Influence of Heterologous and Homologous Vaccines, and Their Components, on the Host Immune Response and Protection Against Experimental Caprine Paratuberculosis
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Vaccination against paratuberculosis, a chronic disease of ruminants caused by Mycobacterium avium subsp. paratuberculosis (Map), has been considered as the most effective control method. However, protection is incomplete, and the mechanisms operating in the response of the animals to vaccination are not fully understood. Therefore, this study analyzed the immune response and the effects on protection against Map infection, elicited by paratuberculosis (Silirum®) and tuberculosis (heat-inactivated M. bovis [HIMB]) vaccines and their components in a caprine experimental model. Fifty goat kids were divided into 10 groups (n = 5) according to their vaccination (Silirum®), immunization (inactivated bacteria or adjuvant), and/or infection. Oral challenge with Map was performed 45 days postvaccination/immunization (dpv), and animals were euthanized at 190 dpv. Peripheral immune response and proportion of lymphocyte subpopulations were assessed monthly by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay and flow cytometry analysis, respectively. Local immune response, proportion of tissue lymphocyte subpopulations, Map detection (polymerase chain reaction), and histological examination were conducted in gut-associated lymphoid tissues. All infected groups developed paratuberculosis granulomatous lesions despite vaccination or immunization. The Silirum® and HIMB-vaccinated groups showed a considerable lesion reduction consistent with a significant peripheral cellular and humoral immune response. Besides, a lower number of granulomas were observed in groups immunized with inactivated bacteria and adjuvants in comparison to nonvaccinated and infected group. However, despite not being significant, this reduction was even higher in adjuvant immunized groups, which developed milder granulomatous lesion with no detectable peripheral immune responses associated with immunization. No changes in the peripheral and local proportion of lymphocyte subsets or local immune response were detected in relation to either vaccination/immunization or infection.
Despite that paratuberculosis and tuberculosis vaccination showed a partial and cross-protection against Map infection, respectively, only histological examination could assess the progression of infection in these animals. In addition, the pattern observed in the reduction of the lesions in adjuvant immunized groups suggests the possible involvement of a nonspecific immune response that reduces the development of granulomatous lesions.

**Keywords:** vaccination, paratuberculosis, tuberculosis, HIMB, adjuvant, cross-protection

### INTRODUCTION

Paratuberculosis is a chronic and debilitating disease of ruminants caused by *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* (Map) (1) and responsible for significant global economic losses estimated at approximately US $12.61 million and $364.31 million in Spanish and European Union dairy cattle, respectively (2, 3). Map is a ubiquitous bacterium transmitted among the livestock at an early age through the fecal–oral route, although clinical manifestations may appear several years after infection (4). Initial protective immune response against Map has been related to a strong cell-mediated immune response characterized by the release of the proinflammatory cytokine interferon γ (IFN-γ), the formation of granulomas, and the clearance of the mycobacteria (5, 6). In this sense, Map-infected animals can show a variety of lesions that have been shown to be closely related to the different phases of the disease. Early paratuberculosis lesions are characterized by small and well-demarcated granulomas, named focal or multifocal forms, located within the intestinal lymphoid tissue that can be also seen in adult animals, where they are considered as forms of latency or resistance; disruption of the protective cell-mediated immune response leads to the development of diffuse lesions and the evolution toward a widespread granulomatous enteritis and the occurrence of clinical signs (7, 8). Pathological methods for paratuberculosis lesion characterization have been successfully applied in previous works as a reliable indicator of the presence of Map infection and the form of the disease shown by the infected animals (9–11).

The long incubation period and the ability of Map to persist in the environment hamper control programs based on hygiene-management measures or early diagnosis and culling of positive animals (12, 13). For this reason, vaccination with commercially available heat-killed vaccines has been considered as the most cost-effective measure for the control of paratuberculosis, as its use reduces the incidence of the disease within the herd (14, 15). Vaccination leads to a reduction of both the colonization of intestinal tissues and the number of clinically affected animals, achieving a decrease by approximately 90% in the occurrence of Map-shedding animals (16–18).

However, the mechanisms that might be involved in the protection associated with vaccination are yet not fully understood (12, 13). Protection conferred by vaccines against Map infection has been correlated with an early and strong cell-mediated immune response (19–21), despite the fact that there is a low percentage of vaccination failure, where some vaccinated animals could remain highly infectious and develop severe lesions (16, 22, 23).

Most paratuberculosis heat-killed vaccines are based on Map 316F strain, which has demonstrated reduced virulence (tissue bacterial burden) during experimental infection of calves with this live isolate likely due to continuous *in vitro* passages (24). In this sense, subcutaneous immunization of sheep with heat-killed Map 316F strain alone did not show significant cell-mediated and humoral immune response (25). Supplementation with mineral oil adjuvants is a core principle in order to enhance the immunogenicity of antigens and their continuous liberation in order to promote a robust immune response through the mount of a strong local inflammation at the site of injection (26). In a previous experiment, significant variations in the immune response were found when paratuberculosis vaccines made with different adjuvants were subcutaneously administered to sheep (25). On the other hand, the sole administration of adjuvants has been shown to elicit an unspecific immune response whose protective effect has not been evaluated in detail (27–29). In the case of paratuberculosis vaccines, the effect that each component could have in a protective response against Map infection has not been fully elucidated.

Besides, Map shares a high number of common antigens with related mycobacteria such as *Mycobacterium bovis* (Mbv) or *Mycobacterium caprae*, responsible for tuberculosis in ruminants, a fact that is beyond the cross-reactions that appear when immunological-based tests are used for tuberculosis diagnosis in paratuberculosis infected or vaccinated animals (30, 31). This also could be associated with the significant reduction of tuberculosis-related lesions achieved after paratuberculosis vaccination in goats and calves (32, 33). Despite this fact, the effect of immunization with tuberculosis-related mycobacteria on the development of paratuberculosis is yet unknown.

Therefore, the objective of this study was to analyze the effect of homologous or heterologous vaccination of goats, and a subsequent challenge with Map, on the immune response and protection and evaluate whether the different components from these vaccines (inactivated bacteria or adjuvant) could participate in the response of the vaccinated host against Map.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Ethics Statement**

All the experimental procedures were carried out according to European (86/609) and Spanish laws (R.D. 223/1988, R.D. 1021/2005, R.D. 53/2013) and approved by the local government.
following the report and suggestions from the Subcommittee on Animal Experiments and Welfare of the University of León (ULE) (OEBA-ULE-016-2017).

