Pulmonary Hypertension (PAH) is a rare and devastating condition characterized by reduced exercise capacity and impaired hemodynamic parameters. Although these relationships are well described in adults with PAH, they are not characterized in pediatric populations.

Methods: Children (aged 1–17 years) with PAH received 16 weeks of randomized, double-blind treatment with sildenafil or placebo. The primary outcome measure, peak VO\textsubscript{2} (PVO\textsubscript{2}), was assessed using cardiopulmonary exercise testing in children developmentally capable of exercise. Secondary measures (for all patients) included Mean Pulmonary Arterial Pressure (mPAP), Pulmonary Vascular Resistance Index (PVRI), and cardiac index. Cumulative Distribution Functions (CDFs) plotted the cumulative percentage of patients against percentage changes (and also numeric changes) from baseline to week 16 in outcome measures. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test assessed the difference in separation between sildenafil CDF and placebo CDF.

Results: Of 234 randomized and treated children, 106 were developmentally able to exercise and 148 to 221 provided non-exercise endpoint data. Significant differences between the CDFs were observed for sildenafil (all doses combined) vs. placebo for percentage changes in PVO\textsubscript{2}, mPAP, and PVRI (P=0.02, 0.01, and 0.001, respectively) but not for cardiac index (P=0.14). Fifty-eight of 77 (75%) sildenafil-treated children had increases in the percentage change in PVO\textsubscript{2} vs. 13 of 29 (45%) placebo-treated children. Across all measures, a similar pattern of results was obtained when numeric (rather than percentage) changes were assessed.

Conclusions: Cumulative distribution functions, which incorporate the entire distribution of responses, can enhance clinical interpretation of outcome measures. By CDF analysis, an enhanced profile of sildenafil (vs. placebo) was observed, indicating improvement in PVO\textsubscript{2}, mPAP and PVRI, but not cardiac index, in children with PAH.

While there are differences in the characteristics of PAH in children and adults, most notably disease etiology, presenting symptoms and hemodynamic profile [10], the pathophysiological mechanisms and clinical presentation are similar. Consequently, expert opinion states that the treatment guidelines outlining diagnostic work-up and therapeutic algorithms for adults may also be applied, with caution, to children with PAH [11,12].

A number of therapies are approved and available for the treatment of adult PAH, including prostacyclin analogues (epoprostenol, treprostinil, iloprost), endothelin receptor antagonists (bosentan, ambrisentan), and phosphodiesterase-type 5 (PDE-5) inhibitors (sildenafil, tadalafil). There are, however, limited data on the use of these medications in children.

The PDE-5 class is the predominant PDE isoform in the lung that metabolizes cyclic guanosine monophosphate (cGMP), the second messenger of the potent endogenous vasodilator nitric oxide (NO) that acts via the nitric oxide synthase (NOS) pathway. NO is a potent vasodilator and inotropic agent that plays a critical role in the regulation of pulmonary vascular tone. Its production is regulated by a number of factors, including shear stress, oxygen tension, and the release of other vasoactive mediators. The balance of vasoactive factors in the pulmonary circulation is critical for the maintenance of normal pulmonary vascular tone and the prevention of PAH.

As a rare disease, PAH has an estimated prevalence of 15 to 26 cases per million in adults [6,7]. In children, PAH may be even less common, with an estimated prevalence of PAH (excluding Persistent Pulmonary Hypertension of the Newborn [PPHN] and PAH caused by congenital heart disease [PAH-CCHD]) of 3.7 per million children based on a registry in France [8]. However, because PAH-CCHD represents a large proportion of cases (up to 40%) [9], this figure is likely to be underestimated.
Sildenafil has been studied in two randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled studies in adults with PAH who were treatment-naïve (SUPER-1) [13] and those who were already being treated with intravenous epoprostenol therapy (PACES-1) [14]. Greater improvements in exercise capacity, hemodynamic parameters, and HRQoL (assessed using the Short Form [SF]–36) were observed in patients treated with sildenafil when compared with placebo after 12 (SUPER-1) or 16 (PACES-1) weeks. Sildenafil (Revatio®) was approved for the treatment of adults with PAH (for the indication to improve exercise capacity) in 2005 in the US and Europe; in 2009, the indication to delay clinical worsening was approved (US only) [15,16].

