Two Novel Loci of RELN Associated With Antipsychotics Response in Chinese Han Population
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Background: There are great individual differences in the drug responses; however, there are few prognostic drug response biomarkers available. RELN is one of the more extensively examined schizophrenia candidate genes. The purpose of this study was to determine whether RELN can affect antipsychotics response in the Chinese population. This may lead to the discovery of relevant novel drug response markers.

Methods: The unrelated 260 Chinese Han inpatients with schizophrenia were enrolled in the present study. The enrolled subjects have been prescribed antipsychotic medication during the study. A total of 15 SNPs of RELN were genotyped by MassARRAY® platform. The association of the RELN gene with therapeutic response to antipsychotics was analyzed based on sex and age at onset.

Results: Two novel SNPs of RELN were found to be associated with antipsychotic treatment response (rs155333, p = 0.010 and rs6465938, p = 0.049) at nominal significance threshold, but not after multiple correction. Our study also revealed highly significant association of a haplotype consisting of three SNPs (rs362814-rs362626-rs2237628) with antipsychotic treatment response. Even after permutation, the p-value indicated significant association (rs362814-rs362626-rs2237628: ACT, $\chi^2 = 6.353, p = 0.0117$, permuted $p = 0.04$). Furthermore, a novel SNP, rs2535764, was found to be associated with antipsychotic response under overdominant genetic model at a marginal significant level of 0.046 (C/T vs. C/C + T/T: p = 0.046, AIC = 314.7, BIC = 321.6).

Conclusion: Our data indicated that RELN can affect antipsychotic treatment outcomes in the Chinese population. SNPs of RELN could be used as predictive biomarkers for future personalized medicine of antipsychotic drug treatment. However, none of the three novel SNPs (rs155333, rs6465938, and rs2535764) remained significant after Bonferroni correction. Therefore, validation is needed in larger pharmacogenetic studies.

Keywords: schizophrenia, RELN, antipsychotics, drug response, pharmacogenetics
INTRODUCTION

Schizophrenia is a widespread mental disorder with periods of remission and relapses over a patient’s lifetime (van Os and Kapur, 2009; Jann and Penzak, 2018), and long-term treatment with antipsychotic drugs is often required (Kahn et al., 2015). The lifetime prevalence of schizophrenia in Chinese population is 0.9% (Huang et al., 2019). There are great individual differences in antipsychotic drug treatment responses, in terms of both therapeutic effects and adverse effects (Xu et al., 2013). For example, recent studies have shown that response rates for currently available antipsychotic drug treatment of first-episode psychosis are usually only about 50%–60% (Arranz and de Leon, 2007; Kahn et al., 2008; Robinson et al., 2015). Treatment failure of schizophrenia not only could increase economic costs but also could cause severe adverse drug reactions in patients.

In clinical practice, there is a lack of reliable predictors for antipsychotic drug responses, and currently no biomarker is available to guide medication. Risperidone, quetiapine, aripiprazole, olanzapine, and perphenazine are the most commonly used antipsychotic drugs in China. As with all antipsychotics, there are considerable individual differences in response to these drugs, in terms of both therapeutic effects and adverse effects. It has been reported that genetic polymorphism plays an important role in individual differences. Pharmacogenomics aims to identify biomarkers to maximize medication efficacy and minimize potential adverse events (Kircheiner et al., 2005). In recent years, many studies have investigated the association between genetic variation and different antipsychotic drug responses (Xing et al., 2006; Fijal et al., 2009; Lee et al., 2012). However, the pharmacogenetics study of schizophrenia is still in its infancy. Most of the previous studies focused on genes related to the mechanisms of drug action. Many of these studies mainly focused on genes encoding drug targets (e.g., dopamine or serotonin receptors), drug transporters, and cytochrome P450 genes. This may omit other potentially significant antipsychotic drug response markers which are beyond these candidate genes. More exploratory studies that investigate other genes of interest likely represent a superior strategy for discovering relevant novel antipsychotic drug response markers. Moreover, these newly discovered antipsychotic treatment markers have the potential to be novel drug targets for schizophrenia.

