Microglia control the glycinergic but not the GABAergic synapses via prostaglandin E2 in the spinal cord
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Microglia control excitatory synapses, but their role in inhibitory neurotransmission has been less well characterized. Herein, we show that microglia control the strength of glycinergic but not GABAergic synapses via modulation of the diffusion dynamics and synaptic trapping of glycine (GlyR) but not GABA receptors. We further demonstrate that microglia regulate the activity-dependent plasticity of glycinergic synapses by tuning the GlyR diffusion trap. This microglia–synapse cross talk requires production of prostaglandin E2 by microglia, leading to the activation of neuronal EP2 receptors and cyclic adenosine monophosphate–dependent protein kinase. Thus, we now provide a link between microglial activation and synaptic dysfunctions, which are common early features of many brain diseases.

Introduction

Microglial cells are immune components of the brain, and their activation has been established as a hallmark of brain pathologies (Ransohoff and Cardona, 2010). However, microglia are also partners of neuronal functions in the healthy brain (Tremblay et al., 2011). In particular, they are implicated in the rapid modulation of excitatory synapses, and microglial stimulations induce specific responses of excitatory neurotransmission (Béchade et al., 2013). For instance, fractalkine activates the microglia-specific CX3CR1 and induces a transient reduction of the amplitude of evoked excitatory postsynaptic currents (PSCs) in CA1 pyramidal neurons (Ragozzino et al., 2009; Tremblay et al., 2010; Li et al., 2012).

Similarly, lipopolysaccharide (LPS), which specifically activates the microglial Toll-like receptor 4, triggers an astrocyte-mediated increase in the frequency of AMPAergic evoked excitatory PSCs (Pascual et al., 2012). Finally, video microscopic analysis of microglia–synapse structural relationships has demonstrated that microglia respond to neuronal activity by modulating the physical contacts between their processes and the excitatory synaptic elements (Wake et al., 2009; Tremblay et al., 2010; Li et al., 2012).

Interactions between microglia and inhibitory synapses have mostly been studied as long-term regulations occurring in pathologies. For instance, during peripheral nerve injury, spinal cord microglia produce brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), which induces a shift in chloride flux through GABA receptors (GABA, Rs) in nociceptive neurons. This leads to an increase of their excitability and contributes to pain hypersensitivity (Coull et al., 2005). Furthermore, long-term activation of microglia may lead to physical deconnections between the pre- and postsynaptic elements of inhibitory synapses (Chen et al., 2014). In addition, immune-derived molecules such as major histocompatibility complex class I molecules (Oliveira et al., 2004) or prostaglandin E2 (PGE2), a major modulator of inflammatory pain (Harvey et al., 2004), regulate inhibitory synapses during diseases. However, microglia are not only actors of pathologies; they may also be involved in acute situations because they are highly dynamic cells that rapidly react to environmental modifications (Davalos et al., 2005; Nimmerjahn et al., 2005). Hence, microglia might also be involved in short-term regulation of inhibitory synapses. This hypothesis is supported by the fact that signaling molecules produced by microglia can rapidly modulate inhibitory synaptic function. For instance, TNF-α induces a rapid decrease of GABAergic synaptic strength associated with a down-regulation of cell-surface GABA,R (Pribiag and Stellwagen, 2013). Similarly, thrombospondin 1 (TSP1), which is also produced by microglia (Chamak et al., 1995), modulates the diffusion dynamic...
of GlyR and leads to an increase in its synaptic accumulation (Henkekinne et al., 2013).

We have established that microglia differentially control GABAergic and glycinegic inhibition in the spinal cord. The underlying mechanism is a specific control of the diffusion and subsequent trapping of GlyR but not GABA\(_\text{A}\)R at postsynaptic differentiation. We have identified PGE2 acting via EP2 receptors and protein kinase A as the molecular microglia–neuron intermediary of this regulation.

**Results**

**Short-term microglial stimulation controls inhibitory synapse function by tuning synaptic receptor content**

The efficiency of neurotransmission critically depends on the number of receptors accumulated at postsynaptic sites (Choquet and Triller, 2013). As a proof of concept of the rapid regulation of inhibitory synapses by microglia, we first characterized the modulation of GlyR and GABA\(_\text{A}\)R accumulation at synapse upon short-term stimulation of microglia. Stimulation of microglia in vivo was achieved by intrathecal injection of LPS. The effects on GlyR and GABA\(_\text{A}\)R were monitored 30 min after stimulation by quantifying GABA\(_\text{A}\)R \(\gamma_2\) subunit and GlyR \(\alpha_1\) subunit immunoreactivities (IRs) at inhibitory synaptic sites identified by gephyrin clusters (Fig. 1, A and B). Stimulation of microglia induced a decrease of synaptic GlyR \(\alpha_1\) IR intensity (68 ± 7%; \(P = 0.021; n = 4;\) Fig. 1, A and C) but had no effect on synaptic GABA\(_\text{A}\)R \(\gamma_2\) subunit (84 ± 7%; \(P = 0.149; n = 4;\) Fig. 1, B and C). The intensity of the gephyrin clusters associated with GABA\(_\text{A}\)R and GlyR were not modified (115 ± 6% and 111 ± 13%, respectively; \(P = 0.083\) and 0.564, respectively; \(n = 4\)). This experiment shows that short-term stimulation of microglia induces a specific decrease of synaptic GlyR but not GABA\(_\text{A}\)R accumulation.

