Total Proteome Analysis Identifies Migration Defects as a Major Pathogenetic Factor in Immunoglobulin Heavy Chain Variable Region (IGHV)-unmutated Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia*
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The mutational status of the immunoglobulin heavy chain variable region defines two clinically distinct forms of chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) known as mutated (M-CLL) and unmutated (UM-CLL). To elucidate the molecular mechanisms underlying the adverse clinical outcome associated with UM-CLL, total proteomes from nine UM-CLL and nine M-CLL samples were analyzed by isobaric tags for relative and absolute quantification (iTRAQ)-based mass spectrometry. Based on the expression of 3521 identified proteins, principal component analysis separated CLL samples into two groups corresponding to immunoglobulin heavy chain variable region mutational status. Computational analysis showed that 43 cell migration/adhesion pathways were significantly enriched by 39 differentially expressed proteins, 35 of which were expressed at significantly lower levels in UM-CLL samples. Furthermore, UM-CLL cells underexpressed proteins associated with cytoskeletal remodeling and overexpressed proteins associated with transcriptional and translational activity. Taken together, our findings indicate that UM-CLL cells are less migratory and more adhesive than M-CLL cells, resulting in their retention in lymph nodes, where they are exposed to proliferative stimuli. In keeping with this hypothesis, analysis of an extended cohort of 120 CLL patients revealed a strong and specific association between UM-CLL and lymphadenopathy. Our study illustrates the potential of total proteome analysis to elucidate pathogenetic mechanisms in cancer. Molecular & Cellular Proteomics 14: 10.1074/mcp.M114.044479, 933–945, 2015.

Chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL)¹ is the most common adult leukemia in Western countries. It is characterized by the clonal expansion of antigen-experienced B cells with a distinctive immunophenotype (1, 2). The disease runs a highly variable clinical course, with some patients surviving for decades without treatment and others dying from drug-resistant disease within a year of presentation (3).

Many biological variables have been identified in CLL that correlate with clinical outcome. Among these variables, the somatic mutational status of the immunoglobulin heavy chain variable region (IGHV) gene expressed by the malignant clone has a unique biological importance as it is the only prognostic biomarker that is fixed at the initiation of clonal expansion, inherited by the entire malignant clone, and stable over time (4–6). Furthermore, because somatic hypermutation is tightly regulated during B cell development, IGHV mutational status provides insight into the clonogenic cell of origin in CLL. Specifically, cases of CLL with mutated IGHV genes (M-CLL) are thought to arise from a memory B cell that has encountered a T cell-dependent antigen, whereas those with unmutated IGHV genes (UM-CLL) are thought to arise from a B cell that has reacted to a T-independent antigen (7). Importantly,

¹ The abbreviations used are: CLL, chronic lymphocytic leukemia; M-CLL, IGHV-mutated CLL; UM-CLL, IGHV-unmutated CLL; IGHV, immunoglobulin heavy chain variable region; ACN, acetonitrile; MS, mass spectrometry; iTRAQ, isobaric tags for relative and absolute quantification; PANTHER, Protein Analysis Through Evolutionary Relationships; MNDA, myeloid cell nuclear differentiation antigen; PCA, principal component analysis.
IGHV status is a strong and independent predictor of outcome in CLL, with M-CLL being associated with a favorable outcome and UM-CLL being associated with early disease progression and shorter survival (4–6).

Gene expression profiling studies have shown that, irrespective of their IGHV mutational status, CLL cells have an mRNA signature similar to that of memory B cells (8, 9). Although supervised clustering has shown that a number of genes are differentially expressed in M-CLL and UM-CLL (8, 9), the molecular mechanisms responsible for the more aggressive clinical course of UM-CLL remain incompletely understood. This may reflect the inability of mRNA profiling to detect differences in protein expression due to post-translational regulation (10).

The advent of two-dimensional gel electrophoresis and mass spectrometry (MS) in the mid-1990s represented a technological breakthrough in profiling gene expression at the protein level (11, 12). Application of this technique to the question of how M-CLL and UM-CLL differ from one another has revealed a limited number of differentially expressed proteins (the details of which are provided in supplemental Table S1) (13–18). However, these studies have failed to provide a convincing explanation for the adverse clinical outcome associated with UM-CLL.

The ability of two-dimensional gel electrophoresis and MS to detect differences in protein expression is limited by the fact that they provide only limited coverage of the proteome and suffer from poor reproducibility (19). Recently, more powerful gel-free techniques for proteomic analysis have been developed, such as isobaric tags for relative and absolute quantification (iTRAQ)-based MS. Here, we describe the application of iTRAQ-based MS to analyze the total proteome of nine M-CLL and nine UM-CLL samples. This approach has enabled us to generate the largest quantity of proteomic information for CLL to date and, in particular, to directly compare the functions of differentially expressed proteins between UM-CLL and M-CLL cells through a systems biology approach. Our findings strongly support the idea that M-CLL and UM-CLL are biologically distinct and suggest that the adverse outcome associated with UM-CLL reflects the presence of a limited number of differentially expressed proteins (the details of which are provided in supplemental Table S1) (13–18). However, these studies have failed to provide a convincing explanation for the adverse clinical outcome associated with UM-CLL.

