Cryotherapy or gradual reloading exercises in acute presentations of rotator cuff tendinopathy: a randomised controlled trial
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ABSTRACT
Objectives Rotator cuff tendinopathies are the most common shoulder disorders. As persistent symptoms lasting more than 3 months have been shown to be a strong indicator of poor outcomes, it is important to have successful interventions in the acute stage. However, there is no evidence yet to guide clinical interventions in an acute pain context. The objective of this study was to compare the short-term effect of a 2-week gradual reloading exercises programme with the use of cryotherapy on symptoms and function for acute rotator cuff tendinopathy.

Methods This simple-blind, randomised controlled trial included 44 participants with acute rotator cuff tendinopathy who were randomly allocated to either the exercises or cryotherapy group. Symptoms and functional limitations were evaluated at weeks 0, 2 and 6 using self-reported questionnaires (Disabilities of the Arm, Shoulder and Hand, Western Ontario Rotator Cuff, and Brief Pain Inventory), while acromiohumeral distance, shoulder strength and active range of motion were evaluated at weeks 0 and 2.

Results Following interventions, both groups showed statistically significant improvements on symptoms and function at week 2 and week 6 compared with baseline. However, there was no significant group × time interaction. There was no time effect on acromiohumeral distance, shoulder strength and active range of motion, as well as no time × group interaction.

Conclusion The results showed a statistically significant improvement in symptoms and function in both groups, but there was no difference between the short-term effect of a cryotherapy based-approach and a gradual reloading exercises programme.

Trial registration number NCT02813304.

INTRODUCTION
Rotator cuff (RC) tendinopathy is considered to be a common source of shoulder pain, accounting for 50%–85% of shoulder diagnoses. While the pathoetiology of RC tendinopathy is still unclear, it is described as the clinical presentation of pain and loss of function. Excessive tendon loading is considered a key mechanism of RC tendinopathy, although tendon unloading, age, genetics and lifestyle may also be factors in the pathoetiology. The stage of RC tendinopathy may influence the underlying pathological processes, with suggestions that an early inflammatory component dominates the early stage and degeneration dominates the later stage.

The overall prognosis of RC tendinopathy is highly variable, with 40%–50% of patients reporting persistent pain and disability months after seeking primary care consultation. This highlights the need to deliver effective interventions in the acute stage of RC tendinopathy. Currently, there is a lack of evidence regarding the most appropriate effective interventions in the acute phase of rotator cuff tendinopathies.

Cryotherapy and a gradual reloading exercise programme are equally effective in the short term to improve functional level and decrease the symptoms in individuals with acute rotator cuff tendinopathy.

No side effects or increase in symptoms was associated with the execution of gradual reloading exercises in the acute phase of rotator cuff tendinopathies.

Sports medicine practitioners can consider using either one of these interventions in the acute phase of rotator cuff tendinopathies.

Cryotherapy and a gradual reloading exercises can be considered for their individual benefits as judged necessary.
of cryotherapy is to reduce pain and minimise swelling of the subacromial structures, thereby increasing the acromiohumeral distance (AHD). However, there is little empirical evidence to support the effectiveness of cryotherapy in the acute stages of RC tendinopathy. An alternative option may be to commence gradual reloading early in the acute stage of RC tendinopathy to restore the tendons’ capacity to sustain load and to prevent inhibition of the RC tendons.16 Gradual reloading has been shown to be effective for chronic RC tendinopathy.17 Such an intervention, however, has never been fully evaluated in a randomised controlled trial (RCT) for acute presentation.

A recent pilot study18 demonstrated that people with acute and subacute RC tendinopathy (<12 weeks) who received either cryotherapy (n=6), isometric exercises (n=7) or a combination of both (n=7) achieved reductions in pain and disability after 1 week, with no significant differences in outcomes between groups. However, a fully powered and assessor-blinded RCT is needed to build on these results. Therefore, the following were the research questions of this study:

1. Is a rehabilitation programme containing gradual reloading exercises more effective than a rehabilitation programme containing rest and cryotherapy for reducing pain and disability in people with acute RC tendinopathy?

