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Abstract

Background: Geminiviruses encode few viral proteins. Most of the geminiviral proteins are multifunctional and influence various host cellular processes for the successful viral infection. Though few viral proteins like AC1 and AC2 are well characterized for their multiple functions, role of AC3 in the successful viral infection has not been investigated in detail.

Results: We performed phage display analysis with the purified recombinant AC3 protein with Maltose Binding Protein as fusion tag (MBP-AC3). Putative AC3 interacting peptides identified through phage display were observed to be homologous to peptides of proteins from various metabolisms. We grouped these putative AC3 interacting peptides according to the known metabolic function of the homologous peptide containing proteins. In order to check if AC3 influences any of these particular metabolic pathways, we designed vectors for assaying DNA replication and virus induced gene-silencing of host gene PCNA. Investigation with these vectors indicated that AC3 enhances viral replication in the host plant tomato. In the PCNA gene-silencing experiment, we observed that the presence of functional AC3 ORF strongly manifested the stunted phenotype associated with the virus induced gene-silencing of PCNA in tomato plants.

Conclusions: Through the phage display analysis proteins from various metabolic pathways were identified as putative AC3 interacting proteins. By utilizing the vectors developed, we could analyze the role of AC3 in viral DNA replication and host gene-silencing. Our studies indicate that AC3 is also a multifunctional protein.

Background

Geminiviruses are circular ssDNA containing plant viruses with a genome size of ~ 2.7 kb [1]. Geminiviruses have an atypical genomic content. They are either monopartite with a single genomic component [2], monopartite with a satellite DNA that is around half the size of the genome [3] or bipartite with two genomic components of ~2.7 kb encoding different genes on both components [4]. Monopartite viruses encode all the genes required for successful infection, replication and movement on the single genome. In case of monopartite viruses with satellite DNA and bipartite viruses, the DNA A contains the genes necessary for replication while the cognate genome component encodes genes for infectivity and movement within the plants [3,5].

Whiteflies and leaf-hoppers are the vectors that transmit geminiviruses from one plant to other. These viruses replicate their DNA via rolling circle replication mechanism by utilizing the host plant cellular machinery [5-7]. Geminiviral proteins expressed after a successful viral infection in a plant cell induce the expression of host cell replication machinery from the differentiated plant cells [8-11]. The induced replication machinery is then diverted on to the viral DNA through the protein-protein interactions by the viral proteins for the productive replication [12-17].

Geminiviral proteins are often multi-functional in nature. Complementary strand of the geminiviruses encode
four ORFs, viz., AC1, AC2, AC3 and AC4. Replication initiator protein (Rep/AC1/C1) is an essential viral protein for replication [18]. It binds the viral DNA in a sequence specific manner by recognizing the iterons at the origin of replication on the viral DNA [19-22]. Rep functions as a site-specific endonuclease by recognizing the hairpin loop structure and sequence at the viral origin of replication to initiate the viral replication. It also functions as a ligase to terminate the replication of viral DNA [23-27]. Rep has the unique ability to act as a repressor of its own transcription [28,29] and thereby regulates the expression of down-stream AC2 and AC3 genes [30]. Rep is also an ATPase [26,31,32] and a helicase [32,33]. In addition, it interacts with various host proteins [9,13-16,34,35] and viral proteins [36,37]. Similarly, the C2/AC2 protein of geminiviruses can bind to the DNA [38] and control the coat protein gene expression [39,40] either by activation or derepression [41]. AC2 is also known for its ability to suppress post-transcriptional gene-silencing mechanism [42-44] inside the host plant by inhibiting adenosine kinase [45,46] or by reducing genome wide cytosine methylation [47]. AC2 also inhibits SNF1 kinase to reduce the basal defense [48]. Likewise, AC4/C4 protein from geminiviruses was also shown to have multiple functions with roles in post-transcriptional gene-silencing [49,50], movement of virus inside the host cells [51,52], cell division [53], transcription [54] and interacts with host protein AtSKeta - a protein from brassinosteroid signaling pathway [55].

