The potential role of plasma miR-155 and miR-206 as circulatory biomarkers in inflammatory cardiomyopathy
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Abstract

Aims Establishing a diagnosis of inflammatory cardiomyopathy (iCMP) by non-invasive means remains challenging despite advances in cardiac magnetic resonance imaging. Previous studies suggested the involvement of microRNAs in the pathogenesis of iCMP. We examined the association of a predefined set of circulatory microRNAs with clinical characteristics of iCMP and evaluated their diagnostic performance in suspected iCMP.

Methods and results Eighty-nine patients with clinical suspicion of iCMP were included in the analysis. All patients underwent cardiac catheterization with left ventricular endomyocardial biopsy, echocardiography, and cardiac magnetic resonance imaging applying the Lake Louise criteria (LLC). Plasma levels of miR-21, miR-126, miR-133a, miR-146b, miR-155, and miR-206 were determined using real-time polymerase chain reaction. Based on immunohistological findings on endomyocardial biopsy, iCMP was diagnosed in 67% of study participants (n = 60). Plasma levels of miR-155 and miR-206 were significantly increased in patients with iCMP as compared with patients with dilated cardiomyopathy (P = 0.008 and P = 0.009, respectively). In receiver operating characteristic curve analysis, miR-155 and miR-206 demonstrated superior diagnostic performance for iCMP (0.68 and 0.67, respectively) compared with LLC [area under the curve (AUC) 0.60], Troponin T (AUC 0.51), and N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide (AUC 0.51). While baseline miR-155 and miR-206 plasma levels were predictive for biopsy-proven iCMP (odds ratio = 2.61, 95% confidence interval = 1.28–5.31, P = 0.008 and odds ratio = 2.65, 95% confidence interval = 1.27–5.52, P = 0.009) on univariate logistic regression analysis, the presence of positive LLC, high baseline C-reactive protein, or presence of clinical symptoms and signs of viral infection failed to predict iCMP (P > 0.05, respectively).

Conclusions The present data suggest that plasma levels of miR-206 and miR-155 are potential novel biomarkers for confirming the diagnosis of iCMP.
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possible underlying aetiologies and pathogenic mechanisms of iCMP. However, routine use of EMBS in these patients is hampered by the invasiveness and potential complications of the procedure. Cardiovascular magnetic resonance (CMR) imaging techniques yield a diagnostic accuracy for myocardial inflammation that varies between 60% and 80% depending on the type of symptoms and CMR protocol. Yet CMR has still limited availability and is costly and imaging analysis techniques vary between different centres.

Evaluation of circulating biomarkers has recently been suggested as a non-invasive alternative to improve diagnostic decision making in iCMP. MicroRNAs [miRNAs (miRs)] are a class of small non-coding, single-stranded RNAs acting as post-transcriptional modulators of gene expression. Accumulating data indicate that miRNAs play a crucial role in a variety of biological processes and diseases including heart muscle development and homeostasis (miR-133a and miR-206), inflammation and immunity (miR-155 and miR-126), endothelial activation (miR-146b), and fibrosis (miR-21). Previous studies also indicated that dysregulation of miRNA expression contributes to the pathogenesis of iCMP.

The aim of the present study was to examine the association of plasma concentrations of selected miRNAs with immunohistological findings on EMB, CMR findings, and echocardiographic and laboratory characteristics in patients with iCMP and to evaluate the diagnostic utility of these miRNAs to distinguish patients with iCMP from patients with DCM.

Methods

Study design

For the purpose of this prospective single-centre analysis within the MyoRacer Trial (Comprehensive Cardiac Magnetic Resonance Imaging in Patients With Suspected Myocarditis), we used plasma samples from 89 patients admitted to the Heart Center Leipzig between August 2012 and May 2015 fulfilling all of the following criteria: (i) new onset or persistent symptoms suggestive of myocarditis (shortness of breath, exercise intolerance, fatigue, palpitation, or chest pain); (ii) evidence of recent or ongoing myocardial damage [electrocardiogram (ECG) abnormalities, elevated enzymes of myocardial injury, and left ventricular (LV) dysfunction]; (iii) history of symptoms and signs typical for systemic viral infection; (iv) exclusion of relevant coronary artery disease on angiography (defined as stenosis >50% of vessel diameter); and (v) LV ejection fraction <55%. All patients included in the present study underwent LV EMB, CMR imaging, and regular clinical work-up, containing evaluation of clinical history, echocardiography, ECG, and standard laboratory analysis. According to immunohistological findings on EMB, patients were divided into two groups, that is, patients with and patients without immunohistological evidence of myocardial inflammation. Myocardial inflammation was defined as the detection of ≥14 infiltrating immune cells/mm² (CD3⁺ T lymphocytes and/or CD68⁺ macrophages) in addition to enhanced human leucocyte antigen class II expression in antigen-presenting immune cells according to the classification criteria of the World Health Organization/International Society and Federation of Cardiology Task Force on the Definition and Classification of Cardiomyopathies. The patients not fulfilling these immunohistological criteria were assigned to the DCM group.

