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Recently, the LHCb Collaboration has reported two strange hidden-charmed pentaquark states named \(P_\psi^A(4459)\) and \(P_\psi(4338)\) in the \(J/\psi\Lambda\) invariant mass spectra of decays \(\Xi^-\rightarrow J/\psi\Lambda K^-\) and \(B^-\rightarrow J/\psi\Lambda\), respectively. In this work, we perform a coupled-channel study of the interactions \(\Xi_0 D^*, \Xi_0 D^0, \Xi^- D^*, \Xi^- D^0, \Xi^- \bar D^0, \Xi^- \bar D^0, \Xi^- \bar D^0, \Xi^- \bar D^0, \Xi^- \bar D^0, \) and \(\Lambda J/\psi\) in the quasipotential Bethe-Salpeter equation approach, to estimate the \(J/\psi\Lambda\) invariant mass spectra. With the help of effective Lagrangians, the potential kernel can be constructed by meson exchanges to obtain the scattering amplitudes, from which the poles of the bound states and the invariant mass spectra can be reached. The coupled-channel calculation results that the width of state \(\Xi^- D^*(1/2^-)\) is about 18 MeV and that of state \(\Xi^- D^*(3/2^-)\) is only about 1.6 MeV. By comparison with experimental data, it indicates that the structure \(P_\psi^A(4459)\) is mainly from the contribution from the \(\Xi^- D^*(1/2^-)\) state while the role of state \(\Xi^- D^*(3/2^-)\) cannot be excluded. The lineshape of the structure \(P_\psi(4338)\) can be reproduced roughly by a narrow molecular state from the \(\Xi^- D^*\) interaction with \(J^P=1/2^-\), which is extremely close to the threshold, with a large interference effect. Besides, additional state \(\Xi^- D^*(1/2^-)\) is suggested to be observed as a dip structure in the \(J/\psi\Lambda\) invariant mass spectrum.

**I. INTRODUCTION**

In the recent years, one of the most important experimental progresses of the exotic hadron studies is the observation of \(P_\psi(4450)\) and \(P_\psi(4380)\) at LHCb in 2015 [1], which were predicted by several theoretical groups [2–5]. Inspired by the experimental observation, more theoretical studies of the hidden charmed pentaquark structures emerges [6, 7]. Subsequently, the \(P_\psi^A(4450)\) was separated into two structures \(P_\psi(4440)\) and \(P_\psi(4457)\), and a new structure \(P_\psi(4312)\) was observed at LHCb in 2019 [8]. The observations of three states with small widths and masses close to corresponding thresholds well illustrate the validity of the molecular state interpretation [9–14]. Furthermore, the theoretical studies on molecular states in non-strange hidden-charmed systems have been expanded into hidden-charm pentaquark states with strangeness [15–23].

In 2020, the LHCb Collaboration reported a \(3\sigma\) strange hidden-charmed pentaquark structure \(P_\psi(4459)\) in the \(\Xi^-\rightarrow J/\psi\Lambda K^-\) decay [24]. This structure has a mass of 19 MeV below the \(\Xi^- D^*\) threshold and a width of 17 MeV [24], which is consistent with the properties of a molecular state. There are a wide variety of studies of the molecular state interpretation of \(P_\psi(4459)\) in the literature. In Ref. [25], the authors considered the molecular state interpretation for this state and concluded that it is either a \(\Xi^- D^*\) state with \(I(J^P)=0(3/2^-)\), or a \(\Xi^- D^0\) state with \(0(3/2^-)\). In Ref. [26], a calculation was also performed with the QCD sum rule methods, and the result supports the interpretation of \(P_\psi(4459)\) as a \(\Xi^- D^*\) molecular state with either \(J^P=1/2^-\) or \(3/2^-\). In Ref. [27], it was suggested that its two-body strong decay behavior supports an assignment of \(P_\psi(4459)\) as a \(\Xi^- D^*\) state with \(I(J^P)=0(3/2^-)\). In Ref [28], the results under the heavy quark spin symmetry (HQSS) limits also prefer \(\Xi^- D^*(3/2^-)\) to \(\Xi^- D^*(1/2^-)\) as the candidate of \(P_\psi(4459)\).

