Effect of Plant Hormones and Distillation Water on Mints
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Abstract. Steam distillation of essential oil crops produces residual distillation wastewater that is released into the environment. This study evaluated the effects of three plant hormones [methyl jasmonate (MJ); gibberelic acid (GA3); and salicylic acid (SA)] at three concentrations and the residual distillation water from 15 plant species applied as foliar spray on biomass yields, essential oil content, and essential oil yield of Mentha × piperita ‘Black Mitcham’ and Mentha spicata ‘Native’. Overall, the application of SA at 1000 mg L-1 increased biomass yields of both species. More treatments influenced essential oil content in ‘Black Mitcham’ peppermint than in ‘Native’ spearmint. Application of MJ at 10 and 1000 mg L-1, GA3 at 10 mg L-1, SA at 10 or 100 mg L-1, and distillation water of Achillea millefolium, Ammi majus, Artemisia absinthium, Cymbopogon flexuosus, Cymbopogon martini, Chrysanthemum balsamita, and Hypericum perforatum increased the essential oil content of peppermint, whereas the oil content of spearmint was increased only by application of Monarda fistulosa distillation water. Application of MJ at 100 and 1000 mg L-1, SA at 100 mg L-1, and A. absinthium, C. flexuosus, and C. balsamita distillation waters increased essential oil yields of peppermint, whereas the application of SA at 1000 mg L-1 and distillation water of A. absinthium, Lavandula vera, and M. fistulosa increased oil yields of spearmint. This study demonstrated that the residual distillation water of some aromatic plant species may be used as a tool for increasing essential oil content or essential oil yields of peppermint and spearmint crops.

In the process of extracting essential oil from aromatic plants through steam distillation, there is the production of waste distillation water, which is released into the environment (Lawrence, 2007; Topalov, 1989). The distillation water results from partial condensation of steam passing through the aromatic biomass. It is different from the hydrolat, the water eluted after separation of the essential oil, which is often reprocessed to recover traces of essential oil. Finding new uses for this waste product would benefit essential oil crop growers and processors as well as the environment. It was shown that the distillation wastewater of sage, thyme, and rosemary contained antioxidants and could be used as an ingredient in marinades for turkey meat to inhibit lipid oxidation and the development of rancid off-flavors (Mielnik et al., 2008). We hypothesized that residual distillation water could have an effect on peppermint (Mentha × piperita L.) and spearmint (Mentha spicata L.) plants when used as a foliar spray.

Peppermint and spearmint were chosen as test plants because these crops are the most widely grown essential oil crops both in the United States (Lawrence, 2007; National Agricultural Statistical Service, 2009) and worldwide (Lawrence, 2007). Moreover, the U.S. essential oil industry is aiming to expand the acreage of peppermint and spearmint in the South, triggering some recent studies in the southeastern United States (Zheljazkov et al., 2010a, 2010b). Commercially important peppermint products are the essential oil, dry leaves for the herbal tea market, and fresh herbage for the fresh herb market, whereas spearmint is used mostly for essential oil production and as a fresh culinary herb [Lawrence, 2007; Mint Industry Research Council (MIRC), 2009; Mustiatse, 1985; Topalov, 1989]. Peppermint and spearmint essential oils are used in chewing gum, toothpaste, mouthwashes, confectionaries, pharmaceuticals, and aromatherapy products (Lawrence, 2007; MIRC, 2009). If the distillation wastewater from an aromatic crop is shown to have growth-promoting effects on peppermint and spearmint or improve their essential oil content, such an extract could be applied to large-scale production systems, bringing significant economic benefits. Finding new uses of a waste product would also improve the environmental sustainability of the essential oil production industry.

