Pulse Pressure and Prediction of Incident Foot Ulcers in Type 2 Diabetes
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OBJECTIVE — To assess the relevance of pulse pressure as a predictor of foot ulcers in type 2 diabetic subjects.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS — A cohort study was performed on a consecutive series of 1,945 type 2 diabetic outpatients without a foot ulcer at baseline. Incident foot ulcers were identified through the regional hospital discharge system, which contains ICD codes of current diagnoses.

RESULTS — During a follow-up of mean ± SD 4.2 ± 2.2 years, 86 ulcers were observed. After adjusting for confounders, the highest quartiles of pulse pressure had a 2.39-fold (95% CI 1.14–5.02) risk of foot ulcers. When ischemic ulcers were considered separately, the highest pulse pressure quartile was associated with an increased age- and sex-adjusted risk (2.08 [95% CI 1.02–4.24]), whereas no increase of risk was observed for neuropathic ulcers.

CONCLUSIONS — Elevated pulse pressure represents an independent predictor of foot ulcers in diabetic patients; this parameter should be considered for the stratification of risk of ischemic or neuroischemic ulcers.

Pulse pressure is a recognized risk factor for cardiovascular disease in nondiabetic (1–4) and diabetic (5,6) subjects. In particular, elevated pulse pressure is associated with increased risk of arteriopathy of the lower limbs, even after adjusting for mean blood pressure (7). This study aimed to assess the relevance of pulse pressure as a predictor of foot ulcers in type 2 diabetic subjects, which has not been specifically investigated so far.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS — A cohort study was performed on a consecutive series of 1,945 type 2 diabetic outpatients referred to the Diabetes Clinic of the Geriatric Unit of Careggi University Hospital in Florence, Italy, between 1 December 1995 and 1 December 2000. Blood pressure was measured using a mercury sphygmomanometer, with a cuff of appropriate size, considering the mean of three measurements taken 5 min apart in a sitting position. Serum cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, and triglycerides were measured, using an automated method (Aeroset, Abbott Laboratories), with blood samples drawn in the morning after an overnight fast. Neuropathy was ascertained through biothesiometry (>25 V at toes) (8), and lower limb arteriopathy was screened through the ankle-brachial index (ABI <0.8) (9). A1C was determined with high-performance liquid chromatography (Menarini Diagnostics, Florence, Italy) (upper normal limit 5.8%). Comorbidity was assessed through the calculation of Charlson’s comorbidity score (CCS), which includes diabetes and its complications, cardiovascular disease, chronic skin ulcers, renal insufficiency, liver diseases, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, malignancies, arthritis/arthritis, and HIV infections (10). Pulse pressure was calculated as the difference between systolic and diastolic blood pressure.

Patients were observed until death, incidence of foot ulcer, or 31 December 2005. Foot ulcers were identified through the regional hospital discharge system, which contains ICD codes of current diagnoses (ICD codes 707 or 440.23); ulcers were considered ischemic when ICD code 440 or 250.7 was present and neuropathic with codes 357 or 250.6. This method identified 40 (77%) of 52 consecutive cases of incident ulcers referred to our clinic during 2004.

The χ² test, Student’s unpaired and paired two-sided t tests, and the Mann-Whitney U test were used for comparisons whenever appropriate; Spearman’s method was used for correlations. Survival estimates were performed using Kaplan-Meier curves; stepwise Cox regression was used for multivariate analysis.

RESULTS — Patients enrolled (56.7% women) had a mean ± SD age of 64.0 ± 12.7 years, duration of diabetes 10.7 ± 10.5 years, and A1C 8.1 ± 1.9%. Of the 1,945 patients, 50.3, 47.1, and 32.3% received treatment with metformin, insulin secretagogues, or insulin, respectively. The prevalence of neuropathy, arteriopathy of the lower limbs, and retinopathy was 22.2, 10.1, and 8.8%, respectively. Systolic, diastolic, and pulse pressure was 142.4 ± 0.8, 81.1 ± 10.5, and 61.1 ± 16.4 mmHg, respectively; 61.2, 51.6, and 31.1% of subjects were receiving antihypertensive treatment, antiaggregants, or statins, respectively.

Patients in the highest quartile (>70 mmHg) of pulse pressure had a significantly (P < 0.01) higher age (70.5 ± 8.6 vs. 62.5 ± 13.0 years), duration of diabetes (14.1 ± 11.1 vs. 10.0 ± 10.2 years), CCS (2.5 ± 1.5 vs. 2.1 ± 1.5), and prevalence of neuropathy (36.3 vs. 19.2%), arteriopathy (10.9 vs. 7.0%), retinopathy (13.0 vs. 7.9%), microalbuminuria (16.8 vs. 10.2%), and previous stroke (7.4 vs. 3.5%); they also had higher systolic (168 ± 15 vs. 136 ± 16 mmHg) but not diastolic (82 ± 11 vs. 81 ± 10 mmHg) blood pressure. No differences between
patients in the highest pulse pressure quartile and the rest of the sample were observed for A1C, lipid profile, and BMI (data not shown). An inverse correlation was observed between pulse pressure and A1C, lipid profile, and BMI quartile and the rest of the sample were patients in the highest pulse pressure quartile; the rest of the sample was compared with the rest of the sample (95% CI 1.14–5.02) risk of foot ulcers in type 2 diabetic patients. The reduction of arterial compliance, which is revealed by the increased pulse pressure, facilitates atherosclerotic lesions in different districts, including the lower limbs (7). Impairment of insulin sensitivity, which has been reported to be associated with elevated pulse pressure (11), could contribute to micro- and macrovascular lesions responsible for foot ulcers. Furthermore, elevated pulse pressure is associated with lower ABI.

The association of elevated pulse pressure with foot ulcers is relevant for identification of patients at higher risk and their subsequent referral to more accurate programs for screening of arteriopathy of the lower limbs and to specific educational interventions. The risk of foot ulcers is more than doubled in patients in the highest quartile of pulse pressure; the increase of risk is comparable to that attributable with known neuropathy or arteriopathy of the lower limbs.

Some limitations should be recognized. Register-based ascertainment of incident ulcers inevitably implies that minor lesions not requiring treatment in hospital-based clinics are missed, which leads to an underestimation, by at least 20%, of the actual incidence of this condition. The register-based identification of ulcers, with no direct observation, does not allow any characterization of cases. Furthermore, pulse pressure was assessed only through office measurement, which, although similarly predictive of cardiovascular events, is less accurate than results of ambulatory monitoring (6).

In conclusion, elevated pulse pressure represents an independent predictor of foot ulcers in diabetic patients. This parameter should be considered for the stratification of risk of ischemic or neuroischemic ulcers.
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