Measurement of local temperature increments induced by cultured HepG2 cells with micro-thermocouples in a thermally stabilized system
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To monitor the temperature distribution of a cell and its changes under varied conditions is currently a technical challenge. A variety of non-contact methods used for measuring cellular temperature have been developed, where changes of local temperature at cell-level and sub-cell-level are indirectly calculated through the changes in intensity, band-shape, bandwidth, lifetime or polarization anisotropy of the fluorescence spectra recorded from the nano-sized fluorescent materials pre-injected into the target cell. Unfortunately, the optical properties of the fluorescent nano-materials may be affected by complicated intracellular environment, leading to unexpected measurement errors and controversial arguments. Here, we attempted to offer an alternative approach for measuring the absolute increments of local temperature in micro-Testing Zones induced by live cells. In this method, built-in high-performance micro-thermocouple arrays and double-stabilized system with a stability of 10 mK were applied. Increments of local temperature close to adherent human hepatoblastoma (HepG2) cells were continuously recorded for days without stimulus, showing frequent fluctuations within 60 mK and a maximum increment by 285 mK. This method may open a door for real-time recording of the absolute local temperature increments of individual cells, therefore offering valuable information for cell biology and clinical therapy in the field of cancer research.

Temperature is an important physical parameter in organisms. A great number of biological activities occurring in cells, such as enzyme reaction1 and metabolism2, are found accompanied by temperature increments or fluctuations3,4. Accurate measurement of the local temperature variation of individual cells and the intracellular temperature distribution may offer valuable clues for understanding the mechanism of heat generation and heat diffusion in different organelles, and therefore promote the development of research on the pathogenesis of cancer and other diseases4–8. However, a reliable method for precise measurement of local cellular temperatures remains a technical challenge to date.

Over the past decade, researchers have made great efforts to explore various techniques for the measurement of intracellular temperature9–12. From the sensing mechanism, these techniques may be divided into two categories. One is using thermal sensitive fluorescent materials for non-contact measurements, the other is using contact thermometers to measure the cellular temperature. In the non-contact luminescent methods, measurement of temperature is based on the thermo-sensitive physical properties13 of fluorescent materials that changed with temperature variations, for examples, intensity of fluorescence14,15, band-shape of fluorescence16, bandwidth of fluorescence17, fluorescence lifetime18 and fluorescence polarization anisotropy19. The thermo-sensitive fluorescent materials applied for luminescent measurements include nanoparticles19, nanodiamonds20, nanogels15, quantum dots21,22, fluorescent copolymers23,24, green fluorescent proteins25,26, and etc. For example, Okabe et al.17
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introduced a hydrophilic fluorescent polymeric thermometer to investigate the temperature distribution within COS-7 live cells. They observed that the nucleus could have a 0.96 K higher temperature than the cytoplasm. Interestingly, the temperature gap between nucleus and cytoplasm differed depending on various status of the cell cycle. As fluorescent materials could be made into nano-size, these non-contact cellular temperature measurement methods can provide very good spatial resolution. By using small molecule fluorescent thermometers, Itoh et al.\textsuperscript{27} measured heat production in single myotubes after Ca\textsuperscript{2+} burst. Using similar method, Arai et al. monitored the intracellular temperature changes at the mitochondria\textsuperscript{22} and endo/sarcoplasmic reticulum\textsuperscript{29,29} in different cell lines. Unfortunately, the properties of some fluorescent materials injected into live cells by cellular endocytosis or electroporation technique might be affected by the ion concentration, micro-viscosity, Ca\textsuperscript{2+} concentration and pH value in the complex intracellular fluid environment\textsuperscript{50}, and it needs complicated calibration process to obtain the actual measured temperature value, therefore leading to a temperature resolution ranging between 0.1–0.5 K\textsuperscript{11,17,31,32}. In rare cases, Uchiyama et al.\textsuperscript{33} reported that a temperature resolution could be as high as 0.01 K by using cationic fluorescent polymeric thermometers.

Recently, intracellular temperature fluctuation and distribution have attracted much attention. Indeed, the validity of subcellular temperature changes reported in various papers has been challenged\textsuperscript{34,35}. Baffou et al.\textsuperscript{36} estimated the intracellular temperature variation should be about 10^{-5} K, rather than the reported 0.5–2 K in previous experiments. Lacking of solid experimental data, the exact variation of cellular temperature in individual live cells remains as a controversial issue so far\textsuperscript{56,57}.

