Proteostasis is a challenge for cellular organisms, as all known protein synthesis machineries are error-prone. Here we show by cell fractionation and microscopy studies that misfolded proteins formed in the endoplasmic reticulum can become associated with and partly transported into mitochondria, resulting in impaired mitochondrial function. Blocking the endoplasmic reticulum-mitochondria encounter structure (ERMES), but not the mitochondrial sorting and assembly machinery (SAM) or the mitochondrial surveillance pathway components Msp1 and Vms1, abrogated mitochondrial sequestration of ER-misfolded proteins. We term this mitochondria-associated proteostatic mechanism for ER-misfolded proteins ERAMS (ER-associated mitochondrial sequestration). We testify to the relevance of this pathway by using mutant α1-antitrypsin as an example of a human disease-related misfolded ER protein, and we hypothesize that ERAMS plays a role in pathological features such as mitochondrial dysfunction.
Protein homeostasis depends on a delicate balance between maintaining protein conformation, refolding misfolded proteins and degrading damaged proteins. This process is most finely controlled in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER). The ER is a main hub of protein production and serves as the synthesis site for secretory and membrane proteins. More than one-third of a cell’s proteome is typically synthesized in the ER. Proteins enter the ER unfolded and are processed by a complex protein folding machinery consisting of foldases, oxido-reductases, chaperones, lectins and oligosaccharide processing enzymes to facilitate proper folding. In general, proteins only leave the ER after they have reached their native states. However, protein folding in the ER is relatively slow and inefficient and requires the continuous removal of polypeptides that fail to attain their native structure. To offset the harmful consequences of misfolded protein accumulation, ER-retained misfolded proteins are most commonly destroyed. The primary mechanism of disposal is referred to as ER-associated degradation (ERAD). During ERAD, terminally misfolded proteins are delivered to the proteasome, which resides in the cytoplasm. Destruction of ERAD substrates thus requires delivery from the ER by retrotranslocation into the cytoplasm, where they become subsequently processed and degraded by the ubiquitin-proteasome system. ER and mitochondria form physical contact sites, resulting in electron-dense structures that bridge these two organelles. These membrane contact sites are also referred to as mitochondria-associated membranes (MAMs). More recently, a protein complex termed ERMES (ER-mitochondria encounter structure) has been identified that tethers ER and mitochondria and that facilitates the exchange of Ca²⁺ and lipids between these two organelles. ER-mitochondria tethers have been implicated in lipid homeostasis, the formation of autophagosomes, and apoptotic signalling, contributing to the view that ER-mitochondria connections are hubs for integrating interorganelle crosstalk. Here, we addressed the question of whether further to these functions, mitochondria play a role in ER proteostasis.

Results

ER-Fluc is targeted to ER, processed, and misfolded. To assess and follow the trafficking of ER-localized misfolded proteins we used a modified misfolding-prone firefly luciferase reporter (Fluc). We targeted Fluc to the ER with the help of the prolactin signal peptide (PLN) placed upstream, and a KDEL ER-retention sequence placed downstream of the luciferase coding sequence (ER-Fluc construct). As a control, we used a similar construct without the PLN ER-targeting and the KDEL ER-retention signals, so that Fluc remains in the cytoplasm (cyto-Fluc construct). To assess the subcellular localization of the ER-Fluc constructs, HEK293 cells were transiently transfected with ER-Fluc and cyto-Fluc constructs and the cytosolic and ER fractions were isolated and analyzed by Western blot. As a control, we used a similar construct without the PLN ER-targeting and the KDEL ER-retention signals, so that Fluc remains in the cytoplasm (cyto-Fluc construct). To assess the subcellular localization of the ER-Fluc constructs, HEK293 cells were transiently transfected with ER-Fluc and cyto-Fluc constructs and the cytosolic and ER fractions were isolated and analyzed by Western blot. Compared to cyto-Fluc which was found predominantly in the cytosolic fraction, ER-Fluc was significantly enriched in the ER fraction. Detection of Fluc by Western blot demonstrated two different molecular weight forms of ER-Fluc and we hypothesized that the higher molecular weight form reflects ER-mediated N-glycosylation. To corroborate the identity of the higher molecular weight form of ER-Fluc we used an endoglycosidase H (Endo H) treatment to deglycosylate the protein. Subsequent high-resolution SDS-gel analysis confirmed the identity of the higher molecular weight form as N-glycosylated Fluc and the lower molecular weight form as unglycosylated Fluc, both with cleavage of the signal peptide, demonstrating that ER-Fluc had passed into the ER (Fig. 1b). Apparently, while cleavage of the signal peptide is complete, glycosylation of the Fluc reporter in the ER is partial, most likely as a result of its non-ER nature. Further evidence for expression of the ER-Fluc reporter in the ER was provided by confocal microscopy, which revealed co-localization of ER-Fluc with the ER-marker protein calreticulin. Transfection with the HA-tagged ER-resident protein β-galactosyltransferase (Gal-Trans) served as a positive control (Fig. 1c).

