Background: Preemptive analgesia is known to decrease the sensitization of the central nervous system and reduce subsequent amplification of nociceptive stimuli. We investigated whether preemptive thoracic epidural analgesia (TEA) demonstrated intraoperative and postoperative short and long term clinical advantages.

Methods: Thirty patients scheduled for open thoracotomy were randomly allocated to one of two groups to receive continuous TEA (0.15% bupivacaine and 8 μg/ml hydromorphone) either before surgical incision (preemptive group) or at the end of the operation (nonpreemptive group). Incidence of hypotension during surgery was recorded. Numerical rating scales (NRS) and the incidence of side effects such as nausea, pruritus, sedation, hypotension, and respiratory depression were recorded at 2, 6, 24, and 48 hours postoperatively. Pulmonary function test (PFT) was performed before, 24 and 48 hours after the operation. Persistence of pain control was investigated at 6 months postoperatively.

Results: The NRS score, side effects, and PFT changes were comparable between the two groups. TEA and intravenous rescue morphine consumed at 2, 6, 24, and 48 hours postoperatively were not different between the two groups. During surgery, the incidence of hypotension was significantly higher in the preemptive group (P = 0.027). At 6-month follow up, two patients in the nonpreemptive group complained of persistent pain at wound and none in the preemptive group.

Conclusions: Preemptive TEA with hydromorphone and bupivacaine during surgery may cause unnecessary intraoperative hypotension without a prominent advantage in reducing acute or chronic pain or enhancing pulmonary function after thoracotomy. The advantageous concept of preemptive TEA may be dubious and may not provide perioperative clinical benefits. (Anesth Pain Med 2015; 10: 82-88)
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TEA over conventional TEA including intraoperative hemodynamics and vasopressor requirement, and postoperative analgesia and pulmonary functional recovery have not been studied.

In this study, we aimed to assess whether there are intraoperative and short and long term postoperative clinical benefits of preemptive initiation of TEA compared to nonpreemptive TEA in patients undergoing thoracotomy for lung resection.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

After receiving approval from the Institutional Review Board of our hospital, 36 patients who were scheduled for an open thoracotomy were enrolled. Informed consent was obtained from all patients. For safety and correct placement, the thoracic epidural catheters were inserted such that their tips would be placed at thoracic levels T5-6 or T6-7 under fluoroscopic guidance at pain center, one day prior to the surgery. Patients were randomly allocated to either preemptive TEA initiation before surgery (preemptive group) or TEA initiation at the end of surgery (nonpreemptive group). An investigator conducted the randomized allocation process according to the group block number, generated by a computer based randomization program (www.randomizer.org) and a concealed envelope technique was used. This randomized study conformed to the CONSORT guidelines and Consort checklist and flow diagram were applied (http://www.consort-statement.org). This study was registered retrospectively in the Australian New Zealand Clinical Trials Registry (ANZCTR) with a registration number. Exclusion criteria were patients with renal, hepatic, or cardiac dysfunction, neurologic disorder, hematologic or coagulation disorder, infection at the epidural catheter insertion site, history of opioids, corticosteroids, or nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs within one week of surgery, previous history of antiocoagulation therapy, allergy to local anesthetics or opioids, or inability to use patient-controlled epidural analgesia device or to perform portable pulmonary function test (PFT). Demographic data were comparable between the two groups (Table 1).

Patients were not premedicated. General anesthesia was induced with thiopental sodium 5 mg/kg and 5 vol% sevoflurane. Trachea was intubated after injection of rocuronium 0.8 mg/kg. Intravenous fentanyl 1-2 μg/kg was given during induction of anesthesia and thereafter at the discretion of the anesthesiologist. Maintenance of anesthesia was carried out with either 1 minimum alveolar concentration of sevoflurane or isoflurane to target systolic blood pressure (SBP) within 30% of baseline or mean blood pressure < 60 mmHg, which is not due to bleeding episode, and which requires a continuous infusion of inotropes or vasopressors despite intravenous bolus injections of ephedrine or phenylephrine. *P < 0.05 is significantly different compared to the nonpreemptive TEA group.

