Facile fabrication of amino-functionalized MIL-68(Al) metal–organic framework for effective adsorption of arsenate (As(V))
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An amino-functionalized MIL-68(Al) metal–organic framework (amino-MIL-68(Al) MOF) was synthesized by solvothermal method and then characterized by FESEM, XRD, FTIR, EDX-mapping, and BET-BJH techniques. In order to predict arsenate (As(V)) removal, a robust quadratic model ($R^2 > 0.99$, $F$-value = 2389.17 and $p$ value < 0.0001) was developed by the central composite design (CCD) method and then the genetic algorithm (GA) was utilized to optimize the system response and four independent variables. The results showed that As(V) adsorption on MOF was affected by solution pH, adsorbent dose, As(V) concentration and reaction time, respectively. Predicted and experimental As(V) removal efficiencies under optimal conditions were 99.45 and 99.87%, respectively. The fitting of experimental data showed that As(V) adsorption on MOF is well described by the nonlinear form of the Langmuir isotherm and pseudo-second-order kinetic. At optimum pH 3, the maximum As(V) adsorption capacity was 74.29 mg/g. Thermodynamic studies in the temperature range of 25 to 50 °C showed that As(V) adsorption is a spontaneous endothermic process. The reusability of MOF in ten adsorption/regeneration cycles was studied and the results showed high reusability of this adsorbent. The highest interventional effect in inhibiting As(V) adsorption was related to phosphate anion. The results of this study showed that amino-MIL-68(Al) can be used as an effective MOF with a high surface area (>1000 m$^2$/g) and high reusability for As(V)-contaminated water.

Contamination of water resources with arsenic (As) is a major environmental threat because As, in addition to acute toxicity and high mobility in water sources, has a high accumulation capacity in the food chain and aquatic organisms, so it can cause serious diseases such as skin, kidney, liver and lung cancers in humans1. As is recognized by the World Health Organization (WHO) as a priority issue and the guideline for its concentration in drinking water is 10 µg/L2,3. The toxicity of As depends on the species and its oxidation state. Inorganic species of As in the aquatic environment include arsenite (As(III)) and arsenate (As(V)). In alkaline water sources (pH > 7.5) the predominant species is As(III), which is 60 times more toxic than As(V)4. Organic As species including dimethyl arsenic acid, monomethylarsonic acid and arsenobetaine are about 70 times less toxic than inorganic As species5. Various processes have been studied to remove As from contaminated water, including nanofiltration6, electrochemical techniques7, chemical precipitation8, ion exchange9, and membrane separation10. Production of excess sludge, high cost and energy requirement, incomplete removal of pollutants, high chemical requirements and high costs of operation and maintenance are disadvantages of previous methods11.

Adsorption process is an easy, efficient, cost-effective and environmentally friendly method that is widely used to remove toxic elements from contaminated water12. However, the performance of commercial adsorbents such as activated carbon, activated alumina and powdered zeolite for As adsorption has not been satisfactory13.
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Therefore, the main focus of research is on the development of a new group of porous adsorbents that, despite their high reusability, are able to increase the adsorption capacity and adsorption kinetics of As(V). In this regard, in the last decade, promising and emerging adsorbents called Metal Organic Frameworks (MOFs) have received special attention. MOFs are a unique group of crystalline porous materials whose skeleton consists of coordinated bonds of metal nodes and organic linkers. Hence, they are also referred to as porous coordination polymers (PCPs). Large surface area (1000 to 10,000 m²/g), high porosity and high crystallinity are the most important attractive properties of MOFs. Thus, different types of MOFs have been successfully developed to adsorb environmental pollutants. Early MOFs could not be used in water due to the instability of the ligand–metal bonds because they decomposed gradually when exposed to moisture. However, metal carboxylate frameworks containing high-valence metal ions are in the group of water-stable MOFs. The MIL family is a group of MOFs composed of trivalent metal cations such as Al³⁺, Cr³⁺, V³⁺, In³⁺ and Ga³⁺ and carboxylic acid (HCl), sodium hydroxide (NaOH) was also purchased from Merck Company. Also, the obtained solid was dispersed in MeOH and rinsed for three times for removing the DMF. Finally, the amino-MIL-68(Al) instead of terephthalic acid. As briefly, the synthesis was accomplished by dissolving 5.0 g hydrate (AlCl₃·6H₂O), N, N-Dimethylformamide (DMF), and methanol (MeOH) were purchased from Merck Company and utilized without any purification. Disodium hydrogen arsenate (Na₂HAsO₄·7H₂O), hydrochloric acid (HCl), sodium hydroxide (NaOH) was also purchased from Merck Company.

Materials and methods

Materials. All of the reagents including 2-aminoterephthalic acid (NH₂-H₂BDC), aluminum chloride hexahydrate (AlCl₃·6H₂O), N, N-Dimethylformamide (DMF), and methanol (MeOH) were purchased from Merck Company and utilized without any purification. Disodium hydrogen arsenate (Na₂HAsO₄·7H₂O), hydrochloric acid (HCl), sodium hydroxide (NaOH) was also purchased from Merck Company.