Materials and Methods

Plant materials and growing conditions of the field experiment. The pot experiment was preceded by a field experiment in which 15 essential oil crops were grown in a randomized complete block in four replicates for one season, harvested, and extracted to obtain the distillation wastewater. The 15 essential oil crops were: wormwood, Artemisia absinthium L.; bishop’s weed, Ammi majus L.; yarrow, Achillea millefolium L.; alecost, Chrysanthemum balsamita L.; lemon grass, Cymbopogon flexuosus (Nees ex Steud.) Will. Watson; palmarosa, Cymbopogon martinii (Roxb.) Wats.; hyssop, Hyssopus officinalis L.; St. John’s wort, Hypericum perforatum L.; lavender, Lavandula vera C.D.C.; wild bergamot, Monarda fistulosa L.; shiso, Perilla frutescens (L.) Britton.; rue, Ruta graveolens L.; endemic Balkan winter savory, Satureja pilosa L.; Balkan sidersit, Sideritis scardica Griseb.; and feverfew, Tanacetum parthenium (L.) Sch. Bip. All 15 crops were grown under the same conditions on plastic-covered raised beds as described previously (Zheljazkov et al., 2008). After harvest, representative samples from the 15 crops were dried at a shady location, and subsamples of 300 g dried biomass from each crop in three replications were mass from each crop in three replications were extracted by steam distillation. The steam distillation was performed for 60 min in 2-L Clevenger-type distillation units as described previously (Zheljazkov et al., 2008), and the residual distillation water from each crop was collected and stored at 4 °C for use in the controlled environment experiment with spearmint and peppermint.

Controlled environment experiment. For the pot study we used certified virus-free material of ‘Native’ spearmint (Mentha spicata L.) and ‘Black Mitcham’ peppermint (Mentha × piperita L.). Transplants (10 to 12 cm high with a couple of well-developed pairs of leaves) of both cultivars were obtained from the Summit Plant Laboratories, Inc. (Fort Collins, CO). Because of their hybrid nature, commercial varieties of spearmint and peppermint are propagated vegetatively (Lawrence, 2007; Tucker, 1992; Tucker and Fairbrothers, 1990). The container experiment was conducted in 3-gallon pots with 3.1 kg of commercial growth medium (Metromix...
et al., 2010a, 2010b), and the essential oil was distilled as described previously (Zheljazkov
et al., 2010), and the essential oil was distilled water of 1.55% (in the MJ
100 mg L⁻¹ treatment), whereas the oil content of spearmint varied from 0.47% (in the
GA3 10 mg L⁻¹ treatment) to 0.89% (in the M. fistulosa treatment). Treatments had dif-
ferent effects on the two mint species. For example, the application of MJ at 100 mg L⁻¹
and 1000 mg L⁻¹, GA3 at 10 mg L⁻¹, SA at 10 and 100 mg L⁻¹, and the distillation water of
A. millefolium, A. majus, A. absinthium, C. flexuosus, C. martini, C. balsamita, and H.
perforatum increased the essential oil content of peppermint, whereas the oil content of
spearmint was increased only by the application of the M. fistulosa distillation water. The

Essential oil extraction and analyses. The essential oil from the spearmint and peppermint
from each pot was extracted with steam distillation as described previously (Zheljazkov et
al., 2010a, 2010b), and the essential oil was collected. The essential oil composition of each sample was analyzed on a Hewlett Packard 6890 gas chromatograph (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA) with an autosampler [carrier gas helium, 40 cm·sec⁻¹; 11.7 psi (60 °C), 2.5 mL·min⁻¹ constant flow rate; injection: split (60:1), 0.5 μL, inlet 220 °C; oven temperature pro-
gram: 60 °C for 1 min, 10 °C/min to 250 °C; column: HP-INNOWAX (Agilent Technolo-
gies) (crosslinked polyethylene glycol), 30 μm × 0.32 mm × 0.5 μm; flame ionization detector temperature 275 °C].

