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Introduction

Vision is generally the most important sensory modality in vertebrates and as such, it is the most feared sense to lose for humans (Scott et al., 2016). The site for visual perception is the retina and we desperately need new tools to aid retinal regeneration or at least slow down the existing diseases that cripple vision.

Both neuronal (ganglion cells, amacrine cells, bipolar cells, horizontal cells, and photoreceptors) and non-neuronal (astrocytes, microglia, and Müller cells) cell types tend to form gap junctions (GJs) that provide them with conduits for electrical and metabolic communication, thereby serving signaling and metabolism in health as well as in disease (Figure 1). This latter phenomenon has been central to a myriad of studies that identify GJs as possible key participants in developing disease phenotypes. In contrast, only a few studies refer to them as potential targets for regeneration or at least neuromodulation in the retina (Table 1). Their true contribution to cellular regeneration is yet to be unveiled.

It is an undisputed fact that GJs permit direct intercellular communication between neighboring cells, allowing them to play a role in neuronal development, communication, metabolic support and normal neuronal function (Völgyi et al., 2013a, b; Roy et al., 2017; Caval-Holme et al., 2019; Tengölcs et al., 2019; Trenholm and Atwatermani, 2019; Kovács-Öller et al., 2020; Pulfer et al., 2020; Sigulinsky et al., 2020).

GJs are found between adjacent cells at the sites of intimate plasma-membrane appositions, anchored by the cytoskeletal matrix (Rash et al., 2004; Ciolofan et al., 2007; Li et al., 2008; Völgyi et al., 2013a; Kántor et al., 2017). They are composed of protein monomers of varying molecular weight, called connexins (Cx), in the neuronal tissue and throughout the body. Cx proteins typically have an intracellular loop, two extracellular loops, with both C- and N-terminals facing towards the intracellular space and the cytoplasm. Six Cx subunits are required to form a connexon hemichannel and their Cx composition is rather specific for neuronal or glial cell contact in the nervous tissue. Both interconnected cells contribute with one connexon hemichannel to a functional GJ channel that oppose each other along the two bilayer surfaces. GJs, therefore, form continuous tunnels between connected cells that are permeable to ions and small molecules mostly smaller than 1 kDa, but they could exhibit highly different specificities for different molecules (Goldberg et al., 2002). Interestingly, this latter 1 kDa weight limit for GJ permeability has lately been challenged as a number of reports revealed relatively large molecules (e.g. siRNAs) that can successfully pass-through certain GJs. Homotypic Cx43 GJs have been shown to allow the passage of synthetic oligonucleotides with molecular weights of 2–4 kDa, with a length of 7.6 nm and a diameter of 1–1.1 nm. Since these molecules provide epigenetic sources of information, they might serve as major contributors to neuronal regeneration and modulation (Valiunas et al., 2005, 2015; Zong et al., 2016).
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In addition, a transcellular spreading of epigenetic factors among GJ coupled neurons and non-neuronal cells can greatly enhance their therapeutic effects, since GJs are widely expressed between nearly all cell-types of the retina, forming a complex network of direct cellular links (Figure 1). Furthermore, many studies showed that the GJ hemichannels can be activated through stress (ischemic or mechanical), resulting in small-molecule flux (Ca^{2+}, glutamate, ATP, or NAD^+), thereby generating a change in cellular signaling and eliciting physiological responses (Orellana et al., 2009; Belousov et al., 2017). Therefore, the above-listed evidence that GJs could potentially be revealed as the most important participants in neuronal degeneration and regeneration processes. GJ coupling is an often-neglected factor in neuronal survival, and thus the major goal of this review is to bring neuronal and non-neuronal GJs of the retina into focus in this regard. To this end, we will first review evidence for the involvement of GJs in retinal degenerative diseases and then the possible therapeutic potential of pharmacological interventions and gene therapy that focus GJs and/or GJ mediated transcellular communication.

Search Strategy and Selection Criteria

The search and the study selection were carried out independently by the authors responsible for each section and matched afterward by the authors. Relevant studies were located in the following databases: PubMed, Google Scholar by using key terms in the title, abstract and by using keywords. In PubMed the Related Citations function and citation tracking was used to retrieve further articles. The reference lists of the retrieved articles were then searched to identify further relevant studies for the review. All articles were manually checked for the relevant parts by at least two authors. The last search was conducted in June 2020.

