Hypoxia-mediated downregulation of miRNA biogenesis promotes tumour progression

Rajesha Rupaimoole1,2, Sherry Y. Wu1, Sunila Pradeep1, Cristina Ivan1,3, Chad V. Pecot4, Kshipra M. Gharpare1,2, Archana S. Nagaraja1,2, Guillermo N. Armaiz-Pena1, Michael McGuire1, Behrouz Zand1, Heather J. Dalton1, Justyna Filant1, Justin Bottsford Miller1, Chunhua Lu1, Nouara C. Sadaoui1,2, Lingegowda S. Mangala1,3, Morgan Taylor1, Twan van den Beucken5, Elizabeth Koch5,6, Cristian Rodriguez-Aguayo3,7, Li Huang8, Menashe Bar-Eli8, Bradly G. Wouters5,6, Milan Radovich9, Mircea Ivan10, George A. Calin3,7, Wei Zhang11, Gabriel Lopez-Berestein3,7 & Anil K. Sood1,3,8

Cancer-related deregulation of miRNA biogenesis has been suggested, but the underlying mechanisms remain elusive. Here we report a previously unrecognized effect of hypoxia in the downregulation of Drosha and Dicer in cancer cells that leads to dysregulation of miRNA biogenesis and increased tumour progression. We show that hypoxia-mediated downregulation of Drosha is dependent on ETS1/ELK1 transcription factors. Moreover, mature miRNA array and deep sequencing studies reveal altered miRNA maturation in cells under hypoxic conditions. At a functional level, this phenomenon results in increased cancer progression in vitro and in vivo, and data from patient samples are suggestive of miRNA biogenesis downregulation in hypoxic tumours. Rescue of Drosha by siRNAs targeting ETS1/ELK1 in vivo results in significant tumour regression. These findings provide a new link in the mechanistic understanding of global miRNA downregulation in the tumour microenvironment.
MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are evolutionarily conserved small RNA molecules intricately involved in gene regulation. Considering the broad functional involvement of miRNAs in cellular homeostasis, it is not surprising that cancer cells have altered miRNA levels and that miRNAs are extensively involved in cancer progression. Although global cells have altered miRNA levels and that miRNAs are regulation of Drosha and Dicer in ovarian, lung and breast cancer biogenesis. We and others have previously shown that down-regulation of Drosha and Dicer under hypoxic conditions is mediated by ETS1/ELK1 and promoter methylation. Moreover, Dicer is downregulated by epigenetic mechanisms defined by van den Beucken et al. This study uncovers precise mechanisms by which intra-tumoral hypoxia suppresses miRNA expression by disrupting the miRNA biogenesis machinery.

Results

Hypoxia downregulates miRNA biogenesis. First, we determined whether tumours have stable heterogeneity with regard to Drosha and Dicer. We examined single-cell clones of A2780 ovarian cancer cells and found heterogeneous expression of Drosha and Dicer (Supplementary Fig. 1a). To understand the functional implications of this heterogeneity, we expanded and injected two single-cell clones of A2780 cells into the peritoneal cavity of mice (Clones 2 and 4). On analysis of individual tumour samples, we observed that each sample had varying Drosha and Dicer expression levels compared with the expression of clones at the time of injection (Supplementary Fig. 1b).

This prompted us to consider whether changes in expression levels of Drosha and Dicer could be dynamic and potentially affected by the tumour microenvironment. Considering the critical role of hypoxia in the tumour microenvironment, we tested levels of hypoxia in the clones with low Drosha and Dicer, also A2780 tumour samples using the hypoxia marker carbonic anhydrase 9 (CA9). In the cell clones, in vitro, we observed variable levels of CA9 with small magnitude of changes (Supplementary Fig. 1c). In contrast, the tumour samples with low Drosha and Dicer levels displayed significantly increased expression of CA9, which was inversely correlated with Drosha (Supplementary Fig. 1d) and Dicer (Supplementary Fig. 1e) levels.

Next we tested the effect of hypoxia on Drosha and Dicer levels using various cancer cell lines. In multiple cell lines exposed to hypoxia, we observed marked reductions in Drosha and Dicer messenger RNA and protein levels (Fig. 1a,b and Supplementary Fig. 2a–e). This observed downregulation was consistent across several time points (Supplementary Fig. 2e); the 48-h time point was selected for all subsequent experiments. Drosha and Dicer levels were significantly increased after cells were restored to normoxic conditions, demonstrating the dynamic nature of the downregulation (Supplementary Fig. 2f). To test the possibility of hypoxia-inducible factor-α (HIF1α) dependency in Drosha and Dicer downregulation, we examined Drosha and Dicer expression levels in mouse embryonic fibroblasts with wild-type HIF1α or HIF1α-knockout cultured under normoxic or hypoxic conditions. HIF1α-knockout mouse embryonic fibroblasts showed complete abrogation in Drosha downregulation under hypoxia exposure and partial abrogation in Dicer downregulation (Fig. 3a), suggesting that Drosha downregulation is highly dependent on HIF1α. In addition, siHIF1α significantly rescued Drosha levels in hypoxia-exposed A2780 cells and Dicer levels were partially rescued (Supplementary Fig. 3b). These data were further supported by stabilization of HIFα by CoCl2 in A2780 cells under normoxia. Increased CA9 was observed, followed by Drosha and Dicer downregulation in CoCl2-treated samples compared with control cells (Supplementary Fig. 3c). These data suggest a HIF1α-dependent downregulation of Drosha. We observed Dicer is downregulated in CoCl2-treated cells, but the mechanisms for this effect are largely independent of HIF1α stabilization, as reported by van den Beucken et al.

