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ABSTRACT: High spatial resolution imaging and chemical-specific detection in living organisms is important in a wide range of fields from medicine to catalysis. In this work, we characterize a wide-field surface-enhanced Raman scattering (SERS) imaging approach capable of simultaneously capturing images and SERS spectra from nanoparticle SERS tags in cancer cells. By passing the image through a transmission diffraction grating before it reaches an array detector, we record the image and wavelength dispersed signal simultaneously on the camera sensor. Optimization of the experiment provides an approach with better spectral resolution and more rapid acquisition than liquid crystal tunable filters commonly used for wide-field SERS imaging. Intensity fluctuations inherent to SERS enabled localization algorithms to be applied to both the spatial and spectral domain, providing super-resolution SERS images that are correlated with improved peak positions identified in the spectrum of the SERS tag. The detected Raman signal is shown to be sensitive to the focal plane, providing three-dimensional (3D) sectioning abilities for the detected nanoparticles. Our work demonstrates spectrally resolved super-resolution SERS imaging that has the potential to be applied to complex physical and biological imaging applications.
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INTRODUCTION

The ability to image molecules on dimensions relevant to chemical interactions has tremendous potential to advance the understanding for diverse applications from medicine and pharmaceuticals to the environment, advanced materials, and sensors. The noninvasive nature of optical microscopy can monitor systems under living (in vitro or in vivo) as well as operating (in operando) conditions. Advances in optical imaging, enabling single-molecule localization, have enabled unprecedented visualization of these dynamic processes. Equally important to locating the molecules is the ability to detect chemical changes and interactions occurring.

Vibrational spectroscopy has been used to monitor these chemical processes by detecting the energy associated with chemical bonds to identify and quantify species. Infrared and Raman imaging have both advanced to enable label-free detection based on the chemical properties of the samples. The spatial resolution of these vibrational techniques is typically limited by the diffraction limit \( d = \lambda / (2NA) \), where the spot diameter \( d \) is a function of the wavelength \( \lambda \) and the numerical aperture of the lens (NA), while the spectral resolution and acquisition speed are often determined by the cross section of the sample. Raman has an intrinsic advantage in spatial resolution associated with shorter wavelengths of visible lasers relative to infrared radiation; however, the small cross sections typical of most Raman processes lead to long acquisition times. A number of different approaches (e.g., point scanning, line scanning, multipoint scanning, wide-field imaging, etc.) have been demonstrated to image and resolve the energy differences present in chemical samples. In addition to linear or spontaneous spectroscopies, nonlinear approaches have also been investigated to increase the speed and sensitivity of vibrational imaging.

The enhancement of Raman signals on plasmonic nanoparticles, surface-enhanced Raman scattering (SERS), provides dramatic signal increases that enable new opportunities for Raman measurements. The electric fields confined to the surface of the nanoparticles generate an intense molecular signal that transforms Raman into an ultrasensitive, even single-molecule, detection method. This has led to the development of SERS tags that can be functionalized with antibodies, nucleotides, or other affinity agents, providing a unique signal for detection.
molecules with the nanoparticles can also increase the observed Raman signal, providing increased sensitivity for label-free detection. The signals observed in SERS have been shown to be transient, and the magnitude of transient SERS fluctuations is often missed if the signal is averaged over extended acquisition times. Additional rapid intensity fluctuations, SERS intensity fluctuations (SIFs), are also observed arising from dynamic events on individual nanoparticles. These intense SERS responses can be recorded on time scales limited by the detectors.

The intense signals associated with SERS have given rise to super-resolution SERS imaging. The intense SERS signals can be fit with localization algorithms, such as those used in stochastic optical reconstruction microscopy (STORM), to generate images corresponding to the location of single-molecule emitters. Super-resolution SERS has been used to map hot spots in nanoparticle dimers, SIFs on microsecond time scales, hot spots in plasmonic arrays, and protein receptors in cultured cancer cells. These approaches commonly bin the Stokes scattering to produce a larger signal detectable on array detectors. The ability to correlate the SERS spectrum with the emitter has also been demonstrated. One report showed a liquid crystal tunable filter (LCTF) preserved the chemical information in wide-field SERS imaging. LCTFs can provide a narrow spectral bandpass (typically 10 nm); however, the throughput is low. An alternate approach for spectral imaging is snapshot imaging, where the image is passed through a transmission diffraction grating in close proximity to the array detector. The sensor simultaneously captures the image and the first-order diffraction, the latter corresponding to the spectrum. This approach has been used by others to resolve SERS from array substrates, and the electronic scattering spectrum from nanoparticles. In our prior work, we demonstrated the SERS intensity fluctuations on asymmetric nanoparticles correlated with chemical transformations. We were able to correlate SERS spectra with 10–100 ms temporal fluctuations to the location of the emissions on the nanoparticle with sub-diffraction-limited resolution.

