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Abstract. In this paper, we study the pro-nilpotent group topology on a free group. First we describe the closure of the product of finitely many finitely generated subgroups of a free group in the pro-nilpotent group topology and then present an algorithm to compute it. We deduce that the nil-closure of a rational subset of a free group is an effectively constructible rational subset and hence has decidable membership. We also prove that the $G_{nil}$-kernel of a finite monoid is computable and hence pseudovarieties of the form $V \oplus G_{nil}$ have decidable membership problem, for every decidable pseudovariety of monoids $V$. Finally, we prove that the semidirect product $J \ast G_{nil}$ has a decidable membership problem.

1. Introduction

Hall showed that every finitely generated subgroup of a free group is closed in the profinite topology [10]. Pin and Reutenauer conjectured that if $H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_n$ are finitely generated subgroups of a free group, then the product $H_1 H_2 \cdots H_n$ is closed in the profinite topology [16]. Assuming this conjecture they presented a simple algorithm to compute the closure of a given rational subset of a free group. This conjecture was proved by Ribes and Zalesskiĭ [18], who also later proved that if the subgroups $H_1, \ldots, H_n$ are $p$-closed for some prime $p$, then $H_1 \cdots H_n$ is $p$-closed, too [19].

Margolis, Sapir and Weil provided an algorithm to compute the nil-closure of a finitely generated subgroup of a free group [15]. In this paper, we provide an example of two finitely generated nil-closed subgroups $H, K$ of a free group whose product $HK$ is not nil-closed. However, we prove that the nil-closure of the product of finitely many finitely generated subgroups is the intersection over all primes $p$ of its $p$-closures and present a procedure to compute algorithmically a finite automaton that accepts precisely the reduced words in the nil-closure of the product. Hence, there is a uniform algorithm to compute membership in the nil-closure of a product of finitely many finitely generated subgroups of a free group. We also prove that the nil-closure of a rational subset of a free group is again a rational subset and provide an algorithm to compute an automaton that accepts the reduced words in the nil-closure. This yields that the Mal’cev product $V \oplus G_{nil}$ is
decidable for every decidable pseudovariety of monoids $V$, where $G_{\text{nil}}$ is the pseudovariety of all finite nilpotent groups.

Auinger and the third author introduced the concept of arboraceous pseudovarieties of groups [4] and proved that a pseudovariety of groups $H$ is arboraceous if and only if $J^*H = J*H$, where $J$ is the pseudovariety of all finite $J$-trivial monoids. The pseudovariety $G_{\text{nil}}$ is not arboraceous and, therefore, $J^*G_{\text{nil}} \neq J*G_{\text{nil}}$. We prove that the pseudovariety $J*G_{\text{nil}}$ has decidable membership as an application of our results on computing nil-closures of rational subsets.

2. Decidability of the nil-closure of rational subsets

Let $G$ be a group and $H$ a pseudovariety of groups, that is, a class of finite groups closed under finite direct products, subgroups and homomorphic images. Then the pro-$H$ topology on $G$ is the group topology defined by taking as a fundamental system of neighborhoods of the identity all normal subgroups $N$ of $G$ such that $G/N \in H$. This is the weakest topology on $G$ so that every homomorphism of $G$ to a group in $H$ (endowed with the discrete topology) is continuous. We say that $G$ is residually $H$ if, for every $g \in G \setminus \{1\}$, there is a homomorphism $\varphi: G \to H \in H$ with $\varphi(g) \neq 1$, or, equivalently, $\{1\}$ is an $H$-closed subgroup. In this case, the pro-$H$ topology is Hausdorff and, in fact, it is metric when $G$ is finitely generated. More precisely, when $G$ is finitely generated, the topology is given by the following ultrametric écart. For $g \in G$, define

$$r(g) = \min\{[G : N] \mid G/N \in H, g \notin N\} \cup \{\infty\}.$$

Then the $H$-pseudonorm is given by

$$|g|_H = 2^{-r(g)} \quad \text{(where } 2^{-\infty} = 0).$$

One can verify that

$$|g_1g_2|_H \leq \max\{|g_1|_H, |g_2|_H\}.$$

For $g_1, g_2 \in G$, we define

$$d_H(g_1, g_2) = |g_1g_2^{-1}|_H.$$

It is easy to see that this is an ultrametric écart defining the pro-$H$ topology, which is a metric if and only if $G$ is residually $H$. The pseudovariety $H$ is said to be extension-closed if, whenever $1 \to N \to G \to H \to 1$ is an exact sequence of groups with $N, H \in H$, we also have $G \in H$.

We use $\text{Cl}_H(X)$ to denote the closure of $X \subseteq G$ in the pro-$H$ topology. If $p$ is a prime, then $G_p$ denotes the pseudovariety of all finite $p$-groups. We denote by $G_{\text{nil}}$ the pseudovariety of all finite nilpotent groups. We talk of $p$-closure, $p$-denseness, etc., instead of $G_p$-closure, $G_p$-denseness, etc. We also talk of nil-closure, nil-denseness, etc., instead of $G_{\text{nil}}$-closure, $G_{\text{nil}}$-denseness, etc.

An important property that we shall exploit is that if $H \subseteq K$ are pseudovarieties of groups, then $\text{Cl}_H(X) = \text{Cl}_H(\text{Cl}_K(X))$ for $X \subseteq G$. 
2.1. The nil-closure of a product of finitely generated subgroups. In this subsection we describe the nil-closure of a finite product of subgroups of a free group in terms of its \( p \)-closures. In the next subsection, we provide an algorithm to compute the nil-closure of a finite product of finitely generated subgroups, and more generally, of a rational subset.

