Performance Enhancement of R.C. Beams with Large Web Openings by Using Reactive Powder Composite: An Experimental Study
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Abstract
In this paper an experimental works conducted to study the behavior of R.C. beam with large web opening at different locations and fortified with reactive powder composite (RPC) at the extreme tension zone (bottom edge of opening) and/or extreme compression zone (Top edge of opening). The experimental study is investigate the behavior of twelve beams and study the ability of using normal strength concrete together with RPC in the same section to exploit the advantages of these two materials in optimal way. The main variables are RPC layers locations in tension zone and/or in compression zone and the locations of openings. The ultimate loads, load mid-span deflection behavior and strain for steel and concrete were discussed. The experimental results showed that the ultimate strength was decreases with increasing number of opening about 4% for beams with two openings located in shear zone and 21% for beams with three openings, thus indicating that the stiffness decreases accordingly. The using RPC layers effectively enhanced performance of hybrid beams when compared with using the normal strength concrete layers only. The using RPC layers in compression and tension zones increased the ultimate load about 47 % for beams with two opening located in shear zone, when using RPC in the tension zone and normal strength concrete in the compression zone the ultimate flexural load and ultimate deflection increase little compared with normal concrete.
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1. Introduction
Utility pipes and ducts are necessary to accommodate essential services in a building. The types of services include air-conditioning, power supply, telephone line, computer network, sewerage and water supply. It has been practiced that pipes and ducts are usually hanged below the floor beams, and covered by a suspended ceiling for its aesthetic purpose. These openings can be of different shapes and sizes as circular, square or rectangular [1]. The presence of an opening in the web of a reinforced concrete beam leads to many problems in the beam behavior such as reduction in the beam stiffness, excessive cracking, excessive deflection and reduction in the beam strength [2, 3]. Furthermore, sudden change in the dimension of cross section of the beam leads to high stress concentration at the corners of opening that may lead to cracking unacceptable from aesthetic and durability viewpoints. The reduced stiffness of the beam may also give rise to excessive deflection under service load and result in a considerable redistribution of internal forces and moments in a continuous beam. Mansur et al. [6], Aykac et al. [7] and Egriboz [8] investigated the influence of multiple web openings along the length of an r.c. beam on its flexural behavior. Tanijaya and Hardjito [9] studied the influence of openings on the response of hybrid reinforced concrete T-beams, with the beams subjected to cyclic load applied at mid–span. Abdallah et al. [10], Allam [2] and Pimanmas [11] used carbon zone reinforced polymer (CFRP) sheets to strengthen the opening region.

In order to improve the ductility of beams with large web opening the reactive powder composite (RPC) was used in this study at different layers. RPC is now more generally described as ultra-high performance concrete (UHPC) [4].The addition of zones to UHPC further improves tensile cracking resistance, post cracking strength, ductility and energy absorption capacity [5].

Ismael, M. A [12] studied the flexural behavior of eleven ultra-high performance conventionally reinforced concrete beams containing hooked and crimped steel zones. Raj and Jeenu [13] and Mohammed [14] investigated the flexural behavior (strength and deformation characteristics) of hybrid rectangular beams combining conventional concrete (CC) and RPC.

A few research about investigated conduct for RC beams having openings and fortified with RPC. Therefore, the aim of this research is investigate the amount of the effect of the presence of large opening in the web of reinforced concrete beams fortified with RPC layers and the evaluation of these effects on the ultimate strength, mode failure, strain and the behavior of these beams. The main
factors in this study is the number of web openings along the span and RPC layer locations. The results of ultimate strength, load-deflection, failures modes, load – strain, neutral axis depth and curvature will be discussing.

