Increased Ribavirin Bioavailability Associated With Telaprevir Use in Hepatitis C Patients Treated With PEGylated-Interferon/Ribavirin/Telaprevir Triple Therapy

Pierre Pradat¹,²,³,*; Victor Virlogeux¹,⁴; Marianne Maynard¹,²,³; Mathilde Leclercq¹; Giorgiana Hatu⁵; Majid Amiri¹; Fanny Lebosse¹,²,³; Patrick Mailhes⁶; Fabien Zoulim¹,²,³,⁷; Marie-Claude Gagnieu⁸; François Bailly¹,²,³

¹Department of Hepatology, Croix-Rousse Hospital, Hospices Civils of Lyon, Lyon, France
²National Institute of Health and Medical Research (INSERM) U1052, Lyon, France
³Claude Bernard Lyon 1 University, Lyon, France
⁴Ecole Normale Superieure, Lyon, France
⁵University of Medicine and Pharmacy Cluj Napoca, Romania
⁶Department of Infectious Diseases and Tropical Medicine, Hospices Civils de Lyon, Lyon, France
⁷Institut Universitaire de France, Paris, France
⁸Department of Pharmacology, Edouard Herriot Hospital, Hospices Civils de Lyon, Lyon, France
*Corresponding Author: Pierre Pradat, Department of Hepatology, Croix-Rousse Hospital, Hospices Civils of Lyon, Lyon, France. Tel: +33-426732715, Fax: +33-426732734, E-mail: pierre.pradat@univ-lyon1.fr

Received: March 30, 2015; Revised: March 31, 2015; Accepted: June 30, 2015

1. Background

Before the arrival of new direct-acting antiviral agents (DAAs), triple therapy combining PEGylated interferon (PEG-IFN), ribavirin (RBV), and protease inhibitors (boceprevir or telaprevir) was the standard of care for the treatment of hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection (1-4). Anemia is commonly observed during RBV-based therapy and often results in dose reduction and decreased antiviral efficacy. Because of a large inter-individual variability in exposure (5-7), the weight-based RBV daily dose is poorly correlated with the RBV plasma concentration (8). Previous studies have indicated that anemia is about twice more frequent in patients receiving telaprevir with PEG-IFN/RBV than in those receiving PEG-IFN/RBV alone (9).

2. Objectives

The objective of this study was to determine whether telaprevir-based therapy was associated with an increased RBV plasma exposure, which might be linked to the impairment of the GFR. This also suggests a RBV-telaprevir pharmacological interaction, a possible source of severe anemia observed under triple therapy. These results suggest that RBV pharmacological monitoring may be clinically relevant, especially in the context of first-generation HCV protease inhibitor-based therapy.
3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Patients

HCV patients with a previous non-response to PEG-IFN/RBV therapy and re-treated with PEG-IFN/RBV and telaprevir were prospectively recruited from the department of hepatology, Croix-Rousse hospital, Lyon, France. Non-response was defined as a failure to achieve a sustained virological response and could thus include null response, virological breakthrough, and virological relapse. Patients with decompensated liver cirrhosis were not included. Patients were selected if they had consecutive assessment of plasma RBV trough concentrations (prescribed at the clinician’s discretion). Baseline was considered as the date of triple therapy initiation. Patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD) stage 4 (estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) < 30 mL/minutes/1.73 m²) were excluded from the study.

3.2. Assessment of Plasma Ribavirin Concentration

Plasma RBV trough concentrations were measured using a validated high-performance liquid chromatography-diode array detector method (10). This method is highly specific, sensitive (limit of quantification = 0.05 mg/L), and precise (total imprecision, calculated by measuring the coefficient of variation of the internal quality control values, was <10% for concentrations from 0.20 - 5.00 mg/L). Plasma RBV trough concentrations were measured before telaprevir initiation during the previous course of PEG-IFN/RBV combination therapy (T-1), during the early phase (week (W) 4 ± 2 weeks), the later phase (W 8 ± 2 weeks), and after telaprevir cessation (at least 4 weeks after telaprevir withdrawal (W16)).

