Geochemical and Mineralogical Characteristics of the Li–Sr-Enriched Coal in the Wenjiaba Mine, Guizhou, SW China

Fangpeng Du, Shuzheng Ning, Junwei Qiao, Furong Tan, Xiaochen Zhao, Weiguo Zhang, Congcong Li, Zheng Luo, and Xiaoyuan He

ABSTRACT: This paper reports the mineralogical and geochemical compositions of C6 coal in the Late Permian Longtan Formation of the Wenjiaba Mine, Northern Guizhou in southwest (SW) China. The geochemical and mineralogical studies are the basis for the potential recovery of critical metals. The Longtan Formation, which is one of the major coal-bearing strata in SW China, contains dozens of coal seams. C6 coal is the main mineable coal seam in the Wenjiaba Mine and the whole coalfield. Proximate and ultimate analyses, inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) and X-ray fluorescence (XRF) spectrometry on trace and major element concentrations, and X-ray diffraction and SEM-EDS analyses were carried out. Results suggest that this anthracite coal is characterized by low ash yield and medium sulfur content. The minerals are mainly composed of clay minerals (kaolinite, chlorite, illite, and mixed-layer illite/smectite), pyrite, and carbonates. Lithium is significantly enriched in C6 coal, with an average of 124 μg/g, and it has a higher concentration in the lower portion of the coal seam than that in the upper one. Strontium is significantly enriched in samples WJB-05 and WJB-06, with concentrations of 3030 and 4580 μg/g, respectively, but it is normal or just slightly enriched in other benches of C6 coal. Additionally, Cu, Nb, and Ta are slightly enriched in the coal. Lithium, dominantly hosted by kaolinite in C6 coals, has a recovery potential. Celestine is one of the major Sr-bearing minerals in C6 coal.

1. INTRODUCTION

The concentrations of critical metals in coal have attracted much attention due to their recovery potential and environmental concerns.1–9 The Late Permian coals in southwest (SW) China are well known for their multienrichment of critical elements, such as V, U, Cr, Se, and rare-earth elements (REEs),10–12 and even toxic/hazardous elements such as F, Hg, and Pb.13 Several types of enrichment of trace element assemblages (i.e., U–Re–V–Cr–Sc and Nb–Zr–REY) were proposed.11,12 Lithium- and Sr-rich type, however, have not been previously discovered and reported in the Late Permian coals in SW China.14 Lithium is considered a significant potential energy metal because it is not only the key material of Li batteries but also used as a stabilizer in nuclear fusion reactors.15,16 The average concentrations of Li and Sr are 12 and 100 μg/g in world hard coals, respectively.17,18

Lithium is one of the most highly investigated critical metals in Chinese coals. The Late Carboniferous–Early Permian coals in the north of North China Craton are the most well-known Li-rich coals.19–22 The concentration of Li in No. 6 coal of the Heidaijou Mine, Jungar Coalfield, Inner Mongolia is 657 μg/g, and the concentration in No. 9 coal of the Anjialing Mine, Pingshuo Coalfield, Shanxi reaches as high as 840 μg/g.21 In addition to the above-well-known mining areas, other coalfields also bear Li-rich coals, such as the Jincheng and Huoxi Coalfields, with a Li concentration of approximately 120 μg/g.23 The Late Permian coal in the Southeastern Chongqing Coalfield and the Fusui Coalfield in Guangxi are the specific regions with Li enrichment.24–26 Lithium is always considered to be hosted by micas and clays in sedimentary rocks27–29 and partially associated with organic matter.27 Finkelman et al. concluded that 90% Li is associated with the clays and micas in high-rank coals, while the remainder is associated with the organics.28–30 Strontium has both organic and inorganic associations in high-rank coals, and inorganic-associated Sr occurred as phosphates, barite, celestine, carbonates, strontianite, and clays.31–35

The geochemical and mineralogical characteristics of C6 coal in the Upper Permian Longtan Formation from the Wenjiaba Mine in Guizhou Province were reported in this paper with an emphasis on the geochemistry of Li and Sr. The Longtan Formation is one of the major coal-bearing strata in SW China...
and contains abundant coal resources. C6 coal is not only the main workable bed in the Wenjiaba Mine but also a major mineable coal bed in the whole coalfield. The geochemical and mineralogical studies may promote the recovery of critical metals, exemplified by Li in C6 coal, and the study will be conducive to the prevention of potential pollution during the utilization of Wenjiaba coal.

