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Abstract

The plant Golgi apparatus modifies and sorts incoming proteins from the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and synthesizes cell wall matrix material. Plant cells possess numerous motile Golgi bodies, which are connected to the ER by yet to be identified tethering factors. Previous studies indicated a role for cis-Golgi plant golgins, which are long coiled-coil domain proteins anchored to Golgi membranes, in Golgi biogenesis. Here we show a tethering role for the golgin AtCASP at the ER-Golgi interface. Using live-cell imaging, Golgi body dynamics were compared in Arabidopsis thaliana leaf epidermal cells expressing fluorescently tagged AtCASP, a truncated AtCASP-ΔCC lacking the coiled-coil domains, and the Golgi marker STtmd. Golgi body speed and displacement were significantly reduced in AtCASP-ΔCC lines. Using a dual-colour optical trapping system and a TIRF-tweezer system, individual Golgi bodies were captured in planta. Golgi bodies in AtCASP-ΔCC lines were easier to trap and the ER-Golgi connection was more easily disrupted. Occasionally, the ER tubule followed a trapped Golgi body with a gap, indicating the presence of other tethering factors. Our work confirms that the intimate ER-Golgi association can be disrupted or weakened by expression of truncated AtCASP-ΔCC and suggests that this connection is most likely maintained by a golgin-mediated tethering complex.
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Introduction

The architecture of the Golgi apparatus is distinct and seemingly simple. It is an organelle composed of lipids and proteins, arranged as a polarized stack of flattened cisternae, capable of processing and distributing secretory cargo around and out of the cell (Staehelin and Moore, 1995; Polishchuk and Mironov, 2004; Klumperman, 2011). However, the exact mechanisms of Golgi stack assembly and maintenance are still not fully understood (Wang and Seemann, 2011). It is
clear that these processes depend on a highly complex and tightly regulated cascade of molecular events (Altan-Bonet et al., 2004), in which proteins attach to correct membranes and precisely orchestrate a multitude of events including tethering, fusing and budding (Hawes et al., 2010; Wilson and Ragnini-Wilson, 2010).

A Golgi stack has a cis-face through which it receives secretory cargo proteins from the endoplasmic reticulum (ER, Robinson et al., 2007; Hawes et al., 2008; Lorente-Rodriguez and Barlowe, 2011; Robinson et al., 2015) and a trans-face where protein cargo exits via the trans-Golgi network and enters intracellular or exocytotic post-Golgi transport routes (Foresti and Denecke, 2008; Park and Jurgens, 2011). Secretory cargo proteins move through the stack to be processed sequentially and glycosylated by resident N-glycosyltransferases (Schoberer and Strasser, 2011). COPII-coated membrane carriers function in anterograde ER-to-Golgi transport, whereas COP1-coated vesicles transport proteins backwards within the stack and from the cis-Golgi stack back to the ER for recycling (Robinson et al., 2015).

Golgi structure differs significantly between kingdoms. The mammalian Golgi apparatus is most often organized as a stationary peri-nuclear ‘Golgi ribbon’ in which single stacks appear to laterally fuse to create a ribbon-like structure (Nakamura et al., 2012). Plant cells on the other hand contain numerous discrete and highly mobile Golgi bodies (Hawes and Satiat-Jeunemaitre, 2005), which move along the actin cytoskeleton (Boevink et al., 1998; Nebenführ et al., 1999) in a myosin-dependent manner (Sparkes, 2010).

In leaf epidermal cells, Golgi bodies appear intimately associated with ER exit sites (ERES), which are specialized subdomains of the ER at which protein export occurs. This association has resulted in the adoption of the ‘mobile secretory unit concept’ (daSilva et al., 2004; Hanton et al., 2009; Robinson et al., 2015), where the ERES and the Golgi bodies move as a functional unit either with the surface of the ER or over the ER. A study using optical tweezers in living leaf epidermal cells confirmed this concept by demonstrating a strong physical connection between ER tubules and Golgi bodies upon micromanipulation of the latter (Sparkes et al., 2009a).

However, to date we have no definite information on the nature of the molecular complexes that are assumed to be involved in tethering Golgi stacks to ERES. In mammalian cells the golgins, a family of Golgi-localized proteins with long coiled-coil domains, participate in tethering events at the Golgi (Barr and Short, 2003; Short et al., 2005; Barinaga-Rementeria Ramirez and Lowe, 2009; Wong and Munro, 2014). Their coiled-coil domains form a rod-like structure that protrudes into the cytoplasm and thus is free to interact with membranous structures, such as cargo carriers and neighbouring cisternae, or form a part of larger protein tethering complexes (Gillingham and Munro, 2003; Malsam and Söllner, 2011; Chia and Gleeson, 2014). Indeed, Glick (2014) has suggested a new model for ERES/Golgi interaction, where protein tethers could link nascent COPII vesicles budding from the ERES to cis-Golgi membranes or the intermediate compartment that will be transported to the cis-Golgi.