The effect of sildenafil on children in PAH was evaluated in a 16-week, placebo-controlled, dose-ranging study (STARTS-1) [17]. Sildenafil monotherapy was well tolerated over 16 weeks, and improvements were demonstrated in exercise capacity, functional class and hemodynamics. Based on the results of that study, the European Medicines Agency (EMA) approved sildenafil in 2010 for the treatment of children (aged 1–17 years) with PAH [15]. In 2012 the regulatory filing was rejected by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA).

Often, because of lack of sufficient history or knowledge with the measure, it can be difficult to interpret the clinical meaning or meaningful import of statistically significant changes in outcome scores. As one way to address the issue, responder analyses have been proposed by having a threshold or cutoff score to indicate whether a change in a patient score has clinical meaning or, at least, a meaningful interpretation [18,19]. A responder analysis is based on a binary outcome (yes or no) of whether a patient achieved a particular efficacy threshold (e.g., at least a 10% improvement from baseline to follow-up) and consequently qualified as a responder. However, a major consideration with responder analysis is often the arbitrary nature of defining the threshold for a response [20,21].

Typically, treatment effects in randomized controlled trials in patients with PAH have been reported using summary or group-level estimates to indicate improvements from baseline achieved with active treatment group compared with that achieved with placebo [13,14]. As a supplement to mainstream group-level statistics and, if conducted, responder analyses, Cumulative Distribution Functions (CDFs) can be used to augment such summary-level results (and responder analyses, if performed) for quantitative outcomes, be they objectively or subjectively assessed [18,19,22,23]. Cumulative distribution functions display patient response rates over a continuum of possible thresholds, thus eliminating any arbitrariness associated with a particular threshold definition for a responder. Such a CDF, one for each treatment group, would allow for a variety of response thresholds to be examined simultaneously and would encompass all data. While their descriptive richness is undeniable, and despite their numeric changes from baseline to week 16 in patients treated with sildenafil when compared with placebo after 12 (SUPER-1) or 16 (PACES-1) weeks. Sildenafil (Revatio®) was approved for the treatment of adults with PAH (for the indication to improve exercise capacity) in 2005 in the US and Europe; in 2009, the indication to delay clinical worsening was approved (US only) [15,16].

The primary outcome measure of peak VO₂ (PVO₂) was assessed by CPET in children who were developmentally capable of exercise at baseline and at week 16. Secondary outcome measures included mean Pulmonary Arterial Pressure (mPAP), Pulmonary Vascular Resistance Index (PVRI) and cardiac index, all of which were assessed at baseline and at week 16 in all patients. Last-observation-carried-forward values were used in all presentations and analyses of numeric change from baseline (i.e., week 1 score minus baseline score) and percentage change from baseline (i.e., [week 1 score minus baseline score] / baseline score).

Baseline characteristics were summarized for all patients. Baseline characteristics of categorical variables were summarized by placebo and sildenafil citrate dose using frequencies and percentage in each category. Means and standard deviations were reported for continuous baseline characteristics.

Cumulative distribution functions depict the probability of a variable having values less than or equal to a particular value and do so across each particular value of the variable; alternatively, 1 minus that probability is the probability of a variable having values greater than a particular value. Cumulative distribution functions were generated using data from all children who were developmentally capable. A continuous plot of the percentage (and, separately, also numeric) change from baseline was presented on the horizontal axis and the cumulative percentage of patients experiencing that change or less presented on the vertical axis. These plots depicted the cumulative percentage of patients on the vertical axis against percentage changes (and also numeric changes) from baseline to week 16 in outcome measures on the horizontal axis.

