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Summary

The bacterial cell wall is made of peptidoglycan (PG), a polymer that is essential for maintenance of cell shape and survival. Many bacteria alter their PG chemistry as a strategy to adapt their cell wall to external challenges. Therefore, identifying these environmental cues is important to better understand the interplay between microbes and their habitat. Here, we used the soil bacterium *Pseudomonas putida* to uncover cell wall modulators from plant extracts and found canavanine (CAN), a non-proteinogenic amino acid. We demonstrated that cell wall chemical editing by CAN is licensed by *P. putida* BSAR, a broad-spectrum racemase which catalyses production of DL-CAN from L-CAN, which is produced by many legumes. Importantly, d-CAN diffuses to the extracellular milieu thereby having a potential impact on other organisms inhabiting the same niche. Our results show that d-CAN alters dramatically the PG structure of Rhizobiales (e.g., *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*, *Sinorhizobium meliloti*), impairing PG crosslinkage and cell division. Using *A. tumefaciens*, we demonstrated that the detrimental effect of d-CAN is suppressed by a single amino acid substitution in the cell division PG transpeptidase penicillin binding protein 3a. Collectively, this work highlights the role of amino acid racemization in cell wall chemical editing and fitness.

Introduction

Bacteria establish a myriad of complex social structures with other living organisms in the biosphere that frequently involve competitive and cooperative behaviours (Keller and Surette, 2006; Duran et al., 2018). For instance, many mutualists rely on each other for nutrients and protection (Mandel et al., 2009; Poliakov et al., 2011; Kim et al., 2019). Evolution has consolidated these partnerships by selecting specific mechanisms that provide a mutual benefit to the partners, making the interactions more efficient and robust. A representative example of mutualism is the case of legume plants and rhizobia bacteria. Legumes produce flavonoid signals to recruit nitrogen fixing bacteria to the plant. Microbes provide nitrogen in return for energy-containing carbohydrates (Djordjevic et al., 1987; Long, 1989; Shaw et al., 2006). Nowadays, it is considered that these types of plant–bacteria interactions are more widespread in nature than was previously thought (Doebeli and Knowlton, 1998; Berendsen et al., 2018).

The development of specific social relationships often requires communication strategies. One such strategy is the production and release of small diffusible molecules, which facilitate interactions between organisms in the distance and often are instrumental to shape the biodiversity, dynamics and ultimately, the biological functions of the ecosystems (Scott et al., 2008). Many taxonomically unrelated bacteria produce non-canonical d-amino acids (NCDAAs) to the extracellular milieu in order to regulate diverse cellular processes at a population level. The regulatory properties of NCDAAs seem to be specific for each d-AA, e.g., d-Met and d-Leu downregulate peptidoglycan(PG) synthesis (Lam et al., 2009; Cava et al., 2011; Hernandez et al., 2020), d-Ala represses spore germination (Hills, 1949) and d-Arg affects phosphate uptake (Alvarez et al., 2018; reviewed in Aliashkevich et al., 2018).

The modulatory effects of NCDAAs on the cell wall require that these molecules replace the canonical d-Alanine located at the terminal position (fourth or fifth) of
the PG peptide stems. NCDAAs editing at fourth position is catalysed by \( \delta \)-transpeptidases (Ldts), which are enzymes involved in PG crosslinking (i.e., dimer synthesis) through the formation of meso-diaminopimelic acid (mDAP-mDAP) peptide bridges (Cava et al., 2011). In contrast, incorporation of NCDAAs at the fifth position is mediated by penicillin binding proteins (PBPs) with \( \delta \)-transpeptidase activity (Kuru et al., 2019) or by synthesis of modified precursors in the cytoplasmic de novo synthetic pathway (Cava et al., 2011). These changes in muropeptides composition induced by NCDAAs can have an obvious impact on many enzymes, which synthesize and remodel the PG.

Production of many NCDAAs depends on the enzyme broad-spectrum racemase (Bsr), which converts \( L \)-amino acids, protein building blocks, into \( \delta \)-AAs (Espaillat et al., 2014). In \textit{Vibrio cholerae}, BsrV is located in the periplasmic space (Lam et al., 2009), which is consistent with the prediction of a signal peptide in most Bsr homologues (Espaillat et al., 2014). Distribution of Bsr-bacteria across diverse ecological niches (Espaillat et al., 2014) together with the metabolic investment in producing NCDAAs suggests an important physiological role for these molecules. It is worth mentioning that the capacity to incorporate NCDAAs in the PG is widespread in bacteria. The fact that non-Bsr organisms can be also influenced by PG editing suggests that NCDAAs can act as engines of biodiversification within poly-microbial communities (Alvarez et al., 2018).

