Search for a Higgs boson decaying through $Z_d$ to 4 leptons
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Abstract. The Standard Model (SM) is known to be incomplete (it cannot explain dark matter, dark energy, gravitational waves, matter-antimatter asymmetry, etc). The introduction of a Dark Sector via an additional $U(1)_d$ gauge symmetry added to the SM Lagrangian could be the long-awaited solution. In this model there is a dark vector boson $Z_d$ which can mix with the SM hypercharge gauge boson. This opens the Hypercharge Portal which can mediate the fluctuation of a $Z$ to a $Z_d$, or the decay of the $Z_d$ to SM leptons. If a dark Higgs singlet also exists, then this breaks the $U(1)_d$ symmetry, allowing for Higgs mixing (parametrised by $\kappa$) between the SM and dark sector Higgs bosons, and thereby opening the Higgs portal as a discovery channel. Including dark fermionic fields in the Lagrangian allows for long-lived cold Dark Matter candidates. The various connections between the Dark and SM sectors allow descriptions of many key astro-physical phenomena. This contribution discusses an ATLAS search for the dark force boson $Z_d$ using its production via the Higgs Portal and its decay back to SM leptons: $H \to Z_d Z_d \to 4\ell$. The biggest deviation from the Standard Model expectation is from a single event at $\langle m_{\ell\ell} \rangle \approx 20$ GeV, with a local significance of $3.2\sigma$ in the $2e2\mu$ channel, and the corresponding global significance is approximately $1.9\sigma$.

1. Introduction

The search for the $Z_d$ dark force particle is inspired by a class of models where the Standard Model (SM) is extended by introducing hidden or dark sector states [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10], in a way that provides candidates for dark matter [11] and dark forces which accommodate both the indirect and the (potential) direct evidence based on astronomical observations or space platform experiments [12, 13, 14]. The hidden or dark sector is introduced in this case with an additional $U(1)_d$ dark gauge symmetry [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10].

If the primary route for the production of dark particles in the search, proceeds from the prior production of the Higgs particle, then we have also specialised to the Higgs Portal class of models. In this case we assume the dark sector coupling to the SM through kinetic mixing with the hypercharge boson via the kinetic mixing parameter $\epsilon$ to be very small. This is because $\epsilon$ allows for processes like $Z \to Z_d$ and $Z_d \to \ell\ell$, which must already be constrained by the current excellent agreement between the SM and electroweak precision observables, $\epsilon \lesssim 10^{-4}$ [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 15, 16]. We introduce a Higgs level coupling between the dark sector and the SM. The $U(1)_d$ symmetry of the dark sector is broken by the introduction of a dark Higgs boson, which can mix with the SM Higgs boson [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10] with a coupling strength $\kappa$. The observed Higgs boson would then be the lighter partner of the new Higgs doublet, which can also decay via the dark sector. We then conceptually allow the decay $H \to h_d \to Z_d Z_d$. We also assume the dark fermions are sufficiently heavy $m_{f_d} < m_{Z_d}/2$, so that the branching ratio for the decay $Z_d \to \ell\ell$ may be taken as 100%, even though the kinetic mixing parameter $\epsilon$ has...
been set small. The Higgs Portal has been opened by the observation of the discovered Higgs at 125 GeV [17, 18, 19] during Run 1 of the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) [20, 21]. This has ushered in a new and rich experimental program for physics beyond the SM.

This paper describes the recently published Run 2 search for the Higgs boson decaying to four leptons via two $Z_d$ bosons using $pp$ collision data at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV corresponding to an integrated luminosity of $36.1 \pm 1.2$ fb$^{-1}$ collected at the CERN LHC with the ATLAS experiment [22]. The Run 1 search at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV used a dataset corresponding to an integrated luminosity of $20.3 \pm 0.56$ fb$^{-1}$ [23] for $H \rightarrow Z_dZ_d \rightarrow 4\ell$ and was published in [24]. The Run 2 data both extended the search and also incorporates several extensions and improvements.

In the search, same-flavor decays of the $Z_d$ bosons to electron and muon pairs are considered, giving the $4e$, $2e2\mu$, and $4\mu$ final states. Final states including $\tau$ leptons are not considered. In the absence of a significant signal, a 95% CL upper limit was set on the model-independent fiducial cross section for the process $H \rightarrow Z_dZ_d \rightarrow 4\ell$. Also, considering the BSM benchmark model where the SM was extended by an additional $U(1)_d$ gauge symmetry, upper limits could be set on the branching ratio $\text{BR}(H \rightarrow Z_dZ_d)$ [5, 6]. The search is restricted to the mass range where the $Z_d$ from the decay of the Higgs boson is on-shell, i.e. $15$ GeV $< m_{Z_d} < m_H/2$, where $m_H = 125$ GeV. The Run 2 paper [22] also considered the search $H \rightarrow aa \rightarrow 4\mu$ where the intermediate state contains two light pseudoscalar bosons, in the range, $1$ GeV $< m_a < 15$ GeV, however this aspect is not part of this proceedings paper.

