Sickle Cell Trait and Kidney Disease
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Introduction: Sickle cell trait (SCT) has been associated with chronic kidney disease (CKD) in African Americans, although evidence for its impact in Africans and people with HIV is currently lacking. We conducted a cross-sectional study investigating the association between SCT and kidney disease in people of African ancestry with HIV in the UK.

Methods: The primary outcome was estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) < 60 ml/min per 1.73 m². Secondary outcomes were eGFR < 90 ml/min per 1.73 m², end-stage kidney disease (ESKD; eGFR < 15 ml/min per 1.73 m², chronic dialysis, or having received a kidney transplant), proteinuria (protein-to-creatinine ratio > 50 mg/mmol), and albuminuria (albumin-to-creatinine ratio > 3 mg/mmol). Multivariable logistic regression was used to estimate the associations between SCT and kidney disease outcomes.

Results: A total of 2895 participants (mean age 48.1 [SD 10.3], 57.2% female) were included, of whom 335 (11.6%) had SCT and 352 (12.2%) had eGFR < 60 ml/min per 1.73 m². After adjusting for demographic, HIV, and kidney risk factors including APOL1 high-risk genotype status, individuals with SCT were more likely to have eGFR < 60 ml/min per 1.73 m² (odds ratio 1.62 [95% CI 1.14–2.32]), eGFR < 90 ml/min per 1.73 m² (1.50 [1.14–1.97]), and albuminuria (albumin-to-creatinine ratio > 3 mg/mmol) (1.50 [1.09–2.05]). Stratified by APOL1 status, significant associations between SCT and GFR < 60 ml/min per 1.73 m², eGFR < 90 ml/min per 1.73 m², proteinuria, and albuminuria were observed for those with APOL1 low-risk genotypes.

Conclusion: Our results extend previously reported associations between SCT and kidney disease to people with HIV. In people of African ancestry with HIV, these associations were largely restricted to those with APOL1 low-risk genotypes.

Kidney Int Rep (2021) - - – -1
KEYWORDS: Africa; APOL1; HIV; kidney; SCT; sickle cell trait
© 2021 International Society of Nephrology. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

C KD is an important cause of morbidity and mortality in Africa and the African diaspora.1,2 In black people with HIV, HIV-associated nephropathy is the most severe form of kidney disease and a leading cause of ESKD.6,7 Homozygosity (or compound heterozygosity) for APOL1 genetic variants which provide protection against Trypanosoma brucei infection is a major risk factor for the development of HIV-associated nephropathy, focal and segmental glomerulosclerosis, progressive CKD, and ESKD.8-10 An estimated one-third of CKD and half of ESKD cases in people of African ancestry with HIV may be attributable to APOL1 high-risk genotypes.10 Sickle hemoglobin (HbS), a genetic variant of the β-globin gene, provides protection against malaria.11,12 Homozygosity (sickle cell disease; HbSS) may result...
in CKD as a result of recurrent vaso-occlusive events in the kidney medulla; sickle cell nephropathy is characterized by proteinuria, hematuria, and urinary concentration defects, and kidney failure may follow a prolonged period of glomerular hyperfiltration. Although individuals with SCT (HbAS) may occasionally present with manifestations of sickle cell nephropathy, sickle cell crises are rare, and SCT carriers have normal life expectancy. In the past decade, several studies in African Americans have reported associations between SCT and CKD, albuminuria, a more rapid decline in eGFR, and ESKD, although another study from the USA found no association between SCT and ESKD, and 2 studies from sub-Saharan Africa were unable to confirm an association between SCT and CKD. Moreover, the relationship between SCT and kidney disease has not been investigated in people with HIV.

We investigated the relationship between SCT and kidney impairment, ESKD, proteinuria, and albuminuria in the GEN-AFRICA (Genetic Markers of Kidney Disease Progression in People of African Ancestry with HIV in the United Kingdom) study.

