Weaning Outcomes and 28-day Mortality after Tracheostomy in COVID-19 Patients in Central India: A Retrospective Observational Cohort Study
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ABSTRACT

Background: Tracheostomy is integral in long-term intensive care of coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19) patients. There is a paucity of studies on weaning outcomes and mortality after tracheostomy in COVID-19 in Indian scenario.

Materials and methods: We conducted a retrospective, single-center, observational study of severe COVID-19 patients who underwent elective tracheostomy (n = 65) during critical care in a tertiary care institute in Central India from May 1, 2020, to April 30, 2021. Data were collected from Medical records, ICU charts, and follow-up visits by patient. A primary objective was to study the clinical characteristics, tracheostomy complications, weaning outcomes, and mortality at 28 and 60 days of ICU admission. We categorized the cohort into two groups (deceased and survivor) and studied association of clinical parameters with 28-day mortality. Cox Proportional regression analysis was applied to calculate the hazard ratio among the predictors of mortality with p value <0.05 as significant.

Results: Elective tracheostomy was done in 69 of 436 (15.8%) patients on invasive mechanical ventilation, of which 65 were included. Tracheostomy was percutaneous in 45/65 (69%) and surgical in 20/65 (31%) with timing from intubation as early in 41/65 and late in 24/65 with most common indication as weaning failure followed by anticipated prolonged ventilation. Tracheostomy complications were present in 29/65 (45%) patients with no difference in complication rates between timing and type of tracheostomy. Downsizing, decannulation, and weaning were successful in 22%, 32% (49%), and 35/65 (54%) patients after tracheostomy. The 28-day mortality was 30/65 (46%). The fractional inspired oxygen concentration (FiO2) requirement in survivors was lower (0.4–0.6, p = 0.015) with a higher PaO2/FiO2 ratio (118–200, p = 0.033). Early tracheostomy within 7 days of intubation was not associated with weaning or survival benefit.

Conclusions: We suggest that tracheostomy should be delayed to after 7 days of intubation, especially till FiO2 reduces to 0.5 with improvement in PaO2/FiO2 for better outcomes and avoiding a wasted procedure (CTR/2021/07/034768).

Study Highlights: Tracheostomy is integral in care of COVID-19 patients needing prolonged ventilation. There is no difference in complications in early/late or percutaneous dilatational/surgical technique. We observed successful weaning post-tracheostomy in 54% patients. Mortality at 28 days was 46%. Early tracheostomy within 7 days of intubation did not improve weaning or survival.
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INTRODUCTION

Tracheostomy is a standard of care in patients on invasive mechanical ventilation in coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19).1-3 Despite some guidelines and case series published, evidence on weaning and mortality after elective Tracheostomy in critical COVID-19 patients on mechanical ventilation from India is scarce.4-7

With this research gap, this retrospective, observational study was done with a primary objective of study of tracheostomy complications, weaning outcomes, and 28-day mortality in tracheostomized COVID-19 patients. Our secondary objective was to study association of weaning outcomes with timing of tracheostomy after intubation, and to find predictors of mortality at 28 days of ICU admission.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design and Subjects

This retrospective, single-center, observational cohort study was conducted in the intensive care unit (ICU) of a tertiary care
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We categorized the cohort into two groups (deceased and survivor) among the tracheostomized patients and studied the association of clinical parameters with 28-day mortality. For secondary objectives, we studied the association of complications and weaning outcomes with TT timing after intubation with <7 days as early and >7 days as late. We have taken tracheostomy within 7 days duration after intubation as early as per recommendations of ISCCM Expert Panel. Cox Proportional regression analysis was applied to calculate the hazard ratio among the predictors of mortality with p value <0.05 as significant. Multivariate Cox regression was followed among the significant predictors from univariable Cox analysis to adjust for the confounders. Kaplan-Meir curve was drawn to plot the survival curves at different intervals from intubation to tracheostomy period.

Results

Patients Flow and Baseline Characteristics
During the study period, 4,050 COVID-19 patients were admitted in our institute with 597 patients (14.7%) needing ICU care. Among these, 436 patients (73%) needed invasive mechanical ventilation with the need for tracheostomy in 69 patients (15.8%). Due to missing data of 4 tracheostomized patients, only 65 patients were included in the final analysis.

Patients undergoing tracheostomy (n = 65) were predominantly male patients 42 (65%), with average age 51 (+14) years with at least one comorbidity present in 72.3% patients. Table 1 explains the baseline characteristics of tracheostomized patients.

