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BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: Since the beginning of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, many countries have introduced strict hygiene measures of social distancing to prevent further spreading of the disease. This may have led to a decreased presentation to hospital of patients with acute medical conditions and time-dependent management, such as stroke.

METHODS: We conducted a nationwide cohort study using administrative database of all hospitalized patients with main diagnosis of acute ischemic stroke (AIS), transient ischemic attack, or intracerebral hemorrhage. Data from a total of 1463 hospitals in Germany were included. We compared case numbers and treatment characteristics of pandemic (March 16 to May 15, 2020) and prepandemic (January 16 to March 15, 2020) cases and also with corresponding time period in 2019.

RESULTS: We identified a strong decline for hospitalization of AIS (−17.4%), transient ischemic attack (−22.9%), and intracerebral hemorrhage (−15.8%) patients during the pandemic compared with prepandemic period. IVT rate in patients with AIS was comparable (prepandemic versus pandemic: 16.4% versus 16.6%, \( P=0.448 \)), whereas mechanical thrombectomy rate was significantly higher during the pandemic (8.1% versus 7.7%, \( P=0.044 \)). In-hospital mortality was significantly increased in patients with AIS during the pandemic period (8.1% versus 7.6%, \( P=0.006 \)).

CONCLUSIONS: Besides a massive decrease in absolute case numbers, our data suggest that patients with AIS who did seek acute care during the pandemic, continued to receive acute recanalization treatment in Germany.
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At the beginning of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, Germany was one of the countries with the highest numbers of infections. The German Ministry of Health recommended on March 16 that all elective treatments should be postponed to increase hospital capacities for the expected rising numbers of patients with COVID-19. Shortly afterwards, the German government introduced strict hygiene and lockdown measures for strong social distancing to control the spread of COVID-19.

While social distancing and lockdown appear to have successfully flattened the curve of COVID-19 spread in many countries, it has been argued that these measures result in fear of acquiring a severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 infection in case of hospitalization. Several previous reports from single-center and regional studies all over the world have shown that patients with acute medical conditions like acute ischemic stroke (AIS) did not present to hospitals and did not receive time-dependent treatment procedures such as intravenous thrombolysis (IVT) and mechanical thrombectomy (MT) which is attributed to delays in stroke onset to hospital arrival time and probably fear to acquire a severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 infection. Some of these studies reported different results which may have its reason in regional variations.

We sought to investigate and compare the number of patients hospitalized for AIS, transient ischemic attack (TIA), and intracerebral hemorrhage (ICH), as well as...
treatment rates of IVT, MT, and in-hospital mortality rate during the COVID-19 pandemic in Germany. This study represents the first nationwide analysis of acute stroke care during the COVID-19 pandemic with full coverage of all hospitalized patients in a country.

METHODS

For this study, no informed consent or ethical approval was required because we used completely anonymized data provided by the German Federal Statistical Office in compliance with the German data protection regulations. The latest Good Practice in Secondary Data Analysis recommendations (version 2; 2008) of the German Working Group for the Survey and Utilization of Secondary Data (with representatives from the German Society for Social Medicine and Prevention and the German Society for Epidemiology) and the Working Group for Epidemiological Methods (with representatives from the German Society for Epidemiology, the German Society for Medical Informatics, Biometry and Epidemiology and the German Society for Social Medicine and Prevention) state in their respective Guideline 1 that “…recommendation to consult with an ethics committee need not apply to secondary data analyses, if all the data protection provisions on pseudo-anonymization of all personal data are fulfilled… and no link to primary data is intended.”

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

We analyzed case numbers and treatment characteristic of all hospital admissions of patients with AIS, TIA, and ICH during and previous to the COVID-19 pandemic on a nationwide level using high-quality and validated administrative diagnosis-related group database (Data transmission according to §21 KdEntgG and §24 para. 2 KHG; official data on file, source: Institut für das Entgeltsystem im Krankenhaus, InEK, www.g-drg.de). In Germany, all in-patient stroke cases are encoded according to the International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision (ICD-10)-GM and relevant operating and procedure keys (OPS-301 codes) issued by the German Institute of Medical Documentation and Information (DIMDI) covering almost 100% of all in-patient cases. We considered the following ICD main diagnosis codes: G45.0-G45.99 (TIA), I63.0-I63.9 (AIS), and I61.0-I61.9 (ICH). All case numbers were aggregated at the level of the 3-digit ICD codes. In addition, the following OPS codes in combination with each considered main diagnosis were analyzed: 8-020.8 (IVT); 8-836.80 (MT). In-hospital mortality was assessed using discharge key 07 (death during hospital stay).

