Weakly-nonlinear solution of coupled Boussinesq equations and radiating solitary waves
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Abstract

Weakly-nonlinear waves in a layered waveguide with an imperfect interface (soft bonding between the layers) can be modelled using coupled Boussinesq equations. We assume that the materials of the layers have close mechanical properties, in which case the system can support radiating solitary waves. We construct a weakly-nonlinear d’Alembert-type solution of this system, considering the problem in the class of periodic functions on an interval of finite length. The solution is constructed using a novel multiple-scales procedure involving fast characteristic variables and two slow time variables. Asymptotic validity of the solution is carefully examined numerically. We also discuss the limiting case of an infinite interval for localised initial conditions. The solution is applied to study interactions of radiating solitary waves.
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1 Introduction

Low-frequency wave propagation in solids is relevant to a large number of modern applications (see, for example, [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7] and references therein). Long longitudinal bulk strain solitary waves in elastic waveguides can be modelled using Boussinesq-type equations [1, 2, 8] (see also [5, 9, 10, 11, 12]). The stability of bulk strain solitons makes them an attractive candidate for the introscopy of layered structures, in particular delamination, in addition to the existing methods [13, 14, 15, 16, 17].

The dynamical behaviour of layered structures depends both on the properties of the bulk material in the layers, and on the type of the bonding between the layers. If the materials of the layers have similar properties and the bonding between the layers is sufficiently soft (“imperfect interface”), then the bulk strain soliton is replaced with a radiating solitary wave, a solitary wave with a co-propagating oscillatory tail [14, 18, 19].

Long nonlinear longitudinal bulk strain waves in a bi-layer with a sufficiently soft bonding can be modelled with a system of coupled regularised Boussinesq (cRB) equations [18] (given below in non-dimensional and scaled form):

\[
\begin{align*}
    u_{tt} - u_{xx} &= \epsilon \left[ \frac{1}{2} (u^2)_{xx} + u_{ttxx} - \delta (u - w) \right], \\
    w_{tt} - c^2 w_{xx} &= \epsilon \left[ \frac{\alpha}{2} (w^2)_{xx} + \beta w_{ttxx} + \gamma (u - w) \right],
\end{align*}
\]

where \(\alpha, \beta, \delta, \gamma\) are coefficients depending on the mechanical and geometrical properties of a waveguide, \(\epsilon\) is a small amplitude parameter, and \(c\) is the ratio of the characteristic linear wave speeds in the layers. We assume that the materials of the layers have close mechanical properties, and therefore \(c^2 - 1 = O(\epsilon)\).

We consider the initial-value (Cauchy) problem, and the initial conditions are written as

\[
\begin{align*}
    u(x, 0) &= F_1(x), & u_t(x, 0) &= V_1(x), \\
    w(x, 0) &= F_2(x), & w_t(x, 0) &= V_2(x).
\end{align*}
\]

The dispersion relation is given by the bi-quadratic equation [18]:

\[
\begin{align*}
    \omega^4 (1 + \epsilon \beta k^2) (1 + \epsilon k^2) \\
    - \omega^2 \left[ (1 + \epsilon \beta k^2) (\epsilon \delta + k^2) + (1 + \epsilon k^2) (\gamma \epsilon + c^2 k^2) \right] + \epsilon \left( \gamma + \delta \epsilon^2 \right) k^2 + c^2 k^4 &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]

where \(k\) is the wave number, and \(\omega\) is the wave frequency. The two roots of this equation give two modes: acoustic and optical. The acoustic mode has the dispersion relation \(\omega = \omega_a(k)\) satisfying the two asymptotic approximations

\[
\omega_a^2(k) = \frac{\gamma + \delta \epsilon^2}{\gamma + \delta} k^2 + O(k^4) \quad \text{as} \quad k \to 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_a^2(k) = \frac{c^2}{\epsilon \beta} + O(k^{-2}) \quad \text{as} \quad k \to \infty.
\]

The optical mode has the dispersion relation \(\omega = \omega_o(k)\) satisfying the two asymptotic approximations

\[
\omega_o^2(k) = \epsilon (\delta + \gamma) + O(k^2) \quad \text{as} \quad k \to 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_o^2(k) = \frac{1}{\epsilon} + O(k^{-2}) \quad \text{as} \quad k \to \infty.
\]

Pure propagating solitary waves are not supported by the coupled system and are replaced with long-living radiating solitary waves [18, 19].
In this paper we revisit the weakly-nonlinear solution of the Cauchy problem for the coupled Boussinesq-type equations constructed in [20] with the view of extending the applicability of the solution to initial conditions with non-zero mass. We use the novel multiple-scales procedure recently developed in [21].

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we construct a weakly-nonlinear solution of the problem using asymptotic multiple-scales expansions for the deviations from the oscillating mean values (similarly to [21, 22]), using fast characteristic variables and two slow time variables [21]. The validity of the constructed solution is examined in Section 3, where we compare it with direct numerical simulations of the Cauchy problem. We use both the constructed weakly-nonlinear solution and direct numerical simulations to study the interaction of two radiating solitary waves in Section 4 and conclude in Section 5. Numerical methods used in these studies are described in Appendix A.

2 Weakly Nonlinear D’Alembert-Type Solution

Following our earlier work [21, 22], we consider the equation system (1.1) - (1.2) on the periodic domain \( x \in [-L, L] \) and adjust the asymptotic expansions to the coupled system of Boussinesq-type equations. Firstly, we integrate (1.1) - (1.2) in \( x \) over the period \( 2L \) to obtain an evolution equation of the form

\[
\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \int_{-L}^{L} u(x, t) \, dx + \epsilon \delta \int_{-L}^{L} (u(x, t) - w(x, t)) = 0, \tag{2.1}
\]

\[
\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \int_{-L}^{L} w(x, t) \, dx - \epsilon \gamma \int_{-L}^{L} (u(x, t) - w(x, t)) = 0. \tag{2.2}
\]

Denoting the mean value of \( u \) and \( w \) as

\[
\bar{u}(t) := \frac{1}{2L} \int_{-L}^{L} u(x, t) \, dx, \quad \bar{w}(t) := \frac{1}{2L} \int_{-L}^{L} w(x, t) \, dx, \tag{2.3}
\]

we solve this system to describe the evolution of the mean values:

\[
\bar{u} = d_1 + \delta d_2 \cos \omega t + d_3 t + \delta d_4 \sin \omega t, \tag{2.4}
\]

\[
\bar{w} = d_1 - \gamma d_2 \cos \omega t + d_3 t - \gamma d_4 \sin \omega t. \tag{2.5}
\]

Taking the mean value of the initial conditions (1.3), (1.4) we obtain

\[
d_1 = \frac{\gamma \tilde{F}_1 + \delta \tilde{F}_2}{\delta + \gamma}, \quad d_2 = \frac{\tilde{F}_1 - \tilde{F}_2}{\delta + \gamma}, \quad d_3 = \frac{\gamma \tilde{V}_1 + \delta \tilde{V}_2}{\omega (\delta + \gamma)}, \quad d_4 = \frac{\tilde{V}_1 - \tilde{V}_2}{\omega (\delta + \gamma)}, \tag{2.6}
\]

where we used the notation \( \omega = \sqrt{\epsilon (\delta + \gamma)} \) (not to be confused with the wave frequency, which is not used any more) and

\[
\tilde{F}_i = \int_{-L}^{L} F_i(x) \, dx, \quad \tilde{V}_i = \int_{-L}^{L} V_i(x) \, dx, \quad i = 1, 2. \tag{2.7}
\]

To avoid linear growth in the mean value we require that \( d_3 = 0 \), corresponding to

\[
\gamma \tilde{V}_1 = -\delta \tilde{V}_2. \tag{2.8}
\]
In the following we consider initial conditions that satisfy the stricter condition $d_3 = d_4 = 0$, that is

\[ \frac{1}{2L} \int_{-L}^{L} V_i \, dx = 0, \quad i = 1, 2. \]  

(2.9)

The latter condition appears naturally in many physical applications, and we impose it here in order to simplify our derivations.