Let \( H \) be a finitely generated subgroup of a free group \( F \). Margolis, Sapir and Weil proved that the nil-closure of \( H \) is the intersection over all primes \( p \) of the \( p \)-closures of \( H \) \cite[Corollary 4.1]{Margolis}. Our goal is to first prove an analogous result for products of subgroups of free groups.

The following straightforward lemma describes the \( H \)-closure of an arbitrary subset of a group with respect to the pro-\( H \) topology for a pseudovariety \( H \) of groups.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let \( F \) be a group with its pro-\( H \) topology, and let \( X \) be a subset of \( F \). Then the \( H \)-closure of \( X \) is the intersection over all \( H \in H \) and (onto) homomorphisms \( \varphi : F \to H \) of the subsets \( \varphi^{-1}(X) \).

Let \( G \) be a group in the pro-\( H \) topology, \( X \subseteq G \), and \( g \in G \). Note that \( \text{Cl}_H(Xg) = \text{Cl}_H(X)g \) and \( \text{Cl}_H(gX) = g\text{Cl}_H(X) \) as left and right translations by elements of \( G \) are homeomorphisms.

**Proposition 2.2.** Let \( F \) be a group and \( H_1, \ldots, H_n \) be subgroups of \( F \). Then the nil-closure of \( H_1 \cdots H_n \) is the intersection over all primes \( p \) of the \( p \)-closures of \( H_1 \cdots H_n \).

**Proof.** Suppose that \( x \in \text{Cl}_{\text{nil}}(H_1 \cdots H_n) \). Then, for every homomorphism \( \varphi : F \to G \) onto a finite nilpotent group \( G \), one has \( \varphi(x) \in \varphi(H_1 \cdots H_n) \). In particular, for every homomorphism \( \varphi : F \to P \) onto a finite \( p \)-group \( P \), we have that \( \varphi(x) \in \varphi(H_1 \cdots H_n) \). Thus, \( x \in \text{Cl}_p(H_1 \cdots H_n) \) for every prime \( p \) and hence \( x \) is in the intersection over all primes \( p \) of the \( p \)-closures of \( H_1 \cdots H_n \).

Now suppose that an element \( x \) is in the intersection of the \( p \)-closures of \( H_1 \cdots H_n \) over all primes \( p \) and \( \varphi : F \to G \) is a homomorphism onto a finite nilpotent group \( G \).

The group \( G \), being a finite nilpotent group, is the direct product of its Sylow subgroups (each of which is normal). Suppose that the Sylow subgroups of \( G \) are \( P_1, \ldots, P_m \) where \( P_j \) is a \( p_j \)-Sylow subgroup for a prime \( p_j \) and \( 1 \leq j \leq m \); then \( G = P_1 \cdots P_m \). The group \( \varphi(H_i) \) is a subgroup of \( G \), so it is nilpotent and, thus, it is also a direct product of its Sylow subgroups for every \( 1 \leq i \leq n \). Therefore, \( \varphi(H_i) = P_{i,1} \cdots P_{i,m} \) such that \( P_{i,j} = 1 \) or \( P_{i,j} \) is a \( p_j \)-Sylow subgroup of \( \varphi(H_i) \), for every \( 1 \leq i \leq n \) and \( 1 \leq j \leq m \). As \( G \) is nilpotent, it has a unique \( p \)-Sylow subgroup, for each prime divisor \( p \) of its order, and so \( P_{i,j} \subseteq P_j \), for \( i = 1, \ldots, n \) and \( j = 1, \ldots, m \). As the Sylow subgroups of \( G \) are normal and have pairwise trivial intersection, we have that \( P_i \) and \( P_j \) commute elementwise (for \( i \neq j \)). Thus it follows that

\[
\varphi(H_1 \cdots H_n) = P_{1,1} \cdots P_{1,m}P_{2,1} \cdots P_{2,m} \cdots P_{n,1} \cdots P_{n,m}
= P_{1,1} \cdots P_{n,1}P_{1,2} \cdots P_{n,2} \cdots P_{1,m} \cdots P_{n,m}.
\] (2.1)
Since \( \varphi(x) \in G \), there exist unique \( a_1 \in P_1, a_2 \in P_2, \ldots, a_m \in P_m \) such that \( \varphi(x) = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_m \). For every prime \( p_j \), \( 1 \leq j \leq m \), consider the canonical projection \( \pi_j : G \to P_j \). Since \( \pi_j \varphi \) is a homomorphism and \( x \in \text{Cl}_{p_j}(H_1 \cdots H_n) \), we conclude that \( a_j = \pi_j \varphi(x) \in P_{1,j} \cdots P_{n,j} \) for \( j = 1, \ldots, m \). Hence, \( \varphi(x) \in \varphi(H_1 \cdots H_n) \) by (2.1) and, therefore, the intersection over all primes \( p \) of the \( p \)-closures of \( H_1 \cdots H_n \) is in the nil-closure of \( H_1 \cdots H_n \). The result follows. \( \square \)

This yields immediately the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.3.** Let \( F \) be a group and \( H_1, \ldots, H_n \) be subgroups of \( F \).

