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Abstract

We derive the consistency relations for chaotic inflation model with a non-minimal coupling to gravity. For a quadratic or quartic potential in the limit of a small non-minimal coupling parameter $\xi$, we give consistency relations among spectral index $n_s$, the tensor-to-scalar ratio $r$ and the running of the spectral index $\alpha$. We find that unlike $r$, $\alpha$ is less sensitive to $\xi$. If $r < 0.1$, then $\xi$ is constrained to $\xi < 0$ and $\alpha$ is predicted to be $\alpha \simeq -8 \times 10^{-4}$ for a quadratic or quartic potential. For general monomial potential, $\alpha$ is constrained in the range $-2.7 \times 10^{-3} < \alpha < -6 \times 10^{-4}$ as long as $|\xi| \leq 10^{-3}$ if $r < 0.1$.

PACS numbers: 98.80.Cq, 98.80.Es
I. INTRODUCTION

In our previous paper, motivated by the possibility of large tensor-to-scalar ratio \( r \), we provided the consistency relations among spectral index \( n_s \), the tensor-to-scalar ratio \( r \) and the running of the spectral index \( \alpha \) for several large field inflation models (chaotic with monomial potential, natural, symmetry breaking) \[2\]. Basic idea is to construct one relation out of two model parameters using three observables \((n_s, r \text{ and } \alpha)\).

In this paper, we investigate the stability of the consistency relation for chaotic inflation with a monomial potential which we have recently found. To do that, we consider a non-minimal coupling as a ”perturber” of the model. Then, the number of model parameters becomes three and we need fourth observables (for example, the ”running” of \( \alpha \)), but it would introduce complication and the comparison with ”unperturbed” relation would be difficult. So, in this paper we fix one of the model parameters and examine how introducing the non-minimal coupling affects the relation.

II. CONSISTENCY RELATIONS FOR CHAOTIC INFLATION WITH A NON-MINIMAL COUPLING

A. From Jordan to Einstein

We consider a single field inflation model with a non-minimal coupling to gravity. The action is given by

\[
S = \int \sqrt{-g} \left( \frac{1}{16\pi G_*} \Omega(\phi) R - \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \phi)^2 - V(\phi) \right),
\]

where \( g_{\mu\nu} \) is the Jordan frame metric and \( G_* \) is the bare gravitational constant and we shall set \( 8\pi G_* = 1 \) hence forth. As \( \Omega(\phi) \) and \( V(\phi) \), we take

\[
\Omega(\phi) = 1 - \xi \phi^2, \quad V(\phi) = \frac{\lambda}{n} \phi^n,
\]

where \( \xi \) is a non-minimal coupling parameter. In our convention, \( \xi = 1/6 \) corresponds to the conformal coupling.

As is well-known, by introducing the new metric called Einstein frame metric \( \hat{g}_{\mu\nu} = \Omega g_{\mu\nu} \), the action can be rewritten as that of Einstein gravity with a scalar field \[3\]:

\[
S = \int \sqrt{-\hat{g}} \left( \frac{1}{2} \hat{R} - \frac{1}{2\Omega} \left( 1 + \frac{3\Omega^2 \phi^2}{2\Omega^2} \right) (\nabla \phi)^2 - \frac{V}{\Omega^2} \right),
\]
where the hatted variables are defined by \( \hat{g}_{\mu\nu} \) and \( \Omega, \phi = d\Omega/d\phi \). Hence in terms of the canonically normalized scalar field \( \hat{\phi} \) defined by

\[
d\hat{\phi}^2 = \frac{1}{\Omega(\phi)} \left( 1 + \frac{3\Omega(\phi)^2}{2\Omega(\phi)} \right) d\phi^2 \equiv \frac{f(\phi)}{\Omega(\phi)}. \tag{4}
\]

the system is reduced to the Einstein gravity plus a minimally coupled scalar field with the effective potential \( \hat{V} \) defined by

\[
\hat{V} = \frac{V}{\Omega^2}. \tag{5}
\]

For \( \Omega \) and \( V \) in Eq. (2), \( \hat{V} \) with \( n = 4 \) becomes flat for large \( |\xi \phi^2| \) with \( \xi < 0 \), which is the essence of the Higgs [4] (or Starobinsky [5]) inflation.