Synthesis amino-MIL-68(Al). Preparation of MIL-68(Al) by the solvothermal method has been reported in previous studies. In the present study, 2-aminoterephthalic acid was used as a ligand for the synthesis of amino-MIL-68(Al) instead of terephthalic acid. As briefly, the synthesis was accomplished by dissolving 5.0 g (30 mmol) 2-aminoterephthalic acid (NH₂-H₂BDC) and 4.88 g (20 mmol) AlCl₃·6H₂O in 300 mL of DMF. The prepared precursor was transferred into a round flask and kept under reflux for 18 h at 130 °C. After cooling down of mixture, the solid was centrifuged and rinsed three times by DMF for remove the unreacted ligands. Also, the obtained solid was dispersed in MeOH and rinsed for three times for remove of the DMF. Finally, the amino-MIL-68(Al) MOF was aged overnight at 100 °C.

Characterization of amino-MIL-68(Al). The morphology of the synthesized MOF surface was studied with field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM) images (FEI-Nova NanoSEM 450). The crystalline structure of the MOF was investigated by X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis (Ultima IV, Rigaku). Functional groups in the MOF structure were also determined by Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR, Perkin-Elmer, spectrum 65, Waltham, USA). Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) mapping (Bruker XFlash6L10) was used to observe the composition of the elements and their distribution on the synthesized adsorbent surface. Finally, the textural properties of the amino-MIL-68(Al) such as specific surface area and pore size were studied by ADS/DES isotherms of nitrogen obtained at 77 K (BELsorp mini II, BEL, Japan). The Zeta potential of the prepared MOF at pH 3–11 was measured using a Zeta Sizer (Malvern, England).

CCD experimental design. Experimental design and statistical analysis of data were performed with RSM-CCD. The main advantage of this method is the development of a mathematical model with a small number of experiments that can identify the optimal points of independent variables and evaluate the effect of variables as well as the interaction between them. In the present study, the effect of four independent variables including solution pH (A), amino-MIL-68(Al) dose (B), reaction time (C) and As(V) concentration (D) on the efficiency of As(V) removal as a system response (Y) was studied by a five-level full CCD. Table 1 shows four independent variables and their five coded levels. Independent variables and their ranges were selected based on experimental data obtained from the pre-test. The designed experiments are also presented in Table 2. Each experimental run was performed with three replications and their mean response was presented as As(V) removal efficiency in Table 2. Statistical analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to determine the regression coefficients of the model. The graphical relationship between the variables and the system response was also demonstrated using response surface plots. The optimization of independent variables was also performed with the aim of maximizing the efficiency of As(V) removal by applying the final model equation in the GA tool. Design-Expert v13 (https://www.
state ase. com/ docs/ v13/) and MATLAB R2013a (https:// www.mathw orks.com/ produ cts/ matlab. html) software were used to perform CCD and GA in this study, respectively.

As(V) adsorption experiments. Adsorption experiments were performed in a batch reactor containing the desired concentrations of As(V) (D: 2.5–50 mg/L) and amino-MIL-68(Al) MOF (B: 0.05–0.4 g/L). The effect of four independent variables defined in Table 1 was studied on the efficiency of As(V) adsorption. As(V) solutions were prepared synthetically by disodium hydrogen arsenate (Na₂HAsO₄·7H₂O). In order to completely mix the sample solution containing As(V) and MOF, a magnetic stirrer with a stirrer speed of 100 rpm was used. 0.1 NaOH and 0.1 HCl solutions were used to adjust the pH (A: 3–11) of the sample solution (SensION, HACH). After a specified time (C: 10–80 min), the samples were centrifuged for 10 min at 7000 rpm to separate the MOF from the solution. As(V) concentrations were determined using ICP-MS before and after the adsorption process. As(V) adsorption efficiency (Y, %) and adsorption capacity (qₑ, mg/g) were calculated by Eqs. (1) and (2). After optimizing the As(V) adsorption on the amino-MIL-68(Al), the reusability of the adsorbent was evaluated for ten consecutive reuses. Also, the effect of interfering anions on As(V) adsorption efficiency in the presence of Cl⁻, SO₄²⁻, NO₃⁻ and PO₄³⁻ was evaluated.

Table 1. Independent variables and their coded levels.

| Variable | Symbols | −α | 1  | 0  | +1 | +α |
|----------|---------|----|----|----|----|----|
| pH       | A       | 3  | 5  | 7  | 9  | 11 |
| Amino-MIL-68(Al) dosage (g/L) | B | 0.05 | 0.14 | 0.23 | 0.31 | 0.4 |
| Reaction time (min) | C | 10 | 27.5 | 45 | 62.5 | 80 |
| As(V) concentration (mg/L) | D | 2.5 | 14.375 | 26.25 | 38.125 | 50 |

Table 2. Experimental design with As(V) removal efficiency.

