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Thermal spin dynamics of yttrium iron garnet
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Yttrium Iron Garnet is the prototypical material used to study pure spin currents. It is a complex material with 20 magnetic atoms in the unit cell. Almost all theories and experimental analysis approximates this complicated material to a simple ferromagnet with a single spin wave mode. We use the method of atomistic spin dynamics to study the temperature evolution of the full 20 mode exchange spin wave spectrum. Our results show a strong frequency dependence of the modes in quantitative agreement with neutron scattering experiments. We find this causes in a reduction in the net spin pumping due to the thermal occupation of optical modes with the opposite polarization to the FMR mode.

Introduction – Spin transport in magnetic insulators has attracted much interest since the experimental demonstration of the Spin Seebeck effect (SSE) [1]. The field of study is broadly termed spin caloritronics and encompasses the coupling between spin, charge and heat currents [2]. Typical experimental setups consist of bilayers of a ferrimagnetic insulator coated with a thin metallic film possessing a large spin Hall angle. Of special interest is the ferrimagnetic insulator Yttrium Iron Garnet (YIG) due to its very low damping, \( \alpha \approx 10^{-5} \) and therefore long-lived spin waves [3, 4]. The magnetism is carried by localised Fe moments in 8 tetrahedral (minority) and 12 octahedral (majority) oxygen cages per unit cell, with an anti-parallel ferrimagnetic state between the two coordinations. However, most recent theories and experiments treat YIG as a ferro-magnet with a single, parabolic spin wave mode [5, 6], simply because the influence of YIG’s complex electronic and magnetic structure on spin transport is not known. Ohnuma et al. [7] introduced a simple two mode model to describe the basic aspects of ferrimagnetic dynamics, but its spectrum bares little resemblance to that of YIG [3]. In this Letter we show that the frequencies and line widths of spin waves in YIG are strongly temperature dependent. We find that at room temperature higher frequency spin wave modes are significant occupied. Optical modes with opposite polarization to the acoustic mode turn out to have a disproportionate effect on spin transport and must be taken into account when modelling or interpreting, for example, the spin Seebeck effect.

Experimentally, techniques such as Brillouin light scattering give access to the long wavelength, GHz frequency, dipole spin waves [8]. Studying the THz frequency ‘exchange’ spin wave modes requires expensive inelastic neutron scattering experiments [9]. The role of the high frequency ‘thermal’ magnons remains poorly understood, despite their importance in interpreting recent experiments [10–14]. The present theory focuses on interface dominated transport, not addressing the possibly dominant contribution of sub-thermal magnons to the spin Seebeck effect in thick YIG layers [10, 15, 16]. Since spin wave spectra are material specific, improving the understanding of general characteristics could aid in the selection of materials to progress towards applications such as sensing and heat scavenging [17, 18].

Atomic model – YIG is an insulator with a large electronic band gap. The Fe\(^{3+}\) ion d-shells are half-filled with spin value of \( S = 5/2 \) and can be modeled with the Heisenberg Hamiltonian

\[
\mathcal{H} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} J_{ij} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j - \sum_i \mu_s B \cdot \mathbf{S}_i. \tag{1}
\]

Here \( J_{ij} \) is the isotropic exchange energy between (normalized) spins with \( |\mathbf{S}_i| = 1 \), where the indices \( i, j \) enumerate sites on the YIG crystal lattice. The Fe\(^{3+}\) magnetic moment is \( \mu_s = g \sqrt{S(S+1)} \mu_B = 5.96 \mu_B \) (\( \mu_B \) is the Bohr magneton). An external field \( B_z = 0.01 \) T defines the spin quantization (\( z \) axis). The crystal magnetic anisotropy and dipolar interaction of YIG are negligibly small compared to THz frequencies of thermal spin waves and disregarded here. Neutron scattering [9] indicates that nearest neighbor exchange dominates and we adopt \( J_{aa} = -9.60 \times 10^{-21} \) J, \( J_{dd} = -3.24 \times 10^{-21} \) J and \( J_{ad} = -0.92 \times 10^{-21} \) J [3], where the subscripts refer to the majority (\( d \)) and minority (\( a \)) spins. Since all couplings are antiferromagnetic, and the competition between inter- and intra-sublattice exchange can cause frustration. However, the strongly dominant inter-sublattice \( J_{ad} \) renders the perfectly anti-collinear ground state stable. By Metropolis Monte-Carlo calculations [19] we compute the magnetization \( \mathbf{m} = \frac{1}{N'} \sum_{i} \mathbf{S}_{a,i} + \frac{1}{N'} \sum_{i} \mathbf{S}_{d,i} \) as a function of temperature and find a Curie temperature of 520 K close to the experimental value of 559 K [20] and in agreement with other calculations [21]. Throughout this work we address a bulk system by periodic boundary conditions for a supercell of repeated unit cells.