Although the implications of NCDAAs in microbial ecology are rapidly growing, yet most studies focus on the production of \( \delta \)-AAs from their proteinogenic \( L \)-counterparts while non-proteinogenic amino acids are much less studied. Here, we report that broad spectrum amino acid racemase of the soil bacterium \textit{Pseudomonas putida} (BSAR) can effectively produce \( \delta \)-canavanine (\( \delta \)-CAN) from \( L \)-CAN, an allelopathic non-proteinogenic amino acid produced by many agronomically important legumes (e.g., alfalfa, jack beans) in high amounts (Bell, 1958; Rosenthal and Nkomo, 2000). \( L \)-CAN is located primarily in seeds and serves not only as protection against herbivores, but also as nitrogen storage, and reaches 5% of the total seed dry matter in \textit{Canavalia ensiformis} (jack bean) and even exceeds 10% of the total seed dry matter in \textit{Dioclea megacarpa} (Rosenthal, 1970, 1977).

Previous studies have reported that \( L \)-CAN inhibits growth of plants that do not produce \( L \)-CAN (e.g., tomato, cabbage) due to the induction of systemic protein misfolding associated with the capacity of \( L \)-CAN to replace \( L \)-Arginine in proteins (Rosenthal and Dahlman, 1991; Miersch et al., 1992).

Since \( \delta \)-CAN diffuses from \textit{P. putida} to the extracellular media, we hypothesized that this \( \delta \)-AA might impact the fitness and/or physiology of nearby bacteria in line with previous studies that highlight the role of interspecies competition in modulating the plant microbiome (Hibbing et al., 2010; Bakker et al., 2014). Our results suggest that while \( L \)-CAN is less toxic to \textit{Arabidopsis thaliana} growth, the former is more inhibitory to certain rhizosphere-associated bacteria. Further mechanistic investigation revealed that \( \delta \)-CAN is incorporated in high amounts in the cell wall of Rhizobiales species. Cell wall chemical editing by \( L \)-CAN affects PG structure which causes cell division impairment and fitness loss. Using the plant pathogen \textit{Agrobacterium tumefaciens}, we demonstrated that \( L \)-CAN deleterious effects on cell wall integrity can be alleviated by just a single amino acid substitution in the cell division PG transpeptidase penicillin binding protein 3a (PBP3a).

Results

\textit{Bacterial racemization of CAN licences its incorporation into the cell wall}

To identify new environmental modulators of the bacterial cell wall, we tested the capacity of alfalfa (\textit{Medicago sativa}) seed extract to induce changes in the PG chemical structure of \textit{Pseudomonas putida} – a bacterium, which is an efficient root and rhizosphere colonizer with a wide catabolic potential and plant growth-promoting properties (Weller, 1988; Molina et al., 2000; Fig. 1A). We found that \textit{P. putida} PG profile displayed a new potential muropeptide (peak 3) when supplemented with the extract (Fig. 1B). By mass spectrometry, we identified that peak 3 corresponded to a disaccharide tetrapeptide where the C-terminal \( \delta \)-Alanine was replaced by a molecule with monoisotopic mass of 176.115 mass units (u) (Fig. S1, Fig. S2A and B). In \textit{silico} search using the biologic magnetic resonance data bank (https://brmb.io/mass_query.php) for compounds with similar masses to the one identified (± 0.025 u) and preferably containing amino groups pointed \( L \)-CAN as the most likely candidate, as this is a non-proteinogenic amino acid produced by legumes. Consistently, PG analysis of \textit{P. putida} cultures supplemented with pure \( L \)-CAN showed a peak with similar retention time and MS fragmentation profile as peak 3 (Fig. 1C, Fig. S2C and D), which further co-eluted when the first was purified and spiked in the PG sample of \textit{P. putida} grown with alfalfa seed extract (Fig. S2E). Therefore, we renamed peak 3 as M4\textit{CAN}. Additionally, PG of \textit{P. putida} cultures grown with \( L \)-CAN also displayed a dimeric muropeptide containing CAN in fourth position of the peptide moiety (D44\textit{CAN}) (Fig. 1C, Fig. S2C and F).

Since the fourth position in the peptide moiety of muropeptides is normally restricted to \( \delta \)-AAs, we hypothesized that \textit{P. putida} might have produced \( L \)-CAN from...
l-CAN. In fact, *P. putida* genome encodes a periplasmic broad-spectrum amino acid racemase (PP3722; renamed from alanine racemase Alr to BSAR by Radkov and Moe) (Radkov and Moe, 2013, 2018). To test whether PP3722 could racemize CAN, we purified the protein and performed in vitro racemization assays using pure l-CAN as substrate. Indeed, using High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC), we observed that BSAR converted l-CAN into a mixture of dL-CAN (Fig. 1D). Consistently, deletion of BSAR in *P. putida* produced a strain incapable to make d-CAN-containing muropeptides in l-CAN supplemented cultures (Figs. S3A and S3B). PG edited with CAN was produced by *P. putida ΔBSAR* only when exogenously supplemented with dL-CAN (Fig. 1E).
Since we did not succeed in purifying D-CAN, we used DL-CAN racemic mixture as a source of D-CAN (DL-CAN). In addition, supplementation of wild-type P. putida with diverse L-AAs (Ala, Leu, Ser, Met, Arg and CAN) resulted in measurable levels of D-AAs in supernatant. Conversely, no D-AAs were detected in BSAR deficient strain, except for low levels of D-Ala, which likely resulted from the alanine racemase activity (Fig. S3C).