All patients provided written informed consent before participation. The study was conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the ethics committee of the Medical Faculty of the University of Leipzig.

Endomyocardial biopsy

For EMB sampling, a myocardial biopsy forceps (Teleflex Medical Tuttlingen GmbH, Tuttlingen, Germany) was used. Five to six EMBS were taken from the left ventricle under fluoroscopic guidance. EMBS were taken from different locations within the ventricle. Histological and molecular pathological analyses confirming myocardial inflammation and viral infections were performed at the Department of Molecular Pathology, University Hospital Tübingen (Tübingen, Germany) as described previously. Immunohistochemical staining was conducted using BenchMark ULTRA-Ventana Medical Systems, as published. Masson’s trichrome staining and haematoxylin-eosin staining were used to determine the presence of myocyte necrosis, degeneration, and fibrosis. For the purpose of this study, myocyte degeneration was defined as the presence of morphological changes in the tissue structure and size fluctuations of myocytes on histological evaluation of EMB specimens. An independent experienced examiner, blinded to study objectives, evaluated EMB specimens (six LV biopsies with at least four tissue sections per staining), in accordance to published guidelines. Electron microscopy and Congo red staining was performed for exclusion of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy and amyloidosis, respectively. Nested (reverse transcriptase) polymerase chain reaction (PCR) for detection of viral genomes [Epstein–Barr virus, parvovirus B19, enterovirus, human herpesvirus (HHV) 3, HHV 6, HHV 7, cytomegalovirus, adeno virus, influenza A and B] was performed, as described previously.

Cardiac magnetic resonance imaging

All study participants underwent CMR imaging on 1.5 and 3 T scanners. The CMR protocol included current standard Lake Louise criteria (LLC) for myocarditis as well as native T1,
calculation of extracellular volume fraction, and T2 mapping (only on 1.5 T).^{13,15}

**MicroRNA extraction**

For determination of plasma miRNAs, blood samples obtained during EMB were centrifuged at 2000 g for 15 min. Plasma was aliquoted and immediately stored at −80°C. Total RNA was isolated from 200 μL plasma of each study participant using miRNeasyMini Kit according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). cDNA preparation and real-time PCR was performed using the miScript PCR system (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) with primers detecting: Hs_miR-21_2, Hs_miR-126_1, Hs_miR-133a_2, Hs_miR-146b_1, Hs_miR155*_1, and Hs_miR-206_1. Equal amounts of miR-39_1 script (3.5 μL per 5.6 × 10^8 copies) were added to each evaluated plasma sample as an exogenous control for assessment of isolation efficiency, as well as for normalization of expression levels of analysed miRNAs. Samples were analysed in duplicates. The inter-assay coefficient of variation was 12%.

**Statistical analysis**

Continuous variables of the patient population are presented as mean and standard deviation if normally distributed or as median and inter-quartile range if non-normally distributed. miRNA levels are presented as mean and standard error of the mean. Continuous variables were compared between groups using Student’s t-test, Mann–Whitney U test or Kruskal–Wallis one-way analysis of variance, as appropriate. Categorical variables were compared using the χ² test. Analysis of miRNA levels in plasma samples using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test revealed that the data were non-normally distributed. The association between miRNA levels and histopathological findings in EMB was tested using Spearman correlation analysis. Myocyte necrosis, degeneration, and fibrosis were assessed as binary variables (either presence or absence). The diagnostic value of miRNAs was evaluated with receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis calculating optimal thresholds and areas under the curve (AUCs). The Youden index was used to depict optimal cut-off values from the ROC and AUCs. According to the Youden index, sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy, defined as number of correct diagnostic assessments divided through number of all assessments, were calculated for each miRNA. Univariate logistic regression was used to test the utility of miRNA candidates from ROC analysis to diagnose iCMP by using EMB as the reference standard. Two-tailed P-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant for all statistical procedures. All statistical analyses were performed with the SPSS package Version 21.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL).