Diseases and GJs in the Retina

GJs, due to their previously described pivotal role in neuronal network construction and cellular functions, indisputably play a part in a number of degenerative retinal diseases. Even though they may not be causative agents through their regular functioning, by distributing intracellular signals among coupled cells they promote the development of the diseased phenotype. The most prevalent diseases affecting the retina are diabetic retinopathy (DR), age-related macular degeneration (AMD), glaucoma, myopia, retinal vascular disease, and traumatic brain injuries (TBI), next to many other vision-impairing retinal diseases.

Diabetes mellitus (DM), even though 16 years ago it was not even listed among the top 10 malignant diseases, became the 7th most frequent cause of death in 2016 and it is projected to become even more prevalent in the near future (The top 10 causes of death, WHO 2018). Amongst the several metabolic disorders associated with DM, in 34.6% of cases, patients develop at least some level of DR, leading to visual deterioration and blindness (Yau et al., 2012). While appropriate treatment strategies are available for DM, at present, there is no known treatment that allows DR patients to recover even partially (Duh et al., 2017) and therefore it is crucial for all pre-existing conditions to be dealt with adequately. In DR, high glucose mediates the breakdown of GJs in Müller cells, as well as in astrocytes and other metabolically important cell types like pericytes (Ivanova et al., 2017, 2019; Kovács-Öllő et al., 2020). These changes contribute to the loss of blood-brain barrier or in this case blood-retina barrier (BRB) integrity and vascular failure and eventually the appearance of lesions in the retina (Sato et al., 2002; Li et al., 2003; Fernandes et al., 2004; Li and Roy, 2009; Roy et al., 2017a).

Several studies showed the role of GJs in the pathophysiology of DR (Oku et al., 2001; Manansson et al., 2013; Ivanova et al., 2017, Roy et al., 2017a, b). The Cx43 connexin subunit plays multiple roles in the development of the pathological phenotype, therefore its expression and regulation could become a key factor in combating the disease. In the case of oxygen restricted states, the expression of the channel seems to be upregulated within hours. Similarly, it becomes highly expressed in the case of widespread cell death, inflammation, and vascular leaks (Danesh-Meyer et al., 2012). Oxygen-restricted conditions with significantly reduced choroidal blood flow can develop during DR (Muir et al., 2012). Under prolonged hypoxia, the balance of pro- and anti-angiogenic factors get disrupted, resulting in increased blood vessel growth. The expression of Cx hemichannels also changes during this condition (Tien et al., 2016), for reasons which are yet to be revealed. Elevated Cx levels have been observed in other pathological conditions of the retina as well.

Much like DR, AMD is also a well-studied but not completely

---

**Table 1 | GJs as possible targets for regeneration and neuromodulation in the retina**

| Glaucoma | Diabetic retinopathy | Others (with disease) |
|----------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Cx43 (20934339) | Cx43 (15123628) | Cx36, 45 (ischemia, 25100592) |
| Cx36 (28604388) | Cx36 (28674171) | Cx36 (myopia, 32547367) |
| AMD | Cx36 (32566247) | Cx43 (ischemia, 22345088) |
| Cx43 (27490318) | Cx43 (19029021) | Various (injury, inflammation, 22886208) |
| TBI | Cx30.2 (23385797) | Cx43 (inflammation, 27490318) |
| Cx40, 43, 45 | Cx43 (24938518) | Cx36, 43, 45 (Huntington, 1886168) |
| Cx43 (31194577) | Cx43 (11431461) | Cx43 (oxidative stress, 22138732) |
| Cx36 (28124625) | Cx26, 43 (28583293) | Cx43 (ischemia, 29891713) |
| Cx36 (16101742) | Cx43 (11978657) | Cx36 (myopia, 31283648) |
| Cx43 (26738943) | (15107438) | Cx43 (11431461) |

Relevant connexins with PMIDs stated. AMD: Age-related macular degeneration; GJs: gap junctions; TBI: traumatic brain injury.
understood retinal disease. The prevalence of AMD rises dramatically after the age of 50. In most developed countries AMD has become a major health issue in the last two decades and is projected to grow even further in the future (Wong et al., 2014). Unlike DR, the role of GJs is not well studied in AMD, but they are likely involved in pathophysiology, since inflammation is involved in the pathogenesis of the disease (Khandhadia et al., 2012), and Guo et al. (2016) showed, using a light damaged retina model, the inflammation affects the retina’s and the choroid’s GJ constitution. During the progressive cell death and degeneration of photoreceptors and epithelial cells in the case of AMD, the expression of the Cx hemichannels increases, with channels appearing in the extracellular matrix and outside blood vessels in stark contrast to healthy tissue (Danesh-Meyer et al., 2016). The same effect has been described in light damaged albino rat models also, with a significant increase in hemichannel expression, where the intravitreal application of mimetic peptides partially restored retinal function through the reduction of the negative effects (Guo et al., 2016).