The ability of two-dimensional gel electrophoresis and MS to detect differences in protein expression is limited by the fact that they provide only limited coverage of the proteome and suffer from poor reproducibility (19). Recently, more powerful gel-free techniques for proteomic analysis have been developed, such as isobaric tags for relative and absolute quantification (iTRAQ)-based MS. Here, we describe the application of iTRAQ-based MS to analyze the total proteome of nine M-CLL and nine UM-CLL samples. This approach has enabled us to generate the largest quantity of proteomic information for CLL to date and, in particular, to directly compare the functions of differentially expressed proteins between UM-CLL and M-CLL cells through a systems biology approach. Our findings strongly support the idea that M-CLL and UM-CLL are biologically distinct and suggest that the adverse outcome associated with UM-CLL reflects the presence of a limited number of differentially expressed proteins (the details of which are provided in supplemental Table S1) (13–18). However, these studies have failed to provide a convincing explanation for the adverse clinical outcome associated with UM-CLL.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

Sample Selection—All samples used for this study were obtained with informed consent and with the approval of the North West 2 Research Ethics Committee–Liverpool Central. Samples were characterized for IGHV mutational status as described below. Because the extent of IGHV mutation in CLL varies continuously from 0 to >10%, a cutoff value of 2% was applied to distinguish M-CLL from UM-CLL. This cutoff was used in the original studies describing the prognostic importance of IGHV mutation (4, 5) and continues to be applied (20). Nine cases were selected to represent extremely low levels of IGHV mutation, and nine were selected to represent extremely high levels. Care was taken to ensure that other biological variables of prognostic significance were evenly balanced between the two groups. The clinical features of the 18 CLL samples used for this study are shown in Table 1.

**Preparation of CLL Samples**—Venous blood was drawn from CLL patients into tubes containing sodium heparin at a final concentration of 10 units/1 ml of blood. Mononuclear cells were isolated by centrifugation of blood over Lymphoprep (Axis-Shield PoC AS, Oslo, Norway) within 4 h of sampling and stored at −150 °C within 2 h of separation. Analysis for recurrent chromosomal abnormalities was performed as described previously (21). All mononuclear cell samples used in the study contained >90% CD19+ cells.

**IGHV Mutational Analysis**—Total RNA was extracted from CLL cells using an RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen, Crawley, UK). 1 μg of RNA was reverse-transcribed with Moloney murine leukemia virus reverse transcriptase (Promega, Southampton, UK) and an oligo(dT)15 primer. Aliquots of the resulting cDNAs were used to identify clonally expressed IGHV in six PCRs, each with one of the six 5'-leader primers (22) and three mixed 3'-primers specific for the immunoglobulin heavy chain isotypes α, γ, and μ (23), respectively. The 50 microliter PCR containing 20 pmol of each primer, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 100 μM each dNTP, and 2.5 units of Taq polymerase (Promega) was performed for 30 cycles by using a touch-down protocol, with the annealing temperature reducing from 63 to 57 °C over the first 12 cycles. The PCR products were visualized following electrophoresis. The amplified clonal IGHV gene was purified using the Wizard SV Gel and PCR Clean-up system (Promega), followed by Sanger sequencing from both directions with the same primers used in PCR. The IGHV gene usage and extent of somatic hypermutation were determined by comparison to the nearest germ line counterpart sequence in the international Immunogenetics information system with IMGT/V-QUEST.

**Protein Extraction and iTRAQ Labeling**—Protein was extracted from CLL cells by sonication on ice in 500 mM triethylammonium bicarbonate with 0.1% SDS. Protein concentrations were determined using Bradford assay reagent (Sigma-Aldrich, Gillingham, UK). Labeling with iTRAQ reagents was then carried out according to the AB SCIEX (Framingham, MA) protocol for an 8plex procedure as described previously (24), but with the following modifications. Briefly, 100 μg of protein from each sample was reduced with tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine hydrochloride and capped with methyl methanethiosulfate before overnight digestion with trypsin (Promega). Peptides were then labeled with isobaric tags, pooled, diluted to 5 ml with 10 mM potassium dihydrogen phosphate and 25% acetonitrile (ACN), and acidified to pH <3 with phosphoric acid.

**Sample Prefractionation and Desalting**—Samples were fractionated on a PolySULFOETHYL A strong cation-exchange column (200 × 4.6 mm, 5 μm, 300 Å; Poly LC, Columbia, MD) at 1 ml/min using a gradient from 10 mM potassium dihydrogen phosphate and 25% (w/v) ACN to 0.5 M potassium chloride, 10 mM potassium dihydrogen phosphate, and 25% (w/v/w/v) ACN over 75 min. Fractions of 2 ml were collected and dried by centrifugation under vacuum (SpeedVac, Eppendorf UK, Stevenage, UK). Fractions were reconstituted in 1 ml of 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid and desalted using an mRP high-recovery protein column (4.6 × 50 mm; Agilent, Berkshire UK) on a VISION workstation (Applied Biosystems/Life Technologies, Paisley, UK) prior to MS analysis.