Regarding the outcome measures, increases in mPVO₂ and cardiac index are beneficial, while decreases in mPAP and PVRI are beneficial. Cumulative distribution functions of sildenafil dose (low, medium, high) groups were compared over all dose groups and also by each dose group respective to placebo using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov nonparametric test of equality between two distributions [22]. A two-sided p-value ≤0.05 was considered statistically significant for descriptive purposes in all analyses. No adjustments were made for multiple pairwise comparisons.

All CDFs were generated and all analyses were conducted using SAS 9.2 (Statistical Analysis System, Cary, North Carolina).

Results

Patient population

A detailed description of the patient population appears in the main clinical publication [17]. Here we highlight several baseline patient characteristics (Table 1). Of 234 patients randomized and treated, 33% had idiopathic or heritable PAH; the remaining 67% had PAH-CHD. Across the three sildenafil dose groups, comparability was found with respect to etiology, baseline World Health Organization

Materials and Methods

Response profiles on CDFs for exercise capacity and hemodynamic function were generated using data from the STARTS-1 clinical trial in which children aged 1–17 years with PAH received 16 weeks of randomized, double-blind treatment with sildenafil or placebo. A full description of the STARTS-1 clinical trial can be found elsewhere [17].

Randomization in this clinical trial was stratified by weight and developmental ability to perform cardiopulmonary exercise testing (CPET; assessed using bicycle ergometry). Patients >20 kg were randomized 1:1:1 to placebo and sildenafil low-, medium-, and high-dose groups, respectively; patients 8–20 kg were randomized to 1:2:1 to placebo and sildenafil medium- and high-dose groups.

The primary outcome measure of peak VO₂ (PVO₂) was assessed by CPET in children who were developmentally capable of exercise at baseline and at week 16. Secondary outcome measures included mean Pulmonary Arterial Pressure (mPAP), Pulmonary Vascular Resistance Index (PVRI) and cardiac index, all of which were assessed at baseline and at week 16 in all patients. Last-observation-carried-forward values were used in all presentations and analyses of numeric change from baseline (i.e., week 1 score minus baseline score) and percentage change from baseline (i.e., [week 1 score minus baseline score] / baseline score).

Baseline characteristics were summarized for all patients. Baseline characteristics of categorical variables were summarized by placebo and sildenafil citrate dose using frequencies and percentage in each category. Means and standard deviations were reported for continuous baseline characteristics.

Cumulative distribution functions depict the probability of a variable having values less than or equal to a particular value and do so across each particular value of the variable; alternatively, 1 minus that probability is the probability of a variable having values greater than a particular value. Cumulative distribution functions were generated using data from all children who were developmentally capable. A continuous plot of the percentage (and, separately, also numeric) change from baseline was presented on the horizontal axis and the cumulative percentage of patients experiencing that change or less presented on the vertical axis. These plots depicted the cumulative percentage of patients on the vertical axis against percentage changes (and also numeric changes) from baseline to week 16 in outcome measures on the horizontal axis.

Regarding the outcome measures, increases in mPVO₂ and cardiac index are beneficial, while decreases in mPAP and PVRI are beneficial. Cumulative distribution functions of sildenafil dose (low, medium, high) groups were compared over all dose groups and also by each dose group respective to placebo using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov nonparametric test of equality between two distributions [22]. A two-sided p-value ≤0.05 was considered statistically significant for descriptive purposes in all analyses. No adjustments were made for multiple pairwise comparisons.

All CDFs were generated and all analyses were conducted using SAS 9.2 (Statistical Analysis System, Cary, North Carolina).

Results

Patient population

A detailed description of the patient population appears in the main clinical publication [17]. Here we highlight several baseline patient characteristics (Table 1). Of 234 patients randomized and treated, 33% had idiopathic or heritable PAH; the remaining 67% had PAH-CHD. Across the three sildenafil dose groups, comparability was found with respect to etiology, baseline World Health Organization

Materials and Methods

Response profiles on CDFs for exercise capacity and hemodynamic function were generated using data from the STARTS-1 clinical trial in which children aged 1–17 years with PAH received 16 weeks of randomized, double-blind treatment with sildenafil or placebo. A full description of the STARTS-1 clinical trial can be found elsewhere [17].

