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1 Introduction

Let \( f \) be a rational function in \( \mathbb{C} \). Then the set of all points \( z \in \mathbb{C} \) such that the sequence of iterates \( (f^n(z))_{n=1}^{\infty} \) is normal in the sense of Montel is called the Fatou set of \( f \). The complement of the Fatou set is called the Julia set of \( f \) and we denote it by \( J(f) \). We use the adjective autonomous in order to refer to these usual Julia sets in the text.

Polynomial Julia sets are the most studied objects in one dimensional complex dynamics. Potential theoretical tools for such sets were developed in [8] by Hans...
Brolin, Mañé and Rocha have shown in [23] that Julia sets are uniformly perfect in the sense of Pommerenke and, in particular, they are regular with respect to the Dirichlet problem. For a general exposition we refer to the survey [22] and the monograph [26].

Let \((f_n)\) be a sequence of rational functions. Define \(F_0(z) := z\) and \(F_n(z) = f_n \circ F_{n-1}(z)\) for all \(n \in \mathbb{N}\), recursively. The union of the points \(z\) such that the sequence \((F_n(z))_{n=1}^{\infty}\) is normal is called the Fatou set for \((f_n)\) and the complement of the Fatou set is called the Julia set for \((f_n)\). We use the notation \(J_{(f_n)}\) to denote it. These sets were introduced in [15]. For a general overview we refer the reader to the paper [10]. For a recent discussion of Chebyshev polynomials on these sets, see [1].

In this paper, we consider orthogonal polynomials with respect to the equilibrium measure of \(J_{(f_n)}\) where \((f_n)\) is a sequence of polynomials satisfying some mild conditions, so we extend results from [4–6] where orthogonal polynomials for autonomous Julia sets were studied. We also mention the papers [2] and [27] related to orthogonal polynomials on sets constructed by means of compositions of infinitely many polynomials. While the focus of [27] is quite different from ours, a family of sets considered in [2] presents just a particular case of generalized Julia sets.

The paper is organized as follows. Background information about the properties of \(J_{(f_n)}\) regarding potential theory is given in Sect. 2. In Sect. 3 we prove that, for certain degrees, orthogonal polynomials associated with the equilibrium measure of \(J_{(f_n)}\) are given explicitly in terms of the compositions \(F_n\). In Sect. 4 we show that the recurrence coefficients can be calculated provided that \(J_{(f_n)}\) is real. These two results generalize Theorem 3 in [4] and Theorem 1 in [5] respectively. Techniques that we use here are rather different compared to those of autonomous setting, because of the fact that generalized Julia sets are not completely invariant as opposed to autonomous Julia sets. A weak form of invariance in our case is given by part (e) of Theorem 1. In addition, in Sect. 4 we discuss resolvent functions for generalized Fatou sets.

In Sect. 5 we consider a general method to construct real Julia sets. Section 6 is devoted to a quadratic family of polynomials \((f_n)\) such that the set \(K_1(\gamma) = J_{(f_n)}\) is a modification of the set \(K(\gamma)\) from [19]. In terms of the parameter \(\gamma\) we give a criterion for the corresponding Green function to be optimally smooth. A criterion for \(K_1(\gamma)\) to be a Parreau–Widom set is presented in the last section.

For basic notions of logarithmic potential theory we refer the reader to [28], \(\log\) denotes the natural logarithm. For a compact non-polar set \(K \subset \mathbb{C}\) let \(\mu_K\) denote the equilibrium measure of \(K\), \(\text{Cap}(K)\) be the logarithmic capacity of \(K\) and \(G_{\mathbb{C} \setminus K}\) be the Green function with pole at \(\infty\) of the unbounded component \(\Omega\) of \(\mathbb{C} \setminus K\). Recall that \(\Omega\) is a regular set with respect to the Dirichlet problem if and only if the Green function \(G_{\mathbb{C} \setminus K}\) is continuous throughout \(\mathbb{C}\) (see e.g. [28], Theorem 4.4.9).

For \(R > 0\), let \(\triangle_R = \{z \in \overline{\mathbb{C}} : |z| > R\}\). Convergence of measures is considered in weak-star topology. In addition, we consider and count multiple roots of a polynomial separately.

2 Preliminaries

Let polynomials \(f_n(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{d_n} a_{n,j} \cdot z^j\) be given with \(d_n \geq 2\) and \(a_{n,d_n} \neq 0\) for all \(n \in \mathbb{N}\). Then \(F_n = f_n \circ \ldots \circ f_1\) is a polynomial of degree \(d_1 \cdots d_n\) with the leading coefficient \((a_{1,d_1})^{d_2 \cdots d_n} (a_{2,d_2})^{d_3 \cdots d_n} \cdots a_{n,d_n}\).
Following [10] (see also [11]), we say that \((f_n)\) is a regular polynomial sequence if for some positive real numbers \(A_1, A_2, A_3\), the following properties are satisfied for all \(n \in \mathbb{N}\):

- \(|a_{n,d_n}| \geq A_1\).
- \(|a_{n,j}| \leq A_2|a_{n,d_n}|\) for \(j = 0, 1, \ldots, d_n - 1\).
- \(\log |a_{n,d_n}| \leq A_3 \cdot d_n\).

We use the notation \((f_n) \in \mathcal{R}\) if \((f_n)\) is a regular polynomial sequence. We remark that, for a sequence \((f_n) \in \mathcal{R}\), the degrees of polynomials need not to be the same and they do not have to be bounded above either. In the next theorem, which is imported from [10] and [11], the symbol \(\text{lu}\) denotes locally uniform convergence.

**Theorem 2.1** Let \((f_n) \in \mathcal{R}\). Then the following propositions hold:

(a) The set \(\mathcal{A}(f_n)(\infty) := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : F_k(z) \xrightarrow{\text{lu}} \infty \text{ as } k \to \infty\}\) is an open connected set containing \(\infty\). Moreover, for every \(R > 1\) satisfying the inequality

\[A_1 R \left(1 - \frac{A_2}{R - 1}\right) > 2,\]

we have \(F_k(z) \xrightarrow{\text{lu}} \infty\) whenever \(z \in \Delta_R\).

(b) \(\mathcal{A}(f_n)(\infty) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k^{-1}(\Delta_R)\) and \(f_n(\Delta_R) \subset \Delta_R\) if \(R > 1\) satisfies the inequality given in part (a). Furthermore, \(J(f_n) = \partial \mathcal{A}(f_n)(\infty)\).

(c) \(\mathcal{A}(f_n)(\infty)\) is regular with respect to the Dirichlet problem. Here,

\[
G_{\mathbb{C}\setminus J(f_n)}(z) = \begin{cases} 
\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{d_1 \cdots d_k} \log |F_k(z)| & \text{if } z \in \mathcal{A}(f_n)(\infty), \\
0 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases} \quad (2.1)
\]

In addition,

\[
G_{\mathbb{C}\setminus J(f_n)}(z) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{d_1 \cdots d_k} G_{\mathbb{C}\setminus J(f_n)}(F_k(z)) \text{ whenever } z \in \mathcal{A}(f_n)(\infty). \quad (2.2)
\]

In both (2.1) and (2.2) the convergence is locally uniform in \(\mathcal{A}(f_n)(\infty)\).

(d) \(\text{Cap}(J(f_n)) = \exp \left( -\lim_{k \to \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{\log |a_{j,d_j}|}{d_1 \cdots d_j} \right)\).

(e) \(F_k^{-1}(F_k(J(f_n))) = J(f_n)\) and \(J(f_n) = F_k^{-1}(J(f_{k+n}))\) for all \(k \in \mathbb{N}\). Here we use the notation \((f_{k+n}) = (f_{k+1}, f_{k+2}, f_{k+3}, \ldots)\).

The statements above follow Sects. 2 and 4 of [10]. In particular, we have (2.1) by the proof of Theorem 4.2 in [10], whereas (2.2) follows from the definitions of the Green function and the set \(\mathcal{A}(f_n)(\infty)\).
It should be noted that, for the sequences \((f_n) \in \mathcal{R}\) satisfying the additional condition \(d_n = d\) for some \(d \geq 2\), there is a qualified theory concerning topological properties of Julia sets. For details, see [13, 24].

