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Abstract

Intestinal infection with enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli (ETEC) is an important disease in swine resulting in significant economic losses. Knowledge about the epidemiology, the diagnostic approach and methods of control are of fundamental importance to tackle the disease. The ETEC causing neonatal colibacillosis mostly carry the fimbriae F4 (k88), F5 (k99), F6 (987P) or F41, while the ETEC of post-weaning diarrhoea carry the fimbriae F4 (k88) and F18. These fimbriae adhere to specific receptors on porcine intestinal brush border epithelial cells (enterocytes), starting the process of enteric infection. After this colonization, the bacteria produce one or more enterotoxins inducing diarrhoea, such as the heat stable toxin a (STa), the heat stable toxin b (STb), and the heat labile toxin (LT). A role in the pathogenesis of the disease was demonstrated for these toxins. The diagnosis of enteric colibacillosis is based on the isolation and quantification of the pathogenic E.coli coupled with the demonstration by PCR of the genes encoding for virulence factors (fimbriae and toxins). The diagnostic approach to enteric colibacillosis must consider the differential diagnosis and the potential different causes that can be involved in the outbreak. Among the different methods of control of colibacillosis, the use of antimicrobials is widely practiced and antibiotics are used in two main ways: as prophylactic or metaphylactic treatment to prevent disease and for therapeutic purposes to treat diseased pigs.

An accurate diagnosis of enteric colibacillosis needs an appropriate sampling for the isolation and quantification of the ETEC responsible for the outbreak by using semi-quantitative bacteriology. Definitive diagnosis is based on the presence of characteristic lesions and results of bacteriology along with confirmation of appropriate virulence factors to identify the isolated E.coli. It is important to confirm the diagnosis and to perform antimicrobial sensitivity tests because antimicrobial sensitivity varies greatly among E. coli isolates. Growing concern on the increase of antimicrobial resistance force a more rational use of antibiotics and this can be achieved through a correct understanding of the issues related to antibiotic therapy and to the use of antibiotics by both practitioners and farmers.
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Background

Escherichia coli is a gram negative peritrichously flagellated bacteria belonging to the family Enterobacteriaceae and is the causative agent of a wide range of diseases in pigs, including neonatal diarrhoea and post-weaning diarrhoea (PWD), which are important causes of death occurring worldwide in suckling and weaned pigs respectively [1].

Two main pathotypes are involved in enteric colibacillosis: enterotoxigenic E.coli (ETEC) and enteropathogenic E.coli (EPEC). ETEC is the most important pathotype in swine and include different virotypes (this term is used to describe strains characterized by different combinations of toxins and fimbriae). Outbreaks of neonatal and post-weaning diarrhoea due to ETEC infection, generally affecting a high proportion of pigs, are often recurrent in the same herds and require expensive control measures. Enteric colibacillosis may result in significant economic losses due to mortality, decreased weight gain, cost for treatments, vaccinations and feed supplements [1]. Depending on the severity of the disease, the cost of PWD was estimated to range from €40 to €314 per sow [2]. ETEC possess fimbrriae which adhere to enterocytes and elaborate one or several enterotoxins (Fig. 1) that induce secretory diarrhoea, causing some of the most
Managing enteric colibacillosis in pigs requires an understanding of the pathotypes and the virotypes of E. coli involved and the conditions under which they are capable of causing disease, in order to implement appropriate diagnostics and strategies for prevention and control. The key element for approaching an outbreak of colibacillosis in order to reach a reliable and accurate diagnosis, is the knowledge of the diagnostic process and the interpretative criteria of diagnostic methods.

This paper is aimed at addressing some of the major questions that are frequently asked when faced with ETEC enteric neonatal and post-weaning colibacillosis in the field, which are the main subjects of this review, concerning the diagnostic approach and the interpretation of the specific investigations, the measures of control based on antibiotic therapy and the impact of antimicrobial resistance in the control of these diseases.

**Pathogenesis of pig enteric colibacillosis**

*Neonatal enteric colibacillosis*

ETEC causing neonatal enteric colibacillosis enter the animal by ingestion and in the presence of predisposing environmental conditions and host factors, proliferate in the intestine and cause disease by means of specific virulence factors. The degree of colonization and proliferation determine whether or not disease results from infection. ETEC responsible for neonatal diarrhoea possess adhesins, surface proteins called fimbriae, identified as F4 (k88), F5 (k99), F6 (987P) and F41, reduce the risk of the disease’s development.

Various approaches have been used to prevent ETEC PWD, including passive administration with specific antibodies, dietary supplementation such as prebiotics and probiotics and dietary preventive measures, genetic breeding for ETEC-resistant herds and live oral nontoxicogenic *E. coli* vaccines [3].

Even if some of the preventive approaches reported above for both neonatal and post-weaning colibacillosis have shown some promise and efficacy, antibiotics are still frequently used to treat enteric colibacillosis, administered by the parenteral and oral routes. Under-dosing is frequent with oral administration in pigs and this condition can favour the selection of resistant bacteria [4]. Antimicrobials commonly used to treat enteric colibacillosis must be chosen for their ability to achieve therapeutic concentrations in the intestinal content. The most frequently used are enrofloxacin, apramycin, ceftiofur, neomycin, gentamicin, amoxicillin/clavulanic acid, trimethoprim/sulphonamide and colistin [1]. Antimicrobial resistance to apramycin, neomycin, trimethoprim-sulphonamide and colistin has been increasingly observed, in particular in ETEC strains causing PWD [3].

| Pathotype | Adhesins | Toxins | Disease |
|-----------|----------|--------|---------|
| ETEC      | F5 (K99), F6, F41 | Sta, STb, LT, EAST-1, α-hemolysin | Neonatal diarrhoea |
|           | F4 (K88) | STA, STb, LT, EAST-1, α-hemolysin | PWD |
|           | AIDA     |         |         |
|           | F18 AIDA | STA, STb, LT, EAST-1, α-hemolysin | PWD |

**Fig. 1** Pathotypes, adhesins and toxins of porcine pathogenic *E. coli* responsible for neonatal and post-weaning colibacillosis (AIDA: Adhesin involved in diffuse adherence; EAST-1: Enteroaggregative heat stable enterotoxin)
Based on the concentration and affinity of the STa receptors, the posterior jejunum appears to be the major site of hypersecretion in response to STa. In the development of neonatal enteric colibacillosis, passive immunity plays a very important role. In particular, most neonatal infections can be prevented by passive colostral and lactogenic immunity [6]. Because ETEC infections are non-invasive gastrointestinal infections, mucosal i.e. lactogenic immunity rather than colostral i.e. systemic immunity is important to fight the disease [6]. For this reason, the presence of high levels of IgA in the milk of sows vaccinated or exposed to the pathogenic E. coli present in the environment of the piglets are able to prevent the small intestine colonization by ETEC. Because maternal vaccines are applied parenterally, the vaccination success, in particular of gilts, depends largely on these animals’ previous mucosal exposure to ETEC. Piglets are more prone to disease if specific antibodies are absent from the sow’s milk or they do not have access to a sufficient amount of milk.

