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ABSTRACT

Background: Balance ability is a crucial component of independent daily activities among the older adults. Balance impairment is one of the major risk factors for falls and related complications.

Objective: The present study aims to investigate and compare the effect of neurofeedback training and balance training on balance and fall risk among older adults.

Material and Methods: In this randomized controlled trial, a total of 48 older adults aged more than 65 years were recruited and randomly assigned into two groups, neurofeedback group (n=24) and balance exercise group (n=24). Prior to the intervention, the static balance, dynamic balance, and fall risk were measured using Biodex D balance system and Fullerton Advanced Balance scale. Subjects in neurofeedback group received neurofeedback training for 12 sessions of 30-min, every other day. Moreover, subjects in balance exercise group received balance training for four weeks in 12 sessions (45-minute) every other day. After the intervention, balance measurements were repeated in both groups. The significance level was set at p<0.05.

Results: Static balance and dynamic balance were shown to significantly improve, after the interventions (p<0.001). Furthermore, fall risk was significantly reduced, after the trial (p<0.001). In addition, the therapeutic effect of neurofeedback training was not less significant than exercises on balance in the older adults (p<0.001).

Conclusion: The findings suggest that both neurofeedback training and balance training improved balance ability among the older adults. Results also show the therapeutic effect of neurofeedback training on balance in older people. However, further research is required to accurately investigate the long-term effects of these two treatment methods among the older adults.
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Introduction

Aging process is naturally accompanied by changes in musculoskeletal, vestibular, somatosensory, and visual systems [1]. Aging also reduces physical and mental abilities resulting in the increased risk of balance impairments and fall. Balance problems lead to reducing quality of life and impair daily functioning of the older adults. Appropriate balance ability requires a complex cooperation and inte-
gration of sensory information about the surrounding environment, as well as appropriate brain function to provide desired motor function to control the body. Reduced balance and its consequences can impose a huge socioeconomic burden on the one-third and the society [2-4]. About one third of population over 65 years old have experienced at least one history of fall or impaired balance annually [3]. In addition to conventional balance exercises, there are a variety of other exercise protocols to improve balance performance in older adults, including proprioceptive neuromuscular facilitation exercises (PNF) [5, 6], single and dual task balance training [7-9], mental imagery [10, 11], and neurofeedback training [12].

Neurofeedback, also known as brain wave biofeedback [13], is a safe non-aggressive technique affecting many brain functions such as concentration, attention, anxiety, and learning [14, 15]. According to the literature, neurofeedback training can effectively improve balance status in the older adults, athletes, stroke, and Parkinson patients [12, 16-18]. Neurofeedback training is applied during a few sessions in order to modify dysfunctional brain waves, and improve brain activation patterns. Indeed, this technique is used as a tool to increase or decrease specific brain waves in definite brain regions [19]. It is believed that this modern technique improves balance by modifying brain patterns in balance-controlling systems such as visual, vestibular and cerebellar systems.

A large number of studies have been carried out on the effects of exercise on balance in older adults, whereas the number of studies on modern rehabilitation techniques such as neurofeedback training is limited. Since older people have difficulties in performing physical exercises such as pain aggravation, weakness, and lack of confidence, neurofeedback and other brain training procedures might be effective alternative methods to serve the purpose of balance improvement in this population [12].

The present study was conducted to determine and compare the effects of conventional balance training and neurofeedback training on balance status of healthy older adults with mild balance impairments. If these therapeutic methods are proved to improve balance performance to the same extent, neurofeedback can be a viable alternative for the existing balance.

Material and Methods

This randomized controlled trial (ICRT 20180711040419N1) was conducted on 48 older adults men and women (≥65 years) with an average age of 70.35 ±4.57 years in Shiraz, Iran. Randomization was performed using randomization block allocation (size of block-4). According to non-inferiority assumption, using PASS software, the sample size was determined 48 (24 in each group) with α=0.05, power=0.8, margin=0.44 and real difference =-1, based on the data of a previous pertinent study [20]. Those older adults, who were able to walk independently without assistive devices, with Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) score below the cut-off of 24, scores lower than 7 out of 15 in geriatric depression scale (GDS), and scores between 25 and 35 on the Fullerton Advanced Balance (FAB) scale were recruited into this study [21, 22]. Subjects with definite diagnosis of neurological or orthopedic disorders, non-corrected visual impairment, dizziness, internal ear disorders, and a history of falls more than twice during the past six months, were excluded from the study.

