ACCRETION VARIABILITY OF HERBIG Ae/Be STARS OBSERVED BY X-SHOOTER HD 31648 AND HD 163296
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ABSTRACT

This work presents X-Shooter/Very Large Telescope spectra of the prototypical, isolated Herbig Ae stars HD 31648 (MWC 480) and HD 163296 over five epochs separated by timescales ranging from days to months. Each spectrum spans over a wide wavelength range covering from 310 to 2475 nm. We have monitored the continuum excess in the Balmer region of the spectra and the luminosity of 12 ultraviolet, optical, and near-infrared spectral lines that are commonly used as accretion tracers for T Tauri stars. The observed strengths of the Balmer excesses have been reproduced from a magnetospheric accretion shock model, providing a mean mass accretion rate of $1.11 \times 10^{-7}$ and $4.50 \times 10^{-7} M_{\odot}$ yr$^{-1}$ for HD 31648 and HD 163296, respectively. Accretion rate variations are observed, being more pronounced for HD 31648 (up to 0.5 dex). However, from the comparison with previous results it is found that the accretion rate of HD 163296 has increased by more than 1 dex, on a timescale of $\sim 15$ yr. Averaged accretion luminosities derived from the Balmer excess are consistent with the ones inferred from the empirical calibrations with the emission line luminosities, indicating that those can be extrapolated to HAe stars. In spite of that, the accretion rate variations do not generally coincide with those estimated from the line luminosities, suggesting that the empirical calibrations are not useful to accurately quantify accretion rate variability.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The star formation process can be studied from the evolution of the accretion rate (see, e.g., Hartmann 1998; Sicilia-Aguilar et al. 2004; Fedele et al. 2010). At the initial stages, protostars show both envelope-to-disk and disk-to-star accretion, which can show variations of several orders of magnitude on relatively short timescales (FUor and EXor outbursts; e.g., Hartmann & Kenyon 1996; Herbig 2008; Liskowsky 2010). During the pre-main-sequence (PMS) phase, once the envelope dissipates and the central object becomes optically visible, the mass accretion rate ($M_{\text{acc}}$) decreases to typical values of $\sim 10^{-8}$ and $10^{-7} M_{\odot}$ yr$^{-1}$ for T Tauri (TT) and Herbig Ae/Be (HAeBe) stars, respectively (Hartmann et al. 1998; Mendigutía et al. 2011a, 2012). The accretion rate variability in the PMS phase is also expected to be lower than in previous stages. However, the precise strength of those variations is not well known, which can be partly attributed to discrepancies measuring accretion.

Primary signatures of accretion are the excess of UV/optical continuum emission and the veiling in the spectroscopic absorption lines that are observed in accreting stars. Both can be modeled from hot accretion shocks whose emission superimposes to that of the stellar atmosphere (Calvet & Gullbring 1998). The way that material from the inner disk reaches the stellar surface is understood from the magnetospheric accretion (MA) scenario, according to which gas does not fall directly from the disk to the star but follows the magnetic field lines that connect them (Uchida & Shibata 1985; Koenigl 1991; Shu et al. 1994). This is the accepted view for TT stars and seems to be valid at least for several HAeBe stars (see, e.g., Vink et al. 2002; Muzerolle et al. 2004; Mottram et al. 2007; Donehew & Brittain 2011; Mendigutía 2013). Accretion rates obtained from MA shock modeling correlate with the luminosity of several emission lines that span from the ultraviolet (UV) to the infrared (IR; see, e.g., the compilation in Rigliaco et al. 2011). These types of empirical calibrations have been extended to late-type HAeBe stars for the [O i] $\lambda 6300$, Hα (Mendigutía et al. 2011a), and Brγ (Donehew & Brittain 2011; Mendigutía et al. 2011a) lines. The origin of the correlations is not fully understood, but these spectral lines can be considered as secondary signatures of accretion, being useful to easily derive accretion rates for wide samples of stars.

Although some works are based on observed variations of primary accretion signatures in TT stars (e.g., Herbst et al. 1994; Fernandez & Eiroa 1996; Batalha et al. 2001), studies of accretion rate variability are mainly based on secondary ones (e.g., Nguyen et al. 2009; Eisner et al. 2010; Costigan et al. 2012; Chou et al. 2013). These analyses provide different results depending on the spectroscopic line used as accretion tracer. As an example, Nguyen et al. (2009) studied a sample of 40 TT stars, reporting typical accretion rate variations of 0.35 dex from the Ca ii $\lambda 8862$ line flux and 0.65 dex from the Hα 10%. Similar results were more recently obtained by Costigan et al. (2012). In fact, differences in the variability shown by several lines used as accretion tracers are reported for both TT stars and HAeBe stars (Johns & Basri 1995; Lago & Gameiro 1998; Mendigutía et al.
However, accretion variability from a primary accretion signature was found to be typically lower than 0.5 dex for the HAeBe stars, on timescales from days to months (Mendigutía et al. 2011a), a result confirmed by Pogodin et al. (2012). Accretion variability of specific TT stars, determined from line veiling, is also expected to be low (Alencar & Batalha 2002). However, the simultaneous analysis of primary and secondary accretion signatures is necessary to test the reliability of the empirical calibrations between the accretion rate and different spectroscopic lines (Rigliaco et al. 2012), and to study the validity of MA in early-type HAeBe stars (Donehew & Brittain 2011; Mendigutía et al. 2011a). These types of studies have been boosted by the advent of the X-Shooter spectrograph at the Very Large Telescope (Vernet et al. 2011), which allows simultaneous coverage of the accretion signatures from the UV to the near-IR (NIR). Simultaneity is crucial when dealing with PMS stars, given their variable nature. Several published and ongoing works face those type of questions, by “X-Shooting” wide samples of PMS stars (Oudmaijer et al. 2011; Rigliaco et al. 2012; Manara et al. 2013).