Before going further, we recall the results from [4] and [5] concerning orthogonal polynomials for the autonomous Julia sets. Let \(f(z) = z^n + k_1z^{n-1} + \cdots + k_n\) be a nonlinear monic polynomial of degree \(n\) and let \(P_j\) denote the \(j\)th monic orthogonal polynomial associated to the equilibrium measure of \(J(f)\). Then

\begin{itemize}
  \item[(a)] \(P_1(z) = z + k_1/n\).
  \item[(b)] \(P_{ln}(z) = P_l(f(z)), \text{ for } l = 0, 1, \ldots\)
  \item[(c)] \(P_{nl}(z) = f^l(z) + k_1/n\) for \(l = 0, 1, \ldots\), where \(f^l\) is the \(l\)th iteration of the function \(f\).
\end{itemize}

Our first aim is to obtain analogous representations for orthogonal polynomials on the generalized Julia sets.

### 3 Orthogonal Polynomials

We begin with a lemma due to Brolin [8], Lemma 15.5.

**Lemma 3.1** Let \(K\) and \(L\) be two non-polar compact subsets of \(\mathbb{C}\) such that \(K \subset L\). Let \((\mu_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}\) be a sequence of probability measures supported on \(L\) that converges to a measure \(\mu\) supported on \(K\). Let \(U_n\) denote the logarithmic potential for the measure \(\mu_n\) and \(V_K\) be the Robin constant for \(K\). Suppose that

\begin{itemize}
  \item[(a)] \(\liminf_{n \to \infty} U_n(z) \geq V_K\) on \(K\).
  \item[(b)] \(\text{supp}(\mu_K) = K\).
\end{itemize}

Then \(\mu = \mu_K\).

Let \((f_n) \in \mathcal{R}\). For given \(k \in \mathbb{N}\) and \(a \in \mathbb{C}\), by the fundamental theorem of algebra (FTA), the equation \(F_k(z) - a = 0\) has \(d_1 \ldots d_k\) solutions counting multiplicities, let \(z_1, \ldots, z_{d_1 \ldots d_k}\). We consider the normalized counting measure \(\nu_a^k = \frac{1}{d_1 \ldots d_k} \sum_{j=1}^{d_1 \ldots d_k} \delta_{z_j}\) at these points. In [8] and later on in [9], the convergence \(\nu_a^k \to \mu_{J(f_n)}\) was shown for \(a\) satisfying a certain condition. In the first article \(f_n = f\) with a monic nonlinear polynomial \(f\), whereas in the second one \(f_n(z) = z^2 + c_n\). We use the same technique to extend these results to the case of regular polynomial sequences. Due to some minor changes and for the convenience of the reader, we include the proof of the theorem.

**Theorem 3.2** Let \((f_n) \in \mathcal{R}\). Then for \(a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{D}\) satisfying the condition

\[
|a|A_1 \left(1 - \frac{A_2}{|a| - 1}\right) > 2, \quad (3.1)
\]

we have \(\nu_a^k \to \mu_{J(f_n)}\) as \(k \to \infty\).

**Proof** Fix \(a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{D}\) satisfying \((3.1)\). Let \(K := J(f_n)\) and \(L := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq |a|\}\). Suppose \(|z| \geq |a|\). Then, by Theorem 2.1 \((b)\), we have \(|F_1(z)| = |f_1(z)| > |a|\) and \(z \in A_{J(f_n)}(\infty)\), so \(z \notin K\). Therefore, \(K \subset L\). Moreover, since \(K\) is regular with
respect to the Dirichlet problem and $K$ is equal to the boundary of the component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus K$ that contains $\infty$, we have (see e.g. Theorem 4.2.3. of [28]) that $\text{supp}(\mu_K) = K$.

Observe that $F_k^{-1}(a)$ is contained in $L \cap \mathcal{A}(f_n)(\infty)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Indeed, let $z \in F_k^{-1}(a)$. If $|z| > |a|$ then applying Theorem 2.1 (b) repeatedly yields $|F_1(z)| > |a|$, $|F_k(z)| > |a|$, contrary to $F_k(z) = a$. Therefore, $F_k^{-1}(a) \subset L$. Similarly, if $F_k(z) = a$ then $|F_{k+1}(z)| > |a|$ and $z \in \mathcal{A}(f_n)(\infty)$.

The measure $\nu_k^a$ is supported on the set $F_k^{-1}(a)$. We have a sequence $(\nu_k^a)_{k=1}^\infty$ of probability measures on the set $L$. By Helly’s selection principle (see e.g. Theorem 0.1.3. in [30]), there is a subsequence $(\nu_{k_j}^a)_{j=1}^\infty$ that converges to some limit $\mu$. The set $\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty \text{supp}(\nu_k^a) = \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty F_k^{-1}(a)$, which is a subset of the open set $\mathcal{A}(f_n)(\infty)$, cannot accumulate to a point $z$ in $\mathcal{A}(f_n)(\infty)$, since this would contradict the fact that $F_k(z)$ goes uniformly to infinity in a neighborhood of $z$. Since $\text{supp}(\mu)$ consists of accumulation points of the set above, we conclude that $\text{supp}(\mu) \subset \partial \mathcal{A}(f_n)(\infty) = K$.

It remains to show that $\liminf_{l \to \infty} U_{k_l}(z) \geq V_K$ for all $z \in K$, where $U_{k_l}(z) = \int \log \frac{1}{|z-\xi|}d\nu_{k_l}^a(\xi)$ and $V_K = \lim_{k \to \infty} \sum_{m=1}^k \frac{\log |a_m|}{d_1 \cdots d_m}$. By Theorem 2.1(d), this limit exists.

For the solutions $(z_{j,k_l})_{j=1}^{d_1 \cdots d_{k_l}}$ of the equation $F_{k_l}(z) = a$ and a fixed $z \in K$ we have

$$|F_{k_l}(z) - a| = |(a_1,d_1)^{d_2 \cdots d_{k_l}}|(a_2,d_2)^{d_3 \cdots d_{k_l}} \cdots |a_{k_l},d_{k_l}| \prod_{j=1}^{d_1 \cdots d_{k_l}} |z - z_{j,k_l}|.$$

Therefore,

$$U_{k_l}(z) = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{d_1 \cdots d_{k_l}} \log |z - z_{j,k_l}|}{-d_1 \cdots d_{k_l}} = \frac{\sum_{m=1}^{k_l} \log |a_{m,d_m}|}{d_1 \cdots d_m} - \frac{\log |F_{k_l}(z) - a|}{d_1 \cdots d_{k_l}}. \quad (3.2)$$

Here, $|F_{k_l}(z)| \leq |a|$, since otherwise, arguing as above, we get $z \in \mathcal{A}(f_n)(\infty)$, in contradiction with $z \in K$. Hence,

$$\liminf_{l \to \infty} U_{k_l}(z) \geq \lim_{l \to \infty} \left( \sum_{m=1}^{k_l} \frac{\log |a_{m,d_m}|}{d_1 \cdots d_m} - \frac{\log |2a|}{d_1 \cdots d_{k_l}} \right) = V_K.$$

Thus, by Lemma 3.1, we have $\nu_{k_l}^a \to \mu_K$. Since $(\nu_{k_l}^a)$ is an arbitrary convergent subsequence, $\nu_k^a \to \mu_K$ also holds. \hfill \Box

In the next theorem, we use algebraic properties of polynomials as well as analytic properties of the corresponding Julia sets. Let $f(z) = a_n z^n + a_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0$ be a nonlinear polynomial of degree $n$ and let $z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n$ be the roots of $f$ counting multiplicities. Then, for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$, we have the following Newton’s identities:
\[ s_k(f) + \frac{a_{n-k}}{a_n} s_{k-1}(f) + \cdots + \frac{a_{n-k+1}}{a_n} s_1(f) = -k \frac{a_{n-k}}{a_n}, \tag{3.3} \]

where \( s_k(f) := \sum_{j=1}^n (z_j)^k \).

For the proof of (3.3) see e.g. [25]. Note that none of these equations include the term \( a_0 \). This implies that the values \((s_k)_{k=1}^{n-1}\) are invariant under translation, i.e.

\[ s_k(f) = s_k(f + c) \tag{3.4} \]

for any \( c \in \mathbb{C} \). Let \((P_j)_{j=1}^\infty\) denote the sequence of monic orthogonal polynomials associated to \( \mu_{J_{(f_n)}} \) where \( \deg P_j = j \). Now we are ready to prove our first main result.

**Theorem 3.3** For \((f_n) \in \mathcal{R}\), we have the following identities:

(a) \[ P_1(z) = z + \frac{1}{d_1} \frac{a_{1,d_1-1}}{a_{1,d_1}}. \]

(b) \[ P_{d_1 \ldots d_t}(z) = \frac{1}{(a_{1,d_1})^{d_2 \ldots d_t} (a_{d_2,d_2})^{d_3 \ldots d_t} \ldots a_{l,d_l}} \left( F_l(z) + \frac{1}{d_l+1} \frac{a_{l+1,d_l+1-1}}{a_{l+1,d_l+1}} \right). \]

**Proof** Let \((f_n) \in \mathcal{R}\) be given and \( a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{D} \) satisfy (3.1).

