Abstract

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is a common and devastating disease characterized by pathological aggregations of beta-amyloid (Aβ) plaques extracellularly, and Tau tangles intracellularly. While our understandings of the aetiologies of AD have greatly expanded over the decades, there is no drug available to stop disease progression. Here, we demonstrate the potential of Passiflora edulis (P. edulis) pericarp extract in protecting against Aβ-mediated neurotoxicity in mammalian cells and Caenorhabditis elegans (C. elegans) models of AD. We show P. edulis pericarp protects against memory deficit and neuronal loss, and promotes longevity in the Aβ model of AD via stimulation of mitophagy, a selective cellular clearance of damaged and dysfunctional mitochondria. P. edulis pericarp also restores memory and increases neuronal resilience in a C. elegans Tau model of AD. While defective mitophagy-induced accumulation of damaged mitochondria contributes to AD progression, P. edulis pericarp improves mitochondrial quality and homeostasis through BNIP3/DCT1-dependent mitophagy and SOD-3-dependent mitochondrial resilience, both via increased nuclear translocation of the upstream transcriptional regulator FOXO3/DAF-16. Further studies to identify active molecules in P. edulis pericarp that could maintain neuronal mitochondrial homeostasis may enable the development of potential drug candidates for AD.
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Introduction

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is a progressive and irreversible disease of the central nervous system (CNS). It is the most common form of dementia affecting around 50 million people globally at present, a figure estimated to triple by 2050 [1]. Clinically, it is characterized by an insidious onset and progressive deterioration of cognitive function [1, 2]. The pathological hallmarks of the disease include formation of extracellular plaques composed of aggregated beta-amyloid (Aβ) and accumulation of intracellular Tau in the form of neurofibrillary tangles [3–7]. These pathological features are accompanied by neuroinflammation, mitochondrial dysfunction, synaptic degeneration, and neuronal loss due to necroptosis [8–13]. To date, cholinesterase inhibitors and glutamate receptor antagonists have been the standard drugs for the treatment of AD. These therapeutic interventions provide symptomatic relief, but are incapable of curing and/or delaying the progression of the disease. Therefore, there is a dire need for identification of novel therapeutic strategies to counter AD.
Passiflora edulis (P. edulis), commonly known as passion fruit, is native to Southern America, but widely cultivated in tropical and subtropical areas worldwide. The pulp and pericarp of the passion fruit are a source of phytochemical contents such as polyphenols, triterpenoids, glycosides, carotenoids, polysaccharides, aromatic oils, and essential nutrients [14–18]. Pharmacological studies have identified the bioactivities of passion fruit including anti-oxidative, anti-inflammatory, anti-diabetic, and potentially hepatoprotective effects [19–23]. Additionally, it has been reported that passion fruit extracts act as a modulator of the glutamatergic system, which further promotes neuroprotective activities [24, 25]. However, the underlying mechanism of the neurotherapeutic activity of P. edulis extract has remained elusive. In this study, we wanted to determine whether administration of P. edulis extract could inhibit memory loss and pathological phenotypes in Caenorhabditis elegans (C. elegans) models of AD. We further evaluated the underlying molecular mechanisms in both C. elegans and mammalian cell systems.

Results

P. edulis Pericarp Extract Attenuates Memory Loss and Prolongs Lifespan in AD C. elegans

Progressive memory impairment is the most common symptom in AD patients [26]. Thus, we set out to evaluate whether the P. edulis pericarp (PEP) extract can inhibit memory loss in the transgenic C. elegans models of AD harboring pan-neuronal human $\alpha$-syn (JMK2, hA$\beta_{1-42}$) or pan-neuronal expression of human P301L Tau mutation (CK12, hTau[P301L]). For this purpose, we utilized an aversive olfactory learning chemotaxis assay (a negative value correlates with positive chemotaxis-related memory). Transgenic nematodes expressing hA$\beta_{1-42}$ and hTau[P301L] displayed severe cognitive deficits and neurodegeneration as we [10, 27] and others [28, 29] reported before. We administrated PEP at 250 µg/ml to the nematodes from egg hatching onwards and performed memory experiments on adult day 1. While the hA$\beta_{1-42}$ and hTau[P301L] animals had impaired memory, PEP inhibited memory loss in these AD nematodes; to note, PEP did not influence the memory of WT (N2) animals (Fig. 1a). Epidemiological studies indicate that AD not only impairs memory but also shortens lifespan [30, 31]. We postulated that strategies that improve memory in animals with AD could also extend their lifespan [27]. Therefore, we subsequently assess the potential effect of PEP on lifespan in the transgenic nematode models of AD. As expected, in the transgenic C. elegans models of AD, especially, the hTau[P301L] model exhibited a shorter lifespan in comparison to WT control (Fig. 1b). Upon PEP administration, not only hTau[P301L] and hA$\beta_{1-42}$ nematodes displayed a significant extension of lifespan, but also elongate the lifespan of the WT animals (Fig. 1c–e). Along with lifespan, we also evaluated pharyngeal pumping in adult day 2 and day 8 animals. PEP supplementary with no influence on pumping rate (Fig. 1f, h) indicated that PEP extended lifespan not due to the starvation. A summary of the lifespan data in different groups is shown in Supplementary Table 1. These findings indicate PEP protected against memory deficits and extended lifespan in particular the hA$\beta_{1-42}$ model of AD.