The calculated mean values are subtracted from $u$ and $w$ to obtain an equation with zero mean value. We take $\tilde{u} = u - \bar{u}$ and $\tilde{w} = w - \bar{w}$ to obtain the modified evolution problem for the deviations from the mean values:

\[ \tilde{u}_{tt} - \tilde{w}_{xx} = \epsilon \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\tilde{w})_{xx} + (d_1 + \delta d_2 \cos \omega t) \tilde{u}_{xx} + \tilde{u}_{ttxx} - \delta (\tilde{u} - \tilde{w}) \right], \]  

(2.10)

\[ \tilde{w}_{tt} - \epsilon^2 \tilde{w}_{xx} = \epsilon \left[ \frac{\alpha}{2} (\tilde{w})_{xx} + \alpha (d_1 - \gamma d_2 \cos \omega t) \tilde{w}_{xx} + \beta \tilde{w}_{ttxx} + \gamma (\tilde{u} - \tilde{w}) \right]. \]  

(2.11)

The initial conditions take the form

\[ \tilde{u}(x, 0) = \tilde{F}_1(x) = F_1(x) - \bar{u}, \quad \tilde{u}_t(x, 0) = \tilde{V}_1(x) = V_1(x), \]  

(2.12)

\[ \tilde{w}(x, 0) = \tilde{F}_2(x) = F_2(x) - \bar{w}, \quad \tilde{w}_t(x, 0) = \tilde{V}_2(x) = V_2(x), \]  

(2.13)

and, by construction, have zero mean value. We omit the tildes in what follows.

In this paper we will consider the case when the phase speeds are close, characterised by $c^2 - 1 = O(\epsilon)$. In this case the waves are resonant and an initial solitary wave solution in both layers will evolve into a *radiating solitary wave*, that is a solitary wave with a co-propagating one-sided oscillatory tail [18]. Therefore we rearrange (2.11) so that the same characteristic variable can be used in both equations, obtaining

\[ u_{tt} - u_{xx} = \epsilon \left[ \frac{1}{2} (u^2)_{xx} + (d_1 + \delta d_2 \cos \omega t) u_{xx} + \beta u_{ttxx} - \delta (u - w) \right], \]  

(2.14)

\[ w_{tt} - w_{xx} = \epsilon \left[ \frac{\alpha}{2} (w^2)_{xx} + \alpha \left( d_1 - \gamma d_2 \cos \omega t + \frac{c^2 - 1}{\epsilon} \right) w_{xx} + \beta w_{ttxx} + \gamma (u - w) \right], \]  

(2.15)

where we note that $(c^2 - 1)/\epsilon = O(1)$. We look for a weakly-nonlinear solution of the form

\[ u(x, t) = f_1^{-}(\xi_-, \tau, T) + f_1^{+}(\xi_+, \tau, T) + \sqrt{\epsilon} P_1(\xi_-, \xi_+, \tau, T) + \epsilon Q_1(\xi_-, \xi_+, \tau, T) + O \left( \epsilon^{\frac{5}{2}} \right), \]  

(2.16)

\[ w(x, t) = f_2^{-}(\xi_-, \tau, T) + f_2^{+}(\xi_+, \tau, T) + \sqrt{\epsilon} P_2(\xi_-, \xi_+, \tau, T) + \epsilon Q_2(\xi_-, \xi_+, \tau, T) + O \left( \epsilon^{\frac{5}{2}} \right), \]  

(2.17)

where we introduce fast characteristic variables and two slow time variables [21]

\[ \xi_\pm = x \pm t, \quad \tau = \sqrt{\epsilon} t, \quad T = \epsilon t. \]

We aim to construct the d’Alembert-type solution on the periodic domain, similarly to [21]. Therefore we substitute (2.16) into (2.14) and (2.17) into (2.15) then collect terms at powers of $\sqrt{\epsilon}$ to determine expressions for all functions in the expansion.

Here, $u$ and $w$ are $2L$-periodic functions in $x$, therefore we require that $f_{1,2}$ and $f_{1,2}^\pm$ are periodic in $\xi_-$ and $\xi_+$ respectively, and that all terms in the asymptotic expansions are products
of the functions $f_{1,2}^\pm$ and their derivatives. Therefore all functions are periodic in $\xi_-, \xi_+$ at fixed $\xi_+, \xi_-$. Also, as the functions $f_{1,2}^\pm$ have zero mean i.e.

$$\frac{1}{2L} \int_{-L}^{L} f_{1,2}^\pm d\xi_\pm = 0,$$

(2.18)

then all functions in the expansion have zero mean.

We now collect terms at increasing powers of $\sqrt{\epsilon}$. The equation is satisfied at leading order so we move on to $O(\sqrt{\epsilon})$. For the equation in $u$ we have

$$-4P_1\xi_-\xi_+ - 2f_{1,2}^- + 2f_{1,2}^+ = 0.$$  
(2.19)

To obtain equations for $f_{1,2}^\pm$ we average (2.19) with respect to the fast spatial variable $x$ at constant $\xi_-$ and $\xi_+$ (see [20, 21]). Let us first consider the averaging of $P_1$. At constant $\xi_-$ we have

$$\frac{1}{2L} \int_{-L}^{L} P_{1\xi_-\xi_+} \, dx = \frac{1}{4L} \int_{-2L}^{2L} P_{1\xi_-\xi_+} \, d\xi_+ = \frac{1}{4L} [P_{1\xi_-}]_{-2L}^{2L} = 0,$$  
(2.20)

and similarly for averaging at constant $\xi_+$. Therefore under the averaging we have $P_{1\xi_-\xi_+} = 0$. Applying the averaging to (2.19) we have two equations:

$$f_{1\xi_-\tau}^- = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad f_{1\xi_+\tau}^+ = 0,$$  
(2.21)

which implies that

$$f_1^- = \tilde{f}_1^- (\xi_-, T) + B^- (\tau, T) \quad \text{and} \quad f_1^+ = \tilde{f}_1^+ (\xi_+, T) + B^+ (\tau, T).$$  
(2.22)

As we have zero mean of all functions in the expansion, we have $B^\pm = 0$. Similarly for the equation in $w$,

$$-4P_{2\xi_-\xi_+} - 2f_{2\xi_-\tau}^- + 2f_{2\xi_+\tau}^+ = 0,$$  
(2.23)

which after averaging at constant $\xi_-$ or $\xi_+$ and applying the same reasoning as for $f_{1,2}^\pm$, we obtain

$$f_2^- = \tilde{f}_2^- (\xi_-, T) \quad \text{and} \quad f_2^+ = \tilde{f}_2^+ (\xi_+, T).$$  
(2.24)

Substituting (2.22) into (2.19) gives

$$P_{1\xi_-\xi_+} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad P_1 = g_1^- (\xi_-, \tau, T) + g_1^+ (\xi_+, \tau, T).$$  
(2.25)

Similarly substituting (2.24) into (2.23) gives

$$P_{2\xi_-\xi_+} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad P_2 = g_2^- (\xi_-, \tau, T) + g_2^+ (\xi_+, \tau, T).$$  
(2.26)

We omit the tildes on $f_{1,2}^\pm$ in subsequent steps. The initial condition for $f_{1,2}^\pm$ is found by substituting (2.16) into (2.12) and (2.17) into (2.13) and comparing terms at $O(1)$ to obtain d’Alembert-type formulae for $f_{1,2}^\pm$ of the form

$$\begin{cases} 
  f_1^- + f_1^+ \big|_{T=0} = \tilde{F}_1(x), \\
  -f_1^- + f_1^+ \big|_{T=0} = \tilde{V}_1(x),
\end{cases} \quad \Rightarrow \quad f_{1}^\pm |_{T=0} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \tilde{F}_1 (x \pm t) \pm \int_{-L}^{x+t} \tilde{V}_1 (\sigma) \, d\sigma \right),$$  
(2.27)

and

$$\begin{cases} 
  f_2^- + f_2^+ \big|_{T=0} = \tilde{F}_2(x), \\
  -f_2^- + f_2^+ \big|_{T=0} = \tilde{V}_2(x),
\end{cases} \quad \Rightarrow \quad f_{2}^\pm |_{T=0} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \tilde{F}_2 (x \pm t) \pm \int_{-L}^{x+t} \tilde{V}_2 (\sigma) \, d\sigma \right).$$  
(2.28)
We now move on to the terms at $O(\varepsilon)$, using the results from the previous order. For the equation governing $u$ we obtain

$$-4Q_{1\xi_-\xi_+} = 2g_{1\xi_-\tau} - \left(2f_{1T} + f_{1\xi_+} + d_{1}f_{1\xi_-} + f_{1\xi_-\xi_+\xi_-}\right)_{\xi_-} - \delta (f_{1} - f_{1\pm})$$

$$-2g_{1\xi_+\tau} + \left(-2f_{1T} + f_{1\xi_+} + d_{1}f_{1\xi_+} + f_{1\xi_+\xi_+\xi_+}\right)_{\xi_+} - \delta (f_{1} - f_{1\pm})$$