This work aims to contribute to the understanding of the spectroscopic accretion tracers in HAeBe stars. Instead of relating primary and secondary accretion signatures from single-epoch spectra of large samples, we will analyze multi-epoch spectra of two relevant objects. This approach brings the additional possibility of analyzing the variability of the accretion rate. This paper focuses on the variability behavior of two HAe stars: HD 31648 (MWC 480) and HD 163296. The specific objective is twofold: first, to measure the accretion rate and its variability from the Balmer excess (the primary accretion signature for HAeBe stars, see Garrison 1978; Mužerolle et al. 2004); and second, to compare the previous results with several UV, optical, and NIR line luminosities commonly used as secondary accretion tracers in low-mass stars. This comparison will provide relevant information about the applicability of the spectroscopic accretion tracers in late-type HAeBe stars.

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 describes the observations and data reduction. Section 3 presents our observational results on the Balmer excess (Section 3.1) and the spectroscopic lines (Section 3.2). Section 4 includes the analysis of the Balmer excesses in terms of an MA model (Section 4.1) and discusses possible relations between the accretion luminosity derived from the Balmer excess and from the emission line luminosities (Sections 4.2 and 4.3). Finally, Section 5 summarizes our main conclusions.

### 2. STARS, OBSERVATIONS, AND DATA REDUCTION

HD 31648 and HD 163296 are HAe stars (spectral types A5 and A1, ages of ~7 Myr and 5 Myr, respectively; Mora et al. 2001; Montesinos et al. 2009) with no reported stellar companions in the literature. We assume the parameters derived by Montesinos et al. (2009) for the surface temperature, stellar mass and radius (surface gravity), as well as for the distances (\(T_e = 8250\) K, 9250 K; \(M_* = 2.0 M_\odot\), 2.2 \(M_\odot\); \(R_* = 2.3 R_\odot\), 2.3 \(R_\odot\); \(d = 146\) pc, 130 pc; where the first and second values refer to HD 31648 and HD 163296, respectively). The stellar luminosities derived in that work are 22 \(L_\odot\) (HD 31648) and 34.5 \(L_\odot\) (HD 163296). Both objects show continuum and line variations (Sitko et al. 2008) and have magnetically driven accretion signatures (Swartz et al. 2005; Hubrig et al. 2006). We obtained multi-epoch X-Shooter spectra of both objects. Table 1 shows the log of the observations. A total of 10 science spectra were taken, 5 spectra per star on a timescale from days to months. X-Shooter covers a wide wavelength region throughout its three arms: UVB (311–558 nm), VIS (558–1012 nm), and NIR (1012–2475 nm). The slit widths were 1′′, 2′′, and 0′′, which translates into spectral resolutions of 3300, 8800, and 5600 for the UVB, VIS, and NIR arms. The spectra were bias and flat-field corrected and flux and wavelength calibrated from standard procedures using the X-Shooter pipeline v1.5.0 (Modigliani et al. 2010). Atmospheric emission was subtracted from an ABBA dithering pattern. Additional telluric absorption correction was applied for specific lines in the NIR arm (Pay et al. 2009; Section 3.2), by using telluric standards that were observed before and after the target stars. Flux calibration was tested from low-resolution spectra—i.e., obtained with the widest slit available for all arms (5″) —taken consecutively for the target stars and several telluric standard main-sequence objects with similar airmasses. Synthetic photometry obtained by convolving the spectra with broadband UBVRIJHK photometric filters was compared to published values for the telluric standard stars. In this way, the typical uncertainty is in between 0.05 and 0.2 mag (flux relative errors ~5% and 25%), where the specific uncertainties for each star depend on the X-Shooter arm and observing run (see below). Further accuracy could be obtained from spectro-photometric standards, which were not provided. Synthetic photometry extracted from our spectra is included in Table 1, which is consistent with previous measurements (de Winter et al. 2001; Oudmaijer et al. 2001; Eiroa et al. 2001). Flux-calibrated spectra are shown in Figure 1. Values from broadband photometry published in the literature (Oudmaijer et al. 2001; Eiroa et al. 2001) are overplotted for comparison.

### 3. OBSERVATIONAL RESULTS

#### 3.1. Balmer Excesses

The Balmer excess is defined from the UB Johnson’s photometric bands as \(\Delta D_B = (U - B)_{\text{phot}} - (U - B)_{\text{dered}}\), where \((U - B)_{\text{phot}}\) is the photospheric color and \((U - B)_{\text{dered}}\) the
observed, dereddened color (Mendigutía et al. 2011a). This can be derived from the extinction (in magnitudes), which for a given wavelength can be expressed from that in the $V$ band, or from the $B - V$ color excess, $A_\lambda = A_V (k_\lambda/k_V) = R_V E(B - V)$ ($k_\lambda/k_V$). The opacity ratio $k_\lambda/k_V$ is known from an extinction law, and $R_V$ is the total-to-selective extinction ratio. The X-Shooter UVB spectra were used to measure continuum excesses in the Balmer region, along the different observing runs. Therefore, the expression for the Balmer excess has been converted into fluxes. If the observed ones are normalized to the photospheric emission at the $B$ band (hereafter represented by the superscript “norm, $B$”), then

