Community Composition and Metabolic Potential of Endophytic Actinobacteria From Coastal Salt Marsh Plants in Jiangsu, China
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The diversity and functional roles of the plant associated endophytic actinobacteria in unique habitats remain poorly understood. In this paper, we examined the phylogenetic diversity and community composition of endophytic actinobacteria associated with native coastal salt marsh plants in Jiangsu, China using a combination of cultivation and 16S rRNA gene-based high-throughput sequencing (HTS) methods. Further, we evaluated the antifungal, fibrinolytic activities and the secondary metabolite biosynthesis potential of isolates via gene screening. A total of 278 actinobacterial isolates were isolated from 19 plant samples. 16S rRNA gene sequencing revealed that the isolates were highly diverse and belonged to 23 genera within the Actinomycetales order, with Streptomyces, Saccharopolyspora, and Pseudonocardia comprising the most abundant genera. In addition, more than 10 of the isolates were novel actinobacterial taxa distributed across eight genera. HTS analyses of seven representative plant root samples revealed that Actinobacteria phylum constituted 0.04–28.66% of root endophytic bacterial communities. A total of four actinobacterial classes, 14 orders, 35 families, and 63 known genera were detected via HTS, and these communities were found to be dominated by the members of the order Actinomycetales including the genera Streptomyces, Mycobacterium, Arthrobacter, Nocardioides, and Micromonospora. In addition, 30.4% of the representative isolates exhibited antifungal activities, 40.5% of them showed fibrinolytic activities, while 43.0% of the strains harbored secondary metabolite biosynthesis genes. These results demonstrated that coastal salt marsh plants in the Jiangsu Province represented an underexplored new reservoir of diverse and novel endophytic actinobacteria that may be of potential interest in the discovery of bioactive compounds with potential as biocontrol agents and for fibrinolytic enzyme production.
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INTRODUCTION

The Actinobacteria phylum comprises Gram-positive and high guanine+cytosine (G+C) content bacterial taxa. Actinobacterial species are the main producers of active microbial natural products, are ubiquitously distributed among environments, and have been widely used in industrial and agricultural applications (Bèrty, 2005; Qin et al., 2016). Recently, endophytic actinobacteria have particularly attracted significant attention, with increasing documentation of isolates from a wide range of plants, including various crop plants like wheat, rice, banana, apple, and tea plants, in addition to medicinal plants such as Artemisia annua, Tripterygium wilfordii Hook, Glycyrrhiza inflata, and Jatropha curcas (Conn and Franco, 2003; Tian et al., 2007; Qin et al., 2011, 2015b; Li et al., 2012; Miao et al., 2015; Álvarez-Pérez et al., 2017; Wei et al., 2018; Zhao et al., 2018). Streptomycyes, Micromonospora, Micrococcus, Pseudomcardia, and Microbacterium are the most predominant endophytic actinobacterial genera cultivated, and many novel species from these genera have been identified in diverse host plants (Kaewkl and Franco, 2013; Golinska et al., 2015; Dinesh et al., 2017). Further, recent cultivation-independent analyses using 16S rRNA gene-based methods like denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE), clone library analyses, and high-throughput sequencing have also revealed abundant endophytic actinobacterial communities within plants, including novel and uncultured taxa (Qin et al., 2012, 2018; Purushotham et al., 2018). For example, the high-throughput sequencing (HTS) of 16S rRNA genes from banana shoot tips yielded the detection of more than 50 known actinobacterial genera, which included many genera rarely identified as endophytes such as Actinomycetospora, Actinoallomurus, Kibdelosporangium, and Kitasatospora (Du et al., 2018).

In addition to the high species diversity of endophytic actinomycetes, increasing attention has been directed toward their ability to produce abundant bioactive metabolites. Several endophytic actinobacteria from medicinal plants produce novel bioactive compounds that have favorable antimicrobial and antitumor activities, besides showing a good potential for pharmaceutical development and biotechnological applications (Qin et al., 2011; Golinska et al., 2015; Dinesh et al., 2017). For example, the recently identified hamuramicins A and B obtained from the endophytic actinomycete Allostreptomyces sp. K12-0794 exhibited both antimicrobial activity and human cell line toxicity (Suga et al., 2018). In addition to their therapeutic uses, endophytic actinomycetes have a broad potential and value in agricultural application. The endophytes can promote plant growth via direct and indirect mechanisms, including phosphorus solubilization, production of 1-aminoacyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid (ACC) deaminase, siderophores, induction of pathogen resistance, and aiding resistance to abiotic stresses like those imposed by high salinity and drought (Conn et al., 2008; Qin et al., 2014, 2017; Trujillo et al., 2015; Zeng et al., 2018).