The spin wave spectrum can be obtained by diagonalizing the Hamiltonian (1) [3] but non-linear effects such magnon-magnon interactions, thermal noise and damping require a different approach. At finite temperatures, the spin moments fluctuate around the local equilibrium state. The exchange coupling correlates the motion of all moments, giving rise to collective spin waves. The dynamical structure factor (or spin wave power spectrum) as measured by inelastic neutron scattering is the Fourier transform of spatio-temporal spin-spin correlation functions. Here we compute the local spin dynamics, and from them the structure factor, thereby avoiding...
a magnon ansatz. Magnon-magnon interactions are implicit within this approach. Therefore, in our results magnon interactions to the highest order allowed by the symmetries of the Hamiltonian are included. However, we are cannot specify the nature and consequences of the magnon scattering processes as is possible in a many-magnon basis expansion.

The spin dynamics is described by the atomistic Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert equation (LLG) based on the Hamiltonian (1)

$$\frac{dS_i}{dt} = -\frac{|\gamma|}{(1+\lambda^2)\mu_s,i}(S_i \times H_i + \lambda S_i \times S_i \times H_i).$$ (2)

The local effective field is $H_i = \xi_i - \partial H/\partial S_i$ where $\xi_i$ is a stochastic term with

$$\langle \xi_i(t) \rangle = 0; \quad \langle \xi_i(t) \xi_j(t') \rangle = \delta_{ij}\delta(t-t')2\lambda\mu_sk_B T/\gamma.$$ (3)

where $\langle \cdots \rangle$ denotes the statistical time average. The gyromagnetic ratio is $\gamma = 1.76 \times 10^{11}$ rad s$^{-1}$T$^{-1}$. The measured Gilbert damping $\alpha$ of ferrimagnets is a combination of the damping parameters of the sublattices. According to Wangness’ formula $\alpha = (\lambda_a M_a + \lambda_d M_d)/(M_a - M_d)$ [22]. Here we use the damping parameter $\lambda = \lambda_a = \lambda_d = 2 \times 10^{-5}$ giving $\alpha = 10^{-4}$, which is a typical (although not record) value. We solve the stochastic Langevin equation (2) with the Heun method, using a time step of $\Delta t = 0.1$ fs. The low damping requires careful equilibration. We first use a Metropolis Monte-Carlo algorithm to converge to the equilibrium magnetization. We then integrate the LLG dynamically for 1 ns, which is sufficient to achieve a steady state regime in the presence of noise. Finally we collect data for 0.5 ns which is Fourier transformed in space and time to distill the spectral information.

In our classical formalism the thermal noise is white, and through equipartition the system obeys Rayleigh-Jeans rather than Planck or Bose-Einstein statistics for magnons. Our results at low temperatures and high energies do not capture possible quantum effects. However, at elevated temperatures quantum effects are suppressed by magnon-magnon interactions and classical spin models can be expected to give a good agreement with experiments.

The spin wave spectrum is revealed in terms of structures in the space-time Fourier transform of the spin fluctuations. The Fourier representation of the spin dynamics reads

$$S_k(q, \omega) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{N_c} \sum_{n=1}^{N_c} \sum_r e^{i\mathbf{q} \cdot (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{p}_n)} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{i\omega t} S_{k,n}(\mathbf{r}, t) dt$$ (4)

where $\mathbf{p}_n$ is the position of the $n$-th spin (of a total of $N_c = 20$) in the unit cell and $k = x, y, z$. The $q$-space resolution is determined by the system size of $64 \times 64 \times 64$ unit cells 5,242,880 spins.

