Abstract. Alternative cell models of human neural stem cells (hNSCs) have been developed and used for investigations ranging from in vitro experiments to in vivo clinical studies. However, a cell model capable of mimicking the ‘normal’ state of hNSCs is mandatory in order to extrapolate the results of these studies to humans. In the present study, to select a more suitable hNSC model for developing human-based experimental platforms, two representative hNSC types were compared, namely human embryonic stem cell (hESc)-derived hNSCs and ReNcell CX cells, which are well-characterized immortalized hNSC lines. The hNSCs, differentiated from hESCs via human neuroectodermal sphere (hNES) formation, recapitulated the molecular and cellular phenotypes of hNSCs, including NSC marker expression and terminal neuronal differentiation potential. Comparative analyses of the transcriptome profiles of the hESC-derived hNESs and ReNcell CX hNSCs showed that the differentiated hNESs were analogous to the ReNcell CX cells, as demonstrated by principal component analysis and hierarchical sample clustering. The hNSC-specific transcriptome was presented, comprising commonly expressed transcripts between hNESs derived from hESCs and ReNcell CX cells. To elucidate the molecular mechanisms associated with the hNSC identity, the hNSC-specific transcriptome was analyzed using pathway and functional annotation clustering analyses. The results suggested that hESc-derived hNESs, an expandable and accessible cell source, may be used as a relevant hNSC model in a wide range of neurological investigations.

Introduction

Over the last two decades, neural stem cells (NSCs) have become a major topic of interest from basic research to translational experiments for the development of therapies for a range of neurological disorders. NSCs have two defining characteristics: Self-renewal and multipotentiality (1). Their capacity to propagate in culture over several passages and differentiate into neuronal and glial cell types renders them attractive as a model of neurogenesis and neural cells, and as a therapeutic tool for treating neurological disease. Previous studies have used a wide range of NSCs from adult and fetal origins, but predominantly from rodent models (2). However, in the case of human NSCs (hNSCs), several constraints, including the limited donor availability to derive fetal and adult NSCs, the low rate of proliferation and the difficulty of long-term in vitro expansion, mean it is not possible to produce the required cell numbers while maintaining a stable phenotype across passages. Therefore, it is important to develop in vitro expandable cell sources for providing suitable hNSCs in sufficiently large numbers.

The life span of hNSCs in vitro can be improved by optimizing culture conditions (3) or via immortalization using the myc transcription factor (4) and maintaining a stable phenotype. Stable hNSC lines, including ReNcell CX cells immortalized with c-myc and VM cells immortalized with v-myc, are widely used in investigations in a variety of neurological fields (5). ReNcell lines have been shown to...
propagate perpetually in culture and exhibit properties of hNSCs, including expression of NESTIN in an undifferentiated state and differentiation into specific cell types, including neuronal and glial cells, following deprivation of growth factors in culture medium (6). It was previously reported that ReNcell lines were used in disease modeling for Alzheimer’s disease (AD) (7,8); a three-dimensional culture model of ReNcell VM cells with mutations in amyloid precursor protein and presenilin 1 was able to recapitulate AD pathologies. However, there are practical limitations to using immortalized hNSC lines for clinical applications, including a higher risk of aberrant growth, which may be circumvented by subjecting these cells to extensive characteristic analyses.

Human embryonic stem cells (hESCs), used as pluripotent cells, provide an unlimited and renewable source of hNSCs. Several protocols have been developed to differentiate hESCs into expandable hNSC populations, and to derive potentially functional neurons and glial cells in a controlled manner (6,9,10). Due to the high differentiation potential, in vitro expandable NSCs derived from hESCs are one of the most accessible models for human developmental neurobiology, although certain ethical issues remain unresolved (11). hESC-derived NSCs can serve as an in vitro model for the examination of human neural development as newly derived NSCs are similar to embryonic neuroepithelial cells. In addition, in long-term culture, these cells are more likely to develop features similar to those of fetal and adult NSCs (12). The hESCs used in the production of hNSCs have the advantage of being capable of propagation over multiple passages, offering a virtually unlimited supply of hNSCs (13).

The present study aimed to compare and characterize two representative hNSC sources to provide a well-defined in vitro model comparable to human neuronal physiology for various research applications. This involved examining whole-genome expression using microarrays in ReNcell and hESC-derived NSCs, and assessing their neuronal differentiation potential. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report to provide a comprehensive analysis of the gene expression of ReNcell and hESC-derived NSCs. The results extend the gene expression network for neural differentiation and reveal common principles of transcriptional regulation underlying the differentiation of hESCs into NSCs.

