Experimental Investigation of the Effect of Sisal Fiber on the Partially Replaced Cement with Groundnut Shell Ash in Concrete
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Abstract. An investigation on the effect of sisal fiber on the partially replaced cement with Groundnut Shell Ash in Concrete was carried out. Sisal fiber of 3.5cm length which forms 1% of the mix by weight with groundnut shell ash as a partial replacement for cement was used in preparing the concrete specimen. Compressive strength test was carried out using 0%, 5%, 10%, 15%, 20%, 25% and 30% of Groundnut shell ash as replacement of cement at different curing ages of 7, 14, 21 and 28 days. It was observed that at 7 and 14 days of curing, it is needless introducing the GSA since the maximum compressive strength obtained were at 0% GSA. At 21 and 28 days of curing, a considerable increase in compressive strength was observed for 5% and 10% of GSA. However, 5% of GSA can be regarded as the optimum content since it gives the maximum compressive strength value of 30.1N/mm² at 28 days of curing. This is followed by 10% replacement of GSA yielding 28.10N/mm² and then 0% GSA replacement yielding 25.01N/mm².
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1. Introduction

The use of cement for construction in developing countries cannot be overemphasized. Also, the incessant increase in the price of Portland cement is attributable to possible overwhelming rate of demand in the construction industries. This has consequently compelled researchers to search for total or partial alternative building materials among several pozzolanic materials. Buari et al., (2013) describes groundnut shell ash (GSA) as a good pozzolanic material which reacts with calcium hydroxide forming calcium silicate hydrate. The specific gravity of the GSA was found to be less than that of the Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC) it replaced, this means that a considerable greater volume of cementitious materials will result from mass replacement of the OPC with the GSA. The investigation also showed that the compressive strength value of the GSA-OPC blended concrete at 10% replacement level performed better and would be acceptable and considered as a good development for construction of masonry walls and mass foundations in low cost housing in Nigeria. Olutoge et al., (2013) investigated the Characteristics Strength and durability of GSA blended with cement concrete in Sulphate Environments. From the result of the tests and analysis carried out, it was deduced that the Groundnut Shell Ash blended with cement concrete has a proven resistance to magnesium sulphate, sodium sulphate and calcium sulphate media and would exhibit a better performance in soils containing these media (MgSO₄, Na₂SO₄, CaSO₄). It was also observed that there was a decrease in slump value with increase in GSA replacement which is reflective of the fact that GSA can reduce the consistency and ultimately, the workability of concrete. Alabadan et al., (2006) having used higher percentage of GSA reported that there is a decrease in the compressive strength of concrete produced as the percentages of GSA increases resulting in maximum strength of 16N/mm² at 28 day of curing for 70%/30% replacement. Likewise, Mujedu. and Adebara (2016) also carried out the experimental investigation using GSA as partial replacement in concrete varying the percentage from 0% to 75%, at intervals of 15%. The results presented showed that 15% GSA replacement produced concrete of 20.10N/mm² compressive strength at 28 days of curing. Similarly, Ikumapayi (2018) worked on GSA as partial replacement of cement.
in concrete using lower percentage of replacement from 0% to 16%. He reported that 4% replacement produced 16N/mm² strength of concrete after 28 days of curing.

It is important to mention that the limitations inherent in concrete as a building material for example, being poor or weak in tension, is also a reason for the litany of researches for how else it can be improved other the use of steel rods to reinforce it or complement its tensile strength. Many researches have been carried out in order to investigate the effect of addition of fibers on many strength properties of concrete such as tensile strength, compressive strength and flexural strength. Researches into the types of fibers to be used in concrete applications have been intensified since the 1950s alongside researches on the improvement of the composite materials technologies. Various types of fiber materials such as steel, carbon, glass, plastic, polypropylene, nylon, and cotton were tested. From the results of these researches, American Concrete Institute’s (ACI) Committee 544 (2002) classified fiber reinforced concrete into four groups based on the fiber materials: steel fiber reinforced Concrete (SFRC), glass fiber reinforced concrete (GFRC), synthetic fiber reinforced concrete (SNFRC), and natural fiber reinforced concrete (NFRC). Synthetic fibers such as polyester, acrylic, polyethylene and polypropylene are further subdivided into micro-synthetic fibers (for diameter less than 0.30 mm) and macro-synthetic fibers (for diameter greater than 0.30 mm). Glass and natural fibers show vulnerability to temperature variation and environmental conditions, respectively, leaving steel and synthetic fibers as the most viable concrete reinforcement options. The focus of this experimental investigation is to establish the effect of sisal fibre on the properties of harden concrete partially replaced with groundnut shell ash. Having taking into consideration the experimental results of other researchers using GSA as partial replacement of cement in concrete without addition of Sisal fibre.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials used for the experiment

The major materials used for this research work include the following fine aggregate, coarse aggregate, cement, sisal fiber, ground nut shell ash and clean water.

