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Bioactive Peptides from Liquid Milk Protein Concentrate by Sequential Tryptic and Microbial Hydrolysis
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Abstract: Recently, bioactive peptides as a health-promoting agent have come to the forefront of health research; however, industrial production is limited, possibly due to the lack of the required technological knowledge. The objective of the investigation was to prepare bioactive peptides with hypoallergenic properties from liquid milk protein concentrate (LMPC), through sequential enzymatic and microbial hydrolysis. LMPC was produced from ultra-heat-treated (UHT) skimmed cow’s milk using a nanofiltration membrane. The effect of the concentration of trypsin (0.008–0.032 g·L⁻¹) on the hydrolysis of LMPC was studied. Subsequently, the hydrolysis of tryptic-hydrolyzed LMPC (LMPC-T) with lactic acid bacteria was noted after the sequential tryptic and microbial hydrolysis of LMPC. Changes in antioxidant capacity, anti-angiogensin-converting enzyme activity, and antibacterial activity against Bacillus cereus, Staphylococcus aureus and Listeria monocytogenes were noted after the sequential tryptic and microbial hydrolysis of LMPC. Allergenicity in LMPC was reduced, due to sequential hydrolysis with 0.016 g·L⁻¹ of trypsin and lactic acid bacteria. According to the aquaphotomomic analysis result, there was a dissociation of hydrogen bonds in compounds during the initial period of fermentation and, subsequently, the formation of compounds with hydrogen bonds. The formation of compounds with a hydrogen bond was more noticeable when microbial hydrolysis was performed with glucose. This may support the belief that the results of the present investigation will be useful to scale up the process in the food and biopharmaceutical industries.
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1. Introduction

Metabolic syndrome is a cluster of biochemical, physiological and clinical abnormalities that leads to several health problems, such as cardiovascular diseases, obesity, dyslipidemia and noninsulin-dependent diabetes mellitus [1]. The circulatory hormone angiotensin, an important effector of the renin–angiotensin system, influences metabolic abnormality and is closely related to oxidative stress and inflammation [2]. Angiotensin-converting enzymes play a significant role in blood-pressure regulation, as well as cardiovascular disease. Due to the presence of an angiotensin-converting enzyme, angiotensin I is converted to angiotensin II in the renin–angiotensin pathway, which promotes vasoconstriction as well as high blood pressure [3]. The renin–angiotensin system also plays a key role in the activation of pro-inflammatory genes (nuclear factor-κB regulated genes) and synthesis of pro-inflammatory substances (tumor necrosis factor-α, interleukin-1β, interleukin-6, interleukin-8, monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 and transforming growth factor-β) through several biochemical mechanisms, and consequently increases oxidative stress that could damage cells and tissues [4–6]. Under stress conditions, angiotensin II stimulates the formation of reactive oxygen species, which reduce the formation and activity of endothelial nitrous oxide [7], mitochondrial membrane potential and the redox-sensitive activation of mitogen-activated protein kinase [8]. These lead to vascular inflammatory responses, the growth of vascular smooth muscle cells, the reduction of endothelium-dependent relaxation and cardiac hypertrophy [9]. Angiotensin II stimulates the synthesis of superoxides via the activation of NAD(P)H oxidase, which increases the risk of vascular disease [10,11]. In the heart, angiotensin II promotes the synthesis of pro-inflammatory substances through the release of aldosterone [12]. Angiotensin II downregulates the activity of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors, which have many anti-inflammatory effects [5]. Locally synthesized angiotensin II decreases blood supply to adipose depots, encourages the clearance of released free fatty acids, and increases the appearance of local inflammation [13]. From the above discussion, it may appear that the modulation of the activity of the renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system can reduce the complications of metabolic syndrome. Various drugs, categorized as an angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor, angiotensin II receptor blocker, and renin blocker, are meticulously assigned; however, different adverse side effects have been reported [14]. Recently, great attention has been placed on developing food-derived bioactive peptides, with anti-angiotensin activity and antioxidant capacity, as an alternative treatment in the management of metabolic syndrome [15].

Oxidative stress is also likely associated with a bacterial infection. Bacterial infections induce the formation of reactive oxygen and nitrogen species in uncontrolled ways and consequently activate pro-inflammatory mediators through altered metabolic pathways, where myeloperoxidase, NADPH oxidase, nitric oxide synthase, cytochrome P450 and xanthine oxidase are involved [16]. It modulates the antioxidant defense system and may cause organ damage and malignancies, which promotes cellular death (necrosis) [17]. Despite the considerable advancement of a wide variety of antibiotics and their availability for the treatment of microbial infection, there is an argument regarding the dangers of multidrug-resistant bacteria [18]. The long-term consumption of antibiotics may alter cellular respiration and induce a lethal level of intracellular reactive oxygen and nitrogen species, as part of their mechanism of action [19]. In a comparison of effectivity between bioactive peptides and synthetic pharmaceutical antibiotics, bioactive peptides received a high score, due to their biocompatible characteristics without serious side effects [20]. Peptides with antibacterial activity could play a prominent role in maintaining the balance of reactive oxygen species in an inflammatory environment. Recent scientific studies proved that antimicrobial peptides have immunomodulatory properties, capable of regulating both anti-inflammatory and pro-inflammatory activities [21].

The abundance of protein molecules in nature, in both edible and non-edible forms, encourages researchers to produce bioactive peptides because it is well-recognized that bioactive peptides offer beneficial physiological effects and reduce the risk factors of several diseases. The biological activities of peptides depend on their bioavailability and absorption
in intact forms to the target cells and tissues, which in turn depends on the structure (length and amino acid sequence) of peptides. Therefore, the selection of native proteins to develop bioactive peptides is a particularly interesting issue [22]. Milk is a source of an abundant number of proteins with unique biological properties, and is available around the globe, throughout the year. The hydrolysis of milk proteins, such as micellar casein, α-lactalbumin, β-lactoglobulin, immunoglobulin, bovine serum albumin, lactoferrin, lactoperoxidase and glycomacropeptide, with proteases producing amino acids and dietary peptides that offer several functional capabilities [23]. On the other hand, milk proteins are listed among the “big 8” allergens, due to the presence of linear and conformational epitopes [24]. To satisfy the demand for peptides from milk proteins in the food and biopharmaceutical industries, several approaches were addressed [25]. The development of dietary dairy-based peptides with unique functional value through a biochemical route may be referred to as “safe” because toxic byproducts are not generated during bioprocessing [22]. However, several investigations have been made into the development of bioactive peptides from milk proteins by enzymatic or microbial hydrolysis processes, and there are several challenging issues in that context. Due to considerable heterogeneities among epitopes (linear and conformational epitopes) in milk proteins, a suitable strategy for their reduction is a notably challenging issue [26]. In many cases, the limited enzymatic or microbial hydrolysis of proteins produces new antigenic peptides [27]. To reduce the allergenicity of milk proteins in an intense way requires a high concentration of enzymes in the proteolysis reaction. Without a doubt, this increases the overall processing cost. The selection of enzymes for the hydrolysis of milk proteins is a considerable challenge. In protein structures, the activity of exopeptidase is random and, as a result, amino acids and di-peptides are produced. However, the activity of endopeptidase in the protein structure is preferential. Therefore, peptides with unique biological activities are produced by the catalytic activity of endopeptidase. The activity of proteolytic enzymes alters their fluid and organoleptic properties [28,29]. Furthermore, the cost of the downstream process, developed using membrane-based filtration and chromatography to isolate the targeted peptide from the reaction mixture, increases the overall processing cost [30].

From the above discussion, it is clear that an attempt is needed to produce peptides with antioxidant capacity, anti-angiotensin activity, antimicrobial activity and hypoallergenic properties from milk proteins through the biochemical route. The objective of this investigation was to prepare peptides with the abovementioned biochemical potentialities via the sequential tryptic and microbial (lactic acid bacteria) hydrolysis of liquid milk protein concentrate (LMPC). At the end of the fermentation process, the fermentation broth was centrifuged, and the supernatant was considered as a source of peptides with antioxidant capacity, anti-angiotensin activity, antimicrobial activity and hypoallergenic properties. It may be assumed that this cluster of peptides with different functional activities possibly enhances the overall biological activity. Therefore, in this investigation, membrane- and chromatography-based downstream processing were not employed to isolate individual peptides. Additionally, this may reduce the overall processing cost. Aquaphotomics, a new approach, was considered as a vehicle to understand the change of the O-H bond during the tryptic and microbial hydrolysis processes.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Ultra-Heat-Treated (UHT) Skimmed Cow’s Milk

UHT skimmed cow’s milk, with concentrations of protein, lactose, and fat of 29.5 g·L⁻¹, 45 g·L⁻¹, and 1 g·L⁻¹, respectively, was procured from local supermarkets in and around Budapest, Hungary. The pH of the milk was 6.8 at room temperature (RT) (~25 °C). In the present investigation, UHT skimmed milk was used because its shelf-life is much better compared to extended shelf-life milk or unheated natural milk. Furthermore, the chance of the coagulation of proteins in UHT milk due to the application of trypsin and microbial proteolysis is negligible, compared to extended shelf-life milk and unheated natural milk [28].
2.2. Production of Peptides with Functional Values from LMPC

Peptides with antioxidant capacity, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitory activity, antibacterial activity, and hypoallergenic properties, were prepared by the sequential tryptic and microbial hydrolysis of LMPC. In Figure 1, the experimental scheme for the preparation of peptides with the abovementioned biological activities is represented.

