ABSTRACT. The present study was aimed to determine the potential cultivated lands for rice crop production in Vietnam. Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) and the Technique for Order of Preference by Similarity to Ideal Solution (TOPSIS) were employed in order to analyze the structure of an ideal solution in agriculture that focuses mainly on environmental, economic, and social sustainability. A final ranking of alternative development solutions was also accomplished. Three major factors were taken into consideration during the process, including the economics, social concerns, and the environment, in order to develop a sustainable plan for rice and other grain crops in the future. The obtained results demonstrate that the area under investigation in Quang Tri province, which encompasses 192.49 km² of land area, was extremely conducive to growing rice crops, with the majority of the arable lands suitable for cultivating rice varieties concentrated in Trieu Long District (63.14 km²) and Hai Lang District (56.87 km²). The main findings of the present work indicated that, it can link decision makers with the influencing variables of rice crop growing utilizing a hybrid method that can be successfully used based on GIS technique. To expand production, chemical soil characteristics and agricultural development strategies should be investigated further, particularly in the studied areas with greater success potential.
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support the decision-making process to arrive at a decision that the panel members trust. Disagreements in judgments can indicate that the judgments can be adapted until the group members are satisfied with the decision outcomes (Hummel et al. 2014).

Over the last decade, a large number of studies, researches, institutes and projects have been carried out in an attempt to construct a comprehensive procedure for the optimal use of agricultural land (Jeffrey et al. 2009). Multiple criteria decision analysis (MCDA) has been considered the high feasible technique for solving complicated issues. MCDA techniques, such as analytic hierarchy process (AHP) based on GIS, is an effective framework to assess for the strategic placement of cropping (Seyedmohammadi et al. 2019). Many years ago, GIS-based research was carried out to develop new arable lands for the cultivation of rice and other crops in efforts of environmental conservation and efficient management of natural resources, which was proved essential for ensuring adequate food supplies and sustainability in agricultural development (Dengiz et al. 2013; Al-Yamani et al. 2013). The application of GIS and multi-indicator assessment techniques in land adaptation assessment have captivated numerous scientists the world over (Rahmanipour et al. 2014). There has been an urgent need to apply the principles of sustainable production to land resources and land use and to meet the requirements of society while conserving fragile ecosystems (FAO 1993). The potential and limitations of land usage for plant production were predicted through assessment of land suitability for cultivation in Azadshahr Township – Iran, utilizing an analytic hierarchy process (AHP). This study provides a special methodological approach to evaluation of suitable areas that can appear useful for decision makers and farmers to adopt as a planning (Maleki et al. 2017). AHP is becoming more and more utilitarian in agricultural planning. Vietnam located in tropical climatic zone and is evident to have had favorable conditions to grow agricultural products in various locations, including lowlands, mountainous areas, highland, and even coastal ecological sub-regions. Vietnam’s agricultural productivity has invariably maintained an average annual growth rate of approximately 3.5%, translating to one of the most significant proportions among all Asian countries in general. After a prolonged period of food scarcity since 1989, Vietnam has, since then, gradually become the world’s rice export powerhouse.

In Vietnam, initial research on applying GIS to land adaptive assessment was carried out in the 90s of the twentieth century. Studies have used GIS technology in zoning for either the adaptability or adaptation to land use types based on GIS application and MCA multi-standard analysis method in the AHP – IDM technique. Today, GIS and AHP technique have been proven effective for their application in agricultural production planning (Vy et al. 2014; Huynh et al. 2015; Chau et al. 2017; Dieu et al. 2107; Huynh et al. 2015).

