Incoherent approximation for neutron up-scattering cross sections and its corrections for slow neutrons and low crystal temperatures
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The incoherent approximation (IA) is often used for calculating the one-phonon inelastic neutron scattering cross section for arbitrary solids. It is valid for thermal neutrons but for slow neutrons it requires a correction, which is significant for isotopes that are strong coherent scatterers. In this article, we present the extension of the Placzek–Van Hove corrections for slow neutrons in the limit of low temperatures using the example of solid ortho-deuterium (sD2). Our approach yields realistic one-phonon up-scattering cross sections for sD2 and shows the IA to be a factor of 2 to 5 too high for ultracold neutron (UCN) up-scattering in sD2. Our calculations are compared with previously published Monte Carlo calculations of the one-phonon cross section based on the dynamic structure function S(q,ω) of polycrystalline ortho-deuterium and are found to be consistent with them. Furthermore, we provide the means for easily replicable calculations of the one-phonon up-scattering cross sections of solid ortho-deuterium for slow neutrons. These should from now on be used in calculations and simulations of UCN scattering in sD2.

I. INTRODUCTION

The slowest neutrons – ultracold neutrons (UCNs) – can be produced by various methods. One of them is the superthermal production process, in which a cold neutron excites a phonon or rotational transition in a solid or liquid converter medium and becomes so slow that it falls in the velocity range of UCNs, from 0 to about 10 m/s. The UCNs produced need to be extracted from the converter within their lifetime to achieve a maximum UCN flux available for experiments, most of which are in the fields of particle and astrophysics. According to Golub and Pendlebury [1], this lifetime of UCNs inside the converter is determined by (i) the absorption of the neutron by a nucleus of the converter medium, (ii) loss of the neutron on the converter wall, and (iii) inelastic up-scattering.

The inelastic up-scattering cross section of ortho-deuterium for UCNs is thus an important parameter in the calculations of UCN extraction rates from converters based on solid ortho-deuterium (sD2). In sD2, the vibrational levels are not populated and of the rotational levels J only the lowest, the ortho level (J = 0), is populated in thermal equilibrium at temperatures below 18 K [2]. Therefore, a UCN can absorb energy – and hence be up-scattered to a higher energy range – only from a phonon in the material. For the up-scattering of UCNs, only one-phonon inelastic scattering plays a role. According to calculations by Placzek [3, 4], two- and multi-phonon processes can be neglected.

The incoherent approximation (IA) is often used for calculating the inelastic neutron scattering cross section for arbitrary solids by equating coherent with incoherent scattering, and neglecting the interference term in the correlation function of the double-differential scattering cross section. However, for slow neutrons, it requires a correction for the neglected interference term, especially for isotopes with a strong coherent contribution to the scattering process. In the following, we present such corrections for solid ortho-deuterium in the UCN limit and show that the uncorrected IA, which has hitherto been used to explain the entire UCN scattering cross section [5], is a factor of 2 to 5 too high. This finding sheds new light on the existence of crystal defects in sD2 [6], which must be taken into account in the design and upgrade of UCN converters based on sD2.

II. DEUTERIUM MOLECULAR CROSS SECTIONS

Hamermesh and Schwinger [7] developed models to calculate the scattering cross sections of free deuterons and interaction-free deuterium molecules for various spins (ortho and para species), vibrational, and rotational tran-
sitions. These cross sections are self cross sections, meaning they do not take into account collective dynamics of the deuterium sample but only the interaction of the neutron with a single scattering particle. They calculated these cross sections for thermal and subthermal neutrons in deuterium gas at low temperatures.

Later, Young and Koppel [8] calculated the double-differential scattering cross sections of ortho- and para-deuterium for a wider temperature and energy range, taking into account rotation, vibration, and translation of the molecule. The latter was treated as unperturbed by neighboring molecules. The special case of a liquid was also described but is applicable only to neutron energies above the Debye temperature of the sample. For ortho-deuterium, the Debye temperature is around \( \Theta_D = 110 \) K, i.e., 9.48 meV, at low sample temperatures of \( T = 0 \ldots 18 \) K [9, 10].