As a possible indicator of protein misfolding we studied the activity of Fluc as enzymatic activity is reduced when Fluc is misfolded. The enzymatic activity of ER-Fluc was dramatically reduced compared to cyto-Fluc (Fig. 1d). This loss of activity cannot be explained by N-glycosylation of the ER-Fluc reporter or by the PLN-signal interfering with luciferase’s enzymatic activity, as a significant part of ER-Fluc is cleaved and not glycosylated (see Fig. 1b). We hypothesize that the inactivity of the ER-Fluc most likely reflects incorrect folding inside the endoplasmic reticulum.

Mitochondrial localization of misfolded ER-Fluc. We studied the cellular localization of ER-Fluc using cell fractionation experiments. Surprisingly, Western blot analysis demonstrated that a substantial part of ER-Fluc is present in purified mitochondrial fractions (Fig. 1e). Transfections with mitochondria-targeted firefly luciferase (MTS-Fluc) and myc-tagged ER-resident protein Gal-Trans were used as positive and negative controls, respectively (Fig. 1e). Evidence for expression of mitochondria-targeted firefly luciferase (MTS-Fluc) in mitochondria was provided by immunofluorescence studies, which revealed co-localization with the mitochondrial marker protein TOM20 (Supplementary Fig. 2). Using the mitochondrial matrix protein COX1 for normalization, we estimated the relative amount of transfected reporters enriched in the mitochondrial fraction. Compared to the native ER-resident protein Gal-Trans, a significant amount of misfolded ER-Fluc was recovered in the mitochondrial fraction, for quantification see Fig. 1f and Supplementary Fig. 3.

The detection of the two major forms of ER-Fluc in the mitochondrial fraction, representing the ER-associated modifications of cleavage of the signal peptide and N-glycosylation, indicates that the ER-Fluc present in the mitochondrial fraction has passed through the ER. To further assess the association of misfolded ER-Fluc with mitochondria, we treated purified mitochondrial fractions with proteinase K to digest ER-Fluc attached to the outside of mitochondria. In addition, mitochondrial samples were subjected to proteinase K treatment following permeabilization of the outer mitochondrial membrane by hypotonic shock (20 mM HEPES). The ER-Fluc associated with the mitochondrial fraction was partially digested upon treatment with proteinase K. However, a significant part of the ER-Fluc stayed intact after treatment with proteinase K alone or following permeabilization of the outer membrane by hypotonic shock and was degraded only after treatment with proteinase K in combination with Triton X-100, dissolving both outer and inner mitochondrial membrane (Supplementary Fig. 4A, for quantification by densitometry analysis see Supplementary Fig. 4B). As control we used cells expressing mitochondria-targeted Fluc. MTS-Fluc in the mitochondrial fractions was protected from treatment with proteinase K alone or in combination with hypotonic shock and was degraded only after a combined treatment with proteinase K and Triton X-100 (Supplementary Fig. 4A, B). As controls for mitochondrial integrity and intramitochondrial localization we used proteins TOM70, TIM23, and COX1 for outer membrane, intermembrane space, and mitochondrial matrix, respectively.

Microscopy studies in ER-Fluc expressing cells. The results of the cell fractionation experiments suggested that misfolded ER-Fluc is associated with mitochondria and becomes partially imported.
However, cell fractionation experiments inherently are compromised by some pollution of the mitochondrial fraction with ER proteins. In addition, we cannot exclude that small amounts of protein aggregates accumulating in ER membrane inclusions may fragment away from the main ER network and potentially co-fractionate with mitochondria. To address these limitations, we decided to use a previously described split-GFP mito-localization system combined with confocal and electron microscopy and FACS analysis\(^{15}\). Split-GFP has the advantage of low off rates allowing for augmentation of even small signals. Briefly, this system consists of two vectors; one carrying the β-strands of GFP fused to mitochondria-targeted mCherry (MTS-mCherry-GFP\(_{10}\)) and one carrying the eleventh β-strand of GFP (GFP\(_{11}\)) fused to a reporter protein. 

