Metal-Organic Frameworks: A Potential Platform for Enzyme Immobilization and Related Applications
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Enzymes, as natural catalysts with remarkable catalytic activity and high region-selectivities, hold great promise in industrial catalysis. However, applications of enzymatic transformation are hampered by the fragility of enzymes in harsh conditions. Recently, metal–organic frameworks (MOFs), due to their high stability and available structural properties, have emerged as a promising platform for enzyme immobilization. Synthetic strategies of enzyme-MOF composites mainly including surface immobilization, covalent linkage, pore entrapment and in situ synthesis. Compared with free enzymes, most immobilized enzymes exhibit enhanced resistance against solvents and high temperatures. Besides, MOFs serving as matrixes for enzyme immobilization show extraordinary superiority in many aspects compared with other supporting materials. The advantages of using MOFs to support enzymes are discussed. To obtain a high enzyme loading capacity and to reduce the diffusion resistance of reactants and products during the reaction, the mesoporous MOFs have been designed and constructed. This review also covers the applications of enzyme-MOF composites in bio-sensing and detection, bio-catalysis, and cancer therapy, which is concerned with interdisciplinary nano-chemistry, material science and medical chemistry. Finally, some perspectives on reservation or enhancement of bio-catalytic activity of enzyme-MOF composites and the future of enzyme immobilization strategies are discussed.
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INTRODUCTION

Enzymes are undisputedly one of most significant macromolecular biological catalysts, which are widely used in fields such as fine chemicals and drug synthesis, sensing, energy and food processing. Enzymes excel in some highly selective (region-, stereo-, chemo-) transformation processes with high efficiency and turnover numbers than artificial catalysts under environmentally benign conditions (Schmid et al., 2001; van Dongen et al., 2014). However, the industrial application of enzymes suffers from their fragile nature such as poor operational, thermal, chemical, and storage stability (Strohmeier et al., 2011; Bornscheuer et al., 2012; Zhou and Hartmann, 2013). Also, the
residual enzyme may serve as a contaminant in product mixture during the production process, which requires expensive separation and purification processes. Although advances in gene and protein engineering could tune the nature of enzymes to improve substrate recognition, catalytic efficiency and operational stability, the immobilization of enzymes on solid supports by chemical modification is the most common way to improve the practical performance of enzymes (Franssen et al., 2013; Huang et al., 2020).

Enzyme immobilization can improve enzyme stability, recyclability and recovery in a low-cost way by confining enzyme in a specific space with preserved enzymatic activity (Tosa et al., 1966; Li et al., 2020). Solid supports show that the porous characteristics emerge as optional platforms for enzyme immobilization. These materials include, but are not restricted to, bulk materials (Sheldon, 2007), particles (Ansari and Husain, 2012), hydrogels (Lee et al., 2007), graphene oxide (Pavlidis et al., 2014), carbon nanotubes (Feng and Ji, 2011), mesoporous silica (Hudson et al., 2008; Hartmann and Jung, 2010; Magner, 2013), and polymers (Woodward and Kaufman, 1996; Veronese, 2001). The immobilization of enzymes on these materials may inevitably lead to a low enzyme loading, enzyme denaturation, or restricted mass transfer. Therefore, to maximize the activity and stability of the immobilized enzyme, it is important to recognize the matrix and strategies, since the physical and chemical properties of enzymes may change during the immobilization process (Mohamad et al., 2015).

Metal-Organic Frameworks (MOFs) are composed of metal nodes and organic ligands connected through coordination bonds, which shows great potential in various applications (Kleist et al., 2010; Cho et al., 2012; Ding et al., 2019; Masoomi et al., 2019; Shi et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2019; Yilmaz et al., 2019; Abanades Lazaro et al., 2020; Bonneau et al., 2020; Cruz-Navarro et al., 2020). Given that the topology and property of MOFs could be tuned for their multiple metal nodes and ligands, interactions such as hydrogen bonding, van der Waals forces, covalence, and coordinative bonding between MOFs support and enzyme are possible (Majewski et al., 2017). Besides, the very high surface, pore volume, and easy modification make MOFs a potential supporting matrix for enzyme immobilization (Morris et al., 2014; Mehta et al., 2016; Doonan et al., 2017; Gkaniatsou et al., 2017; Lian et al., 2017). Last but not the least, the ordered crystal structure and the high tunability of MOFs can provide for uniform loading with less leaching, and enzyme’s microenvironment, which protects enzymes from harsh thermal and chemical conditions (Drout et al., 2019). This paper reviews the current strategies for enzyme immobilization on MOF materials, and the merits of enzyme immobilized with MOFs are discussed with an emphasis on the application of enzyme-MOF composites.

**STRATEGIES FOR ENZYME IMMOBILIZED BY MOFs**

**Figure 1** shows the schematic representation of different immobilization methods for enzymes. Typically, there are mainly two ways to prepare enzyme-MOF composites in terms of how enzymes bind to the supports. One is that enzymes are immobilized into the MOF during its formation process in a de novo/in situ approach. The other is a post-synthetic method where enzymes are introduced into the pre-existing MOF, including approaches of surface immobilization, covalent linkage and pore entrapment. Each route ensures the immobilization conditions do not exceed the denaturation ranges of enzymes. Although MOFs can endow enzymes with remarkable stabilities against harsh conditions, factors that MOFs may have on the immobilized enzyme such as substrate diffusion, activity amplification effects, and selectivity should also be considered. **Table 1** summarizes the preparation and application of enzyme-MOF composites.

We start with the recently popularized examples of the in situ encapsulation method, which is also known as co-precipitation or mineralization. Then, post-synthetic approaches such as surface immobilization, covalent linkage, and pore entrapment are discussed in sequence.

**In situ Synthesis**

Mild operating conditions are the key for in situ enzyme-MOFs synthesis where enzymes and MOF precursors (metal ions and organic ligands) are mixed with the most common aqueous solution. This method allows for the nucleation and growth of MOF simultaneously, and the size of the gust molecule can be larger than the pore size of MOFs, resulting in enzyme embedded MOF crystals (**Figure 1A**).

