The local period integrals and essential vectors
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Abstract
By applying the formula for essential Whittaker functions established by Matringe and Miyauchi, we study five integral representations for irreducible admissible generic representations of \( GL_n \) over \( p \)-adic fields. In each case, we show that the integrals achieve local formal \( L \)-functions defined by Langlands parameters, when the test vector is associated to the new form. We give the relation between local periods involving essential Whittaker functions and special values of formal \( L \)-factors at \( s = 1 \) for certain distinguished or unitary representations. The period integrals are also served as standard nonzero distinguished forms.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Let \( F \) be a nonarchimedean local field of characteristic zero with the ring of integers \( \mathcal{O} \) and residue field of cardinality \( q \). The essential vector, which is known as the local new form, plays an important role in the theory of automorphic forms. The history of the essential vector goes back to at least Casselman, where he established a theory of new forms for \( GL_2(F) \) [11]. In this paper, by applying the essential Whittaker functions (also called newforms), we study the test vector problem and the nonvanishing of local periods for five integrals representing Rankin–Selberg model [7, 13, 60], Flicker–Rallis model [1, 2, 15, 60], Jacquet–Shalika model [27, 31, 45], Friedberg–Jacquet model [17, 27, 45, 47, 48], and Bump–Ginzburg model [10, 36, 37, 58]. The local \( L \)-functions of \( GL_n(F) \) that are associated to these integrals include the tensor product \( L \)-factor of \( GL_n(F) \times GL_n(F) \), the Asai \( L \)-factor, the exterior square \( L \)-factor, the Bump–Friedberg \( L \)-factor, and the symmetric square \( L \)-factor.

Let \( \pi \) and \( \sigma \) be irreducible admissible generic representations of \( GL_n(F) \) and \( GL_m(F) \). For simplicity, we only illustrate the first fundamental problem for the Rankin–Selberg integrals of \( GL_n(F) \times GL_n(F) \) in this induction. We fix an additive character \( \psi \) of conductor \( \mathcal{O} \). Given a pair of Whittaker functions \( W_\pi \in \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi) \) and \( W_\sigma \in \mathcal{W}(\sigma, \psi^{-1}) \) and given a Schwartz–Bruhat function \( \Phi \in \mathcal{S}(F^n) \), we define the local Rankin–Selberg integral [26];

\[
\Psi(s, W_\pi, W_\sigma, \Phi) = \int_{N_n(F) \backslash GL_n(F)} W_\pi(g)W_\sigma(g)\Phi(e_n g)|\det(g)|^s dg, \tag{1.1}
\]
where $e_n = (0, \ldots, 0, 1)$ and $N_n(F)$ is the group of unipotent matrices. It is absolute convergent for $\text{Re}(s)$ sufficiently large and the collection of such integrals generates a fractional ideal $I(\pi \times \sigma) = \mathbb{C}[q^s, q^{-s}]L(s, \pi \times \sigma)$ of $\mathbb{C}(q^{-s})$. We choose the normalized generator of the form $L(s, \pi \times \sigma) = P(q^{-s})^{-1}$, where $P(X) \in \mathbb{C}[X]$ is a polynomial with $P(0) = 1$. We define a map

$$\mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi) \otimes \mathcal{W}(\sigma, \psi^{-1}) \otimes S(F^n) \to \mathbb{C}(q^{-s}) \quad \text{by} \quad W_{\pi} \otimes W_{\sigma} \otimes \Phi \mapsto \Psi(s, W_{\pi}, W_{\sigma}, \Phi).$$

Then, there exists an element in $I(\pi \times \sigma)$ that transports to $L(s, \pi \times \sigma)$. It is a priori a finite sum of the form $\sum_i \Psi(s, W_{\pi}, i, W_{\sigma}, i, \Phi_i)$. The strong test vector problem is to determine the existence of a triple of pure tensors $W_{\pi} \in \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$, $W_{\sigma} \in \mathcal{W}(\sigma, \psi^{-1})$, and $\Phi \in S(F^n)$, which yields $\Psi(s, W_{\pi}, W_{\sigma}, \Phi) = L(s, \pi \times \sigma)$ (cf. [12, section 1.6-1]). In this scenario, $(W_{\pi}, W_{\sigma}, \Phi)$ is called a strong test vector.

We write the Godement–Jacquet standard $L$-factors for $\pi$ and $\sigma$ [23] as

$$L(s, \pi) = \prod_{i=1}^{r} (1 - \alpha_i q^{-s})^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad L(s, \sigma) = \prod_{j=1}^{p} (1 - \beta_j q^{-s})^{-1},$$

respectively. We construct unramified standard modules $\pi_{ur}$ and $\sigma_{ur}$ attached to the Langlands parameter $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^{r}$ and $\{\beta_j\}_{j=1}^{p}$. We define the formal $L$-factor for a pair $(\pi, \sigma)$ by

$$\prod_{i=1}^{r} \prod_{j=1}^{p} (1 - \alpha_i \beta_j q^{-s})^{-1},$$

which coincides with $L(s, \pi_{ur} \times \sigma_{ur})$ (see Proposition 3.1). In general, $L(s, \pi_{ur} \times \sigma_{ur})^{-1}$ divides $L(s, \pi \times \sigma)^{-1}$ in $\mathbb{C}[q^{-s}]$ (see Corollary 3.3). The purpose of this paper is to resolve the test vector problem for various formal $L$-factors, especially, in the case of ramified representations through local means. We call this problem the weak test vector problem and an associated triple $(W_{\pi}, W_{\sigma}, \Phi)$ a weak test vector. The reader should consult later sections for details about the unexplained notations in the exact statements below.

**Theorem 1.1** (Weak Test Vector Problems).

(i) [Rankin–Selberg $L$-factors] Let $\pi$ and $\sigma$ be irreducible admissible generic representations of $\text{GL}_n(F)$. Then, we have

$$L(s, \pi_{ur} \times \sigma_{ur}) = \int_{N_n \backslash \text{GL}_n(F)} W^\circ_{\pi}(g)W^\circ_{\sigma}(g)\Phi_{\pi}(e_n g)\text{det}(g)^s dg,$$

(ii) [Asai $L$-factors] Let $\pi$ be irreducible admissible generic representations of $\text{GL}_n(E)$ and $E$ a quadratic extension of $F$. Then, we have

$$L(s, \pi_{ur}, As) = \int_{N_n \backslash \text{GL}_n(F)} W^\circ_{\pi}(g)\Phi_{\pi}(e_n g)\text{det}(g)^s dg.$$

(iii) [Symmetric square $L$-factors] Let $\pi$ be irreducible admissible generic representations of $\text{GL}_m(F)$. Then, we have

$$L(s, \pi_{ur}, \text{Sym}^2) = \int_{\text{GL}_m(F)} W^\circ_{\pi}(g)W^{\text{sym}^2}_{\pi}(g)\Phi_{\text{Sym}^2}(e_m g)\text{det}(g)^s dg,$$

The weak test vector problem has been resolved for formal exterior square $L$-factors $L(s, \pi_{ur}, \wedge^2)$ and formal Bump–Friedberg $L$-factors $L(s_1, \pi_{ur})L(s_2, \pi_{ur}, \wedge^2)$ by Miyachi and Yamauchi [52]. For different rank cases $n > m$, Booker, Krishnamurthy, and Lee [8] work out formal Rankin–Selberg $L$-factors $L(s, \pi_{ur} \times \sigma_{ur})$. A major shortcoming for treating Rankin–Selberg integrals of different rank groups is that Schwartz–Bruhat functions $g \mapsto \Phi(e_m g)$ defined on $\text{GL}_m(F)$ as seen in (1.1) are no longer present, so one is not able to control the last row of the element $g$ in the smaller group $\text{GL}_m(F)$. In order to incorporate the absence, they develop a novel approach of unipotent averaging to modify the essential Whit-
taker function defined on the bigger group $\text{GL}_n(F)$. This feature does not happen to our circumstance and our machinery can be applied uniformly to other $\text{GL}_n(F)$-type formal local $L$-functions.

The construction of the strong test vector for Rankin–Selberg $L$-factors $L(s, \pi \times \sigma)$ with $n \geq m$ is carried out as a main part of the PhD thesis by Kim [38, Theorem 2.1.1] supervised by Cogdell, when at least one of two representations $\pi$ and $\sigma$ is unramified. The strong test vector problem is pursued by Kurinczuk and Matringe [39] for a pair of discrete series representations $\pi$ and $\sigma$, though the space $\mathcal{W}(\sigma, \psi^{-1})$ is enlarged to the Whittaker model for the standard module associated to $\sigma$. Recently, Humphries [21] completed the case of standard $L$-factors $L(s, \pi)$. There has been renowned work [4] involved in determining an explicit Whittaker function and a characteristic function for local Asai $L$-functions $L(s, \pi, \psi)$, but again, the strong test vector is the Paskunas–Stevens’s cut-off Whittaker function relying on the type theory of Bushnell–Kutzko. Until this moment, it is unclear that pure tensors arising from newforms solve strong test vector problems even for Rankin–Selberg or Asai $L$-factors and what the concrete formulas for strong test vectors would look like in the general context. Besides general linear groups, adapting newforms as previously discussed, parallel strong test vector problems have been investigated by Miyauchi [51] for $L$-factors of unramified $U(2,1)$, and by Roberts and Schmidt [53, Theorem 7.5.4] for $L$-factors of $\text{GSp}(4)$ attached to irreducible generic admissible representations.

Let $H$ be a closed subgroup of $\text{GL}_n(F)$ and $\chi$ a character of $H$. Let $\text{Hom}_H(\pi, \chi)$ be the space of the linear forms $\lambda : V \to \mathbb{C}_\chi$ such that $\lambda(\pi(g)v) = \chi(g)\lambda(v)$ for $g \in H$ and $v \in V$. We say that $\pi$ is $(H, \chi)$-distinguished if $Hom_H(\pi, \chi) \neq 0$. In particular, if $\chi = 1_H$ is a trivial character of $H$, $\pi$ is called $H$-distinguished. In order to present what to expect, we shall attempt to elaborate the second main problem for by-now well known as Flicker–Rallis periods [60, section 3.2], which first appeared in [14, section 3]. Let $E/F$ be a quadratic extension. We specify the cases $G = \text{GL}_m(E)$ and $H = \text{GL}_n(F)$. Let $\pi$ be an irreducible admissible representation of $G$. One of important parts for $H$-distinguished generic representations is that an explicit $H$-invariant linear functional in the space $\text{Hom}_H(\pi|_{\gamma}, 1_H)$ can be realized as an integral over $\text{N}_n(F)/\text{P}_n(F) \cong \text{N}_{n-1}(F)\backslash \text{GL}_{n-1}(F)$ [2, Theorem 1.1], [1, Corollary 1.2], where $P_n(F)$ denotes the mirabolic subgroup consisting of invertible matrices whose last row equals to $e_n$. Up to multiplication by scalars, the one-dimensionality of the space $\text{Hom}_{P_n(F)}(\pi|_{\gamma}, 1_{P_n(F)})$, as a consequence of [15, Proposition 11] and [46, Proposition 2.3] (cf. [1, Theorem 1.1], [42, Proposition 1.1]), ensures that such a unique $H$-invariant form on the Whittaker model $\mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi_E)$ can be precisely written down as

$$\Lambda^{FR}(W_\pi) = \int_{\text{N}_n(F)/\text{P}_n(F)} W_\pi(p)dp = \int_{\text{N}_{n-1}(F)\backslash \text{GL}_{n-1}(F)} W_\pi\left(g \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \varphi \end{pmatrix} \right)dg.$$  

It is known to be convergent under the unitarity assumption [14, p. 306], but even in the nonunitary case, we could make sense of the above integral when $\pi$ is distinguished with respect to $H$ [2, Remark 2]. This extension property from $P_n(F)$ to $H$-invariant forms was brought to Bernstein’s attention in the framework of Rankin–Selberg periods [7, Proposition 5.3].

The second aim of this paper is to formulate a relation between the values of local period integrals at essential Whittaker functions and special values of formal $L$-factors, or their ratios to Tate’s $L$-factors at $s = 1$, other than the Flicker–Rallis period. As a byproduct, we provide a nice application to the nonvanishing of local period integrals. In addition, we give a constructive and purely local proof of the existence of some nonzero invariant functionals, which reflects on Bernstein’s well-known principle. As before, the reader is advised to consult the following sections for undefined terminologies in the central result of this paper below.

**Theorem 1.2 (Local Periods).**

(i) [Rankin–Selberg Periods] Let $\pi$ and $\sigma$ be irreducible admissible generic representations of $\text{GL}_n(F)$ such that $\pi \otimes \sigma$ is distinguished with respect to $\text{GL}_n(F)$. Then, we have

$$\int_{\text{N}_n(F)/\text{P}_n(F)} W_\pi^\sigma(p)W_\pi^\sigma(p)dp = \begin{cases} L(1, \pi, \psi^\sigma) \quad &\text{if } \pi \text{ is ramified}, \\ L(1, \pi \times \pi^\sigma) \quad &\text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

(ii) [Jacquet–Shalika Periods] Let $\pi$ be a irreducible admissible generic representation of $\text{GL}_{2n}(F)$, which is distinguished with respect to $(S_{2n}, \Theta)$. Then, we have

$$\int_{\text{N}_n\backslash \text{P}_n} \int_{\text{N}_m\backslash \text{M}_n} W_\pi(\sigma_{2n}\begin{pmatrix} I_n & X \\ I_n & p \end{pmatrix})\psi^{-1}(\text{Tr}(X))dXdq = \begin{cases} L(1, \pi, \Lambda^\sigma) \quad &\text{if } \pi \text{ is ramified}, \\ L(1, \pi \times \Lambda^\sigma) \quad &\text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$
Moreover, if \( \pi \) is unitary, then the integral realizes the unique Jacquet–Shalika functional in the space \( \text{Hom}_{S^2_n}(\pi, \Theta) \).

(iii) [Friedberg–Jacquet (Linear) Periods] Let \( \pi \) be an irreducible admissible generic representation of \( \text{GL}_{2n}(F) \), which is distinguished with respect to \( H_{2n} \). Then, we have

\[
\int_{(N_{2n} \cap H_{2n}) \setminus (P_{2n} \cap H_{2n})} W_s^\pi(p) \, dp = \begin{cases} 
L(1/2, \pi_{ur})L(1, \pi_{ur}, \wedge^2) & \text{if } \pi \text{ is ramified}, \\
L(1/2, \pi)L(1, \pi, \wedge^2) & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]

Moreover, if \( \pi \) is unitary, then the integral realizes the unique Friedberg–Jacquet functional in the space \( \text{Hom}_{H_{2n}}(\pi, 1_{H_{2n}}) \).

(iv) [Bump–Ginzburg Periods] Let \( \pi \) be an irreducible admissible unitary generic representation of \( \text{GL}_m(F) \). Then, we have

\[
\int_{E_mN_m \setminus G_m} W_s^\pi(g)W_s^\psi(\check{s}(g))f^{K_1}(1)(\check{s}(g)) \, dg = \mathcal{L}(1, \pi_{ur}, \text{Sym}^2).
\]

Moreover, if \( \pi \) is \( \theta \)-distinguished, the integral realizes the unique \( G_m \)-invariant trilinear form in the space \( \text{Hom}_{G_m}(\pi \otimes \theta_{m} \otimes \theta_{m}^{-1}, 1_{G_m}) \).

Our result can be considered as weak test vector problems for period integrals and it is greatly influenced by the work of Anandavardhanan and Matringe [2] in which the analog expression for Flicker–Rallis period integrals was established. A similar formalism for Jacquet–Shalika periods appeared in [19], although Grobner only considered unitary representations as a local component of a cuspidal automorphic representation, which is sufficient to their global applications. The preprint [19] was built upon generous explanation of N. Matringe [19, Abstract] and we take this occasion to announce formally and extend his result to more general settings.

