Gene and transgenics nomenclature for the laboratory axolotl—*Ambystoma mexicanum*

Sergej Nowoshilow¹ | Ji-Feng Fei²,³ | S. Randal Voss⁴,⁵,⁶ | Elly M. Tanaka¹ | Prayag Murawala⁷,⁸

¹Research Institute of Molecular Pathology (IMP), Vienna Biocenter (VBC), Vienna, Austria
²Guangdong Provincial People’s Hospital, Guangdong Academy of Medical Sciences, Guangzhou, China
³Institute for Brain Research and Rehabilitation, South China Normal University, Guangzhou, China
⁴Department of Neuroscience, University of Kentucky, Lexington, Kentucky, USA
⁵Ambystoma Genetic Stock Center, University of Kentucky, Lexington, Kentucky, USA
⁶Spinal Cord and Brain Injury Research Center, University of Kentucky, Lexington, Kentucky, USA
⁷Mount Desert Island Biological laboratory (MDIBL), Salisbury Cove, USA
⁸Clinic for Kidney and Hypertension Diseases, Hannover Medical School, Hannover, Germany

**Abstract**

The laboratory axolotl (*Ambystoma mexicanum*) is widely used in biological research. Recent advancements in genetic and molecular toolkits are greatly accelerating the work using axolotl, especially in the area of tissue regeneration. At this juncture, there is a critical need to establish gene and transgenic nomenclature to ensure uniformity in axolotl research. Here, we propose guidelines for genetic nomenclature when working with the axolotl.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

The axolotl’s tremendous regeneration ability, large egg size, and ex vivo development have attracted generations of researchers to use it in biological research. However, the axolotl’s use was limited in the 20th century due to the lack of genomic information and genetic tools. In the last two decades, major efforts by a number of laboratories have led to the development of new research resources that now allow for resolution of biological processes at the molecular level. These efforts have yielded transcriptome and genome assemblies, transgenic animals, genome editing using CRISPR/Cas9 technology to generate knockout and knock-in animals, implementation of tissue-specific Cre-loxP virus mediated gene delivery for ectopic gene expression, and tissue-clearing and advanced imaging technologies. With these resources and tools in hand, the axolotl community is expected to enter the phase of exponential growth in coming years, while all researchers will benefit from the unified nomenclature.

Standardized nomenclature of genes, proteins, or genetically modified animals is crucial for effective communication among researchers. It also facilitates comparative -omics studies with other organisms. Moreover, nomenclature of a transgenic animal provides essential additional information. For example, it indicates the method and specific genome modification that was used to develop the transgenic and identifies the lab/institute that created the resource. Since the first implementation of standard gene nomenclature in *M. musculus*, nomenclature guidelines (detailed in a book written by Wood) and committees have been introduced in human and many major model organisms, such as *Xenopus*, *zebrafish*, *C. elegans*, chicken, anole, tunicates, and planaria—*S. mediterranea*. In the last 3 years, salamander researchers have met annually to identify critical needs to advance and unify community efforts, including the formation of a gene nomenclature committee. In this early stage of gene annotation, the identification of orthologous axolotl genes is complicated by the limitations of the current assemblies. Additionally, evolutionary processes complicate the identification of orthologous genes, including the nucleotide sequence divergence/convergence, gene duplication, and rearrangement of genes within and among chromosomes. As chromosome evolution in the axolotl has been relatively conservative relative to other vertebrate taxa, information from sequence identity and gene order conservation (conserved synteny) can be used to efficiently identify homologous genes in the axolotl genome. Indeed, we expect that many genes in the most recent axolotl genome assembly are correctly annotated based on their homology to other vertebrate genes. Nevertheless, at this stage investigators should remain cautious and manually validate gene annotations that seem ambiguous or strange. In following the precedent of other gene nomenclature committees, it is logical to align axolotl gene names and symbols with those in model organism databases to facilitate the inevitable migration of axolotl genome annotations into a unified database format.