In RNA samples isolated from microdissected hypoxic regions of A2780 tumour samples, we observed significantly increased expression of hypoxia markers, CA9 and GLUT1. In the same RNA samples, we observed 60% downregulation of Drosha and Dicer (Fig. 1c,d). These data support our finding that hypoxia is an important regulator of Drosha and Dicer downregulation in cancer. As previous studies have shown that anti-vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) therapies can increase hypoxia, we assessed Drosha and Dicer levels in EMT mouse tumour samples following treatment with bevacizumab. We observed increased CA9 expression and, consequently, significantly decreased Drosha and Dicer expression levels in tumours treated with bevacizumab compared with untreated controls (Fig. 1e,f). To determine whether similar findings extend to clinical samples, we analysed expression levels of Drosha, Dicer and a hypoxia marker CA9 in human tumour samples (N = 30). Results showed a significant inverse correlation between CA9 and Drosha and Dicer levels (Fig. 1g).

Effect of hypoxia on miRNA levels and clinical outcomes. We next considered whether Drosha or Dicer downregulation leads to impaired miRNA biogenesis by carrying out miRNA microarray analysis of samples exposed to hypoxia or normoxia. On analysis of mature miRNA array data, we observed significant global miRNA downregulation following exposure to hypoxia (Fig. 2a and Supplementary data 1). Analysis of precursor and mature miRNA levels from deep-sequencing data revealed significant downregulation of mature miRNAs, compared with their precursors (Fig. 2b and Supplementary data 2). Using quantitative reverse transcriptase–PCR, we analysed the expression of seven significantly downregulated miRNAs from Fig. 2a, in cells exposed to normoxia or hypoxia. There was a significant increase in pri-miRNA levels of six of seven miRNAs that were tested (Fig. 2c). There was also significant downregulation of corresponding mature miRNA levels in response to hypoxia (Fig. 2d), suggesting defective processing machinery under hypoxic conditions. These data were further validated by northern blot analysis of miR-16a and 27a in cancer cells exposed to normoxia and hypoxia (Supplementary Fig. 4a).

Next, to understand the individual contribution of Drosha and Dicer downregulation in the miRNA processing downregulation, we carried out quantification of pri, precursor and mature miRNAs in RNA from nuclear and cytoplasmic fractionated A2780 cells exposed to normoxia or hypoxia. RNA from nuclear fractionated samples had significantly upregulated pri-miRNA levels of six of seven miRNAs that were tested (Fig. 2e). These data were consistent with the total RNA data in Fig. 2c. Interestingly, we noted >40% reduction in precursor miRNA levels in hypoxia-treated samples compared with normoxia (Fig. 2f). In addition, samples from hypoxia-exposed cells had >60% reduction in mature miRNA levels compared with normoxia in the cytoplasmic fraction (Fig. 2g).

Using the ovarian cancer data from the Cancer Genome Atlas project (TCGA), we investigated the effect of low Drosha and
Dicer levels on mature miRNA levels and observed that most miRNAs (>80%) were affected by downregulation of Drosha and Dicer (Supplementary Fig. 4b). In the TCGA data set, we observed significantly worse median overall survival with low Drosha and Dicer levels (Supplementary Fig. 4c). Using a hypoxia metagene signature 22, we examined the survival difference between patients with high versus low hypoxia levels in their tumours, according to the TCGA data. We observed significantly worse survival rates in patients whose tumours had high levels of hypoxia (Supplementary Fig. 4d).

Drosha is downregulated by ETS family members. Next, we investigated the mechanism by which hypoxia could regulate Drosha expression. There was no significant change in Drosha mRNA half-life under hypoxic conditions (Supplementary Fig. 5a), pointing to transcriptional regulation of Drosha. Interestingly, we observed a small change in Dicer mRNA half-life under hypoxia (Supplementary Fig. 5b). Luciferase activity for the Drosha and Dicer promoters showed a significant decrease in Drosha promoter luciferase activity after exposure to hypoxia in A2780 cancer cells (Fig. 3a and Supplementary Fig. 5c).
In addition, there was no significant change in Drosha protein half-life with hypoxia, suggesting that the mechanism is likely to be transcriptional (Supplementary Fig. 5d).

