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Aims and Method The aims of this review were to explore the effectiveness and patient and provider acceptability of telepsychiatry consultations in intellectual disability, contrasting this with direct face-to-face consultations and proposing avenues for further research and innovation. Computerised searches of databases including AMED and EMBASE were conducted.

Results Four USA studies of intellectual disability telepsychiatry services have been reported. The majority (75%) focused on children with intellectual disability. Sample sizes ranged from 38 to 900 participants, with follow-up from 1 to 6 years. Outcome measures varied considerably and included cost savings to patients and healthcare providers, patient and carer satisfaction and new diagnoses.

Clinical implications The innovations summarised suggest a requirement to further explore telepsychiatry models. Despite some promising outcomes, there is a relative dearth in the existing literature. Further studies in other healthcare systems are required before concluding that telepsychiatry in intellectual disability is the best approach for providing psychiatric services to this population.
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Background

In provision of psychiatric services to people with intellectual disability, several factors result in patients and carers experiencing difficulty in attending out-patient appointments. As intellectual disability services often cater for large geographical areas, these factors include inconvenient travel.

In the UK, there is an emphasis on finding efficiencies and providing cost reductions to address the political austerity agenda. The Transforming Care report for people with intellectual disability itself cited cost savings for patients returning to the community. Additionally, there is a growing movement towards a more environmentally conscious provision of service. Telepsychiatry consultations, on the surface, would appear to aid with these issues.

Telepsychiatry has been broadly defined as the use of information and communication technology to provide or support psychiatric services across distances. The General Medical Council and the American Psychiatric Association have produced guidance on the fields of telmedicine and telepsychiatry, respectively. Notably, issues such as practicalities (including security, confidentiality, patient and provider identification, logistics, patient selection, prescribing responsibilities and reliability of equipment), as well as ethical issues including appropriate ability to escalate emergencies, are highlighted as important in such a modality.

Several reviews have focused on telepsychiatry and autism. Many people with intellectual disability have comorbid autism; however, the reverse is not always the case. Therefore, extrapolation of studies in telepsychiatry with autism, unless intellectual disability is explicitly stated, may mask the underlying needs of those with intellectual disability. Although a brief review of intellectual disability in telepsychiatry exists in the literature, this was methodologically flawed, as searches were limited to PubMed, resulting in omission and limited synthesis with inclusion of student teaching rather than telepsychiatry (patient-directed care).

Several innovative telepsychiatry services have been developed for use in adult, forensic, and child and adolescent mainstream (i.e. non-intellectual disability) mental health services. These, however, do not focus on the key issues encountered by the intellectual disability patient group and the specialist psychiatric services that serve them.

Aims

The aims of this review were to explore the effectiveness and patient and provider acceptability of telepsychiatry consultations in intellectual disability, contrasting this with direct face-to-face consultations and proposing avenues for further research and innovation.
Methods

Search strategy

A computerised search was undertaken on 10 June 2018 using the following databases: Cochrane Library, AMED, BNI, CINAHL, EMBASE, Medline, PubMed and PsychINFO. The search was of English language, peer reviewed journals for all dates available published in the respective databases.

Search terms were kept broad, to include as many studies as possible and account for the different terminology used internationally and over time for intellectual disability. The grey literature (opengrey.eu) was also searched to avoid missing relevant publications. References from papers that were selected by the search were also included if suitable studies were encountered.

The search terms for title and abstract were: (tele-psych*) AND (mental* OR intellect* OR development* OR learning*) AND (deficien* OR disabilit* OR disabl* OR retard* OR disorder* OR impair* OR handicap*).

Study selection

Papers considered eligible for inclusion in this review included those where: (a) the participants were mental health-care patients (adults or children) who were classified as having an intellectual disability; and (b) the intervention described was telepsychiatry, i.e. live synchronous or asynchronous videoconference-based clinical psychiatry. English abstracts of non-English articles were reviewed where available.

Papers were excluded where participants did not have an intellectual disability, there was no telepsychiatric intervention, or they were general review papers on teledmedicine.

The titles and abstracts of reviews were identified, screened and classified for extraction of full review for further analysis by the author.

Results

A record of the searches is provided in Fig. 1. A total of 472 records were identified by database searches. A further 12 were retrieved by hand-searching from references. Of the 472 studies, 185 were duplicates and the remaining 299 were screened with abstracts reviewed by the author. The majority of exclusions were on the basis of the article having no clear reference to people with intellectual disability. At this stage, 107 articles were selected, including several involving participants with autism where further information was required to determine whether an intellectual disability was also present.

