Onset of Color Transparency in Holographic Light-Front QCD
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Abstract: The color transparency (CT) of a hadron, propagating with reduced absorption in a nucleus, is a fundamental property of QCD (quantum chromodynamics) reflecting its internal structure and effective size when it is produced at high transverse momentum, Q. CT has been confirmed in many experiments, such as semi-exclusive hard electroproduction, eA → e'πX, for mesons produced at Q^2 > 3 GeV^2. However, a recent JLab (Jefferson Laboratory) measurement for a proton electroproduced in carbon eC → e'pX, where X stands for the inclusive sum of all produced final states, fails to observe CT at Q^2 up to 14.2 GeV^2. In this paper, the onset of CT is determined by comparing the Q^2-dependence of the hadronic cross sections for the initial formation of a small color-singlet configuration using the generalized parton distributions from holographic light-front QCD. A critical dependence on the hadron’s twist, τ, the number of hadron constituents, is found for the onset of CT, with no significant effects from the nuclear medium. This effect can explain the absence of proton CT in the present kinematic range of the JLab experiment. The proton is predicted to have a “two-stage” color transparency with the onset of CT differing for the spin-conserving (twist-3, τ = 3) Dirac form factor with a higher onset in Q^2 for the spin-flip Pauli (twist-4) form factor. In contrast, the neutron is predicted to have a “one-stage” color transparency with the onset at higher Q^2 because of the dominance of its Pauli form factor. The model also predicts a strong dependence at low energies on the flavor of the quark current coupling to the hadron.
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1. Introduction

Color transparency (CT) is a unique prediction of quantum chromodynamics (QCD), the theory of the fundamental constituents of hadrons, quarks and gluons. CT represents the ability of a hadron produced at large momentum transfer, Q, in a hard exclusive process to transit a nucleus with reduced absorption. This property reflects the fact that the dynamics of a hadron produced in a hard scattering reaction is dominated by its valence Fock state where its quark constituents have small transverse separation, a_⊥ ∝ 1/Q, and, thus, propagate as a small-size color-singlet [1–4]. For example, the semi-exclusive electroproduction process eA → e'HX, where the hadron H is produced with a large transverse momentum opposite to the scattered lepton and X stands for the inclusive sum of all produced final states, is dominated by the hard scattering of its valence quark constituents with small transverse separation of the order of 1/Q. The produced hadron, thus, propagates as a small color-singlet, and the effects of the nuclear environment are suppressed. The same principle underlies the theory of hard exclusive reactions [5], as well as predictions such as QCD counting rules for hadronic form factors (FFs) and hard-scattering exclusive cross sections [6–8]. Measurements of CT can, thus, distinguish between the predictions of conventional hadronic and nuclear physics and the onset of quark and gluonic degrees of freedom.
The reduced nuclear absorption as a function of momentum transfer has been confirmed in many semi-exclusive hard scattering reactions including the electroproduction of mesons, $eA \rightarrow e'MX$, where an isolated meson is produced at high transverse momentum squared of order $Q^2 > 3$ GeV$^2$. Overviews of the CT measurements are given in Refs. [3,4,9]. However, a recent measurement of the electroproduction of protons by the Hall C Collaboration at the Jefferson Laboratory (JLab) [10], does not observe CT in quasielastic $^{12}C(e,e'p)$ for $Q^2$ up to 14.2 GeV$^2$, thus, setting strong constraints for the onset of CT for baryons.

The analysis of CT presented in this paper is based on the results from holographic light-front QCD (HLFQCD) [11,12], a new approach to hadron physics rooted on the gauge/gravity correspondence [13], LF quantization [14], superconformal quantum mechanics [15–17] and the generalized Veneziano model, including external currents [18–20]. The framework incorporates features of the hadron spectrum, which are not obvious from the QCD Lagrangian, such as confinement, chiral symmetry breaking and the connection between the baryon and the meson spectrum. The HLFQCD framework also provides nontrivial connections between the dynamics of FFs and quark and gluon distributions by incorporating Regge behavior at small longitudinal momentum fraction, $x$, and the inclusive-exclusive connection at large $x$ [21–23].

The nonperturbative features of HLFQCD, and, in particular, the analytic structure of the generalized parton distributions (GPDs) [24–26], are directly relevant for the analysis of the dynamics underlying CT. The effective transverse-impact distance of a hadron as a function of $Q^2$ is found to depend on hadron’s twist, the number of quark and gluon constituents of a given Fock state, as well as the quark current which couples to the hadron. The hard interaction with the lepton can trigger the initial formation of a small color-singlet configuration which can then propagate with minimal interaction in the nuclear medium. The dependence on the number of constituents of the hadron’s valence Fock state is critical for controlling the onset of color transparency; for example, the color transparency of a proton or deuteron is highly delayed compared with the observed onset of pion transparency, with no significant effects from final state inelasticity. The dependence on twist reflects the fact that the momentum transfer required to bring all of the constituents of the hadron’s valence Fock state to small transverse separation, and, thus, create a small size color-singlet, grows with the number of constituents. In fact, this mechanism could explain the sudden drop of CT in quasi-elastic proton scattering $A(p,2p)$ reaction off nuclei [27], observed precisely at the crossing of the charm threshold [28]. Different interpretations are given in Refs. [29,30].

