A review of literature and meta-analysis of one-puncture success rate in radiofrequency thermocoagulation with different guidance techniques for trigeminal neuralgia
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Abstract

Objectives: Radiofrequency thermocoagulation (RFT) is a type of Gasserian ganglion-level ablative intervention that is used for the treatment of trigeminal neuralgia. Guidance technologies are used to assist in the cannulation of the foramen ovale (FO) or foramen rotundum (FR) target. We conducted a systematic review to assess the value of different guidance technologies for RFT.

Methods: We searched PubMed, Embase, the Cochrane database, Web of Science, and PROSPERO for studies published from January 2005 until December 2020. Randomized or nonrandomized comparative studies and nonrandomized studies without internal controls were included. The Cochrane Risk of Bias Tool and the nonrandomized studies of interventions-I tool were used to assess individual study characteristics and overall quality.

Results: Our query identified 765 publications, and we were able to analyze 11 studies on patients suffering from trigeminal neuralgia. Only one study involved randomized controlled trials, whereas the others featured nonrandomized designs, predominantly before-and-after comparisons. Most of them were observational studies. A total of 222 participants were included, with a median number (range) of 20 (3–53) participants. The objective response rate (ORR) of the one-puncture success rate of RFT using puncture guidance for trigeminal neuralgia was 92% [95% CI (0.79–1), $P < 0.001$]. Statistically significant differences were observed in the cannulation and operation times between the guided and manual puncture groups ($P < 0.001$).

Conclusions: RFT with puncture guidance technology has an absolute advantage in puncturing the foramen ovale or foramen rotundum.
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Introduction

Trigeminal neuralgia (TN) is one of the most painful conditions afflicting humans [1]. Percutaneous procedures, including radiofrequency thermocoagulation (RFT) [2], percutaneous balloon compression (PBC) [3], and glycerol rhizolysis (GR) [4], should be the preferred choice for TN with no vascular contact [5]. RFT is a type of Gasserian ganglion-level ablative intervention [6] that...
was first developed by Réthi in 1913 [7]. RFT applies radiofrequency heat lesions to block the trigeminal ganglion through puncture of the foramen ovale or the foramen rotundum.

In RFT, the target neuron in the ganglion is located via sensory stimulation to avoid an inadvertent neurolytic block of the unaffected branches [8]. However, manual puncture procedures always involve multiple punctures and adjustments of stimulation, which increase the suffering of patients and could cause serious complications [9–12]. Accurate cannulation of the FO target even to the Gasserian ganglion (GG) target is an important part of determining the success or failure of the procedures. Techniques and applications used in solving this problem include neuronavigation [13], 3D templates [14], and stereotactic guidance [15, 16]. Neuronavigation is a technology which provides visual puncture guidance during the cannulation. 3D templates technology provides a cannulation trajectory through a 3D printed template placing on the patient’s face. Stereotactic provides trajectory through a frame on the head. Surgeons choose different guidance techniques according to their personal preferences. However, there is no article that gives an overall evaluation of these technologies. Here, we review the one-puncture success rate and efficacy to assess the value of different guidance methods for RFT.

Materials and methods
This systematic review was conducted in accordance with the PRISMA guidelines, the checklist for which was completed [17].

Protocol and registration
This study was registered in the PROSPERO database (Registration Number: CRD42020201479).

Search strategy
We searched for English articles in PubMed, Embase, the Cochrane database, Web of Science, and PROSPERO that were published from January 1, 2005, to December 31, 2020. The databases were queried using the following search terms: “trigeminal neuralgia,” “radiofrequency thermocoagulation,” “neuronavigation,” “3D printing,” and “stereotactic.” The search was limited to articles on human studies. We demonstrated the search strategy applied using the PubMed search engine as an example. We also used the reference lists of relevant articles to search for articles.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria
Studies with TN patients treated by radiofrequency thermocoagulation at the Gasserian ganglion were enrolled in the study. RFT with neuronavigation, 3D template, or stereotactic guidance was directed to the Gasserian ganglion, and data on the puncture success rate of each were collected. Any type of comparator was eligible, including manual puncture, fluoroscopy guidance, or none.

