Integrative taxonomy reveals overlooked cryptic diversity in the conifer feeding *Batrachedra pinicolella* (Zeller, 1839) (Lepidoptera, Batrachedridae)
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Abstract

During efforts to generate DNA barcodes for all European Lepidoptera, *Batrachedra pinicolella* (Zeller, 1839) was found to comprise two genetically distinct clusters. Morphological investigation and results from two nuclear markers and ddRAD sequencing furthermore support the existence of two distinct taxa which we treat as two separate species, *B. pinicolella* and *B. confusella* sp. nov. A lectotype for *B. pinicolella* is designated. Available data indicate that the biology of both species also differs, with *Picea abies* (L.) Karsten as a proved host-plant for *B. pinicolella* and *Pinus sylvestris* L. for *B. confusella* sp. nov. Both species are mainly distributed on the European continent with *B. pinicolella* occurring in boreal parts of North and Central Europe and introduced to Canada, reflecting a boreo-montane distribution pattern. *Batrachedra confusella* sp. nov. is more widely distributed in temperate Northern and Central Europe.
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Introduction

During the last two decades, aided by DNA barcoding, several cryptic species have been discovered among European Lepidoptera (Huemer and Hebert 2011; Mutanen et al. 2012a, 2012b, 2020; Hernández-Roldán et al. 2016; Zlatkov and Huemer 2017, 2019; Huemer and Karsholt 2018; Huemer 2020; Wikström et al. 2020). The first suspicion that the widespread European pine feeding moth Batrachedra pinicolella (Zeller, 1839) consists of more than one species arose after the publication of the monograph of the European Momphidae s.l. (Koster and Sinev 2003). When the first author compared the genitalia figures on page 53 and 54 with the figures on page 253 and 319, discrepancies between these illustrations were observed. Independently within the framework of national barcoding initiatives in Norway and Finland as well as a supranational barcoding campaign in the Alps, we found striking genetic diversity in B. pinicolella indicating potential cryptic diversity (Huemer and Hebert 2016). Following this discovery, examination of morphological characters of representatives of the two clusters was made and additional molecular markers studied. Results confirm the presence in Europe of two separate species confused under the name Batrachedra pinicolella, one feeding on Pinus and the other on Picea.

Material and methods

DNA barcodes refer to a 658 base-pair long fragment of the mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit 1 (CO1). Legs from 49 specimens of the involved species pair were prepared according to the prescribed standards and successfully processed at the Canadian Centre for DNA Barcoding (CCDB, Biodiversity Institute of Ontario, University of Guelph) to obtain DNA barcodes and using the standard high-throughput protocol described in deWaard et al. (2008). These sequences are supplemented by 16 DNA barcodes belonging to the two further known congeneric species of the European fauna. All sequences were submitted to GenBank, and further details including complete voucher data and images can be accessed in the public dataset “Batrachedra pinicolella species group [DS-BATRAPIN]” in the Barcode of Life Data Systems (BOLD; Ratnasingham and Hebert 2007). Degrees of intra- and interspecific variation of DNA barcode fragments were calculated under the Kimura 2 parameter model of nucleotide substitution using analytical tools of BOLD systems v. 4.0. (http://www.boldsystems.org). A neighbor-joining tree of DNA barcode data was constructed using MEGA 6 (Tamura et al. 2013) under the Kimura 2 parameter model for nucleotide substitutions.

We attempted to obtain data of six nuclear genes, wingless, CAD (carbamoyl-phosphate synthetase), EF-1a (elongation factor 1 alpha), MDH (malate dehydrogenase), RpS5 (ribosomal protein S5), and IDH (isocitrate dehydrogenase), for specimens re-
resenting the two clusters of *B. pinicolella*. Five of these specimens represented BIN AAF0077 and three BIN AAF0078. Nuclear sequences were obtained for both clusters only for two of these genes, *EF-1a* and *MDH*. A few sequences of *CAD* and *wingless* were also recovered, but representing of only one of the BINs, and were therefore not considered in this study. We also studied the presence of *Wolbachia* bacteria in all eight specimens using *fisZ ja wsp* markers (Zhou et al. 1998; Baldo et al. 2006). DNA extraction, PCR, and sequencing were conducted at the university of Oulu using standard Sanger sequencing protocols as outlined in Wahlberg and Wheat (2008), with slight modifications for example for purification of sequencing reactions. Sequencing was performed with an ABI 3730 capillary sequencer. Nuclear sequences were deposited in the Voseq database (Peña and Malm 2012). Genetic distances were calculated under Kimura 2 parameter model in MEGA 6 (Tamura et al. 2013). Nuclear sequences are available in GenBank under the accession numbers OM296685–OM296699. Genetic divergences between all four European species of *Batrachedra* were visualized with a neighbor-joining tree as conducted under Kimura 2 parameter model in MEGA 6 (Tamura et al. 2013).

