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Abstract: In this paper a heuristic technique based optimal location of UPFC to improve the performance of power system is proposed. Here, the maximum power loss bus is identified as the most suitable location for fixing the UPFC. Generator outage affects the power flow constraints such as power loss, voltage, real and reactive power flow. Generator outage at different buses is introduced and the performance of the system is analyzed. The optimum location has been determined using the Artificial Bee Colony Algorithm (ABC) under this condition. By connecting UPFC at optimal location given by ABC algorithm, the power loss in the system is reduced and voltage profile is improved. Proposed work is implemented in the MATLAB and tested on IEEE 30 bus system. Initially the single generator outage is introduced at different buses in the system and afterwards double generator outage is introduced. In these conditions, the voltage profile and the power loss is analyzed at normal condition, outage condition and after connecting UPFC whose location given by proposed ABC algorithm. Performance of this algorithm is evaluated by comparing the results with those of different techniques. The comparison results demonstrate the superiority of the proposed approach and confirm its potential to solve the voltage stability problem.
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1. Introduction

The mount of electric power that can be transferred between two points through a transmission network is restricted by safety and stability constraints [1]. Around the world, Electric power systems have been forced to work more or less with their full capacities owing to the environmental and economic constraints in order to erect new generating plants and transmission lines [2-3]. Power flow in the lines should not be permitted to raise to a level where a random incident could cause the network fall down as a result of cascaded outages [4]. There have been many failures in the power system throughout the world due to voltage instability because of increasing system loads without sufficient transmission and/or generation enhancements [5]. When the voltages at the system buses are low, the losses will also be increased.

For controlling the power transmission system, Flexible AC Transmission System (FACTS) is a stationary tool that is employed in order to mitigate the above problems [6]. FACTS devices are basically power electronic devices that have the capability to control various parameters of transmission lines, both in steady-state and in dynamic state [7]. Various kinds of FACTS devices offered for this specific purpose involves Static Var Compensator (SVC), Thyristor controlled series Capacitor (TCSC), Static Synchronous series compensator (SSSC), Static Synchronous Compensator (STATCOM), Unified Power Flow Controller (UPFC) and Interline Power Flow Controller (IPFC) [9]. UPFC was one of the FACTS device proposed by L. Gyugyi and it is a multiple-functional FACTS device with primary duty of power flow control. The secondary functions of the UPFC can be voltage control, transient stability improvement and power oscillation damping etc. [8, 10].

Novel opportunities for controlling power and improving the utilizable sizing of surviving transmission lines are released by the appearance of FACTS devices [11]. An optimal location of UPFC permits to control its power flows for a meshed network and as a result the system load ability can be raised [12]. However, a limited number of devices, beyond which this load ability cannot be improved, are observed [13]. Due to high capital investment, it is necessary
to locate FACTS devices optimally in the power system. The optimal location of FACTS in a power system is a problem of complex mathematical analysis [14, 15]. To solve this type of problem, different types of optimization algorithm have been used such as genetic algorithms, simulated annealing, tabu search and etc [16-17].

In this paper a heuristic technique based optimal location of UPFC to improve the power system voltage stability is proposed. Here, the maximum power loss bus is identified at the most favorable location for fixing the UPFC, because the generator outage affects the power flow constraints such as power loss, voltage, real and reactive power flow. The optimum location has been determined using the Artificial Bee Colony Algorithm (ABC). The rest of the paper organized as follows: the recent research works is analyzed in section 2; the proposed work brief explanation is explained in section 3; the suggested technique achievement results and the related discussions are given in section 4; and section 5 ends the paper.

2. Recent Research Work: A Brief Review

In literature, numbers of related works are available which based on improving the power transfer capability of power system. Some of them reviewed here.

Prabha Kundure et al. [18] defined and classified the power system stability in this paper. The problem of defining and classifying power system stability has been addressed by several earlier CIGRE and IEEE Task Force reports. This paper addresses the issue of stability definition and classification in power systems from fundamental view point and closely examines the practical ramifications.

M. J. Basleret et al. [19] presented the basic concepts of Power System Stability and various types of Power System Stability. It covers the effects of system impedance and excitation on stability. Synchronizing torque and damping torque are discussed and justification is made for the need of supplemental stabilization.