In agreement with these results, DL-CAN containing supernatants (from wild-type (wt) P. putida)induced production of D-CAN muropeptides in Escherichia coli, a bacterium that lacks broad-spectrum racemase (Fig. S3D), indicating that D-CAN diffuses to the environment most likely through outer membrane porins from P. putida cells and can modify PG of other bacteria. As expected, no D-CAN muropeptides were induced in E. coli when this bacterium was cultured with preconditioned media from the ΔBSAR strain. Collectively, these results indicate that bacterial broad-spectrum racemase BSAR can change the chirality of plant-derived amino acid L-CAN, thereby licensing its D-form for PG editing.

**Enantiomerization changes the functionality of CAN**

Previous studies showed that production of L-CAN by legumes underlies a defensive strategy against certain competitors (e.g., plants, insects; Dahlman and Rosenthal, 1975, 1976; Miersch et al., 1992) based on the incorporation of this toxic atypical amino acid into proteins due to its chemical similarities with L-arginine (Pines et al., 1982; Rosenthal et al., 1989; Rosenthal and Dahlman, 1991). Compared to L-CAN, there is virtually no information about D-CAN. Thus, to understand the biological role of this D-AAs, we first checked if DL-CAN displayed the same activity as L-CAN. In agreement with previous reports, L-CAN inhibited root growth of A. thaliana seedlings at 5 μM concentration with the resulting root length almost three times shorter than in control (Fig. 2). However, the average root length in the presence of DL-CAN 5 μM was 1.5 times longer than that grown with the same concentration of L-CAN suggesting that CAN enantiomers have different functions. Indeed, additional experiments comparing lengths at L-CAN 5 μM versus DL-CAN 10 μM (i.e., 5 μM D-CAN + 5 μM L-CAN), and L-CAN 10 μM versus DL-CAN 20 μM (i.e., 10 μM D-CAN + 10 μM L-CAN), where in both cases molar ratio of L-form is the same, revealed no significant differences between them (Fig. S4A) and suggests that only L-CAN inhibits root development in A. thaliana. Interestingly, in addition to tap root length, development of lateral roots and root hairs were also affected by L-CAN, but not by D-CAN (Fig. S4B). Collectively, these results stress the idea that CAN enantiomers have different activities.

**DL-CAN severely alters cell wall composition in Rhizobiales**

To ascertain the physiological role of DL-CAN, we investigated its effect on bacterial growth using diverse bacteria species that could potentially be exposed to this amino acid in the natural environment. We found that Rhizobiales were the most affected species by DL-CAN (Fig. 3A) while P. putida growth was not affected even at high levels of DL-CAN (up to 10 mM) (Fig. S5A) suggesting that producer species (i.e., encoding a broad-spectrum racemase) might have developed tolerance to DL-CAN.

Although DL-CAN induced PG modifications in all species tested, Rhizobiales displayed the highest levels of muroCAN, i.e., ca. 40% of the muropeptides were edited by D-CAN both in the fourth and fifth positions of the peptide moieties (Fig. 3A and B, Fig. S5B).

To investigate the consequences of D-CAN incorporation on the PG architecture, we added increasing concentrations of D-CAN to A. tumefaciens and monitored fluctuation of the different PG components. Our results show that DL-CAN causes a dramatic increase in pentapeptides (M5 and D45) (Fig. 3B and C), and a reduction in crosslinkage due to lower amount of LD-crosslinked muropeptides (Fig. 3D). L-CAN alone did not change A. tumefaciens PG crosslinkage at tested concentration (Fig. S5C).
To know if the effects of DL-CAN in *A. tumefaciens* extend to other Rhizobiales, we analysed PG composition in the legume symbiont *Sinorhizobium meliloti*. As in *A. tumefaciens*, we found the same types of D-CAN modified muropeptides and increased levels of monomers in *S. meliloti* treated with DL-CAN (Figs. S5D and S5E). Interestingly, we had to use lower concentration of the compound, since *S. meliloti* was more sensitive to DL-CAN than *A. tumefaciens*. Collectively, our data demonstrates that DL-CAN can alter cell wall composition of certain Rhizobiales and affect their growth.