2. Experimental Program

2.1 Materials and Mix Proportion

RPC used in this study consists of Ordinary Portland cement, silica fume, glass sand, steel zones and Superplasticizer commercially named Flocrete PC 260. While the normal concrete (NC) consists of Ordinary Portland cement, sand and crushed gravel were used. The specified mix proportions of RPC and concrete are tabulated in Table 1. Laboratory tests were carried out in the laboratory of the Faculty of Engineering; Mustansiriya University. Tests results of mechanical properties of NC and RPC are given in Table 2. The characteristic yield strength of tested bar steel reinforcement was 470.8 MPa for nominal diameter (ø8mm) and 390 MPa for nominal diameter (ø4mm), the results conform to the limitation of the specification ASTM-A615[15] and ASTM – A496 [16]. The time period between the placing of the two layers was about (55-60) minutes where the top surface of the bottom layer was left rough to ensure good interaction between the two layers. This time was obtained by conducting an initial setting time experiment for RPC, the test was performed according to ASTM C191-13[17] by using Vicat Needle and the measured setting time was about (45) minutes for Portland cement.

Table 1: Mix Proportions of NC and RPC

| Concrete Type | NSC | RPC |
|---------------|-----|-----|
| Cement (C) (kg/m³) | 400 | 1000 |
| Sand (S) (kg/m³) | 600 | 1000 |
| Gravel (G) (kg/m³) | 1200 | - |
| Silica Fume (SF%)* (kg/m³) | - | 250 |
| Superplasticizer(SP)**, PC260% (kg/m³) | - | 75 |
| Water (W) (kg/m³) | 180 | 200 |
| Water/ cement ratio W/C | 0.45 | 0.2 |
| Steel fiber*** (kg/m³) | - | 39 |
| Mix proportion by weight**** | 1:1.5:3 | 1:1:0.25 |

*Percent of cement weight (25%).
** Percent of binder (cement and silica fume) weight (6%).
*** Percent of mix volume (0.5%).
**** cement: sand: gravel for NSC

2.2 Specimen Preparation

The experimental program consists of making and testing twelve simply supported rectangular section beams with large web opening. The each beam is designed steel reinforcement as per ACI318M-14[22] building code and for opening size as the large and location used NZS3101-2(2006) [23] and Barney et al. [24]. The nominal dimensions of the tested beams are (1250) mm in overall length, (120) mm width and (190) mm in depth, the nominal dimensions of opening were kept constant for all beam with (180) mm length and (60) mm width. The beams tested under two point’s loads with a shear span (av) equal to 410mm, where av is distance from center of concentrated load (P/2) to the center of support. The beams are divided into three groups namely Group 1 with one opening, Group 2 with two openings and Group 3 with three openings. The beams details, layers of RPC and openings locations are shown Fig.1 and dimensions and reinforcement details of tested beams as shown Figs [2 and 3].

2.3 Test set-up and Instrumentation

The deflections at the mid-span of the beam were measured using the dial gage of 0.01 mm accuracy. Two types of strain gauge are used were type (TLM).

Determine behavior of structural member against the applied stresses. The use of devices with high accuracy is required to calculate the amount of strain in the steel and concrete were used. Locations of strain gauge were selected to give an impression about what is happening of strain and stress in each, steel reinforcement and concrete as shown Figs [4,5]. The load is increased gradually and in every 2.5 kN step for all the strain reading is taken by the Data logger. All the beams were tested to failure in two -point loading. The loading was applied through a hydraulic jack Fig.[6]
Figure 1: Beams Details (layers and openings), all Dimensions unit mm

(a) Group one opening at flexural zone location

(b) Group two opening at shear zone location

(c) Group three opening at compound (Flexural+Shear) zone location

Figure 2: Dimensions and Reinforcement Details of Tested Beam

Figure 3: Dimensions and Reinforcement Details of the Cross Section
Results and Discussions

3.1 Ultimate Loads

The reduction in the ultimate failure loads results presented in the Table 3. It is clear that the location and number of openings not only reduced the ultimate load capacity of the beam but also changed the failure mode from a flexural mode to a shear mode of failure. The results presented in the Table 4 generally show that ultimate loads (Pu) increase with the increase of RPC layer hybrid beams with RPC layer in compression gives higher ultimate loads than those of hybrid beams with RPC layer in tension. All above results indicate that using RPC in compression and tension is more effective than using RPC in compression only and tension only. This behavior may be attributed to the combined contribution in increasing the beams stiffness which allows such beams to sustain higher loads before failure.