| Run | Variable 1 A: pH | Variable 2 B: MOF dosage (g/L) | Variable 3 C: Reaction time (min) | Variable 4 D: As(V) concentration (mg/L) | System response Y: As removal (%) |
|-----|------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1   | 5                | 0.31                          | 27.5                          | 38.125                                    | 44.8                             |
| 2   | 7                | 0.23                          | 10                            | 26.25                                     | 24.2                             |
| 3   | 7                | 0.4                           | 45                            | 26.25                                     | 55.8                             |
| 4   | 7                | 0.23                          | 45                            | 26.25                                     | 39.6                             |
| 5   | 7                | 0.23                          | 45                            | 50                                        | 26.5                             |
| 6   | 5                | 0.14                          | 27.5                          | 38.125                                    | 26.4                             |
| 7   | 9                | 0.31                          | 62.5                          | 14.375                                    | 48.1                             |
| 8   | 7                | 0.23                          | 45                            | 26.25                                     | 38.5                             |
| 9   | 5                | 0.14                          | 27.5                          | 14.375                                    | 40.6                             |
| 10  | 7                | 0.23                          | 45                            | 2.5                                       | 54.7                             |
| 11  | 9                | 0.14                          | 27.5                          | 38.125                                    | 2.1                              |
| 12  | 9                | 0.14                          | 62.5                          | 14.375                                    | 27.6                             |
| 13  | 7                | 0.23                          | 45                            | 26.25                                     | 39.6                             |
| 14  | 7                | 0.23                          | 80                            | 26.25                                     | 48.3                             |
| 15  | 3                | 0.23                          | 45                            | 26.25                                     | 62.4                             |
| 16  | 5                | 0.31                          | 62.5                          | 14.375                                    | 71.4                             |
| 17  | 9                | 0.31                          | 62.5                          | 38.125                                    | 33.3                             |
| 18  | 5                | 0.31                          | 62.5                          | 38.125                                    | 60.2                             |
| 19  | 5                | 0.14                          | 62.5                          | 14.375                                    | 52.1                             |
| 20  | 5                | 0.14                          | 62.5                          | 38.125                                    | 40.9                             |
| 21  | 5                | 0.31                          | 27.5                          | 14.375                                    | 56.3                             |
| 22  | 7                | 0.23                          | 45                            | 26.25                                     | 39.5                             |
| 23  | 9                | 0.14                          | 62.5                          | 38.125                                    | 11.1                             |
| 24  | 11               | 0.23                          | 45                            | 26.25                                     | 13.2                             |
| 25  | 7                | 0.23                          | 45                            | 26.25                                     | 39.6                             |
| 26  | 9                | 0.14                          | 27.5                          | 14.375                                    | 19.6                             |
| 27  | 7                | 0.23                          | 45                            | 26.25                                     | 39.7                             |
| 28  | 7                | 0.05                          | 45                            | 26.25                                     | 15.2                             |
| 29  | 9                | 0.31                          | 27.5                          | 14.375                                    | 38.6                             |
| 30  | 9                | 0.31                          | 27.5                          | 38.125                                    | 22.4                             |
where $C_0$ (mg/L) and $C_e$ (mg/L) represent the initial and final concentrations of As(V), respectively, $m$ (mg) is assigned to the mass of amino-MIL-68(Al) MOF, and $V$ (L) is related to the sample solution.

**Results and discussion**

**Characterization of amino-MIL-68(Al) and the effect of amine group in improving MOF properties.** Figure 1 shows the morphology of the amino-MIL-68(Al) surface at different magnifications. As can be seen, the MOF surface consists of cumulative amorphous particles. According to Fig. 1f, the particle diameters are in the range of 60 to 80 nm. In Wu et al.’s study, a similar structure was reported for aluminum-based MOFs. The XRD spectrum of amino-MIL-68(Al) is presented in Fig. 2a. The Sharp characteristic peaks observed at 2θ = 5, 8.8, 9.44, 12.6, 15.25, 17.8, 18.92, 24.9 and 26.8° have been reported in previous studies, indicating that the MIL-68(Al) is well synthesized in the MOF structure. The functional groups of the synthesized amino-MIL-68(Al) can be seen in Fig. 2b. The bands appearing at 990, 1257 and 1337 cm$^{-1}$ belong to the n(C–N) absorption distinctive of aromatic amines. N–H vibration can be seen at bands 1580 and 776 cm$^{-1}$. The peak at around 1440 cm$^{-1}$ is attributed to the stretching vibration of C=C of 2-aminoterephthalic acid. C–H and C=C of the benzene rings can be identified at bands 1123 and 1395 cm$^{-1}$, respectively. The primary amines –NH$_2$ on organic linkers can be found at band 3386 cm$^{-1}$. The band at 3495 cm$^{-1}$ belongs to the vibration of OH group. Finally, the bands at 472, 551, and 608 cm$^{-1}$ belong to the vibrations of the metal center of Al–O.

Figure 3a shows the EDX-mapping analysis of the amino-MIL-68(Al) surface. As can be seen, the synthesized amino-MIL-68(Al) consists of a uniform distribution of the elements carbon (61.81 wt%), oxygen (31.6 wt%), aluminum (4.35 wt.%), and nitrogen (2.25 wt%). The chemical structure of amino-MIL-68(Al) is shown in Fig. 3. Accordingly, its chemical formula and molecular mass are $C_8H_5AlNO_5^+$ and 222.11 g/mol, respectively.
In addition, the theoretical analysis of the elements indicates that the mass percentages (wt%) of carbon, oxygen, aluminum, nitrogen and hydrogen are 43.26, 36.02, 12.15, 6.31 and 2.27, respectively. As can be seen, the mass ratio of aluminum to nitrogen is almost double, which is consistent with the EDX results. Figures 3b,c show the ADS/DES isotherm of nitrogen and Barrett-Joyner-Halenda (BJH) pore size of amino-MIL-68(Al), respectively. The shape of the isotherm is similar to type II with H3 type hysteresis, suggesting the presence of mesoporous texture with the micropores. The results also showed that the Brunner–Emmet–Teller (BET) surface area for the synthesized amino-MIL-68(Al) is 1170.9 m²/g, which is much larger than the surface area reported for MIL-88B(Fe) (214 m²/g), NH₂-MIL-88(Fe) (201 m²/g) and NH₂-MIL-68(In) (655 m²/g). The mean pore diameter and total pore volume for amino-MIL-68(Al) were also 2.64 nm and 0.7743 cm³/g, respectively.

