Isolation and characterization of the compounds responsible for the antimutagenic activity of *Combretum microphyllum* (Combretaceae) leaf extracts
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**Abstract**

**Background:** Mutations play a major role in the pathogenesis and development of several chronic degenerative diseases including cancer. It follows, therefore, that antimutagenic compound may inhibit the pathological process resulting from exposure to mutagens. Investigation of the antimutagenic potential of traditional medicinal plants and compounds isolated from plant extracts provides one of the tools that can be used to identify compounds with potential cancer chemopreventive properties. The aim of this study was to isolate and characterise the compounds responsible for the antimutagenic activity of *Combretum microphyllum*.

**Methods:** The methanol leaf extract of *C. microphyllum* was evaluated for antimutagenicity in the Ames/microsome assay using *Salmonella typhimurium* TA98, TA100 and TA102. Solvent-solvent fractionation was used to partition the extracts and by using bioassay-guided fractionation, three compounds were isolated. The antimutagenic activity of the three compounds were determined in the Ames test using *Salmonella typhimurium* TA98, TA100 and TA102. The antioxidant activity of the three compounds were determined by the quantitative 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH)-free radical scavenging method. The cytotoxicity was determined in the MTT assay using human hepatocytes.

**Results:** A bioassay-guided fractionation of the crude extracts for antimutagenic activity led to the isolation of three compounds; n-tetracosanol, eicosanoic acid and arjunolic acid. Arjunolic acid was the most active in all three tested strains with a antimutagenicity of 42 ± 9.6%, 36 ± 1.5% and 44 ± 0.18% in *S. typhimurium* TA98, TA100 and TA102 respectively at the highest concentration (500 μg/ml) tested, followed by eicosanoic acid and n-tetracosanol. The antioxidant activity of the three compounds were determined using the quantitative 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH)-free radical scavenging method. Only arjunolic acid had pronounced antioxidant activity (measured as DPPH-free scavenging activity) with an EC50 value of 0.51 μg/ml. The cytotoxicity of the isolated compounds were determined in the MTT assay using human hepatocytes. The compounds had low cytotoxicity at the highest concentration tested with LC50 values >200 μg/ml for n-tetracosanol and eicosanoic acid and 106.39 μg/ml for arjunolic acid.

(Continued on next page)
Background
Mutations are implicated in the etiopathology of cancer, neurodegenerative diseases and several other chronic degenerative diseases. They are caused by permanent transmissible changes in the DNA structure and may involve individual genes, blocks of genes or whole chromosomes [1]. Since mutagens are involved in the initiation and promotion of several human diseases, research focusing on the identification of novel bioactive phytochemicals that reduce mutagenicity and counteract mutagenesis has gained credence in recent years [2]. The possibility of moderating the response of cells to a particular mutagen by antimutagenic compounds opens new horizons in the prevention of chronic degenerative diseases. Antimutagens provide multiple points of intervention for the pharmacological prevention of mutation related diseases. They are involved in the prevention of mutations and cancer development by lowering the frequency and/or rate of mutations, or blocking initiation of carcinogenesis; a chemopreventive role [2, 3]. Induction of mutagenesis occurs mainly through damage of DNA by free radicals and other reactive oxygen species (ROS) [4]. Numerous mutagens act through generation of ROS. Antioxidants which are inhibitors of oxidation are therefore an important part of a strategy to minimize mutation related diseases by prevention of oxidation induced DNA damage [5].

Plants synthesize structurally varied biologically active secondary metabolites with therapeutic potential as well as antimutagenic and anticancerous properties [6]. On this basis, the search for antimutagens from plants presents possibilities for the discovery of new antimutagenic and anticancerous phytocompounds. Furthermore, there is generally a growing interest in research relating to chemoprevention of cancer and other mutation related diseases [7]. Species of the Combretaceae family are widely spread and used for medicinal purposes in traditional medicine in different continents in the world. A review paper on the biological activity and chemistry of southern African Combretaceae identified gaps and areas for future research [8]. Different extractants extract different compounds with differing biological activity from Combretum microphyllum [9]. After investigating the correlation between antioxidant activity and antimutagenic activity of extracts of 120 plant species we found that C. microphyllum leaf extracts had high antimutagenic activity based on the Ames test, micronucleus/cytome assay and comet assay [10]. The aim of this study was to isolate and characterise the antimutagenic compounds present in C. microphyllum leaf extracts using bioassay-guided fractionation.