**Vaccines, Immunization Products, and Challenge Inoculum**

Silirum® commercial vaccine against paratuberculosis and its components (the Montanide™ adjuvant and the Map 316F strain included in the vaccine) were prepared separately and shipped by the manufacturer, CZ Vaccines (Porrino, Spain), whereas the heat-inactivated Mbv vaccine (HIMB) and its components (a Montanide™ adjuvant and the 1403 Mbv strain) were prepared by NEIKER as previously described (34). Briefly, each dose of HIMB vaccine (1 mL) consisted of an aqueous suspension of heat-inactivated 10⁷ colony-forming units (CFUs) of Mbv 1403 NEIKER's strain (84–85°C for 45 min) emulsified in Montanide™ ISA 50 V 2 adjuvant (Seppic, France). Furthermore, each inactivated bacteria and adjuvant immunization products were adjusted to the same dose administered in Silirum® and HIMB vaccines. Thus, each dose (1 mL) of inactivated bacteria (10⁸ CFUs of *Map* 316F and 10⁷ CFUs of *Mbv* 1403 strains) was diluted in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), whereas each dose of Montanide™ adjuvant (1 mL) (used in Silirum® and HIMB vaccines) was emulsified in PBS.

Besides, bovine *Map* 764 strain was prepared for the challenge as previously described by Fernández et al. (11). Briefly, *Map* 764 strain was grown on Middlebrook 7H9 broth enriched with 10% oleic acid–albumin–dextrose–catalase (OADC) and 2 mg L⁻¹ Mycobactin J (7H9 OADC MJ) for 3 weeks at 37 ± 1°C. Then, cultures were harvested by centrifugation at 3,000g for 10 min, and bacterial pellets were washed twice and resuspended in PBS. In order to disrupt bacterial clumps, resultant suspension was passed up and down through a 27-gauge needle several times and vortexed. Bacterial concentration was estimated by optical density (O.D.) and CFU estimation of 10-fold serial dilutions plated onto agar-solidified 7H9 OADC MJ. Finally, suspensions were adjusted to 1.2 × 10¹⁰ CFUs mL⁻¹ and maintained at 4°C throughout all the challenge period (2 weeks), and bacterial clumps were again disrupted before oral inoculation as mentioned previously.

**Experimental Design**

A total of 50 female Murciano–Granadina breed goat kids of age 1 month were used in this study. Animals were selected from a flock without clinical signs and tested negative to paratuberculosis and tuberculosis in the last 10 years. Moreover, no positive reactors were identified in the annual diagnosis tuberculosis eradication campaigns, based on intradermal skin test, conducted by the regional animal health authorities during the last 5 years. The paratuberculosis- and tuberculosis-free status of the experimental animals was confirmed using antibody enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) against *Map* (ID Screen® Paratuberculosis indirect, IDVet, Gabrels, France) and *Mbv* (Nıgezım Tuberculosis DR, Eurofins Technology, Madrid, Spain) and the IFN-γ release test (Bovigam® *Mbv* IFN-γ test for cattle, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, USA). After an adaptation period of 15 days in the facilities of the Instituto de Ganadería de Montaña (IGM-ULE) in León (Spain), goat kids were randomly allocated in separated pens, subjected to standard management practices, and their health and welfare status was checked daily.

At the beginning of the study, and according to the vaccination and/or infection protocol to be followed, goats were classified into 10 groups (n = 5) and distributed in different pens in order to prevent direct contact between groups according to the following scheme (Figure 1):

- VS: Silirum® vaccinated and noninfected
- VSI: Silirum® vaccinated and infected
- VH: HIMB vaccinated and noninfected
- VHI: HIMB vaccinated and infected
- StrSI: immunized with *Map* 316F Silirum® strain and infected
- StrHI: immunized with *Mbv* 1403 HIMB strain and infected
- AdjSI: immunized with Montanide™ Silirum® adjuvant and infected
- AdjHI: immunized with Montanide™ HIMB vaccine adjuvant and infected
- NV: nonvaccinated and noninfected
- NVI: nonvaccinated and infected

No uninfected animals were included in groups immunized with inactivated bacteria or adjuvants, because of the fact that the study was focused on the evaluation of the effect of the components of the vaccines on protection, after *Map* infection, and this would involve the inclusion of a large number of animals and groups that would hinder the study.

Vaccination was performed at the beginning of the experiment, on day 0, by a subcutaneous injection in the brisket with 1 mL of Silirum® vaccine, 10⁵ Map 316F Silirum® vaccine strain CFUs, 1 mL of Montanide™ Silirum® adjuvant (CZ Vaccines, Porrino, Spain), 1 mL of HIMB vaccine, 10⁷ *Mbv* 1403 HIMB vaccine strain CFUs, and Montanide™ HIMB vaccine adjuvant, whereas 10 animals remained as the control group (nonvaccinated) and were inoculated subcutaneously with 1 mL of PBS (Figure 1).

Forty-five days postvaccination (dpv), animals were challenged orally (Figure 1) using an automatic syringe with a total amount of 1.2 × 10¹⁰ *Map* 764-CFUs diluted in 40 mL of PBS as previously described by Fernández et al. (11), whereas 40 mL of PBS was administered orally to noninfected animals at the same time. Throughout the experimental trial, all goats were monitored daily for clinical signs and sampled every 30 days until sacrifice (Figure 1). At 190 dpv, complete necropsies and postmortem sampling were performed on all goats after being humanely euthanized by deep sedation with xylazine (XILAGESIC® Laboratorios Calier, Barcelona, Spain) and a subsequent intravenous injection of T61® (MSD Animal Health, Salamanca, Spain) followed by exsanguination (Figure 1).

**Sample Collection**

Blood samples were monthly collected from the jugular vein into Vacutainer tubes with lithium heparin (Becton Dickinson and Company, UK) and without anticoagulant (Becton Dickinson and Company, UK). Then, heparinized samples were processed immediately for IFN-γ release test in response to protein derivative of avian (PPDα) and bovine (PPDb) antigens at 0,
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FIGURE 1 | Experimental design scheme. Goats were divided into seven groups and vaccinated with different components at 0 days postvaccination (dpv). From then until sacrifice (190 dpv), blood samples (B) were taken at 30-day interval. Five goats from each group were orally challenged with Map 764 strain at 45 dpv and divided into 10 groups: NV, nonvaccinated and noninfected; NVI, nonvaccinated and infected; VS, Silirum® vaccinated and noninfected; VSI, Silirum® vaccinated and infected; VH, HIMB vaccinated and noninfected; VHI, HIMB vaccinated and infected; Map 764 Silirum® strain immunized and infected; StrSI, Map 316F Silirum® strain immunized and infected; StrHI, Mbv 1403 HIMB strain immunized and infected; AdjSI, Montanide™ Silirum® adjuvant immunized and infected; AdjHI, Montanide™ HIMB adjuvant immunized and infected. Finally, at 190 dpv, feces (F) were collected, and culling and complete necropsies for tissue (T) sample collection were carried out.

30, 60, 90, 120, 150, and 190 dpv (Figure 1). At the same time points, heparinized blood was also collected for peripheral blood mononuclear cell (PBMC) isolation (35) and their subsequent characterization by flow cytometry. Besides, nonheparinized samples were processed for Map (ID Screen® Paratuberculosis indirect, IDVet, Gabrels, France) and Mbv-specific (Ingezim Tuberculosis DR, Eurofins Technology, Madrid, Spain) antibody determination tests at 0, 30, 60, 90, 120, 150, and 190 dpv (Figure 1).

