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Monoclonal antibodies represent a major and increasingly important category of biotechnology products for the treatment of human diseases. The state-of-the-art of antibody technology has evolved to the point where therapeutic monoclonal antibodies, that are practically indistinguishable from antibodies induced in humans, are routinely generated. We depict how our science-based approach can be used to further improve the efficacy of antibody therapeutics, illustrated by the development of three monoclonal antibodies for various cancer indications: zanolimumab (directed against CD4), ofatumumab (directed against CD20) and zalutumumab (directed against epidermal growth factor receptor).
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1 Science-based discovery

Monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) have become a critical component of clinical treatment regimens for a variety of indications. Their application ranges from cancer, inflammation, cardiovascular diseases, and transplant rejection to infectious disease. Drugs like trastuzumab, rituximab and infliximab have demonstrated that mAbs can be used as highly specific therapeutics, able to elicit significant and prolonged clinical responses. Since the introduction of the first US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved therapeutic mAb (Orthoclone OKT3, muromonab-CD3) into the clinic, 21 more antibodies have been approved for use in humans, and many companies have antibody products well advanced in clinical development.

Even though mAbs have brought major advances to the clinical practice, it is clear that there remains room for improvement. Indeed, subsets of patients are not responding to initial antibody treatment, or become resistant to (re)treatment. Research on the identification of biomarkers that allow the prediction of responding patients has shown that besides target expression and state, biomarkers such as antibody-binding Fc receptors and immune-modulatory molecules also impact clinical outcome.

Moreover, antibodies can exert their action in a variety of ways, ranging from target modulation, neutralization of soluble targets (such as cytokines), disruption of ligand-receptor interactions and influencing cell signaling, to engagement of immune effector functions such as antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC) and complement-dependent cytotoxicity (CDC). The mechanisms of action of a therapeutic antibody strongly influence its application and clinical potential. Especially in oncology, it is observed that successful mAbs can exert multiple mechanisms of action. In cancer therapy, a therapeutic agent needs to (at least) control malignant cell growth, and preferably be able to kill and eradicate the target cells. mAbs have, in this respect, a double benefit: not only do
they have the ability to directly interfere with cell growth, they can also specifically activate the immune system to induce cell lysis or phagocytosis.

Applying this knowledge in the discovery of new therapeutic mAbs makes it possible to improve the panels of antibodies generated, and to select the best candidates for clinical development. At Genmab, we use this science-based approach to generate fully human antibodies for a variety of indications, with a strong focus on oncology. We describe here how this has resulted in the development of three mAbs that are now in Phase III clinical trials in various cancer indications: zanolimumab (directed against CD4), ofatumumab (directed against CD20) and zalutumumab (directed against epidermal growth factor receptor, EGFR).

2 Tackling immunogenicity

Like other therapeutic proteins, antibodies can be immunogenic, and the generation of anti-antibody responses may pose safety concerns. In addition, anti-antibody responses can influence pharmacokinetics, or reduce efficacy of the injected antibody through neutralization.

The first therapeutic mAbs were of mouse origin (indicated by the suffix -omab in INN nomenclature; see Fig. 1 for more explanation on antibody nomenclature), and frequently caused infusion reactions that could be as severe as anaphylactic responses [1]. To tackle this problem, molecular biological approaches were used to replace part of the rodent antibody sequence for human sequences [2, 3], resulting in chimeric or humanized molecules (suffixes –ximab and –zumab in INN nomenclature).

In contrast to human antibodies derived from other technologies such as phage display (when based on naïve libraries), antibodies from transgenic mice do not require any further engineering. Indeed, the available literature on the drug discovery of transgenic mouse-derived antibodies indicates that they typically move directly from lead selection into clinical development. In contrast, lead optimization of phage display-derived antibodies to improve affinity is often described as an integral part of their development (reviewed in [6]). As clinical experience with fully human antibodies derived from both these technologies is limited, it is important to consider the potential advantages and disadvantages of each approach.
currently unresolved whether the introduction of novel sequences during lead optimization impacts immunogenicity.

There are a number of factors that may influence the immunogenicity of a therapeutic protein. Not only structural properties of the protein itself (as discussed below), but also ‘external’ factors such as drug impurities, formulation, route of application, dose and length of treatment may influence immune responses to therapeutics [7]. In addition, patient characteristics, including genetic background, disease state and concomitant treatments, determine whether antibody responses are elicited.

The presence of so-called T helper cell epitopes in the therapeutic protein itself can contribute to its immunogenicity. In the generation of an antibody response, (foreign) proteins are taken up by antigen-presenting cells (APC), and processed intracellularly into small peptides that are exposed on the APC surface in the context of major histocompatibility complex class II molecules (MHC II, in humans also known as human leukocyte antigens, HLA). These peptides, or epitopes, are then recognized by T helper cells, which are activated to proliferate and differentiate. T helper cells in turn stimulate specific B cells to produce antibodies directed against the antibody drug to produce anti- stimulant specific B cells to produce antibodies differentiated. T helper cells in turn stimulated specific B cells to produce antibodies directed against the antibody drug to produce antibodies1. The MHC/HLA II locus is highly variable, and numerous MHC/HLA allotypes exist, which all have their own peptide specificities.