**DL-CAN impairs viability and cell separation**

To gain further insights on DL-CAN’s mechanism of action, we cultured *A. tumefaciens* with or without L- or DL-CAN and monitored growth and morphology. Our
results showed that DL-CAN inhibited growth of *A. tumefaciens* in liquid culture and induced lysis, branching and bulging (Fig. 4A). No significant changes in growth or morphology were caused by L-CAN (Fig. 4A) further strengthening the idea that these enantiomers have different functions. To get more quantitative insights of the morphological defects caused by DL-CAN, we measured cell length, longitudinal position of the constriction (Fig. 4B) and the number of constrictions per cell (Fig. 4C). While in the untreated culture, or in cultures treated with L-CAN, *A. tumefaciens* division sites localized slightly closer to the new pole (Fig. 4B and Fig. S6A), in DL-CAN treated cultures cells were up to 1.5 times longer and the position of the constrictions exhibited a more scattered pattern (Fig. 4B). In addition, untreated cells and cells treated with L-CAN had 0 or 1 constriction per cell, while DL-CAN induced up to five constrictions per cell (Fig. 4C and Fig. S6A) further supporting that DL-CAN interferes with the cell division.

As before, *S. meliloti* grown on DL-CAN recapitulated the results obtained with *A. tumefaciens* on growth and morphology (Fig. S6B, C and D).
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**Fig 4.** DL-CAN inhibits growth of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* and leads to aberrant cell morphology.

A. Growth curves of *A. tumefaciens* in the absence (control) or presence of L-CAN 5 mM or DL-CAN 10 mM, and phase contrast images of *A. tumefaciens* cells without (control) or supplemented with L-CAN 5 mM or DL-CAN 10 mM. Scale bar 2 μm.

B. Longitudinal position of cell constriction in *A. tumefaciens* cells without (control) or with DL-CAN 10 mM. New pole is marked by green colour, old pole – by blue.

C. Number of constrictions per cell in *A. tumefaciens* grown without (control) or with DL-CAN 10 mM.
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Single amino acid substitution in the cell division PG transpeptidase PBP3a partially suppresses dl-CAN detrimental effect

To identify the molecular targets of dl-CAN, we screened for suppressor mutants. Characterization of the single-nucleotide polymorphism by genome sequencing revealed a K537R substitution in the primary cell division transpeptidase PBP3a (atu2100; Cameron et al., 2014; Figueroa-Cuilan and Brown, 2018). Phyre2 alignments (Kelley et al., 2015) of A. tumefaciens PBP3a to crystalized PBP3 proteins localized K537 in the loop between β5 and λ11, close to the active-site cleft (Fig. 5A).

Reconstruction of the K537R mutation (i.e., A. tumefaciens PBP3a[K537R]) by allelic exchange to confirm the role of this single-nucleotide polymorphism recapitulated the suppressor tolerance to dl-CAN (Fig. 5B). Interestingly, K537R substitution appeared to be specific since it did not suppress the growth inhibitory effect of d-AAs other than d-Arg, a chemical analogue of d-CAN (Fig. S7). No difference in the growth of the wt and PBP3a[K537R] strains was detected in the absence or presence of l-CAN (Fig. S8A).

Both wild-type vs. the PBP3a[K537R] strains showed similar levels of d-CAN containing mureptides (muroCAN) in cultures supplemented with dl-CAN indicating that the suppressing role of the PBP3a[K537R] mutations is not associated with a reduction of d-CAN incorporation in the PG (Fig. S8B).

Consistent with a potential negative effect of dl-CAN on PBP3a activity, the PBP3a[K537R] strain showed a reduction in the accumulation of pentapeptides (i.e., M5) compared to that of the wild-type in the presence of dl-CAN (Fig. 5C and Fig. S8C). Overall crosslinkage levels and particularly ld-crosslinkage also improved in the PBP3a[K537R] strain (Fig. 5D and Fig. S8D), while no difference between strains was observed in control condition (Fig. S8E). Similarly, altered cell length and constriction positioning in the presence of dl-CAN improved in the PBP3a[K537R] strain compared to wt (Fig. 5E), while no difference was observed in the control condition or in the presence of l-CAN (Fig. 5E and Fig. S8F). Collectively, these data show that a single mutation in PBP3a transpeptidase improves A. tumefaciens fitness in the presence of dl-CAN.

Discussion

Bacteria can edit the canonical chemistry of their cell wall as a strategy to cope with environmental challenges (Horcajo et al., 2012; Espaillat et al., 2016; Yadav et al., 2018). As PG can be modified by secreted molecules, we reasoned that we could use bacteria as a biochemical trap to discover elusive environmental modulators of the cell wall. To test this, we exposed plant-derived soluble extracts to the soil bacteria P. putida and discovered CAN as a new PG modulator. The fact that l-CAN was previously reported to be produced by legume plants (Bell, 1958; Rosenthal and Nkomo, 2000) further supported the efficacy of our screening. However, CAN was found at the terminal position of the PG peptide moieties, which is reserved for d-AAs (Lam et al., 2009). Remarkably, we found that P. putida encodes a Bsr that changes the chirality of CAN to permit its incorporation in the bacterial PG. Collectively, these observations underscore a fascinating example of interspecies metabolic crosstalk where a plant-derived metabolite (l-CAN) is transformed by a bacterial enzyme (BSAR) into a previously unrecognized molecule (d-CAN; Fig. 6). Discovery of d-CAN adds to a growing list of metabolites produced as a result of plant–soil feedbacks and contributes to chemical ecology (PlANCHAMP et al., 2015; Etalo et al., 2018; Hu et al., 2018).