The distinction condition is superfluous if our representations \( \pi \) (and if necessary \( \sigma \)) are assumed to be unitary. However, with the exception of Bump–Ginzburg period cases, we do impose the distinction assumption in nonunitary generic cases. The main rationale to rule out \( \theta \)-distinguished representations is that the equality \( L(s, \pi, \text{Sym}^2) = L'(s, \pi, \text{Sym}^2) \) is solely available for \( n = 2 \) [33], where \( L(s, \pi, \text{Sym}^2) \) is defined by the normalized generator of a fractional ideal as explained in [58, Definition 3.12]. Therefore, we cannot guarantee the holomorphy of Bump–Ginzburg integrals at \( s = 1 \) (see Remark 6.9). We hope that we tackle this issue for representations of higher ranked groups in our work in progress. Unlike other period integrals, a keen reader may notice that our expression for Bump and Ginzburg period integrals is taken over general linear groups, which admits trilinear forms in the same space \( \text{Hom}_{G_m}(\pi \otimes \theta_{m} \otimes I_{G_m}(1, \omega_{\pi}^{-1}), 1_{G_m}) \) as the one in [58, Theorem 2.14.(2)]. But we can still manipulate period integrals in such a way that they are integrated over mirabolic subgroups (see Remark 6.8).

In contrast to Rankin–Selberg and Flicker–Rallis periods, the unitary hypothesis is required for the corresponding results to execute aforementioned Bernstein’s extension philosophy [7, Proposition 5.3], because we do not have at most one-dimensionality of larger spaces \( \text{Hom}_{S^2_n}(\pi, \Theta) \), \( \text{Hom}_{H_{2n}}(\pi_{ur}, \wedge^2) \), and \( \text{Hom}_{G_m}(\pi \otimes \theta_{m} \otimes I_{G_m}(1, \omega_{\pi}^{-1}), 1_{G_m}) \) for nonunitary generic cases. We anticipate that the unitarity assumption is unnecessary. Thankfully, somehow this stronger uniqueness is only relevant for beautiful applications to the characterization of the occurrence of (exceptional) poles of local \( L \)-functions in terms of the existence of the unique nonzero invariant form. There has been a flurry of work on exploring this subject extensively by Matringe for Rankin–Selberg \( L \)-factor [47, Proposition 4.6], Asai \( L \)-factor [42, Theorem 3.1], and Bump–Friedberg \( L \)-factor [47, Proposition 4.12], by the author for exterior square \( L \)-factor [31, Lemma 3.2], and by Yamana for symmetric square \( L \)-factor [58, Theorem 3.17]. In practice, the connection between poles of local \( L \)-function and distinctions has to be proven beforehand to establish inductive relations of \( L \)-factors. Over the course of the discussion, it is raised by Kaplan [36, Remark 4.18] whether \( \theta \)-distinguished discrete series will be self-dual or not in the frame of positive characteristic fields. It is our belief that Bump–Ginzburg periods can be transferred to \( \theta \)-distinguished representations and ultimately the distinction sheds some light on Kaplan’s question by detecting (exceptional) poles of \( L(s, \pi, \text{Sym}^2) \). We plan to turn to his observation in the near future.

Our proof takes the chief ingredient from the formula for essential Whittaker functions associated to essential vectors on the subtorus [24, 25]. The key formulation is constructed independently by Matringe [44, Corollary 3.2] and Miyachi [50, Theorem 4.1], which generalizes Shintani’s method for spherical representations. In the spirit of [20], it would be interesting to find analogous weak test vectors attached to essential Whittaker functions for archimedean \( \text{GL}_n \)-type local
The brief structure of this paper is the following. Section 2 contains preliminaries, including the review of Langlands parameters, essential vector and Whittaker functions, and the theory of the local standard $L$-functions. For the remaining sections, the first half of each section deals with unitary generic cases and the parallel construction for nongeneric cases is presented in the second half. The theory of local Rankin–Selberg integrals occupies Section 3. This serves to overview our methodology that is repeated throughout the paper. In Section 4, we mainly quote crucial results about Flicker–Rallis period integrals and Asai $L$-factors from [2]. We discuss local exterior square $L$-functions and their related periods by Jacquet and Shalika in Sections 5.1 and 5.2 and by Bump and Friedberg in Sections 5.3 and 5.4. Section 6 is devoted to finding test vectors for the Bump–Ginzburg period and symmetric square $L$-factors associated to Langlands parameters.

2 ESSENTIAL VECTORS

Let $F$ be a nonarchimedean local field of characteristic zero, $\mathcal{O}$ the ring of integers of $F$, $\mathfrak{p}$ a unique prime ideal of $\mathcal{O}$, and $\varpi$ a fixed choice of a uniformizer of the prime ideal. Let $q$ denote the cardinality of its residue field. Let $|\cdot|$ denote the standard normalization so that $|\varpi| = q^{-1}$. The character of $GL_n(F)$ given by $g \mapsto |\det(g)|$ is denoted by $\nu$.

We denote by $\mathcal{A}_F(n)$ the set of the equivalent classes of all irreducible admissible complex valued representations of $GL_n(F)$ and by $\mathcal{A}_F$ the union $\bigcup_n \mathcal{A}_F(n)$. We recall that $\Delta \in \mathcal{A}_F(n)$ is called quasi-square integrable if, after twisting by a character, it is square integrable (also called discrete series). The quasi-square integrable representations of $GL_n(F)$ have been classified by Bernstein and Zelevinsky. According to [59, Theorem 9.3], such a representation $\Delta$ is the unique irreducible quotient of the form

$$Ind^{GL_n(F)}(\rho \nu_{1-\ell} \otimes \rho \nu_{2-\ell} \otimes \cdots \otimes \rho)$$

where the induction is a normalized parabolic induction from the standard parabolic subgroup $Q$ attached to the partition $(a, a, \ldots, a)$ of $n = at\ell$ and $\rho \in \mathcal{A}_F(a)$ is supercuspidal. Briefly $\Delta$ is parameterized by $\rho$ and we denote by $\Delta(\rho) = [\rho \nu_{1-\ell}, \rho \nu_{2-\ell}, \ldots, \rho]$ such a quotient. Further, $\Delta$ is square integrable if and only if the supercuspidal representation $\rho \nu^{-\ell-1}$ is unitary. Also $[\rho \nu_{1-\ell}, \rho \nu_{2-\ell}, \ldots, \rho]$ is said to be a segment.

Let $P$ be a standard upper parabolic subgroup of $GL_n(F)$ of type $(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_t)$ with $n = n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_t$. We write $\delta_P$ for the modulus character of $P$. For each $1 \leq i \leq t$, let $\Delta_i^0 \in \mathcal{A}_F(n_i)$ be a square integrable representation. Let $(s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_t)$ be a sequence of ordered real numbers so that $s_1 \geq s_2 \geq \cdots \geq s_t$. The normalized induced representation

$$Ind^{GL_n(F)}_P(\Delta_1^0 \nu_{s_1} \otimes \Delta_2^0 \nu_{s_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \Delta_t^0 \nu_{s_t})$$

is called a standard module of $GL_n(F)$. If $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_F(n)$, it is well known that it is realized as the unique irreducible quotient, called the Langlands quotient, of some standard module of $GL_n(F)$.

We set $G_n = GL_n(F)$. Let $B_n$ be the Borel subgroup of upper triangular matrices in $G_n$, and $N_n$ its unipotent radical. The maximal torus of $G_n$ consisting of all diagonal matrices is denoted by $A_n$. We write $Z_n$ to denote the center consisting of scalar matrices. We define $P_n$ the mirabolic subgroup of $G_n$ given by

$$P_n = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} g_{n-1} & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid g_{n-1} \in G_{n-1}, x \in F^{n-1} \right\}.$$ 

We denote by $U_n$ the unipotent radical of $P_n$ and we put $P_{n-1, 1} = Z_n P_n$. As a group, $P_n$ possess a structure of a semidirect product $P_n = G_{n-1} \ltimes U_n$.

We fix a nontrivial unramified character $\psi$, so $\psi(\mathcal{O}) = 1$ but $\psi(\varpi^{-1} \mathcal{O}) \neq 1$. We let $\psi$ denote by abuse of notation the character of $N_n$ defined by

$$\psi(n) = \psi(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} n_{i,i+1}), \quad n = (n_{i,j}) \in N_n.$$
An admissible representation $\pi$ of $G_n$ is said to be generic if $\pi$ is $(N_n, \psi)$-distinguished, namely,

$$\text{Hom}_{G_n}(V, \text{Ind}_{N_n}^G(\psi)) \not\approx \text{Hom}_{N_n}(V, C_\psi) \neq 0.$$  

Then, there exist a nonzero linear form called a Whittaker functional $\lambda : V \to C_\psi$ satisfying $\lambda(\pi(n)v) = \psi(n)\lambda(v)$ for $n \in N_n$ and $v \in V$. It is known that for either an irreducible representation [18, Theorem A] or a standard module $\pi$ [28, section 1.2], the space of such a functional is of dimension 1. Let $W(\pi, \psi)$ denote the Whittaker model via the space of functions $(W_\pi)_\nu$ on $G_n$ defined by $(W_\pi)_\nu(g) = \lambda(\pi(g)v)$ for $v \in V$.

For a ramified generic representation $\pi \in A_F(n)$, the unramified standard module $\pi_{ur} \in A_F(p)$ with $p < n$ [44, Definition 1.3] is associated to $\pi$ as follows. Let

$$\pi = \text{Ind}_{P_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{GL_n}(\Delta_1(\rho_1) \otimes \Delta_2(\rho_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes \Delta_t(\rho_t)) \in A_F(n)$$

be a ramified generic representation. If $X_{ur}(\pi) := \{ \rho_1 : \rho_1 \text{ is an unramified character of } F^\times \}$, the subset of $\{\rho_1, \rho_2, ..., \rho_p\}$, is not empty, we denote by $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_p$ the ordered (maybe equal) elements of $X_{ur}(\pi)$ satisfying $\text{Re}(\alpha_1) \geq \text{Re}(\alpha_{i+1})$. We define $\pi_{ur}$ as the trivial representation 1 when $X_{ur}(\pi)$ is empty and the unramified standard module

$$\pi_{ur} := \text{Ind}_{B_p}^{GL_p}(\alpha_1 \otimes \alpha_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_p)$$

otherwise. A significance for deploying $\pi_{ur}$ is that the shape of the standard $L$-factor for the original representation $\pi$ is encoded in the standard $L$-factor for $\pi_{ur}$ [23].

**Theorem 2.1** (Godement and Jacquet). Let $\pi \in A_F(n)$ be a generic representation. Then,

$$L(s, \pi) = L(s, \pi_{ur}) = \prod_{i=1}^p (1 - \alpha_i(\psi)q^{-s})^{-1}.$$  

We call the set $\{\alpha_i(\psi)\}_{i=1}^p$ the Langlands parameter of $\pi$. If $\pi$ is unramified, they agree with the usual Satake parameters. The standard local $L$-function $L(s, \pi_{ur})$ is nothing but employed to define what is called the naive Rankin–Selberg $L$-factors in [8] and the formal exterior square $L$-functions in [52].

Let $K_n = GL_n(O)$ be the maximal compact subgroup of $G_n$. For each nonnegative integer $c$, we define the congruence subgroup $K_1(p^c)$ of $K_n$ by

$$K_1(p^c) := \left\{ g \in K_n \mid g \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \ast & \ast & \ast & \cdots & \ast \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mod p^c \right\}.$$

We write $V_{K_1(p^c)}$ for $K_1(p^c)$-fixed vectors in $V$. One of the main results of [24, 25] is that there exists a nonnegative $c$ such that $V_{K_1(p^c)} \neq \{0\}$. We denote by $c(\pi)$ the smallest integer with this property. The nonnegative integer $c(\pi)$ is called the conductor of $\pi$ where we set $c(\pi) = 0$ if $\pi$ is unramified. Then, $V_{K_1(p^{c(\pi)})}$ is one-dimensional. There is a unique vector $v^\circ$ in the space $V_{K_1(p^{c(\pi)})}$ up to scalar multiplication, called the essential vector or the newform, with the associated essential Whittaker function $W_\pi^\circ := (W_\pi)_\nu v^\circ$ satisfying the condition $W_\pi^\circ(l_p) = 1$. If $\pi$ is unramified, $W_\pi^\circ$ is what is said to be the normalized spherical Whittaker function (cf. [8, section 2]). The explicit relation between the essential Whittaker function $W_\pi^\circ$ and the normalized spherical Whittaker function $W_{\pi_{ur}}^\circ$ has been independently unveiled by Matringe [44, Corollary 3.2] and Miyauchi [50, Theorem 4.1].

**Theorem 2.2** (Matringe and Miyauchi). Let $\pi \in A_F(n)$ be a ramified generic representation. Let $a = \text{diag}(a_1, a_2, ..., a_n)$ be a torus element and $a'$ a truncated element of $a$ given by $a' = \text{diag}(a_1, a_2, ..., a_r) \in A_r$. Then, we have

$$W_\pi^\circ(a) = W_{\pi_{ur}}^\circ(a') \prod_{r<k<n} \mathbf{1}_{\mathfrak{o}^k}(a_k).$$
Let $S(F^n)$ be the space of locally constant and compactly supported functions $\Phi : F^n \to \mathbb{C}$. We define the test function $\Phi_c \in S(F^n)$ by characteristic functions $1_{c \phi}$ for $c = 0$, and $1_{(p^c)\times(1+p^c)}$ for $c > 0$. We highlight that the last entry of $1_{c \phi}$ may not lie in the unit of the ring of integers for $c = 0$.

For $c \geq 0$, depending on the choice of representations, we normalize the Haar measure on $N_n, A_n, P_n$, and $K_n$ so that the volumes of $N_n \cap K_1(p^c), A_n \cap K_1(p^c), P_n \cap K_1(p^c)$, and $K_1(p^c)$ are all one, respectively (cf. [52, section 3]). We embed $G_{n-1}$ into $G_n$ via the map $g \mapsto \left(\begin{array}{c} g \\ 1 \end{array}\right)$. Regarded as subgroups of $G_{n-1}$, we normalize the Haar measure on $N_{n-1}, A_{n-1}$, and $K_{n-1}$ so that $\text{vol}(N_{n-1} \cap K_{n-1}) = \text{vol}(A_{n-1} \cap K_{n-1}) = \text{vol}(K_{n-1}) = 1$ (cf. [2, Proof of Theorem 6.1]).

3  |  RANKIN–SELBERG L-FACTORS

We review the definition of local $L$-functions attached to pairs, using the formulation of Jacquet, Piatetski–Shapiro, and Shalika [26]. Let $\pi \in A_F(n)$ and $\sigma \in A_F(m)$ be generic representations with associated Whittaker models $\mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$ and $\mathcal{W}(\sigma, \psi^{-1})$, respectively. Let $\{e_i | 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ be the standard row basis of $F^n$. For each pair of Whittaker functions $W_\pi \in \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$ and $W_\sigma \in \mathcal{W}(\sigma, \psi^{-1})$ and in the case $n = m$ each Schwartz–Bruhat function $\Phi \in S(F^n)$, we associate the local Rankin–Selberg integrals

$$\Psi(s, W_\pi, W_\sigma) = \int_{N_m \setminus G_m} W_\pi(g) |\det(g)|^{s - n + \frac{m}{2}} d\nu$$

in the case $n > m$, and in the case $n = m$

$$\Psi(s, W_\pi, W_\sigma, \Phi) = \int_{N_n \setminus G_n} W_\pi(g) W_\sigma(g) \Phi(e_n g) |\det(g)|^{s} d\nu.$$

These integral converge absolutely for $\text{Re}(s) \gg 0$. Let $I(\pi \times \sigma)$ denote the complex linear span of the local integrals $\Psi(s, W_\pi, W_\sigma)$ if $n > m$ and that of $\Psi(s, W_\pi, W_\sigma, \Phi)$ if $m = n$. The space $I(\pi \times \sigma)$ is a $\mathbb{C}[q^{1/2}]$-fractional ideal of $\mathbb{C}(q^{-s})$ containing the constant 1. Since the ring $\mathbb{C}[q^{1/2}]$ is a principal ideal domain and $1 \in I(\pi \times \sigma)$, we can take a normalized generator of the form $P(q^{-s})^{-1}$ with $P(X) \in \mathbb{C}[X]$ having $P(0) = 1$. The local Rankin–Selberg $L$-function attached to $\pi$ is defined by

$$L(s, \pi \times \sigma) = \frac{1}{P(q^{-s})}.$$

In particular, for a pair $(\pi, \sigma)$ of spherical representations, the local $L$-function $L(s, \pi \times \sigma)$ coincides with the formal local $L$-function $L(s, \pi_{ur} \times \sigma_{ur})$.