Very recently, the LHCb Collaboration reported their results about the \(B^-\rightarrow J/\psi\Lambda\) decay, which indicates a new neutral strange hidden-charm pentaquark state named \(P_\psi^A(4338)\). It carries a mass of 4338.3 ± 0.7 ± 0.4 MeV and a width of 7.0 ± 1.2 ± 1.3 MeV [29]. Since its mass and narrow width are in good line with the properties of molecular state, many new researches about the \(P_\psi(4338)\) also suggest it as a molecular state [30–37]. In Ref. [35], a pole corresponding to \(P_\psi(4338)\) and an additional pole \(P_\psi(4254)\) near the \(\Lambda D_s\) threshold were found important to reproduce the experimental data. However, in Ref. [38], the sharp \(P_\psi^A(4338)\) enhancement is due to the triangle singularity in another diagram featuring a \(1/2^-\) baryon consistent with \(\Sigma_c(2800)\). In Ref. [39], the author studied the double thresholds distort the lineshapes of the \(P_\psi^A(4338)\) resonance in depth, and pointed out that it is misleading to depict the lineshapes with Breit-Wigner distribution.

Within a quasipotential Bethe-Salpeter equation (qBSE) approach, possible molecular states from the \(\Xi^- D^*(1/2^-)\) interactions were studied in our previous work [40]. The mass of the molecular state \(\Xi^- D^*(3/2^-)\) is very close to the mass of \(P_\psi(4459)\), but its widths is narrower than the experimental results. The results do not exclude the possibility of two-pole structure from states \(\Xi^- D^*\) with \(1/2^-\) and \(3/2^-\) and the role of either state in reproducing the experimental invariant mass spectrum. It is worth mentioning that our previous work also predict other partners of \(P_\psi^A(4459)\) from the \(\Xi^- D^*(1/2^-)\) interaction, including a molecular state from the \(\Xi^- D^*\) interaction with \(1/2^-\) [40], which has a mass close to the \(P_\psi(4338)\) observed in the recent LHCb experiment [29].

In the previous work, only the \(\Xi^- D^*(1/2^-)\) channels which can produce bound states were considered, and the coupling effects of unbound channels were not included, especially the \(\Lambda J/\psi\) channel where the strange hidden-charmed pentaquarks \(P_\psi(4459)\) and \(P_\psi(4338)\) were observed. In the current work, a coupled-channel calculation will be performed to estimate roles of the molecular states in the \(J/\psi\Lambda\) invariant
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mass spectrum and their relations to the observed structures. To obtain more reasonable mass and width, the full coupled-channels effects for the $P_0^{\Lambda}$ states are completely considered, including channels $\Xi_0^{(*)}, D^{(*)}, \Lambda_0^{(*)},$ and $\Lambda J/\psi.$ By comparison with experimental data, the origins of the $P_{0}^{\Lambda}$ (4459) and $P_{0}^{\Lambda}$ (4338) will be discussed.

After the introduction, Lagrangians used to construct the potential of couple-channel interaction, the qBSE approach, and formula of the invariant mass spectrum will be presented in Sec. II. In Sec. III, single-channel calculation results, coupled-channel calculation results, and the estimations of the $J/\psi\Lambda$ invariant mass spectrums will be given and discussed, respectively. The Sec. IV is a summary of the whole work and some suggestions for experiment.

II. FORMALISM

In the current work, we will search for the poles in the complex energy plane within qBSE approach, and compare the results with the $J/\psi\Lambda$ invariant mass spectrum. Hence, the potential kernel should be constructed first with effective Lagrangians to calculate the scattering amplitudes, which is used to found the poles and estimate the invariant mass spectrum.

A. Relevant Lagrangians and Potentials

In the current work, we consider all hidden-charm channels relevant to the $P_{0}^{\Lambda}$, which explicitly include $\Xi_0^{*} D_1^{*}, \Xi_0^{*} D_2^{*}, \Xi_0^{*} D_3^{*}, \Xi_1^{*} D_1^{*}, \Xi_1^{*} D_2^{*}, \Xi_1^{*} D_3^{*}, \Lambda_0^{*} D_1^{*}, \Lambda_0^{*} D_2^{*},$ and $\Lambda J/\psi.$ For the former eight channels, we need the Lagrangians under the heavy quark limit and chiral symmetry [40-44].

