Hepatitis C infection in patients with hereditary bleeding disorders: epidemiology, natural history, and management
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Abstract

Hereditary bleeding disorders include a group of diseases with abnormalities of coagulation. Prior to 1990, infection with hepatitis C virus (HCV) was mainly transmitted via pooled plasma products as a treatment for hereditary bleeding disorders. Anti-HCV positivity in these patients may be as high as >70% in some areas, while some of them have also been coinfected with human immunodeficiency virus. Since about 20% of HCV-infected patients clear the infection naturally, chronic HCV infection represents a significant health problem in this group of patients. Mortality due to chronic HCV infection is estimated to be >10 times higher in patients with hemophilia than in the general population, and is mainly due to liver cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma. The antiviral treatment of HCV in patients with hereditary bleeding disorders is not different from that of any other infected patients. Nevertheless, many patients with hereditary bleeding disorders have declined (Peg)interferon-based treatment because of side effects. In recent years, multiple orally administrated direct-acting antivirals (DAAs) have been approved for HCV treatment. Unfortunately, there is not much experience from treating these patients with DAA regimens, as major studies and real-life data did not include adequate numbers of patients with inherited hemorrhagic disorders. However, the available data indicate that DAAs have an excellent safety profile with a sustained virological response rate of >90%.
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Introduction

Chronic hepatitis C (CHC) is a common global public health problem [1]. In 2015, 71 million individuals were infected with hepatitis C virus (HCV) worldwide [2]. Although the prevalence of HCV infection has decreased in developed countries as a result of effective prevention plans, it remains high in developing countries [3,4]. HCV is the main cause of liver diseases in both developed and developing countries, leading to an increased risk of liver failure and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) worldwide [3,5]. In Europe, HCV is nowadays responsible for the vast majority (70%) of all chronic hepatitis cases and HCCs (60%), while it is also responsible for 40% of all liver cirrhosis cases and 30% of infections in liver transplants [6]. Today, the major cause of HCV infections is parenteral drug use. However, in the 1970s and 1980s, before the implementation of effective and universal screening of blood and blood-derived clotting factors, most patients with hereditary bleeding disorders who received transfusions were infected.

Hereditary bleeding disorders include a group of diseases with abnormalities of coagulation. The most frequently encountered hereditary bleeding disorders include von Willebrand’s disease and hemophilia A and B. Hemophilia is the best known hereditary bleeding disorder and is estimated to affect 1-5 individuals per 50,000 male population [7]. Prior to 1990, almost all patients acquired HCV infection; moreover, some of them were also coinfected with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV). Rates of disease progression among patients with congenital bleeding disorders and chronic HCV infection vary; however, the time of infection and coinfection with HIV appear to correlate with more rapid progression [8]. Antiviral treatment is no different from that of other infected individuals and aims to eradicate HCV and improve liver fibrosis [9].
In this article, we aimed to review comprehensively the data regarding the epidemiology, natural history and especially the management and treatment of HCV infection in patients with hereditary bleeding disorders.

**Epidemiology**

Prior to the widespread development of viral inactivation of coagulation factor concentrates in the mid-1980s, followed by universal screening, infection with HCV was virtually inevitable in patients who received pooled plasma products as a treatment for hereditary bleeding disorders, such as hemophilia [10,11]. In 1993 the observed prevalence of hemophilia in Greece was 14 per 100,000 males. However, the prevalence at birth was 23.1 per 100,000 male births, 65% higher than the observed prevalence, mainly because of the presence of unregistered or misdiagnosed patients and deaths due to HIV [12]. CHC has been reported not only in patients who were treated with plasma-derived factor concentrate, but also in patients who received cryoprecipitate and fresh-frozen plasma [13]. Since only 20% of patients infected with HCV clear the infection naturally, CHC represents a significant health problem in this group of patients [14].

