Crystal structures of Bbp from *Staphylococcus aureus* reveal the ligand binding mechanism with Fibrinogen α
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**ABSTRACT**

Bone sialoprotein-binding protein (Bbp), a MSCRAMMs (Microbial Surface Components Recognizing Adhesive Matrix Molecules) family protein expressed on the surface of *Staphylococcus aureus* (*S. aureus*), mediates adherence to fibrinogen α (Fg α), a component in the extracellular matrix of the host cell and is important for infection and pathogenesis. In this study, we solved the crystal structures of apo-Bbp<sub>273</sub>−<sub>598</sub> and Bbp<sub>273</sub>−<sub>598</sub>-Fg α<sub>561</sub>−<sub>575</sub> complex at a resolution of 2.03 Å and 1.45 Å, respectively. Apo-Bbp<sub>273</sub>−<sub>598</sub> contained the ligand binding region N2 and N3 domains, both of which followed a DE variant IgG fold characterized by an additional D1 strand in N2 domain and D1’ and D2’ strands in N3 domain. The peptide mapped to the Fg α<sub>561</sub>−<sub>575</sub> bond to Bbp<sub>273</sub>−<sub>598</sub> on the open groove between the N2 and N3 domains. Strikingly, the disordered C-terminus in the apo-form reorganized into a highly-ordered loop and a β-strand G’ covering the ligand upon ligand binding. Bbp<sub>Ala298</sub>–<sub>Gly301</sub> in the N2 domain of the Bbp<sub>273</sub>−<sub>598</sub>-Fg α<sub>561</sub>−<sub>575</sub> complex, which is a loop in the apo-form, formed a short α-helix to interact tightly with the peptide. In addition, Bbp<sub>Ser547</sub>−<sub>Gin561</sub> in the N3 domain moved toward the binding groove to make contact directly with the peptide, while Bbp<sub>Asp338</sub>−<sub>Gly355</sub> and Bbp<sub>Thr365</sub>−<sub>Tyr387</sub> in N2 domain shifted their configurations to stabilize the reorganized C-terminus mainly through strong hydrogen bonds. Altogether, our results revealed the molecular basis for Bbp-ligand interaction and advanced our understanding of *S. aureus* infection process.
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**INTRODUCTION**

*Staphylococcus aureus* (*S. aureus*) has been one of the leading causes of bacterial infections worldwide. Each year, some 500,000 patients in United States’ hospitals contract staphylococcal infections. *S. aureus* resides as part of the normal flora in the healthy human body until there is damage to skin surface or mucosal barrier, when it can gain access to tissues or the bloodstream, ultimately resulting in a wide range of infections and diseases, including impetigo, bacteremia, endocarditis, sepsis and arthritis (Lowy, 1998). Several antibiotics have been introduced to successfully treat *S. aureus* infections in patients over the past few decades. However, the infections became a growing concern lately due to the emergence of highly virulent and antibiotic-resistant strains, leading to increased morbidity and mortality (Zetola et al., 2005). Effective vaccines against *S. aureus* at early stages of infection are highly desirable, although all efforts to develop these vaccines have failed to date (Deresinski and Herrera, 2010; Vazquez et al., 2011). *S. aureus* has evolved multiple strategies to promote colonization and evade the immune system. The initial adhesion of the pathogen to the extracellular matrix (ECM) components of the host is believed to be a critical step for successful infection. This is mediated by *S. aureus* surface adhesins called Microbial Surface Components Recognizing Adhesive Matrix Molecules (MSCRAMMs) (Gillaspy et al., 1998; Patti et al., 1994). Several structurally related proteins Xinyue Zhang, Meng Wu and Wei Zhuo have contributed equally to this work.
characterized by serine-aspartate dipeptide repeats (SD repeats) make up a family of MSCRAMMs (McCrea et al., 2000). The serine-aspartate repeat (Sdr) family include SdrF and SdrG in S. epidermidis and clumping factor A (ClfA), ClfB, SdrC, SdrD, SdrE and Bbp in S. aureus (Josefsson et al., 1998; McDevitt et al., 1994; Ni Eidhin et al., 1998; Tung et al., 2000). S. aureus bone sialoprotein-binding protein (Bbp) is an allelic variant of SdrE (Peacock et al., 2002). The members of Sdr family are predicted to adopt a similar structural pattern (Trud et al., 2004). A secretory signal sequence locates at the N-terminal followed by a ligand-binding A region and a characterized R region composed of SD repeats. The C-terminus features a cell wall-anchoring motif including the conserved LPXTG sequence (W), a hydrophobic membrane-spanning domain (M) and a short positively charged cytoplasmic tail (C) (Downer, 2002). In addition, SdrC, SdrD, SdrE and Bbp have different numbers of B repeats inserted between region A and R with the presence of a well-defined 12 residues cation-binding EF-hand loop (Josefsson et al., 1998). Our recent work showed that B1 domain interacted with N2 domain and opened the ligand binding cleft between N2 and N3 domains in SdrD (Wang et al., 2013).