Classically, identification of T helper cell epitopes is performed by in vitro tests using human blood cells. Nowadays, more sophisticated in silico approaches exist, which are powerful tools to allow mapping of epitopes from virtually all HLA allotypes. In particular, structure-based methods have been found to reliably predict T helper epitopes [8]. We have used one such method, Epibase® [8], to investigate whether the expected low immunogenicity of fully human antibodies can be confirmed (Table 1). This method not only predicts T helper epitopes, but also indicates peptide affinities for HLA allotypes. A striking result from these analyses was that the numbers of predicted strong binding T helper epitopes of zanolimumab, ofatumumab, rituximab as well as zalutumumab were very low indeed. Direct comparison of, for instance, ofatumumab to rituximab, a chimeric CD20 antibody that has been on the market since 1997, revealed ofatumumab to contain at least four times less T helper epitopes (Table 1) [8]. We compared our antibodies not only to chimeric or humanized products, where large differences can be expected, but also head-to-head for zalutumumab and panitumumab. The latter is a fully human antibody against EGFR, derived from another transgenic mouse platform developed by Abgenix (now Amgen) [9]. Remarkably, two times more strong-binding epitopes for HLA DRB1 were found in panitumumab compared to zalutumumab (Table 1). As expression levels of HLA DR1 are (much) higher than those of DQ and DP, binding epitopes for DR1 molecules are considered to represent the most important differentiators in immunogenicity of proteins. The transgenic mouse platform (Xenomouse®) used to generate panitumumab and the UltiMAb® platform [10] employed to generate zanolimumab, zalutumumab and ofatumumab contain differences in V H, D- and J-gene repertoire in a distinct MHC background. In addition, the specific strain used to generate panitumumab did not contain a C γ 1 gene (and only contained the human C γ 2 gene instead). This could have contributed to the differences found.

The first data on immunogenicity in a clinical setting confirm that our human antibodies do not elicit strong immune responses. In a Phase II study in refractory cutaneous T cell lymphoma (CTCL), only 1 out of 47 patients receiving zanolimumab developed a titer of human anti-human antibodies that was marginally above background [11]. There was no indication that this human anti-human antibody response was neutralizing the effect of zanolimumab. In contrast, initial studies with chimeric CD4 antibodies in this indication [12] showed that already after a short-term treatment 2 out of 7 patients developed human anti-chimeric antibodies. The first results for ofatumumab showed that this molecule did not elicit human anti-human antibodies [13].

---

1 The anti-antibody responses in humans are abbreviated according to the type of molecule they are directed against: HAMA for human anti-murine antibodies, HACA for human anti-chimeric antibodies and HAHA for human anti-humanized or human anti-human antibodies.

| Target | Antibody       | DRB1 | DRB3/4 | DQ  | DP  |
|--------|----------------|------|--------|-----|-----|
| CD4    | Zanolimumab    | 7    | 1      | 3   | 1   |
|        | Chimeric Leu3a | 10   | 2      | 2   | 0   |
| CD20   | Ofatumumab     | 4    | 0      | 2   | 2   |
|        | Rituximab      | 16   | 2      | 4   | 1   |
| EGFR   | Zalutumumab    | 3    | 2      | 3   | 1   |
|        | Cetuximab      | 16   | 2      | 3   | 3   |
|        | Panitumumab    | 7    | 0      | 2   | 0   |

Table 1. Number of strong binding T helper epitopes (K d <100 nM, [8]) identified by Epibase present in CD4-binding antibodies Zanolimumab and chimeric Leu3a, CD20-binding antibodies ofatumumab and rituximab, and EGFR-binding antibodies zalutumumab, cetuximab and panitumumab. Results are split up per human leukocyte antigen class II type.
Another, very powerful, in silico approach to identify potential immunogenicity is the 'collier de perles' analysis and direct comparison of the nucleotide and amino acid sequences of the V domains of antibodies as provided by the IMTG database [14]. This approach provides a standard delimitation of the framework regions and complementarity determining regions (CDRs), and allows comparisons to the closest germline sequences of these regions. As an illustration of the usefulness of this approach, Magdelaine-Beuzelin et al. [14] analyzed a number of chimeric and humanized antibodies (cetuximab, rituximab, alemtuzumab, bevacizumab and trastuzumab). They described an expected low percentage of identity of chimeric antibodies to the most similar human germline sequence (55–80% identity). Remarkably, humanized antibodies fell in this same range, with 72–80% identity to human germline. Antibody responses have been reported to all chimeric and humanized antibodies currently in the clinic (for a comprehensive overview, see [8]). Although the incidence of such antibody responses has not been documented in all patient groups [for instance, anti-rituximab responses are readily found in autoimmune disease patients, but not in non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma (NHL) patients], identification of apparent deviations from germline sequences could aid in the design and perfection of therapeutic antibodies. We have screened zanolimumab, ofatumumab and zalutumumab against the IMTG human reference directory (Neijssen et al., manuscript in preparation), and observed that the VH andVk sequences of these three antibodies are remarkably close to germline. When comparing the V region sequences with the closest human sequences found, the percentage of identity ranged from 97% to 100%.

