Knowledge of larval dispersal, population dynamics and connectivity in relation to the management and conservation of commercially important species is vital if existing fisheries are to remain sustainable into the future. Larval dispersal of the commercially exploited spiny lobster, *Palinurus elephas*, was modeled from Marine Protected Areas located in the southwest of England for a 16-month period using a General Individuals Transport Model (GITM). The model included physical particle advection based on current fields from a 3D hydrodynamics model and a larval behavior module. Our results demonstrate the overall dispersal patterns of *P. elephas* larvae and highlight populations capable of self-seeding and those which are seemingly reliant on larvae from more distant populations. The results indicate where further research may be required to fully understand how populations of *P. elephas* are maintained at regional, national and international scales while providing us with the opportunity to discuss the effectiveness of current approaches to conservation and fisheries management.
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**INTRODUCTION**

**Background, Aims, and Approach**

There are two species of spiny lobster, *Palinurus elephas* and *Palinurus mauritanicus*, that have been recorded in UK landings. Of these, *P. elephas* is by far the more prevalent and is the only species discussed herein. Due to its distribution, *P. elephas* has traditionally been the preferred target of inshore fisheries due to its accessibility and high commercial value (Goñi and Latroutte, 2005; Babbucci et al., 2010). *P. elephas* inhabits shallow waters to a depth of 200 m (Groeneveld et al., 2013) and is fished throughout its distribution along the eastern Atlantic coast from Norway to Morocco and throughout the Mediterranean (Kittaka and Ikegami, 1988; Holthuis, 1991; Goñi et al., 2003; Babbucci et al., 2010). The collapse of *P. elephas* population abundance within southwest fisheries has been attributed to a change in capture gear from pots and traps to the use of less selective tangle and trammel nets (Hepper, 1977; Goñi and Latroutte, 2005; Amengual-Ramis et al., 2016).
The species was once commercially exploited throughout the region but there is evidence that the *P. elephas* fishery is now in an unfavorable condition in all southwest waters due to stock depletion (Goñi and Latrouite, 2005). The decline in abundance of *P. elephas* has resulted in very few targeted fisheries for the species remaining in the UK. One stock of *P. elephas* still being commercially exploited is found inhabiting the Isles of Scilly, an archipelago made up of 5 inhabited islands and approximately 140 rocky islets located in the Atlantic Ocean, 45 km off the south-western tip of the Cornish peninsula of Great Britain.

Currently, there are no Total Allowable Catch (TAC) limits or quotas applied to this species. The only international regulation pertaining to the management of this fishery is the European Union (EU) Minimum Landing Size (MLS) of 95 mm carapace length [Council Regulation (EC) No 1967/2006]. Common management measures include the setting of MLS, the return of ovigerous females, a limitation of fishing effort and closed areas (Mallol et al., 2014). However, the implementation of such regulations varies from local to national jurisdictions resulting in a confused management strategy.

In England, the key fisheries found within the 6-nautical mile limit for *P. elephas* are managed by the Inshore Fisheries Conservation Authorities (IFCAs). Current regulations implemented by IFCAs in England include; a minimum size of 110 mm carapace length, a Fishing For Profit Permit scheme (covering Lobster, Spiny Lobster (Crawfish), and Crab); a prohibition on removing ovigerous females and a voluntary agreement to tag and release undersized specimens.

*P. elephas* is classed as “Vulnerable” under the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) designation system, meaning that it is neither endangered nor critically endangered but is facing a high risk of extinction in the wild in the medium-term future. It is listed and designated as a protected species under the UK Biodiversity Action Plan (JNCC, 2017)1, as a Species of Principal Importance (Marlin, 2017)2 and as a Species of Conservation Importance with a conservation objective of recover within several Marine Conservation Zones (MCZs) (Natural England, 2017). Although there have been no scientific stock assessments undertaken, the long-term trends in fisheries statistics indicate significant overfishing. For example, landings of *P. elephas* into England have been falling from a peak in 1969 at over 100 tons (Hepper, 1977), to only 12 tons in 2014 (MMO, Fisheries Activity Database, 2017).3