The gene identified from chromosomal DNA isolated from S. aureus subsp. aureus TCH60 encodes bone sialoprotein-binding protein (Bbp) with 1149 amino acids, containing SD-repeats of 154 residues and the ligand-binding A region from 53 to 601 residues further divided into N1, N2 and N3 domains. S. aureus isolated from patients suffering from septic arthritis and osteomyelitis specifically interacts with bone sialoprotein (BSP), a noncollagenous protein of bone and dentine extracellular matrix, mediated by Bbp (Ganss et al., 1999; Ryden et al., 1987; Tung et al., 2000). BSP is proposed to induce hydroxyapatite crystal formation and distributes predominantly in the newly formed bone, which is more likely to be infected (Hultheny, 1994; Hunter and Goldberg, 1993).

Fibrinogen (Fg), a hexameric glycoprotein consisting of three different chains α2, β2 and γ2, plays critical roles in blood clotting and thrombosis (Gailit et al., 1997; Kolman et al., 2009; Mosesson et al., 2001). ClfB binds to fibrinogen α (Fg α) chain (Ganesh et al., 2011; Xiang et al., 2012). ClfA and the fibronectin-binding proteins FnbpA and FnbpB all bind to the C-terminal residues of fibrinogen γ (Fg γ) chain (Rivera et al., 2007; Wann et al., 2000). SdrG is reported to attack the thrombin cleavage site of fibrinogen β (Fg β) chain (Davis et al., 2001). A “dock, lock and latch” (DLL) model is identified in SdrG-Fg β complex to elucidate the ligand binding mechanism, where the ligand docks in the opened groove between N2 and N3 domains and the C-terminus across the groove stretches into N2 domain (Ponnuraj et al., 2003).

As a bifunctional MSCRAMM, Bbp also recognizes the human Fg α chain and inhibits thrombin induced blood coagulation (Vazquez et al., 2011). The molecular basis for Bbp-ligand interaction remains unknown. In our study, we solved the crystal structures of apo-Bbp273–598 and Bbp273–598 complexed with the peptide of Fg α561–575. We described the N2-N3 domains of Bbp similar to Dev-IgG fold (Deivanayagam et al., 2002). The Bbp273–598-Fg α561–575 complex revealed the ligand-binding basis through the rearrangement of the C-terminus and the significant changes in four regions. These results advance our understanding of the ligand binding mechanism of Bbp during S. aureus infection. Moreover, our study should shed light on the further identification of the substrate or ligand of other closely related Sdr proteins, and provide novel targets for the development of potent antagonists, vaccines or antibiotics.

RESULTS

**Structure of apo-Bbp273–598**

It was previously reported that on other MSCRAMMs of the Sdr family, the ligand-binding region was mapped to the N2 and N3 domains of the N-terminal A region. Based on sequence alignment of Bbp, SdrG and ClfA (Davis et al., 2001; Josefsson et al., 1998), we engineered a plasmid that would generate a recombinant fusion protein covering residues Asn273–Pro598 of Bbp from S. aureus, a segment containing both N2 and N3 domains (Fig. 1A), with N-terminal GST-tag for purification purposes in *Escherichia coli*. We obtained apo-Bbp273–598 protein and solved the structure at 2.03 Å resolution (Table 1), consisting of residues Asn273–Leu584 and additional residues Gly and Ser at N-terminus, two of the remaining five amino acid residues (GPLGS) from digested GST-tag (Fig. 1C). No electron density was observed for the 14 residues at C-terminus in the apo-Bbp273–598 structure.

