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Novel α-Mangostin Derivatives from Mangosteen (Garcinia mangostana L.) Peel Extract with Antioxidant and Anticancer Potential
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The mangosteen peels contain biologically active compounds, with antioxidant and anticancer properties. Among these isolated phytochemicals, α-mangostin is one of the most powerful natural antioxidants and anticancer compounds. This study focused on synthesizing novel α-mangostin (α-MG) derivatives at positions of C-3 and C-6 from extracted α-MG of mangosteen peels and investigating antioxidant and anticancer activities. The structures of the synthesized compounds were determined by using MS, 1H-NMR, 13C-NMR, and HPLC. The analysis of the interaction between structure and bioactivity showed that phenol groups on C-3 and C-6 positions play a crucial role in antiproliferative activity to boost both anticancer efficacy and drug-like properties. The antioxidant activity of α-MG and its derivatives were investigated by the DPPH method. Among α-MG derivatives, 1-hydroxy-7-methoxy-2,8-bis(3-methylbut-2-en-1-yl)-9-oxo-9H-xanthene-3,6-diyl bis(2-bromobenzoate) (compound 4) exhibited significant antioxidant property. The in vitro cytotoxicity against various cancer cell lines (HeLa, MCF-7, NCI-H460, and HepG2) was evaluated by the standard sulforhodamine B assay. The anticancer activities (HeLa, MCF-7, NCI-H460, and HepG2) of compound 4 are five to six times higher than those of α-MG and other derivatives. The acetylation at C-3 and C-6 of α-MG by halogen of benzoyl greatly improved cancer cell toxicity. Our results provide new opportunities for further explorations of α-MG derivatives for antioxidant property and promise as drugs in cancer therapy.
1. Introduction

Nowadays, the study of natural phytochemical substances from plants has continuously increased due to their effectiveness in preventing and treating various human diseases [1–4]. These natural substances are an excellent alternative for therapeutic due to abundant resources, low cost, high biocompatibility, nontoxicity to the human body, and high biological and pharmacological activity. Various parts of plants, including roots, stems, leaves, fruits, flowers, and seeds, can be used as sources for the extraction of natural bioactive compounds [5–9]. Many studies have recently focused on the utilization of inexpensive or waste sources from the consumption and food industry for the production of valuable natural substances [2,10–12]. Additionally, peels of several fruits, e.g., pomegranate, citrus, longan, sapodilla, dragon fruit, banana, and apple, have been reported to be rich in bioactive components (vitamins, flavonoids, and phenolic compounds), which promote high free radical scavenging activity [13–15]. Antioxidants scavenge the oxidants or free radicals created by multiple degenerative and disease processes, e.g., diabetes, cancer, and cardiovascular disorders [12,16,17]. Therefore, finding, extraction, and semisynthesis of these active ingredients from traditional medicine play an important role in discovering the new active ingredients in antioxidant, healing, and cancer treatment processes [4,9,18,19].

Mangosteen (Garcinia mangostana L.) is a tropical fruit that can be found in South East Asia, such as Vietnam, Indonesia, Malaysia, and Thailand. This unique fruit is used both in medicine and in cosmetics. The soft and juicy white part inside mangosteen is mainly consumed fresh as dessert. In contrast, the mangosteen peels have been utilized extensively in traditional medicine for treating several illnesses, including skin infection, dysentery, trauma, abdominal pain, and wound infection, as well as cancer treatment [20–22]. The mangosteen peels contain a variety of biologically active compounds (e.g., xanthones, isoflavones, tannins, flavonoids, alpha-, beta-, and gamma-mangostin), exhibiting various biological and medicinal effects such as antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, antimicrobial, and anticancer effects [9,23–28].

Among these isolated phytochemicals, α-MG—(1,3,6-trihydroxy-7-methoxy-2,8-bis(3-methyl-2-butyl)-9H-xanthen-9-one)—is one of the most powerful natural antioxidants, and it has recently received great attention to the production of antioxidant and anticancer compounds [29,30]. Several studies have described the synthesis and medicinal chemistry of α-MG derivatives, and evaluation of their therapeutic activity has been reported [31–35]. A diversity of novel xanthone analogs based on α-MG was synthesized and tested as anticancer agents by cytotoxic activity using human cancer cell lines [36,37]. The structure-activity relationship study suggested that the modification of the phenol groups on C3 and C6 of α-MG played a critical role in inhibiting cancer cell lines [38]. It was also established that the modification at the C4 position of α-MG increased the anticancer activity and drug-like properties [39]. Besides, the compound having the Cl− group at the C4 position of α-MG showed strong potency and improved solubility many times over α-MG [35].

