Problem based learning using manipulative materials to improve student interest of mathematics learning
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Abstract. This classroom action research reviews about the using of Problem Based Learning (PBL) with the use of manipulative materials to improve students interest in mathematics learning. PBL provides an opportunity for students to learn mathematical activities related to real life problems with use of small groups in the classroom. The manipulative material will help students understand abstract ideas of mathematics, and make learning mathematics more interesting, challenging, active and can increase student interest in mathematics. Thus, applying PBL with the use of manipulative materials can improve student interest in mathematics learning.

1. Introduction
Mathematics are a structured science, in which there are ideas, and concepts that are interconnected. Mathematics are a science with abstract basic objects, arranged hierarchically, one concept becomes the basis for learning the next concept, applied in everyday life, and used as a concept in solving the problem [1]. In the process of learning mathematics at school, students are expected to be able to explain the interrelationships between the information they get and who they have experienced, and are expected to apply it appropriately in attitude, knowledgeable, skilled when they learn [2]. In addition to cognitive aspects, the process of learning mathematics also certainly influenced by the affective aspects of students. There are four most important affective characteristics in students that must be developed and considered during the process of learning mathematics one of which is the interest in learning mathematics [3]. Interest in learning has an important role in the learning process of mathematics [4]. Students' interest in learning especially on the process of learning mathematics an important factor that determines learning outcomes [5].

Interest contains cognitive aspects (knowledge and information responses about mathematical objects or activities as a form of concern), and emotions (participation and the experience was accompanied by a certain sense, such a sense of fun, which contributes to the high willingness and desire to perform an activity, including in mathematics) [6]. The interest appears from the response in which the student aware of their interest in an object or activity, which then begins to find out or begins to want to be actively involved in it [7]. In learning mathematics, students’ interest in learning can be seen in the tendency of students to give attention, feel interested and actively participate to learning mathematics [8,9].
Less of interest can lead to students having difficulty in completing mathematics [10]. The abstract nature of the object in learning mathematics makes the students difficult to learn. Students who have difficulty understanding the concept will have difficulties for the next level, making students less likely to be interested and less active when doing math learning activities. Ideas in mathematics learning process that can increase students' interest in learning mathematics is to use real-life problems as learning materials in the classroom. In addition, small groups in the classroom and use of other sources of learning and mathematics such as puzzles and manipulative materials can arouse students' interest in learning mathematics [11]. Therefore problem based learning (PBL) becomes one of the recommended learning.

Problem based learning is student centered learning as a learning objective, where the learning process based on analysts, resolutions, and discussions about the issues provided. Problem based learning as a teaching based on ideals of student centered constructivism. Teachers help students to focus on solving problems in real life [12]. Problem Based Learning (PBL) enables students to think critically, creatively and monitor their understanding [13]. Problem-based learning requires students to work together in groups to solve complex and authentic problems that help develop content knowledge and problem solving, reasoning, communication, and self-assessment skills [14]. Problem-based learning studies have focused on student learning, student roles, teacher roles, problem design, and use of technology and visual material [15]. Research on problem based Learning is more effective than direct learning in terms of achievement of competency standards, motivation, and interest in learning mathematics students [16].

In learning with Problem Based Learning (PBL), before starting to solve the problem, students are given the opportunity to understand the problem. In this case the problem often encountered students are pouring their abstract ideas into concrete representation that allows them to find a solution. For help students understand abstract material or introduce new concepts, it takes manipulative material in mathematics learning [17]. Manipulative material are tangible objects that students can explore, arrange, move around, group, sort, to show a deeper understanding and use to measure as they model mathematical concepts and problems [18,19].

Using manipulative materials will help students understand abstract ideas of mathematics, prove mathematical ideas and make learning mathematics more interesting, challenging, and motivate students to learn actively, make learning mathematics more interesting and fun, and build student confidence [20,21]. Reveals that students who learn from concrete examples will more easily divert or apply their knowledge into similar concrete contexts in their lives [22]. Cooperative learning using effective manipulative visual materials both in terms of learning achievement and in improving the appreciation or interest of learners towards mathematics [23]. Matching the manipulatives to mathematical concept is the most important step that any teacher during lesson preparation. The use of manipulative material in mathematics learning needs to be accompanied by an understanding of the relationship between the object and the mathematical ideas it describes [24]. This is because mathematics is an abstract subject that connects to the real world through physical representation which is the approach of abstract ideas [25].

Based on the description, then the implementation of Problem Based Learning with the use of manipulative material is important to be studied. This research collaborated between Problem Based Learning (PBL) model of learning in the application process using manipulative material. Problem-based learning with the use of manipulative materials is expected to help students find solutions to real-life daily issues raised in learning so that students have high learning attitudes toward mathematics and learning and improve student's cognitive achievement.