III. THE INCOHERENT APPROXIMATION FOR THERMAL NEUTRONS

One-phonon inelastic scattering can be both coherent and incoherent. Both can be separately described and contribute to the total double-differential cross section \([4, 11, 12]\), \( d^2 \sigma/d\Omega dE, \)

\[
\frac{d^2 \sigma_{\pm 1ph}}{d\Omega dE} = \frac{k_i}{4\pi k_i} \left[ \sigma_{coh} j_n^2 \left( \frac{aq}{2} \right) S_{coh}^{1ph}(q, \omega) + \sigma_{inc} j_n^2 \left( \frac{aq}{2} \right) S_{inc}^{1ph}(q, \omega) \right].
\]

The quantities \( \sigma_{coh} \) and \( \sigma_{inc} \) represent the coherent and incoherent molecular scattering cross section of a given rotational transition of the deuterium molecule (\( J = 0 \rightarrow J' = 0,1 \rightarrow 1,1 \rightarrow 0 \)). The spherical Bessel functions \( j_n^2 \left( \frac{aq}{2} \right) \) represent the shape of the deuterium molecule in its different rotational states [8]. \( k_i \) is the wave vector of the incoming neutron, and \( k_f \) that of the scattered neutron. The momentum transfer to the scattering neutron is \( q = k_f - k_i, \) and \( h\omega = E_i - E_f \) is the sample’s energy change. Variable \( a \) is the equilibrium distance of the two deuterons in the deuterium molecule. The collective dynamics of the scattering system are included in the coherent and incoherent scattering functions \( S_{coh}(q, \omega) \) and \( S_{inc}(q, \omega), \) respectively. Both are related to integrals of correlation functions, which are explained in textbooks \([4, 11, 12]\) and shall not be repeated here.

When the coherent and incoherent double-differential cross sections are added up to calculate the total, the first term is a correlation function with a structure the same as that for incoherent scattering. Approximating coherent scattering in Eq. [1] by the incoherent scattering law – in other words, all scattering events are treated as uncorrelated and interference effects are neglected – leads to a much simpler expression. The incoherent scattering law is then weighted with the sum of the coherent and incoherent molecular scattering cross sections, \( \sigma^{inc} = \sigma^{coh} + \sigma^{inc}. \) This simplifying approach is called the incoherent approximation (IA) \([4]\),

\[
\frac{d^2 \sigma_{\pm 1ph}}{d\Omega dE} \approx \frac{k_i}{4\pi k_i} \left[ \sigma^{inc} j_n^2 \left( \frac{aq}{2} \right) S_{inc}^{1ph}(q, \omega) \right].
\]

It is only applicable when the wavelength of the incoming neutron is not larger than the intermolecular distances in the scattering system \([13]\). These distances of a few angstroms correspond to neutron energies of a few meV (subthermal neutrons). In other words, for scattering of very cold and ultracold neutrons, the IA is not valid and interference effects need to be taken into account – for homogeneous substances as well as for substances with long-range order \([4]\).

Inserting into Eq. [2] the textbook result for \( S_{inc}^{1ph}(q, \omega) \) for cubic crystals, e.g., from Gurevich and Tarasov \([14]\) or Lovesey \([15]\), yields

\[
\frac{d^2 \sigma_{\pm 1ph}}{d\Omega dE} = \frac{\sigma^{inc} k_i}{4\pi k_i} e^{-2W(q)} \frac{q^2 Z(\omega)}{2M} \left\{ n(\omega) + 1, \quad \omega > 0 \right\} n(\omega), \quad \omega < 0
\]

Here, \( exp[-2W(q)] \) is the Debye–Waller factor with \( 2W(q) = \langle u^2(t) \rangle q^2/3 \) and \( \langle u^2(t) \rangle \) including the zero-point motion of the scattering molecules of mass M at low temperatures \([16]\). The energy transfer direction is given by \( h\omega. \) For energy gain of the scattered slow neutron, i.e., energy loss of the sample (\( h\omega < 0 \)), the Bose factor is \( n(\omega) = \exp(h\omega/k_BT) - 1 \) with \( k_B \) the Boltzmann constant. This neutron up-scattering by phonon annihilation is known to be the main loss channel for UCNs in sD\(_2\) at low temperatures.