2. Experimental Setup, Monte Carlo Simulation : Signal and backgrounds

The ATLAS detector covers almost the whole solid angle around the collision point with layers of tracking detectors, calorimeters and muon chambers. Further details can be found in [25] and was published in [24]. The leptons are then combined into quadruplets according to quality criteria as given below.

Table 1: Summary of the event selection taken from table 1 in [22].

| Object                        | $H \rightarrow Z_dZ_d \rightarrow 4\ell$ |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| QUADRUPLET SELECTION          | - Require at least one quadruplet of leptons consisting of two pairs of same-flavour opposite-charge leptons |
|                               | - Three leading-$p_T$ leptons satisfy $p_T > 20$ GeV, 15 GeV, 10 GeV |
|                               | - At least three muons are required to be reconstructed by combining ID and MS tracks in the 4$\mu$ channel. |
|                               | - Leptons in the quadruplet responsible for firing at least one trigger |
|                               | - $\Delta R(\ell, \ell') > 0.10$ (0.20) for all same (different) flavour leptons in the quadruplet |
| QUADRUPLET RANKING            | - Select quadruplet with smallest $\Delta m_{34} = |m_{12} - m_{34}|$ |
| EVENT SELECTION               | - Reject event if: |
|                               | - $(m_{4\ell} - 0.25$ GeV) $< m_{12,14,12,14} < (m_{4\ell} + 0.30$ GeV) |
|                               | - $(m_{Y(1,2)} - 0.70$ GeV) $< m_{12,14,12,14} < (m_{Y(1,2)} + 0.75$ GeV) |
|                               | - $m_{34}/m_{12} > 0.85$ |
|                               | - $115$ GeV $< m_{4\ell} < 130$ GeV |
|                               | - $10$ GeV $< m_{12,34} < 64$ GeV |
|                               | - $5$ GeV $< m_{14,12} < 75$ GeV for 4$e$ and 4$\mu$ channels |

Simulated event samples are used to model the signal process, and to estimate most of the SM backgrounds. The samples are then passed through a simulation of the ATLAS detector [26] based on GEANT4 [27].

2.1. Signal

$H \rightarrow Z_dZ_d \rightarrow 4\ell$ The signal process is generated using the Hidden Abelian Higgs Model (HAHM) [9, 10] model in MADGRAPH5 [28] interfaced with PYTHIA8 [29] (v8.170) for the modelling of the parton shower, hadronisation and underlying event (UE), using the CTEQ6L1 parton distribution functions (PDFs) [30] at next-to-leading order (NLO). The mass of the $Z_d$ boson is varied for different signal hypotheses in the range of $15$ GeV $< m_{Z_d} < 60$ GeV for $H \rightarrow Z_dZ_d \rightarrow 4\ell$, in 5 GeV steps. The considered Higgs
boson production mode is gluon-gluon-Fusion (ggF), with a mass $m_H = 125$ GeV. The samples are normalised to the next-to-next-to-next-to-leading-order (N3LO) cross section $\sigma_{SM}(ggF) = 48.58$ pb as recommended by the Higgs cross section working group [31].

2.2. Backgrounds

$H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4\ell$: The Powheg-Box v2 MC event generator [32, 33, 34] is used to simulate Higgs production through ggF [35], vector-boson fusion (VBF) [36] and in association with a vector-boson ($VH$) [37] processes, using the PDF4LHC NLO PDF set [38]. For Higgs boson production in association with a heavy quark pair, events are simulated with MadGraph5_AMC@NLO [39], using the CT10nlo PDF set [40] for $t\bar{t}H$ and the NNPDF23 PDF set [41] for $bbH$. For the ggF, VBF, $VH$, and $bbH$ production mechanisms, Pythia8 [42] is used for the $H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4\ell$ decay as well as for parton showering, hadronisation, and multiple parton-parton interactions using the AZNLO parameter set [43]. For the showering of $t\bar{t}H$ process, HERWIG++ [44] is used with the UEEE5 parameter set [45].