Theoretical fluctuations reduce the magnetic order $\langle S_{z,a} \rangle$, $\langle S_{z,d} \rangle$ and thereby the exchange field $\Delta$, as observed in Fig. 1. Our calculations agree very well with neutron scattering experiments [9] (Fig. 2). We emphasize that our exchange constants $J_{ij}$ are not temperature dependent - the effect is caused by statistical mechanics alone. Below $\hbar \omega = k_B T$ (dashed line) spin waves modes are thermally occupied. The occupation above this line is small and technically governed by quantum statistics, but unimportant for (near to) equilibrium properties. Far below room temperature only the ferromagnetic-like acoustic mode is significantly occupied and the use of a single parabolic spin wave model is justified. However, at room temperature and above, this approach breaks down and the effects reported here must be taken into account in order to understand the properties of YIG.

Our method allows a comprehensive treatment of the non-linear thermodynamics from low temperatures up to the magnetic phase transition. The magnon ansatz often used to describe spin dynamics is based on the Holstein-Primakoff transformation expanded to low order in the number of magnons. A larger number of thermally excited magnons initially can be captured by magnon-magnon interactions. These reduce the magnon lifetime as reflected by an increased broadening of the spectral function. We confirmed this is not a result of poor statistical averaging by comparing the linewidths for 0.1 ns and 0.5 ns of data, finding quantitatively similar results. When the broadening becomes larger than the spin wave energy split-
FIG. 1. (Color online) YIG spin wave spectrum as calculated for different temperatures. The dashed lines mark $2\pi \hbar f = k_B T$. The red/blue coloring denotes the +/- mode polarization relative to the magnetization direction.

FIG. 2. (Color online). Temperature dependence of the spin wave gap. Blue circles are the calculations in this work, red points are the neutron scattering data of Plant [9]. The shaded area marks $\hbar \omega < k_B T$. The dashed line is the reduction in exchange field due to spin fluctuation.

ings, the magnon concept breaks down completely. This picture is illustrated by Fig. 1 in which we observe that with increasing temperature the flat modes completely melt into an incoherent background that can no longer be interpreted in terms of spin waves. However, the coherence of the fundamental acoustic and optical modes turns out to be remarkably robust against thermal fluctuations: the line widths as well as the parabolic curvature, a metric of spin wave stiffness, hardly change with temperature. Our formalism can provide information about individual local moment fluctuations irrespective of the coherence of the spin wave excitations, which can be used to shed light into this remarkable behavior. In Fig. 3 we plot the site-resolved contributions to the power spectrum. We clearly see that the fundamental acoustic and optic modes are homogeneously spread over the unit cell, while the other modes are strongly localized on one of the local moments that are consequently coupled by the small $J_{aa}$ and $J_{dd}$ exchange constants. The spin waves with a flat dispersion are slack and susceptible to thermal agitation. This is a form of dynamic localization which is unrelated to ‘Anderson’ localization in disordered systems.

The resilience of both fundamental modes agrees with observations [24] but appears to contradict common wisdom that the spin waves stiffness decreases linearly with temperature [25]. The reason for the anomalous behaviour of YIG have not been well understood, especially in the higher temperature regime where the optical magnons become involved [3]. The present results indicate that the decoupling of the fundamental extended modes from the floppy localized modes, as discussed above, protects the spin wave stiffness as well as the lifetime.

Spin pumping – is the emission of spin currents from a magnetic material into metal contacts by the magnetization dynamics. The latter can be driven for example by microwaves...
and ferromagnet resonance or by thermal excitations. In the presence of a temperature difference, the imbalance between thermal spin pumping and spin transfer torque from the metal causes net spin currents that can be converted into voltages by the inverse spin Hall effect, i.e. the spin Seebeck effect. Spin pumping and SSE are interface effects that are proportional to the spin-mixing interface conductance and equal time and space spin correlation functions of the magnet at the interface [5]. When the interface correlations are identical to that in the bulk, i.e. are not perturbed by the presence of the interfaces (Golden Rule or tunneling approximation), our simulations provide direct access to the spin Seebeck effect except for a constant that contains the spin mixing conductance, spin Hall angle and temperature gradient. Our approach does not address surface spin wave states or spin-pumping induced enhanced damping. For samples much thicker than the magnon relaxation lengths thermal gradients induce magnon spin and heat transport in the bulk of the ferromagnet, which have been held responsible for e.g. YIG layer thickness dependence of the SSE [6, 10, 12]. These effects are beyond the scope of the present study, however.

