The hotspots and publication trends in postoperative delirium: A bibliometric analysis from 2000 to 2020
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Background: Postoperative delirium (POD) is a common aging-associated postoperative complication that has received increasing attention in the context of the aging global population and the number of articles published on POD is gradually increasing. This study aimed to quantify the basic information of scholarly publications on POD and identify the most impactful literature, trends, and hotspots in POD research.

Materials and methods: We searched articles on POD through the Science Citation Index Expanded databases published from 2000 to 2020. Bibliographic information, including year, country, authorship, type, journal, funding, affiliations, subject areas, and hotspots, was collected for further analysis.

Results: A total of 2,114 articles on POD from 2000 to 2020 were identified. The highest number of studies (n = 748) were published in the United States, comprising the most total citations (13,928), followed by China (n = 278), and Germany (n = 209). Inouye, Sharon K. was the most productive author, with 66 publications on POD. The Journal of the American Geriatrics Society published the highest number of articles (n = 80), with the most total citations (4,561) and average (57.01), followed by Anesthesia and Analgesia (n = 52), and the British Journal of Anaesthesia (n = 43). Harvard University was the most productive institute, with the highest H-index (n = 46) and highest degree centrality (n = 191). The top hotspots in the field of POD during this period were “elderly,” “cardiac surgery,” “cognitive impairment,” “hip fracture,” and “intensive care unit.”

Conclusion: This study provides an overview of developments in the field of POD over the past 20 years using bibliometric analysis. Overall, research on POD has flourished worldwide. The United States (US) has a relatively high academic impact owing to its productive expertise and institutions in this field. Despite much research illustrating the diagnosis and management of POD in clinical practice, more basic research is needed.
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Introduction

Postoperative delirium (POD) is a common complication that is characterized by acute and fluctuating changes in mental status, attention, and level of consciousness after surgeries and anesthesia (Aldecoa et al., 2017). According to the recommendations for the nomenclature of cognitive change associated with anesthesia and surgery in 2018, the nomenclature consensus working group, POD was included in perioperative neurocognitive disorder (PND); however, should be recognized as a specific category that is consistent with the diagnostic criteria (Diagnostic and Statistical Manual for Mental Disorders, fifth edition, DSM-5) (Evered et al., 2018). Before the nomenclature, POD was always studied as an independent concept since the acute clinical symptoms were different from those of postoperative cognitive dysfunction (POCD). The incidence of POD ranges from 5 to 50% and can occur at any age; however, mostly in the elderly (American Geriatrics Society Expert Panel on Postoperative Delirium in Older Adults, 2015). It has been demonstrated that POD was associated with adverse outcomes, such as increased mortality, prolonged hospitalization, increased incidence of POCD, and higher medical cost (Bai et al., 2020; Hughes et al., 2020). Numerous studies have aimed to identify the risk factors for POD, including advanced age, multiple comorbidities, preoperative cognitive impairment, poor vision or hearing, and presence of infection (American Geriatrics Society Expert Panel on Postoperative Delirium in Older Adults, 2015; Raats et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2017). However, the pathogenesis of POD has not been fully elucidated, and the research for more effective treatments is ongoing. Meanwhile, with the trend of global aging, POD, being an aging-associated disease, has attracted increasing attention from researchers. Therefore, we analyzed publications and hotspots in the field of POD in this study.

Bibliometric analysis is a method of analyzing books, articles, and other documents using mathematical and statistical techniques (Roldan-Valadez et al., 2019), allowing researchers to gain a general understanding of the frontier area and hotspots in a certain field. Bibliometric analysis also provides a reference for accurately reading the literature and for selecting research directions. It has been widely applied in many fields (Hong et al., 2019; Mi et al., 2021), including hotspots in POC and the hundred most cited articles in PND (Chen et al., 2020; Mi et al., 2021); however, few bibliometric studies have been performed on POD. This study aimed to quantify the basic information of scholarly publications and identify the most impactful literature, trends, and hotspots, thereby providing a comprehensive overview of the current status of POD research.