2. Physics of Color Transparency

The connection between GPDs and CT has been the subject of previous theoretical studies [31,32]. In this paper, this connection is examined within the framework of HLFQCD, which provides analytic expressions to describe the quark and gluon GPDs in the full $x$ and $t = −Q^2$ domains.

2.1. The Effective Transverse Size of a Hadron at Large Longitudinal Momentum Fraction $x$

The flavor FF of a hadron can be written in terms of its GPD, $H_q(x,t) \equiv H^q(x,\xi = 0, t) = \rho_q(x,t)$, at zero skewness, $\xi$, such that

$$\begin{align*}
F^q(t) &= \int_0^1 dx \ H^q(x,t) \\
&= \int_0^1 dx \ q(x) \ exp[t\sigma(x)],
\end{align*}$$

(1)

where $q(x)$ is the longitudinal parton distribution function (PDF) and $\sigma(x)$ is the profile function. In LF quantization, the flavor FF has an exact single-particle representation in impact space (see Appendix A).
\[ F^a(q^2) = \int_0^1 dx \int d^2 a_\perp e^{i a_\perp \cdot q + q(x, a_\perp)}, \]  
(2)

where \( a_\perp \) is the \( x \)-weighted transverse position of the \( n - 1 \) spectator partons,

\[ a_\perp = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} x_j b_{\perp j}. \]  
(3)

The transverse coordinate, \( a_\perp \), the transverse-impact parameter, is conjugate to the transverse momentum, \( q_\perp \), and \( x \) is the longitudinal momentum fraction of the active quark. The relative transverse variable, \( b_{\perp j} \), in impact space, is the variable conjugate to the relative transverse momentum, \( k_{\perp j} \), of particle \( j \) with longitudinal momentum fraction \( x_j \). The index \( j \) is summed over the \( n - 1 \) spectator quarks.

The LF distribution, \( q(x, a_\perp) \), in transverse-impact space is the Fourier transform of the distribution \( \rho_q(x, t) = H^f(x, t) = \rho(x) \exp[i\sigma(x)] \) [33–36]:

\[ q(x, a_\perp) = \int \frac{d^2 q_\perp}{(2\pi)^2} e^{-i a_\perp \cdot q} \rho_q(x, q_\perp) \]
\[ = \frac{1}{4\pi \sigma(x)} \exp\left(-\frac{a_\perp^2}{4\sigma(x)}\right). \]  
(4)

Let us remark that in Refs. [34,35], the transverse impact-parameter variable \( a_\perp \) is labeled \( b_\perp \), whereas in Ref. [36], it is labeled \( \vec{y} \).

The distribution function, \( q(x, a_\perp) \), represents the number density of quarks of flavor \( q \) with longitudinal momentum \( x \) and transverse-impact distance, \( a_\perp \), in a given hadron [34,35]. The \( x \)-dependent transverse-impact distance squared is then given by

\[ (a_\perp^2(x))^f = \int \frac{d^2 a_\perp}{d^2 q} \frac{q(x, a_\perp)}{q(x, a_\perp)} \]
\[ = -\frac{1}{\rho_q(x, t)} \nabla^2 \rho_q(x, t) \big|_{t=-Q^2=0} \]
\[ = 4 \sigma(x). \]  
(5)

In HLFQCD \( \sigma(x) \) is flavor independent and, for hadron twist \( \tau \), the number of hadron constituents in a given Fock component, the FF has the reparametrization-invariant integral representation, expressed in terms of Euler’s Beta function [21]:

\[ F(t) = \frac{1}{N_F} B(\tau - 1, 1 - a(t)) \]
\[ = \frac{1}{N_F} \int_0^1 dx w'(x) w(x)^{-a(t)} [1 - w(x)]^{\tau - 2}, \]  
(6)

where \( a(t) = a(0) + a't \) is the Regge trajectory of the vector meson which couples to the quark current in the hadron, and \( N_F \) is a normalization factor. The trajectory \( a(t) \) can be computed within the superconformal LF holographic framework, and the intercept, \( a(0) \), incorporates the quark masses [16,17]. The function \( w(x) \) is a flavor-independent function with \( w(0) = 0, w(1) = 1 \) and \( w'(x) \geq 0 \), where the prime defines \( x \)-derivative. The profile function \( \sigma(x) \) and the PDF \( q_\tau(x) \) are determined by \( w(x) \):

\[ \sigma(x) = \frac{1}{4\lambda} \log \left(\frac{1}{w(x)}\right), \]  
(7)

\[ q_\tau(x) = \frac{1}{N_F} w'(x) w(x)^{-a(0)} [1 - w(x)]^{\tau - 2}, \]  
(8)
with \( \alpha' = 1/4\lambda \). Boundary conditions follow from the Regge behavior at \( x \to 0 \), \( w(x) \sim x \), and \( x \to 1 \) from the inclusive-exclusive counting rule \([37,38]\), \( q_T(x) \sim (1 - x)^{2r-3} \), which fix \( w'(1) = 0 \). These physical conditions, together with the above-given constraints, basically determine the form of \( w(x) \).