When compared with the well-studied crop plants and endophytic actinobacteria within common environments, little is known about naturally occurring plant-associated actinobacteria within harsh habitats like hot and cold deserts, saline and acidic soils, and marine habitats. Coastal salt marshes are one of the most biologically productive habitats on Earth, and are one such example of a unique and harsh environment (Pennings and Bertness, 2001). Recent studies from coastal sediments and mangrove forests have revealed high levels of actinobacterial diversity (Hong et al., 2009; Hamedi et al., 2013; Jiang et al., 2018). Surprisingly, however, there is still little information related to the isolation, diversity and their biological activity of endophytic actinobacteria within coastal salt marsh ecosystems, despite the fact that such environments are likely to contain unique and phylogenetically diverse endophytes. Our recent analyses have indicated that there were abundant Actinobacteria and novel taxa in the rhizosphere soils associated with halophytes collected from coastal salt marshes within the Jiangsu Province of China (Wang et al., 2015; Bai et al., 2016; Ding et al., 2018). In particular, the phylum Actinobacteria comprised 2.8%–43.0% of rhizosphere bacterial communities, as evidenced by HTS on the Illumina MiSeq platform (Gong et al., 2018). Importantly, rhizosphere soils can be a source of endophytes (Long et al., 2010). There are abundant halophyte resources in the Jiangsu coastal region. Consequently, we speculated that plants within the Jiangsu coastal zone also contained abundant endophytic actinobacteria.

Thus, the aims of this study were to (i) survey the diversity of culturable endophytic actinobacteria from plants within coastal salt marshes in the Jiangsu province, (ii) analyze root endophytic actinobacterial communities from representative plants using 16S rRNA gene-based HTS technique, (iii) evaluate the antiphytopathogenic fungal and fibrinolytic activity and analyze secondary metabolite biosynthetic gene profiles of isolates. We expect to provide a preliminary basis for the further discovery of biological active metabolites from coastal salt marsh plants endophytic actinobacteria and their further utilization for biocontrol of crops and thrombosis treatment for human beings.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample Collection

Plant samples were collected from the coastal salt marsh region of the Jiangsu Province in Eastern China (32°26′09″–34°45′14″ N, 119°14′16″–121°19′30″ S) between 2010 and 2014. Nearly 20 healthy plant samples were collected, including roots, stems, and leaf samples from herbs, grasses, and woody trees. Representative plants sampled in the study included Tamarix chinensis Lour., Dendranthema indicum, Salicornia europaea Linn., Sesbania cannabina, Spartina alterniflora, and Suaeda glauca. Samples were placed in sterile plastic bags and transported to the laboratory within 24 h, where they were either stored at 4°C for analysis within one to 3 days or at −80°C for DNA extraction that was conducted within 2 weeks.

Isolation of Endophytic Actinobacterial Isolates

Plant surface sterilization and evaluation of sterilization effectiveness were conducted following our previously described
five-step procedure (Qin et al., 2009). The sterilization time used for each sample varied based on the specific plant tissues and organ types. Surface-sterilized samples were then aseptically grinded into smaller fragments using a commercial blender and then spread onto seven different Actinobacteria-selective media types: (A) modified TWYE medium supplemented with 20% (v/v) plant extracts (Qin et al., 2009); (B) starch-casein agar (Xing et al., 2012); (C) sodium propionate agar (Qin et al., 2009); (D) ISP 5 agar (Shirling and Gottlieb, 1966); (E) trehalose-proline agar (Qin et al., 2009); (F) MOPS-amino acid agar [MOPS 2.0 g, (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$ 1.0 g, CaCl$_2$ 2.0 g, K$_2$HPO$_4$ 0.5 g, amino acid mixture 1.0 g, agar 15.0 g, H$_2$O 1000 mL, pH 7.2]; and (G) CMC agar (Kaewkla and Franco, 2013). All media were supplemented with 3% (w/v) NaCl, nystatin antibiotic (50 mg L$^{-1}$), and nalidixic acid (50 mg L$^{-1}$). Cultures were incubated at 28°C for 2 to 8 weeks. Colonies obtained after incubation were purified and then maintained on slants at 4°C and also as 30% (v/v) glycerol suspensions stored at −80°C.

Isolates Identification
Isolates were preliminarily identified via morphologic and culture characteristics that were observed when growing on the International Streptomyces Project media ISP 2 and ISP 4 (Shirling and Gottlieb, 1966) that contained 3% NaCl. Diaminopimelic acid isomer analyses were used to distinguish Streptomyces from other spore-forming actinomycetes following the methods described previously (Lechevalier and Lechevalier, 1980). The extraction of genomic DNA and PCR amplification of 16S rRNA genes for each representative isolate were conducted using the methods described previously (Li et al., 2007). The 16S rRNA gene was amplified using universal primers 27f (5′-AGAGTTTGTATCCTGGCT-3′) and 1492r (5′-AAGGAGGTGATCCAGCCGCA-3′), and the similarities were determined by comparison of sequences against the EzTaxon-e database (Kim et al., 2012). Neighbor-joining phylogenetic trees of 16S rRNA gene sequences were constructed using the molecular evolutionary genetics analysis (MEGA) software program, version 6.0 (Tamura et al., 2013). A detailed identification of the potentially novel taxa was conducted using the conventionally used polyphasic taxonomic procedures (Stackebrandt et al., 2002).

