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BACKGROUND/OBJECTIVES: Northeastern Indian region has a high density of marginalised populations with a concerning quality of health services. We observed the trends in prevalence of infectious diseases and nutritional disorders among children under-five years from 2006 to 2020 in the Northeastern states. We also assessed the distribution of their burden by place of residence.

METHODS: A secondary data analysis of select indicators on infectious diseases and nutritional disorders in seven Northeastern states across three rounds of the National Family Health Survey (2005–06, 2015–16, 2019–20) was undertaken. We calculated outcome indicator mean prevalence, relative change and average annual rates of reduction of the indicators.

RESULTS: A significant relative reduction between 2006–2020 in the prevalence of diarrhoea (0.4 [95CI:0.7,0.1]) at p < 0.05; acute respiratory illness (ARI) (0.7 [95CI:0.1,0.4]), stunting (0.3 [95CI: 0.3,0.12]) and underweight (0.3 [95CI:0.5,0.2]) at p < 0.001 were noted. However, overweight prevalence increased (10.1[95CI:4.3,16.0, p < 0.001]) due to a low annual reduction rate. The highest annual reduction rates were observed in Sikkim and Tripura for diarrhoea and ARI respectively (>10.0%), and in Meghalaya for wasting and severely wasting (6.3%). Rural areas had a higher burden of stunting, wasting (including severe), underweight, anaemia and diarrhoea; overweight was seen in both rural and urban settings.

CONCLUSION: Significant reductions were observed in ARI, diarrhoea, stunting and underweight prevalence between 2006–2020, with sub-regional variations and a greater burden in rural areas. During this period, overweight prevalence worsened; and anaemia showed a large increase from 2016. To reduce the equity-gap, programmes should be adapted to meet the differential needs of the Northeastern states.
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BACKGROUND

Improving child health and nutrition have long been public health priorities. Over the last two decades, along with considerable gains in child health outcomes, there has been a decline in the global prevalence of childhood undernutrition- commonly measured as stunting, underweight and wasting; with a steady rise in overweight [1]. Nevertheless, the present levels of overall malnutrition (under-and over-nutrition) remain concerning.

South Asian countries- primarily driven by India- contribute the highest to the total numbers of malnourished children, and diarrhoeal and pneumonia-associated child deaths globally [2, 3]. In India, these factors along with poor environment and maternal nutrition chiefly lead to the high Under-five Mortality Rate (USMR) [4].

India has made significant strides in reducing USMR from 126.0 to 34.0 per 1,000 live births from 1990 to 2019. Despite this, it substantially lags behind high-income regions such as Europe (USMR- 5.0 per 1,000 live births) [5, 6]. Moreover, India is still not on track to achieve any of the required 2025 reduction targets set by the World Health Organisation’s (WHO) Global Nutrition Targets and Global Action Plan for the Prevention and Control of Pneumonia and Diarrhoea [7–9]. India also ranks at the bottom of the Global Hunger Index 2021 with negligible change in the index from 2012 [10], indicating poor progress and an alarmingly high percentage of undernourished children.

India witnesses significant sub-national variations in critical child health indicators, which are masked within national-level estimates. Northeastern (NE) region not only has substantially higher USMR compared to the national average (63.8 vs 47.8 per 1000 live births) and other Indian regions [11], but some NE states also contribute the highest proportion of undernourished children in India [12]. Despite a high burden, most of India’s child health schemes [13, 14] are focused around the populous and under-developed Central region with an intent to save more lives. This has inadvertently resulted in a relative lack of visibility of child health outcomes of the NE states. These factors combined with the unique geographical and socio-cultural conditions warrants deeper analyses and programmatic efforts in the Northeast.
Moreover, sparse literature on the progress of child health indicators and policy action in NE contributes to lesser policy and programme attention in these states.

Given the above context, we sought to analyse and discuss key child health trends that contribute to the USMR [11] in the NE region of India using the National Family Health Survey (NFHS). This paper brings forth policy areas requiring attention, specifically in the Northeast, that could aid effective programme planning by providing an insight into the contextual need.

