Migration-promoting role of VEGF-C and VEGF-C binding receptors in human breast cancer cells
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Vascular endothelial growth factor C (VEGF-C) is a lymphangiogenic factor over-expressed in highly metastatic, cyclooxygenase (COX)-2 expressing breast cancer cells. We tested the hypothesis that tumour-derived VEGF-C may play an autocrine role in metastasis by promoting cellular motility through one or more VEGF-C-binding receptors VEGFR-2, VEGFR-3, neuropilin (NRP)-1, NRP-2, and integrin α9β1. We investigated the expression of these receptors in several breast cancer cell lines (MDA-MB-231, Hs578T, SK-BR-3, T-47D, and MCF7) and their possible requirement in migration of two VEGF-C-secreting, highly metastatic lines MDA-MB-231 and Hs578T. While cell lines varied significantly in their expression of above VEGF-C receptors, migratory activity of MDA-MB-231 and Hs578T cells was linked to one or more of these receptors. Depletion of endogenous VEGF-C by treatments with a neutralising antibody, VEGF-C siRNA or inhibitors of Src, EGFR/Her2/neu and p38 MAP kinases which inhibited VEGF-C production, inhibited cellular migration, indicating the requirement of VEGF-C for migratory function. Migration was differentially attenuated by blocking or downregulation of different VEGF-C receptors, for example treatment with a VEGFR-2 tyrosine kinase inhibitor, NRP-1 and NRP-2 siRNA or α9β1 integrin antibody, indicating the participation of one or more of the receptors in cell motility. This novel role of tumour-derived VEGF-C indicates that breast cancer metastasis can be promoted by coordinated stimulation of lymphangiogenesis and enhanced migratory activity of breast cancer cells.
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Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF)-C is the major lymphangiogenic factor which is expressed in certain normal tissues, for example large intestinal and mammary duct epithelia, skeletal and cardiac muscle, thyroid, ovary, and prostate (Joory et al, 2006) as well as in a variety of cancerous tissues including breast cancer (Kinosita et al, 2001; Nakamura et al, 2003, 2005, 2006). Over-expression of VEGF-C in the tumour micro-environment has been reported to be associated with a poor prognosis (Nakamura et al, 2003; Mylona et al, 2007) and lymph node metastasis (Nakamura et al, 2005) in breast cancer patients. Although tumour-associated macrophages were reported to be a major source of VEGF-C in breast cancer (Schopmann et al, 2006), we have recently discovered that cyclooxygenase (COX)-2 expressing, highly metastatic human breast cancer cells themselves secrete a copious amount of this factor in cell culture medium (Timoshenko et al, 2006). Tumour-derived VEGF-C is thought to promote tumour progression by inducing lymphangiogenesis and thereby lymph node metastasis (Saharinen et al, 2004; Timoshenko et al, 2006; Tobler and Detmar, 2006). As a lymphangiogenic factor, VEGF-C acts through activation of the tyrosine kinase receptor VEGFR-3 expressed by lymphatic endothelial cells (Saharinen et al, 2004). VEGF-C, however, can also bind to several other important cell membrane receptors such as VEGFR-2 (a major angiogenic receptor) (Joukov et al, 1996), neuropilin (NRP)-1 and NRP-2 (receptors for semaphorins) (Kärpänen et al, 2006), and α9β1 integrin (Vlahakis et al, 2005), a receptor for osteopontin, tenascin-C, and VCAM-1 (Marcinkiewicz et al, 2000). Expression of all these receptors, although typically noticed on endothelial cells, has also been reported in other cell types including tumour cells (Fitzpatrick et al, 2003; Vantyghem et al, 2005; Favier et al, 2006). These observations suggest that in addition to the major lymphangiogenic function, VEGF-C may play a role as an autocrine molecule directly affecting functions of certain cancer cells which express any of these VEGF-C-binding receptors.

