Use of Iodine to Biofortify and Promote Growth and Stress Tolerance in Crops
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Iodine is not considered essential for land plants; however, in some aquatic plants, iodine plays a critical role in antioxidant metabolism. In humans, iodine is essential for the metabolism of the thyroid and for the development of cognitive abilities, and it is associated with lower risks of developing certain types of cancer. Therefore, great efforts are made to ensure the proper intake of iodine to the population, for example, the iodization of table salt. In the same way, as an alternative, the use of different iodine fertilization techniques to biofortify crops is considered an adequate iodine supply method. Hence, biofortification with iodine is an active area of research, with highly relevant results. The agricultural application of iodine to enhance growth, environmental adaptation, and stress tolerance in plants has not been well explored, although it may lead to the increased use of this element in agricultural practice and thus contribute to the biofortification of crops. This review systematically presents the results published on the application of iodine in agriculture, considering different environmental conditions and farming systems in various species and varying concentrations of the element, its chemical forms, and its application method. Some studies report beneficial effects of iodine, including better growth, and changes in the tolerance to stress and antioxidant capacity, while other studies report that the applications of iodine cause no response or even have adverse effects. We suggested different assumptions that attempt to explain these conflicting results, considering the possible interaction of iodine with other trace elements, as well as the different physicochemical and biogeochemical conditions that give rise to the distinct availability and the volatilization of the element.

Keywords: iodide, iodate, antioxidants, oxidative stress, ROS, nutritional quality

This review aims to provide an overview of the biofortification of iodine, presenting the progress in this important area of agricultural research. Information is included about the possible alternative use of iodine as an inducer of abiotic and biotic tolerance. In the literature, a series of reviews focused on human deficiency of iodine resulting from the irregular distribution of the element and its complex and still not well-understood dynamics is available. This review complements the information presented by other authors (Whitehead, 1984; Fuge and Johnson, 1986, 2015; Johnson, 2003; Fuge, 2005, 2013; Steinnes, 2009; Charlton and Skeaff, 2011; Küpper et al., 2011; Moreda-Piñeiro et al., 2011; Pearce et al., 2013) focusing on agronomic efforts and on the comparison of different methods of biofortification applied.
IODINE DYNAMICS

The oceans are the largest reservoirs of bioavailable iodine on the planet; from there, the element is distributed into the atmosphere and land areas (Fuge, 1996; Venturi, 2011). The second most important reservoir of iodine is the soil, which has a higher content than does its parent material as a result of the activity of the living organisms (Muramatsu and Yoshida, 1999). Approximately $4 \times 10^{11}$ g year$^{-1}$ of iodine volatilize from the ocean into the atmosphere (Miyake and Tsunogai, 1999; Amachi, 2008), with an estimated of $1.14-3.17 \times 10^{11}$ g year$^{-1}$ that volatilizes as CH$_3$I (Moore and Groszko, 1999). In the atmosphere, iodine reaches concentrations of 5–20 ng m$^{-3}$ in gaseous forms and 1–5 ng m$^{-3}$ as particulate iodine (Moyers and Duce, 1972). This atmospheric iodine, in the form of I$_2$ and organoiodine compounds (CH$_3$I and iodinated humic acids), reacts photochemically with O$_3$ and forms radicals (I$_2$O$_2$, I$_2$O$_3$, and I$_2$O$_4$) that become transformed into I$_2$O$_5$. This compound forms particles with a nanometric dimension that induces condensed nuclei for cloud formation (Saunders and Plane, 2005). Iodine in the form of gas and aerosol is carried by the wind and rain to land areas, where it is found in soils mainly in the form of iodide (I$^-$) and iodate (IO$_3^-$). In rainwater, the iodine appears at concentration of 2 $\mu$g L$^{-1}$ (Bowley, 2013). Once found on land, iodine is distributed in different ways: it is again mobilized by volatilization into the atmosphere by abiotic and biotic processes, fixed in soil and biomass, or dragged to the ocean through water streams (Whitehead, 1984; Moreira-Piñeiro et al., 2011; Saunders et al., 2012; Fuge and Johnson, 2015; Figure 1).

The distribution of iodine between different terrestrial compartments occurs with the significant participation of microbiological processes (Amachi et al., 2003; Amachi, 2008). From a physiological perspective, it is assumed that the flux of iodine among different organisms is valuable as a source of antioxidant potential (Crockford, 2009; Venturi, 2011), as well as by the metabolic value of the compounds resulting from the reaction between the amino acid tyrosine and iodine, such as thyroxine (T4) and its derivatives (T2 and T3; Eales, 1997; Heyland and Moroz, 2005). From an ecological standpoint, the iodine flux between the different layers of the Earth, ecological compartments, and organisms may be considered part of the global system of energy dissipation (Karnani and Annila, 2009).

Among the biological processes of iodine mobilization, one receiving close attention involves iodine metabolism by seaweeds of the genus Laminaria (Leblanc et al., 2006), which volatilize iodine through the production of molecular iodine (I$_2$) and organoiodine compounds (CH$_3$I and CH$_2$I$_2$; Moore and Groszko, 1999; Carpenter et al., 2000; Leblanc et al., 2006; Jones et al., 2010), coupling the process with the antioxidant metabolism to reduce oxidative stress (Küpper et al., 2008; Nitschke et al., 2013). It has been shown that one iodoperoxidase enzyme dependent on vanadium (V-IPO) is critical in this antioxidant system (Figure 2).

The I$^-$ that is absorbed from seawater reacts with H$_2$O$_2$, (catalyzed by V-IPO) to produce hypiodous acid (HIO; Küpper et al., 1998). The oxidative process is named peroxide-dependent diffusion (PDD) and occurs in macroalgae, bacteria, and animals outside of the chordates (Miller and Heyland, 2013). The resulting HIO may (i) diffuse into the cytoplasm to be accumulated, (ii) react to form volatile organoiodine compounds, or (iii) react in the apoplast with I$^-$ to produce I$_2$, which can also migrate to the cytoplasm and be stored or volatilized (McFiggans et al., 2004; Leblanc et al., 2006). Figure 2 partially explains the value of iodine as an antioxidant. Iodide may be a source of reduction potential to the cellular system, once oxidized it can be used for metabolic purposes, stored at an intracellular iodine pool or, to avoid excessive accumulation, dissipated by means of volatile organoiodine compounds or I$_2$ sublimation.

![Figure 1](image-url)
It has not been proven that iodine plays a central antioxidant role in land plants as in the Laminaria macroalgae, although it has been reported that in the presence of iodine, crop plants increase their antioxidant levels (Gupta et al., 2015). It is assumed that during their evolution, land plants decreased their dependency on iodine as an inorganic antioxidant, developing a whole new series of organic antioxidants (such as ascorbic acid, polyphenols, and carotenoids) in response to the low concentration of available iodine in the emerged areas (Venturi, 2011). Notwithstanding the apparent non-dependency on iodine, higher plants absorb iodine through their roots and leaves, and dissipate it (Barry and Chamberlain, 1963; Whitehead, 1979; Amiro and Johnston, 1989) using halogen methyltransferases not dependent on vanadium (Landini et al., 2012); thus it is possible that iodine oxidases have evolved as a dependent on one-carbon metabolism. However, the question of whether vanadium is essential for plants, coupled with the possibility that plant responses to iodine occur under a context of low bioavailability of vanadium has not been fully resolved (Pilbeam and Drihem, 2007). Additionally, marine photosynthetic organisms, algae, and diatoms synthesize analogs to thyroxine (Heyland and Moroz, 2005; Crockford, 2009), and the land plants have transthyretin-like proteins with sequence homology to transthyretin (TTR), the protein for thyroxine transport (Eneqvist et al., 2003; Pessoa et al., 2010); thus, it is possible that iodine may have metabolic functions that are not yet understood in land plants. In animals, the evolutionary strategy to address the low iodine availability in land areas is different: animals are still dependent on iodine as an indispensable element, and iodine is stored in vertebrates in the follicular tissue of the thyroid. In other groups, such as invertebrates and prochordates, iodine is accumulated in other tissues or specific proteins (Eales, 1997). Animal organisms obtain iodine mostly from food intake and, to a lesser extent, through the absorption from drinking water and from gas exchange during breathing (Vought et al., 1970; Whitehead, 1984; Fuge and Johnson, 2015).

**IODINE AND HUMAN HEALTH**

According to the World Health Organization (WHO), iodine deficiency (Figure 3) is among the most common nutritional deficiencies, along with those of iron (Fe), zinc (Zn), and vitamin A (Burlingame, 2013; Prasad, 2013).