**METHODS**

The GEN-AFRICA study enrolled individuals of black ethnicity aged 18 years or over at 15 HIV clinics and 3 dialysis/kidney transplantation centers across England between May 2018 and February 2020. During a single study visit, informed consent was obtained, and demographic data including country of birth of both parents and clinical information were collected from participants using questionnaires corroborated through review of clinical records. Diabetes mellitus and hypertension were predominantly self-reported diagnoses; medical records were reviewed for those reporting but not on treatment for these conditions to verify the diagnosis. In addition, further diabetes cases were ascertained through review of medical records of those with glycosuria.

Laboratory data, including nadir and most recent CD4 cell count, viral hepatitis status, and HIV viral load were obtained from electronic patient records. Kidney function was assessed by measuring serum creatinine and urine protein-to-creatinine ratio in local laboratories, and albumin-to-creatinine ratio in stored urine samples in a central laboratory. The study was approved by a National Health Services Research Ethics Committee and Health Research Authority (18/LO/0234 and 239895).

All participants were genotyped for SCT and APOL1 kidney risk variants (G1 and G2). Genotyping for the primary exposure variable, HbS, was performed using TaqMan SNP Genotyping Assays (Applied Biosystems/Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) as previously described. Analyses were restricted to those with HbAS and HbAA (noncarrier); individuals with HbSS were excluded. APOL1 high-risk genotypes were defined as the presence of 2 kidney risk alleles (G1/G1, G2/G2, or G1/G2).

Participants had diverse geographic backgrounds and were grouped by region of African ancestry based on self-reported country of birth of both parents: East, South, Central, and West Africa as defined by the African Union, with the exception of Angola, which was included in the Central rather than South region, or the Caribbean. Participants with parents from different African regions or outside sub-Saharan Africa or the Caribbean or whose country of birth was unknown were grouped together as “Other.” We used the 2021 CKD Epidemiology Collaboration equation (without application of the correction factor for black ethnicity to calculate eGFR). The primary outcome was eGFR <60 ml/min per 1.73 m². Participants with eGFR <15 ml/min per 1.73 m², a kidney transplant, or receiving chronic dialysis were categorized as having ESKD.

**Statistical Methods**

The characteristics of the study population, stratified by SCT status (overall, and for those with APOL1 high-risk and low-risk genotypes), were compared using χ² tests for categorical variables and Kruskal–Wallis tests or analysis of variance for continuous variables, as appropriate. Logistic regression was used to describe the association between SCT and kidney outcomes; likelihood ratio tests were used to assess the strength of the associations at each level. It was decided a priori to include age and sex in all models; covariates that were associated (P < 0.1) with kidney disease outcomes in univariable analysis were included in the multivariable models; models for the primary outcome were additionally adjusted for HIV factors (prior AIDS, recent and nadir CD4 cell count, hepatitis B [hepatitis B antigen positive], and hepatitis C [anti–hepatitis C virus]) and comorbidities (diabetes mellitus, cardiovascular disease [a composite of any previous history of myocardial infarction, coronary artery disease, peripheral vascular disease, stroke, heart failure, and cardiomyopathy]), and APOL1 risk allele status. We also analyzed the association between SCT and the following secondary outcomes: (i) eGFR <90 ml/min per 1.73 m², (ii) ESKD, (iii) proteinuria (protein-to-creatinine ratio >50 mg/mmol, excluding those with ESKD), and (iv) albuminuria (albumin-to-creatinine ratio >3 mg/mmol, excluding those with ESKD). All other multivariable analyses were subsequently adjusted for the same covariates as the primary outcome.
As hypertension is almost invariably present in most people with CKD, the main analyses were not adjusted for hypertension. Sensitivity analyses were performed instead to assess the effects of including hypertension on the association between SCT and the primary outcome. All statistical analyses were done using STATA v16 (StataCorp, College Station, TX).

