Introduction

This work by Francisco de Goya [1], entitled Maja y Celestina (Figure 1) is a painting rendered on a translucent alabaster board, 16 mm thick, employed to create a monochrome painting, wherein only different intensities of black are observed, brought into being via a series of different brushstrokes applied to the surface of the painting to create a transparent or opaque effect depending on the luminosity and chiaroscuro within the composition. Furthermore, Goya makes use of burin incisions to outline forms and accentuate areas of greatest luminosity.

Identifying the components present in works of art constitutes a research activity that has been being developed for several decades [2,3], because of interest in finding out about the artistic materials and painting techniques used by artists in the creation of their works.
These precedents have encouraged an interest in knowing works of art internally, applying examination methods and analytical techniques for the purpose of obtaining the maximum possible information about the usual materials and methods of the different painting schools introduced by the great masters, thus acquiring a deep understanding of their style and technique, of their hallmarks and peculiarities. All these technical details will serve to authenticate previously anonymous works of art, or reject those works of doubtful authorship.

Currently, the scientific techniques are systemically applied to the study and research of artistic materials from Artworks. The most used analytical and spectroscopic procedures are: Optical Microscopy with transmitted and reflected illumination (OM), Scanning Electron Microscopes (SEM-EDX) and Transmission Electron Microscopes (TEM), X Ray Diffraction (XRD), Raman Spectroscopy (RS) and micro Raman Spectroscopy (mRS), Visible and near-infrared spectrophotometry, Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS).

In the case of SEM-EDX and XRF micro-analysis it is possible to identify the pigments and materials used as a base via their constituent chemical elements, captured in the corresponding spectrum [4-6].

Raman Spectroscopy also obtains spectrums with bands and peaks characteristic of each pigment, based on its direct application onto the surface of the work and also on each layer of the corresponding transverse sections [7-12].

**Identification of black pigments**

In the case of black pigments with an inorganic composition, such as black lead oxides and manganese oxides, their identification can be carried out by means of the above analytical and spectroscopic techniques. These pigments are recognized via the corresponding spectrum and their constituent chemical elements, as well as their characteristic bands and peaks [13,14]. Literature also exists on identifying the black pigments present in cave paintings [15-18].

In relation to black pigments of an organic nature, it is possible to identify them through SEM-EDX as they all have Carbon as a main element. Through this micro analytical technique, as has been shown, these pigments are recognized based on the chemical elements they are composed [19]. However, when the black pigments form part of a painting it is difficult to characterize them, at both a structural and a molecular level, based on stratigraphy.

We therefore proposed characterizing this black pigment through complementary non-destructive analytical techniques, such as Raman Spectroscopy [20] and Visible Spectrometry-near Infrared [21].

The identification of pigments in painting samples is normally carried out via microanalysis in conjunction with Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM-EDX). However, in recent years, analysis of material via Raman spectroscopy and other non-destructive techniques has proved extremely useful, and is particularly relevant in the present case, given the small dimensions of the work.

Through the use of the SEM-EDX technique, pigments are identified on the basis of the chemical elements of which they are composed. As a result, distinguishing between black pigments is a complex process, as carbon is present in the majority, and recourse must be made to analysis of trace elements in order to characterize them, where they are present, such as the potassium and sodium present in vine black.

Figure 1: “Maja y Celestina” by Francisco de Goya. Photograph of the painting (18.8 cm x 15.5 cm).
Initial identification of the pigments [19] was carried out via SEM-EDX, focusing on a stratigraphy prepared from a micro sample that was extracted from the painting. The results of the microanalysis of this dark hue suggest that it is a blend of carbon black, vine black and small particles of gold leaf, bound together via a mixture of linseed oil and egg yolk [19].

As well some experiments have been carried out using Raman Spectroscopy to identify black pigments based on carbon, taking actual pigments as a reference [22-24]. The representative peaks have then been compared to artistic samples in order to identify the black pigments present, taking into account the similarity between their characteristic Raman bands [25].

