Blind study evaluation illustrates utility of the Ion PGM™ system for use in human identity DNA typing

**Aim** To perform a blind study to assess the capability of the Ion Personal Genome Machine® (PGM™) system to sequence forensically relevant genetic marker panels and to characterize unknown individuals for ancestry and possible relatedness.

**Methods** Twelve genomic samples were provided by a third party for blinded genetic analysis. For these 12 samples, the mitochondrial genome and three PGM™ panels containing human identity single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), ancestry informative SNPs, and short tandem repeats (STRs) were sequenced on the PGM™ system and analyzed.

**Results** All four genetic systems were run and analyzed on the PGM™ system in a reasonably quick time frame. Completeness of genetic profiles, depth of coverage, strand balance, and allele balance were informative metrics that illustrated the quality and reliability of the data produced. SNP genotypes allowed for identification of sex, paternal lineage, and population ancestry. STR genotypes were shown to be in complete concordance with genotypes generated by standard capillary electrophoresis-based technologies. Variants in the mitochondrial genome data provided information on population background and maternal relationships.

**Conclusion** All results from analysis of the 12 genomic samples were consistent with sample information provided by the sample providers at the end of the blinded study. The relatively easy identification of intra-STR allele SNPs offered the potential for increased discrimination power. The promising nature of these results warrants full validation studies of this massively parallel sequencing technology and its further development for forensic data analysis.
The advent of massively parallel sequencing (MPS) technologies offers an alternative to current DNA typing methods. Comprehensive coverage of multiple forensically relevant genetic markers made possible by MPS technologies can provide a wealth of data for use in criminal investigations (1-6). While short tandem repeats (STRs) have been the primary marker system for human identity typing due to their polymorphic nature and high discrimination power, MPS allows for the examination of repeat and sequence variants in these STRs and for the inclusion of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and mitochondrial DNA into the analysis pipeline. Using MPS to analyze STRs allows for the exact sequence of each allele to be obtained and for SNPs to be identified within the STR repeat structure. These intra-STR SNPs offer greater resolving power when analyzing mixtures and performing kinship analyses (1,4,7).

Alternative marker types can facilitate analysis of degraded or low template samples. SNPs and mitochondrial DNA can aid in the analysis of degraded and low quantity samples. SNPs reflect a single base change, thus short amplicons can be used in their analysis (2,8). Sequencing the entire mitochondrial genome allows a greater discrimination power to be obtained and more accurate haplogroup assignments to be generated (3,5). This capability allows for better population background predictions and identification of maternal lineage relationships. The multitude of SNPs provides information on identity, ancestry, and lineage, which can help produce investigative leads that were not previously possible (6,8-11).

The Ion Torrent Personal Genome Machine® (PGM™) (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA USA) is one available MPS benchtop platform. The PGM™ is a high-throughput sequencer that employs semiconductor-sequencing technology (12). This sequence-by-synthesis chemistry measures the release of hydrogen ions as nucleotides are incorporated into the growing DNA strand. The PGM™ measures the associated, real-time pH change of the surrounding solution on a semiconductor chip thereby allowing for direct translation of chemically-encoded information into digital information (12). This process uses customized chemistries in a laboratory workflow that enables high-throughput and fast run times at a reasonable cost. In fact, the PGM™s read length, sequencing time, running costs, and scalability lend itself to effective incorporation into diagnostic workflow of the forensic laboratory.

Twelve genomic samples were provided by a sample exchange group through the Green Mountain DNA Conference for a blinded genetic study. These samples were used to evaluate the potential of MPS on the PGM™ system to reliably analyze unknown samples, based on the self-declared information of the donors of these samples. The mitochondrial genome, identity SNPs, ancestry informative SNPs, and STRs (the latter three enabled by using PGM™ panels) were sequenced on the PGM™ system and resultant data were analyzed for these 12 samples.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Samples

The study was conducted in June of 2014. Twelve blinded genomic DNA samples were kindly provided by George Duncan, Ron Fourney, and Bruce McCord for this study. The samples were obtained under informed consent from volunteers at the Broward Sheriff’s Office. Additionally, the policies and procedures approved by the Institutional Review Board for the University of North Texas Health Science Center in Fort Worth, TX were followed. These single-source samples arrived with arbitrarily assigned Sample Identification Numbers that were used to delineate the samples throughout the study. Extraction and quantification were completed by the sample providers using a QiaGen EZ1 Advanced robot with a Qiagen DNA Investigator kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) and a Promega Plexor HY real-time kit (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) on a 7500 Real Time instrument (Thermo Fisher Scientific), respectively. The concentrations of these samples ranged from 6.1 nanograms (ng)/microliter (μL) to 40 ng/μL, and a total volume of 7 μL per sample was provided.

