COVID-19 causes neuronal degeneration and reduces neurogenesis in human hippocampus
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Abstract
Recent investigations of COVID-19 have largely focused on the effects of this novel virus on the vital organs in order to efficiently assist individuals who have recovered from the disease. In the present study we used hippocampal tissue samples extracted from people who died after COVID-19. Utilizing histological techniques to analyze glial and neuronal cells we illuminated a massive degeneration of neuronal cells and changes in glial cells morphology in hippocampal samples. The results showed that in hippocampus of the studied brains there were morphological changes in pyramidal cells, an increase in apoptosis, a drop in neurogenesis, and change in spatial distribution of neurons in the pyramidal and granular layer. It was also demonstrated that COVID-19 alter the morphological characteristics and distribution of astrocyte and microglia cells. While the exact mechanism(s) by which the virus causes neuronal loss and morphology in the central nervous system (CNS) remains to be determined, it is necessary to monitor the effect of SARS-CoV-2 infection on CNS compartments like the hippocampus in future investigations. As a result of what happened in the hippocampus secondary to COVID-19, memory impairment may be a long-term neurological complication which can be a predisposing factor for neurodegenerative disorders through neuroinflammation and oxidative stress mechanisms.
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in the medulla, to dopamine neurons of striatum [11– 13].

Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) receptors in the brain, [9, 10]. This might be explained by the presence of ACE2 receptors and structure. Numerous papers have largely found documentations for neurotropism and neurovirulence of SARS-CoV [16]. Some case reports revealed that CSF samples from a patient with SARS infection along with tonic–clonic seizures indicated positive tests for SARS-CoV, implying SARS-CoV infection transmission through CNS [17]. Moreover, Jun et al. have isolated SARS-CoV from a brain tissue sample from a SARS patient, indicating neuronal glial cell hyperplasia and necrosis [18]. According to Berardis study, the spike protein of SARS-CoV-2 can moderate virus entry into cells via ACE-2 receptors and is triggered by a serine two protease transmembrane (TMPRSS2). Both TMPRSS2 and ACE-2 are abundant in the brain, particularly in critical areas for psychiatric disorders such as the hippocampus and prefrontal cortex. Furthermore, microglia is considered a target for the SARS-CoV-2 via the same mechanisms causing its activation, which harms the brain via a local cytokine storm syndrome similar to mild autoimmune and viral encephalitis. Also, CD8-positive T cells have been found to have roles in the CNS infiltrations [19]. These findings of neurovirulence and neuroinvasion in SARS-CoV could provide strong circumstantial evidence of SARS-CoV-2's neurotropic properties [18].

Introduction

More than one year since the emergence of COVID-19, this disease has caused millions of deaths and despite efforts including vaccine and therapeutic development, the number of casualties is still significant [1]. Initially, the main concern of clinicians was to manage the acute complications of the disease; however, as long-term consequences of SARS-CoV-2 infection have emerged, management of these sequelae has become a priority. Although COVID-19 is largely known for its respiratory symptoms, multiple organ damage, including to the nervous system, kidneys, heart, etc., occurs—especially in the severe forms of the disease—and can impose permanent sequelae or even lead to death [2].

The prevalence of central nervous system (CNS) manifestations in COVID-19 is about 25% [3, 4], with neurological symptoms including learning deficits (in both adults and children), hippocampal and cortical memory and attention impairment (either acute or chronic), and delirium [5–7]. Cognitive deficits have been reported in COVID-19 patients both acutely and after recovery [2, 8]. According to evidence garnered from animal and human studies, SARS-CoV-2 can invade the brain, including the brainstem, directly through the olfactory nerves even without any prior lung involvement [9, 10]. This might be explained by the presence of ACE2 (angiotensin-converting enzyme 2) receptors in the brain, which can bind to viral spike glycoprotein. These receptors are widely found in the brain, from cardiorespiratory centers in the medulla, to dopamine neurons of striatum [11–13].

