Deguelin inhibits vasculogenic function of endothelial progenitor cells in tumor progression and metastasis via suppression of focal adhesion
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ABSTRACT

Deguelin is a nature-derived chemopreventive drug. Endothelial progenitor cells (EPCs) are bone-marrow (BM)-derived key components to induce new blood vessels in early tumorigenesis and metastasis. Here we determined whether deguelin inhibits EPC function in vitro and in vivo at doses not affecting cancer cell apoptosis. Deguelin significantly reduced the number of EPC colony forming units of BM-derived c-kit+/sca-1+ mononuclear cells (MNCs), proliferation, migration, and adhesion to endothelial cell monolayers, and suppressed incorporation of EPC into tube-like vessel networks when co-cultured with endothelial cells. Deguelin caused cell cycle arrest at G1 without induction of apoptosis in EPC. In a mouse tumor xenograft model, tumor growth, lung metastasis and tumor-induced circulating EPCs were suppressed by deguelin treatment (2 mg/kg). In mice transplanted with GFP-expressing BM-MNCs, deguelin reduced the co-localization of CD31 and GFP, suggesting suppression of BM-derived EPC incorporation into tumor vessels. Interestingly, focal adhesion kinase (FAK)-integrin-linked kinase (ILK) activation and actin polymerization were repressed by deguelin. Decreased number of focal adhesions and a depolarized morphology was found in deguelin-treated EPCs. Taken together, our results suggest that the deguelin inhibits tumorigenesis and metastasis via EPC suppression and that suppression of focal adhesion by FAK-integrin-ILK-dependent actin remodeling is a key underlying molecular mechanism.

INTRODUCTION

It is now clear that tumor blood vessel formation is not due exclusively to sprouting from pre-existing vessels. The de novo formation of blood vessels, called vasculogenesis, used to be thought to take place during embryonic development only. However, in the postnatal stage, endothelial progenitor cells (EPCs), which are bone marrow (BM)-derived precursors expressing endothelial cell marker proteins, enter the circulation in response to angiogenic factors, such as, vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and stromal cell-derived factor (SDF)-1, target sites of neovascularization, and differentiate into endothelial cells, and thus, contribute to vessel formation [1]. Evidence now indicates that the term EPCs, endothelial colony forming cells (ECFCs) and colony forming unit-EC (CFU-EC) are used depending on their current methods of identifying or quantifying the EC lineage potential, and has similar phenotypes or properties that contribute to postnatal vasculogenesis, particularly to the vasculogenesis associated with tumor progression [2, 3]. Therefore, it suggests that EPCs or ECFCs or CFU-ECs play an essential role in tumor development and metastasis [4, 5] and that these cells be viewed as a potential target in cancer. Furthermore, it is already
RESULTS

Deguelin inhibited the proliferation and the colony forming ability of EPCs

The effect of deguelin on EPC proliferation was assessed by treating ‘cultured EPCs’ (similar to ECFC) from BM-derived c-Kit+/Sca-1−/lineage− (KSL) cells with deguelin at different concentrations. Deguelin inhibited EPC proliferation in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 1A). Because deguelin exhibits antiangiogenic activity in cancers [28], we tried to compare the effect of deguelin on EPCs with human umbilical vein endothelial cell (HUVEC) and human dermal lymphatic endothelial cell (HDLEC). Deguelin inhibited proliferation in HUVECs 10% at 50 and 100 nM, but not in HDLECs. However, proliferation of EPCs was dramatically inhibited by deguelin 50–60% dose-dependently (Figure 1B). In semisolid media, EPCs formed two types of colonies, that is, small (primitive) or large (definitive) colonies, indicative of high proliferative activity and vasculogenic activity [6, 29]. In our colony forming assay (CFA), small- and large- CFUs were identified by their morphology and staining with Ac-LDL and isolectin β4 (Figure 1C). Furthermore, we characterized these CFUs for the expression of additional EC marker gene, such as VEGF receptor 2 (VEGFR2), CD31, endothelial nitrogen oxide synthase (eNOS), VE-cadherin, and vWF [7], and these-CFUs are deemed as functional EPCs, because these CFUs did not express myeloid cell markers, such as CD45 [6]. Fewer small and large colonies were formed in the presence of deguelin (Figure 1D), which suggested that deguelin attenuates both the self-renewal and differentiating abilities of EPCs.