Capillary electrophoresis concordance data

Conventional STR typing was performed on the 12 genomic samples using the AmpFISTR® Identifiler® Plus PCR Amplification Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and the AmpFlSTR® Yfiler® PCR Amplification Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and one nanogram of DNA for each reaction per the recommended manufacturer’s protocols (13,14). The GeneAmp® PCR System 9700 thermal cycler (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used for PCR amplification. Electrophoresis was completed on an ABI Prism® 3100xl Genetic Analyzer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Raw data were analyzed with GeneMapper® ID software v3.2.1 (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

SNPs

HID-Ion AmpliSeq™ Identity Panel and HID-Ion AmpliSeq™ Ancestry Panel. The HID-Ion AmpliSeq™ Identi-
tity Panel (Thermo Fisher Scientific) provides primers for amplification of 90 autosomal SNPs and 34 upper Y-clade SNPs (15). The average amplicon size for the autosomal SNPs is 132 base-pairs (bp), and the average amplicon size for the Y-SNPs is 141 bp (15). Additional information about this SNP panel can be found on Ion Community (http://www.lifetechnologies.com/us/en/home/industrial/human-identification/next-gen-sequencing-for-forensics/hid-ion-ampliseq-identity-panel.html). The HID-Ion AmpliSeq™ Ancestry Panel (Thermo Fisher Scientific) contains primers for amplification of 165 autosomal SNPs with an average amplicon size of 130 bp (15). Additional information about this SNP panel can be found on Ion Community (http://www.lifetechnologies.com/us/en/home/industrial/human-identification/next-gen-sequencing-for-forensics/hid-ion-ampliseq-ancestry-panel.html).

Library preparation. Libraries for the two SNP panels were prepared and sequenced separately. One ng of input genomic DNA from each sample was used for library preparation. PCRs were completed using the Ion AmpliSeq™ Library Kit 2.0 (Thermo Fisher Scientific), the HID-Ion AmpliSeq™ Identity Panel or HID-Ion AmpliSeq™ Ancestry Panel (Thermo Fisher Scientific), a GeneAmp® PCR System 9700 thermal cycler, and the manufacturer’s recommended protocol (15). The remainder of the library preparation process was completed following manufacturer's recommended protocols (15). Final libraries for each sample were pooled in equal volume amounts, and 10 μL of the pooled library were used in the proceeding steps.

Emulsion PCR and enrichment. The pooled library was clonally amplified on Ion Sphere™ Particles (ISPs) in an emulsion PCR. The emulsion PCR was completed using the Ion PGM™ Template OneTouch™ 2 200 Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and the Ion OneTouch™ 2 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) following the manufacturer’s recommended protocols (16). The enrichment of the template-positive ISPs was assessed using the Ion Sphere™ Quality Control Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) on the Qubit® 2.0 Fluorometer.

Sequencing and data analysis. Samples were sequenced and analyzed as previously described for the SNP libraries using an Ion PGM™ Template OneTouch™ 2 400 Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) instead of a 200 bp kit (21).

STRs

HID-Ion STR 10-plex Panel. The HID-Ion STR 10-plex Panel (prototype from Thermo Fisher Scientific) contains primers for amplification of the amelogenin marker and nine STR markers [CSF1PO, D16S539, D3S1358, D5S818, D7S820, D8S1179, TH01, TPOX, and vWA]. Amplicon size for this panel ranges from 75-170 bp (1).

Library preparation, emulsion PCR, and enrichment. One ng of input genomic DNA from each sample was used for STR library preparation. PCRs were completed using the STR 10-plex PCR kit from Ion Torrent (Thermo Fisher Scientific), a GeneAmp® PCR System 9700 thermal cycler, and the manufacturer’s recommended protocol (20). The remainder of the library preparation process was completed following manufacturer's recommended protocols (20). Final libraries for each sample were pooled in equal volume amounts, and 6 μL of the pooled library were used in the emulsion PCR. Emulsion PCR and enrichment of the amplified library were completed as previously described for the SNP libraries using an Ion PGM™ Template OneTouch™ 2 400 Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) instead of a 200 bp kit (21).