Materials and methods

Search strategy

An online literature search was performed on May 19, 2021, using the Science Citation Index Expanded databases. Articles and reviews published between 2000 and 2020 were included in this analysis. The requirement for written informed consent was waived by the Institutional Review Board. This manuscript reports results of an observational bibliometric study, and therefore follows the applicable Enhancing the QUAlity and Transparency Of health Research EQUATOR guidelines (PRISMA and STROBE). The terms used in the search were SU = (POD) and Language = English. Figure 1 showed the detail of the search flow. A total of 2,551 records were found, excluded publications were meeting abstract (n = 162), editorial material (n = 138), letter (n = 119), proceedings paper (n = 47), correction (n = 9), news item (n = 4), retracted publication (n = 1), early access (n = 1), and publication with expression of concern (n = 1). Then, duplicates removal (n = 0) was performed. Finally, The retained publications (n = 2114) with 1,702 articles and 412 reviews were employed for bibliometric analysis. The following information was collected: year, country, authorship, type, journal, funding, affiliations, subject areas, and

[Flowchart for the publication selection included in this study.]
Figure 1 shows the search flow for this bibliometric analysis.

Statistical analysis

The CiteSpace software was used for bibliometric analysis (co-citation, co-occurrence, hotspots, and cluster analysis). Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 23 (IBM SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Data were expressed as numbers or percentages. Correlation analysis was performed using a two-tailed Pearson’s correlation. Statistical significance was set at $P < 0.05$.

Results

Analysis of years and countries

A total of 2,114 articles were employed in our search strategy. The United States (US) was top-ranked with the highest number of publications on POD ($n = 748$), followed by China ($n = 278$), Germany ($n = 209$), and Canada ($n = 148$). The US also had the highest total citations (13,928), followed by Germany (3,660), England (3,616), Canada (3,591), and the Netherlands (3,546). Inconsistent with the number of articles, Denmark had the highest mean number of citations per paper (32.38), followed by Belgium (31.96), Netherlands (29.07), Sweden (29.00), and Ireland (27.42; Table 1).

The total number of articles from the top 10 countries increased annually from 2000 to 2020, and the growth rate increased year by year, with a substantial increase of approximately 70 articles in 2017. Additionally, the number of articles from China and Germany exhibited remarkable growth compared with those from other countries (Figure 2A).

Figure 2B shows the network visualization map for a total of 64 countries/regions collaborations, where the size of the circle represents the number of publications and the thickness of the connecting lines indicates the extent of collaboration between the countries/regions. The top collaborative countries/regions in the field of POD can be observed visually. The US was located at the core of the network and cooperated frequently with China, Germany, Canada, England, Japan, and other countries.

Analysis of authors and institutions

The top 20 authors with the highest number of publications on POD from 2000 to 2020 are listed in Table 2. Among them, Inouye, Sharon K. was listed on top with the largest number of studies ($n = 66$) and the highest H-index (34) and proved to be the most influential professor in the field of POD. Marcantonio Edward was second, with 63 articles, followed by Jones Richard ($n = 36$), Fong Tamara ($n = 23$), and Xie, Zhongcong ($n = 22$). Interestingly, the top five ranked authors aforementioned and eight authors out of the top 20 shared the same affiliation, Harvard University; thus, it was likely the most influential institution in terms of research on POD.

Harvard University appeared to be the most influential institution in the field of POD, which was further proven by its having the largest number of studies ($n = 171$), highest H-index ($n = 46$), and highest degree centrality ($n = 191$) among the top 20 most productive institutions (Table 3). Second, the University of California System, whose number of studies ($n = 77$) was less than half that of Harvard University, followed by Johns Hopkins University ($n = 76$). Overall, most institutions were based in the US ($n = 12$), while the rest were based in Netherlands ($n = 2$), China ($n = 2$), Canada ($n = 2$), England ($n = 1$), and Germany ($n = 1$).