All participants were asked not to consume any sedative drugs prior to evaluation. This study was approved by the local medical ethics committee in accordance with the standards of Helsinki declaration. Recruitment strategy is presented in [Figure 1].

Written consent informs were assigned by all participants prior to commencement of study. Subjects were randomly assigned into two groups, including neurofeedback and bal-
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Outcome measures

The primary outcome measure was the score of fall risk on Biodex balance system. Other outcome measures such as static and dynamic stability test, as well as and FAB score, were considered as the secondary outcome measures.

In postural stability test, the subject performed three trials, each lasting 20 seconds, with 10 seconds rest between trials. This test attempts to challenge the postural-control system by measuring the angular excursion of patient’s center-of-gravity on the balance board. In the other words, this testing protocol records center of gravity (COG) and center of pressure (COP) fluctuations, and the subject was asked to maintain a fixed position during perturbation of rotating plate by holding the cursor in the middle of rotating plate [20].

In dynamic balance and fall risk tests, after entering all data related to the angles of both feet (positioning of ankle), the subject was asked to perform three trials each lasting 20 seconds, with 10 seconds rest between trials. At the onset of testing procedure, the wobble board started to rotate causing body perturbation. The subject was instructed to hold the center-of-gravity cursor in the middle of balance board. The static balance, dynamic balance, and fall risk were measured using Bio-

Figure 1: CONSORT flowchart
balance device in 8 levels. Dynamic tests were adjustable from level 1 to 12, with level 1 indicating the minimum and 12 demonstrating the maximum stability [20].

The FAB scale assesses different dimensions of balance in older adults with no severe balance problems. This scale is used as a tool to identify high-risk older adults. This scale is comprised of 10 items, each score on a 5-point scale ranged from 0 to 4 (40 in total), and score of 25 or lower indicates high risk for fall. Some advantages of this measurement tool include no ceiling effect (subjects with more repeats score higher), useful for cases with falls due to impaired sensory system, repetitive assessment of balance function during the testing procedure to evaluation of a variety of sensory systems [21]. This scale is a validated and reliable test to assess balance in functional older adults [21, 22].

**Interventions**

In neurofeedback group, the neurofeedback training was conducted using Neurofeedback-SA7400A device V5.0 with Biograph Infinity software (Thought Technology Ltd, Canada). First, the subject was positioned on a comfortable chair, and the O1 and O2 EEG points were spotted on the scalp of the subject based on international 10-20 system [12, 16]. The bipolar technique was used for electrode placement and ground electrode was placed on right ear lobe of the subjects. The neurofeedback training sessions included 12 sessions for 30 min every other day. Training program was based on up training of beta wave (15-18 hertz) and down training of theta wave (4-7 hertz) [11, 12]. The difficulty of training program was automatically adjusted using biograph infiniti software based on 20-80 protocol (80% of the beta waves were increased, and 20% of theta waves were suppressed) [Figure 2]. During the sessions, two animations (Gorilla and puzzle) were played for every 15 min, to reduce fatigue among participants [12, 16].

**Balance exercises**

In balance exercise group, the balance train-
ing procedure was performed based on a protocol proposed by O’Sullivan & Schmitz [9, 23]. Accordingly, subjects received 12 (45-minute) sessions, every other day, for four weeks as follows:

1st week: Normal, tandem, and single-leg standing on a firm surface, with open and then closed eyes.

2nd week: Semi-squat, forward and lateral lunge standing on a firm surface, and standing on foam, with open and then closed eyes.

3rd week: Semi-squat, tandem, and single-leg standing on foam, with open and then closed eyes.

4th week: Standing and semi-squat on balance board and standing on inflated disk, with open eyes.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was done with SPSS software version 19 (IBM statistic, New York, NY, USA). Non-inferiority test was done by SAS (Statistical Analysis System), version 9.2. The normality of distribution of variables before intervention was evaluated by Shapiro-Wilk test. The values for all variables showed a normal distribution, thus parametric tests of independent t-test and paired-test were used for between and within-group comparisons, respectively. In all tests, significant difference level was set as 0.05. Effect sizes were determined as small (0.2-0.6), moderate (0.6-1.2), and large (1.2-2), based on the Cohen’s d test [20].