**Proposition 3.1.** [26, Proposition 9.4] Let $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^r$ and $\{\beta_j\}_{j=1}^p$ denote the Langlands parameter of $\pi$ and $\sigma$, respectively. Then, we have

$$L(s, \pi_{ur} \times \sigma_{ur}) = \prod_{i=1}^r \prod_{j=1}^p (1 - \alpha_i(\varpi) \beta_j(\varpi) q^{-s})^{-1}.$$

3.1  |  The Rankin–Selberg period

We construct a pair of Whittaker functions associated to newforms and the characteristic function such that the resulting Rankin–Selberg integral attains the formal tensor product $L$-factors.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let $\pi, \sigma \in A_F(n)$ be generic representations. We set $c = \max\{c(\pi), c(\sigma)\}$. Then, we have

$$L(s, \pi_{ur} \times \sigma_{ur}) = \Psi(s, W_\pi^o, W_\sigma^o, \Phi_c).$$
Proof. The computation is almost identical to [44, Corollary 3.3], and hence we only convey the key points. Based on the symmetry \( L(s, \pi \times \sigma) = L(s, \sigma \times \pi) \), we may assume that \( \sigma \) is ramified and \( r \geq p \). Appealing to the Iwasawa decomposition,

\[
\Psi(s, W_\pi^0, W_\sigma^0, \Phi_c) = \int_{K_n} \int_{N_n \backslash P_n} W_\pi^0(pk)W_\sigma^0(pk)|\det(p)|^{s-1} \int_{F^\times} \Phi_c(e_p z pk)(\omega_p \omega_{\sigma}(z)) z |^{1/2} d^\times z dp.
\]

As pointed out in [52, Lemma 2.6], \( g \mapsto \Phi_c(e_p g) \) is the characteristic function on \( P_n K_1(\mathfrak{p}_c) \) and this becomes

\[
\Psi(s, W_\pi^0, W_\sigma^0, \Phi_c) = \int_{N_{n-1} \backslash G_{n-1}} W_\pi^0(g) W_\sigma^0(g) |\det(g)|^{s-1} d\pi_{n-1}.
\]

We exploit Theorem 2.2 aligned with the Iwasawa decomposition \( G_{n-1} = N_{n-1} A_{n-1} K_{n-1} \) to obtain that

\[
\Psi(s, W_\pi^0, W_\sigma^0, \Phi_c) = \int_{A_p} W_\pi^0(a') I_{r-p} W_\sigma^0(a') \delta_{B_{n-1}}^{-1}(a') \nu_{p-r}(a') \nu_{p-r}(a') \delta_{\mathfrak{p}_c}(a_p) |\det(a')|^{s-1} da'.
\]

Once more by Iwasawa decomposition we get that

\[
\Psi(s, W_\pi^0, W_\sigma^0, \Phi_c) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\Psi(s, W_\pi^0, W_\sigma^0, \Phi_c) & \text{if } r = p, \\
\Psi(s, W_\pi^0, W_\sigma^0, \Phi_c) & \text{if } r > p,
\end{array} \right.
\]

whence, applying the standard computation of local Rankin–Selberg \( L \)-functions for unramified representations from [30, Proposition 2.3] (cf. [44, (3),(4)]), we have

\[
\Psi(s, W_\pi^0, W_\sigma^0, \Phi_c) = L(s, \pi_{ur} \times \sigma_{ur})
\]

from which the desired result follows.

Theorem 3.2 generalizes the result [38, Theorem 2.1.1] for the pair of the ramified representation \( \pi \) and unramified representation \( \sigma \) in the viewpoint of the agreement \( L(s, \pi \times \sigma) = L(s, \pi_{ur} \times \sigma_{ur}) \) [44, (5)] (cf. [55, section 7]). In what follows, we explain the link between \( L(s, \pi_{ur} \times \sigma_{ur}) \) and \( L(s, \pi \times \sigma) \).

**Corollary 3.3.** Let \( \pi, \sigma \in A_F(n) \) be generic representations. Then, we have

\[
L(s, \pi_{ur} \times \sigma_{ur}) = P(q^{-1})L(s, \pi \times \sigma)
\]

for a polynomial \( P(X) \in \mathbb{C}[X] \) satisfying \( P(0) = 1 \).

Proof. The result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.2 that \( L(s, \pi_{ur} \times \sigma_{ur}) \) is an element of \( I(\pi \times \sigma) \).

For the rest of this section, we navigate the bilinear form by the means of Rankin–Selberg periods.

**Proposition 3.4.** Let \( \pi, \sigma \in A_F(n) \) be unitary generic representations. For any \( W_\pi \in \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi) \) and \( W_\sigma \in \mathcal{W}(\sigma, \psi^{-1}) \), the integral

\[
B(W_\pi, W_\sigma) := \int_{N_n \backslash P_n} W_\pi(p) W_\sigma(p) dp
\]

is absolutely convergent and defines a \( P_n \)-invariant bilinear form on \( \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi) \times \mathcal{W}(\sigma, \psi^{-1}) \).
Proof. A parallel discussion can be found in [42, Lemma 3.1]. Let $K_n^o$ be a compact open subgroup of $K_n$ such that each $W_\pi$ and $W_\sigma$ is invariant under $K_n^o$. We choose $\Phi^o$ to be a characteristic function of $e_nK_n^o$. Then, the integral $\Psi(s, W_\pi, W_\sigma, \Phi^o)$ reduces a positive multiple of

$$\int_{N_n \backslash P_n} W_n(p)W_\sigma(p)|\text{det}(p)|^{s-1} \, dp.$$  

(3.1)

According to [30, Proposition 3.17], the integral $\Psi(s, W_\pi, W_\sigma, \Phi^o)$ converges absolutely in the half plane $\text{Re}(s) \geq 1$. This confirms that the integral (3.1) is holomorphic at $s = 1$. \qed

We embark on proving the nonvanishing of the $P_n$-bilinear form $B(W_\pi, W_\sigma)$. This is similar to the canonical inner product considered in [13, appendix A.1].

**Theorem 3.5.** Let $\pi, \sigma \in \mathcal{A}_F(n)$ be unitary generic representations. Then, we have

$$\int_{N_n \backslash P_n} W_\pi^o(p)W_\sigma^o(p) \, dp = \begin{cases} L(1, \pi_{ur} \times \sigma_{ur}) & \text{if either } \pi \text{ or } \sigma \text{ is ramified,} \\ L(1, \pi \times \sigma) & \text{if both } \pi \text{ and } \sigma \text{ are unramified.} \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** The unramified case is proceeded along the line of the proof of [2, Theorem 6.2]. We assume that $\sigma$ is ramified and $r \geq p$. Invoking the Iwasawa decomposition $G_{n-1} = N_{n-1}A_{n-1}K_{n-1}$, we have

$$B(W_\pi^o, W_\sigma^o) = \int_{A_{n-1}} W_\pi^o(g) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} W_\sigma^o \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \, dg$$

$$= \int_{A_{n-1}} \int_{K_{n-1}} W_\pi^o(ak) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} W_\sigma^o \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \delta_{B_{n-1}}^{-1}(a) \, dk \, da$$

$$= \int_{A_{n-1}} W_\pi^o \begin{pmatrix} a \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} W_\sigma^o \begin{pmatrix} a \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \delta_{B_{n-1}}^{-1}(a) \, da.$$  

Chasing the steps of the proof of Theorem 3.2, we arrive at

$$B(W_\pi^o, W_\sigma^o) = \int_{A_{p}} W_{\pi_{ur}}^o \begin{pmatrix} a' \\ I_{r-p} \end{pmatrix} W_{\sigma_{ur}}^o(a')\delta_{B_p}^{-1}(a')1_{\mathcal{O}_F}(a_p)|\text{det}(a')|^{1-r+p} \, da',$$

which equates to $\Psi(1, W_{\pi_{ur}}^o, W_{\sigma_{ur}}^o, 1_{\mathcal{O}_F})$ if $r = p$, and $\Psi(1, W_{\pi_{ur}}^o, W_{\sigma_{ur}}^o)$ if $r > p$. The integral is simply a reformulation of [30, Proposition 2.3] (see [44, (3),(4)]). \qed

### 3.2 The self-dual representation

A representation $\pi$ of $G_n$ is called self-dual, if $\pi^\vee \simeq \pi$. We will see later in Sections 5.2, 5.4, and 6 that either $(S_{2n}, \Theta)$-distinguished, $H_{2n^+}$-distinguished, or $\theta$-distinguished representation is self-dual.

**Proposition 3.6.** Let $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_F(n)$ be a generic representation of $G_n$. Then, $L(s, \pi \times \pi^\vee)$ is holomorphic at $s = 1$. In particular, the bilinear form $B(W_\pi, W_\pi^\vee)$ on $\mathcal{W}(\pi, \phi) \times \mathcal{W}(\pi^\vee, \phi^{-1})$ is well defined.

**Proof.** For $\text{Re}(s) \gg 0$, we may decompose our integral as

$$\Psi(s, W_\pi, W_\pi^\vee, \Phi) = \int_{K_n} \int_{N_n \backslash P_n} W_\pi(pk)W_\pi^\vee(pk)|\text{det}(p)|^{s-1} \int_{F^\times} \Phi(e_n zk)|z|^{n} |z^\sigma| \, dz \, dk.$$
We take $K^n \subseteq K_n$ a compact open subgroup, which stabilizes $W_\pi$, $W_{\pi^\vee}$, and $\Phi$. We write $K_n = \bigcup_i k_i K_n^\circ$. The integral

$$\Psi(s, W_\pi, W_{\pi^\vee}, \Phi) = \sum_i v \int_{F^\times} \int_{N_n \backslash P_n} \Phi(e_n z k_i)|z|^{n s} d^\times z \int_{N_n \backslash P_n} (\pi(k_i) W_\pi)(p) (\pi^\vee(k_i) W_{\pi^\vee})(p) |\det(p)|^{s-1} d p$$

with $v > 0$ a volume term. Bernstein’s Theorem [7, Theorem 6.4], [13, appendix A] guarantees that

$$\int_{N_n \backslash P_n} (\pi(k_i) W_\pi)(p) (\pi^\vee(k_i) W_{\pi^\vee})(p) |\det(p)|^{s-1} d p$$

are holomorphic at $s = 1$ and we note that Tate integrals

$$\int_{F^\times} \Phi(e_n z k_i)|z|^{n s} d^\times z$$

are absolutely convergent for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 0$. This amounts to saying that the integral $\Psi(s, W_\pi, W_{\pi^\vee}, \Phi)$ is regular at $s = 1$. □

We say that $\pi \otimes \sigma$ is $G_n$-distinguished if $\operatorname{Hom}_{G_n}(\pi \otimes \sigma, 1_{G_m}) \neq 0$. It is worthwhile to point out that our condition of the distinction for pairs $(\pi, \sigma)$ is equivalent to the condition $\sigma \simeq \pi^\vee$ in [49, section 6.2] (cf. [57, Remark 7.4]). In particular, for $\pi \simeq \sigma$, $\pi$ is self-dual if $\pi \otimes \pi$ is $G_n$-distinguished. Additionally, any $G_n$-distinguished representation $\pi \otimes \sigma$ always satisfies $\omega_{\pi} \omega_{\sigma} = 1_{F^\times}$. The unitarity hypothesis is redundant once we demand the distinction criterion on the pairs $(\pi, \sigma)$.

**Theorem 3.7.** Let $\pi, \sigma \in \mathcal{A}_F(n)$ be a generic representation such that $\pi \otimes \sigma$ is $G_n$-distinguished. Then, we have

$$\int_{N_n \backslash P_n} W_\pi^\circ(p) W_{\pi^\vee}^\circ(p) d p = \begin{cases} L(1, \pi \times \pi^\vee) & \text{if } \pi \text{ is ramified,} \\ L(1, \pi^\vee) & \text{if } \pi \text{ is non-ramified.} \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** The assumption $\operatorname{Hom}_{G_n}(\pi \otimes \sigma, 1_{G_m}) \neq 0$ implies that $\pi^\vee \cong \sigma$. The result is a special case of Theorem 3.5 once we identify $\sigma$ with $\pi^\vee$ and then utilize the regularity of $L(s, \pi \times \pi^\vee)$ at $s = 1$, as in Proposition 3.6. □

Although the following result has been known to experts [7], [13], we cannot locate in the form that we need in the paper. Hence, we include the statement and its proof.

**Proposition 3.8.** Let $\pi, \sigma \in \mathcal{A}_F(n)$ be unitary representations. Then,

$$\dim_{C} \operatorname{Hom}_{P_n}(\pi \otimes \sigma, 1_{P_n}) \leq 1.$$ 

The equality holds when $\pi$ and $\sigma$ are generic. In particular, if $\pi \otimes \sigma$ is $G_n$-distinguished, then $(W_\pi, W_{\sigma}) \mapsto B(W_\pi, W_{\sigma})$ gives a unique nontrivial $G_n$-invariant bilinear form belonging to the space $\operatorname{Hom}_{G_n}(\pi \otimes \sigma, 1_{G_m})$.

**Proof.** The proof is a variation on those of [46, Proposition 2.3], [47, Corollary 4.2], [58, Theorem 2.14], and falls out of that of [26, Proposition 2.10]. Both representations $\pi|_{P_n}$ and $(\sigma|_{P_n})^\vee$ carry Bernstein–Zelevinsky filtrations of $P_n$-submodules

$$0 \subseteq \pi_n \subseteq \pi_{n-1} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \pi_1 := \pi|_{P_n} \text{ and } 0 \subseteq \tau_n \subseteq \tau_{n-1} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \tau_1 := (\sigma|_{P_n})^\vee$$

such that $\pi_k/\pi_{k+1} = (\Phi^+)^{k-1} \Psi^+(\pi_1^{(k)})$ and $\pi_k/\tau_{k+1} = (\Phi^+)^{k-1} \Psi^-(\tau_1^{(k)})$. On the one hand, $\Psi^+$ is normalized inflation and $\Phi^+$ is normalized compactly supported induction. On the other hand, $\Psi^-$ is the normalized Jacquet functor and $\Phi^-$ is the normalized $\psi$-twisted Jacquet functor. For the rigorous definition of the four functors $\Phi^+$, $\Psi^+$, $\Phi^-$, and $\Psi^-$, the reader should consult [6, section 3.2]. $\pi_1^{(k)}$ is the so-called Bernstein–Zelevinsky $k$th-derivatives, and for our purpose, it is...
convenient to introduce the shifted derivatives \( \pi_1^{[k]} := \pi_1^{(k)} \otimes \nu^{1/2} \). We conclude from [26, Proposition 1.4] that

\[
\text{Hom}_{P_n}((\Phi^+)^{-1}\Psi^+(\pi_1^{(l)}), (\Phi^+)^{-1}\Psi^+(\tau_1^{(j)})) = 0
\]

except when \( i = j \). Hence, if \( \text{Hom}_{P_n}(\pi \otimes \sigma, \mathbb{C}) \) is nonzero, it is clear from [6, Proposition 3.2] that the space must induce a nonzero space

\[
\text{Hom}_{P_n}(\pi \otimes \sigma, \mathbb{C}) \neq 0
\]

for some \( 1 \leq k \leq n \). We put \( \sigma_1 := |P_n| \). Exchanging the order of functors \( \Psi^- \) and \( \Phi^- \), and the duality [6, Proposition 3.4] gives rise to

\[
\tau_1^{(k)} = \Psi^-((\Phi^-)^{k-1}(|P_n|)^\vee) \cong \nu^{-1} \otimes (\Psi^-((\Phi^-)^{k-1}(|P_n|))^\vee)
\]

from which we deduce the isomorphism:

\[
\text{Hom}_{G_{n-k}}(\pi_1^{(k)}, \tau_1^{(k)}) \cong \text{Hom}_{G_{n-k}}(\pi_1^{(k)}, \nu^{-1} \otimes (\sigma_1^{(k)}))^\vee \cong \text{Hom}_{G_{n-k}}(\pi_1^{(k)} \otimes \sigma_1^{(k)}, \mathbf{1}_{G_{n-k}}).
\]

Without loss of generality, we may assume that \( \pi_1^{[h]} \neq 0, \sigma_1^{[h]} \neq 0 \), and \( \pi_1^{(k)} = \sigma_1^{(k)} = 0 \) for all \( k > h \). The Bernstein’s criterion in [7, section 7.4] states that \( \pi_1^{[h]} \) and \( \sigma_1^{[h]} \) are irreducible and unitary, and central characters of the irreducible subquotients of \( \pi_1^{[k]} \) and \( \sigma_1^{[k]} \) have real parts greater than zero for all \( 0 < k < h \). In this way, we find that

\[
\dim \text{Hom}_{P_n}(\pi \otimes \sigma, \mathbf{1}_{P_n}) \leq \dim \text{Hom}_{G_{n-k}}(\pi_1^{[h]} \otimes \sigma_1^{[h]}, \mathbf{1}_{G_{n-k}}).
\]

Now our proof is completed by induction on the rank \( n - h \) of \( G_{n-h} \).