Here, we advance a general set of guidelines for describing gene-centric information when using the axolotl and other salamanders. These guidelines do not cover the full range of gene and RNA types (miRNA, snRNA, and snoRNA) that are annotated in well-curated model organism databases. They will be made available through the Ambystoma genomic stock center (AGSC, https://ambystoma.uky.edu) and axolotl-omics (https://www.axolotl-omics.org), which at present are the two most widely used web resources for the axolotl community. Regular updates to these guidelines will be made as axolotl genome annotations mature. The current authors of this manuscript will serve as the gene nomenclature committee and Dr. Elspeth Bruford of the HUGO Gene Nomenclature Committee, which is responsible for naming human genes, will serve as an advisor of this committee. Gene nomenclature guidelines will be expanded in the future if necessary after discussing with the axolotl research community. These guidelines are designed according to FAIR (Findability, Accessibility, Interoperability, and Reusability) principles to maintain consistency with other model organisms wherever possible. For reader’s ease, we highlight the axolotl as the exemplar salamander species and *Prx1* as the exemplar gene throughout this manuscript to introduce guidelines for the gene nomenclature.
2 | SUMMARY OF NOMENCLATURE

Species symbol: Amex

Gene/mRNA/cDNA: Prrx1

Protein: PRRX1

Paralogs: Prrx1 and Prrx2

Transcript variant: Prrx1.1, Prrx1.2

Transgenic line: tgScel(Mmu.Prrx1:GFPnls-T2a-ERT2-CreERT2)Labcode, tgScel(Mmu.Prrx1:GFPnls-T2a-ERT2-CreERT2;CAGGS:loxP-GFP-loxP-Cherry)Labcode

Gene knockout: tm(Prrx1153v6D8/153v6D8)Labcode, tm(Prrx1153v6I5/+Labcode.

Gene knock-in: tm(Prrx1t/+;Prrx1-T2a-Cherry)Labcode, tm(Prrx1r/+;Cherry)Labcode, tm(Prrx1r/+;miniPrrx1-T2a-Cherry)Labcode

3 | DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF NOMENCLATURE

3.1 | Species symbol

3.1.1 | Species abbreviation

Although the axolotl (Ambystoma mexicanum) is one of the most commonly used salamanders, a number of other species such as Ambystoma tigrinum (Tiger salamander), Ambystoma maculatum (Spotted salamander), Notophthalmus viridescens (Eastern newt), and Pleurodeles waltl (Iberian newt) are commonly used for tetrapod tissue regeneration research. A comparative -omics study often requires identification of orthologous genes across salamander species. We recommend using the first letter denoting the genus name in upper case and the first three letters of the species name in lower case regular font to create the species-specific acronym. For Ambystoma mexicanum, we recommend using Amex as a species symbol. For other ambystomatid species, the same convention would follow, such as Amac (A. maculatum), Aand (A. andersoni), and Acal (A. californiensis). If there is a subspecies, the first letter of the subspecies name can be assigned as a fifth letter in a lower-case italics, and a sixth letter to differentiate between subspecies that share the same first letter of the subspecies name. For example, there are several A. tigrinum subspecies, including A. tigrinum mavortium (Atigma), A. tigrinum melanosticum (Atigme), and A. tigrinum nebulosum (Atign).

3.2 | Gene/mRNA/cDNA/Protein

3.2.1 | Gene

A gene symbol is described by a series of alphanumeric characters, where the first character is upper-case italics and the rest are lower-case italics. This is in line with the mouse gene nomenclature and it follows current axolotl gene annotations. For example, a gene Paired related homeobox 1 is referred to as Prrx1.

3.2.2 | Species ambiguity

When there is an ambiguity about the orthology of a gene from a species, we recommend using a prefix to indicate the species name. The prefixes should not be considered part of the name and hence, a period should be placed between species and the gene name, while an entire phrase should be written in italics, for example, Amex.Prrx1. When there is no ambiguity of species used for the study, the species symbol must be omitted when describing the gene.

