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Abstract. The objective of our study is to develop a multimodality approach by combining magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and optical imaging methods to assess acute murine colitis at the macro- and microscopic level. In vivo MRI is used to measure the cross-sectional areas of colons at the macroscopic level. Dual-color confocal laser endomicroscopy (CLE) allows in vivo examination of the fluorescently labeled epithelial cells and microvessels in the mucosa with a spatial resolution of ~1.4 μm during ongoing endoscopy. To further validate the structural changes of the colons in three-dimensions, ex vivo light-sheet fluorescence microscopy (LSFM) is applied for in-toto imaging of cleared colon sections. MRI, LSFM, and CLE findings are significantly correlated with histological scoring (p < 0.01) and the inflammation-associated activity index (p < 0.01). Our multimodality imaging technique permits visualization of mucosa in colitis at different scales, which can enhance our understanding of the pathogenesis of inflammatory bowel diseases. © The Authors. Published by SPIE under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Unported License. Distribution or reproduction of this work in whole or in part requires full attribution of the original publication, including its DOI. [DOI: 10.1117/1.JBO.24.1.016003]
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1 Introduction

Inflammatory bowel diseases (IBDs) are chronic inflammatory diseases with intestinal immune disorders, including Crohn’s disease (CD) and ulcerative colitis (UC). Patients with IBDs show an increased risk for developing colorectal cancer (CRC), one of the leading causes of death worldwide. In the last decade, new imaging techniques have been developed for early detection of inflammatory lesions, which has resulted in a significant reduction in the incidences of CRC. However, the etiology of IBDs remains unclear due to its heterogeneous disorders with various appearances. In the previous study, the inflammation of UC has been mainly observed in the mucosal and submucosal layers; however, in CD, the inflammation has been scattered and may be found in all the layers of colon. Therefore, to enhance our understanding of the pathogenesis of IBDs, powerful multimodality imaging techniques that can assess the colon at the macro- and microscopic level should be developed.

Macroscopic imaging techniques, such as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), are widely used to evaluate the luminal alterations of colitis. MRI is preferable because it does not have the ionizing radiation emitted by computed tomography (CT) enterography. However, conventional MRI has too low a resolution to detect mucosal changes at the cellular level. An imaging method, confocal laser endomicroscopy (CLE), provides over 10-fold higher resolution than MRI for in vivo tracking of fluorescently labeled epithelial cells and microvessels of the mucosa. In a CLE system, thousands of thin fibers are incorporated in a probe, in which each fiber works as a point scanner and pinhole used in a conventional confocal microscope. In contrast to standard white-light endoscopy, the CLE system has real-time imaging of the mucosal alterations via the administration of fluorescent contrast agents to stain cells and vessels in the mucosa. The lateral resolution of CLE for mouse applications can reach up to 1.4 μm with 10-μm optical sectioning and a field of view (FOV) diameter from 240 to 600 μm.

Although CLE provides accurate assessment of mucosa, whole colon section imaging in three-dimensions (3-D) is still challenging due to its small FOV. Advanced imaging techniques, such as light-sheet fluorescence microscopy (LSFM) (also known as ultramicroscopy), allow for in-toto imaging of the fluorescently labeled transparent sample. Candeo et al. have developed a virtual unfolding of LSFM dataset for quantitative analysis of the murine intestine. Gabanyi et al. have used intraval multiphoton microscopy to monitor cell dynamics in different layers of the intestinal wall of live animals, and a 3-D view of macrophage distribution in the small intestine has been visualized by light-sheet microscopy. The aim of this study was to compare and correlate MRI, CLE, and LSFM to analyze their potential as multimodality tools to assess a chemically induced experimental model of IBDs at the macro- and microscopic level.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Induction of Colitis Model

All animal experiments were approved in accordance with the guidelines of the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.
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After CLE imaging, the mice were deeply anesthetized and euthanized. Mice colons were removed aseptically, then washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), and fixed with 4% buffered formalin for 24 h at 4°C in the dark. Fixed colons were dehydrated in methanol (Beijing Chemical Works, China) ranging from 25% to 100% (in PBS) for 3 h and left in 100% methanol for 24 h at 25°C. Then, colons were cleared with benzyl alcohol and benzyl benzoate (BABB at a 1:2 volume ratio) solution over 24 h at 25°C.