The ability to super-resolve the location and measure the SERS spectrum simultaneously suggests new opportunities for SERS imaging. Tip-enhanced Raman spectroscopy (TERS) has provided sub-nm spatial resolution imaging but requires access to the molecules by a scanning probe microscope tip. The ability to super-resolve and simultaneously record the spectrum, by the snapshot imaging approach noted above, of a molecule on a nanoparticle suggests the possibility of nanospectroscopy from molecules buried within complex samples. In the present work, we explore the instrumentation and performance of super-resolution SERS spectral imaging of silica shell, mercaptobenzoic acid (MBA)-functionalized, gold nanoparticles (AuNP@Silica), and the ability to locate the nanoparticles and measure the spectrum in cancer cells. Optimization of the signal collection provides wide-field imaging with spectral resolution better than an LCTF that also records the full spectrum in each acquisition. Recording the full spectrum in each frame enables monitoring of chemical interactions in the imaged sample. The MBA molecules detected here provide a distinct SERS spectrum that can be used to validate the particles detected and associated chemical processes. Fluctuations in the SERS intensity are processed to super-resolve the location of the nanoparticles using existing algorithms. In our results, we also evaluate the use of intensity fluctuations in the spectral response to provide improved precision of peak positions in the measured spectra. Optimization and characterization of the instrumentation show how the size of the imaged object impacts spectral resolution. The intensity of the SERS signal is shown to vary dramatically with the focal plane, providing three-dimensional (3D) imaging capability. Our results demonstrate simultaneous detection of both the image and SERS spectrum of nanoparticles in cells and open new possibilities for monitoring chemical processes in complex systems.

### METHODS

#### Synthesis and Characterization of AuNP@Silica nanoparticles

Spherical gold nanoparticles were made by reduction with citrate as reported previously to produce a suspension of 5.7 × 10⁹ nanoparticles/mL. The average size of the nanoparticles was determined by dynamic light scattering to be 40 nm. To 10 mL of the nanoparticle suspension, 100 μL of 40 mM MBA was added; the solution was allowed to shake for 30 min, was pelleted, and was resuspended in water using 20% of the original volume. The gold nanoparticles were encapsulated in silica by adding 6 mL of EtOH and 0.4 mL of NH₄OH per 2 mL of particles and then immediately adding the solution into 20 mL of isopropyl alcohol (IPA), 20 μL of tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS), and 0.3 mL of water per 2 mL of particles. This solution was allowed to shake for 19 h. The resulting suspension was pelleted by centrifugation in 4 × 10 mL tubes (30 min × 3000g), and each pellet was washed twice in 1 mL of 1:1 EtOH/water by resuspending in this solution and pelleting by centrifugation for 20 min at 3000g. This suspension was then centrifuged again (20 min × 3000g) and resuspended in 2 mL of water total.

Ensemble SERS spectra of the AuNP@Silica particles were obtained with a Snowy Range Instruments IM-52 Raman spectrometer. A 638 nm laser using 23 mW power and 10 s acquisition time were used. Extinction spectra were obtained with a WVR UV-1600 PC spectrometer. A Tecnai 30 transmission electron microscope (TEM) was used for electron microscopy. Prior to spectrally resolved SERS imaging, the nanoparticles were dropped onto a glass coverslip.

#### Cell Culturing and Fixation

Human SW620 colon cancer cells derived from commercial cell lines (ATCC, Manassas, VA) were passaged at approximately 80% confluency in Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI)-1640 medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS). The cells were cultured in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂ at a temperature of 37 °C in accordance with previously published protocols.

