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Abstract. It is increasingly apparent that common merger events play a large role in the evolution of disk galaxies at all cosmic times, from the wet accretion of gas-filled dwarf galaxies during the era of peak star formation, to the collisions between large, dynamically-advanced spiral galaxies and their dry companion satellites, a type of interaction that continues to influence disk structure into the present day. We also live in a large spiral galaxy currently undergoing a series of impacts from an infalling, disrupting dwarf galaxy. As next-generation astrometry proposes to place our understanding of the Milky Way spiral structure on a much firmer footing, we analyze high-resolution numerical models of this disk-satellite interaction in order to assess the dynamical response of our home Galaxy to the Sagittarius dwarf impact, and possible implications for experiments hoping to directly detect dark matter passing through the Earth.

Throughout this proceeding, we discuss the results initially presented in Purcell et al. (2011) and Purcell et al. (2012), in which we perform high-resolution \(N\)-body experiments involving a dwarf galaxy model with parameters similar to those indicated by observational constraints on the Sagittarius (Sgr) progenitor (Niederste-Ostholt et al. 2010), as it is accreted into a Milky Way-like system that is initially a stellar disk in equilibrium with a host halo. Following an orbital path and producing tidal debris consistent with the phase-space characteristics of the observed Sgr stream, the infalling subhalo impacts the disk twice in the recent Galactic past, with a third peri-center presently occurring as Sgr approaches the southern face of the Milky Way disk. Each of these disk crossings results in the emergence of swing-amplified global spirality modes, such that the present-day Galaxy resembles a multi-armed spiral structure with intermediate-scale arms (see the discussion of spirality categorization in Binney & Tremaine 2008).

The massive Sgr progenitor unfurls into a thick polar stream wrapping around the Milky Way, not only in stellar material but also in the attendant dark matter stripped from the satellite as it is accreted into the Galactic mass. Being from a much dynamically hotter and larger distribution initially, the dark tidal debris cuts a much wider path across the Milky Way disk than the stellar Sgr stream, but is otherwise similarly distributed in phase space at the present day. Serendipitously, the leading tidal arm of the disrupting Sgr system is falling down onto the northern face of the Galactic disk somewhere near the solar neighborhood; one robust conclusion of our work is that there must be Sagittarius dark matter moving coherently through the solar neighborhood at relatively high speeds, and that this feature in the dark matter speed distribution produces a significant phase shift in the expected annual modulation of nuclear-recoil events involving dark matter particles.
Figure 1. Surface brightness maps (assuming a stellar mass-to-light ratio $M^*/L = 3$) for the initial state of our primary Milky Way model (left panels) and the end-state following the infall of the Light Sgr dwarf galaxy (right panels). In the center panels, we show the result of secular evolution in the initial model for an equivalent timespan of 2.65 Gyr; note that the Sgr interaction causes the widespread emergence of discernible spiral arms and greatly influences the evolution of the central bar. In the right panels, the orientation of the satellite’s orbital plane allows us to define the position of the Sun (marked by the solar symbol).

1. Dynamical Response of the Milky Way to the Sagittarius Impact

We visualize the stars associated with the primary Milky Way model in the left panels of Figure 1 and the same system evolved in isolation for 2.65 Gyr (the time of the longest Sgr orbit simulation) in the middle panels. We note that these initial conditions are quite stable during secular evolution, though a weak bar does begin to emerge after $\sim 2$ Gyr of evolution. Each of the modeled Sgr progenitors experiences two disk crossings and approaches a third, corresponding to the three orbital pericenters (as indicated by shaded bands). For both models, the first impact occurs in the outer disk at $R_{GC} \sim 30$ kpc and is responsible for most of the dark matter mass loss in the satellite but very little stellar mass loss. The second occurs $\sim 0.9$ Gyr later at $R_{GC} \sim 15$ kpc and is responsible for liberating the majority of the stellar stream debris. The final disk crossing is occurring at the present day, about $\sim 1.8$ Gyr after the first disk crossing. We note that the impact times and radii for our models are quite similar to those presented in past work aimed at reproducing the Sgr stream in great detail, although these past models have not included a dark matter component to the massive Sgr galaxy (see, e.g. Law & Majewski 2010).
The left panel of Figure 2 visualizes the global disk response to the Sgr interaction in the \textit{Light Sgr} model by using a face-on disk rendering color-coded by ratio of the local density to the radial/vertical average at each point. Under-densities are white in this depiction, while over-densities are colored. The images reveal a prominent bar as well as a complicated array of spirality that reveals itself in the nearby anti-Center as overdensities rotating coherently with the Milky Way disk. The right panel depicts the local density as determined within a 5-degree solid angle cone along the plane as a function of radius from the solar position, in the direction of the anti-Center region.

