Prevalence and determinants of eczema among females aged 21 to 32 years in Jeddah city - Saudi Arabia
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Atopic dermatitis (AD) is a common, chronic inflammatory skin disease with early onset and with a lifetime prevalence of approximately 20%. Although the pathogenesis of the disorder is not completely understood, it appears to result from the complex interplay between defects in skin barrier function, environmental and infectious agents, and immune abnormalities. Aim: To investigate the prevalence and determinants of Eczema among Saudi female aged 21 to 32 years old in Jeddah city. Methods: A cross sectional study involved 190 female students from IbnSina National College for Allied Health Sciences in Jeddah city were chosen by convenient sampling. Data were collected by Interview questionnaire (ISAAC: Core Questionnaire for Asthma, Rhinitis and Eczema) after getting their consent. SPSS used for data entry and analysis. Results: Prevalence of eczema among medical college females was 16.6%, Eczema was similar in Saudi and Non-Saudi females (13.97% and 12.66% respectively, P = 0.545). Eczema was associated with eye allergy (34.2%) with statistical significance P = 0.003. Eczema was associated family members history with statistical significance P = 0.012. There was not statistical significant relationship between eczema and education level, parental jobs, drugs chest and nose allergy. Conclusion: Prevalence of eczema among female medical students was 16.6%. Eczema was significantly associated with eye allergy and Family history of skin allergy was risk factor of eczema.

Key words: Prevalence; Determinants; Eczema; Females; Saudi Arabia

INTRODUCTION

Eczema or Atopic Dermatitis is a chronic pruritic inflammatory skin disease that occurs most frequently in children, but also affects adults. Eczema is often associated with elevated serum level of immunoglobulin E and a personal or family history of atopy, which describes a group of disorders that includes eczema, asthma, and allergic rhinitis [1,2]. Although sensitization to environmental or food allergens is clearly associated with the Eczema phenotype, it does not seem to be a causative factor but may be a contributory factor in a subgroup of patients with severe disease [3].

Epidemiology

Eczema affects approximately 5 to 20 percent of children worldwide [4]; in the United States, it is approximately 11 percent [5]. Data on prevalence of Eczema in
adults are limited and in most cases based upon self-administered questionnaire information. In a Danish population-based study including approximately 16,500 adults aged 30 to 89 years, the 1-year prevalence of atopic eczema was 14 percent [6]. In a in West Sweden study of approximately 30,000 individuals aged 16 to 75 years, the current eczema prevalence was 11 percent [7]. In a Danish cohort study including approximately 1300 individuals aged 28 to 30 years who had been followed-up for 15 years, 10 percent reported atopic dermatitis, but 6 percent were found to have atopic dermatitis at clinical examination [8]. The incidence of Eczema appears to be increasing. It may occur in any race or geographic location, although there appears to be a higher incidence in urban areas and developed countries, especially Western societies. A systematic review of epidemiologic studies performed between 1990 and 2010 found an increasing trend in incidence and prevalence of atopic eczema in Africa, eastern Asia, western Europe, and parts of northern Europe [9]. In the vast majority of cases, Eczema has an onset before age five years, and prevalence data in children show a slight female to male preponderance (1.3 to 1) [10].

Risk Factors

A family history of atopy (eczema, asthma, or allergic rhinitis) and the loss-of-function mutations in the filaggrin (FLG) gene, involved in the skin barrier function, are major risk factors for Eczema [11]. Approximately 70 percent of patients have a positive family history of atopic diseases. Children with one atopic parent have a two- to threefold increased risk of developing Eczema, and the risk increases to three- to fivefold if both parents are atopic [2]. Although 30 to 80 percent of patients with Eczema are sensitized to certain foods, the timing of solid food introduction or food avoidance strategies in pregnant or nursing women does not appear to influence the risk of Eczema [12]. Although 30 to 80 percent of patients with atopic dermatitis are sensitized to certain foods, the timing of solid food introduction or food avoidance strategies in pregnant or nursing women does not appear to influence the risk of atopic dermatitis [13].