To identify potential regulatory transcription factors, we carried out bioinformatics analyses using MatInspector and Matbase (Genomatix Inc.). In addition, we tested the role of previously reported transcription factors in the hypoxia-mediated downregulation of Drosha. 23–25 There was no significant change in Drosha following small interfering RNA (siRNA)-mediated silencing of Myc, nuclear factor-kB or SP-1 transcription factors (Supplementary Fig. 5e). From the bioinformatics analysis, ETS1 and ELK1 were found to have binding sites on opposite strands at very close proximity to the transcription initiation site (Supplementary Fig. 5f), and a previous study demonstrated transcriptional downregulation of downstream genes when ETS1/ELK1 bind to regions with very close proximity to the transcription initiation site. 26 Consistent with these findings, we observed an increase in both mRNA and protein levels of ETS1 and ELK1 under hypoxic conditions (Fig. 3b and Supplementary Fig. 5g,h). Of note, we also observed an increase in pELK1 levels, suggesting that ELK1 is activated under hypoxic conditions (Fig. 3b). In addition, we observed rescue of Drosha promoter activity in cells with mutations at the ETS1 or ELK1 binding sites under hypoxic conditions (Fig. 3c and Supplementary Fig. 5i).

Previous studies have demonstrated HIF1α-dependent increase in ETS1 (ref. 27) and ELK1 via mitogen-activated protein kinase signalling under hypoxia exposure. Nevertheless, we tested the potential role of loss of miRNA repression under hypoxia.
exposure, resulting in increased ETS1 and ELK1. In siDicer + siDrosha-treated cells, we did not observe any significant change in ETS1 or ELK1 expression (Supplementary Fig. 6). Moreover, we tested for CA9 and VEGF changes in siDicer + siDrosha-treated cells and observed no significant changes in their expression (Supplementary Fig. 6), ruling out the possibility that miRNA repression loss as a mechanism for CA9 or VEGF increase under hypoxia exposure. Next, we

Figure 3 | Drosha is downregulated by the ETS1/ELK1 complex under hypoxic conditions. (a) Relative luciferase reporter activity for the Drosha promoter region under hypoxic conditions. β-Actin was used as a control. (b) Protein expression of ETS1 and ELK1 under hypoxic conditions in various cell lines. (c) Luciferase reporter activity for the wild-type (WT) Drosha promoter region and the ETS1 or ELK1 binding site–mutant Drosha promoter region under hypoxic conditions. (d) Drosha mRNA expression levels after ETS1, ELK1 and ETS/ELK1 siRNA gene knockdown under hypoxic conditions. (e) Anti-ETS1, anti-ELK1 and anti-POL II chromatin immunoprecipitation assay results showing fold enrichment of ETS1, ELK1 and POL II binding to the Drosha promoter region in A2780 cells. Rabbit IgG was used as a control and real-time PCR was used to quantitate the fold enrichment. (f) Effect of hypoxia on Drosha promoter methylation assessed by bisulfite conversion and methylation-specific PCR. The threshold cycle numbers obtained from samples with specific primers for unmethylated (UM) and methylated (M) sequences of the same promoter region of Drosha are shown. (g) mRNA and protein expression of Drosha in mouse tumour samples treated with B-20 (anti-VEGF antibody) and azacitidine. Scale bar, 200 μm. (h) Immunoprecipitation of hypoxia samples against ETS1 and ELK1 antibodies, probed for corresponding binding proteins ARID4B (ETS1) and HDAC1 (ELK1). (i) Anti-ARID4B and anti-HDAC1 chromatin immunoprecipitation assay results showing fold enrichment of ARID4B and HDAC1 binding to the Drosha promoter region in A2780 cells. (j) Aggregate tumour mass from tumours in the mouse orthotopic ovarian cancer model treated with control, ETS1, ELK1 and combination siRNAs. (k) Average mRNA expression of Drosha, ETS1 and ELK1 in the same tumour samples. All images shown are representative and data are presented as mean ± s.e.m. of n≥3 experimental groups. **P<0.01, ***P<0.001 (Student t-test).
knocked down ETS1 and/or ELK1 to study the effect of these two proteins on Drosha levels (Supplementary Fig. 7a,b). Drosha expression was rescued after silencing ETS1, ELK1, or both, suggesting that ETS1 and ELK1 serve as transcriptional repressors for Drosha (Fig. 3d and Supplementary Fig. 7c). Chromatin immunoprecipitation assays with anti-ETS1 and anti-ELK1 were performed to confirm definitive binding of these elements in the promoter region. Compared with IgG or normoxic controls, significant enrichment in the binding of ETS1 and ELK1 to the promoter region of Drosha was observed in A2780 (Fig. 3e and Supplementary Fig. 8a) and MCF7 cells (Supplementary Fig. 8b) under hypoxic conditions. In addition, we observed a significant reduction in polymerase II occupancy at the Drosha promoter region under hypoxia conditions (Fig. 3e).