Such a battery of multiple functions in viral proteins is most of the time brought out by their ability to form hetero-oligomer or homo-oligomer. In case of the geminiviral proteins, Rep/AC1 is able to bind, nick and ligate DNA as a monomer. However, its helicase activity is strictly dependent on its ability to form a higher order homo-oligomer [32,33]. One possible way by which Rep is able to induce the replication machinery is through formation of a hetero-oligomer by interacting with retinoblastoma protein [9,56]. Similarly, AC2 protein is capable of interacting with ADK and suppresses local gene-silencing as a monomer whereas it can transactivate the virion sense strand genes as an oligomer only [57]. These observations indicate that the ability to form oligomers and to interact with other host proteins confers unique properties to the viral proteins which they cannot perform as monomers. AC3 protein was shown to interact with viral protein AC1 [36,58]. It was also shown to interact with host proteins like pRBR [12], PCNA [14] and SINAC1 [59]. AC3 was shown to enhance viral DNA replication by an unknown mechanism [60-65]. Preliminary studies on AC3 oligomerization suggested that AC3 also forms a higher order oligomer like AC1 [58,66]. Together, these hetero and homo-oligomerization studies observed in case of AC3 suggest that it might also have multiple functions in addition to its role in replication which is unexplored as yet. In this study we tried to address the roles of Tomato leaf curl Kerala virus-[India:Kerala II:2005] (DQ85263) AC3 protein in the viral life cycle. We have performed an exhaustive phage display analysis to find out the interacting peptides of AC3 protein. These interacting peptides were observed to be homologous to proteins from various metabolisms indicating the likely role of AC3 in these cellular pathways. Since replication of viral DNA and gene-silencing are the two important phenomena that determine the progress of viral infection, we have chosen to investigate the role of AC3 in these biological processes. We have designed vectors to analyze the role of AC3 in replication and virus induced gene-silencing in both yeast and plants.

**Results and Discussion**

**Phage display analysis for AC3 interacting peptides**

AC3 protein of geminiviruses is a highly hydrophobic protein containing around 62% aromatic amino acids [58]. This property poses difficulty in isolating the AC3 protein (with small tags or without tag) in the soluble fraction in sufficient quantities from bacterial cells [67]. Although it is possible to express the TGMV-AC3 protein in soluble fraction in insect cell lines but purification in high quantities becomes uneconomical [36]. Bioinformatic analysis indicated that AC3 proteins lack similarity to any known enzymatic motifs [58,68]. All these factors hindered the exploration of the mechanistic role of AC3 on enhancing viral DNA replication and the existence of any other role in viral infection. In order to find the AC3 interacting peptides which could indirectly point towards the likely role of AC3 in other cellular processes, we have employed phage display analysis.

Since AC3 protein could not be isolated in the soluble fraction without the MBP fusion tag, we have performed the phage display analysis with MBP-AC3, using MBP as a control. The unique peptides that were observed with the MBP-AC3 but not with MBP were considered for further analysis (Tables 1,2,3, &4, Additional file 1a). Homology search of these peptides against Arabidopsis thaliana protein database was performed to identify the putative AC3 interacting proteins. We noticed two proteins, namely pRBR and GRKin1/GRKinK2 proteins (Table 3), which are well known to interact with geminiviral protein Rep [35,69]. pRBR interacts with AC3 also [35]. The peptide regions interacting with both these proteins are four residues in length. Thus we included the list of proteins with homology of at least four residues in length in shortlisting the putative interacting proteins along with their E-values. We have taken the E-value of pRBR as the threshold value for short listing various
### Table 1 Putative interacting proteins of ToLCKeV AC3 from RNAi pathway

| Peptide       | Protein                          | Accession Number | Start | Interacting Sequence in Peptide(s) | E-value |
|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-------|-----------------------------------|---------|
| AVGGQTPIRAKI  | Repressor of Silencing 1 (ROS1)  | Q9SJQ6.2         | 79    | GQTPI                             | 517     |
| NASWPFMLAH    | Suppressor of gene silencing 3   | NP_197747.1      | 237   | ALWFPMHPLLAH                      | 214     |
| YALKHLPESTIP  | Hua Enhancer 1 (HEN1)            | AAL05056.1       | 704   | YALKHLHES                        | 20      |
| AYSPISTVTQPY  | (HEN4)                           | AAO37828.1       | 403   | AYGRRPETMTQ                       | 517     |
|               |                                  | AAO37829.1       | 858   | TVTRPY                            | 517     |
| AYGARLPILMS   | Dicer-like 1 (DCL1)              | NP_171612.1      | 687   | LPSL                              | 7294    |
|               |                                  | Q95P32.2         | 948   | PGTAR                             | 42568   |
|               |                                  | NP_171612.1      | 1329  | RLPSIM                            | 287     |
| SMTHYTDLWQPR | Dicer-like 2 (DCL2)              | NP_001078101.1   | 29    | HQYTLDL                           | 694     |
| WHKHIPSRRASS  |                                  | NP_566199.4      |       |                                   |         |
| NVHIRQPLASS   | Argonaute 1 (AGO1), AGO6         | AAB01987.1       | 47    | NV/VROQ                           | 932     |
| NISIRPTLVEV   | AGO1                             | NP_175274.1      | 129   | VSS-QPTL:EV                       | 1603    |
|               |                                  |                   | 366   | SIRPT                             | 517     |
|               |                                  |                   | 649   | SIRRELOVE                         | 54615   |
| SMTHYTDLWQPR  | AGO2                             | NP_174413.2      | 910   | THY:YT-LW                        | 694     |
| WHKHIPSRRASS  | AGO7, Pinhead like protein,      | NP_177103.1      | 706   | SMTHYLY                           | 694     |
| LLHAPYDHSVSP  | zippy                            | AAG60096.1       | 148   | WNK:TP                            | 386     |
|               |                                  | AC073178_7       | 26    | KHP:SL                            | 386     |
|               |                                  |                   | 14    | KHP:SL                            | 386     |
|               |                                  |                   | 25    | LHH:PH/V:PH/V                    | 214     |
|               |                                  |                   | 75    | HN:LPP:PP                        | 7294    |
|               |                                  |                   | 80    | PPLPP:PL                        | 1677    |
|               |                                  |                   | 91    | PPLPP:PL                        | 160     |
|               |                                  |                   | 98    | PLPP                             | 3020    |
|               |                                  |                   | 184   | YN:VIEP                          | 137978  |
|               |                                  |                   | 293   | PLPPE                            | 2250    |