Deguelin induced cell cycle arrest at G1 phase and apoptosis in EPC

In a previous study, deguelin was found to cause apoptosis in several cancer cell lines, due to its inhibition of Akt survival pathways, but was not found to have apoptotic activity in endothelial cells [28]. In the present study, we examined the cytotoxic effect of deguelin in EPCs using an Annexin-V assay. Treatment with deguelin (100 nM for 24 h) did not significantly increase EPC apoptosis (Supplementary Figure 1), but cell cycle analysis showed that deguelin caused cell cycle arrest at G1. In fact, deguelin dose-dependently increased the number of cells in G1, decreased the number in the S phase, and had a minimal effect on the G2/M phases (Figure 2A). p53 mediates inhibition of G1 cyclin kinase and arrest cell cycle in G1 phase. Phosphorylation of pRb induces E2F-mediated gene transcription involved in cell cycle progression at the G1-S transition [30]. Thus we checked level of p53 and phosphorylated pRb protein. In these treated cells, the expression of p53 was increased (Figure 2B), and the
Figure 1: Dose-dependent suppression of EPC proliferation and colony formation by deguelin. A. KSL cells (10,000 cells/well) were cultured in StemSpan media containing different concentrations of deguelin (n = 4/group). Relative cell numbers were measured by a CCK-8 assay at the indicated time points (*P < 0.05; **P < 0.001 vs. non-treated control). B. HUVECs, HDLECs were cultured in the EGM-2 media in different concentration of deguelin and relative cell numbers were measured by a CCK-8 assay at 24 h in compared to cultured EPCs as shown in A (n = 4). C, D. KSL cells were seeded in methylcellulose containing media containing deguelin at different concentrations (n = 4/group). Colony forming units were counted by blind investigators 12 days after seeding. Small- and large-CFUs were defined as focused clusters of rounded cells or as central core of round cells with elongated sprouting cells at their peripheries, respectively (left panel). Enlarged images of the boxed regions are shown in right. DiI-Ac-LDL was uptaken by these colonies and colonies were treated with FITC-conjugated anti-isoelectin B4 antibody. C. Quantitative number of colonies were counted and graphed D. Three independent experiments were performed. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01 vs. non-treated control. Scale bar = 500 μm.

Figure 2: Regulation of cell cycle genes by deguelin in EPCs. A. KSL cells were treated with different concentrations of deguelin for 2 days (n = 3). Cells were collected, fixed, and stained with propidium iodide. DNA contents were measured by flow cytometry and cell cycles were analyzed (n = 3). The effects of deguelin on the expression of p53. B. cyclin D1, and phosphorylated-Rb. C. β-Actin was used as the internal control (n = 3).
expressions of cyclin D1 and of phosphorylated pRb were found to be suppressed (Figure 2C). These results indicate that deguelin inhibits EPC proliferation by regulating cyclin D1 and pRb-dependent cell cycle progression without any induction of apoptosis.

**Deguelin inhibited EPC function**

Next, we examined the effects of deguelin on the functional activities of EPCs, that is, migration, tube formation, and adhesion. In a modified Boyden chamber migration assay, deguelin significantly and dose-dependently reduced the number of migrating EPCs (Figure 3A). When compared with HUVECs and HDLECs, EPCs was the most susceptible to deguelin (Figure 3B), suggesting that EPCs can be vascular target cells for deguelin. Because the migration assay was performed in a short time (5 h), the observed effect of deguelin on EPC migration is substantially independent of its effect on cell proliferation.