**RESULTS**

A total of 3027 individuals were enrolled in the GEN-AFRIKA study. SCT genotyping was successful for 2902 (95.6%) and APOL1 genotyping for 2864 (94.6%); 335 (11.6%) participants had SCT, and 354 (12.4%) had APOL1 high-risk genotypes (62 had both SCT and APOL1 high-risk genotypes); 7 participants with HbSS were excluded. The prevalence of SCT was 4.5%, 10.5%, 17.8%, and 21.2% among participants of South, East, West, and Central African ancestry, respectively, and 10.7% among those of Caribbean ancestry, with the highest rates observed in those from Angola, Sierra Leone, Nigeria, Cameroon, and Zambia (Supplementary Figure S1).

The demographic and clinical characteristics of the participants stratified by SCT status are shown in Table 1. The mean age was 48.1 (SD 10.3) years, and most had long-standing (mean 14.0 years) and well-controlled HIV (93.1% had a viral load <200 copies/ml). Participants with SCT had lower eGFR and were more likely to have proteinuria and albuminuria; they also had lower recent and nadir CD4 cell counts. Figure 1a shows the eGFR distribution for those with and without SCT. The proportion of participants with SCT increased from 9.1% to 18.1% among those with SCT and without SCT. The proportion of participants stratified by SCT status is shown in Table S1. The mean age was 48.1 (SD 10.3) years, and most had long-standing (mean 14.0 years) and well-controlled HIV (93.1% had a viral load <200 copies/ml). Participants with SCT had lower eGFR and were more likely to have proteinuria and albuminuria; they also had lower recent and nadir CD4 cell counts. Figure 1a shows the eGFR distribution for those with and without SCT. The proportion of participants with SCT increased from 9.1% to 18.1% among those with SCT and without SCT. The proportion of participants stratified by SCT status is shown in Table S1.

Participations with eGFR <60 ml/min per 1.73 m² were older, more likely to be male, and more likely to report an AIDS defining illness, hepatitis B and hepatitis C exposure, diabetes, and cardiovascular disease (Supplementary Table S1). They also had lower nadir and recent CD4 cell counts; 73.2% had a diagnosis of hypertension. Among those with eGFR <60 ml/min per 1.73 m², both SCT (16.5%) and APOL1 high-risk genotypes (31.7%) were significantly more frequent compared with those with preserved kidney function (SCT 10.9%, APOL1 high-risk genotypes 9.7%). In univariable analysis, SCT, age, sex, prior AIDS, lower recent and nadir CD4 cell counts, hepatitis B, anti-hepatitis C virus, diabetes, hypertension, cardiovascular disease, and APOL1 status were associated with eGFR <60 ml/min per 1.73 m². In multivariable analysis, SCT (adjusted odds ratio 1.62 [95% CI 1.14–2.32]) and APOL1 high-risk genotypes (4.88 [3.57–6.67]) as well as age, prior AIDS, recent CD4 cell count, diabetes, and cardiovascular disease remained associated with eGFR <60 ml/min per 1.73 m² (Table 2 and Supplementary Table S2). SCT was similarly associated with eGFR <60 ml/min per 1.73 m² when eGFR was calculated with correction for ethnicity (Supplementary Table S3).

APOL1 high-risk genotypes interacted with SCT in the model for eGFR <60 ml/min per 1.73 m² (P_interaction = 0.002); to assess the interaction, we performed a stratified analysis of eGFR <60 ml/min per 1.73 m² in individuals with and without APOL1 high-risk genotypes. Among participants with APOL1 low-risk genotypes, those with SCT had lower eGFR and were more likely to have proteinuria and albuminuria than those without SCT, whereas among participants with APOL1 high-risk genotypes, those with and without SCT had similar eGFR, protein-to-creatinine ratio, and albumin-to-creatinine ratio (Supplementary Table S4A and B and Figure 1a). A significant association between SCT and eGFR <60 ml/min per 1.73 m² was present in the subset of participants with APOL1 low-risk genotypes (adjusted odds ratio 2.37 [1.59–3.55]), while no association was observed among those with APOL1 high-risk genotypes (0.79 [0.37–1.69]). Associations between SCT and other measures of kidney dysfunction (except ESKD) were also restricted to those with APOL1 low-risk genotypes (Table 2). In our sensitivity analysis, the association between SCT and eGFR <60 ml/min per 1.73 m² was minimally affected by including hypertension (Supplementary Table S5).