So far, some investigations [14, 15] have found direct evidence for SARS-CoV-2 neurotropism. To gain access to human cells, both SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 bind to ACE2 receptor [7]. Many SARS-CoV-mediated pathologies may also be the same as SARS-CoV-2 due to similarities in target receptors and structure. Numerous papers have largely found documentations for neurotropism and neurovirulence of SARS-CoV [16]. Some case reports revealed that CSF samples from a patient with SARS infection along with
the immune system and “cytokine storm (CS)” is its hallmark. CS is described as a state in which the elevation of pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines including interleukins (specifically IL-6 and IL-1β), chemokine (C–C-motif) ligand 2 (CCL2), tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α), and granulocyte colony stimulating factor (G-CSF) occurs [23, 28–31]. Previous studies have revealed that inflammation and peripheral immune response can lead to (or in some cases exacerbate) both acute and chronic neuro-inflammation [32–39]. For instance, mast cells are immune cells implicated in the process of inflammation (specifically neuro-inflammation) and psychological stress [25, 40].

Multiple and interacting causes have been proposed to explain the mechanism of SARS-CoV-2 infection-associated sub-clinical cognitive impairment and neurological symptoms, including both indirect causes due to non-CNS systemic impairment or psychological trauma, and direct causes due to damage caused by the virus to the cortical and adjacent subcortical structures (e.g. brainstem) [41]. As stated earlier, the involvement of the nervous system structures, particularly the brainstem, by SARS-CoV-2 is possible [10]. This has previously been observed in other coronaviruses which demonstrated ability to invade the peripheral-nerve terminals and retrograde progression toward the CNS, via synapse-connected routes [42–45]. This capacity is also well established in other viruses, such as Herpes Simplex and Herpes Zoster, which lay dormant and later start to migrate via peripheral neurons to the CNS (or sensory ganglia) and cause extensive damage [46–48]. Interestingly, another single-stranded RNA beta coronavirus, named hemagglutinating encephalomyelitis virus (HEV), has also been found to be able to disseminate in the primary motor cortex of infected rats [44, 49].

Altogether, the present study aims to elucidate the link between SARS-CoV-2 infection and hippocampal-related neurodegenerative disorders in order to further illuminate potential long-term sequelae of COVID-19 for the scientific and medical communities.

Materials and methods

Ethics and informed consent

The study was approved by the ethics committee of Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran (ethics committee No. IR.SBMU.RETECH.REC.1400.476).

Sample and data collection

This study was conducted on four patients with COVID-19 and four age- and sex-matched healthy control groups. Control data were obtained from people in the age range of 30–45 years, with the following exclusion criteria applied: history of neurological complications or addiction, cause of death including cardiac arrest, head trauma, and internal bleeding. In the COVID-19 group, the subjects were selected from patients admitted to the intensive care unit of a major university-affiliated hospital between March 26, 2020 (the outbreak of the epidemic in Iran) and April 17, 2020. Four patients with clinical features including anosmia and respiratory symptoms compatible with COVID-19 were intubated due to respiratory distress. The diagnosis of COVID-19 was confirmed by RT-PCR. Additionally, a computed tomography scan showed pneumonia in the COVID-19 group. After death, it took about 4 to 5 h to transfer the dead body to the dissection room of the legal medicine. With previous permission from the patients’ families, the brains were removed from the skull, and the hippocampus was dissected and immersed into the 10% formalin. The specimen of the hippocampal formation was taken at a mid-anterior–posterior level of the hippocampus. Then, the specimens were routinely processed under standard biosafety measures for further investigation. Tissue specimens were then dehydrated in graded ethanol, embedded in paraffin and serially cut in 5-µm coronal sections (Fig. 1).

Immunohistochemistry

Hippocampal tissues were first dehydrated in a series of graded ethanol baths, and then infiltrated with paraffin using the tissue-embedding machine. Samples were sectioned by a microtome and 5 µm of the formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissue samples were deparaffinized and rehydrated. To block nonspecific background staining, tissues were incubated with the protein block for 5 min at room temperature and washed in a buffer. Sections were incubated in the primary antibodies against Iba-1, Ki67, cleaved caspase-3 and glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) overnight at 4 °C. The following morning, tissues were washed four times in the buffer before the application of Streptavidin Peroxidase. They were then incubated for 10 min at room temperature, and rinsed again four times in the buffer. Afterwards, 20 µl of 3, 3'-Diaminobenzidine (DAB) chromogen was added to

Fig. 1  a A general view of extracted hippocampus of postmortem subjects. b H&E staining of hippocampal areas: subiculum (SUB); dentate gyrus (DG) and cornu ammonis (CA)
1 ml of DAB substrate and mixed by swirling before being applied to the tissue sample. For immunofluorescence detection, secondary antibodies (TRITC or FITC) were used. Finally, tissues were incubated for 10 min, and washed in the buffer. After the immunohistochemical reaction, tissues were counterstained with hematoxylin or DAPI, and examined under a microscope.
Investigation of microglia and astrocyte morphology and distribution