Using the Debye approximation for the density of states (DOS), \( Z(\omega) = 3\omega^2/\omega_D^3 \) for \( \omega \leq \omega_D, \) with \( \omega_D \) the Debye frequency of the solid, the integration of the phonon annihilation part of Eq. [3] (superscript - 1ph) over the kinematic region yields \([14]\)

\[
\sigma_{00}^{1ph} = 24\sigma_{00}^{inc} \left( \frac{m_n}{M} \right)^3 \left( \frac{E_i}{k_B \Theta_D} \right)^3 \int \int d\xi d\eta \exp[-2W(\omega)] \frac{\eta^2 \xi j_0^2(ak_i \eta)}{\exp(\xi E_i / k_BT) - 1},
\]

with the dimensionless variables \( \eta \equiv q / k_i \) and \( \xi \equiv h\omega / k_i \). \( E_i \) represents the kinetic energy of the neutron before the scattering event and \( m_n \) stands for the neutron mass. The spherical Bessel function \( j_0^2(ak_i \eta) \) was added to the equation to account for the shape of the ortho-deuterium molecule in its rotational ground state. Phonon creation can be neglected in the case of UCNs.

Since the kinematic region is narrow (see Fig. [1]), the Debye–Waller factor (DWF) and the Bessel function can be calculated for an average value of their integration variables. In doing so, they become constants in \( \eta \) and can be pulled out of the integral over \( d\eta. \) Now the inner
integral over \( \eta \) can be solved analytically, which yields 0

\[
\sigma_{00}^{1\text{ph}} = 24\sigma_{00}^{sc} \left( \frac{m_n}{M} \right) \left( \frac{E_i}{k_0 \Theta_D} \right)^3 \int_0^{k_0 \Theta_D / E_i} d\xi e^{-2\pi(\eta)} \frac{1}{8} \sqrt{1 + \xi(2 + \xi)} \times \left[ \frac{2}{\delta S} \left( \frac{ak_i \sqrt{1 + \xi}}{2} \right) \exp\left( \frac{\xi}{k_0 \Theta_D} - 1 \right) \right].
\]

This integral has to be solved numerically and yields the full incoherent approximation.

For the limit of \( T \to 0 \) and \( E_i \to 0 \) (very slow neutrons), an analytical solution is known as the Stepanov equation 17,

\[
\sigma_{1\text{ph}} \simeq 45 \zeta \left( \frac{T}{2} \right) \sqrt{\pi} \sigma_{00}^{sc} \left( \frac{m_n}{M} \right) \sqrt{\frac{k_0 T}{E_0}} \left( \frac{T}{\Theta_D} \right)^3,
\]

where \( \zeta(x) \) is the Riemann zeta function 18.

This equation neglects the exact forms of the Debye–Waller factor (DWF) and the Bessel function \( j_2 \) by setting both of them equal to 1. Furthermore, the upper integration limit is taken as infinity instead of the actual energy relation, which greatly simplifies the integration of the double-differential cross section over the kinematic region; it can now be carried out analytically. This simplification, however, comes at the price of limited validity. The Stepanov equation is only valid at low temperatures (\( T < 5 \text{ K} \) for deuterium) and low neutron energies. At a deuterium temperature of \( T = 18 \text{ K} \), the Stepanov equation delivers one-phonon cross sections about 40% above the full IA (see Table 1). Therefore, it is not suited for calculating the one-phonon up-scattering cross section in “warm” deuterium crystals of \( T = 10 \ldots 18 \text{ K} \).