Zeolitic imidazolate framework (ZIF) is the first to be used to immobilize enzyme in situ for its extremely mild synthetic conditions. Lyu et al. (2014) initially reported the cytochrome c (Cyt c) embedded in a ZIF-8 by mixing zinc nitrate hexahydrate, 2-methylimidazolate, and polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) modified Cyt c in methanol (**Figure 2**). Modern characterization techniques confirmed that embedded Cyt c did not affect the morphology and the crystalline structure of ZIF-8. The enzymatic activity of immobilized Cyt c was assayed by using 2,2’-azinobis(2-ethylbenzthiazoline)-6-sulfonate (ABTS) and H$_2$O$_2$ as substrates in potassium phosphate buffer. The immobilized Cyt c displayed a 10-fold enhanced apparent activity than free Cyt c, which could be attributed to the conformational changes of Cyt c incubated in the methanol, resulting in an exposed heme group. This phenomenon that the conformational changes of Cyt c resulted in a favorable catalytic performance was also observed in the research of Wu et al. (2020). The enzymatic Michaelis-Menten kinetics analysis suggested that the immobilized Cyt c exhibited the decreased $K_m$ (Michaelis-Menten constant) and the increased $V_{max}$ (maximum rate of reaction), compared with the free enzyme, indicating a higher affinity toward substrates for the immobilized Cyt c. Then, the Cyt c/ZIF-8 was applied for the detection of H$_2$O$_2$, methyl ethyl ketone peroxide, and tert-butyl hydroperoxide, using Amplex Red (10-acetyl-3,7-dihydroxyphenoxazine) as the substrate. The results also demonstrated that Cyt c/ZIF-8 showed good linearity and sensitivity than free Cyt c.

Based on this approach, Wu et al. (2015a) reported a bi-enzymatic system, where glucose oxidase (GOx) and horseradish...
peroxidase (HRP) were encapsulated in situ during ZIF-8 formation. The synthesized GOx&HRP@ZIF-8 composites were performed to display the effective enzymatic cascade reactions, and served as the glucose sensor, resulting in the detection limit of 0.5 µM. Notably, the multiple enzyme-embedded ZIF-8 nanocrystals showed high selectivity toward glucose, even in the higher concentration of various interfering compounds such as fructose, mannose, galactose, maltose, lactose and albumin. Besides, the immobilized enzymes showed greatly enhanced stability against proteolysis and chelating, owing to the structural rigidity and confinement of MOF scaffolds.

Liang et al. (2019) developed this in situ approach to encapsulate enzymes within a series of ZIFs, such as MAF-7, ZIF-90, and ZIF-8. The particular catalase (CAT), a kind of iron-heme enzyme that catalyzes the decomposition of hydrogen peroxide to water and oxygen, was chosen as a model biocatalyst to investigate whether the hydrophilicity of matrixes was related to their biological compatibility. The hydrophilic MAF-7 (links: 3-methyl-1,2,4-triazolate) and ZIF-90 (links: 2-imidazolate carboxaldehyde), as well as the hydrophobic ZIF-8 were used to encapsulate catalase to synthesize enzyme-ZIF composites. The enzymatic assays indicated that the FCAT@MAF-7 showed the approximate activity to that of free FCAT, which exhibited the optimal catalytic performance. Whereas, FCAT that physically adsorbed on the surface of ZIF-8 or encapsulated in the ZIF-8 showed the negligible catalytic activity in H$_2$O$_2$ decomposition (Figures 3A,C). Fluorescein isothiocyanate tagged with CAT (FCAT) was performed to investigate the spatial distribution of enzymes within samples using confocal laser scanning microscopy. FCAT molecules were more homogeneously distributed throughout FCAT@MAF-7 and FCAT@ZIF-90 than in FCAT@ZIF-8 (Figure 3B). These results suggested that the rational design of hydrophilic and hydrophobic interactions between enzymes and matrixes is essential for the efficiency and stabilization of biomolecules.

The further applications of this in situ synthetic approach toward different enzymes and MOFs materials have also been reported (Jeong et al., 2015; Li et al., 2017; Gascón et al., 2017a; Gascón et al., 2018; Feng et al., 2019; Chen et al., 2019; Xia et al., 2019; Chen et al., 2020). For example, Li et al. (2017) converted 1, 4-benzenedicarboxylic acid (H$_2$BDC) to its sodium salt form by sodium hydroxide, to keep the BDC ligand soluble in aqueous solution. Then Cyt c was mixed with Cu ion and soluble BDC to synthesize the Cyt c-CuBDC composites (Figure 4A). Spatial distribution analysis of immobilized enzyme demonstrated the enzyme was incorporated into the skeleton of the CuBDC. Owing to the intrinsic peroxidase-like activity of CuBDC and Cu ion activation effect, the Cyt c-CuBDC composites achieved an approximate 12-fold catalytic efficiency compared to free Cyt c. The activity enhancement was also observed for the Cyt c&CuBDC prepared by physical mixing, due to the activity superposition of Cyt c and CuBDC by additive effect (Figure 4B). However, this activity enhancement was not observed for GOx. The varying degree of activity loss was observed for GOx-CuBDC and GOx&CuBDC composites (Figure 4C).

Though these preliminary researches are promising, the encapsulation of enzyme into MOFs by this in situ approach largely relies on the synthetic conditions, which should be simple and biocompatible. Therefore, only a few MOFs are the candidates for this immobilization method. Moreover, spatial localization and dispersion of enzyme as well as the control of MOF particles and MOF coating thickness needs to be investigated and optimized for further bio-catalytic applications.

**Surface Immobilization**

Surface immobilization is simple, low-cost, and most commonly used for protein anchored on various solid supports. Enzymes immobilized on MOF surfaces are mainly through weak interactions such as van der Waals forces, π–π interaction, electrostatic interaction, or hydrogen bonding (Figure 1B). The turbulence of pH and salt concentrations could have a great effect on the interactions between enzymes and supports. This immobilization process is reversible, thus enzyme leaking from the support could be observed if the synthetic conditions are not chosen properly. An extensive of MOFs can serve as the matrixes for enzyme immobilization, for there is no strict requirement for particular functional groups or pore size of MOFs.

