Hemodynamic monitoring in patients with venoarterial extracorporeal membrane oxygenation
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Abstract: Veno-arterial extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (VA-ECMO) is an effective mechanical circulatory support modality that rapidly restores systemic perfusion for circulatory failure in patients. Given the huge increase in VA-ECMO use, its optimal management depends on continuous and discrete hemodynamic monitoring. This article provides an overview of VA-ECMO pathophysiology, and the current state of the art in hemodynamic monitoring in patients with VA-ECMO.
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Introduction

Veno-arterial extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (VA-ECMO) is an effective mechanical circulatory support modality that rapidly restores systemic perfusion in cardiogenic shock patients, over days or weeks. Patients receiving VA-ECMO support, and institutions offering ECMO support are rapidly increasing. Generally, the main indications for VA-ECMO are refractory circulatory failures, including medical or post-cardiotomy shock, cardiac arrest, refractory ventricular tachycardia, and the acute management of invasive procedure complications. The fundamental purpose of VA-ECMO support is a bridge to recovery, to heart transplantation, to a more durable bridge, or to decision (1). Currently, there is no consensus on the daily management of VA-ECMO patients, due a lack of clinical evidence. Optimal management approaches involve several inputs such as circulatory support, infection prevention and nutrition support, where hemodynamic monitoring plays a fundamental role in VA-ECMO, from initiation to weaning.

This article provides an overview of VA-ECMO pathophysiology, and reviews current knowledge of hemodynamic monitoring assessments in patients with peripheral VA-ECMO.

VA-ECMO pathophysiology

The basic principles of VA-ECMO

VA-ECMO drains blood from the right atrium using a centrifugal pump, and transits it through an oxygenator, where gas exchange (oxygenation and CO₂ removal) occurs. The oxygenated blood returns to the circulation through
the large arteries, to maintain systemic perfusion. Notably, during peripheral VA-ECMO support, pulsatile antegrade blood flow ejected by the heart, collides with continuous retrograde perfusion supplied by ECMO, leading to a dynamic mixing cloud in the aorta (a watershed region). The external circulation disrupts normal physiological ventricular-arterial coupling, and impacts cardiac function.

**VA-ECMO impact on the heart**

The initiation of VA-ECMO markedly decreases right ventricle end-diastolic volume (RVEDV) (2,3). In a fixed right ventricle (RV) contractility and pulmonary vascular resistance setting, decreases in RVEDV lead to reductions in RV stroke volume (Figure 1A). Simultaneously, the returning flow of VA-ECMO elevates systemic mean arterial pressure (MAP), and left ventricle (LV) afterload, as well as maintaining peripheral perfusion. With ECMO flow increases, arterial pressure increases and arterial pulse pressure (PP) decreases and, concomitantly, LV stroke volume decreases (Figure 1B) and the duration of aortic valve opening shortens (4).

**Hemodynamic monitoring in VA-ECMO patients**

It is important to note that hemodynamic responses during VA-ECMO support are complex, and vary among patients due to multiple clinical variables. We emphasize three dimensions of hemodynamic monitoring: perfusion, flow and cardiac function.

**Perfusion assessments**

The main role of VA-ECMO support is to provide adequate blood flow and oxygen supply to maintain optimal global tissue perfusion. Several indices exist for the assessment of peripheral perfusion in VA-ECMO patients.

**Clinical assessment**

Clinical examination provides valuable information on perfusion for patients with VA-ECMO (Figure 2 and Figure 3). Abnormal neurological status (delirium/confusion), cold and clammy skin, and oliguria are common, possible signs of mal-perfusion during VA-ECMO support. The skin allows intensivists to assess peripheral microcirculatory perfusion, using accessible indices such as skin temperature gradients, mottling, and capillary refill time (CRT). Skin mottling is a good predictor of early mortality in septic shock patients (5,6). Skin mottling scoring allows for the increased discrimination of therapy responses (5). CRT is also associated with increased morbidity and mortality, and decreased visceral organ perfusion in critically ill patients (7,8). CRT may be a promising target during the resuscitation of early septic shock, and may be related to lower mortality and rapid improvements in terms of organ dysfunction (9,10).
Figure 2 Hemodynamic assessment methods in a patient with VA-ECMO support. VA-ECMO, veno-arterial extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; rStO$_2$, regional saturation of tissue oxygen; SvO$_2$, mixed venous oxygen saturation; ScvO$_2$, central-venous oxygen saturation; PAWP, pulmonary artery wedge pressure; RAP, right atrial pressure; PVD, perfused vessel density; TVD, total vessel density; PPV, percent perfused vessels.
However, these indices may fail to reflect more central tissue perfusion (11), and may be restricted by other circumstances, e.g., dark skin. Until now, no studies have evaluated the potential role of these indices in patients with VA-ECMO.