P. edulis Extract Inhibits Neurodegeneration in AD C. elegans and Cells

Having established the potential of PEP extract to improve healthspan and lifespan in the C. elegans hA$\beta_{1-42}$ model of AD, we set out to investigate the underlying mechanism. For this purpose, we first evaluated whether PEP potentiates neuroprotection that results in the improved functional behavior. The two major neurotransmission systems primarily affected in AD are the cholinergic and glutamatergic systems [32–34]. Cholinergic neurons play a key role in the CNS, and acetylcholine (ACh) works as a neurotransmitter that serviced all cholinergic neurons. There is a likelihood that either Ach depletion or hyper-accumulation links to neurodegeneration [35–37]. The functional activity of the cholinergic system in the AD nematodes was assessed by feeding the animals with aldicarb, an acetylcholinesterase inhibitor that induces hyper-accumulation of Ach, resulting in accelerated skeletal muscle contraction and finally paralysis [38]. Controls for the assay, in the form of aldicarb hypersensitive (VC233: tom-1(ok285)I) and aldicarb-resistant (NM204: smt-1(md290)II) strains, displayed increased and reduced sensitivities to aldicarb, respectively, compared to the WT nematodes (Fig. 2a). The hA$\beta_{1-42}$ model of AD displayed an increased sensitivity to aldicarb compared to the WT N2; while hTau[P301L] nematodes did not show increased sensitivity to aldicarb compared to that of WT animals (Fig. 2a). These findings suggest an impairment in the cholinergic system in hA$\beta_{1-42}$ nematodes. Application of PEP resulted in a delay in aldicarb-mediated paralysis in both hA$\beta_{1-42}$ and hTau[P301L] models of AD, as well as in the WT N2 nematodes (Fig. 2b–d). This implies that PEP enhanced cholinergic neuronal resistance to aldicarb in both pathological and physiological conditions.

In addition to cholinergic neuronal protection, we asked whether PEP could protect against degeneration of the glutamatergic neurons in AD. Glutamatergic neurons are another vital type of neurons found in the CNS, and are impaired in AD [39, 40]. Aβ induces glutamatergic neuronal loss and promotes AD progresses [40, 41]. To evaluate whether PEP could protect against Aβ-induced neurodegeneration in the glutamatergic subtype neurons, we used a series of
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well-characterized nematode models whereby hAβ1-42 is only expressed in the glutamatergic neurons and induces neurodegeneration [27, 42]. Five tail-localized glutamatergic neurons (LUA(R), LUA(L), PVR, PLM(R), and PLM(L)) were used for data quantification as these five neurons show clear, stable, and easy-to-quantify patterns of neurodegeneration.

Fig. 1 PEP improves memory and extends lifespan in AD models of C. elegans. a PEP restored memory in adult day 1 hTau[P301L] and hAβ1-42 (JKM2) C. elegans. Data were from four biological repeats with the results shown in mean ± SEM. One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s multiple comparisons test was used for data analysis with ns, no significance; *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001. b Pathological Tau and Aβ1-42 caused shorter lifespan in hTau[P301L] and hAβ1-42 (JKM2) nematodes when compared to WT animals. c-e PEP extended lifespan in WT (N2), hTau[P301L], and hAβ1-42 (JKM2) C. elegans. Data were pooled from two biological replicates with a total of 150 animals (each biological repeat includes three technical repeats). The Kaplan–Meier survival curves were presented with the log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test used for data analysis. ns, no significance; *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001. f-h Effect of PEP on pharyngeal pumping speed in adult day 2 and day 8 WT (N2), hTau[P301L], and hAβ1-42 (JKM2) C. elegans. Data were from two biological replicates with a total 60 animals (each biological repeat includes three technical repeats). One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s multiple comparisons test was used for data analysis. ns, no significance; *p < 0.05
PEP protects cholinergic and glutamatergic neurons in the AD nematodes. a. Nematos react to the acetylcholinesterase inhibitor (aldicarb). VC233 was an aldicarb hypersensitive strain, while NM204 was an aldicarb-resistant strain. WT (N2), hTau[P301L], and hAβ1-42 (JKM2) nematodes were test groups. b–d. PEP increased neuronal resilience (as evidenced by prolonged paralysis time) against aldicarb-induced toxicity in day 1 WT (N2), hTau[P301L], and hAβ1-42 (JKM2) nematodes. Data were from three biological repeats. Log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test was used for data analysis with ns, no significance; \( p<0.05 \), \( **p<0.01 \), \( ***p<0.001 \), \( ****p<0.0001 \). e. Representative images showing the condition of glutamatergic neurons in day 3 hApoE3(Glu) (left), hAβ1-42 (Glu) (upper-right), and hAβ1-42 Glu nematodes under different conditions. PEP protected against Aβ25-35-induced glutamatergic neuronal degeneration in day 3 hAβ1-42 Glu nematodes. Five glutamatergic neurons including LUA(R), LUB(L), PLM(R), PLM(L), and PVR were used for data analysis. Data were from three biological repeats. One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s multiple comparisons test was used for data analysis with ns, no significance; \( p<0.05 \), \( **p<0.01 \), \( ***p<0.001 \), \( ****p<0.0001 \). f, g. PEP attenuated high glutamate (5 mM)-induced cell death in HT-22 cells under different conditions. Varied concentrations (12.5–100 µg/ml) of PEP were used in the experiments. Data were from three biological repeats. One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s multiple comparisons test was used for data analysis with ns, no significance; \( p<0.05 \), \( **p<0.01 \), \( ***p<0.001 \), \( ****p<0.0001 \).

PEP protects cholinergic and glutamatergic neurons in the AD nematodes. a. Nematos react to the acetylcholinesterase inhibitor (aldicarb). VC233 was an aldicarb hypersensitive strain, while NM204 was an aldicarb-resistant strain. WT (N2), hTau[P301L], and hAβ1-42 (JKM2) nematodes were test groups. b–d. PEP increased neuronal resilience (as evidenced by prolonged paralysis time) against aldicarb-induced toxicity in day 1 WT (N2), hTau[P301L], and hAβ1-42 (JKM2) nematodes. Data were from three biological repeats. Log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test was used for data analysis with ns, no significance; \( p<0.05 \), \( **p<0.01 \), \( ***p<0.001 \), \( ****p<0.0001 \). e. Representative images showing the condition of glutamatergic neurons in day 3 hApoE3(Glu) (left), hAβ1-42 (Glu) (upper-right), and hAβ1-42 Glu nematodes under different conditions. PEP protected against Aβ25-35-induced glutamatergic neuronal degeneration in day 3 hAβ1-42 Glu nematodes. Five glutamatergic neurons including LUA(R), LUB(L), PLM(R), PLM(L), and PVR were used for data analysis. Data were from three biological repeats. One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s multiple comparisons test was used for data analysis with ns, no significance; \( p<0.05 \), \( **p<0.01 \), \( ***p<0.001 \), \( ****p<0.0001 \). f, g. PEP attenuated high glutamate (5 mM)-induced cell death in HT-22 cells under different conditions. Varied concentrations (12.5–100 µg/ml) of PEP were used in the experiments. Data were from three biological repeats. One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s multiple comparisons test was used for data analysis with ns, no significance; \( p<0.05 \), \( **p<0.01 \), \( ***p<0.001 \), \( ****p<0.0001 \).

