Leaky Gum: The Revisited Origin of Systemic Diseases
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Abstract: The oral cavity is the gateway for microorganisms into your body where they disseminate not only to the directly connected respiratory and digestive tracts, but also to the many remote organs. Oral microbiota, traveling to the end of the intestine and circulating our body through blood vessels, not only affect a gut microbiome profile, but also many systemic diseases. By gathering information accumulated from the era of focal infection theory to the age of revolution in microbiome research, we propose a pivotal role of “leaky gum”, as an analogy of “leaky gut”, to underscore the importance of the oral cavity in systemic health. The oral cavity has unique structures, the gingival sulcus (GS) and the junctional epithelium (JE) below the GS, which are rarely found anywhere else in our body. The JE is attached to the tooth enamel and cementum by hemidesmosome (HD), which is structurally weaker than desmosome and is thus vulnerable to microbial infiltration. In the GS, microbial biofilms can build up for life, unlike the biofilms on the skin and intestinal mucosa that fall off by natural process. Thus, we emphasize that the GS and the JE are the weakest leaky point for microbes to invade human body, making the leaky gum just as important as, or even more important than, the leaky gut.
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1. Introduction

Humans internalize microbiota of this planet through the oral cavity either temporarily or permanently. The oral cavity harbors the second most abundant microorganisms after the gastrointestinal (GI) tract in a variety of distinct habitats, such as teeth, tongue, gingival sulcus (GS), palate, saliva, buccal mucosa, and throat. The expanded Human Oral Microbiome Database (eHOMD v9.14, http://homd.org) established during the Human Microbiome Project enlists at least 775 microbial species to date.

As the old dogma that the lungs and placenta are sterile becomes obsolete [1-5], the oral microbiota has proven to be the primary source of the bacterial microbiota in human organs [6]. For one, microaspiration during respiratory activity such as oral breathing affects the lung microbiota [7]. In addition, dietary patterns dynamically affect the microbiome profile of the GI tract either by microbial contamination or by supplying specific nutrients for microbial commensals, even manipulating the pathophysiology of cancerous diseases [8,9] as well as regulating immune responses across the gut-brain axis [10,11]. As such, along with the revolution of human microbiome research, much effort has been dedicated to figuring out the relationship between the oral and gut microbiota, which has been dubbed “oral-gut-brain axis” [12-17].
The microbiota in the gut seek opportunities to breach the dysfunctional gut mucosal barrier to reach the underlying immune system, resulting in “leaky gut” syndrome. This type of leak occurs through the cellular junctions between the intestinal epithelia. In the oral cavity, the microbes can easily colonize on the surface of hard tissue of the tooth enamel to form biofilm and remain sclerotized until proper interventions come in. The growing body of the biofilm not only acts as a wedge disjoining the tooth and the gingiva, enlarging the GS depth, but also deploy an ample of microbes near the sulcus epithelium, enhancing the opportunity for the microbial infiltration into the oral mucosa [18]. Thus, microbial infection in the oral cavity is accelerated by both physical and biological processes.

In this review, we gather current knowledge of disease-related oral pathogens and contrast the anatomical structures of oral versus gut mucosal layers in the context of microbial leak into human body, embossing the role of oral pathogens in the development of systemic diseases.

2. Hyperpermeable intestine – a leaky gut

The largest portion of research funds have been digested by gut bacteria because the majority of human microbiota resides in the colon [19]. The findings of their roles in human immune systems have been extensively illuminating. The human intestine is the widest and longest space in contact with microorganisms compared to the oral cavity or the skin. It boasts almost 10 meter of length and 400 m² of luminal surface area. In addition, it allows passage of about 60 tons of food during lifetime while processing digestion and absorption, making the role of gut bacteria even more important [20]. Especially, gut bacteria, numbering almost equivalent to the human cells [19], metabolize dietary fibers to yield short chain fatty acids, an essential task of which humans are not capable. They not only provide intestinal cells with immune substances and vitamins, but also keeps the intestinal homeostasis. The homeostasis of the intestinal microbiome itself and between the intestinal microbiome and the host have been conceptualized as a “symbiosis” of the intestinal ecosystem [21].

Perturbation factors, such as stress, smoking, alcohol consumption, eating processed foods, and overuse of antibiotics, have a certain effect on the ecosystem of intestinal microbiome. The disturbance is usually absorbed by the resilience of the intestinal ecosystem, but repeated exposures to such risk factors would lead to “dysbiosis”, a continuous status of imbalance between gut microbiota and their host [22]. For example, when antibiotics deplete intestinal bacteria who are responsible for converting primary bile acids into secondary bile acids, such as deoxycholate, lithocholate, ursodeoxycholate, hyodeoxycholate, and ω-muricholate, the resistance to Clostridium difficile decreases [23], resulting in pseudomembranous colitis and persistent diarrhea that claim lives of tens of thousands of people in the US [24,25]. Likewise, it is now well-accepted that a dysbiosis of gut microbiome can affect not only inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), constipation, indigestion, and obesity, but also the occurrence and prognosis of hypertension, diabetes, cancer, and cardiovascular diseases (CVD) [26-33].

During the golden era of gut microbiome research, the term “leaky gut”, previously proposed and used in the field of alternative medicine and dietetics, was revisited as a compatible explanation of “increased intestinal permeability” (Figure 1a and 1b) [34-37]. The rationale behind the term lies in concurrent pathogenesis of intestinal and systemic diseases caused by the infiltration of enteric bacteria and virulence factors into the intestinal mucosal membrane when the epithelial barrier function is disrupted [36,38,39]. The intestinal hyperpermeability has often been observed with the changes of tight junction proteins in the epithelium or the increased bacterial endotoxin in the bloodstream, endotoxemia [36]. For instances, patients with IBD, irritable bowel syndrome (IBS), liver diseases, pancreatitis, diabetes, chronic heart failure, depression, and other chronic diseases often exhibit increased permeability and epithelial barrier dysfunction [40-42]. As evidence builds up and interests from diverse research fields expand further, the
methodologies for the measurement of intestinal barrier function have been extensively developed [42].

The association between intestinal and systemic diseases can be found in extra-intestinal manifestations (EIMs) of intestinal diseases. For example, the EIMs of IBD affects joints, eyes, liver, lung, and pancreas [43]. About 15% of people with ulcerative colitis (UC) [44] and up to 40% of patients with Crohn’s disease [45-48], both are types of IBD, have skin issues. In some EIMs cases, such as peripheral arthritis, oral aphthous ulcers, episcleritis, and erythema nodosum, symptoms can improve on standard treatment of the intestinal inflammation [43]. In addition, IBD and periodontitis have been reported to affect each other, and several nutritional deficiencies and systemic diseases are known to be manifested in the oral cavity [49,50], which is supporting bidirectional influence in the context of oral-gut axis. In the same vein, bacteria can travel via bloodstream to reach and colonize in tumor microenvironment (TME) of melanoma, lung, ovarian, glioblastoma, pancreatic, bone, and breast cancer where ~10^6 intratumoral bacteria per palpable 1-cm^3 tumor can be found [32,51].

3. Gum and gut mucosal barriers

The lumen of the digestive tract, a twisted hollow tube from the oral cavity to the anus, is continuously overloaded with the external environment [52]. Thus, just as the human skin protects our body, the oral and intestinal mucosa, which cover the inner surface of our body, should exert barrier function physically and physiologically [38,39]. Yet unlike the skin whose major function is building a physical barrier, the major function of the mucosal membrane comprises several physiological barriers. For example, saliva and mucus on the epithelium contain antibacterial substances, such as lactoferrin, lysozyme, commensal flora, and antibacterial peptides, which inhibit pathogen colonization [53,54].

The surface layers of the skin, the oral cavity, and the gastrointestinal tract share both similar and dissimilar structures and functions. The skin comprises several cellular layers strongly bound with intercellular junctions of which surface is covered by the stratum corneum [55]. The intestinal epithelial layer is also filled with cells interconnected with strong intercellular junctions (Table 1). The luminal side of the intestinal epithelial layer, however, is made up of a thinner monolayer, is supported by the connective tissue underneath only, and has no stratum corneum covering the layer. Thus, from an anatomical point of view, the intestinal mucosa is less tolerable to an environmental shift such as dysbiosis of microbial community, which leads to bacteremia or endotoxemia through the increased intestinal permeability [56]. To compensate this, the intestinal mucosa always covers the epithelium with mucus that not only acts as a lubricant between the mucosa and the luminal passengers, but also contains a lot of antibacterial substances, indicating that the gut mucosa has both chemical and biological barrier functions [57]. Pathogenic intruders who survive in the mucosal surface layer and leak through the epithelial barriers face mucosal-associated lymphoid tissue (MALT) that takes up ~70% of entire immune system of human body (Figure 1b). In addition, ~80% of plasma cells residing in gut-associated lymphoid tissue (GALT), a major part of MALT, wage a deliberate war against antigens originating from ~4 × 10^13 commensal microbes and more than 30 kg of food proteins yearly [19,52,58]. Consequently, the gastrointestinal system plays a pivotal role in immune surveillance.

