Chemical and hydrostatic pressure tuning of the ground state in ZrFe$_4$Si$_2$
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In ZrFe$_4$Si$_2$ we studied the ground-state properties and their evolution with chemical substitution of Ge on the Si site and under hydrostatic pressure using structural, magnetic, thermodynamic, and electrical-transport probes. Magnetic measurements reveal that ZrFe$_4$Si$_2$ holds paramagnetic Fe moments with an effective moment $\mu_{\text{eff}} = 2.18 \mu_B$. At low temperatures the compound have a weak short-range magnetic order below 6 K. Our studies demonstrate that substituting Ge for Si increases the unit-cell volume and stabilizes the short-range order into a long-range spin-density wave type magnetic order. On the other hand, hydrostatic pressure studies using electrical-resistivity measurements on ZrFe$_4$(Si$_{0.88}$Ge$_{0.12}$)$_2$ indicate a continuous suppression of the magnetic ordering upon increasing pressure. Our combined chemical substitution and hydrostatic pressure studies therefore suggest the existence of a lattice-volume controlled quantum critical point in ZrFe$_4$Si$_2$.

I. INTRODUCTION

Itinerant magnetic systems with low dimensionality and magnetic frustration exhibit enhanced quantum fluctuations leading to the emergence of novel and exotic phases displaying unconventional behaviors. Studying such systems is of great importance as it is becoming increasingly evident that quantum fluctuations play a crucial role in emergent phenomena, including unconventional superconductivity and unconventional metallic phases$^{1-9}$. In order to improve our understanding of these phenomena and the importance of dimensionality and frustration on the ground state properties investigations on new candidate materials are highly desired.

In this regard, ternary intermetallic AFe$_4$X$_2$ ($A =$ rare-earth, $X =$ Si, Ge) compounds are interesting candidates due to their peculiar crystal structure. These compounds crystallize in the ZrFe$_4$Si$_2$-type structure with $P4_2/mnm$ space group at room temperature$^{10}$. The crystal structure consists of slightly distorted Fe tetrahedra, which are edge shared to form chains along the crystallographic $c$-axis as illustrated in Fig. 1. The Fe tetrahedra are prone to magnetic frustration and the chain-like arrangement provides the quasi one-dimensional character of the magnetic system, rendering the AFe$_4$X$_2$ compounds excellent candidate materials to study quantum fluctuations and their effect on the physical properties in low dimensional frustrated systems.

Previous studies on the AFe$_4$X$_2$ family mostly focused on compounds with magnetic rare-earth ions $A$. Low temperature neutron and x-ray diffraction studies on (Er, Dy, Ho, Tm)Fe$_4$Ge$_2$ revealed that the compounds undergo antiferromagnetic ordering at low temperatures resulting in complex spin arrangements due to competing interactions between the magnetic rare-earth and Fe sublattices$^{11-21}$. In all of the compounds, the magnetic ordering is accompanied by a structural transition from tetragonal to orthorhombic symmetry. AFe$_4$X$_2$ compounds with non-magnetic rare-earth elements are even less investigated as only powder neutron diffraction studies on YFe$_4$Ge$_2$, LuFe$_4$Ge$_2$, and YFe$_4$Si$_2$ have been reported$^{22,23}$. These compounds also order antiferromagnetically at low temperatures with a simultaneous structural transition from tetragonal $P4_2/mnm$ to orthorhombic $Pnma$ symmetry. While the existing studies address the magnetic structure and the magneto-elastic transitions in these compounds, there are no reports on tuning the magnetic to a non-magnetic ground state by an external control parameter.

In this article, we present an investigation on a new member of the 142 family: ZrFe$_4$Si$_2$. Replacing the rare-earth ions with Zr not only reduces the lattice volume but also changes the valency of the $A$-ion from 3+ to 4+. This may lead to a significant change in the electronic structure and, therefore, of the ground state compared to rare-earth containing 142 compounds. Here, we studied the ground-state properties of ZrFe$_4$Si$_2$ using magnetic, thermodynamic, and electrical-transport measurements on polycrystalline samples. Our results reveal short-range magnetic ordering below 6 K which is stabilized into a spin-density wave (SDW) long-range order by substituting Ge on Si sites. In addition, we applied external hydrostatic pressure on ZrFe$_4$(Si$_{0.88}$Ge$_{0.12}$)$_2$ to tune the antiferromagnetically ordered ground state toward a non-magnetic state. Finally, we discuss the temperature–lattice-volume phase diagram in which the magnetic ordering is suppressed by decreasing lattice volume toward...
a putative quantum critical point (QCP).

