On the correctness of orbital solutions obtained from a small set of points.  
Orbit of HIP 53731
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Abstract HIP 53731 is a binary consisting of stars of the spectral types K0 and K9. The orbit of this object was constructed previously by Cvetković et al. and improved by Tokovinin. It should be noted that there is a 180° ambiguity in the position angles of some published measurements. Speckle interferometric observations were obtained in 2007–2020 (21 measurements) at the 6-m telescope of the SAO RAS (BTA) by the authors of this article. The analysis of new data together with previously published ones made it possible to construct an accurate orbit of HIP 53731 and to halve the already known values of the orbital period of the system. As a result of the study, the mass sum, the masses of each component and their spectral types were determined by two independent methods. According to the qualitative classification of orbits, the orbital solution has grade 2 – “good” (observations cover more than half of the orbital period and correspond to different phases).
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1 INTRODUCTION

Currently, a significant part of the orbital solutions found from speckle interferometric data for binaries is obtained using a small number of measurements. This may be due to the lack of observations for a particular system, as well as to large orbital periods of such objects. An additional factor is the absence or inaccurate determination of the positions of the secondaries, which is difficult to identify due to a lack of observational data. Therefore, orbital parameters of objects and mass sums could be determined incorrectly. One of the solutions to this problem is the long-term monitoring of binaries, which allows for covering a big part of the orbit with measurements (it depends on the orbital period). In this paper, we studied the binary HIP 53731 (HD 95175, \( V_{\text{mag}} = 8.85 \) mag, Bidelman 1985). The system under study, according to Cvetković et al. (2016), consists of two main sequence stars of spectral types K0 and K9 with masses \( M_A = 0.9 M_\odot \) and \( M_B = 0.48 M_\odot \) respectively, and the total mass of the system and dynamical parallax are \( M_{\text{tot}} = 1.72 \pm 0.4 M_\odot \) and \( \pi_{\text{dyn}} = 29.33 \pm 3.03 \) mas respectively. Positional parameters published earlier and obtained in this study are presented in Table 1. Speckle interferometric observations and the image reduction procedure are addressed in Section 2, Section 3 is dedicated to the process of orbit construction and determination of the fundamental parameters of HIP 53731, and results are discussed in Section 4.

2 OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

Speckle interferometric observations of HIP 53731 were carried out at the Big Telescope Alt-azimuth (BTA) of the Special Astrophysical Observatory of the Russian Academy of Sciences (SAO RAS) from 2007 to 2020 utilizing a speckle interferometer (Maksimov et al. 2009) based on EMCCD detectors PhotonMAX-512B (until 2010), Andor iXon+ X-3974 (2010–2014) and Andor iXon Ultra 897 (since 2015). Speckle images were obtained under good weather conditions with seeing about 1″–2″. Speckle interferograms were recorded with exposure time of 20 ms, and the standard series consisted of 1940 (until 2010) and 2000 images. The following interference
filters were applied (central wavelength $\lambda$ / bandpass $\Delta\lambda$): 550/20, 600/40 and 800/100 nm.

Positional parameters and magnitude differences were determined on the basis of the analysis of the power spectrum and the autocorrelation function of the speckle interferometric series described in Balega et al. (2002) and Pluzhnik (2005). The reconstruction of the position of the secondary was carried out by the bispectrum method (Lohmann et al. 1983). The log of observations, positional parameters and magnitude differences are presented in Table 1: epoch of observations in fractions of the Besselian year; telescope; $\lambda\Delta\lambda$; $\theta$ is the position angle; $\rho$ is the separation between the two stars; $\Delta m$ is the magnitude difference and references. The formal errors of $\Delta m$ corresponding to the method of model selection are presented in Table 1. Wherein, an analysis of the
When constructing the orbit of HIP 53731, ambiguities in the positions of published measurements were found, which is probably due to the incorrect reconstruction of the position of the secondary or its absence. As a result, the position angles of the following measurements were changed by ±180°: 2005.2323 and 2006.3745 (Balega et al. 2013) and 2010.3416 (Orlov & Voitsekhovich 2015). Two orbits of HIP 53731 were constructed by Tokovinin (2019) and in this study are presented in Figure 1. The measurement residuals with respect to the new orbital solution are 4° by θ and 18 mas by ρ. However, they were overestimated due to the significant contribution of points that obviously does not match the model solution (in Fig. 1, these are marked with crosses). Estimates of residuals for ρ and θ are 2 mas and 0.8°, respectively.