| Number of openings | Beams | Pu (kN) | Percentage of reduction (%) |
|--------------------|-------|---------|-----------------------------|
| 1                  | B1N   | 92.50   | 0                           |
| 2                  | B2N   | 85.00   | 8                           |
| 3                  | B3N   | 77.50   | 10                          |
| 1                  | B1RN  | 94.00   | 0                           |
| 2                  | B2RN  | 88.00   | 6                           |
| 3                  | B3RN  | 80.00   | 14                          |
| 1                  | B1NR  | 117.50  | 0                           |
| 2                  | B2NR  | 105.00  | 10                          |
Table 4: Effect of RPC layers in Ultimate loads

| Open location | Beams     | Pu (kN) | Percentage of increase (%) |
|---------------|-----------|---------|---------------------------|
| Flexural zone | B1N 92.5  | 0       |                           |
| Group 1       | B1RN 94   | 1       |                           |
|               | B1NR 117.5| 27      |                           |
|               | B1RNR 129 | 39      |                           |
| Shear zone    | B2N 85    | 0       |                           |
| Group 2       | B2RN 88   | 3       |                           |
|               | B2NR 105  | 23      |                           |
|               | B2RNR 125 | 47      |                           |
| Compound (Flexural + Shear) zone | B3N 77.5 | 0      |                           |
| Group 3       | B3RN 80   | 3       |                           |
|               | B3NR 92.5 | 19      |                           |
|               | B3RNR 101.5| 30    |                           |

3.2 Failures Modes

The type of failure of tested beams with opening was characterized by the formation of cracks at the location as shown Figs [7 through 9]. Failure modes of tested beam are given Table 5.

Table 5: Modes of failures of the tested beams

| Beams       | Failure modes of RC with openings                                      |
|-------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| B1N         | Flexure                                                               |
| B2N         | Shear                                                                 |
| B3N         | Beam-Type Shear Failure                                               |
| B1RN        | Flexure and Crush At Flexural                                         |
| B2RN        | Shear                                                                 |
| B3RN        | Vierendeel Truss Action, Beam-Type Shear Failure and Crush At Shear    |
| B1NR        | Flexure and Crush At Flexural                                         |
| B2NR        | Shear                                                                 |
| B3NR        | Tension-Controlled Flexural Failure and Beam-Type Shear Failure        |
| B1RNR       | Flexure and Crush At Flexural                                         |
| B2RNR       | Shear                                                                 |
| B3RNR       | Vierendeel Truss Action and Beam-Type Shear Failure                    |

3.3 Load-Deflection Curves

Figures 10 through 16 shows load-mid-span deflection relationships for dial gage at mid-span and for all tested beams. The load deflection curves are discussed of as below.

3.3.1 Effect of openings

The maximum mid-span deflection is increased with increased number of opening as shown in Table 6. It can be noticed when increase in the number of openings in the beams causes reduction...
both ultimate load and their stiffness so causes increase in their deflections as shown Figs [10 through 13].

**Table 6:** Effect of Number of Opening in maximum mid-span deflection

| Number of openings | Beams  | Load (kN) | Maximum mid-span deflection (mm) |
|--------------------|--------|-----------|----------------------------------|
| 1                  | B1N    | 87.5      | 9                                |
| 2                  | B2N    | 82.5      | 11                               |
| 3                  | B3N    | 77.5      | 13                               |
| 1                  | B1RN   | 87.5      | 5.4                              |
| 2                  | B2RN   | 87.5      | 7                                |
| 3                  | B3RN   | 77.5      | 9                                |
| 1                  | B1NR   | 112.5     | 7.2                              |
| 2                  | B2NR   | 100       | 9                                |
| 3                  | B3NR   | 90        | 12                               |
| 1                  | B1RNR  | 125       | 11                               |
| 2                  | B2RNR  | 122.5     | 13                               |
| 3                  | B3RNR  | 97.5      | 15.55                            |