The functional ability of EPCs to incorporate and differentiate into vascular tubes was examined on a Matrigel. EPCs from GFP transgenic mice were co-cultured with HUVECs on Matrigel matrix (100 nM). It was found that deguelin at 100 nM reduced the number of EPCs that were incorporated and the number that differentiated into tubular structures with HUVECs (Figure 3C), as determined by detecting GFP+ cells. However, using only HUVECs or HDLECs, deguelin had no effect on the tube formation (Supplementary Figure 2) as found in a previous study that deguelin at 100 nM does not alter the organization of HUVECs into capillary-like networks [28], and thus, the impaired tube formation observed was probably the result of inhibiting the ability of EPCs to incorporate and enhance tube-forming ability of endothelial cells.

Because the adhesion of EPCs to endothelial cells and the extracellular matrix is a crucial step for EPC docking and migration into a new site for EPC growth and to form new blood vessels [31], adhesion assay was performed. When EPCs from GFP-expressing mice were plated onto fibronectin-coated 96-well plates, numbers of adherent cells were unaffected by deguelin (Figure 3D). However, when EPCs were allowed to adhere to HUVEC confluent monolayers, deguelin reduced the number of adherent cells after 3 h (Figure 3D), which suggested that deguelin might inhibit EPC adhesiveness at sites of vasculogenesis.

![Figure 3: Effects of deguelin on EPC migration, adhesion, and tube formation.](image_url)
Deguelin inhibited tumor vascularization in vivo

Mouse tumor cells, LLC and B16F10 xenograft models were used to examine the effect of deguelin on tumor vasculogenesis in vivo (tumor cells were injected s.c. into flanks). We chose 2 mg/kg of deguelin (i.p) which was 2–4-fold lower than those to inhibit cancer cell growth or metastasis [32, 33]. When animals were treated with 2 mg/kg of deguelin (i.p) every 2 days for 2 weeks, tumor growth was significantly reduced (Figure 4A) and final tumor weight was lighter than in vehicle control (50% DMSO in physical saline, i.p.) (Figure 4B). To determine the effect of deguelin on the number of circulating EPCs, in two weeks after tumor cell inoculation, peripheral blood was collected to assess circulating EPC numbers. Numbers of circulating EPCs (defined as peripheral blood MNCs positive for both CD34 and VEGFR2 but negative for CD45 [34]) were significantly elevated in tumor bearing mice, but not in deguelin-treated tumor bearing mice (Figure 4C), suggesting that low dose deguelin (2 mg/kg) inhibits tumor growth by suppressing vasculogenesis through inhibition of EPC mobilization from BM into circulating blood.

To explore this suggestion, after γ-ray treatment to deplete BM cells, mice were transplanted with BM-MNCs from GFP transgenic mice. These mice were then inoculated with B16F10 cells and treated with deguelin or vehicle. Two weeks later, tumor masses were collected and subjected to IHC. Staining for CD31 showed that tumor vessel densities were significantly reduced in deguelin-treated mice (Figure 4D and 4E), and that vessel sizes in

![Figure 4: Effect of deguelin on tumor growth and vasculogenesis in vivo. A. Mice were inoculated s.c. with LLCs or B16F10 cells and treated with deguelin (2 mg/kg body weight, n = 6) or vehicle (n = 6) every 2 days, and measured tumor volume. B. Tumor final weights of A. C. MNCs were isolated from peripheral blood by gradient centrifugation using Histopaque 1083 and stained with Alexa Fluor 647-conjugated anti-CD45, PE-conjugated anti-Flk-1 and FITC-conjugated anti-CD34 antibodies (n = 3). Cells were analyzed by flow cytometry. Debris and platelets were excluded by gating low FSC/SSC fractions. Circulating EPCs were defined as CD34+/Flk-1-/CD45- cells. D–F. Mice transplanted with BM-MNCs from GFP-expressing mice were s.c. inoculated with B16F10 cells and treated with deguelin as above (n = 6). Tumors were fixed and subjected to IHC. Staining for CD31 showed that tumor micro-vessel densities. D. Among the tumor microvessels, GFP-expressing EPC-derived vessels were counted. E. CD31 (red) and the differentiation of GFP-expressing EPCs into endothelial cells (green) were shown. F. Enlarged images of the boxed regions are shown in right. Each experiment was performed twice independently. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.005; ***P < 0.001. Scale bar = 100 μm.](image-url)
treated mice were also smaller than control (Figure 4F). In particular, the co-expressions of CD31 and GFP (indicative of the differentiation of BM-derived EPCs into vascular endothelial cells) were reduced in the tumors of deguelin-treated mice (Figure 4E and 4F). These findings suggest that deguelin inhibits the mobilization of EPCs from BM into the peripheral blood circulation and their recruitment and incorporation into tumor neovasculature.