2. GEOLOGICAL SETTINGS

The Wenjiaba Mine is located in Zhijin City, Northern Guizhou Province of SW China (Figure 1a). It is one of the mines in Zhina Coalfield, which is located at the Northern Guizhou uplift in the Yangtze Platform. A series of northeastern trending fold structures control the distribution of coalfields in the Northern Guizhou uplift (Figure 1d).

### Table 1. Proximate and Ultimate Results of the Wenjiaba Coal Samples (%)\(^\text{a}\)

| sample  | \(M_{\text{ad}}\) | \(A_{\text{d}}\) | \(V_{\text{daf}}\) | \(S_{\text{d}}\) | \(C_{\text{daf}}\) | \(H_{\text{daf}}\) | \(N_{\text{daf}}\) |
|---------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| WJB-02  | 2.1             | 19.6            | 8.0             | 1.8            | 89.9            | 3.2             | 1.3             |
| WJB-03  | 1.9             | 18.5            | 7.4             | 2.5            | 90.0            | 3.2             | 1.3             |
| WJB-04  | 1.9             | 25.7            | 9.4             | 0.8            | 88.9            | 3.5             | 1.3             |
| WJB-05  | 2.9             | 13.5            | 7.6             | 2.0            | 91.3            | 3.1             | 1.3             |
| WJB-06  | 2.1             | 11.0            | 7.4             | 1.7            | 90.4            | 3.2             | 1.3             |
| WJB-07  | 2.1             | 8.4             | 7.3             | 0.8            | 90.7            | 3.1             | 1.4             |
| WJB-08  | 1.3             | 41.0            | 25.9            | 25.9           | 62.7            | 2.3             | 0.9             |
| WJB-09  | 2.0             | 23.2            | 10.3            | 2.7            | 86.1            | 3.4             | 1.2             |
| WJB-10  | 2.0             | 9.2             | 6.9             | 1.4            | 90.5            | 3.2             | 1.4             |
| WJB-11  | 2.2             | 13.9            | 7.6             | 0.8            | 91.0            | 3.2             | 1.3             |

*Average without WJB-08: 1.9, 14.1, 7.7, 1.8, 89.7, 3.2, 1.3*

\(\text{WJB-08}\) is not included in the average calculation.

\(M_{\text{ad}}\), moisture (air-dry basis); \(A_{\text{d}}\), ash yield (dry basis); \(V_{\text{daf}}\), volatile matter (dry and ash-free basis); \(S_{\text{d}}\), total sulfur (dry basis); \(C_{\text{daf}}\), carbon; \(H_{\text{daf}}\), hydrogen; \(N_{\text{daf}}\), nitrogen.

**Figure 1.** (a) Location of the Wenjiaba Mine, (b) generalized stratigraphic section of the Longtan Formation, (c) sampling section of C6 coal, (d) generalized geological map of the Wenjiaba Mine, and (e) photo of pyrite nodule in sample WJB-08.
The Upper Permian strata in this area include the Emeishan basalt, Longtan Formation, and Changxing Formation. The Emeishan basalt, which is widespread in SW China, dominantly influenced the multienrichment of critical elements in the Late Permian coals.37 The Longtan Formation is the major coal-bearing stratum in the Wenjiaba Mine, even in SW China, with a thickness of 300 m and 30 coal seams (Figure 1b). It was deposited in an alternate marine−continental environment,38 and the lithologic associations are sandstone, silt, mudstone, and coal. Coal seams were numbered from top to bottom of the Longtan Formation, and coal seams C6, C7, C16, C23, C27, and C30 are the main minable coal seams in the Wenjiaba Mine; however, C6 coal is the main working bed at present, with a thickness of 2.5 m.

3. SAMPLES AND ANALYTICAL METHODS

The analyzed samples were collected from the C6 coal underground workings of the Wenjiaba Mine; 18 coal samples and 2 mudstone samples were collected from the roof to the floor using a channeling sampling method (Figure 1c). Furthermore, massive pyrite nodules that occurred in this seam represented by sample WJB-08 were also collected (Figure 1e).

