Infusion warm during selective hypothermia in acute ischemic stroke
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Abstract:

INTRODUCTION: Mechanical thrombectomy (MT) has dramatically improved the prognosis for acute ischemic stroke (AIS) patients. Despite high recanalization rates, up to half of the patients will not present a good neurological outcome after MT. Therapeutic hypothermia is perhaps the most robust neuroprotectant studied preclinically.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: We explored various warming effects that can reduce the effectiveness or potency of selective hypothermia during AIS under conditions similar to actual clinical care. Four different selective hypothermia layouts were chosen. Layouts 1 and 2 used a single catheter without and with an insulated IV bag. Layouts 3 and 4 used two catheters arrange coaxially, without and with an insulated IV bag. Independent variables measured were IV bag exit temperature, catheter inlet temperature, and catheter outlet temperature at four different flow rates ranging from 8 to 25 ml/min over an infusion duration of 20 min.

RESULTS: Dominant warming occurs along the catheter pathway compared to warming along the infusion line pathway, ranging from 66% to 72%. Coaxial configurations provided an approximate 4°C cooler temperature benefit on delivered infusate over a single catheter. Brain tissue temperature predictions show that the maximum cooling layout, Layout 4 at maximum flow provides a 1°C within 5 min.

CONCLUSION: Significant rewarming effects occur along the infusate flow path from IV bag to site of injury in the brain. Previous selective hypothermia clinical work, using flow rates and equipment at conditions similar to our study, likely produced rapid but not deep tissue cooling in the brain (~ 1°C).
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Introduction

Mechanical thrombectomy (MT) has dramatically improved the prognosis for acute ischemic stroke (AIS) patients. Today, it is the accepted standard of care.¹⁻⁷ However, despite high recanalization rates (66%–94%), up to half of the patients will not present a good neurological outcome after MT.⁸⁻¹⁰ In a recent meta-analysis of five randomized trials, only 46% of patients treated with MT achieved functional independence at 90 days, and approximately, 15% of patients died at 90 days posttreatment.¹¹

Paradoxically, restoring blood flow saves tissue but also brings on reperfusion injury (RI).¹¹⁻¹⁴ RI can account for a significant amount of damage and depends on ischemic duration.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ To further improve AIS patient outcomes, neuroprotective strategies are needed. Thus far, no neuroprotective has been implemented into standard AIS patient care.¹⁸

Therapeutic hypothermia (TH) is perhaps the most robust neuroprotectant studied preclinically.¹²,¹⁹ TH regulates numerous pathways involved in the evolution of ischemic stroke. Brain Circ 2019;5:218-24.
ischemic stroke showing benefit in animal models. Moreover, its effects are multimechanistic, impacting an immense repertoire of metabolic and molecular cell death mechanisms, including suppressing free radical production, limiting inflammatory mediators, modifying ischemia-mediated calcium influx, and reducing blood–brain barrier disruption. In a meta-analysis of over 100 published preclinical studies, the greatest tissue salvage efficacy was associated with cooler tissue temperatures (<31°C) when initiated early–before or at onset of ischemia.

This paper explores selective TH via intracarotid saline infusion cooling. We specifically sought to quantify warming effects that can reduce the effectiveness or potency of this technique. Using an in vitro study, we seek to answer these fundamental questions. Where does the warming effect occur and to what extent? What are the dynamics of this warming? How do single infusion catheters and two coaxial catheters compare? Finally, what is the predicted impact of these warming effects of brain tissue cooling dynamics?

Materials and Methods

Our work focused on selective hypothermia, exploring the impact of infusion warming effects on predicted brain cooling dynamics. The in vitro infusion setup [Figure 1] was selected to be similar to prior brain cooling clinical studies. The independent variables were saline infusion flow rate, catheter configuration (single or two coaxial), and IV bag insulation (insulated or uninsulated). Fixed variables included IV bag type, aorta and internal carotid flow rate, IV bag initial exit temperature, infusion duration, and systemic temperature. Dependent variables measured over the infusion duration were IV bag exit temperature and catheter inlet and exit temperature. Brain cooling temperature response was predicted based, in part, on measured catheter exit temperatures and flow rates.