**DISCUSSION**

In this large African diaspora cohort of people with well-controlled HIV infection, SCT was associated with eGFR <60 ml/min per 1.73 m² and albuminuria after adjustment for demographic, HIV, and kidney risk factors. APOL1 status interacted with SCT, and analyses stratified by APOL1 status indicated that the associations between SCT and kidney disease were largely restricted to individuals with APOL1 low-risk genotypes. These data provide further support for the clinical significance of SCT status to kidney disease outcomes and extend observations in African Americans to people of recent African ancestry with HIV living in the United Kingdom.

The associations between SCT and eGFR <60 ml/min per 1.73 m², and SCT and albuminuria, in our population are consistent with and of similar magnitude to those reported previously by Naik et al. in African Americans (for whom HIV status was not reported). The authors estimated that approximately 6% of kidney impairment was attributable to SCT. Longitudinal
Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the study participants stratified by sickle cell trait status

| Participant characteristics | Total (N = 2895) | Yes (n = 335) | No (n = 2560) | P-value |
|-----------------------------|------------------|---------------|---------------|---------|
| **Age, yr mean (SD)**       | 48.1 (10.3)      | 48.3 (10.2)   | 48.1 (10.3)   | 0.70    |
| **Sex, female n (%)**       | 1655 (57.2)      | 182 (54.3)    | 1473 (57.6)   | 0.26    |
| **Region of ancestry**      |                  |               |               | <0.001  |
| East Africa n (%)           | 550 (19.0)       | 58 (17.3)     | 492 (19.2)    |         |
| South Africa n (%)          | 779 (26.9)       | 35 (10.4)     | 744 (29.1)    |         |
| Central Africa n (%)        | 160 (5.5)        | 34 (10.1)     | 126 (4.9)     |         |
| West Africa n (%)           | 865 (29.9)       | 154 (46.0)    | 711 (27.8)    |         |
| Caribbean n (%)             | 355 (12.3)       | 38 (11.3)     | 317 (12.4)    |         |
| Other n (%)                 | 186 (6.4)        | 16 (4.8)      | 170 (6.6)     |         |
| **HIV mode of acquisition** |                  |               |               | 0.03    |
| Heterosexual n (%)          | 2374 (82.0)      | 283 (84.5)    | 2091 (81.7)   |         |
| MSM n (%)                   | 77 (2.7)         | 8 (2.4)       | 69 (2.7)      |         |
| Vertical n (%)              | 232 (8.0)        | 15 (4.5)      | 217 (8.5)     |         |
| Blood products n (%)        | 23 (0.8)         | 6 (1.8)       | 17 (0.7)      |         |
| Unknown n (%)               | 189 (6.5)        | 23 (6.9)      | 166 (6.5)     |         |
| **Time since HIV diagnosis, years mean (SD)** | 14.0 (6.5) | 13.6 (6.6) | 14.0 (6.5) | 0.28 |
| Previous AIDS n (%)         | 675 (24.0)       | 76 (23.2)     | 599 (24.2)    | 0.72    |
| Nodir CD4 cell count, cells/mm³ median (IQR) | 202 (80–341) | 170 (47–336) | 208 (84–342) | 0.027 |
| Recent CD4 cell count, cells/mm³ median (IQR) | 560 (401–733) | 511 (366–692) | 566 (409–738) | 0.002 |
| On antiretroviral therapy n (%) | 2864 (98.9) | 330 (98.5) | 2534 (99.0) | 0.43 |
| HIV RNA <200 copies/ml n (%) | 2696 (93.1)     | 303 (90.4)    | 2393 (93.5)   | 0.04    |
