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Abstract

The 4,7-dimethyl-2H-chromen-2-one (1) and its novel sulfur analogue 4,7-dimethyl-2H-chromen-2-thione (2) were synthesized and fully characterized by spectroscopic methods and mass spectrometry. The crystal structure of compound 2 has been determined by X-ray diffraction methods and it crystallizes in the monoclinic \( \text{I}_2/\text{m} \) space group. The molecular skeleton lies in the crystallographic mirror plane, in agreement with the expected theoretical planarity enforced by extended \( \pi \)-bonding. The crystal packing of both compounds is characterized by \( R_2^2(8) \) motif formed by intermolecular C–H···X=C \( (X=\text{O and S}) \) hydrogen-bonding interactions involving the 1-benzopyran-2-one/thione moiety, as also identified by Hirshfeld surfaces analysis. The vibrational properties have been studied by infrared and Raman spectroscopy data complemented by quantum chemical calculations and normal coordinate analysis of the potential energy distribution. The UV-Vis spectrum is interpreted in terms of TD-DFT quantum chemical calculations, showing a clear red-shift in the HOMO-LUMO electronic transition when the chromen-2-one ring is converted in the chromen-2-thione.
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Introduction

Coumarins derivatives, i.e. molecules containing the benzopyran-2-one, or chromen-2-one ring system, are widely distributed throughout nature occurring as secondary metabolites of plant species, notably in the tonka bean and Melilotus species. Coumarin was first reported to be synthesized in 1868 by Perkin from salicylahdehyde and acetic anhydride. Since then, the preparation of coumarin and its derivatives has attracted the attention of organic chemists and numerous methods have been developed for their synthesis, the Pechmann condensation and Knowvenagel reactions are widely used.

Coumarin heterocyclic derivatives exhibit diverse biological activities, as reviewed recently. In particular, the anti-cholinesterase activity displayed by coumarin class of compounds have been introduced as anti-Alzheimer’s agents. It has been suggested that the coumarin motif interacts primarily with the peripheral anionic binding site, preventing the polymerization of β-amyloid peptide plaques and fibrils formation. Coumarins are also inhibitors of the monoamine oxidase enzyme, a target for treatment of depression and Alzheimer disease. The key role played by coumarines in the pharmacotherapy of breast cancer has been recently reviewed. Lafitte, et al. designed a series of coumarin compounds that inhibit DNA gyrase protein -involved in replication and transcription of bacterial circular DNA- a target for antibacterial agents.

Substitutions on the benzopyrane ring influence the chemical, structural and biological properties of coumarins. Moreover, a large degree of structural and chemical diversity is reached by introducing different heteroatoms in the 1- and/or 2- positions of the 1-benzopyran-2-one group. In particular, 2-thiocoumarins are accessible from the corresponding coumarine by using an equimolar amount of p-methoxyphenylthionophosphine sulfide dimer (Lawesson's
Reagent) as sulfur-transfer reagent. The simplest 2-thiocoumarin derivative have an essentially planar structure in the solid phase and crystallizes in a non-centrosymmetric space group, being promising candidate for non-linear optical applications, as crystals may display second harmonic generation (SHG) effects. Moreover, analytical applications of thiocoumarins were reported, with significant chromogenic and fluorogenic signaling toward Hg(II) and Au(III) ions, which induce the selective desulfuration of thiocoumarin to coumarin.

Here, the synthesis and characterization of two close species, i.e. 4,7-dimethyl-2H-chromen-2-one (also known as 7-methyl-4-methyl-coumarin) (1), and 4,7-dimethyl-2H-chromen-2-thione (also known as 7-methyl-4-methyl-thiocoumarin) (2) (see Scheme 1) is presented. The aim of this work is to provide a structural and spectroscopic study for these molecules and analyse the influence of the heteroatom in the 2-one/thione group. Thus, the analysis of the X-ray molecular structures and vibrational FTIR and FT-Raman spectra is presented and analysed with the help of a normal mode coordinate analysis and quantum chemical calculations at the B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) level of approximation. Furthermore, the electronic spectra for both compounds have been determined and analysed in terms of TD-DFT methods and results from the Natural Bond Orbital (NBO) population analysis.

**Scheme 1.** 4,7-dimethyl-2H-chromen-2-one/thione derivatives

![Diagram](image-url)
2-Experimental

2.1-Synthesis. Preparation of substituted 4-methyl coumarin was achieved by placing 2 g (0.0185 mole) of pure 3-methyl phenol in a 250 ml round bottom flask and adding 1.77 ml of ethyl acetoacetate and mixing to get a clear solution. This solution was cooled to 5-10 °C. Meanwhile, 10 ml of concentrated H₂SO₄ was cooled to about 5-10 °C in an ice bath. The above solution was added drop by drop into concentrated H₂SO₄ with vigorous stirring. The resultant dark green solution was cooled and was stirred into crushed ice. The solid product thus separated out, was allowed to settle down. Crude product was filtered off, repeatedly washed with water and recrystallized from ethanol to get crystals.

Thionation of compound 1 with Lawesson reagent (LR) was conducted as described in the literature for similar coumarines. The standard procedure uses 3 mmol of compound 1 in 3 mL of toluene with 3 mmol of LR, the resulting mixture was refluxed during 3.5 h with stirring, cooled to ambient temperature and then concentrated in vacuum to yield a yellow solid. It was further recrystallized from ethanol/water (1/1) and slow evaporation yields small crystals suitable for X-ray analysis.

Scheme 2. Synthetic route for compounds 1 and 2.
4,7-Dimethyl-2H-chromen-2-one (I): Yield 75%, mp 131°C; Rf: 0.40 (Petroleum ether: ethyl acetate 4:1); IR (KBr pellets, cm\(^{-1}\)): 1702-1706 (C=O), 1619, 1583-1586 (Ar=C=C); \(^1\)H NMR (DMSO, 300 MHz) \(\delta\): 7.29-6.71 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 4.17 (s, 1H, C-H), 2.31 (s, 3H, C-H), 2.10 (s, 3H, C-H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (75 MHz) \(\delta\) (ppm): 160.4 (C=O), 151.2 (C=C), 150.1 (Ar), 136.0 (Ar), 125.7 (Ar), 124.4 (Ar), 120.6 (Ar), 119.8 (Ar), 113.4 (Ar), 24.6 (C-H), 20.5 (C-H); GC-MS (m/z): 174 ([M]+, 68%); 146 ([C\(_{10}\)H\(_{10}\)O]+, 100%); 131 ([C\(_{9}\)H\(_{7}\)O]+, 27%); 115 ([C\(_{9}\)H\(_{7}\)]+, 33%).