**Post-weaning enteric colibacillosis**

As described for neonatal colibacillosis, E. coli causing PWD enter the animal by ingestion and in the presence of appropriate predisposing environmental conditions and host factors, proliferate in the intestine and cause disease by means of specific virulence factors [1].

Post-weaning ETEC strains mostly possess fimbriae F4 and F18, with some rare exceptions. Both fimbrial types (F4 and F18) have several variant subtypes based on antigenic differences. F4 variants ab, ac and ad have been described, even if almost all strains isolated from cases of PWD belong to the F4 ac subtype. F18 has two known variants, ab and ac. F18 ab is commonly associated with oedema disease (OD) strains, while F18 ac with PWD strains [7].

A non-fimbrial adhesin identified as adhesin involved in diffuse adherence (AIDA) has been associated with ETEC strains recovered from weaned pigs with PWD and there is evidence that it is causatively involved in diarrhoea experimentally induced in colostrum-deprived new-born piglets with STb encoding E. coli [8]. However, the role of EAST1 and AIDA in colibacillosis in pigs remains to be elucidated [9]. Post-weaning ETEC strains produce one or more of the known following enterotoxins: heat stable enterotoxins STa, STb, the heat-labile enterotoxin LT and the Enteraggregative E. coli heat-stable enterotoxin (EAST1) [1]. The mechanism of action of STa has been described for neonatal colibacillosis. STb does not alter cGMP as described for STa, showing a different mechanism of action. Binding of STb to its receptor leads to an uptake of Ca2+ into the cells inducing the duodenal and jejunal secretion of water and electrolytes. In vivo significant accumulation of Na+ and Cl− occur intraluminally following STb intoxication. In addition, STb stimulates bicarbonate (HCO3−) secretion [10].

LT is part of an important group of toxins - the AB5 toxin family. Two subtypes of LT, LTI and LTII have been described. Differences between LTI and LTII are largely due to dissimilarity in their B subunit. LT can be divided in LTIh and LTIp, produced respectively by human and porcine ETEC. Strains expressing LT have also been shown to have an advantage in colonization promoting the adherence of ETEC in vitro and in vivo [10, 11]. LT permanently activates adenyl cyclase in the cell’s basolateral border and leads to hypersecretion of electrolytes and water [10] causing to dehydration. Metabolic acidosis is a complication of post-weaning colibacillosis, but is limited until circulatory collapse occurs.

EAST1 was reported in ETEC isolated from pigs with diarrhoea, however its role in the development of diarrhoea has not been elucidated [9].

**Intestinal microbiota and etc**

Environmental and maternal bacteria quickly colonize offspring gut after birth and shape the onset of a healthy intestinal immune system and its future development [12]. Intestinal microbiota is characterised by its high population density, extensive diversity, and complexity of interactions throughout the gastrointestinal tract [13]. Studies on the characterisation of the intestinal microbiota show that the major bacterial groups isolated from the pig intestine are Streptococcus, Lactobacillus, Prevotella, Selenomonas, Mitsuokella, Megasphaera, Clostridia, Eubacteria, Bacteroides, Fusobacteria, Acidodaminococci, and Enterobacteria [13]. Interestingly, it was reported that there is clear evidence that gut microbiota play an important role in driving host metabolism and that the diversity of the faecal bacterial community and their changes over time were different in pigs depending on their subsequent susceptibility to post-weaning diarrhoea [12]. The stomach and proximal small intestine (duodenum) contain relatively low numbers of bacteria (10^3−10^5 bacteria/g or ml of contents) due to low pH and/or rapid digesta flow. In contrast, the distal small intestine harbours a more diverse and numerically greater (10^8 bacteria/g or ml of contents) bacterial population [13].

The mean number of E. coli biochemical phenotypes in piglets increased as animals aged [14] and E. coli populations in the pig faecal microbiota and in the farm environment are dynamic and show high levels of diversity [15].

Clinical manifestations of enteric colibacillosis obviously require the presence of pathogenic E. coli but also environmental changes and recognized risk factors [16]. Moredo et al. [17] demonstrated that the percentage of ETEC positive non-diarrhoeic pigs was 16.6% during the lactation period, 66% in the nursery phase and 17.3% in the finisher population. These data demonstrated that
these pathogens can also be shed in faeces from healthy animals as already reported by Osek, in 1999 [18].

The barrier functions of the gastrointestinal tract in the neonatal piglet is not as developed as in mature animals due to the higher pH in the stomach, the lower proteolytic capacity, and the dependence on passive immune protection due to immunological immaturity [19]. The regeneration time of the small intestinal epithelium in day-old piglets is reported to be 7–10 days, as compared to 2–4 days in 3-week-old pigs. This difference is probably contributing to the susceptibility of infectious enteritis in new-born piglets, as a rapid turnover of enterocytes is considered a defence mechanism by the expulsion of infected cells [20]. Taken together, all of these conditions combined with predisposing factors, contribute to the neonatal piglet’s vulnerability to ETEC enteric infections.

After weaning, the change in the intestinal environment of piglets, mainly due to dietary changes, results in an alteration of the composition of the indigenous flora. The diversity of E. coli strains of intestinal flora is usually high in healthy pigs [15], while in enteric colibacillosis we observe an alteration of the balance between the bacteria present in the normal intestinal flora [14]. This condition leads to the proliferation of a dominating pathogenic strain, which colonizes the small intestine [21], rapidly reaching massive numbers to the order of $10^9$/g of contents. This is the reason why frequently, if not always, samples collected in diarrhoeic pigs affected by colibacillosis allow the isolation of a pure culture of pathogenic E. coli.

This information must be considered for a correct interpretation of diagnostic results. In particular, the evaluation of diagnostic findings should be made only in consideration of both clinical signs and pathological lesions, while also taking into account the number of isolated pathogenic E. coli strains belonging to the identified pathotype and virotype.

**The diagnostic approach**

The diagnosis of neonatal and post-weaning enteric colibacillosis includes the combination of different diagnostic procedures, starting from the observation of clinical signs and gross lesions, followed by appropriate bacteriological investigations and typing of the isolated bacterial strains.

**Clinical signs, gross lesions and sampling**

Neonatal diarrhoea due to enterotoxigenic E. coli is observed most commonly in piglets aged from 0 to 4 days of life, and in general, in an endemic condition, litters from first-parity sows could be more involved due to a lack of protection by passive immunity.

PWD due to E. coli is commonly observed 2–3 weeks after weaning and although not exceptionally, it can be recorded at 6–8 weeks after weaning.

When ETEC sustains neonatal diarrhoea, large quantities of watery to a creamy consistency scour are observed, with a distinctive smell and often white to yellow in colour.

The cases of post-weaning colibacillosis due to ETEC are usually characterized by yellowish, grey or slightly pink watery diarrhoea with a characteristic smell, generally lasting one week (Fig. 2).

