Mapping Lyman Continuum Escape in Tololo 1247–232
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Abstract

Low-redshift, spatially resolved Lyman continuum (LyC) emitters allow us to clarify the processes for LyC escape from these starburst galaxies. We use Hubble Space Telescope (HST) WFC3 and ACS imaging of the confirmed low-redshift LyC emitter Tol 1247–232 to study the ionization structure of the gas and its relation to the ionizing star clusters. We perform ionization parameter mapping (IPM) using [O III] λλ4959, 5007 and [O II] λλ3727 imaging as the high- and low-ionization tracers, revealing broad, large-scale, optically thin regions originating from the center and reaching the outskirts of the galaxy, consistent with LyC escape. We carry out stellar population synthesis modeling of the 26 brightest clusters using our HST photometry. Combining these data with the nebular photometry, we find a global LyC escape fraction of \( f_{\text{esc}} = 0.12 \), with uncertainties also consistent with zero escape and all measured \( f_{\text{esc}} \) values for this galaxy. Our analysis suggests that, similar to other candidate LyC emitters, a two-stage starburst has taken place in this galaxy, with a 12 Myr old, massive central cluster likely having precleared regions in and around the center and the second generation of 2–4 Myr old clusters dominating the current ionization, including some escape from the galaxy.
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1. Introduction

Escape of Lyman continuum (LyC) radiation from local star-forming galaxies (SFGs) has recently been detected from a handful of local galaxies at redshifts 0.02 ≤ z ≤ 0.33 (Bergvall et al. 2006; Leitet et al. 2011, 2013; Borthakur et al. 2014; Izotov et al. 2016a, 2016b, 2018; Leitherer et al. 2016; Chisholm et al. 2017). The emitting galaxies comprise a sample of four blue compact galaxies (BCGs; e.g., Thuan & Martin 1981), one Lyman break analog (LBA; Heckman et al. 2005; Overzier et al. 2009), and six green peas (GPs; Cardamone et al. 2009). The confirmed low-redshift LyC-emitting GPs (Izotov et al. 2016a, 2016b, 2018) have escape fractions in the range 6%–46%, but, being at redshifts z ∼ 0.3, they remain unresolved even in Hubble Space Telescope (HST) images. These spatially unresolved galaxies do not allow for a detailed investigation of the mechanisms behind the leakage of LyC.

The four LyC-emitting BCGs Haro 11, Tol 1247–232, Mrk 54, and Tol 0440–381 (Bergvall et al. 2006; Leitet et al. 2013; Leitherer et al. 2016; Chisholm et al. 2017) have lower escape fractions of a few percent but are much closer, at 0.02 ≤ z ≤ 0.048. At such redshifts, \( HST \) resolution is able to reveal the morphology and ionization structure of the interstellar medium (ISM) and allows identification of the star-forming regions responsible for LyC escape. Hence, these galaxies offer the opportunity to clarify the processes behind the observed LyC leakage.

Tol 1247–232 is at a luminosity distance of 213 Mpc \( (z = 0.048) \) and hence allows for such a spatially resolved investigation into the morphology of the ionized gas and relation to super star clusters (SSCs). The escape fraction of Tol 1247–232 has been measured four times with two different instruments, being consistently nonzero in all measurements (Leitet et al. 2013; Leitherer et al. 2016; Chisholm et al. 2017; Puschnig et al. 2017). This galaxy therefore offers a spatially resolved view of the ionization structure and morphology of a confirmed LyC emitter (LCE).

The technique of ionization parameter mapping (IPM) is well suited for such an investigation. This technique was first applied to H\( II \) regions in the Small (SMC) and Large Magellanic Clouds (LMC; Pellegrini et al. 2012) to identify optically thin H\( II \) regions and trace the escape of LyC photons from star-forming regions into the ISM. Further applications of this technique have detected large-scale optically thin regions in NCG 5253 (Zastrow et al. 2011), NGC 3125 (Zastrow et al. 2013), and Haro 11 (Keenan et al. 2017), consistent with LyC escape into the circumgalactic medium and beyond.

Of these three galaxies, Haro 11 is a confirmed LCE at \( z = 0.02 \) (Bergvall et al. 2006; Leitet et al. 2011). Analysis of this object using IPM (Keenan et al. 2017) reveals some surprising and important results. Whereas knot C has been assumed to be the LyC source because of its strong Ly\( \alpha \) emission, IPM indicates that this region is optically thick in the LyC, and instead, knot A is strongly indicated as the source of the leakage. In addition, IPM suggests that knot B may be optically thin as well (Keenan et al. 2017), and it may host a low-luminosity active galactic nucleus (Prestwich et al. 2015), which is also conducive to the escape of ionizing photons. It is therefore unclear which of the three bright knots in Haro 11 is responsible for the observed escape of LyC flux and, in particular, what the relationship is between LyC emission and Ly\( \alpha \) emission.

In this paper, we explore the LyC radiative transfer in Tol 1247–232. Here IPM paints a much clearer picture, easily revealing the dominant source of the ionizing radiation. In
Section 2, we present the broad- and narrowband HST imaging data used in the analysis and describe the procedure of continuum subtraction and the IPM technique. Section 3 presents the stellar population analysis of all bright knots (“clusters”) inside the galaxy and the galaxy as a whole. We estimate the global LyC escape fraction in Section 4, discuss the implications of our analysis in Section 5, and present our conclusions in Section 6. The effects of line contamination in the continuum filters are reviewed in Appendix A, a comparison of different methods for continuum subtraction is given in Appendix B, and supplementary data from the modeling of the spectral energy distribution (SED) of the clusters is given in Appendix C. Throughout this paper, we assume ΛCDM cosmology with H₀ = 70 km s⁻¹ Mpc⁻¹, ΩM = 0.3, and ΩΛ = 0.7 and hence a luminosity distance to Tol 1247–232 of D_L = 213.1 Mpc at z = 0.048. All photometry is in the AB magnitude system.

2. Nebular Analysis

We obtained HST WFC3 and ACS imaging in broadband and narrowband filters (PI: Oey; PID: 13702) that sample the U (F336W) and V (F547M) bands on the WFC3 and [O II] λ3727 (FR388N) and [O III] λλ4959, 5007 (FR505N) on the ACS. Additional WFC3 data (PI: Östlin; PID: 13027) exist for this galaxy in B (F438W), R (F775W), Hα (F680N), Hβ (FQ508N), and far-ultraviolet (FUV) F125LP and F140LP, which we used to complement the coverage of our target. The exposure times were 1208 s (F125LP and F140LP), 1030 s (F336W; PI: Oey), 900 s (F336W; PI: Östlin), 2710 s (FR338N), 732 s (F438W), 2355 s (FR505N), 1340 s (FQ508N), 1095 s (F547M), 740 s (F680N), and 600 s (F775W). We resampled all images to the larger pixel scale of 0.7 arcsec. The filters are in Table 1. Unless otherwise stated, hereafter we will refer to [O II] λ3727 and [O III] λλ4959,5007 as simply [O II] and [O III], respectively.

To calibrate the data, we used pysynphot with the observing mode given from the PHOTMODE header keyword and assuming an infinite aperture. In practice, the aperture radius was set to 2″ for the narrowband data and 6″ for the broadband data. All of our photometry, therefore, is measured relative to an infinite aperture zero point. To facilitate the discussion of different galaxy regions, we introduce a naming convention, defined in Figure 2(a).