![Figure 1. Experimental scheme to prepare peptides with antioxidant capacity, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitory activity, antibacterial activity and hypoallergenic properties from skimmed UHT cow’s milk.]

2.2.1. Preparation of LMPC

A ceramic-made tubular nanofiltration membrane with a pore size of 5 nm (Pall Corporation, Crailsheim, Germany) was adopted to prepare LMPC from UHT skimmed cow’s milk. The membrane was placed in a stainless steel-made crossflow membrane module. A static turbulence promoter was placed inside the membrane tube. Detailed descriptions of the membrane module, tubular membrane and static turbulence promoter have been mentioned in a previous publication [23]. The membrane filtration process was performed with trans-membrane pressure at 3 bar, a retention flow rate of 100 L·h$^{-1}$, and a volume reduction factor of 2 at RT. The volume reduction factor during the filtration process was maintained in two different ways:

1. The feed tank of the membrane module was filled with 1.4 L of UHT skimmed cow’s milk, prior to starting the filtration, and 700 mL of permeate was collected.

2. The feed tank of the membrane module was filled with 800 mL of UHT skimmed cow’s milk, prior to starting the filtration, and a constant volume of permeate, i.e., 100 mL, was collected. After the collection of 100 mL of permeate, another 100 mL of milk was supplied to the feed tank to maintain the volume level in the feed tank. In that way, to maintain the volume reduction factor of 2, in total, 600 mL of milk was supplied to the feed tank and a total of 700 mL of permeate was collected at the end of the experiment.

The permeate flux was calculated, based on Equation (1):

$$J = \frac{V}{(A \times t)}$$

(1)
where \( J \) = permeate flux during filtration (L·m\(^{-2}\)·h\(^{-1}\)), \( V \) = volume of permeate (L), \( A \) = active membrane filtration area (m\(^2\)), and \( t \) = filtration time (h) [23].

Furthermore, after the volume reduction factor of 2, the reduction of permeate flux was calculated, based on Equation (2):

\[
\Delta J (\%) = \left( \frac{J_{\text{initial}} - J_{\text{final}}}{J_{\text{initial}}} \right) \times 100
\]

After the volume reduction factor of 2, the reduction of permeate flux was calculated, based on Equation (2):

\[\Delta J (\%) = \left( \frac{J_{\text{initial}} - J_{\text{final}}}{J_{\text{initial}}} \right) \times 100\]

After the filtration experiment, membrane cleaning was performed with Ultrasil P3-11 (Ecolab-Hygiene Kft, Budapest, Hungary), citric acid (≥99%, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) and Milli-Q ultrapure deionized water (18.2 MΩ·cm) in sequence. The detailed methodology is described elsewhere [23]. Milli-Q ultrapure deionized water was obtained from the Milli-Q Synergy/Elix water purification system (Merck-Millipore, Molsheim, France).

2.2.2. In Vitro Hydrolysis of LMPC by Trypsin

After achieving a volume reduction factor of 2 in the membrane filtration process, LMPC was collected from the storage tank of the membrane module. 3 mL of LMPC was pre-incubated in 5 mL of a polymer inkjet 3D-printed cuboid bioreactor. The length, width and height of the bioreactor were 1.0 cm, 1.45 cm, and 3.5 cm, respectively. A temperature and a pH sensor (Testo 206-pH1, Testo-AG, Reutlingen, Germany) were inserted into the bioreactor through a friction-fit lid. The bioreactor, along with the sensors, was placed at a temperature of 40 °C in an incubator (HACH, Düsseldorf, Germany), where an individual batch-mode tryptic hydrolysis reaction was performed with different concentrations of trypsin (T1426, Sigma-Aldrich, Schnelldorf, Germany), e.g., 0.008 g·L\(^{-1}\), 0.016 g·L\(^{-1}\) and 0.032 g·L\(^{-1}\). These are represented by LMPC-T-0.008, LMPC-T-0.016 and LMPC-T-0.032, respectively. For that purpose, when the temperature of LMPC reached 40 °C, 2.7 µL, 5.4 µL and 10.8 µL of trypsin solution from the stock solution of trypsin (0.009 g·mL\(^{-1}\)) was inoculated into the LMPC through a sterile polyethersulfone (PES) syringe filter with a pore size of 0.2 µm (VWR International, Radnor, PA, USA). The tryptic hydrolysis reaction was performed at a temperature of 40 °C for 10 min in an incubator (HACH, Düsseldorf, Germany). After 10 min of the tryptic hydrolysis reaction, the bioreactor was immediately placed in a thermostat for the deactivation of trypsin activity. It was performed at a temperature of 70 °C for 30 min of incubation in a water bath [23]. Continuous scanning during trypsin-catalyzed hydrolysis was performed within a wavelength range of 900–1700 nm (NIR spectra), every minute, for the aquaphotomics analysis. Furthermore, the temperature and pH of the reaction medium were recorded, every minute, during the tryptic hydrolysis reaction.

2.2.3. Microbial Hydrolysis

After the de-activation of trypsin, the temperature of LMPC was reduced to 45 °C in a laminar flow chamber. The effect of glucose in the microbial hydrolysis of LMPC and LMPC-T was studied. For that purpose, 240 µL of sterile glucose solution from 40% glucose stock solution was added to 3 mL of LMPC and LMPC-T separately, under aseptic conditions. As before, temperature and pH sensing probes (Testo 206-pH1, Testo-AG, Reutlingen, Germany) were inserted into the fermentation medium to record the temperature and pH of the fermentation medium at every minute during the fermentation process. Each sample was preincubated in an incubator (HACH, Düsseldorf, Germany) to reach a temperature of 45 °C. Lactic acid bacteria (Thermophilic YoFlex® Mild 1.0, Chr. Hansen, Nienburg, Germany) were used for the microbial hydrolysis of the protein concentrate. 30 µL of the inoculum from the stock culture was inoculated into each individual sample. After inoculation, Streptococcus thermophilus and Lactobacillus bulgaricus were 5.5 \times 10^6 CFU·mL\(^{-1}\) and 1.5 \times 10^7 CFU·mL\(^{-1}\), respectively, in the fermentation medium, according to Breed’s method [31]. Fermentation was performed at a temperature of 45 °C for 6 h in an incubator (HACH, Düsseldorf, Germany) [32]. Fermented samples were represented by LMPC-F, LMPC-F\(_G\), LMPC-T-F and LMPC-T-F\(_G\). As before, continuous scanning during the
microbial fermentation process was performed using a wavelength range of 900–1700 nm (NIR spectra) at every minute, for aquaphotomics analysis.

2.3. Analytical Methods

2.3.1. Understanding the Molecular Weight Distribution of Proteins and Peptides

Sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) was performed according to the Laemmli method [33] to identify the molecular weight of proteins and peptides in LMPC, LMPC-T, LMPC-F, LMPC-FG, LMPC-T-F, and LMPC-T-FG. In the vertical electrophoresis system, the concentrations of running gel and stacking gel were 15% and 6%, respectively. A standard protein marker from Bio-Rad (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) was used to determine the molecular weight of proteins and peptides. The detailed methodology is described in Supplementary Section.

2.3.2. Immunoblotting

Proteins and peptides from SDS-PAGE gel were transferred onto a polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane. Trans-blot semi-dry transfer cells (Bio-Rad, CA, USA) were used in the experiment. Cow’s milk positive pooled human serum and rabbit (Rb) polyclonal sera were used to identify the allergenicity and antigenicity, respectively, in the samples [34]. The experimental method is described in detail in Supplementary Section.

2.3.3. Determination of Protein Concentration

Prior to assay, the samples, such as LMPC, LMPC-T, and the corresponding fermented broths with or without glucose, were centrifuged with a temperature-controlled laboratory centrifuge (Z206A; Wehingen, Germany). Centrifugation was performed at 10,000 rpm for 20 min at a temperature of 4 °C, and the concentration of protein in supernatants was measured with a Bradford assay [35]. Details of the experimental protocol are given in Supplementary Section.

2.3.4. Determination of the Antioxidant Capacity

Ferric Reducing Ability of Plasma (FRAP) Assay

As before, all samples were centrifuged in a laboratory centrifuge (Z206A; Wehingen, Germany). The operating conditions for centrifugation were as mentioned earlier. The antioxidant capacity of supernatants was measured using the ferric-reducing ability of plasma, considering ascorbic acid as a standard [36]. A detailed experimental protocol is given in Supplementary Section.

2,2-Diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) Radical-Scavenging Assay

Supernatants of all samples were received by centrifugation, performed under the abovementioned operating conditions. The antioxidant capacity in supernatants was measured using the DPPH radical-scavenging assay [37]. The experimental protocol is described in detail in Supplementary Section.

2.3.5. Estimation of Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Inhibitory Activity

As before, all samples were centrifuged and the angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitory activity was measured in the supernatants, as described earlier [38], with small modifications. The substrate Abz-FRK(Dnp)-P, the recombinant angiotensin-converting enzyme, and supernatants of the milk samples and corresponding fermentation broth were used to determine the IC50 values of samples. The activity of the angiotensin-converting enzyme in the absence of the supernatants of the samples was used to define uninhibited activity. The reaction was initiated by the addition of the substrate. The level of inhibition was calculated as the percentage of uninhibited activity in each plate. Activity values were fitted by nonlinear fits to yield the $K_M$ and $V_{max}$ values. The experimental protocol is described in detail in Supplementary Section.
2.3.6. Microbiological Assay

Antibacterial activity of all samples against *Bacillus cereus*, *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 6538 and *Listeria monocytogenes* CCM 4699 was investigated. All samples were centrifuged using the abovementioned operating conditions. The agar-well diffusion method was used for the investigation, as per [23]. The detailed experimental method is given in Supplementary Section.