Glaucoma has been documented as a retinal disease-causing blindness with the second-highest incidence number in the human population (Tham et al., 2014) and is usually not detected until it deteriorates vision. Recent studies highlighted the importance of GJs (Cx36, Cx43) not in the primary insult, but in the secondary cell death wave, precisely through the ablation of the GJ proteins (Kerr et al., 2011; Akopian et al., 2014, 2017).

While Myopia is not considered a retinal disease by default, in more severe cases the retina also becomes affected and recent studies showed the role of GJs in the pathophysiology of this process, much like the other diseases discussed thus far. It has been shown that Cx36 expression and phosphorylation increases in a mouse model of myopia, directly affecting neuronal communication in the retina (Yang et al., 2019; Banerjee et al., 2020).

Retinal vascular disease is an umbrella term for disorders involving vascular effects in the retina. Most of them are caused by hypertensive retinopathy, retinal vein occlusion, central retinal artery occlusion and branch retinal artery occlusion. The role of GJs has been suspected to take part in pathophysiology through the disruption of neurovascular connections (Slavi et al., 2018; Ivanova et al., 2019).

Finally, TBI, a neurological disorder caused by physical accidents, is a disease rarely discussed in connection with the retina, but needs to be addressed regardless, because it has been shown that its effects on the retina may contribute to vision loss (Mohan et al., 2013; Armstrong, 2018; Evans et al., 2018; Harper et al., 2019). Even though our knowledge on the subject is limited, results suggest that GJs are prominent factors in the deterioration of the symptoms (Prochnow, 2014; Chen B et al., 2017). TBI has been shown to affect the expression of Cx43↓, Cx40↑, and Cx45↑ in the brain (Chen B et al., 2017) and Cx36↓ in the retina, which could contribute to cell loss due to TBI (Striedinger et al., 2005).

Based on these results showing Cx expression pattern changes during pathological conditions in the retina, the presence of GJs also offers a potential target for future treatment. In case of elevated expression, reducing the Cx overexpression could – in theory - slow down the progression of the disease. The reason seems to be that the Cx channels play an important role in the initialization of various signal cascades related to all involved pathophysiologies (Gajardo-Gómez et al., 2016). In fact, Cx-like peptides used systemically in order to inhibit hemichannels, in addition to similar treatment modalities, have been proven to be effective in reducing cell death and vascular hemorrhage in cases of ischemia (O’Carroll et al., 2008).

The direct role: what have we learned from knockout animal models?

The body of evidence suggests that normal neuronal function is not possible without the presence of GJs contributing to transcellular metabolic exchange and/or signaling. The direct role of GJs to the maintenance of healthy neuronal functions have been studied in various knock-out (KO) animal models, in which GJ constituent Cx subunits are genetically deleted. In many cases, the embryos or pups of Cx KO mice are not viable due to defects in essential basic life-functions facilitated by the Cx molecules during development. Out of over 20 mammalian Cx subunits, only a handful are abundant in the neuronal retina, including Cx 30, Cx30.2, Cx36, Cx43, Cx45, Cx50, and Cx57 (Güldenagel et al., 2001; Massey et al., 2003; Hombach et al., 2004; Maxeiner et al., 2005; Müller et al., 2010; Kántor et al., 2018). Based on research with KO models, we can determine the exact roles the different Cx subunit types play in retinal mechanisms.

The Cx36 subunit is expressed by most neuronal elements of the retina. Positioned in key signaling pathways, they are responsible for decreasing signal-to-noise ratio in the outer retina in cone-to-cone connections, connecting bipolar cell subtypes to each other, creating populations of retinal ganglion cell (RGC) subtypes (Akopian et al. 2017). Regarding pathophysiology, due to their presence between many cellular subtypes, they play an important role in Glaucoma, Myopia, and TBI.