$$\Delta D_B = 2.5 \log \left( \frac{F_{\text{norm}, B}}{F_{\text{phot}, B}} \right) + 2.5 R_V \left( \frac{k_U}{k_V} - \frac{k_B}{k_V} \right) \times \log \left( \frac{F_{\text{norm}, V}}{F_{\text{phot}, V}} \right),$$

which is the expression that is used in this work. The first term in Equation (1) is essentially the same one as used by Donehew & Brittain (2011), with $F_{\text{norm}, B}/F_{\text{phot}, B}$ the ratio between the normalized and the photospheric fluxes, measured at wavelengths corresponding to the $U$ band. The second term reflects the contribution of extinction and can be estimated from an extinction law and from the ratio between the normalized and photospheric fluxes at wavelengths corresponding to the $V$ band. Apart from being independent of absolute flux calibration (Donehew & Brittain 2011), the main advantage of the above expression for $\Delta D_B$ is that it accounts for continuum excesses that can be ascribed mainly to hot shocks caused by accretion (Section 4.1), given that the possible contribution of extinction is ruled out by the second term. This contribution is typically an order of magnitude lower than the first term for the stars studied here—-their $E(B - V)$ values are low; see Section 3.2—but it should not be neglected for objects with more pronounced extinctions (i.e., UXOr-like; see, e.g., Grinin et al. 1994, and references therein). It is assumed that the third possible cause argued to be in the origin of photometric variability in PMS stars, photospheric variations caused by cold spots (Herbst et al. 1994), is negligible for the stellar temperatures and masses of both stars analyzed. The photospheric fluxes are represented by Kurucz models (Kurucz 1993) with the corresponding values of $T_\ast$ and $\log g$ (Section 2). These were derived from the SYNTHE code, re-binning to a resolution similar to the X-Shooter spectra, as they were also used to estimate the photospheric contribution to the spectral lines (Section 3.2). Observed fluxes were normalized to the Kurucz ones, making their averaged continuum fluxes in the 400–460 nm band (representing the rough range covered by the $B$ photometric filter) coincide. The ratios $F_{\text{norm}, B}/F_{\text{phot}, B}$ and $F_{\text{norm}, V}/F_{\text{phot}, V}$ were then derived considering averaged continuum fluxes in the wavelength regions 350–370 nm and 540–560 nm, respectively. Balmer excesses were finally obtained from Equation (1), assuming $R_V = 5$ (Hernández et al. 2004), $k_U/k_V = 1.6$, and $k_B/k_V = 1.3$ (Rieke & Lebofsky 1985; Robitaille et al. 2007).
The use of a larger $R_V$ value, compared with the typical value for the interstellar medium ($R_V = 3.1$), has been extensively justified for HAeBe stars (see, e.g., Herbst et al. 1982; Gorti & Bhattacharya 1993; Hernández et al. 2004; Manoj et al. 2006, and references therein). In addition, interstellar extinction is most likely negligible compared with the circumstellar one for objects located closer than 200 pc (Fitzgerald 1968), as is the case for HD 31648 and HD 163296. A different $R_V$ value than assumed here affects the second term in Equation (1). In particular, $R_V = 3.1$ provides Balmer excesses that can be lower by 0.08 mag, this upper limit being obtained from specific observing runs of HD 163296. For simplicity, possible variations of $R_V$ for a given star, or strong dependences of the extinction law on $R_V$, are not considered for the low extinctions and the wavelength range covered in this work (Mathis 1990).

Figure 2 shows the observed spectra for the two stars studied here, normalized to the Kurucz ones at wavelengths corresponding to the $B$ photometric filter. Balmer excesses with respect to the photospheric spectra are apparent in the 350–370 nm region, and those can vary from one epoch to another. Table 2 shows the measured $\Delta D_B$ values. Apart from the extinction law, the uncertainty of the Balmer excesses is only dependent on relative flux ratios in wavelengths corresponding to the $U$ and $V$ photometric filters (see Equation (1)). In other words, the accuracy of the Balmer excess would only decrease if errors in flux calibration are strongly wavelength dependent in the 350–560 nm region, which is not the case for our spectra. A conservative uncertainty of 0.01 mag was estimated by measuring (the theoretically null) $\Delta D_B$ in consecutive X-Shooter spectra of main-sequence stars on different observing dates and with different airmasses, for which neither accretion nor changes in extinction were present. The last column in Table 2 shows the mean Balmer excess and a number in brackets quantifying the relative variability.

\begin{table}[h]
\begin{center}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
Star & $\Delta D_B$ (Run A) & $\Delta D_B$ (Run B) & $\Delta D_B$ (Run C) & $\Delta D_B$ (Run D) & $\Delta D_B$ (Run E) & $\langle \Delta D_B \rangle$ \\
\hline
HD 31648 & 0.15 & 0.12 & 0.18 & 0.07 & 0.18 & 0.14 [0.33] \\
HD 163296 & 0.37 & 0.38 & 0.41 & 0.38 & 0.36 & 0.38 [0.04] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{center}
\end{table}

Notes. Observed Balmer excesses are shown in Columns 2–6. The typical uncertainty is 0.01 mag. The last column shows the mean Balmer excess from the different observing runs. While HD 163296 shows the largest mean Balmer excess (0.41 mag), HD 31648 shows the largest Balmer excess variations (up to a factor of 2.5 in a few days). The importance of the second term in Equation (1) is noted again: despite the similar variability shown in the Balmer region of the spectra in both panels of Figure 2, the contribution of (variable) extinction to this variability is a bit more pronounced in HD 163296 (its normalized flux shows larger differences with respect to the Kurucz model at longer wavelengths).

