4-1BB Agonism Combined With PD-L1 Blockade Increases the Number of Tissue-Resident CD8+ T Cells and Facilitates Tumor Abrogation
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Although the milestone discovery of immune checkpoint blockade (ICB) has been translated into clinical practice, only a fraction of patients can benefit from it with durable responses and subsequent long-term survival. Here, we tested the anti-tumor effect of combining PD-L1 blockade with 4-1BB costimulation in 3LL and 4T1.2 murine tumor models. Dual treatment induced further tumor regression and enhanced survival in tumor-bearing mice more so than PD-L1 and 4-1BB mAb alone. It was demonstrated that dual anti-PD-L1/anti-4-1BB immunotherapy increased the number of intratumoral CD103+CD8+ T cells and altered their distribution. Phenotypically, CD103+CD8+ T cells expressed a higher level of 4-1BB and PD-1 than their CD103− counterparts. Administration of PD-L1 mAb and 4-1BB mAb further increased the cytolytic capacity of CD103+CD8+ T cells. In vivo, CD103−CD8+ T cells could differentiate into CD103+CD8+ progeny cells. In a human setting, more CD8+ T cells differentiated into CD103+CD8+ T cells in the peripheral tumor region of lung cancer tissues than in the central tumor region. Collectively, infiltrated CD103+CD8+ T cells served as a potential effector T cell population. Combining 4-1BB agonism with PD-L1 blockade could increase tumor-infiltrated CD103+CD8+T cells, thereby facilitating tumor regression.
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INTRODUCTION

PD-1/PD-L1 blockade immunotherapy has shown potential for many types of cancer, but its clinical efficacy is limited, partly due to the absence of tumoral effector cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs) infiltration (1, 2). Current approaches in cancer immunotherapy seek to restore the immune function of unresponsive T cells by blocking inhibitory signals (3–5). It is also reported that blocking the inhibitory signal while simultaneously providing a costimulatory agonist further increases the presence and immune activities of tumor antigen CTLs (6).

The critical function of CD8+ T cells in cancer control has long been recognized, which was viewed mechanistically in the context of continuous recruitment of effector lymphocyte subsets
from circulation (7). Recent evidence showed that a subset of CD8+ T cells became resident within tissue environments (8, 9). These tissue-resident T cells were not only distinct from their circulating counterparts, but also have been involved in protective immune responses against foreign pathogens (10, 11). In a tumor setting, emerging evidence reported that there were resident T cells within the tumor microenvironment (12–15). These resident cells could have a prognostic value in human cancers (16–18). However, the exact function of resident T cells in anti-tumor immune response and whether they respond to ICB immunotherapy are still unknown.

Therefore, we hypothesize that the resident T cells could be of great importance in a tumor microenvironment, as well as hold implications for cancer ICB immunotherapy. In this study, we sought to uncover the concurrent targeting of synergy between 4-1BB (agonist) and PD-L1 (blockade) in mice bearing tumors, and revealed that improved immunotherapy efficacy was partially attributed to CD103+CD8+ T cells that were exposed to the combination therapy.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mice and Cell Lines

Six- to eight-week-old female C57BL/6 and BALB/c mice were purchased from Vital River Laboratory Animal Technology Co., Ltd (Beijing). All mice were maintained under specific pathogen-free (SPF) conditions. Mice lung carcinoma cell line (3LL) and mouse breast cancer cell line (4T1.2) were from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA). 3LL cell was free (SPF) conditions. Mice lung carcinoma cell line (3LL) and the normal lung tissue staining black. Then, tumor nodules absorb ink, which resulted in the tumor nodules remaining white. Tumor tissue of humans and mice were dissected and placed in Fekete's solution (10% formalin, 70% alcohol, and 5% acetic acid) for destaining. Tumor nodules in the lung were counted blindly by two independent investigators (19). During this study, the care of animals was kept in accordance with institution guidelines.