The constitutive Cx45 KO mice die before birth due to the importance of this Cx type during ontogeny, especially throughout the heart muscle. Thus, the role of Cx45 in retinal functioning has been only studied in conditional Cx45 KOs, where it was only ablated in neurons (Maxeiner et al., 2005; Schubert et al., 2005; Dedek et al., 2006; Li et al., 2008). The lack of Cx45 and Cx36 in the respective KO mice proved their importance in rod-mediated signaling by providing a minimum delay communication system between all amacrine cells and ON bipolar cells, with their absence resulting in a form of night blindness (Deans et al., 2002; Bloomfield and Völgyi, 2004; Li et al. 2008).

The ablation of Cx57 induces a reduction in horizontal cell receptive fields, although no obvious change was found regarding the spatial tuning of output ganglion cells (Hombach et al., 2004; Shelley et al., 2006; Dedek et al., 2008). While the neuronal GJs play an important role in the normal retinal sensory mechanisms and have been assumed to have neuroprotective properties (Akopian et al. 2017), their selective KO mutants neither show any obvious phenotypes, nor have been proven to lead to any known pathological alterations or diseases.

The non-neuronal retinal GJs operate using a different set of Cx subunits, including Cx 30, Cx43, Cx45 (Zahs et al., 2003). Much like the Cx45 KO model, the genetic elimination of Cx43 is lethal since it is essential for intercellular communication in the cardiac tissue and in astrocytes (Dermitzel et al., 2000). Using selective KO animals, it has been shown that Cx43 is highly expressed by retinal astrocytes, Müller cells, and pericytes as well (Muto et. al., 2014; Ivanova et al., 2017, 2019; Slavi et. al., 2018), but there have been no studies focusing on the morphological aberrations of the retina in the KO mice. All we know is that Cx43 expression is reduced in DR, but whether this reduction is in a causative relationship with the disease or a side-effect is not clear (Ivanova et al., 2017, 2019; Slavi et al., 2018).

Thus, our conclusion here regarding the direct effect of GJs on retinal diseases is two-fold; first, there has been a lack of knowledge on this issue regarding many retinal (neuronal and non-neuronal) Cx subunits, and second, so far, any detected phenotype described in Cx KO mouse strains has been very
Indirect role: the bystander effect - Myth or reality?

When cells get compromised due to injury or disease, they begin apoptotic or necrotic processes resulting in the release of malicious effector or signal molecules (death signals) that are transferred to neighboring cells, where they induce intracellular mechanisms leading to a secondary cell loss. This largely unknown mechanism is called the ‘bystander effect’, in which cells not affected by the primary insult die because they are located in the vicinity of dying cells. The transcellular transfer of death signal molecules is thought to be mediated by GJs connecting cellular neighbors (Ripps, 2002).

Even though the ‘bystander effect’ is a long-studied phenomenon, it still causes debate amongst scientists. Recent research has supplied strong evidence in support of the involvement of neuronal GJs in the bystander effect in the retina (Ripps, 2002; Akopian et al., 2014). Akopian and colleagues showed that the deletion of Cx36 increased cell survival under excitotoxic conditions, whereas Cx45 offered no protection in similar circumstances. In contrast, after ischemic insult, Cx36 provided no protection, but Cx45 KO mice displayed a greatly reduced neuronal loss in the retina. In addition, the pharmacological blockade of GJs rescued nearly all neighboring amacrine cells and reduced RGC loss by ~70% after the induction of excitotoxic or ischemic conditions (Akopian et al., 2014). These results not only proved the importance of GJs in spreading death-signals via the bystander effect but also showed that the role of various Cx subunits and GJs in these pathological mechanisms is insult-specific.

Apart from ischemic conditions, secondary cell loss appears to be a key component of retinal neurodegeneration in diseases like glaucoma, retinopathies, retinitis pigmentosa (Osborne et al., 2004; Elmore, 2007; Decrock et al., 2009; reviewed by O’Brien and Bloomfield, 2018). Besides progressive genetic and metabolic illnesses, single or repetitive environmental impacts may also be harmful. Excessive light, for example, can induce apoptotic processes in photoreceptors and pigment epithelial cells that are aggravated by their GJ connections via the bystander effect (Ishii and Rohrer, 2017; Ma et al., 2018). This issue is becoming ever more relevant due to the increased time spent exposed to monitor-related light sources in our everyday life. Another example of possible environmental impact, traumatic injury of the surrounding brain tissue (traumatic brain injury - TBI), may have an impact on the optic nerve and the eye, leading to a degeneration of the retina (Chen et al., 2017; Burke et al., 2019). Such an insult can be even more severe as death-signal molecules do not have to travel from the crushed ganglion cell axon to its soma/dendritic region but can be directly transferred via direct axonal GJs in the optic nerve instead (Smedowski et al., 2020). The GJ permeability for a molecule depends on multiple factors (molecular weight, charge, 3D shape). Nucleotides (e.g. siRNAs) and peptides with molecular weight up to 4 kDa and diameter of 1 nm have been reported to cross GJ channels (Neijssen et al., 2005; Valiunas et al., 2005), however, there is no direct evidence for the passing of cell-survival factors involved in cell survival as well. Therefore, it is possible that healthy cells might also pass certain substances through GJs that, in turn, promote survival.