To prove the uniqueness of the bilinear form, we substitute \( \text{Hom}_{G_n}(\pi \otimes \sigma, \mathbf{1}_{G_n}) \) by \( \text{Hom}_{G_n}(\pi \otimes \pi_\vee, \mathbf{1}_{G_n}) \) as in Theorem 3.7. The injection \( \text{Hom}_{G_n}(\pi \otimes \pi_\vee, \mathbf{1}_{G_n}) \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_{P_n}(\pi \otimes \pi_\vee, \mathbf{1}_{P_n}) \) is interpreted as the isomorphism in view of \( 0 \neq \text{Hom}_{G_n}(\pi \otimes \sigma, \mathbf{1}_{G_n}) \cong \text{Hom}_{G_n}(\pi \otimes \pi_\vee, \mathbf{1}_{G_n}) \cong \text{Hom}_{P_n}(\pi \otimes \pi_\vee, \mathbf{1}_{P_n}) \).

We do not claim the originality of the second assertion in Proposition 3.8 as it is a special case of Bernstein’s Theorem [7, Theorem A].

4  |  ASAI L-FACTORS

We overview the theory of Asai L-factors in the appendix to [16]. Let \( E \) be a quadratic extension of \( F \). Thanks to [2, Lemma 4.2.], we take \( \psi_E \) to be a nontrivial unramified additive character of \( E \) that is trivial on \( F \). Let \( \pi \in A_E(n) \) be a generic representation with an associated Whittaker model \( \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi_E) \). For each Whittaker function \( W_\pi \in \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi_E) \) and each Schwartz–Bruhat function \( \Phi \in S(F^n) \), we define a local Flicker integral by

\[
I(s, W_\pi, \Phi) = \int_{N_n \setminus GL_n(F)} W_\pi(g)\Phi(e_n g)|\det(g)|^s dg,
\]

which is absolutely convergent when the real part of \( s \) is sufficiently large enough. Each \( I(s, W_\pi, \Phi) \) is a rational function of \( q^{-s} \) and hence extends meromorphically to all of \( \mathbb{C} \). These integrals \( I(s, W_\pi, \Phi) \) span a fractional ideal \( I(\pi, As) \) of \( \mathbb{C}[q^{\pm s}] \) generated by a normalized generator of the form \( P(q^{-s})^{-1} \) where the polynomial \( P(X) \in \mathbb{C}[X] \) satisfies \( P(0) = 1 \). The local Asai L-function attached to \( \pi \) is defined by such a unique normalized generator;

\[
L(s, \pi, As) = \frac{1}{P(q^{-s})},
\]

In particular, for a spherical representation \( \pi \), the local L-function \( L(s, \pi, As) \) is equal to the formal local L-function \( L(s, \pi_\text{vir}, As) \).
Proposition 4.1. [41, Theorem 4.26] Let \( \{ \alpha_i \}_{i=1}^{r} \) denote the Langlands parameter of \( \pi \). Then, we have

\[
L(s, \pi_{ur}, As) = \prod_{k=1}^{r} (1 - \alpha_k(\varpi_F)q^{-s})^{-1} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} (1 - \alpha_i(\varpi_E)\alpha_j(\varpi_E)q^{-s})^{-1}.
\]

4.1 The Flicker–Rallis period

We are now going to produce an essential Whittaker function and the characteristic function so that the resulting Flicker integral accomplishes the formal Asai \( L \)-factors.

Theorem 4.2. Let \( \pi \in A_E(n) \) be a generic representation. We set \( c = c(\pi) \). Then, we have

\[
L(s, \pi_{ur}, As) = I(s, W^o_\pi, \Phi_c).
\]

Proof. Having in hand [52, Lemma 2.6] that \( g \mapsto \Phi_c(e_n g) \) is the characteristic function on \( P_n K_1(\mathfrak{p}^n) \), the partial Iwasawa decomposition \( G_n = N_n P_n K_n \) implies that

\[
I(s, W^o_\pi, \Phi_c) = \int_{K_1(\mathcal{F})} \int_{N_n \backslash P_n} W^o_\pi(pk) |\det(p)|^{s-1} \int_{\mathcal{F}^\times} \Phi_c(e_n z pk) \omega_\pi(z) |z|^{ns} d^\times z dpdk
\]

\[
= \int_{N_n \backslash P_n} W^o_\pi(p) |\det(p)|^{s-1} \int_{1+\mathcal{F}^\times} \Phi_c(e_n z) \omega_\pi(z) |z|^{ns} d^\times z dp
\]

\[
= \int_{N_n \backslash P_n} W^o_\pi(p) |\det(p)|^{s-1} dp.
\]

Repeating the procedure of the proof of [2, Theorem 6.1], the integral becomes

\[
I(s, W^o_\pi, \Phi_c) = \int_{A_r} W^o_\pi_{ur}(a) \delta^{-1}_B(a) |\det(a)|^s da = I(s, W^o_\pi_{ur}, 1_{\mathcal{O}^{ur}}).
\]

Our expected result is an immediate consequence of the computation by [14, Proposition 3] for \( E/F \) the unramified extension and by [4, Proposition 9.5] for \( E/F \) the ramified extension.

In general, \( L(s, \pi_{ur}, As) \) is not the same as \( L(s, \pi, As) \).

Corollary 4.3. Let \( \pi \in A_E(n) \) be a generic representation. Then, we have

\[
L(s, \pi_{ur}, As) = P(q^{-s})L(s, \pi, As)
\]

for a polynomial \( P(X) \in \mathbb{C}[X] \) satisfying \( P(0) = 1 \).

Proof. The result immediately follows from Theorem 4.2 that \( L(s, \pi_{ur}, As) \) is an element of \( I(\pi, As) \).

We now wish to begin summarizing the main result on the \( P_n(F) \)-invariant form and the Flicker–Rallis integral periods from [2, Theorem 1.1, Theorem 6.2].

Theorem 4.4 (Anandavardhanan and Matringe). Let \( \pi \in A_E(n) \) be a unitary generic representation.

\[
\int_{N_n \backslash P_n} W^o_\pi(p) dp = \begin{cases} 
L(1, \pi_{ur}, As) & \text{if } \pi \text{ is ramified,} \\
L(1, \pi, As) & \text{if } \pi \text{ is unramified.}
\end{cases}
\]
4.2 The Galois self-conjugate dual representation

A representation \( \pi \) of \( \text{GL}_n(E) \) is called \( G_n \)-distinguished if \( \text{Hom}_{G_n}(\pi|_{G_n}, 1_{G_n}) \neq 0 \). We denote by \( \sigma_{E/F} : x \mapsto \overline{x}, x \in E \) the nontrivial associated Galois action. The conjugation \( \sigma_{E/F} \) extends naturally to an automorphism of \( \text{GL}_n(E) \), which we also denote by \( \sigma_{E/F} \). Then, we denote by \( \pi^{\sigma_{E/F}} \) the representation \( \pi^{\sigma_{E/F}}(g) = \pi(\sigma_{E/F}(g)) \) for \( g \in \text{GL}_n(E) \) and \( \pi \in \mathcal{A}_E(n) \).

We say that a representation \( \pi \) of \( \text{GL}_n(E) \) is the Galois self-conjugate dual representation if \( \pi^{\sigma_{E/F}} \cong \pi^\vee \). In [15, Proposition 12], Flicker proved that if \( \pi \in \mathcal{A}_E(n) \) is \( G_n \)-distinguished, then it is Galois self-conjugate dual. A kind of converse can be established for discrete series representations by the work of Anandavardhanan and Rajan [3, Theorem 4] and Kable [35, Theorem 6]. We refer the reader to [43, Theorem 5.2] for the complete classification of \( G_n \)-distinguished generic representations, in terms of inducing discrete series representations.

**Theorem 4.5** (Anandavardhanan and Matringe). Let \( \pi \in \mathcal{A}_E(n) \) be a generic representation, which is distinguished with respect to \( G_n \). Then, we have

\[
\Lambda^{FR}(W_\pi) = \int_{N_n \backslash P_n} W_\pi(p) dp = \begin{cases} L(1, \pi_{uf}, As) & \text{if } \pi \text{ is ramified}, \\ L(1, \pi, As) & \text{if } \pi \text{ is unramified}. \end{cases}
\]

As illustrated in Section 1, the nontrivial linear functional \( \Lambda^{FR} \) yields nonzero \( P_n \)-invariant forms on \( \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi_E) \), which in turn can be uniquely extended to \( G_n \)-invariant forms on \( \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi_E) \).

**Proposition 4.6.** [1, Theorem 1.1], [46, Proposition 2.3]. Let \( \pi \in \mathcal{A}_E(n) \) be a unitary representation. Then,

\[
\dim \mathbb{C} \text{Hom}_{P_n}(\pi|_{P_n}, 1_{P_n}) \leq 1.
\]

The equality holds when \( \pi \) is generic. In particular, if \( \pi \) is \( G_n \)-distinguished, then \( W_\pi \mapsto \Lambda^{FR}(W_\pi) \) gives a unique nontrivial \( G_n \)-invariant bilinear form belonging to \( \text{Hom}_{G_n}(\pi|_{G_n}, 1_{G_n}) \).

Once more, the unitarity assumption on the second statement can be dropped, appealing to Ok’s Theorem [46, Proposition 2.3] coupled with [15, Proposition 11].

5 THE EXTERIOR SQUARE \( \mathbb{L} \)-FACTORS

5.1 The Jacquet–Shalika period

We give a short discussion on the theory of local exterior square \( \mathbb{L} \)-functions due to Jacquet and Shalika [29], [31, sections 2.2, 2.4]. Let \( \sigma_m \) be the permutation matrix given by

\[
\sigma_{2n} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n & | & n + 1 & n + 2 & \cdots & 2n \\ 1 & 3 & \cdots & 2n - 1 & | & \phantom{1} & 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2n \end{pmatrix}
\]

when \( m = 2n \) is even, and by

\[
\sigma_{2n+1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n & | & n + 1 & n + 2 & \cdots & 2n & 2n + 1 \\ 1 & 3 & \cdots & 2n - 1 & | & \phantom{1} & 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2n & 2n + 1 \end{pmatrix}
\]

when \( m = 2n + 1 \) is odd. We let \( \mathcal{M}_n \) be the \( n \times n \) matrices, \( \mathcal{N}_n \) the subspace of upper triangular matrices of \( \mathcal{M}_n \). For a Whittaker function \( W_\pi \in \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi) \) and in the even case \( m = 2n \) in each Schwartz–Bruhat function \( \Phi \in S(F^n) \), we define local Jacquet–Shalika integrals

\[
J(s, W_\pi) := \int_{N_n \backslash G_n} \int_{\mathcal{N}_n \backslash \mathcal{M}_n} W_\pi \left( I_n \begin{pmatrix} X & \sigma_{2n+1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} g & \lambda_X \end{pmatrix} 1 \right) \psi^{-1}(\text{Tr}(X))|\det(g)|^{s-1} dX dg \tag{5.1}
\]
in the odd case $m = 2n + 1$ and in the even case $m = 2n$,

$$J(s, W_\pi, \Phi) := \int_{N_n \backslash G_n} \int_{N_n \backslash M_n} W_\pi \left( \sigma_{2n} \begin{pmatrix} I_n & X \\ I_n & g \end{pmatrix} \right) \psi^{-1}(\text{Tr}(X)) \Phi(\psi, g) |\det(g)|^s dXdg. \quad (5.2)$$

all integrals being convergent for $\text{Re}(s) \gg 0$. Let $\mathcal{J}(\pi, \lambda^2)$ denote the complex linear span of the local Jacquet–Shalika integrals $J(s, W_\pi)$ if $m = 2n + 1$ is odd and that of $J(s, W_\pi, \Phi)$ if $m = 2n$ is even. The space $\mathcal{J}(\pi, \lambda^2)$ is a $\mathbb{C}[q^{\pm s}]$-fractional ideal of $\mathbb{C}(q^{-s})$ containing the constant 1. This ideal $\mathcal{J}(\pi, \lambda^2)$ is principal, and has a unique generator of the form $P(q^{-s})^{-1}$, where $P(X)$ is a polynomial in $\mathbb{C}[X]$ with $P(0) = 1$. The local exterior square $L$-function attached to $\pi$ is defined by the unique normalized generator,

$$L(s, \pi, \lambda^2) = P(q^{-s})^{-1}.$$

In particular, for a spherical representation $\pi$, the local $L$-function $L(s, \pi, \lambda^2)$ agrees with the formal local $L$-function $L(s, \pi_{\text{ur}}, \lambda^2)$.

**Proposition 5.1.** Let $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^r$ denote the Langlands parameter of $\pi$. Then, we have

$$L(s, \pi_{\text{ur}}, \lambda^2) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} (1 - \alpha_i(\psi) \alpha_j(\psi) q^{-s})^{-1}.$$

We take the Haar measure on the quotient space $\mathcal{N}_n \backslash \mathcal{M}_n$ so that the volume of $\mathcal{N}_n \backslash (\mathcal{N}_n + \mathcal{M}_n(\mathcal{O}))$ is one. The test vector problem for exterior square $L$-factors has been carried out in Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 4.1 of [52].

**Theorem 5.2** (Miyauchi and Yamauchi). Let $\pi \in A_F(m)$ be a generic representation. We set $c = c(\pi)$.

(a) When $m = 2n$ is even, we have $L(s, \pi_{\text{ur}}, \lambda^2) = J(s, W^o_\pi, \Phi_c)$.

(b) When $m = 2n + 1$ is odd, we obtain $L(s, \pi_{\text{ur}}, \lambda^2) = J(s, W^o_\pi)$.

In general, $L(s, \pi_{\text{ur}}, \lambda^2)$ does not match with $L(s, \pi, \lambda^2)$.

**Corollary 5.3.** Let $\pi \in A_F(m)$ be a generic representation. Then, we have

$$L(s, \pi_{\text{ur}}, \lambda^2) = P(q^{-s})L(s, \pi, \lambda^2)$$

for a polynomial $P(X) \in \mathbb{C}[X]$ satisfying $P(0) = 1$.

**Proof.** We only need to observe from Theorem 5.2 that $L(s, \pi_{\text{ur}}, \lambda^2)$ belongs to the $\mathbb{C}[q^{\pm s}]$-fractional ideal $\mathcal{J}(\pi, \lambda^2)$ of $\mathbb{C}(q^{-s})$.