Fecal samples from each goat were collected separately into disposable plastic gloves directly from the rectum at 190 dpv, prior to the euthanasia of the animal, and frozen at −20°C until processing for Map detection through bacteriological culture.

Animals were euthanized at day 190 dpv and a regulated, orderly, and complete necropsy was performed. After gross examination of the viscera, samples from ileum (proximal, medium, and distal zones), jejunum (proximal, medium, and distal zones), ileocecal valve, and jejunal Peyer patches (at least three patches from each zone: proximal, medium, and distal), together with mesenteric, jejunal, and ileocecal lymph nodes, were taken into buffered formal saline fixative for histological examination. In addition, samples of distal ileum, jejunal Peyer patches, and mesenteric lymph node were also collected and stored at −20°C for Map isolation by culture and detection by real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) as well as processed immediately for leukocyte isolation in order to characterize lymphocyte subpopulation by flow cytometry and also to perform IFN-γ release test in response to PPDa and PPDb antigens.

Isolation of PBMCs and Tissue Leukocytes
PBMCs were isolated as previously described (35). Briefly, 30 mL of heparinized peripheral blood was centrifuged, and PBMCs were isolated by gradient centrifugation using Lymphoprep™ (STEMCELL Technologies®, Cologne, Germany). Resultant PBMCs were washed three times with PBS, and cell suspensions were resuspended in supplemented RPMI1640 medium + GlutaMax™ (Gibco, Paisley, UK) and counted in a Neubauer chamber and adjusted at a final concentration of 10⁶ cells · mL⁻¹. Intestinal samples and mesenteric lymph nodes were collected and washed in PBS during the necropsy, put into individual falcon tubes containing 30 mL of sterile supplemented RPMI1640 medium, and processed in the laboratory within 30 min after collection. For tissue lymphocyte isolation, 5 cm of ileum and jejunal Peyer patches were longitudinally opened showing the mucosa and washed with PBS until fecal remains were eliminated, whereas pericapsular fat was removed from the lymph nodes. The excess tissue around each Peyer patch was removed, and the mucosa from ileum and Peyer patches were scraped and minced. Besides, 50 mg of mesenteric lymph node tissue was cut into small pieces and chopped using a scalpel blade. Minced tissue was suspended in 11 mL of PBS with EDTA (2 mM) and processed with a stomacher blender (Masticator, IUL) for 2.5 min. Then, 10 mL from the upper homogenized portion was passed through 40-µm filter (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Madrid, Spain), and resultant suspension was layered in an equal volume of Lymphoprep™ and centrifuged at 800 g for 30 min with no stop or acceleration. Cells from the interface layer were washed three times with PBS/EDTA, counted in a Neubauer chamber and...
resuspended in supplemented RPMI1640 at a final concentration of \( 10^6 \text{cells} \cdot \text{mL}^{-1} \).

Cell viability determined by trypan blue dye exclusion was usually >90% for both PBMCs and tissue lymphocytes (data not shown).

**Peripheral and Local Cell-Mediated and Peripheral Humoral Immune Response**

Whole heparinized peripheral blood samples taken at 0, 30, 60, 90, 120, 150, and 190 dpv were processed within 3 h of collection. For each animal, three wells of a 24-well tissue plate (Thermo Fischer Scientific, Rochester, NY) were filled with 1.5 mL of blood each and were either mixed with 100 µL of sterile PBS (negative control) or stimulated with 100 µL of PPDa or PPDb (CZ Vaccines, Porriño, Spain) at a final concentration of 30 µg · mL\(^{-1}\) diluted in sterile PBS (11). After 22 h of stimulation, plates were centrifuged at 750 g for 15 min, and plasma was collected and stored at −20°C until tested.

For the analysis of the cell-mediated local immune response, a total of 1 × 10\(^6\) mononuclear leukocytes isolated from ileum, Peyer patches, and mesenteric lymph node were seeded per well into three wells each of a 24-well tissue plate and stimulated as described for whole blood. After 22 h of stimulation, plates were centrifuged at 750 g for 15 min, and supernatants were collected and stored at −20°C until tested.

IFN-γ production in these assays was assessed by duplicate using commercial ELISA for bovine IFN-γ following manufacturer’s instructions (Bovigam\textsuperscript{(®)} Mbv IFN-γ test for cattle, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, USA), and absorbance values were measured spectrophotometrically using an ELX800 ELISA reader (Bio-Tek Instruments) at 450 nm. The O.D. values were adjusted by dividing the plasma or supernatant O.D. value by the negative control O.D. value of each plate in order to prevent interplate variations. Results were expressed as avian and bovine index values by means of the quotient between the mean O.D. of PPDa or O.D. of PPDb-stimulated plasma, respectively, and the mean O.D. of the negative control plasma (11, 36).

Nonheparinized blood samples were allowed to clot and retract, and serum was stored at −20°C until used. Each serum was tested for specific antibodies against Map (37) and Mbv (38) using commercial ELISA tests (ID Screen\textsuperscript{(®)} Paratuberculosis indirect, ID Vet, Gabrel, France; and 1Ngezim Tuberculosis DR Eurofins Technology, Madrid, Spain, respectively) following manufacturer’s instructions. Results were expressed as the S/P ratio of Map and Mbv antibodies calculated by dividing the corrected O.D. of the sample by the corrected O.D. of the positive control and multiplying by 100 (39).

**Real-Time Quantitative Detection of Map**

DNA was extracted from 50 mg of distal ileum, jejunal Peyer patches, and mesenteric lymph node by using Maxwell\textsuperscript{(®)} 16 Tissue DNA Purification Kit (Promega, WI, USA) with the Maxwell 16 Instrument (Promega) following manufacturer’s instructions. Thereupon, DNA was quantified using QuantiFluor\textsuperscript{TM} ONEdsDNA System kit (Promega, WI, USA) and Quantus\textsuperscript{TM} Fluorometer (Promega, WI, USA). Extracted DNA was diluted at 50 ng · µL\(^{-1}\) and stored at −20°C until qPCR was performed. Genomic DNA from 2 × 10\(^6\) Map CFUs and that from 50 mg of tissues of a noninfected animal were extracted and quantified to generate a standard curve.

Detection of Map IS900 sequence was performed as previously described by Arteche-Villasol et al. (40). In addition, Map-DNA quantification and qPCR analytical sensitivity were assessed by the construction of a 10-fold diluted standard curve using Map-genomic DNA ranging from 1,000 pg to 0.001 pg/reaction mixed with 100 ng/reaction of tissue DNA from a noninfected animal. Samples were considered as positive when the dissociation peak (Tm) was 89.1 ± 1.5°C and threshold cycles (Ct) were ≤37 (41, 42). The qPCR results were analyzed using 7500 Software v2.0.6 (Applied Biosystems\textsuperscript{TM}). Map-DNA quantity (pg) of each well was calculated by interpolation of their Ct values with the standard curve as previously described (43), and the mean quantity was calculated from both duplicates.