To elucidate potential mechanisms by which ETS family members transcriptionally repress Drosha levels, we performed Ingenuity Pathway Network analysis. This analysis revealed that ETS1 could bind to the histone deacetylation-related molecule HDAC1, and that ELK1 could bind to the DNA methylation-related molecule ARID4B29,30 (Supplementary Fig. 9a). A concentrated CpG island was observed near the Drosha promoter region where ETS1 and ELK1 bind (Supplementary Fig. 9b). Treatment of DNA samples with bisulphite and methylation-specific PCR analysis revealed a significant increase in methylation at the ETS1 and ELK1 binding regions (Fig. 3f and Supplementary Fig. 9c). Methylation-specific restriction enzyme (MSRE) treatment and PCR analysis showed significant methylation at the CpG islands at the Drosha promoter region following in vitro hypoxia exposure or in bevacizumab-treated in vivo tumour samples (Supplementary Fig. 9d). In addition, cells treated with siRNAs against ETS1 or ELK1 under hypoxia showed significant reversal of Drosha promoter methylation compared with cells treated with control siRNA (Supplementary Fig. 9e). Treatment of cells with the methylation inhibitor, azacitidine, or the HDAC1 inhibitor, valproic acid, under hypoxic conditions rescued Drosha levels, which was consistent with methylation-specific PCR or MSRE data (Supplementary Fig. 10a). In addition, in vivo tumour samples treated with azacitidine showed significant rescue of Drosha even under hypoxic conditions induced by anti-VEGF therapy (VEGF-targeted antibody, B-20), with a corresponding increase in ETS1 and ELK1 levels (Fig. 3g and Supplementary Fig. 10b). Cells treated with the HIF1α antagonist topotecan31 under hypoxic conditions showed rescue of Drosha by suppressing ETS1 across several time points (Supplementary Fig. 10c,d), confirming the role of HIF1α-mediated signalling in ETS1 expression. Importantly, immunoprecipitation of ETS1 and ELK1 from hypoxia-treated cells showed clear binding of ARID4B and HDAC1, respectively; this binding was abrogated by treatment of cells with siETS1 + siELK1 under hypoxia (Fig. 3h and Supplementary Fig. 11). Next, we performed Drosha chromatin immunoprecipitation analysis using antibodies against ARID4B and HDAC1. There was a significant enrichment of ARID4B or HDAC1 binding in the Drosha promoter region under hypoxia exposure (Fig. 3i), confirming the role of ETS1-ARID4B and ELK1-HDAC1 complex in Drosha downregulation.

In the A2780 mouse model of ovarian cancer, using DOPC (1,2-Dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine) nanoliposomes to deliver siRNAs against ETS1, ELK1, or both, we observed significant tumour regression in the tumours treated with siETS1 and siELK1; the lowest tumour weight was observed in the combination treatment group (Fig. 3j). Tumours from all three treatment groups showed increased expression of Drosha compared with the control group, and a significant reduction in ETS1 and ELK1 was observed in the treatment groups (Fig. 3k).

In the MCF7 orthotopic breast cancer model, treatment with the combination of siETS1-DOPC and siELK1-DOPC resulted in similar biological effects, suggesting that ETS1- and ELK1-mediated Drosha deregulation is not limited to one particular cancer type (Supplementary Fig. 12a–c). To understand the clinical relevance to ETS1/ELK1 expression, we next examined the correlation of ETS1 and ELK1 with patient survival by analysing mRNA expression of ETS1 and ELK1 in human tumour samples (n = 75). Patients with high tumoral ETS1 or ELK1 expression had significantly lower survival compared with those with low ETS1 or ELK1 expression (Supplementary Fig. 13a,b).

Functional effects of deregulated miRNA biogenesis. To identify potential tumour-promoting pathways affected by hypoxia, we analysed mRNA expression data from deep sequencing coupled with miRNA expression data from miRNA array analysis of A2780 cells exposed to hypoxia and normoxia. Ingenuity Pathway Network analysis of mRNA and miRNA data from deep sequencing identified key molecules and miRNA-mRNA interactions that could potentially lead to enhanced tumour progression under hypoxic conditions (Supplementary Data 3 and 4). MiR-200, a well-studied miRNA to play role in cancer growth and metastasis, was one among the hypoxia-activated networks identified (Supplementary data 4). Pathway enrichment analyses revealed that cell adhesion, migration and growth networks were the most significantly upregulated pathways under hypoxic conditions (Supplementary Fig. 14a). Cells under hypoxic conditions had consistent upregulation of RHOB1, TAGLN, SERTAD1, TXNIP, JAG1, CTGF and JUN, while corresponding miRNAs let7a, miR-135a, miR-146a and miR-30c were significantly downregulated under hypoxic conditions (Figs 4a,b and 2d,g). Dicer and Drosha silencing under normoxia resulted in similar downregulation of the aforementioned miRNAs and corresponding upregulation of genes (Fig. 4a,b). Ectopic expression of Dicer and Drosha under hypoxia exposure resulted in rescue of the miRNAs and corresponding downregulation of mRNA targets (Fig. 4a,b). In addition, we tested the known miR-185 and HIF2α axis15 in the A2780 cancer cells following exposure to hypoxia. MiR-185 was significantly downregulated along with corresponding upregulation of HIF2α (Supplementary Fig. 14b). In addition, rescue of miR-185 by Drosha and Dicer ectopic expression or delivery of miR-185 mimics under hypoxia exposure resulted in significant downregulation of HIF2α (Supplementary Fig. 14b). These data further support the role of hypoxia-mediated Dicer and Drosha deregulation in hypoxia signalling and tumour progression.