Manders’ correlation coefficient was used to determine co-localization of the mitochondria-targeted mCherry-GFP\(_{10}\) with TOM20, a mitochondrial protein of the outer membrane (Supplementary Fig. 5). Mitochondria-localized GFP fluorescence is observed only when the GFP\(_{11}\)-fused reporter associates with mitochondria and complements the mitochondrial-targeted mCherry-GFP\(_{10}\). In our experiments we used the ER-localized wild-type luciferase (ER-FlucWT-HA-GFP\(_{11}\)), a double mutant aggregation-prone luciferase variant (FlucDM) targeted to ER (ER-FlucDM-HA-GFP\(_{11}\)), the non-misfolding ER-resident protein Gal-Trans, and MTS-HA-GFP\(_{11}\) (as a positive control).\(^{14}\) Staining with antibodies against calreticulin was used to demonstrate ER localization of the ER-Fluc constructs and of ER-Gal-Trans (Fig. 1c). Alongside each reporter, cells were transiently co-transfected with MTS-mCherry-GFP\(_{10}\) and the resulting mitochondria-associated GFP fluorescence was measured by FACS and visualized by confocal microscopy. We further included co-transfection with MTS-Fluc-HA-GFP\(_{11}\) together with MTS-mCherry-GFP\(_{10}\) in this analysis to fully represent the reporters used in the cell fractionation experiments, i.e., ER-Fluc, MTS-Fluc, and ER-Gal-Trans. In addition to subcellular localization, expression levels of the reporter protein were monitored by staining with anti-HA antibodies.

The positive controls MTS-HA-GFP\(_{11}\) and MTS-Fluc-HA-GFP\(_{11}\) localized exclusively to mitochondria and cells co-expressing MTS-HA-GFP\(_{11}\) or MTS-Fluc-HA-GFP\(_{11}\) together with MTS-mCherry-GFP\(_{10}\) showed a prominent split-GFP signal (Fig. 2b, for quantification Fig. 2c, d). The misfolding ER reporters ER-FlucWT-HA-GFP\(_{11}\) and ER-FlucDM-HA-GFP\(_{11}\) showed a split-GFP signal of varying intensity in cells co-expressing MTS-mCherry-GFP\(_{10}\). In contrast, the non-misfolding reporter ER Gal-Trans-HA-GFP\(_{11}\) showed only a minor, if any, split-GFP signal (Fig. 2a, b,
for quantification Fig. 2c, d). These data were further corroborated using Pearson’s correlation coefficient for co-localization analysis of split-GFP and MTS-mCherry fluorescent signals (Fig. 2e). To control for the transfection and expression efficiency of the reporters, we used antibodies specific to the HA-tag, and quantified the percentage of cells showing co-localization of split-GFP with MTS-mCherry signals relative to the total number of cells expressing both HA-tag and mCherry. This revealed that for the positive controls MTS-HA-GFP₁₁ and MTS-Fluc-HA-GFP₁₁ more than 90% of cells co-expressing MTS-HA-GFP₁₁ or MTS-Fluc-HA-GFP₁₁ together with MTS-mCherry-GFP₁₀ exhibited a split-GFP signal. In cells co-expressing one of the misfolding ER-Fluc-HA-GFP₁₁ reporters together with MTS-mCherry-GFP₁₀ we observed 15–20% split-GFP positive cells. In contrast, very little, if any, cells expressing
split-GFP were found among cells co-expressing the non-misfolding ER-Gal-Trans-HA-GFP11 reporter together with MTS-mCherry-GFP11 vectors. We used staining with the mitochondrial marker TOM20. The mitochondrial split-GFP signal of the positive controls, MTS-HA-GFP and MTS-Fluor-HA-GFP, localized with TOM20 and mCherry fluorescence, as did the split-GFP signal of ER-FlucWT-GFP11 and ER-FlucDM-GFP11 (Fig. 2b). Compared to ER-FlucWT, the split-GFP fluorescence was not further increased by the DM mutations as assessed by fluorescence microscopy quantification and FACS analysis (Fig. 2c, d). This observation indicates that misfolding of the FlucWT protein inside the ER is not further aggravated by the additional DM mutations.

We finally used a correlative light and electron microscopy approach in cells co-transfected with ER-FlucWT-GFP11 and MTS-mCherry-GFP11 to demonstrate the localization of split-GFP and mCherry within mitochondria. Both fluorescent signals (mCherry, split-GFP) co-localized and were found within mitochondria as revealed by images of ultrathin sections (110 nm) acquired from correlative light and electron microscopy (Fig. 2g). These data largely exclude the possibility that the co-localization of split-GFP and mitochondrial-targeted mCherry observed by confocal microscopy in cells co-transfected with ER-FlucWT-GFP11 and MTS-mCherry-GFP11 is a mere result of the association of ER-Fluc with the mitochondrial surface. Rather, these data demonstrate that the co-localization of the split-GFP signal with mCherry is at least in part a result of localization of ER-Fluc within mitochondria.

Expression of ER-Fluc impairs mitochondrial function.