Lactate monitoring
Lactate is a metabolic byproduct of anaerobic glycolysis, and an indicator of inadequate oxygen delivery. It is accepted that elevated serum lactate levels in critically ill patients, is mainly derived from hypoxic origins due to circulatory failure. Hyperlactatemia during the initial phase of shock may reflect inadequate tissue perfusion, and is associated with elevated mortality (12). Elevated serum lactate levels during the early phase of ECMO implantation are associated with increased mortality (13-16). Moreover, lactate clearance rates can also be helpful in monitoring therapy responses. Increasing evidence shows that lactate level changes after ECMO implantation are important prognostic factors (14-17). However, it is important to note that inadequate oxygen delivery or hypoperfusion is not the sole cause of hyperlactatemia. Exogenous catecholamines, stress or impaired liver function can also influence lactate levels (18). Moreover, slow lactate clearance rates often indicate severe microvascular dysfunction.

Mixed venous oxygen saturation ($\text{SvO}_2$) and central-venous oxygen saturation ($\text{ScvO}_2$)
$\text{SvO}_2$ from the pulmonary artery, as an indirect index...
of tissue oxygenation, is an independent predictor of mortality in septic and cardiogenic shock (19-21). ScvO₂ as a surrogate is strongly correlated with SvO₂ (22,23). Though ScvO₂ as a target for resuscitation of septic shock remains controversial, monitoring ScvO₂ levels is still advocated as a simple method in assessing balances between oxygen delivery and consumption, in various clinical settings (24,25). ScvO₂ <70% indicates a mismatch between oxygen delivery and consumption (26). Low ScvO₂ in early shock stages is associated with mortality in septic shock patients (20,27). For VA-ECMO patients, ECMO circuits provide a platform for real-time, continuous analysis of venous oxygen saturation. Pre-membrane saturation of the ECMO circuit, approximating ScvO₂, reflects tissue oxygenation adequacy on VA-ECMO. Low ScvO₂ levels are vital warning signs of inadequate oxygen delivery. Several reports have shown that low ScvO₂ levels were associated with mortality in VA-ECMO patients (28,29). The causes of decreased ScvO₂ are decreased oxygen delivery, or increased extraction. Decreased oxygen delivery results from a low cardiac output (CO) during cardiogenic shock, or severe hypoxia in respiratory failure. Oxygen supplies can be increased by increasing ECMO flow and maintaining adequate MAP, oxygenation, CO and hemoglobin levels. Increased extraction is mainly due to increased metabolic rate or sepsis. Means to decrease the metabolic activity including sedation and hypothermia may be needed.

**Regional saturation of tissue oxygen (rStO₂)**

Patients undergoing ECMO have potentially devastating and often debilitating neurological complications, including ischemic/hemorrhagic stroke or seizures, which are associated with longer hospital stays and increased mortality (30-33). As patients are usually sedated during VA-ECMO support, traditional clinical neurological examinations are not always feasible. Cerebral near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS) is a non-invasive method that continuously monitors regional saturation of cerebral oxygen (rScO₂). It signals the balance between cerebral oxygen delivery, and cerebral oxygen consumption. Many factors affect the accuracy of rScO₂ measurements, such as arterial pressure, CO₂ concentrations, ECMO blood flow, arterial oxygen saturation, hematocrit, anesthesia levels and regional temperatures. Cerebral desaturation or large right–left rScO₂ differences are independently associated with neurologic injury in patients undergoing VA-ECMO (34,35). NIRS can be useful in monitoring lower extremity perfusion in patients receiving VA-ECMO. Lower rStO₂ in the cannulated leg or large differences in rStO₂ between the legs may indicate lower extremity ischemia (36,37). In conclusion, continuous monitoring of cerebral and lower extremity regional saturation oxygen levels could provide intensivists with early identification and intervention capabilities before the development of irreversible injury, and could serve as an adjunctive indicator of neurologic or lower extremity status in VA-ECMO patients.