TT Procedure and Complications
The most common indication of tracheostomy was weaning failure followed by anticipated prolonged ventilation, upper airway obstruction, and airway protection in 37 (57%), 26 (40%), 1 (1.5%), and 1 (1.5%) patients, respectively. Tracheostomy was performed in these 65 patients at a median (IQR) 6.0 (4.0, 8.0) days after intubation.

As a protocol, all tracheostomies were done at bedside in ICU with induced apnea. Ventilation was supported only if saturation on peripheral plethysmography fell below 90%.

Numbers of PDT and ST performed were 45 (69%) and 20 (31%), respectively. Early and late complications were present in 46.6% and 4.4% PDT and 40% and 10% surgical tracheostomies, respectively. There was no difference in complications between the two techniques (p = 0.6) and TT timing (p = 0.3, >0.5). Table 2 shows the tracheostomy details like indication, type, expertise of surgeon, complications, weaning outcomes, duration of mechanical ventilation, ICU stay, and hospital stay.

Weaning Outcomes
Primary extubation was preferred, though was unsuccessful in 42/65 (65%) patients. Primary weaning was not attempted in 23/65 (35%) due to respiratory issues in 14 (22%) patients, circulatory in 10 (15%), neurological in 8 (12%), both respiratory and circulatory in 6 (9.2%) and airway obstruction in 1 (1.5%) patient.
After tracheostomy, weaning success and ventilator liberation were achieved in 35 (54%) patients at an average of 14.9 (±8.7) days (range 1–34 days). Weaning after TT was challenging with common reasons for weaning failure being respiratory (poor compliance), neurological (delirium, agitation, poor cognition), circulatory causes (poor left ventricular contractility, septic shock with hemodynamic instability), and both respiratory and circulatory issues in 19 (31%), 11 (18%), 9 (15%), and 5 (8.2%) patients, respectively. We observed VAP in 22% patients with *Acinetobacter baumannii*, grown most commonly in cultures followed by *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Elizabethkingia meningoseptica*, and *Burkholderia cepacia*.

Sedation was weaned in 51 (78%) patients at median duration of 2.0 (0.5, 4.0) days post-tracheostomy. Nearly 14 (22%) patients had their tracheostomy tube downsized and 32 (49%) trachea were decannulated with mean time from TT of 9.7 (±8.3) days ranging from 4 to 34 days. The median duration of mechanical ventilation, ICU stay, and hospital stay is mentioned in Table 3.

**Mortality at 28 days**

At the end of study period, all study patients were discharged or deceased at 60 days of ICU admission. Outcome data were available for all 65 tracheostomized patients. Mortality at 28 days was 30/65 (46.1%) and 60 days was 35/65 (53.8%) patients.

Supplementary Table 1 shows the description and association of admission (vitals, laboratory, ROX, pH, FiO2), intubation and tracheostomy (ABG and ventilatory) parameters, and treatment with mortality. At admission, deceased patients had a lower diastolic blood pressure (*p* = 0.038) and higher blood sugar levels (*p* = 0.051), though SOFA score and ROX were similar. At tracheostomy, FiO2 was lower (0.4–0.6, *p* = 0.015), and PaO2/FiO2 was higher (118–200, **Table 1:** Baseline characteristics of tracheostomized patients (*N* = 65)