From the perspective of human health, iodine is one of the most studied elements because of its metabolic importance and because of the complexity associated with the factors that induce its deficiency. Iodine deficiency occurs in many regions of the planet; the irregular distribution of iodine on the Earth’s crust is considered as a primal factor (FAO, 2009). An estimated $2 \times 10^9$ people ingest an insufficient amount of iodine (Mottiar, 2013), causing the so-called iodine deficiency disorders (IDDs).
IDDs refer to illnesses associated with low iodine consumption (Zimmermann et al., 2008).

The best-known IDD due to its prominent symptom is goiter. Nevertheless, the presence of less tangible IDDs has been observed in recent decades, such as an adverse impact on physical and cognitive development in children, and on productivity in adults (Lazarus et al., 2012); it has also been associated with a higher incidence of fetal death, miscarriages, and congenital anomalies (Zimmermann, 2009). On the other hand, it was recently demonstrated that iodine is capable of acting as an antioxidant and as an antiproliferative of malignant cells (Funahashi et al., 2001; García-Solís et al., 2005; Aranda et al., 2013; Anguiano and Aceves, 2011). The daily requirement of iodine, according to the Recommended Dietary Allowances (RDA; World Health Organization, 2007; Andersson et al., 2012), is among 90 and 200 µg day⁻¹.

Foods contain different quantities of iodine depending on their origin, individual characteristics, conservation, and preparation. A comprehensive study of the literature (Fordyce, 2003) indicated that the geometric mean iodine concentration in foods is 87 µg kg⁻¹, a small amount when considering the daily requirements previously mentioned. Data separation by food type is provided in Table 1.

Except for the sea fishes, nearly all foods have a low iodine content. The data presented in Table 1 may vary depending on the site where the foods are collected or produced, but clearly show the need to increase the iodine amount in foods. As an example: it has been suggested that lettuce must contain 50–100 µg of iodine per 100 g of fresh weight (Lawson et al., 2015), that is, values several times higher than those shown in Table 1.

Numerous attempts to mitigate the deficit in the consumption of iodine have been made, mainly since the 1920s through the universal iodization of table salt (de Caffarelli, 1997; Zimmermann, 2009; Charlton et al., 2013). However, throughout the years it has been shown that this technique alone is insufficient to ensure the total requirement of iodine (de Benoist et al., 2008), partly because the iodine of table salt is unstable and is subject to many losses by volatilization (Mottiar and Altsaar,

### Table 1 | Iodine content in different foods (Fordyce, 2003)

| Food           | I concentration (µg kg⁻¹) |
|----------------|--------------------------|
| Sea fish       | 145.9                    |
| Freshwater fish| 102.8                    |
| Leafy vegetables| 88.8                    |
| Dairy          | 83.9                     |
| Other vegetables| 80.1                    |
| Meats          | 68.4                     |
| Cereals        | 56.0                     |
| Fresh fruits   | 30.6                     |
| Water          | 6.4                      |

FIGURE 3 | Regions where the population presents a high risk of iodine deficiency are marked in orange on the map. Adapted from Burlingame (2013).
In this regard, the consumption of iodine in organic forms such as seaweed and biofortified foods and yeast, is considered more appropriate (Funahashi et al., 2001; Weng et al., 2008a; Kopel et al., 2015) because those organic sources are more stable than inorganic ones. The maximum recommended dietary dose of iodine ranges from 1000 µg day⁻¹ (Pennington, 1990) in a daily basis, to 2000 µg day⁻¹ by not more than 3 weeks (Backer and Hollowell, 2000). Iodine toxicities are not common under normal conditions (Gupta and Gupta, 1998), and humans appear to have a high tolerance to iodine doses <2000 µg day⁻¹ (Bulloch, 2014). Frequently, the toxicity by iodine occurs by genetic or physiological predisposition (Rose et al., 2002) or by the use of iodine-based products as disinfectants (Backer and Hollowell, 2000) and medications (Bulloch, 2014). Therefore, it is necessary to promote the use of techniques, such as the biofortification of crops, to achieve an adequate iodine intake from foods, either as a complement or as an alternative to the inorganic sources of iodine as the table salt.

**IODINE APPLICATIONS IN AGRICULTURAL CROPS**

There have been numerous studies on the application of iodine in various plant species with the purpose of biofortifying crops. The results reported in the literature are variable according to the applied concentration, chemical form used and adopted production system (Tables 2–4). An overview is presented on the absorption, transport and volatilization of iodine in plants, followed by summaries reporting the concentrations and chemical forms of iodine that are used in different production systems.

**Absorption and Metabolism of Iodine**

Iodine is an element that can be absorbed by the root and in aerial structures both by the stomata and by the cuticular waxes with high degree of unsaturation and great capacity to take iodine (Shaw et al., 2007; Tschiersch et al., 2009), both in dissolved form and in gas form as I₂ and CH₃I. The impact of the differences among species in the profile and quantity of cuticular waxes on leaf iodine absorption has not been verified. This information may be relevant considering that the cuticular waxes interacting with iodine can be alternatives for the pre- and post-harvest biofortification of fruits and seeds.

There is no information to indicate how much of the element that has been taken up by the plants comes from the soil and how much from the atmosphere, but it is known that the absorption of iodine in gas form can be significant (Barry and Chamberlain, 1963; Nakamura and Ohmomo, 1984; Whitehead, 1984). In opposite (Tsukada et al. (2008)) estimated the atmospheric contribution to the iodine uptake of rice to be only 0.2%. The direct atmospheric contribution would be expected to be higher in regions near the sea and lower in continental areas; however, the evidence indicates that the volatilization of iodine that is fixed in the soil may also be an important factor in iodine transfer to organisms (Whitehead, 1984; Fuge and Johnson, 2015).

Once the iodine is absorbed, it is transported through the xylem, finding that its redistribution through the phloem is low (Herrett et al., 1962); thus it accumulates in greater amounts in leaves than in fruits and seeds. However, in lettuce plants treated with iodine by leaf spray Smoleš et al. (2014a) found evidence of iodine transport from leaves to the roots. In wheat plants, even when iodine was applied by foliar spraying, the mobility from the leaves to the grains (termed the translocation factor) was very low (0.2–1.1%), but this value appears to be cumulative, i.e., iodine moves from the leaves to the grain with each application event (Hurrevent et al., 2013). The observed translocation factors for radish, potato, and bean range from 0.8 to 2.6%, 0.1 to 2.3%, and 0.1 to 2.6%, respectively (Henner et al., 2013). On the other hand, the iodine transfer factor (ITF) refers to the element that is absorbed by the root, and is defined as the ratio of the iodine concentration in the plant tissues to its concentration in the substrate. ITF is higher in leafy crops such as spinach (ITF ≥ 2.0), than in fruits such as tomatoes and nectarines, or cereal grains (0.0005 ≤ ITF ≤ 0.02; Shinonaga et al., 2001; Lawson, 2014). For example, starting with a soil concentration of 48 mg kg⁻¹, the distribution of the iodine that is absorbed by a rice plant (dry weight) is as follows: 53 mg kg⁻¹ in the root, 16 mg kg⁻¹ in the leaves, and 0.034 mg kg⁻¹ in the polished grain (Tsukada et al., 2008).

When iodine is applied to plants as IO₃⁻ it is reduced to I⁻ by the action of an iodate reductase, which responds to the availability of iodine in the medium (Kato et al., 2013). This reductase activity also occurs in microorganisms (Amachi, 2008), but the magnitude of the microbial contribution in the soil process is unknown. In soils IO₃⁻ is more efficient taken up by plants compared to I⁻ (Lawson et al., 2015), and in soilless cultures the application of I⁻ induces toxicity more easily in plants than does IO₃⁻ (Borst Pauwels, 1962; Umaly and Poel, 1971; Muramatsu et al., 1983; Zhu et al., 2003). The lower toxicity of IO₃⁻ could be explained by the iodate as an alternative substrate to other abundant enzymes, such as nitrate reductase (Barber and Notton, 1990), or by the activation of iodate reductase through IO₃⁻ inducing other responses associated with redox signaling and iodine metabolism in plants, in addition to the reduction of IO₃⁻.

Since IO₃⁻ is more thermodynamically stable than I⁻, it is hypothesized that it is the most likely form to be available in agricultural soils. However, because the I⁻/IO₃⁻ ratio depends on biological activity, it is not limited strictly to a thermodynamic balance (Kaplan et al., 2014), as shown in Figure 4. This fact makes it difficult to predict the pattern of iodine speciation in a particular soil.

