An evaluation of potential reference genes for stability of expression in two salmonid cell lines after infection with either *Piscirickettsia salmonis* or IPNV
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**Abstract**

**Background:** Due to the limited number of species specific antibodies against fish proteins, differential gene expression analyses are vital for the study of host immune responses. Quantitative real-time reverse transcription PCR (qRT-PCR) is one of the most powerful tools for this purpose. Nevertheless, the accuracy of the method will depend on the careful selection of genes whose expression are stable and can be used as internal controls for a particular experimental setting.

**Findings:** The expression stability of five commonly used housekeeping genes (beta-actin (*ACTB*), elongation factor 1-alpha (*EF1A*), ubiquitin (*UBQ*), glyceraldehyd-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (*GAPDH*) and tubulin alpha (*TUBA*)) were monitored in salmonid cell lines CHSE-214 and RTS11 after infection with two of the most fastidious fish pathogens, the facultative bacterium *Piscirickettsia salmonis* and the aquabirnavirus IPNV (Infectious Pancreatic Necrosis Virus). After geNorm analysis, *UBQ* and *EF1A* appeared as the most stable, although *EF1A* was slightly upregulated at late stages of *P. salmonis* infection in RTS11. *ACTB* instead, showed a good performance in each case, being always considered within the three most stable genes of the panel. In contrast, infection-dependent differential regulation of *GAPDH* and *TUBA* was also demonstrated.

**Conclusion:** Based on the data presented here with the cell culture models CHSE-214 and RTS11, we suggest the initial choice of *UBQ*, *ACTB* and *EF1A* as reference genes in qRT-PCR assays for studying the effect of *P. salmonis* and IPNV on the host immune response.

**Background**

To date, cDNA microarray and quantitative real-time reverse transcription PCR (qRT-PCR) have become the most important and reliable tools to study differential gene expression in fish, where species-specific antibodies are scarce. Although qRT-PCR combines advantages of specificity, sensitivity, speed, throughput and reproducibility over conventional methods an accurate normalization of data is fully required [1]. Errors in the quantification of mRNA transcripts arise from any variation in the amount of starting material between samples. A common strategy to overcome this problem is to simultaneously amplify a non-regulated housekeeping gene with those targeted to allow quantitative normalization of the experimental cDNA inputs. However, it has also been demonstrated that expression levels of these genes may vary considerably depending on cell types, tissues, experimental treatments and even under different diseases [2]. Moreover, the use of a single reference gene for normalization is nowadays discouraged by an increasing number of authors [3-5]. Consequently, it is highly necessary to validate their constitutive expression for a particular experimental setting and therefore a crucial component when assessing a new model [6].

The present study aims to validate the usefulness of five potential housekeeping genes for normalization of a number of salmonid relevant immune genes. We are
currently developing SYBR Green based real-time assays for studying the host immune response influenced by the infection with the facultative bacterium Piscirickettsia salmonis and with the IPNV, respectively. The in vitro models CHSE-214 (an epithelial-like embryo cell line derived from Chinook salmon, Oncorhynchus tshawitscha) and RTS11 (monocyte/macrophage-like cell line derived from rainbow trout, Oncorhynchus mykiss) have been of great help for this purpose because they have been shown to be susceptible to a wide range of viral infections [7,8] and to P. salmonis [9,10]. The potential reference genes we have examined are beta-actin (ACTB), elongation factor 1-alpha (EF1A) and glyceraldehyd-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH), which have been previously validated in several studies on diverse fish species including salmonids [11-13], and ubiquitin (UBQ) and tubulin alpha (TUBA), which have been reported for fish species like the three-spine-stickleback (Gasterosteus aculeatus [14]) and zebrafish (Danio rerio [15]), but not in salmonids. The five housekeeping genes were selected based on their previous use as internal controls for gene expression studies, the availability of housekeeping gene sequences for salmonids and related teleost species, and because they have roles in different cellular functions (Table 1), thus reducing the likelihood that they exhibited regulated covariation.

**Methods**

CHSE-214 was obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC CRL-1681), whereas RTS11 was developed by the middle author [16] (University of Waterloo, Canada). The routine growth of these cell lines has been described previously [17,18]. Briefly, CHSE-214 cultures were maintained at 17°C in MEM (Gibco) supplemented with 10 mM NaHCO3, 15 mM HEPES and 5% FBS (Gibco). RTS11 cultures were maintained at 20°C in Leibovitz L-15 medium (Gibco) supplemented with 2% FBS and antibiotics. Infected cultures were incubated at 17°C and monitored until CPE was evident and the clarified supernatants were divided into aliquots that were stored at -20°C. Aliquots were titrated in a plaque formation assay as described previously [21].

