions are so far lacking a comprehensive aetiological understanding of CD, which may entail the failing of targeting relevant mechanisms contributing to the development and maintaining of CD symptoms. In line with this notion, authors call for specification of interventions to target specific individual or subgroup deficits [11, 12] and base them on knowledge about underlying patho-mechanisms [11].

Recently, it has been shown that CD alongside many other mental disorders is related to dysfunctions in mentalizing [15]. Mentalizing describes an individual’s imaginative ability to perceive one’s own and other’s behaviour as the product of affective and cognitive mental states [16]. Taubner and colleagues [17] showed that adolescents with CD have a significantly lower mentalizing capacity compared to adolescents with no CD. These findings were replicated in a later study by Cropp et al. [18]. Moreover, focusing more on a developmental perspective fostering insight into possible patho-mechanisms, studies showed that mentalizing mediates the relationship between childhood maltreatment and externalising problems: Taubner and colleagues [19, 20] found that mentalizing (partially) mediated the relationship between childhood maltreatment and potential for violent behaviour in adolescence (14–21 years, [19]; 15–18 years,[20]). Similarly, Ensink and colleagues [21] found that mentalizing partially mediated the link between childhood sexual abuse and externalising problems, such as rule breaking and aggressive behaviour, in children aged between 7 and 12. Moreover, investigating adolescent PTSD patients, Abate and colleagues [22] found that hyper mentalizing mediated the link between trauma and aggression in female PTSD inpatients. Taken together, results indicate that mentalizing may serve as a protective factor against externalizing behaviours while dysfunctional mentalizing may not only be part of CD pathology but also aetiology.

The relation between limited (inhibited or biased) mentalizing and aggressive behaviour in CD may be explained by different phenomena: Firstly, if mentalizing is inhibited, the “violence inhibition mechanism” as described by Blair [23] might be impaired: According to the author, violent behaviour is normally inhibited when we see and empathise with others’ distress. If however mentalizing is inhibited, and consequently, individuals have difficulties to recognize others’ distress, the threshold for aggression and violent behaviour might be lowered. Secondly, if mentalizing is negatively biased, aggressive behaviour
may be elicited due to a more hostile “social information processing” [24–26] in these adolescents, characterized by a tendency to attribute hostile intent upon neutral or even positive social signals. As we can train and change the capacity to mentalize, a treatment focusing on enhancing mentalizing might thus be able to target a relevant patho-mechanism of CD.

In sum, we assume that a psychological intervention with a focus on improving mentalizing in the adolescent and his/her family can improve CD pathology as effective or even more sustainably than interventions focusing on symptom management only.

For this purpose, the authors have developed Mentalization-based treatment (MBT) for CD (MBT-CD). MBT-CD is a further development of MBT, which is evidence-based for patients with Borderline borderline personality disorder. MBT has already been adjusted successfully to working with adolescents with self-harm [27]. MBT-CD focuses on the development of a basic understanding of interpersonal situations and emotions, and specific mentalizing deficits that trigger antisocial and aggressive behaviour. In order to make this new treatment available for the adolescent population, we need to determine its effectiveness by conducting a randomized controlled trial (RCT). In line with the SPIRIT [28, for overview of included items please see appended checklist] and Consolidated Standard of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) statement [29], this protocol of a feasibility study is aimed at enhancing transparency and quality in gathering information to develop and appropriately conduct the protocol of a future RCT.

**Methods/design**

**Aims**

The primary aim of the pilot study is to investigate the feasibility of MBT-CD in terms of acceptability of the intervention, the scientific assessments by the patients and family as well as organizational resources needed to conduct an RCT in an outpatient only and a combined outpatient and inpatient setting in the future. Secondary aim is the examination of CD-symptom severity over time, the course of aggressive and antisocial behaviour, and changes in mentalizing. The primary aim of the future RCT will be to determine the effectiveness of MBT-CD in terms of CD symptom severity, levels of aggression and antisocial behaviour as well as an appropriate comparison group. Secondary aim of the RCT will be to investigate the change in a proposed patho-mechanism (i.e. mentalizing) and general symptom severity through MBT-CD.