Methods
Plant material collection
Leaves of Combretum microphyllum Klotzsch were collected from the Lowveld National Botanical Gardens in Nelspruit, South Africa. A herbarium and voucher specimen number (Lowveld NBG 259/1995) was deposited at the Lowveld National Botanical Garden Herbarium in Nelspruit, South Africa. The leaves were dried in the dark at room temperature, ground into a fine powder and stored in glass bottles in the dark until used.

Extraction and bioassay-guided fractionation of compounds
The powdered leaves (580 g) were extracted three times with 5 l of methanol overnight. The extract was filtered through Whatman No.1 filter paper and concentrated to dryness using a rotary evaporator. The crude extract yield was 120.98 g. The crude extract was subjected to solvent-solvent fractionation using 1.2 l of n-hexane, ethyl acetate, n-butanol and water respectively. The resulting fractions were concentrated to dryness with a rotary evaporator and decanted into preweighed bottles and placed overnight under a stream of cold air to for solvent evaporation. The fractions were kept at 4 °C. The antimutagenic activity of the fractions was determined using the Ames test as an indicator of antimutagenicity. The most active ethyl acetate fraction (27.8 g) was subjected to open column chromatography (CC) on silica gel 60 (Merck) and eluted with an increasing polarity system of hexane and ethyl acetate [90:10 to 0:100] at 10% increments. Fractions of volume 300 ml each were collected and combined based similarity of thin layer chromatograms.

Determining mutagenicity and antimutagenicity
The potential mutagenic and antimutagenic effects of the isolated compounds were determined using the Salmonella/microsome (Ames test) assay [11], performed with Salmonella typhimurium TA98, TA100 and TA102.
4-Nitroquinoline 1-oxide and mitomycin C were used as positive controls. Briefly, 100 μl of bacterial stock were incubated in 20 ml of Oxoid Nutrient broth for 16 h at 37 °C on a rotary shaker. Of this overnight culture, 0.1 ml was added to 2.0 ml of top agar (containing histidine-biotin) together with 0.1 ml test solution and 0.5 ml phosphate buffer. To determine mutagenicity, the test solution contained 50 μl test sample and 50 μl solvent control. To determine antimutagenicity, the test solution contained 50 μl test sample and 50 μl positive control. The top agar mixture was poured over the surface of a minimal agar plate and incubated for 48 h at 37 °C. After incubation the numbers of revertant colonies (mutants) in each plate were counted.

Antimutagenicity was expressed as percentage inhibition of mutagenicity calculated using the formula below:

\[
\% \text{inhibition} = \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{T}{M} \right) \right] \times 100
\]

Where T is the number of revertants per plate in the presence of mutagen and the test solution and M is the number of revertants per plate in the positive control. All cultures were prepared in triplicate (except for the solvent control where five replicates were used). Absence of toxicity was confirmed when a background layer of bacterial growth, which should normally be present was observed. The positive control for TA98 and TA100, 4-nitroquinoline 1-oxide (4-NQO), was used at concentrations of 2 μg/ml and 1 μg/ml respectively, and for TA102, the positive control MMC was used at 1 μg/ml.

Quantitative antioxidant activity

The DPPH free radical scavenging spectrophotometric method described by Mensor et al. [12] and modified by Aderogba et al. [13] was used to evaluate the quantitative antioxidant activity. Reactions were carried out in 96-well microtitre plates and each of the isolated compounds was tested at varying concentrations ranging from 100 to 0.048 μg/ml. Blank solutions were prepared with methanol only while the negative control was DPPH solution (20 μl plus 50 μl methanol). Test sample solution contained compounds serially diluted in methanol. Methanol served as a blank for the microplate reader and the decrease in absorbance was measured at 515 nm. Percentage antioxidant activity (AA%) values were calculated from the absorbance values using the formula:

\[
\text{AA} \% = 100 - \left\{ \frac{(\text{Abs sample} - \text{Abs blank}) \times 100}{\text{Abs control}} \right\}
\]

(Abs sample is the absorbance of the sample, Abs blank is the absorbance of the blank and Abs control is the absorbance of the control). L-ascorbic acid (vitamin C) was used as a positive control (antioxidant agent). The EC<sub>50</sub> value, defined as the concentration of the sample leading to 50% reduction of the initial DPPH concentration, was calculated from the separate linear regression of plots of the mean percentage of the antioxidant activity against concentration of the test extracts obtained from the three replicate assays. The results are expressed as EC<sub>50</sub> values obtained from the regression plots.

