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In vitro acute inhalation toxicity for TiO$_2$ (GST) using 3D human tissue model (EpiAirway$^\text{TM}$)
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Abstract

The present study was performed to screen in vitro potential acute inhalation toxicity using an EpiAirway$^\text{TM}$ tissue model (human tracheal/bronchial tissue) for the nano-sized titanium dioxide, GST manufactured as a photocatalyst through of sludge recycling and to compare with P-25 a commercialized photocatalytic material. According to the protocol provided by in vitro tissue manufacturer, the GST was exposure to the tissue for 3 hours in 450, 500, 650, 850 mg/mL concentration after preliminary dose range finding study and then tissue viability (%, IC$_{50}$) was calculated using the MTT assay. Besides, the histopathological observation was performed to compare to the MTT assay. As a result of study, IC$_{50}$ could not be confirmed at 850 mg/mL in both GST and P-25 and the grade was confirmed to be IC$_{50}$> 600 mg/mL in vitro model tissue category. Therefore, it was considered that the GHS category could be classified as ‘No classification’ in screening method for potential acute inhalation toxicity. Also, not the morphological effects of epithelial cells in tissue model were observed compared with the vehicle control and histological findings were similar to the results of MTT Viability assay. Based on these results, the potential acute inhalation toxicity for GST produced through sludge recycling using in vitro tissue model inhalation toxicity showed that it could be non-hazardous substance. However, further study (in vivo study, etc.) is thought to be needed to ascertain whether GST is a toxic effect or safe.
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Introduction

The TiO$_2$ nanoparticles (NPs) has been widely used in a variety of products including consumer products, paints, pigments, orthodontic composites, food and cosmetic products [1-4]. In addition, TiO$_2$ NPs are produced abundantly and used widely because of high stability, anticorrosive and photocatalytic properties [5-6].

For this reason, the toxicological studies of TiO$_2$ NPs have been currently performed with several routes including dermal, oral and inhalation [7-14]. Especially, it is important to assess the risk of respiratory tract serves as both target tissue and portal of entry to the systemic circulation for inhaled substances. In inhalation toxicity study, most of the studies have been reported with the P25 from, which consists of TiO$_2$ NPs (anatase structure: 80–90%, rutile structure: 20–10%), generally used in catalytic and photocatalytic industrial application [7].

In test guidelines, the study of acute inhalation toxicity potential is important for establishing safe handing, package, labeling, transport, and use procedures for chemicals. The commonly accepted test of acute inhalation for GHS (Globally Harmonized System) category has been in vivo animal study; OECD test guidelines 403 (acute inhalation toxicity test), 433 (acute inhalation toxicity: Fixed concentration procedure), 436 (acute inhalation toxicity: acute toxic class method) [15-17]. However, the limitations in animal study have been demonstrated for interspecies differences in the respiratory tract architecture and function and it is difficult that the conclusions are drawn on the potential hazard of inhaled compounds in human [18]. Recently, a new test for determining acute inhalation toxicity has been brought forward for validation and OECD adoption. This test adopts the EpiAirway$^\text{TM}$ model, a ready-to-use, three-dimensional (3D) in vitro mucociliary tissue model consisting of normal, human-derived tracheal/bronchial epithelial cells cultured at the Air-Liquid Interface (ALI) and pre-validation results for 59 chemicals showed that it was a promising human-relevant in vitro
alternative to animal tests for acute inhalation toxicity studies. This pre-validation data indicated that GHS acute inhalation toxicity category 1-2 had IC$_{75}$ toxicity values <150 mg/mL, category 3 had IC$_{75}$ toxicity values 150 mg/mL < IC$_{75}$≤400 mg/mL, category 4-5 had IC$_{75}$ toxicity values 400 mg/mL < IC$_{75}$≤600 mg/mL based on combined GHS acute inhalation plus STOT (Specific Target Organ Toxicity) [19]. Chemicals that have been evaluated and determined to be nonhazardous has IC$_{75}$ toxicity values >600 mg/mL.

Recently, the study was conducted to produce TiO$_2$ from the wastewater sludge generated by the flocculation of secondary wastewater with titanium tetrachloride (TiCl$_4$) [20]. Also, Gong JH et al. conducted a study that TiO$_2$ photocatalyst was produced from the precipitated sludge using TiCl$_4$ coagulants in the sewage treatment plants (Changwon-si, Gyeongsangnam-do, Republic of Korea) and it had cost-competitive lower than price of commercial photocatalyst (P-25) [21].