**Design**

The study is a feasibility and pilot trial to form the basis for a future, prospective RCT. The study is carried out at two treatment centres in Heidelberg (Institute for Psychosocial Prevention) and Mainz (Pediatric and Adolescent Psychiatry Mainz), Germany. Adolescent participants receive MBT-CD over the course of 6 to 12 months. Adolescents and their parents are asked to take part in study assessments every three months during treatment and three months after the end of treatment (for overview of study flow see
Fig. 1). The design is adaptive in that both, intervention and scientific assessments can be changed in the course of the study, if e.g. drop-out rates and reasons indicate necessary adjustments for successful study continuation. Originally, this feasibility trial was designed as a single-blinded RCT to test the effectiveness of MBT-CD compared to TAU delivered as an outpatient treatment at the Clinic of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry at the University-Hospital Heidelberg. Due to recruitment problems, the design was changed into a non-randomized single group feasibility and pilot trial.

**Primary Outcomes**

Acceptability of the intervention will be evaluated based on quantitative and qualitative data:

1. Recruitment rates, and consent rates
2. Completion rates
3. Drop-out rates and reasons
4. Treatment duration in months and number of sessions
5. Oral evaluation of the intervention by the patients assessed via standardized questions

Acceptability of the scientific assessments will be evaluated based on quantitative and qualitative data:

1. Adherence rates, missing data
2. Preference of online or paper-pencil assessments
3. Oral evaluation of the assessments by the patients

Resources needed by the organisation will be determined regarding:

1. Scientific personnel
2. Recruitment networks, clinical cooperations
3. MBT trainings and supervision

**Secondary Outcomes**

CD symptom severity

1. Fulfilment of CD criteria assessed with the Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview for Children and Adolescents (M.I.N.I. KID) [30] and the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis II Disorders (SCID-II)[31],
2. Levels of aggression assessed with the Reactive–Proactive-Aggression Questionnaire (RPQ) [32],
3. Antisocial behaviour measured with the Subtypes of Antisocial Behavior Questionnaire (STAB) [33].

Mentalizing

1. Mentalizing assessed with the Reflective Functioning Questionnaire (RFQ)[34],
2. the Brief Reflective Functioning Interview (BRFI) [35],
3. and the Movie for the Assessment of Social Cognition (MASC) [36].

- Please insert Fig. 1 Study flow-chart. Sequence of steps from eligibility assessment to data analysis about here –

**Additional Assessments**

Sociodemographic data and childhood experiences

1. Age
2. Gender
3. Attended type of school
4. Childhood and adolescent experiences of neglect and abuse in the family context will be measured with the Childhood Experience of Care and Abuse Questionnaire (CECA-Q) [37]

Global and personality functioning

1. Global functioning assessed with the Global Assessment of Functioning Scale (GAF) [38], the Clinical Global Impression – Severity Index (CGI-SI) [39] and the General psychological symptom severity is measured with the Symptom-Checklist-90-Revised (SCL-90-R) [40].
2. Personality functioning assessed with the Levels of Personality Functioning – Questionnaire for Adolescence (LoPF-Q 12–18) [41]
3. Emotion regulation assessed with the Emotion Regulation Questionnaire (ERQ) [42]
4. Personality Pathology assessed with the Dimensional Assessment of Personality Pathology – Basic Questionnaire (DAPP-BQ) [43]
5. Psychopathy-like traits will be assessed with the Youth Psychopathic Traits Inventory (YPI) [46]

Experience of patient-therapist and other relationships

1. adolescents’ experience of the therapy working alliance assessed with the Working Alliance Inventory-Short Revised (WAI-SR) [45]
2. attachment anxiety and avoidance assessed with the Experiences in Close Relationships Scale-Revised Child-Version(ECR-RC) [46].
3. experience of parental behaviour assessed with the Zürcher Brief Questionnaire for the Assessment of Parental Behaviours (Zürcher Kurzfragebogen zum Erziehungsverhalten, ZKE) [47].

Parental mentalizing, stress, and experience of relationships

1. Mentalizing assessed with the Reflective Functioning Questionnaire (RFQ[34])
2. Parental Stress assessed with the Stress Index for Parents of Adolescents (SIPA) [48]
3. attachment anxiety and avoidance assessed with the Experiences in Close Relationships Scale-Revised (ECR-R) [49]

Cost-Effectiveness

1. Cost-effectiveness assessed with an adapted version of the European version of the client sociodemographic and service receipt inventory (CSSRI-EU) [50]

- insert Table 1 about here -
Table 1
Overview of scientific assessments and time points in both settings.