$$+ d_{2}\delta \cos(\tilde{\omega}t) \left(f_{1\xi_-\xi_-} + f_{1\xi_+\xi_+}\right) + f_{1\xi_-\xi_-}f_{1\xi_+\xi_+} + 2f_{1\xi_-}f_{1\xi_+} + f_{1\xi_-\xi_+}, \quad (2.29)$$

where we have introduced the notation $\tilde{\omega} = \sqrt{\delta + \gamma}$. Similarly for the equation governing $w$,

$$-4Q_{2\xi_-\xi_+} = 2g_{2\xi_-\tau} + \left(2f_{2\xi_+T} + \alpha f_{2\xi_-} + \alpha d_{1}f_{2\xi_-} + \beta f_{2\xi_-\xi_+\xi_-}\right)_{\xi_-} + \gamma (f_{1} - f_{1\pm})$$

$$-2g_{2\xi_+\tau} + \left(-2f_{2\xi_+T} + \alpha f_{2\xi_-} + \alpha d_{1}f_{2\xi_+} + \beta f_{2\xi_+\xi_+\xi_+}\right)_{\xi_+} + \gamma (f_{1} - f_{1\pm})$$

$$+ \left(\frac{c^2 - 1}{\varepsilon} - \alpha d_{2}\gamma \cos(\tilde{\omega}t) \right) \left(f_{2\xi_-\xi_-} + f_{2\xi_+\xi_+}\right)$$

$$+ \alpha \left(f_{2\xi_-\xi_-}f_{2\xi_+\xi_+} + 2f_{2\xi_-}f_{2\xi_+\xi_+} + f_{2\xi_+\xi_+}\right). \quad (2.30)$$

Averaging (2.29) and (2.30) at constant $\xi_-$ or constant $\xi_+$ gives the system of equations

$$\pm 2g_{1\xi_-\tau} = d_{2}\delta \cos(\tilde{\omega}t) f_{1\xi_-\xi_+} + A_{1}^{\pm} (\xi_{\pm}, T), \quad (2.31)$$

$$\pm 2g_{2\xi_+\tau} = -\alpha d_{2}\gamma \cos(\tilde{\omega}t) f_{2\xi_-\xi_+} + A_{2}^{\pm} (\xi_{\pm}, T), \quad (2.32)$$

where

$$A_{1}^{\pm} = \left(\mp 2f_{1T} + f_{1\xi_+} + d_{1}f_{1\xi_-} + f_{1\xi_-\xi_+\xi_-}\right)_{\xi_-} - \delta (f_{1} - f_{1\pm}), \quad (2.33)$$

and

$$A_{2}^{\pm} = \left(\mp 2f_{2\xi_+T} + \alpha f_{2\xi_-} + \alpha d_{1}f_{2\xi_+} + \beta f_{2\xi_+\xi_+\xi_+}\right)_{\xi_+} + \gamma (f_{1} - f_{1\pm}). \quad (2.34)$$

To avoid secular terms we require that $A_{1} = 0$ and $A_{2} = 0$. Therefore we get a system of coupled Ostrovsky equations for $f_{1,2}^{\pm}$, of the form

$$\left(\mp 2f_{1T} + f_{1\xi_+} + d_{1}f_{1\xi_-} + f_{1\xi_-\xi_+\xi_-}\right)_{\xi_-} = \delta (f_{1} - f_{1\pm}),$$

$$\left(\mp 2f_{2\xi_+T} + \alpha f_{2\xi_-} + \alpha d_{1}f_{2\xi_+} + \beta f_{2\xi_+\xi_+\xi_+}\right)_{\xi_+} = \gamma (f_{1} - f_{1\pm}). \quad (2.35)$$

Integrating (2.31) and (2.32) with these conditions applied we can find an equation for $g_{1,2}^{\pm}$ of the form

$$g_{1}^{\pm} = \mp \frac{d_{2}\delta}{2\tilde{\omega}} \sin(\tilde{\omega}t) f_{1\xi_-\xi_+}^{\pm} + G_{1}^{\pm} (\xi_{\pm}, T) = \mp \theta_{1} f_{1\xi_-}^{\pm} + G_{1}^{\pm} (\xi_{\pm}, T), \quad (2.36)$$

and

$$g_{2}^{\pm} = \mp \frac{\alpha d_{2}\gamma}{2\tilde{\omega}} \sin(\tilde{\omega}t) f_{2\xi_-\xi_+}^{\pm} + G_{2}^{\pm} (\xi_{\pm}, T) = \pm \theta_{2} f_{2\xi_-}^{\pm} + G_{2}^{\pm} (\xi_{\pm}, T), \quad (2.37)$$

where $G_{1}^{\pm}$ and $G_{2}^{\pm}$ are functions to be found and we introduce

$$\theta_{1} = \frac{d_{2}\delta}{2\tilde{\omega}} \sin(\tilde{\omega}t), \quad \theta_{2} = \frac{\alpha d_{2}\gamma}{2\tilde{\omega}} \sin(\tilde{\omega}t), \quad (2.38)$$
for convenience. Substituting (2.35) and (2.36) into (2.29) and integrating gives

$$Q_1 = h_1^- (\xi_-, \tau, T) + h_1^+ (\xi_+, \tau, T) + h_1c (\xi_-, \xi_+, T),$$

(2.39)

where

$$h_1c = -\frac{1}{4} \left( f_{1\xi}^- \int_{-L}^{\xi_+} f_1^+ (s) \, ds + 2f_{1\xi_+}^- + f_1^+ \int_{-L}^{\xi_+} f_1^- (s) \, ds \right).$$

(2.40)

Similarly substituting (2.35) and (2.37) into (2.30) and integrating gives

$$Q_2 = h_2^- (\xi_-, \tau, T) + h_2^+ (\xi_+, \tau, T) + h_2c (\xi_-, \xi_+, T),$$

(2.41)

where

$$h_2c = -\frac{\alpha}{4} \left( f_{2\xi}^- \int_{-L}^{\xi_+} f_2^+ (s) \, ds + 2f_{2\xi_+}^- + f_2^+ \int_{-L}^{\xi_+} f_2^- (s) \, ds \right).$$

(2.42)

The initial condition for $G_{1,2}^+$ is found by substituting (2.16) and (2.17) into (2.12) and (2.13) respectively, and comparing terms at $O(\sqrt{\epsilon})$, taking account of the results found in (2.36) and (2.37). Therefore we obtain

$$\begin{align*}
\theta_1 f_{1\xi_+}^- + \theta_1 f_{1\xi_+}^+ + G_1^- + G_1^+ \bigg|_{T=0} &= 0, \\
-\theta_1 f_{1\xi_-,\xi_+}^- + \theta_1 f_{1\xi_+,\xi_+}^- - G_1^- - G_1^+ \bigg|_{T=0} &= 0,
\end{align*}$$

(2.43)

\[
\Rightarrow \quad G_1^+ = 0, \quad G_1^- = 0,
\]

as we see from (2.38) that $\theta_1 |_{T=0} = 0$. Similarly we have

$$\begin{align*}
\theta_2 f_{2\xi_+}^- + \theta_2 f_{2\xi_+}^+ + G_2^- + G_2^+ \bigg|_{T=0} &= 0, \\
-\theta_2 f_{2\xi_-,\xi_+}^- + \theta_2 f_{2\xi_+,\xi_+}^- - G_2^- - G_2^+ \bigg|_{T=0} &= 0,
\end{align*}$$

(2.44)

\[
\Rightarrow \quad G_2^+ = 0, \quad G_2^- = 0.
\]

We now consider terms at $O(\epsilon^{3/2})$. Substituting the results obtained at previous orders of $\epsilon$ into the weakly-nonlinear expansion (2.16) and (2.17), then substituting this into (2.14) and (2.15) and gathering terms at $O(\epsilon^{3/2})$ gives

$$\begin{align*}
-4R_{1\xi_-,\xi_+} &= 2h_{1\xi_-,\tau} - 2h_{1\xi_+,\tau} + \left( 2g_{1T}^- + (f_1^- g_1^-)_{\xi_-} + d_1 g_{1\xi_-}^- + g_{1\xi_-,\xi_-}^- \right)_{\xi_-} - \delta (g_1^- - g_2^-) \\
&- g_{1\tau\tau}^- - g_{1\tau\tau}^+ + \left( -2g_{1T}^+ + (f_1^+ g_1^+)_{\xi_+} + d_1 g_{1\xi_+}^+ + g_{1\xi_+,\xi_+}^+ \right)_{\xi_+} - \delta (g_1^+ - g_2^+)
\end{align*}$$

+ \left( \frac{\epsilon^2 - 1}{\epsilon} - ad_2 \gamma \cos (\tilde{\omega} \tau) \right) \left( g_{2\xi_-\xi_-}^- + g_{2\xi_+,\xi_+}^+ \right) - g_{2\tau\tau}^- - g_{2\tau\tau}^+ + \left[ g_{2\xi_-,\xi_-}^- f_1^- + 2g_{2\xi_+,\xi_+}^+ f_1^+ + g_{2\xi_-,\xi_+}^- f_1^+ + g_{2\xi_+,\xi_+}^- f_1^- \right].