The above argument might be ceased if reliable and accurate measurement data for the local temperature of individual cells could be obtained. To avoid the relatively large measurement error in non-contact measurements, contact methods using miniaturized probes are recommended as a good alternative. Suzuki et al.\textsuperscript{28} developed a microthermometer by filling a glass micropipette with thermosensitive fluorescent dye Europium (III) (theno-yl trifluoroacetonate trihydrate) to measure the inner temperature of a single cell. Small thermocouples based on the Seebeck effect\textsuperscript{39} were also developed for such single-cell measurement. Because of their passive nature, thermocouples at the microscale and even nanoscale\textsuperscript{40} could offer reliable and highly accurate data in local temperature measurements\textsuperscript{41}. Watanabe et al.\textsuperscript{42} first used glass micropipettes with 1 μm diameter to develop platinum/gold (Pt/Au) micro-thermocouple probes for intracellular temperature measurement. Wang, C. et al.\textsuperscript{43,44} observed various single-cell temperature fluctuations under different stimulus with nano-tungsten/platinum (W/Pt) thermocouple probes. These probes were fabricated with a sandwich structure and had a thermopower ranging between 6–8 μV/K. The temperature resolution of these probes was 0.1 K. Compared with luminescent thermometers, thermocouple probes have a relatively larger size, but they offer much more accurate temperature resolution. Moreover, the measurement system applied for thermocouple thermometers is usually much less costly than that for the luminescent methods. However, the temperature resolution of the reported data with contact methods is in the range of 100 mK, and it needs to be improved to the level of 1–10 mK as achieved in molecular devices\textsuperscript{45}.

To counter the problems mentioned above, a solution for this challenge is presented in this paper. A specially designed thermally double-stabilized constant-temperature system was set up for measuring the increments of local temperature in Testing Zones which were used for culturing target cells. By using highly sensitive Pd/Cr (and Cr/Pt) micro-thermocouple arrays with a thermopower of 20.99 ± 0.1 μV/K (and 15.59 ± 0.3 μV/K) and putting all the major measurement instruments into the double-stabilized constant-temperature system, a reliable stability of ±5 mK was achieved for the measurement system. With this highly stable system, weak increments of local temperature in Testing Zones induced by the cellular activities of cultured adherent HepG2 cells were detected. Without additional chemical or mechanical stimulus, the maximum recorded increment of local temperature in Testing Zones was 285 mK. While in most cases, recorded temperature increments were less than 60 mK. In this work, we attempted to record the temperature variation at cell's membrane without stimulus. This may shed light on the accurate measurement of the temperature fluctuations of individual cells by using the miniaturized passive thermocouples in a stable measurement system.

