Post-synaptic Density-95 (PSD-95) Binding Capacity of G-protein-coupled Receptor 30 (GPR30), an Estrogen Receptor That Can Be Identified in Hippocampal Dendritic Spines*
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Background: Estrogen modulates synaptic plasticity in the hippocampus.

Results: GPR30 (an estrogen-sensitive GPCR) localizes to dendritic spines, interacts with PSD-95, and can associate with other GPCRs. PSD-95 increases GPR30 membrane levels.

Conclusion: GPR30 localization to dendritic spines would allow for estrogen modulation at synapses.

Significance: This is the first report to demonstrate a GPR30 association with other post-synaptic proteins.

The estrogen 17β-estradiol (E2) modulates dendritic spine plasticity in the cornu ammonis 1 (CA1) region of the hippocampus, and GPR30 (G-protein coupled estrogen receptor 1 (GPER1)) is an estrogen-sensitive G-protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) that is expressed in the mammalian brain and in specific subregions that are responsive to E2, including the hippocampus. The subcellular localization of hippocampal GPR30, however, remains unclear. Here, we demonstrate that GPR30 immunoreactivity is detected in dendritic spines of rat CA1 hippocampal neurons in vivo and that GPR30 protein can be found in rat brain synaptosomes. GPR30 immunoreactivity is identified at the post-synaptic density (PSD) and in the adjacent peri-synaptic zone, and GPR30 can associate with the spine scaffolding protein PSD-95 both in vitro and in vivo. This PSD-95 binding capacity of GPR30 is specific and determined by the receptor C-terminal tail that is both necessary and sufficient for PSD-95 interaction. The interaction with PSD-95 functions to increase GPR30 protein levels residing at the plasma membrane surface. GPR30 associates with the N-terminal tandem pair of PDZ domains in PSD-95, suggesting that PSD-95 may be involved in clustering GPR30 with other receptors in the hippocampus. We demonstrate that GPR30 has the potential to associate with additional post-synaptic GPCRs, including the membrane progestin receptor, the corticotropin releasing hormone receptor, and the 5HT1a serotonin receptor. These data demonstrate that GPR30 is well positioned in the dendritic spine compartment to integrate E2 sensitivity directly onto multiple inputs on synaptic activity and might begin to provide a molecular explanation as to how E2 modulates dendritic spine plasticity.

17β-Estradiol (E2)² is the predominant circulating estrogen and modulates dendritic spine plasticity in the hippocampus (1). This modulation is due in part to the non-genomic actions of E2 in hippocampal neurons. These non-genomic actions of E2 are often localized within the dendritic spines and are likely to underlie the neuronal remodeling observed in E2-driven synaptic plasticity as well as how E2 might influence responses to other synaptic inputs (2).

Recently, the E2-sensitive G protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) GPR30 (GPCR estrogen receptor 1 (GPER1)) has been identified and characterized in breast cancer cell lines (3, 4) as well as in multiple tissue types (5). Importantly, GPR30-immunoreactivity (IR) has been detected in the mammalian brain (6), including in E2-sensitive regions such as the hypothalamus (7) and in the hippocampal formation (8–10).

At the subcellular level, GPR30-IR has been identified with the plasma membrane (10, 11) as well as with intracellular structures such as the endoplasmic reticulum (12). Because GPR30 regulates non-genomic actions that are relevant to modulating synaptic function (13–15), it is important to establish if GPR30-IR can be identified within the dendritic spine structures and to identify the molecular mechanisms involved in such subcellular positioning.

Analysis of the GPR30 amino acid sequence reveals that the GPR30 C-terminal (CT) domain presents a Class I post-synaptic density-95 (PSD-95)/Disc large tumor suppressor (Dlk1)/Zonula occludens (ZO)-1 (PDZ) ligand (16, 17). However, the function of this GPR30-CT tail domain has not yet been determined (18). Other GPCRs that contain similar CT PDZ ligand sequences have been described previously with the capacity to
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associate with PDZ-containing proteins such as the dendritic spine scaffolding protein PSD-95 (19).

If GPR30 is capable of a specific interaction with PSD-95, then this interaction would explain how GPR30 might be targeted to the PSD. Furthermore, because PSD-95 scaffolding functions to assemble larger receptorsome complexes at the synapse (20, 21), a GPR30 association with PSD-95 would suggest that GPR30 might be able to interact with other proteins at the synapse as well.

Here, we identify GPR30-IR within the dendritic spine of CA1 neurons and at the PSD, and we determine that GPR30 can interact specifically with PSD-95. Functionally, the GPR30 interaction with PSD-95 increased the amount of GPR30 protein that can be isolated from the plasma membrane. This interaction with PSD-95 is also dependent on the GPR30-CT, which is necessary and sufficient for PSD-95 binding capacity. We further demonstrate that GPR30 is able to associate with other receptors that can be found in the dendritic spine.

These studies place GPR30 in the dendritic spine and demonstrate that GPR30 has the potential to associate with dendritic spine protein complexes that would integrate E2 sensitivity with diverse neuromodulating inputs to the synapse.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Animals and Tissue Preparation**—Adult female Sprague-Dawley rats (225–250 g upon arrival; ~60 days old) were from Charles River Laboratories and housed in groups of three with ad libitum access to food and water. All methods were approved by the Weill Medical College of Cornell University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) as well as by The Rockefeller University IACUC and conform to the National Institutes of Health guidelines. Estrous cycle phases were determined as reported previously (22). Animals at both pro- and diestrus were used in the immunohistochemistry studies. Rats were deeply anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital (150 mg/kg), and brains were fixed by perfusion with 3.75% acrolein and 2% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4). Brains were removed from the skull and coronally blocked, then post-fixed in 2% paraformaldehyde in phosphate buffer. Sections (40 μm thick) were prepared on a vibratome (Leica) and stored in cryoprotectant until processed later for immunohistochemistry. Ultra-thin sections (70–72 nm thick) from the stratum radiatum of the CA1 region were prepared on a UCT ultratome (Leica), counterstained, and then examined with a Tecnai Biotwin transmission electron microscope (FEI), and images were captured with a digital camera (Advanced Microscopy Techniques). Immuno-peroxidase labeling was distinguished as an electron-dense reaction product precipitate. Profiles were identified using morphological criteria previously established (24). Micrograph panels of dendritic spine profiles were assembled with Photoshop software (Adobe Systems, Inc).