(2.45)

and

$$\begin{align*}
-4R_{2\xi_-\xi_+} &= 2h_{2\xi_-,\tau} + \left( 2g_{2T}^- + \alpha (f_2^- g_2^-)_{\xi_-} + ad_1 g_{2\xi_-}^- + \beta g_{2\xi_-,\xi_-}^- \right)_{\xi_-} + \gamma (g_1^- - g_2^-) \\
&- 2h_{2\xi_+\tau} + \left( -2g_{2T}^+ + \alpha (f_2^+ g_2^+)_{\xi_+} + ad_1 g_{2\xi_+}^+ + \beta g_{2\xi_+,\xi_+}^+ \right)_{\xi_+} + \gamma (g_1^+ - g_2^+)
\end{align*}$$

+ \left( \frac{\epsilon^2 - 1}{\epsilon} - ad_2 \gamma \cos (\tilde{\omega} \tau) \right) \left( g_{2\xi_-\xi_-}^- + g_{2\xi_+,\xi_+}^+ \right) - g_{2\tau\tau}^- - g_{2\tau\tau}^+ + \alpha \left[ g_{2\xi_-,\xi_-}^- f_2^- + 2g_{2\xi_+,\xi_+}^+ f_2^- + g_{2\xi_+,\xi_+}^- f_2^+ + 2g_{2\xi_-,\xi_+}^- f_2^- + g_{2\xi_+,\xi_+}^- f_2^+ \right].

(2.46)
Substituting (2.36) into (2.45) and averaging at constant $\xi_-$ or constant $\xi_+$ gives

$$
\pm \hat{h}_{1\xi_{\pm}} = \pm \theta_1 \left( \mp 2 f_{1T}^\pm + f_{1}^\pm_{1\xi_{\mp}} + d_1 f_{1}^\pm_{1\xi_{\pm}} + f_{1\xi_{\pm} \xi_{\pm}} \right) \mp \delta (\theta_1 f_{1}^\pm + \theta_2 f_{2T}^\pm) \mp 2 G_{1T}^\pm + (f_{1}^\pm G_{1})_{\xi_{\pm}} + d_1 G_{1}^\pm_{1\xi_{\pm}} + G_{1}^\pm_{1\xi_{\pm} \xi_{\pm}} \right) \mp \delta (G_{1}^\pm - G_{2}^\pm) 
$$

$$
+ \theta_1 \tilde{\omega}^2 f_{1}^\pm_{\xi_{\pm}} \pm d_2 \delta \cos(\tilde{\omega} \tau) \theta_1 f_{1}^\pm_{1\xi_{\pm} \xi_{\pm}} .
$$

(2.47)

If we differentiate the equation for $f_1$ in (2.35) with respect to the appropriate characteristic variable, we can eliminate some terms in the first line in (2.47) to obtain an expression for $h_{1\xi_{\pm} \tau}$

$$
2 \hat{h}_{1\xi_{\pm} \tau} = \theta_1 \tilde{\omega}^2 f_{1}^\pm_{\xi_{\pm}} - \delta (\theta_1 + \theta_2) f_{2\xi_{\pm}}^\pm + \theta_1 d_2 \delta \cos(\tilde{\omega} \tau) f_{1\xi_{\pm} \xi_{\pm}}^\pm + \tilde{G}_{1}^\pm (\xi_{\pm}, T) ,
$$

where

$$
\tilde{G}_{1}^\pm (\xi_{\pm}, T) = \left( \mp 2 G_{1T}^\pm + (f_{1}^\pm G_{1})_{\xi_{\pm}} + d_1 G_{1}^\pm_{1\xi_{\pm}} + G_{1}^\pm_{1\xi_{\pm} \xi_{\pm}} \right) \mp \delta (G_{1}^\pm - G_{2}^\pm) .
$$

(2.48)

To avoid secular terms again we require that $\tilde{G}_{1}^\pm = 0$ and therefore we have an equation for $G_{1}^\pm$

$$
\left( \mp 2 G_{1T}^\pm + (f_{1}^\pm G_{1})_{\xi_{\pm}} + d_1 G_{1}^\pm_{1\xi_{\pm}} + G_{1}^\pm_{1\xi_{\pm} \xi_{\pm}} \right) \mp \delta (G_{1}^\pm - G_{2}^\pm) = 0 .
$$

(2.49)

Integrating (2.48) we obtain

$$
h_{1}^\pm = - \frac{\delta d_2}{4} \cos(\omega t) f_{1}^\pm + \frac{\delta_2}{4 \tilde{\omega}^2} (\delta + \alpha \gamma) \cos(\omega t) f_{1}^\pm - \frac{\delta^2 d_2}{8 \tilde{\omega}^2} \cos^2(\omega t) f_{1\xi_{\pm} \xi_{\pm}} + \phi_{1}^\pm (\xi_{\pm}, T) ,
$$

(2.50)

where the function $\phi_{1}^\pm$ is to be found. Averaging (2.46) at constant $\xi_-$ or $\xi_+$, and using (2.37) and (2.35) as was done above, we get an expression for $h_2$ of the form

$$
2 h_{2\xi_{\pm} \tau} = - \theta_2 \tilde{\omega}^2 f_{2\xi_{\pm}}^\pm + \gamma (\theta_1 + \theta_2) f_{1\xi_{\pm}}^\pm + \theta_2 d_2 \gamma \cos(\tilde{\omega} \tau) f_{2\xi_{\pm} \xi_{\pm}}^\pm + \tilde{G}_{2}^\pm (\xi_{\pm}, T) ,
$$

where

$$
\tilde{G}_{2}^\pm (\xi_{\pm}, T) = \left( \mp 2 G_{2T}^\pm + \alpha (f_{1}^\pm G_{2})_{\xi_{\pm}} + \alpha d_1 G_{1}^\pm_{2\xi_{\pm}} + \frac{\alpha^2 - 1}{\epsilon} G_{2}^\pm_{2\xi_{\pm} \xi_{\pm}} + \beta G_{2}^\pm_{2\xi_{\pm} \xi_{\pm} \xi_{\pm}} \right) \xi_{\pm} + \gamma (G_{1}^\pm - G_{2}^\pm) .
$$

(2.51)

As before we require that $\tilde{G}_{2}^\pm = 0$ and therefore we have an equation for $G_{2}^\pm$

$$
\left( \mp 2 G_{2T}^\pm + \alpha (f_{1}^\pm G_{2})_{\xi_{\pm}} + \left( \alpha d_1 + \frac{\alpha^2 - 1}{\epsilon} \right) G_{2}^\pm_{2\xi_{\pm} \xi_{\pm} \xi_{\pm}} + \beta G_{2}^\pm_{2\xi_{\pm} \xi_{\pm} \xi_{\pm} \xi_{\pm}} \right) \xi_{\pm} = \gamma (G_{2}^\pm - G_{1}^\pm) .
$$

(2.52)

Taking into account the initial condition in (2.43), (2.44), and the form of (2.50) and (2.54) we see that $G_{1,2}^\pm \equiv 0$. Integrating (2.52) gives

$$
h_{2}^\pm = \frac{\alpha \gamma d_2}{4} \cos(\omega t) f_{1}^\pm - \frac{\gamma d_2}{4 \tilde{\omega}^2} \cos(\omega t) f_{1}^\pm - \frac{\alpha \gamma^2 d_2}{8 \tilde{\omega}^2} \cos^2(\omega t) f_{2\xi_{\pm} \xi_{\pm}}^\pm + \phi_{2}^\pm (\xi_{\pm}, T) ,
$$

(2.53)

where again we need to find the function $\phi_{2}^\pm$. Substituting (2.51) into (2.45) and integrating with respect to the appropriate characteristic variables gives

$$
R_{1} = \psi_{1}^\pm (\xi_{-}, \tau, T) + \psi_{1}^\pm (\xi_{+}, \tau, T) + \psi_{1c} (\xi_{-}, \xi_{+}, T) ,
$$