Plants absorb iodine as I⁻ through ionic channels and chloride transporters that are energized by proton pumps (White and Broadley, 2009); therefore, there may occur interference scenarios with other anions such as nitrate, thiocyanate, and perchlorate (Voogt and Jackson, 2010). The identity of the I⁻ transporters is not firmly established, but their activity can presumably be shared by several families of transporters and anion channels (White and Broadley, 2009; Landini et al., 2012).
TABLE 2 | Overall effect of the application of iodine in different crops.

| Crop (Latin/English) | Main result | Main effect | References |
|----------------------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| Barley (Hordeum vulgare L.) | + | Positive effect on growth. | Borst Pauwels, 1961 |
| Beet (Beta vulgaris L.) | + | Positive effect on aboveground biomass. | Borst Pauwels, 1961 |
| Cabbage (Brassica oleracea var. capitata) | – | Severe diminution of biomass. Higher iodine accumulation. | Mao et al., 2014 |
| Cabbage | ± | Chlorosis and necrosis occurs at an application rate of 15 kg ha⁻¹. No effects on biomass or quality when applied via foliar spray. | Lawson et al., 2015 |
| Canola (Brassica napus L.) | + | Slight positive effect on yield. | Mao et al., 2014 |
| Carrot (Daucus carota L.) | + | Increases glucose, fructose, sucrose, total sugars, and soluble solids. No effect on biomass. | Smolen et al., 2014b |
| Carrot | – | Plant growth decreases when the iodine concentration exceeds 50 mg (kg soil)⁻¹. | Hong et al., 2008 |
| Celery (Apium graveolens L.) | + | Iodine applied to soil increases the biomass in leafy vegetables. | Dai et al., 2004b |
| Ceylon spinach (Basella alba L.) | + | Higher iodine accumulation at 40 µg L⁻¹. Use of fertigation is recommended. | Ujowundu et al., 2010 |
| Chinese cabbage (Brassica rapa ssp. pekinensis) | + | Iodine applied to soil increases biomass. | Dai et al., 2004b |
| Chinese cabbage | + | Biomass increases at low doses. Toxic effect at higher doses. | Weng et al., 2008c |
| Chinese cabbage | + | When not applied to soil in excess, iodine increases its concentration in edible vegetables. | Weng et al., 2003 |
| Chinese cabbage | – | Plant growth decreases when the iodine concentration exceeds 50 mg (kg soil)⁻¹. | Hong et al., 2008 |
| Lettuce (Lactuca sativa L.) | + | Higher accumulation of K, Mg, Ca, Mn, and Cd when applying iodine at any dose, form and/or application method. | Smolen et al., 2011 |
| Lettuce | + | KI₀ < 40 × 10⁻⁶ M increases SOD, APX, GSH, AA, and antioxidant potential. Improves response to salinity. | Leyva et al., 2011 |
| Lettuce | + | Under saline stress iodine increases foliar mass, antioxidant response, and accumulation of phenolic compounds at 20 and 40 µM KI₀. | Blasco et al., 2013 |
| Lettuce | ± | KI reduces biomass at 40 µM or higher. KI₀ has no effect. Higher concentration of antioxidants with KI. | Blasco et al., 2008 |
| Lettuce | ± | KI decreases SOD and increases CAT, ascorbate, and glutathione. KI₀ increases SOD, APX, CAT, and ascorbate, and has no negative effect on biomass. | Blasco et al., 2011 |
| Lettuce | + | Increases the content of I. | Kopeč et al., 2015 |
| Lettuce | – | Plant growth decreases when the iodine concentration exceeds 50 mg (kg soil)⁻¹. | Hong et al., 2008 |
| Lettuce | – | In combination with selenium, iodine has a negative effect on biomass. Negative correlation between I content and K, Mg, Ca, S, Na, B, Cu, Fe, Mn, Zn, Cd, and Pb concentration. | Smolen et al., 2015b |
| Lettuce | – | Chlorosis and necrosis occurs at an application rate of 15 kg ha⁻¹. No effects on biomass or quality when applied via foliar spray. | Lawson et al., 2015 |
| Lettuce | + | Se + I had no effect on biomass or mineral composition. Synergic interaction between both compounds for absorption through leaves via foliar spray. | Smolen et al., 2014a |
| Linseed (Linum usitatissimum L.) | + | No effect on biomass. Iodine concentration in leaves increases with iodine treatment. | Voogt et al., 2010 |
| Maize (Zea mays L.) | – | Positive effect on growth. | Borst Pauwels, 1961 |
| Maize | + | Positive effect on growth. | Borst Pauwels, 1961 |
| Maize | – | Negative effect on biomass. | Caffagni et al., 2011 |
| Maize | – | Iodine reduces yield. | Mao et al., 2014 |
| Mustard (Brassica nigra (L.) W.D.J. Koch) | + | Positive effect on growth. | Borst Pauwels, 1961 |
| Nopal (Opuntia ficus-indica (L.) Mill.) | ± | Increases ascorbic acid. Decreases fresh and dry weight. Diverse effects on minerals. Changes in some histologic variables. | García-Osuna et al., 2014 |
| Oat (Avena sativa L.) | – | Negative effect on growth. | Borst Pauwels, 1961 |
| Onion (Allium cepa L.) | + | No effect on the biomass of fruit and root vegetables. | Dai et al., 2004b |
| Parsley (Petroselinum crispum Mill.) | + | Positive effect on growth. | Borst Pauwels, 1961 |
| Potato (Solanum tuberosum L.) | – | Reduction of biomass. | Mao et al., 2014 |
| Potato | – | Negative effect on biomass. | Caffagni et al., 2011 |
| Pumpkin (Cucurbita pepo L.) | + | Higher iodine accumulation at 40 µg L⁻¹. Use of fertigation is recommended. | Ujowundu et al., 2010 |
| Radish (Raphanus sativus L.) | + | Concentration of free amino acids increases. | Strzetelski et al., 2010 |
| Radish | ± | When not applied to soil in excess, iodine increases its concentration in edible vegetables. | Weng et al., 2003 |
| Rice (Oryza sativa L.) | – | KI and KIO₃ at 100 µM cause biomass reduction. | Mackowiak and Grossl, 1999 |

(Continued)
Among these are the Na/K/Cl cotransporters belonging to the CCC family of genes (Colmenero-Flores et al., 2007), which directly regulate the concentration of ions in the root xylem (Shabala, 2013; Wegner, 2014; Fricke, 2015). Another group is the gene family of CLC Cl−-channels permeable to I− (Roberts, 2006; Barbier-Brygoo et al., 2011). Currently, the CLC genes have been linked to osmotic stress tolerance (Ma et al., 2016; Nguyen et al., 2016), stomatal movement, nutrient transport, and heavy metal tolerance (Zifarelli and Pusch, 2010).

Once absorbed, transported, and accumulated in different plant organs, iodine is not stable; plants volatilize iodine as methyl iodide (CH3I) using the enzymes halide ion methyltransferase (HMT) and halide/thiol methyltransferase (HTMT), with methyl transferase activity dependent on S-adenosylmethionine (SAM; Redeker et al., 2004; Itoh et al., 2009). The affinity of these methyl-halide transferase enzymes to iodine is much greater than that observed in other halogens or ions such as thiocyanate (Takekawa and Nakamura, 2012). Volatilization can be faster as iodine increases its concentration in the substrate (Itoh et al., 2009), and occurs in all organs; in rice, the iodine foliar concentration decreases exponentially with a half-life of 14 days (Nakamura et al., 1986). Therefore, the action of methyltransferases continuously reduces the iodine store present in plants (Landini et al., 2012). It has been noted that iodine is a phytotoxic element per se to the plants and that volatilization is a detoxification mechanism (Saini et al., 1995) or a by-product of the methyltransferase activity reactions that occur in plants (Redeker et al., 2004). An alternative explanation is that the iodine is toxic only depending on the environmental context: for example, under conditions of high