**Cells**—COS-7 cells and MCF-7 cells were maintained in DMEM (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Sigma) and 1% PenStrep (Invitrogen). For transfections, COS-7 cells were plated in antibiotic-free DMEM with 10% FBS and transfected with Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Rat brain synaptosome preparations (Upstate-Millipore) correspond to the particulate fraction that is collected by the centrifugation of nuclei-free rat brain microsomal membrane homogenates and are routinely tested by the manufacturer for post-synaptic membrane proteins. According to the manufacturer, the protocol was similar to other known protocols for synaptosomally enriched protein lysates (25), as rat brain tissue was homogenized in 0.25 M sucrose and then centrifuged at 6k × g to remove the nuclei and other large cellular debris. The supernatant was then centrifuged again at 45k × g to pellet microsomal and synaptosomal proteins.

**Antibodies**—Rabbit anti-GPR30 (C-term) polyclonal antibody was from MBL International. GPR30 antibody specificity was demonstrated by immunoblotting on both endogenous protein material as well as exogenous protein material expressed in heterologous cells (data not shown).

Anti-Myc rabbit monoclonal antibody (mAb), anti-HA mouse mAb, peroxidase conjugated anti-HA mouse mAb, rabbit anti-FLAG polyclonal antibody, and rabbit anti-β-actin polyclonal antibody are from Cell Signaling Technology. Anti-glutathione S-transferase (GST) rabbit polyclonal antibody was from MBL International. EZview agarose-conjugated Protein A, EZview agarose-conjugated rabbit anti-Myc polyclonal antibody, and EZview agarose-conjugated mouse anti-HA mAb were from Sigma.

Mouse anti-PSD-95 mAb was from Neuromabs (UC Davis/ National Institutes of Health NeuroMab Facility). Rabbit anti-PSD-95 mAb (clone D74D3) was from Cell Signaling Technology.
GPR30 Interacts with the Dendritic Spine Scaffold PSD-95

Plasmid Constructs—The rat GPR30 coding sequence (cds) and the murine GPR30 cds were cloned by RT-PCR from rat and mouse brain first strand cDNA preparations, respectively. The rat GPR30 cds with the endogenous stop codon intact was subcloned into (ppt)3xFLAG(FL)-myc-CMV25 (Sigma) to generate the expression construct (ppt)3xFL-rGPR30. The mouse GPR30 cds with the endogenous stop codon intact was subcloned into pDisplay (Invitrogen) to generate (lgκ)HA-mGPR30, which contains the Igκ leader sequence. A point mutation designed in PCR subcloning primers was used to mutate the terminal valine (V) codon into an alanine (A) codon in the mouse GPR30 cds, and this mutant full-length GPR30 cds was also subcloned into pDisplay to generate (lgκ)HA-mGPR30375A.

A single HA epitope tag was inserted into pEGFP-C1 (Clontech) to generate the vector HA-eGFP-C1. The mouse GPR30 C-terminal tail cds was subcloned into the vector HA-eGFP-C1 to generate the expression construct HA-eGFP-mGPR30(CT). Point mutations were designed in PCR primers to generate the expression construct HA-eGFP-mGPR30(CT)375A, where the terminal V codon was again mutated into an A codon.

The cds to the N terminus of neuronal NOS (nNOS), amino acids 1–159, was subcloned into pCMV-HA (Clontech) to generate the construct HA-nNOS(159). The rat PSD-95 cds and the rat spinophilin (SPL) coding sequences were cloned by RT-PCR from a rat brain cDNA preparation and then subcloned into pCMV-Myc (Clontech) to generate Myc-tagged PSD-95 protein (Myc-PSD95) and Myc-SPL, respectively. The rat PSD-95 cds was also subcloned into pCMV-HA to generate HA-PSD95 and into p3xFLAG-CMV-7.1 (Sigma) to generate 3xFL-PSD95.

The rat PSD-95 N-terminal tandem PDZ domains PDZ1 and PDZ2 (amino acids 59–303) and the third PDZ domain of PSD-95 (amino acids 307–446) were isolated by PCR and subcloned into pCMV-Myc to generate the expression constructs Myc-PDZ1(1–2) and Myc-PDZ3(3), respectively. The eGFP cds was subcloned from PCR from pEGFP-N1 (Clontech) and into p3xFLAG-CMV-7.1 to generate the expression construct 3xFL-eGFP.

The rat progestin membrane receptor-β (PMRβ) expression construct (26) was kindly provided by Dr. Carlos Stocco (Yale University). The rat corticotropin releasing hormone receptor-1 (CRHR1) with an internal FLAG epitope tag insert (27) was kindly provided by Dr. Greet Aguiler (National Institutes of Health). The human 5-HT1a serotonin receptor (5HT1aR) was purchased from the Missouri S&T UMR cDNA Resource Center.

The PMRβ, FL-CRHR1, and 5HT1aR cds were each subcloned into the vector (ppt)3xFL-myc-CMV25 to generate the expression constructs (ppt)3xFL-rPMRβ, (ppt)4xFL-rCRHR1, and (ppt)3xFL-human 5HT1aR, respectively. Additionally, the rat CRHR1 CT tail was isolated by RT-PCR. The CRHR1-CT cds was then subcloned into the pGFLEX mammalian expression vector (ATCC) for chimeric expression with an N-terminal GST tag. This resulted in the expression construct GST-π-CRHR1(CT). All expression constructs described above were first validated by bidirectional sequencing and then tested for exogenous protein expression by immunoblotting against the epitope tag or by the recombinant antigen.

