Munc13 Mediates the Transition from the Closed Syntaxin–Munc18 complex to the SNARE complex
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Abstract

During the priming step that leaves synaptic vesicles ready for neurotransmitter release, the SNARE syntaxin-1 transitions from a closed conformation that binds Munc18-1 tightly to an open conformation within the highly stable SNARE complex. Control of this conformational transition is key for brain function, but the underlying mechanism(s) is unknown. NMR and fluorescence experiments now show that the Munc13-1 MUN domain, which plays a central role in vesicle priming, dramatically accelerates the transition from the syntaxin-1–Munc18-1 complex to the SNARE complex. This activity depends on weak interactions of the MUN domain with the syntaxin-1 SNARE motif, and probably with Munc18-1. Together with available physiological data, these results provide a defined molecular basis for synaptic vesicle priming, and illustrate how weak protein-protein interactions can play crucial biological roles by promoting transitions between high-affinity macromolecular assemblies.
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The release of neurotransmitters by Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-triggered synaptic vesicle exocytosis is crucial for interneuronal communication. This process involves docking of synaptic vesicles to specialized sites of the plasma membrane called active zones, priming of the vesicles to a release-ready state, and Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-triggered fusion of the vesicle and plasma membranes\textsuperscript{1}. The core of the sophisticated protein machinery that controls these steps includes Munc18-1 and the SNAREs synaptobrevin, syntaxin-1 and SNAP-25\textsuperscript{2–5}. The SNAREs assemble into a
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highly stable four-helix bundle called the SNARE complex through their SNARE motifs, which brings the two membranes together and is critical for membrane fusion. Munc18-1 forms a very tight complex with syntaxin-1 folded into a closed conformation that involves intramolecular binding of its N-terminal H_{abc} domain to the SNARE motif and is different from the open conformation of syntaxin-1 in the SNARE complex (Figs. 1a,b). Studies using a so called ‘LE mutation’ that helps to open syntaxin-1 showed that the binary Munc18-1-syntaxin-1 interaction gates SNARE complex formation and regulates the vesicular release probability. Munc18-1 also binds to assembled SNARE complexes containing open syntaxin-1, which is key for exocytosis and may enable cooperation between Munc18-1 and the SNAREs in membrane fusion.

Synaptic vesicle priming is widely believed to involve opening of syntaxin-1 and partial SNARE complex assembly, but the molecular mechanism underlying this transition is unknown. Two large proteins from presynaptic active zones, Unc13/Munc13s and Unc10/α-RIMs, are known to play key roles in synaptic vesicle priming. Thus, priming is completely abolished upon genetic ablation of Unc13 in invertebrates or of its homologues Munc13-1 and Munc13-2 in mice, while strong priming defects are also observed in the absence of Caenorhabditis elegans (C. elegans) Unc10 or their mammalian homologues RIM1α and RIM2α. Interestingly, these priming defects were partially rescued by overexpression of the open syntaxin-1 LE mutant in C. elegans Unc13 or Unc10 nulls, suggesting that Unc13 and Unc10 are somehow involved in opening syntaxin-1. Moreover, reports of Unc13/Munc13 interactions with the syntaxin-1 N-terminal region suggested that Unc13/Munc13s may open syntaxin-1 directly. However, these interactions required the C_{2}C domain (see domain diagram in Fig. 1a) or were observed with protein fragments that do not correspond to complete folded domains. In contrast, vesicle priming in Munc13-1,2 knockout mice was rescued with an autonomously folded module called MUN domain (without the C_{2}C domain), and no binding of the MUN domain to syntaxin-1 was observed. Hence, despite the extensive physiological data demonstrating the central role of Unc13/Munc13s in priming, their mechanism of action is highly unclear. Note also that, through modulation by other Unc13/Munc13 domains, and through interactions with RIMs and other large proteins of presynaptic active zones, the priming activity of the MUN domain may be the focal point of regulation of neurotransmitter release in a wide variety of presynaptic plasticity processes that mediate diverse forms of information processing in the brain. Bridging the large gap between the physiological and biochemical characterization of Unc13/Munc13s is thus crucial to understand multiple fundamental aspects of brain function.

In extensive studies performed over several years using gel filtration, isothermal titration calorimetry and pulldown assays, we were unable to obtain convincing evidence for binding of the Munc13-1 MUN domain to recombinant soluble samples of key components of the release machinery such as the SNAREs, Munc18-1, synaptotagmin-1, Rab3A, complexins, or soluble complexes among them (N. Shen, R. Guan, O. Guryev, J. Lu, I. Dulubova and J. Rizo, unpublished data). However, we and others found that the Munc13-1 MUN domain binds to membrane-anchored SNARE complexes. These findings suggested that the MUN domain interacts weakly with the SNARE complex, and led us to hypothesize that
MUN domain function depends critically on weak interactions that are not detectable by the methods mentioned above. Key for this hypothesis was the realization that, whereas the closed syntaxin-1–Munc18-1 complex is very stable [kD 1.3 nM39] and the SNARE complex is even tighter [affinity too high to be measured 40], the transition between the two complexes may not involve strong interactions; thus, weak, transient binding of the MUN domain to the SNAREs and/or Munc18-1 might be sufficient to lower the energy barrier of the transition (Fig. 1c).