We next investigated whether the accumulation of misfolded ER-Fluc (ER-FlucWT, ER-FlucDM) in mitochondria affects mitochondrial function compared to transfection with the non-misfolding ER-reporter ER-Gal-Trans. For most of these assays, treatment with the ionophore CCCP served as positive control. We first assessed total mitochondrial content using staining with Mitotracker Deep Red. Decreased Mitotracker DR staining indicated a reduced mitochondrial mass in the ER-Fluc transfected cells (Fig. 3a). We also observed that ROS formation, as assessed by FACS analysis of cells stained with MitoSOX Red, was significantly elevated (Fig. 3b). Mitochondrial membrane potential was determined using the red fluorescence indicator DiIC1(5) and found to be decreased in cells expressing ER-Fluc (Fig. 3c). Further, ATP content was significantly lower in cells expressing ER-FlucWT or ER-FlucDM (Fig. 3c). We next shifted the cellular ATP synthesis from glycolysis to oxidative phosphorylation by replacing glucose with galactose in the growth media. Replacing glucose with galactose augmented the decrease in ATP content in both ER-Fluc transfected cells and in wild-type cells treated with CCCP (Fig. 3d, Supplementary Fig. 7). Alongside these changes in mitochondrial mass, ROS production, membrane potential, and ATP content we found significantly increased mitophagy in ER-Fluc transfected cells. Mitophagy was assessed using co-transfection with pKeimaRed vector followed by FACS analysis (Fig. 3f). Elevated levels of ROS and mitophagy were further accompanied by increased apoptosis, indicated by increased Caspase 3 activity (Fig. 3g). In contrast, in cells expressing the non-misfolding ER-Gal-Trans reporter none of the markers used to assess mitochondrial function (mitotracker, mitoSOX, membrane potential, ATP content, ATP content in the presence of galactose, mitophagy, Caspase 3, for original data underlying the graphs in Fig. 3 see Supplementary Fig. 1A–E) were significantly different from the wild-type HEK293 controls.

Mutant form of α-1-antitrypsin becomes sequestered with mitochondria. Our findings with the misfolded ER protein reporter ER-Fluc prompted us to search for endogenous misfolded ER-proteins which could possibly become directed to mitochondria. α-1-antitrypsin (AT) is one of the most abundant serum glycoproteins produced and secreted by the liver. In α-1-antitrypsin (α1AT) deficiency, an E342K mutant of α1AT, termed ATZ, is retained in the endoplasmic reticulum of liver cells rather than secreted. The substitution E342K results in abnormal folding of the mutant protein and frequently comes along with liver
injury and hepatocellular carcinoma. In addition, the mutant protein has an increased propensity to polymerize, forming insoluble aggregates within the rough ER. To examine a possible mitochondrial localization of misfolded ATZ, we cloned WT-AT and ATZ fused to a myc-tag in an expression vector and transiently transfected HEK293 cells with these constructs. Treatment of total cell lysates with endoglycosidase H followed by Western blot analysis showed that both WT-AT and ATZ are almost completely glycosylated (Fig. 4a), testifying to ER processing. Visualization of the WT-AT and ATZ reporters by confocal microscopy demonstrated ER localization of both WT-AT and ATZ (Fig. 4b). Fractionation experiments followed by Western blot analysis showed the presence of WT-AT and ATZ proteins in ER fractions, as well as in the growth media, where they were secreted. As expected, mutant ATZ is secreted with less efficiency compared to WT-AT. Most notably, mutant ATZ—but not WT-AT—extensively accumulated in the mitochondrial fraction (Fig. 4c), for quantification see Fig. 4d and Supplementary Fig. 8. Treatment of mitochondrial fractions isolated from ATZ expressing cells with proteinase K either alone or in combination with hypotonic shock (20 mM HEPES) revealed that the majority of misfolded ATZ is subject to proteinase K digestion, with a limited amount of ATZ being protected from treatment with proteinase K and a combined hypotonic shock/proteinase K treatment (Supplementary Fig. 9).

To examine a possible mitochondrial localization of misfolded ATZ, we cloned WT-AT and ATZ fused to a myc-tag in an expression vector and transiently transfected HEK293 cells with these constructs. Treatment of total cell lysates with endoglycosidase H followed by Western blot analysis showed that both WT-AT and ATZ are almost completely glycosylated (Fig. 4a), testifying to ER processing. Visualization of the WT-AT and ATZ reporters by confocal microscopy demonstrated ER localization of both WT-AT and ATZ (Fig. 4b). Fractionation experiments followed by Western blot analysis showed the presence of WT-AT and ATZ proteins in ER fractions, as well as in the growth media, where they were secreted. As expected, mutant ATZ is secreted with less efficiency compared to WT-AT. Most notably, mutant ATZ—but not WT-AT—extensively accumulated in the mitochondrial fraction (Fig. 4c), for quantification see Fig. 4d and Supplementary Fig. 8. Treatment of mitochondrial fractions isolated from ATZ expressing cells with proteinase K either alone or in combination with hypotonic shock (20 mM HEPES) revealed that the majority of misfolded ATZ is subject to proteinase K digestion, with a limited amount of ATZ being protected from treatment with proteinase K and a combined hypotonic shock/proteinase K treatment (Supplementary Fig. 9).