$ZZ^* \rightarrow 4\ell$: The dominant $q\bar{q}$ production mechanism is modelled by Powheg interfaced to Pythia8. The Powheg-Box v2 MC event generator [32, 33, 34] is used to simulate Higgs production through ggF [35], vector-boson fusion (VBF) [36] and in association with a vector-boson ($VH$) [37] processes, using the PDF4LHC NLO PDF set [38]. For Higgs boson production in association with a heavy quark pair, events are simulated with MadGraph5_AMC@NLO [39], using the CT10nlo PDF set [40] for $t\bar{t}H$ and the NNPDF23 PDF set [41] for $bbH$. For the ggF, VBF, $VH$, and $bbH$ production mechanisms, Pythia8 [42] is used for the $H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4\ell$ decay as well as for parton showering, hadronisation, and multiple parton-parton interactions using the AZNLO parameter set [43]. For the showering of $t\bar{t}H$ process, HERWIG++ [44] is used with the UEEE5 parameter set [45].

EWK6 → 4\ell+2X: Higher-order electroweak processes (with cross sections proportional to $\alpha^6$ at leading order) include triboson production and vector-boson scattering, which lead to four leptons in the final state, with two additional particles (quarks, neutrinos, or electrons and muons). These processes are modelled by Sherpa 2.1 with the CT10 PDFs.

$Z + (t\bar{t}J/J/\psi/\Upsilon) \rightarrow 4\ell$: Production of a $Z$ boson, in association with either a quarkonium state ($b\bar{b}$ or $c\bar{c}$) that decays to leptons, or $t\bar{t}$ production with leptonic decays of the prompt $W$ bosons. The processes involving quarkonia are modelled using Pythia8 with the CTEQ6L1 PDFs. The $t\bar{t}Z$ process is modelled at leading order with MadGraph5 [46] interfaced to Pythia8, using the NNPDF 2.3 PDFs [41] and the A14 tune [47].

Reducible background: Processes like $Z +$ jets, $t\bar{t}$ and $WZ$, produce less than four prompt leptons but can contribute to the selection through objects (e.g. jets) misidentified as leptons. $Z +$ jets events are modeled using Sherpa 2.2. Semi- and fully-leptonic production of $t\bar{t}$ is generated with Powheg interfaced to Pythia6 [48] for parton shower and hadronisation. The $WZ$ production is modelled by Powheg interfaced to Pythia8 and the CT10 PDFs.

3. Analysis procedure

3.1. Backgrounds to $H \rightarrow XX \rightarrow 4\ell$

The main background contributions come from the $H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4\ell$ and $ZZ^* \rightarrow 4\ell$ processes. These backgrounds are suppressed by the requirements on the lepton invariant masses. They account for 63% and 19% of the total prediction, respectively. Other sources of background with smaller contributions come from higher-order electroweak processes (with cross-sections proportional to $\alpha^6$ at leading order). These include triboson production and vector-boson
scattering, which lead to four leptons in the final state, with two additional particles (quarks, neutrinos, or electrons and muons). They are approximately 17% and 19% of the total prediction for the high- and low- mass selections, respectively. The production of $Z + (J/\psi/\Upsilon) \rightarrow 4\ell$ and $Z + t\bar{t} \rightarrow 4\ell$ constitute another minor source of background, accounting for approximately 1% of the total. All the background processes described above are estimated from simulation and normalised to the theoretical calculations of their cross sections.

3.2. Systematics and uncertainties

The identification and quantification of systematics and uncertainties are important in the statistical procedure to identify the significance in the case of discovery and the limit in the case of exclusion.

Luminosity and pile-up: The uncertainty on the integrated luminosity is 3.2%, affecting the overall normalisation.

Lepton-related uncertainties: Uncertainties associated with leptons arise from the reconstruction and identification efficiencies [49, 50], as well as lepton momentum scales and resolutions [51, 50]. The combined effect of all these uncertainties results in an overall normalisation uncertainty in the signal and background of less than 10% (5% in muon final states).

MC background modelling: Uncertainties on the factorisation and renormalisation scales, the parton shower, the choice of PDF and the hadronisation and underlying event model affect those backgrounds normalised with their theory cross sections. Uncertainties on $H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4\ell$ are found to be between 3% and 9% depending on the Higgs boson production mode, while for Standard Model $q\bar{q}/gg \rightarrow ZZ^*$ processes these sources of uncertainties add up to 5%.

Signal modelling: Several sources of systematic uncertainty affect the theoretical modelling of the signal acceptance. Uncertainties originating from the choice of PDFs, the factorisation and renormalisation scales, the modelling of parton shower, hadronisation, and underlying event account for a total effect of 9% [52, 53].

Less than 4 background events are predicted in the signal region for the $H \rightarrow Z_4Z_4 \rightarrow 4\ell$ search, so the dominant uncertainty in the background prediction is the statistical uncertainty.

4. Results

The $m_{12}$ and $m_{34}$ distributions of the selected events are shown in figure 1. The lower triangle comes from the labeling of the di-lepton masses which defines $m_{12}$ as the di-lepton mass closer to the mass of Z-boson and $m_{34}$ the other di-lepton mass, this is equivalent to $m_{12} > m_{34}$ in the $15\text{ GeV} < m_{12,34} < 60\text{ GeV}$ mass range, and the green shaded area with non-crossed points represents the signal region with cuts applied as in table 1.