Mucosal immunity in the oral cavity is also gaining traction with the advance of microbiome research [59,60]. The oral mucosa, like the skin, is composed of both keratinized and non-keratinized tissues (Figure 1c). The thickness of the keratinized layers of the oral mucosa, however, is thinner than that of the skin. Thus, the oral mucosa, similar to the intestinal mucosa, supplements chemical and biological defense functions using saliva. The oral mucosa also consists of 3-5 cellular layers that are thicker than the intestinal mucosa monolayer. Anatomically and histologically, the oral mucosa appears as a transitional layer between the intestinal mucosa and the skin [61].
Figure 1. Schematics of differences in the cellular junctions between the intestinal and oral epithelia. (a) The intestinal epithelia are interconnected and communicate with each other through junctions, such as tight junction (TJ), adherence junction (AJ), desmosome (DM), and gap junction (GJ, not shown). (b) When these barriers are disrupted because of epithelial damages, pathogens and chemicals in the luminal side can leak through the damaged cellular gaps into the lamina propria (blue arrows) whereby the MALT implements immune responses, resulting in a leaky gut syndrome. (c) Keratinized and non-keratinized oral mucosa. (d) Unlike the intestinal leakage through the cell-to-cell junctions, the leakage in the oral mucosa occurs through the hemidesmosome (HD) between the basal layer of the junctional epithelium (JE) and the hard surface layer of a tooth, which is inevitably and more frequently exposed to the physical and biological challenges. The internal basal lamina (IBL), an HD interface, is inhabited with collagens and binding proteins such as laminin-332 and integrin. The periodontal pocket (PP), a pathologically deepened gingival sulcus (GS), occurs with the detachment of the connective tissues of the gingiva from the tooth surface. The JE below the GS is ~0.15 mm wide and 1-2 mm high, remains non-keratinized and undifferentiated, and has highest turnover rate (4-6 days) of all oral epithelia. The polymorphonuclear leukocytes (PMNs) are also secreted with gingival crevicular fluid (GCF) from the basal layer to keep a lookout for any hostile intruders. ZO-1: zonula occludens-1, JAM: junctional adhesion molecule.
Table 1. Comparison of skin, oral mucosa, and intestinal mucosa.

| Epithelium                  | Skin | Oral | Intestinal |
|-----------------------------|------|------|------------|
| Keratinized tissue          | Exist| Partially exist| Not exist  |
| Epithelial layer            | Multiple layers | Multiple layers | Single layer |
| Intercellular junctions     |      |      |            |
| Tight junction              | Exist| Exist| Exist      |
| Adherence junction          | Exist| Exist| Exist      |
| Desmosome                   | Exist| Exist| Exist      |
| Gap junction                | Exist| Exist| Exist      |
| Hemidesmosome               | Not exist| Exist| Not exist  |

4. Gingival sulcus and junctional epithelium

For humans, the primary teeth erupt through the mucous membrane from the inner alveolar bone at about 6 months of age, forming unique structures originating from the interface between the exposed teeth and the surrounding tissues. Those interface structures, the gingival sulcus (GS) and the junctional epithelium (JE) below the GS, are essential for the survival of animals that need mastication of ingested food (Figure 1d). For adults with permanent teeth, the healthy GS depth can reach 3 mm. Thus, below the GS there should be a sealing layer that binds the soft tissue, especially the JE, with the surface layer of the hard tissue (enamel and cementum), protecting the tissues from external challenges. The JE has the highest turnover rate (4-6 days) of all oral epithelia and remains undifferentiated and non-keratinized [62]. In the JE, the binding proteins are generated by the basal layer of soft tissues only to form hemidesmosome (HD) with the hard tissues of a tooth (Figure 1d, magnified box). The internal basal lamina (IBL), the intercellular space in the HD, are relatively wide, allowing water-soluble substances to pass through them with ease. These structural limitations of the HD between the JE and the tooth can provide pathogens with a good opportunity to invade human body [63-65]. To compensate this inherent structural weakness, immune cells such as polymorphic nuclear leukocytes (PMNs) transude into the GS together with the gingival crevicular fluid (GCF), taking constant vigilance even without any signs of inflammation [66,67]. Ironically, to allow immune cells to pass through the JE layer, the JE cells have fewer number of desmosomes that connect the cells vertically than the other oral epithelia, adding another structural instability to the JE [68].

The GS provides the perfect space for biofilm accumulation. Biofilms on the skin, oral mucosa, and gut mucosa are washed out along with hygiene activities, digestive processes, exfoliation, and defecation. The surface layer of the tooth enamel, however, does not fall off because it lacks cell division and maintains its structure unless external physical and biological intervention is applied. Thus, if the GS is not properly managed, biofilms will inevitably accumulate during lifetime [69] even to the level of thickness enough to ward off antibiotics [70] (Figure 2a and 2b). The biofilm accumulation induces inflammatory responses that erode alveolar bone and increases the GS depth, resulting in the formation of the periodontal pocket (PP). The deepened PP in turn makes it difficult to remove the biofilm in the PP. This vicious cycle results in increased inflammatory reactions, i.e., periodontal diseases [18,71].

The important roles of the GS and the JE were embossed in a seminal study conducted on 417 patients at 11 nursing homes in Japan [72]. In this study, older patients who received an oral care exhibited lower cases of pneumonia, febrile days, and death from pneumonia, while showing improved metrics of activities of daily living (ADL) and cognitive functions evaluated with Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE). By contrast, the total mortality rate was greater in dentate group (13.5%, 28/208) than in edentate group.
The mortality rate of dentate and edentate groups with oral care were similar (6% and 7%, respectively), but without oral care the mortality rate of dentate group (20%) was higher than that of edentate group (13%) even if ADL and MMSE scores were slightly worse in edentate group at the time of final evaluation. The reduced mortality rate in edentate group without oral care, although not fully discussed in this study, may indicate that the edentate state is somehow advantageous for longevity if proper oral care cannot be administered. Indeed, the spot where the tooth is removed becomes covered with mucosal membrane and transforms like the mucosa of the skin and the intestine (Figure 2c). In other words, the absence of teeth may render more effective protection from bacterial infections by removing the vulnerable structures stem from the GS and the JE.

Figure 2. Biofilms built on (a) a tooth’s surface and (b) extracted implants. The hard surface of a tooth root, an implant, and a crown prosthesis abutting an implant shaft provide a solid ground on which biofilms can accumulate for a lifetime if not well cared for. (c) The edentate oral cavity. The teethless oral mucosa is free of the GS and the JE, making it less vulnerable to infection.

5. Focal infection theory and leaky gum

Concerns have already existed since the end of the 19th century that the oral cavity could be a source of human pathogenic microbes. In the 1890s, Willoughby D. Miller, who studied at the Koch Institute, warned of the dangers of oral microbes [74,75]. Miller, riding on the bandwagon of the “germ theory” of disease established at the end of the 19th century, suggested that the oral cavity, a breeding ground for many pathogens, could be an origin of many diseases of unknown etiology, such as CVDs, pneumonia, angina pectoris, and foot gangrene [76]. Miller’s study has established a modern daily routine for oral care, such as brushing teeth three times a day and flossing. His argument was later accepted as “oral sepsis” by British surgeon William Hunter in the early 1900s [77] and expanded as “focal infection theory” by American physician Frank Billings in the 1910s [78]. It was further amplified by Henry Cotton who claimed that mental illness could be improved by tooth extraction or tonsillectomy [79]. Even accepted by the physicians at Johns Hopkins University and Mayo Clinic, the theory was implemented into routine clinical practice. The theory was so widely expanded that Russell Cecil, an eminent author of Cecil Essentials of Medicine, also joined the club. In 1940s, however, Hobart A Reinmann and W Paul Havens pointed out, in their critical appraisal of focal infection in relation to systemic
disease, that the theory lacks clinical evidence and the causative relationship of infections of teeth and tonsils to systemic disease is unproved [80]. Consequently, in the late 1950s the theory gradually vanished and was regarded as fringe medicine.

In the 21st century, the focal infection theory began to be revisited in a different perspective [81]. For example, bacteremia, a temporary infiltration of bacteria into blood vessels, has been regarded as an illness resolved by immune responses within an hour [65]. Recent culture-independent microbial research techniques, however, have shown that bacteria or bacterial DNA are always present in blood vessels of healthy people [82-84]. These findings suggest that bacteremia may not be a temporary nor a localized problem. Furthermore, it has been revealed that microbes can be found in the lungs of healthy people [5,85,86] and cancer patients [87], the placenta of healthy pregnant women, albeit controversial [1-4], and the brains in Alzheimer’s disease (AD) [88-91], which had long been considered sterile.