Tetrazolium-based cytotoxicity test (MTT assay)

Cytotoxic effects of the isolated compounds were determined using the tetrazolium-based colorimetric (MTT) assay against human hepatocellular carcinoma (C3A) cells using the method described by Mosmann [14]. Briefly, the cells were maintained in minimal essential medium supplemented with 10% foetal calf serum and sodium pyruvate. Cell suspensions were prepared from confluent monolayer cultures and plated at a density of 5 × 10<sup>4</sup> cells/ml and a total of 200 μl of the cell suspension was plated in a 96-well culture plate. After incubation at 37 °C in a 5% CO<sub>2</sub> incubator, the cells were treated with different concentrations of the isolated compounds ranging from 10 to 200 μg/ml and incubated for 2 days. Doxorubicin chloride was used as the positive control. The wells were washed with PBS and fresh medium (200 μl) was then added to the wells. MTT (Sigma) dissolved in PBS (30 μl) was added to each well and incubated for 4 h at 37 °C. The medium was removed and MTT formazan crystals were dissolved in 50 μl DMSO. The amount of MTT reduction was measured immediately by detecting the absorbance using a microplate reader (BioTek Synergy, Analytical and Diagnostic Products, South Africa) at a wavelength of 570 nm. The percentage of cell viability was calculated using the formula below:

\[
\% \text{cell viability} = \frac{\text{Mean Absorbance of sample}}{\text{Mean Absorbance of control}} \times 100
\]

The LC<sub>50</sub> values were calculated as the concentration of the test sample that resulted in a 50% reduction of absorbance compared to untreated cells. The intensity of the MTT formazan produced by living metabolically active cells is directly proportional to the number of live cells present (Mosmann, [14]).

Results and discussion

Bioassay-guided liquid-liquid fractionation of the crude methanol extract of the dried leaves of C. microphyllum using column chromatography and determining antimutagenic activity yielded three compounds. Compound 1 (12 mg) was obtained as a powder, Compound 2 (11.3 mg) was obtained as a white powder and compound 3 (15 mg) was obtained by repeated column chromatography purification until single spots were obtained in TLC. (NMR spectra provided in the Additional file 1). The compounds were
identified as n-tetracosanol (C1), eicosanoic acid (C2) and arjunolic acid (C3) using 1H and 13C NMR spectroscopic analysis. The structures were confirmed by comparison of the NMR data obtained with data in the literature: n-tetracosanol (Fig. 1) [15], eicosanoic acid (Fig. 2) [16, 17] and arjunolic acid (Fig. 3) [18, 19].

The mutagenic activities of the three compounds isolated from C. microphyllum are presented in Table 1. The three compounds had no mutagenic activity in the Ames test using S. typhimurium TA98, TA100 and TA102. The compounds did not induce a significant increase \( (p < 0.05) \) in the number of revertant colonies compared to the negative control (solvent blank). There was a significant difference \( (p < 0.001) \) in the number of revertant between the positive control when compared to the negative control and all three compounds; a clear indication on the sensitivity of the assay in detecting mutagens. The mutation frequency/index for all the three strains when exposed to differing concentrations of the isolated compounds was less than 2, meaning none of the extracts caused double the number of colonies compared to the negative control. A positive mutagenic response in the Ames test requires at least a doubling in the number of revertant colonies of the test sample compared to that of the negative control (after 48 h) and found to have no visible differences, indicating a lack of toxicity to the bacteria at the concentration tested [11].

The antimutagenic activity of the methanol crude extracts of C. microphyllum and solvent-solvent fractions of the crude extract are presented in Fig. 4 as percentage inhibition of the mutagenic effects of 4-NQO and MMC. Varying degrees of antimutagenicity were observed across all tester strains. The ethyl acetate fraction was the most active fraction in all tester strains. The activity significantly increased with an increase in concentration \( (p < 0.001) \) in the case of S. typhimurium TA102 and in some cases more active than the crude extract It was for this reason that it was selected for further fractionation and possible isolation of antimutagenic compounds.

