JAZ7 negatively regulates dark-induced leaf senescence in Arabidopsis
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Abstract

JASMONATE ZIM-domain (JAZ) proteins play important roles in plant defence and growth by regulating jasmonate signalling. Through data mining, we discovered that the JAZ7 gene was up-regulated in darkness. In the dark, the jaz7 mutant displayed more severe leaf yellowing, quicker chlorophyll degradation, and higher hydrogen peroxide accumulation compared with wild-type (WT) plants. The mutant phenotype of dark-induced leaf senescence could be rescued in the JAZ7-complemented and -overexpression lines. Moreover, the double mutants of jaz7 myc2 and jaz7 coi1 exhibited delayed leaf senescence. We further employed GeneChip analysis to study the molecular mechanism. Some key genes downregulated in the triple mutant myc2 myc3 myc4 were up-regulated in the jaz7 mutant under darkness. The Gene Ontology terms ‘leaf senescence’ and ‘cell death’ were significantly enriched in the differentially expressed genes. Combining the genetic and transcriptomic analyses together, we proposed a model whereby darkness can induce JAZ7, which might further block MYC2 to suppress dark-induced leaf senescence. In darkness, the mutation of JAZ7 might partially liberate MYC2/MYC3/MYC4 from suppression, leading the MYC proteins to bind to the G-box/G-box-like motifs in the promoters, resulting in the up-regulation of the downstream genes related to indole-glucosinolate biosynthesis, sulphate metabolism, callose deposition, and JA-mediated signalling pathways. In summary, our genetic and transcriptomic studies established the JAZ7 protein as an important regulator in dark-induced leaf senescence.
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Introduction

Leaf senescence is a programmed cell death process essential for plant growth and survival. Leaf senescence can be induced by many developmental and environmental factors, such as aging, darkness, hormones, drought, high salinity, extreme temperature, and pathogen attacks (Lim et al., 2007). Dark-induced senescence has frequently been used as a model system to study natural senescence and to promote some typical senescence symptoms such as chlorophyll degradation...
and protein catabolism (Weaver et al., 1998; Guo and Gan, 2005). Previous studies have revealed many regulators of dark-induced leaf senescence, such as WRKY22 (Zhou et al., 2011). Nitric oxide (NO) is a very important molecule regulating dark-induced leaf senescence, where Nitric Oxide Synthase1 reduces the levels of reactive oxygen species to protect against dark-induced senescence (Guo and Crawford, 2005). NO can regulate dark-induced leaf senescence through EIN2 (ETHYLENE INSENSITIVE 2) in Arabidopsis (Niu and Guo, 2012).

High-throughput technology has greatly facilitated the study of aging- and darkness-related leaf senescence genes. Bhlera et al. (2003) reported differentially expressed genes in autumn leaves by sequencing expressed sequence tags of a cDNA library in aspen (Populus tremula). A DNA microarray was used to study the leaf transcriptome of naturally senesced aspen leaves (Andersson et al., 2004). A high-resolution time-course profile of gene expression during development of a single leaf over a 3-week period up to senescence was obtained by microarray analysis (Breeze et al., 2011). Lin and Wu (2004) used the Arabidopsis ATH1 Genome Array to examine global gene expression in dark-induced leaf senescence. More than 800 genes were identified as senescence-associated genes, including large transcription factor families such as NAC, WRKY, C2H2-type zinc finger, AP2/EREBP, and MYB proteins (Gepstein et al., 2003). These senescence-associated genes are closely involved in different plant hormone biosynthesis and signal transduction pathways (Lim et al., 2007). Phytohormones, such as cytokinin, ethylene, abscisic acid, methyljasmonate, salicylic acid, and auxin, normally act as internal factors to cause leaf senescence through a regulatory network (Aharoni and Lieberman, 1979; Gan and Amasino, 1995; Jing et al., 2005; Lim et al., 2007; Dong et al., 2008; Ghanem et al., 2008). Jasmonic acid (JA) plays an important role in regulating dark-induced leaf senescence. The bioactive JA–ileucine (JA–Ile) perception is dependent on the inositol phosphate-synthesizing genes in Arabidopsis (Thirault et al., 2015). Both JAZ4 and JAZ8 were found to interact physically with WRKY57 for negative regulation of JA-induced leaf senescence (Jiang et al., 2014). WRKY57 functions as a node of convergence for JA- and auxin-mediated signalling in JA-induced leaf senescence. Generally, the JAZ proteins play important roles in plant defence and growth by regulating JA signalling (Chini et al., 2007; Thines et al., 2007; Yan et al., 2007; Chung et al., 2008; Grunewald et al., 2009; Demianski et al., 2011); however, the function of JAZ proteins in serving as an upstream regulator for leaf senescence has yet to be established.