Microglial cells were stained with iba-1 antibody (specific microglia marker) and Astrocyte cells were stained with GFAP antibody (specific astrocyte marker). Thirty individual iba-1+ and GFAP+ cells were selected and captured by 40 × objective lens for reconstruction [50]. Figure was imported to ImageJ (Java. NIH, USA) after setting the image scale, the nucleus of each microglia and astrocyte were marked as the center. Soll analysis and total process length was performed based on previous descriptions [51, 52]. Total number of branches was calculated by the ‘Analyze Skeleton’ menu in ImageJ [53]. To measure soma size, each image was imported to ImageJ. After setting the image scale by ‘Wand’ tools the border of each soma was selected, nearest neighbor distance (NND) was determined, and a script for Fiji (ImageJ) developed by Mao [54] was used as described in previous studies [55]. To calculate soma roundness following formula was used:

\[
\text{Roundness} = \frac{4A}{\pi M^2},
\]

where A is the area of the soma and M is the length of the major axis [55].

Regularity index (RI) was calculated by following formula:

\[
RI = \frac{X_{\text{NND}}}{\delta_{\text{NND}}},
\]

where \(X_{\text{NND}}\) is the average NND of a population and \(\delta_{\text{NND}}\) is the standard deviation of that population [55, 56]. To calculate arbor area, a polygon was drawn manually by connecting the endpoints of the appendages using the ImageJ ‘Polygon’ tools [55].

Investigation of the spatial distribution of hippocampal neurons

Following tissue processing, the serial coronal sections of 5 μm in thickness were prepared and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) (0.1%). The spatial distribution of neurons in the pyramidal layer of CA1 and granular layer of dentate gyrus was investigated by Voronoi tessellation method. Each polygon represents the space that a cell occupies [57]. The neurons were mapped by the Image J Voronoi Plugin (Java. NIH, USA). Each polygon was drawn around the cell body of neurons. To do this, brain section images were captured by a 40 × objective lens (Nikon Eclipse E-200). Each image imported to Image j, after set scale, by Voronoi plugin, and polygons were drawn by clicking on the nuclei. Area of the polygon by running analyze → measure was calculated [58, 59]. The area variation of the polygons was analyzed by calculating the variance. To determine the spatial distribution of neurons, percentage coefficient of variation (CV) calculated (standard deviation of the polygon areas/mean × 100). CV of 33%–64% is associated with a random distribution of the neurons; CVs less than 33% have a regular pattern, and those more than 64% are considered as a clustered distribution [60].

Investigation of dendrites of hippocampal pyramidal neurons by scholl analysis

To stain pyramidal neurons and these processes, hippocampal tissues were immersed in Golgi-Cox solution [61]. After processing the tissue, 60 μm-thick serial coronal sections were prepared. The microscopic images for analysis were captured by a 40 × objective lens (Nikon Eclipse E-200). Thirty individual Golgi cells were selected for reconstruction [61]. Nucleus of pyramidal neurons was marked as the center Scholl analysis and total dendrite length was done according to the previous part.

Data analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS23 software. The graphs were designed by Graph Pad Prism 7. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM. Differences between experimental groups were measured using the independent sample T-test. P-values < 0.05 were considered as significant.
COVID-19 patients (P < 0.001) (Fig. 2j).

(Fig. 2i) and regularity index significantly decreased in COVID-19 (P < 0.001) (Fig. 2f). Measurement of cell body area showed that it increased due to COVID-19 infection (g). Examination of the cell body showed that COVID-19 infection significantly reduced the cell body roundness (h). Investigation of the astrocyte scattering showed that nearest neighbor distance (NND) (i) and regularity index significantly decreased in COVID-19 infection (j). Asterisk (*) shows the difference between the COVID-19 and the control group (P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001). The values were expressed as means ± SEM.