**Ensuring adequate blood flow**

Cardiogenic shock patients are characterized by end-organ hypoperfusion caused by low native CO and hypotension. As a rescue therapy, VA-ECMO provides hemodynamic and gas exchange support, and rapidly restore systemic hemodynamics. Adequate blood flow is the prerequisite to maintaining tissue perfusion. Since VA-ECMO introduces an external circulation coupled to a native cardiopulmonary circulation, functional blood flow is composed of native CO and ECMO flow during ECMO support.

**Monitoring VA-ECMO blood flow**

The optimal level of ECMO support varies depending on native cardiac function. Patients with severely impaired native cardiac function usually require maximal ECMO support. Levels of ECMO support are determined by the amount of flow the ECMO circuit provided. The initial ECMO flow should be 50–70 mL/kg/min, along with a mean arterial pressure >60 mmHg (38). ECMO flow is modulated to maintain or restore normal hepatic, renal, pulmonary and neurological functions.

ECMO flow is influenced by the modifiable variables of preload, afterload, and impellor revolutions per minute (RPM), as well as by static variables of diameter and cannula length. In general, increased RPM could directly increase blood flow. In a fixed RPM setting, a drop in ECMO flow with a centrifugal pump may be caused by a preload decrease or afterload augmentation. Decreases in preload may be caused by several factors, such as bleeding or hypovolemia. Afterload is usually influenced by systemic vascular resistance (SVR) or kinks in the atrial cannula, or a thrombus in the oxygenator.

For peripheral VA-ECMO, placement of a distal perfusion cannula (DPC) is recommended to prevent limb ischemia (39). Flow through a DPC can be monitored by an ultrasound flow meter. Generally, flow through a DPC...
changes in a linear positive correlation with ECMO blood flow, and variables that influence ECMO flow could also influence DPC flow. There is no agreement for a minimal recommended DPC flow to maintain limb perfusion. In our center, a 6–8 Fr distal perfusion cannula (DPC) is routinely used for adults, with a minimal 150 mL/min flow recommended to prevent limb ischemia.

Monitoring native CO
Patients with VA-ECMO support are characterized by end-organ hypoperfusion caused by low CO and hypotension. Advanced hemodynamic monitoring is important in detecting native CO and guiding treatment. Several hemodynamic monitoring methods for the assessment of native CO have not yet been validated, and should be cautiously used in patients with VA-ECMO support (Table 1).

Echocardiography is a widely used noninvasive method of hemodynamic assessment (40). Using this approach, CO can be estimated by several methods. The most frequently used method involves measuring blood flow velocity (Doppler technique) at the left ventricular outflow tract (LVOT), thus, providing a beat-to-beat measurement of SV (41).

The pulmonary artery catheter (PAC) also measures CO. However, the thermodilution method does not allow accurate CO measurements in patients with VA-ECMO, as firstly, extracorporeal circulation affects pulmonary blood flow, and secondly thermal signals could be lost in ECMO blood flow, since part of the PACs thermal filament may be situated across the tricuspid valve (42). Although limited for CO measurement, the PAC still provides valuable hemodynamic information such as pulmonary artery wedge pressure (PAWP), which are regarded as indicators of LV distention.

Transpulmonary thermodilution (TPTD) provides a comprehensive hemodynamic assessment for critically-ill patients (43). Redwan and colleagues reported that low-flow veno-venous ECMO (VV-ECMO) did not influence hemodynamic monitoring, when using TPTD (44). However, TPTD is inappropriate for CO measurements.