The majority of the 13 JAZ proteins form homodimers and heterodimers, but interestingly, there is no evidence that any of the JAZ proteins interact with JAZ7 (Chini et al., 2009; Chung and Howe, 2009). Some JAZ proteins (possibly JAZ7) interact directly with TOPLESS (TPL) rather than using NINJA (Novel Interactor of JAZ) (Shyu et al., 2012). Meanwhile, JAZ proteins interact with other transcription regulators. A select set of JAZ proteins (i.e. JAZ1, JAZ3, and JAZ9) can bind EIN3 and EIL1, which are involved in ethylene signalling (Zhu et al., 2011). Overexpression of JAZ1 can disturb the bHLH–MYB interaction between PAP1–TT8 and GL1–GL3 (Qi et al., 2011). Some JAZ proteins such as JAZ1, JAZ8, and JAZ11 interact directly with MYB21 and MYB24 (Song et al., 2011). Direct interaction between JAZ1 and HDA6 has recently been confirmed (Zhu et al., 2011). In addition, JAZ proteins recruit TPL and TPL-related proteins through NINJA for transcription repression (Pauwels et al., 2010). Furthermore, JA signalling is reported to be involved in cambium formation, and COI1, MYC2, JAZ7, and especially JAZ10 are cambium regulators (Seht et al., 2010). Overall, JAZ proteins regulate plant defence, growth, development, and in particular JA signalling, by interacting with both COI1 and various transcriptional factors. Despite the importance of the JAZ gene family, the function of JAZ7 is still largely unknown.

In addition to JAZ proteins, MYC2 has emerged as a master regulator of most aspects of the JA signalling pathway in Arabidopsis (Kazan and Manners, 2013). MYC3 and MYC4 are the closest homologues of MYC2, and were recently identified as targets of JAZ repressors and act additive with MYC2 in regulating the JA-dependent transcriptional response (Fernandez-Calvo et al., 2011; Ni et al., 2011). Most JAZ proteins—including JAZ7—interact with MYC2, MYC3, and/or MYC4 (Fernandez-Calvo et al., 2011; Schweizer et al., 2013). Furthermore, the MYC transcription factors MYC2, MYC3, and MYC4 regulate glucosinolate (GS) biosynthesis, insect performance, and feeding behaviour. MYC2 interacts with the G-box and related sequences, and controls genes activated by JA. A previous study showed that MYC2 binds directly to the promoter of several GS biosynthesis genes in vivo (Schweizer et al., 2013). Recent studies have indicated that MYC transcription factors (MYC2, MYC3, and MYC4) are short-lived proteins degraded by the proteasome in darkness (including shade conditions) and stabilized by light and JA. MYC2 protein stability is regulated by phosphorylation-coupled proteolysis through the proteasome (Zhai et al., 2013; Chico et al., 2014). In addition, MYCs are required for JA-mediated defences against the necrotrophic pathogen Botrytis cinerea and for the shade-triggered increased susceptibility (Chico et al., 2014). In contrast to MYCs, simulated shade conditions stabilize seven of the ten JAZ repressors and reduce their degradation by JA. Despite the progress, it is still unclear how JAZ proteins interact with MYCs to signal leaf senescence or other processes.

In this study, we integrated a systems biology approach with a classic genetics study to identify JAZ7 involvement in dark-induced leaf senescence. The JAZ7 gene was significantly up-regulated during darkness. Further analysis of mutant phenotypes for the JAZ family genes revealed that a knockout mutant of JAZ7 resulted in severe leaf senescence under dark treatment. Unlike other JAZ genes, very limited information is available for the functions of JAZ7. Genetic
analysis showed that JAZ7 is very important for dark-induced leaf senescence. The dark-induced leaf senescence phenotype could be rescued in the JAZ7-complemented and -overexpression lines. Further genetic analysis showed that JAZ7 interacted with COI1 and/or MYC2 to regulate dark-induced leaf senescence. We further conducted transcriptomic analysis to dissect the molecular and systems mechanisms underlying the JAZ7-mediated dark-induced leaf senescence.

Materials and methods

Plant materials and growth conditions

*Arabidopsis thaliana* (Col-0, jaz7 mutant line, JAZ7-complemented line 35S::JAZ7/jaz7, and JAZ7-overexpression line 35S::JAZ7/WT, as well as other mutant lines) seeds were surface sterilized and sown on half-strength Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium with 0.8% agar in Petri plates. The seeds were stratified for 3 d at 4 °C and then transferred to a conditioning chamber with a circadian cycle of 16 h of light (22 °C) and 8 h of darkness (19 °C). The Arabidopsis seedlings were transferred to soil 10 d after germination.

Identification of the jaz7 T-DNA insertion mutant

The jaz7 mutant (WiscDsLox7H11) contained a T-DNA insertion in the second exon (see Fig. 2A). Homozygous T-DNA insertion mutant plants were confirmed by PCR using a combination of a T-DNA border primer (LB: 5'-GGCGTGACCAGCTTGCTGCAACT-3') and gene-specific primers (LP: 5'-CATCATCAAAAAACTGCAGAAGCC-3', and RP: 5'-GGTAACCCTGTTGTAGGGGAAAT-3').

Construction of transgenic Arabidopsis line JAZ7-complemented line 35S::JAZ7/jaz7 and JAZ7-overexpression line 35S::JAZ7/WT

In order to generate the genetic complemented line 35S::JAZ7/jaz7 and overexpression line 35S::JAZ7/WT, the ORF for the JAZ7 gene was isolated by PCR using the forward primer 5'-GCTCTAGAAATGATCATCATCATGAAAATACTGC-3' and reverse primer 5'-GGGTTACCCTATCGTAAACCGTGTTAGA-3'. The ORF (447 bp) of JAZ7 was inserted into the super-1300 vector in the *CTATCGGTAACGGTGGTAAG-3'. The ORF (447 bp) of JAZ7 was inserted into the super-1300 vector in the *CTATCGGTAACGGTGGTAAG-3'.