Materials and preparation

Distilled water was used instead of 0.9% isotonic saline for ease of use. Distilled water and saline have nearly identical thermal fluid properties. The freeze point for distilled water is 0°C, for isotonic saline, it is −0.53. Two common interventional devices were used a 5.5F Penumbra Select and 8F Stryker FlowGate Balloon Guide Catheter. Standard 1 L IV bags from Baxter (Deerfield, Chicago, IL, USA) were used together with a Baxter two-channel infusion pump. Baxter standard infusion line tubing (ILT) was used with both channels. To insulate the IV bag, we used a pressure infuser bag from Emergency Medical Products, Inc., Dublin OH. A Hotpoint freezer (General Electric, Boston, MA, USA) was used to cool and store the IV bags prior to use. All temperature probes were calibrated to ± 0.3°C using an resistance temperature detector temperature probe (Cole Parmer, Vernon Hills, Chicago, IL, USA). Flow rates were calibrated gravimetrically to ± 0.6 ml/min for the carotid flow and ± 50 ml/min for the aortic flow. Each temperature sensor was T-type thermal couple (Physitemp, Clifton, NJ, USA) placed in T-junction plastic fittings with insulation to avoid immersion errors.

Testing protocol

To carefully explore warming effects during intracarotid cooling, a mock circulatory loop previously described,
and an infusion system was used [Figure 1]. This mock loop creates steady flow conditions since time-averaged pulsatile flow heat transfer coefficients are equivalent. A LabView program (National Instruments, Austin, TX, USA) was used to continuously monitor flows and temperatures at a sampling rate of 1 Hz. Four flow rate settings on the Baxter two-channel infusion pump were chosen 10, 20, 30, and 40 ml/min based on prior clinical studies. Actual flow rates, measured gravimetrically were less due to catheter configuration flow resistance. Aorta and internal carotid artery (ICA) flow were maintained at 3,500 ± 200 ml/min and 240 ± 30 ml/min, respectively. Two catheter configurations were used, single catheter and two coaxial catheters and two IV bag configurations were chosen, insulated and noninsulated. Coaxial catheters have additional insulation along the catheter shaft due to the surrounding outer catheter and the annulus of stagnant blood or infusate. In the end, a total of 16 different tests (4 flows * 2 catheter configurations * 2 IV bag config.) were done in triplicate.

With the mock loop at a steady-state temperature of 37°C ± 2°C, a chilled IV bag was taken from the freezer and connected to the infusion pump and the inserted catheter configuration using the ILT. With leak-free and deaired connections in place, the infusion pump was activated at one of the four prescribed flow rate settings and continued for a duration of 20 min, a value similar to previous clinical studies. To ensure initial condition equivalence for this inherently transient thermal process (the IV bag temperature is not fixed), data capture commenced when the IV bag exit temperature reached 4°C ± 0.5°C. Data capture of all independent, fixed, and dependent variables were taken at one sample per second using a LabView program (National Instruments, Austin, TX, USA) and stored for postprocessing with Excel (Microsoft Inc., Redmond, WA, USA) and Matlab (Mathworks Inc., Natick, MA, USA).

**Brain tissue cooling predictions**

Predicted brain tissue dynamics was based on previous research for brain tissue cooling directly distal to an occlusion in the middle cerebral artery (MCA). In their study, the Pennes’ bioheat equation was used assuming that the metabolic rate was negligible, and conductive heat transport into the cooled tissue was negligible. The only flow delivered to the tissue in this study was microcatheter delivered cooled saline. For our study, we have assumed a total mass of interest of 300 g surrounding the MCA with no metabolic heat generation and an initial temperature of 37°C. We assume the ICA is approximately 8.5 cm long and the inner diameter is 4.8 mm. We also assume cooling occurs proximal to a MCA without an occlusion. In this case, we assume the brain arteries are autoregulated with a total flow rate (saline infused + blood) in the ICA of 240 ml/min with approximately 50% traveling to the region of interest in the MCA. Ultimately, the mixed temperature (saline infused + blood) arrives at the region of interest and is used to predict brain tissue cooling.