| Characteristic                                      | Overall, *N* = 65 | Early within 7 days, *N* = 41 | Late after 7 days, *N* = 24 | *p*  
|-----------------------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|------
| Age                                                 | 53 (40, 62)       | 55 (43, 65)                      | 45 (38, 54)                 | 0.043 |
| Gender                                              |                   |                                |                             |      
| Male                                                | 42 (65%)          | 29 (71%)                        | 13 (54%)                    | 0.2  |
| Female                                              | 23 (35%)          | 12 (29%)                        | 11 (46%)                    |      |
| Comorbidities                                       |                   |                                |                             |      
| HTN                                                 | 30 (46%)          | 19 (46%)                        | 11 (46%)                    | >0.9 |
| COPD                                                | 1 (1.5%)          | 0 (0%)                          | 1 (4.2%)                    | 0.4  |
| DM                                                  | 26 (40%)          | 19 (46%)                        | 7 (29%)                     | 0.2  |
| CAD                                                 | 5 (7.8%)          | 4 (10%)                         | 1 (4.2%)                    | 0.6  |
| CLD                                                 | 0 (0%)            | 0 (0%)                          | 0 (0%)                      | >0.9 |
| CKD                                                 | 4 (6.2%)          | 4 (9.8%)                        | 0 (0%)                      | 0.3  |
| Hypothyroidism                                      | 8 (12%)           | 4 (9.8%)                        | 4 (17%)                     | 0.5  |
| Autoimmune                                          | 0 (0%)            | 0 (0%)                          | 0 (0%)                      | >0.9 |
| Malignancy                                          | 2 (3.1%)          | 2 (4.9%)                        | 0 (0%)                      | 0.5  |
| Cerebrovascular                                     | 4 (6.2%)          | 3 (7.3%)                        | 1 (4.2%)                    | >0.9 |
| Others                                              | 6 (9.2%)          | 3 (7.3%)                        | 3 (12%)                     | 0.7  |
| Duration based parameters in days                   |                   |                                |                             |      
| Symptom onset to admission                          | 5.00 (4.00, 9.00) | 5.00 (4.00, 10.00)              | 4.00 (3.00, 7.50)           | 0.077 |
| Symptom onset to intubation                         | 9 (5, 11.5)       | 10 (5.5, 14)                    | 7 (5, 11)                   | 0.246 |
| Admission to intubation                             | 1.0 (0.0, 4.0)    | 3.0 (1.0, 5.0)                  | 1.0 (0.0, 1.5)              | 0.014 |
| Symptom onset to tracheostomy                       | 15 (12, 20)       | 14.5 (11, 18)                   | 17 (12, 20)                 | 0.525 |
| Intubation to tracheostomy                          | 6.0 (4.0, 8.0)    | 5.0 (4.0, 6.0)                  | 10.0 (8.0, 11.5)            | <0.001 |
| Severity of illness by SOFA score                   |                   |                                |                             |      
| At admission                                        | 4.0 (3.0, 5.0)    | 3.0 (3.0, 5.0)                  | 4.0 (3.0, 4.0)              | 0.8  |
| At intubation                                       | 4.0 (4.0, 4.0)    | 4.0 (4.0, 4.0)                  | 4.0 (4.0, 4.0)              | 0.7  |
| At tracheostomy                                     | 3.0 (3.0, 4.0)    | 3.0 (3.0, 4.0)                  | 3.0 (3.0, 5.0)              | 0.7  |
| VAP                                                 | 30 (22%)          | 22 (20%)                        | 8 (25%)                     | 0.6  |
| Treatment                                           |                   |                                |                             |      
| Vasopressor use                                     | 40 (62%)          | 28 (68%)                        | 12 (50%)                    | 0.14 |
| RRT                                                 | 5 (7.7%)          | 5 (12%)                         | 0 (0%)                      | 0.15 |
| Antibiotic                                          | 65 (100%)         | 41 (100%)                       | 24 (100%)                   | >0.9 |
| Anticoagulant                                       | 63 (97%)          | 39 (95%)                        | 24 (100%)                   | 0.5  |
| Antifungal                                          | 25 (38%)          | 13 (32%)                        | 12 (50%)                    | 0.14 |
| Antiviral                                           | 50 (77%)          | 33 (80%)                        | 17 (71%)                    | 0.4  |
| Steroid use                                         | 64 (98%)          | 40 (98%)                        | 24 (100%)                   | >0.9 |

Continuous variable as median (IQR) and categorical as n (%)