**MS Analysis of iTRAQ Samples**—Desalted fractions were reconstituted in 40 microliters of 0.1% formic acid, and 5 microliter aliquots were delivered into a TripleTOF 5600 system (AB SCIEX) via an Eksigent NanoUltra chipLPC system (AB SCIEX) mounted with a microfluidic trap and an analytical column (15 cm × 75 micrometer) packed with ChromXP C18-CL (3 μm). A NanoSpray III source was fitted with a 10 μm inner diameter PicoTip emitter (New Objective,
parametric data and Fisher’s exact test for nonparametric data, respectively.
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following modifications. Briefly, 5\textsuperscript{10} 5 CLL cells were added to

transferred to Immobilon-P polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (Millipore, Bedford, MA), which were probed with rat monoclonal MNDA (myeloid cell nuclear differentiation antigen), rabbit monoclonal LEF-1 (lymphoid enhancer-binding factor 1), and rabbit polyclonal TCL-1 (T cell leukemia/lymphoma 1) antibodies (all from Cell Signaling Technologies, New England Biolabs, Herts, UK), and mouse monoclonal β-actin antibody (Sigma-Aldrich). Immunoreactivity was detected by incubation with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-rat IgG, anti-rabbit IgG, or anti-mouse IgG antibodies (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Insight Biotechnology, Middlesex, UK) and an enhanced chemiluminescence kit (Millipore, Watford, UK), with subsequent digitization using an Image Reader LAS-1000 (Fujifilm, Tokyo, Japan). For quantification of the data, the images were further analyzed on the same instrument using 2D Densitometry Aida software (Fujifilm, Tokyo, Japan). Mann-Whitney tests were used to determine statistical significance of differences in the median values of optical density of the signals corresponding to proteins of interest in CLL samples between UM-CLL and M-CLL cases.

Heat Maps—To detect outliers, hierarchical agglomerative clustering with complete linkage and Euclidean distance measure was employed on the selected data, and heat maps were drawn using the heatmap.2 package in R (28).

Computational Functional Analysis—Proteins found to have significantly higher or lower levels of expression in UM-CLL samples by iTRAQ-MS (\(p < 0.05\)) were subjected to computational functional analysis. Proteins were functionally classified using the Protein Analysis Through Evolutionary Relationships (PANTHER) classification system. Pathway analysis was conducted using the GeneGo pathway maps in the MetaCore database (version 6.14, build 61508; Thomson Reuters, New York, NY). The Pathway Maps tool was used to enrich for pathways, and \(p\) values were calculated based on a hypergeometric distribution, with the default database used as the background. Significant pathway enrichment was defined as a false discovery rate-corrected \(p < 0.05\).

Western Blotting—Proteins were separated on a SDS-polyacrylamide gel and transferred to Immobilon-P polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (Millipore, Bedford, MA), which were probed with rat monoclonal MNDA (myeloid cell nuclear differentiation antigen), rabbit monoclonal LEF-1 (lymphoid enhancer-binding factor 1), and rabbit polyclonal TCL-1 (T cell leukemia/lymphoma 1) antibodies (all from Cell Signaling Technologies, New England Biolabs, Herts, UK), and mouse monoclonal β-actin antibody (Sigma-Aldrich). Immunoreactivity was detected by incubation with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-rat IgG, anti-rabbit IgG, or anti-mouse IgG antibodies (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Insight Biotechnology, Middlesex, UK) and an enhanced chemiluminescence kit (Millipore, Watford, UK), with subsequent digitization using an Image Reader LAS-1000 (Fujifilm, Tokyo, Japan). For quantification of the data, the images were further analyzed on the same instrument using 2D Densitometry Aida Image Analyzer software (Fujifilm). Mann-Whitney tests were used to determine statistical significance of differences in the median values of optical density of the signals corresponding to proteins of interest in CLL samples between UM-CLL and M-CLL cases.

Transmigration Assay and CCR7 Expression—Transmigration assays were carried out as described previously (29), but with the following modifications. Briefly, \(5 \times 10^5\) CLL cells were added to the inserts of Transwell plates (5 µm pore size;Corning B.V., Amsterdam, The Netherlands). The CCL21 (CC chemokine ligand 21; 1 µg/ml; R&D Systems Europe, Oxford, UK) was then added to the bottom wells. The cells were incubated for 4 h at 37 °C with 5% CO\(_2\). After incubation, the transmigrated cells from three technical replicates were counted, and the migration index was calculated (migration index = number of cells migrating in the presence of Woburn, MA). The trap column was washed with 2% ACN and 0.1% formic acid for 10 min at 2 microlitter/min before switching in-line with the analytical column. A gradient of 2–50% ACN and 0.1% (v/v) formic acid over 90 min was applied to the column at a flow rate of 300 nL/min. Spectra were acquired automatically in positive ion mode using information-dependent acquisition powered by Analyst TF 1.5.1 software (AB SCIEX). Up to 25 MS/MS spectra were acquired per cycle (10 Hz) using a threshold of 100 counts/s and with dynamic exclusion for 12 s. The rolling collision energy was increased automatically by selecting the iTRAQ check box in Analyst and manually by increasing the collision energy intercepts by 5.

**Table I**

Clinical features of the 18 CLL samples subjected to iTRAQ-MS

| Clinical feature | M-CLL (n = 9) | UM-CLL (n = 9) | \(p\) |
|-----------------|--------------|---------------|------|
| Age at diagnosis (median), years | 67 | 70 | 0.694 |
| Gender (males/females) | 3/6 | 6/3 | 0.347 |
| Prior therapy (treated/untreated)\(^a\) | 3/6 | 2/7 | 0.620 |
| Leukocyte count at time of sampling (median), ×10\(^9\)/liter | 78.4 | 135.8 | 0.423 |
| High-risk chromosomal abnormalities (17p\(^−\) and/or 11q\(^−\); yes/no)\(^b\) | 2/7 | 2/7 | 1.000 |
| IGHV (median), %\(^c\) | 7.48 | 0.34 | 0.00004 |

The statistical significance of the difference (\(p\) values) between the two groups was determined using a two-tailed Mann-Whitney \(U\) test for parametric data and Fisher’s exact test for nonparametric data, respectively.