As RBV daily doses may vary among patients and during the study course, RBV exposure was calculated using the ratio concentration/dose/body weight and used as a mean of estimated inter-individual bioavailability.

3.3. Renal Function Assessment

The commonly used Modification of the Diet in Renal Disease (MDRD) study equation was used for the eGFR as follows: in males: 186 × (creatinine × 0.0113)^(-1.154) × age^0.203. If the patient was of African origin or female, multiplicative factors of 1.21 and 0.742 were applied, respectively. The occurrence of renal insufficiency during treatment was defined as an eGFR < 60 mL/minutes/1.73 m².

3.4. Statistical Analysis

The nominal and categorical parameters were expressed as absolute numbers and percentages. Means and standard deviations (± SD) were calculated for the normally distributed variables, and medians and inter-quartile ranges (IQR) for the skewed variables.

The non-parametric test for paired data (Wilcoxon test) was used to analyze the variations in RBV bioavailability and the eGFR before, during, and after triple therapy. A P value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All the analyses were performed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS), version 19.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA).

3.5. Ethical Consideration

All the patients included in this study gave their written informed consent to allow the use of their personal clinical data in accordance with the ethics regulation defined in France by the CNIL (Commission Nationale de l'Informatique et des Libertés).

4. Results

The data on 37 consecutive HCV patients initiating triple therapy were analyzed. The patients’ characteristics are presented in Table 1. Male gender was predominant (73.0%), and mean age was 56.5 years (± 10.7). Thirty-one per cent of the patients were cirrhotics, among whom 18% had some sign of decompensation. Three (8.1%) patients had renal insufficiency defined as 30 < eGFR < 60 mL/minutes/1.73 m² (CKD stage 3). One patient with severe renal insufficiency (eGFR < 30 mL/minutes/1.73 m²) was excluded from the analysis.

Among these patients, 13 had measures of RBV bioavailability before, during, and after triple therapy. In this subgroup of patients, similar results were observed with an increase after telaprevir initiation (0.08 ± 0.10 mg/L/dose/kg between T-1 and W4; P = 0.007) and 0.07 ± 0.12 mg/L/dose/kg between W4 and W8; P = 0.001). A significant increase was also observed between W4 and W8 (N = 20, 0.11 ± 0.17 mg/L/dose/kg; P < 0.001). Eleven patients had a RBV dose reduction between W4 and W8, and all of them still increased their RBV bioavailability despite a reduced plasma concentration in five of them.

None of the following factors was predictive of the variations in RBV bioavailability: gender (P = 0.837); IL-28B genotype (P = 0.630); cirrhosis versus non cirrhosis (P = 0.414); fibrosis stage (P = 0.858); HCV genotype 1a versus 1b (P = 0.937); and body mass index (BMI) (P = 0.436), and nor was the eGFR at baseline associated with RBV bioavailability (P = 0.527).

Only age was significantly associated with a higher increase in RBV bioavailability in the older patients (P = 0.011). In parallel to these variations, the eGFR was evaluated. A decrease in the eGFR was observed between T-1 and W4 (N = 37, -6.8 ± 25.21 mL/minutes/1.73 m²; P = 0.109) and an increase after telaprevir cessation in the subgroup of 13 patients with severe renal insufficiency.
Table 1. Patients’ Characteristics at Baseline

| Characteristics                     | Number of Patients | Values a |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|----------|
| Male gender                         | 37                | 27 (73.0) |
| Hepatitis C virus genotype          | 34                |          |
| 1a                                  |                   | 7 (20.6) |
| 1b                                  |                   | 13 (38.2) |
| 1 undetermined                      |                   | 9 (26.5) |
| 2                                   |                   | 2 (5.9)  |
| 4                                   |                   | 3 (8.8)  |
| Genotype IL-28B                     | 14                |          |
| C/C                                 |                   | 4 (28.6) |
| T/C                                 |                   | 4 (28.6) |
| T/T                                 |                   | 6 (42.8) |
| Presence of diabetes                | 37                | 4 (11.8) |
| Presence of renal insufficiency b   | 37                | 3 (8.1)  |
| Fibrosis stage                      | 35                |          |
| F0/F1                               |                   | 11 (31.4) |
| F2                                  |                   | 2 (5.8)  |
| F3                                  |                   | 11 (31.4) |
| F4                                  |                   | 11 (31.4) |
| Body mass index, kg/m²              | 21                | 24.8 ± 3.0 |
| Age, y                              | 37                | 56.5 ± 10.7 |

a Values are presented as No. (%) except Body Mass Index and age that are presented as mean ± SD.
b Defined by 30 < estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) < 60 mL/minutes/1.73 m².