3.2.3 | Gene, mRNA, and cDNA

Often a gene, mRNA, and cDNA need to be distinguished in a text. In such cases, we recommend putting “gene,” “mRNA,” or “cDNA” in regular font in parentheses in front of the gene symbol. Gene, mRNA, or cDNA words must be omitted to describe a gene when there is no ambiguity or after describing once in the beginning of the communication.

Gene: Prrx1

In case of ambiguity between gene, mRNA, cDNA: (gene)Prrx1, (mRNA)Prrx1, (cDNA)Prrx1

In case of species ambiguity: (gene)Amex.Prrx1

3.2.4 | Protein

The protein symbol is same as the gene symbol, but in regular (nonitalic) font, with all characters in upper-case.

Protein: PRRX1

Note the differences in gene and protein naming convention among commonly used model organisms in Table 1.

3.3 | Gene nomenclature in axolotl

The chromosome-scale axolotl genome assemblies made it possible to study not only the coding sequences, but also the evolution and synteny at the whole-genome level and accurate gene annotation is vital for those purposes. However, to ensure accurate and unambiguous communication between scientists, it is crucial to work out a set of rules for how the genes and proteins should be named, how to distinguish paralogs and orthologs, and how to name pseudogenes.
3.3.1 Orthologs and paralogs

Unlike zebrafish and *Xenopus laevis*, the axolotl genome was not structured by whole-genome duplication events. However, axolotl-specific (ie, in-paralogs) as well as salamander-specific (ie, out-paralogs) gene duplicates are known (Figure 1A) and can be shared with other salamander taxa. In order to define the evolutionary relationship between the genes, it is crucial to define whether the genes in different species derive from a common ancestor (orthologs), or whether the genes in the same species arose from the same ancestor (paralogs).

While it is important to standardize the gene names for orthologous genes, it is also important to keep the gene naming compatible to that used across vertebrates, in order to make the analyses of the axolotl data comparable with the large body of available human and mouse datasets. Similar to the human and mouse orthologous gene nomenclature (HUMOT) project, we propose to rely on a mixed approach that combines both the orthology information with the synteny and also integrates the data from expert orthology resources. The importance of the synteny can be demonstrated by a following example. Imagine a situation that in the axolotl, a gene homologous to a gene *GENEB* in another species was duplicated (Figure 1B), while the copy that is more similar to the ancestral gene (indicated by the exon pattern in Figure 1B) moved out of the locus. In this case, the copy that stayed in the locus should be annotated as *Geneb2*, while the one that moved out should be annotated as

### TABLE 1 Gene and protein naming convention in axolotl, zebrafish, *Xenopus*, anole, mouse, chicken, and human

| Species      | Gene nomenclature | Protein nomenclature | References |
|--------------|-------------------|----------------------|------------|
| Axolotl      | Prrx1             | PRRX1                | Current manuscript |
| Zebrafish    | prrx1             | Prrx1                | [28, 29]   |
| *Xenopus*    | prrx1             | Prrx1                | [26, 27]   |
| Anole        | prrx1             | PRRX1                | [32]       |
| Mouse        | Prrx1             | PRRX1                | [40]       |
| Chicken      | PRRX1             | PRRX1                | [31]       |
| Human        | PRRX1             | PRRX1                | [41]       |