**Results**

**COVID-19 changed morphological characteristics and distribution of microglia cells**

Immunohistochemistry was done against Iba-1 as a marker of microglia (Fig. 2a). Our results showed that SARS-CoV-2 infection significantly reduced morphological complexity of microglia processes (Fig. 2b), reducing their length (P < 0.001) (Fig. 2c) as well as their number (P < 0.001) (Fig. 2d). Analysis of branching structure showed that in infected descendants, the percentage of primary arbores increased (70%) but secondary and tertiary arbores decreased (2%) (Fig. 2e). Our studies have also shown that the area of microglia arbores is significantly reduced due to COVID-19 (P < 0.001) (Fig. 2f). Measurement of cell body area showed an increase in individuals with COVID-19 (P < 0.001) (Fig. 2g). Examination of the cell body showed that infection significantly reduced cell body roundness (P < 0.001) (Fig. 2h). Investigation of microglial scattering showed that nearest neighbor distance (NDD) (P < 0.01) (Fig. 2i) and regularity index significantly decreased in COVID-19 patients (P < 0.001) (Fig. 2j).

**COVID-19 changed morphological characteristics and distribution of astrocytes cells**

Immunohistochemistry was done against GFAP as a marker of astrocyte (Fig. 3a). Similar to the changes that occurred in astrocyte cells, COVID-19 significantly reduced the complexity (Fig. 3b), length (Fig. 3c) and total number (P < 0.001) (Fig. 3d) of astrocyte processes, determined by analysis of branching structure. The percentage of primary (87%) and tertiary arbores (8%) increased but secondary arbores decreased (5%) (Fig. 3e). Furthermore, the area of astrocyte arbores significantly decreased (P < 0.001) (Fig. 3f). The soma size, as in astrocytes, significantly increased due to infected patients (P < 0.001) (Fig. 3g). Soma roundness (P < 0.001) (Fig. 3h), NND (P < 0.01) (Fig. 3i) and regularity index (P < 0.001) (Fig. 3j) also increased significantly in individuals with COVID-19.

**COVID-19 altered the spatial distribution of neurons in the hippocampal granular layer**

Voronoi tessellation of the dentate gyrus (granular layer) in the control and COVID-19 groups was performed (Fig. 4a). Our data showed that 43.18% Voronoi polygons in the granular layer in the control group were in the range of 40–60 μm², however, in COVID-19 only 4.16% polygons areas of the neurons were within this range, while most of polygon areas (27%) were in the range of 80–100 μm² (Fig. 4b). Additionally, the mean area of the polygons in the COVID group was significantly increased compared to the control group (P < 0.01) (Fig. 4c). Based on the (CV) classification, the mean CV of polygon areas in both groups located in a random range (33%–64%) while in COVID-19 group significantly increased, indicating the distribution of neurons in the granular layer is more regular than COVID-19 group (P < 0.01) (Fig. 4d). Also, our results show that the number of neurons in the granular layer is significantly reduced in COVID-19 compared to the control group (P < 0.05) (Fig. 4e).

**COVID-19 changed spatial distribution of neurons in the hippocampal pyramidal layer**

Voronoi tessellation of the CA1 neurons (pyramidal layer) in the control and COVID-19 groups was performed (Fig. 5a). Examination of the pyramidal layer in the control group showed that 21.2% polygons areas of the neurons were in the range of 3900–4900 μm² while in COVID-19 group 30% polygons areas of the neurons were in the range of 200–3900 μm² (Fig. 5b). Mean area of the polygons in the Covid group was significantly increased compared to the control group (Fig. 5c). Based on the (CV) classification, the mean CV of polygon areas in both groups located in a random range (33%–64%) while in COVID-19 group significantly increased that this indicates distribution neurons in pyramidal layer is more regular than COVID-19 group (P < 0.001) (Fig. 5d). Also, our results show that the number of neurons in the pyramidal layer is significantly reduced in COVID-19 infection (P < 0.05) (Fig. 5e).

**COVID-19 increases apoptosis and decreases neurogenesis in hippocampus**

IHC was applied to measure two agents: the apoptosis factor of cleaved caspase-3 and the neurogenesis factor Ki67. Our results showed a surge in the cleaved caspase-3 in the CA1 of the hippocampus and dentate gyrus group of COVID-19 group in comparison to the control group (P < 0.001).
On the other hand, a significant drop was observed in the Ki67 in the dentate gyrus of COVID-19 group (P < 0.001) (Fig. 6c).