RESULTS

Acute Ischemic Stroke

Hospital admissions for AIS sharply dropped during the pandemic period (n=31 165) compared with the pre-pandemic period (n=37 748, −17.4%) and the corresponding time period in 2019 (n=38 247, −18.5%). Commencing in the second half of March 2020, there was a rapidly declining number of hospitalized patients with AIS (Figure). Mean age and gender distribution of patients with AIS, TIA, and ICH are given in the Table.

Concerning treatment rates in patients with AIS, the absolute numbers of patients receiving IVT markedly decreased (pandemic period: n=5 170; prepandemic period: n=6 186), paralleling the decline in hospital admissions, while the relative IVT treatment rate (16.6% versus 16.4%, P=0.478; 16.2% in 2019; P=0.190) remained unchanged. The absolute number of MT procedures also decreased during the pandemic, but to a lesser degree (pandemic period: n=25 14; prepandemic period: n=28 888), resulting in a slight but significant overall increase in the MT treatment rate (8.1% versus 7.7%, P=0.044; 6.8% in 2019, P<0.001; Figure). We found a significantly higher in-hospital mortality in patients with AIS during the pandemic period (8.1%) compared with the prepandemic period (7.6%; P=0.006) and in comparison with the historical controls (7.4%; P<0.001; Table).

Transient Ischemic Attack

There was a massive decrease of 22.9% in hospitalization of patients with TIA during the COVID-19 pandemic (n=13 015) compared to the prepandemic period (n=16 883) and in comparison with the same time period in 2019 (n=17 608, −26.1%). The decrease in TIA patients’ admissions also commenced in the second half of March 2020 (Figure).

Intracerebral Hemorrhage

A relevant decrease of 15.8% was also found for hospital admissions of patients with ICH during the pandemic period (n=38 03) compared to the prepandemic period (n=45 18; Table). Similar to patients with AIS, we found a significant increase of the in-hospital mortality in patients with ICH during the pandemic (34.9%) compared with the prepandemic period (29.9%, P<0.001).
DISCUSSION

This study represents the first nationwide stroke patient care analysis with almost 100% coverage of all hospitalized patients in a country. We found a profound decline in hospitalizations for all stroke subtypes during the peak phase of the COVID-19 pandemic from March 16 to May 15, 2020, when massive social distancing and lockdown measures were present. The strongest decline in hospitalization was observed in patients with TIA.

A similar trend has been observed in other regions of the world who were affected by the COVID-19 pandemic. Uchino et al. found a decline in acute stroke presentations in Ohio, United States, of about 30% from March 9 to April 2, 2020. Hoyer et al. reported a massive decline particularly for patients with TIA (up to −82%).

Figure. Course of hospital admissions of patients with acute cerebrovascular events.
Hospitalized patients with transient ischemic attack (TIA; A) and acute ischemic stroke (AIS; B) are demonstrated as bar graphs representing a 2-wk period. Treatments rates are given in percent. Schematic course of the number of hospitalized coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) cases in Germany is given as curve diagram (orange). Apr indicates April; Feb, February; IVT, intravenous thrombolysis; Jan, January; Mar, March; and MT, mechanical thrombectomy. **The 1–3 days shorter period in second half of February 2019 needs to be considered in this comparison.
in four German academic stroke centers. The stronger decline compared with our nationwide data and to other regions of the world is probably attributed to regional variations. However, it is likely that patients were primarily less frequently seeking medical attention during the pandemic due to fear of acquiring COVID-19 during hospitalization. This assumption is supported by the dynamic of the observed decline seen from mid-March 2020 on, when Germany had the first deaths due to COVID-19 and the German government imposed strong measures for social distancing and postponement of elective treatments. A national survey done by the American College of Emergency Physician reported that 74% of the surveyed adults were first and foremost concerned to contract another illness while being at a medical facility during the COVID-19 outbreak.10 Furthermore, it is unlikely that numbers of admissions were influenced by measures to avoid hospitalization since ICU capacities were still underutilized in Germany despite the pandemic.† The IVT rate was comparable to nationwide IVT rates of previous years.12 In a recent regional analysis of the telestroke network in Bavarian, Germany, the colleagues also described an increase for MT recommendations but a decrease for IVT recommendations during the COVID-19 pandemic.3 Our data indicate that provision of acute recanalization treatments in eligible patients with AIS was not affected by the COVID-19 pandemic in Germany as compared to other European countries.13