The lifecycle of most decapod species includes a dispersive planktonic larval stage (Sandifer, 1975). The duration of this larval stage or Planktonic Larval Duration (PLD) is one of the key factors that determines dispersal patterns and population connectivity (Shanks et al., 2003; Palero et al., 2008; Ayata et al., 2010). In the case of *P. elephas*, the PLD has been estimated to range from 5 to 12 months depending on the region and seawater temperature (Groeneveld et al., 2013). This length of PLD means *P. elephas* larvae have the potential to cover thousands of km before finally settling out of the water column and metamorphosing into juveniles. Although vital to the conservation and management of this commercially important species knowledge of larval dispersal, population dynamics and connectivity is currently lacking (Connell, 1985; Underwood and Fairweather, 1989; Kough et al., 2013).

A method of overcoming this lack of knowledge is to develop and use models which, by definition and necessity, are simplifications of reality. Nevertheless, provided that the simplifications and model limitations are appreciated, the simulated results are useful. This is because of their potential to illustrate processes and scenarios such as extended planktonic larval dispersal stages that cannot, or only partially and at great cost, be observed (IPCC, 2014; Van der Molen et al., 2016). For instance, in the current case it is nearly impossible to derive where *P. elephas* larvae originate from, or to follow individual or groups of larvae for months at sea through observation.

This study aims to assess the dispersal of *P. elephas* larvae and identify connectivity of key populations and Marine Protected Areas (MPAs) that may support *P. elephas* in the southwest of England using a General Individuals Transport Model (GITM). The biological development and behavior of *P. elephas* larvae are included using the behavior module of the GITM. The model outputs will augment local fisheries management and conservation strategies currently being implemented. This will be achieved by evaluating the effectiveness of current measures for maintaining local *P. elephas* populations. In turn, the outputs will be reviewed in the context of ensuring that economically viable fisheries persist whilst improving the conservation status of *P. elephas*. The model outputs will also provide an opportunity to discuss the need for fisheries management and conservation strategies at both national and international scales. We will consider if further fisheries management and conservation measures would be beneficial and at what scale these would be most effective. Model outputs will also assist in identifying where further research may be required to fully understand how populations of *P. elephas* are maintained across multiple scales.

**Study Area**

The model domain covered a region of the north-eastern Atlantic Ocean, centered on the Celtic Sea and encompassing areas of the western English Channel and southern Irish Sea (Figure 1). The study area was selected due to limited resources and the desire to conduct a locally focussed study aimed at addressing questions posed by local stakeholders. The current study area encompasses existing fisheries and known populations of *P. elephas* in the southwest of England. The MPA network considered here included Special Areas of Conservation (SAC) and MCZs that had either been designated for the conservation of *P. elephas* or that contained rocky reef suitable for the successful recruitment of juveniles.

**The Life History of Palinurus elephas**

*P. elephas* is reported to reach reproductive maturity at 3–5 years of age and at a carapace length of 80–100 mm (Hunter et al., 2013).
Mating occurs between June and October with a peak in egg bearing females being observed in September–October (Mercer, 1973). *P. elephas* is thought to be less fecund than other species of spiny lobster with an estimated 119 eggs/g which can equate to between 23,000 and 202,000 eggs/female (Goni et al., 2003). Eggs are incubated for a period of 6–10 months with hatching occurring between March and June (Groeneveld et al., 2013). Eggs hatch into leaf-like transparent phyllosoma (Kittaka et al., 2001) approximately 2.9–3.9 mm in length which are then dispersed on ocean currents. The PLD has been estimated at between 5 and 12 months and is thought to include between 6 and 9 instars. It should be noted that little is currently known about this phase of the larval life cycle in terms of larval behavior and developmental times between instars. Studies aimed at culturing this phase of the larval life cycle in terms of larval behavior and quality as factors that strongly influence instar duration and quality is provided in Appendix A (Supplementary Materials).