**Results**

**Study population**

The baseline characteristics of the study population are presented in Table 1. Based on immunohistological findings in EMB, iCMP was diagnosed in 67% of study participants (n = 60), whereas 29 patients did not show immunohistological signs of myocardial inflammation and were assigned to the DCM group. There were no statistically significant differences in age and gender between the two groups.

Patients in both study groups presented with reduced LV ejection fraction (36 ± 15% in iCMP vs. 35 ± 19% in DCM; P = 0.81) and dilatation of the LV (left ventricular end-diastolic diameter: 56 ± 10 mm in iCMP vs. 59 ± 11 mm in DCM; P = 0.33). ECG abnormalities (atrioventricular conduction disturbances and ST-segment depression or elevation) were equally present in both groups. Troponin T levels, CK-MB levels, and erythrocyte sedimentation rate were statistically higher in iCMP patients (P = 0.009 and P = 0.01, respectively). Enhanced expression of major histocompatibility complex class II was observed in all patients with iCMP and 18% of patients with DCM (P = 0.001). There were no differences in the distribution of cardiovascular risk factors between patients with iCMP and DCM (Table 1).

Lake Louise criteria on cardiac MRI were positive in 62% of patients in the iCMP group and in 24% of patients in the DCM group (P = 0.06), yielding an overall diagnostic accuracy of 60% for confirming iCMP (Table 1).

**Plasma microRNA profile in patients with inflammatory cardiomyopathy and dilated cardiomyopathy**

Patients with CMP demonstrated significantly higher plasma levels of miR-155 and miR-206 as compared with patients with DCM (P = 0.008 and P = 0.009, respectively). This difference was irrespective of enhanced major histocompatibility complex class II expression in patients with DCM (data not shown). Also, numerically higher levels of miR-126 and miR-146b were observed in patients with iCMP. However, this difference did not reach statistical significance (Figure 1). Plasma miR-126 was the most abundant miRNA in plasma of evaluated subjects in the present analysis.
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Table 1 Baseline characteristics of patients with iCMP and DCM

| Clinical characteristic | All patients (n = 89) | iCMP (n = 60) | DCM (n = 29) | P |
|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------|-------------|----|
| Age (years), mean ± SD  | 45 ± 15              | 47 ± 15      | 43 ± 14     | 0.77|
| Female (%)              | 26%                  | 28%          | 24%         | 0.67|
| BMI (kg/m²)             | 31                   | 32           | 31          | 0.42|
| Clinical presentation   |                      |              |             |    |
| Dyspnoea, n (%)         | 61 (67%)             | 41 (68%)     | 20 (69%)    | 0.96|
| Fatigue, n (%)          | 58 (64%)             | 39 (65%)     | 19 (65%)    | 0.95|
| Peripheral oedema, n (%)| 15 (16%)             | 8 (13%)      | 7 (24%)     | 0.22|
| Chest pain, n (%)       | 44 (38%)             | 29 (48%)     | 15 (51%)    | 0.95|
| Recent viral infection, n (%) | 52 (58%) | 45 (75%) | 17 (60%) | 0.12|
| CMR findings            |                      |              |             |    |
| Lake Louise criteria (≥2)|                      |              |             |    |
| Number of positive Lake Louise criteria | 62% | 24% | 0.06 |
| Echocardiographic findings |                      |              |             |    |
| LVEF (%), mean ± SD     | 36 ± 16              | 36 ± 15      | 35 ± 19     | 0.81|
| LVESD (mm), mean ± SD   | 57 ± 10              | 56 ± 10      | 59 ± 11     | 0.33|
| Troponin T (ng/L), mean ± SD | 202 ± 539          | 268 ± 643    | 64 ± 111    | 0.009|
| CRP (μg/L), mean ± SD   | 46 ± 324             | 29 ± 35      | 135 ± 564   | 0.01|
| Myoglobin (μg/L), mean ± SD | 217 ± 260         | 198 ± 271    | 269 ± 228   | 0.61|
| NT-proBNP (pg/mL), mean ± SD | 2866 ± 5010      | 208 ± 5726   | 1994 ± 2255 | 0.09|
| Immunohistochemistry (left ventricle) |                      |              |             |    |
| CD3⁺ T cells (cells/cm² ± SD) | 11 ± 13            | 14 ± 14      | 3 ± 2       | 0.001|
| CD68⁺ macrophages (cells/cm² ± SD) | 25 ± 19           | 31 ± 20      | 11 ± 5      | 0.009|
| Viral genome in EMB, n (%)         | 20 (33%)             | 6 (23%)      | 23 (32%)    | 0.20|
| Enhanced MHC class II expression, n (%) | 60 (100)            | 5 (18)       | 0.001 |
| Cardiovascular risk factors, n (%) | 59 (65%)            | 36 (60%)     | 23 (82%)    | 0.11|
| Hypertension, n (%)       | 49 (54%)             | 31 (51%)     | 18 (62%)    | 0.49|
| Diabetes, n (%)          | 9 (10%)              | 6 (10%)      | 3 (10%)     | 0.97|
| Hyperlipoproteinemia, n (%) | 29 (32%)            | 19 (31%)     | 10 (35%)    | 0.91|