**Figure 10:** Effect number of openings on load-deflection for beams with NC

**Figure 11:** Effect number of openings on load-deflection for beams with RPC and NC layers

**Figure 12:** Effect number of openings on load-deflection for beams with NC and RPC layers

### 3.3.2 Effect of RPC layers

From Table 7 noted that the maximum mid-span deflections of hybrid beams with different RPC layers hybrid beams with one layer of RPC in compression show a stiffer behavior than that of hybrid beams with one layer of RPC in tension. Maximum mid-span deflections of hybrid beams with one layer of RPC in tension zone were lower than that of NC beams for all beams, while hybrid beams with RPC in tension and compression show higher maximum deflections than NC because of their high ductility. This may be attributed to the higher flexural strength of these beams which allows them to withstand larger deflections before failure (higher energy absorption) as shown Figs. 14, 15 and 16.

**Figure 13:** Effect number of openings on load-deflection for beams with RPC, NC and RPC layers

**Figure 14:** Load-Deflection Curve for group one opening at Flexural zone

**Figure 15:** Load-Deflection Curve for group two opening at Shear zone
Table 7: Effect of RPC layers in maximum mid-span deflections

| Open location | Beams   | Load (kN) | maximum mid-span deflection (mm) |
|---------------|---------|-----------|----------------------------------|
| **Flexural zone** |         |           |                                  |
| Groupe 1      | B1N     | 87.5      | 9                                |
|               | B1RN    | 87.5      | 5.4                              |
|               | B1NR    | 112.5     | 7.2                              |
|               | B1RNR   | 125.5     | 11                               |
| Groupe 2      | B2N     | 82.5      | 11                               |
|               | B2RN    | 87.5      | 7                                |
|               | B2NR    | 100       | 9                                |
|               | B2RNR   | 122.5     | 13                               |
| **Shear zone** |         |           |                                  |
| **compound (Flexural and Shear) zone** |         |           |                                  |
| Groupe 3      | B3N     | 77.5      | 13                               |
|               | B3RN    | 77.5      | 9                                |
|               | B3NR    | 90        | 12                               |
|               | B3RNR   | 97.5      | 12.5                             |

3.4 Load – Strain Relationships

Strain were measured at the concrete and steel for all tested beams. In general, as load increases; strain distributions are changed from approximately linear at lower loads to nonlinear at higher loads. The strain values discussed as below.

3.4.1 Concrete strain at top and bottom chords of openings

3.4.1.1 Beam with opening at flexure zone

The value of the concrete strain recorded at top chord is greater than the bottom chord due to compression stresses higher the top chord of the bottom chord and this is intended to increase the strain. The maximum measured compressive strain on concrete surface for beam B1RNR at top edge was (0.00217), the strain exceeds the ultimate strain of concrete as a result, and crushing in compression concrete was observed. The strain in the compression face at top chord approaching the ultimate strain of concrete for beams B1RN and B1NR as shown Fig. 17.

3.4.1.2 Beam with opening at shear zone

The maximum measured compressive concrete strain on concrete surface for beam B2RNR was (0.00217), the value of the strain recorded is less than group one because there is no opening in mid span, that opening is divided the beam to the top and bottom chord and ultimate load less compare with one opening. The strain in the compression face for all beams are not approaching the ultimate strain of concrete as shown Fig. 18.

3.4.1.3 Beam with two openings located at shear zone and one opening located at flexural zone

The maximum compressive concrete strain was (0.002) for beams B3RN,B3RNR and B3NR, the maximum compressive concrete strain for beams with three openings are less than for strain of beams with one opening and two openings as shown Fig. 19 (a),(b). It can be concluded that the use of RPC layer at tension face of hybrid beams leads to increase the ultimate concrete strain at both tension and compression zones with more evidence in tensile strain due to having higher load.
capacity and reflecting the effectiveness of steel zones to improve ductility and tensile behavior.