**METHODS**

**Hydrodynamics and Particle Tracking Model**

**GETM: North-West European Shelf Set-Up**

The 3D hydrodynamic General Estuarine Transport Model (GETM, www.getm.eu; Burchard and Bolding, 2002) solves the shallow-water, heat balance and density equations. It uses a General Ocean Turbulence Model (GOTM, Burchard et al., 1999; www.gotm.net) to solve the vertical dimension. GETM was run using the north-west European shelf setup that has been used for multiple purposes (Van der Molen et al., 2016, 2017). The set-up includes a spherical grid covering the area 46.4°N–63°N, 17.25°W–13°E with a resolution of 0.08° longitude and 0.05° latitude (approximately 5.5 km), and 25 non-equidistant layers in the vertical. The model bathymetry was based on the NOOS bathymetry (www.noos.cc/index.php?id=5173). The model was forced with tidal constituents derived from TOPEX-POSEIDON satellite altimetry (Le Provost et al., 1998), atmospheric forcing from ECMWF ERA-Interim (Berrisford et al., 2011; Dee et al., 2011; www.ecmwf.int/en/research/climate-reanalysis/era-interim), interpolated river runoff from a range of observational data sets (the National River Flow Archive (www.ceh.ac.uk/data/nrfa/index.html) for UK rivers, the Agence de l’eau Loire-Bretagne, Agence de l’eau Seine-Normandie and IFREMER for French rivers, the DONAR database for Netherlands rivers, ARGE Elbe, the Niedersächsisches Landesamt für Ökologie and the Bundesanstalt für Gewässerkunde for German rivers, and the Institute for Marine Research, Bergen, for Norwegian rivers; see also Lenhart et al., 2010), and depth-resolved temperature- and salinity boundary conditions from ECMWF-ORAS4 (Mogensen et al., 2012; Balmaseda et al., 2013; https://www.ecmwf.int/en/research/climate-reanalysis/ocean-reanalysis).

A comparison of hydrodynamic model results with observations is provided in Appendix A (Supplementary Materials).

**GITM: Particle Tracking**

The Individual Behaviour Model GITM includes physical particle advection and diffusion, and biological development and behavior. The advection-diffusion elements of GITM were based on a re-coded version of the Lagrangian semi-analytical, advection-diffusion method that ensures particles follow stream lines (Wolk, 2003). Furthermore, a random walk method with advective correction (Visser, 1997) was included to simulate diffusion (Hunter et al., 1993). This method uses a constant diffusion coefficient in the horizontal direction and a variable diffusion coefficient in the vertical direction. The latter is based on the vertical diffusivity obtained from the turbulence closure model in the hydrodynamics model GETM. The combined hydrodynamics model (GETM) and particle tracking model (GITM) were applied recently to simulate the transport of plaice larvae (Tiessen et al., 2014) and population dynamics of *Mnemiopsis leidyi* (Van der Molen et al., 2015).

The biological development and behavior module of GITM allows particles to progress through a user-defined number of egg and larval development stages. Stage progression depends on daily growth rates which can be prescribed for each stage as constants or derived from temperature-dependent formulations. During each development stage, a particle can have a specific vertical migration behavior (e.g., neutrally buoyant; floating, sinking; diel migration or tidally cued migration). Particles can be considered as super individuals, representing a large number of individuals that can be subject to mortality functions. GITM runs off-line, using stored hydrodynamics from GETM at hourly intervals to account for the effect of tides.
Model Setup and Scenarios

The overall modeled area (Figure 1) included the western English Channel, the eastern Atlantic and the Celtic Sea. Particle release sites were selected based on local knowledge of existing populations of the *P. elephas* within MPAs. Particles representing larvae were released from the seabed and nine larval stages were included (Bouvier, 1914; Kittaka and Ikegami, 1988; Kittaka et al., 2001) (see Table 1 for life-history characteristics). Separate model experiments were carried out with constant growth rates, representing the extremes of observed larval durations, i.e., 5 and 12 months (Mercer, 1973; Groeneveld et al., 2013), as larval duration was expected to result in the largest uncertainty in dispersal distances. Larvae were assumed to undertake diel vertical migration between surface waters during the day and several tens of meters during the night (Goñi and Latrouite, 2005; Bermudes and Ritar, 2008). Due to the progressive increase in weight of the larvae and the resulting decrease in buoyancy (Goñi and Latrouite, 2005), latter stage larvae were given progressively higher sinking velocities, lower rising velocities and deeper night depths resulting in a fully bottom-dwelling final stage. No conditional settling behavior was applied to the particles resulting in the final particle position being fixed.