**Deguelin inhibited cancer cell metastasis through suppression of EPC function**

As EPCs play an important role in metastasis progression [4] and deguelin inhibited EPC function from our results, we investigated whether deguelin inhibits metastasis and EPC contribution to metastasized tumor. BM transplanted mice with GFP-expressing MNCs were inoculated with LLC or B16F10 cells intravenously and treated with deguelin or vehicle every two days for 12 days. At 2 weeks post-injection, lung metastasis was inhibited (Figure 5A), lung nodule vascularization was also reduced analyzed by the CD31+/GFP+ cells. Therefore, the contribution of EPCs or vascular endothelial cells in metastasized lung nodules was inhibited in deguelin-treated mice (Figure 5B and 5C).

**Deguelin inhibited ILK-integrin αvβ3-FAK signaling axis and focal adhesion**

Since actin polymerization in response to chemokines is a key event in cell cycle progression, cell migration, and tumor metastasis [35], we studied the effect of deguelin on the polymerization of actin within BM-derived lin/c-Kit+ cells. Stimulation with SDF-1α induced actin polymerization within 20 sec, but pre-treatment with deguelin for 1 h strongly diminished SDF-1α-induced actin polymerization (Figure 6A). Furthermore, phalloidin immunofluorescence showed that treating cultured EPCs with deguelin disrupted cell polarity and focal adhesions. Cultured EPCs are polarized with broad lamellipodia extending in the direction of cell migration and a narrow uropod at the rear (Figure 6B, upper), which indicates migrating ability. However, this migratory morphology was disrupted after 1.5 h of treatment with deguelin when actin skeletons were stabilized with a dense meshwork of actin filaments around the cell periphery, which made cells contracted and depolarized (Figure 6B, lower). These findings suggest that the inhibitory effects of deguelin on EPC function are achieved via the suppression of actin polymerization and cytoskeletal remodeling. To investigate underlying molecular mechanism for this, molecules for cytoskeletal machinery were examined. The cytoskeletal network is under the control of the Rho family of small GTPases, which in turn, are regulated by FAK. The activation of FAK, a rapid event in response to growth factors or cell adhesion to ECM, is crucial for focal adhesion turnover and cell motility [22]. Western blot showed decreases in the phosphorylation of FAK at Tyr397, Tyr576/577, and Tyr861 in EPCs treated with deguelin (Figure 6C), which suggests that deguelin regulates migration and adhesion by actin remodelling through inhibiting FAK phosphorylation. ILK and FAK shares integrin αvβ3 for their signaling for actin remodeling, we checked ILK, integrin signaling and paxillin expression.

**Figure 5: Deguelin inhibited metastasis.** Mice transplanted with BM-MNCs from GFP-expressing mice were inoculated i.v. with 4 × 10^4 LLCs or 10^4 B16F10 cells and treated with deguelin (2 mg/kg) or vehicle (n = 6/group) every 2 days). A. Numbers of lung metastases after 12 days (*P < 0.01). B, C. IHC staining of lung nodule sections for CD31 (red) and GFP (green) revealed vessel densities and the incorporation of EPCs (arrows). *P < 0.05. Scale bar = 100 μm.
Deguelin dose-dependently decreased ILK as well as integrin αvβ3 and paxillin (Figure 6D). Focal adhesion number as determined by vinculin immunostaining in lamellipodia was significantly decreased by deguelin treatment (Figure 6E). And vinculin protein expression was decreased by deguelin (Figure 6F).