Figures 4a–d show the surface morphology of MIL-68(Al) at different magnifications. As can be seen, the surface morphology of the amino-MIL-68(Al) (Fig. 1) is much less porous compared to MIL-68(Al), which reduces the surface area of the MOF in the absence of the amine group. The results of the ADS/DES isotherm of nitrogen, as well as the BJH pore size of MIL-68(Al), are presented in Fig. 4e,f, respectively. As expected, the BET surface area for MIL-68(Al) is 239.98 m²/g, which is less than the amino-MIL-68(Al). In other words, functionalization of MIL-68(Al) with the amine group increases the surface area of MOF by about 5 times, which means increasing the active sites for the absorption of environmental pollutants. In addition, the results showed that the mean pore diameter and total pore volume for MIL-68(Al) were 5.73 nm and 0.3436 cm³/g, respectively.

Data analysis, process modeling and optimization. To model As(V) adsorption on amino-MIL-68(Al), experimental design was performed based on CCD method. Table 2 shows the efficiency of As(V) removal in designed experiments. Data analysis showed that a quadratic model is able to predict system response with R² > 0.99. ANOVA results for As(V) adsorption by amino-MIL-68(Al) are shown in Table 3. For the model, F-value and p-value are 2389.17 and < 0.0001, respectively, which confirms that the developed model is statistically significant. However, Lack of Fit is statistically non-significant because the values of these parameters are 1.23 and 0.4341, respectively. These results indicate that the data fit well with the developed quadratic model. The final model equation is presented in Eq. (3). As can be seen, the proposed model is affected by the linear (A, B, C and D), interaction (AB, AC, AD, BC, BD and CD) and quadratic (A², B², C² and D²) effects of the independent variables. Equation (4) was used to calculate the percentage of each parameter on the system response. The final column in Table 3 shows the percentage of the positive and negative effects of each of the model parameters on the system response. As can be seen, the greatest effects on the system response are related to the linear effects of solution pH (A: − 41.34%), amino-MIL-68(Al) dose (B: + 28.70), As(V) concentration (D: − 14.29%) and reaction time (C: 9.98%), respectively. In addition, the system response is totally 3.89% affected by the interaction effects of the independent variables. Among the quadratic effects, the most important parameter affecting the system response is the amino-MIL-68(Al) dose (B²: − 0.178%).

**Figure 2.** Experimental and simulated XRD patterns of amino-MIL-68(Al) (a); FTIR spectra of amino-MIL-68(Al) (b).
where Y indicates the system response or As(V) removal efficiency (%). A, B, C and D represent the independent variables defined in Table 1. βi is also the regression coefficients of the parameters in the model equation based on coded factors.

GA method was utilized to optimize the process and predict the highest As(V) removal efficiency. For this purpose, the equation of the quadratic model was entered into the software as a fitness function, and the independent variables were adjusted to their high and low values (± α)49. The software output is shown in Fig. 5. As can be seen, after about 200 generations, the optimal values of the independent variables are predicted. Accordingly, the optimal values for solution pH, amino-MIL-68(Al) dose, reaction time and As(V) concentration were

\[
Y(\%) = 35.49 - 2.68A + 115.29 + 0.686C - 0.554D + 3.36AB - 0.034AC - 0.0444AD + 0.333BC + 0.352BD + 0.0017CD - 0.098A^2 - 108.024B^2 - 0.0025C^2 + 0.00217D^2
\]

(3)

\[
\text{Effect (\%) } = \left[ \frac{\beta_i^2}{\sum(\beta_i^2)} \right] \times 100
\]

(4)

Figure 3. EDX-mapping analysis of amino-MIL-68(Al) (a). The ADS/DES isotherm of N₂ on amino-MIL-68(Al) (b), BJH pore size of amino-MIL-68(Al) (c).
3, 0.4 (g/L), 80 min and 2.5 mg/L, respectively. For these laboratory conditions, the predicted removal efficiency for As(V) adsorption on amino-MIL-68(Al) was about 99.45%. To evaluate the accuracy of the model, three adsorption experiments were performed under optimal conditions, which showed that the average experimental removal of As(V) (99.87%) is very close to the predicted removal of As(V).