Statistical analyses. Dry weight and essential oil weight and content response measure-
ments were analyzed as two-factor factorial of species (Mentha ×piperita and M. spicata) and treatment (25 treatments). The analysis of variance was performed using the GLM Procedure of SAS (SAS Institute Inc., 2003), and further multiple means comparison was performed when the main effect or interac-
tion with species effect of treatment was significant (P < 0.05) using the means statement of Proc GLM at α = 0.01 to protect against the overinflation of the Type I exper-
imentwise error rate. For each response, the validity of model assumptions on the error terms was verified by examining the residuals as described in Montgomery (2009).

Results and Discussion

Statistical analysis indicated that the inter-
action effect of species and treatment was significant on oil content and oil yield, whereas
only the main effects were significant on dry biomass yield (Table 1). With regard to biomass
yield, the application of SA at 1000 mg L⁻¹ increased yields of both mints relative to the
control, whereas the other treatments were not significantly different from the control (Table
2). However, SA at only 10 mg L⁻¹ gave the lowest biomass yield.

The essential oil content was higher in peppermint than in spearmint in most, but not all,
cases (Table 2). The essential oil content of peppermint varied from 0.77% (in the T.
parthenium treatment) to 1.55% (in the MJ 100 mg L⁻¹ treatment), whereas the oil con-
tent of spearmint varied from 0.47% (in the GA3 10 mg L⁻¹ treatment) to 0.89% (in the
M. fistulosa treatment). Treatments had dif-
ferent effects on the two mint species. For example, the application of MJ at 100 mg L⁻¹
and 1000 mg L⁻¹, GA3 at 10 mg L⁻¹, SA at 10 and 100 mg L⁻¹, and the distillation water of
A. millefolium, A. majus, A. absinthium, C. flexuosus, C. martini, C. balsamita, and H.
perforatum increased the essential oil content of peppermint, whereas the oil content of
spearmint was increased only by the application of the M. fistulosa distillation water. The
overall essential oil weight (a function of biomass yields and essential oil content) were
also differentially affected by the treatments in the two mint species (Table 2). The applica-
tion of MJ at 100 mg L⁻¹, SA at 100 mg L⁻¹, and A. absinthium, C. flexuosus, C. balsamita,
and R. graveolens distillation waters increased essential oil weight of peppermint, whereas
the application of SA at 1000 mg L⁻¹ and distillation water of A. absinthium, L. vera,
and M. fistulosa increased oil weight of spearmint. None of the treatments decreased es-
sential oil weight of the mint species.

As a result of loss of oil samples during transpor-
tation, we do not have sufficient data to evaluate the effect of treatments on the composition of peppermint and spearmint oil. The essential oil analyses indicated that
peppermint oil contained α-pinene, β-pinene, sabine, myrcene, l-limonene, 1.8 cineole,
paracimene, transsabinenethydrate, l-menthone, menthOhuran, d-isomethone, b-bourbonene,
menthyl acetate, neo-menthol, b-carophyllene, l-menthol, pulegone, germacrene-d, and pipe-
titone. The major constituent was L-menthol, which varied from 37% to 47%, and in most
instances, L-menthol was above 41%. This is within the typical range for high-quality
peppermint oils (Lawrence, 2007) and is similar to previous reports (Chalchat et al.,
1997; Mustiata, 1985; Rohloff et al., 2005; Zheljazkov and Nielsen, 1996; Zheljazkov,

Table 1. Analysis of variance P values for testing the main and interaction effects of species and treatment on dry weight, essential oil weight, and essential oil content.

| Source of variation | Dry weight | Essential oil weight | Essential oil content |
|---------------------|------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Species             | 0.009      | 0.001                | 0.001                 |
| Treatment           | 0.016      | 0.002                | 0.001                 |
| Species × treatment | 0.538      | 0.001                | 0.001                 |

Significant effects that required multiple means comparison are shown in bold.