RELN is an extensively studied schizophrenia candidate gene (Luo et al., 2019; Sozuzguzel et al., 2019). Its relationship with schizophrenia is well supported by linkage or association studies in different populations (van Schijndel et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2010; Wedenoja et al., 2010; Alkelai et al., 2012; Zhou et al., 2016; Tang et al., 2017; Xiao et al., 2017; Sobue et al., 2018). RELN is located at 7q22. It encodes the glycoprotein Reelin, which is secreted mainly from the Cajal-Retzius cells and a subpopulation of GABAergic interneurons in the developing cerebral cortex and hippocampus. RELN is known as a crucial molecule in brain development, acting as a key regulator in neuronal migration, cell aggregation, dendrite formation, microtubule function, and cell-cell interactions. Animal studies have revealed that RELN is an essential molecule for proper cortical neurons migration (Kubo et al., 2010; Franco et al., 2011; Jossin and Cooper, 2011; Kupferman et al., 2014; Sekine et al., 2014; Kohno et al., 2015), and it acts as the final regulator for the cell positioning in the cortex during embryonic and early postnatal stages. However, the expression patterns and distribution of RELN in the postnatal period are dramatically changed as compared to those during embryonic period. Intriguingly, evidence has proven that Reelin signaling modulates synaptic function in the adult brain (Alcantara et al., 1998; Herz and Chen, 2006) suggesting RELN also plays an important role in postnatal brain. RELN is also involved in signaling pathways related to neurotransmission, memory formation, and synaptic plasticity. Studies have shown that Reelin signaling plays a role in the processes of dendrite development (Olson et al., 2006; Jossin and Goffinet, 2007). RELN is essential for proper functional and behavioral development of juvenile prefrontal circuits through modulating the N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor (NMDAR) mediated signaling pathway (Jafarit et al., 2014; Lane-Donovan et al., 2015). Furthermore, Reelin signaling is also involved in the presynaptic functions. RELN acts presynaptically in mature neurons to rapidly enhance neurotransmitter release. It has been reported that the Reelin pathway controls learning and memory through activation of the transcriptional factors (Teles et al., 2015). Moreover, it has been shown that variants of RELN are closed associated with increased risk of schizophrenia (Shifman et al., 2008). Evidence has implicated the etiology of schizophrenia with regard to the crucial role of RELN in neurodevelopment (Fatemi, 2005). Both the mRNA and protein levels of RELN have been shown to be markedly reduced in schizophrenia patients (Impagnatiello et al., 1998).

Population studies have indicated that RELN may contribute to the genetic etiology of schizophrenia, and the known functions of RELN have implicated its involvement in etiology of schizophrenia with regard to a disruption in neurodevelopmental processes (Fatemi, 2005). As mentioned above, most pharmacogenetics studies mainly focus on drug targets genes, drug transporters genes, or cytochrome P450 genes. Therefore, in this study we aim to determine whether RELN affects antipsychotic drug treatment outcomes in the Chinese population. This study has the potential to identify novel antipsychotic drug response markers. Moreover, since RELN is crucial in the genetic etiology of schizophrenia, our study could find new possibilities for novel drug targets for schizophrenia.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects

Male and nonpregnant, nonlactating female subjects 18 to 65 years of age with a DSM-IV diagnosis of schizophrenia inpatients, were eligible for the study. All the patients were recruited from Shanghai Mental Health Center. In total, 260 subjects were recruited in this study with a Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders-IV criteria for schizophrenia. The enrolled subjects were prescribed single antipsychotic medication during the study, including risperidone (150), quetiapine (37), aripiprazole (34),
olanzapine (10), and perphenazine (29). The enrolled patients were required to undergo drug cleaning if they were taking antipsychotics medication or withdrawal time is less than the required drug cleaning period. The inclusion criteria were drug naïve or drug cleaning period longer than five metabolic half-life periods and physically healthy with all laboratory parameters within normal limits. Major exclusion criteria were: physical complication or other substance abuse; history suggesting resistance to antipsychotic treatment; significant risk of suicidal or violent behavior, clinically significant abnormal vital signs or laboratory values; uncontrolled major medical illnesses, ischemic heart disease, history of myocardial infarction, coronary bypass surgery, and coronary angioplasty. The study protocol was reviewed and proved by the Shanghai Ethical Committee of Human Genetic Resources. Statement of informed consent was obtained from all subjects after full explanation of the procedure.