Interaction of independent variables on the efficiency of As(V) removal. The effect of the interaction of solution pH and MOF dose on As(V) removal efficiency is shown in Fig. 6. Clearly, with decreasing pH in the range of 3 to 11 and with increasing MOF dose in the range of 0.05 to 0.4 g/L, the As(V) removal efficiency is significantly improved. So that at solution pH of 11 and MOF dose of 0.05 g/L, the As(V) removal efficiency is about 9.5%. However, at a solution pH of 3 and in the presence of 0.4 g/L MOF the efficiency of As(V) removal by the model is predicted to be about 99.5%. In addition, as can be seen in the presence of 1 g/L of MOF, the efficiency of As(V) removal at pHs of 5, 7, 9 and 11 is 88.4, 76.9, 68.2 and 54.2%, respectively.

The pH of the solution is one of the operational factors that affects the efficiency of the adsorption process by affecting the properties of the adsorbent surface and the distribution of the dominant species of As(V)\textsuperscript{50}. As(V) is mainly present in the form of H\textsubscript{2}AsO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{-} in aqueous solutions with a pH in the range of 3–6. However, with increasing pH (pH > 7), the predominant forms will be HAsO\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{-} and AsO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{3-\textcircled{}}\textsuperscript{51}. The zeta potential of amino-MIL-68(Al) at pHs of 3, 5, 7, 9, and 11 was measured to be +11.8, +9.5, +4.6, +1.2, and −2.3 mV, respectively. Accordingly, the pHzpc for the amino-MIL-68(Al) was determined at 9.2. In other words, in a sample solution with a pH greater than 9.2, the amino-MIL-68(Al) surface charge has a negative state. Accordingly, the amino-MIL-68(Al) efficiency for adsorption of As(V) anionic species at high pH (pH > 9.2) is limited. However, the decrement in As(V) removal efficiency with increasing solution pH in the range of 3 to 9 can be related to the
Table 3. ANOVA results for As(V) adsorption on amino-MIL-68(Al). *A, B, C and D represent the independent variables defined in Table 1.

| Source | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F-value | p value | Effect (%) |
|--------|----------------|----|-------------|---------|---------|------------|
| Model  | 7927.32        | 14 | 566.24      | 2389.17 | < 0.0001 | −41.34553  |
| A      | 3467.68        | 1  | 3467.68     | 14,631.48 | < 0.0001 | −41.34553  |
| B      | 2320.48        | 1  | 2320.48     | 9791.01  | < 0.0001 | 28.7074    |
| C      | 838.1          | 1  | 838.1       | 3536.29  | < 0.0001 | 9.98696    |
| D      | 1198.77        | 1  | 1198.77     | 5058.08  | < 0.0001 | −14.29214  |
| AB     | 5.24           | 1  | 5.24        | 22.12    | 0.0003  | 0.398128   |
| AC     | 22.8           | 1  | 22.8        | 96.2     | < 0.0001 | −1.626429  |
| AD     | 17.85          | 1  | 17.85       | 75.32    | < 0.0001 | −1.279025  |
| BC     | 3.94           | 1  | 3.94        | 16.64    | 0.001   | 0.297481   |
| BD     | 2.03           | 1  | 2.03        | 8.58     | 0.0104  | 0.154466   |
| CD     | 2.03           | 1  | 2.03        | 8.57     | 0.0104  | 0.144137   |
| A²     | 4.24           | 1  | 4.24        | 17.91    | 0.0007  | −0.178448  |
| B²     | 18.37          | 1  | 18.37       | 77.5     | < 0.0001 | −0.783167  |
| C²     | 16.7           | 1  | 16.7        | 70.46    | < 0.0001 | −0.700305  |
| D²     | 2.56           | 1  | 2.56        | 10.81    | 0.005   | −0.106394  |
| Residual | 3.56     | 15 | 0.237      |          |         |            |
| Lack of Fit | 2.53 | 10 | 0.2527 | 1.23 | 0.4341 |            |

Figure 5. Output of GA method for optimization of independent variables in As(V) adsorption process on amino-MIL-68(Al). MATLAB R2013a software was used to create this figure (https://www.mathworks.com/products/matlab.html).
decrease of surface potential of amino-MIL-68(Al), which reduces the electrostatic attraction between the As(V) anions and the MOF surface\(^5\). Accordingly, the optimal pH in the present study was predicted to be 3, which is consistent with the results of some previous studies. Vu et al. have studied the effect of pH in the range of 3 to 11 on the removal efficiency of As(V) with MIL-53(Fe). In their study, the most As(V) removal was reported at pH 5, 3, 9, and 11, respectively\(^5\). In the study of Wang et al., the highest As(V) adsorption on UiO-66 was obtained at pH between 1 and 3\(^5\). In addition, in the study of Wu et al., the highest As(V) removal efficiency on MIL-88A microrods was observed at pH 3 and 5\(^4\). As can be seen in Fig. 6, at a solution pH of 3, the As(V) removal efficiency at the initial concentration of 50 mg/L after 10 and 80 min

![Figure 6](https://www.statease.com/docs/v13/)

**Figure 6.** Interaction of pH and MOF dose on system response (As(V) = 2.5 mg/L, Time = 80 min). Design-Expert v13 software was used to create this figure (https://www.statease.com/docs/v13/).

![Figure 7](https://www.statease.com/docs/v13/)

**Figure 7.** Interaction of reaction time and As(V) concentration on system response (pH = 3, MOF dose = 0.4 g/L). Design-Expert v13 software was used to create this figure (https://www.statease.com/docs/v13/).