II. METHODS

Polycrystalline samples of ZrFe$_4$Si$_2$ were synthesized by a standard arc-melting technique on a copper hearth. At first stoichiometric amounts of the constituent elements (at least 99.9% purity) were melted in an arc furnace under argon atmosphere, followed by several flipping and remelting of the resulting ingot to ensure homogeneity. Then the as-cast samples were annealed at 1150°C under static argon atmosphere for a week. The phase purity of the annealed samples was checked by powder x-ray diffraction (PXRD) using Cu-K$_\alpha$ radiation and scanning electron micrograph (SEM). Energy dispersive x-ray (EDX) analysis was used to check the stoichiometry of the samples. SEM studies reveal only a small amount (up to 2%) of eutectic phase Fe$_3$Si in our samples, enabling to study the intrinsic properties of ZrFe$_4$Si$_2$. PXRD patterns confirm the tetragonal $P4_2/mnm$ structure type with lattice parameters $a = 6.9916(5)$ Å and $c = 3.7551(5)$ Å, in good agreement with those reported in the literature.$^{10}$ Polycrystalline samples of the substitution series ZrFe$_3$(Si$_{1-x}$Ge$_x$)$_2$ were also synthesized following the same procedure. EDX analysis provides the Ge concentrations in the obtained samples as $x = 0.12$ (0.1), 0.23 (0.2), 0.34 (0.3), and 0.46 (0.4), where the corresponding nominal Ge concentrations used in the synthesis are given in the brackets. SEM studies revealed that these samples contain up to 5% of impurity phases mainly consisting of Fe$_3$(Si$_{1-x}$Ge$_x$).

DC magnetization measurements were carried out in the temperature range between 1.8 and 300 K and in magnetic fields up to 7 T using a SQUID magnetometer (MPMS, Quantum Design). The specific heat was recorded by a thermal-relaxation method using a physical property measurement system (PPMS, Quantum Design). The electrical transport experiments were carried out in the temperature range between 2 and 300 K and magnetic field up to 7 T also using a PPMS. The electrical resistivity was measured using a standard four-terminal method, where electrical contacts to the sample were made using 25 µm gold wires and silver paint.

Electrical-resistivity measurements on ZrFe$_4$(Si$_{0.88}$Ge$_{0.12}$)$_2$ under hydrostatic pressure were performed using a double-layered piston-cylinder-type pressure cell with silicon oil as pressure transmitting medium. The pressure inside the sample space was determined at low temperatures by the shift of the superconducting transition temperature of a piece of Pb. Electrical resistivity was measured using an LR700 resistance bridge (Linear Research) working at a measuring frequency of 16 Hz.

III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

A. Physical properties of ZrFe$_4$Si$_2$

In order to understand the ground-state properties of ZrFe$_4$Si$_2$, we have carried out magnetic, thermodynamic, and electrical-resistivity measurements. The temperature dependence of the DC magnetic susceptibility $\chi(T)$ is shown in Fig. 2a. We note that our ZrFe$_4$Si$_2$ samples contain up to 2% eutectic phase Fe$_3$Si which orders ferromagnetically above 800 K. Accordingly, this impurity phase induces in the magnetization a ferromagnetic (FM) contribution which, however, saturates at low fields and is therefore field and temperature independent above 1 T and below 300 K, respectively.$^{24}$ Thus the impurity contribution can easily be separated from the intrinsic contribution of ZrFe$_4$Si$_2$. Using magnetization measurements at different fields, this contribution $(M_{FM})$ is found to be rather small with a saturation moment of the order of $1 \times 10^{-4}\mu_B$/Fe. $M_{FM}$ is then subtracted from the measured magnetization to obtain the intrinsic susceptibility as $\chi(T) = [M(T) - M_{FM}]/H$.

At high temperatures, $\chi(T)$ follows a Curie-Weiss behavior $\chi(T) = C/(T - \theta_W)$, where $C$ and $\theta_W$ are the Curie constant and the Weiss temperature, respectively. A Curie-Weiss fit to the $\chi^{-1}(T)$ data (Fig. 2a, right axis) for 100 K $< T < 300$ K yields an effective moment $\mu_{eff} = 2.18\mu_B$ and a Weiss temperature $\theta_W = -85$ K. The relatively large value of the effective moment is a signature of fluctuating Fe moments in the paramagnetic state. Moreover, the negative $\theta_W$ indicates that the dominant interactions between the moments are antiferromagnetic. At low temperatures, $\chi(T)$ presents a weak shoulder at around 50 K followed by a broad peak centered around 6 K. The specific heat data also show a rounded peak at around 6 K corresponding to the anomaly in $\chi(T)$ (see Fig. 2b). However, there is no evident feature in $C_p(T)$ at $T \approx 50$ K, making the presence of any phase transition in this temperature range unlikely. The rounded nature of the anomalies in susceptibility and specific heat at $T \approx 6$ K point at short-range magnetic order.