2. What effects do these interventions have on shoulder strength, shoulder range of motion (ROM) and AHD?

Our hypothesis is that, in the short term, a rehabilitation programme containing gradual reloading exercises will be more effective in reducing pain and disability level as it may prevent RC tendon inhibition and rehabilitate load tolerance.

METHODS
Participants, therapists and centres
Adults (aged between 18 and 65 years) with acute (<6 weeks) unilateral symptomatic RC tendinopathy who presented a positive sign in each of the following categories were recruited: (1) painful arc of movement; (2) positive Neer or Kennedy-Hawkins tests; and (3) pain on resisted isometric lateral rotation or abduction, or positive Jobe test. The diagnosis accuracy of the combination of these tests has been studied (sensitivity and specificity ≥0.74, positive likelihood ratio=3–5).19 Participants also had to have been pain-free for at least 3 months before the onset of the current shoulder episode. Participants were excluded if they presented one of the following conditions: (1) upper limb fracture; (2) previous neck or shoulder surgery; (3) shoulder pain reproduced during active neck movement; (4) frozen shoulder; (5) clinical signs of a full thickness RC tear (positive lag signs)20 21; and (6) rheumatoid, inflammatory or neurological diseases.

Design
This single-blind (assessor), parallel-group RCT included three evaluation sessions over 6 weeks (baseline, week 2 and week 6) and two meetings with the treating physiotherapist. All participants took part in the baseline evaluation. They first completed a questionnaire on sociodemographic, symptomatology and comorbidity, as well as self-administered questionnaires that evaluate symptoms and functional limitations: Disabilities of the Arm, Shoulder and Hand (DASH), Western Ontario Rotator Cuff Index (WORC), and Brief Pain Inventory (BPI). Then, ultrasonographic (US) measurements of AHD, shoulder isometric strength and active ROM were conducted. Thereafter, participants were randomly assigned to one of two intervention groups, and then took part in their assigned intervention. The two intervention groups were group I: the experimental group that performed isometric exercises; and group II: the control group that received advice to rest from aggravating activities and were instructed to apply ice wraps. After the first 2 weeks, both groups then performed a graduated rehabilitation programme.

At weeks 2 and 6, questionnaires were readministered. US, strength and ROM measurements were only re-evaluated at week 2. To evaluate the effectiveness of blinding, the assessor completed a question related to her opinion of the allocation at week 2.

Sample size
An a priori sample size was calculated based on the changes reported by the DASH scores for individuals with RC tendinopathy.17 According to sample size calculation (G*Power 3.1.7, α=0.05, effect size=0.85, power [1-β]=0.80, SD=13 DASH points, clinically important difference (CID)=11 DASH points, 15% possible loss to follow-up), 22 participants per group were required.

Randomisation/blinding
A researcher not involved in data collection conducted randomisation prior to the initiation of the study, using a random number generator and a block design (block size of 4–6–8). Allocation was concealed in sealed opaque envelopes which were sequentially numbered. Randomisation was stratified to ensure balance of the treatment groups with respect to sex. Given that it is impossible to blind the treating physiotherapist and participants, a single-blind design was used. Participants, however, were unaware of the treatment provided to other participants. Participants were instructed not to reveal or discuss treatment with the evaluator. Blinded outcome assessment was carried out in person at baseline and 2 weeks, and via postal questionnaires at 6 weeks.

