Abrogation of Salmonella and E. coli O157:H7 in Feedlot Cattle Fed a Proprietary Saccharomyces cerevisiae Fermentation Prototype
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Abstract

Salmonella and E. coli O157:H7 are insidious problems for the beef industry. Asymptomatic fecal shedding of these pathogens contaminates the hide and carcass. Furthermore, Salmonella are unique in their ability to infiltrate lymph nodes leading to the post-harvest contamination of ground beef. These contaminations yield the two most important food safety hazards associated with the consumption of beef. Herein, we report the anti-Salmonella and anti-E. coli O157:H7 effects of a novel Saccharomyces cerevisiae fermentation prototype (PRT; NaturSafe™) fed to feedlot cattle. Cattle fed PRT were compared to those fed a combination of monensin, tylosin, and a direct-fed microbial - a standard conventional practice in the U.S. beef industry. In this investigator-blinded study, 1,495 feedlot heifers (300-400 kg) were fed PRT (n=747 heifers) or the standard industry diet (PC; n=748 heifers) without PRT for 125-146 days prior to slaughter. At the abattoir, fecal swabs were obtained from 400 animals (n=200/group) and subjected to selective culture for enumerating Salmonella and E. coli O157:H7. Additionally, subiliac lymph nodes were obtained from 400 carcasses for enumeration of Salmonella spp. Salmonella isolated from the feces and lymph nodes were subjected to a virulence assay and some antibiotic susceptibility and Salmonella serovar testing. When compared to cattle that received PC, Salmonella fecal shedding, lymph node infiltration, virulence, and antibiotic resistances were significantly decreased in cattle fed PRT. Additionally, PRT-fed cattle had a lower prevalence of certain Salmonella serovars (Newport, Typhimurium, and Dublin) and shed fewer E. coli O157:H7. The decrease in Salmonella virulence was associated with a decreased expression of hilA, a genetic regulator of Salmonella invasion into eukaryotic cells. This study revealed that a proprietary Saccharomyces cerevisiae fermentation prototype inhibits the shedding, lymph node carriage, downstream virulence, and antibiotic resistance of Salmonella residing in cattle beyond the standard conventional practice that includes monensin, tylosin, and a direct-fed microbial.
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Introduction

Bovine-associated Salmonella are capable of causing clinical disease, but these Salmonella can also asymptptomatically reside in the intestinal tract and lymph nodes of cattle. Both of these reservoirs create food safety hazards, especially the latter situation where lymph nodes serve as a protective conduit for Salmonella passage into ground beef [1]. The intestines also serve as a reservoir for E. coli O157:H7, which is non-pathogenic in cattle but highly pathogenic in humans [2].

Intestinal Salmonella and E. coli O157:H7 lead to surface contamination of beef, which can be mitigated by a number of different strategies at the abattoir [3]. Lymph node infiltration, on the other hand, is problematic given the number of lymph nodes unavoidably co-harvested with ground beef and the co-verness of the Salmonella within the lymph nodes [1]. Concerns with Salmonella in the lymph nodes underscore the need for improving Salmonella prophylaxis either in the pre-harvest or post-harvest setting. Recent studies have demonstrated that soluble components present in Saccharomyces cerevisiae fermentation products (SCFP, SmartCare and Original XPC™; Diamond V) eliminate Salmonella from the gastrointestinal tract of experimentally-infected calves [4]. Specifically, SCFP (SmartCare and Original XPC) significantly reduced the intestinal burden and pathogenic effects of Salmonella in calves [4]. Because of these benefits, the anti-Salmonella effects of SCFP were examined in feedlot cattle naturally infected with Salmonella.

The aims of this study were to determine a broad range of effects of a proprietary S. cerevisiae fermentation prototype (PRT; NaturSafe™) on Salmonella when fed to finishing cattle. Specifically fecal shedding, lymph node infiltration, downstream virulence, antibiotic resistance, and the prevalence of virulent Salmonella serotypes with a broad host range were determined. The virulence aspect was examined, in part, because SCFP (EpiCor®, Embria Health Sciences) can increase intestinal butyrate production [5], and this short-chain fatty acid has been shown to inhibit Salmonella virulence mechanisms in vitro [6]. The antibiotic resistances were examined since SCFP (Original XPC) can modulate the microbiome [7,8], which can alter the antibiograms of resident bacteria [9]. Additionally, we examined the effects of the SCFP on the shedding of E. coli O157:H7 since this microbe shares mammalian cell adhesion strategies with Salmonella [10]. Comparisons were made to cattle fed a combination of monensin, tylosin, and a direct-fed microbial, which is a standard conventional practice in the U.S. beef industry.