6. Oral pathogens and systemic diseases

The origin of bacteria found in remote organs converges to the oral cavity [92-94] (Figure 3). For example, the placental microbiome profiles were most comparable to those of the oral microbiome [1]. The overlap of the unique members of oral microbes with other remote organs is consistent with previous clinical studies in which *Fusobacterium nucleatum*, a Gram-negative oral anaerobe, were clinically suspected to be a major risk factor in colorectal cancer [95-97] and in preterm and term stillbirth [98,99]. Likewise, an infamous oral pathogenic bacterium, *Porphyromonas gingivalis*, is related to pancreatic cancer [100], colorectal cancer [101,102], liver health [103], rheumatoid arthritis [104,105], diabetes [106-108], oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) [109,110], and neurodegenerative diseases [88-91,111-114] (Table 2). Notably, when the vascular tissues of the coronary and femoral arteries of the patients with CVD were examined, *P. gingivalis* was found in 42 out of 42 patients [115]. Previously explained by the passive accumulation of fat, the etiology of CVD is now leaning toward inflammatory responses of the vascular endothelium [116,117].

In the case of atherosclerotic CVD, infection of aortic lesions with *P. gingivalis* activates adhesion molecules such as intercellular adhesion molecule 1 (ICAM-1) and vascular cell adhesion molecule 1 (VCAM-1), leading to chronic inflammation via migration of more immune cells to the lesion sites. The microarray analysis demonstrated that *P. gingivalis*-treated human aortic endothelial cells (HAECs) upregulated expression levels of ICAM-1, VCAM-1, and interleukin-6 (IL-6) [118]. As well as ICAM-1 and VCAM-1 upregulation, pathological enlargement of atherosclerotic lesion area were well demonstrated in hyperlipidemic (*Apoe*-/-) mice orally challenged with *P. gingivalis* [118,119]. As an effective molecule, lipopolysaccharide from *P. gingivalis* (PgLPS) was established to promote inflammatory response as increasing mononuclear cell adhesion to human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) via ICAM-1 and Toll-like receptor (TLR)-2 dependent mechanism [120]. Subcutaneous infection of obese pigs with *P. gingivalis* also showed enhanced aortic and coronary arterial atherosclerosis [63]. In addition to *P. gingivalis*, intravenous infection of hyperlipidemic mice with *Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans* can promote and accelerate atherosclerotic plaques [121] and time-dependently elevate matrix metalloproteinase-9 (MMP-9) expression [122]. The MMP-9, derived from macrophage, has been highlighted as a risk factor of acute atherosclerosis due to its proteolytic activity of advanced atherosclerotic plaque rupture [123].

Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is a highly prevalent metabolic disease characterized by prolonged high-glucose level in blood. Insulin resistance on peripheral tissues has been focused as the major causing factor of T2DM [124]. Recently, many microbiologists designated gut dysbiosis as a critical factor of insulin resistance development in T2DM accompanied by gut barrier dysfunction [125]. Interestingly, oral infection of mice with *P. gingivalis* can also induce gut dysbiosis, leading to insulin resistance via pathway through endotoxin entrance and chronic inflammation [126]. Mice pre-treated with *P. gingivalis*, *F.*
*nucleatum*, and *Prevotella intermedia* showed accelerated insulin resistance after three months of high-fat diet (HFD) feeding [127]. The branched-chain amino acid (BCAA) biosynthesis activity of *P. gingivalis* is a suggested mechanism of insulin resistance development, as evident that BCAA aminotransferase-deficient (**∆bcat**) *P. gingivalis* strain can neither induce insulin resistance nor upregulate serum BCAA in HFD mice model [128].

**Figure 3.** The oral cavity as the origin of internal microbiome in humans. The microbiome in the oral cavity can disseminate to the remote sites of the body, such as the brain, stomach, intestines, and heart, via hematogenous and enteric pathways. The PP, a pathologically deepened GS due to microbial infection and colonization, gradually allows detachment of the connective tissues of the JE from the tooth surface. The epithelial layer of the apical JE is thin enough for bacterial virulence factors as well as pathogenic bacteria, such as *P. gingivalis*, to infiltrate into the blood stream, resulting in a leaky gum syndrome. The microbiome of the oral cavity also affect gut microbiome profiles by moving through the gastrointestinal tract, causing a variety of gut-related diseases, such as IBD, IBS, and colon cancer.

OSCC is the most malignant cancer of oral cavity with an increasing rate of incidence, and the risk factors for OSCC include alcohol consumption, smoke, and human papillomavirus [129]. Interestingly, two independent groups suggested that *P. gingivalis* administration can significantly increase the number and diameter of the lesions in tongue tissues of mice pre-treated with carcinogen 4-nitroquinoline-1 oxide (4NQO). They provided two pathways, dysregulated lipid metabolism and CD11b⁺ myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) infiltration, involved with OSCC deterioration by the pathogen [109,110]. Indeed, abnormal lipid regulation by increased expressions of fatty acid binding protein (FABP)-4 and FABP-5 has been reported to have a crucial role in OSCC development via activation of mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway and MMP-9 [130,131]. By contrast, CD11b⁺ is responsible for MDSCs migration to tumor microenvironment where the cells have an immunosuppressive role that favors tumor progression [132].
Table 2. Oral pathogens and the related diseases.

| Oral pathogens                          | Models                      | Infection methods | Experimental results                                                                 | Year  | Ref.   |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|--------|
| **In vitro**                            |                             |                   |                                                                                      |       |        |
| P. gingivalis 381                       | HAECs                       | 6 h infection     | Increased ICAM-1, VCAM-1, and IL-6 expression                                         | 2005  | [118]  |
| P. gingivalis ATCC33277                 | HUVECs                      | 24 h infection    | Increased adhesion of mononuclear cells to HUVECs via ICAM-1 and TLR-2 dependent mechanism | 2008  | [120]  |
| P. gingivalis 381                       | Apoe<sup>−</sup> mice       | Oral infection 5 times per week over 3 weeks | Increased aortic ICAM-1, VCAM-1 immunostaining                                       | 2005  | [118]  |
| P. gingivalis 381                       | Apoe<sup>−</sup> mice       | Oral infection 5 times per week over 3 weeks | Increased aortic atherosclerosis                                                     | 2003  | [119]  |
| P. gingivalis 381 or A7436              | Pigs                        | Subcutaneously infection 3 times per week for 5 months | Increased aortic and coronary arterial atherosclerosis                              | 2005  | [63]   |
| A. actinomycetemcomitans HK1651         | Apoe<sup>−</sup> mice       | Intravenous infection 3 times per week over 3 weeks | Increased atherosclerotic plaque, serum C-reactive protein (CRP), IL-6, and aortic ICAM-1 | 2014  | [126]  |
| A. actinomycetemcomitans AT445b         | Apoe<sup>−</sup> mice       | Intravenous infection once a week for 4, 6, or 8 weeks | Increased aortic MMP-9 expression and serum CRP                                      | 2008  | [122]  |
| P. gingivalis W83                       | Mice                        | Oral infection twice per week for 5 weeks | Increased gut dysbiosis, gut barrier invasion, serum endotoxin, insulin resistance | 2014  | [126]  |
| P. gingivalis ATCC33277, F. nucleatum, P. intermedia | Mice                  | Oral infection 4 times a week for 4 weeks, thereafter normal diet- or HFD-fed for additional 3 months | Increased periodontal dysbiosis, insulin resistance in HFD-fed mice | 2017  | [127]  |
| P. gingivalis ATCC33277 (WT) or Δbcat   | Mice                        | Oral infection twice per week for 4 weeks concomitantly HFD-fed | P. gingivalis (Δbcat) cannot induce insulin resistance in HFD-fed mice | 2020  | [128]  |
| **In vivo**                             |                             |                   |                                                                                      |       |        |
| P. gingivalis 381                       | Mice                        | 4NQO treatment for 8 weeks, thereafter oral infection with P. gingivalis for 8 weeks | Enhanced OSCC induction and dysregulated lipid metabolism in 4NQO-treated mice      | 2018  | [109]  |
| P. gingivalis ATCC33277                 | Mice                        | 4NQO treatment for 16 weeks, thereafter oral infection with P. gingivalis for 10 weeks | Enhanced OSCC induction and increased infiltration of CD11b<sup>+</sup> MDSCs in 4NQO-treated mice | 2020  | [110]  |
| P. gingivalis ATCC33277                 | Rat brain neonatal microglia | 18 h infection    | Activated microglial release of cytokine TNF-α, IL-6, and MMP-9.                    | 2020  | [136]  |
| P. gingivalis ATCC33277                 | Immortalized mouse microglial cell line MG6 | 3, 6, or 12 h infection of P. gingivalis in the presence and absence of | Increased expression levels of IL-6 and TNF-α, which was increased expression levels of IL-6 and TNF-α, which was | 2017  | [139]  |
AD is the one of the representative neurodegenerative diseases diagnosed with senile plaques and abundant neurofibrillary tangles, which can be deteriorated by oral pathogenic infection. Gingival-infected *P. gingivalis* was reported to exacerbate accumulation of Aβ plaques and inflammatory cytokines in brain specimen of amyloid precursor protein (APP) transgenic mice [133]. Interestingly, anatomic analysis demonstrated that *P. gingivalis* genomic DNA was detected in brain specimens of 9 out of 12 *Apoe*-/- mice orally challenged with *P. gingivalis* for 24 weeks [134], implicating that *P. gingivalis* can penetrate the gum and enter the blood-brain barrier (BBB). The result that intravenous injection of *P. gingivalis* into rats enhanced tau hyperphosphorylation in the hippocampus can reinforce the theory of BBB penetration of *P. gingivalis* [135]. As similar atherosclerotic CVD, PgLPS has also been designated as *P. gingivalis*-driven virulence factor affecting AD. It was reported that PgLPS treatment to rat brain neonatal microglial cells promoted release of inflammatory mediators such as TNF-α and IL-6 [136], and palatal gingival infection of PgLPS into rats induced alveolar bone loss and increased serum Aβ levels [137]. Middle-aged wild-type (WT) mice intraperitoneally challenged with PgLPS for 5 weeks represented learning and memory deficit and microglia-mediated neuroinflammation,