Affected pigs are usually depressed with a reduced appetite and a rough sticky wet haircoat. Sudden deaths can occur, particularly at the start of the outbreak and dead pigs are usually dehydrated with sunken eyes. The small intestine is usually dilated, slightly oedematous and hyperaemic (Fig. 3). The stomach, usually dilated and full of clotted milk or dried feed, in neonatal or post weaning colibacillosis respectively, shows hyperaemia of the fundus (Fig. 4). The mesenteric lymph-nodes are enlarged and commonly hyperaemic. These lesions, even if not pathognomonic, are suggestive of enteric colibacillosis. For this reason the necropsy, both in the cases of neonatal and post-weaning colibacillosis, helps the pathologist in the choice of subsequent laboratory examination.

The most effective approach is to select a number of untreated pigs (3–5) suffering from diarrhoea for less than 12–24 h, and to humanely euthanize them and perform an accurate necropsy in order to evaluate gross lesions (evaluating the small intestine, colon, ileo-caecal valve, mesenteric lymph-nodes) and collect samples. Unopened segments of small intestine (in particular ileum and jejunum) and large intestine with the ends tied off...
should be taken and sent to the laboratory (fresh samples for bacteriological investigations and fixed in 10% buffered formalin for histology), with a bacteriological examination request for the isolation of the pathogenic E. coli strain involved in the outbreak, its quantification (pure culture or not), its typing and evaluation of the sensitivity to antibiotics (Fig. 5). Fresh samples should be stored at +4 °C and should arrive at the laboratory in less than 24 h.

Recent spontaneously dead animals can also be used for microbiological analysis. Since autolysis of the gut after death occurs promptly, tissues obtained from animals 4–6 h after death are usually not suitable for histopathological analyses. If there are no pigs showing characteristic clinical signs of enteric colibacillosis to euthanize or recently dead pigs available, it is advisable to collect faeces (directly from the animals and not from the soil) or rectal swabs from 3 to 5 pigs (Fig. 5).

The definitive diagnosis requires the combination of several investigations including quantitative bacteriology, the identification of virulence factors, usually by PCR, and histopathology as a complementary analysis, in order to have an integrated interpretation of the microscopic lesions observed with the pathogen detected. A diagnostic tree and diagnostic criteria that should be followed in the diagnosis of enteric colibacillosis is reported in Fig. 6.

**Bacteriology and characterization of bacterial isolates**
The diagnosis of enteric colibacillosis is based on the bacteriological examination of samples of luminal content (first choice) or rectal swabs. The samples should be inoculated onto blood agar and McConkey agar or other media which are selective for Enterobacteriaceae such as Hektoen agar. These selective media allow differentiation of lactose fermenting (such as E. coli) from lactose non-fermenting Gram negative enteric bacilli. Colonies on solid media reach their full size within 1 day of incubation and vary from smooth to rough or mucoid. The characteristics of the colonies grown on blood agar and lactose fermentation on selective media give a first diagnostic indication. In particular, the presence of haemolytic colonies, both in neonatal diarrhoea and PWD, is often used as a rapid tool for the diagnosis of ETEC diarrhoea (Fig. 7). In general terms, ETEC isolated from cases of neonatal colibacillosis can appear as haemolytic (ETEC F4 positive) or non-haemolytic (ETEC F5, F6, F41) colonies on blood agar plates [1, 22]. ETEC isolated from cases of PWD are mostly haemolytic (ETEC F4 or F18) even if non-haemolytic strains can be observed. In a recent study, the authors reported that E. coli strains isolated from cases of PWD, and characterized as ETEC, were haemolytic in 97.6% of the cases. The remaining 2.4% non-haemolytic ETEC isolates, for which haemolytic activity was consistently tested, were recovered in France, Italy and Germany, and sharing the same virotype: F4, STa, STb [23].

The detection of pathogenic strains does not justify the disease in every case and it is important to consider that E. coli pathotypes can, of course, also be isolated from the gut habitat of healthy hosts as reported above.

Evaluation of diagnostic findings can therefore be made only in consideration of both the clinical and pathological observations, coupled with the quantification of the isolated pathogenic E. coli. For this reason, the high concentration of pathogenic E. coli in pure or nearly pure culture isolated from the small intestine (ileum and jejunum) are indicative of enteric colibacillosis. Since almost all ETEC F4 or F18 are haemolytic, the presence of a pure culture of haemolytic colonies can be used as a presumptive diagnosis of neonatal colibacillosis.
ETEC F4) or post-weaning colibacillosis (ETEC F4 or F18) [1].

The interpretation of bacteriological negative results in animals treated with antibiotics is unreliable and requires repeating the examination with untreated pigs.

The identification of virulence genes encoding for the fimbriae and toxins of the isolated strain is crucial to ascertain its role in the clinical problem observed.

Currently, in the diagnostic routine, genotypic analysis such as the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) for the detection of genes encoding for virulence factors is performed in many laboratories to characterize the isolated strains. Primers recognising genes encoding for toxins (Sta, Stb, LT and EAST1) and fimbriae (F4, F5, F6, F18, F41) of ETEC, for the outer membrane protein Eae or intimin in enteropathogenic E.coli (EPEC) and for Stx2e...
toxin in STEC (E.coli strains involved in oedema disease) strains, are available and can be used to perform PCR assays for daily routine diagnostics [24]. Interestingly, certain F18 strains produce both enterotoxins and the Stx2e toxin. These strains are classified ETEC rather than STEC, since they produce clinical PWD more than oedema disease [1].

The use of end point PCR for the direct identification of virulence factors in samples from diseased pigs, without performing a semi-quantitative bacteriology and typing of individual isolates, can make the interpretation difficult and unreliable. This diagnostic approach does not allow the quantification of the pathogen, and can give a mix of all the detectable virulence factors belonging to different E.coli strains present in the sample and, as a result, false combinations of these factors. In addition, it cannot be excluded that similar genes of virulence factors of other intestinal Enterobacteriaceae might be detected. As an example, a study performed on bacteria isolated from cases of diarrhoea in Children in Mexico showed the ST toxin gene of one strain identified as M. morganii being 100% identical to an ST toxin gene of E. coli [25]. The presence of genes encoding the LT toxin was previously reported in M. morganii obtained from stool samples of travelers with diarrhoea [26].

Development of quantitative PCR assays (qPCR) has become a feasible option for diagnosis [27] alone or combined with bacteriology. Stahl and colleagues reported that the sensitivity of the qPCR was higher when compared to cultivation of E. coli F4 and E. coli F18 from faecal specimens from pigs with diarrhoea. In 34% of the samples that were positive in F4-qPCR and/or F18-qPCR, pathogenic E. coli were not detected by cultivation. When more than 10⁷ CFU/g of E. coli F4 and/or E. coli F18 were detected, this was correlated with the cultivation of a high number of potentially pathogenic E. coli [27]. Even if the quantification of the pathogenic E.coli using qPCR represents a promising diagnostic method for enteric colibacillosis, semi-quantitative bacteriology is of fundamental importance to perform the isolation and antimicrobial susceptibility testing of the E.coli strain responsible for the outbreak.