In this study, α-MG was extracted and isolated from mangosteen peels. To improve the antioxidant and anti-cancer activities of α-MG, a series of new compounds were designed and synthesized from the pristine α-MG by simultaneously changing the substituents OH group on the C-3 and C-6 of α-MG by F−, Cl−, and Br− of benzoyl chloride. α-MG derivatives structures were determined using MS,1H-NMR,13C-NMR, and HPLC. The antioxidant activity of α-MG and its derivatives were investigated by the DPPH method. The effects of cytotoxicity of the synthesized compounds were studied on four cancer cell lines (HeLa, MCF-7, NCI-H460, and HepG2).

2. Materials and Experiment

2.1. Chemical Materials. Iodine (≥99.8%, solid), tetrahydrofuran (THF) (anhydrous, ≥99.9%), chloroform (≥99%), sulfuric acid (95.0–98.0%), dichloromethane (≥99.8%), 4-fluorobenzoyl chloride (98%), 3-fluorobenzoyl chloride (98%), 2-fluorobenzoyl chloride (99%), 2-bromobenzoyl chloride (98%), 3-bromobenzoyl chloride (98%), 4-bromobenzoyl chloride (98%), 3-chlorom benzoyl chloride (98%), L-glutamine (98%), amphotericin B (250 μg/mL in deionized water), 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid (HEPES) (≥99.5%), penicillin G (96.0–102.0%), streptomycin (~1mg/mL in 1mM EDTA), camptothecin (≥90% (HPLC), powder), dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (≥99%), sulforhodamine B (powder, BioReagent), and acetic acid (≥99%) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich, Singapore. TLC Silica Gel 60 F254 plates (20.0 cm × 10.0 cm) were purchased from Merck. Silica Gel 230–400 mesh for column chromatography was bought from Himedia (India). Other chemicals were used by the highest commercially available quality as provided. Ethanol and water were used without further purification. Glassware was cleaned by the solution of HNO3: HCl (1 : 3 v/v).

2.2. Methods of Characterization

2.2.1. Thin-Layer Chromatography (TLC). TLC was carried out on F254 silica gel plates with the mobile phase of ethyl acetate: hexane (E:H) at different ratios (1 : 9, 2 : 8, 3 : 7, 4 : 6, 5 : 5). 5 μL of standard solution and samples were spotted on a TLC plate, cut to 10 cm × 10 cm, and then placed into a glass chamber that was previously saturated with the mobile phase for 15 min. After the mobile phase reached the upper limit (a distance of 8 cm), the plate was removed from the chamber, dried in air at room temperature, and then observed under visible light or UV light [40].

2.2.2. Column Chromatography (CC). CC was used to fractionate and purify α-MG and its derivatives using a glass column (diameters of 1.5, 2, and 5 cm; length of 30 and 40 cm) filled with silica gel of 230–400 mesh. A solvent mixture of E:H in a ratio of 20 : 80 was used as the mobile phase [41].
2.2.3. High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC). HPLC was conducted at RT on the Agilent 1260 Infinity HPLC system equipped with a DAD-detector using the C-18 analytical column (250 mm × 4.6 mm, 5 µm) and a 20 µL injection volume with a flow rate of 1 mL/min [42]. The standard stock solutions of α-MG and α-MG derivatives were set by dissolving accurately weighed compounds in methanol to make a concentration of 1 mg/mL. All samples were held at 4°C and brought to room temperature before use. The mobile phase consisted of 0.1% solution of phosphoric acid in water (solution A) and methanol (solution B). Solution gradient mode was as follows: (i) at 0 minutes: 30% of solution A, 70% of solution B; (ii) at 15 minutes 100% of solution B; and (iii) at 20 minutes: 100% of solution B.

2.2.4. Mass Spectrometry (MS) and Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy (1H-NMR, 13C-NMR). The structure of α-MG and its derivatives were determined by MS, 13C-NMR, and 1H-NMR spectra were determined on MicroOTOF-Q 10187 mass spectrometer (Brucker, Germany) and 500 Ultrashield NMR Spectrometer (Brucker, Germany).

2.3. Extraction of α-MG. Fresh mangosteen fruits were obtained from the Mekong river delta in Vietnam. The fully-ripe fruits (dark purple peel) were selected for the study. The pieces of mangosteen peels were dried in a hot air oven at 45 ± 0.5°C and then ground into a fine powder. Typically, 500 g of powdered mangosteen peel was soaked into 1 L of ethyl acetate at room temperature (25°C) for 96 h. The solid fraction was separated from the extract by filtration; TLC preliminarily determined the presence of α-MG in the extract. The filtered mangosteen peel powder was further soaked into ethyl acetate solvent 2 times for 96 h to extract the remaining α-MG. Next step, the obtained extract was mixed with the silica gel and put into the evaporating flask to evaporate ethyl acetate resulting in α-MG powder. The condensed extract was partitioned with n-hexane to remove indeterminate compounds that were soluble in n-hexane. Then, the ratio of ethyl acetate was gradually added to the mixture, so that the E : H ratio increased from 1 : 99 to 30 : 70. The mixture containing α-MG was isolated by CC. The processes of mixing the silica gel, evaporation, and isolation were repeated until obtaining pure α-MG (tested by TLC and HPLC as well as NMR spectra). The yield percentage was calculated from the weight ratio of α-MG and the dry raw material [43].