1. If the subset \( H_1 \cdots H_n \) is \( p \)-dense in \( F \), for every prime \( p \), then the subset \( H_1 \cdots H_n \) is nil-dense in \( F \).
2. If, for every prime \( p \), there exists an integer \( 1 \leq i \leq n \) such that \( H_i \) is \( p \)-dense, then the subset \( H_1 \cdots H_n \) is nil-dense in \( F \).

**Example 2.4.** Consider the subgroups \( H = \langle a^2, b \rangle \) and \( K = \langle a, b^3 \rangle \) of the free group \( F \) on the set \( \{a, b\} \). By [15, Corollary 3.3], the subgroup \( H \) is \( p \)-dense for every prime except prime 2 and the subgroup \( K \) is \( p \)-dense for every prime except prime 3. Therefore, \( HK \) is nil-dense by Corollary 2.3. Since \( HK \) is a proper subset of \( F \) (in fact, every pair of infinite index, finitely generated subgroups of a free group has infinitely many double cosets, cf. [12]), it follows that \( HK \) is not nil-closed.

On the other hand, it is easily checked using the algorithm in [15, Section 3.2], that the subgroup \( H \) is 2-closed and the subgroup \( K \) is 3-closed. Alternatively, \( H \) is a free factor of the kernel of the mapping \( F \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \) mapping \( a \) to \( 1 + 2\mathbb{Z} \) and \( b \) to \( 0 + 2\mathbb{Z} \). \( K \) is a free factor of the kernel of the mapping \( F \to \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \) mapping \( a \) to \( 0 + 3\mathbb{Z} \) and \( b \) to \( 1 + 3\mathbb{Z} \) and free factors of open subgroups are closed in the pro-\( p \) topology, for any prime \( p \), by the results of Ribes and Zaleski [19] [15].

It follows that \( H \) and \( K \) are both nil-closed. So a product of nil-closed subgroups of a free group is not necessarily nil-closed and hence it is not in general true that \( \text{Cl}_{nil}(H_1 \cdots H_n) = \text{Cl}_{nil}(H_1)\text{Cl}_{nil}(H_2) \cdots \text{Cl}_{nil}(H_n) \) for \( n > 1 \).

### 2.2. Computing the nil-closure of a rational subset

If \( M \) is a monoid (with the relevant examples for us being free groups and free monoids), then a subset of \( M \) is said to be **rational** if it belongs to the smallest collection \( C \) of subsets of \( M \) such that:

- finite subsets of \( M \) belong to \( C \);
- if \( X, Y \in C \), then \( X \cup Y \) belongs to \( C \);
- if \( X, Y \in C \), then \( XY = \{xy \mid x \in X, y \in Y\} \) belongs to \( C \);
- if \( X \in C \), then the submonoid of \( M \) generated by \( X \) belongs to \( C \).

If \( M \) is finitely generated by a set \( A \) and \( \pi : A^* \to M \) is the canonical projection (where \( A^* \) denotes the free monoid on \( A \)), then a subset \( X \) of \( M \) is rational if and only if there is a regular language \( L \) over \( A \) such that
$X = \pi(L)$. Recall that a regular language over an alphabet $A$ is a subset accepted by a finite $A$-automaton $\mathcal{A}$. Here, we take a finite $A$-automaton to be a finite directed graph with edges labeled by elements of $A$ together with two distinguished subsets of vertices $I$ and $T$. The language $L(\mathcal{A})$ accepted by $\mathcal{A}$ consists of all words in $A^*$ labeling a path from a vertex in $I$ to a vertex in $T$. Regular languages over $A$ are exactly the rational subsets of $A^*$ by Kleene’s theorem. See Eilenberg’s book [9] for details.

If $G$ is a group, then a theorem of Anissimov and Seifert [3] says that a subgroup $H$ of $G$ is rational if and only if it is finitely generated. Any finite product $H_1 \cdots H_n$ of finitely generated subgroups $H_1, \ldots, H_n$ of $G$ is rational, as is any translate $gH_1 \cdots H_n$. Consequently, any finite union of translates of products of finitely many finitely generated subgroups of $G$ is rational.

Let $A$ be a finite set and $F(A)$ the free group on $A$. Let $\tilde{A} = A \cup A^{-1}$ where $A^{-1}$ is a set of formal inverses of the elements of $A$ and denote by $\pi: \tilde{A}^* \to F(A)$ the natural projection. Then Benois proved [6] that a subset $L$ of $F(A)$ is rational if and only if the set of reduced words in $\tilde{A}^*$ representing elements of $L$ (under $\pi$) is a regular language and consequently the rational subsets of $F(A)$ are closed under intersection and complement. Moreover, given any finite automaton $\mathcal{A}$ over $\tilde{A}$, there is a low-degree polynomial time algorithm to construct an automaton $\mathcal{A}'$ over $\tilde{A}$ accepting precisely the reduced words representing elements of $\pi(L(\mathcal{A}))$. It follows, that if $H_1, \ldots, H_n$ are finitely generated subgroups of $F(A)$, given by finite generating sets, and $g \in F(A)$, then one can effectively construct (in polynomial time in the sum of the lengths of the generators of the $H_i$ and the length of $g$) a finite automaton over $\tilde{A}$ accepting precisely the reduced words representing an element of $gH_1 \cdots H_n$, and similarly for finite unions of such subsets. See [21] for details.