To test this hypothesis and shed light on the function of the Munc13-1 MUN domain, we have performed a detailed analysis of its interactions with the SNAREs and Munc18-1, taking advantage of the power of NMR spectroscopy to analyze large macromolecular complexes with a wide range of affinities41, 42. We find that the MUN domain interacts weakly with the SNARE complex, with Munc18-1 and with the syntaxin-1 SNARE motif. Using NMR and fluorescence spectroscopies, we show that the transition from the closed syntaxin-1–Munc18-1 complex to the SNARE complex is dramatically accelerated by the MUN domain. This activity depends critically on the weak interaction of the MUN domain with the syntaxin-1 SNARE motif, and can be bypassed by the LE mutation in syntaxin-1. Together with the available physiological data21–24, 28, 32, these results provide a clear molecular basis for the role of Unc13/Munc13s in synaptic vesicle priming, and illustrate how weak protein interactions can play critical biological functions by accelerating transitions between tight macromolecular complexes.

RESULTS

MUN-SNARE complex-Munc18-1 macromolecular assemblies

The Munc13-1 MUN domain has a tendency to oligomerize that hinders NMR studies and could prevent detection of weak protein-protein interactions. However, we found that removing part of a loop that has variable length and is poorly conserved in MUN domains (residues 1408–1452)32, 43 yields a fragment that is monomeric even at 105 μM concentration (Supplementary Fig. 1).

This fragment was used for most experiments described below (below referred to as MUN*). To analyze interactions of MUN*, we used one- and two-dimensional (1D and 2D) 1H-13C heteronuclear multiple quantum correlation (HMQC) and 1H-15N heteronuclear single quantum correlation (HSQC) spectra of proteins that were uniformly 15N-labeled and/or 1H,13C-labeled at Ile, Leu and Val methyl groups in a perdeuterated background (here referred to as 2H-13CH3 labeling), monitoring the decrease in signal intensities due to binding to unlabeled proteins34, 41.

We first acquired 1H-13C HMQC spectra of 2H-13CH3-MUN* (72 kDa). Addition of Munc18-1 (67 kDa) decreased slightly the cross-peak intensities, while the SNARE complex (55 kDa) caused a more considerable decrease, and an even stronger decrease was observed on addition of both Munc18-1 and SNARE complex (Figs. 2a,b; Supplementary Figs. 2a,b). These results show that MUN* binds very weakly to Munc18-1 and somewhat more strongly to the SNARE complex, and suggest that these interactions cooperate to yield a MUN*-SNARE complex-Munc18-1 macromolecular assembly.
We also acquired $^1$H-$^{13}$C HMQC spectra of $^2$H-$^{13}$CH$_3$-SNARE complex where only the syntaxin-1 Ile and the synaptobrevin Leu,Val methyl groups were $^1$H-$^{13}$C-labeled. This design, together with the resonance assignments available for the H$_{abc}$ domain$^9$ and additional multidimensional NMR experiments (Supplementary methods), allowed us to distinguish the behavior of cross-peaks from the H$_{abc}$ domain versus those from the four-helix bundle formed by the SNARE motifs (below referred to as SNARE 4-helix bundle) (Fig. 2c; Supplementary Figs. 2c-h). Overall, addition of MUN*, Munc18-1 or both caused progressively stronger decreases in the cross-peak intensities (Fig. 2d, red bars). Munc18-1 caused stronger decreases in the H$_{abc}$ domain cross-peak intensities while MUN* affected more strongly the cross-peaks from the SNARE 4-helix bundle (Fig. 2d, blue and light pink bars). These results are consistent with the finding that Munc18-1 binds preferentially to the syntaxin-1 N-terminal region of soluble SNARE complexes$^{44}$, and suggest that MUN* binds preferentially to the SNARE 4-helix bundle (Supplementary Note 1). Furthermore, these data reinforce the conclusion that MUN* forms a macromolecular assembly with Munc18-1 and the SNARE complex.

To measure the affinities of these interactions, we monitored the decrease in the integral of the amide region of 1D $^1$H-$^{15}$N spectra of $^{15}$N-labeled MUN* upon progressive addition of unlabeled proteins (Figs. 2e,f). The titrations showed that MUN* has a relatively weak affinity for the SNARE complex ($k_D$ 35 ± 10 μM), and a much weaker affinity for Munc18-1 (estimated $k_D$ ≥ 150 μM). The $k_D$ measured for binding of MUN* to the Munc18-1–SNARE complex assembly is 13 ± 4 μM, showing that there is some (albeit moderate) cooperativity between the interactions of MUN* with the SNARE complex and Munc18-1.

**The MUN domain binds weakly to the syntaxin-1 SNARE motif**

To further characterize which part of the SNARE complex binds to MUN*, we performed titrations of unlabeled MUN* on $^{15}$N-labeled SNARE complex containing or lacking the syntaxin-1 N-terminal region. We obtained very similar titration curves (Fig. 3a) and $k_D$s of 26 ± 3 μM and 28 ± 5 μM, which are consistent with those obtained with $^{15}$N-MUN* and unlabeled SNARE complex (Fig. 2f), and confirm that MUN* interacts primarily with the SNARE 4-helix bundle rather than the H$_{abc}$ domain. This conclusion was supported by the fact that MUN* caused very few changes on 2D $^1$H-$^{15}$N HSQC spectra of $^{15}$N-labeled syntaxin-1 N-terminal region (Fig. 3b). Importantly, MUN* caused strong broadening of selected cross-peaks in 2D $^1$H-$^{15}$N HSQC spectra of the $^{15}$N-syntxin-1 SNARE motif (Fig. 3c). Based on the available assignments$^{10}$, all broadened cross-peaks correspond to the region spanning residues 200–226 of syntaxin-1. A titration yielded a $k_D$ of 46 ± 20 μM for the MUN*–syntaxin-1 SNARE motif interaction (Fig. 3d), comparable to that of the MUN*–SNARE complex interaction.