The antimutagenic activity results of the three compounds isolated from the ethyl acetate fraction of C. microphyllum are presented in Fig. 5. All the compounds had antimutagenic activity in the Ames test. Even though the activity of the compounds was not significantly different in S. typhimurium TA98, there was a clear statistical difference in the activity of all three compounds in S. typhimurium TA100 and TA102 tester strains. The compounds clearly have multiple mechanisms of mutation inhibition as they inhibit mutagenicity of 4-NQO in S. typhimurium TA98, S. typhimurium TA100 and of MMC in S. typhimurium TA102. Moreover, these compounds may have varying mechanisms of antimutagenesis since they prevent frame-shift mutations detectable in TA98, base-pair substitutions detectable in TA100 and small in-frame deletions detectable in TA102. This is one of the many advantages of using the Ames test in antimutagenesis studies as it provides information not only of antimutagenesis but also on possible mode of action [21].

Arjunolic acid was the most active in all three tested strains with percentage antimutagenicity of up to 41.92 ± 9.59%, 35.84 ± 1.45% and 43.78 ± 0.18% in S. typhimurium TA98, TA100 and TA102 respectively at the highest concentration tested (500 \( \mu \)g/ml), followed by eicosanoic acid and n-tetracosanol. The compounds had better activity than the crude extract and the solvent-solvent fractions (Figs. 4 and 5). The compounds had at least a 10 times higher antimutagenic activity than the
crude extract and the fractions. This is in agreement with reports that antimutagenicity of plants is caused by a small amount of a highly active compound or a large quantity of a weakly active agent or by the cumulative effect of many components [6].

Arjunolic acid effectively reduced the DPPH free radical with an EC_{50} value of 6.25 ± 0.29 μg/ml compared to the EC_{50} value of 0.51 ± 0.08 μg/ml of the positive control ascorbic acid (Table 2). This compound had significantly higher activity when compared to both n-tetracosanol and eicosanoic acid (p <0.001). The antioxidant activity of arjunolic acid observed in this study is in agreement with results reported by Manna et al. [22] where high levels of antioxidant activity were recorded at concentrations ranging from 100 to 600 μg/ml in a cell-free system. They recorded up to 80% DPPH free radical scavenging activity of arjunolic acid at the lowest concentration of 100 μg/ml used. The scavenging properties of this compound serve as a clear indication of its antioxidant potential. Based on this observation, the antimutagenic activity of arjunolic acid, at least in part, may be attributed to its antioxidant activity resulting in the detoxification of reactive oxygen species produced during mutagenesis. Arjunolic acid contains polyhydroxyl groups and thus can easily be oxidised during its interaction with reactive oxygen species (ROS). The DPPH radical scavenging activity of arjunolic acid can further be explained by the presence of its carboxylic hydrogen atom that can easily be abstracted by any free radical like DPPH [22].

The cytotoxicity of n-tetracosanol, eicosanoic acid and arjunolic acid was assessed in the MTT assay using human liver cells. The results are presented in Table 3 as LC_{50} values in μg/ml. All three compounds had low cytotoxicities with LC_{50} values >200 μg/ml for n-tetracosanol and eicosanoic acid and 106.39 ± 5.11 μg/ml for arjunolic acid. The percentage cell viability for each compound at the highest concentration tested (200 μg/ml) was: 59.74 ± 7.23% and 50.09 ± 6.21% for n-tetracosanol and eicosanoic acid respectively. Ramesh and colleagues (2012) also found arjunolic acid to be toxic to Ehrlich ascites carcinoma (EAC) and Dalton’s lymphoma (DLA) cell lines. In their investigations, arjunolic acid inhibited cell growth by up to 70% at 100 μg/ml whilst in our present study arjunolic acid inhibited 66% of hepatocellular carcinoma C3A cell growth at 200 μg/ml. Based on these findings, it appears that the cytotoxic effects of arjunolic acid may be cell line specific.

It is evident that indeed aliphatic alcohols have low cytotoxicity as found for n-tetracosanol in this study. Most aliphatic alcohols are not cytotoxic at concentrations of up to 300 mM [23]. These compounds are expected to have no adverse hepatotoxic effects. Most reports of toxic effects due to the use of herbal medicines and dietary supplements are associated with hepatotoxicity, although reports of other toxic effects including kidney, nervous system, blood, cardiovascular and dermatologic effects, mutagenicity and carcinogenicity have also been published [24].

n-Tetracosanol, an aliphatic alcohol with 24 carbons, was the least active compound in all the tester strains. Nonetheless, the antimutagenic activity of this compound to some extent may be correlated to the activity of other aliphatic alcohols reported in literature. Aliphatic alcohols are known to have various biological activities. C18 to C26 aliphatic alcohols have antiproliferative activity on hyper-proliferative

