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ABSTRACT

Research into obtaining a fast, valid, reliable and non-invasive measure of core temperature is of interest in many disciplinary fields. Occupational and sports medicine research has attempted to determine a non-invasive proxy for core temperature particularly when access to participants is limited and thermal safety is of a concern due to protective encapsulating clothing, hot ambient environments and/or high endogenous heat production during athletic competition. This investigation aimed to determine the validity of inner canthus of the eye temperature ($T_{EC}$) as an alternate non-invasive measure of intestinal core temperature ($T_c$) during rest, exercise and post-exercise conditions. Twelve physically active males rested for 30 min prior to exercise, performed 60 min of aerobic exercise at 60% VO_{2max} and passively recovered a further 60 min post-exercise. $T_{EC}$ and $T_c$ were measured at 5 min intervals during each condition. Mean differences between $T_{EC}$ and $T_c$ were 0.61 ± 1.78 °C during pre-exercise, −1.78 °C during exercise and −1.00 °C during post-exercise. The reliability between the methods was low in the pre-exercise (ICC=0.49 [−0.09 to 0.82]), exercise (ICC=−0.14 [−0.65 to 0.44]) and post-exercise (ICC=−0.25 [−0.70 to 0.35]) conditions. In conclusion, poor agreement was observed between the $T_{EC}$ values measured through IRT and $T_c$ measured through a gastrointestinal telemetry pill. Therefore, $T_{EC}$ is not a valid substitute measurement to gastrointestinal telemetry pill in sports and exercise science settings.

1. Introduction

The measurement of core temperature ($T_c$) is fundamental in human thermoregulation studies under resting, exercise and post-exercise conditions (Cuddy et al., 2014; West et al., 2014; Xu et al., 2013). Small $T_c$ increases result in specific physiological responses such as increased cutaneous vasodilation and sweating, which helps to dissipate excess body heat during exercise (Romanovsky, 2014; Sawka et al., 2011). Research into obtaining a fast, valid, reliable and non-invasive measure of core temperature is of interest in many disciplinary fields. For example, during the 2009 outbreak of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) generated by Influenza A (H1N1) in Southeast Asia, thermal images obtained through infrared thermography (IRT) were successfully used for screening potential infected individuals at international airports, becoming an important primary step for mass prevention of the spread of SARS (Chan et al., 2004; Lahiri et al., 2012; Ng et al., 2004; Ring and Ammer, 2012; Teunissen and Daanen, 2011). Furthermore, occupational and sports medicine research has attempted to determine a non-invasive proxy for core temperature particularly when access to participants is limited and thermal safety...
is of a concern due to protective encapsulating clothing (Montain et al., 1994), hot ambient environments (Taylor, 2006) and/or high endogenous heat production during athletic competition (Laursen et al., 2006).

Previous investigations have attempted to locate various regions within the face (Bourlai et al., 2012; Chan et al., 2004; Ng et al., 2004) that correlate to core temperature. Unfortunately, some of these investigations failed to apply sufficient standardization of IRT (Bourlai et al., 2012) or employed core temperature measures prone to bias from ambient conditions or patient morphology (Daanen, 2006) such as tympanic (Ng et al., 2004) or oral temperature (Chan et al., 2004). Recent work by Teunissen and Daanen (2011) has identified the inner canthus of the eye (T_{EC}) as a potential site in which core temperature could be derived in the exercising individual. Using esophageal temperature as a comparison, the T_{EC} was shown to have large mean bias (1.80±0.89 °C) and variability across a number of conditions including rest, exercise, recovery and passive heating. However Teunissen and Daanen (2011) analyzed both sex and did not describe the procedures for extracting and analyzing the data, which can lead an error, given number of factors that may influence infrared thermography (Fernández-Cuevas et al., 2015). Moreover, although esophageal temperature has been reported as a measure that responds quicker than other techniques to changes in Tc it can be influenced by swallowing saliva or beverages (Taylor et al., 2014). Additionally, insertion of a probe in the lower third of the esophagus is necessary (Mekjavic and Rempel, 1990), which may cause vomiting, respiratory tract or throat irritation and additionally is difficult to implement in real world applications such as field-based outdoor measurement (Domitrovich et al., 2010; Easton et al., 2007; Lee et al., 2000).