Intervention
Participants in both groups met the treating physiotherapist on two occasions (after the baseline and week 2 evaluations). The first meeting consisted of education regarding posture and positioning of the shoulder during daily activities to avoid additional stress on shoulder structures. This session also consisted of explanation and practice of the intervention to be carried out at home.
Table 1  Participants’ baseline characteristics

| Characteristics                  | Cryotherapy group (n=23) | Exercises group (n=20) |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| Age, years, X±SD                 | 43±13*                   | 33±7*                  |
| Gender, male (%)                 | 11 (48)                  | 13 (65)                |
| Weight, kg, X±SD                 | 76±13                    | 74±13                  |
| Height, cm, X±SD                 | 170±6                    | 175±9                  |
| Days since the injury, X±SD      | 25±7                     | 27±9                   |
| Dominance, right, %              | 83                       | 90                     |
| Pain on dominant side, %         | 52                       | 65                     |
| Origin of injury, %              |                          |                        |
| Unknown                          | 4                        | 0                      |
| Accident/Fall                    | 0                        | 0                      |
| Repeated movement                | 26                       | 30                     |
| Sport                            | 39                       | 55                     |
| Progressive                      | 31                       | 15                     |
| Work status, %                   |                          |                        |
| Full time                        | 78                       | 65                     |
| Part-time                        | 9                        | 10                     |
| Retired                          | 0                        | 5                      |
| Student                          | 13                       | 20                     |
| Physical work demands on the shoulder†, % |                |                        |
| I—sedentary                      | 0                        | 0                      |
| II—light                         | 78                       | 70                     |
| III—medium                       | 9                        | 15                     |
| IV—high                          | 13                       | 15                     |

*Denotes a statistically significant difference in mean score between the two groups (p<0.005).
†Average lifted load level to classify patients’ occupation and its related level of physical demand on the shoulder. Sedentary was defined as general lifting activities not exceeding 6.8 kg. Light was defined as lifting up 11.3–13.6 pounds. Moderate was defined as lifting up to 22.7–34.0 pounds on a regular basis. High required lifting 34.0–45.4 pounds on a regular basis.

as per group allocation. Both groups were provided with written instructions describing their intervention and a treatment diary to record adherence.

In the gradual loading group, participants were provided with an isometric lateral rotation and abduction exercise programme to be carried out three times a day for 14 days. In a standing or sitting position, participants placed their affected arm by the side with the elbow flexed at 90° and the thumb pointing upwards. The opposite hand was used to resist the lateral rotation and abduction. Participants were provided with a guide to the percentage of maximum force (ranging from 50% to 75%), number of repetitions (3–4) and number of second holds (20–30 s), which was progressed from day 1 to day 14 (online supplementary appendix 1). A maximum pain level of 5/10 was accepted when performing the contractions as long as it settled 10–15 min after the exercises.

In the rest and cryotherapy group, participants were asked to apply an ice wrap, secured within a towel, on their painful shoulder for 15 min three times per day. All participants were provided with a commercial ice wrap. They were also asked to avoid painful movements, working above shoulder level, repeated or sustained shoulder elevation movements, and lifting weights.

To avoid shoulder compensation during arm elevation and ankylosis,22 participants of both groups were instructed to carry out five repetitions of gentle, slow, pain-free active shoulder elevation movements in front of a mirror, in three planes (flexion, scaption and abduction). They were instructed to perform these movements at home, after each session of their respective programme.

At the second meeting, participants from both groups received the same strengthening exercise programme to be performed every day for the next 4 weeks. It included resisted isometric shoulder external and internal rotation exercises using commercial elasticised rubber band. The exercises were progressed from 0° abduction to 45° and then 90° abduction when the movements were easily executed and pain-free (online supplementary appendix 2).

Outcome measures

The primary outcome measure was function evaluated with the validated French-Canadian version of the DASH (intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC)=0.93 [0.87–0.96]; minimal detectable change (MDC) 90%=11.4%, CID=10.2 points).23 It consists of 30 items addressing the level of difficulty in performing daily activities and severity of the symptoms.24 A score of 100 points indicates the most severe disability.25

The validated French-Canadian version of the WORC index (ICC=0.96 [0.92–0.98], MDC 90%=12.3%, CID=17.5%)24 was used to evaluate symptoms and functional limitations specific to RC disorders. It uses 21 questions, with 100 mm visual analogue scale responses, on pain and physical symptoms, sports recreation, and work, social and emotional functions.23 26 The Short Form of Brief Pain Inventory (ICC >0.80)27 28 was used to assess shoulder pain intensity. The BPI uses an 11-point numerical rating scale (0–10) to evaluate pain interference over the last 24 hours.27 28