High Throughput Sequencing Analysis of Endophytic Actinobacteria
After surface sterilization, seven representative plant root samples of Phragmites australis (HR1), Sesbania cannabina (HR2), Chrysanthemum indicum (HR3), Metaplexis japonica (HR4), Suaeda glauca (HR5), Lycium Linn (HR6), and Spartina alterniflora (HR7) collected at the same time were subjected to total genomic DNA extraction by Shanghai Majorbio Biotech Technology, Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). The V5-V7 hypervariable region of bacterial 16S ribosomal RNA genes were then amplified by PCR using an amplification procedure consisting of 95°C for 3 min, followed by 27 cycles at 95°C for 30 s, 55°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 45 s, and a final extension at 72°C for 10 min. PCRs incorporated the bacteria-specific primers 799F (5′-AACMGGA TTAGATCCCKG-3′) (Chelius and Tripplett, 2001) and 1115R (5′-AGGTTGCGGCTGTGTTG-3′) (Reysenbach and Pace, 1995). PCR amplicons were extracted from 2% agarose gels and purified using the AxyPrep DNA Gel Extraction Kit (Axygen Biosciences, Union City, CA, United States) according to the manufacturer’s instructions, followed by quantification using the QuantiFluor™-ST system (Promega, United States). Purified amplicons were pooled in equimolar concentrations and paired-end sequenced (2 × 250) on the Illumina MiSeq platform at Majorbio Biotech, Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China), according to standard protocols.

Raw fastq sequence files were demultiplexed and quality-filtered using QIIME version 1.17 (Caporaso et al., 2010). Operational taxonomic units (OTUs) were clustered at the 97% nucleotide similarity cutoff using the UPARSE software package version 7.1 (Edgar, 2013) while chimeric sequences were identified and removed using the UCHIME software package version 4.2.40 (Edgar et al., 2011). The phylogenetic affiliation of each 16S rRNA gene sequence was assessed using the RDP Classifier (Wang et al., 2007) and comparison against the SILVA 16S rRNA gene database while using a confidence threshold of 70% (Quast et al., 2013). Community alpha diversity values were evaluated using the mothur software version 1.30.1 (Schloss et al., 2009). The sequence data have been submitted to the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) database under the Accession No. PRJNA507498.

Antifungal and Fibrinolytic Activity Assays of Endophytic Actinobacterial Isolates
Actinobacterial strains were screened in vitro for the growth inhibition of eight different fungi that were pathogenic to crops and trees: Rhizoctonia solani, Pyricularia grisea, Verticillium dahlia Kleb, Marssonina brunnea YH1, Lasiodiplodia theobromae YB3, Sclerotium sp. YF2, Fusarium graminearum, and Botryosphaeria beringeriana. All pathogens were maintained at the Key Laboratory of Biotechnology for Medicinal Plants within the Jiangsu province, China. The fermentation capacity of the isolates and their antimicrobial activities were determined using soybean manitol liquid medium (Qin et al., 2009) containing 3% NaCl and the agar diffusion assay method (Romero et al., 2004). Briefly, each tested strain was cultured in soybean manitol liquid medium (shaken at 160 rpm, 28°C) for 5 days. Then, the fermentation broth was used for antimicrobial activity screening. Ketoconazole was used as the positive control, and sterile water was used as the negative control. The fibrinolytic activity was determined by using the fibrin plate method according to Astrup and Müllertz (1952). Fibrinolytic activity was evaluated by measuring the diameter of the transparent zone. Urokinase was used as the positive control, and uninoculated medium was used as the negative control.

Screening for Secondary Metabolite Genes
The potential for biosynthesis and production of secondary metabolites was evaluated for the isolates using PCR. The specific primer pairs K1F 5′-TSAATACCATCACAAC-3′/M6R 5′-CG.
non-ribosomal peptide synthetase (NRPS), and FADH polyketide synthase I (PKS I), polyketide synthase II (PKS II), and Halo genes, respectively. 

**RESULTS**

**Isolation of Endophytic Actinobacteria**

A total of 278 isolates were cultured from sampled plant tissues and the most abundant genus of isolates based on preliminary identifications was *Streptomyces* (~60% of isolates). From the screening based on morphological criteria and cultural characteristics, 79 representative strains were selected for further investigation. The starch-casein and sodium propionate media were used to determine the presence of polyketide synthase I (PKS I), polyketide synthase II (PKS II), non-ribosomal peptide synthetase (NRPS), and FADH$_2$-dependent halogenase (Halo) genes, respectively (Metsä-Ketelä et al., 1999; Ayuso-Sácido and Genilloud, 2005; Hornung et al., 2007). PCRs were conducted following the methods described previously (Gao and Huang, 2009; Qin et al., 2009). Amplification products were determined by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis, and bands of size 1,200–1,400, 600, 700–800 and about 550 bp were considered positive PCR amplifications of PKS I, PKS II, NRPS, and Halo genes, respectively.