### TABLE 2 | Continued

| Crop                        | Main result | Main effect                                                                 | References                  |
|-----------------------------|-------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Rice                        | −           | KI > 0.25% decreases plant height, panicle length, grain number, and yield.  | Singh et al., 2012          |
| Rice                        | −           | Negative effect on growth.                                                   | Kato et al., 2013           |
| Ryegrass (Lolium perenne (Lam.) Hudsot.) | +           | Positive effect on the aboveground biomass.                                  | Borst Pauwels, 1961         |
| Soybean (Glycine max (L) Merr.) | +           | Increases biomass.                                                           | Mao et al., 2014            |
| Soybean                     | +           | SOD, APX, and GR increased with IO3−.                                         | Gupta et al., 2015           |
| Spinach (Spinacia oleracea L.) | +           | Iodine application to soil increases biomass.                                | Dai et al., 2004b            |
| Spinach                     | +           | Slight positive effect on aboveground biomass.                               | Borst Pauwels, 1961         |
| Spinach                     | ±           | When not applied to soil in excess, iodine increases its concentration in edible vegetables. | Weng et al., 2003            |
| Spinach                     | +           | IO3− increase iodine concentration in leaves.                                | Dai et al., 2006             |
| Spinach                     | −           | KI ≥ 10 × 10−6 M is toxic. IO32 slightly affects biomass.                    | Zhu et al., 2003             |
| Spinach                     | +           | Higher abscission through fertigation. No damage to plants.                  | Smolen and Sady, 2012        |
| Tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum L.) | −           | Negative effect on biomass.                                                   | Caffagni et al., 2011       |
| Tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L.) | +           | Extended shelf life.                                                         | Limchooowong et al., 2016    |
| Tomato                      | +           | Positive effect on aboveground biomass.                                      | Borst Pauwels, 1961         |
| Tomato                      | +           | KIO3 increases soluble solids, fructose, glucose, ascorbic acid, and phenols. Higher iodine accumulation with salicylic acid. | Smolen et al., 2015c         |
| Tomato                      | +           | Decreases plant weight and accelerated flowering with higher yields.         | Lehr et al., 1958            |
| Tomato                      | −           | Plant growth decreases when iodine concentration exceeds 50 mg (kg soil)−1.  | Hong et al., 2008            |
| Tomato                      | −           | Negative effect on biomass.                                                   | Caffagni et al., 2011       |
| Tomato                      | −           | Biomass decreases as the concentration of iodine increases. Ascorbic acid decreases. | Hageman et al., 1942        |
| Tomato                      | +           | Significant increase in iodine without damage to fruits.                    | Kiferle et al., 2013        |
| Tomato                      | +           | Iodine was taken up when supplied with nutrient solution and leaf spray, and stored in fruits and vegetative tissues. | Landini et al., 2011        |
| Turnip (Brassica rapa ssp. rapa) | ±           | Positive effect on aboveground biomass and negative effect on roots.        | Borst Pauwels, 1961         |
| Water spinach (lupimoea aquatica Forsk.) | +           | Positive effect on growth of low doses of iodine. I− increases vitamin C, while IO3− and CH3ICO2− decreases it. I− and IO3− increases nitrates. | Weng et al., 2008c          |
| White clover (Trifolium repens L.) | +           | Positive effect on aboveground biomass.                                      | Borst Pauwels, 1961         |
| Wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) | −           | Negative effect on biomass.                                                   | Caffagni et al., 2011       |
| Wheat                       | +           | Positive effect on growth.                                                   | Borst Pauwels, 1961         |
| Wheat                       | −           | Decreases biomass.                                                           | Mao et al., 2014             |
| Zucchini (Cucurbita pepo var. melopepo) | +           | Higher iodine accumulation at 40 µg L−1. Use of fertigation is recommended. | Ujowundu et al., 2010       |

Main result: (+), positive; (−), negative; (±), mixed results.
**TABLE 3 | Overall effect of the application of iodine according to the applied chemical form.**

| Chemical form | Main result | Main effect | Author(s) |
|---------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|
| CH₂IO₃NaO | - | Decreased vitamin C. Higher absorption than that of I⁻ and IO₃⁻. | Weng et al., 2008c |
| [0.5φpt Kelp algae based fertilizer | + | Iodine applied to soil increases its concentration in edible vegetables. | Weng et al., 2003 |
| Iodated organic fertilizer | + | Iodine absorption increased with the application of iodated organic fertilizer. | Weng et al., 2013 |
| NaI | ± | Increases biomass at low doses. Toxic at high doses. | Weng et al., 2008a |
| NaI | ± | At 0.033 × 10⁻⁶ M concentration biomass increases, while at 0.66 × 10⁻⁶ M biomass decreases. | Weng et al., 2008b |
| NaIO₃ | + | Increases biomass. | Weng et al., 2008b |
| NaIO₃ | ± | Increases biomass at low doses. Toxic at high doses, but less toxic than NaI and KI. | Weng et al., 2008a |
| NaIO₃ | - | Decreases vitamin C and increases nitrates. | Weng et al., 2008c |
| KI | ± | Increases biomass at low doses. Toxic at high doses. | Weng et al., 2008a |
| KI | - | Plant growth decreases when iodine concentration exceeds 50 mg (kg soil)⁻¹. | Hong et al., 2008 |
| KI | - | Chlorosis and necrosis occurs at an application rate of 15 kg ha⁻¹. No effects on biomass or quality when applied via foliar spray. | Lawson et al., 2015 |
| KI | + | No effect on biomass. Iodine concentration in leaves increases with iodine treatments. | Voogt et al., 2010 |
| KI | + | Higher absorption through fertigation. No damage to plants. | Smolen et al., Sady, 2012 |
| KI | - | Absorption more difficult than that of KIO₃. | Smolen et al., 2015a |
| KI | - | KI induces less growth than does KIO₃. | Borst Pauwels, 1961 |
| KI | - | KI reduces biomass at 40 µM or higher. Higher concentration of antioxidants. | Blissco et al., 2008 |
| KI | + | Decreases SOD, CAT, and ascorbate, and glutathione. | Blissco et al., 2011 |
| KI | + | Higher accumulation of K, Mg, Ca, Mn, and Cd when applying iodine in any dose, form and/or application method. | Smolen et al., 2011 |
| KI | + | Increases I content. | Kopček et al., 2015 |
| KI | + | Greater accumulation than KIO₃. | Smolen et al., 2015b |
| KI | ± | Increases ascorbic acid. Decreases fresh and dry weight. Increases Cu and Mn. | García-Osuna et al., 2014 |
| KI | ± | Increases glucose, fructose, sucrose, total sugars, and soluble solids, and decreases biomass. Higher effect than KIO₃. | Smolen et al., 2014b |
| KIO₃ | + | KIO₃ < 40 × 10⁻⁶ M increases SOD, APX, GSH, ascorbic acid, and antioxidant potential. Improves response to salinity. | Leyva et al., 2011 |
| KIO₃ | + | Increases SOD, APX, CAT, and ascorbate, with no negative effect on biomass. | Blissco et al., 2011 |
| KIO₃ | ± | Biomass decreases at all concentrations, but KIO₃ has a less negative effect than that of KI. | Caffagri et al., 2011 |
| KIO₃ | ± | No damage or biomass diminution at concentrations of 1 and 10 µM. While 100 µM has a slightly negative effect. | Mackowiak and Grossl, 1999 |
| KIO₃ | - | Slight negative effect on biomass and growth. | Zhu et al., 2003 |
| KIO₃ | + | No effect on biomass. Iodine accumulation is independent of dose. | Voogt et al., 2010 |
| KIO₃ | + | Higher absorption through fertigation. No damage to plants. | Smolen et al., Sady, 2012 |
| KIO₃ | + | Biofortification without damage to fruits. | Kiferle et al., 2013 |
| KIO₃ | ± | Chlorosis and necrosis occurs at an application rate of 15 kg ha⁻¹. No effects on biomass or quality when applied via foliar spray. More efficient to biofortification than KI. | Lawson et al., 2015 |
| KIO₃ | + | KIO₃ increases biomass when applied to nutritive solution. Iodine is better absorbed with KIO₃ than using KI. | Smolen et al., 2015a |
| KIO₃ | + | KIO₃ promotes growth more than does KI. | Borst Pauwels, 1961 |
| KIO₃ | + | No effect on biomass. Increased phenols and ascorbic acid at 80 µM and increased antioxidant potential at 120 µM. | Blissco et al., 2008 |
| KIO₃ | + | Higher iodine accumulation at 40 µg L⁻¹. Adding iodine to soil promotes plant absorption. | Uijwoud et al., 2010 |
| KIO₃ | + | Under saline stress iodine increases foliar mass, antioxidant response and the accumulation of phenolic compounds at 20 and 40 µM KIO₃. | Blissco et al., 2013 |
| KIO₃ | + | IO₃⁻ is recommended as a beneficial compound to cadmium stress. | Gupta et al., 2015 |
| KIO₃ | + | KIO₃ increases soluble solids, fructose, glucose, ascorbic acid, and phenols. Higher iodine accumulation in combination with salicylic acid. | Smolen et al., 2015b |
| KIO₃ | + | Increases ascorbic acid. Decreases fresh and dry weight. Increased P, K, Mg, and Fe. Changes in xylem and mucilage. | García-Osuna et al., 2014 |
| KIO₃ | + | Increases glucose, fructose, sucrose, total sugars, and soluble solids and decreases biomass. Less effect than KI. | Smolen et al., 2014b |
| KIO₃ | + | Se + I has no effect on biomass or mineral composition. Synergic interaction between both compounds for absorption through leaves via foliar spray. | Smolen et al., 2014a |