| Measure          | T1^a | T2^b | T3^c | T4^d |
|------------------|------|------|------|------|
| **Adolescent**   |      |      |      |      |
| WAI-SR           | X    |      |      |      |
| STAB             | X    | X    |      |      |
| RPQ              | X    | X    |      |      |
| YPI              |      |      | X    |      |
| CFT-2            |      |      |      | X    |
| LoPF-Q 12–18     | X    |      | X    |      |
| MASC             | X    |      |      |      |
| RFQ-8            | X    |      |      | X    |
| ECR-RC           | X    |      |      | X    |
| ERQ              | X    |      |      | X    |
| ZKE              | X    |      |      | X    |
| BRFI             |      |      |      | X    |
| CECA-Q           |      |      |      | X    |
| M.I.N.I KID      | X    |      |      |      |
| SCID-II          | X    |      |      |      |
| CGI-SI           | X    |      |      |      |
| SCL-90-R         | X    |      |      |      |
| DAPP-BQ          |      |      |      | X    |
| GAF              |      |      |      | X    |
| CSSRI-EU         | X    | X    |      | X    |
| **Parent**       |      |      |      |      |
| SIPA             | X    |      |      | X    |
| RFQ-8            | X    |      |      | X    |
| WAI-SR           |      |      |      | X    |
| ECR-R            |      |      |      | X    |
Participants

Adolescents with CD or ODD according to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual for Mental Disorders (DSM) [1] aged between 11 and 18 years are included in the study. Participants are recruited at the participating centres as well as with leaflets (two separate versions for adolescents and parents), which are distributed through multipliers and institutions (e.g., child and youth welfare services, schools, police stations, probation officers). Prospective participants or caretakers can contact the treatment centres via phone (number provided on the leaflet) to indicate their interest, ask questions and be screened for eligibility criteria through a standardized check list assessing rule breaking and defiant behaviour. Participants receive a total of 50 € for taking part in the scientific assessments.

Eligibility criteria

Adolescents are included if their main diagnosis is CD or ODD. The latter is a precursor or milder form of CD, with a pattern of angry, irritable mood, argumentative/defiant behaviour, or vindictiveness [1]. The pattern needs to last over the course of at least 6 months and needs to be exhibited in interaction with at least one individual, who is not a sibling. Moreover, the pattern needs to be associated with distress in social contexts or negative consequences for important areas of functioning [33]. Adolescents with CD or ODD are only included if they are between 11 and 18 years of age and both, adolescents and their parents provide written informed consent. Adolescents are excluded if they have committed sexual offenses, show acute psychotic symptoms, suffer from early or early-onset schizophrenia, have neurological impairments or intelligence severely below average (IQ < 60) as measured with the Culture Fair
Intelligence Test (CFT, [51], are non-German-speaking or have other clinical contra indication for outpatient psychotherapy (e.g. acute suicidality).

**Intervention**

MBT-CD is a CD-specific adaptation of MBT [52]. The primary goal of MBT-CD is the recovery of the mentalizing capacity in close relationships and along with this, a reduction of symptoms, especially aggressive and antisocial behaviour. The treatment duration is 6 to 12 months and consists of up to 30 weekly individual sessions with the adolescent and up to 10 monthly family sessions. At the beginning of the individual sessions, the adolescent's mentalizing capacity will be diagnosed (diagnostic phase). Before the 30 individual and 10 family sessions adolescents and their families attend two psycho-educational workshops. After the end of treatment, 3 booster sessions follow. When indicated, youth welfare services are involved.

The psycho-educational workshop (MBT-CD Introductory Workshop, MBT-CD-I) aims to familiarise the adolescent and their family with the mentalizing concept, educate about CD, the MBT-CD treatment goals and to strengthen therapy adherence. MBT-CD-I focuses on the topics of mentalizing (i.e. what is mentalizing, failures of mentalizing), emotions (i.e. basic emotions, emotion recognition), attachment and identity, as well as conflicts and boundaries.

After completing MBT-CD-I, adolescents start with their individual sessions. At the beginning of the individual sessions, therapy goals are developed using motivational interviewing and the therapist writes a case formulation about the adolescent's main mentalizing difficulties, both in collaboration with the adolescent. Moreover, prior to working on improving mentalizing abilities, risk behaviour is assessed and a risk emergency plan is developed if necessary. Then, throughout the main treatment phase, MBT-CD focuses on the therapeutic relationship to improve the adolescent’s mentalizing. With the therapist holding the adolescent’s mind in their mind, non-mentalized emotions (rather than cognitions) and their representations can be explored with regard to core problem behaviour. MBT-CD focuses on the development of a basic understanding of interpersonal situations, emotions, and failures of mentalizing that trigger antisocial and aggressive behaviour. The monthly family sessions (MBT-CD-F) aim to create a mentalizing environment by exploring dysfunctional mentalizing within the family system [53]: With the therapist's help, family members describe non-mentalizing interactions in detail (noticing and naming), mentalize the interactions (mentalize the moment), formulate potentially dysfunctional family interaction patterns and consider possible alternatives (generalize and consider change). Reciprocal understanding between therapist and all family members is continuously monitored (checking). This way, MBT-CD-F targets problematic family interactions by practicing mentalizing within relevant interpersonal context and hence enhancing the family’s self-regulatory strategies [53]. At the end of therapy, three booster sessions will follow to stabilize treatment effect (see Fig. 2).