Earlier, Balkus et al. employed a nano-crystalline Cu-MOF to immobilize microperoxidase-11 (MP-11) by physical adsorption at room temperature (Pisklak et al., 2006). Meanwhile, five different mesoporous benzene silica (MBS) molecular sieves were also performed to immobilized MP-11 as control groups. MP-11 was immobilized in all these MBS matrixes through van der Waals interaction between the MP-11 and matrixes.

![Figure 1](image-url)
The enzymatic activity assays suggested that all of these MP-11@MBS samples showed a much lower reactant conversion than the free MP-11, which could be attributed to the limitation of MP-11 imposed by the confined space of MBS matrixes. Notably, the MP-11@Cu-MOF showed a much higher reactant conversion (63 ± 5.1%) than that of free MP-11 (6 ± 5.2%). The results suggested that MOF excelled in the consolidation of immobilized enzyme than the MBS materials.

| Method                     | MOF          | Enzyme                                      | Application                  | References                          |
|----------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| In situ synthesis          | ZIFs         | Cytochrome c, horseradish peroxidase, lipase, etc. | Bio-sensing                  | Lyu et al., 2014; Chulaikaivalisacharit et al., 2015; Wu et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2017 |
| In situ synthesis          | ZIF-8, HKUST-1, etc. | Horseradish peroxidase, trypsin, urease, etc. | Proof of concept             | Liang et al., 2015b; Liang et al., 2016; Tadepalli et al., 2018 |
| In situ synthesis          | ZIFs         | Catalase                                    | Bio-sensing                  | Shieh et al., 2015; Liang et al., 2019 |
| In situ synthesis          | ZIF-8        | Lipase, β-galactosidase, glucose oxidase, etc. | Bio-sensing                  | Hou et al., 2015; Huo et al., 2015; Liang et al., 2015a,b; Wu et al., 2015a,b; Wang Y. et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2017; Mohammad et al., 2019 |
| In situ synthesis          | ZIF-8        | Glucose oxidase, horseradish peroxidase      | Proof of concept, bio-catalysis | Cheng et al., 2019 |
| In situ synthesis          | ZIF-8        | Lipase                                      | Kinetic resolution of \(R, S\)-2-octanol | He et al., 2016 |
| In situ synthesis          | MIL-88A      | Dehydrogenase, horseradish peroxidase, acetylcholinesterase | Proof of concept             | Jeong et al., 2015 |
| In situ synthesis          | Fe/Cu-MOF    | Laccase, lipase, cytochrome c, dehydrogenase, etc. | Bio-catalysis                | Gascón et al., 2017a; Li et al., 2017; Gascón et al., 2018; Xia et al., 2019 |
| In situ synthesis          | La/Fe/Zr-MOF | Acetylcholinesterase                         | Bio-sensing                  | Dong et al., 2018                  |
| In situ synthesis          | Al/Mg-MOF    | β-glucosidase, laccase                       | Proof of concept             | Gascón et al., 2017b |
| Surface immobilization    | UiO-66, MIL-53 | Lipase                                      | Warfarin synthesis          | Liu et al., 2015                  |
| Surface immobilization    | HKUST-1      | Lipase                                      | Esterification               | Cao Y. et al., 2016                |
| Surface immobilization    | Zr-MOF       | Laccase                                     | Proof of concept             | Pang et al., 2016                  |
| Surface immobilization    | Cu-MOF, ZIFs | Trypsin, tyrosinase, etc.                   | Bio-sensing                  | Mai et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2015; Zhao et al., 2015; Lu et al., 2016 |
| Surface immobilization    | MOF-545      | Glucose oxidase                             | Bio-sensing                  | Zhong et al., 2020                 |
| Surface immobilization    | MIL-100, HKUST-1 | Lipase, glucose oxidase, etc.             | Bio-catalysis and biosensing | Patra et al., 2015; Nobakht et al., 2018 |
| Surface immobilization    | Cu-MOF       | Microperoxidase-11                         | Bio-catalysis                | Peskar et al., 2006                |
| Surface immobilization    | CYCJ-4, UO-66 | Trypsin                                    | BSA digestion               | Liu et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2014 |
| Covalent linkage          | UiO-66-NH₂ | Hydrolyase                                  | Asymmetric hydrolysis        | Cao S. L. et al., 2016 |
| Covalent linkage          | IRMOF-3      | Protein, lipase                            | Transesterification          | Jung et al., 2011                  |
| Covalent linkage          | MIL-101-NH₂ | Hemin                                      | Bio-sensing                  | Qin et al., 2013                   |
| Covalent linkage          | MIL-125      | Hemoglobin                                 | Proof of concept             | Wang W. et al., 2016                |
| Covalent linkage          | ZIF-8, MIL-88B-NH₂ | Trypsin                                | Proteolysis                  | Shih et al., 2012; Wen et al., 2016 |
| Pore entrapment           | Tb-mesoMOF   | Myoglobin, microperoxidase-11               | Bio-catalysis                | Lykourinou et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2012a |
| Pore entrapment           | IRMOF-74, etc. | Myoglobin, protein                          | Proof of concept             | Deng et al., 2012                  |
| Pore entrapment           | NU-1003, PCN-128Y | Anhydrulase                           | Detoxifying DFP and Soman    | Li et al., 2016b,c                  |
| Pore entrapment           | PCN-333      | Microperoxidase-11, cytochrome c, horseradish peroxidase | Bio-catalysis                | Feng et al., 2015                  |
| Pore entrapment           | PCN-888      | Glucose oxidase, horseradish peroxidase     | Proof of concept             | Lian et al., 2016                  |
| Pore entrapment           | Tb-TATB      | Cytochrome c                               | Mechanism comprehension     | Chen et al., 2012b                 |
Recently, using the same approach, Zhong et al. (2020) immobilized GOx on a biomimetic Zr-based porphyrin MOF matrix [MOF-545(Fe)], which was constructed using highly stable Zr cluster as nodes and meso-tetra(4-carboxyphenyl) porphine as ligand and followed by introducing ferric ion into the center of the porphyrin structure, resulting in the peroxidase-like activity (Figure 5A). In this system, MOF-545(Fe) not only worked as the matrix for GOx immobilization, but also contributed its peroxidase-like activity to co-operate with GOx for the cascade reactions. The peroxidase-like activity of MOF-545(Fe) was assayed, and ABTS served as a color development reagent with its absorbance at 420 nm tested by a UV-vis spectrophotometer. Only when the reaction system with MOF-545(Fe), ABTS and H$_2$O$_2$, a significant absorbance at 420 nm was observed, and the mixed solution turned to clear green (Figure 5B). The GOx@MOF-545(Fe) was applied for glucose detection with the one-step cascade reaction. A limit of detection (LOD) of 0.28 µM was achieved with a good linear relationship in the range of 0.5–20 µM (Figure 5C). Such a great LOD value could be ascribed to the hierarchical porous of the MOF-545(Fe), which facilitated the substrate mass transfer in the glucose detection reactions.