Main result: (+), positive; (−), negative; (±), mixed results.
TABLE 4 | Overall effect of iodine application according to the application method.

| Via                  | Main result | Main effect                                                                                                                                  | Author(s)                          |
|----------------------|-------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Fertigation          | +           | Significant increase in iodine accumulation without damage to fruits.                                                                        | Kiferle et al., 2013              |
| Fertigation          | +           | Higher iodine accumulation in leaves.                                                                                                         | Smolen et al., 2015a               |
| Fertigation          | +           | Iodine applied to soil increases the biomass in leafy vegetables.                                                                             | Dai et al., 2004b                  |
| Fertigation          | +           | Higher iodine accumulation at 40 \( \mu \text{g L}^{-1} \). Use of fertigation is recommended.                                                 | Ujowundu et al., 2010              |
| Fertigation          | +           | Higher absorption through fertigation. No damage to plants.                                                                                   | Smolen and Sady, 2012              |
| Fruit                | +           | Extended shelf life.                                                                                                                        | Limchoowong et al., 2016           |
| Leaf spray           | –           | Plants accumulate less iodine through foliar application than in nutrient solution.                                                        | Landini et al., 2011               |
| Leaf spray           | +           | Concentration of free amino acids increases in radish.                                                                                       | Strzetelski et al., 2010           |
| Leaf spray           | +           | Higher accumulation of K, Mg, Ca, Mn, and Cd when applying iodine at any dose, form, and/or application method.                              | Smolen et al., 2011                |
| Leaf spray           | +           | Se + I has no effect on biomass or mineral composition. Synergic interaction between both compounds for absorption through leaves via foliar spray. | Smolen et al., 2014a               |
| Leaf spray           | +           | No effect on biomass or quality. Higher iodine accumulation in lettuce than when applied to soil.                                             | Lawson et al., 2015                |
| Nutrient solution    | +           | Decreases plant weight and accelerated flowering with higher yields.                                                                         | Lehr et al., 1968                  |
| Nutrient solution    | +           | KIO\(_3\) promotes growth more than KI at early development stages.                                                                         | Borst Pauwels, 1961               |
| Nutrient solution    | –           | KI at concentrations of 10 and 100 \( \mu \text{M} \) causes biomass reduction.                                                              | Mackowiak and Grossl, 1999         |
| Nutrient solution    | +           | Increasing levels from 0 to 1 mg L\(^{-1}\) linearly increases the absorption of iodine in the three chemical species. A linear correlation in the iodine content in the roots and in the aboveground part is observed. | Zhu et al., 2003                   |
| Nutrient solution    | +           | Biomass increases at low doses of iodine. Toxic effect at higher doses.                                                                       | Weng et al., 2008c                 |
| Nutrient solution    | +           | Biomass increases more iodine when applied in nutrient solution than through foliar spray.                                                    | Landini et al., 2011               |
| Nutrient solution    | +           | KIO\(_3\) < 40 \times 10^{-6} \text{ M} increases SOD, APX, GSH, ascorbic acid, and antioxidant potential. Improves response to salinity. | Leyva et al., 2011                 |
| Nutrient solution    | +           | KIO\(_3\) increases SOD, APX, CAT, and ascorbic acid. Not phytotoxic.                                                                      | Biasco et al., 2011                |
| Nutrient solution    | +           | Increases foliar mass, antioxidant response, and phenolic compounds at 20 and 40 \( \mu \text{M} \).                                          | Biasco et al., 2013                |
| Nutrient solution    | ±           | Increases ascorbic acid. Decreases fresh and dry weight. Diverse effects on minerals. Changes in some histologic variables.                  | Garcia-Osuna et al., 2014          |
| Nutrient solution    | +           | In combination with salicylic acid the iodine content in fruits increases. KIO\(_3\) increases soluble solids, fructose, glucose, ascorbic acid, and phenols. | Smolen et al., 2015c               |
| Nutrient solution    | –           | Biomass decreases at all concentrations used.                                                                                                 | Caffagni et al., 2011              |
| Nutrient solution    | –           | Biomass decreases as the concentration of iodine increases. Ascorbic acid decreases.                                                         | Hageman et al., 1942               |
| Soil                 | –           | Plant growth decreases when the iodine concentration exceeds 50 mg kg soil\(^{-1}\).                                                        | Hong et al., 2008                   |
| Soil                 | –           | Chlorosis and necrosis occurs at an application rate of 15 kg ha\(^{-1}\).                                                                  | Lawson et al., 2015                 |
| Soil                 | ±           | Biomass increases at low doses. Toxic effect at higher doses.                                                                               | Weng et al., 2008b                  |
| Soil                 | ±           | Higher accumulation of nitrates in leaves. No damage to plants.                                                                                | Smolen and Sady, 2012              |
| Soil                 | +           | High efficiency in biofortification when iodine is applied mixed with humic and fulvic acids.                                                 | Smolen et al., 2015a               |
| Soil                 | ±           | When not applied to soil in excess, iodine increases its concentration in edible vegetables.                                                 | Weng et al., 2003                   |
| Soil                 | +           | Concentration of free amino acids increases.                                                                                                 | Strzetelski et al., 2010           |
| Soil                 | +           | Iodine absorption increased with the application of iodated organic fertilizer.                                                               | Weng et al., 2013                   |
| Soil                 | +           | Iodine application to soil increased iodine accumulation in cabbage leaves.                                                                     | Mao et al., 2014                    |
| Soil                 | +           | SOD, APX, and GR increases with IO\(_3\), IO\(_3\) is recommended as a beneficial compound to treat cadmium stress.                           | Gupta et al., 2015                  |
| Soil                 | +           | Increases glucose, fructose, sucrose, total sugars, and soluble solids. No effect on biomass.                                                   | Smolen et al., 2014b                |

Main result: (+), positive; (−), negative; (±), mixed results.

Solubilization or little fixation, as previously mentioned (Yuita, 1994); when a deficiency of some elements such as Fe or Cu (perhaps vanadium) occurs; or merely as a result of the intrinsic differences between plant species to metabolize iodine (Saini et al., 1995).

There is little information about the differences between vegetable species and the environmental factors that affect the activity of enzymes that dissipate iodine, but it is known that the activity increases with temperature and changes in different developmental phases, peaking during the reproductive stage
The success of the biofortification of crops depends on more than the iodine application technique (Sheppard et al., 1994; Fuge and Johnson, 2015). Iodine is a dynamic element that is under constant turnover by living organisms, including plants and humans (Küpper et al., 2011), as well as by the ecological systems components (Kaplan et al., 2014). The ideal effort would pay direct attention not only to plants but also to the entire system of which they are a part. Iodine is metabolized by the complete ecological system, possibly under an overall control scheme that is not yet well understood, and it is advisable to consider other biotic and abiotic factors as part of the biofortification strategy. For example, the role of the rhizosphere as a complex and dynamical environment where interacts the roots, microorganisms, and inorganic soil components have been little explored regarding the absorption of iodine (Terzano et al., 2015), but has proven to be an useful approach in the case of Fe and Si (Pii et al., 2015; Gattullo et al., 2016). In the same way, there is a notable absence of studies on the biofortification of cultivated fungi or the use of mycorrhizae or plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria to modify the absorption of iodine, although this approach has proven its value for other elements, such as Fe and Zn (He and Nara, 2007; Pellegrino and Bedini, 2014; Rana et al., 2015). Mycorrhizae further decrease the toxicity of certain elements to plants (Leung et al., 2013), an issue that has not been reported for iodine.

An additional point is that other factors, climatic, ecological, phytochemical, and cultural (e.g., food preparation and storage), may decrease the bioavailability or stability of iodine and avoid the correlation between iodine distribution and its presence in the human population (Stewart et al., 2003; Kotwal et al., 2007; Longvah et al., 2012; Zia et al., 2015).