(2.54)
where
\[
\psi_{1c} = -\frac{\theta_1}{4} \left[ -f_{1\xi_+-\xi_-} \int_{-L}^{\xi_+} f_{1+}^+ (s) \, ds - f_{1\xi_+-\xi_-} \int_{-L}^{\xi_+} f_{1+}^+ + f_{1-} f_{1+}^+ + f_{1+} f_{1+} \int_{-L}^{\xi_-} f_{1-} (s) \, ds \right].
\tag{2.57}
\]
In a similar way we find an expression for \( R_2 \) by substituting (2.55) into (2.46) and integrating with respect to the appropriate characteristic variables to obtain
\[
R_2 = \psi_2^- (\xi_-, \tau, T) + \psi_2^+ (\xi_+, \tau, T) + \psi_{2c} (\xi_-, \xi_+, T),
\tag{2.58}
\]
where
\[
\psi_{2c} = -\frac{\alpha \theta_2}{4} \left[ f_{2\xi_+-\xi_-} \int_{-L}^{\xi_+} f_{2+}^+ (s) \, ds + f_{2\xi_-} f_{2+}^+ + f_{2-} f_{2+}^+ - f_{2\xi_+} f_{2+}^+ \int_{-L}^{\xi_-} f_{2+} (s) \, ds \right].
\tag{2.59}
\]
The initial condition for the function \( \phi_1^\pm \) is found by again substituting (2.16) into (2.12) and comparing terms at \( O (\epsilon) \), taking account of (2.51). Therefore we obtain for \( \phi_1^\pm \)
\[
\left\{ \begin{align*}
& h_1^- + h_1^+ + h_{1c} \big|_{T=0} = 0, \\
& f_{1T} + f_{1+} + g_{1r} + g_{1T} - h_{1\xi_-} + h_{1\xi_+} - h_{1c\xi_-} + h_{1c\xi_+} \big|_{T=0} = 0, \\
& \Rightarrow \phi_1^\pm = \frac{1}{2} \left( J_1 + \int_{-L}^{\xi_-} \right) K_1 \left( s \right) ds,
\end{align*} \right.
\tag{2.60}
\]
where
\[
J_1 = \frac{\delta d_2}{4} \left( f_{1-} + f_{1+} \right) - \frac{\delta d_2}{4} \frac{\delta + \alpha \gamma}{\delta + \alpha \gamma} \left( f_{2-} + f_{2+} \right) + \frac{\delta^2 d_2^2}{8 \omega^2} \left( f_{1\xi_--\xi_-} + f_{1\xi_+\xi_+} \right) - 2h_{1c},
\]
\[
K_1 = f_{1T} + f_{1+} + g_{1T} + g_{1+}.
\tag{2.61}
\]
Similarly for \( \phi_2^\pm \) we find the initial condition by substituting (2.17) into (2.13) and comparing terms at \( O (\epsilon) \), using (2.55). This gives
\[
\left\{ \begin{align*}
& h_2^- + h_2^+ + h_{2c} \big|_{T=0} = 0, \\
& f_{2T} + f_{2+} + g_{2r} + g_{2T} - h_{2\xi_-} + h_{2\xi_+} - h_{2c\xi_-} + h_{2c\xi_+} \big|_{T=0} = 0, \\
& \Rightarrow \phi_2^\pm = \frac{1}{2} \left( J_2 + \int_{-L}^{\xi_-} \right) K_2 \left( s \right) ds,
\end{align*} \right.
\tag{2.62}
\]
where
\[
J_2 = -\frac{\alpha \gamma d_2}{4} \left( f_{2-} + f_{2+} \right) + \frac{\gamma d_2}{4} \left( f_{1-} + f_{1+} \right) + \frac{\alpha^2 \gamma^2 d_2^2}{8 \omega^2} \left( f_{2\xi_--\xi_-} + f_{2\xi_+\xi_+} \right) - 2h_{2c},
\]
\[
K_2 = f_{2T} + f_{2+} + g_{2T} + g_{2+}.
\tag{2.63}
\]
To find an equation governing \( \phi_{1,2}^\pm \) we need to retain terms at \( O (\epsilon^2) \) in the original expansion. All coupling terms in the expansion are gathered in one function for convenience as we do not require them to determine \( \phi_{1,2}^\pm \). Gathering terms at \( O (\epsilon^2) \) we have
\[
-4S_{1\xi_--\xi_+} = -f_{1TT}^- - f_{1TT}^+ - 2g_{1T_T}^- - 2g_{1T_T}^+ - h_{1\xi_-}^- + h_{1\xi_+}^+ + 2h_{1\xi_--\xi_-} + 2h_{1\xi_+\xi_+} \\
+ 2\psi_{1\xi_--\xi_+} + 2\psi_{1\xi_+\xim} + 2f_{1T}^- h_{1}^+ \left( f_{1\xi_++\xi_+} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left( g_{1}^2 \right) \left( g_{1}^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left( g_{1}^2 \right) \left( g_{1}^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left( g_{1}^2 \right) \left( g_{1}^2 \right) \\
+ d_{1} h_{1\xi_--\xi_+} + d_{1} h_{1\xi_+\xi_+} + d_{2} \cos (\omega \tau) \left( h_{1\xi_--\xi_+} + h_{1\xi_+\xi_+} \right) + h_{1\xi_--\xi_+} + h_{1\xi_+\xi_+} - 2g_{1T_T}^- - 2g_{1T_T}^+ - 2f_{1T_T}^- - 2f_{1T_T}^+ \\
- \delta \left( h_{1}^- - h_{2}^+ + h_{1}^+ - h_{2}^- \right) - 4 \mu_{1c}.
\tag{2.64}
\]
where \( \mu_{1c} \) is the coupling terms at this order, and

\[
-4S_{2\xi-\xi_+} = -f_{2TT}^+ - f_{2TT}^- - 2g_{2\xi T} - 2g_{2\xi T}^- - h_{2\xi \xi}^- - h_{2\xi \xi}^+ + 2h_{2\xi}^- - 2h_{2\xi}^+ + 2\psi_{2\xi-\tau}^-
\]

where \( \psi_{2\xi-\tau}^+ \) and \( \psi_{2\xi-\tau}^- \) from (2.68), (2.69) for the solution with terms up to and including solution (2.16) and (2.17) with an increasing number of terms included. To obtain this construction we perform a careful error analysis to test the validity of the constructed solution (2.16) and (2.17) at constant \( \xi_- \) or constant \( \xi_+ \) and rearrange to obtain

\[
\pm 2\psi_{1\xi_- \tau} = H_1^+(\xi_\pm, \tau, T) + \bar{H}_1^+(\xi_\pm, T),
\]

and

\[
\pm 2\psi_{2\xi_- \tau} = H_2^+(\xi_\pm, \tau, T) + \bar{H}_2^+(\xi_\pm, T),
\]

where the functions \( H_{1,2}^\pm, \bar{H}_{1,2}^\pm \) can be found from (2.64) and (2.65). The equation for \( \phi_{1,2}^\pm \) is captured by the function \( \bar{H}_{1,2}^\pm \) and must be zero to avoid secular terms in the same way as at previous orders. Therefore we look for terms in (2.64), (2.65), that depend only on \( \xi_\pm \) and \( T \). Following this approach we obtain the equations

\[
\begin{align*}
(\mp2\phi_1^\pm + (f_1^\pm \phi_1^\pm))_{\xi_\pm} + d_1 \phi_{1\xi_\pm}^\pm + \phi_{1\xi_\pm \xi_\pm}^\pm \rightleftharpoons \delta \left( \phi_1^1 - \phi_2^1 \right) + f_{1TT}^\pm = 2f_{1\xi_\pm \xi_\pm T},
\end{align*}
\]

and

\[
\begin{align*}
(\mp2\phi_2^\pm + \alpha (f_2^\pm \phi_2^\pm))_{\xi_\pm} + \alpha d_1 \phi_{2\xi_\pm}^\pm + \frac{\nu - 1}{\nu} \phi_{2\xi_\pm}^\pm + \beta \phi_{2\xi_\pm \xi_\pm}^\pm \rightleftharpoons \gamma \left( \phi_2^1 - \phi_1^1 \right) + f_{2TT}^\pm = 2f_{2\xi_\pm \xi_\pm T} + \frac{\nu^2 \phi_2^2}{2} f_{2\xi_\pm}^\pm + \frac{\nu^2 \phi_2^2}{2\omega} \left( \delta + \alpha \gamma \right) f_{1\xi_\pm}^\pm - \frac{\nu \phi_2^2}{2} f_{2\xi_\pm}^\pm \rightleftharpoons \gamma \left( \phi_2^1 - \phi_1^1 \right),
\end{align*}
\]

where we have the modified coefficient

\[
\tilde{\theta}_1 = \frac{\theta_1}{\sin(\omega \tau)} = \frac{d_2 \delta}{2\omega}, \quad \tilde{\theta}_2 = \frac{\theta_2}{\sin(\omega \tau)} = \frac{\alpha d_2 \gamma}{2\omega}.
\]

We have now defined all functions up to and including \( O(\epsilon) \) and so stop our derivation, however the procedure could be continued to any order.