---
**Table 2: Tracheostomy procedure-related parameters and complications**

| Characteristic                  | Overall, N = 65 | Early within 7 days, N = 41 | Late after 7 days, N = 24 | p   |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-----|
| **Indication of tracheostomy**  |                 |                             |                           |     |
| Poor lung compliance            | 26 (40%)        | 21 (65.6%)                  | 5 (15.2%)                 | <0.001 |
| Weaning failure                 | 37 (57%)        | 9 (28.2%)                   | 28 (84.8%)                |     |
| Upper airway obstruction        | 1 (1.5%)        | 1 (3.1%)                    | 0                         |     |
| Airway protection               | 1 (1.5%)        | 1 (3.1%)                    | 0                         |     |
| **TT procedure type**           |                 |                             |                           | 0.8 |
| Surgical                        | 20 (31%)        | 13 (32%)                    | 7 (29%)                   |     |
| Percutaneous                    | 45 (69%)        | 28 (68%)                    | 17 (71%)                  |     |
| **TT done by**                  |                 |                             |                           | 0.3 |
| Intensivist                     | 34 (52%)        | 22 (54%)                    | 12 (50%)                  |     |
| General surgeon                 | 16 (25%)        | 12 (29%)                    | 4 (17%)                   |     |
| ENT surgeons                    | 15 (23%)        | 7 (17%)                     | 8 (33%)                   |     |
| **Increased oxygen requirement post TT** | 31 (48%)    | 17 (41%)                    | 14 (58%)                  | 0.2 |
| **Procedural complications**    |                 |                             |                           | 0.9 |
| Early complications             | 29 (45%)        | 18 (44%)                    | 11 (46%)                  |     |
| None                            | 36 (65%)        | 23 (62%)                    | 13 (72%)                  |     |
| Bleeding                        | 19 (29.2%)      | 13 (31.7%)                  | 6 (25%)                   |     |
| Pneumothorax                    | 3 (4.6%)        | 2 (4.9%)                    | 1 (4.2%)                  |     |
| Hemodynamic instability         | 1 (1.5%)        | 1 (2.4%)                    | 0                         |     |
| Subcutaneous emphysema          | 3 (4.6%)        | 2 (4.9)                     | 1 (4.2%)                  |     |
| Infections                      | 3 (4.6%)        | 0                           | 3 (12.5%)                 |     |
| **Late complications**          |                 |                             |                           | >0.9|
| None                            | 61 (97%)        | 37 (95%)                    | 24 (100%)                 |     |
| Swallowing difficulty           | 1 (1.5%)        | 1 (2.4%)                    | 0 (0%)                    |     |
| Stridor                         | 1 (1.5%)        | 1 (2.4%)                    | 0 (0%)                    |     |
| Stenosis                        | 2 (4.9%)        | 2 (4.9%)                    | 0 (0%)                    |     |
| **TT secretions**               |                 |                             |                           |     |
| Absent                          | 11 (17%)        | 8 (20%)                     | 3 (12%)                   | 0.7 |
| Minimal                         | 28 (43%)        | 16 (39%)                    | 12 (50%)                  |     |
| Copious                         | 26 (40%)        | 17 (41%)                    | 9 (38%)                   |     |

**Table 3: Weaning characteristics and time-based outcomes after overall, early and late tracheostomy**

| Characteristic                  | Overall, N = 65 | Early within 7 days, N = 41 | Late after 7 days, N = 24 | p   |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-----|
| Sedation wean                   | 2.0 (0.5, 4.0)  | 2.0 (0.0, 4.0)              | 2.5 (1.0, 5.8)            | 0.12|
| Days on pressure support mode   | 15.0 (12.0, 19.0)| 14.0 (12.0, 19.0)           | 16.5 (12.8, 20.2)         | 0.3 |
| TT to ventilator liberation     | 7 (5–11)        | 6 (4–10)                    | 9 (5–16)                  | 0.2 |
| Decannulation success           | 32 (49%)        | 18 (44%)                    | 14 (58%)                  | 0.3 |
| Tracheostomy to decannulation duration | 13 (8–20) | 13 (8–20)                    | 13 (7–20)                 | 0.8 |
| Duration of mechanical ventilation | 14 (9, 18)   | 11 (8, 16)                   | 16 (14, 22)               | 0.001|
| Duration of ICU stay            | 20 (14, 26)     | 16 (13, 24)                 | 24 (19, 32)               | 0.013|
| Duration of hospital stay       | 23 (18, 32)     | 21 (16, 28)                 | 28 (22, 36)               | 0.014|

p = 0.033) in survivors. Compliance though higher in survivors did not reach statistical significance (p = 0.074). Treatment was similar except for higher vasopressor use in nonsurvivors (p <0.001).

Tracheostomy was downsized and decannulated significantly more in survivors in 13/35 (37%) and 30/35 (86%), respectively. Length of critical care and hospital stay was longer in survivors, though post-tracheostomy ICU stay was shorter (Table 4).

