Genotyping and distribution of putative virulence factors and antibiotic resistance genes of *Acinetobacter baumannii* strains isolated from raw meat
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**Abstract**

**Background:** *Acinetobacter baumannii* strains with multiple antimicrobial resistance are primarily known as opportunistic nosocomial bacteria but they may also be regarded as emerging bacterial contaminants of food samples of animal origin. Here we aimed to study the molecular characteristics of the *A. baumannii* strains isolated from raw meat samples.

**Methods:** A total of 22 *A. baumannii* strains were isolated from 126 animal meat samples and were genotyped by ERIC-PCR method and by PCR detection of their virulence and antimicrobial resistance determinants. *A. baumannii* strains with 80% and more similarities were considered as one cluster.

**Results:** Sixteen different genetic clusters were found amongst the 22 *A. baumannii* strains. Of the 22 strains, 12 (54.54%) had similar genetic cluster. *A. baumannii* strains exhibited the highest percentage of resistance against tetracycline (90.90%), trimethoprim (59.09%), cotrimoxazole (54.54%) and gentamicin (50.00%). TetA (81.81%), tetB (72.72%), dfrA1 (63.63%), aac(3)-IV (63.63%), sul1 (63.63%) and aadA1 (45.45%) were the most commonly detected antibiotic resistance genes. FimH (81.81%), afa/draBC (63.63%), csgA (63.63%), cnf1 (59.09%), cnf2 (54.54%) and iutA (50.00%) were the most commonly detected virulence factors. *A. baumannii* strains isolated from the chicken meat samples had the highest similarities in the genetic cluster.

**Conclusions:** *A. baumannii* strains with similar genetic cluster (ERIC-Type) had the same prevalence of antibiotic resistance, antibiotic resistance genes and virulence factors. Genetic cluster of the *A. baumannii* strains is the main factor affected the similarities in the genotypic and phenotypic properties of the *A. baumannii* strains.
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**Background**

Consumption of raw or undercooked meat has been associated with several outbreaks of bacterial foodborne disease all around the world [1–5]. Bacterial strains isolated from meat are both pathogenic and commensal such as *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Streptococcus* species, *Listeria monocytogenes*, Bacillus spp., Salmonella spp., Campylobacter spp., *Escherichia coli*, *Yersinia enterocolitica*, *Acinetobacter* spp., *Aeromonas* spp., *Pseudomonas* spp. and etc. [2, 3, 6–9]. *Acinetobacter* species are saprophytic, ubiquitous and have emerged as an important nosocomial pathogen due to its ability for survival in the hospital environment on a wide range of dry and moist surfaces [10, 11]. Human infections caused by Acinetobacter species include pneumonia, which is most often related to endotracheal tubes or tracheostomies, endocarditis, meningitis, skin and wound infections, peritonitis in patients receiving peritoneal dialysis, UTI and bacteremia.[10, 11]

Acinetobacter spp. and especially *Acinetobacter baumannii* are one of the newly emerged bacteria all around the world [10, 11]. *A. baumannii* colonizes the respiratory tract, skin, urinary and gastrointestinal systems, and etc. [2, 3, 6–9].
frequently leads to pneumonia, surgical site infections, catheter-related blood circulatory infections, and urinary tract infections and rarely community acquired pneumonia, meningitis, mediastinitis, osteomyelitis and cholangitis [10, 11].

*A. baumannii* strains isolated from clinical infections harbored the high prevalence of certain virulence factors including pathogenicity islands (PAI), *P* fimbriae (*pap* genes), *S* fimbriae adhesion and F1C (*sfa*), a fimbriae adhesion and Dr. antigen family (*afa*, type 1 fimbriae (*finH*), fibronectin receptor (*fnb*), serum resistance (*traT*), curli fibers (*csg*), aerobactin (*iutA*), yersiniabactin (*fyuA*), polysaccharide coatings as group II and III capsules (*kpsMT*), cytotoxic necrotizing factors (*cnf*), invasin factors (*ibeA*) and colicin V production (*cvaC*). Moreover, these factors are responsible for adhesion, colonization and invasion of the *A. baumannii* strains into the different target organs [12, 13].

*A. baumannii* strains are also known to harbor multiple resistance against different types of antibiotics. Documented data revealed that the *A. baumannii* strains isolated from human and animal clinical infections and food stuffs harbored the high prevalence of resistance against different types of antibiotics [14, 15]. The genes that encode resistance against antibiotics and especially *aadA1* (streptomycin), *aac(3)-IV* (gentamicin), *sul1* (sulfonamide), *blaSHV* and *blaCTX-M* (cephalosporins), *cat1* and *cmlA* (chloramphenicol), *tetA* and *tetB* (tetracycline), *dfrA1* (trimethoprim), *qnr* (fluoroquinolones), *imp*, *sim* and *vin* (carbenicillin), and *Oxa-51-like* (oxacillinases) are mainly responsible for occurrence of severe antibiotic resistance [14–16]. In keeping with this, genotyping is one of the best techniques to find the molecular relationship between bacterial strains isolated from different sources. Enterobacterial Repetitive Intergenic Consensus Polymerase Chain Reaction (ERIC-PCR) is one of the best typing methods of DNA fingerprints of bacterial strains. This method has sporadically been used to characterize *A. baumannii* strains isolated from clinical samples [17].