Since amino acid enantiomers have different functions, l- to dl-CAN racemization and diffusion of d-CAN into surroundings may lead to multiple environmental effects. On one side, BSAR racemization of l-CAN to dl-CAN decreases the concentration of the l-CAN, alleviating its toxic effect on plants (Miersch et al., 1992). In addition, BSAR produces d-CAN, a compound that alters bacterial PG composition (Fig. 6).

PG editing is a mechanism by which the environment can regulate the cell wall structure and biosynthesis. Whether this regulation is positive or detrimental seems to depend both on the type of d-AA and on the bacteria species. For instance, although V. cholerae produces and incorporates both d-Arg and d-Met in its PG, only the latter has an effect on cell wall synthesis (Alvarez et al., 2018). In the particular case of dl-CAN, it seems clear that the most sensitive species were those with polar growth and higher levels of d-CAN in the PG. Indeed, many Rhizobiales elongate unidirectionally by adding PG to the new pole, generated after cell division (Brown et al., 2012). When new cell compartment gets bigger in length and width, the zone of active PG growth together with division proteins localize to midcell prior to cell division. In A. tumefaciens multiple ld-transpeptidases are encoded (e.g., 14 Ldts in A. tumefaciens compared to just two predicted orthologues in P. putida) and different Ldts are localized to the new pole or midcell, and presumably important for both polar growth and division (Cameron et al., 2014). Ldts are the enzymes that perform mDAP-mDAP cross-links, which are very abundant in Rhizobiales (40%–50% in A. tumefaciens) compared to e.g., P. putida (ca. 1%), and catalyse PG editing in the fourth position of the peptide moieties (Cava et al., 2011). Therefore, free dl-CAN
might act as a competitive substrate on Ldts to prevent their LD-crosslinking activity in favour of high d-CAN incorporation. In fact, D33 and D34 LD-crosslinked dimers are significantly reduced in the presence of dL-CAN. The high number of Ldt paralogues in these species suggests they are important for the lifestyle of these organisms and thus might be difficult to assess whether a dL-CAN deleterious effect can be suppressed in a Ldt-deficient strain. Another target of dL-CAN inhibition might be Dd-carboxypeptidases, enzymes that remove the terminal d-Ala from pentapeptides (M5). Accumulation of both the canonical (d-Ala-terminated pentapeptides) and the non-canonical (d-CAN-pentapeptides) in the presence of dL-CAN strongly suggest that free dL-CAN decreases the activity of A. tumefaciens dD-carboxypeptidases.

Interestingly, our suppressor analyses did not identify any mutations in Ldts or dD-carboxypeptidases that improved the growth of A. tumefaciens in the presence of dL-CAN. 
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The nature of the observed increase in D34 dimers in the K537R mutant seems to be indirect while yet connected to the presence of DL-CAN. D34 dimers are formed between two monomer tetrapeptides (M4) by Ld-transpeptidases, not by PBP3a, which is a dd-transpeptidase and would produce a D43 dimer instead. One might speculate that structural changes in the septal PBP3a might have allosteric consequences on nearby enzymes within the same protein complex. In this line, it has been reported that several Ldt enzymes predominantly localize to the midcell at cell division in A. tumefaciens (Cameron et al., 2014). Therefore, it might possible that PBP3a K537R mutation influences the activity of septal Ldts. Alternatively, PBP3a K537R mutation might induce allosteric regulatory changes in dd-carboxypeptidase at the septum, leading to local consumption of pentapeptides at cell division and increase in the levels of M4, which as Ldt substrates, can boost formation of D34. Collectively, these results suggest that C-CAN incorporation downregulates PBP3a, among other cell wall associated activities, to inhibit cell division and induce cell lysis. We hypothesize that K537R substitution might change the properties of the loop between β5 and λ11, which is proximal to the active-site cleft to preserve PBP3a activity while making it insensitive to DL-CAN. Understanding the structural changes that K-R mutation induces in the PBP3a structure might provide insights about the underlying mechanisms behind DL-CAN tolerance in other bacterial species.

Finally, we have demonstrated that DL-CAN also affects S. meliloti cell wall and growth. Certain legumes establish symbiosis with this bacterium for nitrogen fixation (Long, 1989). Recent studies have shown that a dd-carboxypeptidase is critical for the bacteroid (specialized nitrogen-fixing cells) differentiation in Bradyrhizobium spp. (Gully et al., 2016; Barière et al., 2017). Presence of DL-CAN in S. meliloti might disturb proper symbiosis establishment due to growth suppression or potential dd-carboxypeptidase inhibition (Fig. 6). Moreover, L-CAN was shown to inhibit S. meliloti exp genes expression, which are responsible for the production of symbiotically important exopolysaccharide II (Keshavan et al., 2005). Conversion of L- into DL-CAN by Bsr enzymes might change this gene regulation and symbiosis effectiveness.

