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Abstract: Recent findings show that approximately 87% of the U.S. population fail to meet the vegetable intake recommendations, with unpleasant taste of vegetables being listed as the primary reason for this shortfall. In this study, spice and herb seasoning was used to enhance palatability of vegetables, in order to increase consumer acceptance. In total, 749 panelists were screened and recruited as specific vegetable likers of the vegetable being tested or general vegetable likers. Four sessions were designed to evaluate the effect of seasoning within each type of vegetable, including broccoli, cauliflower, carrot, and green bean. Each panelist was only allowed to participate in one test session to evaluate only one vegetable type, so as to mitigate potential learning effect. Overall, the results showed that seasoned vegetables were significantly preferred over unseasoned vegetables (P < 0.001), indicating the sensory properties were significantly improved with seasoning. When general vegetable likers and specific vegetable likers were compared in terms of their preference between seasoned and unseasoned vegetables, the pattern varied across different vegetables; however, general trend of seasoned vegetable being preferred remained. The findings from this study demonstrate the effect of seasoning in enhancing consumer liking of vegetables, which may lead to increased consumption to be assessed in future studies.
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Practical Application: To improve the sensory properties of vegetables, masking the bitter taste of vegetables using spice and herb seasoning are gaining increasing attention. Our findings suggest that the overall liking of vegetables could be improved by incorporating spice and herb seasonings that are specifically formulated for each vegetable. Ultimately, developing and commercializing spice and herb seasonings may aid to increase vegetable consumption, as well as expanding the vegetable seasoning market.

Introduction

Fruits and vegetables are essential components of a healthy diet, as important sources for vitamins, dietary fibers, and other bioactive compounds. In addition to providing daily required nutrients, numerous studies have found that sufficient fruit and vegetable intake helps to reduce the risk of many diseases, such as cancer (Van Duyn and Pivonka 2000; Sato and others 2005), stroke (Dauchet and others 2005; He and others 2006), and cardiovascular diseases (Bazzano and others 2003).

In 1990, the Dietary Guidelines Committee recommended 3 or more servings of vegetables and 2 or more servings of fruits each day. In addition, a national 5-A-Day for Better Health Program was initiated, in order to encourage people to increase their daily consumption of fruits and vegetables (Serdula and others 2004). According to the most recent 2015 to 2020 Dietary Guidelines for Americans, half of the plate should be filled with fruits and vegetables (Agriculture 2015). However, during 2007 to 2010, half of the total U.S. population consumed less than 1 cup of fruit and less than 1.5 cups of vegetables daily (Moore and Thompson 2015), and 87% of the total population were not able to meet vegetable intake recommendations (NSDUH 2014). As such, many efforts have been taken to increase vegetable consumption. To date, most studies have focused intervention strategies on children and young adults (Ahearn 2003; Lowe and others 2004; Blanchette and Brug 2005; Richards and others 2006), and only a few studies were conducted with adults (Rolls and others 2010).

Strong bitterness has been identified as the main cause of the low palatability issues of vegetables, by suppressing endogenous sweetness and enhancing disliked vegetable aromas (Dinehart and others 2006; Sharafi and others 2013). In a recent study by Poelman and others (2017), the authors concluded that vegetables lack in attributes that are innately liked such as sweet and savory and possess innately disliked attributes such as bitter. A substantial portion of these unpleasant tastes and aroma are attributed to dietary phytonutrients such as naringin (bitter flavonoid). Despite the bitterness of these compounds, phytonutrients, and their metabolites have been found to act as antioxidants, phytoestrogens, and enzyme inducers (resulting in anticarcinogenic action in the case of some plant flavonoids; Drewnowski and Gomez-Carneros 2000). Hence, instead of removing phytonutrients, masking is a better option to improve the flavor profiles of vegetables while maintaining their nutritional value (Sharafi and others 2013). Pioneering studies have implied some potentials. One representative study found that salt is capable of masking bitterness (Breslin and Beauchamp 1997). However, it is important to control the sodium amount in seasonings. According to the literature, 99% of U.S. adults consume excess sodium, which may be a factor in 65% of U.S. adults being hypertensive or prehypertensive (Kuo and Lee 2014). Therefore, vegetable seasonings with less sodium content are desired to minimize the adverse effects. Likewise, other studies have proposed the
strategy of using spices and herbs to improve the sensory properties of vegetables (Nikolaus and others 2017; Manero and others 2017), yet no sensory consumer tests have been published to provide supporting evidence.