Ghamgeen Izat Rashed et al. [20] presented a Differential Evolutionary (DE) algorithm for finding the optimal location and the best parameter setting of Unified Power Flow Controller (UPFC) for minimizing the active and reactive power losses in the power system. Simulations have been implemented in MATLAB and the IEEE 14-bus and IEEE 30-bus systems.

To get the optimal location of UPFCs for obtaining minimum total active and reactive power production cost of generators and minimizing the installation cost of UPFCs, the hybrid immune algorithm has been used by Seyed Abbas Taheret et al. [21]. The UPFC is able to control voltage magnitude, voltage phase angle and impedance. As a result, it was employed successfully in this paper to increase power transfer capability of the existing power transmission lines and reduce operational and investment costs. They were executed simulations on IEEE 14-bus and 30-bus test system.

T. Nireekshana et al. [22] have examined the exploitation of FACTS tools, like SVC and TCSC, to take full advantage of power transfer transactions during normal and contingency conditions. To find out the location and control-ling parameters of SVC and TCSC, Real-coded Genetic Algorithm (RGA) was applied as an optimization tool. The proposed algorithm was experimented on IEEE 14-bus system and as well on IEEE 24-bus test system.

Using Fuzzy logic and Real Coded Genetic Algorithm, an approach for appointment and sizing of shunt FACTS controller has been suggested by A. R. Phadkeet al. [23]. A blurry presentation index based on distance to encounter node bifurcation, voltage profile and sizing of shunt FACTS controller is suggested. The suggested strategy has been used on IEEE 14-bus and IEEE 57-bus test systems.

K. Ravia et al. [24] have suggested an Improved Particle Swarm Optimization (IPSO) for optimizing the power system problems. For optimal sizing and distribution of a Static Compensator (STATCOM) and to reduce the voltage variations at all the buses in a power system, they used Improved Particle Swarm Optimization. This algorithm gets an optimal settings for current infrastructure with optimal locations, sizes and control settings for Static Compensator (STATCOM) units.

The heavy loading of lines, need to maintain constant bus voltages. Uncontrolled power exchanges are increasing in the power systems. For that reason, power systems need to be supervised. FACTS devices released positive latest prospects for controlling the power flow and expanding the load ability of the accessible power transmission system. Among the FACTS devices, the UPFC is one of the most promising FACTS devices for load flow control as it can concurrently control the active and reactive power flow in addition to the nodal voltages. As per the characteristics of the UPFC, scheduling the implementations, it has some practical concern for finding the optimal location. Several researches have effort to solve the optimal location of UPFCs with respect to different purposes and methods. For determining the optimal location, the operating condition of UPFC must be pre-assigned. Optimization algorithms are introduced to determine the location of UPFC such as genetic algorithm, particle swarm optimization, differential evaluation and etc. These methods did not succeed in determining optimal location for UPFC. The proposed method is briefly described in the following section.

3. Problem Formulation

3.1. Power Flow Studies of UPFC

The UPFC is one of the FACTS devices, which provides the independent control of the real and reactive power flow, voltage magnitude and enhance the dynamic stability of the system. The UPFC consists of two switching converters like series converter and shunt converter operated from a common DC link. The converters are connected to the power system via coupling transformers. The shunt converter is
connected to the sending end node and the series converter is
connected between the sending and receiving end. The series
converter injects an AC voltage with controllable magnitude
and phase angle in series with the transmission line. When
the active power loss is neglected, the UPFC cannot generate
or absorb the active power and the active power in the two
converters must be balanced via the DC link. However the
converters generate or absorb the reactive power and provide
the independent shunt reactive compensation for the line. The
UPFC structure can be described in the following Figure 1.

![Figure 1. Structure of the UPFC.](image)

The above Figure shows the structure of the UPFC; the
Generator $G$ connected with the buses $m$ and $n$. Here the
converters are connected via transformer. It includes the
impedances of the converter such as series impedance $Z_{se}$,
shunt impedance $Z_{sh}$, Generator side impedance $Z_{G}$ and load
impedance $Z_L$. The converters are connected with the DC
link capacitor $C_{dc}$ with voltage $V_{dc}$ capacity. These can be
incorporated to the UPFC power flow equations [25]. The
UPFC power flow equations are required to solve the power
system affected quantities like equality constraints and the
inequality constraints. It may occur due to the outage of
generators presented in the power system, because the
utilization side needs demand satisfaction at all the time. The
disturbed quantities are briefly described in the following
section.