2.1. Continuum Subtraction

The four narrowband filters target the named [O II], Hβ, [O III], and Hα lines. To isolate the line flux in each filter, one must remove the contribution of the underlying continuum. We use F336W, F438W, F547M, and F775W, respectively, as offline continuum filters and estimate the line flux as \( f_{\text{line}} = \mu_{\text{offline}} \).

There are several methods for obtaining the scaling factor \( \mu \), producing either spatially resolved values (e.g., Hayes et al. 2009; James et al. 2016) or a single effective \( \mu \) (e.g., Böker et al. 1999; Kennicutt et al. 2008; Hong et al. 2014; Keenan et al. 2017). The advantage of the former is that it accounts for spatial variations of the scaling factor arising from its dependence on the color of the underlying stellar population, which varies from place to place. Figure 2(a) shows that there are indeed color gradients evident in Tol 1247–232, with the central region A having blue colors, hinting at a young population, and redder, older populations to the north in region B and southwest in bar I. Figure 2(b) is a zoom of the galaxy showing smaller-scale variations in the stellar population. Puschign et al. (2017) accounted for the presence of color gradients by using spatially resolved SED fitting to estimate continuum subtraction in their analysis of the distribution of Lyα emission in Tol 1247–232. However, this method is highly model-dependent, and determining the continuum scale factor is fraught with uncertainties, as we demonstrate in Section 3.2.

We therefore use the mode method of Keenan et al. (2017) to estimate a single scaling factor \( \mu \) across the entire galaxy. This method is based on evaluating the mode of the pixel histogram of the continuum-subtracted image as a function of the scaling factor \( \mu \). For each of the four emission lines, the mode is computed over an area covering most of the galaxy, indicated by the white rectangle in Figure 2(a). This area does not include bar II. However, as can be seen from the superposition of Figures 2(b) and (c), bar II contains almost no visible stellar emission, being strongly dominated by nebular emission. Including bar II would therefore only contribute background-dominated noise in determining the continuum scale factor, and we have therefore omitted bar II from the region used to estimate \( \mu \). Further, we note that the scaling factors one obtains from the white rectangle in Figure 2(a) and only region A agree to 90%.

Figure 3 shows the break in the mode versus \( \mu \) function, indicating the location of the optimal \( \mu \) for each filter. This break indicates the transition from undersubtraction to over-subtraction of the continuum (see Keenan et al. 2017). The observed breaks indicate \( \mu = 0.52, 0.94, 0.80, \) and 0.84 for [O II], Hβ, [O III], and Hα, respectively. Since the mode depends on the bin size, we have explored all bin sizes from 0.1σ to 20σ in steps of 0.1σ, where σ is the standard deviation of the pixel fluxes inside the white rectangle in Figure 2(a). Beyond a certain bin size, the behavior of the mode as a
function of $\mu$ converges to produce a break at the same position for all larger bin sizes. In the figure, we show the mode function for different bin sizes, starting from the smallest bin beyond which all bin sizes produce a break at the same $\mu$. We also show a few larger bins to demonstrate the robustness of that convergence. The online and offline fluxes are corrected for Galactic extinction using the Schlafly & Finkbeiner (2011) reddening curve before the subtraction. Due to line contamination in the F547M continuum filter, our $[\text{O III}]/[\text{O II}]$ ratios are lower limits, as discussed in Appendix A.

In Appendix B, we compare the mode method to other methods for determining the global scale factor. The agreement between the methods is good, and we conclude that the mode method is a relatively straightforward and efficient way to obtain the scaling factors. One clear advantage over other methods is that the break in the mode function, and hence the value of $\mu$, is unambiguous and easy to identify. In what follows, we use the continuum-subtracted images produced with the mode method.

### 2.2. IPM

The technique of IPM spatially explores the ionization structure of the ISM (e.g., Zastrow et al. 2011, 2013; Pellegrini et al. 2012; Keenan et al. 2017). Regions with high ionization can be traced via, e.g., $\text{O}^{2+}$ or $\text{S}^{2+}$ emission, which requires photons with energies significantly higher than what is needed to ionize hydrogen. The detection of lines from these species therefore indicates that hydrogen is predominantly ionized in such regions. Further away from the source of ionizing photons, the ionization state of hydrogen ordinarily transitions to neutral for optically thick conditions. In this transition region, low-ionization species dominate and can be traced via, e.g., $\text{O}^{+}$ or $\text{S}^{+}$ lines. While other species can be used as tracers, a practical constraint is that the emission lines must be strong enough to be easily detected.

We use our continuum-subtracted $[\text{O III}]$ and $[\text{O II}]$ imaging to serve as the high- and low-ionization tracers, respectively. The $[\text{O III}]/[\text{O II}]$ ratio, often strong in young starbursting regions, is a good proxy of the ionization parameter $U$ (e.g., Jaskot & Oey 2013). Figure 4(a) shows the $[\text{O III}]/[\text{O II}]$ ratio.

As discussed in Appendix A, the $[\text{O III}]/[\text{O II}]$ values are lower limits, due to the oversubtraction of the continuum in the FR505N filter. High values of this ratio (coded blue) are indicated throughout the central region of the galaxy, including along the minor axis to both the east and west of the center, clearly reaching the outskirts of the galaxy. These ionized regions are broad, extending $\sim 3$ kpc from the center of region A in both directions. Region A itself appears completely ionized and has a diameter of 2.5 (2.3 kpc), corresponding to the size of the COS aperture. In region B and bar I, areas with $[\text{O III}]/[\text{O II}] < 1$ are visible (coded red in Figure 4(a)), indicating that they are dominated by low-ionization gas. In particular, bar I appears to have low $[\text{O III}]/[\text{O II}]$, although some islands of higher ionization are clearly visible. This is consistent with its reddish appearance in the $\text{UBR}$ composite in Figure 2(b), suggesting that bar I consists of an older stellar population. In contrast, bar II, which is dominated by nebular emission in Figures 2(b) and (c), shows, on average, high $[\text{O III}]/[\text{O II}]$ ratios. As mentioned in Section 2.1, this region requires external sources of ionization, since there are no obvious internal sources that could provide for the observed high $[\text{O III}]/[\text{O II}]$ ratio. We further note that two circular regions in the north and south along the major axis, corresponding to the blue knots in region B and bar I, show high values of $[\text{O III}]/[\text{O II}]$ but are almost completely surrounded by low-ionization zones and are therefore likely optically thick. Only the large, circular, ionized central area appears to fully ionize the ISM to circumgalactic radii, implying that it is the origin of the ionizing photons responsible for the observed LyC escape. This region corresponds to the very blue central region A of the $\text{UBR}$ composite image in Figure 2 and is approximately indicated by the white circle in Figure 2(a). As seen in Figure 4(a), it appears to be even larger when examined via IPM.

Figures 4(b) and (c) show high $[\text{O III}]/H\alpha$ and simultaneously low $[\text{O III}]/H\alpha$, spatially coincident with the optically thin areas and the central galaxy region, confirming our interpretation of the IPM in Figure 4(a). Figure 4(b) in particular shows $[\text{O III}]$ emission dominant over $H\alpha$ throughout...
most of the galaxy area. This is also visible in the three-color image in Figure 2(c), which is completely dominated by emission in the green channel, i.e., in [O III]. Only the two central knots appear free of both [O III] and H\alpha emission in this figure, which has important implications for their interpretation as optically thin regions (see Section 4).