Materials and methods

hESC culture. H9 hESCs (cat. no. WA09; WiCell Research Institute, Madison, WI, USA) were maintained on Matrigel (BD Biosciences, San Diego, CA, USA) in mTeSR1 (StemCell Technologies, Vancouver, BC, Canada) as previously described (14,15).

Differentiation of hESCs into hNSCs. The hNSCs were differentiated through the formation of human neuroectodermal spheres (hNESs) as previously reported with minor modifications (2,16). The H9 hESCs (cat. no. WA09; WiCell Research Institute) were maintained on Matrigel (BD Biosciences) in mTeSR1 (StemCell Technologies) as previously described (14). Human embryoid bodies (hEBs) were generated by culturing hESCs in hEB medium consisting of knockout DMEM supplemented with 10% knockout serum replacement, 1% non-essential amino acids, 1 mM L-glutamine (all from Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) and 0.1 mM β-mercaptoethanol (Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) on non-coated Petri dishes. The resulting hEBs were then cultured in NES/NSC medium consisting of DMEM/F12, 1X N2/B27 (both from Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), 20 ng/ml basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF; R&D Systems, Inc., Minneapolis, MN, USA), 20 ng/ml epidermal growth factor (EGF) and 10 ng/ml leukemia inhibitory factor (both from PeproTech, Inc., Rocky Hill, NJ, USA). The hNESs were sub-cultured every week using a Mcllwain tissue chopper (Mickle Engineering, Surrey, UK), and the medium was replaced every 2 days. The hNESs were passaged at least five times without disturbing the formation of neural rosettes. For terminal differentiation, each hNES was allowed to attach to a Matrigel-coated coverslip and was maintained without growth factors for 2 weeks, as previously described (17,18). To count the total number of cells within each hNES, the hNESs were dissociated into single-cell suspensions with 0.1% trypsin-EDTA (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) for 3 min. Live cell numbers were counted using trypan blue (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) exclusion under an Olympus fluorescence microscope (IX51; Olympus Corp., Tokyo, Japan).

ReNcell CX cell culture. ReNcell CX cells derived from the cortical region of human fetal brain tissue (cat. no. SCC007; EMD Millipore, Temecula, CA, USA) were cultured according to the manufacturer's protocol. The ReNcell CX cells were maintained in ReNcell NSC maintenance medium supplemented with 20 ng/ml EGF and 20 ng/ml bFGF (all from EMD Millipore) on laminin-coated tissue culture dishes (BD Biosciences). The culture medium was replaced every 2 days. For terminal differentiation, the ReNcell CX cells were cultured for 5 days without growth factors, as previously described (19).

Immunocytochemistry. Immunocytochemistry was performed as previously described (20). In brief, the cells were fixed in 4% formaldehyde and then permeabilized with PBS containing 0.1% Triton X-100. Following blocking with 3% bovine serum albumin (Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA), the cells were incubated at 4°C overnight with anti-neuron-specific class III β-tubulin (TUJ1; 1:500; cat. no. PRB–435P; Covance, Inc., Princeton, NJ, USA), anti-NESTIN (1:1,000; cat. no. MAB5326), anti-microtubule-associated protein 2 (MAP2; 1:500; cat. no. MAB3418), anti-glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP; 1:200; cat. no. MAB3402) and anti-Ki67 (1:500; cat. no. AB9260; Chemicon) (all from EMD Millipore), followed by incubation with Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated anti-mouse IgG (1:1,000; cat. no. A21202), Alexa Fluor 594-conjugated anti-mouse IgG (1:1,000; cat. no. A21203), Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG (1:1,000; cat. no. A21441) or Alexa Fluor 594-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG (1:1,000; cat. no. A21442) (all from Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR, USA) as secondary antibodies for 1 h at room temperature. DAPI (1 mg/ml; Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) was added to visualize the nuclei. The slides were examined using an Axiovert 200M microscope (Carl Zeiss AG, Göttingen, Germany).
**Semi-quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) analysis.** Total RNA was extracted from cells with an RNaseasy kit (Qiagen, Inc. Hilden, Germany) and reverse transcribed using a SuperScript IV First-Strand Synthesis System kit (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) as previously described (21). The resulting cDNA was diluted 1:10 with deionized water, and 1 µl of the diluted cDNA was added to Accupower™ PCR PreMix (Bioneer Corp., Daejeon, Korea), 10 pmol/l of specific primers and deionized water to a final volume of 20 µl. The RT-PCR analysis was performed under the following conditions: 5 min at 95°C; 30-40 cycles of 30 sec at 95°C, 30 sec at 60°C, 30 sec at 72°C, and 5 min extension at 72°C. GAPDH was used as an internal control. The relative expression of target genes was determined using the 2^-ΔΔcq method (22). The primers used in this study are listed in Table I.