Usually, outbreaks of F4 positive E.coli tend to involve only one strain at any one time, even if mixed infections with the isolation of different virotypes in the same outbreak were observed. In these cases, one virotype probably predominates in any given outbreak [1]. For these reasons, it would be appropriate to type more isolates obtained from different pigs involved in the outbreak, after the quantitative bacteriological examination and compatibly with the costs for the examinations, in order to determine if more than one virotype is involved in an outbreak of enteric colibacillosis. As an example, it might be advisable to test samples from 5 representative pigs with diarrhoea and typing 3 isolates previously chosen for their cultural and biochemical characteristics. Although this approach does not give absolute results, it certainly increases the reliability of the results obtained.

A study performed on 160 European herds during PWD outbreaks, following the protocol of sampling reported above, showed that mixed infections (ETEC F4 and F18) were observed in 13% of the cases (data not published) (Fig. 8).

Pathogenic E.coli may be also identified by the serotyping of O antigens (cell wall LPS), since a small number of specific O groups have been associated with the disease (Table 1). A complete serotyping of H (flagellar protein antigen) and O antigens, with the additional identification of K antigens (capsular polysaccharide), is the standard method.
for the definition of all serotypes, but in general is carried out in few reference laboratories.

Different clones within a serogroup may have evolved acquiring different virulence genes, resulting in clonal variation associated with a particular region or country. As an example, ETEC of serogroup O139, associated worldwide with the F18ab fimbriae, typically cause PWD in Australia and OD in Europe, while the predominant serogroup associated with PWD in pigs worldwide is O149 [1] (Table 2).

**Histopathology**

Histopathology in formalin-fixed, paraffin embedded tissues (ileum, jejunum and large intestine should be included) can be used as an additional investigation for a definitive diagnosis of colibacillosis. In piglets suffering from neonatal enteric colibacillosis, F4 positive ETEC are observed adhering to most of the jejunum and ileum’s enterocyte brush border membrane of intestinal mucosa, while other ETEC mainly colonize the distal jejunum or the ileum [1]. Other changes include vascular congestion, haemorrhages and an increased number of inflammatory cells (neutrophils and macrophages in the lamina propria) [1]. Microscopic lesions in ETEC PWD are characterized by bacterial layers observed in patches on the apical surface of villous epithelial cells in the ileum and less consistently in the jejunum. Mild villous atrophy and an increased number of neutrophils may be observed in the superficial lamina propria [1]. Immunohistochemistry and fluorescence in situ hybridization can be used as tools for the confirmation of the aetiology of the microscopic lesions observed.

**Table 1** O serogroups most frequently implicated as enterotoxigenic *E.coli* that cause neonatal diarrhoea in pigs

| ETEC Adhesins | O serogroups | Disease              |
|---------------|--------------|----------------------|
| F5, F6, F41   | O8, O9, O20, O64, O101 | Neonatal diarrhoea |
| F4           | O8, O138, O141, O145, O147, O149, O157 |              |

Criteria and methods used for the identification of *E.coli* strains isolated from cases of neonatal and post-weaning colibacillosis have been reported in Table 3.

**Differential diagnosis**

Enteric disease outbreaks in pigs are frequently multifactorial. Focusing on the diagnosis and subsequent control strategies without take into account all the possible differential diagnoses or multiple agents involved can misguide practitioners. The diagnostic evaluation of neonatal and post-weaning colibacillosis requires similar standard diagnostic procedures. The diagnostic pathway is kept easy if the lesions observed during necropsy are strongly suggestive. This is the case in enteric colibacillosis, where bacteriology can usually easily confirm the suspect given by the recorded pathological lesions. Diarrhoea in the pre-weaned piglet is probably more straightforward to identify, treat, and prevent than post-weaning diarrhoea. In particular, ETEC neonatal diarrhoea must be differentiated from other causes of diarrhoea, such as *Clostridium difficile, Clostridium perfringens* type A and C, enteric coronavirus (TGEV, PEDV) and rotavirus groups A, B and C. In piglets older than 7 days, coccidiosis due to *Isospora suis* should also be considered [28] (Table 4).

ETEC PWD should be differentiated from other causes of diarrhoea already described in piglets such as EPEC, enteric coronavirus (TGEV, PEDV), rotavirus groups A, B and C, salmonellosis, proliferative enteropathy due to *Lawsonia intracellularis* and *Brachyspira spp.* [28] (Table 5).

**Treatments of enteric colibacillosis**

**Symptomatic treatment**

The effect of diarrhoea in pigs affected by enteric colibacillosis is a loss of liquids that leads to the dehydration of the animals. The administration of saline solution and rehydration is essential in many cases [1]. Pigs represent a particular problem in rehydration, since the intravenous route is impractical, as is subcutaneous administration. Intraperitoneal injection can be used, but the volume which can be infused is limited, and uptake is uncertain [29]. Fluid therapy consisting in electrolyte replacement solutions containing glucose given orally, is used for the treatment of dehydration and metabolic acidosis in pigs affected by colibacillosis [1]. Studies in rats and clinical studies in children have shown that oral rehydration solutions with low osmolality promoted intestinal fluid absorption, with beneficial effects on the course of diarrhoea [30].

**Zinc oxide**

Feed containing between 2400 and 3000 ppm of zinc reduce diarrhoea, mortality and improve growth. For a
long while, it was thought that zinc oxide must have an antibacterial effect, especially against *E. coli*. Several antimicrobial mechanisms of zinc oxide were proposed based on studies performed in vitro: 1) hydrogen peroxide, which is generated from the surface of zinc oxide, can penetrate through the cell membrane, produce some type of injury, and inhibit the growth of the cells; 2) the affinity between zinc oxide and bacterial cells is an important factor for antibacterial activity. Other investigators showed that zinc oxide reduced bacterial adherence of ETEC F4 and blocked bacterial invasion by preventing increased tight junction permeability and modulating cytokine gene expression [31]. Zinc is poorly absorbed, so it becomes highly concentrated in manure with implications in terms of environmental pollution. The therapeutic use of zinc is currently debated. In general terms, bacteria in animals may develop resistance to Zn as well as to other heavy metals such as Cu. Resistance genes to Zn are often located on plasmids, which may be transferable to other

### Table 3

Interpretative criteria used for the diagnosis of *E.coli* neonatal and post-weaning diarrhoea (modified from Fairbrother and Gyles, 2012) [1]

| Criteria | ETEC | ETEC | EPEC |
|----------|------|------|------|
|          | F4   | F18  | F5   | F6   | F41  |
| Haemolytic colonies | Nearly all | None | None |
| Genotypic analysis | Fimbriae and toxins | Eae (intimin) |
| Serogroups (most prevalent) | O8, O138, O139, O141, O147, O149, O157 | O8, O9, O20, O64, O101 |
| Slide agglutination (F adhesin serotyping) | All | Not reliable | Not reliable | Not reliable |
| Histology | Bacterial layers are observed in patches on the apical surface of villous epithelial cells in the ileum and to a lesser extent in the jejunum | Multifocal "attaching and effacing" (AE) lesions involving the small intestine (duodenum, ileum, cecum) |