**Results and Discussions**

**Performance of micro-TFTC arrays.** Figure 1 illustrates three dimensional (3D) structures of the key elements of testing device. On a glass substrate, the bottom layer of the testing device is a micro-thin-film thermocouple (TFTC) array made with Pd/Cr or Cr/Pt thin-film stripes (Fig. 1(a)). Then an SU-8 layer is applied to confine shallow circular wells (Fig. 1(b)), which are defined as “Testing Zones”. The Testing Zone is the place where the target adherent cells are supposed to stick firmly to the micro-TFTCs surface. The thermal capacitance for an individual micro-TFTC, with a film thickness of 50–100 nm and a stripe width of 2–3 μm, is calculated to be 8.0 × 10^{-11}–1.6 × 10^{-12} J/K per micron length, as the thermal capacitance C can be obtained by C = ρVc, where c is the specific heat capacity of the metallic thin-film stripe(s), ρ is the material density, and V is the effective volume of the sensor. For the Pd, Cr, and Pt metallic thin-film stripe(s), their specific heat capacity are 240 J/Kg·K, 450 J/Kg·K and 130 J/Kg·K, and their material density are 12.02 g/cm\textsuperscript{3}, 7.19 g/cm\textsuperscript{3} and 21.45 g/cm\textsuperscript{3}, respectively. In this work, an effective length of 20 microns is taken for a TFTC which takes into account two metal thin-film stripes of 12 microns in length as well as two metal thin-film disks of 8 microns in diameter. The effective thermal capacitance of this piece of TFTC is calculated to be 3 × 10^{-11}–6 × 10^{-11} J/K. For a single adherent HepG2 cell (roughly 15–25 μm in diameter), it is approximately simplified as a water ball with a diameter of 20 microns. By using the specific heat capacity of water of 4.2 × 10\textsuperscript{3} J/Kg·K and a density of 1.0 g/cm\textsuperscript{3}, a thermal capacitance of 1.76 × 10^{-8} J/K is obtained. This value is about 200–600 times larger than that of a TFTC, so the micro-TFTC sensors at the Testing Zone will serve as well as thermal sensors for the measurement of temperature increments induced by target cells. Next, a PDMS layer roughly 10 mm in thickness is used to define large cylindrical rooms (7 mm in diameter) for containing the culture medium (Fig. 1(c)). Finally, syringe tubes of 2.5 mL are mounted to the PDMS layer at the holes’ positions (Fig. 1(d)) for expanding the volume of PDMS cylindrical rooms, so
that 3 mL culture medium can be filled for a continuous culturing process of tens of hours each time. Enough nutritional supply is critical for this work. Because the cells usually randomly distribute on the substrate surface, it is not certain that at least one cell goes to the micro-TFTC position and firmly sticks to the micro-TFTC surface after one fill of adherent cells into the testing device. Therefore, a reasonable expectation is that after the cells are cultured for one or a few life cycles, the adherent cells will gradually cover all the bottom surface of the 7-mm diameter cylindroid room made by PDMS layer, including the micro-TFTC array area. Then increment of local temperature in Testing Zone will be detected if the increment is larger than the sensitivity of the micro-TFTCs. So, enough nutritional supply can ensure that all the measurements are performed without any interruption for several life cycles of the cultured cells. Photographs of fabrication processes for the device with build-in micro-TFTC array are shown in Fig. S1 of Supplementary Information.

Figure 2 presents optical photographs of two types of the Testing Zone with different diameters. The bigger one has a diameter of 600 μm, containing 4 Pd/Cr micro-TFTCs (Fig. 2(a)). On the top of these sensors, there is a 2-nm thick insulating layer of HfO₂. Leaking test showed that the corresponding resistance between two neighboring TFTC sensors in the same Testing Zone in the HCl aqueous solution with pH = 2 was 10⁸–⁹ Ohm. This gives sufficient electrical isolation for the micro-TFTCs to detect weak signals in culture medium, whose pH value started at near 7 as fresh medium and ended around 6 after culturing cells for tens of hours. The insulating layer ensured that the output readings of TFTC sensors were independent of the variations of pH value and ion concentration in the testing environment (see Fig. S2 in Supplementary Information). One of the Pd/Cr micro-TFTCs is shown in the inset for details, whose stripe width is 3 μm. The circular overlapping junction of these two metallic stripes on the top is the temperature sensing area (this is also the “hot end”) of the micro-TFTC, which is 8 μm in diameter. The Cr stripe appears darker than the Pd stripe. In the bottom panel (Fig. 2(b)), it shows two arrays of smaller Testing Zone with a diameter of 100 μm, and each Testing Zone contains 2 TFTCs of the same type as shown in Fig. 2(a).