Following Ref. \([39]\), the \( x \)-dependent transverse-impact distribution of the proton is computed here for the model of the PDFs given in Ref. \([21]\)

\[
\begin{align*}
    u(x) &= \left(2 - \frac{r}{3} \right) q_{T=3}(x) + \frac{r}{3} q_{T=4}(x), \\
    d(x) &= \left(1 - \frac{2r}{3} \right) q_{T=3}(x) + \frac{2r}{3} q_{T=4}(x),
\end{align*}
\]

ignoring the sea contribution. The result, including comparison with available data, is shown in Figure 1. The profile function \( \sigma(x) \) and the PDF \( q_T(x) \) are given by Equations (7) and (8), with the result \( \langle a^2_\perp(x) \rangle_p = 4\sigma(x) \), independent of the value of \( r \) in the model PDFs in Equations (9) and (10). The specific form of \( w(x) \) is used here as given in Refs. \([21-23]\), \( w(x) = x^{1-x} e^{-\kappa(1-x)^{2}} \) with \( \kappa = 0.5 \pm 0.05 \), and the precise value of the mass scale, \( \sqrt{\lambda} = 0.534 \text{ GeV} \), determined from the Regge trajectories for the \( \rho \) and \( \phi \) vector mesons \([40]\). This value of \( \sqrt{\lambda} \) lies within the uncertainty bound of \( \sqrt{\lambda} = 0.523 \pm 0.024 \text{ GeV} \), determined from the full mass spectrum of the light hadrons, including all radial and orbital excited states \([41]\). Let us note that similar results have been found in Ref. \([42]\) for the nucleon and in Ref. \([43]\) for pions and kaons. As it is shown in Figure 1, at large \( x \), the proton converges to its point-like configuration (PLC). A similar behavior is predicted for the deuteron for example, with its large phase space, has a lower probability to fluctuate to a small configuration as compared with a two-particle bound state, say the pion. Consequently, it presents to the nuclear environment a larger transverse impact area as it traverses through the nucleus, and it will be slowed down or absorbed with greater probability as compared with a pion projectile with a smaller transverse impact area for the same \( Q^2 \). The particle with a larger number of constituents will thus require a larger \( \langle a^2_\perp(x) \rangle_p \) to have the same transparency: the onset of color transparency will be higher in \( Q^2 \) compared to a hadron with fewer constituents.

2.2. The Effective Transverse Size of a Hadron at Large Momentum Transfer \( t \) and the Onset of Color Transparency

It is shown above that the dependence of the hadron’s transverse-size squared \( \langle a^2_\perp(x) \rangle_p \) on the longitudinal momentum fraction \( x \) is flavor independent and it is uniquely determined by the hadron profile function \( \sigma(x) \): \( \langle a^2_\perp(x) \rangle_p \sim 4 \sigma(x) \). Its behavior in \( t = -Q^2 \), however, depends on specific properties of the hadron. In fact, one expects from general considerations that the initial formation of a PLC for a bound state with a large number of constituents—the deuteron for example, with its large phase space, has a lower probability to fluctuate to a small configuration as compared with a two-particle bound state, say the pion. Consequently, it presents to the nuclear environment a larger transverse impact area as it traverses through the nucleus, and it will be slowed down or absorbed with greater probability as compared with a pion projectile with a smaller transverse impact area for the same \( Q^2 \). The particle with a larger number of constituents will thus require a larger \( Q^2 \) to have the same transparency: the onset of color transparency will be higher in \( Q^2 \) compared to a hadron with fewer constituents.
Figure 1. The $x$-dependence of the proton transverse-size, $\langle a_\perp^2(x) \rangle_p$, determined by the hadron’s profile function, $\sigma(x)$ (Equation (5)). Top: Comparison of the results obtained with the data, extracted in Ref. [39] from the data by CLAS and HERMES experiments. The solid circle represents the HERMES data and open circles the CLAS data. The band represents the model uncertainty. Bottom: At large light-front momentum fraction, $x$, and equivalently, at large values of the momentum transfer squared, $Q^2$, the transverse size of a hadron behaves as a point-like color-singlet object. This behavior is the origin of color transparency in nuclei. The rainbow color gradient represents the transition from the ultraviolet to the infrared domains.