**GenBank Accession Numbers**

16S rRNA gene sequences for the isolates obtained in this study were deposited at GenBank under the Accession Nos. JQ819252–JQ819262 and JX982699–JX982766.

**Diversity of Isolated Endophytic Actinobacteria**

16S rRNA gene sequence analysis of the 79 isolates revealed significant genetic diversity distributed among nine suborders within the *Actinobacteria* class: *Streptomycineae*, *Pseudonocardineae*, *Streptosporangineae*, *Micromonosporineae*, *Micrococccineae*, *Glycomycineae*, *Frankineae*, *Corynebacterineae*, and *Kineosporineae*. The suborders comprised 14 families and 22 known genera in addition to the novel genus, *Tamaricihabitans* (Figures 1, 2 and Table 2). Except the *Streptomycineae* (19 isolates, one genus), rare actinobacterial strains of the family *Pseudonocardineae* (25 isolates, 5 genera), *Micrococccineae* (15 isolates, six genera), and *Corynebacterineae* (9 isolates, 5 genera) were the most prominent among the isolates. *Streptomyces* was the most frequently isolated genus (24%, 19 isolates), followed by *Saccharopolyspora* (14 isolates) and *Pseudonocardia* (eight isolates). Fifteen genera including those rarely detected as endophytes such as *Dietzia*, *Kineococcus*, *Citroccoccus*, and *Janibacter* were isolated less frequently and were each represented by only one or two strains. Genera *Prauserella*, *Modestobacter*, and *Streptomyces* have only been rarely reported as cultivable endophytes previously, and their presence in these samples underscores the high level of diversity within the plants of this environment. The root tissues yielded the majority of the genera (20), while stem and leaf tissues only yielded seven and nine genera, respectively (Table 2). The 16S rRNA gene sequence nucleotide identities ranged from 95.1 to 100% between strains and the available type strains. Interestingly, several strains were most closely related to other validly published endophytic actinobacteria from marine environments, but exhibited distinct phenotypic characteristics when compared with their marine relatives. For example, strain KLBMP 1279 shared 99.5% 16S rRNA gene sequence identity to *Modestobacter marinus* 42H12-1, a marine obtained actinobacterium isolated from a deep-sea sediment sample (2,983 m depth) from the Atlantic Ocean (Xiao et al., 2011). However, KLBMP 1279 exhibited culture characteristics differing from *M. marinus* and thus represents a new *Modestobacter* species, named as *Modestobacter roseus* (Qin et al., 2013b).

**Table 1** | The abundance and diversity of isolates belonging to different actinobacterial genera that were recovered with different media.

| Isolation Medium | Total isolates | Different genera obtained using different medium | Number of genera |
|------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------------------|------------------|
| A                | 5             | Kocuria, Saccharopolyspora, Streptomyces        | 3                |
| B                | 23            | Amycolatopsis, Brevibacterium, Kocuria, Micrococcus, Micromonaspora, Nocardiopsis, Pseudonocardia, Saccharopolyspora, Streptomyces, Tamaricibatians | 10 |
| C                | 19            | Glycomyces, Gordonia, Kocuria, Micrococcus, Modestobacter, Mycobacterium, Nocardiopsis, Pseudokineococcus, Saccharopolyspora, Streptomyces | 10 |
| D                | 12            | Citrococcus, Janibacter, Kineococcus, Micrococcus, Prauserella, Pseudonocardia, Saccharopolyspora, Streptomyces | 8 |
| E                | 6             | Nesterenkonia, Pseudonocardia, Rhodococcus, Saccharopolyspora, Streptomyces | 5 |
| F                | 6             | Glycomyces, Janibacter, Nocardiopsis, Streptomyces | 4 |
| G                | 8             | Dietzia, Glycomyces, Kocuria, Nocardiopsis, Streptomyces | 5 |
TABLE 2 | Taxonomic distribution of endophytic actinobacterial isolates from different host plant tissues and their associated bioactivities, and the presence of secondary metabolite biosynthetic genes.