We will show that the nil-closure of a rational subset $L$ of $F(A)$ is a rational subset. Moreover, there is an algorithm which, given a finite automaton $\mathcal{A}$ over $\tilde{A}$ with $\pi(L(\mathcal{A})) = L$, produces a finite automaton $\mathcal{A}'$ over $\tilde{A}$ accepting precisely the reduced words representing elements of the nil-closure of $L$. The first step to do this is to construct from a set $H_1, \ldots, H_n$ of finitely generated subgroups of $F(A)$ (given by finite generating sets) a finite automaton accepting the reduced words in the nil-closure of $H_1 \cdots H_n$.

In the seminal paper [20], Stallings associated to each finitely generated subgroup $H$ of $F(A)$ an inverse automaton $\mathcal{A}(H)$ which can be used to solve a number of algorithmic problems concerning $H$ including the membership problem. Stallings, in fact, used a different language than that of inverse automata; the automata theoretic formulation is from [15].

An inverse automaton $\mathcal{A}$ over $A$ is an $\tilde{A}$-automaton with the property that there is at most one edge labeled by any letter leaving any vertex and if there is an edge $p \to q$ labeled by $a$, then there is an edge labeled by $a^{-1}$ from $q \to p$. Moreover, we require that there is unique initial vertex, which is also the unique terminal vertex. The set of all reduced words accepted by a
finite inverse automaton is a finitely generated subgroup of $F(A)$ sometimes called the fundamental group of the automaton.

If $H$ is a finitely generated subgroup of $F(A)$, then there is a unique finite connected inverse automaton $\mathcal{A}(H)$ whose fundamental group is $H$ with the property that all vertices have out-degree at least 2 except possibly the initial vertex (where we recall that there are both $A$ and $A^{-1}$-edges). One description of $\mathcal{A}(H)$ is as follows. Take the inverse automaton $\mathcal{A}'(H)$ with vertex set the coset space $F(A)/H$ and with edges of the form $Hg \overset{a}{\to} Hga$ for $a \in \bar{A}$; the initial and terminal vertices are both $H$. Then $\mathcal{A}(H)$ is the subautomaton whose vertices are cosets $Hu$ with $u$ a reduced word that is a prefix of the reduced form of some element $w$ of $H$ and with all edges between such vertices; the coset $H$ is still both initial and final. Stallings presented an efficient algorithm to compute $\mathcal{A}(H)$ from any finite generating set of $H$ via a procedure known as folding. Conversely, one can efficiently compute a finite free basis for $H$ from $\mathcal{A}(H)$. From the construction, it is apparent that there is an automaton morphism $\mathcal{A}(H) \to \mathcal{A}(K)$ if and only if $H \subseteq K$ for finitely generated subgroups $H, K$. Also, it is known that $H$ has finite index if and only if $\mathcal{A}(H) = \mathcal{A}'(H)$. Stallings also provided an algorithm to compute $\mathcal{A}(H \cap K)$ from $\mathcal{A}(H)$ and $\mathcal{A}(K)$ (note that intersections of finitely generated subgroups of free groups are finitely generated by Howson’s theorem). See [20, 15, 21] for details.

We next recall the notion of an overgroup of a finitely generated subgroup of a free group from [15]. Let $H$ be a finitely generated subgroup of the free group $F(A)$ with Stallings automaton $\mathcal{A}(H)$. Then, as a finite inverse automaton has only finitely many quotient automata, there are only finitely many subgroups $K$ of $F(A)$ containing $H$ such that the natural morphism from $\mathcal{A}(H)$ to $\mathcal{A}(K)$ is onto. Such subgroups are called overgroups of $H$. Each overgroup is finitely generated and one can effectively compute the set of Stallings automata of the overgroups of $H$ from $\mathcal{A}(H)$. An important result, proved implicitly in [19] and explicitly in [15], is that the $p$-closure of a finitely generated subgroup $H$ is an overgroup of $H$ for any prime $p$ [15, Corollary 2.4].

Let $K$ be a finitely generated subgroup of $F(A)$ and let $\mathbb{P}(K)$ denote the set of prime numbers $p$ such that $K$ is $p$-closed. Then the set $\mathbb{P}(K)$ is either finite or cofinite, and it is effectively computable from $\mathcal{A}(H)$ by [15, Proposition 4.3]. That is, one can decide if $\mathbb{P}(K)$ is finite or co-finite and one can effectively list $\mathbb{P}(K)$ if it is finite and, otherwise, effectively list the complement of $\mathbb{P}(K)$, which in this case is finite.

Margolis, Sapir and Weil presented a procedure to compute the Stallings automaton of the nil-closure of a finitely generated subgroup of a free group (which is again finitely generated) as follows.

**Algorithm 2.5** (Margolis, Sapir, Weil). Let $H$ be a finitely generated subgroup of $F(A)$ given by a finite generating set.

1. Compute $\mathcal{A}(H)$ using the Stallings folding algorithm.
(2) Compute the set $A$ of overgroups of $H$ (or more precisely, their Stallings automata).

(3) Compute the subset $A' = \{ S \in A \mid \mathbb{P}(S) \neq \emptyset \}$.

(4) Compute the Stallings automaton of the intersection $K$ of the elements of $A'$.

(5) Return $A(K)$ as the Stallings automaton of the nil-closure $K$ of $H$.