We concern with the following integrals, of which the convergence will be elaborated shortly after;

$$\Lambda^J(W_\pi) := \int_{N_n \backslash P_n} \int_{N_n \backslash M_n} W_\pi \left( \sigma_{2n} \begin{pmatrix} I_n & X \\ I_n & g \end{pmatrix} \right) \psi^{-1}(\text{Tr}(X)) dXdp$$

if $m = 2n$ is even, and

$$\Lambda^J(W_\pi) := \int_{N_n \backslash G_n} \int_{N_n \backslash M_n} W_\pi \left( \sigma_{2n+1} \begin{pmatrix} I_n & X \\ I_n & g \end{pmatrix} \right) \psi^{-1}(\text{Tr}(X)) dXdg$$

if $m = 2n + 1$ is odd. We define the Shalika subgroup $S_{2n}$ of $G_{2n}$ by

$$S_{2n} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} I_n & Z \\ I_n & g \end{pmatrix} \mid Z \in \mathcal{M}_n, g \in G_n \right\}.$$
Let \( \Theta \) be a Shalika character of \( S_{2n} \) given by

\[
\Theta\left(\begin{pmatrix} I_n & Z \\ I_n & g \end{pmatrix}\right) = \psi(\text{Tr}(Z)).
\]

**Proposition 5.4.** Let \( \pi \in A_F(m) \) be a unitary generic representation. For any \( W_\pi \in \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi) \), the integrals \( \Lambda^{JS}(W_\pi) \) converge absolutely. In particular, for \( m = 2n \) even, \( W_\pi \mapsto \Lambda^{JS}(W_\pi) \) defines a \((P_{2n} \cap S_{2n}, \Theta)\)-invariant linear functional on \( \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi) \).

**Proof.** The odd case can be extracted from [29, section 9.3, Proposition 3]. Pertaining to even cases, we choose \( K_n^o \), a compact open subgroup of \( K_n \) such that \( W_\pi \) is invariant under \( \left( \begin{smallmatrix} K_n^o \\ K_n^o \end{smallmatrix} \right) \). We take \( \Phi^o \) to be a characteristic function \( e_nK_n^o \). By the partial Iwasawa decomposition \( G_n = Z_nN_nK_n \), the integral \( J(s, W_\pi, \Phi^o) \) is written as

\[
J(s, W_\pi, \Phi^o) = \int_{K_n^o \backslash N_n \backslash P_n} \int_{N_n \backslash M_n} W_\pi \left( \sigma_{2n} \begin{pmatrix} I_n & X \\ I_n & p \end{pmatrix} \right) \psi^{-1}(\text{Tr}(X)) |\det(p)|^{s-1} \int_{F^\times} \Phi^o(e_n z k) |z|^{n_5} d^2 z dX dp.
\]

By the right \( K_n^o \) invariance of integrands, the integral \( J(s, W_\pi, \Phi^o) \) is converted to

\[
J(s, W_\pi, \Phi^o) = \nu \int_{N_n \backslash P_n} \int_{N_n \backslash M_n} W_\pi \left( \sigma_{2n} \begin{pmatrix} I_n & X \\ I_n & p \end{pmatrix} \right) \psi^{-1}(\text{Tr}(X)) |\det(p)|^{s-1} dX dp
\]

with \( \nu > 0 \), a volume term. Ref. [29, section 7.1, Proposition 1] assures that the above integral is holomorphic at \( s = 1 \). \( \square \)

Let us now pay our attention to the nonvanishing of Jacquet–Shalika functional \( \Lambda^{JS}(W_\pi) \).

**Theorem 5.5.** Let \( \pi \in A_F(m) \) be a unitary generic representation.

(a) Suppose that \( m = 2n \) is even. Then, we have

\[
\int_{N_n \backslash G_n} \int_{N_n \backslash M_n} W_\pi \left( \sigma_{2n+1} \begin{pmatrix} I_n & X \\ I_n & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \psi^{-1}(\text{Tr}(X)) dX dg = \begin{cases} L(1, \pi, \wedge^2) & \text{if } \pi \text{ is ramified}, \\ L(1, \pi, \wedge^2) & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}
\]

(b) Suppose that \( m = 2n + 1 \) is odd. Then, we obtain

\[
\int_{N_n \backslash G_n} \int_{N_n \backslash M_n} W_\pi \left( \sigma_{2n} \begin{pmatrix} I_n & X \\ I_n & g \end{pmatrix} \right) \psi^{-1}(\text{Tr}(X)) dX dg = L(1, \pi, \wedge^2).
\]

**Proof.** Evaluating the equality in Theorem 5.2-(b) at \( s = 1 \) demonstrates the second statement. The first assertion is a consequence of the proof of [32, Lemma 3.4], addressed in [19, section 3.2]. For the sake of completeness, we provide an alternative straightforward approach. The unramified case is almost identical to the proof of [2, Theorem 6.2], hence we omit the complete details. Mimicking the essential point made in the proof of Proposition 5.4, the integral \( J(s, W_\pi^o, \Phi_c) \) turns out to be

\[
J(s, W_\pi^o, \Phi_c) = \int_{N_n \backslash P_n} \int_{N_n \backslash M_n} W_\pi \left( \sigma_{2n} \begin{pmatrix} I_n & X \\ I_n & p \end{pmatrix} \right) \psi^{-1}(\text{Tr}(X)) |\det(p)|^{s-1} dX dp,
\]

which is equivalent to \( L(s, \pi, \wedge^2) \) as outlined in 5.2-(a). The result that we search for then follows from Proposition 5.4, plugging in 1 for \( s \). \( \square \)
As opposed to Theorems 3.5 and 4.4, the right-hand side of (a) and (b) in Theorem 5.5 takes different shapes, depending on the presence of Schwartz–Bruhat functions in Jacquet–Shalika integrals (5.1) and (5.2).

5.2 The $S_{2n}$-distinguished representation

For this section, we restrict ourselves to the case for $m = 2n$ even. We say that a representation $\pi$ of $G_{2n}$ is $(S_{2n}, \Theta)$-distinguished, if $\text{Hom}_{S_{2n}}(\pi, \Theta) \neq 0$. The central character $\omega_{\pi}$ of the $(S_{2n}, \Theta)$-distinguished representation $\pi$ is always trivial.

For our convenience, we introduce an auxiliary symmetric square $L$-factors $L(s, \pi, \text{Sym}^2)$. It is proven in [31, section 5.2] that $L(s, \pi, \lambda^2)^{-1}$ divides $L(s, \pi \times \pi)^{-1}$ in $\mathbb{C}[q^\pm]$. Hence, we can find a polynomial $Q(X) \in \mathbb{C}[X]$ satisfying $Q(0) = 1$ and $L(s, \pi \times \pi)^{-1} = Q(q^{-s})L(s, \pi, \lambda^2)^{-1}$. We define $L(s, \pi, \text{Sym}^2)$ by

$$L(s, \pi, \text{Sym}^2) := \frac{1}{Q(q^{-s})}. \quad (5.3)$$

**Proposition 5.6.** Let $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_F(2n)$ be a generic representation, which is $(S_{2n}, \Theta)$-distinguished. Then, the $(P_{2n} \cap S_{2n}, \Theta)$-invariant linear functional $\Lambda^{(2)}(W_\pi)$ is well-defined in that $L(s, \pi, \lambda^2)$ is holomorphic at $s = 1$.

**Proof.** It is shown in [27, Proposition 6.1] that if an irreducible representation $\pi$ of $G_{2n}$ is $S_{2n}$-distinguished, $\pi$ is self-dual. In the aspect of (5.3), the local Rankin–Selberg $L$-function enjoys a factorization $L(s, \pi \times \pi) = L(s, \pi, \text{Sym}^2)L(s, \pi, \lambda^2)$. As a result, $L(s, \pi, \lambda^2)$ is holomorphic at $s = 1$ as soon as $L(s, \pi \times \pi)$ is so. However, this will be the case, according to Proposition 3.6. \qed

As mentioned earlier, we can ease the unitarity restriction in Theorem 5.5 if we assume that $\pi$ is $(S_{2n}, \Theta)$-distinguished.

**Theorem 5.7.** Let $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_F(2n)$ be a generic representation, which is distinguished with respect to $(S_{2n}, \Theta)$. Then, we have

$$\int_{N_n \backslash P_n} \int_{N_n \backslash M_n} W_{2n}^\pi \left( \sigma_{2n} \left( I_n \begin{array}{cc} X & \hspace{1cm} p \\ I_n & \end{array} \right) \right) \psi^{-1}(\text{Tr}(X))dXdp = \begin{cases} L(1, \pi_{ur}, \lambda^2) & \text{if } \pi \text{ is ramified,} \\ \frac{L(1, \pi, \lambda^2)}{L(n, \mathbf{1}_{F\times})} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We record the straightforward consequence from the proof of [31, Proposition 3.4] that is built on [47].

**Proposition 5.8.** Let $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_F(2n)$ be a unitary representation. Then,

$$\dim \text{Hom}_{P_{2n} \cap S_{2n}}(\pi, \Theta) \leq 1.$$ 

The equality holds when $\pi$ is generic. In particular, if $\pi$ is $(S_{2n}, \Theta)$-distinguished, then $W_\pi \mapsto \Lambda^{(2)}(W_\pi)$ gives a unique nontrivial $S_{2n}$-quasi-invariant linear functional belonging to the space $\text{Hom}_{P_{2n} \cap S_{2n}}(\pi, \Theta)$.

**Proof.** Thanks to [45, Proposition 4.3], the space $\text{Hom}_{P_{2n} \cap S_{2n}}(\pi, \Theta)$ embeds as the subspace of $\text{Hom}_{P_{2n} \cap M_{2n}}(\pi, \mathbf{1})$. Conjugating by $w_{2n}$ provides the isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{P_{2n} \cap M_{2n}}(\pi, \mathbf{1}_{P_{2n} \cap M_{2n}}) \cong \text{Hom}_{P_{2n} \cap H_{2n}}(\pi, \mathbf{1}_{P_{2n} \cap H_{2n}}).$$

We kindly refer the reader to Section 5.3 for the exact definitions of $w_{2n}$ and $H_{2n}$. It is evident from the proof of [47, Corollary 4.2] that the latter space $\text{Hom}_{P_{2n} \cap H_{2n}}(\pi, \mathbf{1}_{P_{2n} \cap H_{2n}})$ has dimension at most one (cf. Proposition 5.16). Keeping in mind the assumption $\text{Hom}_{S_{2n}}(\pi, \Theta) \neq 0$, the inclusion $\text{Hom}_{S_{2n}}(\pi, \Theta) \subseteq \text{Hom}_{P_{2n} \cap S_{2n}}(\pi, \Theta)$ induces the isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{P_{2n} \cap S_{2n}}(\pi, \Theta) \cong \text{Hom}_{S_{2n}}(\pi, \Theta),$$

which we utilize to construct a nontrivial $S_{2n}$-quasi-invariant linear functional, from Theorem 5.7. \qed
### 5.3 The Friedberg–Jacquet (linear) period

We briefly remind the reader of the integral representation introduced by Bump and Friedberg [9, 52]. We define the embedding $J : G_n \times G_n \to G_m$ by

$$J(g, g') = \begin{cases} 
  g_{i,j} & \text{if } k = 2i - 1, l = 2j - 1, \\
  g'_{i,j} & \text{if } k = 2i, l = 2j, \\
  0 & \text{otherwise,}
\end{cases}$$

for $m = 2n$ even and $J : G_{n+1} \times G_n \to G_m$ by

$$J(g, g') = \begin{cases} 
  g_{i,j} & \text{if } k = 2i - 1, l = 2j - 1, \\
  g'_{i,j} & \text{if } k = 2i, l = 2j, \\
  0 & \text{otherwise,}
\end{cases}$$

for $m = 2n + 1$ odd. As for the purpose of holding onto coherent terminology with Matringe [47, 48], the reader should perceive that the role of $g$ and $g'$ in [9, 52] is swapped for even cases. The test vector problem for Bump–Friedberg exterior square $L$-factor has been settled in [52, Theorem 5.1].

**Theorem 5.9** (Miyauchi and Yamauchi). Let $\pi \in A_F(m)$ be a generic representation. We set $c = c(\pi)$.

(a) Suppose that $m = 2n$ is even. Then, we have

$$L(s_1, \pi_{ur})L(s_2, \pi_{ur}, \wedge^2) = \int_{N_n \backslash G_n} \int_{N_n \backslash G_n} W(\pi)(J(g, g')) \Phi_c(e_n g') |\det(g)|^{s_1 - 1/2} |\det(g')|^{1/2 + s_2 - s_1} dg dg'. \quad (5.4)$$

(b) Suppose that $m = 2n + 1$ is odd. Then, we obtain

$$L(s_1, \pi_{ur})L(s_2, \pi_{ur}, \wedge^2) = \int_{N_n \backslash G_n} \int_{N_n+1 \backslash G_{n+1}} W(\pi)(J(g, g')) \Phi_c(e_{n+1} g) |\det(g)|^{s_1} |\det(g')|^{s_2 - s_1} dg dg'. \quad (5.5)$$

To be more compatible with the standard language, we retain notations from Matringe [47, 48]. For $m = 2n$ even, we denote by $M_{2n}$ the standard Levi of $G_{2n}$ associated with the partition $(n, n)$ of $2n$. Let $w_{2n} = \sigma_{2n}$ and then we set $H_{2n} = w_{2n} M_{2n} w_{2n}^{-1}$. Let $w_{2n+1} = w_{2n+2} |G_{2n+1}|$ so that

$$w_{2n+1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n + 1 & n + 2 & n + 3 & \cdots & 2n & 2n + 1 \\ 1 & 3 & \cdots & 2n + 1 & 2 & 4 & \cdots & 2n - 2 & 2n \end{pmatrix}.$$

In the odd case, $w_{2n+1} \neq \sigma_{2n+1}$ and we let $M_{2n+1}$ denote the standard Levi associated to the partition $(n + 1, n)$ of $2n + 1$. We set $H_{2n+1} = w_{2n+1} M_{2n+1} w_{2n+1}^{-1}$. We note that $H_m$ is compatible in the sense that $H_m \cap G_{m-1} = H_{m-1}$ and we can easily see that $J(g, g') = w_m \text{diag}(g, g') w_m^{-1}$ for $\text{diag}(g, g') \in M_m$. If $\alpha$ is a real number, we denote by $\chi_\alpha$ the character

$$\chi_\alpha : J(g, g') \mapsto \left| \frac{\det(g)}{\det(g')} \right|^{\alpha}$$

of $H_m$. We denote by $\chi_m$ and $\mu_m$ characters of $H_m$:

$$\chi_m(J(g, g')) = \begin{cases} 
  1_{H_m} & \text{for } m = 2n, \\
  |\det(g)| & \text{for } m = 2n + 1,
\end{cases} \quad \mu_m(J(g, g')) = \begin{cases} 
  |\det(g)| & \text{for } m = 2n, \\
  1_{H_m} & \text{for } m = 2n + 1.
\end{cases}$$
We now turn toward the case for \( s_1 = s \) and \( s_2 = 2s \). By taking all these accounts, primary Bump–Friedberg integrals (5.4) and (5.5) can be unified as one single integral \( \mathcal{Z}(s, \chi_{-1/2}, W_\pi, \Phi) \) of the form;

\[
\mathcal{Z}(s, \chi_{-1/2}, W_\pi, \Phi) = \int_{(N_m \cap H_m) \setminus H_m} W_\pi(h) \chi_{-1/2}(h) \frac{1}{2}(h) \Phi(l_m(h)) |\det(h)|^s dh
\]

\[
= \begin{cases} 
\int_{N_n \setminus G_n} \int_{N_n \setminus G_n} W_\pi(J(g, g')) \phi(e_n g') |\det(g)|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |\det(g')| |dgdg'| & \text{for } m = 2n, \\
\int_{N_n \setminus G_n} \int_{N_n \setminus G_{n+1}} W_\pi(J(g, g')) \phi(e_{n+1} g) |\det(gg')|^s |dgdg'| & \text{for } m = 2n + 1,
\end{cases}
\]

where \( W_\pi \in \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi), \Phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}((m+1)/2)) \), and \( l_m(J(g, g')) \) is \( e_{n} g' \) for \( m = 2n \) even and \( e_{n+1} g \) for \( m = 2n + 1 \) odd. The integral \( \mathcal{Z}(s, \chi_{-1/2}, W_\pi, \Phi) \) converges absolutely for \( s \) of real part large enough. The \( \mathbb{C} \)-vector space generated by the local Bump–Friedberg integrals

\[
\langle \mathcal{Z}(s, \chi_{-1/2}, W_\pi, \Phi) \mid W_\pi \in \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi), \Phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{F}((m+1)/2)) \rangle
\]

is in fact a \( \mathbb{C}[q^{\pm s}] \)-fractional ideal \( I(\pi, \chi_{-1/2}, \wedge^2) \) of \( \mathbb{C}(q^{-s}) \). The ideal \( I(\pi, \chi_{-1/2}, \wedge^2) \) is principal, and has a unique generator of the form \( P(q^{-s})^{-1} \), where \( P(X) \) is a polynomial in \( \mathbb{C}[X] \) with \( P(0) = 1 \). The local Bump–Friedberg \( L \)-function associated to \( \pi \) is defined by the unique normalized generator,

\[
L^{BF}(s, \pi, \chi_{-1/2}) = \frac{1}{P(q^{-s})}.
\]

The formal local \( L \)-function \( L(s, \pi_{ur})(2s, \pi_{ur}, \wedge^2) \) does not always agree with \( L^{BF}(s, \pi, \chi_{-1/2}) \).

