Mapping the Galvanic Corrosion of Three Coupled Metal Alloys Using Coupled Multielectrode Array: Influence of Chloride Ion Concentration
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Abstract: The galvanic corrosion behavior of three metal alloys commonly used in water desalination plants was investigated using coupled multielectrode arrays consisting of aluminum-brass (HAl77-2), titanium alloy (TA2), and 316L stainless steel (316L SS). The three electrode types were coupled galvanically and arranged in different geometric configurations. Their corrosion behavior was characterized as a function of the chloride concentration. The potential and current distributions of the three-electrode coupling systems display electrochemical inhomogeneity. Generally, the aluminum-brass wires are anodic versus the titanium alloy and stainless steel. The titanium alloy acts as a primary cathode, and the 316L SS acts as a secondary cathode. The corrosion rate of aluminum-brass depends on the concentration of chloride ion, with a maximum corrosion rate at a chloride concentration of 2.3 wt %. In terms of geometrical arrangements, when the anodic HAl77-2 wires are located on the edge and are connected to the 316L SS wires in the coupling system, the main anodic area enlarges, especially in the area adjacent to the 316L SS wires. When the HAl77-2 wires are located between (in the middle of) the two other types of wires, the corrosion rates are higher than the corrosion rates observed from the other two geometrical arrangements.
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1. Introduction

According to estimates by the United Nations, nearly 1.8 billion people worldwide will experience severe water scarcity by 2025 [1]. Desalination has been widely adopted in many countries to meet the demand for fresh water [2]. The three most commonly applied desalination technologies are multi-stage flash (MSF), reverse osmosis (RO), and multi-effect distillation (MED) [3]. The evaporators of the MSF and MED technologies are generally constructed with three metal alloys (aluminum-brass, titanium, and stainless steel) and require long-term operation under the aggressive conditions of seawater desalination. Distillation plants are susceptible to the corrosion induced by high chloride concentrations [4], and galvanic corrosion between dissimilar metals is inevitable over time. Although the behavior of two galvanically coupled dissimilar metals is relatively well understood, little effort has been made to study the behavior of more than two galvanically coupled metal alloys.

Generally, conventional macroscopic electrochemical techniques, such as open-circuit potential measurement, potentiodynamic polarization, electrochemical impedance spectroscopy, cyclic voltammetry, and Mott-Schottky measurement, have been applied to study corrosion [5–9]. To achieve spatial resolution during electrochemical measurement, many in situ electrochemical methods have been...
developed to detect the local defects of corrosion such as the use of coupled multielectrode arrays (CMEAs) [10], also called wire-beam electrodes (WBEs) [11–19], scanning vibrating electrode techniques [20–24], scanning electrochemical microscopy (SECM) [23–27], and localized impedance spectroscopy [28–31].

Based on these microelectrode techniques, the integrated CMEA has been widely used to study the electrochemical inhomogeneity of galvanic corrosion [9,11,18,19]. CMEAs are fabricated from an array of metallic wires that are embedded in an insulating material. Each wire within a CMEA is an individual electrochemical sensor that measures electrochemical parameters and provides a mean value of the electrochemical signal obtained from the local areas of the CMEA surface. It is possible to obtain the average electrochemical signal and the localized electrochemical signals determined by the CMEAs. In addition, CMEAs should consist of an electrode matrix of an equal amount of different metal or alloy wires. Clearly, CMEAs could be ideally suited to investigate the galvanic corrosion of couples consisting of different metals or alloys [18].

Galvanic corrosion usually occurs macroscopically when two or more metals and alloys with different corrosion potentials are immersed within a common corrosive medium. Galvanic corrosion can be affected by many factors, such as temperature, anode-cathode area ratio, and corrosive medium [32–36]. This work investigates the influence of the chloride ion concentration on the corrosion behavior of a three-metal system that is typical of a desalination plant as well as the galvanic effects with three different geometric configurations.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Electrochemical Setup

All of the electrochemical measurements used here were performed by an automated system, similar to one described previously [11,16,18]. This system consists of a: (a) PXI 1033: a 5-slot PXI chassis with an integrated MXI-Express controller (NI™, Austin, TX, USA); (b) PXI 4071: a 7.5-digit digital multimeter with a voltage measurement range of 10 nV to 1000 V and with an input resistance of more than 1010 Ω (NI™, Austin, TX, USA); (c) PXI 4022: a high-speed, high-precision guard, and current amplifier that can detect picoampere current levels with femtoampere noise with the PXI 4071 (NI™, Austin, TX, USA); and (d) PXI 2535: a high-density FET (NI™, Austin, TX, USA) switch matrix module that features 544 cross-points, 4 × 136 one-wire matrix configuration (136 channels), switching speeds as high as 50,000 cross-points/s, and unlimited simultaneous connection. More channels can be achieved via the facile expansion of switch modules (NI™, Austin, TX, USA). This device is directly controlled by a computer with a transparent, high-speed serial link [18].