2.3.7. Near Infrared (NIR) Spectroscopy and Aquaphotomics Analysis

Scanning was performed during the tryptic and microbial hydrolysis of LMPC, with a wavelength range of 900–1700 nm at every minute by an NIR-S-G1 handheld spectrophotometer (InnoSpectra Co., Hsinchu, Taiwan). The spectral data were pre-treated to reduce spectral noise and baseline variations, using the Savitzky–Golay (SG) [39] and standard normal variate (SNV) methods [40]. For the aquaphotomics analysis, the spectral data were truncated specifically in the wavelength range of 1300–1600 nm, and a star-shaped aquagram was created from the spectral data to visualize the spectral pattern changes [41]. The detailed information is given in Supplementary Section. The spectral evaluation was performed using the R-project statistical software (ver. 3.6.3, R Core Team, Vienna, Austria) [42], along with the “aquap2” package, as specified for the aquaphotomics and spectral analyses [43].

2.4. Statistical Analysis

SPSS 15.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA) was used for the statistical analysis. All laboratory experiments were performed at least 3 times; subsequently, the mean values with standard deviation were calculated. A one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) method, followed by Tukey’s post hoc test, was adopted to determine the significant differences among the various groups. A significant difference was considered to be found when $p < 0.05$.

3. Results and Discussions

3.1. Preparation of LMPC

LMPC was prepared from UHT skimmed cow’s milk; it was collected from the retentate channel of the membrane module after the collection of 700 mL of permeate. Two different approaches have been adopted in the filtration process, as mentioned in Section 2.2.1. In Figure 2A, the permeate flux with time progression is represented, when the feed tank of the membrane module had been filled with 1.4 L of milk, prior to starting the filtration process, and once 700 mL of the permeate had been collected. In that case, the total filtration time, the reduction of the permeate flux from the initial flux, and the concentration of total protein in LMPC are 5.5 h, 34%, and 51 g L$^{-1}$, respectively. In Figure 2B, the permeate flux with time progression is represented, when the feed tank of the membrane module had been filled with 800 mL of milk, prior to starting the filtration process; each time, 100 mL of milk was added after the collection of 100 mL of permeate, to eventually obtain 700 mL of permeate. Therefore, the total filtration time, the reduction of permeate flux from the initial flux, and the total concentration of protein in LMPC are 4.9 h, 22%, and 56 g L$^{-1}$, respectively.

In this investigation, the size of the protein molecule has a great impact on the rejection of protein molecules and permeate flux. Membrane filtration is a pressure-driven size-exclusion-based separation process. During filtration, the higher deposition of solutes on the membrane surface creates a cake layer, which gives the attributes of a higher mass transfer coefficient and membrane resistance. It reduces the permeate flux over the passage of time [44]. During the preparation of UHT skimmed cow’s milk, with a temperature of 135–145 °C and treatment exposure time of 1–8 s, the sizes of the proteins in milk are changed, compared to their conventional sizes [45]. When milk is heated at a temperature above 80 °C, the tertiary structure of whey proteins begins to unfold, and denaturation takes place [46]. At that temperature and a shorter temperature exposure time, the disintegration of the casein micelles takes place in a partial way due to dephosphorylation [47].
Subsequently, the broken/denatured whey proteins bind among themselves [48], or bind with dephosphorylated or disintegrated casein molecules, especially with κ-casein, which is present in the periphery of the casein micelle by thiol/disulfide interchanges and hydrophobic interactions [49]. Hence, it can be supposed that most of the whey proteins attempt to bind with the casein micelles, and the size of casein micelles may increase. Furthermore, because of the intermolecular conjugation of whey proteins, the size of the whey proteins may increase. The biochemical mechanism of the conformational modification of proteins during the heat-treatment of skimmed cow’s milk is represented in Figure 3A.

![Figure 2](image)

Figure 2. Permeate flux with the filtration time progression, (A) without the addition of milk in the feed tank in the intermediate steps of the filtration process, and (B) with the addition of milk in the feed tank in the intermediate steps of the filtration process.

Therefore, most of the proteins in UHT skimmed milk have been rejected by the membrane, and residual (unbounded) whey proteins and lactose have permeated through the membrane pores during filtration (Figure 3B). Due to the addition of milk in a continuous way to the feed tank, the deposition of solute molecules on the membrane surface was low. As a result, the reduction of permeate flux was low compared to the filtration process, without the addition of milk in an intermediate way.
Figure 3. Schematic representation of the conformational modification of proteins during the heat-treatment of skimmed cow’s milk (A) (self-developed; the concept was adopted from Vasbinder et al., 2004 [50]); and the production of LMPC from UHT skimmed cow’s milk by membrane filtration (B) (graphic self-developed; the concept was adopted from Li et al., 2015 [51]).

3.2. Hydrolysis of Proteins in LMPC

SDS-PAGE has been used to elucidate the hydrolysis of milk proteins by trypsin and microbial fermentation (Figure 4).

Figure 4. SDS-PAGE image of proteins and peptides; lane 1: marker protein, lane 2: standard casein, lane 3: standard α-lactalbumin and β-lactoglobulin, lane 4: LMPC, lane 5: LMPC-T-0.008, lane 6: LMPC-T-0.008-F, lane 7: LMPC-T-0.008-F_{G}, lane 8: LMPC-T-0.016, lane 9: LMPC-T-0.016-F, lane 10: LMPC-T-0.016-F_{G}, lane 11: LMPC-T-0.032, lane 12: LMPC-T-0.032-F, lane 13: LMPC-T-0.032-F_{G}, lane 14: LMPC-F, lane 15: LMPC-F_{G}.
It can be seen that LMPC has immunoglobulin, lactoferrin, lactoperoxidase, bovine serum albumin, α-casein, β-casein, κ-casein, β-lactoglobulin and α-lactalbumin, with a molecular weight of ~150 kDa, ~80 kDa, ~78 kDa, ~66 kDa, ~25 kDa, ~24 kDa, ~20 kDa, ~18 kDa and ~14 kDa, respectively. Furthermore, a protein band with a molecular weight of ~37 kDa is shown in the SDS-PAGE image. This might be a dimer of β-lactoglobulin, or conjugated κ-casein and α-lactalbumin, or conjugated β-lactoglobulin and α-lactalbumin. Heat-induced protein conjugation or aggregation has been reported by several researchers. At temperatures above 80 °C, whey proteins, such as α-lactalbumin and β-lactoglobulin, begin to unfold and, subsequently, they can bind with κ-casein, as present in the periphery of the casein micelle [47,49]. Other investigators have reported that β-lactoglobulin may form a dimer by a disulfide bond when it is heated to a temperature higher than 75 °C [46,47]. Furthermore, it has been reported that, due to heat treatment, α-lactalbumin and β-lactoglobulin may produce covalently bonded hydrophobic aggregate as a result of the intermolecular thiol-disulfide bond interchange [46,48].

In the SDS-PAGE image, it can be seen that the hydrolysis of milk proteins and formation of peptides with lower molecular weight are increased gradually, due to the tryptic digestion of proteins in LMPC (lane 5, lane 8, and lane 11). Trypsin is a serine endopeptidase that cleaves specifically at the carboxyl-terminal side of lysine and arginine amino acid residues, except in the case of lysyl-proline and arginyl-proline bonds in the amino acid chain. Immunoglobulin is almost hydrolyzed when the concentration of trypsin is 0.032 g·L⁻¹. Lactoferrin, lactoperoxidase and bovine serum albumin are almost hydrolyzed, due to treatment with 0.008 g·L⁻¹ of trypsin. Protein conjugate with a molecular weight of ~37 kDa is hydrolyzed in a significant way when the concentration of trypsin is 0.016 g·L⁻¹. However, α-casein is almost hydrolyzed with 0.032 g·L⁻¹ of trypsin, and β-casein and κ-casein are largely hydrolyzed when the concentration of trypsin is 0.016 g·L⁻¹. This can be explained by the fact that α-casein presents in the interior part of casein micelle and might have less opportunity to interact with the catalytic site of trypsin, whereas κ-casein presents in the periphery of the casein micelle and is easily hydrolyzed by trypsin [49]. The tryptic hydrolysis of β-casein may produce γ-casein with a molecular weight fraction of ~23 kDa, ~16 kDa and ~11 kDa [52]. In lane 5 and lane 8, fragments of γ-casein with a molecular weight of ~23 kDa and ~16 kDa are clearly visible. However, the fragment of γ-casein with a molecular weight of ~23 kDa is almost hydrolyzed with the concentration of trypsin at 0.032 g·L⁻¹; β-lactoglobulin and the fragment of γ-casein with a molecular weight of ~16 kDa are retained when the concentration of trypsin is 0.032 g·L⁻¹.