### 3 Validity of the Weakly-Nonlinear Solution

In this section we perform a careful error analysis to test the validity of the constructed solution by numerically solving the equation system (1.1) - (1.2) and comparing to the constructed solution (2.16) and (2.17) with an increasing number of terms included. To obtain this constructed solution we also need to numerically solve (2.22), (2.24) for the leading order solution and (2.68), (2.69) for the solution with terms up to and including \( O(\epsilon) \). Therefore, we use
two types of numerical methods: one for the coupled Boussinesq equations and another for the coupled Ostrovsky equations (see Appendix A).

We compare the solution of the coupled Boussinesq equations (1.1) - (1.2) (which we shall refer to as the “exact solution”) to the constructed weakly-nonlinear solution (2.16) and (2.17) with an increasing number of terms included. The parameters used for the calculations in this section are \( \alpha = \beta = c = 1 + \epsilon/2 \) and \( \delta = \gamma = 1 \). We calculate the solution in the domain \( x \in [-40, 40] \) and for \( t \in [0, T] \) where \( T = 1/\epsilon \). The initial conditions are taken to be

\[
F_1(x) = A_1 \text{sech}^2 \left( \frac{x}{\Lambda_1} \right) + d, \quad F_2(x) = A_2 \text{sech}^2 \left( \frac{x}{\Lambda_2} \right), \\
V_1(x) = 2 \frac{A_1}{\Lambda_1} \text{sech}^2 \left( \frac{x}{\Lambda_1} \right), \quad V_2(x) = 2 \frac{A_2}{\Lambda_2} \text{sech}^2 \left( \frac{x}{\Lambda_2} \right),
\]

where \( d \) is a constant and we have \( A_1 = 6k_1^2, \Lambda_1 = \sqrt{2}/k_1, k_1 = 1/\sqrt{6}, A_2 = 6ck_2^2/\alpha, \Lambda_2 = \sqrt{2c}\beta/k_2, k_2 = \sqrt{\alpha/6c} \). Here we have only added a pedestal to the initial condition for \( u \) in the view of the translation symmetry of the system. In all cases considered here we have \( \alpha = c \) and therefore \( k_1 = k_2 = k = 1/\sqrt{6} \).

The comparison between the exact and weakly-nonlinear solutions at various orders of \( \epsilon \) is shown in Figure 1 and Figure 2. We can see from the enhanced image that the leading order solution (red, dashed line) correcting for a phase shift. The inclusion of \( O(\sqrt{\epsilon}) \) terms adjusts the amplitude and we can see that this lies directly on top of the exact solution (blue, solid line). The corresponding errors for the cases considered in Figure 1 and Figure 2 are plotted in Figure 3 and Figure 4, that is for \( \gamma = 0.1, 0.5 \) and \( d = 7 \). We can see that there is an excellent correlation for each of the curves and that the errors improve with the addition of more terms in the expansion. In the case of \( \gamma = 0.1 \) the slope of the error curves is 0.52, 1.00, 1.52 for \( u \) and 0.50, 1.00 and 1.50 for \( w \), for the inclusion of leading order, \( O(\sqrt{\epsilon}) \) and \( O(\epsilon) \) terms in the expansion respectively, in close agreement with the theoretical values. When \( \gamma = 0.5 \) the slope of the curves is approximately 0.50, 1.00 and 1.63 for \( u \) and 0.53, 1.00 and 1.68 for \( w \), showing that as \( \gamma \) increases the slope of the error curve for the approximation including \( O(\epsilon) \) terms increases.
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Figure 1: A comparison of the direct numerical simulation (solid, blue) and the weakly-nonlinear solution including leading order (dashed, red), \( O(\sqrt{\epsilon}) \) (dash-dot, black) and \( O(\epsilon) \) (dotted, green) corrections, at \( t = 1/\epsilon \), for (a) \( u \) and (b) \( w \). Parameters are \( L = 40, N = 800, k = 1/\sqrt{6}, \alpha = \beta = c = 1 + \epsilon/2, \gamma = 0.1, \epsilon = 0.0025, \Delta t = 0.01 \) and \( \Delta T = \epsilon \Delta t \). The solution agrees well to leading order, and this agreement is improved with the addition of higher-order corrections.

Figure 2: A comparison of the direct numerical simulation (solid, blue) and the weakly-nonlinear solution including leading order (dashed, red), \( O(\sqrt{\epsilon}) \) (dash-dot, black) and \( O(\epsilon) \) (dotted, green) corrections, at \( t = 1/\epsilon \), for (a) \( u \) and (b) \( w \). Parameters are \( L = 40, N = 800, k = 1/\sqrt{6}, \alpha = \beta = c = 1 + \epsilon/2, \gamma = 0.5, \epsilon = 0.0025, \Delta t = 0.01 \) and \( \Delta T = \epsilon \Delta t \). The solution agrees well to leading order and this agreement is improved with the addition of higher-order corrections.
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(a) $\gamma = 0.1$, $d = 7$ for $u$.

(b) $\gamma = 0.1$, $d = 7$ for $w$.

Figure 3: A comparison of error curves for varying values of $\epsilon$, at $t = 1/\epsilon$, for the weakly-nonlinear solution including leading order (upper, blue), $O(\sqrt{\epsilon})$ (middle, red) and $O(\epsilon)$ (lower, black) corrections, for (a) $u$ and (b) $w$. Parameters are $L = 40$, $N = 800$, $k = 1/\sqrt{6}$, $\alpha = \beta = c = 1 + \epsilon/2$, $\gamma = 0.1$, $\Delta t = 0.01$ and $\Delta T = \epsilon \Delta t$. The inclusion of more terms in the expansion increases the accuracy.

(a) $\gamma = 0.5$, $d = 7$ for $u$.

(b) $\gamma = 0.5$, $d = 7$ for $w$.

Figure 4: A comparison of error curves for varying values of $\epsilon$, at $t = 1/\epsilon$, for the weakly-nonlinear solution including leading order (upper, blue), $O(\sqrt{\epsilon})$ (middle, red) and $O(\epsilon)$ (lower, black) corrections, for (a) $u$ and (b) $w$. Parameters are $L = 40$, $N = 800$, $k = 1/\sqrt{6}$, $\alpha = \beta = c = 1 + \epsilon/2$, $\gamma = 0.5$, $\Delta t = 0.01$ and $\Delta T = \epsilon \Delta t$. The inclusion of more terms in the expansion increases the accuracy.
4 Radiating Solitary Waves

In this section we study the interaction of two radiating solitary waves, using both the direct numerical simulations and the weakly-nonlinear solution. Firstly we consider the case of a single radiating solitary wave and show that we have a good agreement between the direct numerical simulation and the weakly-nonlinear solution, then we use both methods to reliably study the complicated case of two interacting radiating solitary waves.

In order to better resolve the tail of a radiating solitary wave, we scale the variables as

\[ u = 12 \tilde{u}, \quad w = 12 \tilde{w}, \]

so that we obtain (omitting tildes)

\[ u_{tt} - u_{xx} = \epsilon \left[ 6 \left( u^2 \right)_{xx} + u_{txx} - \delta (u - w) \right], \quad (4.1) \]
\[ w_{tt} - c^2 w_{xx} = \epsilon \left[ 6 \alpha \left( w^2 \right)_{xx} + \beta w_{txx} + \gamma (u - w) \right]. \quad (4.2) \]

The weakly-nonlinear solution can be easily scaled as well so we obtain, for \( f_{1,2}^{\pm} \),

\[ \left( \mp 2 f_{1T}^{\pm} + 12 f_{1}^{\pm} f_{1}^{\mp} + d_1 f_{1}^{\pm} + f_{1}^{\pm} f_{1}^{\mp \pm} \right) \xi_{\pm} = \delta \left( f_{1}^{\pm} - f_{2}^{\pm} \right), \]
\[ \left( \mp 2 f_{2T}^{\pm} + 12 \alpha f_{2}^{\pm} f_{2}^{\mp} + \left( \alpha d_2 + \frac{c^2 - 1}{\epsilon} \right) f_{2}^{\pm} + \beta f_{2}^{\pm} f_{2}^{\mp \pm} \right) \xi_{\pm} = \gamma \left( f_{2}^{\pm} - f_{1}^{\pm} \right). \quad (4.3) \]

Similarly for the function \( g_{1,2}^{\pm} \) we have

\[ g_{1}^{\pm} = \frac{6d_2 \delta}{\tilde{\omega}} \sin (\tilde{\omega} \tau) f_{1}^{\pm \xi_{\pm}}, \quad (4.4) \]
\[ g_{2}^{\pm} = \frac{6\alpha d_2 \gamma}{\tilde{\omega}} \sin (\tilde{\omega} \tau) f_{2}^{\pm \xi_{\pm}}. \quad (4.5) \]

In this section we only use the weakly-nonlinear solution up to and including \( O(\sqrt{\epsilon}) \) terms so we do not consider the effect of the scaling on the higher-order terms. We will show that even this approximation produces good qualitative and reasonable quantitative results, even in very long runs.