The details of phage display identified peptides, proteins with the homologous regions, accession number of the proteins, starting co-ordinate of the matching region in the protein sequence, matching sequence in the phage peptide and the E-value of the corresponding match are shown. Residues in bold are identical (or similar in few cases) to the residues in the protein sequence. Mismatches to the protein sequence are shown in reduced font size.

### Table 2 List of putative ToLCKeV AC3 interacting DNA and histone modifying enzymes

| Peptide       | Protein                          | Accession Number | Start | Interacting Sequence in Peptide(s) | E-value |
|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-------|-----------------------------------|---------|
| IQSGTPHPPLRS  | H3-K9 Methyltransferase          | NP_565056.1      | 87    | PPLRS                             | 517     |
|               |                                  |                  | 26    | PLRS                              | 5436    |
| AMYYPPLPSLKY  | Histone acetyl transferase       | NP_173115.1      | 562   | QWPS                              | 890     |
| HLPRHHQWPSR   |                                  |                  | 986   | AMYY                              | 517     |
| LEAPRPTPAQPM  | Variant in methylation 2 (VM2), VM3, VM4, VM5 | NP_176091.2 NP_176779.2 NP_176778.1 | 448   | PRPLPNVP                          | 517     |
| HILPSGSPRMS   | MOM                              | NP_563806.1      | 394   | IFSPSG                           | 9787    |
|               |                                  |                  | 1588  | PSGS                              | 13133   |
|               |                                  |                  | 1821  | SPSGAPR                          | 119     |
| GSAVASTLPLGQ  | Decreased methylation to DNA (MET1) | NP_199727.1      | 522   | AVASTL                           | 287     |
|               |                                  |                  | 1181  | STLPLPGQ                         | 287     |

The details of phage display identified peptides, proteins with the homologous regions, accession number of the proteins, starting co-ordinate of the matching region in the protein sequence, matching sequence in the phage peptide and the E-value of the corresponding match are shown. Residues in bold are identical (or similar in few cases) to the residues in the protein sequence. Mismatches to the protein sequence are shown in reduced font size.
### Table 3: List of putative ToLCKeV AC3 interacting proteins from DNA recombination and cell cycle pathway

| Peptide | Protein | Accession Number | Start | Interacting Sequence in Peptide(s) | E-value |
|---------|---------|-----------------|-------|------------------------------------|---------|
| TLWHTKTPVRP | Replication protein A1(RPA1) | BAB09262.1 | 211 | WWKFOFYP | 287 |
| AYSPISTVTOQP | | AAC95163.1 | 219 | PISTV | 694 |
| SHWWARVPFYPP | | NP_565571.1 | 273 | HFKH | 1250 |
| | | AAD48944.1 | 285 | WHTKMMPV | 215 |
| DAMIMKKHWHRF | Geminivirus Rep interacting kinase 1 (GRIK1), GRIK2 | NP_200863.2 | 164 | MIMK | 517 |
| | | NP_566876.3 | | | |
| FPKAFHHHKYK | Retinoblastoma like protein (pRBR) | BAB01449.1 | 317 | HKIY | 1250 |
| SHEYVGSDGFR | Anti silencing function 1b (ASF 1b), ASF1a | NP_198627.1 | 43 | IVYGS | 517 |
| | | BAC54103.1 | | | |
| FHKHSPRSPIFI | RecQ Helicase, RecQ sim, RecQ4A | BAE98731.1 | 678 | FHKSPNTLAARSAI | 287 |
| LLHAPYDHSVSP | | BAE98731.1 | 326 | LKHLPsi | 214 |
| | | NP_568499.1 | 697 | HAPYE | 932 |
| | | NP_17262.2 | 483 | LTYLPLP | 694 |
| TNVPNLPQP | Werner Helicase - interacting protein | ABH03541.1 | 254 | NPLKPN | 694 |
| GLLHHKHHRSPY | | ABH03541.1 | 501 | LLHKK | 287 |
| LITNNPGRLPPQ | RAD1 | AAG42948.1 | 436 | ITNRP | 287 |
| | | RAD50 | 572 | GRLPPE | 386 |
| QNLDDYGLYAR | RDRP3, RDRP5 | NP_179581.2 | 933 | LYPQALAL | 287 |
| TTNIYFNTPAEY | | NP_197667.1 | 55 | SHEY | 160 |
| | | NP_197667.1 | 655 | PLCLP | 214 |
| SHEYVGSGDFR | DNA pol | NP_175498.2 | 17 | HLP3SS-WVS | 694 |
| CPLYPPLCPHG | | NP_170959.1 | 55 | YPNFIEADTSNSI-PSI | 66 |
| LEAPRPTAVPM | DNA pol | NP_18503.1 | 129 | APAPTRPPPAPA | 31725 |
| RAD23-3 | | NP_194211.1 | 119 | APAPT | 517 |
| RAD50 | | NP_194628.1 | 306 | KEWRTH-FQQR | 160 |
| | | BAD94628.1 | 512 | HEIY | 932 |
| | | NP_565733.1 | 572 | GRLPPE | 386 |