Studies regarding the association of *Acinetobacter* with foodborne illnesses are somewhat limited [10, 11]. Therefore, the present investigation was done to study the phenotypic and genotypic characterization of antibiotic resistance and molecular typing of the *A. baumannii* strains isolated from raw meat samples.

**Methods**

**A. baumannii strains**

From January 2016 to May 2017, a total of 22 *A. baumannii* strains were isolated from 126 samples of raw chicken, turkey, bovine, ovine and camel meat samples. Meat samples were randomly collected (simple random sampling procedure) from the butchers and shopping center of different parts of the Chaharmahal Va Bakhtiari province, Iran. All isolates were transferred to the Microbiology Research Center of the Islamic Azad University of Shahrekord in cooler with ice-packs.

*A. baumannii* strains were isolated based on the method described by the Cappuccino and Welsh (2016) [18]. Briefly, the specimens were inoculated initially on blood agar (Merck) and MacConkey agar (Merck) medium and incubated for 24 h at 37 °C. Conventional biochemical methods such as oxidase, citrate, urea urease, malonate consumption, oxidation and fermentation of sugars, motility and indole production were used to identify *A. baumannii*. Additionally, genus *Acinetobacter* was identified by Gram staining, cell and colony morphology, positive catalase test, negative oxidase test and absence of motility. Speciation of *Acinetobacter* was performed on the basis of glucose oxidation, gelatin liquefaction, beta hemolysis, growth at 37 °C and 42 °C, arginine hydrolysis and susceptibility to chloramphenicol [18]. The isolates were stored in BHI medium containing 15% glycerol at −20 °C.

**PCR confirmation of the *A. baumannii* isolates**

Confirmation of *A. baumannii* isolates were done using the PCR method. Presence of the 16S–23S ribosomal RNA (16S–23SrRNA) gene was analyzed using the PCR method described previously [19]. *A. baumannii* isolates were sub-cultured on TSB media (Merck, Germany) and incubated for 48 h at 37 °C. Genomic DNA was extracted from bacterial colonies using the DNA extraction kit (Cinnagen, Iran) according to manufacturer’s instruction. A pair of primers of P-Ab-ITSF (5′-CATTATCAG GGTAATTAGTG-3′) and PAb-ITSR (5′-AGAGCAGTC TGCACTTAAG-3′) (208 bp) was used for PCR amplification of the 16S–23SrDNA gene of the *A. baumannii* according to the method described by Chiang et al., (2011) [19]. Confirmed strains were maintained in slant nutrient agar (Merck, Germany) and stored at −70 °C on 20% sterile buffered glycerol.

**Antibiotic susceptibility testing**

Patterns of antimicrobial resistance of the *A. baumannii* strains were studied using the simple disk diffusion technique. The Mueller–Hinton agar (Merck, Germany) medium was used for this purpose. Susceptibility of *A. baumannii* isolates were tested against several types of antibiotics with appropriate disks containing azithromycin (15 μg), erythromycin (15 μg), rifampin (5 μg), nitrofurantoin (300 μg), chloramphenicol (30 μg), imipenem (30 μg), meropenem (10 μg), levofloxacin (5 μg), ciprofloxacin (5 μg), trimethoprim (5 μg), tetracycline (30 μg), cefazidime (30 μg), cephalotin (30 μg), trimethoprim/sulphamethoxazole (25 μg), tobramycin (10 μg), amikacin (30 μg), gentamicin (10 μg) and streptomycin (10 μg) (produced by PadTan-Teb, Iran), according to the instruction of Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute [20]. *A. baumannii*
ATCC 19606 was used for quality control organism in antimicrobial susceptibility determination.

Detection of antibiotic resistance genes and virulence factors
Thermo-cycler (Eppendorf Mastercycler 5330, Eppendorf-Nethel-Hinz GmbH, Hamburg, Germany) was used in all PCR reactions. Table 1 represents the list of primers and PCR conditions used for amplification of virulence factors and antibiotic resistance genes in the A. baumannii strains.

Molecular typing of A. baumannii isolates using the ERIC-PCR
The primer pair ERIC1: 5′-ATGTAAGCTCCTGG GGATTCCAC-3′ and ERIC2: 5′-AAGTAAGTGACTGG GGTGAGCG-3′ were used to amplify intervening fragments of ERIC in the genomic DNA extracted from the bacterial colonies [17]. Amplification reactions were performed in a final volume of 25 µL including 150 µM dNTP mixture, 1.5 U Taq enzyme, 0.5 µM each primer and 1 µL DNA template. The PCR conditions were as follows: initial denaturation (5 min at 94 °C), followed by four cycles of denaturation (1 min at 94 °C), annealing (1 min at 26 °C) and extension (1 min at 72 °C) and 40 cycles of denaturation (30 s at 94 °C), annealing (30 s at 40 °C) and extension (1 min at 72 °C), followed by the final extension at 72 °C for 10 min [17]. Amplified products of each sample were subjected to electrophoresis in 2% agarose gel containing DNA Safe Stain (CinnaGen, Iran).

