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Abstract: This research article provides an up-to-date review of spatial-domain steganography. Maintaining the communication as secure as possible when transmitting secret data through any available communication channels is the target of steganography. Currently, image steganography is the most developed field, with several techniques are provided for different image formats. Therefore, the general image steganography including the fundamental concepts, the terminology, and the applications are highlighted in this paper. Further, the paper depicts the essential characteristics between information hiding and cryptography systems. In addition, recent well-known techniques in the spatial-domain steganography, such as LSB and pixel value differencing, are discussed in detail and several comparisons are provided to show the merits and the demerits of the discussed techniques. Furthermore, to aid the steganography researchers in developing efficient spatial-domain embedding techniques, the future research of the spatial-domain steganography is discussed and a set of recommendations are suggested.
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1 Introduction

Steganography is a word that is derived from the Greek word, namely, “Stegos”, which refers to the word “cover”, and the word “Grafia” refers to the word “writing”, which is defined as “covered writing” [1]. Steganography can be defined as the art and science that aims at applying an object of digital communication by hiding any secretive information [2]. Typically, secure communication is targeted based on different encryption approaches. Nonetheless, the current need for security remains increasing causing the use of steganography for information security. Fig. 1 illustrates many different majors related to the domain of information hiding. Cryptography and Steganography are highly dependent aspects to information hiding. In fact, both aspects deliver the same aim, while both are however different in some other aspects. Steganography represents a writing that is hidden, while cryptography represents a writing that is kept secret. In particular, cryptography offers security based on the message’s contents, while steganography aims at hiding the message itself [1].
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Information hiding contains two sub domains, which are watermarking and steganography [4]. The two domains are applied to make the secret message hidden from other unauthorized users. Both domains are highly dependent on each other. Nonetheless, they depend on many various objectives. Steganography aims at hiding the protective and communication tools pertaining to the secretive information. On the contrary, watermarking aims at protecting the secret data’s integrity as to whether the communication derived from eavesdroppers is hidden. The applications of watermarking aim at protecting the information contents’ intellectual property. Nevertheless, Table 1 depicts the essential basic characteristic between information hiding and cryptography systems [5].

Table 1: Brief comparison between information hiding and cryptography

|                     | Information Hiding                     | Cryptography (Encryption) |
|---------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Main objective      | Protect media copyrights               | Conceal existence of secret data and communication |
| Robustness          | Against removing tampering security data | Against detecting the existence of secret data | Against breaking ciphers |
| Secret information  | Watermark                              | Payload                   | Plain text of file |
| Security of communication | Depends on how confidential the embedding method is. | Depends on how confidential the embedding method is. | Depends on how confidential the key is. |
| Loss of security    | When loss of integrity                 | When detecting the existence of security data | When decrypting the cipher |
| Result              | Watermarked media                      | Stego-media               | Cipher |
| Need for key        | Optional                               | Depends on used application | Compulsory |
| Type of attacks     | Image processing                       | Steganalysis              | Cryptanalysis |
| Main challenges     | Robustness                             | Imperceptibility, embedding payload, and robustness | complexity of encryption and key management |
New review papers in the domain of image steganography are always needed to discuss the strengths and limitations of recent proposed techniques. Therefore, this review paper aims to present an up-to-date knowledge for spatial-domain image steganography. The rest of the paper is structured as follows: Section 2 presents an overview of the steganography including history, fundamental concepts, terminology, and applications. Section 3 reviews the current spatial-domain image steganography, where recent well-known techniques are analyzed and discussed in detail. The future research and recommendations, which could forward the researchers to develop efficient spatial-domain embedding schemes, are presented in Section 4. Finally, Section 5 concludes the paper.

2 Steganography: An Overview

2.1 Brief History

The notion of making any information hidden from other unauthorized users has been studied since the 440 BC and applied into various layouts through the past few years ago [6]. Based on the Greek historian Herodotus, Histaiacus, who is a Greek tyrant, applied a steganography layout in order to provide a communication along with his son-in-law ‘Aristogoras’. Histaiacus shaved a trusted slave’s head where a tattoo was applied on a secret message on top of his scalp. When the hair of that slave grew back again, Aristogoras received him along with the hidden message [7]. A further layout of steganography took place in the World War 2 once Germans improved the microdot method. In fact, the method aims at condensing several information, at most photographs, into a typed period’s size. Information is hidden through one period of a paper (e.g., a full stop) and disseminated through an undefended channel. Edgar Hoover, an FBI detective, elaborates the way of using microdots as a form of the enemy’s masterpiece of espionage [8]. Despite the fact that steganography has far been studied for several years now, its new layout formation is clarified based on the use of the prisoners’ problem that is produced by Simmons [9] such that two prisoners, Alice and Bob, look forward to exchanging information in a secret manner in order to obtain an escape scheme. The whole communication between both prisoners is provided to Eve, who represents a warden of such a communication. If this warden distrusts any secretive communication, then the two prisoners are directed to a solitary confinement. The entire correspondences between the two prisoners are assessed through the warden, which can be either active or passive. If the warden considers a passive method, it will be possible for that warden to check any available secretive information through a prospective communication. If a secretive communication is revealed, then the warden provides a notification towards an external party where the information can accordingly gain access with no any obstacle. Nevertheless, if an active warden distrusts any hidden information; the communication is then modified based on changing or eliminating the hidden data [10].