In the present case, analysis to identify black pigments via Raman spectroscopy [20] whether applied to the stratigraphy or directly to the work in situ has failed to produce conclusive results. Analysis of the surface of the painting employing visible and near-infrared spectrophotometry [21] also failed to differentiate between the different types of black present, which had previously been detected via SEM-EDX.

This paper intends to make advances in the identification of vine black pigment. Therefore, we propose an analysis of possible differentiation taking into consideration morphological factors relating to their internal structure, using the large increases allowed by the scanning electron microscopy technique.

Similarly, the detection of gold particles a very high purity, via SEM-EDX enables us to suggest that their presence is the result of an aesthetic decision taken by the painter, rather than the product of possible contamination.

**Materials and Methods**

**Materials**

The materials employed within this study are as follows:

A stratigraphy of the painting, prepared from a micro sample encased in methacrylate resin.

A small amount of powder taken from the painting, by scraping a brushstroke running along the edge of the alabaster.

**Analytical techniques**

These materials have been observed and analyzed via the following techniques:

**Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM Leo 1430 VP) with microanalysis of elements (EDX):** Scanning electron microscopy, SEM Leo 1430VP, linked to a system for the microanalysis of elements via energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy, Inca 350, version 17 (hereinafter, SEM-EDX). Scanning electron microscopy enables the identification of elements with low atomic numbers, including carbon. Obtaining images of Back-Scattered Electrons (BSE) shows the average atomic number of the pigments, and the images of Secondary Electrons (SE) provide information on texture and structure, whilst analysis of the elements making up the pigments is undertaken via Energy-Dispersive X-Ray spectroscopy (EDX). The SE and BSE images and analysis via energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy were acquired at an acceleration voltage of 20 kV. The spectra were acquired over 50 seconds, with a resolution of 20 ev/Ch and an acquisition rate of 3000cps.

**Confocal micro-Raman spectroscopy [mRS]:** Raman spectra were obtained employing a an InVia Raman microscope (Renishaw) with a Raman signal acquisition geometry of 180° (backscattering), a 50x lens (numerical aperture of 0.75) and attenuating filters between 0.1% and 0.05% of maximum laser strength, the output power being 20 mW. The equipment was fitted with a Leica DM LM microscope, connected to a video camera, with a holographic notch filter to eliminate Rayleigh dispersion, a 1200 L/mm diffraction grating and a 400 x 575 pixels CCD-type detector. Excited lines of both 514.5 and 785 nm in a diode laser were employed to analyse these types of pigment.

Raman analysis was carried out on the stratigraphy and also directly on the work. The confocal properties of the equipment enable us to place the painting directly under the objective lens of the microscope connected to the spectrometer, allowing us to carry out non-destructive, in situ analysis.

**Visible and near-infrared spectrometry:** The spectrophotometry data was collected using an Ocean Optics USB2000+ spectrometer with a Lab sphere integrating sphere, 8°/h geometry (specular component included) with 5/16 inch sample port diameter. A voltage stabilized 7 Watt tungsten-halogen lamp (mod. HL-2000-FHSA) optimized from 360-2000 nm range was used together with Spectral on diffuse white (99% reflectance) as reflectance standard.

**Other instrumental techniques**

**Optical microscope:** Preliminary examination of the painting samples was carried out, on the one hand, via a polarized light optical microscope, with parallel and crossed Nichols (Carl Zeiss, Jenapol U model), which enables observation of the internal microstructure deriving from the development process of the work and the microscope image with the actual colors of the layers, determined by the pigments present and their distribution, particle size and texture.

On the other hand, an ultraviolet microscope was employed (Olympus, CX41RF model), affording information on the fluorescence given off by the pigments and binding agents.