- insert Fig. 2 The Process of MBT-CD about here –

**Treatment Adherence**
MBT-CD will be delivered by therapists who have undergone psychotherapy training, have the legal right to treat patients under supervision and who have participated in a 4-day MBT-CD training conducted by the first author (ST). Videos of each session will be obtained. Supervision will be provided in biweekly sessions. During supervision, case material (including therapy videos) is reviewed with regard to the therapist’s understanding of MBT theory and use of MBT interventions. Per therapist, six videos of one of their MBT-CD therapies will be randomly chosen to be rated for therapist adherence and competence with the MBT Adherence and Competence Scale [54].

**Statistical analysis**

All analyses will be performed according to the intention to treat principle. Analyses on quantitative data will be conducted using the statistical software SPSS (IBM, Version 25). Sociodemographic data and data of the scientific assessments at baseline (T1, see Table 1) will be used to characterise the sample. Primary outcome variables (recruitment rates, drop-out rates, and missing data of adolescents as well as parents) will be descriptively analysed. The distribution of treatment duration (in months and number of sessions) will be analysed to infer the optimal dose of treatment and necessary flexibility in treatment dose and duration. Scientific personnel costs will be estimated based on timely effort (hours needed per month, total number of months). Secondary outcome variables will be investigated using multilevel modelling. Content analysis will be used to investigate qualitative data (drop-out reasons, oral evaluations of MBT-CD and the scientific assessments).

**Discussion**

This protocol outlines the process of a feasibility and pilot study on MBT-CD in adolescents with CD or ODD. So far, treatments focusing mainly on symptom management remain unsatisfactory in treating patho-mechanisms possibly contributing to CD. Consequently, CD symptoms often persist [12], leading to unfavourable long-term prognoses and, together with insufficient understanding of CD aetiology, a pessimism in the treatment of CD [55]. Thus, researchers call for improving CD treatment e.g. through an integration of knowledge about the aetiology and pathological pathways (e.g., 11). As dysfunctional mentalizing has been linked to the development of CD [cf. 18,22,23], targeting specific mentalizing deficits in this patient group presents a promising approach for a more successful and sustainable treatment of CD and CD (relapse) prevention. However so far, there are no treatments focusing specifically on mentalizing in adolescents with CD. To fill this gap and aim at a more long-term positive effects on aggressive, norm-violating and rule-breaking behaviour, the authors have developed MBT for CD. Combining individual and family sessions, MBT-CD aims at improving mentalizing in adolescents with CD or ODD and their families within 6 months to one year of treatment. In line with the CONSORT statement [56], we aim at investigating the feasibility of an RCT in this patient group in the first step before conducting an RCT investigating its effectiveness in a second step. Several characteristics might render the conduct of an RCT in this patient group especially difficult: e.g. adolescents with CD oftentimes do not express a wish for help or display help seeking behaviour; specific fears may concern losing credibility in their peer group and portraying themselves as “weak”. Moreover, drop-out rates of
adolescents with CD are usually high [12], possibly inter alia due to disorganized and avoidant attachment strategies [57]. For this reason, the study design is adaptive, which helps to address CD-specific reservations to the intervention or to the scientific assessments during treatment. Moreover, the consultation of adolescents’ oral evaluations at the end of treatment in addition to the analysis of quantitative data will further help to develop and adapt the treatment in close collaboration with the adolescents. Ultimately, the goal is to provide a treatment, which engages adolescents with CD or ODD and helps fostering the ability to create and maintain healthy relationships and understand triggers of one’s own destructive behaviour. As such, MBT-CD is aimed to increase the adolescents’ chances of leading a healthy and satisfying life and along with this, of economically contributing to society.