Glass coverslips were cleaned in Alnochromix solution and autoclaved. Cells were added to coverslips two days prior to the addition of nanoparticles. Twenty-four hours after the particles were added to the cells, the cells were fixed by removing the media from the cells, adding parafomaldehyde (4% in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS)) to the cells for 15 min and rinsing with PBS. The parafomaldehyde was then removed, and the cells were rinsed with 6 mL of PBS, followed by 3 mL of water.

#### Spectrally Resolved SERS Imaging

The samples were illuminated using a 659 nm single longitudinal mode diode laser (Laser Quantum) with a variable power output from 0–300 mW. The laser was directed onto the samples through a f = 75 mm plano-convex lens (Thorlabs). An inverted microscope (IX-71, Olympus) with a 100×, 1.3 NA oil immersion objective (Olympus) was used for imaging. The scattered light was collected and passed through a 638 nm longpass dichroic mirror (Thorlabs) and a 660 nm longpass edge filter (Semrock) before exiting the microscope. The collected light was then directed through a 300 groove/mm visible transmission diffraction grating with a 17.5° blaze angle (Thorlabs).
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and onto a two-dimensional (2D) scientific complementary metal–oxide–semiconductor (sCMOS, ORCA-Flash 4.0 V2, Hamamatsu, Ltd). The sCMOS sensor used is a 2048 × 2048 array with 6.5 μm pixels.

**Calibration**

For calibration experiments, a 20× 0.45 NA, 40× 0.60 NA, or 100× 0.8 NA (Olympus) objective was used. The 659 nm laser or a 6032 Ne calibration lamp (Newport) was directed through a 1 or 5 μm pinhole (Thorlabs) and imaged on the sCMOS camera. To correlate wavelength and pixel location from the n = 0 order, the pixels in the y-direction containing the signal (4–20 rows depending on the size of the pinhole and objective used) were averaged together and the average intensity profile was plotted. Known Ne emission wavelengths were plotted against the distances between the most intense pixel from the zeroth order and each of the peaks in the first order to create a calibration curve. The slope of the calibration curve is the observed dispersion and was used to calculate the wavelength at each pixel from the most intense pixel in the zeroth-order image. The wavelength at each pixel was subsequently converted to Raman shift for SERS analysis.

**Data Processing and Analysis**

Images were acquired using the NIS-Elements Advanced Research software (Nikon) at a 5 Hz frame rate for 1000 frames and a 1 Hz frame rate for 20 frames for AuNP@Silica particles on a glass surface and on fixed cells, respectively. ImageJ (U.S. National Institutes of Health) was used for image analysis, and Matlab (Mathworks) was used for spectral analysis. To generate intensity profiles and spectra, the rows of pixels containing the signal in the y-direction were averaged together depending on the size of the particle or pinhole. A range of 5–8 and 4–20 rows of pixels were averaged together for AuNP@Silica particles and pinholes, respectively. The ThunderSTORM plug-in for ImageJ was used for STORM fittings and analysis.

### RESULTS

**Spectrally Resolved SERS Imaging**

Figure 1A illustrates our homebuilt spectrally resolved SERS imaging experiment. Briefly, the 659 nm excitation laser passes through a convex lens positioned at its focal length above the sample. This enables a wide-field field of view (FOV) by focusing the laser on a spot illuminating an area of 35 μm in diameter (Figure S1), resulting in a power density of 7.3 kW/cm² at the sample. The scattered light is collected with a 100×, 1.3 NA oil objective, and the Raman scattered signal passes through a 638 nm dichroic mirror and a 660 nm notch filter prior to exiting the microscope. The dichroic mirror and notch filter attenuate the anti-Stokes and Rayleigh scattering so that the Stokes scattered Raman light is prevalent in the detected image. The position of the filters before the tube lens is important to avoid a spectral offset in the detected image associated with passing convergent light through the filter. The collected light then passes through a blazed diffraction grating, and the n = 0 (spatial) and n = 1 (spectral) order diffraction is imaged onto a sCMOS detector.