2.2. Coupled Multielectrode Arrays

Each CMEA consisted of 96-microelectrodes fabricated from aluminum-brass (HA177-2), 316L stainless steel (316L SS), and titanium (TA2). As shown in Figure 1 [37], these microelectrodes (1-mm diameter) were arranged regularly within an 8 × 12 matrix and were embedded in epoxy resin with 1-mm spacing in both directions. The total exposed area of the 96 microelectrodes was approximately 0.75 cm². The chemical compositions of real samples (HA177-2, 316L SS and TA2) are listed in Table 1.

| Table 1. Composition of aluminum–brass (HA177-2), 316L stainless steel (316L SS), and titanium (TA2). |
|-----------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| HA177-2 Composition wt %        | 59.33 | 0.93  | 39.74 |
| 316LSS Composition wt %        | 0.02  | 0.33  | 1.5   | 0.02  | 0.029 | 10.1  | 2.1   | 16.7  | 0.4   | 68.80 |
| TA2 Composition wt %           | 0.26  | 1.06  | 98.68 |


Three geometric arrangements for the CMEAs were used in this work (Figure 2 [37]). The differences among the arrangements were the relative positions of the three metals. These arrangements were named CMEA1 (HAl77-2/316L SS/TA2), CMEA2 (HAl77-2/TA2/316L SS), and CMEA3 (TA2/HAl77-2/316L SS), respectively. The surface of each specimen was wet abraded using sand papers of 400, 600, 800 and 1000 grade. They were then degreased with acetone and rinsed with deionized water. The CMEAs were stored in a desiccator before testing.

2.3. Experimental Conditions

Experiments were performed on the three CMEAs with different geometric metal-arrangement sequences CMEA (CMEA1, CMEA2, CMEA3) in artificial seawater with various concentrations of chloride ions (wt %). The artificial seawater was prepared with ultra-pure water and analytical-grade reagents in accordance with the relevant standard (GB 8650-88). The chloride formulation compositions of artificial seawater with different chloride ion concentrations are shown in Table 2. Open-circuit potential (OCP), local potential, and local current were measured with CMEA technology at 40 °C via the following procedure [18]. First, all wire sensors were disconnected for approximately 30 min to monitor their OCP values. Subsequently, all wire sensors were connected, one by one, within the sequence and remained short-circuited for 12 h. Next, each wire sensor was temporarily disconnected from the other electrodes, its potential was measured, and it was immediately reconnected to the
other electrodes. With this approach, the corrosion potential of each wire was recorded sequentially against the saturated calomel electrode (SCE). Currents were measured similarly. The local potential and current measurement processes were controlled automatically by a sequence programmed by a computer developed in an NI™ LabVIEW™ environment. Either the potential or the current measurement was performed within 5 s for all 96 microelectrode wires. Finally, the collected data were transferred to Surfer 8.0 software (Golden Software, Golden, CO, USA) [17] to obtain the local potential and the current distribution maps. Each experiment was repeated by three parallel samples to verify the accuracy of the experiment. The data of three parallel experiments are in good agreement, and the main results are shown as follows.

Table 2. Chloride formulation compositions of artificial seawater with different chloride ion concentrations.

| Cl\(^{-}\) (wt %) | Composition (g/L) |
|----------------|------------------|
| 1.5            | NaCl 19.46       |
| 1.7            | MgCl\(_2\)-6H\(_2\)O 8.80 |
| 1.9            | CaCl\(_2\) 0.92  |
| 2.1            | NaCl 21.95       |
| 2.3            | MgCl\(_2\)-6H\(_2\)O 9.94 |
| 2.5            | CaCl\(_2\) 1.04  |
| 2.7            | NaCl 24.53       |
|                | MgCl\(_2\)-6H\(_2\)O 11.11 |
|                | CaCl\(_2\) 1.16  |

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Corrosion Behavior of CMEA1 in Artificial Seawater with Different Concentrations of Chloride Ions

The distribution maps of CMEA1 (HAl77-2/316L SS/TA2 system) at the OCP in artificial seawater with different concentrations of chloride ions are displayed in Figure 3a–f and Figure S1 (supplementary materials). The aluminum-brass (HAl77-2) wires had the lowest potential values of the three metals as a function of chloride ions; the titanium (TA2) had the highest. The OCP value of stainless steel (316L SS) was between HAl77-2 and TA2, but it was closer to TA2. Clearly, HAl77-2 was anodic compared to the other metals, whereas 316L SS and TA2 displayed cathodic behavior and appeared to have less corrosion. In addition, the OCP distribution maps of 316L SS and TA2 were more inhomogeneous than those of HAl77-2, possibly because of the effect of the chloride ions on the passivation films of 316L SS and TA2 in the artificial seawater.
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which also contributed to the reduced corrosion rate. This phenomenon was possibly due to the combined effects of both the chloride ions and the dissolved oxygen concentrations. Specifically, the solution conductivity increased with increasing chloride ion concentrations—this enhances the effects associated with galvanic coupling among the different metal alloys wires. At the chloride ion concentration of 2.3 wt %, the most negative potential was obtained; afterward, the potential values at the higher chloride concentrations of 2.5 and 2.7 wt % increased.