![Figure 1: Leading dilepton mass ($m_{12}$) vs subleading dilepton mass ($m_{34}$) for events passing the selections (the crossed-through points fail the Z veto) [22]. The events passing the selection used in a similar analysis performed in run 1 are also shown [54].](image-url)
The crossed points are events that fail the Z veto. This cut is applied onto the alternative-pairing masses $m_{32}$ and $m_{14}$ (relevant only to the 4e and 4µ channels), that they are less than 75 GeV. It is applied to mitigate against any small contributions from SM processes, even in the case of misidentified pairing, where there is Z-boson production with large cross sections.

It can be seen that 6 events appear in the signal region where $3.9 \pm 3$ are expected.

The biggest deviation from the Standard Model expectation is from a single event at $\langle m_{\ell\ell} \rangle \approx 20$ GeV, with a local significance of $3.2 \sigma$ in the 2e2µ channel. The corresponding global significance is approximately $1.9 \sigma$, estimated using the likelihood test statistic tail probability approximation described in Ref. [55].

Figure 2: 95% CL upper limits of the process $H \rightarrow XX \rightarrow 4\ell$ on (left) model-independent fiducial cross sections, and (right) branching fractions $BR(H \rightarrow Z_dZ_d)$ for the benchmark model. The section from 1 to 15 GeV is not discussed in this proceedings article, see [22].

As there is no statistically significant evidence for the signal processes of $H \rightarrow Z_dZ_d \rightarrow 4\ell$, the results are interpreted in terms of the $Z_dZ_d$ benchmark model [5, 6]. The model provided the signal simulation for computing the reconstruction efficiencies in the fiducial phase spaces defined to mimic the analysis selections described above [22]. Upper limits on the fiducial cross sections should be applicable to any models of 125 GeV Higgs-boson decays to four leptons via two intermediate, on-shell, narrow, promptly-decaying bosons. The fiducial cuts are applied to the four leptons in this decay. These efficiencies are used to compute 95% CL upper limits on the cross sections in the fiducial phase space using the $CL_s$ frequentist formalism [56] with the profile-likelihood test statistic. The results are shown at the left in figure 2. Model-dependent acceptances for the fiducial phase spaces are computed per channel for the $H \rightarrow Z_dZ_d \rightarrow 4\ell$ search. The acceptances are used in a combined statistical model to compute upper limits on $\sigma_H \cdot BR(H \rightarrow Z_dZ_d \rightarrow 4\ell)$. These cross section limits are converted into limits on the branching ratios of $H \rightarrow Z_dZ_d$ by using the theoretical branching ratios $Z_d \rightarrow \ell\ell$ from the benchmark model [5, 6], and assuming for $\sigma_H$ the SM cross section\footnote{Assumes the presence of BSM decays of the Higgs boson does not alter the SM Higgs-boson production cross section.} for Higgs boson production at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV [53]. The limits on these branching ratios are shown at the right in figure 2 for the $H \rightarrow Z_dZ_d \rightarrow 4\ell$ search. The branching ratio limit $H \rightarrow Z_dZ_d$ is improved over the Run 1 result of Ref. [57] by about a factor of four which corresponds to the increase in both luminosity and Higgs cross section between Run 1 and Run 2.

Figure 3 shows the local p-value for the of the under the background-only hypothesis for the process $H \rightarrow XX \rightarrow 4\ell$ in the high-mass range, using the indicated profile likelihood ratio as test statistic. No globally significant excess is observed.

5. Conclusion

This proceedings article presents a dedicated search for exotic decays of the Standard Model Higgs boson with a mass of 125 GeV to two new spin-one particles, $H \rightarrow Z_dZ_d$, which in all
Figure 3: Observed local p-values under the background-only hypothesis for the process $H \rightarrow XX \rightarrow 4\ell$ for $15\text{ GeV} < m_X < 60\text{ GeV}$. The profile-likelihood ratio $(-2 \log(L(\mu = 0, \hat{\theta})/L(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\theta})))$ is used as the test statistic. The most significant excess corresponds to a local significance of $3.2\sigma$ and a global significance of $1.9\sigma$ in $2e2\mu$ channel.

cases decay promptly to two lepton pairs. Six events are observed for a background prediction of $3.9\pm3$. The results are expressed in terms of upper limits on the branching ratios $\text{BR}(H \rightarrow Z_dZ_d)$ as a function of $Z_d$ mass for the HAHM (Hidden Abelian Higgs Model) \cite{5, 6} benchmark model. Limits are also provided on model-independent fiducial cross sections.
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