When we investigated whether Akt pathways are affected in EPCs, the VEGF-induced activations of Akt and mTOR were suppressed dose-dependently by deguelin in EPCs (Supplementary Figure 3A). In complete media, this pathway was inhibited at early time points (5 min-1 h) (Supplementary Figure 3B).

**DISCUSSION**

The targeting of angiogenesis is a valuable strategy for the treatment of solid cancers, because it targets genetically stable cells rather than rapidly mutating and drug resistance acquiring cancer cells [36]. Because EPCs are required for tumor vascularization [37], the effectiveness of cancer therapy could be enhanced by targeting EPC growth and function. In addition, bone marrow-derived EPCs has been known as critical regulators of angiogenic switch in metastatic progression. EPCs homing to metastatic lesions induces tumor progression through direct luminal incorporation or secreting angiogenic cytokines by paracrine manner, and ultimately forms neovessels in metastatic tumors [4]. In particular, antitumor agents with EPC inhibitory activity can provide an additive treatment effect [38]. Deguelin is a potent anticancer agent, and inhibits angiogenesis by inhibiting endothelial cells [28]. In this study, we show that deguelin inhibits EPC function in vitro, and the contribution by EPCs to tumor growth and metastasis in vivo, through inhibiting actin remodeling and focal adhesion signaling.

To contribute to vascularization, EPCs must proliferate, mobilize from BM into the circulatory system, home to tumor sites, and differentiate into endothelial cells [39]. In particular, the proliferation, migration, adhesion, and differentiation of EPCs are required for tumor vascularization, growth, and metastasis [5]. Therefore, a
combinatorial approach to the targeting these processes leads to a strongly inhibitory effect on EPC function during tumor progression.

The inhibition of cell growth by blocking Akt pathways is the main reported effect of deguelin [8, 28, 40], and in the present study, we observed this in EPCs (Supplementary Figure 3). However, whereas Akt suppression is implicated in the induction of apoptosis in almost all cancer cells examined, deguelin was not observed to have a cytotoxic effect on EPCs, despite its considerable inhibition of EPC proliferation. This finding is consistent with a lack of toxicity on non-cancer cells [28, 40]. Deguelin has even been reported to inhibit apoptosis significantly in endothelial cells [28]. Therefore, we can speculate that deguelin demonstrates its pharmacological effects depending on the different cellular context.

Cellular context-dependent effects of deguelin is also shown when it did not affect the proliferation of lymphatic endothelial cells, and just slightly reduced that of endothelial cells (Figure 1A). While strongly suppressing EPC functions, deguelin moderately inhibited migration of endothelial cells and lymphatic endothelial cells, and did not changes tube formation capacity of these cells (Figure 4B, 4D). Therefore, anti-angiogenic effect of deguelin in vivo might be primarily due to inhibition of EPC, rather than endothelial cell proliferation and functions.

There is still no uniform definition of EPCs. From our results, our small- or large-EPC-CFUs express Ac-LDL, isolectin B4 but did not express either CD14 or CD45, which represent similar phenotypes to ECFCs [3]. Role of EPCs in blood vessel formation have been demonstrated, as circulating EPCs can incorporate into sites of neovascularisation [41]. However, beside EPC identity, the extent of contribution of EPCs to tumor neovascularization remains controversial. While many investigations described great contribution of EPCs to tumor vessel formation [5] some others showed minimal [42] or even undetectable contribution [43]. These different reports can arise from differences in EPC markers and tumor models employed for analysis.