There is evidence from a 2011 systematic review to support an inverse relationship between atopic dermatitis and exposure to endotoxin, early day care, farm animal, and dog pets in early life (the “hygiene hypothesis”) [14].

A multiplicity of factors, including skin barrier abnormalities, defects in innate immunity response, Th2-skewed adaptive immune response, and altered skin resident microbial flora are involved in the pathogenesis of atopic dermatitis [15,16]. Whether skin inflammation is initiated by skin barrier dysfunction (“outside-in” hypothesis) or by immune dysregulation (“inside-out” hypothesis) is still in debate.

The epidermis is the first line of defense between the body and the environment. The skin barrier keeps environmental irritants, allergens, and microbes from entering the body and prevents excessive water loss. The barrier function of the skin is primarily located in the stratum corneum, which consists of vertical stacks of anucleate corneocytes packed with keratin filaments embedded in a matrix of filaggrin breakdown products [17]. The corneocyte layers are embedded in an extracellular matrix replete with multiple lamellar bilayers enriched in ceramides, cholesterol, and free fatty acids derived from secreted lamellar body precursor lipids [18].

Clinical Manifestations

Dry skin and severe pruritus are the cardinal signs of Eczema. However, the clinical presentation is highly variable, depending upon the patient’s age and disease activity.

Acute eczema is characterized by intensely pruritic erythematous papules and vesicles with exudation and crusting, whereas subacute or chronic lesions present as dry, scaly, or excoriated erythematous papules. Skin thickening from chronic scratching (lichenification) and fissuring may develop over time. In many patients, lesions in different stages may be present at the same time.

Most patients with Eczema have a cutaneous hyperreactivity to various environmental stimuli, including exposure to food and inhalant allergens, irritants, changes in physical environment (including pollution, humidity, etc), microbial infection, and stress [19].

Diagnosis

The diagnosis of Eczema is clinical, based upon history, morphology and distribution of skin lesions, and associated clinical signs [2]. The United Kingdom working group on Eczema published criteria for diagnosing Eczema that include one mandatory and
five major criteria [20,21]: Evidence of pruritic skin, including the report by a parent of a child rubbing or scratching. In addition to itchy skin, three or more of the following are needed to make the diagnosis:

- History of skin creases being involved. These include: antecubital fossae, popliteal fossae, neck, areas around eyes, fronts of ankles.
- History of asthma or hay fever (or history of atopic disease in a first-degree relative for children <4 years of age).
- The presence of generally dry skin within the past year.
- Symptoms beginning in a child before the age of two years. This criterion is not used to make the diagnosis in a child who is under four years old.
- Visible dermatitis involving flexural surfaces. For children under four years of age, this criterion is met by dermatitis affecting the cheeks or forehead and outer aspects of the extremities.

Skin biopsy and laboratory testing, including IgE levels, are not used routinely in the evaluation of patients with suspected Eczema and are not recommended. However, in selected patients, histologic examination of a skin biopsy or other laboratory tests (eg., serum immunoglobulin E, potassium hydroxide preparation, patch testing, genetic testing) may be helpful to rule out other skin conditions [2].

**Treatment**

Patients with Eczema do not usually require emergency therapy, but they may visit the emergency department for treatment of acute flares caused by eczema herpeticum and bacterial infections. Topical steroids are currently the mainstay of treatment. In association with moisturization, responses have been excellent [22].

**Aim of the Study**

**Overall objective**

The main objective of the present study was to investigate the prevalence and determinants of Eczema among Saudi female aged 21 to 32 years old in Jeddah city.

**Specific objective**

1. To study the relationship between the family characteristic and the occurrence of Eczema.
2. To study the relationship between the home characteristics of the studied females and the occurrence of Eczema.
3. To study the relationship between the family history of Eczema and the occurrence of Eczema in the studied females.
4. To study the relationship between the personal characteristics of the studied females and the occurrence of Eczema.
5. To study the association between Eczema and the occurrence of Eczema and Bronchial Asthma.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study Design**

A cross sectional study design.

**Subjects and Study Setting**

Study involved 228 university female students from IbnSina National College for Allied Health Sciences.