Figure 3 shows the average potential values of each electrode line of CMEA1 at the OCP after immersion in artificial seawater with different concentrations of chloride ions. The results indicate that, for the concentration of chloride ions below 2.3 wt % (heightened), the average potential values of the three metal alloys wires showed an electronegative directional shift with various chloride concentrations, and the potential values became more negative with the increasing concentrations of chloride ions. At the chloride ion concentration of 2.3 wt %, the most negative potential was obtained; afterward, the potential values at the higher chloride concentrations of 2.5 and 2.7 wt % increased. This phenomenon was possibly due to the combined effects of both the chloride ions and the dissolved oxygen concentrations. Specifically, the solution conductivity increased with increasing in chloride ion concentrations—this enhances the effects associated with galvanic coupling among the different metal alloys. However, at high concentrations of chloride, the available dissolved oxygen concentration was reduced, and the cathodic reaction on the materials was restrained. Thus, the corrosion rate dropped. In addition, it was easier to form a scale layer in the artificial seawater with higher salt concentrations, which also contributed to the reduced corrosion rate.

Figure 4 shows the average potential values of each electrode line of CMEA1 at the OCP after immersion in artificial seawater with different concentrations of chloride ions (wt %): (a) 1.5%; (b) 1.7%; (c) 1.9%; (d) 2.1%; (e) 2.3%; and (f) 2.5%.

Figure 4. Average potential values of each microelectrode line for CMEA1 at the OCP after immersion in artificial seawater with different concentrations of chloride ions (wt %).
The potential and the corresponding current distribution maps of CMEA1 after being short-circuited for 12 h in artificial seawater with different concentrations of chloride ions are shown in Figure 5a–f and Figure S2. The local potential of the HAl77-2 wires had the most negative value among the three materials, and its corresponding local currents were the most positive. This implies that the HAl77-2 wires act as an anode in the three-metal coupling system. Also, the potential values of the HAl77-2 wires decreased slightly compared to their initial OCP values (Figure 3). The local potential of the TA2 wires had the highest value in the coupled system, and its corresponding negative local current revealed that the TA2 wires served as the cathode in the three-material coupling system. The local potential values of 316L SS after being short-circuited for 12 h were between the HAl77-2 and the TA2 (the middle)—these values were more negative than their initial OCP values (Figure 3). The negative local currents were apparent on most of the 316L SS wires, and only a few of the 316L SS wires had a positive local current. This finding indicates that most of the 316L SS wires acted as secondary cathodes; only a few of 316L SS wires occasionally acted as a local anode.

Figure 5. Cont.
wires initially dropped from line 1 to line 2 and increased slowly along the increasing line number (line 2 to the HA177-2. As local anodes, and the effective anodic area moved from the HA177-2 wires to the 316L SS wires close to the HA177-2. 316L SS is not an effective cathode when it is coupled with HA177-2. Some of the 316L SS wires behaved as local anodes, and the effective anodic area moved from the HA177-2 wires to the 316L SS wires close to the HA177-2.

Figure 5. Spatial potential (left, V/SCE) and corresponding current (right, I/A) distribution maps of CMEA1 after being short-circuited for 12 h in artificial seawater with different concentrations of chloride ions (wt %): (a) 1.5%; (b) 1.7%; (c) 1.9%; (d) 2.1%; (e) 2.3%; and (f) 2.5%.

The average values of the potential and current of each CMEA1 microelectrode line after 12 h in artificial seawater are shown separately in Figure 6a,b. In Figure 6a, the potential values of the HA177-2 wires initially dropped from line 1 to line 2 and increased slowly along the increasing line number (line 2 to line 4). The potential became more positive with increasing chloride ion concentrations. When the concentration of the chloride ions was 2.3 wt %, the potential of HA177-2 was the most negative, which might be due to both the galvanic effect and the chloride ions. Meanwhile, in Figure 6b, the local current value of HA177-2 initially increased and subsequently decreased with increasing chloride concentrations. The maximum current values of HA177-2 were reached at the chloride concentration of 2.3 wt %. When the current values reached the higher chloride concentrations of 2.5 and 2.7 wt %, the current values dropped again. In summary, the corrosion of the more anodic material is initially accelerated by increasing the chloride concentration in the artificial seawater. However, the corrosion rate starts to decrease when the chloride concentration is higher than 2.3 wt %.

In the CMEA1 system, the 316L SS wires were located between the anode (HA177-2) and the cathode (TA2). Figure 6a,b show that the fifth-line wire sensors display a lower potential than the other line 316L SS wires (i.e., the sixth to the eighth lines). This indicates indicate a corresponding higher current value than the other line 316L SS wires with the highest chloride concentration. Meanwhile, the potential values of the 316L SS wires from the sixth line to the eighth line increased, and their corresponding current values reduced gradually. Therefore, on the edge condition, the main anodic area enlarged when the anodic HA177-2 wires were connected to the 316L SS wires in the coupling system. This was especially notable in the adjacent area between the 316L SS and the HA177-2 wires. 316L SS is not an effective cathode when it is coupled with HA177-2. Some of the 316L SS wires behaved as local anodes, and the effective anodic area moved from the HA177-2 wires to the 316L SS wires close to the HA177-2.
Figure 6. Potential and corresponding current distributions of each CMEA1 microelectrodes line after being short-circuited for 12 h in artificial seawater with different concentrations of chloride ions (wt %): (a) average potential; and (b) average current.
3.2. Corrosion Behavior of CMEA2 in Artificial Seawater with Different Concentrations of Chloride Ions