To demonstrate this technique, AuNP@Silica particles with a localized surface plasmon resonance (LSPR) of 548 nm (Figure S2) were imaged. TEM images of the AuNP@Silica particles are shown in Figure S3. Figure 1B,C shows the n = 0 and n = 1 FOV for a single frame and the average of 1000 frames, respectively. SIFs are a common occurrence not only with single-molecule SERS but also with single particles functionalized with a monolayer,32,37,50 SIFs and temporal fluctuations associated with Raman scattering enable super-resolution/localization algorithms such as STORM to be applied by fitting the point spread function (PSF) of the nanoparticle signal fluctuations to a 2D-Gaussian.35,51,52 By plotting the center of the PSF for each emitter, the position of the emitting center of the nanoparticle is localized to a few pixels. For particles with near-uniform coverage of the molecule, the emission is the weighted average of all emitters on the particle.53 As previously described, the first-order diffraction (n = 1) provides the Raman spectrum associated with the spectral intensity in the n = 0 image.44 Because the intensity of the n = 1 order fluctuates simultaneously with the n = 0 order, STORM algorithms can also be applied to the spectral region. Figure 1D shows the STORM fitting output generated from the image stack. The particles detected in Figure 1D have an average full width at half-maximum

![Figure 1](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsmeasuresciu.2c00013)
The dispersion detected in $n = 1$ is shown as a function of distance from the grating-to-SCMOS detector from a Ne calibration lamp through a 1 μm pinhole. (A) Overlay of 0th order (left) and first-order (right) diffraction images collected at varying distances between the grating and CMOS sensor. (B) Plot of wavelength vs number of pixels from the zeroth-order feature produces calibration curves showing the expected increase in dispersion as the distance between the grating and sensor increases. The sensor has been cropped to the region of interest. (C) Calibrated spectra from the 1 μm pinhole illuminated with a neon lamp and imaged at diffraction grating-to-sensor distances of 21 mm (red), 24 mm (green), 28 mm (cyan), and 31 mm (pink) show the expected changes in the FWHM for the detected Ne emission lines. The spectra in (C) are normalized and offset for clarity. The scale bar in (A) is 5 μm. The black lines in (B) are linear best fit lines.

Figure 2C shows the improvement in spectral resolution as the distance between the grating and detector increases while the size of the pinhole in the $n = 0$ order is constant. The FWHM for the most intense (703.2 nm) peak was calculated and it showed a 2 nm improvement with a 10 mm distance increase. For the remainder of the experiments, the distance between the grating and sensor was kept constant at 31 mm with a dispersion of 0.66 nm/pixel. This distance was chosen not only for the dispersion improvement but also it prevented overlap between the $n = 0$ and $n = 1$ order and the $n = 1$ dispersions for each nanoparticle illuminated in the $n = 0$ order image onto the detector using a 35 μm illuminated FOV on the sample.

In addition to the dispersion, the spectral resolution is limited by the number of pixels in the $n = 0$ order image or the size of the source image. The textbook relationship: $\Delta \lambda = wD^{-1}$ indicates the resolution ($\Delta \lambda$), or wavelength uncertainty, is limited by the linear reciprocal dispersion ($D^{-1}$) and the slit width ($w$). Classically, the wavelength uncertainty in a spectrum is limited by the spectrometer slit width. However, in our approach, the observed size of the emitter image acts as a virtual slit that, in combination with the dispersion, controls the spectral resolution. To demonstrate this, we illuminated a 1 μm pinhole with a Ne lamp and imaged it with a 100× and 20× objective and compared the spectral resolution of the pinhole to an AuNP@Silica particle from Figure 1. Imaging the pinhole with various magnification objectives effectively changes the size of the virtual slit, which changes the observed spectral resolution. The images of the $n = 0$ and $n = 1$ domains of the pinhole and nanoparticle are shown in Figure 3A,B, respectively. The spectra from those images are shown in Figure 3C. To demonstrate how the spectral resolution changes across a range of image sizes, 1 and 5 μm pinholes imaged with various magnification objectives and AuNP@Silica nanoparticles were analyzed. The emitter image sizes were estimated by plotting the intensity profiles of the $n = 0$ (FWHM) of 70 nm, which is below the diffraction-limited resolution of 254 nm.

Careful consideration of several factors that impact the performance of the instrument was taken, namely the distance between the grating and the SCMOS sensor, which dictates the dispersion in the $n = 1$ order, and the illumination spot size. To use as much of the detector as possible without overlapping features, these parameters were chosen such that the $n = 0$ order and $n = 1$ order fill about 1/3 and 2/3 of the detector in the $x$-direction, respectively (Figure 1).