**Predictors of Mortality**

We used Cox-PH model to identify predictors of mortality among the tracheostomized (N = 65) patients (Table 4) with event as 28-day mortality and time refers to intubation to tracheostomy duration. The parameters like late tracheostomy (HR 0.04, p = 0.001), sedation weaning, downsizing, and decannulation were found to increase the survival outcomes in tracheostomized patients.
Table 4: Details of predictors of mortality stratified by certain tracheostomy parameters

| Characteristic                      | Overall, N = 65 | Deceased, N = 30 | Survived, N = 35 | Unadjusted hazard ratio | p       | 95% CI        |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------------|---------|--------------|
| **Age**                             |                 |                  |                  |                         |         |              |
|                                     | 51.1 (31.6)     | 52 (15)          | 51 (13)          | 1.01                    | 0.3     | 0.99–1.04    |
| **Gender**                          |                 |                  |                  |                         |         |              |
| Male                                | 42 (65%)        | 20 (67%)         | 22 (63%)         | 1.42                    | 0.4     | 0.66–3.07    |
| Female (ref)                        | 23 (35%)        | 10 (33%)         | 13 (37%)         | —                       | —       | —            |
| **Presence of comorbidities**       |                 |                  |                  |                         |         |              |
| Yes                                 | 42 (64.6%)      | 20 (66.6%)       | 22 (62.9%)       | 1.5                     | 0.4     | 0.63–3.53    |
| **Type of tracheostomy**            |                 |                  |                  |                         |         |              |
| Surgical                            |                 |                  |                  |                         |         |              |
| Percutaneous                        |                 |                  |                  |                         |         |              |
| **Ventilatory parameters pretracheostomy** |         |                  |                  |                         |         |              |
| FIO₂                                | 0.50 (0.50, 0.60)| 0.58 (0.50, 0.70)| 0.50 (0.40, 0.60)| 2.54                    | 0.3     | 0.36–18.02   |
| P/F                                 | 140 (109, 168)  | 124 (98, 157)    | 148 (118, 200)   | 0.99                    | 0.5     | 0.99–1.01    |
| DP (cm H₂O)                         | 15.0 (12.0, 19.0)| 16.0 (13.0, 20.0)| 14.0 (12.0, 19.0)| 0.95                    | 0.2     | 0.88–1.02    |
| Compliance (mL/cm H₂O)              | 24 (19, 29)     | 23 (16, 29)      | 25 (20, 30)      | 0.98                    | 0.3     | 0.93–1.02    |
| PEEP (cm H₂O)                       | 8.0 (6.0, 8.0)  | 8.0 (8.0, 8.0)   | 8.0 (6.0, 8.0)   | 1.08                    | 0.5     | 0.84–1.40    |
| **Severity of illness**             |                 |                  |                  |                         |         |              |
| SOFA admission                      | 4.0 (3.0, 5.0)  | 3.0 (3.0, 4.0)   | 4.0 (3.0, 5.0)   | 0.94                    | 0.6     | 0.74–1.19    |
| SOFA tracheostomy                   | 3.0 (3.0, 4.0)  | 4.0 (3.0, 4.0)   | 3.0 (3.0, 4.0)   | 1.14                    | 0.2     | 0.89–1.45    |
| VAP                                 | 14 (22%)        | 7 (23%)          | 7 (21%)          | 1.44                    | 0.3     | 0.70–0.97    |
| **Tracheostomy secretions**         |                 |                  |                  |                         |         |              |
| Absent (ref)                        | 11 (17%)        | 6 (20%)          | 5 (14%)          | —                       | —       | —            |
| Minimal                             | 28 (43%)        | 14 (47%)         | 14 (40%)         | 0.81                    | 0.7     | 0.30–2.18    |
| Copious                             | 26 (40%)        | 10 (33%)         | 16 (46%)         | 0.67                    | 0.5     | 0.24–1.88    |
| **Tracheostomy complications**      | 29 (45%)        | 13 (43%)         | 16 (46%)         | 1.04                    | 0.9     | 0.50–2.14    |
| Vasopressor use                     | 40 (62%)        | 25 (83%)         | 15 (43%)         | 3.98                    | 0.008   | 1.40–9.75    |
| **Duration based parameters prior to tracheostomy (in days)** |         |                  |                  |                         |         |              |
| Symptom onset to admission          | 5.00 (4.00, 9.00)| 5.00 (3.00, 9.50)| 5.00 (4.00, 8.50)| 0.99                    | 0.9     | 0.90–0.10    |
| Admission to intubation             | 1.0 (0.0, 4.0)  | 2.0 (1.0, 4.8)   | 1.0 (0.0, 4.0)   | 1.01                    | 0.8     | 0.92–0.11    |
| Symptom onset to intubation         | 9 (5.0, 11.5)   | 8.5 (6.0, 13.0)  | 9 (5.0, 11.0)    | 1.04                    | 0.3     | 0.96–1.11    |
| Symptom onset to tracheostomy       | 15 (12–20)      | 15 (11–20)       | 16 (12–20)       | 0.91                    | 0.02    | 0.83–0.96    |
| Early tracheostomy (ref)            | 41 (63%)        | 21 (70%)         | 20 (57%)         | —                      | —       | —            |
| Late tracheostomy                   | 24 (37%)        | 9 (30%)          | 15 (43%)         | 0.04                    | 0.001   | 0.01–0.27    |
| Sedation wean duration              | 2.0 (0.5, 4.0)  | 0.2 (0.0, 2.8)   | 3.0 (1.0, 4.5)   | 0.81                    | 0.014   | 0.69–0.96    |
| Downsized                           | 14 (22%)        | 1 (3.3%)         | 13 (37%)         | 0.12                    | 0.038   | 0.02–0.89    |
| Decannulation                       | 32 (49%)        | 2 (6.7%)         | 30 (86%)         | 0.06                    | 0.001   | 0.02–0.26    |
| Tracheostomy to decannulation duration | 0 (0, 12)   | 0 (0, 0)         | 10 (6, 18)       | 0.85                    | 0.002   | 0.76–0.94    |
| **Outcome-based parameters (in days)** |         |                  |                  |                         |         |              |
| Tracheostomy to ventilator liberation | 3 (0, 8)      | 0 (0, 0)         | 6 (4, 10)        | 0.79                    | 0.002   | 0.66–0.92    |
| Post tracheostomy ICU days          | 11 (7, 16)      | 10 (4, 14)       | 12 (10, 20)      | 0.93                    | 0.013   | 0.87–0.98    |
| Duration of ICU days                | 20 (14–26)      | 16 (13–24)       | 24 (19–33)       | 0.89                    | 0.001   | 0.83–0.95    |
| Hospital stay duration              | 23 (18, 32)     | 19 (15, 23)      | 30 (21, 40)      | 0.88                    | 0.001   | 0.84–0.94    |