In the inset of Fig. 2b the specific-heat data is plotted as $C_p(T)/T$ vs. $T^2$. The linear region observed between 20 and 35 K was fitted with $C_p(T) = \gamma T + \beta T^3$ to obtain the Sommerfeld coefficient $\gamma = 150$ mJ/molK$^2$. This is a very large value for a transition metal compound, indicating very strong electronic correlation effects. Using the $\gamma$ value and the low temperature susceptibility, we obtain a Sommerfeld-Wilson ratio $R_W = (\pi^2 k_B^2 \chi(1.8K))/(\mu_{eff}\gamma)$ of 4.9, which is enhanced compared to $R_W = 1$ for the free-electron gas. The enhanced Sommerfeld-Wilson ratio indicates the presence of strong electron-electron magnetic correlations in ZrFe$_4$Si$_2$.

The temperature dependence of the electrical resistivity $\rho(T)$ of ZrFe$_4$Si$_2$ is shown in Fig. 2c. $\rho(T)$ decreases monotonically upon cooling with a strong negative curvature below 100 K, probably originating from strong mag-
pounds in the $AFe_3X_2$ family with trivalent $A$ which show a structural transition associated with the magnetic ordering, temperature dependent PXRD data do not resolve any structural transition in $ZrFe_4Si_2$ around 6 K$^{26}$. Therefore, the low temperature properties of $ZrFe_4Si_2$ are not related with a structural phase transition.

B. Tuning the ground state of $ZrFe_4Si_2$ by Ge substitution

The weak, short-range ordered magnetic ground state in $ZrFe_4Si_2$ raises the question whether the material is situated close to a QCP connected with the disappearance of long range magnetic order, especially since such long range order has been observed in other members of the 142 family$^{22,23}$. To investigate this possibility, we have carried out a Ge substitution study. As Ge is larger than Si, varying the Ge content in $ZrFe_4(Si_{1-x}Ge_x)_{12}$ provides a tuning parameter for systematically increasing the unit-cell volume.

To this end, polycrystalline samples of $ZrFe_4(Si_{1-x}Ge_x)_{12}$ with Ge concentrations $x = 0.12, 0.23, 0.34,$ and 0.46 have been synthesized and their magnetic properties were studied using various physical probes. We note that our attempts to synthesize samples with $x = 0.5$ and 0.6 resulted in phase separation, indicating that compounds with large Ge content are unstable. This is corroborated by the fact that to our knowledge pure $ZrFe_3Ge_2$ has not been reported in literature.

FIG. 2: (a) Temperature dependence of the DC magnetic susceptibility $\chi(T)$ of $ZrFe_4Si_2$ (left axis). The intrinsic susceptibility is obtained by removing the FM impurity contribution, as explained in the main text. The inverse magnetic susceptibility $\chi^{-1}(T)$ is shown on the right axis. The red curve is the Curie-Weiss fit to the data in the temperature interval between 100 and 300 K. (b) Temperature dependence of the specific heat of $ZrFe_4Si_2$ plotted as $C_p/T$ vs. $T$. The inset shows $C_p/T$ vs. $T^2$ where the red line is a linear fit to the data between 20 and 35 K. (c) Temperature dependence of the electrical resistivity $\rho(T)$ of $ZrFe_4Si_2$. Inset: an enlarged view of the low temperature region of the $\rho(T)$ curve (left axis) along with the temperature derivative $d\rho(T)/dT$ (right axis).

FIG. 3: Lattice parameters $a$ (left axis) and $c$ (right axis) of the investigated $ZrFe_4(Si_{1-x}Ge_x)_{12}$ samples plotted against their Ge content $x$. The inset shows the change in the lattice volume $V$ with Ge substitution. The solid line in the inset is a linear fit to the data.