Previous studies treated vegetables as a general terminology (Pollard and others 2002; Schonhof and others 2004). However, each type of vegetable possesses its unique sensory properties, which further leads to different perceptions by each individual consumer. For example, the bitter taste in broccoli is attributed to glucosinolates (Schonhof and others 2004), whereas in cauliflower, allyl isothiocyanate, dimethyl trisulfide, dimethyl sulfide, and methanethiol are the key odorants responsible for rejection by consumers (Engel and others 2002). In some cases, texture profiles would be regarded as a predominant factor in consumer liking of the specific vegetable (Szczesniak and Kahn 1971; Szczesniak 1972). Therefore, for each vegetable, specific and appropriate strategies should be implemented and assessed independently. However, the manifold strategies could also lead to diverged consequences towards different consumer segments. For instance, when a flavor masking strategy is applied to modify sensory properties, those consumers who like the original vegetable flavors could have lower acceptability when the original vegetable flavor is masked. Therefore, it is necessary to comprehensively evaluate the impact of flavor modification on different segments of consumers. According to literature, over 90% of the population consumes vegetables, but in insufficient amounts (Billson and others 1999; Sargeant and others 2001). Therefore, it would be reasonable to hypothesize that these vegetable consumers, who do not eat adequate amounts of vegetables, could increase their consumption if the palatability could be improved. In this study, consumers were screened and recruited in 2 groups, specific vegetable likers of the specific vegetable being tested and general vegetable likers, in order to compare the changes in acceptability of the 2 consumer segments with the flavor modifying treatment of each vegetable.

Utilizing seasonings was regarded as an effective strategy to improve the palatability of vegetables (Li and others 2015). To date, there is still a lack of systematic studies that reflect the effects of seasonings, particularly by means of consumer tests with demographically diverse panelists. Herein, we report a study with the objective of investigating consumers’ acceptance of 4 types of vegetables seasoned with and without seasoning. It was hypothesized that the vegetables seasoned with herbs and spices would receive higher consumer acceptance ratings. It was further hypothesized that the general vegetable likers group will demonstrate a higher increase in liking with seasoning of vegetables than the specific vegetable likers group, because the general vegetable likers group’s baseline acceptance rating for the specific vegetable unseasoned would be lower than the specific vegetable likers group; thus, would have more capacity to increase their liking.

Materials and Methods

Experimental design

The panelists for this study were recruited mainly by e-mail, Internet posts, and flyers, and included mostly University students, faculty, staff, and members of the Champaign-Urbana community. The requirements for participation in the test included being 18 y of age or older, liking vegetables, having no known food allergies and not participating in other portions of the same study. In addition, in order to not create bias for the customers of Bevier Café (Café located in the Food Science building on campus) who may participate in the latter part of the real-setting study, consumers of vegetables were recruited from students, staff and local community adults who did not eat frequently at Bevier Café, defined as “no more than once every 2 wk” on average. During the recruitment process, the participants were divided into 2 screening groups: panelists in Screening Group A were asked whether they liked consuming vegetables, and only those who defined themselves as vegetable likers were eligible to participate. Panelists in Screening Group B were asked whether they were likers of the particular vegetable being tested, and the same criteria were applied. In total, 754 panelists were recruited in this study, with 389 in Screening Group A and 365 in Screening Group B. Each panelist received a $10 compensation for their participation in the study, and experimental protocol was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board.