A similar effect is expected when comparing the relative CT of a nucleon to that of a pion, where the detailed dependence on the individual constituents in the LF wave function (LFWF) is essential. The integrand of Equation (A5) is in fact a function of the transverse position of the $n - 1$ spectator components $q_\perp \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} x_j b_j = q_\perp \cdot a_\perp$ (see Equation (3)): It corresponds to a change of transverse momentum $x_j b_j$ for each of the $n - 1$ spectator particles. This dependence is crucial for determining the relative CT of different hadrons since it measures the transverse size of a scattered hadron in a given Fock state.

The transverse impact surface dependence on the momentum transfer, $t = -Q^2$, is computed from the expectation value of the profile function $\sigma(x)$:

$$\langle 4\sigma(t) \rangle^q = \frac{\int dx \ 4\sigma(x) p_q(x, t)}{\int dx p_q(x, t)}$$

$$= \frac{4}{F^q(t)} \frac{d}{dt} F^q(t),$$

(12)
with the GPD $\mathcal{H}^q(x, t) = \rho_q(x, t) = q(x)\exp[4\sigma(t)]$, integrated over the longitudinal variable $x$ of the active quark. From Equation (5) it follows that $\langle 4\sigma(t) \rangle_\tau$ at $t = 0$ is precisely the $x$-independent transverse-impact distance squared (11), namely, $(a^2_\perp)^2 = (4\sigma(t = 0))^2$. The transverse impact surface, $\langle 4\sigma(t) \rangle$, thus, measures the slope of the hadron FF at any value of the momentum transfer, $Q^2 = -t > 0$: the transverse distance naturally evolves from a small color-singlet configuration at large $Q^2$ to the actual equilibrium size at $Q^2 = 0$ compatible with the usual definition of the hadron radius: $(a^2_\perp) = \frac{2}{\pi}(r^2)$.

In the nonperturbative framework, presented here, the GPDs incorporate the far-off-shell components of the LFWF, which controls the behavior of the FF at large $Q^2$, and the power counting rules from the inclusive-exclusive connection [21]. For a given twist-$\tau$ Fock component in the hadron wave function one finds:

$$\langle 4\sigma(t) \rangle_\tau = \frac{1}{\lambda} [\psi(\tau - a(t)) - \psi(1 - a(t))], \tag{13}$$

a result which follows directly from the expression of the FF, given in Equation (6), since $B(u, v)^{-1}\partial_v B(u, v) = (\psi(v) - \psi(u + v))$, with $\psi(z)$ the digamma function, $\psi(z) = \Gamma(z)^{-1}d\Gamma(z)/dz$. For integer twist, $\tau = N$, the recurrence relation for the digamma function, $\psi(z + 1) - \psi(z) = 1/z$, can be used to obtain

$$\langle 4\sigma(t) \rangle_\tau = \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{j=1}^{\tau-1} \frac{1}{j - a(t)}, \tag{14}$$

an expression reminiscent of the classical Regge pole structure of a scattering amplitude. In contrast to the dependence of the transverse impact area as a function of $x$, given by Equation (5), Equation (14) depends explicitly on the hadron’s twist, $\tau$, and the properties of the specific quark current, which couples with the active quark in the hadron, characterized by the hadron’s Regge trajectory, $a(t)$. For large values of $t = -Q^2$, Equation (14) leads to

$$\langle \sigma(t) \rangle_\tau \to \frac{(\tau - 1)}{Q^2}, \tag{15}$$

which shows that, as expected, the hadronic size decreases with $Q^2$. Physically, the $Q^2$ required to contract all of the valence constituents of a hadron to a color-singlet domain of a given transverse size, grows as the number of the spectator constituents as $\tau - 1$. Let us note that the applied procedure to compute the $Q^2$-dependence of the effective transverse size differs from the procedure of Ref. [32], given instead in terms of the relative impact variables. The procedure of Ref. [32] leads to a rising transverse-impact surface with increasing $Q^2$ and decreasing twist, in contradiction with the results, presented here, and the observations [44].

2.3. Inelastic Corrections to the Onset of Color Transparency

One has to note that additional effects for the onset of CT from the interaction of the scattered hadron, as it propagates through nuclear matter, do not modify the onset of CT, provided that the momentum transfer $Q^2$ is relatively large. To show this, the inelastic scattering cross section is evaluated assuming that the effects from the expansion of the small scattered hadron are not significant during the collision time as it transits out of the nucleus [45,46]. In this approximation, one can use the inelastic cross section formula for the scattering of a small dipole of transverse size, $d_\perp$, off a nucleon in the two-gluon exchange approximation [47–49]:

$$\sigma^\text{inel}(Q^2, x) = \frac{4\pi^2}{3}a_s(Q^2) \langle \sigma_\tau(Q^2) \rangle x G_N(x, Q^2), \tag{16}$$

where $G_N(x, t)$ is the gluon distribution in the nucleon, which underlies the inelastic cross section for the scattered hadron, and $x \sim Q^2/s$, where $s$ is the center of mass energy.
squared of the colliding particles. The intrinsic gluon distribution in the pion and nucleons was studied in Ref. [23] in the full range of $x$ and $t$.