**Table 1 Name and function of candidate reference genes**

| Symbol | Gene name                      | Function                  | GenBank accession no. (Oncorhynchus mykiss) |
|--------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| ACTB   | Beta actin                     | Cytoskeletal structural protein | emb|AH438158|OMY438158 |
| EF1A   | Elongation factor 1 alpha      | Protein biosynthesis       | gb|AF498320.1|
| GAPDH  | Glyceraldehyd-3-phosphate-dehydrogenase | Glycolytic enzyme | gb|AF027130.1|AF027130 |
| UBQ    | Ubiquitin                      | Protein degradation        | dbj|AB036060.1|
| TUBA   | Tubulin alpha                  | Structural protein         | NM_00112469]1|
CHSE-214 and RTS11 cultures were monitored for infection by phase contrast microscopy (Olympus IMT-2 microscope). *P. salmonis* PCR confirmation was carried out by using the primer pair RTS1/RTS4 against the ITS region of the bacterial 16S rRNA operon as described previously [22]. IPNV infections were confirmed by using 1 step RT-PCR procedure (Brilliant QRT-PCR Master Mix Kit 1-Step, Stratagene) with primer set VP2SNP-F/VP2SNP-R (Santi, unpublished) against to the VP2 fragment sequence. Reverse transcription was performed by incubating at 50°C for 55 min followed by PCR amplification (95°C for 10 min, 35 cycles of 30 s at 95°C, 30 s at 55°C and 30 s at 72°C, and 72°C for 10 min). Mycoplasma contamination was absent as tested by amplifying with the primer set MyF1/MyR1 (PCR Mycoplasma Detection Set - TaKaRa Biomedicals Takara Shuzo).

Five reference genes (*ACTB*, *UBQ*, *EF1A*, *GAPDH* and *TUBA*), belonging to different functional classes, were selected to reduce the chance of their co-regulation (Table 1). All primers were designed on conserved regions so that they could amplify each gene in both species under study: *O. mykiss* and *O. tschawitcha*. Primers were evaluated with the OligoCalc application [23] to check annealing temperatures and self-complementarity. The specificity of the primers was tested using BLAST analysis against the nr NCBI database. Primer specifications are summarised on Additional file 1. The desired amplicon length (182 - 204 base pairs) was chosen to be similar among all genes to avoid significant differences in PCR efficiencies due to amplicon length. PCR products were cloned into TOPO vector (pCR 2.1, Invitrogen) and submitted to sequencing for verification. Partial *O. tschawitcha* sequences were deposited into GenBank accession numbers: FJ890356 (*EF1A*), FJ890357 (*ACTB*), FJ890359 (*UBQ*) and FJ890358 (*TUBA*).

qRT-PCR was carried out using a MJ Research real-time cycler. Each reaction for amplification of housekeeping candidates contained: 10 μl of the Brilliant II SYBR Green qPCR Master Mix (Stratagene), 100 nM of forward and reverse primers and 2 μl of 10-fold diluted cDNA, to a final volume of 20 μl. PCR was achieved with 10 min activation and denaturation step at 95°C, followed by 40 cycles of 30 s at 95°C, 30 s at the specific annealing temperature (see Additional file 1), 30 s at 72°C and 2 s at 74°C for fluorescence measurement. Following the final cycle, melting curve analysis were performed to examine the specificity in each reaction tube (absence of primer dimers and other

---

**Figure 1** Microscopic evidence of *Piscirickettsia salmonis* and IPNV infections. Phase contrast microscope appearance of cultures of CHSE-214 (top row) and RTS11 (bottom row) after the addition of either *Piscirickettsia salmonis* (middle column) or IPNV (right column). The cytopathic effect is seen as morphological changes (arrows) and as homotypic aggregation [highlighted by a box] in the case of RTS11 and *P. salmonis*. In CHSE-214 cultures, *P. salmonis* infection displayed the characteristic vacuolisation of cells. This CPE was visible in small groups of cells as early as three days after infection. Five days post-infection, vacuolisation was extended to approximately 40% of the population and nine days later around 80% displayed CPE. At this point some cells were detaching from the monolayer. In contrast to CHSE-214, RTS11 showed no evidence of vacuolisation after infection, but homotypic aggregation of these monocyte-like cells became perceptible as infection advanced. Significant cell death and lysis took place at least 12 days post-infection. IPNV infection was evidenced by rounding up and blebbing of the plasma membrane in CHSE-214 cultures. As infection advanced, apoptotic bodies could be seen. Two days post-infection, over 50% of the population displayed CPE. For RTS11 cultures, strong homotypic aggregation became apparent 24 h post-infection followed by significant morphological changes such as plasma blebbing.
by heating the samples from 60 to 90°C in 0.2°C increments with a dwell time at each temperature of 5 s while continuously monitoring the fluorescence.