2.4. Synthesis of α-MG Derivatives at C3 and C6

2.4.1. Synthesis of α-MG Derivatives from 2-Fluorobenzoyl Chloride, 3-Fluorobenzoyl Chloride, and 4-Fluorobenzoyl Chloride (Compounds 1, 2, and 3). 100 mg of α-MG (0.2 mM) dissolved in 5 mL CH2Cl2 was mixed with 0.1 mL (0.6 mM) of trimethylamine at room temperature. Then, 0.07 mL (0.6 mM) of 2-fluorobenzoyl chloride or 3-fluorobenzoyl chloride or 4-fluorobenzoyl chloride was added to the mixed solution under magnetic stirring (500 rpm) at room temperature (25°C). The reaction was tracked by TLC to determine the change of the initial substance (α-MG) and the newly formed substances after 48 or 60 or 55 h, respectively. The light-yellow liquid of the formed compounds (compounds 1, 2, and 3) was isolated by CC using a solvent mixture of E : H = 20 : 80, respectively.

2.4.2. Synthesis of α-MG Derivatives from 2-Bromobenzoyl Chloride and 4-Bromobenzoyl Chloride (Compounds 4 and 5). A mixture of α-MG (100 mg, 0.2 mM), trimethylamine (0.1 mL, 0.6 mM), and 5 mL of CH2Cl2 was stirred for 30 min at room temperature. Then, 2-bromobenzoyl chloride (0.08 mL, 0.6 mM) or 4-bromobenzoyl chloride (133.9 mg, 0.6 mM) was added and stirred (600 rpm) at room temperature for 50 or 65 hours, respectively. After determining very faint traces of α-MG by TLC, the yellow powder of newly formed substances (compounds 4 and 5) was isolated by CC using the mobile phase of E : H = 20 : 80, crystallized and dried, respectively.

2.4.3. Synthesis of α-MG Derivative from 3-Chlorobenzoyl Chloride (Compound 6). 100 mg of α-MG (0.2 mM) previously dissolved in 5 mL CH2Cl2 was reacted with 3-chlorobenzoyl chloride (0.07 mL, 0.6 mM) in the presence of 0.10 mL of trimethylamine at room temperature for 50 h. The formation of a new compound was followed by TLC. The yellow powder of a new compound (compound 6) was purified by silica gel CC with the mobile phase of E : H = 20 : 80.

2.5. Biological Evaluation

2.5.1. Antioxidant Activity Evaluation. The antioxidant activity of α-MG and its derivatives were investigated by using 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) assay [44]. The scavenging behavior tests the antioxidant potential to capture the DPPH radical by donating a hydrogen atom. By antioxidants quenching the DPPH radical, the DPPH solution changes its color to light yellow from deep violet, and the absorbance decreases at 517 nm. Ascorbic acid was used as the reference for evaluating the antioxidant activity of α-MG and its derivatives. The concentration of the working DPPH solution was 6 mM prepared by dissolving DPPH in methanol, and the concentration of the sample solutions was varied at 0.1, 0.5, and 1.0 mg/mL. 100 μL of DPPH (6 mM) was dropped into 2.8 mL methanol, followed by adding 100 μL of every sample solution. The resultant solution was shaken at RT for 30 min before the absorption was measured at 517 nm on a Cary 60 UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Agilent, USA). The scavenging activity of the synthesized compounds was calculated using

\[
Q(\%) = \frac{A_0 - A}{A_0} \times 100.
\]

\(Q(\%)\) is the percentage of the scavenging activity of the compound, A is the absorbance in the presence of the tested compound, and \(A_0\) is the absorbance without sample.
2.5.2. Cell Culture, Growth Conditions, and Treatments. MCF-7 (human breast cancer cells, ATCC #HTB-22), HeLa (human cervical cancer cells, ATCC #CCL-2), NCI–H460 (human large-cell lung carcinoma cells, ATCC #HTB-177), and Hep G2 cells (human hepatocellular carcinoma cells, ATCC #HB-8065) were cultured in Eagle's Minimal Essential Medium (EMEM) added to 10% FBS (v/v), 20 mM HEPES, 2 mM L-glutamine, 100 IU/mL penicillin G, 0.025 µg/mL amphotericin B, and 100 µg/mL streptomycin and maintained at 37°C in a humidified incubator with 5% CO₂.

DMSO was dissolved in the camptothecin and synthesized compounds at the concentrations of 2, 4, 6, 8, 10 µg/mL. The camptothecin, an anticancer compound, was used as a positive control. The control group of drug-like properties [51–53].