Quang Tri is a region requiring an outsized amount of attention due to its outdated agriculture practices and low urbanization rate. Rice and crops are the major agricultural product in Quang Tri province (Quang Tri Statistical Office 2019). The needs for optimal land usage are of pivotal importance here due to various complicated social aspects and a broadly low domestic product in this province. Assessment of suitable land is therefore rendered largely necessary for rational management of land use in future planning. The present study is a novel, which focuses on the potential cultivated lands for rice crop production using Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) and Technique for Order of Preference by Similarity to Ideal Solution (TOPSIS) aiming to analyze in agriculture based on environmental, economic, and social sustainability. This study was aimed to modeling the land suitability for rice and crops affecting province’s ecological assets. The majority of these criteria have to be evaluated by linguistic terms rather than numerical values (Suder and Kahraman 2018).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area

A humid tropical climate, typical of the inner tropical ring of the Northern Hemisphere, prevails in Quang Tri, which receives significant influence from the sea. Mountains, hills, plains, sand dunes, and beaches make up the diverse topography, which is positioned in a northwest-southeast orientation and comprises primarily of mountains and hills. Mountainous landscape with altitudes ranging from 250 to 2000 meters. The landscape has been extremely dissected, with steep hills on all sides. The landscape is hilly, with altitudes varying from 50 to 250 meters above sea level (Fig. 1). Several crops, including rice, sweet potatoes, pineapple, soybeans, groundnuts, and melons, contribute to the province’s economic well-being.

Fig. 1. Location map of study area
Source: Map background is taken from Open Street Map (OSM), Arcgisonline, Google Map, Wmflabs… Administrative boundary data is compiled from Database of Global Administrative Areas, Global Map of Vietnam ©ISCGM, Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment – Vietnam
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The climate data was gathered from three weather stations located within the study province and its neighboring areas. The climatic means were calculated based on data from period of 2000-2008 years. The mapping of the climatic variables was completed with the help of the ArcGIS 10.0 program. During a survey conducted in April 2020, soil samples from 120 profiles around the province were gathered at random. Maps showing the geographical distribution of soil depth and waterlogging were created for the study provincial region. Vietnam's National Soil Maps (2010), which were used in the research, were acquired from the Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment's website. The elevation layer was obtained from the website (http://srtm.csi.cgiar.org/). With the help of ArcGIS 10.0, we were able to calculate the slope from the DEM (resolution 30x30m). It was possible to get land use data from Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment (MONRE, 2015), which contained presently rice-crop land that was gathered utilizing remote sensing images taken during the wet and dry seasons. These previously mentioned statistics were incorporated into the model in order to map the suitability of land for producing rice crops.

METHODOLOGY

Identification of criteria

Two groups of experts were designed as follows:

Group work N01 (GW1) was organized with members of the provincial local authorities and a research team to determine criteria that include environmental, economic, and social conditions influencing rice and crop land suitability. Upon discussion, criteria had been selected and included in questionnaire sent to committee (to 5 decision-makers: D1, D2, D3, D4, D5). The purpose of this work was to rank possible site alternatives (A1, A2, ..., A10) in the Quang Tri province for an ideal solution. This model used the TOPSIS method, which used multi-criteria from C1, C2, ..., C9 (Fig. 2, Table 3).

Group work N02 (GW2) was comprised of 10 members. Among these, there were 5 local experts and 5 local farmers who joined the council to determine the criteria for the natural conditions influencing the rice crop growth. Upon discussion, criteria were selected and included in the questionnaire, then delivered to the aforementioned experts and local farmers. The goal of this work is to weigh the criteria. Each criterion is categorized into four levels (S1, S2, S3, N) based on the ecological requirements of rice crop cultivation of the Instruction for agricultural production land evaluation of Vietnamese Standard ISO (8409:2012). The integration of AHP-GIS was used in this model. This approach may be graphically formulated as follows (Fig. 2, Table 9).

The results of two teams of researchers (GW1 and GW2) were explored in detail discussion.

The Technique for Order of Preference by Similarity to Ideal Solution (TOPSIS)

Step 1: Construct a set of criteria for evaluating rice-growing areas in Quang Tri. The information utilized in this study was gathered through in-depth interviews with members of the decision-making and site-selection committees. Following the decision-making process, criteria for evaluating the rice growing location in Quang Tri were established.

Step 2: Determine the relative importance of each criterion. Using a metaphor, each individual decision-making committee (D1, D2, D3, D4, D5) evaluates the significance of a set of evaluation criteria after creating a set of assessment criteria. The weights assigned to each standard were established.