3.2. Spectral Lines

This work focuses on 12 emission lines for which there are previous empirical calibrations with the accretion luminosity in the literature (Section 4.2). These lines span over the whole UVB-VIS-NIR wavelength region covered by the X-Shooter spectra. In particular, we focused on transitions of neutral hydrogen, helium, sodium, and oxygen, also including transitions of ionized calcium. This work is a part of a larger project that deals with the physical origin of some of these lines (see, e.g., Hartmann et al. 1994; Muzerolle et al. 1998, 2001, 2004; Tambovtseva et al. 1999; Kurosawa et al. 2006), which have been associated mainly with accretion and/or outflow processes. The study of the physical origin of the spectral lines is beyond the scope of this work.

The circumstellar contribution to the equivalent width (EW) of a line was determined from $EW^{\text{cs}} = EW^{\text{obs}} - EW^{\text{phot}}$. The observed equivalent width, $EW^{\text{obs}}$, was directly measured...
Figure 3. Observed line profiles (normalized to unity) of HD 31648 and HD 163296 are shown with solid lines. Different colors represent different observing runs, as in Figure 1. For the UV lines with a purely absorption profile (H\(\eta\), Ca II K, H\(\gamma\), and H\(\beta\)), the emission profiles (dashed lines) that result from the subtraction of the Kurucz synthetic spectra (solid black lines) from the observed ones are also shown (see text).

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)
on the normalized spectra, whereas the photospheric absorption, \( \text{EW}^{\text{phot}} \), was estimated from the Kurucz spectra with the corresponding stellar parameters (see Section 3.1). These profiles were broadened by stellar rotation, assuming projected rotational velocities of 133 and 102 km s\(^{-1}\) for HD 163296 and HD 31648, respectively (Mora et al. 2001). For the resolution of our X-Shooter spectra, variations of 500 K in the stellar temperature and changes of 0.50 dex in the surface gravity of the Kurucz spectra (uncertainties of \( \pm 200 \) K and \( \pm 0.2 \) dex were quoted in Montesinos et al. 2009) translate into typical relative errors of 10\% and 5\% in \( \text{EW}^{\text{phot}} \) (the specific values depending on the specific star and line). However, since we are mainly interested in the variability of the lines and the photospheric contribution is expected to be constant, it is assumed that the uncertainty in \( \text{EW}^{\text{cs}} \) is dominated by that in \( \text{EW}^{\text{obs}} \). This was determined for each individual line and observing run by measuring additional EWs from two levels located at \( \pm 1.5 \sigma \) from the normalized continuum, fixed at unity. Table 3 shows the equivalent widths and the errors estimated in this way. The relative variability, \( \sigma(\text{EW}^{\text{cs}})/\langle \text{EW}^{\text{cs}} \rangle \) (see, e.g., Mendigutía et al. 2011b), is indicated in those cases where the EW changes above the uncertainties.

\( \text{EW}^{\text{phot}} \), \( \text{EW}^{\text{cs}} \), \( \text{EW}^{\text{obs}} \), \( \text{EW}^{\text{cs}} \) are determined for each individual line and observing run by measuring additional EWs from two levels located at \( \pm 1.5 \sigma \) from the normalized continuum, fixed at unity. Table 3 shows the equivalent widths and the errors estimated in this way. The relative variability, \( \sigma(\text{EW}^{\text{cs}})/\langle \text{EW}^{\text{cs}} \rangle \) (see, e.g., Mendigutía et al. 2011b), is indicated in those cases where the EW changes above the uncertainties.

Dereddened line luminosities (\( L_{\lambda}^{\text{dred}} \)) were obtained from the values for \( \text{EW}^{\text{cs}} \), the observed continuum fluxes at wavelengths close to the lines (\( F_{\lambda}^{\text{dred}} \)), and the distances to the stars (\( d \)). We used the expression \( L_{\lambda}^{\text{dred}} = 4\pi d^2 F_{\lambda}^{\text{dred}} \), where \( L_{\lambda}^{\text{dred}} = \text{EW}^{\text{cs}} \times F_{\lambda}^{\text{dred}} = \text{EW}^{\text{cs}} \times F_{\lambda}^{\text{dred}} \times 10^{0.4A} \). The extinction, \( A_{\lambda} = R_{\lambda} (B - V)(k_{\lambda}/k_V) \), was derived assuming again \( R_V = 5 \), and from the \( k_{\lambda}/k_V \) values in Rieke & Lebofsky (1985) and Robitaille et al. (2007). (\( B - V \)) color excesses were obtained subtracting the corresponding magnitudes extracted from the Kurucz spectra from the ones included in Table 1. These are shown in Table 4, along with the dereddened line luminosities and their uncertainties (which consider those in the EW and in \( F_{\lambda}^{\text{dred}} \)), as well as the relative variability when a line shows luminosity variations above the uncertainties.

Although line luminosities tend to be larger for HD 163296, the variability of the lines, in terms of both the EWs and the line luminosities, is comparable for HD 31648 and HD 163296.

4. ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

4.1. Accretion Rates from Balmer Excesses

It is assumed that accretion is magnetically channeled for the two stars studied in this paper. Therefore, the observed Balmer excesses and their variations (Section 3.1) are analyzed.