Double-mutant construction

The Arabidopsis single-mutant lines jaz7 col1 and jaz7 myc2 were constructed by the manual cross-pollination method (Li et al., 1994). Those homozygous for the single mutation jaz7 (WiscDsLox7H11), col1 (SALK_045434), and myc2 (SALK_017005C) were used. After self-pollination of F1 plants, the homozygous double mutants were identified from the F2 generation by PCR using a combination of the T-DNA border primer LB and gene-specific primers LP/RP. The homozygous F2 seeds were used for further analysis.

Dark treatment for leaf senescence, 3,3′-diaminobenzidine (DAB) staining, and chlorophyll content measurement

Arabidopsis plants were grown in soil for 4 weeks, and the fifth or sixth rosette leaf was detached for dark treatment. The detached leaves were incubated in Petri dishes wrapped in aluminum foil and containing two layers of filter paper soaked in 15 ml of distilled water. The hydrogen peroxide (H2O2) staining agent DAB (Sigma-Aldrich) was dissolved in water and adjusted to pH 3.8 with KOH. The staining process was as described in Bowling et al. (1997). Quantitative measurement of H2O2 production was performed using an Amplex Red H2O2/peroxidase assay kit (Molecular Probes) and the whole procedure followed the manufacturer’s instructions. An ANOVA test of pair-wise comparisons between all genotypes under the treatments was performed using the Excel packages (Brady et al., 2015).

Chlorophyll relative content was measured using a SPAD-502 chlorophyll meter. More than 30 leaves were tested to make a distribution analysis. Each treated leaf was measured three to four times on different areas. The SPAD readings were used directly to quantify chlorophyll content (Udlding et al., 2007; Ling et al., 2011; Ishimaru et al., 2012; Omondi and Kniss, 2014).

Sample treatment and RNA extraction

Three-week-old Arabidopsis plants grown in soil were used for dark treatment. The control plants were kept under regular growth conditions as described previously, with a diurnal cycle of 16 h of light (22 °C) and 8 h of darkness (19 °C). The plants for dark treatment were transferred to a continuously dark room for 3 d. After treatment, at 10 am (2 h after lights on), dark-treated and control plants were harvested and quickly placed in liquid nitrogen for total RNA extraction.

Total RNA was extracted using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen). About 200 μg of plant material was grounded in liquid nitrogen and mixed with 1 ml of TRIzol. The mixture was incubated at room temperature for 5 min, and then 0.2 ml of chloroform was added and the mixture incubated at room temperature for 10 min. The resultant mixture was centrifuged at 12 000g at 4 °C for 10 min. The supernatant was transferred to a 1.5 ml Eppendorf tube and an equal volume of isopropyl alcohol was added, followed by centrifugation. The RNA was washed with 75% ethanol and dissolved in 100 μl of RNase-free water. All RNA samples were then purified using an RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturer’s instructions. The concentration of RNA was measured with an Eppendorf Biophotometer based on A260/A280 and A260/A230 ratios.

Real-time RT-PCR

For real-time reverse transcription (RT-PCR analysis, 2 μg of total RNA was used to synthesize cDNA with an M-MLV Reverse Transcription Reagents Kit (Invitrogen). The cDNA samples were diluted to 2 ng μl-1 for real-time RT-PCR. Triplicate quantitative assays were performed on 8 ng of cDNA using the SYBR Green Master mix with an ABI 7900 sequence detection system according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Applied Biosystems). Primers were designed by Primer3 (http://frodo.wi.mit.edu/) and are listed in Supplementary Table S5 at JXB online. The relative quantitation method (ΔΔCt) was used to evaluate quantitative variation among replicates. Two reference genes, 18S rRNA and actin7 (At5g09810), were applied as internal controls to normalize all data for the real-time RT-PCR experiments. The primers of the two reference genes are listed in Supplementary Table S5.

Affymetrix GeneChip experiment

For Affymetrix GeneChip analysis, 8 μg of total RNA for each sample was used to prepare biotin-labelled cRNA targets. All the procedures for cDNA and cRNA synthesis, cRNA fragmentation, hybridization, washing and staining, and scanning were conducted according to the GeneChip Standard Protocol (Eukaryotic Target Preparation). In this experiment, a Poly-A RNA Control Kit and a One-Cycle cDNA Synthesis kit were applied. The Affymetrix ATH1 GeneChip was used for this experiment. Affymetrix GCOS software...
was used for data normalization and comparative analysis. The overall intensities of all probe sets of each array were scaled to 500 to guarantee that hybridization intensity of all arrays was equivalent. A one-way ANOVA test was applied to identify the differentially expressed probe sets through Partek Genomics Suite 6.3. The annotation of each probe set in the Affymetrix ATH1 GeneChip was provided by BLAST from Arabidopsis TAIR10 (http://www.arabidopsis.org).