Analysis of Tumor-Infiltrating Lymphocytes (TILs)

Tumor tissue of humans and mice were dissected and placed in RPMI medium, then disrupted mechanically using scissors, digested with a mixture of DNase I (0.3 mg/ml, Sigma-Aldrich) and Liberase TL (0.2 mg/ml, Roche) in serum-free RPMI 1640 medium for 30 min, and dispersed through a 70-µm cell strainer (Beyotime Biotechnology) (19). Combinations of the following fluorochrome-conjugated antibody (PD-1, 4-1BB, ICOS, Ki-67, CD103) were used for cell staining among defined population of CD45+CD8+ cells. The gating strategy for the analysis was shown in Figure S1. Multi-colored flow cytometry was performed on Cytoflex (Beckman) and data were analyzed with FlowJo software (Tree Star).

Cytotoxicity Assay

As reported previously for similar cytotoxic assays (20, 21), 3LL cells were incubated for 15 min at 37°C with 5 µM CFSE in PBS (CFSEhi 3LL), whereas ID8 reference cells were labeled with 0.5 µM CFSE (CFSElo ID8), and then washed extensively. CFSEhi 3LL and CFSElo ID8 were incubated in 24-well plate, respectively. Where indicated, CD103+CD8+ T cells...
and CD103−CD8+ T cells were added at the ratio of 10:1 to CFSEhi 3LL, respectively, and cultured with rat IgG isotype control or anti-4-1BB mAb (10 µg/ml; BioXCell) or anti-PD-L1 mAb (10 µg/ml; BioXCell) or the combination of anti-4-1BB mAb (10 µg/ml) and anti-PD-L1 mAb (10 µg/ml) in duplicate. After 8 h, CFSEhi 3LL were collected by trypan blue and mixed into 1.2-mL FACS tubes. Before analysis, CFSElo ID8 were quantified as the same number of CFSEhi 3LL without T cells, then mixed with each group of CFSEhi 3LL. Killing of 3LL cells by CD103+CD8+ T cells or CD103−CD8+ T cells was calculated as 100% × (1-RFeff/RFctrl). RF represents the relative frequency of remaining CFSEhi 3LL cells to CFSElo ID8 reference cells; RFctrl represents the RF value in groups without CD103+CD8+ T cells or CD103−CD8+ T cells; and RFeff represents the RF value in groups with CD103+CD8+ T cells or CD103−CD8+ T cells (21). Representative results from one of two performed experiments are shown.

**T Cell Transfer Assay**

CD103+CD8+ T and CD103−CD8+ T cells were sorted from the lymph nodes of untreated 3LL-bearing mice using immunomagnetic beads according to the manufacturer's protocol (MicroBeads, Miltenyi). The lymph nodes were taken from 3LL-bearing mice when the transplanted tumors reached ~5 mm in diameter. The lymph nodes were disrupted mechanically using glass slides in medium, then dispersed through a 70-µm cell strainer. These two groups of CD8+ T cells were then stained with 1 µM CFSE at 37°C in vitro and transferred to 3LL-bearing mice through tail vein. After 72 h, lymph node from 3LL-bearing mice was isolated and prepared to single cell suspension respectively. Fluorochrome-conjugated CD8 and CD103 mAb were used for staining among defined population of CFSE+ cells by flow cytometric analysis.

**Immunofluorescent Analysis**

Frozen sections (5 µm in thickness) were prepared from tumor tissue, embedded in optimum cutting temperature compound (Sakura Finetek). Then, sections were incubated with 3% BSA for 1 h at 37°C. Following this, they were stained with rat anti-mouse CD8 mAb (1:100) and Armenian hamster anti-mouse CD103 (1:100) overnight at 4°C. After washing with PBS, primary antibodies were detected with Alexa Fluor 594-conjugated goat anti-rat IgG (1:500) and Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated goat anti-Armenian hamster IgG (1:500). After washing, sections were subsequently embedded with DAPI. Sections were scanned using Nikon imaging system (Eclipse Ni-U). Mean fluorescence intensity (OD value) was quantified by ImageJ (22). The cell density at the periphery and center was performed by visually counting cells per field of section.