To investigate the impact of this decrease on neurotransmission, whole-cell recordings were performed on substantia gelatinoa neurons in acute spinal dorsal horn slices and spontaneous inhibitory PSCs were analyzed before and after microglial stimulation. In the presence of gabazine, bath application of LPS significantly reduced median glycinegic PSC amplitudes (43.5 ± 7.9%; \(P = 0.008; n = 7\)). In contrast, in the presence of strychnine, application of LPS had no significant effect on median GABAergic PSC amplitudes (Fig. 1 E; \(P = 0.834\); paired \(t\) test; \(n = 7\)).

We also investigated the microglia–neuron cross talk in cultured systems. In organotypic slices, microglia conserve their highly branched ramification (Hailer et al., 1996; Hellwig et al., 2013; Fig. S1 A), their electrophysiological properties (De Simoni et al., 2008), and their ability to regulate excitatory synapses (Pascual et al., 2012). As observed in vivo, LPS stimulation of microglia in organotypic slices of spinal cord decreased synaptic GlyR \(\alpha_1\) IR (67.3 ± 3.8%; \(P = 0.0002; n = 10\) independent cultures; Fig. S1, B and D) but had no effect on synaptic GABA\(_\text{A}\)R \(\gamma_2\) subunit IR (103.4 ± 17.9%; \(P = 0.513; n = 3\)) nor on gephyrin IR (91.5 ± 5.0%; \(P = 0.702; n = 4;\) Fig. S1, C and D). We also investigated the regulation of inhibitory synapses by microglia in dissociated cultures of spinal cord. In this system, 30-min stimulation of microglia by LPS also induced a decreased accumulation of GlyR \(\alpha_1\) IR at gephyrin-positive loci (81.6 ± 4.8%; \(P = 0.0001; n = 17\) independent cultures; Fig. S1, E and F) but had no effect on gephyrin clusters (114.7 ± 7.1%; \(P = 0.380; n = 17\)) or GABA\(_\text{A}\)R IR (101.1 ± 4.6%; \(P = 0.488; n = 12\)). As observed in acute slices, the differential regulation of synaptic GABA\(_\text{A}\)R and GlyR accumulation in dissociated cultures was correlated with a significant decrease in the amplitude of spontaneous glycinegic PSCs (Fig. S1, G and H).

In conclusion, these experiments show that microglia can rapidly and differentially modulate GlyR and GABA\(_\text{A}\)R synaptic content (SC) by a mechanism that is conserved from in vivo spinal cord to dissociated cells. This modulation correlates with a differential modulation of GABAergic and glycinegic neurotransmission.

**Microglia controls GlyR but not GABA\(_\text{A}\)R synaptic trapping through a lateral diffusion-dependent mechanism**

Diffusion trap is important for the regulation of the receptor number at synapses (Choquet and Triller, 2013). We thus examined how microglial cells control the lateral diffusion of GABA\(_\text{A}\)R and GlyR. The surface mobility of neurotransmitter receptors has mostly been studied in cell cultures using specific antibodies coupled to quantum dots (QDs) in single-particle tracking experiments (Bannai et al., 2006). We tracked the dynamic behavior of endogenous GlyR \(\alpha_1\) and GABA\(_\text{A}\)R \(\gamma_2\) in dissociated cultures of spinal cord using QDs. Consistent with previous studies (Charrier et al., 2010; Henkekinne et al., 2013), a pool of “stable” receptors remained at mRFP–gephyrin–positive synapses during the recording session, whereas a pool of “mobile” receptors swapped between synaptic and extrasynaptic compartments (Fig. 2 A). Fine tuning of synaptic receptor content can be achieved by regulating these two pools of receptors. We analyzed the action of microglia on the dynamic behavior of stable and swapping GlyR and GABA\(_\text{A}\)R, respectively. First, we established that the stimulation of microglia had no effect on the diffusion coefficients of extrasynaptic GABA\(_\text{A}\)R and GlyR as well as on the stable synaptic receptors (Fig. S2, A–D). Then, we found that swapping GlyR, but not GABA\(_\text{A}\)R, slowed down after the stimulation of microglia (Fig. S2, C and D). Similarly, the stimulation of microglia specifically increased the synaptic area explored by GlyR but not that of GABA\(_\text{A}\)R (Fig. 2 B) and had no effect on the extrasynaptic area explored by GABA\(_\text{A}\)R and GlyR (Fig. 2 C). The short-term stimulation of microglial cells also differentially tuned the dynamic stability of GABA\(_\text{A}\)R and GlyR at synapses (Fig. 2, E and F). Indeed, GlyR synaptic dwell times shifted toward lower values, and the pool of stable synaptic GlyR decreased (from 47.3 ± 2.5% to 40.0 ± 2.7%; \(P = 0.026; n = 4;\) paired \(t\) test; Fig. 2 E), whereas GABA\(_\text{A}\)R \(\gamma_2\) synaptic stability was not affected (Fig. 2 F).