**Sampling Techniques**

A convenient sampling used and the size of the sample was determined according to the following criteria: Alpha=0.05, Beta=0.2 with moderate size effect.

**Tools for Data Collection**

Personal, social and environmental questionnaire, which provided information about the family characteristics, but the home circumstances and personal details of the studied female. Data were collected using ISAAC: Core Questionnaire for Asthma, Rhinitis and Eczema.

**Statistical Analysis**

The collected data were coded and typed on a computer file using the SPSS statistical package version 22 IBM for PC. Data was presented as tables and figures. Data was analyzed using statistical test of significance tests: Chi square test, and level of significance for the present study was chosen as 5% (α= .05).

**RESULTS**

The prevalence of eczema among medical college females was 16.6%, Eczema was similar in Saudi and Non-Saudi females (13.97% and 12.66% respectively). This difference was not statistically significant where Fisher’s exact test was 1, (P = 0.545) (Table 1).

The highest proportion of eczema was among daily times practicing exercise per week (20%).
The difference was not statistically significant where Pearson Chi-Square 0.702, P = 0.870 (Table 2).

The highest proportion of eczema among suffers from eye allergy (34.2%) This difference was statistically significant where Pearson chi-square = 10.216, P = 0.003 (Table 3).

The highest proportion of eczema among suffers from drug allergy (21.4%) This difference was not statistically significant where Pearson chi-square 0.252, P = 0.419 (Table 4).

The Eczema was higher in females students who exposure to pungent odors bother her breath (19.1%) and females students who exposure to pungent odors do not bother her breath. This difference was not statistically significant where Fisher’s exact test was 0.661, and P = 0.262 (Table 5).

The Eczema was higher in females students who exposure to dusty air bother her breath (19.8%) and females who exposure to dusty air do not bother her breath. This difference was not statistically significant where Fisher’s exact test was 1.620, and P = 0.137 (Table 6).

Eczema was higher in females students who their family member suffer from allergy (30.0%) and females students who their family member do not suffer from This difference was statistically significant where Fisher’s exact test was 13.659, and P = 0.012 (Table 7).

Eczema was higher in females students who have been diagnosed before of having chest allergy, nose allergy and eczema (26.3%) and female students who have

Table 1: Distribution of female student according to nationality and presence of eczema

| Nationality | Eczema | Total (%) |
|-------------|--------|-----------|
|             | Yes (%) | No (%)    |            |
| Saudi       | 32 (16.5) | 162 (83.5) | 194 (100)  |
| Non-Saudi   | 6 (17.1)  | 29 (82.9)  | 35 (100)   |
| Total       | 38 (16.6) | 191 (83.4) | 229 (100)  |

Fisher exact test=1, (p=0.545)

Table 2: Distribution of female student according to times practicing exercise per week and presence of eczema

| Times practicing exercise per week | Eczema | Total (%) |
|-----------------------------------|--------|-----------|
|                                   | No (%) | Yes (%)   |           |
| No                                | 87 (82.1) | 19 (17.9) | 106 (100.0) |
| Daily                             | 16 (80.0)  | 4 (20.0)  | 20 (100.0)  |
| 2-3 times                         | 43 (84.0)  | 8 (15.7)  | 51 (100.0)  |
| Once                              | 45 (86.5)  | 7 (13.5)  | 52 (100.0)  |
| Total                             | 191 (83.4) | 38 (16.6) | 229 (100.0) |

Fisher exact test=0.702, (p=0.870)

Table 3: Distribution of studied females according to suffer from eye allergy and presence of eczema

| Suffer from eye allergy | Eczema | Total (%) |
|-------------------------|--------|-----------|
|                         | No (%) | Yes (%)   |           |
| No                      | 166 (86.9) | 25 (13.1) | 191 (100.0) |
| Sometime                | 25 (65.8)  | 13 (34.2) | 38 (100.0)  |
| Total                   | 191 (83.4) | 38 (16.6) | 229 (100.0) |

Fisher exact test=10.216, (p=0.003)