Figure 3 plots the calibration results of the Pd/Cr and Cr/Pt TFTCs. The calibration results for a bunch of Pd/Cr TFTCs, which shared the same thickness of 50 nm for Pd stripes and 80 nm for Cr stripes, are presented in Fig. 3(a). These Pd/Cr TFTCs were designed with varied stripe width ranging between 2 μm and 2000 μm and different stripe length of 80 mm or 100 mm. From Fig. 3(a), it can be seen that different TFTCs share the same linear relationship between the output potential difference and temperature difference. It shows that the thermopower of these TFTCs is insensitive to the stripe width and length, which is consistent with previous research conclusion⁴⁵. The calculated thermopower of Pd/Cr TFTCs is 20.99 ± 0.1 μV/K. It is an average value of 9 different TFTCs with a standard deviation of 8.27%. The calibration data of these sensors are listed in Table S1 of Supplementary Information. In some testing devices, Cr/Pt micro-TFTCs with a thermopower of 15.59 ± 0.3 μV/K were applied. The calibration results and calibration data of Cr/Pt TFTCs are plotted in Fig. 3(b) and listed in Table S1 of Supplementary Information, respectively.
Stability of the cellular temperature measurement system. In this work, a special attention was paid to the setup of thermally double-stabilized constant-temperature measurement system. In normal practice, the testing device with built-in micro-TFTCs is put into a constant-temperature incubator where cells are cultured, and the other measurement instruments are put on the outside of incubator and connected to the testing device by lead cables as schematically illustrated in Fig. 4(a). During the cell culturing process, a temperature gradient around 12 K (e.g., 37 °C for cell culturing and 25 °C as room temperature) is often established between the temperature of cells under test and the cold-ends of sensor leads. This setting with a temperature gradient of 12 K can bring large thermal noise. In addition, ideally the lead cables connected to the TFTCs should be the same materials as that of the Pd/Cr (or Cr/Pt) micro-TFTCs to eliminate additional error. However, for an array with 96 micro-TFTCs, this same-material-lead strategy may be simply too difficult to accomplish. On the other hand, even the lead problem can be solved, keeping the cold-ends of the sensor leads at a constant temperature with a stability better than 100 mK is a difficult task. To address these issues, a wise practice is to put the testing device with built-in micro-TFTC array, the multiplexer, the Keithley 2182 A nanovoltmeter, as well as all the connecting cables into the same commercial constant-temperature incubator, whose thermal stability is around 0.1 K, as shown in Fig. 4(b). This setting can reduce the thermal noise effectively if the incubator could run stably. According to the thermodynamic principle and the instructions of this incubator, it will work more stable at an environment temperature 5 °C lower than the preset temperature. Hence, a controllable home-designed constant-temperature tent is set up for this commercial incubator as schematically illustrated in Fig. 4(c). The stability of this home-designed tent is around 0.5 K when working in a laboratory where an air-conditioner is kept running. In addition, although the CO₂ gas cylinder needed for cell culture is put on the outside of the constant-temperature tent for security consideration, the CO₂ gas can be warmed up through a long, curved
pipe mounted inside the tent before it is introduced into the incubator. The inverted microscope used in the measurement is also put into the tent. Only computer and monitor of the system are located on the outside of the constant-temperature tent. Photographs of this thermally double-stabilized constant-temperature cellular temperature measurement system are shown in Fig. S3 of Supplementary Information.

With these unique system parameters, a thermal stability of 10 mK was achieved. Figure 5 presents a clear comparison for the difference in thermal fluctuations recorded by the same testing device with a Pd/Cr micro-TFTC array, between the ones exposed to the air and located in an ordinary laboratory at room temperature of 25 °C (Fig. 5(a)), and those covered by culture medium and located in the double-stabilized constant-temperature system kept at 32 °C (Fig. 5(b)). In both cases, the whole micro-TFTC array (including hot-ends and cold-ends), the leads and the measurement instruments were all kept at the same temperature. Theoretically, the output readings of the micro-TFTC array should be zero. Yet, temperature fluctuation and electrical noise in the measurement system existed in both cases, therefore non-zero data have been recorded. From Fig. 5(a), it can be seen that, for the device and instruments located in an ordinary laboratory, the average thermal fluctuation is ±0.5 μV, corresponds to ±24 mK. While in Fig. 5(b), for the testing device and instruments located in the double-stabilized constant-temperature system, the average thermal fluctuation is only ±0.1 μV, or ±5 mK, which is improved by 4.8 times. From this comparison, it can be regarded that a double-stabilized constant-temperature measurement system with the thermal stability of 10 mK has been set up.

In order to set blank control group for the measurement, each of the testing device was divided into four regions. And in each region, there are 24 micro-TFTCs distributed in the two big (diameter 200 μm, 400μm, 600 μm or 800 μm, corresponding to different regions) and eight small (diameter 50 μm or 100 μm) Testing Zones.