**Corollary 5.10.** Let \( \pi \in \mathcal{A}_F(m) \) be a generic representation. Then, we have

\[
L(s, \pi_{ur})(2s, \pi_{ur}, \wedge^2) = P(q^{-s})L^{BF}(s, \pi, \chi_{-1/2})
\]

for a polynomial \( P(X) \in \mathbb{C}[X] \) satisfying \( P(0) = 1 \).

**Proof.** We only need to check from Theorem 5.9 that \( L(s, \pi_{ur})(2s, \pi_{ur}, \wedge^2) \), which is the same as \( \mathcal{Z}(s, \chi_{-1/2}, W_\pi^o, \Phi_c) \), in turn belongs to the \( \mathbb{C}[q^{\pm s}] \)—fractional ideal \( I(\pi, \chi_{-1/2}, \wedge^2) \) of \( \mathbb{C}(q^{-s}) \). □

The following integral,

\[
\Lambda^{BF}(W_\pi) := \int_{(N_m \cap H_m) \setminus (P_m \cap H_m)} W_\pi(p) \chi_{-1/2}(p) \mu^{1/2}_m(p) dp,
\]

makes sense at least formally. The following proposition gives a meaning to this integral.

**Proposition 5.11.** Let \( \pi \in \mathcal{A}_F(m) \) be a unitary generic representation. For any \( W_\pi \in \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi) \), the integral

\[
\int_{(N_m \cap H_m) \setminus (P_m \cap H_m)} W_\pi(p) \chi_{-1/2}(p) \mu^{1/2}_m(p) |\det(p)|^{s-1/2} dp
\]

converges absolutely for the closed right half-plane \( \text{Re}(s) \geq 1/2 \). In particular, for \( m = 2n \) even, \( W_\pi \mapsto \Lambda^{BF}(W_\pi) \) defines a \( P_{2n} \cap H_{2n} \)-invariant linear functional on \( \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi) \).

**Proof.** It is a consequence of [47, Proposition 4.7] and Bernstein’s criterion [7, section 7.3] for the exponent of central characters that can be taken verbatim from the proof of Proposition 3.8. □
We now take up the issue of the nonvanishing of the Friedberg–Jacquet linear functional on the Whittaker model \( W(\pi, \psi) \).

**Theorem 5.12.** Let \( \pi \in \mathcal{A}_F(m) \) be a unitary generic representation. Then, we have

\[
\int_{(N_m \cap H_m) \setminus (P_m \cap H_m)} W^\circ_\pi(p) \chi_{-1/2}(p) \mu_m^{1/2}(p) dp = \begin{cases} L(1/2, \pi_{ur}) L(1, \pi_{ur}, \wedge^2) & \text{if } \pi \text{ is ramified,} \\ \frac{L(1/2, \pi) L(1, \pi, \wedge^2)}{L(m/2, \omega_{\pi})} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\]

**Proof.** We deal with the case \( m = 2n \) even and \( \pi \) ramified. Exploiting the partial Iwasawa decomposition \( G_{n-1} = N_{n-1} A_{n-1} K_{n-1} \), we obtain

\[
\Lambda^FJ(W^\circ_\pi) = \int_{A_{n-1}} \int_{A_n} W^\circ_\pi \left( J \left( a, \left( \begin{array}{c} a' \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \right) \right) \delta^{-1}_{B_n} (a) \delta^{-1}_{B_{n-1}} (a') da da'.
\]

We first deal with the case \( r \) even. In virtue of Theorem 2.2, we insert the expression of \( W^\circ_\pi \) into the above integral

\[
\Lambda^FJ(W^\circ_\pi) = \int_{A_{r/2}} \int_{A_{r/2}} W^\circ_{\pi ur} (J(b, b')) \delta^{-1}_{B_r} \left( \begin{array}{c} b \\ I_{r-1/2} \end{array} \right) \delta^{-1}_{B_{r-1}} \left( \begin{array}{c} b' \\ I_{r-1/2} \end{array} \right) \frac{m-r}{2} (bb') \varphi(b') \frac{1}{2} \det(bb')^{1/2} db db'.
\]

But we have the identity \( \delta^{-1}_{B_r} (b) \delta^{-1}_{B_r} (b') = \delta^{-1}_{B_r} (J(b, b')) \), which gives rise to

\[
\Lambda^FJ(W^\circ_\pi) = \int_{A_{r/2}} \int_{A_{r/2}} W^\circ_{\pi ur} (J(b, b')) \delta^{-1}_{B_r} (J(b, b')) \varphi(b') \frac{1}{2} \det(bb')^{1/2} db db'. \quad (5.6)
\]

Provided that \( r \) is odd, Theorem 2.2 leads us to the integral of the form

\[
\Lambda^FJ(W^\circ_\pi) = \int_{A_{r+1/2}} \int_{A_{r-1/2}} W^\circ_{\pi ur} (J(b, b')) \delta^{-1}_{B_{r+1/2}} (b) \delta^{-1}_{B_{r-1/2}} (b') \frac{m-r}{2} (bb') \varphi(b') \frac{1}{2} \det(bb')^{1/2} db db'.
\]

Using the relation \( \delta^{-1}_{B_{r+1/2}} (b) \delta^{-1}_{B_{r-1/2}} (b') = \delta^{-1}_{B_{r}} (J(b, b')) \), we see that

\[
\Lambda^FJ(W^\circ_\pi) = \int_{A_{r+1/2}} \int_{A_{r-1/2}} W^\circ_{\pi ur} (J(b, b')) \delta^{-1}_{B_{r}} (J(b, b')) \varphi(b') \frac{1}{2} \det(bb')^{1/2} db db'. \quad (5.7)
\]

Further, (5.6) combined with (5.7) yields that the integral \( \Lambda^FJ(W^\circ_\pi) \) is in accord with the expression for \( Z(1/2, \chi_{-1/2}, W^\circ_{\pi ur}, 1_{\varphi'}) \). It is easy from [9, section 3], [52, Theorem 5.1] to verify that the integral \( Z(1/2, \chi_{-1/2}, W^\circ_{\pi ur}, 1_{\varphi'}) \) is nothing else but \( L(1/2, \pi_{ur}) L(1, \pi_{ur}, \wedge^2) \) that we search for. A similar process applies to the \( m = 2n + 1 \) odd case. The unramified case proceeds as in the proof of [2, Theorem 6.2], hence we omit the complete details. \( \square \)
5.4 The $H_{2n}$-distinguished representation

For this section, we only concentrate on the case for $m = 2n$ even. We say that $\Delta = [\rho \nu^{1-\ell}, \rho \nu^{2-\ell}, ..., \rho]$ precedes $\Delta' = [\rho' \nu^{1-\ell'}, \rho' \nu^{2-\ell'}, ..., \rho']$ if $\rho' = \rho \nu^i$ for some $max(1, \ell' - \ell + 1) \leq i \leq \ell'$, which we denote by $\Delta \prec \Delta'$. We say that $\Delta$ and $\Delta'$ are linked if either $\Delta \prec \Delta'$ or $\Delta' \prec \Delta$, and nonlinked otherwise. According to [59, Theorem 9.7], $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_F(n)$ is a generic representation if and only if there exist unlinked segments $\Delta_1, \Delta_2, ..., \Delta_t$ such that $\pi \simeq Ind_{G_{2n}}^F(\Delta_1 \otimes \Delta_2 \otimes ... \otimes \Delta_t)$ with the induction being a normalized parabolic induction. We embark on the following characterization of poles [49, Lemma 2.3], which is a consequence of [26, Proposition 8.2].

**Lemma 5.13.** Let $\Delta, \Delta' \in \mathcal{A}_F$ be quasi-square integrable representations. Then, $L(s, \Delta \times \Delta')$ has a pole at $s = 1$ if and only if $\Delta \prec \Delta'$.

We recall from Section 5.3 that $H_{2n} = w_{2n} M_{2n} w_{2n}^{-1}$, where $M_{2n}$ is the standard Levi subgroup associated with the partition $(n, n)$ and $w_{2n} = \sigma_{2n}$. We say that a representation $\pi$ of $G_{2n}$ is $H_{2n}$-distinguished, if $\text{Hom}_{H_{2n}}(\pi, \mathbb{1}) \neq 0$. As commented earlier, the unitarity hypothesis in Theorem 5.12 can be removed if we assume that $\pi$ is $H_{2n}$-distinguished. The central character $\omega_\pi$ of the $H_{2n}$-distinguished representation $\pi$ is always trivial.

**Proposition 5.14.** Let $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_F(2n)$ be a generic representation, which is $H_{2n}$-distinguished. Then, the $P_{2n} \cap H_{2n}$-invariant linear functional $W_\pi \mapsto \Lambda F_J(W_\pi)$ is well-defined, in that, $L_B F(s, \pi, \chi^{-1/2})$ is holomorphic at $s = 1/2$.

**Proof.** The main result of [31] together with [47, 48] tells us that

$$L_B F(s, \pi, \chi^{-1/2}) = L(s, \pi) L(2s, \pi, \wedge 2).$$

The multiplicative relation of the Bump–Friedberg $L$-factors has been achieved by Matringe [47, Theorem 5.1],

$$L_B F(s, \pi, \chi^{-1/2}) = \prod_{k=1}^l L(s, \Delta_k) \prod_{k=1}^l L(2s, \Delta_k, \wedge 2) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq l} L(2s, \Delta_i \times \Delta_j).$$

Indeed, if $L_B F(s, \pi, \chi^{-1/2})$ admits a pole at $s = 1/2$, then either $L(s, \Delta_k)$ or $L(2s, \Delta_k, \wedge 2)$ has a pole at $s = 1/2$ for some $k$, or $L(s, \Delta_i \times \Delta_j)$ has a pole at $s = 1$ for some $(i, j)$.

We first suppose that $L(s, \Delta_i \times \Delta_j)$ has a pole at $s = 1$. Then, by Lemma 5.13, we have $\Delta_i \prec \Delta_j'$, but by our assumption that $\pi$ is $H_{2n}$-distinguished, we know from [27, Theorem 1.1] (cf. see the paragraph after [47, Definition 2.1]) that $\pi$ is self-dual. As a result, $\Delta_j'$ is $\Delta_i$ for some $l \neq i$, which contradicts the fact that $\Delta_i$'s are unlinked. In the spirit of Proposition 5.6, we can exclude the case when $L(2s, \Delta_k, \wedge 2)$ has a pole at $s = 1/2$. We observe from Theorem 2.1 that $L(s, \Delta_k) \equiv 1$ unless $\Delta_k$ is an unramified character of $F^\times$. This would in turn imply that $L(s, \Delta_k)$ is holomorphic at $s = 1/2$ except $\Delta_k = [\nu^{1/2-\ell'}, \nu^{3/2-\ell'}, ..., \nu^{-1/2}]$, $\ell' \geq 1$. Although this might be the case, we still reach the exactly same contradiction, because $\Delta_k = [\nu^{1/2}, ..., \nu^{3/2}, \nu^{-1/2}]$ is $\Delta_{l'}$ for some $l' \neq k$, which makes $\Delta_i$'s linked.

It is worthwhile noting that for $s = 1/2$ and $m = 2n$ even, the integral

$$\int_{(N_{2n} \cap H_{2n}) \setminus (P_{2n} \cap H_{2n})} W_\pi(p) \chi_{-1/2}(p) K^{1/2}_m(p) |\det(p)|^{s-1/2} dp = \int_{(N_{2n} \cap H_{2n}) \setminus (P_{2n} \cap H_{2n})} W_\pi(p) dp$$

corresponds to what is introduced as the nontrivial $H_{2n}$-linear functional (up to conjugation) in [40, Proposition 3.1].

**Theorem 5.15.** Let $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_F(2n)$ be a generic representation, which is distinguished with respect to $H_{2n}$. Then, we have

$$\int_{(N_{2n} \cap H_{2n}) \setminus (P_{2n} \cap H_{2n})} W_\pi(p) dp = \begin{cases} L(1/2, \pi_{ur}) L(1, \pi_{ur}, \wedge 2) & \text{if } \pi \text{ is ramified}, \\ L(1/2, \pi) L(1, \pi, \wedge 2) / L(n, 1_{F^\times}) & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$
The proof of [47, Corollary 4.2] implicitly contains the following assertion.

**Proposition 5.16.** Let \( \pi \in A_F(2n) \) be a unitary representation. Then,

\[
\dim \text{Hom}_{P_{2n} \cap H_{2n}}(\pi, 1) \leq 1.
\]

The equality holds when \( \pi \) is generic. In particular, if \( \pi \) is \( H_{2n} \)-distinguished, then \( W_\pi \mapsto \Lambda^{BF}(W_\pi) \) gives a unique nontrivial \( H_{2n} \)-invariant linear functional in the space \( \text{Hom}_{P_{2n} \cap H_{2n}}(\pi, 1) \).

**Proof.** As alluded in the course of the proof of Proposition 5.8, on account of the assumption \( \text{Hom}_{P_{2n} \cap H_{2n}}(\pi, 1) \neq 0 \), the embedding \( \text{Hom}_{H_{2n}}(\pi, 1) \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_{P_{2n} \cap H_{2n}}(\pi, 1) \) induces an isomorphism

\[
\text{Hom}_{P_{2n} \cap H_{2n}}(\pi, 1) \cong \text{Hom}_{H_{2n}}(\pi, 1),
\]

from which a nontrivial \( P_{2n} \cap H_{2n} \)-invariant linear functional in Theorem 5.15 can be deemed the \( H_{2n} \)-invariant form. \( \square \)

### 6 | THE BUMP–GINZBURG PERIOD

From this section onward, we declare that the characteristic of residue field of \( F \) is odd. We do not strive for maximal generality, so sometimes the hypothesis might not be necessary but which holds in all our applications. For the reader, who seeks for an expository description of symmetric square \( L \)-factors, we reiterate the crucial points from [54, 58]. Banks, Levy, and Sepanski [5, section 3] gave an explicit illustration of a 2-cocycle,

\[
\sigma_m : G_m \times G_m \to \{ \pm 1 \},
\]

and the 2-cocycles \( \{ \sigma_m \}_{m=1}^{\infty} \) satisfy a block compatibility formula on all standard Levi subgroups; if \( m = m_1 + m_2 + \cdots + m_t \) and \( g_i, g_i' \in G_{m_i} \) for all \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, t \), then

\[
\sigma_m \left( \begin{pmatrix} g_1 & \cdots & g_t \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ g_t & \cdots & g_1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} g_1' & \cdots & g_t' \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ g_t' & \cdots & g_1' \end{pmatrix} \right) = \prod_{k=1}^{t} \sigma_{m_k}(g_k, g_k') \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq t} (\det(g_i), \det(g_j)),
\]

where \((-,-)\) stands for the Hilbert symbol for \( F \) (we apologize the double use of \( \sigma_m \) but we hope that the reader can separate them by context). The 2-cocycle \( \sigma_1 \) is trivial on \( G_1 \) and the 2-cocycle \( \sigma_2 \) is well known as the Kubota 2-cocycle on \( G_2 \). We denote the central extension of \( G_m \) associated to \( \sigma_m \) by \( \bar{G}_m \). As a set, the twofold cover \( \bar{G}_m \) is incarnated as \( G_m \times \{ \pm 1 \} \) and the group law is defined by

\[(g, \xi) \cdot (g', \xi') = (gg', \sigma_m(g, g')\xi \xi') \quad \text{for} \quad \xi, \xi' \in \{ \pm 1 \} \quad \text{and} \quad g, g' \in G_m.\]