**Speciation and Complexation of Iodine in Soil**

The iodine content of soil is the result of the complex dynamic balance of three processes: incorporation from the atmosphere, fixation, and volatilization, resulting in an enormous range of variation of iodine contents in the soil, from <0.1 to 150 mg (kg soil)$^{-1}$ (Moreda-Piñeiro et al., 2011). The interaction of iodine with soil organic and inorganic components increases the fixation, decreases the rate of volatilization, and reduces its bioavailability. The complexation of iodine with organic matter, metal oxides and clays causes a strong fixation of iodine in soil and modifies the concentration of water-soluble iodine available to plants (Whitehead, 1974). The soluble iodine content of soils is usually <10% of the total iodine fixed in soil. The availability of soluble iodine is higher with a low oxidoreductive potential (Eh) (with I$^{-}$ as the dominant chemical form of iodine) and lower under oxidizing conditions (with IO$_3^{-}$ as the most abundant form; Fuge and Johnson, 2015).

The Eh of soil changes the iodine speciation, which is expressed as the dynamic ratio [IO$_3^{-}$/I$^{-}$] (Figure 4). In the soil, organic matter, flooding, excessive irrigation and inorganic sources of reductor potential, such as the sulfides, Fe$^{2+}$, and FeS induce low Eh, for which the expected form of iodine would be I$^{-}$, generated from the
reaction $\text{IO}_3^- + 6e^- + 6\text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{I}^- + \text{H}_2\text{O}_3$. This reaction can be developed abiotically with $\text{IO}_3^-$ and organic matter producing $\text{HIO}$ and $\text{I}_2$, the latter of which is reduced to $\text{I}$ (Steinberg et al., 2008). By contrast, under high Eh (e.g., low amount of organic matter or low water content in the soil pores), $\text{IO}_3^-$ will be the most abundant form. The difference between $\text{IO}_3^-$ and $\text{I}^-$ is that the former is less subjected to volatilization (Guido-García et al., 2015).

It has been observed in experiments on different types of soil that $\text{IO}_3^-$ tends to be more adsorbed to soil components than $\text{I}^-$, also showing less leaching and toxicity toward crops (Hong et al., 2012; Lawson et al., 2015). In soils with $>10\%$ organic matter, it has been observed that the humic substances can fix the $\text{I}^-$ and $\text{IO}_3^-$ from aerosols and rain, whereas in soil with $<6\%$ organic matter, the $\text{IO}_3^-$ is adsorbed to metal oxides [Fe(OH)$_3$, Al(OH)$_3$, Mn$_4$O$_2$]. With a low pH, or with the Eh to the reducing side, these same metals act as reducers and accelerate the $\text{I}^-$ reaction with organic matter (Bowley, 2013). In acidic soils (pH $<7$), the balance between chemical species of iodine leans toward $\text{I}^-$; while under pH $>7$, the predominant form is $\text{IO}_3^-$. The ITF values for cereal grains do not change in the pH interval 5.4–7.6 (Shimomura et al., 2001), however, toward the extremes of pH (pH $<5$ and pH $>8$), more adsorption of $\text{I}^-$ and $\text{IO}_3^-$ will occur (Yoshida et al., 1992; Dai et al., 2009), probably decreasing the bioavailability of iodine for plants.

Among the different physicochemical factors that modify the availability and immobilization of iodine in the soil, the amount of organic matter is the most widely studied (Whitehead, 1978; Sheppard and Thibault, 1992; Yu et al., 1996; Dai et al., 2006). Humified soil matter has been identified as an important reservoir that decreases the iodine dissipation rate (Shetaya et al., 2012) through the formation of covalent bonds between carbon atoms and iodine (Staver et al., 2008). This reaction mechanism of iodine with organic matter is an electrophilic substitution of H by iodine in a phenolic ring (Reiller et al., 2006). Soil microbes can accelerate this process through the action of laccase enzymes that oxidizes $\text{I}^-$ to $\text{I}_2$ and HOI (Shimamoto et al., 2011; Seki et al., 2013), which in a subsequent step are incorporated into organic matter.

The association of iodine and organic matter is not permanent; it is known that iodine in soil is subjected to absorption and desorption processes. Under reducing conditions, such as those in rice paddy fields, soil suffers high iodine desorption promoted by low Eh ($\sim0.1$ V), the addition of straw or glucose, root exudates, and microbial metabolism. The desorbed iodine is volatilized by organic matter (especially with a low level of humification), either directly by abiotic halogenation or by the intervention of haloperoxidases of microorganisms (Wever, 2012; Leri and Ravel, 2015). The initial reaction with organic matter is faster for $\text{I}^-$ and slower for $\text{IO}_3^-$, but after a time of $\sim60$ days or more, both forms participate in a process of transformation in volatile organoiodine compounds, occurring in the presence of organic matter in the soil, with or without the participation of microorganisms (Yamaguchi et al., 2010). Volatilization is dependent on both Eh and pH, with the lowest levels of volatilization in oxic alkaline soils, where the most abundant form of iodine is the non-volatile $\text{IO}_3^-$; in contrast, in waterlogged and organic soils occur higher rates of volatilization resulting from the predominance of $\text{I}^-$ that is oxidized to $\text{I}_2$ and $\text{CH}_3\text{I}$. It has been suggested that in inland areas, the contribution of atmospheric iodine depends significantly on the volatilization of the iodine in the soil (Fuge and Johnson, 2015).

**Impact on Productivity and Yield**

The use of iodine in the nutrient solution in concentrations from $10^{-6}$ M (equivalent to 0.13 mg L$^{-1}$) in soilless culture typically produces an increase in biomass in leafy vegetables, such as Chinese cabbage, spinach, and lettuce (Borst Pauwels, 1961; Whitehead, 1973; Weng et al., 2003, 2008c; Zhu et al., 2003; Dai et al., 2004b; Blasco et al., 2013). The iodine concentration can be increased to $10^{-5}$ M (equivalent to 1.3 mg L$^{-1}$), to produce biofortified leafy vegetables using $\text{I}^-$, $\text{IO}_3^-$, or iodoacetic acid (CH$_3$COO$I^-$; Weng et al., 2008c). While Lehr et al. (1958) obtained higher yields of tomato when applying 2 mg L$^{-1}$ KI, Hageman et al. (1942) could not observe any effect on the biomass of tomato when applying $3.2 \times 10^{-5}$ M KI (equivalent to 4 mg L$^{-1}$). Meanwhile, in tomato, Kiferle et al. (2013) used high concentrations of 1 to 5 $\times 10^{-3}$ M of KI and 0.5 to 2 $\times 10^{-3}$ M KIO$_3$ in the nutrient solution once a week (eight times, starting with the fruit set of the first cluster), obtaining remarkable results, with an accumulation of iodine in the fruit of up to 10 mg of iodine per kg of fresh weight of fruit with little phytotoxicity. In strawberry plants, iodine increases plant biomass and fruit quality (Li et al., 2016). On the other hand, a decrease in biomass has been reported in tomato and potato (Caffagni et al., 2011), as well as in carrot (Smolen et al., 2014b) and in Opuntia (Garcia-Orsuna et al., 2014), although in other plants where the vegetative reserve organs are also harvested, such as onion, iodine seems to have no effect on the weight of the plant (Dai et al., 2004b). Moreover, in rice, decreases in weight (Mackowiak and Grossl, 1999) and plant height (Singh et al., 2012) occur when applying potassium iodide. This negative effect does not seem to be general for grasses, considering that null or positive effects on biomass have been reported in wheat and corn (Borst Pauwels, 1961; Mao et al., 2014). Furthermore, when iodine is applied to the soil where plants are growing, the results are either mixed, showing positive, null, and negative effects (Dai et al., 2004b), or, as in tomato, are less efficient in terms of iodine bioaccumulation in the fruits compared to the soilless system (Caffagni et al., 2012).

It has also been observed that the effect of the application of iodine on biomass was directly dependent on the amount applied. Globally, the average concentration of iodine in the soil is 2.6 mg kg$^{-1}$ (Watts et al., 2010). Contributions of up to 10 mg (kg soil)$^{-1}$ promote plant growth, whereas values greater than 50 mg (kg soil)$^{-1}$, which are used to increase the iodine concentration significantly in plant tissues, produce varying results depending on the plant species (Cui et al., 2003; Lawson, 2014). In some species, such as Chinese cabbage, the application of more than 25 mg (kg soil)$^{-1}$ decreases the plant biomass (Hong et al., 2008). On the other hand, iodine concentrations higher than 100 $\mu$M in the nutrient solution revealed an adverse effects on rice biomass (Mackowiak and Grossl, 1999; Singh et al., 2012), and in lettuce.