Migration of cancer cells is an essential step for invasion and metastasis and can be promoted in an autocrine manner by various endogenous factors including VEGF-A (Dias et al, 2000; Bachelder et al, 2002). Recently, exogenous VEGF-C was shown to promote migration of Kaposi’s sarcoma cells which expressed VEGFR-2 and VEGFR-3 (Marchio et al, 1999). NRP-2 can contribute to cell migration in collaboration with VEGFR-2 and VEGFR-3; NRP-2 ligand semaphorin-3F and NRP siRNA both inhibited the response of human microvascular endothelial cells (HMVEC) to VEGF-A and VEGF-C (Favier et al, 2006). The role of NRP-1 in migration was also demonstrated for a human colon adenocarcinoma cell line WiDR, which showed a significant drop in migratory activity after transfection with NRP-1 siRNA. 
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(Ochiumi et al, 2006). The principal demonstrated function of α9/11 integrin is acceleration of leukocyte migration, an effect that depends on unique sequences within the α9 cytoplasmic domain (Shang et al, 1999; Young et al, 2001; Chen et al, 2004). This integrin is over-expressed in an aggressive human breast cancer cell line 468LN capable of producing lymph node metastasis in nude mice (Vantyghem et al, 2005), suggestive of participation of VEGF-C. It has remained, however, unknown whether and how VEGF-C-binding receptors participate in mediating migration of VEGF-C-producing breast cancer cells.

We have shown that COX-2-mediated VEGF-C upregulation in human breast cancer served as a stimulus for lymphangiogenesis, a vehicle for lymphatic metastasis (Timoshenko et al, 2006). In that study, we found that high COX-2 expressing human breast cancer cells produced much higher levels of VEGF-C than VEGF-A. Present study was designed to explore a possible autocrine role of VEGF-C in breast cancer cell migration, including a systematic analysis of expression and migration-associated function of VEGF-C-binding receptors in a number of human breast cancer cell lines.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Reagents

PP1 (Src kinase inhibitor) was purchased from Biomol (Plymouth Meeting, PA, USA). SU5416 (VEGFR-2 tyrosine kinase inhibitor), and Sigma FAST 3',3'-diaminobenzidinidine tablet sets were from Sigma (Oakville, ON, Canada). PD153035 (EGFR and Her2/neu tyrosine kinase inhibitor) and SB203580 (p38 kinase inhibitor) were from Calbiochem (San Diego, CA, USA). NRP-1 and NRP-2 (tyrosine kinase inhibitor) and SB203580 (p38 kinase inhibitor) were from Sigma (Oakville, ON, Canada). PD153035 (EGFR and Her2/neu tyrosine kinase inhibitor) and SB203580 (p38 kinase inhibitor) were from Calbiochem (San Diego, CA, USA). NRP-1 and NRP-2 tyrosine kinase inhibitor) and SB203580 (p38 kinase inhibitor) were from Sigma (Oakville, ON, Canada). PD153035 (EGFR and Her2/neu tyrosine kinase inhibitor) and SB203580 (p38 kinase inhibitor) were from Calbiochem (San Diego, CA, USA). NRP-1 and NRP-2 tyrosine kinase inhibitor) and SB203580 (p38 kinase inhibitor) were from Sigma (Oakville, ON, Canada). PD153035 (EGFR and Her2/neu tyrosine kinase inhibitor) and SB203580 (p38 kinase inhibitor) were from Sigma (Oakville, ON, Canada). PD153035 (EGFR and Her2/neu tyrosine kinase inhibitor) and SB203580 (p38 kinase inhibitor) were from Sigma (Oakville, ON, Canada).

Human breast cancer cell lines

The original source of all human breast cancer cell lines (MDA-MB-231, Hs578T, MCF7, T-47D, and SK-BR-3) was the ATCC (Manassas, VA, USA) excepting 468LN which was kindly provided by Dr Ann Chambers (London Regional Cancer Program, London, ON, Canada). This cell line was derived by Dr Chambers’ group by selection of MDA-MB-468 cancer cell line for lymphatic metastasis (Timoshenko et al, 2006). All cell lines were maintained in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS, 25 mM HEPES buffer, 50 U/ml penicillin, and 50 μg/ml streptomycin except for 468LN, in which case DMEM was replaced with zMEM, and SK-BR-3, in which case DMEM was replaced with McCoy’s 5A medium (modified).