PEP at 100 µg/ml (but not lower doses as we tested), with Aβ25-35 (18 h) or as pretreatment at 6 h (but not 12 h) prior to Aβ25-35 administration (18 h), was sufficient to protect against Aβ25-35-induced neuronal death (Fig. 3a–c), and alleviate Aβ25-35-induced generation of mitochondrial superoxide (Fig. 3d, e). Additionally, PEP pretreatment (6 h ahead) protected against Aβ25-35-induced loss of mitochondrial membrane potential (Fig. 3f, g). Our data suggest PEP not only protects against glutamate-induced cell death, but also protects against Aβ25-35-induced neurotoxicity and neuronal loss in both nematodes and human neuronal-like cells.

**P. edulis** Extract Increased Neuronal Mitophagy in Human Neurons and *C. elegans*

Compromised mitophagy-induced accumulation of damaged mitochondria in the brain, especially in the entorhinal cortex and the hippocampus, is an early sign and a risk factor of AD [8, 10, 27]. Our previous studies show that genetic or pharmacological restoring of neuronal mitophagy abrogated memory loss and pathologies in AD [10, 27]. Here, we asked whether PEP could induce mitophagy, and if yes, whether PEP-induced memory retention is dependent on mitophagy activation. Mitophagy is a subtype of selective autophagy; thus, there are many proteins participating in both cellular events [43, 44]. For mechanistic exploration, we checked expression levels of proteins critical for the mitophagy and autophagy pathways using the SH-SY5Y-differentiated neuronal-like cells. Immunoblot data showed that PEP (100 µg/ml, 6-h pretreatment) inhibited phosphorylation of the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) (Fig. 4a, b), reduced phosphorylation of ULK1 at p-S757 (activation of this site inhibits ULK1 activity), and increased the expression of mitophagy-related multifunctional protein BNIP3 and the lysosome protein cathepsin D; compared with the Aβ25-35 group, Aβ25-35+PEP did not have significant effects on the protein levels of PINK1, Parkin, p62, SOD-1, or SOD-2 (Fig. 4a, b).

While the immunoblot data strongly suggest a possibility that PEP affects mitophagy/autophagy proteins, we further designed experiments to validate this possibility. To investigate that PEP could induce mitophagy in neurons, we utilized two composite systems for monitoring mitophagy in vivo [45, 46]. First, we utilized transgenic animals expressing a mitochondria-targeted GFP together with the autophagosomal marker LC3/LGG-1 fused with DsRed [10, 46]. Normally, mitophagy-inducing stimuli encourage the formation of autophagosomes that extensively co-localize with mitochondria [46]. Here, we demonstrate a pronounced induction of mitophagy via formation of autophagosomes consisting of mitochondria upon PEP exposure (Fig. 4c, d). This implies that PEP was able to promote the formation of mito-autophagosomes for mitochondria cargo for degradation via mitophagy. Next, we wanted to establish whether the mitochondria in the autophagosome were indeed degraded. For this purpose, we
utilized transgenic animals expressing mitochondria-targeted Rosella (mtRosella) biosensor that combines a fast-maturing pH-insensitive DsRed fused to a pH-sensitive green fluorescent protein (GFP) variant [47]. Mechanistically, quenching of the GFP signal upon uptake of the mitochondrial cargo by the acidic lysosome is indicated by a lower GFP/DsRed ratio representing mitophagy stimulation [46]. PEP was indeed able to stimulate mitophagy as the mtRosella animals displayed significantly decreased GFP/DsRed ratio compared to vehicle controls (Fig. 4e, f). Combining the human cell data and the nematode data, we propose PEP stimulates mitophagy via activating the key mitophagy/autophagy protein ULK1, and
controls or the hAβ1-42 model of AD (Fig. 5a, b). Therefore, the level of mitochondrial and cellular dysfunction [50]. Previous studies showed a role in suppressing oxidative stress that underlies neuroprotection [48, 49]. PEP did not induce significant change, despite an upward trend in genes associated with mitophagy [51]. Anti-A1 (100 μM) was used as a positive control. Data were from three biological repeats. One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s multiple comparisons test was used for data analysis with ns, no significance; *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001. d Representative images showed the oxidized MitoSOX fluorescence signal in control, Antimycin A1 (Anti-A1), Aβ25-35, and PEP pre- or co-treated with Aβ25-35 in differentiated SH-SY5Y cells. Scale bar, 20 μm. e PEP alleviated Aβ25-35-induced mitochondrial superoxide level. Anti-A1 (100 μM) was used as a positive control. Data were from three biological repeats. One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s multiple comparisons test was used for data analysis with ns, no significance; *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001. f PEP pretreatment (6 h ahead) attenuated Aβ25-35-reduced mitochondrial membrane potential. FCCP (20 Mm, 1 h) was used as positive control. Data were from three biological repeats. One-way ANOVA followed by Dunn’s multiple comparisons test was used for data analysis with ns, no significance; *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001. g Representative images with TMRM and Hoechst33342 signals. The figures show mitochondrial networks in control, FCCP, Aβ25-35, PEP, and PEP pre- or co-treated with Aβ25-35 in differentiated SH-SY5Y cells. Red color indicates mitochondrial network; blue color indicates cell nuclei. Scale bar, 50 μm.

