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Systemic and local cues drive neural stem cell niche remodelling during neurogenesis in Drosophila
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Abstract Successful neurogenesis requires adequate proliferation of neural stem cells (NSCs) and their progeny, followed by neuronal differentiation, maturation and survival. NSCs inhabit a complex cellular microenvironment, the niche, which influences their behaviour. To ensure sustained neurogenesis, niche cells must respond to extrinsic, environmental changes whilst fulfilling the intrinsic requirements of the neurogenic program and adapting their roles accordingly. However, very little is known about how different niche cells adjust their properties to such inputs. Here, we show that nutritional and NSC-derived signals induce the remodelling of Drosophila cortex glia, adapting this glial niche to the evolving needs of NSCs. First, nutrition-induced activation of PI3K/Akt drives the cortex glia to expand their membrane processes. Second, when NSCs emerge from quiescence to resume proliferation, they signal to glia to promote membrane remodelling and the formation of a bespoke structure around each NSC lineage. The remodelled glial niche is essential for newborn neuron survival.

Introduction

Stem cell niches support the normal function of stem cells (Bjornsson et al., 2015; Lander et al., 2012). The mammalian NSC niche displays an intricate and compact architecture made up of diverse cell populations, including neurons, astrocytes, blood vessels forming part of the blood-brain barrier (BBB) and resident immune cells, the microglia (Bjornsson et al., 2015; Silva-Vargas et al., 2013). Both mechanical and diffusible signals pass between cell populations to influence NSCs (Bjornsson et al., 2015; Silva-Vargas et al., 2013). Local astrocytes are in close association with both NSCs and the vasculature. In addition, stem cells contact endothelial cells, receiving systemic inputs from the blood. Combinatorial and coordinated interactions between different niche cells are thought to provide the permissive environment for appropriate neurogenesis (Goldman and Chen, 2011). How niche cells respond to NSC inputs and how these interactions respond to external pressures remain to be determined.

To investigate how niche cells adapt to systemic and local changes to support neurogenesis, we turned to a simpler model, the post-embryonic central nervous system (CNS) of Drosophila melanogaster. The Drosophila larval CNS comprises the central brain (CB), the optic lobe (OL) and the ventral nerve cord (VNC). Larval NSCs are found in a microenvironment that includes neurons, a blood-brain barrier and a variety of different glia that structure the brain in layers (Figure 1—figure supplement 1A) (Ito et al., 1995; Stork et al., 2012). Several of these populations modulate NSC behaviour, thus acting as niche cells. Drosophila NSCs go through two distinct rounds of neurogenesis (Figure 1A) (Truman and Bate, 1988; Egger et al., 2008; Kang and Reichert, 2015). They proliferate actively during embryogenesis to generate primary neurons that form the functional larval...
Figure 1. Individual Drosophila neural stem cells and their lineages are progressively enclosed in cortex glial chambers. (A) Two waves of neurogenesis take place in the Drosophila CNS. NSCs divide asymmetrically during embryogenesis to form the larval nervous system. They then enter quiescence, from which they reactivate at early larval stages to generate neurons that build most of the adult nervous system. CB, central brain. VNC, ventral nerve cord. (B–F’) Progressive formation of cortex glial chambers. Five time points were assessed: ALH0, ALH16, ALH30, ALH48 and ALH72 (at 25°C). (B–F) Top and bottom panels, orthogonal and ventral views of a fragment of the VNC. (B’–F’) Cortex glial membrane signal outlines. (G–H) 3D reconstruction of an orthogonal view of a fragment of one VNC. (G) After larval hatching and (H) at a late larval stage. Genotype: Nrv2::GFP, moody-GAL4; UAS-mCD8-RFP. (I) Sketch representing the timeline of cortex glial chamber formation. BBB, RFP, orange; cortex glial membrane, Nrv2::GFP, green; NSC, Deadpan, grey.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.30413.002

The following figure supplement is available for figure 1:

Figure 1 continued on next page
nervous system. NSCs then become mitotically dormant, entering a quiescent phase. Post embryonically, in response to nutrition, NSCs awaken almost synchronously (Britton and Edgar, 1998) and enter a second neurogenic program. The reactivation and proliferation of these central brain and ventral nerve cord NSCs ultimately generate secondary neuronal lineages that form the adult nervous system.

The *Drosophila* BBB acts as a signalling interface between the hemolymph (the *Drosophila* equivalent of blood) and brain cells (Stork et al., 2008; DeSalvo et al., 2011). It is exclusively of glial nature, formed by a layer of perineurial glia and a layer of subperineurial glia, while the vertebrate BBB is a composite of endothelial cells and glial cells (astrocytes) (Stork et al., 2008). Both fulfill neuroprotective roles, relying on a physical paracellular barrier (tight junctions of the vertebrate endothelial cells and septate junctions of the *Drosophila* subperineurial glia). Importantly, the *Drosophila* BBB mediates the impact of nutrition on NSC reactivation (Chell and Brand, 2010; Spéder and Brand, 2014). Essential amino acids in the larval diet trigger the local production and secretion of insulin-like peptides (dILPs) by the subperineurial glial layer of the BBB (Chell and Brand, 2010; Spéder and Brand, 2014). dILPs bind to the Insulin/IGF receptor on NSCs, activating the conserved PI3K/Akt pathway (Chell and Brand, 2010; Sousa-Nunes et al., 2011). Consequently, NSCs enlarge and re-enter the cell cycle (Figure 1—figure supplement 1B). These reactivated, actively cycling NSCs are found in close association with the cortex glia (Hoyle, 1986; Hoyle et al., 1986; Pereanu et al., 2005). This association is known to protect NSCs from oxidative stress and nutritional restriction during late larval stages (Cheng et al., 2011; Bailey et al., 2015).