2.5.3. In Vitro Cytotoxicity of α-MG Derivatives. The 4 kinds of tested cells were seeded in 96-well microtiter plates at a density of 7.5 × 10⁴ cells per well (NCI-H460) or 10 × 10⁴ cells per well (MCF-7, HeLa, and Hep G2) for 24 hours before incubation about 48h with various concentrations of the compounds. When the incubation ends, cells were fixed before incubation about 48h with various concentrations of the compounds. Cells per well (MCF-7, HeLa, and Hep G2) for 24 hours with cold trichloroacetic acid 50% (w/v) for 1.5h; then, the compounds. When the incubation ends, cells were fixed with 0.2% (w/v) sulforhodamine B for 20 minutes [45, 46]. The protein-bound dye was solubilized in 10mM Tris base with 0.2% (w/v) sulforhodamine B for 20 minutes [45, 46].

3. Results and Discussion

The previous studies have reported several semisynthetic amphiphilic α-MG derivatives as potential compounds for in vitro bactericidal activity on Gram-positive bacteria (Figure 1). α-MG, β-MG, and γ-MG can kill bacteria quickly and avoid the phenomenon of drug resistance (Figure 1(a)) [49,50]. Besides, the synthetic and medicinal chemistry of α-MG derivatives for the activity of anticancer was recorded. Xanthone analogs based on α-MG were carried out and estimated as an anticancer compound by an in vitro cell test. A variety of compounds have shown promising activity on cancerous cell lines (compounds i, ii, and iii in Figure 1(b)). These studies suggest that C-3 and C-6 phenol groups are critical to inhibiting cancer cell lines and increase the activity of drug-like properties [51–53].

3.1. Extraction, Isolation of α-MG, and Chemical Reactions. α-MG yields obtained from 500 g of dried mangosteen peel corresponded to 0.56% (2.813 g) and moisture content of α-MG of 19.44 ± 0.06%. After isolation by CC technology with the solvent mixture of E: H, the α-MG yield was 1.321 g. The extractable performance was 0.26%.

The following are the yield, analytical data, and spectra data for each compound:

- **α-MG.** Yellow powder (Figure S1), yield: 90.8%, ¹H-NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 13.78 (s, 1H), 6.28 (s, 1H), 6.30 (s, 1H), 6.83 (s, 1H), 6.14 (s, 1H), 3.46 (d, J = 11.5 Hz, 2H), 5.17–5.22 (m, 1H), 1.70 (s, 3H), 1.84 (s, 3H), 4.10 (d, J = 10.5 Hz, 2H), 5.25–5.27 (m, 1H), 1.85 (s, 3H), 1.78 (s, 3H), 3.81 (s, 3H) (Figure S1) and (Table S1).

- **HPLC (DAD, C-18), peak area:** 96.81%, T<sub>0</sub> 11.90 min.

- **α-MG derivative from 2-fluorobenzoyl chloride (1-hydroxy-7-methoxy-2,8-bis(3-methylbut-2-en-1-yl)-9-oxo-9H-xanthene-3,6-diyI bis(2-fluorobenzoate) (1)).** Yellow powder (Figure S1), yield: 87.2%, ¹H-NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 13.47 (s, 1H), 7.30 (s, 1H), 6.80 (s, 1H), 3.41 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 5.20 (m, 1H), 1.62 (s, 3H), 1.71 (s, 3H), 4.19 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 5.25 (m, 1H), 1.85 (s, 3H), 1.63 (s, 3H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 7.28–7.32 (m, 2H), 7.66 (m, 1H), 7.63 (m, 1H), 7.24 (m, 1H), 7.23 (m, 1H), 8.12–5.15 (m, 2H) (Figure S1) and (Table S2).

- **HRMS (ESI-MS, m/z):** [C<sub>38</sub>H<sub>32</sub>F<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub>+Na<sup>+</sup>], theory: 677.1957, experiment: 677.1958 (Figure S4).

- **HPLC (DAD, C-18), peak area:** 94.81%, T<sub>0</sub> 28.87 min.

- **α-MG derivative from 3-fluorobenzoyl chloride (1-hydroxy-7-methoxy-2,8-bis(3-methylbut-2-en-1-yl)-9-oxo-9H-xanthene-3,6-diyI bis(3-fluorobenzoate) (2)).** Yellow powder (Figure S1), yield: 88.9%, ¹H-NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 13.78 (s, 1H), 6.58 (s, 1H), 6.14 (s, 1H), 3.46 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 5.17–5.22 (m, 1H), 1.70 (s, 3H), 1.84 (s, 3H), 4.10 (d, J = 10.5 Hz, 2H), 5.25–5.27 (m, 1H), 1.85 (s, 3H), 1.78 (s, 3H), 3.81 (s, 3H) (Figure S1) and (Table S1).

- **HPLC (DAD, C-18), peak area:** 94.81%, T<sub>0</sub> 28.87 min.