RT – PCR

Total RNA was extracted from breast cancer cells grown in 6-well plates by TRIzol reagent and cDNAs were synthesised using SuperScript II Reverse Transcriptase as described elsewhere (Timoshenko et al, 2006). Primers for VEGFR-2, VEGFR-3, NRP-1, NRP-2, VEGF-C, and GAPDH (Table 1) were synthesised locally at the UWO Oligo Factory (London, ON, Canada) and their quality was verified by a conventional PCR using GeneAmp PCR System from Perkin Elmer (Norwalk, CT, USA) based on standard amplification conditions: 30–35 cycles of denaturation at 94°C (30 s), annealing at 55°C (45 s) followed by 5 min of final extension at 72°C. Real-time quantitative PCR (qPCR) was performed in single microcapillary tubes using the LightCycler (Roche Diagnostic, Laval, Que., Canada) and SYBR Green Tag ReadyMix (Sigma, Oakville, ON, Canada) as previously described (Timoshenko et al, 2006). All data were normalised relative to the expression of GAPDH mRNA in respective samples.

siRNA transfection

All siRNA transfection experiments were performed in antibiotic-free medium with cells grown in 6-well plates. The cells (1.5 × 10⁵ cells per well) were plated overnight at 37°C, 5% CO₂ and then transfected with 100 nM of either siControl non-targeting siRNA or target-specific siRNAs to knock-down VEGF-C, NRP-1, or NRP-2 in the presence of 0.2% of DharmaFECT 2. The efficiency of transfection was assayed by qPCR or conventional PCR and, in addition, by ELISA for VEGF-C protein secretion.

Flow cytometry

Flow cytometry analysis was performed based on the staining procedure described elsewhere (Vantyghem et al, 2005). Cells were grown up to 80% confluency in T75 flasks, gently dislodged with Trypsin-EDTA solution (0.5% trypsin, 0.4 mM EDTA), centrifuged and resuspended in 2% FBS/DPBS flow buffer. All following steps were performed at 4°C or on ice. Aliquots of unfixed cells in flow buffer (100 μl, 10⁵ cells) were incubated subsequently with mouse anti-α9/11 integrin primary antibody or isotype-matched control mouse IgG1 (1 μg per 10⁵ cells) and secondary antibody labelled with R-phycocerythrin (0.5 μg per 10⁵ cells) for 1 h every time on a rotating plate in a dark. Following every treatment, the cells were washed twice in 1 ml of flow buffer (4 min, 230 g) on a centrifuge Allegra X-22R from Beckman Coulter (Mississauga, ON, Canada). Finally, the stained cells (1 ml in flow buffer) were filtered through 40 μm nylon cell strainers from BD Biosciences (Bedford, MA, USA) and

Table 1

| Gene (accession #) | Forward primer pair for RT–PCR | Reverse primer sequence, 5’→3’ (positions) | Product size (bp) |
|-------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|------------------|
| VEGF-C (NM_005429) | CCGGAGGTTGTGATAGATGGT (830–850) | ATGGCTGGGAGAGGTGTG (1412–1393) | 583 |
| VEGFR-2 (NM_002253) | CAAATAGGGGGAATGAGGC (2679–2699) | CTGGCTACTGGTGATGCTGT (3214–3194) | 536 |
| VEGFR-3 (NM_002020) | GAGCAGCCATTCATGACGAC (427–447) | GGTAGTCCCAGTCAAAGGTG (826–807) | 400 |
| NRP-1 (NM_003873) | GATACGAAGGTGAAGGAG (3019–3036) | TATAGTTCTCCAGGGCAG (3222–3205) | 204 |
| NRP-2 (NM_201279) | GCAGATGAATACGAGGTG (3213–3230) | GCAGCACTTTTGGTGGTT (3509–3492) | 297 |
| GAPDH (NM_002046) | ACCACAGTCCATGCACTAC (628–647) | ATGGGCTGGGAGAGGTGTG (1412–1393) | 452 |

VEGF = Vascular endothelial growth factor; NRP = neuropilin.
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analysed on a FACSCalibur cytometer (Becton Dickinson, San Jose, CA, USA) at the London Regional Flow Cytometry Facility (Robarts Research Institute, London, ON, Canada).

Migration (chemokinesis) assay

The migration of MDA-MB-231 and Hs578T cells through polycarbonate membranes (having 8 μm diameter pores) in 24 well Transwell cell culture chambers (Corning Costar Corporation, Cambridge, MA, USA) was quantified as described elsewhere (Timoshenko et al, 2003) with minor modifications. Briefly, 20,000 cells in an antibiotic-free DMEM supplemented with 0.1% FBS and respective treatments were placed in the upper insert and allowed to migrate for 24h at 37°C in a humidified CO2 incubator; the bottom well was filled with the same solution used to resuspend cells. Cells that migrated and adhered to the bottom surface of the membranes were fixed with methanol (2 min) and stained for 5 min each with eosin and thiazine using Hemacolor kit from EM Science (Gibbstown, NJ, USA). The stained membranes were cut out, placed on a glass slide, and the number of migrant cells on the bottom surface of the membrane was counted using a bright field light microscope. The migrant cell number in the experimental (treated) wells expressed as a percentage of the control (untreated) wells provided the migration index. Each treatment was performed in triplicate or quadruplicate.