In lane 6 and lane 7, proteins and peptides, present in LMPC-T-0.008-F and LMPC-T-0.008-F_G, are shown. It is observed that the protein conjugate with a molecular weight of ~37 kDa, α-casein and the fragment of γ-casein with a molecular weight of ~23 kDa are partially hydrolyzed (represented by low intensity and a diffuse zone) in LMPC-T-0.008-F and LMPC-T-0.008-F_G. In lane 9 and lane 10, the proteins and peptides present in LMPC-T-0.016-F and LMPC-T-0.016-F_G are shown. It is notable that α-casein and the fragment of γ-casein with a molecular weight of ~23 kDa are almost hydrolyzed in LMPC-T-0.016-F and LMPC-T-0.016-F_G. In lane 12 and lane 13, the proteins and peptides, present in LMPC-T-0.032-F and LMPC-T-0.032-F_G, are shown. It can be seen that the protein conjugate with a molecular weight of ~37 kDa, β-lactoglobulin and the fragment of γ-casein with a molecular weight if 16 kDa are mostly hydrolyzed in LMPC-T-0.032-F and LMPC-T-0.032-F_G. Hydrolysis of casein and whey proteins by Lactobacillus bulgaricus and Streptococcus thermophilus has been reported by several investigators [53,54]. In lane 14 and lane 15, proteins and peptides, as present in LMPC-F and LMPC-F_Gm, are shown. Here, the protein bands are similar to LMPC, as shown in lane 4. In all cases, it was found that the addition of glucose has no effect on the microbial hydrolysis of proteins.

3.3. Aquaphotomics Analysis

The aim of this analysis is to understand the effect of the concentration of trypsin and microbial fermentation on the modulation of the hydrogen bond in compounds during
the proteolysis of LMPC. In Figure 5A,B, aquagrams of tryptic hydrolysis of LMPC with three different concentrations of trypsin at the start time (immediately after the addition of trypsin) and after 10 min of incubation, respectively, are presented. In both cases, the aquagram of LMPC without trypsin is included to understand the change of the O-H bond during the tryptic hydrolysis reaction.

Figure 5. Aquagrams of the protein hydrolysis reaction with three different concentrations of trypsin at the start time (A) and after 10 min (B). Catalytic cycle of trypsin during protein hydrolysis (C). Aquagrams of the protein hydrolysis reaction by 0.008 g·L\(^{-1}\) of trypsin (D), 0.016 g·L\(^{-1}\) of trypsin (E), and 0.032 g·L\(^{-1}\) of trypsin (F).
Without any doubt, it can be seen that with the increase of the concentration of trypsin from 0.008 g L\(^{-1}\) to 0.016 g L\(^{-1}\), the breakdown or presence of a weak hydrogen bond in the compound is significant (Figure 5A), and is further increased after 10 min of incubation (Figure 5B). This is represented by the increase of absorbance between the wavelengths of 1341 nm and 1451 nm. This demonstrates the weaker hydrogen bonding structure in molecules and bulk water [55,56]. It is well known that in the hydrolysis of proteins (proteolysis reaction), the water dissociates along with the breaking of the peptide bond in the protein molecule. On the other hand, the decrease in absorption between the wavelengths of 1475 nm and 1511 nm represents the reduction in the strong hydrogen bond in the molecules [55,56]. However, no significant increase is observed when the proteolysis reaction is performed with 0.032 g L\(^{-1}\) of trypsin, compared to 0.016 g L\(^{-1}\) of trypsin.

In the catalytic triad of trypsin, three amino acids, such as Asp 102, His 57 and Ser 195, participate in the proteolysis reaction. The trypsin-catalyzed proteolysis reaction follows a ping-pong mechanism, which involves the formation of several intermediates. The first step of the trypsin-catalyzed proteolysis reaction is the formation of a Michaelis complex. A proton is transferred from the serine hydroxyl group to the \(N\-\varepsilon\) of His-57. The hydroxyl group of Ser-195 (a nucleophile) twists around the \(C_\alpha-C_\beta\) bond and interacts with the electrophilic carbon of the protein by covalent bonding. Subsequently, this converts into a short-lived tetrahedral intermediate. On the other side, positively charged His-57 become stabilized due to the transfer of a proton to the hydroxyl group of Asp-102. The tetrahedral intermediate is stabilized by the covalent bond and hydrogen bond. The negatively charged oxygen in the tetrahedral intermediate is stabilized by the hydrogen bond, developed with the nitrogen residues 195 and 193 in the substrate. Another hydrogen bond is created between the carboxylic group of Ser-214 and the \(\alpha-N\) of the protein. In the tetrahedral structure, the unstable carbon-nitrogen bond breaks and a double bond between the O and the original carbonyl C is created. In this step, first, the product with the amino group freely diffuses away with a proton (acylation) from the protonated histidine, and the bound part of the substrate reorganizes to a covalently modified acyl-enzyme intermediate. Subsequently, the abovementioned mechanism repeats in the catalytic cycle, with water as a nucleophilic substance, which attacks the acyl-enzyme intermediate and forms a tetrahedral intermediate. As before, the intermediate collapses back and a second product with the carboxylic group moves to the solution (deacylation). This is considered as a rate-determining step. The carboxylic group loses a proton to the solution and becomes negatively charged. The residual part after the removal of a second product with the carboxylic group becomes reprotonated by the histidine and, consequently, the regenerations of both histidine and serine allow them to participate in another catalytic cycle [57,58]. This biochemical mechanism is elucidated in a simple way in Figure 5C. From the above discussion, it can be established that the presence of a hydrogen bond in the nucleophile and serin, and the formation of a tetrahedral enzyme-substrate intermediate with a hydrogen bond, have a great impact in the trypsin-catalyzed proteolysis reaction. The breaking of the hydrogen bond shifts the absorbance at wavelengths of 1341 nm, 1363 nm, 1375 nm, 1384 nm, and 1412 nm in a significant way. Our results may be explained by the fact that with an increase in the concentration of trypsin, from 0.008 g L\(^{-1}\) to 0.016 g L\(^{-1}\), the formation of the first product with the amino group and, consequently, of the second product with the carboxylic group are facilitated. At higher concentrations of trypsin, such as 0.032 g L\(^{-1}\), more hydrogen bonds are created in the tetrahedral structures of enzymes and substrates, which may provide stability to the tetrahedral intermediate. As a result, the rate-limiting diacylation step in the proteolysis reaction is hindered. In Figure 5D–F, changes in the aquagrams according to the different concentrations of trypsin with the progression of the proteolysis time are represented. In all cases, the breakdown of the hydrogen bonds or the presence of weak hydrogen bonds with the passage of time is observed. This might be attributable to the breaking of the hydrogen bond between the tetrahedral enzyme-substrate intermediate and the nucleophilic water.
In Figure 6A,C,E, aquagrams of the microbial hydrolysis of LMPC, treated with 0.008 g·L⁻¹, 0.016 g·L⁻¹ and 0.032 g·L⁻¹ of trypsin, are shown.

Figure 6. Aquagrams of the microbial hydrolysis of (A) LMPC-T-0.008, (B) LMPC-T-0.008 with glucose, (C) LMPC-T-0.016, (D) LMPC-T-0.016 with glucose, (E) LMPC-T-0.032, and (F) LMPC-T-0.032 with glucose.
In Figure 6A, it is noted that the breakdown of the hydrogen bond or a weaker hydrogen bond in the compound progresses with the increase in fermentation time for LMPC-T-0.008-F. In Figure 6C, it can be observed that the breakdown of the hydrogen bond or a weaker hydrogen bond is seen after up to 5 h of fermentation and, subsequently, biomolecules with a hydrogen bond are formed. According to Figure 6E, the breakdown of the hydrogen bond or a weaker hydrogen bond is shown after up to 2 h of fermentation, and in the subsequent time period, biomolecules with more hydrogen bonds are formed. Muncan and co-authors reported that the entire wavelength region of 1300–1400 nm has significant importance during the aquaphotomics analysis of the fermentation of milk with lactic acid bacteria. According to these authors, this region corresponds to the absorbance of weakly or non-hydrogen-bonded water, indicating the existence of a protein concentrate in liquid phase, in other words, non-coagulated protein [59]. The hydrolysis of proteins by trypsin, prior to fermentation, facilitates the breakdown of exogenous proteins into lower molecular-weight oligopeptides, which increases the solubility of proteins and reduces the coagulation of proteins [60]. This is clearly visible in Figure 6A,C,E. Furthermore, it has been reported that the absorbance at a wavelength of 1361 nm can represent the proton hydrated/solvated hydronium ion [61,62] and/or water hydration shells [55] with a very weak hydrogen bond. This can be attributed to the formation of organic acids, including lactic acid, with a low dissociation constant during lactic acid bacteria fermentation. According to Broyard and Gaucheron, the destruction of casein micelles is induced by acidification. The concentration and types of organic acids, including lactic acid, have a key role in the destruction of casein micelles. Due to the acidification, the inorganic mineral phosphate within the casein micelle is solubilized, and the casein micelle is destroyed. Furthermore, a wavelength of 1361 nm reflects the change in microbial growth [63]. It is noted that the development of biomolecules with a strong hydrogen bond in the fermentation process increased with the increase in the concentration of trypsin, used for the hydrolysis of LMPC before fermentation. This is represented by the increase of absorbance between the wavelengths of 1450 nm and 1512 nm. Lactic acid bacteria are not able to uptake exogenous proteins. They hydrolyze exogenous proteins or large molecular-weight peptides to oligopeptides by cell-wall proteinases (PrtP). However, cell-wall proteinases of lactic acid bacteria have different specificities; at least two types of cell-wall proteinases in the family of serine proteinases have been identified. The PI-type cell-wall proteinases hydrolyze β-casein and, to some extent, αs1-casein. The PIII-type cell-wall proteinases hydrolyze both caseins [64]. Furthermore, the hydrolysis of α-lactalbumin and β-lactoglobulin, by cell-wall proteinase Streptococcus thermophilus and Lactobacillus bulgaricus, have been well documented [65]. Subsequently, oligopeptides are transformed to the biotic phase of lactic acid bacteria by transporter membrane proteins, such as Opp, DtpP and DtpT. Oligopeptides are converted to nitrogenous metabolites, such as amino acids, aroma (amines and sulfur compounds), and organic acids through different metabolic pathways [64]. The metabolic pathway of lactic acid bacteria during the fermentation of concentrated milk is represented in Figure 7.