4,7-Dimethyl-2H-chromen-2-thione (2): 94% Yield, mp 118 °C; IR (KBr pellets, cm\(^{-1}\)): 1623-1530 (Ar C=C), 1300 (vs), 1169 (s), 1112 (s); \(^1\)H NMR (CDCl\(_3\), 200 MHz) \(\delta\): 7.59-7.59 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.18 (s, 1H, C-H), 2.51 (s, 3H, C-H), 2.41 (s, 3H, C-H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (200 MHz) \(\delta\): 197.5(C=S), 156.3, 144.5, 143.5, 128.2, 126.6, 124.2, 119.2, 117.2, 21.8, 18.0; GC-MS (m/z): 190 ([M]+, 72%); 146 ([C\(_{10}\)H\(_{10}\)O]+, 100%); 131 ([C\(_{9}\)H\(_{7}\)O]+, 15%); 115 ([C\(_{9}\)H\(_{7}\)]+, 21%).

2.2-Instrumentation. Melting point was determined using a digital Gallenkamp (SANYO) model MPDBM 3.5 apparatus and is uncorrected. \(^1\)H NMR and \(^{13}\)C NMR spectra were determined in CDCl\(_3\) with a 300 MHz Bruker AM-300 spectrophotometer. The GC-MS measurements were recorded with a GCMS-QP2010 SHIMADZU instrument using gaseous helium as mobile phase with the pressure in the column head equal to 100 kPa. The column used was a 19091J-433 HP-5 (30 mm x 0.32 mm x 0.25 mm). 1 µL volume of the compounds dissolved in CHCl\(_3\) and C\(_2\)H\(_5\)OC(O)CH\(_3\) were chromatographed using the following general conditions: the injection temperature was 200 °C, the initial column temperature (70 °C) was kept for 2 min, then the temperature was increased to 200 °C (heating rate of 10 °C/min) and maintained at this temperature for 4 min. This was followed by a final heating to 250 °C (10 °C/min) after which the column was kept at this temperature for further 2 min. The spectrometer source temperature was maintained at 200 °C. Elemental analyses were conducted using a LECO-183 CHNS analyzer.
2.3-Crystal structure determination. The measurements were performed on an Oxford Xcalibur, Eos, Gemini CCD diffractometer with graphite-monochromated CuKα (λ = 1.54184 Å) radiation. X-ray diffraction intensities were collected (ω scans with θ and κ-offsets), integrated and scaled with CrysAlisPro suite of programs. The unit-cell parameters were obtained by least-squares refinement (based on the angular settings for all collected reflections with intensities larger than seven times the standard deviation of measurement errors) using CrysAlisPro. Data were corrected empirically for absorption employing the multi-scan method implemented in CrysAlisPro.

The possible space groups and crystal structure were determined by the intrinsic phasing procedure implemented in SHELXT of the SHELX suit of programs, assuming as prior information a primitive cell (P), the Laue group (2/m), and the presence of the expected non-H atomic species in the solid, namely S, O, and C. There were selected six space groups having the best figure of merit out of the 8 centrosymmetric and 6 non-centrosymmetric ones evaluated, namely the center-symmetric P2₁/c and P2/m and their respective non center-symmetric subgroups P2₁ and Pc, and P2 and Pm. We disregard all these subgroups as the center-symmetric test of intrinsic phasing clearly indicated the inversion-related character of the atoms constellation (quantified by the small phase error α₀ = 0.065) and the absolute structure Flack parameter x was close to 0.5 hence indicating a racemic mixture. The structure in both P2₁/c and P2/m space groups easily yielded to intrinsic phasing which lead to a single independent molecule showing pseudo-mirror symmetry [rms deviation of non-H atoms from the best least-squares plane of 0.0047 Å] in P2₁/c, and to two independent molecules at special positions of mirror-symmetry in P2/m group. In these space groups, the atomic species assigned from the integrated electron density around the peaks of the map determined the correct non-H chemical formula, namely C₁₁O₅. At this stage, it
turned out more appropriated to describe the solid by the \( I2/m \) space group. In fact, in this group there is a single molecule per asymmetric unit laying on a crystallographic \( m \)-plane, as theoretically expected for a planar molecule.

The molecular model was refined by full-matrix least-squares with SHELXL of the SHELX package. All H-atoms were located in a Fourier difference map phased on the heavier atoms and refined at their found positions with isotropic displacement parameters and C–H bond distances restrained to a target value of 0.96(1) Å. Crystal data, data collection procedure, and refinement results are summarized in Table 1. Crystallographic structural data have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC). Enquiries for data can be direct to: Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge, UK, CB2 1EZ or (e-mail) deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk or (fax) +44 (0) 1223 336033. Any request to the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre for this material should quote the full literature citation and the reference number CCDC 1540188. Crystal data, structure refinements and geometrical parameters are given as Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI, Tables S1-S4).

2.4- **Spectroscopy.** Solid-phase (in KBr pellets) infrared spectra were recorded with a resolution of 2 cm\(^{-1}\) in the 4000-400 cm\(^{-1}\) range on a Bruker EQUINOX55 FTIR spectrometer. Raman spectra for compounds 1 and 2 in the solid phase were recorded using a Horiba Jobin Yvon T64000 Raman spectrometer equipped with a liquid N\(_2\)-cooled back-thinned CCD detector. Spectra were recorded as the co-addition of up to 16 individual spectra with CCD exposure times of 10-20s each. Compound 1 was powdered and mixed with K\(_2\)SO\(_4\) for diminishing the fluorescence signal. UV-Vis spectra in Dichloromethane solution were recorded using a standard quartz cell placed in the sample compartment of a Shimadzu Model 2600/2700 spectrometer (2 nm resolution).
2.5- **Computational details.** Molecular quantum chemical calculations have been performed with the GAUSSIAN 03 program package \(^{25}\) by using the B3LYP DFT hybrid methods employing Pople-type basis set.\(^{26}\) The valence triple-\(\xi\) basis set augmented with diffuse and polarization functions in both the hydrogen and weighty atoms [6-311++G(d,p)] has been used for geometry optimization and frequency calculations. The calculated vibrational properties corresponded in all cases to potential energy minima for which no imaginary frequency was found. The Potential Energy Distribution PED analysis are computed from the B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) calculated vibrational frequencies using VEDA4 program.\(^{27, 28}\) Natural population analysis and second-order Donor→ acceptor interaction energies were estimated at the B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) level by using the NBO analysis.\(^{20}\) The vertical transition energies were calculated at the optimized ground-state geometry using the time-dependent density functional theory \(^{29}\) at the same level of theory used for optimization an vibrational calculations taking into account solvent effects (CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\)) through the Polarizable Continuum Model \(^{30}\) to produce a number of 10 singlet-to-singlet transitions.

2.6- **Hirshfeld surface analysis.** Hirshfeld surfaces and their associated two-dimensional fingerprint plots \(^{31-34}\) are plotted using CrystalExplorer 3.1 software.\(^{35}\) The normalized contact distance \((d_{\text{norm}})\) surface and the breakdown of two-dimensional fingerprint plots are used for decoding and quantifying intermolecular interactions in the crystal lattice. 3D \(d_{\text{norm}}\) surfaces are mapped over a fixed color scale of -0.08 au (red) – 0.6 Å au (blue), *Shape index* mapped in the color range of –1.0 au (concave) –1.0 au (convex) Å, and *Curvedness* in the range of –4.0 au (flat) – 0.01 au (singular) Å. The 2D fingerprint plot displayed by using the translated 0.6–2.6 Å range, and including reciprocal contacts.