Promoter and gene function analysis
The 2 kb promoter sequence of each gene was obtained from TAIR (http://www.arabidopsis.org). The Arabidopsis Promoter Element Discovery Tools (http://stan.cropsuiuiuc.edu/tools.php) and PLACE database (http://www.dna.afirc.go.jp/PLACE/) were used for common motif searching.

Gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis was performed using the agriGO website (Du et al., 2010) and gene set enrichment analysis using plantGSEA (Yi et al., 2013).

Results and discussion
Darkness induces JAZ gene expression
We first discovered the potential role of JAZ proteins in dark-induced leaf senescence through data mining of previously published transcriptomics data for dark-induced leaf senescence (Lin and Wu, 2004). JAZ genes were found to be significantly induced under dark treatment, and nine out of 12 JAZ genes showed more than 2-fold up-regulation for either 1 or 5 d of dark treatments (Supplementary Table S1 at JXB online). The expression patterns of Arabidopsis JAZ family genes under dark treatment were further investigated using real-time RT-PCR analysis (Fig. 1A and Supplementary Table S1). Seven JAZ genes were significantly up-regulated by dark treatment. In addition, Parritz et al. (2011) showed that JAZ7 and JAZ10 were significantly induced after 2, 4, and 6 d of dark treatment (Supplementary Table S1). The real-time RT-PCR data and data mining of transcriptomics results both revealed that JAZ genes were induced in the dark and might be involved in dark-induced leaf senescence.

Genetic analysis reveals JAZ7 as an important regulator of dark-induced leaf senescence
Genetic analysis of dark-induced leaf senescence phenotypes for jaz1, jaz6, jaz7, and jaz8 was further carried out using T-DNA insertion mutant lines (Fig. 1B, C). After 5 d of dark treatment, the detached leaves of jaz7 mutant lines showed a significantly more severe leaf senescence phenotype, with yellow leaves and less chlorophyll content compared with WT (Col-0). Mutant lines of jaz1 and jaz8 also showed less chlorophyll content than WT, while mutants of the two jaz6 alleles showed resistance to senescence. Among all mutant lines, the jaz7 mutant showed the most statistically significant decrease in chlorophyll content compared with WT. This result highlighted the important role of JAZ7 as a potential negative regulator in dark-induced leaf senescence.

Genetic complementation of the jaz7 mutant phenotype was carried out with two types of transgenic strategies: 35S::JAZ7/jaz7 to complement the JAZ7 mutation in the jaz7 mutant, and 35S::JAZ7/WT to overexpress the JAZ7 gene in WT. Both types of transformants were validated by real-time RT-PCR (Fig. 2A–C). The 35S::JAZ7/jaz7 successfully rescued leaf senescence by showing a similar phenotype to WT (Fig. 2D, 2E). In addition, 35S::JAZ7/WT displayed a significantly delayed leaf senescence in darkness, further confirming the role of JAZ7 as a negative regulator of dark-induced leaf senescence (Fig. 2D). Among all genetic lines, the jaz7 mutant had the lowest chlorophyll level in leaves, and overexpression lines had the highest (Fig. 2E). Overall, the genetic complementation experiments and overexpression studies verified the role of JAZ7 in negative regulation of dark-induced leaf senescence.

H2O2 is involved in JAZ7-mediated dark-induced leaf senescence
The signalling pathway of JAZ7-mediated dark-induced leaf senescence was further studied from several aspects. First, the role of H2O2 in JAZ7 signalling for dark-induced leaf senescence was studied. H2O2 has been established to be important in signalling during stress responses and can be involved in senescence (Orozco-Cardenas et al., 2001; Ali et al., 2007; Aftab et al., 2011). DAB staining was used to detect H2O2 accumulation under dark treatment, and most jaz7 mutant leaves stained dark brown, while WT and 35S::JAZ7/jaz7 leaves were lighter in colour, and the 35S::JAZ7/WT lines showed the least staining (Fig. 2D). The quantitative assay suggested that the jaz7 mutant produced more H2O2 than WT and 35S::JAZ7/jaz7. The JAZ7 overexpression lines had the lowest H2O2 levels after 120 h of dark treatment (Fig. 2F). Using a pair-wise ANOVA test, the genotypic variation (mutation and overexpression of JAZ7 gene) was found to significantly alter the H2O2 level under darkness (Supplementary Table S2 at JXB online). The H2O2 measurement results indicated that the JAZ7 protein might suppress leaf senescence by reducing H2O2 levels.