The OCP distribution maps of CMEA2 (HAl-77-2/TA2/316L SS system) during immersion in artificial seawater with different chloride concentrations are shown in Figure 7a–f and Figure S3. Again, the potential of HAl77-2 among the three materials was the lowest followed by the potentials of 316L SS and TA2, which had the highest potential. The distinct potential between HAl77-2 and TA2 was significantly higher than the difference between 316L SS and TA2. Similar to CMEA1, when the three metals were coupled in the artificial seawater, the HAl77-2 was the anode while 316L SS and TA2 were cathodes. However, the potential distributions on the surface of the HAl77-2 were fairly uniform and the potential values of 316L SS and TA2 were more variable.

![Figure 7](image-url)

**Figure 7.** OCP (vs. SCE/V) distribution maps of CMEA2 after immersion in artificial seawater with different concentrations of chloride ions (wt %): (a) 1.5%; (b) 1.7%; (c) 1.9%; (d) 2.1%; (e) 2.3%; and (f) 2.5%.

The average OCP value distributions of each microelectrode line of the CMEA2 immersed in the artificial seawater with different chloride concentrations are shown in Figure 8. The overall behavior, with respect to increasing chloride concentrations, was similar to CMEA1. Significant corrosion was observed at a chloride concentration of 2.3 wt %. At this chloride concentration, the most negative potential was obtained on the HAl-77-2 wires, and a fairly negative potential was observed on the TA2/316L SS wires.
with numerical fluctuations. The current achieved by most of the 316L SS wires was the most negative among the three materials, which indicated that the 316L SS performed mostly as the cathode, even though several currents acquired by the 316L SS wires were positive. This revealed occasional anodic corrosion activity possibly attributable to the damage of passive film on the metal surface. These observations of CMEA2 were similar to the results of CMEA1.

The potential of 316L SS was between the values obtained by the HAI77-2 and the TA2 but with numerical fluctuations. The current achieved by most of the 316L SS wires was the most negative among the three materials, which indicated that the 316L SS performed mostly as the cathode, even though several currents acquired by the 316L SS wires were positive. This revealed occasional anodic corrosion activity possibly attributable to the damage of passive film on the metal surface. These observations of CMEA2 were similar to the results of CMEA1.

Figure 10 shows the average potential and corresponding current distributions of each CMEA2 microelectrode line after being short-circuited for 12 h in artificial seawater with different concentrations of chloride ions (wt %). Figure 10 shows the average potential and corresponding current distributions of each CMEA2 microelectrode line after being short-circuited for 12 h in artificial seawater with different concentrations of chloride ions (wt %).

The spatial potential and the corresponding current distribution maps of CMEA2 after being short-circuited for 12 h in artificial seawater with different concentrations of chloride ions are shown in Figure 9a–f and Figure S4. In addition, the average potential and current distribution of each CMEA2 microelectrode line with different concentrations of chloride ions are displayed in Figure 10. Compared to the initial OCP value shown in Figures 7–10 and Figure S4 show more negative potential in the HAI77-2 wire electrode after the connection was short-circuited. Its corresponding local current displayed on the HAI77-2 in the three different wire electrodes was much more positive; thus HAI77-2 served as the corrosion anode in the CMEA2 system. Meanwhile, the local potential value of TA2 was the highest among the three materials, and its corresponding local current was much more negative—indicates that TA2 acted as a cathode in the coupling system.

The potential of 316L SS was between the values obtained by the HAI77-2 and the TA2 but with numerical fluctuations. The current achieved by most of the 316L SS wires was the most negative among the three materials, which indicated that the 316L SS performed mostly as the cathode, even though several currents acquired by the 316L SS wires were positive. This revealed occasional anodic corrosion activity possibly attributable to the damage of passive film on the metal surface. These observations of CMEA2 were similar to the results of CMEA1.

Figure 10 shows the average potential and corresponding current distributions of each CMEA2 microelectrode line after being short-circuited for 12 h in artificial seawater with different chloride concentrations. Similar to CMEA1, the potential of the HAI77-2 (lines 1 and 2) initially shifted to the negative direction and subsequently turned in the positive direction with increasing chloride concentrations (lines 2–4) (Figure 10a). When the chloride concentration was 2.3 wt %, the potential of HAI77-2 was the most negative. Correspondingly, in Figure 10b, the current of HAI77-2 increased first in lines 1 and 2 and then decreased in lines 2–4. Again, the maximum current was achieved at the 2.3 wt % chloride concentration; consequently, the anode corrosion at this point was the most serious. The corrosion behavior trend of CMEA2 was similar to that of CMEA1 in terms of chloride ion concentrations.