Visually, the publication profile of the institutions is illustrated in Figure 3A. The number of studies from Harvard University clearly stands out among institutions making variation between other institutions looks like very small whose number of studies ranged from 20 to 77. Unlike the number of studies, the University of Pennsylvania ranked the highest in terms of the average number of citations ($n = 94.97$), followed by the University of Manitoba ($n = 51.55$), which

| Rank | Country | Number of papers | Total citations | Mean citations/Paper |
|------|---------|------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| 1    | USA     | 748              | 13,928          | 18.62                |
| 2    | China   | 278              | 2,563           | 9.22                 |
| 3    | Germany | 209              | 3,660           | 17.51                |
| 4    | Canada  | 148              | 3,591           | 24.26                |
| 5    | Japan   | 141              | 1,635           | 11.60                |
| 6    | England | 137              | 3,616           | 26.39                |
| 7    | Netherlands | 122       | 3,546           | 29.07                |
| 8    | Australia | 91                | 1,718           | 18.88                |
| 9    | South Korea | 78                | 739             | 9.47                 |
| 10   | Italy   | 79               | 1,523           | 19.28                |
| 11   | Switzerland | 51                | 1,372           | 26.90                |
| 12   | Sweden  | 43               | 1,247           | 29.00                |
| 13   | Denmark | 42               | 1,360           | 32.38                |
| 14   | Poland  | 36               | 529             | 14.69                |
| 15   | France  | 34               | 497             | 14.62                |
| 16   | Spain   | 33               | 664             | 20.12                |
| 17   | Belgium | 26               | 831             | 31.96                |
| 18   | Ireland | 26               | 713             | 27.42                |
| 19   | Turkey  | 26               | 357             | 13.73                |
| 20   | Norway  | 24               | 494             | 20.58                |
ranked 10 and 18 out of 20, respectively. Next, we explored the cooperative relationships between institutions. As illustrated in Figure 3B, institutions worked closely with each other and were divided into several clusters according to their cooperation; however, no obvious boundaries were identifiable between these clusters, suggesting extensive collaboration worldwide. Moreover, Harvard University occupies the most important position in collaborative network.
TABLE 2 The top 20 authors with the highest number of publications on postoperative delirium (POD).

| Name                      | Affiliations                  | Number of papers | H-index |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|---------|
| Inouye, Sharon K.         | Harvard University            | 66               | 34      |
| Marcacontonio, Edward R.  | Harvard University            | 63               | 29      |
| Jones, Richard N.         | Harvard University            | 36               | 21      |
| Fong, Tamara G.           | Harvard University            | 23               | 16      |
| Xie, Zhongcong            | Harvard University            | 22               | 12      |
| Neufeld, Karin J.         | Johns Hopkins University      | 20               | 14      |
| Leung, Jacqueline M.      | University of California      | 19               | 15      |
| Avidan, Michael S.        | Washington University         | 18               | 11      |
| Ely, E. Wesley            | Vanderbilt University         | 17               | 17      |
| Schmitt, Eva M.           | Harvard University            | 17               | 13      |
| Brown, Charles H.         | Johns Hopkins University      | 17               | 12      |
| Sieber, Frederick E.      | Johns Hopkins University      | 16               | 10      |
| Alsop, David C.           | Harvard University            | 15               | 11      |
| Travison, Thomas G.       | Harvard University            | 15               | 10      |
| Spies, Claudia D.         | Charite Medical University of Berlin | 15 | 6 |
| MacLullich, Alasdair M. J.| University of Edinburgh      | 14               | 9       |
| Wang, Dong-Xin            | Peking University             | 14               | 9       |
| de Rooij, Sophia E.       | University of Groningen       | 14               | 9       |
| Pandharipande, Pratik P.  | Vanderbilt University         | 13               | 10      |
| Belloni, Giuseppe         | University of Milano-Bicocca  | 13               | 10      |

Analysis of journals

By analyzing the distribution of journals in the field of POD, we discovered that the Journal of the American Geriatrics Society published more articles (n = 80) than any other journal from 2000 to 2020, which were the most frequently cited, with 4,561 total citations and the highest average of 57.01; however, it only ranked sixth in terms of impact factor (IF) among the top 20 most productive journals (Table 4). Further, Anesthesia and Analgesia and the British Journal of Anaesthesia ranked second and third, with 52 and 43 publications, respectively, and their total and average citations were high among the top 20 journals. The top three journals belonged to Q1, a classification by Journal Citation Reports (JCR), representing their high status in their respective domains. Q1 journals had a higher rate of citations, such as the International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry (average citation 28.09), Anesthesiology (average citation 37.94), the American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry (average citation 41.93), and Critical Care Medicine (average citation 55.74).

The number of studies published in the top 10 journals exhibited an overall growing trend, particularly in 2011, 2014, and 2017 (Figure 4). Notably, there was a visible increase in the number of papers published in Anesthesia and Analgesia in 2020.