To visualize mitochondrial localization, cells were co-transfected with GFP11 tagged WT-AT or ATZ together with MTS-mCherry-GFP10. This demonstrated significant mitochondrial GFP fluorescence for cells expressing ATZ, but only a weak, if any, split-GFP signal for cells expressing WT-AT (Fig. 4e). These data were corroborated by calculation of the Pearson’s correlation coefficient which was used to quantify the co-localization of split-GFP and MTS-mCherry signals for WT-AT and ATZ compared to the positive controls MTS-HA-GFP11 and MTS-Fluc-GFP11 (Fig. 4f).

We wished to corroborate the confocal microscopy results obtained with the split-GFP system by direct tagging of ATZ with a fluorescent protein. Transfection of HEK293 with an ATZ
reporter labelled with BFP showed co-localization of BFP with both mitochondrial reporter MTS-mCherry and mitochondrial marker TOM20 (Supplementary Fig. 10A). Further studies combining the BFP-tag with the split-GFP system using a double BFP- and HA-tagged ATZ GFP11 reporter and MTS-mCherry-GFP11 together with staining of the mitochondrial marker TOM20 demonstrated that while the majority of BFP-labelled ATZ was localized outside mitochondria, i.e., in the ER, a significant part of BFP-labelled ATZ showed a split-GFP signal, which co-localized with TOM20 and MTS-mCherry. Similar results were seen when staining the HA-tag of ATZ GFP11 with HA-antibodies (Supplementary Fig. 10C). For more precise localization of transfected ATZ, we combined immunolabelling with electron microscopy (EM) in ultrathin sections of HEK cells transfected with ATZ-myc vector. EM-immunolabelling using anti-myc antibodies revealed the presence of ATZ inside mitochondria, in addition to the expected majority of ATZ being associated with ER (Fig. 4g).

Fig. 4 Mitochondrial localization of mutant α1-antitrypsin. a Representative Western blot of α1-antitrypsin-WT (WT-AT) and α1-antitrypsin mutant (ATZ) samples treated with endoglycosidase H. * = untreated, glycosylated WT-AT and ATZ, ** = upon treatment with Endo H, α1-antitrypsin is found in its non-glycosylated form for both WT-AT and ATZ. b Confocal microscopy image of HEK293 cells expressing WT-AT and ATZ to demonstrate ER localization. I – DAPI, blue; II – anti-HA-tag, red; III – anti-calreticulin (ER-marker), green; IV – overlay I), II), and III), co-localized signal yellow. Representative Western blot of total cellular lysate, ER, mitochondrial and growth medium fractions isolated from HEK293 cells expressing myc-tagged WT-AT and ATZ. GRP78 and COX1 were used as markers for ER and mitochondria, respectively. d Semiquantitative assessment of WT-AT and ATZ present in isolated mitochondrial fractions. (N = 3). Reporter proteins (WT-AT, ATZ) were normalized to COXI and their recovery in mitochondrial fraction relative to total calculated using the formula: reporter protein mitochondrial fraction / reporter protein total, (see Supplementary Fig. 8). **** p < 0.0001. e Split-GFP assay confocal microscopy image of HEK293 cells expressing GFP11-tagged WT-AT and ATZ together with MTS-mCherry-GFP11 to demonstrate mitochondrial localization of ATZ. I – DAPI, blue; II – MTS-mCherry, red; III – split-GFP, green; IV – overlay I), II) and III), co-localized signal yellow. N - number of independent experiments. Scale bar = 10 μm. f Pearson’s correlation coefficients for co-localization analysis of split-GFP and MTS-mCherry. The average Pearson’s correlation coefficients ± SEM were calculated from >10 randomly selected regions with transfected cells (>100 cells/region) in each group. MTS-Fluc-GFP11 and MTS-GFP11 were used as positive controls. ** p < 0.01. g Correlative light and electron microscopy images of ultrathin sections from HEK293 cells expressing ATZ-Myc. I – overlay of representative images acquired with scanning electron microscopy and super-resolution radial fluctuations (SRRF) microscopy; DAPI – blue, anti-Myc-tag (ATZ) – red, the open yellow arrow points at the nucleus. II and III – magnification of selected regions in I, demonstrating mitochondrial (open white arrow) and ER (asterisk) localization of ATZ. Scale bar for I, II and III: 1000 nm. See Supplementary Fig. 17A-C for uncropped gel scans.
Mitochondrial localization of misfolded ER-Fluc in yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* requires ERMES. To study whether mitochondrial sequestering of misfolded ER proteins is evolutionary conserved, we used split-GFP constructs adapted to the yeast system. To target Fluc to the ER, the signal peptide of the native yeast ER protein KAR2 was placed upstream and the HDEL ER-retention sequence downstream of luciferase resulting in yeast yER-FlucDM-GFP. Co- transformation of yER-FlucDM-GFP with yEl3-m-Cherry, Elo3 is a yeast ER-resident protein, revealed localization of yER-FlucDM-GFP in the ER (Supplementary Fig. 11)""