### 3.1.1. Equality Constraints

The power system mainly contributes the satisfaction of
total demand of the utilities. Here, the system generators
must satisfy the total demand of the consumers as well as
power loss of the transmission lines. It is denoted as the
equality constraints or power balance condition of the power
system. The generators presented in the system gets outage; it
may increase the power loss and affects the dynamic stability
environment. The required power balance condition can be
described in the following equation (1).

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} P_{Di} = P_D + \sum_{j=1}^{N} (P_{Li} + jQ_{Lj})
$$

Where, $P_{Di}$ is the power generated in the $i^{th}$ bus, $P_D$ is the
demand, $P_{Li}$ and $Q_{Lj}$ are the real and reactive power loss of
the $j^{th}$ bus. The real and reactive power loss can be
calculated in the following equations (2) and (3).

$$
P_{Li} = |V_i||V_j|Y_{ij} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \cos(\alpha_{ij} - \delta_i - \delta_j)
$$

$$
Q_{Lj} = |V_i||V_j|Y_{ij} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sin(\alpha_{ij} - \delta_i - \delta_j)
$$

Where, $V_i$ and $V_j$ are the voltage of the buses $i$ and $j$, $Y_{ij}$ is
the bus admittance matrix, $\alpha_{ij}$ is the angle between the buses
$i$ and $j$, $\delta_i$ and $\delta_j$ are the load angle of $i$ and $j$. Similarly the
inequality constraints are described in the following.

### 3.1.2. Inequality Constraints

This section describes the inequality constraints like
voltage, real and reactive power flow. The mentioned factors
are affected due to the generation unit’s protest. These
constraints are briefly explained in the following. The power
system dynamic stability mainly considers the voltage
stability of every node. The stable power flow needs the
voltage at each bus at the range of 0.95 to 1.05 pu. The
change in voltage can be described by the following
equations (4).

$$
\Delta V_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( V_i^k \right)^2}}}
$$

Where,

$$
V_i^k = V_{slack} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_i \left( \frac{P_i - jQ_i}{V_i} \right)
$$

With, $V_{slack}$ is the slack bus voltage, $\Delta V_i$ is the voltage
stability index of the bus $i$, $V_i$ is voltage of the bus, where
\[ i = 1,2,3 \ldots n, \ Z_i \text{ is the impedance of the } i^{th} \text{ bus}, \ P_i \text{ and } Q_i \text{ are the real and reactive power of bus } i \text{ and } j \text{ is the number of nodes. The bus voltage lies between the limits, i.e., } V_i^{min} \leq V_i \leq V_i^{max}. \text{ The real and reactive power of the particular bus can be described by the following equations (6) and (7).}
\]

\[ P_i = |V_i| |V_j| \sum_{n=1}^{N_b} \left( G_{ij} \cos \delta_{ij} + B_{ij} \sin \delta_{ij} \right) \]  
\[ Q_i = |V_i| |V_j| \sum_{n=1}^{N_b} \left( G_{ij} \sin \delta_{ij} - B_{ij} \cos \delta_{ij} \right) \]  

Where, \( V_i \) and \( V_j \) are the voltage of \( i \) and \( j \) buses respectively, \( N_b \) is the total number of buses, \( \delta_{ij} \) and \( \delta_{ij} \) are the angle between \( i \) and \( j \) buses respectively, \( G_{ij} \) and \( B_{ij} \) are the conductance and susceptance values respectively. Depending on these constraints, the optimum location and the UPFC has been determined using the proposed hybrid technique. It is briefly explained in the following section 3.2.

3.2. Overview of the Proposed Technique

In the paper optimal location of UPFC to improve power system stability based on a heuristic technique is proposed. Initially, the IEEE standard benchmark system’s normal power flow and the stability condition are analyzed. Afterwards the generator outages are introduced in the system. Here, the maximum power loss bus is determined from the ABC algorithm, it is identified as the most favorable location for fixing the UPFC. After connecting UPFC the system is recovered to the normal operating condition and stability is improved. The fundamentals of ABC algorithm is explained in section 3.2.1. The ABC algorithm based optimal location determination is explained in the section 3.2.2.