Increasing the expression of both mitophagy-related protein BNIP3 and lysosome protein cathepsin D.

**P. edulis** Extract Promotes Mitochondrial Homeostasis and Oxidative Resistance via DAF-16 Nuclear Translocation in C. elegans

In addition to the mechanism mentioned above, we wondered whether PEP-based neuronal benefits could be started at the transcriptional level. We used real-time PCR and checked the mRNA levels of a list of genes in the groups of “mitophagy,” “mitochondrial unfolded protein response (UPRmt),” and “oxidative stress,” which are linked to neuroprotection [48, 49]. PEP did not induce significant change, despite an upward trend in genes associated with mitophagy (i.e., pdr-1, dct-1, lgg-1, and skn-1) in the hAβ1-42 model of AD and in the WT N2 (Fig. 5a, b). However, significant upregulation of genes associated with oxidative stress (gst-4 and sod-3) as well as the mitochondrial unfolded protein response (UPRmt) (ubl-5) was observed in the N2 animals (Fig. 5a). While the hAβ1-42 model of AD exhibited upregulation of only sod-3 upon PEP application (Fig. 5b), SOD-3 has a role in suppressing oxidative stress that underlies mitochondrial and cellular dysfunction [50]. Previous studies reported that DAF-16 (orthologue for the mammalian FOXO transcription factors) is the upstream regulator of gst-4 and sod-3 [51, 52]. In our nematode system, the expression level of the daf-16 gene was not changed in either the N2 controls or the hAβ1-42 model of AD (Fig. 5a, b). Therefore, we went on to investigate whether PEP supplementation could promote the nuclear translocation of DAF-16 by using a transgenic nematode with a DAF-16::GFP-tag. A 4-point grading system was utilized for characterizing DAF-16 localization from the cytosol (1) to predominant nuclear localization (4) (Fig. 5c, d). Under physiological conditions, DAF-16 was distributed predominantly in the cytoplasm; however, upon stimulation with heat-shock (a positive control), DAF-16 was mainly localized in the nucleus (Fig. 5c). PEP induced significant nuclear translocation of DAF-16 (Fig. 5c, d). Altogether, our data suggest that PEP upregulates gst-4 and sod-3 genes via enhancing subcellular distribution of DAF-16 from the cytoplasm to the nucleus, resulting in increased DAF-16-regulated transcription activity.

**P. edulis** Extract Induces Neuronal Mitophagy and Protects Against Aβ-Induced Memory Loss Which is daf-16 Dependent

To further investigate whether PEP induces mitophagy in a daf-16/dct-1/sod-3-dependent manner or not, we knocked down daf-16, dct-1, and sod-3, respectively, via RNAi feeding of the animals from the egg hatching stage. The mtrRosella<sup>neu−sid1</sup> (to knockdown target only in the neurons but not other tissues) transgenic animals were used in these experiments. Our results show that knock down of daf-16 abolished PEP-induced neuronal mitophagy in mtrRosella<sup>neu−sid1</sup> animals; similar results were shown in dct-1 or sod-3 knocked down animals (Fig. 5e, f). In addition, to investigate whether the neuroprotective effect of PEP is daf-16 dependent or not, we knocked down the daf-16 or sod-3 gene by using RNAi feeding of the animals from egg hatching. N2<sup>neu−sid1</sup> and hAβ1-42 (JKM2)<sup>neu−sid1</sup> transgenic animals were used in these experiments, and our results suggested that knock down of daf-16 gene expression not only caused memory deficits in healthy control N2<sup>neu−sid1</sup> animals, but also abolished memory restoration ability of PEP extract in both N2<sup>neu−sid1</sup> and hAβ1-42(JKM2)<sup>neu−sid1</sup> animals. However, sod-3 RNAi only abolished memory restoration of PEP in hAβ1-42 (JKM2)<sup>neu−sid1</sup> animals but had no effect in healthy control N2<sup>neu−sid1</sup> animals (Fig. 5g, h). Cumulatively, PEP protects neurons in AD animals via upregulation of the DAF-16/DCT-1/SOD3-dependent mitophagy pathway.

**Identification of Potential Bioactive Compounds in P. edulis Pericarp**

The neuroprotective effect of PEP could be contributed by the small bioactive compounds inside the extracts. To identify small molecules in PEP, we used gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC–MS). Over hundreds of compounds have been identified in PEP extract and the list of compounds is shown in Supplementary Table 2. To further narrow down the
list of potential candidates which might inhibit AD pathologies and own translational potential, we considered capacity of compound candidates to pass the blood–brain barrier (BBB) [53, 54]. We used the SwissADME software to predict BBB permeability of all compounds. As a result, 15 compounds were highly ranked with BBB permeability (Table 1). While the compound phenol showed the highest BBB permeability score in this system, others such as squalene, tocopherols, and amyrins may have high affinity to BBB receptor(s) in the BBB permeant system. Since PEP extract enhanced DAF-16 nuclear localization, a computer docking analysis was used to predict whether PEP extract containing potential compounds could induce FOXO3/DAF-16 nuclear translocation in different conditions, including via inhibiting the insulin/IGF-1 signaling pathway. 2KJI, an insulin-like protein found in C. elegans, was used as a target protein, and the docking analysis was performed on the top 10 potential compounds in the list. For the results, a higher negative binding energy indicates a higher stability of the protein–ligand complex. In our study (Supplementary Table 3), tocopherols including α-tocopherol (−8.556 kcal/mol), Y-tocopherol (−8.356 kcal/mol), and δ-tocopherol (−8.227 kcal/mol) showed the highest binding potential to the insulin-like protein in C. elegans. Other compounds such as stigmasterol-4-en-3-one (−8.246 kcal/mol), squalene (−8.186 kcal/mol), and cholest-4-en-3-one (−8.151 kcal/mol) showed lower binding potential compared to 2KJI; these data suggest that attenuation of the insulin pathway via these compounds may activate DAF-16 nuclear translocation. Further wet laboratory experiments are necessary to identify the compound(s) that could induce mitophagy and forestall memory loss and attenuate pathologies in AD animals.