Step 3: Calculate the average proportion of alternatives available based on each of the criteria. During this stage, the decision-making council evaluated the rating scale and significance size of each site. Applying the formula in Eq. (1):

\[
x_j = \frac{1}{k} \times (x_{j1} + x_{j2} + \ldots + x_{ij} + \ldots + x_{jk})
\]

Step 4: Calculate the weighted average of the results. The fuzzy-decision matrix with weights and normalization should be calculated. by formula in Eq. (2):

\[
w_j = \frac{1}{k} \times (w_{j1} + w_{j2} + \ldots + w_{jk})
\]

Step 5: Standardize the way in which alternatives are presented in relation to objective criteria. The result of the evaluation stage was used to obtain at the normalized values of choice Dt with respect to each criteria Cj for each Ai in this step.

Step 6: Calculate the value of the normalized standard in given case. The normalized scoring weight value Gi is obtained by multiplying the weight values of the criterion by the normalized rating weight value Gi. Formula in Eq. (3):

\[
G_j = x_j \times w_j, i = 1, \ldots, m, j = 1, \ldots, n
\]

Step 7: Calculate The optimal fuzzy positive ideal solution A+ and the optimal fuzzy negative ideal solution A- are selected (Table 1).

Fig. 2. The study process analysis
Step 8. Calculate the distance between each solution as well as the coefficients in close proximity by formula in Eq. (4):

\[
d^+_i = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{n} (g_{ij} - A^+)^2}
\]

\[
d^-_i = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{n} (g_{ij} - A^-)^2}
\]

The closeness coefficient (CCi) is used to evaluate which alternatives should be favoured in the ranking process. Formula in Eq. (5):

\[
CC_i = \frac{d^-_i}{d^-_i + d^+_i}
\]

Step 9: Determine the order in which alternatives should be ranked based on respective coefficients. This was the last step in the process of evaluating the alternatives. The best alternative sites have the highest closeness coefficients, while the worst alternative sites are the furthest away from the positive ideal solution and the closest to the negative ideal solution in terms of distance and closeness coefficients.

### Analytic hierarchy process (AHP)

A credit scoring model based on this expert judgement can be developed using a technique of analytic hierarchy process (AHP). For this type of model, the validation requirement can be problematic. The judgments made then converted into a scale of 1 to 9 that reflected the relative importance of one element over others in relation to attribute of the element in query (Saaty 2008; Saardchom 2012; Hummel et al. 2014; Table 2) In order to determine which criteria affect to land-use suitability for rice and crops, ten experts are invited to process to provide judgments on pair comparison question, which assisted in the determination of the importance of each criteria of natural conditions. Overall, priorities can be made through synthesising or pooling together the judgement made in the pairwise comparisons (Saardchom 2012). Consistency Ratio (CR) (< 10 %) was used to check the accuracy of comparisons (Malczewski 1999; Saaty 2000; Saardchom 2012; Bozdağ 2016; Maleki 2017).

Score for each criterion (X’i') on each land mapping unit was determined, importance of criteria i' are converted to criteria weights (W’i'), in which i' rang from 1 to m, where m was the total number of criteria involved in the process. The weighted linear combination of W’i' and X’i' give suitability index (SI) for each land mapping unit (LMU). By above process, land-use suitability map is produced. Score of each level criterion is computed for each LMU. These values are combined with the above mentioned overall weight to provide suitability value for each LMU corresponding to each land-use type. This process was done in ArcGIS through the composite map of land mapping units using the formula in Eq (6).

\[
SI = \sum_{i'=1}^{m} (W'_{i'} \times X'_{i'})
\]

According to the FAO (1993), the most suitable index was SI1 (with a value > 80%), followed by SI2 (40% -80%), which was a moderately suitable index, and then SI3 (20%-40%), which was a marginally suitable index, and finally N (with a value less than 20%), which was not a suitable index.

