Lhx2 is a progenitor-intrinsic modulator of Sonic Hedgehog signaling during early retinal neurogenesis
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Abstract An important question in organogenesis is how tissue-specific transcription factors interact with signaling pathways. In some cases, transcription factors define the context for how signaling pathways elicit tissue- or cell-specific responses, and in others, they influence signaling through transcriptional regulation of signaling components or accessory factors. We previously showed that during optic vesicle patterning, the Lim-homeodomain transcription factor Lhx2 has a contextual role by linking the Sonic Hedgehog (Shh) pathway to downstream targets without regulating the pathway itself. Here, we show that during early retinal neurogenesis in mice, Lhx2 is a multi-level regulator of Shh signaling. Specifically, Lhx2 acts cell autonomously to control the expression of pathway genes required for efficient activation and maintenance of signaling in retinal progenitor cells. The Shh co-receptors Cdon and Gas1 are candidate direct targets of Lhx2 that mediate pathway activation, whereas Lhx2 directly or indirectly promotes the expression of other pathway components important for activation and sustained signaling. We also provide genetic evidence suggesting that Lhx2 has a contextual role by linking the Shh pathway to downstream targets. Through these interactions, Lhx2 establishes the competence for Shh signaling in retinal progenitors and the context for the pathway to promote early retinal neurogenesis. The temporally distinct interactions between Lhx2 and the Shh pathway in retinal development illustrate how transcription factors and signaling pathways adapt to meet stage-dependent requirements of tissue formation.

Editor's evaluation This study dissects the complex Shh pathway to explain the phenotypic similarity between Lhx2 and Shh retinal knock-out mice. The authors use multiple converging experimental strategies to show Lhx2 activates the Shh pathway, mainly by up-regulating co-receptors Gas1 and Cdon in retinal progenitor cells. The experiments are creative, and the findings provide evidence that Lhx2 acts in a contextual manner and integrates signalling pathways, conferring enhanced Retinal Progenitor Cells with the competence to respond to Shh. The study provides novel and interesting views on retinal development.
Introduction

The Sonic Hedgehog (Shh) signaling pathway is essential for the patterning, growth, and histogenesis of multiple tissues. Deregulation resulting in hyperactivation drives tumor growth and hypoactivation leads to congenital brain malformations including holoprosencephaly (Hong and Krauss, 2018; Scales and de Sauvage, 2009). The canonical pathway is composed of core and accessory components, which contribute to Shh production, availability, reception, intracellular signaling, and transcriptional regulation of target genes (reviewed in Briscoe and Thérond, 2013; Kong et al., 2019; Ramsbottom and Pownall, 2016). At its simplest, Shh signaling occurs when secreted Shh binds to its cognate Patched receptor, relieving inhibition of the Frizzled class GPCR transmembrane protein Smoothened (Smo) (Figure 1A). In turn, an intracellular cascade blocks the proteolytic processing of the GLI zinc-finger transcription factors, Gli2 and Gli3, converting them from transcriptional repressors to activators with Gli3 the predominant repressor and Gli2 the predominant activator (Lipski et al., 2006). The net result is the expression of downstream genes which includes the third mammalian GLI paralog Gli1, which like Gli2, functions as a transcriptional activator. Gli1 contributes to feedback regulation after signaling is initiated with primary transcriptional targets being itself (positive feedback) and three negative feedback regulators; Patched 1 (Ptc1), Patched 2 (Ptc2), and Hedgehog Interacting Protein (Hhip). These feedbacks contribute to steady state signaling (Lai et al., 2004; Li et al., 2018), and allow the expression levels of Gli1, Ptc1, Ptc2, and Hhip to be used as readouts of signaling.

The ability or competence of cells to signal is essential for the pathway to function at the right time, place and magnitude, and many of the core and accessory pathway components contribute to these properties (Kiecker et al., 2016). For a responding cell to be competent, positive transducers must be expressed prior to signaling (e.g. Smo, Gli2), but kept in the ‘off’ state by negative regulators such as Patched, Suppressor of Fused (Sufu), Gli3, and accessory factors such as Protein Kinase A (Pka), Glycogen Synthase Kinase 3 beta (Gsk3b), and Casein Kinase 1 (Ck1). Pathway activation also requires binding of Shh to one of three co-receptors: the integral membrane proteins Cell Adhesion, Oncogene Regulated (Cdon), Brother of Cdon (Boc), or the GPI-anchored protein Growth Arrest Specific 1 (Gas1) (Allen et al., 2011; Izzi et al., 2011). Thus, pathway regulation is complex even before signaling is initiated. Since many pathway genes are not expressed ubiquitously, understanding how they are regulated in specific contexts can reveal how signaling is tailored to meet the demands of developing tissues and provide insights into developmental timing mechanisms.

In early eye development, Shh signaling is initially required for the regionalization and ventral patterning of the optic neuroepithelium including in the nascent neural retinal domain (Chiang et al., 1996; Gallardo and Bovolenta, 2018; Hernández-Bejarano et al., 2015; Take-uchi et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2015; Zhao et al., 2010). In the newly formed retina, a second interval of signaling occurs in retinal progenitor cells (RPCs) at the onset of neurogenesis, propagating as a central-to-peripheral wave that is coupled to retinal ganglion cell (RGC) production and RGC-derived Shh expression (reviewed in Wallace, 2008). This coupling is maintained even when RGC production is delayed (Sigulinsky et al., 2008) indicating that Shh availability sets the developmental timing of pathway activation because RPCs are competent to signal prior to Shh exposure. How this competence is established in RPCs at the start of retinal neurogenesis has not been addressed.

The LIM-homeodomain transcription factor Lim-homebox 2 (Lhx2) is a multifunctional regulator of retinal development. Initially expressed in the eye field, Lhx2 expression persists throughout retinal development in RPCs, becoming restricted to Muller glia and a subset of amacrine cells (de Melo et al., 2012; Gordon et al., 2013; Tétreault et al., 2009; Viczian et al., 2006; Yun et al., 2009). Initially, Lhx2 is required for optic vesicle patterning and regionalization, lens specification, and optic cup morphogenesis (Hägglund et al., 2011; Porter et al., 1997; Roy et al., 2013; Seth et al., 2006; Tétreault et al., 2009; Yun et al., 2009; Zuber et al., 2003). Lhx2 directs these processes through cell autonomous and nonautonomous mechanisms, in part through regulation of optic vesicle-derived expression of the Bone Morphogenetic Proteins Bmp4 and Bmp7 (Yun et al., 2009). After optic cup formation, Lhx2 nonautonomously directs lens development in part through regulation of retinal-derived expression of Fibroblast Growth Factors (FGFs), and possibly Bmp4 (Thein et al., 2016). Toward the end of retinal histogenesis, Lhx2 is required at multiple steps in the formation of Muller glia, the sole RPC-derived glial cell type (de Melo et al., 2016a; de Melo et al., 2016b). In this case, an interaction with Notch signaling is partly responsible, through Lhx2-dependent expression...
Figure 1. Lhx2 is required for Gli1 expression in RPCs. (A) Overview of Hh signaling. See text for details and Briscoe and Théron, 2013, Kong et al., 2019, Ramsbottom and Pownall, 2016 for more comprehensive pathway illustrations and descriptions. (B) Genetics and tamoxifen treatment paradigm. Female breeders are also homozygous for Rosa<sup>ai14</sup> and all embryos are Rosa<sup>ai14</sup>, allowing rapid screening for recombined embryos.
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with tdTomato expression. Recombined flox alleles are indicated by Δ. (C) Immunohistology for markers of RPC proliferation (PCNA, EdU) and Lhx2 expression in the E15.5 control and CKO eyes after tamoxifen treatment at E11.5. The retina is contained within the yellow dashed lines. (D) Western blot for Gli1 protein expression in P0 wild type, E15.5 Ctrl and CKO retinas following tamoxifen treatment at E11.5. γ-Tubulin served as an internal loading control. (E, F) In situ hybridizations for Gli1 expression in E15.5 Ctrl and CKO eyes following tamoxifen treatment at E11.5. (F) In situ hybridizations for Shh expression in E15.5 Ctrl and CKO eyes following tamoxifen treatment at E11.5. Dashed boxes reveal locations of close-up images (G). Dark appearance of the rpe is due to natural pigmentation and does not indicate gene expression. (G) qPCR for Gli1 and Shh expression in Ctrl and CKO retinas at E14.5 following tamoxifen at E11.5 (mean+/−S.E.M.; n=4 (Ctrl: Gli1); n=6 (Ctrl: Shh); n=6 (CKO: Gli1); n=8 (CKO: Shh); ****, p<0.0001; unpaired t-test; see Supplementary file 3 for statistics). (H) qPCR for Lhx2 expression in Ctrl and CKO retinas at E13.5 following tamoxifen at E11.5 (mean+/−S.E.M.; n=4 (Ctrl); n=6 (CKO); ****, p<0.0001; unpaired t-test; see Supplementary file 3 for statistics). Abbreviations: D, dorsal; V, ventral; nr, neural retina; rpe, retinal pigment epithelium; l, lens; c, cornea; e, eyelid; hf, hair follicle; DCL, differentiated cell layer; NBL, neuroblast layer.

The online version of this article includes the following source data and figure supplement(s) for figure 1:

Source data 1. Western blot probed with Gli1 antibody and reprobed with gamma-Tubulin antibody.

Figure supplement 1. Immunohistology for Lhx2 CKO retina at E15.5 following tamoxifen treatment at E11.5.

of ligands (Dll1, Dll3), the Notch1 receptor, and downstream transcriptional effectors (Hes1, Hes5) (de Melo et al., 2016b). Thus, the multifunctional nature of Lhx2 is defined in part by its interactions with developmental signaling pathways, a feature found in other locations of the embryo including the limb where Lhx2 (and Lhx9) are part of the relay connecting FGF signaling from apical ectodermal ridge (AER) and Shh signaling from the zone of polarizing activity (ZPA) (Tzchari et al., 2009; Watson et al., 2018), and the hair follicle stem cell compartment where Lhx2 links Nuclear Factor-Kappa B (NF-kB) signaling to Transforming Growth Factor beta 2 (TGFb2) signaling (Tomann et al., 2016). The multifunctional nature of Lhx2 extends beyond signaling, however, and into multiple developmental processes (i.e. specification, patterning, stem cell/progenitor maintenance, differentiation) for multiple tissues and organ systems, a feature that is perhaps best attributed to its contextual and dynamic interactions with chromatin (Chou and Tole, 2019; Monahan et al., 2019; Ypsilanti et al., 2021; Zibetti et al., 2019). Thus, studying Lhx2 function in specific contexts has provided insights into how a single factor can act as a platform for promoting the execution of complex and varied developmental processes.