The fact that MUN* causes selective broadening of cross-peaks from residues 200–226 arises from the unstructured, flexible nature of the syntaxin-1 SNARE motif$^{10}$ and provides an internal control for the specificity of the interaction. Nevertheless, this region of syntaxin-1 is highly promiscuous$^{3, 17}$, which may arise from an abundance of hydrophobic, flexible methionine side chains. To rule out that binding to MUN* merely reflects such
promiscuity, we mutated Arg210 to glutamate (R210E mutant), since this conserved residue (Supplementary Fig. 3) is on the polar face of the syntaxin-1 SNARE motif. Moreover, the exposed nature of R210 in the syntaxin-1–Munc18-1 complex (Fig. 3e) makes it an ideal point for an action of MUN* on this complex (see below). Indeed, the R210E mutation strongly impairs binding of MUN* to the syntaxin-1 SNARE motif (Figs. 3d,f).

**A new model for the mechanism of action of the MUN domain**

Based on the data presented here and previous results, it became clear that Munc13 function was unlikely to be primarily dictated by the interactions with the syntaxin-1 N-terminal region reported earlier. Instead, our results and energetic arguments (Fig. 1c) suggest a novel model for the role of Munc13-1 in synaptic vesicle priming whereby the MUN domain does accelerate opening of syntaxin-1, but such activity is mediated by interactions with the syntaxin-1 SNARE motif (Fig. 4a). Note that a 1.4 kcal/mol decrease in the transition state energy should accelerate the reaction by a factor of 10, and the MUN–SNARE motif interactions can thus provide ample energy for a strong acceleration of the reaction despite their weak nature (the 46 μM $K_D$ measured, Fig. 3d, translates into ca. 6 kcal/mol of binding energy). The model is also based on the realization that the Munc18-1–syntaxin-1 complex can be viewed as a ‘three-layered’ object where the $H_{abc}$ domain is in the middle, sandwiched between Munc18-1 and the SNARE motif (Fig. 4a, left panel); hence, from a mechanistic point of view, it seems difficult to open syntaxin-1 through interactions with the $H_{abc}$ domain. In contrast, the region of the syntaxin-1 SNARE motif that includes the R210 side chain and binds to the MUN domain is more accessible (Fig. 3e and Fig. 4a, left panel), suggesting that a more natural way to open syntaxin-1 involves ‘extraction’ of the SNARE motif from the syntaxin-1–Munc18-1 complex due to binding to the MUN domain (Fig. 4a, middle panel). Such ‘extraction’ might be transient, and would be favored if optimal binding of the MUN domain to the syntaxin-1 SNARE motif is hindered in the syntaxin-1 Munc18-1 complex by steric clashes and/or if the specific conformation adopted by the syntaxin-1 SNARE motif in the syntaxin-1–Munc18-1 complex is different from that required for MUN domain binding.

Based on these arguments, our model proposes that, starting with the Munc18-1–syntaxin-1 complex, weak MUN domain–syntaxin-1 SNARE motif interactions mediate the formation of a transient intermediate or transition state (Fig. 4a, middle panel), which lowers the energy barrier for the transition (Fig. 1c) and leads irreversibly to SNARE complex assembly upon binding of SNAP-25 and synaptobrevin to the syntaxin-1 SNARE motif. Note that the syntaxin-1 SNARE motif has an amphipathic nature, with a hydrophobic side that is buried in both the syntaxin-1–Munc18-1 complex and the SNARE complex, and a polar side that binds to the MUN domain (Supplementary Fig. 4). Therefore, we envision that the hydrophobic side of the syntaxin-1 SNARE motif becomes available for binding to SNAP-25 and synaptobrevin when the MUN domain binds to the polar side and releases the SNARE motif from its interactions with the $H_{abc}$ domain and Munc18-1. The MUN*-SNARE complex-Munc18-1 macromolecular assembly identified by our data serves as a natural end point for the transition in vitro (Fig. 4a, right panel), although only partial SNARE complex assembly is expected to occur in vivo during vesicle priming, since...
C-terminal assembly is expected to be hindered by repulsion between the vesicle and plasma membranes, and might require additional factors such as synaptotagmin-1\textsuperscript{45}.

**The MUN domain accelerates opening of syntaxin-1**

Our model makes several predictions. First, binding of the MUN domain to the isolated syntaxin-1 SNARE motif should be stronger than binding to the syntaxin-1 closed conformation. Second and most important, the MUN domain should accelerate the rate of the transition from the Munc18-1–closed syntaxin-1 complex to the SNARE complex. Third, this accelerating activity should be impaired by the R210E mutation in syntaxin-1. And fourth, the LE mutation that helps to open syntaxin-1\textsuperscript{110} and partially rescues the Unc13 phenotype\textsuperscript{28} should at least partially bypass the need for the MUN domain.

To test the first prediction, we performed titrations of \textsuperscript{15}N-MUN* with syntaxin-1–Munc18-1 complex (95 kDa) and observed very weak binding that paralleled the results obtained in titrations with Munc18-1 alone (Fig. 2f), suggesting that syntaxin-1 contributes little to this already very weak interaction. We also performed titrations of \textsuperscript{15}N-syntaxin-1 cytoplasmic region (28 kDa) with MUN* and observed only very weak binding (estimated \(k_D \geq 200 \mu M\); Supplementary Fig. 5), consistent with previous results that indicated no binding at lower concentrations\textsuperscript{32}. These data and the results of Fig. 3d show that MUN* indeed binds more strongly to the syntaxin-1 SNARE motif than to closed syntaxin-1.

