Long-lived states with well-defined spins in spin-1/2 homogeneous Bose gases
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Many-body eigenfunctions of the total spin operator can be constructed from the spin and spatial wavefunctions with non-trivial permutation symmetries. Spin-dependent interactions can lead to relaxation of the spin eigenstates to the thermal equilibrium. A mechanism that stabilizes the many-body entangled states is proposed here. Surprisingly, in spite of coupling with the chaotic motion of the spatial degrees of freedom, the spin relaxation can be suppressed by destructive quantum interference due to spherical vector and tensor terms of the spin-dependent interactions. Tuning the scattering lengths by the method of Feshbach resonances, readily available in cold atomic labs, can enhance the relaxation timescales by several orders of magnitude.
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INTRODUCTION

A non-degenerate gas of interacting particles far from critical points is generally regarded as one of the most pronounced representatives of chaotic systems. According to the eigenstate thermalization hypothesis [1,2], expectation values of observables in the gas eigenstates coincide with microcanonical expectation values. The expectation values relax to the equilibrium after about 3 collisions, as demonstrated by numerical simulations and experiments [3-5].

Gases of spinor particles are attracting increasing attention starting from the first experimental [6,7] and theoretical [8,9] works (see book [10], reviews [11,12] and references therein). Such gases can be described in two ways. In the first, conventional, description, each particle acquires an additional degree of freedom — the spin projection $s_z$, which can have values $\pm \frac{1}{2}$, for spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ particles. It can be either the projection of a real, physical, angular momentum, or it can be attributed to internal states of particles (e.g. hyperfine states of atoms). In the last case, the particles can be either bosons or fermions, with no relation to their spins. The sum of the particle spin projections, the total spin projection, is conserved in the absence of spin-changing collisions, being related to occupations of the spin states. Then the gas is a mixture of the states of particles in the given spin state, which relax to the thermal equilibrium with the same temperature.

Another description of spinor gases is based on collective spin and spatial wavefunctions. It is a generalization of the well-known representation of a two-electron wavefunction as a product of permutation-symmetric spatial and antisymmetric spin wavefunctions for the singlet state or antisymmetric spatial and symmetric spin ones for the triplet state. The singlet and triplet states have different energies due to the coulombic interaction between electrons.

The symmetric and antisymmetric functions are examples of irreducible representations of the symmetric group [13,14]. Spatial and spin wavefunctions of $N$-body systems with $N > 2$ can belong to multidimensional, non-Abelian, irreducible representations, when permutations transform a function to a superposition of the representation functions. In the case of spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ particles, the representations are associated with the total spin $S$. The total wavefunctions with the correct bosonic or fermionic permutation symmetry are expressed as a sum of products of the spin and spatial functions [14,15]. The only one-dimensional representations, the symmetric and antisymmetric functions, are associated with $S = N/2$ and $S = 0$. Spin-independent interactions between particles split energies of states with different $S$, as shown by Heitler [16]. The states with well-defined total spin are used in quantum chemistry (see [14,15]) and were applied to spinor gases [17,30]. Many-body entanglement of such states can be employed for quantum computing [31]. Another example of states with defined spins is the Dicke collective state of of two-level atoms or molecules coupled by a single mode of the electromagnetic field [32] (see also the recent work [33] and the references therein).

In the case of spin-independent interactions, the total spin is conserved, the gas can be created in a state with given $S$, and will not relax to the thermal equilibrium, which corresponds to a mixture of states with different $S$. The present work analyses the relaxation of such states due to spin-dependent interactions between particles. It demonstrates that, in spite of coupling to chaotic spatial motion, the spin-relaxation can be suppressed due to quantum interference tuned by a Feshbach resonance. The relaxation time-scales can be also enhanced in non-equilibrium ways [34-36] using time-dependent perturbations. Relaxation of the Dicke states can be suppressed due to interaction with cavity modes [37].

The paper has the following structure. Section II describes spin-dependent interactions and permutation-symmetric wavefunctions. The Berry’s conjecture [38] and eigenstate thermalization [2] methods for description of the chaos in the spatial degrees of freedom are generalized in Sec. III to the states with well-defined spins. In Sec. IV these methods are used for calculation of non-diagonal matrix elements and relaxation rates, in a combination with symmetric group methods and the sum rules [27,28].
I. THE HAMILTONIAN, WAVEFUNCTIONS, AND PERMUTATION SYMMETRY

A general interaction, which does not change the spin projection, is a sum of interactions of particles in each combination of the two spin states, $\uparrow$ and $\downarrow$,

$$\hat{V}_{\text{spin}} = \frac{g_{\uparrow\uparrow}}{2} \hat{V}_{\uparrow\uparrow} + \frac{g_{\downarrow\downarrow}}{2} \hat{V}_{\downarrow\downarrow} + g_{\uparrow\downarrow} \hat{V}_{\uparrow\downarrow}. \quad (1)$$

Whenever the thermal wavelength for the temperature $T$

$$\lambda_T = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi \hbar^2}{mT}} \quad (2)$$

substantially exceeds the interaction range, the interactions can be approximated by the zero-range ones

$$\hat{V}_{\uparrow\uparrow} = \sum_{j \neq j'} \delta(r_j - r_{j'}) |\uparrow(j)\rangle \langle \uparrow(j')|$$

$$\hat{V}_{\downarrow\downarrow} = \sum_{j \neq j'} \delta(r_j - r_{j'}) |\downarrow(j)\rangle \langle \downarrow(j')|$$

$$\hat{V}_{\uparrow\downarrow} = \sum_{j \neq j'} \delta(r_j - r_{j'}) |\uparrow(j)\rangle \langle \downarrow(j')|$$