We previously reported that RPC-directed Lhx2 inactivation during embryonic stages of retinal neurogenesis in mice led to reduced proliferation, a failure to maintain the progenitor pool, and altered fated precursor cell production, most notably, the overproduction of RGCs (Gordon et al., 2013). These phenotypic features are similar to what occurs when Shh signaling is inhibited at the same developmental stage in the mouse retina or comparable stage in the chick retina (Wang et al., 2005; Zhang and Yang, 2001), which prompted us to investigate a potential connection between Lhx2 and Shh signaling.

Results

Lhx2 is required for Gli1, but not Shh, expression at the start of retinal neurogenesis

Gli1 is functionally dispensable in mice, but its expression depends on Shh signaling in many tissues including the retina (Bai et al., 2002; Furimsky and Wallace, 2006; Marigo et al., 1996; McNeill et al., 2012; Park et al., 2000; Sigulinsky et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2002). Because of its well-established role as a readout of pathway activity, we first asked if Gli1 expression was altered by the loss of Lhx2 function. To bypass the early requirements of Shh signaling in ventral optic vesicle patterning and Lhx2 in optic vesicle regionalization and optic cup morphogenesis (Fuhrmann, 2010), Lhx2 was inactivated in RPCs using the Hes1CreER driver by administering tamoxifen to timed pregnant dams at E11.5 (Figure 1B). This timing coincides with the onset of retinal neurogenesis and activation of Shh signaling in RPCs. Furthermore, we previously showed that tamoxifen treatment at E11.5 caused microphthalmia and retinal dysplasia that was accompanied by a widespread
reduction in RPCs by E18.5 (Gordon et al., 2013). Since Gli1 is reduced in RPCs, we chose earlier timepoints to examine its expression. At E15.5, eye size is reduced in the CKO (Figure 1—figure supplement 1A), but proliferating RPCs were still abundant as indicated by PCNA expression and EdU incorporation, and importantly, Lhx2 was no longer detected (Figure 1C). A decrease in the RPC marker Hes1 expression was observed, but DAPI staining and the expression patterns of the RPC marker Cyclin D1, RGC marker Pou4f2, and photoreceptor precursor marker Otx2, were all similar between control and CKO retinas, indicating that tissue organization was not yet severely disrupted (Figure 1—figure supplement 1B). Western blot analysis showed that Gli1 protein was markedly reduced, and in-situ hybridization revealed Gli1 mRNA expression was reduced across the retina (Figure 1E). The reduction in Gli1 expression is unlikely to be due to reduced Shh expression since Shh was expressed in the CKO in a similar manner as the Ctrl retina (Figure 1F). Given the low detectability of Shh and the qualitative nature of the in situ hybridization method, semi-quantitative RT-PCR (qPCR) for Gli1 and Shh was done at E14.5. Consistent with the in-situ expression patterns, Gli1 expression was reduced and Shh was largely unchanged in the CKO retina (Figure 1G). Reduced Lhx2 mRNA expression at E13.5 confirmed that the reduction in Gli1 was Lhx2-dependent (Figure 1H).

Lhx2 inactivation alters the expression of multiple Shh pathway components

The data above do not distinguish between direct regulation of Gli1 by Lhx2 or an indirect mechanism where Lhx2 regulates Shh pathway function. If the latter, we predicted that additional Shh pathway genes would also be altered in the CKO retina. Bulk RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) was done on retinas isolated from E15.5 embryos following tamoxifen treatment at E11.5 (Figure 2A). Approximately 12,300 genome mapped features (GMFs; i.e., protein coding genes, pseudogenes, lncRNAs) were identified and examined for differential expression using DESeq2 (Figure 2B; Supplementary file 1). Overall, 2210 differentially expressed features remained after applying a false discovery rate (FDR) cutoff of 0.001, with 2161 identified as protein coding genes, the next largest category being lncRNAs at 20, and 21 features with rare MGI biotypes such as several types of pseudogene variants. Of these 2210 features, collectively referred to as differentially expressed genes (DEGs), 1184 were downregulated in the CKO within the log2 transformed fold change (log2FC) interval from –5.69 to –0.19, and 1026 DEGs were upregulated within the log2FC interval from 0.17 to 7.69. These statistics are in line with Lhx2 being an essential developmental transcription factor.

qPCR was used to validate the RNA-seq data. In addition to Lhx2, the RPC expressed genes Vsx2, Ascl1, and Sox2 were downregulated whereas Pax6, Lin28b and Prtg remained unchanged in the RNA-seq data (Figure 2C). By qPCR, all genes showed similar trends in relative expression except for Lhx2, which showed a more pronounced reduction by qPCR (Figure 2D; Supplementary file 3). Because the qPCR primers and resulting amplicon are in the third exon, which is deleted in the CKO allele, the discrepancy between the RNA-seq and qPCR is consistent with persistent expression of the mutant transcript rather than incomplete recombination (Figure 2E). Overall, the qPCR data align well with the RNA-seq data, and the applied FDR cutoff serves as a reliable indicator of differential expression.

Visualization of the DESeq2 data by volcano plot revealed that none of the Shh pathway genes were among the most divergently expressed using strict cutoffs of log2FC >2.7 and FDR <0.001 (Figure 2F). However, Gli1 was the 8th ranked DEG by FDR and was the top ranked DEG in the Shh pathway. Based on these criteria, no additional Shh pathway genes emerged as candidate targets of Lhx2 inactivation, suggesting that Lhx2 could be regulating Gli1 independently of Shh signaling or that Lhx2 was exerting more subtle effects on Shh pathway gene expression that culminated in reduced Shh signaling. To assess this possibility in an unbiased manner, gene set overrepresentation analysis and activity state prediction (ASP) analyses were done for the 2210 DEGs using the Canonical Pathways tool (CP) in the Ingenuity Pathway Analysis package (IPA; see Materials and methods). 12 pathways were identified after applying cutoffs of 1.3 for significant overrepresentation and ASP scores of +2.5 or –2.5 for activated or inhibited signaling, respectively (Figure 3A; highlighted in Supplementary file 2). In general, activated pathways were associated with neuronal differentiation (orange bars) and inhibited pathways with cell cycle progression (blue bars), which is consistent with the previously reported CKO phenotype (Gordon et al., 2013). Shh signaling was identified as an inhibited
Figure 2. Gene expression changes due to Lhx2 inactivation. (A) Schematic of experimental design for RNA sequencing and qPCR. (B) Summary of DESeq2 analysis of RNA sequencing datasets. (C) DESeq2-derived statistics for progenitor genes with requirements during early retinal neurogenesis. Genes in gray box were within the 0.001 FDR cutoff for differential expression. Averaged counts per gene are shown in the last two columns. (D) Relative expression for progenitor genes at E14.5 by qPCR as a function of the fold change from the mean of control for each gene. Only significant comparisons are noted (mean+/−S.E.M.; *, p<0.05; ****, p<0.0001; n=4 (Ctrl: Vsx2, Ascl1, Lhx2); n=3 (Ctrl: Sox2, Pax6); n=6 (Ctrl: Lin28b, Prtg); n=6 (KO: Vsx2, Ascl1, Lhx2), n=4 (KO: Sox2, Pax6); n=8 (KO: Lin28b, Prtg); unpaired t-tests with multiple comparisons correction; see Supplementary file 3 for statistics). (E) Coverage plot showing the mutant transcript is expressed and detected by RNA sequencing, indicating that nonsense mediated decay of mutant transcript is not occurring. The lack of reads in exons 2 and 3 (red box) of the KO reveal the high degree of conditional deletion. Plots are the mean of counts for all samples. The qPCR amplicon located in the deleted exon 3, making the mutant transcript undetectable by qPCR with the Taqman probe used in the study. (F) Volcano plot showing selected Shh pathway genes (blue dots) relative to other DEGs. The most divergent DEGs gated on FDR (0.001) and absolute log2FC (2.7) cutoffs (red dashed lines) are highlighted (purple: mRNAs; red: IncRNA; gray: filtered out GMFs).
Figure 3. Lhx2 is required for the expression of multiple Hedgehog pathway genes. (A) Canonical Pathways (CP) analysis for DEGs with an FDR of 0.001 or smaller. Pathways that surpassed the significance cutoff of 1.3 (x-axis) and have an absolute ASP score of 2.5 or higher are shown. Orange bars predict pathway activation and blue bars predict pathway inhibition. Color intensity is directly correlated to ASP score (scores are listed in Supplementary file 2). The line indicates the number of DEGs found in each pathway as a fraction of pathway genes (DEG ratio; right y-axis). (B) KEGG pathways associated
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pathway (Figure 3A) with approximately 35% of the Shh pathway genes identified in the analyzed DEG set (orange line, right Y-axis).

To identify enriched pathways associated with Lhx2 chromatin binding, an Lhx2 ChIP-seq dataset from E14.5 wild type RPCs was analyzed with clusterProfiler against the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) (Yu et al., 2012; Zibetti et al., 2019; see Materials and methods). The Shh pathway (listed in KEGG as ‘Hedgehog signaling’) was identified as overrepresented (Figure 3B; Supplementary file 2) and was the only pathway identified by both CP and clusterProfiler with the cutoffs applied (compare Figure 3A and B). The Wnt/β-catenin and axon guidance pathways had stronger p-value scores than Shh signaling in both analyses, but their ASP scores failed to reach the cutoff (Supplementary file 2). This analysis does not exclude these or other pathways as candidates for Lhx2 regulation, but the data does support a functional link between Lhx2 and the Shh pathway that extends beyond direct regulation of Gli1 by Lhx2.

We next considered possible cause and effect relationships between the differentially expressed Shh pathway genes and signaling state. Multiple pathway genes qualified as DEGs (Figure 3C, gray box), and all but Dispatched 2 (Disp2) function at the level of the responding cell. At the receptor level, Cdon, Gas1, Ptc1, Ptc2, and Smo were reduced in the CKO. Lower expression of Gas1, Cdon, and Smo could negatively impact signaling, consistent with the overall reduction in Shh signaling in the CKO retina. On the other hand, reduced Ptc1 and Ptc2 expression should promote signaling, but since this was not the case, their decreased expression levels were likely due to reduced signaling, consistent with their expression levels serving as readouts of pathway activity.

At the intracellular level of the pathway, Gli2 expression was reduced in the CKO, but Gli3, a likely direct target of Lhx2 (Zibetti et al., 2019) was also reduced, potentially offsetting the drop in Gli2 (Furimsky and Wallace, 2006). Gli2 expression is not typically considered to be dependent on Shh signaling, but its expression was reduced by RPC-specific Smo inactivation (Sakagami et al., 2009). Of the two upregulated DEGs, only Gsk3b is predicted to inhibit signaling by promoting the processing of Gli3 protein into the repressor isoform (Figure 1A). Its inhibitory function, however, is normally overridden by ligand-based activation (Briscoe and Thérond, 2013). Thus, Gsk3b activity could contribute to reduced signaling in the CKO, but only if the pathway is disrupted upstream.