In multiple attempts performed over several years to test the second, central prediction of our model, i.e. that the MUN domain accelerates the transition from the Munc18-1–syntaxin-1 complex to the SNARE complex, we monitored formation of SDS-resistant SNARE complexes, but it was difficult to obtain conclusive results. We finally observed acceleration of SNARE complex formation (Supplementary Fig. 6a) when we used concentrations of MUN* in the 20–30\(\mu M\) range, which correlates with the low affinity of the MUN*–syntaxin-1 SNARE motif interaction. Gel filtration experiments provided further evidence that MUN* accelerates the transition (Supplementary Figs. 6b,c), leading us to design NMR experiments that could provide definitive data and involved a labeling scheme that allowed monitoring the \(^1\text{H}-^{13}\text{C}\) HMQC cross-peaks of syntaxin-1 Ile methyl groups with high sensitivity at 15 \(\mu M\) protein concentration even in the 200 kDa MUN*–SNARE complex–Munc18-1 assembly (Figs. 4b-d). Since several of these cross-peaks have different positions when syntaxin-1 forms the binary complex with Munc18-1 or the SNARE complex (Fig. 4b), these spectra allowed us to unambiguously monitor the transition between the two complexes in real time (note that the positions of the cross-peaks are very similar in the SNARE complex and the MUN*–SNARE complex–Munc18-1 assembly).

The transition was also monitored simultaneously through the Leu,Val methyl cross-peaks of synaptobrevin, which change dramatically upon SNARE complex formation (Supplementary Fig. 7).

In reactions started with \(^2\text{H}-\text{Ile}-^{13}\text{CH}_3\)-syntaxin-1 bound to Munc18-1, consecutive \(^1\text{H}-^{13}\text{C}\) HMQC spectra (2.3 hours duration each) acquired after addition of the synaptobrevin and SNAP-25 SNARE motifs revealed very slow disappearance of the cross-peaks from the Munc18-1–syntaxin-1 complex and concomitant (very slow) appearance of the cross-peaks from the SNARE complex (e.g. Fig. 4c). Kinetic analysis of normalized cross-peak
intensities for several cross-peaks (e.g. Fig. 4e) yielded rate constants ranging from 0.021 to 0.16 hr\(^{-1}\) (the large range arises because the reaction is incomplete; Supplementary Note 2). However, both the disappearance of syntaxin-1–Munc18-1 complex cross-peaks and the appearance of SNARE complex cross-peaks were much faster in analogous reactions started in the presence of 30 μM MUN* (e.g. Fig. 4f). The final spectra were analogous to spectra obtained with preformed SNARE complex upon addition of Munc18-1 and MUN* (Fig. 2c; Supplementary Figs. 2e,h), demonstrating that the final product was indeed the MUN*-SNARE complex-Munc18-1 assembly. No reliable rate could be obtained from these data because SNARE complex formation was almost quantitative during acquisition of the first \(^1\)H-\(^{13}\)C HMQC spectrum (Fig. 4f). However, the high sensitivity of the cross-peak of Ile203 from the SNARE complex and from the syntaxin-1–Munc18-1 complex (see its location in the two complexes in Supplementary Figure 8), as well as the Ile176 and Ile115 cross-peaks from the latter, allowed us to monitor the kinetics of the reaction through \(^1\)H-\(^{13}\)C HMQC spectra acquired in 10 min each (Fig. 4g). These experiments yielded rate constants ranging from 4.0 to 5.1 hr\(^{-1}\) for the transition from the syntaxin-1–Munc18-1 complex to the SNARE complex formation in the presence of MUN*, indicating that MUN* accelerates the reaction by a factor of 25 to 240 under these conditions (see Supplementary Note 2).

To test the third and fourth predictions of our model regarding the effects of the R210E and LE mutations, we turned to fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) experiments. SNARE complex assembly reactions started with isolated syntaxin-1 or the syntaxin-1–Munc18-1 complex (Fig. 5a) illustrated how Munc18-1 dramatically inhibits SNARE complex formation. Addition of MUN* strongly accelerated SNARE complex assembly reactions started with the syntaxin-1–Munc18-1 complex (Fig. 5a), which confirms our NMR results even though the acceleration rate by MUN* is lower (a factor of 11; for a quantitative analysis, see Supplementary Figs. 9a-d and Supplementary Note 2). Importantly, while Munc18-1 binding to the syntaxin-1-R210E mutant also inhibited SNARE complex assembly, MUN* was unable to stimulate SNARE complex formation starting with the syntaxin-1-R210E–Munc18-1 complex (Fig. 5b). These results show that the weak interaction of the MUN domain with the syntaxin-1 SNARE motif is critical for its activity in stimulating the transition from the syntaxin-1–Munc18-1 complex to the SNARE complex, as postulated by our third prediction. Finally, SNARE complex assembly started with isolated syntaxin-1 containing the LE mutation was faster than that observed with wild type (WT)-syntaxin-1 but to a moderate extent (Fig. 5c), consistent with the notion that the closed conformation of isolated syntaxin-1 is not very stable\(^{46}\). However, the LE mutation dramatically accelerated SNARE complex assembly starting with the syntaxin-1-LE–Munc18-1 complex without the need to add MUN* (Fig. 5d). These results verify the fourth prediction of our model and beautifully explain the finding that the LE mutation partially rescues the \textit{Unc13} phenotype in \textit{C. elegans}\(^{28}\).