- **α-MG derivative from 3-fluorobenzoyl chloride (1-hydroxy-7-methoxy-2,8-bis(3-methylbut-2-en-1-yl)-9-oxo-9H-xanthene-3,6-diyI bis(3-fluorobenzoate) (2)).** Yellow powder (Figure S1), yield: 88.9%, ¹H-NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 13.47 (s, 1H), 7.30 (s, 1H), 6.80 (s, 1H), 3.41 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 5.20 (m, 1H), 1.62 (s, 3H), 1.71 (s, 3H), 4.19 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 5.25 (m, 1H), 1.85 (s, 3H), 1.63 (s, 3H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 7.28–7.32 (m, 2H), 7.66 (m, 1H), 7.63 (m, 1H), 7.24 (m, 1H), 7.23 (m, 1H), 8.12–5.15 (m, 2H) (Figure S1) and (Table S2).

- **HRMS (ESI-MS, m/z):** [C<sub>38</sub>H<sub>32</sub>F<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub>+Na<sup>+</sup>], theory: 677.1957, experiment: 677.1958 (Figure S4).

- **HPLC (DAD, C-18), peak area:** 94.81%, T<sub>0</sub> 28.87 min.
and C6 with biological activity [47]. (i) 1-Hydroxy-7-methoxy-2,8-bis(3-methylbut-2-en-1-yl)-6-((R)-oxiran-2-yl)methoxy)-9H-xanthene-9-one, (ii) 3,6-bis(4-aminobutoxy)-1-hydroxy-7-methoxy-2,8-bis(3-methylbut-2-en-1-yl)-9H-xanthene-9-one, and (iii) 3,6-bis(4-(diethylamino)butoxy)-1-hydroxy-7-methoxy-2,8-bis(3-methylbut-2-en-1-yl)-9H-xanthene-9-one [48, 49].

126.15 (d, J = 2.9), 126.04 (d, J = 2.9) (Figure S3) and (Table S3).
HRMS (ESI-MS, m/z), (M + H)+, [C35H32F4O9+H]+, theory: 655.2138, experiment: 655.2134 (Figure S4).
HPLC (DAD, C-18), peak area: 94.45%, T_R: 19.38 min.

α-MG derivative from 4-fluorobenzoyl chloride (1-hydroxy-7-methoxy-2,8-bis(3-methylbut-2-en-1-yl)-9-oxo-9H-xanthene-3,6-diyl bis(4-fluorobenzoate) (3)). Yellow powder (Figure S1), yield: 82.5%. 1H-NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3) δ 13.48 (s, 1H), 6.83 (s, 1H), 7.26 (s, 1H), 3.38 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 5.17 (m, 1H), 1.60 (s, 3H), 1.71 (s, 3H), 4.18 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 5.24 (m, 1H), 1.85 (s, 3H), 1.61 (s, 3H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 8.25–8.27 (m, 2H), 8.23–8.25 (m, 2H), 7.26–7.28 (m, 2H), 7.21–7.23 (m, 2H) (Figure S2) and (Table S4).

13C-NMR (125 MHz, CDCl3) δ 162.92, 116.52, 163.25, 100.46, 153.83, 116.75, 154.15, 146.95, 139.31, 117.02, 182.96, 107.27, 155.18, 22.39, 121.39, 132.37, 25.63, 17.80, 26.52, 122.63, 132.39, 18.21, 25.82, 61.92, 161.11, 149.56, 125.25, 124.88, 132.98, 132.40, 133.10, 133.03, 116.04, 115.86, 116.22, 114.04, 167.45 (d, J = 19.25), 165.42 (d, J = 19.38) (Figure S3) and (Table S4).
HRMS (ESI-MS, m/z), [M + Na]+, [C36H33F2O9+Na]+, theory: 677.1957, experiment: 677.1953 (Figure S4).
HPLC (DAD, C-18), peak area: 95.39%, T_R: 18.82 min.

α-MG derivative from 4-bromobenzoyl chloride (1-hydroxy-7-methoxy-2,8-bis(3-methylbut-2-en-1-yl)-9-oxo-9H-xanthene-3,6-diyl bis(4-bromobenzoate) (5)). Yellow powder (Figure S1), yield: 89.4%. 1H-NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3) δ 13.48 (s, 1H), 6.87 (s, 1H), 7.28 (s, 1H), 3.47 (d, J = 7 Hz, 2H), 5.16 (m, 1H), 1.55 (s, 3H), 1.81 (s, 3H), 4.18 (d, J = 6 Hz, 2H), 5.23 (m, 1H), 1.84 (s, 3H), 1.60 (s, 3H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 7.71 (s, 1H), 7.69 (s, 1H), 7.69 (s, 1H), 7.68 (s, 1H), 8.11 (s, 1H), 8.09 (s, 1H), 8.08 (s, 1H), 8.06 (s, 1H) (Figure S2) and (Table S6).

13C-NMR (125 MHz, CDCl3) δ 163.23, 116.49, 163.56, 100.42, 153.82, 110.71, 154.14, 146.89, 139.36, 117.06, 182.94, 107.30, 155.09, 22.38, 121.34, 132.44, 25.64, 17.84, 26.53, 122.58, 131.14, 18.22, 25.84, 61.94,
161.12, 149.48, 129.61, 129.28, 132.11, 131.80, 131.73, 127.89, 127.52 (Figure S3) and (Table S6).