Most of the current steganographic systems make use of different multimedia objects such as images, videos, audio files, and so on, as a covering media since digital images are frequently transmitted by users through emails and many different communications [11]. These systems aim at making any information hidden into digital multimedia files and at the level of a network packet. In addition, in comparison with other digital media, image-based steganography is currently the most developed field, with several techniques are provided for different image formats [12].

Modern steganographic systems apply the inventions of the networking and computers that appeared in the 20th century. There exist four major improved aspects regarding the digital steganography. These comprise network steganography, file system steganography, linguistic steganography and digital media steganography [13].
2.2 Fundamental Concepts

The term cover image indicates to the image that is used for the purpose of transporting the embedded bits [1]. The embedded data refers to the payload where the image with the embedded data refers to which is called ‘stego-image’. The “embedded technique” refers to the algorithm or process of hiding the “secret message” within the “cover image” including an optional “stego-key”. The optional “stego-key” is shared with the two ends [5]. In the same context, the “extraction technique” refers to the procedure of recovering the “secret message” from the “stego-image” including an “optional key”. Steganalysis represents the attack that usually occurs through the steganography process. In particular, steganalysis refers to the science and art of recovering or detecting the secret message that is derived from different stego images. Embedding distortion is the distortion that induced on the cover signal by embedding secret data. Imperceptibility refers to the difficulty confronted by the HVS in noticing any difference between the stego-image and the original cover image [14]. It is necessary that Stego-image would not contain any risky visual objects. A few main needs for steganography comprise embedding payload, security, and robustness. Embedding payload represents the number of information, which is hidden within a cover medium with no deterioration on the cover image’s integrity. This number is based on the number of bits per pixel (bpp). The embedding process requires maintaining the statistical features pertaining to the cover image including the perceptual quality. Robustness refers to the number of updates for which the stego medium could possibly resist before an adversary attempts to destroy any hidden information. Security refers to the incapability of an eavesdropper to reveal any hidden information [1].

2.3 The General Model of Steganography (The Terminology)

In Fig. 2, the entire structure pertaining to the steganography process is depicted. Assume that ‘C’ refers to the cover medium i.e., an image, where C’ refers to the stego-image that is derived based on the data that is embedded [1]. Additionally, assume that ‘K’ refers to the optional key, where ‘SM’ refers to the secret message that is to be communicated with. Assume also that $P_{emb}$ refers to the embedding procedure, where $P_{ext}$ refers to the extraction procedure. The encryption and compression procedures remove the redundancy that is likely to occur within a secret message and yield to a further improved security. Therefore, the procedures of embedding and extracting the secret data is highlighted according the following formulas:

$$P_{emb} : C \times K \times SM \rightarrow C'$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

$$P_{ext}(C') \approx SM$$ \hspace{1cm} (2)

where the size of the secret message $|SM|$, hidden in $C'$, is known as an embedding payload.
An image is a frequently applied file format in steganography since a secret message is entirely embedded within a cover image. Image steganography is categorized into transform and spatial domains. In the transform domain, different approaches perform the embedding procedure based on initially transforming an image from a spatial domain along to a frequency domain based on the use of any available transforms. In the next step, the embedding procedure is applied according to appropriate transformed coefficients.

The measures of an image quality are applied in order to assess the quality of the stego-image that is acquired following the embedding procedure. Various approached aim to attack the steganographic algorithm. Several techniques related to steganography are existing where such techniques involve StegHide, Hide4PGP, S-tools, OutGuess, Stegnos, Ezstego, Hide and Seek, F5, Mp3Stego, and so on. Many different methods of steganalysis comprise the efficient selection of any available secret message and detecting its estimated length or a way of retrieving it. Many different stego attacks involve the filter attack, image resizing attack, J. Fridrich’s RS steganalysis, JPEG compression attack, Chi Square attack, Jeremiah J. Harmsena’s Histogram attack, image tampering attack, AWGN attack, and so on. The algorithm that is applied to embed the secret data must endure the whole attacking types by preventing an eavesdropper from obtaining the hidden message [1].

2.4 Steganography Applications

Steganography is applied into many different suitable applications [1,3]. For instance, such examples comprise materials’ copyright control, improving the strength of several image search engines including smart IDs (identity cards) when all details of individuals are embedded through their photos. Many more applications comprise TV broadcasting, checksum embedding [15], the safe circulation of companies’ secret data, video–audio synchronization, TCP/IP packets (e.g., a unique ID is embedded into an image for analyzing the network traffic of particular users) [2]. Another example involves Petitcolas [16], which highlights a few current applications. One of these applications involve medical imaging systems where a separation is significant for achieving privacy among the data images of prospective patients or DNA sequences including their captions, such as physician’s name, patient’s name, address and some other related and personal details. Nonetheless, a link is kept between the two. Consequently, a patient’s information that is embedded based on an image is beneficial for safety measures purposes, which assist in tackling any emerging issues related to the secrecy of a patient’s information. Steganography offers a final assurance of authentication in which no further security techniques can provide a reliable assurance. Miaou et al. [17] propose the LSB embedding method for recording patients’ information electronically according to the bi-polar multiple-base data hiding method. The difference of a pixel value between the JPEG version of an original image and the image itself represents a number of a conversion base. Nirinjan et al. [18] and Li et al. [19] also discussed hiding patient data in cover images.