**FIGURE 1** Gene nomenclature for duplicated and novel genes. A, Two *Cat* loci arose by gene duplication. Comparison with the human genome reveals a single copy of *CAT* in the human genome. Thus, *Cat1* and *Cat2* are paralogs in the axolotl genome. B, Annotation of orthologs and paralogs. *Geneb1* is annotated based on its homology to *GENEB* in another organism (indicated by the pattern of exons), while a paralogous gene is annotated as *Geneb2* since it has a lower sequence similarity. Grey shaded area indicates the chromosome to highlight that *Geneb1* is in a different locus. C, A putative novel gene family member in axolotl that has some (BLAST e-value 1e−16) similarity to a gene in another organism. D, A putative novel gene that does not have any homologs, but has a long (1941aa) open reading frame
Geneb1. In contrast, if the copy that moved out is less similar to GENEB than the one that stayed, then the latter should be annotated as Geneb1 and the former as Geneb2. If synteny is not conserved then phylogenetic analysis should be used to identify the relationships between the genes. As these relationships can be complex it is better to deliberately exclude ambiguous orthology information than to propagate incorrect assumptions about the genes. In the case of paralogous genes, one must be very cautious in order to avoid name collisions across species. Imagine, in the above example, there were also a gene GENEB2 in the same species. However, this GENEB2 is not orthologous to the newly annotated Geneb2 in axolotl. In this case, we suggest using the next available number in the series, following consultation with other gene nomenclature groups. In the outlined example, it would be Geneb3. Hence, we always recommend contacting the axolotl gene nomenclature committee when naming a gene.

Paralogs:
- Paired related homeobox 1 and Paired related homeobox 2: Prrx1 and Prrx2
- Duplicated genes:
  - Catalases—Cat and Cat2

### 3.3.2 Novel genes

While paralogous genes should be treated as described above, potential novel genes should be annotated differently. Ideally, novel genes should be characterized functionally and named based on their function, whenever possible. However, for the vast majority of the novel genes this is not the case. We therefore suggest to examine the orthologous relationships to other functionally characterized or unambiguously annotated genes in axolotl or other organisms. If a novel gene can be assigned to a certain protein family but fails to fulfill the criteria outlined in 4 to be annotated unambiguously, we suggest adding a suffix “-like” to the gene symbol of the closest ortholog based solely on the sequence homology. This annotation may be changed later when its existence is confirmed in the lab and the functional data become available. Finally, if none of those approaches can be applied, the novel gene should be annotated as Locxxxx (Figure 1D), where xxxx are the NCBI gene IDs. In this case, the gene sequence should be submitted to NCBI first to get the NCBI gene ID, which ensures that this gene symbol is not arbitrary.

Example:
- Prothymosin-alpha-like: Prothymosin-alpha-like—Ptal (Figure 1C) and Loc12345 between Tmem79 and Smg5 (Figure 1D)

### 3.3.3 Transcript variants

We propose that the transcript variant names are formed after the following schema: GeneName.TranscriptNumber. All predicted transcripts of a gene are numbered by the order in which they were annotated. While in organisms with a well-established genome annotation, the nomenclature does not specifically deal with the transcript annotations, we feel that in axolotl the annotation is frequently changed at the moment and therefore it is vital to keep track of which isoforms were proven to be wrong. For example, imagine that a gene has three annotated isoforms, Gene.1, Gene.2, and Gene.3. However, it turns out that Gene.3 is just an artifact. Nevertheless, another isoform, Gene.4, is shown to be very tissue-specific. At this point, it is better to have the isoforms annotated as Gene.1, Gene.2, and Gene.4, to indicate that Gene.3 does not exist and avoid confusion in case any works referred to Gene.3 before it was excluded.

Example: transcript variants of Prrx1, Prrx1.1, Prrx1.2

### 3.3.4 Noncoding transcripts

Similar to the guidelines for the human genome outlined in Seal et al., 2020, we propose that noncoding transcripts are annotated according to their RNA type. Micro-RNAs should be annotated as “mir-XXX,” where XXX is the submission ID in the miRbase database. Transfer RNAs should receive gene names following the pattern tRNA-XXX-YYY-GtRNAdbID, where XXX is the three-letter amino acid code, YYY is the anticodon and GtRNAdbID is the gene ID in GtRNAdb database. Other classes of noncoding RNAs should be named after consulting the gene naming committee as very little work has been done on noncoding RNAs in axolotl so far and, thus, the exact requirements will be met later.
Similar to the guidelines in other vertebrates, we suggest to use the gene symbol with the suffix “-as” for non-coding transcripts that originate from the same promoter as an annotated protein-coding gene on the opposite strand, for example, Dio3-as for a noncoding RNA that originates from the Dio3 promoter.