Randomization in this clinical trial was stratified by weight and developmental ability to perform cardiopulmonary exercise testing (CPET; assessed using bicycle ergometry). Patients >20 kg were randomized 1:1:1 to placebo and sildenafil low-, medium-, and high-dose groups, respectively; patients 8–20 kg were randomized to 1:2:1 to placebo and sildenafil medium- and high-dose groups.

The primary outcome measure of peak VO₂ (PVO₂) was assessed by CPET in children who were developmentally capable of exercise at baseline and at week 16. Secondary outcome measures included mean Pulmonary Arterial Pressure (mPAP), Pulmonary Vascular Resistance Index (PVRI) and cardiac index, all of which were assessed at baseline and at week 16 in all patients. Last-observation-carried-forward values were used in all presentations and analyses of numeric change from baseline (i.e., week 1 score minus baseline score) and percentage change from baseline (i.e., [week 1 score minus baseline score] / baseline score).

Baseline characteristics were summarized for all patients. Baseline characteristics of categorical variables were summarized by placebo and sildenafil citrate dose using frequencies and percentage in each category. Means and standard deviations were reported for continuous baseline characteristics.

Cumulative distribution functions depict the probability of a variable having values less than or equal to a particular value and do so across each particular value of the variable; alternatively, 1 minus that probability is the probability of a variable having values greater than a particular value. Cumulative distribution functions were generated using data from all children who were developmentally capable. A continuous plot of the percentage (and, separately, also numeric) change from baseline was presented on the horizontal axis and the cumulative percentage of patients experiencing that change or less presented on the vertical axis. These plots depicted the cumulative percentage of patients on the vertical axis against percentage changes (and also numeric changes) from baseline to week 16 in outcome measures on the horizontal axis.

Regarding the outcome measures, increases in mPVO₂ and cardiac index are beneficial, while decreases in mPAP and PVRI are beneficial. Cumulative distribution functions of sildenafil dose (low, medium, high) groups were compared over all dose groups and also by each dose group respective to placebo using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov nonparametric test of equality between two distributions [22]. A two-sided p-value ≤0.05 was considered statistically significant for descriptive purposes in all analyses. No adjustments were made for multiple pairwise comparisons.

All CDFs were generated and all analyses were conducted using SAS 9.2 (Statistical Analysis System, Cary, North Carolina).
In 115 developmentally able patients; of them, 106 were evaluable for analysis on peak oxygen consumption (Table 1).

### Outcomes

As reported and detailed previously [17], the estimated mean

| Sildenafil Dose          | Placebo (n=60) | Low (n=42) | Medium (n=55) | High (n=77) | Combined (n=174) |
|-------------------------|----------------|------------|---------------|-------------|------------------|
| Female sex, n (%)       |                |            |               |             |                  |
| Age in years, n (%)     |                |            |               |             |                  |
| 1–4                     | 7 (11)         | 0 (0)      | 9 (16)        | 19 (25)     | 28 (16)          |
| 5–12                    | 37 (62)        | 25 (60)    | 28 (51)       | 36 (47)     | 89 (51)          |
| 13–17                   | 16 (27)        | 17 (40)    | 18 (33)       | 22 (29)     | 57 (33)          |
| WHO functional class, n (%) |            |            |               |             |                  |
| I                       | 25 (42)        | 9 (21)     | 20 (36)       | 21 (27)     | 50 (29)          |
| II                      | 29 (48)        | 23 (55)    | 25 (45)       | 43 (56)     | 91 (52)          |
| III                     | 6 (10)         | 9 (21)     | 8 (15)        | 12 (16)     | 29 (17)          |
| IV                      | 0              | 0          | 1 (2)         | 0           | 1 (1)            |
| Missing                 | 0              | 1 (2)      | 1 (2)         | 1 (1)       | 3 (2)            |
| Etiology, n (%)         |                |            |               |             |                  |
| IPAH/FPAH               | 21 (35)        | 12 (29)    | 19 (35)       | 26 (34)     | 57 (33)          |
| PAH+CHD                 | 39 (65)        | 30 (71)    | 36 (65)       | 51 (66)     | 117 (67)         |
| PAH-related variables, mean (SD) |            |            |               |             |                  |
| Peak VO₂, mL/kg/min²    | 20 (4)         | 18 (4)     | 18 (5)        | 17 (4)      | 18 (4)           |
| Mean pulmonary artery pressure, mmHg² | 59 (22)     | 66 (23)    | 62 (16)       | 62 (24)     | 63 (22)          |
| Cardiac index, L/min/m²| 3.9 (2.1)      | 3.1 (1.1)  | 3.3 (1.5)     | 3.4 (1.6)   | 3.3 (1.5)        |
| Pulmonary vascular resistance index, Wood units•m²² | 15 (10)      | 22 (13)    | 19 (14)       | 20 (16)     | 20 (15)          |