GJs could potentially act as bridges between neurons and glial cells (Fröes et al., 1999); however, there is a lack of understanding of how GJs interconnect neuronal and glial cell types in the mammalian retina and how GJ hemichannels contribute to neuronal-glial interactions. The role of GJs in spreading death-signals via the bystander effect has a negative impact on the outcome of various retinal diseases and injuries. However, it has generally been ignored that besides death-signals, GJs are intercellular avenues for factors involved in cell survival as well. Therefore, it is possible that healthy cells might also pass certain substances through GJs that, in turn, promote survival.
immune cells looking after retinal health (Gajardo-Gómez et al., 2016).

To summarize, glial and vascular GJ connectivity plays a crucial role in both psychological functioning and in retinal diseases. Probably, the most important GJ-protein in glial and neurovascular interactions is Cx43, which shows a high level of expression within the retina (Kerr et al., 2010; Ivanova et al., 2017, 2019). This pattern of distribution seems to be a feature conserved through evolution, with no significant inter-species differences observable (Janssen-Bienhold et al., 1998). They serve an important role in the proliferation of epithelial and other cells and enable the formation of modulatory Ca++ waves within cell populations (Pearson et al., 2004; Pocrnich et al., 2012).

Possible Routes for Neuromodulation through GJs

There are only a few possible options to directly affect GJs. Among these are some that are readily available and some that still have not been used in medicine, or omitted due to permeability difficulties. In this next section, we will focus on the promising recent developments in GJ neuromodulation and, if there are any, highlight their limitations.

Pharmacological blockade of GJs

Shortly after their discovery, non-specific pharmacological agents became handy tools for neuroscientists in assessing the role and function of GJs within the retinal tissue. Inhibitory agents, such as different alcohols, quinine, or arachidonic acid have been used to study the function of Cx and pannexin composed intracellular channels and hemichannels, respectively (Hervé and Sarrouilhe, 2005). As an example, the pharmacological blockade of GJs rescued nearly all neighboring amacrine cells and reduced RGC loss by ~70% after induction with excitotoxic or ischemic conditions (Akopian et al., 2014). However, the potential clinical use of these agents is limited due to their non-specific nature, as they cannot differentiate in their effects between Cx and pannexin subunits, and need to be tested in more specific GJ blockers.

When it comes to downregulating the expression of the channel itself, one of the widely used tools is antisense-oligodeoxynucleotides (AS-ODNs). These are capable of binding to Cx-coding mRNA sequences to stop protein translation. This methodology is effective; however, one of its drawbacks is that the exerted effect is quite transient, lasting only up to 20 minutes (Qiu et al., 2003).

There is a quite wide range of conditions that can benefit from the effects of antisense-oligodeoxynucleotides therapy: the suppressive effect on inflammation has proven to be useful in the treatments of skin wounds, burns, and even spinal cord injuries (Cronin et al., 2008; Ormonde et al., 2012).

The pressure to find more specific and targeted therapeutics led to the discovery and development of the so-called mimetic peptides with channel-selective inhibitory properties. These peptides have short amino acid sequences which are similar to the parts of Cx and pannexin proteins (Evans et al., 2012). In most cases, the mimetic peptides match the extracellular docking sequence of Cx molecules and upon binding, they block affected hemichannels from finding partners and forming functional channels with connexons of the neighbor cell membrane (Berthoud et al., 2000). Intracellular mimetic peptides also offer some specific advantages, although they require the presence of additional peptides to pass through the cell membrane. If their transport is successful, they are able to selectively inhibit hemichannels without disrupting the function of GJs (Wang et al., 2013). Danesh-Meyer and colleagues showed the efficacy of mimetic peptides following retinal ischaemia by reducing vascular leakage and RGC death (Danesh-Meyer et al., 2012).