[Note the double-counting the particle pairs in $\hat{V}_{\uparrow\uparrow}$ and $\hat{V}_{\downarrow\downarrow}$, which is compensated by the factors $\frac{1}{2}$ in Eq. (1)]. The particle coordinates $r_j$ are vectors in $D$-dimensional space ($D = 2$ or 3). In the two-dimensional (2D) case, the motion in the third (axial) dimension is confined by a harmonic potential with the frequency $\omega_{\text{conf}}$ and the two-dimensional gas can be formed at sufficiently low temperature $T < \hbar \omega_{\text{conf}}$. In certain situations, the two- and three-dimensional $\delta$-functions should be renormalized in order to eliminate divergences. The interaction strengths

$$g_{\sigma\sigma'} = 4\pi \hbar^2 a_{\sigma\sigma'} / m, \quad g_{\sigma\sigma'} = \sqrt{\frac{m \omega_{\text{conf}}}{2\pi \hbar}} g_{\sigma\sigma'}, \quad (3)$$

where $m$ is the boson’s mass, are proportional to the elastic scattering lengths $a_{\sigma\sigma'}$. The interactions $\hat{V}_{\uparrow\uparrow}, \hat{V}_{\downarrow\downarrow}, \hat{V}_{\uparrow\downarrow}$, and, therefore, $\hat{V}_{\text{spin}}$ in Eq. (1) can be expanded in terms of irreducible spherical tensors

$$\hat{V}_{\text{spin}} = \frac{1}{2} [g_{Dd} \hat{V} + (g_{Dd}^\parallel - g_{Dd}^\perp) \hat{V}_0 + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} (g_{Dd}^\parallel + g_{Dd}^\perp - 2g_{Dd}^{\uparrow\downarrow}) \hat{V}_0^{(2)}]. \quad (4)$$

The spherical scalar interaction

$$\frac{1}{2} g_{Dd} \hat{V} = \frac{1}{2} g_{Dd} \sum_{j \neq j'} \delta(r_j - r_{j'})$$

with the interaction strength $g_{Dd} = (g_{Dd}^\parallel + g_{Dd}^\perp + g_{Dd}^{\uparrow\downarrow})/3$ provides the spin-independent interaction between particles. If all scattering lengths have the same value, the spin-dependent parts of the interaction vanish and the Hamiltonian of $N$ indistinguishable spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ bosons has the form

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_0 + \frac{1}{2} g_{Dd} \hat{V}$$

where

$$\hat{H}_0 = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_j \hat{p}_j^2$$

is the kinetic energy and $\hat{p}_j$ are the momentum operators.

Since $\hat{H}$ is invariant over independent permutations of the particle spins and coordinates and commutes with the operators of the total spin $S$ and its projection $S_z$, the eigenfunctions can be expressed as $|\Phi_{n;S_z}\rangle$.

$$\psi_{n;S_z}^{(S)} = \int_S^{-1/2} \sum_i \Phi_i^{(S)}(\xi_{i;S_z})^{(S)} \quad (5)$$

where the spatial $\Phi_i^{(S)}$ and spin $\xi_{i;S_z}^{(S)}$ wavefunctions form bases of irreducible representations of the symmetric group $S_N$ of permutations of $N$ symbols. The representations are associated with the two-row Young diagrams $\lambda = [N/2 + S, N/2 - S]$ and have dimensions

$$f_S = \frac{N!(2S + 1)}{(N/2 + S + 1)!(N/2 - S)!}$$

The basis functions within the representations are labeled by the standard Young tableau $t$ of the shape $\lambda$. A permutation $\mathcal{P}$ of the particles transforms each function to a linear combination of functions in the same representation,

$$\mathcal{P} \Phi_{i;n}^{(S)} = \sum_{i'} D_{i'\xi}^t(\mathcal{P}) \Phi_{i;n}^{(S)}, \quad \mathcal{P} \xi_{i;S_z}^{(S)} = \sum_{i'} D_{i'\xi}^t(\mathcal{P}) \xi_{i;S_z}^{(S)} \quad (6)$$

Here $D_{i'\xi}^t(\mathcal{P})$ are the Young orthogonal matrices. Their properties $[13, 14]$ provide the correct bosonic transformation $\mathcal{P} \psi_{n;S_z}^{(S)} = \psi_{n;S_z}^{(S)}$ for the total wavefunction $|\Psi\rangle$.

The explicit form of the spin wavefunctions $|\Phi_i^{(S)}\rangle$ is not used here. Their orthonormality

$$\langle \xi_{i';S_z}^{(S')} | \xi_{i;S_z}^{(S)} \rangle = \delta_{S'S} \delta_{i'i} \delta_{S_z S_z}$$

leads to the Schrödinger equation for the spatial wavefunctions

$$\hat{H} \Phi_{i;n}^{(S)} = E_i^{(S)} \Phi_{i;n}^{(S)}$$

(all wavefunctions within an irreducible representation are energy-degenerate, according to the Wigner theorem).

II. QUANTUM-CHAOTIC WAVEFUNCTIONS WITH DEFINED TOTAL SPINS

Consider $N$ spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ bosons in a periodic box with incommensurable dimensions. The box can be either three-dimensional (3D) of the volume $L^3$ or 2D of the square
by integration, ˜
where Θ(x) is the Heaviside step function. In the final calculations, when the summation over \{p\} is replaced by integration, ˜\(\delta(x)\) is replaced by the Dirac ˜\(\delta\)-function.

Then the total wavefunction \(\Psi^{(S)}_{nS_r}\) can be represented as (see Appendix A)

\[
\Psi^{(S)}_{nS_r} = N_n^{(S)} \sum_r \sum_{\{p\}} A_n^{(S)}(r, \{p\}) ˜\delta((p)^2 - 2mE_n^{(S)}) \Psi^{(S)}_{r,p|p}\n\]

It is a superposition of symmetrized plane waves — wavefunctions \(\Psi^{(S)}_{r,p|p}\) of non-interacting particles (see Eq. (A3) and [14, 13, 27]). Given \(S\) and \(S_z\), these wavefunctions are labeled by the Young tableau \(r\) and the set of particle momenta \(\{p\} = \{p_1, \ldots, p_N\}\). The summation over the simplexes \(p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_N\) is denoted as \(\sum'_r\), where \(p < p'\) if \(p_x < p'_x\), or \(p_x = p'_x\) and \(p_y < p'_y\), or \(p_x = p'_x\), \(p_y = p'_y\), and \(p_z < p'_z\). Such summation, neglecting multiple occupations of the momentum states, is applicable to non-degenerate gases, when the difference between Bose-Einstein, Fermi-Dirac, and Boltzmann distributions is negligibly small. The normalization factor (see Appendix C) \(N_n^{(S)}\) provides

\[
\langle \Psi^{(S)}_{nS_r}|\Psi^{(S)}_{nS_r}\rangle = 1.
\]