Materials and Methods

Animal care and study design

Heifers (n = 1,495; 300-400kg) were obtained from two sale barns (n=438) and one backgrounding facility (n=1,057) in Kansas in May of 2015. Cattle were shipped to a commercial feedlot in Central Kansas and were provided water and hay ad libitum. On day 1 post-arrival,
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heifers were individually weighed, identified, implanted, and vaccinated using standard procedures at the feedlot. Heifers were then randomly assigned into pens in groups of five until each pen reached its optimal capacity (~75 animals) based on bunk space and the area of the pen (14.4 inches of bunk space and 231 square feet of pen space per animal).

Two adjacent pens were designated as a single block and 10 blocks were created within the feedlot. Pens of heifers in each block were provided either a diet that contained a combination of standard industry technologies (PC), including monensin (Rumensin, Elanco Animal Health, 300 mg/head/day), tylosin (Tylovet, Hudefarma, 90 mg/head/day) and a direct-fed microbial (Bovamine Defend, Nutrition Physiology Company, 50 mg/head/day); or a diet containing 18 gm/ head/day of a S. cerevisiae fermentation prototype (PRT; NaturSafeTM, Diamond V) without monensin, tylosin, or the direct-fed microbial. Heifers received three step-up diets prior to their final finishing diet (Table 1). All treatment feed additives were stored under manufacturer-recommended conditions and added to the final ration using a micro-ingredient weight machine (Micro Beef Technologies, Amarillo, TX).

During the study, heifers were monitored for illness and treated as per recommendations by a veterinarian. Heifers that responded to treatment were returned to the study while non-responders were removed from the study. Morbidities and mortalities were indistinct between the two groups (data not shown).

At the conclusion of the study, heifers were shipped 145 miles to a commercial abattoir on two separate dates that were three weeks apart. These shipping dates corresponded to 125 and 146 days on study for the first and second groups, respectively. An equal number of pens between the two groups (data not shown).

The study, heifers were shipped 145 miles to a commercial abattoir on two separate dates that were three weeks apart. These shipping dates corresponded to 125 and 146 days on study for the first and second groups, respectively. An equal number of pens between the two groups (data not shown).

Sample collection at the abattoir

Individual animal numbers were not carried through the entire harvest process. Only the first and last animal within a pen was tagged with a lot identification tag that corresponded back to the pen number. Since individual identification was not maintained, it was not possible to keep track of which animals were sampled. As a result, lymph node and fecal samples may or may not have been collected from the same animals.

Fecal swabs were collected on the rail from 20 animals per pen (replicate). Samples were collected from every third or fourth animal within a replicate. Fecal samples were collected using a 3M-sponge stick pre-saturated with buffered peptone water. Sponge sticks were inserted into the rectum (recto-anal junction) to collect the sample. After the sample was collected, the sponge was placed into a pre-labeled bag containing buffered peptone water. The bag was closed and placed into a cooler.

Subiliac lymph nodes and the surrounding tissue were collected post-evisceration. Sample collection began with the first carcass in each replicate and continued with every third or fourth carcass within that replicate. Lymph nodes were placed into pre-labeled Whirlpak bags. The bags were closed and placed in a cooler. Fecal swabs and lymph node samples were then immediately shipped on ice to Iowa State University College of Veterinary Medicine (Ames, Iowa, USA) for microbiological analyses.