3- **Results and Discussion**
3.1- Electron impact ionization

The fragmentation of coumarin under electron ionization (EI) conditions was early described by Porter. Under EI conditions, its mass spectrum exhibits an abundant molecular ion and also abundant fragment ions attributed to loss of CO from the pyrone ring to form the benzofuran radical ion. This fragmentation pathway was elegantly verified by Kutney et al. using high-resolution mass spectrometry for a series of coumarins. Less known, however, is the behaviour of the corresponding thio analogue under electron impact ionization. Compounds 1 and 2 were analyzed by gas chromatography and mass spectrometry (see Figures S1 and S2 in the ESI material). The fragmentation pattern of both compounds is very similar, the molecular ion being clearly observed at m/z= 174 and 190, for compounds 1 and 2, respectively. Major observations on the fragmentation under EI conditions include the elimination of CO or CS to give the same benzofuran “odd electron” ion at m/z= 146, being the most abundant ion detected for both compounds. The mass spectra show the loss of a methyl group for this ion which results in the ion at m/z= 131, also found for 1 and 2. The loss of a oxygen from the benzofuran ring generates the ion at m/z= 115 observed in both mass spectra.

Scheme 3. Electron ionization fragmentation of compounds 1 and 2.
3.2- Structural analysis and Hirshfeld surface analysis

An ORTEP\textsuperscript{38} view of the $S_{11}H_{10}OS$ molecule is shown in Figure 1 where it is compared with the closely related $C_{11}H_{10}O_2$ molecule. The corresponding molecular bond distances and angles are included in Table 2, together with the computed geometrical parameters [6-311++G(d,p)].

The 1-benzopyran-2-one ring in compound 1 is essentially planar (the rms deviation of non-H atoms from the best least-squares plane is 0.009 Å), in agreement with the structure reported in similar molecules,\textsuperscript{39,40} while compound 2 is described by the $I2/m$ space group, the molecule laying on a crystallographic $m$-plane, as theoretically expected for a planar molecule. Geometrical parameters are similar for both molecules. The C1–O bond length is slightly longer for the oxygen analogue [1.378(2) Å], as compared with the value of 1.361(3) Å determined for compound 2. The bond angles around the O-C=X group show little variation with the chalcogen substitution, with O–C=X and C–C=X (X= O/S) values of 116.6 (1)°/ 117.6 (2)° and 126.2(1)°/125.3(2)°, respectively. The agreement between the computed and experimental geometrical parameters is very good. The
mean average deviation between the B3LYP/6–311++G(d,p) and experimental bond lengths and
bond angles are 0.004/0.009 Å and 0.08/0.003°, with a maximum error of 0.017/0.022 Å and
1.1/0.9°, for the C1O1/C2C3 bond length and O1C1C2/C3C4C5 angle, for compounds 1 and 2,
respectively.

Table 1. Crystal data and structure refinement results for compound 2.

| Parameter                          | Compound 2                |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Empirical formula                  | C_{11}H_{10}OS            |
| Formula weight                     | 190.25                    |
| Temperature/K                      | 293(2)                    |
| Crystal system                     | Monoclinic                |
| Space group                        | I 2/m                     |
| a/Å                                | 11.0492(8)                |
| b/Å                                | 6.9453(6)                 |
| c/Å                                | 13.528(1)                 |
| α/°                                | 107.219(8)                |
| β/°                                |                           |
| γ/°                                |                           |
| Volume/Å³                          | 991.57(14)                |
| Z                                  | 4                         |
| Density/cm³                        | 1.274                     |
| Absorption coefficient /mm⁻¹       | 2.529                     |
| F(000)                             | 400                       |
| Crystal size/mm³                   |                           |
| Radiation                          |                           |
| 2Θ range for data collection/°     | 4.558 to 72.342°.         |
| Index ranges                       | -13 ≤ h ≤ 13, -8 ≤ k ≤ 7, -15 ≤ l ≤ 16 |
| Reflections collected              | 3025                      |
| Independent reflections            | 1049 [R(int) = 0.0184]    |
| Data/restraints/parameters         | 1049 / 9 / 105            |
| Goodness-of-fit on F²              | 1.039                     |
| Final R indexes [I>2σ (I)]         | R1 = 0.0495, wR2 = 0.1350 |
| Final R indexes [all data]         | R1 = 0.0596, wR2 = 0.1507 |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e Å⁻³    | 0.142 and -0.230 e.Å⁻³   |
Figure 1. Drawings of 4,7-dimethyl-2H-chromen-2-one (1, left) and 4,7-dimethyl-2H-chromen-2-thione (2, right) molecules showing the numbering of the non-H atoms and their displacement ellipsoids at the 30% probability level.

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters of 4,7-dimethyl-2H-chromen-2-one (1) and 4,7-dimethyl-2H-chromen-2-thione (2).