According to the Berry’s conjecture [2, 38], the coefficients \(A_n^{(S)}(r, \{p\})\) are treated as Gaussian random variables with a two-point correlation function (see Appendix B), generalizing the one of [2] to the states with well-defined spins,

\[
\langle A_n^{(S')}\rangle = \langle A_n^{(S)}(r, \{p\}) A_n^{(S)}(r', \{p'\}) \rangle_{EE} = \frac{\delta(S',S)\delta_n\delta_{r'}\delta_{p'}\delta p}{\delta((p')^2 - (p)^2)}.
\]

Here, as in [2], \(\langle \cdot \rangle_{EE}\) denotes average over a fictitious “eigenstate ensemble”, which describes properties of a typical eigenfunction. The Kronecker symbols appear here, as well as in the correlation function [2], since different \(S\) and \(n\) correspond to different (independent) eigenfunctions and different \(\{p\}\) in the same simplex correspond to different (independent) plane waves. In addition, Eq. (12) contains the Kronecker symbol of the Young tableaux \(r\) and \(r'\), as proved in Appendix B.

III. DECAY RATES

The rate of transitions from the state with the spin \(S\) to the \(S'\) one is estimated by the Weisskopf-Wigner width (see [44])

\[
\Gamma^{(S',S)}_{S_z} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |\langle \Psi^{(S')}_{nS_z'}|V_{\text{spin}}|\Psi^{(S)}_{nS_z}\rangle|^2 dE \left| \frac{dE^{(S')}}{dE} \right|_{E^{(S')} = E^{(S)}},
\]

where the density of states \(dn^{(S')}/dE\) is evaluated in Appendix C. For a typical wavefunction [11], the squared modulus of the matrix element can be estimated by the
eigenstate-ensemble average
\[
\left\langle \left| \Psi^{(S')}_{n'S_z'} \right| \bar{V}_{\text{spin}} \left| \Psi^{(S')}_{n'S_z'} \right| \right\rangle_{\text{EE}} = (N_n^{(S)}N_{n'}^{(S')})^2 \times \sum_{\{p\}} \sum'_{\{p'\}} \delta(\{p\} - 2mE_n^{(S)}\delta(\{p'\} - 2mE_{n'}^{(S')}) \times \sum_{r,r'} \left| \left\langle \tilde{\Psi}^{(S')}_{r'p'} S_z' \right| \bar{V}_{\text{spin}} \left| \tilde{\Psi}^{(S')}_{r'p'} S_z' \right| \right|^2. \tag{14}
\]

Here \( S' \neq S \) and the product of four coefficients \( A_n^{(S)}(r, \{p\}) \) leads to a four-point correlation function \((15a)\), which is represented by Eq. \((15b)\) in terms of two-point ones \((12)\) for the Gaussian ensemble as in \([2]\). This relation of matrix elements between wavefunctions of interacting and non-interacting particles is obtained since the correlation function \((12)\) contains \( \delta_{rr'} \). The sum of squared moduli of the matrix elements between the wavefunctions of non-interacting particles in Eq. \((14)\) is calculated with the sum rules \([28]\).

The expansion \((3)\) of the interactions \( \bar{V}_{\text{spin}} \) contains irreducible spherical scalar, vector, and tensor. According to the Wigner-Eckart theorem, the scalar interaction \( V \) conserves the total spin, while the spins \( S \) and \( S' \) can be coupled by the vector component \( \bar{V} \) if \( |S - S'| \leq 1 \) and by the rank 2 tensor component \( \hat{V}_0^{(2)} \) if \( |S - S'| \leq 2 \). This leads to quantum interference of the vector and tensor contributions to the transitions between states with spins \( S \) and \( S' \).

Equation \((14)\) and the sum rules \([28]\) lead (see Appendix \([D]\)) to the transition rates
\[
\Gamma_{S_z}^{(S,S-1)} = \frac{(S^2 - S_z^2)(N + 2S + 2)}{S(2S + 1)N} \times \left[ \alpha_+^2 \frac{S^2(N + 2)}{S^2 - 1} + \alpha_-^2 (N - 2) + 4\alpha_+ \alpha_- S_z \right] \Gamma_{Dd} \tag{15a}
\]
\[
\Gamma_{S_z}^{(S,S+1)} = \frac{[(S + 1)^2 - S_z^2](N - 2S)}{(S + 1)(2S + 1)N} \times \left[ \alpha_+^2 \frac{S^2(N + 2)}{S(2S + 2)} + \alpha_-^2 (N - 2) + 4\alpha_+ \alpha_- S_z \right] \Gamma_{Dd} \tag{15b}
\]
\[
\Gamma_{S_z}^{(S,S-2)} = \frac{[(S - 1)^2 - S_z^2](S^2 - S_z^2)}{2S(2S - 1)(2S + 1)N} \times (N + 2S)(N + 2S + 2)\alpha_+^2 \Gamma_{Dd} \tag{15c}
\]
\[
\Gamma_{S_z}^{(S,S+2)} = \frac{[(S + 1)^2 - S_z^2][(S + 2)^2 - S_z^2]}{2S(2S + 1)(2S + 2)(2S + 3)N} \times (N - 2S)(N - 2S - 2)\alpha_+^2 \Gamma_{Dd} \tag{15d}
\]

where \( \alpha_+ = (a_{||} + a_{\perp} - 2a_{\perp})/a_S, \) \( \alpha_- = (a_{||} - a_{\perp})/a_S, \) \( a_S \) is given by Eq. \((3)\), and the interference terms are proportional to \( \alpha_+ + \alpha_- \).

The decay rates are proportional to the factors (see Appendix \([D]\))
\[
\Gamma_{3d} = 2\sqrt{\pi T/m a_S^2 n_{3d}}, \quad \Gamma_{2d} = \frac{\pi}{2} a_S^2 \omega_{\text{cond}} n_{2d} \tag{16}
\]

where \( n_{Dd} \) is the \( D \)-dimensional gas density and the temperature is related to the eigenstate energy as \( T = 2E_n^{(S)}/(3N) \). In the 3D case \((D = 3)\), \( \Gamma_{3d} \) up to a numerical factor, is the frequency of elastic collisions per particle in the gas. In the 2D case, \( \Gamma_{2d} \) is proportional to the rate of collisions per particle too, since the probability of collision during one axial oscillation is \( 8\pi a_S^2 n_{2d} \), the oscillation frequency is \( 2\pi \omega_{\text{cond}} \), and the oscillation velocity substantially exceeds the 2D motion one in the 2D regime \((T < \omega_{\text{cond}})\). The present derivation is valid whenever \( T \) substantially exceeds the degeneracy temperature \( T_{\text{deg}} = 2\pi \hbar^2 n_{2d}^{2/3}/m \).