...
of the reaction is about 45.7 and 85.2%, respectively. On the other hand, the removal efficiency of As(V) at initial concentrations of 2.5 and 50 mg/L after 80 min of reaction is about 99.5 and 85.2%, respectively. At a constant dose of adsorbent, the removal efficiency at high concentrations of As(V) is reduced due to the limited active adsorption sites at the surface of MOF. In this regard, the results reported by other researchers are consistent with our study50,54.

Adsorption isotherms and kinetics. The study of adsorption isotherms can reveal valuable information about the adsorption capacity and behavior of an adsorbent in interaction with an adsorbate57. For this purpose, under optimal conditions, the experimental data were evaluated with Freundlich, Langmuir, Temkin and Dublin–Radushkevich isotherm models. The Langmuir isotherm describes the monolayer adsorption on the homogeneous surface of the adsorbent. The Freundlich isotherm assumes that adsorption is not monolayer and describes equilibrium at heterogeneous surfaces58. The nonlinear and linear models of the Langmuir isotherm are presented in Eqs. (5 and (6). For Freundlich isotherm, nonlinear and linear models can be seen in Eqs. (7) and (8).

\[ q_e = \frac{q_{\text{max}} K_L C_e}{1 + K_L C_e} \]  
(5)

\[ \frac{C_e}{q_e} = \frac{1}{K_L q_{\text{max}}} + \frac{C_e}{q_{\text{max}}} \]  
(6)

\[ q_e = K_f C_e^{1/n} \]  
(7)

\[ \log q_e = \log K_f + \frac{1}{n} \]  
(8)

where \( q_e \) is the mg of As(V) adsorbed per g of amino-MIL-68(Al) (mg/g). \( C_e \) indicates the equilibrium concentration of As(V) (mg/L). \( q_{\text{max}} \) represents the maximum adsorption capacity (mg/g) and \( K_L \) represents the Langmuir equilibrium constant (l/mg). \( K_f \) and \( 1/n \) show the adsorption capacity (l/mg) and adsorption intensity, respectively. \( K_f \) and \( n \) are determined from the nonlinear graph \( q_e \) versus \( C_e \) and the linear graph \( \log q_e \) versus \( \log C_e \).

The Temkin isotherm describes the process on a heterogeneous surface with adsorption sites with the same bond energy. Equations (9) and (10) describe the nonlinear and linear models of this isotherm. The Dublin–Radushkevich isotherm describes the adsorption process on the heterogeneous surfaces. However, unlike the Freundlich isotherm, the absorption energy dissipation in this isotherm is linear59. The nonlinear and linear models of the Dublin–Radushkevich isotherm are presented in Eqs. (11) and (12).

\[ q_e = \frac{RT}{B} \ln K_T C_e \]  
(9)

\[ q_e = B \ln K_T + B \ln C_e \]  
(10)

\[ q_e = q_{\text{max}} \exp^{e \varepsilon^2} \]  
(11)

\[ \ln q_e = \ln q_{\text{max}} - \beta \varepsilon^2 \]  
(12)

\[ e = RT \ln \left( 1 + \frac{1}{C_e} \right) \]  
(13)

\[ E = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\beta}} \]  
(14)

where \( B \) represents the Temkin isotherm constant (l/mol). \( K_T \) is the maximum bond energy (l/mg). \( R \) and \( T \) are also related to gas constant (8.314 J/K mol) and temperature (K), respectively. \( q_{\text{max}} \) is the monolayer adsorption capacity in the Dublin–Radushkevich isotherm (mg/g). \( \beta \) also represents the adsorption energy constant in this isotherm. \( \varepsilon \) is the Polanyi potential calculated by Eq. (13). In the Dublin–Radushkevich isotherm, the most probable free adsorption energy (E, J/mol) is calculated by Eq. (14). E < 8 and 8 < E < 16 kJ/mol show a physical nature and chemical nature, respectively.

The nonlinear form of isotherm models is plotted in Fig. 8a. Table 4 also presents the values of different parameters and coefficients for the studied models. The results show that the Langmuir isotherm \((R^2=0.9998)\) describes the experimental data better than other models. Accordingly, the adsorption of As(V) on amino-MIL-68(Al) is homogeneous monolayer process4,60. The maximum adsorption capacity of As(V) by the Langmuir isotherm was obtained to be 74.29 mg/g, which is higher than the reported values for ZrO2-sawdust (12 mg/g)61, organic biochar (16.2 mg/g)62, CuO nanoparticles (22.6 mg/g)63, and Fe3O4-RGO-MnO2 (12.22 mg/g)64. In addition, the maximum adsorption capacity of As(V) on the synthesized amino-MIL-68(Al) compared to other MOFs including MIL-53(Fe) (21.27 mg/g)65, MIL-100(Fe) (110 mg/g)66, Fe3BTC (12.29 mg/g)68, Fe3O4@MIL-101(Cr)
(80 mg/g)\textsuperscript{67}, ZIF-8 (90.92 mg/g)\textsuperscript{68}, Co-MOF (96.1 mg/g)\textsuperscript{69}, Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}@UiO-66 (73.2 mg/g)\textsuperscript{70}, MOF-808 (24.8 mg/g)\textsuperscript{35}, UiO-66 (68 mg/g)\textsuperscript{60} and UiO-66-(SH)\textsubscript{2} (10 mg/g)\textsuperscript{71} is an acceptable value.