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{BBV}} = \frac{3 g_1}{4 \sqrt{2} m_{B_{1}} m_{B_{3}}} \epsilon^{\mu \nu_{1} \nu_{2} \nu_{3}} \bar{B}_{B_{1}} \partial_{\nu_{1}} B_{B_{3}},
\]

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{BBV}} = -\frac{g_{\gamma} B_{B_{3}}}{2 \sqrt{2} m_{B_{1}} m_{B_{3}}} \epsilon^{\mu \nu_{1} \nu_{2} \nu_{3}} B_{B_{1}} \partial_{\nu_{1}} B_{B_{3}},
\]

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{BBV}} = -\frac{g_{\gamma} B_{B_{3}}}{2 \sqrt{2} m_{B_{1}} m_{B_{3}}} \epsilon^{\mu \nu_{1} \nu_{2} \nu_{3}} B_{B_{1}} \partial_{\nu_{1}} B_{B_{3}},
\]

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{BBV}} = \frac{g_{\gamma} \lambda_{J}}{2 \sqrt{2} m_{B_{1}} m_{B_{3}}} \epsilon^{\mu \nu_{1} \nu_{2} \nu_{3}} \bar{B}_{B_{1}} \partial_{\nu_{1}} B_{B_{3}} + \text{H.c.},
\]

where the Dirac spinor operators are defined as,

\[
B_{0}^{ab} \equiv -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left( \gamma_{5} + \gamma_{a} \right) y^{a} B_{3}, \quad B_{3}^{ab} \equiv B_{3}^{ab},
\]

\[
B_{0}^{ab} \equiv \sqrt{3} \gamma_{a} B^{ab} ; \quad B_{3}^{ab} \equiv B_{3}^{ab},
\]

and the charmed baryon matrices are defined as

\[
B_{3} = \left( \begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \Lambda_{+} & \Xi_{+} \\
-\Lambda_{+} & 0 & \Xi_{0} \\
-\Xi_{+} & -\Xi_{0} & 0
\end{array} \right) , \quad B = \left( \begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{1}{2} \Sigma_{+} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Xi_{+} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Xi_{0} \\
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Xi_{+} & \frac{1}{2} \Sigma_{0} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Omega_{c} \\
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Xi_{0} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Omega_{c} & -\frac{1}{2} \Sigma_{0}
\end{array} \right) .
\]

The masses of particles involved in the calculation are chosen as suggested central values in the Review of Particle Physics (PDG) [45]. The mass of broad $\sigma$ meson is chosen as 500 MeV. The coupling constants involved are listed in Table I.

However, for the couplings of former eight channels and the lowest channel $\Lambda J/\psi,$ the heavy quark effective Lagrangians are not enough for calculation. Here, we apply effective Lagrangians under the SU(4) symmetry as [50-53],

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{BBB}} = \frac{g_{\text{BBB}}}{m_{B_{1}}} B_{3}^{ab} \gamma_{5} \partial_{\nu_{1}} B_{3},
\]

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{BBV}} = -\frac{g_{\text{BBV}}}{m_{B_{1}}} B_{3}^{ab} \gamma_{5} \partial_{\nu_{1}} B_{3},
\]

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{BBV}} = -\frac{g_{\text{BBV}}}{m_{B_{1}}} B_{3}^{ab} \gamma_{5} \partial_{\nu_{1}} B_{3},
\]
The coupling constants adopted in the calculation, which are cited from the literature [46–49]. The $\beta$ and $\lambda_{\text{eff}}$ are in the units of GeV$^{-1}$. Others are in the units of 1.