Gene therapy

In the last three decades, a direct gene-level approach to cure diseases has been especially useful for pathologic processes caused by mutations or deficiencies relating to a single gene, of which there are over 200 relating to the retina (Conley and Naash, 2010). GJs have been in the center of a number of research studies and clinical trials, either as a central target or part of a treatment option (Yu et al., 2014; Zhu et al., 2015; Tufail et al., 2016; Yuan et al., 2016).

Functional copies of the genes causing aberrant behavior can be supplemented using viral vectors, and for this, the retina has proved to be an optimal target (Mast et al., 2010; Han et al., 2015; DiCarlo et al., 2018) due to its location behind the blood-brain barrier providing an immune-privileged state. Immunosuppressive cytokines and cell surface signal molecules produced by the ocular parenchymal immune cells looking after retinal health (Gajardo-Gómez et al., 2016).

Table 2 | GJ blocker pharmaceutical molecules, their GJ and non-GJ targets

| GJ blocker          | Selectivity for Cx isoforms | Non-GJ target receptors | Non-GJ target channels |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| Anandamide         | 32, 43                      | CB1, GABA, glycine, S-HT| Na+ and Ca++           |
| Carbenerone           | Non-selective               | p2x7                    | Voltage-gated Ca+++    |
| Flufenamic acid     | 26, 32, 40, 43, 46, 50      | p2x7, GABA, NMDA        | Voltage-gated K+ CI–, K+ |
| Gap26, Gap27        | 32, 37, 40, 43              |                         |                        |
| Glycyrrhetic acid   | Non-selective               | p2x7, kainate           | Ca++, K+               |
| Heptanol            | 32, 43, 45                  | GABA                    | Voltage-gated K+ ATp-sensitive K+ |
| Melofenamic acid    | 36, 43, 50                  | Adenosine and p2x7      |                        |
| Mefloquine          | 36, 43, 50                  | GABA, NMDA              | Voltage-gated K+ CI–, Ca++, Na+ |
| Niflumic acid       | 43, 46, 50                  | GABA, NMDA              |                        |
| Octanol             | 43, 46, 50                  | GABA, glycine, AMPA, NMDA, kainate, p2x7 | T-type Ca++ |
| Oleamide            | 32, 43                      | CB1, GABA, glycine, S-HT|                        |
| Quindine            | 50                          | Cholinergic             | K+ and Na+             |
| Quinine             | 36, 45, 50                  | Cholinergic             | Voltage-dependent K+   |
| Retinoic acid       | 38                          | Retinoids, dopamine, S-HT|                        |

5-HT: 5-Hydroxytryptamine; AMPA: α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazole-proponic acid; CB1: cannabinoid receptor 1; GABA: gamma-aminobutyric acid; GJs: gap junctions; NMDA: N-methyl-D-aspartate; p2x7: purinoceptor 7 (Data from Manjarrez-Marmolejo and Franco-Pérez, 2016).
Adenovirus 5 (HAd5) is the most studied and popular in clinical use (Ginn et al., 2018). Even if their large genome size (30–40 kb pairs) make them an appealing candidate for gene delivery, some studies have shown the possibility of Ads interacting with blood factors resulting in severe inflammatory responses (Hoffman et al., 1997; Raper et al., 2003). In addition, depending on the population, 30–100% of the people show preexisting immunity to Ads (Mast et al., 2010; Barouch et al., 2011), leading to transient expression. Since the first-time use of Ads vectors for gene supplementation by Bennett et al. in 1996, there have been over 500 clinical trials using the same approach (Mast et al., 2010). However, due to their limitations, Ads vectors have not been extensively used in clinical ocular gene therapy (DiCarlo et al., 2018).

Adeno-associated viruses (AAVs), on the other hand, have provided the most popular gene delivery system throughout the years because of their capability to elicit long-term expression in a safe, non-pathogenic manner (Taymans et al., 2007). AAVs are non-enveloped, helper-dependent parvoviruses with an icosaheiral capsid architecture approximately 25 nm in diameter (Murlidharan et al., 2014). The utilization of AAVs provides the default option for integration into host genomes or removing the rep open reading frame, allowing for episomal rather than integrative identity, have high transduction efficiency, and in certain cases cell-type specificity (Nakai et al., 2001; Jüttner et al., 2019). AAVs have been proven to provide long-term gene delivery, studies have shown their persisting effect to last up to 6 years in patients treated with an AAV2-delivered construct for the treatment of Leber congenital amaurosis (Bainbridge et al., 2015). This construct became the basis for the first FDA approved gene therapy in 2017, running under the name Luxturna to cure Leber congenital amaurosis (Darrow, 2019). The major limitation in using AAVs results from the genetic payload limit of 4–5 kb pairs. Attempts have been made to overcome this either by splitting transgenes between AAV vectors or using truncated genes (minigenes) (Lai et al., 2009). Alternatively, hybrid AV-AAV vectors have been designed to overcome the size limitation (Conley and Naash, 2010). In the case of RGC transduction, the transgene will undergo antergrade axonal transport through the optic nerve (Harvey et al., 2002). Considering gene therapy, this is a major drawback of using AAVs.

