Intravenous Nalbuphine as a Sole Analgesic in Head and Neck Oncosurgeries.
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Abstract

Background: Aim: To assess the analgesic and hemodynamic stability of nalbuphine as a sole analgesic in head and neck oncogeries.

Subjects and Methods: A prospective observational study was conducted to assess the effect of intravenous nalbuphine in adult patients undergoing head and neck oncology surgeries. A total of 101 ASA I to III patients were included in the study. Patients aged 18 to 80 years scheduled for head and neck oncology surgeries were included.

Results: The average duration of analgesia was 223.63 minutes. The mean pulse rate preoperatively was 84.42±13.38 per minute. The duration of analgesia (360 minutes) was highest in 38 patients while only two patients had an analgesic effect for 120 minutes. Only one patient reported to have respiratory depression while one had nausea. Post-operative ventilator was required for one patient.

Conclusion: Nalbuphine can be considered as an ideal analgesic considering its efficiency in maintaining hemodynamic stability and effect of analgesia for long-duration surgeries. The side-effect profile of nalbuphine was favorable making it an ideal component for balanced anesthesia.
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Introduction

Anesthesiology has greatly evolved in the past 150 years as a major specialty as compared to other branches of medicine. There has been an increased understanding of monitoring, drug delivery, and physiology. Anesthesiology has made the most difficult diagnostic and surgical procedures easy to undertake, wherein earlier it was impossible.¹ The concept of ‘Balanced anesthesia was made known by John Lundy in 1926. A combination of technique and agents were required to provide effective analgesia. The use of appropriate premedication, regional anesthesia, and general anesthesia would help in producing different components of anesthesia. The triad of anesthesia comprises of analgesia, amnesia, muscle relation, and abolition of autonomic response while maintaining homeostasis.² A plethora of anesthetic techniques are available for safe administration and early recovery of patients. Balanced anesthesia remains a big step towards achieving ideal anesthetic and high patient satisfaction.³

Intravenous analgesics were used for the first time during balance anesthesia in the US by Neffet in 1947. It was used by Mushin and Rendel Baker in 1949 in Great Britai.⁴ The use of agonist antagonist analgesic in intraoperative and postoperative settings has become an acceptable alternative.⁵ Balanced anesthesia helps in maximizing patient comfort and safety while it minimizes patient risk. The purpose of balanced anesthesia include minimizing patient pain, calm the patient, and reduce the potential for adverse effects from anesthetic and/or analgesic agents. As per our experience, the surgical stress response reaches its peak during the postoperative period. It is known to have major effects on all body systems. Thus, it is necessary to have a pain and stress-free postoperative period as it helps in early mobilization and recovery. Minimizing postoperative pain is associated with reduction in overall morbidity and mortality. The ideal approach to prevent and control postoperative pain is to control it before it starts. The use of appropriate analgesics in the pre and intraoperative state may help in relieving pain, stress, discomfort, and adverse effects in the post-operative state. Some of the most common analgesic used include opioids such as morphine, fentanyl, butorphenol, buprenorphine, and nalbuphine; Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) such as diclofenac, ketoprofe, caprofen, and meloxicam among others; local anesthetic agents such as bupivacaine, lidocaine, and ropivacaine; and N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor antagonist such as ketamine.⁵ Nalbuphine Hydrochloride is an opioid agonist antagonist analgesic with reported cardiovascular stability, less nausea and vomiting, and lesser potential for respiratory depression. It is an ideal analgesic that can be used for balanced anesthesia.⁶

Subjects and Methods

A prospective observational study was conducted to...
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determine the effect of intravenous nalbuphine in adult patients undergoing head and neck oncology surgeries. A total of 101 American Society of Anesthesiology (ASA) I to III patients were included in the study post-approval for the institutional ethical committees. Patients aged 18 to 80 years scheduled for head and neck oncology surgeries were included. Patients with ASA status IV and V were excluded from the study. Other exclusion criteria included patients with a history of alcohol or drug abuse, history of allergy to narcotics, chronic obstructive pulmonary disorder (COPD), psychological disorders, bronchial asthma, and ischemic heart disease (IHD). Informed consent from all study participants were taken after thorough pre-analgesic investigation. Patients were informed and educated about the visual analog score (VAS).

In the preoperative room, 20G cannula was inserted. In the operating room, all monitors, i.e. pulse oximeter, electrocardiogram, non-invasive blood pressure monitor, and capnography were installed and applied to all patients. Baseline parameters such as heart rate (HR), systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressure (DBP), and mean blood pressure (MBP), SpO2 and ECG were noted. All patients were pre-medicated with Glycopyrrolate 4 mcg/kg, Inj. Ondansetron 0.08 mg/kg, Inj. Midazolam 0.02 mg/kg and Inj. Nalbuphine 0.1mg/kg intravenously. All patients were given general anesthesia after pre-oxygenation with 100% oxygen for three minutes.