Table 2. Mean dry weight, essential oil content, and essential oil weight from the 25 treatments.

| Treatment | Dry weight (g/pot) | Essential oil content (mg/pot) | Essential oil weight (g/pot) |
|-----------|--------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|
| MJ 10 mg L⁻¹ | 65.2bcd             | 1.20 c–h                       | 0.81 b–g                   |
| MJ 100 mg L⁻¹ | 62.4cd              | 1.55 a                         | 0.59 p–t                   |
| MJ 1000 mg L⁻¹ | 67.0b               | 1.32 a–d                       | 0.61 p–t                   |
| GA3 10 mg L⁻¹ | 70.7b               | 1.31 bcd                        | 0.47 t                     |
| GA3 1000 mg L⁻¹ | 71.3a               | 1.05 e–i                       | 0.51 st                    |
| SA 10 mg L⁻¹ | 74.6a               | 0.96 i–m                       | 0.53 rst                    |
| SA 100 mg L⁻¹ | 61.5d               | 1.37 abc                       | 0.47 t                     |
| SA 1000 mg L⁻¹ | 68.7bcd              | 1.45 ab                        | 0.70 n–t                   |
| Achillea millefolium | 83.6a                | 1.18 d–h                       | 0.8 j–p                    |
| Ammi majus | 71.4e               | 1.28 b–e                       | 0.64 o–t                   |
| Artemisia absinthium | 77.4ab              | 1.32 a–d                       | 0.77 k–q                   |
| Cymbopogon flexuosus | 76.5ab              | 1.35 a–d                       | 0.50 t                     |
| Cymbopogon martini | 67.7bcd              | 1.27 b–e                       | 0.72 l–s                   |
| Chrysanthemum balsamita | 66.7bcd             | 1.28 b–e                       | 0.72 m–s                   |
| Hypericum perforatum | 67.8bcd             | 1.24 c–f                       | 0.64 n–t                   |
| Hyssopus officinalis | 69.3bcd             | 1.15 d–h                       | 0.81 k–q                   |
| Lavandula vera | 75.0abc             | 1.04 f–j                       | 0.86 j–n                   |
| Monarda fistulosa | 76.4ab              | 1.02 f–j                       | 0.89 i–m                   |
| Perilla frutescens | 69.7bcd             | 1.11 e–i                       | 0.84 j–o                   |
| Ruta graveolens | 72.7a               | 1.22 c–g                       | 0.86 i–n                   |
| Satureja pilosa | 71.0bcd             | 1.16 d–h                       | 0.83 j–p                   |
| Sideritis scardica | 64.3bcd             | 0.98 l–1                       | 0.58 p–t                   |
| Tanacetum parthenium | 68.3bcd             | 0.77 k–f                       | 0.76 l–1                   |
| Water control | 64.3bcd             | 1.01 g–k                       | 0.67 n–t                   |

*Within each response, means sharing the same letter are not significantly different.
et al., 2010a). The spearmint essential oil contained α-pinene, β-pinene, sabinene, myr- cene, l-limonene, 1,8 cineole, cis-cymene, y-terpinene, 3-octyl acetate, 3-octanol, trans- sabinene hydrate, b-bourbonene, terpinene-4-ol, b-caryophyllene, dihydrocarvone, trans-dihydro- carvyl acetate, trans-farnesene, α-terpineol, geracrene-d, 1-carvone, cis-carvyl acetate, trans-carveol, cis-carveol, cis-jasmone, and vir- idiflorol. The major constituent was L-carvone; in most samples, it was above 65%, which is the expected concentration for this constituent in ‘Native’ spearmint oil (Bienvenu et al., 1999; Carvalho and Da Fonseca, 2006; Lawrence, 2007; Zheljazkov et al., 2010b).

This study demonstrated that the residual distillation water of some aromatic plant species, a waste product from distillation that is currently released into rivers and streams, may have an effect on crop species and may be used as a tool for increasing essential oil content or essential oil yields of peppermint and spearmint crops. Further research is needed to elucidate the effect of these treatments on essential oil composition and to verify the effects under field conditions.
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