### METHODS

We comparatively analysed the emerging trends of infectious diseases and nutritional disorders among children under-five years between 2006–2020 in Northeastern Indian states. The distribution of the health outcome by place of residence in 2020 was also assessed. This paper discusses the breadth of the findings with existing literature and comments on the policy and programmatic implications for these states.

### Study design

Descriptive secondary data analysis was conducted using NFHS-3, NFHS-4 and NFHS-5 Phase-1 (factsheet) [15]. NFHS-3 was conducted in 2005-06, NFHS-4 in 2015-16 and NFHS-5 between 2019–2020. However, owing to COVID-19 related disruptions in 2020, factsheets from only 17 states and five union territories were released as part of the Phase-1 issue for NFHS-5. The data characteristics by province include sample size and data collection periods are presented in Supplementary (S1).

### Study setting

NE region includes eight geographical states- Assam, Manipur, Meghalaya, Mizoram, Nagaland, Sikkim, Tripura and Arunachal Pradesh. This analysis includes seven of the eight NE states and excludes Arunachal Pradesh due to it not being included in Phase-1.

NE states are forested mountainous regions that are rich in biodiversity. Connected to mainland India by a narrow land, they account for almost a tenth of total India’s geography [16] and are bordered by neighbouring countries. NE region has almost the same literacy rate as India, including women; however, the majority of states have substantially higher overall unemployment rates and lower per-capita income than the national average [17]. They have the highest density of tribal population and are culturally distinct from other Indian regions[S2]. Most of the NE states experience frequent armed communal violence which impacts essential services [18] that are exacerbated by climate adversities, ill-equipped health facilities and geographically inaccessible regions in some states [19].

### Data source

The Demographic Health Survey (DHS) of India- popularly known as the NFHS- is a nationally representative multi-round survey informing on the health and socio-demographic factors in India [15]. NFHS maintains data quality by pre-testing questionnaires, training surveyors and maintaining adequate gender mixes for sensitive questions. The questionnaires are translated into Hindi and English languages and administered in local languages. NFHS further employs a two-stage stratification sampling-selection of Primary Sampling Units (PSUs) based on residence (urban/ rural); followed by systematic sampling from the PSUs. The details are presented elsewhere [20].

### Participants

Women aged 15–49 years were interviewed and basic anthropometric measures were obtained from children under-five years of age.

### Variables

The variables consistently reported across the three rounds were selected. These included diarrhoea, acute respiratory illness (ARI), stunting, wasting, severe wasting, underweight, overweight and anaemia impacting children under-five years. Refer to Table 1 for case definitions.

### Statistical analysis

Data from NFHS were extracted in MS Excel and analysed in Stata v.16 [21]. Analysis was done between January-February 2021. The prevalence of the studied outcomes over rounds was extracted and their overall mean prevalence with the 95% confidence interval of all states was computed. Further, the relative change (RC) from NFHS-3 to NFHS-5 of the mean values was computed using the `ncom rel_delta` command in Stata. Level of significance was set at 0.05. RC for individual states was also calculated and plotted on Microsoft Excel Bing Maps. The average annual rate of reduction (AARR) considering NFHS 3, 4 and 5 was calculated using the formula [22]:

\[
AARR = 1 - \exp(\beta)
\]

where $\beta$ is the linear regression coefficient of ln(prevalence) against time (years).

NFHS-5 data were also checked for the disparity in the distribution of the outcome prevalence by place of residence by plotting the percentage point difference.

### RESULTS

We draw our findings from 1,88,272 women respondents across the three NFHS rounds against eight indicators in the selected NE states. The findings present the trend in changing prevalence, relative change, average annual rate of reduction and distribution of disease burden by place of residence.

### Trends in the prevalence of included childhood health outcomes

The prevalence of outcome indicators across all rounds is described in Table 2. Broadly, the prevalence of ARI, diarrhoea,
Table 2. Prevalence of outcome indicators in children under five years.

