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ABSTRACT
During basaltic eruptions, the average rate at which lava is erupted (effusion rate) is one of the most important factors controlling the evolution, growth and extent of the flow field. This has implications both for forecasting purposes, highlighting the importance of the effusion rate as input parameter of physics-based numerical models, and to advance knowledge on the shallow feeder system by constraining the supplied mass. Satellite remote sensing provides a mean to estimate the average effusion rate by applying a direct conversion from the measured radiant heat loss by an active lava flow. This conversion relies on a set of parameters of lava (e.g. rock density, heat capacity, vesicularity, emissivity, etc.) and suffers of multiple sources of uncertainties and measurements errors, whose quantification is still an open problem. Here we constrain the volume of lava emitted at Mt Etna on 17-25 May 2016 and emplaced out of the summit craters, by using pre-eruptive and post eruptive digital elevation models (DEMs) obtained processing satellite images acquired by the Pléiades constellation, which provides images at 50 cm resolution in stereo and tri-stereo mode. The 3D processing of the tri-stereo Pléiades imagery (acquired on 24 December 2015 and 18 July 2016), performed using the free and open source MicMac photogrammetric library, provides estimations of the distribution of thickness and the bulk volume emitted. The integration of multi-platform remote sensing products represents a new potential of merging capabilities to enable a more comprehensive response to effusive crises.

1. INTRODUCTION

Mount Etna is one of the most active and hazardous volcanoes in the world, well known for the persistent activity from the summit craters and frequent lava flow-forming eruptions from vents situated on the flanks of the volcano [Cappello et al., 2013; Acocella et al., 2016]. From 2011 to 2017, numerous eruptive episodes occurred; most of them characterized by the emission of lava fountains, pyroclastic material, and short-lasting lava flows that mostly spread within the Valle del Bove [Cappello et al., 2018; Ganci et al., 2018]. On the evening of May 17, 2016 an intense Strombolian activity started at the North-East Crater (NEC), followed by the emission of volcanic ash. This eruptive activity ended the following day, when the Voragine (VOR) began to erupt, producing a pulsating lava fountain and a small lava flow from the western rim of the Voragine-Bocca Nuova depression (Figure 1). On 18 May, a new eruptive vent opened at the eastern base of the NEC, near the two cones that formed during the July-August 2014 activity, which fed a lava flow that expanded towards the northern wall of Valle del Bove. This lava flow remained active until the early
morning of 19 May. During the morning of 19 May a new paroxysm occurred at the VOR, with the emission of a new lava overflow toward west, which descended over the lava of the previous evening. The sequence of summit eruptions at Etna in May 2016 ended with two episodes of intense Strombolian activity at the NEC during the night of 22-23 May, and at the VOR between 24 and 25 May, which fed multiple flows that covered the lavas emplaced during the 18-19 May events.

Mapping these volcanic deposits constitutes a critical component for constraining the average rate at which lava is erupted, controlling lava flow morphological parameters, and giving insights into emplacement processes [Del Negro et al., 2016]. However, the calculation of the amounts of lava erupted is still an open issue, and problems arise when trying to quantify the associated uncertainties [Ganci et al., 2018]. Photogrammetry, using both aerial- and satellite-im-
2. METHODS

We produced two DEMs at a spatial resolution of 2 meters, starting from Pléiades primary images acquired on 24 December 2015 (pre-eruptive) and 18 June 2016 (post-eruptive) in tri-stereo mode containing RPC (Rational Polynomial Coefficient) files. Both images have been acquired with minimal cloud percentage (i.e. less than 5%) and cover an area of about 15 km × 15 km, which includes the summit area of Etna volcano and almost all the Valle del Bove.

The processing of the tri-stereo Pléiades imagery was performed using the free and open source MicMac software [Rupnik et al., 2017] that performs: (i) tie points recognition and matching between images; (ii) calibration and orientation, recognizing relationships between viewpoints and objects; (iii) correlation, producing dense matching for 3D scene reconstruction. To retrieve areas, volumes and thickness distribution of the lava flows emplaced out of the summit craters on 17-25 May 2016, the difference between post eruptive and pre eruptive DEMs was computed. A crucial step for deriving this difference is the co-registration between the two DEM datasets, since misaligned DEMs could result in a wrong estimation of elevation change.

