Rapid destruction of shoulder joint by pigmented villonodular synovitis treated by hemiarthroplasty: A case report
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A B S T R A C T

INTRODUCTION: Pigmented villous nodular synovitis is an uncommon proliferative disease of the joints, and rarely reported in the shoulder. It can become symptomatic when proliferating soft tissue infiltrates a joint, causing arthritic changes including bone erosion. The literature has described the disease progression as indolent. Here we report on a case of PVNS of the shoulder joint which rapidly lead to significant bone damage and was subsequently treated by shoulder arthroplasty.

PRESENTATION OF CASE: We report here on a 71-year old female patient who presented with a 6 month history of aggravating shoulder pain. Radiography imaging over a one month period indicated rapid joint destruction. Magnetic resonance imaging suggested the presence of PVNS of the shoulder joint and significant bone erosion. The patient was subsequently treated by shoulder arthroplasty performed by authors. Histological examination confirmed the PVNS diagnosis. Shoulder pain significantly decreased during the follow up period, and the patient was able to resume daily activities.

DISCUSSION: Comparing to Milwaukee shoulder syndrome or a joint infection, PVNS is known to progress indolently. However, our case clearly showed that PVNS could also cause radical destruction of the joint. Previous reports showed the high recurrence rate of PVNS after joint preserving surgery. In our experience hemiarthroplasty could be the choice of treatment with the low recurrence rate and high functional outcome.

CONCLUSIONS: Physicians should include PVNS in the differential diagnosis when they are presented with evidence of rapid destruction of the shoulder joint. Hemiarthroplasty could be treatment option for PVNS of shoulder joint.

© 2020 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of IJS Publishing Group Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Pigmented villous nodular synovitis (PVNS) is a relatively rare disease. The incision of PVNS is 1.8 cases per 1 million people per year [1]. PVNS most commonly occurs in the fourth and fifth decades of life, and only 2.4% of cases involve the shoulder joint [2]. Previous studies reported that the disease could invade the joints, resulting in sequelae including arthritic changes to the joint. Progression of the disease, however, is generally described as indolent [3]. To our best knowledge, rapid progression of PVNS-induced damage to the shoulder joint has not been reported in the literature. We report a case of PVNS of the shoulder joint that aggravated rapidly and was treated by shoulder arthroplasty.

2. Presentation of case

A 71-year-old woman presented with a 6-month history of aggravating right shoulder pain. The patient was a hepatitis C virus carrier but had no family history of any disease. Conservative treatment including nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and a onetime steroid injection did not relieve the shoulder pain. On orthopedic physical examination, mild joint swelling with a decreased range of motion due to pain was observed. Neither warmth nor redness of the shoulder was observed upon physical examination. Laboratory results were unremarkable, indicating no sign of joint infection or any other joint disorder: -white blood cell count: 5.11 × 10⁹/L (neutrophil 42.8%) (normal range 4.0–10.0 ×
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Fig. 1. Right shoulder plain anteroposterior (AP) radiograph (a). Rapid progression of joint destruction seen at the 4-week follow-up in the AP view (b).

Fig. 2. Right shoulder magnetic resonance image, T1-weighted image showing a low signal intensity mass-like lesion (a). T2-weighted image showing low signal change, suggesting presence of hemosiderin deposit (b). Enhanced view showing enhancement of the mass-like lesion (c).
nodular synovium was found, which highly suggested PVNS. Histological examination of the excised synovium indicated synovium proliferation with hemosiderin deposits (Fig. 4). The presence of giant cells and mononuclear cells also implied that the mass was PVNS. After surgery, the patient used a shoulder abduction brace for 6 weeks, and she was allowed to perform daily activities without restriction. The patient showed significant decrease in shoulder pain during the follow-up period and satisfied with the treatment. Last plain radiography was performed at 7 months after surgery. Patient showed significant restoration of range of motion from 40° abduction, 10° forward flexion to 120° abduction with 50° forward flexion further flexion (Fig. 5). Written informed consent was obtained from the patient during the hospitalization period.

3. Discussion

Intra-articular PVNS in the shoulder joint is uncommon. PVNS of the large joints most commonly involves the knee (66%, of cases), followed by the hip and the ankle [4,5]. Only 2.4% of PVNS invades the shoulder joint [2,6], and most patients remain asymptomatic for years without damage to the joint. Treatment of symptomatic PVNS often requires the excision of the mass and associated soft tissue. However, PVNS has a high recurrence rate, approximately 46%, following surgical excision [7]. Because PVNS is thought to be a slowly progressing disease, orthopedic physicians are more likely to consider Milwaukee shoulder syndrome, osteonecrosis of humeral head or a joint infection when confronted with a rapidly destructive shoulder disease [9]. This case history suggests that PVNS could also cause radical destruction of the joint. Considering the high recurrence rate of PVNS after conventional arthroscopic resection or open resection, arthroplasty should also be considered for elderly patients with arthritic changes such as those observed in this study. If glenoid component is also affected by PVNS, total or reverse total shoulder arthroplasty should be considered [10].

This work has been reported in line with the SCARE 2018 criteria [8].

4. Conclusion

PVNS is a relatively rare disease known to be indolent. However, it could progress rapidly causing devastating arthritic changes in the joint, as observed in our case. Although Milwaukee shoulder syndrome is known to be the most common cause of rapidly destructive shoulder disease, clinicians should also consider PVNS as a reason for shoulder destruction.
Fig. 5. 7-months postoperative follow-up plain AP view.
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