---

1The reverse reaction is less favorable and less likely in soils.
the same negative effect was observed by adding 40 µM of iodine (Blasco et al., 2008; Table 2). In strawberry plants, iodine in nutrient solution at concentrations of up to 1.97 \times 10^{-6} M (0.25 mg L^{-1}) of I\(^-\) and 2.86 \times 10^{-6} M (0.50 mg L^{-1}) of IO\(_3^-\) increased the plant biomass and iodine concentration in fruits (Li et al., 2016). Thresholds for beneficial concentrations and toxicity of iodine are different between species, as a result of the inherent variability found among species and of the specific interaction of each plant species with edaphic, climatic, and biotic variables (Hageman et al., 1942; Mackowiak et al., 2005; Caffagni et al., 2012).

The results in the literature indicate that neither the chemical species applied nor the form of iodine application (i.e., fertigation, foliar spray, nutrient solution) has a consistent effect between different crops (Tables 3, 4). It has been reported that the application of iodine as IO\(_3^-\) is favorable to that of I\(^-\), especially for the synthesis of antioxidant compounds (Leyva et al., 2011; Blasco et al., 2013), although in some species such as strawberry, clover, and perennial ryegrass, I\(^-\) is more efficient than IO\(_3^-\) (Whitehead, 1973; Li et al., 2016). In lettuce, KIO\(_3\) applied to the soil at up to 7.5 kg ha\(^{-1}\) IO\(_3^-\) is more effective than KI, as it gave a better result in terms of biofortification (50–100 µg I per 100 g FW) and does not affect biomass negatively. In contrast, by leaf spraying the best result was obtained when applying KI at 0.5 kg ha\(^{-1}\) iodide (Lawson et al., 2015). The above indicates that each species will respond in a different way and under the context of the culture system. The difference between IO\(_3^-\) and I\(^-\) becomes more complicated in the case of cultivation in soil due to the different stability, residuality, and form of interaction of each chemical species with biotic and abiotic soil components (Dai et al., 2004a, 2009). A possible alternative for the biofortification of crops grown in soil is the use of marine algae applied to soil (Fuge and Johnson, 2015), or mixtures of marine algae and diatomite, with the algae being the source of iodine and diatomite an adsorbent that provides a constant supply of the element. The mixture achieves a good result in terms of the biofortification of different crop species (Weng et al., 2013, 2014). A second alternative would be the application of iodine in plantlets, using enriched peat, perhaps iodine complexed with biopolymers or porous materials, or leaf spray. This biofortification technique in the pre-transplanting stage has worked well in case of cucumber biofortified with selenium (Businelli et al., 2015).

Considering the form of iodine application (Table 4), biofortification has been successful with the application of 5% KIO\(_3\) solution, dripped into irrigation canals (Cao et al., 1994; Ren et al., 2008). Other authors suggest the use of fertigation as a means of iodine application because their results show that adding iodine to the soil increases its absorption by plants (Ujowundu et al., 2010; Kiferle et al., 2013) especially if it is applied together with humic substances or organic acids (Smoleni et al., 2015a,c). The application of iodine through fertigation has shown other positive effects, such as increased biomass in leafy vegetables (Dai et al., 2004b). Foliar spray is another effective method of biofortification of plants with iodine; in lettuce (Smoleni et al., 2014a) and alfalfa (Altunok et al., 2003) this method was more efficient than the application of iodine in the nutrient solution (Figure 5).

**Antioxidant Content**

Iodine applications have shown mixed effects on the antioxidant potential in several crop species, depending on the sources of iodine, concentration, and type of application. In a study of soybean grown in containers with soil and compost, it was found that the KIO\(_3\) at concentrations of 20, 40, and 80 µM increased the enzyme activities of SOD and APX (Gupta et al., 2015). In tomato, it was reported that applying IO\(_3^-\) at 7.88 µM increased the content of ascorbic acid and total phenolic compounds (Smoleni et al., 2015c). Similarly, an increase was reported in the content of ascorbic acid in *Opuntia ficus-indica*, grown in soil under low tunnels by applying 10^{-3} M KIO\(_3\) and KI by fertigation (García-Osuna et al., 2014). In *Ipomoea aquatica*, I\(^-\) induced a higher amount of ascorbic acid, while IO\(_3^-\) and iodoacetic acid (CH\(_2\)ICOO\(^-\)) had the opposite effect (Weng et al., 2008c). Whereas, in tomato grown in sand, I\(^-\) at a concentration of 4 mg L^{-1} (3.2 \times 10^{-5} M) decreased the concentration of ascorbic acid in the foliage of plants (Hageman et al., 1942).

Blasco and collaborators have extensively studied the impact of iodine on antioxidant metabolism in lettuce grown in hydroponics. In their first study, it was found that the application of KI increases the accumulation of phenols and ascorbic acid, as well as the antioxidant potential (Blasco et al., 2008). Subsequently they reported that the application of KI (20, 40, and 80 µM) and KIO\(_3\) (20 µM) increased the concentration of ascorbic acid and the enzymatic activity of catalase (CAT) but decreased the GSH concentration and activity of SOD. The APX activity was increased more effectively by KIO\(_3\) than by KI (Blasco et al., 2011). The positive effect occurred even when applying low concentration (<40 µM) of KIO\(_3\), increasing the activity of enzymatic antioxidants, such as superoxide dismutase (SOD) and ascorbate peroxidase (APX), as well as that of non-enzymatic antioxidants such as glutathione (GSH) and ascorbic acid (AA) (Leyva et al., 2011). In a more recent study, they found an increase in the antioxidant response and a greater accumulation of total phenolic compounds using KIO\(_3\) at concentrations of 20 and 40 µM (Blasco et al., 2013).

As shown in Table 3, most of the cited studies used as a source of iodine KI or KIO\(_3\). There are more reports of negative effects when applying KI, while there are more reports of positive effects when applying KIO\(_3\), especially in the generation of antioxidant compounds. The difference in effects between the chemical forms of iodine is possible related to the function of IO\(_3^-\) as growth promoter by inducing reductase activity in the root (Kato et al., 2013), while the iodide reduction ability perhaps modifies the redox balance and cell-associated methyltransferase metabolism, making more likely metabolic adjustments that slow the growth and yield. Unfortunately, there is not much research on the effect of iodine on the metabolic processes of plants. In lettuce, the application of iodide and iodate (20, 40, and 80 µM) altered N metabolism and photorespiration. Positive effects were observed on biomass and N uptake with the use of iodate, whereas iodide decreased plant biomass and N concentration (Blasco et al., 2010). In marine plants, iodide does not cause these effects because it is rapidly oxidized by the V-IPO enzyme (Küpper et al., 1998), which has not been found active in land plants (Pilbeam and Driheim, 2007).
FIGURE 5 | Graphic summary on the results of different methods of application of iodine. On the left side, the methods recommended based on the information presented in the review (indicated with red directional arrows): it includes organic sources of iodine applied to the soil and foliar spray with inorganic iodine, and the use of iodine fixed in polymers as a potentially useful method. On the right side, the most widely used application methods with the addition of KI and KIO₃ to soil or nutrient solution. In this case, the concentration used determines the outcome. The blue arrows indicate a low concentration of iodine with adequate results on biofortification and plant growth. The orange arrows indicate a high concentration of iodine that may lead to biofortification but accompanied by plant toxicity.
On the other hand, the possibility that many of the effects that have been observed when applying iodine modify the behavior and profile of the plant microbiome should not be ignored. Bacteria and fungi dissipate halogens with a metabolic system that includes a V-IPO enzyme (Wever, 2012; Fournier et al., 2014). It is possible that the response of the plant microbiome and, indirectly, the responses of plants to iodine application depend on the characteristics of the soil and irrigation water, including the concentrations of vanadium, sulfur, and organic matter. The importance of the microbiome as a possible determinant of plant responses to iodine has been poorly explored, but its significance has become evident regarding the adaptability and susceptibility of plants to stress (Porras-Alfaro and Bayman, 2011; Berg et al., 2014).