| Study | Model | Treatment | Outcome | Year | Reference |
|-------|-------|-----------|---------|------|-----------|
| *P. gingivalis* ATCC33277 | APP transgenic mice | Gingival infection | Exacerbated Aβ plaques and inflammatory cytokines in the brain of AD mouse model | 2017 | [133] |
| *P. gingivalis* 381, *Treponema denticola* ATCC 35404, *Tannerella forsythia* ATCC 43037, and *F. nucleatum* ATCC 49256 | *Apoe*-/- mice | Oral infection for 24 weeks | *P. gingivalis* genomic DNA was detected in mice brain (9 out of 12 at 24 weeks). | 2015 | [134] |
| *P. gingivalis* ATCC33277 | Rats | Intravenous infection 3 times a week for 4 or 12 weeks | Induced tau hyperphosphorylation (pTau181 and pTau231) in the rat hippocampus | 2021 | [135] |
| PgLPS | Rats (n=6) | Palatal gingival infection 3 times for 2 weeks | Induced alveolar bone loss and increased serum Aβ levels | 2019 | [137] |
| PgLPS | Mice | Intraperitoneal infection daily for 5 weeks | PgLPS induced learning and memory deficit in middle-aged WT mice, but not in young WT, young *Catb*+/-, and middle-aged *Catb*+/- mice | 2017 | [138] |
| *P. gingivalis* ATCC33277, Lys-gingipain (Kgp)-deficient *P. gingivalis* KDP129 | *Cx3cr1*+GFP mice | Injection of *P. gingivalis* into the somatosensory cortex of mice | GFP+ microglia accumulated around the injection site of *P. gingivalis*, but not of KDP129 | 2017 | [139] |
although age-matched mice deficient for cathepsin B (Catb−/−) were insensitive to PgLPS [138]. In addition to PgLPS, gingipain is an AD virulence factor, a unique class of cysteine proteinase that comprises Lys-gingipain (Kgp) and Arg-gingipain (Rgp). The modulatory role of the gingipain on neuroinflammation was well-established using Kgp and Rgp inhibitors (KYT1 and KYT36, respectively) or P. gingivalis KDP129, a gingipain-deficient mutant strain [139]. In this study, KYT1 and KYT36 treatment effectively inhibited P. gingivalis-driven increased expression of IL-6 and TNF-α in immortalized mouse microglial cell line MG6. Injection of P. gingivalis, but not KDP129 strain, into the somatosensory cortex of mice can recruit microglia to the injection site, revealing that gingipain is the effective factor for microglial migration and accumulation around P. gingivalis in the brain [139].

Recently, Kitamoto et al. demonstrated the mechanistic underpinnings by which periodontal inflammation due to oral infection contributes to the pathogenesis of extra-oral diseases [12]. In this elaborated study using mice, they showed that periodontitis aggravates gut inflammation by translocating oral Klebsiella/Enterobacter species to the lower digestive tract where it colonizes ectopically to elicit colitis through IL-1β. In parallel, oral Th17 cells induced by oral pathobiont expansion migrate to the gut and promote colitis, constituting both microbial and immunological pathways that link oral and gut health.

The growing body of examples that show close relationship of oral pathogens with a variety of systemic diseases enabled the introduction of a term “periodontal medicine”, to describe how periodontal infection and inflammation affect extraoral illness [140,141]. As such, the oral cavity needs to be re-evaluated as a more pivotal organ with the revolution of microbiology in the 21st century [71].

7. Conclusions and Perspectives

Thanks to rapid advances in gene sequencing technology combined with nanotechnology and information technology, human microbiome proves to be present even in bodily sites previously known to be sterile. Intriguingly, microbiome inside human body mostly originates from the oral cavity, reminiscing the focal infection theory backed by more recent scientific proofs. Indeed, the oral cavity has unique mucosal structures such as the PP and the JE with innate vulnerability where oral pathogens can colonize for life and leak into blood vessels to circulate throughout the body, resulting in many systemic diseases in remote sites. Filled with anticipation for more causative evidence from well-designed empirical studies, we now need to focus on how to provide a leaky gum with a protective shield made of biological, not physicochemical, knowledges. By doing so, we can look forward to the realization of more prominent personalized medicine for systemic health by striking a balance between oral microbiota and their host.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, H.K.; resources, J.Y.P. and D.L.; writing—original draft preparation, D.-Y.P., I.H., and H.K.; writing—review and editing, I.H.; visualization, I.H.; supervision, I.H. and H.K.; All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: This work was supported in part by Docsmedi Co., Ltd. and the Apple Tree Medical Foundation. The authors thank Hyo Jung Kwon and Kye Kyoung Park for their help in manuscript preparation.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References
1. Aagaard, K.; Ma, J.; Antony, K.M.; Ganu, R.; Petrosino, J.; Versalovic, J. The placenta harbors a unique microbiome. Sci. Transl. Med. 2014, 6, 237ra265, doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.3008599.
2. Gomez-Arango, L.F.; Barrett, H.L.; McIntyre, H.D.; Callaway, L.K.; Morrison, M.; Niter, M.D. Contributions of the maternal oral and gut microbiome to placental microbial colonization in overweight and obese pregnant women. *Sci. Rep.* 2017, 7, doi:10.1038/s41598-017-03066-4.

3. Goffau, M.C.d.; Lager, S.; Sovio, U.; Gaccioli, F.; Cook, E.; Peacock, S.J.; Parkhill, J.; Charnock-Jones, D.S.; Smith, G.C.S. Human placenta has no microbiome but can contain potential pathogens. *Nature* 2019, 572, 329-334, doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1451-5.

4. Ye, C.; Kapila, Y. Oral microbiome shifts during pregnancy and adverse pregnancy outcomes: Hormonal and Immunologic changes at play. *Periodont. 2000* 2021, 87, 276-281, doi:10.1111/prd.12386.

5. Whiteside, S.A.; McGinniss, J.E.; Collman, R.G. The lung microbiome: progress and promise. *J. Clin. Invest.* 2021, 131, doi:10.1172/JCI150473.

6. Huffnagle, G.B.; Dickson, R.P.; Lukacs, N.W. The respiratory tract microbiome and lung inflammation: a two-way street. *Mucosal Immunol.* 2017, 10, 299-306, doi:10.1038/mi.2016.108.

7. Dickson, R.P.; Erb-Downward, J.R.; Freeman, C.M.; McCloskey, L.; Falkowski, N.R.; Huffnagle, G.B.; Curtis, J.L.; Clemente, J.C.; Molyneaux, P.; Bogaert, D. Bacterial Topography of the Healthy Human Lower Respiratory Tract. *MBio* 2017, 8, e02287-02216, doi:10.1128/mBio.02287-16.

8. Mehta, R.; Nishihara, R.; Cao, Y.; Song, M.; Mima, K.; Qian, Z.R.; Nowak, J.A.; Kosumi, K.; Hamada, T.; Masugi, Y.; et al. Association of Dietary Patterns With Risk of Colorectal Cancer Subtypes Classified by Fusobacterium nucleatum in Tumor Tissue. *JAMA Oncol.* 2017, 3, 921-927, doi:10.1001/jamaoncol.2016.6374.

9. Tao, J.; Li, S.; Gan, R.-Y.; Zhao, C.-N.; Meng, X.; Li, H.-B. Targeting gut microbiota with dietary components on cancer: Effects and potential mechanisms of action. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* 2020, 60, 1025-1037, doi:10.1080/00003152.2018.1555789.

10. Agirman, G.; Yu, K.B.; Hsiao, E.Y. Signaling inflammation across the gut-brain axis. *Science (1979)* 2021, 374, 1087-1092, doi:10.1126/science.abi6087.

11. Morais, L.H.; Schreiber, H.L.; Mazmanian, S.K. The gut microbiota-brain axis in behaviour and brain disorders. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 2021, 19, 241-255, doi:10.1038/s41579-020-00460-0.

12. Kitamoto, S.; Nagao-Kitamoto, H.; Imai, M.; Sugihara, K.; Miyoshi, M.; Brazil, J.C.; Kuffa, P.; et al. The Intermucosal Connection between the Mouth and Gut in Commensal Pathobiont-Driven Colitis. *Cell 2020*, 182, 447-462.e4114, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2020.05.048.