3 Image Steganography

The indispensable characteristic of steganography is based on maintaining the communication as secure as possible when transmitting the stego-image through any available communication or networking channels [20]. Many different kinds of image steganographic techniques are produced where a distinct method is applied for each of these techniques in order to conduct the embedding process of a secret data [5]. However, since it is not possible to categorize the techniques entirely, they are split based on various categories (see Fig. 3). The only way is to split them based on the embedding domain (transform and spatial domains) that is derived from [2]. Additionally, the adaptive (statistical aware) embedding technique is also based on the indicated division as it is engaged in the transform and spatial domains. Fig. 3 illustrates the categorization of such techniques along with the objectives.
The spatial domain can utilize the cover image pixels for concealing the secret information, such as the replacement of secret bits within a pixel value [5]. In the transform domain, the data that is contained in the cover image is initially transformed into different signals prior to the use of the embedding procedure. Take an example of the Discrete Cosine Transformation (DCT), which is applied to the pixels of the host image, and then the secret information is embedded into the DCT coefficients.

Moreover, the adaptive embedding represents a statistical or model-based approach that manages different methods related to information hiding. In fact, this approach is interwoven to the transform and spatial domains. This embedding method’s type is based on considering the statistical characteristics of an image prior to applying the embedding procedure. This set of the statistical characteristics dictates where the modifications take place in the cover image [21].

3.1 Spatial Domain Image Steganography

The spatial-domain embedding techniques are more common in comparison with the transform domain due to its simplicity in the embedding and extraction procedures, but with less strength [5]. Nonetheless, the transform domain techniques are considered immune to the operations of image processing and are also considered less vulnerable to steganalysis attacks [1]. Tab. 2 highlights detailed comparisons for the transform and spatial domains.

![Figure 3: Image steganography domains with aimed objectives](image)

**Table 2: Detailed comparisons of the spatial and transform domains with adaptive embedding techniques**

| Characteristics                                      | Spatial Domain | Transform Domain | Adaptive Embedding          |
|------------------------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| Embedding Capacity (Payload)                         | High           | Low             | Vary, method dependent      |
| Embedding place                                      | Direct manipulating of pixel values | Transform coefficients | Method dependent |
| Cover format dependency                              | Format Dependent | Format independent | Method dependent |
| Complexity                                           | Low            | High            | Method dependent            |
| Robustness against noise, compression, cropping, etc.| Not robust     | Less prone      | Method dependent            |
| Visual Quality (Imperceptibility)                    | High           | Low             | Low                         |
| Geometric attacks                                    | Not robust (vulnerable) | Less prone (resistant) | Less prone (resistant) |
| Statistical detection attacks (e.g., Histogram, RS-attack) | Easy to detect | Hard to detect | Hard to detect |
| Well-known techniques                                | LSB, PVD, MBNS steganography | DCT based, DWT based, CWT based steganography | Region based, HVS, Machine Learning and AI based steganography |
The simplest method of conducting the process of data embedding through digital images is based on updating the values of cover pixels within the spatial domain [20]. The image or spatial-domain methods apply different bit-wise techniques, which implement the noise manipulation and bit insertion by applying different simple techniques. This section discusses the well-known image steganography schemes under the umbrella of spatial domain that evolved in recent time. Various methods that aim at performing the embedding procedure within a spatial domain are illustrated in Fig. 4. Additionally, a detailed analysis of such methods is given in Tab. 3.

**Figure 4**: Spatial-domain image steganography

**Table 3**: Performance analysis of recent spatial-domain steganographic methods

| Approach | Reference | Method Name | Merits | Challenges | Payload (bpp) | Visual Quality (PSNR) | Resistance against Steganalysis |
|----------|-----------|-------------|--------|------------|---------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|
| LSB      | Sarreshedari et al. [22] | ±1 LSB | High imperceptibility & simple implementation. | Lower payload & Key dependent | 1 bpp (gray-image) | ~53 dB | HCF-COM |
| Qazanfari et al. [23] | GLSB++ | Secure against Histogram analysis & improved visual quality. | Not robust & key dependent | 0.8 bpp (gray-image) | >50 dB | Chi-Square & Histogram |
| Nguyen et al. [24] | MPBDH | Adaptive embedding & reduce visual attacks. | Not robust against compression & cropping & key dependent | ~1.5 bpp (gray-image) | ~46 dB | SPAM at low embedding |
| Muhammad et al. [25] | MLEA | Keeps balance between imperceptibility and security, & applies multi-level of encryption for secret data. | Lower payload | ~1 bpp (gray-image) | >45 dB | Salt & pepper noise, & Histogram |
| Rajendran and Doraipandian [26] | logistic map-LSB | High visual quality & & simple implementation. | Lower payload | 2 bpp (gray-image) | >44.5 dB | Histogram |
| Vyas and Dudul [27] | OO-LSB | Encrypts the secret data before embedding starts & embeds in skin areas. | Uses multiple covers | ~40KB (color-image) | >47 dB | N/A |
| PVD      | Balasubramanian et al. [28] | Octonary-PVD | Adaptive embedding & resistance against various statistical steganalysis. | Modern steganalysis evaluation is missing | ~ 3.6 bpp (gray-image) | ~40 dB | PVD analysis & RS analysis |
| Shen et al. [29] | MF-PVD | Resolves the PVD underflow/overflow problem & simple implementation. | Limited payload & modern steganalysis evaluation is missing | ~1 bpp (color-image) | ~36 dB | Pixel Difference Histogram & RS analysis. |
| Swain [30] | Ad-PVD | Adaptive embedding. | Lower payload | ~46.7 dB | | | |

