Knowledge, Attitude and Practice of Elderly Outpatients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus in National Geriatric Hospital, Vietnam
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Background: Diabetes-related complications have become increasingly prevalent and complicated to manage, especially among frail older adults with co-morbidities, poor physical function, and impaired cognition. Thus, knowledge, attitude, and practice (KAP) of elderly diabetic patients play an essential role in controlling the disease and its complications.

Patients and Methods: A cross-sectional study was conducted on 176 diabetic patients at the outpatient clinics, National Geriatric Hospital, Hanoi, Vietnam from August to November 2015. Patients’ knowledge, attitude, and practice regarding diabetes mellitus were assessed using a structured questionnaire. Exploratory factor analysis and multivariate Tobit regression model were used to identify factors associated with knowledge, attitude, practice about diabetes mellitus.

Results: Of 176 diabetic patients, patients’ knowledge was divided into two main groups: “Diabetes definition and management” (mean score = 57.7 ± 25.6) and “Targets for diabetic control” (mean score = 66.1 ± 30.4). Patients scored high points of attitudes toward diabetes severity and its complications (mean score = 95.8 ± 13.0); however, knowledge and practice scores were only moderate (mean score = 68.1 ± 16.8). There were only 8.5% of people having daily self-blood glucose monitoring. Advanced age, low educational level, rural area, and duration of diabetes less than 5 years were negative associated with knowledge, attitude, and practice regarding diabetes mellitus. This study also shows that frailty and co-morbidities/diabetic complications could impair patients’ knowledge.

Conclusion: Older patients with diabetes had high levels of perceived severity attitudes on diabetes mellitus. However, the knowledge and practice levels were still inadequate. Pragmatic diabetic health literacy, counseling and education programs, as well as home-based treatments are viable options to improve health outcomes.
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Introduction

Type 2 diabetes has been dramatically prevalent across nations. According to the International Diabetes Federation (IDF) 2019, 463 million adults are currently living with diabetes, of which, approximately 20% (136 million) people are 65 years old and over. IDF estimates that there will be 578 million adults with diabetes by 2030, and 700 million by 2045. In the South-East Asia region, there were approximately 87.6 million people suffering from diabetes in 2019, and it is projected to increase by up to 152.8 million people in 2045. IDF also predicted that the number of people older than 60 years with diabetes will double in the next
50 years due to population aging. In line with the global trend, the estimated prevalence of diabetes in Vietnam will rise from 8.8% in 2019 to 11.3% in 2045.1

Diabetes Mellitus (DM) and its complications negatively impact the quality of life of elderly patients and increase healthcare costs.2,3 It has been noted that older patients are at higher risk of severe diabetes-related complications such as the highest rates of major lower-extremity amputation, myocardial infarction, visual impairment, and end-stage renal disease of any age group; therefore, attention should be paid to the management of diabetes and its complications in the elderly population.4,5 In order to control diabetes and prevent its chronic complications, self-care habits (the use of diabetic medication, implement healthy lifestyles: balanced diet, regular exercise, quit smoking and alcohol) play a critical role. However, functional impairment and dependence among older adults is a barrier to self-care. Previous studies indicated that DM self-care among older patients has better long-term glycemic control, functionality, and lifestyle through improved knowledge and attitude about the disease.6,7 Knowledge, attitude, and practice (KAP) regarding diabetes have been demonstrated to have an essential role to ensure better management of the disease.8 KAP data can be critical to building health promotion and public health responses.9 They can provide policymakers, planners, and health system managers crucial detailed information, particularly when the availability of administrative data is limited.10

Vietnam has one of the fastest rates of aging in the world, and the diabetic prevalence in Vietnam has progressively increased with advancing age.11,12 Despite the high prevalence of DM among Vietnamese adults, patients often lack their knowledge and skills to manage the disease. A study in the Red River Delta showed a low level of knowledge about diabetes among the general population aged 40–64 years old.13 However, in Vietnam, there is a scarcity of studies as well as survey instruments regarding KAP on diabetes among diabetic patients, especially in older populations. The National Geriatric Hospital (NGH), which is the leading hospital in Vietnam that provides care for older patients, started the national program on older diabetic management in 2005. Patients referred to NGH for diabetic diagnose and management are requested to visit every month for checkup and medicine dispensing, which is covered by medical insurance. The present study aimed to describe the knowledge, attitude, and practice of diabetic management, preventing diabetic complications, and identify related factors affecting KAP among elderly outpatients in National Geriatric Hospital. The research is expected to provide insights to improve the treatment and management of diabetes in the elderly.