Immunostaining for VEGFR-2

MDA-MB-231 cells were grown up to subconfluency on Lab-Tek Permanox slides with four chambers from Nalge Nunc (Naperville, IL, USA). The cell monolayers were rinsed with DPBS, fixed in 2% formaldehyde for 15 min, rinsed again 3 times with DPBS, and blocked with diluted goat normal serum for 1 h at room temperature. Primary polyclonal rabbit anti-VEGFR-2 antibody was diluted 1:100 in DPBS containing 0.3% Triton X-100 and added to every chamber (500 μl per well) for overnight incubation at 4°C. Then the cells were rinsed 3 times with DPBS and the VECTASTAIN Elite kit from Vector Laboratories (Burlingame, CA, USA) was applied to stain samples according to the manufacturers’ protocol. To visualise the sites of immunostaining, 3,3’-diaminobenzidine/urea hydrogen peroxide substrate solution was used and, finally, the samples were counterstained with Harris’ alum hematoxylin (EMD Chemicals, Darmstadt, Germany) and mounted with Faramount (DakoCytomation, Glostrup, Denmark).

Statistics

Data were analysed by two way ANOVA and Student’s T-test considering P<0.05 as an indicator of significant difference between means.

RESULTS

VEGF-C function blocking antibody, VEGF-C siRNA, and VEGF-C synthesis inhibitors inhibit migration of human breast cancer cells

As we have recently reported, highly metastatic MDA-MB-231 and Hs578T cells secrete a relatively high amount of VEGF-C in cell culture medium (Timoshenko et al, 2006). To find out whether migration of these human breast cancer cell lines depends on endogenously produced VEGF-C, we used three different approaches, namely (1) the treatment of cells with a VEGF-C neutralising/function blocking antibody, (2) transfection of cells with VEGF-C siRNA to downregulate gene expression, and (3) the treatment of cells with kinase inhibitors for EGF/Her2/neu PD153035), Src (PP1), and p38 MAPK (SB203580) at non-toxic concentrations which have recently been shown to inhibit VEGF-C production by MDA-MB-231 cells (Timoshenko et al, 2006).

First of all, we screened several commercially available anti-human VEGF-C antibodies for function blocking activity and found that a polyclonal goat antibody raised against the C terminus of VEGF-C of human origin (Santa Cruz, CA, USA) was active, similar to its blocking activity reported on coronary endothelial tube formation from embryonic cardiac explants (Tomanek et al, 2002). In view of the fact that this antibody recognises the VEGF-C propeptide as well as several cleaved products inclusive of the C terminus (Siegfried et al, 2003; Tang et al, 2003), the VEGF-C function blocking activity of this antibody is possibly attributed to a protection of the antigen–antibody complex from further proteolytic cleavage that would generate VEGF-C peptides capable of activating VEGF-C receptors. Using this antibody, we treated COX-2/VEGFC-expressing MDA-MB-231 and Hs578T cells at different concentrations (0–20 μg ml−1) and allowed them to migrate for 24h at 37°C. A significant (P<0.001) decrease in migration resulted from the antibody treatment of both cell lines at concentrations of 0.5 μg ml−1 and higher, whereas control goat IgG at similar concentrations had no effect (Figures 1A and B). In contrast, at similar antibody concentrations, no significant effect was noted on cell proliferation/survival (MTT assay) at 24h (not shown). Thus, secreted VEGF-C is an important factor maintaining the migratory (but not proliferative) function of these breast cancer cell lines.