| Taxonomic group       | Sample tissues and number of isolates | No. of strains with antifungal activity | No. of strains with biosynthetic genes | No. of strains with fibrinolytic activity |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
|                       | Root | Stem | Leaf | Total |
| Streptomycesae:       |      |      |      |       |
| Streptomyces          | 5    | 7    | 7    | 19    |
| Pseudonocardineae:    |      |      |      |       |
| Pseudonocardia        | 5    | 1    | 2    | 8     |
| Saccharopolyspora     | 6    | 4    | 4    | 14    |
| Amycolatopsis         | 1    |      | 1    | 1     |
| Prauserella           | 1    |      | 1    | 1     |
| Tamarichabitans       | 1    |      | 1    | 1     |
| Micromonosporineae:   |      |      |      |       |
| Micromonospora        | 1    |      | 1    | 1     |
| Micrococcineae:       |      |      |      |       |
| Brevibacterium        | 2    |      | 1    | 3     |
| Janibacter            | 4    | 1    | 1    | 6     |
| Kocuria               | 1    | 1    | 1    | 3     |
| Nesterenkonia         | 1    | 1    | 2    | 4     |
| Micrococcus           | 1    | 2    | 2    | 5     |
| Citriococcus          | 1    |      | 1    | 1     |
| Streptosporangineae:  |      |      |      |       |
| Nocardiopsis          | 2    | 1    | 3    | 3     |
| Corynebacterineae:    |      |      |      |       |
| Gordonia              | 3    |      | 3    | 3     |
| Dietzia               | 1    |      | 1    | 1     |
| Mycobacterium         | 1    |      | 1    | 1     |
| Nocardia              | 2    | 2    | 2    | 6     |
| Rhodococcus           | 2    | 2    | 1    | 5     |
| Frankineae:           |      |      |      |       |
| Modestobacter         | 2    |      | 2    | 2     |
| Kineospirineae:       |      |      |      |       |
| Kineococcus           | 2    | 2    | 1    | 5     |
| Pseudokineococcus     | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1     |
| Glycomycineae:        |      |      |      |       |
| Glycomyces            | 1    | 1    | 1    | 3     |
| Total                 | 42   | 17   | 20   | 79    |

16S rRNA gene identities (<98.7%) to characterized isolates and represented novel species (Table 3). For example, two Streptomyces isolates, KLBMP 1440 and KLBMP 1330, shared only 98.5 and 98% 16S rRNA gene nucleotide similarities to their nearest characterized relatives, respectively. These values are lower than the suggested cutoff (98.7%) for species delineation, as suggested by Chun et al. (2018). Further, the 16S rRNA genes of these isolates also comprised distinct clades in the phylogenetic reconstructions (Supplementary Figures 1, 3). Consequently, the two strains were considered novel species of the genus Streptomyces. 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis led to the selection of 13 strains (16.5%) for detailed identification via standard polyphasic taxonomic identification methods, and eight of these strains (KLBMP 1356, KLBMP 1279, KLBMP 1305, KLBMP 1262, KLBMP 1269, KLBMP 1274, KLBMP 1282, and KLBMP 1284) were validated published as novel genus/species.
FIGURE 1 | Neighbor-joining phylogenetic tree of 16S rRNA gene sequences obtained from non-Streptomyces isolates in this study and their phylogenetic neighbors. Only bootstrap values above 50% are shown at nodes and are expressed as percentages of 1,000 replicates. Bar represents 0.01 substitutions per nucleotide position. GenBank accession numbers of reference 16S rRNA gene sequences are shown next to isolate names. Bacillus methylotrophicus CBMB205 (EU194897) was used as the outgroup.
FIGURE 2 | Neighbor-joining phylogenetic tree of 16S rRNA gene sequences obtained from Streptomyces isolates in this study and their phylogenetic neighbors. Only bootstrap values above 50% are shown at nodes and are expressed as percentages of 1,000 replicates. Bar represents 0.01 substitutions per nucleotide position. GenBank accession numbers of reference 16S rRNA gene sequences are shown next to isolate names. *Saccharopolyspora pathumthaniensis* S582\(^T\) (HM067865) was used as the outgroup.
(Table 3). Overall, these results demonstrate the presence of abundant and diverse endophytic actinobacteria within plants that are native to coastal salt marshes.

**HTS of Endophytic Actinobacterial Diversity**

High-throughput sequencing on the Illumina MiSeq sequencing platform was conducted to explore the endophytic actinobacterial community within roots (where diversity was highest) of seven typical coastal plants. A total of 228,091 16S rRNA gene sequences were considered high quality, with 22,450–49,221 sequences obtained per sample. At the 97% nucleotide identity threshold for OTU identification, the number of OTUs ranged from 131 to 566 within samples (Table 4). An analysis of the coverage indicated that greater than 99% of the total diversity within the communities was sampled, while rarefaction analyses indicated that measured diversity was nearly saturated in most samples (Figure 3). Alpha diversity indices including the Chao, ACE, Shannon, and Simpson metrics were calculated and are provided in Table 4. These results indicated an abundant diversity of endophytic bacteria in the seven root samples.