| Coupl. | Relation | Values | Coupl. | Relation | Values |
|--------|----------|--------|--------|----------|--------|
| $g_{\text{BBV}}$ | $g_{\text{BBV}} = g_{\text{BBV}}(B + B^\dagger)\partial_\mu P, g_{\text{BBV}} = -g_{\text{BBV}}\sqrt{m_B/m_P}$ | $0.109$ | $g_{\text{BBV}}$ | $g_{\text{BBV}} = g_{\text{BBV}}(B + B^\dagger)\partial_\mu P, g_{\text{BBV}} = -g_{\text{BBV}}\sqrt{m_B/m_P}$ | $0.242$ |
| $g_{\text{BBV}}$ | $g_{\text{BBV}} = g_{\text{BBV}}(B + B^\dagger)\partial_\mu P, g_{\text{BBV}} = -g_{\text{BBV}}\sqrt{m_B/m_P}$ | $-3.98$ | $g_{\text{BBV}}$ | $g_{\text{BBV}} = g_{\text{BBV}}(B + B^\dagger)\partial_\mu P, g_{\text{BBV}} = -g_{\text{BBV}}\sqrt{m_B/m_P}$ | $-2.298$ |
| $g_{\text{BBV}}$ | $g_{\text{BBV}} = g_{\text{BBV}}(B + B^\dagger)\partial_\mu P, g_{\text{BBV}} = -g_{\text{BBV}}\sqrt{m_B/m_P}$ | $4.596$ | $g_{\text{BBV}}$ | $g_{\text{BBV}} = g_{\text{BBV}}(B + B^\dagger)\partial_\mu P, g_{\text{BBV}} = -g_{\text{BBV}}\sqrt{m_B/m_P}$ | $0.839$ |
| $g_{\text{BBV}}$ | $g_{\text{BBV}} = g_{\text{BBV}}(B + B^\dagger)\partial_\mu P, g_{\text{BBV}} = -g_{\text{BBV}}\sqrt{m_B/m_P}$ | $2.298$ | $g_{\text{BBV}}$ | $g_{\text{BBV}} = g_{\text{BBV}}(B + B^\dagger)\partial_\mu P, g_{\text{BBV}} = -g_{\text{BBV}}\sqrt{m_B/m_P}$ | $2.298$ |
| $g_{\text{BBV}}$ | $g_{\text{BBV}} = g_{\text{BBV}}(B + B^\dagger)\partial_\mu P, g_{\text{BBV}} = -g_{\text{BBV}}\sqrt{m_B/m_P}$ | $-7.07$ | $g_{\text{BBV}}$ | $g_{\text{BBV}} = g_{\text{BBV}}(B + B^\dagger)\partial_\mu P, g_{\text{BBV}} = -g_{\text{BBV}}\sqrt{m_B/m_P}$ | $-7.07$ |

With the vertices obtained from above Lagrangians, the potential of couple-channel interaction can be constructed easily with the help of the standard Feynman rules. Because nine channels are involved in the current work, it is tedious and fallible to give explicit 81 potential elements and input them into code. Instead, in this work, following the method in Refs. [54], we input vertices $\Gamma$ and propagators $P$ into the code directly. The potential can be written as

$$\nabla_{\mu} = f_I \Gamma_1 \Gamma_2 P_{\mu = \nu} f(q^2), \quad \nabla_{\nu} = f_I \Gamma_1 \Gamma_2 P_{\mu = \nu} f(q^2).$$

The propagators are defined as usual as

$$P_{\mu, \nu} = \frac{i}{q^2 - m_{\mu, \nu}^2}, \quad P_{\mu, \nu} = \frac{i}{q^2 - m_{\mu, \nu}^2} q^\mu q^\nu / m_{\mu, \nu}^2,$$

where the form factor $f(q^2)$ is adopted to compensate the off-shell effect of exchanged meson as $f(q^2) = e^{-\eta q^2 / m_{\text{onshell}}^2}$ with $m_{\text{onshell}}$ and $\eta$ being the mass and momentum of the exchanged meson, respectively. And $\Lambda_c$ is a cutoff to suppress the on-shell effect of exchange meson. The $f_I$ is the flavor factor for certain meson exchange of certain interaction, and the explicit values are listed in Table III.

### B. The qBSE approach

The BSE is a four-dimensional integral equation in the Minkowski space, which is hard to solve directly. By using spectator quasipotential approximation and partial-wave decomposition, the four-dimensional equation can be reduced into a 1-dimensional equation with fixed spin-parity $J^{P}$ as [59],

$$iM_{\nu, \lambda}(p', p) = \nu \nu(\nu \nu) G_0(p') iM_{\nu, \lambda}(p', p),$$

where the sum extends only over nonnegative helicity $\lambda''$. The $G_0(p''')$ is reduced from the 4-dimensional propagator under quasipotential approximation as $G_0(p''') = \delta^4(p''')^2 - m_{\text{onshell}}^4)/(m_{\text{onshell}}^4 - m_{\text{onshell}}^4)$ with $m_{\text{onshell}}$ and $m_{\text{onshell}}$ being the momenta and masses of heavy or light constituent particles. The partial wave potential is defined as,