COI1 genetically interacts with JAZ7 to regulate dark-induced leaf senescence
Considering that JAZ proteins are repressors of JA signalling through the SCFCOI1-dependent 26S proteasome pathway (Chini et al., 2007; Thines et al., 2007), we further studied how JAZ7 interacted genetically with COI1 during dark-induced leaf senescence. The jaz7 coil double mutant was generated to investigate whether the JAZ7-mediated dark-induced leaf senescence was COI1 dependent or not (Supplementary Fig. S1A, B at JXB online). The leaf senescence phenotype for the jaz7 mutant was partially rescued by mutation of COI1 (Fig. 3A). Basically, after 5 d of darkness treatment, the jaz7 coil double mutant had a similar phenotype to WT plants under darkness, while the coil mutant plants remained green. The chlorophyll level was significantly reduced after dark treatment in all lines (Fig. 3B). The rate of chlorophyll degradation in the jaz7 mutant was much faster than in the jaz7 coil double-mutant, WT, overexpression line, and coil mutant plants. The results suggested that in the jaz7 coil...
Fig. 1. Characterization of the response of JAZ family genes to dark-induced leaf senescence. (A) Real-time RT-PCR detection of the expression changes of JAZ genes under dark treatment. Total RNA was obtained from leaves of 4-week-old WT plants grown under dark or normal conditions for 3 d. The results show the fold expression change in the dark relative to growth in the light. (B) Rosette leaves of several JAZ mutants under dark treatment. The fifth and sixth leaves were detached from the different mutant plants, incubated with wetted filter paper in dark conditions for 118 h, and photographed. (C) The chlorophyll content (SPAD readings) of leaves from JAZ mutants under dark treatment and control conditions for 118 h. jaz7, WiscDsLox7H11; jaz8, WiscDsLox255G12; jaz1, SALK_011957; jaz6-1, SALK_136462; jaz6-2, SALK_038013. SPAD readings were determined using a SPAD-502 chlorophyll meter. **P<0.01 (significant difference between mutants and WT according to Student’s t-test). The error bars in (A) and (C) represent the standard error of replicates.

Fig. 2. Phenotype analysis of jaz7 mutant, JAZ7-complemented (35S::JAZ7/jaz7), WT, and JAZ7-overexpression (35S::JAZ7/WT) plants under dark treatment. (A) T-DNA insertion position of the jaz7 mutant line at 384 bp from the 5’-untranslated region (UTR). Boxes and lines represent exons and introns, respectively. (B) Constructs used for transformation of the 35S::JAZ7/jaz7-complemented line and JAZ7-overexpression lines. (C) Real-time RT-PCR analysis of JAZ7 gene expression in the jaz7 mutant line, WT, complemented line 35S::JAZ7/jaz7, and overexpression line 35S::JAZ7/WT. (D) Fifth or sixth detached rosette leaves from the jaz7 mutant, 35S::JAZ7/jaz7, WT, and 35S::JAZ7/WT lines under dark treatment for 4–5 d in water, and DAB staining of the treated leaves. (E) Chlorophyll content (SPAD readings) of leaves after dark treatment for 107 h. Error bars represent the standard error of >50 leaves at the same stage. (F) H2O2 content of leaves from the jaz7 mutant, 35S::JAZ7/jaz7, WT, and 35S::JAZ7/WT lines before and after dark treatment. The SPAD reading was determined using a SPAD-502 chlorophyll meter. FW, fresh weight of leaf tissues. **P<0.01 (significant difference between mutants and WT according to Student’s t-test). The error bars in (C) and (F) represent the standard error of replicates.
double mutant, JAZ7 is knocked down but that the other JAZ proteins might be stabilized by the coi1 mutant, thus repressing MYCs and overcoming the lack of JAZ7.

MYC2 might be a downstream target of JAZ7

We further studied the downstream target for JAZ7 and explored the genetic interaction of JAZ7 with MYC genes. MYC2 serves as a transcriptional activator of JA responses and JAZ7 physically interacts with MYC2, MYC3, and MYC4 (Fernandez-Calvo et al., 2011; Schweizer et al., 2013). Darkness/shade conditions can stabilize JAZ7 and destabilize MYC proteins (Chico et al., 2014). The rosette leaves detached from WT, jaz7 mutant, myc2 mutant, and jaz7 myc2 double-mutant plants, were treated in darkness to evaluate dark-induced leaf senescence (Fig. 3C, D and Supplementary Fig. S1C, D). The jaz7 mutant displayed a strong yellowing phenotype at 5 d, while the myc2 mutant and jaz7×myc2 double mutant showed comparatively delayed leaf senescence and chlorophyll degradation as compared with jaz7 mutant. The result indicated that the mutation of MYC2 could partially rescue the dark-induced chlorophyll degradation in the jaz7 mutant, and further suggested that MYC2 might be the target of JAZ7 in the signalling of dark-induced leaf senescence.