2. Research Method
This type of research is a classroom action research (PTK) conducted collaboratively between mathematics teacher and researcher. The subjects of this research is students of class VIII in Mater Inviolata Larantuka junior high school (Larantuka is a small town located along the coastline whose inhabitants are plural communities, with their main livelihoods are farming and fishing) totaling 40 students consisting of 21 female and 19 male. The types of data collected in this study include both qualitative and quantitative as follows. Qualitative data consists of lesson plans, field notes, observer
records, and documentation. Quantitative data consists of questionnaire data of student learning interest in mathematics learning, observation data, student learning outcomes. Questionnaire of student interest consists of 20 items of statement consisting of 13 positive statements and 7 negative statements that have been validated by 4 supervisor. Indicators of student interest used in this research questionnaire are: Student attention, feelings of pleasure or interest of students during mathematics learning, and student activity in learning mathematics [7,8,9,10,11]. Example statement on questionnaire for the student attention in positive statement; “I listen to teacher guidance during math lessons”. Example statement on questionnaire for feelings of pleasure or interest of students during mathematics learning in positive statement; “I am enthusiastic when the math teacher gives students the opportunity to discuss”.

This classroom action research in Probability for grade VIII students procedure consisting of initial observations and two cycles each using four action components: planning, action and observation and reflecting [26]. First cycle with 3 action talked about sample space and possible sample points of an event, theoretical probability, and experimental probability of an event. The second cycle with 3 action talk about comparing theoretical and experimental probability, the complement probability and expectation.

Activities in the initial observation were to give a questionnaire of student learning interest, pretesting and observing the learning process undertaken by the teacher including the methods used by the teacher and analyzing the problems related to the research focus. Activity in the planning stage is to compile the questionnaire of interest in learning mathematics of students, arrange the flow of problem based learning using manipulative materials in “Probability” for student grade VIII consisting of 5 steps: student oriented to problem, organize students to learn, Individual or guided investigation group, develop and present the result, and Analyze and evaluate the problem solving process [13]. In addition at this stage the researchers compose student worksheets, test questions, observation sheets and field notes.

In Action and observation, researchers take action using the planning guides that have been made. All activities that occur during the learning process are observed by the school’s math teacher. In order to obtain more accurate information, the researchers have prepared the observation sheet as a guide in the preparation of records of activities in the field. The data obtained from the observations were then analyzed, and reflected. Reflection is a discussion between supervisor, researchers, and teachers of mathematics concerned Discussion aims to evaluate the processes that occur, deficiencies, or all matters relating to the actions undertaken and learning achievement used for data deduction.

Data analysis technique obtained in this research is the result of questionnaire of student learning interest, the result of observation analysis and field note presented descriptively, and the average written test conducted at the end of the action. Results of questionnaire interest in learning, categorized as in table 1:

| Score (X)       | Category     |
|-----------------|--------------|
| X > 80          | very high    |
| 66.67 < X ≤ 80  | high         |
| 53.33 < X ≤ 66.67 | medium      |
| 40 < X ≤ 53.33  | low          |
| X ≤ 40          | very low     |

**Table 1. Result category of Student Interest**

Source: Azwar (2015) [27]

This research is said to be successful if there is an increase in student learning interest for each cycle and achieve the target that has been made that is X > 53.33 with an average achievement of 80%, and score for student cognitive reaching reach 80%.
3. Result and Discussion

3.1. Result

The result of questionnaire analysis of students' interest in learning mathematics at the end of cycle 1 and cycle 2 showed a significant improvement when compared with the result of questionnaire analysis at initial observation. The result of comparison of students' learning interest can be seen in Table 2 below:

| Score (X) | Category | Initial Observation | Cycle 1 | Cycle 2 |
|-----------|----------|---------------------|---------|---------|
| X > 80    | very high| 0%                  | 5%      | 22.5%   |
| 66.67 ≤ X ≤ 80 | high    | 2.5%                | 42.5%   | 67.5%   |
| 53.33 ≤ X ≤ 66.67 | medium  | 32.5%               | 50%     | 10%     |
| 40 ≤ X ≤ 53.33  | low  | 42.5%                | 2.5%    | 0%      |
| X ≤ 40     | very low | 22.5%               | 0%      | 0%      |

From the table, the average achievement of students' interest in the first cycle of 70.95% increased significantly compared to the initial observation of 51%. Students in the high category a total of 17 students after the action on cycle 1 increased from 1 student at the initial observation. The average of students' cognitive achievement the action in cycle 1 was 83.17 (76.92% reached KKM = 70), an increase compared to the initial test given at initial observation of 46.73 (0% reaching KKM = 70). From the action cycles 2, obtained an average achievement of student interest in mathematics at 81.025%. The average cognitive achievement of students after being given action on cycle 2 of 82, 78 (92.5% reached KKM = 70).