**Statistical Analysis**

Data (mean ± SEM) are representative of independent experiments. We used the two-tailed unpaired Student’s t-test, Mann–Whitney U-test, or the log-rank test (survival studies). A P < 0.05 was considered as statistical significant. Asterisk coding is indicated as *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; n.s. denotes not significant.

**RESULTS**

Anti-4-1BB and Anti-PD-L1 Abs Have a Synergistic Anti-tumor Activity

To investigate whether it could improve the therapeutic efficacy of ICB in the setting of established tumors, we co-administered anti-PD-L1 mAb and anti-4-1BB mAb to tumor-bearing mice. Four groups of mice were implanted with 3LL cells (2 × 105/mouse). All mice received twice-weekly injection of rat IgG isotype control, anti-PD-L1 mAb, anti-4-1BB mAb, or anti-PD-L1 mAb combined with anti-4-1BB mAb, respectively, beginning at day 10 after tumor inoculation. As shown in Figures 1A,B, anti-PD-L1 mAb alone failed to control 3LL tumor growth, treatment with anti-4-1BB mAb only partially inhibited the growth of tumors, but almost complete inhibition of tumor growth in mice was seen in the combination therapy group (P < 0.01). We also observed the survival data until day 125, and mice treated with both anti-4-1BB mAb and anti-PD-L1 mAb experienced the greatest survival benefit as compared with the other groups (P < 0.01). Furthermore, we investigated whether the mice (CR) from combined group had generated a memory response to 3LL. We rechallenged them with 3LL cells (4 × 105/mouse), the majority of mice showed the tumor growth again (Figure S2).

Then, therapeutic studies were also conducted in metastatic 4T1.2 tumor model (Figure 1C). Consistent with data above, we found that only mice received anti-4-1BB mAb combined with anti-PD-L1 mAb had significantly fewer lung nodules (P < 0.01, Figure 1D). The data suggested that combining anti-4-1BB mAb with anti-PD-L1 mAb was sufficient to inhibit the metastatic tumor nodules arising from 4T1.2 cells reaching the lungs.

Combinatorial Therapy Generates More CD103+ Tumor-Resident T Cells

To understand the effect of the therapy in the tumor microenvironment and determine which cell population contributed to tumor growth inhibition and overall survival improvement, we analyzed TILs by flow cytometry. Anti-PD-L1 mAb or anti-4-1BB monotherapy had little or modest impact on the ratio of infiltrated CD8+ T cells, while there was a significant increase in the combinatorial therapy group. Notably, there was a distinction between combinatorial therapy and other groups in terms of the ratio of infiltrated CD103+CD8+ T cells (P < 0.05, Figure 2).

To further verify the distribution of tumoral CD103+CD8+ T cells, serial 3LL transplanted tumor sections were stained with anti-CD8 and anti-CD103 mAb, subsequently quantified by immunofluorescent microscope. The data indicated that the density of tumoral CD103+CD8+ T cells varied from monotherapeutic to combinatorial therapeutic tumor-bearing mice. The majority of CD103+CD8+ T cells were distributed within the peripheral region of tumor tissue, and combining anti-PD-L1 mAb with anti-4-1BB mAb treatment could induce an influx of CD103+CD8+ T cells toward the center of tumor (Figure 3).
**4-1BB and PD-1 Are Highly Enriched in Tumor-Resident T Cells**

Since increased tumoral CD103+CD8+ T cells were observed, the phenotypic characteristics of these T cell subsets were necessary to determine in order to gain insights into their potential role in anti-tumor immune response. Then, we isolated CD103+ tumor-resident T cells from freshly resected 3LL tumor specimens and analyzed the phenotype of these cells by multi-parameter flow cytometry. Interestingly, on average 30% of the CD103+ tumor-resident T cells were 4-1BB positive ($P < 0.05$). Then, we also found CD103+CD8+ T cells expressed higher level of PD-1 than their CD103− counterparts ($P < 0.05$). Contrary to PD-1 and 4-1BB, CD103+ and CD103−CD8+ T cells possessed the comparable level of ICOS and Ki-67 ($P > 0.05$, Figure 4).