13. Kitamoto, S.; Nagao-Kitamoto, H.; Hein, R.; Schmidt, T.M.; Kamada, N. The Bacterial Connection between the Oral Cavity and the Gut Diseases. *J. Dent. Res.* 2020, 99, 1021-1029, doi:10.1177/0022034520924633.

14. Ray, K. The oral–gut axis in BD. *Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 2020, 17, 532-532, doi:10.1038/s41575-020-0346-0.

15. Narengaowa; Kong, W.; Lan, F.; Avan, U.F.; Qin, H.; Ni, J. The Oral-Gut-Brain AXIS: The Influence of Microbes in Alzheimer’s Disease. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* 2021, 15, doi:10.3389/fncel.2021.633735.

16. Byrd, K.M.; Gulati, A.S. The “Gum–Gut” Axis in Inflammatory Bowel Diseases: A Hypothesis-Driven Review of Associations and Advances. *Front. Immunol.* 2021, 12, 620124, doi:10.3389/fimmu.2021.620124.

17. Hu, S.; Png, E.; Gowans, M.; Ong, D.E.H.; de Sessions, P.F.; Song, J.; Nagarajan, N. Ectopic gut colonization: a metagenomic study of the oral and gut microbiome in Crohn’s disease. *Gut Pathog.* 2021, 13, doi:10.1186/s13099-021-00409-5.

18. Hall-Stoodley, L.; Costerton, J.W.; Stoodley, P. Bacterial biofilms: from the natural environment to infectious diseases. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 2004, 2, 95-108, doi:10.1038/nrmicro821.

19. Sender, R.; Fuchs, S.; Milo, R. Are We Really Vastly Outnumbered? Revisiting the Ratio of Bacterial to Host Cells in Humans. *Cell 2016*, 164, 337-340, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2016.01.013.

20. Thursby, E.; Juge, N. Introduction to the human gut microbiota. *Biochem. J.* 2017, 474, 1823-1836, doi:10.1042/BCJ20160510.

21. Malard, F.; Dore, J.; Gaugler, B.; Mothly, M. Introduction to host microbiome symbiosis in health and disease. *Mucosal Immunol.* 2021, 14, 547-554, doi:10.1038/s41385-020-00365-4.

22. Relman, D.A. The human microbiome: ecosystem resilience and health. *Nutr. Rev.* 2012, 70, S2-S9, doi:10.1111/j.1753-4887.2012.00489.x.

23. Theriot, C.M.; Bowman, A.A.; Young, V.B. Antibiotic-Induced Alterations of the Gut Microbiota Alter Secondary Bile Acid Production and Allow for Clostridium difficile Spore Germination and Outgrowth in the Large Intestine. *mSphere* 2016, 1, e0045-00015, doi:10.1128/mSphere.0045-15.

24. Lessa, F.C.; Mu, Y.; Bamberg, W.M.; Beldavs, Z.G.; Dumyati, G.K.; Dunn, J.R.; Farley, M.M.; Holzbauer, S.M.; Meek, J.I.; Phipps, E.C.; et al. Burden of Clostridium difficile infection in the United States. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2015, 372, 825-834, doi:10.1056/NEJMoA1408913.

25. CDC. Nearly half a million Americans suffer from C. difficile infections in single year. Available online: https://www.cdc.gov/media/releases/2015/p0225-clostridium-difficile.html (accessed on 14th October).

26. Zitvogel, L.; Galluzzi, L.; Viaud, S.; Vétizou, M.; Daillère, R.; Merad, M.; Kroemer, G. Cancer and the gut microbiota: An unexpected link. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 2015, 7, 271ps271-271ps271, doi:10.1126/scitranslated.3010473.

27. Zhao, Y.; Yu, Y.-B. Intestinal microbiota and chronic constipation. *Springerplus* 2016, 5, 1130-1130, doi:10.1186/s40064-016-2821-1.

28. Wong, S.H.; Zhao, L.; Zhang, X.; Nakatsu, G.; Han, J.; Xu, W.; Xiao, A.; Kwong, T.N.Y.; Tsoi, H.; Wu, W.K.K.; et al. Gavage of
Fecal Samples From Patients With Colorectal Cancer Promotes Intestinal Carcinogenesis in Germ-Free and Conventional Mice. 

Gastroenterology 2017, 153, 1621-1633.e1626, doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2017.08.022.

29. Cao, H.; Liu, X.; An, Y.; Zhou, G.; Liu, Y.; Xu, M.; Dong, W.; Wang, S.; Yan, F.; Jiang, K.; et al. Dysbiosis contributes to chronic constipation development via regulation of serotonin transporter in the intestine. Sci. Rep. 2017, 7, doi:10.1038/s41598-017-10835-8.

30. Ohkusa, T.; Koido, S.; Nishikawa, Y.; Sato, N. Gut Microbiota and Chronic Constipation: A Review and Update. Front. Med. (Lausanne) 2019, 6, 19, doi:10.3389/fmed.2019.00019.

31. Ding, R.X.; Goh, W.R.; Wu, R.N.; Yue, X.Q.; Luo, X.; Khine, W.W.T.; Wu, J.R.; Lee, Y.K. Revisit gut microbiota and its impact on human health and disease. J. Food Drug Anal. 2019, 27, 623-631, doi:10.1016/j.jfda.2018.12.012.

32. Atreya, C.E.; Turnbaugh, P.J. Probing the tumor micro(b)environment. Science (1979) 2020, 368, 938-939, doi:10.1126/science.abc146c.

33. Zhang, S.; Wang, R.; Li, D.; Zhao, L.; Zhu, L. Role of gut microbiota in functional constipation. Gastroenterol. Rep. (Oxf.) 2021, doi:10.1093/gastro/goa035.

34. Hollander, D. Intestinal permeability, leaky gut, and intestinal disorders. Curr. Gastroenterol. Rep. 1999, 1, 410-416, doi:10.1007/s11894-999-0023-5.

35. Bischoff, S.C.; Barbara, G.; Buurman, W.; Ockhuizen, T.; Schulzke, J.-D.; Serino, M.; Tilg, H.; Watson, A.; Wells, J.M. Intestinal permeability—a new target for disease prevention and therapy. BMC Gastroenterol. 2014, 14, 189-189, doi:10.1186/s12876-014-0189-7.

36. Fukui, H. Increased Intestinal Permeability and Decreased Barrier Function: Does It Really Influence the Risk of Inflammation? Inflamm. Intest. Dis. 2016, 1, 135-145, doi:10.1159/000447252.

37. Mu, Q.; Kirby, J.; Reilly, C.M.; Luo, X.M. Leaky gut as a danger signal for autoimmune diseases. Front. Immunol. 2017, 8, 598, doi:10.3389/fimmu.2017.00598.

38. Kelly, J.R.; Kennedy, P.J.; Cryan, J.F.; Dinan, T.G.; Clarke, G.; Hyland, N.P. Breaking down the barriers: the gut microbiome, intestinal permeability and stress-related psychiatric disorders. Front. Cell. Neurosci. 2015, 9, 1-20, doi:10.3389/fncel.2015.00392.

39. Odenwald, M.A.; Turner, J.R. The intestinal epithelial barrier: a therapeutic target? Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 2017, 14, 9-21, doi:10.1038/nrgastro.2016.169 PMID - 27848962.

40. Fukui, H. Role of Gut Dysbiosis in Liver Diseases: What Have We Learned So Far? Diseases 2019, 7, 58, doi:10.3390/diseases7040058.

41. Harbison, J.E.; Roth-Schulze, A.J.; Giles, L.C.; Tran, C.D.; Ngui, K.M.; Penno, M.A.; Thomson, R.L.; Wentworth, J.M.; Colman, P.G.; Craig, M.E.; et al. Crohn’s disease and skin. Gastroenterology 2018, 155, e17-e18, doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2018.07.042.

42. Chebli, J.M.F.; de Oliveira Moreira, B.; da Ribeiro, T.C. An Unusual Cause of Skin Rash in Crohn’s Disease. Gastroenterology 2018, 155, 618-620, doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2018.01.061.

43. Chung-Yee Hui, R.; Kuo, K.L.; Yang, T.W. A Rare Skin Complication Associated With Crohn’s Disease. Gastroenterology 2019, 160, 669-670, doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2018.09.047.

44. Rabiei, S.; Mohebbi, S.Z.; Patja, K.; Virtanen, J.I. Physicians’ knowledge of and adherence to improving oral health. BMC Public Health 2012, 12, 855, doi:10.1186/1471-2458-12-855.

45. Elad, S.; Zadik, Y.; Caton, J.G.; Epstein, J.B. Oral mucosal changes associated with primary diseases in other body systems. Periodontol. 2000 2019, 80, 28-48, doi:10.1111/prd.12265.

46. Del Monte, U. Does the cell number 109 still really fit one gram of tumor tissue? Cell Cycle 2009, 8, 505-506, doi:10.4161/cc.8.3.7608.

47. Vighi, G.; Marcucci, F.; Sensi, L.; Di Cara, G.; Frati, F. Allergy and the gastrointestinal system. Clin. Exp. Immunol. 2008, 153, 3-6, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2228.2008.03713.x.