Table 1 Current clinical technologies for cardiac output monitoring in VA-ECMO patients

| Measurement technique                  | Description                                                                                                                                 |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Echocardiography                      | • Measures VTI at LVOT by tracing the spectral Doppler envelope  
• Intermittent measurements only  
• High operator dependency  
• Noninvasive                                                                                   |
| Pulmonary artery catheter (PAC)       | • Extracorporeal circulation affects pulmonary blood flow  
• PAC thermal filament may be situated across the tricuspid valve, therefore, the thermal signal could be lost in ECMO blood flow  
• Invasive procedure, with high risks  
• Recommend catheterization before ECMO initiation                                             |
| Transpulmonary thermodilution (TPTD)  | • Volumetric parameters calculated by TPTD is inaccuracy  
• Cold saline injected through central venous system could be drained into ECMO circuit  
• Extracorporeal circulation affects pulmonary blood flow  
• Oxygenated blood returned to the aorta in the opposite direction of native cardiac ejection |
| Arterial pressure waveform analysis (APWA) | • Low pulse pressure or IABP precludes APWA-based CO monitoring  
• Focus on CO trend changes, rather than absolute CO values  
• Not suitable for patients with arrhythmia  
• Less invasive                                                                                 |

VTI, velocity time integral; LVOT, left ventricular outflow tract; PAC, pulmonary artery catheter; ECMO, extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; TPTD, transpulmonary thermodilution; APWA, arterial pressure waveform analysis; IABP, intra-aortic balloon pump; CO, cardiac output.
in patients with VA-ECMO, since unknown levels of cold saline bolus are drained into the ECMO circuit, generating inaccurate calculations.

Arterial pressure waveform analysis (APWA) devices, such as Vigileo/FloTrac systems (Edwards Lifesciences, Irvine, CA, USA) provide real-time CO measurements by deriving stroke volumes from the arterial pressure curve. These devices are validated during perioperative settings despite working without external calibration (45,46). However, APWA systems become unreliable when major hemodynamic or vasomotor tone changes exist (47,48). VA-ECMO patients often experience large changes in arterial resistance, either spontaneously or due to vasopressors, and can present little-to-no pulsatility (49,50). Hence, these devices are unsuitable in these situations. In some clinical settings, i.e., during an ECMO weaning process, which is characterized by low ECMO blood flow, low vasopressor doses and relative normal pulse pressure (PP), APWA devices may play a role, but their validation is essential.

Monitoring MAP
A sufficient MAP is essential in maintaining end-organ perfusion. MAP is a product of total CO and SVR. In VA-ECMO patients, MAP increases may be achieved by increasing either CO or SVR, using vasoactive drugs. As total CO is composed of VA-ECMO flow and native CO, increasing ECMO flow or native CO could increase MAP. Although there is insufficient evidence to recommend optimal MAP objectives, an initial MAP of >60 mmHg may be reasonable, and should be adjusted according to individual circumstances. As MAP increases are related to increases in afterload, balances between the effects of increased afterload and adequate tissue perfusion should be weighed.

Monitoring microcirculation
VA-ECMO enables rapid improvements in systemic hemodynamic parameters, such as blood pressure, total CO and SvO₂. However, there is no guarantee that systemic hemodynamic normalization also improves microcirculatory and tissue perfusion. The incoherence between macro- and micro-circulation is a common pathophysiology phenomenon in cardiogenic shock patients (51-53). At present, hand-held video microscopy is a promising tool, which assesses microcirculation disturbances at bedside. Only certain anatomical regions, such as sublingual areas can be monitored due to technical restrictions. Previous studies have shown that sublingual microcirculatory disturbances are predominant in sepsis or cardiogenic shock patients, and are associated with mortality (54-56). The use of sublingual microcirculatory monitoring in patients with VA-ECMO support is now emerging. Perfused vessel density (PVD) of sublingual areas at VA-ECMO initiation is associated with mortality in patients with cardiogenic shock (57). The inability to restore microcirculation parameters, such as perfused small-vessel densities, small-vessel densities, and percent perfused vessels during the first 24 hours, was associated with mortality in VA-ECMO patients with refractory cardiogenic shock (58). Sustained values for total vessel density (TVD) and PVD during a 50% ECMO flow reduction, were more specific and sensitive for predicting successful weaning from VA-ECMO, than echocardiographic parameters (59). However, the widespread use of sublingual microcirculatory monitoring is restricted since measurements are time-consuming and expensive, and they require an experienced operator, as well post-monitoring complex analysis (60). Further studies are warranted to determine the role of sublingual microcirculatory monitoring in the management of patients during VA-ECMO support.