Plants possess a set of putative golgins that locate to Golgi bodies and protein interaction partners have been identified for some of them (Gilson et al., 2004; Latijnhouwers et al., 2005b; Renna et al., 2005; Latijnhouwers et al., 2007; Matheson et al., 2007; Osterrieder, 2012). Their subcellular functions largely remain unclear, although a mammalian p15 homologue has been suggested to be a tethering factor involved in anterograde transport from the ER (Takahashi et al., 2010). A cis-Golgi localized golgin and a good candidate protein for tethering Golgi bodies to ER exit sites is AtCASP (Latijnhouwers et al., 2005a; Renna et al., 2005; Latijnhouwers et al., 2007). AtCASP is a type II transmembrane domain protein with a topology similar to the animal CASP protein (Gillingham et al., 2002). Its N-terminal coiled-coil domains are predicted to form a rod-like structure reaching into the cytoplasm, while its C-terminus contains a transmembrane domain sufficient for Golgi targeting (Renna et al., 2005) and multiple di-acidic DXE motifs required for ER export (Hanton et al., 2005).

CASP, initially identified as a nuclear alternative splicing product of CUTL1 that encodes the transcriptional repressor CCAAT displacement protein CDP/cut (Lievens et al., 1997), was found to locate to Golgi membranes by Gillingham and colleagues (2002). The authors observed protein interactions between CASP and golgin-84 and Sec23 at substoichiometric levels, as well as genetic interactions between the yeast CASP homologue COY1 and the SNAREs Gos1p and Sec22p, suggesting a role for CASP in membrane trafficking. Subsequently, Malsam and colleagues reported CASP to function in an asymmetric tethering complex with Golgin-84, with CASP decorating Golgi membranes and Golgin-84 COPI vesicles (Malsam et al., 2005).

Our previous studies indicated a role for AtCASP in Golgi stack formation at an early stage and possibly at the level of ERES (Osterrieder et al., 2010). After Golgi membrane disruption using an inducible GTP-locked version of the small COPII-GTPase SAR1, GFP-AtCASP co-localized with Sar1-GTP-YFP in punctate structures on the ER (Osterrieder et al., 2010). AtCASP also labelled reforming Golgi bodies, before Golgi membrane markers, after washout of the secretory inhibitor Brefeldin A (Schoberer et al., 2010).

In this study we used full-length and coiled-coil deletion mutant versions of AtCASP in conjunction with laser tweezers (Sparkes, 2016) to assess its potential role in ER-Golgi tethering and protein transport. Our findings implicate a role for AtCASP in tethering at the ER-Golgi interface, as overexpression of a dominant-negative truncation interferes with the stability of the ER-Golgi connection. However, our observations also suggest the involvement of additional and as yet uncharacterized tethering factors.

Materials and Methods

Molecular biology

Standard molecular techniques were used as described in Sambrook and Russell (2001). Fluorescent mRFP fusions of full-length AtCASP and truncated AtCASP-ΔCC were created using the previously published pENTR1A clones (Latijnhouwers et al., 2007) using Gateway®
cloning technology according to the manufacturer's instructions (Life Technologies). Constructs were cloned into the binary expression vector pB7WGR2 (Karimi et al., 2002). Constructs were sequenced and transformed into the Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain GV3101::mp90.

Transient expression of fluorescent protein fusions in tobacco plants
Transient expression of fluorescent protein fusions in tobacco leaves was carried out using Agrobacterium-mediated floral dip method (Clough and Bent, 1998). Arabidopsis plants from a stable GFP-HDEL line (Zheng et al., 1998) were transformed either with mRFP-AtCASP or mRFP-AtCASP-ΔCC and grown on solid ½ Murashige and Skoog medium with BASTA selection. All experiments were performed in T3 or T4 seedlings. As a control, the previously described Arabidopsis line expressing the Golgi marker STtmd-mRFP and the ER marker GFP-HDEL was used (Sparkes et al., 2009b).

Confoocal laser scanning microscopy
High-resolution confocal images were obtained using an inverted Zeiss LSM510 Meta confocal laser scanning microscope (CLSM) microscope and a 40x, 63x or 100x oil immersion objective. For imaging GFP in combination with mRFP, an Argon ion laser at 488 nm and a HeNe ion laser at 543 nm were used with line switching, using the multitrack facility of the CLSM. Fluorescence was detected using a 488/543 dichroic beam splitter, a 505–530 band pass filter for GFP and a 560–615 band pass filter for mRFP.

