Dynamics of the solar chromosphere

V. High-frequency modulation in ultraviolet image sequences from TRACE
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Abstract. We search for signatures of high-frequency oscillations in the upper solar photosphere and low chromosphere in the context of acoustic heating of outer stellar atmospheres. We use ultraviolet image sequences of a quiet center-disk area from the Transition Region and Coronal Explorer (TRACE) mission which were taken with strict cadence regularity. The latter permits more reliable high-frequency diagnosis than in earlier work. Spatial Fourier power maps, spatially averaged coherence and phase-difference spectra, and spatio-temporal \((k, f)\) decompositions all contain high-frequency features that at first sight seem of considerable intrinsic interest but actually are more likely to represent artifacts of different nature. Spatially averaged phase difference measurement provides the most sensitive diagnostic and indicates the presence of acoustic modulation up to \(f \approx 20\) mHz (periods down to 50 s) in internetwork areas.
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1. Introduction

In this paper we continue studies of solar atmosphere oscillations based on analyzing the temporal brightness modulation in image sequences taken with the Transition Region and Coronal Explorer (TRACE) in ultraviolet passbands which sample the upper solar photosphere and low solar chromosphere. We again exploit the absence of seeing in TRACE data (apart from spaceweather particle hits) to provide extensive Fourier diagnostics for quiet-sun network and internetwork areas with excellent sampling statistics.

In Krijger et al. (2001, henceforth Paper I), these techniques were used in a comprehensive overview of quiet-sun brightness oscillation properties derived from TRACE image sequences in its three ultraviolet passbands centered at \(\lambda = 1700, 1600,\) and 1550 Å. In standard models of the solar atmosphere such as FALC of Fontenla et al. (1993) these passbands sample layers just below, at, and just above the temperature minimum, respectively. The subsequent paper by Rutten & Krijger (2003) compared low-frequency ultraviolet brightness modulation at these wavelengths to the underlying white-light patterns in quiet-sun areas. Muglach (2003) analyzed TRACE ultraviolet brightness modulation maps containing an active region.

In this paper we return to the high-frequency aspects of ultraviolet brightness modulation. The data used in Paper I suffered from irregular timing intervals between successive images, severely reducing the high-frequency information content. The sequences used here have strict sampling regularity and are therefore better suited to search for high-frequency oscillation signatures. We also employ a much improved alignment method.

The obvious motivation for such searches is given by the long quest for acoustic heating of outer stellar atmospheres started by Biermann (1948) and Schwarzschild (1948). It is concisely summarized by Wunnenberg et al. (2002), to whom we refer for further background. Wunnenberg et al. (2002) employed image sequences from the Göttingen Fabry-Perot spectrometer at the German Vacuum Tower Telescope on Tenerife, scanning the non-magnetic Fe I 5434 Å line which samples layers around \(h = 500\) km above the white-light surface. They inferred the presence of sufficient power with 50–100 s periodicity (10–20 mHz in frequency) to compensate the radiative losses of the chromosphere, with apparent spatial power concentration above intergranular lanes. In this analysis we use TRACE data to search for corroborative evidence in ultraviolet brightness modulation from the same layers.

2. Observations and data reduction

The TRACE mission is described by Handy et al. (1999). We use ultraviolet image sequences downloaded from the TRACE
They were recorded on June 1, 2003 at the request of M. Carlsson (Oslo), who suggested strict cadence regularity and low data compression in order to minimize high-frequency artifacts, in particular those arising from timing irregularities as analyzed in Sect. 5 of Paper I. TRACE was programmed to obtain such image sequences in its 1600-Å and 1700-Å ultraviolet passbands from 8:14 to 18:34 UT. We selected uninterrupted subsequences of 1120 images starting at 11:23:12 UT and ending at 15:25:56 UT. They have strictly regular cadence at 13 s sampling interval in both passbands. The corresponding Nyquist frequency is \( f_N = 38.46 \text{ mHz} \); the frequency resolution is \( \Delta f = 34.34 \mu\text{Hz} \). The images sample a quiet area of 256” × 192” centered at \( X = -2.78” , Y = 13.46” \) near the center of the solar disk, corresponding to a field of view of 512 × 384 square 0.5” pixels. The 1600-Å and 1700-Å images were alternately exposed for 1.724 and 4.872 s, respectively. The mid-exposure delay between the closest pairs of 1600-Å and 1700-Å images is 5.574 s.