Sequencing and data analysis. Samples were sequenced and analyzed as previously described for the SNP libraries using an Ion PGM™ Sequencing 400 Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) instead of a 200 bp kit and the HID_STR_Genotyper (v2.0) plugin vs the SNP specific plugin (22). Additionally, fastQ files generated with the Torrent Suite software were analyzed with the STR Allele Identification Tool – Razor (STRait Razor) (23,24) to verify the accuracy of the allele calls made by the HID_STR_Genotyper plugin.

Whole mitochondrial genome

Library preparation, emulsion PCR, and enrichment. One ng of input genomic DNA from each sample was used for sequencing the entire mitochondrial genome. Library
preparation was completed as described by Seo et al with the exception of the size selection step (25,26). The barcoded library was subjected to size-selection for fragments 400 bps in length using E-Gel® SizeSelect Agarose Gels (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The final size-selected and barcoded library was amplified using materials provided in the Ion Plus Fragment Library Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 25 μL of library, 8 PCR cycles, a GeneAmp® PCR System 9700 thermal cycler, and recommended protocols (27). The amplified library was quantified and diluted to a concentration of 26 pM following manufacturer’s recommended protocols (28). Libraries for each sample were pooled in equal volume amounts. Ten μL of the pooled library were used in the emulsion PCR. Emulsion PCR and enrichment of the amplified library were completed as previously described for the SNP libraries using an Ion PGM™ Template OneTouch™ 2 400 Kit instead of a 200 bp kit (21).

**Sequencing and data analysis.** Samples were sequenced and analyzed as previously described for the SNP libraries with the following exceptions: an Ion PGM™ Sequencing 400 Kit (22) was used; the variantCaller plugin (v4.0-r76860) replaced use of the HID_SNPGenotyper plugin; and data were aligned to the revised Cambridge Reference Sequence (rCRS). Additionally, the variant call format (vcf) output files of the variant Caller plugin were used in mitoSAVE to generate haplotype calls in standard forensic conventions and output of a HaploGrep compatible file (29). HaploGrep (30) and EMMA (31) were used for haplogroup assignment. Circos plots were generated using Circos v0.64 (32).

**Final statistical analysis**

Strand balance was calculated by dividing the coverage of one strand, showing lower coverage, by the coverage of the other strand, showing higher coverage, (eg, 450 × /500 × = 90%; 100% indicating equal coverage). Allele coverage ratios (ACRs) were calculated by dividing the coverage of one allele by the total coverage at that locus (eg, 450 × /970 × = 46%; 50% indicating equal coverage). Sequence coverage ratios used the bp sequence of the STR alleles vs the repeat structure of the alleles. Sequence coverage ratios were calculated by dividing the number of reads used to make nominal repeat length allele calls by the total number of reads at that marker. Genotypes from the Y-SNPs were used to identify manually each male’s Y-clade according to Y-DNA Haplogroup Tree 2013 (33) and provided population background information for the paternal side of their family.

**RESULTS**

**Library information**

The sequencing runs for the Identity SNPs, Ancestry SNPs, STRs, and mitochondrial genome generated 330 Mbps, 349 Mbps, 247 Mbps, and 958 Mbps of sequence data, respectively. Taking into account a predicted error rate of one percent (Q20), the sequencing runs for the Identity SNPs, Ancestry SNPs, STRs, and mitochondrial genome produced 243 Mbps, 255 Mbps, 128 Mbps, and 798 Mbps of sequence data, respectively. The mean read length of the Identity SNPs, Ancestry SNPs, STRs, and mitochondrial genome libraries was 93 bps, 84 bps, 105 bps, and 201 bps, respectively.