Consistent with the altered pathways described above, enhanced epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) features were observed in cells under hypoxic conditions (Supplementary Fig. 15a). Analysis of EMT markers in various cell lines showed significantly decreased E-cadherin and increased vimentin mRNA and protein levels under hypoxic conditions (Fig. 4c,d and Supplementary Fig. 15b,c). RNA samples from the previously discussed bevacizumab-treated A2780 tumours showed reduced E-cadherin expression and increased vimentin expression, consistent with our in vitro observations (Fig. 4e). To elucidate the roles of Drosha and Dicer in the EMT process, we silenced Drosha and Dicer in A2780 and MCF7 cells, after which we observed significantly decreased E-cadherin and increased vimentin expression (Fig. 4f and Supplementary Fig. 16a). There was significant increase in migration and invasion of the A2780 or MCF7 cells after silencing of Dicer, Drosha, or both (Fig. 4g and Supplementary Fig. 16b). Correlative analysis of E-cadherin and CA9 in samples from patients with ovarian cancer showed a significant inverse correlation between E-cadherin and CA9.
Figure 4 | Drosha and Dicer downregulation under hypoxia leads to increased epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition. Expression of significantly altered pro-miRNAs (a) and metastatic genes (b) in Dicer and Drosha knocked down A2780 cells under normoxia (data shown normalized to siControl) or ectopic expression of Dicer and Drosha under hypoxia (data shown normalized to control), N, normoxia; H, hypoxia. E-cadherin and vimentin mRNA (c) and protein (d) expression levels under hypoxic exposure in A2780 cells. Blue, nucleus. Scale bar, 200 μm. (e) mRNA levels of E-cadherin and vimentin in A2780 mouse tumour samples treated with bevacizumab. (f) E-cadherin (top) and vimentin (bottom) expression after knockdown of Dicer, Drosha, or both, using siRNAs in A2780 cells. (g) Effect of Drosha and Dicer on cell migration and invasion in A2780 cells. Drosha and Dicer levels were downregulated using siRNAs under normoxic conditions. Rescue of Drosha was achieved using siRNAs against ETS1 and ELK1 under hypoxic conditions. (h) Pearson correlation between E-cadherin or vimentin and hypoxia marker CA9 expression in clinical ovarian tumour samples (n = 30). All images shown are representative and data are presented as mean ± s.e.m. of n ≥ 3 experimental groups. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001 (Student t-test).
Decreased E-cadherin and increased vimentin protein levels were observed in the siDicer, siDrosha and combination treatment groups compared with the control group (Fig. 5f). Similar increases in tumour weight and spread were observed with Drosha and Dicer knockdown in intraperitoneal ovarian cancer mouse models, resembling the clinical features of advanced-stage disease (Supplementary Fig. 18b,c). Treatment with siDicer + siDrosha also produced a similar pattern in the MCF7 mouse model of breast cancer with significant increases in tumour growth and metastatic rate being observed (Supplementary Fig. 19a–d). In the HeyA8 in vivo model of ovarian cancer, ectopically expression of Drosha, Dicer or Drosha + Dicer resulted in significant reduction in tumour weight (Fig. 5g) and number of distant metastatic nodules (Fig. 5h).
We next sought to determine whether treatment with siETS1/siELK1 could reverse the hypoxia-induced downregulation of miRNA biogenesis machinery following treatment with bevacizumab. Mice were treated with bevacizumab 2 weeks after tumour establishment. There was a significant increase in tumour weight and number of metastatic nodules in the bevacizumab treatment group (Fig. 6a). In mice treated with siETS1/siELK1, we observed significant reductions in tumour weight and number of metastatic nodules and spread compared with mice treated with bevacizumab alone (Fig. 6b,c). A significant increase in CA9 expression was observed in mice that received anti-VEGF therapy compared with control mice (Fig. 6d). Importantly, we noted rescue of Drosha expression in the siETS/ELK1 treatment group (Fig. 6e). Taken together, these data demonstrate the importance of Drosha in tumour progression as well as the role of ETS1/ELK1 in its regulation.

Discussion

Our data show that tumour hypoxia plays a critical role in the downregulation of Drosha and Dicer, leading to downregulated miRNA biogenesis in cancer. Drosha is downregulated by ETS1 and ELK1 recruitment of HDAC1 and ARID4B onto the promoter region. ETS1/ELK1 silencing under hypoxic conditions in vivo rescued Drosha expression and led to significant reductions in tumour growth and metastasis (Fig. 7). During progression, tumours encounter substantial hypoxia owing to abnormal vasculature. Emerging studies have shown that hypoxia is involved in promoting tumour progression and resistance to therapy. Direct assessment of tumour hypoxia in patient samples has demonstrated worse clinical outcomes in patients with high intratumoral hypoxia. In addition, some studies suggest that anti-VEGF therapies induce substantial hypoxia in tumours. Previous reports have shown hypoxia-mediated EMT via direct activation of Twist1 by HIF1α (ref. 34) or notch signalling. Our findings provide a new understanding of the mechanisms by which hypoxia contributes to increased cancer metastasis via miRNA biogenesis downregulation.