Table 2. Content of Major Element Oxides in the C6 Coal Samples (wt %; on Whole Coal Basis)

| sample   | SiO₂   | TiO₂   | Al₂O₃ | Fe₂O₃ | MgO   | CaO   | Na₂O | K₂O | LOI |
|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------|-------|------|-----|-----|
| WJB-02   | 11.5   | 0.21   | 4.2    | 2.3    | 0.22  | 0.11  | 0.27 | 0.24| 80.4|
| WJB-03   | 10.1   | 0.16   | 4.0    | 3.1    | 0.15  | 0.11  | 0.26 | 0.22| 81.5|
| WJB-04   | 13.9   | 0.20   | 9.0    | 0.90   | 0.25  | 0.31  | 0.39 | 0.33| 74.3|
| WJB-05   | 6.5    | 0.22   | 2.9    | 2.2    | 0.04  | 0.28  | 0.22 | 0.14| 86.5|
| WJB-06   | 4.5    | 0.13   | 2.5    | 1.6    | 0.07  | 0.61  | 0.19 | 0.08| 89  |
| WJB-07   | 4.1    | 0.10   | 2.6    | 0.55   | 0.06  | 0.23  | 0.16 | 0.08| 91.6|
| WJB-08   | 3.9    | 0.21   | 1.6    | 32.9   | 0.01  | 0.37  | 0.10 | 0.07| 59.0|
| WJB-09   | 10.5   | 0.20   | 8.2    | 3.2    | 0.03  | 0.05  | 0.20 | 0.16| 76.8|
| WJB-10   | 3.8    | 0.11   | 3.2    | 1.1    | 0.03  | 0.17  | 0.19 | 0.09| 90.8|
| WJB-11   | 6.3    | 0.16   | 5.0    | 0.50   | 0.12  | 0.39  | 0.28 | 0.25| 86.1|
| WJB-12   | 5.4    | 0.16   | 4.4    | 0.70   | 0.05  | 0.11  | 0.23 | 0.16| 88.3|
| WJB-13   | 5.1    | 0.14   | 4.0    | 0.70   | 0.08  | 0.13  | 0.25 | 0.16| 88.9|
| WJB-14   | 4.8    | 0.20   | 3.6    | 5.0    | 0.01  | 0.4   | 0.23 | 0.11| 84.8|
| WJB-15   | 3.6    | 0.51   | 2.9    | 4.0    | 0.01  | 0.05  | 0.19 | 0.07| 88.4|
| WJB-16   | 3.7    | 0.61   | 2.9    | 2.1    | 0.03  | 0.02  | 0.21 | 0.07| 89.8|
| WJB-17   | 2.2    | 0.06   | 1.8    | 0.88   | 0.02  | 0.11  | 0.13 | 0.04| 94.4|
| WJB-18   | 2.1    | 0.07   | 1.6    | 1.6    | 0.08  | 0.22  | 0.12 | 0.05| 93.8|
| WJB-19   | 2.9    | 0.15   | 2.3    | 1.1    | 0.10  | 0.09  | 0.14 | 0.09| 92.8|
| WJB-20   | 14.4   | 1.0    | 10.3   | 3.1    | 0.54  | 0.07  | 0.54 | 0.74| 67.8|
| C6 coals | 6.3    | 0.24   | 4.0    | 3.6    | 0.10  | 0.20  | 0.23 | 0.17| 84.5|
| Chinese coals | 8.5 | 0.33 | 6.0 | 4.9 | 0.22 | 1.2 | 0.16 | 0.19 |
Table 3. Trace Element Concentrations in the Roof, Floor, and Coals from the Wenjiaba C6 Coal Seam (on Whole Coal/Rock Basis, μg/g)