Co-immunoprecipitation—48 h post-transfection, whole cell lysates were prepared in an n-dodecyl-β-maltoside (DDM) detergent and cholesterol hemisuccinate (CHS)-based lysis buffer (50 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, 1% DDM, 0.1% CHS, 10% glycerol, protease inhibitors). DDM and CHS were both purchased from Anatrace-Affymetrix.

Briefly, cells were washed once in ice-cold PBS and then lysed in 1× DDM-CHS lysis buffer on ice for 10 min. Lysates were scrape-collected and rotated for an additional 20 min and then centrifuged for 30 min at 21k × g to clarify. Clarified lysates were precleared by with agarose-conjugated IgG (Sigma) of the appropriate species background. Equal volumes per sample of clarified and cleared lysates were then used for co-immunoprecipitation (IP) assays with overnight rotations including EZview red agarose-conjugated antibodies (Sigma) that had been pre-equilibrated in lysis buffer.

After IP, the agarose-conjugated antibodies were washed stringently 5 times in wash buffer (50 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, 1% DDM, 0.05% CHS, 10% glycerol). Pelleted antibodies were then resuspended in an equal volume of 2× Laemmli sample buffer (Bio-Rad) with β-mercaptoethanol (Sigma) to a final concentration of 5% to elute proteins and frozen before analyses. All co-IP procedures were performed in a 4 °C cold room.

To determine any in vivo interactions, plasma membrane fractions collected from female adult rat hippocampi by sucrose density gradient ultracentrifugation as described above was incubated overnight with either anti-PSD-95 antibody or with mouse IgG alone. Briefly, 150 μg of total protein was resuspended in DDM-CHS lysis buffer to a final volume of 1.5 ml. This volume was then split in half, and 10 μg of mouse anti-PSD-95 mAb or 10 μg mouse IgG was added to either split. Samples were incubated with gentle rotations at 4 °C for 4 h, then 30 μl of EZview Red-conjugated Protein A was added to each tube. Incubations continued overnight, and samples were washed and eluted as described above for recombinant protein interactions.

Immunoblotting—Whole cell lysates, synaptosomal lysates, and recombinant GPR30 co-immunoprecipitation samples were heated at 37 °C for 5 min. Membrane fraction GPR30 co-immunoprecipitation samples were heated at 42 °C for 10 min. Extended incubation times at 37 °C or 42 °C, higher temperatures over 50 °C, or multiple freeze-thaws on samples proved to be detrimental to any potential GPR30 Western blot, as extensive denatured banding patterns resulted; 37 °C incubation was optimal.3

Proteins were resolved on 4–20% gradient SDS-PAGE gels (Bio-Rad) and transferred to Immobilon-P PVDF membranes (Millipore). Membranes were blocked and then incubated in primary antibody overnight at 4 °C. For HA-tag immunoblotting, the primary antibody used was a peroxidase-conjugated anti-HA mouse mAb (Cell Signaling Technology), and no secondary antibody was used. For all other immunoblots, peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG secondary antibody (Jack-
son ImmunoResearch) was used. Visualization was achieved by West Femto enhanced chemiluminescence (Pierce). For visualizing the rat hippocampus co-immunoprecipitations, the CleanBlot reagent (Pierce) was used. Films were scanned by VueScan software (Hamrick Software), and images were assembled with Photoshop software.

Surface Protein Biotinylation—For GPR30 and PSD-95, COS-7 cells were transfected as indicated. 24 h post-transfection, the media were replaced with serum-free and phenol red-free DMEM. 48 h post-transfection and at least 24 h post-serum starvation, the cells were treated as indicated with either 10 nM E2 or with diluent control (DMSO, final concentration 0.0001%) at 37 °C. 10 min post-treatment, the cells were moved to 4 °C and washed twice with ice-cold PBS. The cells were then incubated for 60 min at 4 °C with 1 mg/ml membrane-impermeable EZlink Sulfo-NHS-SS-Biotin (ThermoScientific Pierce) to biotinylate all surface proteins. The cells were then washed twice with ice-cold 100 mM glycine in PBS to quench excess biotin followed by one wash with PBS alone. The cells were lysed with DDM-CHS lysis buffer (supplemented as above with fresh protease and phosphatase inhibitors), scrape-collected, and then pelleted to clarify and remove cellular debris (as above, all at 4 °C). After protein concentrations were determined, an aliquot of each biotinylated sample was reserved, and the clarified lysates were affinity-precipitated overnight at 4 °C with EZview Red agarose-conjugated streptavidin (Sigma). The agarose conjugates were washed 5 times stringently in lysis buffer and then resuspended in Laemmli sample buffer that was supplemented with 5% 2-mercaptoethanol to elute. Eluted proteins were analyzed by Western blotting, and ECL-visualized bands were scanned and quantified by densitometry (GelEval software, GraphPad).

Protein levels were represented as the comparative amount of GPR30 normalized by the amount of surface GPR30 isolated from untreated GPR30-transfected COS-7 cells (which was by default defined as 1.00 for each replicate). Statistical significance (p < 0.05) was determined by unpaired T-Test (InStat software, GraphPad).

RESULTS

GPR30 Immunoreactivity Is Identified in the Dendritic Spine—GPR30 is an E2-sensitive GPCR that is expressed in the hippocampus. To identify the subcellular localization for GPR30 in vivo and to determine if GPR30 is positioned in rat CA1 hippocampal neurons, GPR30 IR was analyzed by post-embedding EM (Fig. 1).

GPR30-IR was observed in the dendritic spine compartment in vivo and in close proximity to the electron-dense PSD structure. GPR30-IR was identified in a subset of dendritic spines as specific, negative co-IP control. A hemagglutinin (HA) epitope tag was applied to the N terminus of nNOS(159) and to eGFP as well as to the murine GPR30 protein.
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The GPR30-IR was evident not only along the PSD but often in the peri-synaptic zone adjacent to the PSD. Taken together, these results suggest that GPR30 labeling can be found at synapses in spines across the maturation profile (28) and across the estrus cycle as well as in specific regions within the dendritic spine compartment known for lateral protein trafficking and endocytic activity (29).