As already shown in Puschign et al. (2017), the average dust extinction in region A is low. The H\alpha/H\beta ratio map in Figure 4(d) shows that the dust extinction outside of region A is also low. The observed high [O III]/H\alpha and low [O II]/H\alpha values are therefore not due to high internal extinction.

3. Stellar Population

In the context of mapping the galaxy’s ionization structure, it is of interest to determine the nature of the sources responsible for the observed ionization. We therefore examine the stellar populations that dominate our regions defined in Figure 2(a). Figure 5 shows a zoom of the three major areas: regions A and B and bar I. As mentioned earlier, bar II has no detectable stellar population and is therefore omitted from this analysis. Several bright compact knots, labeled with numbers, are seen in the figure. At the luminosity distance to Tol 1247–232 of 213 Mpc, our resolution of 0".05 corresponds to 47 pc pixel\(^{-1}\). This is much larger than the typical sizes for open and globular clusters (<10 pc), and we therefore cannot separate individual star clusters, unless they happen to be well isolated. Nevertheless, the SED of a knot consisting of several clusters will likely be dominated by the youngest, brightest population. For the remainder of this paper, we therefore refer to these knots as “clusters” and treat them as a single stellar population.

3.1. Cluster Photometry

For cluster selection criteria, we require at least a 1\sigma detection in all four optical broadband filters and in the H\alpha filter. We discarded objects that showed only noise in the FUV; i.e., older clusters were eliminated, since they have negligible contributions to the ionization structure of the gas. To assess the contribution of the resulting 26 clusters in Figure 5 to the ionization budget of the galaxy, we first obtained their luminosity in each filter via pixel photometry. We manually selected pixels belonging to each cluster (color-coded squares in Figure 5) and then applied a background cutoff to remove pixels below the selected background level. The background regions are marked in Figure 5 as crosses. Clusters 1–5 in region A all seem to be on the same background, marked by gray crosses, while clusters 6 and 7 in the same region are offset from the center and therefore assigned a different background region each, marked by crosses of the same color as their pixel aperture. Similarly, in region B and bar I, for all clusters that seemingly share the same background, the gray crosses mark the location of the selected background region, while for clusters that are either isolated or too offset from the main background area, we use separate regions, marked with crosses color-coded by the corresponding pixel aperture color. The median background value of each of these regions is our estimate for the background flux in each pixel of the associated cluster apertures. The uncertainties on the photometry were calculated with the same formula used by the IRAF\(^*\) PHOT task, using the standard deviation of the sky

---

\(^*\) IRAF is distributed by the National Optical Astronomy Observatories, which are operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation.
regions (stdev), the flux inside the apertures, and the area of both object (area) and sky apertures \(N_{\text{sky}}\), namely \(\text{err} = \sqrt{\text{flux/gain} + \text{area} \times \text{stdev}^2 + \text{area}^2 \times \text{stdev}^4/N_{\text{sky}}}\). The SED-fitting routine requires symmetric error bars in the input photometry, and we therefore symmetrically propagate the flux error to magnitudes \(m_{\text{err}}\) via \(m_{\text{err}} = \text{err} / \text{flux}\), even though for large uncertainties \(\gtrsim 30\%\), the departure from symmetry is significant. For example, a symmetric magnitude error of 0.8 mag corresponds to a relative error of 0.74 and hence to nonsymmetric magnitude errors of \(\pm 1.44 - 0.60\) mag. Table 1 summarizes the photometry for all 26 clusters in the available filters. Although in the SED fits, we use photometry from our own F336W observations and the repeated F336W observations with UVIS2 (PID: 13027), we have omitted explicitly showing the latter in the table, because within the error bars, it is fully consistent with our F336W data. The UVIS2 F336W photometry was included as a separate observation in the list provided to the SED-fitting routine.

Aperture corrections for each filter and cluster were obtained with pysynphot by assuming that the correction for the corresponding pixel aperture is equivalent to that of a circular aperture with radius \(r = \sqrt{\text{area}/\pi}\). These corrections account for variations in the point-spread function (PSF) in the different filters, although, due to the noncircular geometry of the pixel apertures, these corrections may be somewhat overestimated.

Further, all photometry has been corrected for Galactic extinction. The Schlafly & Finkbeiner (2011) reddening curve was used for all HST filters redward of F336W. For the F125LP
and F140LP filters, we instead used the Sasseen et al. (2002) FUV attenuation curve.

We carry out pixel photometry because the regions are too crowded to allow for aperture photometry with a fixed radius. Using small apertures to accommodate the small distances between clusters resulted in large, and therefore uncertain, aperture corrections on the order of \( \sim 1 \) mag. We also attempted to model each cluster with a 2D Moffat function with ASTROPY (Astropy Collaboration et al. 2013). The model photometry of the brightest clusters was consistent with the pixel photometry within the uncertainties, while the fainter clusters were difficult to model. Our pixel photometry for the clusters is summarized in Table 1.

3.2. Cluster SED Modeling

Once the photometry was obtained, we modeled the SED of each cluster with the Cigale software (v. 0.11.0; Noll et al. 2009; Serra et al. 2011). The available HST filters provide 11 photometry measurements covering the FUV and optical from the 10 filters listed in Section 2 and one repeated observation in F336W from a separate observing program. Cigale accounts for nebular emission by adding a generic H\( \Pi \) region spectrum, which may or may not be representative of the physical conditions in Tol 1247–232. Further, the nebular emission in each cluster aperture may be due to ionizing sources outside of the aperture. For these reasons, we treat the narrowband photometry as upper limits during the SED fitting. In addition, to account for the possibility that the narrowband photometry underestimates the flux in the emission lines due to the escape of ionizing photons beyond the aperture, the \( f_{\text{esc}} \) parameter was allowed to vary between 0.0 and 1.0 in 0.05 steps for each cluster. We chose a Salpeter (Salpeter 1955) initial mass function (IMF) with \( 0.6M_\odot \leq M \leq 120 M_\odot \) and a constant metallicity of \( Z = 0.004 \). This metallicity is consistent with that measured for Tol 1247–232 by Terlevich et al. (1993), who used the so-called “direct” method based on the determination of the electron temperature via detection of the temperature-sensitive [O\( \Pi \)] line at 4363 Å. We further assumed a quasi-instantaneous star formation history (SFH) in the form of a delayed decreasing exponential with time \( t \times e^{-t/\tau_{\text{SFH}}} \), adopting a very short characteristic timescale \( \tau_{\text{SFH}} = 0.01 \) Myr. During the fit, the age of the stellar population was allowed to vary from 2 to 100 Myr in steps of 1 Myr, which is the smallest step size allowed by Cigale. The ionization parameter was allowed to take on values of \( -4 \leq \log U \leq -1 \) in steps of \( -0.1 \). The Calzetti et al. (2000) dust attenuation law was used to fit the internal \( E(B-V) \), which was allowed to vary from 0.0 to 0.7 in steps of 0.01. Due to a lack of infrared (IR) data with sufficient resolution, we cannot constrain the dust emission for the clusters, and therefore the assumed fraction of ionizing photons absorbed by dust, \( f_{\text{dust}} \), is set to 0.0 and kept constant during the fit. Therefore, the individual cluster escape fractions \( f_{\text{esc}} \) in Table 2 are an upper limit in the absence of any dust inside of the H\( \Pi \) regions.