Now, let $H_1, \ldots, H_n$ be finitely generated subgroups of the free group $F(A)$. By Proposition 2.2, $\text{Cl}_{\text{nil}}(H_1 \cdots H_n)$ is the intersection of all the $p$-closures of $H_1 \cdots H_n$. Ribes and Zalesskii in [19] proved that if the subgroups $H_1, \ldots, H_n$ are $p$-closed for some prime $p$, then $H_1 \cdots H_n$ is $p$-closed too. Hence, the $p$-closure of $H_1 \cdots H_n$ is equal to $\text{Cl}_p(H_1)\text{Cl}_p(H_2) \cdots \text{Cl}_p(H_n)$.

By [15, Corollary 2.4] the $p$-closure of a finitely generated subgroup is one of its overgroups, which we shall exploit henceforth.

The procedure to compute an automaton accepting the reduced words in the nil-closure of $H_1 \cdots H_n$ is quite similar to the procedure to compute the Stallings automaton of the nil-closure of a finitely generated subgroup in [15].

**Algorithm 2.6.** Let $H_1, \ldots, H_n$ be finitely generated subgroups of the free group $F(A)$ given by finite generating sets.

1. Compute the Stallings automata $A(H_i)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$.
2. Compute the set $A_i$ of overgroups of $H_i$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, (or more precisely their Stallings automata).
3. Compute, for each set in the collection,

   \[ C = \{ S_1 \cdots S_n \mid S_i \in A_i \text{ and } \mathbb{P}(S_1) \cap \cdots \cap \mathbb{P}(S_n) \neq \emptyset \} \]

   an $\tilde{A}$-automaton accepting the set of reduced words belonging to it.
4. Compute an $\tilde{A}$-automaton $B$ accepting the reduced words in the intersection of the sets in the collection $C$.
5. Return $B$ as an $\tilde{A}$-automaton accepting the reduced words in the nil-closure of $H_1 \cdots H_n$.

We shall prove in a moment that Algorithm 2.6 is correct. First we verify that each of the steps of the algorithm can effectively be carried out. The only step that is not straightforward to carry out based on the known algorithmic properties of rational subsets of free groups is deciding in (3) whether or not the set $\mathbb{P}(S_1) \cap \cdots \cap \mathbb{P}(S_n)$ is empty for $S_i \in A_1, \ldots, S_n \in A_n$. Indeed, if $J \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$ is the set of indices such that $\mathbb{P}(S_i)$ is finite, then we can effectively compute $A = \bigcap_{i \in J} \mathbb{P}(S_i)$ and $B = \bigcup_{i \notin J} \mathbb{P}(S_i)'$ where $\mathbb{P}(S_i)'$ denotes the finite complement of $\mathbb{P}(S_i)$ for $i \notin J$. Then $\mathbb{P}(S_1) \cap \cdots \cap \mathbb{P}(S_n) = \emptyset$ if and only if $A \subset B$, which is decidable as $A$ and $B$ are effectively computable finite sets.

Proposition 2.2 will be used to check that Algorithm 2.6 is correct.
Theorem 2.7. The nil-closure of the product of finitely many finitely generated subgroups of a free group is an effectively computable rational subset and hence has a decidable membership problem.

Proof. We verify correctness of Algorithm 2.6. Each element of $C$ contains $H_1 \cdots H_n$ and is $p$-closed for some prime $p$ and thus contains the nil-closure of $H_1 \cdots H_n$ by Proposition 2.2. Therefore, the nil-closure of $H_1 \cdots H_n$ is contained in the intersection of $C$. On the other hand, for each prime $p$, one has that $\text{Cl}_p(H_1 \cdots H_n) = \text{Cl}_p(H_1) \cdots \text{Cl}_p(H_n)$ (by [19]) appears in $C$ by [15] Corollary 2.4. Thus the intersection of $C$ is exactly the nil-closure of $H_1 \cdots H_n$ by Proposition 2.2. \hfill $\Box$

Let $L \subseteq F(A)$ be a rational subset given by an $A$-automaton. Then, by the results of [16] and [18], the pro-$G$ closure $L$ can be effectively written as a finite union of sets of the form $gG_1 \cdots G_n$ with $g \in F(A)$ and the $G_i$ finitely generated subgroups, for every integer $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Corollary 2.8. The nil-closure of a rational subset of $F(A)$ is computable and rational. More precisely, there is an algorithm that, given an $A$-automaton accepting $L$, produces an $A$-automaton accepting exactly the reduced words in the nil-closure of $L$.

Proof. Let $L$ be a rational subset of $F(A)$. Then we have that $\text{Cl}_{nil}(L) = \text{Cl}_{nil}(\text{Cl}_G(L))$. Now by [16] and [18], there exist effectively computable finitely generated subgroups $G_{1,j}, \ldots, G_{r_{j},j}$, for $1 \leq j \leq s$ of $F(A)$ and elements $g_1, \ldots, g_s \in F(A)$ such that

$$\text{Cl}_G(L) = g_1 G_{1,1} \cdots G_{r_{1,1}} \cup \ldots \cup g_s G_{1,s} \cdots G_{r,s,s}. $$

Hence, we have

$$\text{Cl}_{nil}(L) = \text{Cl}_{nil}(g_1 G_{1,1} \cdots G_{r_{1,1}}) \cup \ldots \cup \text{Cl}_{nil}(g_s G_{1,s} \cdots G_{r,s,s}) = g_1 \text{Cl}_{nil}(G_{1,1} \cdots G_{r_{1,1}}) \cup \ldots \cup g_s \text{Cl}_{nil}(G_{1,s} \cdots G_{r,s,s}).$$

It now follows from Theorem 2.7 and the known algorithmic properties of rational subsets of free groups, that we can construct an $A$-automaton accepting precisely the reduced words in $\text{Cl}_{nil}(L)$. This completes the proof. \hfill $\Box$

The next example shows that, for finitely generated subgroups $H_1, \ldots, H_n$ of $F$ with $n > 1$, in general, the subset $H_1 \cup H_2 \cup \ldots \cup H_n$ may be $p$-dense, for every prime $p$, without being nil-dense and hence the nil-closure of a rational subset of a free group need not be the intersection of its $p$-closures over all primes $p$.