### Geographic Information System (GIS)

To estimate an appropriate piece of land, utilize aggregated values of inputs and weights. GIS and AHP were demonstrated in an evaluation of land suitability. The approach was originally designed as a logically and systemically quantitative framework, in which priorities are set, options are selected, and a connection is made with other participants. GIS technique was used to increase geographic fuzzy decision making. Using the structured AHP, expert perspectives are applied to establish the weights of given criteria. TOPSIS weights the potential for rice cultivation on the land to generate a ranking. GIS is used to run the Spatial Analyst module, and it is also used to determine suitability variables. This technique led to the assessment structure in Figure 3, 6. A questionnaire was used to gather information on importance of each criterion from local experts. Specifically, the goal of this study is to produce 13 component maps in raster format. All raster layers were targeted at WGS84-Zone 48N, and the AHP algorithm, which collected weights for all criteria, was used to apply the weights to the layers. The appropriateness of land for rice cultivation was determined by overlaying (superimposing) 13 raster component maps, which were created using ArcGIS 10.0 software. The map of land suitable for rice crops was finally obtained and categorized into four groups based on its classification (SI1, SI2, SI3, N).

### Table 1. Optimal dimming solution

|        | A+ | 1 | A- | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|--------|----|---|----|---|---|---|

### Table 2. Nine-point scale using in AHP

| Intensity of importance | Judgments of verbal attribute labels |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 9                       | Absolute importance                 |
| 7                       | Very strongly importance or preferred|
| 5                       | Strong importance or strongly preferred|
| 3                       | Weak importance, moderately important or preferred|
| 2, 4, 6, 8              | Intermediate values between adjacent judgments of two|
| 1                       | Equally preferred more important or preferred|

Source: Saaty 2008
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Evaluation of land suitability from the Group Working N°1 (GW1)

The factors influencing rice crop growth were determined using data from detailed interviews with committee members (D1, D2, D3, D4, D5). Table 3 displayed nine criteria that were pointed out by the council, including: Income from rice crop production (C1), Rate of return from rice crop production (C2), Local policy (C3), Financial resources (C4), Farmer skills (C5), Farming habits (C6), Labor force (C7), Technology improves rice production (C8), Natural condition (C9).

The regions included in the assessment are: A1: Dong Ha City, A2: Quang Tri Town, A3: Vinh Linh district, A4: Huong Hoa district, A5: Gio Linh district, A6: Dakrong district, A7: Cam Lo district, A8: Trieu Phong district, A9: Hai Lang district, A10: Con Co district. Table 3 shows the data obtained from discussions with committee members (D1, D2, ..., D5) to determine the factors affecting rice growing. Three major criteria for this assessment were: economic sustainability, social sustainability, and environmental sustainability. Nine sub-criteria were chosen to be involved in the model. Table 4 shows the weights of the standards (C1, C2, ..., C9). The data from detailed interviews with experts determined the factors affecting rice crop growing, Table 6 indicated that there were 4 main criteria obtained by the council, which were: Soil (C10), topography (C11), hydrology (C12), climatic characteristics (C13), 13 sub-criteria include: Soil type (C10.1), soil depth (C10.2), soil composition (C10.3), elevation (C11.1), slope (C11.2), terrain (C11.3), irrigation conditions (C12.1), flooding (C12.2), average annual rainfall (C12.3), average annual temperature (C13.1), annual maximum average temperature (C13.2), annual average minimum temperature (C13.3), number of sunny hours per month (C13.4).

Table 5 shows the distance values of each option (A1, A2, ..., A10) from the positive ideal and the negative ideal. The ultimate ranking of alternatives was decided by the closeness coefficients of the alternatives in question (CCi). The most suitable alternatives are those that are closest to the positive ideal. As measured by the closeness coefficient, the Hai Lang district rank was the highest for rice crops cultivation (CC(A9) : 0.6354), followed by Trieu Phong (CC(A8) : 0.6150), and Gio Linh (CC(A5) : 0.6025). These are districts that are less suitable for rice crops cultivation such as: Con Co district (CC(A10) : 0.5426), followed by Dakrong district (CC(A6) : 0.5563), Quang Tri Town (CC(A2) : 0.5669), Huong Hoa district (CC(A4) : 0.567), Dong Ha City (CC(A1) : 0.5712).