Cardiac function assessments

Rhythm
Cardiac arrhythmias often compromise native cardiac function, and cause hemodynamic instability, which may exacerbate a failing heart. Cardiac arrhythmia can arise due to myocardial ischemia, pharmacological effects, electrolyte disturbances and occult bleeding. Certain arrhythmias such as ventricular fibrillation is life-threatening, and require urgent management, including direct current cardioversion, antiarrhythmic medication, or pacing.

Pulsatility
Patients supported with VA-ECMO should be monitored with an arterial line, ideally placed in the right radial artery. Arterial line placement allows PP monitoring (pulsatility on atrial waveform) as an indication of LV contractility. Absent or low pulsatility indicate decreases in LV stroke volume, leading to blood stasis and an increased risk of thrombus formation. In contrast, higher pulsatility indicates possible myocardial recovery during VA-ECMO support. Moreover, arterial blood gas analysis from the right radial artery could be indicative of oxygen supply of cerebral blood flow in the setting of peripheral cannulation.
Cardiac biomarkers
Traditional cardiac biomarkers such as cardiac troponin I (cTnI), cardiac troponin T (cTnT) and serum N-Terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) are prognostic outcome predictors for cardiogenic shock (61-63). These cardiac biomarkers can be used to assess ventricular function, and serial measurements may be helpful in monitoring ventricular recovery.

Echocardiography
Echocardiography is recommended as the first-line evaluation tool in patients with VA-ECMO (64). Not only is cardiac chamber size and global function assessed, but valve functions can also be evaluated, of which aortic valve opening is a critically important aspect. The persistently closed aortic valve, and increased LV dimensions may signify LV distention, thereby indicating poor LV recovery (65). Similarly, routine evaluations of biventricular functions enable earlier recovery (64). As ECMO flow decreases, an absence of biventricular dilatation indicates cardiac recovery.

PAC
The PAC provide CO measurements, filling pressures of left and right ventricles (PAWP and right atrial pressure), and right ventricular afterload (pulmonary artery pressure), and SvO$_2$ (66). Although the PAC has limitations for CO measurements during VA-ECMO, it still provides valuable hemodynamic information such as PAWP, which is one of the indicators for LV distention. Central venous pressure (CVP), which correlates with right atrial pressure, is typically used to assess volume status and cardiac preload. Normally, CVP is low due to continuous venous drainage during VA-ECMO support. Higher CVP in the VA-ECMO setting could hint at venous congestion or ventricle dysfunction. It should be borne in mind that CVP can be impacted by several elements such as cardiac function, mechanical ventilation, position of the central catheter tip and vasoactive agents (67,68).

Special issues
LV distention
If LV contractile function is severely impaired, and LV afterload increases, the aortic valve tends to be closed. In this situation, blood accumulates in the LV chamber, ultimately leading to fatal thromboembolic complications (69). A persistently closed aortic valve indicates LV overload, and extremely high levels of LV end-diastolic pressure (LVEDP) and left atrial pressure (LAP). An increased end-diastolic pressure (EDP) results in elevated vessel wall stress and myocardial oxygen demand, creating a detrimental feedback loop for LV function. In addition, increased LAP and PAWP are detrimental to native blood oxygen saturation from the lungs, and result in progressive pulmonary edema. LV distention is regarded as the main cause of poor LV recovery, and failure to wean off VA-ECMO (65).