Optical trapping
Optical trapping was carried out in stable Arabidopsis lines, using 1) a commercially available dual colour system at Wageningen University, The Netherlands, comprising a 1063 nm, 3000mW Nd:YAG laser (Spectra Physics) and x-y galvo scanner (MMI, Glattbrugg, Switzerland) attached to a Zeiss Axiosvert 200M with a Zeiss LSM510 Meta confocal laser scanning system (Sparkes et al., 2009b), and 2) a custom-built TIRF-Tweezer system at the Central Laser Facility, Harwell (Gao et al., 2016).

Golgi bodies were trapped using a 1090 nm infrared laser with intensity between 50 and 130 mW. For the ‘100 Golgi test’, Golgi bodies were scored as trapped if the laser beam could move them.

Latrunculin B treatment
To inhibit actin-myosin based Golgi movement, which was required during optical trapping with the confocal microscope trap set up, Arabidopsis cotyledonary leaves were treated with the actin-depolymerising agent 2.5 μM latrunculin B for 30 min as previously described (Sparkes et al., 2009b). Optical trapping experiments were performed within a time scale of 2 h after latrunculin B application.

Tracking and statistical analysis of Golgi body and ER dynamics
Videos for analysis of Golgi body dynamics in stable Arabidopsis lines were taken with a 63x PlanApo 1.4 NA oil objective at 512 × 512 resolution, optical zoom of 3.7 over a region of interest sized 244 × 242 pixels, and recorded for 50 frames at 0.9 frames/sec.

Individual Golgi bodies were tracked using ImageJ processing package Fiji (Schindelin et al., 2012) and the tracking plugin MTrackJ (Meijering et al., 2012). Average Golgi body displacement and speed per cell were calculated from the median values using Microsoft Excel. Track lengths of trapped Golgi bodies and tracks in relation to tips of ER tubules were analysed using ImageJ (Schneider et al., 2012) and MTrackJ.

Statistical analysis of average displacement and speed in control and mutant cells were performed in Graphpad Prism using one-way ANOVA analysis, followed by unpaired two-tailed type 2 Student’s t-tests. Statistical analysis of Golgi body trapping in control and mutant lines trapped within the ‘100 Golgi test’ was performed on numerical values in Microsoft Excel, using a Chi-Square test.

Results
Fluorescently labelled full-length and mutant AtCASP constructs co-localize with the Golgi marker STtmd-GFP in tobacco leaf epidermal cells
The first step in assessing the function of a putative protein tether is to disturb its tethering capability. If AtCASP played a role in tethering events between the ER and Golgi bodies, deleting its coiled-coil domain would change its mechanical properties and as such could be predicted to affect Golgi morphology, function or dynamics, possibly resulting in changes in: (i) the subcellular location of the fluorescent mutant compared to the full-length protein, (ii) the subcellular location of Golgi bodies in relation to the ER, (iii) Golgi body dynamics, such as speed or displacement, or (iv) the physical interaction between Golgi bodies and the ER tested by optical tweezer based displacement of Golgi bodies.

The mRFP constructs used for this study were full-length AtCASP and a deletion mutant AtCASP-ΔCC. In this mutant, the coiled-coil domains were deleted to produce a truncated protein. AtCASP-ΔCC consists of the C-terminal 154 amino acids, including a transmembrane domain that confers Golgi localisation (Fig. 1a, Renna et al., 2005; Latijnhouwers et al., 2007). This construct may act as a dominant-negative mutant, as it competes with endogenous wild-type AtCASP for Golgi membrane insertion but lacks the native protein’s potential tethering functions. As previously described for the GFP versions (Renna et al., 2005; Latijnhouwers et al., 2007), upon transient expression in tobacco leaf epidermal cells, full-length mRFP-AtCASP co-localizes with the standard Golgi marker STtmd-GFP (Boevink et al., 1998) in punctate structures (Fig. 1b). Similarly, mRFP-AtCASP-ΔCC contained sufficient information to target the fluorescent fusion protein to Golgi bodies (Fig. 1c). No obvious changes in localization were observed between the full-length and the mutant AtCASP construct.