Evaluation of alternatives from the Group Working N°2 (GW2)

The data from detailed interviews with 10 experts determined the factors affecting rice crop growth. Table 6 indicated that there were 4 main criteria obtained by the council, which were: Soil (C10), topography (C11), hydrology (C12), climatic characteristics (C13); 13 sub-criteria include: Soil type (C10.1), soil depth (C10.2), soil composition (C10.3), elevation (C11.1), slope (C11.2), terrain (C11.3), irrigation conditions (C12.1), flooding (C12.2), average annual rainfall (C12.3), average annual temperature (C13.1), annual maximum average temperature (C13.2), annual average minimum temperature (C13.3), number of sunny hours per month (C13.4).

Table 3. Criteria involved in TOPSIS model

| Criteria          | Sub - Criteria         | Definition                                                                 |
|-------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Economic sustainability | C1 : Income from rice crop production | Income from rice crop production calculated by Vietnam (dong/ha per year) |
|                   | C2 : Rate of return from rice crop production | The net gain or loss of an investment over a specified time period |
| Society sustainability | C3 : Local policy | Local policy is a principle that guides local farmers making decisions result in positive outcomes enhance the living |
|                   | C4 : Financial resources of household | Household capital may be ready for use in agricultural investment. |
|                   | C5 : Farmer skills | Farmer skills are considered as the density of households who are proficient in farming |
|                   | C6 : Farming habits | The activity of working on a farm is ancient |
|                   | C7 : Labor force | Number of employees cultivating rice |
| Environmental sustainability | C8 : Technology | Technology improves rice-crop production |
|                   | C9 : Natural condition | General assessment of the potential of land, climate and water resources for rice production |

Table 4. Decision matrix using Fuzzy linguistic variables

| Criteria (Cij) | D1 | D2 | D3 | D4 | D5 | wij  |
|---------------|----|----|----|----|----|------|
| C1            | EI | EI | EI | EI | MI | (0.740, 0.860, 0.980) |
| C2            | MI | EI | EI | EI | EI | (0.680, 0.860, 0.980) |
| C3            | EI | EI | EI | MI | EI | (0.740, 0.860, 0.960) |
| C4            | MI | MI | EI | MI | EI | (0.620, 0.780, 0.920) |
| C5            | MI | MI | MI | MI | MI | (0.500, 0.700, 0.900) |
| C6            | EI | MI | MI | MI | MI | (0.560, 0.700, 0.900) |
| C7            | MI | MI | MI | MI | EI | (0.560, 0.740, 0.920) |
| C8            | MI | MI | MI | EI | MI | (0.560, 0.740, 0.940) |
| C9            | EI | MI | MI | EI | EI | (0.740, 0.860, 1.000) |

EI: extremely important, MI: Medium important
Table 5. The distance values and the final rankings

| Alternatives            | Separation from Positive Ideal solution (\(d^+\)) | Separation from Negative Ideal solution (\(d^-\)) | Closeness Coefficient (CCi) | Rank |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------|
| \(A_1\) (Hai Lang district) | 0.6906                                              | 1.2038                                            | 0.6354                      | 1    |
| \(A_2\) (Trieu Phong district) | 0.7498                                              | 1.1977                                            | 0.615                       | 2    |
| \(A_3\) (Gio Linh district)     | 0.7912                                              | 1.1993                                            | 0.6025                      | 3    |
| \(A_4\) (Vinh Linh district)     | 0.8172                                              | 1.2045                                            | 0.5958                      | 4    |
| \(A_5\) (Cam Lo district)       | 0.9087                                              | 1.223                                             | 0.5737                      | 5    |
| \(A_6\) (Dong Ha City)          | 0.9321                                              | 1.2416                                            | 0.5712                      | 6    |
| \(A_7\) (Huong Hoa district)     | 0.9368                                              | 1.2269                                            | 0.567                       | 7    |
| \(A_8\) (Quang Tri Town)        | 0.9436                                              | 1.2353                                            | 0.5669                      | 8    |
| \(A_9\) (Dakrong district)       | 0.9891                                              | 1.2399                                            | 0.5563                      | 9    |
| \(A_{10}\) (Con Co district)     | 1.0781                                              | 1.2787                                            | 0.5426                      | 10   |