![Figure 19: Load-concrete strain relationships for group three openings at compound (Flexural and Shear) zone.](image)

**3.4.2 Strain of Steel reinforcement**

### 3.4.2.1 Beam with opening at flexure zone

![Figure 20: Load–steel strain relationships for group one opening at flexural zone.](image)

The load-steel tensile strain curve obtained for beams under static load as shown in Fig.20, it can be noted that the yield strain ($\varepsilon_y = 0.002361$) and maximum strain ($\varepsilon_u = 0.0034336$) is occurred at the bottom chord for all beams. It may also be noted that the strain values all beams at bottom chord was exceeded the ultimate strain. However, at the top chord, the steel strains is below the yield strain and the ultimate strain for all beams. The maximum strain is occurred at beam B1RNR because of this beam has higher ultimate load than other beams that it is gain from RPC layers at top and bottom. The RPC layers at top or bottom face beam increasing strains because of the RPC had higher ultimate load rather than normal concrete.

![Figure 21: Load - steel strain relationships for group two openings at shear zone.](image)

### 3.4.2.2 Beams with opening at shear zone

From the load-steel tensile strain curve obtained for beams under static load as shown in Fig.21, it can be noted that the maximum strain is occurred at the bottom longitudinal reinforcement for beam B2RNR because of this beam has higher ultimate load than other beams. It may also be noted that the strain values for bottom exceed the yield strain for all beams and approach from the ultimate strain. However, the load level occurred at all beams is below the load level for group one opening because of the openings reduces the ultimate load.

![Figure 22: Load-concrete strain relationships for beams with two openings located at shear zone and one opening located at flexural zone.](image)
The experimental neutral axis depth in compression zone, c, of the test beams is obtained from the experimentally measured strain values for concrete at compression face and the reinforcement at tension face. When cracks occurred, the neutral axis shifted upward and the c/d value drops considered that the stress equivalent to first crack strength is developed in RPC after generating crack, because the crack width of RPC is as small as 0.1mm or less.

The variation of neutral axis depth ratio (c/d) are discussed as below.

3.5.1 beam with opening at flexure zone

It can be noted from Fig.23 that the ratio of (c/d) for top edge is more than for bottom edge at all load levels. These results are attributed the top edge at compression face and when the loading start, it is under the influence of compression the value of c approach to d but as the load increases, the value starts to decrease and the part of tension and compression appears at top edge. The ratio of (c/d) for bottom edge when the loading start was (0.45) with increase loading the (c/d) reduces. When cracks occurred, the neutral axis shifted upward and the c/d value drops.

3.5.2 beam with opening at shear zone

It can be noted from Fig.24 that the ratio of (c/d) is less than that at group one opening because of there is no opening in the flexure zone works to cut the beam to top and bottom edges in this case the section is solid.

3.5.3 Beam with two openings located at shear zone and one opening located at flexural zone

It can be noted from Fig.25 that the ratio of (c/d) for top edge is more than for bottom edge at all load level as observed for beam with opening at flexure zone. The strain could not be measured for beam B3NR at top edge because of damage and failure of the strain gauges during the testing. ratio of (c/d) for bottom edge at the beginning of the loading was (0.4), the increase loading level that leads to reduce the (c/d). The strain could not be measured beam B3RN at bottom edge because of damage and failure of the strain gauges during the testing. When cracks occurred, the neutral axis shifted upward and the c/d value drops. In all groups some fluctuations of the c/d values took place at low level of loading due to the sensitivity of the strain gage readings specially before
cracking. The hybrid beams with RPC layer at tension face, the fibers bridge the cracks and reduce crack width which in turn reduce the strain in the tension face and therefore reduces the ratio (c/d).