Given the rarity of EPCs in peripheral blood, vasculogenesis greatly depends on the abilities of EPCs to mobilize toward angiogenic factors and adhere to developing vasculature. In the present study, deguelin dramatically inhibited EPC migration and adhesion to developing vasculature. In the present study, deguelin to mobilize toward angiogenic factors and adhere to vasculogenesis greatly depends on the abilities of EPCs minimal [42] or even undetectable contribution [43].

In the present study, we found that deguelin caused cell depolarization and contraction. After treatment, cells lost their typical migratory morphology and exhibited dense actin filament meshes around their peripheries (Figure 6B). Furthermore, deguelin consistently inhibited the activation of FAK and SDF-1α-induced actin polymerization as well as expression of ILK, integrins and paxillin (Figure 6A, 6C, 6D). Activation of integrins by binding to specific extracellular matrices (ECM) activates FAK as well as ILK signalings. Signaling cascade of FAK activation is essential for cell migration [45], and regulates Rho family GTPases cdc42, Rac and Rho, which are responsible for the formation of filopodia, lamellipodia, and stress fibers, respectively [21, 46].

FAK also activates actin-dependent membrane ruffle and lamellipodia formation required for cell migration [23]. ILK interacts with paxillin and FAK and mediates cytoskeletal dynamics and cell migration [47]. From our findings that the inhibition of FAK and ILK by deguelin may results in decreased integrin signaling-mediated focal adhesion and migration as well as cell contraction. In addition, the effect of deguelin on activation of Akt and expression of p53 and cyclin D1, which are down-stream targets of FAK, suggests that the inhibition of FAK may also be responsible for the decrease of cell growth by deguelin. From our results, integrins αβ3 were inhibited by deguelin (Figure 6), suggesting integrins αβ3 are involved in FAK and ILK signalings in EPCs (Figure 6G). Interestingly, FAK is also a downstream target of several growth factor receptors [48] and acts at both upstream and downstream of Akt [49, 50]. Therefore, it is important to clarify if integrins αβ3, Akt, FAK, or another upstream mediator is a direct target of deguelin (Figure 6G). With our data, deguelin suppresses migration, proliferation, angiogenesis activities in EPCs through FAK/integrin axis. Even we focused on deguelin’s effect on FAK/ILK signaling pathway, VEGF or SDF-1α signaling pathway may be also involved in the inhibition of vasculogenic function in EPCs by deguelin.
Summarizing the present study shows that deguelin, a potent anticancer drug, suppresses tumor growth and metastasis by inhibiting EPC function, and thus, inhibits tumor vasculogenesis. Furthermore, in the present study, it was found for the first time that deguelin inhibits actin filament remodeling.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mice

Animal experiments were performed using C57BL/6J mice (SLC, Japan), which were handled in strict compliance with the guidelines for care and use of laboratory animals issued by the institutional ethical animal care committee of Kyungpook National University (Daegu, Korea). Mice were maintained under specific pathogen-free conditions. Transgenic mice that universally expressed the green fluorescent protein (GFP) under the chick β-actin promoter were kindly provided by Dr. Goo-Taeg Oh in Ewha University.

EPC isolation and culture

BM-derived mononuclear cells (MNCs) were isolated from 8-to-12-week old C57BL/6J mice by gradient centrifugation using Histopaque 1083 (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO). Remaining red blood cells were depleted with NH₄Cl. BM-MNCs were cultured on 2% gelatin-coated dishes in EGM-2 media (Lonza, Basel, Switzerland) supplemented with 5% FBS and antibiotics. Non-adherent cells were removed and the medium was changed on day 4. Isolation and culture of c-Kit+/Sca-1-/lineage-(KSL) cells from BM-MNCs were performed as described previously [51].