**Microarray analysis.** The microarray experiments were performed using the Low RNA input linear amplification kit, cRNA cleanup module and one-color (Cy3) Whole Human Genome Microarray 4X44K, according to the manufacturer's protocol (Agilent Technologies, Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA) as previously described (23). The raw data was normalized using global scale normalization and processed using GeneSpring software version 11.0 (Agilent Technologies, Inc.,). Heatmap and hierarchical clustering of genes was generated using MeV v. 4.90 software (http://www.tm4.org). Gene functions were annotated using the GeneCard database (http://www.genecards.org/). The principal component analysis (PCA) was performed with GeneSpring software. Biological processes and protein classes were described using Protein Analysis Through Evolutionary Relationships (PANTHER; http://www.pantherdb.org/). Kyoto Encyclopaedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) analysis and functional annotation clustering were performed using David Bioinformatics Resources 6.8 with the Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID; http://david.abcc.ncifcrf.gov).

**Results and discussion**

Generation of NSCs derived from hESCs via hNES formation. In the present study, H9 hESCs were differentiated into hNSCs based on the previously described hNES formation method (17). The aggregates of hESCs were cultured in hEB medium for 5 days, followed by transfer into NES/NSC medium to drive neuronal fate commitment and promote neuronal differentiation (Fig. 1A). During differentiation, following the first subculture, hNESs containing neural rosette structures, a key structure representing NSCs, appeared and retained the potential to form neural rosette structures (Fig. 1A; red dotted circle). The hNESs were dissociated into single NSCs by trypsin digestion and were cultured as adherent monolayers. As reported in our previous study (1,17,24), the hNESs generated using this method are characterized as NSCs as they have the potential to differentiate into neuronal and glial cell types, and can be serially passaged to form new hNESs. Immortalized hNSC lines are in increasing demand due to the inherent limitations of primary hNSCs, including limited availability, poor expandability and associated ethical issues (5). The ReNcell CX cell line, which is a commercially available immortalized fetal cortical NSC line, was used as a reference hNSC type in the present study. Under normal growth conditions, ReNcell CX cells exhibited immature neural morphology, similar to that of monolayer-cultured hNSCs (Fig. 1). The ReNcell CX cells grew rapidly as a monolayer on laminin, with a doubling time of ~24 h due to the c-MYC-based immortalization. Therefore, there are safety concerns, including the risk that oncogenic c-MYC may render this hNSC line tumorigenic following transplantation (19,25).

Comparative gene expression analysis of hNESs derived from hESCs and ReNcell CX cells. To compare hNESs derived from hESCs and ReNcell CX cells for use as an hNSC model, and examine the mechanisms underlying lineage commitment in NSCs, microarray analyses were performed in undifferentiated hESCs, hEBs (intermediate cells in hNSC differentiation), hESC-derived hNESs and ReNcell CX cells. A heatmap showing the hierarchical clustering results from the whole-genome expression profiles indicated that differentiated hNESs preferentially clustered with ReNcell CX cells (Fig. 2A). The principal component analysis (PCA) also confirmed that hNESs and ReNcell CX cells were distinctly separated from undifferentiated hESCs and hEBs (Fig. 2B). Accordingly, only ~12.4% of all the genes were differentially expressed, with a fold-change threshold of 2.0 between the hNESs and ReNcell CX cells. These data indicated that the

---

**Table I. List of primers used in the present study.**

| Gene          | Forward primer (5’-3’)                      | Reverse primer (5’-3’)                      |
|---------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| OCT4          | GAGAAGGATGTGTCCTCGAGTGTG                   | CAGAGGAAAGGACACCTGGTCCC                    |
| SOX2          | AGAACCCCGAAGATGCACAAC                     | ATGTAGGTCTGCGAGCTGGT                      |
| SOX1          | GGAAAACCGGCAAAATAT                        | CCATCTGGGCTTCAAGTGT                      |
| SOX3          | GACGCCTGTITTTAGTTTGCG                     | TTTCCTACCTACTCCTGG                       |
| MSi1          | ACCCCCACTTCTCTCCTG                        | AAACCCAAAAACAGAACACG                      |
| TUI1          | ACCTCAACACCTGGTATCG                      | GGGTACCTCCTCAAGAAGATA                    |
| NESTIN        | CAGGAGAACAGCGCCTACA                      | TGGGAGCAAAGATCCAAAGAC                    |
| GAPDH         | GAAGGTTAAGGTCCGAGTTC                      | GAAGATGGTGATGGGATTTTC                    |

OCT4, octamer-binding protein 4; SOX, superoxide dismutase; MSI1, musashi-1; TUI1, neuron-specific class III β-tubulin.