List of Abbreviations

ASPD: antisocial personality disorder; BRFI: Brief Reflective Functioning Interview; CECA-Q: Childhood Experience of Care and Abuse Questionnaire; CFT-2: Cultural-Fair-Test 2; CGI-SI: Clinical Global Impression – Severity Index; DAPP-BQ: Dimensional Assessment of Personality Pathology – Basic Questionnaire; DSM: Diagnostic and Statistical Manual for Mental Disorders; ECR-R: Experiences in Close Relationships Scale-Revised; ECR-RC: Experiences in Close Relationships Scale-Revised for Children and Adolescents; ERQ: Emotion Regulation Questionnaire; GAF: Global assessment of functioning; LoPF-Q 12–18: Levels of Personality Functioning – Questionnaire for Adolescence; MASC: Movie for the Assessment of Social Cognition; MBT: Mentalization-Based Treatment; CD: Conduct Disorder; MBT-CD: Mentalization-based treatment for adolescents with conduct disorder; MBT-CDF: family sessions of Mentalization-based treatment for adolescents with conduct disorder; MBT-CDI: Introductory workshop MBT for conduct disorder; M.I.N.I. KID: Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview for children and adolescents; RCT: randomized controlled trial; RFQ: Reflective Functioning Questionnaire; RPQ: Reactive–Proactive-Aggression Questionnaire; SCID-II: Structured clinical interview for DSM-IV axis II personality disorders; SCL-90-R: Symptom-Checklist-90-Revised; SIPA: Stress Index for Parents of Adolescents; STAB: Subtypes of Antisocial Behavior Questionnaire; WAI-SR: Working Alliance Inventory-Short Revised; YPI: Youth Psychopathy Traits Inventory; ZKE: Zürcher Brief Questionnaire for the Assessment of Parental Behaviors.

Declarations

Trial status

The trial is currently in the treatment and follow-up phase in Heidelberg and in the recruitment and treatment phase in Mainz. The first patient was included on 19.01.2017.

Trial registration

Trial registration on Clinicaltrials.gov NCT02988453, November 30, 2016

Declarations
The trial is currently in the treatment and follow-up phase in Heidelberg and in the recruitment and treatment phase in Mainz. The first patient was included on 19.01.2017.

**Trial registration**

Trial registration on Clinicaltrials.gov NCT02988453, November 30, 2016

**Ethics approval and consent to participate**

The Ethics Committee of Heidelberg University (Germany) has approved this study. The study is registered with the number S-534/2016. Written informed consent to participate will be obtained from all participants.

**Consent for publication**

Participants will be informed of the trial verbally and in writing, and written informed consent will be obtained from at least one of the participants’ parents as well as from the participant before inclusion. There are no details of individuals reported within this manuscript.

**Availability of data and material**

Pseudonymised data and material will be available in an Open Science Format.

**Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

**Funding**

The Dietmar Hopp Stiftung is funding this trial for 3 years. The Heidehof Stiftung gave additional financial support for 2 years. The costs include organizational costs, salaries of assistants, publication costs. The funder has no role in the design of this study and does not have any role during its execution, analyses, interpretation of the data, or decision to submit results. The protocol was not peer-reviewed by this funding body.

**Authors’ contributions**

ST developed, designed and lead the research project together with JV. SH and TCG administered the project and drafted the first version of the manuscript. SH, TCG, LK and ES were responsible for study coordination and data collection. MK and ES were responsible for recruitment. ST and JV monitored MBT treatment adherence. ST, JV, MK and ES corrected and revised the manuscript. All authors provided comments and approved the final version of the manuscript.

**Acknowledgements**
The authors want to thank the Dietmar Hopp Stiftung and Heidehof Stiftung for funding this research; the therapists who deliver the treatments; the student assistants for their support; the patients for their time and efforts; the collaborating treatment centres in Heidelberg and Mainz.