**Patients and Methods**

**Study Design and Participants**

A cross-sectional study was conducted from August to November 2015 at the Out-patient Department, National Geriatric Hospital. We included patients 60 years old and over, diagnosed with type 2 DM, according to the diagnostic criteria of the International Diabetes Federation 2013.14,15 All patients were examined, monitored, and treated at outpatient clinics of the National Geriatric Hospital. We excluded the patients unable to complete the questionnaire or communicate (including those with severe medical conditions, mental disorders, or cognitive impairment). The formula \[z^2(100-p)/d^2 \] was used in the sample calculation, with an alpha error of 5% (z), a prevalence of good levels of knowledge about diabetes, and a positive attitude and practice of 50% (p), with an 8% error margin (d). To compensate for the patients who might not answer the questionnaire completely or may end up refusing to participate, an additional 15% was added to the sample size. The resulting minimum sample size was 173 older diabetic patients. Patients were randomly selected until the appropriate sample size was reached (16 patients refused to participate in the study). Finally, data from a total of 176 patients completing the questionnaire were used for analysis.

**Data Collection and Definitions**

The study was approved by the National Geriatric Hospital Research Ethics Committee. Written informed consent was obtained from each patient at the time of their visit to the hospital. Data were collected by three well-trained nurses: one was a nurse at the National Geriatric Hospital and two other ones were advanced nursing students at Hanoi Medical University. They all had research experience in geriatrics. To ensure consistency in the data collection process, all nurses underwent a training session on survey administration. Clinical data, including diabetes complications and current medication of participants, were retrieved from medical records.

**The Instrument**

Participants were interviewed to assess knowledge, attitude, and practice on general diabetes, diabetes management, and
related complications using a standardized questionnaire, which was adapted from the Diabetes Knowledge Test (DKT) and Diabetes Attitude Survey (DAS). A standardized process was applied for developing the Vietnamese version of the questionnaire to use in the study. This includes the following steps: First, we conducted a forward-backward translation from English into Vietnamese involving an English translator, geriatricians, endocrinologist, and representative of the patients. Any discordance that emerged from the translation was reviewed and discussed among the team. Secondly, we piloted the instrument among a small sample of 20 patients. This pilot was to 1) assess the feasibility of using the translated tool among this patient group including administered methods, time, flow, and 2) an assessment of patients’ responses to understand if any factors may affect the validity of the instrument. Finally, we conduct a post-pilot review among the research team to finalize the Vietnamese version of the questionnaire.

The first part of the questionnaire covered the demographic information that included age, gender, level of education, living area, diabetic duration, co-morbidities, and ability to pay hospital fees. A reduced level of exercise was assessed by self-reporting of the patients’ ability to do heavy housework, walk up or downstairs without help.

Patients’ knowledge about diabetes was assessed by 11 multiple choice questions which were divided into two parts: knowledge of diabetes including the definition and management (seven questions: DM definition, long-term damage of diabetes, causes and risk factors, the benefit of exercise, periodic measuring glycosylated hemoglobin, the best way to take care of diabetic patients’ feet, good diet for diabetic patients). The second part was knowledge of targets for diabetic control, assessed by four questions whether the patients knew the goal index of fasting plasma glucose, postprandial glucose, blood pressure, and total cholesterol.

Patients’ attitude toward DM severity was assessed by nine questions: exercise, diet, blood glucose, weight control, medication, the impact of DM in patients’ life, cost of treatment, regular health checks, and family support. The final part covered the patients’ practice on diabetes (8 questions): how frequently the patients perform self-management to prevent DM complications: quit smoking, regular self-blood glucose monitoring, blood pressure, exercise, medication, diet, eye-check, and actively seek or request medical services to have periodically blood lipid testing in the last year.