Data analysis
Electrophoretic patterns were analyzed either visually or by using the BioNumerics software (Applied Maths, Sint-Martens-Latem, Belgium). The BioNumerics analysis was performed using the Dice coefficient and the unweighted pair group method of averages (UPGMA) with a 1% tolerance limit and 1% optimization. Isolates that clustered with >80% similarity were considered to belong to the same ERIC type, respectively.

Statistical analysis
Data were transferred to a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet (version 15; Microsoft Corp., Redmond, WA, USA) for analysis. Using statistical software (version 16; SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA), Chi-square test and Fisher’s exact two-tailed test analysis was performed and differences were considered significant at values of p < 0.05.

Results
Of 22 tested A. baumannii strains, 10 strains were originated from the chicken meat, 4 strains from bovine meat, 3 strains from camel meat, 3 strains from turkey meat and 2 strains from ovine meat.

Figure 1 represent the electrophoretic patterns of the ERIC types of A. baumannii strains No 1-17 isolated from raw meat samples. Figure 2 represents the electrophoretic patterns of the ERIC types of A. baumannii strains No 18-22 isolated from raw meat samples. Figure 3 shows the results of the molecular typing of the A. baumannii strains isolated from different animal origins. A. baumannii strains with 80% and more than 80% similarity in the molecular typing were considered as one cluster. In keeping with this, a total of 16 ERIC types were identified. We found that strains no 19 and 22 (originated from chicken meat), 12 and 15 (originated from chicken meat), 6 (originated from bovine meat) and 14 (originated from chicken meat), 1 and 3 (originated from chicken meat), 4 (originated from bovine meat) and 5 (originated from turkey meat) and finally 2 (originated from chicken meat) and 10 (originated from ovine meat) had similar genetic cluster and were related to the 1, 4, 9, 13, 15 and 16 genetic cluster of the A. baumannii strains, respectively.

Table 2 represents the antibiotic resistance pattern of the A. baumannii strains isolated from different animal origins. A. baumannii strains harbored the highest prevalence of resistance against tetracycline (90.90%), trimethoprim (59.09%), co-trimoxazole (54.54%) and gentamicin (50.00%) antibiotics. A. baumannii strains of similar molecular cluster (ERIC-type) showed the same pattern of antibiotic resistance (Table 2). TetA (81.81%), tetB (72.72%), dfrA1 (63.63%), aac(3)-IV (63.63%), sul1 (63.63%) and aadA1 (45.45%) were the most commonly detected antibiotic resistance genes. A. baumannii strains of the same molecular cluster had the same antibiotic resistance genes (Table 2).

Table 2 also represents the distribution of virulence factors among the A. baumannii strains isolated from meat samples of animals. FimH (81.81%), afa/draBC (63.63%), csgA (63.63%), cnf1 (59.09%), cnf2 (54.54%) and iutA (50.00%) were the most commonly detected virulence factors amongst the A. baumannii strains isolated from animal origins. A. baumannii strains of same molecular cluster (ERIC-type) had the same virulence factors.