Cortex glia display a remarkable organisation around NSCs and neurons (Pereanu et al., 2005). Each NSC and its progeny is individually enwrapped in a chamber formed of cortex glial membrane, which separates the lineages from one another (Figure 1—figure supplement 1A and C–D*). The lineage is organised within the chamber, with the NSC at the top and the newly-born neurons being eventually pushed down (Figure 1—figure supplement 1E). Notably, younger neurons are enclosed in the same chamber as their mother NSC, whereas older neurons display their own individual chambers (Pereanu et al., 2005; Dumstrei et al., 2003). Primary neurons are also surrounded by cortex glia membrane (Pereanu et al., 2005).

**Results**

An important question has been whether the cortex glial chamber is present throughout post-embryonic life, enclosing NSC lineages from quiescence to proliferation (Sousa-Nunes et al., 2011; Limmer and Klämbt, 2014), or whether the cortex glial niche evolves over time (Pereanu et al., 2005). To address this, we used a protein trap that labels cortex glial membranes (Nrv2::GFP) to follow the association between cortex glia and NSCs throughout larval life (Figure 1B–F*). At ALH0 (ALH, hours after larval hatching), quiescent NSCs were not separated from each other by cortex glial membranes. Cortex glial membranes then progressively underwent three developmental steps. First, membranes expanded. This expansion phase lasted for about a third of larval life. At this stage, NSCs were still not enclosed individually (Figure 1C–D*). Second, membranes fully encased each NSC lineage, forming a chequerboard of cortex glia chambers (Figure 1E–E*). We call this event “encasing”. Finally, during an extension phase, cortex glial adapted to lineage expansion while maintaining chamber organisation (Figure 1F–F’ and data not shown for ALH96). The use of another cortex glial membrane marker confirmed these results (Figure 1—figure supplement 1F–G).

To gain further insight into the relationship between cortex glia, NSCs and the BBB, we analysed 3D reconstructions. Interestingly, at ALH0 NSCs were not covered by a sheet of cortex glial membrane, but directly abutted the BBB layer (Figure 1G). However, at ALH72 the cortex glial membrane fully encased NSCs, isolating NSCs from the BBB (Figure 1H). Importantly, the absence of cortex glial chambers at early stages enables BBB-secreted insulin-like peptides to reach NSCs, consequently triggering NSC reactivation. However, at late larval stages, when NSC reactivation is complete (Chell and Brand, 2010; Sousa-Nunes et al., 2011) and proliferation no longer depends on...
insulin signalling (Cheng et al., 2011), the cortex glial membranes generate a dense interface. These results indicate that cortex glial chambers evolve throughout larval life. Furthermore, the evolution of cortex glial membrane morphology strikingly parallels NSC reactivation, including an initial growth phase followed by a decisive switch: chamber formation on the other hand and mitotic entry on the other (Figure 1).

We assessed whether cortex glial chamber formation was also controlled by nutrition, the key trigger of NSC reactivation. We first asked whether removing the nutritional signal was sufficient to block cortex glial chamber formation. Unlike control fed larvae, larvae starved for 72 hr did not develop a sealed chequerboard structure around NSCs, (Figure 2A–B'). To better describe and quantify chamber organisation defects, we plotted normalised intensities of cortex glial membrane, NSCs and neuron signals against depth (see Materials and methods for details). Wild-type cortex glial chambers, from fed larvae, show a characteristic graph profile (Figure 2C). The cortex glial signal peaks first, as a readout of the dense membrane layer forming on top of NSCs, just under the BBB. Next the peak corresponding to NSCs appears, situated in the upper part of the chamber. Finally, the last peak corresponds to the newborn neurons under the NSCs. In contrast, in starved larvae cortex glia, NCSc and neurons all peak at the same depth, pinpointing a lack of chamber order (Figure 2D–E). We also calculated the ratio of cortex glial membrane to NSC number in both conditions. Starvation resulted in a loss of cortex glial membrane (Figure 2F). Altogether these results demonstrate that nutrition is critical for cortex glial chamber formation.

We tested whether nutrition acts on cortex glial chamber formation through insulin-like peptide secretion from the BBB. Insulin-like peptide secretion relies on gap junction-dependent coordinated calcium oscillations in the BBB (Spéder and Brand, 2014). First, we performed a gap junction knockdown in the BBB. This resulted in strong chamber defects (Figure 2—figure supplement 1A–A'), suggesting that BBB-secreted insulin-like peptides are indeed required for cortex glial chamber formation. To identify which dILPs are expressed by the BBB at the time of membrane growth, we determined RNA Pol II binding in the subperineurial glia of fed larvae (Jessie Van Buggenum, P.S. and A.H.B., unpublished). We found Pol II binding only at dilp6 (Figure 2—figure supplement 1B). Second, we asked if nutrition activates the insulin/PI3K/Akt pathway specifically in cortex glial cells. Chamber formation in starved larvae (Figure 3G–H') indicates that PI3K/Akt pathway activation in the cortex glia alone is not sufficient to re-establish chamber formation under starvation.
cortex glial proliferation through knockdown of string (stg, the Drosophila cdc25) did not prevent NSC chamber formation (Figure 3—figure supplement 2). Similar results were obtained by expressing dacapo, an inhibitor of cell cycle progression (Figure 3—figure supplement 2). These results