References

1. American Psychiatric Association. APA DSM-5. Diagnostic Stat Man Ment Disord 2013. http://www.dsm5.org/Pages/Default.aspx.
2. Costello EJ, Mustillo S, Erkanli A, Keeler G, Angold A. Prevalence and development of psychiatric disorders in childhood and adolescence. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2003. https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.60.8.837.
3. Ridenour TA, Cottler LB, Robins LN, Compton WM, Spitznagel EL, Cunningham-Williams RM. Test of the plausibility of adolescent substance use playing a causal role in developing adulthood antisocial behavior. J Abnorm Psychol. 2002. https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-843X.111.1.144.
4. Erskine HE, Norman RE, Ferrari AJ, Chan GCK, Copeland WE, Whiteford HA, et al. Long-Term Outcomes of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and Conduct Disorder: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2016. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaac.2016.06.016.
5. Kim-Cohen J, Caspi A, Moffitt TE, Harrington H, Milne BJ, Poulton R. Prior Juvenile Diagnoses in Adults With Mental Disorder. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2003. https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.60.7.709.
6. Jaffee SR, Caspi A, Moffitt TE, Dodge KA, Rutter M, Taylor A, et al. Nature X nurture: Genetic vulnerabilities interact with physical maltreatment to promote conduct problems. Dev Psychopathol. 2005. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579405050042.
7. Loeber R, Burke JD, Lahey BB. What are adolescent antecedents to antisocial personality disorder? Crim Behav Ment Heal. 2002. https://doi.org/10.1002/cbm.484.
8. Moffitt TE, Caspi A, Harrington H, Milne BJ. Males on the life-course-persistent and adolescence-limited antisocial pathways: Follow-up at age 26 years. Dev Psychopathol. 2002. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579402001104.
9. Maughan B, Rutter M. Antisocial children grown up. Conduct Disord. Child. Adolesc. New York: Cambridge University Press; 2001. pp. 507–52.
10. Lösel F, Bender D. Protective factors and resilience. Early Prev. Adult Antisocial Behav. 2003. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511489259.006.
11. Fairchild G, Hawes DJ, Frick PJ, Copeland WE. Conduct disorder. Nat Rev Dis Prim 2019:1–25. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41572-019-0095-y.
12. Bakker MJ, Greven CU, Buitelaar JK, Glennon JC. Practitioner Review: Psychological treatments for children and adolescents with conduct disorder problems – a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Child Psychol Psychiatry Allied Discip. 2017;1:4–18. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.12590.
13. Lipsey MW. The primary factors that characterize effective interventions with juvenile offenders: A meta-analytic overview. Vict Offenders. 2009. https://doi.org/10.1080/15564880802612573.

14. Erford BT, Bardhoshi G, Ross M, Gunther C, Duncan K. Meta-Analysis of Counseling Outcomes for Youth With Conduct Disorders. J Couns Dev. 2017. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcad.12115.

15. Fonagy P, Luyten P. Conduct problems in youth and the RDoC approach: A developmental, evolutionary-based view. Clin Psychol Rev. 2018;64:57–76. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2017.08.010.

16. Fonagy P, Bateman AW. Mentalizing and borderline personality disorder. J Ment Heal. 2007;16:83–101. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcad.12115.

17. Taubner S, Wiswede D, Nolte T, Roth G. Mentalisierung und externalisierende Verhaltensstörungen in der Adoleszenz. Psychotherapeut 2010. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00278-010-0753-8.

18. Cropp C, Alexsandrowicz RW, Taubner S. Reflective functioning in an adolescent community sample. Ment Heal Prev. 2019. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mhp.2019.200156.

19. Taubner S, Zimmermann L, Ramberg A, Schröder P. Mentalization mediates the relationship between early maltreatment and potential for violence in adolescence TT - Mentalisierung vermittelt die Beziehung zwischen früher Misshandlung und Gewaltpotenzial in der Jugend. Psychopathology. 2016. https://doi.org/10.1159/000448053.

20. Taubner S, Curth C. Mentalization mediates the relation between early traumatic experiences and aggressive behavior in adolescence. Psihologija. 2013. https://doi.org/10.2298/PSI1302177T.

21. Ensink K, Bégin M, Normandin L, Fonagy P. Maternal and child reflective functioning in the context of child sexual abuse: Pathways to depression and externalising difficulties. Eur J Psychotraumatol. 2016. https://doi.org/10.3402/ejpt.v7.30611.

22. Abate A, Marshall K, Sharp C, Venta A. Trauma and Aggression: Investigating the Mediating Role of Mentalizing in Female and Male Inpatient Adolescents. Child Psychiatry Hum Dev. 2017;48:881–90. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10578-017-0711-6.

23. 10.1016/0010-0277(95)00676-P

Blair RJR. A cognitive developmental approach to morality: investigating the psychopath. Cognition 1995. https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-0277(95)00676-P.

24. Dodge KA. Social-Cognitive Mechanisms in the Development of Conduct Disorder and Depression. Annu Rev Psychol. 1993. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ps.44.020193.003015.

25. Dodge KA. Do social information-processing patterns mediate aggressive behavior? Causes Conduct Disord. Juv. Delinq. New York: The Guilford Press; 2003. pp. 254–74.

26. Crick NR, Dodge KA. Social Information-Processing Mechanisms in Reactive and Proactive Aggression. Child Dev. 1996. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8624.1996.tb01778.x.