Upon reviewing the full text of these studies, a further 103 were excluded. In these cases, the articles were opinion, commentary or editorial pieces, primary research, or addressing autism but not concerning patient groups with intellectual disability. Four studies were included in the qualitative synthesis; a summary is provided in Table 1.

Study location

All studies took place in North America. Two of the studies took place in Ohio but were run by separate teams in different locations. The telepsychiatry care was provided in schools, hospitals and homes. All studies appeared to be funded by non-commercial sources.

Study designs

There were no randomised controlled trials identified. The Harper study used control groups that were evaluated on site rather than through a telepsychiatry modality and were matched using age, gender, socioeconomic status and problem type. The Langkamp study provided access to a primary care physician; while this was not a study of a psychiatric service, it was included as the cases described illustrated patient types likely to be seen in a UK intellectual disability service.

Study populations

Most studies (three) focused on children with intellectual disability, while the remaining study (Gentile) included both children and adults with intellectual disability.

Sample size

Sample sizes of people with intellectual disability were unclear in two studies (Harper and Langkamp); however the sample sizes of the others ranged from 38 to 900 participants.

Interventions

Broadly categorised, the interventions in this review included psychiatric assessment and psychiatric follow-up, provided using a telepsychiatry service. Prior to the development of the telepsychiatry service, consultations were provided in a standard face-to-face model. Studies included in this paper used both asynchronous and synchronous connections, with the latter being more common (three studies). Synchronous services provide live, two-way interactive transmission at geographically separate locations, thereby simulating face-to-face interviewing. Asynchronous services, by contrast, do not require the presence of both parties at the same time, and have the advantages of being relatively inexpensive and not requiring any special hardware support. The information can be transferred in the form of data, audio, video clips or recordings, and can be done by email or web applications for review by a specialist at a later date.

Length of follow-up

Follow-up length varied from 1 to 6 years.

Outcome measures

Outcome measures varied considerably across the research studies and included cost savings to both patients and healthcare providers, patient and carer satisfaction, new diagnoses and medication changes.

Notably, there was a 96% decrease in emergency room visits (Gentile) in the 12 months following treatment via the telepsychiatry model compared with the preceding 12 months. The authors of that study suggest that teams have access to nursing and medical staff between appointments to assist in problem-solving in real time when issues occur.
between appointments. They also discuss how staff provide education on de-escalation techniques and options when patients exhibit behavioural change. Although a remarkable 96% decrease was noted, one would question the practice that existed prior to the telepsychiatry model. It is likely that, as there was limited access to local professionals before, the patients and carers may have been able to access professionals remotely. Further information on this would have been useful to balance the use of high-cost medical services with multiple accesses to lower-cost services.

Gentile described hospital admissions decreasing by 85%. The authors also noted that of their first 120 subjects, none had been admitted or readmitted to state-operated institutions. They estimated the state of Ohio saving approximately US$80 000 per person per year in support costs. As above, more information on the frequency of contacts would have been useful. Although the study highlights several patients historically having had to use state-operated institutions, it cannot necessarily be concluded that the telepsychiatry intervention was the only reason there were no admissions or readmissions.

Harper24 noted a positive attitude to their telemedicine group, with 98% stating that the experience was the same or more positive. Some parents (12%) reported technical problems such as poor audio and camera movement. Professionals rated the consultations as comparable to face-to-face consultations. There were no significant differences in consultation time. Over the time period, the authors evaluated costs including time, travel and mileage. They concluded that the average saving to the local district (professional and patterns) was US$971 per telemedicine session. Additionally, the average saving for parents was estimated to be US$125 per session, and fewer parents missed work.

Although there was no breakdown of cases in the Langkamp25 study, the case studies included one illustrating a 10-year-old girl with intellectual disability and agitation. The authors comment that her parents were absent from work for healthcare visits less often as a result of using the telemedical link. The parents also reported appreciating their child receiving quality medical care without becoming excessively distressed.

Szeftel26 and colleagues reported their patients as being seen six times on average in the first year, and three times per year in the second and third years. Severity and number of symptoms were noted to have decreased over the three years, with fewer visits as treatment progressed and fewer medication changes. The authors noted that changes in medication, either in dosage or type, tended to take place in the first rather than in later appointments, with 82% of patients having a recommended medication change at the initial assessment; this may suggest an emphasis on a biological rather than a holistic approach.