Assessment of Salmonella fecal load and lymph node infiltration

Salmonella spp. were enumerated from every fecal swab sample and lymph node collected (20 per pen; 200 per treatment) using selective agar (XLD) methods described by Brewer et al. [4]. Approximately 0.3 gm of feces or lymph node were collected on a sterile cotton swab and then aseptically transferred into 10mL Lennox broth and an aliquot of the broth was immediately plated on XLD agar, incubated overnight at 37°C, and subjected to enumeration by manual counting of black-centered colonies the next day. Load was then determined as (colonies recovered) × (the dilution factor) / gm of feces or lymph node. Prevalence was calculated as percent of heifers harboring any Salmonella and was compiled across pens within a treatment group.

Assessment of E. coli O157:H7 in the Feces

E. coli O157:H7 was enumerated in 100 of the swab samples (five per pen; 50 swab samples per treatment) using selective media (Sorbitol-MacConkey agar) and a PCR targeting E. coli O157:H7 virulence genes as per Sharma and Casey [11]. Approximately 0.3 gm of feces were transferred into enrichment broth [11] and an aliquot of the broth was plated on sorbitol-MacConkey agar, incubated overnight at 37°C, and subjected to enumeration by manual counting of non-fermenting colonies the next day. From each pen-specific set of agar plates, 96 colonies were selected and subjected to the PCR targeting E. coli O157:H7 virulence genes. Load was then determined as (colonies recovered × the dilution factor × the percent of colonies yielding an E. coli O157:H7-specific amplicon within the pen) / gm of feces. Prevalence was calculated as percent of heifers harboring any E. coli O157:H7 within a pen, and was compiled across pens within a treatment group.

Assessment of tissue culture invasiveness by Salmonella recovered from cattle

Approximately 50% of the recovered Salmonella were subjected to a standard antibiotic protection-based tissue culture invasion assay [12] adapted for use with both antibiotic-susceptible and antibiotic-resistant Salmonella [13]. Colonies were collected en masse, on a pen-by-pen basis, into nutrient broth and then immediately incubated for 1 hr with HEP-2 tissue culture cells at 37°C. Bacteria were recovered from inside tissue culture cells via cell lysis, incubated on XLD agar overnight at 37°C, and enumerated the next day. Percent invasion was determined as (number of black-centered colonies recovered from inside cells / number of colonies added to cells) × 100.

Assessment of virulence gene expression in Salmonella isolated from cattle

In order to correlate the virulence of Salmonella recovered from cattle with gene expression events in the pathogen, approximately

### Table 1: Composition of diets.

| Ingredient, % of dry matter | Starter | Ration 2 | Ration 3 | Finisher |
|-----------------------------|---------|----------|----------|----------|
| Steam-flaked corn           | 30.2    | 45.8     | 58.6     | 66.1     |
| Wet distiller’s grain       | 22.2    | 19.5     | 18.0     | 18.0     |
| Alfalfa hay                 | 38.0    | 25.0     | 13.0     | -        |
| Corn stalks                 | -       | -        | -        | 4.0      |
| Corn silage                 | 7.0     | 7.0      | 5.0      | 4.0      |
| Tallow                      | 2.6     | 2.7      | 3.9      | 5.0      |
| Supplement                  | -       | -        | 1.5      | 2.9      |
| Control rations were formulated to provide 300 mg of monensin (Elanco Animal Health, Greenfield, IN), 90 mg of tylosin (Zoetis Animal Health, Florham, NJ), 0.5 mg of melengestrol acetate (Zoetis Animal Health), and 50 gm Bovamine Defend (Nutrition Physiology Company, Overland Park, KS) per heifer daily throughout the study, and 250 mg of ractopamine hydrochloride (Zoetis Animal Health) per heifer daily during the last 28 days on feed. |
| Rations containing PRT were formulated to provide 18 gm of a Saccharomyces cerevisiae fermentation prototype (NaturSafeTM; Diamond V, Cedar Rapids, IA) and 0.5 mg of melengestrol acetate (Zoetis Animal Health) per heifer daily throughout the study, and 250 mg of ractopamine hydrochloride (Zoetis Animal Health) per heifer daily during the last 28 days on feed. |
10% of the recovered Salmonella isolates were subjected to an assay that quantitates the expression of hilA (a key regulator of Salmonella invasion genes; [14]). RNA was extracted from the isolates that were collected en masse on a pen-by-pen basis, and then subjected to a semi-quantitative RT-PCR targeting the hilA transcript [15].