Finally, racemization of plant amino acid L-CAN by Bsr enzymes might be just one example of many where microbial broad spectrum racemases control the chirality of amino acids in the natural environment. Bsr-encoding bacteria include facultative pathogens (e.g., V. cholerae, Proteus mirabilis and Xenorhabdus nematophilae), and environmental species (e.g., P. putida and Photobacterium profundum) inhabiting diverse ecological niches (Espaillat et al., 2014). d-AAs diffuse in the environment and can modify the canonical chemistry of...
the PG (Lam et al., 2009; Cava et al., 2011), a property that has been used to label PG biosynthesis in bacteria (Kuru et al., 2012; Hsu et al., 2016, 2017), suggesting that Bsr are drivers of cell wall chemical plasticity in the environment. However, d-AAs are also known to impact cellular processes other than cell wall biogenesis such as biofilm development, sporulation or virulence (Aliashkevich et al., 2018), and can often cause bacterial growth inhibition (Alvarez et al., 2018). Future research efforts based on multi-omic studies of complex environments that include Bsr-encoding bacteria will help to mechanistically understand the impact of d-AAs in biodiversity and physiology.

Experimental procedures

Media and growth conditions

Detailed information about strains (Table S1) and growth conditions is listed in Supplementary materials and methods. All strains were grown at the optimal temperature and in LB (Luria–Bertani broth) medium unless otherwise stated. Growth of diverse bacterial species shown in Fig. 3A was performed at room temperature.

Seed extract preparation and use of P. putida to identify the presence of PG-modifying metabolites

Three gram of M. sativa seeds were mashed and soaked in 10 ml of water overnight followed by centrifugation at 5000 rpm to remove the particulate fraction. The supernatant was next (i.e., extract) filter-sterilized and concentrated 5x. P. putida were grown either in LB medium or in LB medium supplemented with seed extract to a final concentration 1x. Cultures were grown up to stationary phase prior PG purification and analysis by liquid chromatography and by mass spectrometry.

Peptidoglycan analysis

PG isolation and analysis were done according previously described methods (Desmarais et al., 2013; Alvarez et al., 2016). In brief, PG sacculi were obtained by boiling bacterial cells in SDS 5%. SDS was removed by ultracentrifugation, and the insoluble material was further digested with muramidase (Cellosyl). Soluble muropeptides were separated by liquid chromatography (HPLC and/or ultra high-pressure liquid chromatography) and identified by mass spectrometry. A detailed protocol is described in Supplementary materials and methods.

Protein expression and purification

P. putida gene PP3722 encoding broad-spectrum racemase was amplified with FCP1097 (5'-AAAAACATGCTTTTGCGGTACC-3') and FCP1098 (5'- AAGCGGCAGGCAGCAGAT-3') primers and cloned in pET22b for expression in E. coli Rosetta 2 (DE3) cells, resulting in C-terminal His-tagged protein. Protein was purified using Ni-NTA agarose column (Qiagen). A detailed protocol is described in Supplementary materials and methods.

Racemase activity assay

Five microgram of purified racemase and various concentration of L-CAN in 50 μl of 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer pH 7.5 were incubated at 37°C for 30 min, then heat inactivated (5 min, 100°C), and centrifuged (15,000 rpm, 10 min). Supernatant was derivatized with Marfey’s reagent (Thermo Scientific) and resolved by HPLC as described previously (Espaillet et al., 2014). Detailed protocols are available in Supplementary materials and methods.

BSAR mutant construction in P. putida

For deletion of PP3722 in P. putida the upstream and downstream regions of the gene were amplified from purified genomic DNA with primers FCP1145 (5'- AAAATCTAGATCAGCAGCGACAT-3') and FCP1092 (5'- CAATGGCAATTGGTGATTACTCGTGTTC-3'); FCP1093 (5'-GAGTAATCACCATTGCCATTGAAAGGA G-3') and FP1146 (5'- AAAATCTAGAGCGACGTCACGC-3') respectively. The upstream and downstream fragments were combined with FCP1145 and FCP1146 into a 1010 bp fragment, and inserted into pCVD442 (Donnenberg and Kaper, 1991). E. coli DH5α λPIR was used in the cloning and the resulting plasmid pCVD442BSAR was confirmed by sequencing. In-frame deletion was introduced by allele replacement via homologous recombination. In short, exconjugants were obtained by conjugating with Sm10 λPIR containing pCVD442BSAR and selected on LB plates with chloramphenicol 25 μg ml⁻¹ and carbenicillin 1000 μg ml⁻¹. Exconjugants were grown in LB with 10% sucrose (w/v) medium overnight and then plated on LB plates with chloramphenicol 25 μg/ml and 10% (w/v) sucrose. Colonies sensitive to carbenicillin were confirmed by PCR.