The image sequences were processed with the SolarSoft routine \texttt{trace prep} described in the TRACE Analysis Guide\(^2\). It corrects missing pixels (of which there were none in these data), replaces saturated pixels with values above 4095, subtracts the dark field, and corrects for the flat field. The dark and flat fields were recorded on November 8, 2001 and March 11, 2003, respectively. The image brightness was normalized by the exposure time.

In the course of this analysis it became clear that precise image alignment, including corrections for differential solar rotation and for spacecraft pointing jitter, is crucial to Fourier phase-difference analysis at high frequencies, and that we should considerably improve on the method used in Paper I. In that paper, co-aligned subfields of the 1700-Å sequences were cross-aligned to the corresponding subfields in the 1600-Å sequences. This procedure copies alignment errors from one sequence to the other and so introduces a high-frequency phase-difference signal at the retardation set by the timing offset between the exposures at the two wavelengths. It emerges in Fig. 18 of Paper I as a high-frequency drift of the spatially averaged phase-difference curves towards the average offset caused by non-simultaneous sampling shown in the center panel of Fig. 28 of Paper I. The slower cadence of the October 14, 1998 data also analyzed in Paper I caused correspondingly larger offset (Fig. 26).

In the present analysis such erroneous cross-alignment signals are reduced by significantly improving the alignment procedure. In order to minimize the use of interpolation, we measured point displacements per image through an elaborate procedure detailed below and then used these displacements to resample the original images directly onto an aligned grid.

We began by shifting every row of each image in solar \( X \) to correct solar rotation including its differential shear, using the expression of Howard et al. (1990). We then aligned each image of 40-image 1600-Å sub-sequences to the last one of the previous set, comparably to the procedure in Paper I. Each 1700-Å image was subsequently cross-aligned to the corresponding coarsely aligned 1600-Å image taken 5.574 s before. We then applied spatial smoothing through 5 × 5 pixel boxcar averaging to every image, and, merging the two sequences, applied temporal smoothing per pixel by an eighteen-image boxcar average. Alignment of each individual image of the de-rotated sequences to this smoothed average yields displacement vectors per image. SolarSoft routine \texttt{tr_get_displ} was employed in all alignment computations.

Figure 1 shows the resulting displacement corrections for the 1600-Å sequence. These are the frame-by-frame residuals after the initial correction for differential rotation. They primarily describe pointing errors. Both \( X \) and \( Y \) components show oscillatory behavior with about 1.5-pixel amplitude and approximately 100-min periodicity caused by the spacecraft’s orbital motion. The enlargements at right show ragged excursions with quarter-pixel amplitudes on short timescales which reflect pointing jitter. Solar rotation causes a much larger additional drift in the horizontal direction. The de-rotation correction ranges from \( ∼73.33 \) pixels at the equator to \( ∼72.83 \) pixels

\(^1\) http://vestige.lmsal.com/TRACE
\(^2\) http://moat.nascom.nasa.gov/~bentley/guides/tag
at the bottom of the field of view. Our use of whole-field alignment automatically corrects for any departures from the initially applied rotation law except for those in differential shear. The error estimates of Howard et al. (1990) suggest that the remaining shear errors are within 0.004 pixel over our range in solar Y and time.