The third popular vector choice, lentiviral vectors, appears to solve the size limitations posed by AAV vectors, allowing for about 10–11 kb gene carrying capacity, which makes the delivery of large transgenes or even multiple genes possible (Coffin et al., 1997; Connelly et al., 2004; Counsell et al., 2017). Lentiviruses are pleomorphic, approximately 100 nm in diameter, spherical-shaped and contain two single-stranded positive-sense RNA molecules (Vogt and Simon, 1999). They integrate into the host genome using their own reverse transcriptase and integrase enzymes, making them capable of integrating into both dividing and non-dividing cells, depending on the serogroup (Coffin et al., 1997). Therefore, lentiviral vectors can permanently modify the target cell by integrating into the host genomic DNA, providing stable expression (Saenz et al., 2004). In order to limit the possibility of pathogenicity, non-primate lentiviruses, like equine infectious anemia virus (EIAV), are utilized because they have highly restricted species tropisms and cannot replicate in human cells, or are blocked intracellularly (Ikeda et al., 1996; Shimojima, 2004). The first lentivirus in human clinical trials was applied for human photoreceptor gene delivery using an EIAV (Balaggan et al., 2006; Hashimoto et al., 2007).

In the last decade, a paradigm shift can be observed from gene supplementation strategies to gene surgery, where the host genome or the transcriptome is altered directly, either transiently or permanently (DiCarlo et al., 2018). Gene silencing using endogenously produced micro RNAs (miRNA) and introducing small interfering RNAs (siRNA) or short hairpin RNAs (shRNA) has become an essential technology in studying gene function and a possible approach in gene therapy. Using AAV or Lentiviral vectors siRNAs and shRNAs can be delivered into the cells (Singer and Verma, 2008). GJ mediated transport of shRNA has been proven to be possible in vitro (Valinivas et al., 2005; Wolvett et al., 2007). Permeability of miRNA molecules has been tested across the GJ channel families comprised of distinct connexin subtypes, showing the following order Cx43 > Cx26/30 > Cx26 > Cx31 > Cx30 (Zong et al., 2016). Since miRNA expression patterns have been associated with most, if not all human malignancies (Calin et al., 2005; Visone and Croce, 2009), the transfer of miRNA transcripts through GJs is an important factor to be considered in cancer research (Katakowski et al., 2010; Lim et al., 2011; Thuringer et al., 2016). Considering retinal diseases, several miRNA targets have been recently identified in cases of AMD and DR, which are either up- or downregulated in patients and pre-clinical models (Liu et al., 2020). Due to this discovery and the validity of the bystander effect mentioned in chapter 2.2, the downregulation of Cx molecule synthesis and its subsequent decrease in GJ numbers using lentiviral mediated RNAi knockdown has been a plausible way of treatment for several illnesses (Lee et al., 1992; Han et al., 2015). While utilizing GJs to transfer and distribute siRNA and miRNAs as a possible treatment modality is an interesting approach, thus far it has not been used not used to its full potential (Thuringer et al., 2016).

Besides the proteome regulating possibilities, direct genome editing techniques have also been made available. One such system, the CRISPR-Cas system borrowed from bacteria, has been gaining popularity in recent years (Ding et al., 2019). In the case of progressive retinal diseases like retinitis pigmentosa or retinal dystrophy, researchers have been testing the potentials of the CRISPR-Cas system-based therapies (Bakondi et al., 2016; Bassuk et al., 2016). However, no matter how powerful this technique might appear, it also poses considerable challenges, like designing guide RNAs (gRNA) that are specific enough to target the mutated gene but avoid the wild type locus (Christie et al., 2017). Delivery of the gRNA and the Cas9 enzyme genome can be achieved using AAV vectors, however, the size limitations described earlier can be troublesome (Platt et al., 2014; Swiech et al., 2015). For this purpose, smaller Cas9 orthologs, originating, for example, from the Staphylococcus aureus, are available (Ran et al., 2015). Injecting CRISPR directly into the human body for the first time has been done during a clinical trial recently, to cure Leber’s congenital amaurosis 10 (Bedford, 2020). The utilization of the CRISPR system has been proven to alter GJ expression levels (Yuan et al., 2016) but much remains to be discovered in this field in the future.