**Tissue and Fecal Culture of Map**

Distal ileum, jejunal Peyer patches, and mesenteric lymph node from each animal were tested individually, whereas fecal samples were pooled into groups of three goats each. Ileum and Peyer patch segments (12 cm) and 2 grams of mesenteric lymph node were processed as described for tissue leukocyte isolation and following methods previously described (44). Briefly, 2 g of each tissue and fecal samples pools were decontaminated with 38 mL of hexadecyptlyridinium chloride and homogenized in a stomacher blender (Masticator, IUL) for approximately 15 s. After 18 h of decontamination, 200 µL of the suspension was used to inoculate two tubes containing Herrold’s egg yolk medium supplemented with sodium pyruvate and mycobactin J (MI) and two tubes containing 7H9 OADC supplemented with MI, penicillin, amphotericin, and chloramphenicol. Cultures were incubated at 37°C ± 1°C, and growth was checked by examination under a stereoscopic microscope after 8, 12, 16, and 20 weeks postincubation. Cultures were considered positive if one or more characteristic Map colonies were observed in any tube. Colonies isolated on both mediums were confirmed by a real-time multiplex PCR detecting IS900 and ISMap02 Map sequences (45).

**Flow Cytometric Analysis of PBMCs and Tissue Leukocytes**

Single-color flow cytometry analysis was carried out for phenotypic characterization of PBMCs isolated at 0, 30, 60, 90, 120, 150, and 190 dpv and mononuclear leukocytes isolated from distal ileum, jejunal Peyer patches, and mesenteric lymph node. A total number of 2 × 10\(^6\) cells per well were seeded in a 96-well plate (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Roskilde, Denmark) and incubated with primary antibodies against lymphocyte surface markers detailed in Table 1 for 1 h at 4°C. Afterward, cells were washed twice with PBS and incubated with appropriate conjugated secondary antibodies for 1 h at 4°C (Table 1). Finally, cells were fixed with 1% of CellFIX\textsuperscript{TM} (Becton Dickinson and Company, Erembodegem, Belgium) until analyzed. Sample
acquisition of 10,000 events was performed using a flow cytometer (MACSQuant, Miltenyi Biotec®), where events were gated during the acquisition analysis as previously described elsewhere (35) to discard the presence of air and doublets. Then, analysis of data was carried out using the MACSQuantify10 Software™ (Miltenyi Biotec®), and results were expressed as percentage of positive cells.

**Histopathological Examination**

Fixed tissues for histopathological examination were conventionally processed for paraffin embedding and stained with hematoxylin–eosin and Ziehl–Neelsen technique for acid-fast bacilli detection (46). Lesions consistent with *Map* infection were classified as *focal, multifocal a* and *multifocal b, or diffuse* forms according to the presence and location of granulomas and following the guidelines previously described for small ruminants (8, 46). Briefly, lesions were characterized as *focal* forms when granulomas were restricted to the lymphoid tissue of the Peyer patches; *multifocal* forms when the granulomas were located in the lamina propria adjacent to the lymphoid tissue (*multifocal a*) or not (*multifocal b*) and *diffuse* forms when granulomas spread to wide areas of the mucosa. Classification of each animal was based on its most severe granulomatous lesion. Following histopathological examination, the number of granulomas per tissue section was quantified in all tissue samples as described elsewhere (11, 46). Three tissue sections of each intestinal site (i.e., ileum—proximal, medium, and distal zones; jejunum—proximal, medium, and distal zones; ileocecal valve and jejunal Peyer patches—proximal, medium, and distal zones) and two sections from each lymph node (i.e., mesenteric, jejunal and ileocecal lymph nodes), were selected, and the mean number of granulomas per animal was recorded by the same observer (V.P.; diplomat of the European College of Veterinary Pathologists), distinguishing those granulomas located in the lymphoid tissue from those located in the associated lamina propria or in the mucosa not related to lymphoid tissue.

**Statistical Analysis**

Normal distribution of the results from *Map* and *Mbv*-specific antibodies, local and peripheral IFN-γ production, and proportion of peripheral and tissue lymphocyte subpopulations were assessed for normality using Shapiro–Wilks test. Data from IFN-γ production and antibody ELISA tests were logarithmically transformed. Then, flow cytometry and ELISA results from peripheral IFN-γ and antibody production were analyzed using generalized linear model (GLM) procedure for evaluation of the main effects of vaccination, challenge, time, and its interactions. Subsequently, differences between vaccination groups for each time sampled were estimated using Tukey–Kramer multiple-comparisons test. Besides, differences between groups in the number of goats with lesions were evaluated using Student *t*-test. All statistical analyses were carried out using R software 3.5.3 (R Development Core Team, 2019).

**RESULTS**

**Peripheral and Local Cell-Mediated Immune Response (IFN-γ)**

Results from GLM showed that avian and bovine index values reached significant levels from 60 to 190 dpv in the VS, VSI,

---

**TABLE 1** Primary and secondary antibodies used in flow cytometry analysis of PBMCs and tissue lymphocyte subpopulations.

| Target | Specificity | Monoclonal/polyclonal | Primary antibody dilution | Reference | Secondary antibody | Secondary antibody dilution |
|--------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|-----------|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| CD4    | T helper lymphocytes | Monoclonal | 1:400 | MCA2213QA, BioRad | Polyclonal rabbit anti–mouse IgG-FITC, F0313, Dako | 1:50 |
| CD8    | Cytotoxic T lymphocytes | Monoclonal | 1:400 | MCA2216QA, BioRad | Polyclonal rabbit anti–mouse IgG-FITC, F0313, Dako | 1:50 |
| WC1    | γδ T lymphocytes | Monoclonal | 1:200 | MCA8586, BioRad | Polyclonal rabbit anti–mouse IgG-FITC, F0313, Dako | 1:50 |
| CD21   | Naïve B lymphocytes | Monoclonal | 1:10 | MCA1185, BioRad | Polyclonal rabbit anti–mouse IgG-FITC, F0313, Dako | 1:50 |
| CD20   | Mature B lymphocytes | Polyclonal | 1:100 | 9013-P, Thermo Scientific | Polyclonal goat anti–rabbit IgG-Alexa Fluor® 488, ab150077, Abcam | 1:2,000 |
| CD3    | T lymphocytes, natural killer | Polyclonal | 1:100 | A0452, Dako | Polyclonal goat Anti–rabbit IgG-Alexa Fluor® 488, ab150077, Abcam | 1:2,000 |
VH, and VHI groups ($p < 0.001$ and $p < 0.01$, respectively) (Figures 2A,B). In addition, Silirum® (VS and VSI) and HIMB vaccine (VH and VHI) immunization exerted a considerable effect on avian index values regardless of the infection status ($p < 0.01$ and $p < 0.001$, respectively) (Figure 2A), but only the VHI, VH, and StrHI groups showed a significant effect on bovine index values ($p < 0.01$) (Figure 2B). Furthermore, oral challenge significantly impacted on the avian index values of the VSI, VHI ($p < 0.001$), and NVI ($p < 0.05$) groups and on the bovine index values of the VSI, VHI ($p < 0.01$), and StrHI ($p < 0.05$) groups.