For the two states of \( ^{87}\text{Rb} \) atoms, generally used in experiments, \( |\downarrow\rangle \) \( = |F = 1, m_f = 1\rangle \) and \( |\uparrow\rangle \) \( = |F = 2, m_f = -1\rangle \), the scattering lengths are \( a_{\downarrow\downarrow} \approx 100.4a_B \), \( a_{\uparrow\downarrow} \approx 95.5a_B \), and \( a_{\uparrow\downarrow} \approx 98.0a_B \), where \( a_B \) is the Bohr radius. For \( n_{3d} = 10^{12}\text{cm}^{-3} \) we have \( T_{\text{deg}} \approx 0.04\mu\text{K} \). The zero-range approximation \((3)\) is applicable whenever \( T \ll 10^5\mu\text{K} \) and, according to the criterion \((8)\), the system of \( N = 10^4 \) atoms becomes chaotic at \( T > 8 \times 10^{-13}\mu\text{K} \). Then for \( T = 1\mu\text{K} \) we have \( \Gamma_{3d} \approx 0.9s^{-1} \).

The total decay rate \( \Gamma_S^{(S)} = \sum_{S' \neq S} \Gamma_{S_z}^{(S,S')} \) is presented in Fig. \(1\). Even for \( N = 10^4 \) atoms it does not exceed \( \approx 60\Gamma_{Dd} \). The decay is suppressed at large values of \( S \) and \( S_z \).

The Feshbach resonance tuning of the elastic scattering length \((46)\) cannot eliminate the decay, as one tuning parameter — the magnetic field — cannot make vanish two combination of the scattering lengths — \( \alpha_+ \) and
The optimal detuning $B - B_0$ for different $S - S_z$ almost coincide.

Approximate expressions can be obtained in the regions of maximal suppression, $S_z \approx \pm S$. Here the $a_{\uparrow \downarrow}$ tuning minimizes the decay rate when $\alpha_+ \approx \pm \alpha_-$ and the minimal rate is approximated for $N \gg 1$ by

$$\Gamma^{(S)}_{S_z} \approx \frac{2\alpha_+^2 \Gamma_{Dd}}{N(2S + 3)} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 2(N - 2S)(N - 2S - 2) \\ - \frac{S - |S_z|}{S + 1} \left[ 2N^2 - (N - 2S)N(4S + 5) \right] \end{array} \right. .$$

Then for $N/2 - S = \text{const} \ll N$, $S = |S_z| = \text{const}$, and fixed density the decay rate is scaled as $1/N$. The desired scattering lengths, $a_{\uparrow \downarrow} \approx a_\uparrow$ at $S_z \approx S$, or $a_{\uparrow \downarrow} \approx a_\downarrow$ at $S_z \approx -S$, are obtained for $^{87}\text{Rb}$ at $B - B_0 \approx 0.6G$ or $-0.6G$, respectively. The state with $S = S_z = N/2$ for even $N$ (or with $S = S_z = (N - 1)/2$ for odd $N$) cannot decay to states with $S' < S$ since $S'$ cannot be less than $S_z$, nor to states with $S' > S$ since $S'$ cannot exceed $N/2$.

When the decay rates are substantially suppressed, the lifetime of the states with well-defined spins is restricted by the loss processes in the cold gas, such as spin-changing (dipolar relaxation) and three-body collisions, leading to high-energy atoms escaping the trap. The spin-changing collisions can also change many-body spin and its projection, leading to additional decay. However, this decay, having the rate comparable to the gas loss rate, does not lead to additional restriction of the lifetime of the states with well-defined spins. In real physical situations, dipolar relaxation becomes substantial only for Cs and atoms with high magnetic momenta [11].

**CONCLUSIONS**

Spatially-chaotic many-body eigenstates of the total spin operator can be described, according to the Berry conjecture [28], within the Srednicki approach [2] [see Eq. (11)]. This description, in a combination with the sum rules [28], allows us to evaluate the matrix elements of spin-dependent two-body interactions, leading to transitions between states with different total spins. The transition rates, calculated within the Weisskopf-Wigner approach [14], are proportional to the elastic collision rate per particle [15]. The decay rates can be suppressed due to destructive interference of the contributions the spherical vector and tensor terms in the spin-dependent interaction $V_{\text{spin}}$. The interference terms in Eq. (15) can

---

**FIG. 2.** The total decay rates for the state with the total spin $S$ and its projection $S_z$ minimized by $a_{\uparrow \downarrow}$ tuning in the vicinity of the Feshbach resonance at $B_0 \approx 9.13G$ in $^{87}\text{Rb}$. The solid black, dashed blue, and dot-dashed red lines correspond to $S - S_z = 100, 20, \text{and 5}$, respectively. The plots of optimal detuning $B - B_0$ for different $S - S_z$ are presented by three upper plots.

**FIG. 3.** The total decay rates for the state of $N = 10^4$ atoms of $^{87}\text{Rb}$ with the total spin $S$ and its projection $S_z$ minimized by $a_{\uparrow \downarrow}$ tuning in the vicinity of the Feshbach resonance at $B_0 \approx 1007.4G$. The solid black, dashed blue, and dot-dashed red lines correspond to $S - S_z = 100, 20, \text{and 5}$, respectively. The optimal detuning $B - B_0$ for different $S - S_z$ is presented by three upper plots.