IV. THE PLACZEK–VAN HOVE CORRECTION

Placzek and Van Hove (PVH) developed a detailed approach 19 to account for the interference term arising from coherent scattering, which is neglected by the incoherent approximation. In this section, we apply the “PVH correction” to scattering cross sections calculated using the IA for thermal and slow neutron scattering in samples at room temperature, and compare our results with calculations by others as well as experimental results— to verify our correct understanding of it. Eventually, we expand the PVH correction to the limit of low temperatures and calculate correction terms for sD2. Then we compare the corrected one-phonon scattering cross sections of sD2 for UCNs with other calculation methods.

In the case of thermal neutrons, the IA is valid for large momentum transfers \( q \), as shown by Oskotskii 20 and Schober 21. Corrections to it are of the order of \((\lambda/d)^2\), with \( d \) the interatomic distances in the lattice of the scattering system and \( \lambda \) the neutron wavelength 21 22. Calculating these corrections for copper and aluminum, we found only marginal correction terms of \(-1.6\% \) (Cu) and \(-0.1\% \) (Al). Hence, the IA is fully justified for thermal neutrons.

In the slow neutron limit, we calculated PVH corrections for various metals at room temperature. For copper, we found a correction to the IA of \(-8\% \), and for aluminum of \(+11\% \) 22. These values are in line with those published earlier for slow neutrons 3 19.

Next, we used the general approach of PVH to consider the limit of low temperatures and low neutron energies in the range below 1 \( \mu \text{eV} \) (\( v < 15 \text{ m/s} \)), and applied the results to solid ortho-deuterium. We only repeat equations from PVH’s paper where necessary for understanding.

A. General formulas

Using the notation of PVH, Eq. 1 can be written as

\[
\sigma_{1\text{ph}} = \sigma_{\text{inc}} + \sigma_{\text{coh}},
\]

where \( \sigma_{\text{coh}} \) and \( \sigma_{\text{inc}} \) stand for the coherent and incoherent scattering law integrated over the kinematic region. Introducing \( \delta S = S_{\text{coh}} - S_{\text{inc}} \) and expanding this equation to \( \sigma_{1\text{ph}} = (\sigma_{\text{coh}} + \sigma_{\text{inc}}) S_{\text{inc}} + \sigma_{\text{coh}} \delta S \), we obtain

\[
\sigma_{1\text{ph}} = \sigma_{\text{inc}} + \sigma_{\text{coh}} \frac{\delta S}{S_{\text{inc}}} \chi,
\]

where \( \chi \) represents the IA and the second factor is the correction factor \( \chi \) arising from interference effects due to the collective dynamics in the solid. For ortho-deuterium \( \sigma_{\text{coh}} = \sigma_{00}^{\text{coh}} \) and \( \sigma_{\text{inc}} = \sigma_{00}^{\text{inc}} \). \( \delta S/S_{\text{inc}} \) is the Placzek–Van Hove correction term and the key parameter to be calculated.

B. Qualitative discussion

Before we evaluate the correction term quantitatively, it seems instructive to qualitatively discuss the contributions to \( \sigma_{1\text{ph}} \). To this end, Fig. 1 shows the relevant part of the kinematic region for one-phonon up-scattering of UCNs (\( \omega < 0 \)).