BMI, body mass index; CK-MB, creatine kinase myocardial band; CMR, cardiovascular magnetic resonance; CRP, C-reactive protein; DCM, dilated cardiomyopathy; EMB, endomyocardial biopsy; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; iCMP, inflammatory cardiomyopathy; LVESD, left ventricular end-systolic diameter; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; LVEDD, left ventricular end-diastolic diameter; MHC, major histocompatibility complex; NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide; SD, standard deviation.

Association of plasma microRNAs with histological and polymerase chain reaction findings in endomyocardial biopsy

Inflammatory cardiomyopathy patients without histological signs of fibrosis in EMB (n = 9) presented higher plasma concentrations of miR-126 compared with those with evidence of fibrosis in EMB (n = 48, P = 0.01, Figure 2). We did not observe a significant difference in plasma miR-21 levels between patients with and without myocardial fibrosis on EMB. Levels of evaluated miRNAs were not linked to the absence or presence of myocyte degeneration (n = 15 vs. n = 32, Figure 3) and necrosis (n = 8 vs. n = 43, Figure 4) on EMB in iCMP patients. There were no statistically significant differences in miRNA plasma level between iCMP patients with and without presence of viral genome in EMB specimens (n = 20 vs. n = 37, Figure 5).

In patients with iCMP, the most frequently detected virus on PCR analysis of EMB was parvovirus B19 (n = 13), followed by HHV 6 (n = 4), HHV 7 (n = 1), Epstein–Barr virus (n = 1), and enterovirus (n = 1). When patients with presence of the parvovirus B19 viral genome in EMB were compared with patients with detection of viral genomes other than parvovirus B19 or patients without viral genomes in EMB, no significant difference in plasma concentration of any evaluated miRNA was observed.

Association of plasma microRNAs with immunohistochemical and echocardiographic findings in inflammatory cardiomyopathy

Plasma miR-126 and miR-21 levels positively correlated with CD68⁺ macrophages in EMB specimens (r = 0.45 and r = 0.55, respectively, P < 0.05). Plasma miR-133a, miR-146b, miR-155, and miR-206 did not show a significant correlation with CD3⁺ T lymphocytes and CD68⁺ macrophages in myocardial tissue of iCMP patients (Table 2).
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Echocardiographic data were available for 82 patients (56 patients in the iCMP group and 26 patients in the DCM group). No relevant correlation between functional (LV ejection fraction) and morphological (end-diastolic and end-systolic diameter and end-diastolic volume) parameters of LV function and plasma miRNA levels was observed (Table 2).

Association of microRNAs with biomarkers of cardiac injury and inflammation

We did not find any clinically relevant correlation between plasma levels of analysed miRNAs and circulating markers of myocardial injury (Troponin T and CK-MB). C-reactive protein (CRP) exhibited a moderate correlation with miR-21 \((r = 0.50, P < 0.05)\), whereas miR-126, miR-133, miR-146b, miR-155, and miR-206 did not show any association with CRP (for all \(r < 0.1, P > 0.05\), Table 2).