Model scenarios were run for a total of 16 months from March until June of the following year with particles being released at 2 h intervals between 1st of March and 30th of June of the same year to represent the spawning period (Groeneveld et al., 2013). The model was run until the end of June of the following year to allow the last larvae released with a 12-month duration to complete their development. Release locations were chosen at grid points inside rectangular area. Release sites comprised the Isles of Scilly SAC, Skerries Bank, and Surrounds MCZ, Lundy SAC, Bideford to Foreland Point MCZ, The Lizard Point SAC, and Padstow Bay and Surrounds MCZ (Figure 2). In total, 44,640 particles were released for each scenario.

One model scenario was run for each of four separate and contrasting years 1996, 1997, 2006, and 2010. The typical age that *P. elephas* recruit into the fishery is thought to be between years based on a growth rate of 12.2 mm increase in carapace length/year (Mercer, 1973; Hunter, 1999). From this, we assumed that it takes 8 years for a larva to be recruited into the fishery. Years 1996 and 1997 were selected based on landings data (Marine Management Organization Landings data, 2017) of *P. elephas* from 2002 to 2014, which indicated high catches in 2004 and low catches in 2005. Assuming that larval recruitment was the main determinant for cohort size, this suggests that 1996 may have been a year of high larval recruitment, and 1997 may have been a year of low larval recruitment. The years 1996 and 1997 were also contrasting years for the recruitment of sea bass larvae in the southwest of England, probably because weak average winds with variable direction resulted in relatively low sea-surface temperatures in 1996, whereas substantial average winds from the southwest resulted in relatively high sea-surface temperatures in 1997 (Beraud et al., 2017). Based on anecdotal evidence that increased numbers of *P. elephas* were being observed by divers and fishermen and to relate the model outputs to the final year of available fisheries landing data, two more recent model years were also selected (2006 and 2010).

Raw model outputs consisted of daily particle positions. These were processed into contour maps of final larval positions for particles released from each release rectangle. Arrow plots were also constructed to represent connectivity between the release areas and MPAs with known populations of *P. elephas* and those known to or that possibly contain suitable habitat for the species e.g., rocky reef features. The automated post-processing routines only recorded connectivity where these MPAs were reached by particles. This procedure inevitably excluded small coastal MPAs represented by land on the 5 km model grid.

### RESULTS

#### Model Results

Final densities of larvae released from the Isles of Scilly area (area 1, Figure 3) showed a general trend of dispersal to the north into the Irish Sea and clockwise around the south and west of Ireland for both the 5 month and 12-month larval durations. As expected, larvae with a 12-month duration traveled further, with a substantial proportion leaving the domain to

---

Table 1: Modeled life-history characteristics of each larval stage.

| Larval stage | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
|--------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Size at start of stage [mm]**| 1.8| 1.96| 2.66| 3.84| 5.28| 7.22| 8.47| 9.72| 10.97|
| Vertical migration| diel| diel| diel| diel| diel| diel| diel| diel| sink|
| Day target depth [m]| 5| 5| 5| 5| 5| 5| 5| 5| –|
| Night target depth [m]| 20| 20| 20| 40| 40| 40| 200| 200| –|
| Rising velocity [mm s^-1]| 4| 4| 4| 2| 2| 2| 1| 0.5| –|
| Sinking velocity [mm s^-1]| 4| 4| 4| 4| 4| 4| 6| 8| 10|

*Growth rate: 0.0286 mm d^-1 for 12 month duration, 0.0683 mm d^-1 for 5 month duration.*