Secondly, to silence VEGF-C gene expression, MDA-MB-231 cells were transfected with VEGF-C siRNA (100 nM) and the following three parameters were examined: VEGF-C mRNA expression (Figure 1C), VEGF-C proteins secretion (Figure 1D), and cell migration (Figure 1E). In all cases, we observed a significant drop of every parameter when the specific siRNA-transfected cells were compared with either mock-transfected control or scrambled siRNA treated cells. The inhibition of cell migration, although significant, was rather moderate in comparison with the effect of neutralising antibody, evidently due to the fact that VEGF-C production could not be completely knocked-down. Nevertheless, the migration-inhibitory effects of VEGF-C siRNA on VEGF-C producing MDA-MB-231 cells strongly supports the migration-promoting role endogenous VEGF-C.

Finally, we treated MDA-MB-231 cells with PD153035 (EGFR and Her2/neu tyrosine kinase inhibitor), PP1 (Src kinase inhibitor), and SB203580 (p38 MAP kinase inhibitor) which had earlier been shown to significantly inhibit VEGF-C secretion by this cell line at the respective concentrations without any effect on cell proliferation/survival (Timoshenko et al, 2006). As shown in Figure 1F, all these inhibitors at non-toxic concentrations known to inhibit VEGF-C secretion (Timoshenko et al, 2006) also inhibited the migration of MDA-MB-231 cells in a parallel manner at a 24h time point. The data suggest that these kinases are important for both the cellular events (VEGF-C secretion and cell migration) or for the event of VEGF-C secretion, which in turn, regulated cellular migration. While on their own, these data do not prove the autocrine role of VEGF-C in cellular migration, they are supportive of earlier experiments using the function blocking antibody and VEGF-C siRNA.

Since VEGF-C can act through different VEGF-C-binding receptors, the next issue was to determine the expression and the role of specific receptors in mediating migratory responses in breast cancer cells.

Expression of VEGF-C-binding receptors in human breast cancer cell lines

The biological action of VEGF-C can be mediated theoretically through two tyrosine kinase receptors VEGFR-2 and VEGFR-3 (Joukov et al, 1996), NRP-1 and-2 (Kärpänen et al, 2006), and αvβ1
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**Figure 1** (A and B) Effects of different concentrations of a polyclonal, function blocking antibody against human Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF)-C on migration of VEGF-C-secreting MDA-MB-231 and Hs578T cells cultured for 24 h in serum-free DMEM supplemented with 0.1% FBS. A strong inhibition of migration (P < 0.02), noted at all antibody concentrations ranging between 5 and 20 μg ml⁻¹, and no inhibition with similar concentrations of control goat immunoglobulin G (IgG), indicated an autocrine migration-promoting role of VEGF-C in these cells. (C) Effects of siVEGF-C (100 nm) treatments on the expression of VEGF-C gene in MDA-MB-231 cells (48 h). (D) VEGF-C secretion from MDA-MB-231 cells transfected with siVEGF-C (100 nm, 48 h) which were incubated in serum-free DMEM for other 24 h. (E) Migration of MDA-MB-231 cells is inhibited by transfection with siVEGF-C (100 nm) as measured at 24 h time point in DMEM supplemented with 0.1% FBS. (F) Effects of kinase inhibitors for EGFR/Her2/Neu (PD153035), Src (PP1), and p38 MAPK (SB203580) on migration of MDA-MB-231 cells cultured for 24 h in DMEM supplemented with 0.1% FBS. Data represent mean ± s.d. (n = 4). *P < 0.01. All the above kinase inhibitors at the tested non-toxic concentrations had no significant effect on cell proliferation/survival and inhibited VEGF-C production, as we reported earlier (Timoshenko et al, 2006).

integrin (Vlahakis et al, 2005). To find out, which of these receptors may be instrumental for autocrine effects of VEGF-C, we analysed their mRNA or protein expression in five human breast cancer cell lines (MCF-7, T-47D, SK-BR-3, Hs578T, and MDA-MB-231), which differ in their expression of COX-2 and VEGF-C (Timoshenko et al, 2006).

Non-metastatic, COX-2-negative, poorly migratory and low VEGF-C secreting MCF-7 cells as well as moderately COX-2 expressing and VEGF-C secreting Hs578T cells expressed neither detectable VEGFR-2 nor VEGFR-3 mRNA (Figure 2A). Low COX-2 expressing and VEGF-C secreting T-47D cells expressed VEGFR-3 but not VEGFR-2 mRNA, whereas high COX-2 expressing and VEGF-C secreting, highly migratory/invasive and metastatic MDA-MB-231 cells expressed VEGFR-2 but not VEGFR-3 mRNAs (Figure 2A). Thus, the expression of VEGFR-2 and VEGFR-3 bore no relationship to the level of COX-2 expression or VEGF-C secretory ability of breast cancer cell lines. The VEGFR-2 protein expression was confirmed in highly metastatic MDA-MB-231 cells by immunocytochemistry (Figure 2B).