Note: CHD=congenital heart disease; FPAH=familial PAH; IPAH=idiopathic PAH; PAH=pulmonary arterial hypertension; VO₂=oxygen consumption; WHO=World Health Organization.

*The groups shown represent all treated patients.

† Subset of patients developmentally able to perform exercise testing (n=30, 28, 29, and 85 for placebo, sildenafil low-, medium-, and high-dose groups, and sildenafil combined dose group, respectively).

‡ n=59, 42, 55, 75, and 172 for placebo, sildenafil low-, medium-, and high-dose groups, and sildenafil combined dose group, respectively.

§ n=59, 41, 52, 74, and 167 for placebo, sildenafil low-, medium-, and high-dose groups, and sildenafil combined dose group, respectively.

║ n=57, 40, 52, 73, and 165 for placebo, sildenafil low-, medium-, and high-dose groups, and sildenafil combined dose group, respectively.

Table 1: Baseline Patient Characteristics from STARTS-1.*

| Statistic                  | Treatment Difference (Sildenafil – Placebo) |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
|                             | Sildenafil Low Dose | Sildenafil Medium Dose | Sildenafil High Dose | Sildenafil Combined Dose |
| Mean PAP (mmHg)            | n=39             | n=55                  | n=71                 | n=165                   |
| Mean difference ±SE        | 1.6±3.1          | −3.5±2.7              | −7.3±2.6             | −3.1±2.2                |
| 95% CI                     | −4.5, 7.6        | −8.9, 1.9             | −12.4, −2.1          | −7.5, 1.3               |
| P value†                   | 0.610            | 0.199                 | 0.006                | 0.172                   |
| PVRI (Wood units•m²²)      | n=37             | n=51                  | n=68                 | n=156                   |
| Ratio†                     | 0.982            | 0.819                 | 0.727                | 0.836                   |
| 95% CI                     | 0.802, 1.203     | 0.684, 0.981          | 0.612, 0.863         | 0.720, 0.971            |
| P value†                   | 0.859            | 0.031                 | <0.001               | 0.019                   |
| Cardiac index (L/min/m²²)  | n=37             | n=51                  | n=69                 | n=157                   |
| Ratio†                     | 1.100            | 1.043                 | 1.148                | 1.096                   |
| 95% CI                     | 0.983, 1.258     | 0.925, 1.176          | 1.026, 1.286         | 0.994, 1.210            |
| P value†                   | 0.161            | 0.486                 | 0.017                | 0.066                   |

CI=confidence interval; PVRI=pulmonary vascular resistance index; PAP=pulmonary artery pressure; STARTS-1=Sildenafil in Treatment-Naïve Children, Aged 1 to 17 Years, With Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension.

*With the exception of the primary comparison, P values should be interpreted descriptively because no adjustments were made for multiple comparisons.

†Because PVRI and cardiac index data were log-transformed before analysis, comparisons are presented as ratios (active/placebo) when back-transformed.