**Figure 8.** Average OCP potential values of each microelectrode line for CMEA2 after immersion in artificial seawater with different concentrations of chloride ions (wt %).
Versus the current values shown in Figures 6 and 10, the current distribution fluctuation of the 316L SS wires in CMEA1 was larger than that of the 316L SS wires in CMEA2. The first-line 316L SS wire sensors (the fifth line of CMEA1) for CMEA1 were adjacent to HAl77-2, which displayed an anodic potential and a higher current (Figure 6). Thus, the main anodic area enlarged and even influenced the 316L SS. However, the potential of the 316L SS wires in CMEA2 was higher than that in CMEA1—the current of the 316L SS in CMEA2 was lower than that in CMEA1 because the 316L SS was far from the HAl77-2, which acted as the anode in CMEA2. In addition, the current of the HAl77-2 wires decreased from the first to the fourth line in CMEA1—there was an increase from the first to the fourth line of CMEA2. The fourth line of HAl77-2 in CMEA2 had a higher current value indicating that a more serious and localized corrosion was caused by its direct connection with the cathodic TA2. In CMEA1, a higher anodic current on HAl77-2 was found from line 1–3, and a higher cathodic current was found on TA2 from line 9–11. This was a rather distant current distribution. Similar phenomena appeared in the CMEA3 by a comparison between Figures 6b and 10b, suggesting that TA2 was the most effective cathode with higher cathodic current, regardless of its position.
Figure 9. Spatial potential (left, V/SCE) and corresponding current (right, I/A) distribution maps of CMEA2 after being short-circuited for 12 h in artificial seawater with different concentrations of chloride ions (wt %): (a) 1.5%; (b) 1.7%; (c) 1.9%; (d) 2.1%; (e) 2.3%; and (f) 2.5%.

Figure 10. Cont.
Figure 10. Potential and corresponding current distributions of each CMEA2 microelectrode line after being short-circuited for 12 h in artificial seawater with different chloride concentrations (wt %): (a) average potential; and (b) average current.

3.3. Corrosion Behavior of CMEA3 in Artificial Seawater with Different Concentrations of Chloride Ions

The OCP distribution maps of the CMEA3 (TA2/HAl77-2/316L SS) configuration during immersion in artificial seawater with different chloride concentrations are presented in Figures 11a–f and Figure S5. In the CMEA3, the HAl77-2 is located in the central position of the CMEA. As in the previous cases with CMEA1 and CMEA2, the HAl77-2 was anodic with respect to the other two materials.
positive potential value, and its corresponding local current was negative, indicating that TA2 acted as the cathode. TA2 had the most positive potential value, and its corresponding local current was negative, indicating that TA2 acted as the cathode. HAl77-2 had the most negative value (middle of maps). Its corresponding local current was positive.

The spatial potential maps and corresponding current distribution maps of CMEA3 after being short-circuited for 12 h in artificial seawater with different concentrations of chloride ions (wt %): (a) 1.5%; (b) 1.7%; (c) 1.9%; (d) 2.1%; (e) 2.3%; and (f) 2.5%.

The average OCP distribution of each microelectrode line for the different chloride concentrations are shown in Figure 12. The potentials of the HAl77-2 wires varied with the different chloride ions concentrations, and the most negative potentials were observed on the HAl77-2 at 2.3 wt %, which coincides with the other two geometric configurations (CMEA1 and CMEA2) shown in Figures 4 and 8, respectively.

![Figure 11](image1.png)

**Figure 11.** Potential distribution maps of CMEA3 at OCP (V/SCE) after immersion in artificial seawater with different concentrations of chloride ions (wt %): (a) 1.5%; (b) 1.7%; (c) 1.9%; (d) 2.1%; (e) 2.3%; and (f) 2.5%.

![Figure 12](image2.png)

**Figure 12.** Average OCP distributions of each microelectrode line for CMEA3 after immersion in artificial seawater with different concentrations of chloride ions (wt %).

The spatial potential maps and corresponding current distribution maps of CMEA3 after being short-circuited for 12 h in artificial seawater with different chloride concentrations are presented in Figure 13a–f and Figure S6. The potential maps in Figure 13 and Figure S6 show the local potential of HAl77-2 with the most negative value (middle of maps). Its corresponding local current was positive, indicating that HAl77-2 behaved as the anode in the three-material coupled system. TA2 had the most positive potential value, and its corresponding local current was negative, indicating that TA2 acted as the cathode.
as the protected cathode in the coupling system. The potentials of 316L SS were higher than those of HAl77-2, but the potential of 316L SS was lower than TA2.

Figure 14 shows the average potential and the corresponding average current distributions of each CMEA3 microelectrode line after being short-circuited for 12 h in artificial seawater with different chloride concentrations. The currents of HAl77-2 varied with lines 5–8, and higher currents were observed at lines 5 and 8, which adjoin the other two materials, while the lower currents were found in between (line 7). Figure 14b shows the currents at various chloride concentrations at 2.3 wt %, the HAl77-2 currents had their highest values, and the currents of 316L SS and TA2 had their lowest values. This revealed the significant anode behavior on HAl77-2 and the cathode activity on 316L SS and TA2 under these conditions.