3.3.5 | Mitochondrial genes

In order to stay consistent with the well-annotated species, we propose to use the human annotation of the mitochondrial genes (NCBI Reference Sequence: NC_012920.1) in axolotl. However, in agreement with the remainder of the nomenclature, only the first letter should be capital, for example, Mtnd2 for the mitochondrially encoded NADH dehydrogenase 2, while the human counterpart would be MTND2.

4 | CHROMOSOMES AND ABBREVIATIONS

The axolotl genome is made up of ~32 Gb of DNA, which are distributed across 14 chromosomes. Chromosomes are numbered in a descending order based on their size, which was initially determined by meiotic mapping and recombination distances that define linkage groups. Thus, as also seen in the human genome, chromosome ordering by size does not exactly correlate with ordering based upon chromosome base pair length. Further, axolotl chromosomes are divided into short and long arms via centromere. Conventionally, the short arm is called the p arm, while the long arm is called the q arm. For the sake of consistency, we propose to retain this nomenclature for the axolotl chromosome arms.

4.1 | Deficiencies, duplication, inversion, insertion, and translocation

Chromosomal aberrations are known in every species and the axolotl is no exception. They can be mainly classified into deficiencies, duplication, inversion, insertions, and translocations. Although a few chromosomal aberrations have been reported to date, we anticipate that such annotations will arise in the near future from the analyses of the genome and transcriptome assemblies. We propose to use the following prefix for each of them which is in line with the usage of these terms by the zebrafish community:

- deficiencies, Df
- duplication, Dp
- inversion, In
- insertion, Is
- translocation, T

Further, chromosome rearrangements are indicated with the following prefixes in italics, followed by the chromosome aberration details in parentheses and in italics, which in turn is followed by the name of the line in a regular font.

\[ Df(Chr#:xxx)\text{lineNN} \]

5 | TRANSGENIC LINES AND CONSTRUCTS

Since the first successful axolotl transgenics, which were ubiquitous fluorescent reporter expression lines, transgenesis has made significant progress. In the last decade, a number of transgenic methodologies were successfully implemented in axolotl. This includes the I-SceI mediated transgenesis, Tol2-mediated transgenesis, TALEN-mediated transgenesis and CRISPR/Cas9-mediated transgenesis, all of which allow researchers to perform random transgenesis, generate knock-outs and knock-ins in axolotl. With these advances, it is expected that more transgenic animals will be generated in the near future and a standard transgenic nomenclature is, thus, needed to assign the identifier information in a consistent and rigorous manner.

5.1 | Random insertion

I-SceI and Tol2-mediated transgenesis utilizes the flanking I-SceI restriction sites or Tol2 transposable elements to the cassette of interest. Co-injection of such construct with the I-SceI meganuclease or Tol2 mRNA/protein allows for random integration of the cassette of interest into the genome. We recommend highlighting such transgenic animals by the tg symbol followed by the method of transgenesis and name of the cassette in parentheses. We also propose separating regulatory elements (enhancer and promoters) and the coding sequence by colons. The name of the full cassette should be written in italics. If a foreign regulatory element is used for making the transgenic animal, then it should be mentioned as a one letter genus and three letter species symbol followed by a period in the nomenclature, such as from mouse—\( M.\text{musculus} \) (Mmu). If the regulatory element of the axolotl is used then the species information can be omitted. Further, we recommend appending the developer's lab code as a superscript after the parentheses to indicate the origin of the transgenic animal. In order to obtain the lab code, developer should register
their lab/organization with international laboratory code registry (ILAR) (https://www.nationalacademies.org/ilar/lab-code-database).