These observations suggest that the changes in expression of Gas1, Cdon, Gli3, and Gsk3b in the CKO retina are not dependent on reduced Shh signaling whereas the changes in Ptc1 and Ptc2 expression are likely to be due to reduced Shh signaling. It remains possible, however, that the reduced levels of Gli1, Gli2, and Smo in the CKO could be direct outcomes of Lhx2 inactivation, reduced Shh signaling, or a combination of the two. We reasoned that direct regulation by Lhx2 on target genes could be inferred from its chromatin binding profile and by changes in chromatin accessibility in Lhx2 CKO RPCs. To assess this, E14.5 RPC Lhx2 ChIP-seq data were integrated with ATAC-seq data from E14.5 control and Lhx2 CKO RPCs (see Methods) (Zibetti et al., 2019). Lhx2 binding was not associated with Gli1, Gli2, Gsk3b, Ptc2, or Smo (Figure 3D; Figure 3—figure supplement 1). However, differentially accessible chromatin regions (DARs) were associated with Gli1, Gli2, and Gsk3b suggesting indirect regulation by Lhx2 (Figure 3D; Figure 3—figure supplement 1). In contrast, Lhx2 binding was associated with Cdon, Gas1, Ptc1, and Gli3 (Figure 3E; Figure 3—figure supplement 1), and several ChIP-seq peaks aligned with DARs, suggesting direct regulation by Lhx2 for these genes (Figure 3E, F; Figure 3—figure supplement 1; Zibetti et al., 2019). DARs, but not Lhx2 binding, were associated with other Shh pathway genes, but their low DESeq2 rankings suggest the predicted changes in chromatin accessibility are not functionally relevant (Figure 3—figure supplement 2). In sum, the expression of multiple Shh pathway genes is altered following Lhx2
inactivation, with several likely to be directly dependent on Lhx2 and others more generally regulated by Shh signaling.

**Lhx2 does not regulate Shh bioavailability**

Shh signaling in the retina is an example of intra-lineage signaling, where the Shh-producing cells (RGCs) are the direct descendants of the responding cells (RPCs). This configuration, in effect, places Shh upstream and downstream of the pathway (Figure 4A). Although RGCs are overproduced in the CKO and the expression of Shh and other genes involved in Shh production did not reach the cutoff for confident DEG designation (Figure 3C), it stands that mRNA expression levels are insufficient for predicting Shh bioavailability, especially given the importance of posttranslational mechanisms in the modification, secretion, and presentation of Shh to responding cells (Briscoe and Thérond, 2013). Furthermore, Lhx2 loss of function could cause cryptic changes in extracellular factors that could negatively impact signaling. A more direct approach is to functionally test the bioavailability of endogenous Shh with minimal disruption to the extracellular environment. To do this, we adapted a biosynthetic system engineered to model gradient formation and signaling dynamics in Shh-responsive NIH3T3 cells (Li et al., 2018). In this reporter system, Ptch1 is expressed under the control of a stably integrated doxycycline-regulated expression cassette in a Ptch1 mutant background and signaling is reported by the expression of H2B-mCitrine under the control of 8 tandem GLI binding sites (Figure 4B). This configuration eliminates Ptch1-mediated negative feedback and expands the dynamic range of mCitrine reporter activity in these cells, referred to as open-loop cells. Important for our purposes, the open-loop cell line was designed to express Ptch1 at a low level without doxycycline treatment, preventing Shh-independent activation of the reporter (Li et al., 2018). Therefore, this system has the potential to directly identify deficiencies in the bioavailability of endogenous Shh.

To assess the suitability of this reporter system, open-loop cells were grown to confluence without doxycycline followed by addition of recombinant human Shh (N-terminal fragment, C24II variant; referred to as Shh-N) and monitored for mCitrine fluorescence (Figure 4—figure supplement 1A). mCitrine + cells were rarely observed without Shh-N addition (Figure 4—figure supplement 1, top row). In contrast, duration and dose dependent increases in mCitrine expression and the number of mCitrine + open loop cells were observed after Shh-N addition (Figure 4—figure supplement 1B, C), and confirmed by the accumulation of mCitrine + cells by the sum of the product of their areas and mean fluorescence intensities at 72 hr (Figure 4C; Supplementary file 4). Addition of the Shh-blocking IgG antibody, 5E1, to the culture medium suppressed mCitrine expression (Figure 4D), demonstrating a continued requirement for Shh-N in the open-loop cells even at the lowest levels of Ptch1 expression (i.e. without doxycycline treatment). Taken together, these data indicate that mCitrine expression in the open-loop cells is dependent on Shh-N in a dose-dependent manner.

We next tested the ability of retinal tissue to stimulate signaling in open-loop cells. Prior work showed that mCitrine + open loop cells extended several cell diameters away from cellular sources of Shh as long as cells remained confluent (Li et al., 2018). We therefore developed a coculture paradigm in which whole retinal tissue was flat mounted onto the underside of a transwell insert, placing the retina in direct contact with a confluent monolayer of open-loop cells (Figure 4E). We initially tested the apical and basal surfaces of the E18.5 wild type retina because RGCs, the Shh-producing cells, are located at the basal surface and Shh signaling extends across the central to peripheral axis of the retina by this age (Figure 4F; Sigulinsky et al., 2008). As anticipated, open-loop cells responded preferentially when in contact with the basal retinal surface (Figure 4G). Taken together, our observations show that this reporter system can be used to assess the bioavailability of endogenous Shh in the intact retina especially when the open-loop cells are in direct apposition to the basal retinal surface.

If reduced signaling was not due to impaired Shh activity or availability, then open-loop cells should respond similarly to CKO retinas compared to control. This response could be reflected in the number of mCitrine + cells, the expression levels of mCitrine, or in the kinetics of mCitrine accumulation. Tamoxifen was administered at E11.5, retinas were harvested at E15.5, placed onto confluent open-loop cells with the retinal basal surface in direct contact, and longitudinally imaged at 24 hr intervals by widefield epifluorescence (Figure 4H; single channel and bright field images for representative co-cultures are provided in Figure 4—figure supplement 2). mCitrine expression was not observed at the start of the culture period (Figure 4H, top row), but was readily apparent in open-loop cells cocultured with control (n=10) or CKO (n=13) retinas by 72 hr (Figure 4H, bottom row). mCitrine...
Figure 4. Evaluation of a live cell reporter system to test Shh bioavailability. (A) Intra-lineage architecture of Hh signaling at the start of retinal neurogenesis. RPCs initiate neurogenesis and begin generating RGCs, the Shh producing cells. RPCs are the responder cells, placing Shh upstream and downstream of RPCs. (B) Configuration of the open-loop circuit in the responder NIH3T3 Ptch1-null cell line (open-loop cells). Ptch1 is produced from a doxycycline regulated transgene. Shh binds Ptch1, activating intrinsic signaling as well as Gli-dependent expression of mCitrine fused to
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Histone H2b through 8-multimerized Gli1 binding sites. (C) Dose response at 72 hr as a function of the total accumulation of signal intensities and area coverage of mCitrine + open loop cells (mean +/- S.D.; n=4 per condition; ns, not significant; **, p<0.01; ****, p<0.0001; ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons; see Supplementary file 4 for statistics). (D) Addition of the Shh ligand-blocking monoclonal antibody, 5E1, diminishes mCitrine expression. (E) Design of coculture experiment. Freshly dissected embryonic retina is flat mounted to the underside of a transwell insert and placed into direct contact with a confluent monolayer of open-loop cells. mCitrine expression accumulates in open-loop cells that receive Shh from the retina. (F) Schematic cross-section of embryonic retina shows that the apical surface is comprised mainly of RPCs and developing photoreceptors (cones until ~E15.5, and a mix of rods and cones thereafter) and the basal surface is comprised of RGCs, the source of retinal SHH. Astrocytes and endothelial also reside on the basal surface (not shown). (G) E18.5 wild type retinas were cultured in opposite orientations such that the open-loop cells contacted the basal or apical surfaces of the retina. mCitrine expression was robustly induced in responder cells in close proximity to the basal surface but not the apical surface of the retina. (H) Cocultures for control (left), CKO (middle), and CKO incubated with SE1 antibody (right) at 3 and 72 hr. Retinal tissues are tdTomato positive (red) and mCitrine positive nuclei are green. (I) Lowess-smoothed histograms showing the accumulation and fluorescence intensity distributions of mCitrine + responder cells at each timepoint during the co-culture period. The histograms are for the cocultures shown in A. (J) Quantification of mCitrine + cells at 48, 72, and 96 hr. Comparisons were done within timepoints only and the significant differences are shown (mean +/- S.D.; n=4 per condition; ns, not significant; **, p<0.01; ****, p<0.0001; 2-way repeated measures ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons test; see Supplementary file 4 for statistics). (K) Quantification of the average mCitrine fluorescence intensities per cell at 48, 72, and 96 hr. Comparisons were done within timepoints only and the significant differences are shown (mean +/- S.D.; n=4 per condition; ns, not significant; **, p<0.01; ****, p<0.0001; 2-way repeated measures ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparisons test; see Supplementary file 4 for statistics).

The online version of this article includes the following figure supplement(s) for figure 4:

**Figure supplement 1.** Responder cells express mCitrine near the basal surface of the retina.

**Figure supplement 2.** Single channel images Single channel fluorescence and bright field images for the cocultures shown in Figure 5B.

expression was Shh dependent, revealed by the reduction in mCitrine + open loop cells when the 5E1 antibody was added to the culture medium (Figure 4H, n=2 explants). Using representative explants for each condition, the accumulation and fluorescence intensities of mCitrine + cells were plotted over time (Figure 4I). In general, the behavior of the open-loop cells exhibited similar temporal characteristics, but CKO explants supported the highest number of mCitrine + cells at 96 hr, and the accumulation of mCitrine + cells in the presence of 5E1 was notably reduced. Quantification of the total number of mCitrine + cells per explant area revealed that Ctrl and CKO explants promoted similar numbers of mCitrine + cells at 48 hr and 72 hr, but more mCitrine + cells were associated with the CKO retina at 96 hr (Figure 4J; Supplementary file 4). This higher number of mCitrine + cells could be due to a higher concentration or bioavailability of Shh in the CKO (see discussion). On a per sample (n) basis, the averages of the mean fluorescence intensities of the open-loop cells were generally similar between Ctrl and CKO explants, indicating similar levels of signaling were achieved (Figure 4K; Supplementary file 4). Subtle but significant differences in fluorescence intensities were observed with the 5E1 antibody (Figure 4K), but these differences could have been due to stochastic variation in Ptc1 expression in this small cohort of open-loop cells (Li et al., 2018). In sum, these data reveal that Shh bioavailability is not compromised in the CKO retina and point to a cell-autonomous role for Lhx2 in RPCs to promote Shh signaling during early retinal neurogenesis.