We used DNA aliquots that were extracted at CCDB for ddRAD-seq library preparation. The quantity of DNA extracts was checked using PicoGreen kit (Molecular Probes). The ddRAD library was implemented following protocols in Lee et al. (2018) with few modifications: digestion with *Pst*I and *Msp*I, and the size distribution measurement with Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies). The de-multiplexed fastq data are archived in the NCBI SRA: PRJNA725165. Initial filtering steps, SNP calling, and alignment were carried out using *ipyrad* v.0.9.11 (Eaton and Overcast 2020). The following parameters were changed from the default settings: restriction overhang to \( T\text{GCAG}, C\text{GG} \), minimum depth for majority-rule base calling to 3, clustering threshold to 0.88, and minimum number of samples with a given locus to 3.

To infer maximum likelihood (ML) tree, we used IQ-TREE (Nguyen et al. 2015), and branch support bootstrap values were calculated with ultrafast bootstrap (UFBoot, 1000 bootstraps). Prior to analyses, the best fitting mutational model was obtained through ModelFinder (Kalyaanamoorthy et al. 2017) based on Bayesian information criterion, which selected “TVM+F+I” for ddRAD data. The ML tree generated using FigTree v.1.4.2 (Rambaut 2015) and modified using Adobe Illustrator CS6. To investigate genetic variation between individuals, we inferred population clustering with admixture from SNP frequency data using STRUCTURE (Pritchard et al. 2000). Ten replicates were run at each value of K between 1 and 3. Each run had a burn-in of 50K generations followed by 500K generations of sampling. We used StrAuto to automate Structure processing of samples (Chhatre and Emerson 2017). Replicates were permuted in CLUMPP (Jakobsson and Rosenberg 2007) according to the ad hoc \( \Delta K \) statistics (Evanno et al. 2005), and the results were visualised using DISTRUCT (Rosenberg 2004).

Dissections of genitalia followed Robinson (1976). Photos of genitalia were taken through a Leica DM 6000B microscope using a Leica DFC 420 digital camera.
Depository of examined material

KBE  Collection of Kai Berggren, Kristiansand, Norway
NHMO  Natural History Museum, University of Oslo, Norway
NHMUK  The Natural History Museum, London, U.K.
TLMF  Tiroler Landesmuseum Ferdinandeum, Innsbruck, Austria
ZMUC  Zoological Museum, Natural History Museum of Denmark, Copenhagen, Denmark
ZMUO  Zoological Museum, University of Oulu, Finland