I-SceI mediated transgenesis
tgSceI(Mmu.Prrx1:GFPnls-T2a-ER\(^{TM}\)-Cre-ER\(^{TM}\})\text{Labcode}
Tol2 mediated transgenesis
tgTol2(Mmu.Prrx1:GFPnls-T2a-Cre-ER\(^{TM}\})\text{Labcode}

Often, transgenic animals are made with more than one cassette. In such instances, we suggest the use of semicolon (;) between two cassettes.
tgSceI(Mmu.Prrx1:GFPnls-T2a-ER\(^{TM}\)-Cre-ER\(^{TM}\); CAGGS:loxP-GFP-loxP-Cherry)\text{Labcode}

5.2 \ \textbf{Knockout lines}

Gene mutants are often generated with the aim to perform functional analysis. With the advent of CRISPR/Cas9, it has become relatively easy to generate such lines. Such germ-line transmitted targeted mutations (tm) should be characterized for insertion or deletion and they should be annotated as I or D, respectively. In addition, the line name should also contain the position of the indel with respect to the start of the gene, the version of the genome and the number of nucleotides that are inserted or deleted. If the generated animals are heterozygous then the wildtype (+) should be mentioned as a second allele.

Example:
tm(Prrx1\(^{153}\):Prrx1-T2a-Cherry)\text{Labcode}, refers to a heterozygous knock-in at the Prrx1 locus, which retains the native Prrx1 gene structure and allows for tagging (I) at its C-terminus resulting in a fusion of Prrx1 with T2a-Cherry. Similarly, a homozygous knock-in should be labeled as tm(Prrx1\(^{153}\):Prrx1-T2a-Cherry)\text{Labcode}.

However, the N-terminal insertion of a transgene via the NHEJ disrupts the native gene sequence. Hence, the N-terminus knock-ins are generated in one of the following two ways.

When the native coding sequence is disrupted leading to a heterozygous genotype, tm(Prrx1\(^{153}\):Prrx1-T2a-Cherry)\text{Labcode}, refers to the heterozygous N-terminus knock-in at the Prrx1 locus, which replaces (r) the native gene with Cherry. In this situation, the native gene is not active and hence, these animals are heterozygous knock-outs for Prrx1.

When the native coding sequence is disrupted, but replaced with the cDNA of the native gene, which is also referred to as a mini-gene,
tm(Prrx1\(^{153}\):miniPrrx1-T2a-Cherry)\text{Labcode}, refers to a heterozygous N-terminus knock-in at the Prrx1 locus, which replaces (r) the native gene with a mini-gene version of Prrx1(cDNA), which is fused to T2a-Cherry. In this situation, since the native gene is replaced by the Prrx1 cDNA, the animals are not considered as knock-outs for Prrx1.

Finally, we want to once again point out that the axolotl gene nomenclature committee should be contacted every time when naming a gene. We envisage that such systemic nomenclature would remove confusion among researchers and serve the entire community.

5.3 \ \textbf{Knock-in lines}

Similarly, the names of a knock-in animal should contain the name of the gene locus where the transgene is inserted. At the moment only nonhomologous end-joining (NHEJ) mediated knock-in possible\(^ 8 \) and such transgene knock-ins are generated by targeted mutation (tm) at either the N-terminus or the C-terminus of the endogenous ORF. This, in turn, may either retain or disrupt the native ORF. Similar to the cassette in the random transgenesis, regulatory elements and the coding DNA sequence should be separated by a colon and italicized. When a transgene is inserted at the C-terminus as a tag without disrupting the native coding sequence then it should be written as follows.

tm(Prrx1\(^{153}\):Prrx1-T2a-Cherry)\text{Labcode}, refers to a heterozygous knock-in at the Prrx1 locus, which retains the native Prrx1 gene structure and allows for tagging (I) at its C-terminus resulting in a fusion of Prrx1 with T2a-Cherry. Similarly, a homozygous knock-in should be labeled as tm(Prrx1\(^{153}\):Prrx1-T2a-Cherry)\text{Labcode}.
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