All incoherent one-phonon up-scattering events fall between the two limiting blue parabolas representing forward and backward scattering of UCNs in the \( q - \omega \) plane. Both parabolas follow closely the free-particle dispersion curve of the neutron (black dashed curve). In the Debye approximation (DA), as used here, the ordinate is limited at \( |\omega| \leq \omega_D \), with \( \omega_D = k_0 \Theta_D / h \). As a consequence, the relevant range on the abscissa is also limited, at \( k_{\text{max}} \approx (2m_0\omega_D / h)^{1/2} \) (the dotted straight lines in Fig. 1). Beyond these points, no one-phonon up-scattering is possible and thus the integration of Eq. 1 needs to be carried out over the kinematic region between the parabolas up to \( \omega_D \) and \( k_{\text{max}} \).
The coherent one-phonon scattering events emanate from the intersections of the sound dispersion \( \omega = c_s q \) (\( c_s \) is the sound velocity of the medium in DA, red lines in Fig. 1) — originating at various lattice vectors \( \tau_i \) — with the free UCN dispersion curve \( \omega = -\hbar q^2/2m_\text{n} \). Two features of Fig. 1 are worth noting: (i) Only a limited number of lattice vectors \( \tau_i \) are “active” as Bragg peaks and fulfill momentum and energy conservation simultaneously for UCNs \[12\] \[19\], and (ii) the crossings of the phonon dispersion with the kinematic region (red dots in Fig. 1) stand, in the case of UCNs, for a very small area of width \( \Delta q \propto k_1 \) and \( \Delta \omega \propto E_1 \). Hence, together with the limited number of Bragg peaks, the integration area in the coherent case is only a small fraction of the whole kinematic region. This means that, in the case of UCNs, coherent phonon scattering makes a much smaller contribution to the total one-phonon up-scattering than incoherent events. For UCNs, the obvious conclusion from this is that the IA significantly overestimates the total one-phonon up-scattering cross section.

C. Outline of the Placzek–Van Hove theory and expansion to low temperatures

In the following quantitative calculation we adopt reduced variables for wave vectors \( q' \), lattice vectors \( \tau' \), and temperature \( T' \) as used by PVH,

\[
\tilde{q}' = \frac{q'}{q_D}, \quad \tilde{\tau}' = \frac{\tau'}{q_D}, \quad T' = \frac{T}{\Theta_D},
\]

(9)

with the Debye vector \( q_D = (6\pi^2 n_0)^{1/3} \), \( n_0 \) the number density of molecules in the crystal, and \( \Theta_D \) its Debye temperature.

Using these variables, the scattering surface becomes (see Eq. 5.12 in PVH \[19\] )

\[
(\tilde{\tau}' + \tilde{q}')^2 = f q'
\]

(10)

with

\[
f = \left(\frac{k_{\text{max}}}{q_D}\right)^2
\]

(11)

resulting in

\[
\tau_{\text{max}}' \lesssim \sqrt{f} + 1.
\]

(12)

\( f \) is the crucial parameter of the PVH theory and shall be called the fill factor because \( f^{3/2} \) is defined as the ratio of the energetically accessible \( k \) space to the volume of a Brillouin zone. For a large variety of elements \( f \lesssim 10 \), which means that only a few lattice vectors of finite length \( \tau_i' \) are involved in coherent UCN scattering processes.

The correction term \( \delta S/S_{\text{inc}} \) for \( k_1 \to 0 \) (UCN limit) can be expressed as

\[
\frac{\delta S}{S_{\text{inc}}} = -1 + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\tau_i'} 2 f^{1/2} \frac{1}{T'} \int_{q_{\text{min}}'}^{q_{\text{max}}'} \Psi(q', T')q' dq',
\]

(13)

according to PVH \[19\], Eq. 5.20. Here, the positive term represents the coherent contribution to \( \delta S/S_{\text{inc}} \), \( g(\tau') \) is the number of vectors of length \( \tau' \), i.e., the multiplicity of \( \tau' \) \[12\], while \( \Psi(q', T') \) describes the product of the DWF and the Bose factor \( n(\omega) \) in PVH notation,

\[
\Psi(q', T') = \frac{e^{-6m/M \times \phi(T')q'}}{e^{q'/T'} - 1}.
\]

(14)