In order to facilitate endotracheal intubation, intravenous propofol 2 mg/kg and intravenous suxamethonium 1.5 mg/kg was given. Post-endotracheal intubation, study participants were put on Intermittent Positive Pressure Ventilation (IPPV). A mixture of N2O (50%) and O2 (50%) and isoflurane was used to maintain anesthesia. Intravenous atracurium was used as a muscle relaxant. As per patient requirement, intravenous normal saline (NS) and fluid ringer lactate were provided. Post-surgery patient was given intravenous Neostigmine 50 mcg/kg and Glycopyrrolate 8 mcg/kg to reverse residual neuromuscular blockade. The patient was shifted to the intensive care unit on a T-piece. The Ramsay sedation score and VAS were used to assess postoperative sedation in patients. In patients with a VAS of ≥ 4 were given intravenous diclofenac 1.5 mg/kg as rescue analgesic. Postoperative side effects such as vomiting, nausea, dizziness, respiratory depression, and headache were observed.

Results
A total of 101 patients were randomly selected for prospectively observation. The demographic and duration of surgery are shown in table 1. The average duration of analgesia was 223.63. Minutes. The mean pulse rate preoperatively was 84.42±13.38 per minute. There was a sudden increase in mean pulse rate (89.25±10.75) immediately after induction [Figure 1]. However, the mean pulse decreased (82.27±10.32) after five minutes of induction. The mean pulse was stable with minor fluctuations intra-operatively [Figure 1]. Post-induction, there was a decline in blood pressure after five minutes of induction similar to those observed at baseline [Figure 2].

![Figure 1: Intraoperative Mean Pulse](image1)
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Balanced anesthesia allows us to minimize patient risk and volatile anesthetic agent and muscle relaxant in order to reduce the operation theatre pollution due to volatile agent and possible adverse reaction to liquid agent.

In context to side-effects, only one patient had respiratory depression and one patient had nausea. Only one patient required postoperative ventilator. Patients were shifted or extubated on T-piece. None of the patients developed pruritus, hypoxia, or giddiness [Table 2].

In our study, the rise in mean pulse rate and mean blood pressure and pulse rate changes were lesser than 20% of baseline value.

In our study, the rise in mean pulse rate and mean blood pressure intraoperatively was less than 20%. This indicated hemodynamic stability intraoperatively using nalbuphine as a sole analgesic. The use of nalbuphine to prevent hemodynamic response was previously documented.10-11 The average duration of analgesia in our study was 223 minutes (approximately 3 and half hours). Intravenous diclofenac 1.5 mg/kg was given as rescue analgesic to our patients when the VAS score was > 4.

Nalbuphine has been found to provide better pain relief and hemodynamic stability compared to tramadol in patients undergoing orthopedic surgeries.12 Nalbuphine was found to have a significant reduction in pain for the first two hours compared to fentanyl in patients who underwent short elective surgeries. When compared to fentanyl, nalbuphine was found to have a longer duration of postoperative analgesia with minimal side-effects.13

As per our experience, intraoperative arrhythmias and postoperative vomiting are common anesthesia-related complications of head and neck surgeries. These complications may be mediated by a range of vagal reflexes. Post-operative emesis is common complication among those given opioids for analgesia. As anesthetist, we aim for a rapid return of reflexes, pain-free, quiet, and non-emetic recovery from long duration head and neck surgeries. In the event when post-operative vomiting is undesirable, antiemetics are provided prophylactically with the induction of anesthesia.

**Conclusion**

Nalbuphine can be considered as an ideal analgesic in context to hemodynamic stability and intraoperative analgesia. Nalbuphine has minimal side-effects and can be considered as a component of balanced anesthesia.
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**Table 1: Patient Demographics**

| Age (years) | Sex (M:F) | Weight (Kg) | ASA Status (I/II/III) | Duration of Surgery (min) |
|-------------|-----------|-------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
| Mean ±SD    | 48.79±10.60 | 86:15       | 59.26±12.23          | 6:76:19                  |

**Table 2: Patients with side effects postoperative**

| Side Effects       | Number of Patients |
|--------------------|--------------------|
| Nausea             | 1                  |
| Vomiting           | 0                  |
| Headache           | 0                  |
| Giddiness          | 0                  |
| Respiratory Distress| 1                  |

**Discussion**

Balanced anesthesia allows us to minimize patient risk and maximize patient comfort and safety. The objectives of the balanced anesthesia are to calm the patient, minimize pain, and reduce the potential for adverse effects associated with analgesic and anesthetic agents. Analgesics are used during balanced anesthesia for greater cardiovascular stability, reduction in dose requirement of muscle relaxant and volatile agent, rapid recovery of consciousness and minimal side effects during and after operation. Recovery of both consciousness and spontaneous ventilation is rapid. It reduces the operation theatre pollution due to volatile agent and possible adverse reaction to liquid agent.

In our study, we used nalbuphine, an opioid analgesic with a volatile anesthetic agent and muscle relaxant in order to achieve balanced anesthesia. The hemodynamic stability and analgesic efficacy was considered significant if the blood pressure and pulse rate changes were lesser than 20% of baseline value.

In our study, the rise in mean pulse rate and mean blood pressure intraoperatively was less than 20%. This indicated hemodynamic stability intraoperatively using nalbuphine as a sole analgesic. The use of nalbuphine to prevent hemodynamic response was previously documented.10-11
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