For calibration purpose, control experiments for each testing device before and after the cellular temperature measurement were performed. Three of the four regions were filled with culture medium, while the remaining one was left. In normal practice, after the testing device filled with culture medium was installed into the...
incubator, the double-stabilized constant-temperature measurement system was kept closed unless a refill process was needed to change the culture medium. Typical results show that at the beginning of the measurement process, the output readings went through a highly unstable period, although the incubator was set at a constant temperature long before the constant-temperature tent was opened for sample installation. It was due to the high-sensitivity performance of the micro-TFTC sensors which made them quite sensitive to small temperature fluctuations in the measurement system. As the output readings usually started to reach a stable level after a few

Figure 4. Schematic of the structures of measurement system and the measurement of local cellular temperature. (a) In normal practice, the testing device is put into the incubator at 37 °C and the other measurement instruments are put on the outside of the incubator at 25 °C. (b) The testing device and the other measurement instruments are put into the incubator at 37 °C, leaving the computer and monitor outside of the incubator at 25 °C. (c) A big constant-temperature tent kept at 32 °C is put on the outside of the incubator. Testing device and measurement instruments are put inside the incubator. The CO2 gas tank, computer and monitor are put on the outside of the tent. (d) A live cell firmly sticks to the micro-TFTC sensor. (e) A non-uniform temperature distribution in the cell (15–25 μm in diameter).

Figure 5. Thermal fluctuations of 8 Pd/Cr micro-TFTCs under different conditions. (a) Thermal fluctuations of 8 Pd/Cr micro-TFTCs (A6, B7, C4, D4, E4, F4, G5 and H2 located in different Testing Zones of different regions) tested in an ordinary laboratory kept at 25 °C, which were exposed to the air. (b) Thermal fluctuations of the same TFTCs tested in the double-stabilized constant-temperature system kept at 32 °C, which were covered by culture medium.
hours, normally the starting point-in-time selected for the data analysis was not zero-time. For the micro-TFTCs located in different Testing Zones, big or small ones which were filled with culture medium or just empty, their output data show exactly the same up-and-down trend except that their absolute voltage readings were not the same (see Fig. S4 of Supplementary Information). Although the temperature-control system in the incubator provided it with a stability of 0.1 K, due to the differences in thermal conductance and thermal capacitance existing among the measurement instruments, the fluctuation induced by inletting CO₂ gas for the incubator, and some unknown electrical noise of the whole system, vibrations with a magnitude of 1–2 μV at a long period of time were always observed in the recorded output data. However, the synchronized up-and-down trend of the output data measured from different micro-TFTCs in the same region is a solid evidence that both the measurement equipment and the testing device function well with perfect reliability.

Weak increments of local temperature in Testing Zones. With these special parameters for the facilities, it is able to monitor the increments of local temperature in Testing Zones, which were induced by cellular activities of cultured adherent HepG2 cells. Such an increment occurred a few hours or tens of hours later after the adherent cells were cultured in the incubator. As mentioned in the first paragraph of Results and Discussions, it was reasonable to record increment of local temperatures in a Testing Zone hours later after the culture process started. At the beginning of cell culture process, the TFTC sensors surface at the Testing Zones may not be covered by any cells. When cells grew, they will gradually expanded in number and coverage percentage at the Testing Zones area. Figure 6 shows microscopic images of the HepG2 cells before and after they were cultured in the double-stabilized constant-temperature system for a 57 hours testing. The top panel of Fig. 6(a–d) shows the state of the HepG2 cells when they were just filled into one testing device at four different areas after digestion process. All the cells had spherical appearance with an average diameter of 15–25 μm. The local surface density of the cells was not uniform, spanning from 1800 cells/mm² to 2500 cells/mm², and thus left a large empty space on the device's surface. For part of the micro-TFTC sensors, each was covered with 1–2 cells. After they were cultured for 57 hours, the device's surface at the same four areas were all covered by cells shown in the bottom panel of Fig. 6(a–d). Judging from the cellular morphology, there were some apoptotic cells, showing round shape and dark contrast, while the remaining cells seemed to be alive, showing typical irregular shape and light contrast. As the heating power of an individual cell — resulting from its activities of metabolism, reproduction etc. — was very small, only when one or a few adherent cells covered the micro-TFTC surface with close contact as schematically presented in Fig. 4(d), this particular micro-TFTC sensor underneath the cell was capable of sensing the local temperature increment. Figure 4(e) illustrates that by using the designed measurement system with a thermal stability of 10 mK, the increment of local temperature of a live cell firmly stuck to the sensor junction surface in Testing Zone might be detectable. The curve in arbitrary unit indicates that there might be a non-uniform distribution of temperature in a cell.