Each correct response was scored as 1 point, incorrect/unknown response was scored as 0. The total score was calculated by adding up all the points, higher scores indicating greater knowledge, attitudes and practices among patients. The conversion into a 100 range score was applied to the total score of knowledge, attitudes and practices. We calculated by multiplying the average score of each domain to 100.

Frailty syndrome was defined using the Fried criteria. The Fried frailty phenotype included five criteria: patients who met at least three criteria were considered to be frail, whereas those with one or two criteria were pre-frail, and those with no characteristics were defined as robust.

Statistical Analysis
We used Stata software version 15.0 to analyze the data. Descriptive statistics were used to present demographic information and KAP scores of the study population. We used exploratory factor analysis (EFA) to explore the construct validity of the questionnaire measuring the knowledge of respondents in terms of DM. An eigenvalue of 1.0, where its curve flattened out, was selected as a threshold. We used Orthogonal Varimax rotation with Kaisers’ normalization to increase the interpretability of these factors. A value of 0.5 was utilized to be a cut-off point for factor loadings. Cronbach’s alpha was used to assess the internal consistency reliability of measurement. Multivariable Tobit regression – censored regression model – was used to identify factors related to KAP score, forward stepwise selection was applied to develop the final model that only contains variables having a p-value at the log-likelihood ratio test less than 0.2. Statistical significance was defined as any p-value less than 0.05.

Results
A total of 176 patients with diabetes were enrolled in this study. The female to male ratio was 1.51, and most of them lived in urban areas (93.8%), 50.6% of the patients had diabetes for a duration of more than 10 years, 18.8% of patients had frailty syndrome. The most prevalent co-morbidity was hypertension (76.1%), followed by dyslipidemia (60.2) and musculoskeletal diseases (38.1%) (Table 1).

Table 2 shows the results of exploratory factor analysis for knowledge about diabetes. There are two domains of knowledge about diabetes among patients: “Diabetes definition and management” and “Targets for diabetic control”. Cronbach’s alpha indexes of these domains were 0.67 and 0.64, respectively. The average score for the
Table 1 Socio-Economic Status and Health Status of Respondents