Taxonomic assignment of OTUs revealed the presence of 12 phyla, wherein the Proteobacteria were the most abundant in all samples, followed by the Actinobacteria, Firmicutes, and Bacteroidetes. Actinobacterial relative abundances ranged between 0.04 and 28.66% in each sample. The phylum was in lowest abundance in Phragmites australis (HR1) roots (0.04%), and highest in Metaplexis japonica (HR4) and Spartina alterniflora (HR7) roots, comprising 28.66 and 23.63% of the communities, respectively (Figure 4A). At the class level, most of the endophytic bacteria belonged to the Gammaproteobacteria, Alphaproteobacteria, Actinobacteria, Bacilli, Betaproteobacteria, and Acidimicrobia clades (Figure 4B). Four classes of Actinobacteria were detected, including the Actinobacteria, Acidimicrobia, Nitriliruptoria, and Thermoleophilia, of which Actinobacteria and Acidimicrobia were the most abundant. In addition, 14 known actinobacterial orders were detected, with Acidimicrobiales, Streptomycetales, and Micrococcales comprising the most abundant orders. A total of 35 known actinobacterial families were also detected, with variation of families among samples (Figure 5A). At the genus level, 63 actinobacterial genera were observed, with Streptomyces, Nocardioides, Mycobacterium, Micromonospora, Ilumatobacter, Herbicinovius, Arthrobacter, and Actinoplanes representing the most abundant taxa within root tissues, but with varying abundances among samples. For example, Streptomyces was most abundant in the HR3, HR4, HR6, and HR7 samples while Arthrobacter was most abundant in the HR5 sample. The genera Arthrobacter, Mycobacterium, and Micrococcus were observed in all samples. Most root tissues harbored numerous actinobacterial genera (>30), and the HR7 sample contained the highest diversity (47 genera), while the HR1 and HR2 samples only harbored 4 and 21 genera, respectively. In addition to characterized taxa, a high proportion of populations classified as Acidimicrobiiales, Kineosporiaceae, and Micromonosporaceae could not be assigned to a known genus (Figure 5B), indicating the presence of numerous novel
PKS I and PKS II genes were only detected in five and four of the 79 strains, respectively. The strains exhibiting the presence of biosynthetic genes were distributed among diverse genera (Table 2). The presence of PKS I genes was only observed in strains from the Saccharopolyspora and Streptomyces genera. In contrast, strains harboring NRPS and Halo genes were extensively distributed among nine and eight different genera, respectively. Both NRPS and Halo genes were only observed in five strains within the genus Streptomyces. Two strains, Saccharopolyspora sp. KLMBP 1333 and Streptomyces sp. KLMBP 1357, both exhibited the presence of two genes (PKS I and NRPS for KLMBP 1333, PKS II, and NRPS for KLMBP 1357; Supplementary Table S1). The positive amplification products of biosynthetic genes of some representative strains were sequenced, and the results confirmed that the amplification of these genes indeed encode the corresponding biosynthetic enzymes (data not shown).

**DISCUSSION**

Diverse endophytic actinomycetes have been found in many crops, model plants (e.g., *Arabidopsis thaliana*), and other plants from numerous typical environments (Conn and Franco, 2003; Qin et al., 2009; Golinska et al., 2015; Dinesh et al., 2017). The method used to isolate endophytic actinobacteria is an important factor that affects the acquisition and diversity of pure cultures. For example, plant surface sterilization, use of different selective media types, and plant treatment methods can all influence cultivation-dependent outcomes. In this study, we used a previously described five-step sterile sample processing protocol, longer incubation times, and various selective media to obtain pure endophytic actinobacterial cultures (Qin et al., 2009). Starch-casein agar and sodium propionate agar that were supplemented with 3% (w/v) NaCl yielded the greatest diversity of endophytic actinobacterial isolates, which comprised 10 different genera, including those that have rarely been reported as endophytes such as *Glycomyces*, *Modestobacter*, and *Pseudokineococcus* (Table 1). Starch-casein agar has previously been suggested to be effective in the isolation of Actinobacteria from saline environments (Abbas, 2006). Similarly, sodium propionate medium is seen to be effective for isolating endophytic actinobacteria from various plant hosts (Qin et al., 2009; Li et al., 2012). Our recent investigation also found that these two
types of media supplemented with 3% NaCl were suitable for isolating rare actinomycetes from rhizosphere soils associated with coastal halophytes (Gong et al., 2018). CMC medium has also been suggested as suitable for isolating rare endophytic actinobacteria (Kaewkla and Franco, 2013). Although only eight strains were obtained in this study using the CMC medium, they were distributed among five genera, which included Dietzia, Glycomyces, and Kocuria, and are all rarely reported as
endophytes. These results suggest that further investigations of endophytic actinobacteria should strongly consider the in-depth exploration of the cultivation methods that are to be employed.