### Table 3

| Line | \( \text{EW}^{\text{phot}} \) | \( \text{EW}^{\text{cs}} \) | \( \text{EW}^{\text{obs}} \) | \( \text{EW}^{\text{cs}} \) | \( \text{EW}^{\text{cs}} \) | \( \text{EW}^{\text{cs}} \) |
|------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| \( \text{H}_\beta \) (3835 Å) | 10.4 | \(-1.0 \pm 0.1\) | \(-1.7 \pm 0.1\) | \(-0.7 \pm 0.7\) | \(0.1 \pm 0.7\) | \(-1.4 \pm 0.8\) |
| \( \text{Ca} \ i \) K (3934 Å) | 4.5 | \(-1.1 \pm 0.2\) | \(-0.2 \pm 0.1\) | \(-1.2 \pm 0.1\) | \(-0.7 \pm 0.1\) | \(-1.9 \pm 0.2\) |
| \( \text{H}_\gamma \) (4341 Å) | 23.6 | \(-4 \pm 3\) | \(-3 \pm 2\) | \(-5 \pm 3\) | \(-3 \pm 2\) | \(-3 \pm 3\) |
| \( \text{H}_\delta \) (4861 Å) | 34.5 | \(-18 \pm 2\) | \(-17 \pm 2\) | \(-19 \pm 2\) | \(-19 \pm 2\) | \(-19 \pm 2\) |
| \( \text{He} \ i \) (5876 Å) | 0.02 | \(-1.3 \pm 0.4\) | \(-1.2 \pm 0.4\) | \(-0.8 \pm 0.4\) | \(-0.8 \pm 0.4\) | \(-1.0 \pm 0.3\) |
| \( \text{Na} \ i \) D\(_2\) (5890 Å) | 0.26 | \(-0.57 \pm 0.06\) | \(0.09 \pm 0.05\) | \(-0.23 \pm 0.08\) | \(0.25 \pm 0.06\) | \(-0.94 \pm 0.06\) |
| \( \text{Na} \ i \) D\(_2\) (5896 Å) | 0.19 | \(-0.60 \pm 0.09\) | \(-0.06 \pm 0.06\) | \(-0.35 \pm 0.07\) | \(-0.07 \pm 0.07\) | \(-0.70 \pm 0.06\) |
| \( \text{He} \) (6563 Å) | 21.5 | \(-25.6 \pm 0.1\) | \(-23.6 \pm 0.1\) | \(-28.3 \pm 0.2\) | \(-25.5 \pm 0.2\) | \(-25.0 \pm 0.1\) |
| \( \text{O} \) (8446 Å) | 0.26 | \(-1.3 \pm 0.2\) | \(-1.0 \pm 0.2\) | \(-1.4 \pm 0.2\) | \(-1.1 \pm 0.2\) | \(-1.1 \pm 0.1\) |
| \( \text{Ca} \ i \) (8542 Å) | 3.5 | \(-13.9 \pm 0.3\) | \(-9.2 \pm 0.2\) | \(-15.2 \pm 0.3\) | \(-10.7 \pm 0.3\) | \(-13.0 \pm 0.3\) |
| \( \text{P} \) (10938 Å) | 24.9 | \(-26 \pm 1\) | \(-23 \pm 1\) | \(-27 \pm 1\) | \(-22 \pm 1\) | \(-24 \pm 1\) |
| \( \text{Pa} \) (12818 Å) | 22.8 | \(-36.0 \pm 0.6\) | \(-32.0 \pm 0.5\) | \(-37.1 \pm 0.6\) | \(-34.6 \pm 0.6\) | \(-36.0 \pm 0.6\) |
| \( \text{Br} \) (21660 Å) | 26.3 | \(-33.2 \pm 0.6\) | \(-31.3 \pm 0.7\) | \(-34.3 \pm 0.6\) | \(-32.9 \pm 0.7\) | \(-31.3 \pm 0.7\) |

**Notes.** Photospheric and circumstellar equivalent widths are shown in Columns 2–7. The last column shows the mean equivalent width and its uncertainty from the propagation of the individual ones. For the stars showing both absorptions and emissions, only the values of the most frequent absorption/emission behavior are considered to derive the mean values. A number in brackets quantifying the relative variability (\( \sigma(\text{EW}^{\text{cs}})/\langle \text{EW}^{\text{cs}} \rangle \)) is provided when the equivalent width varies above the uncertainties.
in terms of an MA shock model. We follow the nomenclature and methodology described in Mendigutía et al. (2011a), where several assumptions of the MA shock model in Calvet & Gullbring (1998) and Muzerolle et al. (2004) were applied to HAeBe stars. According to that, the total flux per wavelength unit emerging from the star (\( F_\lambda \)) is composed of the emission from the naked photosphere (\( F_\lambda^{\text{phot}} \), represented by a Kurucz synthetic spectrum) plus the accretion contribution:

\[
F_\lambda = F_\lambda^{\text{phot}} + (1 - f) F_\lambda^{\text{accretion}} ,
\]

\( f \) being the filling factor that reflects the stellar surface coverage of the accretion columns, and \( F_\lambda^{\text{accretion}} \) the flux from the column. This parameter is modeled as a blackbody at a temperature

\[
T_{\text{col}} = (\sigma T_\ast^4 L/\sigma + T_\ast^4)^{1/4} ,
\]

with \( \sigma \) the Stefan–Boltzmann constant and \( F \) the inward flux of energy carried by the accretion columns, which ranges typically between 10\(^{11}\) and 10\(^{15}\) erg cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) (Muzerolle et al. 2004). The filling factor can be estimated from

\[
f = \left( 1 - R_\ast^2/R_1^2 \right) \frac{GM_\ast M_{\text{acc}}}{4\pi \rho F R_1^4} ,
\]

with \( R_1 \) the disk truncation radius.