Table 4: Distribution of studied females according to suffer from drug allergy and presence of eczema

| Suffer from drug allergy | Eczema | Total (%) |
|--------------------------|--------|-----------|
|                         | No (%) | Yes (%)   |           |
| No                      | 180 (83.7) | 35 (16.3) | 215 (100.0) |
| Sometime                | 31 (78.6)  | 1 (21.4)  | 14 (100.0)  |
| Total                   | 191 (83.4) | 38 (16.6) | 229 (100.0) |

Fisher exact test=0.252, (p=0.41)

Table 5: Distribution of studied females according to exposure to pungent odors bother her breath and presence of eczema

| Exposure to pungent odors bother your breath | Eczema | Total (%) |
|--------------------------------------------|--------|-----------|
|                                            | No (%) | Yes (%)   |           |
| No                                         | 119 (85.0) | 21 (15.0) | 140 (100.0) |
| Sometimes                                  | 72 (80.9)  | 17 (19.1) | 89 (100.0)  |
| Total                                      | 191 (83.4) | 38 (16.6) | 229 (100.0) |

Fisher exact test=0.661, (p=0.262)

Table 6: Distribution of studied females according to exposure to dusty air bother her breath and presence of eczema

| Exposure to dusty air bother your breath | Eczema | Total (%) |
|----------------------------------------|--------|-----------|
|                                            | No (%) | Yes (%)   |           |
| No                                      | 102 (86.4) | 16 (13.6) | 118 (100)  |
| Sometimes                               | 89 (80.2)  | 22 (19.8) | 111 (100)  |
| Total                                   | 191 (83.4) | 38 (16.6) | 229 (100)  |

Fisher exact test=1.620, (p=0.137)

Table 7: Distribution of studied females who their family member suffer from allergy and presence of eczema

| Any family member suffer from allergy | Eczema | Total (%) |
|--------------------------------------|--------|-----------|
|                                       | No (%) | Yes (%)   |           |
| No                                    | 81 (93.1) | 6 (6.9)   | 87 (100)   |
| Chest allergy                         | 58 (73.4)  | 21 (26.6) | 79 (100)   |
| Nose allergy                          | 34 (85.0)  | 6 (15.0)  | 40 (100)   |
| Skin allergy                          | 7 (70.0)   | 3 (30.0)  | 10 (100)   |
| Eczema                                 | 8 (80.0)   | 2 (20.0)  | 10 (100)   |
| Eye allergy                           | 3 (100)    | 0 (0.0)   | 3 (100)    |
| Total                                 | 191 (83.4) | 38 (16.6) | 229 (100)  |

Fisher exact test=13.659, (p=0.012)

Table 8: Distribution of studied females who diagnosed before of having chest allergy, nose allergy and eczema

| Diagnosed before of having chest allergy | Eczema | Total (%) |
|----------------------------------------|--------|-----------|
|                                       | No (%) | Yes (%)   |           |
| No                                    | 102 (88.7) | 13 (11.3) | 115 (100) |
| Chest allergy                         | 46 (76.7)  | 14 (23.3) | 60 (100)  |
| Nose allergy                          | 29 (82.0)  | 7 (17.1)  | 35 (100)  |
| Eczema                                 | 14 (73.0)  | 5 (26.3)  | 19 (100)  |
| Total                                 | 191 (83.4) | 38 (16.6) | 229 (100) |

Fisher exact test=5.599, (p=0.133)
been not diagnosed having chest allergy, nose allergy and eczema. This difference was not statistically significant where Fisher’s exact test was 5.599, and P = 0.133 (Table 8).

Ethics

This study was performed on human subjects; thus, all patients were aware of the presence of the study and they were fully informed about the drug and its side-effects.

CONCLUSION

Eczema was significantly associated with eye allergy and Family history of skin allergy was risk factor of eczema

Recommendations

Student with eye allergy and family history of skin allergy should be screened for eczema

Statement of Human and Animal Rights

All procedures followed were in accordance with the ethical standards of the responsible committee on human experimentation (institutional and national) and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2008.

Statement of Informed Consent

Informed consent was obtained from all patients for being included in the study.
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