In the final step of the alignment procedure, the sophisticated algorithm described by DeForest (2004) is used to re-sample the original images onto a 432 \times 384 pixel grid corrected for differential solar rotation, for spacecraft orbital motion and jitter, and for the re-mapping from planar to spherical coordinates. The area of incomplete sampling due to solar rotation is discarded, as is a vertical strip at the left-hand edge of the field of view which erroneously appears bright in one 1700-Å image. The resulting images consist of 432 \times 384 Mm-square pixels.

For part of our analysis, i.e., the spatially resolved Fourier spectra presented in Fig. 7 in Sect. 3.2, we followed the procedure of Paper I to divide the field of view into internetwork, intermediate, and network areas through classification of the time-averaged 1600-Å brightness per pixel. Temporal averaging increases the contrast between the rapidly changing internetwork brightness and the more stable network emission. The 1600-Å sequence was split into three parts of approximately 80-min duration. Figure 2 displays the brightness distribution after averaging over one 80-min part. It has a Gaussian peak and an extended high-brightness tail. A pixel is classified as internetwork if in all three 80-min averages its brightness remains below the left-hand dotted line, which is chosen near the three peaks. Pixels with brightness above the right-hand dotted cutoff in all three averages are classified as network. Pixels that fall between the two lines in all three averages are classified as intermediate. Pixels that change category between averages are discarded. This category amounts to 56% of all pixels due to the long sequence duration. It is large to avoid any mixing of internetwork, intermediate, and network behavior.

Particle hits were not corrected by interpolation but were removed on the basis of their high-frequency signature for the analysis in Sect. 3.2. Their single-image appearance produces anomalously strong high-frequency power. We applied a spatial mask to remove all pixels as well as their immediate neighbors that show Fourier power in excess of three times the average in the highest 50 frequency bins (36.7–38.5 mHz).

Figure 3 presents a sample 1600-Å image, one of the three 80-min averages, and the three masks.

3. Analysis and results

Fourier power, coherence and cross-power spectra were computed per pixel in the 1600-Å and 1700-Å image sequences over their full 243-min duration following the recipes in Sect. 3 of Paper I. We follow Paper I also in the presentation of the resulting power maps, spatially-averaged temporal Fourier spectra, and two-dimensional (ks, f) diagrams. Here, the emphasis is on high-frequency behavior and its significance.

3.1. Spatially resolved Fourier power maps

As in Paper I we distinguish three different normalization choices in displaying Fourier power per pixel as spatially resolved maps, namely plotting the non-normalized oscillatory energy itself (“power”),

\[ P_E(x,y,f) = |I(x,y,f)|^2, \]

the fractional modulation signal obtained by dividing the oscillatory energy by the zero-frequency power (“modulation”),

\[ P_f(x,y,f) = \frac{|I(x,y,f)|^2}{|I(x,y,0)|^2}, \]

and “Leahy” normalization obtained by dividing the energy by the zero-frequency amplitude,

\[ P_L(x,y,f) = \frac{|I(x,y,f)|^2}{|I(x,y,0)|}, \]

where x and y are spatial coordinates, f is the temporal frequency, and I(x,y,f) denotes the Fourier transform of the intensity measured by TRACE at location (x,y) at frequency f.
Fig. 4. Comparison of the randomization test to significance estimation following Groth (1975). Upper panel: network pixel. Lower panel: internetwork pixel. The ragged curves are the temporal Fourier power at 1600 Å using TRACE data units divided by the exposure time, on logarithmic scales. In each panel, the top row of tick marks identifies all significant peaks according to the randomization test. The second and third rows identify significant peaks at the 99.9999% and 95% significance levels using Groth’s test assuming white noise and absence of signal above $f = 24$ mHz. The dotted lines show the corresponding cutoff levels.

Leahy normalization is used in the literature to estimate power-peak significance (e.g., Leahy et al. 1983; Doyle et al. 1999) but was not used in Paper I.