| Outcome indicators | Prevalence of diarrhoea (%) | Prevalence of ARI symptoms (%) | Prevalence of stunting (%) | Prevalence of wasting (%) | Prevalence of severely wasted (%) | Prevalence of underweight (%) | Prevalence of overweight (%) | Prevalence of anaemia (%) |
|--------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|
|                    | NFHS 3 | NFHS 4 | NFHS 5 | NFHS 3 | NFHS 4 | NFHS 5 | NFHS 3 | NFHS 4 | NFHS 5 | NFHS 3 | NFHS 4 | NFHS 5 | NFHS 3 | NFHS 4 | NFHS 5 | NFHS 3 | NFHS 4 | NFHS 5 | NFHS 3 | NFHS 4 | NFHS 5 |
| Assam              | 8.1    | 2.9    | 5.5    | 7.3    | 1.0    | 2.5    | 46.5   | 36.4   | 35.3   | 13.7   | 17.0   | 21.7   | 4.0    | 6.2    | 9.1    | 36.4   | 29.8   | 32.8   | 0.3    | 2.3    | 4.9    | 69.6   | 35.7   | 68.4   |
| Meghalaya          | 5.7    | 10.6   | 10.4   | 1.9    | 5.8    | 4.8    | 55.1   | 43.8   | 46.5   | 30.7   | 15.3   | 12.1   | 19.9   | 6.5    | 4.7    | 48.8   | 48.9   | 48.6   | 0.2    | 3.9    | 4.0    | 61.4   | 46.0   | 45.1   |
| Manipur            | 9.9    | 5.8    | 5.6    | 4.7    | 1.7    | 1.8    | 35.6   | 28.9   | 24.9   | 9.0    | 6.8    | 9.9    | 21.1   | 2.2    | 3.4    | 22.1   | 24.4   | 13.3   | 0.5    | 3.1    | 3.4    | 44.2   | 23.9   | 42.8   |
| Mizoram            | 11.0   | 7.6    | 4.3    | 4.1    | 2.2    | 0.6    | 39.8   | 28.1   | 26.9   | 9.0    | 6.1    | 9.8    | 3.5    | 2.3    | 4.9    | 19.9   | 12.0   | 12.7   | 1.2    | 4.2    | 10.0   | 41.1   | 19.3   | 46.4   |
| Nagaland           | 5.4    | 5.1    | 3.4    | 4.2    | 1.4    | 1.1    | 38.8   | 28.6   | 32.7   | 13.3   | 11.3   | 19.1   | 5.2    | 4.2    | 7.9    | 25.2   | 16.7   | 24.9   | 0.8    | 3.8    | 4.9    | 264.1  | 42.7   |        |
| Sikkim             | 1.65   | 1.8    | 5.3    | 5.0    | 0.3    | 0.7    | 38.3   | 29.6   | 22.3   | 9.7    | 14.2   | 13.7   | 3.3    | 5.9    | 6.6    | 19.7   | 14.2   | 13.1   | 1.3    | 8.6    | 9.6    | 59.2   | 55.1   | 56.4   |
| Tripura            | 8.3    | 4.9    | 6.2    | 4.1    | 2.6    | 1.3    | 35.7   | 24.3   | 24.6   | 18.2   | 6.3    | 7.3    | 39.6   | 24.1   | 25.6   | 0.1    | 3.0    | 8.2    | 62.9   | 48.3   | 64.3   |
| India              | 9.0    | 9.2    | 7.3    | 5.8    | 2.7    | 2.8    | 48.0   | 38.4   | 35.5   | 19.8   | 21.0   | 19.3   | 6.4    | 7.5    | 7.7    | 42.5   | 35.8   | 32.1   | 0.4    | 2.1    | 3.4    | 69.5   | 58.6   | 67.1   |

~reported in the last 2 weeks of the survey; * indicates blood samples not collected due to local opposition.

Table 3. Relative change from NFHS-3 to NFHS-5 of the studied health indicators.

| Outcome indicators | Relative change 95% CI |
|--------------------|-----------------------|
| Anaemia            | -0.4**                |
| Overweight         | -0.3**                |
| Severe wasting     | -0.5                  |
| Stunting           | 0.1                   |
| ARI                | -0.7**                |
| Diarrhoea          | -0.4**                |
| Severe wasting     | -0.3**                |
| Stunting           | 0.1                   |
| ARI                | -0.4                  |
| Diarrhoea          | -0.2                  |
| Overweight         | -0.3                  |
| Severe wasting     | 0.2                   |
| Stunting           | -0.5                  |
| ARI                | -0.6                  |
| Diarrhoea          | -0.9                  |
| Overweight         | -0.4                  |
| Severe wasting     | -0.3                  |
| Stunting           | 0.1                   |
| ARI                | -0.2                  |