---
global transcription of differentiated hNESs is similar to that of ReNcell CX cells.

To obtain the overall profile regarding common aspects of hNSC identity, a total of 1,711 commonly upregulated and 856 commonly downregulated genes between hNESs and ReNcell CX cells with a fold-change of >5 were identified as the hNSC-specific transcriptome and analyzed using the PANTHER classification system. The top biological process term was cellular process (26.9%) (Fig. 2c). Other major processes corresponding to these hNSC-related genes included metabolic process (20.4%), response to stimulus (8.7%), developmental process (8.3%), biological regulation (7.6%), localization (7.3%), multicellular organismal process (6.3%) and cellular component organization or biogenesis (5.6%), as shown in Fig. 2c. In addition, the predominant protein class was nucleic acid binding (12.9%), followed by hydrolase (8.9%), enzyme modulator (8.6%), signaling molecule (8.5%), transcription factor (8.3%), receptor (7.0%), transporter (6.6%), transferase (6.5%) and cytoskeletal protein (5.6%), as shown in Fig. 2d. A significant number of genes were identified as transcription factors, which are known to have prominent roles in lineage specification and developmental processes (26). Therefore, the contribution of these transcription factor categories was dissected. The important enriched transcription factor categories were zinc finger transcription factor (38.4%), helix-turn-helix transcription factor (25.6%), transcription cofactor (18.4%), basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor (8.0%), HMG box transcription factor (4.8%), and nuclear hormone receptor binding (4.0%), as shown in Fig. 2D. The expression of several transcription factors from the microarray data were analyzed further, and the transcription factor expression levels were similar between the hNESs and ReNcell CX cells (Fig. 2E). Representative genes are shown in Fig. 3.

Gene ontology (GO) and pathway enrichment analysis in hNESs derived from hESCs and ReNcell CX cells. To elucidate the signaling pathways and molecular mechanisms associated with the hNSC identity, the present study analyzed the hNSC-specific transcriptome. Pathway analysis based on the KEGG database showed that the commonly upregulated genes were significantly associated with the following pathways: Cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction, PI3K-Akt signaling, complement and coagulation cascades, axon guidance, focal adhesion, ECM-receptor interaction, Ras signaling, proteoglycans in cancer, regulation of actin cytoskeleton, and ABC transporters (Fig. 4A). Cell adhesion molecules, metabolic pathways, antigen processing and presentation, glycerophospholipid metabolism, T cell receptor signaling, cell cycle, oxytocin signaling, biosynthesis of antibiotics, ErbB signaling, and progesterone-mediated oocyte maturation were enriched for the commonly downregulated genes in hNESs and ReNcell CX cells (Fig. 4B).

To obtain a more comprehensive understanding of the functions of the hNSC-specific transcriptome, GO term enrichment analysis was performed through DAVID functional annotation clustering, which shows functionally linked groups by reducing the redundancy in the annotation (11,27). The following seven significant annotation clusters were identified in the hNSC-specific transcriptome, which were related to glyco-protein, cell junction (synapse), immunity, EGF-like domain, ECM-receptor interaction (fibillar collagen), regulation of PI3K signaling and metal-binding based on statistical criteria (P<0.05 and an enrichment score of at least 1.7) (Fig. 4C).

Although the majority of the genes analyzed showed similar expression patterns, differentially expressed genes found only in a small portion of genes (12.4% of all genes given the 2.0-fold cutoff criterion) between hNESs and ReNcell CX cells were enriched in the following pathways: TGF-β signaling, acute
Figure 2. Global analyses of transcriptome changes during NSC differentiation of hESCs. (A) Heatmap of undifferentiated hESCs, hEBs, hESC-derived hNESs and ReNcell CX cells. Genes in which expression was not significantly altered (<2-fold) were removed. (B) Principal component analysis of the differentially expressed genes from the microarray data. (C) Enriched biological processes and (D) protein classes of commonly regulated genes in hNESs and ReNcell CX cells by PANTHER analysis. Genes related to transcription factors were further classified. (E) Heatmaps of genes known to be involved in CM differentiation, including genes associated with transcription factors in hESCs, hEBs, hNESs and ReNcell CX cells. Hierarchical clustering showed a close association between hNESs and ReNcell CX cells. hESCs, human embryonic stem cells; hNESs, human neuroectodermal spheres; hEBs, human embryonic bodies; ReN, ReNcell CX cells.