Example 2.9. Consider the subgroups $H$ and $K$ of the free group $F$ from Example 2.4 and recall that the subgroup $H$ is $p$-dense for every prime except prime 2 and $K$ is $p$-dense for every prime except prime 3. Thus $H \cup K$ is $p$-dense for every prime $p$. On the other hand, we saw that $H$ and $K$ are both nil-closed in Example 2.4 and hence $H \cup K$ is nil-closed. As $H \cup K$ is a proper subset of $F$, we conclude that $H \cup K$ is not nil-dense and hence is
not the intersection of its \( p \)-closures over all primes \( p \). More explicitly, one can check that under the canonical projection \( F \to \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z} \), the image of \( H \cup K \) is proper.

We end this section by investigating different conditions under which the union of finitely many finitely generated subgroups of a free group is \( p \)-dense for a prime \( p \).

**Proposition 2.10.** Let \( F \) be a group and \( H_1, \ldots, H_n \) be subgroups of \( F \). If the subset \( H_1 \cup H_2 \ldots \cup H_n \) is \( p \)-dense for some prime \( p \geq n \) in \( F \), then there exists a positive integer \( i \) such that \( H_i \) is \( p \)-dense.

**Proof.** Since the subset \( H_1 \cup H_2 \ldots \cup H_n \) is \( p \)-dense in \( F \), for every homomorphism \( \varphi : F \to P \) onto a finite \( p \)-group \( P \), \( \varphi(H_1 \cup H_2 \ldots \cup H_n) = P \).

The group \( \varphi(H_i) = P_i \) is a subgroup of \( P \) and, thus, it is a \( p \)-group, for \( 1 \leq i \leq n \). Hence, \( P = P_1 \cup \ldots \cup P_n \).

Suppose that \( P_i \neq P \) for every \( 1 \leq i \leq n \). Hence, \( P \) is noncyclic and it is covered by its proper subgroups. Lemma 116.3.(a) of [8] yields \( n \geq p + 1 \). This contradicts the assumption that \( p \geq n \). Therefore, there exists a positive integer \( i \) such that \( \varphi(H_i) = P \).

Now suppose that the subgroup \( H_i \) is not \( p \)-dense for every \( 1 \leq i \leq n \). Hence, there exist a \( p \)-group \( P_i \) and an onto homomorphism \( \varphi_i : F \to P_i \) such that \( \varphi_i(H_i) \neq P_i \) for every \( 1 \leq i \leq n \).

Let \( \varphi_1 \times \cdots \times \varphi_n : F \to P_1 \times \cdots \times P_n \). Since \( P_1 \times \cdots \times P_n \) is a \( p \)-group, \( G = (\varphi_1 \times \cdots \times \varphi_n)(F) \) is a \( p \)-group and, thus, by the above, there exists a positive integer \( i \) such that

\[
(\varphi_1 \times \cdots \times \varphi_n)(H_i) = G.
\]

As \( G \) is a subdirect product of \( P_1, \ldots, P_n \), it follows that \( \varphi_i(H_i) = P_i \), a contradiction. \( \Box \)

The next example shows that the hypothesis that \( p \geq n \) in Proposition 2.10 cannot be dropped. For this purpose, we recall that a group \( G \) is said to be minimal non-Abelian if it is non-Abelian but all its proper subgroups are Abelian [7].

**Example 2.11.** Suppose that \( P \) is a minimal non-Abelian \( p \)-group. By [8, Lemma 116.1(a)], \( P \) contains a set \( X \) of \( p + 1 \) pairwise noncommuting elements of \( P \) and no subset with more than \( p + 1 \) elements consists of pairwise noncommuting elements. By [7, Lemma 1.1 and Exercise 1, page 22], we have that \( |P : Z(P)| = p^2 \). It follows that, for each \( x \in X \), the subgroup \( M_x = \langle x, Z(P) \rangle \) is proper (since \( P \) is non-Abelian) and of index \( p \) (since \( x \notin Z(P) \)), hence maximal. Moreover, if \( x \neq y \), then \( M_x \neq M_y \) as \( M_x \) is Abelian and \( y \) does not commute with \( x \). It follows that \( M_x \cap M_y = Z(P) \) for all \( x \neq y \) in \( X \). Suppose that \( |P| = p^t \). As

\[
|Z(P) \cup \bigcup_{x \in X} M_x \setminus Z(P)| = p^{t-2} + (p + 1)(p^{t-1} - p^{t-2}) = p^t = |P|,
\]
the group $P$ is covered by its maximal subgroups $M_x$ with $x \in X$. Let 
$\varphi: F \to P$ be a homomorphism onto $P$, with $F$ a finitely generated free 
group, and put $P_x = \varphi^{-1}(M_x)$ for $x \in X$. The subgroup $P_x$ is $p$-open. 
Since $F = \bigcup_{x \in X} P_x$, the set $\bigcup_{x \in X} P_x$ is $q$-dense for every prime $q$. But the 
subgroup $P_x$ is not $p$-dense for every $x \in X$, as $\varphi(P_x) = M_x \subseteq P$. Thus the 
bound in Proposition 2.10 is tight.