---

alpha_. However, the Feshbach tuning can minimize the decay due to the destructive interference of the contributions of the spherical vector and tensor interactions, mentioned above. These contributions are proportional to $\alpha_-$ and $\alpha_+$, respectively, in Eq. (15). In the case of $^{87}\text{Rb}$, the resonance in $\uparrow \downarrow$ collision at $B_0 \approx 9.13G$ (with the width $\Delta \approx 15mG$) is well separated from the resonances in $\downarrow \downarrow$ collisions at $B_0 > 400G$ (the widest one at $B_0 \approx 1007.4G$ has $\Delta \approx 0.21G$) [16]. No resonances are known in $\uparrow \uparrow$ collisions. Then either $a_{\uparrow \downarrow}$ or $a_{\downarrow \downarrow}$ can be tuned without changing other scattering lengths. The resulting decay rates are presented in Fig. 11. Tuning of $a_{\uparrow \downarrow}$ can reduce the decay rate at small $S$, while tuning of $a_{\downarrow \downarrow}$ can lead to the reduction by orders of magnitude at large $S$ and $S_z$. The magnetic field detunings, minimizing the decay rates, and the minimal rates are plotted in Figs. 2 and 13. Even the minimal detunings 0.5G and 4G, respectively, substantially exceeds the resonance widths. Then the closed-channel effects can be neglected, although the resonances are closed-channel dominated. The resonance-enhancement of three-body losses (see [17]) can be neglected at such detunings as well.
be controlled by Feshbach resonances as they are proportional to $\alpha_\lambda \alpha_r$. Another manifestation of quantum interference is the effect of dynamical localization. It can slow down relaxation due to periodic driving \cite{54, 55}, while the present mechanism pertains to time-independent systems. The long-lived entangled states can find applications in quantum computation and metrology.

**Appendix A: Wavefunctions with defined total spins of interacting and non-interacting particles**

A permutation of coordinates in the wavefunction \cite{52} can be represented as

$$
\mathcal{P} \Phi_{tn}^{(S)} \propto \sum_{\{p\}} A_n^{(S)}(t, \{p\}) \hat{\delta}(\{p\})^2 - 2m E_n^{(S)}
$$

$$
\times \exp(i \sum_j p_j r_j / \hbar)
$$

$$
\propto \sum_{\{p\}} A_n^{(S)}(t, \mathcal{P} \{p\}) \hat{\delta}(\{p\})^2 - 2m E_n^{(S)}
$$

$$
\times \exp(i \sum_j p_j r_j / \hbar),
$$

where $\mathcal{P} \{p\} = \{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{N}\}$. Then Eq. \cite{52} leads to

$$
A_n^{(S)}(t, \mathcal{P} \{p\}) = \sum_r D_{rt}^{[n]}(\mathcal{P}) A_n^{(S)}(r, \{p\}) \quad (A1)
$$

Let us represent the wavefunction $\Phi_{tn}^{(S)}$ in the form which explicitly shows its permutation properties. This can be done by summation in Eq. \cite{52} over the simplex $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_N$. Momentum sets in other simplices are given by $\mathcal{P} \{p\}$. Neglecting contributions of the sets $\{p\}$ which contain equal momenta $p_j = p_j'$, one gets

$$
\Phi_{tn}^{(S)} \propto \sum_{\mathcal{P}} \sum_{\{p\}} A_n^{(S)}(t, \mathcal{P} \{p\}) \hat{\delta}(\{p\})^2 - 2m E_n^{(S)}
$$

$$
\times \exp(i \sum_j p_j r_j / \hbar)
$$

$$
\propto \sum_{\mathcal{P}} \sum_{\{p\}} A_n^{(S)}(r, \{p\}) \hat{\delta}(\{p\})^2 - 2m E_n^{(S)} \sum_{\mathcal{P}} D_{rt}^{[n]}(\mathcal{P})
$$

$$
\times \exp(i \sum_j p_j r_{p^{-1}} / \hbar)
$$

$$
\propto \sum_{\mathcal{P}} \sum_{\{p\}} A_n^{(S)}(r, \{p\}) \hat{\delta}(\{p\})^2 - 2m E_n^{(S)} \left( \frac{N!}{N} \right)^{1/2} \Phi_{tr}^{(S)},
$$

Here Eq. \cite{52} and the identity for the orthogonal representation matrices $D_{rt}^{[n]}(\mathcal{P}^{-1}) = D_{rt}^{[n]}(\mathcal{P})$ are used and

$$
\tilde{\Phi}_{tr}^{(S)} = \left( \frac{f_s}{N} \right)^{1/2} \sum_{\mathcal{P}} D_{tr}^{[n]}(\mathcal{P}) \exp(i \sum_j p_j r_j / \hbar)
$$

are spatial wavefunctions of non-interacting particles \cite{54, 55, 27}. They satisfy relations \cite{56}, forming a basis of the irreducible representation associated with $\lambda$. The Young tableau $r$ labels different representations for the same $S$ and $\{p\}$. The total wavefunction of non-interacting particles

$$
\tilde{\Psi}_{tr}^{(S)}(p, S_z) = f_s^{-1/2} \sum_t \tilde{\Phi}_{tr}^{(S)}(P) \tilde{\xi}_{r, S_z}^{(S)}
$$

is expressed similarly to $\Psi_S^{(S)}$ \cite{57}. Then Eq. \cite{52} leads to Eq. \cite{58}. The wavefunctions of non-interacting particles form an orthonormal basis

$$
\langle \tilde{\Psi}_{r, S_z}^{(S)} | \tilde{\Psi}_{r', S_z}^{(S)} \rangle = \delta_{S_S} \delta_{S_z} \delta_{r, r'} \delta_{\{p\}, \{p'\}} \quad (A4)
$$

and satisfy the Schrödinger equation

$$
\hat{H}_0 \tilde{\Psi}_{r, S_z}^{(S)} = \frac{1}{2m} \{p\}^2 \tilde{\Psi}_{r, S_z}^{(S)}.
$$

**Appendix B: Two- and four-point correlation functions of the coefficients $A_n^{(S)}(r, \{p\})$**

According to the Berry conjecture \cite{59}, the coefficients $A_n^{(S)}(t, \{p\})$ in Eqs. \cite{52} and \cite{53} can be treated as Gaussian random variables with a two-point correlation function \cite{22}

$$
\langle A_{n'}^{(S)}(t', \{p'\}) A_n^{(S)}(t, \{p\}) \rangle_{EE} = \frac{\delta_{n'n'} \delta_{\{p'\}, \{p\}} f(t, t')}{\delta(\{p\}^2 - \{p'\}^2)},
$$

where $A_n^{(S)*}(t, \{p\}) = A_n^{(S)}(t, \{-p\})$. The Kronecker symbols appear here since different $S$ and $n$ correspond to different (independent) eigenfunctions and different $\{p\}$ within the given simplex correspond to different (independent) plane waves. (The correlation functions with $\{p\}$ and $\{p'\}$ in different simplices do not appear within the present paper.) By now nothing can be told on $f(t, t')$, since $\Phi_{tn}^{(S)}$ and $\tilde{\Phi}_{tn}^{(S)}$ are components of the same eigenfunction, related by Eq. \cite{52}. The factors $f(t, t')$ are determined below.