Ingredients

Broccoli, cauliflower, carrots, and green beans were obtained from local grocery stores and were held frozen at −20 °C until use. The ingredients included in the possible seasonings of the different vegetables were: soybean vegetable oil (Harvest Value, U.S. Foods, INC., Rosemont, Ill., U.S.A.), iodized table salt (Morton Salt, Inc., Chicago, Ill., U.S.A.), ground ginger, garlic powder, dried ground cayenne chili pepper, onion powder, dried dill weed, ground black pepper, ground coriander seed, and dried parsley (McCormick & Co., Inc., Hunt Valley, Md., U.S.A.). The details about the ingredients are listed in Table 1. The seasonings used for each vegetable was different, because each vegetable has different flavor profile. In previous studies, flavor masking was reported primarily due to the presence of sulfur flavor compounds such as diallyl disulfide (Yang and others 2011). However, the unpleasant flavors present in each vegetable vary widely, so the extent of masking is a result of complex interactions, remaining unpredictable and unexplored (Wagh and Ghadlinge 2009). Therefore, the strategy of developing a particular seasoning in this study was to combine a group of herbs that are rich in sulfur flavor compounds, such as onion, pepper, garlic, which levels were optimized based on internal panel discussion.

Sample preparation

The vegetables were cooked in the kitchen facility of Bevier Café, following a pre-designed protocol. Precisely, each batch containing 907.2 g of vegetables were steamed in a perforated pan in an oven for 5 min, and then tossed with pre-weighed soybean oil and salt. This is a typical preparation for vegetable (Poliman and others 2015), and has been used in previous research design (Manero and others 2017). If no further seasoning was used besides oil and salt, the samples were designated as “unseasoned,” because it is the herbs and spices that are the treatment of interest in the study. The samples designated “seasoned” were added a premeasured seasoning blend in addition to the oil and salt. Both seasoned and unseasoned vegetable samples were coded with randomized 3-digit number codes to avoid bias.

As for sample presentation, each panelist was served a tray that included the 2 coded samples (in 162.7 mL cups with lids), a paper ballot, a pen, a napkin, 3 water cups according to the rinse protocol which was carbonated water, followed by warm water, and room temperature water, and an expectorating cup with lid.

Sensory evaluation procedure

The sensory evaluation sessions took place in a large restaurant-style room on the Univ. of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign campus.
like extremely .” After overall acceptance, panelists rated each sample on the 9-point hedonic scale for appearance and flavor at 1 = “dislike extremely,” 5 = “neither like nor dislike,” and 9 = “like extremely.” Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed based on 754 ballots, using the paired t-test method in Microsoft Excel (Microsoft 2013, Redmond, Wash., U.S.A.) to compare results between samples within each screening or demographic group. The significance level was set at 0.05. For agglomerative cluster analysis and correspondence analysis, XLSTAT 2014.4.09 (Addinsoft, New York, N.Y., U.S.A.) was used. Agglomerative cluster analysis was conducted following a methodology described in a previous study (Koga and others 2016).

Table 1—List of ingredients for each recipe tested.