\(^a\) Prior therapy consisted of various combinations of glucocorticoid, chlorambucil, fludarabine, or fludarabine plus cyclophosphamide.

\(^b\) CLL samples were tested by interphase fluorescence in situ hybridization for del17p13 (17p\(^−\)), del11q23 (11q\(^−\)), trisomy 12 (12\(^+\)), and del13q14 (13q\(^−\)). 17p and 11q\(^−\) are regarded as high-risk chromosomal abnormalities.

\(^c\) IGHV refers to somatic mutation in the IGHV gene of CLL cells compared with the gene sequence of the nearest germ line, where <2% was classed as UM-CLL and ≥2% was classed as M-CLL.
The expression of the CCL21 receptor (CCR7) in CLL cells was measured by FACS (Becton Dickinson, Oxford, UK).

RESULTS

Quantitative Proteomic Analysis Separates CLL Samples Based on IGHV Mutational Status—To generate quantitative information on the whole proteome of CLL cells, mononuclear cells from nine M-CLL and nine UM-CLL samples containing >90% CLL cells were subjected to iTRAQ-based MS (Table 1). The two groups were well balanced in other respects, including age at diagnosis (median of 67 versus 70 years), prior therapy (37% versus 22%), and adverse chromosomal abnormalities (22% versus 22%), although fewer M-CLL than UM-CLL patients were male (33% versus 67%).
A total of 3521 proteins were identified within a 1% global false discovery rate and with a high confidence of correct peptide sequence assignment (Fig. 1A and the full list of proteins provided in the supplemental data). Of these, 2024 proteins were identified in all three separate iTRAQ-MS experiments (Fig. 1A). To determine whether protein expression was similar between UM-CLL and M-CLL samples, we subjected the data to PCA. As shown in Fig. 1B, PCA showed a distinction between UM-CLL and M-CLL samples based on protein expression.

A two-tailed t test was used to determine the statistical significance of differences in protein expression between UM-CLL and M-CLL samples. As shown in Fig. 1C, protein expression was largely comparable between the two CLL subsets, with 92% of proteins sharing similar levels of expression across the sample cohort. However, we found 274 proteins that were differentially expressed in UM-CLL and M-CLL samples (p < 0.05) (supplemental Table S2). Among them, 127 proteins were expressed at higher levels and 147 proteins at lower levels in UM-CLL samples compared with M-CLL counterparts (Fig. 1C). Relative quantification of 186 of 274 differentially expressed proteins was obtained across all 18 samples. As shown in Fig. 1D, hierarchical clustering of CLL samples based on the expression of these 186 differentially