Ultrasound imaging was performed using Logiq E9 (GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, USA) ultrasound scanner with a 7.5–12-MHz linear array probe. AHD (measured at 0°, 45° and 60° shoulder abduction) was measured using a standardised procedure, which has been previously demonstrated to be valid29 and reliable.30 The US measurement of AHD is defined as the tangential distance between the hyperechoic bony landmarks of the humeral head and the inferior edge of the acromion visible on the longitudinal sonogram. Measurement obtained represents the AHD at the anterior outlet of the subacromial space.
Table 2  DASH, WORC, BPI, AHD, shoulder strength and ROM for cryotherapy and exercises groups at baseline and follow-up

| Outcome measures | Week | Cryotherapy group (n=23) | Exercises group (n=20) | Mean difference (95% CI) |
|------------------|------|--------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
|                  |      | Mean score               | Mean score             |                         |
| DASH (0–100)     | 0    | 28.3 (15.3)              | 29.3 (12.6)            | −1.0 (−9.7 to 7.7)      |
|                  | 2    | 17.2 (11.5)              | 16.3 (11.3)            | 0.9 (−6.1 to 9.0)       |
|                  | 6    | 12.6 (12.5)              | 16.3 (12.1)            | −3.7 (−11.3 to 3.9)     |
| WORC (0–100)     | 0    | 57.9 (19.6)              | 55.7 (21.0)            | 2.2 (−10.3 to 14.8)     |
|                  | 2    | 75.2 (18.2)              | 80.6 (17.1)            | −5.4 (−16.3 to 5.5)     |
|                  | 6    | 83.1 (15.0)              | 83.3 (19.3)            | −0.2 (−10.7 to 10.4)    |
| BPI (0–10)       | 0    | 2.7 (1.6)                | 3.2 (1.9)              | −0.5 (−1.5 to 0.6)      |
|                  | 2    | 1.7 (1.2)                | 1.8 (1.8)              | −0.1 (−1.1 to 0.8)      |
|                  | 6    | 1.2 (1.3)                | 1.6 (1.9)              | −0.4 (−1.4 to 0.6)      |
| AHD 0°, cm       | 0    | 1.1 (0.1)                | 1.0 (0.2)              | 0.1 (−0.0 to 0.2)       |
|                  | 2    | 1.1 (0.1)                | 1.0 (0.1)              | 0.1 (−0.0 to 0.2)       |
| AHD 45°, cm      | 0    | 0.8 (0.2)                | 0.8 (0.2)              | 0.0 (−1.0 to 0.2)       |
|                  | 2    | 0.8 (0.1)                | 0.8 (0.2)              | 0.0 (−1.0 to 0.2)       |
| AHD 60°, cm      | 0    | 0.8 (0.2)                | 0.7 (0.2)              | 0.1 (−0.0 to 0.2)       |
|                  | 2    | 0.8 (0.2)                | 0.7 (0.2)              | 0.1 (−0.0 to 0.2)       |
| ABD 0°, strength, kg | 0 | 67.4 (34.7)              | 77.1 (34.9)            | −9.7 (−33.3 to 14.0)    |
|                  | 2    | 74.7 (33.7)              | 77.6 (41.4)            | −2.9 (−28.3 to 22.6)    |
| ER 0°, strength, kg | 0 | 23.5 (10.8)              | 25.8 (9.3)             | −2.3 (−9.2 to 4.5)      |
|                  | 2    | 24.8 (10.8)              | 28.0 (9.6)             | −3.2 (−10.1 to 3.8)     |
| ROM flexion, °   | 0    | 165.9 (14.1)             | 165.4 (10.9)           | 0.5 (−7.3 to 8.3)       |
|                  | 2    | 166.7 (7.9)              | 167.5 (9.0)            | −0.8 (−6.6 to 4.4)      |
| ROM ER 0°, °     | 0    | 68.3 (15.9)              | 71.7 (10.6)            | −3.4 (−11.9 to 5.0)     |
|                  | 2    | 68.4 (15.9)              | 70.5 (12.5)            | −2.1 (−11.4 to 7.1)     |
| ROM ER 90°, °    | 0    | 90.7 (15.6)              | 95.2 (15.3)            | −4.5 (−14.0 to 5.1)     |
|                  | 2    | 95.2 (14.6)              | 97.9 (12.1)            | −2.7 (−11.0 to 5.7)     |
| ROM IR 90°, °    | 0    | 70.1 (17.2)              | 74.3 (17.0)            | −4.2 (−14.8 to 6.3)     |
|                  | 2    | 68.7 (14.1)              | 72.4 (17.6)            | −3.7 (−13.4 to 6.1)     |