In addition to ERMES, MDM10 is part of the mitochondrial surveillance pathways which act on import of faulty mitochondrial proteins by genetic means. MDM10 mutants and transformed with the ER-mitochondria tether ChiMERA showed continued absence of the mitochondrial split-GFP signal together with condensed mitochondria (Fig. 5c, f). Besides recapitulating the observation that ChiMERA cannot rescue mitochondrial morphology in MDM10 mutants, this finding indicates that expression of the ChiMERA tether in MDM10 mutants is insufficient for translocation of misfolded ER-Fluc into mitochondria. ERMES mutants frequently lose their phenotype over time due to appearance of suppressor alleles in the endosomal vascular protein sorting 13 (VPS13), which suppress the phenotypic consequences of ERMES deficiency. We wondered whether this suppression may extend to the mitochondrial split-GFP signal absent in MDM10 mutants expressing yER-FlucDM-GFP together with yMTS-m-Cherry-GFPp10. Indeed, over time we observed adapted MDM10 mutants, which showed restoration of normal mitochondrial morphology together with rescue of the split-GFP signal (see Fig. 5b and f). Sequencing of the VPS13 gene in two adapted MDM10 mutants revealed that adaptation was associated with dominant VPS13 mutations known to restore mitochondrial morphology in ΔMDM10 mutants, i.e., D716Y and G820R.

In addition to ERMES, MDM10 is part of the mitochondrial sorting and assembly machinery (SAM). Point mutants of MDM10 have been used to separate the function of MDM10 in the biogenesis of outer membrane proteins and protein assembly (SAM) from its function in morphology and phospholipid homeostasis (ERMES). We employed these point mutants to address the role of MDM10 in mitochondrial localization of misfolded ER-Fluc. Expression levels of SAM mutant MDM10 and ERMES mutant MDM10 were controlled by Western blot (Supplementary Fig. 14). Complementation of MDM10 deletion mutants expressing yER-FlucDM-GFPp11 and yMTS-m-Cherry-GFPp10 with wild-type MDM10 or dysfunctional SAM mutant MDM10 resulted in recovery of the mitochondrial split-GFP signal along with restored tubular mitochondrial morphology. In contrast, cells complemented with dysfunctional ERMES mutant MDM10 showed continued absence of the mitochondrial split-GFP signal together with condensed mitochondria (Fig. 5c, f). These results suggest that interaction between ER and mitochondria through ERMES is essential for mitochondrial sequestration of misfolded ER-Fluc.

Discussion

In general, the formation and organization of protein aggregates is not random. Recently, misfolded cytosolic proteins have been reported to accumulate at ER-mitochondria contact regions, where they eventually become transported into mitochondria. The findings we describe here add an additional layer to the recently proposed role for mitochondria in proteostasis. Using two different reporters, ER-Fluc and mutant α-1-antitrypsin, and a combination of cell fractionation, biochemistry, confocal microscopy, FACS, and electron microscopy studies, we here demonstrated that misfolded aberrant proteins formed in the ER can become associated with mitochondria and partially imported into the mitochondrial matrix, resulting in impaired mitochondrial function. Demonstration of this trafficking pathway for misfolded ER proteins both in mammalian cells and in yeast, while suggesting that mitochondrial sequestration of aberrant ER proteins is evolutionary ancient, also allowed us to in part address mechanistic questions.

Inactivation of mitochondrial surveillance pathways which act on import of faulty mitochondrial proteins by genetic
deletion of MSP1, TOM70, and VMS1 did not affect trafficking of the ER proteins into mitochondria. However, we found that MDM10, MMM1 and MDM34, all components of ERMES-mediated ER-mitochondria contacts, are essential for this pathway. Multiple mitochondrial functions have been linked to ERMES, including biogenesis of outer membrane proteins, lipid homeostasis, membrane dynamics and maintenance of mitochondrial morphology. In addition to being a part of ERMES, MDM10 is also present in SAM complexes. The MDM10-SAM interaction is required for biogenesis of the mitochondrial outer membrane transporters TOM22 and TOM40. Using mutants of MDM10, which separate the MDM10-ERMES function from the MDM10-SAM function, we show that the mitochondrial sequestration of misfolded ERFluc is critically dependent on the ERMES function of MDM10. Our data further show that this function of ERMES can be bypassed by dominant mutations in the conserved eukaryotic endosomal protein VPS13.
The involvement of mitochondria in the pathogenesis of AT-deficiency with mutant gain-of-function α1-AT has been a mystery, despite detailed studies of liver pathology in the Piz mouse model demonstrating significant mitochondrial damage and mitochondrial injury, as well as caspase-3-induced apoptosis in situ. Our investigations suggest that aberrantly folded ATZ in the ER becomes associated with mitochondria. While our fractionation experiments cannot separate mitochondria-associated ATZ from ATZ possibly accumulating in inclusions of ER membrane or turned over by ER-phagy, our imaging experiments using confocal microscopy and a combined light and electron microscopy approach demonstrate that at least part of misfolded ATZ becomes associated with the mitochondrial matrix.