Several studies have recorded data about the epidemiology of HCV infection worldwide. In general, depending on the laboratory methods used (anti-HCV positivity or viremia status) and the time the data were presented, the prevalence of HCV infection has a marked geographical variation [15]. In Scotland, according to the NHS, the total number of patients with bleeding disorders, infected with HCV as a result of receiving pooled plasma products administered between 1970 and 1989, is estimated at 455. Of these, 255 had a documented positive anti-HCV test, while 200 were assessed as probably infected [16]. In a study from Bosnia, HCV infection was positive in 38.7% of cases of hemophilia, while it was found 68% in the Netherlands and 44.3% in Greece [17-19]. By 1993, 89% of hemophiliacs in the United States were infected with HCV from exposure to contaminated clotting factor concentrates [20]. In a meta-analysis of the prevalence of HCV in countries under the Eastern Mediterranean Region Office (EMRO) of the World Health Organization, the researchers concluded that most EMRO countries fail to report the precise number of hemophilic patients, which varies from 1 per 100,000 male population in Saudi Arabia and Pakistan to 15.8 per 100,000 male population in Qatar [21]. The seroprevalence of anti-HCV positivity among hemophilic patients in Iran varies from 15.6-76.7% and was estimated to be 40.8% in a meta-analysis [22]. In another study conducted in Korea, anti-HCV positivity in 1999 was reported to be 49.1% and 47.9% for hemophilia A and B, respectively, while it decreased to 40.1% and 34.1% in 2005, and 33% and 23.8% in 2012, respectively [23] (Fig. 1).

In general, the genotype distribution in the HCV population has a wide ethnic and geographical variation. Therefore, in patients with hemophilia the genotype distribution usually reflects the genotypes of the respective blood donor population. One important characteristic in this group of patients is that a significant proportion has a mixed-genotype infection due to multiple exposures to contaminated blood products over a period of years [24]. Overall, HCV genotype 1 seems to be the dominant one (Iran 72.7%, Australia 65%, USA 46.2%) followed by genotype 3 (27.3%, 30% and 42% respectively) [25-27].

In this context, interesting early data regarding genotype distribution were provided by the Multicenter Hemophilia Cohort Study in 1998. Genotyping was performed in 109 blood samples collected during follow up (about 10 years) from 32 hemophilic patients (17 HIV-positive and 15 HIV-negative). In 58% of the patients, multiple changes of the genotype were observed during follow up and were significantly more frequent in the HIV-positive (76%) than in the HIV-negative (33%) patients [26].

**Natural history**

CHC progresses slowly, but after about two decades of chronic infection end-stage liver disease (ESLD) may occur in 10-20% of patients [28-30]. It seems that the progression to ESLD in patients with inherited bleeding disorders is similar to that in HCV-positive individuals in the general population. Despite this fact, the overall mortality of patients with hemophilia was found to be from two to five times higher than that of the general population [31]. Moreover, other researchers reported an extremely high mortality due to HCV, namely 16 times higher, in patients with hemophilia compared with the general population, mainly from the development of liver cirrhosis and HCC [32]. After 12-25 years of infection, reported incidences of ESLD vary, depending mainly on the criteria used for the definition of cirrhosis (liver biopsy or noninvasive techniques like liver elastography) [33,34]. In some series, up to 30% of patients with bleeding disorders developed long-term complications of chronic HCV infection and liver failure was documented as being the commonest cause of death in these patients [35]. Coinfection with HIV, duration of HCV infection and barriers to HCV treatment seem to represent the most important factors that have been associated with ESLD in these patients [36]. In general, coinfection with HIV had a negative influence on the natural course of the hepatic disease. Early studies have shown that HCV RNA levels were significantly higher in HIV-positive than in HIV-negative patients with hemophilia [37].

In addition, coinfection with HIV was found to be associated with an increased rate of progression of chronic HCV to cirrhosis [38]. In one study, carried out 10 years ago, the cumulative incidence of ESLD ranged from 12% in HIV-negative patients to 35% in patients coinfected with HIV [39]. Likewise, an early Greek study followed 138 hemophiliacs with HCV infection for 28 years to determine the factors that influence the natural history of the liver disease in these patients: 19% of the patients developed cirrhosis and 9% liver failure, with HIV positivity being one of the major risk factors independently associated with a worse outcome [40].
However, as highly active antiretroviral therapy has dramatically improved the course of HIV infection, HCV-related liver disease has emerged as the leading cause of mortality in HCV/HIV-coinfected patients and is one of the most common causes of death in such patients with hemophilia A and B [41]. The duration of the HCV infection has also been strongly associated with an increased risk of ESLD. As most of these patients acquired their HCV infection in the early years of life, hazard rates for ESLD increased from 0.10 in the first decade of infection to 0.90 after more than 20 years of infection [39].