**Modulating Smoothened activity ex vivo stimulates Shh signaling in Lhx2-deficient RPCs**

We next asked if the reduced Shh signaling activity in the CKO retina was due to defective intracellular signal transduction. Smo is an obligate component of Shh signaling and functions at the interface of the extracellular and intracellular portions of the pathway (Figure 1A; Briscoe and Thérond, 2013). We reasoned that if the pathway was not functional at the level of Smo or downstream, attempts to stimulate signaling via Smo would fail. To address this, we utilized an ex vivo organotypic culture paradigm previously used to assess Shh signaling in postnatal day 0 (P0) RPCs (Sigulinsky et al., 2008). Here, explant cultures consisting of whole retina with the lens still attached were treated with purmorphamine, a small molecule agonist of Smo that bypasses Patched-mediated inhibition (Stanton and Peng, 2010; Figure 5A). To minimize the potential impact of the tissue phenotype on gene expression levels, the interval between tamoxifen treatment and the start of the culture was shortened to 3 days (tamoxifen at E11.5, tissue harvest at E14.5), which was still sufficient to observe reduced Gli1 and Smo expression in the CKO (Figure 1G; Supplementary file 3).
Figure 5. Pumorphamine and recombinant Shh-N stimulate signaling in Lhx2 CKO retinal explants. (A) Experimental design of ex vivo retina-lens explant cultures. (B–E) In situ hybridizations of Vsx2 and Gli1 expression after 24 hr in culture to test Smo agonist pumorphamine or recombinant Shh-N. (B) Gli1 expression declines in the absence of pumorphamine (vehicle) whereas Vsx2 is maintained. Gli1 expression is restored with pumorphamine. (C) Vsx2 declines due to Lhx2 inactivation. Gli1 is expressed in response to pumorphamine. (D) Gli1 expression declines in the absence of Shh-N (20 nM). (E) Gli1 expression is restored with Shh-N (20 nM). (F) Quantification of Gli1 fold change relative to Vsx2 by qPCR. Two-way ANOVA reveals significant main effects of Genotype (p < 0.0001) and Shh-N concentration ([Shh-N] = 0.0001), as well as a significant interaction (p = 0.0103).
After 24 hr in culture, Gli1 expression was markedly reduced in the vehicle-treated explants compared to explants treated with 10 μM purmorphamine (Figure 5B, right images). Since the RPC gene Vsx2 was still abundantly expressed (Figure 5B, left images), the drop in Gli1 expression in the untreated control explants was not due to RPC loss but instead to a specific reduction in Shh signaling. RGCGs exhibited enhanced apoptosis (Figure 5—figure supplement 1A, B), potentially reducing the availability of endogenous Shh (Wang et al., 2002). We therefore attribute the robust expression of Gli1 in the treated control explants to purmorphamine. Interestingly, Gli1 expression was activated in CKO explants treated with purmorphamine (Figure 5C). Since the initial level of Gli1 in the CKO explants was already reduced due to Lhx2 inactivation, this result indicates that pathway activation at the level of Smo can occur in the absence of Lhx2. We also treated retinal explants with 20 nM Shh-N and obtained similar results (Figure 5D and E). These observations support the idea that the Shh pathway downstream of Patched and Smo is functional in the absence of Lhx2.

To determine if CKO RPCs respond differently than control RPCs to Shh-N treatment, we assessed Gli1 expression by qPCR in a pilot dose response experiment with wild type E14.5 retinal explants cultured for 24 hr (Figure 5—figure supplement 1C). As expected, retinal explants cultured without Shh-N exhibited a strong reduction in Gli1 expression compared to its level at the start of the experiment (t=0), whereas 3 nM Shh-N was sufficient to maintain signaling, and 10 nM significantly enhanced signaling (Figure 5—figure supplement 1C; Supplementary file 5). Based on these observations, we tested the responsiveness of CKO and control explants at 0, 1, and 3 nM Shh-N (Figure 5F). With all expression data normalized to the level of Gli1 expression in control explants at t=0 (solid circle, upper dashed orange line), we made several observations (statistics are listed for all comparisons in Supplementary file 5). First, when compared to CKO explants at t=0 (closed triangle, lower dashed red line), Gli1 expression decreased in CKO explants cultured without Shh-N (0 nM Shh-N; open triangle), revealing that endogenous Shh promotes a low level of signaling in the CKO retina. Second, Gli1 expression dropped in control explants cultured without Shh-N (open circle, 0 nM Shh-N) to the same level as the CKO retina at t=0 (closed triangle, lower dashed red line). This is consistent with the low level of Gli1 expression in the vehicle treated control explants shown in Figure 5B and D. Third, CKO and control explants exhibited a similar response profile to Shh-N from 0 to 1 nM, but CKO explants exhibited a reduced response from 1 to 3 nM. Two-way ANOVA showed significant differences in both genotype and Shh-N concentrations as well as an interaction between both (Figure 5F; Supplementary file 5). These data indicate that endogenous Shh is promoting a low level of signaling in Lhx2-deficient RPCs, that Lhx2-deficient RPCs can respond to recombinant Shh-N at more physiologically relevant concentrations, but their response is still attenuated compared to Lhx2-expressing RPCs.

**Ptc1 inactivation stimulates Shh signaling in the Lhx2-deficient retina but fails to restore retinal development**

We next tested if Shh signaling could be stimulated in Lhx2 CKO RPCs by the simultaneous removal of Ptc1 function. Conditional Ptc1 inactivation in the embryonic limb caused increased and ectopic Shh signaling as revealed by elevated readout expression and Shh gain of function phenotypes (Butterfield et al., 2009). Like purmorphamine, Ptc1 inactivation exposes the activity of endogenous Smo in a Shh-independent manner and provides a cell autonomous, in vivo test of signaling competence at
the level of Smo in Lhx2-deficient RPCs. It also allowed us to directly test whether Ptc1 is restricting signaling in the Lhx2 KO retina and, if so, whether its inactivation improves retinal development. Ptc1 KO, Lhx2 KO, and Ptc1, Lhx2 double KO (dCKO) retinas were generated with the Hes1-CreER driver (Figure 6—figure supplement 1A). Retinas were harvested at E15.5 from embryos treated with tamoxifen at E10.75 and E11.5, and recombination of the Ptc1flox allele was assessed by RT-PCR with primers that amplify both the intact floxed and deleted transcripts (Butterfield et al., 2009; Figure 6—figure supplement 1B, C). Non-recombined transcript was detected but its relative abundance was low compared to the deleted transcript. Therefore, all samples were used to measure the relative expression levels of Gli1, Ptc1, Ptc2, and Hhip by qPCR (Figure 6A). By one-way ANOVA, the main effect of genotype on all four genes was highly significant (p<0.0001), and for each gene, at least four of the six pairwise genotype comparisons showed significant differences in expression (Supplementary file 3). Of those, Hhip and Ptc1 expression were increased in the Ptc1 CKO compared to control, indicating that Ptc1 inactivation on its own stimulated Shh signaling. Gli1 and Ptc2 expression were decreased in the Lhx2 CKO, consistent with their high DEG rank in the RNA sequencing data. Importantly, the expression levels of all four genes were significantly higher in the dCKO compared to the Lhx2 CKO, with Ptc1, Hhip, and Ptc2 surpassing control levels (Figure 6A). These data provide in vivo evidence that Lhx2-deficient RPCs retain the competence to signal at the level of Smo, and that Ptc1 is inhibiting signaling in the absence of Lhx2.

Despite the evidence for increased Shh signaling, the histogenesis defects due to Lhx2 inactivation did not improve with Ptc1 inactivation (Figure 6B). DAPI staining revealed persistent disrupted retinal organization. PCNA staining and EdU incorporation revealed a persistent deficit of RPCs, and the disorganized distribution of Pou4f2+RGC and Otx2 + photoreceptor precursors were consistent with disrupted tissue cytoarchitecture. The failure of Ptc1 inactivation to alleviate, even partially, the Lhx2 CKO phenotype suggested that Lhx2 also acts downstream of Shh signaling. To assess this further, we examined the expression of Cyclin D1 and Hes1, which are both highly ranked DEGs in the Lhx2 CKO phenotype suggested that Lhx2 also acts downstream of Shh signaling. To assess this further, we examined the expression of Cyclin D1 and Hes1, which are both highly ranked DEGs in the Lhx2 CKO, consistent with their high DEG rank in the RNA sequencing data. Importantly, the expression levels of all four genes were significantly higher in the dCKO compared to the Lhx2 CKO, with Ptc1, Hhip, and Ptc2 surpassing control levels (Figure 6A). These data provide in vivo evidence that Lhx2-deficient RPCs retain the competence to signal at the level of Smo, and that Ptc1 is inhibiting signaling in the absence of Lhx2.

Gas1 and Cdon mediate Lhx2-dependent activation of Shh signaling

While our data show that Lhx2 influences the expression of multiple Shh pathway genes, measurable increases in pathway activity were still achieved in the Lhx2 CKO with Shh-N or purmorphamine treatment in vitro, and Ptc1 inactivation in vivo. These findings indicate that Lhx2 inactivation did not cause an insurmountable block in the intracellular portion of the pathway and raises the possibility that an additional level of the pathway is dependent on Lhx2. Since reduced Shh availability was effectively ruled out, this leaves receptivity to Shh, possibly at the level of co-receptor function. Interestingly, the Shh co-receptors Boc, Cdon, Gas1, and Lrp2 are expressed in the embryonic retina, but Boc and Lrp2 are unlikely candidates because their ranks for differential expression in the RNA-seq dataset were far below the cutoff (10530 and 11268, respectively) and their genetic inactivation largely spares early retinal neurogenesis (Cases et al., 2015; Fabre et al., 2010). On the other hand, both Gas1 and Cdon qualified as DEGs with DESeq2 ranks of 117 and 1248, respectively, and the ChiP- and ATAC-seq data support direct gene regulation by Lhx2 (Figure 3D and E). In situ hybridization and qPCR confirmed their downregulation in the E15.5 Lhx2 CKO retina following tamoxifen treatment at E11.5 (Figure 7—figure supplement 1A, Supplementary file 3), but their expression at E15.5 in the control retina was limited to the retinal periphery (Figure 7—figure supplement 1A, insets). This is not unexpected since Gas1 and Cdon are negatively regulated by Shh signaling in other tissues (Allen et al., 2007; Tenzen et al., 2006), but their restricted expression at E15.5 made it difficult to determine the extent of their dependence on Lhx2 in the retina. We therefore examined
Figure 6. Hh signaling is enhanced in Lhx2-deficient RPCs by Ptch1 inactivation in vivo. (A) Relative expression of Gli1, Ptch1, Hhip, and Ptch2 at E15.5 following tamoxifen treatment at E11.5 in retinas with the following genotypes: control, Ptch1 CKO, Lhx2 CKO and Lhx2; Ptch1 double CKO (dCKO). See Figure 6—figure supplement 1A for breeding scheme and genotypes assigned to control. For each gene, fold change values are relative to a specific control sample set as a reference. Shown are the comparisons for the three mutant genotypes compared to control and for the dCKO compared to the control. 