**HID-Ion AmpliSeq™ Identity Panel**

The HID-Ion AmpliSeq™ Identity Panel enables typing of 90 autosomal SNPs and 34 upper Y-clade SNPs. Genotypes were generated for all 124 Identity SNPs on all male samples and for all 90 autosomal Identity SNPs on all female samples. The performance of the autosomal SNPs and Y-SNPs in the Identity Panel was analyzed separately. The average depth of coverage across the 90 autosomal SNPs in the Identity panel was 2233 ×. The coverage for each marker fell within two standard deviations of the mean (569 × - 3898 ×), indicated by the horizontal bars in Figure 1A. Eighty-nine of the 90 (99%) autosomal SNPs fell within the 60%-100% strand balance range (Figure 1B). One-hundred percent of the autosomal SNPs had an ACR of 30%-50% (Figure 1C). The Y-SNPs yielded an average depth of coverage of 975 ×. The coverage for each marker fell within two standard deviation of the mean (272 × - 1678 ×), indicated by the horizontal bars in Figure 1D. Thirty-three of the 34 (97%) Y-SNPs fell within the 60%-100% strand balance range (Figure 1E).

In addition to evaluating the overall performance of the Identity panel, genotypes from the Identity SNPs were used to provide information about each of the 12 samples analyzed. The Y-SNPs, in particular, are useful for identifying sex, familial relationships, and paternal lineage. Out of the 12 samples analyzed, 5 donors were identified as male and 7 donors as female (Table 1). Differences in the Y-SNP haplotypes among the males indicated that there was no evidence of paternal relationships among them (Table 2). The Y-SNP data also were used to identify each male’s Y-clade and provide paternal lineage population affinity information (Table 1).
FIGURE 1. Average depth of coverage (A), strand balance (B), and allele coverage ratios (C) for the autosomal single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the HiD-Ion AmpliSeq™ Identity Panel. Average depth of coverage (D) and strand balance (E) for the Y-SNPs in the HiD-Ion AmpliSeq™ Identity Panel. Average depth of coverage (F), strand balance (G), and allele coverage ratios (H) for the SNPs in the HiD-Ion AmpliSeq™ Ancestry Panel. Horizontal bars in the Average Coverage graphs represent two standard deviations from the mean.
HID-Ion AmpliSeq™ Ancestry Panel

The HID-Ion AmpliSeq™ Ancestry Panel enables typing of 165 autosomal SNPs. Genotypes were generated for all 165 SNPs on all 12 samples. The average depth of coverage across the 165 SNPs in the Ancestry Panel was 1511 ×. The coverage for each marker fell within two standard deviations of the mean (240× - 2783×), indicated by the horizontal bars in Figure 1F. One-hundred fifty-five of the 165 (94%) SNPs had an ACR of 70%-100% (Figure 3A). Sequence coverage ratios allow for examination of the percentage of unique sequence reads associated with allelic calls vs the unique sequence reads associated with stutter, noise, or PCR/sequencing errors. Sequence coverage ratios for STR markers ranged from 50%-100% (Figure 3B). Finally, sequence variants were identified among the alleles of 3 STR markers (D3S1358, D8S1179, vWA) analyzed in this study. Two different variants each were observed for alleles 14, 15, and 16 of the vWA locus, and 3 variants each were observed for alleles 15 and 16 of the D3S1358 locus.

**TABLE 1.** Sex identifications, bioancestry, and haplogroup assignments generated in blind study

| Sample | Sex* | Y-clade/region† | Biogeographic ancestry from ancestry informative markers (AIMs)§ | Mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) haplogroup§ |
|--------|------|-----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1      | Male | R1b/West Asia, Russian Plain, or Central Asia | European | Jc5 |
| 3      | Female | - | European | H3b |
| 4      | Male | Q/Central Asia, the Indian Subcontinent, Siberia | Asian | U7b |
| 5      | Female | - | European | H6a1b4 |
| 6      | Male | J/Arabian Peninsula | European | H33 |
| 7      | Male | O2/Asia | Asian | M7b1a1c1 |
| 10     | Female | - | European | H5n |
| 13     | Female | - | African American | L2a1f |
| 14     | Female | - | African admix | H1c |
| 15     | Female | - | African admix | H1c |
| 16     | Male | E/Africa | African | L3e1a1a |
| 17     | Female | - | European | H1c |

*Sex identifications made based on presence or absence of Y-single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs).
†Bioancestry assignments based on Y-SNPs in the Identity Panel.
§Population assignments based on SNPs in Ancestry Panel.
¶Haplogroup assignments and maternal lineage with mtDNA.