2.4 Fixation and Clearing of Colon Samples

Prior to CLE (CellVizio Dual Band, Mauna Kea Technologies, France) examination, the mice colon vasculature was stained via intravenous injection with 100 μL 2% weight/volume Evans blue (MedChemExpress). Subsequently, 100 μL of 0.05% weight/volume acriflavine (Sigma-Aldrich) was administered intraperitoneally for mucosa cell staining.23 Approximately 15 to 20 min after administering the dyes, CLE was performed by placing a fiber optic probe against the distal colon mucosa of each mouse. The CellVizio laser was used for confocal imaging; it generates excitation at both 488 and 660 nm and couples a dual-laser beam into the probe with 2.6-mm tip diameter, lateral resolution, flip angle: 90 deg, acquisition matrix: 154 × 154, number of averages: 7, slice thickness: 1 mm, and duration: 10 min and 5 s. CLE was started at day zero and repeated on day three and six after DSS induction. For MRI, mice were anesthetized with 1.5% isoflurane. Animals were kept on a water tube heating pad to keep the body temperature constant. Measurement of the diameter and thickness of the colons was performed in the ImageJ package FIJI (version 1.51).

2.5 Light-Sheet Fluorescence Microscopy of Mice Colon Samples

The cleared colons were scanned with a commercial LSFM (LaVision BioTec, Germany). We combined a magnification of 2× with a 2× objective lens (Mv PLAPO 2VC; Olympus) covered with a 6-mm working distance dipping cap. We used a supercontinuum white-light laser (SuperK EXTREME 80 MHz VIS with wavelength from 400 to 2400 nm; NKT Photonics, Cologne, Germany) as a laser source. The filters were set as 470/40 nm excitation and 525/50 nm emission for acriflavine and 640/30 nm excitation and 690/50 nm emission for Evans blue for the detection of cell morphology and vessels in the samples. The step size was set to 5 μm and a total range of up to 2 mm for colon transversal scanning. The measurements were performed with 385-ms exposure times per slice, and a total imaging time of ~6 min per colon sample. Imaris software (Bitplane, Oxford Instruments Company) was used to generate 3-D reconstructions of the tagged image file format images of the colons.

2.6 Histological Validations

To validate CLE and LSFM findings, mice colon sections were collected and preserved in 4% buffered formalin for histologic study. The 4-μm coronal sections of paraffin-embedded mouse colons were cut and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) for histological scoring.

2.7 Statistical Analysis

Data were represented as mean ± standard deviation. Statistical analysis was performed in SPSS (IBM, version 23). The results of the two groups were compared using two-tail student’s t-tests. Correlations were analyzed using Pearson’s correlation coefficient (Pearson Product Moment Correlation) and significances were tested using two-tail student’s t-tests. The differences with a p-value <0.05 were considered statistically significant. Here, * denotes p < 0.05, ** denotes p < 0.01, and *** denotes p < 0.001.

3 Results

Our multimodality imaging procedure of murine colitis models was shown in Fig. 1(a). As expected, the DSS-induced group had higher weight loss after two days than the control group [Fig. 1(b)]. Loose and bloody stools occurred three days after administration of DSS to mice. The inflammation-associated activity score was significantly higher in DSS-induced group compared to controls without DSS colitis [Fig. 1(c)].