| Product               | Amount (g) | Ingredient                        | Manufacturer                      |
|-----------------------|------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Seasoned broccoli     | 9.07       | Frozen broccoli                   | Monogram, U.S. Foods, Inc.        |
|                       | 14         | Pure vegetable soybean oil        | Morton Salt, Inc.                 |
|                       | 3          | Iodized salt                      | Harvest Value, U.S. Foods, Inc.   |
|                       | 0.42       | Ground black pepper               | McCormick & Company, Inc.         |
|                       | 0.27       | Dried dill weed                   | McCormick & Company, Inc.         |
|                       | 0.27       | Ground coriander seed             | McCormick & Company, Inc.         |
| Unseasoned broccoli   | 9.07       | Frozen broccoli                   | Monogram, U.S. Foods, Inc.        |
|                       | 14         | Pure vegetable soybean oil        | Morton Salt, Inc.                 |
|                       | 3          | Iodized salt                      | Harvest Value, U.S. Foods, Inc.   |
|                       | 0.8        | Garlic powder                     | McCormick & Company, Inc.         |
|                       | 0.6        | Onion powder                      | McCormick & Company, Inc.         |
|                       | 0.27       | Ground black pepper               | McCormick & Company, Inc.         |
|                       | 0.8        | Ground coriander seed             | McCormick & Company, Inc.         |
| Seasoned cauliflower  | 9.07       | Frozen cauliflower                | Monogram, U.S. Foods, Inc.        |
|                       | 14         | Pure vegetable soybean oil        | Morton Salt, Inc.                 |
|                       | 3          | Iodized table salt                | Harvest Value, U.S. Foods, Inc.   |
|                       | 0.8        | Garlic powder                     | McCormick & Company, Inc.         |
|                       | 0.6        | Onion powder                      | McCormick & Company, Inc.         |
|                       | 0.27       | Ground black pepper               | McCormick & Company, Inc.         |
| Unseasoned cauliflower| 9.07       | Frozen cauliflower                | Monogram, U.S. Foods, Inc.        |
|                       | 14         | Pure vegetable soybean oil        | Morton Salt, Inc.                 |
|                       | 3          | Iodized table salt                | Harvest Value, U.S. Foods, Inc.   |
| Seasoned carrots      | 9.07       | Frozen carrots                    | Monogram, U.S. Foods, Inc.        |
|                       | 14         | Pure vegetable soybean oil        | Morton Salt, Inc.                 |
|                       | 1.5        | Iodized table salt                | Harvest Value, U.S. Foods, Inc.   |
|                       | 0.5        | Ground ginger                     | McCormick & Company, Inc.         |
|                       | 0.5        | Garlic powder                     | McCormick & Company, Inc.         |
|                       | 0.15       | Dried ground cayenne chili pepper | McCormick & Company, Inc.         |
| Unseasoned carrots    | 9.07       | Frozen carrots                    | Monogram, U.S. Foods, Inc.        |
|                       | 14         | Pure vegetable soybean oil        | Morton Salt, Inc.                 |
|                       | 1.5        | Iodized table salt                | Harvest Value, U.S. Foods, Inc.   |
| Seasoned green beans  | 9.07       | Frozen green beans                | Monogram, U.S. Foods, Inc.        |
|                       | 9          | Pure vegetable soybean oil        | Morton Salt, Inc.                 |
|                       | 3          | Iodized salt                      | Harvest Value, U.S. Foods, Inc.   |
|                       | 0.42       | Garlic powder                     | McCormick & Company, Inc.         |
|                       | 0.27       | Onion powder                      | McCormick & Company, Inc.         |
|                       | 0.27       | Ground parsley herb               | McCormick & Company, Inc.         |
| Unseasoned green beans| 9.07       | Frozen green beans                | Monogram, U.S. Foods, Inc.        |
|                       | 9          | Pure vegetable soybean oil        | Morton Salt, Inc.                 |
|                       | 3          | Iodized salt                      | Harvest Value, U.S. Foods, Inc.   |

Panelists 1st evaluated both samples for overall acceptance on a 9-point hedonic scale (Lawless and Heymann 1999), anchored with 1 = “dislike extremely,” 5 = “neither like nor dislike,” and 9 = “like extremely.” After overall acceptance, panelists rated each sample on the 9-point hedonic scale for appearance and flavor attributes, and were asked a series of optional open questions that included what they liked about the sample, what they disliked, and recommendations based on their own criteria to improve the sample.

Open-ended questions were not asked in the green bean test, which was conducted in the 1st round of the vegetable acceptance tests. The other 3 vegetables were tested in the second round with open-ended questions. Other questions regarding demographics and vegetable consumption behavior were asked following the acceptance questions.

Before tasting each sample, the panelists were instructed to rinse their mouth with carbonated water, warm water, and room temperature water. Carbonated water, warm water, and room temperature water are all commonly used rinses for sensory studies. For different types of test samples, rinsing protocol vary. Carbonated water is widely used to rinse oily samples. Warm water is used when samples are served with elevated or lowered temperatures such as ice creams (Lucak 2008). In terms of vegetables, there is very limited information about the palate cleansing protocols in the literature. Considering that the samples were served at elevated temperature and also contained some oil, 3 rinses were considered appropriate to sufficiently cleanse the palate: carbonated water, warm water, and room temperature water. The rinsing protocol was developed according to preliminary test, which was able to sufficiently remove the prior taste residual. The panelist could expectorate the samples after evaluation expectorating the rinses as well or swallow the samples in which case they were asked to swallow the rinses as well.