Selection of studies and data extraction
Randomized trials and nonrandomized studies including those without an internal control group (cohort or case series) were included. No publication date restrictions were imposed. Two authors independently assessed titles and abstracts retrieved via database searches (WZM, WZJ), as well as full texts of potentially relevant studies. Any discrepancies between the authors were resolved by the involvement of a third author (LK). Two authors (WZM, WZJ) independently extracted the following information from each study: name of the first author; year of publication; study design; comparator; inclusion and exclusion criteria; number of participants; follow-up period; guidance method; temperature and time of RFT; complications; and results on puncture accuracy.

Outcome measures
The primary outcome measure was the one-puncture success rate. Secondary outcomes included puncture time and operation time to describe the puncture accuracy at the puncture location.

Statistical analysis
Stata 16.0 was used for meta-analysis. The heterogeneity among the results of the included studies was analyzed using the $\chi^2$ test (the test level was $\alpha = 0.1$), and the magnitude of the heterogeneity was quantitatively judged in conjunction with $I^2$. If no statistical heterogeneity was found between the results of each study, the fixed-effects model was used for the meta-analysis. However, if statistical heterogeneity was observed between the results of each study, the random-effects model was used for meta-analysis. Obvious clinical heterogeneity was evaluated by descriptive analysis. The test level of the meta-analysis was set to $\alpha = 0.05$. Begg's and Egger's methods were used to test for publication bias.

Risk of bias
Two authors with formal training in assessing medical literature according to the principles of evidence-based medicine (WZM and YY) assessed the risk of bias of included randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and non-RCTs using the Cochrane Risk of Bias Tool [18] and the nonrandomized studies of interventions-I tool, respectively [19]. Discrepancies were resolved by the third author (LK).
**Results**

**Study selection**

We retrieved 765 records via an electronic database search and 10 records via searches of reference lists, citations, and other reviews. After deduplication, 545 unique records were screened against the eligibility criteria, of which the full texts of 31 manuscripts were analyzed. Ultimately, 11 manuscripts were analyzed in this systematic review (Fig. 1). The characteristics of the included studies are described in Table 1. The studies involved various designs, including eight retrospective cohort studies, 1 RCT, one case report, and one case series.

**Risk of bias and quality of evidence in included studies**

Only one RCT was included in the study [20]. The random-sequence generation domain was low for a particular randomization method. The allocation concealment domain had a high risk of bias, because the surgeon knew the patients’ histories, since double-or triple-blinding is difficult to achieve in surgical observation studies. Since we used objective evaluation indicators, we believed that it would not affect the results. We defined a low risk of bias for the domains “blinding of participants and personnel” and “blinding of outcome assessment” in the study. A low risk of bias was defined for the domain “incomplete outcome data.” Since no patients were lost to follow-up, the selective reporting bias was low. We further defined a low risk for other biases. The nonrandomized studies of the interventions-I tool were used to assess the risk of bias of the other 10 studies. The results of this assessment are shown in Fig. 2.

---

**Fig. 1 Study flowchart**

- Records identified through database searching (n = 512)
- Additional records identified through other sources (n = 10)
- Records after duplicates removed (n = 454)
- Records screened (n = 454)
- Full-text articles excluded without primary outcome measures (n = 423)
- Full-text articles excluded, with Insufficient data (n = 13)
- Studies included in qualitative synthesis (n = 18)
- Studies included in quantitative synthesis (meta-analysis) (n = 11)
Meta-analysis outcomes
Nine studies were included in calculating the one-puncture success rate (20–28). The random-effects meta-analysis results showed that the ORR of the one-puncture success rate using puncture guidance in radiofrequency thermocoagulation for TN was 92% (95% CI 0.79–1; P < 0.001) (Fig. 3). Subgroup analysis results showed that the ORR of neuronavigation was 69% (95% CI 0.53–0.84; P < 0.001) (Fig. 4). The ORR of the stereotactic technique was 99% (95% CI 0.79–1; P < 0.001) (Fig. 5). The ORR of the 3D template was 93% (95% CI 0.79–1; P < 0.001) (Fig. 6). The publication bias test results suggest no obvious publication bias (Begg’s test, P = 1; Egger’s test P = 0.77).