| element | WJB-01 | WJB-02 | WJB-03 | WJB-04 | WJB-05 | WJB-06 | WJB-07 | WJB-08 | WJB-09 | WJB-10 | WJB-11 | WJB-12 | average | world hard coal average |
|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|------------------------|
| Li      | 95     | 82     | 93     | 195    | 95     | 108    | 109    | 46     | 163    | 140    | 173    | 168    | 121     | 131       |
| Be      | 4.7    | 0.74   | 0.53   | 1.3    | 0.46   | 0.43   | 0.48   | 0.36   | 1.1    | 0.5    | 0.8    | 0.77   | 0.81    | 0.88      |
| Sc      | 9.0    | 5.0    | 4.1    | 5.8    | 5.9    | 3.1    | 4.5    | 1.6    | 4.5    | 3.0    | 4.8    | 4.7    | 4.4     | 4.7       |
| Cr      | 21.2   | 14     | 13     | 9.5    | 19     | 11     | 14     | 11     | 12     | 7.8    | 11     | 13     | 10.3    | 11.2      |
| Mn      | 100    | 45     | 26     | 32     | 17     | 14     | 16     | 64     | 26     | 11     | 21     | 15     | 18.3    | 19.2      |
| Co      | 7.5    | 14     | 8.0    | 3.7    | 4.2    | 4.1    | 3.7    | 4.1    | 3.7    | 6.1    | 5.0    | 5.4    | 5.0     | 5.3       |
| Ni      | 36     | 6.5    | 6.9    | 14     | 5.1    | 3.8    | 6.9    | 3.1    | 12     | 5.2    | 9.0    | 7.6    | 5.6     | 5.6       |
| Cu      | 36     | 9.0    | 7.7    | 11     | 5.1    | 3.0    | 4.7    | 4.6    | 6.3    | 3.1    | 8.8    | 6.0    | 5.6     | 5.6       |
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Proximate analyses (i.e., \( A_d, M_d \) and \( V_d \)) of the coal samples were conducted in accordance with the Chinese national standard GB/T 30732-2014, and the total sulfur content was determined according to the GB/T 214-2007. The C, H, and N contents of the coal samples were determined with a CTCH500 Hydrocarbon Analyzer and Azotometer. Bulk samples were crushed and ground to 200 mesh and acid-digested by \( \text{HNO}_3/\text{HF} + \text{H}_3\text{BO}_3 \) for trace elemental analysis. Inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS, ICAP-QC) was used to determine the concentrations of trace elements and rare-earth elements (REEs) in the samples. Chinese national certified reference materials GBW11156 and GSD17-a were tested along with the samples to control the quality of trace element determination. Detection limits of trace elements by ICP-MS vary substantially. Strontium, Ba, and Cr have the highest detection limit of 5 \( \mu \text{g/g} \). The detection limit of Li, Sc, and Zn is 1.0 \( \mu \text{g/g} \), and for most trace elements, it ranges from 0.1 to 0.5 \( \mu \text{g/g} \). The powdered coal samples were ashed at a high temperature of 815 \( \pm 10 \) °C in advance for the determination of major element oxides. \( \text{SiO}_2, \text{TiO}_2, \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3, \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3, \text{MgO}, \text{CaO}, \text{Na}_2\text{O}, \) and \( \text{K}_2\text{O} \) were determined by X-ray fluorescence (XRF) spectrometry. The ash-based oxides were recalculated to whole coal basis. Three coal samples (WJB-04, WJB-12, and WJB-19), which represent each part of C6 coal, were selected and ashed at a low temperature (<150 °C) using a Quorum K1050X Plasma Etcher. Mineralogical identification of the roof and floor rock

![Figure 3. Concentration coefficients of trace elements in C6 coal, compared to the world hard coals.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.0c05663)