Data were collected on paper ballots and entered into Microsoft Excel spreadsheets to be analyzed. The ballots were coded to be anonymous and were all treated confidentially by the investigators of the study.

(Bevier Hall Spice Box) under incandescent lighting. Two versions of the scorecard were made for each screening group for the purposes of randomizing the order of sample presentation. These were distributed randomly to the panelists to avoid bias.

Panelists 1st evaluated both samples for overall acceptance on a 9-point hedonic scale (Lawless and Heymann 1999), anchored with 1 = “dislike extremely,” 5 = “neither like nor dislike,” and 9 = “like extremely.” After overall acceptance, panelists rated each sample on the 9-point hedonic scale for appearance and flavor attributes, and were asked a series of optional open questions that included what they liked about the sample, what they disliked, and recommendations based on their own criteria to improve the sample. Open-ended questions were not asked in the green bean test, which was conducted in the 1st round of the vegetable acceptance tests. The other 3 vegetables were tested in the second round with open-ended questions. Other questions regarding demographics and vegetable consumption behavior were asked following the acceptance questions.
TABLE 2—Average overall liking scores across all vegetables.

| Vegetable               | Consumer group | Seasoned vs. unseasoned | Overall liking | Flavor liking | Appearance liking |
|-------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|------------------|
| Broccoli                | Specific likers| Seasoned                | 7.40**         | 7.34*        | 6.81             |
|                         |                | Unseasoned              | 7.04**         | 6.80*        | 6.82             |
|                         | P-value        | 0.006                   |                |              |                  |
| Cauliflower             | Specific likers| Seasoned                | 7.22           | 7.18         | 6.81             |
|                         |                | Unseasoned              | 7.12           | 6.96         | 6.31**           |
|                         | P-value        | 0.038                   |                |              |                  |
| Carrots                 | Specific likers| Seasoned                | 6.25           | 6.16         | 5.92***          |
|                         |                | Unseasoned              | 6.16           | 6.07         | 6.54***          |
|                         | P-value        | 0.001                   |                |              |                  |
| Green beans             | Specific likers| Seasoned                | 7.13**         | 7.03         | 6.71**           |
|                         |                | Unseasoned              | 6.70*          | 6.64         | 5.99**           |
|                         | P-value        | 0.001                   |                |              | 0.002            |
| General vegetable likers|                |                        |                |              |                  |
| Broccoli                | Seasoned       | 6.61                    | 6.54          |              |                  |
| Cauliflower             | Seasoned       | 6.24                    | 6.15          |              |                  |
| Carrots                 | Seasoned       | 6.98                    | 7.09          |              |                  |
| Green beans             | Seasoned       | 6.74                    | 6.59          |              |                  |
| Combined                |                | 0.313                   | 0.056         |              | 0.0576           |

*On a 9-point hedonic scale, anchored 9 = like extremely, 5 = neither like nor dislike, and 1 = dislike extremely.

Panelists were grouped according to their overall liking scores of samples for broccoli, cauliflower, carrots, and green beans, respectively. For the verbal comments, keywords frequency was analyzed using Nvivo (Nvivo 12, QSR Intl. Inc., Burlington, Mass., U.S.A.).

Results and Discussion

Overall liking combined

Table 2 shows the average overall consumers’ acceptance between seasoned and unseasoned vegetables inclusive of all types of vegetables. Across all the testing groups, general vegetable likers, specific vegetable likers, and combined consumers, paired t-tests consistently showed that seasoned vegetables are significantly liked more by consumers. This significant finding suggests that sensory properties of vegetables may have been improved by spices and herbs, through masking and modifying the often bitter taste of vegetables. It is also interesting to note that the vegetables tested in this study were well-liked by the consumers demonstrated by the average overall liking scores in the range of 6.72 to 7.00. Considering the most updated sodium adequate intake (AI, 1500 mg) and upper intake level (UL, 2300 mg) for young adults (Kuo and Lee 2014), consumption of 3 vegetable portions with seasonings would contribute to 24% and 16% of the daily recommended intake, respectively of AI and UL, which are acceptable.