**Optimization of Instrument Performance**

Dispersion and the size of the source image were analyzed in an effort to determine and maximize the spectral resolution of our imaging system. To experimentally determine dispersion in the $n = 1$ order, we moved the SCMOS sensor to vary the distance between the sensor and diffraction grating and illuminated a 1 μm pinhole with a Ne calibration lamp. Figure 2A shows an overlay of the raw images taken over a 10 mm distance increase with an improvement in the dispersion as the distance increases. The intensity profiles of the $n = 1$ responses were plotted with respect to the number of pixels from the $n = 0$ order for each grating-to-detector distance and then used to make calibration curves for each distance (Figure 2B). The observed dispersion was determined from the slope of the calibration lines and reported in Figure 2B. The observed dispersions are in good agreement with the calculated dispersions (Table S1), especially as the dispersion improves. From there, the wavelength at each pixel from the $n = 0$ order was calculated and the intensity profiles were plotted with respect to wavelength (Figure 2C). Because the wavelength is calibrated at each pixel from the most intense pixel in the $n = 0$ portion of the sensor, calibrated spectra can be readily plotted by correlating the $n = 1$ signal to the $n = 0$ pixel containing the most intense signal in the collected image.
order images and finding the FWHM. Spectral resolution in the $n=1$ domain was estimated by plotting the intensity profiles from the images and finding the FWHM of the most intense bands (703 nm for Ne and 736 nm for MBA). Figure 3D shows a plot of FWHM of the $n=1$ domain with respect to FWHM of the $n=0$ domain for 15 AuNP@Silica particles and 1 μm pinhole illuminated with a Ne lamp and imaged with a 20X, 40X, and 100X objective and a 5 μm pinhole illuminated with a Ne lamp imaged with a 20X objective. The pinholes and AuNP@Silica particles are indicated by the blue and red markers, respectively. The most intense band was used to determine FWHM in the $n=1$ order. A linear trendline is indicated by the black line.

**Spectrally Resolved SERS Imaging of AuNP@Silica**

Figure 4 shows the spectral response from two nanoparticles shown in Figure 1. Heat maps of SERS intensity from consecutive measurements over time for the two nanoparticles are shown in Figure 4A,C. The SERS response from the nanoparticle in Figure 4A shows two consistent bands throughout the signal collection centered at 1070 and 1586 cm$^{-1}$, which are consistent with MBA SERS bands in the ensemble solution spectrum (Figure S4) attributed to aromatic ring vibrations.$^{58}$ Some intensity fluctuations occur, but the bands do not shift in energy as the measurement progresses. On the other hand, the SERS response from the nanoparticle in Figure 4C also has the 1070 and 1586 cm$^{-1}$ bands, but at $\sim$100 s, there are transient, intense peak shifts to 1250 and 1480 cm$^{-1}$. Our group has shown with spectrally resolved SERS imaging that MBA adsorbed to gold nanostars may reveal the formation of radicals of MBA or photochemical reaction products.$^{44}$

The red curves in Figure 4B,D show the ensemble-averaged SERS spectrum from the 1000 frames used to generate the heat maps in Figure 4A,C, respectively. The average spectrum in Figure 4D shows two broad bands centered at 1148 and 1513 cm$^{-1}$, with the peak broadening and shifting attributed to the possible radical formation.$^{57}$ Applying STORM algorithms to the images not only localizes the emitting centers to a single or a few pixels in the $n=0$ order (Figure 1D) but also localizes the spectral fluctuations in the $n=1$ order. Plotting the STORM-generated spectral responses results in significantly improved peak identification with the center of the peaks consistent with the raw SERS spectra. STORM results do not have an intensity-based scale like the SERS spectra but rather produce a digital histogram based on the number of frames the
emitter is fit to that pixel position. The $n = 1$ STORM fittings are plotted in black in Figure 4B,D. The STORM fit for the first nanoparticle has two peaks centered at 1070 and 1586 cm$^{-1}$, the same positions as the average spectrum. Comparing this fit to the second derivative spectrum (blue curve), the STORM fit provides a more distinct peak identification without having to apply smoothing or background correction, which would be needed to utilize the second derivative spectrum to identify the peaks.