Type material and nomenclature

Zeller (1839) described *Cosmopteryx pinicolella* from a series collected from *Pinus* near Glogau, “häufig bei Gl. im Juni und Juli an Kiefern” [common near Gl. = Glogau in June and July on pine], and another series collected near Salzbrunn, “noch häufiger bei Salzbrunn an Tannen” [more common near [Bad] Salzbrunn on fir]. Glogau (now Glógow) is situated in the south-western present-day Poland, and Bad Salzbrunn (now Szczawno-Zdrój) in Silesia (Poland) near the Czech border. Two potential syntypes of *pinicolella* are present in NHMUK where the collection of Zeller is preserved (David Lees, email 29 August 2019). One is without abdomen and has no locality label attached to it, and thus syntype status is uncertain. The other specimen is a male in good condition, labelled “Salzbrunn 19.7.[18]38”. We select the male from Salzbrunn as lectotype (Fig. 1) in order to fix the identity of the species and conserve stability of nomenclature. Though we have not been able to dissect this specimen, it can be identified without any doubt. The reasons for this are that it has a definite (type) locality and an indication to the alleged host tree. Zeller (1839) stated to have collected syntypes from Salzbrunn on “Tannen”. In Zeller’s work from 1839 “Tanne” (*Abies alba* in current sense) is mentioned several times, whereas “Fichte” (*Picea abies*) is completely missing. Even species considered monophagous on “Fichte”, such as *Chionodes electella* (Zeller, 1839), are attributed to “Tanne”. Our hypothesis is that “Tanne” sensu Zeller (1839) is identical with *Picea abies*. This is also supported by, for example, the later description of *Pammene ochsenheimeriana* (Lienig & Zeller, 1846) (known from *Picea* and *Pinus* but not from *Abies*). Also, in this description it is stated “im Mai auf Tannen” (Lienig and Zeller 1846). In the German language *Picea* is also called “Rottanne” and *Abies* “Weißtanne”. Our conclusion is that the name *B. pinicolella* (Zeller, 1839) must be attributed to the *Picea*-feeder and not to the *Pinus*-feeder, whereas *Abies alba* seems an exceptional hostplant of this group with only one proven record of four larva collected in Slovakia (Patočka 1960). We are aware that attribution of the name *B. pinicolella* to the *Picea*-feeding taxon may cause confusion, but one should bear in mind that at the time of the description of *B. pinicolella* conifer trees currently in *Pinus*, *Picea*, *Abies*, and even *Larix* were all listed in one genus, *Pinus* (Hausmann 1852). Thus, the name *pinicolella* refers to the family Pinaceae rather than to the present genus *Pinus*. Furthermore, even in current European literature the name *B. pinicolella* is sometimes already expressively combined with the species from *Picea abies* whereas *Pinus sylvestris*...
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**Figures 1–4.** Adults and labels of *Batrachedra* 1 lectotype *Cosmopteryx pinicolella* Zeller, 1839 (NHMUK; copyright Trustees of the Natural History Museum, London) 2 labels of lectotype 3 holotype of *Batrachedra confusella* sp. nov. 4 adult of *Batrachedra pinicolella*. Scale: 10 mm.

is considered as doubtful hostplant which requires confirmation (Emmet and Langmaid 2002). Following the selection of a lectotype from *Picea abies* there is no synonym of *B. pinicolella* that could potentially be used for the species feeding on *Pinus sylvestris* (Köster and Sinev 2003) and, hence, this species is without name.
Results

_Batrachedra confusella_ sp. nov.

http://zoobank.org/AE37C32C-7D9A-4436-AAB2-339F486FF239

Figs 3, 5, 6, 9

Material. **Holotype** 1♂ Ø, Moss: Rygge, Sildebauen, 59.3268°N; 10.7101°E; 9.vii.1980; L. Aarvik leg.; NHMO prep. 3943 (NHMO). **Paratypes** Finland 1♂ A, Sund; 14.viii.2007; M. Mutanen leg.; L. Aarvik prep. 2015.022; BOLD sample ID: MM22065; ZMUO. 1♂ PPe, Oulu; 7.vii.2011; M. Mutanen leg.; L. Aarvik prep. 2015.023; BOLD sample ID: MM21051; ZMUO. 1♀ U, Kirkkonummi; 11.vii.2007; M. Mutanen leg.; L. Aarvik prep. 2015.025; BOLD sample ID: MM06674; ZMUO.