Another risk factor for the more rapid progression of liver disease was found to be represented by the genotype 1 HCV infection. In one study from Italy, in a cohort of 88 HCV-positive HIV-negative hemophilia patients followed for 25 years, 6 patients (4 with genotype 1) developed cirrhosis and 4 patients (all genotype 1) developed liver failure [28]. Furthermore, the presence of genotype 1 HCV infection (hazard ratio 2.2, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.1-4.2) and alcohol abuse (hazard ratio 4.9, 95%CI 2.5-9.6) were also associated with a higher risk of ESLD [39].

Management

The results of treatment with interferon (IFN)-based therapies in HIV-negative and treatment naïve patients appear to be similar to those in the general population, with an overall sustained virological response (SVR) rate of 50-60% [42]. However, data regarding the treatment response rate in the subgroup of HIV-positive and treatment-experienced patients are very limited [43,44]. Although treatment has been offered to patients with bleeding disorders, many of them have declined IFN-based therapy, mainly because of the expected side effects [18]. Side effects were common and the frequency of dose reduction or discontinuation of antiviral therapy was a common problem among studies with IFN-based therapies [45]. Nowadays, direct-acting antivirals (DAAs) have changed the landscape of HCV treatment. Although clinical data for patients with hereditary blood disorders are still limited, DAA therapy appears to be highly effective, safe and well tolerated [46-51]. The antiviral treatment of CHC in patients with hereditary bleeding disorders is not different from that of any other infected patients and should follow recently published guidelines, such as those proposed by the European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL) [9,52].

IFN-based therapies

(Peg)IFN-based treatment was the standard of care for many years in HCV treatment [53-55]. Several studies have examined the effect of combination therapy with PegIFN plus ribavirin (RBV) in patients infected with HCV. A review of 35 studies that included 1151 patients with hemophilia revealed that combination therapy with RBV is superior to IFN monotherapy, with reported responses in the hemophilia population being similar to those seen in the general population: 10-20% for IFN monotherapy, 30-40% for IFN plus RBV, and 50-60% for PegIFN plus RBV [53,56]. However, the majority of patients included in these studies were treatment-naïve and HIV-negative. This selective population could explain the contrast with previous reports suggesting that patients with hemophilia might have a worse response to antiviral therapy [57]. The first meta-analysis performed in HCV-infected hemophilic patients confirmed that the combination of PegIFN plus RBV was superior compared with the combination of IFN plus RBV (SVR rates 61% vs. 43%), though this difference was not statistically significant by metaregression. Moreover, the relationship between SVR and type of IFN used, at least for non-1 genotypes, was not clear [58].

On the other hand, many patients with inherited bleeding disorders were resistant to start treatment with PegIFN plus RBV, mainly because of side effects and relatively low SVR rates, especially for HCV genotype 1, the most prevalent in this population. The most frequently reported side effects of IFN-based treatment are fatigue, headache, influenza-like symptoms, hematological abnormalities and neuropsychiatric symptoms [53,59].

In a recent study, investigators assessed the occurrence of side effects and the changes in quality of life during antiviral treatment with PegIFN and RBV in patients with inherited bleeding disorders and CHC [60]. Irritability was reported in more than 10% of patients, while all patients reported fatigue during treatment. Other frequently reported side effects were headache (94%), pruritus and skin rash (94%), cerebral dysfunction (such as concentration problems) (89%), decreased appetite (89%), fever (85%), hair loss (85%) and sleeping problems (83%). Most of these side effects started during the first week of IFN-based treatment, while within four weeks after cessation of treatment most of the side effects had ameliorated. However, fatigue, pruritus and skin rash, concentration and sleeping problems were still present in a large number of patients (59%, 42%, 39% and 34%, respectively). Other reported side effects were dyspnea (43%), cough (21%), dry mouth and...
thirst (19%), dry and painful eyes (13%), changes in sensation of taste (11%), and memory loss (9%). Two patients stopped treatment prematurely due to side effects.