3. Decidability of the pseudovariety $\J \ast G_{\nil}$

The reader is referred to [1, 17] for basic definitions from finite semigroup 
theory. Let $V$ be a pseudovariety of monoids and $H$ be a pseudovariety 
of groups. If $\varphi: M \to H$ is a surjective morphism with $M$ a monoid and 
$H$ a group, then $N = \varphi^{-1}(1)$ is a submonoid of $M$. In this case, we say 
that $M$ is a co-extension of $H$ by $N$. Recall that the pseudovarieties $V \ast H$ 
and $V \ast H$ are generated, respectively, by semidirect products of monoids 
in $V$ with groups in $H$ and by co-extensions of groups in $H$ by monoids in 
$V$. In general, $V \ast H \subseteq V \ast H$ (by consideration of the semidirect 
product projection).

A relational morphism $\varphi: M \to N$ of monoids is a relation such that 
$\varphi(m) \neq \emptyset$ for all $m \in M$ and $\varphi(m) \varphi(m') \subseteq \varphi(mm')$ for all $m, m' \in M$. 
Recall that a subset $X \subseteq M$ of a finite monoid is called $H$-pointlike if, for 
every relational morphism $\varphi: M \to H$ with $H \in H$, there exists $h \in H$ 
such that $X \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(h)$ [22]. For example, the submonoid of elements of 
$M$ that relate to 1 under any relational morphism to a member of $H$ is $H$-
pointlike; this submonoid is denoted $K_H(M)$ and called the $H$-kernel of $M$. 
An element $(m_1, \ldots, m_k) \in M^k$ is called an $H$-liftable $k$-tuple if, for every 
relational morphism $\mu: M \to H$ with $H \in H$, there exist $h_1, \ldots, h_k \in H$ 
such that $h_1 \ldots h_k = 1$ and $h_i \in \mu(m_i)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$ [21]. For example, 
$(m)$ is an $H$-liftable 1-tuple if and only if $m \in K_H(M)$.

A finite monoid $M$ is a called a block group if each element $a \in M$ has at 
most one generalized inverse, that is, there is at most one element $a' \in M$ 
such that $aa'a = a$ and $a'aa' = a'$. The pseudovariety of block groups is 
denoted $\BG$ [17]. The power set of a finite group is a typical example of a 
block group and the pseudovariety of block groups is generated by power 
sets of finite groups [11].

Let $\text{Reg}(M)$ be the set of regular elements of $M$; that is, those elements $a \in 
M$ for which $aa'a = a$ and $a'aa' = a'$ for some $a' \in M$. The pseudovariety 
$\text{Reg} V$ consists of all monoids $M$ such that $\text{reg}(M)$ generates a monoid in $V$.

In previous work, the third author [22] showed that membership was 
decidable in certain pseudovarieties of the form $V \ast G_{\nil}$ without having 
computed membership in the $G_{\nil}$-kernel. Namely, he proved that the pseu-
dovariety $(\text{Reg} V) \ast G_{\nil}$ is decidable for every decidable pseudovariety $V$.

Let us recall that $A$ denotes the pseudovariety of all finite aperiodic 
monoids, $\DS$ denotes the pseudovariety of all finite monoids whose regular 
$J$-classes are subsemigroups, $DA = DS \cap A$, and $J$ denotes the pseudovariety
of all finite \( J \)-trivial monoids. For each of the pseudovarieties \( A, DS, DA \) and \( J \), we have \( \text{Reg} \ V = V \). Thus the pseudovarieties \( A \circ G_{\text{nil}}, DS \circ G_{\text{nil}}, DA \circ G_{\text{nil}} \) and \( J \circ G_{\text{nil}} \) are decidable by the results of [21] and [15].

We shall now prove that the pseudovariety \( V \circ G_{\text{nil}} \) has decidable membership for every decidable pseudovariety \( V \). (Recall that a pseudovariety is called \textit{decidable} if it has a decidable membership problem.) Before proving our claim, we recall a proposition from [21] that establishes a relationship between \( H \)-liftable \( k \)-tuples and \( H \)-closure of rational subsets. The reader should recall that if \( M \) is a finite \( A \)-generated monoid (with \( A \) finite), then, for any \( m \in M \), then the language of words \( w \in A^* \) mapping to \( m \) (i.e., \( [w]_M = m \)) is rational in \( A^* \) [9], and hence in the free group \( F(A) \).

**Proposition 3.1.** [21 Proposition 7.20] Let \( M \) be a finite \( A \)-generated monoid, \( (m_1, \ldots, m_k) \in M^k \), \( L_{m_i} = \{ u \in A^* \mid [u]_M = m_i \} \), and \( H \) be a pseudovariety of groups. Then \( (m_1, \ldots, m_k) \) is an \( H \)-liftable \( k \)-tuple if and only if \( 1 \in \text{Cl}_H(L_{m_1} \cdots L_{m_k}) \) where the closure is taken in the free group \( F(A) \) on \( A \).