Liu et al. successfully employed a Cu-BTC (BTC: 1,3,5-benzenetricarboxylate) based hierarchically porous MOF matrix to immobilize the Bacillus subtilis lipase (BSL2) (Cao Y. et al., 2016). In this research, the BSL2 was modified by the non-ionic surfactant (dioleyl-N-D-glucona-L-glutamate) to synthesize BSL2-surfactant complexes, which were further adsorbed to the hierarchically porous Cu-BTC, followed by washing with isooctane and lyophilization. The BSL2@Cu-BTC composites obtained were applied for the esterification reaction between benzyl alcohol and lauric acid. Notably, a 17-fold initial rate was achieved for immobilized BSL2 compared with the free one, which suggested that BSL2 could be fully dispersed.
FIGURE 4 | (A) Schematic illustration of preparing enzyme-CuBDC composites in one-pot and an in situ approach by biomimetic mineralization. (B) The kinetic analysis of CuBDC, free Cyt c, Cyt c-CuBDC, and Cyt c&CuBDC. (C) The kinetic analysis of CuBDC, free GOx, GOx-CuBDC, and GOx&CuBDC. Reproduced from Li et al. (2017) with permission from the Royal Society of Chemistry, copyright 2017.

on the inner surface of the hierarchically porous Cu-BTC, refraining from aggregation or other inactivation situations (Garcia-Galan et al., 2011). In addition, the novel BSL2@Cu-BTC composites were observed to show good operational stability. These results suggested that the hierarchically porous MOF materials held potentials for applications of biological hybrid materials in catalysis. Other matrices such as Zr/Al/Fe/Cr-based MOFs have also been widely used to immobilize different enzymes through the surface immobilization technique, which is well documented by Liang and coworkers (Liang et al., 2020).

**Covalent Linkage**

Although a few enzymes have been successfully anchored on the surface of MOFs by a physical technique, adsorbed enzymes demonstrate poor stability in the reaction media due to weak interactions between protein and matrix (Cui et al., 2018). Generally, the covalent linkage is the conventional technique for enzyme immobilization. Typically, the abundance of amino groups on an enzyme’s surface can form peptide bonds with carboxyl functionalized MOFs. The covalently linked enzymes usually reveal remarkably improved stability after repeated uses when compared to their non-covalently linked counterparts. In this strategy, several MOFs could be modified with functional groups, e.g., amino, carboxyl and hydroxyl, to serve as immobilization matrices (Figure 1C).

In a pioneering work, Lou et al. immobilized the soybean epoxide hydrolase (SEH) onto the prepared Zr-based MOF matrix, UiO-66-NH$_2$ (links: 2-aminoterephthalic acid) (Cao S. L. et al., 2016). Glutaraldehyde was used as a cross-linker since it could easily bind to reactive groups of the enzyme and cross-link them on the MOF surface (Figure 6A). A high SEH loading (87.3 mg/g) with enzyme activity recovery (88.0%) was achieved. The synthetic enzyme-MOF composites were used for the asymmetric hydrolysis of 1,2-epoxyoctane to (R)-1,2-octanediol in a novel deep eutectic solvent (Figure 6B). The immobilized SEH not only displayed good long-term stability with 97.5% of its initial activity after being stored at 4°C for 4 weeks, but also remarkably surpassed the free SEH as to pH stability, thermostability, and resistance against organic solvents. These outperformances of immobilized enzyme compared to free enzyme could be attributed to the increase of structural rigidity of the immobilized SEH, which was demonstrated by the secondary structural analysis of protein (Figure 6C). Moreover, the kinetic analysis suggested that SEH@UiO-66-NH$_2$ achieved a decreased $K_m$ value and the increased $V_{max}/K_m$ value compared with free SEH, indicating the improved enzyme-substrate affinity and catalytic efficiency for SEH@UiO-66-NH$_2$. A possible explanation for this observation could be the facilitation for the access of substrates to enzyme’s active site due to the changes of the three-dimensional structure of SEH upon immobilization. This covalent binding method was also employed to immobilize other enzymes such as trypsin and lipase by different functional groups of modified MOF matrixes (Table 1).

**Pore Entrapment**

The pore entrapment or cage encapsulation approach refers to the encapsulation of enzymes into the pores or cages of mesoporous MOFs by diffusion (Figure 1D). This approach has been extensively employed to entrap small-sized objects, such as inorganic nanoparticles or molecular complexes into the pores of MOFs (Horcajada et al., 2012). In contrast to other immobilization techniques, this method sheltered enzymes from deactivation in harsh conditions (e.g., organic solvents, extremely acidic or basic solutions, high temperatures) to a great degree by isolating protein molecules in the pores or cages of MOFs. In addition, the entrapment of enzymes in 3D microenvironments
FIGURE 5 | (A) Schematic illustration of the preparation of GOx@MOF-545(Fe) composites through a surface adsorption approach and cascade reaction based on GOx@MOF-545(Fe). (B) UV–Vis absorption spectra of different reaction systems. (C) Detection of glucose by GOx@MOF-545(Fe) with the increase of glucose concentration from 0.5 to 100 μM and 0.5–20 μM (inset). Reproduced from Zhong et al. (2020) with permission from Elsevier, copyright 2020.