It is known that the maximal compact open subgroup \( K_m \) splits in \( \bar{G}_m \) and hence we can find a continuous map \( s_m : G_m \to \{ \pm 1 \} \) such that

\[
\sigma_m(k, k') = s_m(k)s_m(k')s_m(kk') \quad \text{for} \quad k, k' \in K_m.
\]

For the global application, we need to use a different 2-cocycle \( \tau_m \), which satisfies \( \tau_m(k, k') = 1 \) for \( k, k' \in K_m \). Then, we define the 2-cocycle \( \tau_m \) by

\[
\tau_m(g, g') = \sigma_m(g, g')s_m(g)s_m(g')s_m(gg')
\]

for \( g, g' \in G_m \). The choice of \( s_m \) and \( \tau_m \) is not unique. We shall fix \( s_m \) consistent with the ones in [54, 58], which all stem from what is called the canonical lift of Kazhdan and Patterson. We define the metaplectic cover of \( G_m \) to be \( \tilde{G}_m = \)
Let $\gamma$ be the central extension of $G_m$ associated to $\tau_m$

$$1 \rightarrow \{\pm 1\} \rightarrow \tilde{G}_m \rightarrow G_m \rightarrow 1$$

and the group law is given by

$$(g, \xi) \cdot (g', \xi') = (gg', \tau_m(g, g')\xi\xi') \text{ for } \xi, \xi' \in \{\pm 1\} \text{ and } g, g' \in G_m.$$ 

We define the canonical projection $p_m : \tilde{G}_m \rightarrow G_m$ by $p_m((g, \xi)) = g$ for $g \in G_m$ and $\xi \in \{\pm 1\}$. For any subgroup $H$ of $G_m$, we write $\tilde{H}$ for its preimage $p_m^{-1}(H)$. We define a set-theoretic section $s : G_m \rightarrow \tilde{G}_m$ by $s(g) = (g, \sigma_m(g))$ for $g \in G_m$. Any element can be uniquely written in the form $\xi s(g)$ for $g \in G_m$ and $\xi \in \{\pm 1\}$ and it is worthwhile noting that

$$s(g)s(g') = s(gg')\sigma_m(g, g')$$

for $g, g' \in G_m$. We define another set-theoretic section $\delta : G_m \rightarrow \tilde{G}_m$ by $\delta(g) = (g, 1)$ for $g \in G_m$. For a subgroup $H \leq G_m$, whenever the cocycle $\sigma_m$ is trivial on $H \times H$, the section $s$ splits $H$, and we denote by $H^*$ the image $s(H)$.

Let $\pi$ be an admissible representation of a subgroup $\tilde{H} \leq \tilde{G}_m$. The representation $\pi$ is said to be genuine if $\pi(\xi s(g))v = \xi \pi(s(g))v$ for all $h \in H$, $\xi \in \{\pm 1\}$, and $v \in V$, that is, each element in $\xi \in H$ acts as a multiplication by $\xi$. On the other hand, any representation $\pi$ of $H$ can be pulled back to a nongenuine representation of $\tilde{H}$ by composing it with the canonical projection $p_m : \tilde{G}_m \rightarrow G_m$. In particular, for an upper parabolic subgroup $P$, we view the modulus character $\delta_P$ as a character on $\tilde{P}$ in this way.

Let $A_m^\circ$ be the subgroup of $A_m$ consisting of diagonal matrices $\text{diag}(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m)$ such that

$$\begin{align*}
a_1 a_2^{-1}, a_3 a_4^{-1}, \ldots, a_{m-1} a_m^{-1} \text{ are squares, if } m = 2n \text{ is even,} \\\na_2 a_3^{-1}, a_4 a_5^{-1}, \ldots, a_{m-1} a_m^{-1} \text{ are squares, if } m = 2n + 1 \text{ is odd.}
\end{align*}$$

We put $\mathcal{I}_m = \mathcal{I}_m^{(m)}$, where $e(m) = 1$ or 2 accordingly as $m$ is odd or even. We remark that $\mathcal{I}_m$ is the center of $\tilde{G}_m$. We set $\mu_\psi(a) = \gamma(\psi_a) / \gamma(\psi)$ for $a \in F^\times$, where $\psi_a(x) = \psi(ax)$ and $\gamma(\psi)$ is the Weil representation associated to $\psi$. We recall that

$$\mu_\psi(ab) = \mu_\psi(a)\mu_\psi(b)(a, b), \quad \mu_\psi(ab^2) = \mu_\psi(a) \text{ for } a, b \in F^\times.$$ 

These properties allow us to define a genuine character $\omega_\psi$ of $A_m^\circ$ by

$$\omega_\psi(\xi s(a)) = \begin{cases} 
\xi \mu_\psi^{-1}(a_m) \mu_\psi^{-1}(a_{m-2}) \cdots \mu_\psi^{-1}(a_2), & \text{if } m = 2n \text{ is even,} \\
\xi \mu_\psi^{-1}(a_m) \mu_\psi^{-1}(a_{m-2}) \cdots \mu_\psi^{-1}(a_3), & \text{if } m = 2n + 1 \text{ is odd.}
\end{cases}$$

The exceptional representation $\theta_\psi$ of Kazhdan and Patterson is defined to be the unique irreducible quotient of the induced representation $\text{Ind}_{\tilde{G}_m/\tilde{T}_m N_m}(\omega_\psi \otimes \delta_B^{1/4})$ [54, section 2.1], where the induction is normalized in order that $\text{Ind}_{\tilde{G}_m/\tilde{T}_m N_m}(\omega_\psi \otimes \delta_B^{1/4})$ is unitarizable provided that $\omega_\psi \otimes \delta_B^{1/4}$. The representation $\theta_\psi$ is isomorphic to the unique irreducible subrepresentation of $\text{Ind}_{\tilde{G}_m/\tilde{T}_m N_m}(\omega_\psi \otimes \delta_B^{-1/4})$ [58, section 1E].

For each character $\varphi$ of $F^\times$, we define a genuine character $\xi_\varphi^\psi$ of $\mathcal{I}_m$ by

$$\xi_\varphi^\psi\left(\xi s \begin{pmatrix} z & \cdots & z \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ z & \cdots & z \end{pmatrix}\right) = \xi \varphi(z) \mu_\psi^n(z)$$

for $\xi \in \{\pm 1\}$ and $z \in F^{\times(e(m))}$ with $m = 2n$ even or $m = 2n + 1$ odd. We extend $\xi_\varphi^\psi$ to the representation $\theta_\psi^{m-1} \boxtimes \xi_\varphi^\psi$ of the semidirect product $(\tilde{G}_{m-1} \times \mathcal{I}_m) \ltimes U_m$ by letting $\tilde{G}_{m-1} \times \mathcal{I}_m$ act by $\theta_\psi^{m-1} \boxtimes \xi_\varphi^\psi$ and letting $U_m$ act trivially. We consider
the normalized induced representation

\[ I_\varphi(s, \varphi) = \text{Ind}_{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_m}^{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_m} (\varphi \otimes \delta_{m-1}/4 \cdot \delta_{m-1}) \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_m \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_m \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_m. \]

We present the involution \( g \mapsto g' \) of \( G_m \) defined by \( g' = w_m g^{-1} w_m \), where

\[ w_m := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \]

is the long Weyl element. Kable and Yamana [58, Proposition 1.3] extend the automorphism of \( G_m \) to a lift \( \tilde{g} \mapsto \tilde{g}' \) of \( \tilde{G}_m \) satisfying

\[ \iota(\tilde{g}) = \tilde{g}' \quad \text{and} \quad \iota(\tilde{g}) = \tilde{g}' \quad \text{for all} \quad \tilde{g} \in \tilde{G}_m. \]

for all \( a = \text{diag}(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m) \in A_m, \tilde{z} \in \tilde{Z}_m, \tilde{g} \in \tilde{G}_m \), and \( n \in N_m \). Furthermore, if \( h : K_m \to \tilde{G}_m \) is a homomorphism, then \( h \) is compatible with the involution \( \iota \), that is to say, \( h(\iota(k)) = \iota(h(k)) \) for all \( k \in K_m \). We define the normalized operator \( N(s, \varphi, \psi) : I_\varphi(s, \varphi) \to I_{\varphi}(-s, \varphi^{-1}) \) by

\[ N(s, \varphi, \psi)(\tilde{g}) := \gamma(s, \varphi^{-2}, \psi) \cdot [M(s, \varphi) f(s)](\tilde{g}), \]

where the unnormalized intertwining operator is given for \( \text{Re}(s) > 0 \) by the integral

\[ [M(s, \varphi) f(s)](\tilde{g}) := \int_{F_{m-1}} f(s) \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ I_{m-1} \end{array} \right) s \left( \begin{array}{c} x \\ I_{m-1} \end{array} \right) d\tilde{x} \]

and by meromorphic continuation otherwise for \( f(s) \in I_\varphi(s, \varphi) \).

A \( \tilde{K}_m \)-finite function \( f : \mathbb{C} \times \tilde{G}_m \to \mathbb{C} \) is called a section if the mapping \( \tilde{g} \mapsto f(s, \tilde{g}) \) belongs to \( I_\varphi(s, \varphi) \) for all \( s \). A section \( f(s) \in I_\varphi(s, \varphi) \) is said to be a standard (flat) section if its restriction to \( \tilde{K}_m \) is independent of \( s \). We denote by \( V_{\text{std}}(s, \varphi, \psi) \) the space of standard sections. The space of holomorphic sections is defined by \( V_{\text{hol}}(s, \varphi, \psi) := \mathbb{C}[q^{s/4}] \otimes V_{\text{std}}(s, \varphi, \psi) \). The elements of \( V_{\text{rat}}(s, \varphi, \psi) := \mathbb{C}(q^{s/4}) \otimes V_{\text{std}}(s, \varphi, \psi) \) are said to be rational sections. The space of good sections \( V_{\text{good}}(2s - 1, \varphi, \psi) \) in the sense of Piatetski–Shapiro and Rallis is defined to consist of the following:

(i) \( V_{\text{hol}}(2s - 1, \varphi, \psi) \),

(ii) \( N(1 - 2s, \varphi^{-1}, \psi^{-1}) V_{\text{hol}}(1 - 2s, \varphi^{-1}, \psi^{-1}) \).

The normalized operator stabilizes the good section in the sense of

\[ N(2s - 1, \varphi, \psi) \mid V_{\text{good}}(2s - 1, \varphi, \psi) \subseteq V_{\text{good}}(1 - 2s, \varphi^{-1}, \psi^{-1}) \]

(cf. [58, Lemma 3.5]), so this is indeed a good family of sections for normalized intertwining operator. The normalized \( \kappa(K_1(\varphi^s)) \)-invariant section (a test function) \( f_{K_1(\varphi^s)}^{(2s-1)} \) is given in the following fashion (cf. [37, proof of Lemma 2.2]):

(i) When \( \pi \) is ramified \( (c > 0) \),

\[ f_{K_1(\varphi^s)}^{(2s-1)}(\tilde{g}) = \begin{cases} \tilde{z}^{s_{m-1}}(\tilde{z}) \delta_{p_{m-1}}^{s_{m-1}}(\tilde{p}) & \text{if } \tilde{g} = \tilde{z} \tilde{p} k, \quad \tilde{z} \in \tilde{Z}_m, \tilde{p} \in \tilde{P}_m, \quad k \in \kappa(K_1(\varphi^s)), \\ 0 & \text{if } \tilde{g} \notin \tilde{Z}_m \tilde{P}_m \kappa(K_1(\varphi^s)). \end{cases} \]
(ii) When \( \pi \) is unramified (\( c = 0 \)),

\[
f_{(2s-1)}^{K_m}(\bar{g}) = \begin{cases} 
L(m, \omega_\pi^2, \psi) \delta_{\mu, \pi}^{2s-1}(\bar{g}) & \text{if } \bar{g} = P \bar{p} k, \quad \bar{z} \in \bar{\mathcal{X}}_m, \bar{p} \in \bar{P}_m, k \in \kappa(K_m), \\
0 & \text{if } \bar{g} \notin \bar{\mathcal{X}}_m \bar{P}_m \kappa(K_m).
\end{cases}
\]

The following lemma is briefly mentioned in the study of unramified calculation [58, section 3H]. We take this occasion to refine this matter thoroughly.

**Lemma 6.1.** \( f_{(2s-1)}^{K_1} \) is a good section.

**Proof.** The unitary hypothesis on \( \omega_\pi \) does not regulate the generality. An arbitrary character \( \omega_\pi \) is of the form \( \omega \cdot \pi \nu t \), where \( t \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( \omega \cdot \pi \) is a unitary character. Since \( I_{\rho}(2s - 1 + 4t \omega \cdot \pi, \omega \cdot \pi^{-1} - \nu - t) \approx I_{\rho}(2s - 1, \omega \cdot \pi^{-1} \nu - t) \), we enforce that \( \omega \pi \) is unitary at the compensation of shifting \( s \) and twisting \( \pi \) by unramified character. With \( \pi \) unramified, we have

\[
L(m, \omega_\pi^2, \psi) \frac{\zeta \zeta^{-1}}{2s+1} \frac{\psi}{4} P_{m-1,1}(\tilde{p}) \psi (\tilde{p}) if \tilde{g} = \tilde{z} \tilde{p} k, \quad \tilde{z} \in \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_m, \tilde{p} \in \tilde{P}_m, k \in \kappa(K_m),
\]

\[
0 if \tilde{g} \notin \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_m \tilde{P}_m \kappa(K_m).
\]

In particular, \( L(m, \omega_\pi^2, \psi) f_{(2s-1)}^{K_m} \) is a good section (cf. [56, Proposition 3.1.(5)]), because \( L(m, \omega_\pi^2, \psi) f_{(2s-1)}^{K_m} \) is holomorphic for \( \text{Re}(s) > 0 \) and \( L(m - m, \omega_\pi^2, \psi) f_{(2s-1)}^{K_m} \) is holomorphic for \( \text{Re}(s) < 1/2 \). We apply [58, Proposition 3.11.(4)] to arrive at the conclusion. When \( \pi \) is ramified, \( f_{(2s-1)}^{K_1} \) is just a standard section. \( \square \)

We define the degenerate character of \( N_m \) by

\[
\psi_e(n) = \psi(n_{1,2} + n_{3,4} + \cdots + n_{m-1,m}) \quad \psi_o(n) = \psi(n_{2,3} + n_{4,5} + \cdots + n_{m-2,m-1})
\]

when \( m = 2n \) is even. When \( m = 2n + 1 \) is odd, we define the degenerate character of \( N_m \) by

\[
\psi_e(n) = \psi(n_{2,3} + n_{4,5} + \cdots + n_{m-1,m}) \quad \psi_o(n) = \psi(n_{1,2} + n_{3,4} + \cdots + n_{m-2,m-1}).
\]

The unique \( \psi_e^{-1} \)-semi-Whittaker functional corresponds to a \( \tilde{G}_m \)-intertwining embedding \( \lambda : V_{\theta_{\psi} \pi} \rightarrow \text{Ind}_{N_m}^{\tilde{G}_m} (\psi_e^{-1}) \) satisfying

\[
\lambda \left[ \delta_{\psi} \psi \xi \psi \xi^{-1}(n) \psi \right] = \xi \psi_e^{-1}(n) \lambda \left[ \delta_{\psi} \psi \xi \psi \xi^{-1}(n) \psi \right]
\]

for \( n \in N_m, \bar{g} \in \bar{G}_m, \xi \in \{ \pm 1 \} \), and \( \psi \in V_{\psi_o}[54, Proposition 2.5] \). Then, we obtain the semi-Whittaker model \( \text{W}_e(\delta_{\psi} \psi \xi \psi \xi^{-1}) \) by setting \( (\psi \xi \psi \xi^{-1})_{\psi \xi \psi \xi^{-1}} := \lambda \left[ \delta_{\psi} \psi \xi \psi \xi^{-1}(n) \psi \right] \) for \( \psi \in V_{\psi_o} \). For convenience, we will suppress the subscript \( \psi \).