Analysis of funding agency and subject areas

Studies were supported by various funding agencies, which significantly motivated the development of POD research. The top 10 funding bodies are listed in Table 5, with the first three being the US Department of Health Human Services, National Institutes of Health (NIH), and NIH National Institute on Aging. The top three funding agencies funded 73.7% of the publications, and the number of funded papers exceeded 200. Among the remaining seven funding agencies, the National Natural Science Foundation of China listed the fourth with 67 founded articles. Overall, among these agencies, six were based in the US, two in Japan, one in China, and one in Europe.

As aforementioned, POD is a complication associated with surgeries and anesthesia with a high incidence in the elderly, representing altered mental status; hence, the subject areas in the POD research field include anesthesiology (n = 471, 14%), geriatrics and gerontology (n = 431, 13%), surgery (n = 322, 10%), and psychiatry (n = 265, 8%), contributing to nearly half (45%) of the total articles (Figure 5). POD is highly prevalent after cardiac surgery and requires multidisciplinary management; therefore, other relevant subjects include clinical neurology, critical care medicine, cardiac and cardiovascular systems, neuroscience, and nursing.

Analysis of the most frequently cited articles

The top 20 cited articles on POD are listed in Table 6, with citation counts ranging from 256 to 1,531. The classification of these publications is shown in Figure 6A. Of these, 12 articles were original research, all of which were clinical trials (n = 12, 60%), primarily cohort studies (n = 7, 35%), and randomized controlled trials (n = 5, 25%), and the remaining eight were reviews (systematic or literature reviews were defined as reviews) (n = 8, 40%), and the most cited article was written by Ely, EW et al., published by JAMA-Journal of the American Medical Association in 2001, and titled “Delirium in mechanically ventilated patients - Validity and reliability of the Confusion Assessment Method for the intensive care unit (CAM-ICU).” This prospective cohort study tested the validity and reliability of the CAM-ICU, which turned out to be “rapid, valid, and reliable for diagnosing delirium in the ICU setting (Ely et al., 2001b).” Another article published by the same author was ranked fourth (cited 606 times) out of the top 20. The second and third -most cited papers (cited 1,215 and 851 times) were published by the same author, Inouye et al. (2014b), both were reviews, entitled “Delirium in elderly people” and “Geriatric syndromes: Clinical, research, and policy implications of a core geriatric concept (Inouye et al., 2007).” Additionally, another article by Inouye, Sharon K. was included...
in the top 20. From the perspective of publication years, there were more articles published in the preceding decade, from 2000 to 2010 \( (n = 13) \). We then analyzed the association between the number of citations and the year of publication. However, the number of citations did not correlate with the year of publication \( (R^2 = 0.0457, p = 0.366; \text{Figure 6B}) \). Additionally, not all articles were published in journals with high IFs, and most were from the US.

**Analysis of keywords and hotspots**

Hotspots in the field of POD can be inferred by keyword co-occurrence analysis, which refers to the frequency of two keywords appearing together in the same article. Likewise, the size of the circles and the thickness of the line represent the frequency of occurrence and co-occurrence of keywords. As shown in **Figure 7**, "elderly" was the most frequently encountered keyword, indicating that studies of POD focus on the elderly, which is consistent with the high incidence of POD in the elderly. Second, the most frequent keywords co-occurring with "elderly" were "cardiac surgery," "cognitive impairment," "hip fracture," and "intensive care unit." Some sub-clusters were distinguished by different colors, which can be roughly divided into five groups: "anesthesia-related," "drug-related," "inflammation-related," "prognosis-related," and "cardiovascular surgery-related." More specific research points are shown in **Figure 7**.

**Discussion**

Delirium is an acute altered mental status, also be thought of as "acute brain failure," with high incidence rates observed in the intensive care unit (Inouye et al., 2014b; Mattison, 2020). POD specifically refers to delirium that occurs during the postoperative period and is associated with poor clinical outcomes (Schenning and Deiner, 2015). Many studies have indicated that increasing age (>65 years) is a significant risk factor for POD (Steiner, 2011; Berger et al., 2018; Zhu et al., 2020), making POD a major concern in the context of global population aging, and an increasing number of articles about POD have been published. Here, we provide an overview of the developments in the field of POD over the past 20 years by applying bibliometric analysis, and 2,114 papers were identified and recruited from the Science Citation Index Expanded databases.