**TABLE 2.** Y-single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) results for the 5 male samples

| Sample | rs2534636 | rs35284970 | rs9786184 | rs9786139 | rs16981290 | rs17250845 | L298P256 | P202 | rs17306671 | rs4141886 | rs2032595 | rs2032602 | rs2032624 | rs2032636 | rs9341278 | rs2032658 | rs2319818 | rs17269816 | rs17842518 | rs13447443 | rs13911 | rs2033003 |
|--------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|----------|-------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|----------|-----------|
| 1      | C          | C          | A          | G          | G          | C          | G        | T     | T          | G          | T          | T          | T          | A          | T          | T          | A          | T          | G         | G         | G         | C         |          |
| 4      | C          | C          | C          | G          | T          | G          | T         | T     | T          | G          | G          | G          | G          | C          | C          | C          | G          | A          | T          | T          | A          | G         |          |
| 6      | C          | C          | C          | C          | G          | C          | T         | G     | T          | A          | T          | T          | G          | A          | G          | A          | G          | G          | C          | A         |          |          |          |
| 7      | C          | C          | C          | G          | A          | G          | T         | T     | T          | G          | T          | G          | C          | A          | C          | G          | A          | C          | G         | T         | C         | G         |          |
| 16     | C          | C          | C          | A          | C          | G          | T         | G     | G          | T          | G          | T          | G          | C          | A          | C          | T          | A          | G         | T         | C         | T         | A         |          |
For alleles 12, 13, and 14 of the D8S1179 locus there were 2, 3, and 2 varying sequences, respectively. As an example of the variation, the three varying sequences seen for the 13 allele for the D8S1179 marker are listed in Table 4.

Whole mitochondrial genome sequencing

The mitochondrial genome was sequenced for the 12 individuals included in this study, and depth of coverage across the entire mitochondrial genome ranged from 489-7029× (Figure 4A). Coverage plots from IGV showed areas of consistently high and low coverage across all individuals similar to that observed by Seo et al and King et al (3,26). Strand balance across the mitochondrial genome ranged from 30%-86%, a range also similar to that observed by Seo et al and King et al (Figure 4B) (3,26). Since mitochondrial DNA is a circular genome, a Circos plot was created to illustrate the depth of coverage, sequence variants identified, and strand balance of the mitochondrial genome sequence data (Figure 4C). A minimum coverage threshold of 10x was set for mitochondrial DNA variant calls. These variant calls were output in the form of a vcf file and used to generate haplotype calls. Haplotype calls generated by mitoSAVE were input into HaploGrep and EMMA for haplogroup assignments (Table 1). Both analysis methods produced concordant haplogroup assignments. Three of the 12 individuals had the same haplotype (and thus haplogroup; ie, haplogroup H1c), suggesting a potential maternal relationship among them (Table 1).

Table 3. Short tandem repeat (STR) profiles of the 12 samples sequenced in this study

| Sample | Locus | Length | Allele | Counts |
|--------|-------|--------|--------|--------|
| 1      | AMEL  | CSF1PO | D16S539| D3S1358| D5S818 | D7S520 | D8S1179 | TH01   | TPOX   | vWA    |
| X,Y    | 11,11 | 8,12   | 16*,17 | 12,12  | 8,10   | 13,13  | 8,9,3   | 10,12  | 17,18  |
| X      | 10,11 | 12,12  | 15,18  | 9,11   | 11,12  | 12*,13 | 9,3,9,3 | 11,11  | 16,17  |
| Y      | 12,15 | 12,13  | 16*,17 | 10,12  | 10,10  | 11,15  | 9,9,3   | 8,8    | 16,17  |
| X      | 11,11 | 10,12  | 15,15  | 11,12  | 10,11  | 12*,13 | 6,7     | 8,8    | 15,19  |
| Y      | 11,12 | 10,11  | 15,17  | 11,13  | 8,11   | 12*,13 | 7,9,3   | 8,11   | 16*,17 |
| X,Y    | 10,12 | 9,12   | 15*,16 | 9,10   | 11,11  | 12*,13 | 6,9     | 10,11  | 14,18  |
| X      | 12,12 | 11,14  | 16,18  | 11,11  | 10,11  | 11,13  | 7,9     | 9,11   | 14*,15 |
| X      | 12,12 | 11,12  | 16*,17 | 12,13  | 10,11  | 14*,14*| 6,7     | 9,11   | 15,18  |
| X      | 12,13 | 9,12   | 16*,17 | 12,12  | 8,8    | 13*,13*| 6,8     | 8,9    | 15,17  |
| X      | 12,12 | 9,13   | 16,17  | 11,12  | 8,9    | 10,13  | 6,9     | 9,9    | 15,16  |
| X,Y    | 11,13 | 9,9    | 15,17  | 12,13  | 8,11   | 12,13  | 6,8     | 8,11   | 15,17  |
| X      | 10,12 | 11,12  | 15*,16 | 12,13  | 8,8    | 13*,13*| 7,8     | 8,9    | 17,19  |

*Indicates the presence of a sequence variant in this allele within or among individuals.