**4-1BB Signaling Synergizes With PD-L1 Blockade to Augment Cytolytic Capacity of Resident T Cells in vitro**

After that, we compared the cytolytic capacity of CD103+ and CD103−CD8+ T cells in vitro. As shown in Figure 5, CD103+CD8+ T cells possessed higher cytolytic capacity than their CD103− counterparts. When anti-PD-L1 blocking mAb or anti-4-1BB agonistic mAb was given alone, there was a weak enhanced cytolytic capacity of CD103+CD8+ T cells.
Strikingly, anti-4-1BB mAb combined with anti-PD-L1 mAb could significantly enhance the capacity of CD103+CD8+ T cells to lyse target cells \((P < 0.05)\), whereas, cytolytic capacity of CD103−CD8+ T cell was little affected by this treatment. Again, the data clearly highlighted the anti-tumor potential of CD103+CD8+ T cells in the context of combinatorial therapy consisting of 4-1BB agonism and PD-L1 blockade.

**CD103−CD8+ T Cells Convert Into CD103+CD8+ T Cells in vivo**

Next, we sought to determine whether CD103+CD8+ T cells could reciprocally convert into CD103−CD8+ T cells in vivo. We sorted CD103+ and CD103−CD8+ T cells from untreated tumor-bearing mice and labeled them with CFSE, then transferred these cells into mice (Figure S3).

On day 3 post-transfer, it was found that the transferred CFSE+CD103−CD8+ T cells could differentiate into CD103+ T cells, whereas the transferred CD103+CD8+ T cells failed to differentiate into CD103−T cells (Figure 6). These results suggested that CD103−CD8+ T cells may serve as progenitors that further differentiate into CD103+ progeny cells. The newly differentiated cells might possess stronger immune function than the cells that were negative for CD103 expression in tumor microenvironment.

**Determination of CD103+ Tumor-Resident T Cells in Human Lung Cancer**

CTLs must infiltrate the tumor tissue and interact with the cancer cells to initiate immune response. As an interventional pulmonology, neoplasmsuperficial biopsy...
and intratumoral EBUS-TBNA allowed for a valuable assessment of peripheral and central immunological features in the tumor microenvironment, respectively. Since a concern with EBUS-TBNA is its potential contamination with blood, we also evaluated the circulating T cells to predict how well EUBS-TBNA samples represented the composition of tumor tissue.

To address this, CD103+CD8+ T cells from neoplasm-superficial biopsy and intratumoral EBUS-TBNA in patients with lung cancer were analyzed using flow cytometry. We also investigated the frequency of CD103+CD8+ T cell in peripheral blood and malignant pleural effusion. As shown in Figure 7, the ratio of CD103+CD8+ T cells to CD8+ T cells from neoplasm-superficial samples (59.64 ± 6.02%) was obviously more than that from EBUS-TBNA samples (21.24 ± 5.68%, P < 0.01), peripheral blood (5.83 ± 0.95%, P < 0.01), and malignant pleural effusion (1.50 ± 0.45%, P < 0.01), which indicated more CD8+ T cells differentiated into CD103+CD8+ T cells in
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FIGURE 4 | The expression of 4-1BB and PD-1 on CD103−CD8+ T cells was higher than that on their CD103−counterparts. Contrary to PD-1 and 4-1BB, CD103+CD8+, and CD103−CD8+ T cells possessed comparable levels of ICOS and Ki-67. Representative flow cytometry plots demonstrated 4-1BB, PD-1, ICOS, and Ki-67 expression on CD103+ and CD103−CD8+ T cells subsets. Results were mean ± SEM of independent experiments. *P < 0.05.

DISCUSSION

The dysfunction of T cells mediated by the tumor microenvironment is a defining feature of many cancers (23). In a melanoma clinic trial, a high number of infiltrated T cells was a biomarker for clinic responses to anti-PD-1 antibody therapy (24). An alternative to the ICB approach for the reactivation of anti-tumor immune responses is to deliver costimulatory signals of T cells (6).