(a) Fix an integer \( m \) greater than 1. By FTA, the solutions of the equation \( F_m(z) = a \) satisfy an equation of the form

\[ \left( F_{m-1}(z) - \beta_{m-1}^1 \right) \cdots \left( F_{m-1}(z) - \beta_{m-1}^{d_m} \right) = 0 \]

for certain \( \beta_{m-1}^1, \ldots, \beta_{m-1}^{d_m} \in \mathbb{C} \). The \( d_1 \ldots d_{m-1} \) roots of the equation \( F_{m-1}(z) - \beta_{m-1}^j = 0 \) are the solutions of an equation

\[ (F_{m-2}(z) - \beta_{m-2}^{1,j}) \cdots (F_{m-2}(z) - \beta_{m-2}^{d_{m-1},j}) = 0 \]

with certain \( \beta_{m-2}^{1,j}, \ldots, \beta_{m-2}^{d_{m-1},j} \). Continuing in this manner, we see that the points satisfying the equation \( F_m(z) = a \) can be grouped into \( d_2 \ldots d_m \) parts of size \( d_1 \) such that each part consists of the roots of an equation

\[ f_1(z) - \beta_1^j = 0 \]

for \( j \in \{1, \ldots, d_2 \ldots d_m\} \) and \( \beta_1^j \in \mathbb{C} \). For each \( j \) we denote by \( \lambda_j \) the normalized counting measure on the roots of \( f_1(z) - \beta_1^j \). Then

\[ \nu_m^a = \frac{1}{d_2 \ldots d_m} \sum_{j=1}^{d_2 \ldots d_m} \lambda_j. \]
Hence, by (3.3) and (3.4),

\[
\int z \, d\nu_m^a = \frac{1}{d_2 \ldots d_m} \sum_{j=1}^{d_2 \ldots d_m} \int z \, d\lambda_j = \frac{1}{d_2 \ldots d_m} \sum_{j=1}^{d_2 \ldots d_m} s_1(f_1 - \beta_j^1) = \frac{1}{d_1 \ldots d_m} \sum_{j=1}^{d_2 \ldots d_m} s_1(f_1) = \frac{1}{a_1} \frac{a_{l+1} - 1}{a_{l+1}}.
\]

Since \( \nu_m^a \) converges to the equilibrium measure of \( J_{(f_n)} \) by Theorem 3.2, the result follows.

(b) Let \( m, l \in \mathbb{N} \) where \( m > l + 1 \). As above, the roots of the equation \( F_m(z) = a \) can be decomposed into \( d_{l+2} \ldots d_m \) parts of size \( d_1 \ldots d_{l+1} \) such that the roots from each part are the solutions of an equation of the form

\[
F_{l+1}(z) - \beta_{l+1}^j = 0
\]

for \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, d_{l+2} \ldots d_m \). Recall that \( F_{l+1}(z) = f_{l+1}(t) \) with \( t = F_l(z) \).

By FTA, we have \( f_{l+1}(t) - \beta_{l+1}^j = (t - \beta_{l+1}^{1,j}) \ldots (t - \beta_{l+1}^{d_{l+1},j}) \) for some \( \beta_{l+1}^{1,j}, \ldots, \beta_{l+1}^{d_{l+1},j} \). We apply (3.4) for \( k \in \{1, \ldots, d_{l+1} - 1\} \) and \( j, j' \in \{1, \ldots, d_{l+2} \ldots d_m\} \):

\[
\int_{f_{l+1}}^{f_{l+1} + s_k} dt = (f_{l+1} - \beta_{l+1}^j) = \frac{1}{d_{l+1} \ldots d_m} \sum_{r=1}^{d_{l+1}} s_1(f_{l+1} - \beta_{l+1}^{j'}) = \frac{1}{d_{l+1} \ldots d_m} \sum_{r=1}^{d_{l+1}} s_1(f_{l+1} - \beta_{l+1}^{j'i}) = \sum_{r=1}^{d_{l+1}} s_1(f_{l+1} - \beta_{l+1}^{j'i}) = \sum_{r=1}^{d_{l+1}} (\beta_{l+1}^{r,i})^k.
\]

Now we can rewrite \( F_{l+1}(z) - \beta_{l+1}^j = 0 \) as \( (F_l(z) - \beta_{l+1}^{1,j}) \ldots (F_l(z) - \beta_{l+1}^{d_{l+1},j}) = 0 \) for \( j \) as above. Let us denote by \( \lambda_{r,j} \) the normalized counting measures on the roots of \( F_l(z) - \beta_{l+1}^{r,j} = 0 \) for \( r = 1, \ldots, d_{l+1} \) and \( j = 1, \ldots, d_{l+2} \ldots d_m \). Clearly, this yields

\[
\nu_m^a = \frac{1}{d_{l+2} \ldots d_m} \sum_{j=1}^{d_{l+2} \ldots d_m} \frac{d_{l+1}}{1} \sum_{r=1}^{d_{l+1}} \lambda_{r,j} = \frac{1}{d_{l+1} \ldots d_m} \sum_{j=1}^{d_{l+2} \ldots d_m} \sum_{r=1}^{d_{l+1}} \lambda_{r,j}.
\]  

Thus, by (3.3) and (3.4), we deduce that

\[
\int F_l(z) \, d\nu_m^a = \frac{1}{d_{l+1} \ldots d_m} \sum_{j=1}^{d_{l+2} \ldots d_m} \sum_{r=1}^{d_{l+1}} \int F_l(z) \, d\lambda_{r,j} = \frac{1}{d_{l+1} \ldots d_m} \sum_{j=1}^{d_{l+2} \ldots d_m} \sum_{r=1}^{d_{l+1}} s_1(f_{l+1} - \beta_{l+1}^{j}) = \frac{1}{d_{l+1} \ldots d_m} \sum_{j=1}^{d_{l+2} \ldots d_m} s_1(f_{l+1}) = -\frac{1}{d_{l+1}} \frac{a_{l+1} - 1}{a_{l+1}}.
\]
To shorten notation, we write $c$ instead of $\frac{1}{d_l+1} \frac{a_{l+1}d_{l+1}-1}{a_{l+1}d_{l+1}}$. Thus, we have

$$\int (F_l(z) + c) \, d\nu_m^a = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.6)

Let us show that the integrand is orthogonal to $z^k$ with $1 \leq k \leq d_l \ldots d_l - 1$ as well. Recall that $F_l(z) = \beta_{l,j}^{r,j}$ at any point $z$ from the support of $\lambda_{r,j}$. Therefore,

$$\int (F_l(z) + c) \, z^k d\lambda_{r,j} = \frac{1}{d_1 \ldots d_l} \left( \beta_{l,j}^{r,j} + c \right) \cdot s_k \left( F_l - \beta_{l,j}^{r,j} \right).$$

By (3.4), $s_k \left( F_l - \beta_{l,j}^{r,j} \right) = s_k \left( F_l \right)$, so it does not depend on $r$ or $j$. This and the representation (3.5) imply that

$$\int (F_l(z) + c) \, z^k d\nu_m^a = \frac{1}{d_{l+1} \ldots d_m} \sum_{r=1}^{d_{l+1} \ldots d_m} \sum_{j=1}^{d_{l+1}} \int (F_l(z) + c) \, z^k d\lambda_{r,j}$$

$$= \frac{s_k \left( F_l \right)}{d_1 \ldots d_l} \int (F_l(z) + c) \, d\nu_m^a.$$

The integral in the last line is equal to 0, by (3.6). It follows that if $k \leq \deg F_l - 1$ then $(F_l + c) \perp z^k$ in $L^2(\mu J_{r(j)})$, since $\nu_m^a$ converges to the equilibrium measure of $J_{r(j)}$. This completes the proof of the theorem. \hfill $\square$

4 Moments and Resolvent Functions

In this section we consider Julia sets that are subsets of the real line.