Figure 6 continued on next page
their expression patterns from E11.5 – E13.5, the interval encompassing pathway activation. By both immunohistology (Figure 7A) and in situ hybridization (Figure 7—figure supplement 1B), Gas1 was more restricted to the peripheral retina, but Cdon was broadly expressed at E11.5, resolving to the peripheral retina by E13.5. Cdon expression decreased in a complementary manner to Gli1 upregulation as revealed by Gli1 mRNA (Figure 7—figure supplement 1B) and by b-Galactosidase (b-Gal) reporter expression from the Gli1lacZ allele (Figure 7A). However, Cdon downregulation appeared to be ahead of the central to peripheral wave of Gli1 expression and had a closer complementarity with Atoh7 (Figure 7—figure supplement 1B), a neurogenic bHLH gene transiently expressed in RPCs that functions as an RGC competence factor (Brown et al., 2001; Brzezinski et al., 2012; Prasov and Glaser, 2012). Whether this reflects a novel mode of Cdon regulation is unclear, but the complementarity with Cdon and Gli1 expression is consistent with downregulation upon pathway activation.

We next examined Gas1 and Cdon expression in the CKO by in situ hybridization at E12.5 (Figure 7—figure supplement 1C) and immunohistology at E13.5 (Figure 7B) following tamoxifen treatment at E10.5 and E11.5, respectively. At E12.5, the Lhx2 target Vsx2 was downregulated as were Gas1 and Cdon, consistent with Lhx2 promoting their expression, but it was too early to assess effects on Gli1 since it was not yet detected in the control retina (Figure 7—figure supplement 1C). At E13.5, Gas1 and Cdon proteins were downregulated, and b-Gal expression from the Gli1lacZ allele was not detected in the CKO (Figure 7B). These observations support the idea that Lhx2 promotes the expression of Cdon and Gas1 to confer signaling competence to RPCs. Interestingly, Cdon mRNA and protein expression persisted to some extent in the dorsal CKO retina at both ages (Figure 7B; Figure 7—figure supplement 1C). Whether this reflects mechanistic differences in Shh signaling or differences in how Lhx2 regulates Shh signaling across the retina is unclear.

To determine if Gas1 and Cdon were sufficient to restore signaling, we overexpressed Gas1 and Cdon and assessed their effects on b-Gal reporter expression from the Gli1 locus (Figure 8). Lhx2 CKO; Gli1lacZ explants were electroporated with pCIG or pCIG-Gas1 and pCIG-Cdon at the start of the culture followed by addition of 3 nM Shh-N at 24 hr and cultured for an additional 24 hr (Figure 8A and B). Since electroporation is not cell type specific, 1 μM EdU was also added to label proliferating RPCs. Cdon and Gas1 were not detected in CKO explants transfected with pCIG alone (Figure 8C, upper panels; but were readily detected in explants co-transfected with pCIG-Gas1 and pCIG-Cdon (Figure 8C, lower panels; Figure 8—figure supplement 1 contains single channel images for all panels). Triple labeling for GFP (green), EdU (blue), and b-Gal (red) revealed a significant increase in b-Gal + reporter cells in explants co-transfected with pCIG-Gas1 and pCIG-Cdon compared to explants transfected with pCIG only (Figure 8D and E; Supplementary file 6). The increase in b-Gal + cells is not likely to be due to escaper cells (i.e. Lhx2 + cells) since pCIG transfected cells were rarely positive for Lhx2 and b-Gal in CKO explants compared to control explants (Figure 8F; Figure 8—figure supplement 1E). These data support the hypothesis that Lhx2 confers signaling competence in RPCs through promoting Cdon and/or Gas1 expression.

Lhx2 promotes Shh signaling after Gas1 and Cdon downregulation

Although re-expressing Cdon and Gas1 increased expression from the Gli1 locus in the absence of Lhx2, it remains that reaching or maintaining the appropriate level of signaling could still depend
on regulation of other Shh pathway genes by Lhx2. Since Cdon and Gas1 are downregulated by E13.5, inactivating Lhx2 after E13.5 provided an opportunity to test this. Tamoxifen was administered at E14.5 and retinas collected at E17.5 to assess changes in relative gene expression of Gli1, Gli2, Ptch2, and Smo by qPCR (Figure 9A; Supplementary file 3). Lhx2 downregulation was highly efficient and accompanied by the predicted drop in Vsx2. Interestingly, Gli1, Gli2, Ptch2, and Smo were also reduced in the CKO retina. These changes were not due to developmental disruptions caused by Lhx2 inactivation because early retinal neurogenesis is largely spared with tamoxifen treatment by E13.5 (Gordon et al., 2013). Furthermore, the reductions in Gli2, Ptch2, and Smo are not strictly due to reduced Gli1 activity because their expression levels were not significantly altered in the Gli1

Figure 7. Cdon and Gas1 expression are dependent on Lhx2 prior to their downregulation at the start of Shh signaling. (A) Temporal expression patterns of Gas1, Cdon, and β-Gal at E11.5, E12.5, and E13.5 in Gli1lox/lox mice. Cdon and β-Gal were detected on the same tissue sections, Gas1 on adjacent sections (also in B). (B) Expression of Gas1, Cdon, and β-Gal in Ctrl and Lhx2 CKO; Gli1lox/lox eyes at E13.5 following tamoxifen treatment at E11.5.
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Figure supplement 1. in situ hybridizations.

Figure 8. Cdon and Gas1 overexpression is sufficient to stimulate Shh signaling in the absence of Lhx2.

(A) Experimental design for ex vivo electroporation and explant culture. Lhx2 CKO; Gli1\(^{LacZ/2}\) explants were electroporated at the beginning of the culture. 3 nM Shh-N and 1 µM EdU were added after 24 hr and cultured for an additional 24 hr. (B) DNA constructs used for electroporation. pCIG served as the control and pCIG-Cdon and pCIG-Gas1 were co-electroporated. (C) Upper panels: explants were electroporated with pCIG and co-stained for GFP and Cdon (left panel) or Gas1 (right panel). Lower panels: explants were co-electroporated with pCIG-Cdon and pCIG-Gas1 and co-stained for GFP and Cdon (left panel) or Gas1 (right panel). (D) Electroporated explants were co-stained for GFP, β-Gal, and EdU. Insets (i and ii) show GFP and β-Gal staining only. (E) Quantification of the percentage β-Gal+ cells in the EdU+, GFP+ cell populations from GFP (control) and Cdon/Gas1 electroporated Lhx2 CKO; Gli1\(^{LacZ/2}\) explants (mean±/S.E.M.; n=3, both conditions; *, p<0.05; unpaired t-test). (F) Quantification of the percentage of Lhx2+, β-Gal+ cells in the GFP+ cell populations from control (Lhx2\(^{Δ/+}\); Gli1\(^{LacZ/2}\)) and Lhx2 CKO; Gli1\(^{LacZ/2}\) explants electroporated with pCIG (mean+/S.D.; n=7 (Ctrl); n=4 (CKO); *, p<0.0001; unpaired t-test) See Supplementary file 6 for statistics. Single channel images for C and D and representative images for Ctrl (Lhx2\(^{Δ/+}\); Gli1\(^{LacZ/2}\)) and Lhx2 CKO; Gli1\(^{LacZ/2}\) explants used for quantification in F are presented in Figure 8—figure supplement 1.
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Figure supplement 1. Single channel images for Figure 8C and D.
KO retina at E15.5 (Figure 9B; Supplementary file 3). From this, we conclude that Lhx2 promotes Shh signaling at more than one point in the pathway with a measurable influence on signaling that is independent of Cdon and Gas1.

**Discussion**

**Lhx2 is a multilevel modulator of the Shh pathway**

Lhx2 and Shh signaling are essential regulators of vertebrate retinal development that function at multiple stages. Here, we present evidence supporting a model in which Lhx2 promotes the expression of multiple genes in the Shh pathway that allows for the timely activation and sustained levels of signaling during early retinal neurogenesis by conferring signaling competence to RPCs (Figure 10A). Directly supporting this role for Lhx2, we show that endogenous Shh was functional and available in the Lhx2-deficient retina. Rather, Lhx2 supports ligand reception by what is likely direct regulation of expression of the co-receptors Cdon and Gas1 and efficient signaling by promoting Smo and Gli2 expression, possibly through indirect mechanisms (Figure 10B). As revealed by Shh-N treatment or Ptch1 inactivation in the Lhx2-deficient retina, Gli1 expression remains Shh-dependent, but in the specific context of the Lhx2-deficient retina, the reduced expression of Gli1 could combine with reductions in Gli2 and Smo to negatively impact sustained Shh signaling. Since Lhx2 regulates a broad repertoire of RPC genes at the epigenetic and transcriptional level (Gueta et al., 2016; Yun et al.,...
Lhx2 could also link Shh signaling to its downstream transcriptional targets as suggested by the failure of Ptch1 inactivation to alleviate, even partially, the phenotypic consequences of Lhx2 inactivation on early retinal neurogenesis. Based on the sum of our observations, we propose that Lhx2, in addition to promoting Shh signaling in RPCs, integrates the pathway into the program of early retinal neurogenesis.

A definitive link between Lhx2 and Shh signaling was established in the developing limb, where Lhx2 (redundantly with Lhx9 in mice) is required for Shh signaling during limb patterning and outgrowth (Tzchori et al., 2009; Watson et al., 2018). However, in the mouse limb, Lhx2 and Lhx9 (Lhx2 only in chick) regulate the expression of Shh in the ZPA rather than the competence of the limb bud mesenchyme to respond (Tzchori et al., 2009; Watson et al., 2018). This is different from what is reported here, and further emphasizes how a multifunctional regulator such as Lhx2 can influence developmental mechanisms (i.e. signaling) in a contextually specific manner.