\( \phi(T') \) is the effective temperature of the scattering system. PVH considered only the high-temperature limit of \( \phi(T') \). We calculated it for the quantum crystal sD$_2$ at low \( T' \), including quantum effects, such as zero-point motion at low temperatures. The reduced vectors \( q_{\text{min, max}}' \) are given by PVH in their Eqs. 5.18 and 5.19, respectively. The normalization factor \( N \) in Eq. 13 stands for the incoherent approximation in PVH notation,

\[
N = 3 \int_0^{q_{\text{max}}'} \Psi(q', T')q'^{5/2} dq'.
\]

(15)

In summary, the equations of the PVH correction given in this section allow for the calculation of the interference correction term \( \delta S/S_{\text{inc}} \), now also for low temperatures.

It should be mentioned that Binder \[23\] worked out a calculation of \( \delta S \) \((k_1 \to 0)\) in real-space representation. However, his ansatz shows an extremely poor convergence in comparison to the Fourier-space representation of PVH \[19\].

D. Parameters of sD$_2$ for quantitative calculations

In this section, we give the parameters used in our calculations of the corrections to the IA for solid ortho-deuterium. All parameters, other than the reduced temperature \( T' \), were regarded to be independent of temperature as they change only little from 5 to 18 K, which is
the relevant temperature range for sD₂. The parameters are as follows: mass ratio $M_{D2}/m_n = 4$, number density $n_0 = 3.0 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, Debye temperature $\Theta_D = 70 \text{ K}$ (only for acoustic phonon modes, see explanation below), Debye vector $q_D = 1.21 \text{ Å}^{-1}$, $k_{\text{max}} = 1.71 \text{ Å}^{-1}$, and the fill factor $f = 1.98$.

These parameters are quasi-independent of the solid’s structure, whether it be an fcc or bcc crystal [19]. The PVH approach is only valid for Bravais lattices, i.e., with one atom per primitive cell. Real deuterium, however, has an hcp lattice with one atom per primitive cell. The PVH approach of Placzek and Van Hove [19], which – in contrast to fcc – contains optical phonons into account for the dynamic structure function $S(q, \omega)$ of polycrystalline sD₂ to obtain their results. The excellent agreement of the results from these two very different approaches is a mutual validation and underlines the pertinence of the corrections to the IA presented here. The results of both approaches are furthermore supported by scattering cross section data obtained from experiments in Ref. [6].

As Liu et al. [26] have shown, the DOS of sD₂ has two peaks, one at $\Theta_D^{\text{opt}} = 70 \text{ K}$ due to acoustic phonons and one at $\Theta_D^{\text{opt}} = 110 \text{ K}$ due to optical phonons. For the PVH treatment of sD₂, we used the reduced Debye temperature of 70 K, since the approach is only strictly applicable to Bravais-type crystals, i.e., lattices without optical phonon modes.

To compensate the neglect of the real hcp structure of sD₂, which – in contrast to fcc – contains optical phonon modes, we consider the simple relation $\sigma_{\text{coh}}^{\text{1ph}} \propto \sqrt{n_0(\omega)}$ for the coherent UCN scattering cross section of phonons [12]. For sD₂, the contribution of optical phonons to the scattering is related to the contribution of acoustic phonons as follows

$$
\frac{\sigma_{\text{opt}}}{\sigma_{\text{ac}}} = \frac{\omega_{\text{opt}}}{\omega_{\text{ac}}} \left( \frac{n(c)}{n(\omega_{\text{ac}})} \right) = 1.254e^{-\Delta\Theta_D/T},
$$

where $\Delta\Theta_D$ is the difference between the Debye temperatures of the optical and acoustic phonons.

From this estimate, it can be seen that the optical phonons contribute little to the coherent scattering at low temperatures due to their small occupancy factor. For sD₂ at 5 K, the optical phonons cause less than one permille additional scattering, at 18 K they contribute an extra 14%. We multiplied the correction factor $(1 + \sigma_{\text{opt}}/\sigma_{\text{ac}})$ to the second term of Eq. [13] thus taking optical phonons into account for the $\delta S/S_{\text{inc}}$, $\sigma_{\text{1ph}}$, and $\chi_{D2}$ values in Table I.