Through a comparative analysis of Figures 6, 10 and 14, we see that the potential value of HAl77-2 obtained in CMEA3 was more negative than that achieved in CMEA1 and CMEA2. However, the current of HAl77-2 in CMEA3 was higher than that in CMEA1 and CMEA2. This finding suggests that the corrosion of HAl77-2 is more serious when it is located in the middle of the coupled system. Moreover, the current values of the fifth and eighth lines of CMEA3 were significantly higher than those of the sixth and seventh lines, which suggested that the corrosion at the interface between the anode and the cathode is more serious than away from the interface.
Figure 13. Spatial potential (left, V/SCE) and corresponding current (right, I/A) distribution maps of CMEA3 after being short-circuited for 12 h in artificial seawater with different concentrations of chloride ions (wt %): (a) 1.5%; (b) 1.7%; (c) 1.9%; (d) 2.1%; (e) 2.3%; and (f) 2.5%.

Figure 14. Cont.
In the three-alloy coupled system, the aluminum-brass (HAl77-2) wires are anodic compared to the titanium alloy (TA2) and 316L stainless steel (316L SS). TA2 acts as a primary cathode, and 316L SS acts as a secondary cathode accompanying the electrochemical inhomogeneity. The geometrical arrangement impacts the corrosion of the three-material coupled system. In the three-alloy coupled system, the aluminum-brass (HAl77-2) wires are anodic compared to the 316L SS wires near the interface. As a result, the main anodic area enlarges and is even adjacent to the 316L SS wires near the interface. Moreover, the current values of the fifth and eighth lines of CMEA3 were significantly higher than those of the sixth and seventh lines, which suggested that the corrosion at the interface between the anode and the cathode is more serious than away from the interface.

4. Conclusions

Since the surface area of each wire in a coupled multielectrode array (CMEA) is much smaller compared to the total electrode working area, each wire surface can be assumed to be electrochemically uniform even if the whole CMEA surface is electrochemically non-uniform. This assumption allows electrochemical theories and methods of describing uniform electroplating and edroddissolution processes to apply to each wire in a CMEA. It is possible to obtain the average electrochemical signal and the localized electrochemical signals determined by the CMEAs. In addition, CMEAs should equally consist of an electrode matrix of different metal or alloy wires. Clearly, CMEAs could be ideally suited for investigating the galvanic corrosion and localized corrosion of different metals or alloys couples.

1. In the three-alloy coupled system, the aluminum-brass (HAl77-2) wires are anodic compared to the titanium alloy (TA2) and 316L stainless steel (316L SS). TA2 acts as a primary cathode, and 316L SS acts as a secondary cathode accompanying the electrochemical inhomogeneity.
2. Regardless of the relative positions of the three materials in the coupled systems, the corrosion of the three-material coupled systems always depends on the chloride concentrations in the artificial seawater—and the corrosion process is highest when the concentration of chloride is 2.3%.

Through a comparative analysis of Figures 6, 10 and 14, we see that the potential value of HAl77-2 obtained in CMEA3 was more negative than that achieved in CMEA1 and CMEA2. However, the current of HAl77-2 in CMEA3 was higher than that in CMEA1 and CMEA2. This finding suggests that the corrosion of HAl77-2 is more serious when it is located in the middle of the coupled system. Moreover, the current values of the fifth and eighth lines of CMEA3 were significantly higher than those of the sixth and seventh lines, which suggested that the corrosion at the interface between the anode and the cathode is more serious than away from the interface.
seawater—and the corrosion process is highest when the concentration of chloride is 2.3 wt %.
When the mass concentration of chloride reaches 2.3%, the local currents of HAl77-2, 316L SS,
and TA2 become extreme, and the corrosion of the anodic material is the most serious.

3. The geometrical arrangement impacts the corrosion of the three-material coupled system. In the
coupling CMEA1 system, when the anodic HAl77-2 wires are located at the edge and are
connected to the 316L SS wires, the current value of the HAl77-2 wires decreases from the
first-line wires to the fourth-line wires, and the fifth-line wires (316L SS) display anodic potential
and current. As a result, the main anodic area enlarges and is even adjacent to the 316L SS wires
near the HAl77-2. In the CMEA2 system, fourth-line (HAl77-2) higher current values are obtained
when the anodic HAl77-2 wires are also located on the edge and are directly connected with
cathodic TA2—this indicates local anodic corrosion. Furthermore, the CMEA3 system has the
most severe corrosion when the anodic material HAl77-2 wires are located between the other two
materials (relative to the other two geometrical arrangements).

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/1996-1944/11/4/634/s1,
Figure S1: Open circuit potential OCP (vs. saturated calomel electrode (SCE)/V) distribution maps of the
CMEA1 (HAl77-2/316L SS/TA2) after immersion in artificial seawater with 2.7% chloride ions (wt.%); Figure S2:
Spatial potential (left, V/SCE) and corresponding current (right, I/A) distribution maps of CMEA1 after being
short-circuited for 12 h in artificial seawater with 2.7% chloride ions (wt.%); Figure S3. OCP (vs. SCE/V)
distribution maps of CMEA2 after immersion in artificial seawater with 2.7% chloride ions (wt.%); Figure S4.
Spatial potential (left, V/SCE) and corresponding current (right, I/A) distribution maps of CMEA2 after being
short-circuited for 12 h in artificial seawater with 2.7% chloride ions; Figure S5. Potential distribution maps
of CMEA3 at OCP (V/SCE) after immersion in artificial seawater with 2.7% chloride ions (wt.%); Figure S6.
Spatial potential (left, V/SCE) and corresponding current (right, I/A) distribution maps of CMEA3 after being
short-circuited for 12 h in artificial seawater with 2.7% chloride ions (wt.%).