Decreased expression of Drosha and Dicer in cancer is associated with poor clinical outcome. Recent reports...
showed the association of Dicer with increased metastasis using a Dicer knockout or a breast cancer model. Our study provides further understanding of a previously unrecognized role of hypoxia in the regulation of Drosha and Dicer. Use of Drosha- and Dicer-independent siRNA-based gene targeting is an emerging strategy to develop therapies that target undruggable genes. As suggested in this study, in vivo delivery of DOPC–siETSI/ELK1 nanoparticles serve as a promising approach to rescue expression of Drosha in cancer. Here we elucidated the mechanism by which Drosha is downregulated under hypoxia and provide new directions towards rescue of miRNA biogenesis in cancer. Moreover, a parallel study by van den Beucken et al. describes a novel mechanism by which Dicer is downregulated under hypoxia. Altogether, a comprehensive understanding of Drosha and Dicer downregulation under hypoxic conditions is an important leap towards understanding the miRNA biogenesis defects that occur during cancer progression.

**Methods**

**Cell line maintenance and siRNA and miRNA transfections.** All cell lines were maintained in 5% CO₂, at 37 °C. Ovarian cancer (A2780, OVCAR3, SKOV3, OVCACACA, HeyA8, IGROV, EG) and breast cancer (MDA-MB-231, MCF7, GILM2) cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection and were maintained in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 10–15% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 0.1% gentamicin sulfate (Geminibio products, Calabasas, CA). All cell lines were routinely tested to confirm the absence of Mycoplasma, and all in vitro experiments were conducted with 60–80% confluent cultures.

All siRNA transfections (Supplementary Table 1) were performed using RNAiMAX (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) reagent for forward transfection protocol from the manufacturer. Media was changed 5 h after transfections to minimize toxicity. For all hypoxia treatments, cells were incubated in an oxygen-controlled hypoxia chamber at 1% O₂. For ectopic expression of Drosha and Dicer, we obtained plasmids from Addgene (IDs 10828 and 25851, respectively). Next, we cloned open reading frames into pLKO.1-GFP or Puromycin lentiviral plasmids. We transduced HeyA8 cells with virus particles, and then selection using green fluorescent protein (Drosha) or puromycin (Dicer) was carried out to establish stable cell variants.

**In vivo models.** Female athymic nude mice were purchased from Taconic Farms (Hudson, NY). These animals were cared for according to guidelines set forth by the American Association for Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care and the Public Health Service policy on Human Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. All mouse studies were approved and supervised by The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. All animals used were 8–12 weeks old at the time of injection.

Orthotopic models of ovarian cancer were developed as described previously. For all animal experiments, cells were harvested using trypsin-EDTA, neutralized with FBS-containing media, washed and resuspended to the appropriate concentration in Hank’s balanced salt solution (HBSS, Gibco, Carlsbad, CA) before injection. For the A2780 model, cells were injected either intraperitoneally (1 × 10⁶ cells in 200 μl of HBSS) or directly into the ovary (0.8 × 10⁶ cells in a 1:1 mixture of BD Matrigel and HBSS with a total volume of 100 μl of HBSS). For the Hey A8 cells and variants expressing Drosha, Dicer and Drosha + Dicer (4 × 10⁶ cells in a 1:1 mixture of BD Matrigel and HBSS with a total volume of 100 μl of HBSS), intra-ovarian injections were performed. For the breast cancer model, MCF7 cells were injected into the mammary fat pad (5 × 10⁶ cells in a 1:1 mixture of BD Matrigel and HBSS). For the intra-ovary injections, mice were anesthetized with ketamine and xylazine. An incision just above the approximate site to insert the ovary was made in the ovary. A 1 mm tuberculin syringe with a 29-gauge needle was used to inject the cell suspension directly into the ovary. After injection, the incision was closed using surgical clips and the mouse was returned to a cage until fully recovered. For the orthotopic breast cancer model, the MCF7 cell mixture was injected into the second mammary fat pad from the top after the mouse was anesthetized with ketamine and xylazine. Administration of this preparation was hydrated with PBS at room temperature at a concentration of 200 μg siRNA per kg per injection.

**miRNA microarray and deep sequencing.** Total RNA was extracted from the A2780 cells under normoxic or hypoxic conditions using the mirVana RNA Isolation kit (Ambion). Purity was assessed using Nanodrop spectrophotometric measurement (Thermo Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA) of the OD260/280 ratio with acceptable readings falling between 1.8 and 2.1. All samples were hybridized on a whole human transcriptome array (Agilent Technologies, La Jolla, CA) with a RNA Integrity Number (RIN) number of at least 8. Five hundred nanograms of total RNA was used for labelling and hybridization, according to the manufacturer’s protocols (Agilent Technologies). The expression levels of mature miRNAs in ovarian cancer cells on exposure to hypoxia (1% O₂) were profiled using miRNA microarray (Agilent v14). Bioinformatic analysis was performed in R (version 2.14.2). Statistical significance was set at P < 0.05. We downloaded and analysed publicly available data from the TCGA (http://tcga-data.nci.nih.gov/) for patients with high-grade serous ovarian carcinoma. Level 3 Illumina RNASeq and miRNASeq were used to measure miRNA and miRNA expression. miRNASeq data were derived from the ‘isoform quantify’ files from the ‘read per million miRNA mapped’ values for mature forms of each microRNA. A list of 99 genes that comprised the hypoxia metagene was obtained from Winter et al. Univariate Cox analysis was performed and ten genes with hazard ratio > 1.1 (KDC1T1, TNS4, TUBB2A, ANGPT1A, TEAD4, DPM2, PIGL, TP11, C16orf77 and ADORA2B) were selected for further study. The median of the distribution was used as the cutoff for each gene.