Overall, the number of papers on POD from various countries increased yearly, and frequent collaboration between countries suggested POD as a global issue. Among the countries, the US contributed the most due to having the most productive experts and institutions in the field of POD. And the core position of the US is mainly supported by several experts including Inouye, Sharon K., Marcantonio, Edward R., Jones, Richard N., Fong, Tamara G., and Xie, Zhongcong. Of these, Inouye appeared to be the most influential person with the highest number of publications and H-index. The first relevant study on delirium by Inouye et al. (1990)

---

**TABLE 3** The top 20 institutes with the highest number of publications in postoperative delirium (POD).

| Rank | Affiliations                  | Number of papers | Total citations | Mean citations/Paper | H-index | Degree centrality |
|------|-------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------|-------------------|
| 1    | Harvard Univ                  | 171              | 6,042           | 35.33                | 46      | 191               |
| 2    | UNIV of California System     | 77               | 2,341           | 30.40                | 27      | 50                |
| 3    | Johns Hopkins Univ            | 76               | 2,223           | 29.25                | 32      | 91                |
| 4    | Vanderbilt Univ               | 54               | 998             | 18.48                | 25      | 44                |
| 5    | Duke Univ                     | 54               | 1,167           | 21.61                | 19      | 80                |
| 6    | Charite Univ Med Berlin       | 49               | 618             | 12.61                | 17      | 13                |
| 7    | Univ Toronto                  | 42               | 1,166           | 27.76                | 20      | 14                |
| 8    | Yale Univ                     | 39               | 988             | 25.33                | 20      | 32                |
| 9    | Brown Univ                    | 37               | 868             | 23.46                | 18      | 52                |
| 10   | Univ Penn                     | 34               | 3,229           | 94.97                | 16      | 63                |
| 11   | Washington Univ               | 30               | 1,460           | 48.67                | 12      | 33                |
| 12   | Univ Med Ctr Utrecht          | 29               | 611             | 21.07                | 18      | 8                 |
| 13   | Univ Amsterdam                | 28               | 1,278           | 45.64                | 18      | 8                 |
| 14   | Peking Univ                   | 25               | 1,092           | 43.68                | 10      | 7                 |
| 15   | Purdue Univ                   | 24               | 670             | 27.92                | 19      | 21                |
| 16   | Univ Edinburgh                | 23               | 223             | 9.70                 | 14      | 10                |
| 17   | Capital Med Univ              | 23               | 677             | 29.43                | 5       | 10                |
| 18   | Univ Manitoba                 | 22               | 1,134           | 51.55                | 13      | 18                |
| 19   | Mayo Clin                     | 21               | 574             | 27.33                | 13      | 38                |
| 20   | Univ Groningen                | 20               | 344             | 17.20                | 11      | 5                 |
FIGURE 3
The articles on postoperative delirium (POD) published by different research institutions. (A) The top 20 most productive institutes. The blue bar graph represents the number of articles published by each institution, the red line represents the average number of citations per article, and the green line represents the H-index of each institution. (B) The network visualization map indicating collaborations between institutions.
### TABLE 4 The top 20 journals with the highest number of publications on postoperative delirium (POD).