$$iM_{\nu, \lambda}(p', p) = \nu \nu(\nu \nu) G_0(p') iM_{\nu, \lambda}(p', p),$$

where $\eta = PP, P_2(1 - J^F - J^L)$ with $P$ and $J$ being parity and spin for system, constitution 1 or 2. The initial and final relative momenta are chosen as $p = (0, 0, p)$ and $p' = (p' \sin \theta, 0, p' \cos \theta)$. The $d_{\nu, \lambda}^I(\theta)$ is the Wigner d-matrix and the integration of the amplitude is

$$\sum_{\nu, \lambda} \int d\Omega_{\nu, \lambda} |M_{\nu, \lambda}(p', p)|^2 = \sum_{\nu, \lambda} \left| \sum_{J^F, J^L} iM_{\nu, \lambda}(p', p) |^2 \right|.$$
The propagator $G$ is of a form
\begin{align}
G_{p=0} &= \frac{w(p_f')p_i^{'2}}{(2\pi)^3}G_0(p_i'), \\
G_{j=0} &= -\frac{ip_i'}{32\pi^2W} + \sum_j \left[ \frac{w(p_j)}{(2\pi)^3 2W(p_i')^2} \right],
\end{align}
where on-shell momentum $p_i' = \lambda^{1/2}(W, M_1, M_2)/2W$ with $\lambda(x, y, z) = [x^2 - (y + z)^2][x^2 - (y - z)^2]$ and $W$ being the total energy of the system of two constituents. We also adopt an exponential regularization by introducing a form factor into the propagator as $G_0(p_i') \to G_0(p_i') \left[ e^{-\eta_i^{'2} - m_i^{'2}/\Lambda^2} \right]$ with $\Lambda$ being a cutoff [59]. For simply, we set the cutoff $\Lambda_\alpha = \Lambda$, and adjust the value of $\Lambda$ around 1 GeV. However, the key observation channel $\Lambda J/\psi$ couples with other channels only depend on the charged heavy mesons like $D, D_s, D^*, D^*$. The cutoff $\Lambda_{(D,D_s)}$ and $\Lambda_{(D^*,D^*)}$ will be chosen at least larger than their masses. Specific values will be mentioned later.

The poles of nine-channel scattering in different spin parity $J^P$ are searched by variation of $z$ in the complex plane to satisfy $|1 - V(z)G(z)| = 0$. The amplitudes of $\Lambda J/\psi$ scattering can be obtained at the same time. In the current work, the invariant mass spectrum of $\Lambda J/\psi$ channel can be given approximately with the scattering amplitude $M_{J/\psi\Lambda}^{pJ}$ as,
\begin{align}
\frac{d\sigma}{dW} &= \sum_{J^P} \sum_{J^P + 0 \leq 0} |M_{J/\psi\Lambda}^{pJ}(p', p)|^2 \\
&\quad \cdot \Lambda^{1/2}(W, M_{J/\psi}, m_\Lambda)^1/2 \left( \bar{W}, W, m_3 \right)/W,
\end{align}
with $\bar{W}$ being total energy of the decay process, that is, the mass of $\Xi^+_c$ or $B^-$. For simplicity, we do not calculate the yield of initial decay process from $\Xi^+_c$ or $B^-$, and a yield parameter $C$ is introduced to absorb all the constants and the information about the yield.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Bound states from single-channel calculation

The hadronic molecular state is a bound state from the interaction of two hadrons. The single-channel calculation will provide the basic picture of molecular states from the interaction considered. Here, a search for the poles from single-channel interactions will be first performed by the $\log|1 - V(z)G(z)|$ with the variation of real and imaginary parts of $z$. The results with a cutoff $\Lambda = 1.1$ GeV are presented in Fig. 1, where the abscissa corresponds to the real part of the pole position, and the ordinate corresponds to the imaginary part of the pole position. In this work, we only consider the bound states which can be introduced in S wave. However, in the calculation, higher-wave contributions for these states are included.