Figure 3 depicts the change in the lattice parameters of $ZrFe_4(Si_{1-x}Ge_x)_{12}$ with increasing Ge content $x$, extracted from PXRD measurements. Lattice parameters $a$ (left axis) and $c$ (right axis) monotonically increase with increasing Ge content. Ge substitution with $x = 0.46$ results in an increase of $a$ and $c$ by 0.6% and 1.2%, respectively. The unit-cell volume $V$ increases nearly lin-
early, reaching a 2.4% increase for the compound with $x = 0.46$ (see inset of Fig. 3). These results confirm that, as expected, the unit-cell volume of ZrFe$_4$(Si$_{1-x}$Ge$_x$)$_2$ continuously increases with Ge substitution.

The physical properties of ZrFe$_4$(Si$_{1-x}$Ge$_x$)$_2$ with different Ge concentrations were investigated using magnetization, specific heat, and electrical-resistivity measurements. The temperature dependence of magnetic susceptibility is shown in Fig. 4a. The samples in the substitution series contain up to 5% impurity phases of Fe$_3$(Si$_{1-x}$Ge$_x$), which order ferromagnetically between 800 K and 600 K$^{24,27}$. Their temperature independent, saturated magnetization contribution $M_{FM}$ was subtracted to obtain the intrinsic susceptibility $\chi(T) = [M(T) - M_{FM}]/H$. As discussed earlier, $\chi(T)$ of stoichiometric ZrFe$_4$Si$_2$ sample has a broad shoulder at about 50 K and a small anomaly around 6 K. The shoulder-like feature at 50 K becomes weaker for the $x = 0.12$ and 0.23 samples and eventually vanishes for $x = 0.34$. The anomaly corresponding to the short-range magnetic order in ZrFe$_4$Si$_2$ shifts to higher temperatures upon increasing Ge content. Moreover, the anomaly develops into a cusp-like feature indicating long-range antiferromagnetic ordering in compounds with larger Ge contents. These results are confirmed by the specific-heat data presented in Fig. 4b. The peak in $C_p(T)/T$ shifts to higher temperatures and sharpens with increasing Ge content, with the transition temperature $T_N$ reaching 23 K at $x = 0.46$. In the Ge-substituted samples, the anomaly in $C_p(T)/T$ resembles a mean-field-type transition into a long-range ordered phase. We further note that the $C_p(T)/T$ values at the lowest temperatures remain large, in the range 200-300 mJ/K$^2$/mol. Thus the Sommerfeld coefficient stays huge in the whole concentration range, confirming the presence of strong electronic correlations.

The temperature dependent resistivity data $\rho(T)/\rho_{300K}$ provide further details on the nature of the magnetic ordering (see Fig. 4c). Already at a low Ge substitution level of $x = 0.12$, the $\rho(T)/\rho_{300K}$ curve shows a noticeable upturn around 11 K, reminiscent of a spin-density wave (SDW) transition. The increase in resistivity is attributed to the formation of an energy gap at part of the Fermi surface due to the SDW formation. With increasing Ge content, the upturn in resistivity becomes much more pronounced and shifts to higher temperatures. These results reveal that Ge substitution stabilizes the weak short-range magnetic order in ZrFe$_4$Si$_2$ into a long-range SDW-type magnetic order.

C. Tuning ZrFe$_4$(Si$_{0.88}$Ge$_{0.12}$)$_2$ by hydrostatic pressure

The previous results of the Ge-substitution in ZrFe$_4$(Si$_{1-x}$Ge$_x$)$_2$ study show that application of negative chemical pressure stabilizes the magnetic order in ZrFe$_4$(Si$_{1-x}$Ge$_x$)$_2$. This leads to the expectation that external hydrostatic pressure suppresses the magnetic order and eventually drives the system toward an antiferromagnetic QCP. In order to study this, we performed electrical-resistivity measurement under external pressure. We decided to use the slightly Ge substituted compound ZrFe$_4$(Si$_{0.88}$Ge$_{0.12}$)$_2$ for the pressure study, since the anomaly in the electrical resistivity of ZrFe$_4$Si$_2$ is only weak and we do not have a well developed long-range ordered state. In contrast to that, our data on ZrFe$_4$(Si$_{0.88}$Ge$_{0.12}$)$_2$ indicate long range SDW order and show a clear anomaly in $\rho(T)$ corresponding to the SDW transition, making it an ideal sample for the pressure experiment. The relatively low $T_N = 11.4$ K for $x = 0.12$ ensures also that moderate pressures will be sufficient.
to suppress the magnetic order in comparison with compounds with larger Ge concentrations.