| Rank | Journal                                           | Number of papers | Total citations | Mean citations/Paper | Impact factor (IF) | Journal Citation Reports (JCR) |
|------|--------------------------------------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1    | Journal of the American Geriatrics Society       | 80               | 4,561          | 57.01                | 4.18               | Q1                            |
| 2    | Anesthesia and Analgesia                         | 52               | 1,807          | 34.75                | 4.305              | Q1                            |
| 3    | British Journal of Anaesthesia                   | 43               | 1,543          | 35.88                | 6.88               | Q1                            |
| 4    | PLoS One                                         | 38               | 599            | 15.76                | 2.74               | Q2                            |
| 5    | Journal of Cardiothoracic and Vascular Anesthesia| 37               | 636            | 17.19                | 2.258              | Q3                            |
| 6    | BMJ Open                                         | 36               | 433            | 12.03                | 2.496              | Q2                            |
| 7    | International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry    | 34               | 955            | 28.09                | 2.675              | Q1                            |
| 8    | Anesthesiology                                   | 32               | 1,214          | 37.94                | 7.067              | Q1                            |
| 9    | Current Opinion in Anesthesiology               | 32               | 337            | 10.53                | 2.276              | Q3                            |
| 10   | American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry         | 27               | 1,132          | 41.93                | 3.393              | Q1                            |
| 11   | Journal of Clinical Anesthesia                   | 25               | 194            | 7.76                 | 6.039              | Q1                            |
| 12   | Canadian Journal of Anesthesia-Canadian Anesthesia| 24               | 373            | 15.54                | 3.779              | Q2                            |
| 13   | Psychosomatics                                   | 23               | 727            | 31.61                | 2                  | Q2                            |
| 14   | Clinical Interventions in Aging                  | 23               | 563            | 24.48                | 3.023              | Q2                            |
| 15   | BMC Anesthesiology                               | 23               | 159            | 6.91                 | 1.695              | Q4                            |
| 16   | General Hospital Psychiatry                      | 22               | 769            | 34.95                | 2.86               | Q2                            |
| 17   | Aging Clinical and Experimental Research         | 22               | 373            | 16.95                | 2.697              | Q3                            |
| 18   | Medicine                                         | 22               | 64             | 2.91                 | 1.552              | Q3                            |
| 19   | Critical Care Medicine                           | 19               | 1,059          | 55.74                | 7.414              | Q1                            |
| 20   | Minerva Anestesiologica                          | 19               | 387            | 20.37                | 2.498              | Q3                            |
| 21   | Trials                                           | 19               | 143            | 7.53                 | 1.883              | Q3                            |

**FIGURE 4**

The number of articles on postoperative delirium (POD) published in the top 10 journals each year.
TABLE 5 The top 10 funding agencies with the highest number of publications on postoperative delirium (POD).

| Rank | Funding agency                                           | Number of papers |
|------|----------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1    | United States Department of Health Human Services        | 333              |
| 2    | National Institutes of Health NIH USA                    | 327              |
| 3    | NIH National Institute on Aging NIA                      | 237              |
| 4    | National Natural Science Foundation of China NSFC        | 67               |
| 5    | NIH National Heart Lung Blood Institute NHLBI            | 53               |
| 6    | NIH National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences NCATS | 49           |
| 7    | European Commission                                      | 42               |
| 8    | Ministry of Education Culture Sports Science and Technology Japan MEXT | 38          |
| 9    | NIH National Institute of General Medical Sciences NIGMS | 38               |
| 10   | Japan Society for the Promotion of Science               | 32               |

was published in 1990, after which he and his colleagues published many high-quality papers related to delirium or POD (Vasunilashorn et al., 2018) and developed a new delirium severity assessment, the Confusion Assessment Method-Severity (CAM-S) (Inouye et al., 2014a), which is widely used in clinical practice.

In terms of journals, the Journal of the American Geriatrics Society possessed both high quantity and quality of articles and is considered the most influential journal in the POD area indicating a good choice to publish researchers’ POD-related work or follow the frontier. While hotspot analysis results roughly reflect the different research branches at present, like elderly age, different anesthetics (sevoflurane, propofol, and dexmedetomidine) and different types of surgeries (cardiac surgery, non-cardiac surgery, hip fracture surgery, total knee arthroplasty, spine surgery, and vascular surgery). And inflammation, cytokines, and C-reactive protein, which are related to the biomarkers or mechanisms of POD, need to be explored in the future.

Assessment tools

The top one and six highest-cited article were about the assessment tool for POD. CAM is the classical and the
### TABLE 6 The top 20 highest-cited articles on postoperative delirium (POD).