FIGURE 6 | (A) Schematic illustration of the synthesis of the immobilization of SEH onto UiO-66-NH₂. (B) Schematic illustration of epoxide hydrolase-catalyzed asymmetric hydrolysis of (R/S)-1,2-epoxycatane to chiral (R)-1,2-octanediol. (C) Amide I fitting results of free SEH and immobilized SEH via FTIR analysis. Reproduced from Cao S. L. et al. (2016) with permission from the American Chemical Society, copyright 2016.
of mesoporous MOFs allowed enzymes to have easier access to substrates and enzyme aggregation could be alleviated with minimized protein unfolding (Majewski et al., 2017). It is worth noting that the mesoporous MOFs used to entrap enzymes have the following advantages over microporous counterparts. First, a very high enzyme loading could be achieved due to the large pore size and volume. Second, enzymes encapsulated into the cavity instead of adsorbing on the MOF surface were less likely to leak from the supports. Third, the size selectivity for specific substrates could be achieved for this typical MOF due to the size limitations.

In 2011, Ma et al. first reported encapsulation of the microperoxidase-11 (MP-11) with dimensions of about $3.3 \times 1.7 \times 1.1$ nm (Marques, 2007), into the mesoporous cavities of a terbium-based mesoporous MOF (Tb-mesoMOF, links: triazine-1,3,5-tribenzoate), which showed hierarchical cavities with nanoscopic cages of 3.9 and 4.7 nm in diameter (Figures 7B,C), with pore sizes dominantly distributed around 3.0 and 4.1 nm (Lykourinou et al., 2011). MP-11 that contains an iron-heme group can oxidize a wide range of organic molecules in the presence of hydrogen peroxide (Figure 7A). The $\text{N}_2$ sorption isotherms analysis indicated the successful entrapment of MP-11 molecules in the pore space of Tb-mesoMOF. The MP-11@Tb-mesoMOF was employed to catalyze the oxidation of 3,5-di-tert-butyl-catechol by $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ (Figure 7D). Meanwhile, the mesoporous silica (MCM-41) was also used to immobilize MP-11 as a control group, resulting in a lower loading capacity due to its lower surface area compared to Tb-mesoMOF. The kinetic trace experiments indicated MP-11@Tb-mesoMOF showed a much higher initial rate than that of MP-11@MCM-41 during the initial 30 min period. After 25 h, the MP-11@Tb-mesoMOF achieved an improved activity with a final conversion of 48.7%, while free MP-11 and MP-11@MCM-41 only obtained the final conversion of 12.3 and 17.0%, respectively. This outperformance was attributed to the confinement effect of Tb-mesoMOF, which prevented MP-11 from leakage and aggregation. The subsequent cycle experiments also demonstrated that the MP-11 could be better stabilized by the Tb-mesoMOF than MCM-41.

Furthermore, myoglobin (Mb) was also immobilized to extend the application of this Tb-mesoMOF by the same group (Chen et al., 2012a). They demonstrated that the Mb@Tb-mesoMOF had the size selectivity to substrates with different dimensions, such as ABTS (size: $1.01 \times 1.73$ nm) and 1,2,3-trihydroxybenzene (THB) (size: $0.57 \times 0.58$ nm). The substrate THB with size-matched to the pore of MOF could easily access the active centers of Mb, resulting in the superior initial rate. Whereas, for the substrate ABTS, which was isolated from the active centers of Mb by pores of MOF, resulted in the very low initial rate. These results indicated that mesoporous MOFs could serve as a novel matrix to immobilize enzyme for applications in size-selective catalysis.

Subsequently, Yaghhi et al. developed a strategy to expand the pore aperture of MOF-74. A series of large pore apertures MOFs, ranging from 1.4 to 9.8 nm have been synthesized by systematically expanding the MOF-74 structure (Deng et al., 2012). All these MOF-74 series showed the robust architectures with non-interpenetrating structure, these characteristic with various large pore apertures held potential for the pore entrapment of vitamin $\text{B}_12$ and green fluorescent protein (GFP).

Farha et al. reported a novel application for immobilization of organophosphorus acid anhydrolase (OPAA) with a water-stable Zr-based MOF (NU-1003), featuring the largest mesoporous aperture among Zr-based MOFs (Figure 8; Li et al., 2016c). A size effect of enzyme-MOF composites on their enzymatic activities toward the hydrolysis of nerve agents such as diisopropyl fluorophosphpate (DFP), and Soman (GD) was observed. As a
result, the OPAA@NU-1003 with a size of 300 nm achieved 3 times faster initial reaction rate than that of free OPAA for GD hydrolysis, which indicated that OPAA@NU-1003-300nm could efficiently deactivate or defluorinated the nerve agents such as DFP and GD.

DISCUSSION

Metal-organic frameworks have emerged as the comprehensive platform for enzyme immobilization or the synthesis of other hybrid materials since the crystalline structure, pore volume, physical and chemical properties of MOFs can be easily modulated owing to an extensive choice of possible organic linkers and inorganic building units. An obvious advantage of enzyme-MOF composites is their reusability and recyclability, which greatly reduces costs. Besides, a magnetic-MOF that is more convenient for recycling was developed by introducing magnetic moieties to allow magnetic separation from reaction mixtures (Huo et al., 2015; Falcaro et al., 2016). Free enzymes tend to be deactivated when they are exposed to high temperatures, extreme pH, organic solvents, or trypsin digestion, because these external stresses could cause conformational changes of enzymes, resulting in the loss of enzymatic activity. MOFs can provide a microenvironment for immobilized enzymes, which minimizes the loss of enzyme conformation against denaturation conditions. As for enzymatic activity, the enhanced catalytic activity of MOF immobilized enzyme could be achieved with a properly designed matrix or modification of enzymes. For instance, a higher substrate concentration in the vicinity of enzymes would contribute to the enhancement of enzymatic activity, when the adsorption and desorption of substrates and products on supports are well balanced (Zhang et al., 2015). This local enrichment of substrate, also known as the positive partition effect, provides possibilities for MOFs to be served as nanoreactors, which apparently reduces the $K_m$, and gives an increased apparent enzymatic activity.