Norway 1♂ AAY, Arendal: Havsøy; 10.vii.2015; K. Berggren leg.; BOLD sample ID: NHMO-DAR-12592; KBE. 1♀ AAY, Arendal: Tromøy, Skottjern; 22.vii.1983; K. Berggren leg.; KBE prep. 13534; KBE; 1♀, same locality and date; S. Svendsen leg.; NHMO prep. 2833; NHMO. 1♂ AAY, Arendal: Siring; 25–30.vi.2002; S.A. Bakke leg., NHMO prep. 3936; NHMO. 1♀ AAY, Grimstad: Søm; 8.vii.2017; K. Berggren leg., BOLD sample ID: NHMO-DAR-13683; KBE. 1♀ AAY, Grimstad: Søm; 24.vii.2017; K. Berggren leg.; BOLD sample ID: NHMO-DAR-14041; KB. 1♂ AAY, Lillesand: Lillesand; 7.vii.1984; K. Berggren leg.; KBE prep. 13515; KBE. 1♂ AAY, Lillesand: Svinøya; 29.vii.2005, K. Berggren leg.; KBE prep. 13520; KBE. 1♂ AAY Tværestrand: Lyngør, Sønnerstrand; 30.vi–4.vii.2013; K. Berggren & B. Johansen leg.; KBE prep. 13524; KBE. 1♂ AK, Asker: Brønnoya; 11.vii.1981; K. Berggren leg.; KBE prep. 13528; KBE. 1♀ AK, Barum: Ostøya; 10.vii.1984; L. Aarvik leg.; NHMO prep. 2887; NHMO. 1♂ AK, Oslo: Bleikøya; 14.vii.2009; K. Berggren & A. Endrestøl leg.; BOLD sample ID: NHMO-DAR-5192; KBE. 1♀ AK, Oslo: Bygdøy, Rodelokka; 4–19.vii.2016; A. Endrestøl & K. Berggren leg.; KBE prep. 13536; KBE. 1♂ AK, Oslo: Bygdøy, Rodelokka; 4–19.vii.2016; A. Endrestøl & K. Berggren leg.; KBE prep. 13532; KBE. 1♂ AK, Oslo: Ekebergskræninga; 25.vi.2008; L. Aarvik leg.; NHMO prep. 2835; BOLD sample ID: NHMO-08107; NHMO. 1♀ AK, Ås: Ås; 30.vii.1982; L. Aarvik leg.; L. Aarvik prep. 2725; NHMO. 1♀ HES, Åsnes: Sønsterud; 16–25.vii.1998; L. Aarvik & A. Bakke leg.; NHMO prep. 2832; NHMO. 1♀ TEY, Kragerø: Jomfruland, Øytangen; 16.vii.2003; L. Aarvik leg.; NHMO prep. 3937; NHMO. 1♀ TEY, Porsgrunn: Sandøya; 10.vii.2003; R. Voith leg.; KBE prep. 13521; KBE. 1♂ VAY, Kristiansand: Augland; 8.vii.1985; K. Berggren leg.; KBE prep. 13529; KBE. 1♂ VAY, Kristiansand: Bråvann; 14.vii.2015; K. Berggren leg., BOLD sample ID: NHMO-DAR-12591; KBE. 1♂ VAY, Kristiansand: Bråvann; 17.vii.2017; K. Berggren leg., BOLD sample ID: NHMO-DAR-14027; KBE. 1♀ VAY, Kristiansand: Bråvann; 10.viii.2012; K. Berggren leg.; KBE prep. 13537; KBE. 1♀ VAY, Kristiansand: Bråvann; 26.viii.2016; K. Berggren leg.; KBE prep. 13538; KBE. 1♂ VAY, Kristiansand: Bråvann; 26.viii.2016; K. Berggren leg.; BOLD sample ID: NHMO-DAR-12591; KBE prep. 13538; KBE. 1♂ VAY, Kristiansand: Flekkerøy, Belteviga; 28.vii.1999, K. Berggren leg.; KBE prep. 6141; KBE. 1♀ VAY, Kristiansand: Flek-
Figures 5–8. Male genitalia of Batrachedra 5 B. confusella sp. nov., genitalia slide NHMO 3899 6 B. confusella sp. nov., distal end of phallus, genitalia slide NHMO 3899 7 B. pinicolella, genitalia slide NHMO 3891 8 B. pinicolella, distal end of phallus, genitalia slide NHMO 3892.
Figures 9–10. Female genitalia of *Batrachedra* 9 *B. confusella* sp. nov., genitalia slide NHMO 3937 10 *B. pinicolella*, genitalia slide NHMO 3961.
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Mandal: Hoven; 24–27.vii.2017; K. Berggren & K. Hoven leg.; KBE prep. 13513; KBE. 1♂ VAY, Mandal: Hoven; 22–24.vii.2017; K. Berggren & K. Hoven leg.; KBE prep. 13516; KBE. 1♂ VE, Horten: Knutsrød; 20–21.vi.2008; L. Aarvik leg.; NHMO prep. 3898; NHMO. 1♀ VE, Nøtterøy: Østre Bolærne; 27.vii.2006; KBE prep. 10171; KBE. 1♀ VE, Tønsberg: Karlsvikoden; vii.2005; KBE prep. 10170; KBE. 1♂ Ø, Fredrikstad: Blåsopp; 4–19.vii.2013; O.J. Lønneve leg.; KBE prep. 13531; KBE. 1♂ Ø, Halden: Orød Grustak; 5.vi–1.vii.2009; KBE prep. ID: NHMO-DAR-4107 (failed); KBE prep. 9438; KBE. 1♂ Ø, Hvaler: Asmaløy, Huser; 3.vii.1994; KBE prep. 3965; NHMO. 1♀ Ø, Moss: Rygge, Sildebanen; 13.vii.1980; KBE prep. 10171; KBE. 1♀ NWZ, Kåruphøj; EJ, Anholt; 1.viii.1975; ZMUC. 1♀ F, Åbelø; 25.vi–9.vii.1943; ZMUC. 1♂ NWZ, Kåruphøj; EJ, Anholt; 1.viii.1975; ZMUC. 1♂ LWZ, Kåruphøj; EJ, Anholt; 1.viii.1975; ZMUC. 1♂ LWZ, Kåruphøj; EJ, Anholt; 1.viii.1975; ZMUC.