We here add 95% significance estimation following Groth (1975) and first compare this to Fisher’s method of randomization described by, e.g., Bradley (1968) and Linnell Nemec & Nemec (1985) and applied to solar data by, e.g., O’Shea et al. (2001) and Muglach (2003). Its assumption is that there is no signal at any frequency, so that the temporal order in which the data were taken becomes irrelevant. Comparison of the actual power spectrum to the spectra of temporal permutations of the data sequence then yields a significance estimate. The test is used iteratively, progressively deleting significant peaks until no new peaks are found. It puts no constraint on the noise power distribution at any given frequency, but the assumption that all samples are temporally uncorrelated implies frequency-independent white noise. For large data sets it becomes impractical to repeatedly compute all possible permutations. Actual tests are therefore usually limited to a few hundred permutations, but even then remain computationally expensive.

The much simpler significance estimation of Groth (1975) assumes that at any frequency the real and imaginary parts of the Fourier power have independent normal distributions. It requires explicit specification of the noise power as a function of frequency, i.e., the noise is not assumed to be white.

We compare the randomization test with Groth’s test in Fig. 4 for an internetwork and a network pixel, adopting 95% confidence levels in both tests. In the randomization test, a power peak that is above the maximum power of the randomized data in more than 95% of 500 permutations is considered statistically significant. Such peaks are subsequently removed in the iterative re-application of this procedure until no more significant peaks are found. For Groth’s test we decided from visual inspection to assume that the power spectra display white noise above $f = 24$ mHz. The corresponding 95% significance cutoff is 2.996 times higher than this noise level.

The top and bottom rows of tick marks in Fig. 4 specify the positions of the peaks that are estimated to be significant by the two methods. It is obvious that the randomization test is far more rigorous than Groth’s test, as pointed out by Muglach (2003). The middle rows of ticks result when the upper dotted line is used as Groth-test cutoff level, at fourteen times the noise level corresponding to 99.9999% confidence. It closely matches the peak-finding by the randomization test. Thus, for these data a stringent Groth test may replace the randomization test at much smaller computational cost. This is likely to hold for other data with white noise.

The network pixel in the upper panel of Fig. 4 has larger low-frequency signal than the internetwork pixel in the lower panel, a different power hump around 5-min periodicity, and higher high-frequency noise but rather similar peak survival above the lenient 95% Groth cutoff estimate.

Figure 5 expands such comparison of internetwork versus network by displaying spatial power maps for the small but illustrative subfield specified by the rectangle in Fig. 3 for both the 1600-Å and 1700-Å passbands. The subfield is shown in different temporal frequency bands, with the three different normalizations (power, Leahy, and modulation, respectively), and finally also without normalization but with all pixels having power below the 95% Groth cutoff made black.

The first column shows low-frequency power. It shows the stable nature of bright network. The power-normalized modulation maps show noisy behavior from the division because the frequency band is close to zero Hz.

The second-column frequency range of 2.6–3.6 mHz corresponds to periodicities around 5 min. The network appears power-bright in the unnormalized maps, about equal to the internetwork in the amplitude-normalized Leahy maps, and power-dark in the modulation maps. Thus, the choice of normalization affects the apparent relative dominance of network and internetwork oscillations, as discussed extensively in Paper I. Note that in all representations a power-dark moat appears around the network.

The 5–7 mHz maps describe the chromospheric three-minute oscillation which pervades internetwork areas (e.g., Rutten 1995). They indeed show the network power-dark in all representations. There are irregular power-bright “aureole” patches near network (cf. Paper I).