**Variant Selection**

We selected potential polymorphisms which may be involved in antipsychotic drug responses and were previously associated with schizophrenia. The SNPs were selected based on the following criteria: (1) SNPs previously reported associated with disease pathogenesis; (2) minor allele frequency of >0.1. In total, 15 variants were selected based on systematical literature and database search (dbSNP, HapMap, 1000 Genome). The genomic information of these 15 selected SNPs is listed in Table 1.

**Clinical Assessment**

For the risperidone, quetiapine, aripiprazole, olanzapine, and perphenazine subjects, the initial dosages were 1, 100–200, 10, 5, and 4 mg/day, respectively. The dosages were gradually increased to 2–6, 400–800, 10–30, 5–20, and 20–60 mg/day within the first week, respectively. The dosages were maintained until the end of week 2. After that, the dosages were adjusted according to individual tolerance. For all the participants, medication compliance was closely monitored and confirmed by the nursing staff, and no other medication was given except trihexyphenidyl for extrapyramidal side effects, clonazepam or lorazepam for insomnia, and sennoside for constipation during the 6-week study period.

Clinical effect was assessed on the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS), including the positive, negative and general psychopathology subscales. For all the recruited patients, clinical assessments were conducted on the day of admission, as well as in the treatment process. All PANSS ratings were conducted independently by two qualified psychiatrists who were blind to the genotype of patients. The inter-rater reliability between the two psychiatrists was good. Measurements of psychiatric efficacy, safety, and tolerability were performed at screening, baseline, and the end of weeks 1, 2, 4, and 6, and their PANSS scores were recorded. In this study, 6-week PANSS score was used as the PANSS endpoint score as a measure of response.

**DNA Extraction and Genotyping**

Genomic DNA was extracted from leukocytes in venous blood using a QiAmp® Isolation system (Qiagen, Basel, Switzerland) according to manufacturer’s instructions. SNP genotyping was performed using the MassARRAY® SNP IPLEX platform (Agena Bioscience™, San Diego, CA, USA). Detailed information about the primers design and PCR (polymerase chain reaction) conditions is available upon request. Quality control was performed by excluding individual SNPs or samples with genotype call rates less than 95% and SNP assays with poor-quality spectra or cluster plots. Ten percent of samples were randomly tested on the same platform and no inconsistency was found, which ensured the reliability of further data analyses.

**Statistical Analyses**

As classifications based on PANSS could reduce sensitivity and the power of statistical tests, we used percentage change on PANSS to assess treatment responses to antipsychotic medications. PANSS is an interval scale ranging from 1 to 7 and does not have a zero point. To avoid incorrect calculations, we subtracted the theoretical minimum (30 for the total score) from the baseline score, resulting in a score range including zero. In this study, 6-week PANSS score was used as the PANSS endpoint score.

\[
\text{PANNS percentage change} = \left( \frac{\text{PANNS baseline score} - \text{PANNS endpoint score}}{\text{PANNS baseline score}} \right) \times 100
\]

The statistical power of this study was calculated online (https://clinicalcalc.com/) using evidence-based clinical decision support tools and calculators for medical professionals. The Student’s t-test was carried out using SPSS v20.0 software (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA) to examine clinical variables. In order to control the nongenetic confounding factors, we have investigated the associations of sex, age, baseline PANSS score, drug-exposure status, and duration of the disorder with response to the antipsychotics. We applied univariate general linear model analyses using SPSS v20.0 software to assess the associations. The association of genotype with antipsychotic response was assessed...
using general linear model with age as covariate using SPSS v20.0 software. Haplovew v4.0 was used to conduct the Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium test and the haplotype analyses. All tests were two-tailed and statistical significance was assumed at $p \leq 0.05$. Multivariate interactions were analyzed on multifactor dimensionality reduction (MDR) software. During the MDR process, the data were randomly divided into 10 equal parts, and the MDR was developed on 9/10 of the data (training set) and then tested on 1/10 of the remaining data (testing set). Statistical significance of testing-balanced accuracy of each selected multifactor model was determined by comparing the average prediction error from the observed data with the average prediction error from the permutations. The null hypothesis was rejected when the upper-tail Monte Carlo P-value derived from the permutation test was $\leq 0.05$. SNPStats (Institut Català d’Oncologia, 2006) (https://www.snpstats.net) was used to evaluate the risk under five inheritance models, namely, codominant, dominant, recessive, overdominant, and additive models. All the statistical tests were two-sided, and $p < 0.05$ was defined as statistically significant.