There are five poles found from the interactions considered. Since only single-channel interactions are considered, all poles are found on the real axis of the complex energy plane. These poles correspond to the five molecular states generated from the single-channel interaction of $\Xi_c^0 \bar{D}$ with $3/2^-$, $\Xi_c D$ with $1/2^-$ and $3/2^-$, $\Xi_c \bar{D}$ with $1/2^-$, and $\Xi_c \bar{D}$ with $1/2^-$. If the cutoff increases, all poles will leave corresponding thresholds further. Two states with spin parity $1/2^-$ and $3/2^-$ from the $\Xi_c^0 \bar{D}$ interaction have almost the same mass with single-channel calculation, about 4474 MeV, which is a little larger than that of $P_{\psi_c}^\Lambda(4338)$. The only can be distinguished after consider coupling effects and the cutoff $\Lambda$ also ought to be adjusted a little larger. The $\Xi_c D(1/2^-)$ interaction can produce an S-wave bound state with a mass of about 4335 MeV, which is very close to the mass of $P_{\psi_c}^\Lambda(4338)$. The $\Xi_c \bar{D}$ interaction produces a molecular state with spin parity $1/2^-$. The $\Xi_c \bar{D}$ channel produces a molecular state with spin parity $3/2^-$, which has relatively weaker bondage than the other four states. Its mass is almost at the threshold, but the binding energy will be increased with the increase of cutoff. Since there is no light meson exchange potential as the attractive mechanism, the rest three channels $\Lambda D^*_1$, $\Lambda D_1$, and $\Lambda J/\psi$ can not be bound in the current model even if the cutoff $\Lambda$ is adjusted to the largest number in reasonable range.

B. States near $\Xi_c D^*$ threshold and $P_{\psi_c}^\Lambda(4459)$

For better understanding of the origin of the $P_{\psi_c}^\Lambda(4459)$, the coupled-channel effects will be included to introduce width to the bound states and estimate the $J/\psi\Lambda$ invariant mass spectrum in energy range of $[4360 - 4540]$ MeV where the $P_{\psi_c}^\Lambda(4459)$ was observed. As shown in the single-channel calculation, four molecular states are produced in this range, including $\Xi_c^0 D(1/2^-)$, $\Xi_c D^*(1/2^-, 3/2^-)$, and $\Xi_c D(3/2^-)$. To
compare with the experimental invariant mass spectrum, the corresponding cutoff $\Lambda$ will be adjusted to 1.14 GeV, which is a little larger than the one in single-channel calculation, to move the poles to the experimental peak. The cutoff $\Lambda(D,D')$ and $\Lambda(D^*,D^*)$ will be set as 2.25 and 2.4 GeV, which do not involve in single-channel calculation. With the increase of the cutoff $\Lambda$, the binging of states will be deeper accordingly. The estimated invariant mass spectrum is presented in Fig. 2 and compared with the experiment [24].
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**FIG. 2:** The $J/\psi\Lambda$ invariant mass spectrums with bin of 6 MeV (upper panel) and of 1 MeV (lower panel). The green, red, and black curves are for the total, peak, and background contributions. The blue points with error bars are the data removing the background contribution from LHCb experiment [24]. The gray lines correspond to the thresholds of the interactions.