### Table 1. Demographic Characteristics and Intraoperative Data

|                        | Preemptive group (N = 15) | Nonpreemptive group (N = 15) | P value |
|------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|---------|
| Age (yr)               | 64.4 ± 9.5                | 60.4 ± 8.4                   | 0.158   |
| Sex (M/F)              | 14/1                      | 13/2                         | 1.000   |
| Weight (kg)            | 65.1 ± 11.4               | 64.4 ± 7.2                   | 0.934   |
| Height (cm)            | 166.2 ± 5.8               | 165.0 ± 5.2                  | 0.486   |
| Type of operation      |                           |                              |         |
| Lobectomy              | 13                        | 11                           |         |
| Pneumonectomy          | 2                         | 3                            | 0.651   |
| Lung decortication     | 0                         | 1                            |         |
| Operative time (min)   | 218.3 ± 64.3              | 201.3 ± 46.3                 | 0.045   |
| Anesthesia time (min)  | 272.5 ± 59.3              | 256.9 ± 48.2                 | 0.418   |
| Intraoperative fentanyl (μg) | 90.0 ± 60.4 | 110.0 ± 38.7 | 0.251   |
| Incidence of intraoperative hypotension (number)* | 11/15* | 4/15 | 0.027 |
| requiring inotropes or vasopressor support | | | |
| Intraoperative crystalloid (ml) | 1,636.7 ± 542.0 | 1,550.0 ± 446.4 | 0.739 |
| Intraoperative colloid (ml) | 710.0 ± 239.2 | 526.7 ± 279.0 | 0.106 |
| Estimated blood loss (ml) | 403.3 ± 215.9 | 378.0 ± 239.4 | 0.586 |
| Urine output (ml)      | 716.0 ± 499.2             | 432.3 ± 212.1                | 0.125   |

Values are presented as mean ± SD. TEA: thoracic epidural analgesia. *The incidence of intraoperative hypotension refers to a persistent decrease of systolic blood pressure < 30% of baseline or mean blood pressure < 60 mmHg, which is not due to bleeding episode, and which requires a continuous infusion of inotropes or vasopressors despite intravenous bolus injections of ephedrine or phenylephrine. *P < 0.05 is significantly different compared to the nonpreemptive TEA group.
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Table 2. Consumption of Thoracic Epidural Analgesia and Rescue Analgesics during the First 48 Hours after the Operation

|                          | Preemptive group (N = 15) | Nonpreemptive group (N = 15) | P value |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|
| Intraoperative administration of TEA (ml)* | 15.6 ± 4.9†                | 3.1 ± 0.8                     | < 0.001 |
| Cumulative amount of postoperative administration of TEA (ml)† | 0-2 h 11.8 ± 5.1            | 11.2 ± 4.3                    | 1.000   |
|                          | 2-6 h 30.4 ± 10.8          | 28.7 ± 7.9                    | 1.000   |
|                          | 6-24 h 92.0 ± 40.9         | 93.6 ± 33.8                   | 1.000   |
|                          | 24-48 h 159.7 ± 75.0       | 170.4 ± 71.4                  | 1.000   |
| Rescue morphine sulfate (mg) | 0-2 h 3.4 ± 4.1            | 2.2 ± 3.0                     | 1.000   |
|                          | 2-6 h 0.6 ± 2.2            | 0.3 ± 1.3                     | 1.000   |
|                          | 6-24 h 6.9 ± 6.7           | 5.8 ± 5.6                     | 1.000   |
|                          | 24-48 h 6.9 ± 5.2          | 9.7 ± 5.5                     | 0.868   |
| Rescue oral analgesics (Ultracet®) (number of patients) | 0-2 h 0                  | 0                             | NA      |
|                          | 2-6 h 5/15                | 3/15                          | 1.000   |
|                          | 6-24 h 5/15               | 5/15                          | 1.000   |
|                          | 24-48 h 5/15              | 5/15                          | 1.000   |

Values are presented as mean ± SD or number of patients. TEA: thoracic epidural analgesia. *Intraoperative administration of TEA: the amount of TEA administered during surgery. †Cumulative amount of postoperative administration of TEA (ml): the amount of TEA administered after surgery. ‡P < 0.05 is considered significantly different compared to the nonpreemptive TEA group.
Fisher's exact test. The data are presented as mean ± SD or median (interquartile ranges) or numbers wherever appropriate.