| Bond distance (Å) | Compound 1 ¹⁹ | Compound 2 | Bond Angle (°) | Compound 1 ¹⁹ | Compound 2 |
|-------------------|---------------|------------|----------------|---------------|------------|
|                   | Calc          | Exp.       | Calc          | Exp.          | Calc       | Exp.       |
| O1-C2             | 1.395         | 1.378(2)   | 1.371         | 1.361(3)      | C2-O1-C9   | 122.3       | 121.6(1)   | 122.5       | 121.8(2)   |
| O1-C9             | 1.363         | 1.379(2)   | 1.366         | 1.377(4)      | O1-C2-C3   | 116.0       | 117.2(1)   | 116.6       | 117.1(3)   |
| C2-C3             | 1.453         | 1.445(2)   | 1.437         | 1.43(3)       | O1-C2-X11  | 117.7       | 116.6(1)   | 118.2       | 117.6(2)   |
| C2-X11            | 1.204         | 1.211(2)   | 1.651         | 1.634(4)      | C3-C2-X11  | 126.3       | 126.2(1)   | 125.2       | 125.3(2)   |
| C3-C4             | 1.355         | 1.345(2)   | 1.361         | 1.339(4)      | O1-C9-C10  | 121.8       | 121.1(1)   | 121.6       | 121.2(3)   |
| C4-C12            | 1.503         | 1.500(2)   | 1.502         | 1.503(4)      | O1-C9-C8   | 116.0       | 116.3(1)   | 116.4       | 115.7(2)   |
| C4-C10            | 1.452         | 1.450(2)   | 1.449         | 1.445(4)      | C3-C4-C12  | 121.1       | 121.1(1)   | 121.2       | 121.2(2)   |
| C5-C6             | 1.383         | 1.375(2)   | 1.383         | 1.367(4)      | C3-C4-C10  | 118.6       | 118.7(1)   | 118.3       | 118.7(2)   |
| C5-C10            | 1.408         | 1.404(2)   | 1.408         | 1.400(5)      | C12-C4-C10 | 120.3       | 120.3(1)   | 120.5       | 120.0(3)   |
| C6-C7             | 1.408         | 1.405(2)   | 1.408         | 1.398(5)      | C4-C10-C9  | 118.1       | 118.5(1)   | 117.8       | 118.0(3)   |
| C7-C8             | 1.391         | 1.385(2)   | 1.391         | 1.378(4)      | C4-C10-C5  | 124.6       | 124.8(1)   | 125.0       | 125.9(2)   |
| C7-C13            | 1.508         | 1.506(2)   | 1.508         | 1.506(5)      | C5-C10-C9  | 117.3       | 116.7(1)   | 117.2       | 118.0(3)   |
| C8-C9             | 1.395         | 1.386(2)   | 1.394         | 1.382(4)      |              |             |             |             |             |
| C9-C10            | 1.404         | 1.399(2)   | 1.404         | 1.391(3)      |              |             |             |             |             |
In the crystal packing, molecules of 1 are linked forming one-dimensional chains via C2–H⋯O=C hydrogen bonds (dH⋯O = 2.50 Å) of a $R_2^2(8)$ motif [symmetry code: -x, 1-y, 1-z], as shown in Figure 2. The corresponding pairs of mean planes are close to parallel-displaced to each other and chains arrange in 2-D nearly planar sheets involving centrosymmetric dimers interconected by H⋯O hydrogen bonds [symmetry code: 1-x, 2-y, 1-z]. The 7-CH$_3$ and C=O groups (dH⋯O = 2.61 Å) interacts in a tail-to-head manner adopting a $R_2^2(16)$ moiety. The 3-D network is further completed by weaker CH$_3$⋯O=C interactions (dH⋯O = 2.71 Å) involving the 4-CH$_3$ group [symmetry code: x, 1+y, z] (See Figure 2, bottom).

The compound 2 interacts via two centrosymmetric dimers forming sheets in a perfectly planar arrangement. Similar to compound 1, the C2–H group in the benzopyrone ring interacts with the S=C bond [symmetry code: 2-x,y,-z] forming a $R_2^2(8)$ motif (dH⋯S = 2.87 Å), as shown in Figure 2. The C8–H group also acts as a donor forming centrosymmetric dimers helds by C8–H⋯S=C hydrogen bond (dH⋯S = 2.96 Å) [symmetry code: -x+1,+y,-z] forming a $R_2^2(12)$ motif.
Figure 2. Top panel: packing diagram of compounds 1 and 2 showing $R_2^2(8)$ motif via intermolecular C2–H⋯S hydrogen bonds and C8–H⋯S interactions for 2 forming $R_2^2(12)$ motif. Bottom panel: $b$- and $c$-axis view of the hydrogen-bonding interactions in 1 and 2, respectively.

Following Seth et al. the Hirshfeld surfaces and the associated 2D fingerprint plot were calculated to better understand the role of non-classic hydrogen bonds interactions in the crystal packing of the title compounds. Figure 3 shows surfaces mapped over the $d_{norm}$, shape index and curvedness properties for compounds 1 and 2. For 1, the C–H⋯O hydrogen bond involving the C2–H donor can be clearly recognized by the red regions around the chromen-2-one group. A close examination with Shape index and Curvedness Hirshfeld surface properties has been done to identify planar stacking arrangements. $\pi$-$\pi$ stacking of six-membered rings are usually characterized by a typical pattern of touching red and blue triangles on the shape index surface.
The red triangles are concave regions associated with atoms of the π-π stacked rings above them, while the blue triangles are convex regions indicating the aromatic ring atoms of the molecule inside the surface. For compounds 1 and 2, the red triangles clearly observed in the centers of the benzopyrone ring complemented with touching blue triangles over C4, C6 and C8 depicts the presence of C···C contacts indicating π-stacking interactions. These are characterized by intercentroid distances $C_{g1}···C_{g2} = 3.5500(8)$ Å (symmetry code: 1-x,1-y,1-z), and $3.5341(3)$ Å (symmetry code: 3/2-x,1/2+y,1/2-z), respectively (see Figure S3 in the ESI section). This features are in excellent agreement with the recent Hirshfeld study of coumarin derivatives reported recently by Malecka and Budzisz.\textsuperscript{41}
**Figure 3.** Hirshfeld $d_{\text{norm}}$, Shape index and Curvedness surfaces for molecules 1 and 2.

| Surface property | Compound 1 | Compound 2 |
|------------------|------------|------------|
| $d_{\text{norm}}$ | ![Surface 1](image1) | ![Surface 2](image2) |
| Shape Index      | ![Shape Index 1](image3) | ![Shape Index 2](image4) |
| Curvedness       | ![Curvedness 1](image5) | ![Curvedness 2](image6) |

The relative contributions to the Hirshfeld surface area due to main intermolecular contacts for the compounds 1 and 2 are shown Figure 4. These fingerprint plots show that the methyl substituents on the ring play a key role in the participation of each type of contact. For both structures the H···H interactions (labeled 1) have the highest contribution with 48.9% and
44.7%, respectively. The pairs of narrow pointed spikes in compounds 1 (labelled 2) and 2 (labelled 6) show the presence of H···O and H···S contacts with contribution of 27.3% and 21.4% respectively. These contacts are forming the dimers in crystal packing through C-H...(X) hydrogen bonds. The π-π stacking (C···C) contacts are shown (labelled 4) on the diagonal at around \((de + di) = 1.8\) Å, these interactions comprise 12.6% for 1 and 12.5% for 2.

**Figure 4.** Fingerprint plots for compounds 1 (left) and 2 (right). Close contacts are labelled as: (1) H···H, (2) O···H, (3) C···H, (4) C···C, (5) O···C, (6) S···H and relative contributions of intermolecular contacts to the Hirshfeld surface area.
3.3-Vibrational properties

Figures 5 and 6 show the solid state infrared and Raman spectra of compounds 1 and 2, respectively. The observed and calculated infrared absorptions, the FT-Raman frequencies along with their relative intensities and assignments are summarized in Tables 3 and 4 for 1 and 2, respectively. The 3N–6 = 63 normal modes of vibration can be classified as 41 A’ in-plane modes and 22 A” out-of-plane modes in the Cs symmetry group computed for the vacuum isolated molecule. The assignment of the bands was determined from the normal coordinate analysis aided by visualization of the animations for displacement vectors of localized vibrational modes and complemented by comparison with spectra of related molecules.42-45 The joint analysis of the infrared and Raman spectra allows for a complete description of the vibrational properties of compounds 1 and 2, which is in good agreement with the harmonic frequencies obtained with the B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) level of calculations.

