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The zeros of the partition function in the complex $\beta$ plane (Fisher’s zeros) play an important role in our understanding of phase transitions and RG flows. Recently, we argued that they act as gates or separatrices for complex RG flows. Using histogram reweighting to construct the density of states, we calculate the Fisher’s zeros for pure gauge $SU(2)$ and $U(1)$ on $L^4$ lattices. For $SU(2)$, these zeros appear to move almost horizontally when the volume increases. They stay away from the real axis which indicates a confining theory at zero temperature. We discuss the effect of an adjoint term on these results. In contrast, using recent multicanonical simulations for the $U(1)$ model for $L$ up to 8 we find that the zeros pinch the real axis near $\beta=1.0113$. Preliminary results concerning $U(1)$ at larger volumes, $SU(3)$ with 3 light flavors and plans to delimit the boundary of the conformal window are briefly discussed.
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1. Introduction

Given the successes of the local gauge invariance principle and recent experimental results, the possibility that new gauge interactions are responsible for electro-weak symmetry breaking looks quite attractive. One particularly interesting situation from a phenomelogical point of view is when the Callan-Symanzik $\beta$ function for the new gauge coupling approaches zero from below and the running coupling constant encounters only small changes over a significant range of scale. We then say that the “running” coupling constant “walks”.

This nearly conformal situation can be reached by tuning a parameter (typically the number of light fermions) in such a way that the zeros of the $\beta$ function (and the corresponding fixed points of the Renormalization Group (RG) transformation) disappear in the complex plane. Other models where conformality can be lost and restored by tuning a parameter (for instance the quantum mechanical $1/r^2$ potential) have been studied recently.

This motivated us to study extensions of the RG flows in the complex coupling plane. A general feature that we observed is that the Fisher’s zeros - the zeros of the partition function in the complex $\beta$ plane - act as “gates” for the RG flows ending at the strongly coupled fixed point. This can be seen as a complex extension of the general picture proposed by Tomboulis: in confining theories, the gate stays open as the volume increases and RG flows starting in a complex neighborhood the UV fixed point where asymptotic freedom is working, can reach the IR fixed point where confinement and the existence of a mass gap are clearly present. Furthermore, we observed that the discrete RG transformation approximately maps Fisher’s zeros for a given lattice size into the zeros of for the blocked lattice size, forming separatrices among the flows ending at different IR fixed points. In short, the global properties of the RG flows can be determined by calculating Fisher’s zeros, bypassing explicit calculations of the RG flows which are technically difficult and have lattice artifacts that are sometimes difficult to decipher. These results are reviewed in section 2.

Recently, we have developed new methods to calculate the Fisher’s zeros in lattice gauge theory without fermions. The methods rely on the construction of the density of states and its analytical continuation to the complex energy plane. These methods have been applied to the case of $U(1)$ and $SU(2)$ and provide a clear picture of the large scale behavior of these models. These results are summarized in section 2. As briefly explained in section 2, we started to pursue this effort in the case of $SU(3)$ with various number of fermions and plan to provide new criterions to delimit boundary of the conformal window.

2. Complex RG Flows in Spin Models

Calculations of RG flows and discrete $\beta$ functions in lattice gauge theory are notoriously difficult. One of the main question asked is how many flavors does it take to destroy the confining properties of the theory. For gauge theories, the absence of long-range order (no massless gluons) is associated with confinement. The absence of long-range order also characterizes the 2-dimensional $O(N)$ sigma models with $N \leq 3$. By using some approximations, it is possible to calculate complex RG flows and Fisher’s zeros much more easily than for gauge theories.
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2D Hierarchical Ising, V=2\(^3\) vs V=2\(^4\)

3D Hierarchical Ising, V=2\(^3\) vs V=2\(^4\)

Figure 1: Complex flows for the hierarchical Ising model for \(D = 2\) (left) and \(D = 3\) (right). See [7] for details.

Recently [7], we were able to illustrate how RG fixed points can disappear in the complex inverse temperature (\(\beta\)) plane using the two-lattice matching procedure [16, 17] for Dyson’s hierarchical model [18, 19] with an Ising measure. In this model, the local potential approximation is exact and RG flows can be calculated numerically with good accuracy [20].

The model has a free parameter that plays the role of the dimension and can be tuned continuously. For \(D = 3\), the model has a nontrivial Wilson-Fisher fixed point. As we lower \(D\) continuously the fixed point on the real axis moves to the right and disappears at infinity for \(D = 2\) in agreement with a rigorous results [20].

The complex RG flows are shown in Fig. 1. On the left, for \(D = 2\), the complex flow lines go smoothly from infinity to zero, which indicates that the system has no phase transition. For \(D = 3\), the complex flow line start from \(\beta_c\) and end to either zero or infinity. The darker region of the graph signal competing solutions for the matching condition (see [7] for details).