Acknowledgments: This work was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC,
41206063), the Shandong Provincial Natural Science Foundation, China (ZR2017MEM015), and the Fundamental
Research Funds for the Central Universities of China (14CX02201A and 15CX05023A). We would also like to
acknowledge the support from the China Scholarship Council (CSC). We thank LetPub (www.letpub.com) for its
linguistic assistance during the preparation of this manuscript.

Author Contributions: Hong Ju conceived and designed the experiments; Jinzhuo Duan performed the experiments;
Yan Li analyzed the data; Hong Ju wrote the paper; Yuanfeng Yang and Ning Cao checked and revised the paper.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References
1. Sharon, H.; Reddy, K.S. A review of solar energy driven desalination technologies. Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev. 2015, 41, 1080–1118. [CrossRef]
2. Miller, S.; Shemer, H.; Semiat, R. Energy and environmental issues in desalination. Desalination 2015, 366, 2–8. [CrossRef]
3. Ali, M.T.; Fath, H.E.S.; Armstrong, P.R. A comprehensive techno-economical review of indirect solar
desalination. Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev. 2011, 15, 4187–4199. [CrossRef]
4. Pearce, M.; Brennan, F. Novel findings in desalination. Desalination 2015, 360, 13–18. [CrossRef]
5. Yan, M.; Sun, C.; Dong, J.; Xu, J.; Ke, W. Electrochemical investigation on steel corrosion in iron-rich clay.
Corros. Sci. 2015, 97, 62–73. [CrossRef]
6. Kuznetsov, V.; Maljusch, A.; Souto, R.M.; Bandarenka, A.S.; Schuhmann, W. Characterisation of localised
corrosion processes using scanning electrochemical impedance microscopy. Electrochim. Commun. 2014, 44, 38–41. [CrossRef]
7. Frankenthal, R.P.; Pickering, H.W. On the Mechanism of Localized Corrosion of Iron and Stainless Steel.
J. Electrochem. Soc. 1972, 119, 1304–1310. [CrossRef]
8. Wexler, S.B.D.; Galvele, J.R. Anodic Behavior of Aluminum Straining and a Mechanism for Pitting.
J. Electrochem. Soc. 1974, 121, 1271–1276. [CrossRef]
9. Astarita, A.; Curioni, M.; Squillace, A.; Bellucci, F.; Thompson, G.E.; Beamish, K.A. Corrosion behaviour
of stainless steel–titanium alloy linear friction welded joints: Galvanic coupling. Mater. Corros. 2015, 66, 111–117. [CrossRef]
10. Sridhar, N.; Yang, L. Coupled Multielectrode Array Systems and Sensors for Real-Time Corrosion Monitoring—A Review. In Proceedings of the NACE International Corrosion Conference Series Corrosion, San Diego, CA, USA, 12–16 March 2006; Paper n. 06681. pp. 1–46.

11. Tan, Y.J. Wire beam electrode: A new tool for studying localised corrosion and other heterogeneous electrochemical processes. Corros. Sci. 1998, 41, 229–247. [CrossRef]

12. Zhang, X.; Wang, W.; Wang, J. A novel device for the wire beam electrode method and its application in the ennelement study. Corros. Sci. 2009, 51, 1475–1479. [CrossRef]

13. Wang, Y.H.; Liu, Y.Y.; Wang, W.; Zhong, L.; Wang, J. Influences of the three-phase boundary on the electrochemical corrosion characteristics of carbon steel under droplets. Mater. Corros. 2013, 64, 309–313. [CrossRef]

14. Wang, Y.; Wang, W.; Liu, Y.; Zhong, L.; Wang, J. Study of localized corrosion of 304 stainless steel under chloride solution droplets using the wire beam electrode. Corros. Sci. 2011, 53, 2963–2968. [CrossRef]

15. Muster, T.H.; Bradbury, A.; Trinchi, A.; Cole, I.S.; Markley, T.; Lau, D.; Dligatch, S.; Bendavid, A.; Martin, P. The atmospheric corrosion of zinc: The effects of salt concentration, droplet size and droplet shape. Electrochim. Acta 2011, 56, 1866–1873. [CrossRef]

16. Wang, W.; Zhang, X.; Wang, J. The influence of local glucose oxidase activity on the potential/current distribution on stainless steel: A study by the wire beam electrode method. Electrochim. Acta 2009, 54, 5598–5604. [CrossRef]

17. Tan, Y. Experimental methods designed for measuring corrosion in highly resistive and inhomogeneous media. Corros. Sci. 2011, 53, 1145–1155. [CrossRef]

18. Zhang, D.L.; Wang, W.; Li, Y. An electrode array study of electrochemical inhomogeneity of zinc in zinc/steel couple during galvanic corrosion. Corros. Sci. 2010, 52, 1277–1284. [CrossRef]

19. Tan, Y.J.; Aung, N.N.; Liu, T. Novel corrosion experiments using the wire beam electrode. (I) Studying electrochemical noise signatures from localised corrosion processes. Corros. Sci. 2006, 48, 23–38. [CrossRef]