**B. \( r, n_s \) and \( \alpha \)**

Hence, in order to compute the spectral index \( n_s \), the tensor-to-scalar ratio \( r \) and the running of the spectral index \( \alpha \), we only need to calculate slow-roll parameters in terms of \( \hat{\phi} \) and \( \hat{V} \):

\[
\hat{\epsilon} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\hat{V}_{\hat{\phi}}}{\hat{V}} \right)^2, \quad \hat{\eta} \equiv \frac{\hat{V}_{\phi\phi}}{\hat{V}}, \quad \hat{\xi} \equiv \frac{\hat{V}_{\phi\phi\phi\phi}}{\hat{V}^2}. \tag{6}
\]

Then \( r, n_s \) and \( \alpha \) are given by

\[
r = 16\hat{\epsilon}, \quad n_s - 1 = -6\hat{\epsilon} + 2\hat{\eta}, \quad \alpha = 16\hat{\epsilon}\hat{\eta} - 24\hat{\epsilon}^2 - 2\hat{\xi} \tag{7}
\]

In fact, for a single scalar field, the observables are independent of the conformal transformation [6, 7].

For example, in the limit of small \( \xi \), the slow-roll parameters become

\[
\hat{\epsilon} = \frac{n^2}{2\phi^2} - \frac{1}{2}((n - 8)n)\xi, \tag{8}
\]

\[
\hat{\eta} = \frac{(n - 1)n}{\phi^2} + (4 - (n - 8)n)\xi, \tag{9}
\]

\[
\hat{\xi} = \frac{(n - 2)(n - 1)n^2}{\phi^4} + \frac{n(n((19 - 2n)n - 14) + 8)\xi}{\phi^2} + O(\xi^2\phi^{-2}), \tag{10}
\]
and \( r, n_s \) and \( \alpha \) are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
r &= \frac{8n^2}{\phi^2} - 8((n - 8)n)\xi, \\
n_s - 1 &= -\frac{n(n + 2)}{\phi^2} + ((n - 8)n + 8)\xi, \\
\alpha &= -\frac{2(n^2(n + 2))}{\phi^4} + \frac{2(n - 4)n(n + 2)\xi}{\phi^2} + 16n\xi^2 + O(\xi^2\phi^{-2})
\end{align*}
\] (11)

On the other hand, for \( n = 4 \) with large \(|\xi|\phi^2\), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{\epsilon} &= -\frac{8}{(1 - 6\xi)\xi\phi^4}, \\
\hat{\eta} &= -\frac{8}{(1 - 6\xi)\phi^2} + \frac{4(12\xi - 5)}{(1 - 6\xi)^2\xi\phi^4}, \\
\hat{\xi} &= \frac{64}{(1 - 6\xi)^2\phi^4} + \frac{64(7 - 18\xi)}{(1 - 6\xi)^3\xi\phi^6},
\end{align*}
\] (12)

and

\[
\begin{align*}
r &= -\frac{128}{(1 - 6\xi)\xi\phi^4}, \\
n_s - 1 &= -\frac{16}{(1 - 6\xi)\phi^2} + \frac{8(1 - 24\xi)}{(1 - 6\xi)^2\xi\phi^4}, \\
\alpha &= -\frac{128}{(1 - 6\xi)^2\phi^4} + \frac{128(1 - 30\xi)}{(1 - 6\xi)^3\xi\phi^6}.
\end{align*}
\] (13)

### C. e-folding number

Finally, we provide the relation for the e-folding number until the end of inflation \( N \). Since the scale factor and the proper time in the Jordan frame \( a \) and \( t \) are related to those in the Einstein frame \( a = \Omega^{1/2}a \) and \( dt = \Omega^{1/2}d\tilde{t} \), the Hubble parameter in the Jordan frame \( H \) is related to that in the Einstein frame \( \hat{H} \) by the relation

\[
H = \frac{da/dt}{a} = \frac{1}{\Omega^{1/2}} \left( \hat{H} + \frac{d\Omega/d\tilde{t}}{2\Omega} \right),
\] (20)

and the e-folding number \( N \) is given by

\[
N = \int_{t_{end}}^{t_{\phi_{end}}} H dt = \int_{\phi_{end}}^{\phi_{end}} \hat{H} d\tilde{t} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\phi_{end}}^{\phi_{\phi_{end}}} \frac{\Omega}{\phi} \frac{d\phi}{d\phi}.
\] (21)

Under the slow-roll approximation \( N \) becomes

\[
N \sim \int_{\phi_{end}}^{\phi_{\phi_{end}}} \frac{fV}{V^3(V/\Omega^2)_\phi} d\phi = \int_{\phi_{end}}^{\phi_{\phi_{end}}} \frac{\tilde{V}}{V_{\phi}} d\phi,
\] (22)
where \( f(\phi) \) is defined by Eq. (4). The e-folding number is frame-invariant and can be calculated in either frame. For example, for \( |\xi| \ll 1 \), \( N \) is given by \( N \simeq \phi^2/(2n) \), and for \( n = 4 \) and \( |\xi|\phi^2 \gg 1 \), \( N \simeq (1 - 6\xi)\phi^2/8 \).