The blood temperature measured in the pulmonary artery is a “gold standard” for evaluation of T_c, however, the fact of being an invasive method makes other techniques more suitable for studies of thermoregulation (Domitrovich et al., 2010; Easton et al., 2007). Thus, the T_c measured in the esophagus, rectum and bowel are the most widely used techniques in scientific studies, being considered less-invasive, valid, reliable and reproducible (Domitrovich et al., 2010; Easton et al., 2007; Lee et al., 2000). Rectal temperature is often used by research groups due to the stability of the measure even in the presence of fluid intake or different humidity and room temperatures (Domitrovich et al., 2010; Easton et al., 2007; Lee et al., 2000). However, it requires a wired connection between the temperature sensor and the recorder that makes difficult to collect the data in real conditions, as well as the associated social stigma accompanying the insertion of a sensor approximately 10 cm past the anal sphincter (Domitrovich et al., 2010; Easton et al., 2007). Intestinal temperature measured by an ingested telemetry pill requires no wires, allowing data collection outdoors, but it has high financial cost and ingested pills can be used only once (Domitrovich et al., 2010; Easton et al., 2007; Fernandes et al., 2014; Lee et al., 2000). Intestinal telemetry pills have been validated against rectal (Byrne and Lim, 2007; Teunissen and Daanen, 2011) and esophageal temperature (Byrne and Lim, 2007) when influencing factors such as calibration and timing of ingestion are controlled for.

In this sense, the T_{EC} obtained by IRT appears as an interesting alternative for thermoregulatory studies during exercise, since this method is non-invasive, requires no contact with the evaluated and allows the collection of data in external environments. Indeed, improvements in the accuracy, functionality and affordability of camera technology have seen IRT become a widely adopted method of temperature measurement in exercise and sports science (Costello et al., 2012). It is important that researchers and clinicians are aware of the potential applications and limitations of IRT provides. Thus, the validation of this technique as a simple non-invasive method of Tc measurement could represent an advance for the field of exercise physiology, as researchers may have an interesting alternative to measure T_{EC}. Therefore, the objective of this study was to verify the validity of the T_{EC} measured by IRT as a T_{EC} indicator under resting, exercise and post-exercise in temperate conditions.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants

The volunteers were informed about the procedures for all stages of the investigation and signed informed consent forms prior to enrolment in the study. This study was approved by the local Ethics Committee on Human Research of Federal University of Viçosa (No 134, 2011), which followed the principles outlined by the World Medical Assembly Declaration of Helsinki. This comparative study involved two temperature measurements. The participants consisted of 12 physically active males (age: 22.4 ± 3.3 years, height: 177.0 ± 0.8 cm, percentage body fat (%BF): 10.3 ± 3.0%, body surface area (BSA): 1.92 ± 0.09 m² and VO_{2max} 48.7 ± 4.9 ml min⁻¹ kg⁻¹). The participants were physical education students and were selected by convenience through e-mail invitation. It was considered as exclusion factors the following characteristics: smoker, history of kidney problems, osteo-myo-articular injury in the last two months or lodge any symptoms; symptoms of pain in any body region; sleep disorders; fever in the last seven days; use of antipyretics or diuretic medications; use of any dietary supplement with potential interference in water homeostasis or body temperature in the last two weeks. All volunteers were apparently healthy through the Physical Activity Readiness Questionnaire (PARq) (Thomas et al., 1992). Considering the dynamics of the proposed exercise, were included subjects classified as “physically active” according to the criteria of the American College of Sports Medicine (ACSM) (Garber et al., 2011) for holding regular physical training sessions at least 3 times a week in the last four months. The sample size was determined with the software GPower (version 3.1.9.2; Franz Paul, Universität Kiel, Germany) (Faul et al., 2007). The following design specifications were taken into account: α=0.05; (1-β)=0.8; effect size f=0.6; test family=F-test and statistical test=ANOVA repeated measures, between factor.

2.2. Experimental design

The body mass in grams (Filizola®), Star 300/4, height in centimeters (American Medical®, ESS2020) and skinfolds in millimeters (CESCORF®), Scientific) were measured by a trained anthropometrist according to the recommendations of the International Society for the Advancement of Kinanthropometry (Marfell-Jones et al., 2006). Between 8:00 to 8:30 AM, while at home, participants ingested a thermal pill for evaluating their T_{EC} with a telemetry system (HQ CorTemp® Inc., HT150002). This allowed for sufficient time prior to the trials commencing (at least 6 h) for the pill to pass from the stomach to the intestines for optimal T_{EC} measurement (Domitrovich et al., 2010). Each pill was properly calibrated and certified by the manufacturer. All procedures followed the recommendations proposed by Byrne and Lim (2007) to ensure the validity of the ingested telemetry pills for recording T_{EC}.

