Probabilistic Bidirectional Promoter Switches: Noncoding RNA Takes Control
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The discovery of probabilistic promoter switches in genes that code for class I major histocompatibility complex receptors in murine and human provides a useful paradigm to explain programmed cell fate decisions. These switches have preset probabilities of transcribing in either the sense or antisense direction, and the characteristics of individual switches are programmed by the relative affinity of competing transcription factor–binding sites. The noncoding RNAs produced from these switches can either activate or suppress gene transcription, based on their location relative to the promoter responsible for gene expression in mature cells. The switches are active in a developmental phase that precedes gene expression by mature cells, thus temporally separating the stochastic events that determine gene activation from the protein expression phase. This allows the probabilistic generation of variegated gene expression in the absence of selection and ensures that mature cells have stable expression of the genes. Programmed probabilistic switches may control cell fate decisions in many developmental systems, and therefore, it is important to investigate noncoding RNAs expressed by progenitor cells to determine if they are expressed in a stochastic manner at the single cell level. This review provides a summary of current knowledge regarding murine and human switches, followed by speculation on the possible involvement of probabilistic switches in other systems of programmed differentiation.
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Overview

The ability of a biological organism to sense and react to a complex environment requires the development of an intricate sensory system. The adaptive immune system uses DNA recombination to generate a large array of T-cell and B-cell receptors to sense potentially harmful entities in the environment.1 In contrast, natural killer (NK) cells of the innate immune system use a smaller group of receptors to survey the organism for loss or alteration of the class I major histocompatibility complex (MHC) proteins that are used by cells to present antigens to the immune system.2 Since the MHC molecules are highly polymorphic, it is therefore necessary to generate specialized NK cell subsets that are able to sense changes in the expression of a particular MHC molecule.3 The receptors for class I MHC are expressed in a variegated manner on NK cells, such that the majority of NK cells (~80%) express from 1 to 3 receptors per cell out of a total repertoire of 4–15 receptors depending on the genotype of the individual.3,4 The variegated, stochastic expression of these receptors is achieved through the use of probabilistic bidirectional promoter switches that generate either sense transcripts linked to gene activation or antisense transcripts that are associated with gene silencing.4 Each stochastic switch possesses an intrinsic probability of transcribing in either the sense or antisense direction that is programmed by the relative binding affinity of competing transcription factor–binding sites. This results in each receptor type being expressed on a predetermined percentage of cells within the population. Evolution can therefore select for genes with different frequencies of receptor expression, based on the inherent usefulness of each receptor for survival of the organism.

The human and mouse class I MHC receptor systems are separately evolved, and they use the noncoding RNAs generated by the stochastic promoter switches in different ways (Figure 1). The murine receptors are members of the C-type lectin–related Ly49 gene family (originally named Ly49a through Ly49x, official name Klra1–n), and they contain a distal bidirectional promoter (Pro1) located ~5 kb upstream of the Ly49 promoter used to express the gene in mature NK cells (Pro2).5,6 In this system, sense noncoding transcripts activate the downstream promoter, whereas antisense noncoding transcripts merely represent the “off” state of the switch. In contrast, antisense transcripts play an active role in gene silencing in the human system. The human KIR genes are members of the immunoglobulin (Ig) supergene family (named according to the presence of 2 or 3 Ig domains and short or long cytoplasmic tails, e.g., KIR2DL1 or KIR3DS1), and they possess a stochastic switch 60 bp 5’ of the KIR initiation codon. The antisense transcript from the proximal promoter switch produces a piRNA that is associated with gene silencing; however, the sense transcript in this case merely maintains the default “on” state of the gene.

Although the same probabilistic promoter mechanism has been adopted by both the human and mouse receptor systems to achieve variegated receptor expression, the mouse Ly49 genes use sense IncRNA to activate genes that are in a default “closed” state, whereas the human KIR use an
antisense IncRNA to silence active genes. In both human and mouse, active loci are hypomethylated, suggesting that DNA methylation plays a role in the maintenance of the chosen state; however, histone acetylation patterns are distinct. All genes of the KIR cluster have generally high levels of H3 and H4 acetylation regardless of their expression status, whereas inactive Ly49 genes have low levels that increase upon gene activation. Consistent with these observations, the DNA methylation inhibitor 5-aza-cytidine alone can induce expression of silent KIR genes, whereas Ly49 gene activation requires both 5-aza-cytidine together with the histone deacetylase inhibitor trichostatin-A.