In a final set of experiments, we tested whether the intact MUN domain, i.e. without deletion of the loop, is also able to accelerate the transition from the syntaxin-1–Munc18-1 complex to the SNARE complex. We found that the intact MUN domain has a similar stimulation activity as MUN* (Supplementary Fig. 9e), showing that the loop does not alter this activity.
We also tested whether MUN* can accelerate SNARE complex assembly starting with isolated syntaxin-1, but comparable rates were obtained in the absence or presence of MUN* (Supplementary Fig. 9f). These data suggest that the syntaxin-1–Munc18-1 complex, rather than isolated syntaxin-1, is the substrate for MUN domain activity, and that this activity is required to release the clamp that Munc18-1 holds on closed syntaxin-1.

**DISCUSSION**

Identification of several tight protein complexes provided crucial insights into the mechanism of neurotransmitter release 2–5, but this mechanism remains poorly understood. Particularly important was to elucidate the function of Unc13/Munc13s in vesicle priming. The work presented here to address this question was driven by the realization that, while tight protein complexes provide ideal starting and end points for biological processes, weak interactions could be critical for rearrangements that occur during highly regulated processes such as neurotransmitter release. Our results provide a compelling illustration of this principle, showing that MUN* accelerates the transition from the syntaxin-1–Munc18-1 complex to the SNARE complex, even though it does not bind tightly to Munc18-1 or the SNAREs. Instead, a weak interaction with the syntaxin-1 SNARE motif is critical for this activity of the MUN domain. These results and our NMR analysis of MUN*-SNARE complex–Munc18-1 interactions provide a well-defined framework to rationalize the physiological data on Unc13/Munc13s and strongly suggest that stimulation of the Munc18-1–syntaxin-1 to SNARE complex transition underlies the central role of Unc13/ Munc13s in vesicle priming.

Our data add to increasing evidence revealing weak interactions within the release machinery that may be enhanced by cooperativity effects and/or proper localization, and that are likely critical for release 37, 38, 47, 48. This dependence on weak interactions might be in fact a common feature in biological processes that are tightly regulated. In the case of the MUN*-syntaxin-1 interaction, its weak nature explains the requirement of relatively high concentrations of MUN* for stimulation of SNARE complex formation. It can be expected that localization of Munc13 at the active zone and interactions with the plasma membrane dramatically increase its local concentration, favoring syntaxin-1 binding and increasing the speed of MUN domain action. The relevance of our results is also supported by the specificity of the MUN*-syntaxin-1 interaction and the activity of MUN* in stimulating SNARE complex formation, as shown by the data obtained with the syntaxin-1 R210E mutant.

Further research will be required to test and refine several aspects of our model. For instance, although the Munc13-1 C2C domain–syntaxin-1 N-terminus interaction 29 is not essential for Munc13 function 32, it might cooperate with the MUN domain–syntaxin-1 SNARE motif interaction to enhance Munc13 activity. Moreover, the interplay between the MUN domain, Munc18-1 and the SNAREs is likely complex and needs to be further characterized. Thus, the binary Munc18-1–syntaxin-1 interaction seems to inhibit release, stabilizing the syntaxin-1 closed conformation 46 and impairing SNARE complex formation (ref. 39 and Fig. 5a). However, the finding that MUN* accelerates SNARE complex formation starting from the syntaxin-1–Munc18-1–complex (Figs. 4 and 5a) but not from...
isolated syntaxin-1 (Supplementary Fig. 9f) suggests that Munc18-1 assists in the activity of MUN in opening syntaxin-1, perhaps because weak Munc18-1-MUN* interactions (Fig. 2) help bringing MUN* close to syntaxin-1 and/or cause an allosteric effect. This synergy between Munc18-1 and the MUN domain could be enhanced by interactions with the membranes\textsuperscript{37, 44} perhaps leading to more efficient SNARE complex assembly than with isolated syntaxin-1. Furthermore, the binary Munc18-1 syntaxin-1 interaction likely plays positive roles by stabilizing both proteins and preventing the formation of unproductive syntaxin-1 complexes\textsuperscript{12, 49, 50}. Munc18-1 also interacts with a syntaxin-1 N-terminal sequence that precedes the H\textsubscript{abc} domain, and this interaction may also play multiple roles\textsuperscript{14, 15, 39}. In addition, whereas Munc18-1 binding to soluble SNARE complexes involves primarily the syntaxin-1 N-terminal region [Fig. 2d and Ref.\textsuperscript{44}], membrane interactions likely help to translocate Munc18-1 to the SNARE 4-helix bundle after vesicle priming to cooperate with the SNAREs in membrane fusion\textsuperscript{14, 18, 44, 51}. It will be critical to investigate whether the MUN domain competes or cooperates with Munc18-1 for binding to the SNARE 4-helix bundle in the presence of membranes, as well as to study the relation between these interactions and those involving other proteins that also bind to the SNARE 4-helix bundle, such as synaptotagmin-1 and complexins\textsuperscript{47, 52}.