3.1 In Vivo Monitoring of Luminal Changes by MRI

To monitor a transversal colon area for DSS-induced mice, MRI was performed at three and six days after DSS water feeding.8 A significant increased colon lumen area was observed in DSS-induced mice, as measured by T1-weighted imaging [Figs. 2(a)–2(c)]. The cross-colon area was 1.27 ± 0.61 mm² in healthy mice and 3.0 ± 0.61 mm² (p < 0.01) and 4.05 ± 0.7 mm² (p < 0.05) in mice with DSS colitis on day three and six, respectively [Fig. 2(d)].
Fig. 1  (a) Schematic illustration of the DSS-induced model and the imaging procedure; (b) comparison of mice body weight loss and (c) inflammation-associated activity index score analysis.

Fig. 2  T1-weighted MRI of luminal changes: (a) the colon transversal area (white arrows) for healthy mice, (b) for three days after DSS induction, and (c) for six days after DSS induction. (d) Quantification of the colon cross-sectional area for the T1-weighted images (scale bars represent 2 mm).
Fig. 3 Simultaneously dual-channel (488 and 660 nm) CLE imaging of intestinal epithelial cells (white arrows) and vessels (white arrowheads) for the control group (Video 1, MOV, 0.8 MB [URL: https://doi.org/10.1117/1.JBO.24.1.016003.1]), three days after DSS induction (Video 2, MOV, 0.8 MB [URL: https://doi.org/10.1117/1.JBO.24.1.016003.2]), and six days after DSS induction (Video 3, MOV, 0.6 MB [URL: https://doi.org/10.1117/1.JBO.24.1.016003.3]) (scale bars are 20 μm).

Fig. 4 CLE images stitching of fluorescently labeled epithelial cells and vessels: (a) intestinal epithelial cells and (b) vessels (Video 4, MOV, 0.5 MB [URL: https://doi.org/10.1117/1.JBO.24.1.016003.4]). (c) Merged dual-channel mosaic image. The vessels were detected under 12 μm in diameter for (d) segmentation and (e) distribution analysis using CellVizio vessel detection software (scale bars are 50 μm).
3.2 **In Vivo Monitoring of Mucosal Inflammation by CLE**

CLE gives high-resolution in vivo imaging of fluorescently stained cells and vessels throughout disease progression. We used the recently developed dual-channel CLE imaging system for monitoring intestinal epithelial cells and microvessels of colon mucosa. The 488-nm laser with a fiber optic probe 0.35 mm in diameter allowed for visualization of the acriflavin-stained colonic epithelial cells and crypt architectures, as shown in the first column of Fig. 3. Healthy mice had intact colonic epithelial cells and crypts (Video 1). In DSS-colitis mice, we found severe cell damage and loss of crypt structure (Videos 2 and 3). The 660-nm laser excitation allowed for visualization of the mucosal vasculature architecture, blood flow, and vasculature leakage (the second column of Fig. 3). The merged results of the two-channel images are also shown in the third column of Fig. 3.

To extend the FOV of CLE, the fiber probe’s trajectory was followed and the images were stitched using the mosaic technology in CellVizio [Figs. 4(a)–4(c), Video 4]. In addition, we quantitatively analyzed vessels using a vessel detection software package, which allowed for segmentation analysis of vessels, and the cumulated length, area, and diameter of the acquired images. The vessel detection analysis was <12 μm in diameter for healthy mice [Fig. 4(d)], in which the mean vessel diameter was 11.1 μm and the total vessel length was 2550 μm.

3.3 **In-Toto 3-D Visualization of Colon Vessel Architecture and Morphology by LSFM**

LSFM has ~10 times higher resolution than MRI. After MRI and CLE imaging, mice were euthanized, and the colons were removed for tissue clearing [Fig. 5(a)] by adapting the BABB protocol. The colonic mucosa and microvasculature were assessed in 3-D LSFM [Fig. 5(b), Video 5]. LSFM datasets showed disrupted colon villus structures and severe loss of mucosal architecture in three and six days DSS-induced mice, respectively. We found that colon thickness and length were significantly different between healthy mice (n = 5) and mice with DSS-induced colitis (n = 5). After six days of DSS induction, we observed a significant increase in colon thickness in DSS-colitis mice [0.5 ± 0.05 mm versus 0.25 ± 0.05 mm, p < 0.01].