Therefore, the hydrolysis of exogenous proteins by trypsin, prior to fermentation, facilitates the transportation of peptides to the intracellular phase by cell-wall transporter proteins and their bioconversion to amino acids, amines, sulfur compounds and lactic acid [64,66]. Lactobacillus bulgaricus and Streptococcus thermophilus are homofermentative lactic acid bacteria. During the microbial fermentation of milk protein and lactose, lactic acid is primarily produced. The lactic acid becomes protonated and the pH of the medium is reduced [67]. Nucleophilic species lactate (negative charge on the oxygen atom of the carboxylic group) may bind with the electrophilic sites of proteins or peptides with a hydrogen bond [68,69]. Peptides, shorter than five amino acid residues, are usually soluble in an aqueous medium, except when the entire sequence consists of hydrophobic amino acids (tryptophan, leucine, isoleucine, phenylalanine, methionine, valine, and tyrosine). Hydrophilic peptides, containing > 25% charged residues (aspartic acid, lysine, arginine, histidine and glutamic acid) and 25% hydrophobic amino acids, are usually soluble in an
aqueous medium. The presence of other hydrophilic amino acids (asparagine, glutamine, serine, threonine, hydroxy-proline and pyroglutamic acid) are also responsible for the solubility of peptides [70]. The hydrolytic product of protein, i.e., peptides, are soluble in an aqueous medium when the electrostatic repulsion among them is greater than the hydrophobic interaction [71]. Peptides and enzyme-hydrolyzed proteins have better solubility than native proteins. Treatment of the enzyme reduces the molecular weights of proteins or peptides, triggers hydrophobic interactions within protein molecules, and unfolds the tertiary or quaternary structures of proteins [72,73]. In the peptide chain, polar amino acids can form hydrogen bonds with water molecules. Often, Lactobacillus bulgaricus and Streptococcus thermophilus produce exopolysaccharides from lactose, besides the formation of lactic acid [74,75]. The polar part of the exopolysaccharide, such as ethyl-, methyl- and hydroxyl- groups, can bind with proteins or peptides or water via hydrogen bonds [69].

Figure 7. Metabolic pathway of lactic acid bacteria during the fermentation of concentrated milk (graphic self-developed; concept was adopted from Savijoki et al., 2006 [64] and Zhang et al., 2020 [66]).

Aquagrams for the microbial fermentation of LMPC-T-0.008, LMPC-T-0.016, and LMPC-T-0.032 with glucose are represented in Figure 6B,D,F, respectively. Comparing the aquagrams represented in Figure 6A,B, there is no significant difference. According to Figure 6D,F, the breaking of the hydrogen bond or the presence of a weaker hydrogen bond is revealed after up to 2 h of fermentation, and in the subsequent time period, biomolecules with more hydrogen bonds are produced. It is notable that the formation of biomolecules with a hydrogen bond is more common in LMPC-T-0.032-FG than LMPC-T-0.016-FG. The addition of glucose may enhance the microbial growth and formation of microbial metabolites, such as nitrogenous compounds, exopolysaccharides and lactate [76]. According to Figure 6E,F, more hydrophilic compounds or water-bounded compounds are produced in LMPC-T-0.032-FG than LMPC-T-0.032-F. Due to the addition of glucose in LMPC-T, more specifically LMPC-T-0.016 and LMPC-T-0.032, a high concentration of lactic acid is assumed to be produced in the fermentation broth and, subsequently, this may be converted into polyactic acid by hydrogen bonding [77]. Muncan and co-authors reported the importance of a wavelength of ~1428 nm during milk fermentation with lactic acid bacteria. It has been reported that the wavelength of ~1428 nm may be the
cause of the intermediate water structure. A wavelength of ~1428 nm may represent the hydration of water and water hydrating proteins [68]. Alternatively, it has been reported that the wavelength of ~1428 nm may be related to the glucose molecule, the basic structure of complex polysaccharides [78], which indicates a possible interaction between exopolysaccharides and water. In both cases, the weak hydrogen bond plays a role. In Figure 6B,D,F, the increase of the wavelength of ~1428 nm is visible. This represents the formation of the hydrating peptides, produced by the hydrolysis of milk proteins and oligopeptides by microorganisms. Furthermore, this is attributed to the contribution of glucose to the synthesis of exopolysaccharides during fermentation.

3.4. Antioxidant Capacity

The antioxidant capacity of LMPC is 167.35 ± 9.8 mg, equivalent to ascorbic acid·L⁻¹ and 20.94 ± 1.49%, according to the FRAP assay and DPPH radical scavenging activity assay, respectively (Figure 8A). It is shown that antioxidant capacity, measured by the FRAP assay and DPPH radical scavenging assay, is increased due to the tryptic hydrolysis of LMPC.

Figure 8. The antioxidant capacity of LMPC and LMPC-T (A), LMPC-F and LMPC-T-F (B) and LMPC-FG and LMPC-T-FG (C). Results are represented with a mean value and standard deviation (±values) of three triplicate experimental results. In superscript, the dissimilar alphabet represents significant differences between the results.

In the protein structure, trypsin cleaves the peptide bond between the carboxyl group of basic amino acid lysine or arginine in the C-terminus position and the amino group of the adjacent amino acid in the hydrophobic side chain in the N-terminal position, when there is no proline. The presence of hydrophobic amino acids (alanine, isoleucine, leucine, methionine, phenylalanine, valine and glycine) and amino acids with imidazole moiety, such as histidine in peptide, is due to the tryptic hydrolysis of LMPC reducing the Fe³⁺–TPTZ complex. It has been reported that peptides with a lower molecular weight exhibit better reducing activity toward Fe³⁺. Smaller peptides have a higher charge-to-mass ratio than larger peptides, because of the exposure of more electron-rich side chains. Similarly, peptides produced by the tryptic hydrolysis of milk proteins, act as electron donors and can convert DPPH free radicals into a more stable molecule. The hydrophobic amino acid at the N-terminus position of peptide offers radical scavenging activity [79]. Therefore, peptides with lower molecular weight and a higher charge density (charge-to-mass ratio) offer better
scavenging activity and reacting with free radicals, due to low steric hindrance [79,80]. Similar findings have been reported by other investigators. In one investigation, bovine sodium caseinate solution (10 g protein/L) was hydrolyzed with trypsin. Four different ratios of enzyme and substrate, such as 1:25, 1:50, 1:100 and 1:200 (w/v), pH 7 and temperature 37 °C for 15 min, 30 min, 60 min and 120 min, had been considered in the experiment. The antioxidant capacity, measured by DPPH–radical scavenging assay and FRAP assay increased along with an increase in the concentration of enzymes [80]. In another investigation, cow’s milk was hydrolyzed with different concentrations of trypsin, such as 0.001%, 0.005% and 0.01% (w/v) at pH 7, and a temperature of 37 °C for 30 min and subsequently heating at a temperature of 100 °C for 10 min. DPPH–radical scavenging activities were 61.27 ± 1.38, 62.94 ± 1.42 and 64.99 ± 1.29, when concentrations of trypsin were 0.001%, 0.005% and 0.01%, respectively [81].