Overall, independent methods to examine immunogenicity of zanolimumab, ofatumumab and zalutumumab substantiate that the human Ig transgenic mouse platform generates fully human antibodies with favorably low immunogenicity profiles, which are expected to pose low safety risks in the clinic.

### 3 Zanolimumab: Changing CD4+ T cell activation and survival

The CD4 molecule is a single-chain transmembrane glycoprotein of 55 kDa that consists of four extracellular Ig-like domains and a short cytoplasmic tail. CD4 is mainly expressed on T helper cells and monocytes and serves as a co-receptor for the T cell receptor (TCR)/CD3 complex. The function of CD4 is to enhance antigen-mediated activation of T cells. This is achieved by stabilizing the adhesion between T cells and APCs (association of CD4 and MHC II/peptide complex) and enhancing signal transduction of the TCR/CD3 complex [15, 16]. With CD4 playing a key role in immunity, therapeutic research of CD4 mAbs was initially focused on inflammatory and (auto)immune diseases [17–20]. Our fully human CD4 mAb zanolimumab was assessed in Phase I/II clinical trials in rheumatoid arthritis and psoriasis. The predominant outcome of these studies was that zanolimumab was not only found safe and well tolerated, but most importantly, it induced a significant T cell depletion following repeat dosing [21]. Development of the antibody was discontinued in inflammatory indications as it did not show a benefit over approved drugs for these indications. However, the ability to particularly deplete memory type T cells [21, 22] suggested the potential of zanolimumab to treat T cell cancers (see below).

Zanolimumab (clone 6G5, [5]) was among the first fully human mAbs generated from human Ig Gm1 with favorable immunogenicity profiles.
### Table 2. Key results of clinical studies of zanolimumab, ofatumumab and zalutumumab

| Antibody/indication                  | Publication                        | Trial description                                                                 | Dose(s)                                                                 | Key results                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Zanolimumab**                      |                                    |                                                                                   |                                                                        |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| Cutaneous T-cell lymphoma (CTCL)     | Kim YH et al. [11]                 | Two Phase II, multi-center, prospective, open-label, uncontrolled trials to evaluate efficacy and safety in patients with treatment refractory CD4+ CTCL. | Seventeen weekly i.v. infusion of 280, 560, or 980 mg zanolimumab.     | Forty-seven patients were included in the trial. In the high-dose groups (560 and 980 mg), an objective response rate of 56% was obtained in mycosis fungoides patients with a median response of 81 weeks. Adverse effects (AEs) reported most frequently included low-grade infections and eczematous dermatitis. |
| Non-cutaneous T cell lymphoma        | D’Amore F et al. [70] (abstract)   | A open-label, exploratory trial to explore the efficacy in patients with biopsy proven CD4+ peripheral T cell lymphoma of non-cutaneous type who were treatment-refractory or had relapsed. | Twelve weekly i.v. infusions of 980 mg zanolimumab.                    | Twenty-one patients were included in the trial. Objective tumor response was obtained in 5 out of 21 patients (24%). AEs reported most frequently were rash not otherwise specified and pyrexia. No infections considered related to treatment were reported.                                                                                                                                   |
| **Ofatumumab**                       |                                    |                                                                                   |                                                                        |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| Chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL)   | Coiffier et al. [13]               | A Phase I/II multicenter, open-label, dose-escalating trial in patients with relapsed or refractory CLL. | Four weekly i.v. infusions of 1 × 100 mg/3 × 300 mg (cohort A), 1 × 300 mg/3 × 1000 mg (cohort B), or 1 × 500 mg/3 × 1000 mg (cohort C). | Thirty-three patients were included in the trial, 3 in cohort A and B, and 27 in cohort C. The maximum tolerated dose (MTD) was not reached. The majority of related AEs occurred at first infusion. Seventeen (51%) patients experienced infections, 88% of them of grade 1–2. One event of interstitial pneumonia was fatal. The response rate of cohort C was 90%.                                                                 |
| Follicular lymphoma (FL)             | Hagenbeek A et al. [71]            | A Phase I/II open-label, multicenter trial evaluating safety, efficacy, and pharmacokinetics (PK) in patients with relapsed or refractory FL grade 1–2. | Four weekly i.v. infusions of 300, 500, 700, or 1000 mg in a dose-escalating manner. | Forty patients were included in the trial. MTD was not reached. The majority of related AEs occurred at first infusion and was of grade 1–2. Eight related events were of grade 3. The best objective clinical response across dose groups was 43%. Median time to progression for all patients/responders was 8.8/32.6 months and median duration of response was 29.9 months at a median/maximum follow-up of 9.2/38.6 months. The median half-life across dose groups was 410 h after the fourth dose. |
transgenic mice. Biochemical analyses of the panel of antibodies from which zalutumab was selected showed the strength of the transgenic mouse platform, being able to generate highly specific antibodies with binding capacities (avidity) similar to those of 'classical' murine mAbs.