From 11:00 to 12:00 h, the participants consumed a lunch consisting of foods usually consumed in their daily routine. To avoid physical and thermal stress, the volunteers were transported by car to the laboratory. The volunteers entered the laboratory by 13:30 h and adapted to room temperature for one hour. This room was properly equipped with artificial fluorescent lamps, and the environmental temperature was maintained through a heating/cooling air conditioner (Komeco®, Hi-wall Split). The average temperature remained at 24.9 ± 0.6 °C, and the relative humidity was 62.3 ± 5.7%; both measures were recorded with a digital weather station and anemometer (Instrutherm®, AD-250), which characterized the environment as temperate with null (±0.2 m/s) wind speed (Bain and Jay, 2011). These environmental conditions were monitored using a digital thermometer (Beurer®) located on the back of the participant. Before each experimental session, the participants were positioned in the sitting position in a chair and the placement of the T_{EC} sensor was adjusted to the most comfortable position. The mouth was kept closed, and the face was parallel to the floor to avoid changes in IRT. The participants were informed about the procedures for all stages of the investigation and signed informed consent forms prior to enrolment in the study. This study was approved by the local Ethics Committee on Human Research of Federal University of Viçosa (No 134, 2011), which followed the principles outlined by the World Medical Assembly Declaration of Helsinki. This comparative study involved two temperature measurements. The participants consisted of 12 physically active males (age: 22.4 ± 3.3 years, height: 177.0 ± 0.8 cm, percentage body fat (%BF): 10.3 ± 3.0%, body surface area (BSA): 1.92 ± 0.09 m² and VO_{2max} 48.7 ± 4.9 ml min⁻¹ kg⁻¹). The participants were physical education students and were selected by convenience through e-mail invitation. It was considered as exclusion factors the following characteristics: smoker, history of kidney problems, osteo-myo-articular injury in the last two months or lodge any symptoms; symptoms of pain in any body region; sleep disorders; fever in the last seven days; use of antipyretics or diuretic medications; use of any dietary supplement with potential interference in water homeostasis or body temperature in the last two weeks. All volunteers were apparently healthy through the Physical Activity Readiness Questionnaire (PARq) (Thomas et al., 1992). Considering the dynamics of the proposed exercise, were included subjects classified as “physically active” according to the criteria of the American College of Sports Medicine (ACSM) (Garber et al., 2011) for holding regular physical training sessions at least 3 times a week in the last four months. The sample size was determined with the software GPower (version 3.1.9.2; Franz Paul, Universität Kiel, Germany) (Faul et al., 2007). The following design specifications were taken into account: α=0.05; (1-β)=0.8; effect size f=0.6; test family=F-test and statistical test=ANOVA repeated measures, between factor.

In this sense, the T_{EC} obtained by IRT appears as an interesting alternative for thermoregulatory studies during exercise, since this method is non-invasive, requires no contact with the evaluated and allows the collection of data in external environments. Indeed, improvements in the accuracy, functionality and affordability of camera technology have seen IRT become a widely adopted method of temperature measurement in exercise and sports science (Costello et al., 2012). It is important that researchers and clinicians are aware of the potential applications and limitations of IRT provides. Thus, the validation of this technique as a simple non-invasive method of Tc measurement could represent an advance for the field of exercise physiology, as researchers may have an interesting alternative to measure T_{EC}. Therefore, the objective of this study was to verify the validity of the T_{EC} measured by IRT as a T_{EC} indicator under resting, exercise and post-exercise in temperate conditions.
conditions are in accordance with the recommendations of James and Ring (2009) for collecting IRT data in fever screening. The heart rate (HR) was monitored at all stages of the study using a heart monitor (Polar Team2 Pro®). The maximal oxygen uptake (\( \dot{V}O_2_{\text{max}} \)) was estimated using a submaximal incremental treadmill test according to the recommendations of the American College of Sports Medicine ACSM (2013) obtained in a pre-session experiment two days before the experiment day.