GPR30 Protein from the Rat Brain Is Co-isolated Along with Synaptosomal Proteins—In parallel with these immunohisto-logic findings, microsomal membrane preparations consisting of synaptosomal proteins were also immunoblotted for GPR30 protein (Fig. 2A, upper blot). These preparations contain many synaptosomal proteins and were positive for actin (Fig. 2A, lower blot) and for the dendritic spine proteins PSD-95 and Spinophilin (Fig. 2B).

GPR30 protein was identified in MCF-7 whole cell lysate and in the synaptosomal preparations (Fig. 2A, lanes 1 and 2) but not in COS-7 whole cell lysate (Fig. 2A, lane 3). When transfected with an expression construct encoding for the GPR30 C terminus (GPR30-CT), COS-7 cell lysates were GPR30-CT antigen-positive (Fig. 2A, lanes 4 and 5). When full-length GPR30 was detected, a predominant band was visualized at ~40–50 kDa in apparent molecular mass but also a secondary band that migrated at approximately twice the apparent molecular mass (80–90 kDa). This secondary band potentially represents a stable, homodimeric structure for GPR30.

GPR30 Associates with PSD-95—PSD-95 is a scaffolding protein that is enriched in the PSD of the dendritic spine (30). As a primary scaffolding component of the dendritic spine, PSD-95 serves to organize receptors and networked protein complexes to coordinate specific synaptic responses to incoming stimuli (20, 31). Post-synaptic GPCRs are often assembled into larger receptorosome complexes via specific protein-protein interactions with PSD-95 at the PSD.

GPR30-IR within the dendritic spine and at the PSD in vivo (Fig. 1) indicates that GPR30 localizes with PSD-95 and suggests that a protein-protein interaction may exist between GPR30 and with protein scaffolds at the PSD.

Amino acid analysis of the GPR30 protein sequence reveals that the C-terminal tail of GPR30 contains a canonical class I PDZ domain binding sequence, -SSAV (17, 32), although the function of this carboxylate sequence has not yet been determined. If this terminal sequence of the GPR30 receptor can specifically interact with PDZ-containing proteins such as PSD-95, then this protein-protein interaction would biochemically substantiate the subcellular localization of GPR30 within the dendritic spine. To determine whether the full-length GPR30 protein associates with PSD-95 in a cellular context, co-IP assays were employed with a series of epitope-tagged constructs expressed in COS-7 cells.

Because the N-terminal amino acids of nNOS are known to bind to PSD-95 (33), nNOS (159), consisting of the first 159 amino acids of nNOS, was used as a positive PSD-95 co-IP control. The non-neural protein eGFP was used as a nonspecific, negative co-IP control. A hemagglutinin (HA) epitope tag was applied to the N terminus of nNOS (159) and to eGFP as well as to the murine GPR30 protein.
HA-nNOS(159), HA-eGFP, and HA-GPR30 were then each co-transfected in COS-7 cells with Myc-tagged PSD-95 (Myc-PSD95). HA-nNOS(159) and HA-GPR30 were both able to co-immunoprecipitate Myc-PSD95, but HA-eGFP (or the HA empty vector) were not (Fig. 3, lanes 1–4). Additionally, HA-GPR30 and Myc-PSD95 were individually transfected into COS-7 cells, and the resulting whole cell lysates were then combined. When GPR30 was expressed independently of PSD-95, GPR30 could not co-immunoprecipitate with PSD-95 in mixed lysates (Fig. 3, lane 5). These results suggest that the full-length GPR30 protein is able to associate with PSD-95 in vitro and that GPR30 must be co-expressed with PSD-95 within the same cell for GPR30 to interact properly with PSD-95.

GPR30 Interacts with PSD-95 in Vivo—To validate the interaction between recombinant GPR30 and PSD-95 in vivo, membrane proteins from the adult female rat hippocampus were isolated by sucrose density gradient and ultracentrifugation (23). These fractions were then used for PSD-95 co-immunoprecipitations (Fig. 4). The plasma membrane fractions were incubated with either PSD-95 antibody (Fig. 4, lane 2) or with no antibody (Fig. 4, lane 1). PSD-95 protein from the rat hippocampus was also able to co-immunoprecipitate with the GPR30 receptor, suggesting that this physical interaction at the membrane level also occurs in vivo.

The GPR30 C-terminal Tail Is Sufficient and Necessary for PSD-95 Binding Capacity—Because a PDZ ligand motif is found at the GPR30-CT, it is important to determine if the GPR30-CT is sufficient for the observed PSD-95 interaction. GPR30-CT was expressed as a C-terminal chimeric addition to the HA-tagged eGFP protein, and when co-expressed with PSD-95, the GPR30-CT chimeric was sufficient for PSD-95 co-IP (Fig. 5, lane 3). In contrast, the eGFP protein alone did not co-immunoprecipitate with PSD-95 (Fig. 3, lane 2).

The specificity of a C-terminal PDZ ligand sequence is largely determined by the residues at the carboxylate 0 or −2 position (34–36), and mutations at either of these positions can disrupt the protein-protein interaction with the PDZ domain. To determine if the GPR30 interaction is dependent on the CT, a single point mutation at the terminal GPR30 carboxylate residue was introduced. The terminal valine residue at amino acid 375 was mutated to an alanine residue (375A) on both the full-length construct as well as the abbreviated GPR30-CT chimeric.
GPR30 Interacts with the Dendritic Spine Scaffold PSD-95

These mutations were co-expressed with PSD-95 alongside the wild-type (wt) receptor equivalents. Both GPR30 wt and eGFP-GPR30-CT wt were able to associate with PSD-95 (Fig. 6, lanes 1 and 3), but GPR30\(^{375A}\) and eGFP-GPR30-CT\(^{375A}\) were not (Fig. 6, lanes 2 and 4).