Another reason for the enhanced enzymatic activity could be ascribed to highly efficient single enzymes confined by MOF cavities or the functional groups on MOF backbones involved in the catalytic processes (Drout et al., 2019). Additionally, the size of the pore openings may allow MOFs to gain size selectivity. For instance, the peroxidase activity of myoglobin (MB) immobilized in the Tb-mesoMOF was employed for the oxidation of two substrates (ABTS and THB) with different molecular dimensions in the presence of $H_2O_2$ (Chen et al., 2012a). The results indicated that the larger ABTS could not traverse the pores of Tb-mesoMOF, which resulted in very low reactant conversion. In contrast, the composites exhibited a remarkably improved conversion toward the smaller THB.

Similarly, Huo et al. (2015) employed agarose hydrogel droplets stabilized with UiO-66 and Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles as the template to synthesize a hierarchically structured ZIF-8 shell. These ZIF-8 shell microcapsules were employed to immobilize the Candida antarctica lipase B for transesterification reactions. A size selectivity was also observed for the ZIF-8 shell microcapsules. A complete reactant conversion was observed using a pair of small substrates (1-butanol and vinyl acetate), whereas, only a very little reactant conversion (7.5%) was observed for a pair of large substrates (3-(4-hydroxyphenyl) propan-1-ol and vinyl laurate). This size selectivity could be attributed to the physical barrier imposed by the microporous ZIF-8.

APPLICATIONS

Using MOFs to immobilize enzymes can provide enzymes with excellent reusability, recyclability and long in vivo bio-activity, as well as stabilities against harsh conditions. The applications of MOF bio-composites are extensively and fast developing to different fields including bio-sensing, bio-catalysis, cancer therapy, etc., Figure 9 shows the applications of enzyme-MOF composites. This section mainly focuses on the current progress of enzyme-MOF composites in these fields.

Bio-Sensing

Recently, bio-sensing and detection based on immobilized enzymes have drawn great attention in many fields such as glucose detection, clinical diagnosis, food monitoring and quality inspection (Patra et al., 2015). For these areas, two basic requirements must be met. One is to respond quickly and accurately at the monitoring site. The other is to continue to function and remain stable in the monitoring environment. Due to the high efficiency and high selectivity of enzymes, the first requirement is not difficult to achieve. However, for the
second requirement, the industrial application of enzymes is often limited by their poor stability, lack of reusability and are difficult to recycle (Bornscheuer et al., 2012). Owing to the regulated structure and function, MOFs have become promising candidates to immobilize enzymes in these fields (Feng et al., 2015; Li et al., 2016a; Zhang et al., 2017).

Wang et al. (2017) have reported the co-immobilization of NiPd hollow nanoparticles and GOx on ZIF-8 via an in situ synthesis method. The as-prepared GOx@ZIF-8(NiPd) composites showed a nanoflower structure and possessed both glucose oxidase activity and peroxidase-like activity, which could be used as the electrochemical and colorimetric glucose sensor via a tandem catalysis reaction. The results suggested that the absorbance of 2,3-diaminophenazinc at 505 nm showed a good linear relationship to glucose concentrations ranging from 10 to 300 \( \mu \text{M} \), resulting in a LOD of 9.2 \( \mu \text{M} \). And a relative standard deviation of 0.8% was achieved after 16 successive determinations with a wider range (0.1~1.7 mM) of a linear relationship between the current and glucose concentration, which indicated the good operational stability and expanded the applications of this glucose bio-sensor.

Liu et al. (2018) reported the mimic multienzyme systems for glucose bio-sensor by immobilizing GOx to the hierarchically porous biomimetic Zr-based MOF HP-PCN-224(Fe) (links: tetrakis(4-carboxyphenyl) porphyrin). The hierarchically porous structure of HP-PCN-224(Fe) was prepared through a modulator-induced defect-formation strategy, which introduced dodecanoic acid (DA) as the modulator or surfactant, and reduced the ligand amount to form defects during the MOFs synthesis process, then followed by the hydrochloric acid treatment to remove DA. The DA amount had a great effect on the mesopores formation and the morphology of MOFs. When the molar ratio of DA/ZrCl\(_4\) was 125, HP-PCN-224(Fe) showed the largest enzyme loading capacity (192.6 mg/g). The
intrinsic peroxidase-like activity of HP-PCN-224(Fe), owing to its metalloporphyrin structure, was then employed for the cascade reaction in one step for glucose detection (Figure 9a). A good linear relationship between glucose concentrations ranging from 5 to 300 µM and the absorption value of ABTS⁺ at 420 nm were obtained, with a detection limit of 0.87 µM, which was much lower than other glucose bio-sensors (Hou et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2017). In addition, the universality of HP-PCN-224(Fe) was demonstrated to show the good feasibility for uric acid detection with a detection limit of 1.8 µM, by immobilizing uricase on HP-PCN-224(Fe).

**Bio-Catalysis**

Enzymes as prominent natural catalysts with excellent catalytic activity and high selectivity possess great potentials in the manufacture of fine chemicals and pharmaceuticals. In biological catalysis, the function of the enzyme is precisely tuned for highly specific transformations and these transformations proceed in sequences as cascade reactions, which may be involved with multiple enzymes, coenzymes, reactants, and products. This section emphasizes the immobilization of well-understood enzymes with MOFs for applications in bio-catalysis.

Inspired by natural bio-catalytic transformations in cells, Chen et al. (2018b) reported complicated bio-catalytic cascades driven by multienzyme in ZIF-8 nanoparticles, which worked as nanoreactors for the concerted reactions of two or three enzymes, as well as cofactor components. As for multienzyme mediated cascade reactions, the intercommunication between enzymes is of paramount importance for the efficiency of reactions. Therefore, ZIF-8 could be qualified as an excellent nanoreactor for transporting the product of one enzyme to the subsequent enzyme, resulting in the high local concentrations of substrates for enzymes. The integration of two enzymes (GOx and HRP) and three enzymes (β-galactosidase (β-Gal), GOx and HRP) (Figure 10A) in ZIF-8 displayed 7.5-fold and 5.3-fold enhanced activity of catalytic cascades, respectively, compared with the homogeneous diffusional mixture of free multienzyme. The improved catalytic performance of cascades could be ascribed to the facilitation of mass transfer that products generated by one enzyme could be utilized by another bio-catalyst. The efficient intercommunication of two β-nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide hydrate (NAD⁺) dependent enzymes (alcohol/lactate dehydrogenase) combined with NAD⁺-functionalized polymer for cascade reactions was also integrated (Figure 10B), which achieved a 4.7-fold improved reduction of pyruvic acid to lactic acid compared with the homogeneous bio-catalytic assembly. These results indicated that the porous structure of MOFs enabled the efficient exchange of substrates and products between the bulk solution and reaction sites.