PCR efficiencies were calculated for each tissue culture cell line using a relative standard curve derived from a pooled cDNA mixture (a ten-fold dilution series with five measuring points). The pooled cDNA was obtained from control and infected samples from both CHSE-214 and RTS11 cell cultures, respectively, using the same RNA isolation and cDNA synthesis protocols as described above. The real-time PCR efficiencies were calculated from the slope according to the established equation $E = 10^{-1/\text{slope}}$ [4].

Real-time PCR were assayed on every biological replicate and each sample was run in duplicate. Each PCR reaction included reverse transcriptase negative controls for testing genomic DNA contamination and a non-template negative control to check for primer dimer. To minimize experimental variation, each gene was quantified on the same batch of cDNA and the same gene was tested on the different samples in the same PCR run.

The threshold cycle (Ct) values of the Opticon Monitor 2 software version 2.03 were transformed to relative quantities for analysis with the geNorm 3.5 software as described by Vandesompele et al. [3]. For the conversion of the Ct values to relative quantities [24], reaction efficiencies were used. Relative gene expression for GAPDH, TUBA and EF1A were calculated using the geometric mean of the three most stable genes of each assay as normalization factors and the 2d (in P. salmonis assays) and the 6 h (in IPNV assays) controls as calibrators, respectively.

A Mann-Whitney test was used to determine significant differences in gene expression between groups and the calibrator samples. Significance was set at $P < 0.05$. These last statistical analyses were done using the SPSS 13.0 statistic package.

**Results and Discussion**

Intracellular pathogens such as bacteria and viruses modulate key cellular processes which may involve changes in reference gene expression [25,26]. Each pathogen manipulates several cellular transcription pathways in different degrees also according to the affected cell type [25,26]. Efficient infections with *P. salmonis* and IPNV have been evidenced by increasing cytopathic effect, as well as by accumulation of bacterial DNA and viral RNA over time in both CHSE-214 and RTS11 cell cultures (Figures 1 and 2). To rule out persistency, we made sure CPE was detectable resulting in productive infection (Figure 1). As well, no primer specific

---

**Figure 2 Evidence of infection by PCR**. PCR confirmation that cultures of CHSE-214 and RTS11 had been infected with either *P. salmonis* (A) or IPNV (B). *P. salmonis* was revealed by primer specific amplification of the ITS region of the bacteria 16S operon (A) and IPNV by primer specific amplification of the VP2 fragment (B). These results rule out persistency of infection in control samples, as they showed no primer specific amplification.
amplification of the ITS region of the bacteria 16S operon and the VP2 fragment of IPNV were evidenced in control samples (Figure 2). Despite progressive pathogen replication and accumulation in cultures, the expression of some reference genes remained constant, while others varied.

Prior validation of the selected reference gene candidates (ACTB, EF1A, GAPDH, UBQ and TUBA), general expression levels based on mean qPCR threshold cycle (Ct) values in control CHSE-214 and RTS11 cells were determined, since extremely high or low expression levels might preclude their usefulness as internal controls (Figure 3). Ct values from 16.17 and 24.99 indicated expression levels in an appropriate range.

For each assay, a standard curve for RTS11 and for CHSE-214 samples was generated by using 10-fold serial dilutions of pooled cDNA, generated from a mix of infected and control samples. Linear correlation coefficient (R²) varying from 0.9910 to 0.9998 and efficiencies between 87% and 96% showed that these assays were suitable for quantitative purposes (see Additional file 1).

The stability of gene expression over different samples can be achieved by evaluating qRT-PCR data with statistical algorithms. The geNorm software was chosen, since several studies have not found large differences between this tool and the NormFinder and the Bestkeeper [14,26,27]. GeNorm permitted to determine the internal control gene-stability measure M, which was calculated from the average pairwise variation of a particular gene with all other control genes. Genes with the lowest M values have the most stable expression. Assuming that the control genes are not co-regulated, stepwise exclusion of the gene with the highest M value results in a combination of two constitutively expressed housekeeping genes that have the most stable expression in the tested samples [3]. The ranking of the 5 candidate reference genes according to their M value was very similar in all assays (Figure 4a).