Figure 1. Mean Ribavirin Bioavailability and Estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate Assessed by MDRD Before, During, and After Telaprevir Exposure in Hepatitis C Virus Patients Treated With PEGylated Interferon (Ribavirin)/Telaprevir Combination

5. Discussion

The assessment of RBV bioavailability measures the actual exposure to RBV, whereas the trough plasma con-
centration varies with posology. RBV bioavailability is known to range between 45% and 65% with an important variability within and between individuals, which can reach 30% after an oral single dose (11). Equilibrium state is reached after 4 weeks of multiple dosing and the half-life is 300 hours. Our results showed that during triple therapy, RBV bioavailability was significantly increased after the introduction of telaprevir irrespective of the variations in the RBV doses. This increase was progressive throughout exposure to telaprevir. After the cessation of the HCV protease inhibitor, a 4-week period was necessary to observe a decrease in RBV bioavailability. In parallel to these variations, the eGFR also seemed to have been impaired by telaprevir. Recently, some authors have shown in HIV-HCV coinfected patients that telaprevir enhances RBV-induced anemia through renal function impairment (12). Telaprevir was already known to play a role in the inhibition of renal drug transporter in the in vitro system (13). In HEK 293 cell lines, telaprevir exposure seems to result in the inhibition of the organic cation transporter 2 (OCT2) and of the multidrug and toxin extrusion (MATE)-type transporter 1 (MATE1) (14). This mechanism could explain the eGFR decrease in patients treated with telaprevir, which in turn is a risk factor for anemia (15). RBV-induced anemia is thought to result from molecular mechanisms such as the inhibition of intracellular energy metabolism and oxidative membrane damage (16). It is thus possible that renal dysfunction due to HCV protease inhibitor increases RBV exposure, thereby inducing anemia.

Our study, including 37 patients, was possibly underpowered to detect potential associations between RBV bioavailability and co-factors such as gender, BMI, and other clinical factors. In a previous study, Jen et al. (6) reported associations between the RBV apparent clearance and body weight, gender, and age and showed that the RBV apparent clearance was increased among the patients with a higher BMI and among the males, but decreased among the patients above 40 years of age. This latter observation is in accordance with our results, showing a higher increase in RBV bioavailability in the older patients. A more recent study also showed that among the HCV genotype 2/3 patients treated with PEG-IFN/RBV, obesity (BMI > 30) was associated with lower RBV concentrations (17). Similar findings were reported by Wade et al. (18), who observed that lean body weight was the only covariate with a clinically significant influence on RBV pharmacokinetics and that RBV exposure decreased as weight increased.

In the present study, we did not include data on albumin, bilirubin, or prothrombin time, involved in the hepatocellular function. However, various studies have demonstrated that the liver function has no effect on the RBV concentration (19-21).

Although conducted on a limited number of patients, our study clearly shows a reversible increase in RBV exposure during telaprevir treatment, which might be linked to the impairment of the eGFR. This also suggests a RBV-telaprevir pharmacological interaction, a possible source of severe anemia observed under triple therapy and already reported in HCV-monoinfected (22) or HIV-HCV coinfected cirrhotic patients (23). The persistence of a high RBV exposure despite RBV dose reductions recommended for severe anemia could explain why these dose reductions do not impact the virological response. As recently suggested, RBV pharmacological monitoring may still be clinically relevant even in the context of direct-acting antivirals-based therapy (24, 25). This monitoring, potentially followed by RBV dose adaptations, could ensure an optimal RBV exposure, thereby improving safety and reducing the relapse risk. Cautious clinical monitoring of patients focusing not only on hematological parameters but also on the renal function is warranted.
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