The disks in our simulations develop outer arcs of material generated in association with each disk crossing. These evolved outer wrappings are loosely wound and resemble rings. One of the predicted arcs, at about 10 kpc from the Sun, is reminiscent of the low-latitude Milky Way feature known as the Monoceros ring. Though the Monoceros ring is often considered to be the leftover tidal stream from a now-defunct dwarf satellite galaxy, some observational evidence has suggested that the Monoceros ring could be a feature of the Milky Way itself. Previous theoretical work has suggested that a past encounter with some previously unidentified massive satellite could have produced the Monoceros ring as the outcome of a disk impact, and that these structures are long-lived during the impact itself. We specifically identify the Sgr progenitor as the likely candidate for the impact that molded the Monoceros ring from the Milky Way disk, as the induced spiral arms detached from the outer Galactic plane and began to oscillate vertically over a range of 5 – 10 kpc (as shown in the right panel of Figure 2).

In order to quantify the presence of spiral arms in the resultant disk of the fiducial infall model, we have examined the Fourier amplitudes of the final density distribution, finding significant power in the $m = 2$ and $m = 4$ modes (corresponding to two-armed and four-armed morphologies respectively), with comparatively negligible power in the...
Figure 3. Left panel: the Toomre stability parameter $Q$ and the critical wavelength associated with scales most susceptible to instability. Where necessary, we set the mode number $m = 2$ for two-armed spiral and bar structure. Note that the initial Milky Way model, as well as both endstate disks from the Light Sgr and Heavy Sgr infall models, are globally stable with $Q > 1$ at all radii where disk particles dominate the stellar density. Small-scale perturbations with wavelengths less than roughly 2-3 kpc travel easily through all of these disks. Right panel: the phase (or shape function) $\phi$ as a function of radius, during the second pericentric approach/impact of the Heavy Sgr satellite, and annotated regionally with values of radial wavenumber $k = d\phi/dR$. Near the radius of disk impact (shaded area), a leading density disturbance emerges due to the gravitational perturbation of the interloping subhalo, and this feature is quickly sheared by differential rotation into the generally-trailing spiral structure of the post-infall disk as time evolves.

first two odd modes relative to axisymmetry. This is broadly consistent with analysis of satellite impacts onto the Milky Way [Chakrabarti & Blitz 2009], which finds that satellites with mass ratio $\sim 1 : 50$ and orbital pericenter distances $\sim 20$ kpc do induce power in the first few Fourier modes, with comparatively greater amplitude in the $m = 2$ mode. Analysis of the Toomre stability $Q$ parameter (which similarly assesses axisymmetric disk instability, again see e.g. Binney & Tremaine 2008) and associated critical wavelength $\lambda_{\text{crit}}$ indicates that our fiducial Milky Way model is globally stable against long-wavelength perturbations, but is susceptible to short-wavelength modes on small scales, as demonstrated by Figure 3.

2. Dark Debris from Sagittarius on Earth

There are particularly interesting consequences of the Sagittarius impact on experiments designed to test the local distribution of weakly-interacting dark matter particles via nuclear-recoil rates. Previous numerical studies that have addressed the issue of direct detection and velocity distributions in high-resolution $N$-body simulations have largely been limited to analyses of simulations including only dark matter. These simulations do not account for the Milky Way disk and its cosmological growth and evolution to the present day. Such experiments represent only individual samples of the broad statistical ensemble of merger histories that lead to the formation of a Milky Way-sized dark matter halo. Consequently, these simulated halos lack specific structures known
Figure 4.  

Left panel: distributions of stars and dark matter from the disrupting Sagittarius dwarf satellite galaxy (light and dark particles, respectively), in our Light Sgr model. In this perspective, the Milky Way disk plane is denoted by concentric blue rings at 5-kpc radial intervals, to a Galactocentric distance of 25 kpc. The darkest particles appearing in the inset panel are in the solar neighborhood as defined in the text. Right panel: Annual modulation phase as a function of recoil energy and the minimum necessary velocity \( v_{\text{min}} \); the shaded regions represent the \( \pm 1\sigma \) ranges in the modulation peaks determined by DAMA/LIBRA for scattering on sodium, i.e. peak day-numbers of 136, 142, 146 \( \pm 7 \) for recoil energy bins \( E_r = 2 \), 5, 6 keVee. Where present, thin colored lines represent the maximum possible signal induced by each Sgr model.