48. Antoni, L.; Nuding, S.; Weller, D.; Gersemann, M.; Ott, G.; Wehkamp, J.; Stange, E.F. Human colonic mucus is a reservoir for antimicrobial peptides. J. Crohns Colitis 2013, 7, e652-e664, doi:10.1016/j.crohns.2013.05.006.

49. Vila, T.; Rizk, A.M.; Sultan, A.S.; Jabra-Rizk, M.A. The power of saliva: Antimicrobial and beyond. PLoS Pathog. 2019, 15, e1008058-e1008058, doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1008058.
55. Wickett, R.R.; Visscher, M.O. Structure and function of the epidermal barrier. *Am. J. Infect. Control* 2006, 34, S98-S110, doi:10.1016/j.ajic.2006.05.295.

56. Wells, J.M.; Brummer, R.J.; Derrien, M.; MacDonald, T.T.; Troost, F.; Cani, P.D.; Theodoron, V.; Dekker, J.; Méheust, A.; De Vos, W.M. Homeostasis of the gut barrier and potential biomarkers. *Am. J. Physiol. Gastroint. Liver. Physiol.* 2017, 312, G171-G193, doi:10.1152/ajpgi.00048.2015.

57. Cormick, S.; Tawiah, A.; Chadee, K. Roles and regulation of the mucus barrier in the gut. *Tissue Barriers* 2015, 3, e982426-e982426, doi:10.4161/21688370.2014.982426.

58. Iweala, O.I.; Nagler, C.R. The Microbiome and Food Allergy. *Annals of Human Nutrition* 2019, 73, 377-403, doi:10.1139/anhnu-2019-0014.

59. Shackleton, C.; Henrici, A.T.; Herold, K.; Freire, M. Is the oral microbiome a source to enhance mucosal immunity against infectious diseases? *NPJ Vaccines* 2021, 6, 80, doi:10.1038/s41418-021-00341-4.

60. Lin, D.; Yang, L.; Wen, L.; Lu, H.; Chen, Q.; Wang, Z. Crosstalk between the oral microbiota, mucosal immunity, and the epithelial barrier regulates oral mucosal disease pathogenesis. *Mucosal Immunol.* 2021, 14, 1247-1258, doi:10.1038/s41385-021-00413-7.

61. Adams, D. Keratinization of the oral epithelium. *Ann. R. Coll. Surg. Engl.* 1976, 58, 351-358.

62. Walmsley, A.D.; Walsh, T.F.; Lumley, P.J.; Burke, F.J.T.; Shortall, A.C.C.; Hayes-Hall, R.; Pretty, I.A. Chapter 2 - The healthy mouth. In *Restorative Dentistry* (Second Edition), Walmsley, A.D., Walsh, T.F., Lumley, P.J., Burke, F.J.T., Shortall, A.C.C., Hayes-Hall, R., Pretty, I.A., Eds.; Churchill Livingstone: Edinburgh, 2007; pp. 3-11.

63. Brodala, N.; Merricks, E.P.; Bellinger, D.A.; Damrongsri, D.; Offenbacher, S.; Beck, J.; Madianos, P.; Sotres, D.; Chang, Y.-L.; Koch, G.; et al. Porphyromonas gingivalis Bacteremia Induces Coronary and Aortic Atherosclerosis in Normocholesterolemic and Hypercholesterolemic Pigs. *Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol.* 2005, 25, 1446-1451, doi:10.1161/01.ATV.0000167525.69400.9c.

64. Forner, L.; Larsen, T.; Khajah, M.A., Ed.; IntechOpen: London, 2017; p. 67.

65. Lockhart, P.B.; Brennan, M.T.; Sasser, H.C.; Fox, P.C.; Paster, B.J.; Bahrani-Mougeot, F.K. Bacteremia associated with periodontal disease: A critical review. *J. Clin. Periodontol.* 2006, 33, 401-407, doi:10.1111/j.1600-051X.2006.00924.x.

66. Lockhart, P.B.; Brennan, M.T.; Sasser, H.C.; Fox, P.C.; Paster, B.J.; Bahrami-Mougeot, F.K. Bacteremia associated with toothbrushing and dental extraction. *Circulation* 2006, 117, 3118-3125, doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.107.758524.

67. Groeger, S.; Meyle, J. Oral Mucosal Epithelial Cells. *Front. Immunol.* 2019, 10, doi:10.3389/fimmu.2019.00208.

68. Bosshardt, D.D.; Lang, N.P. The junctional epithelium: from health to disease. *J. Dent. Res.* 2005, 84, 9-20, doi:10.1175/175495910508400102.

69. Lasserre, J.F.; Brecx, M.C.; Toma, S. Oral Microbes, Biofilms and Their Role in Periodontal and Peri-Implant Diseases. *Materials* (Basel, Switzerland) 2018, 11, 1802, doi:10.3390/ma11101802.

70. Roberts, A.P.; Mullany, P. Oral biofilms: a reservoir of transferable, bacterial, antimicrobial resistance. *Expert Rev. Anti Infect. Ther.* 2018, 16, 1441-1450, doi:10.1586/eri.10.106.

71. Sharma, N.; Bhatia, S.; Sohdi, A.S.; Batra, N. Oral microbiome and health. *AIMS Microbiol.* 2018, 4, 42-66, doi:10.3934/microbiol.20181.42.

72. Yoneyama, T.; Yoshida, M.; Ohruji, T.; Mukaiyama, H.; Okamoto, H.; Hoshiba, K.; Ibara, S.; Yanagisawa, S.; Ariumi, S.; Morita, T. Oral care reduces pneumonia in older patients in nursing homes. *J. Am. Geriatr. Soc.* 2002, 50, 430-433, doi:10.1046/j.1532-5415.2002.50106.x.

73. Faju, S.; Scannapieco, F.A. Oral biofilms, periodontitis, and pulmonary infections. *Oral Dis* 2007, 13, 508-512, doi:10.1111/j.1601-0825.2007.01410.a.

74. Miller, W.D. *The Micro-Organisms of the Human Mouth*; S.S. White Dental Manufacturing Co.: Philadelphia, PA, 1890.

75. He, X.; Shi, W.Y. Oral Microbiology: Past, Present and Future. *Int. J. Oral Sci.* 2009, 1, 47-58, doi:10.4248/ijos.09029.

76. Hunter, W. Oral Sepsis as a Cause of Disease. *Br. Med. J.* 1900, 3, 215-216, doi:10.1136/bmj.2.2065.215.

77. Billings, F. Chronic focal infections and their etiologic relations to arthritis and nephritis. *JAMA Intern. Med.* 1912, IX, 484-498, doi:10.1001/archinte.1912.006016087007.

78. Wessely, S. Surgery for the treatment of psychiatric illness: the need to test untested theories. *J. R. Soc. Med.* 2009, 102, 445-451, doi:10.1258/jrsm.2009.090368.

79. Reimann, H.A.; Havens, W.P. Focal infection and systemic disease: a critical appraisal: the case against indiscriminate removal of teeth and tonsils clinical lecture at St. Louis session. *J. Am. Med. Assoc.* 1940, 114, 1-6, doi:10.1001/jama.1940.02810010003001.

80. Backman, J.L. Focal Infection Revisited - The Swinging of the Pendulum. *Dent. Hist.* 2017, 62, 81-92.

81. Potgieter, M.; Bester, J.; Kell, D.B.; Pretorius, E. The dormant blood microbiome in chronic, inflammatory diseases. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 2015, 40, 9-20, doi:10.1093/femsre/fuv013.

82. Plaisé, S.; Vallée, C.; Servant, F.; Courtye, M.; Burcelin, R.; Amar, J.; Le Louër, B.J.T. Comprehensive description of blood microbiome from healthy donors assessed by 16S targeted metagenomic sequencing. *Transfusion* 2016, 56, 1138-1147, doi:10.1111/trf.13477.