The aim of the present study was conducted using in vitro human 3D model (EpiAirway™) as a screening method for the potential acute inhalation toxicity for TiO$_2$ photocatalyst (GST) produced through sludge recycling of the sewage treatment plants. Also, we made a comparison with P-25 form, the most commonly tested commercial substance and the histopathological examinations were performed for model tissue.

Materials and Methods
Test substance

The GST (pale yellow powder) was manufactured from the precipitated sludge using TiCl$_4$ coagulants in the sewage treatment plants and P-25 (commercial nano-particle products, white powder; Evonic, Germany) was provided by Bentech Frontier Co. Ltd. The crystalline composition in X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis was performed by the Research Institute for Catalysis in Chonnam National University and the crystalline ratio was 100% anatase and 88% anatase/12% rutile in GST, P-25, respectively.

The measurements of zeta potential, particle size image (SEM, scanning electron microscope) and TEM (Transmission Electron Microscopy) image, size distribution for GST were performed as follows and were presented in (Figure 1 and Figure 2), respectively. In zeta potential, it indicated negative zeta potential value (-35.4 mV), which is an indirect parameter for surface charge. A more negative zeta potential favors the particle agglomeration less rapidly. This value showed that the charge of the hydrated particles was not high enough to repel each other by electrostatic forces. Also, the measured particle sizes including size distribution indicated that the particles of GST had a distribution of various size (95.8±46.3 nm, >100 nm-34.1%).

- For zeta potential for surface analysis – particle size & zeta potential analyzer (Zetasizer Nano ZSP, Malvern Instruments LTD., UK) in Korea TECH
- For particle size analysis - FE-SEM(Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope, Tescan Corp., Czech) equipped with EDS systems (Thermo scientific, USA) in KRICT (Ulsan department)
- For TEM image – FE-EF-TEM (Field Emission Energy Filtered Transmission Electron Microscopy, JEOL, Japan) in Korea Basic Science Institute (Jeonju department)
- For size distribution analyze of TEM image – ImageJ software (https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/download.html)

Figure 1. Characterization of TiO$_2$ particles (GST): (a) Zeta potential (-35.4 mV, 30 mg/mL); (b) SEM (scanning electron microscope) image.
Figure 2. Characterization of TiO$_2$ particles (GST): (a) A particles dispersed in 99.9% EtOH was deposited on a copper grid and analyzed by TEM (Transmission electron microscope) image; (b) Size distribution of the imaged GST. The diameter measured was 95.8±46.3 nm (mean±standard deviation) by ImageJ and 34.1% by number of the particles had a diameter>100 nm.

In addition, the acetonitrile (Burdick and Jackson, Cas No. 75-05-8), one of 59 chemicals used for pre-validation study was selected to verify reproducibility of IC$_{75}$ before performing the study of TiO$_2$ NPs (GST / P-25, Cas No. 134363-67-7) [19]. In the confirmation test for the vehicle, GST and acetonitrile were identified as sterile distilled water (D.W.), and P-25 was 20% acetone (SIGMA-Aldrich, Lot No.: MKCK2599)/olive-oil (SIGMA-Aldrich, Lot No.: BCBT7882) (1:4v/v%). The TiO$_2$ NPs were suspended in vehicles and it was used after stirring and additional sonication to disperse. As a positive control, formaldehyde solution (SIGMA-Aldrich, Lot No.: 47033-U, ≥36.0% in H$_2$O) was used for TiO$_2$ NPs (GST, P-25).

The sodium dodecyl sulfate solution (SDS) was selected considering to be a substance known as irritant for inhalation, skin, eye [22] and 10% SDS (SIGMA-Aldrich, Lot No.: BCCB7687) was diluted to 5% (v/v%) in PBS (Phosphate Buffered Saline, Lonza Ltd., Lot No. 0000725114) and then used as positive control of acetonitrile [23]. Vehicles were used as the negative control group (D.W., acetone/olive-oil (1:4)).

3D In vitro respiratory tissue model (EpiAirway™)

Preparation of tissue

The EpiAirway™ tissue model was produced by MatTek Corporation (Ashland, MA, USA) and purchased through Dail Scientific Trade, Inc. in Korea. The components included tissue (AIR-100), assay kit (assay medium; AIR-100-ASY, AIR-100-MM, buffer; TEER Buffer), and MTT kit (diluent; MTT-100-DIL, concentrate; MTT-100-CON, extractant; MTT-100-EXT). After receiving the tissue, the insert membrane was separated from agarose and was transferred in to 6 well plate. Then 1.0 mL of cold medium was dispersed into each well of the 6-well plates provided and the tissue was equilibrated overnight (37°C, 5% CO$_2$ 16-18 hours).