**Gas chromatography/mass spectrometry:** This technology enables identification of the binding agents that provide cohesion to the pigments, allowing us to determine the painting technique. The samples were treated with Meth-Prep II to detect oils, resins and waxes. In the case of carbohydrates and proteins, hydrolysis and subsequent derivatisation via silylation with TBDMSTFA in pyridine was employed.

**Results and Discussion**

**Analysis with optical microscope**

Analysis of this monochrome painting by Goya was carried out, firstly, via a single stratigraphy that, under the optical microscope, provided us with information on the internal structure and the sequence of layers of which it is composed: an initial layer, corresponding to the alabaster medium, and two further superficial layers that make up the black paint layer (Figure 2).
Analysis of the stratigraphy via SEM-EDX

EDX microanalysis enabled us to identify calcium sulphate as the main component (Figure 3), calcium carbonate and a mix of calcium and magnesium carbonate as secondary components of the gypsum, given its alabaster form, and also silicates originating from clays, which are natural contaminants of gypsum (Figure 4). These components confirm the gypsies nature of the material employed as a medium.

The painting layers of this cross-section also revealed the presence of vine black, carbon black, gold, quartz and earth, as pigments employed by Goya to create the work. Identification [19] was carried out on the basis of the chemical elements present in the SEM-EDX spectra (Figures 5-7).

SEM-EDX observation under high magnification

However, in this study our aim is to make advances in the characterization of vine black color, which are mixed with carbon black within the painting, proving difficult to distinguish via SEM-EDX, as carbon is the main component in each case. Therefore, to identify them via microanalysis when employing this instrumental technique, we must make recourse to the identification and detection of trace elements within the existing pigments, such as potassium, which is characteristic of vine black (Figure 5).

As a result, we intend to study the vine black pigment taking their morphological differences as a reference point, to which end, the high
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Figure 2: a) Photograph obtained by Optical microscopy. b, c) Photograph obtained via scanning electron microscopy of the stratigraphy extracted from the painting, shown Pictorial layer and under strong magnification, wherein it is possible to distinguish (figure, c) the gypseous structure of the alabaster that serves as a medium.

Figure 4: Spectrum obtained via SEM-EDX microanalysis, with the characteristic peaks of calcium carbonate and the mix of calcium and magnesium carbonate, secondary components of the gypsum, in its alabaster form, and also silicates originating from clays, which are normally natural contaminants of gypsum.

Figure 5: Spectrum corresponding to the vine black pigment, with the characteristic peaks of carbon and potassium as a trace element.

Figure 6: Spectrum corresponding to the carbon black pigment, with its characteristic peak.
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magnification enabled through the technique of electron microscopy will serve as a tool, enabling us to observe the materials selected in our investigation that are outlined in the Materials and Methods section.

Therefore, the two samples were studied in detail under high magnifications, whilst simultaneously undertaking the microanalysis associated with this technique, with the aim of verifying the characterization of the internal particles. In each case, the characteristic elements of the pigments were identified, revealing themselves to be vine black, carbon black, and gold. Moreover, both the stratigraphy and powder from brushstroke on the edge of the alabaster contained fragments of other black pigments, such as bone black, iron oxides and lead white. We believe that the presence of traces of these pigments could be the result of contamination of the brush or the palette, rather than a decision on the part of the painter to create a mix of four black pigments. However, based on this premise, we justify the mix of vine black and carbon black with a view to obtaining a more stable color: carbon black provides a strong color, but it has an amorphous and inconsistent structure, acquiring a greater degree of solidity when mixed with vine black, a pigment that does not offer the same capacity to blacken.

When observing the stratigraphy under high magnification, the two pigments in question could not be distinguished at a morphological level, given the cohesion between the pictorial strata brought about by the binding agent, compacting the mixture in such a manner that we were unable to visualize the existence of structures at an internal level. Nevertheless, as indicated above, analysis of the samples via SEM-EDX enabled us to identify the characteristic chemical elements associated with each pigment, as shown in the corresponding spectra.

However, the sample entailing powder scrapped from the painting enabled us to identify of vine black on the basis of their morphology, as high magnification has uncovered structures that has made differentiation viable.