**Lhx2 promotes Shh pathway activation by promoting Cdon and Gas1 expression**

Our data indicate that Lhx2 interacts with the Shh pathway in a complex manner, but the links to Cdon and Gas1 are likely to transmit the largest impact on pathway activation. These linkages are revealed...
by the rapid loss of Cdon and Gas1 expression after Lhx2 inactivation and by ChIP-seq data showing Lhx2 binding at the Cdon and Gas1 loci. Upstream of Cdon and Gas1 in the Shh pathway, we show that Shh availability was intact, and immediately downstream, purmorphamine treatment and Ptch1 inactivation stimulated signaling in the Lhx2-deficient retina, as did Cdon and Gas1 overexpression. These observations all point to a role for Lhx2 in ligand reception, a function fulfilled by Shh co-receptors such as Cdon and Gas1.

This seemingly straightforward requirement for Cdon and Gas1 in Lhx2-mediated Shh signaling contrasts with other identified roles for the co-receptors in early eye and retinal development. Prior to the onset of retinal neurogenesis, Cdon is expressed in the optic vesicle and functions in a manner consistent with both promoting and inhibiting Shh signaling (Gallardo and Bovolenta, 2018). A positive role for Cdon is revealed in Cdon KO mice, which exhibit a range of phenotypes consistent with absent or impaired Shh signaling, including holoprosencephaly (HPE) and Septo Optic Dysplasia (SOD) (Ba et al., 2011; Cavodeassi et al., 2019; Kahn et al., 2017; Zhao et al., 2012). While these early and severe phenotypes would normally preclude an assessment of its later role in RPCs, retinal development occurs in Cdon mutant mice that evade the HPE and SOD phenotypes (Zhang et al., 2009). In this context, the Cdon mutant phenotypes align well with retinal-specific Shh loss of function mutants, and similar to what we show here for Lhx2 inactivation, Cdon-deficient RPCs fail to express Gli1 even though Shh is still expressed (Kahn et al., 2017). Inhibitory effects of Cdon on Shh signaling was revealed in chick and zebrafish optic vesicles, where it limits the range of Shh signaling through ligand sequestration in signaling-incompetent optic neuroepithelial cells (Cardozo et al., 2014). An inhibitory role for another co-receptor, Lrp2, occurs in the nascent ciliary epithelium, where it is proposed to mediate endocytic clearance of Shh and prevent binding to Ptch1 protein (Christ et al., 2015). The nascent ciliary epithelium arises from the peripheral edge of the retinal neuroepithelium, the same location where Gas1 and Cdon were downregulated at E15.5 following Lhx2 inactivation at E11.5 (Figure 7—figure supplement 1A). Since Shh signaling is ectopically activated in this domain in Lrp2 mutant mice (Christ et al., 2015), a primary role for Lrp2 in the developing ciliary epithelium could be to prevent Cdon and Gas1 from activating the pathway. Based on the sum of these findings, we propose that Lhx2 sets up the signaling competence of RPCs by promoting Cdon expression throughout the retina and Gas1 expression in the peripheral retina. This is countered by Lrp2 in the far retinal periphery, where suppression of Shh signaling is required for ciliary epithelium development.

How Gas1 relates to Shh signaling in early eye development is less clear. During optic vesicle patterning, Gas1 is expressed in the nascent retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) and in Gas1 KO mice, the predominant phenotype is an RPE to neural retina transformation in the ventral optic cup (Lee et al., 2001). This does not phenocopy the effects of directly disrupting Shh signaling, where inhibition reduces the proximal and ventral domains of the optic vesicle, and overactivation expands them (Amato et al., 2004; Cavodeassi et al., 2019; Kim and Lemke, 2006). The most similar outcomes were observed in temporally controlled cyclopamine treatments in Xenopus embryos, where ventral RPE differentiation was disrupted, but a retinal fate transformation was not reported (Perron et al., 2003). It therefore remains unclear if the requirement for Gas1 during early eye and retinal development is related to Shh signaling beyond what we propose here. Direct analysis of the requirements for Gas1 in RPCs through conditional inactivation could help to clarify this.

**Lhx2 deficiency reveals potential differences in RPC receptivity to endogenous and recombinant Shh-N**

Given the importance of the co-receptors in pathway activation, the upregulation of Gli1 in the Lhx2 CKO explants treated with recombinant Shh-N was unexpected (Figure 5). One possibility is residual co-receptor activity after Lhx2 inactivation. Indeed, Cdon (mRNA and protein) and Gli1 mRNA expression persisted in the dorsal retina for a few days after tamoxifen treatment, albeit in disrupted (Cdon) and diminished (Gli1) patterns (Figure 7B—Figure 7—figure supplement 1A, C). However, 20 nM Shh-N treatment induced Gli1 across the CKO retina (Figure 5E) and although we did not track the axial orientation of the explants, this continuous pattern was consistently observed, making it unlikely that we were only sampling dorsal retina.

Another possibility is that recombinant Shh-N bypassed the requirement for co-receptors in pathway activation. Supporting this, Ptch1 and Shh-N can form ternary complexes (Ptch1:Shh-N:Ptch1) that are capable of promoting signaling although with lower efficiency compared to complexes containing...
coreceptors (i.e. Cdon:Shh-N:Ptch1) (Beachy et al., 2010; Qi et al., 2019; Qi and Li, 2020; Qi et al., 2018). Another consideration is that endogenous Shh is post-translationally lipidated with cholesterol and palmitoyl moieties, key adducts for efficient signaling (Manikowski et al., 2018). Following secretion by Shh-producing cells, endogenous Shh is kept soluble while in transit to responding cells by forming a ‘lipid-shielding’ complex with SCUBE proteins (Tukachinsky et al., 2012). The Shh:Scube2 complex initially forms a ternary complex with Cdon or Boc on the responding cell. The Shh:Scube2 complex is then transferred to Gas1, which releases Shh from Scube2 and allows Ptc1 to bind Shh to initiate signaling (Wierbowski et al., 2020). In contrast, the recombinant Shh-N used here is not lipid modified and is soluble in its native form. It can directly bind Ptc1, obviating the need for Gas1 to disengage Shh-N from a complex with Scube. In the context of the Lhx2 CKO retina, then, endogenous Shh could have been rendered less efficient than recombinant Shh-N because of the differential requirement for the co-receptors to receive endogenous Shh and remove Scube.

**Lhx2 regulates multiple pathway components to achieve the optimal level of signaling**

Since Cdon and Gas1 are downregulated in the retina by E14.5 but Shh signaling persists, Lhx2 regulation of their expression is only relevant for pathway activation. However, Lhx2 inactivation at E14.5 also reduced pathway activity. It’s possible that Lhx2 regulates another co-receptor such as Lrp2 to sustain Shh signaling (Figure 10B) but this is unlikely since the Lrp2 CKO retina does not have a phenotype at this stage (Cases et al., 2015). Rather, we propose that the role for Lhx2 in sustained signaling is co-receptor independent and through regulation of other cell intrinsic pathway components. Our data does not support the existence of a single, essential pathway component that is under strong Lhx2 regulation, but instead suggests that Lhx2 exerts a more subtle regulation of multiple pathway components (Figure 10B), and we identified Smo, Gli2, and Gli1 as candidate Lhx2-dependent genes. Genetic reductions in Smo or Gli2 on their own do not exhibit haploinsufficiency (Mo et al., 1997; Sakagami et al., 2009), but their combined reduced expression could result in pathway sensitization that if strong enough, could cause a synthetic haploinsufficiency. Supporting this, Gli2 heterozygous mice are phenotypically normal, but exhibit greater teratogenic sensitivity to vesmodegib, a small molecule Smo inhibitor, as compared to their wild type littermates (Heyne et al., 2016). If the reductions in Smo and Gli2 expression were still not sufficient to reduce signaling, additional changes in other pathway components such as Gli1 could have shifted the balance. Although we did not uncover evidence of Lhx2 binding in or near the Gli1 locus, it is possible that Lhx2 exerts some control over Gli1 expression (Figure 10B). Importantly, an interaction of this nature would have to be context specific since Gli1 inactivation, on its own, has minimal effect on development and expression of Shh target genes (Figure 9B; Bai et al., 2002; Furimsky and Wallace, 2006; McNeill et al., 2012; Park et al., 2000; Wall et al., 2009). Thus, subtle, or partial regulation of multiple pathway genes by Lhx2 could confer optimal levels of signaling, first during activation in conjunction with strong regulatory input to the coreceptors, and during sustained signaling, after co-receptor downregulation. This could be especially important in the retina where Shh expression is comparatively lower than in other tissues but exhibits a qualitatively similar level of Gli1 expression (Sigulinsky et al., 2021). Related to this, it was interesting that there were more mCitrine + open loop cells in cocultures with Lhx2 CKO explants than control after 96 hr (Figure 4J) indicative of an increase in ligand-mediated signaling. This difference could reflect an increase in ligand availability in the Lhx2 CKO explants secondary to a reduction in co-receptor-dependent ligand internalization in the Lhx2-deficient RPCs or increased RGC production. It is also possible that the physical interface between the CKO retina and open-loop cells was more permissive to Shh signaling.

In sum, we propose that Lhx2 regulates the expression of multiple pathway components required for optimal Shh signaling in RPCs, both during and after pathway activation. Although Lhx2 does not regulate Shh availability at a functional level, it does limit the production of RGCs (Gordon et al., 2013). Because RGCs are the primary source of retinal Shh, Lhx2 acts in an indirect but semi-autonomous manner to limit Shh expression in the retina. Furthermore, since Lhx2 is an essential RPC transcription factor, it also likely acts to link Shh signaling to downstream targets as suggested by the persistent phenotypic severity of the Ptc1, Lhx2 dCKO retina. Through this molecular and cellular circuitry, Shh signaling is tailored by Lhx2 to meet the demands of early retina formation.
### Materials and methods