He et al. (2016) developed a kinetic resolution reactor by encapsulating a thermophilic lipase into the ZIF-8. A simple solid approach by grinding lipase with MOF precursors in trace ethanol was employed to synthesize the lipase@ZIF-8 composites, which was used for the kinetic resolution of (R, S)-2-octanol through transesterification. They suggested that lipase@ZIF-8 held the selectivity in (R, S)-2-octanol mixture, and preferably catalyzed the R-form. Superior enzymatic activity...
GOx in an erythrocyte membrane cloaked MOF nanoparticle nanoreactor by encapsulating prodrug tirapazamine (TPZ) and Chu et al., 2019). Zhang L. et al. (2018) constructed a biomimetic toxicity of the drugs (Zhang R. et al., 2018; Zhou et al., 2018; also be enhanced by using a cytostatic environment and even synergistic cancer therapy (Fan et al., 2017). This process could or oxidize arginine to generate NO, resulting in the starvation hydroxyl radical through Fenton reaction (Huo et al., 2017), glucuronic acid and hydrogen peroxide, which may produce the a large amount of glucose. GOx can catalyze glucose to produce bio-compatibility, etc.

Cancer Therapy

Using functional proteins, DNA/RNA for cancer treatment is of importance in both clinical and preclinical studies. Usually, chemotherapeutics tends to show the weak targeting effect or cause toxic effects on normal cells during cancer treatment. One strategy to get around this problem is to employ nontoxic prodrugs metabolized by enzymes to generate cytotoxic products in a tumor microenvironment (Giang et al., 2014; Lin et al., 2018). Nevertheless, enzymes in cells is in very low levels, which leads to the poor selectivity and unsatisfactory results for this enzyme-activated prodrug therapies. An ideal method is to deliver exogenous enzymes to tumor cells. However, enzymes tend to suffer from the internal environment during the delivery process. This problem can be solved by the immobilization of enzymes with MOFs, which emerges as excellent matrixes to deliver enzymes to the cancer cells due to their low toxicity, high encapsulation efficiency, high loading capacity and good bio-compatibility, etc. As well known, the rapid proliferation of tumor cells requires of lipase@ZIF-8 in a non-aqueous medium was observed. As a result, lipase@ZIF-8 showed advantageous reusability in the kinetic resolution of sec-alcohols with stable E values ranging from 8.1 to 11.5 during 10 cycles at room temperature. Owing to the protection of MOFs, the immobilized enzyme exhibited enhanced resistance against trypsin. These results indicated that enzyme-MOF could be potentially beneficial for the cost-effective synthesis of chiral compounds via bio-catalysis.

FIGURE 11 | (A) Top: structure of PCN-333 composed of aluminum trimeric cluster and 4,4′,4″-s-triazine-2,4,6-triyl-trienzoic acid (TATB) ligand. Bottom: two types of mesoporous cavities in PCN-33 (purple: 4.2 nm, green: 5.5 nm), TYR with size of 5.5 × 5.5 × 5.6 nm², which can only be accommodated in the 5.5 nm cage. (B) Nontoxic prodrug APAP oxidation is catalyzed by TYR to yield cytotoxic AOBQ, which induces ROS generation and GSH depletion. (C) Time-dependent relative tumor growth upon different treatments. Reproduced from Lian et al. (2018) with permission from Wiley Online Library, copyright 2018.
CONCLUSION AND PROSPECTS

Metal-organic frameworks are emerging as viable host matrixes for enzyme immobilization. The immobilization strategies such as surface immobilization, in situ approach, covalent linkage and pore entrapment have been developed. All these approaches allow the immobilized enzyme to be reusable and recyclable. The use of MOFs to immobilize enzymes is gaining increasing attention, however, related researches are still in the preliminary stages. Many aspects need to be further improved. For instance, enzymes adsorbed on the surface of MOFs through weak interactions tend to leak from the support during the recycled usage. Though this problem can be addressed to a great extent by the covalent linkage approach, where the firm interaction between immobilized enzyme and support is formed. Both approaches result in immobilized enzymes exposed to the environment, which causes enzymes vulnerable to harsh conditions or digestion by proteases. The pore entrapment approach allows enzymes to exhibit excellent reusability and resistance against denaturation agents and against harsh conditions, when they are entrapped into the pores or cages of the mesoporous MOFs. Nevertheless, pore apertures of the mesoporous MOFs should be suitable for the size of enzyme in this fashion. Reasonable design for the MOFs to immobilize enzymes varied vastly in shape and size remains a challenge, and the synthesis of mesoporous MOFs fraught with difficulties due to the undesirable interpenetrating structures. The in situ synthesis could address many of the problems mentioned above, yet it can be employed only under mild synthetic conditions such as in an aqueous solution. Usually, ZIFs serve as the most common candidates for this approach. However, further applications of enzyme-ZIFs composites are limited by the mass transfer of substrates and products due to the narrow micropores of ZIFs. Therefore, approaches for enzyme immobilization should depend on the specific enzyme-MOF couple and practical situation of the catalytic process, and the novel approach needs to be developed urgently.

Although enzyme-MOFs composites have been widely reported to be used in the field of bio-sensing and detection, biocatalysis and recent cancer therapy, employing the composites in industrial or biomedical conditions are rarely reported. This is mainly hampered by deficient chemical stability, especially in water, and difficulty in regulating the structure and aperture of MOFs. Overcoming these shortcomings helps to facilitate the immobilization processes and to implement them in practical production. It is worth mentioning that only a few researches focus on the facile synthesis or post-synthetic modification amenability of MOFs, which is crucial for the scale-up of laboratory synthesis into mass production. In addition, the host-guest interaction has been investigated by comprehensive measurements, such as fluorescence, UV-vis, circular dichroism, and dynamic light scattering (Anand et al., 2014; Xu et al., 2017), yet there is still a deficiency of insight into the interactions between enzyme and MOF matrixes, especially the diffusion mechanism of enzymes into the cages or pores of mesoporous MOFs.