The details of phage display identified peptides, proteins with the homologous regions, accession number of the proteins, starting co-ordinate of the matching region in the protein sequence, matching sequence in the phage peptide and the E-value of the corresponding match are shown. Residues in bold are identical (or similar in few cases) to the residues in the protein sequence. Mismatches to the protein sequence are shown in reduced font size.

### Table 4: List of putative ToLCKeV AC3 interacting DNA and RNA polymerases

| Peptide | Protein | Accession Number | Start | Interacting Sequence in Peptide(s) | E-value |
|---------|---------|-----------------|-------|------------------------------------|---------|
| WHQSWWAARLGQ | RNA dependant RNA polymerase (RDR1), RDR2 | AAN64409.1 | 18 | AARLGQ | 287 |
| | | NP_192851.1 | | | |
| LSPLYPPQLGLA | RDRP3, RDRP5 | NP_179581.2 | 933 | LYPQALAL | 287 |
| YPTSIIPWS | DNA pol & subunit | NP_190519.1 | 55 | YPNFIEADTSNSI-PSI | 66 |
| | | 1033 | 517 | DLPEAW | 57117 |
| HISPIAYPWS | DNA pol y2 | NP_175498.2 | 17 | HLSPISS-WVS | 694 |
| HFKHQHYSARPP | DNA pol ε subunit | AAC77870.1 | 1855 | FMDQHNYA | 694 |
| LITNNPGRLPQ | DNA pol α subunit | AAG52299.1 | 1460 | HIFINAR | 932 |
| FHKEWRTHFQQR | DNA pol δ small subunit | NP_181742.2 | 311 | N1HSFD | 386 |

The details of phage display identified peptides, proteins with the homologous regions, accession number of the proteins, starting co-ordinate of the matching region in the protein sequence, matching sequence in the phage peptide and the E-value of the corresponding match are shown. Residues in bold are identical (or similar in few cases) to the residues in the protein sequence. Mismatches to the protein sequence are shown in reduced font size.
proteins. Further, we have included only those proteins with at least two or more hits from the same peptide or from different peptides identified in the phage display. Those proteins with an E-value less than that of GRIK1 were also included even if they have only one hit from the phage peptide (Tables 1,2,3, &4).

The proteins with at least two unique hits from different peptides and each with a minimum identity/similarity of five amino acids continuously or with one mismatch or gap were considered as putative interacting proteins. These interacting proteins were observed to belong to various metabolic and cellular processes, viz., transcription activation, cell cycle, kinases, replication, RNAi, histone and DNA modification (Tables 1,2,3, &4 and Additional file 1b). Identification of proteins from various cellular processes suggests that AC3 is likely to play a role in these cellular processes. Since these putative interactions are only indicative, assays to investigate the impact of AC3 in these cellular processes is necessary for confirmation of its role.