COVID-19 changed morphological characteristics of pyramidal cells

Our results showed that morphological complexity of dendritic of pyramidal cells in COVID-19 infection was significantly reduced (P < 0.001) (Fig. 7a). Branching analysis showed that the percentage of primary arbores decreased (33%) while secondary and tertiary arbores increased (50% and 17% respectively) (Fig. 7b). Total dendritic length in COVID-19 infection significantly decreased (P < 0.001) (Fig. 7c). Investigation of dendrites with high magnification showed that the number of dendritic spin in COVID-19 infection significantly decreased (P < 0.001) (Fig. 7d).

Discussion

Due to the increase of CNS complications in COVID-19 patients, we anticipate potential enhancement in incidence of longer-term cognitive disorder affecting the ability to...
perform daily activities. Recovery after COVID-19 is mainly evaluated based on the improvement of respiratory symptoms; however, both animal and clinical investigations have demonstrated that coronaviruses can have a presence in the nervous system [41]. Therefore, at this time, studying potential long-term sequelae of COVID-19 is a priority.

Two groups of cells form the central nervous system: glial cells and neurons [62]. Glial cells, such as oligodendrocytes, microglia, and astrocytes, regulate the neuronal function [62, 63]. Astrocytes and microglia perform a variety of activities like innate immune reactions in the brain [64]. Results of Sholl analysis revealed a significant microglial activation in the brain of COVID-19 group, which was characterized by decreased number of microglial branches, NND, arbors area, regularity index, and process length, in addition to increased soma size. It was also determined that the number of primary branches of astrocytes had increased, while secondary and tertiary branches had reduced. Microglia will be activated following the pathophysiology of the disease and then the microglia exhibit neurotoxic or neuroprotective activity, which indicates the staging of the disease [65].
Fig. 6 Immunofluorescence staining of cleaved caspases-3 in tissue sections taken from the hippocampal pyramidal layer (a) and granular layer (b) of dentate gyrus, and neurogenesis factor Ki67 (c) in dentate gyrus of two groups of cases including control and COVID-19. The antibody in detecting cleaved caspases-3, and Ki67 are shown in right side (red is cleaved caspase-3 staining, green is Ki67, and total nuclei stained with DAPI are blue) (a and b), and the left graphs illustrate in the mean and standard error of cleaved caspase-3 and Ki67 marker expression in dentate gyrus. Asterisk (*) shows the difference between the COVID and the control group (*P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001). The values were expressed as means ± SEM. The values were expressed as means ± SEM.
The M1 phenotype activation can be stimulated by IFN-γ and lipopolysaccharide (LPS) [66], and functions as a defense system against tumor growth and pathogens; so, pro-inflammatory cytokines, free radicals, reactive oxygen species (ROS), and interleukins IL-12, IL-1β, STAT3, TNF-α, IL-23, IL-6, are generated along with the loss of neurons. M2 alternative/phenotype activation releases anti-inflammatory cytokines IL-13, IL-10, TGF-β, IL-4, causing tissue remodeling and angiogenesis, and pro-inflammatory cytokine generation is prevented [67, 68].

Similar to glial activation, according to the results of Sholl analysis, the astrocytic activation occurred in the brain of COVID-19 group, which was characterized by decreased number of astrocytic branches, NND, arbor area, regularity length (d) as a result of COVID-19. Asterisk (*) shows the difference between the COVID and the control group (*P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001). The values were expressed as means ± SEM. The values were expressed as means ± SEM.
index, and process length, in addition to increased soma size. It was also observed that the number of primary and tertiary branches of astrocytes had increased. Astrocytes can generate immunoregulatory or pro-inflammatory mediators based on the polarization phenotype as well as microglia [68].

Astrocytes deform in conditions like CNS disorders or injury and are altered to reactive astrogliosis. This status is defined by elevated astrocytic structural protein expression of vimentin and protein of glial fibrillary. Morphological alterations include the proliferation and hypertrophy of the cell body and help the formation of astrocyte scar in the injured tissues [69, 70]. Alterations in molecular morphology and expression of astrocytes measured by glial fibrillary acid protein (GFAP) may show the reactive astrogliosis severity, as a sign of CNS pathology [70]. Astrocytes defect in the early stage of injury like autoimmune encephalomyelitis and spinal cord injury that are associated with neuronal loss, exacerbated clinical results, blood brain barrier change, and neuroinflammation [71].