Equation \cite{52} leads to the following equality for an arbitrary permutation $\mathcal{P}$

$$
A_n^{(S)}(t, \{p\}) = \sum_r D_{rt}^{[n]}(\mathcal{P}) A_n^{(S)}(r, \mathcal{P} \{p\}).
$$

(A2) It relates coefficients $A_n^{(S)}(r, \{p\})$ in different simplices and leads to

$$
\langle A_{n'}^{(S)}(t', \{p'\}) A_n^{(S)}(t, \{p\}) \rangle_{EE} = \sum_{r, r'} D_{r't'}^{[n]}(\mathcal{P}) D_{rt}^{[n]}(\mathcal{P}) \langle A_{n'}^{(S)}(r', \mathcal{P} \{p'\}) A_n^{(S)}(r, \mathcal{P} \{p\}) \rangle_{EE}.
$$
Applying Eq. (B1) to all correlation functions, we get

\[ f(t, t') = \sum_{r,r'} D^{[A]}_{\xi r} (P) D^{[A]}_{\xi r'} (P) f(r, r') \]  \hspace{1cm} (B2)

for any \( P \). Averaging the right hand side of this equation over all \( P \) and using the orthogonality relation \([14, 15]\), one gets the equation

\[ f(t, t') = \frac{1}{f_S} \delta_{tt'} \sum_r f(r, r'). \]

Its solution is \( f(t, t') = \text{const} \delta_{tt'} \). Equation (B2) is obtained if const = 1. Other choice of the constant factor can only change the normalization factor in Eq. (B1). The function \( f(t, t') = \delta_{tt'} \) satisfies Eq. (B2), as can be easily proven using the identity

\[ \sum_r D^{[A]}_{\xi r} (P) D^{[A]}_{\xi r} (P) = D^{[A]}_{\xi t} (P P^{-1}) = \delta_{tt} \]  \hspace{1cm} (B3)

for the Young orthogonal matrices (see \([14, 15]\)).

The relation between four-point and two-point correlation functions

\[
\left\langle A^{(S''')}_{n'''}(t'', \{p''\}) A^{(S'')}_{n''}(t'', \{p''\}) A^{(S')}_n(t', \{p'\}) A^{(S)}_{n'}(t, \{p\}) \right\rangle_{EE}
\]

\[ = \left\langle A^{(S''')}_{n'''}(t'', \{p''\}) A^{(S'')}_{n''}(t'', \{p''\}) \right\rangle_{EE} \left\langle A^{(S')}_n(t', \{p'\}) A^{(S)}_{n'}(t, \{p\}) \right\rangle_{EE}
\]

\[ + \left\langle A^{(S''')}_{n'''}(t'', \{p''\}) A^{(S'')}_{n''}(t', \{p'\}) \right\rangle_{EE} \left\langle A^{(S')}_n(t', \{p'\}) A^{(S)}_{n'}(t, \{p\}) \right\rangle_{EE}
\]

\[ + \left\langle A^{(S''')}_{n'''}(t', \{p''\}) A^{(S'')}_{n''}(t', \{p'\}) \right\rangle_{EE} \left\langle A^{(S')}_n(t', \{p'\}) A^{(S)}_{n'}(t, \{p\}) \right\rangle_{EE}
\]

is a straightforward generalization of the similar relation in \([2]\). For the product of four coefficients \( A^{(S)}_{n}(r, \{p\}) \) in Eq. (14) it leads to

\[
\left\langle A^{(S)}_{n'}(r', \{p'\}) A^{(S)}_{n}(r, \{p\}) A^{(S'')}_{n''}(r'', \{p''\}) A^{(S'')}_{n'''}(r''', \{p''\}) \right\rangle_{EE}
\]

\[ = \frac{\delta_{nn'} \delta_{pp'} \delta_{pp''}}{\delta((\{p\}^2 - \{p'\}^2) \delta((\{p''\}^2 - \{p'''\}^2}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (B4)

since \( S' \neq S \).

### Appendix C: Normalization factor and the density of states

Orthonormality of the non-interacting particle wavefunctions \([14]\) leads, using the two-point correlation functions \([12]\), to the following overlap of the wavefunctions of interacting particles \([11]\)

\[
\left\langle \Psi^{(S')}_{n'S'_s} | \Psi^{(S)}_{nS_s} \right\rangle \hspace{1cm} \text{EE} = (N^S_n)^2 f_S \delta_{S'_s S_s} \delta_{n'n}
\]

\[ \times \sum_{\{p\}} \delta((\{p\}^2 - 2mE^{(S)}_n)). \]  \hspace{1cm} (C1)

The summation over \( \{p\} \) in the simplex can be approximated by integration over whole momentum space with

\[
\int d^{DN} p \delta((\{p\}^2 - 2mE^{(S)}_n)) \approx \frac{1}{N!} \left( \frac{L}{2\pi \hbar} \right)^{DN} \]  \hspace{1cm} (C2)

the replacement of \( \delta \) by the Dirac \( \delta \)-function,

\[ \sum_{\{p\}} \delta((\{p\}^2 - 2mE^{(S)}_n)) \approx \frac{1}{N!} \left( \frac{L}{2\pi \hbar} \right)^{DN} \]  \hspace{1cm} (C2)

is calculated in \([2]\). Then the normalization factor is given by

\[
\left\langle \Psi^{(S)}_{n} \right\rangle^{-2} = \frac{f_S L^{DN} (mE^{(S)}_n)^{DN/2-1}}{2N!(2\pi)^{DN/2} \Gamma(DN/2)} \hbar^{DN}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (C3)

The density of states of interacting particles can be approximated by the one of non-interacting ones. Number
of such states with the total spin $S$ below the energy $E$ is
\[ n^{(S)}(E) = f_S \sum_{\{p\}} \Theta(E - \{p\}^2/(2m)). \]

Then the density of states is estimated as
\[ \frac{dn^{(S)}(E)}{dE} \approx \frac{n^{(S)}(E + \Delta) - n^{(S)}(E - \Delta)}{2\Delta} = f_S \sum_{\{p\}} \delta(E - \{p\}^2/(2m)) = 2m \left( \mathcal{N}^{(S)}_n \right)^{-2}. \] (C5)

[cf. Eq. (C1)].