### Table 2 Mean number of revertant colonies per plate (±SD) in Salmonella typhimurium TA98, TA100 and TA102 exposed to different concentrations of the compounds isolated from C. microphyllum to measure mutagenicity

| Concentration μg/ml | 500 | 50  | 5   |
|---------------------|-----|-----|-----|
| S. typhimurium TA98 |     |     |     |
| n-Tetracosanol      | 30.00 ± 7.81 | 35.67 ± 9.71 | 23.67 ± 4.51 |
| Eicosanoic acid     | 27.77 ± 1.53  | 29.33 ± 4.04  | 28.67 ± 5.51  |
| Arjunolic acid      | 33.00 ± 2.65  | 28.33 ± 3.78  | 26.67 ± 1.15  |
| Negative/solvent blank | 28.60 ± 5.32 | Positive 2 μg/ml 4-NQO | 239.33 ± 33.20 |
| S. typhimurium TA100 |     |     |     |
| n-Tetracosanol      | 125.00 ± 8.18 | 121.33 ± 2.52 | 127.00 ± 7.21 |
| Eicosanoic acid     | 108.67 ± 5.03 | 102.67 ± 4.73 | 112.33 ± 2.89 |
| Arjunolic acid      | 109.00 ± 8.72 | 104.33 ± 2.52 | 107.33 ± 1.15 |
| Negative/solvent blank | 107.00 ± 4.85 | Positive 1 μg/ml 4-NQO | 864.00 ± 9.77 |
| S. typhimurium TA102 |     |     |     |
| n-Tetracosanol      | 294.33 ± 20.74 | 271.00 ± 4.58 | 286.67 ± 8.50 |
| Eicosanoic acid     | 292.33 ± 5.51 | 278.33 ± 7.57 | 288.00 ± 10.82 |
| Arjunolic acid      | 287.00 ± 15.39 | 280.67 ± 10.69 | 288.67 ± 28.68 |
| Negative/solvent blank | 282.40 ± 15.53 | Positive 1 μg/ml MMC | 1241.67 ± 7.77 |
skin lesions. These compounds had selective antiproliferative activity against hypertrophic fibroblasts [25]. It is a central premise of medicinal chemistry that structurally similar molecules have similar biological activities [26]. There is a direct correlation between related chemical compounds and compositions and their therapeutic activities [23].

Arjunolic acid is a triterpenoid and a major constituent present in *Terminalia arjuna* [27, 28]. Arjunolic acid was isolated from the ethyl acetate fraction and methanol extracts of *T. arjuna* core wood [19]. There is no previous report on the antimutagenic activity of arjunolic acid. Hemalatha et al. [29] did however report that arjunolic acid has antimutagenic activity in a review article on the multifunctional therapeutic applications of arjunolic acid. No data was, however provided to support this conclusion, making our study the first to demonstrate antimutagenicity of arjunolic acid in the Ames test. Ever since the registration of a patent on hormonal, wound healing and bactericidal properties of arjunolic acid by Ratsimamanga and Boiteau [30] various biological activities of this compound have been studied [28]. Arjunolic acid has multi-functional medicinal applications including antioxidant, antiplatelet, anticoagulant, antinecrotic, anti-tumour, antinephrotoxic, antihypertotoxic, anti-inflammatory, anti-nociceptive, anticholinesterase, antidiabetic, anti-asthmatic, antimicrobial and anti-insecticidal activities [29, 31].

![Table 2](image)

| Compounds          | n-Tetracosanol | Eicosanoic acid | Arjunolic acid | Ascorbic acid |
|--------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|
| EC50 (μg/ml)       | >100           | >100           | 6.25 ± 0.29    | 0.51 ± 0.08   |

*Fig. 4* Antimutagenic activity of the crude extract of *C. microphyllum* and solvent-solvent fractions of the crude extract in the Ames test using *S. typhimurium* TA98, TA100 and TA102 (percentage inhibition of the mutagenic effects of 4-NQO and MMC). C1 = n-Tetracosanol, C2 = Eicosanoic acid and C3 = Arjunolic acid.