| Characteristics                      | Male   | Female | Total  | p-value |
|--------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|---------|
|                                      | n      | %      | n      | %       | n      | %      |         |
| Total                                | 70     | 39.8   | 106    | 60.2    | 176    | 100    |         |
| Age group                            |        |        |        |         |        |        |         |
| 60–69                                | 38     | 54.3   | 54     | 50.9    | 92     | 52.3   | 0.66    |
| ≥70                                  | 32     | 45.7   | 52     | 49.1    | 84     | 47.7   |         |
| Living area                          |        |        |        |         |        |        |         |
| Urban                                | 64     | 91.4   | 101    | 95.3    | 165    | 93.8   | 0.30    |
| Rural                                | 6      | 8.6    | 5      | 4.7     | 11     | 6.3    |         |
| Education level                      |        |        |        |         |        |        |         |
| Lower than High school               | 19     | 27.1   | 38     | 35.9    | 57     | 32.4   | 0.04    |
| High school                          | 21     | 30.0   | 41     | 38.7    | 62     | 35.2   |         |
| Higher than High school              | 30     | 42.9   | 27     | 25.5    | 57     | 32.4   |         |
| Diabetes duration                    |        |        |        |         |        |        |         |
| Under 5 years                        | 17     | 24.3   | 26     | 24.5    | 43     | 24.4   | 0.84    |
| 5–10 years                           | 16     | 22.9   | 28     | 26.4    | 44     | 25.0   |         |
| Over 10 years                        | 37     | 52.9   | 52     | 49.1    | 89     | 50.6   |         |
| Able to pay for diabetic treatment   | 59     | 84.3   | 79     | 74.5    | 138    | 78.4   | 0.12    |
| Co-morbidity                         |        |        |        |         |        |        |         |
| None                                 | 9      | 12.9   | 8      | 7.6     | 17     | 9.7    | 0.09    |
| 1 disease                            | 15     | 21.4   | 14     | 13.2    | 29     | 16.5   |         |
| 2 diseases                           | 19     | 27.1   | 20     | 18.9    | 39     | 22.2   |         |
| 3 diseases                           | 16     | 22.9   | 39     | 36.8    | 55     | 31.3   |         |
| ≥ 4 diseases                         | 11     | 15.7   | 25     | 23.6    | 36     | 20.5   |         |
| Current disease/detected complications|        |        |        |         |        |        |         |
| Hypertension                         | 50     | 71.4   | 84     | 79.3    | 134    | 76.1   | 0.23    |
| Dyslipidemia                         | 36     | 51.4   | 70     | 66.0    | 106    | 60.2   | 0.05    |
| Musculoskeletal diseases             | 15     | 21.4   | 52     | 49.1    | 67     | 38.1   | <0.01   |
| Peripheral nerve diseases            | 17     | 24.3   | 20     | 18.9    | 37     | 21.0   | 0.39    |
| Coronary artery disease              | 11     | 15.7   | 17     | 16.0    | 28     | 15.9   | 0.95    |
| Renal disease                        | 8      | 11.4   | 12     | 11.3    | 20     | 11.4   | 0.98    |
| Liver disease                        | 7      | 10.0   | 13     | 12.3    | 20     | 11.4   | 0.64    |
| Retinal disease                      | 7      | 10.0   | 12     | 11.3    | 19     | 10.8   | 0.78    |
| Diabetic foot                        | 1      | 1.4    | 1      | 0.9     | 2      | 1.1    | 0.64    |
| Frailty syndrome                     | 10     | 14.3   | 23     | 21.7    | 33     | 18.8   | 0.22    |

first domain was 57.7 ± 25.6, while it was 66.1 ± 30.4 for the other one. The item with the highest proportion of people giving the correct answer was “Good diet for diabetic patients” (86.4%), following by “Long term damage of diabetes” (85.8%), and Reasonable blood pressure levels (85.8%).

The mean of attitude and practice score among respondents was 95.8 ± 13.0 and 68.1 ± 16.8, respectively. All of the participants agreed that “Diabetes affects almost every part of a patients’ life” and “Supporting from family and friends are important” (100.0%). Almost all interviewees actively seek or request medical services to have periodically blood lipid testing (99.4%). In contrast, there was only 8.5% of people self-monitoring daily blood glucose (Table 3).

Table 4 shows the associations between patients’ characteristics and knowledge about diabetes. In the adjusted regression models, knowledge of people aged ≥70 was significantly lower than people aged 60 to 69. Higher education and longer diabetic duration were positively associated with knowledge. There were significant
Table 2 Exploratory Factor Analysis for Knowledge About Diabetes

| Items                                                        | n    | %    | Diabetes Definition and Management | Targets for Diabetic Control |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|------|------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Good diet for diabetic patients                               | 152  | 86.4 | 0.49                               |                             |
| Organs are damaged by diabetes                                | 151  | 85.8 | 0.50                               |                             |
| Reasonable blood pressure levels                              | 151  | 85.8 |                                    | 0.66                        |
| Reasonable fasting plasma glucose index                       | 143  | 81.3 |                                    | 0.75                        |
| Diabetes definition                                           | 127  | 72.2 | 0.71                               |                             |
| Reasonable blood glucose 2 hours after meal index             | 99   | 56.3 | 0.71                               | 0.73                        |
| Duration in which glycosylated hemoglobin test can measure    | 96   | 54.6 | 0.71                               |                             |
| Reasonable total cholesterol index                            | 72   | 40.9 |                                     | 0.65                        |
| High blood glucose: cause and risk factors                     | 69   | 39.2 | 0.74                               |                             |
| The benefit of exercise                                       | 59   | 33.5 | 0.46                               |                             |
| The best way to take care of diabetic patients' feet           | 57   | 32.4 | 0.40                               |                             |
| Cronbach's alpha                                              |      |      | 0.67                               | 0.64                        |
| Mean (band score: 0–100)                                      |      |      | 57.7                               | 66.1                        |
| SD                                                            |      |      | 25.6                               | 30.4                        |
| Ceiling effect (%)                                            |      |      | 6.8                                | 28.9                        |
| Floor effect (%)                                              |      |      | 1.1                                | 7.4                         |