The two high-frequency columns on which we concentrate here illustrate the care that must be taken in interpreting such power maps. In the rightmost column (28–32 mHz) the
Fig. 5. Spatially resolved power maps using different methods of normalization for the subfield shown in Fig. 3. The grayscale displays the logarithm of the temporal Fourier power, clipped to improve contrast. **Columns**: different frequency bands as specified at the top. **Rows**: 1600-Å and 1700-Å passbands, with different power normalization as specified in the first column.

network stands out very brightly in the unnormalized power maps, inviting a claim that the magnetic elements making up the network display high-frequency wave heating. On the other hand, the network appears power-dark in the modulation maps, inviting a claim that high-frequency oscillations are suppressed in magnetic elements. However, the close spatial correspondence of both these bright and dark features with the bright network in the unnormalized maps in the first column suggests strongly that they are simply due to the larger overall network brightness. A similar power-contrast flip is seen in the 12–16 mHz maps for the 1700-Å passband, but the unnormalized 1600-Å map for these frequencies appears rather featureless. The latter copies directly into the Groth map, but with considerable pixel deletion wherever the power averaged over the frequency range drops below the cutoff. In the rightmost column the Groth maps accept only a minor fraction of the pixels as significant, both for the network and the internetwork. A more strict criterion, and certainly the 95% iterative randomization test, would reject all. The patterns seen in the other 28–32 mHz maps thus are most likely artifacts caused by sources of high-frequency errors with some sensitivity to the low-frequency power. Note that Leahy normalization diminishes the apparent structure for 1700 Å but turns it power-dark at 1600 Å.
Fig. 7. Temporal Fourier spectra, spatially averaged over internetwork (left), intermediate (middle), and network (right), plotted against frequency up to the Nyquist limit with the corresponding periodicities shown along the top. The format corresponds to Figs. 18–19 of Paper I, adding dotted lines indicating the sampling time delays and omitting 1σ rms estimates for power and coherency to avoid clutter. Upper panels: phase-difference spectra. Lower panels: coherence (upper curves) and power spectra (solid for 1600 Å, dashed for 1700 Å). The random-noise estimate for the coherence is $C = 0.33$. The power spectra are on linear scales and are all scaled by the same factor.

The bright specks in the 28–32 mHz maps are due to particle hits. They produce high-frequency signal through their instantaneous appearance. The pattern of horizontal stripes results from TRACE’s JPEG data compression (K. Muglach, private communication). It appears as a grid pattern with 8-pixel mesh size in comparable power maps computed from the original non-aligned image sequences. The compensation for solar rotation smears out the vertical grid components, leaving only the horizontal ones.

3.2. Spatially averaged Fourier power, phase difference and coherence

We now turn to temporal Fourier analysis with spatial averaging over the different pixel categories defined by the third panel of Fig. 3. The averaging is performed on the Fourier measurements per pixel. It reduces the noise in these measurements and therefore improves the detection of relatively small modulation signals. Following Paper I, the power and coherence are averaged directly over all relevant pixels. In the present analysis we compute coherence per pixel using frequency smoothing over 9 bins rather than 5.

The phase differences are again averaged with cross-power weighting as introduced by Lites & Chipman (1979), i.e., the spatial average over the phase differences of all pixels transmitted by the mask per frequency bin is defined as the angle of the vector sum of the cross-powers of all contributing pixels with reference to the real axis. The advantage of such vector averaging is that it makes signals stand out even in the presence of much larger noise. For pure noise the vector mean does not go to zero or some other definite value but fluctuates randomly over the full $-180$ to $+180$ degree range between adjacent frequency bins. A small signal, much smaller than the noise, may therefore emerge as a systematic pattern across multiple bins.

This is illustrated by Fig. 6 which displays simulation results for vector-averaged cross-power distributions of pure noise (first panel) and of pure noise with a much smaller superimposed signal with fixed phase difference (second panel). In the latter case, the vector addition over 30 000 pixels with small but systematic signal shifts the much wider scattercloud to a location well separated from the origin, making the phase-difference distribution in the third panel strongly peaked.