These results suggest that the GPR30-CT is not only sufficient for interacting with PSD-95 but is also necessary for any interaction with PSD-95, as a single point mutation of the terminal carboxylate residue of either the full-length GPR30 protein or the GPR30-CT eliminated the ability of GPR30 to associate with PSD-95.

**GPR30 Interacts Specifically with the Tandem PDZ Domains in PSD-95**—PDZ domains are protein-protein interaction domains that are often components of large, multi-domain scaffolding proteins such as PSD-95 (37).

PSD-95 contains three PDZ domains. The first two PDZ domains (PDZ1(1 + 2)) are located near the N terminus of PSD-95 and are positioned in tandem proximity to one another. The third PDZ domain PDZ3(3) is positioned independently within PSD-95.

The tandem PDZ orientation provides a means by which PSD-95 can cluster or stabilize dimeric receptor targets (38, 39). PDZ(3) also has the capacity to interact with certain GPCR C-termini, but this allosteric interaction may in part be regulated by PSD-95 phosphorylation (40–43), suggesting that the different PDZ domains confer different allosteric functions. Therefore, it is important to identify which PDZ domain(s) can interact with GPR30. To determine which PDZ domain(s) of PSD-95 is associated with GPR30, Myc-tagged PSD-95 and Myc-tagged PDZ domains were used for reverse co-IP assays.

HA-tagged eGFP-GPR30-CT was co-transfected with either Myc-eGFP, Myc-PSD95, Myc-PDZ1(1 + 2), or Myc-PDZ3(3). Full-length PSD-95 was able to associate with GPR30-CT (Fig. 7A, lane 3). PDZ(1 + 2) was able to associate with GPR30-CT
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FIGURE 4. GPR30 receptor co-immunoprecipitates in vivo with PSD-95 protein from membrane preparations isolated from the adult rat hippocampus. Whole hippocampi were dissected from adult female rats, and hippocampal membrane fractions were isolated by sucrose density gradients and high speed ultracentrifugation. Membrane lysates were incubated with either anti-PSD-95 antibody (lane 2) or with mouse IgG only (lane 1, no antibody). Immunoprecipitates were then immunoblotted with anti-GPR30 antibody (upper blot) or with anti-PSD-95 antibody (lower blot). Likewise, whole membrane lysate (1% of total protein amount used in the immunoprecipitation step in the upper blot; 0.1% total protein amount in the lower blot) was run alongside each immunoprecipitation (lane 3). GPR30 receptor co-immunoprecipitated with PSD-95 (lane 2) but not with IgG alone (lane 1). Blots are representative of three independent experiments.

FIGURE 5. The C-terminal tail of GPR30 is sufficient for PSD-95 association. Upper blot, PSD-95 co-immunoprecipitated with the GPR30 C-terminal tail (CT). Cells were co-transfected with Myc-PSD95 plus HA-tagged nNOS-(1–159) (lane 1), empty HA vector (lane 2), or HA-tagged eGFP-GPR30-CT, where the GPR30-CT is chimerically expressed with HA-eGFP (eGFP-GPR30-CT, lane 3). Anti-HA IP followed by anti-Myc immunoblot (IB) demonstrates that the GPR30-CT is sufficient for PSD-95 co-IP. 0.1% of whole cell lysate from Myc-PSD95-transfected COS-7 cells was also run as a positive Myc-epitope control (+). Lower blot, HA-tagged proteins in whole cell lysates are shown. Blots are representative of five independent experiments.

FIGURE 6. The C-terminal tail of GPR30 is necessary for PSD-95 association. The terminal carboxylate residue in GPR30 is valine at position 375. In both the full-length GPR30 protein as well as the GPR30-CT protein, this terminal residue was mutated into an alanine residue (375A) to disrupt the PDZ domain ligand sequence. Upper blot, COS-7 cells were co-transfected for Myc-PSD95 expression plus the indicated HA-tagged proteins: lane 1, full-length wild-type GPR30; lane 2, full-length GPR30 (375A); lane 3, eGFP-GPR30-CT (wt); lane 4, eGFP-GPR30 (375A). GPR30 (wt) and eGFP-GPR30-CT (wt) were able to co-immunoprecipitate PSD-95 protein (lanes 1 and 3), but GPR30 (375A) and eGFP-GPR30-CT (375A) with disrupted PDZ ligand sequences were unable to co-immunoprecipitate PSD-95 protein (lanes 2 and 4). 0.1% of whole cell lysate from Myc-PSD95-transfected COS-7 cells was also run as a positive Myc-epitope control (+). Lower blot, HA-tagged proteins in whole cell lysates are shown. Blots are representative of five independent experiments. IB, immunoblot.

PSD-95 via the PDZ ligand, but the full-length GPR30375A mutant could not (Fig. 7B, lanes 3 and 4).

As GPR30 can associate with PSD-95 in vitro (Fig. 3) and in vivo (Fig. 4), and as PSD-95 can likewise associate with GPR30, these results would suggest that the protein–protein interaction between the GPR30 and PSD-95 is specific. Furthermore, that GPR30-CT selectively targets the tandem PDZ(1+2) domains suggests GPR30 may exist as either a homodimeric or a heterodimeric partner at the PSD.

GPR30 Can Potentially Associate with Other Post-synaptic GPCRs—In vitro, GPR30 demonstrated a potential for homodimerization, as a secondary band that resolved at twice the apparent molecular weight molecular weight for monomeric GPR30 was detected by immunoblotting (Fig. 2). If GPR30 has the GPCR potential to homodimerize, then it is also possible that GPR30 might heterodimerize with other GPCRs as well (44, 45).

Based on known neuroendocrine modulations by estrogens in the hippocampus (46–51), a small panel of select FLAG-tagged GPCR targets was generated as an initial step to determine if GPR30 can heterodimerically associate with other GPCRs. These included the PMRB, CRHR1, and the 5HT1aR.