An important feature that can be observed on this figure is that the RG flow approximately follow the Fisher zeros along the separatrix between different basins of attraction. More specifically, in one discrete step, the RG flows approximately go from the the zeros at one given number of lattice sites to the zeros for a smaller number of sites as obtained after block spinning the first lattice. This approximate property is illustrated for Fisher’s zeros of higher volumes in Fig. 2. This property was found to be exact for the 1-dimensional Ising model at complex temperature [21] for which decimation can be performed exactly. In the case of our calculation, the reduction to one-dimensional flows used for the matching is only approximate since a finite number of block spin only partially eliminates the irrelevant directions.

This example shows that the global properties of the RG flows (difficult to calculate) can be inferred from the location of the Fisher’s zeros at successive volume (more easy to calculate). Similar observations were made for the 2D \(O(N)\) non-linear sigma models in the large-\(N\) limit [5, 6]: We constructed the Riemann sheet structure and singular points of the finite lattice size \(L\) mappings between the mass gap and the ’t Hooft coupling. We argued that the Fisher’s zeros appear on “strings” ending approximately at the singular points. We compared finite volume complex flows obtained from the rescaling of the ultraviolet cutoff in the gap equation and from the two lattice matching. In both cases, the flows are channelled through the singular points and end at the
strong coupling fixed points, however strong scheme dependence appear on the ultraviolet side.

3. Fisher’s Zeros in Lattice Gauge Theory

We used the density of states $n(S)$ to study the zeros of pure $SU(2)$ and pure $U(1)$ 4D gauge theories. The partition function can be written as

$$Z(\beta) = \int dS n(S) e^{-\beta S}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.1)

The corresponding entropy density function is $f(x) = \ln(n(N_p x)/N_p)$, where $N_p \equiv 6 \times L^4$ is the total number of plaquettes. As the volume increases, the complex partition function zeros will in some cases pinch the real axis at the transition point. For a second order phase transition, the imaginary part of the lowest zero decreases with a power related to the critical exponent $\nu$ :

$$\text{Im}\beta(L) \sim L^{-1/\nu}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.2)

The formula also holds for a first order phase transition, provided that we replace $\nu$ by $1/D$.

In the case case of a pure $U(1)$ gauge theory, we used the multi-canonical algorithm for lattices with sizes $L = 4, 6, 8$ to construct the density of states. We calculated the lowest three zeros for these volumes (see Fig. 3). We were able to locate the lowest zeros with a precision of order $10^{-5}$. The zeros cross at $\beta_c = 1.0113(2)$. The imaginary part of the zeros scales with $L^{-3.07}$, or $\nu = 0.326$, possibly consistent with a second order phase transition. However the zeros from higher volumes show that the scaling is "rolling" and $\nu$ is decreasing with the volume. This will be discussed in a forthcoming preprint [14].

For pure gauge $SU(2)$ with a Wilson action, the lowest complex zeros for the volumes $4^4$ and $6^4$ are shown in Fig. 4. The upper points (red) are the locations of the partition function zeros, while

Figure 2: $D = 2$ Fisher’s zeros for larger numbers of sites. See [7] for details.
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Figure 3: Fisher’s zeros for $U(1)$ (left) and $SU(2)$ (right).

Figure 4: Fisher’s zeros in $SU(2)$ with an adjoint term for different volumes, and compared to roots of $f''$.

the lower points are the complex roots of $f''(x)$ mapped to the $\beta$-plane using $f'$. The multiple points at each location correspond to three different ranges of the Chebyshev parametrization of $f(x)$. The error bars reflect the statistical uncertainty and were obtained by comparing the results based on independent simulations.

When an adjoint term is added for $SU(2)$, the action reads

$$ S_\Box = \beta (1 - \frac{1}{2} TrU_\Box) + \beta_A \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{3} (|TrU_\Box|^2 - 1) \right]. $$

(3.3)

The top right graph in Fig. 4 shows the complex zeros (solid squares) at volume $4^4$ with $\beta_A = 0.0$ to 1.0 with an increment 0.1. The roots of $f''(x)$ (empty squares) with $f(x)$ calculated at a finite volume $L^4$ correspond to the zeros as if $L \to \infty$ was taken. The actual zeros approach the roots of $f''(x)$ as the volume increases and coincide with them in the $L \to \infty$ limit. The right graph in Fig. 4 shows the zeros and the roots of $f''$ in the volume $4^4$ and $6^4$ with $\beta_A = 0.7, 0.8$ and 0.9. The rising of the $f''(x)$ roots with volume indicates the $SU(2)$ zeros will stabilize at a distance away from the real axis.
4. Conclusions and perspectives

In conclusions, we have shown that the stabilization of Fisher’s zeros away from the real axis can be used as a signature for a confining theory. We plan to apply this method to locate the boundary of the conformal window in multiflavor models. As a first step we studied the case of $SU(3)$ with 3 light quarks at finite temperature and found a scaling $L^{-3}$ for the imaginary part consistent with a first order phase transition. The calculations were done with unimproved staggered fermions with $m = 0.02$. The zeros are shown in Fig. 5.