*Fig. 5* Antimutagenic activity of compounds isolated from *C. microphyllum* in the Ames test using *S. typhimurium* TA98, TA100 and TA102 (percentage inhibition of the mutagenic effects of 4-NQO and MMC). C1 = n-Tetracosanol, C2 = Eicosanoic acid and C3 = Arjunolic acid.
Conclusion
The antimutagenic activity of *C. microphyllum* extracts, fractions and isolated compounds in protecting against 4-NQO and MMC induced mutations as evident in the Ames test was demonstrated for the first time in this study. The active antimutagenic constituents were n-tetracosanol, eicosanoic acid and arjunolic acid. The isolated compounds had varying antimutagenic activity, antioxidant activity and did not have substantial toxicity towards human liver cells (C3A cell line). Arjunolic acid was the only compound with good antioxidant activity and had better antimutagenic activity than eicosanoic acid and n-tetracosanol. The antimutagenic activity of arjunolic acid may, at least in part, may be attributed to its antioxidant activity resulting in the detoxification of reactive oxygen species produced during mutagenesis.

Additional file

Additional file 1: Supplementary data is attached. (DOCX 1989 kb)

Abbreviations
4-NQO: 4-nitroquinoline 1-oxide; CC: Column chromatography; DNA: Deoxyribonucleic acid; DPPH: 2, 2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl; EC50: Effective concentration; MMC: Mitomycin-C; MTT: [3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide]; ROS: Reactive oxygen species; TLC: Thin layer chromatography

Acknowledgments
The National Research Foundation of South Africa (NRF IPPR 95991 to JNE; KSC 69805 to EEE), the Medical Research Council of South Africa (SIR to JNE) and the University of Pretoria provided financial support. The curator of Lowveld National Botanical Gardens allowed us to collect plant material.

Funding
Funding for this research was provided by the National Research Foundation of South Africa (NRF) to JNE IPPR 95991, NRF to EEE KSC 69805 Medical Research Council of South Africa SIR to JNE and the University of Pretoria.

Availability of data and materials
Materials available in Botanical Gardens.

Authors’ contributions
TJM carried out the experimental work and wrote the first draft of the manuscript, EEE, and LV assisted with the genotoxicity assays, MDA assisted with the isolation and characterisation of compounds, UMM with cytotoxicity and total phenolic content and JNE identified the project, provided overall supervision, assisted in antioxidant assays and finally revised and submitted the manuscript. All contributed to the conception, design, analysis, interpretation of data and manuscript writing. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate
Ethical approval/clearance was not required for this research project.

Consent for publication
Not applicable.

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher’s Note
Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Table 3 Cytotoxicity of three compounds isolated from *C. microphyllum* against human liver cells (C3A cell line)

| Compounds          | n-Tetracosanol | Eicosanoic acid | Arjunolic acid | Doxorubicin |
|--------------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------|
| LC50 (μg/ml)       | >200           | >200            | 106.39 ± 5.11  | 0.64 ± 0.032 |