Golgi body speed and displacement are significantly reduced in mutant AtCASP lines
To obtain qualitative and quantitative data on any changes in interactions between Golgi bodies and the ER, stable Arabidopsis lines expressing full-length mRFP-AtCASP or mRFP-AtCASP-ΔCC in a GFP-HDEL background were created. A transgenic Arabidopsis line expressing the Golgi...
marker STtmd-mRFP and the ER marker GFP-HDEL (Runions et al., 2006; Sparkes et al., 2009b) was used as a control (Fig. 2a). Cotyledonary leaf epidermal cells in 4–6 day old seedlings were analysed using confocal laser scanning microscopy. Neither the mRFP-AtCASP/GFP-HDEL lines (Fig. 2b) nor the mutant mRFP-AtCASP-ΔCC/GFP-HDEL lines (Fig. 2c) displayed any obvious differences, at the resolution of the confocal microscope, in Golgi morphology or spatial positioning relative to the surface of the ER, compared with the control.

To obtain quantitative data, videos were taken from control, full-length and mutant epidermal leaf cells and analysed using automated particle tracking software. Golgi bodies in mRFP-AtCASP lines formed clusters or chains, often just temporary in nature, with clusters dissolving after a few seconds and individual Golgi bodies continuing to move along their single trajectories (Fig. 2b). Golgi body movement was therefore analysed manually using the MTrackJ plugin (Meijering et al., 2012) in the ImageJ processing package Fiji (Schindelin et al., 2012). MTrackJ allows the manual tracking of individual Golgi bodies frame by frame. It was therefore used to determine the mean speed and displacement, namely the straight line distance from the start point of the track to the current point measure abbreviated here as D2S, for individual Golgi bodies in control, full-length and mutant AtCASP lines.

Mean Golgi body speed and displacement were calculated from the pooled Golgi body data, with n ranging between 3–19 Golgi bodies per cell. Table 1 summarizes the number of individual lines, cells and Golgi bodies that were analysed. All Golgi body values were pooled and statistical analysis was performed on the data, specifically one-way ANOVA followed by an unpaired two-tailed Student’s t-test. Scatter plots depict individual data points as well as the median Golgi body displacement and corresponding standard deviation (SD) in μm. The control lines (median=1.14 μm, ranging from 0.13 to 4.95 μm) and full-length AtCASP lines (median=1.24 μm, ranging from 0.16 to 5.15 μm) did not significantly differ from each other (P=0.7256) (Fig. 2d). Golgi displacement in mutant lines (median=0.67 μm, ranging...
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from 0.08 to 4.24 μm) was reduced significantly compared to both control (P=0.0184) and full-length (P=0.0020) lines. Similarly, as summarized in Fig. 2e, the mean Golgi speed did not differ significantly between control (median=0.61 μm, ranging from 0.08 to 1.7 μm, P=0.0740) and full-length AtCASP (median=0.57 μm, ranging from 0.07 to 2.11 μm) lines (P=0.5466) but was significantly decreased in the mutant (median=0.34 μm, ranging from 0.05 to 1.61 μm) compared with full-length lines (P=0.0088).

Optical trapping reveals that AtCASP is involved in tethering events at the Golgi-ER interface

Since Golgi movement parameters in the AtCASP lines were significantly different to the control line, optical tweezers were used to physically probe whether these were due to effects on the interaction with the ER. We hypothesized that if AtCASP had a role in tethering Golgi bodies to the ER, any potential effects of mutant AtCASP-ΔCC overexpression would become apparent upon manipulation of Golgi bodies with optical tweezers in planta. The underlying physical principle of optical trapping is that a highly focused laser beam is able to trap particles if they are a certain size, approximately 1 μm, and their refractive index is different to that of their environment (Neuman and Block, 2004). Golgi bodies fulfil these requirements as their size is around 1 μm in diameter and due to their condensed stack structure their refractive index differs from the surrounding cytoplasm. In contrast, it has not been possible to experimentally trap ER membranes (Sparkes et al., 2009b).
Optical trapping was performed in Arabidopsis cotyledonary leaf epidermal cells of 4–5 day old seedlings, before the start of growth stage 1 and the emergence of rosette leaves (Boyes et al., 2001), from mRFP-AtCASP-ΔCC/GFP-HDEL lines (n of cells=10, n of Golgi bodies=45) and ST-mRFP/GFP-HDEL control lines (n of cells=13, n of Golgi bodies=53, Table 2). A new Golgi body was randomly chosen for every new trapping event. Leaf samples were treated with the actin-depolymerising drug Latrunculin B before trapping to inhibit actin-based Golgi movement. Any subsequent movement was therefore due to the physical micromanipulation of the trapped Golgi body as the ER cannot be trapped (Sparkes et al., 2009b). In STtmd-control cells, a trapping laser output of 70 mW was required to trap Golgi bodies and no trapping was possible with outputs below this. In contrast, Golgi bodies in the AtCASP-ΔCC mutant line could easily be trapped with the laser power set to 30 mW (Table 2). From the total number of Golgi bodies trapped in the mutant line, 17 Golgi bodies moved just a few microns over the ER and then came to a halt, whereas the rest could be moved over a longer distance across the cell. ER remodeling along the tracks of trapped Golgi bodies occurred only in 15 instances in the mutant (28%) compared with 41 instances in the control trapping events (91%). Sixteen Golgi bodies in the mutant line detached from GFP-HDEL-labelled tubules during the trapping event and 11 of them re-attached to the ER as they were being moved. For the remaining trapping events it was not possible to determine whether ER reattachment took place.