Rapid tuning of synaptic receptor content by lateral diffusion results not only from the regulation of the stability of receptors at synapses but also from changes of receptors’ capacity to switch from extrasynaptic to synaptic sites. We thus monitored how microglia modulated the swapping properties of GABA\(_\text{A}\)R and GlyR (Fig. 3 and Fig. S2 E). To approach this issue, we computed for each field the proportion of receptor QDs at mRFP–gephyrin clusters as a function of time (Fig. 2 A and Fig. S2 E). The receptor QDs trapped at synapses during the whole recording session, as well as the one remaining at extrasynaptic sites, were excluded from the analysis. The SC of swapping GABA\(_\text{A}\)R QDs and GlyR QDs was defined by the number of receptor QDs divided by the number of mRFP–gephyrin clusters. It was then quantified during the recording sessions (Fig. S2 E).
in control conditions and after microglial stimulation. The system was at a steady state because the SC of swapping GABAAR QDs and GlyR QDs remained stable during the recording session (Fig. 3, A and B). However, when microglia were stimulated, the SC of GlyR QDs was reduced to 64.3 ± 0.1% of the control (P < 0.001; t test; Fig. 3 A), whereas that of swapping GABAAR at synapses was significantly but barely affected (103.7 ± 0.2%; P < 0.001; t test; Fig. 3 B). Accordingly, short-term stimulation of microglia decreased the frequency of transitions between synaptic and extrasynaptic GlyR QDs from 0.16 ± 0.01 to 0.12 ± 0.01 Hz (P = 0.009; t test) but had no effect on the frequency of GABAAR QD transition (Fig. 3, C and D). The rapid modulations of synaptic receptor content occur through lateral diffusion of receptors rather than by membrane insertion of new receptors. Indeed, surface biotinylation assays indicated that, as expected, stimulation of microglia did not change the proportion of GlyR α1 and GABAAR γ2 being incorporated in the plasma membrane (Fig. 3, E and F).

In conclusion, stimulation of microglia in the spinal cord rapidly modifies the membrane diffusion properties of GlyR, leading to a destabilization of synaptic GlyR at synapses and a tendency to leave the synaptic site. It results in a reduction of the GlyR SC and decreased glycinergic PSCs. In contrast, stimulation of microglial cells does not affect the diffusion dynamic and synaptic stability of GABAAR and has no effect on the number of synaptic GABAAR or GABAergic PSCs.

**Microglia control the homeostatic regulation of synaptic glycine receptor trapping**

Modulation of synaptic receptor content plays a role in synaptic scaling, in which the number of receptors at synapse is adapted...
to compensate for modification of neuronal activity (Kilman et al., 2002; Stellwagen and Malenka, 2006). In organotypic slices as well as in dissociated cultured cells from the spinal cord, application of 4-aminopyridine (4AP) induced an adaptive decrease of the synaptic GlyR α1 IR with no change in the GABA_A,γ2 IR (organotypic slices + 4AP: synaptic GlyR α1 IR: 73.0 ± 4.0% of control; P = 0.001; n = 4; synaptic GABA_A,γ2 IR: 92.4 ± 4.9% of control; P = 0.05; n = 3; gephyrin IR: 105.3 ± 4.4% of control; P = 0.248; n = 4; Fig. 4; dissociated cultures + 4AP: synaptic GlyR α1 IR: 74.1 ± 5.3% of control; P < 0.001; n = 15; synaptic GABA_A,γ2: 102.1 ± 5.8% of control; P = 0.400; n = 8; mRFP-gephyrin: 112.8 ± 9.9% of control; P = 0.713; n = 13; Fig. S3, A and B).

To test the involvement of GlyR lateral diffusion in this regulation, we cross-linked the cell-surface GlyR using primary antibodies targeting extracellular epitopes and thus specifically impeded their lateral mobility as previously performed for other membrane receptors (Renner et al., 2010; Murphy-Royal et al., 2015). As expected, this procedure dramatically decreased the GlyR diffusion coefficient, and increased their confinement (Fig. S4, B–D), thereby decreasing their availability to diffuse in and out of synapses. When GlyR cross-linking was achieved before 4AP application, it precluded the effect of activity on the SC of GlyR (cross-linking + 4AP: 93.9 ± 8.7% of cross-link; P = 0.513; n = 3). This result demonstrates that the plastic regulation of synaptic GlyR content depends on GlyR lateral mobility. Because GlyR lateral diffusion is also controlled by microglia, we hypothesized that microglia can thereby tune the adaptive modulation of GlyR accumulation at synapses. Indeed, when microglial cells were stimulated by LPS, a subsequent application of 4AP did not further decrease the SC of GlyR (organotypic slices, Fig. 4 A: LPS + 4AP: 62.0 ± 7.2% of control; P = 0.002; n = 6; LPS + 4AP vs. LPS: P = 0.680; dissociated cultures, Fig. S3 A: LPS + 4AP: 75.7 ± 5.9% of control; P = 0.001; n = 12; difference between LPS + 4AP and LPS: P = 0.862). The consequences of microglial stimulation and modulation of neuronal activity on the synaptic GlyR are thus not additive content, suggesting that they share the same regulatory pathway.