2.3. Experimental testing

The participants remained standing for 30 min in the test room, both thermal pill temperature and IRT scan were recorded every 5 min, totaling seven collections during the resting condition. For the IRT scan, the volunteer remained in anatomical position in front of the imager at a distance of 1 m for the measurement of thermogram the face in accordance with the recommendations of Ring and Ammer (2000). An infrared imager (FLIR®, T420), with a measurement range from −20 to +120 °C, 2% accuracy, sensitivity ±0.05 °C, IR spectral band of 7.5–13 µm, refresh rate of 60 Hz, auto-focus and a resolution of 320×240 pixels was used to obtain the thermograms.

The participants completed an interval test on a treadmill consisting of 12 blocks of 5 min each separated by an interval of 1 min. The \( T_{EC} \) and \( T_C \) were recorded during the interval time, totaling 12 collections during the exercise condition. The intensity of the blocks of exercise was individually fixed to 60% of the \( \dot{V}O_2_{\text{max}} \) speed obtained in the pre-session experiment. Participants remained standing for 60 min in the test room, and every 5 min, \( T_{EC} \) and \( T_C \) values were recorded using the IRT or thermal pill for a total of 12 measurements during the entire resting phase. The remaining variables were measured in the same manner as during the pre-exercise period.

2.4. Thermal image processing

After collecting IRT images, the region of interest within the eye was highlighted manually using an ellipse tool available in the software used. This procedure was done observing International Standards Organization recommendations (Ring and Ammer, 2012), with an area exceeding the minimum 9 pixels (225 ± 52) for greater accuracy and representation of temperature, as shown in Fig. 1. In this analysis, each pixel is a measure of temperature, and the median temperature of the area was considered within the analyzed region. Temperatures were recorded in right eye inner canthus of the participant using specific software (FLIR Tools®). The emissivity value adopted for human skin was 0.98 (Lahiri et al., 2012; Ring and Ammer, 2012) and the reflected the room temperature was 25 °C.

2.5. Statistical analysis

The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to assess the normality of the data and Levene’s test for homogeneity of variances. The data have shown normal distribution and homogeneity. Considering the assumptions required (dependence of observations, normality of sampling distribution, and uniformity of residuals) (Hopkins, 1997), a two-way repeated measures ANOVA with Bonferroni correction was performed between \( T_{EC} \) and \( T_C \) data. For comparing the difference between methods in each moment, Bonferroni post hoc test was applied. The sphericity was assumed in all calculations. The limits of agreement between pairs of measurements of \( T_{EC} \) compared with \( T_C \) were searched according to the method suggested by Bland and Altman (1986). For reliability of the pairs of values obtained, the Intraclass Correlation Coefficient (ICC) one-way random was used. In this setting, the ICC is the proportion of the total variance that can be ascribed to true differences. Values for the ICC range from 0 to 1. In addition, typical error of the measure which is the standard deviation of the individual values and its range were also calculated. The use of these approaches follows the recommendations of (Atkinson and Nevill, 1998) because there are advantages and disadvantages to each case. Analyses were performed using Microsoft Excel® (Microsoft, Redmond, USA) and IBM SPSS (Version 21.0, Chicago, USA). Statistical significance was set at \( p < 0.05 \). All data are reported as the mean ± SD unless otherwise stated.

3. Results

On the data collection, the 12 participants had a \( T_{EC} \) of 36.57 ± 0.41; 36.68 ± 0.45; 36.43 ± 0.49 and a \( T_C \) of 37.17 ± 0.25; 37.66 ± 0.38; 37.39 ± 0.33 for rest, exercise and post exercise conditions respectively.

The validity of the \( T_{EC} \) compared with \( T_C \) was verified by a Bland-Altman analysis, showing low levels of agreement between pairs of measures (Fig. 2). Pairwise comparisons with Bonferroni post hoc test showed significant differences (\( p < 0.01 \)) between \( T_{EC} \) and \( T_C \) in all analyzed moments (Fig. 3).

The intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) and confidence intervals (CI) for \( T_{EC} \) compared with \( T_C \) values showed 0.49 (CI: −0.09; 0.82), −0.14 (CI: −0.65; 0.44), and −0.25 (CI: −0.70; 0.25), respectively for R15, E30 and P30 moments. These results revealed that the validity of \( T_{EC} \) compared with \( T_C \) can be considered as unsatisfactory following the principles of Lee et al. (1989). The obtained typical errors of the measure intervals were large, (ie, ± 0.5 °C; ± 0.8 °C and ± 0.9 °C respectively for R15, E30 and P30 moments).

4. Discussion

This study examined the validity of the \( T_{EC} \) as \( T_C \), indicator in resting, exercise and post-exercise conditions. Based on the analysis of the results, it can be said that \( T_{EC} \) had severe errors which did not have a constant course in rest, exercise and post-exercise, providing the technique a poor validity. Thus, it is suggested that the measurement of the \( T_{EC} \) may not be a substitute technique for \( T_C \) in the three conditions studied. It was also noted that the variability is even more evident in the exercise and post-exercise conditions.

Delving into ICC results in the moments before (0.49), during (−0.14) and after exercise (−0.25), the agreement between pairs of measures (\( T_{EC} \) vs. \( T_C \)), mainly during and after exercise are not acceptable (Lee et al., 1989). This was also evident by the plots of the residual scores of Bland-Altman (Fig. 2). According to Bland and Altman (1986), this analysis establish the level of agreement between the measured values and the reference values, expressing the accuracy of the values and the limits of agreement. This difference between the two measures in the three moments was also evident in Fig. 3, where
comparisons were made every 5 min. Furthermore, the low agreement between $T_{EC}$ as $T_{C}$ is reinforced in the ICC analysis, according to Atkinson and Nevill (1998) recommendations.

The lower difference between methods was observed before exercise, with an average error 0.61 °C (range between 0.04 °C to −1.27 °C; 95% CI) (Fig. 2a). Studies of Ng et al. (2004), Sumriddetchkajorn and Chaitavon (2009) and Teunissen and Daanen (2011) also registered $T_{EC}$ comparing with other gold standard methods showing also smaller values than for $T_{C}$ as the obtained in our study. For example, in the moment R15 there was a difference of 0.6 °C, being $T_{EC}$ (36.6 °C) and $T_{C}$ (37.2 °C).

The aim of the works of Ng et al. (2004) and Sumriddetchkajorn and Chaitavon (2009) was to test the validity of the $T_{EC}$ to detect people with fever and the method used for evaluation of $T_{C}$ was the ear thermometer. In these two studies, the authors concluded that the $T_{EC}$ can be used as a measure for the detection of fever. It should be considered that under conditions of fever the $T_{EC}$ could be higher and closer to the $T_{C}$ and this fact could explain why our results differ from those of the aforementioned investigations. On the other hand, Teunissen and Daanen (2011) compared the $T_{EC}$ to esophageal temperature of 10 subjects in four conditions (rest, exercise, recovery and passive heating) concluding that the measure obtained as $T_{EC}$ was not as reliable as the obtained for $T_{C}$, pointing out an agreement with the findings of our study.

In the exercise condition major differences between the measures were observed, with a bias of −1.79 and a standard deviation of 0.60, resulting in 95% limits of agreement of −0.61 and −2.97. So that, the bias increased with the completion of the exercise (E30; Fig. 2b). It is worth noting that in exercise condition, $T_{C}$ increased in comparison with the rest as occurred in different previous studies (Bain and Jay, 2011; Byrne and Lim, 2007; Domitrovich et al., 2010; Easton et al., 2007; Lee et al., 2000), while the $T_{EC}$ measured by IRT was reduced compared to rest (Fig. 3). Thus, at E30 moment the difference was 1.8 °C ($T_{EC}$ (35.9 °C) and $T_{C}$ (37.7 °C)) i.e. an increment of 1.2 °C after exercise considering the resting values (0.61 °C of difference between $T_{EC}$ and $T_{C}$). A similar result was found in the study of Teunissen and Daanen (2011) where a difference of 1.3 °C ($T_{EC}$ (35.6 °C) and $T_{C}$ (36.9 °C)) was registered at rest and a difference 2.9 °C ($T_{EC}$ (35.5 °C) and $T_{C}$ (38.4 °C)) after 30 min of biking, resulting a relative increment of 1.6 °C after exercise.