Table 2 presents our measurements for the orbital parameters of the system and previously published ones. The columns are: the orbital period, the epoch of passing the periastron, the eccentricity of the orbit, the semimajor axis, the longitude of the ascending node, the argument of the periastron, the inclination of the orbit and the references to the publications.

A comparison of orbital solutions affirms that the orbit of HIP 53731 constructed in this work fits the observational data much better than the orbits by Cvetković et al. (2016) and by Tokovinin (2019), obtained from a small amount of observational data. Also, mass sum, absolute magnitudes of components, their spectral types and masses were determined utilizing two independent methods and are presented in Table 3. Both Hipparcos (van Leeuwen 2007) and Gaia (Gaia Collaboration 2018) parallaxes and orbital parameters were used in the first method. This method allows for calculation of mass sum via Kepler’s third law

\[
\sum 2M = \frac{(a/\pi)^3}{P_{\text{orb}}^2},
\]

and the uncertainty is calculated considering

\[
\sigma(2M) = \sqrt{\frac{9(\sigma_a)^2}{a^2} + \frac{9(\sigma_a)^2}{a^2} + \frac{4(\sigma P_{\text{orb}})^2}{P_{\text{orb}}^2}} \times 2M.
\]

The second method enables obtaining the masses of components via Pogson’s relation. The magnitude of the object in the V band (Bidelman 1985) and the average magnitude difference (Δm1550 = 2.19 m ± 0.10 m) from Table 1 together with parallaxes (πHip = 26.35 ± 1.29 mas and πGaia = 31.0803 ± 0.6137 mas) were used. The work by Pecaut & Mamajek (2013) was applied to match the calculated absolute magnitudes of the components with spectral types and masses.

3 ORBIT CONSTRUCTION

Preliminary estimates of the orbital parameters were calculated using the Monet method (Monet 1977). The final orbit was constructed employing the ORBIT software package (Tokovinin 1992). Depending on the values of residuals and deviations from the orbital solution, the corresponding weights were selected for each measurement. Measurements by ESA (1997), Horch et al. (2002) and Orlov & Voitsekhovich (2015) have the largest residuals (as displayed in Fig. 1), consequently less weight was set to them.

Fig. 1 Orbital solutions for HIP 53731. The orbit by Tokovinin (2019) is marked with gray and the orbit constructed in this work is black. Triangles correspond to the published data; open circles - data obtained in this study; crosses - data with large residuals; the cross placed in a large circle is the first measurement for the system. The arrow shows the direction of motion for the secondary. Δ signifies residuals corresponding to the angular distance between the observed and modeled value. The dashed line on the residuals plot indicates the orbital solution.
4 DISCUSSION

An analysis of speckle interferometric data obtained at the 6-m telescope of the SAO RAS from 2007 to 2020 made it possible to halve the previously known value of the orbital period of HIP 53731. It should be noted that the residuals of positional parameters are small, which indicates the high-precision of the orbit. This fact indicates a high accuracy of new orbital parameters and the justification of orbital period of HIP 53731. It should be noted that the residuals due to the Gaia parallax agree better with each other. The masses obtained utilizing Gaia parallax in this work are less consistent with each other. The reason is probably due to the Gaia parallax, so we are looking forward to the new data release from this mission. The proximity of the new parameters to the previous values is explained by the fact that Cvetković et al. (2016) utilized the magnitude difference from the Hipparcos catalog together with the table of star parameters from the book by Gray (2005), as well as erroneous values of both the orbital period and the semimajor axis.

The classification of the obtained orbital solutions was carried out using the qualitative grade of Worley & Heintz (1983). The orbit of HIP 53731 is “good” (Grade 2) – the observations correspond to different phases and cover more than half of the orbital period, which allows for fitting of orbit accurately enough.
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