Discussion
Acinetobacter is a nosocomial pathogen. Its ability to infect healthy hosts and its propensity to develop antimicrobial drug resistance is a cause for concern among infectious disease specialty. Although the ubiquitous existence of A. baumannii in hospital environment has been considered a routine delusion by several investigations [10, 12–14, 16, 17, 19, 21], different recent reports have undeniably highlighted the presence of the bacterium in different types of animal origins [11, 22, 23]. In recent years, nosocomial infections of A. baumannii, as an opportunistic pathogen, are increasing. Treatment of this bacteria especially multi-drug resistant and broad-spectrum beta-lactamases strains is of major concern [11, 22, 23]. These recent works
| Target gene | Primer Sequence (5′-3′) | Size of product (bp) | PCR conditions | Volume (50 μl) |
|-------------|-------------------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------|
| *draBC*     | GCTGGGCAACAAACTGATACTCTC<br>CATCAAGCTGTTTTCGGC8CCG | 750 | 1 cycle:<br>95 °C ------------ 4 min.<br>30 cycle:<br>95 °C ------------ 50 s<br>58 °C ------------ 60 s<br>72 °C ------------ 45 s | 5 μl PCR buffer 10X |
| *cnf1*      | AAGATGGAGTTTTCTAGGCAAGG<br>CATTCAAGTCTGTGCTGCTATT | 498 | 1 cycle:<br>72 °C ------------ 8 min | |
| *csgA*      | ACTCTGACTTGACTTTACC<br>AGATGGACCTGCTGACAC | 200 | | |
| *cvoC*      | CACACACAACCCGAGGAGTGT<br>CTTCCCCAGCATAGTTCAT | 680 | | |
| *iutA*      | GGCTGGAACATCGGAGACTG<br>CGTCGGAACGGGTAAGATCG | 300 | | |
| *fhuA*      | TGATTTACCCGCGGACGGCGAA<br>CAGCATGGGAGCATGTGTA | 880 | | |
| *cnf2*      | AATCTAATTAAAGAGAAC<br>CATGCTTTAATATCA | 543 | 1 cycle:<br>94 °C ------------ 6 min.<br>34 cycle:<br>95 °C ------------ 50 s<br>58 °C ------------ 70 s<br>72 °C ------------ 55 s | 5 μl PCR buffer 10X |
| *kpsMT II*  | GCCAGTTCTGATCTCTGTTG<br>CATCCAGACGAAAGCATGACA | 272 | 1 cycle:<br>72 °C ------------ 10 min | |
| *PAI*       | GGACATCTGTACACGCGGCA<br>TCGCCCAATACAGGCCGAC | 930 | | |
| *papC*      | GACGCTGCTACTGACGGGTGTGG<br>ATATCCCTCTGACGGGATGCA | 328 | | |
| *limH*      | TGCAGAAGCCGATAAACCGGGTG<br>GCACTACCTGCTCTGGTA | 508 | 1 cycle:<br>95 °C ------------ 4 min.<br>34 cycle:<br>94 °C ------------ 60 s<br>56 °C ------------ 45 s<br>72 °C ------------ 60 s | 5 μl PCR buffer 10X |
| *ibeA*      | AGGCAGGTGTTGCGCCCGGTAC<br>TGGTGCTCCGGCAACACATGC | 170 | 1 cycle:<br>72 °C ------------ 10 min | |
| *RopG II-III* | CTGTAATTACGGAAGATTTCTG<br>AATATCCCGCTCCGGAATACAT | 1070 | | |
| *sfa/focDE* | CTCGGAGAAACTGGTGACATTTAC<br>CGGAGGAATATTACAAAACGTGGAC | 410 | | |
| *teaT*      | GGTTGGTGCCGATGAGCAGACAG<br>CAGCGTTGCGCATACTTTGAG | 290 | | |
| *aadA I*    | TATCAGACTAAGCGCGGAACT<br>ATTTGCCGACTACCTGGTC | 447 | 1 cycle:<br>94 °C ------------ 6 min.<br>33 cycle:<br>95 °C ------------ 70 s<br>55 °C ------------ 65 s<br>72 °C ------------ 90 s | 5 μl PCR buffer 10X |
| *aac(3)-IV* | CTTCAGAGTTGCGAAAGTTGT<br>TCTCTGTCTGCTGGTCAT | 286 | | |
| *sul1*      | TGCCGATCTGCTATCTCC<br>ATGATATACACCTGGTTC | 822 | 1 cycle:<br>72 °C ------------ 8 min | |
| *blaSHV*    | TGCCGTTGATTTAATCCCC<br>CGCAGATAAACACCAATG | 768 | | |
| *OITM*      | TGCCGAAACTGACGGGCAA | 462 | | |
| Target gene | Primer Sequence (5’-3’) | Size of product (bp) | PCR conditions | Volume (50 μl) |
|-------------|--------------------------|----------------------|----------------|---------------|
| cat1        | AGTTGCTCAATGACCTTAAACC  | 547                  | 1 cycle: 95 0C 4 min. | 5 μL PCR buffer 10X |
|             | TCTTCTCGAAGCTGCTGCC      |                      | 30 cycle: 95 0C 45 s | 1.5 mM MgCl2 |
|             |                         |                      | 58 0C 60 s | 100 μM dNTP (Fermentas) |
|             |                         |                      | 72 0C 40 s | 1 μM of each primers F & R |
|             |                         |                      | 1 cycle: 72 0C 5 min | 2.5 μL DNA template |
| cmlA        | CCGGCCAGGTTGTTGTTTATC    | 698                  | 1 cycle: 95 0C 4 min. | 5 μL PCR buffer 10X |
|             | CACCTGGCTGCCTCCATATTAG   |                      | 30 cycle: 95 0C 45 s | 1.5 mM MgCl2 |
|             |                         |                      | 58 0C 60 s | 100 μM dNTP (Fermentas) |
|             |                         |                      | 72 0C 40 s | 1 μM of each primers F & R |
|             |                         |                      | 1 cycle: 72 0C 5 min | 2.5 μL DNA template |
| tet(A)      | GGTTCACTCGAACGAGTCGA     | 577                  | 1 cycle: 95 0C 4 min. | 5 μL PCR buffer 10X |
|             | CTGTCCGAAAGTTGCATGA      |                      | 30 cycle: 95 0C 45 s | 1.5 mM MgCl2 |
|             |                         |                      | 58 0C 60 s | 100 μM dNTP (Fermentas) |
|             |                         |                      | 72 0C 40 s | 1 μM of each primers F & R |
|             |                         |                      | 1 cycle: 72 0C 5 min | 2.5 μL DNA template |
