Economic Analysis of Cassava Flour and Garri Production in Ibarapa Local Government Area, Oyo State, Nigeria
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ABSTRACT: Cassava, an edible root crop and a reliable and relatively inexpensive source of carbohydrate, is widely grown and processed into different foods such as cassava flour and garri. The study analyzed the costs and returns in cassava flour and garri production and also determined the factors influencing its production in the study area. A two-stage sampling technique was used to generate primary data used for this study. The first stage involved the purposive selection of Ibarapa North Local Government Area (LGA), because it has more cassava producers and processors than other LGAs. The second stage involved the random selection of 15 villages out of the 23 in the LGA. From the selected villages, 170 respondents were randomly selected while only 150 copies of the structured questionnaire administered were retrieved. Results from the primary data shows that 28.3% of garri producers had no formal education and 46.7% were between the ages of 30 and 39 years. The regression analysis shows that the quantity of garri sold ($\beta = 5.4099$), transportation cost ($\beta = -0.2994$), peeling cost ($\beta = -0.4249$), and grating cost ($\beta = 0.6678$) were all significant to the total revenue of cassava flour sold. Price, inadequate capital, transportation, land tenure and markets were factors influencing garri and cassava flour production. Analysis of the costs and returns revealed that processing cassava into garri gave a higher gross margin even though processing of cassava was profitable, indicating that there is a significant difference between flour and garri production. Inputs, market, good and infrastructural facilities should be provided so as to increase production capacity and hence food security.
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Cassava is a drought resistant crop grown mainly in dry areas; contribute significantly to the nutrition and livelihood of man. It is widely cultivated in Nigeria and is predominantly grown by smallholder farmers. The production of cassava is dependent on a supply of quality stem cuttings. The multiplication rate of these vegetative planting materials is very low compared to that of grain crops which are propagated by seeds. In addition, cassava stem cuttings are bulky and highly perishable as they dry up within few days. As a root crop, cassava roots must be processed into a storable form soon after harvest. Various processing methods such as grating, sun drying and fermenting are used to reduce the cyanide content. Though it is the cheapest source of calories for both human and animal consumption, cassava plays a major role in the country’s food security. More than 80% of Nigerians reside in the rural areas and they eat cassava meals at least once a day. When compared with rice and maize, cassava has a carbohydrate content which is about 40% higher than rice and 25% more than maize (Nyerhovwo, 2004). It is consumed in different traditional dishes which vary from country to country and across communities in a country (Abbas, 2008). Cassava is not only perceived as food but also as a raw material for various types of industries. It can be converted into a large number of products ranging from traditional and novel food products to livestock feeds, ethanol, starch and its numerous derivatives. Cassava is processed into garri within a production cycle that takes an average of five to seven days this is also applies to cassava flour. According to the Integrated Cassava Project (ICP) (2007), the basic stages in cassava flour production are: sorting, washing, peeling, detoxification, drying, grating, sieving, frying, pressing/fermentation, milling and packaging. The profitability of any cassava factory depends primarily on year-round availability of cassava tubers in sufficient quantity, abundant and reliable water supply, transport facilities and availability of capital and labour (Okuneye, 2002). Numerous studies have been conducted on either the profitability or otherwise of either cassava flour or garri in virtually all the major production zones in Nigeria. However, a common peculiarity has not been addressed. In most of the smallholder farming
households, women are the main processors of cassava in the household. They are versatile in the processing and marketing of garri and cassava flour, and in most cases, they do it simultaneously to diversify their processing and marketing. This peculiarity is common with processors in Ibarapa North LGA. Within the limit of literature consulted, no study has evaluated the profitability of both the cassava flour and garri production. The study therefore, sought to evaluate the profitability or otherwise of cassava flour and garri production in the study area.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
The study was carried out in Ibarapa North Local Government Area of Oyo State, Nigeria, with an estimated population of over 101,092 people (NPC, 2006). The major occupation of the inhabitants of this local government is farming. Primary data were collected through the use of a structured questionnaire involving 150 randomly selected cassava processors in 15 villages across the local government. Descriptive statistics were used to analyse the socioeconomic characteristics of the respondents in the study area. Gross margin analysis was used to determine the costs and returns on cassava flour and garri production while linear regression analysis was used to identify the factors affecting cassava flour and garri production.

\[ GM = TR – TVC \]

Where \( GM \) = Gross Margin; \( TR \) = Total Revenue; \( TVC \) = Total Variable Cost

\[ \lambda = f(Qts, Trp, Stc, Plc, Gtc, Prc, Frc, Pkc) \]