RESULTS

Patient-Related Demographic Information and Clinical Parameters

Of the 260 subjects that formed the study cohort, the duration of the disorder was between 0–42 years. The average duration of the disorder for male was 7.73, and for female was 10.13. In order to assess whether duration of the disorder would influence patients’ response to the antipsychotic drugs, we applied univariate general linear model to assess the association with response to the antipsychotic treatment. No significant difference was noted ($p = 0.610$). Thus, duration of the disorder was not counted as a confounding factor in our study. We also included drug-exposure status as a covariate to investigate the association with response to the antipsychotics. The percentage of patients who were drug naive was 27.78%. No significant difference between association of drug-exposure status with response was noted ($p = 0.586$) in our study. Descriptive statistics for patient-related variables such as age and PANSS scores with regard to response to the antipsychotics are summarized in Table 2. No significant differences in age and baseline PANSS score between the two groups were noted. However, there was a significant difference in age between these two groups. In order to control this confounding factor, we applied general linear model setting age as a covariate to assess the association of genotype with antipsychotic treatment response (PANSS percentage change) in the subsequent analysis. The Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium test showed no significant deviation in the cohort.

A reduction in total PANSS scores $\geq 50\%$ were classified as good responders, while others were poor responders. Among the 260 subjects, 40% were good responders (100 patients), and the remaining 60% were poor responders (160 patients). The proportion of good responders for risperidone (150), quetiapine (37), aripiprazole (34), olanzapine (10), and perphenazine (29) were 59.33%, 62.16%, 52.94%, 80%, and 75.86%, respectively.

### Statistical Power

Given the parameters from this study, a power calculation indicated that the study was sufficiently powered (96.5%) to detect many of the SNPs with the present sample size. Since it is commonly used for evaluating statistical power of an existing study, post-hoc Power Calculator model was chosen for this study. The incidence of good response in our study was 38.46%. With the study statistical parameters, we had 96.5% power to detect SNPs at a significance level of 0.05.

#### Effects of RELN Gene Polymorphisms on Antipsychotic Treatment Response

General linear model analyses setting age as a covariate were carried out to investigate the association of percentage change on PANSS scores with different genotypes. Genotype frequencies of the 15 SNPs and the associations with antipsychotic treatment response are listed in Table 3. The analysis showed that two SNPs had significant associations with reduction in PANSS scores, specifically, rs155333 at a significant level of 0.010 and rs6465938 at a borderline significance of 0.049. However, neither of the associations remained significant after Bonferroni correction ($p-value \times 15 > 0.05$).

Case-control study based on the reduction in the PANSS score was also performed to investigate the association of single polymorphism with antipsychotic drug response. At the end of 6-week treatment, 100 patients showed a reduction of $\geq 50\%$ in total PANSS scores and they were classified as good responders. The remaining 160 patients were classified as poor responders. However, no significant association of antipsychotic drug response was identified using case-control study.

#### Association of Haplotypes With Antipsychotic Treatment Response

We performed linkage disequilibrium analysis between each pair of all the 15 SNPs of RELN in our cohort and defined the blocks

| Table 2 | Descriptive statistics for patient-related variables with regard to response. |
|---------|---------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Response | Sex | Sex | Age | $p^a$ | Baseline PANSS score | $p^b$ |
| Good responders | Male (54%) | 0.405 | 33.60 ± 11.07 | 0.001 | 84.65 ± 14.39 | 0.412 |
| | Female (46%) | | | | | |
| Poor responders | Male (56.87%) | 39.15 ± 14.06 | 82.82 ± 17.02 | 0.001 | 82.82 ± 17.02 | 0.001 |
| | Female (43.12%) | | | | | |