---

**Available online at: https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/uk-sea-fisheries-annual-statistics (Accessed June, 2017).
the north. For both larval durations, there was a degree of inter-annual variability, but the main patterns of settling were similar between the years. The majority of the larvae that remained in the domain settled around the Irish coast. For the 5 month duration larvae, high densities settled along the southern shores of Ireland. The settled larvae with 12-month duration showed higher concentrations off Wicklow (south of Dublin), off Dungarvan on the south coast, near the mouth of the Shannon estuary and off Galway on the west coast than elsewhere in the model domain. Twelve-month duration larvae also settled along...
the west coast of Wales. For the 5-month duration larvae, a small proportion remained within the outer reaches of the Bristol Channel, and a few settled in the vicinity of the Isles of Scilly, suggesting a low potential for self-seeding within the population. For the 12-month duration larvae, self-seeding, though very unlikely, was not entirely impossible.

Larvae released from the Skerries Bank area (area 2, Figure 4), mostly remained within the English Channel, but a proportion
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**FIGURE 4** | Final number of particles per model grid cell (density) for particles released from Skerries and Surrounds MCZ for each of the 4 years. **(A,C,E,G)** 5 months pelagic duration, **(B,D,F,H)** 12 months pelagic duration.
were transported to the west and into the Celtic and Irish Seas. For years 1996 and 1997 and both larval durations, only limited numbers entered the Celtic Sea. However, in 2006 numbers entering the Celtic Sea were greater, and some reached similar destinations as those larvae released from the Isles of Scilly. In 2010, increased numbers of larvae appear to have remained distributed throughout the western English Channel. Of the larvae that remained within the English Channel, a proportion

**FIGURE 5** | Final number of particles per model grid cell (density) for particles released from Lundy SAC and Surrounds MCZ for each of the 4 years. (A,C,E,G) 5 months pelagic duration, (B,D,F,H) 12 months pelagic duration.
varying from substantial (5 month duration) to the majority (12-month duration) were transported out of the domain into the eastern English Channel. The ones that remained within the western English Channel settled mostly in Lyme Bay along the French coast of Normandy, the Channel Islands and Whitsand Bay, suggesting potential for a self-sustaining population in the Skerries Bank area.

The settling patterns of larvae released from the Lundy Island area and Bideford to Foreland Point (areas 3 and 4, and Figures 5, 6) were very similar, with larvae from Lundy...
traveling slightly further. Most larvae remained within the Bristol Channel with the majority settling along the south coast of Wales. There were also high concentrations off Hartland on the northern Cornish coast, indicating substantial potential for self-sustaining populations. The larvae that left the Bristol Channel were dispersed in a similar way as those released from the Isles of Scilly (Figure 3).

Larvae released off the Lizard (area 5, Figure 7) displayed a settling pattern very similar to those from the near-by Isles of Scilly. However, some remained in the English Channel,
suggesting limited potential for a self-sustaining element to the population off the Lizard, which would be particularly dependent on short-duration larvae. Finally, most of the larvae from the Padstow Bay area (area 6, Figure 8) were also transported to the same areas as those from the Isles of Scilly. A proportion, however, remained in the Bristol Channel, and settled off the south coast of Wales and the north coast of Cornwall, with some evidence of self-seeding.

**FIGURE 8** | Final number of particles per model grid cell (density) for particles released from Padstow Bay and Surrounds MCZ for each of the 4 years. (A,C,E,G) 5 months pelagic duration, (B,D,F,H) 12 months pelagic duration.
Figures 9, 10 represent the potential connectivity between particle release sites and the surrounding network of MPAs for larvae with both a 5 and 12 month PLD. The figures are an aggregation of the 4 years assessed during this study. The figures demonstrate both the potential for regional and far-field connectivity between release sites, in particular with Irish MPAs. Note that the connectivity between the Skerries Bank and Surrounds MCZ and South Wight Maritime (Figure 10B) is an artifact of the latter being located on the boundary of the particle tracking region.