**Discussion**

This study is the first review to undertake a comprehensive synthesis of telepsychiatry in intellectual disability. There were two major findings: (a) very few reports of such studies exist; (b) all studies took place in North America. Unfortunately, it is therefore difficult to draw firm conclusions about the benefits and constraints of such a modality in this population group. The majority of the studies had relatively low sample sizes and focused on a single nation’s health infrastructure (USA); hence, extrapolation to other populations and to other countries is potentially erroneous.

Unsurprisingly, most studies focused on children, given the relative ease of implementing such systems in children’s services (as children attend schools and are more likely to have parents as guardians). It is therefore difficult to
extrapolate satisfaction levels of parents to those of adult patients receiving such services. Information on exact numbers of patients with intellectual disability and mental illness or challenging behaviour was missing in half the studies. None of the studies discussed any legal implications of using remote services and storage of video data, nor how to escalate difficulties if and when they arose.

The absence of randomised controlled trials, the gold standard in research study design, was a major flaw in these studies – and, in fact, in telepsychiatry research as a whole.

### Table 1: Characteristics of included studies

| Study ID        | Subjects                                      | Study location                  | Intervention                                                                                                      | Outcome                                                                                     | Funding                                                                                     |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Gentile et al (2017) | 900 patients, adults and children              | Ohio (64 out of the 88 counties), USA | Synchronous telepsychiatry appointments with upper level residents and faculty physician partners. Various locations including homes, schools | Data compared with year preceding intervention. Improved access to care, reduced emergency visits/hospital admissions. Cost savings, reduced travel and medical expenses. Reduced long-term services and support costs. | Grants from Ohio Department of Developmental Disabilities and Ohio Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services |
| Harper (2006)   | Four groups of children, including one group of children with developmental disorders and severe behavioural disorders within the total of 100 participants | Iowa, USA                       | Synchronous cable system connected to two public school sites and a small regional hospital from the hospital-based Center for Disabilities and Development. Two of the sub-projects included: (a) Telepsychiatry consultation – a real-time, two-way video conferencing psychiatry service for rural clients; and (b) specialised interdisciplinary consultations – a real-time, two-way video conferencing service for children with special health and behavioural needs in rural Iowa communities | Phone-based interview for families and professionals. Outcomes specific to children with ID and severe behavioural disorders were not described separately. Parents reported high satisfaction and that the telemedical consultations were as effective as face-to-face visits, as well as reduced travel costs. | Federal funds from the National Library of Medicine under Contract No. N01–LM–6–3548 |
| Langkamp et al (2015) | Approximately 200 children with developmental disorders. Four case studies described, one involving clear behavioural or mental difficulties with an ID. | Two rural counties in North Ohio, USA | Asynchronous telemedical care. School-based telemedical program. Primarily uses store-and-forward technology to connect children with ID to their own primary care physician. | Post-visit survey and parent-reported outcomes. High level of parent satisfaction, with 100% opting to continue to use service. 84% of children remained in school. | Grant from the Office for the Advancement of Telemedicine/HRSA (H2ATH07764) and a grant from Akron Children’s Hospital Foundation |
| Szeftel et al (2012) | 126 patients; 45 of these evaluated, of which 84% had an ID and 69% were children | California, USA | Synchronous care. Collaborative care model: primary care provider provides long-term care at the local clinic; child psychiatrist provides evaluation and recommendations at a distant clinic through videoconferencing. | Retrospective chart review. Prior to initial assessment, no patients were diagnosed with anxiety or mood disorders. Approximately one-third of patients received one of these diagnoses in the telepsychiatry clinic. Patients seen six times on average in first year and three times in the second and third years. Telepsychiatrist recommended a change in patient’s medication for 82% of patients at initial assessment, 41% at year 1 and 46% at year 3. | Unclear |

ID, intellectual disability.
whole.27 Furthermore, it is possible that there are commercial intellectual disability telepsychiatry services who have not published their data for economically sensitive reasons and have therefore been missed by the searches.

However, despite these limitations, it would be irresponsible to dismiss this body of evidence when taken in the context of the telepsychiatry and general telemedical literature. Most notably, several reviews of telepsychiatry in autism34–36 have been conducted; these offer some overlapping features that could benefit those considering setting up telepsychiatry services in intellectual disability in other countries. There are potential legal and technological issues that could restrict the development of this field, and contextualising other non-intellectual disability studies could benefit such service innovators. Greenhalgh37 and colleagues recently conducted a mixed-method study on video outpatient consultations, in which it was concluded that despite such consultations appearing convenient, safe and effective, this was only in patients judged clinically appropriate and was a fraction of the overall clinic workload. The paper also highlights that the National Health Service appears to be a difficult setting in which to introduce technologies that imply major changes in service models.