Preliminary dose range finding (DRF) test

The test was carried out in accordance with the EpiAirway™ toxicity testing protocol. 100 uL of test substance were applied to the apical surface of each tissue; 0 (vehicle only), 10, 50, 250 and 500 mg/mL. The tissues with test substance were incubated for 3 hours (37°C, 5% CO$_2$ incubation, n=3 tissue/dose) and then the MTT assay for IC$_{75}$ value was performed. In the case of acetonitrile, the DRF test was omitted, referring to the pre-validation data (IC$_{75}$; 284.72±72.1 mg/mL) [19].

Definitive toxicity test

As a result of the DRF test, the viability for TiO$_2$ NPs, GST and P-25 was IC$_{75}$$\geq$500 mg/mL and definitive doses were determined in accordance with the EpiAirway™ toxicity testing protocol; 0 (vehicle only), 450, 650, 850 mg/mL (Table 1). In addition, one dose (the high dose of the DRF, 500 mg/mL) was included to check the reproducibility.

The formaldehyde was determined as a positive control according to the EpiAirway™ toxicity testing protocol. In Acetonitrile, the determined doses were 0 (negative control only), 200, 300, 420, and 550 mg/mL considering IC$_{75}$ data of pre-validation. The detailed dose determination is explained in (Table 1). The test substances were treated in the same way as preliminary dose range finding test; incubation for 3 hours (37°C, 5% CO$_2$ incubation, n=3 tissue/dose) and MTT assay for viability.
**Table 1.** Doses for definitive determination based on results of DRF test [19].

| DRF test result | Dose of definitive toxicity test |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|
| IC₇₅<10 mg/mL    | 0.1, 0.5, 2.5 and 12.5 mg/mL    |
| IC₇₅>10<50 mg/mL | 5, 15, 30 and 60 mg/mL          |
| IC₇₅>50<250 mg/mL | 40, 120, 200 and 280 mg/mL     |
| IC₇₅>250<500 mg/mL | 200, 300, 420 and 550 mg/mL  |
| IC₇₅>500 mg/mL   | 450, 650, 850 mg/mL             |

**MTT viability assay**

EpiAirway™ tissues (Air-100) were transferred to 24-well culture plates containing 300 µL of MTT reagent per well and incubated in a 37°C, 5% CO₂ incubator for 3 hours. After incubation, the tissues were submerged in 2.0 mL of MTT extractant and extracted into shaker for 2 hours. For extraction time the plate was sealed to protect against light and prevent evaporation of MTT extractant. And then 200 µL of extractant from each tissue was transferred to a clear 96-well plate and absorbance was measured at 570 nm with background at 650 nm subtracted.

The viability of test substance-exposed tissues was calculated relative to negative controls using the equation:

\[
\text{Relative viability} \, (\%) = \frac{\text{OD test substance}}{\text{Mean OD negative control}} \times 100.
\]

All control and treatment groups included n=3 replicates. Also, GraphPad Prism software was used to calculate the inhibitory concentration values (IC₇₅; the dose required to reproduce the EpiAirway™ culture viability to 75% of vehicle-treated tissues) were calculated using Prism software (USA).

**Histology**

Histopathological examination was performed to observe morphological changes in tissues according to cell viability. For this, one tissue from each treatment group, including the negative control tissues, was fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin (BBC Biochemical, USA, Lot No.95922) (overnight, room temperature) and the tissues were embedded with paraffin. The tissue sections were adhered to slides and were stained by hematoxylin (BBC Biochemical, USA, Lot No.95925) and eosin (BBC Biochemical, USA, Lot No.99058) (H&E) for observation under light microscopy.

**Results and Discussion**

**Preliminary dose range finding (DRF) test**

The average MTT viability of GST was 88.5%, 94.1%, 97.3%, 88.8% and P-25 was 104.6%, 98.4%, 110.9%, 104.6% in concentration of 10, 50, 250, 500 mg/mL, respectively. As a result of viability, TiO₂ NPs (GST and P-25) had a MTT viability of 75% higher. Also, the optical density values were 2.0 or higher [negative control optical density should be ≥ 1.455; value – 2.579 (GST), 2.084 (P-25)]. These results indicate that there was no difference between the GST and P-25. IC₇₅ could not be calculated that cell viability was observed more than 75% in all doses. The detailed evaluation of relative viability and optical values for the preliminary dose range finding test is depicted and detailed in (Figure 1, Figure 2 and Table 2).