Our findings have clear implications for further understanding the pathogenesis of protein misfolding disorders. There is compelling evidence for two apparently unrelated phenomena in neurodegenerative diseases (NDDs): ER stress and unfolded protein response versus mitochondrial dysfunction. A number of pathogenic disease-specific rogue proteins, e.g. Aβ in Alzheimer’s disease (AD), α-synuclein in Parkinson’s disease, either pass through the ER or have been shown to accumulate as oligomers or aggregates inside the ER. ER stress may affect mitochondrial function through interorganellar signalling.

However, the herein described role for mitochondria in sequestering ER-misfolded proteins hints to a possible direct structural link connecting protein misfolding in ER and mitochondrial dysfunction. NDDs have recently been proposed to be disorders of ER-mitochondria connectivity. E.g., some features of AD, particularly those manifesting early in the disease process, are suggested to be linked to mitochondrial dysfunction.”

Materials and methods

Luciferase assay. Enzymatic activity of Fluc was determined using the Luciferase Assay System (Promega) as recently described. In brief, Hek293 cells were lysed, the different fractions (total, cytosol, ER, and mitochondria) obtained and their protein content measured using Micro BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Scientific). Quantification of percent of cells showing co-localization of mCherry and split-GFP in WT cells transfected with yMTS-mCherry-GFP1 and indicated construct. Median ± interquartile range. Total 217, 253, 204 cells were counted from three different experiments.

Cell culture and preparation of organelle-enriched fractions. Hek293 cells cultivated in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS were harvested, resuspended in MTE-P buffer (270 mM D-mannitol, 10 mM Tris base, 0.1 mM EDTA, pH = 7.4, supplemented with 1 mM PMSF), and homogenized using a Dounce homogenizer. Homogenates were centrifuged at 1400 × g for 10 min at 4 °C to remove cellular debris. An aliquot of supernatant was kept as total cellular protein fraction, the remaining supernatant was centrifuged at 15,000 × g for 10 min at 4 °C, resulting in supernatant A and pellet B.

For preparation of ER-enriched fractions, supernatant A was loaded on a sterile 14 × 89 mm polycarbonate tube (Beckman) containing a sucrose gradient (3 ml 1.3 M sucrose, 3 ml 1.5 M sucrose, 2 ml 2.0 M sucrose; 10 ml Tris base, 0.1 mM EDTA, pH = 7.6) and centrifuged at 152,000 × g for 70 min at 4 °C. Acceleration/deceleration = 1. The cytosolic fraction was taken using a 20-G needle and 1 ml syringe, and the interface containing the ER was collected using a 20-G needle and 1 ml syringe. The ER containing fraction was transferred to a 5.0 ml, 13 × 51 mm polycarbonate tube (Beckman) and supplemented with additional ice-cold MTE-P to a final volume of 4 ml. Tubes were covered with parafilm, mixed by inversion until the suspension was homogeneous and ultracentrifuged at 126,000 × g for 45 min at 4 °C. Supernatant was discarded, the pellet was resuspended in ice-cold MTE-P and used as ER-enriched fraction.

For preparation of mitochondria-enriched fractions, pellet B was washed twice with 0.5 ml of ice-cold MTE-P, resuspended in 0.8 ml of ice-cold MTE-P buffer and loaded slowly on top of a sucrose gradient (1 ml of 1.7 M sucrose overlaid with 1.6 M of 1.0 M sucrose in a sterile 5.0 ml, 13 × 51 mm Beckman polycarbonate tube). The tube was filled up with an additional 0.8 ml of ice-cold MTE-P buffer and centrifuged for 22 min at 40,000 × g, 4 °C (20600 rpm in an SW50.1 rotor). The interface between the 1.7 M and 1.0 M sucrose layers was collected (0.4 ml), mixed with 1.1 ml of ice-cold MTE-P buffer and centrifuged for 10 min at 15,000 × g, 4 °C. The resulting pellet was resuspended in 100 μl of MTE buffer without PMSF and used as mitochondrial fraction.