SWEDEN 1♂ Öland, Borgholm: Byrums Sandvik; 23.vii.1985; K. Berggren leg.; KBE prep. 13527; KBE. 1♂ Öland, Borgholm: Byrums Sandvik; 23.vii.1985; K. Berggren leg.; KBE prep. 6142; KBE.

DENMARK 1♂ Ej, Anholt; 1.viii.1975; E. S. Nielsen leg.; ZMUC. 1♀ F, Åbelø; 25.vi–9.vii.1943; ZMUC. 1♀ NWZ, Kåruphøj; EJ, Anholt; 1.viii.1975; ZMUC. 1♀ NWZ, Kåruphøj; EJ, Anholt; 1.viii.1975; ZMUC. 1♀ NWZ, Kåruphøj; EJ, Anholt; 1.viii.1975; ZMUC. 1♂ NWZ, Kåruphøj; EJ, Anholt; 1.viii.1975; ZMUC. 1♀ NWZ, Kåruphøj; EJ, Anholt; 1.viii.1975; ZMUC.

AUSTRIA 1♀, Oberösterreich, NP Kalkalpen, Sperring-Lackerbodenstraße, ca 700 m; 16.vii.2004; J. Wimmer leg; genitalia in glycerine; TLMF. 1♀, ditto, but 653 m, 14.vi.2009; J. Wimmer leg; gen. slide GEL 1287 P. Huemer; TLMF. 1♂ Nordtirol, Umhausen N, unterh. Farst; 1370 m, 27.vi.2017, P. Huemer leg; GEL 1276 P. Huemer; TLMF. 1♂ Nordtirol, Umhausen N, unterh. Farst; 1370 m, 11.vi.2017, P. Huemer leg; TLMF. 1♂ Nordtirol, Umhausen N, unterh. Farst; 1370 m, 11.vi.2017, P. Huemer leg; DNA Barcode ID TLMF Lep 26819; TLMF. 1♂ Nordtirol, Zirl, Eigenhofen, linke Innau; 600 m, 7.vi.2012, P. Huemer leg; DNA Barcode ID TLMF Lep 09322; TLMF. 1♂ Nordtirol, Brandenberg, Tiefenbachklamm, 645 m; 16.vi.2013, P. Huemer leg; DNA Barcode ID TLMF Lep 10349; TLMF. 1♂ Osttirol, Lengberg, Drau-Auen, Schattseite; 630 m, 25.vi.2008, H. Deutsch leg; DNA Barcode ID TLMF Lep 24185; TLMF. 1♂ Vorarlberg, Frödischtal, Schönebuchweg, Klausen, 755 m, 14.vi.2017, A. Mayr leg; DNA Barcode ID TLMF Lep 25068; coll. A. Mayr.

GERMANY 1♀, Bavaria, Inning/A, 550 m, mid vii.1970 Zürnbaumer F. leg.; genitalia in glycerine PH; TLMF.

ITALY 1♂ Südtirol, Oberrasen, Biotop Rasner Möser S, 1100 m, 5.vii.2015, P. Huemer leg.; DNA Barcode ID TLMF Lep 17982; TLMF. 1♂ Südtirol, Laas, Tschengler Au, Biotop Rasner Möser S, 900 m, 20.vi.2015, P. Huemer leg.; DNA Barcode ID TLMF Lep 18779; TLMF.
Armenia 1♂, Tavush Province, Diljan, 1395 m; 13.vii.2011; O. Karsholt leg.; BOLD sample ID: ZMUC00029754; ZMUC.