Unc13/Munc13s have additional functions beyond opening syntaxin-1, since the syntaxin-1 LE mutant rescues only some aspects of the Unc13 phenotype in C. elegans\textsuperscript{28}, and does not rescue survival in Munc18-1 knockout mice\textsuperscript{12}. The MUN domain itself could have an additional function downstream of priming, including a direct role in membrane fusion\textsuperscript{4}. Indeed, reconstitution experiments showed that CAPS, which also contains a MUN domain, stimulates liposome docking, trans-SNARE complex formation and fusion\textsuperscript{53}. These data were obtained in the absence of Munc18-1, and CAPS activity required a PH domain that is not present in Unc13/Munc13s. Hence, the relation of these results to our current study is unclear, but the finding that the syntaxin-1 LE mutant can reverse some secretory deficits caused by absence of CAPS\textsuperscript{54} supports the notion that the functions of Unc13/Munc13s and CAPS are related. Interestingly, the MUN domain was recently shown to exhibit homology to tethering complexes involved in traffic at diverse membrane compartments\textsuperscript{55} and one of these complexes causes a moderate acceleration of SNARE complex assembly\textsuperscript{56}. Although this acceleration was observed in the absence of a Sec1/Munc18 homologue, it seems likely that some of the interactions of MUN domains and tethering complexes with SNAREs might have a general function in membrane traffic.

Regardless of these possibilities, it is certain that Unc13/Munc13s have multiple functions in diverse presynaptic plasticity processes that govern distinct forms of information processing in the brain\textsuperscript{4}. Such functions are directly mediated by distinct domains of Unc13/Munc13s, and additional roles in presynaptic plasticity are likely played through interactions with αRIMs and other large proteins of the active zone\textsuperscript{33–36}. An intriguing hypothesis is that modulation of MUN-domain activity may be the focal point of these plasticity processes\textsuperscript{32}, which is supported by studies of the Munc13-1 C\textsubscript{1} domain\textsuperscript{57} and by the observation that the syntaxin-1 LE mutation also rescues partially the Unc10 phenotype in C. elegans\textsuperscript{25}. Our discovery that the MUN domain accelerates opening of syntaxin-1 now provides the
opportunity to further test this hypothesis and unravel the molecular mechanisms of multiple presynaptic plasticity processes.

ONLINE METHODS

Plasmids and recombinant proteins

Constructs for bacterial expression of full-length rat Munc18-1, squid Munc18-1, or fragments of rat synaptobrevin-2 (residues 29–93), human SNAP25 (residues 11–82 and 141–203), the cytoplasmic domain (residues 2–253) of rat syntaxin-1A, its N-terminal region (residues 1–180) or its SNARE motif (residues 191–253), as well as the syntaxin-1A(2–253) L165E,E166A mutant (LE mutant), were described previously. The synaptobrevin(29–93) (S61C), syntaxin-1A(191–253) (R210E), syntaxin-1A(2–253) (R210E), syntaxin-1A(2–253) (C145S, S249C), syntaxin-1A(2–253) (L165E,E166A, C145S, S249C) and syntaxin-1A(2–253) (R210E, C145S, S249C) mutants were generated from the corresponding parent fragments using QuickChange site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene). The vector to express rat Munc13-1(859–1407,1453–1531) fragment (MUN*) was prepared from the previously described Munc13-1(859–1531) fragment using standard molecular biology techniques. All proteins were expressed as GST fusions, isolated by affinity chromatography, and purified by gel filtration and/or ion exchange chromatography as described. Isotopic labeling was performed using well-established procedures. Protein concentrations were determined by UV absorbance.

Most experiments involving Munc18-1 were performed with rat Munc18-1. However, because of the limited solubility of rat Munc18-1, binding experiments where isolated Munc18-1 had to be added at concentrations above 20 μM were performed with squid Munc18-1, which is more soluble and has a 66.4% sequence identity with rat Munc18-1, having very similar biochemical properties.

Pre-assembled SNARE Complexes and Munc18 Syx 2–253 complexes

Pre-assembled SNARE complexes for binding assays were formed by incubating purified synaptobrevin (29–93), syntaxin-1(2–253), SNAP-25(11–82) and SNAP-25(141–203) overnight at 4°C in 20 mM Hepes, pH 7.4, 125 mM NaCl, 2 mM TCEP, in a 1:1:1:1 molar ratio. The assembled SNARE complexes were purified from unassembled fragments by gel filtration on a Superdex 200 column. For the binding experiments in Fig. 3a, SNARE complexes were also formed with syntaxin-1(2–253) replaced by syntaxin-1(191–253), and unassembled fragments were removed by repeated cycles of concentration-dilution with a 30 kDa cutoff. Munc18-1–syntaxin-1(2–253) complexes were assembled with purified Munc18-1 and WT or mutant syntaxin-1(2–253) in a 1.5:1 molar ratio at room temperature for 1–2 hrs. The assembled complexes were purified by gel filtration on a Superdex 200 column (GE Healthcare).