Western blot. Cells were grown to 90% confluency in DMEM with 10% FBS, lysed and fractionated as described above and protein concentration measured using Micro BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Scientific). Fractions were resolved by SDS-PAGE and blotted onto nitrocellulose membrane (Amersham) for Western blot analysis. The specific antibodies used in this study were: polyclonal anti-Myc (Abcam ab9106), rabbit polyclonal anti-Firefly luciferase (Abcam ab21176), rabbit polyclonal anti-Tubulin (Abcam ab6046), Total OXPHOS antibody cocktail.
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methylcellulose, centrifuged and mounted on an aluminum stub for imaging by a scanning electron microscope (Auriga 40, Zeiss) at an acceleration voltage of 0.8 kV using an In Lens secondary electron detector, a pixel dwell time of 100 μs and a working distance of 5 mm. Images were acquired at 4 nm pixel size. Light and electron microscope images were registered and correlated with TrakEM2 within the open-source platform Fiji. HEK293 cells transiently transfected with ATZ-myc vector were processed as described above, with following modifications. Fluorescent heads (PS-Speck, p77220, Thermofisher, 1:3 in ethanol absolute) were added as fiducial markers prior to collecting the ultrathin sections on wafers. Following washing, a 5 min pre-incubation with PBG (PBS with 0.5% bovine serum albumin and 0.2% gelatin type B) was followed by incubation with rabbit anti-myc-tag (Abcam ab9106, 1:100) in PBG for 1 h. After washing with PBG (6 x 1 min and 1 x 5 min), wafers were incubated with a secondary antibody anti-rabbit Alexa 568 (Molecular Probes A21209, 1:100) in PBG for 1 h. For SRRF (Super-resolution radial fluctuations) 500 images per channel were acquired at 15 ms exposure time. These images were further processed with Fiji using plugin Nano1-SRRF within the open-source platform Fiji. The following parameters were applied: Ring radius: 0.5; Radiality magnification: 7 and Axes in Ring: 7. For electron microscopy, the silicon wafers were processed as described above.

**Yeast strains and plasmids.** Plasmids containing split-GFP constructs and Ebox-mCherry were ordered from Addgene and the split-GFP constructs were adapted for the current study by subcloning into p403 and p406 vector backbones under control of the TDH3 promoter. YER-Fluc-DM-GFP11 was targeted to ER by cloning native ER protein KAR2 signal sequence (KAR2SS) upstream FlucDM-GFP11, HDEL was added downstream for ER retention. yMTS-mCherry-GFP11 was targeted to mitochondria using mitochondrial targeting sequence of Su9 upstream to mCherry. Artificial ChiMERA tether was modified for the study by replacing GFP with Myc, and cloned into pMM methionine centromeric plasmid. For a list of plasmids used in this study see Supplementary Table 2.

Yeast strains used in this study are based on the BY4741 background as listed in Supplementary Table 3. Yeast cells were grown in synthetic complete or drop-out media containing 2% dextrose at 30 °C. All constructs were integrated into wild-type BY4741 genome by LiAc method and selected on synthetic complete-drop-out agar plates, correct integration was confirmed by colony PCR. MDM10, MDM34, or MMD1 deletion mutants were created in yeast cells expressing yER-FlucDM-GFP11 and yMTS-mCherry-GFP11 by replacing the corresponding gene with a leucine cassette, and were grown on plates without Leucine. The leucine cassette was PCR amplified with homologous overhangs corresponding to the UTR of gene to be deleted for targeted gene deletion. The deletions were confirmed by colony PCR, followed by sequencing. ΔMDM10 strains were complemented with Myc-tagged MDM10 point mutants or artificial ChiMERA tether cloned into methionine centromeric plasmid (pM) and selected on plates without methionine. The point mutants used were MDM10 Y73, 75 A mutant which shows impaired SAM50 function and MDM10 Y296, F298A mutant which exhibits impaired ERMS function. The expression levels of yER-FlucDM-GFP11, yMTS-mCherry-GFP11 and complementing mutant MDM10 or ChiMERA tether were corroborated using Western blot.

**Yeast microscopy.** Live-cell images of yeast were acquired using Leica SP8 confocal microscope with laser (635–YLL) and 63x oil immersion NA = 1.4 objective. GFP and mCherry were excited at 488 nm and 581 nm respectively. The emission was collected onto HyD detectors in photon counting mode. The emission filter for GFP was set to 500–550 nm and for mCherry to 591–700 nm. Multi-frame alternating excitation configuration was used to avoid GFP spillover into mCherry channel. Processing of images was done using ImageJ (NIH) software. For signal quantification, we used embedded ImageJ function Analyze Particles after we segmented our images based on mCherry-mitochondrial signal. GFP and mCherry were excited at 488 nm and 581 nm respectively. The ratio exhibiting co-localization, we manually counted the cells where both markers were expressed and collocated when we overlay the GFP and mCherry channels. The ratio of the cells displaying co-localization divided by the total number of cells was averaged between biological repeats.

**Statistics and reproducibility.** The number of independent biological replicates (transfection events) used in every experiment is specified in the corresponding figure legend. Statistical analysis was performed with Prism 5.0 or Excel software. For all assays, except quantification of wide-field fluorescent microscopy, unpaired Student’s t-test was used to determine significant difference between analyzed samples. The significance of wide-field fluorescent microscopy quantification was calculated using Mann–Whitney test.

**Data availability** Plasmids generated in this study have been submitted to Addgene. Corresponding ID numbers are present in the Supplementary Tables 1-3. Data used for generating the charts are provided in Supplementary Data.
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