With regard to NRPs, the expression of NRP-1 mRNA was found to be ubiquitous in all the tested human breast cancer cell lines, whereas NPR-2 mRNA was expressed only by high COX-2 and VEGF-C expressing MDA-MB-231 and Hs578T cells (Figure 2C).

To screen the expression of α9β1 integrin, we used flow cytometry analysis of several human breast cancer cell lines, inclusive of a high α9β1 integrin-expressing 468LN cell line (Vantyghem et al, 2005), used as a positive control. Figure 2D shows that all the tested human breast cancer cell lines (MDA-MB-231, Hs578T, SK-BR-3, T-47D, and MCF7) expressed relatively low levels of α9β1 integrin in comparison with 468LN. Thus, the ratio of geometric means of fluorescence intensity for cell population treated with anti-α9β1 integrin primary antibody to those treated with isotype-matched normal mouse IgG1 were 170 for 468LN, 5.5 for T-47D, 4.7 for SK-BR-3, 3.5 for MCF7, 3.1 for Hs578T, and 2.7 for MDA-MB-231.

Thus, human breast cancer cell lines are heterogeneous in their expression of different VEGF-C-binding receptors, which can potentially mediate autocrine action of VEGF-C including its ability to stimulate cell migration, as documented in other cell types, for example endothelial cells (Makinen et al, 2001), lung cancer cells (Tanno et al, 2004), Kaposi’s sarcoma cells (Marchio et al, 1999), and leucocytes (Shang et al, 1999; Young et al, 2001; Chen et al, 2004).
VEGFR-2 contributes to migration of MDA-MB-231 cells

Since MDA-MB-231 cells secreted the highest level of VEGF-C (Timoshenko et al., 2006) and expressed both VEGFR-2 mRNA and protein (Figure 2A and B), we tested the role of this receptor in cell migration using a selective pharmacological inhibitor of VEGFR-2 tyrosine kinase SU5416 (Fong et al., 1999). Treating cells with SU5416 resulted in a concentration-dependent inhibition of cellular migration without any significant effect on cell proliferation/survival, indicating the requirement of VEGFR-2 in migratory function of these cells (Figure 3). In particular, at a concentration of 4 μM and higher SU5416 inhibited migration of MDA-MB-231 cells at 24 h by approximately 80% (P<0.001). The specificity of SU5416 was further validated by the fact that VEGFR-2 negative Hs578T cells showed very minor inhibitory response to SU5416 (Figure 3). These results affirm the role of one or more of the autocrine ligands (VEGF-A, VEGF-C) interacting with VEGFR-2 in migration promotion of MDA-MB-231 cells. It is also possible that receptor(s) other than VEGFR-2 may also be involved. With this point in mind, we analysed the role of NRP-1 and NRP-2 which are expressed in MDA-MB-231 and HS578T cells.

Contribution of NRP-1 and NRP-2 to migration of human breast cancer cells

To evaluate the contribution of these receptors in the migration of VEGF-C-secreting MDA-MB-231 and Hs578T cells, we measured the migratory activity of these cells after transfection with respective siRNAs. As shown in Figure 4A, siNRP-1 and siNRP-2 specifically silenced the expression of NRP-1 and NRP-2 mRNAs in both cell lines. The migration of VEGFR-2 expressing MDA-MB-231 cells was inhibited moderately after siRNA-mediated knock-down of either NRP-1 or NRP-2 genes whereas no migration-inhibitory effect of siRNA treatment was noted in the case of VEGFR-2-negative Hs578T cells (Figure 4B).
we analysed their migration in the presence of two concentrations of mouse anti-integrin α9β1 monoclonal antibody (1 and 5 μg ml$^{-1}$). This antibody was reported to inhibit VEGF-C/-D-mediated migration of α9-transfected mouse embryonic cells and primary adult human dermal microvascular endothelial cells (Vlahakis et al, 2005). As noted in Figure 5, migration of Hs578T cells but not MDA-MB-231 cells was significantly inhibited in the presence of the antibody at a concentration of 5 μg ml$^{-1}$.