(Continued)
| Approach | Reference | Method Name | Merits | Challenges | Payload (bpp) | Visual Quality (PSNR) | Resistance against Steganalysis |
|----------|-----------|-------------|--------|------------|---------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|
| Visual Quality (PSNR) | ~1.74 bpp (color-image) | Pixel Difference Histogram & RS analysis. |
| GrajedaMarín et al. [31] | PVD-TPVD | Resolves the PVD underflow/overflow & embedding done by full utilization of pixels. | Security evaluation by steganalysis is missing | ~2.14 bpp (gray-image) | ~38.3 dB | N/A |
| Swain [32] | Ad-PVD | Adaptive embedding & High visual quality | Complex algorithms for embedding & extracting | ~3 bits per byte | ~43 dB | Pixel Difference Histogram & RS analysis. |
| EMD | Kuo et al. [33] | GEMD | Uses dynamic modulus table to resolve the extraction function fixed weighting problem. | Modification of all pixels to embed the secret data | 1.5 bpp | ~50.2 dB | N/A |
| Kuo, Wang, et al. [34] | MSD | Maintains the bpp with increasing of n pixels & reduces the pixel modification ratio (only n/2 of pixels modification). | Limited payload | Only 1 bpp | >52 dB | RS analysis |
| Kuo et al. [35] | MBEF | Adaptive embedding & resolves the PVD underflow/overflow. | Low visual quality when high payload is embedded | Bet. 1.25 & 4.5 bpp | Bet. 51 to 30 dB based on the payload | Bit plane & RS analysis |
| MBNS | Geetha et al. [36] | VRNS | Good visual quality. | Not robust against compression, filtering & cropping, limited payload | Only 1 bpp | ~41 dB | RS analysis |
| Chen et al. [37] | GMB | Adaptive technique & increases security by coefficient mapping | SPAM analysis detection when payload is > 1 bpp | Bet. 1.46 to 3.8 bpp | Bet. 50 to 35 dB | SPAM analysis, Histogram, RS analysis |
| Nyeem [38] | Bit Plan Slicing | high payload with high imperceptibility | Not robust against attacks | Bet. ~2.5 to ~7.3 bpp | ~57 dB at 2.5 bpp | Histogram |
| GLM | Muhammad et al. [39] | GLM-MLE | High imperceptibility & robust against salt & pepper. | Limited payload | 8 KB | ~57 dB | N/A |
| Palette | Imaiizumi et al. [40] | k-bit palette | Higher payload with higher visual quality | Location map is required to extract the embedded bits | Bet. 1 to 3 bpp | ~40 dB at 3 bpp | N/A |
| Prediction | Jafar et al. [41] | MPE | Improved the prediction accuracy by using multiple predictors. | Limited payload & security evaluation by steganalysis is missing | Only 90574 bits | ~46 dB | N/A |
| Benhfid et. al. [42] | MLBS | Good imperceptibility level | Limited payload | 1.8 bpp | ~40 dB | Chi-Square |
| Baluja [43] | CNN | Higher payload | 1:1 ratio | N/A | N/A | N/A |
3.1.1 Least Significant Bit (LSB) Steganography

The LSB technique is considered an extremely simple technique in its performance, and therefore, it represents one of those common spatial image steganographic techniques [20]. The least significant bits within an image only introduces weak information and small modifications in these bits are not detectable through the eyes of humans. The secret bits are directly embedded into the cover image based on applying an LSB-based spatial-domain technique by modifying the cover’s least significant bits with no any distortion for the visual quality of the cover image. However, the embedding procedure generates a noise of 50% derived from the average bit embedding rate (i.e., embedded bits per pixel). Previous studies in the LSB steganography approach [46,47] have only focused on designing a method for increasing the capacity of the payload based on the use of the cover pixels. Further, the domain of steganalysis turns to be more effective in breaking such methods when statistical analysis is applied.

To achieve effectiveness of this technique, several developed LSB based image steganography versions are taken into account. The most significant versions apply different LSB matching algorithms [48], Adaptive LSB embedding based on image features such as texture contents or nature of edge pixels [49,50], Optimized LSB substitution based on learning methods [51,52] and so on. Additionally, the LSB technique is expanded to a maximum of 4 LSB planes in order to raise the capacity of the embedding procedure according to the cost of the minimized imperceptibility [53]. In a recent study, the authors proposed an LSB object-oriented image steganography [27]. In the mentioned research work, the secret data is embedded in the skin region of the cover image where the skin objects are selected using a skin detection algorithm. The neural network approach is applied to find the largest object among selected skin-tone objects. While the proposed work gives high embedding PSNR, it uses more than one cover image to embed the secret data.

The major benefit of the LSB steganography related to its ease of the embedding and extraction procedures. Nonetheless, LSB techniques are vulnerable to different statistical attacks, with some manipulations within the stego-image. As the LSB steganography represents the way of modifying the cover’s pixel values, its performance of extracting of the embedded data relies on some factors such as the compression quantization, noise effect, and intruder attacks.