The apo-Bbp273–598 folds into two distinct domains N2 and N3, both of which have two layers of β-sheets and are structurally similar to the Dev-IgG fold (Fig. 1B), a variant of IgG fold (Deivanayagam et al., 2002). The two β-sheets of the N2 domain are composed of A, B and E strands on one side and C, D, D1, F and G strands on the opposite side. In N3 domain, C1, D1, D2’, F’ and G’ strands form one principal sheet and A’, B’, D’ and E’ strands contribute to the facing sheet. The additional D1’ and D2’ strands present the featured Dev-IgG fold. One difference occurs with regard to the D strand in N2 domain, which parallels with E strand, although exhibiting an antiparallel orientation with the corresponding strand in the structure of SdrG, ClfB and ClfA (Ganesh et al., 2008; Ponnuraj et al., 2003; Xiang et al., 2012).

In the apo-Bbp273–598 structure, the C-terminus with poor electron density extends into the solvent region, thus leading to an open groove. Presumably, a ligand-binding site could exist in the groove. (All structural figures in this paper were generated by PyMOL).

**Structure of the Bbp273–598-Fibrinogen α (Fg α)561–575 complex**

The ITC result showed that Fg α561–575, a synthesized polypeptide Fg α-chain containing residues 561–575
Vazquez et al., 2011), has binding affinity to Bbp273−598 with a $K_D$ of 0.290 μmol/L (Fig. 2A). To study the molecular mechanism underlying Bbp-ligand recognition, Bbp273−598 was crystallized in complex with the ligand Fgα561−575. We solved the crystal structure of Bbp273−598-Fgα561−575 complex at 1.45 Å (Fig. 2B and Table 1).

Each crystallographic asymmetric unit contains two independent Bbp273−598-Fgα561−575 molecules. The bound peptide Fgα561−575 threads into the groove between the N2 and N3 domains in a snug conformation, with a well-defined 2Fo-Fc electron density map observed for the residues Lys562–Ser569 of the N-terminus of the peptide (Fig. 2C). The six residues of the C-terminus of Fgα561−575 have few interactions with the ligand binding groove and are not traceable in the density map. Due to the poor side-chain density, the N-terminal residue FgαSer561 was replaced with an Alanine during structure refinement.

Structure comparison of Bbp273−598 between the apo-protein and in complex with the Fgα561−575 shows that the RMSD for the Cα atoms is 0.679 Å. Even though the overall topology of Bbp273−598 is similar in the two structures, significant conformational changes were observed around the peptide-binding groove, including the C-terminus of Bbp273−598 and four additional regions containing BbpAsp338−Gly355, BbpThr365−Tyr387, BbpAla298−Gly301 in N2 domain and BbpSer547−Gln561 in N3 domain, respectively (Fig. 3).

**The structural basis for peptide binding**

The disordered C-terminus encompassing residues Ser585−Pro598 in apo-Bbp273−598 rearranges in the Bbp273−598-Fgα561−575 structure. The connecting loop between the G and G″ strands spanning residues Thr586−Gly589 runs across the central region of the groove and the following sequence forms a short β-strand G″ which inserts into N2 domain (Fig. 3A), making regions BbpAsp338−Gly355 and BbpThr365−Tyr387 deviate significantly from the apo-form (Fig. 3B). It shows a deviation of 5.6 Å for BbpPro347 in the region BbpAsp338−Gly355 between the C and D strands in N2 domain. BbpGly590 and Gly592 of β-strand G″ of N3 domain form two hydrogen bonds with BbpThr345 in this region. The region BbpThr365−Tyr387 in N2 domain contains β-strand E and the TYKFTDYVD sequence, a TYTFTDYVD-like motif conserved in Sdr protein family (McCrea et al., 2000). The β-strand E moves toward β-strand G″ to stabilize the C-terminus of N3 through several hydrogen bonds. BbpTyr387 in this region interacts with BbpSer585 at the end of the G′ strand, which play an important role in redirecting the