Thus, in the case of the vine black pigment, polygonal forms were observed that may indicate the rigid walls characteristic of vegetable cells, given its botanical origin. SEM-EDX confirmed the presence of potassium, a trace element that characterizes vegetable or vine black (Figures 8-10).

Furthermore, in the two samples (stratigraphy and powdered paint), observation under high magnification enabled us to morphologically verify the presence of minute gold leaf flakes [26] that are included within the two pigments in question: golden particles that have been identified via SEM-EDX as gold of a very high purity (Figures 7 and 11).

Raman analysis

Analysis of the stratigraphy was also undertaken employing a complementary technique for pigment identification: Raman spectroscopy, which failed to provide conclusive results in terms of the differentiation of these colors.

Raman spectroscopy analysis was undertaken in situ (Figure 12) focusing the laser directly on to the surface of the painting, selecting
different points on the basis of the degree of transparency and the intensity of the color:

a) Focused on intense black.
b) Focused on a more translucent hue.
c) Focused on the ash colored base coat applied before the painting was commenced.
d) Focused on a clear area where the white of the alabaster comes to the fore.

In the three spectra captured from different intensities of the black color, the bands that characterize carbon can be observed (1340\text{w} \text{ and } 1595 \text{ vs cm}^{-1}) [27] and in the fourth spectrum, we observe an intense band of approximately 1000 cm$^{-1}$, which characterizes gypsum (alabaster), along with bands of 494 and 1136 cm$^{-1}$.

The stratigraphy was also analyzed via application of the Raman laser at 514.5 nm and 785 nm, again capturing the spectra corresponding to carbon and alabaster (Figure 13).

**Analysis via visible and near-infrared spectrometry**

Spectral reflectance measurements obtained in different samples of the work (Figure 14) show spectral curves with no characteristic signatures. The peak observed at 922 nm is a peak within the transmission of the fiber optic employed.

---

**Figure 11:** Observation of the gold leaf flakes found in the powder scrapped from the painting, which appear as the brightest particles within the mix of black pigments.

**Figure 12:** Photograph of the painting “Maja y Celestina”, indicating the points on the surface of the painting at which Raman microspectrometry analysis was carried out, showing the corresponding spectra with arrows, wherein the three spectra at the top correspond to carbon and the three at the bottom, to the gypsum of the alabaster.

**Figure 13:** Microphotograph of the stratigraphy and Raman spectra registered with the laser at 514.5 and 785 nm in two areas of the stratigraphy within the black layer of paint covering the alabaster surface.
Conclusion

We confirm that the combination of the different analytical techniques used has not facilitated the definitive characterization of the black pigments of an organic nature, as they are bound together forming a consistent pictorial mass.

Because of this difficulty, we propose distinguishing black pigments in the painting under study via the morphological differences found in the highly magnified images captured via scanning electron microscopy, bearing in mind the cellular aspects related to their internal structure.

Thus, in the case of the vine black pigment, polygonal forms were observed that may indicate the rigid walls characteristic of vegetable cells.

The carbon black pigment can be associated with the indefinite formations made up by small particles with an amorphous structure, which appear mixed with the polygonal vegetable-black forms.

In this painting, these two pigments are closely intertwined, as they have been mixed together via the binding agent (mixture of linseed oil and egg yolk).

Gold leaf particles were also found within the mix of blacks, indicating the aesthetic intent of the painter, who incorporated these particles with the aim of affording luminosity and light to this dark hue.

Moreover, other pigments were identified: lead white, bone black and iron oxide blacks. The presence of these hues cannot easily be ascribed to decisions on the part of the painter, as the mixture appears overly complex for a single color: black. Therefore, we explain the presence of these elements on the basis of contamination in the workshop resulting from old brushes or a dirty palette.

The identified binders are linseed oil and egg yolk which characterize the painting technique as fat tempera.
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