The statistical analysis of the data after exercise also indicates a low agreement between $T_{EC}$ and the $T_{C}$, which is worse than at rest, showing average error of −1.00 °C (range between −0.29 °C and −2.29; 95% CI) (Fig. 3c). At the moment P30, the difference between methods was 1.0 °C ($T_{EC}$ (36.4 °C) and $T_{C}$ (37.4 °C)). In the study of Teunissen and Daanen (2011) the $T_{EC}$ values obtained by IRT were inconsistent with the $T_{C}$ values during rest. We should also consider a point in order to understand the divergence on the evolution of the $T_{EC}$ and $T_{C}$ during exercise (i.e., while the $T_{C}$ increase in a uniform way, the $T_{EC}$ decrease with higher variability). On the other hand, the present research differs from Cholewka et al. (2016) which studied twelve male cyclists during a incremental test and found a constant increase of $T_{EC}$ as the exercise intensity increased. However, this difference can be explained mainly because of exercise type, since the present study the participants performed continuous exercise fixed to 60% of the VO2max speed, while the study of Cholewka et al. (2016) carried out an incremental test increasing the intensity every 3 min until maximal effort. Thus, it is suggested that $T_{EC}$ has a different response in continuous and incremental exercise. Indeed, sweat could potentially affect the $T_{EC}$ results because, given that sweat level depends on the nose cooling the area of inner canthus of the eye and influence IRT measurements (Bach et al., 2015; Bernard et al., 2013).

In this sense, it seems that $T_{EC}$ as recorded in our study, it is not a measure that can replace the traditional methods used in studies of thermoregulation to measure $T_{C}$ during exercise. It is important to note that there are several methods for $T_{C}$ measurement in humans during resting or exercise conditions and each of the various forms of $T_{C}$ measurement have their own inherent limitations (Domitrovich et al., 2010). Although ingestible telemetry pill have been shown to be valid and reliable alternative to rectal $T_{C}$ (Byrne and Lim, 2007; Ganio et al.,

Fig. 2. Plot of the bias (mean difference) and limits of agreement (± 1.96 95% CI) between $T_{EC}$ and $T_{C}$. (A) 15 min before exercise (R15), (B) after 30 min of exercise (E30) and (C) 30 min after exercise (P30), according to the procedures of Bland-Altman (n=12).
substitute measurement to traditional methods of intestinal telemetry pill. Additionally, signifi-
cant differences observed between $T_{EC}$ and $T_C$ seen in this study would be lower and therefore more agreeable if $T_C$ were measured by rectal thermometer. However, it is unclear whether rectal $T_C$ would provide sufficient agreement to $T_{EC}$. This investigation attempted to control for individual rates of gastrointestinal motility that prevent a fixed anatomical position to measure intestinal $T_C$ by instructing participants to ingest the pill at least 6 h prior to data collection to allow for the pill to pass through the stomach into the intestines (Byrne and Lim, 2007; Domitrovich et al., 2010). Furthermore, we instructed our participants to maintain typical meal intake in the 24 h preceding testing to minimize changes to normal gastrointestinal motility. However, the gastrointestinal motility may be influenced by many factors including diurnal variation (Goodman et al., 2009), exercise (Leiper et al., 2005), age (Madsen and Graff, 2004), among others (Koffler et al., 1992). Thus, intestinal pill position at the time of measurement could not be guaranteed, consequently this variation may contribute to differences observed in this study.

Interpretation of this data is subject to certain limitations including the relatively moderate exercise intensity performed in intervals to allow for data collection. Future studies should build upon the findings of the current investigation by assessing continuous exercise at higher intensities. Furthermore, the study population was limited to healthy young adult men, and the thermal response may be different for other age groups, unhealthy populations and women. Another limitation of the current study was the small sample which leads to low statistical power. Future research should also implement the use of other commonly used $T_C$ measures such as rectal and esophageal temperature. Finally, it may be of interest to determine if prevention sweat pooling around the eye would yield similar findings to the current investigation.

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, poor agreement was observed between the $T_{EC}$ values measured through IRT and $T_C$ measured through a gastrointestinal telemetry pill. Additionally, significant differences and mean bias across all tested time points demonstrated insufficient agreement between these two forms of body temperature measurement during rest, exercise and recovery, with augmented differences seen during exercise and post-exercise conditions. Thus, the $T_{EC}$ is not a valid substitute measurement to traditional methods of $T_C$ assessment used in sports and exercise science settings.
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