The identification of LV distention during VA-ECMO support is critical for patient management (Table 2). Several approaches have been used to monitor and recognize high risk patients. Firstly, echocardiography is the most common approach for assessing ventricle function. Echocardiography assesses not only the extent and duration of aortic valve opening, but also changes in LV dimensions. As the LV end-diastolic pressure-volume relationship is nonlinear, large increases in LV EDP may cause only minor increases in LV EDV. The use of LV chamber size as an index of LV distention or LV EDP may be insensitive. Secondly, the most direct and time-sensitive approach in detecting LV loading and the degree of pulmonary congestion, is using a PAC, which measures either pulmonary artery pressure (PAP) or PAWP. Thirdly, the presence and degree of aortic valve opening may be recognized on the arterial pulse pressure tracing. With increasing ECMO flow, MAP increases but SV and PP decrease, indicating that the duration of aortic valve opening is becoming shorter. A lack of pulsatility on arterial waveforms may signify a closed aortic valve and worsening myocardial function, while the appearance of pulsatility or increased PP may signify aortic valve opening, and cardiac functional recovery. Fourthly, diffuse infiltration on a chest X-ray indicates pulmonary edema, and extremely high PAWP levels. However, these radiographic findings are nonspecific, and could be due to other pathologies, such as infection or acute respiratory distress syndrome. Once there is evidence for LV distention and progressive pulmonary edema, LV decompression should be considered. However, optimal indications and timings are currently unknown.

Harlequin syndrome
In VA-ECMO patients, native left ventricular blood forward flow mixes with ECMO circuit retrograde blood flow. In an impaired pulmonary function setting, the brain, heart, and upper extremities receive poorly oxygenated blood, and may appear cyanotic; while the lower extremities, which receive fully oxygenated blood from the ECMO circuit,
appear pink. This phenomenon has been termed Harlequin syndrome (Table 2). Areas supplied by the brachiocephalic artery, such as the right side of the face or the right upper extremity, should be monitored. Arterial blood gas analysis, pulse oximetry, or tissue oximetry are usually performed to monitor oxygenation. As arterial blood gas sampling at various locations provide different PaO\textsubscript{2} values, a right radial arterial line should be recommended as the optimal choice in detecting coronary and cerebral hypoxemia. Once Harlequin syndrome is determined, measures, including ventilator setting adjustments, transforming to V-AV ECMO, or central cannulation should be considered for adequate oxygenation (70).

### Limb ischemia

Lower extremity ischemia is a critical complication occurring in 10–70% of patients during peripheral VA-ECMO support (71,72). Severe limb ischemia can lead to compartment syndrome, which may require fasciotomy or even limb amputation. Reduced blood flow and inadequate oxygen delivery to the lower extremities induce ischemia via multiple factors, including femoral vessel damage, high vasopressor doses, a larger cannula diameter and underlying arterial disease.

Monitoring the cannulated leg to ensure adequate perfusion is essential (Table 2). Typical symptoms of limb ischemia include paleness, pulselessness, paraesthesia, paralysis, pain, and poikilothermia (73). Doppler ultrasonography can monitor peak systolic velocity (PSV) of distal arteries in VA-ECMO patients (74), however a lack of pulsatility renders PSV unreliable, especially in fully supported ECMO patients. Tissue oximetry is a promising tool that provides quantitative measures of limb oxygenation, independent of the pulsatile blood flow. Lower rStO\textsubscript{2} levels in the cannulated leg or large differences in rStO\textsubscript{2} levels between the legs at the time of ECMO insertion, may indicate lower extremity ischemia (36,37). Elevated creatinine, phosphokinase or lactate levels can also be used to diagnose limb ischemia. The prophylactic use of distal perfusion catheters can also effectively reduce the incidence of limb ischemia (75,76). If limb ischemia persists, the optimization of ECMO flow or vasopressor administration, and further surgical intervention may be considered.

### Prediction of fluid responsiveness in patients with VA-ECMO

Fluid therapy is an important aspect of VA-ECMO management. Since positive fluid balances are associated with poor outcomes (77-79), predicting fluid responsiveness...
is important in avoiding unnecessary fluid administration.

CVP and other static markers of cardiac preload such as PAWP have proved unreliable in predicting fluid responsiveness in critically ill patients. Dynamic markers exploring intra-tidal cyclic changes in hemodynamics, such as pulse pressure variations (PPV) and stroke volume variations (SVV) during mechanical ventilation, accurately predict fluid responsiveness (80–83). It should be noted they are unreliable under certain conditions, such as spontaneous breathing (even in an intubated patient), cardiac arrhythmias, high heart rate to respiratory rate ratios, intra-abdominal hypertension, and low tidal volume/lung compliance (84–87). Variations in vena cava or internal jugular vein diameters also accurately reflect fluid responsiveness, and share many of the same limitations as PPV/SVV (88–91).