HRMS (ESI-MS, m/z), [C₃₈H₃₂Br₂O₈+H]⁺, theory: 799.0339, experiment: 799.0317 (Figure S4).

HPLC (DAD, C-18), peak area: 95.20%, T_R: 21.82 min.

α-MG derivative from 3-chlorobenzoyl chloride (1-hydroxy-2-mercapto-2,8-bis(3-methylbut-2-en-1-yl)-9-oxo-9H-xanthene-3,6-diy bis(3-chlorobenzoate) (6)). Yellow powder (Figure S1), yield: 79.5%. ¹H-NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 13.76 (s, 1H), 6.78 (s, 1H), 7.29 (s, 1H), 3.41 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 5.17 (m, 1H), 1.61 (s, 3H), 1.71 (s, 3H), 4.19 (d, J = 6 Hz, 2H), 5.24 (m, 1H), 1.85 (s, 3H), 1.62 (s, 3H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 8.19–8.22 (m, 2H), 7.76–7.79 (m, 2H), 7.49–7.51 (m, 2H), 8.10–8.12 (m, 2H) (Figure S2) and (Table S7).

¹³C-NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 162.74, 116.50, 163.05, 100.39, 153.84, 110.68, 154.14, 146.91, 139.43, 117.14, 182.95, 107.34, 155.06, 22.38, 121.35, 132.46, 25.61, 17.80, 26.54, 122.58, 132.50, 18.21, 25.82, 62.00, 161.15, 149.43, 130.76 vs 130.36, 130.36, 135.10, 134.94, 134.21, 133.95, 130.15, 130.00, 128.47, 128.38 (Figure S3) and (Table S7).

HRMS (ESI-MS, m/z), [C₃₈H₃₂Cl₂O₈+H]⁺, theory: 709.1366, experiment: 709.1366 (Figure S4).

HPLC (DAD, C-18), peak area: 96.51%, T_R: 21.52 min.

The synthetic procedure to obtain the α-MG derivatives compounds is shown in Figure 2. The natural compound, α-MG, reacted with 2-fluorobenzoyl chloride, 3-fluorobenzoyl chloride, and 4-fluorobenzoyl chloride in the presence of trimethylamine using dichloromethane solvent to obtain compounds 1, 2, and 3, respectively. Subsequently, α-MG was treated with 2-bromobenzoyl chloride, 4-bromobenzoyl chloride with the solvent of dichloromethane, and the presence of trimethylamine to form α-MG derivatives of 4 and 5. Next, also α-MG reacted with 3-chlorobenzoyl chloride under the same conditions to provide compound 6. The chemical structures were determined by ¹H-NMR, ¹³C-NMR, MS, and HPLC. A relevant synthesis and analytical data were provided in the experimental section and supporting information.

3.2. Evaluation of Antioxidant Activity. The free radical scavenging potential of α-MG and its derivatives at different concentrations were also detected by the DPPH assay [54]. Compounds 1, 2, and 6 showed a weak antioxidant activity even at high concentrations of 1 mg/mL, which are lower than those of α-MG. The antioxidant activity of compounds 1, 2, and 6 at 1.0 mg/mL was 7.1%, 3.8%, and 5.9%, respectively, and lower than that of α-MG (8%). In the case of compound 3, the antioxidant activity increased slightly to 12.2% at a concentration of 1.0 mg/mL. For compound 5, the antioxidant activity at a concentration of 0.1, 0.5, and 1 mg/mL was 6.2, 12.7, and 13.6%, respectively, which were higher than those of α-MG. Significantly, the antioxidant activity of compound 4 climbed up to 18.2, 43.3, and 68.7% at 0.1, 0.5, and 1.0 mg/mL, respectively, and these values were far beyond those of α-MG and remaining derivatives, as shown in Figure 3.

In this study, the data indicated that α-MG and its derivatives could be free radical inhibitors, which may be assigned to hydroxyl groups at C-3 and C-6. The phenolic compounds may attribute these antioxidant functions to their hydrogen-donating capacity. The free radicals induce autoxidation of unsaturated lipids. Antioxidants inhibit the free oxidation radical chain reaction in transferring hydrogen from the phenolic hydroxyl groups, creating a stable end compound. The difference in the antioxidant activity of α-MG derivatives could be due to different positions of Cl, F, and Br onto the chemical structure of benzoyl, as reported by previous studies on the relationship between chemical structures and DPPH antioxidant activity [55].