3.2.1. Fundamentals of ABC Algorithm

Several approaches have been proposed to model the specific intelligent behaviors of honey bee swarms. Artificial Bee Colony (ABC) is a relatively new member of swarm intelligence. Karaboga has described the Artificial Bee Colony (ABC) algorithm based on the foraging behavior of honey bees for numerical optimization problems. This problem of optimization depends on a number of parameters and the choice of these parameters affects the performance.

In the Artificial Bee Colony (ABC) algorithm, the colony of artificial bees contains three groups of bees: employed bees, onlookers and scouts. A bee waiting on the dance area for making decision to choose a food source is called an onlooker and a bee going to the food source visited by it previously is named as employed bee. A bee carrying out random search is called a scout. In the ABC algorithm, first half of the colony consists of employed artificial bees and the second half constitutes the onlookers. For every food source, there is only one employed bee [26-27].

Short pseudo-code of the ABC algorithm is given below [26]:

1. Initialize the population of solutions
2. Evaluate the population
3. Produce new solutions for the employed bees
4. Apply the greedy selection process
5. Calculate the probability values
6. Produce the new solutions for the onlookers
7. Apply the greedy selection process
8. Determine the abandoned solution for the scout, and replace it with a new randomly produced solution
9. Memorize the best solution achieved so far

3.2.2. ABC Based Optimum Location Determination

In the proposed algorithm the optimum location of the UPFC is identified by UPFC. Here, the power flow terms such as voltage, real and reactive power flow and power loss are already determined using the Newton-Raphson (N-R) method. Then the generator outage is introduced at the generator buses, which causes the instability at the system. So the maximum power loss bus and the corresponding affecting parameters are obtained using the ABC algorithm. The algorithmic steps to optimize the location are given in the following.

Algorithm

Step1: Initialize the population of the line power loss and voltage \( (X_i) \) at all the buses.

\[ X_i = [(V_1, P_{x1})^{B_1}, (V_2, P_{x2})^{B_2}, (V_3, P_{x3})^{B_3}, \ldots (V_n, P_{xn})^{B_n}] \]  

Where, \( (V_1, P_{x1})^{B_1} \) are the voltage and the power loss of the \( B_1 \) bus.

Step 2: Generate the random number of population input voltage and the power loss.

Step 3: The employ bee phase, which evaluates the fitness of the population; the required fitness function is given in the following equation (9).

\[ \Phi = \max \left\{ |V_i| |V_j| \sum_{n=1}^{N} \cos(\alpha_n - \delta_i - \delta_j) \right\} \]  

Step 4: Set the iteration count as 1, i.e., iteration \( I = 1 \).

Step 5: Repeat

Step 6: The onlooker bee attains the elite fitness function of the bus system and improve the velocity of the populations using the following equation (10).

\[ V_{i,j} = x_{i,j} + \Phi_{i,j}(x_{i,j} - x_{k,j}) \]  

Where, \( k \) is the solution the neighborhood of \( i \), \( \Psi \) is a random number in the range \([-1, 1]\), \( k = (1,2,3 \ldots n) \) and \( j = (1,2,3 \ldots n) \) are the randomly chosen index and \( V_{i,j} \) is the neighborhood solution of \( X_i \).

Step 7: Apply the selection process to find the better fitness of the new solutions and determine the probability.
\[
\text{probability} = \frac{\Phi}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi}
\]  

(11)

Step 8: If better solutions are not achieved, abandon the solutions and produce the random number of scout bee solution using the following equation (12).

\[
x^{i}_{j} = x^{i}_{\text{min}} + \text{rand}[0,1](x^{i}_{\text{max}} - x^{i}_{\text{min}})
\]  

(12)

Step 9: Memorize the best solution achieved so far.

Step 10: To check the iteration range, if the iteration not achieves the maximum range increase the iteration count \(I=I+1\) or else terminate the process.

Once the above process is finished, the system is ready to produce the maximum power loss bus for the specified generator bus outage condition. Once the UPFC is connected at optimum location given by the algorithm, power loss is minimized, voltage profile is improved thus power system stability improved. The proposed algorithm is implemented in the MATLAB platform and its performance is checked with various operating conditions. It is given in the following section 4.

4. Results and Discussions

The proposed algorithm is implemented in the MATLAB platform. The numerical results of the proposed method is presented and discussed in this section. The obtained results are compared with various operating environments. Here, the ABC algorithm is applied to the IEEE standard benchmark system like IEEE 30 bus system.