A statistically significant reduction in the mean prevalence of diarrhoea, ARI, stunting, and underweight was observed in NFHS-5 relative to NFHS-3; however, ARI showed the largest improvement by 70% (RC: 0.4; 95% CI: 0.4–0.4). Additionally, the largest improvement in diarrhoeal and ARI cases till 2016, followed by an increase in 2020. This analysis showed insufficient evidence of a reduction in anaemia prevalence worsened by 10-times in 2020 relative to 2006. This worsening was observed in ARI from 6.2% to 1.2% between 2006 and 2020, followed by an increase in 2020. In the latest round, the prevalence of stunting, wasting, and underweight worsened from 2016 in Nagaland and Tripura. At the state level, Assam and Sikkim reported an increase in underweight from 31.1% to 20.7%. Moreover, the overweight prevalence worsened by 10-times in 2020 relative to 2006. This worsening was notably observed that the levels of anaemia in 2020 for the majority of NE states worsened and regressed to the 2006-prevalence.
Fig. 1 Relative change of child health outcomes by states. A Diarrhoea, B ARI, C stunting, D underweight, E wasting, F severely wasting, G anaemia, H overweight. Note: The colours are graded from the highest improvements to the lowest in ascending order.
slowest AARR due to an exponential increase of the prevalence largely contributed by Tripura (Table 4).

Prevalence distribution by place of residence
Overall, rural areas had a higher prevalence of all undernutrition indicators and anaemia than urban areas of residence in 2020. However, overweight was almost equally prevalent in both. Diarrhoea was modestly more prevalent in rural areas, while ARI was seen more in urban settings (Fig. 2). Rural households of Sikkim, Tripura and Assam experienced a higher burden of the studied indicators indicating a greater inequity in these states.

DISCUSSION
The findings are discussed by outcomes concerning their change from 2006, annual reduction rates, disparities in burden, and progress towards achieving their reduction targets.

Overall, for the NE states, a substantial reduction in ARIs with modest improvements in diarrhoea was seen between 2019 and 2020 compared to 2005 and 2006 and was supported with high AARRs. A similar trend is seen in India [15]; however, the mean NE prevalence is less than the Indian average. The reductions can be attributed to better coverage of safe drinking water, sanitation, clean cooking fuel, and distribution of Zinc tablets and ORS [15]. Conversely, the relatively slower ARI and diarrhoeal change observed in Meghalaya within the NE cluster could be due to a lack of clean cooking fuel, use of open fire for cooking, and faulty/ineffective drinking water treatment, as reported in NFHS-5. Notably, the data collection periods across the rounds are not consistent and could suggest seasonal prevalence. It is likely NFHS-5 overestimated diarrhoeal prevalence in most of the NE states as the data was collected in monsoon (July–Nov).

We note an overall slow, yet positive shift in underweight and stunting in NE states, although it is not met with expected

Table 4. Average annual rate of reduction from NFHS-3, 4 and 5.

| Outcome indicators | Assam | Meghalaya | Manipur | Mizoram | Nagaland | Sikkim | Tripura |
|--------------------|-------|-----------|---------|---------|----------|--------|--------|
| Diarrhoea (%)      | 4.1   | −4.9      | 4.3     | 5.8     | 4.5      | 10.0   | 2.7    |
| ARI (%)            | 9.7   | −8.4      | 6.9     | 11.4    | 9.2      | −18.4  | 15.7   |
| Stunting (%)       | 1.5   | 1.5       | 2.7     | 2.5     | 1.7      | 3.2    | 1.3    |
| Wasting (%)        | −3.3  | 6.3       | 0.0     | 0.2     | −1.4     | −2.5   | 2.5    |
| Severe wasting (%) | −5.5  | 10.2      | −3.1    | −0.8    | −2.5     | −5.3   | 1.9    |
| Underweight (%)    | 1.0   | 4.3       | 3.8     | 3.8     | 0.2      | 2.8    | 3.8    |
| Overweight (%)     | −22.1 | −26.0     | −15.5   | −15.5   | −14.2    | −16.4  | −37.6  |
| Anaemia (%)        | 1.2   | 2.8       | 1.2     | 0.8     | −13.3*   | 0.8    | −0.2   |

*Trend based on 4th and 5th round.