| HBsAg positive n (%)        | 164 (5.7)        | 19 (5.8)      | 145 (5.7)     | 0.99    |
| Anti-HCV positive n (%)     | 39 (1.4)         | 2 (0.6)       | 37 (1.5)      | 0.21    |
| Diabetes n (%)              | 291 (10.1)       | 33 (10.0)     | 258 (10.2)    | 0.91    |
| Hypertension n (%)          | 929 (32.1)       | 121 (36.1)    | 808 (31.6)    | 0.10    |
| Cardiovascular disease a n (%) | 130 (4.5)     | 21 (6.3)      | 109 (4.3)     | 0.10    |
| BMI, kg/m²                  |                  |               |               | 0.93    |
| <18.5 n (%)                 | 22 (0.8)         | 2 (0.6)       | 20 (0.8)      |         |
| 18.5–24.9 n (%)             | 640 (22.5)       | 74 (22.3)     | 566 (22.5)    |         |
| 25–29.9 n (%)               | 1021 (35.9)      | 124 (37.3)    | 897 (35.7)    |         |
| ≥30 n (%)                   | 1159 (40.8)      | 132 (39.8)    | 1027 (40.9)   |         |
| Smoking status              |                  |               |               | 0.43    |
| Never n (%)                 | 2237 (77.3)      | 266 (79.4)    | 1971 (77.0)   |         |
| Ex n (%)                    | 325 (11.2)       | 37 (11.0)     | 288 (11.3)    |         |
| Current n (%)               | 333 (11.5)       | 32 (9.6)      | 301 (11.8)    |         |
| eGFR, ml/min per 1.73 m² median (IQR) | 86.2 (71.6–100.8) | 80.4 (68.5–96.1) | 86.9 (72.1–101.3) | <0.001 |
| ≥90 n (%)                   | 1245 (43.0)      | 113 (33.7)    | 1132 (44.2)   |         |
| 60–89 n (%)                 | 1298 (44.9)      | 164 (49.0)    | 1134 (44.3)   |         |
| 30–59 n (%)                 | 223 (7.7)        | 35 (10.4)     | 188 (7.5)     |         |
| 15–29 n (%)                 | 24 (0.8)         | 4 (1.2)       | 20 (0.8)      |         |
| ESKD c n (%)                | 105 (3.6)        | 19 (5.7)      | 86 (3.4)      |         |
| Urine PCR, mg/mmol d median (IQR) | 8.6 (6–13.4) | 8.9 (6.4–14.4) | 8.5 (6–13.2) | 0.06 |
| <15 n (%)                   | 2220 (79.5)      | 244 (77.2)    | 1976 (79.8)   | 0.02    |
| 15–49 n (%)                 | 428 (15.3)       | 47 (14.9)     | 381 (15.4)    |         |
| 50–99 n (%)                 | 76 (2.7)         | 19 (6.0)      | 57 (2.5)      |         |
| ≥100 n (%)                  | 66 (2.4)         | 6 (1.9)       | 60 (2.4)      |         |
| Urine ACR, mg/mmol e median (IQR) | 0.7 (0.4–1.9) | 0.9 (0.4–2.7) | 0.7 (0.4–1.7) | 0.01 |
| <3 n (%)                    | 2213 (82.4)      | 235 (76.3)    | 1978 (83.2)   | 0.004   |
| 3–29 n (%)                  | 371 (13.8)       | 54 (17.5)     | 317 (13.3)    |         |
| ≥30 n (%)                   | 98 (3.7)         | 19 (6.2)      | 79 (3.3)      |         |
| APOL1 risk variants         |                  |               |               | <0.001  |
| Q1 n (%)                    | 2477 (87.6)      | 270 (81.5)    | 2207 (88.4)   |         |
| 2 n (%)                     | 352 (12.4)       | 62 (18.7)     | 290 (11.6)    |         |

ACR, albumin-to-creatinine ratio; BMI, body mass index; CKD-EPI, chronic kidney disease Epidemiology Collaboration; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; ESKD, end-stage kidney disease; HBsAg, hepatitis B surface antigen; HCV, hepatitis C virus; IQR, interquartile range; MSM, men who have sex with men; PCR, protein-to-creatinine ratio.