![Diagram](image1)

![Diagram](image2)

**Figure 25:** Behavior of neutral axis depth for group three openings at compound (flexural+ shear) zone

### 3.6 Curvature

The bandings moment values are need to calculate the curvature for top and bottom chord therefore the bending moments were assumed 0.7Mt and 0.3Mt for top and bottom chord respectively, where Mt is applied moment at the section. The opening locations and RPC layers are effect the calculation of curvature. The RPC has little effect on the increase in curvature with the increase of bending moment after the generation of cracking. Figures 26 through 28 shows the variation of the curvature with the applied bending moment.

![Diagram](image3)

![Diagram](image4)

**Figure 26:** Moment-curvature relationship for group one opening at flexural zone

![Diagram](image5)

**Figure 27:** Moment-curvature relationship for group two openings at shear zone.

![Diagram](image6)

**Figure 28:** Moment-curvature relationship for group three openings at compound (flexural+ shear) zone

### Conclusion

Based on the results obtained in the present research paper the following conclusions can be drawn:

1. The stiffness and ultimate strength were decreased when number of opening are increase.
2. Using RPC in a reinforced concrete beam with opening its significantly increase its stiffness and ultimate strength.
3. The failure mode depended on openings location, there for the flexure failure, shear failure and combined failure are recorded at the tested beams with one opening, two opening and three opening, respectively.
4. The deflection of normal concrete have two and three openings increase at the ultimate loads about (22 and 44) % compare with that one opening respectively.
5. The reduction in the ultimate failure loads of the normal beams with two and three openings were (8.1 and 16.21) % respectively lesser than those of reference beam with one opening.
6. Using RPC in two layers in tension and compression are more effective than using RPC in one layer tension or compression only.
7. It can be noticed when increase the number of openings in the beams causes reduction in ultimate load and their stiffness so causes increase in their deflections.
8. It can be noted that the maximum concrete strain is occurred at the bottom edge for all beams. The strain increase with increased number of openings.
9. The strain increase with increased number of openings because the exiting of opening in shear zone and therefore there is weakness in this zone. It can be noted that the maximum strain is occurred at the bottom edge for all beams.
10. When increasing load, neutral axis location moves up towards the compressed face of the cross section.
11. The curvature of the beam with opening and fortified with RPC can be evaluated by using same procedure used in curvature calculation reinforced concrete beam.
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الخلاصة:

يقدم هذا البحث العمل دراسة سلوك العتبات الخرسانية مع الفتحات العريضة والمدعومة بخرسانة المصاحبة المركبة في مختلف مناطق الشد (السطح الاسفل للفتحة) أو/و منطقة الانضغاط. هذه الدراسة العملية تقدم تجربة على تأثير عنية خرسانة ودراسة أمكانيه باستخدام خرسانة المصاحبة المركبة في نفس المقطع للعتبات لاستفادة من مميزات هاتين الموادتين بالطريقة تضمنت دراسة المتغيرات الرئيسية تأثير طبقات خرسانة المصاحبة المركبة وعدد الفتحات على التحمل الإقصائي والطرق المتلصص سلوك الأفعال للفتحات. وقد أظهرت النتائج أن التحمل الأقصائي للعتبات يتناقص مع زيادة عدد الفتحات وكذلك الصلابة بصورة تدريجية وان العتبات الهجينة التي لها نفس عدد الفتحات في وضعية الإقصائي والهطول فقط أكثر كفاءة عند مصدر الفتحات ذات المقاورة مع الفتحات ذات الخرسانة العادية وهذا يعكس رفع القدرة عند استخدام خرسانة المصاحبة المركبة في منطقة الإقصائي والهطول فقط. كما أن هذه الدراسة أظهرت أن المحور الحياد في حالة الفتحات الهجينة يتتجه نحو الاعلى باتجاه منطقة الانضغاط، وان هناك زيادة في زاوية الانحناء مع زيادة عزم الاحتراء.

الكلمات الرئيسية: خرسانة المصاحبة المركبة، الفتحات، طبقات، الهطول، الانحناء، نجاية الإحماء، التشققات، مقطع هجين، المقاومة.