**Discussion and Conclusion**

Where there is no drug available to cure AD, turning up mitophagy is suggested as a promising strategy for anti-AD drug development [10, 27, 55]. Here, we demonstrate that PEP extract inhibits Aβ1-42-induced mitochondrial superoxide production and loss of MMP, which then attenuated neuronal cell death. PEP extract not only increases neuronal mitophagy level and alleviates neurodegeneration, but also inhibits memory impairment in AD C. elegans, especially in the hAβ1-42 model of AD. In particular, we show these benefits to be mediated by the nuclear localization of DAF-16, which stimulates mitophagy and protects against oxidative stress. FOXO3/DAF-16 is a fundamental component of the insulin/IGF signaling (IIS) pathway, which plays a critical role in longevity and stress resistance in various organisms including in humans [56–59]. In C. elegans, DAF-16 not only regulates longevity and dauer development, but is also involved in metabolism and stress resistance. The activity of DAF-16 is regulated by the upstream protein, DAF-2 (orthologue of the mammalian insulin and insulin-like growth factor-1 receptor) [60, 61]. Upon activation, DAF-16 disconnects from the 14–3–3 proteins that negatively regulates the insulin-like signaling (IIS) pathway and is positively regulated by the JNK pathway [62]. Upon translocation to the nucleus, DAF-16 promotes target gene expression of transmembrane tyrosine kinase (old-1) [63], glutathione-S-transferase 4 (gst4) [64, 65], BNIP3/NIX/dct-1 [47, 66], and sod-3 [67, 68]. Here, we show that the DAF-16-regulated downstream genes, including gst-4 and sod-3, were increased upon PEP supplementation. Previous studies suggested that activated neuronal DAF-16 elicits intestinal DAF-16 activation, and vice versa [69, 70]. Here, our results showed that PEP increased DAF-16 nuclear translocation. In turn, activated DAF-16 directly promotes sod-3 expression level. To note, although enhanced DAF-16 activity, we did not detect significant change of dct-1; this could be caused by the use of whole worm tissue for the PCR rather than to use the isolated neurons. Related experiments could be performed using a neuronal isolation protocol for tissue collection in the future. Interestingly, our immunoblot data show that PEP activated ULK1, an essential protein involved in both autophagy and mitophagy [43, 71], via inhibiting mTOR. Additionally, PEP increased the expression levels of BNIP3 (the mammalian homolog of the C. elegans dct-1) and the lysosome protein cathepsin D; upregulation of these mitophagy/lysosome proteins could enhance mitophagy. In line with this, two in vivo mitophagy quantification assays unambiguously support the possibility of a neuronal mitophagy induction capacity by PEP via the DAF-16/DCT-1/SOD3 pathway. Importantly, knocked
studying the therapeutic ability of the potential candidates in this study. In the future, it will be interesting to continue and identify potential compounds of PEP extract were identified in pericarp could be a good source of bioactive compounds, which may contribute to memory retention and neuroprotection in the AD animals via DAF-16 dependent pathways (Fig. 6).

Until here, the neuroprotective effects and underlying mechanism of PEP extract have been partially uncovered in this study. By extrapolation, it is likely that multiple small compounds in PEP that contributed to the beneficial effects. Fortunately, our findings clearly show P. edulis pericarp could be a good source of bioactive compounds, and potential compounds of PEP extract were identified in this study. In the future, it will be interesting to continue studying the therapeutic ability of the potential candidates in multiple AD models.

Materials and Methods

Chemicals

Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (DEME), fetal bovine serum (FBS), penicillin–streptomycin, petroleum ether, retinoic acid (RA), thiazolyl blue tetrazolium bromide, and ampicillin were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. DEME/F-12 (1:1) (1X) + GlutaMAX™ (Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium F-12 nutrient mixture (Ham) was purchased from Gibco® by Life Technologies™. Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), tetramethylrhodamine methyl ester perchlorate (TMRM), and isopropylthio-β-galactoside (IPTG) were purchased from Merck. The CytoTox 96® LDH kit was purchased from Promega. β-Amyloid25-35 and BDNF (human) were purchased from GenScript®, TRIZol reagent (Cat. #BCCD4264) was purchased from Sigma® Life Science. NuPAGE™ 4–12% Bis–Tris gels were purchased from Invitrogen by Thermo Fisher Scientific. MitoSOX™ Red mitochondrial superoxide indicator and Hoechst 33,342 solution were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific. PowerSYBR® Green PCR master mix was purchased from Applied Biosystems by Thermo Fisher Scientific. NuPAGE® MES SDS Running Buffer (20X) and NuPAGE® Transfer Buffer (20X) were purchased from Invitrogen by Life Technologies™ and Nover® by Life Technologies™, respectively. Immun-Blot® PVDF membranes for protein blotting (Cat. #1620177) and iScript cDNA Synthesis kit (Cat. #1708891) were purchased from BIO-RAD. Additionally, nonfat dry milk, antibodies including mTOR (7C10), ULK1 (D9D7), p-ULK1 (ser757) (D7O6U), SOD2 [2A1] (ab16956), cathepsin D [CTD-19] (ab6313), SQSTM1/p62 (#5114), Parkin [PRK8], BNIP3 (D7U1T), and GAPDH (14C10) as well as anti-rabbit IgG HRP-linked antibody and anti-mouse IgG HRP-linked antibody were purchased from Cell Signaling. Antibodies including p-mTOR (ab109286), pink1 (38CT18.7), SOD1 (ab13498), SOD2 [2A1] (ab16956), cathepsin D [CTD-19] (ab6313), and GAP43 (ab12274) were purchased from Abcam.
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Fig. 5 PEP induces DAF-16 nuclear localization, leading to higher transcriptional regulation of downstream genes. a, b Effect of PEP on mitochondrial-related gene expression in day 1 WT (N2) and hAβ1-42 (JKM2) nematodes. Data were from three biological repeats (each biological repeat includes three technical repeats). Two-way ANOVA followed by Sidak’s multiple comparisons test was used for data analysis with ns, no significance, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001. e Images showing differential subcellular distribution of DAF-16 in vehicle (left), heat-shock (37 °C, 30 min, positive control) (middle), and PEP (250 µg/mL) nematodes (right). Scale bars, 100 µm and 20 µm, respectively. d PEP promoted DAF-16 nuclear translocation in adult day 1 nematodes. Data were from two biological repeats with 40 nematodes (each biological repeat includes three technical repeats). One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s multiple comparisons test was used for data analysis with ns, no significance; *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001. e Representative images showing effects of daf-16, dct-1, and sod-3 on GFP/DsRed ratio in PEP (250 µg/mL) extract fed adult day 1 metRosellaNeu−sid1 nematodes. Scale bar, 50 µm. f Knock down of neuronal daf-16, dct-1, and sod-3 gene affected PEP-dependent neuronal mitophagy induction in mtRosellaNeu−sid1 nematodes. Data were from two to three biological repeats with the results from a total of 40 nematodes (each biological repeat includes three technical repeats). One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s multiple comparisons test was used for data analysis with ns, no significance; *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001. g, h Knock down of neuronal daf-16 on sod-3 gene affected PEP-induced memory improvement in the N2neu−sid1 (g) and hAβ1-42 (JKM2)neu−sid1 (h) nematodes. Data were from four biological repeats with the results shown in mean±SEM. One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s multiple comparisons test was used for data analysis with ns, no significance, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001.