---

**Figure 1. Overall structure of the apo-Bbp273−598.** (A) Domain organization of the Bbp molecule. S (amino acid 1–52), signal sequence; N1–N3 (amino acid 53–601), Fg binding region; B (amino acid 602–935), B-repeats region; R (amino acid 936–1089), serine-aspartate repeat region; W, wall-spanning domain; M, membrane anchor; C, cytoplasmic positively charged tail. The region of N2 and N3 domains for crystallization (below). (B) Schematic representation of the topology of Bbp273−598 fold. The N2 and N3 domains are shown in cyan and violet, respectively. The N- and C-terminus are marked with red characters. (C) Cartoon representation of apo-Bbp273−598 structure with its N- and C-terminus indicated. The N2 and N3 domains are shown in cyan and violet, respectively.
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C-terminus. Bbp\textsuperscript{Asp373} and Arg\textsuperscript{374} in this region form two hydrogen bonds with Bbp\textsuperscript{Lys597} to stabilize the tail of the reordered C-terminus (Fig. 4A).

In addition, the binding of the peptide also induces a reorganization of the region Bbp\textsuperscript{Ala298–Gly301} between the A and B strands in N2 domain and a large movement of the region Bbp\textsuperscript{Ser547–Gln561} between the E\textsuperscript{′} and F\textsuperscript{′} strands in N3 domain toward the peptide binding groove. Two newly formed α-helices are observed in both of the two regions (Fig. 3B). The residues Bbp\textsuperscript{His299} and Gly\textsuperscript{301} in the first α-helix interact with Fg\textsuperscript{αThr568} and Ser\textsuperscript{566} through two main-chain hydrogen bonds and the Bbp\textsuperscript{Asp300} forms the third hydrogen bond with the side-chain of Fg\textsuperscript{αSer567}. In the second α-helix, Bbp\textsuperscript{Asp555} contributes a hydrogen bond with Fg\textsuperscript{αLys562} and the side chain of Bbp\textsuperscript{Ile557} contacts with the aromatic ring of Fg\textsuperscript{αPhe564} mediated by a hydrophobic interaction (Fig. 4B).

The structural rearrangements and the direct protein-ligand interactions formed upon peptide binding result in an effectively stabilized Bbp-Fg α complex compared to its apo-form.

Structural insights into Bbp\textsuperscript{273–598} and Fg\textsuperscript{α561–575} interactions

Apart from the interactions between the Fg\textsuperscript{α561–575} peptide and the residues from the two newly formed α-helices we have described above, there are several contacts with distances of less than 4 Å marked (Fig. 4B). Among them, there are three pairs of antiparallel main-chain hydrogen bonds between residues Fg\textsuperscript{αSer567}, Thr\textsuperscript{565} and Gin\textsuperscript{563} and Bbp\textsuperscript{Thr562}, Leu\textsuperscript{564} and Thr\textsuperscript{568} in the G\textsuperscript{′} strand and the connecting loop region. The carbonyl group of Fg\textsuperscript{αPhe564} interacts with the side-chain polar group of Bbp\textsuperscript{Asp334} and Ile\textsuperscript{335} in the loop region between the C and D strands in N2 domain. The