RESULTS

Of the 36 enrolled patients, 30 patients completed the study (15 in the preemptive group and 15 in the nonpreemptive group). Six patients (three in each preemptive group and nonpreemptive group) were withdrawn from the study because TEA was discontinued before 48 hours after surgery due to nausea (3 patients), pruritus (2 patients), and respiratory depression (1 patient).

The incidence of hypotension, which was not related to bleeding and required intervention during surgery, was significantly higher in the preemptive group (11 patients in the preemptive group and 4 patients in the nonpreemptive group; P = 0.027). Intravenous fentanyl administration was similar between the two groups (Table 1). For postoperative analgesia, TEA, IV rescue morphine or rescue oral analgesics were administered. The requirement of TEA, IV rescue morphine or rescue oral analgesics was similar in both groups (Table 2).

The NRS scores for pain at rest and on coughing during the first 48 postoperative hours, and FEV1 and FVC changes from baseline at 24 and 48 hours postoperatively were similar between the two groups (Figs. 1 and 2).

The incidence of nausea, pruritus, sedation, hypotension, and respiratory depression was similar between the two groups throughout the study period (Table 3).

At the 6-month follow up, two patients in the nonpreemptive group complained of persistent pain at the surgical wound.

Fig. 1. Pain scores during the first 48 hours after surgery. Median pain levels at rest and on coughing according to the numerical rating scale (NRS) during the first 48 hours after surgery. Error bars indicate interquartile ranges.

Fig. 2. Pulmonary function test. Median percentage changes in forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV1) and forced vital capacity (FVC) compared to preoperative values during the first 48 hours after surgery. Error bars indicate interquartile ranges.
Values are presented as the number of patients. NA: not applicable.

area. The pain characteristics in these two patients were acute penetrating pain with tingling sensation and allodynia.

**DISCUSSION**

Perioperative clinical benefits of preemptive TEA in patients undergoing thoracotomy were evaluated in this study. In this study, preemptive TEA did not show significant benefits in terms of acute pain control, opioid requirement, or pulmonary function changes after surgery. With respect to persistent pain after 6 months, none of the patients in the preemptive TEA group developed chronic pain, but two patients in the nonpreemptive TEA group complained of persistent pain despite oral analgesics.

Although several clinical and animal studies have investigated the effects of preemptive epidural analgesia on post-thoracotomy pain, acute and chronic analgesia with TEA remains controversial [2,14], and the overall quality of intraoperative anesthetic care in preemptive TEA and intraoperative use of TEA has not been widely studied. Our study focused on the quality of intraoperative anesthetic care in preemptive TEA, and a higher incidence of hypotension and a greater requirement of inotropes or vasopressors were noted. An epidural bolus injection and continuous TEA in the preemptive TEA group caused frequent episodes of hypotension within 30 minutes after its initiation. In a recent study by Grider et al. [15], TEA with bupivacaine and hydromorphone provided enhanced analgesia compared to TEA with bupivacaine alone. TEA with bupivacaine alone increased the incidence of hypotension because an increased amount of basal bupivacaine was required to achieve analgesia. In our study, even at a low concentration of bupivacaine (10 ml 0.1% bupivacaine with 100 μg hydromorphone followed by a continuous infusion of 0.15% bupivacaine with 8 μg/ml hydromorphone at a basal rate of 5 ml/hr and a 3 ml bolus with a 15 minute interval), hypotension was significantly more frequent in the preemptive TEA group (11 patients in the preemptive group and 4 patients in the nonpreemptive group; P = 0.027). This finding may be attributed to anesthetic drugs and sympatholytic effect of epidural analgesia. Postoperative hypotension was observed in 2 patients of the nonpreemptive group; however, it was related to volume status of the patients, and patients were resuscitated with fluid administration. Therefore, risks and benefits of intraoperative use of more inotropes or vasopressors, and potential postoperative acute and chronic pain control should be weighed. Postoperative use of adrenergic drugs was a precipitating factor for cardiac arrhythmia after cardiac surgery [16]. Although a recent study did not identify inotropes or vasopressors as risk factors for atrial fibrillation after lung resection [17], postoperative supraventricular arrhythmia is not uncommon after lung surgery [18], and the possible risk should be considered. In our study, 14 out of the 15 patients in the preemptive group developed sustained hypotension requiring dopamine (13 patients) and/or phenylephrine (2 patients) continuous infusion (13 patients received dopamine only, 1 patient received phenylephrine only, and 1 patient received both dopamine and phenylephrine) and 2 out of these 14 patients developed intraoperative arrhythmia (atrial premature contraction [APC] and ventricular premature contraction [VPC] in 1 patient, and paroxysmal ventricular tachycardia in 1 patient). During postoperative care, among out of the 14 patients needing intraoperative dopamine infusion, 1 patient developed atrial fibrillation and another patient developed VPC. In the nonpreemptive group, 4 patients developed hypotension needing dopamine infusion, out of which 2 patients developed APC. We can not draw any conclusion from our findings regarding arrhythmias and intraoperative dopamine use; however, it should be cautioned that intraoperative TEA may cause
hypotension that may lead to unnecessary dopamine infusion during surgery.