Table 3 Attitude and Practice Towards Diabetes Among Participants

| Characteristics                                                                 | Male n | %    | Female n | %    | Total n | %    | p-value |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|------|----------|------|---------|------|---------|
| **Attitude towards diabetes**                                                   |        |      |          |      |         |      |         |
| Diabetes affects almost every part of a diabetic persons’ life                  | 70     | 100.0| 106      | 100.0| 176     | 100.0|         |
| Supporting from family and friends are important in dealing with diabetes       | 70     | 100.0| 106      | 100.0| 176     | 100.0|         |
| Costs for diabetic treatment are expensive                                       | 69     | 98.6 | 106      | 100.0| 175     | 99.4 | 0.40    |
| Missing medicines has bad effects on health                                     | 69     | 98.6 | 103      | 97.2 | 172     | 97.7 | 0.48    |
| Keeping the blood sugar close to normal can help to prevent the diabetic        | 68     | 97.1 | 103      | 97.2 | 171     | 97.2 | 0.66    |
| complications                                                                  |        |      |          |      |         |      |         |
| Patients with diabetes should not follow controlled diet                        | 65     | 92.9 | 99       | 93.4 | 164     | 93.2 | 0.56    |
| Patients with diabetes need regular health check                                | 66     | 94.3 | 98       | 92.5 | 164     | 93.2 | 0.77    |
| Patients with diabetes should exercise regularly                                | 65     | 92.9 | 96       | 90.6 | 161     | 91.5 | 0.59    |
| It is important to control weight in diabetic patients                           | 63     | 90.0 | 96       | 90.6 | 159     | 90.3 | 0.90    |
| **Practice in diabetic treatment and prevention**                               |        |      |          |      |         |      |         |
| Actively seek or request medical services to have periodically blood lipid testing| 69     | 98.6 | 106      | 100.0| 175     | 99.4 | 0.40    |
| Have you stopped smoking since finding out that you have diabetes?              | 51     | 72.9 | 105      | 99.1 | 156     | 88.6 | <0.01   |
| Change diet after diagnosis                                                     | 54     | 77.1 | 90       | 84.9 | 144     | 81.8 | 0.19    |
| Forgot to use medicine                                                          | 53     | 75.7 | 88       | 83.0 | 141     | 80.1 | 0.24    |
| Regularly exercise                                                             | 56     | 80.0 | 73       | 68.9 | 129     | 73.3 | 0.10    |
| Eyes checking in the last 1 year                                                | 53     | 75.7 | 73       | 68.9 | 126     | 71.6 | 0.32    |
| Daily blood pressure checking                                                   | 31     | 44.3 | 43       | 40.6 | 74      | 42.1 | 0.63    |
| Daily blood glucose checking                                                    | 8      | 11.4 | 7        | 6.6  | 15      | 8.5  | 0.26    |
| **Attitude score (band score: 0–100)**                                         | 96     | 13.0 | 95.7     | 13.1 | 15.8    | 13.0 | 0.67    |
| **Practice score (band score: 0–100)**                                         | 67     | 18.4 | 67       | 15.7 | 68.1    | 16.8 | 0.59    |