For compound 1, the most salient features are the νC=O and νC1–O stretching modes, appearing as intense absorptions at 1717 (1698 cm⁻¹ in Raman) and 1149 cm⁻¹ (1148 cm⁻¹ in Raman), respectively, in good agreement with the values reported for related species.46 The computed values are 1798 and 1151 cm⁻¹, suggesting that the relatively low frequency value experimentally observed for the νC=O is related with intermolecular interactions involving the carbonyl group in the crystalline compound 1.

A strong absorption is also observed at 1619 cm⁻¹ in the infrared spectrum of compound 1, with a counterpart at 1614 cm⁻¹ in the Raman spectrum. According to the potential energy distribution analysis, this mode is assigned with confidence to a pure νC=C stretching mode of the coumarin system, also in agreement with the quantum calculations, which predicts the occurrence
of the most intense $\nu_C=C$ mode at 1654 cm$^{-1}$ with the expected a' symmetry. The analysis of the Raman spectra allows for a clear assignment of the C-C stretching modes in the benzopyrone ring, with signals at 1559, 1512 and 1412 cm$^{-1}$.\textsuperscript{14,45}

![Figure 5. FT-Raman and FTIR spectra for compound 1 in the solid phase (bands in the Raman spectrum marked with * correspond to K$_2$SO$_4$).]

Table 3. FTIR and Raman experimental data for compound 1, together with the computed B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) values and tentative normal mode assignment

| IR $^{a}$ | Experimental Raman | Calculated $^{b}$ | Assignment $^{c}$ / % PED |
|-----------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| 3073$m$   | 3082$w$           | 3200 (1)          | a'/\nu CH (99)           |
| 3055$m$   |                   | 3193(7)           | a'/ \nu CH (100)         |
| 3011$w$   |                   | 3190(4)           | a'/ CH (90)              |
| 3984$w$   |                   | 3167(8)           | a'/ \nu CH (100)         |
|           |                   | 3121(16)          | a'/ $v_{as}$ CH$_3$-Py (85) |
| Frequency | Assignment | Groups | Notes |
|----------|------------|--------|-------|
| 2919 w  | a' / νas CH$_3$-Ph (82) |        |       |
| 3079 (9) | a''/νas CH$_3$-Ph (100) |        |       |
| 3076 (13) | a'/νas CH$_3$-Ph (100) |        |       |
| 3030 (11) | a'/νas CH$_3$-Ph (99) |        |       |
| 3027 (25) | a'/νas CH$_3$-Ph (100) |        |       |
| 1763 s,sh ; 1717 vs | 1698 vs | 1798 (776) | ν C=O (82) |
| 1661 (36) | a'/ν CC (100) |        |       |
| 1619 vs  | 1614 vs | 1654 (184) | a' / ν CC ring Ph (95) |
| 1560 s  | 1559 s | 1583 (35) | a' / ν CC ring Py (21); ν CC ring Ph (79) |
| 1512 w  | 1535 (4) | a' / δ CC (77); δ CH (32) |
| 1495 (30) | a' / δsa CH$_3$-Ph (61); δsa CH$_3$-Py (39) |
| 1490 (5) | a' / δsa CH$_3$-Py (67); δsa CH$_3$-Ph (33) |
| 1486 (8) | a'' / δsa CH$_3$-Ph (79); τ C=C$_7$-C$_7$-H (16) |
| 1482 (10) | a'' / δsa CH$_3$-Py (80); τ C$_3$-C$_4$-C$_12$-H (15) |
| 1411 w  | 1412 sh | 1438 (18) | a' / ν CC ring Ph (56); δ CH (44) |
| 1418 (34) | a' / δ CH$_3$-Py (68); δ CH (32) |
| 1414 (1) | a' / δ CH$_3$-Ph (93) |
| 1397 (39) | a'' / δsa C$_3$-C$_4$-C$_10$ (50); δ CH (27); δsa CH$_3$-py (23) |
| 1328 w  | 1360 (19) | a' / δ CC ring Ph (99) |
| 1298 (7) | a'' / δ HCC ring Ph (85); ν CC (15) |
| 1282 (20) | a' / Ph- ring breathing |
| 1237 w  | 1246 (10) | a' / δ CCH Py (90) |
| 1194 s  | 1195 (7) | a'' / δ CH Py (58) |
| 1149 s  | 1148 w | 1151 (63) | a' / νsa COC (34); ν OCC (34); δ CH ring Ph (32) |
| 1066 s  | 1077 (24) | a'' / Ph-Py ring breathing |
| 1060 (<1) | a'' / ρ CH$_3$-Py (20); τ C$_{10}$-C$_4$-CH$_3$ (50); γ C$_{12}$-C$_{10}$-C$_4$-C$_3$ (13) |
| 1036 m  | 1058 (6) | a'' / ρ CH$_3$-Ph (25); τ C$_{10}$-C$_4$-CH$_3$ (60); γ C$_{13}$-C$_8$-C$_7$-C$_6$ (16) |
| 1019 m  | 1035 (44) | a' / ν C$_7$-C$_8$ (21); δ C$_7$-C$_6$-C$_5$ (23); τ H-C$_{13}$-C$_7$-C$_6$ (54) |
| 961 m   | 974 m  | 973 (18) | a'' / δ CC ring Ph (44); ν CC (20); δ CH$_3$-Ph (20); νsa COC (16) |
| 958 m   | 965 (1) | a'' / ρ H-C$_{10}$-C$_7$-H (43); ρ H-C$_{10}$-C$_7$-C$_13$ (44) |
| 896 m   | 888 (2) | a'' / ρ H-C$_{10}$-C$_7$-C$_13$ (82); γ O-C$_6$-C$_6$-C$_10$ (13) |
| 888 s   | 881 m  | 878 (44) | a' / νsa C$_2$-C$_7$-C$_13$ (14); τ H-C$_{11}$-C$_12$-O (72); γ O-C$_2$-O-C$_3$ (13) |
| 878 s   | 872 (45) | a'' / δ CO (69) |
| 807 s   | 820 (21) | a'' / ρ H-C$_{10}$-C$_7$-H (42); τ H-C$_{10}$-C$_7$-C$_13$ (57) |
| 792 w   | 795 m  | 800 (11) | a' / ν C$_{13}$-C$_7$ (11); δ C-O-C (15); Ph- ring breathing (74) |
| 750 w   | 747 s  | 749 (3) | a'' / ν C$_{13}$ (10); τ C$_{11}$-C$_9$ (26); γ O-C-O-C (43) |
| 714 m   | 712 (3) | a' / δ ring Ph (53); ν CC (32) |
| 705 m   | 710 (4) | a'' / τ H-C$_3$-C$_2$-O (33); γ OCC (67) |
| 679 m   | 680 m  | 688 (3) | a'' / δ ring Ph (75); δ OCC (23) |
| 602 m   | 616 m  | 603 (3) | a'' / τ C$_2$-C$_6$-C$_7$-C$_8$ (32); γ C-CH$_3$ (68) |
| 576 m   | 578 m  | 579 (8) | a'' / δ COC (27); δ CC (13) |
| 535 m   | 543 (2) | a'' / τ H-C$_{12}$-C$_4$-C$_{10}$ (17); γ O-C$_6$-C$_8$-C$_{10}$ (43) |
| 524 w   | 522 m  | 528 (1) | a' / δ ring Py (43); δ COC (23) |
| 463 m   | 454 m  | 465 (8) | a' / δ ring Ph (73) |
| 438 m   | 429 w  | 448 (9) | a'' / τ C-C ring Py (75); γ C$_6$-C$_7$-C$_8$-C$_13$ (24) |
| 431 (1) | a' / δ COC (51); δ CC (28) |
| 374 (1) | a'' / δ CH$_3$-Ph (58); δ OCC (17) |
| 307 m   | 307 (1) | a'' / ρ CH$_3$-Py (77); ρ CH$_3$-Ph (23) |
| 260 m   | 275 (<1) | τ CCC (20); γ OCC (12) |
| 228 m   | 247 (<1) | a'' / γ C$_{10}$-C$_9$-C$_8$ (56); γ C$_{12}$-C$_{10}$-C$_9$-C$_8$ (16); τ C$_3$-C$_6$-C$_3$-C$_4$ (27) |
| 220 (1) | a'' / δCC (43); δ O-C$_6$-C$_8$ (16) |
| 204 m   | 205 (2) | a'' / τ H-C$_{12}$-C$_4$-C$_{10}$ (68); τ C$_3$-C$_4$-C$_10$-C$_8$ (32) |
| 171 m   | 167 (<1) | a'' / τ C$_3$-C$_4$-C$_10$-C$_9$ (51); γ C-CH$_3$-Py (29) |
| 117 m   | 100 (<1) | a'' / τ C$_2$-O-C$_9$-C$_6$ (43); τ C$_6$-C$_7$-C$_8$-C$_9$ (24); γ O$_{10}$-C$_8$-C$_6$ (23) |
For compound 2, vibrations of the 2H-chromen-2-thione ring give rise to medium intensity absorptions at 1257 and 1150 cm\(^{-1}\) assigned to coupled symmetric (\(\nu_s\)COC) and antisymmetric (\(\nu_{as}\)COC) motions of the C9–O–C1 internal coordinate, respectively. As reported by Burdzinski et al. for the thiocoumarin molecule, the C=S stretching coordinate is distributed over many normal modes without a normal mode dominantly localized on the the C=S stretch.\(^{47}\) The same is valid for compound 2: the potential energy distribution analysis suggest individual contributions of the C=S stretching coordinate to the frequencies (with \(a'\) symmetry) at 384(60%), 635(26%), 750(45%) and 1311(19%) cm\(^{-1}\) observed in both infrared and/or Raman spectra (see Table 4). The high intensity of the 384 and 635 cm\(^{-1}\) signals observed in the Raman spectrum is in line with this feature, since it is expected that the high polarizability of the C=S bond originates an intense Raman effect.