V. COMPARISON OF RESULTS USING DIFFERENT CALCULATION METHODS

Placzek and Van Hove provided a way to calculate $\delta S/S_{\text{inc}}$, which we applied and expanded to the limit of low temperatures. The results of our calculations of $\delta S/S_{\text{inc}}$ for sD₂ using the parameters listed above, as well as various other means of calculating the one-phonon up-scattering, are shown and compared with one another in Table I for a selection of typical solid deuterium temperatures and a UCN (in-medium) velocity of 10 m/s.

It is obvious that the IA used hitherto yields cross sections $\sigma_{\text{IA}}$ that are, depending on the temperature, a factor of 2 to 5 too high. Such values for sD₂ were used, for example, by Atchison et al. [5, 24].

Our corrected one-phonon up-scattering cross sections $\sigma_{\text{1ph}}$ lie less than 14% below those of Liu et al. [26], who used a first-principles Monte Carlo calculation of the dynamic structure function $S(q, \omega)$ of polycrystalline sD₂ to obtain their results. The excellent agreement of the results from these two very different approaches is a mutual validation and underlines the pertinence of the corrections to the IA presented here. The results of both approaches are furthermore supported by scattering cross section data obtained from experiments in Ref. [6].

VI. CONCLUSION

The widely used incoherent approximation for neutron one-phonon scattering cross sections was shown to be a factor of 2 to 5 too high for ultracold neutron (UCN) up-scattering in solid ortho-deuterium (sD₂). Applying a correction for interference effects based on the approach of Placzek and Van Hove [19], which we expanded to low temperatures, yielded much lower, realistic one-phonon scattering cross sections. These should from now on be used in calculations and simulations of UCN up-scattering in sD₂. Our results agree very well with Monte Carlo simulations by Liu et al. [26]. This agreement is a mutual confirmation for both results as they were obtained using completely different methods. Both are fur-
thermore supported by experimental results in Ref. [6].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Brian C. Dye from the University of Hawaii at Manoa for a critical reading of the manuscript. This work received funding from FRM II/ Heinz Maier-Leibnitz Zentrum (MLZ), Munich, Germany. The open access publication fee was paid for by the University Library and the Physics Department of the Technische Universität München, Munich, Germany.

The results for this paper were produced as part of the Ph.D. thesis of Stefan Döge [6].