| Rank | Title                                                                 | Corresponding author          | Affiliation                        | Source title                        | Year of publication | Cited by |
|------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|----------|
| 1    | Delirium in mechanically ventilated patients - validity and reliability of the confusion assessment method for the intensive care unit (CAM-ICU) | Ely, EW                        | Vanderbilt Univ                    | Jama-Journal of the American Medical Association | 2001                | 1531     |
| 2    | Delirium in elderly people                                            | Inouye, Sharon K.              | Univ Harvard Hebrew SeniorLife     | Lancet                              | 2014                | 1215     |
| 3    | Geriatric syndromes: Clinical, research, and policy implications of a core geriatric concept | Inouye, Sharon K.;             | Univ Harvard Hebrew SeniorLife     | Journal of the American Geriatrics Society | 2007                | 851      |
| 4    | The impact of delirium in the intensive care unit on hospital length of stay | Ely, EW                        | Vanderbilt Univ                    | Intensive Care Medicine              | 2001                | 606      |
| 5    | Cognitive trajectories after postoperative delirium                   | Saczynski, Jane S.             | Univ Massachusetts                 | New England Journal of Medicine      | 2012                | 542      |
| 6    | The confusion assessment method: A systematic review of current usage  | Inouye, Sharon K.              | Univ Harvard Hebrew SeniorLife     | Journal of the American Geriatrics Society | 2008                | 406      |
| 7    | Delirium in elderly adults: diagnosis, prevention and treatment        | Fong, Tamara G.                | Univ Harvard Hebrew SeniorLife     | Nature Reviews Neurology             | 2009                | 396      |
| 8    | Relationship between pain and opioid analgesics on the development of delirium following hip fracture | Morrison, RS                   | Mt Sinai Sch Med                   | Journals of Gerontology Series A-Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences | 2003                | 394      |
| 9    | A multicenter trial of remote ischemic preconditioning for heart surgery | Meybohm, P.                   | Univ Hosp Frankfurt                | New England Journal of Medicine      | 2015                | 379      |
| 10   | Haloperidol prophylaxis for elderly hip-surgery patients at risk for delirium: A randomized placebo-controlled study | Kalisvaart, KJ                 | Med Ctr Alkmaar                    | Journal of the American Geriatrics Society | 2005                | 375      |
| 11   | BIS-guided Anesthesia decreases postoperative delirium and cognitive decline | Chan, Matthew T. V.           | Chinese Univ Hong Kong             | Journal of Neurosurgical Anesthesiology | 2013                | 322      |
| 12   | Postoperative delirium in the elderly risk factors and Outcomes       | Robinson, Thomas N.            | Univ of Colorado Denver Sch Med    | Annals of Surgery                    | 2009                | 320      |
| 13   | European society of Anaesthesiology evidence-based and consensus-based guideline on postoperative delirium | Spies, Claudia D.              | Charite Univ Med Berlin            | European Journal of Anaesthesiology | 2017                | 277      |
| 14   | Use of medications with anticholinergic effect predicts clinical severity of delirium symptoms in older medical inpatients | Han, L                        | St Marys Hosp Ctr                  | Archives of Internal Medicine        | 2001                | 274      |
| 15   | Derivation and validation of a preoperative prediction rule for delirium after cardiac surgery | Rudolph, James L.              | VA Boston Healthcare Syst          | Circulation                         | 2009                | 272      |
| 16   | Dexmedetomidine for prevention of delirium in elderly patients after non-cardiac surgery: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial | Wang, Dong-Xin                | Peking Univ, Hosp                  | Lancet                              | 2016                | 270      |
| 17   | The cognitive impact of anticholinergics: A clinical review           | Boustani, Malaz;               | Regenstrief Inst Inc.              | Clinical Interventions in Aging      | 2009                | 268      |
| 18   | The association between delirium and cognitive decline: A review of the empirical literature | Jackson, JC                   | Vanderbilt Univ                    | Neuropsychology Review              | 2004                | 260      |
| 19   | Monitoring depth of anaesthesia in a randomized trial decreases the rate of postoperative delirium but not postoperative cognitive dysfunction | Spies, C. D.                  | Charite                            | British Journal of Anaesthesia      | 2013                | 257      |
| 20   | Preoperative risk assessment for delirium after non-cardiac surgery: A systematic review | Dasgupta, Mondipa              | St Joseph's Hlth Care              | Journal of the American Geriatrics Society | 2006                | 256      |

Most widely used assessment tool for identification of POD, originally established in 1990 by Inouye et al. (1990). Also, there are other tools, such as the four “A”s Test (Shenkin et al., 2019), CAM-ICU13, EECHAM confusion scale (Van Rompaey et al., 2007), and intensive care delirium screening checklist (ICDSC) (Gusmão-Flores et al., 2012). Wilson et al. (2020) have summarized several common delirium screening tools which can provide reference for future studies.
FIGURE 6
The classification and correlation analysis of the top 20 cited articles on postoperative delirium (POD). (A) The article types of the top 20 cited articles on POD, randomized controlled trial (RCT). (B) Linear correlation between the years of publication and numbers of citations among the top 20 POD articles.