3.1.2 Pixel Value Differencing (PVD) Steganography

Wu et al. presented a novel embedding aspect that relies on the occurring difference among pixel values [54]. The cover image consists of non-overlapping blocks of two joined pixels where the difference found within every block is changed. A greater difference between the two pixels allows a greater change and thus higher payload can be embedded. The number of secret bits, which are allowed to be embedded,
relies on whether the pixel exists into a smooth or an edge location. In the edge location, the differences
among neighboring pixels are found to be more, while in the smooth location it is found to be less.
Therefore, more data can be embedded into the edge-area pixels in comparison with the smooth area.
Since this technique aims to embed the data by changing the difference value within the two neighboring
pixels instead of directly changing pixel values, it offers more effective results based on its stego-image
quality and imperceptibility in comparison with the LSB replacement technique. Many techniques that
relate to the PVD technique have been produced in order to offer several secure communications and to
defeat any statistical attack. For instance, Hussain et al. [55] introduced an embedding technique for
enhancing security based on the use of two modes that depend on the embedding procedure. In fact, this
procedure is enhanced and based on two techniques, namely, the improved Rightmost Digit Replacement
(iRMDR) and the Parity-Bit Pixel Value Difference (PBPVD). A further example of the enhanced
security is the histogram analysis based vulnerability (PVD) technique [56]. To link the benefits of
different embedding techniques together, hybrid embedding techniques are produced (i.e., Steganographic
techniques that apply the LSB and PVD [57,58]).

Many enhanced PVD based image steganography versions were researched in order to improve the
efficiency of PVD. The most significant versions apply the Adaptive PVD block technique by using
different pseudo-random number techniques for determining the blocks [90] and tackling the fall-off
boundary problem in the PVD technique [59]. In addition, Swain [32] proposed an adaptive PVD-based
hiding scheme. In the mentioned work, the cover image is divided up into 1 × 2 overlapped blocks of
adjacent pixels. After that, the method uses modular arithmetic and adaptive quantization range table to
embed the secret data. The findings reveal that the mentioned scheme has higher PSNR value and
embedding capacity in comparison with existing PVD schemes. However, the proposed scheme increases
the algorithms’ complexity of embedding and extracting the secret data [60].

3.1.3 Exploiting Modification Direction (EMD) Steganography

The Exploiting modification direction (EMD) method is a common method that keeps the increased
fidelity pertaining to the stego-images protected [61]. In general, the secret digit is converted based on the
(2n + 1)-ary system when the embedding procedure is taking place through this method, such that n
denotes the number of the cover pixels. The range of the distortion’s highest pixel value is just (±1). In
particular, the EMD method applies a particular base for selecting the local variation corresponding to the
pixel intensity in the cover image. Thus, more message size can be hidden in the pixels that exist in high
texture areas. In fact, the EMD method delivers an effective visual quality in comparison with PVD and
LSB methods. The highest capacity of the EMD method reaches 1.16 bpp for n = 2. At the same time,
the embedding payload is radically reduced when the selected pixels are incremented. Consequently,
various EMD methods are produced in order to enhance the embedding payload [33,62–64].

Kuo et al. [33] proposed the Generalized Exploiting Modification Direction (GEMD) technique where
the major aim is that the (n + 1)-ary binary bits are embedded through n adjacent pixels. The findings
demonstrate that the technique can keep the embedding payload (1 + 1/n) along with a modified set of
pixels. However, the technique does not have the ability of hiding secret bits that are exceeding two per
every pixel [5]. At the same time, it adjusts the entire pixels of the set when the embedding procedure of
the secret is taking place. In order to tackle the issue of pixel modification, Kuo et al. [34] produced a
new technique that is called the Modified Signed-Digit (MSD) technique. This technique can only adjust
n/2 pixels but has only 1 bpp embedding payload. The MSD technique can proceed towards the RS
steganalysis [65] with an efficient imperceptibility. Kuo et al. [35] introduced an embedding technique
that is based on a multi-bit encoding function, which is applied in order to enhance the embedding
payload. This technique embeds up to (k + 1/n) pixels on average for every available pixel, where k is
selected based on how much embedded bits are existing per each pixel. Furthermore, the technique can
minimize the conversion of the secret data’s overhead and gives a simple relation among the adjacent pixels. In the meantime, the technique keeps its security in order to resist the RS and bit plain detection analysis. However, it suffers from low visual quality in comparison with many different available EMD based techniques.

### 3.1.4 Multiple Base Notational System (MBNS) Steganography

A further spatial-domain embedding technique that relies on the Multiple Base Notational Systems (MBNSs) is proposed in order to transform the secret information through to the notational scheme prior to the embedding procedure [5]. In 2006, this technique was initially proposed to enhance the original LSB substitution technique such that bit planes are applied in order to hide the secret bits [66,67].

In several techniques related to the MBNSs, secret information is changed into symbols and re-expressed according to the used MBNS (e.g., octal, decimal and binary systems) [5]. Additionally, an embedding process is applied for such symbols to be embedded into the pixels’ intensities. In general, when the notational base symbol is large, the embedding rate gets also large. Several studies aim at enhancing the capacity of the embedding process through different MBNS based techniques. Zhang et al. [68] produced such steganography. Particular bases are selected based on the local variation’s degree pertaining to the pixel magnitudes within the cover image such that busy area’ pixels can carry more secret bits. High embedding payload is obtained by this method. Comparisons of the obtained findings by the MBNSs are conducted with the PVD technique where it can be inferred that it achieves a superior and an effective quality factor and PSNR.