The Ly49 Stochastic Switch

Analysis of Ly49 transcripts in liver NK cells revealed the presence of a distal promoter that was active only in immature NK cells (Pro1). In vitro analysis demonstrated that this element was in fact a bidirectional promoter containing two TATA boxes with overlapping C/EBP-binding sites located ~100bp apart. Mutational analysis revealed that the competing C/EBP and TATA elements determined the relative sense/antisense activity of the promoter. The switching activity of this element was demonstrated by placing it between two different fluorescent protein cDNAs (CFP and YFP) and observing its behavior in real time. Remarkably, the element acted as a stable switch, choosing transcription in a single direction and maintaining that choice until a new copy of the element was generated by DNA replication. A cloned cell line containing a single copy of the two-color vector containing the Ly49 switch illustrates the observed nontranslatable nature of proximal sense transcripts.

Figure 1 Differential role of IncRNAs produced by mouse versus human stochastic switches. The schematics show the location of stochastic switches and the IncRNAs produced in the mouse Ly49 genes (upper panel) and the human KIR genes (lower panel). Promoters are displayed as black rectangles, and exons are shown as numbered boxes. Sense noncoding transcripts from the switch elements are shown as green lines, and antisense noncoding transcripts are shown in red. The red ovals at the proximal promoter of the Ly49 genes depict the default closed chromatin state of these genes that is disrupted by sense transcripts from the distal promoter. The inclusion of alternative exons (2a and 2b) in forward transcripts from the KIR switch illustrates the observed nontranslatable nature of proximal sense transcripts.
rather than the 50–50% mix predicted if both copies had the ability to transcribe in either direction. This suggests that the TATA-binding protein remains stably associated with the TATA box, even during the process of DNA replication. TATA-binding protein has been shown to remain bound to condensed chromosomes during cell division, marking active promoters that resume transcription after cell division.\textsuperscript{12,13} 

Analysis of the transcription properties of the switches contained within various \textit{Ly49} genes revealed an association between the strength of the sense transcriptional activity and the frequency of NK cells expressing that gene.\textsuperscript{8} The switches thus display a programmed probability of gene activation that can be ascribed to the relative strength of sense versus antisense promoter elements. Although the process of gene activation is stochastic, a bias toward gene activation or maintenance of the silent state is programmed by differences in switch characteristics, akin to “loaded dice.” A model of probabilistic gene activation was proposed wherein the choice of sense transcription led to the production of a forward nontranslated transcript that traversed the downstream \textit{Ly49} promoter, releasing it from its default silent state. The requirement of this transcript for gene activation was demonstrated by the loss of gene expression in either transgenes or endogenous \textit{Ly49} genes that lacked the switch element.\textsuperscript{14,15} The antisense switch transcript is a noncoding polyadenylated RNA that presumably represents the “off” state since deletion of the Pro1 element results in a silent gene, arguing against an active role of the antisense in gene silencing.\textsuperscript{14}  

Each \textit{Ly49} gene represents a separate probabilistic unit with its own stochastic switch. The sense transcript of the switch element spans the entire coding region of the gene and produces a spliced, polyadenylation cDNA that is identical to the mature \textit{Ly49}-coding mRNA except for the addition of a 28 base region of the antisense was required for anti- sense transcripts resulted in the identification of a 28 base anti- region. A search for small RNAs generated from the transcript that leads to resilencing of the proximal promoter element will either transcribe in the sense direction, maintaining the active state, or produce a reverse transcript that leads to resiliencing of the proximal promoter region. A search for small RNAs generated from the \textit{KIR} anti- sense transcripts resulted in the identification of a 28 base piRNA, and gene transduction studies demonstrated that the 28 base region of the antisense was required for \textit{KIR} gene silencing, indicating an autoregulatory role of \textit{KIR} antisense IncRNA.\textsuperscript{21} An additional spliced \textit{KIR} antisense noncoding RNA transcribed from a unidirectional antisense promoter in the second exon was discovered in the HEK293 cell line, and this IncRNA was subsequently found to be expressed \textit{in vivo} only by cord blood progenitor cells.\textsuperscript{22} The exon 2 antisense IncRNA overlaps with the switch antisense transcript, and it is also able to produce the 28 base piRNA and silence \textit{KIR} loci. This suggests that the \textit{KIR} genes are silenced early in development by an active mechanism to ensure that they are not expressed prematurely.  

With the exception of the exon 2 antisense transcript found in progenitor cells, \textit{KIR} antisense transcripts are only present in NK cells just prior to their differentiation into mature \textit{KIR}-expressing NK cells, and this likely represents the probabilistic phase of \textit{KIR} gene activation where the stochastic promoter switch is active.  

The recent study of a rare, weakly expressed allele of the \textit{KIR2DL1} gene has provided some insight into possible mechanisms preventing expression of \textit{KIR} protein in cells with an active proximal promoter switch. The weakly expressed variant of the \textit{KIR2DL1} gene contained a distal promoter polymorphism that sharply decreased transcription from a previously unreported intermediate transcription start site located 270 bp upstream from the proximal switch promoter start site.\textsuperscript{23} The intermediate transcripts
were shown to be translatable, and their levels paralleled KIR protein translation in forward transcripts from Pro-S are indicated by “TGA.”