We also directly analyzed the involvement of microglia in the homeostatic control of GlyR at synapses. To this end, we depleted microglial cells from neuronal cultures using the microglia-specific immunotoxin Mac1-saporin and examined the effects on the activity-dependent regulation of synaptic GlyR (Fig. S5). Putative nonspecific effects were ruled out by showing that depletion did not modify the synaptic localization of GlyR and GABA_A,γ2 clusters nor the density of synapses or the proportion of glycinergic and GABAergic synapses (Fig. S5 B). We further verified that after depletion of microglia, application of LPS onto the culture had no further effects on the lateral mobility of GlyR and GABA_A,γ2 (Fig. S5 D). Strikingly, when neuronal cultures were depleted from microglia, 4AP depolarization had no effect on the synaptic accumulation of GlyR (synaptic GlyR α1: 111.2 ± 15.0% of control; P = 0.248; n = 4).

Thus, these experiments demonstrate that microglia are necessary for the activity-dependent regulation of the SC of GlyR.

PGE2 mediates the microglial modulation of synaptic GlyR

We then investigated the molecular mediator potentially involved in the regulation of inhibitory synapses by microglia. The differential regulation of GlyR and GABA_A,γ2 by microglia that we observed is reminiscent of the selective blockade of glycinergic neurotransmission by PGE2 acting on neuronal EP2 receptors (Ahmadi et al., 2002; Reinold et al., 2005). Because PGE2 is specifically produced by microglia upon LPS stimulation (Ikeda-Matsuho et al., 2005), we tested the involvement of PGE2 and EP2 receptors (EP2Rs) in the microglia- and activity-dependent accumulation, stabilization, and trapping of GlyR at synapses. We first showed that in spinal cord organotypic slices, the expression of Cox-2, the rate-limiting enzyme of PGE2 synthesis, is restricted to microglia (Fig. 5 A), as shown by the strong correlation between anti-Cox-2 and anti-Iba1 immunostaining (Pearson...
Microglia are the most dynamic cells of the brain parenchyma; they detect and react instantaneously to subtle modifications of their environment. Initially described as actors of brain pathologies (Kreutzberg, 1996), they are now acknowledged as key partners of neuronal function in the healthy brain (Tremblay et al., 2011; Béchade et al., 2013). Our work now deepens this concept and reveals that microglia achieve acute and rapid control of glycine release via PG2-dependent control of GlyR lateral diffusion and synaptic accumulation.

**Microglia rapidly modulate the SC of glycine but not of GABAARs and regulate inhibitory synaptic strength**

We first established that microglia can modulate inhibitory synaptic function. Deciphering the role of glial cells critically depends on the possibility of specifically blocking or stimulating their functions. In this study, as a proof of concept, we stimulated microglial cells using LPS. It has been extensively demonstrated that in nervous tissue, TLR4, which is the LPS receptor, is only expressed by microglia (Lehnardt et al., 2003; Chakravarty and Herkenham, 2005; Holm et al., 2012; Pascual et al., 2012). Therefore, LPS allowed us to describe the synaptic effects of the specific stimulation of microglia. Stimulation of microglia was shown to significantly reduce the synaptic accumulation of GlyR but not GABAARs and, as a result, it decreased the amplitude of spontaneous glycine release but not GABAergic PSCs. Thus, these experiments revealed a functional link between microglia and inhibitory synapses.

In conclusion, these experiments demonstrated that microglia control the homeostatic regulation of synaptic GlyR content through PGE2 acting on neuronal EP2 receptors via PKA.

**Discussion**

Microglia are the most dynamic cells of the brain parenchyma; they detect and react instantaneously to subtle modifications of their environment. Initially described as actors of brain pathologies (Kreutzberg, 1996), they are now acknowledged as key partners of neuronal function in the healthy brain (Tremblay et al., 2011; Béchade et al., 2013). Our work now deepens this concept and reveals that microglia achieve acute and rapid control of glycine neurotransmission via PG2-dependent control of GlyR lateral diffusion and synaptic accumulation.