Diagnostic potential of miR-155 and miR-206 in inflammatory cardiomyopathy

On ROC analysis, circulating biomarkers of cardiac injury provided limited diagnostic accuracy to identify iCMP (Table 3). CRP demonstrated numerically higher AUC compared with erythrocyte sedimentation rate on ROC analysis [AUC 0.62, 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.46–0.77 vs. AUC 0.58, 95% CI 0.41–0.72, Table 3]. In comparison with other circulating biomarkers of inflammation and cardiac injury, miR-155 and miR-206 demonstrated superior diagnostic performance in CMP (AUC 0.68, 95% CI 0.55–0.81 and AUC 0.67, 95% CI 0.55–0.79, respectively).

On univariate logistic regression analysis, higher baseline levels of miR-155 and miR-206 predicted the presence of iCMP on immunohistological analysis of EMB. LLC, CRP levels, and the presence of symptoms and signs typical for viral infection within the last 2 weeks prior to hospital presentation were not found to be predictive. Table 4 presents odds ratios associated with each predictor, their confidential intervals, and associated significances.

Discussion

In the present study, we observed higher plasma levels of miR-155 and miR-206 in patients with iCMP as compared...
with patients with DCM. Furthermore, study participants with elevated baseline miR-155 and miR-206 demonstrated significantly higher probability for the presence of biopsy-proven iCMP than those with positive LLC on CMR imaging. On ROC analysis, miR-155 and miR-206 provided higher accuracy for iCMP when compared either to standard circulating biomarkers of myocardial injury and inflammation, or CMR-derived LLC.

Despite recent advances in comprehensive CMR imaging protocols for non-invasive diagnosis of myocarditis, the distinction between iCMP and DCM still represents a significant challenge in clinical practice. Several biomarkers are currently evaluated to improve diagnostic decision making and estimate prognosis by non-invasive means in patients with iCMP. miRNAs have been implicated in a variety of cellular processes underlying cardiovascular disease. The question about a potential diagnostic or therapeutic benefit of miRNAs in iCMP was proposed soon after recognizing the importance of miRNAs in myocardial homeostasis and disease. Interestingly, none of the miRNAs evaluated in the present study correlated with circulating cardiac troponin T levels, supporting the concept that miRNAs reflect...
Figure 5 Difference in microRNA plasma concentration between inflammatory cardiomyopathy patients with (red bars, n = 20) and without (blue bars, n = 37) presence of viral genome in left ventricular endomyocardial biopsy (EMB/LV). Results are presented as mean ± standard error of the mean. Data were normalized to plasma concentration of miR39_1 in each patient.

Table 2 Correlation between plasma levels of evaluated miRNAs and degree of left ventricular inflammatory response, echocardiographic parameters of left ventricular function, and plasma concentration of markers of myocardial injury in patients with iCMP (n = 60)

| miRNA  | CD3+  | CD68+ | LVEF  | LVEDD | LVESD | Troponin T | CK-MB | CRP   | ESR   | NT-proBNP |
|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------------|-------|-------|-------|-----------|
| miR-21 | 0.26  | 0.45* | –0.07 | –0.02 | –0.03 | 0.01       | –0.10 | 0.50* | 0.27  | 0.22      |
| miR-126| 0.38  | 0.55* | 0.06  | –0.03 | –0.03 | –0.04      | 0.12  | 0.18  | 0.18  | 0.07      |
| miR-133a| –0.21 | –0.05 | –0.13 | –0.06 | –0.09 | 0.03       | 0.04  | 0.30  | 0.29  | 0.18      |
| miR-146b| –0.01 | 0.07  | 0.12  | 0.16  | –0.04 | –0.07      | 0.31  | 0.37  | 0.22  |           |
| miR-155| –0.15 | –0.22 | –0.11 | 0.17  | 0.15  | –0.05      | 0.03  | 0.01  | –0.07 | –0.05     |
| miR-206| –0.04 | 0.03  | 0.13  | –0.14 | –0.09 | –0.06      | 0.33  | 0.03  | –0.13 | –0.06     |

CD3+, number of CD3-positive T lymphocytes in left ventricular endomyocardial biopsy specimen; CD68+, number of CD68-positive macrophages in left ventricular EMB specimen; CK-MB, creatine kinase myocardial band; CRP, C-reactive protein; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; iCMP, inflammatory cardiomyopathy; LVEDD, left ventricular end-diastolic diameter; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; LVESD, left ventricular end-systolic diameter; miRNAs, microRNAs; NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide; r, Spearman correlation coefficient after Benjamini–Hochberg adjustment for multiple correlation testing. Statistically significant correlation (P < 0.05).