Appendix D: Matrix elements and decay rates

If the scattering lengths $a_{\sigma \sigma'}$ and interaction strengths $g_{DD_d}^\sigma$ are spin-dependent, states with different total spins become coupled by the spherical vector $\tilde{V}_0$ and tensor $\tilde{V}_0^{(2)}$ interactions in Eq. (1). Matrix elements of the spherical vector and tensor are related to ones for the maximal allowed spin projections by the Wigner-Eckart theorem [27, 28],

\[ \langle \Psi_{nS}^{(S')} | \tilde{V}_0 | \Psi_{nS}^{(S)} \rangle = X_{S,0}^{(S',1)} \langle \Psi_{nS'}^{(S')} | \tilde{V}^{(S'-S)}_0 | \Psi_{nS}^{(S)} \rangle, \]
\[ \langle \Psi_{nS}^{(S')} | \tilde{V}_0^{(2)} | \Psi_{nS}^{(S)} \rangle = X_{S,0}^{(S',2)} \langle \Psi_{nS'}^{(S')} | \tilde{V}^{(2)}_0 | \Psi_{nS}^{(S)} \rangle, \] (D1)

and do not vanish for $|S - S'| \leq 1$ and $|S - S'| \leq 2$, respectively. Here the ratios of the $3j$ Wigner symbols $X_{S,0}^{(S',q)}$ are tabulated in [27, 28]. Matrix elements with $S' > S$ are calculated using Hermitian conjugate in Eq. (D1), taking into account that $(\tilde{V}_0)^\dagger = \tilde{V}_0$, $(\tilde{V}_0^{(2)})^\dagger = \tilde{V}_0^{(2)}$, $(\tilde{V}_0^{(2)})^\dagger = -\tilde{V}_0^{(2)}$, and $(\tilde{V}_0^{(2)})^\dagger = \tilde{V}_0^{(2)}$ (see [28]).

Sums of the products of the matrix elements of the spherical tensors between the wavefunctions of non-interacting particles [A3] can be represented for $S' \leq S$ as [28]

\[ \sum_{r,r'} \langle \Psi_{r'(p')}^{(S')}_{S'} | \tilde{V}_a | \Psi_{r(p)}^{(S)}_{S} \rangle \langle \Psi_{r'(p')}^{(S')}_{S'} | \tilde{V}_b | \Psi_{r(p)}^{(S)}_{S} \rangle^* = Y^{(S,2)}(\tilde{V}_a, \tilde{V}_b) \frac{2f_{S'}}{N(N-1)} \sum_{j,j'} |\langle p'_j p'_j | \delta(p_j p_{j'}) \rangle|^2 \times \prod_{j' \neq j'' \neq j} \delta_{p'_j p'_j, p'_j}. \] (D2)

Here the universal factors $Y^{(S,2)}(\tilde{V}_a, \tilde{V}_b)$ are independent of the occupied spin states, while the sum of matrix elements is independent of the total spin and its projection. The sum rule (D2) is valid if the sets $\{p\}$ and $\{p'\}$ are different by two momenta. Similar sum for $\{p'\} = \{p\}$ is proportional to deviations of the matrix elements $\langle p'_j p'_j | \delta(p_j p_{j'}) \rangle$ from their average values [28] and vanish in the present case since

\[ \langle p'_j p'_j | \delta(p_j p_{j'}) \rangle = L^{-D} \delta_{p'_j + p'_j, p_j + p_{j'}} \]

and the matrix elements $\langle p'_j p'_j | \delta(p_j p_{j'}) \rangle = L^{-D}$ are constant. The sets $\{p\}$ and $\{p'\}$ different by single momentum are not coupled due to the momentum conservation.

The form [D2] of the sum implies that the unchanged momenta are in the same positions in the sets $\{p\}$ and $\{p'\}$. However, arbitrary permutations $P$ and $P'$ of the momentum sets do not change the sum,

\[ \sum_{r,r'} \langle \Psi_{r'(p')}^{(S')}_{S'} | \tilde{V}_a | \Psi_{r(p)}^{(S)}_{S} \rangle \langle \Psi_{r'(p')}^{(S')}_{S'} | \tilde{V}_b | \Psi_{r(p)}^{(S)}_{S} \rangle^* = \sum_{r,r'} \langle \Psi_{r'(p')}^{(S')}_{S'} | \tilde{V}_a | \Psi_{r(p)}^{(S)}_{S} \rangle \langle \Psi_{r'(p')}^{(S')}_{S'} | \tilde{V}_b | \Psi_{r(p)}^{(S)}_{S} \rangle^*. \] (D3)

This equality is provided by the transformation of the non-interacting particle wavefunctions on permutation of the quantum numbers (see [14])

\[ \tilde{V}_r^S(p) = \sum_{r'} D^{(N)}_{rr'}(P) \tilde{V}_{r'}^S(p) \]

and the identity [A3]. Then the sum rule [D2] can be rewritten as

\[ \sum_{r,r'} \langle \Psi_{r'(p')}^{(S')}_{S'} | \tilde{V}_a | \Psi_{r(p)}^{(S)}_{S} \rangle \langle \Psi_{r'(p')}^{(S')}_{S'} | \tilde{V}_b | \Psi_{r(p)}^{(S)}_{S} \rangle^* = Y^{(S,2)}(\tilde{V}_a, \tilde{V}_b) \frac{2f_{S'}}{N(N-1)} \sum_{j,j'} |\langle p'_j p'_j | \delta(p_j p_{j'}) \rangle|^2 \times \prod_{j' \neq j'' \neq j} \delta_{p'_j p'_j, p'_j}. \] (D4)

The factor 2 in Eq. (D2) is absent here since the Kronecker symbols are satisfied by two permutations, $P$ and $P'_{j,j'}$, when the momenta $p_j$ and $p_{j'}$ are changed.