Where, \( Qts \) = Quantity sold in bags (kg); \( Trp \) = Transportation; \( Stc \) = Storage cost; \( Plc \) = Peeling cost; \( Gtc \) = Grating cost; \( Prc \) = Pressing cost; \( Frc \) = Frying cost; \( Pkc \) = Packaging cost

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Socioeconomic characteristics of the respondent's: Table 1 reveals that 70% of the respondents were female while 30% were male this shows that garri production was a female dominated agribusiness in the study, majority (46.6%) were between the ages of 30 and 39 years, 53.3% of them were married and 11.7% are single, most of the respondent's (71.7%) had one form of formal education while only 28.3% had no formal education, been educated is likely to increase the efficiency of the garri producers in the study, 35.0% had family size between 4 and 6, 31.7% had between 1-3 and 23.3% had family size between 7 and 9 persons this therefore shows that the respondent’s had fairly number of family size which may likely be used as family labour in garri production in the study area.

| Variables | Frequency | Percentage (%) |
|-----------|-----------|----------------|
| Gender    |           |                |
| Male      | 18        | 30.0           |
| Female    | 42        | 70.0           |
| Total     | 60        | 100.0          |
| Age (Years) |         |                |
| 20-29     | 16        | 26.7           |
| 30-39     | 28        | 46.6           |
| 40-49     | 9         | 15.0           |
| 50-59     | 4         | 6.7            |
| 60 and above | 3     | 5.0            |
| Total     | 60        | 100.0          |
| Marital status |     |                |
| Single    | 7         | 11.7           |
| Married   | 32        | 53.3           |
| Divorce   | 13        | 21.7           |
| Widow     | 8         | 13.3           |
| Total     | 60        | 100.0          |
| Religion  |           |                |
| Christianity | 16     | 26.7           |
| Islamic   | 30        | 50.0           |
| Traditional | 14     | 23.3           |
| Total     | 60        | 100.0          |
| Educational level |     |                |
| No formal education | 17    | 28.8           |
| Primary education | 14  | 23.3           |
| Secondary education | 18 | 30.0           |
| Tertiary education | 11  | 18.3           |
| Total     | 60        | 100.0          |
| Family size |         |                |
| 1-3       | 19        | 31.7           |
| 4-6       | 21        | 35.0           |
| 7-9       | 14        | 23.3           |
| 10 and above | 6     | 10.0           |
| Total     | 60        | 100.0          |

Source: Authors’ computation

Regression Analysis for Garri Production: From the table, the regression analysis shows that the quantity of garri sold is positively significant while transportation and peeling cost are negatively significant to the total revenue accruing from the sale of garri. This implies that an additional kg (kilogram) of garri sold increases the total revenue by 6.17.

| Explanatory Variable | Estimate | T Value |
|---------------------|----------|---------|
| Quantity sold in bags (kg) | 6.1729*** | 9.73 |
| Transportation      | -0.3101* | -1.81  |
| Storage cost        | 0.0060   | 0.05   |
| Peeling cost        | -1.7658**| -2.13  |
| Grating cost        | 0.9961   | -0.95  |
| Pressing cost       | 1.2590   | 0.84   |
| Frying cost         | 0.0839   | 0.43   |
| Packaging cost      | 0.0326   | 0.05   |

Source: Authors’ computation; *** = Significant at 1%; ** = Significant at 5%, * = Significant at 10%; Adjusted R squared = 66%
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Also, for every additional ₦1 transportation cost incurred, the total revenue realized from the sale of garri will reduce by 31kobo. And also for every additional ₦1 peeling cost incurred, the total revenue from the sale of garri will reduce by 1.76.

Regression Estimates for Cassava Flour Production:
The quantity sold in bags, transportation cost, peeling cost and slicing cost are all significant with regard to the total revenue from the flour sales (Table 3). It can be explained that for every additional ₦1 gained from the quantity sold in bags, the revenue realised from flour will increase by 5.40. On the contrary, for every additional ₦1 transportation cost incurred, total revenue realised from flour will reduce by 29k. Also, for every ₦1 additional peeling cost incurred, the revenue realised from flour will reduce by 42k. Finally, for every additional ₦1 slicing cost incurred revenue realised from flour will increase by 68k.