Multiple-comparisons analysis showed that the VSI group had higher avian index values than NV, NVI, StrSI, AdjSI, and AdjHI at 60 and 120 dpv, whereas the VS group showed these differences only at 120 dpv (Figure 2A). In contrast, these groups did not show any significant differences in bovine index levels (Figure 2B). Furthermore, no differences were observed between VS and VSI either in avian or bovine index values at any time point. On the other hand, the VHI group showed greater avian index values than NV, NVI, StrSI, AdjSI, and AdjHI at 120 dpv (Figure 2A). In addition, bovine index values of this group were significantly higher than NV, NVI, StrSI, AdjSI, and AdjHI at 90, 120, 150, and 190 dpv and greater than VH at 120 dpv (Figure 2B).

Local IFN-$\gamma$ production in mononuclear leukocytes purified from tissues and stimulated with PPDa and PPDb was lower than that observed in PBMCs. Furthermore, avian index values were more heterogeneous than bovine index levels on account of the higher individual variability observed in the former (Figures 3A,B), specifically at the NVI, VH, VHI, StrHI, and AdjSI groups (Figure 3A). Peyer patches and mesenteric lymph node from NVI group showed the highest avian index values followed by the ileum, Peyer patches, and mesenteric lymph node from the StrHI group (Figure 3A). However, statistical analysis showed no significant effect of vaccination or tissue location on the avian or bovine index values ($p > 0.05$). In addition, no significant differences were observed between the vaccinated groups in the multiple-comparisons analysis either ($p < 0.05$). Meanwhile, significant differences were observed in the avian index values within the NVI group, where IFN-$\gamma$ production was higher in Peyer patches and mesenteric lymph node than in the ileum ($p < 0.05$) (Figure 3A). Besides, despite the high variability within groups observed in the bovine index values, the values of mesenteric lymph node from the VH group were considerably higher than those of the ileum and Peyer patches ($p < 0.01$) (Figure 3B).

### Peripheral Humoral Response

Results from GLM estimated that both Map- and Mbv-specific antibody production reached significant levels from 60 to 190 dpv ($p < 0.001$ and $p < 0.0001$, respectively) in Silirum® and HIMB-vaccinated groups (Figures 4A,B). In addition, oral challenge boosted Map-specific antibody production in the vaccinated groups VSI, VHI ($p < 0.001$), and NVI ($p < 0.05$) (Figure 4A) and Mbv-specific antibody production in VHI ($p < 0.001$) (Figure 4B). Besides, multiple-comparisons analysis showed that only VSI had significantly higher Map-specific S/P values in comparison with the groups immunized with adjuvants, inactivated bacteria, and nonvaccinated at 90, 120, 150, and 190 dpv, whereas no
significant differences were observed in VHI (Figure 4A). Additionally, no significant differences were observed in the Map antibody levels either between Silirum® and HIMB vaccination groups or between infected and noninfected vaccinated groups.

Mbv-specific S/P values were significantly higher when comparing the VHI group with the VS, VSI, StrSI, StrHI, AdjSI, and AdjHI groups at 60, 90, 120, 150, and 190 dpv (Figure 4B). However, significant differences between VHI and VH were observed only at 120, 150, and 190 dpv (Figure 4B).

**Quantitative PCR and Bacteriology of Tissues and Feces**

*Map* detection was confirmed by qPCR (n = 4) and bacteriological culture (n = 3) within infected goats (n = 35). Animals positive to qPCR corresponded to (i) two goats from StrHI (mesenteric lymph node and the Peyer patches, respectively), (ii) one from VHI (Peyer patches), and (iii) one from AdjSI (Peyer patches). Besides, animals positive to bacteriological culture corresponded to one goat each from NVI, VHI (ileum, Peyer patches, and mesenteric lymph node
positives), and VSI (ileum and mesenteric lymph node positives). Only the Peyer patches from the goat from VHI showed positive results for both detection techniques. All tissue samples from noninfected groups were negative to IS900 sequence amplification by qPCR or bacteriological culture. Bacteriological culture of Map was negative in every fecal sample analyzed.

### Relative Peripheral Blood and Tissue Lymphocyte Subsets

The mean and standard deviations of the relative proportions of three T lymphocytes (CD4⁺, CD8⁺, and CD3⁺), one γδ T lymphocyte, and two B lymphocyte (CD21⁺ and CD20⁺) surface markers were estimated by flow cytometry.

Along all groups, the highest relative proportion of positive cells in PBMCs isolated from whole blood corresponded to γδ T lymphocytes (30.46% ± 3.55%), whereas the lowest proportion was observed in CD20⁺ B lymphocytes (5.96 ± 1.48%) throughout the study (Supplementary Table 1). However, despite statistical analysis showing significant oscillations (p < 0.001) of the relative proportions of CD4⁺, CD8⁺, WC1⁺, CD21⁺, CD3⁺, and CD20⁺ lymphocytes at different samplings, no significant differences were found between groups (p > 0.05).

Flow cytometry carried out in cells purified from tissue samples showed that the highest relative proportion levels corresponded to CD21⁺ B lymphocytes in the ileum (39.47 ± 4.71%), Peyer patches (26.81 ± 3.59%), and mesenteric lymph node (44.90 ± 3.52%), whereas γδ T lymphocytes were the rarest cell population in these samples (0.99 ± 0.24%, 1.69 ± 0.33%, and 0.92 ± 0.26%, respectively). Statistical analysis showed a significant influence of vaccination and immunization with inactivated bacteria and adjuvants on the relative proportion of tissue lymphocyte subsets (p < 0.05). However, results from comparisons between groups did not show a clear pattern between the relative proportion of lymphocyte subpopulations and the different groups likely on account of the individual variability observed within groups. Mean, standard deviation, and results of multiple comparisons of lymphocyte relative subpopulations in ileum, Peyer patches, and mesenteric lymph node are summarized in Supplementary Tables 2–4.