Figure 7 presents results from the new TRACE sequences in the form of power, coherence, and phase-difference spectra with spatial averaging separated between the internetwork, intermediate, and network areas. The format is similar to Figs. 18–22 of Paper I but adds two dotted lines in the phase-difference panels. These are the phase shifts associated with the temporal sampling delay due to the non-simultaneous exposures in the two passbands. We have aligned the two sequences to match the closest pair combinations, corresponding to the upper dotted lines. All of our phase-difference evaluations are corrected for this sampling offset, which means that signals that are intrinsically in phase in the two passbands should indeed end up along the horizontal $\Delta \phi = 0$ axis. Measurements that end up on a dotted line imply modulation with phase...
delay exactly matching the corresponding sampling delay. The
grayscaled scattercloud represents individual pixels. In the case
of pure noise the 1σ rms estimates cover 68% of the full figure
height around a randomly fluctuating mean.

The results in Fig. 7 are similar to those in Fig. 18 of
Paper I except for the high-frequency phase differences of
interest here. The present results are more reliable thanks to
the regular sampling cadences, lower data compression, and better
image alignment.

The internetwork phase differences reach a wide maximum
at \( f \approx 7 \) mHz and then remain well defined at positive
values up to the Nyquist frequency, but with increasing
noise above \( 20 \) mHz. There is no drop to negative values as
in Paper I, which we now attribute to the cross-alignment used
there as discussed in Sect. 2 above. However, the present
results also show a drift to the phase difference associated with
the timing delay at high frequencies. The internetwork power
spectra show acoustic humps around \( 4 \) min and become negligible
above \( 12 \) mHz. The coherence also peaks around \( 4 \) mHz
and drops to the 9-bin noise level near \( 20 \) mHz.

The network phase differences in the third column of Fig. 7
are much noisier due to the far smaller number of pixels.
Nevertheless, they show a narrow peak of increased phase
difference and reduced coherence around three-minute periodicity
which is not present in Fig. 18 of Paper I and which we deem
significant. At high frequencies they become more erratic and
shift to the timing correction line, which points to a systematic
error.

The intermediate-class pixels in the center column of Fig. 7
produce primarily internetwork-like behavior.

### 3.3. Two-dimensional Fourier power and phase
difference

Figure 8 presents two-dimensional Fourier power and phase
difference spectra in the form of \((k_h, f)\) diagrams. They mix
the network, internetwork and intermediate areas. Particle hits
were not removed because their contribution to the noise is
small except at high spatial and temporal frequencies where
the diagrams are noisy anyhow.

The power and phase differences are averaged over rings of
constant \( k_h \), with \( k_h^2 = k_x^2 + k_y^2 \), assuming absence of pre-
ferred horizontal propagation directions. The number of samples
per ring increases with \( k_h \) up to the Nyquist frequency
per axis \( k_{x,Ny} = k_{y,Ny} = 9.0 \) Mm\(^{-1}\). Beyond this value, \( k_h \)
can still be computed but with fewer samples and increasing
loss of isotropy in each successive bin, up to \( k_h = \sqrt{2} k_{x,Ny} =
12.8 \) Mm\(^{-1}\) which samples only oblique propagation.

The left-hand panel of Fig. 8 shows the \((k_h, f)\) diagram for
1600-Å power. The acoustic \( p\)-mode ridges and pseudo-ridges
above the Lamb line were extensively discussed in Paper I.
There is no particular structure evident in the high-frequency
regime of interest here. At low frequencies there is a ridge of
enhanced power at high spatial wavenumbers, approximately
at \( f = (1/2\pi) 2 \) km s\(^{-1}\) which is caused by the
compensation for solar rotation. Features that are fixed to the
CCD camera, such as the results of an imperfect flat field, or
“hot” pixels, move apparently with this speed against the di-
rection of solar rotation and produce power.