Let $\mu$ be a probability measure whose support is a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}$ containing infinitely many points. Then the monic polynomials, orthogonal with respect to $\mu$, $(P_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ satisfy a recurrence relation

$$P_{n+1}(x) = (x - b_{n+1}) P_n(x) - a_n^2 P_{n-1}(x)$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$. We assume here $P_0 = 1$ and $P_{-1} = 0$. The $n$-th orthonormal polynomial $p_n$ has the following representation in terms of the moments $c_n = \int x^n \, d\mu$:

$$p_n(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{D_n D_{n-1}}} \begin{vmatrix} c_0 & c_1 & \ldots & c_n \\ c_1 & c_2 & \ldots & c_{n+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{n-1} & c_n & \ldots & c_{2n-1} \\ 1 & x & \ldots & x^n \end{vmatrix},$$
where $D_n$ is the determinant of the matrix $M_n = (c_{i+j})_{i,j=0}^n$. Thus, by means of the moments of $\mu$, one can calculate recurrence coefficients $(a_n, b_n)_{n=1}^\infty$. See [36] for a theory of general orthogonal polynomials on the real line.

In the next theorem we show that the moments for the equilibrium measure of $J_{(f_n)}$ can be calculated recursively whenever $(f_n) \in \mathcal{R}$. Note that $\epsilon_0 = 1$ since the equilibrium measure is of unit mass.

**Theorem 4.1** Let $(f_n) \in \mathcal{R}$ and $F_l = f_l \circ \cdots \circ f_1$ for $l \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $c_k = \int x^k d\mu_{J_{(f_n)}} = \frac{s_k(F_l)}{\deg(F_l)}$ for $k \leq \deg(F_l) - 1$. Here, $s_k(F_l)$ can be calculated recursively by Newton’s identities.

**Proof** Fix $m > l$ and $a$ as in Theorem 3.2. As in Theorem 3.3, we can divide the roots of the equation $F_m(z) = a$ into $d_{l+1} \cdots d_m$ parts of size $d_1 \cdots d_l$ such that the nodes in each of the groups constitute the roots of an equation of the form

$$F_l(z) - \beta^j = 0$$

for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, d_{l+1} \cdots d_m$. As above, let $\lambda_j$ be the normalized counting measure on the roots of this equation. Then in view of (3.4)

$$\int x^k d\nu_m^a = \frac{1}{d_{l+1} \cdots d_m} \sum_{j=1}^{d_{l+1} \cdots d_m} \int x^k d\lambda_j = \frac{1}{d_{l+1} \cdots d_m} \sum_{j=1}^{d_{l+1} \cdots d_m} \frac{s_k(F_l - \beta^j)}{d_1 \cdots d_l}$$

$$= \frac{1}{d_{l+1} \cdots d_m} \sum_{j=1}^{d_{l+1} \cdots d_m} \frac{s_k(F_l)}{d_1 \cdots d_l} = \frac{s_k(F_l)}{d_1 \cdots d_l}$$

for $k < \deg(F_l)$. By Theorem 3.2, the result follows. \quad \Box

For two bounded sequences $(a_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ and $(b_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ with $a_n > 0$ and $b_n \in \mathbb{R}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the associated (half-line) Jacobi operator $H : \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \to \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ is given by $(Hu)_n = a_n u_{n+1} + b_n u_n + a_{n-1} u_{n-1}$ for $u \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ and $a_0 := 0$. Here, $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ denotes the space of square summable sequences in $\mathbb{N}$. The spectral measure of $H$ for the cyclic vector $\delta_1 = (1, 0, 0, \ldots)^T$ is just the one which has $a_n, b_n$ ($n = 1, 2 \ldots$) as the recurrence coefficients.

Suppose that $(f_n) \in \mathcal{R}$ and $J_{(f_n)} \subset [-M, M]$ for some $M > 0$. Let $H_{(f_n)}$ denote the Jacobi operator associated with $\mu_{J_{(f_n)}}$. Then the resolvent function $R_{(f_n)}$ is defined for $z \in \mathbb{C}\setminus J_{(f_n)}$ as

$$R_{(f_n)}(z) := \int \frac{d\mu_{J_{(f_n)}}(x)}{x - z} = (H_{(f_n)} - z)^{-1} \delta_1, \delta_1).$$

Note that $R_{(f_n)}$ is an analytic function. In the autonomous polynomial case (see e.g. [6]), the resolvent function satisfies a functional equation:

$$R_{(f)}(z) = \frac{f'(z)}{\deg f} R_{(f)}(f(z)). \quad (4.1)$$
It is well known that (see e.g. p. 53 in [32]) for \( z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus D_M(0) \)

\[
R_{(f_n)}(z) = -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^{-(n+1)}
\]  

(4.2)

where \( c_n \) is the \( n \)th moment for \( \mu_{J(f_n)} \), \( D_M(0) \) is the open disc with center at 0 and radius \( M \) and the series (4.2) is absolutely convergent in the corresponding domain.

We define the \( \partial \) operator as

\[
\partial = \frac{\partial_x - i \partial_y}{2}.
\]

If \( g \) is a harmonic function on a simply connected domain \( D \subset \mathbb{C} \) then (see e.g. Theorem 1.1.2 in [28]) there is an analytic function \( h \) on \( D \) such that \( g = \text{Re } h \) holds. Moreover, we have \( h'(z) = 2 \partial g(z) \). Furthermore, if \( U_{\mu_{J(f_n)}} \) denotes the logarithmic potential for \( \mu_{J(f_n)} \), then

\[
G_{\mathbb{C} \setminus J(f_n)}(z) = \log (\text{Cap}(J(f_n))^{-1}) - U_{\mu_{J(f_n)}}(z).
\]

In addition, for each \( z_0 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus J(f_n) \), there exist a \( \delta > 0 \) and an analytic function \( h \) (which may depend on \( z_0 \)) such that (see e.g. p. 87 in [14]) \( h' = R_{(f_n)} \) and \( \text{Re } h = U_{\mu_{J(f_n)}} \) on \( z \in D_\delta(z_0) \). By harmonicity of \( U_{\mu_{J(f_n)}} \) this implies

\[
2 \partial G_{\mathbb{C} \setminus J(f_n)}(z) = -2 \partial U_{\mu_{J(f_n)}}(z) = -R_{(f_n)}(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus J(f_n).
\]  

(4.3)

The next theorem follows from the above discussion.

**Theorem 4.2** Let \( (f_n) \in \mathcal{R} \) be such that \( J(f_n) \subset \mathbb{R} \). Then

\[
R_{(f_n)} = \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{F_k' \cdot R_{(f_n)}(F_k)}{d_1 \ldots d_k},
\]

where the convergence is locally uniform in \( \mathbb{C} \setminus J(f_n) \).

**Proof** Here the domain \( \mathcal{A}_{(f_n)}(\infty) \setminus \infty \) coincides with \( \mathbb{C} \setminus J(f_n) \), as \( J(f_n) \subset \mathbb{R} \). We apply the operator \( \partial \) in this domain to both sides of (2.2). Since the Green function is harmonic here, we can differentiate the limit on the right side of (2.2) term by term (see e.g. T.1.23 in [3]). Hence, we have

\[
\partial G_{\mathbb{C} \setminus J(f_n)}(z) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\partial G_{\mathbb{C} \setminus J(f_n)}(F_k(z)) F_k'(z)}{d_1 \ldots d_k},
\]

(4.4)

where the convergence is locally uniform in \( \mathbb{C} \setminus J(f_n) \). Applying (4.3) and (4.4) yields the result. \( \square \)
5 Construction of Real Julia Sets

Let $f$ be a nonlinear real polynomial with real and simple zeros $x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_n$ and distinct extrema $y_1 < \ldots < y_{n-1}$ with $|f(y_i)| > 1$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1$. Then we say that $f$ is an admissible polynomial. We list useful features of preimages of admissible polynomials.

**Theorem 5.1** [16] Let $f$ be an admissible polynomial of degree $n$. Then

$$f^{-1}([-1, 1]) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} I_i$$

where $I_i$ is a closed non-degenerate interval containing exactly one root $x_i$ of $f$ for each $i$. These intervals are pairwise disjoint and $\mu f^{-1}([-1, 1])(I_i) = 1/n$.

We say that an admissible polynomial $f$ satisfies the property (A) if

(a) $f^{-1}([-1, 1]) \subset [-1, 1]$,
(b) $f([-1, 1]) \subset [-1, 1]$,
(c) $f(a) = 0$ implies $f(-a) = 0$.

Since $f(x) = a_n \prod_{k=1}^{n} (x - x_k)$ with distinct real $x_k$, the condition (c) implies that $f$ is either even or odd. In addition, $(x_k)_{k=1}^{n} \subset (-1, 1)$, by (a) and (b).

**Lemma 5.2** Let $g_1$ and $g_2$ be admissible polynomials satisfying (A). Then $g_3 := g_2 \circ g_1$ is also an admissible polynomial that satisfies (A).