Construction of yeast vectors for analyzing the viral DNA replication

Budding yeast *S. cerevisiae* is known to support the replication of animal and plant RNA and DNA viruses including geminiviruses in the absence of complementing yeast autonomously replicating sequence (ARS) as an episome [70-72]. We have developed a vector system on the similar line of yeast vector developed for MYMIV [72]. The yeast vector YCp50 was modified to contain viral DNA spanning the entire viral origin of DNA replication (also called common region - CR or intergenic region - 1R) region to AC3 (i.e., CR-AC3) replacing the ARS sequence (YCp-CRAC3) (Figure 1). This CR-AC3 region contains the complementary strand DNA with complete viral origin of DNA replication and viral ORFs AC1, AC2, AC3 and AC4. Another vector (YCp-CRAC3M) was constructed with a mutation (M1T) in the AC3 ORF that corresponds to the nucleotide change ATG to ACG (Figure 2). Such mutation would result only in a silent mutation in the overlapping AC2 ORF. We expected that this mutation would not produce any intact or N’ terminal truncated AC3 protein since the second and only other methionine in AC3 protein is located at the C’ terminus 133rd amino acid position. Both the vectors YCp-CRAC3, YCp-CRAC3M and the control YCp50 plasmids were transformed into yeast separately and the colony growth was monitored on selection medium (Ura’). Yeast transformed with YCp-CRAC3 and YCp-CRAC3M exhibited much delayed growth phenotype (0.25-0.5 mm sized colonies in 5 days) in comparison to wild type plasmid YCp50 (3.4 mm size, Additional file 2). This kind of slow growth continued even after 10 days of incubation at standard conditions. This contrasted with the observation in case of MYMIV where the yeast was growing normally [72]. In our case, the delayed growth may be due to the possible toxicity of the viral proteins expressing in yeast. With this view further analyses were done in planta.

Construction of plant vectors for analyzing the viral DNA replication

CR-AC3 region is reported to be sufficient to support viral replication in plants [17,73]. Since geminiviruses replicate by rolling circle replication by nicking and religating at the viral origin of DNA replication, we constructed vectors with viral origin of replication (CR) in the vector pCAMBIA1391Z. This vector was then modified to contain CR-AC3 or CR-AC3M (AC3 mutated at start codon, Figure 2) in the same orientation as CR to generate pCK2 (Figure 3) and pCK2M plasmids respectively. These vectors were used to agroinfiltrate in the tobacco leaves and the replication was observed at 4 dpi and 10 dpi. Time course analysis of the pCK2 and pCK2M episome formation in tobacco plant leaves did not show any significant down-regulation in replication upon AC3 mutation (Data not shown). To rule out the reversion of the mutation in the start codon, we carried out sequencing of the episome and found that the mutation was preserved. Thus, the non-significant alteration in the replication efficiency might be due to various reasons: one being the minimal role of ToLCKeV AC3 in viral replication in planta unlike in protoplasts and leaf discs. It is also possible that the role of AC3 in viral replication occurs at a later stage requiring analysis of samples beyond 10 dpi. The other reason might be the permissoness of the tobacco plant for the viral replication that masked the role of AC3. Such a conjecture gets support from an observation made in case of BCTV (California strain). When BCTV C3 was mutated, BCTV genome replicated to almost wild-type levels in tobacco plant whereas the replication was reduced in natural host plant sugar beet [74].

ToLCKeV AC3 enhances viral replication in young tomato plants

To exclude the possibility of permissoness of viral replication in tobacco, we performed an agroinoculation experiment with pCK2 and pCK2M21 (with additional mutations in AC3 ORF) in the natural host tomato. Additional mutations in AC3 ORF corresponds to the amino acid positions 20 and 21 which are mutated to consecutive termination codons (Figure 2c). Since AC2 and AC3 ORFs overlap each other, we checked if these mutations have any effect on the AC2 protein sequence. While the mutation corresponding the 20th amino acid in AC3 ORF is a silent mutation in AC2 ORF, the mutation in the 21st amino acid of AC3 confers a change in
the overlapping AC2 (G70V) ORF. Since 70th amino acid of AC2 does not lie in any of the known functional domains (C'-terminal nuclear localisation signal, Zn finger motif and N'-terminus acidic transcription activation domain) required for silencing activity or transcriptional activation activity, we argued that such a mutation would not affect the functions of AC2.

Examination of the relative replication levels of the episome between pCK2 replicon and pCK2M21 replicon was carried out at various time intervals till 15 dpi (Figure 4). Within first five days, there was no difference in the levels of replication. However, the relative change in replication was more pronounced at 10 dpi as the replication of the wild-type replicon (pCK2) was 3-4 folds higher than that of AC3 mutant replicon (pCK2M21). The difference in the relative level of replication diminished to 1.5-2 folds at 15 dpi.