The studies overall suggested positive effects of the telepsychiatry model for intellectual disability patients. Notably, an often-considered concern from professionals regarding remote consultations is the loss of subtleties and direct relationships that are built with face-to-face appointments. These studies and the literature as a whole29 do not support this. In fact, there is even evidence that children with severe anxiety and autism can be more engaged during a telepsychiatry consultation.30

In addition, there are notable savings to services, both directly and in prevention of future hospital admissions, which are likely to appeal to service providers. When this is taken in the context of the positive patient and carer satisfaction results noted in the studies, it is surprising there has not been a larger uptake of telepsychiatry services in intellectual disability. If they develop sufficiently, such services may become eventually be classified as a reasonable adjustment as per the UK Disability Discrimination Act. In fact, the most recent National Institute for Health and Care Excellence guideline38 for care and support of people growing older with learning disabilities includes specific advice to ‘consider the use of technologies such as telehealth and telecare to complement but not replace the support provided by people face to face’.

All studies identified were conducted in North America; no published UK or European studies were found. This is surprising, as the UK has a faculty of intellectual disability at the Royal College of Psychiatry (https://www.rcpsych.ac.uk/workinpsychiatry/faculties/intellectualdisability.aspx) and a well-established training scheme for psychiatrists to specialise in intellectual disability psychiatry,32 as well as highly active patient advocate groups such as Mencap35 and the Challenging Behaviour Foundation.34 However, psychiatric services are generally closer to patient populations when compared with the USA. Of the locations mentioned in the studies identified, Iowa is significantly low in population density (21/km²) when compared with California and Ohio (93 and 109/km², respectively),35 although it is recorded as having more urban than rural population. In the UK, the population is more dense (271/km²) overall, with an estimate of 83% of the population living in an urban setting.37 However, it is notable in the UK that many on-call rotas are non-residential, covering large geographical regions; thus, the application of telepsychiatry could benefit both patients and a significant proportion of psychiatrists who work with intellectual disability patients.

Nevertheless, despite the identified studies focusing on intellectual disability services for children, the transition period from child and adolescent mental health services to adult services could be a positive avenue of research. Collaborative multi-professional appointments may in fact ease the transition, and research involving telepsychiatry could help to determine whether this is the case.38,39 This is often a difficult period for patients, carers and professionals, particularly for those with intellectual disability.

More research in this field would be welcomed for less-developed and more geographically spaced-out healthcare systems. Implementing both synchronous and asynchronous remote consultations using some of the more accessible, encrypted and mainstream video streaming services with intellectual disability population groups is likely to become more feasible, given that broadband services (and reductions in costs) have permeated much of the globe, including geographically isolated areas. Further research in less-developed countries as well as in other healthcare systems would help to build a more robust literature and facilitate innovation in this field. The rolling out of broadband services across other nations, including the UK, has been relatively slow in comparison with the USA; this may partly explain the lack of telepsychiatry services, which require reasonable connection speeds.

Additionally, as costs of technology such as secure smartphone devices and cheap encrypted applications decrease and data connection speeds increase, it is likely that more healthcare providers internationally may consider both implementing telepsychiatry services and sharing their outcome data in the peer-reviewed literature. Integrating the findings would therefore enable best practice guidelines to be developed, for example.

None of the studies in this review mentioned the use of interpreters; their incorporation into telepsychiatry, whether for Makaton, other forms of signing or in fact more mainstream language translation, is another potential avenue of research.40,41

It is feasible that access to expertise via international collaborations using asynchronous methods or taking advantage of time zone differences for synchronous methods could enable, for example, vulnerable intellectual disability populations in underserved areas to access specialist intellectual disability psychiatric care to aid in reducing mental distress. Additional health economic and environmental evaluations in differing healthcare systems could also clarify whether similar models of care are transposable to such systems. Specific evaluation of environmental benefits or effects would also be a useful outcome to evaluate in further research.

Conclusions

This study identified four telemedical psychiatric consultation studies in intellectual disability, mainly limited to
children. While there is some evidence of cost-effectiveness, improvement in patient and carer satisfaction, and convenience, the fact that there were relatively few studies limited to North America would suggest there is a need to explore further these novel methods of enhancing current psychiatric services.

Telespsychiatry models appear to aid in the empowerment of this patient group, as well as providing cost savings. However, further studies are required in other countries and across a wider age range before concluding that telespsychiatry in intellectual disability is an effective, acceptable and satisfying approach for providing psychiatric services for this underserved population group.
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