**Figure 2.** Cortex glial remodelling depends on nutritional cues. (A–A’) Cortex glia enclose each NSC and its lineage into an individual membrane chamber in control fed larvae. (A) Bottom and top panels, ventral and orthogonal views of a third instar VNC. Yellow arrowheads show examples of individual chambers. (A’) 3D reconstruction, ventral view. (B–B’) Cortex glial chambers are not formed under starvation. (B) Top and bottom panels, orthogonal and ventral views of a fragment of one VNC. (B’) 3D reconstruction, ventral view. (C–D) Chamber organisation charts for (C) one control fed and (D) one starved larva. The graph represents signal intensities from cortex glial membranes (green), NSCs (grey) and neurons (red) plotted against depth for one VNC. Depth unit is the slice. (E) Statistical representation of NSC (grey) and neuron (red) Z positions relative to the top layer of the cortex glia (green line). p(NSC) = 1.4*10^-3, p(neuron) = 5.2*10^-4. n (FED) = 6 VNCs and n (Starved) = 6 VNCs. (F) Ratios between cortex glial membrane signal and NSCs. p = 5.0*10^-4. n (Fed) = 6 VNCs. n (Starved) = 6 VNCs. Cortex glial membrane, Nrv2::GFP, green; NSC, Deadpan, grey; neurons, ElaV, red.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.30413.004

The following figure supplement is available for figure 2:

**Figure supplement 1.** NSC chamber formation is nutrition-dependent.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.30413.005
Figure 3. The insulin pathway is required in the cortex glia for autonomous chamber growth. (A–F) Knockdown of insulin signalling specifically in the cortex glia impairs chamber formation. (A) 3D reconstruction of the thoracic section of one fed control VNC, ventral view. (A') Top and bottom panels, orthogonal and ventral views. (B) 3D reconstruction of the thoracic section of one VNC of Δp60 overexpression at ALH60, ventral view. (B') Top and bottom panels, orthogonal and ventral views. Yellow arrowheads show examples of uncased NSCs. (C–E) Chamber organisation chart. The graph represents signal intensities from cortex glial membranes (green), NSCs (grey) and neurons (red) plotted against depth for one VNC in (C) control and (D) Δp60 overexpression. Depth unit...
is the slice. (E) Statistical representation of NSC (grey) and neuron (red) Z positions relative to the top layer of the cortex glia (green line). p(NSC)=6.5*10⁻³, p(neuron)=6.9*10⁻⁴. (F) Ratios between cortex glial membrane signal and NSCs. p=1.1*10⁻³. n (control) = 6 VNCs. n (Δp60)=7 VNCs. (G-L) Activation of insulin signalling in the cortex glia only is not sufficient for NSC encasing in starved conditions. (G) 3D reconstruction of the thoracic section of one starved control VNC, ventral view. (G’) Top and bottom panels, orthogonal and ventral views. (H) 3D reconstruction of the thoracic section of one VNC of starved AktACT overexpression, ventral view. (H’) Top and bottom panels, orthogonal and ventral views. Yellow arrowheads show examples of unenclosed NSCs. Blue arrowheads show examples of encased primary neurons. (I–K) Chamber organisation chart. The graph represents signal intensities from cortex glial membranes (green), NSCs (grey) and neurons (red) plotted against depth for one VNC in (I) starved control and (J) starved AktACT overexpression. Depth unit is the slice. (K) Statistical representation of NSC (grey) and neuron (red) Z positions relative to the top layer of the cortex glia (green line). p (NSC)=4.5*10⁻⁴, p(neuron)=0.52. (L) Ratios between cortex membrane signal and NSCs. p=7.3*10⁻³. n (control) = 9 VNCs. n (AktACT) = 10 VNCs. For all the stainings : Cortex glial membrane, Nrv2::GFP, green ; NSC, Dpn, grey ; neurons, ElaV, red.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.30413.006

The following figure supplements are available for figure 3:

**Figure supplement 1.** The insulin receptor is required in the cortex glia for chamber formation.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.30413.007

**Figure supplement 2.** Cortex glial division is not required for NSC chamber formation.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.30413.008

These results show that NSC reactivation is crucial to chamber formation. First, we delayed NSC reactivation by blocking insulin signalling specifically in NSCs using the PI3K inhibitor, PTEN. Although phenotype expressivity was partially impaired. First, we investigated the effect of chamber formation on NSC survival and proliferation, for NSC lineages. We thus assessed NSC and neuronal behaviour when chamber formation is altered compared to controls (Figure 4C–E). Membrane growth was not significantly decreased (Figure 4F). This could be due to the autonomous effect of insulin signalling on cortex glial expansion or to the fact that reactivation was only delayed and not fully blocked. Similar results were obtained with overexpression of either Δp60 or 2X InRDN (Figure 4—figure supplement 1A–B’). To confirm the requirement for NSC reactivation in cortex glial chamber organisation, we turned to intrinsic regulators of this process. Spindle matrix proteins have recently been shown to be required for the mitotic re-entry of quiescent NSCs (Li et al., 2017). Accordingly, RNAi knockdown of east in NSCs resulted in a dramatic loss of the mitotic marker phosphohistone H3 (PH3, Figure 4—figure supplement 1C). We found that this condition also led to impaired cortex glial chamber organisation (Figure 4—figure supplement 1D–H’), whereas membrane growth was little affected (Figure 4—figure supplement 1I). These results show that NSC reactivation is crucial to chamber organisation, but is not the main driving force for cortex glial membrane growth under normal, fed conditions.