Preemptive TEA was expected to provide benefits in acute and chronic pain control. However, a meta-analysis on the effects of preemptive TEA on post-thoracotomy pain showed that the efficacy of preemptive TEA was distinctive in only one of the six prospective, randomized controlled trials and this difference was attributed to the use of local anesthetics only without opioids in epidural drug regimen [8]. The authors postulated that opioids used with local anesthetics in TEA could have produced an effective analgesia that mimicked the potential benefits of preemptive epidural analgesia [8]. Also, the use of opioids as a premedication or during induction of anesthesia could have prevented the development of central sensitization [8]. This study used hydromorphone with bupivacaine in epidural regimen, and we also used IV opioid during induction as an adjuvant agent; therefore, potential benefits of preemptive TEA may have been masked and this resulted in similar results between the two groups in terms of acute and chronic analgesia. If systemic use of opioids prior to surgical incision or epidural use of opioids would have similar effects to those of preemptive TEA, and acute pain control can be achieved, then there may be no need to use TEA for preemptive purposes. However, although statistically insignificant, median acute pain levels were lower in the preemptive TEA group compared to the nonpreemptive TEA group, and there was no development of chronic persistent pain after 6 months in patients of the preemptive TEA group compared to two episodes of chronic sustained pain in the nonpreemptive TEA group in this study. According to studies by Senturk et al. [4] and Katz et al. [19,20], acute pain after thoracotomy could be a predictor of development of prolonged persistent pain; therefore, prevention or attenuation of chronic pain could be achieved with effective acute pain management. Association of acute pain with chronic pain development may need to be investigated further.

In our study, the incidence of side effects during the postoperative period was similar between the two groups. Pulmonary function test results were also not different between the two groups.

Limitations of this study are as follows: First, the number of patients in this study was small for generalization of issues such as relationship between acute and chronic persistent postoperative pain. The incidence of chronic pain may be low because of the small number of patients. Second, we used IV opioid for induction of anesthesia and hydromorphone in epidural analgesia, which could have made it difficult to determine the pure preemptive effect of TEA. However, a multimodal approach to perioperative analgesia for thoracotomy pain is the treatment of choice and the use of IV opioid is a common practice in anesthesia; therefore, we considered that the use of low dose IV or epidural opioid was justified in this clinical study. Third, pulmonary function recovery was evaluated only during the first 48 hours. In previous studies, pulmonary function recovery was assessed over a longer period of time to determine the recovery of FEV1 and FVC close to the baseline. In this study, we were not able to evaluate whether the time required for recovery of pulmonary function to the baseline after preemptive TEA was shorter than that required after nonpreemptive TEA.

In conclusion, when TEA is applied with local anesthetics and opioids, preemptive TEA may not be necessarily advantageous over conventional TEA in acute and chronic perioperative patient management. However, with respect to long term pain control, further investigation may be necessary.