Inflammatory mediators produced by pro-inflammatory microglia, like TNF-α, IL-1α, C1q, and IL-1β, can activate pro-inflammatory astrocytes and stimulate a secondary inflammatory reaction [72, 73]. Harmful astrocytic signaling routes can be made by some other neurotrophins, cytokines, and sphingolipids (LacCer and sphingosine 1-phosphate) [71].

During neuroinflammation, astrocytes can upregulate tropomyosin receptor kinase B (TrkB) and the receptors for IL-17. Linking IL-17 to its receptors can lead to the generation of pro-inflammatory cytokines and uptake the nuclear factor kB (NFkB) activator 1 (Act1) [74]. TrkB-free conditioned mice may be safe against EAE-induced nerve damage, whereas TrkB stimulation by brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) has destructive impacts on nerve cells in the brain [64, 75]. However, astrocytes and microglia have numerous reactive phenotypes that have an association with the stage and type of regional location and neurological diseases [76–78]. In addition, alterations in astrocyte and microglia phenotypes, absence of neuroprotective activities, and increased neurotoxicity are complex processes and may vary with the severity and stage of neurodegenerative disorders. Thus, simple bipolar classification may not indicate the different phenotypes of astrocytes and microglia [76].

Immunohistochemical analysis against the cleaved caspase-3 marker in the CA1 field of the hippocampus indicated the death of pyramidal cells in this field in the COVID-19 group. Similarly, apoptosis of granular cells in this gyrus in the COVID-19 group was observed. It has been shown that neuroinflammation (following microglial and astrocytic activation) lead to ROS and oxidative stress are produced that begins the expression of IL-1β, phosphorylated-nuclear factor kappa B (p-NF-kB), and TNF-α, affecting the activity of hippocampal neuronal, causing lack of memory and learning, and neuronal apoptosis [79–81].

Furthermore, it has been revealed that neuroinflammation during AD leads to death of microglial cells from cleaved caspase-dependent apoptotic cells [69].

As a result, stereological analysis counting the number of CA1 neurons in the pyramidal layer of the hippocampus showed a significant drop in the COVID-19 group. The same drop was also observed in granular neurons of dentate in the COVID-19 group compared to the control. These findings point out to the detrimental effect of SARS-CoV-2-associated glial activation and further neuroinflammation and cell death.

Analysis of the Voronoi tessellation to examine the spatial distribution of cells in the pyramidal layer of the CA1 hippocampus depicted an alteration in the spatial distribution of cells in this hippocampal field. These alterations were as follows: due to the death of granular layer neurons, the specific space for each cell, mean of Voronoi polygon area, and spatial distribution of the neurons increased. Similar to CA1 neurons, analysis of the Voronoi tessellation in the granular layer of the dentate gyrus also depicted an alteration in the spatial distribution of these cells. These findings are justified by higher rates of apoptosis due to COVID-19 infection and further stereological disturbances observed in these patients.

Adult neurogenesis is considered a multi-step, complicated process involving new neuron formation in mammalian brain from residential neural stem cells (NSCs) in two of the neurogenic niches in CNS: subgranular zone (SGZ) of the dentate gyrus (DG) of hippocampal formation and subventricular zone (SVZ) in lateral ventricles (LVs). Previous studies have shown that new neurons produced through this activity have an important role in hippocampus-dependent memory and learning such as orientation and spatial memory and also neuronal injury improvement [82]. Immunohistochemical analysis was performed against the Ki67 marker, a marker of neurogenesis, in dentate gyrus. The dentate gyrus contains a population of neural stem cells that, by dividing and differentiating themselves, cause neurogenesis and memory.