The right-hand panel of Fig. 8 displays the corresponding
\((k_h, f)\) diagram for phase difference between the 1700-Å and
1600-Å sequences. The acoustic ridges stand out through larger
phase difference, as discussed in Paper I. The wedge of nega-
tive phase difference at low frequencies and wave numbers
was attributed to atmospheric gravity waves by Rutten & Krijger
(2003). The effects of solar rotation are again visible as a ridge
of slightly increased phase difference. We attribute this increase
to the systematic (−0.285, 0.273)-pixel image offset between
the two passbands before alignment, which causes apparently
traveling features fixed to the CCD to appear at a given solar
location with some time delay in the two passbands.
At the highest temporal frequencies of interest here, noise is easily identified as pepper-and-salt patterning where the phase differences jump widely from one bin to the next. A large patch of smooth variation extends up to about 20 mHz in frequency and 4 Mm$^{-1}$ in wavenumber. This patch contributes most to the definite phase behavior in Fig. 7, which is therefore set by these spatial scales.

The conspicuous white blob of large positive phase difference located at 0.75 Mm wavelength and 3-min periodicity, with an extended tail upward, is enigmatic. The left-hand diagram suggests enhanced power at this location. It seems likely that the peak in the network panel of Fig. 7 corresponds to this blob, and that therefore the source should be sought in the network. It is very tempting to attribute it to solar three-minute waves with small horizontal extent in magnetic elements. Its exceedingly large value (up to 110-degree difference at its center), the clear reduction in coherence, and the lack of such a blob in comparable phase-difference diagrams sampling the Ca II H line core and inner wing from observations made with the Dutch Open Telescope (unpublished analysis analogous to Fig. 7 of Rutten et al. 2004), would then suggest phenomena in the transition region that leave a signature in these data through the Ca II H slit spectrometry of Lites et al. (1993) and measured from Ca II H wing intensities and Fe I blend Doppler shifts formed at lower and similar heights as the ultraviolet continua sampled by TRACE. The comparable signature in $I$ and $V$ diagnostics with negative $V-I$ lag shown there is in agreement with acoustic waves. The steep $V-V$ signature of upward propagation in internetwork areas presents in the lower-left panel of Fig. 21 of Paper I seems significant also.

In summary, the coherence spectra in Fig. 7, the close agreement of the phase differences in that figure with those from Ca II H spectrometry in Paper I, and the smoothness of the corresponding gray area in Fig. 8, all taken together lead us to believe that the phase-difference signals derived from these new TRACE sequences have a solar origin up to 20 mHz at least in the internetwork, and are to be attributed to acoustic waves.

This conclusion supports the detection of high-frequency acoustic waves by Wunnenberg et al. (2002) as significant Doppler-shift power in the 10–20 mHz frequency band from differential Fe I measurements addressing similar atmospheric heights. The drop of power with frequency in our Figs. 4, 7, and 8 suggests that their detection is dominated by the lower frequencies in this band. Our results indicate wave presence also at the higher frequencies.

The ultraviolet continua used here suffer from strong scattering while the TRACE filter bandwidths are wide and overlap considerably. Numerical simulations as those presently under way at Oslo may explain how and why the phase differences in Figs. 7 and 8 level out at positive values. In our opinion, comparison with detailed numerical simulations is also required to substantiate any claim that acoustic waves in the 10–20 mHz regime compensate fully for the radiative losses of the chromosphere.

5. Conclusion

New ultraviolet image sequences from TRACE give evidence of brightness modulation up to 20 mHz in quiet-sun
internetwork. We interpret this signal as a signature of acoustic waves. It is similarly present in Ca II H and Fe I I–I and V–V phase-difference spectra in Fig. 20 of Paper I and it supports the detection of acoustic wave power in the 10–20 mHz frequency band from Fe I Doppler-shift measurements by Wunnenberg et al. (2002). The evidence for modulation at higher frequencies remains inconclusive.

TRACE-like ultraviolet imaging will be achieved with the Atmospheric Imaging Assembly on NASA’s Solar Dynamics Observatory, but it is not yet clear whether its hardware and operation will permit better high-frequency modulation measurement than with TRACE. New ground-based telescope technology, in particular large aperture combined with adaptive optics, will provide accurate Doppler shifts from integral field spectroscopy at high cadence and low noise of the same layers using appropriate spectral lines in the optical. Numerical simulations may contribute quantification of the corresponding energy budgets.
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