**Proof** Let $\deg g_k = n_k$. Moreover, let $(x_{j,1})_{j=1}^{n_1}, (x_{j,2})_{j=1}^{n_2}$ be the zeros and $(y_{j,1})_{j=1}^{n_1-1}$ and $(y_{j,2})_{j=1}^{n_2-1}$ be the critical points of $g_1$, $g_2$ respectively. Then the equation $g_3(z) = 0$ implies that $g_1(z) = x_{j,2}$ for some $j \in \{1, \ldots, n_2\}$. By (a) and (b), the equation $g_1(z) = \beta$ has $n_1$ distinct roots for $|\beta| \leq 1$ and the sets of roots of $g_1(z) = \beta_1$ and $g_1(z) = \beta_2$ are disjoint for different $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in [-1, 1]$. Therefore, $g_3$ has $n_1 n_2$ distinct zeros. Similarly, $(g_3')^{-1}(z) = g_2'(g_1(z))g_1'(z) = 0$ implies $g_1'(z) = 0$ or $g_3(z) = y_{j,2}$ for some $j \in \{1, \ldots, n_2 - 1\}$. The equation $g_3'(z) = 0$ has $n_1 - 1$ distinct solutions in $(-1, 1)$. For each of them $|g_1(z)| > 1$ and $g_2'(g_1(z)) \neq 0$. On the other hand, for each $j \leq n_2 - 1$, the equation $g_1(z) = y_{j,2}$ has $n_1$ distinct solutions with $g_1'(y_{j,2}) \neq 0$. Thus, the total number of solutions for the equation $g_3'(z) = 0$ is $n_1 - 1 + n_1(n_2 - 1) = n_1 n_2 - 1$ which is required. Hence, $g_3$ is admissible. It is straightforward that for the function $g_3$ parts (a) and (b) are satisfied. The part (c) is also satisfied for $g_3$, since arbitrary compositions of even and odd functions are either even or odd. \[ \square \]

**Lemma 5.3** Let $(f_n) \in \mathcal{R}$ be a sequence of admissible polynomials satisfying (A). Then $F_n$ is an admissible polynomial with the property (A). Besides, $F_{n+1}^{-1}([-1, 1]) \subset F_{n}^{-1}([-1, 1]) \subset [-1, 1]$ and $K = \cap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_{n}^{-1}([-1, 1])$ is a Cantor set in $[-1, 1]$.

**Proof** All statements except the last one follow directly from Lemma 5.2 and the representation $F_n(z) = f_n \circ F_{n-1}(z)$. Let us show that $K$ is totally disconnected.

By the construction, the set $K$ is uncountable. If, contrary to our claim, $K$ is not totally disconnected, then $K$ contains an interval $I$ of positive length such that $I \subset$
Theorem 5.6
Let \( F_{n}^{-1}([-1, 1]) \) for all \( n \). By Theorem A.16. of [31], we have \( \mu_{F_{n}^{-1}([-1, 1])} \to \mu_{K} \). In addition, by Theorem 5.1, \( \mu_{F_{n}^{-1}([-1, 1])}(I) \leq 1/(d_1 \ldots d_n) \). Therefore, \( \mu_{K}(I) = 0 \). Thus all interior points of \( I \) in \( \mathbb{R} \) are outside of the support of \( \mu_{K} \). This is impossible since \( K = \partial(\mathbb{C}\setminus K) \) and Cap(\( I \)) \( > 0 \) ([28], Theorem 4.2.3). \( \square \)

Corollary 5.4 Let \((f_n)\) be as in Lemma 5.3. Then \( F_{n}^{-1}([-1, 1]) = \bigcup_{j=1}^{d_1 \ldots d_n} I_{j,n} \) where \( I_{j,n} \) are closed disjoint intervals of positive length. Moreover, \( \max_{1 \leq j \leq d_1 \ldots d_n} |I_{j,n}| \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \).

Indeed, the desired representation is a subject of Theorem 5.1, whereas the second statement follows from the fact that the interior of \( K \) is empty.

Lemma 5.5 Let \( f \) be an admissible polynomial satisfying (A). Then \( |f(z)| > 1 + 2\varepsilon \) provided \( |z| > 1 + \varepsilon \) for \( \varepsilon > 0 \). If \( |z| = 1 \) then \( |f(z)| > 1 \) unless \( z = \pm 1 \).

Proof Let \( \deg f = n \) and \( x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_n \) be the zeros of \( f \). It follows from (c) that \( x_k = -x_{n+1-k} \) for \( k \leq n \). In particular, if \( n \) is odd, then \( x_{(n+1)/2} = 0 \).

Let \( x_i \neq 0 \) and \( \varepsilon > 0 \). Then, by the law of cosines, the polynomial \( P_{x_i}(z) := z^2 - x_i^2 \) attains minimum of its modulus on the set \( \{z : |z| = 1 + \varepsilon\} \) at the point \( z = 1 + \varepsilon \). Therefore \( |P_{x_i}(z)|/|P_{x_i}(\pm 1)| > 1 + 2\varepsilon \) for any \( z \) with \( |z| = 1 + \varepsilon \). Using the symmetry of the roots of \( f \) about \( x = 0 \), we see that \( |f(z)| = |f(z)|/|f(\pm 1)| > 1 + 2\varepsilon \) for such \( z \).

Similarly, \( |P_{x_i}(z)| \geq |P_{x_i}(\pm 1)| \) for \( |z| = 1 \) and the inequality is strict for \( z \neq \pm 1 \). Hence, \( |f(z)| > 1 \) in this case as well. \( \square \)

In the next theorem we use the argument of Theorem 1 in [19].

Theorem 5.6 Let \((f_n) \in \mathcal{R} \) be a sequence of admissible polynomials satisfying (A). Then \( K = \cap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_{n}^{-1}([-1, 1]) = J_{(f_n)} \).

Proof Let us first prove the inclusion \( J_{(f_n)} \subset K \).

Recall that, by Theorem 2.1(b), \( A_{(f_n)}(\infty) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} F_{k}^{-1}(\Delta_R) \) and \( f_n(\Delta_R) \subset \Delta_R \) for all \( n \) provided \( R > 1 \) satisfies the condition \( A_1 R(1 - (A_2/(R - 1))) > 2 \). Fix such \( R \).

Fix \( z \notin K \), so \( F_n(z) \notin [-1, 1] \) for some \( m \). We aim to show that \( |F_n(z)| > 1 + \varepsilon \) for some \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( \varepsilon > 0 \). Then we repeatedly apply Lemma 5.5 and show that \( F_{n+k}(z) \in \Delta_R \) for given \( R \) and some \( k \). This will imply \( z \notin J_{(f_n)} \).

Let us consider different positions of \( z \).

If \( |z| = 1 + \varepsilon \) with \( \varepsilon > 0 \) then using Lemma 5.5 gives \( |F_1(z)| > 1 + 2\varepsilon \).

Similarly, \( |F_1(z)| > 1 \) for \( |z| = 1 \) with \( z \neq \pm 1 \).

If \( z \in [-1, 1] \setminus K \) then, by the construction of the set \( K \), there exists \( N \) such that \( |F_N(z)| > 1 \), which is the desired result.

Suppose that \( z = x + iy \in \mathbb{D} \) with \( |y| > 0 \). Assume first that \( x \notin K \). Then there exists \( N \) such that \( |F_N(x)| > 1 \). Since, by Lemma 5.3, all zeros of \( F_N \) are real, we have \( |F_N(z)| > |F_N(x)| > 1 \).

Now, let \( z \) be as above, yet with \( x \in K \). By Corollary 5.4, there exists \( n \) such that the length of each component of \( F_{n}^{-1}([-1, 1]) \) is less than \( y^2/8 \). We fix this \( n \).

Let \( x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_{d_1 \ldots d_n} \) be the roots of the polynomial \( F_n \) and \( I_{j,n} \) denote the
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interval from $F_n^{-1}([-1, 1])$ that contains $x_j$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, d_1 \ldots d_n$. Furthermore, let $I = [a, b]$ be the component containing the point $x$. Observe that $|F_n(a)| = |F_n(b)| = 1$. So, in order to get $z \notin J(f_n)$, it is enough to show that $|F_n(z)| > |F_n(a)|$ or $\prod_j |z - x_j| > \prod_j |a - x_j|$. If $j < s$ then $|a - x_j| \leq |x - x_j| < |z - x_j|$. If $j = s$ then $|a - x_j| < y^2/8 < |y| \leq |z - x_j|$. If $j > s$ then $|a - x_j| = \sqrt{|x_j - a|^2} \leq \sqrt{|x_j - x|^2 + |x - a|^2 + 2|x_j - x||x - a|} < \sqrt{|x_j - x|^2 + \frac{y^4}{64} + \frac{x^2}{2}} < \sqrt{|x_j - x|^2 + y^2} = |z - x_j|$. Therefore, $|F_n(z)| > 1$ and $J(f_n) \subset K$.