down daf-16 or sod-3 also annulled the memory benefits of PEP extract in hAβ1-42 AD-like animals. Taking all the pieces of data together, it suggests that PEP could regulate both mitophagy and mitochondrial resilience, which may contribute to memory retention and neuroprotection in the AD animals via DAF-16 dependent pathways (Fig. 6).

Plant Collection and Preparation

The fresh passion fruit (P. edulis ‘Paul Ecke’) was collected from Chiang Mai, Thailand, and identified by the herbarium of Kasin Suvatrabhandj (Department of Botany, Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University, Thailand) with the voucher specimen [016437 B(CU)]. Pericarp was cut and air-dried before being ground into a fine powder. P. edulis pericarp powder was macerated in petroleum ether with a ratio of 1:10. The PEP extract was filtered through Whatman No. 1 filter paper and concentrated using vacuum distillation and a rotary evaporator. Stock solution was prepared in DMSO (concentration is 100 mg/ml).

Cell Culture

HT-22, a mouse hippocampal cell (a gift from Professor David Schubert, San Diego, CA, USA), were cultured and maintained in DMEM with 10% FBS supplementary and 1% penicillin–streptomycin. SHSY5Y, a human fibroblastoma cells were cultured and maintained in DMEM/F12 with 10%
FBS supplementary and 1% penicillin–streptomycin (normal culture medium). Cells were maintained in a humidified incubator (37 °C, 5% CO₂). Culture medium was changed every 2 to 3 days and 80 to 90% confluence cells were used for future experiments.

**Cell Differentiation**

SH-SY5Y cell differentiation was induced using a previously described protocol with slight modifications [72]. Briefly, at day 0, SHSY5Y cells were seeded into the experimental plates with normal culture medium and cultured overnight. On day 1, we replace the normal culture medium by using DMEM/F12 medium (5% FBS) with retinoic acid (RA) (10 µM) to initiate cell differentiation, and change to DMEM/F12 medium (2.5% FBS) with RA (10 µM) to stimulate further cell differentiation in day 2 to day 5. Additionally, DMEM/F12 medium (0% FBS) with 50 ng/mL brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) was used to strengthening cell differentiation in day 6 to day 8. Then, the differentiated cells are ready for experiments on day 9.

**Cell Viability**

3-(4,5-Dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide (MTT) is a widely used chemical indicator for cellular metabolic activity and cell viability based on the ability of nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH)–dependent cellular oxidoreductase enzymes which reduce the yellow MTT to purple formazan in living cells. The formazan redissolved in the solubilization solution such as DMSO and provides a colorimetric assay. In this study, MTT was used to detect cell viability for both HT-22 and SHSY5Y cells. For HT-22 cells, 5000 cells were seeded and grown in each well of 96-well plates overnight in a humidified 5% CO₂ incubator at 37 °C. Next day, the cells were pretreated (6 h, 12 h) or co-treated with varied concentrations of PEP extract (12.5 to 100 µg/mL) and glutamate (5 mM). At the end of the drug treatment time, cells were exposed to MTT for 3 h. Then, all supernatant was removed, and the formazan crystals were dissolved with DMSO (200 µL) before measuring the absorbance at 550 nm. For SHSY5Y cells, the differentiated cells were used for cell viability detection. As mentioned earlier, cells are ready to use after a 9-day differentiation period, and pretreated (6 h, 12 h) or co-treated with varied concentrations of PEP extract (12.5 to 100 µg/mL) and Aß25-35 (25 µM). At the end of the drug treatment time, cells were exposed to MTT for 3 h. Then, the synthesized formazan crystals were dissolved with DMSO (200 µL) before measuring the absorbance at 550 nm.

**Detection of Mitochondrial Superoxide in Live Cells**

MitoSOX™ Red is a cell permeant dye that selectively targets mitochondria. Neuronal differentiated SH-SY5Y cells were pretreated (6 h ahead) or co-treated with PEP extract (100 µg/mL) and Aß25-35 (25 µM). Antimycin A1 (30 min, 100 µM) was used as a positive control. After designated time of treatment, cells were stained with MitoSOX™ Red reagent (4 µM) for 10 min in the dark. The cells were washed with warm 1 × PBS once and imaged using a confocal microscope at 40 × magnification.