Fig. 3. Map of main criteria assessment for rice crops in Quang Tri province, Soil (A); Topography (B); Hydrology (C); Climatic
### Table 6. Criteria involved in model of integration of GIS and AHP

| Criteria | Weight (W1) | CR | Sub - criteria | Weight (W2) | Category | Source |
|----------|-------------|----|----------------|-------------|----------|--------|
| C10: Soil | 0.399 | 0.0058 | Nh | Soil type group | 0.426 | Podzols (National soil type: Pb, Pf, Pg, Pe) | FAO, 1974, 1983, 1985, Vietnamese Standard ISO 8409:2012 |
| | | | Nh | Soil depth (cm) | 0.164 | > 100 | | Vietnamese Standard ISO 8409:2012 |
| | | | Nh | Soil texture | 0.138 | Loam, Clay loam | | Vietnamese Standard ISO 8409:2012 |
| | | | Nh | Fertilization | 0.272 | High | Eroded soil with bare gravel, rocks, rivers | Vietnamese Standard ISO 8409:2012 |
| C11: Topography | 0.104 | 0.0027 | Nh | Elevation | 0.293 | >3-10 | | Vietnamese Standard ISO 8409:2012 |
| | | | Nh | Slope (°) | 0.419 | 0-3 | | Vietnamese Standard ISO 8409:2012 |
| | | | Nh | Terrain | 0.288 | Dune | Very low, very high dune | Vietnamese Standard ISO 8409:2012 |
| C12: Hydrology | 0.408 | 0.0032 | Nh | Irrigation conditions | 0.523 | Active irrigation | Not irrigation | Vietnamese Standard ISO 8409:2012 |
| | | | Nh | Flooding | 0.257 | Not inundated | Not inundated | Rivers | Vietnamese Standard ISO 8409:2012 |
| | | | Nh | Average annual rainfall (mm) | 0.220 | > 2000 - 2500 | >1500 - 2000; > 2500 | < 1300 | Vietnamese Standard ISO 8409:2012 |
| C13: Climate | 0.089 | 0.0001 | Nh | Average annual temperature | 0.222 | >25-30 (°C) | > 20 – 25 (°C) | >30, > 15 - 20 (°C) | < 15 (°C) | Vietnamese Standard ISO 8409:2012 |
| | | | Nh | Annual maximum average temperature | 0.188 | > 30 – 35 (°C) | > 25 - 30 (°C) | > 20 - 25; >35 (°C) | < 20 (°C) | Vietnamese Standard ISO 8409:2012 |
| | | | Nh | Annual average minimum temperature | 0.188 | > 20 – 25 (°C) | > 15 - 20 (°C) | > 10 - 15, > 25 (°C) | < 10 (°C) | Vietnamese Standard ISO 8409:2012 |
| | | | Nh | Number of sunny hours per month | 0.402 | >200 | >150-200 | >100-150 | <100 | Vietnamese Standard ISO 8409:2012 |