The relative increase in the MT treatment rate might correspond to the similarly observed higher overall mortality rate in German hospitalized patients with AIS during the pandemic period. We assume that patients with stroke who were hospitalized during the peak phase of COVID-19 pandemic could have had more severe strokes, while primarily patients with TIA and minor stroke did not seek medical help in hospitals. Consistently, other studies have also shown that especially stroke patients with more severe symptoms at admission presented to hospitals during the COVID-19 pandemic.7 Unfortunately, there are no consistent nationwide data available for stroke severity at admission. Alternatively, the somewhat higher MT rate could be part of the steadily rising MT rate in Germany over the last

| Table. Numbers, Demographics, and Clinical Characteristics of Hospitalized AIS, TIA, and ICH Patients in Germany During the Different Time Periods |
|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
|                   | Historical controls | Prepandemic cases | Pandemic cases | P value |
| AIS                |                   |                   |                 |        |
| N                 | 38 247            | 37 748            | 31 165          |        |
| Age, y            | 74.5±19.1         | 74.4±19.1         | 74.1±19.0       | 0.040* |
| Sex (F/M)         | 47.1%/52.9%       | 47.6%/52.4%       | 46.7%/53.3%     | 0.019 * |
| IVT rate          | 16.2%             | 16.4%             | 16.6%           | 0.478* |
| MT rate           | 6.8%              | 7.7%              | 8.1%            | 0.044* |
| Mortality# rate   | 7.4%              | 7.6%              | 8.1%            | 0.006* |
|                  |                   |                   |                 |        |
| TIA               |                   |                   |                 |        |
| N                 | 17 608            | 16 883            | 13 015          |        |
| Age, y            | 73.4±18.5         | 72.4±18.2         | 72.7±18.4       | 0.150* |
| Sex (F/M)         | 51.9%/48.1%       | 51.3%/48.7%       | 51.0%/49.0%     | 0.610* |
|                  |                   |                   |                 |        |
| ICH               |                   |                   |                 |        |
| N                 | 4653              | 4518              | 3803            |        |
| Age, y            | 73.3±18.7         | 73.2±18.8         | 73.6±18.9       | 0.335* |
| Sex (F/M)         | 48.1%/51.9%       | 47.0%/53.0%       | 49.6%/50.4%     | 0.018* |
| Mortality# rate   | 29.2%             | 29.9%             | 34.9%           | <0.001* |

Age is given as mean±SD and rates are given in percent. AIS indicates acute ischemic stroke; ICH, intracerebral hemorrhage; IVT, intravenous thrombolysis; MT, mechanical thrombectomy; and TIA, transient ischemic attack.

*P value for the comparison between prepandemic and pandemic cases.
†P value for the comparison between historical controls and pandemic cases.
‡In-hospital mortality.
We found a marked decline in absolute numbers of patients with AIS, TIA, and ICH during the COVID-19 pandemic. Our data suggest that those patients with AIS who did seek acute hospital care during the pandemic were treated with the same high quality as before the pandemic. The decline in case numbers of AIS, TIA, and ICH is most likely attributed to the fear of patients to acquire a severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 infection during hospitalization. These data might be helpful to policymakers and health care providers taking decisions on the still ongoing or a future pandemic situation.
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