In the matrix element [A3] between the wavefunctions of interacting particles, we can replace the sum over $\{p\}$ in the simplex $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_N$ by average of sums over all simplices, $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_N$, as

\[ \sum_{\{p\}} F(\{p\}) = \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{P} \sum_{\{p\}} F(P(\{p\})). \]

Since the sums of the matrix elements [D2] and sums of squared momenta $\{p\}^2$ are invariant over momentum permutations, Eq. (E) takes the form

\[ \left\langle \left[ \left| \Psi_{nS}^{(S)}_{S_2} | \tilde{V}_{\text{spin}} | \Psi_{nS}^{(S)}_{S} \right|^2 \right]_{EE} \right\rangle = \left( \frac{A^S_n A^{S'}_n}{N!} \right)^2 \times \sum_{\{p\}} \sum_{\{p'\}} \delta(\{p\}^2 - 2mE_{n'}^{(S)}) \delta(\{p\}^2 - 2mE_{n'}^{(S')}) \times \sum_{r,r'} \left| \langle \Psi_{r'(p')}^{(S')}_{S'} | \tilde{V}_{\text{spin}} | \Psi_{r(p)}^{(S)}_{S} \rangle \right|^2. \] (D5)
where \( \sum'_{\{p\}} \) denotes summation over the sets \( \{p\} \) which do not contain equal momenta.

The Weisskopf-Wigner decay rates \([13]\) for transitions from the \( S\)-multiplet to the \( S'\) one, \( \Gamma_{S,S'}^{(S,S')} \), calculated with the matrix elements \([15]\) of the operator \([4]\), relations \([14]\), and sum rules \([14]\) attain the form

\[
\Gamma_{S_s}^{(S,S-1)} = \frac{2}{3} \left( \alpha + X_{S_s,0}^{(S,S-1,2)} \right)^2 Y^{(S,2)}[\hat{V}_{-2}, \hat{V}_{-1}]
+ 2 \sqrt{2} \left( \alpha + X_{S_s,0}^{(S-1,2)} \right) X_{S_s,0}^{(S,S-1,1)} Y^{(S,2)}[\hat{V}_{-2}, \hat{V}_{-1}]
+ \left( \alpha X_{S_s,0}^{(S-1,1)} \right)^2 Y^{(S,2)}[\hat{V}_{-2}, \hat{V}_{-1}] \frac{f^{S-1}}{Nf_S} \Gamma_{Dd} \tag{D6a}
\]

\[
\Gamma_{S_s}^{(S,S+1)} = \frac{2}{3} \left( \alpha + X_{S_s,0}^{(S+1,2)} \right)^2 Y^{(S+1,2)}[\hat{V}_{-2}, \hat{V}_{-1}]
+ 2 \sqrt{2} \left( \alpha + X_{S_s,0}^{(S+1,2)} \right) X_{S_s,0}^{(S+S,1)} Y^{(S+1,2)}[\hat{V}_{-2}, \hat{V}_{-1}]
+ \left( \alpha X_{S_s,0}^{(S+1,1)} \right)^2 Y^{(S+1,2)}[\hat{V}_{-2}, \hat{V}_{-1}] \frac{1}{N} \Gamma_{Dd} \tag{D6b}
\]

\[
\Gamma_{S_s}^{(S,S-2)} = \frac{2}{3} \left( \alpha + X_{S_s,0}^{(S,S-2,2)} \right)^2 Y^{(S,2)}[\hat{V}_{-2}, \hat{V}_{-1}] \frac{f^{S-2}}{Nf_S} \Gamma_{Dd} \tag{D6c}
\]

\[
\Gamma_{S_s}^{(S,S+2)} = \frac{2}{3} \left( \alpha + X_{S_s,0}^{(S+2,2)} \right)^2 Y^{(S+2,2)}[\hat{V}_{-2}, \hat{V}_{-1}] \frac{1}{N} \Gamma_{Dd} \tag{D6d}
\]

Here the factor \( \Gamma_{Dd} \) is independent of \( S, S' \), and \( S_s \), as will be explicitly seen below. It is obtained from Eqs. \([13] \), \([15] \), and \([14] \) as

\[
\Gamma_{Dd} = \frac{\pi f_S N g_{Dd}}{2hL^{2D}} \left( \frac{N^{S}(S')}{N!} \right)^2 \frac{dt^{(S')}(E_{n'}^{(S')})}{dE} \mid_{E_{n'}^{(S')}=E_{n}^{(S)}} \times \sum_{\{p\} \neq \{p'\}} \left( \delta(p^2 - 2mE_{n}^{(S)}) \delta((p')^2 - 2mE_{n'}^{(S')}) \right)
\]

\[
\times \frac{1}{N(N-1)} \sum_{j<j'} \sum_p \delta(p_{j'}p_j + p'_{j'}p_{j}) \prod_{j' \neq j, j''} \delta(p_{j''}) \tag{D6}
\]

The Kronecker symbols here fix values of all \( p' \), except for \( p_{j'} \) and \( p_j \). The relation \([15] \) between the density of states and the normalization factor and replacement summation by interaction lead to

\[
\Gamma_{Dd} = \frac{\pi mg_{Dd}}{2hL^{2D}} \left( \frac{N^{S}(S')}{2\pi h} \right)^{D(N+1)} \frac{1}{(N^n)^2} \frac{Nf_S}{f_S} \times \int dD^P \rho \delta \left( (p^2 - 2mE_{n}^{(S)}) \right) \int dD^P \rho' \delta \left( (p'^2 - (p_1 - p_2)^2) \right).
\]

Calculating the integrals over \( p_3, \ldots, p_N \) and \( p_1 + p_2 \) with Eq. \([33] \) and using Eq. \([34] \) for the normalization factor, we get

\[
\Gamma_{Dd} = \frac{N \Gamma(D(N)/2)mg_{Dd}}{2^{D+1}} \left( \frac{N^{S}(S)}{2\pi h} \right)^{D(N+1)/2} \times \int dD^P \rho \delta \left( (p^2 - p'^2) \right) \frac{Nf_S}{f_S} \int dD^P \rho' \delta \left( (p'^2 - p^2) \right).
\]

Since \( \int dD^P \rho \delta \left( (p^2 - p'^2) \right) = \pi \) and \( \int dD^P \rho' \delta \left( (p'^2 - p^2) \right) = 2\pi \rho_p \), integration over \( p \) leads to Eq. \([14] \).

Substitution the factors \( X_{S_s,0}^{(S,S')}(q) \) and \( Y^{(S,2)}[\hat{V}_a, \hat{V}_b] \) from \([27, 28, 62] \) into Eqs. \([D6D] \) leads to Eq. \([14] \).
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