Table 3 Diagnostic performance of circulating biomarkers and plasma levels of miR-155 and miR-206 in iCMP

| Circulating biomarker | Youden index | AUC          | Sensitivity | Specificity | PPV  | NPV  | Accuracy |
|-----------------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|------|------|----------|
| CRP                   | 6.30 mg/L    | 0.62 (0.46–0.77) | 72%         | 38%         | 0.66 | 0.44 | 0.59     |
| ESR                   | 18.50 mm     | 0.58 (0.44–0.72) | 73%         | 40%         | 0.56 | 0.57 | 0.56     |
| CK-MB                 | 22.5 U/L     | 0.51 (0.34–0.67) | 72%         | 38%         | 0.54 | 0.57 | 0.55     |
| Myoglobin             | 251 μg/L     | 0.59 (0.32–0.54) | 69%         | 24%         | 0.36 | 0.55 | 0.41     |
| Troponin T            | 34.50 ng/L   | 0.51 (0.36–0.65) | 73%         | 38%         | 0.55 | 0.57 | 0.43     |
| NT-proBNP             | 1076 g/mL    | 0.51 (0.34–0.68) | 73%         | 29%         | 0.49 | 0.55 | 0.51     |
| Lake Louis criteria   | –            | 0.62 (0.47–0.77) | 62%         | 63%         | 0.81 | 0.38 | 0.60     |
| miR-21                | 8.1          | 0.56 (0.43–0.69) | 68%         | 31%         | 0.71 | 0.29 | 0.57     |
| miR-126               | 39.6         | 0.48 (0.34–0.61) | 68%         | 35%         | 0.62 | 0.33 | 0.57     |
| miR-133a              | 2.8          | 0.57 (0.45–0.71) | 68%         | 35%         | 0.63 | 0.35 | 0.58     |
| miR-146b              | 4.6          | 0.61 (0.49–0.73) | 68%         | 38%         | 0.61 | 0.37 | 0.59     |
| miR-155               | 0.87         | 0.68 (0.55–0.80) | 75%         | 42%         | 0.65 | 0.53 | 0.61     |
| miR-206               | 2.27         | 0.67 (0.55–0.79) | 77%         | 48%         | 0.70 | 0.57 | 0.66     |

CK-MB, creatine kinase myocardial band; CRP, C-reactive protein; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide.

Receiver operating characteristic curve analysis was applied. Area under the curve (AUC), sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), negative predictive value (NPV), and diagnostic accuracy for diagnostic confirmation of inflammatory cardiomyopathy (iCMP) were calculated.
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increase of miR-206 plasma levels may be of extracardiac origin, for example, resulting from generalized myositis in the setting of systemic viral infection, which to certain extent limits generalizability of our findings.

Based on serological studies, adenoviruses and enteroviruses have long been considered the most common cardiotropic viruses resulting in myocarditis, but studies using PCR for viral diagnosis in cardiac biopsies pointed towards parvovirus B19 and HHV 6 as the most frequent pathogens in patients with acute myocarditis or inflammatory cardiomyopathy. The viral genome analysis of EMB in the present study is in line with these observations. However, the clinical relevance of parvovirus B19 presence and persistence of its DNA in myocardial tissue remains unclear. It was previously shown that parvovirus B19 genomes persist in various human tissues, in both healthy individuals and patients, without clinical relevance or disease inducing potential. This phenomenon is commonly referred to as ‘bioprotfolio’. Interestingly, plasma miRNA distribution in the present study was similar when patients with parvovirus B19 viral genome detection at low copy numbers were compared with patients with other virus types or iCMP patients without viral genome detection in EMB. Profiling of humoral and T cellular immune response of iCMP patients against parvovirus B19 could help to differentiate latent from active forms of infection and, thereby, identify those patients at risk, who can profit from intensified diagnostic and management. Only a limited number of studies further evaluated the link between histological features of specific myocardial pathologies and miRNA expression patterns in humans. Most of the analysed plasma miRNAs in our study did not show any relevant association with signs of inflammation or fibrosis on histology. Plasma levels of miR-126, a known regulator of myocardial apoptosis and angiogenesis, were significantly lower in iCMP patients with histological signs of myocardial fibrosis in EMB in the present study. The question whether reduced myocardial synthesis and extracellular release of miR-126 in patients with iCMP have beneficial effects on cardiac fibrosis and myocardial recovery needs to be addressed in further studies.