In order to determine the optimal number of reference genes required for accurate normalisation, the pairwise variations $V_{n/n+1}$ between each combination of sequential normalisation factors $NF_n$ containing an increasing number of genes were calculated (Figure 4b). If the addition of a gene produced large differences between consecutives $V_{n/n+1}$, then the added gene should be preferably included for calculation of $NF_n$ [3]. Following this criteria, only the IPNV infection assay on RTS11 cell cultures might consider the inclusion of a...
Figure 4 Programmed analysis of expression stability of the five putative reference genes. (a) Average expression stability values (M) of the potential reference genes after stepwise exclusion of the least stable gene calculated by the statistical software geNorm, plotted from least stable (left) to most stable (right). (b) Pairwise variation analysis between the normalization factors NF_n and NF_{n+1} to determine the optimal number of control genes for normalisation. A and B, *P. salmonis* infection experiment, C and D, IPNV infection experiment.
4th gene. For all other experimental settings, the optimal reference genes should be three.

UBQ and EF1A were the best ranked reference gene candidates, as they had the lowest sum_p values (0.813 and 1.023, respectively) which represents the standard deviation (SD) of reference gene expression over all infections investigated (Table 2). EF1A has been demonstrated to be a good housekeeping gene for the analysis of infection challenges, although a slight upregulation in RTS11 cells after 9 days P. salmonis infection (Figure 5A). In contrast to the reports of modulated expression by viral infections of human cell lines [26] and in infection of Atlantic salmon with ISA [11], ACTB showed in general a good performance during P. salmonis and IPNV infection, being considered in all cases as one of the three most stable genes (Figure 4a). As well, its reliability has been confirmed in LPS stimulated cells and tissues of fish [12] and birds [27].

Table 2 Results of geNorm analyses

|                 | GAPDH | ACTB | EF1A | UBQ | TUBA |
|-----------------|------|------|------|-----|------|
| CHSE-214-Ps     | 0.418| 0.350| 0.217| 0.217| 0.465|
| RTS11-Ps        | 0.596| 0.232| 0.500| 0.232| 0.432|
| CHSE214-IPNV    | 0.496| 0.358| 0.101| 0.101| 0.599|
| RTS11-IPNV      | 0.457| 0.205| 0.205| 0.263| 0.636|

The standard deviations of reference gene expression as determined by geNorm are shown.

(Sum_p: sum of pathogen infection geNorm values)

In order to show how transcription profile of the least stable genes can be affected during each experimental setting, their relative transcription levels were determined using the normalization factor calculated from the geometric mean of the three most stable housekeeping genes (Figure 5). In agreement with several other studies on teleosts, GAPDH was one of the least stable genes in our panel [13,15,28]. In mammals GAPDH was shown to be influenced by a large number of

Figure 5 Normalized GAPDH, TUBA and EF1A gene expression. Relative mRNA levels for GAPDH (grey bars), TUBA (dark grey bars) and EF1A (white bars) at times after the addition of either P. salmonis (A) or IPNV (B). Gene expression was normalized by the geometric mean of the three most stable housekeeping genes determined by geNorm in each assay. The 2d and the 6 h controls were used as calibrators (value = 1) in the (A) P. salmonis and in the (B) IPNV assays, respectively. Bars represent the mean values (± SE) for samples from three biological replicates. The asterisk (*) denotes significant differences from calibrators (P < 0.05; Mann-Whitney test).
physiological states and to play a role in a broad range of cellular mechanisms [29]. GAPDH expression was increasingly upregulated in RTS11 cells infected with *P. salmonis* and was slightly upregulated over 48 h in both control and IPNV-treated CHSE-214 cultures. This regulation on non-infected cells was not perceptible in the long-term experiment with *P. salmonis* or in the assays with RTS11. Schmitten and Zakrajsek [30] demonstrated that the addition of serum to serum-starved human fibroblast cultures increased the mRNA amount for GAPDH. Although in our experiments, cells were maintained in a low serum concentration (5% fetal bovine serum), the addition of fresh media prior to infection could stimulate slightly the expression of GAPDH in the first few hours. Therefore, an important point to consider during the selection of a reference gene is whether expression could be eventually regulated by other factors than the challenge self. This differential regulation in the reference gene could lead to over- or sub-estimations of target gene expression. As well, TUBA showed increased expression over 48 h in both control and IPNV-infected CHSE-214 cultures, while in infected RTS11 cultures, TUBA was downregulated. Then, TUBA gene expression was influenced by IPNV infection but the direction of the influence depended on the cell type.

From our experiments using CHSE-214 and RTS11 as *in vitro* infection models for the study of immune host responses to the facultative bacteria *P. salmonis* and to the aquabirnavirus IPNV, we recommend the use of the following housekeeping genes: UBQ, EF1A and ACTB, as they showed an overall stable performance in both cell types under the infection conditions.
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