In the upper panel of Fig. 2, the theoretical results with a bin of 6 MeV are presented, and compared with the LHCb experiment with the same bin [24]. Besides an obvious peak from the molecular states, the direct results from the current coupled-channel calculation provide a background contributions in the $J/\psi\Lambda$ invariant mass spectrum (see green curves in Fig. 2). It is simply removed as a unary quadratic function $BK(W) = 6646.84 - 3053.5W + 350.7W^2$ by comparing the theoretical and experimental results in the range of $[4360 - 4390] \cup [4480 - 4540]$ MeV (see black curves in Fig. 2). After removing such background, the results from the molecular states are extracted, and in good line with the experimental data. To find the best comparison with experiment with bin of 6 MeV, the contributions of two $\Xi^*_cD^*$ states with spin parity $1/2^-$ and $3/2^-$ exhibit as one peak. If we adjust bin to a smaller value, 1 MeV, in the lower panel of Fig. 2, the contributions from the two states are distinguishable. It shows that the peak of $P^{\Lambda}(4459)$ may be composed of a lower narrow $\Xi^*_cD^*$ state with $J^P = 3/2^-$ and a higher wider $\Xi^*_cD^{*}$ state with $J^P = 1/2^-$. To provide more explicit of the contributions from these two $\Xi^*_cD^*$ states, the poles and the invariant mass spectrum for different spin parities will be presented. The results for spin party $1/2^-$ is illustrated in Fig. 3. In the single-channel calculation, where two poles are found on the real axis near the $\Xi^*_cD^*$ and $\Xi^*_cD^{*}$ thresholds, respectively. After including the coupled-channel effect, the poles leave the real axis and become two conjugate poles in the complex energy planes, which indicates that the bound states acquire width. The $\Xi^*_cD^{*}$ state with spin parity $1/2^-$ has a mass of 4465 MeV and a width of 18 MeV. The structure $P^{\Lambda}(4459)$ peak can even be well describe by the peak of state $\Xi^*_cD^{*}(1/2^-)$, except for the data points at about 4453 MeV. The $\Xi^*_cD(1/2^-)$ is a relatively narrow state at about 4423 MeV with a width of about 6 MeV. In the experimental spectrum, there is a small dip around 4423 MeV, which can be in good conformity with the molecular state $\Xi^*_cD(1/2^-)$. Unfortunately, the precision of experiment are not high enough to identify its existence. The calculation also suggests to observe such state in the $\Lambda_cD^*$ invariant mass spectrum.
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**FIG. 3:** The poles (upper panel) and the $J/\psi\Lambda$ invariant mass spectrums (lower panel) for spin parity $1/2^-$. The green (full), red (dashed), and black (dotted) curves are for the total, peak, and background contributions. The blue points with error bars are the data removing the background contribution from LHCb experiment cited from Ref. [24]. The gray lines correspond to the thresholds of the interactions.

In Fig. 4, the results for spin parity $3/2^-$ are presented. The $\Xi^*_cD^*$ state with $3/2^-$, as well as that with $1/2^-$, is produced near the threshold with a mass of 4457 MeV. The width of the state $\Xi^*_cD^{*}(3/2^-)$ equals to about 1.6 MeV, being much smaller than that of the state with $1/2^-$. The peak of the state $\Xi^*_cD^{*}(3/2^-)$ is so narrow that it can only raise the events near the central value and not influence much of the general shape of the invariant mass spectrum. The peak with cutoff $\Lambda = 1.14$ falls in the middle of two highest data points. If a cutoff $\Lambda = 1.18$, which is a little large, is adopted, the peak will move to the lower highest data point. The state $\Xi^*_cD(3/2^-)$
has a mass about 4512 MeV and a width about 17 MeV. The low yield of the events with $J^P = 3/2^-$ indicates that high precision data are required to observe the $\Xi^+_c \bar{D}(3/2^-)$ in $J/\psi \Lambda$ invariant mass spectrum. The decay channels $\Xi_{c}^{+} \bar{D}^{*}$ and $\Lambda_{c} \bar{D}^{*}$ couple strongly with the state, which will be good choices to search for this state.

C. State near $\Xi_c \bar{D}$ threshold and $P^{\Lambda}_{\psi s}(4338)$

The $P^{\Lambda}_{\psi s}(4338)$ was observed near the $\Xi_c \bar{D}$ threshold in the process $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \Lambda \bar{p}$. The single-channel results suggest a bound state from the $\Xi_c \bar{D}$ interaction, which is close to the $P^{\Lambda}_{\psi s}(4338)$. To confirm their relation, the invariant mass spectrum should be estimated and compared with the experiment. To match the experimental data points, we need to introduce a parameterized background contribution with $M^{BK, J^P} = a e^{ib\pi}(M_{A, J/\psi} - M_{min})^r (M_{max} - M_{A, J/\psi})^d$ with the $M_{max}$ and $M_{min}$ being the upper and lower limits of phase space, which interferes with the amplitude from the $\Xi_c \bar{D}$ interaction. Considering that the $P^{\Lambda}_{\psi s}(4338)$ is very close to the threshold, the cutoff $\Lambda$ is adjusted to 1.04 GeV, a little smaller than 1.1GeV, and the cutoff $\Lambda_{(D, \bar{D})}$ and $\Lambda_{(\bar{D}, D^{*})}$ will be set as 2.15 and 2.3 GeV. The parameters for the background will be set as $(a, b, c, d) = (0.054, 0.019, 0.085, 0.28)$. The results are shown in the following Fig. 5 and compared with recent LHCb experiment.