The most usual neuropsychiatric symptom during treatment with PegIFN plus RBV is depression. The pathogenesis through which IFN-α induces depression is largely unknown. However, IFN seems to have a direct effect on different cerebral processes. Downregulation of the glucocorticoid receptor, which is an important component of the negative feedback system of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis, and of serotonin receptor 1A (5-HTR1A) is suggested to play an important role [61]. In addition, an increase in the transcription and uptake activity of serotonin transporters may also induce depression [62]. Changes in the levels of certain cytokines, such as increased levels of interleukin (IL)-6 and IL-8, may be associated with depression during IFN-based treatment [63]. The opioid and the noradrenaline system may also be involved in depression symptoms during IFN-based treatment, while RBV is reported to enhance this phenomenon [64-65]. Drug-induced depression might enhance the psychosocial problems in this group of patients. A prospective study assessed the occurrence, course, treatment and risk factors of depression during antiviral treatment for CHC in patients with inherited bleeding disorders [66]. Forty-seven patients were included in the study. At baseline, 42 patients had no depression. Finally, 23 (55%) developed depression during antiviral treatment and in the majority (78%) during the first 12 weeks.

Treatment with DAAs

In recent years HCV treatment has been undergoing a major revolution. Multiple orally administrated DAAs have been approved for HCV treatment. According to the action mechanism and the therapeutic target, four classes of DAAs have been defined: nonstructural (NS) proteins 3/4A protease inhibitors, including simeprevir, paritaprevir and grazoprevir (GZR); NS5B nucleoside polymerase inhibitors, including sofosbuvir (SOF); NS5B non-nucleoside polymerase inhibitors, including dasabuvir; and NS5A inhibitors, including ombitasvir, ledipasvir (LDV), daclatasvir (DCV), elbasvir (EBR), and velpatasvir [67]. The major studies with DAAs did not include patients with special comorbidities, while real-life studies also lack significant data for patients with inherited hemorrhagic disorders and HCV or HCV/HIV infections. Therefore, until recently, there was not much experience from treating these patients with DAAs [68].

One of the most popular combinations used in the treatment of HCV infection is the fixed-dose combination of SOF/LDV. In phase III studies including patients from the general population with genotype 1 HCV infection, LDV/SOF combination for 8–12 weeks achieved rates of SVR 12 weeks post therapy (SVR12) >90% with only few adverse effects [46]. A study was conducted to explore the efficacy and safety of a fixed-dose combination of SOF/LDV plus RBV in patients with CHC and inherited bleeding disorders: fourteen patients with inherited bleeding disorders infected by genotype 1 were enrolled. All of them experienced rapid viral suppression after initiating treatment and all of them (100%, 95%CI 77-100%) achieved both SVR4 and SVR12. No patient experienced virological failure during treatment and no patient experienced virological relapse. Overall, 13 patients (93%) experienced at least one adverse event during treatment. The most common adverse events were fatigue, headache, nausea and insomnia [47]. The fixed SOF/LDV combination for 12 weeks was further evaluated in 69 HCV genotype 1 patients and in one genotype 4 patient with inherited bleeding disorders (HIV-positive 19%, cirrhosis 28%) with an overall SVR12 of 99% [48]. Five difficult-to-treat HCV genotype 1a patients (treatment experienced with cirrhosis) were also included and were treated with the same combination for 24 weeks with an overall SVR12 of 100%. A small number of HCV genotype 2 and 3 patients were also enrolled in this well designed study. Ten HCV genotype 2 (HIV-positive 40%, cirrhosis 20%, treatment-experienced 30%) patients were treated with SOF plus RBV for 12 weeks with an overall SVR12 of 100%, and six HCV genotype 3 (HIV-positive 50%, cirrhosis 33%, treatment experienced 17%) patients were treated with SOF/RBV for 24 weeks with an overall SVR of 83%. The high efficacy of SOF/LDV therapy was further documented in a study by Nagao and Hanabus: 43 patients with hemophilia and HCV genotype 1/4 infection (HIV-positive 75%) were treated with SOF/LDV for 12 weeks with an overall SVR12 of 95% [49]. Furthermore, the efficacy did not differ significantly between the HIV-negative and HIV-positive patients (SVR12: 100% in HIV-negative and 95% in HIV-positive, P=0.12).

The efficacy of the SOF/DCV combination has only been evaluated in two HCV genotype 1a patients with hemophilia and non-compensated cirrhosis, who achieved SVR12 after a course of 24-week duration [50].