The localization algorithm applied to generate the super-resolved image can also be used to identify spectral fluctuations with improved precision. The $n = 1$ STORM fit for the second nanoparticle (Figure 4D, black curve) not only shows two distinct MBA peaks at 1083 and 1599 cm$^{-1}$, but it also identifies the fluctuating components that are observed in the time-dependent spectra (Figure 4C) but are not cleanly resolved in the ensemble-averaged spectrum (Figure 4D, red curve) or the second derivative spectrum (Figure 4D, blue curve). The transient frequency fluctuations observed are more intense compared to the 1083 and 1599 cm$^{-1}$ bands and subsequently cause a shift in the average spectrum. The STORM analysis provides a peak fit to the fluctuating spectra and reports the peak centers observed in each frame. The Raman bands at 1083 and 1599 cm$^{-1}$ are observed in more frames and thus have the highest count in the STORM spectral analysis. This is consistent with the STORM intensity scale being a digital expression of the peaks that are present in the measurement. However, the ability to identify the centers of the frequency fluctuations provides an improved method to correlate the frequency shifts to chemical phenomena. The combination of the peak positions from the STORM analysis with the intensity in the SERS signal enables improved spectral deconvolution.

**Spectrally Resolved SERS Imaging of AuNP@Silica Particles in Fixed Cells**

We extended our approach to image MBA-functionalized AuNP@Silica particles in fixed human colon cancer cells to show nanoparticles can be detected in the cells while simultaneously obtaining images and spectra at various focal planes. The cells have a 10 μm diameter which enabled multiple cells to be illuminated with the laser. Bright-field images of the cells without laser illumination are shown in Figure S5. Figure 5A shows bright field images of the cells illuminated by a white light and the laser to show cellular features and the AuNP@Silica particles. The FWHM of the AuNP@Silica particle in the focused image is 356 nm, which is localized to 65 nm in the super-resolution result. Images were acquired with the cells and nanoparticles in focus and 3 μm in either direction in the z-plane. Figure 5B shows the $n = 1$ order of the same cells illuminated by only the laser. The nanoparticle and its spectral response chosen for analysis are indicated by the red arrows in Figure 5A,B. The spectral response from the nanoparticle is present in the $n = 1$ order, but there is also residual Rayleigh scattering from the cellular membranes present. To show this, we analyzed the same region in both the $n = 0$ and $n = 1$ orders (Figure S6), showing that many of the features in the $n = 1$ order are also present in the $n = 0$ order. Therefore, these features are not
indicative of SERS but rather Rayleigh scattering that passed through the longpass filter. It is worth noting that both Raman and Rayleigh scattering contribute to the signal in the \( n = 0 \) order, but the magnitude of intensity in the \( n = 1 \) compared to the \( n = 0 \) (Figure S6) suggests that the Raman scattering is more intense than the Rayleigh scattering. A difference/sum ratio was applied to the \( n = 0 \) and \( n = 1 \) spectra shown in Figure S6 for the 3 chosen focal planes, and the resulting spectra are shown in Figure 5C. The spectrum from the focal plane where the nanoparticle is in focus (Figure 5C, center spectrum) shows MBA peaks at 1070 and 1586 cm\(^{-1}\) that are not present when the focal plane is offset by 3 \( \mu m \) in the \( z \)-plane (Figure 5C, top and bottom spectra). Figure 5D shows the intensity of the 1586 cm\(^{-1}\) band as a function of focal depth from the depth where the nanoparticle is in focus. The 1586 cm\(^{-1}\) band is most intense when the particle is in focus and decreases as the focal depth is offset, further demonstrating the sensitivity of the spectral response for optical sectioning of the nanoparticles in 3D.

### DISCUSSION

Our results show the capability to directly correlate spectra to spatial features using a wide-field imaging approach that simultaneously captures both spatial and spectral information on a single sCMOS sensor. Nanoparticles are ideal materials to image with this approach and make it particularly appropriate for SERS imaging due to the Raman signal enhancement that arises from the plasmonic nanoparticles. In comparison to spontaneous Raman, where the sample homogeneity would dramatically limit spectral resolution, similar to the large pinholes used in Figure 3, the plasmonic nanoparticles provide point sources, diffraction-limited virtual slits, for generating the SERS spectra in the \( n = 1 \) portion of the image.