| tet(B)      | CCTCAGCTTCTCACCGCGTG     | 634                  | 1 cycle: 95 0C 4 min. | 5 μL PCR buffer 10X |
|             | GCACCGTGCTGATGACTTTT     |                      | 30 cycle: 95 0C 45 s | 1.5 mM MgCl2 |
|             |                         |                      | 58 0C 60 s | 100 μM dNTP (Fermentas) |
|             |                         |                      | 72 0C 40 s | 1 μM of each primers F & R |
|             |                         |                      | 1 cycle: 72 0C 5 min | 2.5 μL DNA template |
| dfrA1       | GAGTGCCAAAGGTGAAACAGC    | 367                  | 1 cycle: 95 0C 4 min. | 5 μL PCR buffer 10X |
|             | GAGGCCGAAGTCTGGTGTTAAAAC |                      | 30 cycle: 95 0C 45 s | 1.5 mM MgCl2 |
|             |                         |                      | 58 0C 60 s | 100 μM dNTP (Fermentas) |
|             |                         |                      | 72 0C 40 s | 1 μM of each primers F & R |
|             |                         |                      | 1 cycle: 72 0C 5 min | 2.5 μL DNA template |
| imp         | GAATAGATGTTAATTTCTTC     | 188                  | 1 cycle: 95 0C 4 min. | 5 μL PCR buffer 10X |
|             | CCAAAACACTGGTTATATC      |                      | 30 cycle: 95 0C 45 s | 1.5 mM MgCl2 |
|             |                         |                      | 58 0C 60 s | 100 μM dNTP (Fermentas) |
|             |                         |                      | 72 0C 40 s | 1 μM of each primers F & R |
|             |                         |                      | 1 cycle: 72 0C 5 min | 2.5 μL DNA template |
| vim         | GTTGGTCGACATATCCGCAA     | 382                  | 1 cycle: 95 0C 4 min. | 5 μL PCR buffer 10X |
|             | AATGCCAGCAGCAACAGGAGTA   |                      | 30 cycle: 95 0C 45 s | 1.5 mM MgCl2 |
|             |                         |                      | 58 0C 60 s | 100 μM dNTP (Fermentas) |
|             |                         |                      | 72 0C 40 s | 1 μM of each primers F & R |
|             |                         |                      | 1 cycle: 72 0C 5 min | 2.5 μL DNA template |
| sim         | GTACAAGGGATCCGGCATCG     | 569                  | 1 cycle: 95 0C 4 min. | 5 μL PCR buffer 10X |
|             | GTACAAGGGATCCGGCATCG     |                      | 30 cycle: 95 0C 45 s | 1.5 mM MgCl2 |
|             |                         |                      | 58 0C 60 s | 100 μM dNTP (Fermentas) |
|             |                         |                      | 72 0C 40 s | 1 μM of each primers F & R |
|             |                         |                      | 1 cycle: 72 0C 5 min | 2.5 μL DNA template |
| Oxa-23-like | GATCGGAATTCGGAGAACAGA    | 501                  | 1 cycle: 95 0C 4 min. | 5 μL PCR buffer 10X |
|             | ATTTCTGACCGCATTTTCCAT    |                      | 30 cycle: 95 0C 45 s | 1.5 mM MgCl2 |
|             |                         |                      | 58 0C 60 s | 100 μM dNTP (Fermentas) |
|             |                         |                      | 72 0C 40 s | 1 μM of each primers F & R |
|             |                         |                      | 1 cycle: 72 0C 5 min | 2.5 μL DNA template |
| Oxa-24-like | GTTGATTTGCCCCCTTTAAA     | 246                  | 1 cycle: 95 0C 4 min. | 5 μL PCR buffer 10X |
|             | AGTTGACGGAAAGGGGATT      |                      | 30 cycle: 95 0C 45 s | 1.5 mM MgCl2 |
|             |                         |                      | 58 0C 60 s | 100 μM dNTP (Fermentas) |
|             |                         |                      | 72 0C 40 s | 1 μM of each primers F & R |
|             |                         |                      | 1 cycle: 72 0C 5 min | 2.5 μL DNA template |
| Oxa-51-like | TAATGTCTTGTACGGCCTTG     | 353                  | 1 cycle: 95 0C 4 min. | 5 μL PCR buffer 10X |
|             | TGGATTGCACCTCATTTG       |                      | 30 cycle: 95 0C 45 s | 1.5 mM MgCl2 |
|             |                         |                      | 58 0C 60 s | 100 μM dNTP (Fermentas) |
|             |                         |                      | 72 0C 40 s | 1 μM of each primers F & R |
|             |                         |                      | 1 cycle: 72 0C 5 min | 2.5 μL DNA template |
| Oxa-58-like | AAATTTTGCGGCTTTGCTTG     | 599                  | 1 cycle: 95 0C 4 min. | 5 μL PCR buffer 10X |
|             | CCCCCTGCGGCCCTACATAC     |                      | 30 cycle: 95 0C 45 s | 1.5 mM MgCl2 |
|             |                         |                      | 58 0C 60 s | 100 μM dNTP (Fermentas) |
|             |                         |                      | 72 0C 40 s | 1 μM of each primers F & R |
|             |                         |                      | 1 cycle: 72 0C 5 min | 2.5 μL DNA template |
**Fig. 1** Electrophoretic patterns of the ERIC types of *A. baumannii* strains No 1–17 isolated from raw meat samples, NC=Negative control, M = DNA Ladder 100 bp, 1Kb