Preclinical studies in non-human primates gave the first indications for the CD4+ cell-depleting capacity of zalutumab [23]. Bolus injection of zalutumab in cynomolgus monkeys significantly depleted CD4+ cells from the blood, with recovery taking up to 160 days. A detailed study was initiated to elucidate the mechanism(s) responsible for the reduction of circulating CD4 cell numbers by zalutumab [22] (Fig. 2). Zalutumab strongly inhibited T cell activation through two distinct, complementary pathways. One of the earliest events in the TCR signaling cascade, the phosphorylation of the TCR chain, was inhibited by zalutumab. Interestingly, zalutumab binding activates signaling through the CD4-associated tyrosine kinase p56LCK. Herein, the antibody uncouples p56LCK from the TCR, which allows the kinase to transmit direct inhibitory signals through inhibitory adaptor molecules Dok-1 and SHIP-1.

In addition, Fc-dependent effector mechanisms were studied. Although zalutumab is of human IgG1 isotype, and is intrinsically capable of activating complement (as determined in plate-bound assays), the antibody did not bind or activate complement when bound to CD4 on primary T cells. In contrast, already at relatively low concentrations zalutumab induced potent NK cell-mediated ADC of primary CD4+ T cells. Here, CD45RO+ primary T cells proved more sensitive to zalutumab-induced ADC than naive CD45RA+ T cells. This correlated well with the in vivo findings in psoriasis patients, where subcutaneous infusions (once weekly for 4 weeks) resulted in a dose-dependent decrease in the total lymphocyte counts, mainly due to a reduction in CD4+ T cells in the memory cell subset (CD3+, CD4+, CD45RO+) [21].

Zalutumab also effectively induced CD4 down-modulation. This mechanism was found to require CD4 clustering, and to be dependent on the antibodies’ Fc region: whole antibody, but not F(ab’)2 fragments, mediated a dose-dependent CD4 down-regulation in the presence of monocytes.

Hence, zalutumab exerts its action through inhibition of CD4+ T cell signaling in concert with the induction of Fc-dependent ACC and CD4 down-modulation (Fig. 2). This mechanism of action profile, challenging CD4+ cells from three different angles, was recognized as being ideal for use in a setting where malignant CD4+ T cells pose a threat to patient survival. Such conditions are found in cutaneous T cell lymphoma (CTCL) as

![Figure 3](image-url) Clinical improvement of mycosis fungoides. Left panel shows baseline, right panel shows clinical response 4 weeks after start of zalutumab treatment. Adapted from [11].

---

**Table 2. Key results of clinical studies of zalutumab, ofatumumab and zalutumab**

| Antibody/indication | Publication | Trial description | Dose(s) | Key results |
|----------------------|------------|-------------------|---------|-------------|
| Zalutumab            | Bastholt L et al. [64] | A Phase I/II open-label, multicenter trial evaluating safety, tolerability, PK, and efficacy in patients with SCCHN | A single i.v. infusion of HuMax-EGFR at doses of 0.15, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, or 8 mg/kg i.v. (dose-escalating) followed by four weekly i.v. infusions at the same doses (repeat dose extension). | Twenty-eight patients were included in the trial. MTD was not reached. The most frequently reported AE was rash (duration: a few days to 2 months). All but one event were of grade 1–2 and a dose-dependent relationship was indicated. In the two highest dose groups, 7 of 11 patients obtained a partial response (PR) or stable disease (SD) and 9 patients obtained metabolic PR or SD. |
well as non-cutaneous T cell lymphoma. CTCL covers a range of diseases, including mycosis fungoides (MF) and Sézary syndrome, characterized by infiltration of malignant T cells that express CD4 into the skin. The disease is incurable except at a very early stage and is life threatening in the advanced stages. Histologically, MF is characterized by the presence of Pautrier microabsesses, in which malignant T cells accumulate in close proximity to APCs [24–26]. It is believed that this close interaction results in chronic stimulation and growth of malignant cells.

Phase II studies in early and late stage CTCL showed that zanolimumab induced a marked clinical effect, with early, high and durable responses [11] (summarized in Table 2; Fig. 3). At the high dose levels, ten MF patients had objective responses lasting between 8 and 91 weeks, with median response durations of 81 weeks (20.3 months). A Phase III pivotal trial is currently ongoing (Table 3), of which the first interim results indicates a 42% objective response rate in the two highest dose groups [27].

Overall, our approach shows that CD4 targeting can be extended well beyond (auto)immune indications. By combining effective engagement of ADCC with direct effects on CD4 expression and signaling, we generated a promising new antibody drug for the treatment of CD4+ cell malignancies. We are currently not only developing this antibody for treatment of CTCL, but are also exploring its potential in peripheral T cell lymphomas (Table 3).