Overall liking for each vegetable

Consumer acceptance, along with attribute acceptability ratings of flavor and appearance of seasoned and unseasoned vegetables within each test session is shown in Table 3. For broccoli, seasoning was found to significantly improve the overall liking for specific broccoli likers while not making significant difference for the general vegetable likers. Broccoli has been reported for its health benefits (Jeffery and others 2003; Jeffery and Araya 2009), which might have led to the recent increased consumption (Hayley Borris and Henrich Brunke 2005). Due to the unpleasant taste attributed by glucosinolates (Schonhof and others 2004), many culinary techniques have been used to produce palatable broccoli products. Therefore, broccoli likers might possess rather higher expectations of broccoli than general vegetable likers. As a result, a greater discrepancy between seasoned and unseasoned broccoli was displayed in specific broccoli likers. The results also imply that broccoli likers are more sensitive to herb and spice seasonings, compared to general vegetable likers.

For cauliflower, the overall liking was significantly increased by seasoning among general vegetable likers but showed no difference in specific cauliflower likers. Different from broccoli, the yearly
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Table 4—Average overall liking* of samples for each cluster of panelists for different vegetables.

| Vegetable | Cluster 1 (n = 98) | Cluster 2 (n = 49) | Cluster 3 (n = 47) |
|-----------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Broccoli  |                   |                   |                   |
| Seasoned  | 7.36***           | 5.84***           | 8.55              |
| Unseasoned| 6.03***           | 7.96***           | 8.47              |
|           | Cluster 1 (N = 97)| Cluster 2 (N = 55)| Cluster 3 (N = 42)|
| Cauliflower| 8.30***          | 5.88***           | 6.64***           |
| Seasoned  | 7.53***           | 7.38***           | 4.81***           |
| Unseasoned| Cluster 1 (N = 97)| Cluster 2 (N = 55)| Cluster 3 (N = 28)|
| Carrots   | 7.53 ***          | 3.98***           | 6.32***           |
| Seasoned  | 7.01***           | 5.90***           | 3.36***           |
| Unseasoned| Cluster 1 (N = 117)| Cluster 2 (N = 56)| Cluster 3 (N = 49)|
| Green beans| 5.78***          | 8.48***           | 6.98***           |
| Seasoned  | 7.27***           | 7.66***           | 4.98***           |
| Unseasoned| Cluster 1 (N = 60)| Cluster 2 (N = 56)| Cluster 3 (N = 49)|

*aOn a 9-point hedonic scale, anchored 9 = like extremely, 5 = neither like nor dislike, and 1 = dislike extremely.
*bSignificance based on paired t-test comparison of seasoned and unseasoned samples.
**P < 0.05.
***P < 0.01.

Figure 1—Distribution of panelists on their preference of seasoned or unseasoned vegetables.

Consumption and yield of cauliflower has dropped recently over time. According to the 2015 data (USDA-NASS 2016), the production of cauliflower dropped to 2400 tons compared to broccoli at 39200 tons. Although the population size of cauliflower consumers is relatively small, those general vegetable likers were found to rate seasoned cauliflower significantly higher, which suggests a great potential of increasing the number of cauliflower consumers by incorporating herb and spice seasonings into dishes made with cauliflower.

No significant difference was found between seasoned and unseasoned carrots, neither in the specific carrot likers group nor general vegetable likers group. This may be due to masking of natural carrot sweetness by seasoning, which can be supported by the open comment data from keywords frequency analysis which is further discussed in verbal information analysis. Another possible reason may be the type of seasoning for carrots that was used, which imparted spiciness because it included cayenne chili pepper. Spicy food is not common to all cultures or traditional cuisines (Ludy and Mattes 2012), although commonly found in vegetable seasonings (Nikolaus and others 2017), so that might have caused a decrease in the scores given to the seasoned carrots. Disliking of the spiciness was mentioned by various panelists in the open comment section of the questionnaire.