The synergistic effect between MOFs and enzymes can be achieved by the rational design of MOFs combined with the functionality of enzymes. For instance, the enantioselectivity of enzymes could be enhanced by introducing asymmetric groups to MOFs. And the modification of MOFs with hydrophilic groups could facilitate the interaction of hydrophobic enzymes with hydrophilic substrates, giving rise to a higher reactant conversion and product yield. A biomimetic MOF could also be combined with enzymes to construct a mimic multi-enzyme system for tandem catalysis.

Finally, theoretical simulation is also important since it provides guidelines for the tuning of MOF pores or cages, and for size matching between enzymes and MOF cavities. Thus, the loading optimization, dispersion and orientation of immobilized enzymes could be achieved with a rational theoretical calculation. The enzyme-MOF composites could contribute to the development of the next wave of applied biocatalysis, once the set of design rules combined with theoretical simulation have been established.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

The author sequence is based on the contribution to the study without controversy. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This study was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 21776102) and Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province (No. 2014A030312007) is greatly appreciated.

REFERENCES

Abanades Lazaro, I., Wells, C. J. R., and Forgan, R. S. (2020). Multivariate modulation of the Zr MOF UiO-66 for defect-controlled combination anticancer drug delivery. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 59, 5211–5217. doi: 10.1002/ange.201915848
Anand, R., Borghi, F., Manoli, F., Manet, I., Agostoni, V., Reschigljan, P., et al. (2014). Host-guest interactions in Fe(III)-trimesate MOF nanoparticles loaded with doxorubicin. J. Phys. Chem. B 118, 8532–8539. doi: 10.1021/jp503809w
Ansari, S. A., and Husain, Q. (2012). Potential applications of enzymes immobilized on/in nano materials: a review. Biotechnol. Adv. 30, 512–523. doi: 10.1016/j.biotechadv.2011.09.005
Bonneau, M., Lavenn, C., Ginet, P., Otake, K.-I., and Kitagawa, S. (2020). Upscale synthesis of a binary pillared layered MOF for hydrocarbon gas storage and separation. Green Chem. 22, 718–724. doi: 10.1039/c9gc03561c
Bornscheuer, U. T., Huismann, G. W., Kziaukas, R. J., Lutz, S., Moore, J. C., and Robins, K. (2012). Engineering the third wave of biocatalysis. Nature 485, 185–194. doi: 10.1038/nature11117
Lian, X., Huang, Y., Zhu, Y., Fang, Y., Zhao, R., Joseph, E., et al. (2018). Enzyme-...Chem. Mater. 27, 7903–7909. doi: 10.1021/acs.chemmater.8b02847
Jung, S., Kim, Y., Kim, S. J., Kwon, T. H., Huh, S., and Park, S. (2011). Biofunction-...Chem. Commun. 47, 2904–2906. doi: 10.1039/c0cc03288c
Kleist, W., Maciejewski, M., and Baiker, A. (2010). MOF-5 based mixed-...Chem. Soc. Rev. 39, 4371–4385. doi: 10.1039/b710940g
Ma, W., Jiang, Q., Yu, P., Yang, L., and Mao, L. (2013). Zeolitic imidazolate framework-based electrochemical biosensor for in vivo electrochemical measurements. Anal. Chem. 85, 7550–7557. doi: 10.1021/ac401576u
Mager, E. (2013). Immobilization of enzymes on mesoporous silicate materials. Chem. Soc. Rev. 42, 6213–6222. doi: 10.1039/c2cs23545k
Majewski, M. B., Howarth, A. J., Li, P., Wasielewski, M. R., Hupp, J. T., and Farha, O. K. (2017). Enzyme encapsulation in metal–organic frameworks for applications in catalysis. CrystEngComm 19, 4082–4091. doi: 10.1039/c7ce00222g
Marques, H. M. (2007). Insights into porphyrin chemistry provided by the microperoxidases, the haempeptides derived from cytochrome c. Dalton Trans. 39, 4371–4385. doi: 10.1039/b710940g
Masoomi, N. R., Marzuki, N. H., Buang, N. A., Huyop, F., and Wahab, R. A. (2015). An overview of technologies for immobilization of enzymes and surface analysis techniques for immobilized enzymes. Biotechnol. Biotechnol. Equip. 29, 205–220. doi: 10.1080/13021881.2015.1068192
Mohammad, M., Razmjou, A., Liang, K., Asadnia, M., and Chen, V. (2019). Metal-organic framework-based enzymatic microfluidic biosensor via surface patterning and biomaterialization. ACS Appl. Materials Interfaces 11, 1807–1820. doi: 10.1021/acsami.8b16837
Morris, W., Briley, W. E., Auyeung, E., Cabez, M. D., and Mirkin, C. A. (2014). Nucleic acid-metal organic framework (MOF) nanoparticle conjugates. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 136, 7261–7264. doi: 10.1021/ja503215w
Nobakht, N., Faramarzi, M. A., Shafiee, A., Khoobi, M., and Rafiee, E. (2018). Polyoxyethylene-metal organic framework-lipase: an efficient green catalyst for synthesis of benzyl cinnamate by enzymatic esterification of cinnamic acid. Int. J. Biol. Macromol. 113, 8–19. doi: 10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2018.02.023
Pang, S., Wu, Y., Zhang, X., Li, B., Ouyang, J., and Ding, M. (2016). Immobilization of laccase via adsorption onto bimodal mesoporous Zr-MOF. Process Biochem. 51, 229–239. doi: 10.1016/j.procbio.2015.11.033
Patra, S., Crespo, T. H., Permyakova, A., Sidc, C., Serre, C., Chausse, A., et al. (2015). Design of metal organic framework–enzyme based bioelectrodes as a novel and highly sensitive biosensing platform. J. Mater. Chem. B 3, 8983–8992. doi: 10.1039/C5TB01412C