Next, we forced NSC exit from quiescence by activating insulin/PI3K/Akt signalling in starved NSCs. Strikingly, overexpression of AktACT resulted in the formation of several individual NSC chambers throughout the VNC (Figure 4G–H’, see yellow arrows). Cellular organisation was restored and cortex glial membrane to NSC ratio increased significantly compared to starved control (Figure 4I–L). Thus, NSC reactivation is necessary and sufficient to restore chamber formation under starvation. These data show that PI3K/Akt signalling in NSCs is able to promote cortex glial membrane expansion and NSC encasing. In addition, PI3K/Akt activation in cortex glia leads to autonomous membrane growth (Figure 5A).

Cortex glial remodelling, NSC cycle re-entry and the generation of progeny appear tightly coordinated. This led us to reflect on the importance of the timely formation of the cortex glial chamber for NSC lineages. We thus assessed NSC and neuronal behaviour when chamber formation is impaired. First, we investigated the effect of chamber formation on NSC survival and proliferation,
Figure 4. The insulin pathway is required in NSCs for non-autonomous cortex glial chamber remodelling. (A–F) Knockdown of insulin signalling specifically in NSCs impairs chamber formation. (A) 3D reconstruction of the thoracic section of one fed control VNC, ventral view. (A') Top and bottom panels, orthogonal and ventral views. Figure 4 continued on next page.
knocking down the PI3K/Akt pathway in cortex glia (see Figures 3 and 5A). NSC numbers were unaffected, showing that NSC survival is not compromised when the chamber cannot be built (Figure 5—figure supplement 1A). We then determined the cell cycle profile of NSCs by staining for phosphohistone H3 (PH3) and assessing EdU incorporation. We observed a small, but significant increase in PH3+ and PH3+ EdU+ NSCs, at the expense of EdU+ NSCs (Figure 5—figure supplement 1B).

We then turned to NSC progeny, wondering if a primary function of cortex glia during late larval stages is to support newborn neurons. Using TUNEL staining, we determined the degree of neuronal cell death when chamber formation is impaired. Whereas control conditions showed few TUNEL+ neurons (Figure 5B), PI3K/Akt pathway knockdown in cortex glia induced pronounced TUNEL+ neuron staining (Figure 5C–D). In addition, VNC total neuronal volume decreased in this condition compared to controls (Figure 5—figure supplement 1C), suggesting that neuron number is decreased when chamber formation is impaired. We thus propose that preventing cortex glial chamber formation leads to increased neuronal apoptosis. In contrast, a condition where cortex glial behaviour is affected without chamber impairment (stg knockdown in cortex glia, see Figure 3—figure supplement 2) did not alter NSC division or the number of TUNEL+ neurons (Figure 5—figure supplement 1D–G). Altogether these results indicate that preventing cortex glial chamber formation has little impact on NSC survival and proliferation, but is crucial for newborn neuron survival.

**Discussion**

Here, we demonstrate that the cortex glial niche cells are able to integrate both external, nutritional signals and local NSC behaviour, triggering remodelling of their architecture. The encasing of each NSC lineage is in turn essential for successful neurogenesis by ensuring the survival of newborn neurons (Figure 5E). Importantly, our work reveals a previously unidentified NSC lineage to cortex glial signal. NSC reactivation, sufficient for triggering lineage encasing, leads to the production of new neurons. NSC lineages are enclosed at about the time of the first NSC division, before any substantial production of neurons. Although we cannot completely rule out that neurons contribute to lineage encasing, we propose that NSCs are the signal-sending cells due to the timing of the event.

The instructive signals sent by NSCs to remodel the cortex glia could be either mechanical or secreted. Interestingly, blocking endocytosis and vesicle recycling in NSCs through dynamin inhibition (Figure 5—figure supplement 2) has a limited impact on chamber formation, mostly leading to
Figure 5. Nutrition-dependent formation of the cortex glial chamber promotes survival of newly-born neurons. (A) Selective manipulations of the PI3K/Akt pathway identify both autonomous and non-autonomous signals required for chamber formation. (B–D) Neuronal apoptosis is increased when chamber formation is impaired. TUNEL stainings in one VNC from B) control and C) Δp60 overexpression. Ventral view. Neuron, ElaV, green; TUNEL, red. (D) Statistical analysis. p=2.9*10^(-2). n (control) = 10 VNCs. n (Δp60) = 9 VNCs. (E) Model of cortex glial chamber remodelling (see text for details). DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.30413.011

The following figure supplements are available for figure 5:

Figure supplement 1. Role and formation of the cortex glial chamber.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.30413.012

Figure supplement 2. Impairing dynamin-dependent signalling in NSCs has limited impact on chamber formation.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.30413.013
a small reduction in cortex glial membranes. This suggests that dynamin-dependent signalling in NSCs is not a major player required to complete chambers around each NSC lineage. Further investigation will be required to identify the main molecular cues from the NSCs, and how they are transmitted to the cortex glia.