Table 3. Clinical development in cancer indications of zanolimumab, ofatumumab and zalutumumab

| Zanolimumab | Previous studies |
|-------------|-----------------|
| Zanolimumab in early stage CTCL | CTCL Phase II |
| Zanolimumab in late stage CTCL | CTCL Phase II |
| Zanolimumab in refractory and relapsed non-CTCL | Non-CTCL Phase II |

| Ongoing studies |
|----------------|
| Zanolimumab in combination with CHOP chemotherapy in non-CTCL | Non-CTCL Phase II combination study |
| Zanolimumab in CTCL refractory to standard therapy | CTCL Phase III pivotal study |

| Ofatumumabb) |
|----------------|
| Previous studies |
| Ofatumumab in relapsed or refractory follicular NHL | Follicular NHL Phase I/II |
| Ofatumumab in relapsed CLL | CLL Phase I/II |

| Ongoing studies |
|----------------|
| Ofatumumab in follicular NHL refractory to rituximab therapies | Follicular NHL Phase III pivotal study |
| Ofatumumab in combination with CHOP | Follicular NHL Phase II combination study |
| Ofatumumab in refractory CLL | CLL Phase III pivotal study |
| Ofatumumab in combination with fludarabine and cyclophosphamide | CLL Phase II combination study |
| Ofatumumab in relapsed DLBCL | DLBCL Phase II study |

| Zalutumumab |
|----------------|
| Previous studies |
| Zalutumumab in patients with recurrent or metastatic SCCHN | SCCHN Phase I/II |

| Ongoing studies |
|----------------|
| Zalutumumab in combination with chemotherapy and radiotherapy in head and neck cancer | SCCHN Phase I/II combination study |
| Zalutumumab in patients with non-curable head and neck cancer | SCCHN Phase III pivotal study |
| DAHANCA 19: The importance of the EGFR-inhibitor zalutumumab for the outcome after curative radiotherapy for SCCHN | SCCHN Phase III study |
| Zalutumumab in combination with chemo-radiation in lung cancer | NSCLC Phase I/II combination study |
| Zalutumumab in non-curable patients with SCCHN | SCCHN Phase II study |
| Zalutumumab with or without irinotecan chemotherapy in cetuximab-refractory colorectal cancer | CRC Phase I/II combination study |

a) Status in May 2008. For the most current information on clinical development of Zanolimumab, ofatumumab and zalutumumab, see www.genmab.com and www.clinicaltrials.gov. CTCL, cutaneous T cell lymphoma; CLL, chronic lymphocytic leukemia; NHL, non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma; DLBCL, diffuse large B cell lymphoma; SCCHN, squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck; CRC, colorectal cancer; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer.
b) For ofatumumab, only studies in cancer indications are listed here. Ofatumumab is also in clinical development in rheumatoid arthritis and multiple sclerosis.
4 Ofatumumab: Efficient inducer of CDC through a unique binding epitope

CD20 is arguably one of the best-validated targets for antibody therapy [28, 29]. On normal cells, CD20 is first expressed at the pre-B cell stage, before IgM is expressed on the cell surface (Fig. 4). The expression of CD20 continues throughout B cell maturation until the plasmacytoid immunoblast phase, yet CD20 is not expressed on lymphoid stem cells or plasma cells. In B cell malignancies, like B cell NHL, CD20 is highly expressed. Besides being an apparent target in oncology, CD20 targeting is now also applied in autoimmune diseases, such as rheumatoid arthritis.

CD20 represents a non-glycosylated, 297-amino acid (33–37 kDa) phosphoprotein that is predicted to span the plasma membrane four times [30]. Both the N and C termini of the protein are located within the cytoplasm. A large loop of 44 amino acids and a much smaller loop of 7 amino acids are accessible from the extracellular milieu.

The function of CD20 is not known. It has been suggested to play a role in B cell activation, the regulation of B cell growth, and transmembrane calcium flux. The structure of CD20 implies that it either forms an ion channel or is associated with an ion channel [31]. Interestingly, upon cross-linking induced by a number of CD20 antibodies, CD20 translocates to cholesterol- and sphingolipid-rich microdomains, so-called ‘lipid rafts’ [32]. A central feature of these rafts is their ability to selectively include or exclude membrane proteins. Translocation into lipid rafts is an extremely rapid process, and is directly followed by phosphorylation of typical raft proteins like the protein tyrosine kinase Lyn, which initiates signaling cascades. This indicates that translocation of CD20 into lipid rafts is necessary to trigger signaling upon CD20 cross-linking.

One of the first chimeric antibodies to enter the clinic, rituximab [brand names Rituxan (USA) and MabThera (Europe)], has validated CD20 as a prominent therapeutic target. Rituximab is now used routinely in the treatment of NHL, either as a single agent or (most often) in combination with chemotherapy. Yet, in other B cell malignancies such as chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), rituximab appears far less effective.

Ofatumumab (clone 2F2, IgG1 κ) was generated amongst a panel of fully human CD20 mAbs [33]. Characterization of this panel and comparison to rituximab identified ofatumumab as an extremely potent inducer of CDC, in addition to it being able to effectively induce ADCC (Fig. 2) [33, 34]. The dis-
tinction of ofatumumab with regard to CDC induction was found to be linked to binding to a unique epitope on CD20 [35]. Epitope mapping studies using a mutagenesis approach revealed that, whereas the epitope for rituximab lies entirely within the larger of the two extracellular loops [36, 37], ofatumumab binds to a motif that includes the small extracellular loop (Fig. 5) [35]. With this small loop being located close to the cell membrane, it is hypothesized that ofatumumab binding allows a very efficient localization of complement on the cell surface. Indeed, increased C1q binding, leading to more C4c and C3b fixation, and enhanced CDC activity have been observed with ofatumumab compared to rituximab [33, 34, 38]. For instance, Bmax for binding of C4c to ofatumumab-coated Daudi cells is 3507 (mean fluorescence intensity, measured in FACS analysis) versus 2410 for rituximab, with corresponding EC50 values of 0.05 μg/mL versus 0.42 μg/mL [33].