NMR spectroscopy

1H-13C HMQC spectra were acquired at 25 °C on a Varian INOVA800 spectrometer equipped with a cold probe. Samples contained 12–15 μM 2H-13CH3-labeled proteins alone or with different additions, as indicated in the figure legends, dissolved in 20 mM Tris (pH 8.0), 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM TCEP, using D2O as the solvent. Spectra were generally
acquired with a 2.3 hour total acquisition time, except for some of those performed to measure the kinetics of the transition from the syntaxin-1–Munc18-1 complex to the SNARE complex in the presence of MUN* (Fig. 4g), which were acquired with total acquisition time of 10 minutes. The methodology used to quantify the data from these spectra is described in Supplementary Methods. 2D 1H-15N HSQC spectra were acquired at 15 °C or 25 °C on a Varian INOVA600 spectrometer, using H2O/D2O 95:5(v/v) as the solvent. Samples contained 20 μM 15N-syntaxin-1(2–180) or 15N-syntaxin-1(191–253) (either WT or R210E mutant), without or with addition of 30 μM MUN*, and were dissolved in 20 mM HEPES (pH 7.4), 125 mM NaCl, 2 mM TCEP. Total acquisition times were 2 hours. 1D 1H-15N HSQC spectra for binding assays were acquired at 15 °C on Varian INOVA500, INOVA600 or INOVA800 spectrometers equipped with cold probes by running the first trace of a conventional 1H-15N HSQC experiment for 2 hours, using H2O/D2O 95:5(v/v) as the solvent. Samples contained 10 or 20 μM 15N-labeled proteins and variable amounts of unlabeled proteins, dissolved in 20 mM Tris (pH 8.0) containing 120 mM NaCl and 2 mM TCEP (for experiments with 15N-MUN*), or in 20 mM HEPES (pH 7.4), 125 mM NaCl, 2 mM TCEP for all other experiments. Integrals of the amide region of the 1D 1H-15N HSQC spectra were calculated with the Varian VnmrJ software (Agilent Technologies). The titration data were fit with a 1:1 protein-ligand binding model using Sigma Plot (Systat Software, Inc.). Note that a 1:1 binding model is the simplest and most natural assumption for the equilibria studied considering that all the data fit well to such model and that all reagents are monomeric and monodisperse based on previous NMR and DLS studies. However, our data cannot rule out the possibility of more complex stoichiometries. For experiments where binding was very weak and hence we were far from reaching saturation, no reliable kD values could be obtained, and we could only estimate lower limits. 2D NMR data were processed with NMRPipe and analyzed with NMRView.

**FRET experiments**

Purified synaptobrevin(29–93) (S61C) in PBS buffer (20mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl) was labeled by adding 20x molar excess of Bodipy-FL-maleimide (Invitrogen). Purified syntaxin-1(2–253) (C145S, S249C) and its LE and R210E mutants were labeled using a 20x molar excess of tetramethylrhodamine-5-iodoacetamide dihydroiodide (5-TMRIA) (Invitrogen). After incubation at 4°C overnight, the reactions were stopped by adding 10mM dithiothreitol, and free dye was removed by ion exchange chromatography followed by gel filtration on a Superdex 200 column. Labeled proteins were dialyzed against Buffer A (25 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 150 mM KCl, 10 % glycerol (v/v)). FRET experiments were carried out on a Photon Technology Incorporated Spectrofluorometer (PTI, Lawrenceville, NJ). All experiments were performed at 28 °C in Buffer A. In time-based FRET experiments, labeled protein was excited at 485 nm, and the emission intensity was monitored at 513 nm. FRET efficiency was calculated according to E = (F0–Fobs)/F0, where F0 is the fluorescence intensity at time 0, and Fobs is the fluorescence intensity measured as a function of time.
**Supplementary Material**