| Table 1. Statistics of data collection and refinement |
|-----------------------------------------------|
|                                               |
| **Data collection**                            |
| Space group                                   |
| I222                                          |
| a, b, c (Å)                                   |
| 96.241, 98.924, 102.257                       |
| a, b, c (°)                                   |
| 90.00, 90.00, 90.00                           |
| Wavelength (Å)                                |
| 0.979                                         |
| Resolution (Å)                                |
| 2.03 (2.10–2.03)                              |
| \(R_{\text{merge}}\) (%)                     |
| 7.8 (44.8)                                    |
| \(l/\sigma\)                                  |
| 15.6 (2.9)                                    |
| Completeness (%)                              |
| 96.8 (89.3)                                   |
| Redundancy                                    |
| 3.7 (3.5)                                     |
| Wilson B factor (Å\textsuperscript{2})        |
| 29.7                                          |
| **Refinement**                                |
| \(R_{\text{factor}}\)                        |
| 21.42                                         |
| \(R_{\text{free}}\)                          |
| 26.41                                         |
| No. atoms                                     |
| 2467 protein atoms + 172 solvent atoms + 2 Ca\textsuperscript{2+} |
| 5125 protein atoms + 1 Mg\textsuperscript{2+} + 159 peptide atoms + 1026 solvent atoms |
| **B factors**                                 |
| Overall                                       |
| 38.794                                        |
| RMSD bond lengths                             |
| 0.008                                         |
| RMSD bond angles                              |
| 1.177                                         |
| Ramachandran plot statistics (%)             |
| In favored regions                            |
| 96.5                                          |
| In allowed regions                            |
| 2.9                                           |
| In disallowed regions                         |
| 0.6                                           |

Values in parentheses are for the highest resolution shell. \(R = \Sigma|F_{\text{obs}} - F_{\text{calc}}|/\Sigma F_{\text{obs}}\) where \(F_{\text{calc}}\) is the calculated protein structure factor from the atomic model (\(R_{\text{free}}\) was calculated with 5% of the reflections selected).
Figure 2. Overall structure of the Bbp$^{273-598}$-Fg $\alpha^{561-575}$ complex. (A) ITC curves of Fg $\alpha^{561-575}$ titrated into Bbp$^{273-598}$ protein. The first peak in the thermogram has not been used for analysis. (B) Cartoon representation of Bbp$^{273-598}$-Fg $\alpha^{561-575}$ complex structure with its N- and C-terminus indicated. The N2 and N3 domains are colored the same as in Fig. 1C. The peptide is shown as sticks in yellow. (C) 2Fo-Fc map of Fg $\alpha^{561-575}$ peptide. The map is contoured at the level of 1.0 $\delta$. The peptide residues are marked with red characters.

Figure 3. Conformational changes occur to C-terminus and additional four regions. (A) Surface charge representation of Bbp$^{273-598}$ binding with the Fg $\alpha^{561-575}$ peptide. The surface is colored depending on negative charge, electrically neutral area and positive charge that are visualized in red, white and blue, respectively. Close view of surface and cartoon representation of C-terminus of Bbp$^{273-598}$ and the Fg $\alpha^{561-575}$ peptide shown as ribbon representation colored in cyan. The connecting loop is shown as cartoon representation, composed of the residues Thr586–Ser589 running across the open groove are marked. (B) Structure alignment of apo-Bbp$^{273-598}$ and Bbp$^{273-598}$-Fg $\alpha^{561-575}$ shows four changed regions. Apo-Bbp$^{273-598}$ and Bbp$^{273-598}$-Fg $\alpha^{561-575}$ are colored in cyan and magenta, respectively. The peptide is shown as sticks colored in yellow. The regions Bbp$^{\text{Asp338–Gly355}}$, Bbp$^{\text{Thr365–Tyr387}}$, Bbp$^{\text{Ala298–Gly301}}$ and Bbp$^{\text{Ser547–Gln561}}$ of the Bbp$^{273-598}$-Fg $\alpha^{561-575}$ complex are show in red, lemon, orange and blue, respectively.
backbone atom of Bbp Asn581 interacts with the carbonyl group of Fg αSer569, which plays a significant role in anchoring the C-terminus of the Fg α561−575 peptide. Two hydrogen bonds formed between the polar group of Fg αGln563 and Bbp Asp588 from the loop region are involved in locking the peptide N-terminus.