### Table II

| Enriched pathways                                                                 | p    | FDR  | No. of differentially expressed proteins in pathway | Total proteins in pathway |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|------|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1. Cytoskeleton remodeling, Regulation of actin cytoskeleton by Rho GTPases     | <0.001 | 0.000 | 7                                               | 23                       |
| 2. Chemotaxis, Inhibitory action of lipoxins on IL-8 and leukotriene B4-induced neutrophil migration | <0.001 | 0.000 | 8                                               | 51                       |
| 3. Inhibitory action of lipoxins on neutrophil migration                         | <0.001 | 0.000 | 8                                               | 57                       |
| 4. Cell adhesion, Chemokines and adhesion                                         | <0.001 | 0.000 | 10                                              | 100                      |
| 5. Cell adhesion, IL-8-dependent cell migration and adhesion                     | <0.001 | 0.000 | 6                                               | 33                       |
| 6. Chemotaxis, Leukocyte chemotaxis                                              | <0.001 | 0.000 | 8                                               | 75                       |
| 7. Immune response, Immunological synapse formation                               | <0.001 | 0.001 | 7                                               | 59                       |
| 8. Cell adhesion, Histamine H1 receptor signaling in interruption of cell barrier integrity | <0.001 | 0.001 | 6                                               | 45                       |
| 9. Cytoskeleton remodeling, Integrin outside-in signaling                         | <0.001 | 0.002 | 6                                               | 49                       |
| 10. Cytoskeleton remodeling, Cytoskeleton remodeling                              | <0.001 | 0.002 | 8                                               | 102                      |
| 11. CCR4-dependent immune cell chemotaxis in asthma and atopic dermatitis        | <0.001 | 0.002 | 5                                               | 34                       |
| 12. Development, S1PR1 signaling via β-arrestin                                   | <0.001 | 0.002 | 5                                               | 34                       |
| 13. Chemotaxis, CCR4-induced chemotaxis of immune cells                          | <0.001 | 0.002 | 5                                               | 34                       |
| 14. Mechanism of action of CCR4 antagonists in asthma and atopic dermatitis      | <0.001 | 0.002 | 5                                               | 34                       |
| 15. Immune response, CXCR4 signaling via second messenger                         | <0.001 | 0.002 | 5                                               | 34                       |
| 16. Chemotaxis, C5a-induced chemotaxis                                           | <0.001 | 0.005 | 5                                               | 43                       |
| 17. Immune response, MIF-induced cell adhesion, migration and angiogenesis       | <0.001 | 0.006 | 5                                               | 46                       |
| 18. Chemotaxis, Lipoxin inhibitory action on FMLP-induced neutrophil chemotaxis | <0.001 | 0.006 | 5                                               | 46                       |
| 19. Development, S1PR2 and S1PR3 in cell proliferation and differentiation        | <0.001 | 0.006 | 4                                               | 26                       |
| 20. Development, Thromboxane A2 pathway signaling                                 | 0.001 | 0.007 | 5                                               | 49                       |
| 21. Cytoskeleton remodeling, TGF, Wnt, and cytokoskeletal remodeling              | 0.001 | 0.010 | 7                                               | 111                      |
| 22. Immune response, CCL2 signaling                                              | 0.001 | 0.011 | 5                                               | 54                       |
| 23. Cell adhesion, Integrin inside-out signaling                                 | 0.001 | 0.012 | 4                                               | 34                       |
| 24. Chemotaxis, CXCR4 signaling pathway                                           | 0.001 | 0.014 | 5                                               | 61                       |
| 25. Development, c-Kit ligand signaling pathway during hemopoiesis                | 0.002 | 0.015 | 4                                               | 37                       |
| 26. Cell adhesion, Role of tetraspanins in integrin-mediated cell adhesion        | 0.002 | 0.019 | 4                                               | 19                       |
| 27. Cytoskeleton remodeling, c-Kit Adrenergic receptor-dependent inhibition of PI3K | 0.002 | 0.019 | 4                                               | 40                       |
| 28. Cytoskeleton remodeling, Role of PKA in cytokoskeletal reorganization          | 0.002 | 0.023 | 4                                               | 43                       |
| 29. Development, S1PR3 signaling pathway                                          | 0.003 | 0.042 | 4                                               | 56                       |
| 30. Chemotaxis, CCL2-induced chemotaxis                                           | 0.007 | 0.044 | 3                                               | 30                       |
| 31. Muscle contraction, S1PR2-mediated smooth muscle contraction                  | 0.008 | 0.057 | 3                                               | 34                       |
| 32. Cytoskeleton remodeling, RalA regulation pathway                              | 0.008 | 0.059 | 3                                               | 35                       |
| 33. Cytoskeleton remodeling, Reverse signaling by ephrin B                        | 0.008 | 0.062 | 3                                               | 36                       |
| 34. Cell adhesion, Integrin in cell migration and adhesion                         | 0.011 | 0.088 | 3                                               | 44                       |
| 35. G-protein signaling, S1PR2 signaling                                         | 0.012 | 0.122 | 2                                               | 22                       |
| 36. Cell adhesion, Tight junctions                                                | 0.013 | 0.134 | 3                                               | 37                       |
| 37. Development, S1PR1 signaling pathway                                          | 0.022 | 0.144 | 2                                               | 26                       |
| 38. Development, S1PR4 signaling pathway                                          | 0.036 | 0.144 | 2                                               | 26                       |
| 39. Immune response, IL-33 signaling pathway                                      | 0.043 | 0.144 | 2                                               | 26                       |
| 40. Immune response, IL-18 signaling                                             | 0.048 | 0.144 | 2                                               | 26                       |
| 41. Immune response, IL-17 signaling pathways                                     | 0.048 | 0.144 | 2                                               | 26                       |
| 42. Cell adhesion, Cadherin-mediated cell adhesion                                | 0.049 | 0.144 | 2                                               | 26                       |
| 43. Development, Cross-talk between VEGF and angiopoietin signaling pathways     | 0.049 | 0.144 | 2                                               | 26                       |

FDR, false discovery rate; MIF, migration inhibitory factor; FMLP, formylmethionylleucylphenylalanine.
UM-CLL Associated with Lymphadenopathy

39 individual proteins differentially expressed in M-CLL versus UM-CLL (p < 0.05) that are involved in chemotaxis, cell adhesion, and cytoskeletal remodeling pathways