Table 4. An example of the sequence variations observed among one allele in the short tandem repeat (STR) marker D8S1179

| Sample | Locus | Length | Allele | Counts |
|--------|-------|--------|--------|--------|
| 1      | D8S1179| 52     | 13     | 7548   |
| 14     | D8S1179| 52     | 13     | 7232   |
| 14     | D8S1179| 52     | 13     | 6072   |
| 17     | D8S1179| 52     | 13     | 3906   |
| 17     | D8S1179| 52     | 13     | 3179   |
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Identifying familial relationships

Previously discussed genotype data from the Identity SNPs and STRs allowed for expansion and refinement of relationships indicated by mitochondrial DNA sequence data. Sample 14 was found to be the mother of sample 15 and the daughter of samples 16 and 17. Likelihood ratios were generated for this pedigree (true pedigree vs four unrelated individuals) with in-house Thermo Fisher Scientific software. STR profiles produced a combined likelihood ratio of 300 million. SNP profiles produced a combined likelihood ratio of 3.34 E46, assuming independence among the SNPs. These likelihood ratios strongly supported this pedigree structure. Population background information generated by the Ancestry SNP panel also supported this pedigree structure.

Concordance with data provided at end of blinded study

After completing the genetic analyses, the sample providers supplied all sample source data that had been collected on these 12 individuals, which then were compared with the genetic data generated in this blind study (Table 5).

![Figure 3](image-url)  
**Figure 3.** Allele coverage ratios (A) and sequence coverage ratios (B) for the markers in the HiD-Ion STR 10-plex panel. Error bars represent standard deviation.

| #  | Maternal lineage | GM | Paternal lineage | GM | Biogeographic ancestry | GM |
|----|------------------|----|------------------|----|------------------------|----|
| 1  | European         | Western Europe | West Asia, Russian Plain, or Central Asia | American/Western Europe | European | Caucasian American |
| 3  | European         | Southern Europe | Unknown | Southern Europe | European | Caucasian Southern Europe |
| 4  | European*        | Southern Asia* | Central Asia, the Indian Subcontinent, Siberia | Southern Asia | Asian | Southern Asia |
| 5  | European*        | Central Caribbean* | Unknown | Central Caribbean | European* | Central Caribbean* |
| 6  | European         | Southern Europe | Arabian Peninsula | Southern Europe | European | Southern Europe |
| 7  | Asian            | Eastern Asia | Asia | Eastern Asia | Asian | Eastern Asia |
| 10 | European         | Eastern Europe | Unknown | Caucasian American | European | Caucasian American/Eastern Europe |
| 13 | African          | African American | Unknown | African American | African American | African American |
| 14 | European         | Eastern European | Unknown | Central Caribbean | African admix | American/Central Caribbean |
| 15 | European         | Central Caribbean/Eastern European | Unknown | South American | African admix | South American/Central Caribbean |
| 16 | African          | Central Caribbean/African | Africa | Central Caribbean/African | African | Central Caribbean/African |
| 17 | European         | Eastern European | Unknown | Eastern European | European | Eastern European |

*Indicates slight discrepancies found in population background assignments supported by genetic data and population background initially supplied by the GM sample providers.
While primarily concordant, the two data sets exhibited a few discrepancies (Table 5), and in an effort to understand these discrepancies, additional information was requested from the sample providers. As an example, the donor of sample five self-reported as being from a Central Caribbean population, while the genetic data supported that she fit better with a European background. Consistent with the genetic data, this individual’s self-declared phenotype (brown hair, hazel eyes, white fair skin complexion) and extended pedigree (maternal great-grandparents are from Spain and paternal great-grandparents are from Spain, France, and Cuba) supported a European background (Figure 5). These overall results demonstrated the robustness of the genetic data that were generated.

**FIGURE 5.** Results with apparent discordance at sample five that eventually were supported with additional pedigree meta data.