to exist in the Milky Way, such as the ongoing Sagittarius accretion and tidal stream, or other features that may have yet to be discovered. Therefore, the problem of mapping the results of cosmological numerical simulations onto specific predictions for the actual Milky Way halo, especially at the solar neighborhood, is a distinct challenge. Some observational measurements of the tidally-stripped stars suggest that the leading stellar arm of the Sgr tidal stream may fall several kiloparsecs away from the solar position in the Galactic plane (e.g., Belokurov et al. [2006], Seabroke et al. [2008]); although recent detections of coherently-moving stellar populations in the solar neighborhood seem to rule out large-scale flows with Sagittarius-like vertical velocities (Helmi et al. [1999], Re Fiorentin et al. [2011]), percent-level streams outside one or two kiloparsecs from the Sun are poorly constrained and difficult to disentangle using tracer-sampling techniques. Observationally-viable models for the Sgr infall exhibit several interesting features relevant to contemporary and future direct search experiments, because the models we present here treat the Sgr dwarf in a cosmological context, in the sense that we assume that the Sagittarius galaxy is itself embedded in a dark matter halo as it merges with the Milky Way. Utilizing simulations of the Sagittarius infall, we emphasize that although the primary stellar component of the Sagittarius debris stream may be several kiloparsecs away, the associated dark matter stream is always significantly more spatially extended than the stellar stream (as shown in Figure 4) and more coherent in velocity space. In fact, our models illustrate that the stellar and dark matter streams need not even be spatially concentric in realistic models. In other
words, the peak of the stellar density of the stream may be displaced from the peak in dark matter density associated with the stream by several kiloparsecs. Both of these properties of simulated Sagittarius infall models suggest that it is not necessary for the solar neighborhood to be closer than several kiloparsecs away from the primary stellar stream of Sagittarius debris in order for the stream to affect significantly dark matter detection rates. We use the dark matter streams realized in our simulations to model the dark matter velocity distribution in the solar neighborhood. Indeed, we find that the near coincidence of the solar neighborhood and the debris of the Sagittarius satellite’s dark matter halo creates a peak in the high-end of the dark matter velocity distribution. These Sgr particles can lead to significant effects on the energy dependence of dark matter detection rates, as well as the amplitude and phase of the annual modulation of event rates, particularly for relatively low-mass dark matter candidates ($m_\chi \lesssim 20$ GeV).

The geometry of the Sagittarius impact on the solar system causes the signal from the Sagittarius stream to peak during Northern winter, in agreement with previous studies (Freese et al. 2004, 2005; Savage et al. 2006, 2007, 2009) (as well as the general study of debris flows in Vergados 2012). Our models of Sagittarius yield recoil energy-dependent shifts in peak day number of between $\sim 5$ and 25 days earlier in the year than the SHM peak day-number of 152.5. Both DAMA/LIBRA and CoGeNT have indicated a similar behavior, with both experiments finding trends between peak day-number and recoil energy (Bernabei et al. 2010; Aalseth et al. 2011); however, the observational error in the peak remains on the order of a few days and the uncertainty in simulation programs that aim to model Sagittarius remain significant. Nevertheless, our models suggest such a shift is reasonable given contemporary knowledge of Sagittarius debris structure. Exploiting this signature, in particular, to help identify dark matter or use dark matter searches to perform WIMP astronomy will benefit greatly from future low-threshold detectors with improved energy resolution, such as those being considered by many projects (Yue et al. 2012; Bruch & the CDMS Collaboration 2010; Yue et al. 2012).

The effects of Sagittarius that we describe in this manuscript may be relevant to dark matter searches generally. However, if the dark matter is indeed relatively light ($m_\chi \lesssim 20$ GeV as we have assumed in our illustrative examples), the effects of Sagittarius debris on scattering rates are particularly important because of the large relative speed of the debris stream at the Earth. In either case, future direct search experiments may probe such signatures, though a future generation of low-threshold detectors with fine energy resolution (Yue et al. 2012; Bruch & the CDMS Collaboration 2010; Pyle et al. 2012; Graham et al. 2012) may be necessary in the event that the dark matter mass falls in this lower range. In either case, our analysis suggests that the effects of Sagittarius debris on direct search experiments will not be negligible given contemporary limits on the position of the Sagittarius stellar stream. In the far future, the features induced by Sagittarius debris may be among the early measurements to be made in an era of WIMP astronomy with large direct search rates (Peter 2011).
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