How the cortex glial chamber protects newborn neurons from apoptosis remains to be understood. In the adult mammalian brain, astrocytes are key players supporting the different stages of neurogenesis (Gengatharan et al., 2016). This includes neuronal survival, by providing ion buffering and neurotransmitter recycling (Sloan and Barres, 2014). However, to what extent astrocytes adapt to environmental changes while maintaining these protective roles is as yet unknown. We show that early in Drosophila larval development, cortex glia architecture allows BBB-derived insulin-like peptides to reach NSCs during reactivation. Only once NSC proliferation has become nutrition-independent (Cheng et al., 2011), is cortex glial chamber formation fully achieved. This illustrates the importance of cortex glial integration of both environmental and NSC signals. In mammals, BBB endothelial cells also constitute a source of diffusible and contact-dependent signals important for NSC maintenance, proliferation and differentiation (Goldman and Chen, 2011; Tavazoie et al., 2008; Ottone et al., 2014). Interestingly, mammalian NSCs and endothelial cells closely associate at specific sites lacking pericyte and astrocyte covering (Tavazoie et al., 2008). Thus, a remarkable coordination between niche architecture and signalling across the BBB exists in both mammals and Drosophila. In the light of our findings, it would be of great interest to see if and how the BBB, astrocyte and NSC cross talk adapts to external and internal changes whilst supporting neurogenesis in the mammalian brain.

Materials and Methods

Genetics

The following stocks were used: Nrv2::GFP (protein trap FlyTrap, BDSC stock 6828), NP2222-GAL4 (Hayashi et al., 2002; Awasaki et al., 2008), cyp4g15-GAL4 (Janelia line, BDSC stock 50472, this study), grh-GAL4 (Chell and Brand, 2010), insc-GAL4 (Mz1407 from J. Urban and G. Technau), UAS-PTEN (Goberdhan et al., 1999), UAS-Δp60 (Weinkove et al., 1999), UAS-myrAkt (Stockler et al., 2002), UAS-stg Shmir (TRIP line, BDSC stock 34831), UAS-dap (Lane et al., 1996), UAS-eastRNAi (BDSC stock 33879), UAS-shi<sup>ts1</sup> (BDSC stock 44222), UAS-InR.K1409A; UAS-InR.K1409A (BDSC stocks 8252 and 8253, referred as 2X InR<sup>DN</sup>).

All RNAi experiments were conducted at 29°C. The following induction times were used:

- **Figure 3A–F, Figure 4A–F and Figure 2**—figure supplement 1A–A’; **Figure 4**—figure supplement 1: from the start of GAL4 driver expression (late embryonic stages).
- **Figure 3G–L, Figure 4G–L and Figure 5B–D**, and **Figure 3**—figure supplement 1, **Figure 3**—figure supplement 2 and **Figure 5**—figure supplement 1D–G: from larval hatching.

All cortex glia experiments were performed with cyp4g15-GAL4 as driver, unless stated otherwise in the figure. NSC drivers were insc-GAL4 (Mz1407) for **Figure 4A–F** and **Figure 4**—figure supplement 1 and **Figure 5**—figure supplement 2; and grh-GAL4 for **Figure 4G–L** and **Figure 1**—figure supplement 1C–D”.

Larval culture

Larvae that hatched within a 60 min window (defined as after larval hatching 0 hr, or ALH0) were transferred to fresh yeast on a standard fly food plate. Starvation was achieved by transferring larvae to a solution of 20% sucrose in PBS. The developmental time is at 25°C, unless stated otherwise.

Shibire experiments

We drove the thermosensitive allele of dynamin shi<sup>ts1</sup> with the Nrv2::GFP, insc-GAL4/CyO; tubulin-GAL80<sup>ts</sup> driver line, in order to minimise its activity at permissive temperature (18°C) (Gonzalez-Bellido et al., 2009). The embryos were kept at 18°C during development and larvae were transferred to 31°C from ALH0. Larvae were dissected at ALH44.
Immunohistochemistry
Larval brains were dissected according to standard procedures. Primary antisera were: Chicken anti-GFP (1/2000, 06–896, Upstate), Guinea Pig anti-Deadpan (Caygill and Brand, 2017) (1/5000), rat anti-ElaV (1/100, 7E8A10, DSHB), mouse anti-Repo (1/100, 8D12, DSHB), rabbit anti-PH3 (1/100, 06–570, Millipore). Samples were analysed with an Olympus upright or inverted FV1000 confocal microscope, or with a Zeiss LSM880 microscope.

Image processing
Volocity or Fiji were used to process confocal data. Figures were assembled using Adobe Photoshop and Illustrator.