Deriving Equation (16), it is assumed that the size of the small color dipole $d_\perp^2$ is small relative to the equilibrium size of the proton, namely, $d_\perp^2 \ll \langle a_\perp^2 \rangle$, with $\langle a_\perp^2 \rangle = \langle 4\sigma(t = 0) \rangle$. One, thus, has from Equations (13) and (15):

$$d_\perp^2(Q^2) \simeq \langle 4\sigma(Q^2) \rangle \sim \frac{4(\tau - 1)}{Q^2},$$

(17)

for relatively large $Q^2$, and

$$\frac{\sigma_{\text{inel}}^A(Q^2, x)}{\sigma_{\text{inel}}^B(Q^2, x)} \simeq \frac{\langle \sigma_{\tau_A}(Q^2) \rangle}{\langle \sigma_{\tau_B}(Q^2) \rangle},$$

(18)

with no significant twist-dependent effects from final state inelasticity; here, $\tau_A$ and $\tau_B$ denote the twists of the hadrons $H_A$ and $H_B$ electroproduced, respectively, in the reaction $eA \rightarrow e'HX$ at large $Q^2$. Therefore, to a first approximation, the onset of CT for the scattered hadron in nuclei only depends on the ratio of the transverse impact surface at large enough $Q^2$, thus, on the relative twist.

### 2.4. The Feynman Mechanism

It has been argued [46] that the “Feynman mechanism”—where the behavior of the struck quark at large longitudinal momentum $x \rightarrow 1$ in the proton LF wave function is assumed to play a key role for hard exclusive hadronic processes—does not predict color transparency. This process takes place when an active quark, carrying a significant fraction of the nucleon’s momentum, is turned back by the incident virtual photon. In this case, the spectator quarks are “wee” partons and would not shrink to a small transverse distance; thus color transparency would not be observed [46].

Let us stress that the CT mechanism is applicable to hard exclusive scattering events which are controlled by valence Fock states: for example, in $ep \rightarrow e'p'$, where the entire proton changes direction, and one has, as well, to take into account the transverse dependence of the LFWF. Each spectator quark $j$ in the final-state LFWF has momentum, $x_j q$, and the transverse impact distance shrinks to small separation $a_\perp \propto 1/Q$ as shown above; therefore CT does occur at large $Q^2$ in contrast from the deep inelastic scattering mechanisms, where only the struck quark at large $x$ flips its direction, thus avoiding the formation of a PLC at any $Q^2$.

### 3. Discussion of the Results

Figure 2 shows that the gap in the effective transverse impact surface, $\langle 4\sigma(t) \rangle$, for different twist (the number of constituents of a given Fock component) is most significant at intermediate energies and for low twist values, particularly between twist-2 ($\tau = 2$) and twist-3. For example, the effective transverse impact surface for twist-2 at 4 GeV$^2$ is similar to that of twist-3 at 14 GeV$^2$. Likewise, the impact surface at 4 GeV$^2$ for twist-2 is similar to that of twist-4 at 22 GeV$^2$, thus, implying an important delay in the onset of CT at intermediate energies in terms of the number of quark constituents. This is particularly relevant for the proton since it contains, at approximately equal probability, both twist-3, but also twist-4, in its LFWF. The twist-4 contribution is required in order to generate the proton’s anomalous magnetic moment and Pauli FF. This predicts a larger value of $Q^2$ in order to produce color transparency for the proton, consistent with the recent JLab electroproduction measurement [10].
Figure 2. The transverse impact area $\langle 4\sigma(t) \rangle$ as a function of $Q^2$ and the number of constituents (twist), $\tau$, implies a significant delay in the onset of color transparency at intermediate energies for $\tau > 2$. The dashed line indicates the characteristic transverse size, required for the onset of color transparency.