For the inverse inclusion, fix $z \notin K$. We aim to show that $z \notin J(f_n)$. Since $J(f_n) \subset K \subset [-1, 1]$, it’s enough to consider only $z = x \in [-1, 1]$. The condition $x \notin K$ means that there exists $n$ such that $|F_n(x)| > 1$ in some neighborhood of $x$. By Lemma 5.5, we have $F_{n+k}(x) \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$. Thus, $x \in A(f_n)(\infty)$ and the result follows.

\[ \square \]

**Remark** Orthogonal polynomials associated to the equilibrium measure of $K$ and the corresponding recurrence coefficients can be calculated by Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 4.1.

## 6 Smoothness of Green’s Functions

For some generalized Julia sets a deeper analysis can be done. In this section we consider a modification $K_1(\gamma)$ of the set $K(\gamma)$ from [19] that corresponds to Theorem 5.6. We give a necessary and sufficient condition on the parameters that makes the Green function $G_{\mathbb{C} \setminus K_1(\gamma)}$ optimally smooth. Although smoothness properties of Green functions are interesting in their own rights, in our case the optimal smoothness of $G_{\mathbb{C} \setminus K_1(\gamma)}$ is necessary for $K_1(\gamma)$ to be a Parreau-Widom set.

Let $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a non-polar compact set. Then $G_{\mathbb{C} \setminus K}$ is said to be Hölder continuous with exponent $\beta$ if there exists a number $A > 0$ such that

$$G_{\mathbb{C} \setminus K}(z) \leq A(\text{dist}(z, K))^\beta,$$

holds for all $z$ satisfying $\text{dist}(z, K) \leq 1$, where dist(·) stands for the distance function. For applications of smoothness of Green functions, we refer the reader to [7].

Smoothness properties of Green functions are examined for a variety of sets. For the complement of autonomous Julia sets, see [21] and for the complement of $J(f_n)$ see [9,10]. In Corollary 2 from [20], a quadratic generalized Julia set was investigated, for which the associated Green function is continuous but is not Hölder continuous. When $K$ is a symmetric Cantor-type set in $[0, 1]$, it is possible to give a sufficient and necessary condition for the corresponding Green function to be Hölder continuous with the exponent 1/2, i.e. optimally smooth. See Chapter 5 in [35] for details.

It is possible to associate the density properties of equilibrium measures with the smoothness properties of Green’s functions.
Theorem 6.1 \[34\] Let $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a compact set such that the unbounded component $\Omega$ of $\mathbb{C} \setminus K$ is regular. Then for each $z_0 \in \partial \Omega$ and $0 < r < 1$ we have

$$\int_0^r \frac{\mu_K(D_r(z_0))}{t} dt \leq \sup_{|z-z_0|=r} G_{\mathbb{C}\setminus K}(z) \leq 3 \int_0^{4r} \frac{\mu_K(D_r(z_0))}{t} dt.$$ 

The rest of the paper is devoted to a special family of quadratic generalized Julia set.

Let $\gamma := (\gamma_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be given such that $0 < \gamma_n < 1/4$ for all $n$, $\epsilon_n := 1/4 - \gamma_n$. Take $f_n(z) = \frac{1}{2\gamma_n} (z^2 - 1) + 1$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, $F_1(z) = \frac{1}{2\gamma_1} (z^2 - 1) + 1$ and similarly $F_n(z) = \frac{1}{2\gamma_n} (F_{n-1}(z) - 1) + 1$ for $n \geq 2$. It is easy to see that, as a polynomial of real variable, $F_n$ is admissible, it satisfies (A) and, in addition, all minimums of $F_n$ are the same and equal to $1 - \frac{1}{2\gamma_n}$. Then $K_1(\gamma) = \cap_{n=1}^\infty F_{n-1}([-1, 1])$ is a stretched version of the set $K(\gamma)$ from [19]. Here,

$$G_{\mathbb{C}\setminus K_1(\gamma)}(z) = \lim_{n \to \infty} 2^{-n} \log |F_n(z)|.$$ 

Since the leading coefficient of $F_n$ is $2^{1-2n} \gamma_n \gamma_{n-1}^2 \cdots \gamma_1^{2n-1}$, the logarithmic capacity of $K_1(\gamma)$ is $2 \exp(\sum_{n=1}^\infty 2^{-n} \log \gamma_n)$.

In addition, as is easy to check, $(f_n) \subset R$ if and only if $\inf_n \gamma_n > 0$. Thus, provided this condition, Theorem 5.6 implies $K_1(\gamma) = J(f_n)$.

In the limit case, when all $\gamma_n = 1/4$, $F_n$ is the Chebyshev polynomial (of the first kind) $T_{2n}$ and $K_1(\gamma) = [-1, 1]$. This does not contradict to Lemma 5.3, because the Chebyshev polynomials are not admissible according to our definition. Note that in the literature, in the definition of admissible polynomials, the condition $|f(\gamma_i)| > 1$ for values at extrema points is often given in non-strict sense.

Let $I_{1,0} := [-1, 1]$. The set $F_{n-1}([-1, 1])$ is a disjoint union of $2^n$ non-degenerate closed intervals $I_{j,n} = [a_{j,n}, b_{j,n}]$ with length $l_{j,n}$ for $1 \leq j \leq 2^n$. We call them basic intervals of $n$-th level. The inclusion $F_{n+1}([-1, 1]) \subset F_{n-1}([-1, 1])$ implies that $I_{2j-1,n+1} \cup I_{2j,n+1} \subset I_{j,n}$ where $a_{2j-1,n+1} = a_{j,n}$ and $b_{2j,n+1} = b_{j,n}$. We denote the gap $(b_{2j-1,n+1}, a_{2j,n+1})$ by $H_{j,n}$ and the length of the gap by $h_{j,n}$. Thus,

$$K_1(\gamma) = [-1, 1] \setminus \left( \bigcup_{n=0}^\infty \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq 2^n} H_{j,n} \right).$$

Let us consider the parameter function $v_\gamma(t) = \sqrt{1 - 2\gamma(1-t)}$ for $|t| \leq 1$ with $0 < \gamma \leq 1/4$. This increasing and concave function is an analog of $u$ from [19]. By means of $v_\gamma$ we can write the endpoints of the basic intervals of $n$-th level. The set of these endpoints consists of the solutions of the equations $F_k(x) = -1$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$ and the points $\pm 1$. Namely, $F_n(x) = -1$ gives $F_{n-1}(x) = \pm v_{\gamma_n}(-1)$, then $F_{n-2}(x) = \pm v_{\gamma_{n-1}}(\pm v_{\gamma_n}(-1))$, etc. The iterates eventually give $2^n$ values

$$x = \pm v_{\gamma_1} \circ (\pm v_{\gamma_2} \circ (\cdots \pm v_{\gamma_{n-1}} \circ (\pm v_{\gamma_n}(-1)) \cdots), \quad (6.1)$$
which are the endpoints \( \{b_{2j-1,n}, a_{2j,n}\}_{j=1}^{2^n-1} \). The remaining \( 2^n \) points can be found similarly, as the solutions of \( F_k(x) = -1 \) for \( 1 \leq k < n \) and \( \pm 1 \).

As in Lemma 2 in [19], \( \min_{1 \leq j \leq 2^n} \ell_{j,n} \) is realized on the first and the last intervals. Since the rightmost solution of \( F_n(x) = -1 \), namely \( a_{2^n,n} \), is given by (6.1) with all signs positive, we have

\[
l_{1,n} = l_{2^n,n} = 1 - v_{\gamma_1}(v_{\gamma_2}(\cdots v_{\gamma_{n-1}}(v_{\gamma_n}(-1)\cdots)).
\]

The next lemma shows that \( l_{1,n} \) can be evaluated in terms of \( \delta_n := \gamma_1\gamma_2\cdots\gamma_n \).