**Fig. 2** Electrophoretic patterns of the ERIC types of *A. baumannii* strains No 18–22 isolated from raw meat samples, NC=Negative control, M = DNA Ladder 100 bp, 1Kb
have mainly been done only on the prevalence rate of *A. baumannii* and in some cases, on antibiotic susceptibility patterns. Reversely, to the best of our knowledge, the study presented here is the first report of the molecular typing, on the distribution of virulence factors and genotypic evaluation of antibiotic resistance of the *A. baumannii* strains isolated from different animal origins. A total of 22 strains of *A. baumannii* were assessed and 16 different genetic cluster were detected. Meat-derived *A. baumannii* strains may originate in the slaughterhouses, butchers and shopping centers especially due to the manipulation of the meat samples.

*A. baumannii* has previously been recognized as an animal colonizer with diverse distributions in different countries including in Scotland, 1.20% [22] and Senegal, 5.10% [24]. Rafei et al. (2015) [25] reported the high prevalence of *A. baumannii* strains in food samples with animal origins including raw meat, raw milk, and dairy products. The *A. baumannii* strains of the present research were frequently resistant to clinically relevant antibiotics. However, a few isolates displayed low levels of resistance against imipenem, azithromycin, meropenem, rifampin, levofoxacin, ceftazidime and tobramycin. This latter is still among the drugs of choice for the treatment of *A. baumannii* infections in humans and animals in Iran.

*A. baumannii* strains of the same molecular cluster (ERIC-type) had the same profile of the antibiotic resistance pattern. We also found that all of *A. baumannii* strains originated from chicken meat samples were susceptible to azithromycin and rifampin and resistant against tetracycline. These findings have been supported by several studies conducted on Lebanon [25], Switzerland [26], and France [27].

We found that molecular similarities of 19 and 22, 12 and 15, 6 and 14, 1 and 3, 4 and 5 and finally 2 and 10 *A. baumannii* strains were 84.60%, 100%, 87%, 80%, 83.30% and 80% with each other, respectively. All the other *A. baumannii* strains were classified as differing ERIC types. *A. baumannii* strains recovered from the bovine, camel, turkey and ovine meat samples didn’t show any similarity in their molecular typing and there were no similar antibiotic resistance patterns between them. Our findings showed that they also had similar phenotypic pattern of antibiotic resistance. One possible explanation for the similar molecular types of the *A. baumannii* strains recovered from different animal origins is their common source of infection. It may also be due to the close contact between bovine and chicken, bovine and turkey and between chicken and ovine species, facilitating transmission of the *A. baumannii* strains with same molecular type. Unfortunately,
| ERIC types | Numbers and source of strains | Antibiotic resistance phenotypes | Antibiotic resistance genes | *
|------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| I          | 19,22 (Chicken meat)          | SXT25, TE30, F300              |aadA1, sul1, tetB, sim, oxa-58-like | cnf1, csgA, fimH |
| II         | 20 (Chicken meat)             | GM10, TE30, TMP5, CIP5, C30, F300 | sul1, tetA, tetB, dfrA1, qns, cat1, vim | ibeA, stao/focDE, afo/draBC |
| III        | 21 (Chicken meat)             | CL30, TE30, TMP5, E15          | tetB, dfrA1, qns, cmlA, oxa-23-like | kpsMT1, PAI, ibeA, traT, afo/draBC, cfi2, csgA, fimH |
| IV         | 12,15 (Chicken meat)          | S10, GM10, SXT25, TE30, TMP5   |aadA1, aac(3)-IV, sul1, blaSHV, tetA, dfrA1 | kpsMT1, stao/focDE, cnf1, iutA |
| V          | 18 (Turkey meat)              | TOB10, CL30, CAZ30, TE30, AZM15 |aac(3)-IV, blaSHV, CTTM, tetA, tetB | cvaC, fimH |
| VI         | 16 (Ovine meat)               | GM10, AK30, SXT25, CL30, CAZ30, TE30, TMP5, CIP5, LEV5, MEM10, C30, F300, E15 |aadA1, aac(3)-IV, sul1, blaSHV, CTTM, tetA, tetB, dfrA1, cat1, cmlA, sim, oxa-51-like, oxa-23-like | kpsMT1, ibeA, papC, papGII, papGIII, traT, afo/draBC, cfi1, cfi2, csgA, iutA, fimH |
| VII        | 17 (Bovine meat)              | S10, CAZ30, TE30, TMP5, IPM10, RA5 |aadA1, aac(3)-IV, CTTM, dfrA1, imp | PAI, papC, papGII, traT, afo/draBC, cfi1, cfi2, csgA, iutA, fimH |
| VIII       | 7 (Bovine meat)               | F300, RA5                      |aadA1, CTTM, tetA, tetB, dfrA1, cat1, imp, oxa-51-like, oxa-24-like | kpsMT1, PAI, papC, papGII, traT, afo/draBC, cfi1, cfi2, csgA, iutA, fimH |
| IX         | 14 (Chicken meat)             | GM10, AK30, TOB10, CL30, TE30, CIP5, C30 |aac(3)-IV, sul1, blaSHV, tetA, tetB, dfrA1 | papGII, papGIII, afo/draBC, cnf1, cnf2, cvaC, iutA, fimH |
| X          | 9 (Camel meat)                | S10, AZM15                     |aadA1, tetA                  | PAI, papC, papGII, stao/focDE, afo/draBC, csgA, cvaC |
| XI         | 11 (Camel meat)               | GM10, TOB10, SXT25, TE30, C30, RA5 |aadA1, aac(3)-IV, sul1, tetA, tetB, cmlA | ibeA, papGII, stao/focDE, traT, afo/draBC, cfi2, csgA, cvaC, fimH |
| XII        | 8 (Chicken meat)              | S10, AK30, CAZ30, TE30, LEV5, IPM10, C30 | sul1, CTTM, tetA, tetB, qns, cat1, cmlA, vim, oxa-51-like, oxa-23-like, oxa-24-like | PAI, ibeA, papGII, stao/focDE, cnf2, iutA, fimH |
| XIII       | 13 (Chicken meat)             | SXT25, TE30, TMP5, CIP5, MEM10, E15 |aac(3)-IV, sul1, CTTM, tetA, tetB, dfrA1 | cnf2, csgA, iutA, fimH |
| XIV        | 13 (Turkey meat)              | TOB10, TE30, TMP5, CIP5, LEV5, E15 |aadA1, tetA, tetB, dfrA1, oxa-51-like, oxa-24-like | ibeA, papC, stao/focDE, fimH |
| XV         | 5 (Turkey meat)               | GM10, TOB10, SXT25, TE30, TEPS, E15 |aac(3)-IV, sul1, tetA, tetB | afo/draBC, cnf1, cnf2, csgA, cvaC, fimH |
| XVI        | 2 (Camel meat)                | S10, GM10, TE30, TMP5          |aac(3)-IV, tetA, dfrA1, oxa-58-like | stao/focDE, afo/draBC, cnf1, csgA, cvaC, iutA, fimH |