**DISCUSSION**

Present study, to our knowledge, is the first one to demonstrate the autocrine role of VEGF-C in promoting human breast cancer cell migration, a critical step for invasion and metastasis. The migration-stimulating role of endogenous VEGF-C was demonstrated with two approaches: use of neutralising antibody and silencing of VEGF-C gene with siRNA. The third approach of inhibition of VEGF-C production by certain signalling inhibitors provided further support to these data. These findings are highly relevant for human breast cancer progression and metastasis because of two reasons: (a) we have shown that VEGF-C is the dominant product of highly metastatic human breast cancer cells amongst various members of the VEGF family, upregulated by COX-2 – an important marker for breast cancer progression (Timoshenko et al, 2006); (b) VEGF-C expression in human breast cancer is associated with poor prognosis (Nakamura et al, 2003; Mylona et al, 2007). Thus, VEGF-C plays a dual role in promoting breast cancer progression: a stimulation of lymphangiogenesis and thereby lymphatic metastasis (Saharinen et al, 2004; Timoshenko et al, 2006; Tobler and Detmar, 2006), and a direct action on cancer cells in stimulating cellular migratory function. Indeed, our recent studies of quantitative immunocytochemistry of VEGF-C protein expression in human breast cancer cells in situ revealed no significant difference of expression levels between lymph node positive and negative specimens, suggesting additional lymphangiogenesis-independent role(s) of VEGF-C (Lala et al, 2007). We have further shown in the present study that the autocrine migration stimulatory role of VEGF-C is mediated by multiple VEGF-C receptors expressed by breast cancer cells. It is highly likely that breast cancer cells in situ are also heterogeneous in expression of different VEGF-C receptors, similar to the breast cancer cell lines employed in the present study, and the receptor bearing cells would respond to both endogenous and exogenous (produced by other cells such as macrophages (Schoppmann et al, 2006) in the breast cancer stroma) VEGF-C in the tumour microenvironment.
Central physiological functions of VEGF family ligands and their receptors as crucial regulators of vasculogenesis, angiogenesis, lymphangiogenesis, and vascular permeability have been well documented during last decade (Roy et al., 2006; Shibuya and Claesson-Welsh, 2006). While VEGF-C has not been described before as an autocrine factor in promoting tumour progression, recent studies in a variety of tumours have reported angiogenesis-independent roles of VEGF-A, another important member of the VEGF family, in promoting tumour cell proliferation/survival and migration. For example, an autocrine function of VEGF-A has been demonstrated for the following: growth and migration of leukemia cells (Dias et al., 2000), proliferation of Kaposi’s sarcoma, melanoma, and ovarian carcinoma cell lines (Masood et al., 2001), proliferation and migration of interleukin-6 treated prostate cancer cells (Steiner et al., 2004), growth of a human gastric adenocarcinoma cell line MGC803 (Tian et al., 2001), and of malignant pleural mesothelioma (Strizzi et al., 2001). Finally, VEGF-A has been shown to promote survival, migration, and invasiveness of breast cancer cells (Mercurio et al., 2005). The receptor responsible for many of the VEGF-A actions cited above has been identified as VEGFR-2, which can also serve as a receptor for VEGF-C (McColl et al., 2004). The 21 kDa peptide derived after full processing of VEGF-C is the only VEGF-C peptide capable of activating VEGFR-2 (Joukov et al., 1997), and this peptide has been demonstrated in the conditioned medium of MDA-MB-231 cells (Nakamura et al., 2006) which we have shown to express VEGFR-2 but not VEGFR-3. In the present study, migration inhibition in the presence of the VEGF-2 inhibitor may be due to the presence of either of the endogenous ligands, VEGF-A, or VEGF-C. While the roles of VEGF-A in tumour progression have received a lot of attention, less attention has been devoted to VEGF-C. In situations where the VEGF-A/VEGF-C balance in the tumour microenvironment is shifted in favour of VEGF-C, the VEGF-C-mediated responses would likely dominate. For example, higher serum concentrations of VEGF-C than that of VEGF-A, believed to be tumour derived, correlates with lymph node metastasis in patients with squamous cell carcinoma of the oesophagus (Krzystek-Korpacka et al., 2007). The rate of secretion of VEGF-C in vitro by the highly metastatic human breast cancer cell line MDA-MB-231 was found to be 10 times that of VEGF-A (Timoshenko et al., 2006). Whether this is true for COX-2 expressing breast cancer in vivo remains unknown at present.