REFERENCES

1. Woolf CJ, Chong MS. Preemptive analgesia—treating postoperative pain by preventing the establishment of central sensitization. Anesth Analg 1993; 77: 362-79.
2. Chang WK, Tao YX, Chuang CC, Chen PT, Chan KH, Chu YC. Lack of beneficial effect for preemptive analgesia in postoperative pain control: verifying the efficacy of preemptive analgesia with N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor antagonists in a modified animal model of postoperative pain. Anesth Analg 2011; 112: 710-8.
3. Park KS, Byun HJ, Kim JT, Kim HS. The preemptive analgesic effect of nalbuphine in pediatric adenoidectomy or tonsillectomy. Anesth Pain Med 2010; 5: 343-6.
4. Senturk M, Ozcan PE, Talu GK, Kiyan E, Camci E, Ozyalcin S, et al. The effects of three different analgesia techniques on long-term postthoracotomy pain. Anesth Analg 2002; 94: 11-5.
5. De Cosmo G, Aceto P, Gualtieri E, Congedo E. Analgesia in thoracic surgery: review. Minerva Anestesiol 2009; 75: 393-400.
6. Lee YJ, Shin HK, Kim SH, Kwon OC, Nam CH, Rho JK, et al. Effect of epidural analgesia on the post-thoracotomy patient. J Korean Pain Soc 1992; 5: 37-43.
7. Amr YM, Yousef AA, Alzeflawy AE, Messbah WI, Saber AM. Effect of preincisional epidural fentanyl and bupivacaine on postthoracotomy pain and pulmonary function. Ann Thorac Surg 2010; 89: 381-5.
8. Bong CL, Samuel M, Ng JM, Ip-Yam C. Effects of preemptive epidural analgesia on post-thoracotomy pain. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth 2005; 19: 786-93.
9. Aguilar JL, Rincon R, Domingo V, Espachs P, Preciado MJ, Vidal F. Absence of an early pre-emptive effect after thoracic extradural
bupivacaine in thoracic surgery. Br J Anaesth 1996; 76: 72-6.
10. Obata H, Saito S, Fujita N, Fuse Y, Ishizaki K, Goto F. Epidural block with mepivacaine before surgery reduces long-term post-thoracotomy pain. Can J Anaesth 1999; 46: 1127-32.
11. Neustein SM, Kreitzer JM, Krullenstein D, Reich DL, Rapaport E, Cohen E. Preemptive epidural analgesia for thoracic surgery. Mt Sinai J Med 2002; 69: 101-4.
12. Ochroch EA, Gottschalk A, Augostides J, Carson KA, Kent L, Malayaman N, et al. Long-term pain and activity during recovery from major thoracotomy using thoracic epidural analgesia. Anesthesiology 2002; 97: 1234-44.
13. Yegin A, Erdogan A, Kayacan N, Karsli B. Early postoperative pain management after thoracic surgery; pre- and postoperative versus postoperative epidural analgesia: a randomised study. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2003; 24: 420-4.
14. Cerfolio RJ, Bryant AS, Bass CS, Bartolucci AA. A prospective, double-blinded, randomized trial evaluating the use of preemptive analgesia of the skin before thoracotomy. Ann Thorac Surg 2003; 76: 1055-8.
15. Grider JS, Mullet TW, Saha SP, Hamed ME, Sloan PA. A randomized, double-blind trial comparing continuous thoracic epidural bupivacaine with and without opioid in contrast to a continuous paravertebral infusion of bupivacaine for post-thoracotomy pain. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth 2012; 26: 83-9.
16. Salaria V, Mehta NJ, Abdul-Aziz S, Mohiuddin SM, Khan IA. Role of postoperative use of adrenergic drugs in occurrence of atrial fibrillation after cardiac surgery. Clin Cardiol 2005; 28: 131-5.
17. Ivanovic J, Maziak DE, Ramzan S, McGuire AL, Villeneuve PJ, Gilbert S, et al. Incidence, severity and perioperative risk factors for atrial fibrillation following pulmonary resection. Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg 2014; 18: 340-6.
18. Roselli EE, Murthy SC, Rice TW, Houghtaling PL, Pierce CD, Karchmer DP, et al. Atrial fibrillation complicating lung cancer resection. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2005; 130: 438-44.
19. Katz J, Jackson M, Kavanagh BP, Sandler AN. Acute pain after thoracic surgery predicts long-term post-thoracotomy pain. Clin J Pain 1996; 12: 50-5.
20. Katz J, Kavanagh BP, Sandler AN, Nierenberg H, Boylan JF, Friedlander M, et al. Preemptive analgesia. Clinical evidence of neuroplasticity contributing to postoperative pain. Anesthesiology 1992; 77: 439-46.