A. thaliana growth

A. thaliana was grown in ½ MS agar medium (half strength of Murashige and Skoog basal salt mixture (Sigma), 0.5% sucrose, 1% agar, with pH adjusted to 5.8.
5.7) with or without CAN supplementation. Ethanol sterilized seeds were pre-incubated on the plates in the darkness at 4°C for 3 days before moving to the in vitro chamber with day/night cycle 16/8 h, 22°C/18°C. Root length was measured after 10 days of growth in the chamber with Fiji (Ducret et al., 2016). Pictures of the root hairs were taken with stereomicroscope Nikon SMZ1500 (Tokyo, Japan).

Growth curves and relative growth
At least three replicates per strain and growth condition were grown in 200 μl of LB alone or supplemented with CAN in a 96-well plate at 30°C with 140 rpm shaking in a BioTek Eon Microplate Spectrophotometer (BioTek, Winooski, VT, USA). The A600 was measured at 10 min intervals. Relative growth was calculated as a percentage of growth without CAN or in the presence of L- or DL-CAN compared to growth without CAN.

Phase contrast microscopy
Stationary phase bacteria were placed on 1% agarose LB pads. Phase contrast microscopy was done using a Zeiss Axio Imager.Z2 microscope (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) equipped with a Plan-Apochromat 63X phase contrast objective lens and an ORCA-Flash 4.0 LT digital CMOS camera (Hamamatsu Photonics, Shizuoka, Japan), using the Zeiss Zen Blue software.

Quantification of cell constrictions
Stationary phase bacteria were placed on 1% agarose LB pads. Cell length and constrictions on phase contrast microscopy images were detected using the MicrobeJ software (Ducret et al., 2016). Old poles were identified as having a larger maximum width compared to the new poles. The longitudinal position of cell constrictions was then plotted against cell length. A longitudinal position of 0 represents the true midcell while positive values approach the new pole and negative values approach 0 represents the true midcell while positive values approach the new pole and negative values approach 0.

Suppressor mutants
To obtain suppressor mutants, A. tumefaciens was grown at optimal conditions overnight (see Supplementary methods), and serial dilutions were inoculated on the LB plates containing DL-CAN 10 mM. Plates were incubated at room temperature until suppressor mutant colonies arose. For confirmation of the resistance, the selected colonies were passed through LB plates before being tested on LB plates containing DL-CAN 10 mM.

Whole-genome sequencing and single-nucleotide polymorphism analysis
Genomic DNA was isolated from suppressor mutants and the parental strain of A. tumefaciens. Indexed paired-end libraries were prepared and sequenced in a MiSeq sequencer (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Data quality control was performed with FastQC v0.11.5 (http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc) and MultiQC v1.5 (Eywasouti et al., 2016). The raw data in FASTQ format was trimmed using Trimmomatic v0.36 with arguments ‘ILLUMINACLIP: adapters.fa:2:30:10’, ‘SLIDINGWINDOW:5:30’ and ‘MINLEN:50’ (Edgar et al., 2014). The exact adapter sequences that were used can be retrieved from the supplementary materials and methods. The trimmed FASTQ was aligned to genome GCF_000092025.1_ASM9202v1 (A. tumefaciens, (Wood et al., 2001)) using the ‘mem’ algorithm in BWA v0.7.15-r1140 (Li, 2013) with default parameters and subsequently converted to sorted BAM format. Optical duplicates were marked using picard tools v2.18.2 with default arguments (http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard). Finally, variants were called in freebayes v1.1.0-dirty using the parameters ‘–p 1’, ‘–min-coverage 5’ and ‘–max-coverage 500’ (Erik and Gabor, 2012).

DNA sequencing data deposition
Sequencing data is deposited at The European Nucleotide Archive with primary accession numbers ERS5599687 (Agrobacterium tumefaciens C58 DL-CAN suppressor mutant) and ERS5599686 (Agrobacterium tumefaciens C58 wt).

Reconstruction of suppressor mutant pbp3aK537R in A. tumefaciens
For reconstruction of point mutation in pbp3aK537R in A. tumefaciens, a 650 bp fragment containing the mutated nucleotide was amplified from purified genomic DNA with primers FCP3354 (5’-AAAAGGATCCGCACCGTTGG-3’) and FCP3355 (5’-AAAAGGATCCCGAAGACGACCGTTGG-3’) and inserted into pNPTS139 plasmid (Fischer et al., 2002). E. coli DH5α λpir was used in the cloning and the resulting plasmid pNPTS139pbp3aK537R was confirmed by sequencing.

Nucleotide substitution in A. tumefaciens pbp3a gene (atu2100) was done according to an established allelic replacement protocol (Morton and Fuqua, 2012a). In short, exconjugants were obtained by conjugating with E. coli S17-1 λpir containing pNPTS139pbp3aK537R and selected on ATGN plates with kanamycin 300 μg ml⁻¹.
Exconjugants were grown in ATGN medium overnight and then plated on ATSN plates with 5% (w/v) sucrose (Morton and Fuqua, 2012b). Colonies sensitive to kanamycin were streak-purified twice on ATSN plates and sequenced.