While the traditional endothelial cell receptors for VEGF-C are VEGFR-3 and VEGFR-2, respectively, responsible for lymphangiogenic and angiogenic events, recently other VEGF-C binding receptors including NRP-1 and NRP-2 (Kärpänen et al., 2006) have also been shown to mediate VEGF-C action. We found a partial requirement of NRP-1 and NRP-2 for migratory function of MDA-MB-231 cells, but not HS578T cells. The mechanisms of NRP action are complex. NRP-1 and NRP-2 are receptors for semaphorins, and can antagonise semaphorin action by binding to and sequestering VEGFs (Ellis, 2006; Guttmann-Raviv et al., 2006). NRP-binding semaphorins 3A, 3B, and 3F exhibit anti-tumour properties, some also described as migration inhibitory molecules (Bachelder et al., 2003; Nasarre et al., 2005). Thus, NRP-mediated pro-migratory action on MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells is likely a consequence of endogenous VEGF-C binding. Since semaphorins are expressed by breast cancer cell lines including MDA-MB-231, or present in the breast cancer micro-environment in situ (Christensen et al., 2005), we suggest that our in vitro findings of NRP action are of in vivo relevance. Differential expression of semaphorins may be responsible for the differential effects of NRP-1/2 gene knockdown on cellular migration noted in MDA-MB-231 and HS578T cells in the present study. Another possible reason of this finding is the differential expression of VEGFR-2 in these two cell lines (Figure 2A), since the need for a cooperation between NRP and VEGFR-2 has been reported for certain NRP actions in other cells. NRP-1 and NRP-2 are non-tyrosine kinase receptors which are believed to transmit intracellular signals in conjunction with co-receptor complexes involving plexin, VEGFR-1, or VEGFR-2 (Ellis, 2006; Guttmann-Raviv et al., 2006; Ochiumi et al., 2006). VEGFR-2 has been reported to interact with NRP-2 and promote the survival and migration of HMVECs (Favier et al., 2006) and its interaction with NRP-1 is needed for migration of human vascular smooth muscle cells (Liu et al., 2005). Above-mentioned possibilities for differential NRP actions in our breast cancer cell lines remain to be tested.

Integrin α9β1 is another VEGF-C binding receptor expressed by neutrophils (Shang et al., 1999), human epithelial, and muscle cells (Palmer et al., 1993; Basora et al., 1998), and certain tumour cells and tissues (Palmer et al., 1993; Basora et al., 1998; Vantyghem et al., 2005). This receptor has been reported to signal via the α9 chain to promote cell migration (Young et al., 2001). Our results reveal that VEGF-C producing HS578T cells expressed integrin α9β1 at a level higher than MDA-MB-231 cells. This is the only identifiable receptor contributing to the migratory function of HS578T cells, as no VEGFR-2/-3 mRNA expression was seen in these cells and NRP-1/-2 siRNAs failed to affect the migration of these cells. Since HS578T cells do not express osteopontin (Sharp et al., 1999) but express tenascin-C (Dandachi et al., 2001) – the two other ligands for integrin α9β1, the role of α9β1 in migration of these cells may be attributed to endogenous VEGF-C or tenascin-C, or both. However, since the inhibitory activity of α9β1 integrin antibody was significant but rather moderate in comparison with VEGF-C function blocking antibody, other unidentified VEGF-C binding receptors may also be involved.

In summary, the present study shows that the migratory function, an essential step for tumour invasion and metastasis, is promoted in an autocrine fashion by endogenous VEGF-C produced by metastatic human breast cancer cell lines, utilising multiple VEGF-C receptors. Further studies are needed to establish a firm link between the promigratory roles of VEGF-C and these receptors as well as to identify precise signalling mechanisms responsible for the autocrine role of VEGF-C mediated by different VEGF-C receptors. Combined with our earlier reported data that COX-2 and certain EP receptors (EP1 and EP4) are responsible for promotion of cellular migration (Timoshenko et al., 2003) as well as VEGF-C upregulation in human breast cancer (Timoshenko et al., 2006), present results reinforce the place of COX-2 inhibitors and specific EP antagonists in breast cancer chemo-intervention.
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