N=56, 52 and 55 for the placebo group for mean PAP, PVRI, and cardiac index, respectively.
percentage change ± standard error \( P_{\text{VO2}} \) for the three doses combined versus placebo was 7.7% ± 4.0% [95% Confidence Interval (CI), –0.2% to 15.6%; \( P=0.056 \)]. Placebo-corrected estimates were made for the low-dose (3.8% ± 5.0% [95% CI, –6.1% to 13.7%]), medium-dose (11.3% ± 4.8% [95% CI, 1.7% to 20.9%]), and high-dose (8.0% ± 4.9% [95% CI, –1.6% to 17.6%]) groups. Hemodynamic parameters improved with medium or high doses versus placebo; low-dose sildenafil was ineffective (Table 2).

No statistical or meaningful differences on the Child Health Questionnaire–Parent Form 28 were observed between the three sildenafil dose groups and placebo. A dose response was observed for functional class improvement. Compared with placebo, the odds ratios for functional class improvement were 0.6 (95% CI, 0.2 to 2.0), 2.3 (95% CI, 0.8 to 6.7), and 4.5 (95% CI, 1.6 to 13.1) for sildenafil low-, medium-, and high-dose groups, respectively. Most adverse events were mild to moderate in severity.

**Cumulative distribution functions: Percentage change from baseline**

Figures 1–4 depict the percentage change from baseline in \( P_{\text{VO2}} \) and three hemodynamic parameters (mPAP, cardiac index) for placebo and sildenafil groups.

Descriptive separation between cumulative distribution functions was evident for sildenafil versus placebo for percentage changes in \( P_{\text{VO2}} \) (Figure 1, top panel). For example, 58 of 77 (75%) sildenafil-treated children had increases in the percentage change (greater than no percentage change) in \( P_{\text{VO2}} \) versus 13 of 29 (45%) placebo-treated children. Separation between sildenafil and placebo curves was evident for medium- and high-dose sildenafil groups (Figure 1, bottom panel; Table 3).
Descriptive separation between cumulative distribution curves was also evident for sildenafil versus placebo for percentage changes in the hemodynamic parameters of mPAP and pulmonary vascular resistance index, but not cardiac index (Figures 2–4). For mPAP, the CDFs for medium and high doses of sildenafil were manifest; for PVRI, only high-dose sildenafil distinguished itself from placebo (Table 3).

Table 4, which is to be read in tandem with Figures 1–4, gives the percentage of patients in a given treatment group with percentage changes from baseline at or below a particular percentage change from baseline. Consider, for example, at most a 10% increase in PVO2, values from baseline to week 16. Here 72.4% of patients met that criterion (the remaining 27.6% of patients had more than a 10% increase) in the placebo group, while 37.7% met that criterion (the remaining 62.3% of patients had more than a 10% increase) in the combined sildenafil groups. The percentages of patients in the combined sildenafil groups who had a zero or negative percentage change in PVO2, mPAP, PVRI, and cardiac index were 24.7% (vs. 55.2% placebo), 67.3% (vs. 50.0% placebo), 64.5% (vs. 48.0% placebo), and 47.4% (vs. 61.5% placebo), respectively.

Cumulative distribution functions: Numeric change from baseline

Across all four of these measures, a similar pattern of descriptive profiles to that of percentage changes emerged when numeric changes were assessed (Figures 5–8, Table 5).

Table 6, which is to be read in tandem with Figures 5–8, gives the percentage of patients in a given treatment group with numeric changes from baseline at or below a particular numeric change from baseline. Here 58.6% of patients had at most a 1-point increase in PVO2, values (and the remaining 41.4% of patients had more than a 1-point increase) in the placebo group, while 37.7% met that criterion (and the remaining 62.3% of patients had more than a 1-point increase) in the combined sildenafil groups. The percentages of patients in the combined sildenafil groups who had a zero or negative numeric change in PVO2, mPAP, PVRI, and cardiac index were the same as the corresponding percentages with zero or negative percentage change.