Our observation suggested that AC3 enhances replication but is not essential for replication. This is in line with earlier observation [18]. Role of AC3 was evident at 10-15 dpi. However, our results differed from published reports on the level of AC3 influence on viral
replication. This might be due to the differences in the experimental design or the assay system. Earlier reports on AC3’s role in replication were based on the analysis by mutating AC3 after the AC2 stop codon. This resulted in truncated AC3 with 80 amino acids in case of TGMV AC3 and more than 100 amino acids in other viruses [60,61,63-65,74]. In these studies it is possible that the truncation in the AC3 protein rendered it non-functional. It is also likely that the truncated AC3 interfered with the cellular pathways involved in replication. With its N’-terminus and middle region being intact, AC3 could titrate various proteins that interact with AC1 (like PCNA, pRBR, etc.). In such a case, the signal perceived by the N’-terminus of AC3 gets abruptly terminated being unable to relay the signal through a functional C’-terminus, thereby affecting replication. Our mutation strategy assured that AC3 is not expressed since we had mutated the start codon and included two stop codons at 20th and 21st amino acid positions. It is possible that in complete absence of AC3, another protein or an alternate pathway might rescue the viral replication [58]. This hypothesis gets considerable support from an experiment performed with transgenic plants. In their work Hayes et al. [75] raised various transgenic plants expressing DNA A ORFs and tandem repeats of DNA B genome. Plants expressing DNA A ORFs were crossed with transgenic plants containing DNA B as tandem repeats (2DNA B). When DNA from two such plants: AC1 × 2DNA B and AC1AC3 × 2DNA B were analyzed, the difference in the replication of DNA B in the presence and absence of AC3 was observed to be less than 1.5 fold indicating that the replication in planta was sustainable without AC3. Delay and amelioration of symptoms and reduced systemic movement of the virus in case of AC3 mutations observed in planta by agroinoculation experiments [18,60,63-65,74] suggest that AC3 has a more important role in systemic spread. Thus, the observed reduction in DNA levels at systemic locations is an indirect effect rather than its direct involvement in replication. Having a multitude of interacting partners that were discovered [12,14,59,76] and are being discovered, large multimer forming ability [66] that enables interaction with multiple partners indicate that AC3 is an important protein with multi-functional capability. Thus, further examination of its involvement in various cellular processes is needed.
Figure 3 Viral replicon used for in planta studies. Viral replicon was constructed with the pCAMBIA1391Z binary vector. Complete replicon contains the region spanning from CR to AC3 (pCK2 replicon) and CR region of ToLCeKv. Presence of CR on either end in the same orientation enables the completion of rolling circle replication. Rolling circle replication releases the episome that contains only one complete CR and region spanning from AC1 to AC3. Red arrows indicate the nicking site of Rep protein in hairpin loop in either CRs and the black line represents the region of the vector that forms episome. Formation of an episome can be checked by PCR amplification with the oligonucleotides indicated by blue arrows. Internal primers were designed to amplify the DNA only from the episome under standardized PCR conditions. CR-AC3 is replaced by CR-AC3M or CR-AC3M21 for generating pCK2M replicon and pCK2M21 replicons. A 300 bp PCNA fragment was cloned into the MCS region to generate pCK2M-PCNA and pCK2M21-PCNA.

Figure 4 Semi-quantitative amplification of episomal DNA from wild-type and AC3 mutated replicon. Tomato plant leaves were infiltrated with wild-type replicon (pCK2) and AC3 mutated replicon (pCK2M21) separately. DNA from the infiltrated leaves was isolated at 5, 10 and 15 days post infiltration and subjected to Dpn I restriction digestion. Equal quantities of DNA were then used to amplify episome or actin. PCR conditions were specific to amplify only a part of replicon from the episome. Difference in the amplification of replicon in wild-type and mutant was prominent in the 10 dpi sample (3-4 folds difference). By 15 dpi, the difference in the amplification of episome was only 1.5-2 folds.
The phage display data indicated that various ToLCKeV AC3 interacting peptides are homologous to the proteins of RNAi pathway. Interestingly, we found that few of these proteins (MOM1, MET1, DCL1, DCL2, AGO1, AGO2, AGO7, and HEN4) have multiple hits from different peptide sequences identified from phage display (Tables 1 and 2). We believed these proteins to be likely interacting partners of ToLCKeV-AC3. Hence, we investigated if AC3 could influence the RNAi pathway(s). One way to examine the role of AC3 in RNAi pathway is to study the silencing of an endogenous gene through the virus induced gene-silencing mechanism (VIGS) in the presence and absence of functional AC3 ORF.

**AC3 strongly manifests the phenotype associated with PCNA gene-silencing**

CR-AC3 region for geminiviruses has been shown to be the minimal region required for eliciting VIGS [73]. Thus, we have utilized our pCK2 and pCK2M21 replicon constructs to silence the endogenous gene PCNA. A 300 bp fragment of PCNA from tomato cDNA was cloned into the replicons (Figure 3). Agrobacterium containing one of the PCNA cloned replicons viz., pCK2-PCNA or pCK2M21-PCNA or control vector pC-PCNA were infiltrated into the leaves of tomato plants at 4 leaves stage. Growth of the plants was found to be normal and indistinguishable till 20 dpi. We noticed observable retardation in the growth of the pCK2-PCNA infiltrated plants at 30 dpi. By 45 dpi, the growth of the plants was severely stunted and was just half in length compared to plants infiltrated with pCK2M21-PCNA, pC-PCNA or uninfiltrated plants (Figure 5). Growth retardation was accompanied with reduced flowering, decreased internodal distance and absence of fruits at 45 dpi, whereas the formation of fruits and flowers were indistinguishable in plants infiltrated with pCK2M21-PCNA, pC-PCNA and plants without any infiltration (Table 5, Figure 5b-d). Retardation in growth of pCK2-PCNA infiltrated plants was relieved by 60 dpi which was evident by the rapid growth in the height of the infiltrated plants (data not shown).