---

**Fig. 5** LSFM imaging of fluorescently labeled epithelial cells and vessels. Illustration of the mouse colon before and after clearing by BABB protocol. (a) The distal part of a cleared colon was selected for LSFM imaging. (b) Cleared LSFM images of acriflavin- and Evans blue-stained intestinal epithelial cells (left column) and vessels (middle column) (Video 5, MOV, 2.9 MB [URL: https://doi.org/10.1117/1.JBO.24.1.016003.5]). Quantification of (c) colon thickness and (d) length. Scale bars are 1 cm in (a) and 50 μm in (b).
Colon length was 85.75 ± 3.6 mm in healthy mice and 63.7 ± 8.5 mm (p < 0.05) in DSS-colitis mice.

### 3.4 Histological Evaluation of DSS-Induced Colitis

To characterize the development of DSS-induced colitis and validate MRI, CLE, and LSFM imaging results, histological alterations of healthy and DSS-induced mice after three and six days were assessed by H&E staining of paraffin-embedded colon sections. As DSS-induced mucosal damage progresses, the increasing ulceration and inflammation with the loss of crypt structure were observed [Figs. 6(a)–6(c)]. A histological scoring system based on epithelial damage and inflammatory infiltrates was used to quantify the severity of the colitis [Fig. 6(d)].

### 3.5 Correlation of MRI, CLE, and LSFM

To correlate in vivo and ex vivo findings, we calculated the correlation coefficients of MRI (cross-sectional areas), LSFM (colonic thickness), and CLE (the crypt architecture, microvascular alteration and fluorescein leakage classification score) results with respect to weight loss, colon length, histological score, and the inflammation-associated activity index from healthy and DSS-induced mice (after three and six days); this correlation analysis is detailed in Table 1. The MRI results had a strong correlation with weight loss, and a significant correlation with the colitis activity index, colon length, and the histological score. The 3-D LSFM results were significantly correlated with the in vivo evaluation of weight loss and the disease activity score. In addition, it was strongly correlated with colon length and the histological score determined by post-mortem evaluation. The in vivo macroscopic imaging technique, MRI, was significantly correlated with the parameters representing the development and severity of colitis, and microscopic level results, observed using the LSFM imaging method, were also significantly correlated with these parameters.

### 4 Discussion

The development of optical multimodality imaging techniques can improve IBD diagnosis. However, the analysis of colon inflammation is still challenging, mainly due to heterogeneous distribution of lesions along the entire colon and in different layers of the colon. In addition, the functional and histological features of the colon are variable at different scales, even within normal colon regions. In this study, we combined in vivo MRI and CLE with ex vivo LSFM of cleared colons to assess the

---

**Fig. 6** H&E staining of paraffin-embedded transversal colon sections for histological changes analysis in (a) healthy mice, (b) DSS-induced mice after three days, and (c) DSS-induced mice after six days (scale bars are 100 μm). The black arrow represents the area of severe transmural inflammation with the loss of crypt structure. Black arrowheads indicate edematous submucosal inflammatory infiltrates. (d) Histological scores of the control group and DSS-induced groups (day three and six).

**Table 1** Correlation of the mean values from MRI (transversal areas), LSFM (colonic thickness) and CLE (the crypt architecture, microvascular alteration, and fluorescein leakage classification score) with body weight loss, colon length, histological score, and the inflammation-associated active index.

| Correlation coefficients | Body weight loss | Colon length | Histological score | Inflammation-associated activity index |
|-------------------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------|
| MRI                     | −0.69∗          | −0.85∗       | 0.85∗              | 0.88∗                                 |
| LSFM                    | −0.8∗           | −0.7∗        | 0.82∗              | 0.89∗                                 |
| CLE                     | −0.58∗          | −0.62∗       | 0.79∗              | 0.82∗                                 |

Note: Significance was calculated using Pearson’s correlation coefficient.  