**Morphological diagnosis.** _Batrachedra confusella_ sp. nov. (Fig. 3) and _B. pinicolella_ (Fig. 4) cannot be separated externally with certainty though it is remarkable that none of the 56 specimens of _B. confusella_ with images in BOLD has a spot at about the one-third the length of the forewing fold, a character commonly present in _B. pinicolella_. All 47 specimens of Norwegian dissected or barcoded specimens of _B. confusella_ sp. nov. are without the spot on the fold. In eight of 20 dissected or barcoded Norwegian specimens of _B. pinicolella_ the spot is present. Thus, the presence of the spot in the fold strongly indicates that the actual specimen belongs to _B. pinicolella_. With a wingspan of 11.0–12.0 mm, _B. pinicolella_ is slightly larger than _B. confusella_ sp. nov. on average. In the male genitalia we found a diagnostic character in the shape of the valva and the uncus. On average _B. pinicolella_ (Fig. 7) has a longer and more slender valva than _B. confusella_ sp. nov. (Fig. 5). The uncus is slightly different in the two species in shape and width. In _B. confusella_ sp. nov. the lateral sides are smoother and with finer and longer setae than in _B. pinicolella_. In _B. pinicolella_ the uncus is laterally distinctly rugose with coarse setae. The tip of the phallus in _B. confusella_ sp. nov. (Fig. 6) is armed with a distinct cornutus. In _B. pinicolella_ (Fig. 8) the cornutus is narrower and often will appear merely as a fold inside the phallus. The gnathos tends to be broader distally in _B. pinicolella_ than in _B. confusella_ sp. nov. In the female genitalia, the two species differ in the length of the signum. In _B. pinicolella_ (Fig. 10) the signum is in the range 290–380 μm. In _B. confusella_ sp. nov. (Fig. 9) the length of the signum ranges from 490 to 600 μm. In _B. pinicolella_ the sclerotized portion of the ductus bursae is narrower and straighter than in _B. confusella_ sp. nov. Fig. 22 of the female genitalia given by Koster and Sinev (2003) represents _B. pinicolella_ in the present interpretation of the name.

**Molecular diagnosis.** Sequences of _B. pinicolella_ and _B. confusella_ sp. nov. form two well-defined clusters which received separate Barcode Index Numbers (BIN-codes): AAF0078 and AAF0077 respectively. The DNA barcode region of _B. confusella_ sp. nov. shows 0.95% intraspecific divergence and a minimum divergence of 6.82% to its closest relative _B. pinicolella_ (Fig. 11). The latter species shows no intraspecific variability in our material (the slight apparent variation in the neighbor-joining tree results only from variation in sequence lengths). Therefore, DNA barcodes allow safe identification of the two species. The 407-bp long fragment of the nuclear MDH gene differs by 5.8% from that of _B. pinicolella_. The 506-bp long fragment of EF-1a differs by 3.1% from that of _B. pinicolella_. Therefore, both examined nuclear genes support the status of the two species and permit their identification by these markers as well. All examined five specimens were infected by _Wolbachia_, while none of the specimens of _B. pinicolella_ were infected, suggesting a difference between the two species also in this regard.

We generated a genomic dataset from nine individuals of _B. pinicolella_ using ddRAD sequencing. We obtained 1.24 million reads per individual on average after quality filtering steps. After clustering 88% sequence similarity, we recovered 19,292 clusters per sample were retained with an average of 82.26 per sample for cluster depth (Table 1). A total length of ddRAD data is 926,224 bp, of which 9,242 are single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP). Phylogenetic analysis using SNP data produced ro-
Figure 11. Neighbor-joining visualization of genetic divergences of DNA barcode fragment of COI gene within and between European species of Batrachedra. The scale indicates 1% genetic divergence as calculated under Kimura 2 parameter model for nucleotide substitution.
bust support for the relationship between the individuals (Fig. 12). The two revealed lineages corresponding to *B. confusella* sp. nov. and *B. pinicolella*, which have 100% bootstrap support. STRUCTURE also identified two genetic clusters (Fig. 11).

**Description.** Male (Fig. 3). Wingspan 10–11 mm. Labial palp 2.3 times diameter of eye, cream, porrect, slightly curved, second segment longer than third, outer side with brownish suffusion, third segment on outer side with two bands. Head cream, with appressed scaling. Antenna glabrous, with appressed scales, pale ochreous brown, weakly ringed, rings becoming more distinct distally. Thorax ochreous yellow. Forewing ochreous yellow, with scattered dark brown scales, denser distally and on costa, discal spot small; cilia brownish grey; hindwing grey, cilia grey. Legs cream, inner sides with brownish suffusion, which on tarsi forms bands. Abdomen cream, with lateral grey suffusion.