a Cardiovascular disease = composite of any previous history of myocardial infarction, coronary artery disease, peripheral vascular disease, stroke, heart failure, and cardiomyopathy.
b eGFR calculated with CKD-EPI formula (without correction for Black ethnicity).
c eGFR <15 ml/min per 1.73 m² or dialysis for over 3 months or having had a kidney transplant.
d Excludes participants with ESKD.
analyses have reported an increased incidence of CKD (hazard ratio 1.25) and somewhat faster eGFR decline (0.22–0.45 ml/min per 1.73 m²/yr) in individuals with SCT;14,15 male sex, diabetes, hypertension, cardiovascular disease, and use of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors/angiotensin receptor blockers, aspirin, and statins were associated with faster eGFR decline, while higher Hb levels were associated with slower eGFR decline in those with SCT.15 Data on APOL1 status were available in one of these studies; this study reported no significant interaction by APOL1 status for the relationship between SCT with either kidney impairment or albuminuria.14 The HIV status and broad geographic representation of our participants, which includes countries in which SCT and APOL1 variants are largely absent (e.g., Ethiopia and Eritrea), both highly prevalent (e.g., Nigeria), or variably present (e.g., SCT is common and APOL1 variants are uncommon in Cameroon and Angola), or the presence of HIV (which substantially increases the risk of kidney disease in those with APOL1 high-risk genotypes) may have contributed to these contrasting results.

The association between SCT and ESKD is less well defined. A large study of African Americans reported an association between SCT and incident ESKD independent of age, hypertension, and diabetes (hazard ratio 2.03), a risk of similar magnitude as posed by APOL1 high-risk genotypes.16 Furthermore, studies in dialysis populations have suggested that the prevalence of SCT may be about 2-fold higher than in the local black populations.24,25 By contrast, no evidence for an association between SCT and ESKD was found in 2 other studies;14,17 which is consistent with our study. However, when stratified by APOL1 status, a significant association with ESKD was only observed for those with APOL1 high-risk genotypes. This contrasts with a previous study in African Americans (HIV status unknown) that showed that APOL1 status did not interact with the relationship between SCT and ESKD.17 This may be explained by observations that APOL1 high-risk genotypes are more potent drivers of kidney disease (especially ESKD) in people with HIV compared with those without HIV,8,9 thus partially obscuring the effect of SCT. The relatively small number of ESKD cases in our cohort may also have contributed to these disparate findings.

The relationship between SCT and kidney disease has not been widely studied in sub-Saharan Africans. A cross-sectional study of 602 young Nigerian adults (137 with SCT) reported no difference in mean eGFR or proportion with kidney impairment or albuminuria.18 The HIV status and broad geographic representation of our participants, which includes countries in which SCT and APOL1 variants are largely absent (e.g., Ethiopia and Eritrea), both highly prevalent (e.g., Nigeria), or variably present (e.g., SCT is common and APOL1 variants are uncommon in Cameroon and Angola), or the presence of HIV (which substantially increases the risk of kidney disease in those with APOL1 high-risk genotypes) may have contributed to these contrasting results.

The strengths of this study are the large sample size, broad geographic representation of the participants, assessment of multiple kidney outcomes, healthcare setting that provides unrestricted access to antiretroviral and renal replacement therapies, and additional availability of APOL1 genotypic status. We also
Table 2. Associations between sickle cell trait and kidney outcomes, in all participants and by APOL1 genotype