Mean SD                                                                                           | Mean SD                                                                 | Mean SD                                                                 | p-value |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
Table 4 Associated Factors with Knowledge About Diabetes

| Age group (≥70 vs 60–69) | Coef. | 95% CI | Coef. | 95% CI |
|--------------------------|-------|--------|-------|--------|
| Area (Rural vs urban)    |       |        |       |        |
| Education (vs Lower than High school) |       |        |       |        |
| High school              | 16.15*** | 8.66; 31.31 | 20.36*** | 6.02; 34.69 |
| Higher than High school  | 31.21*** | 23.47; 38.96 | 33.81*** | 18.75; 48.87 |
| Diabetes duration (vs under 5 years) |       |        |       |        |
| 5–10 years               | 12.71*** | 3.72; 31.31 | 13.66*** | 5.96; 21.37 |
| Over 10 years            |       |        |       |        |
| Frailty condition (Yes vs no) |       |        |       |        |
| Current disease/detected complications (Yes vs no) |       |        |       |        |
| Renal disease            | −11.83** | −21.50; −2.16 |       |        |
| Coronary artery disease  | 6.60   | −2.27; 15.47 |       |        |
| Peripheral nerve disease | 9.74** | 1.95; 17.53 | 32.06*** | 16.59; 47.53 |
| Hypercholesterolema      |       |        |       |        |
| Musculoskeletal disease  |       |        |       |        |
| Liver disease            | −16.13*** | −25.89; −6.37 |       |        |

Notes: ***p<0.01, **p<0.05, *p<0.1.

Decrements of diabetes definition and management knowledge in comparison with patients having and not having frailty condition (Coefficient = −11.40; 95% CI = −19.49; −3.30). Interviewees having peripheral nerve diseases or dyslipidemia were more likely to score higher in knowledge about targets for diabetic control (Coef. = 32.06; 95% CI = 16.59; 47.53 and Coef. = 16.79; 95% CI = 4.41; 29.18, respectively).

Those patients who had higher education reported significantly higher scores in both attitude and practice regarding diabetes. Moreover, compared to patients without peripheral nerve diseases, people with peripheral nerve diseases reported significant increases in attitude toward diabetes (Coef. = 65.65; 95% CI = 11.14; 119.97). As for practice in diabetic treatment and prevention, patients in rural areas reported significantly lower scores compared to those living in urban (Coef. = −13.41; 95% CI = −23.53; −3.29) (Table 5).

Discussion

Adequate knowledge, attitude, and practice are very important to manage and treat diseases in the elderly. The results of the study contribute to the existing knowledge in the literature, dividing the patients’ knowledge into two main groups “Diabetes definition and management” and “Targets for diabetic control”. The conversion scores related to patient attitudes were high, but knowledge and practice were only above average. Advanced age, low educational level, rural area, duration of diabetes under 5 years, and co-morbidities were factors negatively related to the elderly outpatients’ knowledge, attitudes, and practice.

Older diabetes in the current study showed a moderate level of diabetes knowledge. They had a high percentage of correct answers regarding diet, glycemic index, and blood pressure. In contrast, they lacked knowledge about causes and risk factors of DM, taking care of diabetic patients’ feet, and benefits of exercise. This is comparable to that found in a study by Binh et al, among a rural population in the Red River Delta region, which showed a very low comprehensive knowledge of diabetes control, with less than 10% of the population understanding the concept of DM, the diabetic complications and risk factors, and ways to approach prevention and treatment. Some research also indicates that knowledge of diabetes is not sufficient among diabetic patients, especially in the elderly. Some other studies reported that diabetic patients had good levels of diabetes-related knowledge. The current study has shown that there are two main knowledge domains that can be used to assess knowledge in the target...
Table 5 Associated Factors with Attitude and Practice Towards Diabetes

|                                | Attitude Towards Diabetes | Practice in Diabetes Treatment and Prevention |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
|                                | Coef. 95% CI               | Coef. 95% CI                                  |
| **Age group** (≥70 vs 60–69)   |                            |                                               |
| Area (Rural vs urban)          | −32.29**                  | −3.99 95% CI; −13.41*** 95% CI               |
|                                | −62.76; −1.82              | −8.81; 0.83; −23.53; −3.29                   |
| **Education** (vs Lower than High school) |                          |                                               |
| High school                    | 64.47***                  | 5.34***                                       |
|                                | 27.08; 101.86              | −0.31; 10.98                                  |
| Higher than High school        | 79.97***                  | 11.06***                                      |
|                                | 31.96; 127.98              | 5.23; 16.89                                   |
| Frailty condition (Yes vs no)  | −24.85                    |                                               |
|                                | −55.11; 5.41               |                                               |
| **Comorbidity** (vs none)      |                            |                                               |
| One disease                    | −0.32                      | −9.62; 8.99                                  |
| Two diseases                   | 4.68                       | −5.18; 14.54                                 |
| Three diseases                 | 12.51***                   | 2.20; 22.81                                  |
| More than 3 diseases           | 7.96                       | −3.90; 19.81                                 |
| **Current disease/detected complications** (Yes vs no) | | |
| Coronary artery disease        | 65.56***                   | 5.26                                          |
| Retinal disease                | 11.14; 119.97              | −2.06; 12.58                                 |
| Peripheral nerve diseases      | 6.93                       | −1.41; 15.26                                 |
| Hypercholesterolemia           | −5.18                      | −11.67; 1.31                                 |