3.1. Two-Stage Color Transparency in the Nucleon

The initial small hadron configuration in lepton-proton elastic scattering at large $Q^2$ leads to spin-flip and non spin-nonflip transitions. They correspond to a distinctive lepton’s angular distribution, specified by the Rosenbluth differential cross-section in terms of the Dirac and Pauli FFs. Here, the specific distributions (9) and (10) from Ref. [21] are used to obtain the Dirac FFs for proton and neutron in the approximation where sea components are neglected:

$$F_{p1}(Q^2) = F_{3}(Q^2), \quad (19)$$
$$F_{n1}(Q^2) = -\frac{1}{2} (F_{3}(Q^2) - F_{4}(Q^2)), \quad (20)$$
$$F_{\tau}, \text{ defined by Equation (6).}$$

The result for the proton is independent of the value of $r$, whereas for the neutron it corresponds to $r = 3/2$ from the anti-de-Sitter (AdS) normalization constraints. The leading twist-4 result for the Pauli FF is computed from the overlap of LF orbital-angular-momenta $L = 0$ and $L = 1$ components [11]:

$$F_{p,n}^{p,n}(Q^2) = \chi_{p,n} F_{3}(Q^2), \quad (21)$$

where $\chi$ is the nucleon anomalous magnetic moment. In Equation (21), higher-twist sea contributions are neglected [50]. Let us note that the Dirac neutron FF (20) has equal but opposite sign, twist-3 and twist-4 components, which leads to a strong cancellation of the Dirac FF.

The inelastic process, as the nucleon travels in the nuclear medium, depends on the nucleon’s transverse impact surface. For the proton, the charge averaged density gives a sensible measure of its impact transverse size. For the neutron, however, it gives a very small effective size. One can use instead the isoscalar averaged distribution $\frac{1}{3}[u(x) + d(x)]$ to compute the effective dependence of the nucleon transverse impact size. The isoscalar component corresponds to the physics of Pomeron exchange and it is also a measurable quantity related to the isospin $I = 0$ nucleon FF combination: $F_p + F_n$. This definition leads to the same effective transverse impact surface for the proton and the neutron and is consistent with AdS baryon normalization of equal $L = 0$ and $L = 1$ probability [11]. The onset of CT from spin-flip transitions is at 22 GeV$^2$ since the Pauli FF is leading twist 4.
To recapitulate, the proton is predicted to have a “two-stage” color transparency starting at $Q^2 > 14$ GeV$^2$ for the twist-3 valence Fock state with $L = 0$ and at $Q^2 > 22$ GeV$^2$ for the full onset of CT for proton’s $L = 0$ and $L = 1$ twist-4 components. In contrast, the neutron only presents a “one-stage” color transparency onset at $Q^2 > 22$ GeV$^2$ due to its Pauli FF.

One can make a more quantitative estimate for the onset of color transparency for the proton vs. the pion by using existing data for pion electroproduction [51,52]. In the case of $e^{12}C \rightarrow e\pi X$, measurements indicate that the transparency ratio, $T$, for pions rises approximately 10% as $Q^2$ increases from 3 to 4 GeV$^2$; see Figure 14 of Ref. [3]. As shown in Figure 2, the observation of CT in carbon in this range of $Q^2$ requires that the transverse surface $\langle 4\sigma(Q^2) \rangle$ of the pion ($\tau = 2$) has contracted approximately to 0.22 fm$^2$, indicated by the dashed line in Figure 2. It is also clear from Figure 2 that one will obtain a similar size $\langle 4\sigma \rangle \simeq 0.22$ fm$^2$ for the $\tau = 3$ proton at $Q^2 \simeq 14$ GeV$^2$, and much higher $Q^2 \simeq 22$ GeV$^2$ for the $\tau = 4$ proton component. However, since the proton is produced with approximately equal $\tau = 3$ and $\tau = 4$ components, one predicts only a small 5% increase of the transparency, i.e., from $T = 0.56$ to $T = 0.59$, for $e^{12}C \rightarrow epX$ at $Q^2 = 14$ GeV$^2$. This small variation in transparency is compatible with the recent measurement of proton electroproduction in carbon [10]. The effect of CT is, therefore, expected to be larger in a heavier nuclear target.

CT for the production of an intact deuteron nucleus in $eA \rightarrow d + X_{(A-2)}$ quasi-exclusive reactions should be observed at $Q^2 \geq 40$ GeV$^2$. This can be tested in $ed \rightarrow ed$ elastic scattering in a nuclear background.

3.2. Effect of Quark Flavor on the Onset of Color Transparency

Another remarkable prediction of the result (14) is the strong dependence at low energies of the specific quark current coupling to a hadron. To illustrate this property, Figure 3 compares $Q^2$-dependences of the transverse impact area, $\langle 4\sigma(Q^2) \rangle$, for the $\phi$ meson and for the pion. The small transverse size and its slow fall-off for the $s\bar{s}$ vector meson reflects the heavier mass of the strange quark. One also sees that $eA \rightarrow e\phi X$ electroproduction will show color transparency even at small $Q^2$ and can provide an important calibration tool for transparency measurements.