For green beans, a significant difference between seasoned and unseasoned samples was found in the specific likers group but not in the general vegetable likers group. In green beans, linoleic, and linolenic acids were reported as principal volatile compounds (Lumen and others 1978). However, the presence of linoleic acids suppresses the sensitivity of saltiness perception, by increasing taste thresholds (Mattes 2006). By adding spice and herb seasonings, a more complicated flavor interaction could occur between seasonings and original green bean flavors, causing synergistic perceptual experience and hence increasing acceptability for the specific vegetable likers group.

Specific attributes

For all test sessions, flavor and appearance liking were the 2 attributes rated by consumers, in order to potentially identify the drivers of liking or disliking. Data in Table 3 illustrates that flavor plays a more significant role in the overall liking than appearance. Two data sets of flavor results showed significant difference between seasoned and unseasoned samples, and both of them led to significant difference in overall liking. However, only one out of 5 significant appearance liking differences resulted in overall liking difference. Flavor is more likely to be the driver of liking for vegetables, which has been well established in a previous study (Cox and others 2012). Similar results were also found in other studies with sweet potato and orange juice, that suggest that flavor components are more likely to be drivers of liking in the vegetables being tested (Leksrisompong and others 2012; Kim and others 2013).
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Table 5—Frequency of keywords being used in consumers’ open comments.

|                         | Reasons for liking | Reasons for disliking |
|-------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
|                         | General likers     | Specific likers       | General likers | Specific likers |
| **Seasoned Broccoli**   |                    |                       | Taste         | flavor         |
| Flavor                  | 18.02%             | 13.27%                | 9.87%         | 8.79%          |
| Tastes                  | 6.76%              | 6.80%                 | 7.89%         | 4.40%          |
| Color                   | 5.41%              | 6.47%                 | 3.95%         | 3.30%          |
| Seasoning               | 4.95%              | 4.53%                 | 3.95%         | 3.30%          |
| Soft                    | 3.60%              | 2.91%                 | 3.29%         | 2.75%          |
| **Unseasoned Broccoli** |                    |                       | Taste         | 6.25%         |
| Flavor                  | 11.16%             | 8.46%                 | 15.18%        | 7.73%          |
| Tastes                  | 9.16%              | 8.46%                 | 6.25%         | 6.82%          |
| Texture                 | 6.37%              | 6.25%                 | 6.25%         | 5.45%          |
| **Seasoned cauliflower**|                    |                       | Seasoning     | 12.90%        |
| Flavor                  | 16.80%             | 12.20%                | 10.48%        | 6.82%          |
| Texture                 | 7.81%              | 9.35%                 | 7.14%         | 11.02%         |
| **Seasoned carrots**     |                    |                       | Spices        | 4.03%         |
| Flavor                  | 15.46%             | 9.22%                 | 15.08%        | 3.79%          |
| Taste                   | 9.57%              | 6.35%                 | 7.14%         | 11.02%         |
| **Unseasoned carrots**  |                    |                       | Smell         | 4.64%          |
| Sweet                   | 6.25%              | 5.00%                 | 6.35%         | 3.97%          |
| Taste                   | 6.25%              | 5.00%                 | 6.35%         | 4.64%          |
| **Unseasoned cauliflower**|                    |                       | Appearance    | 5.00%         |
| Flavor                  | 9.73%              | 9.92%                 | 6.35%         | 8.25%          |
| Tastes                  | 7.96%              | 7.44%                 | 7.14%         | 5.67%          |
| Texture                 | 7.08%              | 5.37%                 | 6.35%         | 8.47%          |
| **Seasoned cauliflower**|                    |                       | Smell         | 4.64%          |
| Flavor                  | 16.09%             | 15.46%                | 10.48%        | 9.93%          |
| Tastes                  | 9.57%              | 10.31%                | 6.35%         | 9.27%          |
| Sweet                   | 5.22%              | 6.19%                 | 5.82%         | 6.25%          |
| Seasoning               | 4.78%              | 4.64%                 | 5.29%         | 7.28%          |
| Texture                 | 3.91%              | 4.12%                 | 4.76%         | 4.64%          |
| **Unseasoned cauliflower**|                    |                       | Carrots       | 4.38%         |
| Flavor                  | 10.27%             | 11.11%                | 10.62%        | 4.64%          |
| Tastes                  | 9.38%              | 10.65%                | 6.25%         | 8.25%          |
| Sweet                   | 6.25%              | 8.08%                 | 5.00%         | 7.28%          |
| Appearance              | 4.91%              | 4.17%                 | 5.00%         | 6.25%          |

Ethnicity differences

Data regarding overall liking of all the tested vegetables were combined for a better overview of the general preferences across different ethnic groups. Analysis of the data revealed that both Asians and Caucasians liked the seasoned vegetables significantly more than the unseasoned vegetables ($P < 0.05$). The combined percentage of these 2 ethnic groups together in this study was more than 85%.