**Table 3. continued**

| element | WJB-13 | WJB-14 | WJB-15 | WJB-16 | WJB-17 | WJB-18 | WJB-19 | WJB-20 | WJB-21 | average | average |
|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| La      | 10     | 11     | 15     | 15     | 13     | 15     | 15     | 15     | 15     | 17     | 17     |
| Ce      | 20     | 22     | 27     | 29     | 26     | 32     | 34     | 109    | 160    | 33     | 23     |
| Pr      | 2.4    | 2.5    | 3.3    | 3.5    | 3.1    | 3.8    | 4.2    | 13     | 20     | 3.9    | 3.5    |
| Nd      | 9.3    | 10     | 13     | 15     | 14     | 18     | 19     | 61     | 82     | 16     | 12     |
| Sm      | 1.9    | 2.0    | 2.4    | 2.6    | 2.5    | 3.2    | 3.6    | 11     | 15     | 3.1    | 2      |
| Eu      | 0.4    | 0.41   | 0.49   | 0.54   | 0.56   | 0.76   | 0.98   | 2.8    | 3.4    | 0.62   | 0.47   |
| Gd      | 1.5    | 1.8    | 2.1    | 2.2    | 2.2    | 2.7    | 3.3    | 6.7    | 13     | 2.5    | 2.7    |
| Tb      | 0.26   | 0.3    | 0.4    | 0.4    | 0.33   | 0.35   | 0.53   | 0.79   | 2.1    | 0.38   | 0.32   |
| Dy      | 1.8    | 2.0    | 2.3    | 2.5    | 2.0    | 1.9    | 3.3    | 4.3    | 13     | 2.4    | 2.1    |
| Ho      | 0.36   | 0.38   | 0.45   | 0.48   | 0.35   | 0.32   | 0.63   | 0.86   | 2.3    | 0.47   | 0.54   |
| Er      | 1.2    | 1.2    | 1.4    | 1.5    | 1.1    | 0.95   | 1.9    | 2.7    | 6.0    | 1.5    | 0.93   |
| Tm      | 0.18   | 0.18   | 0.21   | 0.23   | 0.15   | 0.12   | 0.26   | 0.38   | 0.84   | 0.21   | 0.31   |
| Yb      | 1.1    | 1.2    | 1.4    | 1.4    | 1.0    | 0.80   | 1.7    | 2.5    | 5.0    | 1.4    | 1.0    |
| Lu      | 0.17   | 0.18   | 0.21   | 0.21   | 0.15   | 0.12   | 0.24   | 0.37   | 0.72   | 0.20   | 0.2    |
| Ta      | 0.47   | 0.44   | 1.1    | 1.3    | 0.14   | 0.14   | 0.31   | 2.4    | 7.1    | 0.68   | 0.28   |
| W       | 0.23   | 0.24   | 0.98   | 1.1    | 0.08   | 0.06   | 0.15   | 0.80   | 2.6    | 0.39   | 1.1    |
| Tl      | 0.02   | 0.07   | 0.05   | 0.02   | 0.01   | 0.02   | 0.02   | 0.12   | 0.22   | 0.07   | 0.63   |
| Pb      | 4.0    | 25     | 18     | 8.8    | 2.7    | 3.1    | 3.1    | 4.7    | 11     | 13     | 7.8    |
| Bi      | 0.17   | 0.25   | 0.16   | 0.18   | 0.11   | 0.07   | 0.08   | 0.23   | 0.20   | 0.19   | 0.97   |
| Th      | 6.4    | 5.2    | 3.8    | 4.3    | 1.3    | 1.1    | 2.0    | 10     | 16     | 6.1    | 3.3    |
| U       | 1.6    | 1.5    | 1.0    | 1.0    | 0.43   | 0.33   | 0.83   | 2.9    | 6.1    | 1.7    | 2.4    |

Figure 3. Concentration coefficients of trace elements in C6 coal, compared to the world hard coals.17
Figure 4. Vertical distributions of trace elements across the C6 coal section (empty point stands for being exceeding maximum values in the scale; REY = REE + Y).

Figure 5. Rare-earth element distribution pattern of C6 coal normalized by the upper continental crust (UCC): (a) samples WJB-21 to WJB-14, (b) samples WJB-13 to WJB-09, (c) samples WJB-08 to WJB-07, and (d) samples WJB-06 to WJB-01.
samples and the low-temperature ashed coal samples was performed by powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) using a D8 Discover with a stepwise scanning of 0.02°. The scanning range of the whole rock is 5−45° 2θ, and the clay was scanned three times with the scanning ranges of 2.5−15, 2.5−30, and 3−15° 2θ, respectively. The quantitative measures of whole rock and clay minerals were based on calculation software Clayquan and Rockquan, respectively, developed by Lin. The analysis is according to the industry standard in China (SY/T 5163-2010).43 Prior to the SEM-EDS analyses, the coal grain samples were gold-coated. A field-emission scanning electron microscope (FE-SEM, SIGMA 300), in conjunction with energy-dispersive X-ray, was used to investigate the morphology of the minerals and to determine the distribution of some elements in coal samples WJB-05, WJB-11, WJB-12, and WJB-14.