HA-GPR30 was co-expressed with each receptor and then HA was immunoprecipitated for FLAG immunoblotting.
GPR30 was able to associate with FLAG-tagged PSD-95, which was used as a positive control (Fig. 8A, lane 1), but GPR30 did not associate with FLAG-tagged eGFP, which was used as a negative control (Fig. 8A, lane 2), nor did it non-specifically associate with any endogenous proteins (Fig. 8A, lane 3).

GPR30 was able to associate with CRHR1 (Fig. 8A, lane 5), and with SHT1aR (Fig. 8A, lane 5). When transfected independently and lysates were co-
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A.

| eGFP | vector | PSD-95 | PSD-95 (1+2) | PSD-95 (3) | lysate control |
|------|--------|--------|-------------|------------|---------------|
| 1    | 2      | 3      | 4           | 5          | +             |

IP: anti-Myc  
IB: anti-HA  
(GPR30-CT)

B.

| eGFP | vector | PSD-95 | PSD-95 (3/7SA) | lysate control |
|------|--------|--------|----------------|---------------|
| 1    | 2      | 3      | 4              | +             |

IP: anti-Myc  
IB: anti-HA  
(GPR30)

FIGURE 7. PSD-95 associates with GPR30 via the N-terminal tandem PDZ domains. A, upper blot, GPR30 co-IP with PSD-95 is shown. COS-7 cells were co-transfected with HA-tagged eGFP-GPR30-CT plus the following Myc-tagged proteins: lane 1, eGFP; lane 2, empty Myc vector; lane 3, PSD-95; lane 4, the first two tandem PDZ domains of PSD-95, PDZ(1-H110012); lane 5, the third PDZ domain of PSD-95, PDZ(3). Whole cell lysates were collected, and Myc-tagged proteins were immunoprecipitated with an anti-Myc antibody. HA-tagged eGFP-GPR30-CT was immunoblotted with an anti-HA antibody. PSD-95 and PDZ(1-H110012) were able to co-immunoprecipitate the GPR30-CT (lanes 3 and 4), but the third PDZ(3) domain did not associate with GPR30-CT (lane 5). 0.1% of whole cell lysate from HA-eGFP-GPR30-CT-transfected COS-7 cells was also run as a positive HA-epitope control (+). Lower blot, Myc-tagged proteins in whole cell lysates are shown. Blots are representative of five independent experiments. B, full-length GPR30 can co-immunoprecipitate with PSD-95. COS-7 cells were co-transfected as indicated (lanes 1–4) with either Myc-tagged eGFP, Myc-vector, or Myc-tagged PSD-95 plus either HA-tagged full-length GPR30 or HA-tagged full-length GPR30(375A) mutant. Additionally, whole cell lysates prepared from HA-eGFP-GPR30-CT-transfected COS-7 cells were used as a positive lysate control (+) for immunoblotting. Myc-tagged proteins were immunoprecipitated from lysates with agarose-conjugated anti-Myc antibody, and the precipitates were then immunoblotted with a peroxidase-conjugated anti-HA antibody. Full-length GPR30 was able to co-immunoprecipitate with PSD-95 (lane 3), whereas the mutant full-length GPR30(375A) did not (lane 4). IB, immunoblot.

FIGURE 8. GPR30 associates with other GPCRs that have been previously identified in post-synaptic structures. A, upper blot, GPCR co-immunoprecipitates with GPR30. COS-7 cells were co-transfected with HA-tagged GPR30 plus the following FLAG-tagged expression constructs: lane 1, PSD-95; lane 2, eGFP; lane 3, empty FLAG vector; lane 4, PMRβ; lane 5, CRHR1, lane 6, SHT1aR. FLAG-tagged proteins were precipitated with anti-FLAG antibody, and GPR30 protein was detected by immunoblot with anti-HA antibody. All three GPCRs could co-immunoprecipitate with GPR30 protein. 0.1% of whole cell lysate from HA-GPR30-transfected COS-7 cells was also run as a positive HA-epitope control (+). Lower blot, FLAG-tagged proteins in whole cell lysates are shown. Blots are representative of seven independent experiments. B, PSD-95 coupled GPR30-CT with CRHR1-CT. The C-terminal tail of the rat CRHR1 receptor was chimERICally linked to GST in a GST-CRHR1-CT expression construct. COS-7 cells were transfected as indicated, and lysates were collected for precipitating HA-tagged proteins. When GPR30-CT was co-expressed with PSD-95 and with CRHR1-CT, GPR30-CT co-immunoprecipitated with CRHR1-CT (lane 5). In the absence of either GPR30-CT (lane 2) or PSD-95 (lane 3), this co-IP capability is lost. GPR30-CT coupling to CRHR1-CT is specific, as GPR30-CT and PSD-95 together cannot co-immunoprecipitate GST alone (lane 4). As a control, PSD-95 alone can co-immunoprecipitate CRHR1-CT (lane 1). IB, immunoblot.
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combined, GPR30 was unable to associate with any of the receptors (data not shown). Additionally, when GPR30-CT was co-expressed with PSD-95 and the C-terminal domain of CRHR1 (CRHR1-CT), GPR30-CT can co-immunoprecipitate with CRHR1-CT (Fig. 8B). The rat CRHR1-CT domain was chimerically expressed as a fusion with GST. When PSD-95 were absent, GPR30-CT was unable to co-immunoprecipitate with CRHR1-CT (Fig. 8B, lane 3). GPR30-CT association with CRHR1-CT was specific, as GPR30-CT was unable to associate with GST in the presence of PSD-95 (Fig. 8B, lane 4), and GPR30-CT could co-immunoprecipitate with CRHR1-CT only when co-expressed with PSD-95 (Fig. 8B, lane 5).

These data suggest that GPR30 has the potential not only to homodimerize with itself but also has the potential to heterodimerize with other GPCRs. Moreover, although PSD-95 may not be required for full-length GPR30 to associate with full-length CRHR1, PSD-95 appears to couple the GPR30-CT to the CRHR1-CT. This would suggest that PSD-95 might stabilize a heterodimeric GPCR partnering between GPR30 and CRHR1 via the receptor C-terminal tails.