To break the age-extinction degeneracy, Fouesneau et al. (2012) recommended using an H\( \alpha \) filter in addition to broadband \( UBVR \) filters. Our cluster detection criteria therefore required a \( \geq 1\sigma \) detection in \( UBVR \) and the H\( \alpha \) filter. Table 1 lists the average percentage uncertainty for all regions and filters. Region A is the brightest, strongly dominated by clusters 1 and 2, followed by region B and bar I. The latter contains some of the faintest clusters in the sample. We are predominantly interested in region A, since it dominates the ionization budget of the galaxy, as we will show in Section 4. The uncertainties in all 10 filters are, on average, \( \leq 30\% \); hence, the SED is well constrained. For region B and bar I, the average uncertainties are \( \leq 38\% \) and \( 52\% \), respectively, for the optical broadband and H\( \alpha \) filters. In the FUV, region B and bar I are not as well constrained, with \( \leq 71\% \) uncertainty and several nondetections among the clusters. The situation is similar for both of these regions for the narrowband filters FR388N ([O\( \Pi \)]) and FQ508N (H\( \beta \)). The large uncertainties in these filters are of little consequence, since we use all narrowband photometry as upper limits in the SED fits, as described above. For regions A and B, we can test the robustness of our results by also

![Figure 5. FUV continuum (F140LP) images of regions A and B and bar I, showing cluster IDs. The colors represent the pixel apertures, with corresponding cluster IDs shown in the same color. Colored crosses show sky regions for apertures marked in the same color, and gray crosses mark the sky regions common to all clusters without an individual sky region. Clusters 23 and 24 share a sky region.](image-url)
| ID | N_ap | N_sky | F125LP (mag) | F140LP (mag) | F336W (mag) | FR388N (mag) | F438W (mag) | F508N (mag) | F547M (mag) | F660N (mag) | F775W (mag) |
|----|------|-------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
|    |      |       | Region A    |             |             |             |             |             |             |             |             |
| 1  | 38   | 82    | 16.9 ± 0.1  | 16.7 ± 0.1  | 17.4 ± 0.1  | 17.7 ± 0.1  | 17.7 ± 0.1  | 17.1 ± 0.1  | 17.6 ± 0.1  | 17.7 ± 0.1  | 18.0 ± 0.1  |
| 2  | 48   | 82    | 17.2 ± 0.1  | 16.9 ± 0.1  | 17.6 ± 0.1  | 17.9 ± 0.1  | 17.7 ± 0.1  | 17.4 ± 0.1  | 17.5 ± 0.1  | 17.7 ± 0.1  | 17.6 ± 0.1  |
| 3  | 25   | 82    | 19.5 ± 0.2  | 19.3 ± 0.2  | 19.9 ± 0.1  | 19.6 ± 0.3  | 20.1 ± 0.1  | 19.6 ± 0.2  | 17.7 ± 0.1  | 19.0 ± 0.1  | 20.2 ± 0.1  |
| 4  | 21   | 82    | 20.2 ± 0.2  | 19.9 ± 0.3  | 20.2 ± 0.1  | 19.9 ± 0.4  | 20.4 ± 0.1  | 19.8 ± 0.2  | 17.7 ± 0.1  | 19.1 ± 0.1  | 20.6 ± 0.1  |
| 5  | 16   | 82    | 19.5 ± 0.2  | 19.2 ± 0.2  | 19.8 ± 0.1  | 19.7 ± 0.3  | 20.0 ± 0.1  | 19.5 ± 0.2  | 17.4 ± 0.1  | 18.8 ± 0.1  | 18.9 ± 0.1  |
| 6  | 21   | 15    | 20.2 ± 0.2  | 20.0 ± 0.3  | 20.8 ± 0.2  | 20.1 ± 0.4  | 20.7 ± 0.2  | 20.1 ± 0.3  | 18.1 ± 0.1  | 19.5 ± 0.1  | 20.8 ± 0.2  |
| 7  | 26   | 16    | 21.0 ± 0.3  | 20.6 ± 0.4  | 21.3 ± 0.2  | 21.2 ± 0.6  | 21.5 ± 0.2  | 21.3 ± 0.5  | 19.7 ± 0.2  | 20.8 ± 0.2  | 20.9 ± 0.3  |
|    |      |       | Error [%] Region A | 16.6 | 19.0 | 10.8 | 30.0 | 10.5 | 21.1 | 10.2 | 7.6 | 11.7 | 11.5 |
|    |      |       | Region B    |             |             |             |             |             |             |             |             |
| 18 | 27   | 34    | 22.6 ± 0.7  | 22.2 ± 0.7  | 22.3 ± 0.3  | 21.5 ± 0.7  | 22.3 ± 0.3  | 21.7 ± 0.6  | 19.4 ± 0.2  | 20.9 ± 0.2  | 20.8 ± 0.3  |
| 19 | 13   | 8     | (23.5)      | (22.8)      | 22.7 ± 0.4  | (22.3)      | 22.6 ± 0.4  | 21.8 ± 0.6  | 19.7 ± 0.2  | 21.0 ± 0.2  | 20.9 ± 0.3  |
| 20 | 22   | 12    | (23.7)      | (23.1)      | 23.3 ± 0.6  | 22.2 ± 1.0  | 23.2 ± 0.5  | 23.2 ± 0.8  | 19.9 ± 0.2  | 21.5 ± 0.2  | 22.8 ± 0.4  |
| 21 | 29   | 34    | (22.1 ± 0.5)| (21.6 ± 0.6)| 21.6 ± 0.2  | 21.4 ± 0.7  | 21.6 ± 0.2  | 21.5 ± 0.5  | 20.0 ± 0.3  | 21.0 ± 0.2  | 21.0 ± 0.3  |
| 22 | 19   | 34    | (23.4)      | (22.8)      | 22.7 ± 0.4  | 21.9 ± 0.9  | 22.9 ± 0.4  | 22.1 ± 0.7  | 20.0 ± 0.3  | 21.2 ± 0.3  | 22.5 ± 0.4  |
| 23 | 35   | 30    | 23.0 ± 0.8  | 22.3 ± 0.8  | 22.8 ± 0.4  | 21.6 ± 0.8  | 22.6 ± 0.3  | 21.9 ± 0.6  | 20.4 ± 0.3  | 21.5 ± 0.2  | 21.3 ± 0.3  |
| 24 | 34   | 30    | 23.1 ± 0.8  | 22.5 ± 0.8  | 22.9 ± 0.4  | 21.8 ± 0.8  | 23.1 ± 0.4  | 22.2 ± 0.7  | 20.3 ± 0.3  | 21.7 ± 0.2  | 21.5 ± 0.3  |
| 25 | 53   | 30    | 22.9 ± 0.8  | 22.3 ± 0.8  | 22.5 ± 0.4  | (22.2)      | 22.3 ± 0.3  | 21.8 ± 0.6  | 19.8 ± 0.2  | 21.3 ± 0.2  | 22.2 ± 0.3  |
| 26 | 40   | 32    | 23.0 ± 0.8  | 22.7 ± 0.9  | 23.2 ± 0.5  | (22.1)      | 23.1 ± 0.4  | 22.4 ± 0.8  | 20.4 ± 0.3  | 21.8 ± 0.3  | 23.2 ± 0.4  |
|    |      |       | Error [%] Region B | 67.9 | 70.6 | 38.1 | 75.4 | 34.3 | 60.3 | 23.1 | 19.5 | 28.6 | 31.5 |

Note. The second and third columns give the number of pixels in each aperture (N_ap) and the associated sky region (N_sky). Non-detections, i.e., observations with fractional errors ≥100%, are treated as upper limits, and the 1σ value is instead given in parentheses. Symmetric magnitude errors are shown. Symmetric errors of 0.6, 0.8, and 1.0 mag correspond to actual nonsymmetric errors of ±0.3, ±0.4, and ±0.5 mag, respectively. Galactic reddening correction has been applied. The bottom row of each region shows the average percentage uncertainty (relative error in percent) for each filter.
performing SED fits using only the broadband data, which include two FUV filters, and thus one can still break the age-extinction degeneracy without the Hα filter. Within the uncertainties, the results were consistent with the SED fits using all available filters (FUV and optical broad- and narrowband), and we therefore proceed with the SED fits using all filters.