![Figure 5: Curves for the zeros of the real and imaginary part of the partition function in the complex plane for $SU(3)$ with three light flavors on a $4 \times 12^3$ lattice.](image)

5. Acknowledgment

We thank D. K. Sinclair for providing high statistics $SU(3)$ plaquette data for analysis. We thank M. B. Oktay for helping with MILC fermion codes.

References

[1] F. Sannino, “Conformal Dynamics for TeV Physics and Cosmology,” *Acta Phys. Polon. B*, vol. 40, pp. 3533–3743, 2009.

[2] T. DeGrand, “Lattice studies of QCD-like theories with many fermionic degrees of freedom,” *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A*, vol. 369, pp. 2701–2717, 2011.

[3] D. B. Kaplan, J.-W. Lee, D. T. Son, and M. A. Stephanov, “Conformality lost,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 80, p. 125005, 2009.

[4] S. Moroz and R. Schmidt, “Nonrelativistic inverse square potential, scale anomaly, and complex extension,” *Annals Phys.*, vol. 325, pp. 491–513, 2010.
[5] A. Denbleyker, D. Du, Y. Liu, Y. Meurice, and H. Zou, “Fisher’s zeros as the boundary of renormalization group flows in complex coupling spaces,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 104, p. 251601, June 2010.

[6] Y. Meurice and H. Zou, “Complex renormalization group flows for 2D nonlinear O(N) sigma models,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 83, p. 056009, Mar. 2011.

[7] Y. Liu and Y. Meurice, “Lines of Fisher’s zeros as separatrices for complex renormalization group flows,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 83, p. 096008, 2011.

[8] M. C. Ogilvie, “Quark confinement and the renormalization group,” *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A*, vol. 369, pp. 2718–2734, 2011.

[9] E. T. Tomboulis, “Long distance dynamics and confinement via RG decimations,” *Mod. Phys. Lett. A*, vol. 24, pp. 2717–2730, 2009.

[10] A. Denbleyker, D. Du, Y. Meurice, and A. Velytsky, “Fisher’s zeros of quasi-Gaussian densities of states,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 76, p. 116002, 2007.

[11] A. Bazavov, A. Denbleyker, D. Du, Y. Meurice, A. Velytsky, and H. Zou, “Dyson’s instability in lattice gauge theory,” *PoS*, vol. LATTICE2009, p. 218, 2009.

[12] A. Bazavov, A. Denbleyker, D. Du, Y. Liu, Y. Meurice, and H. Zou, “Fisher’s zeros as boundary of RG flows in complex coupling space,” *PoS*, vol. LATTICE2010, p. 259, 2010.

[13] A. Denbleyker, D. Du, Y. Meurice, and A. Velytsky, “Fisher’s zeros of SU(2) lattice gauge theory.” preprint in preparation.

[14] A. Bazavov, B. Berg, D. Du, and Y. Meurice, “Density of states and Fisher’s zeros of U(1) lattice gauge theory.” preprint in preparation.

[15] A. Denbleyker, D. Du, Y. Liu, Y. Meurice, and A. Velytsky, “Series expansions of the density of states in SU(2) lattice gauge theory,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 78, p. 054503, 2008.

[16] J. E. Hirsch and S. H. Shenker, “Block-spin renormalization group in the large-\(n\) limit,” *Phys. Rev. B*, vol. 27, pp. 1736–1744, Feb 1983.

[17] A. Hasenfratz, P. Hasenfratz, U. Heller, and F. Karsch, “Improved Monte Carlo renormalization group methods,” *Phys. Lett. B*, vol. 140, pp. 76–82, Feb 1984.

[18] F. J. Dyson, “Existence of a phase-transition in a one-dimensional Ising ferromagnet,” *Comm. Math. Phys.*, vol. 12, pp. 91–107, 1969.

[19] G. A. Baker, “Ising model with a scaling interaction,” *Phys. Rev. B*, vol. 5, pp. 2622–2633, Apr 1972.

[20] Y. Meurice, “Nonlinear aspects of the renormalization group flows of Dyson’s hierarchical model,” *J. Phys. A*, vol. 40, p. R39, 2007.

[21] P. H. Damgaard and U. M. Heller, “On spin and matrix models in the complex plane,” *Nucl. Phys. B*, vol. 410, pp. 494–520, 1993.