### Table 4

Differential diagnosis of the main agents of neonatal diarrhoea (modified from Martelli et al. 2013) [28]

| Disease/Etiological Agent | Age | Diarrhoea | Gross Lesions | Lethality | Laboratory diagnostic methods |
|---------------------------|-----|-----------|---------------|----------|------------------------------|
| *Colibacillosis E.coli* (ETEC) | Most commonly from 0 to 4 days | Yellowish, grey or slightly pink alkaline pH | Distension, congestion of small intestine. Stomach full of curdled milk | Can reach 70% | Culture/isolation. Typing of isolates usually by PCR Histopathology |
| *Clostridiosis C.perfringens type C* | PA: 1 days A: 3 days SA: 7 days C: 10–14 days | PA: watery yellowish bloody A: brown bloody SA: watery grey/yellow C: yellow/grey | Jejunum and ileum mostly involved. Haemorrhagic enteritis Bloody ascitis | 100% in PA and A forms | Culture/isolation. Typing/toxin identification. Histopathology |
| *Clostridiosis C.perfringens type A* | Generally diarrhoea is observed within 48 h of birth | Mucoid, pink without blood | Jejunum and ileum mostly involved. Pasty content Presence of necrotic membrane | Generally low if not complicated | Culture/isolation. Typing/toxin identification. Histopathology |
| *Clostridiosis Clostridium difficile* | In the first week of life | Pasty and yellow | Mesocolon oedema. Typhlocolitis with focal erosions | Variable. Up to 50% | Culture/isolation. Toxin identification |
| *Coronavirus PEDV TGEV* | All | Watery yellow/white/grey Watery yellow, white, grey, greenish; acid pH | Empty stomach. Small intestine was thinned and congested | Differs between strains and between naïve and endemic infected herds. Very high (80–100%) in suckling piglets belonging to naïve infected herds | PCR Histopathology Viral isolation |
| *Rotaviral enteritis Rotavirus* | From 1 to 5 weeks | Watery, sometime pasty. Acid pH | Small intestine was thinned. Milk in the stomach | Low (<20%) in endemic infected herds | PCR Histopathology Viral isolation |
| *Coccidiosis Isospora suis* | Not before 5 days. More frequent around 14 days | Yellow and pasty. Alkaline pH | Small intestine. Enteritis with fibrino-necrotic membrane | Very low or not observed | Microscopic evaluation after flotation |

PA per-acute, A acute, SA sub-acute, C chronic
bacteria, intra- and inter-species. Exposure to trace metals may also contribute to antibiotic resistance, even in the absence of antibiotics themselves. Zn supplementation to animal feed may increase the proportion of multi-resistant *E. coli* in gut microbiota [32]. Several studies have focused attention on heavy metals used in animal farming and possible mechanisms that could promote the spread of antibiotic resistance via co-selection. One report associated zinc with methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) CC398 in Denmark [33], concluding that zinc compounds may be partly implicated in the emergence of MRSA clones. The co-selection mechanisms include co-resistance and cross-resistance. Co-resistance is defined as the close proximity of two or more genetic elements encoding for resistances. Sulphonamide resistance, for example, would follow the co-resistance path. The cross-resistance evolves when an antibacterial agent attacks the same target, for instance efflux systems that simultaneously transport two or more types of antibacterial agents. An example of cross resistance could be done with tetracycline; zinc resistant strains would also expel tetracycline using the same efflux system [34].

Recently, the Committee for Medicinal Products for Veterinary Use (CVMP) of the European Medicines Agency (EMA) concluded the referral procedure for veterinary medicinal products containing zinc oxide [35]. The Committee therefore recommended the refusal of the granting of marketing authorisations and the withdrawal of existing marketing authorisations for veterinary medicinal products containing zinc oxide.

### Antimicrobials

**The treatment of enteric colibacillosis: general principles of antimicrobial therapy**

Antimicrobial therapy is required in many cases of enteric colibacillosis, besides using approaches to avoid infectious agents and clinical diseases. Antimicrobial therapy must be selected which reaches therapeutic concentrations in the intestinal lumen, as observed for different classes of antibiotics: β-lactam antibiotics (amoxicillin and the combination containing amoxicillin/clavulanic acid), cephalosporins (cefotiofur, cefquinome), aminoglycosides (apramycin, neomycin, gentamycin), aminocyclitol (spectinomycin) sulphonamide combined with trimethoprim (such as trimethoprim/sulphamethoxazole), fluoroquinolones (enrofloxacin, marbofloxacin and danofloxacin), quinolones (flumequine) and polymyxins (colistin sulphate) [1, 36].

The therapeutic approach and, consequently, the choice of the antibiotic for the treatment must consider several aspects:

1. The infection is located mainly in the small intestine. The antibiotic selected for the therapy must reach sufficient concentrations in the small intestine.

---

**Table 5** Differential diagnosis of the main agents of post-weaning diarrhoea (modified from Martelli et al. 2013) [28]

| Disease/Etiological Agent | Age | Diarrhoea | Gross Lesions | Lethality | Laboratory diagnostic methods |
|--------------------------|-----|-----------|---------------|-----------|-----------------------------|
| Colibacillosis           |     | Most commonly post-weaning until 45–50 days | Yellowish, grey or slightly pink alkaline pH | Distension, congestion of small intestine. Gastritis and stomach full of feed | Can reach 25% | Culture/isolation. Typing of isolates usually by PCR. Histopathology |
| *E. coli* (ETEC, EPEC)   |     |           |               |           |                             |               |
| Swine dysentery          |     | Frequent in the growing-fattening periods | Muco-haemorrhagic | Muco-haemorrhagic and fibrino-necrotic tylphlocolitis | Variable, usually low | Culture/isolation. Typing by PCR. Histopathology |
| Brachyspira hyodysenteriae |     |           |               |           |                             |               |
| Salmonellosis            |     | Mostly in the growing-fattening periods | Yellowish, greenish, muco-haemorrhagic | Necrotic lesions yellowish membrane (small and large intestine); Prominent Payer patches | Low | Culture/isolation |
| *Salmonella typhimurium* |     |           |               |           |                             |               |
| PED and TGE              | All |           |               |           |                             |               |
| Coronavirus PEDV         |     |           |               |           |                             |               |
| TGEV                     |     |           |               |           |                             |               |
| Rotaviral enteritis      | From 1 to 5 weeks | Watery, sometime pasty. Acid pH | Small intestine was thinned and congested | Small intestine was thinned. | Can be high; less severe than in neonates | PCR Histopathology Viral isolation |
| Rotavirus                |     |           |               |           |                             |               |
| Proliferative enteropathy | Post-weaning | A: haemorrhagic C: greenish | Ileitis | Low | PCR Histopathology |
| *Lawsonia intracellularis* |     |           |               |           |                             |               |

* A acute, C chronic
2. Empiric treatments are performed on the basis of knowledge on the individual herd and local data on the resistance pattern.

3. In many cases the evaluation of the isolated strain’s antimicrobial susceptibility is fundamental for a correct therapy.

An outbreak of colibacillosis frequently requires quick actions and therefore the use of antibiotics almost always precedes the results of the resistance pattern. As a result, in most cases the right choice of antibiotics remains the practitioner’s responsibility, for which the laboratory gives a retrospective result. The most basic information that the laboratory can provide is qualitative susceptibility results (the bacterial strain is susceptible, intermediate, or resistant to a specific antibiotic). Quantitative results obtained by the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) may be more useful than the traditional qualitative results, because MIC data define the degree of the pathogen’s susceptibility more precisely.