### Pathological Findings

Gross lesions compatible with paratuberculosis were not found in any animal. Microscopic granulomatous lesions characteristic of Map infection were detected in all infected groups, with differences in the severity and distribution. The number of goats per group with lesions according to the tissue and the number of goats per group classified in terms of severity of the lesions are shown in Table 2. Goats with small and well-defined granulomas composed of macrophages, with an abundant pale cytoplasm and a large nucleus, escorted by a few lymphocytes located exclusively in the interfollicular area of the intestinal lymphoid tissue were classified as focal (n = 5) (Figure 5A). Besides, goats with well-defined granulomas in the interfollicular area of the Peyer patches and also in the lamina propria closely associated with the intestinal lymphoid tissue were categorized as multifocal a (n = 5) (Figure 5B). Finally, the presence of granulomatous lesions not only in the Peyer patches and related lamina propria but also in areas of the mucosa without any association with the lymphoid tissue was considered as multifocal b (n = 13) (Figure 5C). No diffuse lesions were noticed in the tissues of any goat (n = 0). VSI and VHI groups were the less affected with only one animal from each group developing granulomatous lesions, showing significant differences in comparison to NVI in which lesions were present in all animals (p < 0.05). In addition, lesions from the VSI goat were classified as focal, whereas the goat from VHI group showed more severe lesions, categorized as multifocal b as all goats from the NVI. Besides, most of the goats immunized with strains (StrSI and StrHI) developed multifocal b forms, except for one animal from StrHI with a focal lesion. In contrast, among the animals immunized with adjuvants (AdjSI and AdjHI), only one animal from the AdjSI showed multifocal b lesions, whereas focal and multifocal a lesions were found in

### Table 2 | Number of animals from each group with microscopic lesions consistent with paratuberculosis according to the examined tissue and its severity (focal, multifocal a, multifocal b, and diffuse).

| Group (n = 5) | Tissues | IL | JJ | JPP | ICV | MLN | JLN | ICLN | Focal | Multifocal a | Multifocal b | Diffuse | Total |
|---------------|---------|----|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|-------|------------|------------|---------|--------|------|
| NVI           |         | 3/5| 2/5| 5/5 | 3/5 | 1/5 | 1/5 | —    | —     | 1/5        | 1/5        | 5/5     | 5/5   |
| VSI           |         | 1/5| —  | —  | 1/5 | —   | —   | —    | —     | 1/5        | 1/5        | 5/5     | 1/5*  |
| VHI           |         | 1/5| —  | 1/5 | —   | —   | —   | —    | —     | 1/5        | 1/5        | 1/5     | 1/5*  |
| StrSI         |         | 3/5| 2/5| 3/5 | 1/5 | 3/5 | 1/5 | —    | —     | 3/5        | 3/5        | 3/5     | 3/5   |
| StrHI         |         | 1/5| —  | 4/5 | 1/5 | 1/5 | 1/5 | —    | —     | 1/5        | 1/5        | 4/5     | 4/5   |
| AdjSI         |         | 1/5| —  | 3/5 | 1/5 | 1/5 | 1/5 | —    | —     | 2/5        | 1/5        | 3/5     | 3/5   |
| AdjHI         |         | 1/5| —  | 3/5 | 1/5 | 1/5 | 1/5 | —    | —     | 3/5        | 1/5        | 4/5     | 4/5   |

**TABLE 2 | Number of animals from each group with microscopic lesions consistent with paratuberculosis according to the examined tissue and its severity (focal, multifocal a, multifocal b, and diffuse).**

- NVI: Non-vaccinated and infected; VSI: Silirum® vaccinated and infected; VHI: HimMod vaccinated and infected; JPP: Jejunum patch; ICV: Ileocecal valve; MLN: Mesenteric lymph node; JLN: Jejunal lymph node; ICLN: Ileocecal lymph node; n = 5.
- StrSI, StrHI: Montanide™ Silirum® adjuvant vaccinated and infected; AdjSI, AdjHI: Montanide™ HimMod adjuvant vaccinated and infected. Significant differences detected by χ² and Fisher exact test are expressed as *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, and ****p < 0.0001.
- —: No lesion.
- *Significantly different from NVI.
the remaining goats. No significant differences were detected in the number of goats with granulomatous lesions in groups immunized with inactivated bacteria or adjuvants \((p > 0.05)\). Granulomatous lesions were localized mainly in the jejunal Peyer patches followed by distal ileum, lymph nodes, ileocecal valve, and jejunum, although lesions observed in the lymph nodes were always associated with the presence of granulomatous lesions in the intestine (Table 3). No microscopic lesions were observed in the groups that remained as noninfected. No acid-fast bacilli were detected in the lesions from any of the infected goats.

The total number of granulomas per group was summarized in Table 3. The NVI group showed the highest number, whereas the lowest corresponded to the VSI and VHI groups. In addition, the number of granulomas observed in the StrSI and StrHI groups was higher than those observed in AdjSI and AdjHI. Significant differences in the mean number of granulomas per group (Figure 6) were observed between NVI \((159.60 \pm 271.90)\) and VSI \((4.60 \pm 10.29)\) and VHI \((6.20 \pm 13.86)\) groups \((p < 0.05)\). However, no significant differences were found in the StrSI \((57.60 \pm 61.54)\), StrHI \((61.40 \pm 83.22)\), AdjSI \((20.00 \pm 26.82)\), and AdjHI \((29.20 \pm 33.86)\) groups \((p > 0.05)\).

**DISCUSSION**

Paratuberculosis vaccination studies conducted in ruminants have demonstrated worthwhile results in the reduction of the number of clinically affected animals and the prevalence of the disease \((16, 23)\). Nevertheless, the inability of the protective immune response elicited by vaccination to completely prevent the infection and its progression and spread is alienating from the term “ideal vaccine” \((47, 48)\). This handicap is partly due to the lack of knowledge about the peripheral and local mechanisms related to the success or failure of the protection against mycobacteria. Here, this work analyzes the local and peripheral immune response elicited by homologous (Silirum®) and heterologous (HIMB vaccine) vaccination and the immunization with their components separately and its relation to the protection degree estimated by the evaluation of the microscopic granulomatous lesions and Map presence in the tissues.

Despite the identification of distinctive paratuberculosis granulomatous lesions in all groups of infected goats, regardless of its vaccination or immunization status, the variation in their severity mirrors the observations from field and experimental studies, as lesions observed in paratuberculosis-vaccinated goats were mild \((focal)\) and scarce, whereas those in nonvaccinated and infected goats were more severe \((multifocal)\), proving the high protective effect of vaccination \((16, 22, 41)\). No diffuse lesions were noticed, possible due to the short incubation period of this work \((4–5 months)\), in line with other studies in which multifocal lesions were observed up to 7 months postinfection, whereas diffuse-type lesions were not reported until 12 months \((46, 49)\). Despite this fact, the results obtained here agree with other experimental studies in which lesions observed in vaccinated animals were limited to regressive forms \((focal)\), contained in the interfollicular area of the intestinal lymphoid tissue, avoiding their dissemination through the intestine but without preventing infection as it was confirmed by the detection of Map in tissues from a VSI goat \((41, 50, 51)\).