In [36], an adaptive embedding technique is produced according to the Varying-Radix Numeral System (VRNS). This technique divides any secret data into different numerals, which contain a capacity of different amounts of variable information. This division relates to the tolerance of cover pixels when managing the highest adulteration of the greater secret data. It is found to be proven from the findings that the payload is large enough while keeping an acceptable imperceptibility. Additionally, it controls the way it maintains security towards the RS steganalysis [65]. However, embedding the payload is still limited to many different radix-based methods. Consequently, an enhancement of the method in [36] has been conducted in [69]. The enhanced method is called the VRNS method, which is based on a hidden information when applying the Adaptation and Radix (AIHR) algorithm. Nonetheless, such a method obtains a greater payload compared to other available VRNS systems. On the other hand, this method contains few ambiguities in proposed flow. For example, there might be a way a receiver and sender are synchronized based on determining their bases. Additionally, in the AIHR extraction procedure, the ambiguity of selecting the multiple M might lead to not recovering the full secret data. Chen et al. [37] produce a General Multiple-Base (GMB) embedding technique in order to convert the secret bits into a number of M-ary secret digits that belong to a pixel-cluster (i.e., n pixels). The multiple M is selected in an automatic manner based on the end user’s input function. It offers various styles of the multi-purpose embedding procedure leading to high embedding payload or high quality of the stego-image. At less than or equal to 1.0 bpp, the GMB method resists the non-structural SPAM features and the RS steganalysis [65].

### 3.1.5 Gray Level Modification (GLM) Steganography

Potdar et al. [70] proposed the GLM technique in order to map the data based on changing the pixels’ gray levels (i.e., not embedding it). According to a few mathematical functions, a group of pixels is determined where the values of their gray levels are organized in the bit stream that relates to the secret message, which could have been mapped within the cover image [71]. This technique applies the even and odd numbers aspect in order to provide an effective way of mapping the data through to the cover image. For instance, number ‘1’ is mapped as an odd data value, while number ‘0’ is mapped as an even data value. The benefits of such a technique comprise the reduced computational complexity and increased embedding payload. The hybrid embedding technique relies on the GLM technique, which is
produced by Safarpour et al. [72] for embedding more secret data, and consequently, increasing the embedding payload.

3.1.6 Quantization Index Modulation (QIM) Steganography

The Quantization Index Modulation (QIM) technique [73] is considered an effective data embedding technique in the field of digital watermarking where it is applied in different steganography domains. This technique is based on embedding the information into the cover medium by first performing a modulation of an index or a set of indices with the embedded data. After that, the host is quantized according to the involved quantizer(s). The technique has high embedding payload, and it aims at allowing the embedder to manage the robustness and distortion levels obtained during the embedding process. Chung et al. introduced an enhanced data embedding technique that relies on a Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) and a vector quantization. The findings showed a better compression ratio and a more effective image quality [74]. A lossless data-hiding algorithm that applies the Side Match Vector Quantization (SMVQ) and the Search Order Coding (SOC) is proposed in [75]. This algorithm performs a compression rate of 0.325 bpp including a 256 of codebook size. A reversible data-hiding technique that is applied for several VQ indices is discussed in detail in [76]. This technique enhances several techniques such as enhancing the proposed techniques by Tsai and Yang and Lin and Chang, which provides 0.49 bpp of a compression rate.

For enhancements based on the embedding payload and the reduction of distortion, several enhanced quantization-based steganography versions are researched. One of these versions utilizes the elastic indicators and adjacent correlation. Through this approach, the indexes are encoded based on the difference values that are derived from the neighbouring indexes and the elastic sub codebooks are applied to enhance the compression rate [77].

3.1.7 Palette Based Steganography

The Palette based steganography is proposed in [78] to utilize the palette-based images as cover images. Image formats such as TIFF, PNG and GIF are appropriate for such a technique. In palette-based steganography, the colour that has a similar parity of a secret bit within a palette is used for the embedding procedure. The major advantage of the palette-based steganography is that the entire distortion within the stego-image is seen to be smaller in comparison with other related spatial techniques. On the other hand, the major drawback of this technique refers to the demand of particular images, which have lossless compression formats.

Imaizumi et al. [40] introduced a dense embedding technique based on the use of the palette based steganography that maintains the visual quality within an adequate level for an untraceable communication. Multiple secret bits are embedded in one pixel once the difference is assessed according to the Euclidian distance measures, knowing that the majority of the palette-based methods follow a strategy of single bit per pixel. As a comparison with further palette-based methods, the embedding payload is marginally increased, and the visual quality is seen to be further increased through the PSNR value of ~40 dB.

3.1.8 Prediction Based Steganography

The prediction based embedding technique has currently attracted many researchers [5]. In the prediction-based steganography, the embedding procedure is based on directly changing the pixel values, which causes a substantial distortion in the stego-image [1]. This leads to poor visual quality and a low embedding payload. In order to tackle such a problem, a predictive coding technique is provided such that existing pixel values are effectively predicted based on the use of a predictor rather than changing the pixel values. The Error Values (EVs) of prediction are modified for the purpose of embedding the secret bits. Referring to the international standards for lossless and near lossless image compression, the process
of compression comprises two different steps, which include the prediction and entropy coding of predicting EVs. The predictive rule is expressed as follows [1]:

\[
X' = \begin{cases} 
\min(a, b), & \text{if } c \geq \max(a, b) \\
\max(a, b), & \text{if } c \leq \min(a, b) \\
a + b - c, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

During the prediction step, a predictor is employed to estimate the pixel values of the host image. After that, the entropy coder is used to compress the prediction EV. The Median Edge Detector (MED) technique and the Gradient Adjusted Prediction (GAP) techniques represent new predictors that are applied in several prediction-based image coding techniques. Many different reversible prediction-based embedding techniques are enhanced and highlighted in the literature. Every technique attempts at enhancing many available proposed existing techniques.