Figure 9 reports, as an example, the steps in the initiation, management and reassessment of antibiotic therapy in an outbreak of enteric colibacillosis.

Some European Countries, such as the Netherlands, introduced a classification of all antibiotics into first, second and third choice (last resort). This kind of approach was described by Burch et al. [37]. These classifications are continuously under revision. In general terms, first choice antibiotics can be used after a clinical diagnosis (empirical treatment), while second-choice antibiotics should be reserved for cases where sensitivity testing or clinical results has proven first-choice antibiotics are not effective, and third-choice antibiotics (such as third and fourth generation cephalosporins, fluorochinolones and macrolides) being reserved as last-resort antimicrobials, if no other options are available.

The antibiotic should be administered to all animals showing clinical signs referable to colibacillosis and sick pigs must be treated parenterally, since they eat and drink very little. In practice when mortality occurs, a metaphylactic approach is applied wherein all animals in the pens are treated where mortality has been observed. Guidelines for the prudent use of antimicrobials in veterinary medicine (2015/C 299/04) published on the official journal of the European Union considered the use of metaphylaxis and stated that antimicrobial metaphylaxis should be prescribed only when there is a real need for treatment and that the veterinarian should justify and document the treatment on the basis of clinical findings on the development of a disease in a herd or flock [38].

---

**Fig. 9** Steps in the initiation, management and reassessment of antibiotic therapy in an outbreak of enteric colibacillosis (Modified from Page and Gautier [40])
Pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic indices: general information on antimicrobial drugs used in the treatment of enteric colibacillosis

The efficacy of antimicrobial therapy is dependent upon the pathogen’s ability to respond to antimicrobial therapy, the drug exposure characteristics necessary to elicit the targeted microbiological response, and the ability to achieve the necessary active drug concentrations at the site of infection [39]. The relationship between systemic drug exposure and its corresponding clinical and microbiological effects is termed pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics (PK/PD) (Table 6). This PK/PD relationship, in turn, dictates the dose, dosing frequency, and duration of drug administration necessary to achieve the desired clinical and microbiological outcome. The PK component describes the handling of the drug by the host (absorption, distribution, metabolism, and elimination) (Table 7). The PD component describes the effect of the drug over time on the bacteria at the site of infection. Thus, the interplay between PK and PD reflects the relationship between the fluctuating concentrations of biologically active drug at the site of infection, as reflected by its serum or plasma drug concentrations, versus its effects on the targeted microbial pathogen [39].

Three PK/PD indices have been proposed to allow predictions of antimicrobial efficacy: the ratio of the maximum unbound (free) concentration and the MIC (Cmax/MIC), the ratio of the free area under the concentration-time curve and the MIC (AUC/MIC), and the percentage of time that the concentration of free drug is above the MIC (T > MIC) [40].

Proper use of the PK/PD indices can optimize the dosage which, in turn, increase the possibility of therapeutic success, reduce toxicity and the emergence of resistance. To achieve a high probability of microbiological and clinical cure requires an adequate exposure of the bacteria to the antimicrobial agent. The exposure depends on the dose applied and is a function of different pharmacokinetic parameters such as drug bioavailability and clearance, as well as of the pathogen’s susceptibility (e.g. MIC). Interestingly, clinical breakpoints used for the MIC evaluation are calculated taking into account different criteria and are mainly influenced by PK/PD parameters [41].

For different antimicrobials, the approach based on pharmacokinetic parameters and MIC distribution are used to assess the potential of dose to treat a systemic infection by a pathogen. The distributions of MIC values for a pathogen are used to estimate the range of doses necessary to obtain a probability of therapeutic success according to the population pharmacokinetics data. Appropriate dosing of antibiotic is the key to control or clear bacteria on the site of infection but also to limit antimicrobial resistance. The PK/PD indices are mostly used as targets for efficacy in the process of dose selection, but it is also necessary to work on the dosage regimen optimization (frequency, length of the treatment) to reach the best clinical outcome and the lowest resistant bacteria selection [41].

Main characteristics of antimicrobials used in the treatment of enteric colibacillosis

ß-lactam antibiotics (such as penicillins, amoxicillin, cephalosporins, monobactams and carbapenem) prevent the bacterial cell wall from forming by interfering with the final stage of peptidoglycan synthesis. ß-lactam antibiotics act by binding to cell wall synthesis enzymes known as penicillin-binding proteins (PBPs), thereby inhibiting peptidoglycan synthesis. The drugs exert a bactericidal action, but cause lysis only of growing cells, that is, cells that are undergoing active cell-wall synthesis [42].

Amoxicillin achieves high tissue concentrations because it is well absorbed by the intestine. Amoxicillin, as most ß-lactams, is eliminated almost entirely by the kidneys, resulting in very high levels in the urine. Resistance to ß-lactams is mediated by efflux mechanisms and modification of porins in Gram negative bacteria that prevent the entry of the antibiotic [42].

Clavulanic acid is combined with amoxicillin in the ratio of 2:1 and the combinations are usually bactericidal. The combination has potential application in the treatment of a variety of infections in swine caused by plasmid-mediated ß-lactamase-producing bacteria, including

| Drugs | Bacterial effect | PK/PD parameters |
|-------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Fluoroquinolones (enrofloxacin) | Concentration dependent | Cmax/MIC and AUC/MIC |
| Cephalosporins (ceftriaxone) | Time dependent | T > MIC |
| Sulphonamides + Diaminopyrimidines (trimethoprim + sulfadiazine) | Time dependent | T > MIC |
| Aminoglycosides (neomycin) | Concentration dependent | Cmax/MIC or AUC/MIC |
| Aminocyclitols (spectinomycin) | Concentration dependent | T > MIC |
| Polymyxins (colistin) | Concentration dependent | AUC/MIC |
neonatal diarrhoeal *E. coli*. Clavulanic acid is well absorbed after oral administration and has pharmacokinetic properties similar to amoxicillin [43].

Cephalosporins have the advantages of β-lactamase stability, good activity against target proteins (PBPs), and good ability to penetrate bacterial cell walls. Fourth-generation cephalosporins are effective against Enterobacteriaceae and other Gram-negative bacteria resistant to earlier generations of cephalosporins because of acquired β-lactamase-based resistance. Cephalosporins are among the safest antimicrobial drugs. The broad spectrum of antibacterial activity of second to fourth generation drugs may cause overgrowth of resistant bacteria including *Clostridium difficile*, which no longer have to compete with susceptible members of the microbial flora [44]. Ceftiofur sodium is available for use on swine in the treatment of neonatal colibacillosis. Fourth and third-generation cephalosporins are not first choice antimicrobial agents and should be reserved for use where susceptibility testing indicates that alternatives are not available. Narrower-spectrum drugs are often effective and should be preferred [44].

In general, the 3 mechanisms of β-lactam resistance are: reduced access to the PBPs, reduced PBP binding affinity, and destruction of the antibiotic through the expression of β-lactamase (enzymes that bind and hydrolyze β-lactams) [45].