![Figure 3](image-url). Preliminary dose range finding test of relative viability; (a) GST of relative viability (b) P-25 of relative viability.
Based on this, GST substance can be considered to be similar with P-25 in inhalation route and further study (in vivo study, etc.) is thought to be needed to ascertain whether GST shows a toxic effect or safe.

The inhaled nanoparticles are mainly found in the upper airways (nose, mouth, pharynx, larynx and trachea) but it can reach the deeper lungs and deposit in alveoli [24]. In the study for assessment pulmonary effects, three studies for rodents reported irritation and mild or moderate pulmonary inflammation [12-14]. Also, the study using mice (8.88 mg/L in 3D model has not yet been adopted as OECD test guideline for acute inhalation toxicity, it was considered that the study using IC50 value could be a screening method for GHS categorization. Therefore, it was expected that the category for GST would not be classified, and no potential toxicity was considered.

In the case of P-25, commercial product, the safety data sheet (SDS) of manufacturer (Evonik Corp.) showed that LC50 (rat) was > 6.82 mg/L in dusts, mists and fumes vapour route. It indicates that GHS classification was considered to be category 3 (2.0 < acute toxicity estimate ≤ 10.0, vapour) or category 5 (dusts, mists). Based on the these, GST substance was considered to be similar with P-25 in inhalation route and further study (in vivo study, etc.) is thought to be needed to ascertain whether GST shows a toxic effect or safe.

Table 2. Evaluation of cell viability.

| Group                  | GST       | P-25       |
|-----------------------|-----------|------------|
|                       | Dose (mg/mL) | Optical Density | Cell viability (%) | Dose (mg/mL) | Optical Density | Cell viability (%) |
| G1 (Negative control) | *<sup>1</sup> | 2.579      | 100.0          | *<sup>1</sup> | 2.084        | 100.0          |
| G2 (Test substance)   | 10        | 2.370      | 91.9           | 10           | 2.180        | 104.6          |
| G3 (Test substance)   | 50        | 2.431      | 94.3           | 50           | 2.052        | 98.4           |
| G4 (Test substance)   | 250       | 2.510      | 97.3           | 250          | 2.311        | 110.9          |
| G5 (Test substance)   | 500       | 2.306      | 89.4           | 500          | 2.180        | 104.6          |

*<sup>1</sup>: not applicable.

Definitive toxicity test

The average MTT viability of GST was 92.1%, 93.3%, 99.8%, 96.4% and P-25 was 96.7%, 87.3%, 102.1%, 86.8% in concentration of 450, 500, 650, 850 mg/mL and the positive control (formaldehyde) was 0.7%, 1.6%, respectively. The results of the MTT Viability assay for GST and P-25 meant that IC50 values could not be calculated at 850 mg/mL (high dose) and the grade was IC50 > 600 mg/mL in EpiAirway<sup>TM</sup> model considering as follows.

Table 3. Correlation of IC50 toxicity values with GHS Acute Inhalation Toxicity classification [19].

| IC50 Toxicity values | GHS Acute inhalation plus *<sup>1</sup> STOT Classifications |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| IC50 ≤ 150 mg/mL     | GHS 1 – 2 Category                                          |
| 150 < IC50 ≤ 400 mg/mL | GHS 3 Category                                           |
| 400 < IC50 ≤ 600 mg/mL | GHS 4 – 5 Category                                       |
| IC50 > 600 mg/mL     | No classification                                           |

*<sup>1</sup> STOT: specific target organ toxicity

Although EpiAirway<sup>TM</sup> in vitro model has not yet been adopted as OECD test guideline for acute inhalation toxicity, it was considered that the study using IC50 value could be a screening method for GHS categorization. Therefore, it was expected that the category for GST would not be classified, and no potential toxicity was considered.

In the case of P-25, commercial product, the safety data sheet (SDS) of manufacturer (Evonik Corp.) showed that LC50 (rat) was > 6.82 mg/L in dusts, mists and fumes vapour route. It indicates that GHS classification was considered to be category 3 (2.0 < acute toxicity estimate ≤ 10.0, vapour) or category 5 (dusts, mists). Based on the these, GST substance was considered to be similar with P-25 in inhalation route and further study (in vivo study, etc.) is thought to be needed to ascertain whether GST shows a toxic effect or safe.