Figure 7 presents typical cases recorded with a device sample with a built-in Pd/Cr micro-TFTC array. For this data, the culturing temperature maintained in the incubator was 32 °C. The 4 TFTC sensors, namely E10, F6, F9 and G7, were located in different Testing Zones on the same testing device. The experimental data shown in Fig. 7 are derived from the output readings of the E10, F6, F9 and G7 TFTCs (see Fig. S5 in Supplementary Information) by subtracting the basic background temperature fluctuation measured with a bunch of other TFTCs on the same device (e.g., the TFTCs namely E6, E8, F2, and G5 shown in Fig. S4), which shows synchronized trend as the data of control recorded by TFTCs in Testing Zones without cells, similar to those in Fig. 5(b). Here, the corresponding
increments of local temperature in Testing Zone are within 60 mK.

Figure 8 presents the largest increment of local temperature in Testing Zone observed in this work, which was recorded at a background temperature of 37 °C. This run of measurement had lasted for about 40 hours without changing culture medium for applying any external stimulus to the cells. As shown in Fig. 8(a), in the first 500 minutes (more than 8 hours), the output readings of the 8 different TFTC sensors located in different Testing Zones (namely C2, C3, C4, C5, C7, D5, D6 and D7) showed similar synchronized trends. Then the output data of sensor C5 gradually increased a bit from the synchronized curves of the rest, and after 500–600 minutes, the trend of measured data of sensor C5 started to rise above the other seven curves. Figure 8(b) plots the subtracted results from the data shown in Fig. 8(a), showing the absolute increments of the output data for sensor C5 from the background temperature. After the adherent HepG2 cells had been cultured for 2200 minutes (around 33 hours), an increment of local temperature in Testing Zone of 285 mK was detected. To show the validity of the recorded data, the same device was cleaned by standard cell-culture procedure to make sure that all cells and residuals were removed from the Testing Zones, and fresh culture medium was added without cells, then the device was put into the incubator for a control run. As shown in Fig. S6 of Supplementary Information, the output data of sensor C5 and the other 7 sensors behaved according to the same synchronized trend. It confirmed that the data shown in Fig. 8(b) were induced by local thermal activities of cultured adherent HepG2 cells rather than by system error or failure of the C5 sensor.

At the current stage, it could be judged from the experimental data that the increment of local temperature in Testing Zone due to cellular activities of target adherent HepG2 cells is pretty weak. The maximum increment of local temperature in Testing Zone of cultured HepG2 cells without additional chemical or physical stimulus was recorded as 285 mK in rare cases, while in most cases it was less than 60 mK. As the temperature recording and morphology analysis were not done at the same time, the direct connection between the recorded increment of local temperature in Testing Zone and certain cell, as well as the status of cell’s life cycle, has not been set up. Efforts for matching the real-time morphology of an individual cell to its real-time 2D mapping of the local temperature is still on-going in our lab. In the improved system, the nano-TFTC sensors are expected to replace the micro-TFTC sensors used in the present work, so that one single adherent cell could cover more than ten TFTC sensors, leading to a 2D map of the local temperature distribution. By comparing this 2D map with the remaining empty surface region without cells, it is possible to confirm the origin of the increment in temperature. Furthermore, by comparing the real-time microscopic image of the target cell sitting on the nano-TFTC array, it is possible to determine the correlation between its local temperature history and its life cycle, either in its natural state or under different external stimulus.
Conclusion

In this work, we precisely measured the local temperature increment induced by cultured adherent HepG2 cells. The cells were cultured in an environment that had been maintained at a constant temperature with a uniquely designed system, which consisted of a commercial constant-temperature incubator for cell culture, and a controllable constant-temperature tent to hold the incubator. In particular, all the measurement instruments, including the testing device, the multiplexer and the nanovoltmeter were put into the incubator to keep the same constant temperature and avoid extra thermal and electrical noises. By using these extreme measures and high-performance Pd/Cr or Cr/Pt micro-TFTC array devices, a thermal stability of 10 mK for the system was achieved. The local temperatures in Testing Zones where HepG2 cells were cultured directly on top of the micro-TFTC array in culture medium were continuously monitored for up to 57 hours, which covered several life cycles of the cells under test. Statistics analysis of dozens of runs of experiments showed that, the increment of local temperature was within 60 mK in most cases in Testing Zones where adherent cells might stick firmly to micro-TFTC sensors. In rare cases, larger increment of local temperature in Testing Zone up to 285 mK was detected. The method presented in this work has set up solid foundation for the realization of real-time, 2D mapping of the precise local temperature distribution of individual live cells with a nano-sized thermocouple array.