#### Key resources table

| Reagent type (species) or resource | Designation | Source or reference | Identifiers | Additional information |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------|------------------------|
| Gene (Mus musculus) | Lhx2 | GenBank | Gene ID:16870 |            |
| Genetic reagent (Mus musculus) | Lhx2\textsuperscript{flox} | in lab >5 yr; from Ed Monuki, University of California, Irvine | MGI:3772179 |            |
| Genetic reagent (Mus musculus) | Lhx2 KO | in lab >5 yr; from Heiner Westphal, National Institutes of Health | MGI:1890208 |            |
| Genetic reagent (Mus musculus) | Lhx2 CKO | experimentally generated; not maintained | This paper |            |
| Genetic reagent (Mus musculus) | Hes1\textsuperscript{CreERT2} | in lab >5 yr; from Charles Murtaugh, University of Utah | MGI:4412375 |            |
| Genetic reagent (Mus musculus) | Gli1\textsuperscript{lox} | Jax stock 8211 | MGI:2449767 |            |
| Genetic reagent (Mus musculus) | Ptch1\textsuperscript{flox} | from Michael Lewis, Baylor College of Medicine | MGI:2675356 |            |
| Genetic reagent (Mus musculus) | Ptch1 CKO | experimentally generated; not maintained | This paper |            |
| Genetic reagent (Mus musculus) | Rosa26\textsuperscript{flox} | Jax stock 7914 | MGI:3809524 |            |
| Genetic reagent (Mus musculus) | Rosa26\textsuperscript{TmG} | present in Ptch1\textsuperscript{flox} strain | MGI:3716464 |            |
| Cell line (Mus musculus) | NIH 3T3 open-loop responder cells | Pulin Li, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Li et al., 2018 | | |
| Antibody | Anti-Caspase 3 (Rabbit polyclonal) | BD Biosciences | PPID:AB_397274 | IF (1:500) |
| Antibody | Anti-Pou4F (Goat polyclonal) | Santa Cruz | RRID:AB_673441 | IF (1:500) |
| Antibody | Anti-Otx2 (Goat polyclonal) | Gene Tex | RRID:AB_2157172 | IF (1:600) |
| Antibody | Anti-PCNA (Mouse monoclonal) | Santa Cruz | RRID:AB_628110 | IF (1:500) |
| Antibody | Anti-Cyclin D1 (Rabbit polyclonal) | Abcam | RRID:AB_443423 | IF (1:400) |
| Antibody | Anti-b-Gal (Rabbit polyclonal) | Cappel | RRID:AB_2313707 | IF (1:5,000) |
| Antibody | Anti-Cdon (Goat polyclonal) | R&D Systems | RRID:AB_2078891 | IF (1:600) |
| Antibody | Anti-Gas1 (Goat polyclonal) | R&D Systems | RRID:AB_2107951 | IF (1:300) |
| Antibody | Anti-GFP (Chicken polyclonal) | Aves Labs | RRID:AB_10000240 | IF (1:2,000) |
| Antibody | Anti-Shh (Rat monoclonal) | DHSB | 5E1, RRID:AB_528466 | Blocking antibody (15 nM) |
| Antibody | Anti-Gli1 (Mouse monoclonal) | Cell Signalling Technologies | RRID:AB_2294746 | Western blot (1:3000) |
| Recombinant DNA reagent | pCIG-GFP | Guoqiang Gu, Vanderbilt University; Megason and McMahon, 2002 | | Electroporation (3 µg/µl) |
| Recombinant DNA reagent | pCIG-Cdon | Ben Allen, University of Michigan; Allen et al., 2007 | | Electroporation (3 µg/µl) |
| Recombinant DNA reagent | pCIG-Gas1 | Ben Allen, University of Michigan; Allen et al., 2007 | | Electroporation (3 µg/µl) |
| Sequence-based reagent | Oligonucleotides | Supplementary file 7 | | |

Continued on next page
Animals

All procedures and experiments involving animals were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees at the University of Utah (protocol #11–10010) and Vanderbilt University (protocol M1500036) and set forth in the Association for Research in Vision and Ophthalmology (ARVO) Statement for the Use of Animals.

Single night matings were set up in the late afternoon and females were checked for plugs the next morning. Embryonic age determinations were based on plug date with noon designated as E0.5, by weight determinations, and by morphological criteria (Thelier, 2013). Pregnant dams were euthanized with a Euthanex EP-1305 CO2 delivery system according to manufacturer’s instructions and AALAC guidelines. Uteri were removed and embryos retrieved in Hanks Buffered Saline Solution (HBSS) supplemented with 20 mM HEPES and 6 mg/ml glucose at room temperature. The embryos were removed from the placenta and extraembryonic membranes, rapidly euthanized by decapitation with surgical scissors. Embryonic tissue was collected for PCR genotyping and whole heads, or dissected eyes were processed as needed for each analysis (see below).

Genetics and breedings

All alleles used in this study were generated previously and are listed in Key Resources Table. Hes1CreERT2, Lhx2lox/lox/; Rosa26ei14 strains are described in Gordon et al., 2013. The combinatorial strains needed for experiments utilizing the Ptch1^lox and Gli1lacz alleles were produced through successive rounds of strategic breeding beginning with crosses to Hes1CreERT2; Lhx2+/+ and/or Lhx2lox/lox; Rosa26ei14/ai14 mice. To generate embryos for analysis, all breedings were done in which the male carried the Hes1CreERT2 allele in a heterozygous state. Embryos that underwent Cre recombination were selected at time of dissection by detection of tdTomato or eGFP using an epi-fluorescence stereomicroscope. When possible, CKO and control embryos were segregated by the presence or absence of microphthalmia, respectively. All genotypes were verified by PCR. Primer sequences are listed in +/-. Deletion of Ptch1 exon 3 was confirmed by an established RT-PCR protocol (Butterfield et al., 2009) using the same retinal RNA preparations used for qPCR. All PCR conditions are available on request.

Tamoxifen treatments

Tamoxifen (Sigma T5648) was dissolved in corn oil (Sigma C8267) and administered by oral gavage to pregnant dams at dosages ranging between 0.15–0.2 mg per gram body weight. For Ptch1 CKO and dCKO matings, two doses of 0.1 mg per gram body weight were administered 24 hr apart. Treatment times are noted in the text for each experiment.
Cell lines

NIH3T3 (ATCC:CRL-1658; mycoplasma negative) was the parental cell line for the open-loop responder cell line used here. The generation of the open-loop responder line was previously described (Li et al., 2018). The open-loop responder cell line was validated for the current study by its response to recombinant Shh-N in a dose response experiment (Figure 4, Figure 4—figure supplement 1).

Western blots

Western blots were done as described in Ringuette et al., 2016 with the following modifications. For E15.5 samples, 10 retinas (5 embryos) per genotype were pooled and 6 retinas (3 pups) were pooled for P0 samples. A total of 50 μg protein was loaded per lane. Primary antibodies used were mouse anti-Gli1 (1:3000, Cell Signaling Technologies) and mouse anti-γ-Tubulin (1:1000, Sigma, Cat#T6557), the latter serving as the loading control. Detection was done with donkey anti-mouse IgG horseradish peroxidase at 1:5000 (1:5000, Millipore Cat#AP308P) and Luminata Crescendo Western HRP substrate (Millipore Cat#WBLUR0100). Blots were probed for Gli1 first, stripped, and reprobed for γ-Tubulin.

Immunohistology and in situ hybridization

Embryo heads, eyes, or explants were fixed in 4% PFA/1xPBS at 4 °C from 45 min (explants) to 2 hr (heads), rinsed in PBS, cryoprotected in 20% sucrose/PBS, embedded in OCT (Sakura Finetek, Torrance, CA), and stored at –80 °C. Frozen tissues were sectioned on a Leica CM1950 cryostat at a thickness of 12 μm.

Primary antibodies are listed in Key Resources Table. Primary antibodies were followed with species-specific secondary antibodies conjugated to Alexa Fluor 488, 568, or 647 (Invitrogen/Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR). EdU was detected using Click-iT EdU Cell Proliferation kit for imaging (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Nuclei were stained with 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI; Fluka).

Panels showing fluorescence-based protein detection are single scan confocal images obtained with a Fluoview 1000 confocal microscope (Olympus) or Zeiss LSM710 confocal microscope equipped with 20 X objective.

In situ hybridization was performed as previously described (Gordon et al., 2013; Sigulinsky et al., 2008). Probes used in this study were digoxigenin-labeled anti-sense probes against Atoh7, Cdon, Gas1, Gli1, Shh, and Vsx2.

RNA sequencing

Each biological replicate was composed of both retinas from a single embryo. The four replicates for each genotype were collected across three litters harvested over two consecutive days. Total RNA was isolated from flash frozen retinal tissue using QIAshredder columns and RNeasy Micro kit (Qiagen, Cat#79654 and 74004). Libraries were constructed with Illumina TruSeq RNA Library Kit V2 with poly(A) selection, and 50 cycle single end sequencing was done on the Illumina Hi-Seq 2000 platform. RNA data alignment was performed by TopHat 2 (Trapnell et al., 2009) on MM10 reference genome followed by gene quantification into FPKM using Cufflinks (Trapnell et al., 2010). Additional read count per gene was generated using HTSeq (Anders et al., 2015). FASTQ files are deposited at GEO repository number GSE172457.

Bioinformatics

RNA-seq data analysis

Differential gene expression analysis was performed with DESeq2 (version 1.21.4) (Love et al., 2014). Features (i.e., genes) were removed that contained 0 counts across all samples or when fewer than three samples had normalized counts greater than or equal to 20. Analyses of gene set overrepresentation and activity state prediction (ASP) was done with the Canonical Pathways (CP) tool in the Ingenuity Pathway Analysis package (IPA; Qiagen). The analysis was performed on the cohort of DEGs with an FDR cutoff of 0.001 or smaller with the Ingenuity Knowledge Base (genes only) used as the reference. Gene set overrepresentation was determined with the right-tailed Fisher’s exact test with the -log10(p-value) of 1.3 or larger considered significant. The gene expression ratio is the fraction of pathway genes from the reference set (Ingenuity Knowledge Base) that were identified in the analyzed DEG set. The activation state of enriched pathways with known topology is predicted by a Z-score of Activation algorithm (Krämer et al., 2014). Derived Z-values are referred to as Activation
State Prediction (ASP) scores with positive scores predicting activated pathways and negative scores predicting inhibited pathways with 2 or greater in either direction considered significant. For visualization purposes, the cutoff for the ASP score was set at 2.5 at −2.5 in Figure 3A. The full list of pathways is provided in Supplementary file 2, Canonical Pathways worksheet.

**ChIP-seq and ATAC-seq data analysis**

FASTQ files from E14 Lhx2 ChIP-seq, wildtype ATAC-seq and Lhx2 CKO ATAC-seq were obtained from GEO repository number GSE99818 (Zibetti et al., 2019). Sequencing adaptors were trimmed away using NGmerge (Gaspar, 2018). Bowtie2 was used for read alignment on the GRCm38/mm10 mouse genome (Langmead and Salzberg, 2012). deepTools2 was used to generate bigwig files of the sequencing data which were then visualized on the UCSC genome browser (Ramírez et al., 2016 and https://genome.ucsc.edu/index.html). Peak calling was performed using Genrich (available at https://github.com/jsh58/Genrich; Gaspar, 2022). High mapping-quality reads were kept (MAPQ >10) and mitochondrial aligned reads and PCR duplicate reads were filtered out. The blacklisted regions in mouse were excluded from peak regions (https://github.com/Boyle-Lab/Blacklist/blob/master/lists/mm10-blacklist.v2.bed.gz; Amemiya et al., 2019; Boyle, 2021). ChIPseeker was used to annotate Lhx2 ChIP-seq peaks and identify the closest gene to the peak or genes within 10 kb of the peak (Yu et al., 2015). Lhx2-associated genes were then analyzed for gene set overrepresentation with the KEGG database as the reference, using the enrichKEGG function in clusterProfiler (Yu et al., 2012). Two criteria were used to associate Shh pathway genes with Lhx2 binding and DARs: ChIP-seq and ATAC-seq peaks were located within introns or 10 kb of the intergenic regions upstream or downstream of the gene body, or the assigned gene was the closest gene to the peaks.