Assessment of antibiotic resistance in Salmonella recovered from cattle

Approximately 20% of the recovered Salmonella were individually subjected to micro-broth assays with individual antibiotics (florfenicol, ceftiofur, and enrofloxacin) at breakpoint concentrations [16]. Colonies that grew in the breakpoint concentrations were deemed to be resistant. Percent resistant were then determined as (number of resistant colonies / number of colonies examined) x 100. Data were compared across pens and between groups.

Assessment of the presence of three important Salmonella serotypes in the samples

Nearly 20% of the recovered Salmonella were individually subjected to PCR assays that detect the presence of genes related to Dublin [17], Typhimurium [17], and Newport [18] serotypes. Colonies yielding a specific PCR amplicon(s) were deemed to belong to the ascribed serotype. Percent belonging to the serotype were then determined as (number of colonies yielding a specific amplicon / number of colonies examined) x 100. Data were compared across pens and between groups.

Statistical analyses

Statistical comparisons were made using an analysis of variance with Tukey’s ad hoc test for multiple comparisons (GraphPad Prism, Version 6, La Jolla, CA). Significant differences were defined at P ≤ 0.05.

Results

Assessment of Salmonella Fecal Shedding in Cattle

Salmonella can be a potential pathogen source for humans who consume improperly cooked beef. Carcasses can become exposed to Salmonella through fecal contamination during processing. In this study, fecal shedding of Salmonella was evaluated in 200 heifer’s postmortem from each treatment group. As shown in Figure 1a, fecal shedding of Salmonella was significantly less (P<0.05) in cattle fed PRT (105 versus 405 CFU/gm of feces, respectively). The relative prevalence of fecal shedding was significantly less (P<0.05) in heifers fed PRT (6 of 105 versus 405 CFU/gm of feces, respectively). The relative prevalence of Salmonella shedding of postmortem from each treatment group. As shown in Figure 1a, fecal

Assessment of lymph node infiltration by Salmonella

Since Salmonella lymph node carriage can be a source of contamination of ground beef [19], Salmonella load was determined in the subiliac lymph nodes of 200 carcasses from each treatment group. As shown in Figure 2a, lymph node infiltration was significantly less (P<0.05) in carcasses from heifers fed PRT (902 versus 6,642 CFU/gm of lymph node, respectively). The percent of Salmonella-bearing lymph nodes was significantly less (P<0.05) in carcasses from heifers fed PRT (4 versus 14%, respectively) as per Figure 2b.
Determination of *E. coli* O157:H7 in the feces of cattle

To determine if PRT had an effect on the presence of *E. coli* O157:H7 in the feces of the heifers, fecal samples (100 per treatment group) were quantitatively examined for the presence of this critical foodborne pathogen. As shown in Figure 3a, heifers fed PRT had a statistically lower (*P*<0.05) *E. coli* O157:H7 fecal load than heifers fed PC (52 versus 122 CFU/gm of feces, respectively). Figure 3b reveals a decreased prevalence (*P*<0.05) of *E. coli* O157:H7 in heifers fed PRT when compared to those receiving the PC diet (37 versus 57%, respectively).

Assessment of the virulence of *Salmonella* recovered from cattle

In order to compare the virulence of *Salmonella* recovered from cattle, the isolates were subjected to an assay that predicts the ability of *Salmonella* to invade gut epithelial cells, which is a hallmark of *Salmonella* virulence [20]. The effects of PRT on virulence were examined due to the ability of SCFP to increase butyrate in the intestine [5]. Research has shown that butyrate can decrease the *Salmonella* invasion gene (*hilA*) expression in vitro [6], which results in the decreased ability of *Salmonella* to invade cells. In the current study, invasiveness of *Salmonella* was significantly less (*P*<0.05) in *Salmonella* recovered from the feces and lymph nodes of cattle fed PRT (Figure 4). This decrease in invasiveness coincided with a decrease in the expression of *hilA* (Figure 5), a major regulator of *Salmonella* virulence for mammalian hosts [14].