Analysis of the interactions between Bbp273−598 mutants and Fg α561−575

Mutagenesis studies were conducted to further verify the binding of Fg α561−575 to Bbp273−598. We mutated the residues Thr582 and Leu584 to Ala respectively which form two pairs of hydrogen bonds with the peptide. The mutant proteins were purified to homogeneity and tested for their interaction with the Fg α561−575 peptide by surface plasmon resonance (SPR) (Fig. 5). The results indicate that the mutated protein Bbp T582A or Bbp L584A exhibits higher binding affinities with the peptide than wild type (WT) Bbp273−598 protein. This is probably because the side chain of Ala occupies less space compared to Thr or Leu, which brings an alteration of steric hindrance. Thus, the alteration presumably makes the peptide more easily dock into the groove and contacts more tightly with Bbp273−598. Even though Bbp Thr582 and Leu584 could interact with the peptide by two pairs of main chain-main chain hydrogen bonds (Fig. 3C), the replacement to alanine might serve a similar role instead of breaking the interaction with the peptide according to the results here. Perhaps, we can speculate that the residues Bbp Thr582 and Leu584 are only involved in the binding with the peptide, but not showing specificities on ligand recognition.
In this study, we have solved the crystal structures of apo-Bbp<sup>273–598</sup> and the Bbp<sup>273–598–Fg</sup><sup>α<sub>561–575</sub></sup> complex. Based on the structural information, we analyzed the structural basis for ligand binding.

In our study, tight interactions between the protein and the ligand result in a stable binding state. Due to the “Dock” of the ligand, the rearrangements occur to C-terminus and additional four regions of Bbp<sup>273–598</sup>. The connecting loop covers the open groove, resulting in “Lock” of the ligand. And then the G” strand forms compact interactions with the E strand in the region Bbp<sup><sub>Thr<sup>365–Tyr<sup>387</sub> of N2 domain, which “Latch” the ligand binding site and thus stabilize the overall structure. Our structure further supports the DLL model described for the SdrG-Fg β complex (Fig. 6A) (Ponnuraj et al., 2003).

In the work of V. Ganesh et al. on the ClfB-ligand complex, the ligand binding mechanism was described as the “DL” model due to the absence of the “Latch” process (Ganesh et al., 2011). In their structure, peptide Fg α<sup>336–347</sup> in ClfB adopts a reverse orientation compared to the peptide Fg α<sup>561–575</sup> in our structure. The C-terminus of ClfB does not stretch into N2 domain to interact with the E strand but exhibits a different direction. Upon ligand binding, no rearrangements are observed in the region between the D and D’ strands in N2 and the region between the E and F strands in N3 (Fig. 6B). However, large movements occur to the corresponding regions Bbp<sup><sub>Asp<sup>338–Gly<sup>355</sub> in N2 and Bbp<sup><sub>Ser<sup>547–Gln<sup>561</sub> in N3 of Bbp<sup>273–598</sup> in our studies. The diversity of the ligands binding pattern of MSCRAMMs adds to the necessity for structural analysis of individual members of this family.

Altogether, our findings have promoted the understanding of the ligand binding mechanism of Bbp in Sdr family, a critical step in the <i>S. aureus</i> infection process. In addition, potential new target sites based on these pathogen-host interactions could be explored for development of potent antibiotics and new therapeutic methods.
The recombinant protein was expressed in Escherichia coli GST-Bbp fusion protein and were confirmed by DNA sequencing. The recombinant protein was expressed in Escherichia coli strain BL21 with a high yield.

The bacteria cells were harvested and resuspended in lysis buffer containing 1× PBS, 2 mmol/L DTT and 1 mmol/L PMSF. The cells were homogenized by sonication and cell debris was removed completely by centrifuging at 13,000 rpm for 50 min at 4°C.

The recombinant protein was firstly purified by GST-affinity column and digested with PreScission protease overnight. The eluant was collected and concentrated for further purification using gel filtration chromatography (Superdex-200 column, GE Healthcare) in buffer containing 20 mmol/L HEPES pH 7.5, 200 mmol/L NaCl, 2 mmol/L DTT on the FPLC system (GE Healthcare Life Sciences). The proteins in every step of purification were analyzed by SDS-PAGE.