Validation of IEEE 30 bus system

The Structure of the IEEE 30 bus system is shown in Figure 2. IEEE-30 bus benchmark system consists of six generator buses, 21 load buses and 42 transmission lines. Initially, the system base case load flow analysis is done by the standard Newton-Raphson (N-R) algorithm. Here, the IEEE 30 bus system standard data is used. Afterwards, the generator outages (single and double) are introduced and the corresponding stability is analyzed. Due to the generator outages the system loses the stability, which can be identified by the load flow analysis of the system after the generator outage. Stability conditions can be restored by connecting optimum sizing of UPFC placed at optimal location, which can be determined by the proposed ABC algorithm.

4.1. Single Generator Outage

In this case at a time one generator is given outage and corresponding stability is analyzed.

The voltage profile variation for IEEE-30 bus system at single generator outage is shown in Figure 3. Here, second bus generator is given outage. The voltage profile is shown for normal condition, during the generator outage and after connecting the UPFC whose location is determined using ABC algorithm. The voltage profile variations of the same system for sixth bus generator outages is shown in Figure 4.
From the voltage profile analysis, it is found that the voltage profile is disturbed for the generator outage condition. But the voltage profile is restored to the normal condition after connecting UPFC.

Table 1. Power loss comparison for single generator outage condition using ABC algorithm.

| Outage of generator at bus no. | Power loss in MW                  | After connecting UPFC whose location is given by ABC algorithm |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
|                               | During normal condition           | During generator outage condition                            |
| 2                             | 10.809                            | 12.768                                                        |
|                               |                                    | 9.858                                                         |

Table 1 gives power loss comparison for different conditions i.e. Power loss at normal condition, generator outage condition and after connecting the UPFC at optimal location which is determined using ABC algorithms. Here, it is observed that the power loss is increased to 12.768 MW during single generator outage condition and the power loss is reduced to 9.858 MW after connecting UPFC. This shows effectiveness of proposed algorithm.

4.2. Double Generator Outage Condition

In this case at a time two generators are given outages and corresponding stability is analyzed.

The voltage profile variation for IEEE-30 bus system at double generator outage is shown in Figure 5. Here generators at buses 2 & 6 are given outage. The voltage profile is shown for normal condition, during the double generator outage and after connecting the UPFC whose location is determined using ABC algorithm. Figure 6 shows voltage profile variations for double generator outage at buses 2 & 13. From the voltage profile analysis, it is found that the voltage profile at the buses is disturbed for the generator outage, but the voltage profile is restored to normal condition after connecting the UPFC. Table 2 gives power loss comparison under double generator outages for different conditions i.e. Power loss at normal condition, Power loss at double generator outage condition, Power loss after connecting the UPFC at optimal location which is determined using ABC algorithm. Here, it can be observed that power loss is increased to 14.005 MW during double generator outage and it is reduced to 9.862 MW after connecting UPFC whose location and sizing are given by proposed ABC algorithm. Figures 3 to 6 and Tables 1 to 2 clearly show the effectiveness and superiority of the proposed ABC algorithm to restore power system stability under generator outage conditions.

Table 2. Power loss comparison for double generator outage using Hybrid ABC-GSA algorithm.

| Outage of generators at bus nos. | Power loss in MW                  | ABC |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----|
| 6                                | During normal condition           | 10.809 |
|                                  | During generator outage condition | 14.005 |
|                                  | ABC                               | 9.862 |
The power loss reduction using proposed method at single generator outage is shown in Figure 7. Then the power loss reduction using proposed method at the double generator outage is shown in the Figure 8. These Figures show the proposed method has reduced power loss by connecting UPFC at optimum location given by the ABC algorithm.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, the effectiveness of the optimal location of UPFC to enhance the power system stability is proposed. Here, the proposed method was applied into the IEEE 30 bus benchmark system and the effectiveness is tested against different generator outages. Initially the single generator outage is performed at different buses in the system and afterwards double generator outage is introduced. In these conditions, the voltage profile and the power loss is analyzed at normal condition, outage condition and with proposed ABC algorithm. From the presented analysis, we concluded that, the proposed algorithm was effectively enhancing the stability of the system by giving optimum location of the UPFC, which is competent over the other techniques.
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