Data presented as mean (SD). Intention-to-treat analyses for DASH, WORC and BPI.

Shoulder abduction and shoulder external rotation maximum force were assessed in supine with the shoulder at 0° flexion and the elbow at 90° flexion, using a Medup handheld dynamometer (Atlas Medic, Quebec City, Canada). Shoulder ROM (flexion, external rotation at 0° and 90° abduction, shoulder internal rotation at 90° abduction) was measured in supine using a digital inclinometer with reported intrarater reliability of ICC=0.95–0.98.31

Data handling and statistical analyses

Baseline demographic data were compared between groups using independent t-test and χ². Intention-to-treat (using last observation carried forward method for handling missing data) and per-protocol analyses were used for all outcomes.

For DASH, BPI and WORC, non-parametric repeated-measures analyses of variance (ANOVAs) for longitudinal data (nparLD, Package nparLD 2.1, R software, V.3.3.3) were used since distributions were normal at baseline and gamma at week 2 and week 6 (as most participants improved close to optimal values).32 A two-way (2-groups × 3-time) nparLD ANOVA was used to compare the intervention effects on DASH, BPI and WORC.

As far as assumptions were reached, the effects of interventions on AHD, ROM and isometric strength were...
analysed with a three-way repeated-measures (groups × times × plane of movements) ANOVA (SPSS V.20). Effect sizes (Glass’s Δ or η²) were reported for all outcomes. The α criterion was set at 5% for all statistical analyses.

RESULTS

Participants

Between June 2016 and March 2018, 44 participants from 107 potential candidates were included (online supplementary appendix 3). All participants took part in the 2-week programme they were assigned to, but one participant was excluded at week 2 follow-up meeting due to a diagnosis of frozen shoulder (follow-up rate at week 2: 97.7% [cryotherapy group: 100%; exercises group: 95%]), while nine participants failed to return their follow-up questionnaires at week 6 (follow-up rate at week 6: 77.3% [cryotherapy group: 87.0%; exercises group: 70%]). At week 2, self-declared compliance to the assigned programme was not significantly different between the groups (out of 42 sessions [3 sessions/day]: p=0.43, mean of 33.6±5.3 sessions of ice application for the cryotherapy group and mean of 31.9±6.2 sessions of gradual reloading exercises for the exercises group). At week 6, self-declared compliance to the exercises programme that had to be done during weeks 3, 4, 5 and 6 was not significantly different between the groups (out of 28 days: p=0.076, mean of 19.5±13.8 days for the cryotherapy group and mean of 11.4±7.9 days for the exercises group). No participant reported adverse effects. At week 6, the assessor declared to be unaware of the group allocation of any participants. The two groups’ baseline characteristics differed with regard to age, with the participants in the cryotherapy group being significantly older (p=0.005; table 1). The use of age as a covariate was considered, but there was no correlation between age and DASH, WORC or BPI scores for all groups at all measurement times. Thus, age does not appear to be an influencing factor.