Antibacterial diaminopyrimidines are combined with a variety of sulphonamides (sulfadiazine, sulfamethoxazole, and sulfadoxine) in a fixed (1:5) ratio. The combination of a diaminopyrimidine with a sulfonamide inhibits sequential steps in the synthesis of folic acid and thus of the purines required for DNA bacterial synthesis. The interference by the diaminopyrimidine with recycling of tetrahydrofolic or dihydrofolic acid is probably responsible for the combination’s synergistic interaction. The combination has a wide margin of safety, and adverse effects can mainly be attributed to the sulfonamide. The diaminopyrimidine component is concentrated in tissues whereas the sulfonamide component moves only slowly from plasma into tissues. Trimethoprim-sulfonamide combinations have been used successfully in controlling a wide variety of conditions in pigs, including neonatal and post-weaning colibacillosis [46].

The aminoglycoside antibiotics kanamycin, gentamicin, amikacin, and neomycin are large molecules with numerous amino acid groups, making them basic polycations that are highly ionized at physiological pHs. The antibacterial action of the aminoglycosides is directed primarily against aerobic, Gram-negative bacteria. The bactericidal action of the aminoglycosides on aerobic Gram-negative bacteria is markedly influenced by pH, being most active in an alkaline environment. Increased local acidity secondary to tissue damage or bacterial destruction may explain the failure of aminoglycosides to kill usually susceptible pathogens. Aminoglycosides are poorly absorbed from the normal gastrointestinal tract, but are well absorbed after IM or SC injection. Elimination is entirely by renal excretion (glomerular filtration), and unchanged drug is rapidly excreted in

**Table 7** Main antimicrobials used in swine for the treatment of enteric colibacillosis: routes of administration, dosages and main pharmacokinetic properties (modified from Burch [37])

| Antimicrobial class/compounds | Administration and dosage (mg/kg body weight) | Pharmacokinetic Properties | Administration in enteric colibacillosis |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Trimethoprim/sulfonamide     | 15 (2.5 + 12.5) In water 30 (5 + 25) In feed 15 (2.5 + 12.5) | Rapidly absorbed from intestine, well distributed in tissues; crosses uninflamed blood–brain barrier | IM and orally |
| Amoxicillin                  | 7 20 | 15–20 | Poorly absorbed from intestine; relatively poorly distributed in tissues; crosses only inflamed blood–brain barrier | IM and orally |
| Amoxicillin + Clavulanic acid| 7 + 1,75 | | | IM |
| Ceftriaxone                   | 3 | | | IM |
| Cefquinone                   | 1–2 | | | IM |
| Enrofloxacin                 | 2.5 | | | IM |
| Neomycin                     | 11 11 | | | Orally |
| Apramycin                    | 7,5–12.5 4–8 | | | Orally |
| Spectinomycin                | 10–50 1.1–2.2 | | | Orally |
| Colistin sulphate            | 100,000 IU/Kg body weight 100,000 IU/Kg body weight | Not absorbed from intestine. | Orally |
the urine. Nephrotoxicity (acute tubular necrosis) is the most common adverse effect of aminoglycoside therapy. Most clinically important resistance to aminoglycosides is caused by plasmid-mediated enzymes, broadly classified as phosphotransferases, acetyltransferases, and adenylytransferases [47]. Gentamicin is used to treat neonatal colibacillosis in piglets from day 1 to day 3 of age, with either a single IM injection or an oral dose of 5 mg. [47].

Spectinomycin is an aminocyclitol antibiotic that lacks most of the toxic effects of the aminoglycoside antibiotics but, is limited in application by the ready development of resistance. Spectinomycin is a usually bacteriostatic, relatively broad-spectrum drug that can be bactericidal at concentrations 4 times the MIC. Chromosomal one-step mutation to high-level resistance develops readily in vivo and in vitro. Chromosomally resistant strains do not show cross-resistance with aminoglycosides. Pharmacokinetic properties are similar to those of the aminoglycosides. In pigs, spectinomycin is available as an oral solution for the treatment of colibacillosis [47].

After administration, fluoroquinolones exhibit rapid and extensive tissue distribution because of their hydrophilic nature and low (<50%) protein binding. High concentrations are found in the bile and organs of excretion (liver, intestine, and urinary tract). The fluoroquinolones are predominantly excreted as unchanged drug in the urine by glomerular filtration and active tubular secretion. Fluoroquinolones are relatively safe antimicrobial drugs. Administered at therapeutic doses, toxic effects are mild and generally limited to gastrointestinal disturbances such as nausea, vomiting, and diarrhea. Resistance to fluoroquinolones occurs by target modification, decreased permeability, efflux and/or target protection. Each of these fluoroquinolone resistance mechanisms can occur simultaneously within the same cell, thereby leading to very high resistance levels [48]. Fourth and third generation cephalosporins and fluoroquinolones are not first choice antimicrobial agents and should be reserved for use where susceptibility testing indicates that alternatives are not available.

Colistin (polymyxin E) is a cationic, multicomponent, lipopeptide antibacterial agent discovered soon after the end of the Second World War (1949). Colistin is a bactericidal drug that binds to lipopolysaccharide (LPS) and phospholipids in the outer membrane of Gram negative bacteria. This process results in an increase in the permeability of the cell envelope, leakage of cell contents, and subsequent cell death. Colistin sulphate is the only approved product in some countries for oral use in pig production, to control intestinal infections caused by Enterobacteriaceae [49]. Polymyxins are well tolerated after oral or local administration, but systemic use causes nephrotoxic, neurotoxic, and neuromuscular blocking effects.

The use of colistin in Europe varies widely between countries. Countries with intensive livestock production can have a level of usage below 1 mg/PCU (e.g. Denmark and the UK) or much higher, up to 20 to 25 mg/PCU (Italy and Spain) [50]. Due to its importance in human medicine, the public health impact of current or future use of colistin products in animals has been under discussion for long time [49]. The usually recommended dose for therapy is 100,000 IU/kg BW (100,000 IU/kg body weight per day or 50,000 IU/kg administered at 12-h intervals), but in some non-European countries the use of colistin is authorised at lower dosage, as feed additive for growth promotion [51].

**E.coli and antimicrobial resistance** Antimicrobial resistance to several antibiotics such as apramycin, neomycin, trimethoprim-sulphametoxazole and colistin has been increasingly observed in ETEC isolates causing PWD [3]. The development of resistance to a wide range of antimicrobial drugs, as well as the demonstrated trend of resistance in ETEC strains to the antibiotics used for the treatment of colibacillosis in pigs, is nowadays a reason for concern [52]. Multidrug resistance among ETEC isolates has been described and recently there has been an increasing tendency for porcine ETEC to express a multidrug-resistant phenotype [33].

It is difficult, if not impossible, to provide general data on resistance, because the situation is variable in different countries and pig populations, and mainly depends on the antimicrobials preferentially used. Table 8 reports the data of resistance of *E. coli* strains to different antibiotics commonly used in the treatment of colibacillosis.