Table 2 shows the major parameters from the best-fit models, namely age, \(E(B - V)\), \(f_{esc}\), production rate of ionizing photons \(Q(H^0)\), and stellar mass \(M_*\). In Figure 6, we show the corresponding best SED fits for region A, while Figures 10 and 11 of Appendix C show the SED fits for the remaining clusters in bar I and region B, respectively. The upper (lower) uncertainties on the presented parameters are simply the difference between the parameter value at minimum \(\chi^2\) and the maximum (minimum) value of the parameter range obtained from all models with a \(\chi^2\) within 20% of the minimum. This is illustrated in Figures 12 and 13 of Appendix C for the age and \(f_{esc}\) parameters, respectively.

We note several things about the SED fits and their uncertainties. First, in some cases, the maximum probability does not correspond to the minimum \(\chi^2\) as seen in Figures 12 and 13. This is expected, because in each parameter bin, the probability is a weighted sum evaluated over the \(\chi^2\) values of all models in that bin. Therefore, while the best model is in the minimum \(\chi^2\) bin, other bins may contain several good models, which may increase their probability enough to offset the peak of the probability density function (PDF; Noll et al. 2009). Second, Cigale computes the reduced \(\chi^2\) statistic, \(\chi^2_r\), where \(\nu\) is the number of degrees of freedom, and \(\chi^2_r = \chi^2 / \nu\). In principle, \(\chi^2_r > 1\) indicates either that the error variance of the data has been underestimated or that the model is not fully capturing the data, while \(\chi^2_r < 1\) indicates either that the model is fitting noise or that the error variance has been overestimated (e.g., Bevington 1969). The latter is likely the reason for the models with \(\chi^2_r < 1\) in Table 2 and Figures 12 and 13, since Cigale cannot be fitting noise in our setup. Third, even though Cigale selects a best value for a given parameter, that value may be poorly constrained. Examination of the PDF and \(\chi^2\) distribution is invaluable in identifying such cases. For four clusters in Table 2 (clusters 2, 7, 11, and 12), the escape fraction parameter is unconstrained, with possible values covering 0%–100% escape. To illustrate the stability of the model parameters, we show the PDF and \(\chi^2\) distributions for the age and the escape fraction in Figures 12 and 13. Lastly, Cigale is designed primarily for stellar population synthesis modeling of integrated galaxy populations, rather than individual clusters. Since the distribution of nebular light associated with individual clusters corresponds poorly to the spatial apertures of the clusters, nebular parameters such as \(\log U\) fitted by Cigale are not meaningful and therefore not presented in Table 2.

3.3. Galaxy SED Modeling

For the 26 clusters, we have used only FUV and optical data, because these data have the spatial resolution to separate individual ionizing sources. For the SED of the entire galaxy, we can use integrated values from observations with lower resolution, namely, the photometry of the integrated galaxy area in the near-infrared (NIR) \(J\), \(H\), and \(K_s\) bands from the 2MASS catalog, in the IR at 60 and 100 \(\mu\)m from the IRAS catalog, and at 1.49 and 4.8 GHz in the radio from Rosa-González et al. (2007). To obtain the integrated FUV and optical photometry of the entire galaxy, we performed aperture photometry on all FUV and optical images with a radius of 5′′/8, as indicated in Figure 2(a). Since we are now evaluating the entire stellar population of Tol 1247–232, we assumed a double exponential SFH law, with one exponential for the young population and one for the underlying old population. We assumed an old population of 6 Gyr, with a short \(e\)-folding time \(t_{f,ed} = 0.01\) Myr. For the superimposed young population, we assumed an equally short \(e\)-folding time \(t_{f,ed} = 0.01\) Myr and varied the young mass fraction \(f_{\text{frac}}\) between 0.01 and 0.35 in steps of 0.05 and the burst age between 2 and 20 Myr in steps of 1 Myr. In addition to these, the log \(U\), \(f_{\text{frac}}\), and \(E(B - V)\) parameters were also varied with the same range as for the clusters. The best model parameters are listed in Table 3, with the SED displayed in Figure 7(a). The PDF of the major parameters and the minimum \(\chi^2_r\) are shown in Figure 7(b).

The IR data constrain the dust content, and we can therefore fit the fraction of ionizing photons absorbed by dust, \(f_{abs}\). For the best model and models with similar \(\chi^2\), \(f_{abs} = 0.3^{+0.2}_{-0.1}\). Typical fractions of dust-absorbed LyC photons are ~50% for solar and LMC metallicities (Inoue 2001). The lower SMC-like metallicity...
of Tol 1247–232 is consistent with $f_{\text{dust}}$ fractions being somewhat lower here, although within the uncertainties, $f_{\text{dust}}$ is also consistent with fractions for solar and LMC metallicities. We obtain a dust attenuation of $E(B-V) = 0.12^{+0.02}_{-0.01}$, which is consistent with estimates from observed Balmer line ratios ($E(B-V) = 0.13$; Puschnig et al. 2017) and with modeling the SED by fitting the observed FUV COS spectrum ($E(B-V) = 0.11$; Leitherer et al. 2016).

Within the uncertainties, the resulting stellar mass $1.13^{+1.53}_{-0.40} \times 10^9 M_\odot$ is a factor of 2.2 from the estimate by Leitet et al. (2013 $5.9 \times 10^9 M_\odot$), who obtained the stellar mass by simply assuming a reasonable mass-to-light ratio based on the statistical average of local SFGs; hence, their estimate can certainly be off by a factor of 2–3. Comparing our mass to the total mass of all clusters in Table 2, we find that the young mass fraction is $\sim 34\%$, which is consistent within the error bars with the value found by Cigale. This young population gives a total production rate of ionizing photons of $Q(H^0)_{\text{total}} = 8.5 \times 10^{54}$ s$^{-1}$. From these, a fraction of 0.42 are absorbed by dust and/or escape (Table 3), and the

---

**Figure 6.** Cigale SED fits for region A. Photometric data are shown with circles, and upper limits are indicated by arrows.
remaining $Q(H^0)_{\mathrm{ion}} = 4.9 \times 10^{54}$ photons s$^{-1}$ are left to ionize the gas. Note that the escape fraction is unconstrained, in the sense that all values below 0.31 are consistent with the best model (Table 3).

### 3.4. Classical WR or VMS Stars?

Wolf–Rayet (WR) stars in Tol 1247–232 have been reported by Masegosa et al. (1991) and confirmed by Schaerer et al. (1999) through reanalysis of the same data. Classical WR stars of the carbon (WC) and nitrogen (WN) sequences are the stripped cores of evolved massive stars. Their strong stellar winds provide substantial mechanical feedback, and they can be used to age-date stellar clusters, implying an age of ~5 Myr (e.g., Crowther 2007). One can infer WN stars from the so-called “blue bump” due to broad He II $\lambda 4686$ emission, while WC stars will display a “red bump” at 5810 Å.