**Analysis**

Measured data for all temperatures, IV bag exit, catheter inlet, and catheter outlet are presented as means ± standard deviation. To compare mean values, an ANOVA was used with a Tukey–Kramer honestly significant difference (HSD) post hoc test. JMP Pro 15 (SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina, USA) was applied.

**Results**

We studied a total of four different infusion layouts ranging from least likely to reduce warming effects to most likely to reduce warming effects:

- **Layout 1** – single catheter with uninsulated IV bag
- **Layout 2** – single catheter with insulated IV bag
- **Layout 3** – two coaxial catheters with uninsulated IV bag
- **Layout 4** – two coaxial catheters with insulated bag.

Distilled water temperatures (T1-T4, [Figure 1]) in degrees Celsius and flow rate in ml/min were recorded for each layout over a 20-min infusion duration.

Table 1 shows a direct comparison for all the layouts at maximum flow at the end of testing. Despite the advantage of an insulated IV bag, the impact on the final delivery temperature is small, <1°C. This outcome was true for all flow rates. The dominant warming process occurs along with the catheter configuration. The coaxial catheter configurations provide approximately 4°C cooler catheter outlet temperatures compared with single catheter configurations. ANOVA and Tukey–Kramer HSD tests showed that the coaxial catheter Layouts (#3 and #4) are significantly different than single catheter Layouts (#1 and #2).

Exploring Layout 1 outcomes, testing [Figure 2] showed that the dominant warming occurs inside the catheter, as

| Table 1: Average intravenous bag outlet, catheter inlet, and catheter outlet temperatures in degrees Celsius for four different layouts at a flow rate of 25.0±0.5 ml/min after a 20-min infusion duration |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Single catheter insulated | Two coaxial catheters insulated | Two coaxial catheters insulated |
| **Location** | **Uninsulated** | **Insulated** | **Uninsulated** | **Insulated** |
| **IV bag outlet** | 7.22±0.53 | 5.30±0.24 | 7.72±0.29 | 5.60±0.53 |
| **Catheter inlet** | 14.13±0.55 | 12.66±0.19 | 13.73±0.27 | 13.69±0.18 |
| **Catheter outlet** | 30.34±0.49 | 30.56±0.14 | 25.96±0.15 | 26.39±0.34 |
the chilled infusion enters the proximal hub and exits the distal tip. After 20 min of infusion, the average warming along the catheter was 19.12°C ± 2.00°C, whereas the average warming along the ILT was 7.56°C ± 1.95°C. Said differently, the percentage warming along the catheter – defined as the temperature increase along the catheter divided by the total temperature rise (Catheter out–IV bag exit) *100% – was 72% ± 5%, considering all flow rates. Along the ILT in Layout 1, the percentage warming was 28% ± 5%, considering all flow rates.

Exploring Layout 4 outcomes, testing [Figure 3] again showed that the dominant warming occurs inside the catheter, as the chilled infusion enters the proximal hub and exits the distal tip. After 20 min of infusion, the average warming along the catheter was 16.89°C ± 2.97°C, whereas the average warming along the ILT was 8.73°C ± 2.16°C. Said differently, the percentage warming along the catheter – defined as the temperature increase along the catheter divided by the total temperature rise (Catheter out–IV bag exit) *100% – was 66% ± 5% considering all flow rates. Along the ILT in Layout 4, the percentage warming was 34% ± 5%, considering all flow rates.

In terms of warming as a function of time, only the IV bag experienced temperatures elevations >1° over 20 min or >3°C/h. For Layout 1 with the uninsulated bag, the average temperature rise was 2.72°C ± 0.39°C in 20 min. As expected for Layout 4 with the insulated bag, the average temperature rise was decreased significantly 1.10°C ± 0.58°C over 20 min. Because the thermal mass (mass * specific heat) of the IV bag is reduced more quickly with higher flow rates, the infusate remaining in the IV bag experiences more temperature change over time, regardless of layout.