**Lemma 6.2** For each \( \gamma \) with \( 0 < \gamma_k \leq 1/4 \) and all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) we have

\[2 \delta_n \leq l_{1,n} \leq (\pi^2/2) \delta_n.\]

**Proof** Clearly, \( 1 - v_{\gamma}(t) = \frac{2}{1 + v_{\gamma}(t)} \gamma(1 - t) \). Repeated application of this to (6.2) gives the representation \( l_{1,n} = 2 \kappa_n(\gamma) \delta_n \), where \( \kappa_n(\gamma) \) is equal to

\[
\frac{2}{1 + v_{\gamma_1}(v_{\gamma_2}(\cdots v_{\gamma_n}(-1)\cdots))} \cdot \frac{2}{1 + v_{\gamma_2}(\cdots v_{\gamma_n}(-1)\cdots)} \cdots \frac{2}{1 + v_{\gamma_n}(-1)}.
\]

Since \( v_{1/4}(t) \leq v_{\gamma}(t) \leq 1 \), we have \( 1 \leq \kappa_n(\gamma) \leq \kappa_n(1/4) \), where the last denotes the value of \( \kappa_n \) in the case when all \( \gamma_k = 1/4 \). This gives the left part of the inequality. Let \( C_{2^n} \) be the distance between 1 and the rightmost extremum of \( T_{2^n} \). Hence, see e.g. p.7. of [29], \( C_{2^n} = 1 - \cos(\pi/2^n) < \pi^2/(2 \cdot 4^n) \). On the other hand, \( C_{2^n} = 2 \kappa_n(1/4) 4^{-n} \). Therefore, \( \kappa_n(1/4) < \pi^2/4 \), and the lemma follows. \( \square \)

In the case of \( \gamma_n \leq 1/32 \) for all \( n \), smoothness of the Green’s function of \( \mathbb{C} \setminus K(\gamma) \) and related properties are examined in [18], [19]. The next theorem is complementary to Theorem 1 of [18] and examines the smoothness of the Green function as \( \gamma_n \to 1/4 \).

**Theorem 6.3** The function \( G_{\mathbb{C} \setminus K_1(\gamma)} \) is Hölder continuous with the exponent \( 1/2 \) if and only if \( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_k < \infty \).

**Proof** Let us assume that \( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_k < \infty \). Then \( \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - 4\epsilon_k) = a \) for some \( 0 < a < 1 \), \( \delta_n = 4^{-n} \prod_{k=1}^{n} (1 - 4\epsilon_k) > a 4^{-n} \) and, by Lemma 6.2, \( 2a \cdot 4^{-n} \leq l_{1,n} \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \).

Let \( z_0 \) be an arbitrary point of \( K_1(\gamma) \). We claim that \( \mu_{K_1(\gamma)}(D_t(z_0)) \leq \frac{4\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{a}} \sqrt{t} \) for all \( t > 0 \). It is evident for \( t \geq 1/32 \), as \( \mu_{K_1(\gamma)} \) is a probability measure. Let \( 0 < t < 1/32 \). Fix \( n \) with \( l_{1,n} < t \leq l_{1,n-1} \). We have \( t > 2a \cdot 4^{-n} \).

On the other hand, \( D_t(z_0) \) can contain points from at most 4 basic intervals of level \( n - 1 \). Since \( \mu_{F_{2^n-1}([-1,1])} \to \mu_{K_1(\gamma)} \), by Theorem A.16 from [31], we have \( \mu_{K_1(\gamma)}(I_{j,k}) = 1/2^k \) for all \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( 1 \leq j \leq 2^k \). Therefore, \( \mu_{K_1(\gamma)}(D_t(z_0)) \leq 2^{3-n} < 8\sqrt{t}/2a \), which is our claim. The optimal smoothness of \( G_{\mathbb{C} \setminus K_1(\gamma)} \) follows from Theorem 6.1.

Conversely, suppose that, on the contrary, \( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_k = \infty \). This is equivalent to the condition \( 4^n \delta_n \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \). Thus, for any \( \sigma > 0 \), there is a number \( N \) such that
For any $t \leq l_{1,N+1}$, there exists $m \geq N + 1$ such that $l_{1,m+1} < t \leq l_{1,m}$. Then, $\mu_{K_{1}(\gamma)}(D_{t}(0)) \geq \mu_{K_{1}(\gamma)}(I_{1,m+1}) = 2^{-m-1}$. On the other hand, by Lemma 6.2, $t \leq 2\pi^{2}\sigma 4^{-m-1}$. Therefore, for any $t \leq l_{1,N+1}$ we have

$$\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi \sqrt{\sigma}} \leq \mu_{K_{1}(\gamma)}(D_{t}(0)).$$

Hence, the inequality

$$\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi \sqrt{\sigma}} \sqrt{r} \leq \int_{0}^{r} \frac{\mu_{K_{1}(\gamma)}(D_{t}(0))}{t} dt,$$

holds for $r \leq l_{1,N+1}$. By Theorem 6.1, $G_{\mathbb{C}\setminus K_{1}(\gamma)}(-r) \geq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi \sqrt{\sigma}} \sqrt{r}$. Since $\sigma$ is as small as we wish here, the Green function is not optimally smooth. \hfill \Box

## 7 Parreau–Widom Sets

Parreau–Widom sets are of special interest in the recent spectral theory of orthogonal polynomials. For different aspects of the theory, we refer the reader to the articles [12,17,33,37].

A compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}$ which is regular with respect to the Dirichlet problem is called a Parreau–Widom set if $PW(K) := \sum_{j} G_{\mathbb{C}\setminus K}(c_{j}) < \infty$ where $\{c_{j}\}$ is the set of critical points of $G_{\mathbb{C}\setminus K}$, which, clearly, is at most countable. A Parreau–Widom set has always positive Lebesgue measure, see [12].

Our aim is to give a criterion for $K_{1}(\gamma)$ to be a Parreau–Widom set. Note that, since autonomous Julia-Cantor sets in $\mathbb{R}$ have zero Lebesgue measure (see e.g. Section 1.19 in [22]), such sets cannot be Parreau–Widom.

We begin with a technical lemma.

**Lemma 7.1** Given $p \in \mathbb{N}$, let $b_{0} = 1$ and $b_{k+1} = b_{k}(1 + 4^{-p+k} b_{k})$ for $0 \leq k \leq p-1$. Then $b_{p} < 2$.

**Proof** We have $b_{1} = 1 + 4^{-p}$, $b_{2} = 1 + (1 + 4) 4^{-p} + 2 \cdot 4 \cdot 4^{-2p} + 4 \cdot 4^{-3p} + \ldots$, so $b_{k} = \sum_{n=0}^{N_{k}} a_{n,k} 4^{-np}$ with $N_{k} = 2^{k} - 1$ and $a_{0,k} = 1$. Let $a_{n,k} := 0$ if $n > N_{k}$. The definition of $b_{k+1}$ gives the recurrence relation

$$a_{n,k+1} = a_{n,k} + 4^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{n-j,k} a_{j-1,k} \text{ for } 1 \leq n \leq N_{k+1}. \quad (7.1)$$

If $N_{k} < n \leq N_{k+1}$, that is $n = N_{k} + m$ with $1 \leq m \leq N_{k} + 1$, then the formula takes the form $a_{n,k+1} = 4^{k} \sum_{j=m}^{n-m+1} a_{n-j,k} a_{j-1,k}$, since $a_{n-j,k} = 0$ for $j < m$ and $a_{j-1,k} = 0$ for $j > n - m + 1$. In particular, $a_{N_{k}+1,k+1} = 4^{k} a_{N_{k},k}^{2}$ and $a_{1,k+1} = a_{1,k} + 4^{k}$. Therefore, $a_{1,k} = 1 + 4 + \ldots + 4^{k-1} < 4^{k}/3$. Let us show that $a_{N_{k},k} < C_{n} 4^{nk}$ with $C_{n} = 4^{1-n}/3$ for $n \geq 2$. This gives the desired result, as $b_{p} = \sum_{n=0}^{N_{p}} a_{n,p} 4^{-np} < 1 + 1/3 \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{N_{p}} 4^{1-n} < 2$.

By induction, suppose the inequality $a_{j,k} < C_{j} 4^{jk}$ is valid for $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$ and for all $k > 0$. We consider $j = n$. The bound $a_{n,i} < C_{n} 4^{ni}$ is valid for $i = 1$, as $a_{n,1} = 0$ for $n \geq 2$. Suppose it is valid as well for $i \leq k$. 


We use (7.1) repeatedly, in order to reduce the second index, and, after this, the induction hypothesis:

\[
a_{n,k+1} = \sum_{q=1}^{k} 4^q \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{n-j,q} a_{j-1,q} < \sum_{q=1}^{k} 4^{nq} \sum_{j=1}^{n} C_{n-j} C_{j-1} < \sum_{q=1}^{k} 4^{nq} \leq C_n 4^{n(k+1)},
\]

where \(C_0 := 1\). Therefore the desired bound is valid for all positive \(n\) and \(k\). \(\square\)

**Theorem 7.2** \(K_1(\gamma)\) is a Parreau–Widom set if and only if \(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\epsilon_n} < \infty\).