An alternative explanation to the “blue bump” may be very massive stars (VMSs). Young VMSs are known to also show WN spectral features (e.g., Crowther et al. 2010; Crowther & Walborn 2011; Gräfener & Vink 2015; Smith et al. 2016). Stars of the VMS O type have been detected in the LMC SSC R136, a very massive and extremely young cluster ($M \geq 10^5 M_\odot$, $\leq 2$ Myr; Crowther et al. 2010). A similar situation has been suggested in Mrk 71 (James et al. 2016; Micheva et al. 2017), where VMSs could explain the detection of broad He II and are consistent with the ~1 Myr age of its dominant SSC, knot A. The SED models in our analysis cannot differentiate ages $\leq 3$ Myr, and some of the clusters in Tol 1247–232 could be even younger than what we have indicated in Table 2. It is therefore possible that the observed WN spectral features are due to VMSs in SSCs of extremely young ages, ~1 Myr in this galaxy.

The presence of WC stars would support the interpretation of a classical WN population. We evaluated the possibility of WC stars by reexamining the available spectra. There are two optical spectra of Tol 1247–232 from the ESO 3.6 m and Las Campanas DuPont telescopes, published in the H II galaxy catalog of Terlevich et al. (1991) and used to detect the blue bump in Masegosa et al. (1991) and Schaerer et al. (1999). These data were kindly provided to us by R. J. Terlevich. Due to the low resolution of the ESO spectrum and a second-order contamination of the DuPont spectrum (R. J. Terlevich 2018, private communication), we are unable to definitively exclude the presence of the 5810 Å red bump and implied WC stars. If a red bump is present in these spectra, it is below the detection limit. Therefore, the WR stars in Tol 1247–232 are likely dominated by the WN type, and the possibility of VMSs cannot be discarded.

Cigale assumes a “standard” population of single stars with masses $0.6 M_\odot \leq M \leq 120 M_\odot$ and no binary companions. There is evidence in the literature that accounting for binary evolution improves the agreement between observations and synthetic spectra (e.g., Eldridge & Stanway 2009; Eldridge & Relaño 2011). In such models, WR stars can manifest over a wider age range, which boosts the UV flux and LyC production of their host galaxy. Our predictions for the cluster production rates of ionizing photons may therefore be underestimated.

### 4. LyC Escape

In Section 2.2 and Figure 4(a), IPM based on the [O III] and [O II] lines revealed a large area of ionized, optically thin gas. This area includes the entire central region A (2.3 kpc in diameter) and extending well beyond the stellar body of the galaxy to the northwest and southeast of region A, reaching ~3 kpc in both directions from the center. Clusters 1 and 2 in region A are the most massive and brightest objects in the entire galaxy in both FUV and optical (Table 1) and are separated by a projected distance of 280 pc. Outside of region A, the brightest object is cluster 8 in bar I, which is the third most massive cluster in the galaxy and, on average, as bright as some of the region A clusters. These three clusters alone cannot account for all of the observed ionized gas. In what follows, we examine the contribution of all 26 clusters to the ionization structure of the ISM. We note that the stellar mass in all apertures is between $10^7$ and $10^8 M_\odot$, as seen in Table 2. This means that our clusters are either a congregation of clusters or an individual SSC.

We estimate the fraction of diffuse gas emission in Tol 1247–232, which we define as the fraction of the total nebular flux outside of the apertures for the 26 clusters in Table 2. We use the pixel photometry in Table 1 to represent the radiation coming from the clusters and their immediate vicinity. To obtain the emission-line fluxes within the apertures, we use the continuum-subtracted images obtained in Section 2.1. For the total nebular flux of the galaxy in each of the narrowband filters, we use the same fixed aperture of $r = 5''8$. The diffuse emission is then estimated as the fraction of flux outside of the object apertures.

For [O II], [Hβ], [O III], and Hα, we observe diffuse fractions of 0.83, 0.89, 0.76, and 0.83, respectively. These fractions are much higher than typical warm ISM (WIM) fractions for starburst galaxies, which are around ~20% (Oey et al. 2007). This is because our definition of the diffuse radiation differs from that of conventional WIM analysis, in particular, that our cluster apertures are defined by the stellar light and therefore are much smaller, excluding the outer areas of the H II regions associated with each cluster. Our diffuse radiation fraction of 0.83 in Hα is consistent with that of Ostlin & the LARS Team (2016), who modeled the Hα emission in Tol 1247–232 pixel by pixel and estimated the diffuse fraction in a similar fashion.

Balancing the budget of intrinsic and observed ionizing photons, one can use the diffuse radiation fraction and the modeled $Q(H^0)$ and $f_{\text{esc}}$ from Table 2 to estimate the global escape fraction of ionizing photons. From the SED fit to the entire galaxy, in Section 3.3, we obtained $Q(H^0)_{\text{ion}} = 4.9 \times 10^{54}$ s$^{-1}$. With an average diffuse fraction of 0.83, this
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### Table 3

| ID       | Burst Age (Myr) | $f_{\text{burst}}$ | log $U$ | $f_{\text{esc}}$ | $f_{\text{burst}}$ | $E(B - V)$ | $Q(\text{H}^0)$ ($10^{44}$ s$^{-1}$) | $M_* (10^4 M_\odot)$ | $\chi^2$ |
|----------|----------------|--------------------|---------|------------------|------------------|------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|--------|
| Tol 1247–232 | 3.1$^{+0.7}_{-0.6}$ | 0.25$^{+0.10}_{-0.15}$ | $-2.6^{+0.3}_{-0.1}$ | 0.12$^{+0.19}_{-0.12}$ | 0.3$^{+0.2}_{-0.3}$ | 0.12$^{+0.01}_{-0.02}$ | 8.49$^{+1.36}_{-1.60}$ | 1.13$^{+1.53}_{-0.40}$ | 1.14 |

**Note.** Uncertainties correspond to the resulting parameter range for models within 5% of the minimum $\chi^2$. |
corresponds to a production rate of diffuse ionizing photons of $Q(H^0)_{\text{diffuse}} = 4.1 \times 10^{54} \text{ s}^{-1}$. This is the ionizing photon emission rate that the ionizing sources in the galaxy must account for, and any excess above this number will escape into the IGM. From all 26 clusters, the rate of ionizing photons escaping into the ISM is $\sum Q(H^0_i) \times f_{\text{esc}, i} = 5.6 \times 10^{54} \text{ s}^{-1}$, where $Q(H^0_i)$ and $f_{\text{esc}, i}$ are obtained from Table 2 using parameter values at minimum $\chi^2$. This implies that the radiation leaking from the clusters into the ISM can account for $1.37 \times Q(H^0)_{\text{diffuse}}$, and hence the global escape fraction is 0.27. Using the maximum parameter values from Table 2 (positive error bars), the 26 clusters can account for $1.55 \times Q(H^0)_{\text{diffuse}}$, and the global escape fraction is 0.35. Using the minimum parameter values from Table 2 (negative error bars), the 26 clusters can account for only $0.73 \times Q(H^0)_{\text{diffuse}}$, and hence the global escape fraction is zero. We further note that in taking the SED models at minimum $\chi^2$ at face value, region A (clusters 1–7) dominates the production of ionizing photons and alone accounts for $0.83 \times Q(H^0)_{\text{diffuse}}$.