For all layouts, overall infusion warming, indicated by catheter outlet temperature, is reduced with increasing infusion flow rates. This can be explained by assuming the thermal resistances to heat transfer (catheter wall conduction, blood-side convection, and infusate-side convection) all remain constant. This is reasonable since the wall thickness and materials are constant, and the convective heat transfer coefficients are constant or nearly constant along the catheter configuration internal and external surfaces. With the resistances constant and the driving temperature difference constant (T_blood–T_distilled_water), the key variable impacting heat transfer to the distilled water is the amount of time and the distilled water is exposed to the warm environment. Increasing infusion flow rates reduce exposure or residence time, reducing warming effects.

Using the temperature measurements shared above and recently published work on insulated infusion systems, we applied Pennes Bioheat equation to predict brain tissue temperatures surrounding the MCA [Figure 4]. As expected Layout 1, a single catheter without an insulated IV bag at low flow revealed little or no tissue cooling. Layout 2 at the low flow also revealed little tissue cooling. Tripling the flow, both layouts cooled more deeply.

Compared with whole-body cooling systems, the coaxial catheter configuration at high flow provides rapid tissue temperature reduction of about 1°C in 5 min or 12°C/h.

Discussion

Our study reveals a number of important outcomes for clinical application of selective hypothermia for AIS. While numerous other studies have looked at warming for...
of infusate outside the human body, tubing, and IV bags, few researchers have looked at the entire pathway for IV bag to delivery deep inside the human body with catheters.

First, there appears to be an underappreciation for the warming effect break down during the application of selective hypothermia for AIS. From this study, with its limitations noted below, it is reasonable to conclude that approximately two-thirds or more of the total warming from IV bag to location of brain injury occurs along the catheter or coaxial catheter configuration. A third or less of the total warming takes place in the ILT that connects the IV bag to the catheter while passing through an infusion pump.

Second, insulation can help reduce warming significantly. Insulating the IV bag becomes more important as infusion rates increase. Higher infusion rates drain the bag more quickly leaving less thermal mass inside the IV bag, making it more prone to warming. Other researchers confirmed this behavior as well looking at 12 different application regimes (various IV bag volumes and flow rates).\[44\] In our study, IV bag insulation had virtually no impact on catheter exit or delivery temperatures. Again, at high flow rates, this would likely change and bring on the need for IV bag insulation.

Overall, our IV bag results are in line with previous findings where rate of 1 L IV bag warming at flow rates of 48.2 ml/min ± 3.7 ml/min was not rapid with or without insulation.\[40\] Other researchers have also taken a step farther and have explored inserting ice packs into insulating sleeves to maximize cooling effect and reduce warming.\[46,47\]

Third, the ILT and the catheter offer the greatest return for insulative approaches. Previous work, motivated by the use of TH for cardiac arrest victim, used normal saline at 4°C at 30 ml/min and 15 ml/min to clearly identify that the ILT was a major culprit in limiting effective TH.\[48\] To reduce ILT warming, this group applied cold packs to a coiled ILT. Another team of researchers exploring whole-body cooling, not selective brain cooling, used household aluminum foil to insulate the ILT for flow rates ranging from 10 to 100 ml/min, showing dramatic reducing in ILT warming.\[47\] They stated that the aluminum foil provides two methods for insulation: reflecting thermal radiation from the environment and limiting thermal conduction by trapping air pockets around the tubing.

In terms of catheter insulation, a recent preclinical study, based on previous theoretical models for intracarotid cooling with insulative infusion systems, showed significant tissue reductions and subsequent infarct size reductions.\[43,49\] At the same time, regardless of the layout used, cooler delivery temperatures and more rapid tissue cooling could be achieved with higher infusion rates. Organ perfusion overload and hemodilution remain clinical safety concerns for improved performance with higher infusion rates. Insulative systems may enable optimal cooling while reducing patient safety risks.