**PBP3a protein folding prediction**

Prediction of PBP3a protein was done by Phyre2 (Kelley et al., 2015).

**Statistical analysis**

All statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA). Student’s unpaired t tests were used.
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**Supporting Information**

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article at the publisher’s web-site:

**Fig. S1** PG profiles and mass analyses of *P. putida* grown without (control) and with the alfalfa seed extract. N-deAc: N-deacetylated muropeptide; Lys: Lysine; Arg: Arginine; N: N-anhydromuropeptides.

**Fig. S2** (A) Mass spectra of the PG of *P. putida* grown without or with the alfalfa seed extract at the retention time were the new muropeptide (peak 3) was detected (ca. 1.9 min). The indicated molecular ion corresponds to the double charged form of the new muropeptide. (B) MS fragmentation pattern of the peak 3 in the PG of *P. putida* grown with the alfalfa seed extract. (C) Theoretical and experimental molecular masses of M4CAN and D44CAN detected in the PG of *P. putida* grown with 5 mM l-CAN. (D) MS fragmentation pattern of M4CAN detected in the PG of *P. putida* grown with 5 mM l-CAN. (E) PG profiles of *P. putida* grown with the alfalfa seed extract spiked with increasing amount of purified M4CAN. (F) MS fragmentation pattern of D44CAN detected in the PG of *P. putida* grown with 5 mM l-CAN.

**Fig. S3** (A) Muropeptide profile of the PG of *P. putida* grown without and with the alfalfa seed extract obtained by LC using separation methods 1 and 2. (B) PG analysis of *P. putida* wild-type and ΔBSAR grown with the addition of 5 mM of l-CAN. Note that LC separation method 2 was used. (C) D-amino acids quantification in supernatants of *P. putida* wt and ΔBSAR grown without or with 10 mM l-Ala, l-Leu, l-Ser, l-Met, l-Arg or l-CAN. (D) PG analysis of *E. coli* grown in PCM of *P. putida* wild-type and ΔBSAR, which was cultured without l-CAN or with 5 mM l-CAN. Note that LC separation method 2 was used.

**Fig. S4** (A) Root length in *A. thaliana* grown on ½ Murashige-Skoog agar supplemented with l-CAN 5 and 10 μM, and dl-CAN 10 and 20 μM. (B) Representative pictures of root system in *A. thaliana* grown on ½ Murashige-Skoog agar supplemented with l- or dl-CAN 20 μM or not (control).

**Fig. S5** (A) Growth curves of *P. putida* in LB in the absence (0 mM) or presence of l- or dl-CAN. (B) Masses and structure of CAN-modified muropeptides. (C) Abundance of monomers, dimers and trimers in *A. tumefaciens*, grown in LB medium (control) or LB medium supplemented with l-CAN 5 mM. (D) Representative PG profiles of *S. meliloti* grown without (control) or with l-CAN 1.5 mM or dl-CAN 3 mM. (E) Abundance of monomers, dimers and trimers in *S. meliloti*, grown in LB medium (control) or LB medium supplemented with l-CAN 1.5 mM or dl-CAN 3 mM. P value <0.005 (**).  

**Fig. S6** (A) Longitudinal position of cell constriction and number of constrictions in *A. tumefaciens* cells with l-CAN 5 mM. (B) Growth curves of *S. meliloti* in LB medium without CAN or supplemented with l-CAN 1.5 mM or dl-CAN 3 mM. (C) Phase contrast images of *S. meliloti* cells without (control) or supplemented with l-CAN 1.5 mM or dl-CAN 3 mM. Scale bar 2 μm. (D) Longitudinal position of cell constriction in *S. meliloti* cells with l-CAN 1.5 mM or dl-CAN 3 mM or without addition of CAN (control).

**Fig. S7** Growth curves of *A. tumefaciens* wild-type and PBP3aK537R without and with supplementation of different D-amino acids.

**Fig. S8** (A) Growth curves of *A. tumefaciens* wild-type and PBP3aK537R in LB medium or in LB medium supplemented by l-CAN 5 mM. (B) Abundance of D-CAN-containing muropeptides in *A. tumefaciens* wild-type and PBP3aK537R grown with or without dal-CAN 10 mM. P value <0.005 (**) and < 0.0001 (**). (C) Abundance of D- and non-crosslinked muropeptides in *A. tumefaciens* wild-type and PBP3aK537R supplemented with 10 mM dl-CAN. (D) Abundance of monomers, dimers and trimers and D- and non-crosslinked muropeptides in *A. tumefaciens* wild-type and PBP3aK537R grown in LB medium (control). (E) Abundance of total amount of D-CAN-containing muropeptides in *A. tumefaciens* wild-type and PBP3aK537R cells with l-CAN 5 mM.

**Appendix S1:** Supporting Information