The transition temperature $T_N$ is determined from the minimum in the temperature derivative of $\rho(T)$. As pressure is increased, the anomaly in resistivity shifts to lower temperatures as marked by the arrows. Moreover, the magnitude of the upturn strongly reduces with increase in pressure. The anomaly shifts to 5.2 K at 1.67 GPa. At 1.87 GPa, the anomaly becomes too small and not traceable due to limited resolution of the data in the respective temperature range. At further increased pressures, $\rho(T)$ monotonously decreases upon decreasing temperature without any visible anomaly down to the lowest accessible temperature in our experiments. These results confirm that the SDW transition in ZrFe$_4$(Si$_{0.88}$Ge$_{0.12}$)$_2$ is continuously suppressed to zero temperature by external pressure, which suggests the existence of a pressure-tuned QCP.

The magnetoresistance $MR(H) = [\rho(H) - \rho(0)]/\rho(0)$ of ZrFe$_4$(Si$_{0.88}$Ge$_{0.12}$)$_2$ shows marked features connected with the suppression of the magnetic order. Figure 6 depicts $MR(H)$ measured at $T = 2$ K for several pressures. At low pressures, $MR(H)$ continuously increases upon increasing field exhibiting a quadratic field dependence, which is typical for a metallic system. For $p \geq 1.59$ GPa, $MR(H)$ decreases initially upon increasing field, displays a broad minimum and increases again. This contrasting behavior of the MR between the low- and high-pressure regions might be attributed to the enhanced magnetic fluctuations associated with the suppression of the magnetic order. The magnetic field quenches such fluctuations and reduces the scattering contribution, giving rise to the negative MR.

**FIG. 5:** (a) Normalized electrical resistivity $\rho(T)/\rho_{300K}$ of ZrFe$_4$(Si$_{0.88}$Ge$_{0.12}$)$_2$ as a function of temperature for different applied pressures. (b) Enlarged view of the low temperature region of the $\rho(T)/\rho_{300K}$ curves. (c) Temperature derivative $d\rho(T)/dT$ vs. $T$. The arrows indicate the transition temperatures $T_N$ determined by the minima in $d\rho(T)/dT$.

The electrical resistivity of ZrFe$_4$(Si$_{0.88}$Ge$_{0.12}$)$_2$ has been investigated under hydrostatic pressures up to $p = 2.5$ GPa and in the temperature range between 2 and 300 K. The curves of the normalized resistivity $\rho(T)/\rho_{300K}$ for several pressures are plotted in Fig. 5a. One immediately recognizes that pressure has a sizeable influence on the temperature dependence of the resistivity. Comparing with Fig. 4c, it is obvious that applying pressure has the opposite effect than substituting Ge for Si. The curvature in the temperature range between 20 and 300 K is increasing with pressure, resulting in a larger slope $d\rho(T)/dT$ at 20 K (see also 5c). Since this strong curvature is very likely connected with the onset of dynamical correlations observed in $\mu$SR$^{25}$, applying pressure seemingly strengthen these dynamic correlations. Furthermore, with increasing pressure the anomaly corresponding to the long range order at 11.4 K at ambient pressure shifts to lower temperatures. This can be better seen in Figs. 5b and 5c, where we plot the low-temperature parts of the resistivity and its temperature derivative $d\rho(T)/dT$. At $p = 0.02$ GPa, a small hump in $\rho(T)$ associated with the SDW transition is observed.

**FIG. 6:** Magnetic field dependence of the magnetoresistance $MR(H) = [\rho(H) - \rho(0)]/\rho(0)$ of ZrFe$_4$(Si$_{0.88}$Ge$_{0.12}$)$_2$ measured at $T = 2$ K under several applied pressures. The grey line corresponds to $MR = 0$. 
IV. DISCUSSION

The results from the Ge substitution studies in ZrFe$_4$(Si$_{1-x}$Ge$_x$)$_2$ are presented as a temperature – Ge-content phase diagram in Fig. 7a. The transition temperatures $T_N$ obtained from magnetic susceptibility, heat capacity, and electrical resistivity data are plotted. Increasing Ge concentration stabilizes the short-range magnetic order present in ZrFe$_4$Si$_2$ into a SDW phase observable in Ge-substituted ZrFe$_4$Si$_2$. $T_N(x)$ is continuously enhanced with increasing Ge content $x$, reaching 23 K at $x = 0.46$.