Three studies were included in the meta-analysis comparing the cannulation time between the guided and manual puncture groups [20–22]. The results suggest a statistically significant difference in the cannulation time between the two groups (heterogeneity display, I² = 98%; P < 0.001) (Fig. 7A).

Five studies were included in the meta-analysis comparing the operation time between the guided and manual puncture groups [20–24]. The results showed a statistically significant difference in the operation time between the two groups (heterogeneity display, I² = 99%; P < 0.001) (Fig. 7B).

Discussion
Neuronavigation, 3D template, and stereotactic guidance all played important roles in successfully puncturing the FO, especially in difficult-to-access FO. In three studies, patients in whom FO puncture was unsuccessful or difficult to access underwent CT navigation instead, and the one-puncture success rates were 75% [25], 75% [26],
Fig. 2 Overall risk of bias summary of nonrandomized studies

Fig. 3 Forest plot of the ORR of the one-puncture success rate using puncture guidance in radiofrequency thermocoagulation for TN
Fig. 4 Forest plot of the ORR of the one-puncture success rate using neuronavigation

Fig. 5 Forest plot of the ORR of the one-puncture success rate using the stereotactic technique
and 100% [27]. Cause the patients involved in these articles were all with difficult-to-access FO, the one-puncture success rate would be higher if consecutive patients were treated. The other studies listed in Table 1 included consecutive patients; thus, their one-puncture success rates should be higher. Few studies on manual puncture reported the one-puncture success rate and only gave the overall success rate regardless of the number of

Fig. 6 Forest plot of the ORR of the one-puncture success rate using the 3D template

Fig. 7 Forest plot of the cannulation time between the guided and manual puncture groups (A). Forest plot of the operation time between the guided and manual puncture groups (B)
punctures. However, Zhao reported a one-puncture success rate of 25% for manual puncture [22].

The 3D template technology method achieved the shortest puncture time of approximately 1 min [20, 28], with a one-puncture success rate of 72.7–100% [20, 22, 28]. There were two studies compared 3D template with manual cannulation in one-puncture success, the results were 88.24% versus 19.05% [21] and 93.75% versus 25% [23]. Thus, this method substantially improves the puncture success rate, shortens the operation time. However, 3D templates require a long preparation time and are costly. The cannulation and operation times showed comparative significance between the groups. The results for the same guidance method varied significantly among studies due to the involvement of different surgeons with different surgical proficiencies. Therefore, we recommend using more objective indicators, such as the one-puncture success rate and puncture times, to compare puncture methods in future studies.

Stereotactic technology for puncturing the trigeminal ganglion was first reported in 1932 [15, 29]. Stereotactic techniques for TN are more commonly used in radiosurgery [30–32]. The frameless stereotactic technique demonstrated a one-puncture success rate of 100% [33, 34]. The advantage of frameless stereotactic techniques over framed stereotactic techniques is that they do not require invasive head fixation with pins, although this decreases the accuracy [35]. As the equipment has improved, the accuracy of frameless stereotactic methods has also become comparable to that of framed stereotactic methods [13, 36, 37]. A total of 18 patients were included in two frameless stereotactic technology studies [33, 34]. No studies of frameless stereotactic methods for RFT or PBC have been conducted since 2009. Whether the accuracy of frameless stereotactic methods is sufficient to puncture the FO needs further study.

All CT methods provide precise FO localization and allow simultaneous needle manipulation, although they also increase the radiation exposure to patients. CT navigation achieved one-puncture success rates of 64.3–100% [25, 27, 38–40]. The disadvantage of CT navigation is that additional time is required for registration during the operation. Compared with CT navigation, magnetic resonance navigation provides better identification of the vasculature, thereby reducing complications [41]. Chen et al. [42] introduced an electromagnetic navigation technique for guiding puncture needle placement in the FO. They did not use a control group, but they believed that electromagnetic navigation made puncture simpler, safer, faster, more accurate, and less invasive. Three studies claimed that guidance technology could reduce the cannulation time compared with manual puncture [20–22], whereas five studies claimed that guidance technology could reduce the operation time compared with manual puncture [20–24]. Regardless of which guidance technology is used, preoperative preparation does take more time. However, the intraoperative cannulation process is shorter, especially repeated cannulation and repeated verification after cannulation.