Determining the reaction rate and its mechanism depends on conducting kinetic studies. Different types of models have been developed to describe the kinetics of adsorption process. In the present study, the adsorption kinetic of As(V) on amino-MIL-68(Al) were studied and fitted with three different kinetic models including pseudo-first order\textsuperscript{72}, pseudo-second order\textsuperscript{73}, and intraparticle diffusion models\textsuperscript{74}. The nonlinear equations of these kinetic models are shown in Eqs. (15) to (17), respectively.

\begin{align*}
q_t &= q_e \left( 1 - e^{-k_1 t} \right) \tag{15} \\
q_t &= \frac{k_2 q_e^2 t}{1 + k_2 q_e t} \tag{16} \\
q_t &= k_i t^{0.5} + C \tag{17}
\end{align*}

where \( q_t \) (mg/g) indicates the absorption capacity at time \( t \). \( q_e \) (mg/g) is also related to the absorption capacity at equilibrium time. \( k_1 \) (1/min) and \( k_2 \) (g/mg.min) are the rates constant of adsorption for pseudo-first-order and second-first-order kinetic models, respectively. \( k_i \) (mg/g.min\textsuperscript{0.5}) expresses the rate constant of intraparticle diffusion kinetic model.

**Figure 8.** Nonlinear isotherm models (pH = 3) (a), and nonlinear adsorption kinetic models (pH = 3, As(V) = 50 mg/L) (b) for As(V) adsorption on amino-MIL-68(Al).

**Table 4.** Isotherm coefficients and parameters of As(V) adsorption on amino-MIL-68(Al).

| Adsorption isotherms | Parameters | Parameter values |
|---------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Freundlich          | \( K_F \) (mg/g) | 18.731 |
|                     | \( 1/n \) | 0.504 |
|                     | \( R^2 \) | 0.9921 |
| Langmuir            | \( q_{\text{max}} \) (mg/g) | 74.29 |
|                     | \( K_L \) (L/mg) | 0.286 |
|                     | \( R^2 \) | 0.9998 |
| Temkin              | \( K_T \) (L/g) | 135.878 |
|                     | \( b \) (L/mol) | 2166.189 |
|                     | \( R^2 \) | 0.8355 |
| Dublin–Radushkevich | \( q_{\text{max}} \) (mg/g) | 47.166 |
|                     | \( \beta \) (mol\textsuperscript{2}/kJ\textsuperscript{2}) | 0.0286 |
|                     | \( R^2 \) | 0.9471 |
|                     | \( E \) | 4.181 |
Figure 8b and Table 5 show the data obtained from the study of adsorption kinetic in the nonlinear form of the models. As can be seen, the experimental data are well consistent with the pseudo-second-order nonlinear model \(R^2 = 0.9822\), which indicates that the chemical adsorption mechanism dominates the adsorption process\(^{60}\). The results of recent studies show that As(V) adsorption on MOFs (Fe/Mg-MIL-88B(n)\(^{75}\), Fe–Co MOF-745\(^{72}\), Fe/Al-BDC-NH\(_2\)\(^{76}\) and UiO-66/PAN membrane\(^{77}\)) is generally well described by pseudo-second-order kinetic model.

**Adsorption thermodynamic.** Thermodynamic parameters provide useful information about whether the reactions are endothermic or exothermic, whether the processes are spontaneous or not, and the entropy changes in the process. Thermodynamic parameters were determined using Eqs. (18) to (20)\(^{78}\).

\[
\Delta G^\circ = -RT \ln K_c \tag{18}
\]

\[
\ln K_c = \frac{\Delta S^\circ}{R} - \frac{\Delta H^\circ}{RT} \tag{19}
\]

\[
K_c = \frac{q_e}{C_e} \tag{20}
\]

where \(\Delta G^\circ\) is the standard Gibbs free energy (kJ/mol), \(K_c\) is the distribution coefficient, which was calculated by Eq. (20). \(T\) also represents the absolute temperature of the solution (K). \(\Delta S^\circ\) (J/mol·K) and \(\Delta H^\circ\) (kJ/mol) are the entropy and enthalpy parameters. In Eq. (20), \(q_e\) and \(C_e\) represent the adsorption capacity (mg/g) and As(V) concentration (mg/L) in equilibrium, respectively. The thermodynamic parameters of As(V) adsorption on amino-MIL-68(Al) are presented in Table 6. As can be seen, the value of \(\Delta G^\circ\) becomes more negative with increasing temperature in the range of 25 to 50 °C. Accordingly, As(V) adsorption on prepared MOF is a spontaneous process that is improved by higher temperatures\(^{78}\). Given the positive value of \(\Delta H^\circ\), it is clear that the nature of the As(V) adsorption on the amino-MIL-68(Al) is an endothermic process\(^{79}\). In other words, higher temperatures accelerate mass-transfer and process kinetics, resulting in an improved adsorption process. Also, a positive value of \(\Delta S^\circ\) means that chaos increases at the solid–liquid interface. In such a situation ion exchange occurs during As(V) uptake\(^{80}\).