27. Rossouw TI, Fonagy P. Mentalization-based treatment for self-harm in adolescents: A randomized controlled trial. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2012. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaac.2012.09.018.
28. Chan A-W, Tetzlaff JM, Altman DG, Laupacis A, Gøtzsche PC, Krleža-Jerić K, et al. SPIRIT 2013 Statement: Defining Standard Protocol Items for Clinical Trials. Ann Intern Med. 2013;158:200–7. https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-158-3-201302050-00583.

29. Eldridge SM, Chan CL, Campbell MJ, Bond CM, Hopewell S, Thabane L. CONSORT 2010 statement: extension to randomised pilot and feasibility trials. Pilot Feasibility Stud 2016;1:32. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40814-016-0105-8.

30. Sheehan D, Harnett-Sheehan K, Shytle R, Janavs J, Bannon Y, Rogers J, et al. The Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview for Children and Adolescents (MINI-KID): Validity and reliability. J Clin Psychiatry. 2010;71:313–26. https://doi.org/10.4088/JCP.09m05305whi.

31. Wittchen H-U, Zaudig M, Fydrich T. Strukturiertes Klinisches Interview für DSM-IV (SKID I und SKID II). Göttingen: Hogrefe; 1997. https://doi.org/10.1026/0084-5345.28.1.68.

32. Raine A, Dodge K, Loeber R, Gatzke-Kopp L, Lynam D, Reynolds C, et al. The reactive-proactive aggression questionnaire: Differential correlates of reactive and proactive aggression in adolescent boys. Aggress Behav. 2006. https://doi.org/10.1002/ab.20115.

33. Alexandra Burt S, Brent Donnellan M. Development and validation of the subtypes of antisocial behavior questionnaire. Aggress Behav. 2009. https://doi.org/10.1002/ab.20314.

34. Fonagy P, Luyten P, Moulton-Perkins A, Lee YW, Warren F, Howard S, et al. Development and validation of a self-report measure of mentalizing: The reflective functioning questionnaire. PLoS One. 2016. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0158678.

35. Rutimann DD, Meehan KB. Validity of a Brief Interview for Assessing Reflective Function 2011:577–90. https://doi.org/10.1177/003065112445616.

36. Dziobek I, Fleck S, Kalbe E, Rogers K, Hassenstab J, Brand M, et al. Introducing MASC: A movie for the assessment of social cognition. J Autism Dev Disord. 2006. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-006-0107-0.

37. Kaess M, Parzer P, Matern M, Resch F, Bifulco A, Brunner R. Childhood Experiences of Care and Abuse (CECA). Z Kinder Jugendpsychiatr Psychother 2011. https://doi.org/10.1024/1422-4917/a000115.

38. 10.1016/S0033-3182(95)71666-8

Hall RCW. Global Assessment of Functioning: A Modified Scale. Psychosomatics 1995. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0033-3182(95)71666-8.

39. Guy W. ECDEU Assessment manual for Psychopharmacology Revised: Clinical Global Impression. DHEW Publ 1976;no. (ADM):76–338.