In a study performed in Italy, aiming to evaluate the trend of resistance of ETEC isolated in a 10-year period (2002–2011), 442 strains of F4-positive *E. coli* isolated from cases of porst-weaning colibacillosis were tested against several antibiotics using the disc diffusion method [52]. In the study, intermediate strains were grouped with the resistant one. Isolates showed a statistically significant increasing trend of resistance over the whole period of study to: enrofloxacin (from 14.5% to 89.3%), flumequine (from 49.1% to 92.9%) and cefquinome (from 3.8% to 44%). An increasing resistance (not statistically significant) was also observed to gentamicin (from 63.6% to 85.7%), apramycin (from 61.8% to 82.1%), and trimethoprim-sulphametoxazole (from 75% to 89.3%).

Resistance to enrofloxacin was described in *E. coli* strains isolated in Brazil, where nearly 30% of the isolates from cases of neonatal colibacillosis were resistant to this antibiotic [53]. Fluoroquinolones resistance has been strongly correlated with the quantity of the drug used to treat pigs and plasmid-borne transfer of fluoroquinolones resistance has been demonstrated in pig *E. coli* strains [54].
High levels of resistance to gentamicin were reported in *E. coli* isolated form diseased pigs in Belgium (46%), Poland (45%) and Spain (20%) [33]. Resistance to gentamicin and other aminoglycosides is usually transmissible and cross-resistance between gentamicin and apramycin was described [55].

The *E. coli* isolates from cases of PWD in Australia resulted resistant to streptomycin spectinomycin, ampicillin and trimethoprim-sulphamethoxazole. In the same study, a smaller number of isolates were resistant to neomycin and apramycin, and a proportion of these showed resistance to gentamicin. None of the isolates were resistant to enrofloxacin or ceftiofur [56].

A study performed in Korea showed how *E. coli* strains isolated from diarrhoeic pigs were multi-resistant (resistant to more than 4 antibiotics) with high levels of resistance to several antibiotics: gentamicin (77%), trimethoprim-sulphamethoxazole (75.7%), amoxicillin (75.7%), ampicillin (73%) and enrofloxacin (64.9%) [57].

In the last few years, *E. coli* strains resistant to colistin has become more common. Strains of *E. coli* with acquired resistance are encountered among pathogenic isolates, commonly in pigs suffering from diarrhoea [51] (Table 9).

Resistance to colistin is based on mutations responsible for modification of the LPS charge. Until now, polymyxin resistance has involved chromosomal mutations making the resistance mechanism unstable and incapable of spreading to other bacteria but has never been reported via horizontal gene transfer. A study performed in China on antimicrobial resistance in commensal *E. coli* from food animals has shown an increase of colistin resistance and has described the emergence of the first transmissible, plasmid-mediated polymyxin resistance in the form of *mcr-1* [58]. The gene can be easily transferred between different types of bacteria, potentially leading to rapid development of resistance. While the gene was first detected in *E.coli* in China, it has subsequently also been found in the EU. In terms of antibiotic resistance, plasmids play a central role as vehicles for resistance gene capture and subsequent dissemination.

The European Commission, following the recent discovery of this new mechanism of resistance in bacteria to colistin (caused by the *mcr-1* gene), requested an update from the EMA’s Antimicrobial Advice Ad Hoc Expert Group (AMEG) on its 2013 advice on the “use of colistin products in animals within the European Union”. In its advice published in July 2016, the Expert Group describes several measures that should be considered to tackle the problem. These are summarised as follow:

- Over the course of the next 3–4 years, all Member States should reduce the use of colistin in animals at least to a target level of 5 mg colistin/PCU.
- Member States are also encouraged to set stricter national targets, ideally below 1 mg colistin/PCU as a desirable level.
- The reduction of colistin sales should not be compensated for by an increase in the use of other types of antimicrobials, but should be achieved through other measures such as improved farming

### Table 8: Examples of resistance rates to different antibiotics of *E.coli* strains isolated from healthy and diseased pigs in different countries (Modified from Aarestrup et al. 2008) [33]

| ANTIBIOTIC            | USA | Brazil | Korea | China | Spain | Belgium | Germany | France | Poland |
|-----------------------|-----|--------|-------|-------|-------|---------|---------|--------|--------|
| Fluoroquinolones      | 0%  | 30%    | 64.9% | 64%   | 14%   | 39%     | 8%      | 6%     | 30%    |
| Cefciouf              | 22% | -      | -     | -     | 4%    | 1%      | 1%      | 1%     | -      |
| SXT                   | 23% | 62%    | 75.7% | 90%   | -     | 71%     | 51%     | 66%    | 78.8%  |
| Neomycin              | 66% | 32.8%  | -     | 9.4%  | 20%   | 2%      | -       | 11%    | -      |
| Apramycin             | 30% | -      | -     | -     | 13%   | 13%     | 10%     | 3%     | -      |
| Gentamicin            | 48% | 39%    | 77%   | 57%   | 20%   | 46%     | 12%     | 6%     | 45%    |

### Table 9: Examples of colistin resistance in *E.coli* strains isolated from healthy and diseased pigs in different countries (Modified from Kempf et al. [51])

| Country | Origin of the isolates | % of resistance/ non-wild type strains | Reference |
|---------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| France  | faeces, healthy pigs   | 0.5%                                 | [62]      |
| Sweden  | healthy pigs           | 0%                                   | [63]      |
| Denmark | healthy pigs           | 0%                                   | [64]      |
| Belgium | pigs with diarrhoea    | 9.6%                                 | [65]      |
| Croatia | pigs with diarrhoea    | 3%                                   | [66]      |
| Brazil  | pigs with diarrhoea    | 28.1%                                | [53]      |
| UK      | Slaughterhouse, healthy pigs | 34.1%                           | [67]      |
| China   | pigs with diarrhoea    | 33.3%                                | [68]      |
conditions, biosecurity between production cycles, and vaccination of livestock.

- Colistin should be reclassified and added to Category 2 of the AMEG classification system, which includes medicines reserved for treating infections in animals for which no effective alternative treatments exist [50].

**Conclusion**

The control of a disease begins with a correct diagnostic approach. The diagnosis of colibacillosis require an appropriate sampling for isolation of the pathogen and standardized diagnostic criteria, including the evaluation of antimicrobial susceptibility.

The therapeutic use of antimicrobials is widely practiced to control both neonatal and post-weaning colibacillosis, even if in many countries the prophylactic and metaphylactic use of the antibiotics is still common. Growing concern on the increase of antimicrobial resistance among pathogenic *E. coli* strains with an increased prevalence of multi-resistant *E. coli* strains from diarrhoeic pigs is leading to more attention on the alternatives to antibiotics such as vaccines, probiotics, prebiotics, additives, and management practices. Even if some preventive approaches have shown some promise and efficacy, in many cases the use of antibiotics is preferred to treat and control enteric colibacillosis.

Nowadays, there is concern over the increased phenomenon of antimicrobial resistance among bacteria isolated from production animals. The risk linked to this phenomenon increases if antimicrobials are used inappropriately, for example, in an untargeted manner (e.g., mass medication or use on non-susceptible microorganisms), at sub-therapeutic doses, repeatedly, or for inappropriate periods of time. These conditions force a more rational and judicious use of antibiotics.
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