### Table 4: Results of univariate logistic regression analysis including five independent variables and its association with iCMP

| Variable | Odds ratio | Lower limit | Upper limit | P-value |
|----------|------------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| Lake Louis criteria | 2.79 | 0.93 | 8.34 | 0.06 |
| Symptoms of viral infection (<2 weeks) | 0.56 | 0.21 | 1.44 | 0.22 |
| CRP# | 1.02 | 0.99 | 1.02 | 0.27 |
| miR-21 (log_mir-21/mir-39_1) | 1.30 | 0.57 | 3.01 | 0.52 |
| miR-126 (log_mir-126/mir-39_1) | 1.01 | 0.44 | 2.35 | 0.97 |
| miR-133a (log_mir-133a/mir-39_1) | 1.65 | 0.77 | 3.53 | 0.20 |
| miR-146b (log_mir-146b/mir-39_1) | 2.06 | 0.90 | 4.53 | 0.09 |
| miR-155 (log_mir-155/mir-39_1) | 2.61 | 1.28 | 5.31 | 0.008 |
| miR-206 (log_mir-206/mir-39_1) | 2.65 | 1.27 | 5.52 | 0.009 |

CRP, C-reactive protein; iCMP, inflammatory cardiomyopathy.
In recent years, CMR imaging has emerged as a promising additional diagnostic tool in patients with suspected myocarditis. Diagnostic accuracy of CMR depends on the type of clinical presentation of myocarditis, as well as on the imaging sequences applied (i.e. T1, T2, early gadolinium enhancement, and late gadolinium enhancement), and varies between different studies. Lurz et al. suggested that comprehensive CMR mapping using T1 and T2 sequences enhances the diagnostic performance of CMR in acute or chronic iCMP. Implementation of LLC, as comprehensive and validated protocols for CMR imaging of myocardial inflammation, significantly improves the assessment of patients with suspected myocarditis. However, LLC present better diagnostic performance in ‘infarct-like’ presentation of iCMP (sensitivity 80%) when compared with ‘heart failure-like’ presentation of iCMP (sensitivity 50%), which demands further improvement of non-invasive diagnostic protocols with higher accuracy across all clinical subentities of iCMP. The results of the present study demonstrate that miR-155 and miR-206 could be suitable for these purposes. In direct comparison with LLC, baseline levels of miR-155 and miR-206 yield better diagnostic performance in iCMP when compared against EMB as the reference standard.

Several limitations have to be considered when interpreting the results of the present study. First, the observational character of the data is accompanied with a potential selection bias. Secondly, there is an ongoing controversy as to which method of normalization should be used to quantify miRNA levels in plasma. Exogenously added miR39_1 proved to be reliable and applicable in very different research settings, is rather unaffected by isolation processes, and allows for absolute quantification of miRNAs. However, we cannot exclude that other normalization methods would have been superior in the present study set-up. Thirdly, considering that miRNA expression profiles are highly time and space dependent, and influenced by many confounding factors, serial sampling of miRNAs in time intervals during the course of iCMP may offer more detailed insights into the role of miRNAs in the complex pathophysiology of myocardial inflammation. In addition, despite taking several endomyocardial biopsies from different regions of the left ventricle, we cannot exclude the possibility of sampling error when the diagnosis of iCMP or DCM was established in the present cohort. Fourthly, there are several known clinical conditions (asthma, multiple sclerosis, etc.), which are associated with higher circulatory miR-155 and/or miR-206 levels and were not the primary focus of our investigation. Hence, a confounding effect of these coexisting clinical conditions cannot be excluded and underlines the need for cross-validation of our results across a broader range of clinical scenarios. Lastly, because of the limited number of patients, our study results need further confirmation in larger cohorts of iCMP patients.

Conclusions

Together, the present data suggest that patients with iCMP have significantly higher plasma concentrations of miR-155 and miR-206 in comparison with patients with DCM, and these miRNAs may serve as novel biomarkers for the diagnosis of iCMP. We believe that further studies are warranted to further explore the diagnostic potential of miR-155 and miR-206 in larger cohorts of patients with suspected iCMP and to better understand pathophysiological implications of these miRNAs in myocardial inflammation.
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