To date, in vivo vaccination efficacy studies have been mainly focused on the early and sustained induction of peripheral IFN-γ \((20, 21, 52)\) as a release of this cytokine has been associated with the activation of antimycobacterial mechanisms and the prevention of intracellular bacterial growth \((53, 54)\). Certainly, IFN-γ production dynamics observed in Silirum®-vaccinated groups \((i.e., VS and VSI)\) was consistent with other studies conducted using goat kids vaccinated with heat-killed vaccines \((52, 55)\). In addition to cell-mediated immune
response, *Silirum®*-vaccinated animals also showed increased *Map* antibody levels. The role of humoral response has been underestimated as it has been considered ineffective against intracellular pathogens (56, 57). However, an emerging number of studies performed under experimental conditions have suggested the potential positive effect of antibodies in the control of paratuberculosis, even in relation to vaccination (58–60). This is supported by the initial strong humoral response observed in paratuberculosis-vaccinated sheep and the ability of these animals to modulate that response in later stages to prevent the progression of infection (60). Both cellular and humoral responses were significantly enhanced in this study after experimental challenge with *Map*. These results mimic the increase in IFN-γ observed in paratuberculosis-vaccinated and naturally exposed goats in field conditions (61), although the significant increase in the antibody production after *Map* oral challenge here observed contrasts with previous studies where experimental oral infection in vaccinated sheep did not exhibit differences with nonvaccinated animals or even lead to a decrease in the antibody production (22, 60), although differences in the adjuvant of the vaccine used in that study (i.e., *Gudair®*) or the species (i.e., sheep) may explain these discrepancies. Nevertheless, the heightened cellular and humoral responses here observed did not prevent the appearance of granulomatous lesions in VSI group. Thus, despite that vaccination might be limiting the progression and severity of the lesions, as only one VSI goat developed a low number of focal granulomas, peripheral cellular and humoral response did not allow predicting the presence of lesions or infection in vaccinated animals.

In addition, changes in the relative proportion of lymphocyte subpopulations have also been connected to mycobacterial infections (62–64). In this sense, cows with clinical disease have shown lower proportions of CD4⁺, CD8⁺, and γδ T lymphocytes than subclinical cows in freshly isolated PBMCs (65). Furthermore, in another study, higher percentages of γδ T and B lymphocytes and a decline in CD4⁺ were detected in tissues of infected sheep during early *Map* experimental infection (10). Regarding the effect of vaccination, it has been reported that infection of *Gudair®*-vaccinated sheep with *Map* leads to a decrease in the proportions of peripheral CD4⁺ and B lymphocytes at 13 days postchallenge assessed in *in vitro* *Map*-stimulated PBMCs, but this was not evaluated in nonstimulated and freshly isolated cells (22). On the contrary, no changes in the relative proportion of either peripheral T lymphocytes (CD4⁺, CD8⁺, γδ, and CD3⁺) or B lymphocytes (CD20⁺ and CD21⁺) were detected in freshly isolated PBMCs between any group in this study. In addition, differences in the tissue relative proportion of lymphocyte subpopulations were estimated, but no clear relationship between these results and vaccination/immunization and/or infection was observed, likely due to the high individual variability within tested groups. Similarly, no relation between local production of IFN-γ and development of lesions either in VSI or other infected groups was observed. In this sense, only the Peyer patches and mesenteric lymph node from NVI group produced a slightly higher IFN-γ production. This result is consistent with the greater number of granulomas detected in this group as an increased number of granulomatous lesions have been related to a higher number of IFN-γ-immunolabeled cells (6) and are likely that lesions present in the remaining infected groups might not be sufficient to generate a substantial local cell-mediated immune response in response to mycobacterial antigens. Therefore, neither peripheral
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**TABLE 3** Results of granuloma count. Number of granulomas according to their location for each group and total number and percentage (%) of granulomas per group.

| Group (n = 5) | IL | JJ | JPP | ICV | MLN | JLN | ICLN | Total number |
|--------------|----|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|-------------|
| NVI          | 35 | 6  | 690 | 33  | 12  | 22  | 0    | 798 (47.10%) |
| VSI          | 2  | 0  | 12  | 0   | 0   | 0   | 9    | 23 (1.36%)   |
| VHI          | 2  | 0  | 30  | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0    | 31 (1.83%)   |
| StrStr       | 39 | 6  | 186 | 7   | 29  | 2   | 19   | 288 (17.00%) |
| StrHI        | 3  | 0  | 293 | 8   | 3   | 1   | 0    | 308 (18.18%) |
| AdjSI        | 4  | 0  | 92  | 4   | 0   | 0   | 0    | 100 (5.90%)  |
| AdjHI        | 21 | 0  | 83  | 3   | 39  | 0   | 0    | 146 (8.63%)  |
| Total        | 106| 12 | 1,386| 55  | 83  | 25  | 28   | 1,694 (100%) |

IL, ileum; JJ, jejunum; JPP, jejunal Peyer patches; ICV, ileocecal valve; MLN, mesenteric lymph node; JLN, jejunal lymph node; ICLN, ileocecal lymph node; NVI, Nonvaccinated and infected; VSI, *Silirum®* vaccinated and infected; VHI, *HIMB* vaccinated and infected; StrSI, Map 316® *Silirum®* strain immunized and infected; StrHI, MtV 1403 *HIMB* strain immunized and infected; AdjSI, Montanide™ *Silirum®* adjuvant immunized and infected; AdjHI, Montanide™*HIMB* adjuvant immunized and infected.
cellular and humoral immune responses nor evaluation of the relative proportion of lymphocyte subpopulations in whole blood and tissues nor local production of IFN-γ was able to predict those animals that remain unprotected early after infection. Besides, vaccination has demonstrated to reduce the number of excreted bacteria both in field and experimental conditions (17, 21, 37, 66). In this study, Map was not isolated in the feces of any goat. Heightened Map shedding has been detected in relation to the development of severe lesions and clinical signs in naturally and experimentally infected animals (67, 68), although it could be intermittently detected in animals with subclinical paratuberculosis (53, 69). However, feces were collected only at sacrifice (145 days postinfection), so the presence of Map could have been underestimated because of the short postchallenge time and the limited time sampled. Moreover, it has been observed that fecal shedding is frequently associated with animals with a high number of culture-positive tissues and severe lesions (70). In addition, the low number of Map-positive tissues detected either by bacteriological culture or qPCR (7 of 35 infected goats) was not surprising as in several previous works, either in natural or experimental cases (46, 71), it has been shown that the bacterial load in animals with lesions similar to those found in this study was absent or very low.

Interestingly, only one goat from the VHI group developed paratuberculosis granulomatous lesions, albeit classified as multifocal b, showing the effectiveness of heterologous protection that vaccination for tuberculosis confers against Map infection. Similar to homologous vaccination, HIMB-vaccinated groups (i.e., VH and VHI) showed a significant IFN-γ and antibody production as previously described in goats (66, 72), even when blood was stimulated with PPDa. In addition, boost in the IFN-γ production was also noticed in goats vaccinated subcutaneously with HIMB vaccine and endobronchially challenged with a closely related mycobacteria (M. caprae) under experimental conditions (66), proving again that the elevation of these responses does not ensure the success of vaccination as in the VHI goat, granulomatous lesions were more severe (multifocal b) than those from the VSI group (focal). Cross-protection effect related to vaccination has been previously reported as a reduction of pulmonary lesions was noticed in paratuberculosis-vaccinated goats (32) or calves (33) endobronchially infected with M. caprae or Mbv, respectively. Besides, despite this beneficial effect, cross-reaction against standard mycobacterial antigens was noticed in IFN-γ release assay and Map-specific antibody determination and entailed a relevant downside for the differentiation between infected and vaccinated animals (DIVA) (31). This cross-reaction has been previously described in response to PPDa and PPDb mycobacterial antigens, although usually this production is biased toward the antigen related to the homologous stimulation (73–75). It is remarkable that specific antibodies against Mbv were detected only in the VHI group and, for a short while, in the VH group, whereas specific antibodies against Map were readily found not only in the VSI group, but also in the VS, VH, and VHI groups. Recent advances in the evaluation of recombinant proteins for Mbv serological tests, as the one used here (MBP83), have made it possible to minimize the cross-reaction induced by paratuberculosis vaccination on tuberculosis diagnosis (38, 76). Therefore, the development and use/implementation of more specific antigens (DIVA reagents) for both IFN-γ assay and antibody detection are necessary in order to improve the immunological diagnosis of paratuberculosis and tuberculosis and to overcome the interferences produced by vaccination (31).