Crystallization and structure determination

The apo-Bbp273-598 and its complex were concentrated to 30 mg/mL in 20 mmol/L HEPES pH 7.5, 200 mmol/L NaCl, 2 mmol/L DTT. Crystals were screened by the sitting-drop vapor diffusion method (Jancarik et al., 1991) using sparse-matrix screen kits Crystal Screen I and II (Hampton Research), followed by optimizing the crystallization conditions through the variation of protein concentrations and pH. Crystals were grown at 18°C using the hanging-drop vapor diffusion method by mixing 1.0 μL protein solution with 1.0 μL reservoir solution and equilibrating against 200 μL reservoir solution. The apo-Bbp273-598 crystals were grown in 0.2 mmol/L calcium acetate hydrate, 0.1 mmol/L sodium cacodylate trihydrate pH 6.5, 18% PEG8000. The synthesized Fg α561–575 peptide was added into the concentrated protein samples at 1:1 ratio and the protein-peptide complex crystals are grown in 0.2 mmol/L lithium sulfate, 0.1 mmol/L Tris-HCl pH 8.2, 30% PEG4000. The apo-Bbp273-598 and Bbp273-598-peptide complex crystals diffracted to 2.03 Å and 1.45 Å respectively. The data were collected at the Shanghai Synchrotron Radiation Facility (SSRF) BL17U using a MAR225 (MAR Research, Hamburg, CCD) detector at 100 K and processed with the HKL2000 package (Otwinowski and Minor, 1997). Further processing was carried out using programs from the CCP4 suite (Collaborative Computational Project, 1994). The model building of the Bbp molecules was conducted in COOT and the structure with peptide was determined by molecular replacement methods in CCP4 (Emsley and Cowtan, 2004). All the structures were refined with the PHENIX packages (Adams et al., 2002). Data collection and structure statistic are summarized in Table 1.

Synthesis of Fg α561–575 chain peptide

The peptide corresponding to the fibrinogen α561–575 was synthesized as previously described (Vazquez et al., 2011).

Isothermal titration calorimetry

ITC experiments were carried out at 25°C using a Microcal iTC200 instrument (GE Healthcare). The cell contained 50 μmol/L Bbp273-598 and the syringe contained 500 μmol/L peptide in the buffer containing 200 mmol/L NaCl and 20 mmol/L HEPES pH 7.5. Injecting peptide into buffer was performed as the blank titration. The data were fitted and analyzed using the Origin 7 software package (Microcal).

Surface plasmon resonance spectroscopy

The interaction affinities between Fg α561–575 and Bbp273-598 protein were conducted by surface plasmon resonance (SPR) using BIAcore T200 instrument (GE Healthcare). The wild type Bbp273-598 protein and two mutants were immobilized, respectively, on a CM5 sensor chip in 10 mmol/L sodium acetate, pH 4.5. The immobilization level was 3375RU. The synthetic peptide in 200 mmol/L NaCl and 20 mmol/L HEPES (pH 7.5) was injected on the protein-coated sensor chip in 10 mmol/L sodium acetate, pH 4.5. The immobilization level was 3375RU. The synthetic peptide in 200 mmol/L NaCl and 20 mmol/L HEPES (pH 7.5) was injected on the protein-coated sensor chip in 10 mmol/L sodium acetate, pH 4.5. The immobilization level was 3375RU. The synthetic peptide in 200 mmol/L NaCl and 20 mmol/L HEPES (pH 7.5) was injected on the protein-coated sensor chip in 10 mmol/L sodium acetate, pH 4.5. The immobilization level was 3375RU. The synthetic peptide in 200 mmol/L NaCl and 20 mmol/L HEPES (pH 7.5) was injected on the protein-coated sensor chip in 10 mmol/L sodium acetate, pH 4.5. The immobilization level was 3375RU. The synthetic peptide in 200 mmol/L NaCl and 20 mmol/L HEPES (pH 7.5) was injected on the protein-coated sensor chip in 10 mmol/L sodium acetate, pH 4.5. The immobilization level was 3375RU.
surface at various concentrations at 30 μL/min. The binding and dissociation was 60 s and 120 s, respectively.
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