Finally, the \(\nu\text{C}=\text{C}\) stretching modes of the benzene system originate a series of intense absorptions at 1623, 1603 and 1537 cm\(^{-1}\), the last two bands with strong counterparts in the Raman spectrum of compound 2 at 1596 and 1537 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively.

\(\text{Table 4: Band intensities and shape: vs = very strong; s = strong; m = medium; w = weak; vw = very weak, sh: shoulder, br: broad.}^b\) In parenthesis computed IR intensities in Km/mol are given.\(^c\) \(\nu\): stretching (subscripts “s” and “as” refer to symmetric and antisymmetric modes, respectively), \(\delta\): deformation, \(\gamma\): out of plane deformation modes, \(\rho\): rocking mode.
Figure 6. FT-Raman and FTIR spectra for compound 2 in the solid phase.

Table 4. FTIR and Raman experimental data for compound 2, together with the computed B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) values and tentative normal mode assignment.

| IR  | Experimental Raman | Calculated | Assignment / % PED |
|-----|--------------------|------------|--------------------|
| 3132<sub>vww</sub> | 3210(1) | a'/ν CH (99) |
| 3056<sub>lm</sub> | 3193(7) | a'/ν CH (100) |
| 3011<sub>lm</sub> | 3168(8) | a'/ν as CH (88) |
| 3011<sub>w</sub> | 3121(15) | a'/ν as CH<sub>3</sub>-Py (100) |
| 3978<sub>wo</sub> | 3112(13) | a'/ν as CH<sub>3</sub>-Ph (99) |
| 2939<sub>wo</sub> | 3077(12) | a'/ν as CH<sub>3</sub>-Ph (100) |
| 2915<sub>wo</sub> | 3075(9) | a'/ν as CH<sub>3</sub>-Py (100) |
| 1623<sub>s</sub> | 1659(65) | a'/ν CC (60) ring Ph; ν CC ring Py (40) |
| 1603<sub>s</sub> | 1638(216) | a'/ν CC Ph (63); ν CC Py (37) |
| 1537<sub>s</sub> | 1576(105) | a'/ν CC Ph (52); ν CC Py (48) |
| 1446<sub>m</sub> | 1442<sub>m</sub> | 1537(1) | a' / δ CC (68); δ O,C=O (32) |
|-----------------|--------------|--------|-----------------------------|
| 1494(21)        | a' / δ<sub>x</sub> CH<sub>3</sub>-Ph (66); δ<sub>x</sub> CH<sub>3</sub>-Py (18) |
| 1491(29)        | a' / δ<sub>x</sub> CH<sub>3</sub>-Py (67); δ<sub>x</sub> CH<sub>3</sub>-Py (15) |
| 1486(8)         | a'' / δ<sub>γ</sub> CH<sub>2</sub>-Ph (76); τ HC<sub>13</sub>C<sub>4</sub>C<sub>8</sub> (24) |
| 1482(10)        | a'' / δ<sub>γ</sub> CH<sub>2</sub>-Py (77); τ HC<sub>13</sub>C<sub>2</sub>C<sub>3</sub> (23) |
| 1442(8)         | a' / ν CC ring Ph (44); δ CH (42) |
| 1394<sub>m</sub>| 1420(34)    | a'' / δ<sub>γ</sub> CH<sub>3</sub>-Py (51); δ CH (25) |
| 1380<sub>m</sub>| 1417(2)     | a' / δ<sub>γ</sub> CH<sub>3</sub>-Py (90) |
| 1337<sub>m</sub>| 1359(14)    | a' / δ CC ring Ph (71); δ, CH<sub>2</sub>-Ph (29) |
| 1300<sub>vs</sub>| 1294<sub>s</sub>| a' / ν CC (60); ν CS (19); δ CCO (20) |
| 1273<sub>m</sub>| a' / Ph- ring breathing |
| 1257<sub>m</sub>| 1260<sub>s</sub>| 1269(5) | a' / ν, COC (44); δ ring Ph (22) |
| 1207<sub>m</sub>| 1206<sub>vs</sub>| 1225(20) | a' / δ CCO (65); Ph- ring breathing (34) |
| 1169<sub>s</sub>| 1165<sub>w</sub>| 1186(57) | a' / δ CH ring Ph (58); δ CH ring Py (42) |
| 1150<sub>m</sub>| 1146<sub>m</sub>| 1167(92) | a'' / ν<sub>x</sub> COC (39); δ CH ring Ph (27) |
| 1112<sub>vs</sub>| 1108<sub>s</sub>| 1121(139) | a'' / δ CC ring Ph (34); δ CCO (26) |
| 1068<sub>m</sub>| 1065<sub>wv</sub>| 1082(93) | a'' / ν<sub>x</sub> COC (44); ν CC (27); τ CH<sub>3</sub>-C=C (27) |
| 1031            | a'' / δ<sub>x</sub> CH<sub>3</sub>-Ph (24); τ C<sub>13</sub>C<sub>7</sub>-CH<sub>3</sub> (76) |
| 992<sub>w</sub>| 1009(16)    | a'' / δ<sub>x</sub> C<sub>12</sub>H (59); ν C<sub>4</sub>C<sub>12</sub> (21); δ C<sub>6</sub>C<sub>10</sub>C<sub>10</sub> (20) |
| 946<sub>wv</sub>| 940<sub>_w</sub>| 967(1) | a'' / ρ H-C<sub>6</sub>-C<sub>7</sub>H (77) |
| 804<sub>m</sub>| a'' / δ C-C ring Ph (44); τ H-C<sub>13</sub>-C<sub>7</sub>C<sub>8</sub> (55) |
| 750<sub>vs</sub>| 753<sub>wv</sub>| 770(1) | a'' / ν, C-S (45); δ ring Ph (55) |
| 725<sub>vs</sub>| 742(1)      | a'' / τ C<sub>8</sub>-C<sub>9</sub>-C<sub>10</sub>-C<sub>5</sub> (51); τ O-C<sub>9</sub>-C<sub>10</sub>-C<sub>4</sub> (49) |
