Dynamics of Triangulations
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Abstract

We study a few problems related to Markov processes of flipping triangulations of the sphere. We show that these processes are ergodic and mixing, but find a natural example which does not satisfy detailed balance. In this example, the expected distribution of the degrees of the nodes seems to follow the power law \(d^{-4}\).

1 Introduction

We consider a Markov chain on triangulations of the sphere (or other surface). Let \(\mathcal{T}\) denote the set of triangulations, by this we mean the set of all combinatorially distinct rooted simplicial 3-polytopes.

Tutte \cite{7} showed that their number is asymptotically

\[
Z_n = \frac{3}{16 \sqrt{6 \pi n^5}} \left( \frac{256}{27} \right)^{n-2},
\]

as the number \(n\) of vertices goes to \(\infty\). Of course, Euler’s theorem holds for such triangulations, and this means that when there are \(n\) nodes, there are also \(3n - 6\) links and \(2n - 4\) triangles.

For any element \(T \in \mathcal{T}\), we denote by \(\mathcal{N}(T)\) the set of nodes and by \(\mathcal{L}(T)\) the set of links.

For any link \(\ell\) (connecting the nodes \(A\) and \(B\)), we consider the “complementary” link \(\ell'\), which is defined as follows: if \((A, B, C)\) and \((A, B, D)\) are the two triangles sharing the link \(\ell\), then \(\ell'\) is the link connecting \(C\) and \(D\).

We assume that for any \(T \in \mathcal{T}\), a probability \(P_T\) is given on \(\mathcal{L}(T)\), i.e., \(\sum_{\ell} P_T(\ell) = 1\). We define a Markov chain on \(\mathcal{T}\) as follows. We first choose a link \(\ell \in \mathcal{L}(T)\) at random (with probability \(P_T(\ell)\)).

- If the link \(\ell'\) belongs to \(\mathcal{L}(T)\), we do not change \(T\) and proceed with the next independent choice of a link.
- If \(\ell'\) does not belong to \(\mathcal{L}(T)\), we erase \(\ell\) and replace it by \(\ell'\). We obtain in this way a new triangulation \(T'\) and we proceed with the next independent choice of a link.

This replacement of \(\ell\) by \(\ell'\) is commonly called a flip see \cite{6}, or a Gross-Varsted move \cite{5}. See Fig. 1.

We will denote by \(P(T'\mid T)\) the transition probability of this Markov chain.
Properties of the Markov chain

We now fix $n$ and let $T_n$ denote those triangulations with $n$ nodes.

**Proposition 2.1** Assume that $\inf_{T \in T_n} \inf_{\ell \in L(T)} P_T(\ell) > 0$. Then the Markov chain defined in Sec. 7 is irreducible and aperiodic.

**Proof.** It is well known (see [6]) that by flipping links as described above one can connect any two triangulations of $T_n$ (one shows that any $T$ can be flipped a finite number of times to reach a “Christmas tree” configuration).

![Figure 1: A flip: the link (A-B) is exchanged with (C-D).](image1.png)

![Figure 2: The “christmas tree” with $n$ nodes, the “branches” between 5 and $n$ not being shown. Any triangulation can be brought to this form by a sequence of flips.](image2.png)

Since by our hypothesis any such (finite) succession of moves has a non-zero probability this shows the irreducibility of the chain. To prove aperiodicity, we have to
prove that for any high enough iterate of the transition matrix, all the diagonal entries are positive. By the previously mentioned result, it is enough to show that we can construct cycles of length two and three for the “Christmas tree”. Cycles of length two are easily obtained by flipping a link back and forth. For cycles of length three, we consider the sub “Christmas tree” of size six at the base of the complete “Christmas tree”, see Fig. 2. We enumerate the nodes as in the figure, assuming \( n \geq 7 \). In particular node 3 has degree 3, nodes 4 to \( n \) have degree 4 and nodes 1 and 2 degree \( n - 2 \).

The cycle of length 3 is obtained by performing the following flips
\[
(1 - 4) \rightarrow (3 - 5) \\
(2 - 3) \rightarrow (1 - 4) \\
(4 - 5) \rightarrow (2 - 3)
\]
after which we get again the “Christmas tree” with nodes 3 and 4 exchanged. \( \square \)

**Remark 2.2** Of course, the condition of Prop. 2.1 is not necessary, but we do not know any simple other criterion in terms of the \( P_T \), but one can think for example of conditions involving two successive flips.

From this result we conclude that there is only one invariant probability measure, and with this measure the chain is ergodic and mixing.

### 3 Two Examples

The easiest example is that where one chooses a link uniformly at random. Then one gets the uniform distribution on \( \mathcal{T} \), and, using this simple fact, many properties of this process can be deduced, see, e.g., [2].

Here, we consider another example, which was suggested to us by Magnasco [3, 4]. This process consists in first choosing a node uniformly and then to choose uniformly a link from this node. Let \( n \) be the number of nodes. An easy computation, shown below, leads to
\[
P_T(\ell) = \frac{1}{n} \left( \frac{1}{d_1(\ell|T)} + \frac{1}{d_2(\ell|T)} \right),
\]
where \( d_1(\ell|T) \) and \( d_2(\ell|T) \) are the degrees of the nodes at the ends of link \( \ell \) in the triangulation \( T \).