The selective targeting of retinal cell types is essential for precise genetic engineering, especially in vivo conditions. To combat this issue, members of the Roska lab created a library of 230 AAVs with specific promoters (Jüttner et al., 2019). Recently, an alternative approach to viral vectors has been developed, using an antibody functionalized gold nanoparticles (antibody-AuNP) and ultrafast femtosecond (fs, 10–15 s) 800 nm laser irradiation, called optoporation (Conley and Naash, 2010; Ding et al., 2015). The antibody-AuNP complex selectively binds to the specific extracellular receptor and the irradiation of the AuNP causes nanoscale pore openings in the cell membrane, allowing for extracellular materials, such as siRNA to enter the cell (Conley and Naash, 2010). Due to the retina’s translucent nature, Wilson and colleagues were able to develop an in vivo optoporation system, allowing for selective treatment of RGCs and photoreceptors by optoporating siRNA molecules (Wilson et al., 2018). This method might prove useful in the future to...
selectively optoporate RGCs and using their GJ connections, affect the whole network of GJ connected cells.

Stimulation

Electrical stimulation has a long-standing history in both neurology and neuroscience (Borchers et al., 2012). While direct or indirect stimulation of cells through GJs is an interesting approach for using GJs as sites of neuromodulation, there are only a few studies addressing this question at any level (Pereda et al., 2013; Roy et al., 2017; Loizos et al., 2018).

The method for stimulation could be electrical, by injecting current in presynaptic cells that will evoke a voltage drop in GJ coupled cells too. This, depending on the transmembrane conductance of GJs, can be accompanied by a change of membrane potential in an extended array of cells (including 2nd, 3rd, and higher-order coupled cells), eventually leading to a multi-level activation of cells within an array. Spontaneous activity waves of cells are known to play a role during development, particularly in the developing retina and the nervous system. Similar spontaneous waves are present in the diseased retina, although their function is not well-characterized (Toychev et al., 2013; Tsai et al., 2017). They might be pivotal in cell-survival, as GJs are crucial for correlated cellular activity (Völgyi et al., 2013a, b). Inducing such waves through GJs could be beneficial in retrieving cells, although, in retinal degeneration (rd10), GJ blockage eradicates aberrant activity (Toychev et al., 2013; Ivanova et al., 2016). In the living retina, retinal prostheses could be used to restore vision (Mathieson et al., 2012; Ayton et al., 2014; Lorach et al., 2015), however, we do not know the long term effects of surgically inserted prosthetics, considering that they could alter the GJ connectivity. Since electric and magnetic fields excite neurons, they might affect the retinal GJ’s natural regulatory system (Weiland and Humayun, 2014; Jacoby et al., 2018).

The natural stimulus of the retina, visible light, also takes part in shaping the retinal signal processing by altering GJ connections (Hu et al., 2010; Roy et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2020). Light induces the coupling and decoupling of GCS (Hu et al., 2010) in amacrine cells, and nitric-oxide release (Jacoby et al., 2018). The circadian response also involves GJ reorganization (Katti et al., 2013; Koo et al., 2015; Ali et al., 2019).

In summary, electrical and light stimulation both actively affect GJ coupling and spontaneous activity, therefore presenting optional routes for neuromodulation in the retina.

Conclusions

Even though there are a number of studies showing multiple links between GJ function and cell survival, the present state of our knowledge regarding the role of GJs in cellular survival in the retina is still insufficient. There are many hereditary diseases associated with CX mutations that affect GJs and neuronal networks (see review: Srinivas et al., 2018), where it is clearly apparent that GJs are the main origin of the disease. A similar involvement of GJs in non-hereditary diseases is still clearly apparent that GJs are the main origin of the disease. A similar involvement of GJs in non-hereditary diseases is still insufficient. There are many hereditary diseases associated with CX mutations that affect GJs and neuronal networks (see review: Srinivas et al., 2018), where it is clearly apparent that GJs are the main origin of the disease.
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