The antioxidant capacity of LMPC-T-F and LMPC-T-FG are shown in Figure 8B,C, respectively. According to the FRAP assay, the antioxidant capacity of LMPC-F and LMPC-FG was 97.05 ± 20.48 mg equivalent ascorbic acid L−1 and 78.72 ± 10.12 mg equivalent ascorbic acid L−1, respectively. According to the DPPH assay, the radical scavenging activities of LMPC-F and LMPC-FG were 15.97 ± 1.38% and 14.00 ± 1.19%, respectively. According to Figure 8B, the antioxidant capacity of the fermentation broth increased with an increase in the concentration of trypsin, applied prior to fermentation. It has already been mentioned that peptides with a lower molecular weight and higher charge density (charge-to-mass ratio) have better antioxidant potency [79,80]. Sabeeha Farvin and co-authors reported that higher radical scavenging activity in the water-soluble protein fraction of yogurt contains peptides with a molecular weight of 3–10 kDa and < 3 kDa, which donate electrons to the free radicals to produce stable compounds. Radical scavenging activity was low in the water-soluble protein fraction of yogurt with a higher molecular weight (> 30 kDa and 10–30 kDa) of peptides [82]. Furthermore, it has been reported that peptides in fermented milk containing hydrophobic amino acid residues, such as Val or Leu at the N-terminus; Pro, His or Tyr among amino acid sequences have an antioxidant capacity [83]. The antioxidant capacity of LMPC-T-F and LMPC-T-FG might be related to various factors, such as (a) the biochemical characteristics of milk, (b) the type/amount of starter culture, (c) fermentation technology, (d) the activity of the starter culture, and (e) the method for determining antioxidant capacity [84]. It has been reported that peptides with an antioxidant capacity are produced during the fermentation of milk by Lactobacillus bulgaricus and Streptococcus thermophilus [85]. Since lactic acid bacteria cannot directly uptake exogenous proteins, they hydrolyze exogenous proteins or oligopeptides by cell-wall proteases to enable their transportation to the biotic phase. Peptides with an antioxidant capacity are produced by the proteolytic activity of the cell-wall and intracellular proteolytic systems of lactic acid bacteria [64,66]. Lactobacillus bulgaricus releases hydrophilic and hydrophobic peptides from β-lactoglobulin, whereas Streptococcus thermophilus releases only hydrophilic peptides from β-lactoglobulin [65]. Furthermore, it has been reported that hydrophobic peptides are produced from caseins by Lactobacillus bulgaricus and Streptococcus thermophilus [54,86,87]. These hydrophobic peptides give the antioxidant capacity. Therefore, the hydrolysis of proteins by trypsin prior to fermentation facilitates the breakdown of larger proteins, to yield peptides small enough to be transferred into the bacterial cell. Furthermore, it is shown that the antioxidant capacity of LMPC-T-F and LMPC-T-FG, measured by DPPH and FRAP assays, is lower than in LMPC-T. In the intracellular environment, milk protein-derived antioxidative peptides are converted to cysteine by aminopeptidases and endopeptidases [88] and, subsequently, cysteine is converted to glutathione (intracellular antioxidant) in the presence of γ-glutamylcysteine synthetase. Glutathione, as well as the glutathione redox cycle, protect the microbial cell from oxidative stress and increase the survival rate of microorganisms in the fermentation process [89,90]. The bioconversion of low molecular-weight peptides, produced by the cell-wall proteinases, to diverse metabolites, such as amino acids, amines, sulfur compounds and lactic acid, occurs through different metabolic pathways in the biotic phase of the higher population.
of lactic acid bacteria. Therefore, the yield of peptides with an antioxidant capacity in the fermentation broth is reduced. Similar results have been published by Yilmaz-Ersan and co-authors. They reported that the antioxidant capacity in kefir from cow’s milk, measured by DPPH assay and FRAP assay, has been reduced after 8 h of fermentation from commencing the test [84]. Although antioxidant capacity is somewhat reduced by the addition of glucose during the fermentation process, this change is not significant. This can be explained by the fact that the addition of glucose in the fermentation medium induces microbial growth [91,92], which promotes the breakdown of exogenous proteins and their bioconversion into lactic acid and nitrogenous metabolites [64,66].

3.5. Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Inhibitory Activity

Milk proteins are a rich source of peptides with angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitory activity. In the case of LMPC, the inhibition of the angiotensin-converting enzyme was ~6% at a maximal concentration of the protein 1.5 mg·mL\(^{-1}\). Note that determination of the IC\(_{50}\) value of LMPC was not feasible, because of elevated autofluorescence (interfering with the fluorescent product of the angiotensin-converting enzyme reaction) in the presence of a higher concentration of protein in LMPC. The negligible angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitory activity of LMPC may be explained by the poor interaction between natural milk proteins and the active angiotensin-converting enzyme, due to the presence of steric hindrance [93]. Similar results have been published by other researchers [94,95]. Therefore, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitory potency, represented by IC\(_{50}\) values for LMPC-T, is represented in Figure 9A.
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**Figure 9.** The value of IC\(_{50}\) of LMPC-T (A), LMPC-T-F (B) and LMPC-T-F\(_G\) (C). Results are represented with a mean value and standard deviation (± values) of three triplicate experimental results. In superscript, the dissimilar alphabet represents a significant difference between results.

It is noted that the IC\(_{50}\) value is significantly decreased after trypsin treatment in a concentration-dependent manner. Other researchers have also published similar findings [96,97]. The treatment of trypsin may unfold the natural milk protein and binding sites in a protein where the angiotensin-converting enzyme is exposed. Furthermore, the low molecular weight of peptides as produced by the tryptic hydrolysis of milk proteins has more chance to interact with the angiotensin-converting enzyme, due to less steric hin-
Peptides with hydrophobic amino acids, such as phenylalanine, proline, tryptophan and tyrosine at the C-terminal position, can bind with the angiotensin-converting enzyme, and inhibitory activity is facilitated [88,99]. Furthermore, the presence of the positively charged amino acid lysine (ε-amino group) and arginine (guanidino group) at the C-terminal position can also contribute to the angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitory activity [88]. IC50 values of LMPC-T-F and LMPC-T-FG are represented in Figure 9B,C, respectively. It is noteworthy that the IC50 value is decreased in fermentation broth with an increase in the concentration of trypsin. It has been reported elsewhere that the hydrolysis of milk protein, especially casein, using the proteolytic system of lactic acid bacteria releases angiotensin-converting enzyme-inhibitory peptides [100,101]. Furthermore, it is noted that the IC50 values in LMPC-T-FG are lower than LMPC-T-F. Similar results have been reported by other researchers. The fermentation of low-fat milk in the presence of inulin [102] and exopolysaccharide [91,92] with lactic acid bacteria offers a lower IC50 value compared to fermentation without the abovementioned carbohydrates. This can be explained by the fact that the addition of glucose in the fermentation medium promoted microbial growth, which influenced the proteolysis of milk proteins [91]. It is necessary to mention that we tested the medical angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor captopril in the assays as a positive control. The IC50 value of captopril has been determined as being 5 nM, similar to the previously reported results [103]. Its efficacy was 100%, in accordance with human angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibition. These experiments suggested that our recombinant angiotensin-converting enzyme has similar properties to the angiotensin-converting enzyme found in human blood. Moreover, the full inhibition of the recombinant enzyme, both in the absence and in the presence of LMPC, LMPC-T-F and LMPC-T-FG, suggested that the biochemical assay is specific to the angiotensin-converting enzyme.

In Figure 10A, the activity of the angiotensin-converting enzyme in the presence of the different concentrations of protein in LMPC-T is shown. This demonstrates a non-competitive mechanism of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibition by LMPC. This is similar to the endogenous angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibition in the human sera [38], as provided by serum albumin [103]. The Km value of LMPC-T is determined to be 77 micromolar.

Figure 10. Activity of the angiotensin-converting enzyme in the presence of different concentrations of protein hydrolysate, prepared by the tryptic hydrolysis of LMPC (A), LMPC-T-F and (B) and LMPC-T-FG (C). Mechanism of the inhibition of the angiotensin-converting enzyme (D) (self-developed graphic; the concept was adopted from Guang and Phillips, 2009 [104] and Vallabha and Kaultiku, 2006 [105]).
In Figure 10B,C, the activity of the angiotensin-converting enzyme in the presence of different concentrations of proteins in LMPC-T-F and LMPC-T-FG, respectively, is represented. The results show a non-competitive mechanism of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibition in both cases. The \( K_m \) values of LMPC-T-F and LMPC-T-FG are determined to be 60 micromolar and 85 micromolar, respectively. Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitory peptides, produced in LMPC-T, LMPC-T-F and LMPC-T-FG, may change the conformation of the active site of the angiotensin-converting enzyme, resulting in lower enzymatic activity (Figure 10D) [106].

3.6. Antibacterial Activity

When LMPC was tested, a zone of inhibition in an agar plate of test microorganisms was not found; however, some investigators reported that native whey proteins offer antibacterial activity [107]. Antibacterial activity in two isolated whey proteins, lactoferrin [108,109] and lactoperoxidase [110,111], has also been reported by some investigators. Our results may be explained by the fact that lower concentrations of the mentioned proteins are not able to offer antibacterial activity, represented by a zone of inhibition. A zone of inhibition has been found with LMPC-T for Bacillus cereus and Staphylococcus aureus. A zone of inhibition was not found when LMPC-T was tested for Listeria monocytogenes. It has been demonstrated that Gram-positive microbes are more sensitive to peptides, derived from whey proteins, compared to Gram-negative microbes [36]. It is noted that the zone of inhibition is significantly increased with an increase in the concentration of trypsin for the hydrolysis of LMPC (Figure 11A).
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**Figure 11.** The zone of inhibition in mm (antibacterial activity), provided by LMPC-T (A), LMPC-T-F (B) and LMPC-T-FG (C). Results are represented with a mean value and standard deviation (±values) of three triplicate experimental results. In superscript, a dissimilar alphabet represents a significant difference between results.