20. Souto, R.M.; González-García, Y.; Bastos, A.C.; Simões, A.M. Investigating corrosion processes in the micrometric range: A SVET study of the galvanic corrosion of zinc coupled with iron. Corros. Sci. 2007, 49, 4568–4580. [CrossRef]

21. Thébault, F.; Vuillemin, B.; Oltra, R.; Ogle, K.; Alley, C. Investigation of self-healing mechanism on galvanized steels cut edges by coupling SVET and numerical modeling. Electrochim. Acta 2008, 53, 5226–5234. [CrossRef]

22. Ogle, K.; Morel, S.; Jacquet, D. Observation of Self-Healing Functions on the Cut Edge of Galvanized Steel Using SVET and pH Microscopy. J. Electrochem. Soc. 2006, 153, B1–B5. [CrossRef]

23. Simões, A.M.; Bastos, A.C.; Ferreira, M.G.; González-García, Y.; González, S.; Souto, R.M. Use of SVET and SECM to study the galvanic corrosion of an iron–zinc cell. Corros. Sci. 2007, 49, 726–739. [CrossRef]

24. Izquierdo, J.; Nagy, L.; González, S.; Santana, J.J.; Nagy, G.; Souto, R.M. Resolution of the apparent experimental discrepancies observed between SVET and SECM for the characterization of galvanic corrosion reactions. Souto. Electrochim. Acta 2013, 27, 50–53. [CrossRef]

25. Izquierdo, J.; Nagy, L.; Varga, A.; Santana, J.J.; Nagy, G.; Souto, R.M. Spatially resolved measurement of electrochemical activity and pH distributions in corrosion processes by scanning electrochemical microscopy using antimony microelectrode tips. Electrochim Acta 2011, 56, 8846–8850. [CrossRef]

26. Souto, R.M.; González-García, Y.; Battistel, D.; Daniele, S. On the use of mercury-coated tips in scanning electrochemical microscopy to investigate galvanic corrosion processes involving zinc and iron. Corros. Sci. 2012, 55, 401–406. [CrossRef]

27. Ogle, K.; Baudu, V.; Garrigues, L.; Philippe, X. Localized Electrochemical Methods Applied to Cut Edge Corrosion. J. Electrochem. Soc. 2000, 147, 3654–3660. [CrossRef]

28. Blanc, C.; Orazem, M.E.; Pébére, N.; Tribollet, B.; Vivier, V.; Wu, S. The origin of the complex character of the Ohmic impedance. Electrochim. Acta 2010, 55, 6313–6321. [CrossRef]

29. Lacroix, L.; Blanc, C.; Pébére, N.; Tribollet, B.; Vivier, V. Localized Approach to Galvanic Coupling in an Aluminum–Magnesium System. J. Electrochem. Soc. 2009, 156, C259–C265. [CrossRef]

30. Jorcin, J.B.; Blanc, C.; Pébére, N.; Tribollet, B.; Vivier, V. Galvanic Coupling between Pure Copper and Pure Aluminum Experimental Approach and Mathematical Model. J. Electrochem. Soc. 2008, 155, C46–C51. [CrossRef]
31. Mouanga, M.; Puiggali, M.; Tribollet, B.; Vivier, V.; Pèbère, N.; Devos, O. Galvanic corrosion between zinc and carbon steel investigated by local electrochemical impedance spectroscopy. *Electrochim. Acta* **2013**, *88*, 6–14. [CrossRef]
32. Pan, Y.; Wu, G.; Cheng, X.; Zhang, Z.K.; Li, M.Y.; Ji, S.D.; Huang, Z. Galvanic corrosion behaviour of carbon fibre reinforced polymer/magnesium alloys coupling. *Corros. Sci.* **2015**, *98*, 672–677. [CrossRef]
33. Du, X.; Yang, Q.; Chen, Y.; Yang, Y.; Zhang, Z.; Nonferr, T. Galvanic corrosion behavior of copper/titanium galvanic couple in artificial seawater. *Met. Soc.* **2014**, *24*, 570–581. [CrossRef]
34. Hasan, B.O.; Petrol, J. Galvanic corrosion of carbon steel-brass couple in chloride containing water and the effect of different parameters. *Sci. Eng.* **2014**, *124*, 137–145. [CrossRef]
35. Idrac, J.; Mankowski, G.; Thompson, G.; Skeldon, P.; Kihn, Y.; Blanc, C. Galvanic corrosion of aluminium–copper model alloys. *Electrochim. Acta* **2007**, *52*, 7626–7633. [CrossRef]
36. Yadav, A.P.; Katayama, H.; Noda, K.; Masuda, H.; Nishikata, A.; Tsuru, T. Surface potential distribution over a zinc/steel galvanic couple corroding under thin layer of electrolyte. *Electrochim. Acta* **2007**, *52*, 3121–3129. [CrossRef]
37. Ju, H.; Yang, Y.; Liu, Y.; Liu, S.; Duan, J.; Li, Y. Mapping the Galvanic Corrosion of Three Metals Coupled with a Wire Beam Electrode: The Influence of Temperature and Relative Geometrical Position. *Materials* **2018**, 11, 357. [CrossRef] [PubMed]