**Detection of Mitochondrial Membrane Potential in Live Cells**

TMRM is a cell permeant mitochondrial membrane potential indicator that accumulates in live mitochondria showing bright fluorescent signal. Neuronal differentiated SH-SY5Y cells were pretreated (6 h ahead) or co-treated with PEP extract (100 µg/mL) and Aß25-35 (25 µM). FCCP (1 h, 20 µM) was used as a positive control. After designated time of treatment, cells were stained with TMRM (150 nM) and Hoechst 33342 (2 µg/mL) for 30 min in the dark. The cells were washed with warm medium and imaged using a confocal microscope at 40 × magnification.

**Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC–MS) and Theoretical Prediction of Blood–Brain Barrier (BBB) Permeability of Compounds Analysis**

The beneficial effects of the PEP extract are due to the various potential compounds. GC–MS was employed to
uncover the potential drug candidates in PEP extract. PEP subfractions were sent to Scientific and Technological Research Equipment Center (STREC), Chulalongkorn University, Thailand, for GC–MS analysis by using the Agilent 7890B GC system coupled with a HP-5 ms (part no. 19091S-433UI (30 m × 0.25 mm, 0.25 µm)) capillary column. The extracts were dissolved in hexane, and 1 µl was injected into the column for analysis with a total of 68-min run time. Results were analyzed using MassHunter 2014 software and the potential compounds were identified by comparing with the spectral patterns with the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) 2011 library. To further narrow down the potential compounds, SwissADME software (http://www.swissadme.ch) was used to analyze the BBB permeability of identified compounds, and DockThor (https://dockthor.lncc.br/v2/), an online software, was used for docking analysis.

**Western Blot**

Cell samples were collected by using 1× radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) buffer with protease inhibitors and phosphatase inhibitors. Protein samples were running on an NuPAGE 4–12% Bis–Tris protein gel. The chemiluminescence reaction was detected using a ChemiDoc XRS System (Bio-Rad Laboratories). The following antibodies were used in this study: mTOR, p-mTOR, ULK1, p-ULK1(s757), pink1, Parkin, p62, BNIP3, cathepsin D, LC3B, SOD1, SOD2, GAP43, and GAPDH.

**C. elegans Strains**

*C. elegans* strains were maintained on *Escherichia coli* OP50 using the standard feeding methods. The temperature for...
rearing C. elegans was kept at 20 °C. The list of strains used in this study is shown in Supplementary Table 3.

**Drug Treatment of C. elegans**

The PEP extract or equal volume of DMSO was directly added in the melted NGM before being poured into the plates. Nematodes were treated from either egg hatching or L4 stage.

**Toxicity Assay**

A toxicity assay was used to determine the safe dose of PEP extract selection in C. elegans. N2, a wild-type strain, was used for these experiments. At day 1, ten of 1-day-old nematodes were placed on the NGM plates with OP50 which contained PEP extract (0.025, 0.25, 0.5 mg/mL) or not (vehicle) for 3-h egg laying. The number of eggs was counted after adults were removed. On day 2, the number of L1 larvae and unhatched eggs was counted to check the egg hatching efficiency. In day 3, the number of L4 larvae was counted. On day 4, the number of 1-day-old adult nematodes was counted. Each group includes three technical repeats.

**Lifespan and Healthy Span Analysis**

AD caused health issues and reduction of lifespan has been reported [1, 73]. We recorded the living time to check whether PEP extract have beneficial effects for lifespan extension in healthy (N2) nematodes and AD human Tau[P301L] and human Aβ 1-42 transgenic nematodes. The animals were synchronized by bleaching and grown at 20 °C until the L4 stage. Twenty of L4 stage animals were picked and placed in each experiment plate (with or without PEP extract). FUDR was added to prevent egg hatching and animals were transferred to the fresh plates for every 2–3 days until day 10, and then every 5–6 days (if food running out) until death. The number of living or dead animals was recorded every day until the last animal’s death. Every experiment included 3 technical repeats. Additionally, C. elegans drawing food through its pharynx and the times of pharyngeal contraction and relaxation indicate the food uptake rate. Along with the lifespan experiment, the pharyngeal pumping rate of day 2 and day 8 nematodes was evaluated via manually counting for 30 s, and 10 animals were randomly selected from each technical repeat.

**Chemotaxis Behavior Assay**

Isoamyl alcohol (IA), a volatile liquid, was employed to perform the chemotaxis assay as previously described [74, 75]. Briefly, animals were synchronized by bleaching and grown on the OP50 seeded NGM plates with or without PEP extract at 20 °C until day 1. Animals (200 to 300 nematodes/group) were collected and washed 4 times with MilliQ water, and then placed on conditional NGM plates (no OP50) with/without IA on the middle of lid (10 µL) for 90 min. After that, animals were washed and transferred to the start point in the experimental plates and the number of animals from each area was recorded after 2 h. The experimental plate (10 cm) is separated into three main areas, which were labeled as IA, T (trap point), and S (start point). A small piece of Parafilm was placed in the middle of the “IA” area and 3 µl of 2% IA was topped on the Parafilm. The chemotaxis index was calculated as (“IA” – “T”) / (“IA” + “T” + “S”).