**Limitations**

Our study was conducted under a controlled environment in terms of consistent surrounding temperature and humidity levels. There were no random patients or end-user events that could potentially increase warming effects such as intermittent infusion, holding the infusion line or IV bag, and beginning an infusion with a small volume of infusate. The number of catheter configurations was also small considering the volume of potential catheters that could be explored. This same limitation is also true for the number of flow rates examined and the range of IV bag volumes studied.

**Conclusion**

In light of this work, previous work done in this area using flow rates around 10 ml/min will likely not cool tissue significantly (0.1°C or less).\[34\] At the same time, significant cooling could be possible if the cooling was done distal to an occlusion where no blood mixing occurred with the infusate exiting a delivery catheter.\[29\] Higher infusion flow rates can produce rapid tissue cooling relative to whole-body cooling systems; however, the depth will likely be close to 1.0°C at the maximum flows studied here. Continued research in this area of selective hypothermia of AIS should carefully consider predicted brain tissue temperature dynamics, in terms of target temperature and time to reach the target...
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temperature, before selecting their infusion system and their infusion flow rates.

Financial support and sponsorship
We gratefully acknowledge the support of NIH Grant # 5R25EB014782-05. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not reflect the opinions of the sponsors.

Conflicts of interest
TL Merrill, JE Mitchell, and DR Merrill are all employees of FocalCool, LLC, a company developing brain cooling technology.