Figure 3. hNSC-specific transcriptome. Representative genes were selected with at least 50-fold changes in expression in the hESC-derived hNESs and ReNcell CX cells, compared with the undifferentiated hESCs. The ratios are color-coded, as indicated by the color index bar. hESCs, human embryonic stem cells; hNESs, human neuroectodermal spheres; hEBs, human embryonic bodies; ReN, ReNcell CX cells.
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The majority of the overrepresented pathways were identified as cancer-related pathways; this may be due to the oncogenic c-MYC having been functionally linked to cancer-related pathways (28,29).

Neuronal differentiation of hNESs derived from hESCs and ReNcell CX cells in vitro. To further characterize hNESs derived from hESCs molecularly, semi-quantitative RT-PCR analysis was performed to analyze the differentially expressed genes. The majority of the over represented pathways were identified as cancer-related pathways; this may be due to the oncogenic c-MYC having been functionally linked to cancer-related pathways (28,29).

Figure 4. Integrative and comparative analyses of commonly regulated genes in hESC-derived NSCs and ReNcell CX cells. Pathway analysis of commonly (A) upregulated and (B) downregulated genes in hESC-derived hNESs and ReNcell CX cells by Kyoto Encyclopaedia of Genes and Genomes pathway analysis. (C) Functional annotation clustering analysis of commonly regulated genes in hESC-derived hNESs and ReNcell CX cells. The seven most enriched clusters are shown with representative examples of their GO terms and enrichment scores in the colored boxes. The bars show the GO term enrichment (brown) and the number of included proteins relative to the total number of proteins (% count; in yellow). The P-values are shown on the far right. hESCs, human embryonic stem cells; hNESs, human neuroectodermal spheres; ReN, ReNcell CX cells; GO, Gene Ontology.

Figure 5. Pathway analysis of the differentially expressed genes between hESC-derived hNESs and ReNcell CX cells. Kyoto Encyclopaedia of Genes and Genomes pathway analysis was performed to analyze the differentially expressed genes. The majority of the over represented pathways were identified as cancer-related pathways. hESCs, human embryonic stem cells; hNESs, human neuroectodermal spheres; ReN, ReNcell CX cells; GO, Gene Ontology.
hNES cells and ReNcell CX cells were also immunostained for NSC markers, including NESTIN and TUJ1 (Fig. 6B). To functionally characterize neuronal differentiation capacity, hNESs and ReNcell CX cells were differentiated following the withdrawal of growth factors. Following 15 days of differentiation, MAP2-positive neuronal cells and GFAP-positive glial cells were observed in the differentiated hNESs and ReNcell CX cells (Fig. 6B). Of note, the hESc-derived hNESs continued to expand over five passages without losing any of their features. It was possible to scale-up hNES production by ~662-fold in terms of cell number (mean values) following five passages, with the majority of cells undergoing active proliferation, as indicated by Ki-67 labeling at passage 5 (Fig. 6C).

The aim of the present study was to characterize hNSCs and to select a more suitable hNSC model for developing human-based platforms for applications in various neurological fields. The hNSC-specific transcriptome data from hESc-derived hNESs and ReNcell CX hNSCs were described. Global gene expression profiling enables a systems-based analysis of the biological processes through GO and pathway enrichment analyses, and of genes driving differentiation into hNSCs. The comparative analysis of the global gene expression showed that the hESc-derived hNESs were similar to the ReNcell CX hNSCs, as shown by PCA and hierarchical sample clustering. As described above, the hNESs derived from hESCs in the simple protocol exhibited differentiation potential, based on the specific terminal differentiation markers of neuronal and glial cells that were detected. The hNESs showed stable proliferation and were expanded for at least five passages without loss of NSC characteristics. These results indicated that hESc-derived hNESs may be used as a relevant hNSC model, similar to ReNcell CX cells, in several neurological research fields.

hNSC differentiation protocols remain inefficient, with poor yields of terminal differentiation and maturation into specific neuronal cell types. However, increased understanding...
of the mechanisms underlying hESC-based NSC differentiation through fine-tuning protocols for the efficient derivation, long-term maintenance and neuronal cell type-specific differentiation of hNSCs may provide novel insights into human neurodevelopment and the process of NSC fate specification.
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