Fig. 3a depicts video frames from an optical trapping event in mutant Arabidopsis cotyledons (see Supplementary Video S1 at JXB online). Turning the trapping laser on resulted in movement of a whole group of Golgi bodies over a short distance, at time point 7.8 s. A single Golgi body remained trapped (arrowhead), lost its tubule association and then moved freely through the cell, until connection was re-established near an ER tubule upon release of the optical trap (Fig. 3b, asterisk).

Surprisingly, in a few instances GFP-HDEL tubules appeared to follow Golgi bodies with a significant gap after the connection had been disrupted, as shown in Fig. 3b and Supplementary Video S2. Movement of two Golgi bodies that were trapped simultaneously (Fig. 3b, arrowhead) initially resulted in ER remodeling, until the connection broke at time point 7.8 s (asterisk). The ER tubule tip mirrored Golgi body movement with a delay, time points 11 s to 16.8 s. From time point 20.4 s onwards, a second ER tubule mirrored Golgi body movement (yellow arrowhead), appearing to attempt attachment to the trapped Golgi body.

Interestingly, the optical trapping data mirrored the observation made during the tracking of Golgi bodies in cells expressing full-length mRFP-AtCASP, in which Golgi bodies appeared to be ‘sticky’ and formed clusters or chains. In 64% of all trapping events performed in full-length AtCASP lines, two or more Golgi bodies were trapped and moved together, in contrast to 35% in STtmd-mRFP and 47% in AtCASP-ΔCC lines (Fig. 4a), at similar optical trapping force.

To test the degree of attachment in more detail, we used a TIRF-based optical trapping system, which did not require actin depolymerisation to trap Golgi bodies. We captured Golgi bodies in control, full-length and mutant AtCASP Arabidopsis cotyledons using a similar trapping force range. For this experiment, 100 Golgi bodies in three different leaves for each line (n=300) were randomly selected and scored as to whether they could be manually trapped and moved or not (Fig. 4c). In STtmd-mRFP cells, just 47% of Golgi bodies could be trapped. In cells expressing mRFP-AtCASP the ability to trap Golgi bodies increased slightly to 57%. In contrast, in cells expressing mRFP-AtCASP-ΔCC, we were able to trap 76% of Golgi bodies. Statistical analysis, using one-way ANOVA and unpaired two-tailed Student’s t-test, showed that there was no significant difference between the control and full-length AtCASP (P=0.321) but that mRFP-AtCASP-ΔCC differed significantly from the control (P=1.065 × 10⁻⁸) and full-length mRFP-AtCASP (P=2.091 × 10⁻⁸).

Using ImageJ and the MTrackJ plugin, we mapped tracks of captured Golgi bodies in relation to the tip of the remodeling ER tubule in STtmd-mRFP control lines (five tracks in total, representative track shown in Fig. 5a), mRFP-AtCASP (six tracks, representative track shown in Fig. 5b) and mRFP-AtCASP-ΔCC lines (21 tracks, Fig. 5c and d). Arrowheads indicate trapped Golgi bodies. In control cells, Golgi body and ER tracks overlaid almost perfectly with each other during micromanipulation (n of cells=7, Fig. 5a, e, Supplementary Video S3). Looking at tracks from cells expressing full length mRFP-AtCASP (n=6), we found that Golgi and ER tracks mirrored each other as they did in the control but the connection was more easily disrupted (Fig. 5b, f, Supplementary Video S4) compared with the control. In cells expressing mRFP-AtCASP-ΔCC (n=6), the instability of the ER-Golgi connection was reflected in a non-uniform range of track patterns. For example, as shown in Fig. 5c, h and Supplementary Video S5, an ER tubule initially followed a trapped Golgi body (arrowhead) on the same trajectory. The connection was then lost (asterisk) but the ER continued to mirror the Golgi body track, although they were separated from each other by a distance ranging from 0.6–1.6 µm. In other instances, a captured Golgi body separated from the ER (arrowhead, Fig. 5d, h), would reconnect with the ER for the track length of a few microns (asterisk) and then break free again.