Assessment of the antibiotic resistance of *Salmonella* recovered from cattle

The antibiotic resistance of *Salmonella* in lymph nodes and fecal samples were examined since SCFP can modulate the microbiome [7,8], which can alter the antibiograms of resident bacteria [9]. To assess the possibility that PRT inhibits antibiotic resistant *Salmonella* or induces the expulsion of antibiotic resistance elements from *Salmonella*, isolates...
recovered from cattle were subjected to an antibiotic susceptibility assay that utilized three individual antibiotics (ceftiofur, enrofloxacin, and florfenicol). These three antibiotics were chosen given their extended spectra and importance in bovine therapeutics. Additionally, two of the three antibiotics tested (ceftiofur and enrofloxacin) have counterparts important for human therapeutics (ceftriaxone and ciprofloxacin, respectively).

Figure 6 reveals a decrease in the prevalence of resistant (P < 0.05) Salmonella recovered from heifers fed PRT for all three antibiotics. This figure represents isolates from both feces and lymph nodes. It is of note that resistance of these antibiotics was, in general, more prevalent in the fecal isolates when compared to the lymph node isolates.

Assessment of the presence of three important Salmonella serotypes in the samples

Salmonella isolates recovered from the feces or lymph nodes of cattle were subjected to PCR assays targeting three serotypes (Dublin, Newport, and Typhimurium). As shown in Figure 7, the prevalence of two of these serovars was diminished in heifers fed PRT, regardless of the source of the isolates. No S. Dublin were isolated from feces and only one colony of S. Dublin was isolated from lymph nodes. Thus, statistical evaluations are not presented for this minor subsection of the study.

Discussion

Salmonella and E. coli O157:H7 are insidious problems for the beef industry and represent critical food safety hazards. Salmonella and E. coli O157:H7 can be shed in fecal material that can contaminate the carcass during processing. Salmonella is also harbored in the lymph nodes, which can lead to contamination of ground beef. Therefore, identifying mitigation strategies for both pathogens is needed especially considering the covert nature of Salmonella lymph node infiltration [19].

In this study, the anti-Salmonella and anti-E. coli O157:H7 effects of NaturSafe™ (PRT) were examined and two critical indicators of Salmonella contamination (fecal shedding and lymph node infiltration) were significantly reduced by NaturSafe™. In this study, heifers fed PC shed a higher number of Salmonella and E. coli O157:H7 and had more Salmonella present in the lymph nodes, which ultimately increases the risk of pathogen transmission to humans that ingest beef. There are currently no other research studies looking at the effects of NaturSafe™ on pathogens in beef cattle but there are studies examining the effects of other SCFP products on pathogen load. These results described herein are consistent with a previous study in which SCFP (SmartCare and Original XPC) reduced intestinal colonization in pre-ruminant calves [4], which is possibly due to macrophage-associated clearance of the pathogen. In another study, cannulated beef heifers supplemented with SCFP (Original XP, Diamond V) had less E. coli O157:H7 colonizing the recto-anal junction after being challenged with E. coli O157:H7 [21]. Therefore, SCFP products seem to minimize the levels of Salmonella and E. coli O157:H7 in cattle.

Other significant and unique findings in this study were the reduction in virulence and antibiotic resistance in Salmonella recovered from heifers fed PRT. Reduced virulence was detected by diminished tissue culture invasion with a concomitant reduction in the expression of hilA [14]. It is unclear how virulence was mitigated by feeding this SCFP prototype. However, previous studies revealed that SCFP (Epicor) increases the gastrointestinal production of butyrate [5], a putative repressor of hilA expression [22]. Regardless of the mechanism underlying this effect, the observed magnitude of decreased invasiveness is likely to increase the infectious dose of Salmonella for a human as evidenced by our prior study, in which this level of diminished invasiveness altered the murine LD₅₀ approximately 5-fold [13].

Conclusions

In summary, NaturSafe™-fed feedlot heifers were significantly less likely to shed Salmonella and harbor this pathogen in the lymph nodes. The anti-shedding effect of NaturSafe™ was also observed for E. coli O157:H7. Additionally, NaturSafe™ reduced the virulence and antibiotic resistance of recovered Salmonella. Ultimately, these beneficial effects will have a marked positive effect on food safety in the beef industry. Future mechanistic studies are warranted to uncover the molecular bases for these effects.
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