3.3. In Vitro Cytotoxicity against Cancerous Cell Lines. Based on the outstanding results of antioxidant activity, compound 4 was selected for in vitro cytotoxicity against human cancer cell lines. To investigate the anticancer activity of compound 4, the cytotoxicity assay was performed in MCF-7, NCI–H460, HeLa, and Hep G2 cancerous cells for 48h. As shown in Figure 4, 19.1, 20.6, and 29.3% of NCI–H460 cells die after being treated by compound 4 with a corresponding concentration of 2, 4, and 6 µg/mL. This result indicated the high sensitivity of NCI–H460 cancerous cells toward compound 4, even at low concentrations. Besides, compound 4 showed lower cytotoxicity for HeLa and MCF-7 cell lines than for NCI–H460. At low concentrations (2, 4, and 6 µg/mL), compound 4 exhibited insignificant cytotoxicity against Hep G2 cancerous cells.

However, almost cell of Hep G2 was killed by compound 4 at the concentration of 10 µg/mL. Meanwhile, the lower cytotoxic percentage for the remaining cancerous cell lines was signified at 10 µg/mL. The cytotoxicity for MCF-7, HeLa, and NCI–H460 corresponded to the values of 68.5, 77.4, and 88.5%. These results indicated that compound 4 could notably kill four cancerous cell lines at a concentration of 10 µg/mL. And this result is significantly higher than that of α-MG as shown in Figure S5.

To measure the potency of compound 4 in inhibiting four cancerous cell lines, the half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) was evaluated. As shown in Figure 5, α-MG and compound 4 inhibited the tested cancer cell lines, including MCF-7, NCI–H460, HeLa, and Hep G2. Generally, compound 4 exhibited significant cytotoxicity toward all measured cancerous cell lines with IC₅₀ values significantly smaller than α-MG. For α-MG, IC₅₀ results reached the highest value of 26.86 µg/mL for Hep G2 and the lowest value of 19.59 µg/mL for NCI–H460 cancerous cell line. The cytotoxicity of MCF-7 and HeLa cell lines, IC₅₀ values corresponded to 19.90 and 24.88 µg/mL.

Compound 4 showed moderate cytotoxicity against Hep G2, NCI–H460, and MCF-7 cells with IC₅₀ values of 9.12, 8.82, and 8.47 µg/mL, respectively. Moreover, the IC₅₀ values of compound 4 against HeLa cell lines were the lowest at 7.45 µg/mL. Thus, compound 4 was significantly cytotoxic against the four kinds of cancerous cell lines than α-MG.
3.4. Relationship between Structure and Anticancer Activity of α-MG Derivatives. To explore the relationship between structure and activity more thoroughly of α-MG and its derivatives, we collected and compared the structure and cytotoxicity of α-MG derivatives with the previous studies as shown in Table 1. According to Xu et al., the cell viability...
inhibition in the HEY and A549 cells by 11-hydroxy-1-isomangostin was investigated. 11-hydroxy-1-isomangostin, cyclization at C-15, and OH of C-1 from α-MG weakly inhibited cell viabilities in HEY and A549 cells, where IC_{50} was greater than 20 μM as discussed by Xu et al. [56]. In the case of 7-O-Demethyl mangostin, cyclization at C-13 and OH of C-3 from α-MG was intensively assessed the potential cytotoxic activity by Yang et al. 7-O-Demethyl mangostin was tested by five cell lines U87 (malignant glioma), SGC-7901 (gastric cancer), PC-3 (prostate cancer), H460 (lung cancer cell line), and PC12 (pheochromocytoma cell), and the half-maximal inhibitory concentration values were 6.39, 8.09, 6.21, 7.84, and >50 μM, respectively [57]. The effect of β-mangostin and γ-mangostin on the growth of human colon cancer DLD-1 cells was examined by Akao et al. β-mangostin, by adding methyl group at O group of C-3, displayed weaker growth inhibitory effects than γ-mangostin in which the acetate group at C-7 was replaced by a hydroxyl group. From the values of IC_{50} 8.1 of β-mangostin, and 7.1 of γ-mangostin, the inhibitory activity was estimated for β-mangostin < γ-mangostin [58].

According to Ly et al., the same cytotoxic activity was for both cowanin and cowanol despite having different side chains at C-2. This demonstrated that the substitution of a methyl group at C-13 via a hydroxymethyl group did not impact the cytotoxicity. Besides, since both cowanin and cowanol are less active than α-MG in which the acetate group at C-7 was replaced by a hydroxyl group, the length of the alkyl side chains at C-2 or C-8 is significant as well [52]. Cowanin and cowanol have been very involved in decreasing the number of cells tested on MCF-7 cell lines by 15 mM of IC_{50}. Regarding DLD-1 cell lines, cowanin and cowanol showed the cytotoxicity of IC_{50} values around 18 mM. These IC_{50} values were approximately 2.5 times higher in DLD-1 cells than previously found by Nakagawa et al. [58].