83. Whittle, E.; Leonard, M.O.; Harrison, R.; Gant, T.W.; Tonge, D.P. Multi-Method Characterization of the Human Circulating Microbiome. *Front. Microbiol.* 2019, 9, doi:10.3389/fmicb.2018.03266.
85. Dickson, R.P.; Huffnagle, G.B. The lung microbiome: new principles for respiratory bacteriology in health and disease. *PLoS Pathog.* **2015**, *11*, e1004923, doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1004923.
86. Man, W.H.; de Steenhuysen Piter, W.A.A.; Bogaert, D. The microbiota of the respiratory tract: gatekeeper to respiratory health. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **2017**, *15*, 259-270, doi:10.1038/nrmicro.2017.14.
87. Jin, C.; Lagoudas, G.K.; Zhao, C.; Bullman, S.; Bhutkar, A.; Hu, B.; Ame, S.; Sandel, D.; Liang, X.S.; Mazzilli, S.; et al. Commensal Microbiota Promote Lung Cancer Development via yb T Cells. *Cell* **2019**, *176*, 998-1013.e1016, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2018.12.040.
88. Dominy, S.S.; Lynch, C.; Ermini, F.; Benedyk, M.; Marczyk, A.; Konradi, A.; Nguyen, M.; Haditsch, U.; Raha, D.; Griffin, C.; et al. Porphyromonas gingivalis in Alzheimer’s disease brains: Evidence for disease causation and treatment with small-molecule inhibitors. *Sci. Adv.* **2019**, *5*, eaau3333, doi:10.1126/sciadv.aau3333.
89. Elwishahy, A.; Antia, K.; Blusari, S.; Ilechukwu, N.C.; Horstick, O.; Winkler, V. Porphyromonas Gingivalis as a Risk Factor to Alzheimer’s Disease: A Systematic Review. *J. Alzheimers Dis. Rep.* **2021**, *5*, 721-732, doi:10.3233/adr-200237.
90. Zhang, Z.; Liu, D.; Liu, S.; Zhang, S.; Pan, Y. The Role of Porphyromonas gingivalis Outer Membrane Vesicles in Periodontal Disease and Related Systemic Diseases. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* **2021**, *10*, 585917, doi:10.3389/fcimb.2020.585917.
91. Fan, X.; Alekseyenko, A.V.; Wu, J.; Peters, B.A.; Jacobs, E.J.; Gapstur, S.M.; Purdue, M.P.; Abnet, C.C.; Stolzenberg-Solomon, R.; et al. Fusobacterium nucleatum and adverse pregnancy outcomes: implications for the oral microbiome as a potential source of intrauterine infection. *Infect. Immun.* **2010**, *78*, 1789-1796, doi:10.1128/IAI.01395-09.
92. Nara, P.L.; Sindelar, D.; Penn, M.S.; Potempa, J.; Griffin, W.S.T. Porphyromonas gingivalis Outer Membrane Vesicles as the Major Driver of and Explanation for Neutrophil Recruitment, the Cholinergic Hypothesis, Iron Dyshomeostasis, and Salivary Lactoferrin in Alzheimer’s Disease. *J. Alzheimers Dis. Sci. Rep.* **2020**, *5*, e125191, doi:10.1172/jci.insight.125191.
93. Kleinstein, S.; Nelson, K.; Freire, M. Inflammatory networks linking oral microbiome with systemic health and disease. *J. Dent. Res.* **2020**, *99*, 1131-1139, doi:10.1177/0022034520926126.
94. Replogle, D.; Liu, S.; Zhang, S.; Pan, Y. Transmission of diverse oral bacteria to murine placenta: evidence for the oral microbiome as a potential source of intrauterine infection. *Sci. Signal.* **2020**, *13*, eaav9157, doi:10.1126/scisignal.aaav9157.
95. Loftus, M.; Hassouneh, S.A.-D.; Yooseph, S. Bacterial community structure alterations within the colorectal cancer gut microbiome. *BMC Microbiol.* **2021**, *21*, 98, doi:10.1186/s12866-021-02153-x.
96. Casasanta, M.A.; Yoo, C.C.; Udayasuryan, B.; Sanders, B.E.; Umanja, A.; Zhang, Y.; Peng, H.; Duncan, A.J.; Wang, Y.; Li, L.; et al. Fusobacterium nucleatum host-cell binding and invasion induces IL-8 and CXCL1 secretion that drives colorectal cancer cell migration. *Sci. Signal.* **2020**, *13*, eaav9157, doi:10.1126/scisignal.aaav9157.
97. Han, Y.W.; Redline, R.W.; Li, M.; Yin, L.; Hill, G.B.; McCormick, T.S. Fusobacterium nucleatum induces premature and term stillbirths in pregnant mice: implication of oral bacteria in preterm birth. *Infect. Immun.* **2004**, *72*, 2272-2279, doi:10.1128/IAI.72.4.2272-2279.2004.
98. Vander Haar, E.L.; So, J.; Gysmi-Bannerman, C.; Han, Y.W. Fusobacterium nucleatum and adverse pregnancy outcomes: Epidemiological and mechanistic evidence. *Anaerobe* **2018**, *50*, 55-59, doi:10.1016/j.anaerobe.2018.01.008.
99. Dominy, S.; Mueller, J.; Vander Haar, E.L.; So, J.; Gysmi-Bannerman, C.; Han, Y.W. Fusobacterium nucleatum and adverse pregnancy outcomes: Epidemiological and mechanistic evidence. *Anaerobe* **2018**, *50*, 55-59, doi:10.1016/j.anaerobe.2018.01.008.
100. Flak, M.B.; Colas, R.A.; Muñoz-Atienza, E.; Curtis, M.A.; Dalli, J.; Pitzalis, C. Inflammatory arthritis disrupts gut resolution mechanisms, promoting barrier breakdown by Porphyromonas gingivalis. *JCI Insight* **2019**, *4*, e125191, doi:10.1172/jci.insight.125191.
101. Mohammed, H.I.; Varoni, E.; Cochis, A.; Cordaro, M.; Gallenzi, P.; Patini, R.; Staderini, E.; Lajolo, C.; Rimondini, L.; Rocchetti, V. Oral Dysbiosis in Pancreatic Cancer and Liver Cirrhosis: A Review of the Literature. *Biomedicines* **2018**, *6*, 115, doi:10.3390/biomedicines6040115.
102. Flak, M.B.; Colas, R.A.; Muñoz-Atienza, E.; Curtis, M.A.; Dalli, J.; Pitzalis, C. Inflammatory arthritis disrupts gut resolution mechanisms, promoting barrier breakdown by Porphyromonas gingivalis. *JCI Insight* **2019**, *4*, e125191, doi:10.1172/jci.insight.125191.
103. Dominy, S.S.; Lynch, C.; Benedyk, M.; Marczyk, A.; Konradi, A.; Nguyen, M.; Haditsch, U.; Raha, D.; Griffin, C.; et al. Porphyromonas gingivalis in Alzheimer’s disease brains: Evidence for disease causation and treatment with small-molecule inhibitors. *Sci. Adv.* **2019**, *5*, eaau3333, doi:10.1126/sciadv.aau3333.
109. Wu, J.S.; Zheng, M.; Zhang, M.; Fang, X.; Li, L.; Wang, S.S.; Yang, X.; Wu, J.B.; Tang, Y.J.; Tang, Y.L.; et al. Porphyromonas gingivalis Promotes 4-Nitroquinoline-1-Oxide-Induced Oral Carcinogenesis With an Alteration of Fatty Acid Metabolism. *Front. Microbiol.* 2018, 9, 2081, doi:10.3389/fmicb.2018.02081.

110. Wen, L.; Mu, W.; Lu, H.; Wang, X.; Fang, J.; Jia, Y.; Li, Q.; Wang, D.; Wen, S.; Guo, J.; et al. Porphyromonas gingivalis Promotes Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma Progression in an Immune Microenvironment. *J. Dent. Res.* 2020, 99, 666-675, doi:10.1177/0022034520909312.

111. Riviere, G.R.; Riviere, K.; Smith, K. Molecular and immunological evidence of oral Treponema in the human brain and their association with Alzheimer’s disease. *Oral Microbiol. Immunol.* 2002, 17, 113-118, doi:10.1046/j.0902-0055.2001.00100.x.

112. Hajishengallis, G. Immunomicrobial pathogenesis of periodontitis: keystones, pathobionts, and host response. *Trends Immunol.* 2014, 35, 3-11, doi:10.1016/j.it.2013.09.001.

113. Hajishengallis, G.; Lamont, R.J. Dancing with the stars: how choreographed bacterial interactions dictate nososymbiocity and give rise to keystone pathogens, accessory pathogens, and pathobionts. *Trends Microbiol.* 2016, 24, 477-489, doi:10.1016/j.tim.2016.02.010.

114. Costa, M.J.F.; Araújo, I.D.T.d.; Alves, L.d.R.; Silva, R.L.d.; Calderon, P.d.S.; Borges, B.C.D.; Martins, A.R.L.d.A.; Gurgel, B.C.d.V.; Lins, R.D.A.U. Relationship of Porphyromonas gingivalis and Alzheimer’s disease: a systematic review of pre-clinical studies. *Clin. Oral Invest.* 2021, 25, 797-806, doi:10.1007/s00784-020-03764-w.

115. Mougeot, J.L.C.; Stevens, C.B.; Paster, B.J.; Brennan, M.T.; Lockhart, P.B.; Mougeot, F.K.B. Porphyromonas gingivalis is the most abundant species detected in coronary and femoral arteries. *J. Oral Microbiol.* 2017, 9, 1281562-1281562, doi:10.1080/20002297.2017.1281562.

116. Slocum, C.; Kramer, C.; Genco, C. Immune dysregulation mediated by the oral microbiome: potential link to chronic inflammation and atherosclerosis. *J. Intern. Med.* 2016, 280, 114-128, doi:10.1111/jim.12476.

117. Farrugia, C.; Stafford, C.P.; Potempa, J.; Wilkinson, R.N.; Chen, Y.; Murdoch, C.; Widiolek, M. Mechanisms of vascular damage by systemic dissemination of the oral pathogen Porphyromonas gingivalis. *FEBS J.* 2021, 288, 1479-1495, doi:10.1111/febs.15486.