The above estimates for the global $f_{\text{esc}}$ are obtained by ignoring dust absorption inside the H II regions. From the SED modeling of the entire galaxy in Section 3.3, we obtained a model value for the fraction of ionizing photons absorbed by dust, $f_{\text{dust}}$. The global LyC escape fraction can then be expressed as

$$f_{\text{esc}} = 1 - \frac{Q(H^0)_{\text{obs}}}{(1 - f_{\text{dust}}) \times \sum_{i=1}^{26} Q(H^0_i)},$$

for the case that $\sum_{i=1}^{26} Q(H^0_i) > Q(H^0)_{\text{obs}}$, and zero otherwise. Here we assume that $f_{\text{dust}}$ is a constant effective fraction of absorbed LyC photons that applies to all individual clusters. Here $Q(H^0)_{\text{obs}}$ is obtained from the observed H I luminosity of the galaxy, assuming case B recombination, and is estimated to be $Q(H^0)_{\text{obs}} = 4.2 \times 10^{54} \text{ s}^{-1}$ after correcting for internal extinction with $E(B-V) = 0.11$, and $Q(H^0_i)$ is the model intrinsic LyC production rate from cluster $i$ obtained from its Cigale SED fit in Table 2. The dust fraction at minimum $\chi^2$ is $f_{\text{dust}} = 0.30$, as shown in Table 3. With these values, one obtains $\sum Q(H^0_i) = 6.78 \times 10^{54} \text{ s}^{-1}$. From Equation (1), the resulting global escape fraction is $f_{\text{esc}}^{\text{galaxy}} = 0.12_{-0.03}^{+0.12}$, which represents the total isotropic escape in all directions after accounting for dust both in the ISM and inside of the H II regions. The uncertainties on this value are the propagated uncertainties in $f_{\text{dust}}$ and $\sum Q(H^0_i)$ from Tables 2 and 3, added in quadrature.

We note that this estimate is sensitive to the individual escape fractions from all clusters, the estimate of the diffuse radiation fraction, the dust absorption fraction, and the modeled intrinsic number of ionizing photons. For example, if the diffuse radiation fraction is $\geq 20\%$ lower, then the minimum $Q(H^0_i)$ can still account for all diffuse radiation and result in a nonzero global escape fraction of $\geq 2\%$. Further, SED models of ages younger than 2 Myr are unavailable in Cigale; hence, we cannot model clusters dominated by extremely young VMSs (cf. Section 5) of $\lesssim 1$ Myr. The VMSs would significantly boost the intrinsic production of $Q(H^0)$, and further boost the escape fraction.

5. Discussion

The observed LyC escape fraction from Tol 1247–232 has been measured several times through direct observations in the LyC regime. It was first detected with $f_{\text{esc}} = 0.024_{-0.009}^{+0.009}$ from...
**Figure 8.** Continuum-subtracted Hα image showing the structure of the ionized gas. Several loops and filaments are visible in emission in and around region A. Regions A and B and bars I and II are indicated with dashed ellipses for orientation.

**FUSE** data (Leitet et al. 2013). The detection was later confirmed with HST COS data but measured to be $f_{\text{esc}} = 0.045 \pm 0.012$ (Leitherer et al. 2016). Puschnig et al. (2017) found a negative flux issue with the COS reduction pipeline and remeasured $f_{\text{esc}}$ at $0.015 \pm 0.005$. In a third reanalysis of the COS data, Chisholm et al. (2017) claimed that the dark current had been significantly underestimated by Leitherer et al. (2016) and instead obtained $f_{\text{esc}} = 0.004 \pm 0.002$. These same authors predicted a higher $f_{\text{esc}}$ of 0.05 from HI absorption properties in their most recent work (Chisholm et al. 2018), which is consistent with the Leitherer et al. (2016) measurement.

Our global $f_{\text{esc}}^{\text{gaxy}} = 0.12^{+0.31}_{-0.12}$ is higher than these observed measurements, but within the uncertainties, it is also consistent with zero LyC escape and is therefore in agreement with these previous studies. However, taking our estimate at face value, it is substantially higher than the observed values of $f_{\text{esc}}$. Since the latter are measured in the line of sight, this would suggest that the escape of ionizing radiation is not isotropic and would depend on viewing angle. This is consistent with the nonisotropic nature of galactic winds and outflows (Veilleux et al. 2005). As described earlier, such mechanical feedback may facilitate LyC escape (e.g., Zastrow et al. 2011, 2013). Nonisotropic escape via ionized “tails” reaching the outskirts of the galaxy has also been suggested for the low-metallicity SFG SBS 0335–52E (Herenz et al. 2017).

The highly disturbed and irregular morphology of Tol 1247 –232, seen in Figure 2(a), suggests a major merger event, typical of starbursts that are candidate LCEs. Our SED analysis indicates the presence of at least two young populations of $\leq 4$ and $\sim 12$ Myr age (Table 2), likely the product of star formation triggered by the merger. The SED modeling also indicates that clusters in region B and bar I appear to be much dustier than those in the central region A, with an average $E(B-V) = 0.32, 0.18$, and 0.12, respectively, as seen in Table 2. Since one does not expect the average dust attenuation to increase toward the outskirts of a galaxy, the high $E(B-V)$ values in region B and bar I suggest that they may be remnants of the main bodies of the progenitor merging galaxies.

In an interacting merger system, mechanical feedback from intense star formation is expected to play a significant role in sculpting the morphological structure of the ISM. Evidence of mechanical feedback can be observed in the morphology of the ionized gas, traced by nebular emission. The continuum-subtracted Hα line image in Figure 8 highlights numerous loops, filaments, and cavities. These structures have scales on the order of $\sim 1$ kpc and therefore require multiple episodes of star formation (e.g., Chu 2008). The two loops and the northwest cavity, indicated in the figure, could be multi-supernova superbubbles. Note the symmetric geometry centered on cluster 2, comprising the two cavities directly above and below the cluster. In this projection, the cavities are perpendicular to the galaxy axis, bisecting region B and the central region A. These structures apparently correspond to ionized gas, outlined by filamentary strands of nebular emission, and lack any substantial stellar component.

We can compare the stellar population and morphology of optically thin regions in Tol 1247–232 with what is seen in other starburst galaxies. The IPM studies of NGC 5253 and NGC 3125 revealed narrow ionization cones, most likely powered by clusters between 1 and 5 Myr of age (Zastrow et al. 2011, 2013). These works point out the presence of older stellar populations with ages 10–100 Myr from prior star formation episodes in both galaxies and suggest that the ionization cones formed through low-density channels precleared by the older clusters. This is supported by the apparently preferred orientation of the ionization cones perpendicular to the major axis of these galaxies (Zastrow et al. 2013). The age distribution of the clusters in Tol 1247–232 similarly indicates a two-stage starburst (Table 2), where, in addition to the young objects $\leq 4$ Myr old, an older population from a previous star formation episode is also present, with an average age of $\sim 12$ Myr. The most prominent cluster from this older...
population is the centrally positioned cluster 2, which is the most massive object in the entire galaxy and rivals its neighbor, cluster 1, in brightness, both in the FUV and optical. However, instead of narrow ionization cones, Tol 1247−232 shows a large, highly extended area of ionized gas (Figure 4(a)) centered on region A, which reaches the outskirts of the galaxy to the northwest and southeast. The presence of large-scale, optically thin regions revealed by IPM is consistent with the known LyC emission from this galaxy and confirms the use of this technique in clarifying LyC radiative transfer. Another LCE showing similar extended, optically thin morphology through IPM is Haro 11 (Keenan et al. 2017), where a broad, optically thin region extends >1 kpc from the center of knot A into the outskirts of the galaxy. Interestingly, the SFH in Haro 11 is also consistent with a two-stage starburst, with a young population of SSCs having ages of ∼3.5 Myr and an older population having ages ≥40 Myr (Adamo et al. 2010).