Addressing the effect of Silirum® and HIMB inactivated strains individually, it was observed that goats from StrSI and StrHI showed a higher number of granulomatous lesions affecting a greater number of animals than those of the VSI or VHI groups. In addition, despite the fact that the number of granulomas was lower than that in the NVI goats, these were also classified as multifocal b, proving the progression of infection in these groups. Regarding peripheral immune responses, only the StrHI group showed high peripheral IFN-γ levels in response to both mycobacterial antigens but not the production of peripheral antibodies, which could suggest the ability of this strain to stimulate only the peripheral cellular immune response. In this sense, in a study conducted in cattle, oral administration of inactivated Mbv 1403 strain suspended in PBS did not show an increased IFN-γ production in response to bovine antigen, whereas the parenteral immunization with this strain homogenized in Montanide™ ISA 50 V 2 adjuvant (HIMB vaccine) showed an elevation of the IFN-γ production from 2 weeks postimmunization, although the effect of the inactivated bacteria administered parenterally was not evaluated in absence of the adjuvant (77). On the contrary, no evident peripheral cellular or humoral immune responses were detected in the StrSI group, although IFN-γ rose at 150 dpv. In this sense, it is feasible that this late increase was the effect of Map infection rather than the immunization itself, as the animals with the highest cell-mediated peripheral immune response corresponded to those with the most numerous granulomatous lesions (data not shown). Thus, this limited peripheral immune response elicited by inactivated bacteria might be a consequence of their weak immunogenicity in absence of adjuvants (78, 79).

Besides, the immunization with adjuvants (i.e., AdjSI and AdjHI) in the absence of bacterial antigens resulted in a lower number of granulomatous lesions than in the StrSI, StrHI, or even NVI group. Furthermore, these lesions were mainly classified as focal and multifocal a, with only one animal from the AdjSI developing multifocal b lesions. In this sense, no significant peripheral cellular or humoral immune responses were detected in adjuvant immunized groups. This is in agreement with a previous study where no specific peripheral immune response was recorded in Montanide™ ISA 50 V 2 adjuvant immunized calves (80). However, only AdjSI showed a later increase in peripheral IFN-γ response (150 dpv) and Map-specific antibodies (190 dpv) possibly associated with the presence of multifocal a and b lesions in contrast to AdjHI that showed mainly focal lesions similar to the VSI group, although the main effect of adjuvants could not be assessed because of the lack of noninfected adjuvant immunized groups. This is similar to the later increase observed in StrSI, StrHI, and NVI, in which also multifocal b lesions were detected. Therefore, it is possible that the greater number and extension of the lesions in these groups were correlated with a higher response from sensitized lymphocytes.
that might have migrated from the intestine to blood, as it was
previously hypothesized (6).

The main role of mineral oil adjuvants in vaccination is to increase the immune response through the sustained release of the antigen at the site of injection, ensuring the constant stimulation of the immune response (78). Montanide™ adjuvants, as used here, provoke a liposome that protects the inactivated antigen from degradation, driving it to draining lymph nodes through the recruitment of antigen-presenting cells and lymphocytes (79). However, differences in the immune response profiles depending on the type of Montanide™ adjuvant have been reported (25, 81, 82). For instance, the antigen-specific IFN-γ and Map-specific antibody responses elicited by the vaccination of sheep with heat-killed Map 316F strain combined with Montanide™ ISA 50 V 2 were lower than those provoked by vaccination with Gudair® commercial vaccine (Montanide™ ISA 103 and 80) (25). These differences could be related to the composition of adjuvants (type of fatty acids or emulsifier) and the intensity of the inflammatory response at the site of injection, however, and despite their importance in vaccine formulation, their primary interaction with the host immune response is not yet fully understood, as their effect is often studied in combination with antigens (26).

For that reason, despite the absence of statistical differences on account of the high individual variability, it is striking that the limited number and severity of granulomatous lesions in AdjSI and AdjHI groups without the release of an antigen were quite similar to those observed in the VSI and VHI groups. In a study conducted in calves, only two of six calves immunized with Montanide™ ISA 50 V 2 showed Mbv positive culture in the right prescapular lymph nodes after intranodular Mbv challenge (80), which suggest that the adjuvant might limit, in some extent, the spread of the infection. It is known that application of water-in-oil adjuvants showed a clear stimulatory effect over the host immune response prior to immunization with an antigen (27). However, in absence of a clear specific peripheral or local immune responses, it is tempting to hypothesize that this protective immune response could be mediated by nonspecific mechanisms that might help the host to control the mycobacterial infection. This mechanism is unknown, but other immune cells such as antigen-presenting cells (i.e., macrophages, dendritic cells) could be mediating in this response as they are recruited to the inoculation site (26) and possibly are being activated due to the inflammatory response. In fact, it has been hypothesized that these cells may be involved in the development of a “trained” immune response characterized by their heightened and nonspecific response after infection independently of the memory adaptive immune response (83).

Taken together, this study has evaluated the immunological profiles of two heat-inactivated vaccines against paratuberculosis and tuberculosis before and after Map challenge. Our results highlighted the fact that predictors such as peripheral and local IFN-γ response, peripheral antibody production, and peripheral and local evaluation of lymphocyte subpopulations are unable to assess the outcome of vaccination being only effectively evaluated by the assessment of microscopic lesions that has proven to be an effective method for estimating the infection status (9, 11, 46). However, both homologous vaccination and heterologous vaccination were able to confer a high protective immune response against Map infection, limiting the progression of granulomatous lesions and additionally proving the potential benefit of tuberculosis vaccination on the paratuberculosis control. In this sense, more studies focused on the reduction of interferences in the serological tests used for paratuberculosis and tuberculosis diagnosis possibly targeted on alternative vaccination routes or different adjuvant used are needed. Besides, an interesting effect in the reduction of the number and severity of paratuberculosis granulomatous lesions was observed in groups immunized with high refined mineral oil adjuvants. Therefore, more studies are needed to evaluate the effect of each vaccine components that could be involved in the protection against mycobacteria.
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