Statistics
Experiments were not randomised or double blind. No statistical methods were used to determine sample size a priori. One biological replicate is defined as the result of one parental cross. For each experiment, at least two biological replicates were performed and analysed qualitatively for pheno-type reproducibility. One biological replicate was quantified for generating chamber organisation charts and cortex glia/NSC ratios (Figure 2E–F; Figure 3E–F and K–L; Figure 4E–F and K–L; Figure 3—figure supplement 1C–F; Figure 3—figure supplement 2H–L; Figure 4—figure supplement 1F–I and Figure 5—figure supplement 2C–F). Two biological replicates were quantified for TUNEL staining (Figure 5D), with the exclusion of one outlier brain in the Δp60 dataset due to total absence of TUNEL +cells (including in the optic lobe, where apoptosis normally occurs). Two biological replicates were quantified for NSC number and cell cycle markers (Figure 5—figure supplement 1A,B,D and E). One biological replicate was quantified for glial cell number (Figure 3—figure supplement 2D), neuronal volume (Figure 5—figure supplement 1C) and mitotic index (Figure 4—figure supplement 1C and Figure 5—figure supplement 2G).

Bar graphs were generated using the mean and standard error of the mean (SEM) for each sample. Statistical significance was determined using an unpaired Student’s t-test. *** represents p<0.05 (confidence interval of 95%), and * represents p<0.1 (confidence interval of 90%). Whisker plots were drawn using the minimum, quartile 1, median, quartile three and maximum of each condition’s sample. In addition, a Student’s t-test based on a sample’s average and standard deviation was used to generate p values. *** represents p<0.05 (confidence interval of 95%), and * represents p<0.1 (confidence interval of 90%). Sample sizes and exact p values are indicated in the legend for each experiment. NSC and glia numbers were determined either using measurement scripts in Volocity software or manually.

TUNEL staining
Staining was achieved using the ApopTag Red In situ apoptosis detection kit (Chemicon), following manufacturer’s protocol. Modifications were as follows: brains were fixed for 20 min in 4% methanol-free formaldehyde and post-fixed in pre-cooled EtOH/PBS (2:1) for 5 min at –20°C. A 30 min incubation in 10mM Sodium Citrate pH 6.0 at 70°C was performed before TdT staining to reduce background. TdT reaction was incubated for 3 hr at 37°C. Anti-dig rhodamine and primary antibodies were added together overnight at 4°C, and secondary detection was performed.

EdU staining
EdU stainings were performed using Click-It Edu Alexa Fluor 594 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA), following manufacturer’s protocol.Brains were dissected inside-out in PBS and incubated for 45 min in EdU. They were washed once in PBS, then fixed for 20 min in 4% methanol-free formaldehyde before performing EdU staining.

Chamber organisation chart
We developed a tailored Python script to analyse the changes in marker intensity against depth (Z-axis) for a confocal stack (F.N. Murphy). The tracked markers are cortex glial membrane (Nrv2::GFP, green), NSC nuclei (Dpn, grey) and neuronal nuclei (ElaV, red). The script allows a subregion of the sample to be selected by drawing a bounding box in the X,Y plane. This is necessary in the real
world where only a portion of the image may be relevant. For example, a brain image may contain substantial amounts of trachea (stained by Nrv2::GFP) that must be avoided.

The average intensity is computed within the bounded region for each layer of the stack and for each channel. This gives an average intensity versus depth curve for each channel. Since it is the distribution of marker that is of interest here, not its absolute value, the curves are normalised such that the peak intensity for each channel is one. A quadratic is fitted to the peak intensity sample and its two immediate neighbours for each channel. Differentiating the quadratic allows the position of the curve’s peak to be estimated with precision better than the stack spacing. Each channel’s curve is plotted with depth on the X-axis and averaged intensity on the Y-axis. A vertical line is plotted through the estimated peak position for each curve to facilitate reading the peak position against the X-axis scale.

**Cortex glial membrane measurements**

For each VNC we selected a portion of the image, centred on thoracic NSCs, that is devoid of tracheal signal. We measured NSC numbers as well as the total intensity of the cortex glial membrane signal (Nrv2::GFP, green). For each experiment (control and experimental conditions), one specific threshold was chosen that would best fit the actual staining and kept throughout the analysis. The GFP intensity divided by NSC numbers represents the ratio of cortex glial membrane to NSCs.

**VNC neuronal volume measurements**

For each VNC, we selected only the thoracic portion. We measured the total volume of ElaV staining using one specific threshold that was kept constant between all samples and conditions. We then divided each value by the average of control volume, in order to center control volume at 1.

**Transcriptional profiling**

We used Targeted DamID (Southall et al., 2013; Marshall et al., 2016; Marshall and Brand, 2015) to profile transcription in subperineurial glial cells (Jessie van Buggenum, P.S. and A.H.B., unpublished). UAS-Dam and UAS-Dam PolII flies were crossed to moody-GAL4; tubulin-GAL80° flies. Their progeny were raised at 18°C then shifted to 29°C at ALH0 and dissected at ALH22. Processing of genomic DNA and data analysis were performed as previously described (Southall et al., 2013; Marshall et al., 2016; Marshall and Brand, 2015). Three biological replicates were performed. 3209 genes were called with a FDR < 0.01. Only dilp6 showed significant binding of Dam-PolII (log2 ratio = 1.72 and FDR = 0.0029).

**Acknowledgements**

We thank J van Buggenum for generously providing Supplementary Figure 2B Figure 2-figure supplement 1B and FN Murphy for help in developing the Python script. This work was funded by the Royal Society Darwin Trust Research Professorship, Wellcome Trust Senior Investigator Award 103792 and Wellcome Trust Programme grant 092545 to AHB and by an Institut Pasteur/LabEx starting grant to PS. AHB acknowledges core funding to the Gurdon Institute from the Wellcome Trust (092096) and CRUK (C6946/A14492).