The diagram of poles shows that a very narrow molecular state from the $\Xi_c \bar{D}$ interaction with spin parity $1/2^-$, which is very close to the corresponding threshold. The mass and width of the state are about 4336.5 MeV and 0.8 MeV, respectively. The strong coupling between the $\Lambda_{c} \bar{D}$, and the $\Xi_c \bar{D}$ channels provides the dominant contribution of its width. In the $J/\psi \Lambda$ invariant mass spectrum, the angle of interference between them has reached about 34.2°. Since the state produced from the $\Xi_c \bar{D}$ interaction is close to the threshold of the phase space, the original narrow peak becomes a relatively wide peak. Such a result implies that the width $P^{\Lambda}_{\psi s}(4338)$ determined experimentally need more analysis. An obvious enhancement can be produced from the contribution of molecular state $\Xi_{c} \bar{D}(1/2^-)$. However, the peak from the molecular state seems obvious wider than the experimental structure. Such results suggest that the structure $P^{\Lambda}_{\psi s}(4338)$ may be not only from a $\Xi_{c} \bar{D}(1/2^-)$ molecular state.

IV. SUMMARY

In this work, we perform a coupled-channel calculation to study the molecular states produced from interactions $\Xi_{c} \bar{D}^*$, $\Xi_{c} \bar{D}^*, \Xi_{c} \bar{D}^*$, $\Xi_{c} \bar{D}$, $\Lambda_{c} \bar{D}$, $\Xi_{c} \bar{D}$, $\Lambda_{c} \bar{D}$, $\Xi_{c} \bar{D}$, $\Lambda_{c} \bar{D}$, and $\Lambda_{c} \psi$. The poles of the molecular states are searched in complex energy plane in the qBSE approach. With the help of effective Lagrangian, the potential kernel can be constructed by meson exchanges. With the scattering amplitudes obtained, the invariant mass spectra are estimated and compared with the experiment. Based on the current results, the understanding of the experimentally observed $P^{\Lambda}_{\psi s}(4459)$ and $P^{\Lambda}_{\psi s}(4438)$, as well as their partners, can be drawn as follows.

- The $\Xi_c \bar{D}^*$ state with spin parity $1/2^-$ can reproduce the general lineshape of the structure $P^{\Lambda}_{\psi s}(4459)$ in...
the $J/\psi \Lambda$ invariant mass spectrum. However, the contribution from the $\Xi^*_c \bar{D}$ state with $3/2^-$, which is lower than the $1/2^-$ state, cannot be excluded due to its very small width. The high-precision data is required to understand its role in this structure.

- Based on the $J/\psi \Lambda$ invariant mass spectrum, the existence of $P_{11}^c (4459)$ suggests a dip near the $\Xi^*_c \bar{D}$ threshold in the LHCb experimental data. Such dip is consistent with a $\Xi^*_c \bar{D}$ molecular state with $1/2^-$ with a mass of 4423 MeV and a width of 6 MeV. The channel $\Lambda_c \bar{D}_s$ is a good choice to searching for this state.

- The state $\Xi^*_c \bar{D}(3/2^-)$ can be produced from the coupled channel calculation with a mass of 4512 MeV and a width of 17 MeV. However, both the experimental and theoretical results suggest that it couples very weakly to the $\Lambda_c J/\psi$ channel. It is suggested to search for such state in the channels $\Sigma^*_c \bar{D}$ and $\Lambda_c \bar{D}_s^*$.

- A molecular state with a very small width can be produced from the $\Xi^*_c \bar{D}$ interaction with spin parity $(1/2^-)$, which is very close to the $P_{11}^c (4338)$. However, the phase space suppress it into a wider peak near the threshold. Hence, large interference with background should be introduced to reproduce a narrow peak. In addition, the molecular state $\Sigma^*_c \bar{D}(1/2^-)$ also can be search for in the $\Lambda_c \bar{D}_s$ channel.

Within current model, there is no bound state produced from the interactions $\Lambda_c \bar{D}_s^*$, $\Lambda_c \bar{D}_s$, and $\Lambda_c J/\psi$.
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