Fig. 2. Distribution of the burden of health outcomes by place of residence. Distribution of the burden of health outcomes by place of residence. The point difference of prevalence (urban and rural) for diarrhoea, ARI, stunting, wasting, severe wasting, underweight, overweight and anaemia are presented for the states (A) Assam, (B) Manipur, (C) Meghalaya, (D) Mizoram, (E) Nagaland, (F) Sikkim, (G) Tripura.
reductions in wasting. We found insufficient evidence to suggest any reduction in the wasting prevalence. The AARRs corroborate with the observed changes across rounds; however, these rates, along with limited prevalence reductions from 2016, are insufficient to achieve the National Nutrition Mission’s (NNM) 2022 and WHO/UNICEF’s 2030 undernutrition targets [23–25]. The NFHS-5 estimates of stunting, underweight and wasting are broadly in line with another study [12]. Further, the average Indian estimates shares a similar trend with the NE. Bringing a simultaneous reduction in stunting and wasting has always been challenging [26]. We believe the observed reductions in underweight and stunting could broadly be an outcome of an overall improvement in the socioeconomic status and sanitation facilities in the last 15 years. Notably, between 2016 and 2020 a stagnancy in stunting and underweight rates were observed with a moderate increase in wasting. This trend could have resulted from an economic slowdown in India from 2016 [27] that pushed many vulnerable families into poverty, and experience acute food shortages; causing a rise in food insecurity [28]. Therefore, to achieve any further reductions in undernutrition it is pivotal to ensure food security and adequate dietary practices among children, which are presently lacking [15].

The inter-and intra-state variations of the nutritional indicators in NE states also reflect their socioeconomic differences. A past study reported coexistence of multiple nutritional disorders in children from the lower wealth strata in NE states and India [29]. We note a higher burden of stunting in rural Meghalaya and Sikkim, and severe/wasting in rural Tripura and urban Nagaland. Manipur had one of the lowest prevalence of malnutrition indicators in NE states and this could be attributed to the better socioeconomic status of the population [30]. Conversely, high stunting in Meghalaya—primarily driven by Khasi Hill tribes—can be linked with high food insecurity, low income, and over-reliance on commercial agricultural products and food provided through Public Distribution System [15, 31]. This is supported by a study of the Chakhesang tribe in Nagaland which attributed their lower undernutrition prevalence to greater consumption of indigenous food [32]. Similarly, Assam performed poorly in wasting, wherein the tea-estate districts performed worse. This could be broadly associated with frequent political strikes (bandhs) that obstruct agricultural products inflow, poor maternal health and wealth-quintile in Assam. This is further compounded in regions of tea-estates where women accompanied by children, experience poor sanitation facilities; lack dietary diversity and appropriate complementary feeding; and have maternal anaemia, resulting in low birthweight and successive wasting [15, 33].

Timely identification and case-management of undernutrition indicators are critical as their cyclical and complex nature make them interdependent [34]. However, these could be challenging in the NE due to inaccessibility owing to difficult terrain; high tribal density; health-service interruption caused by political tension [35]; and low technical competency of the healthworkers on anthropometric measuring and counselling [36]. To overcome malnutrition and improve child health, several preventive and curative programmes have been launched by the Indian government [13, 14, 37] in line with the Lives Saved Tool (LiST) [38]. Given the contextual background of the NE cluster, these states require a tailored approach to witness improvements. Robust monitoring and evaluation of service coverage indicators directly related to malnutrition tracking, identification and management is needed.

We found a progressive rise in overweight which is consistent with trends in India [12] and other low-and middle-income countries (LMIC) [39]. However, the NE states witnessed a larger shift than the Indian average between 2006 and 2020. The AARR trends strongly indicate a failure to achieve the 3% international target by 2030 [24]. However, due to their relatively low prevalence, now is an opportune time to introduce prevention strategies among young children. Failing this can lead to an uncontrolled increase across all wealth strata and settlements soon. We suggest equipping community healthworkers in identifying and counselling overnutrition cases in addition to undernutrition. Past literature, including a study on the Meitei tribe of Manipur, have found associations of childhood overweight with consumption of energy-dense or sugary food, sedentary lifestyle [40], lack of dietary diversity, maternal overweight, smoking, no breastfeeding and rapid urbanisation [30, 41].