**DISCUSSION**

This blinded genetic study evaluated the utility of the PGM™ system in sequencing and analyzing large, forensically relevant genetic marker panels. Four genetic marker systems were run manually on the PGM™ in about one week’s time by one individual. Information obtained from typing these markers is useful in delineating the identity, sex, population ancestry, and familial relationships of unknown persons. Completeness of genetic profiles, depth of coverage, strand balance, and allele balance were evaluated as informative metrics for the quality and reliability of the data produced, and each metric indicated that thorough and accurate data had been generated. Additionally, all results from analysis of the 12 genomic samples were consistent with sample source information provided by sample providers at the end of the blinded study. While limited to only 12 individuals, this study demonstrated the feasibility of typing a large multiplex of forensically relevant genetic markers on the PGM™ system.

Full profiles were obtained for all 12 samples with SNP, STR, and mitochondrial DNA marker systems. Only 1 ng of DNA was used to prepare each library, and results showed there was consistently high coverage across all markers analyzed. Successful typing at 1 ng of input DNA and relatively high coverage suggest that this MPS technology has the potential sensitivity of detection that could reach the same level of current DNA typing technologies. While there was
little variation in coverage among samples, there was some variation in coverage among the different markers. These differences in coverage from marker to marker are likely due primarily to differences in PCR amplification efficiency. However, the dynamic range for varied coverage is accommodated better with MPS systems than with CE-based systems. With the latter methodology, a multiplex marker system with some markers having very high signal output can impact substantially the signal of low signal markers. High and low signal markers with MPS data can be tolerated without distortion of signal output. The differences in coverage seen in autosomal SNPs vs Y-SNPs in the Identity Panel likely can be attributed to the additional coverage the autosomal SNPs receive in females due to the lack of a Y-chromosome. This trend also can be seen in the differences in autosomal SNP coverage between males and females. For example, rs1490413, an autosomal SNP in the Identity Panel, had an average coverage of 2385 × (±613) in males vs an average coverage of 2703 × (±675) in females. Strand balance calculations showed that coverage was obtained from both strands of the DNA for each marker set, reinforcing the accuracy of the genotype calls. ACRs displayed well-balanced data for all the markers analyzed indicating that the risk of allele drop-out was low for the amount of input DNA used in the study. The complete profiles, coverage, strand balance, and allele coverage ratios seen in this study support robust and reliable data.

In this study, population ancestry assignments were limited to major populations. This process is marker dependent, reference population dependent, and software dependent. Limiting the assignments to major populations allowed for higher confidence in assignments. Despite these efforts, slight discrepancies were found in the population background assignments supported by genetic data and the population background reported by the donors of the samples (Table 5). As previously stated, further evaluation of the individual’s self-declared phenotype and pedigree helped resolve these discrepancies. However, in studies such as these, care must be taken to recognize that biogeography does not always equate to bioancestry. Additionally, admixed individuals and population assignment is a more complex process. As technology continues to improve and the amount of available sequence data on reference populations continues to grow, the ability to genetically predict the bioancestry of an unknown person should improve as well.

MPS offers an avenue for expanding forensic typing capabilities. When analyzing STRs, some loci displayed within repeat sequence variants that cannot be detected by CE methods. This additional variation allows for greater discrimination power for such loci, which is helpful when trying to differentiate between individuals with similar profiles at those loci. In addition, sequence variants within STRs may help resolve mixtures better than can be accomplished with CE data. For example, several individuals in this study had the same size allele 13 at the D8S1179 locus (Table 4). Consider if samples 1 and 14 were mixed, sequence level information would help with interpretation at this locus due to the varying SNPs located in these individuals’ alleles. Finally, these intra repeat sequence variants can aid in familial analysis of unknown individuals. Consider the pedigree identified in this study and their genotypes at the D8S1179 marker: sequence variants present among the alleles at this marker allow for determination of exactly which 13 allele Sample 14 inherited from each parent and which of those alleles she passed along to her own offspring.

The results of this blinded study indicate that the PGM™ is a promising platform for forensic genetics analyses. The results also support that the MPS platform, chemistries, and software used are sufficiently reliable to continue and perform full validation studies, which already are under way. Validation efforts will focus on sensitivity of detection, expanding population data sets, determining stochastic effect levels, evaluating reproducibility, and analyzing mixtures and mock case samples.
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