![Figure 3. Comparison of the transverse impact surface, $\langle 4\sigma(t) \rangle$, as a function of $Q^2 = -t$ for the $\phi$ meson (black) and pion (red). The small transverse size and the slow fall-off for the $s\bar{s}$ vector meson reflects the heavier mass of the strange quark. The inset from Ref. [50] represents the corresponding linear Regge trajectories for the $\rho$ and $\phi$ vector mesons in Equation (14) for $\sqrt{s} = 0.534$ GeV, $a_{\rho}(0) = 0.483$, $a_{\phi}(0) = 0.025$.](image-url)
4. Conclusions and Outlook

Color transparency (CT) in one of the most striking properties of quantum chromodynamics (QCD) phenomenology [1]: CT refers to the reduced absorption of a hadron as the latter propagates through nuclear matter if produced at high transverse momentum in a hard exclusive process. The nuclear absorption reflects the size of the color dipole moment of the propagating hadron, i.e., the separation between its colored constituents.

The onset of CT predicted in this paper, is based on the nonperturbative analytic structure of the hadron generalized parton distributions (GPDs), obtained in the framework of holographic light-front (LF) QCD and valid in the full domain of the kinematic variables. The GPDs incorporate the Regge behavior at small values of the longitudinal light front momentum fraction, $x$, and more relevant for the present paper, the inclusive-exclusive connection at large $x$.

A key quantity, which measures the transverse effective size of a scattered hadron in a given Fock state is the impact parameter transverse distance, $a_\perp$, the $x$-weighted transverse position coordinate of the $n-1$ spectators, Equation (3), which, as shown in Appendix A, is the variable conjugate to the transverse momentum, $q_\perp$. As such, $a_\perp$ is the relevant variable which controls the effective transverse distance of the hadron at large momentum transverse squared, $Q^2$: It leads to an effective transverse surface which has the expected scaling properties and a crucial dependence on twist at high $Q^2$. The transverse distance, $a_\perp$, also depends on the flavor of the quark current, which couples to a given hadron.

The hadronic size decreases inversely to $Q^2$, and increases with the hadron’s twist, $\tau$. This corresponds physically to the fact that the momentum transfer, required to produce a compact hadronic Fock state, increases with the number of its fundamental constituents. An essential consequence is that at a given momentum transfer, the effective transverse size for mesons with leading twist $\tau = 2$ is smaller than for baryons with $\tau = 3$ and 4, corresponding to Fock states with the orbital LF angular momenta, $L = 0$ and $L = 1$, respectively. Actually, the proton is predicted to have a “two-stage” CT onset with $Q^2 > 14$ GeV$^2$ for the twist-3 Fock state with orbital angular momentum $L = 0$, and at $Q^2 > 22$ GeV$^2$ for the later full onset of CT for its combined $L = 0$ and $L = 1$ twist-4 components. In contrast, the neutron is predicted to have a “one-stage” color transparency onset at $Q^2 > 22$ GeV$^2$ because of the dominance of its Pauli FF.

CT is predicted to occur at a significantly higher momentum transfer $Q^2$ for baryons ($Q^2 > 14$ GeV$^2$ for the proton and $Q^2 > 22$ GeV$^2$ for the neutron) as compared with mesons ($Q^2 > 4$ GeV$^2$). Note that, it was already proposed in Ref. [47], by comparing with nucleon-nucleon scattering, that CT effects should be more pronounced for a meson beam. The predictions found here for the onset of CT are consistent with the findings at Jefferson Laboratory (JLab), which have confirmed CT presence for the $\pi$ and $\rho$ mesons [3,9] and the absence of CT for protons. These existing measurements are, however, limited to values below the range of $Q^2$ where the onset of proton CT is predicted to occur.
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Appendix A. Form Factors and Parton Distributions in Light-Front QCD

The light-front (LF) formalism provides an exact representation of current matrix elements in terms of the overlap of frame-independent light-front wave functions (LFWFs) in a LF Fock basis expansion with components $\psi_n(x_i, k_{\perp i}, \lambda_i)$, where the internal partonic
coordinates, the longitudinal momentum fraction, \(x_i\), and the transverse momentum, \(k_{\perp i}\), obey the momentum conservation sum rules \(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i = 1\), and \(\sum_{i=1}^{n} k_{\perp i} = 0\). The LFWFs also depend on \(\lambda_i\), the projection of the constituent’s spin along the \(z\) direction.

The Drell-Yan-West (DYW) relation [37,53] provides a rigorous representation of the electromagnetic form factors (FFs) of hadrons in terms of the overlap of their LFWFs in the momentum space:

\[
F(q^2) = \sum_{n}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \int dx_{i} \int d^2k_{\perp i} 16\pi^2 \delta \left( 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \right) \delta^{(2)} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_{\perp i} \right) \sum_{j} c_{j} \psi_{n}^{*}(x_{i}, k_{\perp i}, \lambda_{i}) \psi_{n}(x_{i}, k_{\perp i}, \lambda_{i}), \tag{A1}
\]

where the variables of the LF Fock components in the final state are given by \(k'_{\perp i} = k_{\perp i} + (1 - x_i) q_{\perp}\) for a struck constituent quark and \(k'_{\perp j} = k_{\perp j} - x_j q_{\perp}\) for each spectator. Equation (A1) represents an exact formula if the sum is taken over all Fock states, \(n\).