**Female.** Externally similar to male.

**Male genitalic (Figs 5, 6)** Uncus gradually narrowed towards tip, slightly concave at two-thirds length, medially with lateral setae, lateral margins smooth, with fine hairs; gnathos gradually narrowed, becoming parallel-sided before distal end, distal end spinose; valva nearly parallel-sided, dorsal margin curved distally, costa angled or slightly hooked at distal end; anellus lobes rounded; phallus long and slender, sub-distally with bulge, cornutus near distal end distinct, tapered proximally.

**Female genitalia** (Fig. 9) Papillae anales broad with short setae; apophyses posteriores and anteriores of similar length, apophyses anteriores with basal fork; atrium funnel-shaped with medial ridge; ductus bursae with median portion sclerotized and curved, anterior portion with two or three coils; corpus bursa with long (490–600 μm) and oval signum; signum with numerous short, transverse ridges.

**Etymology.** The species’ name, *confusella*, indicates the confusion with its sister species, *B. pinicolella*.

**Biology.** Due to the confusion of the two species, the biology is insufficiently known. *Batrachedra confusella* sp. nov. is the well-known species affecting *Pinus*, and it has been found in several localities of pure pine forests. However, a female beaten from an artificial afforestation of *Larix* at a lowland locality in Switzerland without *Pinus* in the nearby surroundings (Bryner in litt.) also belongs to *B. confusella* sp. nov., indicating that *Larix* may be an additional host-plant. *Batrachedra pinicolella*, in contrast,
Cryptic diversity in Batrachedra pinicolella seems to be restricted to forests of Norway spruce (Picea abies), which is considered to be the host-plant. This hypothesis is proved by a dissected Finnish female specimen bred from Picea.

Bolov and Sinev (1990) recorded outbreaks of B. pinicolella on Picea orientalis, P. abies, P. pungens, Abies sp. (“European”), A. nordmanniana, and less frequently on Pinus sp. (“eastern”), P. silvestris, and P. pityusa from the Kabardino-Balkaria republic in northern Caucasus. Possibly both species were involved.

**Verified specimens of Batrachedra pinicolella.** Finland 1♂ PPe, Oulu; 7.vii.2011; M. Mutanen leg.; L. Aarvik prep. 2015.024; BOLD sample ID: MM21054; ZMUO. 1♀ PPe, Oulu; 7.vii.2011; M. Mutanen leg.; L. Aarvik prep. 2016.001; BOLD sample ID: MM21052; ZMUO. 1♀ A, Eckerö; 12.vii.2007; M. Mutanen leg.; L. Aarvik prep. 2016.002; BOLD sample ID: MM06705; ZMUO. 1♀ St, Rauma; la. Picea abies; 5.iv.2019; J. Itämies leg.; L. Aarvik prep. 2021.01; ZMUO.

Norway 1♀ AAI, Bygland: Heddevika; 29.vii.2008; K. Berggren leg.; BOLD sample ID: NHMO-DAR-5194; KBE. 1♂ AAY, Birkenes: Nordåsen; vii–viii.2016; S.
Svendsen leg.; KBE prep. 13526; KBE. 1♂ AAY, Birkenes: Bjørvand; 30.vii.2002; K. Berggren & S. Svendsen leg.; KBE prep. 13510; KBE. 1♀ AAY, Lillesand: Kjøstvedt; 9.vii.2007; K. Berggren leg.; KBE prep. 13514; KBE. 1♂ AK, Bærum: Isi; 11.vii.2003; P. Seglen leg.; KBE prep. 13525; KBE. 1♂ AK, Bærum: Sandvika; 20.vii.1928; E. Barca leg.; NHMO prep. 2834; NHMO. 1♂ AK, Ås: Ås; 1.viii.1982; L. Aarvik leg.; NHMO prep. 3900; NHMO. 1♂ AK, Ås: Ås; 11.vii.1983; L. Aarvik leg.; NHMO prep. 3892; NHMO. 1♂ OS, Nordre Land: Tranligrenda; 5.vii.2010; K. Berggren leg.; KBE prep. 13519; KBE. 1♂ VAY, Flekkefjord: Helle; 18.vii.2013; K. Berggren leg.; BOLD sample ID: NHMO-DAR-4109; KBE prep. 13504; KBE. 1♂ VAY, Kristiansand: Gimsle Gård; 11.vii.2003; K. Berggren leg.; BOLD sample ID: NHMO-DAR-5193 (failed); KBE prep. 13523; KBE. 1♀ VAY, Kristiansand: Kuholmen; 17.vii.1970; K. Berggren leg.; KBE prep. 13511; KBE. 1♂ VAY, Kristiansand: Nedre Timenes; 31.vii.2011; K. Berggren leg.; KBE prep. 13522; KBE. 1♂ VAY, Kristiansand: Sogn, Torvesanden; 27.vi.2014; K. Berggren leg.; KBE prep. 13517; KBE. 1♂ VE, Larvik: Frydenlund; 12.vii.2013; K. Berggren leg.; KBE prep. 13518; KBE. 1♀ Ø, Fredrikstad: Onsøy, Rauer; 21.vii.1920; E. Barca leg.; NHMO prep. 3961; NHMO. 1♀ Ø, Moss: Rygge, Sildebauen; 10.vii.1981; L. Aarvik leg.; NHMO prep. 3891; NHMO.