In this table: S10 = Streptomycin, GM10 = Gentamicin, AK30 = Amikacin, TOB10 = Tobramycin, SXT25 = Trimethoprim/sulphamethaxazole, CL30 = Cephalothin, CAZ30 = Ceftazidime, TE30 = Tetracycline, TMP5 = Trimethoprim, CIP5 = Ciprofloxacin, LEV5 = Levofloxacin, IPM10 = Imipenem, MEM10 = Meropenem, C30 = Chloramphenicol, F300 = Nitrofurantoin, AZM15 = Azithromycin, RA5 = Rifampin, E15 = Erythromycin.
Iranian ranchers frequently maintain and breed different species of animals and especially bovine, ovine and caprine species in contact to each other. This may cause easy transmission of the *A. baumannii* strains between different species. The high genetic diversity of strains isolated from meat samples has also previously been reported by Lupo et al. (2014) [26] and Carvalheiro et al. (2017) [28]. Recent research [21] revealed the similar antibiotic resistance pattern of the *A. baumannii* strains isolated from different types of raw meat samples. They showed that the prevalence of resistance against trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, tetracycline, amikacin, tobramycin, ampicillin-sulbactam, meropenem and imipenem were 23.20%, 23.20%, 14.30%, 12.50%, 12.50%, 8.30% and 1.20%, respectively which was different to our findings. The prevalence of antibiotic resistant bacteria in meat samples has been attributed, at least partially, to the extensive use of antimicrobials for treatment, prevention and control of diseases and finally for growth stimulate in food-producing animals, since this enhances the antimicrobial selective pressure for strains present. Otherwise, using antibiotics for growth stimulation is allowed in Iran. Low prevalence rate of resistance against carbapenems is due to the fact that these antibiotics are not allowed to treat food-producing animals.

Phenotypic characterization of antibiotic resistance was also confirmed by genotyping analysis of antibiotic resistance. We identified the genes for resistances to aminoglycosides (*aadA1* and *aac(3)-IV*), beta-lactams (*bla*SHV and *bla*CTM), chloramphenicol (*catI* and *cmlA*), tetracyclines (*tetA* and *tetB*), sulfonamides (*sul1* and *dfrA1*), carbencilins (*imp*, *sim* and *vim*) and to fluoroquinolones (*qnr*). Furthermore, *A. baumannii* strains harbored their own specific antibiotic resistance genes (Table 2). This part of our study was in agreement with previous researches [21, 29]. Additionally, we found that *A. baumannii* strains of the same molecular cluster (ERIC-type) had the same profile of the antibiotic resistance genes, as follows: strains no 1 and 3 of the same ERIC-type (type XIII) were positive for *CITM*, *tetA*, *tetB*, *aac(3)-IV* and *sul1* which showed their same genetic pattern of antibiotic resistance. Strains no 12 and 15 of the same ERIC-type (type IV) were positive for *tetA*, *aadA1*, *aac(3)-IV*, *bla*SHV, *dfrA1* and *sul1*. Strains no 19 and 22 of the same ERIC-type (type I) were positive for *tetB*, *aadA1*, *sim*, *oxa-58-like* and *sul1*. Despite of the different animal origin of the *A. baumannii* strains no 6 and 14 of the same ERIC-type (type IX), they were positive for *tetA*, *tetB*, *aac(3)-IV*, *sul1*, *dfrA1* and *bla*SHV antibiotic resistance genes. Additionally, *A. baumannii* strains no 4 and 5 of the same ERIC-type (type XV) had different origins but they harbored *tetA*, *tetB*, *sul1* and *aac(3)-IV* antibiotic resistance genes. Finally, *A. baumannii* strains no 2 and 10 of the same ERIC-type (type XVI) were positive for *tetA*, *aac(3)-IV*, *dfrA1* and *oxa-58-like* antibiotic resistance. *A. baumannii* strains of other ERIC types had unique pattern of antibiotic resistance.