4. RESULTS

4.1. Coal Chemistry. The ash yield was variable, ranging from 5.6 to 32.2%, with an average of 14.1%, indicating low ash coal in general.42 The volatile matter content of the coal samples ranged from 7.4 to 10.3%, with an average of 7.7% (Table 1), representing low-volatile coal.42 The total sulfur content ranged from 0.8 to 4.1%, with an average of 1.8%, indicating medium-sulfur coal.42 Sample WJB-08 was significantly different from others in this coal seam, with a higher ash yield and total sulfur content and lower moisture and C, H, and N contents than other coal samples.

4.2. Geochemistry. 4.2.1. Major Oxides. SiO2 and Al2O3 were the main components (Table 2) of major element oxides in C6 coal, with average contents of 6.3 and 4.0%, respectively, which were lower than those in the common Chinese coals.16 The concentrations of Fe2O3, MgO, TiO2, K2O, and CaO in C6 coal were also lower than those in common Chinese coals, whereas Na2O was the single major oxide with a higher average content than Chinese coals. The variations of SiO2, Al2O3, MgO, Na2O, and K2O contents across the sampling section resemble the ash yields, except WJB-08 (Figure 2). The Fe2O3 content variation in the section coincides with the sulfur content.

4.2.2. Trace Elements. Trace element concentrations in the coal samples and roof and floor rock samples of C6 coal are given in Table 3. Concentration coefficient (CC) is used to reflect the enrichment of trace elements in coals, which is calculated by samples investigated divided by averages for world hard coals.43 The enrichment was classified into unusually enriched (CC > 100), significantly enriched (10 < CC < 100), enriched (5 < CC < 10), slightly enriched (2 < CC < 5), normal (0.5 < CC < 2), and depleted (CC < 0.5).43

Based on the CC of elements, Li is significantly enriched and Sr is enriched in the C6 coal, with average concentrations of 124 and 664 μg/g, respectively. C6 coal is also slightly enriched in Cu, Nb, and Ta, with average concentrations of 37, 7.6, and 0.68 μg/g, respectively. Beryllium, Mn, Zn, As, Rb, Cd, Cs, Ba, W, Ti, and Bi are depleted, and the remaining elements are in normal ranges (Figure 3).

Trace element concentrations of the coal samples were lower than those of roof and floor rock samples (Figure 4). Sample WJB-08 was also conspicuous in terms of vertical changes of certain trace elements, such as Co, Ni, Cu, Ti, and Pb (Figure 4). The variation of Li throughout the C6 coal was quite different from other elements. First, the concentrations of Li in coal and noncoal roof and floor samples are similar. Second, sample WJB-08 has the lowest Li content across the section, which is in sharp contrast to other elements with the maximum therein.

Additionally, Li concentrations are relatively high in the central part of the studied section. Sr is significantly enriched in samples WJB-05 and WJB-06, whereas Sr concentrations in the remaining samples are generally within the range of world hard coals.

4.2.3. Rare-Earth Elements. Rare-earth element concentrations were at the normal level in C6 coal compared to the world hard coals.17 REEs were divided into three fractions, light REE (LREE, including La, Ce, Pr, Nd, and Sm), medium REE (MREE, including Eu, Gd, Tb, and Dy), and heavy REE (HREE, including Ho, Er, Tm, Yb, and Lu).4

REE distribution patterns normalized by the upper continental crust (UCC) differed in each portion of C6 coal.43 The floor (WJB-21) was characterized by the positive Eu anomalies; the lowest portion of the coal inherited this feature. The positive Eu anomaly was increasingly weaker upward in turn from WJB-
20 to WJB-14 (Figure 5a). The middle portion of C6 coal from WJB-13 to WJB-09 was characterized by a negative Eu anomaly (Figure 5b). Sample WJB-08 has the lowest REE concentration across the C6 coal, and its distribution pattern was also uncommon (Figure 5c). Sample WJB-07 was characterized by the slightly positive Eu anomalies. The REE distribution patterns of coal samples WJB-06 to WJB-02 and the roof were presented as negative Eu anomalies (Figure 5d).

4.3. Mineralogy.

4.3.1. X-Ray Diffraction Results. X-ray diffraction data show that the minerals in the low-temperature ashed coal samples are mainly composed of quartz, pyrite, calcite, kaolinite, chlorite, illite, and mixed-layer illite/smectite (Figures 6 and 7). The percentages of each clay mineral were diverse (Table 4). The kaolinite content was high in samples WJB-04 and WJB-12 and low in WJB-19. On the contrary, the chlorite content was low in WJB-04 and WJB-12 but high in WJB-19. Clay minerals had also a major percentage in mudstone samples WJB-06 to WJB-02 and the roof were present as negative Eu anomalies (Figure 5d).