### Table 2. The effects of optical trapping in control and AtCASP mutant Arabidopsis leaf epidermal cells

|                         | Control | AtCASP-ΔCC |
|-------------------------|---------|------------|
| Laser power (mW) required for Golgi body trapping | 70      | 30–40      |
| Number of analysed cells | 10      | 13         |
| Total number of trapped Golgi bodies              | 45      | 53         |
| Number of Golgi bodies trapped and ER remodelling follows Golgi tracks | 41      | 15         |
| ER-Golgi connection disrupted                      | 3       | 16         |
| Number of instances in which ER tubule movement mirrored movement of a trapped Golgi body, with a visible gap between Golgi body and ER tubule tip | 0       | 4          |
The gap width between the centre of the trapped Golgi body and the ER tubule tip in the time series depicted in Fig. 5c varied throughout the optical trapping event. The distance was measured in each of the nine frames in the video. Values ranged between 0.62 μm at the beginning to 1.33 μm at the end, with a mean width of 1.14 μm.

We assessed the stability of the ER-Golgi connection per individual trapping time series in control, full-length and mutant AtCASP lines (Fig. 6a) by calculating the ratio of frames with an intact ER-Golgi connection versus the total frame number, working on the assumption that trap movement was reasonably consistent over the short distances Golgi bodies were moved. Thus, a ratio of 1 means that ER remodelling took place throughout the whole trapping event, whereas a ratio of 0.5 indicates that the trapped Golgi body was detached from the ER for half of the time series. In control cells, 95% of trapping events showed a ratio of 1 (n=17), which reflects a stable ER-Golgi connection. In contrast, just 55% of trapped Golgi bodies in cells expressing mRFP-AtCASP (n=11), and 40% in mRFP-AtCASP-ΔCC cells (n=15) retained a permanent connection to the ER throughout the trapping event. The difference in length of disruption between the control and the full-length (P=0.0031) or mutant AtCASP (P=0.007) lines was significant, as determined by one-way ANOVA and unpaired two-tailed Student’s t-test.

In control cells, only 10% of trapped Golgi bodies lost their ER connection, and if they did, it occurred just once (Fig. 6b). In full-length AtCASP expressing cells, 60% of trapped Golgi bodies detached from the ER once, which was significantly higher than control cells, as shown statistically using one-way ANOVA and unpaired two-tailed Student’s t-test (P=0.0047). The ER-Golgi connection was most unstable in mRFP-AtCASP-ΔCC lines. In these, 40% of trapped Golgi bodies detached and reattached to the ER more than once during one trapping event, up to five times in one instance. This was
significantly different to the control \( (P=0.012) \) but not significantly different to full-length AtCASP \( (P=0.356) \).

**Discussion**

The advent of fluorescent protein technology permitted, for the first time, the observation of the dynamics of plant Golgi stacks in living plant cells (Boevink et al., 1998). The movement of individual Golgi bodies over the cortical actin network whilst being somehow attached to the ER was termed 'stacks on tracks'. Subsequently it was shown that transport of cargo between the ER was not dependent on the cytoskeleton (Brandizzi et al., 2002), that this association involved the protein components of the ERES (daSilva et al., 2004) and that the ER membrane itself was motile as well as the Golgi bodies (Runions et al., 2006; Sparkes et al., 2009b). However, it took the application of optical trapping to conclusively demonstrate that the organelle-to-organelle adhesion at the ER-Golgi body interface was sufficiently strong to permit remodelling of the tubular ER network simply by moving Golgi bodies around in the cortex of leaf epidermal cells (Sparkes et al., 2009b). Here we show that overexpression of truncated AtCASP (Renna et al., 2005; Latijnhouwers et al., 2007) interferes with ER-Golgi physical interaction, showing that: (i) ER and Golgi bodies are tethered rather than being connected via membranous extensions and (ii) the ER-Golgi interface may be organized by tethering proteins, the disturbance of one in particular, AtCASP, resulting in an alteration of Golgi movement and trapping properties.