Thus, a common feature emerging among galaxies with large-scale optically thin regions with likely LyC escape is the two-stage starburst, in which the episodes of star formation are separated by ∼5−40 Myr. While narrow ionization cones are seen in the candidate LCEs NGC 5253 and NGC 3125 (Zastrow et al. 2013), the two confirmed LCEs Haro 11 and Tol 1247−232 reveal much more extensive optically thin ISM. It is possible that the morphologies appear different simply due to projection effects, and IPM of larger samples of LCEs is needed to quantitatively characterize the ionization structure in these objects.

We note that X-ray emission from an accreting point source has been detected in both Haro 11 (Prestwich et al. 2015) and region A of Tol 1247−232 (Rosa González et al. 2009; Kaaret et al. 2017), which may contribute to the LyC escape and help explain the extremely high ionization parameter of log $U = -1$ preferred for this galaxy by population synthesis models.

6. Conclusions

We have used FUV and optical HST imaging of Tol 1247−232 to study the ionization structure of this confirmed LCE via the technique of IPM. The continuum emission in the [O II] $\lambda$3727, H$\beta$, [O III] $\lambda\lambda$4959, 5007, and H$\alpha$ narrowband filters was first subtracted with the mode method of Keenan et al. (2017), and we demonstrated that this method gives continuum scaling factors consistent with the skewness method of Hong et al. (2014) and the pixel-to-pixel method used by, e.g., Böker et al. (1999). Here IPM using [O III] and [O II] reveals a large, optically thin gas region encompassing the central region and reaching the outskirts of the galaxy at ∼3 kpc from the center along the minor axis. Thus, IPM unambiguously confirms the central region as the origin of the LyC photons that escape in Tol 1247−232.

We identify 26 SSCs, seven of which are located in the central, brightest region of the galaxy, and we model their SEDs with Cigale. Our results from minimum $\chi^2$ SED fitting indicate a population of very young ages of 2−4 Myr for most clusters. The two brightest clusters, 1 and 2, are located in the central region and are separated by a projected distance of 280 pc. The emerging scenario for the escape of LyC in Tol 1247−232 appears to be a two-stage starburst, in which the older cluster 2 (12 Myr old) has generated large-scale superbubbles, loops, and filaments via mechanical feedback. Young clusters (≤4 Myr) from the second star formation episode, dominated by cluster 1, have then ionized the surrounding ISM, facilitated by this preclearing of the region. Previously, WN stars were detected in this galaxy, and we highlight the possibility that these may instead be unevolved (≤1 Myr) VMSs. Their confirmed presence would greatly influence the age of cluster 1 accordingly. The LyC luminosity in the central region is so high that large areas appear to be optically thin, not just the lowest-density cavities.

Based on the cluster SED models and observed H$\beta$ emission in Tol 1247−232, we obtain a LyC escape fraction $f_{\text{esc}} = 0.12^{+0.31}_{-0.12}$. The central region A dominates the
ionization. The 26 clusters can fully account for the observed ionized ISM and, furthermore, can leak LyC with a global nonzero escape fraction. Within the uncertainties, this is consistent with direct measurements of $f_{\text{esc}}$ on the order of a few percent in the literature and a zero escape fraction. Our larger estimated value compared to the measurements supports the idea that LyC escape is not isotropic and may depend on viewing angle.
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**Figure 10.** Cigale SED fits for bar I. Photometric data are shown with circles and upper limits with arrows.
Appendix A

Effects of Line Contamination in Continuum Filters

The underlying assumption in integrated scaling factor methods is that any emission lines in the continuum filter have a small contribution compared to the total continuum flux, which may not be a justified assumption for starburst galaxies (e.g., Krueger et al. 1995). In our case, F336W, F438W, and F775W do not contain any strong emission lines, while F547M, used for subtracting the continuum from the [O III] narrowband filter FR505N, is affected by the presence of strong [O III] emission. Keenan et al. (2017) tested their mode method on synthetic data sets containing pixels with different continuum and line properties. Their tests reveal that as long as there are some continuum-dominated pixels, the mode method determines the true scaling factor. If no such pixels are present, the scaling factor will be slightly overestimated. In addition, the presence of the [O III] line in the continuum filter will also cause the continuum flux to be overestimated (e.g., Kennicutt et al. 2008). This can lead to an oversubtraction of

Figure 11. Cigale SED fits for region B. Symbols are the same as in Figure 10.
Figure 12. PDF of the age parameter for each cluster. The left y-axis shows the PDF color-coded in blue, and the right y-axis shows the corresponding $\chi^2$ distribution color-coded in green. The best model value, selected by Cigale and corresponding to the lowest $\chi^2$, is marked by a dashed red line. The $\chi^2$ values within 20% of the minimum represent models indistinguishable from the best fit and are marked by dark green. Note that the used step size during the SED fitting can be directly inferred for each parameter from the density of points in these figures.

Figure 13. PDF of the $f_{esc}$ parameter for each cluster. Line types and axes are the same as in Figure 12.
the continuum and, consequently, to an underestimation of the [O III] line flux. Following Kennicutt et al. (2008), we estimate that due to the presence of [O III] in the continuum filter, the effective filter transmission at the wavelength of [O III] is lowered by \( \sim 80\% \), leading to an underestimate of the [O III] flux by a factor of \( \sim 5 \). We do not apply this correction but note that our [O III]/[O II] ratios are therefore lower limits.

**Appendix B**

**Comparison of Continuum-subtraction Methods**

As a sanity check for the mode method of continuum subtraction in Section 2.1, here we compare with the method from Hong et al. (2014), shown in Figure 9(a). This method uses the skewness of the pixel flux distribution for the continuum-subtracted image instead of the mode. The optimal scaling factor \( \mu \) is again found near the transition from undersubtracted to oversubtracted. In the case of continuum-dominated pixels, this transition is marked by a pronounced “bump” in the skewness function. The figure indicates that the \( \mu \) values obtained from the mode method are in good agreement with the values suggested by the observed location of the skewness transition bump.

As another check, we also compare with the pixel-to-pixel method (e.g., Boeker et al. 1999; Kennicutt et al. 2008) in Figure 9(b). This method presents the pixel fluxes in the line filter as a function of their fluxes in the continuum filter. In this representation, continuum-dominated pixels will fall on a straight line, from which a scaling factor can be recovered as the inverse of the slope. In the absence of an emission-line contribution to the flux, all pixels will fall on the linear relation whose slope depends solely on the relative filter shapes. As seen by the excess emission in the line filter, the vast majority of pixels have strong emission-line contributions in all four line filters (Figure 9(b)). The continuum-dominated pixels form the lower, linear envelope corresponding to the blue dashed line, obtained from converting \( \mu_{\text{mode}} \) from the mode method to a line of the shown slope.

**Appendix C**

**Supplementary Data from SED Fits**

Figures 10 and 11 show the Cigale SED fits for the clusters of bar I and region B, respectively. We show as examples the output model SED parameters with the PDFs for age and of bar I and region B, respectively. We show as examples the PDF of each parameter is indicated with a blue solid line.
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