2.1.1. Fine aggregate

Fine aggregate sample was obtained from tipper garage at Sabon Yelwa Chikun LGA Kaduna, Kaduna State. It free from silt and debris that can inhibit the strength development of concrete. The coefficient of absorption of the fine aggregate used was 1% and the fineness value was 2.2 which indicate that it good for concrete since is not up to 3.2 value which is not suitable for concrete material. The maximum size of the fine aggregate is sizes less than 4.75mm.

2.1.2. Coarse aggregate

Crushed granite gravel having the minimum size of 7mm and maximum size of 12mm was chosen as the coarse aggregates, sample is obtained from tipper garage at Sabon Yelwa Chikun LGA Kaduna, Kaduna State.

2.1.3. Cement

Ordinary Portland cement of 53 grade (ASTM C150 type I (2020)) with specific gravity of 3.25 was used for preparing the concrete mix. The cement used have the fineness of 3.65% which is below the value of 10% as specified by BS 4550: Part 3 (1978) which stated that percentage of cement sample retained on 45, 90 band 300 micro meters sieve should not exceed 10%.
2.1.4. Sisal fibre

Sisal fiber sample was obtained from Tudun Wada market, Kaduna south LGA Kaduna, Kaduna State.

It was cut into 4cm length. The sample of the sisal fibre used in this study is presented in Figure 1. The results of the research work carried out by Sani et al., (2017) on the properties of sisal fibre was adopted for this investigation as presented in Table 1.

![Sample of Sisal fibre](image)

**Fig. 1. Sample of Sisal fibre.**

| Property                          | Quantity |
|-----------------------------------|----------|
| Natural humidity %                | 14.48    |
| Average diameter, mm              | 0.13     |
| Water absorption, %               | 340      |
| Specific gravity                  | 0.22     |
| Tensile Strength of 1 strand N/mm²| 10.60    |
| Tensile strength of 2 strands N/mm²| 24.45   |
| Tensile strength of 3 strands N/mm²| 30.60   |
| Elongation at break, mm           | 5.58     |
| Colour                            | Shiny white |

2.1.5. Groundnut shell

Groundnut shell sample is obtained from Television market Kaduna south LGA Kaduna, Kaduna State. The groundnut shell was sun dried and put in the open drum and burnt in ashes. This was grinded and sieved with 25mm micro sieve. The sample of groundnut shell burnt to obtain the ash is shown in Figure 2 and the chemical composition of the groundnut shell ash (GSA) is as shown in Table 2 and it has the fineness of 7.8%.
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**Table 2. Chemical Composition of Groundnut Shell Ash (GSA)**

| Oxide  | Percentage Composition | OPC (BS 12 Ranges) |
|--------|------------------------|--------------------|
| SiO₂   | 24.32                  | 17- 25             |
| Al₂O₃  | 5.31                   | 3- 8               |
| Fe₂O₃  | 1.01                   | 0.5- 6.0           |
| CaO    | 8.93                   | 60- 67             |
| MgO    | 5.34                   | 0.1- 4.0           |
2.2. Methods

The mix proportion of 1:2:3 was adopted using a mould size of 100x100x100mm. The mix proportion by mass constituted 3.63kg of cement, 7.27kg of fine aggregate (sand) and 11.76kg of coarse aggregate with a water-cement ratio of 0.65. Adequate mixing was done in order to produce a cement mortar of homogenous consistency as seen in Figure 3. The concrete was first cast with 0% GSA, this is to serve as a control. Groundnut shell ash was then introduced as partial replacement of cement using 5%, 10%, 15%, 20%, 25% and 30% with sisal fiber of 3.5cm length subsequently introduced of up to 1% of the concrete cube. A thin film petroleum jelly was used to the clean mould and also the contact surfaces of the bottom of the mould. After placing the concrete in the mould, the concrete was compacted using a rammer or tampering rod for 25 blows in 3 different layers. The cast cube in the mould was covered with an impervious sheet and allowed to set at room temperature for 24 hours. The concrete cube was removed from the mould, marked for identification and immersed in clean water for curing. The concrete cubes were tested at 7days, 14days, 21days and 28days of curing without fiber and 0% of GSA content to serve as control. Other concrete specimen were prepared containing 1% Sisal fiber as recommended by Sani et al., (2019) of average 3.5cm length being added and the cement content being replaced partially with groundnut shell ash at 5%, 10%, 15%, 20%, 25% and 30% . The cubes were then tested at 7days, 14days, 21days and 28days of curing. A slump test was also carried out for all the varied proportions containing GSA replaced with cement.
2.2.1. **Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was carried out on results obtained using analysis of variance (ANOVA) with the Microsoft Excel Analysis Tool Pak Software Package to determine the levels of significance of the effect of sisal fiber on partially replace Cement with groundnut shell ash in concrete.