**AtCASP functions as a tether between the ER and Golgi stack**

It could be predicted that if a protein is involved in tethering the Golgi stack to the ER, then the parameters describing its movement with or over the ER may change upon its disruption. Visually, this is difficult to assess from confocal time-lapse image series, other than the observed clumping of Golgi stacks in Arabidopsis lines expressing full-length mRFP-AtCASP. This clumping presumably occurs due to interactions between excess coiled-coil domains on the Golgi surface. Quantitative image analysis revealed both a drop in Golgi body velocity and a reduction in their mean displacement in AtCASP-ACC expressing cells, compared with non-clumped Golgi bodies in AtCASP mutant cells or in control ST-mRFP expressing cells. This could be interpreted either as interference with putative motor protein activity at the ER-Golgi interface or a loosening of the tethering at the interface. If in this scenario the tether is loosened, then decoupling of the Golgi body from its ER exit site supports the contention that Golgi movement is at least in part generated via movement of the ER surface (Runions et al., 2006), in which the exit site is embedded. Alternatively, the movement of the Golgi attached to the ERES may affect ER movement. It is still unclear to what extent the movement of ER and Golgi are dependent upon one another, that is whether they are co-regulated events or mutually exclusive processes (Sparkes et al., 2009a). To date there is little evidence for a Golgi-associated myosin (Sparkes et al., 2008; Avisar et al., 2009), other than a study on the expression of a truncated myosin, which occasionally labelled Golgi stacks (Li and Nebenführ, 2007). Furthermore, the differences in the AtCASP-ΔCC mutant line were observed upon actin depolymerisation during the trapping experiments. It can therefore be assumed that interfering with tethering may be the most likely cause of the change in Golgi body motility on expression of mutant AtCASP.

In this study, we utilized a more direct approach to probe Golgi tethering to the ER, which was carried out on two different optical trapping set-ups, confocal and TIRF-based. Whilst it was necessary to depolymerize the actin cytoskeleton to achieve trapping in the confocal microscope set-up, the basic organisation of the ER network and association with Golgi bodies has previously been shown to be preserved (Sparkes et al., 2009b). Here, we could successfully trap and manipulate motile Golgi in untreated leaves using the TIRF-based laser trap, indicating that the actin cytoskeleton may not play a significant role in tethering Golgi to the ER. Our optical trapping data clearly demonstrate that interfering with the coiled-coil domain of AtCASP and thus with any tethering function, affects the physical Golgi-ER connection. We were able to show that upon overexpression of the truncated AtCASP protein, the trapping power required to manipulate individual Golgi stacks was greatly reduced from

![Fig. 4. Comparing the ability to trap Golgi bodies in STtmd-mRFP control, full-length mRFP-AtCASP and mutant mRFP-AtCASP-ΔCC lines. (a) Two or more Golgi bodies were captured in 64% of trapping events in full-length AtCASP lines, compared with just 35% in control and 47% in AtCASP-ΔCC lines. Expression of full-length mRFP-AtCASP appears to make Golgi bodies 'stickier'. (b) Average numbers of three experiments (n=300) of trapping control, full-length and mutant AtCASP Golgi bodies in Arabidopsis cotyledons using a TIRF-Tweezer system. Compared with 46% trapped Golgi bodies in control cells and 57% trapped Golgi bodies in mRFP-AtCASP cells, 76% of Golgi bodies expressing the truncation were trapped. The STtmd control and AtCASP full-length lines did not significantly differ from each other (Chi-square test, \( P=0.321 \)) but the AtCASP-ΔCC line differed significantly from the control \( (P=1.065 \times 10^{-8}) \) and full-length lines \( (P=2.091 \times 10^{-6}) \).](https://academic.oup.com/jxb/article-abstract/68/13/3339/3865431)
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that required for wild-type Golgi bodies marked with a different membrane construct. Presumably, truncated AtCASP out-competed the native protein in a dominant-negative fashion. We found that trapping of Golgi bodies in mutant lines was easier and that the interface between ER and Golgi could be disturbed under experimental conditions in which actin had been depolymerized.

**AtCASP: one component of a larger tethering complex?**

In control Golgi-tagged plants, upon micromanipulation of Golgi bodies, the ER track coincided almost perfectly with the Golgi track. Upon overexpression of full-length fluorescently tagged AtCASP, the connection appeared to be more easily disturbed than in control cells but the tracks of ER tips and Golgi bodies occasionally were able to mirror each other. Golgi bodies still appeared to move on actin delimited tracks but the connection with the ER was loose. In cells expressing the deletion mutant, the disruption of the putative tether was obvious. Golgi bodies broke free from the ER more easily than in control STtmd-mRFP or full-length mRFP-AtCASP expressing cells. Track patterns were irregular and did not mirror that of the ER.