**mRNA Quantification in C. elegans Tissue**

Target gene expression in C. elegans was determined using real-time PCR. Animals were synchronized by bleaching and grown on the OP50 seeded NGM plates with or without PEP extract at 20 °C until adult day 1. Nematodes were collected, and then total RNA was further isolated using TRIzol™ reagent. The concentration of RNA was detected using a NanoDrop machine under absorbance 260 nm. cDNA samples were prepared using the iScript™ cDNA synthesis kit, and synthesis for 5 min at 25 °C, 20 min at 46 °C, 1 min at 95 °C, and finishing at 4 °C. The synthesized cDNA samples were used for real-time quantitative reverse transcription PCR (RT-qPCR) for target gene expression quantification. A total of nine samples for three biological repeats (three technical repeats for each biological repeat) were used. PowerSYBR® Green PCR Master Mix was employed for quantitative PCR analysis. For each reaction, 4 µl of cDNA temple was mixed with 2 × PowerSYBR® Green PCR Master Mix (5 µL), forward primer (0.5 µL), reverse primer (0.5 µL) in total 10 µL. Real-time qPCR reactions were performed in QuantStudio™ 7 Flex System v1.1 (Applied Biosystems by Life Technologies). The thermal cycling condition was set as pre-denaturation step at 95 °C for 10 min, which is followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 15 s, annealing at 60 °C for 1 min, and extension at 95 °C for 15 s and 60°C for 1 min. A melting curve was performed to confirm product formation. Data was calculated by using the 2−ΔΔCT method. The primers used in this study are listed as follows:

- rheh-1, 5′-GGCTCCACCCCTACCACCTCC-3′ and 5′-GCAAATCTACTGCTGCTCC-3′,
- unc-51, 5′-CTACACGTGTTGACCTCCAC-3′ and 5′-ATGCAATAATCGGCAGCAGGAAAGC-3′,
- pink-1, 5′-AGCATATCGAATCGCACAATGATTTA-3′ and 5′-TCGACCGTGATGCGTTACAA-3′,
- pdr-1, 5′-AGCCACCGGACCGATGTGGC-3′ and 5′-GTGGCATTTTGGCGATTCCTTGG-3′,
- dct-1, 5′-GGCTCACAACCCCTACCTCC-3′ and 5′-GCAAATCTACTGCTGCTCC-3′,
- dct-1, 5′-GGCTCACAACCCCTACCTCC-3′ and 5′-GCAAATCTACTGCTGCTCC-3′.
Aldicarb Assay

Aldicarb, an acetylcholinesterase inhibitor, was employed to evaluate the sensitivity of *C. elegans* to the synaptic transmission of acetylcholine at the neuromuscular junction. The *C. elegans* strains VC233 and NM204 grown on the OP50 seeded NGM plates were used as hypersensitive and resistant control in the experiment, respectively. Animals for experiments were synchronized by bleaching and grown on the OP50 seeded NGM plates with or without PEP extract at 20 °C until day 1. Thirty animals were transferred on each NGM plate with 0.75 mM aldicarb, and the non-paralyzed animals were recorded every 30 min for the aldicarb-induced paralysis. Each experimental group includes 3 biological repeats and 3 technical repeats.

Glutamatergic Neurons Imaging

Glutamatergic neurodegeneration was detected in *C. elegans* using the previous reported method. Animals were synchronized by bleaching and grown on the OP50 seeded NGM plates with or without PEP extract at 20 °C until adult day 3. There are about 15 glutamatergic neurons in the worm tail region, and 5 were selected in this study, which are LUA(R), LUA(L), PVR, PLM(R), and PLM(L). The tail regions of day 3 animals were imaged using a confocal microscope. Each experimental group includes 2 biological repeats and 3 technical repeats.

Screening of Neuronal Mitophagy in *C. elegans*

Two *C. elegans* strains were employed to quantify mitophagy induction potential of PEP extract in *C. elegans*. For both experiments, the nematodes were prepared and placed on the OP50 seeded NGM plates with or without PEP extract (250 µg/ml) from the egg hatching stage. The first transgenic animal expressing LGG-1::DsRed (autophagosomal marker), together with DCT-1::GFP (mitophagy reporter) in neurons. The double positive animals (adult day 1) were paralyzed by levamisole, mounted on 4% agarose pads, and imaged using confocal microscopy. The co-localization of LGG-1 and DCT-1 was counted for mitophagy events. Another transgenic animal expressing pan-neuronal mitophagy reporter (mt-Rosella biosensor) represents the mitophagy level according to the ratio between pH-sensitive GFP to pH-insensitive DsRed (the lower the ratio, the higher the mitophagy events). Day 1 animals were paralyzed by levamisole, mounted on 4% agarose pads, and imaged using a confocal microscope at 10× or 40× magnification. Each experimental group includes 2 to 3 biological repeats and 3 technical repeats.

DAF-16 Nuclear Localization

Animals were synchronized by bleaching and grown on the OP50 seeded NGM plates at 20 °C until L4 stage. Animals were transferred to the OP50 seeded NGM plates with or without PEP extract for 24 h. The DAF-16::GFP nuclear translocation of the adult day 1 nematodes was paralyzed by levamisole, mounted on 4% agarose pads, and imaged using a confocal microscope at 40× magnification. Each experimental group includes 2 biological repeats and 2 technical repeats. The nuclear localization level was scored as level 1 to level 4.

RNA Interference (RNAi) by Feeding

Feeding bacteria expressing dsRNA (feeding RNAi) was used to knock down selected targets (*daf-16* and *sod-3*) in *C. elegans*. Briefly, selected bacteria were grown in the LB (50 mg/mL ampicillin) and added on the NGM plates containing 1% ampicillin and 1% IPTG with/without PEP extract. The animals were synchronized by bleaching or egg laying and grown on these RNAi plates until adult day 1 for chemotaxis behavior experiments and neuronal mitophagy screening assay, respectively.

Statistical Analysis

All results presented in this study have at least two biological repeats, except lifespan (one biological repeat with three technical repeats). For the imaging base experiments, data
were quantified using ImageJ software. And statistical data was analyzed using Prism 8.0 software. The data were presented in mean ± SEM. The difference between the two treatment groups was analyzed using unpaired t-tests. And the group differences were analyzed using one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparisons test. The difference for multiple targets was analysis using two-way ANOVA with Sidak’s multiple comparisons test. *P < 0.05 is considered as statistically significant.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s12035-022-02904-5.
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