Marine environments host a diversity of rare actinobacteria (Pennings and Bertness, 2001; Hassan et al., 2017). Because coastal salt marshes are characterized by both terrestrial and marine characteristics, abundant and diverse endophytic actinobacterial resources are likely present in these environments. A total of 23 different actinobacterial genera were identified in the present study, including many novel species, suggesting

**FIGURE 5** | Relative abundances of bacteria at the actinobacterial family (A) and genus (B) levels in different plant root samples, as determined by culture-independent analyses.
a high diversity of actinobacteria within the native plants of the salt marsh investigated here. To our knowledge, such high diversity of culturable endophytic actinobacteria is yet to be demonstrated from such habitats. Tian and Zhang (2017) analyzed the endophytic and rhizosphere bacterial diversity of the Chinese coastal halophyte *Meserschmidia sibirica* using high-throughput sequencing method, and they revealed that the relative abundance of the phylum *Actinobacteria* accounts for more than 6.2% across all leaf, stem, and root tissues. Yamamoto et al. (2018) also found that *Actinobacteria* in the coastal halophyte *Glaux maritima* root tissues collected from the eastern part of Japan was extremely high using the Illumina MiSeq sequencing technique. However, none of these studies reported pure culture isolation of endophytic actinobacteria and their diversity. Recently, Jiang et al. (2018) obtained diverse endophytic actinobacterial strains (101 isolates from 15 families and 28 genera) from five mangrove plants collected from the Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region of China. Taken together with the above results, our study confirms that coastal plants contain abundant and diverse endophytic actinomycete resources. Interestingly, in this study, most of the cultivable endophytic actinobacterial genera, such as *Streptomyces*, *Saccharopolyspora*, *Pseudonocardia* and *Micromonospora*, were also successfully cultured from their corresponding host plants rhizosphere soils previously (Gong et al., 2018). This result supports the previous conclusion that rhizosphere microorganisms are the main origin of endophytic bacteria (Mora-Ruiz Mdel et al., 2016).

![FIGURE 6](image_url) A comparison of endophytic actinobacterial genera detected in roots using culture-dependent and -independent methods. The most abundant genera detected by culture-independent analysis are indicated in bold and for the culture-dependent analysis are indicated in light blue. Asterisks indicate that the genus was abundant using both methodologies.

A total of 72 known actinobacterial genera were detected from seven root samples using a combination of cultivation-dependent and -independent techniques (Figure 6). To our knowledge, this is the first investigation of coastal plant-associated endophytic actinobacterial diversity using both cultivation- and culture-independent methods. The majority of the isolates were obtained from plant roots (Table 2), which is consistent with the results of other studies, indicating that endophytic actinomycetes primarily colonized root tissues (Qin et al., 2009; Kaewkla and Franco, 2013; Tian and Zhang, 2017). The community composition of actinobacterial taxa at the phylum, family, and genus levels varied among different root samples (Figures 4, 5). Recent investigations of plant microbiomes have suggested that plant genotypes significantly affect the composition of symbiotic root microbiota (Wintermans et al., 2016; Li et al., 2018). Therefore, the root-associated actinobacterial compositional differences observed here could be explained by differences in host plant species. At the genus level of actinomycetes, differences were observed in the number of genera detected by cultivation-dependent and -independent methods (Figure 6). Specifically, high-throughput 16S rRNA gene sequencing resulted in the detection of 63 known genera, including many genera that have rarely been reported as endophytes such as *Herbicimonix*, *Actinoplanes*, *Ilumatobacter*, and *Actinophytocola* (Pérez et al., 2016). Furthermore, HTS within root samples resulted in the detection of much higher levels of taxa, which could not be classified into known taxonomic groups. These results indicated that coastal salt marsh plants harbored a high level...
of rare endophytic actinobacteria and novel taxa. Only 11 of the 63 genera detected by 16S rRNA gene sequencing were also detected by cultivation, while nine cultivated genera were not detected by sequence analysis (Figure 6). However, some genera that are widely distributed among different environments and that display diverse ecological functions associated with plants, including Arthrobacter (Prum et al., 2018; Qin et al., 2018), were not detected by cultivation. This result highlights the impetus to continue developing cultivation methods and strategies to culture greater diversity in future investigations. These disparities in the detected taxa could potentially be explained by the variable efficiency of genomic DNA extraction among species, taxonomic biases of primers used for PCR amplification, and the recalcitrance of certain taxa to cultivation under laboratory conditions. These explanations are consistent with the previous reports (Qin et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2016; Eevers et al., 2016). Therefore, it is critical to use a combined cultivation-dependent and -independent approach to accurately evaluate the composition of endophytic actinobacterial communities.