**Proof.** If \( \ell \) is a link, we denote by \( \partial \ell \) the two nodes it connects. If \( \ell \) is a link and \( i \) is a
node, we say that $\ell \sim i$ if $i \in \partial \ell$. If $i$ is a node, we denote by $d_i(T)$ its degree in the triangulation $T$. We have from Bayes' formula

$$P_T(\ell) = \sum_{i \in N} P_T(\ell \mid i) P(i).$$

Moreover, $P(i) = 1/n$ for any $i$, $P_T(\ell \mid i) = 0$ if $\ell \not\sim i$ and otherwise

$$P_T(\ell \mid i) = \frac{1}{d_i(T)}.$$

Therefore

$$P_T(\ell) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \in \partial \ell} \frac{1}{d_i(T)}$$

which is formula (3.1). □

It also follows directly from this expression that for any $T \in T$,

$$\sum_{\ell \in T} P_T(\ell) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \in T \cap \partial \ell} \frac{1}{d_i(T)}
= \frac{1}{n} \sum_i \frac{1}{d_i(T)} \sum_{\ell \in \ell(T), \ell \sim i} 1 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i \frac{1}{d_i(T)} d_i(T) = 1.$$

In this computation we have not used the fact that $T$ is a triangulation. Therefore this relation holds for any graph.

For the second model, we have

**Theorem 3.1** The Markov chain $P(\cdot \mid \cdot)$ is not reversible (when $n \geq 7$).

**Remark.** We have not checked what happens for smaller $n$.

In other words, one cannot easily guess the invariant measure from the transition probabilities.

**Proof.** Assume the chain is reversible with respect to some probability $P$ on $T$, namely for any $T$ and $T'$ in $T$ we have

$$P(T' \mid T) P(T) = P(T \mid T') P(T').$$

If $T_1, \ldots, T_k, T_{k+1} = T_1$ is any cycle of admissible flips, we must have

$$\prod_{j=1}^{k} \frac{P(T_j \mid T_{j+1})}{P(T_{j+1} \mid T_j)} = 1.$$

We are going to show that there is a cycle of length 4 for the christmas graph for which this is not true, see Fig. 4.

Consider the following cycle for the “Christmas tree” with the same notations as before

(1 − 4) → (3 − 5)
(2 − 5) → (4 − 6)
(3 − 4) → (2 − 5)
(5 − 6) → (1 − 4)

An easy computation leads to

$$\prod_{j=1}^{4} \frac{P(T_j \mid T_{j+1})}{P(T_{j+1} \mid T_j)} = \frac{10}{9},$$

if the number of nodes is larger than 6. □
Figure 4: The four stages of the cycle of 4 flips which regenerate the christmas tree with \( \geq 7 \) nodes, but which show the absence of detailed balance. (Top left → top right → bottom left → bottom right → top left.)

4 Numerical simulation

We have performed extensive simulations on the model described above. In this section we summarize the numerical findings, but the reader should note that we have no theoretical explanation for the results. The main insight is that the model with the uniform measure \([2]\) leads to an exponential degree distribution, while the model of \([4]\) leads to a power law distribution in a sense which we make clear now, see Fig.5.

We formulate the results as

**Conjecture 4.1** There is a probability measure \( p \) on the integers larger than 2 such that the average number of nodes of degree \( d \) divided by \( n \) converges when \( n \) tends to infinity to \( p(d) \). Moreover \( p \) has polynomial decay in the sense that \( d^{-4}p(d) \) converges to a nonzero finite limit when \( d \) tends to infinity.

**Remark 4.2** It should be noted that several deviations from a pure power law are present in these experiments and will not go away with large \( n \). First of all, nodes of degree 3 are less frequent than would be suggested by a power law. We attribute this to the impossibility of doing a flip if a node of degree 3 is chosen: All its edges are unflippable. Second, if there are \( n \) nodes, assuming an approximate power law of \( N(d) = c \cdot d^{-4} \) we find \( c \approx 50n \) (from \( c \sum_{d=3}^{\infty} d^{-4} = n \)). Thus there should be no nodes for which \( N(d) < 1 \), that is \( 50nd^{-4} < 1 \) or \( d > (50n)^{1/4} \). However, the experiments clearly show the presence of “outliers” of much larger degree. Closer analysis (with, e.g., logarithmic binning) reveals that these outliers are spaced at equilibrium in a way to continue the measured power law.
Figure 5: A log-log plot for triangulations of size $n = 8194$, 32770, and 524290, after about $10^{10}$ flips. The data are the cumulated sum $d(i)$ of number of nodes with degree $\geq i$. The straight part is well fitted with a law of $d(i) \sim cd^{-3}$, so that the degree distribution seems to be $\sim d^{-4}$. The outliers are produced by the lacunarity of the data when the expected number of nodes of a given degree starts to be less than 1.
We have done extensive checks for the correlations of degrees of neighboring nodes in our simulations. Such correlations have been theoretically explained in [2] for the case of the uniform choosing rule. These correlations are difficult to measure, but no decisive deviation from independence was found, except for some obvious topological rules.

There is a feeling in the community of specialists, be they interested in random triangulations, or in 2-d gravity (the dynamic dual of our problem) that the “typical” triangulation should be “flat” (which means that each node should (wants to?) have 6 links). To measure the effect of the tails of distribution of degrees, we use combinatorial differential geometry, as advocated by Robin Forman [1], who introduces a notion of “combinatorial Ricci curvature” which, in our case of triangulations reduces to \( \sum_i d_i^2 - 5d_i \). Extensive simulations show that this quantity seems to grow more or less monotonically as the process reaches the equilibrium state. Note that since \( \sum_i d_i \) does not depend on the triangulations, we are just measuring the sum of the squares of the degrees.

Another observation, which holds with very high accuracy is that once a node has been chosen, at equilibrium, exactly 50% of all attempted flips are not possible, because the “other” link is already present. This means that a tetrahedron is placed on top of a triangle. Note that the study of such “vertex-insertions” is already present in Tutte’s work [7].
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