Transcriptome analysis of jaz7 mutant and WT plants under darkness

Transcriptomics was used to further explore the downstream network regulated by JAZ7 for dark-induced leaf senescence. We used the Arabidopsis ATH1 GeneChip to investigate the genome-wide gene expression differences in dark-induced senescence between WT and jaz7. Rosette leaves of Arabidopsis WT and jaz7, after 3 d of dark treatment and control conditions, were harvested for GeneChip hybridization, with two independent biological replicates for each sample (Supplementary Table S3 at JXB online). Principal component analysis (PCA) showed that the replicate chips of each sample were similar to each other, and the correlation coefficients of the expression profiling for replicate chips were >0.95 (Fig. 4A). The PCA results also showed that the difference between WT and jaz7 was much higher in darkness than in control conditions (Fig. 4A). Next, we applied ANOVA to identify the differentially expressed probe sets with the cut-off values of ≥1.6 in fold change and of P≤0.05. We first checked the differential expression pattern of DIN (dark-inducible) genes (Fujiki et al., 2001). The eight DIN genes were all significantly induced under dark treatment both in WT and jaz7; DIN9 and DIN11 were up-regulated in...
jaz7 samples compared with WT in darkness (Supplementary Table S4 at JXB online). We divided the differentially expressed genes into two categories: dark- and genotype-influenced genes (Fig. 4B). Genes differentially expressed between genotypes fell into two categories: 2883 up-regulated and 1621 down-regulated genes in jaz7 samples compared with WT. The dark-influenced genes were also divided into two categories: 5241 up-regulated and 5297 down-regulated genes (Fig. 4B). The Venn diagram shows the intersection of the four categories: of the 1621 down-regulated genes in jaz7, 767 (>45%) were down-regulated and 431 (about 25%) were up-regulated after dark treatment, while of the 2883 up-regulated genes in jaz7, 2326 (>80%) were up-regulated and 242 (<10%) were down-regulated by dark treatment (Fig. 4B). We proposed that two groups of genes were the key factors causing the difference between WT and the jaz7 mutant: 767 genes that were down-regulated by darkness and had lower expression in the jaz7 mutant (defined as group I), and 2326 genes that were highly expressed in the jaz7 mutant and up-regulated by darkness (group II). Therefore, further GO enrichment analyses based on these two groups were carried out. The result for group I (767 genes, Fig. 4C and Supplementary Table S3) showed such enriched GO terms as ‘response to light stimulus’ [GO: 0009416, false discovery rate (FDR) P value=7.77E–5], ‘electron transport chain’ (GO:0022900, FDR P value=2.59E–3), ‘ribosome biogenesis’ (GO:0042254, FDR P value=5.28E–5), and ‘cutin biosynthetic process’ (GO:0010143, FDR P value=1.05E–4). The enriched GO terms for group II (2326 genes, Fig. 4D and Supplementary Table S3) are also shown and included ‘leaf senescence’ (GO:0010150, FDR P value=2.48E–7), ‘response to hydrogen peroxide’ (GO:0042542, FDR P value=2.28E–8),

Fig. 4. Summary of the differential expression probe sets between WT and jaz7 under normal and dark treatments. (A) PCA for the samples based on the raw data of the expression profiling. (B) Venn diagrams illustrate the differential expression probe sets under normal and dark treatments in WT and jaz7 plants. (C) GO enrichment analysis of the 767 probe sets up-regulated in WT and light conditions. (D) GO enrichment analysis of the 2326 probe sets up-regulated in jaz7 and dark conditions.
### Table 1. MYC2-, MYC3-, and MYC4-regulated genes affected by mutation of JAZ7 in darkness