Effect of early loading exercises and cryotherapy on pain and function

Intention-to-treat and per-protocol analyses showed no significant group effect (p>0.05) and group × time interaction (p>0.12; table 2, figures 1–3). However, both groups showed statistically significant improvement at weeks 2 and 6 in DASH, WORC and BPI scores compared with baseline (p<0.05; table 3), and DASH and WORC CIDs were achieved at week 2 (mean score difference from baseline [all groups]: 12.0 DASH points and 20.8 WORC points).

Effect of early loading exercises and cryotherapy on US measurement, shoulder strength and ROM

The analyses showed no group effect and no time effect on AHD, shoulder ROM and shoulder isometric strength.
Table 3  Mean scores difference of DASH, WORC, BPI, AHD, shoulder strength and ROM in relation to baseline values for cryotherapy and exercises group

| Outcome measures       | Week | Cryotherapy group (n=23) | Exercises group (n=20) | Both groups (n=43) |
|------------------------|------|--------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|
|                        |      | Mean score difference from baseline (95% CI) | Mean score difference from baseline (95% CI) | Mean score difference from baseline (95% CI) |
| DASH (0–100)           | 2    | 11.1 (5.6 to 16.6)       | 13.1 (8.2 to 18.0)     | 12.0 (8.4 to 15.6) |
|                        | 6    | 15.7 (9.6 to 21.8)       | 13.1 (7.6 to 18.5)     | 14.5 (10.5 to 18.5) |
| WORC (0–100)           | 2    | 17.3 (9.5 to 25.0)       | 24.9 (14.7 to 35.2)    | 20.8              |
|                        | 6    | 25.2 (16.1 to 34.3)      | 27.6 (15.9 to 39.3)    | 26.3              |
| BPI (0–10)             | 2    | 1.1 (0.3 to 1.8)         | 1.3 (0.8 to 1.9)       | 1.2 (0.7 to 1.6)  |
|                        | 6    | 1.5 (0.7 to 2.3)         | 1.5 (0.9 to 2.2)       | 1.5 (1.0 to 2.0)  |
| AHD 0°, cm             | 2    | 0.0 (−0.1 to 0.1)        | 0.0 (−0.0 to 0.1)      | 0.0 (−0.0 to 0.0) |
|                        | 45°  | 0.0 (−0.0 to 0.0)        | 0.0 (−0.0 to 0.1)      | 0.0 (−0.0 to 0.1) |
|                        | 60°  | 0.0 (−0.0 to 0.1)        | 0.0 (−0.1 to 0.1)      | 0.0 (−0.0 to 0.1) |
| ER 0°, strength, kg    | 2    | 7.3 (0.27 to 14.4)       | 1.6 (−7.6 to 10.9)     | 4.7 (−0.8 to 10.2) |
|                        | 45°  | 1.4 (−0.2 to 2.9)        | 2.6 (1.1 to 4.1)       | 2.0 (0.9 to 3.0)  |
| ROM flexion, °         | 2    | 0.7 (−3.5 to 4.9)        | 2.1 (−3.6 to 7.7)      | 1.3 (−2.0 to 4.7) |
|                        | 90°  | 0.1 (−3.9 to 4.1)        | −1.2 (−6.2 to 3.8)     | −0.5 (−3.5 to 2.5) |
| ROM ER 0°, °           | 2    | 4.5 (−2.8 to 11.9)       | 2.7 (−2.5 to 7.9)      | 3.7 (−0.8 to 8.1) |
|                        | 90°  | −1.4 (−8.2 to 5.4)       | −1.9 (−10.9 to 7.0)    | −1.7 (−6.9 to 3.6) |

Data presented as mean (95% CI). Intention-to-treat analyses for DASH, WORC and BPI.

ABD, Abduction; AHD, acromiohumeral distance; BPI, Brief Pain Inventory, short version (0–10, 0=no pain); DASH, Disabilities of the Arm, Shoulder and Hand questionnaire (0–100, 0=no disability); ER, external rotation of the shoulder; IR, internal rotation of the shoulder; ROM, range of motion; WORC, Western Ontario Rotator Cuff index (0–100, 100=no functional loss).