**PCNA** gene is required for the replication of DNA. It is expressed in meristematic tissues that rapidly divide and grow. PCNA is absent in the mature leaves [77]. So, silencing of endogenous PCNA would hamper the DNA replication in the rapidly growing tissues resulting in stunted growth - an easily recognisable phenotype [78,79]. In our case plant growth was severely retarded which was evident from the reduced plant height, flowering and absence of fruits. Another advantage of our VIGS construct is the absence of virion sense strand ORFs AV1 and AV2. Absence of these proteins prevents virion packaging and movement of virion particle. So, by design, our VIGS vector is movement defective and cannot produce disease symptoms [73,80]. Thus, the observed deformities in the plant growth are due to the silencing of PCNA.

Growth retardation observed in our experiments in the presence of AC3 indicates that AC3 could have strong influence on virus induced gene-silencing of endogenous gene PCNA in this experiment. However, it is difficult to ascertain the exact role of AC3 in RNAi and with which proteins it actually interacts from our experiment in isolation.

**Conclusions**

In this study we have identified various ToLCKeV AC3 interacting peptides through phage display analysis. Few of these interacting peptides were found to be homologous to proteins from replication process, RNAi pathway, histone and DNA modifying enzymes indicating the role of AC3 in these pathways. In order to verify if ToLCKeV AC3 has any role in any of these metabolisms, we have developed vectors to investigate its role in replication and gene-silencing. We observed that ToLCKeV AC3 effectively functions in the viral replication at an intermediate stage and enhances replication in host plant tomato. In the gene-silencing mechanism, the phenotype associated with the host gene PCNA silencing was strongly manifested in the presence of functional AC3 ORF. These observations indicate that the role of AC3 extends to RNAi pathway in addition to its role in DNA replication.

**Methods**

**Phage Display analysis**

We have used the ‘Ph.D-12 phage display library’ kit (New England Biolabs) for analyzing the various peptides that interact with AC3 protein. The protocol was followed as per the technical bulletin of the kit. In brief, the panning was carried out by incubating a library of phage-displayed peptides with a plate coated with the purified MBP-AC3 or MBP [66] in the TBST (100 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.5, 0.1% Tween20) binding buffer (1.5 × 10¹¹ phage diluted in 1ml buffer). Unbound phages were removed by washing with TBST. Bound phages were eluted with elution buffer (0.2 M Glycine-HCl, pH 2.2; 1 mg/ml BSA) and neutralized with 1 M Tris-HCl (pH 9.1). The eluted phages were then amplified with *E. coli* ER2738 bacterial strain. Amplified phages were then subjected to two more rounds of panning and taken through additional binding/amplification cycles to enrich the pool in favor of binding sequences. After three rounds, individual clones were characterized by DNA sequencing. Exclusive phage sequences were obtained after removing the M13 phage sequences. These DNA sequences were translated as per
Figure 5 Role of ToLCKeV AC3 on gene-silencing. (a) High level transcription of a part of PCNA gene lead to the reduced growth of the plant. Retardation is observed in the growth of the plant agroinoculated with the wild type VIGS vector (wild type AC3) along with PCNA fragment under 35S promoter. Growth retardation is evident in this experiment by shortened height and decreased internodal distance between the stems of the tomato plant (plant on the left) and the plant agroinoculated with AC3 mutated VIGS vector (middle), control vector without any geminiviral DNA (right) and plant without any agroinoculation (not shown). (b) Growth retardation was coupled with reduced flowering (circle) and no fruits. (c) Normal flowering (circles) and developing fruits (arrow) were observed in plant agroinoculated with AC3 mutated VIGS vector. (d) Leaf morphology was altered in the plants agroinoculated with wild type VIGS vector.

Table 5 Plant height and inter-nodal distance of the tomato plants agroinfiltrated with VIGS vectors at 45 dpi

| Vector Infiltrated | pCK2-PCNA | pCK2M21-PCNA | pC-PCNA | No Vector |
|--------------------|-----------|--------------|---------|-----------|
| Number of Plants   | 10        | 10           | 10      | 10        |
| Average Height (in cm) | 64       | 105          | 102     | 110       |
| Number of Internodes | 12       | 11           | 11      | 12        |
| Average Internodal Distance (in cm) | 5.33 | 9.54 | 9.27 | 9.16 |
the reduced genetic code for M13 phage in *E. coli* ER2738. The sequence of the peptides was analyzed by ‘BioEdit’ software and the peptides common in MBP-AC3 and MBP interacting peptides were removed. Each peptide sequence thus obtained was then searched for homologous peptide sequences in proteins against the *Arabidopsis* non-redundant protein database at NCBI through ‘blast’ programme adjusted for small sequence analysis. Initially we have searched for the known AC3 interacting proteins in the blast hits and have taken the E-value of prBR (blast hit observed for the peptide sequence “FPKAFHHHKIYK” as the threshold for filtering the blast results. Further, we have shortlisted only those proteins with at least two hits from the same or different peptides or those with E-value less than the blast hit of GRIK1, another protein known to interact with Rep.