**Comparison of efficiency and reusability of amino-MIL-68(Al) and MIL-68(Al) for As(V) removal.** The results of Sect. "Characterization of amino-MIL-68(Al) and the effect of amine group in improving MOF properties" showed that the functionalization of MIL-68(Al) with the amine group (-NH\(_2\)) was able to significantly improve the porosity and surface area of the MOF. Therefore, to confirm the effect of the amine group in improving the absorption of As(V), the removal efficiency and reusability of amino-MIL-68(Al) and MIL-68(Al) were compared for ten consecutive reuse cycles under optimal conditions. MOF regeneration

| Adsorption kinetics | Parameters | Parameter values |
|---------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Pseudo-first order model | \(q_e\) (mg/g) | 56.213 |
|                     | \(K_1\) (min\(^{-1}\)) | 0.413 |
|                     | \(R^2\) | 0.9584 |
| Pseudo-second order model | \(q_e\) (mg/g) | 58.174 |
|                     | \(K_2\) (g mg\(^{-1}\) min\(^{-1}\)) | 0.221 |
|                     | \(R^2\) | 0.9822 |
| Intraparticle diffusion model | \(C\) | 36.303 |
|                     | \(K_3\) (mg/gmin\(^{0.5}\)) | 0.742 |
|                     | \(R^2\) | 0.9756 |

Table 5. Kinetic parameters of As(V) adsorption on amino-MIL-68(Al).

| \(T\) (K) | \(\Delta G^\circ\) (kJ/mol) | \(\Delta H^\circ\) (kJ/mol) | \(\Delta S^\circ\) (J/(mol·K)) |
|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 298       | -4.076          | 70.718          | 0.251           |
| 303       | -5.370          |                 |                 |
| 308       | -6.874          |                 |                 |
| 313       | -8.194          |                 |                 |
| 318       | -8.895          |                 |                 |
| 323       | -10.478         |                 |                 |

Table 6. Thermodynamic parameters of As(V) adsorption on amino-MIL-68(Al).
was performed after each reuse round using 0.01 M nitric acid solution. As shown in Fig. 9a, the removal efficiency of As(V) with amino-MIL-68(Al) is significantly higher compared to MIL-68(Al). Accordingly, in the first round of use, the removal efficiency of As(V) with amino-MIL-68(Al) and MIL-68(Al) was 99.8% and 74.4%, respectively. The Functionalization of MOFs with the amine group not only increases the number of electron-rich nitrogen sites and the positive charge in the MOF structure but also strengthens hydrogen bonds and increases the adsorption rate. In this regard, Haque et al., reported that NH2-MIL-101(Al) has a higher adsorption capacity to remove methylene blue than MIL-101(Al). In addition, the results showed that amino-MIL-68(Al) has more reusability compared to MIL-68(Al) so that the removal efficiency of As(V) with amino-MIL-68(Al) and MIL-68(Al) decreased by about 19.6% and 29.2% after ten reuse cycles, respectively. These results confirm that functionalization of MIL-68(Al) with the amine group not only increases the surface area of MOF but also improves As(V) removal efficiency and MOF reusability.

Effect of interfering anions on As(V) removal efficiency. Natural waters and industrial wastewater are always contaminated with a variety of ion-interfering species that dramatically affect the efficiency of the adsorption process. In this study, the effect of chloride, nitrate, sulfate, and phosphate anions at a constant concentration of 1 mM and under optimal laboratory conditions (pH = 3, MOF dose = 0.4 g/L, reaction time = 80 min and As(V) concentration = 2.5 mg/L) was investigated on the efficiency of As(V) removal, the results of which are presented in Fig. 9b. As can be seen, the presence of chloride, nitrate, and sulfate anions in the samples solution does not have much interference with As(V) adsorption on MOF. However, in the presence of phosphate, a significant reduction is observed in the As(V) removal efficiency. Phosphate competes with As(V) for active sites at the MOF surface, resulting in inhibition of As(V) adsorption. The high intervening effect of phosphate with As(V) adsorption can be attributed to similar physicochemical properties of these two elements.

Conclusion

In the present study, amino-MIL-68(Al) was prepared by solvothermal method using 2-aminoterephthalic acid as a ligand. FESEM, XRD, FTIR and EDX-mapping analysis confirmed the synthesis of MOF structures. The results of N2 adsorption/desorption isotherm data showed that the BET surface area of the synthesized MOF is > 1000 m²/g. Experimental As(V) removal efficiency under optimal conditions (pH = 3, MOF dose = 0.4 g/L, reaction time = 80 min and As(V) concentration = 2.5 mg/L) was obtained 99.87%. Experimental data were fitted with the nonlinear form of isotherm and kinetic models. The results showed that the adsorption of As(V) fits well with the Langmuir model. Accordingly, the maximum As(V) adsorption capacity was obtained 74.29 mg/g. The fit of the data with the pseudo-second-order kinetic model showed that the mechanism of As(V) adsorption has a chemical nature. In addition, thermodynamic studies revealed that As(V) adsorption is a spontaneous endothermic process. Based on the data of the present study, MOF is a promising and recyclable adsorbent for the removal of As(V) from contaminated water.
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