Table 5 summarizes the fixed input parameters that are used in this work. Figure 4 shows the average UV spectrum of HD 163296 normalized to the Kurucz photospheric spectrum in the B band. For a typical accretion rate of \( \sim 10^{-7} M_\odot \) yr\(^{-1}\) and fixed stellar parameters and disk truncation radius (see below), different values of \( F \) provide different blackbody temperatures (Equation (3)) and filling factors (Equation (4)). The corresponding total fluxes (Equation (2)) reproduce the observed spectra with different degrees of accuracy. For \( F \sim 10^{11} \) erg cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\), the only part of the observed spectrum that can be reproduced is the bump between 365 and 370 nm, mismatching at shorter and longer wavelengths (\( \lambda \) > 380 nm). Values of \( F \sim 10^{12} \) erg cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) are able to reasonably reproduce both the short- and long-wavelength regions. Larger values
(---$F \sim 10^{13}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) are not able to reproduce any part of the observed Balmer excesses. As it is described in the following, our modeling aims to reproduce mainly the strength of the observed Balmer excess, instead of its overall shape. We assume a “classical” approach using a single blackbody obtained by fixing $F$ to $10^{12}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, which not only provides reasonable agreement with the observed excesses and filling factors (Valenti et al. 1993), but is in turn the typical value for HAe stars (Muzerolle et al. 2004). More complex models using several values of $F$ have been used to simultaneously reproduce wider wavelength ranges covering the near-UV and optical regions of T Tauri stars (see, e.g., Ingleby et al. 2013). Disk truncation radii assumed in this work (Table 5) are also typical (Muzerolle et al. 2004), being lower than the corresponding co-rotation radii (Shu et al. 1994) by taking into account the projected rotational velocities of the stars (Mendigutía et al. 2011a). We refer the reader to this paper for further details on the shock modeling and its dependences on the stellar parameters. Although already discussed there, further analysis on the influence of the adopted values for $F$ and $R_i$ is included below. Total fluxes were synthesized from Equation (2) for each star, considering different values for $M_{\text{acc}}$. Modeled Balmer excesses were then derived by normalizing $F_\lambda$ to $F_{\lambda}^{\text{phot}}$ in the 400–460 nm band and applying the same procedure as described for the observed Balmer excesses (Section 3.1). In this way, couples of values ($M_{\text{acc}}, \Delta D_B$) were obtained for each star, which were used to construct the curves represented in Figure 5. These $\Delta D_B-M_{\text{acc}}$ calibrations were used to assign a mass accretion rate to each observed Balmer excess. Accretion luminosities were then derived considering $L_{\text{acc}} = GM_\odot M_{\text{acc}}/R_\odot$. The model parameters that best reproduce the values of the observed Balmer excesses are shown in Table 6. The uncertainties for $M_{\text{acc}}$ were estimated considering the typical uncertainty for the observed Balmer excess ($\pm 0.01$ mag; see Section 3.1) in the $\Delta D_B-M_{\text{acc}}$ calibration (Figure 5). Errors in $M_\odot/R_\odot$ ratios (Montesinos et al. 2009) add another 0.01 dex uncertainty to the accretion luminosities (see Mendigutía et al. 2011a, and the caption of Table 6).

From the method described before, the mean mass accretion rate for HD 31648 was $1.11 \times 10^{-7} M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$. The accretion rate changes are above the uncertainties, between $5.24 \times 10^{-8} M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ and $1.46 \times 10^{-7} M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$. This represents a mass accretion rate variation of almost 0.5 dex. The accretion luminosity showed an average value of $3.03 L_\odot$ and changes by a factor of almost 3, from 1.43 to 3.97 $L_\odot$. This represents accretion luminosity variations between 6.5% and 18% of the stellar one. Regarding HD 163296, the mass accretion rate was typically larger ($\sim 4.50 \times 10^{-7} M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$) and roughly constant (variations lower than 0.1 dex). The accretion luminosity was typically $\sim 40\%$ of the stellar one. Despite this high ratio, significant veiling in photospheric absorption lines is not detected, which is consistent with a temperature of the accretion shocks similar to the effective temperature of the star (Muzerolle et al. 2004).

The above discussion assumes that the fraction of the star covered by the shocks, $f$, is the only model parameter that varies along the observations. This assumption provides upper limits for the accretion rate changes. In other words, more complex scenarios assuming additional variations in $F$ and $R_i$ would explain a given Balmer excess change from a lower accretion rate variation than provided here. As an example, in the case of HD 31648 a change of 20% in $F$ and $R_i$ would account for Balmer excess variations of 0.2 and 0.4 mag, respectively, for a fixed accretion rate of $1.11 \times 10^{-7} M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$. Further analysis

---

**Table 6**

| Star     | Run | log $M_{\text{acc}}$ [$M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$] | log $L_{\text{acc}}$ [$L_\odot$] | $f$ (%) |
|----------|-----|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------|
| HD 31648 | A   | $-6.92$                                  | 0.51                            | 2.3     |
|          | B   | $-7.03$                                  | 0.40                            | 1.8     |
|          | C   | $-6.84$                                  | 0.60                            | 2.8     |
|          | D   | $-7.28$                                  | 0.15                            | 1.0     |
|          | E   | $-6.84$                                  | 0.60                            | 2.8     |
| HD 163296| A   | $-6.36$                                  | 1.11                            | 8.5     |
|          | B   | $-6.35$                                  | 1.13                            | 8.8     |
|          | C   | $-6.31$                                  | 1.17                            | 9.7     |
|          | D   | $-6.35$                                  | 1.13                            | 8.8     |
|          | E   | $-6.38$                                  | 1.10                            | 8.2     |