Methods

Double-stabilized measurement system. Photographs of the cellular temperature measurement system are presented in Supplementary Information (see Fig. S3). As schematically illustrated in Fig. 4(a–c), a commercial constant-temperature incubator (BPH -9272, Shanghai Yiheng, CN) with a stability of 0.1 K was located in a homemade, constant-temperature tent with a volume of 2.0 × 2.0 × 1.65 m³. This constant-temperature tent was constructed with an aluminum alloy frame, two layers of thermally insulating glass fiber cloth with aluminum foil coating, heating wires and other thermal insolating materials. Three temperature controllers (TC-05B, Sieval Corp., CN) were used to maintain a constant temperature of the tent, with a stability of 0.5 K. The measurement instruments including a device with build-in micro-TFTC array, a 10 × 10 multiplexer47, a nanovoltmeter (Keithley 2182 A) and connecting cables were put into the incubator to keep them at the same constant temperature. Data acquisition was controlled by a computer located on the outside of the constant-temperature tent with NI interface cards and LabVIEW programs.

Device fabrication and calibration. Testing devices with Pd/Cr (or Cr/Pt) micro-TFTC array were fabricated on 2 inch diameter glass substrates (Jingjiguangxue, CN) with standard cleanroom techniques for semiconductor micro-electronics. Lithography of the micro-TFTC patterns (see Fig. 1(a)) was completed by a MJB4 mask aligner (SUSS MicroTec, GER) operating with 350 nm ultraviolet light. Pd thin films were deposited with an electron-stripe evaporator (DE400, DÉ Tec, CN), and Cr, Pt thin films were deposited with a magnetron sputtering system (PVD75, Kurt J. Lesker, USA) operating in Ar atmosphere. Before film deposition, the residual photoresist on a patterned substrate was removed by oxygen plasma at a power of 250 W for 30 s in a plasma generator.
(PDC-M, PVA TePla, GER). The 20 μm deep Testing Zones (see Fig. 1(b)) were defined directly by a layer of SU-8 photoresist (No. 3025, MicroChem, USA) with lithography process.

In order to further reduce electrical noises, a 2-mm thick H2O2 insulating layer was deposited on top of the air fabricated micro-TFTCs array. Polarization anisotropy microscopy (SA) was performed on a 4-inch glass wafer at a wavelength of 470 nm. The wafer was placed on a hot plate at 70 °C for one hour.

Micro-TFTC samples were calibrated on a homemade calibration platform. For calibration, long TFTC samples were fabricated on 4-inch diameter glass substrates under the same fabrication conditions as the micro-TFTCs used for the testing devices for cellular temperature measurements. The stripe width for the calibration samples spanned from 20 μm to 2000 μm, while the stripe length was kept at 80 mm or 100 mm. During calibration, the cold-ends of the samples were kept at a stable temperature of 16 °C, and the temperature of the hot-ends was increased from 50 °C to 150 °C. Measurements with a Keithley 2182 A nanovoltmeter and a computerized data acquisition system were performed after the temperatures at both ends were stabilized, as indicated by commercial K-type thermocouples.

Cell culture process. Human hepatoblastoma (HepG2) cells used in this work were derived from hepatocellular carcinoma of man. They were cultured in 25 ml culture flasks (Corning, NY, USA) with RPMI1640 medium (Corning, NY, USA) at 37 °C in an incubator in 5% CO2 atmosphere mixture. The medium used here was supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Sijiqing, China) and 1% penicillin (Corning, NY, USA). The HepG2 cells were passaged at a split ration of 1:3 every week and the medium was changed every 2–3 days. For experiment, HepG2 cells were seeded in a 1 cm diameter tube at a density around 5 × 10^4 cells/mL. HepG2 cells were seeded in a 1 cm diameter tube at a density around 5 × 10^4 cells/mL. HepG2 cells were seeded in a 1 cm diameter tube at a density around 5 × 10^4 cells/mL.
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