*a Chi-square test, $p$-value from Fisher’s. $^{a}$ Two-tail t-test. $p$-values in bold indicate significant for the association.
to evaluate haplotype association with the criteria of minor allele frequency >5% and $D^{'}}>0.75. Two blocks were identified under the definitions (Figure 1). High LD was observed between SNPs rs362726-rs362731 and there was a block consisting of three SNPs (rs362814, rs362626, and rs2237628) ranging 7KB in the chromosome. The subjects were classified into two groups according to reductions in the PANSS scores: good responders and poor responders. Furthermore, comparison of overall frequency differences across all possible haplotypes between good and poor responder groups were carried out (Table 4).

To further assess haplotype association with treatment response, association analysis of the two haplotypes between good and poor responders was also performed. The results revealed significant association of a haplotype consisting of three SNPs rs362814-rs362626-rs2237628 with antipsychotic treatment response (Table 4). Even after 1000 times permutations, the p-value indicated significant association (rs362814-rs362626-rs2237628:ACT, $\chi^2 = 6.353$, $p = 0.0117$, permuted $p = 0.04$. Haplotype ACT (rs362814-rs362626-rs2237628) was more prevalent in poor responders than in good responders.

### Five Genetic Models Analysis

We further investigated the 15 SNPs relations to antipsychotic drug response in five genetic models while controlling for confounding factors. The subjects were classified into two groups according to reductions in the PANSS scores: good responders and poor responders. The adjustment for age and gender factors in the case-control samples was executed using unconditional logistic regression under five inheritance models. Notably, rs2535764 was found to be associated with antipsychotic drug response under overdominant genetic model at a marginal significant level of 0.046 (C/T vs. C/C + T/T; $p = 0.046$, AIC = 314.7, BIC = 321.6). Genotype C/T were more prevalent in good responders than in poor responders.

### Multivariate Interaction Analysis of Antipsychotic Drug Response

Multifactor dimensionality reduction (MDR) analyses were used to investigate probable multivariate interactions (including all SNPs, sex, age, weight, etc.) associated with antipsychotic drug treatment response. MDR is a model-free and non-parametrical approach method that can identify high dimensional gene-gene or gene-environment interactions in populations. Two-locus interactions through four-locus interactions were analyzed in the present study. Training-balanced accuracy and testing-balanced accuracy were obtained for each selected model. The multifactor model with the best testing-balanced accuracy and cross-validation consistency was selected. The best models are summarized in Table 5. However, the permutation testing showed that the two-, three- and four-locus best model was not significant associated with antipsychotic drug response, suggesting that there were no interactions between the 15 SNPs.

### Table 3: Association of RELN gene polymorphisms with risperidone response.

| SNP       | Genotype | MAF $^a$ | MS $^b$ | F    | $p^c$ |
|-----------|----------|----------|---------|------|-------|
| rs362719  | AA       | 0.33     | 0.081   | 0.313| 0.732 |
| rs7341475 | GG       | 0.10     | 0.006   | 0.023| 0.978 |
| rs155333  | CC       | 0.22     | 0.173   | 4.754| 0.010 |
| rs39339   | TT       | 0.07     | 0.046   | 0.173| 0.841 |
| rs6465938 | CC       | 0.39     | 0.774   | 3.045| 0.049 |
| rs3819479 | TT       | 0.22     | 0.168   | 0.639| 0.529 |
| rs3808035 | AA       | 0.38     | 0.024   | 0.365| 0.695 |
| rs2535764 | CC       | 0.18     | 0.444   | 1.731| 0.179 |
| rs12705169| TT       | 0.16     | 0.137   | 0.519| 0.596 |
| rs2237628 | TT       | 0.48     | 0.464   | 1.847| 0.160 |
| rs362626  | AA       | 0.34     | 0.198   | 0.934| 0.394 |
| rs362814  | TT       | 0.34     | 0.007   | 0.027| 0.973 |
| rs362813  | CC       | 0.47     | 0.022   | 0.102| 0.903 |
| rs362731  | TT       | 0.49     | 0.475   | 2.028| 0.134 |
| rs362726  | TT       | 0.44     | 0.038   | 0.149| 0.862 |

$^a$MAF, minor allele frequency. $^b$MS, mean square. $^c$Analysis control for age. p-values in bold indicate significant for the association.
Genotype C/C and T/T was associated with a poor response risk in the study population (OR = 0.55, P value = 0.046). Even after adjusting for age and sex, the associations remained significant in this model (Table 6).