In acetonitrile test, the average MTT viability was 65.2%, 19.3%, 7.5%, 2.8% in concentration of 200, 300, 420, 550 mg/mL and IC50 was calculated as 183.6 mg/mL. This result showed that grade was 150 < IC50 ≤ 400 mg/mL in 3D in vitro model and GHS was considered to be category 3. Though GHS acute classification was known for category 4 (in vivo, in male CD-1 mice, LC50 2,693 ppm), it was identified in the same category 3 in 3D in vitro model as the pre-validation data (IC50: 284.72±72.1 mg/mL [19, 27]. The difference in a 3D model based on GHS-STOT classification and in vivo data for GHS category was considered to be due to the difference in species; GST-STOT classification based on the human data and tissue with human-derived tracheal/bronchial epithelial cells in EpiAirway<sup>TM</sup> model, animal data (mouse) in vivo study.

http://eaht.org
The detailed evaluation of relative viability and optical values for the Definitive toxicity test of relative viability is depicted and detailed in (Figure 3 and Table 3). The positive control (SDS) was 3.8% cell viability and it showed the strong irritation for tissue model.

![Graphs of relative viability](image)

**Figure 4.** Definitive toxicity test of relative viability: (a) GST of relative viability; (b) P-25 of relative viability; (c) acetonitrile of relative viability.

| Table 4. Evaluation of cell viability. |
|---------------------------------------|
| **GST** | **P-25** | **Acetonitrile** |
| Dose (mg/mL) | Optical Density | Cell Viability(%) | Dose (mg/mL) | Optical Density | Cell Viability(%) | Dose (mg/mL) | Optical Density | Cell Viability(%) |
|---- |---- |---- |---- |---- |---- |---- |---- |---- |
| - | 2.348 | 100.00 | - | 2.023 | 100.0 | - | 1.873 | 100.0 |
| 450 | 2.162 | 92.1 | 450 | 1.955 | 96.7 | 200 | 1.223 | 65.2 |
| 500 | 2.189 | 93.3 | 500 | 1.765 | 87.3 | 300 | 0.362 | 19.3 |
| 650 | 2.343 | 99.8 | 650 | 2.064 | 102.1 | 420 | 0.142 | 7.5 |
| 850 | 2.264 | 96.4 | 850 | 1.757 | 86.8 | 550 | 0.054 | 2.8 |
| Positive control | 0.017 | 0.7 | Positive control | 0.033 | 1.6 | Positive control | 0.073 | 3.8 |

1 Formaldehyde solution, 2 5% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), - not applicable.

**Histopathology**

Histopathological observations showed that the epithelial cell layer effects for TiO$_2$ NPs (GST and P-25) were not observed in tissue model and it was similar with negative control (D.W). However, the cell layer was almost entirely damaged and eliminated in positive control (formaldehyde solution). These changes in the histopathological examination were observed to be similar to results of MTT tissue viability (%). The histopathological findings were presented in Figures 4 and 5.
In tissue observation for acetonitrile, the damages for cell layer were confirmed in 200 mg/mL and 550 mg/mL, respectively as (Figure 6) (C, D). These effects showed dose-dependent tendency and was similar to results of MTT tissue viability (%); destruction of cell layer in 200 mg/mL concentration, elimination of cell layer in 550 mg/mL. (Figure 7).

**Figure 5.** EpiAirway™ tissue exposed to GST (H&E, HPF 400x): (A) Negative control (D.W); (B) Positive control (Formaldehyde solution); (C) 400 mg/mL concentration; (D) 850 mg/mL concentration.

**Figure 6.** EpiAirway™ tissue exposed to P-25 (H&E, HPF 400x): (A) Negative control (20% Acetone, acetone/olive-oil (1:4)); (B) Positive control (Formaldehyde solution); (C) 400 mg/mL concentration; (D) 850 mg/mL concentration.
Figure 7. EpiAirway™ tissue exposed to Acetonitrile (H&E, HPF 400x): (A) Negative control (D.W); (B) Positive control (5% sodium dodecyl sulfate); (C) 200 mg/mL concentration; (D) 550 mg/mL concentration.

Conclusions
When the TiO$_2$ NPs (GST) was tested with an EpiAirway™ tissue model (human tracheal/bronchial tissue) as a screening method for in vitro acute inhalation toxicity, it was concluded that IC$_{75}$ could not be confirmed up to 850 mg/mL in MTT viability and no histopathological effect was observed for tissue model. Also, EpiAirway™ model application appears to be a promising approach for in vitro determination of acute inhalation toxicity testing to facilitate moderately high-throughput screening. These results for GST were similar with that of P-25, commercial product under this condition. Therefore, on the basis of results of in vitro tissue model for GST manufactured as a photocatalyst through of sludge recycling, it was considered that GST could be classified as non-hazardous substance in inhalation route.
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