Chi et al. synthesized a novel compound (1b) by acetylation of α-MG with Ac_{2}O at OH of C-3 and C-6. Disubstitution of both C-3 and C-6 hydroxyl groups induces a complete decline in the cytotoxicity of α-MG in five cancer cell lines. However, the acetylation of α-MG remained the cytotoxic activity. IC_{50} values in DLD-1 cells were 11.92, 13.56, 11.60, 16.65, and 16.17, respectively [59]. Chi et al. also synthesized the cyclization at C-12 and OH of α-MG (2k) (Table 1). The bromide substitution (compound 3e) was created, taking into account the vacant sites of α-MG C-4 and C-5 positions. 2k compound with mild antiproliferation activity against all the tested cancer cell lines is less toxic to five cell lines compared to α-MG. The finding revealed that cyclization at C-12 and OH of α-MG is more sensitive to some cancer cells studied in vitro than normal cells and thus has good selectivity [59]. Besides, the biological effects of halogen were worth studying. The bromide substitution (compound 3e) was created, taking into account the vacant sites of α-MG C-4 and C-5 positions. The effect of halogenation on 3e’s selective potency is weak. The halogenated product exhibited better cytotoxicity; compound 3e in SMMC-7721 cell lines is up to three times more cytotoxic than α-MG with an IC_{50} value of 3.98 μM [59]. In the case of the isopropyl substitution compounds at C-6 to form isopropyl mangostin (IPM) and dimethyl substitution compounds at OH groups of C-3 and C-6 to generate Di-O-methyl mangostin (DMM), the cytotoxicity is not good. The IC_{50} in IPM and DMM was 34.84 and 15.57, respectively, as discussed by Kirthanashri et al. [60].

In this work, α-MG showed cytotoxic activity, manifested by the decrease of cell viability in four cancer cell lines at high concentrations. The IC_{50} values of α-MG in MCF-7, NCI–H460, HeLa, and Hep G2 were corresponding to 48.47, 47.72, 60.61, and 65.48 μM, respectively. Significantly, the 2-bromobenzoyl chloride substitution at C-3 and C-6 of α-MG (compound 4) indicated remarkable cytotoxicity. In detail,
compound 4 is up to five or six times more cytotoxic than α-MG with IC$_{50}$ values of 8.79, 9.99, 10.40, and 10.76 µM for HeLa, MCF-7, NCI–H460, and Hep G2, respectively. The results suggest that acetylation at C-3 and C-6 of α-MG by halogen of benzoyl greatly improved cancer cell toxicity and promise as drugs in cancer therapy.
4. Conclusions

In summary, we have successfully extracted pure α-MG from mangosteen peels for the synthesis of α-MG derivatives. The esterification reaction at positions of C-3 and C-6 of α-MG proved that the hydroxyl group (-OH) at C-3 and C-6 plays an essential role for antioxidant and anticancer agents. Compound 4 exhibited the highest free radical scavenging DPPH activity (68.7% at the concentration of 1 mg/mL) compared to that of α-MG and remaining derivatives. The result of the in vitro cytotoxicity study for the synthesized derivatives against four cancer cell lines MCF-7, NCI–H460, HeLa, and Hep G2 was significantly improved. Notably, compound 4 showed a significant enhancement of the antioxidant activity and the cytotoxicity toward MCF-7, NCI–H460, HeLa, and Hep G2 cell lines in comparison with pristine α-MG at low concentration. In particular, the IC_{50} values of compound 4 exhibited five to six times lower than those of α-MG for all tested cancerous cell lines after 48 h. Our results provide new opportunities for further explorations of α-MG derivatives for antioxidants and promises as drugs in cancer therapy. This result is the platform for a deeper research of the α-MG for bioapplication.
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Supplementary Materials

Graphical Abstract. Figure S1: thin-layer chromatography (TLC) results of compounds 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 corresponding to reagent reaction of (i) 2-fluorobenzoyl chloride, (ii) 3-fluorobenzoyl chloride, (iii) 4-fluorobenzoyl chloride, (iv) 2-bromobenzoyl chloride, (v) 4-bromobenzoyl chloride, and (vi) 3-chlorobenzoyl chloride. TLC was carried out by the thin silica layer at different ratios of ethyl acetate:hexane. Figure S2: 1H-NMR spectra of α-mangostin and its derivatives include compounds 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6. Figure S3: 13C-NMR spectra of α-mangostin derivatives include compounds 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6. Figure S4: MS spectra of α-mangostin derivatives include compounds 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6. Table S1: 1H-NMR data and chemical structure of α-mangostin. Table S2: 1H-NMR and 13C-NMR data and chemical structure of compound 1. Table S3: 1H-NMR and 13C-NMR data and chemical structure of compound 2. Table S4: 1H-NMR and 13C-NMR data and chemical structure of compound 3. Table S5: 1H-NMR and 13C-NMR data and chemical structure of compound 4. Table S6: 1H-NMR and 13C-NMR data and chemical structure of compound 5. Table S7: 1H-NMR and 13C-NMR data and chemical structure of compound 6. Figure S5: cytotoxicity of α-MG at different concentrations of 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10 µg/mL against 4 cancer cell lines of MCF-7, NCI–H460, HeLa, and Hep G2. The data are represented as mean±SD (n = 3). (Supplementary Materials)
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