To show the agreement between the direct numerical simulations and the weakly-nonlinear solution for a radiating solitary wave, we take the initial condition to be the solitary wave solution of the uncoupled Boussinesq equation for \( u \) and \( w \), as presented in (3.1). Therefore we have \( f_{1,2}^{\pm} = 0 \). The parameters in the equation are \( \alpha = \beta = c = 1 + \epsilon/2, \gamma = 1 \) and \( \epsilon = 0.01 \). The domain size is taken to be \( L = 1000 \) and therefore we have \( N = 20000 \), and the time step is \( \Delta t = 0.01 \) as before. We take the phase shift in the initial conditions to be \( x_0 = 800 \) and the result of the computation at \( t = 1400 \) is presented in Figure 5. We can see that the radiating solitary wave is formed in both equations and that the agreement between the direct numerical simulations (blue, solid line) and weakly-nonlinear solution (red, dashed line) is good, with a small phase shift between the two solutions and a small discrepancy in the amplitude.

We now consider the case when two radiating solitary waves interact. To obtain an appropriate initial condition we use the two-soliton solution for the KdV equation as the initial condition for the coupled Boussinesq equations and choose the second initial condition in the appropriate form so that there is no left-propagating wave, as was done in [23]. Explicitly we
Figure 5: A comparison of the numerical solution (solid, blue) at $t = 1400$ and the weakly-nonlinear solution including $O(\sqrt{\epsilon})$ terms (dash-dot, red), for (a) $u$ and (b) $w$. Parameters are $L = 1000$, $N = 20000$, $k = 1/\sqrt{6}$, $\alpha = \beta = c = 1 + \epsilon/2$, $\gamma = 1$, $\epsilon = 0.01$, $\Delta t = 0.01$ and $\Delta T = \epsilon \Delta t$.

We can see that the weakly-nonlinear solution (red, dashed line) agrees very well with the results of direct numerical simulations (blue, solid line), even in such complicated interaction problem, and the two approaches verify each other. There is only a small phase shift, which becomes more noticeable at larger times. At $t = 800$ the larger soliton has begun to travel through the tail of the smaller soliton and the amplitude has begun to reduce as it travels through the tail. At $t = 5800$, the two solitons now lie on top of each other (in direct numerical simulations; the weakly-nonlinear solution has a small phase shift). We can see that the amplitude is reduced in contrast to the initial condition, and the tail now shows the presence of two frequencies. At $t = 9500$, the larger radiating solitary wave has overtaken the smaller wave, and we again have two distinct radiating solitary waves with tails, although with a significantly reduced amplitude. Furthermore, we can see the formation of a wave packet behind the smaller soliton which was generated by the interaction of the solitons. The disagreement between the amplitudes of the smaller soliton after the interaction is again a consequence of a small phase shift, since the amplitude of the smaller soliton keeps oscillating between $u$ and $w$ as wave tries to settle after the interaction. This has been verified by comparing the maximum of the amplitude of the
Figure 6: A comparison of the direct numerical solution (solid, blue) and the weakly-nonlinear solution including $O(\sqrt{\epsilon})$ terms (dashed, red) at various times for (a), (c), (e) $u$ and (b), (d), (f) $w$. Parameters are $L = 5000$, $N = 100000$, $k_1 = 1$, $k_2 = 2$, $x_0 = -50$, $x_1 = 50$, $\alpha = \beta = c = 1 + \epsilon/2$, $\gamma = 1$, $\epsilon = 0.01$, $\Delta t = 0.01$ and $\Delta T = \epsilon \Delta t$. The difference between the amplitudes of the non-stationary solitons during and after the interaction is caused by a small phase shift between the exact and weakly-nonlinear solutions.
smaller soliton in the two approaches in a small interval around the fixed moment of time (over
the period of oscillations). The difference is of order $O(\epsilon)$, in agreement with the accuracy of
the approximation used in this section.

5 Conclusions

In this paper we generalised the results of our recent study [21], where we developed a new
asymptotic procedure for the construction of the d’Alembert-type solution of the Cauchy prob-
lem for a Boussinesq-type equation with the Ostrovsky term. We have shown that the developed
approach can be extended to construct a similar solution for a system of coupled Boussinesq
equations (1.1), (1.2), describing long longitudinal strain waves in a bi-layer with an imperfect
interface [18]. We examined the accuracy of the constructed solution numerically, and we used
both the direct numerical simulations for the coupled Boussinesq equations, and our constructed
semi-analytical solution in order to study the complicated process of the interaction of two ra-
diating solitary waves. The two approaches showed excellent agreement, even in very long runs.
The constructed solution can find useful applications in the studies of the scattering of radiating
solitary waves by delamination [16] and other extended inhomogeneities.
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Appendix A: Numerical Methods

In the following methods we use the Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT) to calculate the Fourier
transform of numerical data (e.g., [24]). Let us consider a function $u(x,t)$ on a finite domain
$x \in [-L, L]$ and we discretise the domain into $N$ equally spaced points, so we have the spacing
$\Delta x = 2L/N$. In all calculations we scale the domain from $x \in [-L, L]$ to $\tilde{x} \in [0, 2\pi]$, which can
be achieved by applying the transform $\tilde{x} = sx + \pi$, where $s = \pi/L$. Denoting $x_j = -L + j\Delta x$
for $j = 0, \ldots, N$, we define the DFT for the function $u(x,t)$ as

$$\hat{u}(k,t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{j=1}^{N} u(x_j,t) e^{-ikx_j}, \quad -\frac{N}{2} \leq k \leq \frac{N}{2} - 1,$$

(A.1)

and similarly the IDFT is defined as

$$u(x,t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{k=-N/2}^{N/2-1} \hat{u}(k,t) e^{ikx_j}, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, N,$$

(A.2)

where the discretised and scaled wavenumber is now $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. To perform these transforms we
implement the FFTW3 algorithm in C [25].
For the coupled Boussinesq equations (1.1) - (1.2) we use a pseudospectral method similar to the one presented in [26], where this method was used to solve a single regularised Boussinesq equation in the context of microstructured solids. We introduce the change of variable

\[ U = u - \epsilon u_{xx}, \quad W = w - \epsilon \beta w_{xx}, \]  

(A.3)

so that we have

\[ U_{tt} = u_{xx} + \epsilon \left( \frac{1}{2} (u^2)_{xx} - \delta (u - w) \right), \]
\[ W_{tt} = c^2 w_{xx} + \epsilon \left( \frac{\alpha}{2} (w^2)_{xx} + \gamma (u - w) \right). \]  

(A.4)

Taking the Fourier transform of (A.3) we obtain

\[ \hat{u} = \frac{\hat{U}}{1 + \epsilon k^2}, \quad \hat{w} = \frac{\hat{W}}{1 + \epsilon \beta k^2}. \]  

(A.5)

We take the Fourier transform of (A.4) and substitute (A.5) into this expression to obtain an ODE in \( \hat{u} \) and \( \hat{w} \), taking the form

\[ \hat{U}_{tt} = -\frac{\epsilon \delta + s^2 k^2}{1 + \epsilon s^2 k^2} \hat{U} - \frac{\epsilon s^2 k^2}{2} \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left\{ \mathcal{F} \left\{ \hat{U} \right\} \right\}^2 + \frac{\epsilon \delta}{1 + \epsilon s^2 k^2} \hat{W}^2 = \hat{S}_1 (\hat{U}, \hat{W}), \]
\[ \hat{W}_{tt} = -\frac{\epsilon \gamma + c^2 s^2 k^2}{1 + \epsilon s^2 k^2} \hat{W} - \frac{\epsilon c^2 s^2 k^2}{2} \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left\{ \mathcal{F} \left\{ \hat{W} \right\} \right\}^2 + \frac{\epsilon \delta}{1 + \epsilon s^2 k^2} \hat{G}^2 = \hat{S}_2 (\hat{U}, \hat{W}), \]  