For survival analysis, the patients were grouped into percentiles according to the hypoxia metagene signature described above. We checked for an association between hypoxia signature and overall survival by choosing a cutoff to optimally split the samples into two groups and the log-rank test was employed to determine the significance of the association. To examine the association between Drosha and Dicer levels and overall survival, the patients were grouped into sextiles according to DICER and DROSHA expression. We compared all groups and obtained the best separation (minimal log-rank test P-value) for the groups linked to a positive association: DICER expression last sextile and DROSHA expression last sextile with DROSHA expression last quartile.

Liposomal nanoparticle preparation. miRNA or siRNA for in vivo intratumour delivery was incorporated into DOPC, as previously described. DOPC and siRNA were mixed in the presence of excess tertiary butanol at a ratio of 1:10 (w/w) siRNA:DOPC. TWEEN 20 was added to the mixture in a ratio of 1:19 Tween 20/siRNA:DOPC. The mixture was vortexed, frozen in an acetone/dry ice bath and lyophilized. Before in vivo administration, this preparation was hydrated with PBS at room temperature at a concentration of 200 μg siRNA per kg per injection.
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Migration and invasion assays. Modified Boyden chambers (Coster, MA) coated with 0.1% gelatin (migration) or extracellular matrix components24 (invasion) were used to measure invasion of MCFC cells (1 × 10^5). Cells suspended in 100 μl of serum-free media were added into the upper chamber 24 h after siRNA transfections. Complete media for cells containing 10% FBS (500 μl) was added to the bottom chamber as a chemo-attractant. The chambers were incubated at 37°C in 5% CO₂ for 6 h (migration) or overnight (invasion). After incubation, the cells in the upper chamber were removed with cotton swabs. Chambers were fixed and stained with Giemsa or using light microscopy. Cells from five random fields were counted. Experiments were done in duplicate and performed three times.

MSP and MSRE analysis. For MSP, MethPrimer (http://www.urogene.org/methprimer/) software was used for the prediction of the CpG island of the Drosha promoter region and for design of methylation-specific primers (Supplementary Table 1). Total DNA was isolated from normoxia- and hypoxia-treated cells using Phenol-Chloroform extraction and then treated with bisulfite using a methylation assay kit (EZ DNA Methylation-Gold; Zymo Research, CA). Using real-time PCR, as described above, quantification of methylation in hypoxia samples was performed by comparing them with normoxia samples. For MSRE analysis, primers were designed flanking the CpG island predicted by MethPrimer with at least two CpG islands on primers as suggested by qMethyl Light (Zymo Research). DNA samples from hypoxia- and normoxia-exposed cancer cells were subjected to MSREs provided in the kit. Following MSRE digestion of DNA, quantification of methylation was carried out using real-time PCR. Percentage methylation was calculated by comparing Ct values obtained from test (with MSRE) and reference (no MSRE) reactions as outlined in the kit manual.

Northern blot analysis. RNA from cells treated with normoxia and hypoxia were subjected to northern blot analysis using non-radioactive biotin-probe method45. Briefly, total RNA from A2780 cells exposed to normoxia and hypoxia was loaded onto 15% urea gel, electrophoresed and transferred to nylon membranes at 10–15 V (90 min) using Trans-Blot SD Semi-Dry Transfer Cell (Bio-Rad, CA). RNA was cross-linked to the membrane using ultraviolet cross-linker. For miRNA and U6 probes, pre-synthesized locked nucleic acid (LNA)-modified oligonucleotides were purchased from Exiqon (http://www.exiqon.com) with biotin conjugation. Hybridization and washing of the membranes were carried out using Northern max kit (Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer’s recommended protocol. Membranes were developed using Chemiluminescent Nucleic Acid Detection Module (Pierce Biotechnology).

Actinomycin D mRNA stability assay. Drosha or Dicer mRNA stability under hypoxic and normoxic conditions was assessed using 5 μg ml⁻¹ (ref. 46) actinomycin D. Cells were grown in hypoxic or normoxic conditions for various time periods with or without actinomycin D. At specified time points, RNA was isolated and RNA levels of Drosha and Dicer were measured using real-time PCR, and normalized between the hypoxia and normoxia samples. To assess RNA decay rates, Drosha and Dicer levels under hypoxic conditions after normalization with 18S were compared with Drosha and Dicer levels under normoxic conditions.

Chromatin hybridization and immunoprecipitation assay. Cells were cultured in hypoxic or normoxic conditions for 48 h and chromatin immunoprecipitation assays were performed using the Chip-it express kit (Active Motif), as described by the manufacturer. In brief, cross-linked cells were collected, lysed, sonicated and subjected to immunoprecipitation with the ETS1, ELK1, ARID4B and HDAC1 antibodies or IgG isotype control. Immunocomplexes were collected with protein G/Ag agarose magnetic beads and eluted. Cross-links were reversed by incubating at 65°C with high salt concentration. PCR-based quantification of fold enrichment in ETS1 or ELK1 binding on the Drosha promoter region (primers used are in Supplementary Table 1) was performed. The 2,500–3,000 bp base upstream region of the ETS1 or ELK1 binding region was used as a control.