Results

The present study was carried out to compare the effects of neurofeedback training and balance training on balance ability of older adults. [Figure 1] represents the flow chart of the stages of the study. According to [Table 1], the two groups were well-matched regarding the demographic characteristics, MMSE and GDS score and there was no statistically significant difference between groups at baseline [Table 1]. Based on within-group comparisons represented in [Table 2], all Biobex variables (static and dynamic balance and fall risk) decreased significantly and FAB score increased significantly following interventions, in both groups (p<0.001). As reported in [Table 3], results of non-inferiority test conducted on Biodex variables after the interventions showed significant difference between the groups (p<0.001). This finding indicates equal effectiveness of both neurofeedback and balance exercise training.

Table 1: Demographic characteristics of participants

| Group | Variable       | Neurofeedback group (n=24) | Balance exercise group (n=24) | P-value |
|-------|----------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|
|       |                | Mean ± SD                 | Mean ± SD                    |         |
|       | Age (year)     | 69.95± 4.53               | 70.75± 4.68                  | 0.55    |
|       | Weight (kg)    | 68.70± 9.90               | 70.33± 6.84                  | 0.51    |
|       | Height (cm)    | 159.70± 7.64              | 161.37± 7.56                 | 0.45    |
|       | *BMI (kg/m²)   | 26.95± 3054               | 27.13± 3.48                  | 0.86    |
|       | †MMSE          | 28.08± 1.4                | 27.63± 1.6                   | 0.23    |
|       | ‡GDS           | 1.88± 1.5                 | 2.21± 1.5                    | 0.39    |

*BMI: Body Mass Index
†MMSE: Mini-Mental State Examination
‡GDS: Geriatric Depression Scale
on improving balance performance on Biodex balance system. However, FAB score, which was analyzed by independent t-test, showed no significant between-group difference after interventions (p=0.75) [Table 3]. As a result, balance status has improved in both groups after the interventions. The Cohens’d test was performed, and the effect sizes of neurofeedback training and balance exercises on postural balance were reported as 1.23 and 1.4, respectively.

**Discussion**

The purpose of the present study was to compare the effects of neurofeedback training and balance exercise training on static and dynamic balance and fall risk among healthy older adults. This study was carried out based on two main research questions as follows: can neurofeedback training improve balance status of older adults? “And” is the effect of neurofeedback training on balance performance was equal to that of the balance exercises?

In the present trial, static and dynamic balance and fall risk improved in the neurofeedback group, which was consistent with the previous studies having evaluated the effect of neurofeedback training in patients with bal-

**Table 2: Within-group comparison of outcome measures**

| Group                              | Neurofeedback group (n=24) | Balance exercise group (n=24) |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Variable                           | Pre-test Mean ± SD        | Post-test Mean ± SD         | Pre-test Mean ± SD        | Post-test Mean ± SD |
| Static balance                     | 1.25± 0.92                | 0.43± 0.19                  | 1.80± 1.15                | 0.60± 0.38          |
| Dynamic balance                    | 1.85± 0.55                | 0.86± 0.26                  | 1.77± 0.57                | 1.11± 0.42          |
| Fall risk                          | 1.77± 0.66                | 0.91± 0.36                  | 1.86± 0.78                | 1.11± 0.36          |
| FAB score                          | 30.91± 3.10               | 34.62± 3.92                 | 30.54± 2.93               | 34.29± 3.31         |

FAB: Fullerton Advanced Balance Scale
*P value was set < 0.05

**Table 3: Between-group comparisons of outcome measures after interventions**

| Group                              | Neurofeedback group (n=24) | Balance exercise group (n=24) |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Variable                           | Mean ± SD                 | Mean ± SD                   | P-value |
| Static balance                     | 0.43± 0.19                | 0.60± 0.38                  | *0.001  |
| Dynamic balance                    | 0.86± 0.26                | 1.11± 0.42                  | *0.001  |
| Fall risk                          | 0.91± 0.36                | 1.11± 0.36                  | *0.001  |
| FAB score                          | 34.62± 3.92               | 34.29± 3.31                 | 0.75    |