3.2. Discussion

The use of manipulative materials was applied in finding real-life solutions on student worksheets. First example, students are asked to find the solution and conclusion about comparing theoretical and experimental probability. The given problem is to determine who has the greatest opportunity for 3 candidates out of 30 students who run for classroom leader. Student using one red ball as first candidate, one yellow ball as second candidate, and one blue ball as third candidate and take 30 randomly to get the value of experimental probability and compare with the value of theoretical probability to got the conclusion. This manipulative material following by Kelly in 2006 state: manipulative material are objects that students can explore and to show a deeper understanding and use to measure as they model mathematical concepts and problems.

For the second example, student must make a list of 2 portion lunch menu that can be ordered at the school cafeteria when they just have a money Rp. 9000.00. The school cafeteria provides three types of food, namely and price list following in Table 3.

| Menu   | Price List |
|--------|------------|
| Nasi Campur | Rp. 5.000,00 |
| Nasi Goreng | Rp. 4.000,00 |
| Bakso  | Rp. 4.500,00 |

Student using red postcard as nasi campur, yellow postcard as nasi goreng, and green postcard as bakso and make a list of two portion menu from the event of theoretical probability to got the conclusion. This mean use of manipulative material in mathematics learning needs to be accompanied by an understanding of the relationship between the object and the mathematical ideas it describes as stated by Mink in 2010.
Based on the analysis of interest in learning and cognitive tests of students in cycle 1 achievement criteria have not met the target of research. From the results of reflections made based on the observation sheet, and field notes, it is seen that in the learning process of the first meeting of this cycle 1, students are less responsive to learning by using PBL with manipulative use. This is because students are not familiar to solve the problem in real life, and apply it in the concept of mathematical calculations. But the students are actually starting to give more attention, and are interested in the issues raised in the student worksheets because the issues are close to their daily lives. This can be seen from students' enthusiasm to ask questions and use other learning resources to find solutions to the problems. The results of this observation support the results of Astutik research in 2017 that real life problem on problem based learning is more effective than direct learning in terms achievement of motivation, and interest in learning mathematics students [18].

Students look to give more attention and interest in learning process both at the second meeting of cycle 1 and cycle 11, when using manipulative materials. Students feel helpful because they can apply the calculations of mathematical concepts to find solutions to the abstract concepts given in the problem. These finding result support De Bock, et al result in 2011 that students who learn from concrete examples will more easily divert or apply their knowledge into similar concrete contexts in their lives [25]. These findings also support the results of Cope research in 2015 that manipulatives have the potential to help concrete abstract ideas, help students solve problems, and make math lessons more interesting and fun [22].

From the cognitive learning outcomes of students in learning shows a significant improvement. The use of manipulative materials improves students' ability on the cognitive aspects of their application to real-world problems. This can be seen from the results of cognitive learning in cycles 1 and 2. This finding supports the results of Sasongko and Jailani research in 2014 which states that planning using manipulative materials meets effective criteria with 90% (KKM = 60) [26]. In this study, the cognitive learning outcomes in cycle 1 had mean = 83.17 slightly higher than cognitive learning outcome in cycle 2 had mean = 82.78. This is because the material learning in cycle 2 covers more difficult than the cycle 1. However, if viewed from the criteria of students' completeness meet the value of KKM = 70, seen a significant increase between the cycle 1 = 76.92% and cycle 2 = 92, 5%.

Some of the problems in this study are: (1) limited study time to control the learning process of students in class and due along with the holiday of Easter. (2) few students are still not good in applying the use of manipulative materials. (3) little context of issues raised in learning can not be applied using manipulative materials. so that the teacher have to creative in connect the manipulative material to the real world problem.

4. Conclusion
Implementation of the probem based learning model with the use of manipulative materials in learning provides experience in students to increase student learning interest so that the model can be applied in the learning process. Real life issues raised make students appreciate and pay more attention to the learning process of mathematics. Students are also more interested and happy to be actively involved in the learning process through the use of manipulative materials. Manipulative materials help students to understand the abstract concept that exists in the given problem. Students are able to find the mathematical concepts used to find solutions to the problem. This makes students more actively participate in the learning process of mathematics.

The use of problem based learning model with the use of manipulative material in learning can also improve students' cognitive results so that the model can be applied in the learning process. In addition, the probem-based learning model with the use of manipulative materials also makes the subject teachers more creative and innovative in planning the learning process of mathematics in the classroom, because teachers are required to be able to apply the problems in the real world and relate them to the manipulative materials that can be used as symbols of the concept abstracts that exist in the problem.
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