(p≥0.11, tables 2 and 3), as well as no time × group interaction (p≥0.18; table 2).

DISCUSSION

The aim of this study was to compare a gradual reloading exercise programme with cryotherapy for acute RC tendinopathy. Our hypothesis that the early reloading exercises would be more effective in reducing pain and disability was not supported as both groups improved equally. Both groups showed statistically and clinically significant improvement in DASH, WORC and BPI scores at weeks 2 and 6.

It is known that shoulder pain can have an impact on the kinematics and the muscle activation pattern of the injured shoulder, which leads to altered movement patterns that can compromise recovery and lead to chronicity. Rehabilitation programmes that include controlled elevation movements to avoid compensation have been shown to be effective in improving shoulder control and in reducing pain and functional limitations in individuals with chronic RC tendinopathy. Thus, the gentle and pain-free movements performed in front of a mirror by every participant may have been sufficient to improve shoulder control and to reduce pain during arm elevation, and so no additional benefits were provided by the presence of ice or isometric exercises. As no control group was included in the study, it is impossible to conclude on the effectiveness of the groups, and outcomes may be attributed to (1) mapping natural improvement, (2) placebo and (3) comparable beneficial treatment effects between the two groups, or a combination of these.

Tendons present a complex healing process that involves structural, cellular and vascular changes over time. During the 6-week follow-up, the natural tendon healing process, which implies a gradual decrease in inflammatory and pain mediators, could explain the similar progression of the two groups regarding pain and function. The effect of ice or gradual exercises could be negligible compared with the time factor, which could explain the lack of difference between the two groups in the short term. The strength, ROM and AHD results, which did not improve over time for both groups, support this hypothesis.

A recent literature review suggested that an imbalanced adaptation between muscles and tendons in

(p20.18; table 2).
response to specific loading conditions might be responsible for tendon injuries. Muscles can improve in their strength and size under moderate loads, while tendons only seem to effectively be strengthened (increase stiffness) when high-magnitude tendon strain is applied (ie, 90% isometric maximum voluntary contraction). This imbalance leads to a higher tendon strain during maximal voluntary contraction and increases the mechanical demand on the tendon by the muscle contraction, which increases the risk of tendon injury. Thus, it is reasonable to believe that including gradual reloading exercises early in the rehabilitation that leads to high-magnitude tendon strain could be beneficial to address possible imbalances that could be responsible for the acute tendinopathy, which in turn would help prevent progression to chronicity. However, our protocol did not include such high reinforcement parameters, which would not have been enough to create a tendon adaptation. Future studies should include higher reinforcement parameters to observe the effect of early loading exercises.

The second objective of this study was to determine if these two interventions have different effects on shoulder strength, ROM and AHD. There was no significant difference between the two groups and there was no time effect. At baseline, both groups had AHD within the normal range, so there was no capacity or requirement for it to increase as expected. Similarly, the mean ROM values at baseline were close to the normative values and no ROM exercise was included in our interventions; therefore, it is not really surprising that ROM did not significantly change over time. Since 3–4 weeks are necessary to observe an increase in strength following resistance exercises, it also seems normal to observe no strength improvement within 2 weeks of treatment. Force measurements at 6-week follow-up would have made it easier to see if there is a variation in strength following these two interventions.

Limitations

There are some limitations to this study. First, there was no ‘no treatment group’ included. Therefore, resolution of symptoms due to the natural healing process cannot be excluded as the main reason of improvement. Future studies should measure tendon thickness alongside AHD, as this is more likely to change in the acute stage of injury. Finally, there was a low follow-up rate at week 6, especially in the exercises group, which means that the results could have been different had we achieved a better follow-up in the latter stage of the study.

CONCLUSION

Our results do not demonstrate any short-term differences between cryotherapy and a gradual reloading exercise programme in improving pain and function in the acute RC tendinopathy population. Considering the acute pain context, time could be a significant factor in reducing pain and functional disability, making negligible the effect of a reloading exercises or the use of ice in the short term.
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