There are several biochemical phenomena that can be addressed to explain the antibacterial activity of LMPC-T. Peptides with lysine and arginine (hydrophilic basic amino acids) in the C-terminus, and other hydrophilic, hydrophobic and amphiphilic amino acids in the N-terminus, can bind with anionic lipoteichoic acid and teichoic acid in the peptidoglycan of the bacterial cell-wall, by electrostatic bonding. Subsequently, the peptide penetrates to the cytoplasmic membrane of bacteria and leads to lipid segregation in the cell membrane, the disarrangement of peripheral membrane proteins, and membrane permeability, which inhibits cell division. On the other hand, it has been reported that antibacterial peptides
form a complex with the precursor molecules of cell-wall components, which might promote pore formation in the cell wall of microorganisms. Stable pores in the microbial cell membrane facilitate the permeabilization of intracellular cellular components into the cytosol, which causes cellular death [112]. It has been reported that the interaction between peptides and the bacterial cell membrane depends on (a) the amino acid sequence in the peptide, (b) the concentration of peptide, (c) the chemical composition of the bacterial cell membrane, and (d) the binding ability of peptides with the bacterial cell membrane [113]. The tryptic digestion of κ-casein [114], whey proteins [107], α-lactalbumin [115], and β-lactoglobulin [116] has shown antibacterial activity against Staphylococcus aureus. Furthermore, it has been reported that Casocidin-I, produced by the tryptic hydrolysis of α_{s2}-casein, shows antibacterial activity against Staphylococcus carnosus [117]. However, LMPC has no antibacterial activity; antibacterial activity against all microbes, such as Bacillus cereus, Staphylococcus aureus and Listeria monocytogenes, is offered by LMPC-F, LMPC-F_G, LMPC-T-F and LMPC-T-F_G. The values of the zones of inhibition are 2.7 ± 0.1 mm, 2.6 ± 0.1 mm and 2.73 ± 0.06 mm for Bacillus cereus, Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 6538 and Listeria monocytogenes CCM 4699, respectively, when LMPC-F has been used. The zones of inhibition are not significantly changed when LMPC-F_G has been used. The radii of the zones of inhibition by LMPC-T-F and LMPC-T-F_G are represented in Figure 11B,C, respectively. For Bacillus cereus and Staphylococcus aureus, the zones of inhibition are higher with LMPC-T-F and LMPC-T-F_G, compared to LMPC-T. The zone of inhibition has an increasing trend with the increase in the concentration of trypsin for all microorganisms. The antibacterial activity of Lactobacillus bulgaricus and Streptococcus thermophilus against all mentioned microorganisms has been reported by other investigators [118,119]. During microbial fermentation, the reduction of pH [120] or the generation of new antibacterial peptides or bacteriocin [120,121] may offer antibacterial activity against all mentioned microorganisms. It has been reported that the synergistic action of bacteriocin in a yogurt starter culture and an acidic pH offer antibacterial activity [122,123]. The biochemical characteristics of the bacteriocin produced by Streptococcus thermophilus are generally class IIA bacteriocin [123]. On the other hand, the biochemical characteristics of the bacteriocin produced by Lactobacillus bulgaricus are generally class IV bacteriocin [124]. It has been reported that the bacteriocin produced by Streptococcus thermophilus was less active against Staphylococcus aureus [118,119,123] and Bacillus cereus [118,119] than against Listeria monocytogenes. On the other hand, the bacteriocin produced by Lactobacillus bulgaricus was less active for inhibiting Staphylococcus aureus than Listeria monocytogenes and Bacillus cereus [118,119]. It is noted that the value of the zone of inhibition is not changed in a significant way in LMPC-T-F_G compared to LMPC-T-F.

3.7. Immunogenicity

Cow’s milk allergy is a complex disorder because all milk proteins are involved in allergic responses and most of them contain multiple allergenic epitopes, categorized as linear (sequential) and conformational epitopes [24]. The major allergenic proteins in cow’s milk are caseins, α-lactalbumin and β-lactoglobulin [125]. Antigenicity and allergenicity in LMPC, LMPC-F, LMPC-F_G, LMPC-T, LMPC-T-F and LMPC-T-F_G have been studied using Rb polyclonal antibodies, such as anti-casein, anti-α-lactalbumin and anti-β-lactoglobulin, and cow’s milk allergic human pooled serum, respectively (Figure 12).
Figure 12. Immunogenicity in LMPC, LMPC-F, LMPC-FG, LMPC-T, LMPC-T-F and LMPC-T-FG. (A) Antigenicity against anti-casein Rb antibody, (B) antigenicity against anti-β-lactoglobulin Rb antibody, (C) antigenicity against anti-α-lactalbumin Rb antibody, and (D) allergenicity against cow’s milk allergenic human pooled serum.
3.7.1. Antigenicity

The antigenicity of casein in all samples against the antibody Rb anti-casein is represented in Figure 12A. It can be seen that the casein in LMPC has antigenicity. Furthermore, some cross-reactivities with lactoferrin, lactoperoxidase, bovine serum albumin, β-lactoglobulin and α-lactalbumin against the antibody Rb anti-casein are shown (lane 3). However, there is no antigenicity for lactoferrin, lactoperoxidase, bovine serum albumin and α-lactalbumin, whereas the antigenicity of β-lactoglobulin is shown in LMPC-T-0.008. The antigenicity of casein is reduced (thin bands compared with casein in lane 3) in LMPC-T-0.008-F. The antigenicity of casein, the fragment of γ-casein, and β-lactoglobulin are noted (lane 7). No antigenicity of any kind of casein is shown in LMPC-T-0.032 (lane 10). In the SDS-PAGE image, represented in Figure 4, a similar peptide band, probably a fragment of γ-casein, is observed. In LMPC-T-0.016, the antigenicity of residual casein, the fragment of γ-casein, and β-lactoglobulin are noted (lane 7). No antigenicity of any kind of casein is shown in LMPC-T-0.032 (lane 10). The antigenicity of casein, the fragment of γ-casein, and β-lactoglobulin in LMPC-T-0.008-F and LMPC-T-0.008-F_G are still present. This can be explained by the fact that microbial hydrolysis is not able to remove the antigenic epitopes in the mentioned proteins, present in LMPC-T-0.008. However, the antigenicity of casein is present in LMPC-T-0.016, while no detectable antigenicity is noted in LMPC-T-0.016-F and LMPC-T-0.016-F_G. This can be explained by the fact that sequential tryptic and microbial hydrolysis of milk proteins are able to remove the antigenic epitopes in casein. The antigenicity of any protein is not shown in LMPC-T-0.032-F and LMPC-T-0.032-F_G. The antigenicity of casein, β-lactoglobulin and α-lactalbumin in LMPC-F and LMPC-F_G are shown. This signifies that they are resistant to proteolytic enzymes, as produced by lactic acid bacteria during fermentation.

The antigenicity of β-lactoglobulin in all samples against antibody Rb anti-β-lactoglobulin is represented in Figure 12B. It is noted that β-lactoglobulin in LMPC has antigenicity. Furthermore, some cross-reactivities with immunoglobulin, lactoferrin, lactoperoxidase, bovine serum albumin and the conjugate of β-lactoglobulin and α-lactalbumin or conjugate of casein and α-lactalbumin or dimer of β-lactoglobulin are shown. No cross-reactivities with casein and α-lactalbumin against the antibody Rb anti-β-lactoglobulin were noted. However, the antigenicity of β-lactoglobulin is not significantly changed but the antigenicity in bovine serum albumin and conjugate of β-lactoglobulin and α-lactalbumin, or the conjugate of casein and α-lactalbumin, or the dimer of β-lactoglobulin are destroyed completely in LMPC-T-0.008 (lane 4). In LMPC-T-0.016, the antigenicity of immunoglobulin, lactoferrin and lactoperoxidase are not significantly changed but, due to the partial tryptic hydrolysis of β-lactoglobulin, a new antigenic peptide with a molecular weight of ~17 kDa is produced (lane 7). The antigenicity of immunoglobulin, lactoferrin and lactoperoxidase are significantly reduced, but the antigenicity of β-lactoglobulin and antigenic peptide with a molecular weight of ~17 kDa remained in LMPC-T-0.032 (lane 10). There are no significant changes to the antigenicity of immunoglobulin, lactoferrin, lactoperoxidase and β-lactoglobulin in LMPC-T-0.008-F and LMPC-T-0.008-F_G, compared to LMPC-T-0.008. This can be explained by the fact that microbial hydrolysis is not able to completely remove the antigenicity in the abovementioned proteins in LMPC-T-0.008. However, there are no significant changes to the antigenicity of β-lactoglobulin and β-lactoglobulin-derived peptides with a molecular weight of ~17 kDa in LMPC-T-0.016-F and LMPC-T-0.016-F_G compared to LMPC-T-0.016; the antigenicity of immunoglobulin, lactoferrin and lactoperoxidase are completely removed. However, the antigenicity of the β-lactoglobulin-derived peptide with a molecular weight of ~17 kDa is removed, while the antigenicity of β-lactoglobulin is shown in LMPC-T-0.032-F and LMPC-T-0.032-F_G. It can be seen that the antigenicity of only β-lactoglobulin is present in LMPC-F and LMPC-F_G. This signifies that microbial hydrolysis cannot remove the antigenicity of β-lactoglobulin; however, the antigenicity of immunoglobulin, lactoferrin, lactoperoxidase, bovine serum albumin and conjugate of β-lactoglobulin and α-lactalbumin, or a conjugate of casein and α-lactalbumin, or a dimer of β-lactoglobulin, as present in LMPC can be removed by microbial hydrolysis.
The antigenicity of \( \alpha \)-lactalbumin in all samples against antibody Rb anti-\( \alpha \)-lactalbumin is shown in Figure 12C. It is noted that \( \alpha \)-lactalbumin in LMPC has antigenicity. Furthermore, some cross-reactivities with lactoferrin, lactoperoxidase and bovine serum albumin against the antibody Rb anti-\( \alpha \)-lactalbumin are shown. No cross-reactivities with casein and \( \beta \)-lactoglobulin against the antibody Rb anti-\( \alpha \)-lactalbumin are shown (lane 3). It is notable that the antigenicity of \( \alpha \)-lactalbumin remained in LMPC-T-0.008. However, the antigenicity of bovine serum albumin is removed, but some antigenicity of lactoferrin and lactoperoxidase is still present in LMPC-T-0.008 (lane 4). A similar observation is noted for LMPC-T-0.016 and LMPC-T-0.032 (lane 7 and lane 10). In all cases, the antigenicity of \( \alpha \)-lactalbumin is not reduced after microbial hydrolysis, even after trypsin treatment. The antigenicity of \( \alpha \)-lactalbumin alone is present in LMPC-F and LMPC-F\(_G\). This can be explained by the fact that microbial hydrolysis is not able to reduce the antigenicity of \( \alpha \)-lactalbumin in samples.