EPC colony forming assay (CFA) and characterization

EPC colony formation was assessed by culturing 500 KSL cells in triplicate in methylcellulose-containing medium M3236 (Stem Cell Technologies) supplemented with: 100 ng/ml SCF (PeproTech), 50 ng/ml VEGF (PeproTech), 20 ng/ml interleukin-3 (R&D Systems), 50 ng/ml basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF, R&D Systems), 50 ng/ml epidermal growth factor (EGF, PeproTech), 2 U/ml heparin (Sigma Aldrich), 30% FBS, and antibiotics. Small- and large- colonies (CFUs) after culture for 12 days were defined as focused clusters of rounded cells or as cell clusters with a central core of round cells and elongated sprouting cells at their peripheries [51]. Endothelial characteristics of the attached small- and large- CFUs after culture for 12 days were defined as focused clusters of rounded cells or as cell clusters with a central core of round cells and elongated sprouting cells at their peripheries [51]. Endothelial characteristics of the attached small- and large- CFUs after culture for 12 days were defined as focused clusters of rounded cells or as cell clusters with a central core of round cells and elongated sprouting cells at their peripheries [51]. Endothelial characteristics of the attached small- and large- CFUs after culture for 12 days were defined as focused clusters of rounded cells or as cell clusters with a central core of round cells and elongated sprouting cells at their peripheries [51].

Actin polymerization assay

Freshly isolated BM-lin- cells were incubated with deguelin or vehicle at 37°C for 1 h and stimulated with 100 ng/ml SDF-1α for 20 sec. Cells were then fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA), stained with Alexa Fluor 488-Phalloidin (Invitrogen), and PE-anti-cKit antibody (BioLegend), and analyzed with a FACSCalibur. C-Kit+ cells were gated to analyze filamentous actin contents.

Proliferation assay

KSL cells (1 × 10⁴) were cultured in 96-well plates containing 100 μl StemSpan media supplemented with 100 ng/ml SCF (PeproTech), 50 ng/ml VEGF (PeproTech), 100 ng/ml IL6 (PeproTech), 10 ng/ml Flt3 (R&D Systems), 20 ng/ml TPO (PeproTech), and different concentrations of deguelin (10–100 nM) for 1–4 days. Cell Counting Kit-8 (Dojindo, Kumamoto, Japan) solution (10μl) was then added to each well and plates were incubated for a further 4 h and read for O.D. at 450 nm.

EPC adhesion to matrix molecules

A 96-well plate was coated with 0.1 mg/mL fibronectin at 37°C for 3 h. Cultured EPCs were detached
with 0.25% trypsin and resuspended in EGM2 media supplemented with 5% FBS. Cells (10^5) were placed into each well and incubated for 30 min at 37°C. After removing non-adherent cells by washing three times, adhering cells were quantified using a 3-(4, 5-Dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2, 5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay.

**EPC adhesion to endothelial cell monolayers**

Confluent monolayers of HUVECs were prepared on 4-well plates. EPCs expressing green fluorescence protein (GFP) were detached and 10^5 cells were added to each well. After 3 h, non-adherent cells were removed by washing with PBS. Cells were then fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde, stained with DAPI, and counted in 5 random fields under a fluorescent microscope.

**Migration assay**

EPC migration assays were performed in triplicate using a Transwell system (6.5-mm diameter, 5-μm pore size, Corning Costar, Cambride, MA). Six hundred microliters of EBM media with or without deguelin and 20 ng/ml rhVEGF were placed in the lower chambers. Cultured MNCs (in EGM2 containing 5% FBS for 7 days) were starved in FBS-free EBM media for 24 h, and seeded (5 × 10^4 cells) into upper chambers in EBM media with or without deguelin. After incubation for 5 h, cells were fixed with methanol. Non-migrating cells on upper sides were scrapped off and the filter was stained with Hematoxylin and Eosin (H&E). Migrating cells were counted in five random high power fields (HPF) per membrane. Results are expressed as relative migrations (average number of migrated cells/HPF ± SD).

**Tube formation assay**

Matrigel matrix (BD Biosciences) was thawed at 4°C overnight, and 70 μl was placed in a 96-well plate at 37°C for 30 min to solidify. GFP-expressing EPCs (1.5 × 10^5 cells) and HUVECs (10^4 cells) were co-plated in 100 μl EGM2 media and incubated at 37°C in 5% CO2 for 8 h. Wells were examined under a fluorescent microscope to assess capillary formation and GFP+ cell incorporation. Results are presented as the means ± SDs of numbers of branch points and numbers of EPCs incorporated into tube network in 5 HPFs.