| Locus ID   | MYCs motif (CACNTG) | Col-0/myc234* | WT dark/jaz7 dark | WT light/jaz7 light | Gene name | Gene included in functional category of: | Fold change | P value | Fold change | P value | Fold change | P value | Indole derivative metabolism | Glucosinolate metabolism | Sulphur metabolism | Response to JA stimulus | Oxidation-reduction |
|------------|---------------------|---------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------|----------------------------------------|------------|--------|------------|--------|------------|--------|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| At5g05730  | ✓                   | 2.27          | 1.47E-04           | -4.28            | 7.75E-06    | 9.22E-01                 | ✓           |        |            |        |            |        | ASA1                                    | ✓                    | ✓                   | ✓                   | ✓                |
| At1g25220  | ✓                   | 2.25          | 2.95E-02           | -1.83            | 1.17E-02    | 5.93E-01                 | ✓           |        |            |        |            |        | ASB1                                    | ✓                    | ✓                   | ✓                   | ✓                |
| At4g70700  | ✓                   | 2.03          | 7.95E-03           | -2.37            | 1.39E-02    | 9.50E-01                 | ✓           |        |            |        |            |        | TSB2                                    | ✓                    | ✓                   | ✓                   | ✓                |
| At4g39950f | ✓                   | 11.42         | 6.17E-06           | -4.06            | 5.95E-04    | 9.52E-01                 | ✓           |        |            |        |            |        | CYP79B2                                 | ✓                    | ✓                   | ✓                   | ✓                |
| At1g24100f | ✓                   | 3.93          | 5.27E-06           | -2.21            | 9.39E-03    | 8.49E-01                 | ✓           |        |            |        |            |        | UGT74B1                                 | ✓                    | ✓                   | ✓                   | ✓                |
| At4g30530  | ✓                   | 3.22          | 1.90E-05           | -1.81            | 2.43E-02    | 3.00E-01                 | ✓           |        |            |        |            |        | GGP1                                    | ✓                    | ✓                   | ✓                   | ✓                |
| At1g74100f | ✓                   | 4.12          | 4.52E-06           | -2.28            | 2.64E-02    | 5.14E-01                 | ✓           |        |            |        |            |        | SOT16                                   | ✓                    | ✓                   | ✓                   | ✓                |
| At1g18590  | ✓                   | 15.50         | 1.18E-07           | -1.97            | 2.52E-02    | 1.80E-02                 | ✓           |        |            |        |            |        | APK2, AKN2                               | ✓                    | ✓                   | ✓                   | ✓                |
| At4g39940f | ✓                   | 35.27         | 5.54E-08           | -2.17            | 5.19E-03    | 1.04E-01                 | ✓           |        |            |        |            |        | CYP79B2                                 | ✓                    | ✓                   | ✓                   | ✓                |
| At4g4610f  | ✓                   | 4.21          | 1.18E-04           | -8.31            | 4.35E-05    | 4.46E-01                 | ✓           |        |            |        |            |        | APR1, PAPS reductase                      | ✓                    | ✓                   | ✓                   | ✓                |
| At3g22890  | ✓                   | 1.99          | 1.14E-03           | -2.32            | 6.40E-03    | 3.45E-01                 | ✓           |        |            |        |            |        | APS1                                    | ✓                    | ✓                   | ✓                   | ✓                |
| At1g51760  | ✓                   | 1.85          | 6.39E-04           | -2.22            | 6.61E-03    | 6.22E-02                 | ✓           |        |            |        |            |        | IAR3, JR3                                | ✓                    | ✓                   | ✓                   | ✓                |
| At5g1500   | ✓                   | 2.93          | 3.21E-05           | -3.61            | 1.52E-02    | 5.60E-01                 | ✓           |        |            |        |            |        | TAAO/PAPST1                              | ✓                    | ✓                   | ✓                   | ✓                |
| At4g29700  | ✓                   | 3.59          | 5.24E-05           | -2.06            | 2.05E-02    | 1.98E-01                 | ✓           |        |            |        |            |        | Alkaline-phosphatase-like protein        | ✓                    | ✓                   | ✓                   | ✓                |
| At5g07010  | ✓                   | 2.34          | 2.62E-04           | -2.98            | 1.18E-04    | 9.44E-01                 | ✓           |        |            |        |            |        | ST2A                                    | ✓                    | ✓                   | ✓                   | ✓                |
| At3g60505  | ✓                   | 3.36          | 1.55E-05           | -2.61            | 2.51E-02    | 5.47E-01                 | ✓           |        |            |        |            |        | OPR3                                    | ✓                    | ✓                   | ✓                   | ✓                |
| At2g39030  | ✓                   | 7.74          | 3.10E-05           | -2.96            | 1.32E-03    | 3.70E-01                 | ✓           |        |            |        |            |        | NATA1                                   | ✓                    | ✓                   | ✓                   | ✓                |
| At3g27810  | ✓                   | 1.89          | 2.43E-03           | -3.19            | 2.92E-03    | 4.21E-01                 | ✓           |        |            |        |            |        | ATMYB21, ATMYB3, MYB21                    | ✓                    | ✓                   | ✓                   | ✓                |
| At1g91120  | ✓                   | 5.22          | 2.65E-05           | -4.81            | 1.99E-04    | 4.58E-01                 | ✓           |        |            |        |            |        | TPS04                                   | ✓                    | ✓                   | ✓                   | ✓                |
| At3g51450  | ✓                   | 1.91          | 2.00E-03           | -2.37            | 3.03E-02    | 2.13E-01                 | ✓           |        |            |        |            |        | Calcium-dependent phosphotriesterase    | ✓                    | ✓                   | ✓                   | ✓                |
| At1g06620  | ✓                   | 2.53          | 5.47E-05           | -2.31            | 3.75E-03    | 6.23E-01                 | ✓           |        |            |        |            |        | 2-Oxoglutarate-dependent dioxygenase     | ✓                    | ✓                   | ✓                   | ✓                |
| At2g38240  | ✓                   | 4.47          | 6.37E-05           | -2.70            | 2.68E-04    | 8.94E-01                 | ✓           |        |            |        |            |        | Oxoglutarate/iron-dependent oxygenase   | ✓                    | ✓                   | ✓                   | ✓                |
| At4g20860  | ✓                   | 2.29          | 1.74E-03           | -3.35            | 2.97E-03    | 8.03E-01                 | ✓           |        |            |        |            |        | FAD-binding Berberine protein            | ✓                    | ✓                   | ✓                   | ✓                |
| At1g74010  | ✓                   | 2.39          | 9.69E-03           | -2.17            | 1.29E-02    | 9.35E-01                 | ✓           |        |            |        |            |        | Calcium-dependent phosphotriesterase    | ✓                    | ✓                   | ✓                   | ✓                |
| At4g24350  | ✓                   | 1.92          | 3.88E-04           | -2.69            | 2.99E-02    | 3.01E-02                 | ✓           |        |            |        |            |        | Phosphorylase                            | ✓                    | ✓                   | ✓                   | ✓                |
Based on the enriched GO terms and important superfamilies in differentially expressed genes between the jaz7 mutant and WT following dark treatment, we selected some genes that were possibly related to senescence/aging to confirm our GeneChip result, including JAZ family genes, cytochrome P450s, and UDP-glucorosyl/UDP-glucosyl transferase family genes. For the real-time RT-PCR validation, additional biological samples were collected under the same conditions as for the GeneChip analysis. Both 18S rRNA and the housekeeping gene actin7 (At5g09810) were used as reference genes to calculate the relative expression levels. The result of real-time RT-PCR for the majority of the tested genes confirmed the microarray results (Supplementary Fig. S2 at JXB online). The fold change of genes analysed by real-time RT-PCR were not exactly the same as those obtained in the GeneChip data, but the change trends were similar.