(A.6)

where \( \mathcal{F} \) denotes the Fourier transform. We solve this system of ODEs using a 4th-order Runge-Kutta method for time stepping, such as the one used in [16]. Let us rewrite the system as

\[ \hat{U}_t = \hat{G}, \quad \hat{G}_t = \hat{S}_1 (\hat{U}, \hat{W}), \quad \hat{W}_t = \hat{H}, \quad \hat{H}_t = \hat{S}_2 (\hat{U}, \hat{W}), \]  

(A.7)

where we defined \( \hat{S}_{1,2} \) as the right-hand side of (A.6). We discretise the time domain and functions as \( t = t_n, \hat{U}(k, t_n) = \hat{U}_n, \hat{W}(k, t_n) = \hat{W}_n, \hat{G}(k, t_n) = \hat{G}_n, \hat{H}(k, t_n) = \hat{H}_n \) for \( n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \), where \( t_n = n \Delta t \), and \( k \) discretises the Fourier space. Taking the Fourier transform of the initial conditions as defined in (1.3) and (1.4), and making use of (A.5), we obtain initial conditions \( \hat{U}_0, \hat{W}_0, \) and \( \hat{G}_0, \hat{H}_0 \) of the form

\[ \hat{U}_0 = (1 + \epsilon s^2 k^2) \mathcal{F} \{ F_1(x) \}, \quad \hat{W}_0 = (1 + \epsilon \beta s^2 k^2) \mathcal{F} \{ F_2(x) \}, \]
\[ \hat{G}_0 = (1 + \epsilon s^2 k^2) \mathcal{F} \{ V_1(x) \}, \quad \hat{H}_0 = (1 + \epsilon \beta s^2 k^2) \mathcal{F} \{ V_2(x) \}. \]  

(A.8)

Now we have initial conditions, we implement a 4th-order Runge-Kutta method, taking the form

\[ \hat{U}_{n+1} = \hat{U}_n + \frac{1}{6} [k_1 + 2k_2 + 2k_3 + k_4], \quad \hat{G}_{n+1} = \hat{G}_n + \frac{1}{6} [l_1 + 2l_2 + 2l_3 + l_4], \]
\[ \hat{W}_{n+1} = \hat{W}_n + \frac{1}{6} [m_1 + 2m_2 + 2m_3 + m_4], \quad \hat{H}_{n+1} = \hat{H}_n + \frac{1}{6} [n_1 + 2n_2 + 2n_3 + n_4], \]
To obtain the solution in the real domain, we transform $\hat{U}$ back to $u$, and similarly $\hat{W}$ back to $w$, through relation (A.5). Explicitly we have

$$u(x, t) = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{\hat{U}}{1 + \epsilon s^2 k^2} \right\}, \quad w(x, t) = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{\hat{W}}{1 + \epsilon s^2 \beta k^2} \right\}. \quad (A.10)$$

We now consider the solution to the coupled Ostrovsky equations. The method is similar to that used in [27]. It is presented for the equations (2.68), (2.69), as this method can be reduced to solve the system (2.22), (2.24). We present the equations for the negative superscript i.e. for $\phi_1^-$ and $\phi_2^-$. We omit the superscript in the subsequent equations. Let us consider the system of coupled Ostrovsky equations defined as

$$\begin{align*}
(\phi_{1t} + \omega_1 \phi_{1x} + \alpha_1 (f_1 \phi_1)_x + \beta_1 \phi_{1xxx})_x &= \delta (\phi_1 - \phi_2) + H_1 (f_1(x), f_2(x)), \\
(\phi_{2t} + \omega_2 \phi_{2x} + \alpha_2 (f_2 \phi_2)_x + \beta_2 \phi_{2xxx})_x &= \gamma (\phi_2 - \phi_1) + H_2 (f_1(x), f_2(x)),
\end{align*} \quad (A.11)$$

where $\alpha_1$, $\alpha_2$, $\beta_1$, $\beta_2$, $\omega_1$, $\omega_2$, $\delta$ and $\gamma$ are constants, and the functions $f_1$, $f_2$ are known. We consider the equation on domains $t \in [0, T]$ and $x \in [-L, L]$. We calculate the nonlinear terms in the real domain and then transform them to the Fourier space. The spatial domain is discretised by $N$ equidistant points with spacing $\Delta x = 2\pi / N$, and we have the DFT and IDFT as defined in (A.1) and (A.2) respectively, with an appropriately similar transform for $w$. The discrete Fourier transform of equations (A.11) with respect to $x$ gives

$$\begin{align*}
\hat{\phi}_{1t} + (isk \omega_1 - is^3 k^3 \beta_1) \hat{\phi}_1 + isk \alpha_1 \mathcal{F} \{f_1 \phi_1\} &= -\frac{i\delta}{sk} (\hat{\phi}_1 - \hat{\phi}_2) - \frac{i}{sk} \hat{H}_1, \\
\hat{\phi}_{2t} + (isk \omega_2 - is^3 k^3 \beta_2) \hat{\phi}_2 + isk \alpha_2 \mathcal{F} \{f_2 \phi_2\} &= -\frac{i\gamma}{sk} (\hat{\phi}_2 - \hat{\phi}_1) - \frac{i}{sk} \hat{H}_2.
\end{align*} \quad (A.12)$$

This system is solved numerically using a 4th-order Runge-Kutta method for time stepping as for the coupled Boussinesq equations. Assume that the solution at $t$ is given by $\hat{\phi}_{1,j} = \hat{\phi}_1(k, j\kappa)$ and $\hat{\phi}_{2,j} = \hat{\phi}_2(k, j\kappa)$, where $\kappa = \Delta t$. Then the solution at $t = (j + 1)\Delta t$ is given by

$$\begin{align*}
\hat{\phi}_{1,j+1} &= \hat{\phi}_{1,j} + \frac{1}{6} (a_1 + 2b_1 + 2c_1 + d_1), \\
\hat{\phi}_{2,j+1} &= \hat{\phi}_{2,j} + \frac{1}{6} (a_2 + 2b_2 + 2c_2 + d_2), \quad (A.13)
\end{align*}$$
where $a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i$ are functions of $k$ at a given moment in time, $t$, and are defined as

$$
a_i = \kappa F_i \left( \hat{\phi}_{1,i}, \hat{\phi}_{2,i} \right), \quad b_i = \kappa F_i \left( \hat{\phi}_{1,i} + \frac{a_1}{2}, \hat{\phi}_{2,i} + \frac{a_2}{2} \right),$$
$$
c_i = \kappa F_i \left( \hat{\phi}_{1,i} + \frac{b_1}{2}, \hat{\phi}_{2,i} + \frac{b_2}{2} \right), \quad d_i = \kappa F_i \left( \hat{\phi}_{1,i} + c_1, \hat{\phi}_{2,i} + c_2 \right),$$

for $i = 1, 2$. The functions $F_i$ are found as a rearrangement of (A.12) to contain all non-time derivatives. Explicitly we have

$$F_1 \left( \hat{\phi}_{1,j}, \hat{\phi}_{2,j} \right) = -iks\alpha_1 \mathcal{F} \{f_1\phi_1\} + \left( ik^3 s^3 \beta_1 - isk\omega_1 \right) \hat{\phi}_{1,j} - \frac{i\delta}{sk} \left( \hat{\phi}_{1,j} - \hat{\phi}_{2,j} \right) - \frac{i}{sk} \hat{H}_{1,j},$$
$$F_2 \left( \hat{\phi}_{1,j}, \hat{\phi}_{2,j} \right) = -iks\alpha_2 \{f_2\phi_2\} + \left( ik^3 s^3 \beta_2 - isk\omega_2 \right) \hat{\phi}_{2,j} - \frac{i\gamma}{sk} \left( \hat{\phi}_{2,j} - \hat{\phi}_{1,j} \right) - \frac{i}{sk} \hat{H}_{2,j}.$$  

To obtain a solution at the next step, the functions $a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i$, for $i = 1, 2$, must be calculated in pairs, that is we calculate $a_1$ followed by $a_2$, then $b_1$ followed by $b_2$, and so on.
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