Ofatumumab not only induced CDC at low antibody concentrations, it was also able to lyse cells with low levels of CD20 expression and those with high expression of CDC-defense molecules CD55 and CD59. Using a panel of CD20-transduced human CEM T cell clones expressing varying numbers of CD20 molecules, ofatumumab was found to lyse cells with as few as 4000 CD20 molecules at their cell surface (Fig. 6A). CD20-low-expressing, rituximab-resistant CLL cells were also efficiently lysed by ofatumumab (Fig. 6B) [33]. Pharmacokinetic analyses in SCID mice (in which no antibody response against the injected antibody can be mounted) indicated expected serum half-life times in vivo: 19 ± 0.4 days for ofatumumab, 15 ± 0.8 days for rituximab2.

The pharmacological results for ofatumumab indicated its clinical potential: being an effective killer of (malignant) B cells, ofatumumab could be efficacious as monotherapy, in addition to having an expected enhanced efficacy in combination with other agents. Patients with an existing or acquired resistance to other (CD20 directed) therapies might benefit from a more potent ofatumumab-based therapy. Interestingly, acquired resistance to rituximab was recently found to be associated with down-regulation of CD20 expression and up-regulated expression levels of complement-regulatory proteins.

2 In addition to rituximab, two other CD20-antibodies have been FDA-approved for human use: Bexxar (tositumomab and 131I-labeled tositumomab) and Zevalin (90Y- or 111In-labeled ibritumomab tiuxetan). These antibodies are used in a different therapeutic setting, namely as radiolabeled immunotherapeutics, which benefits from the relatively short half-life of mouse antibodies in humans. Whereas Zevalin is based on the same mouse antibody parent as rituximab (i.e., C2B6), Bexxar is based on B1, an antibody with an intrinsic activity that is entirely different from ofatumumab and rituximab/C2B6 (reviewed in [29]). It is relatively inactive in complement activation, but in contrast promotes programmed cell death.
protein CD55 [39]. In addition, B cell malignancies characterized by low CD20 expression levels, such as CLL, or those with high expression levels of complement-regulatory proteins may now be eligible for CD20 directed therapy with ofatumumab.

Results from Phase I/II studies in B-CLL and follicular lymphoma supported the therapeutic potential of ofatumumab. Ofatumumab safety and efficacy was tested in a multicenter, dose-escalating study of 33 patients with relapsed or refractory CLL [13]. The results of this study are summarized in Table 2. Following four weekly ofatumumab doses, a rapid, substantial and consistent B cell depletion was observed (Fig. 6C), and a 50% overall response rate reached. Importantly, ofatumumab was well tolerated, and the maximum tolerated dose was not reached. The primary safety observation was occurrence of infusion reactions in patients receiving the highest dose; however, their occurrence decreased with subsequent dosing and the incidence was comparable to that described for rituximab. Hence, the potent CDC induction by ofatumumab did not appear to trigger increased systemic adverse reactions. Again, the close binding proximity of ofatumumab to the cell membrane likely results in highly efficient complement deposition on B cell membranes, without high levels of systemic release of activated complement components.

In follicular NHL, a Phase I/II dose-escalation trial included 40 patients receiving four weekly ofatumumab infusions (Table 2). Again, a rapid and sustained B cell depletion was observed at all doses, with B cell recovery corresponding to dose levels, and an overall response rate up to 63% was reached. Notably, in a subgroup of patients who previously received rituximab therapy but had relapsed, the overall response rate was 64%. In contrast, re-treatment with rituximab has been reported to result in an overall response rate of 35–40% [40, 41].

In both CLL and follicular NHL, ofatumumab is now being evaluated in pivotal Phase III studies. In addition, a Phase II study in relapsed diffuse large B cell lymphoma patients has been initiated. For all these B cell malignancies, it is understood that there is clear potential for CD20-directed therapy (either as monotherapy or in combination with other treatment modalities). With ofatumumab having such a strong CDC activity, in addition to potent ADCC, even on cells with high expression of complement regulatory proteins or low expression of CD20, it can be expected that this new human antibody will be able to make a difference for patients resistant or refractory to current therapeutics.

5 Zalutumumab: Disconnecting EGFR molecules, connecting effector cells

The central role of the EGFR/ErbB family members in cell migration, proliferation, survival, and transformation identified these transmembrane receptors as targets for cancer therapeutic approaches. This family includes ErbB1/HER1/EGFR, ErbB2/HER2/Neu, ErbB3/HER3 and ErbB4/HER4 [42]. The first ErB receptor-targeting antibody approved by the United States FDA in 1998 was trastuzumab (brand name Herceptin), for the treatment of HER2-positive breast cancer. For targeting of EGFR/HER1, cetuximab (brand name Erbitux) and panitumumab (brand name Vectibix) are currently approved for clinical use.