| Swiss-Prot accession No. | Gene | Protein name | Fold change (UM/M) | p   |
|--------------------------|------|--------------|--------------------|-----|
| P68032                   | Actin cytoskeletal | Actin, α cardiac muscle 1a | -1.5 | 0.011 |
| P63261                   | Actin cytoskeletal | Actin, cytoplasmic 2 | -2.7 | 0.014 |
| O15143                   | Arp2/3 | Actin-related protein 2/3 complex subunit 1B | -1.4 | 0.038 |
| O15144                   | Arp2/3 | Actin-related protein 2/3 complex subunit 2 | -1.8 | 0.001 |
| O15145                   | Arp2/3 | Actin-related protein 2/3 complex subunit 3 | -1.6 | 0.014 |
| P61158                   | Arp2/3 | Actin-related protein 3 | -1.6 | 0.031 |
| P62330                   | ARF6  | ADP-ribosylation factor 6 | -1.8 | 0.013 |
| P35611                   | Adducin 1 (α) | -αAdducin | -1.6 | 0.004 |
| P63010                   | Actin cytoskeletal | AP-2 complex subunit β | -1.5 | 0.010 |
| Q86G01                   | AP complex 2 medium (μ) chain | AP-2 complex subunit μ | 2.2 | 0.014 |
| P16070                   | CD44  | CD44 antigen | 1.4 | 0.012 |
| O43699                   | GRB4/NCK2 | Cytoplasmic protein NCK2a | -1.3 | 0.045 |
| Q92606                   | DOCK2 | Dedicator of cytokinesis protein 2 | -1.8 | 0.037 |
| Q02750                   | MEK1/2 | Dual specificity mitogen-activated protein kinase 1 | -1.4 | 0.007 |
| P50570                   | Dynamin-2 | Dynamin-2 | -1.3 | 0.048 |
| P02675                   | Fibrinogen | Fibrinogen β chain | -6.0 | 0.049 |
| P02679                   | Fibrinogen γ | Fibrinogen γ chain | -9.3 | 0.044 |
| P21333                   | Filamin | Filamin-A | -3.0 | 0.006 |
| P04899                   | G protein α, family | Guanine nucleotide-binding protein G, subunit α-2 | -1.6 | 0.006 |
| P20036                   | MHC class II | HLA class II histocompatibility antigen, DP α1 chain | 2.0 | 0.020 |
| O14920                   | IKK-β | Inhibitor of nuclear factor κB kinase subunit β | -1.3 | 0.017 |
| P20701                   | αLαα integrin | Integrin αL | -2.1 | 0.023 |
| P12115                   | αMb integrin | Integrin αM | -2.6 | 0.010 |
| P05107                   | ITGB2/βL integrin | Integrin β2 | -2.3 | 0.046 |
| Q89UJ2                   | Tc1/LEF-1 | Lymphoid enhancer-binding factor 1 | 1.2 | 0.019 |
| P49137                   | MAPKAP | MAPK-activated protein kinase 2a | -1.4 | 0.043 |
| P06660                   | MELC/myosin | Myosin light polypeptide 6 | -1.8 | 0.023 |
| P24844                   | MRLC/myosin | Myosin regulatory light polypeptide 9 | -5.5 | 0.029 |
| P35579                   | Myosin | Myosin-9 | -2.9 | 0.002 |
| Q00653                   | NF-κB | Nuclear factor NF-κB p100 subunit | -1.3 | 0.035 |
| O14289                   | FAK2/Pyk2 | Protein-tyrosine kinase 2β | -1.4 | 0.038 |
| O7LDG7                   | CalDAG-GEF1 | RAS guanyl-releasing protein 2 | -2.2 | 0.006 |
| P08575                   | CD45 | Receptor-type tyrosine-protein phosphatase C | -1.7 | 0.009 |
| Q02888                   | ARHGEF1 | Rho guanine nucleotide exchange factor 1 | -1.5 | 0.049 |
| P51812                   | p90RSK | Ribosomal protein S6 kinase α3 | -1.5 | 0.006 |
| P42229                   | STAT5 | Signal transducer and activator of transcription 5A | -1.9 | 0.028 |
| Q9Y490                   | Talin | Talin-1 | -3.5 | 0.015 |
| P07996                   | Thrombospondin-1 | Thrombospondin-1 | -5.2 | 0.017 |
| P50552                   | VASP | Vasodilator-stimulated phosphoprotein | -1.8 | 0.050 |

All proteins were identified by two or more peptides at ≥90% confidence and were present in two or more iTRAQ experiments unless indicated otherwise.

a Proteins were identified by a single peptide at ≥99% confidence and were present in two or more iTRAQ experiments.

expressed proteins clearly separated cases into two distinct groups corresponding to IGHV mutational status, with no samples identified as outliers.

**Verification of iTRAQ-MS Data by Western Blotting**—To validate the iTRAQ-MS data, we sought to verify the differential expression of a small number of proteins by Western blotting. We selected three differentially expressed proteins as follows: TCL-1 (30, 31), MNDa (32), and LEF-1 (33). The expression of TCL-1, MNDa, and LEF-1 as measured by Western blotting varied among the 18 samples (supplemental Fig. S1A) and correlated with IGHV mutational status with a pattern of differential expression entirely consistent with the iTRAQ-MS data (supplemental Fig. S1B).

UM-CLL Cells Are Characterized by Defective Cell Migration Pathways—We next used GeneGo pathway maps from MetaCore to identify pathways enriched by the 274 proteins that were differentially expressed in the two subsets of CLL samples (p < 0.05). The enrichment analysis identified 169 signaling pathways (p < 0.05) (supplemental Table S3). Remarkably, 26 of the top 50 most significantly altered pathways were associated with cell migration/adhesion. In total, 43 cell migration/adhesion pathways (Table 2) were significantly enriched by 39 differentially expressed proteins (Table 3). As all of these 39 proteins were involved in lymphocyte entry into, transit within, or exit from the lymphoid tissues (Fig. 2) and 35 of them were expressed at significantly lower levels in UM-
LL samples, these results suggest that UM-CLL cells have reduced migratory properties compared with their M-CLL counterparts.

The lymphocyte chemotaxis pathway, which is essential for migration into and transit within lymphoid tissues (34), was significantly altered (p < 0.001) in UM-CLL cells. Of particular note, eight of the nine differentially expressed proteins in this pathway were expressed at significantly lower levels in UM-CLL samples (Fig. 3). These underexpressed proteins included the Rap (Ras-related protein) activator CalDAG-GEFI (Q7LDG7), which is involved in integrin activation (35, 36); both chains of the \( \alpha \beta_2 \) integrin (P20701 and P05107), which is required for the migration of lymphocytes into lymph nodes; and talin (Q9Y490), which is important in maintaining the high-affinity binding state of \( \alpha \beta_2 \) (37). Collectively, these observations strongly suggest that UM-CLL is associated with impaired Rap1-dependent \( \alpha \beta_2 \)-mediated migration.