**Site directed mutagenesis**

AC3 ORF was mutated at three sites - one at base position 2 and others at bases 62 and 64 of AC3 ORF in two steps. Initially, the first mutation was carried out at the second base of AC3 ORF with overlapping oligos for both strands (AC*M* Fwd and AC*M* Rev). These oligos were used to amplify the whole pGEMT-Easy vector containing the wild type CR-AC3 region of the virus. The resulting amplified vector was incubated with T4 DNA ligase (MBI Fermentas) along with T4 polynucleotide kinase (MBI Fermentas) to generate YCp-AC3 or YCp-AC3*M*. Sequence of the oligos used to amplify the PCNA fragment were: PCNA Fwd: 5’- ACGGATCCGTTTCTAGAATCGAT-TAAGGATCTGG-3’

PCNA Rev: 5’- GGGGATCCCCATTAGCTTCATCT-CAAAAATCAG-3’

**Construction of yeast replicons and VIGS vectors**

Hind III and EcoR I digested CaMV 35S cassette from pBI121 plasmid was cloned into Hind III and EcoR I digested plant binary vector pCAMBIA1391Z. EcoR I digested CR region of the ToLCKeV genome was cloned adjacent to the 35S cassette to generate pC. CR-AC3 or CR-AC3*M* was cloned into the Hind III site of the p2 vector to generate pCK2 or pCK2*M*. Oligos used to amplify the PCNA fragment were:

PCNA Fwd: 5’- ACGGATCCGTTTCTAGAATCGAT-TAAGGATCTGG-3’

PCNA Rev: 5’- GGGGATCCCCATTAGCTTCATCT-CAAAAATCAG-3’

**3.3.13.2 Transient replication assay in plant leaves**

The binary plasmid containing pCK2 replicon or pCK2*M* replicon containing agrobacterium was grown in YEM at 30°C till OD600 ≈ 1.0-2.0. Cells were harvested and washed with sterile YEM to remove antibiotic. Agrobacterium cells were resuspended in YEM to an OD600 ≈ 1.0-2.0 and then agroinfiltrated by injecting into tobacco or tomato leaves. Infiltrated leaves were collected at various intervals (5, 10, 15 days post inoculation) and genomic DNA was extracted. This genomic DNA was subjected to Dpn I treatment to remove the episomal DNA originated from agrobacterium. To quantitate the episomal DNA, PCR was done with following divergent primers (AC*M* Fwd, AC1 Rev119) and the amplification was visualized through agarose gel electrophoresis. *Actin* amplification (using Actin Fwd, Actin Rev oligos) was used as control.

AC*M* Fwd: 5’- GTTCTGCAACGTGACCGATTCACG-CACAGG-3’

AC*M* Rev: 5’- CCTGTGGCTGAAATCCGTACCGTTCGCA-GAAC-3’

AC*M* Fwd: 5’- GGGCGTGGTTTCTAGAATATT-TCAAAATCCC-3’

AC*M* Rev: 5’- GGGATTTTGGAATTACTAGATAAA-CAGGCC-3’

**Construction of yeast replicons**

ARS containing yeast plasmid YCp50 was subjected to restriction digestion with Xho I and Bgl II to delete part of the ARS sequence rendering it replication deficient. The resulting plasmid is ligated by end filling and is called YCpO*. pGEMT-Easy clones containing CR-AC3 or CR-AC3*M* region were digested with Hind III restriction enzyme. The resulting CR-AC3 and CR-AC3*M* were cloned into Hind III site of YCpO* to generate YcP-AC3 or YcP-AC3*M*.

**Additional material**

Additional file 1: List of ToLCKeV AC3 interacting phage peptides and putative interacting proteins. Representative peptides that are interacting with AC3 are shown in additional file 1a. Proteins that contain at least five contiguous amino acids identical to the 12mer peptide obtained from phage display are listed in additional file 1b.
Additional file 2: Replication efficiency of ToLCKeV in yeast. Yeast cells were transformed with wild type YCp50 plasmid or YCp-CRAC3 (ToLCKeV) and incubated at 30°C for five days in Ura medium. Yeast transformed with YCp50 grew normally while yeast transformed with YCp-CRAC3 (ToLCKeV) exhibited delayed growth.
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