Estimated average gross margin of Garri and Cassava flour production: On the basis of the gross margin analysis (Table 4) to determine the profitability of each activity, the average gross margin for garri (₦23,627.92) and accounting for 71.8% of the total gross margin and was higher than the average gross margin for cassava flour (₦9,296.89) which accounting for 28.2% by 14,331.03. This shows that garri production is more profitable than flour production, however, the total average gross margin earned by the respondents was ₦932,919.81 indicating that cassava processing was a profitable agribusiness in the study area, this result conform with (Afolabi, 2009).

Table 4: Comparison between the Gross margin of Cassava flour and Garri Processing and Marketing

| Activities | Average Gross Margin | Percentage |
|------------|----------------------|------------|
| Garri      | ₦23,627.92           | 71.8       |
| Flour      | ₦9,296.89            | 28.2       |
| Total      | ₦932,919.81          | 100.0      |

Source: Authors’ computation

Table 5: Perceived Factors affecting garri production and Marketing

| Factors                  | SA | A | U | D | SD | Mean |
|--------------------------|----|---|---|---|----|------|
| Price                    | 32 | 8 | 13| 0 | 12 | 12 (20) |
| Policies                 | 9  | 11| 18| 4 | 7  | 8 (13) |
| Consumer task            | 6  | 10| 23| 6 | 14 | 8 (13) |
| Storage                  | 3  | 9 | 15| 23| 13 | 12 (20) |
| Market                   | 6  | 27| 13| 22| 7  | 9 (15) |
| Pest and diseases        | 11 | 19| 23| 13| 15 | 9 (15) |
| Insecurity               | 8  | 13| 6 | 10| 11 | 10 (17) |
| Input                    | 30 | 24| 40| 0 | 4  | 4 (7) |
| Inadequate capital       | 28 | 47| 29| 48| 1  | 2 (2) |
| Transportation           | 11 | 18| 9 | 15| 10 | 7 (12) |
| Inadequate water supply  | 20 | 33| 10| 17| 9  | 5 (8) |
| Land tenure              | 9  | 15| 31| 52| 3  | 13 (22) |

Source: Authors’ computation; Note: “SA” – Strongly Agreed, “A” – Agreed, “U” – Undecided, “D” – Disagreed and “SD” – Strongly Disagreed, Figures in parenthesis are in percentage.
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Table 6: Perceived Factors affecting Cassava flour production and Marketing

| Factors                | SA | A  | U  | D  | SD | Mean   |
|------------------------|----|----|----|----|----|--------|
| Price                  | 32 (36) | 28 (31) | 2 (2) | 11 (1) | 17 (19) | 2.4778 |
| Policies               | 9 (10) | 1 (1) | 30 (33) | 16 (8) | 34 (38) | 3.7222 |
| Consumer task          | 17 (19) | 12 (13) | 17 (19) | 35 (39) | 9 (10) | 3.0722 |
| Storage                | 16 (18) | 14 (16) | 28 (31) | 16 (18) | 16 (18) | 3.0778 |
| Market                 | 13 (14) | 5 (6) | 21 (23) | 42 (47) | 9 (10) | 3.3222 |
| Pest and diseases      | 21 (23) | 10 (11) | 16 (18) | 20 (22) | 23 (26) | 3.1556 |
| Insecurity             | 32 (36) | 42 (47) | 5 (6) | 8 (9) | 3 (3) | 1.9778 |
| Input                  | 14 (16) | 12 (13) | 31 (34) | 11 (12) | 22 (24) | 3.1667 |
| Inadequate capital     | 41 (46) | 37 (41) | 7 (8) | 1 (1) | 4 (4) | 1.7778 |
| Transportation         | 21 (23) | 56 (62) | 4 (4) | 4 (4) | 5 (6) | 2.0667 |
| Inadequate water supply| 54 (60) | 21 (23) | 6 (7) | 4 (4) | 5 (6) | 1.7222 |
| Land tenure            | 7 (8) | 5 (6) | 30 (33) | 34 (38) | 14 (16) | 3.4778 |

Source: Authors’ computation; Note: “SA” – Strongly Agreed, “A” – Agreed, “U” – Undecided, “D” – Disagreed and “SD” – Strongly Disagreed, Figures in parenthesis are in percentage.

Conclusions: Findings showed that the average gross margin for garri was higher than that for cassava flour. Also, the quantity sold in bags, transportation cost, peeling cost and slicing cost were all significant with regard to the total revenue from the sale of flour. Price, inadequate capital, transportation, land tenure and market were major factors influencing garri and cassava flour production in the study area. The government should provide adequate and subsidies farm input, capital and accessible markets for farmers so as to increase their production and ensure food security.
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