We also found that CD44 (P16070), which facilitates the adhesion of CLL cells within the lymph node microenvironment (38), was expressed at significantly higher levels in UM-CLL cells, whereas two proteins that regulate S1PR1 (sphingosine 1-phosphate receptor 1) signaling, dynamin-2 (P50570) and G-protein \( \alpha_i \) (P04899) (39, 40), were expressed at significantly lower levels. Because egress of lymphocytes from lymph nodes is absolutely dependent on S1PR1 (41), these findings suggest that the latter process is also dysfunctional in UM-CLL. In keeping with the GeneGo MetaCore pathway analysis, PANTHER analysis indicated that many of the proteins that were expressed at reduced levels in UM-CLL cells are involved in cytoskeletal remodeling (supplemental Fig. S2, A and B).

**UM-CLL Cells Retain Their Ability to Migrate toward CCL21** — The proteomic data suggest that UM-CLL cells have migration defects that affect both lymph node entry and exit. To formulate a hypothesis that could be validated at the clinical level, we examined one of the key steps involved in the entry
Enrichment of leukocyte chemotaxis pathway by proteins found to be differentially expressed in M-CLL versus UM-CLL.

One of the migration pathways most enriched by the GeneGo MetaCore pathway maps using proteins differentially expressed between UM-CLL and M-CLL was the leukocyte chemotaxis pathway ($p < 0.001$). This pathway directs leukocyte movement to lymphatic organs and also allows...
of CLL cells into lymph nodes, namely, migration toward CCL21. To ascertain the functional integrity of this aspect of lymph node entry in light of our published observations that integrin activation on CLL cells is defective (29, 42, 43), CLL cells were examined for their ability to migrate in the absence of adhesion using Transwell assays. Although the UM-CLL cells tended to migrate less than the M-CLL cells, no significant differences were observed \((p = 0.713)\) (supplemental Fig. S3). These data therefore support the notion that UM-CLL cells at least partly retain their ability to migrate toward CCL21 and are therefore able to enter the lymph node environment.

**Patients with UM-CLL Have More Lymphadenopathy than Those with M-CLL** — On the basis of our proteomic and functional data, we hypothesized that UM-CLL cells are retained in lymph nodes due to a global defect in migration coupled with impaired S1PR1-mediated egress and increased adhesion to hyaluronan within the tissue via CD44. To test this hypothesis, we examined the clinical records of patients with M-CLL \((n = 78)\) and UM-CLL \((n = 42)\) for documentation of lymph node enlargement. To be able to analyze lymphadenopathy independently of overall tumor burden, cases of CLL used for this comparison were selected to have similar levels of expression. However, almost 8% of proteins were differentially expressed. This contrasts with the much lower proportion of genes \((<1\%)\) that were found to be differentially expressed in mRNA profiling studies (8, 9, 45). It appears that differences in gene expression between M-CLL and UM-CLL may simply reflect the selective retention of UM-CLL cells in lymph nodes.

**Other Pathways Enriched by Differentially Expressed Proteins** — Although the most striking difference between M-CLL and UM-CLL cells identified by proteomic analysis was the defect in cell migration pathways in the IGHV-unmutated group, other differences were also observed. For example, PANTHER analysis showed that the majority of proteins that were overexpressed in UM-CLL cells are involved in nucleic acid binding and RNA splicing factor activity (supplemental Fig. S2, C and D), suggesting higher levels of transcriptional and translational activities in these cells. Furthermore, GeneGo MetaCore analysis showed that pathways that promote cell survival and proliferation in UM-CLL cells were significantly enriched (supplemental Table S3). These pathways included the immune response pathway involving B cell receptor signaling \((p = 0.006)\) (supplemental Fig. S4), the endoplasmic reticulum stress response pathway \((p = 0.035)\) (supplemental Fig. S5), and the Wnt signaling pathway \((p = 0.006)\), where Lef-1, a critical transcription factor in this pathway (44), was significantly overexpressed in UM-CLL cells \((p = 0.019 \text{ and } 0.004 \text{ for iTRAQ-based MS and Western blot confirmation, respectively})\).

**DISCUSSION**

The aim of this study was to elucidate pathogenetic mechanisms responsible for the adverse clinical course of UM-CLL by comparing the proteome of M-CLL and UM-CLL cells. Using iTRAQ-MS, we analyzed the total proteome of 18 primary CLL samples and identified 3521 proteins, making this the largest proteomic study hitherto conducted in CLL. In agreement with previous mRNA profiling studies (8, 9), overall protein expression in the nine UM-CLL and nine M-CLL samples was largely comparable, with 92% of identified proteins sharing similar levels of expression. However, almost 8% of proteins were differentially expressed. This contrasts with the much lower proportion of genes \((<1\%)\) that were found to be differentially expressed in mRNA profiling studies (8, 9, 45). It can therefore be deduced that post-transcriptional regulation is an important determinant of gene expression in CLL and that differences in gene expression between M-CLL and UM-CLL are substantially greater at the protein than mRNA level.