40. Franke GH. SCL-90-R. Die Symptom-Checkliste von Derogatis–Deutsche Version. Göttingen Beltz Test 1995.

41. Goth K, Birkhölzer M, Schmeck K. Assessment of Personality Functioning in Adolescents With the LoPF–Q 12–18 Self-Report Questionnaire. J Pers Assess 2018. https://doi.org/10.1080/00223891.2018.1489258.
42. Abler B, Kessler H. Emotion Regulation Questionnaire - Eine Deutschsprachige Fassung des ERQ von Gross und John. Diagnostica 2009. https://doi.org/10.1026/0012-1924.55.3.144.
43. 10.4135/9781849200479.n29
   Livesley WJ, Larstone R. The dimensional assessment of personality pathology (DAPP). SAGE Publ. Inc., 2008, p. 608–25. https://doi.org/10.4135/9781849200479.n29.
44. Stadlin C, Pérez T, Schmeck K, Di Gallo A, Schmid M. Konstruktvalidität und Faktorenstruktur des deutschsprachigen Youth Psychopathic Traits Inventory (YPI) in einer repräsentativen Schulstichprobe. Diagnostica. 2016. https://doi.org/10.1026/0012-1924/a000139.
45. Wilmers F, Munder T, Leonhart R, Herzog T, Plassmann R, Barth J, et al. Die deutschsprachige Version des Working Alliance Inventory – short revised (WAI-SR) – Ein schulenübergreifendes, ökonomisches und empirisch validiertes Instrument zur Erfassung der therapeutischen Allianz *. Klin Diagnostik Und Eval 2008.
46. Lionetti F, Mastrotheodoros S, Palladino BE. Experiences in Close Relationships Revised Child version (ECR-RC): Psychometric evidence in support of a Security factor. Eur J Dev Psychol. 2018. https://doi.org/10.1080/17405629.2017.1297228.
47. 10.1026//0012-1924.47.4.196
   Reitzle M, Metzke CW, Steinhausen HC. Eltern und Kinder: Der Zürcher Kurzfragebogen zum Erziehungsverhalten (ZKE). Diagnostica 2001. https://doi.org/10.1026//0012-1924.47.4.196.
48. Sheras PL, Konold TR, Abidin RR, Psychological Assessment Resources I SIPA, Stress Index for Parents of Adolescents: Professional Manual. PAR, Psychological Assessment Resources; 1998.
49. Ehrenthal JC, Dinger U, Lamla A, Funken B, Schauenburg H. Evaluation der deutschsprachigen version des bindungsfragebogens “Experiences in close relationships - Revised” (ECR-RD). PPM Psychother Psychosom Medizinische Psychol. 2009. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2008-1067425.
50. Roick C, Kilian R, Matschinger H, Bernert S, Mory C, Angermeyer MC. Die deutsche Version des Client Sociodemographic and Service Receipt Inventory. Psychiat Prax 2001. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2001-17790.
51. Weiß RH. Grundintelligenztest skala 2—revision CFT 20-R [culture fair intelligence test scale 2—revision]. Göttingen: Hogrefe; 2006.
52. Bateman A, Fonagy P. Mentalization-based treatment. Psychoanal Inq. 2013. https://doi.org/10.1080/07351690.2013.835170.
53. Taubner S, Volkert J. Mentalisierungsbasierte Therapie für Adoleszente (MBT-A). Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht; 2016.
54. Karterud S, Pedersen G, Engen M, Johansen MS, Johansson PN, Schlüter C, et al. The MBT Adherence and Competence Scale (MBT-ACS): Development, structure and reliability. Psychother Res. 2013. https://doi.org/10.1080/10503307.2012.708795.
55. Salekin RT. Psychopathy and therapeutic pessimism: Clinical lore or clinical reality? Clin Psychol Rev. 2002;22:79–112. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-7358(01)00083-6.
56. Eldridge SM, Chan CL, Campbell MJ, Bond CM, Hopewell S, Thabane L, et al. CONSORT 2010 statement: Extension to randomised pilot and feasibility trials. Pilot Feasibility Stud 2016. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40814-016-0105-8.

57. Theule J, Germain SM, Cheung K, Hurl KE, Markel C. Conduct Disorder/Oppositional Defiant Disorder and Attachment: A Meta-Analysis. J Dev Life-Course Criminol 2016. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40865-016-0031-8.

**Trial Information**
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**Sources of Monetary Support:** Dietmar Hopp Stiftung, Heidehof Stiftung

**Recruitment Status:** Recruitment complete and intervention complete, follow-up assessments ongoing (Heidelberg). Recruitment and assessments ongoing (Mainz).

**Primary Sponsor:** Svenja Taubner

**Secondary Sponsor:** Esther Sobanski

**Recruitment Country:** Germany

**Health condition studied:** Conduct Disorder, Oppositional Defiant Disorder

**Intervention:** Mentalization-based treatment for conduct disorder (MBT-CD): MBT-CD is an adaptation of MBT for Borderline Personality Disorder. This manualized psychodynamic psychotherapy focuses on increasing mentalizing, i.e. the ability to understand behaviour in terms of mental states, in patients. MBT-CD includes weekly individual sessions with the patient and monthly family sessions.

**Key Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria:** Included are adolescent individuals with a diagnosis of conduct disorder or oppositional defiant disorder aged between 11-18 years.

**Study Type:** Feasibility and pilot study (single-group)

**Date of first enrolment:** 19.01.2017

**Study Status:** The trial is currently in the follow-up assessment phase in Heidelberg and in the recruitment and treatment phase in Mainz.

**Primary outcomes:** Acceptability of MBT-CD (recruitment rates, completion rates, drop-out rates, treatment duration, oral evaluation), acceptability of scientific assessments (adherence, missing data, oral evaluation), necessary organizational resources (scientific personnel, recruitment networks, MBT-CD training and supervision)
Secondary outcomes: Adolescents’ symptom severity and mentalizing ability
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**Figure 1**

Study flow-chart. Sequence of steps from eligibility assessment to data analysis in both settings.
Figure 2

The Process of MBT-CD in both settings.
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