Microdissection and RNA isolation. In vivo tumour samples from a previous study27 injected with Hypospyrope (Hypospyrope Inc., Burlington, MA) were used for the present study. Frozen sections (10 μm) were affixed onto polyethylene terephthalate slides (Leica), fixed in cold acetone (10 min), washed in PBS three times, stained for Hypospyrope using fluorescin isothiocyanate-conjugated antibody for 1 h on top of ice, washed and air-dried, and immediately followed by dissection. Microdissection was performed using an MD LMD laser microdissecting microscope (Leica). RNA was isolated from same samples using the Cells to Ctk (Applied Biosystems), according to manufacturer instructions. Real-time PCR was carried out to quantify gene expression.

Transcription factor binding analysis and luciferase assays. The promoter region of Drosha was analysed for potential transcription factor binding using MatInspector (Genomatix Inc., Germany), followed by analysis for a potential gene suppression role using LitInspector (Genomatix). The Drosha promoter luciferase imaging.

Immunofluorescence. Lysates from cultured cells were prepared using modified RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.4), 150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton, 0.5% deoxycholate) plus 25 μg ml⁻¹ leupeptin, 10 μg ml⁻¹ aprotinin, 2 mM EDTA and 1 mM sodium orthovandate. The protein concentrations were determined using a BCA Protein Assay Reagent kit (Pierce Biotechnology, Rockford, IL). Lysates were loaded and separated on SDS–PAGE. Proteins were transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane by semidyary electrophoresis (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA) overnight, blocked with 5% BSA for 1 h and then incubated at 4°C overnight with primary antibody (Dicer 1:1,000, Drosha 1:1,000 (Novus Biologicals, Littleton, CO), ETS1 1:500, ELK1 1:1,000, pELK1 1:500 (Cell signaling, Danvers, MA)). After washing with tris-buffered saline with Tween 20, the membranes were incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated horse (抗 Rabbit or Rabbit IgG (1:5,000, GE Healthcare, UK) for 2 h. Horseradish peroxidase was visualized using an enhanced chemiluminescence detection kit (Pierce Biotechnology). To confirm equal sample loading, the blots were probed with an antibody specific for β-actin (0.1 μg ml⁻¹, Sigma).

Immunoprecipitation using antibodies against ETS1 or ELK1 was carried out using the Universal Magnetic Co-IP Kit (Active Motif, Carlsbad, CA), according to instructions provided by the company. Samples were processed for western blotting as described above. Using the antibody against ARID4B (Novus Biologicals) and HDAC1 (Cell Signaling), pull-down samples were probed for interactions. Full blottings are shown in Supplementary Figs 20 and 21.

Quantitative real-time PCR. For mRNA quantification, total RNA was isolated using the Qiagen RNeasy kit (Qiagen, CA). Using 1.000 ng of RNA, complementary DNA was synthesized using a Verso cDNA kit (Thermo Scientific, PA), as per the manufacturer’s instructions. Analysis of mRNA levels was performed on a 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, CA) with SYBR Green-based real-time PCR for all genes, except the ones specified. Dicer and Drosha Taqman assays (Life Technologies) were performed. Specific primers used are described in Supplementary Table 1. Semi-quantitative real-time PCR was done with reverse-transcribed RNA and 100 ng ml⁻¹ reverse and antisense primers in a total volume of 20 μl. For mRNA quantification, total RNA was isolated using Trizol (Invitrogen) extraction. RNA was isolated from nuclear and cytoplasmic fractionated cells using Paris kit (Life Technologies). Fractionation purity was confirmed by running the samples on agarose gel electrophoresis and visualization of precursor RNA bands, 18S, and 28S rRNA bands. For pri-miRNA and mature miRNA quantifications, taqMan miRNA assays (Life Technologies) were used and reverse transcription, real-time PCR were carried out, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Precursor miRNAs were quantified using c miScript precursor miRNA assays (Qiagen). RNaseB (for mature miRNAs) or 18S (pri and precursor miRNAs) were used as a housekeeping gene.

Immunostaining. Staining was performed on optimal cutting temperature-embedded frozen tissue sections. Protein blocking of nonspecific epitopes was performed using 1% gelatin in tris-buffered saline with Tween 20 for 20 min. Slides were incubated with the primary antibody for Dicer, Drosha (Novus Biologicals, CA), E-cadherin (BD Transduction Laboratories, CA) or vimentin (Cell Signaling, CA) overnight at 4°C. For immunofluorescence, secondary antibody staining was performed using either Alexa 594 or Alexa 488 (Molecular Probes). Nuclear staining was performed using Hoechst 33342 (Molecular Probes). Immunofluorescent images were captured using a Zeiss Axioscope 2 microscope and Hamamatsu ORCA-ER digital camera (Carl Zeiss Inc., Germany). Bright-field images of haematxoylin and eosin-stained lung tissue sections were obtained using a Nikon Microphot-FXA microscope and Leica DFC290 digital camera (Nikon, Japan; Leica, Germany). From each group, ten images were taken at random and analysed for micrometastases, as well as scored for percentage of micrometastatic nodules.
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