Based on the results of univariate cox regression analysis and clinical relevance we performed forward step-wise Cox-PH multivariate regression. The results showed that of all the variables, tracheostomy after 7 days of intubation (HR: 0.3, 95% CI: 0.13–0.68, p = 0.004) and decannulation (HR: 0.05, 95% CI: 0.01–0.22, p < 0.001) increased the survival probability in tracheostomized patients. Prognostic factors associated with poor survival identified were only use of vasopressors (HR: 3.98, 95% CI: 1.40–9.75, p = 0.008).

Kaplan-Meir curve plots the days of intubation to tracheostomy against x-axis and the cumulative 28-day survival and 60-day survival probability against y-axis in Figures 1 and 2. There were two survival curves drawn representing patients who underwent early and late tracheostomy. For every point at which the death...
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Table 1

| Timing | Weaning Failure | Anticipated Prolonged Ventilation | Airway Protection | Upper Respiratory Infection |
|--------|----------------|---------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------|
| Early  | 15%             | 40%                             | 1.5%             | 3%                        |
| Late   | 30%             | 9%                              | 1.5%             | 9%                        |

Fig. 1: Kaplan-Meir analysis of survival in patients receiving early and late tracheostomies for 28 days. In this figure, the event was considered death (n = 35) and those who had survived (n = 35) were taken in censored data. The mean of 28 days survival was found to be 5.6 days considering the largest survival time days with 95% confidence interval between (5.1–6.2) days in early and 13.7 days with 95% CI (11.8–15.7) in late tracheostomized patients.

Fig. 2: Kaplan-Meir analysis of survival in patients receiving early and late tracheostomies for 60 days. In this figure, the event was considered death (n = 35) and those who had survived (n = 35) were taken in censored data. The mean 60 days survival was found to be 5.4 days considering the largest survival time days with 95% confidence interval between (4.8–6.0) days in early and 13.2 days with 95% CI (11.2–15.2) in late tracheostomized patients.