Cluster analysis

Panelists were clustered according to their overall liking ratings across all samples, and the results are shown in Table 4. Three clusters were identified for all tests based on their acceptance pattern. Overall, these clusters can be classified into 3 categories: panelists who rated seasoned vegetables significantly higher, panelists who rated unseasoned vegetables significantly higher, and panelists who did not prefer one over the other. In terms of 4 vegetables tested in this study, the seasoned samples were rated significantly higher in all the largest clusters identified as Cluster 1 for each vegetable other than green beans demonstrating that majority of consumers preferred the seasoned samples. In green beans, the largest cluster (Cluster 1) show higher liking for unseasoned sample; however, the other slightly smaller clusters (Clusters 2 and 3) both show significantly higher liking in seasoned samples; thus, combined, they would comprise a larger cluster than Cluster 1, still demonstrating that the majority of consumers for green beans significantly prefer seasoned sample. Figure 1 further illustrates these 3 preference patterns. The fraction of panelists who preferred seasoned vegetables is greater than the fraction of panelists who preferred unseasoned vegetables and the fraction of panelists who did not have preference, which demonstrates that seasoning was able to improve the sensory properties of vegetables for the majority of consumers.

Verbal information analysis

The verbal analysis results for the open questions in the ballot are shown in Table 5. It is based on the rule-of-thumb that the frequency of use of certain words is related to their importance (Guerrero and others 2000). Raw data collected were further processed by merging similar and stemmed words, and only those words that were relevant to sensory perceptions were counted. The panelists were free to use the words they considered appropriate to describe the samples, and there were no keys or suggestions provided. The words “flavor” and “taste” might have been used indistinctively by consumers, but were not grouped together to maintain the accuracy of the responses. Table 5 illustrates the top 5 keywords used to describe the reasons of liking...
The findings from this study demonstrated that seasoned vegetables feature significantly greater acceptance rating compared to unseasoned vegetables. It was also found that the preference of specific and general vegetable likers diverges across different types of vegetables. The questionnaire results (Table 6) show that broccoli, cauliflower, green beans, and carrots are the vegetables that are most frequently consumed with seasonings, which provides the justification for the development of vegetable seasonings. The data also suggest that development of vegetable seasonings could be focused on these above-mentioned vegetables. When consumers were asked about their general seasoning preferences, more people chose salt (83.4%) and pepper (71.1%) over herbs (57.2%) and spices (52.1%) as their frequent seasoning options. Focus group results have also suggested that while salt and pepper are the most commonly used seasonings on vegetables, and that seasonings are thought to enhance flavor, seasoning vegetables was not a strategy that participants offered as suggestions to increase vegetable intake (Nikolaus and others 2017). This study shows that vegetables seasoned with herbs and spices are preferred even though the most common seasonings used by the consumers are salt and pepper. These results imply a potential opportunity in the market to commercialize vegetable seasonings with spices and herbs.
of vegetables. The reason is attributed to the complexity of sensory properties when vegetables are mixed with seasonings. Future research could further reveal the interaction between the aromatic compounds innate to the vegetables and in different types of seasonings to identify the optimal pairing of vegetable and seasoning. It would also be interesting to identify the chemical compounds in seasonings that interact with flavor components of different vegetable types, in order to precisely model how the flavor combinations would come about as drivers of liking. A limitation of this study is that keyword frequency analysis lacks accuracy, due to the subjectivity of extracting one single word from a phrase. For future studies, focus groups may be conducted to probe the reasons for liking or disliking a vegetable product.
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