**Theorem 3.2.** The nilpotent kernel \( K_{G_{\text{nil}}}(M) \) of a finite monoid \( M \) is computable.

**Proof.** Fix a finite generating set \( A \) for \( M \). We have that \( m \in K_{G_{\text{nil}}}(M) \) if and only if \( (m) \) is a \( G_{\text{nil}} \)-liftable 1-tuple. By Proposition 3.1 \((m)\) is a \( G_{\text{nil}} \)-liftable 1-tuple if and only if \( 1 \in \text{Cl}_{G_{\text{nil}}}(L_m) \) in \( F(A) \). By Corollary 2.8 \( \text{Cl}_{G_{\text{nil}}}(L_m) \) is an effectively computable rational subset of \( F(A) \) and the result follows.

This yields the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.3.** Let \( V \) be a decidable pseudovariety. Then the pseudovariety \( V \circ G_{\text{nil}} \) is decidable.

**Proof.** It is well known that \( M \in V \circ G_{\text{nil}} \) if and only if \( K_{G_{\text{nil}}}(M) \in V \) (cf. [11, Theorem 3.4]). As \( V \) has decidable membership and \( K_{G_{\text{nil}}}(M) \) is computable by Theorem 3.2 the pseudovariety \( V \circ G_{\text{nil}} \) is decidable.

Recall that \( CR \) denotes the pseudovariety of all finite completely regular monoids (that is, monoids satisfying an identity of the form \( x^m = x \) with \( m > 1 \)). In particular, the pseudovariety \( CR \circ G_{\text{nil}} \) is decidable. It is well known, see for instance [11], that, if \( V \) is local in the sense of Tilson [23], then \( V \star H = V \circ H \). The pseudovarieties \( A, CR, DS, DA \) and \( DA \) are local. Therefore, the pseudovarieties \( CR \star G_{\text{nil}}, A \star G_{\text{nil}}, DS \star G_{\text{nil}} \) and \( DA \star G_{\text{nil}} \) are all decidable, where the last three of these results were already proved in [22 Corollary 7.3].

Auinger and the third author defined arboreous pseudovarieties of groups in terms of certain properties of their relatively free profinite groups. This definition and more details can be found in [4]. They proved that a pseudovariety of groups \( H \) is arboreous if and only if \( J \circ H = J \star H \) [4, Theorem 8.3]. They also noted that each arboreous pseudovariety is join irreducible [4].
Corollary 2.13] where a pseudovariety $H$ is join irreducible if $H = H_1 \lor H_2$ implies that $H = H_1$ or $H = H_2$.

Since the pseudovariety $G_{nil}$ is not join irreducible, it is not arboreous. Thus $J \mathbb{R} G_{nil} \neq J \ast G_{nil}$. As we have already seen, the pseudovariety $J \mathbb{R} G_{nil}$ is decidable (cf. [22, Corollary 8.1]). We now show that the pseudovariety $J \ast G_{nil}$ is also decidable, a new result. Before proving this, we shall first recall two results from [22].

**Theorem 3.4.** [22, Theorem 8.2] Let $M$ be a block group and $H$ a pseudovariety of groups. Then $M \in J \ast H$ if and only if, for every pair $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ of regular elements of $M$ which form an $H$-pointlike set, one has that $\alpha \alpha^{-1} \beta \beta^{-1} = \alpha \beta^{-1}$.

**Lemma 3.5.** [21, Lemma 7.23] Let $H$ be a pseudovariety of groups, $M$ a block group, and $\alpha, \beta \in \text{reg}(M)$. Then $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ is $H$-pointlike if and only if $(\alpha, \beta^{-1})$ is a $H$-liftable 2-tuple.

Now by Proposition 3.1, Theorem 3.4, and Lemma 3.5, the following result can be seen as a corollary of the decidability of nil-closure in the free group of a regular language.

**Theorem 3.6.** The pseudovariety $J \ast G_{nil}$ is decidable.

**Proof.** It is well known [11] that $J \mathbb{R} G = BG$ and $J \ast G \subseteq J \mathbb{R} G$. Thus $J \ast G_{nil} \subseteq BG$ and so it suffices to decide membership in $J \ast G_{nil}$ for block groups. Suppose that $M$ is a block group generated by a finite set $A$. By Theorem 3.4, $M \in J \ast G_{nil}$ if and only if, for every pair $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ of regular elements of $M$ which form a $G_{nil}$-pointlike set, the equality $\alpha \alpha^{-1} \beta \beta^{-1} = \alpha \beta^{-1}$ holds. Thus it suffices to decide whether a pair $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ of regular elements of $M$ is a $G_{nil}$-pointlike set. In fact, by Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 3.5, it suffices to decide whether $1 \in \text{Cl}_{nil}(L_{\alpha} L_{\beta^{-1}})$. But since $L_{\alpha} L_{\beta^{-1}}$ is an effectively computable rational subset of $A^*$, we can decide this by Corollary 2.8. This completes the proof.

An interesting open question is whether $\text{PG}_{nil}$ has a decidable membership problem, where we recall that if $H$ is a pseudovariety of groups, then $PH$ is the pseudovariety generated by all power sets of groups in $H$ (with setwise product). It is known that $PH \subseteq J \ast H$ and that equality holds precisely for the so-called Hall pseudovarieties [5]. Hall pseudovarieties are join irreducible [5] and so $\text{PG}_{nil} \neq J \ast G_{nil}$.
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