**Table 1. Continued**

| Locus ID | MYC motif | Col-0/myc234 | WT dark/jaz7 dark | WT light/jaz7 light | Fold change | P value | Fold change | P value | Fold change | P value |
|----------|-----------|--------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------|---------|-------------|---------|-------------|---------|
| At4g03240 | √         |              |                   |                    | 1.94        | 1.09E–02| 1.62E–03    | 1.95    | –1.99       | 1.53E–03| 1.94        |
| At2g25730 | √         |              |                   |                    | 1.88        | 1.27E–02| 1.27E–02    | 1.97    | –1.29       | 1.28E–02| 1.85E–03    |
| At3g10210 | √         |              |                   |                    | 2.43        | 1.47E–04| 1.47E–04    | 1.24    | –1.24       | 1.47E–04| 1.24E–03    |

Bold values represent statistically significant changes (fold change ≥1.8 and P<0.05).

### Relevance of JAZ- and MYC-regulated pathways

To elucidate the relevance of the regulations by JAZ7 and MYC transcription factors, the previously published down-regulated transcripts in the triple mutant myc2 myc3 myc4 (Schweizer et al., 2013) were compared with differentially expressed genes in the jaz7 mutant versus WT. The 28 genes down-regulated in the triple mutant were significantly up-regulated in the jaz7 mutant in darkness but not under light conditions (Table 1). These genes included those involved in indole-GS, sulphur metabolism, and JA-related pathways. About half of the genes were relevant to indole-GS and sulphur metabolism, including ASA1, ASB1, CYP79B2, UGT74B1, GGP1, SOT16/17, APR1, APK2, and APS1. These genes are essential for glutathione biosynthesis, which is important for Arabidopsis defence, hypersensitive reactions, and programmed cell death. Schweizer et al. (2013) reported that MYC2/MYC3/MYC4 are necessary for direct transcriptional activation of GS biosynthesis genes and play crucial roles in the regulation of defence secondary metabolite production. In the triple mutant myc2 myc3 myc4, both aliphatic- and indole-GS biosynthesis genes were significantly down-regulated, as well as those related to the sulphate assimilation pathway. Our ATH1 GeneChip data indicated that the mutation of JAZ7 elevated only the expression levels of genes related to indole-GS biosynthesis and sulphate metabolism under dark treatment (Table 1). This may be because JA can trigger indole-GS accumulation in Arabidopsis, and the induction of tryptophan and indole-GS transcripts are mainly JA dependent (Brader et al., 2001). Thus, JAZ7 might bind to MYC2/MYC3/MYC4 to prevent the activation of indole-GS biosynthesis and sulphur metabolism genes during dark-induced leaf senescence. Furthermore, the genes related to JA pathways were also enriched (Table 1), including OPR3, ST2A, NATA1, and MYB21, as well as oxidation–reduction pathway genes such as 2-oxoglutarate-dependent dioxygenase, which suggested a potential role of JA signalling and interference of JAZ7 with its regulation of MYC-dependent

### Gene name

| Genes included in functional category of | Value |
|----------------------------------------|-------|
| MYCs motif                             | 1.94  |
| NODulin MtN21                          | 1.23E–02 |
| α                                      | 2.43  |
| P                                      | 1.47E–04 |

‘cell death’ (GO: 0008219, FDR P value=2.42E–3), ‘defence response’ (GO:0006952, FDR P value=1.47E–6), and ‘protein ubiquitination’ (GO:0016567, FDR P value=1.15E–4).
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cell death and senescence. Further motif analysis revealed that MYC2-binding motifs [G-box (CACGTG) and G-box-like motif (CACATG)] were over-represented in the 2 kb promoter regions of these overlapping genes. The predicted MYC2-binding motif and the MYC2-binding information for these GS genes are given in Table 1.

In addition, indole-GS biosynthesis is required for the Flg22-induced callose response and Arabidopsis innate immune response (Clay et al., 2009; Schlaeppi et al., 2010). Transcriptome analysis of WT and jaz7 mutant plants under dark treatment showed that a large number of callose deposition genes were also up-regulated in the jaz7 mutant. Most of these callose-response genes were related to the indole-GS pathway, such as TSA1/TRP3, CYP79B2, UGT74B1, CYP81F2, and PEN3. All of the results suggested that the JAZ7-mediated MYC-regulated indole-GS genes were involved in callose deposition, essential for plant innate immunity response, programmed cell death, and leaf senescence.

Towards a model for JAZ7-mediated dark-induced leaf senescence

Together, the genetic and transcriptomic analyses suggested a model (Fig. 5) whereby darkness can induce JAZ7, which might further block MYC2 to suppress dark-induced leaf senescence. The function of JAZ7 may also depend on COI1. In darkness, the mutation of JAZ7 might partially liberate MYC2/MYC3/MYC4 from suppression, leading the MYC proteins to bind to the G-box/G-box-like motifs in the promoters, resulting in up-regulation of the downstream genes related to indole-GS biosynthesis, sulphate metabolism, callose deposition, and JA-mediated signalling pathways. Overall, the genetic and systems biology analyses suggest that JAZ7 plays an essential role in regulating the dark-induced leaf senescence relevant to many physiological processes.
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