### Table 7. Suitable land as assessed by main criteria

| Category | Soil | Water | Topography | Climate |
|----------|------|-------|------------|---------|
| | Area (Km²) | Area (%) | Area (Km²) | Area (%) | Area (Km²) | Area (%) |
| Highly suitable (SI1) | 419.07 | 8.83 | 75.11 | 1.58 | 87.75 | 9 |
| Moderately suitable (SI2) | 771.27 | 16.25 | 308.09 | 6.49 | 413.94 | 11 |
| Marginally suitable (SI3) | 3012.48 | 63.46 | 4199.17 | 88.46 | 4004.12 | 13 |
| Not suitable (N) | 544.17 | 11.46 | 164.62 | 3.47 | 133.76 | 67 |
For rice crops, the results of the pairwise comparisons revealed that hydrological criteria (0.408) has the greatest weighting followed by soil (0.399), topography (0.104), and climate (0.089) in order of importance (Table 6). There were a number of important factors to consider, including soil, topographic, and hydrological requirements. The hydrological criterion and irrigation conditions were the only two to receive significant attention, whereas the average annual rainfall appeared to take the least attention. The soil types and soil texture have the largest and lowest weights, respectively, among the overall soil sub-criteria. Additionally, the number of sunshine hours per month was the most important climatic sub-criteria to consider when describing land suitability for rice crop production among the climatic sub-criteria. Out of the four main criteria, there was a consistency ratio (CR) of 0.005 for the matrix. Once it related to soil, topographic, hydrological, and climatic conditions, the correlation coefficient values (CR) were 0.0058, 0.0027, 0.032, 0.0001, respectively. This demonstrated the accuracy of the matrix result are mentioned in (Table 6). Due to the fact that their threshold values were on the narrow side for rice crops, hydrological criteria (2% of the province's total area) and topographical criteria (9% of the province's total area) restrict the amount of appropriate land for rice crop production (Table 7, Fig. 3). It has been shown that irrigation conditions and soil type have a stronger influence on rice crop development than any of the other variables involved. It was discovered that 28.3% (192.49 km²) of the total field area in the study province was very favourable to the production of rice. The districts of Trieu Phong, Hai Lang, Vinh Linh, and Gio Linh had the largest appropriate land areas with areas of a very suitable index, 63.14 km², 56.87 km², 29.80 km², and 16.38 km², respectively (Table 8, Fig. 4). Kihoro, (2013) carried out a research work in Kenya’s Kirinyaga, Embu, and Mberee counties with a special emphasis on biophysical characteristics such as soil, climate, and terrain, which were taken into account for suitability analysis. In this study, topography and soil texture were 2 criteria that had the highest weight. Hydrology is what they are not took into consideration. Meanwhile, we consider that hydrology is the most essential option to address (highest weight). In Vietnam, the irrigation system remains underdeveloped and has not been modernised, the state of the irrigation system has an effect on rice production in the province. Some other studies on the evaluation of suitable potential areas for agricultural activities in the semi-arid terrestrial ecosystem in the Central Anatolia Region, terrain properties and soil features also were used to identify sites suitable for agriculture lands, the authors used both physical and chemical factors of soil to evaluate soil nutrition and soil quality index (Sezer et al 2014, Özkan

| Sites                            | High suitable area (km²) | Percentage of high suitable area per currently field rice crop | Rank focus on coverage of large area suitable |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| A⁰ (Trieu Phong district)        | 63.14                    | 69.13                                                         | 1                                             |
| A⁰ (Hai Lang district)           | 56.87                    | 53.49                                                         | 2                                             |
| A⁰ (Vinh Linh district)          | 29.80                    | 16.18                                                         | 3                                             |
| A⁰ (Gio Linh district)           | 16.38                    | 18.36                                                         | 4                                             |
| A⁰ (Cam Lo district)             | 14.72                    | 19.66                                                         | 5                                             |
| A⁰ (Quang Tri town)              | 7.08                     | 78.63                                                         | 6                                             |
| A⁰ (Dong Ha city)                | 6.05                     | 26.96                                                         | 7                                             |
| A⁰ (Dakrong district)            | 1.04                     | 2.55                                                          | 8                                             |
| A⁰ (Huong Hoa district)          | 0.10                     | 0.14                                                          | 9                                             |
| A⁰ (Con Co district)             | 0                        | 0.00                                                          | 10                                            |

Fig. 4. (A) The actual currently rice fields and other crops land; (B) Land suitable area for rice crop
et al 2020, Seyedmohammadi 2019, Rath et al 2018). The information was gathered through a variety of methods, including literature reviews, a structure-questionnaire, focus group discussions with local stakeholders, and individual interviews, all of which were conducted using the techniques of Participatory Rapid Appraisal and conceptual content analysis to gather the information. As a consequence, the diagnostic criteria for sustainable land management were developed in accordance with the framework for assessing sustainable land management, and they are as follows: productivity, security, protection, viability, and acceptability (Rath et al 2018). It is possible to apply and assess the sustainability indicators identified in these study in rice crop production regions of our study, which might result in the development of a strategic plan and increased sustainability in the future. Seyedmohammadi (2019) developed a similar model using the soil physical criteria. But erosion degree criteria were used instead of hydrology criteria. In high elevations, the source of erosion is rainfall; however, in lower elevations, the source of erosion is the river and creeks. Thus, the selection factors for evaluation are quite diverse. However, farmers are the most important people who have an understanding of their work. As a result, the findings of this study reflect cultural farming, with locals focusing on such criteria when deciding where to farm.
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