Notes: **p<0.01, ***p<0.05, *p<0.1.

population. The factor “Diabetes definition and management” may be valuable in future studies. The research discovered that only 8.5% of participants reported regular self-monitoring of blood glucose at home. This finding was lower compared to those in the American study among older adults with type 2 diabetes (40%). This difference may be explained by the fact that insurance reimbursement for testing supplies is not available in Vietnam. Therefore, the elderly have to pay for blood glucose tests and glucose meters regardless of income. This highlights the need for effective diabetic education programs in the elderly on the prevention of diabetes complications and regular self-monitoring of blood glucose at home. Financial assistant programs may help diabetic patients increase their frequency of self-monitoring. On the other hand, inadequate knowledge status in patients is also linked to inadequate practice. Elderly patients with diabetes in our study were recruited at the hospital, so they may be more likely to have a positive attitude about managing and treating the disease since they are regularly consulted by medical professionals. However, individuals outside of medical settings may have less knowledge and poorer practices. Previous research revealed that knowledge and practice of foot care in diabetic patients were substandard, and the majority of the respondents (45.7%) never checked their feet daily. This raises concerns for the vital needs of regular education regarding foot care and the benefits of exercise for aging diabetic patients in Vietnam. This situation highlighted that there continues to be barriers to treatment adherence and outcomes. The physicians should have methods to help patients to improve their knowledge and practice in routine visits.

The impact of geriatric syndrome, including frailty and co-morbidity conditions, has been important in studies related to the treatment of diabetes in this group. This study also shows that frailty and co-morbidities/DM complications could impair patients’ knowledge. The explanation for this may be due to functional and cognitive impairment and dependence to perform the activities of daily living, which can be a barrier to improve knowledge in older diabetic patients. In particular, this finding showed that knowledge of patients aged ≥70 was significantly lower than those aged 60 to 69. The research results also indicate that the higher the level of education was associated with greater knowledge. Diabetes is considered a self-manageable condition, and patients themselves play a vital role in managing their condition. Increasing the effectiveness of self-management support may have a considerable impact on health care. Among the elderly and especially those with co-morbidities, developing family-based treatment is a viable option to address this problem.
Further training for elderly diabetic patients and their family members is essential. The assessment of knowledge, attitude, and practice in the study provided evidence for physicians, the hospital leaders and other geriatric departments and hospitals to develop the training programs for elderly diabetic patients to improve the treatment outcomes.

We acknowledge this study has several limitations. First, due to the nature of the cross-sectional design, we could not draw causal relationships between KAP and other factors. Second, this study was conducted in the hospital, so those treated in the hospital may have better KAP; this can lead to overestimation. Further studies should be conducted in communities to improve knowledge regarding diabetes and emphasize lifestyle modifications of older people.

Conclusion
In conclusion, this study shows relatively good levels of attitude on diabetes mellitus among elderly DM patients in Vietnam. However, the knowledge and practice levels were inadequate. Our results show that diabetes health literacy needs to be improved for better health promotion. The study highlights the essential needs for pragmatic diabetic health literacy, counseling, and education programs in both clinical and community settings as well as home-based treatment dedicated to older diabetic patients. Further researches, like randomized intervention trials, are necessary to improve management and outcomes for this population.
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