**Proof** Let \(E_n = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |F_n(z)| \leq 1\}\). Then \(G_{\mathbb{C}\setminus E_n}(z) = 2^{-n} \log |F_n(z)|\) for \(z \notin E_n\). Clearly, the critical points of \(G_{\mathbb{C}\setminus E_n}\) coincide with the critical points of \(F_n\) and thus they are real. Let \(Y_n = \{x : F_n'(x) = 0\}, \ Z_n = \{x : F_n(x) = 0\}\). We see at once that \(Y_n \cap Z_n = \emptyset\) and \(Z_k \cap Z_n = \emptyset\) for \(n \neq k\). Since \(F_n = F_{n-1} F_{n-1}'/\gamma_n\), we have \(Y_n = Y_{n-1} \cup Z_{n-1}\), so \(Y_n = Z_{n-1} \cup Z_{n-2} \cup \cdots \cup Z_0\), where \(Z_0 = \{0\}\). Therefore, the critical points of \(G_{\mathbb{C}\setminus E_n}\) are also critical for \(G_{\mathbb{C}\setminus E_{n+1}}\). Of course, \(G_{\mathbb{C}\setminus E_n} \nearrow G_{\mathbb{C}\setminus K_1(\gamma)}\), so the set of critical points for \(G_{\mathbb{C}\setminus K_1(\gamma)}\) is \(\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} Z_n\). It follows that \(PW(K_1(\gamma)) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{z \in Z_{n-1}} G_{\mathbb{C}\setminus K_1(\gamma)}(z)\). In addition, for each \(k \geq n\) the function \(F_k\) is constant on the set \(Z_{n-1}\) which contains \(2^{n-1}\) points. By Theorem 2.1(c), the Green function is also constant on this set. Let \(s_n = 2^{n-1} G_{\mathbb{C}\setminus K_1(\gamma)}(z)\), where \(z\) is any point from \(Z_{n-1}\). Then

\[
PW(K_1(\gamma)) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_n.
\]

We can certainly assume that \(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_n < \infty\). Indeed, it is immediate if \(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\epsilon_n} < \infty\). On the other hand, if \(z \in Z_{n-1}\), that is \(F_{n-1}(z) = 0\), then \(F_n(z) = 1 - \frac{1}{2\gamma_n} = -1 - \frac{8\epsilon_n}{1-4\epsilon_n}\). Since \(G_{\mathbb{C}\setminus E_n} \nearrow G_{\mathbb{C}\setminus K_1(\gamma)}\) we have \(s_n > 1/2 \log |F_n(z)| > 1/2 \log (1 + 8\epsilon_n) > 2\epsilon_n\), as \(\log (1 + t) > t/2\) for \(0 < t < 2\). Therefore the supposition \(PW(K_1(\gamma)) < \infty\) implies that \(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_n < \infty\).

Let \(a = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - 4\epsilon_n)\). Since \(\epsilon_n \in (0, \frac{1}{4})\) is a term of convergent series, we have \(0 < a < 1\).

Our aim is to evaluate \(s_n\) from both sides for large enough \(n\). From now on we consider only \(n\) such that \(\epsilon_n \leq a/36\). Then \(1 - 4\epsilon_n > 8/9\) and for \(\sigma_n := \frac{8\epsilon_n}{1-4\epsilon_n}\) we have \(0 < \sigma_n < 1/4\). Given \(n\), we fix \(p = p(n) \in \mathbb{N}\) with

\[
a \cdot 4^{-1-p} < \sigma_n \leq a \cdot 4^{-p}.
\]

This gives

\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} \sqrt{\sigma_n} \leq 2^{-p} < \frac{2}{\sqrt{a}} \sqrt{\sigma_n}.
\]

Clearly, \(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\epsilon_n} < \infty\) if and only if \(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\sigma_n} < \infty\).

Consider the function \(f(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} (t^2 - 1) + 1\) for \(t > 1\), where \(\beta = 1/4 - \epsilon\) with \(\epsilon < 1/36\). If \(t = 1 + \sigma\) with small \(\sigma\), then we use the representation \(f(t) = 1 + \sigma_1\).
where $4 \sigma < \sigma_1 = 4 \sigma \frac{1+\sigma/2}{1-4\epsilon}$. On the other hand, for large $t$ we have $t^2 \leq f(t) < \frac{1}{2\beta} t^2 < \frac{9}{4} t^2$.

Let us fix $z \in Z_n$. Then, as above, $|F_n(z)| = 1 + \sigma_n$. Clearly, $F_{n+1}(z) = f(F_n(z))$ with $\beta = \gamma_{n+1}$. Hence, $F_{n+1}(z) = 1 + \sigma_{n+1}$ with $4 \sigma_n < \sigma_{n+1} = 4 \sigma_n \frac{1+\sigma/2}{1-4\epsilon_{n+1}}$. We continue in this fashion to obtain $F_{n+p}(z) = 1 + \sigma_{n+p}$ with

$$
4^p \sigma_n < \sigma_{n+p} = 4^p \sigma_n \cdot \prod_{k=n}^{n+p-1} \frac{1+\sigma_k/2}{1-4\epsilon_{k+1}} < a^{-1} 4^p \sigma_n \cdot \prod_{k=n}^{n+p-1} (1+\sigma_k/2). \quad (7.4)
$$

After that we use the second estimation for $f$. This gives $F_{n+p}^2(z) \leq F_{n+p+1}(z) < \frac{9}{4} F_{n+p+1}(z)$ and, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
F_{n+p}^{2k}(z) \leq F_{n+p+k}(z) < (9/4)^{2k-1} F_{n+p}^2(z).
$$

From this, we have

$$
2^{-n-p} \log F_{n+p}(z) \leq G_{\mathbb{C}\backslash E_{n+p+k}}(z) \leq 2^{-n-p}[\log(9/4) + \log F_{n+p}(z)].
$$

Recall that $G_{\mathbb{C}\backslash E_{n+p+k}}(z) \not\supset G_{\mathbb{C}\backslash K_1(\gamma)}(z)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Also $s_n = 2^{n-1} G_{\mathbb{C}\backslash K_1(\gamma)}(z)$ and $F_{n+p}(z) = 1 + \sigma_{n+p}$. Hence,

$$
2^{-p-1} \log(1+\sigma_{n+p}) \leq s_n \leq 2^{-p-1}[\log(9/4) + \log(1+\sigma_{n+p})]. \quad (7.5)
$$

We are in a position to prove the statement of the theorem. Suppose that $K_1(\gamma)$ is a Parreau–Widom set, so the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_n$ converges. By (7.5) and (7.4), we have $s_n \geq 2^{-p-1} \log(1+4^p \sigma_n)$. By (7.2), $4^p \sigma_n < 1$ and $\log(1+4^p \sigma_n) > 4^p \sigma_n/2$. Therefore, $s_n \geq 2^p \sigma_n/4$. Finally, we use (7.3) to obtain $s_n \geq \sqrt{a} \sigma_n/8$, which implies the convergence of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\sigma_n}$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\epsilon_n}$.

Conversely, suppose that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\sigma_n} < \infty$. By (7.5), $s_n \leq 2^{-p} \log(3/2) + 2^{-p-1} \sigma_{n+p}$. Here, by (7.3), the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-p(n)}$ converges. For the addend, (7.4) implies

$$
2^{-p-1} \sigma_{n+p} < (2a)^{-1} \cdot 2^p \sigma_n \prod_{k=n}^{n+p-1} (1+\sigma_k/2).
$$

From (7.3) it follows that $2^p \sigma_n \leq \sqrt{a} \sigma_n$, a term of convergent series. Let us show that

$$
\prod_{k=n}^{n+p-1} (1+\sigma_k/2) < 2. \quad (7.6)
$$

This will give the convergence of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_n$, which is the desired conclusion.
We use notations of Lemma 7.1. By (7.2), we have $1 + \sigma_n/2 \leq 1 + a 4^{-p}/2 < b_1$. Then

$$1 + \sigma_{n+1}/2 < 1 + \frac{a}{1 - 4\epsilon_{n+1}} 4^{-p+1} (1 + \sigma_n/2) < 1 + 4^{-p+1} b_1 = b_2/b_1$$

and $(1 + \sigma_n/2)(1 + \sigma_{n+1}/2) < b_2$. Similarly, by (7.4) and (7.2),

$$1 + \sigma_{n+k+1}/2 < 1 + \frac{a}{(1 - 4\epsilon_{n+1}) \cdots (1 - 4\epsilon_{n+k})} 4^{-p+k} b_k < b_{k+1}/b_k$$

for $k \leq p - 2$. Lemma 7.1 now yields (7.6).

\[\square\]
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