Switzerland 1♀, BE, La Neuveville, Ligeresse, 810 m, 4.vii.2002, Larix decidua; leg. R. Bryner; coll. R. Bryner.

Austria 1♂ Vorarlberg, Gaschurn-Partenen, Schuttfluren Lifinar, 1150 m, 31.vii.2018; P. Huemer leg.; BOLD sample ID: TLMF Lep 26889; TLMF. 1♂ Vorarlberg, Gaschurn-Partenen, Schuttfluren Lifinar, 1150 m, 2.vii.2018; P. Huemer leg.; GEL 1275 P. Huemer; TLMF. 1♀ Vorarlberg, Gaschurn-Partenen, u. Ganifer-Schrofen, 1460–1500 m, 19.vii.2019; P. Huemer leg.; GEL 1282 P. Huemer; TLMF. 1♀ Oberösterreich, Nationalpark Kalkalpen, Lackerbodenstraße, 653 m, 14.vi.2009; J. Wimmer leg.; GEL 1287 P. Huemer; TLMF.

Germany 1♀ Baden-Württemberg, Schwarzwald, Buchenberg, 21.vii.1954; H.G. Amsel leg; genitalia in glycerine; TLMF.

Italy 1♂ Südtirol, Oberrasen, Biotop Rasner Möser S, 1100 m, 5.vii.2015, P. Huemer leg.; DNA Barcode ID TLMF Lep 17972; TLMF.

Distribution. The species pair B. confusella sp. nov. and B. pinicolella is widely distributed in Europe but seems to be absent from the Mediterranean (http://www.faunaeut.org; accessed on 25.iv.2021). However, as former records have been summarized among the latter taxon, a detailed study of distribution of both species is required for future studies. From our sequenced and/or genitalized material, B. confusella sp. nov. together with its major hostplant, Pinus sylvestris, is distributed in the temperate zones between the Alps in the South and Fennoscandia in the north. Batrachedra pinicolella in concordance with its major host-plant, Picea abies, shows a boreo-montane distribution pattern with isolated records from the Alps and mountainous areas of Central Europe as well as northern and north-western Europe. A barcoded specimen of B.
Cryptic diversity in *Batrachedra pinicolella*

*confusella* sp. nov. from Armenia (coll. ZMUC) confirms the presence of this species in Caucasus. According to images of genitalia on the mothdissection.co.uk website, both species are present in the United Kingdom (https://mothdissection.co.uk/species.php?Tx=Batrachedra_pinicolella; accessed on 30.iv.2021)

**Discussion**

Because of their external similarity, the two species have until present been confused. This is in spite of the fact that they have different host plants. Specimens collected in localities with *Pinus* (and no *Picea* present) belong to *B. confusella* sp. n., i.e. from Byrum Sandvik, Öland in Sweden, where only *Pinus* is present and from Belteviga and Bråvann, Kristiansand, Norway. In Finland and Austria, *B. pinicolella* has been collected in places where only *Picea* grows but rarely both species have been found in syntopy. Further breeding experiments are needed to confirm additional host plants, e.g., in the genera *Abies* and *Larix*. The issue dealt with in the present paper is not unique. DNA barcoding has revealed that there still exist numerous taxonomic problems in the European lepidopteran fauna; see for instance Huemer et al. (2020) concerning Gelechiidae and Lopez-Vaamonde et al. (2021) concerning Gracillariidae. To deal with this situation, systematic and targeted collecting should be encouraged to make material available for taxonomic revisions.
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