A significant tradeoff of this technique is the spectral resolution compared to that obtained from using a traditional Raman spectrometer. This is in part due to using a 300 groove/mm diffraction grating as the diffraction element, but incorporating a grating with a greater groove density would not enable both the \( n = 0 \) and \( n = 1 \) order to be captured on the same sensor at the same grating-to-sensor distance and would increase the likelihood of spectral overlap from neighboring particles. However, optimization of instrument parameters with respect to the size of the sCMOS sensor successfully enables simultaneous imaging and spectroscopy with \( \sim 13 \) cm\(^{-1}\)/pixel resolution in the spectral domain.

A key advantage to this approach is that SIFs are readily captured, which enables the application of super-resolution and localization algorithms, specifically STORM. Prior reports have taken advantage of a SERS wide-field imaging approach and SIFs to obtain super-resolution images with super-resolution images with sub-diffraction-limited resolution without the need for a fluorescent molecule, but a separate spectrometer was required to provide SERS spectra.\(^36,39,59,60\) Lindquist et al. used a similar spectrally resolved SERS imaging approach to spectrally differentiate between Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria and applied STORM fittings to super-resolve the spatial domain.\(^38\) In this study, we demonstrate that applying the STORM fitting to the image stack containing both the \( n = 0 \) and \( n = 1 \) orders not only localizes the PSF of the image in the \( n = 0 \) order but also the spectral response in the \( n = 1 \) order. This provides improved peak identification by localizing the bands in the spectral regime to one pixel on the sensor and removes the
nonfluctuating background that is present in the raw image stack. In addition, applying the STORM fitting to the $n = 1$ identifies frequency fluctuating components that are otherwise lost by spectral integration. This improved peak identification requires the spectrum to be acquired simultaneously, which is not possible using an LCTF for wide-field SERS imaging. Our group has previously demonstrated with spectrally resolved SERS imaging that these frequency fluctuations are consistent with density functional theory (DFT) calculations of MBA anion and cation radical species. Here, the STORM fitting of the $n = 1$ domain (shown in Figure 4D) identifies bands at 1276 and 1513 cm$^{-1}$ that are consistent with bands observed in the DFT-calculated MBA anion radical SERS spectrum. We also demonstrate a new method to correlate SERS imaging to spectra in 3D using the spectrally resolved SERS imaging approach to detect and image SERS labeled nanoparticles on fixed cells. Our results show the ability to image nanoparticles in cells while simultaneously detecting SERS labels on a much faster time scale than confocal Raman cell mapping and illustrate the potential to investigate interactions inside of cells with super-resolution SERS. Previous studies have used TERS to image cells with nanoscale resolution and simultaneous Raman spectral analysis to probe cellular surfaces and understand biomolecular interactions. However, TERS has limited penetration depth, and studies using TERS to investigate cellular systems have been limited to surface interactions or require cell sectioning to observe intracellular interactions. The imaging approach described herein demonstrates the sensitivity of the SERS response to the focal plane and the promising potential for further studies to study nanoparticle interactions inside cells with super-resolution SERS. A challenge in using this approach to image nanoparticles on cells is the background scattering present in the images. It is well known that features larger than the excitation wavelength have dominant forward scattering. In our study, this makes the scattering from cellular boundaries more evident, while spontaneous Raman scattering from these structures is not resolved. In contrast, the nanoparticles show increased uniform scattering with Raman scattering that becomes intense and resolvable in the appropriate focal plane. The Rayleigh and Raman scattering from the particles is significantly stronger than the background scattering from the cellular environment. Further development will differentiate the nanoparticle scattering from cellular features to reduce the background that appears in the spectral region.

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we describe a wide-field SERS imaging technique capable of directly correlating image features to Raman spectra using a diffraction grating prior to the sensor to separate the image plane into a spatial and spectral domain. By acquiring images on the 100 ms time scale, our system can readily observe SIFs and frequency fluctuations from the spectral response of many particles in the FOV. Applying STORM algorithms enables super-resolution imaging simultaneously with spectrum acquisition and also successfully provides improved peak identification consistent with features in the observed signal fluctuations. In the present work, we used the improved peak localization to identify fluctuating components consistent with prior DFT calculations of radical MBA species. We also demonstrate the application of this technique to detect SERS labels in fixed cells and show the sensitivity of the spectral response dependent on the focal plane, illustrating the capability to probe particles in 3D and possibly improve z-localization. This approach has the potential to be used in a variety of biological and physical applications, where correlating the spatial origin of the Raman signal to chemical interactions originating from interactions with the surrounding environment is beneficial.
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