---

**TABLE IV**

| Clinical feature | M-CLL \((n = 78)\) | UM-CLL \((n = 42)\) | \(p\) |
|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------|
| Age (median), years | 67 | 69 | 0.589 |
| Gender \((\text{males/females})\) | 44/34 | 20/22 | 0.443 |
| Prior therapy \((\text{treated/untreated})\) | 3/61 | 1/32 | 1.000 |
| Leukocyte count (median), \(\times 10^9/\text{liter}\) | 28.25 | 37.35 | 0.072 |
| Lymphadenopathy \(\geq 1.5 \text{ cm (yes/no)}\) | 19/59 | 21/21 | 0.0078 |

The extended cohort comprised all locally stored CLL cases for which IGHV mutational status was known, information on lymphadenopathy available, and leucocyte count \(>10^9/\text{liter}\). The statistical significance of the difference \((p\) values) between the two groups was determined using a two-tailed Mann-Whitney \(U\) test for parametric data and Fisher’s exact test for nonparametric data, respectively. All information presented relates to the time of sample collection.
In keeping with this observation, it is noteworthy that PCA clearly separated CLL samples into two groups corresponding to IGHV mutational status.

After verifying the differential expression of three proteins (i.e., TCL-1, MNDI, and LEF-1), the iTRAQ-MS data were subjected to two different forms of computational analyses to explore the functional implications of the 274 differentially expressed proteins. Strikingly, both GeneGo MetaCore pathway analysis and PANTHER analysis of individual proteins revealed that a high proportion of differentially expressed proteins were involved in cell migration processes, and that almost all of these were underexpressed in UM-CLL. It can therefore be concluded that UM-CLL cells have a global defect in migration.

With regard to specific migration pathways that are defective in UM-CLL, the results of the computational analysis may at first appear contradictory. Thus, although UM-CLL cells underexpressed proteins involved in egress from lymph nodes (dynamin-2 and G-protein αi, which activate S1PR1), they also underexpressed proteins involved in lymph node entry (αLβ2 integrin and CalDAG-GEFI, which activate αLβ2 via Rap1, and talin, which stabilizes the integrin in its active conformation). UM-CLL cells also overexpressed CD44, which contributes to the retention of CLL cells in lymph nodes by mediating adhesion to hyaluronic following engagement of CD40 by CD154-expressing T cells in the lymph node microenvironment (38). The overall impact of these different migration defects clearly depends on which are functionally most important. Pertinent to this consideration is our previous demonstration that Rap1-dependent αLβ2 activation is defective in CLL but that this defect can be overcome if α4β1 is coexpressed (43). Other groups have shown that surface α4β1 expression is higher in UM-CLL (46). We therefore speculated that the αLβ2 defect in UM-CLL cells is counteracted by their increased surface expression of α4β1. In keeping with this idea, we found that UM-CLL cells at least partially retain their ability to migrate toward CCL21, which is required for lymph node entry (42, 47). This led us to formulate the hypothesis that in UM-CLL, defective lymph node entry is more than compensated for by enhanced retention and impaired egress, resulting in the selective accumulation of the malignant cells in lymph nodes. To test this hypothesis, we related lymph node enlargement to IGHV status in a cohort of 120 patients and observed that lymphadenopathy was twice as common in patients with UM-CLL compared with those with M-CLL. The association between UM-CLL and lymph node enlargement was not simply a reflection of increased tumor burden, as the M-CLL and UM-CLL patients used for this comparison had similar levels of blood involvement.

Retention of CLL cells in lymph nodes is likely to have profound implications for disease pathogenesis, given that the lymph node microenvironment provides crucial proliferative stimuli (48–50) and that lymph node enlargement is associated with adverse clinical outcome (51–53). In keeping with this concept, PANTHER analysis revealed that the majority of proteins that were overexpressed in UM-CLL cells had nucleic acid binding and RNA splicing factor activity. It is also of interest that UM-CLL cells displayed a pattern of protein expression indicating increased activity in B cell receptor signaling, endoplasmic reticulum stress response, and Wnt signaling pathways. These properties of UM-CLL cells could be intrinsic to their differentiation/maturation status. Alternatively, they could represent changes induced by extrinsic stimuli during their delayed passage through the lymph node microenvironment that are retained after the cells have re-entered the blood stream.

The concept that UM-CLL cells are preferentially retained in lymph nodes, where they are exposed to proliferative stimuli, suggests that therapeutic strategies that displace CLL cells from lymph nodes may be particularly effective in UM-CLL. In agreement with this prediction, clinical studies have shown that the overall response to ibrutinib, an inhibitor of Bruton tyrosine kinase that redistributes CLL cells from tissues into the blood by preventing tissue adhesion and homing (54–56), is significantly higher in patients with UM-CLL (77%) compared with those with M-CLL (33%) (p = 0.005) (57). Very similar findings have been observed with idelalisib (58), a selective inhibitor of the phosphoinositide 3’-kinase p110 delta isoform that mobilizes CLL cells into the blood by interfering with microenvironmental interactions (59, 60).

In conclusion, we have shown that quantitative analysis of the total proteome by iTRAQ-MS was able to separate individual CLL cases according to IGHV status and explain the more aggressive clinical behavior of UM-CLL and its particular sensitivity to treatments that induce anatomical displacement from the lymph node microenvironment. More generally, and in accordance with the ability of proteomic analysis to detect alterations in gene expression resulting from both transcriptional and post-transcriptional mechanisms (61, 62), the study illustrates the considerable potential of iTRAQ-MS coupled with a systems biology approach to elucidate pathogenetic mechanisms and indicate therapeutic strategies in cancer.
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