Microglia rapidly modulate the SC of glycine but not of GABAARs and regulate inhibitory synaptic strength

We first established that microglia can modulate inhibitory synaptic function. Deciphering the role of glial cells critically depends on the possibility of specifically blocking or stimulating their functions. In this study, as a proof of concept, we stimulated microglial cells using LPS. It has been extensively demonstrated that in nervous tissue, TLR4, which is the LPS receptor, is only expressed by microglia (Lehnardt et al., 2003; Chakravarty and Herkenham, 2005; Holm et al., 2012; Pascual et al., 2012). Therefore, LPS allowed us to describe the synaptic effects of the specific stimulation of microglia. Stimulation of microglia was shown to significantly reduce the synaptic accumulation of GlyR but not GABAARs and, as a result, it decreased the amplitude of spontaneous glycine release but not GABAergic PSCs. Thus, these experiments revealed a functional link between microglia and inhibitory synapses.
Microglia have been suggested to be involved in the plastic regulation of synaptic activity based on the observation that a loss of function of microglial signaling membrane proteins such as DAP12, CX3CR1, or CD200 leads to impaired long-term potentiation in the hippocampus (Roumier et al., 2004; Costello et al., 2011; Rogers et al., 2011). We now have established that microglia tune the dynamic equilibrium of synaptic versus extrasynaptic GlyR by modulating the synaptic dwell time as well as the speed and the entrance/exit frequency of swapping synaptic GlyR. We have further shown that microglia control the activity-dependent plasticity of synaptic GlyR. More precisely, a decrease in neuronal activity induces an adaptive diffusion-dependent reduction of synaptic GlyR (Lévi et al., 2008). When the microglial cells are depleted or stimulated before the change in neuronal activity, the diffusion properties of GlyR are modified and the new dynamic equilibrium cannot be reached, thus preventing the adaptive regulation.

We found that microglia did not acutely regulate GABA<sub>α</sub>R. This work was performed in the spinal cord in which the GABAergic and glycinergic transmissions coexist. In this system, the differential GABA<sub>α</sub>R/GlyR modulation is likely to allow maintenance of global inhibition through the glycinergic component and smaller adjustments through the GABAergic one. One may speculate that microglia regulate inhibitory neurotransmission through different mechanisms in the rostral regions of the nervous system in which inhibitory transmission is mostly, if not exclusively, GABAergic (Freund and Buzsáki, 1996). Depletion of microglia from the brain has also been shown to alter the function of glutamatergic synapses (Parkhurst et al., 2013), suggesting that microglia may provide a coordinated regulation of excitation inhibition within neuronal networks. Indeed, microglial cells are particularly dynamic and reactive to modifications of the brain parenchyma physiology. By modulating the strength and plasticity of excitatory and inhibitory synapses, microglia are thus constantly and rapidly adapting the activity of the neuronal network to the local environment.

**Regulation of inhibitory synapses by microglia is mediated by PGE2**

We found that the stimulation of microglia by LPS modulates the lateral mobility of GlyR, decreases the accumulation of GlyR at synapses, and reduces the amplitude of glycinergic PSCs, but has no effect on GABA<sub>α</sub>R. This latter effect is similar to the effect of PGE2, which induces a selective decrease of glycinergic PSC without impairing the GABAergic PSCs (Ahmadi et al., 2002). In neuronal tissue, PGE2 can be produced by microglia but can also be produced by neurons and astrocytes (Samad et al., 2001; Ikeda-Matsuo et al., 2005; Clasadonte et al., 2011). Therefore, the regulation of synaptic function by microglia could involve other cell types, as previously demonstrated for excitatory synapses (Pascual et al., 2012). However, we have shown that upon LPS stimulation of microglia or 4AP-induced modulation of neuronal activity, Cox-2, which is the rate-limiting PGE2 synthesizing enzyme, is only up-regulated in microglia (Ikeda-Matsuo et al., 2005). Therefore, the regulation of glycinergic synapses that we have unveiled is most likely a result of PGE2 produced by microglia. We have further shown that blocking the PGE2 EP2 receptor prevents the effect of microglial stimulation on the mobility and synaptic accumulation of GlyR, and above all it hampers the microglial-dependent homeostatic regulation of synaptic GlyR. In the spinal cord, EP2 has
been detected mostly in neurons (Bilak et al., 2004; Zhao et al., 2007; Liang et al., 2008). Therefore, our data favor a model in which microglia produce PGE2 that binds to neuronal EP2R and modulates the GlyR diffusion trap properties at synapses. The initial demonstration of a down-regulation of glycinergic currents by PGE2 (Ahmadi et al., 2002) could be reconstituted in GlyR- and EP2R-transfected HEK293 cells upon bath application of glycine (Harvey et al., 2004). In this system, there is no synapse and the modulation of lateral diffusion of GlyR cannot be tracked. Therefore, the regulation that we have discovered represents a new pathway for the modulation of GlyR by PGE2.