Zalutumumab (clone 2F8) targets EGFR, a 170-kDa transmembrane glycoprotein containing a tyrosine kinase domain and regulatory domain in the C terminus [43]. EGFR is expressed on normal cells at levels ranging from 20 000 to 200 000 receptors per cell. The intracellular kinase domain is activated upon ligand binding to the EGFR extracellular domain. EGFR plays a role during embryogenesis in the morphogenesis of organs like teeth, brain, reproductive and gastrointestinal tracts, and cardiovascular system. Physiologically, EGFR has an essential role in wound healing and normal epithelial regeneration of organs. EGFR activation has been described to trigger processes such as proliferation, apoptosis, migration, angiogenesis and differentiation [44–47].

Rowinsky et al. showed that EGFR plays a role in the transformation and progression of carcinomas. EGFR overexpression in tumor cells can produce up to 2 million EGFR molecules per cell, and has been correlated to a more malignant tumor grade as well as a reduced patient survival [48, 49]. Additionally, mutant forms of EGFR and overexpression of EGFR ligands have been recognized to promote cancer progression in a range of solid tumors.

Next to mAbs, another class of drugs is successfully employed to target EGFR for cancer therapy: small molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKI), targeting the ATP-binding pocket of the EGFR kinase domain. TKI, including the U.S. FDA and European Medicine Agency (EMEA) approved agents Gefitinib (Iressa), Erlotinib (Tarceva) and Lapatinib (Tykerb), are oral, low-molecular-weight inhibitors of the EGFR tyrosine kinase located in the intracellular part of the receptor. TKI block EGFR kinase activity and EGFR downstream signaling [50]. In contrast to the approach using mAb, tyrosine kinase inhibition is not strictly EGFR-specific and some cross-reactivity with other ErbB family mem-
bers, e.g., HER2, may occur [51]. mAbs and TKI also differ in pharmacological and pharmacokinetic properties. EGFR antibodies, being large proteins (~150 kDa), are normally administered intravenously; in contrast to TKI, which are small synthetic molecules (~500 Da) and are taken orally. According to the FDA labels, antibody half-lives in blood (for cetuximab 3.1–7.8 days; for panitumumab 3.6–11.9 days, allowing for dosing every 7, or 14 days, respectively) are longer than those of small-molecule agents (gefitinib, ~48 h; erlotinib, ~36 h; allowing for once-daily dosing). Further, pharmacokinetic studies demonstrated that plasma concentrations of TKI vary substantially between patients [52].

An important functional difference between TKI and EGFR antibodies lies in the antibodies’ ability to attack cancer cells through a combination of mechanisms. TKI, being small, are indeed able to pass through cell membranes and can act on targets in the cytoplasm regardless of their cellular location, yet once in the cell they do only one thing: inhibit kinase activity. For several types of cancer, certain (secondary) mutations in the EGFR kinase domain have been reported that confer resistance to TKI [53–55]. For antibodies, being able to recruit immune effector functions in addition to having direct effects on EGFR activity, resistance to therapy is unlikely to arise through mutations in the EGFR kinase domain. Indeed (as described below), clinically relevant EGFR mutations did not influence the activity of EGFR antibodies.

Zalutumab, a high-affinity human IgG1κ EGFR antibody, potently inhibits tumor growth in xenograft models by engaging two mechanisms of action (Fig. 2) [56]. Firstly, EGFR signaling is blocked. This was observed as a reduction in receptor phosphorylation and is most effective at saturating antibody concentrations. Secondly, anti-tumor effects are mediated by Fc-mediated ADCC. This mechanism is already active at low target occupancy. Together, these properties make zalutumumab highly effective in cancer disease models, being able to eradicate tumors even at low and infrequent doses.

As inhibition of EGFR signaling was recognized as an important mechanism not only of zalutumumab, but also of other EGFR antibodies like cetuximab, we investigated the influence of (lung cancer-derived) mutations that change the EGFR kinase activity, on tumor cell killing [57]. An EGFR-responsive cell line model was established, in which cells expressed tumor-derived EGFR mutations (L858R, G719S, delE746-A750 and secondary mutation T790M). In these, two prototypic TKI, gefitinib and erlotinib, demonstrated the expected reduced or abolished activities. In sharp contrast, anti-tumor effects of zalutumumab and cetuximab were not impacted by these mutations. Not only did inhibition of EGFR signaling and cell growth by the EGFR antibodies remain intact, their ADCC activity was also unaffected.