- Non-inferiority test was used for statistical analysis
- Independent t test was used for FAB score
- FAB: Fullerton Advance balance
- * P-value was set at < 0.05
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Similar to the previous studies, electrodes were placed at O1 and O2 points, which are in the vicinity of brain areas involved in balance control, such as cerebellum, occipital lobe, substantia nigra, and basal ganglia [12]. These points have a significant role in beta sensorimotor rhythm produced in posterior corona radiata [12, 26]. The accurate placement of electrodes on scalp is of vital significance in enhancing balance function through proper nerve conduction [19]. Furthermore, the protocol used in this study was based on the theta suppression and beta enhancement. The cortical theta is frequently observed in children. In adolescents and young adults, however, theta wave tends to appear during meditative, drowsy, or sleeping states [27]. In contrast, beta wave is associated with conscious perception and usually appears when the person is alert during a specific physical activity [26]. It can be concluded that the amplitude of brainwaves in participants was unconsciously reconstructed to the desirable amplitude through provided feedbacks to the CNS system.

This process can help the individual to more precisely control CNS during cognitive fluctuations following aging, and subsequently improve balance ability [16, 24]. Older adults may have learned to decrease theta, increase beta waves and maintain balance following neurofeedback training. Given the specific nature of neurofeedback training, subjects were able to regulate their brainwaves on Biodex balance system, and even maintain their balance in new testing protocols such as dynamic test or fall risk tests. These findings suggest that study participants learned to maintain their balance.

According to the results of this study, balance exercises significantly improved static and dynamic balance and reduced fall risk among older adults, which was in accordance with previous studies [3, 7, 9, 28]. Silsupadol et al. (2009) examined the effects of balance exercises on balance performance of older adults and reported improved balance under both single-task and dual-task. Previous studies evaluated balance using only functional tests, whereas the present study has applied laboratory Biodex balance test along with FAB scale.

Hernandez et al. (2008) demonstrated a linear reverse correlation between FAB score and fall risk in older adults, as one point reduction in total FAB score equaled to an 8% rise in the probability of falling [22]. In present study, an increase of 3 to 4 points in FAB score could reduce fall risk to about 24 to 32 percent in the participants. The present study revealed balance improvement through both laboratory and functional assessments. According to the literature, postural control is the result of cooperation and integrity of the motor, sensory and cognitive systems, all of which may undergo degenerative changes during aging [29].

Therapeutic effects of physical exercises can be attributed to improved proprioceptive input of muscles and joints such as knee, ankle, and cervical facet joints; all of which would considerably reduce following aging. A number of cognitive elements, such as attention, concentration, and planning may provide effective strategies to maintain postural control [30]. All of these elements are controlled by CNS; all of which would undergo degenerative changes, leading to impaired brain performance due to reduced number of neurons and blood supply as well as reduced free radicals in brain [9]. Physical exercises led to slow down this process through specific strategies, and subsequently improve cognitive components of balance.

According to the second assumption of this study, neurofeedback training was shown to be non-inferior to balance training at improving balance level in older adults subjects. The findings of the present study suggested that both interventions significantly improved static and dynamic balance and reduced the fall risk in older adults. More importantly, the effect of neurofeedback training was not less
than that of exercise balance training in improving balance ability of participants. In balance exercise group, exercises may improve balance level through boosting balance inputs from sensory systems involved in balance control. In neurofeedback training group, however, balance improvement can be attributed to the training and regulation of brainwaves. Therefore, similar to balance exercise training, neurofeedback training can be effectively used to improve balance and reduce fall risk in healthy older adults. According to results, the size effect of interventions was large in magnitude. Therefore, clinical relevancy of the findings was further confirmed.

The present study has several limitations. First, all participants of this study were healthy with no identified cognitive disorder, or postural impairments, thus the study results cannot be generalized to older adults with physical or cognitive impairments. Second, lack of a long-term follow-up was the other limitation of this study, which should be taken into consideration in future studies, in order to provide information about the durability of the therapeutic effects of neurofeedback training. Finally, emotional condition was not controlled in our study. As emotional factors could affect the attention and balance of the participants, this might have contaminated the obtained results.

Conclusion

The purpose of the present study was to compare the effects of neurofeedback training versus balance training on static and dynamic balance in healthy older adults with mild balance impairments. The findings suggest that both neurofeedback and balance exercises could improve postural balance, and neurofeedback training was as effective as balance training. Thus, both methods can be effectively applied in order to improve balance ability and consequently reduce the risk of falling in the older adults.
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