Hong et al. [79] proposed an embedding technique that relies on the modification of prediction error (MPE), which adjusts the prediction errors’ histogram in order to select the unoccupied area for embedding the secret data. The visual quality pertaining to the MPE method ensures more than 48 dB. To acquire an increased embedding payload, the authors in [41] proposed a multiple predictor base steganographic technique, which is considered as an enhancement to the MPE technique without the need for adding any predictor overhead. Determining the accurate predictor in the embedding process is based on the predictor’s history. The produced technique demonstrates that the enhancement occurs for the visual quality and embedding payload where its security is not evaluated by any steganalysis technique.

Recently, Benhfid et al. [42] adopted the interpolation through multiple linear box-splines (MLBS) on three directional mesh in order to develop a reversible embedding technique. The secret data is embedded within the error between the interpolated and cover pixels. The secret data is initially put into the interpolated pixels as a particular error. The error is adopted to acquire several stego-pixels that are extremely close to the cover pixels. Furthermore, the LSBs pertaining to the secret data’s interpolated pixels are replaced into the cover pixels. After that, the Optimal Pixel Adjustment Procedure (OPAP) is used for the purpose of minimizing the difference between the original cover pixel and the stego-pixel. To compare with other studies in the literature, the findings reveal that the produced technique contains a great embedding payload when the PSNR values are retained at a good level. Additionally, the findings show that this technique achieves a low detectability rate when examined through different steganalysis attacks.

3.1.9 Deep Learning Steganography

In recent years, the introducing of deep learning in steganography has shown a great improvement in the effectiveness of steganography methods. Deep learning steganography is learned from machine learning. Several deep learning steganography methods [43–45] have been developed to improve the imperceptibility and security of steganography. Baluja [43] designed a convolutional neural network (CNN) model based on an encoder-decoder structure. The encoder successfully conceals the secret image into a cover image of the same size of the secret image, while the decoder reveals the complete secret image. The proposed method has a large payload with a minimum degree of distortion to the cover image. It distributes the bits of secret image across all the available bits of the cover image. However, in terms of security, the generated stego-images are distorted in color. In addition, this model takes more time to embed the secret image and requires much more memory since it uses three networks in the embedding and extracting processes [80].

Zhu et al. [44] proposed a deep learning data hiding method, called HiDDeN, based on using Generative adversarial networks (GANs). This method consists of a stego-image generator, an attack simulator, and an extractor. Different noises, such as JPEG compression and Gaussian filter, were modeled in the simulator to
train the network. The proposed HiDDeN method can extract the hidden bits with high accuracy even under different attacks, such as JPEG compression and Gaussian blur. However, while this method is resistance to a set of various noises, it requires excessive memory, and therefore cannot effectively embed large payloads [81].

Recently, Shang et al. [45] proposed a deep learning steganography method based on GANs and adversarial example techniques to enhances the security of deep learning steganography. This method consists of two phases, namely, model training and security improving. By the security improving phase (i.e., using adversarial example techniques), the generated stego-images can fool the deep learning steganalysis techniques and the extracted secret images are less distorted. The experiments reveal that the MSE values of stego-images are less than one percent. However, the proposed method has lower embedding payload (~0.4 bpp).

Many spatial-domain steganography techniques can achieve high payload, but they are susceptible to extremely few updates, which are likely to be encountered based on different image processing tasks (e.g., scaling, rotation, cropping, and so on). Moreover, these techniques recompense the image’s statistical features indicating a weak robustness towards image filters and lossy compression. As a summary, Tab. 4 provides number of significant comparisons for the merits and demerits pertaining to the well-known spatial-domain steganography techniques.

| Technique     | Merits                                                                 | Demerits                                                                 |
|---------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| LSB           | Acceptable payload & simple implementation                             | Not robust against statistical attacks and noise                           |
| PVD           | High payload with acceptable imperceptibility                         | Not robust against statistical attacks                                     |
| EMD           | Better imperceptibility compared with LSB & PVD                       | Low payload                                                              |
| MBNS          | High payload & more robust against steganalysis process               | Not robust against geometrical attacks                                    |
| GLM           | High payload & low computational complexity                            | Not robust against attacks                                               |
| QIM           | High payload                                                           | Prone to steganalysis & geometrical attacks                              |
| Palette based | High payload & less distortion compared to other spatial-domain techniques | Not secure & it needs covers of specific lossless compression format     |
| Prediction based | Not prone to steganalysis attacks                                      | Limited payload                                                          |
| Deep learning based | Better imperceptibility and security                                | Limited payload                                                          |

4 Future Research

4.1 Steganographic Aspects for Improving the Embedding Efficiency

The major challenges incurred in the spatial-domain image steganography comprise having high embedding payload and security, and having a lowest detectability [20]. Although many researchers
provide an extensive research in this domain in the past, the aforementioned demands are yet not entirely achieved. Knowing that the steganographic features are highly based on each other, developing a few features can reduce some different aspects’ efficiency. The challenge relates to finding a solution has not yet been completed for the entire demands at the same time. A few steganographic aspects are provided below in order to develop the efficiency pertaining to the current spatial-domain steganography.