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.
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Figure 1.
Weak interactions can dramatically accelerate transitions between tight complexes. (a) Domain diagrams of rat syntaxin-1A and Munc13-1. Residue numbers indicate selected domain boundaries. The position of the loop sequence removed in MUN* (residues 1408–1452) is also indicated. (b) Diagrams of the closed syntaxin-1–Munc18-1 complex [Munc18-1 in purple; syntaxin-1 regions colored as in panel a] and the SNARE complex [only the SNARE motifs are shown for synaptobrevin (red) and SNAP-25 (green)]. (c) Free energy diagram illustrating how the energy barrier for the transition from the closed syntaxin-1–Munc18-1 complex to the SNARE complex (with Munc18-1 bound to it) could be decreased through weak interactions with the MUN domain, which might lead to the formation of MUN–SNARE complex–Munc18-1 assemblies.
Figure 2.
Formation of MUN*-SNARE complex-Munc18-1 macromolecular assemblies. (a) Superposition of expansions of $^1$H-$^1$HMQC spectra of 12 μM $^2$H-$^1$CH$_3$-MUN$^*$ before and after addition of 20 μM Munc18-1 (M18) and 20 μM SNARE complex (SC). The inset was plotted at lower contour levels to show that binding did not induce large cross-peak shifts. (b) Average decrease in the intensities of well-resolved cross-peaks from $^1$H-$^1$C HMQC spectra of $^2$H-$^1$CH$_3$-MUN$^*$ upon addition of 20 μM Munc18-1, 20 μM SNARE complex or both. (c) Superposition of expansions of $^1$H-$^1$HMQC spectra of 15 μM $^2$H-$^1$CH$_3$-SNARE complex before or after addition of 20 μM Munc18-1 and 30 μM MUN*. The $^2$H-$^1$CH$_3$-SNARE complex was formed with $^2$H-Ile-$^1$CH$_3$-syntaxin-1(2–253), $^2$H-Val,Leu-$^1$CH$_3$-synaptobrevin(29–93), $^2$H-SNAP-25(11–82) and $^2$H-SNAP-25(141–203). (d) Average decrease in the intensities of well-resolved cross-peaks from $^1$H-$^1$C HMQC spectra of 15 μM $^2$H-$^1$CH$_3$-SNARE complex upon addition of 20 μM Munc18-1, 30 μM MUN*, or both. Color-coded bars represent data obtained for cross-peaks from the syntaxin-1 H$_{abc}$ domain (H$_{abc}$), from the syntaxin-1 and synaptobrevin SNARE motifs (SNARE motif), or both (all). In (b, d) the error bars denote the standard error calculated from consecutive spectra acquired on the same samples. (e) 1D $^1$H-$^{15}$N HSQC spectra of 20 μM $^{15}$N-MUN$^*$ before and after addition of 40 μM Munc18-1 and SNARE complex. (f) Plots of normalized integrals of the amide region of 1D $^1$H-$^{15}$N HSQC spectra.
of 20 μM $^{15}$N-labeled MUN* upon addition of different concentrations of Munc18-1, syntaxin-1(2–253)–Munc18-1 complex (Syx/M18)), SNARE complex and Munc18-1 plus SNARE complex. Curves show the fits obtained with a standard one-to-one protein-ligand binding model.
Figure 3.
MUN* binds to the syntaxin-1 SNARE motif. (a) Plots of normalized integrals of the amide region of 1D $^1$H-$^{15}$N HSQC spectra of 20 μM $^{15}$N-SNARE complex containing syntaxin-1(2–253) (SC with Syx Habc) or syntaxin-1(191–253) (SC without Syx Habc) upon addition of different concentrations of MUN*. (b) 2D $^1$H-$^{15}$N HSQC spectra of 20 μM $^{15}$N-syntaxin-1 N-terminal region (residues 2-180) before or after addition of 30 μM MUN*. (c) 2D $^1$H-$^{15}$N HSQC spectra of 20 μM $^{15}$N-syntaxin-1 SNARE motif (residues 191–253) before or after addition of 30μM MUN*. Cross-peaks that are strongly broadened by MUN* binding are labeled with their corresponding residue number. The diagram above shows in blue the location of the MUN*-binding region (exhibiting strong broadening) within the syntaxin-1–SNARE motif, including selected residue numbers above. (d) Plots of normalized integrals of the amide region of 1D $^1$H-$^{15}$N HSQC spectra of 10 μM wild type (WT) or R210E mutant (R210E) $^{15}$N-syntaxin-1 SNARE motif upon addition of different concentrations of MUN*. In (a,d), curves show the fits obtained with a standard one-to-one
protein-ligand binding model. (e) Ribbon diagram of the structure of the syntaxin-1 Munc18-1 complex. Munc18-1 is in purple and syntaxin-1 in orange (H_{abc} domain), gray (linker) and yellow (SNARE motif), except that residues that exhibit strong broadening upon binding of MUN* to the isolated syntaxin-1 SNARE motif (see panel e) are in blue. Arg210 is represented by pink hard spheres. The ribbon diagram was generated with Pymol (Delano Scientific). (f) 2D $^1$H-$^{15}$N HSQC spectra of 20 μM $^{15}$N-syntaxin-1-R210E SNARE motif before or after addition of 30 μM MUN*.
Figure 4.
The MUN domain accelerates the transition from the syntaxin-1–Munc18-1 complex to the SNARE complex. (a) Proposed model whereby the MUN domain (pink) promotes the transition from the syntaxin-1–Munc18-1 complex to the SNARE complex through its weak interactions with the syntaxin-1 SNARE motif (color-coding as in Fig. 1b). (b) Superposition of expansions of $^1$H-$^1$C HMQC spectra of 15 μM $^2$H-Ile-$^1$3CH$_3$-syntaxin-1(2–253) bound to Munc18-1 or incorporated into SNARE complex formed with $^2$H-Val,Leu-$^1$3CH$_3$-synaptobrevin(29–93), $^2$H-SNAP-25(11–82) and $^2$H-SNAP-25(141–203). The cross-peak assignments that are available are indicated with the residue number; H3 identifies cross-peaks that are known to belong to the SNARE motif but do not have residue-specific assignment; * indicates tentative assignments (Supplementary Methods). (c-d) $^1$H-$^1$C HMQC spectra of samples of 15 μM $^2$H-Ile-$^1$3CH$_3$-syntaxin-1(2–253) bound to Munc18-1 acquired immediately after addition of 15 μM $^2$H-Val,Leu-$^1$3CH$_3$-synaptobrevin(29–93), 25 μM $^2$H-SNAP-25(11–82) and 25 μM $^2$H-SNAP-25(141–203), in the absence (c) or presence of 30 μM MUN* (d). (e-f) The progress of the transition from the syntaxin-1–Munc18-1 complex to the SNARE complex as a function of time was
monitored through the intensity of the disappearing Ile203 cross-peak of the syntaxin-1–Munc18-1 complex and the intensity of the appearing Ile203 cross-peak of the SNARE complex in consecutive $^1$H-$^1$H HMQC spectra (2.3 hr each) obtained after mixing samples as in (c-d), in the absence (e) or presence (f) of 30 μM MUN*. The vertical axis represents the fraction of reaction completed based on normalized cross-peak intensities (see Supplementary Methods). (g) Progress of the transition from the syntaxin-1–Munc18-1 complex to the SNARE complex in the presence of 30 μM MUN* monitored as in panel (f) but acquiring 10 min $^1$H-$^1$C HMQC spectra. In (e-g), the curves show the fits of the data to an exponential rise to a maximum.
Figure 5.
MUN* activity depends on interactions with the syntaxin-1 SNARE motif and is bypassed by the syntaxin-1 LE mutation. (a-d) SNARE complex assembly reactions monitored by the time-dependent development of FRET between a BODIPY fluorescence donor on residue 61 of synaptobrevin(29–93) and a Rhodamine fluorescence acceptor on residue 249 of syntaxin-1(2–253). Reactions were started by adding 2 μM labeled synaptobrevin, and 10 μM SNAP-25(11–82) and SNAP-25(141–203) to 10 μM WT syntaxin-1(2–253) or the R210E or LE syntaxin-1(2–253) mutants alone or bound to Munc18-1 (+M18) in the absence or in the presence of 30 μM MUN* (+MUN), as indicated.