We also found that microglia induced a prostaglandin-dependent destabilization of synaptic GlyR with no change in the number of receptors at the cell surface. The destabilization of GlyR could result from a reduced number of accessible gephyrin molecules and/or from decreased interactions among gephyrin, receptors, or the cytoskeleton. Because the stimulation of microglia had no effect on gephyrin accumulation, the destabilization of synaptic GlyR likely results from a decrease of the binding of GlyR to gephyrin. It has been shown that phosphorylations of GlyR shape their interaction with gephyrin and thereby their dynamic behavior (Specht et al., 2011). Actually, PGE2 binding to EP2 receptors activates cAMP–PKA signaling (Jiang and Dingledine, 2013). The regulation of GlyR lateral diffusion by PKA has not yet been specifically addressed, but PKA has been shown to regulate GlyR currents (Ren et al., 1998; Ahmadi et al., 2002; Harvey et al., 2004). The differential regulation of GABAergic and glycinergic neurotransmission by microglia could occur through a differential PGE2-triggered PKA phosphorylation of GABAAR and GlyR. Previous regulation of GlyR by PGE2 has been demonstrated to occur on α3 subunits containing GlyR (Harvey et al., 2004). In this study, we show that synaptic α1-containing GlyR is modulated by PGE2. These results, however, are not contradictory because both subunits can be detected within the same synaptic clusters (Harvey et al., 2004) and may be contained in the same GlyR pentamers. In that case, our results would target a subpopulation of GlyR containing both α1 and α3 subunits.

Our work reveals that PGE2 is an effector of microglia-dependent regulation of synaptic function. Other microglial molecules are known to modulate synaptic function. For

Figure 5. PGE2 mediates microglial regulation of synaptic GlyR accumulation via EP2 receptors. (A) Double labeling of organotypic slices showing Cox-2 and Iba1 IRs in control condition and after 30-min LPS application or 15-min 4AP application. Cox-2 IR is restricted to microglia. Bar, 20 µm. (B) Quantification (mean ± SEM) of Cox-2 fluorescence intensities over the corresponding Iba1 profiles in control (CT), LPS, and 4AP conditions. Circles represent single experiments. (C and D) The modulation of GlyR α1 QD synaptic dwell time (C) and stability (D) in control (CT), after LPS application (LPS), and after LPS and PFD4418948 treatment (LPS + PF044). (E) Modulation of GlyR α1 synaptic dwell time (E) and stability (D) in control (CT), after LPS application (LPS), and after LPS and PFD4418948 treatment (LPS + PF044). (F) Percentage of stable synaptic trajectories detected during the imaging session (n = 3 independent experiments; mean ± SEM; ns, P > 0.05; *, P < 0.05; ***, P < 0.01; Kolmogorov-Smirnov test). (D) Percentage of stable synaptic trajectories detected during the imaging session (n = 3 independent experiments; mean ± SEM; ns, P > 0.05; *, P < 0.05; t-test). (E and F) Fluorescence intensities relative to control of synaptic GlyR α1 IR after application of LPS or 4AP in the presence of EP2 receptor antagonist PFD4418948 (E) or the PKA antagonist H-89 (F) in organotypic slices. Mean ± SEM; circles represent single experiments.
instance, in the spinal cord, thrombospondin-1 reduces the synaptic accumulation of AMPA receptors and increases that of GlyR (Hennekinne et al., 2013). In addition, this effect is counteracted by TNF, which is also produced by microglia (Berta et al., 2014). Furthermore, TNF mediates the scaling of synaptic activity during the blockade of neuronal activity by modulating the membrane expression of AMPA and GABAR in the hippocampus (Stellwagen and Malenka, 2006). Thus, microglia are involved in the regulation of several aspects of synaptic function.

In the pain field, microglia have been mostly involved in causing neuropathic pain, but recent evidence suggests that they might also contribute to inflammatory pain (Berta et al., 2014; Ji et al., 2014). In addition, prostaglandins and cyclooxygenases appear to play a significant role in inflammatory pain (Broom et al., 2004; Reinold et al., 2005). Therefore, the destabilization of GlyR by microglial prostaglandin reported in the present study could be an early step in this pathological process. More generally, our data significantly extend the understanding of glial functions by showing that microglia are actively regulating inhibitory synapses. We have discovered a novel dimension of the regulation of the excitation/inhibition balance, which is not only altered in pathological situations such as pain but is also altered in epilepsy or sensory deprivation processes that are known to involve microglia (Babbaum et al., 2009; Tremblay et al., 2010; Ferrini and De Koninck, 2013).

Materials and methods

Animals

All experiments were approved by the Charles Darwin Ethical Committee (Ce5-2014-001 and 1339-2015073113467359).

Spinal cord slices, cell cultures, and pharmacology

Spinal cords from P3–P7 C57Bl6/J mice were sliced using a McIlwain tissue chopper (Mickle Laboratory). Slices (200 µm) were placed on Millicell CM inserts (Millipore) and maintained for 14 to 21 d in Neurobasal medium (Invitrogen) supplemented with B27 (Invitrogen), 2 mM glutamine, 1 mM CaCl2, 2 mM MgSO4, 2 mM MgCl2, 11 mM NaHCO3, 5 mM NaHCO3, and 20 mM Hepes.