**Discussion**

In this retrospective observational cohort study of 65 tracheostomized COVID-19 patients, we observed that:

- Most common indication of TT was weaning failure followed by anticipated prolonged ventilation, airway protection, and upper airway obstruction.
- Bleeding from tracheostomy site was the most common early complication followed by pneumothorax, subcutaneous emphysema, stoma site infection, and hemodynamic instability. Among late complications, tracheal stenosis was the most frequent followed by stridor and swallowing difficulty. There was no difference in complication rates between early and late tracheostomy. There was no difference in complications or mortality at 28 days between PDT and ST.
- Ventilator liberation was successful in 35/65 (54%) patients at an average of 14.9 (±18.7) days after tracheostomy. Overall, 49% patients were decannulated. Mortality was 46.1% (30/65) at 28 days and 53.8% at 60 days of ICU admission.
- Early tracheostomy within 7 days of intubation did not facilitate early ventilator liberation and was not associated with survival benefit.

Intensive care of tracheostomized COVID-19 patients is challenging. Most frequent indication of tracheostomy documented in our ICU was weaning failure (57%), followed by anticipated prolonged ventilation (40%), airway protection (1.5%), and upper airway obstruction (1.5%). Pneumonia needing prolonged ventilation followed by weaning difficulty was reported as the most common indication of TT in a case series of 11 COVID-19 patients from India.

**Complications Associated with Tracheostomy**

Incidence of complications after tracheostomy is reported from 0 to 40% for PDT and 7–58% for open surgical tracheostomies. Picetti et al. reported stoma infection and hemorrhage as the common complication in 66 COVID-19 ICU patients who underwent tracheostomy 6 days after intubation. We observed an early and late complication rate of 46.6 and 4.4% for PDT and 40 and 10% for surgical tracheostomy, respectively. Perioperative hemorrhage was the most common complication (29.2%) in surgical as well as PDT as is previously reported. Though we withheld therapeutic dose, low molecular weight heparin 24 hours prior to procedure as a protocol, still the presence of a hypocoagulative state (DIC like state), with...
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increase in proinflammatory cytokines, coagulation disorders, and endothelial and microvascular damage, may have contributed to the bleeding complication. In most patients, bleeding was managed conservatively, except one where surgical intervention was needed.

Weaning Outcomes after Tracheostomy

Tracheostomy in COVID-19 patient should be more beneficial due to the poor lung compliance, anticipated prolonged mechanical ventilation, muscle weakness with overwhelming secretions, poor cough, and chance of reintubation. Patients with COVID-19 may need respiratory support for more than 4 weeks. In our patient cohort, average duration of mechanical ventilation was 14 days. We practiced immediate proning after intubation. Tracheostomy was considered when there was no response to proning even after 3–4 cycles.

Static lung compliance in our patient cohort was poor (18–30 mL/cm H$_2$O) at intubation with slight improvement till tracheostomy. Even before tracheostomy lung compliance was low (24 mL/cm H$_2$O) with a mean DP and PEEP of 15 and 8, respectively. The mean FiO$_2$ requirement improved from 0.75 at intubation to 0.5 at tracheostomy, signifying improvement in oxygenation despite only slight improvement in lung compliance. Ideal condition of tracheostomy with FiO$_2$ <0.4 and near normal compliance was not achievable in COVID-19.

After tracheostomy, 54% patients were weaned successfully within a range of 1–34 days. Similar weaning success rate was reported by the COVID Trach Collaborative group from UK NHS (52%; n = 219/465) and Spain (52.1%; 842/1616). 14

Decannulation was successful in 49% patients at 4–34 days after tracheostomy in our study. Another retrospective study from India reported decannulation 16–32 days after TT in 22 tracheostomized COVID-19 patients.

We observed that ventilator weaning was challenging even after tracheostomy because of neurological (delirium, agitation) and circulatory (myocarditis, hemodynamic instability, poor LV function) reasons, besides respiratory issues. Ventilator asynchrony and agitation were the main contributor for ongoing sedation post-TT.

Mortality Rates

Highest mortality rates after tracheostomy in COVID-19 patients are reported from Spain (24–41%), followed by United States of America (6–33%), Italy (18–25%), and United Kingdom (12–14%). 14,16 A small study from India reported 59% mortality in 22 tracheostomized patients. 17 We observed a lower mortality rate of 46.1% (30/65) at 28 days of ICU admission with increase to 53.8% at 60 days. Our mortality rate is higher than that in western literature. It is not surprising as countries with a high health expenditure of roughly 7.6% gross domestic product (GDP) have a lower COVID-19 fatality rate. 18

There was no association of mortality with elderly age and presence of comorbidities. Our findings agree with Volo et al. while being in contrast with Grasselli et al. 19,20 Average SOFA score at admission was 3 in deceased tracheostomized patients with a poor lung compliance (Cst 22 mL/cm H$_2$O). Most outcome studies on tracheostomy have not highlighted the ventilatory parameters at tracheostomy.