### III. CONSISTENCY RELATIONS

#### A. \( |\xi| \ll 1 \)

Given the series expansion Eqs. (11)-(13) for \( |\xi| \ll 1 \), we may rewrite \( \alpha \) in terms of \( r \) and \( n_s \) for fixed \( n \). We consider quadratic (\( n = 2 \)) case and quartic (\( n = 4 \)) case, respectively.

1. \( n = 2 \)

For \( n = 2 \), Eqs. (11)-(13) become

\[
\begin{align*}
 r &= \frac{32}{\phi^2} + 96\xi, \\
n_s - 1 &= -\frac{8}{\phi^2} - 4\xi, \\
\alpha &= -\frac{32}{\phi^4} - \frac{32\xi}{\phi^2} + 32\xi^2,
\end{align*}
\]

and we find a consistency relation for \( n = 2 \) with \( |\xi| \ll 1 \):

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha &= \frac{1}{160} (r + 4(n_s - 1))^2 - \frac{1}{2}(n_s - 1)^2, \\
r &< 24(1 - n_s),
\end{align*}
\]

where the second inequality follows from the positivity of \( \phi^2 \). Interestingly, the minimum of \( \alpha \) is achieved at \( r = 4(1 - n_s) \) with \( \alpha = -\frac{1}{2}(n_s - 1)^2 = -(1/32)r^2 \), which coincides with the relation for the minimally coupled (\( \xi = 0 \)) scalar field \[2\]. Since \( \xi = 0 \) is the minimum (extremum) of \( \alpha \), \( \alpha \) is insensitive to \( \xi \). \( r \) is written as \( r = 4(1 - n_s) + 80\xi \). So, the expansion is valid for \( |\xi| \lesssim 10^{-3} \). The current observational constraint on \( \xi \) is \(-5.1 \times 10^{-3} < \xi \leq 0 \) \[10\].
Figure 1: The relation between $r$ and $\alpha$ for $n_s = 0.96$. The dashed orange curve is Eq. (31) derived assuming $|\xi| \ll 1$, while the black one is the curve derived without assuming $|\xi| \ll 1$.

2. $n = 4$

For $n = 4$, Eqs. (11)-(13) become

\begin{align*}
  r &= \frac{128}{\phi^2} + 128\xi, \\
  n_s - 1 &= -\frac{24}{\phi^2} - 8\xi, \\
  \alpha &= -\frac{192}{\phi^4} + 64\xi^2,
\end{align*}

We find a consistency relation for $n = 4$ with $|\xi| \ll 1$:

\begin{align*}
  \alpha &= \frac{3}{512}r^2 - \frac{1}{2}(n_s - 1)^2, \\
  r &< 16(1 - n_s),
\end{align*}

where again the second inequality follows from the positivity of $\phi^2$. $\xi = 0$ corresponds to $r = (16/3)(1 - n_s)$. Although the expansion is apparently valid for $|\xi| \lesssim 10^{-3}$, we find that Eq. (31) fits extremely well with the curve without assuming small $|\xi|$ (see Fig. 1). The current observational constraint on $\xi$ is $\xi < -1.9 \times 10^{-3}$.
3. $n(\neq 4)$

For general $n(\neq 4)$, from Eqs. (11)-(13), we obtain
\[
\alpha = (n + 2) \left(3n^3 - 32n^2 + 88n - 64\right) r^2 + (n + 2) \left(5n^2 - 48n + 80\right) r(n_s - 1) \\
+ \frac{2(n + 2) (n - 8)}{(n - 12)^2} (n_s - 1)^2, \\
(12 - n) \left(\left(8(n - 1) - n^2\right) r - 8n(8 - n)(1 - n_s)\right) < 0.
\] (33)

B. $n = 4$ with $|\xi| \gg 1$

For $n = 4$ with $|\xi| \gg 1$, Eqs. (17)-(19) becomes
\[
r = \frac{64}{3\xi^2 \phi^4}, \\
n_s - 1 = \frac{8}{3\xi \phi^2}, \\
\alpha = -\frac{32}{9\xi^2 \phi^4}.
\] (35)  (36)  (37)

Hence we obtain
\[
\alpha = -\frac{1}{2} (n_s - 1)^2 = -\frac{1}{6} r,
\] (38)

which may be called "Starobinsky attractor" according to [13].

In Fig. 2 we show these relations Eq. (26), Eq. (31), Eq. (38) in $(r, \alpha)$ plane for $n_s = 0.96$. Black points are for $\xi = 0$, the left (right) of which corresponds to $\xi < 0 (\xi > 0)$. Solid curves are for $|\xi| < 10^{-3}$. Orange point is for $|\xi| \gg 1$ (Eq. (38)). Fig. 3 shows the regions scanned by these relations for $0.955 < n_s < 0.965$. We find that $\alpha$ is insensitive to $\xi$. For $\xi < 0$, $\alpha$ is constrained in the narrow range: $-8 \times 10^{-4} < \alpha < -6 \times 10^{-4}$ for $n_s = 0.96$ while $-10^{-3} < \alpha < -4 \times 10^{-4}$ for $0.955 < n_s < 0.965$.  