The DYW expression for the FF can be expressed in the impact space by Fourier transforming Equation (A1) in momentum space to impact space [33]. This is a convenient form to obtain the impact dependent representation of the generalized parton distributions (GPDs) [34,35], but also for the holographic mapping of anti-de-Sitter (AdS) results to LF physics, since the DYW FF can be expressed in terms of the product of LFWFs with identical variables [36]. To this purpose, Equation (A1) is rewritten in terms of \(n - 1\) independent transverse impact variables, \(b_{\perp j}, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1\), conjugate to the relative transverse momentum coordinate, \(k_{\perp j}\), and label by \(n\) the active charged parton which interacts with the current. Using the Fourier expansion,

\[
\psi_{n}(x_{j}, k_{\perp j}) = (4\pi)^{(n-1)/2} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \int d^2b_{\perp j} \exp \left( i \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} b_{\perp k} \cdot k_{\perp k} \right) \psi_{n}(x_{j}, b_{\perp j}), \tag{A2}
\]

one finds [33,36]:

\[
F(q^2) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \int dx_{j} \int d^2b_{\perp j} \exp \left( i q_{\perp} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} x_{j} b_{\perp j} \right) \left| \psi_{n}(x_{j}, b_{\perp j}) \right|^2, \tag{A3}
\]

corresponding to a change of transverse momentum, \(x_{j} q_{\perp}\), for each of the \(n - 1\) spectators. The internal parton variables, the longitudinal momentum fraction \(x_j\) and the transverse impact coordinates \(b_{\perp j}\) obey the sum rule: \(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} x_{j} = 1\) and \(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} b_{\perp j} = 0\).

The FF in LF quantization has an exact representation in terms of a single particle density [33,36]:

\[
F(q^2) = \int_{0}^{1} dx \rho(x, q_{\perp}), \tag{A4}
\]

where \(\rho(x, q_{\perp})\) is given by

\[
\rho(x, q_{\perp}) = \sum_{n}^{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \int dx_{j} \int d^2b_{\perp j} \delta \left( 1 - x - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} x_{j} \right) \exp \left( i q_{\perp} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} x_{j} b_{\perp j} \right) \left| \psi_{n}(x_{j}, b_{\perp j}) \right|^2. \tag{A5}
\]

The integration in Equation (A5) is over the coordinates of the \(n - 1\) spectator partons, and \(x = x_n\) is the coordinate of the active charged quark.
One can also express the FF (A4) in terms of a single-particle transverse distribution, \( q(x, a_\perp) \), in the transverse-impact parameter space [33]:

\[
F(q^2) = \int_0^1 dx \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{a}_\perp}{(2\pi)^2} e^{-i \mathbf{a}_\perp \cdot \mathbf{q}} q(x, \mathbf{a}_\perp),
\]

where \( \mathbf{a}_\perp = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} x_j \mathbf{b}_\perp j \) is the \( x \)-weighted transverse position coordinate of the \( n-1 \) spectators. From Equation (A5), one obtains the corresponding transverse density:

\[
q(x, a_\perp) = \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{q}_\perp}{(2\pi)^2} e^{-i \mathbf{a}_\perp \cdot \mathbf{q}} \rho(x, \mathbf{q}_\perp)
\]

\[
= \sum_{n} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} dx_j \int d^2 \mathbf{b}_\perp j \delta \left( 1 - x - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} x_j \right) \delta^{(2)} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} x_j \mathbf{b}_\perp j - \mathbf{a}_\perp \right) |\psi_n(x_j, \mathbf{b}_\perp j)|^2.
\]

The procedure is valid for any Fock state \( n \), and, thus, the results can be summed over \( n \) to obtain an exact representation of the impact-parameter-dependent parton distribution, introduced in Ref. [34,35]. Then, Equation (A7) gives the probability to find a quark with longitudinal light front momentum fraction \( x \) at a transverse distance \( a_\perp \).

One can also compute the charge distribution of a hadron in the LF transverse plane \( \rho(a_\perp) \) by integrating Equation (A7). Using Equations (A4) and (A7), one finds:

\[
\rho(a_\perp) \equiv \int_0^1 dx q(x, a_\perp)
\]

\[
= \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{q}_\perp}{(2\pi)^2} e^{-i \mathbf{a}_\perp \cdot \mathbf{q}} F(q^2)
\]

\[
= \int \frac{d^2 q}{2\pi} I_0(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{q}) F(q^2),
\]

with \( q^2 = -Q^2 = t \). Note that Equation (A8) matches the expression, obtained in Refs. [54,55], for the LF transverse charge density provided one identifies \( a_\perp \) with \( b_\perp \) here.
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