**Quantitative reverse transcription PCR (qPCR)**

Relative changes in gene expression were determined with the delta-delta-Ct method (DDCt). Gapdh served as the internal control gene for the initial normalization (DCt values). For E14.5 Lhx2 CKO and control retinas, DDCt values were generated by normalizing DCt values to the mean DCt value of the control samples for each gene. In the Shh-N dose response experiments, DDCt values were generated by normalizing DCt values to the mean DCt value of the control samples at the start of the experiment (t=0). For samples from the E15.5 combinatorial Lhx2 and Ptch1 CKO and control retinas, E17.5 Lhx2 CKO and control retinas, and E15.5 Gli1 KO and control retinas, DDCt values were generated by normalization to a single control sample that was designated as a reference. The reference was used for all runs to control for potential batch-effect variation due to qPCR runs being done on different plates or days. Data is presented in graphs as the fold change in gene expression based on RQ values (2^{-DDCt}).

**Sybr Green assays**

Sybr Green-based qPCR was done on samples from E14.5 Lhx2 CKO and control retinas, and for the dose response experiments with recombinant Shh-N in retinal explants. Total RNA was isolated with RNeasy Micro and cDNA was synthesized with the SuperScript III First Strand Synthesis System (Invitrogen, Cat#18080–051). qPCR was done on a BioRad CFX96 Real Time PCR system with SsoAdvanced Universal SYBR Green Supermix (BioRad, Cat#170–8841) and primers detecting Vsx2, Ascl1, Sox2, Lhx2, Pax6, Lin28b, Prtg, Gas1, Cdon, Boc, Gli, Shh, Smo, and Gapdh (Supplementary file 7).

All primer pairs were first validated for reaction efficiency and specificity.

**TaqMan assays**

TaqMan-based qPCR was done on samples from E15.5 combinatorial Lhx2 and Ptch1 CKO and control retinas, E17.5 Lhx2 CKO and control retinas, and E15.5 Gli1 KO and control retinas. Total RNA was isolated using TRizol (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat#15596026) and cDNAs were synthesized using SuperScript IV VILO master mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat# 11766051). qPCR was done on QuantStudio 3 Real Time PCR Systems (Thermo Fisher Scientific) with the TaqMan gene expression Master
Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat# 444557) and TaqMan gene probes for Gli1, Hhip, Ptc1, Ptc2, Gapdh (Supplementary file 7).

Data analysis
Data collection was done with BioRad CFX manager and ABI QuantStudio 3 package for Sybr Green- and TaqMan-based qPCR, respectively. Graphing and hypothesis testing were done with Microsoft Excel (version 16.43) and GraphPad Prism (version 9.0). Descriptive statistics for RQ values (sample number (n), mean, standard deviation (SD), standard error from mean (SEM)), hypothesis tests, testing parameters, and test results are provided in Supplementary files 3 and 5. All hypothesis testing was done on DDCt values.

Cocultures to test endogenous Shh bioavailability
Preparation and passaging of the NIH3T3 open-loop responder cell line
Cells were maintained up to 14 passages in 60 mm² petri dishes and cultured in 1 x DMEM supplemented with 10% calf serum. 8.8x10⁵ cells were plated in 60 mm² dish for passaging (3–4 days). For experiments, 1.6x10⁵ cells were seeded into each well of the 24 well plates and grown to >90% confluence before beginning the experiment (2–3 days).

Dose-response testing of open loop cells
Shh-N was added to the culture medium at the doses indicated in the text, which were empirically determined in pilot experiments. A 50% medium exchange with Shh-N at the original concentration was done at 2 DIV. Once pilot experiments were completed, the dose response experiment was repeated in two separate trials and each concentration was duplicated in each trial. Image capture of microscopic fields were semi-random samplings based on two criteria: the microscopic field had to be taken in the central region of the well and the cell density was confluent, as determined by phase-contrast microscopy.

Co-cultures
Whole retina was dissected away from other ocular tissues and placed onto the underside of a 0.4 μm Biopore insert (Millipore, PICM3050) with the apical layer of the retina in contact with the Biopore membrane (unless noted otherwise). The insert was turned over and placed into a well of a 24-well plate containing a confluent monolayer of NIH3T3 open-loop responder cells. Contact between the basal surface of the retina and the cell monolayer was achieved by removing the filter insert support legs and applying gentle manual pressure to the insert for approximately 4 s. The culture medium was raised to the bottom of the insert (approximately 300 μl) with 60–100 μl media changes per day. Once pilot experiments were completed, the co-culture experiments were run in two independent trials. Images, quantifications, and statistics are presented for the second trial. Prior to culturing, 5E1 blocking antibody was affinity purified with Cytiva Protein G HP SpinTrap Columns (Thermo Fisher Scientific 45001485) according to manufacturer’s instructions. Buffer exchange from elution buffer (100 mM glycine) to sterile PBS (pH 7.4) was done with Amicon Ultracel-30 centrifugal filters (EMD/ Millipore UFC503024).

Live imaging, post-image processing, and data analysis
Wide-field epifluorescence images were taken with a Nikon DS Q12 camera on a Nikon TE-200 inverted microscope with a 10 x objective for the dose response cultures and a 4 x objective for the cocultures. All comparable images were captured with similar camera settings and illumination. Potential daily variations in illumination and sample background fluorescence were managed with post capture background subtraction (see next paragraph).

Image processing and quantification were done with ImageJ (version 2.1.0). In brief, images were processed to obtain regions of interest (ROI) masks for identification of mCitrine + objects (nuclei). All images were first processed with background subtraction (rolling ball algorithm) and bit depth conversion from 14 to 8 prior to mask generation and measurement. Masks were then generated through thresholding, binarization, and watershedding. Masks were then applied to their respective images for object counts and pixel intensity calculations (average mean intensity per object, sum
of integrated densities sum of the products of mean pixel intensities for each object by the area of each object (mean pixels × µm²). Objects smaller than 90 µm², an empirically estimated measure of a nucleus, were excluded. Objects that passed this filter were retained for quantification and considered to represent cells based on 1 nucleus/cell and designated as such. Objects with larger areas were attributed to overlapping mCitrine + nuclei. These larger objects were also retained for analysis since their exclusion would exacerbate underrepresentation of cell counts and fluorescence intensities in the conditions with the highest number and brightest objects. Some inflation of fluorescence intensity was likely due to overlapping nuclei although the use of average mean intensities per object as a measure should have substantially reduced the impact of this confounding variable.

Graphing and hypothesis testing were done with Microsoft Excel (version 16.43) and GraphPad Prism (version 9.0). Descriptive statistics (sample number (n), mean, standard deviation (SD), standard error from mean (SEM)), hypothesis tests, testing parameters, and test results are provided in *Supplementary file 4*.

**Organotypic suspension cultures**

Whole retina and lens were dissected away from other ocular tissues (explants) and placed into a 14 ml round bottomed snap cap tube with 1 ml of retina culture medium (RCM) and rotated at 15 RPM on a carousel with an axis of rotation at 30° above horizontal. Explants were incubated at 37 °C in a humidified, 5% CO₂ atmosphere. RCM is composed of 1 x DMEM/F12 (US Biological, Cat# D9807-05), 1% fetal bovine serum (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat#16140071), 6 mg/ml glucose (Sigma, Cat# G7528), 0.1% NaHCO₃ (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat#25080–094), 50 mM HEPES (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat# 15630–080), 1 mM glutamax (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat# 35050–061), 1 x N2-plus supplement (R&D Systems, Cat#AR003), and 1 x penicillin/streptomycin (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat# 15070–063).

At the end of the culture period, explants were rinsed in PBS. For in situ hybridization or immunohistochemistry, explants were fixed in 4%PFA and prepared for cryostorage (see above). For qPCR, lens tissue was removed, and retinas were snap frozen in liquid N₂ and stored at –80 °C until use.

**Purmorphamine and Shh-N treatments**

Purmorphamine (EMD Chemicals, Cat# 540220) was dissolved in 100% DMSO at 2.5 mM and stored at –20 °C. Explants were treated with 10 µM purmorphamine or vehicle (0.4% DMSO). *E. coli*-derived human N-terminal modified (C24II) fragment of Sonic Hedgehog (Shh-N; R&D Systems, Cat#1845-SH/CF) was reconstituted in PBS at 10 µM and stored at –80 °C. Explants were treated with Shh-N at the concentrations indicated. When possible, multiple breeding pairs were set per single night mating to increase sample size. Explants were cultured for 24 hr.

See qPCR methods for data collection and analysis.

**Ex vivo electroporation**

*Lhx2* CKO, *Gli1*cre+ embryos were identified by phenotyping and rapid PCR genotyping. Explants were transferred into sterile PBS without Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺. A total of 1.5 µl of DNA (3 µg/µl) in 30% glycerol with methyl green was pipetted onto the apical retinal surface, and electroporated with a BTX ECM830 (5x50ms pulses at 50 V, 250ms intervals). One explant per animal received the GFP control plasmid (*pCIG*) and the other received an equimolar 50:50 mixture of *pCIG*-Cdon and *pCIG*-Gas1. Explants were transferred to 1 ml of RCM and cultured. 3 nM Shh-N and 1 µM EdU were added after 24 hr, and the cultures were maintained for an additional 24 hr. Explants were fixed for 1 hr in 4% PFA and cryopreserved until use.

Sectioned explants were stained for GFP to identify transfected cells, EdU to identify proliferating cells (RPCs), and β-Gal to identify Gli1 expressing cells. Cells were first scored for the co-localization of GFP and EdU. Once completed, GFP, EdU double positive cells were scored for β-Gal expression. Counting was done on at least three sections per explant, and when possible, at least 100 GFP, EdU double positive cells were counted per section. Graphing and hypothesis testing were done with Microsoft Excel (version 16.43) and GraphPad Prism (version 9.0). Descriptive statistics (counts per explant, sample number (n), mean, standard deviation (SD), standard error from mean (SEM)), hypothesis tests, testing parameters, and test results are provided in *Supplementary file 6*.
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