DISCUSSION

Comparison of modeled and observed tides, and of the modeled residual circulation with observations (Brown et al., 2003) and an earlier model (Young et al., 2004) indicated that the model provides a reasonable representation of the regional hydrodynamics and is suitable for regional-scale (tens to hundreds of km) particle tracking experiments (Appendix A in Supplementary Materials). It is possible that the simulations overlook local, topography-driven retention mechanisms, both in the release areas and along the coasts because the model does not capture the local-scale (up to 10 km) bathymetry and associated hydrodynamics in detail. Hence, a proportion of larvae, particularly those that come very near to the coast, may not travel as far as suggested by the model.

Substantial numbers of particles, in particular those with 12 month larval duration, were taken out of the computation at the northern edge of the modeled domain. These larvae would have traveled further north, potentially reaching more northern parts of Ireland and Scotland. Particles were also taken out of the computation at the domain boundary in the English Channel. However, because as the next known populations of P. elephas
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**FIGURE 9** | Connectivity between release areas and MPAs (green polygons) for the particles with 5 month larval duration: (A) Isles of Scilly SAC, (B) Skerries Bank and Surrounds MCZ, (C) Lundy SAC, (D) Bideford to Foreland Point MCZ, (E) The Lizard Point SAC, (F) Padstow Bay and Surrounds MCZ. The width of the arrows is proportional to the number of particles.
found in Norway, it is likely that these larvae would be lost to the population or settle in suitable habitats encountered during their protracted PLD. It is likely that these larvae would be lost to the population or settle in suitable habitats encountered during their protracted PLD. In reality, most larvae are likely to have a pelagic duration between the extremes of 5 and 12 months, and hence would travel some intermediate distance. However, as the final particle density and MPA connectivity patterns of these two extremes are not dissimilar, they can still be used as a fair indication of actual larval dispersal.

For the model experiments, both for simplicity and because of the lack of more detailed information, particle releases were distributed evenly in time. In reality, larval release is likely to be a more complex function of time, potentially with one or more release peaks. If multiple, unevenly distributed release events were combined with the fixed larval durations used in this study it would likely result in slightly more concentrated final particle densities than our results using even particle release patterns.

A more natural distribution of larval duration would be expected to smooth the concentration of settling densities observed for the 5- and 12-month duration results presented here.

The larval dispersal model was run for four separate and contrasting years which were selected based upon species catch data. Differences in inter-annual dispersal patterns were apparent for some sites (Figures 3–8) but appear to be release-site specific with no clear differences in overall inter-annual dispersal pattern being observed across 6 of the 7-release sites. The exception was the Skerries Bank and Surrounds MCZ release site where,
in 2010 (Figure 4), more particles appear to remain distributed throughout the western Channel. If the assumptions made, which include a 7–9-year development time and that larval recruitment is a key determining factor in cohort size, are correct then this could corroborate anecdotal evidence from fishermen and divers of increased numbers of spiny lobsters currently being landed and observed in the region.

The larval developmental and behavioral parameterization file used in the model was based on existing literature (Bouvier, 1914; Mercerr, 1973; Kittaka and Ikegami, 1988; Kittaka et al., 2001; Gohi and Latrouitte, 2005; Bermudes and Ritar, 2008; Groeneveld et al., 2013). When the PLD was set at the shortest duration of 5 months, many of the P. elephas populations demonstrated self-seeding. However, when the larval PLD was set at the maximum duration of 12 months, fewer of the P. elephas populations demonstrated the ability to self-seed. This emphasizes the importance of and the requirement for accurate data and life history information when setting up model parameterization files to ensure that the model outputs are realistic and plausible. If models are to be used in the development of future fisheries spatial management and conservation strategies then outputs need to be considered carefully and in conjunction with other information including fecundity, mortality rates, habitat preferences, and ecological interactions pertaining to the species in question (Butler, 2003; Graham et al., 2008).

The management and conservation of shellfish stocks within the UK is primarily based on effort and landings data (Mallol et al., 2014) and rarely considers how targeted populations are connected with one another (Kough et al., 2013). The protracted PLD of many shellfish species is a key factor in determining dispersal patterns and population connectivity (Palero et al., 2008). When dealing with distinct stocks and fragmented populations of key shellfish species biophysical modeling is a valuable tool capable of illustrating larval dispersal patterns, recruitment hotspots and population connectivity (Babbucci et al., 2010). It is therefore critical that in the future, outputs from such models are used to develop truly spatial fisheries management and future conservation initiatives.