118. Chou, H.H.; Yumoto, H.; Davey, M.; Takahashi, Y.; Miyamoto, T.; Gibson, F.C., 3rd; Genco, C.A. Porphyromonas gingivalis fimbria-dependent activation of inflammatory genes in human aortic endothelial cells. *Infect. Immun.* 2005, 73, 5367-5378, doi:10.1128/aii.73.9.5367-5378.2005.

119. Lalla, E.; Lamster, I.B.; Hofmann, M.A.; Bucciarelli, L.; Jerud, A.P.; Tucker, S.; Lu, Y.; Papapanou, P.N.; Schmidt, A.M. Oral infection with a periodontal pathogen accelerates early atherosclerosis in apolipoprotein E-null mice. *Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol.* 2003, 23, 1405-1411, doi:10.1161/01.ATv.0000082462.26258.Fe.

120. Nakamura, N.; Yoshida, M.; Umeda, M.; Huang, Y.; Kitajima, S.; Inoue, Y.; Ishikawa, I.; Iwai, T. Extended exposure of lipopolysaccharide fraction from Porphyromonas gingivalis facilitates mononuclear cell adhesion to vascular endothelium via Toll-like receptor-2 dependent mechanism. *Atherosclerosis* 2008, 196, 59-67, doi:10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2007.01.039.

121. Zhang, T.; Kurita-Ochiai, T.; Hashizume, T.; Du, Y.; Oguchi, S.; Yamamoto, M. Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans accelerates atherosclerosis with an increase in atherogenic factors in spontaneously hyperlipidemic mice. *FEMS Immunol. Med. Microbiol.* 2010, 59, 143-151, doi:10.1111/j.1574-695X.2010.00674.x.

122. Tuomainen, A.M.; Jauhiainen, M.; Kovanen, P.T.; Metso, J.; Paju, S.; Pussinen, P.J. Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans induces MMP-9 expression and proatherogenic lipoprotein profile in apoe-deficient mice. *Microb. Pathog.* 2008, 44, 111-117, doi:10.1016/j.micpath.2007.08.011.

123. Gough, P.J.; Gomez, I.G.; Wille, P.T.; Raines, E.W. Macrophage expression of active MMP-9 induces acute plaque disruption in apoe-deficient mice. *J. Clin. Invest.* 2006, 116, 59-69, doi:10.1172/jci25074.

124. Wu, H.; Ballantyne, C.M. Metabolic Inflammation and Insulin Resistance in Obesity. *Circ. Res.* 2020, 126, 1549-1564, doi:10.1161/circressa.119.315896.

125. Sharma, S.; Tripathi, P. Gut microbiome and type 2 diabetes: where we are and where to go? *J. Nutr. Biochem.* 2019, 63, 101-108, doi:10.1016/j.jnutbio.2018.10.003.

126. Arimatsu, K.; Yamada, H.; Miyazawa, H.; Minagawa, T.; Nakajima, M.; Ryder, M.I.; Gotoh, K.; Motooka, D.; Nakamura, S.; Iida, T.; et al. Oral pathobiont induces systemic inflammation and metabolic changes associated with alteration of gut microbiota. *Sci. Rep.* 2014, 4, 4828, doi:10.1038/srep04828.

127. Blasco-Baque, V.; Garidou, L.; Pomié, C.; Escoula, Q.; Loubiere, P.; Le Gall-David, S.; Lemaitre, M.; Nicolas, S.; Klopp, P.; Waget, A.; et al. Periodontitis induced by Porphyromonas gingivalis drives periodontal microbiota dysbiosis and insulin resistance via an impaired adaptive immune response. *Gut* 2017, 66, 872-885, doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2015-309897.

128. Tian, J.; Liu, C.; Zheng, X.; Jia, X.; Peng, X.; Yang, R.; Zhou, X.; Xu, X. Porphyromonas gingivalis Induces Insulin Resistance by Increasing BCAA Levels in Mice. *J. Dent. Res.* 2020, 99, 839-846, doi:10.1177/0022034520911037.

129. Javed, F.; Warnakulasuriya, S. Is there a relationship between periodontal disease and oral cancer? A systematic review of currently available evidence. *Crit. Rev. Oncol. Hematol.* 2016, 97, 197-205, doi:10.1016/j.critrevonc.2015.08.018.

130. Fang, L.Y.; Wong, T.Y.; Chiang, W.F.; Chen, Y.L. Fatty-acid-binding protein 5 promotes cell proliferation and invasion in oral squamous cell carcinoma. *J. Oral Pathol. Med.* 2010, 39, 342-348, doi:10.1111/j.1600-0714.2009.00836.x.

131. Lee, D.; Wada, K.; Taniguchi, Y.; Al-Shareef, H.; Masuda, T.; Usami, Y.; Aikawa, T.; Okura, M.; Kamisaki, Y.; Kogo, M. Expression
of fatty acid binding protein 4 is involved in the cell growth of oral squamous cell carcinoma. *Oncol. Rep.* **2014**, *31*, 1116-1120, doi:10.3892/or.2014.2975.

132. Zhang, Q.Q.; Hu, X.W.; Liu, Y.L.; Ye, Z.J.; Gui, Y.H.; Zhou, D.L.; Qi, C.L.; He, X.D.; Wang, H.; Wang, L.J. CD11b deficiency suppresses intestinal tumor growth by reducing myeloid cell recruitment. *Sci. Rep.* **2015**, *5*, 15948, doi:10.1038/srep15948.

133. Ishida, N.; Ishihara, Y.; Ishida, K.; Tada, H.; Funaki-Kato, Y.; Hagiwara, M.; Ferdous, T.; Abdullah, M.; Mitani, A.; Michikawa, M.; et al. Periodontitis induced by bacterial infection exacerbates features of Alzheimer’s disease in transgenic mice. *NPJ Aging Mech. Dis.* **2017**, *3*, 15, doi:10.1038/s41514-017-0015-x.

134. Poole, S.; Singhrao, S.K.; Chukkapalli, S.; Rivera, M.; Velsko, I.; Kesavalu, L.; Crean, S. Active invasion of *Porphyromonas gingivalis* and infection-induced complement activation in *ApoE*−/− mice brains. *J. Alzheimers Dis.* **2015**, *43*, 67-80, doi:10.3233/jad-140315.

135. Tang, Z.; Liang, D.; Cheng, M.; Su, X.; Liu, R.; Zhang, Y.; Wu, H. Effects of *Porphyromonas gingivalis* and Its Underlying Mechanisms on Alzheimer-Like Tau Hyperphosphorylation in Sprague-Dawley Rats. *J. Mol. Neurosci.* **2021**, *71*, 89-100, doi:10.1007/s12031-020-01629-1.

136. Memedovski, Z.; Czerwonka, E.; Han, J.; Mayer, J.; Luce, M.; Klemm, L.C.; Hall, M.L.; Mayer, A.M.S. Classical and Alternative Activation of Rat Microglia Treated with Ultrapure Porphyromonas gingivalis Lipopolysaccharide In Vitro. *Toxins (Basel)* **2020**, *12*, doi:10.3390/toxins12050333.

137. Leira, Y.; Iglesias-Rey, R.; Gómez-Lado, N.; Aguiar, P.; Campos, F.; D’Aiuto, F.; Castillo, J.; Blanco, J.; Sobrino, T. Porphyromonas gingivalis lipopolysaccharide-induced periodontitis and serum amyloid-beta peptides. *Arch. Oral Biol.* **2019**, *99*, 120-125, doi:10.1016/j.archoralbio.2019.01.008.

138. Wu, Z.; Ni, J.; Liu, Y.; Teeling, J.L.; Takayama, F.; Collcutt, A.; Ibrett, P.; Nakanishi, H. Cathepsin B plays a critical role in inducing Alzheimer’s disease-like phenotypes following chronic systemic exposure to lipopolysaccharide from *Porphyromonas gingivalis* in mice. *Brain Behav. Immun.* **2017**, *65*, 350-361, doi:10.1016/j.bbi.2017.06.002.

139. Liu, Y.; Wu, Z.; Nakanishi, Y.; Ni, J.; Hayashi, Y.; Takayama, F.; Zhou, Y.; Kadowaki, T.; Nakanishi, H. Infection of microglia with *Porphyromonas gingivalis* promotes cell migration and an inflammatory response through the gingipain-mediated activation of protease-activated receptor-2 in mice. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7*, 11759, doi:10.1038/s41598-017-12173-1.

140. Pizzo, G.; Guiglia, R.; Russo, L.L.; Campisi, G. Dentistry and internal medicine: from the focal infection theory to the periodontal medicine concept. *Eur. J. Intern. Med.* **2010**, *21*, 496-502, doi:10.1016/j.ejim.2010.07.011.

141. Beck, J.D.; Papapanou, P.N.; Philips, K.H.; Offenbacher, S. Periodontal Medicine: 100 Years of Progress. *J. Dent. Res.* **2019**, *98*, 1053-1062, doi:10.1177/0022034519846113.