**Notes.** Uncertainties for log $M_{\text{acc}}$ and log $L_{\text{acc}}$ are $\pm 0.03$ dex and $\pm 0.04$ dex (for HD 31648) and $\pm 0.02$ dex and $\pm 0.03$ dex (for HD 163296).
Figure 6. Accretion luminosities from the Balmer excess versus emission line luminosities for HD 31648 (filled symbols) and HD 163296 (open symbols). Left-pointing triangles represent upper limits for the line luminosities. Upper limits for the accretion luminosity obtained \(\sim\)15 yr ago (see text) are plotted with big triangles (filled and open for HD 31648 and HD 163296, respectively), with respect to contemporaneous He\(\text{I}\)\(\lambda 5876\), Na\(\text{I}\) D, and H\(\alpha\) luminosities. Empirical calibrations from previous works (Calvet et al. 2004; Dahm 2008; Gatti et al. 2008; Herczeg & Hillenbrand 2008; Fang et al. 2009; Mendigutía et al. 2011a) and \(\pm 1\) dex uncertainties are indicated with solid and dashed lines, respectively.

in this sense is out of the scope of this work. However, it should be mentioned that changes in the disk truncation radius are considered in 3D simulations of MA (Kulkarni & Romanova 2013). In addition, given that there are observed variations in the ratio of the Balmer lines (which is a probe of the density \(\rho\)), especially in the case of HD 31648, it may be likely that the density of material in the columns is varying. Given that \(\mathcal{F} \propto \rho v^3\), with \(v\) the velocity of the infalling material (see, e.g., Calvet & Gullbring 1998), a change in the Balmer ratio could be indicating variations in \(\mathcal{F}\).

4.2. Accretion Rates and Line Luminosities

The accretion luminosities derived from the Balmer excesses are plotted against the dereddened line luminosities in the different panels of Figure 6. Empirical calibrations relating both parameters were taken from the literature (see below) and are overplotted with solid lines. These have the form \(\log L_{\text{acc}}/L_\odot = a \log L_{\text{line}}/L_\odot + b\), with \(a\) and \(b\) being constants that depend on the spectral line. These relations were calibrated by relating primary accretion signatures (i.e., line veiling or continuum excess) with the line luminosities and show a typical maximum scatter of \(\sim \pm 1\) dex, which is represented by the dashed lines. The calibration obtained from HAeBe stars in Mendigutía et al. (2011a) is overplotted in the H\(\alpha\) panel. This is very similar to the corresponding relation for lower mass T Tauri stars and brown dwarfs (Dahm 2008; Herczeg & Hillenbrand 2008). The relation derived by Calvet et al. (2004) (see also Donehew & Brittain 2011; Mendigutía et al. 2011a) is used for the Br\(\gamma\) panel. That was based on intermediate-mass TT stars (F and G spectral types and masses up to 4 \(M_\odot\)). For the remaining lines there are no \(L_{\text{acc}}-L_{\text{line}}\) relations calibrated from HAeBe stars. The empirical relations for the He\(\text{I}\)\(\lambda 5876\), Ca\(\text{II}\) 8542, and Pa\(\beta\) are from Dahm (2008), based on objects with masses up to 2 \(M_\odot\). The calibrations with the rest of the lines were derived from objects with masses below 1 \(M_\odot\) and were taken from Herczeg & Hillenbrand (2008; H\(\beta\), Ca\(\text{II}\) K, H\(\gamma\), Na\(\text{I}\) D, O\(\text{I}\) \(\lambda 8446\)), Gatti et al. (2008; Pa\(\gamma\)), and Fang et al. (2009; H\(\beta\)).

The accretion and line luminosities plotted in Figure 6 fall within the range expected from previous \(L_{\text{acc}}-L_{\text{line}}\) calibrations. This suggests that these relations, mostly derived from low-mass stars, can be extrapolated to provide accretion rate estimates for HAe stars. However, the fact that HD 31648 and HD 163296 show different Balmer excess—accretion
rate—variability (Sections 3.1 and 4.1) but comparable line luminosity changes (Section 3.2) constitutes a first hint suggesting that both variations are not directly related. In order to provide a more quantitative description, we computed the accretion luminosities from the above referred empirical calibrations with the spectral lines. Given that the scatter of these calibrations can reach ±1 dex for a given value of a line luminosity, it is unrealistic to expect that they could trace accretion rate variations lower than 0.5 dex, as the ones reported in this work. However, it is possible to compare the accretion variability with the variations from the spectroscopic tracers if residuals with respect to the averages are used (e.g., Pogodin et al. 2012). Figure 7 shows the difference between single-epoch accretion luminosities derived from the calibrations with each emission line and the average accretion luminosity obtained from the same line. Error bars consider those in the line luminosities. The differences between the single-epoch and the mean accretion luminosities derived from the Balmer excesses are also included in Figure 7 (squares), from which we extract the following:

1. The mean accretion luminosity from the Balmer excess agrees with that derived from previous empirical calibrations within ±0.5 dex, for most spectroscopic lines. The only exceptions are the Ca ii K, Paγ, and Hβ lines, which provide mean accretion luminosities that can differ up to ~±1 dex. Not surprisingly, the latter calibrations were derived from objects with stellar masses well below the ones covered in this work (Gatti et al. 2008; Herczeg & Hillenbrand 2008; Fang et al. 2009).

2. The largest residual for the Balmer excess differs from that for the spectral lines, which in turn provide different values depending on the line considered. For instance, the Na i D line suggests accretion luminosity variations up to ~0.30 dex larger than the largest change observed from the Balmer excess in HD 163296. However, the same line provides a similar upper limit for the accretion luminosity changes of HD 31648. On the contrary, the highest variability from the Paβ line roughly coincides with that from the Balmer excess for HD 163296, whereas for HD 31648 it suggests accretion rate variations up to ~0.30 dex lower. The largest residual from the Ca ii K line is ~0.40 and ~0.20 dex higher than the corresponding one from the Balmer excess for HD 31648 and HD 163296, respectively.