Primary cultures of spinal cord neurons were prepared from C57Bl6/J or mRFP–gephyrin knockin mice at E13 as described previously (Specht et al., 2011). In brief, images were preamplified and blocked in PBS 0.1% Triton X-100 and 0.25% fish gelatin and incubated with primary antibodies (anti-GlyR α1 [1:400; rabbit]; anti-GABAAR γ2 [1:50; Alomone Labs], anti-gephyrin [1:400; mAb7a; Synaptic Systems], anti-Cox-2 [1:200; M-19; Santa Cruz Biotechnology], anti-Iba1 [1:400; Wako], and anti-EP2R [Alomone Labs]). Imaging was performed using a TCS SP5 or SP5 confocal microscope (Leica Microsystems). For each condition, we performed n = 3–16 independent cultures. In each culture and for each experimental condition, we analyzed three to four independent slices. For each slice, four to six images were acquired in the dorsal horn. One image is a 2-µm stack of four confocal slices.

Cultured cells were fixed with 90% cold methanol at −20°C for double immunodetection of GlyR and GABAAR or fixed with 4% PFA for single immunodetection of inhibitory receptors. Cells were permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 when required and blocked with 0.25% fish gelatin. Immunolabeling of synaptic receptors was performed in 0.25% fish gelatin using anti-GlyR α1 (1:800; mAb2b; Synaptic Systems) and GABAAR γ2 (1:100; Alomone Labs). Imaging was performed using a confocal spinning disk microscope (DM5000B [Leica Microsystems]; spinning disk head CSU10 [Yokogawa]). For each condition, we performed n = 5–17 independent cultures. For each culture and for each experimental condition, we analyzed duplicate coverslips. In each coverslip, 8–12 images were randomly acquired. One image is a single confocal slice.

The synaptic localization of gephyrin clusters has previously been demonstrated in mature cultures of spinal cord neurons (Hanus et al., 2006). We further showed that in the spinal cord, gephyrin clusters are apposed to vesicular GABA transporter (VGAT) puncta (Fig. S5). Therefore, endogenous mRFP–gephyrin or gephyrin IR clusters were used as synaptic markers as described previously (Specht et al., 2011). A cluster was defined as synaptic when it contained at least one pixel colocalized with gephyrin fluorescence. The fluorescence intensities of GABAAR or GlyR clusters colocolated with mRFP–gephyrin or gephyrin IR were quantified using homemade software in MATLAB as previously described (Shrivastava et al., 2015). In brief, images were filtered by wavelet decomposition to generate background-free masks showing clusters of GABAAR, GlyR, or gephyrin. These masks were used to identify the clusters of GABAAR or GlyR that were completely or partially colocalizing with gephyrin. These clusters were characterized as synaptic. The total fluorescence intensity of synaptic clusters was then quantified on the original images. The same procedure was used to quantify the gephyrin clusters apposed to VGAT or Cox-2 IR colocolated with Iba1 IR. Quantification of Pearson correlation was calculated with Icy software using the Colocalization studio plugin (Lagache et al., 2015).

Whole-cell patch-clamp recordings

Acute slices. Mice (C57BL/6; both sexes, aged 21–37 d) were anesthetized with an intraperitoneal overdose of chloral hydrate (7%). A laminectomy was performed to remove the spinal cord in an ice-cold sucrose-based saline solution containing 2 mM KCl, 0.5 mM CaCl2, 7 mM MgCl2, 1.15 mM NaH2PO4, 26 mM NaHCO3, 11 mM glucose, and 205 mM sucrose. Transverse slices (350 µm) of the tho-
racolombar spine (T11–L3) were cut with a vibratome (VT1200 S; Leica Microsystems) and incubated at 37°C in artificial cerebrospinal fluid containing 130 mM NaCl, 3 mM KCl, 2.5 mM CaCl2, 1.3 mM MgSO4, 0.6 mM Na2HPO4, 25 mM NaHCO3, and 10 mM glucose, pH 7.4, bubbled with 95% O2 and 5% CO2, for a 45-min recovery period before electrophysiological recordings. Substantia gelatinosa neurons were recorded with patch pipettes (5–7 MΩ resistance) filled with an internal solution containing 145 mM KCl, 5 mM EGTA, 2 mM MgCl2, 10 mM Heps, 2 mM ATP-Na2, 0.2 mM GTP-Na2, neurobiotin (0.05%; Vector Laboratories), and dextran tetramethylrhodamine (10,000 MW; fluoro-ruby; 0.01%; Life Technologies), with pH adjusted to 7.4 and osmolality of 290–300 mOsm. Recorded neurons were maintained at a holding potential of ~65 mV.