The populations of P. elephas included in this study demonstrated varying dependencies relating to larval supply and the ability to self-seed as would be expected when the concept of sink and source populations is considered (Lipcius et al., 2008, 2015). Several of the populations of P. elephas, primarily those released from Skerries Bank and Surrounds MCZ, Lundy SAC, Bideford to Foreland Point MCZ and Padstow Bay and Surrounds MCZ (Figures 4–6, 8 respectively) demonstrated an ability to self-seed while other populations including the Isles of Scilly SAC and Lizard Point SAC (Figures 3, 7 respectively) were clearly dependent on other local and more distant populations.

These findings are aligned with current studies that have demonstrated that invertebrate species that exhibit long larval dispersal phases probably exist as metapopulations made up of connected subpopulations rather than discrete populations (Lipcius et al., 1997, 2001; Puckett and Eggleton, 2016). This suggests that when developing site-specific conservation strategies, the network scale should be considered, especially if there is an assumption that sites are ecologically connected. An example of this is the current inshore fishery for P. elephas that exists around the Isles of Scilly, of which much of the fishing effort occurs within the Isles of Scilly SAC. It is clear from the larval dispersal model that this population is potentially an extremely important source of larvae for other areas which may be disrupted without effective local fisheries management.

The current approach to the management of the Isles of Scilly spiny lobster fishery, although successful at the local scale, does not guarantee the continued supply of larvae to this population. Based on the outputs from the larval dispersal model, the Isles of Scilly population of P. elephas is a source population with very limited evidence of self-recruitment and as such appears to be reliant on other P. elephas populations for its larval supply. It is highly likely that if current populations of P. elephas around the Isles of Scilly and the southwest of England were to decrease further, local fisheries management and conservation strategies being implemented would come under scrutiny, when in fact, management and conservation strategies being implemented elsewhere could be at fault. This highlights the importance of managing fisheries across their full potential geographic range (Planes et al., 2009) and the need to manage species such as P. elephas in a way that takes into account their whole life cycle, prioritizing the stages of the cycle which could have the greatest benefits in terms of future recruitment. Failure to do so would not only result in the loss of a commercially sustainable fishery but has the potential for wide ranging effects on the distribution of P. elephas throughout the southwest of England, Ireland and Wales.

The conservation network considered here included MCZs and SACs that had either been designated for the conservation of P. elephas or contained suitable P. elephas habitat. The extent to which the MPAs are potentially connected (Figures 9, 10) in terms of larval dispersal emphasizes the role that the existing MPA network, if managed correctly, could have in the future enhancement and management of existing P. elephas populations through increased biomass exportation and habitat conservation (Crowder et al., 2000; Tuck and Possingham, 2000; Garcia-Charlton et al., 2008; Di Lorenzo et al., 2016). This is particularly apparent for the MPAs located on the North Cornwall coast and within the Bristol Channel where a large proportion of the released particles remain within the local area during both the modeled 5 and 12 month PLD.

It is clear that the design and management of MPAs can have implications on how the overall network performs. For example, evidence shows that increasing the size of MPAs can influence larval retention and that increasing the number of MPAs within a network can increase inter-reserve connectivity (Puckett and Eggleton, 2016). Due to this connectivity, failure to protect a feature or manage an activity at just one key site could have unforeseen effects on the overall conservation network (Edwards et al., 2010; Kininmonth et al., 2011). It is also important to remember that one size does not fit all and that whilst designing conservation networks requires consideration of a multitude of factors, species population connectivity is of the utmost importance.
**RECOMMENDATIONS**

Our study demonstrates the requirement for further research and additional modeling and empirical studies to:

1. Increase our understanding of the lifecycles and ecology of key fisheries species which will in turn increase our ability to successfully manage commercially important species which exist as discrete yet ecologically connected populations.
2. Increase our understanding of the true levels and scale of connectivity that potentially exist between discrete populations of vulnerable species and across existing MPA networks.
3. To further inform future network scale management approaches.
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