The spin mixing conductance of an interface between a metal and a magnetic insulator with local moments is governed by the exchange integrals between the local moments and the conduction electrons [26]. The DC pumped spin current then reads

\[ I_A = \frac{\hbar}{4\pi} g_{11}^\uparrow \sum_i (S_i \times \dot{S}_i) \]

where \( N_A \) are the number of moments at the interface \( A \). Averaging over the (weak) dependence of the mixing conductance on the specific interface [26] and to leading order in the transverse dynamics \( \langle I \rangle = \frac{\hbar}{4\pi} g_{11}^\uparrow S/ (4\pi) \), where the equal time and space correlation function

\[ S = \frac{1}{N_c} \sum_{i,j} (\dot{S}_{y,i} S_{x,j} - \dot{S}_{x,i} S_{y,j}) \]

can be obtained either directly from the simulations or by integrating the spectral power functions discussed above over frequency and momenta. At equilibrium this is exactly compensated by the thermal spin transfer torque-induced currents from the metal. The observed spin Seebeck voltage then reads, to leading order, \( \Delta V_{SSE} = S \partial T \), where \( \partial T \) is the temperature gradient over the sample. We do not address the proportionality constant here. The correlation functions that govern electric spin injection, chemical potential-driven transport [13], which might be relevant for the spin Seebeck effect [27], as well as bulk magnonic transport that dominates the signal for thick ferromagnets, are subject of ongoing study. In Fig. 4 we plot \( S \) as a function of temperature for YIG as well as for a hypothetical ferromagnet with parallel moments on a simple BCC lattice (FM) that is tuned to the same saturation magnetization and Curie temperature as YIG.

Both the ferrimagnet YIG and the FM show similar features. An increasing temperature initially increases spin pumping by enhanced thermal agitation. Close to the critical temperature spin pumping collapses to zero at \( T_C \) together with the net magnetization. The YIG spin pumping is maximized close to 300 K, i.e. far from the critical region of the phase transition. This is caused by the increasing thermal occupation of the high frequency optical mode with opposite polarization, which plays a significant role above 300 K (see Fig. 2). A similar effect causes the low temperature sign change of the spin Seebeck signal in GdIG [14]. On the other hand, the thermal occupation of the optical modes actually enhances the net magnetization rather than decreasing it [3].

Uchida et al. [28] observed a power law \( \Delta V_{SSE} \sim (T_C - T)^3 \) close to the Curie temperature \( T_C \), while the magnetization scales \( \sim (T_C - T)^{1/2} \) as expected from mean-field theory. Here we find the same critical exponent \( 1/2 \) for both the magnetization and SSE effect, which can be rationalized in terms of the spin wave gap that is closed in proportion with the exchange field. The anomalous scaling found experimentally must be attributed to physical effects not related to the dynamic susceptibility. A strong suppression of spin transport in the ferrimagnet by large thermal fluctuations is a possible explanation. On the other hand, the present spin Seebeck theory is based on the Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert equation which might not hold close to the phase transition. More study is needed to understand the spin Seebeck effect close to the critical regime.

**Conclusion** – We present atomistic simulations of the spin dynamics of the electrically insulating ferrimagnet yttrium iron garnet with application to the spin Seebeck effect. The calculations transcend previous theories by taking fully into account (i) the complicated crystal and ferrimagnetic structure and (ii) the non-linearities caused by magnon-magnon interactions at elevated temperatures. We observe a remarkable resilience of the fundamental acoustic and optical modes with respect to thermal agitation, which is explained by their large dispersion and spatial isolation from numerous floppy modes with large heat capacity. At room temperature and above, the ferrimagnetic optical mode is significantly occupied. Its nega-
tive polarization leads to a suppression of thermal spin-pumping and spin Seebeck effect. The critical exponent observed for the spin Seebeck effect [28] remains as yet unexplained.
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