3. **Results and discussion**

From the slump test carried out, the following results can be observed as seen in Figure 4. From the relationship between the percentage groundnut shell ash and the slump value, it can be deduced that the increase in the groundnut shell ash reduces the consistency and ultimately the workability of the concrete produced. This is traceable to the fact that increase in percentage addition of GSA requires higher water/cement ratio to produce a workable concrete without adding any water reducing agent.
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It was observed that the inclusion of groundnut shell ash and sisal fiber in a concrete increased the compressive strength of concrete and the highest value of compressive strength was obtained at 5% replacement of GSA in a sisal fiber-reinforced-concrete as seen in Figure 5. Significant increase in the compressive strength is recorded in 21 and 28 days of curing whose peaks are at 5% replacement in fiber reinforced concrete. This is different from other research findings whose assertion that 10% replacement level performs better and would be acceptable and considered as a good development for construction of masonry walls and mass foundations in low cost housing in Nigeria. The 5% optimal replacement arrived at in this research is apparently owing to the sisal fibre introduced.

**Two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA)**

Two way analysis of variance tests was carried out to determine the level of significance of the effect of sisal fiber on partially replace Cement with groundnut shell ash in concrete and also the level of significance of the influence of curing age(days) on the compressive strength of the concrete. Table 3 shows the result of the two way analysis of variance. The two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) for compressive strength of GSA replaced cement cured at different curing age (see Table 3) shows that the effect of GSA and curing period (days) on the compressive strength of concrete were statistically significant ($F_{CAL} = 31.06699 > F_{CRIT} = 2.661305$) for GSA.
replaced cement and \( (F_{\text{cal}} = 129.459 > F_{\text{crit}} = 3.159908) \) for curing age (days). The effect of curing age (days) was more pronounced than that of GSA replace cement.

**Fig. 5. Compressive Strength- Concrete Age Relation**

**Table 3. Two-way analysis of variance results for compressive strength of GSA replaced cement at different curing age (days).**

| SUMMARY | Count | Sum  | Average | Variance |
|---------|-------|------|---------|----------|
| 0% GSA  | 4     | 83.99| 20.9975 | 14.96602 |
| 5% GSA  | 4     | 92.02| 23.005  | 45.57903 |
| 10% GSA | 4     | 82.78| 20.695  | 47.03663 |
| 15% GSA | 4     | 72.18| 18.045  | 36.33097 |
| 20% GSA | 4     | 65.28| 16.32   | 41.66947 |
| 25% GSA | 4     | 57.61| 14.4025 | 28.61603 |
| 30% GSA | 4     | 52.11| 13.0275 | 23.45023 |
| 7 Days  | 7     | 84.8 | 12.11429| 9.504662 |
| 14 Days | 7     | 101.2| 14.45714| 17.93752 |
| 21 Days | 7     | 151.38| 21.62571| 12.50953 |
| 28 Days | 7     | 168.59| 24.08429| 19.8152 |

**ANOVA**

| Source of Variation | SS     | df  | MS     | F       | P-value | F crit |
|---------------------|--------|-----|--------|---------|---------|-------|
| GSA                 | 327.0224 | 6   | 54.50373| 1.49E-08| 2.661305|
| Curing Days         | 681.366  | 3   | 227.122 | 129.459 | 2.27E-12| 3.159908|
| Error               | 31.57909 | 18  | 1.754394|         |         |       |
| Total               | 1039.967 | 27  |         |         |         |       |

**4. Conclusion and Recommendation**

From the results of the study, it can be concluded that Groundnut Shell Ash used as partial replacement for cement with 1% of sisal fiber can significantly increase the compressive strength of a concrete. The compressive strength obtained between 5%, 10% and 15% at 21 and 28 days of curing are satisfactory however, 5% replacement of cement with GSA yields the highest compressive strength value and can hence be regarded as the optimal GSA content required for a sisal-reinforced-concrete. The strength at 10 - 15% groundnut shell ash replacement at 28 days of curing satisfies BS 4550 Part 3 section 3.4 requirements hence, the use of sisal fiber of up to 1% of the weight of concrete and 10% - 15% of replaced cement with
GSA at 28 days of curing provides compressive strength that is adequate and therefore can be recommended for mass concrete production.
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