References
1. Goyal M, Menon BK, van Zwam WH, Dippel DW, Mitchell PJ, Demchuk AM, et al. Endovascular thrombectomy after large-vessel ischaemic stroke: A meta-analysis of individual patient data from five randomised trials. Lancet 2016;387:1723-31.
2. Berkhemer OA, Fransen PS, Beumer D, van den Berg LA, Lingsma HF, Yoo AJ, et al. A randomized trial of intraarterial treatment for acute ischemic stroke. N Engl J Med 2015;372:11-20.
3. Campbell BC, Donnan GA, Lees KR, Hacke W, Khatiri P, Hill MD, et al. Endovascular stent thrombectomy: The new standard of care for large vessel ischaemic stroke. Lancet Neuro 2015;14:84-54.
4. Goyal M, Demchuk AM, Menon BK, Eesa M, Rempel J, Thornton J, et al. Randomized assessment of rapid endovascular treatment of ischemic stroke. N Engl J Med 2015;372:1019-30.
5. Jovin TG, Chamorro A, Cobo E, de Miguel MA, Molina CA, Kovira A, et al. Thrombectomy within 6 hours after symptom onset in ischemic stroke. N Engl J Med 2015;372:2296-306.
6. Saver JL, Goyal M, Bonafe A, Diener HC, Levy EI, Pereira VM, et al. Stent-retriever thrombectomy by intravenous t-PA vs. t-PA alone in stroke. N Engl J Med 2015;372:2285-95.
7. Powers WJ, Rabinstein AA, Ackerson T, Adeoye OM, Bambakidis NC, Becker K, et al. 2018 guidelines for the early management of patients with acute ischemic stroke: A guideline for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke 2018;49:e46-110.
8. Zaidat OO, Bozorgchahi H, Ribó M, Saver JL, Mattle HP, Chapot R, et al. Primary results of the multicenter arise II study (analysis of revascularization in ischemic stroke with embotrap). Stroke 2018;49:1107-15.
9. Nogueira RG, JadHAV AP, Haussen DC, Bonafe A, Budzik RF, Bhuvu P, et al. Thrombectomy 6 to 24 hours after stroke with a mismatch between deficit and infarct. N Engl J Med 2018;378:11-21.
10. Albers GW, Marks MP, Kemp S, Christensen S, Tsai JP, Ortega-Gutierrez S, et al. Thrombectomy for stroke at 6 to 16 hours with selection by perfusion imaging. N Engl J Med 2018;378:708-18.
11. Molina CA, Saver JL. Extending reperfusion therapy for acute ischemic stroke: Emerging pharmacological, mechanical, and imaging strategies. Stroke 2005;36:2311-20.
12. Wu TC, Grotta JC. Hypothermia for acute ischemic stroke. Lancet Neurol 2013;12:275-84.
13. Bai J, Lyden PD. Revisiting cerebral postischemic reperfusion injury: New insights in understanding reperfusion failure, hemorrhage, and edema. Int J Stroke 2010;5:1043-52.
14. Lyden PD, Lamb J, Kothari S, Toossi S, Boitano P, Rajput PS. Differential effects of hypothermia on neurovascular unit determine protective or toxic results: Toward optimized therapeutic hypothermia. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 2019;39:1693-709.
15. Aronowskij J, Strong R, Grotta JC. Reperfusion injury: Demonstration of brain damage produced by reperfusion after transient focal ischemia in rats. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 1997;17:1048-56.
16. Khatri R, McKinney AM, Swenson B, Janardhan V. Blood-brain barrier, reperfusion injury, and hemorrhagic transformation in acute ischemic stroke. Neurology 2012;79:S52-7.
17. L L, X W, Z Y. Ischemia-reperfusion Injury in the Brain: Mechanisms and Potential Therapeutic Strategies. Biochem Pharmacol (Los Angeles) 2016;5: pii: 213.
18. Linfante I, Cipolla MJ. Improving Reperfusion Therapies in the Era of Mechanical Thrombectomy. Transl Stroke Res 2016;7:294-302.
19. Kurisu K, Yenari MA. Therapeutic hypothermia for ischemic stroke: pathophysiology and future promise. Neuropsychopharmacology 2018;134:302-9.
20. van der Worp HB, Sena ES, Donnan GA, Howells DW, Macleod MR. Hypothermia in animal models of acute ischemic stroke: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Brain 2007;130:3063-74.
21. Esposito E, Ebner M, Ziemann U, Poli S. In cold blood: Intraarterial cold infusions for selective brain cooling in stroke. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 2014;34:743-52.
22. Froehler MT, Ovbiagele B. Therapeutic hypothermia for acute ischemic stroke. Expert Rev Cardiovasc Ther 2010;8:593-603.
23. Dumitrescu OM, Lamb J, Lyden PD. Still cooling after all these years: Meta-analysis of pre-clinical trials of therapeutic hypothermia for acute ischemic stroke. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 2016;36:1157-64.
24. Rewell SS, Jeffreys AL, Sastra SA, Cox SF, Fernandez JA, Aleksoska E, et al. Hypothermia revisited: Impact of ischaemic duration and between experiment variability. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 2017;37:3380-90.
25. Patel RAG, McMullen PW. Neuroprotection in the Treatment of Acute Ischemic Stroke. Prog Cardiovasc Dis 2017;59:542-8.
26. Yenari MA, Han HS. Neuroprotective mechanisms of hypothermia in brain ischemia. Nat Rev Neurosci 2012;13:267-78.
27. Zhao H, Steinberg GK, Sapolsky RM. General versus specific actions of mild-moderate hypothermia in attenuating cerebral ischemic damage. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 2007;27:1879-94.
28. Wu C, Zhao W, An H, Wu L, Chen J, Hussain M, et al. Safety, feasibility, and potential efficacy of intraarterial selective cooling infusion for stroke patients treated with mechanical thrombectomy. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 2018;38:2251-60.
29. Choi JH, Marshall RS, Neimark MA, Konstas AA, Lin E, Chiang YT, et al. Selective brain cooling with endovascular intracavitary infusion of cold saline: A pilot feasibility study. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2010;31:928-34.
30. Lide DR, editor. CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics. 86th ed. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press; 2005. p. 8-71.
31. Figiola, RS, Beasely DE. Temperature measurements. In: Theory and Design for Mechanical Measurements. 4th ed. New York: Wiley; 2006. p. 282-340.
32. Merrill TL, Mitchell JE, Merrill DR. Heat transfer analysis of endovascular stent thrombectomy: The new standard of care for large vessel ischaemic stroke. Lancet Neuro 2015;14:84-54.
33. Yu J, Li Z, Zhao TS. An analytical study of pulsating laminar heat convection in a circular tube with constant heat flux. Int J Heat and Mass Transfer 1997;17:1048-56.
34. Wolfer HB, Sena ES, Donnan GA, Howells DW, Macleod MR. Hypothermia in animal models of acute ischemic stroke: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Brain 2007;130:3063-74.
35. Esposito E, Ebner M, Ziemann U, Poli S. In cold blood: Intraarterial cold infusions for selective brain cooling in stroke. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 2014;34:743-52.
36. Froehler MT, Ovbiagele B. Therapeutic hypothermia for acute ischemic stroke. Expert Rev Cardiovasc Ther 2010;8:593-603.
37. Yenari MA, Han HS. Neuroprotective mechanisms of hypothermia in brain ischemia. Nat Rev Neurosci 2012;13:267-78.
38. Zhao H, Steinberg GK, Sapolsky RM. General versus specific actions of mild-moderate hypothermia in attenuating cerebral ischemic damage. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 2007;27:1879-94.
39. Wu C, Zhao W, An H, Wu L, Chen J, Hussain M, et al. Safety, feasibility, and potential efficacy of intraarterial selective cooling infusion for stroke patients treated with mechanical thrombectomy. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 2018;38:2251-60.
40. Choi JH, Marshall RS, Neimark MA, Konstas AA, Lin E, Chiang YT, et al. Selective brain cooling with endovascular intracavitary infusion of cold saline: A pilot feasibility study. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2010;31:928-34.
Nedeltchev K, et al. Locally induced hypothermia for treatment of acute ischaemic stroke: A physical feasibility study. Neuroradiology 2004;46:923-34.