A dramatic rise in childhood anaemia was observed in a majority of the NFHS-5 Phase-1 states/union territories from 2016, wherein five of the seven included NE states reported the highest increase. This trend, while could be an outcome of the rising inequities discussed previously, has led to a limited change in its prevalence from 2006 in NE. Except for Meghalaya, all states had poor AARRs and are far from achieving the NNM’s 3%-point annual reduction. Reducing anaemia for almost all age groups in India has been difficult yet the exceedingly high prevalence observed in NE states is worrying and calls for urgent action. The rising anaemia can be associated with an increased percentage of maternal anaemia, poor childhood consumption of iron-rich food, iron-supplements, deworming medicines and dietary diversity [15], and soil-helminth infections [42] in NE which are its known risk-factors [43–45]. Additionally, anaemia has also been linked with malaria [46], parasitic and worm infections, indoor pollution and home environment [47], however, it warrants more research in NE. To combat anaemia, India launched an umbrella programme called ‘Anaemia Mukht Bharat’ in 2018 which intends to reduce childhood anaemia to 40% by 2022 [48]; nevertheless, recent HMIS reports of the NE states suggest extremely poor coverage of its interventions [49].

One of the key contributions of this study is to bring policymakers attention to the growing need of analysing disease burden trends for various population groups and tailor programmes accordingly. The current programming approach rarely recognises such inequities, and may not be sufficient to improve the overall health of children, especially in the Northeast. As evidenced from our findings, a rise in prevalence of some indicators between 2016 and 2020 has impeded them from achieving effective AARRs and slowed progress [23]. High concentrations of marginalised populations and diverse social cultures in NE are compromised by difficult access to mainstream resources. This necessitates policies and programmes to make adaptability a fundamental element. Reporting childhood malnutrition data by socioeconomic groups in the national and state reports may assist in more granular programmatic decision-making for different communities. Further, developing India-specific overweight reduction targets can control its rise. Moreover, educating lower socioeconomic communities on proximal causal factors of child health could aid in reducing the equity-gap.

This study has some limitations. A large variation in the sample sizes of Assam and Meghalaya in NFHS-4 and NFHS-5 were noted which should be considered before interpreting their prevalence. It is also important to understand the linkages between childhood overweight/obesity and the concurrent occurrence of stunting and anaemia in these states [50]. We do not extensively compare our findings with NFHS-5 Phase-2 states as their data was collected after the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic, thereby making the two phases incomparable.

**CONCLUSION**

We note a high burden of the studied childhood health outcomes in the NE states, contributed by stagnant or worsening undernutrition and moderate levels of diarrhoea. A rapid rise in overweight and reversal of gains in anaemia since 2016 further complicate the inferences. While the trends in NE is similar to other parts of India, some of these states require special attention owing to the contextual challenges. A greater predisposition of these outcomes
is noted among some tribal and marginalised populations. Evidently, past programmes have had limited impact on reduction, hence it is recommended that policymakers focus on improving the coverage, quality, accountability and acceptance of the existing programmes before launching new programmes. Periodic evaluations of active programmes are required to assess programme fitness and success specifically for vulnerable communities. Moreover, tribal populations should be encouraged to consume indigenous food to prevent food insecurity rather than commercial products; however, there is a need to assess the nutritional value of these in NE. Further epidemiological studies on the health outcomes and their causal factors, categorised by socioeconomic groups, using a gender-disaggregated approach are needed in NE. The impact of childhood endemic and parasitic diseases on child health in NE is required. The prevalence of the studied indicators is expected to worsen in the next round due to a rise in food insecurity and health-service interruption due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data supporting the findings of this study are publicly available from NFHS at http://rchips.org/nfhs/index.shtml; NFHS-3 and NFHS-4 data were obtained from the state reports and NFHS-5 was obtained from the state factsheets.
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