**PSD-95 Association Increases the Total Amount of GPR30 Protein at the Plasma Membrane**—PSD-95 demonstrated a specific interaction with GPR30, PSD-95 associated with GPR30 in plasma membrane preparations from the hippocampus, and PSD-95 was able to couple the C termini of GPR30 and CRHR1. Therefore, additional functionality was addressed and how PSD-95 affected GPR30 membrane localization was determined. Based on the ability of PSD-95 to influence GPCR internalization (52), it was hypothesized that PSD-95 might also affect GPR30 internalization from the cell surface.

COS-7 cells were transfected to express GPR30 with or without PSD-95. Transfected cells were also treated with E2 or diltuzer control. Total protein at the cell surface was biotinylated, and biotinylated proteins were streptavidin-precipitated then immunoblotted for recombinant GPR30 protein levels. When compared with untreated COS-7 cells transfected with only GPR30, the addition of PSD-95 increased the plasma membrane levels of GPR30 protein (Fig. 9, lane 1). When PSD-95 was absent, plasma membrane-localized GPR30 levels were reduced to control levels regardless of agonist stimulus (Fig. 9, lanes 2 and 3). The addition of PSD-95 maintained the elevated levels of membrane-localized GPR30, also regardless of agonist stimulus (Fig. 9, lanes 1 and 4). This maintained level was lost when GPR30 could no longer associate with PSD-95 (Fig. 9, lane 5). These data would suggest that one functional significance of a GPR30 and PSD-95 association is that PSD-95 increases the surface residency of GPR30 or reduces the internalization of GPR30 from the plasma membrane.

**DISCUSSION**

**PSD-95 and GPR30 Association**—In this study we sought to investigate the subcellular localization and the initial allosteric interactions of the E2-sensitive GPCR, GPR30. The data presented here identify PSD-95 as a novel, allosteric binding partner for GPR30 both in vitro and in vivo.

PSD-95 is a scaffolding protein that is highly enriched in the PSD of excitatory synapses, and the PSD-95 binding capacity of GPR30 may begin to explain the GPR30-IR pattern that we observed in the hippocampus. Namely, GPR30 labeling has been previously identified in the hippocampus and in hippocampal neurons (6, 7, 10), and as shown in ultra-structural analyses here, GPR30 protein was detectable in the dendritic spine compartment at the PSD in vivo, and GPR30 labeling was detected in PSD-95-positive synaptosomal lysates.

PSD-95 interacts with GPR30 protein, and the PSD-95 binding capacity of GPR30 is highly specific. The CT domain of GPR30 binds to the N-terminal tandem pair of PDZ domains of PSD-95 but does not bind to the third PDZ domain, suggesting that GPR30 may potentially dimerize with other GPCRs to be
found in the dendritic spine. As shown here, GPR30 was able to associate with the progesterone-, corticotropin-, and serotonin-sensitive GPCRs. This PSD-95 binding capacity of the GPR30 receptor suggests that E2 sensitivity is structurally integrated into the post-synaptic compartment of CA1 hippocampal neurons.

**GPR30 Receptor and Estrogen Sensitivity in the Hippocampus**—E2 governs several aspects of the central nervous system (CNS), including cognitive performance, behavior, mood, stress responses, and aging (53).

The hippocampus is a particularly E2-sensitive brain region, and one example of how E2 can govern hippocampal synaptic plasticity is by increasing the dendritic spine density in an N-methyl-d-aspartate receptor-dependent manner (54). The molecular mechanisms that couple E2 effects to spine synaptic plasticity are not well understood but based on recent studies (2) are believed to involve non-genomic actions (55, 56).

E2 can impact synaptic structure by rapidly and transiently altering the actin cytoskeletal network to promote spinogenesis and to advance the dendritic spine maturation profile in hippocampal neurons (57, 58). Such non-genomic E2 effects can also have significant cellular and physiologic consequences as well, as membrane-initiated E2 stimuli can impact neuronal excitability, neurotransmitter release, and neuronal survival (59).

Alongside our previous findings on the nonnuclear localization of estrogen receptor α (ERα) and ERβ in dendritic spines (60, 61), these data on GPR30 and spine localization may begin to provide an additional explanation on how E2 might modulate synaptic plasticity and non-genomic hormone effects in the hippocampus.

**GPR30 Interaction with Other GPCRs**—The identification of GPR30 in spine structures as found here would provide a sub-cellular explanation as to how E2 could directly modulate the synaptic responses to other stimuli. GPR30 could potentially dimerize with other post-synaptic receptors (62, 63) in the hippocampus and thereby contribute to sex differences (64) observed in higher brain functions. If GPR30 can dimerize with other GPCRs, then GPR30 might not act independently but rather collaboratively (65) with other cell type-specific allospecific partners. A GPR30 interaction might allow for the sex hormone estrogen to influence how a hippocampal neuron responds to the various incoming stimuli.

In the dendritic spine, PSD-95 is responsible for assembling different receptors with allospecific partners at the PSD, and PSD-95 is necessary for maintaining the organization of protein complexes within the PSD. Several GPCRs are known to associate with the PDZ domains of PSD-95 via the receptor CT tail, including the β-adrenergic receptor, which associates with the PDZ(3) domain (52), and the somatostatin receptor, which associates with the dimer stabilizing PDZ(1 + 2) domain (66). By targeting the PDZ(1 + 2) domain, GPR30 may dimerize with other PDZ-targeting receptors as well.

For example, progesterone and E2 have antagonist effects in the CNS, and progesterone is able to diminish E2-stimulated spine formation faster than the removal of E2 alone (67). The PMRβ progestin receptor is expressed almost exclusively in the brain (26), and if heterodimeric GPCR pairings can co-modulate one another, then a heterodimeric relationship with GPR30 may explain how PMRβ might offset GPR30 function.