As expected, external hydrostatic pressure produces the opposite effect to that of the negative chemical pressure from Ge substitution. The results obtained from the electrical-resistivity measurements on ZrFe$_4$(Si$_{0.88}$Ge$_{0.12}$)$_2$ are summarized in the temperature – pressure phase diagram presented in Fig. 7b. At ambient pressure, the compound orders antiferromagnetically at $T_N = 11.4$ K. With increasing pressure, $T_N$ is monotonously suppressed to lower temperatures, reaching 5.2 K at $p = 1.67$ GPa. No traceable anomaly in resistivity can be resolved at higher pressures. However, an extrapolation of the experimental data proposes that the magnetic ordering is suppressed to zero temperature at a critical pressure of $p_c \approx 2.1$ GPa, suggesting the presence of a pressure-tuned antiferromagnetic QCP.

In order to compare the effect of Ge substitution and hydrostatic pressure on the magnetic ordering, it is useful to use the unit-cell volume as a common scale. High-pressure PXRD investigations on isostructural LuFe$_4$Ge$_2$ revealed a nearly linear pressure dependence of the lattice volume, yielding a bulk modulus of about 160 GPa$^{28}$. In ZrFe$_4$Si$_2$ a similar pressure dependence of the lattice volume and, therefore, a similar bulk modulus is expected. Thus we use bulk modulus of 160 GPa to estimate the lattice volume at different pressures in ZrFe$_4$(Si$_{0.88}$Ge$_{0.12}$)$_2$. The transition temperatures determined from electrical-resistivity data of the Ge substitution series and that of ZrFe$_4$(Si$_{0.88}$Ge$_{0.12}$)$_2$ under hydrostatic pressure are plotted against the unit-cell volume $V$ in the combined temperature – lattice-volume phase diagram shown in Fig. 7c. The change in $T_N$ with Ge substitution and with hydrostatic pressure is rather consistent and evidences the lattice volume as the governing control parameter. Furthermore, $T_N$ shows a continuous suppression of the magnetic ordering with decreasing lattice volume towards a putative antiferromagnetic QCP at $V \approx 182$ Å$^3$.

A highly debated question is whether the Fermi liquid behavior expected for a metal at low $T$ breaks down at a QCP, resulting in a non-Fermi Liquid (NFL). In the electrical resistivity a NFL is characterized by a deviation from the quadratic dependence of $\rho(T)$ at low-temperatures ($\rho = \rho_0 + AT^n$, $n < 2$) which is expected for a Fermi liquid. Our data in the temperature range down to 2 K seem to indicate a temperature exponent smaller than 2 close to $p_c$ and a recovery of Fermi liquid behavior ($n = 2$) at higher pressures. However, these data give only a first hint. The temperature exponent $n = d\ln(\rho - \rho_0)/d\ln(T)$ shows a significant temperature dependence in the low temperature region for all pressures. In addition, the increased noise in the low temperature data makes the accurate determination of the exponent difficult. These first results indicate that experiments at lower temperatures are highly desirable.

V. SUMMARY

In conclusion, we have investigated ZrFe$_4$Si$_2$ using magnetization, thermodynamic, and electrical-transport measurements and tuned its ground-state properties by Ge-substitution and by application of hydrostatic pressure. In the crystal structure of ZrFe$_4$Si$_2$ the Fe tetrahedra are prone to magnetic frustration and their chain-like arrangement represent a quasi one-dimensional magnetic system, a combination which is expected to enhance quantum fluctuations. Despite having large paramagnetic Fe moments ($\mu_{\text{eff}} = 2.18 \mu_B$) with dominantly antiferromagnetic interactions, ZrFe$_4$Si$_2$ shows short-range magnetic order below 6 K. Ge-substitution on the Si sites acts as negative chemical pressure and sta-
hilizes the short-range magnetic order into a long-range spin-density wave order. By applying hydrostatic pressure on ZrFe$_4$(Si$_{0.88}$Ge$_{0.12}$)$_2$ we continuously suppress the magnetic order to zero temperature as shown by the electrical-resistivity data. Therefore, our combined chemical substitution and hydrostatic pressure study suggests the presence of a lattice-volume controlled antiferromagnetic quantum critical point in ZrFe$_4$Si$_2$. In the hydrostatic pressure experiment on ZrFe$_4$(Si$_{0.88}$Ge$_{0.12}$)$_2$ we can infer a critical pressure $p_c \approx 2.1$ GPa and, indeed, magneto-resistance data indicate enhanced magnetic fluctuations associated with the suppression of the magnetic order. Moreover, zero-field resistivity data point at a break-down of the Fermi-liquid description in the vicinity of $p_c$. Thus, our results encourage further detailed investigations on the low-temperature physical properties of ZrFe$_4$Si$_2$.
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