As a lymphocyte costimulatory receptor, 4-1BB possessed the ability to promote survival, expansion, and enhance
Cytolytic capacity of CD103+ and CD103−CD8+ T cells in vitro. 3LL cells were incubated for 15 min at 37°C with 5 μM CFSE (CFSEHi 3LL), whereas ID8 reference cells were labeled with 0.5 μM CFSE (CFSELo ID8). Where indicated, CD103+ and CD103−CD8+ T cells were added at the ratio of 10:1 to CFSEHi 3LL and cultured with rat IgG isotype, anti-4-1BB mAb, anti-PD-L1 mAb, or the combination of anti-4-1BB mAb and anti-PD-L1 mAb. The numbers within dot plots in (A,B,D) indicate RF (%) of CFSEHi 3LL and CFSELo ID8 cells. Killing of 3LL cells (%) in (C,E,F) was calculated as described in section Materials and Methods. Representative results from one of two performed experiments were shown. *P < 0.05.

In this work, we demonstrated that combining anti-PD-L1 mAb with anti-4-1BB mAb treatment resulted in a significant tumor abrogation in 3LL model and a decrease of lung MT in 4T1.2 model, whereas 4-1BB agonism or PD-L1 blockade alone had none or only modest effects. Thus, analysis of fresh TIL would not only contribute to investigating the tumor-specific CTL function, but also provide insights into the special CD8+ T cell subsets in a tumor microenvironment.

Resident T cells are a recently identified subset of T cells specialized within tissues. In a tumor microenvironment, CD103 could interact with E-cadherin on the cancer cells, and further promote CCR5 recruitment at the immune synapse formed.

effector function of activated T cells, as well as further generate immunologic memory (25, 26). It is plausible that using 4-1BB mAb could potentially augment anti-tumor immunity. For instance, synergistic effects on tumor control for 4-1BB agonist with the PD-1 or CTLA-4 blockade in the human and animal setting were found (27, 28). Combination of 4-1BB agonist and PD-1 antagonist could promote anti-tumor effector/memory response of CD8 T cells in a poorly immunogenic B16 model (29) and ovarian cancer model (30). Anti-4-1BB mAb treatment also improved the therapeutic response to CTLA-4 mAb in colon cancer models (31).
between T cells and cancer cells (32). A higher accumulation of resident T cells in tumors correlates with better prognosis in cancer patients, and the T cells population are necessary for producing optimal immune control of solid tumors (12–18). However, the fundamental characteristics underlying resident T cells in the tumor microenvironment still remain, and the
functional involvement of resident T cells in the context of ICB are poorly investigated.

Here, it was observed that dual anti-PD-L1/anti-4-1BB immunotherapy not only generated a potent CD8+ T cell response, but also promoted intratumoral resident T cell (CD103+CD8+ T cells) infiltration. These results implied that 4-1BB agonism could cooperate with PD-L1 blockade to regulate immune response against resident T cells in the tumor. Furthermore, by immunofluorescent analysis, it was indicated that resident T cells educated by dual treatment effectively penetrated toward the tumor center. We also analyzed the distribution of resident T cells in human lung cancer tissue. Neoplasm-superficial biopsy and intratumoral EBUS-TBNA proved a valuable method to assess the immunological features of the peripheral and central tumor microenvironment respectively. Interestingly, resident T cells were abundantly distributed in periphery of human lung cancer tissue. Taken together, human data were consistent with mice, which showed the probable influx of resident T cells from the periphery to the tumor center. As reported previously, the intra-epithelial location of resident T cells was also found in colorectal (13), bladder (15), and gut (33) cancers. As CD103 contributed to T cell recruitment within epithelial regions, it was believed that CD103 delineated a higher activated T cell subset that could kill more cancer cells than their CD103−counterparts. Generally, penetration of resident T cells toward intratumoral compartment was engaged to anti-tumor immune response.