Moreover, our analysis showed that neurogenesis was reduced in the COVID-19 group and that these neural stem cells may have undergone cell death. Adult neurogenesis might be affected by many extrinsic and intrinsic factors. Neurotrophins and growth factors, including BDNF, can stimulate neurogenesis, maturation, differentiation increasing, and survival of NSCs proliferation. Neuroinflammation, which are caused by microglial activation and the release of inflammatory cytokines such as TNF-α, IL-6, and IL-1β, has been demonstrated to prevent neurogenesis and decrease NSCs proliferation. The behavioral consequence of this process is a cognitive defect, caused by detriment to spatial memory and learning [83, 84]. Neural stem cell death as a
result of viral infections has been also reported in the olfactory epithelium (OE) and olfactory bulb (OB) [85]. Experimental investigations in rodents offer that the NSC mediated neurogenesis in OB and olfactory epithelium (OE) is vital for smell [86, 87], while the lack of olfactory neurogenesis as a result of pathogenic stimulus like oxidative stress and neuroinflammation is related to smell loss [88, 89]. It is noteworthy that neurogenesis regulation in OB changes negatively during viral infections and different neuropathogenic disorders such as PD [89–91]. Furthermore, Bassan et al. have demonstrated an association between adult neurogenesis and spatial memory neuroinflammation, in the STZ-ICV SAD model. Animals injected with streptozotocin demonstrated abnormalities in short-term spatial memory in Y and OLT maze and disturbances in long-term spatial memory during the contextual fear ventilation test 30 days after toxin injection. Some papers have demonstrated enhancement in neuroinflammation in the STZ SAD model, which is caused by reactive gliosis and also an elevation in the proinflammatory markers related to the cognitive decline [92–95].

Previous investigations have also exhibited a reduction in neurogenesis in the STZ model related to amyloid pathology [96], or oxidative stress [97], however the effect of neuroinflammation on neurogenesis and subsequent cognitive decline in the STZ model has not been well defined [83].

Golgi staining in the CA1 field of the hippocampus for pyramidal neurons revealed that the length of dendrites decreased in the CA1 pyramidal neurons in the COVID-19 group. On the other hand, the number of dendritic spines has decreased in the COVID-19 group. This decreased dendritic length and number of dendritic spines indicates a reduction in synaptic plasticity and consequently memory impairment in the COVID-19 group. In addition, results of Sholl analysis showed a reduction in the number of cellular branches of pyramidal neurons. This finding is justifiable by downregulation of ACE2 as a result of SARS-CoV-2 infection. In the case of the brain ACE2 system, the main impacts in the brain could be associated with the Ang (1–7)/Mas system. Most of the mediating effects of Ang (1–7) in the brain are probably based on the Mas receptor activation, because to the best of our knowledge, Mas is mainly expressed in the CNS in various adult brains [98]. These regions include regions related to olfaction or associated with the limbic system like the amygdala or the hippocampal formation. Mas has been reported to influence neuronal excitability in the brain [99], and the elimination of Mas has an effect on behavior [100]. In 2005, Ang(1–7) hippocampal long-term potentiation (LTP) was found to be increased by the Mas receptor [101], exhibiting that Ang(1–7)/Mas signaling affects neuronal plasticity. In addition, Mas receptor defects influence adult neurogenesis in the hippocampus, and a morphological association of hippocampal neuronal plasticity processes is related to memory and learning [102].

Moreover, it has been demonstrated that Ang (1–7)/Mas dependent alterations in neuronal plasticity may lead to behavioral changes. For example, it has been exhibited that the integrity of Ang (1–7)/Mas axis is needed to express the memory of object recognition, since Mas removal or occlusion in the CA1 region within the hippocampus, this type of memory will be disrupted in mice [103]. Ang (1–7) also affect anxiety-related behaviors. One study explained central injection of Ang (1–7) causes anxiolytic-like impacts in the enhanced plus maze [104]. In another investigation, a reduction in anxiety-like manner has been found in transgenic rats with chronic overgeneration of Ang (1–7) [105]. The ACE2 system plays a special role in neuronal plasticity and neuronal excitability in limbic system which is associated with changed mechanisms in behavior, memory, and learning [106].

In summary, it can be concluded that memory impairment may be a long-term neurological complication of COVID-19, which can be a predisposing factor for neurodegenerative disorders such as AD or other types of dementia. This memory impairment is mainly caused by neuroinflammation and further oxidative stress, which are mainly due to microglial and astrocitic activation. Such long-term neurological sequelae may also occur as a result of other viral infections and through a similar mechanism. For instance, the risk of parkinsonism has been reported to be higher in the survivors of influenza, which, similar to SARS-CoV-2, was responsible for a huge pandemic in the last century [107]. In order to establish immune barriers, it is necessary to encourage people to get vaccinated. When supplies are limited, distributing doses to the same number of people is a better strategy for limiting SARS-CoV-2 transmission. Despite vaccination, non-pharmaceutical intervention (NPI) is still needed for the prevention of worldwide outbreaks [108]. In sum, it seems necessary to prioritize research aimed at the study of long-term neurological sequelae, in order to rapidly develop preventive strategies.
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