---

1 $\alpha$ in the Higgs inflation was calculated in [12].

2 This large $|\xi|$ behavior can be generalized by replacing $\xi \phi^2$ with $\xi g(\phi)$ so that $\Omega(\phi) = 1 - \xi g(\phi)$ and $V(\phi) = \lambda g(\phi)^2$. [13]

3 We note that the e-folding number $N$ for lower $n_s$ can exceed the standard upper limit $N < 60$ [14], which may require non-standard thermal history of the universe [15].
Figure 2: Consistency relations for nonminimally coupled chaotic inflation model with $n = 2$ (dashed blue) and $n = 4$ (dashed orange) for $n_s = 0.96$ in $(r, \alpha)$ plane. Black points are for $\xi = 0$. Solid curves are for $|\xi| < 10^{-3}$. Orange point is for $|\xi| \gg 1$ (Eq. (38)).

Figure 3: Consistency relations for nonminimally coupled chaotic inflation model with $n = 2$ (blue) and $n = 4$ (orange) for $0.955 < n_s < 0.965$ in $(r, \alpha)$ plane. Dashed curves are for $\xi = 0$. Solid orange curve is for $|\xi| \gg 1$ (Eq. (38)).
Figure 4: Consistency relations for nonminimally coupled chaotic inflation model with $\xi = -10^{-3}, 0, 10^{-3}$ from top to bottom for $n_s = 0.96$. Blue (orange) curve corresponds to $n = 2(n = 4)$ as in Fig. [2]

C. General $n$ for fixed $\xi$.

Lastly, we provide consistency relation for general $n$ for fixed $\xi$ with $|\xi| \ll 1$. From Eqs. (11)-(12), $n$ and $\phi^2$ are written in terms of $r$ and $n_s$ and $\xi$

$$n = \frac{192\xi - r - 8(n_s - 1) - \sqrt{(r + 8(n_s - 1 - 24\xi))^2 - 128\xi r}}{32\xi},$$

$$\phi^2 = \frac{r + 8(n_s - 1) - 192\xi + \sqrt{(r + 8(n_s - 1 - 24\xi))^2 - 128\xi r}}{2\xi(r + 8(n_s - 1 - 8\xi))}. \quad (40)$$

Then from Eq. (13), $\alpha$ can be written as a function of $r$ and $n_s$ which is too complicated to show here.

In Fig. 4, we plot $\alpha$ as a function of $r$ for $n_s = 0.96$, $\xi = -10^{-3}, 0, 10^{-3}$ from top to bottom. The curves for $n = 2$ and $n = 4$ are also shown. We find that the consistency relation for $\xi = 0$ ($\alpha = -(1 - n_s)^2 + \frac{1}{8}r(1 - n_s)$) derived in [2] does not change so much as long as $|\xi| < 10^{-3}$. For general $n$, varying $\xi$ changes $\alpha$ by $O(10^{-3})$. If $r < 0.1$, then $\alpha$ is constrained in the range of $-2.7 \times 10^{-3} < \alpha < -8 \times 10^{-4}$. 
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IV. SUMMARY

We have derived consistency relations for chaotic inflation with a nonminimal coupling $\xi$. For quadratic potential, we find that although the tensor-to-scalar ratio $r$ is sensitive to $\xi$, the running of the spectral index $\alpha$ is rather insensitive to the change in $\xi$ as long as $\xi$ is small. For a quartic potential, we find that $\alpha$ is insensitive to $\xi$ even for large $|\xi|$. We also find that the consistency relation for general monomial potential does not change so much by changing $\xi$ as long as $|\xi| \leq 10^{-3}$.

If $r < 0.1$, then $\xi < 0$ and $\alpha \simeq -8 \times 10^{-4}$ are implied for a quadratic or quartic potential. Even for general monomial potential, $r < 0.1$ forces $\alpha$ in the range $-2.7 \times 10^{-3} < \alpha < -8 \times 10^{-4}$ for $n_s = 0.96$ as long as $|\xi| < 10^{-3}$. Since $\alpha$ is found to be insensitive $\xi$, this $\alpha$ may be regarded as the prediction for chaotic potential irrespective of the nonminimal coupling. The measurement of $\alpha$ with the precision of $O(10^{-3})$ by future observations of the 21 cm line [16] would be crucially important to pin down the inflation model.
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