For \( W_\pi \in \text{W}(\psi, \xi \psi \xi^{-1}) \), \( W_{\psi_o} \in \text{W}(\psi \xi \psi \xi^{-1}) \), and \( f_{(2s-1)} \in \text{V}_{\text{good}}(2s - 1, \omega_\pi^{-1}, \psi) \), we define the local Bump–Ginzburg integral by

\[
Z(W_\pi, W_{\psi_o}, f_{(2s-1)}) = \int_{\mathcal{X}_m N_m \backslash G_m} W_\pi(g) W_{\psi_o}(\xi \psi \xi^{-1}(n) \psi) f_{(2s-1)}(n) dg.
\]

The integral converges absolutely for \( \text{Re}(s) \gg 0 \). It is noteworthy that the actual choice of the section \( \xi \psi \xi^{-1}(n) \psi \) above does not matter, because \( W_{\psi_o} \xi \psi \xi^{-1} \) and \( f_{(2s-1)} \) are both genuine. Hence, we omit it from the notation most of the time. Let \( I(\pi, \text{Sym}^2) \) be the subspace of \( \mathbb{C}(q^{-s/2}) \) spanned by local integrals \( Z(W_\pi, W_{\psi_o}, f_{(2s-1)}), \) where \( W_\pi \in \text{W}(\pi, \psi) \), \( W_{\psi_o} \in \text{W}(\psi \xi \psi \xi^{-1}) \), and \( f_{(2s-1)} \in \text{V}_{\text{good}}(2s - 1, \omega_\pi^{-1}, \psi) \). Each \( Z(W_\pi, W_{\psi_o}, f_{(2s-1)}) \) is a rational function of \( q^{-s/2} \) and hence admits a meromorphic continuation to all of \( \mathbb{C} \). The space \( I(\pi, \text{Sym}^2) \) is a \( \mathbb{C}[q^{\pm s/2}] \)-ideal containing 1. There exists a normalized generator of
the form $P(q^{-s/2})^{-1}$ with $P(X) \in \mathbb{C}[X]$ and $P(0) = 1$ so that $I(\pi, \text{Sym}^2) = \langle P(q^{-s/2})^{-1} \rangle$. We define the local symmetric square $L$-functions by

$$L(s, \pi, \text{Sym}^2) = \frac{1}{P(q^{-s/2})}.$$ 

As in [58, p. 244], we expect that $P(q^{-s/2})$ is a polynomial of $q^{-s}$.

Let $[\alpha_i]_{i=1}^r$ denote the Langlands parameter of $\pi$. Combining Proposition 3.1 with Proposition 5.1, the formal symmetric square $L$-factor can be paraphrased as

$$\mathcal{L}(s, \pi, \text{Sym}^2) = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq r} (1 - \alpha_i(\varpi)\alpha_j(\varpi)q^{-s})^{-1}.$$ 

**Remark 6.2.** At this moment, the equality $\mathcal{L}(s, \pi, \text{Sym}^2) = L(s, \pi, \text{Sym}^2)$ is not known but for $G_2$ [33]. To get around this, we obtain the “formal symmetric square $L$-factor” $\mathcal{L}(s, \pi, \text{Sym}^2)$, which is enough at least for describing the main result of our interest.

When $m = 2n$ is even, we take the Haar measure on $Z_m^2$ so that we assign the volume of $Z_m^2 \cap K_1(\mathfrak{p}^r)$ to 1. The goal is to determine the triple of test vectors, which gives rise to the formal $L$-factors $\mathcal{L}(s, \pi, \text{Sym}^2)$.

**Theorem 6.3.** Let $\pi \in A_F(m)$ be a generic representation. We set $c = c(\pi)$. Then, we have

$$\mathcal{L}(s, \pi, \text{Sym}^2) = Z(W^o_{\pi}, W^o_{\varphi_m}, f_{K_1(\mathfrak{p}^r)}).$$

(6.1)

**Proof.** Although the proof presented seems to be well known, for lack of a precise reference apart from unramified cases [58, section 3H], we provide all the detailed accounts. Since the integrand is left $N_m$-invariant and right $K_1(\mathfrak{p}^r)$-invariant, we have

$$Z(W^o_{\pi}, W^o_{\varphi_m}, f_{K_1(\mathfrak{p}^r)}) = \int_{N_m \backslash \mathbb{P}_m} W_{\pi}(p)W^o_{\varphi_m}(p)f_{K_1(\mathfrak{p}^r)}(p)|\det(p)|^{-1} dp$$

$$= \int_{N_m \backslash \mathbb{P}_m} W_{\pi}(g)W^o_{\varphi_m}(g)|\det(g)|^{2s+1}dg,$$

(6.2)

taking into account the support of $f_{K_1(\mathfrak{p}^r)}$ inside $\mathcal{Z}_m \mathcal{P}_m K_1(\mathfrak{p}^r)$. In the aspect of Iwasawa decomposition, this can be expressed as

$$Z(W^o_{\pi}, W^o_{\varphi_m}, f_{K_1(\mathfrak{p}^r)}) = \int_{A_m} W^o_{\pi}(a)W^o_{\varphi_m}(a)|\det(a)|^{2s+1}da.$$

In view of Theorem 2.2 coupled with [10, p. 170], we may infer that

$$Z(W^o_{\pi}, W^o_{\varphi_m}, f_{K_1(\mathfrak{p}^r)})$$

$$= \int_{A_r} W^o_{\pi_{\varphi_0}}(a')v^{-\frac{m-r}{2}}(a')1_{\omega}(a_r)\delta^\frac{1}{4}_{B_{m-r}}\left(a'\right)^{-1}\delta^\frac{1}{4}_{B_{m-r}}\left(a'\right)\frac{1}{2}(a')v^{-\frac{r}{2}}(a_r)\delta^{-\frac{1}{4}}_{B_{r}}(a')v^{-\frac{r}{2}}(a_r)\delta^{-\frac{1}{4}}_{B_{r}}(a')v^{-\frac{r}{2}}(a_r)\delta^{-\frac{1}{4}}_{B_{r}}(a')v^{-\frac{r}{2}}(a_r)\delta^{-\frac{1}{4}}_{B_{r}}(a')v^{-\frac{r}{2}}(a_r)\delta^{-\frac{1}{4}}_{B_{r}}(a')v^{-\frac{r}{2}}(a_r)\delta^{-\frac{1}{4}}_{B_{r}},$$

where $a' = (\alpha', a_r)$. For each $\lambda = (\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$, we write $a'_\lambda = \text{diag}(\varpi^{\lambda_1}, ..., \varpi^{\lambda_r}) = (a''_\lambda, a_r)$. We call $\lambda$ even if all components of $\lambda_i$ are even and we let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_r)$ denote the Langlands parameter of $\pi$. Then, the integral is equal
to
\[
Z(W^o_{\pi}, W^o_{\psi}, f^{K_1}(\psi^1)) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^r \text{ even}} \frac{1}{\lambda_1 \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_r \geq 0} \frac{|\det(a'_{\lambda})|^{2s+1}}{\mathcal{B}_{r-1}(a''_{\lambda})^{\psi^{-1}}(\alpha)\mathcal{B}_{r}^{\psi^{-1}}(a''_{\lambda})},
\]
where we use Shintani formula and \( s_{\lambda} \) denotes the Schur polynomial defined in [8, Lemma 3.1]. Observing that \( \delta_{B_\psi} = \delta_{B_{\psi^{-1}}} \delta_{P_{r-1,1}} \), this clearly justifies that
\[
Z(W^o_{\pi}, W^o_{\psi}, f^{K_1}(\psi^1)) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^r \text{ even}} \frac{1}{\lambda_1 \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_r \geq 0} \frac{|\det(a'_{\lambda})|^{2s+1}}{\mathcal{B}_{r}(a'_{\lambda})^\psi}.\]
The remainder of the proof continues as in [10, Theorem 4.1], [54, Proposition 3.16], and [37, Proposition 3.4].

The equality of \( \mathcal{L}(s, \pi_{ur}, \text{Sym}^2) \) and \( L(s, \pi, \text{Sym}^2) \) fails in general.

**Corollary 6.4.** We have
\[
\mathcal{L}(s, \pi_{ur}, \text{Sym}^2) = P(q^{-s/2})L(s, \pi, \text{Sym}^2)
\]
for a polynomial \( P(X) \in \mathbb{C}[X] \) satisfying \( P(0) = 1 \).

Let us now turn our attention to \( G_m \)-invariant trilinear forms and Bump–Ginzburg period integrals.

**Proposition 6.5.** [58, Theorem 2.14.(2)] Let \( \pi \in A_F(m) \) be a unitary generic representation. For any \( W_{\pi} \in \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi), W_{\psi}^{\epsilon} \in \mathcal{W}^{e}(\psi_{\epsilon}^{m}, \psi_{\epsilon}^{-1}) \), and \( f(1) \in V_G^{\text{good}}(1, \omega^{-1}_\pi, \psi) \), the integral
\[
T(W_{\pi}, W_{\psi}^{\epsilon}, f(1)) := \int_{X_m M_n \backslash G_m} W_{\pi}(g)W_{\psi}^{\epsilon}(g)f(1)(g)dg
\]
converges absolutely and defines a \( G_m \)-trilinear functional on the space \( \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi) \otimes \mathcal{W}^{e}(\psi_{\epsilon}^{m}, \psi_{\epsilon}^{-1}) \otimes V_G^{\text{good}}(1, \omega^{-1}_\pi, \psi) \).

The \( G_m \)-trilinear form \( T(W_{\pi}, W_{\psi}^{\epsilon}, f(1)) \) is not actually vanished.

**Theorem 6.6.** Let \( \pi \in A_F(m) \) be a unitary generic representation. We set \( c = c(\pi) \). Then, we have
\[
\int_{X_m M_n \backslash G_m} W_{\pi}(g)W_{\psi}^{\epsilon}(g)f^{K_1}(\psi^1)(g)dg = \mathcal{L}(1, \pi_{ur}, \text{Sym}^2).\]

**Proof.** With help of the identity \( L(s, \pi_{ur} \times \pi_{ur}) = L(s, \pi_{ur}, \wedge^2)L(s, \pi_{ur}, \text{Sym}^2) \) along with [30, Proposition 3.17], \( L(s, \pi_{ur}, \text{Sym}^2) \) does not afford any poles at \( s = 1 \). This can be achieved by evaluating at \( s = 1 \) on the both sides of (6.1).

We say that a representation \( \pi \) of \( G_m \) is \( \delta \)-distinguished, if \( \text{Hom}_{G_m}(\pi \otimes \psi_{\epsilon}^{m} \otimes \psi_{\epsilon}^{-1}, 1_{G_m}) \neq 0 \).

**Proposition 6.7.** Let \( \pi \in A_F(m) \) be a unitary representation. Then,
\[
\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}_{G_m}(\pi \otimes \psi_{\epsilon}^{m} \otimes 1_{G_m}, 1_{G_m}) \leq 1.
\]
The equality holds when \( \pi \) is generic. In particular, if \( \pi \) is \( \delta \)-distinguished, then \( (W_{\pi}, W_{\psi}^{e}, f(1)) \mapsto T(W_{\pi}, W_{\psi}^{e}, f(1)) \) gives a nontrivial trilinear form belonging to \( \text{Hom}_{G_m}(\pi \otimes \psi_{\epsilon}^{m} \otimes 1_{G_m}, 1_{G_m}) \).
Proof. The first assertion is simply a statement from [58, Theorem 3.8.(5)]. In light of Theorem 6.6, the injection map (see [58, (2.3)])

\[
\text{Hom}_{G_m}(\pi \otimes \mathcal{O}_m^\psi \otimes \mathcal{O}_m^{-1}, 1_{G_m}) \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_{G_m}(\pi \otimes \mathcal{O}_m^\psi \otimes I(1, \omega_2^{-1}), 1_{G_m})
\]

becomes the isomorphism

\[
\text{Hom}_{G_m}(\pi \otimes \mathcal{O}_m^\psi \otimes \mathcal{O}_m^{-1}, 1_{G_m}) \cong \text{Hom}_{G_m}(\pi \otimes \mathcal{O}_m^\psi \otimes I(1, \omega_2^{-1}), 1_{G_m}).
\]

Afterwards, \((W_\pi, W_e^\psi_\theta, f((1))) \mapsto T(W_\pi, W_e^\psi_\theta, f((1)))\) gives a nontrivial \(G_m\)-invariant trilinear form in the space \(\text{Hom}_{G_m}(\pi \otimes \mathcal{O}_m^\psi \otimes \mathcal{O}_m^{-1}, 1_{G_m})\). □

We close this section with two remarks, which were shortly mentioned in Section 1.

Remark 6.8. In principle, the Frobenius reciprocity (cf. [58, Proof of Theorem 2.14]) demonstrates the isomorphism of the space

\[
\text{Hom}_{G_m}(\pi |_{P_m} \otimes \mathcal{O}_m^\psi \otimes \Psi^+(\mathcal{O}_m^{-1}) \otimes \nu^{-3/4}, 1_{G_m}).
\]

Knowing that \(\psi^\psi_\theta\) affords Kirillov model \(\pi |_{P_m} \otimes \mathcal{O}_m^\psi \otimes \Psi^+(\mathcal{O}_m^{-1}) \otimes \nu^{-3/4}\), this isomorphism can be phrased in terms of integral representations. Performing the same steps repeatedly as in (6.2), the integration over \(K_n\) can be absorbed to get

\[
\int_{N_m \backslash G_m} W_\pi(p) W_e^\psi_\theta(p) K_1(f(p)) \left| \det(p) \right|^{-1} dp = \begin{cases} L(1, \pi, \text{Sym}^2) & \text{if } \pi \text{ is ramified,} \\ L(1, \pi, \text{Sym}^2) & \text{if } \pi \text{ is unramified,} \\ \frac{L(m, \omega_2^2)}{L(1, \pi, \text{Sym}^2)} & \end{cases}
\]

which is easily seen to be equal to

\[
\int_{N_{m-1} \backslash G_{m-1}} W_\pi^g \left( \begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) W_e^\psi_\theta \left( \begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) (\mathcal{O}_m^{-1} \otimes \nu^{1/2})(g) \nu^{-3/4}(g) dg.
\]

The last integral is evidently an element of \(\text{Hom}_{P_m}(\pi |_{P_m} \otimes \mathcal{O}_m^\psi \otimes \Psi^+(\mathcal{O}_m^{-1}) \otimes \nu^{-3/4}, 1_{G_m})\) and the expression (6.3) is parallel to what we have gone through before: Theorems 3.5, 4.4, 5.5, and 5.12.

Remark 6.9. Let \(\pi \in A_f(m)\) be a generic representation, which is \(\varnothing\)-distinguished. By [36, Corollary 4.19], it follows that \(\pi\) is self-dual. Owing to Proposition 3.6, we find that \(L(s, \pi \times \pi)\) is holomorphic at \(s = 1\). At this point, we fail to formulate the agreement \(L(s, \pi, \text{Sym}^2) = L(s, \pi, \text{Sym}^2)\), which implies the identity

\[
L(s, \pi \times \pi) = L(s, \pi, \Lambda^2) L(s, \pi, \text{Sym}^2)
\]

with \(L(s, \pi, \text{Sym}^2)\) appearing in (5.3). Nevertheless, the statement has been recently confirmed for \(m = 2\) [33]. Taking it for granted, it can be seen that \(L(s, \pi, \text{Sym}^2)\) is holomorphic at \(s = 1\). Evaluating at \(s = 1\), both sides of (6.1) read

\[
\int \mathcal{F}_{mN_m \backslash G_m} W_\pi^g(g) W_e^\psi_\theta(g) K_1(f(p)) (g) dg = L(1, \pi, \text{Sym}^2).
\]
Any $\theta$-distinguished representation always satisfies $\omega_\pi^2 = 1_{F^\times}$ [36, section 4]. With this said, (6.3) is reshaped as follows:

$$\int_{N_m\backslash P_m} W_\pi^{e_\theta}(p) W_\pi^{e_\theta}(p)f^{K_1}(p)|\det(p)|^{-1}dp = \begin{cases} L(1, \pi_{\mu'}, \text{Sym}^2) & \text{if } \pi \text{ is ramified,} \\ L(1, \pi, \text{Sym}^2) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
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