| 702<sub>vs</sub>| 709(2)      | a' / δ ring Ph (49); δ COC (51) |
| 636<sub>m</sub>| 635<sub>m</sub> | 650(6) | a'' / νC=S (26); δ ring Ph (74) |
| 585<sub>m</sub>| 588(5)      | 643(1) | a'' / γ S-C=O-C (58); γ C<sub>12</sub>C<sub>6</sub>C<sub>7</sub>C<sub>9</sub> (42) |
| 527<sub>vs</sub>| 521<sub>m</sub> | 532(0.1)| a'' / τ C<sub>6</sub>C<sub>9</sub>-C<sub>10</sub>-C<sub>12</sub> (12); γ S-C=O-C (52); γ C<sub>12</sub>C<sub>6</sub>C<sub>7</sub>C<sub>9</sub>-C<sub>10</sub>-C<sub>12</sub> (36) |
| 497<sub>vs</sub>| 492<sub>_w</sub>| 500(1) | a'' / τ H-C<sub>13</sub>-C<sub>7</sub>C<sub>8</sub> (83); ν CH<sub>3</sub>-Py (18) |
| 458<sub>vs</sub>| 464(9)      | a'' / δ C<sub>2</sub>CH=O (38); δ C<sub>7</sub>-C<sub>8</sub>C<sub>9</sub> (36); ν CH<sub>3</sub>-Ph (25); |
| 384<sub>vs</sub>| 384(5)      | 386(5) | a'' / νC=S (60); δ C-O-C (40) |
| 337(0.07)       | 337(0.07)   | 337(0.07) | a' / ρ C-CH<sub>3</sub>-Ph (52); ρ C-CH<sub>3</sub>-Py (48) |
| 274(0.2)        | 274(0.2)    | 274(0.2) | a' / τ C<sub>4</sub>-C<sub>5</sub>-O (62); τ ring Ph (48) |
| 258(1)          | 258(1)      | 258(1) | a' / δ C<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>1</sub>C<sub>4</sub> (73); δ C<sub>5</sub>C<sub>6</sub>C<sub>7</sub>C<sub>8</sub> (27) |
| 247(0.0004)     | 247(0.0004) | 247(0.0004) | a'' / γ CH<sub>3</sub>-Ph (83); γ O-C<sub>9</sub>C<sub>10</sub>C<sub>6</sub>C<sub>7</sub>C<sub>8</sub>C<sub>9</sub> (16) |
| 198(1)          | 198(1)      | 198(1) | a' / ρ CH<sub>3</sub> ring Py (84); τ C<sub>3</sub>C<sub>2</sub>-O-C<sub>6</sub> (16) |
| 197<sub>m</sub>| 190(0.4)    | 190(0.4) | a' / δ CS (59); δ C-H ring Ph (41) |
| 158(0.001)      | 158(0.001)  | 158(0.001) | a'' / τ H-C<sub>12</sub>C<sub>5</sub>-C<sub>3</sub> (36); τ C<sub>12</sub>C<sub>5</sub>-O-C<sub>6</sub> (64) |
| 98(2)           | 98(2)       | 98(2) | a'' / τ C<sub>2</sub>O-C<sub>9</sub>-C<sub>10</sub> (85); τ C<sub>7</sub>C<sub>6</sub>C<sub>7</sub>C<sub>8</sub> (15) |
| 73(0.2)         | 73(0.2)     | 73(0.2) | a'' / τ C<sub>12</sub>-C<sub>5</sub>-C<sub>3</sub> (12); τ ring Ph (87) |

* Band intensities and shape: vs = very strong; s = strong; m = medium; w = weak; vw = very weak, sh: shoulder, br: broad. In parenthesis computed IR intensities in Km/mol are given. ν: stretching (subscripts s and as refer to symmetric and antisymmetric modes, respectively), δ: deformation, γ: out of plane deformation modes, ρ: rocking mode.
3.4- Outermost electronic properties and UV-Visible spectra

Figure 7 shows the experimental and calculated UV/Vis spectra in the 200–800 nm range for both compounds isolated in a vacuum and dissolved in CH₂Cl₂. The spectra are evaluated from the calculated TD-B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) vertical electronic transitions energies and oscillator strengths between the initial and final states and the assigned transitions with major contributions for 1 and 2 are shown in Table 5. Moreover, the character of the orbitals involved in the main electronic transitions, together with their energy (in eV), for compounds 1 and 2 are shown in Figure 8. Similar vertical transition energies are found when the vacuum isolated and solvated molecules are compared. The most salient difference being observed for the HOMO-LUMO transition for compound 2, which shows a notable bathochromic shift (positive solvatochromism from 488.3 nm (vacuum) to 436.6 nm (CH₂Cl₂), the last value in good agreement with the experimental spectrum (see below).

For compound 1, two absorption bands are observed at 315 and 276 nm. The lower energy transition corresponds to the HOMO→ LUMO (74%) transition. The second band at 276 nm is associated with two very close transitions computed at 274 and 271 nm associated with HOMO–2→ LUMO (92%) and HOMO–1→ LUMO (70%) for the compound 1. This assignment is in agreement with recently reported spectra of related coumarins and chromones.