We have demonstrated that CRHR1-IR is in dendritic spines of CA1 neurons (22), and E2 is involved is sex differences seen in stress-related changes in hippocampal-dependent behavior and cognition (48). Although GPR30 is associated with CRHR1 in vitro, the CRHR1-CT also contains a PDZ ligand sequence (-STAV) and can also co-immunoprecipitate with PSD-95. GPR30 co-localizes with CRH (47), and future studies, therefore, should establish and expand on a heterodimeric function between GPR30 and CRHR1.

Likewise, GPR30 and 5HT1aR provide an example of a possible heterodimeric function, as E2 attenuates serotonergic responses in the CNS (68). 5HT1aR-IR is identified in the dendritic spine (69), and GPR30 co-localizes with 5HT1aR in the hypothalamus (47). In the hippocampus, E2 can attenuate 5HT1aR binding, and E2 attenuates 5HT1aR signaling via GPR30 (70). Additional studies are needed to address the functionality of any direct interaction between these two receptors.

**PSD-95 Interaction Functions to Increase the Amount of GPR30 at the Plasma Membrane**—The interaction between GPR30 and PSD-95 is functionally significant, as E2 can be able to inhibit GPR30 internalization from the plasma membrane. This finding is similar to other reports on GPCRs that interact with PSD-95 that also demonstrate PSD-95 is able to suppress the internalization of various GPCRs from the plasma membrane (52, 71, 72). The interaction between GPR30 and PSD-95 might inhibit receptor internalization and thereby increase GPR30 protein levels at the membrane surface by potentially multiple mechanisms.

First, PSD-95 is a palmitoylated protein (73), and such lipid modifications alter the protein structure and increases the hydrophobicity of proteins, causing them to adhere to cellular membranes. PSD-95, therefore, could recruit and hold associated proteins such as GPR30 directly to the plasma membrane due to the specific lipid palmitoyl modifications that would anchor the scaffold and any accompanying proteins to the membrane (74, 75). Likewise, as suggested (52), PSD-95 can scaffold together other proteins to form larger protein complexes that are possibly resistant to internalization. Lastly, PSD-95 association to the cytosolic portions of GPR30 (4, 76, 77) might sterically block the access of other cytosolic proteins that are important for receptor internalization, such as β-arrestins (78). GPR30, however, has been recently shown to undergo clathrin-mediated and potentially β-arrestin-independent constitutive endocytosis instead (79).

This atypical mechanism of receptor internalization can occur with other neuronal GPCRs located at glutamatergic synapses, including the metabotropic glutamate receptors, and it is believed to provide basal cell functions such as regulating neuronal activity and maintaining neuronal polarity (80, 81). Dysregulation of such internalization mechanisms is associated with neurologic disorders including Alzheimer disease (82).

Constitutive internalization is also a cellular mechanism used to regulate a receptor population and distribution at the cell surface. Therefore, constitutive internalization would provide an ongoing, active means to modulate the sensitivities of individual synapses to the incoming stimuli (83, 84).
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Unlike many other GPCRs that have been shown to be internalized by a β-arrestin-dependent and agonist-stimulated mechanism, GPR30 is ubiquitinated at the cell surface and has a short half-life, instead being constantly targeted back to the trans-Golgi network for degradation (79, 85). A continuous removal of surface GPR30 could curb any undesirable non-genomic responses to estrogen that would be initiated at the plasma membrane.

The co-expression with, and the interaction between GPR30 and PSD-95 via PDZ binding appear to increase the amount of GPR30 at the plasma membrane surface. The presence or absence of agonist did not appear to affect the level of GPR30 in the plasma membrane, and this observation is consistent with the previous report describing an agonist-independent internalization of GPR30 receptor (79).

A possible explanation for this observation is that GPCRs that bind to PDZ domain-containing proteins can delay constitutive internalization via an association with a subset of clathrin-coated pits, prolonging the surface residence time of the GPCR (86) and targeting the GPCR for select endosomal destinations (87) such as the trans-Golgi network.

This PDZ-dependent mechanism would, however, appear to also include β-arrestin (86), and one contrasting study has in fact demonstrated that GPR30 is involved in an agonist-stimulated recruitment of β-arrestin to the plasma membrane (88). This discrepancy in GPR30 internalization would be similar to the neuronal GPCR metabotropic glutamate receptor 1a, which also utilizes both β-arrestin-dependent as well as independent mechanisms at the synapse for constitutive internalization (89, 90).

Although it is possible that plasma membrane localization is not required for GPR30-mediated signaling (91, 92) because the E2 agonist is a readily membrane-permeable steroid hormone, by increasing the surface residence time at the plasma membrane, the association between GPR30 and PSD-95 would increase the potential opportunities for GPR30 to interact functionally (6, 47, 70, 93, 95) with other receptors in the dendritic spine.

Moreover, the two mutually supportive lines of evidence presented here also support the suggestion that both endogenous and recombinant GPR30 protein can exist at the plasma membrane (18, 96); first, by isolated plasma membrane preparations from the rat hippocampus in vivo and, second, by the biotinylation of surface proteins in vitro. Previous confocal microscopy studies on cultured cells have questioned the localization of GPR30 at the plasma membrane (97, 98), but the evidence demonstrated here would suggest that GPR30 protein indeed can be localized at the plasma membrane.

The allosteric interaction between GPR30 and PSD-95 provides a molecular means underpinning the dendritic spine localization of GPR30 observed in vivo. The PSD-95 binding capacity of GPR30 suggests that the receptor is biochemically networked into the post-synaptic structure, allowing E2 to participate in the neuromodulation of synaptic plasticity and transmission directly via non-genomic action as part of a supra-molecular complex. The spatiotemporal availability of allosteric components assembling with GPR30 may provide an explanation as to how estrogen can modulate brain function, and different spatiotemporal protein assemblies surrounding GPR30 may explain how estrogen introduces different responses in different brain regions during development and aging (51, 94).
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