**Stress Tolerance**

Most of the factors that induce stress increase the concentration of reactive oxygen species (ROS) at the cellular level. Consequently, the induction of antioxidants is considered an important facet of the adaptive responses leading to stress tolerance in plants (Gill and Tuteja, 2010). It has been proposed that iodine was one of the first (inorganic) antioxidants, that allowed the organisms to resist oxidative stress once the atmospheric O$_2$ concentration began to increase after the origin of oxygenic photosynthesis (Crockford, 2009; Küpper et al., 2011; Venturi, 2011). This function of iodine was proven in marine algae, where the element inactivates superoxide (O$_2^-$), hydroxyl (OH$^-$), singlet oxygen (1O$_2$), and hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$) (Küpper et al., 2008). In some studies, it was found that iodine increased the amount of antioxidants and allowed greater resistance to certain types of abiotic stress, such as salinity and heavy metals (Leyva et al., 2011; Gupta et al., 2015). The treatment of soybean and sunflower seeds with a dry dressing of iodine and calcium carbonate reduced physiological deterioration under high temperature and humidity. Treated seeds exhibited lower levels of membrane damage, reflected in better germination and seedling growth [Dey(née Pathak) and Mukherjee, 1984]. The short-term pre-treatment of oilseed rape seeds with iodine considerably improved the survival of individuals in deterioration tests (Powell et al., 2005). Seed deterioration is primarily associated with oxidative stress (Sun and Leopold, 1995); therefore the above studies demonstrate the induction of tolerance by iodine, functioning perhaps as an antioxidant. Analogous results were obtained by pretreating peanut seeds with zinc, leading to the improvement in the response to the pathogen Aspergillus niger (Jajda and Thakkar, 2012).

More research is needed on the potential of iodine to induce tolerance to stress. The adoption of the use of iodine by the commercial agricultural sector will be fastest if the application of the element is presented to producers and companies as an alternative to mitigate damage from biotic and abiotic stresses and to promote plant growth. The advantage of this approach is that it is more attractive from an economic standpoint, reaching in parallel the goal of crop biofortification. To our knowledge, there are no published studies on the impact of iodine on plant pathogens, although it was reported that iodine is effective (0.7 mg L$^{-1}$) in killing fungi in recirculating water in soilless cultures (Runia, 1995). The problem with the direct use of iodine as a microicide is that it rapidly induces resistance and forces the use of higher concentrations than are suitable for plants and beneficial microorganisms (Mackowiak et al., 2005). Instead we considered the possibility that iodine is an inducer of tolerance to certain pathogens by activating or by modifying the plant’s defense systems through redox signals or through chemical changes in the cuticle (Shaw et al., 2007), which is essential for the induction of systemic acquired resistance (SAR; Xia et al., 2009). The same modifications on cuticular waxes induced by iodine may change the pattern of interactions of plants with pathogens, such as germination and the formation of appressoria (Gniwotta et al., 2005; Silva-Moreno et al., 2016). If it is shown that iodine has this function, the element could be applied as a tool to control crop pathogens.

**Interactions with Other Elements**

The conversion of iodine in its different chemical species, the mobilization and metabolism, depends on the factors that modify Eh and pH. Iodine per se has a significant impact on the redox state of the system that absorbs the element (Venturi, 2011); therefore, it interacts with other chemical components of the system, such as organic compounds and metal ions (Fe, Cu, Mn, V), modifying the oxidation state and bioavailability (Hageman et al., 1942). These components in turn give rise to changes in the chemical form, bioavailability and rate of volatilization of iodine in the biomass, water, and soil (Whitehead, 1984), as well as possible changes in the bioavailability of other elements (Terzano et al., 2015).

An iodine biofortification program must occur ideally in absence of restrictions on other mineral elements (White and Broadley, 2009). The interactions between mineral elements can be either synergistic or antagonistic. Synergisms refer to the increased absorption, transport, uptake or metabolism of an element in the presence of iodine. Antagonisms occur when any of the listed activities is diminished in the presence of iodine. In lettuce, it was found that the soil application of KIO$_3$ (0.02–2 kg ha$^{-1}$) and leaf spraying with KIO$_3$ (0.02–2 kg ha$^{-1}$) do not substantially change the mineral composition of lettuce. From a statistical point of view, there occurred significant changes in plants in both macronutrients N, P, K, Mg, Ca, S, and Na, and microelements B, Cu, Fe, Mn, Zn, and Mo, including Al, Cd, and Pb, but the changes were not significant from a functional point of view (Smoleń et al., 2011). It has been verified in hydroponics that the combined application of KIO$_3$ and SeO$_4^{2-}$ in lettuce plants does not affect the biomass or mineral composition, showing a synergistic effect resulting in the increased absorption of both elements in the leaves (Smoleń et al., 2014a). The above does not seem to cause any significant antagonism between iodine and other elements, at least for lettuce. However, in a more recent study of the same crop (Smoleń et al., 2015b), a negative correlation was found between the contents of iodine and of K, Mg, Ca, S, Na, B, Cu, Fe, Mn, Zn, Cd, and Pb. Moreover, an increase in P, K, Mg, and Fe was reported when applying KIO$_3$, along with an increase in Cu and Mn when using KI in Opuntia ficus-indica (García-Osuna et al., 2014). Unfortunately for other
species there is little information about the impact of iodine on other mineral elements (Smoleń et al., 2014a).

In biofortification experiments with tomato, where iodine was applied in a range of $10^{-6}$–$10^{-2}$ M, a positive correlation was found between iodine concentration and Cu and Mn in leaves (Hageman et al., 1942). In lettuce, applying KI to the soil and KIO$_3$ by leaf spray produced the same effect on Mn but not on Cu (Smoleń et al., 2011).

Bacteria living in iodine-rich groundwater have proteins called IoxA, which are capable of oxidizing $\text{I}^-$ to I$_2$. These proteins have been characterized as multicopper oxidases, i.e., oxidases with several Cu cofactors (Suzuki et al., 2012; Shiroyama et al., 2015). Another possible explanation regarding the relationship between copper and iodine has to do with the ability of copper oxidases to oxidize $\text{I}^-$ to I$_2$ or HOI (Xu, 1996). It is possible that a greater amount of iodine present in plant tissues induces increased activity in the systems that dissipate the element, such as copper oxidases and possibly other oxidases with Fe and Mn (Klebanoff, 1982; Schlörke et al., 2016). This increased activity could induce changes in the concentration of Cu, Fe, and Mn in tissues that actively dissipate iodine.

Hageman et al. (1942) proposed that changes in the mineral composition of plants that occur when applying iodine relate to a redox phenomenon, explaining that the oxidation of $\text{I}^-$ to I$_2$ provides a reducing potential of $-0.535$ V. Iodate may cause a similar effect by the induction of reductase activity in the root (Kato et al., 2013). This redox effect of iodine will have a more or less impact on the bioavailability of other elements depending on the complexity and number of components in the interaction. There are fewer of these components in soilless production systems but much more in a soil system with interacting biological, organic and inorganic components in both the exchange matrix and soil solution (Jones, 1998). It is possible that these differences in the complexity of interactions between the components of each production system (soil and soilless) could help to explain the diversity of results obtained with iodine application in crops. The study of ionome in plants might be used to deal with this complexity, as a tool for explain and predict the nutritional profile, considering both essential as non-essential elements. This approach has been successful in the case of iron (Pii et al., 2015; Gattullo et al., 2016), but so far has not been used with iodine.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The dynamic behavior of iodine is modified by multiple environmental factors that change its flow rate and mobilization among different compartments of the ecosystems. It is necessary to consider more closely the microbial contribution to these flows and to the functions of iodine in ecological systems, in addition to their mere presence or potential role in crop plants.

The variability of the effects of iodine, when applied to crops, can be partially explained when considering the possible impact on N metabolism, photorespiration, and one-carbon metabolism. Additionally, it is necessary to consider interactions with other elements, such as Fe, Mn, Cu, and V, either directly in the plant metabolism or indirectly through the microbiome of the plant.

In general, the effect of iodine is positive on the growth of plants. Good results are obtained regarding biofortification when applied to the soil as KIO$_3$ in concentrations of 7.5 kg ha$^{-3}$, 10 mg (kg soil)$^{-1}$ in pots, or $10^{-6}$–$10^{-2}$ M in the nutrient solution. Leaf spray with KI at 0.5 kg ha$^{-1}$ gave good results. With higher concentrations, the response is variable: negative, neutral, or positive, depending on the plant species.

Positive results have been obtained when applying $10^{-3}$ M iodine in the nutrient solution but only when doing so on a weekly basis. The use of seaweed applied to the soil also increased the availability of iodine. More research is needed on the use of biopolymers to form complex with iodine to enhance bioavailability and decrease volatilization in soil and pots and on the potential of iodine to induce a higher stress tolerance.
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