Cultured neurons. Recordings were performed from cultured spinal cord neurons at 14–18 d in vitro at room temperature. Patch pipettes (4–6 MΩ) were filled with an internal solution containing 140 mM CsCl, 10 mM EGTA, 1 mM BAPTA, 1 mM MgCl2, 4 mM Mg-ATP, 10 mM Heps, and 5 mM QX314, adjusted to pH 7.4. Spontaneous currents were recorded at a holding potential of ~60 mV. Neurons were continuously perfused with an external solution containing 137 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 2 mM CaCl2, 1 mM MgCl2, 20 mM glucose, and 10 mM Heps, pH 7.4. Spontaneous synaptic currents were detected using the Clampfit template procedure. In brief, for each cell, a sliding template was created by averaging 15–20 synaptic events, and detection of synaptic currents was done on the basis of closeness of fit to the sliding template. Overlapping events were excluded from amplitude analysis.

Acquisitions were performed using Clampex 10 software (Molecular Devices) connected to a Multiclamp 700B amplifier (Molecular Devices) via a Digidata 1440A digitizer (Molecular Devices). Voltage clamp data were filtered at 2 kHz and digitized at 10 kHz. The frequencies and amplitudes of inhibitory PSCs were analyzed with Clampfit (Axon Instruments).

All recordings were made in the presence of 6-cyano-7-nitroquinolinoxide-2,3-dione (5 µM; Tocris) and D2-amino-5-phosphonaleric acid (50 µM; Tocris). Selective antagonists were then used to block glycine receptors (strychnine hydrochloride; 0.5 µM) and GABAARs (gabazine; SR95531; 5 µM; Tocris).

Cell-surface biotinylation assay
Cultured cells were incubated with biont reagent (1 mg/ml NHS-SS-Biotin; Pierce), and unbound biont was quenched with Dulbecco’s PBS supplemented with 50 mM glycine, 0.8 mM CaCl2, and 0.5 mM MgCl2 at a pH level of 7.4. After lysing the cells with 1% Triton X-100 (wt/vol) in Tris buffer plus 2 mM EDTA and 2,000 U/ml benzonase (Merck), cleared Triton X-100 extracts were collected (10,000 g; 15 min) and mixed with neutravidin beads (Pierce) at 4°C. Immunoprecipitated and biotinylated proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE followed by immunoblotting with mAb4a antibody (1:500) or goat anti-GABAAR γ2 (1:200; Santa Cruz Biotechnology). HRP-coupled secondary antibodies were used at 1:10,000. Proteins were visualized using an ECL and Amersham kit (Roche). For quantifications, the surface level of receptors was normalized to the corresponding level of protein detected in the immunoprecipitated fraction.

Single-particle tracking
All single-particle tracking experiments were performed in dissociated cultured cells. Cells were incubated with primary antibodies targeting extracellular epitopes of synaptic receptors. Cells were then incubated with anti–rabbit biotinylated secondary antibody fragment F(ab’2) (Jackson ImmunoResearch) and then incubated with streptavidin-coated QDs emitting at 655 nm (0.2–0.3 nM; Invitrogen). Cells were imaged within 30 to 40 min at 37°C using an IX70 inverted microscope (Olympus). QD movements were recorded at 13 Hz for 500 consecutive frames. QD trajectories were classified as synaptic when they colocalized with mRFP– gephrin clusters. Only trajectories with >15 consecutive points were kept for quantitative analysis. Analyses were performed as described previously (Hennekin et al., 2013). Synaptic dwell time was calculated as the time spent at synapses over the number of exits from synapses. Stable QD receptors were defined as a population remaining for the whole duration of the recording at mRFP–gephrin–positive loci. Swapping QD receptor transition frequencies were calculated between each frame (sliding window of 75 ms) and normalized with the amount of synapses detected in the recorded field. The explored area of each trajectory was defined as the mean square displacement of the trajectory for a time interval between 1 and 1.5 s (Renner et al., 2009). The area was defined as synaptic when it colocalized with gephrin-positive pixels.

Statistical analyses
Statistical analyses were performed using R (http://cran.r-project.org/), MATLAB (The Mathworks), and PRISM. Cumulative distributions were tested using the two-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Differences in mean values were tested using the Mann-Whitney test unless specified. Normality of the distributions was determined using the one-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. For experiments performed in cultures, n is indicative of independent cultures. For experiments performed in organotypic slices or in animals, n is indicative of independent animals.

Online supplemental material
Fig. S1 shows that the short-term stimulation of microglia differentially decreases the synaptic accumulation of GlyR and GABAAR in organotypic slices and dissociated cultured cells. Fig. S2 shows that microglia modulate the lateral diffusion parameters of synaptic but not extrasynaptic GlyR α1 and GABAAR γ2. Fig. S3 (A and B) shows that the adaptive plastic regulation of GlyR is modulated by microglia in dissociated cultures. Fig. S4 shows that depletion of microglia by saporin is neuronal and that blocking its function prevents the modulation of GlyR by LPS and 4AP in dissociated cultures.
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