**Figure 2 Proposed stages of KIR gene activation during NK cell development.** A schematic of the 5′ region of the KIR2DL1 gene is shown. Distal, intermediate, and switch promoters are indicated by rectangles labeled D, I, and S, respectively, and exons are shown as numbered rectangles. Active promoters in each stage are green, and inactive promoters are gray. Transcripts are shown by lines ending in arrows, and intronic regions are shown as dotted lines. The site of initiation of KIR protein translation is indicated by a vertical line labeled “ATG,” and the location of premature stop codons in alternative exons found in forward transcripts from Pro-S are indicated by “TGA.”

Some have reacted to the concept of random selection of receptors by recalling Einstein’s famous quote “God does not play dice,” which symbolizes his dislike of Heisenberg’s uncertainty principle. However, it is important to note that the phenotypic result of the action of stochastic switches is not random, but is in fact completely reproducible. In inbred strains of mice, individual Ly49 genes are expressed on the same percentage of NK cells in every mouse with the same genotype. For example, Ly49G is present on 45% of splenic NK cells obtained from every C57BL/6 mouse, but it is only found on 18% of NK cells from NOD mice. This difference can be attributed to weaker sense transcriptional activity of the Ly49g switch in NOD mice. Although one cannot know the fate of a single NK cell, the laws of probability dictate the percentage of NK cells that will acquire a given receptor. Thus, it is perhaps more appropriate to say that in the case of variegated gene expression, “evolution takes advantage of probability in the design of complex systems.” The probability of gene expression is controlled by the relative affinity of transcription factors for competing binding sites; therefore, the phenotypic result can be programmed through variations in these binding sites. This is a powerful paradigm that can potentially explain some of the decision-making processes that occur during development of an organism. For example, imagine a hematopoietic stem cell that has to make one of several choices: remain as a stem cell; differentiate into a T cell; and differentiate into a B cell. If the lineage-defining transcription factors controlling either B-cell or T-cell fate were under the control of stochastic switches, then either differentiation fate would occur at a predefined frequency, and if neither T-cell- nor B-cell–defining factors were activated, the cell would remain a stem cell. The presence of programmed probabilistic switches governing cell fate choices would provide a mechanism for the generation of appropriate proportions of differentiated cell types required for proper functioning of specialized organ systems.

A genome-wide evaluation of transcription start sites revealed that 11.8% of promoters are bidirectional with start sites separated by less than 1 kb. Of these, 67% have start sites less than 300 bp apart and could thus represent switches. A recent study of RNA expressed by human prefrontal cortex at different postnatal stages provides an indication of how many switches might be operating during the complex process of brain development. High-throughput strand-specific RNA sequencing revealed the presence of a distinct class of bidirectional promoters with a downstream protein coding gene and a 5′ antisense IncRNA, similar to the KIR bidirectional promoters. There were 273 promoters of this class, and they were associated with genes involved in neuronal development and enriched in binding sites for transcription factors associated with neurons, consistent with their potential function as switches controlling brain development.
A key feature of a bidirectional promoter switch is the stable nature of the sense or antisense transcriptional state acquired by the element. Although many bidirectional promoters have been characterized, only a few studies have examined the issue of stable transcriptional states. A careful study of the immediate early enhancer region of murine cytomegalovirus revealed that the divergent transcription of the flanking ie1/3 and ie2 genes was mutually exclusive, leading the authors to refer to this element as a genetic switch. A study of the sense and antisense transcripts generated from the expanded hexanucleotide repeats of the C9ORF72 locus associated with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis revealed from the expanded hexanucleotide repeats of the gene that is expressed in a variegated manner in a particular development. A lineage-defining transcription factor or any flanking study of the immediate early enhancer region of murine cytomegalovirus operating as a genetic switch in the context of a regulatory element.

Looking forward, it will be of interest to closely examine the promoters of genes that are selectively activated during development. A lineage-defining transcription factor or any gene that is expressed in a variegated manner in a particular tissue would be a good candidate for this type of study. The recent appreciation of the importance of enhancer-derived RNAs and the possibility that some enhancers might represent bidirectional promoters mandate reexamination of enhancers with this new perspective. It is also important to take note of an important lesson learned from the analysis of the MHC receptors: elements controlling differential gene activation may not be directly linked to gene expression, and they may be active prior to the onset of gene expression. It is therefore necessary to study RNA transcripts in precursor cells that do not yet express the gene of interest, in order to identify IncRNAs that may be setting the stage for future gene expression. A detailed analysis of the IncRNAs present in a single precursor cell may reveal variegated expression of sense and antisense transcripts from a bidirectional promoter, leading to the identification of additional probabilistic switches governing developmental choices. Application of this paradigm to additional genes in multiple cell types will undoubtedly reveal many more examples where IncRNA determines the developmental fate of genes.
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