Outcomes with Respect to Timing of Tracheostomy

In COVID-19, definitions for early and late tracheostomy are dynamic with duration ranging from 7 to 14 days after intubation in different studies. 19,21–23 Earlier recommendations deferred tracheostomy beyond 21 days of intubation to decrease the risk of infection to healthcare workers (HCWs). 24,25 Volo et al. suggested to wait at least 14 days before tracheostomy with postponement of procedure with SOFA score >6. 17 Angel et al. and Consensus group have favored tracheostomy around 10th day of intubation with maximal utilization of ICU resources in patients with clinical improvement. 26,27

In Indian scenario, small case series have reported early tracheostomy within 4–12 days after intubation in COVID-19, most likely due to overcrowded ICU with high demand of ventilatory equipment in a pandemic. 6,28

Previous studies have suggested that early tracheostomy is beneficial in Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome (ARDS) patients on mechanical ventilation with decrease in the length of ICU stay and improved survival. 29 In our cohort of COVID-19 patients with ARDS, we observed no difference in frequency of VAP and duration taken for weaning-off sedation, pressure support ventilation, ventilator liberation, and decannulation post-tracheostomy between early and late group. We observed that the decision of tracheostomy after 7 days of intubation, once FiO$_2$ requirement was reduced to 0.5, was associated with better survival. This was despite higher oxygen requirement and poorer oxygenation at the time of intubation with need for early intubation in these patients, which may have influenced survival. Though mortality cannot be attributed to the procedure of Tracheostomy per se, still we hypothesize that early tracheostomy within 7 days did not have weaning or survival benefit as the primary disease process was still evolving. In COVID-19, patients may develop lung “hyperinflammation,” partly due to viral infection, bacterial superinfection, ventilator-induced lung injury or patient self-inflicted lung injury (P-SILI), heart failure, or a combination of these factors. 30 Once there is improvement in oxygenation to FiO$_2$ requirement less than 0.5, in spite of only slight improvement in lung compliance, it may denote resolution of some pulmonary physiopathological changes associated with COVID-19. It may be prudent to wait for 7 days after intubation, so that the tracheostomy facilitates weaning from sedation and ventilator liberation, thereby contributing to better outcomes.

Limitations

Our study is limited by the retrospective nature, small sample size, single-center design which limits the generalizability of our results to a wider population. As our center is the primary referral center in Central India, our results may possibly be generalized to Madhya Pradesh and neighboring states. Our study may serve as a pilot for future studies on outcome of tracheostomized COVID-19 patients on mechanical ventilation.

Retrospective nature of data collection from electronic medical records has the limitation of affecting data accuracy. Since most data were collected from ICU charts where hourly records of minute details of clinical course are stringently maintained, we hope to have decreased the recall bias. Data were collected by two investigators to increase data reliability.

Further, we have not studied the impact on HCWs in terms of infectivity. Peak infectivity is demonstrated by SARS coronavirus at 9–10 days after symptom onset, but novel coronavirus may have peak infectivity from 2 days prior to symptom onset, with decreasing infectivity thereafter. 31–33 Because of prevalent community spread in Central India, we did not include COVID-19 infections in HCW as causality with tracheostomy could not be ascertained.
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Strength of the Study
All the study patients were followed till 60 days of ICU admission with everyone discharged or deceased by the time of data entry giving clear outcome results.

We studied ventilatory mechanics of static compliance, FiO₂, DP, PEEP post-intubation, and pre-tracheostomy which may help in making evidence-based decisions before performing tracheostomy.

We have included elaborate findings of tracheostomy type and timing, weaning details which can contribute in prognostication in tracheostomized COVID-19 patients on mechanical ventilation.

Conclusion
Weaning success in tracheostomized COVID-19 patients in our study was comparable to western literature. In COVID-19 patients on invasive mechanical ventilation, no weaning or survival benefit was seen with early tracheostomy. Moreover, tracheostomy should be done only after FiO₂ requirement decreases to 0.5 with a PEEP ≤ 8 for better outcomes and to avoid a wasted procedure.
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