37. Neimark, MA, Konstas, AA, Laine AF and Pile-Spellman, J. Heat Transfer Model of Hypothermic Intracarotid Infusion of Cold Saline for Stroke Therapy. 2006 International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society, New York, NY; 2006, p. 363-366.

38. Neimark, MA, Konstas, AA, Laine AF and Pile-Spellman, J. Heat Transfer Model of Hypothermic Intracarotid Infusion of Cold Saline for Stroke Therapy. Neuroradiology 2004;46:923-34.

37. Neimark, MA, Konstas, AA, Laine AF and Pile-Spellman, J. Heat Transfer Model of Hypothermic Intracarotid Infusion of Cold Saline for Stroke Therapy. 2006 International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society, New York, NY; 2006, p. 363-366.

38. Neimark, MA, Konstas, AA, Laine AF and Pile-Spellman, J. Heat Transfer Model of Hypothermic Intracarotid Infusion of Cold Saline for Stroke Therapy. Neuroradiology 2004;46:923-34.

37. Neimark, MA, Konstas, AA, Laine AF and Pile-Spellman, J. Heat Transfer Model of Hypothermic Intracarotid Infusion of Cold Saline for Stroke Therapy. 2006 International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society, New York, NY; 2006, p. 363-366.

38. Neimark, MA, Konstas, AA, Laine AF and Pile-Spellman, J. Heat Transfer Model of Hypothermic Intracarotid Infusion of Cold Saline for Stroke Therapy. Neuroradiology 2004;46:923-34.

37. Neimark, MA, Konstas, AA, Laine AF and Pile-Spellman, J. Heat Transfer Model of Hypothermic Intracarotid Infusion of Cold Saline for Stroke Therapy. 2006 International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society, New York, NY; 2006, p. 363-366.

38. Neimark, MA, Konstas, AA, Laine AF and Pile-Spellman, J. Heat Transfer Model of Hypothermic Intracarotid Infusion of Cold Saline for Stroke Therapy. Neuroradiology 2004;46:923-34.

37. Neimark, MA, Konstas, AA, Laine AF and Pile-Spellman, J. Heat Transfer Model of Hypothermic Intracarotid Infusion of Cold Saline for Stroke Therapy. 2006 International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society, New York, NY; 2006, p. 363-366.

38. Neimark, MA, Konstas, AA, Laine AF and Pile-Spellman, J. Heat Transfer Model of Hypothermic Intracarotid Infusion of Cold Saline for Stroke Therapy. Neuroradiology 2004;46:923-34.