The gap observed on some occasions whilst being a micron plus between Golgi and ER, showed the Golgi and ER...
following the same trajectory, suggesting that AtCASP is not solely responsible for tethering at the ER-Golgi interface but might be part of a more substantial tethering complex. Other ER-Golgi tethering factors might interact with AtCASP via transmembrane domains or might anchor to other peripheral Golgi proteins. The gap that we observed could be explained by the location of the fluorophore in full-length and mutant AtCASP. In the full-length tagged protein, mRFP sits at the N-terminus and thus at the very end of the coiled-coil domain. In the truncated version, mRFP fused to AtCASP’s transmembrane domain would target to Golgi membranes, without interfering with tethering between remaining endogenous AtCASP and other tethering factors. We hypothesize that mRFP in the full-length protein labels the location at ERES where AtCASP tethering occurs, possibly under conditions when the coiled-coil domain is stretched out. This would tie in with previous results, where fluorescently tagged full-length AtCASP remained at ERES after Golgi membrane disassembly (Osterrieder et al., 2010; Schoberer et al., 2012). As immunolabeling of GFP-AtCASP located the construct to cisternal rims of Golgi stacks (Latijnhouwers et al., 2007), AtCASP appears to be anchored through its transmembrane domain to cis-Golgi membranes, while its coiled-coil domains labelled by the N-terminal fluorophore bind to yet unidentified partners at the ER-Golgi interface. Triple labelling experiments with fluorescent full-length and mutant fluorescent AtCASP versions, co-expressed with ERES and COPII markers, as well as cis- or trans-Golgi membrane markers such as glycosyltransferases (Schoberer and Strasser, 2011), could help to unravel the sub-compartmentalisation of key players at the plant ER-Golgi interface.

The biology of the ER-Golgi interface differs between plants and mammals in a variety of aspects (Brandizzi and Barlowe, 2013). Based on this and on the results from our study, we hypothesize that AtCASP could have different or additional functions in plants compared with its animal and yeast homologues. Notably, the model of ERES organisation itself is still in flux. The latest model, proposed by Glick (2014), replaces the concept of a COPII-organising scaffold with that of a self-organising tethering framework, consisting of ERES, that is transitional ER sites in yeast, early Golgi membranes and tethering factors, one of which might be CASP (Glick, 2014). Understanding the molecular make-up and mechanisms of the plant ER-Golgi interface is crucial for our understanding of how proteins pass through the secretory pathway. By identifying AtCASP as novel ER-Golgi tether, we have gained a new entry point into the dissection of the plant ER-Golgi interface.

AtCASP as a novel starting point to dissect the plant ER-Golgi interface
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The biology of the ER-Golgi interface differs between plants and mammals in a variety of aspects (Brandizzi and Barlowe, 2013). Based on this and on the results from our study, we hypothesize that AtCASP could have different or additional functions in plants compared with its animal and yeast homologues. Notably, the model of ERES organisation itself is still in flux. The latest model, proposed by Glick (2014), replaces the concept of a COPII-organising scaffold with that of a self-organising tethering framework, consisting of ERES, that is transitional ER sites in yeast, early Golgi membranes and tethering factors, one of which might be CASP (Glick, 2014). Understanding the molecular make-up and mechanisms of the plant ER-Golgi interface is crucial for our understanding of how proteins pass through the secretory pathway. By identifying AtCASP as novel ER-Golgi tether, we have gained a new entry point into the dissection of the plant ER-Golgi interface.
Conclusion

In conclusion, this work indicates that leaf epidermal cell Golgi bodies are intimately associated with the ER and that the connection is most likely maintained by a tethering complex between the two organelles. This does not preclude the possibility that direct membrane continuity between the ER and Golgi may also play a mechanical role in organising the ER-Golgi interface, imaging of which would most likely be below the resolution afforded by conventional confocal/TRIF microscopy. Super resolution fluorescence imaging coupled with electron microscopy will most likely answer this question.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data are available at JXB online.

Video S1: confocal images of a 34.4 s time series showing Arabidopsis leaf epidermal cells with ER labelled with GFP-HDEL and Golgi bodies labelled with mRFP-AtCASP-ΔCC.

Video S2: confocal images of a 70.4 s time series showing Arabidopsis leaf epidermal cells with ER labelled with GFP-HDEL and Golgi bodies labelled with mRFP-AtCASP-ΔCC.

Video S3: confocal images of a 15.24 s time series showing Arabidopsis leaf epidermal cells with ER labelled with GFP-HDEL and Golgi bodies labelled with STTmtd-mRFP.

Video S4: confocal images of a 10.40 s time series showing Arabidopsis leaf epidermal cells with ER labelled with GFP-HDEL and Golgi bodies labelled with mRFP-AtCASP.

Video S5: confocal images of a 10.27 s time series showing Arabidopsis leaf epidermal cells with ER labelled with GFP-HDEL and Golgi bodies labelled with mRFP-AtCASP-ΔCC.
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