Virulence of *A. baumannii* is dependent on several other attributes (i.e. “slime”, LPS production and etc.) beside the selected virulence genes listed here [30, 31].

Another notable finding is the high prevalence of certain virulence factors in the *A. baumannii* strains isolated from meat of different animals. The most commonly detected virulence genes amongst these *A. baumannii* strains were *fimH*, *afa/draBC*, *csgA*, *cnf1*, *cnf2* and *iutA*. Prevalence of non-adhesive virulence factors including *traI* (serum resistance), *cvaC* (colicin V), *ibeA* (invasion), *fyuA* (yersinia-bactin) and *PAI* (indicator gene) were low. This finding is in agreement with those of previous researches [30, 31]. Non-adhesive virulence factors play an important role in bacterial survival in special conditions such as in human blood and exposure to serum and poor iron environments contributing to the pathogenesis of extra intestinal diseases. However, presence of these non-adhesive virulence factors may not be essential for pathogenicity of food-borne enteric diseases. The gene *iutA* (aerobactin) has a high prevalence (50.00%) in our strains. Darvishi (2016) [32] reported that the prevalence of *cnf1*, *csgA*, *cvaC* and *iutA* virulence factors amongst the *A. baumannii* strains isolated from hospitalized patients were 50%, 70%, 10% and 25%, respectively. Daryanavard and Safaei (2015) [33] reported that the total prevalence of *csgA*, *cnf1*, *cvaC* and *iutA* virulence genes among the samples of UTIs were 55%, 40%, 10% and 30%, respectively which was similar to our findings. Montaz et al. (2015) [13] reported that the prevalence of *csgA*, *cnf1*, *cvaC* and *iutA* virulence genes among the *A. baumannii* strains of clinical infections in Iran were 12.39%, 35.53%, 21.48% and 19%, respectively which was lower than our results.

We also found that the *A. baumannii* strains of the same molecular cluster (ERIC-type) had the same virulence factors. Despite of the different origin of the *A. baumannii* strains no 6 and 14 of the same ERIC-type (type IX), they were both positive for *fimH*, *fyuA*, *cvaC*, *csgA*, *cnf2*, *papGII*, *papGIII*, and *afa/draBC* virulence factors. Furthermore, *A. baumannii* strains no 4 and 5 of the same ERIC-type (molecular type XV) had different origins but they both harbored *fimH*, *cvaC*, *csgA*, *cnf1*, *afa/draBC* and *cnf2* virulence factors. Finally, *A. baumannii* strains no 2 and 10 of the same ERIC-type (type XVI) were positive for *fimH*, *fyuA*, *csgA*, *afa/draBC*, *cvaC*, *iutA*, *cnf1*, and *sfa/focDE* virulence factors. These high similarities in the pattern of virulence factors of the *A. baumannii* strains of clinical infections recovered from different origins showed that genetic cluster of bacterial strains is closely related to their virulence determinants.

*A. baumannii* strains isolated from the chicken meat samples had similar molecular type. *A. baumannii* strains isolated from other meat samples did not fall into common molecular types. Two *A. baumannii* strains of bovine origins had similar molecular type with turkey
and chicken. A camel and ovine A. baumannii strains had also similar molecular type.

Conclusions
In conclusion, we studied the phenotypic and genotypic patterns of antibiotic resistance of the A. baumannii strains isolated from meat samples of different animals. High prevalence of resistance against tetracycline, trimethoprim, co-trimoxazole and gentamicin antibiotics and high distribution of tetA, tetB, dfrA1, aac(3)-IV, sul1 and aadA1 antibiotic resistance genes was established. Besides, fimH, afa/draBC, csgA, cnf1, cnf2 and iutA were the most commonly detected virulence factors amongst the A. baumannii strains of our study. Twenty-two A. baumannii strains were classified into the 16 different genetic clusters according to the results of the ERIC-PCR. We also found that genetic cluster of the strains was closely related to their antibiotic resistance genes and virulence factors. Similarities in the genetic cluster of some strains was also recorded which may represent their similar sources of contamination.
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