4.3.2. Minerals Observed by SEM-EDS. SEM-EDS analysis was carried out to determine the mineral morphology in C6 coal (Figure 8). Clay minerals were widely observed in C6 coals, which often occurred in filling the cell lumens of the inertinite macerals or voids of other macerals (Figure 8c). Chlorite (Figure 8d), kaolinite (Figure 8a), and illite/smectite (Figure 8e) were the major clay minerals. Celestine was found in sample WJB-05 (Figure 8d). Pyrite was one of the major minerals in C6 coal, occurring as both fine-grained single-crystal pyrite (Figure 8a) and framboidal grain (Figure 8b). The widespread clay minerals correspond to the high content of SiO$_2$ and Al$_2$O$_3$ in the coal, and the common pyrite contributes to the relatively high Fe$_2$O$_3$ and sulfur content in the coal.

Table 4. Percentage of Minerals in the Low-Temperature Ashed Coal Samples and Roof and Floor Mudstone Samples$^a$

| sample | LTA yield | clay mineral | whole rock |
|--------|-----------|--------------|------------|
|        |           | kaolinite    | chlorite   | illite | I/S | total clay | quartz | feldspar | calcite | pyrite | anatase |
| WJB-01 |           | 1            | 1          | 99     | 1    | 85.6       | 14.1    | 0.3      |         |        |         |
| WJB-04 | 35.6      | 51           | 7          | 3      | 39   | 80.5       | 12.9    | 5.2      | 1.4     |        |         |
| WJB-08 |           | 2            | 15         | 83     | 2    | 90         | 2.8     | 7.2      |         |        |         |
| WJB-12 | 29.3      | 32           | 8          | 7      | 53   | 85.2       | 1.4     | 7.4      | 10.7    |        |         |
| WJB-19 | 28.7      | 8            | 61         | 6      | 25   | 85         | 1       | 3.3      |         |        |         |
| WJB-21 |           | 1            | 1          | 98     | 1    | 85         | 1       | 10.7     |         |        |         |

$^a$I/S, illite/smectite.
Figure 8. SEM images and EDS spectra of C6 coal in the Wenjiaba Mine, (a) kaolinite and pyrite in sample WJB-12, (b) framboid pyrite in sample WJB-12, (c) Fe-bearing illite/smectite in organic matter of sample WJB-11, (d) Mg- and Fe-bearing chlorite in sample WJB-14, and (e) Ca- and Ba-bearing celestine in sample WJB-05.
Figure 9. (a) Pearson correlations between trace elements and ash yield, total sulfur; (b) Pearson correlations between trace elements and C and N contents; (c) Pearson correlations between Li and moisture, ash yield, volatile matter, total sulfur, O, C, H, and N contents, and other elements; and (d) Pearson correlations between Sr and moisture, ash yield, volatile matter, total sulfur, O, C, H, and N contents, and other elements.

Figure 10. (a) Scatter plots of Li with kaolinite and chlorite and (b) scatter plots of Li with illite and I/S.
5. DISCUSSION

Statistical methods (e.g., Pearson correlation and cluster analysis) are commonly performed for determining the occurrence mode of trace elements, although they have some limitations. The elemental affinities support from direct methods (e.g., SEM-EDS) contribute to more accurate results. The statistical methods were combined with direct SEM-EDS analysis in this paper. Manganese, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, As, Mo, Cd, Cs, TI, and Pb are positively correlated to the ash yield, total sulfur, and Fe₂O₃ (Figure 9), while they were negatively correlated to the total C and N content of the studied samples. Since they are either siderophile or chalcophile, it can be inferred that they were inorganically associated; therefore, pyrite is likely their main host. In contrast, Be, Sc, Cr, Ga, Ba, Ta, Bi, Th, U, and REEs are positively correlated to the ash yield; furthermore, most of them are significantly positively related to the lithophile elements SiO₂, Al₂O₃, MgO, K₂O, Na₂O, and TiO₂ suggesting that they mainly occurred in aluminosilicate minerals. However, Li, Sr, and Y show weakly negative correlations to both ash yield and total sulfur content.