| Outcomes | N   | Univariable | Multivariable | Interaction |
|----------|-----|-------------|---------------|-------------|
|          |     | OR 95% CI   | P-value       | OR 95% CI   | P-value |
| **Primary outcome** |     |             |               |             |
| eGFR < 60 ml/min per 1.73 m² |     |             |               |             |
| All participants | 371 | 1.61 1.19–2.20 | 0.002 | 1.62 1.14–2.32 | 0.008 |
| - APOL1 low risk | 235 | 2.21 1.55–3.13 | <0.001 | 2.37 1.59–3.55 | <0.001 |
| - APOL1 high risk | 109 | 0.49 0.25–0.97 | 0.04 | 0.79 0.37–1.69 | 0.55 |
| **Secondary outcomes** |     |             |               |             |
| eGFR < 90 ml/min per 1.73 m² |     |             |               |             |
| All participants | 1723 | 1.56 1.23–1.98 | <0.001 | 1.50 1.14–1.97 | 0.004 |
| - APOL1 low risk | 1396 | 1.70 1.31–2.22 | <0.001 | 1.74 1.29–2.36 | <0.001 |
| - APOL1 high risk | 259 | 0.73 0.40–1.33 | 0.30 | 0.87 0.45–1.68 | 0.68 |
| ESKD² |     |             |               |             |
| All participants | 108 | 1.73 1.04–2.88 | 0.04 | 1.81 0.99–3.31 | 0.05 |
| - APOL1 low risk | 47 | 1.97 0.94–4.11 | 0.07 | 1.57 0.65–3.78 | 0.32 |
| - APOL1 high risk | 55 | 1.08 0.51–2.27 | 0.85 | 2.61 1.06–6.41 | 0.04 |
| uPCR >50 mg/mmol³ |     |             |               |             |
| All participants | 148 | 1.81 1.17–2.83 | 0.008 | 1.56 0.93–2.62 | 0.09 |
| - APOL1 low risk | 119 | 2.12 1.32–3.42 | 0.002 | 1.94 1.11–3.41 | 0.02 |
| - APOL1 high risk | 21 | 0.48 0.11–2.14 | 0.34 | 0.52 0.10–2.68 | 0.43 |
| uACR >3 mg/mmol¹ |     |             |               |             |
| All participants | 493 | 1.55 1.17–2.06 | 0.002 | 1.50 1.09–2.05 | 0.01 |
| - APOL1 low risk | 393 | 1.79 1.31–2.43 | <0.001 | 1.79 1.26–2.53 | 0.001 |
| - APOL1 high risk | 75 | 0.65 0.31–1.38 | 0.27 | 0.75 0.33–1.67 | 0.48 |

eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; ESKD, end-stage kidney disease; HBsAg, hepatitis B surface antigen; HCV, hepatitis C virus; OR, odds ratio; uACR, urine albumin-to-creatinine ratio; uPCR, urine protein-to-creatinine ratio.

¹Adjusted for age, sex, prior AIDS, recent CD4 cell count, nadir CD4 cell count, anti-HCV, HBsAg, diabetes, cardiovascular disease (and APOL1 risk allele status, models for all participants only).
²Excludes participants with ESKD.
³uGFR <15ml/min per 1.73 m² or dialysis for over 3 months or having had a kidney transplant.

acknowledge several limitations. First, we do not have data on the proportion of HbS, other Hb variants (e.g., HbC), or thalassemia status. The cross-sectional study design and use of a single creatinine reading to calculate eGFR and albuminuria measurement may not truly reflect CKD status even though most participants were clinically well and likely to have had stable kidney function. We did not consider adjustment for specific antiretrovirals to avoid channeling bias as potentially nephrotoxic drugs are generally avoided in people with or at risk of CKD. We also did not adjust for use of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors or angiotensin II receptor antagonists, which may have affected proteinuria and albuminuria measurements in some participants and lack historical information on causes of CKD that are common in Africa, including infections such as schistosomiasis and malaria. Finally, the relatively young age of our participants may have rendered insufficient time for more severe kidney phenotypes to develop.

**CONCLUSION**

In people of African ancestry with HIV, APOL1 high-risk status is a major risk factor for CKD. This study provides evidence that SCT is an additional risk factor for kidney impairment and albuminuria in this population, although this was largely restricted to individuals with APOL1 low-risk genotypes. Further studies are required to confirm these findings, particularly in sub-Saharan African populations.
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