Neuronavigation technology can improve safety, reduce the incidence of complications, increase the puncture success rate, and improve the learning curve of surgeons who have only begun performing this procedure. However, muscles can bend the probe electrodes and cause major artifacts when the surgeon adjusts the direction of the needle during the puncture process. Neuronavigation technology has the highest ORR for the one-puncture success rate, followed by 3D templates and neuronavigation. However, the data are currently insufficient to confirm which guidance method has better accuracy. Neuronavigation provides superior visual–spatial information and greater operational freedom, whereas 3D template technology needs a close fit between the guide template and the face. Compared with framed stereotactic fixation, facial soft tissue fixation is far less stable than skeletal fixation. However, considering that optical systems still rely on freehand navigation, we expect that stereotactic and 3D templates will improve puncture accuracy.

In terms of the initial pain relief rate, one study achieved statistical significance [20], and one did not [23]. Although Zheng et al. [23] reported that the BNI (Barrow Neurological Institute) Pain Intensity Scale showed no difference in the initial pain relief rate, significant differences were observed in the BNI-I. In terms of the long-term pain relief rate, two studies showed statistical significance [20, 24], and one did not [23]. In terms of patient satisfaction, two studies showed statistical significance [20, 24]. In terms of postoperative complications, two studies showed statistical significance [20, 22], whereas one did not [21]. In terms of recurrence rate, one study showed statistical significance [24], and two did not [22, 23].

In the studies by Wang et al. [21] and Zhang et al. [22], 3D template technology for RFT was used for V2 TN, but the puncture target point was the foramen rotundum instead of the FO. They suggested that 3D template
technology for RFT was a good choice for isolated V2 TNs via the foramen rotundum.

We found other guidance methods that are not included in Table 1. Meng et al. [43] described virtual reality-assisted RFT, although they did not record the one-puncture success rate, puncture time, or operation time. Tsi et al. [44] used intraoperative CT with magnetic resonance image fusion to guide RFT. This method improved the 2 year pain relief and avoided puncture-related complications. Brandmeir et al. [45] reported a case of robot-assisted stereotactic PBC. However, they did not record the one-puncture success rate, puncture time, or operation time.

Accurate puncture positioning improves patient satisfaction. However, no consensus has been reached with regard to postoperative complications, recurrence rate, initial pain relief rate, or long-term efficacy. With the help of various auxiliary puncture systems, puncture approaches at different angles are no longer dependent on surgeons’ skill and experience. Individualized and customized surgical plans can greatly reduce the difficulty of surgery. Accurate cannulation can reduce the temperature and duration of radiofrequency thermocoagulation and achieve better treatment results. Considering that optical systems still rely on freehand navigation, we believe these results are reasonable. Due to the specificity of foramen ovale puncture and the extremely low tolerance for target site selection, we expect that stereotactic and 3D templates will improve puncture accuracy.

**Limitation**

Main weakness of these results is that none of the studies are comparing any two methods. This means that all the surgeons are different from each other and surgeon experience is one of the key determinants of the one-puncture success rate, operation and cannulation time. In this systematic review, 11 studies were analyzed; however, for subgroup analysis, the results seems weak. There may have been reporting bias or incomplete retrieval or inadvertent exclusion of relevant studies.

**Conclusions**

Neuronavigation, 3D template, and stereotactic guidance all have an absolute advantage in assisting in puncturing the FO, can improve the one-puncture success rate, the learning curve, and safety and reduce the incidence of complications and the puncture, cannulation, and operation times. The accuracies of the one-puncture success rate (ORR) of the stereotactic technique, 3D template and neuronavigation were 99%, 93% and 69%, respectively. In any case, RFT with puncture guidance is a good currently available treatment option, especially for difficult-to-access FO.
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