### 3.7.2. Allergenicity

In Figure 12D, the allergenicity of proteins and peptides in different samples is represented. In LMPC, immunoglobulin, lactoferrin, lactoperoxidase, bovine serum albumin, a dimer of \( \beta \)-lactoglobulin, conjugated \( \kappa \)-casein and \( \alpha \)-lactalbumin, or conjugated \( \beta \)-lactoglobulin and \( \alpha \)-lactalbumin, \( \alpha \)-casein, \( \beta \)-casein and \( \kappa \)-casein have strong interactions with the antibody, whereas monomeric \( \beta \)-lactoglobulin has a weak interaction. No detectable interaction between monomeric \( \alpha \)-lactalbumin with the antibody is observed (Lane 4). During the preparation of UHT skimmed milk, the tertiary and quaternary configurations of \( \beta \)-lactoglobulin and \( \alpha \)-lactalbumin are turned to unfold due to heat treatment and, as a result, conformational epitopes in the protein structure are exposed [126,127]. In addition to this protein unfolding and aggregation, the Maillard reaction between the lysine residue in epitopes in \( \beta \)-lactoglobulin and \( \alpha \)-lactalbumin, and aldehyde/ketone group in lactose takes place, which may be responsible for reducing the allergenicity of \( \beta \)-lactoglobulin and \( \alpha \)-lactalbumin [128,129]. Furthermore, it has been reported that at pH 6.5, the denaturation temperature of \( \alpha \)-lactalbumin is 61 °C, whereas the denaturation temperatures of other major whey proteins, such as \( \beta \)-lactoglobulin and bovine serum albumin, are 75.9 °C and 71.9 °C, respectively [130]. Protein folding and unfolding depend on temperature. The appearance of allergens in extended shelf-life milk and unheated natural milk is quite different from UHT milk. It has been shown that the denaturation of \( \beta \)-lactoglobulin and \( \alpha \)-lactalbumin is quite low in extended shelf-life milk compared to UHT milk [131]. Concerning the abovementioned biochemical phenomena, it may be supposed that during UHT skimmed-milk processing, \( \alpha \)-lactalbumin and \( \beta \)-lactoglobulin have lost their allergenic epitopes. However, in a clinical study with 20 children (median age 4 months), it was also found that the allergenic responses of \( \alpha S1 \)-casein, \( \alpha S2 \)-casein, \( \beta \)-casein, \( \kappa \)-casein, \( \beta \)-lactoglobulin, bovine serum albumin, Immunoglobulin-G heavy chain and lactoferrin were 55%, 90%, 15%, 50%, 45%, 45%, 95%, and 50%, respectively, while \( \alpha \)-lactalbumin did not offer any allergic response in the Western-blotting (IgE-immunoreactive spots in the 2-DE maps) [132]. However, in another investigation with UHT skimmed milk and human pooled sera from cow’s milk allergic patients, it was found that lactoferrin, lactoperoxidase, and bovine serum albumin had a strong interaction with the antibody, while casein had a weaker interaction. No detectable allergenicity was found for \( \beta \)-lactoglobulin and \( \alpha \)-lactalbumin [133]. It is noted, however, that all proteins in LMPC, except \( \alpha \)-lactalbumin, have allergenicity, although their allergenicity is reduced in LMPC-T-0.008 (Lane 5). However, the remaining allergenicity in caseins and conjugated \( \kappa \)-casein and \( \alpha \)-lactoglobulin, or conjugated \( \beta \)-lactoglobulin and \( \alpha \)-lactalbumin, or the dimer of \( \beta \)-lactoglobulin is still present in LMPC-T-0.016 (Lane 8), whereas the allergenicity of the abovementioned proteins is almost lost in LMPC-T-0.032 (Lane 11). Comparing lane 5, lane 6 and lane 7, it is notable that the allergenicity of proteins in LMPC-T-0.008 is removed in a significant way after microbial hydrolysis. Interestingly, it can be seen that although allergenicity is present in LMPC-T-0.016 (lane 8), no detectable allergenicity is
noted after microbial hydrolysis (lane 9 and lane 10). This can be explained by the fact that sequential tryptic and lactic acid bacteria fermentation can induce the degradation of allergens in milk proteins. Proteins and peptides with allergenicity are not detected in LMPC-T-0.032-F and LMPC-T-0.032-FG. Similar to LMPC, allergenicity is shown in LMPC-F and LMPC-FG. This can be explained by the fact that the allergenicity of proteins in LMPC cannot be removed by microbial fermentation.

Other pioneering researchers have also reported the degradation of allergenic epitopes in milk proteins and whey proteins by *Lactobacillus bulgaricus* and *Streptococcus thermophilus* [134–136]. The reduction of antigenicity in protein depends on (a) the presence of epitopes (linear and conformational epitopes) in the protein structure, (b) a native source of protein, (c) the type of enzyme, (d) the concentration of the enzyme, (e) hydrolysis time, and (f) the operating condition of hydrolysis [137]. This is the first attempt, to the best of our knowledge, to prepare peptides with an antioxidant capacity, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitory activity, antibacterial activity, and hypoallergenic properties from LMPC by sequential tryptic and microbial hydrolysis. Therefore, our results are not comparable with already published results.

4. Conclusions

In the present investigation, peptides with an antioxidant capacity, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitory activity, antibacterial activity, and hypoallergenic properties can be produced by the sequential tryptic and microbial hydrolysis of LMPC. For this study, LMPC was prepared from UHT skimmed cow’s milk by a tubular nanofiltration membrane, placed in a crossflow membrane housing. The total filtration time, the reduction of permeate flux from initial flux, and the concentration of total protein in LMPC were 4.9 h, 22% and 56 g L⁻¹, respectively, in the case of the membrane filtration process with continuous feeding to maintain the volume reduction factor of 2. Subsequently, the tryptic and microbial hydrolysis of LMPC were adopted. According to sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, the lower molecular weight of peptides was produced due to the trypsin treatment, in a concentration-dependent manner. The dissociation of the hydrogen bond with time progression during the tryptic hydrolysis reaction was noted in an aquagram because of the presence of a hydrogen bond in nucleophile and serine, and the formation of a tetrahedral enzyme-substrate intermediate with a hydrogen bond play a major role in the trypsin-catalyzed proteolysis reaction. The proteolytic system of lactic acid bacteria hydrolyzes the peptide bonds in proteins and, subsequently, peptides are transported to the biotic phase of lactic acid bacteria. Peptides are converted to different metabolites, such as amino acids, amines, sulfur compounds, and lactic acids, through different metabolic pathways. According to the aquagrams of microbial hydrolysis, there was a dissociation of the hydrogen bond in compounds during the initial period of fermentation and, subsequently, the formation of compounds with a hydrogen bond. The formation of compounds with a hydrogen bond was more noticeable when microbial hydrolysis was performed using glucose, due to the formation of nitrogenous metabolites and lactic acid, which can bind with proteins/peptides and water molecules. According to the electron donation capacity to reduce an oxidant (DPPH and FRAP assays), the antioxidant capacity was increased, due to the tryptic hydrolysis of LMPC. The non-competitive inhibition of angiotensin-converting enzyme activity was shown by LMPC-T, LMPC-T-F and LMPC-T-FG. Due to the trypsin digestion of LMPC, antibacterial activity against *Bacillus cereus* and *Staphylococcus aureus* was shown. Furthermore, when LMPC was fermented with lactic acid bacteria, antimicrobial activity was additionally found against *Listeria monocytogenes*. Antibacterial activity against mentioned microbes was increased in LMPC-T-FG compared to LMPC-T-F. The antigenicity of casein against Rb anti-casein was reduced due to the trypsic hydrolysis of LMPC. Furthermore, the antigenicity of casein against Rb anti-casein was reduced, due to microbial hydrolysis. The antigenicity of α-lactalbumin and β-lactoglobulin was still detected after tryptic and microbial hydrolysis. Although allergenicity in LMPC with human pool serum was present after treatment with 0.016 g L⁻¹
of trypsin, no detectable allergenicity was found after fermentation. However, it was found that although glucose in microbial fermentation has an effect on the formation of peptides with an antioxidant capacity, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitory activity and antibacterial activity, it has no influence on the microbial hydrolysis of proteins and the reduction of antigenicity and allergenicity of proteins and peptides.

In this investigation, the concentration of proteins was determined by the Bradford assay. There are many limitations to this assay, including a preference for lysine and arginine and sensitivity to aromatic residues. These limitations make the assay inaccurate. In particular, the concentration of digested proteins, e.g., peptides with a molecular weight lower than 3000–5000 Da, may be underestimated. The present research was performed in a laboratory-scale setup. We believe that the results from the laboratory-scale setup will provide an initial idea of the production of bioactive peptides from LMPC on an industrial scale. It may be supposed that the present research may receive attention from both the academic sector and the food industry.
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