References
1. Ames BN, Durson WE, Yamaski E, Lee FD. Carcinogens are mutagens: a simple test system combining liver homogenates for activation and bacteria detection. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1973;70:2281–5.
2. Ferguson LR, Zhu S, Harris PJ. Antioxidant and antigenotoxic effects of plant cell wall hydroxyccinnamic acids in cultured HT-29 cells. Mol Nutr Food Res. 2005;49:585–93.
3. De Flora S, Izzotti A, D’Agostino F, Balarsky R. Mechanisms of n-acetylcysteine in the prevention of DNA damage and cancer, with specific reference to smoking-related end points. Carcinogenesis. 2001;22:999–1013.
4. Namaki M. Antioxidants/Antimutagens in foods. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr. 1990; 29:273–300.
5. Ames BN. Dietary carcinogens and anticarcinogens: oxygen radicals and degenerative diseases. Science. 1983;221:1256–63.
6. Mitscher LA, Telleppalli H, McGhee E, Shankel DM. Natural antimutagenic compounds. Mutat Res. 1996;350:143–52.
7. Singh S, Singh PP, Roberts LR, Sanchez W. Chemopreventive strategies in hepatocellular carcinoma. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2014;11:45–54.
8. Eloff JN, Katerere DR, McGaw LJ. The biological activity and chemistry of the southern African Combretaceae. J Ethnopharmacol. 2008;119:686–99.
9. Kotze M, Eloff JN. Extraction of antibacterial compounds from *Combretum microphyllum* (Combretaceae). S Afr J Bot. 2002;68:627–32.
10. Makhafola TJ, Elgorashi EE, McGaw LJ, Verschaeve L, Eloff JN. The correlation between antimutagenic activity and total phenolic content of extract of 31 plant species with high antioxidant activity. BMC Complement Altern Med. 2016;16:490. doi:10.1186/s12906-016-1437-x.
11. Maron DM, Ames BN. Revised methods for Salmonella mutagenicity test. Mutat Res. 1983;13:73–215.
12. Menozzi LL, Menezes FS, Leitao GG, Reis AS, Santos TC, Coube CS, Leitao SG. Screening of Brazilian plant extracts for antioxidant activity by the use of DPPH free radical method. Phytother Res. 2001;15:127–30.
13. Aderoga MA, Ogundaini AO, Eloff JN. Inhibition of the induction of DNA synthesis and inhibition of DNA synthesis. J Ethnopharmacol. 1994;43:283–9.
14. Mosmann T. Rapid colorimetric assay for cellular growth and survival: application to proliferation and cytotoxicity assays. J Immunol Methods. 1983;65:55–63.
15. Murray KE, Schoenfeld R. Studies of waxes. IX. The normal alcohols of wool wax. Aust J Chem. 1955;8:424–31.
16. Vieux A, Kabeke N, Ngiefu C. Oil plants in the Democratic Republic of the Congo. Loveanium, Kinshasa DRC. Oleagineux. 1970;25:395–9.
17. Ongokia PR, Banzouzi JT, Poupac C, Ikouya A, Ouamba JM, Moundachirou M. Fatty acids isolated from *Milletia senegaliser* Baker (Fabaceae). J Biotechnol. 2006;14:1427–9.
18. Bag BG, Dey PP, Dinda SK, Sheldrick WS, Oppel IM. A simple route for renewable nano-sized arjunolic and asisic acids and self-assembly of arjuna-bromolactone. Beilstein J Org Chem. 2008;4:1–5.
19. Ramesh AS, Christopher JG, Radhika R, Setty CR, Thankamani V. Isolation, characterisation and cytotoxicity study of arjunolic acid from *Terminalia arjuna*. Nat Prod Res. 2012;26:1549–52.

20. Verschaeve L, van Staden J. Mutagenic and antimutagenic properties of extracts from south African traditional medicinal plants. J Ethnopharmacol. 2008;119:575–87.

21. De Flora S, Bronzetti G, Sobels FH. Assessment of antimutagenicity and anticarcinogenicity, end-points and systems. Mutat Res. 1992;267:153–298.

22. Manna P, Sinha M, Sil PC. Protection of arsenic-induced hepatic disorder by Arjunolic acid. Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol. 2007;101:333–8.

23. Pope LE, Marcelletti JF, Katz LR, Katz DH. Anti-herpes simplex virus activity of n-docosanol correlates with intracellular metabolic conversion of the drug. J Lipid Res. 1996;37:2167–78.

24. Temple RJ, Himmel MH. Safety of newly approved drugs: implications for prescribing. J Am Med Assoc. 2002;287:2273–5.

25. Katz DH, Katz LR, Khalil MN, Marcelletti JF, Pope LE. Use of C18 to C26 aliphatic alcohols for the manufacture of a medicament in the treatment of hyperproliferative skin disorders. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1991;88:10823–9.

26. Martin YC, Kofron JL, Traphagen LM. Do structurally similar molecules have similar biological activity? J Med Chem. 2002;45:4350–8.

27. King FE, King TJ, Ross JM. The constitution of arjunolic acid, a triterpene from *Terminalia arjuna*. J Chem Soc. 1954;23:3995–4003.

28. Ghosh J, Das J, Manna P, Sil PC. Cytoprotective effect of arjunolic acid in response to sodium fluoride mediated oxidative stress and cell death via necrotic pathway. Toxicol in Vitro. 2008;22:1918–26.

29. Hemalatha T, Pulavendran S, Balachandran C, Manohar BM, Puvanakrishnan R. Arjunolic acid: a novel phytomedicine with multifunctional therapeutic applications. Indian J Exp Biol. 2010;48:238–47.

30. Ratsimamanga AR, Boiteau P. GB Patent 923414. (CA 59:P10239a) 1963.

31. Ghosh J, Sil PC. Arjunolic acid: a new multifunctional therapeutic promise of alternative medicine. Biochemie. 2013;95:1098–109.