Finally, a randomized placebo-controlled phase III study (C-EDGE IBLD) was conducted to determine the safety and efficacy of EBR 50 mg/day plus the protease inhibitor GZR 100 mg/day as a fixed combination for 12 weeks in patients with inherited bleeding disorders and HCV genotype 1 or 4 infection [51]. Overall, 107 patients were enrolled (including patients with sickle-cell disease and thalassemia) in the EBR/GZR arm: SVR12 was 93.5%, while virological relapse was 5.6%. The SVR rate was as high as 100% even in difficult-to-treat subgroups, such as treatment-experienced and/or cirrhotic patients. However, the presence of baseline NS5A resistance-associated substitutions (RASs) among patients with genotype 1a infection had a dramatic impact on SVR rate, which could be as low as 25%. Moreover, in this study, lower response rates were recorded in patients with hemophilia A/B or von Willebrand disease (89.4%) than in patients with sickle-cell disease (94.7%) or β-thalassemia (97.6%). This finding is most likely to be attributable to the presence of baseline NS5A RASs in most of these patients (4/6 patients who experienced virological relapse suffered from hemophilia A/B or von Willebrand disease, while 3/4 of them had baseline RASs). The safety profile in patients receiving EBR/GZR was similar to that in those receiving placebo. The most frequent side effects were headache, fatigue, nausea, and asthenia, but there were no discontinuations. All available studies of DAAs in inherited bleeding disorders, including patients’ characteristics and SVR rates, are shown in Table 1.
### Table 1: Treatment of chronic hepatitis C with DAAs in patients with inherited bleeding disorders

| Patients (n) | HIV, (%) | Genotypes (%) | Cirrhosis (%) | Treatment-experienced (%) | Regimens | SVR rate, (%) | Reference |
|-------------|----------|----------------|---------------|---------------------------|----------|---------------|-----------|
| 14          |          | 1a: 71          | 7             | -                         | SOF/LDV/RBV 12 weeks | SVR12: 100 | Stedman 2015 [43] |
| 99          | 19       | 1: 1 la: 67 1b: 31 4: 1 (1) | 28%           | 39                        | SOF/LDV 12 weeks | SVR12: 99 | Walsh 2017 [44] |
| 5           |          | 1a: 100        | 100           | 100                       | SOF/LDV 24 weeks | SVR12: 100 |           |
| 10          | 40       | 2: 100         | 20            | 30                        | SOF/RBV 12 weeks | SVR12: 100 |           |
| 6           |          | 3: 100         | 33            | 17                        | SOF/RBV 24 weeks | SVR12: 83 |           |
| 43          | 75       | 1a: 58 1b: 28 4: 11.5 1a/2b: 2.5 | 21            | 42                        | SOF/LDV 12 weeks | SVR12: 95 | Nagao 2017 [45] |
| 2           |          | 1a: 100        | 100           | 100                       | SOF/DCV 24 weeks | SVR24: 100 | Ackens 2016 [46] |
| 107         | 5.6      | 1a: 44 1b: 43 4: 11 1-other: 2 | 24.5          | 50.5                      | EBR/GZR 12 weeks | SVR12: 94.5 | Hezode 2017 [47] |

HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; SOF, Sofosbuvir; LDV, Ledipasvir; RBV, Ribavirin; DCV, Daclatasvir; EBR, Elbasvir; GZR, Grazoprevir; SVR, sustained virological response
Concluding remarks

Improvements in blood-banking procedures have led to almost no new cases of transfusion-associated HCV infections among patients with hereditary bleeding disorders. However, a high proportion of these patients still suffer from CHC, which may lead to liver cirrhosis. In the past, patients with HIV/HCV coinfection represented a difficult group of patients with a more rapid progression of their liver disease. The “classic” IFN-based treatment was the standard of care for many years and seemed to be as efficient as in the general population. Nowadays, DAAs are the standard of care in HCV treatment. Although these agents are very effective and well tolerated, clinical data for these patients are limited. However, it seems that treatment is similar to that in the general population, while DAAs are applicable to patients with hereditary bleeding disorders and CHC according to recently published EASL guidelines [9]. Moreover, HIV/HCV coinfected patients are no longer a “difficult-to-treat” group in the era of DAAs.
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