**Additional information**

| Funding             | Grant reference number | Author          |
|---------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| Institut Pasteur    | ANR-10-LBX-73 S-CR11019-34 | Pauline Spéder |
| Wellcome            | Senior Investigator Award 103792 | Andrea Brand    |
| Wellcome            | Programme Grant 092545 | Andrea H Brand  |
| Wellcome            | 092096                  | Andrea Brand    |
| Cancer Research UK  | C6946/A14492            | Andrea Brand    |
The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

Author contributions
Pauline Spéder, Conceptualization, Resources, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing—original draft, Project administration, Writing—review and editing; Andrea H Brand, Conceptualization, Resources, Data curation, Formal analysis, Supervision, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Writing—original draft, Project administration, Writing—review and editing

Author ORCIDs
Pauline Spéder https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2934-5841
Andrea H Brand https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2089-6954

Decision letter and Author response
Decision letter https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.30413.016
Author response https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.30413.017

Additional files
Supplementary files
• Transparent reporting form
DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.30413.014

References
Avet-Rochex A, Kaul AK, Gatt AP, McNeill H, Bateman JM. 2012. Concerted control of gliogenesis by InR/TOR and FGF signalling in the Drosophila post-embryonic brain. Development 139:2763–2772. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.074179, PMID: 22745312
Awasaki T, Lai SL, Ito K, Lee T. 2008. Organization and postembryonic development of glial cells in the adult central brain of Drosophila. Journal of Neuroscience 28:13742–13753. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4844-08.2008, PMID: 19091965
Bailey AP, Koster G, Guillermier C, Hirst EM, MacRae JI, Lechene CP, Postle AD, Gould AP. 2015. Antioxidant role for lipid droplets in a stem cell niche of Drosophila. Cell 163:340–353. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2015.09.020, PMID: 26451484
Bjornsson CS, Apostolopoulou M, Tian Y, Temple S. 2015. It takes a village: constructing the neurogenic niche. Developmental Cell 32:435–446. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2015.01.010, PMID: 25710530
Britton JS, Edgar BA. 1998. Environmental control of the cell cycle in Drosophila: nutrition activates mitotic and endoreplicative cells by distinct mechanisms. Development 125:2149–2158. PMID: 9570778
Caygill EE, Brand AH. 2017. miR-7 buffers differentiation in the developing drosophila visual system. Cell Reports 20:1255–1261. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2017.07.047, PMID: 28793250
Chell JM, Brand AH. 2010. Nutrition-responsive glia control exit of neural stem cells from quiescence. Cell 143:1161–1173. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2010.12.007, PMID: 21183078
Cheng LY, Bailey AP, Leevers SJ, Ragan TJ, Driscoll PC, Gould AP. 2011. Anaplastic lymphoma kinase spares organ growth during nutrient restriction in Drosophila. Cell 146:435–447. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2011.06.040, PMID: 21816278
DeSalvo MK, Mayer N, Mayer F, Bainton RJ. 2011. Physiologic and anatomic characterization of the brain surface glia barrier of Drosophila. Glia 59:1322–1340. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/glia.21147, PMID: 21351158
Dumstrei K, Wang F, Hartenstein V. 2003. Role of DE-cadherin in neuroblast proliferation, neural morphogenesis, and axon tract formation in Drosophila larval brain development. Journal of Neuroscience 23:3325–3335. PMID: 12716940
Egger B, Chell JM, Brand AH. 2008. Insights into neural stem cell biology from flies. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 363:39–56. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2006.2011
Gengatharan A, Bammann RR, Saghatelyan A. 2016. The role of astrocytes in the generation, migration, and integration of new neurons in the adult olfactory Bulb. Frontiers in Neuroscience 10:1–8. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2016.00149, PMID: 27092050
Goberdhan DC, Paricio N, Goodman EC, Mlodzik M, Wilson C. 1999. Drosophila tumor suppressor PTEN controls cell size and number by antagonizing the Chico/Pi3-kinase signaling pathway. Genes & Development 13:3244–3258. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.13.24.3244, PMID: 10617573
Goldman SA, Chen Z. 2011. Pervascular instruction of cell genesis and fate in the adult brain. Nature Neuroscience 14:1382–1389. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.2963, PMID: 22030549

Gonzalez-Bellido PT, Wardill TJ, Kostyleva R, Meinertzagen IA, Juusola M. 2009. Overexpressing temperature-sensitive dynamin decelerates phototransduction and bundles microtubules in Drosophila photoreceptors. Journal of Neuroscience 29:14199–14210. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2873-09.2009, PMID: 19906968

Hayashi S, Ito K, Sado Y, Taniguchi M, Akimoto A, Takeuchi H, Aigaki T, Matsuzaki F, Nakagoshi H, Tanimura T, Ueda R, Uemura T, Yoshiihara M, Goto S. 2002. GETDB, a database compiling expression patterns and molecular locations of a collection of Gal4 enhancer traps. Genesis 34:58–61. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/gene.10137, PMID: 12324948

Hoyle G, Williams M, Phillips C. 1986. Functional morphology of insect neuronal cell-surface/glial contacts: the trophospongium. The Journal of Comparative Neurology 246:113–128. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.902460108, PMID: 3700714

Hoyle G. 1986. Glial cells of an insect ganglion. The Journal of Comparative Neurology 246:85–103. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.902460106, PMID: 3700719