3. In spite of the last point, the residuals derived from some lines show an evolution pattern similar to that from the Balmer excess, which could be supporting a direct link between the physical origin of the lines and the accretion process. In particular, the residuals from the Ca ii K, Ca ii λ8542, and Brγ lines increase/decrease when those from the Balmer excess increase/decrease, for HD 31648. The Brγ line also shows a similar behavior for HD 163296. In addition, although different from the residuals from the Balmer excess, the variability behavior is roughly similar for several other lines. For instance, the similar behavior of the Hβ, He i, Paβ, and Paγ lines could be suggesting a common physical origin explaining their variability, not necessarily connected with the accretion flow, or connected but with a certain time delay (see Dupree 2013, and references therein). However, it is noted that these lines provide roughly constant estimates, considering the error bars, and more accurate measurements become necessary.

In summary, our results provide evidence that the $L_{\text{acc}}-L_{\text{line}}$ calibrations can be extrapolated to HAe stars to estimate typical, mean accretion rates, although the relations for some specific lines should most probably include minor modifications in order to achieve a ±0.5 dex accuracy. However, the $L_{\text{acc}}-L_{\text{line}}$ calibrations cannot generally be used to quantify the accretion rate variability. In addition, the analysis of accretion variability in terms of residuals provides evolution patterns that, if confirmed by follow-up observations, could be useful to understand the influence of accretion on the origin of the lines and possible physical relations between the lines themselves. In this respect, accurate spectro-photometry should be performed in order to detect the smallest possible changes in the line luminosities.

4.3. Variability on a Longer Timescale

The methodology to obtain the calibration between the Balmer excess and the accretion rate described in Section 4.1 is the same as that applied in Mendigutía et al. (2011a), as
as well as the stellar and modeling parameters used for HD 31648 and HD 163296. Therefore, a direct comparison is feasible. UB photometry taken more than 15 yr ago by the EXPORT consortium (Eiroa et al. 2000) was analyzed in that paper to provide accretion luminosities of HαBe stars. Only upper limits could be derived for the two objects studied in this work, due to the lower accuracy of broadband photometry compared with intermediate-resolution spectra. Mendigutía et al. (2011a) provided \( L_{\text{acc}} < 1.58 L_\odot \) (HD 31648) and \( L_{\text{acc}} < 0.93 L_\odot \) (HD 163296). The accretion luminosity of HD 31648 during the X-Shooter observing run D is consistent with that work. Contemporaneous Hα and Na \( i \) D emission line luminosities were also similar to the ones obtained here, although the He \( i \) \( \lambda 5876 \) luminosity was lower in the EXPORT campaigns by \( \sim 0.5 \) dex. In the case of HD 163296, the averaged accretion luminosity inferred here is at least 1 dex larger than in the EXPORT campaigns. This strong change in the accretion luminosity from the Balmer excess is accompanied by changes in all optical line luminosities analyzed from the EXPORT spectra. The He \( i \) \( \lambda 5876 \) line was then dominated by absorption, whereas it is shown in emission in the X-Shooter data. The Na \( i \) D emission lines increased their luminosity by \( \sim 0.5 \) dex from the EXPORT to the X-Shooter campaigns. Similarly, the Hα EW changed from an averaged value of \( \sim -23 \) Å to \( \sim 30 \) Å in the current X-Shooter data, implying a line luminosity increase of almost 0.2 dex. Unfortunately, the EXPORT spectra analyzed in Mendigutía et al. (2011a) did not cover the X-Shooter UVB and NIR regions, so it is not possible to explore the variations for the lines in those wavelengths. EXPORT results are overplotted in Figure 6 for comparison. The analysis in a longer timescale suggests similar conclusions to those obtained from the study of the X-Shooter spectra in the previous section, at least for the optical lines: the \( L_{\text{acc}}-L_{\text{line}} \) calibrations are useful to estimate typical accretion rates with an accuracy of 0.5–1 dex, but are not reliable to accurately quantify accretion rate variability.

5. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We presented five-epoch X-Shooter spectra of the prototypical Herbig Ae stars HD 31648 and HD 163296. The strength of the excess shown in the Balmer region of the spectra and its variations have been reproduced from magnetospheric accretion shock modeling. The accretion rate of HD 31648 varied between \( 5.24 \times 10^{-8} \) and \( 1.46 \times 10^{-7} M_\odot\, s^{-1} \) on timescales of days to months, whereas that for HD 163296 remained roughly constant, with a typical value of \( 4.50 \times 10^{-7} M_\odot\, yr^{-1} \). Higher accretion rate variations, exceeding 1 dex, are found for HD 163296 on timescales of several years. The mean accretion rates derived from the Balmer excess are consistent with those inferred from the empirical calibrations with 12 UV, optical, and NIR emission line luminosities, previously derived from lower-mass stars. This demonstrates that those calibrations can be used also for HαEe stars. However, the variability of the accretion rate from the Balmer excess is not generally reflected by that from the line luminosities, suggesting that the empirical calibrations are not useful to derive accurate accretion rate variations.

Our results suggest that follow-up multi-epoch observations of these and other pre-main-sequence stars will be useful to further constrain the underlying origin of the empirical calibrations with the line luminosities, as well as the origin of the lines themselves.

Based on observations made with ESO Telescopes at the La Silla Paranal Observatory under programme ID 088.C-0218.
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