Streptomyces are the most prevalent genus observed among endophytic actinobacteria (Conn and Franco, 2003; Kaewkla and Franco, 2013; Qin et al., 2015b; Dinesh et al., 2017; Passari et al., 2017). In addition, many rare endophytic actinomycetes have also been recently reported (Qin et al., 2011; Dinesh et al., 2017). Our observation that Streptomyces were the most dominant species within coastal salt marsh plants is consistent with these previous studies. Endophytic Streptomyces spp. are well-known for their capacity to produce many types of bioactive metabolites, and several species have been reported as beneficial toward plant development and health (Mitra et al., 2008; Vurukonda et al., 2018). The highest proportions of secondary metabolite biosynthetic genes observed in this study were in Streptomyces spp. strains (63.2%), with at least 12 strains harboring PKS, NRPS, and/or Halo genes (Table 2). This indicates that the strains screened possess diverse secondary metabolite gene clusters, as evidenced by the presence of these genes. PCR-based screening of biosynthetic genes is a useful and efficient method to conduct bioprospecting of certain type of natural products producing actinobacteria. For example, based on the FADH$_2$-dependent halogenase genes screening from the mangrove-derived actinomycetes, Li et al. (2013) obtained a new enduracidin producer, Streptomyces atrovirens MGR140, which was further identified and confirmed by gene disruption and HPLC analysis. The presence of halo genes here is particular interesting, because more than 4,700 halogenated compounds have been reported, which are important sources for new drugs, due to their high diversity in structure and activity (Liao et al., 2016). Moreover, Streptomyces strains exhibited significant antagonistic activities toward fungal pathogens, with 52.6% strains displaying antifungal activities against at least one of the pathogenic fungi that were tested. One isolate, KLBMP 1357, exhibited broad-spectrum antifungal activity and contained both the PKS II and NRPS genes (Supplementary Table S1), meriting further research of its bioactive secondary metabolites. Nevertheless, antifungal activities and the presence of certain secondary metabolite functional genes did not appear to be directly correlated in this study. For example, functional secondary metabolite genes were not detected in the Pseudonocardia strain KLBMP 1272, despite the fact that it exhibited broad-spectrum antifungal activity. This result could potentially be due to one of two reasons. First, the fermentation medium used to cultivate the strain may not promote the production of antifungal secondary metabolites. Second, the degenerate primer pairs that were used to amplify the functional genes may not be suitable for amplification of these genes within certain strains. Alternatively, the strains considered here may actually lack the biosynthetic genes tested, but possess other secondary metabolite biosynthetic genes (Schneemann et al., 2010). Moreover, we have only carried out antifungal screening, and the results of gene screening may be more relevant to antibacterial and anti-tumor activity. The results reported here are consistent with those from a recent screening of culturable actinomycetes from hot springs, lichens, and tea plants (Liu et al., 2016, 2017, Wei et al., 2018). Considering that many actinomycetes were isolated from root tissues, and showed strong antagonistic activity against plant pathogenic fungi, we may speculate that these endophytic actinomycetes have potential for biological control and potential contribution to their ecological adaptation within roots.

We also observed that some strains of Pseudonocardia and Saccharopolyspora that are rarely described as endophytes exhibited good antifungal activity, including strains KLBMP 1272, KLBMP 1413, and KLBMP 1287. Pseudonocardia have been previously observed as dominant endophytes within plants; in addition, some new endophytic species have been discovered recently (Qin et al., 2009; Li et al., 2012; Zhao et al., 2012). In contrast, endophytic Saccharopolyspora spp. isolates have been rarely reported (Qin et al., 2008; Li et al., 2009). Recently, endophytic Pseudonocardia isolates were identified that were associated with mangrove trees and sea cucumbers. The isolates displayed antimicrobial and cytotoxic activities, and subsequently yielded novel bioactive compounds (Mangamuri et al., 2015; Ye et al., 2016). Microorganism is an important source of thrombolytic agents for thrombosis treatment, and lots of fibrinolytic enzymes were successively discovered from different microorganisms, including marine actinomycetes (Verma et al., 2018). However, non-Streptomyces rare actinobacteria and endophytes origin fibrinolytic enzymes were rarely reported (Lu et al., 2010; Mohanasrinivasan et al., 2017). Thus, we carried out the fibrinolytic activity screening for the endophytic actinobacterial isolates. Interestingly, we found that more than 40% of the strains secreted extracellular proteases that exhibited fibrinolytic activity in this study. It is noteworthy that some new species (KLBMP 1269, KLBMP 1483, KLBMP 1305, and KLBMP 1278) displayed strong and moderate fibrinolytic activity (Supplementary Table S2), meriting further purification and characterization of their fibrinolytic enzymes and exploring the thrombolytic effect. In addition, to our knowledge, this is the first time that strains of endophytic actinobacteria and the rare actinobacteria genera Glycomyces, Saccharopolyspora, Amycolatopsis, Pseudonocardia, and Tamarinichabitsans have been reported to have fibrinolytic activity. Taken together, these results
indicate the coastal marsh halophytes-associated endophytic actinobacterial yet-to-be discovered bioactive metabolites and functions warrant further exploration.

**CONCLUSION**

Endophytic actinobacterial community structure was analyzed among coastal salt marsh halophyte plants within the Jiangsu Province of Eastern China using both culture-dependent and -independent methods. Coastal salt marsh plants harbored abundant and diverse communities of endophytic actinobacteria, including many rare actinobacteria and novel taxa. These results also indicate that halophyte plants have an important role in shaping the community composition of endophytic microbiota within roots. Taken together, the results of this study suggest that coastal salt marsh plants-associated endophytic actinobacteria represent a promising, yet underexplored, new resource for discovering bioactive natural products with potential as biocontrol agents and for fibrinolytic enzyme production.
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