[1] R. Golub and J. M. Pendlebury, Super-thermal sources of ultra-cold neutrons, Physics Letters A 53, 133 (1975).
[2] I. F. Silvera, The solid molecular hydros in the condensed phase: Fundamentals and static properties, Rev. Mod. Phys. 52, 393 (1980).
[3] G. Placzek, Incoherent neutron scattering by polycrystals, Phys. Rev. 93, 895 (1954).
[4] V. F. Turchin, Slow Neutrons, Israel program for scientific translations (Sivan Press, Jerusalem, 1965) Russian original: Medlennye nejtrony (Gosatomizdat, Moscow, 1963).
[5] F. Atchison, B. Blau, B. van den Brandt, T. Bryš, M. Daum, P. Fierlinger, P. Hautle, R. Henneck, S. Heule, K. Kirch, J. Kohlbrecher, G. Kühne, J. A. Konter, A. Pichlmair, A. Wokaun, K. Bodek, M. Kasprzak, M. Kuźniak, P. Geltenbort, and J. Zmeskal, Measured total cross sections of slow neutrons scattered by solid deuterium and implications for ultracold neutron sources, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 182502 (2005).
[6] S. Döge, Scattering of Ultracold Neutrons in Condensed Deuterium and on Material Surfaces, Ph.D. thesis Technische Universität München, Munich, Germany (2019), http://doi.org/10.14459/2019md1464401.
[7] M. Hamermesh and J. Schwinger, The Scattering of Slow Neutrons by Ortho- and Paradeuterium, Phys. Rev. 69, 145 (1946).
[8] J. A. Young and J. U. Koppel, Slow Neutron Scattering by Molecular Hydrogen and Deuterium, Phys. Rev. 135, A603 (1964).
[9] R. W. Hill and O. V. Lounasmaa, The lattice specific heats of solid hydrogen and deuterium, Philos. Mag. 4, 785 (1959).
[10] M. Nielsen, Phonons in solid hydrogen and deuterium studied by inelastic coherent neutron scattering, Phys. Rev. B 7, 1626 (1973).
[11] V. K. Ignatovich, The Physics of Ultracold Neutrons (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1990).
[12] R. Golub, D. J. Richardson, and S. K. Lamoreaux, Ultracold Neutrons (Adam Hilger, Bristol, 1991).
[13] G. Placzek, B. R. A. Nijboer, and L. Van Hove, Effect of short wavelength interference on neutron scattering by dense systems of heavy nuclei, Phys. Rev. 82, 392 (1951).
[14] I. I. Gurevich and L. V. Tarasov, Low-Energy Neutron Physics (North-Holland Publishing Company, 1968).
[15] S. W. Lovesey, Theory of Neutron Scattering from Condensed Matter, Vol. 1 (Oxford Science Publications, 1986).
[16] G. L. Squires, Introduction to the Theory of Thermal Neutron Scattering (Dover Publications, Mineola, New York, 1978).
[17] A. V. Stepanov, On the coefficient of absorption of ultracold neutrons in a medium bounded by a rough surface, Theoretical and Mathematical Physics 22, 299 (1975).
[18] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, Handbook of Mathematical Functions (Dover Publications, Mineola, New York, 1965).
[19] G. Placzek and L. Van Hove, Interference effects in the total neutron scattering cross-section of crystals, Il Nuovo Cimento (1955-1965) 1, 233 (1955).
[20] S. O. Soksuev, Measurement of phonon distribution function in polycrystalline materials using coherent scattering of slow neutrons into a solid angle, Sov. Phys. Solid State 9, 420 (1967), [Fiz. Tverd. Tela (Leningrad) 9, 550 (1967)].
[21] H. Schober, An introduction to the theory of nuclear neutron scattering in condensed matter, Journal of Neutron Research 17, 109 (2014).
[22] S. Döge, J. Hingerl, E. V. Lychagin, and C. Morkel, Scattering of ultracold neutrons from rough surfaces of metal foils, Phys. Rev. C 102, 064607 (2020).
[23] K. Binder, Total coherent cross sections for the scattering of neutrons from crystals, Physica Status Solidi B 41, 767 (1970).
[24] K. F. Mucker, P. M. Harris, D. White, and R. A. Erickson, Structures of solid deuterium above and below the λ transition as determined by neutron diffraction, The Journal of Chemical Physics 49, 1922 (1968).
[25] K. F. Mucker, S. Talhouk, P. M. Harris, and D. White, Crystal structure of para-enriched solid deuterium below the λ transition, Phys. Rev. Lett. 16, 799 (1966).
[26] C.-Y. Liu, A. R. Young, C. M. Lavelle, and D. Salvat, Coherent neutron scattering in polycrystalline deuterium and its implications for ultracold neutron production, arXiv preprint (2010) arXiv:1005.1016 [nucl-th].
[27] F. Atchison, B. van den Brandt, T. Bryš, M. Daum, P. Fierlinger, P. Hautle, R. Henneck, S. Heule, M. Kasprzak, K. Kirch, J. A. Konter, A. Michels, A. Pichlmair, M. Wohlmuther, A. Wokaun, K. Bodek, U. Szerer, P. Geltenbort, J. Zmeskal, and Y. Pokotilovskyi, Production of ultracold neutrons from a cold neutron beam on a 3H2 target, Phys. Rev. C 71, 054601 (2005).