Ito K, Urban J, Technau GM. 1995. Distribution, classification, and development of Drosophila glial cells in the late embryonic and early larval ventral nerve cord. Roux’s Archives of Developmental Biology 204:284–307. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02179499, PMID: 28306125

Kang KH, Reichert H. 2015. Control of neural stem cell self-renewal and differentiation in Drosophila. Cell and Tissue Research 359:33–45. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00441-014-1914-9, PMID: 24902665

Lander AD, Kimble J, Clevers H, Fuchs E, Montarras D, Buckingham M, Calof AL, Trump M, Oskarsson T. 2012. What does the concept of the stem cell niche really mean today? BMC Biology 10:19. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/1741-7007-10-19, PMID: 22405133

Lane ME, Sauer K, Wallace K, Jan YN, Lehner CF, Vaessen H. 1996. Dacapo, a cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor, stops cell proliferation during Drosophila development. Cell 87:1225–1235. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(96)80181-8, PMID: 8980229

Li S, Koe CT, Tay ST, Tan ALK, Zhang S, Zhang Y, Tan P, Sung W-K, Wang H. 2017. Erratum: An intrinsic mechanism controls reactivation of neural stem cells by spindle matrix proteins. Nature Communications 8:1298. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-01017-1

Limmer S, Klambt C. 2014. Closing the gap between glia and neuroblast proliferation. Developmental Cell 30:249–250. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2014.07.025, PMID: 25117678

Marshall OJ, Brand AH. 2015. damidsqseq pipeline: an automated pipeline for processing DamID sequencing datasets. Bioinformatics 31:3371–3373. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv386, PMID: 26112292

Marshall OJ, Southall TD, Cheetham SW, Brand AH. 2016. Cell-type-specific profiling of protein-DNA interactions without cell isolation using targeted DamID with next-generation sequencing. Nature Protocols 11:1586–1598. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2016.084, PMID: 27490632

Ottone C, Krusche B, Whitby A, Clements M, Quadrato G, Pitulescu ME, Adams RH, Parrinello S. 2014. Direct cell-cell contact with the vascular niche maintains quiescent neural stem cells. Nature Cell Biology 16:1045–1056. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb3045, PMID: 25283993

Pereau W, Shy D, Hartenstein V. 2005. Morphogenesis and proliferation of the larval brain glia in Drosophila. Developmental Biology 283:191–203. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2005.04.024, PMID: 15907832

Silva-Vargas V, Crouch EE, Doetsch F. 2013. Adult neural stem cells and their niche: a dynamic duo during homeostasis, regeneration, and aging. Current Opinion in Neurobiology 23:935–942. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2013.09.004, PMID: 24090877

Sloan SA, Barres BA. 2014. Mechanisms of astrocyte development and their contributions to neurodevelopmental disorders. Current Opinion in Neurobiology 27:75–81. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2014.03.005, PMID: 24694749

Souza-Nunes R, Yee LL, Gould AP. 2011. Fat cells reactivate quiescent neuroblasts via TOR and glial insulin relays in Drosophila. Nature 471:508–512. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09867, PMID: 21346761

Southall TD, Gold KS, Egger B, Davidson CM, Chagilli EE, Marshall OJ, Brand AH. 2013. Cell-type-specific profiling of gene expression and chromatin binding without cell isolation: assaying RNA Pol II occupancy in neural stem cells. Developmental Cell 26:101–112. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2013.05.020, PMID: 23792147

Spéder P, Brand AH. 2014. Gap junction proteins in the blood-brain barrier control nutrient-dependent reactivation of Drosophila neural stem cells. Developmental Cell 30:309–321. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2014.05.021, PMID: 25065772

Stockler H, Andjelkovic M, Oldham S, Laffargue M, Wymann MP, Hemmings BA, Hafen E. 2002. Living with lethal PIP3 levels: viability of flies lacking PTEN restored by a PH domain mutation in Akt/PKB. Science 295:2088–2091. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1068094, PMID: 11872800

Stork T, Bernardos R, Freeman MR. 2012. Analysis of glial cell development and function in Drosophila. Cold Spring Harbor Protocols 2012:pdb.top067587. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/pdb.top067587, PMID: 22194269

Stork T, Engelen D, Krudewig A, Silles M, Bainton RJ, Klambt C. 2008. Organization and function of the blood-brain barrier in Drosophila. Journal of Neuroscience 28:587–597. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4367-07.2008, PMID: 18199760

Tavazoie M, Van der Veken L, Silva-Vargas Y, Louissaint M, Colonna L, Zaidi B, Garcia-Verdugo JM, Doetsch F. 2008. A specialized vascular niche for adult neural stem cells. Cell Stem Cell 3:279–288. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2008.07.025, PMID: 18786415
Truman JW, Bate M. 1988. Spatial and temporal patterns of neurogenesis in the central nervous system of Drosophila melanogaster. Developmental Biology 125:145–157. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-1606(88)90067-X, PMID: 3119399

Weinkove D, Neufeld TP, Twardzik T, Waterfield MD, Leevers SJ. 1999. Regulation of imaginal disc cell size, cell number and organ size by Drosophila class II(A) phosphoinositide 3-kinase and its adaptor. Current Biology 9: 1019–1029. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-9822(99)80450-3, PMID: 10508611