We applied the co-registration method introduced by Nuth and Kääb [2011]. This methodology firstly finds iteratively the horizontal shift between two DEMs, based on a slope-aspect method, and removes it. Then, a potential elevation-dependent error is checked and removed. Finally, higher-order biases are checked and corrected, by rotating the coordinate axis, if necessary (e.g. along/cross track corrections). Corresponding corrections, which result in a polynomial function, can be then applied.

3. RESULTS

By subtracting the 2015 DEM from the 2016 one, we obtained the topographic changes due to the volcanic deposits emplaced during the eruptive activity of Etna occurred on May 2016 (Figure 1). In this way, we estimated the distribution of thickness, areas and volumes (Table 1) in correspondence of the flows emplaced during the 18-19 and 22-25 May eruptive episodes occurred at BN and VOR (flow a), and the flow emitted on 18-19 May by the eruptive vent opened at the eastern base of the NEC, near the July-August 2014 cones (flow b).

We found that flow a exhibits an aerial extension of 1.14 km² with a volume of 4.25 × 10⁶ m³, while flow b has an area of 0.24 km² with a volume of 0.4 × 10⁶ m³ (Table 1). Considering both lava flow fields, we found a cumulative area of 1.38 km².

In order to quantify errors associated with volume estimation, we computed the histogram of the elevation differences outside the lava flow fields (inset of Figure 1) finding a standard deviation of 1.6 m, which results in an error of 2.2 × 10⁶ m³. The total volume is thus 4.65 ± 2.2 × 10⁶ m³.

4. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

We quantified the topographic-derived volume and associated uncertainties of the lava flows erupted out of the summit craters of Mount Etna on May 2016.

Previously published results related to this eruption provided an estimation of 5.6 – 8.9 × 10⁶ m³ for the cumulative volume infilling the BN–VOR depression and the western flow [Edwards et al., 2018]. Our estimation for the western flow (flow a in Figure 1) falls within this range, permitting to infer a volume of 3 ±
Moreover, we found that the thickness distribution of flow b is in good agreement with typical field measurements related to the small lava flows emplaced on Valle del Bove [Behncke et al., 2014]. Indeed, by dividing flow b in five sectors based on the topography average slope, thickness ranges between: 0.9 and 2.3 m in the upper part of the flow (average slope: 7.4°), 0.5 and 1.5 m in the second sector (average slope: 11.3°), 0.9 and 2.9 in the third sector (average slope: 7.5°), 0.5 and 2.9 m in the fourth section (average slope: 13°), 1.1 and 3.1 in the last sector, which is the flattest area (average slope: 5.5°).

The total volume estimated from DEM differencing independently bounds the one derived from thermal satellite data processed via the HOTSAT system [Ganci et al., 2011b] (Figure 2). A cloud index has been computed considering the percentage of cloudy pixel in the volcanic area [Ganci et al., 2011a; 2016] since SEVIRI- and MODIS-derived radiant heat flux curves (respectively blue squares and red dots in Figure 2), are heavily affected by clouds (dashed grey curve). By applying the cooling curve method introduced by Ganci et al. [2012], we inferred a total volume of $2.12 \times 10^6$ m$^3$ expressed as dense-rock equivalent (DRE). Applying a correction of 25% for vesicularity to the topographic-derived bulk volume [Swainston, 2017], it yields $3.48 \times 10^6$ m$^3$ of DRE volume. The volume difference of $1.36 \times 10^6$ m$^3$ is mainly due to cloud coverage on IR satellite data.

The presented methodology provides new merging capabilities of multi-platform remote sensing products to monitor effusive eruptions, enabling a rapid response during effusive crises. In particular, the comparison with HOTSAT derived volumes provides a first order validation to the eruption rate derived from thermal satellite data, opening new perspectives in studying the conversion between radiant heat flux and time averaged effusion rate, which is still an open problem [Garel et al., 2012]. The 18-25 May eruption at Mt Etna was characterized by a small lava flow field, involving quite high relative errors associated with volume estimation. Nevertheless, since relative error decreases when increasing the thickness of the lava flow, this
methodology would be particularly efficient for long-lasting eruptions. Further research will include new study cases involving both Pléiades and infrared satellite data, in order to find new constraints to the radiant heat flux - eruption rate conversion, as eruptive conditions change.
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