Remarkably, it was found that, while zalutumumab efficiently inhibited EGFR signaling, the intact antibody was more efficient in this than Fab fragments [58]. Hence, bivalent binding to EGFR contributed to zalutumumab’s mechanism of action. To understand the molecular mechanisms underlying this, we used protein tomography to visualize EGFR conformations on EGFR-overexpressing cells in its monomeric (resting), its EGF-stimulated conformation and its zalutumumab-inhibited conformation [58] (Fig. 7). This technique allowed us to observe individual cell membrane-localized EGFR molecules at a level of detail not previously obtainable, i.e., where separate protein domains could be discerned. Monomeric, resting EGFR ectodomains were found to be highly flexible molecules, showing that EGFR is able to switch between a tethered (auto-inhibited) conformation...
and extended (pre-activated) “pistol-shaped” conformation (as described before, [59, 60]). In contrast, zalutumumab-bound EGFR conformations were condensed and appeared to be locked in one specific, very compact (and inactive) conformation. Bivalent binding and EGFR cross-linking by zalutumumab was found to spatially separate the EGFR molecules’ intracellular kinase domains to an extent, which appeared incompatible with kinase domain interaction and induction of signaling.

For other EGFR antibodies (in particular for cetuximab), antibody-induced down-modulation of EGFR has been postulated as part of the mechanism of action [61, 62]. Zalutumumab was found to induce moderate EGFR down-modulation [63].

Having potent anti-tumor activity in laboratory settings, even at doses where other EGFR antibodies were found to be much less effective, zalutumumab was recognized as having the properties required for treatment of a variety of cancers, and was awarded fast track status from the U.S. FDA for head and neck cancer patients who have failed standard therapies (Table 3). Its efficacy is also explored for the treatment of non-small cell lung cancer, in combination with chemo-radiation therapy, and colorectal cancer in combination with irinotecan chemotherapy. Phase I/II results in squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck (SCCHN) showed good responses, as demonstrated by two types of scanning (Table 2) [64]. Assessed by FDG-PET, which visualizes tumor metabolism, 7 of 18 evaluable patients achieved partial metabolic response (PMR) (Fig. 8) and 4 had stable metabolic disease (SMD) 1 week after their fifth and last infusion. In the two highest dose groups, 9 out of 11 patients obtained PMR or SMD. These results were confirmed by computerized tomography (CT scan).

At present, the field of EGFR-targeted therapeutics is evolving rapidly as better insights in EGFR biology and clinical trial data become available. Although objective clinical responses have been frequently observed during EGFR-targeted therapies, many patients develop therapy resistance. Here, it becomes clear that a better understanding of the mechanism of action of EGFR therapeutics, and studies on how tumor cell resistance can be circumvented will further contribute to optimize treatment regimes. Indeed, zalutumumab, displaying novel modes of action that distinguish it from other EGFR antibodies, has potential as effective treatment in a variety of cancers.

6 Umabs: The new standard

Antibody therapeutics make a profound impact on the treatment of cancer. The technologies used to generate new therapeutic antibodies have become highly refined. The first -omabs brought promise but also disappointments, and it was the -ximabs and -zumabs that set the stage for antibody therapy. Now, -umabs are becoming the standard.

These fully human antibodies can be generated using various techniques, including phage display and transgenic technologies. The characteristics of antibodies generated from these platforms are intrinsically determined by the human V repertoire contained in the libraries/transgenic mice, and the extent of (in vitro or in vivo) affinity maturation that occurs. Overall, these determine the pool of antibodies from which potential development candidates can be selected. The quality of the final clinical candidate, however, is strongly dependent on the entire lead candidate selection process. We believe that our approach of antibody library generation followed by rational and science-based library screening, significantly contributes to selecting an optimal final product.

Several other methods of improving the response rates of mAbs in cancer therapy have been investigated and are now in early clinical evaluation. For instance, combinations of antibodies are being explored as an approach to overcome the often-observed tumor escape from antibody therapy. An example here is the combination of HER2 mAbs recognizing different epitopes on the HER2 extracellular domain, which were found to give superior anti-tumor activity compared to the individual antibodies, both in vitro and in vivo [65]. Also, the feasibility of combining various antibodies with rituximab, e.g., Campath, has been investigated [66]. Recently, we have reported a remarkable synergy for EGFR antibodies [67]. Individually, these antibodies have potent activity in ADCC (as described above), whereas complement-mediated lysis is not
apparent. Combining IgG1 EGFR-antibodies recognizing different, non-overlapping epitopes, however, resulted in potent complement activation and effective lysis of tumor cells. These findings indicate unexpected qualities of EGFR antibody combinations that can be deployed for novel cancer treatments.

It is recognized that certain therapeutic applications may benefit from optimizations of the antibody format, and this is sprouting an extensive line of research focusing on engineering for enhanced effector function, controlling of half-life, increasing stability and improving tumor and tissue penetration (extensively reviewed in [68]). For instance, the affinity of the Fc domain to Fc receptors can be modified by changing the sugar structures on antibodies (glyco-engineering) [69]. With this, efficacies can be increased, dosing lowered and potentially side effects minimized.

The continuing increase in knowledge of antibody biology and mechanisms of action, and the application of this knowledge in understanding the antibodies interactions at molecular, cellular and organism levels, will enable us to further improve and expand the therapeutic application of antibodies in human diseases. Zanolimumab, ofatumumab and zalutumumab illustrate how each therapeutic antibody harbors unique features, which can be exploited to optimally target specific cancer indications, and provide new treatment options for patients.
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