The UV-Vis spectrum for compound 2 shows intense and broad band centered at 377 nm, with a shoulder clearly observed at 394 nm and the non-symmetric tail toward the high-wavenumber region suggest the presence of a absorption occurring at ca. 420 nm. A less intense absorption is defined at 311 nm and a band at 270 nm occurs in the UV region of the spectrum. With the help of quantum chemical calculations, the shoulder at 420 nm was assigned to the...
formally forbidden HOMO→ LUMO (92%) transition. The most intense bands at 377 and 394 nm were associated to the HOMO–1→ LUMO (74%) transition, whereas the 311 nm band is well reproduced by the calculations as originated by the HOMO→ LUMO+1 (93%) electron promotion. Finally, the band at 270 nm is associated to the HOMO–2→ LUMO (70%) transition.

When the characters of frontier molecular orbitals are analysed, it becomes apparent that differences in the electronic properties of the 1-benzopyran-2-one and 1-benzopyran-2-thione groups occur. Thus, for compound 1, the HOMO corresponds to a π bonding system localized over phenyl moiety with contributions of the p-type lone pair orbital of oxygen with a'' symmetry. On the other hand, for compound 2, the HOMO displays a' symmetry and is associated with purely p-type lone pair orbital located at the sulfur atom. The HOMO–1 corresponds to a π bonding system localized over 1-benzopyran moiety for 1, while the contribution of the a'' sulfur lone pair orbital is observed for compound 2. For both compounds, the LUMOs have a'' symmetry associated with π antibonding system delocalized over the 1-benzopyran group. As a result, for compound 2 the HOMO–LUMO is forbidden and the oscillator strength is very low for the HOMO→ LUMO+1 transitions.

The formal change of oxygen atom by the large and more polarizable sulfur atom in 1 and 2, respectively, affects the UV-Vis spectra of these analogues, not merely by shifting the whole absorptions of compound 2 to lower energies, as expected,\textsuperscript{49} but also changing the electronic transitions.

\textbf{Figure 7.} Experimental (blue) and computed (red) UV-Vis spectra of compounds 1 (left, 7.8 x 10^{-6} M in \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2) and 2 (right, 4.7 x 10^{-6} M in \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2).
Table 5. Experimental and calculated absorption wavelength (nm) and oscillator strengths of the most significant excited states for compounds 1 and 2.

| Wavelength (nm) | Experimental | Calculated<sup>a</sup> | Oscillator strength<sup>a</sup> | Assignment |
|-----------------|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|------------|
|                 |              |                         |                               |            |
| Compound 1      |              |                         |                               |            |
| 315             | 315          | 299.2/302.3             | 0.179/0.301                   | HOMO→LUMO (74%)  
|                 | 315          |                         |                               |            |
| 276             | 276          | 274.0/280.7             | 0.000/0.000                   | HOMO→LUMO (74%)  
|                 | 276          |                         |                               |            |
|                 | 271.5/267.4  | 0.122/0.138             |                               |            |
| 234.0/233.3     | 234.0/233.3  | 0.037/0.041             |                               |            |
| Compound 2      |              |                         |                               |            |
| 420 sh          | 420 sh       | 488.3/436.6             | 0.000/0.001                   | HOMO→LUMO (92%)  
| 394 sh          | 394 sh       | 354.2/363.6             | 0.324/0.537                   | HOMO→LUMO (74%)  
| 377             | 377          | 306.3/306.9             | 0.001/0.028                   | HOMO→LUMO (74%)  
| 311             | 311          | 306.3/306.9             | 0.001/0.028                   | HOMO→LUMO (74%)  
| 270             | 270          | 292.6/276.0             | 0.003/0.001                   | HOMO→LUMO (74%)  

<sup>a</sup> TD-B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p), Vacuum/CH₂Cl₂ parameters.
Figure 8. Plots of the frontier molecular orbitals calculated for compounds 1 (left) and 2 (right).

Computed energies are also given.

| Type    | Compound 1       | Compound 2       |
|---------|------------------|------------------|
| LUMO +2 | -0.462 eV        | 0.381 eV         |
| LUMO +1 | -0.816 eV        | 0.082 eV         |
| LUMO    | -2.014 eV        | -1.524 eV        |
| HOMO    | -6.585 eV        | -5.469 eV        |
| HOMO -1 | -7.183 eV        | -7.320 eV        |
| HOMO -2 | -7.701 eV        | -7.456 eV        |

3.5- NBO Analysis
To further study the influence of the heteroatom in the electronic delocalization over the 1-benzopyran-2-one/thione fragment (see Scheme 4), NBO population analysis has been performed. The results for both compounds indicate the presence of pure p-type lone pair orbitals with a" symmetry [lp\(\pi\)(O)] formally centered at the endocyclic oxygen atom. The lp\(\pi\)(O) for compounds 1 and 2 display low electron occupancy, 1.734 and 1.720 e, indicating the electron-donating capacity for these orbitals. Delocalizing interactions evaluated by a second-order perturbation approach reveals that the lp\(\pi\)(O) lone pair orbital contributes to a strong resonance interactions with both adjacent \(\pi^*(C=O)\) and \(\pi^*(C=S)\) antibonding orbitals, amounting up to 31.66 y 39.53 kcal/mol, for 1 and 2, respectively. Similar interaction values have been reported recently by Arivazhagan et al. for the related species 3-methyl coumarine.

Concomitantly, the electron occupancy of the \(\pi^*(C=O)\) (0.276 e) is low than that of the \(\pi^*(C=S)\) (0.386 e) acceptor. In qualitative agreement with these delocalizing electronic interaction values, the O–C bond length in compound 2 [1.361(3)] is shorter than that of compound 1 [1.378(2)].

**Scheme 4.** Resonance structures for the 1-benzopyran-2-one/thione (X= O/S) fragment

4- Conclusion
In summary, we have extended the use of Lawesson's reagent for synthetizing 4,7-dimethyl-2\(H\)-chromen-2-thione (2). The structural and spectroscopic (vibrational and electronic) properties have been studied and compared with the oxygen analogue, i.e. 4,7-dimethyl-2\(H\)-chromen-2-one (1), allowing for a clear determination of the effect produced by the formal change of the oxygen atom by the large and more polarizable sulfur atom in the 1-benzopyran-2-one/thione moiety. These results are of interest for both, coumarin and chromone compounds.

5- Electronic Supplementary Information

Atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters, geometrical parameters, anisotropic displacement parameters and hydrogen coordinates derived from the X-ray diffraction analysis are given in Tables S1-S4. GC-MS spectra for compounds 1 and 2 are given in Figures S1 and S2, respectively. The \(^{13}\)C and \(^{1}\)H and 2D (HSQC and HMBC) NMR spectra for compound 2 are given in Figures S4-S7.
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The importance of being polarizable

Structural and spectroscopic properties of the 1-benzopyran-2-one/thione moiety