5.1. Mode of Occurrence of Li. Lithium displays weak or no correlation with other indicators (including other elements, moisture, ash yield, and volatile, Figure 9). The correlation coefficients of Li-Al₂O₃, Li-Be, Li-Bi, and Li-Th are more than 0.5, while those of Li-S, Li-Fe₂O₃, Li-Co, Li-Ni, Li-Mo, and Li-Ti are less than −0.4 (Figure 9). Lithium, Al, Be, and Th are lithophiles, while Co, Ni, and Ti are siderophile or chalcophile elements. The correlation analysis of Li indicates it mainly occurred in the clay minerals, corresponding to the former studies and the high percentage of clay minerals in C6 coal. Among the clay minerals in C6 coal, chlorine (clayomuscovite) is an alternative host of Li in the formula of (Mg,Fe²⁺,Fe³⁺,Mn,Ni,Na₃Li₄Al)₆[(Si₄Al₁₀)O₂₈(OH)₁₆]⁶⁰ which has been reported in Guanbanwusu coals as well. Kaolinite is also a probable host of Li, which was been discovered in both Jincheng coals and Zhina coals.¹⁴⁻¹⁵,¹⁷⁻¹⁸ I/S was the dominant clay mineral in the roof and floor samples (Table 4), which was also reported to have a relatively high Li concentration. There is no doubt that I/S is one of the hosts of Li; however, it cannot be the major host of Li in the C6 coal samples because the coal samples have a much lower I/S content but a higher Li concentration than that of the roof and floor samples. Comparatively, kaolinite, rather than chloride, is likely the dominant host of Li in C6 coal. The concentrations of Li are much higher in the samples with high kaolinite contents. Moreover, Li is significantly positively correlated with kaolinite while negatively correlated with chloride and I/S (Figure 10b). Therefore, kaolinite is the major Li-bearing mineral in C6 coal.

Lithium is a critical metal in China, United States, the EU, and many other countries. Many attempts have been made to recover Li from coal ash. Although there is a relatively high Li concentration in Chinese coals, it is still much lower than the Chinese industrial indicator for recovery of associated Li₂O (0.2%). Only coals from a few coalfields, such as Jungar and Pingshuo coals, have reached the industrial indicator. Li₂O in the C6 coal ash ranges from 0.01 to 0.15%, which is lower than the industrial indicator. To reduce the costs of mining, the recovery cutoffs of Li in coals were proposed to be 100 and 120 μg/g. Based on this threshold, Li in C6 coals (averaging 124 μg/g) is a potential extraction target.

5.2. Mode of Occurrence of Sr. Strontium occurs in minerals in the form of Sr⁴⁺, so it is often hosted in carbonate, phosphate, sulfate, and silicate minerals. Samples WJB-05 and WJB-06 are significantly rich in Sr. The concentrations of Sr were positively correlated to only CaO in C6 coals (Figure 9). In addition, samples WJB-05 and WJB-06 significantly influenced the correlations between Sr and CaO in C6 coal, indicating that Ca-bearing minerals were the main host of Sr in samples WJB-05 and WJB-06. Celestine was observed in sample WJB-05 in the SEM-EDS examination, and Ca and Ba were also detected in the sample (Figure 8c). Therefore, it can be reasonably inferred that celestine was one of the major Sr-bearing minerals in samples WJB-05 and WJB-06.

6. CONCLUSIONS

The Late Permian C6 coal in the Wenjiaba Mine is anthracite with a low ash yield, medium to high sulfur content, and low volatile yield. Mineralogical compositions are composed of clay minerals, pyrite, quartz, and calcite. Clay minerals, including kaolinite, chlorite, illite, and mixed-layer illite/smectite, are the major mineralogical components in C6 coal.

Contents of SiO₂, Al₂O₃, Fe₂O₃, MgO, TiO₂, K₂O, and CaO are lower than those in common Chinese coals, while Na₂O was higher. Lithium was significantly enriched in C6 coal, with an average of 124 μg/g, and it was dominantly hosted by kaolinite. Strontium was enriched in C6 coal as well, with an average of 663 μg/g, especially for samples WJB-05 and WJB-06, and dominantly occurred in the celestine.
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