Epidemiology and identification of risk factors

Now we are aware that POD is a common global disease and is prevalent worldwide. But the incidence of POD varies greatly across different studies. In a 1-day point-prevalence study undertaken in 104 ICUs, the prevalence of POD was 32.3% (Salluh et al., 2010). And the overall incidence of POD was 22.9% in the Perioperative Neurocognitive Disorder and Biomarker Lifestyle (PNDABLE) study, which also showed that the higher the vascular risk score, the higher the incidence of POD (Wang et al., 2022). Likewise, in a meta-analysis, the top 20 cited article, the incidence of POD in included twenty-five studies ranged from 5.1 to 52.2%, especially those who have received hip fracture or aortic surgeries tend to have a higher rate (Dasgupta and Dumbrell, 2006). Consistent with findings in analysis of keywords and hotspots, older age (Robinson et al., 2009), cardiac surgery (Rudolph et al., 2009), hip fracture (Kalisvaart et al., 2005), etc., appear to be risk factors for POD, and the incidence of POD in intensive care unit was as high as 81.3% (Ely et al., 2001a), besides POD was associated with prolonged cognitive impairment (Jackson et al., 2004; Saczynski et al., 2012). In addition to the above-mentioned risk factors, there were other potential variables associated with the development of POD, including hypoalbuminemia, pre-existing dementia (Robinson et al., 2009), undertreated pain (Morrison et al., 2003), comorbidities (Yang et al., 2017), and etc.

Prevention and therapy

Base on the identification of risk factors, targeted preventive measures can significantly reduce the incidence rate of
POD. Several reviews (Fong et al., 2009; Janssen et al., 2019) have summarized some feasible interventions which can be broadly divided into preoperative (administration of melatonin, pre-operative multidisciplinary evaluation), intraoperative (infusion of dexmedetomidine, use of bispectral index (BIS)-guidance, varying tidal volumes during mechanical ventilation, choice of anesthetic regimen), postoperative (reducing postoperative pain, improving sleep, music therapy, orientation, oral and nutritional assistance, and early mobilization), or perioperative (multimodal intervention program), besides, there was no certain pharmacological therapy proved to be effective in improving POD except for Haloperidol. In short, identifying risk factors thereby adopt targeted measures for prevention was important for POD, and more effective medication should be explored in the future. We truly recommend the top 13 highest-cited article "European Society of Anaesthesiology evidence-based and consensus-based guideline on POD" (Aldecoa et al., 2017) published in 2017, which provides a comprehensive presentation of POD and practical recommendations in clinical practice.

Basic research of postoperative delirium

From the analysis of the most frequently cited articles, it can be seen that basic research of POD is relatively sparse, partly due to the lack of suitable animal models. Some performed orthopedic surgery using aged Alzheimer's disease transgenic mice to establish POD model (Wang et al., 2020), some performed abdominal surgery under isoflurane in old mice (Liu et al., 2020). Basic research is undeniably important in obtaining a comprehensive understanding of the pathogenesis of POD, for example neuroinflammation (Velagapudi et al., 2019) and mitochondrial dysfunction (Lu et al., 2020) were reported to be involved in the development of POD, but the underlying mechanism of POD still remains to be elucidated.
Our study has some limitations. As aforementioned, POD is now included in PND; thus, our search strategy might not have been sufficiently comprehensive, and might have been insufficiently sensitive to find all relevant articles. Moreover, the earlier the articles published, the greater the number of citations. It is better to consider the time factor, although a correlation analysis between the years of publication of articles in the top 20 list and their number of citations suggested that the citation of articles was mainly dependent on the quality of the articles themselves, studies with larger sample sizes are needed to confirm this.

Finally, we conclude that research on POD is flourishing worldwide. The US has a relatively high academic impact because of its productive expertise and institutions in this field. Despite much research illustrating the diagnosis and management of POD in clinical practice, more basic research for the mechanism study of POD is needed.
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