Discussion

Pulmonary arterial hypertension is a rare, progressive and fatal disease. Effective therapies, first developed and approved in adults, are now being studied in children. Registration studies for PAH have traditionally used measures of exercise capacity as the primary endpoint, while hemodynamic measures have proved useful as secondary endpoints to assess impact on cardiopulmonary function. In adults, exercise capacity is assessed via the 6-minute walk test (6MWT). However, consistency, reproducibility and interpretability of the 6MWT are challenging in children [26,27]. In adults with PAH, 6-minute walk distance (6MWD) is known to correlate with PVO2 [28].

Therefore, in the STARTS-1 study the investigators selected to assess exercise capacity by measuring PVO2, during CPET [17]. In a post hoc analysis of data from the STARTS-1 study, in children who were developmentally and physically able to perform exercise testing, peak PVO2 measurements exhibited good reliability, and improvements were associated with improvements in certain other relevant clinical

Table 4: Cumulative Distribution Function Values (%) at Specified Percent Changes from Baseline.
Figure 5: Cumulative Distribution Functions of Numeric Change from Baseline to Week 16 in Peak Volume O₂ consumption (PVO₂): Active Doses Combined vs. Placebo (Top) and Active Doses Separated vs. Placebo (Bottom).

Figure 6: Cumulative Distribution Functions of Numeric Change from Baseline to Week 16 in Mean Pulmonary Arterial Pressure mPAP: Active Doses Combined vs. Placebo (Top) and Active Doses Separated vs. Placebo (Bottom).

Figure 7: Cumulative Distribution Functions of Numeric Change from Baseline to Week 16 in Pulmonary Vascular Resistance Index (PVRI): Active Doses Combined vs. Placebo (Top) and Active Doses Separated vs. Placebo (Bottom).

Figure 8: Cumulative Distribution Functions of Numeric Change from Baseline to Week 16 in Cardiac Index: Active Doses Combined vs. Placebo (Top) and Active Doses Separated vs. Placebo (Bottom).
Cumulative distribution functions, which incorporate the entire distribution of responses, can lend clinical relevance and enhance interpretation of treatment differences on outcomes for regulatory and scientific purposes. In this article, we report and describe CDFs on exercise capacity and hemodynamic parameters in children with PAH treated with sildenafil in the STARTS-1 study. The distribution of responses for $\text{PVO}_2$, mPAP, PVRI, and cardiac index for sildenafil was descriptively more favorable compared with placebo, suggesting that sildenafil improves exercise capacity and hemodynamic function in children with PAH.

Hemodynamic measures (such as cardiac output and right atrial pressure) as well as exercise capacity (assessed by the 6MWD), are known to be strong predictors of outcome in adult patients with PAH [30,31], while higher PVR is significantly associated with worse survival in children [10]. Whether the short-term improvements in hemodynamics in sildenafil-treated children in STARTS-1 are indicators of longer-term outcome is unknown, and would need to be studied in a controlled setting. More recently in PAH research, larger studies of longer duration have sought to assess the impact of therapies on composite endpoints including death and significant clinical events. However, to date these studies have been limited to adults. Future research in pediatric PAH should consider the effect of therapies on these longer-term clinical outcome measures.

Cumulative distributions functions can provide insightful, well-rounded, and comprehensive assessments on treatment differences not only for so-called objective or physiological outcomes, such as exercise capacity and hemodynamic parameters, but also for subjective or patient-reported outcomes. A customary challenge when using patient-reported outcomes lies in the interpretation of their scores, a challenge that also prevails in the field of pulmonary hypertension, and CDFs can be used to effectively enrich the interpretation of scores on patient-reported outcomes as well [18,32]. In fact, the FDA final guidance document on patient-reported outcomes supports the use of CDFs to enhance clinical interpretation [23].

In conclusion, CDFs, which incorporate the entire distribution of responses, can enhance clinical interpretation of outcome measures on exercise capacity and hemodynamic function in children with PAH. Within each treatment group, the (cumulative) percentage of patients less than or equal to a percentage (or numeric) change from baseline can be depicted visually and noted descriptively. By CDF analysis, an enhanced profile of sildenafil (vs. placebo) was observed indicating improvement in $\text{PVO}_2$, mPAP and PVRI, but not cardiac index, in children with PAH.
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