Unfortunately, there is no evidence on fluid responsiveness assessments in patients with VA-ECMO. There is a physiological “LIMIT” to the use of conventional methods to assess fluid responsiveness in VA-ECMO patients (Table 3). Firstly, patients with VA-ECMO often have a lung protective ventilation strategy, which lowers the amplitude of changes in intrathoracic pressure. Secondly, drainage cannulation placed in inferior vena cava (IVC) impedes the application of vena cava diameter variation. Thirdly, retrograde blood supplied by the ECMO circuit and impaired LV contractility, often lead to an absent or low pulsatility on arterial waveforms. Sometimes other mechanical circulatory supports (such as intra-aortic balloon pump) may be combined with VA-ECMO. In these instances, PPV is inapplicable and APWA techniques are limited in their use.

The passive leg raising (PLR) test is frequently used as a reliable provocative test to detect preload responsiveness. This test, as a “reversible volume challenge”, provides an amount of around 300 mL blood and can be repeated as frequently as required without infusing any fluids (92). Recent studies have confirmed PLR as a reliable method in predicting fluid responsiveness, with few limitations (93,94). However, leg raising is often impractical, with the immobilization of lower extremities during peripheral VA-ECMO support. The Trendelenburg maneuver may be a promising alternative to transiently increasing preload and detecting fluid responsiveness. Yonis et al. demonstrated that the Trendelenburg maneuver was reliable in predicting fluid responsiveness in acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) patients in a prone position (95). A study predicting fluid responsiveness using the Trendelenburg maneuver in VA-ECMO patients is ongoing (NCT03553459).

**VA-ECMO weaning and hemodynamic monitoring**

Weaning from VA-ECMO is proposed when the patient manifests signs of partial or full circulatory recovery. Currently, there are no standard VA-ECMO weaning strategies. Of the reported various weaning strategies, some are institution dependent and are limited by small sample size and are retrospective in nature (96–98). PP appears to be an important clinical parameter associated with successful weaning (99). Echocardiographic assessments provide robust information for successful weaning in this setting. Improved ventricular contractility and consistent opening of the aortic valve via echocardiography, provides promising indications of cardiac recovery. With ECMO flow gradually decreased, LV and RV functions and hemodynamic parameters should be continuously monitored. If no signs of significant hypotension or LV or RV distension are observed, a further decrease in ECMO flow can be attempted. If a patient has a left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) of ≥20–25%, an aortic velocity-time integral (VTI) of ≥10 cm, and a spectral tissue Doppler lateral mitral annulus peak systolic (TDSa)

| Physiological “LIMIT” | Description | Conventional methods |
|-----------------------|-------------|----------------------|
| L                     | Lung protective ventilation with low tidal volume | PPV, SVV |
| I                     | Intra cent venous ECMO cannulas | Variations of inferior vena cava diameter, variations of superior vena cava diameter |
| M                     | Mechanical circulatory support (IABP, Impella combination) | PPV, SVV |
| I                     | Immobilization | PLR |
| T                     | Tubing circuits | Indicator dilution (thermal, lithium) measurements of CO |

PPV, pulse pressure variation; SVV, stroke volume variation; IABP, intra-aortic balloon pump; pulmonary artery catheter; PLR, passive legs raising; ECMO, extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; CO, cardiac output.
≥6 cm/s under minimal ECMO support, the removal of ECMO support should be considered (98). In addition, sublingual microcirculatory monitoring may also provide valuable information during the VA-ECMO weaning process (59). Intensivists should note a successful weaning is difficult to predict with certainty until ECMO support is completely removed, since cardiac dysfunction may be masked even under minimal ECMO flow.

### Summary

Ten items that ICU specialists must know about hemodynamic monitoring in VA-ECMO patients were summarized in Table 4. Based on physiological changes during VA-ECMO, hemodynamic monitoring plays a crucial role in individualized therapy. Despite no empirical evidence for hemodynamic monitoring during VA-ECMO, we suggest three elements of hemodynamic monitoring: perfusion, flow and heart. Though variables of hemodynamic monitoring tools are used across clinical practice, intensivists should be aware of the advantages and limitations of these techniques.
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