**Apoptosis assay**

KSL cells expanded for 7 days were subcultured for 24 h with deguelin (10−100 nM) or DMSO as a vehicle control in triplicate. Cells were then collected, washed, and double stained with FITC-conjugated Annexin V (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and propidium iodide (PI, Sigma Aldrich). Labeled cells (10^4 events) were analyzed by flow cytometry. Apoptosis was determined by calculating the percentage of FITC+/PI− cells (PI+ cells were considered necrotic cells).

**Cell cycle analysis**

Cells were collected, washed with PBS and fixed with ice-cold 70% ethanol at −20°C for 1 day. After washing with PBS, cells were incubated with staining solution containing 10 μg/mL RNase (Sigma), 40 μg/mL propidium iodide and 0.1% NP-40 for 15 minutes. Fluorescence intensities of 10^6 cells were measured using a FACS Calibur, and cell cycles were analyzed using FACSExpress Software.

**Western blotting**

Cells were washed twice with cold PBS and lysed with ice-cold RIFA buffer (Cell Signaling). Lysates were centrifuged at 15, 000g (4°C) for 20 min to remove insoluble components, and protein concentrations in supernatants were measured using the BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL). Proteins (40 μg/lane) were separated on SDS-polyacrylamide gels and blotted onto nitrocellulose membranes (Whatman, Dassel, Germany). Western blotting was performed using antibodies against Akt, phospho-Akt, mTOR, phospho-mTOR, phospho-FAK, cyclin D1, phospho-Rb (Cell Signaling), p53, FAK, and β-actin (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Paso Robles, CA). Membranes were developed using an enhanced chemiluminescence detection kit (Thermo Scientific).

**Immunofluorescence**

MNCs were cultured on coverslips in 4-well plates. At day 4, cells were treated with deguelin (100 nM) or DMSO vehicle for 1.5 h and then fixed with 4% PFA. After washing and permeabilizing with 0.1% Triton X-100, cells were stained with Alexa Fluor 488-Phalloidin. DAPI was used to label nuclei.

**Immunohistochemistry**

LLC xenograft tumors and mouse lungs were fixed in 4% PFA for 4 h, rinsed with PBS, and immersed in 30% sucrose in PBS overnight. Tissues were then placed in Tissue-Tek OCT Compound (Miles Inc., Elkhart, IN) at 4°C for 4 h before freezing with dry ice. Sections (8 μm) were then cut and stained with rat IgG anti-mouse CD31 antibody (BD Pharmingen) and Alexa Fluor 594-conjugated anti-rat IgG secondary antibody.

**Real-time PCR**

Total RNA was extracted using a RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) and reverse transcribed with a PrimeScript™ RT reagent Kit (Takara Bio Inc., Shiga, Japan). Real-time PCR was performed using an ABI PRISM 7300 Sequence Detection System using SYBR-Green PCR master mix (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) with primer pairs targeting CXCR4 (forward 5′-GCAAGTTCAAAAGCTCTGC-3′;
reverse 5′-AGCCTCTGCTCATGGAGTTG-3′), VEGF (forward 5′-TCTCCAGATCGTGACAGT-3′; reverse 5′-GGGCAGAGCTGAGTGTTAGC-3′) and β-actin (forward 5′-AAGTCCCTCACCCTCCAAAAG-3′; reverse 5′-AAGCAATGCTGTCACCTTCCC-3′). The mean cycle threshold (Ct) values from triplicate measurements were used to calculate relative gene expressions (normalized versus β-actin) using the ∆∆Ct method.

**Statistical analysis**

All data are expressed as the means ± SDs of at least 3 samples. ANOVA was used to determine the statistical significance of comparisons.
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