∗p < 0.05.  
**p < 0.01.
development and severity of DSS-induced colitis at different scales. First, noninvasive MRI was routinely performed to locate suspicious inflammation areas in mouse models of colitis at the macroscopic scale. Then, minimal invasive CLE was used for observing microscopic vascular architecture and cellular features alterations at these areas within mucosa. Finally, to overcome the limited FOV and imaging depth of CLE, we applied ex vivo 3-D LSFM to image entire colon sections with cellular resolution. All the findings from MRI, CLE, and LSFM were cross validated with correlation analysis, which demonstrated that the correlation of these three imaging modalities was consistent.

MRI is a versatile tool and it is a noninvasive measurement of colonic transversal areas at the macroscopic level for longitudinal study. In this study, using a permanent magnet small animal MRI turned out to be feasible, safe, and low cost for routine examination of colonic areas. Moreover, in vivo MRI results were significantly correlated with ex vivo colon length and histological score. However, the resolution of MRI in our study was limited to \( \sim 195 \) \( \mu \)m, which was unable to observe the cellular features and microvessels architecture at the microscopic level. To overcome this limitation, CLE was employed for real-time, high-resolution visualization of the epithelial cell and microvessels within the colon mucosa. For simultaneous monitoring of cell features and vessel architecture using the CLE system, we applied intravenous perfusion with acriflavin that tagged epithelial cells, followed by topical administration of Evans blue for staining the vasculature of the colon mucosal layer. After CLE, colons were cleared using BABB, a well-developed protocol.\(^{23}\) The high-resolution images of clearing colon acquired by LSFM were used for 3-D reconstruction of the fluorescently tagged cells and blood vessel structure of the intact colon section. Previous studies combined white-light endoscopy with CLE to obtain high-magnification images.\(^{32}\) In this study, we correlated in vivo MRI at the macroscopic level with CLE at the microscopic level. In addition, we also correlated CLE in two-dimensions and LSFM in 3-D. This approach can bridge the gap between MRI and CLE at different levels, which have been separate domains in IBDs models, and it also allows for cross validation of in vivo CLE and ex vivo LSFM. Using this multimodality approach, we visualized the colon mucosal layer at the cellular level and the vessel architecture level with single vessel resolution. Furthermore, this multimodality approach not only allows in vivo visualization of inflammation within mucosa for UC study but also enables ex vivo 3-D imaging of the colon with cellular resolution for transmural investigation in CD, which can enhance our understanding of the pathogenesis of IBDs.

One of the main limitations of our study was CLE’s relatively small FOV. As described in Sec. 1, the FOV was limited to 600 \( \mu \)m. One solution of this limitation is to stitch images acquired in a real-time scan to form a larger FOV using the mosaic image software developed by CellVizio. Another disadvantage was that clearing of the colon samples may have resulted in decreased colon size due to dehydration. This drawback may be corrected by quantifying the shrinkage of the colon volume using CT imaging before and after clearing. The LSFM images of cell and vessel parameters of cleared colons could be corrected by the volume reduction factor obtained by CT.

In conclusion, we demonstrated the feasibility of combining in vivo MRI and CLE and ex vivo LSFM for assessing colon morphology and dynamic changes of epithelial cells and vasculature in DSS-induced colitis models. This approach showed multimodality imaging of mouse colons at different levels, which will improve the diagnostic precision of DSS colitis. This approach could also be applied to other experimental models, such as trinitrobenzene sulfonic acid colitis and oxazolone colitis. We envision that this multimodality imaging technique will improve the understanding of the pathogenesis, cell progression, and microenvironment alteration of IBDs.
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