In addition to the analysis of number of infiltrated CD103+CD8+ T cells in TME, it was also shown that CD103+CD8+ T cells were not only associated with high expression of 4-1BB, but more importantly, with T cell exhaustion marker PD-1. We also noticed that a few of CD103+CD8+ and CD103−CD8+ T cells co-expressed PD-1 and 4-1BB (Figure S4). Based on the profile of 4-1BB and PD-1 on the CD103+CD8+ T cells, it was believed that PD-1 blockade combined with 4-1BB agonism provided strong rescue of exhausted CD8+ T cell. It was suggested that the CD103+CD8+ T cells may be the candidate for adjuvant therapy with antibodies targeting 4-1BB or PD-1/1/PD-1. As tumor-reactive T cells could be defined by CD103, it may represent a potential biomarker in the context of ICB therapy.

As supported, anti-4-1BB mAb combined with anti-PD-L1 mAb significantly increased the capacity of CD8+CD103+ T cells to kill tumor cells rather than CD8+CD103− T cells, which signified that PD-1 and 4-1BB can deliver selective costimulatory signals to CD103+CD8+ T cells. Based on findings above, we hypothesized that 4-1BB engagement would target resident T cells, while anti-PD-L1 mAb would restore the function of anergized resident T cells. Additionally, such an approach was limited by the little data about fresh TILs from human setting and knowledge of tumor antigen specificity of resident T cells. In addition to PD-1 and 4-1BB, ICOS and Ki-67 was further compared between CD103+ and CD103−CD8+ T cells. Contrary to PD-1 and 4-1BB, CD103+ and CD103−CD8+ T cells possessed the comparable level of ICOS and Ki-67. Therefore, it was required to make deeper characterizations of the CD103+/−CD8+ T cells beyond immune molecules.

In human setting, PD-1 and 4-1BB was compared between CD103+ and CD103−CD8+ T cells. Although CD103+CD8+ T cells expressed a higher level of PD-1 than CD103−CD8+ T cells, they both expressed low level of 4-1BB (Figure S5). Loss the 4-1BB expression on CD8+ T cells would influence the efficacy of immunotherapy.

In NSCLC, CD103+CD8+ T cells has been regarded as tissue-resident memory T cells (14). In other cancers, CD103+CD8+ T cells were of heterogeneous memory phenotypes (34, 35). In present study, although the immunomodulatory effects of therapy suggested a potential for PD-L1 blockade synergized with 4-1BB agonism, this has yet to be proven in induction of anti-tumor memory immune response.

With regards to phenotype of resident T cells, other studies have suggested that up-regulation of CD103 is mainly the result of TCR signaling upon contact with cancer cells (36). It has also been well-described that CD103 expression could be induced by TGFβR1 activation (37). Consequently, a TGF-β-enriched tumor microenvironment is required for the optimal expression of CD103 (38). Here, using amCFSE-label assay, we determined the phenotypical conversion between CD103+ and CD103− T cells in vivo. It was suggested that transferred CD103−CD8+ T cells differentiate into CD103+CD8+ T cells, whereas the transferred CD103+CD8+ T cells fail to differentiate into CD103−CD8+ T cells. These data indicated that CD103−CD8+ T cells may exert as the progenitors that further differentiate into CD103+ progeny. We further analyzed the level of TGF-β in TME of 3LL model by IHC. In line with others, TGF-β was rich in tumor microenvironment, and monotherapy or combinational therapy could not inhibit the level of TGF-β in tumor tissue (Figure S6). It was suggested that the presence of CD103+CD8+ T cells is derived not only from intrinsic stimulatory conditions favoring the induction of CD103+ on T cells, but also partially due to the concurrent PD-1 blockade and 4-1BB agonism.

Taken together, our findings provided a preclinical strategy to apply 4-1BB agonist combined with PD-L1 blockade to improve the efficacy of cancer immunotherapy. Furthermore, resident T cells was found to exert an important role in the anti-tumor immune response. Hopefully, manipulation of the T cells subset would be explored to improve current cancer immunotherapy approaches (39–41).
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