a) The emphasis over the adaptive steganography: An adaptive approach represents the basic notion for obtaining an optimized method. Adaptiveness does not only develop the embedding efficiency but can as well protect the attempts of steganalysis with appropriate and efficient counter measures. The majority of the prediction and deep learning techniques are considered to represent the most effective selection for obtaining an adaptive nature to the system. This allows providing further improvements for all image steganography concepts starting from the imperceptibility along towards the embedding payload in comparison with different traditional embedding techniques.

b) Statistics aware modelling: Due to the further improvements in steganalysis techniques, forming the most secure steganography method is getting more crucial. In order to form this method, the embedding secret data is added to particular regions instead of the whole image. These regions are called the Region of Interest (ROI). These regions must be determined based on applying the embedding procedure within the image’s portions that yield to obtain the lowest distortion. Consequently, it can be inferred that embedding the secret data through the ROI by considering the image’s statistical features will assist in obtaining the required results.

c) Soft computing tools: Determining suitable locations for the embedding process has an essential role in embedding the secret data. The determination of such embedding locations is performed based on applying soft computing tools. Applying different optimization algorithms, such as neural networks, can assist in embedding the secret data into the host image in a way that increases the embedded payload, innocuousness, and stego-image quality.

d) Enhancing the secret data’s security: Using the encrypted form of the secret data assists in improving the security. Such techniques as the DES and RSA are applied to acquire an encrypted version of the secret data to be hidden in the cover image.

e) Selecting the most effective cover for hiding the data: researchers have previously concentrated on just applying the optimum selection pertaining to the locations of the data embedding in order to acquire an effective image quality. Nonetheless, the findings show that selecting an appropriate cover image maintains the rigidness of a system against any stego attacks while preserving high embedding payload.

4.2 Recommendations

In this subsection, a set of recommendations are provided in order to forward the researchers to develop efficient spatial-domain steganography techniques.

a) The compound of steganography with cryptography: the encryption of the secret data prior to embedding it adds as an extra security layer. If the steganographic algorithm could be exposed by a steganalysis attack, then the encryption has to be broken by the attacker so that the secret data could be possibly recovered.

b) The integration of irreversible and reversible techniques: The integration of reversible and irreversible embedding can raise the security and the embedding payload. The same set of pixels are recursively employed by number of different reversible and irreversible techniques where it is hard for an attacker to have the secret data recovered.

c) Hybrid embedding techniques: Multiple embedding techniques can raise the security of the data and can cause confusion with some steganalysis techniques. Additionally, the weaknesses and strengths
of the available techniques are exploited for designing a more effective embedding technique. Hybrid embedding techniques might likely represent effective techniques in terms of security and protection.

d) Universal steganography: The study demonstrates that the majority of the available steganographic techniques represent domain and format/type dependents. It is significant that the universal image steganographic techniques are revealed and formed in a way not to rely on the domain or type. Moreover, these techniques offer effective resistances for different attacks.

e) Minimizing the additive noise distortion: Minimizing the distortion resulting from the additive noise can resist modern steganalysis. In general, modern steganalysis attacks compute various distinctive features pertaining to the cover image and stego-image in order to differentiate the images types. At most, such features can be created based on an additive noise exists in the stego-image. Accordingly, challenges for reducing the additive noise in developing new embedding techniques are still in demand.

f) Blind (cover-less and key-less) extraction approaches: Both approaches refer to the capability of recovering the embedded secret data from the stego-image without the need for the cover image or the stego-key. When the original cover image is needed for the extraction procedure, the cover image gets suspicious. In the same context, sending a stego-key might likely be alarming. Consequently, the blind (cover-less and key-less) extraction procedure improves the security of the embedding techniques.

g) Multi-purpose embedding techniques: Many of these techniques are formed in order to achieve a single goal by either acquiring high embedding payload or high imperceptibility. A multi-purpose embedding technique can minimize the method’s complexity and streamline the implementation. In fact, real-time applications acquire these benefits when designing multi-purpose steganography methods.

h) Ideal image steganography techniques must provide high imperceptibility, high embedding payload, and resistance towards statistical steganalysis attacks. However, no any ideal steganography technique in reality. All indicated techniques have merits and demerits, which rely on the adopted algorithm and their applications’ types. Subsequently, the significance of a steganography method is based on the provided application.

5 Conclusion

In this review paper, a comprehensive survey related to recent spatial-domain embedding techniques are introduced. The difference between information hiding and cryptography is provided. Comparisons among available proposed embedding techniques in the spatial domain are explained based on their merits and demerits according to a graphical and tabular design. Additionally, many different recommendations, which might assist future researchers to proceed further in the spatial-image steganography, are elaborated in this paper. The major challenges pertaining to spatial-domain image steganography are comprised of the followings: (i) Maintaining imperceptibility within an increased level, (ii) Giving an increased security for the hidden secret data, (iii) Providing robust procedures towards many different intruder attacks and (iv) Providing an increased embedding payload. Generally, the majority of spatial-domain steganography techniques are considered more appropriate if high embedding payload is persistently required. However, the most commonly found flaw of spatial-domain steganography is the weak defense against geometric attacks, such as scaling, rotation, and cropping. As per the literature, it is inferred that adaptive embedding techniques are effective, and thus, the research may be directed towards applying adaptive approaches for high quality steganography techniques.
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