**DISCUSSION**

*RELN* plays an important role in the etiology of schizophrenia. Few studies have investigated whether variants of *RELN* affects antipsychotic drug treatment outcomes. In the present study, we

**TABLE 4** | Frequency distribution and association analysis of haplotypes of *RELN* gene with antipsychotics response.

| Haplotype frequency | Good responder (%) | Poor responder (%) | \( \chi^2 \) | p-value\textsuperscript{a} | p-value\textsuperscript{b} |
|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------|----------------|----------------|
| rs362726, rs362731  |                   |                   |           |                |                |
| T                   | 0.440             | 0.411             |           | 1.003          | 0.3167         | 0.913          |
| C                   | 0.394             | 0.415             |           | 0.541          | 0.462          | 0.978          |
| T                   | 0.115             | 0.128             |           | 0.132          | 0.7164         | 0.999          |
| C                   | 0.050             | 0.045             |           | 0.053          | 0.132          | 0.7164         |
| rs362814, rs362626, rs2237628 |            |                   |           |                |                |
| A                   | 0.519             | 0.548             |           | 1.000          | 0.3173         | 0.914          |
| T                   | 0.339             | 0.357             |           | 0.440          | 0.5072         | 0.986          |
| A                   | 0.134             | 0.084             |           | 6.353          | 0.0117         | 0.04           |

\textsuperscript{a}Uncorrected p-value. \textsuperscript{b}Permuted p-value, number of permutations: 1000. p-values in bold indicate significant for the association.

**TABLE 5** | Results of the MDR analysis of the dataset.

| SNPs included in the best candidate model | Training Acc. | Testing Acc. | Cross-validation consistency | p-value\textsuperscript{a} |
|------------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|
| rs3819479, rs362626                      | 0.6146        | 0.4594       | 3/10                         | 0.9880                  |
| rs362719, rs3808035, rs362726             | 0.6806        | 0.4775       | 5/10                         | 0.9570                  |
| sex, rs362719, rs3808035, rs362726        | 0.7453        | 0.3938       | 2/10                         | 0.9990                  |

Acc, accuracy; Bal, balanced; MDR, multifactor dimensionality reduction. \textsuperscript{a}p-value based on 1000 permutations.

Genotype C/C and T/T was associated with a poor response risk in the study population (OR = 0.55, P value = 0.046). Even after adjusting for age and sex, the associations remained significant in this model (Table 6).
focused on this well-known candidate gene to evaluate the role of RELN in response to antipsychotic treatment response. Fifteen SNPs were selected to investigate their potential as genetic markers to predict antipsychotic treatment efficacy. The major findings were that two novel SNPs of RELN (rs155333 [p = 0.010] and rs6465938 [p = 0.049]) were identified to be associated with antipsychotic treatment response. Our study revealed highly significant association of a haplotype consisting of three SNPs rs362814-rs362626-rs2237628 with antipsychotic treatment response. A novel SNP, rs2535764, was also found to be associated with antipsychotic treatment response under the overdominant genetic model. Our data indicates that RELN can affect the outcomes of antipsychotics therapies in the Chinese Han population. Our findings suggest that these SNPs have the potential to be used as antipsychotic treatment markers. Combined with previous studies, our findings may provide useful information for future designs of clinically useful predictive biomarkers of antipsychotic drug response.

Our results support our hypothesis that the risk gene of schizophrenia, RELN, can affect antipsychotic treatment outcomes in the Chinese population, suggesting that the susceptibility genes might be potential therapeutic targets. These findings have certain clinical significance. Firstly, our findings may provide novel drug response markers to be used by medical stuff to identify if the patients will have generally satisfactory or unsatisfactory treatment responses. Secondly, our findings may lead to the further study of the mechanism of antipsychotics response affected by RELN variants. This may lay the foundation for novel drug response markers discovery.

In the present study, we have identified two novel SNPs rs155333 and rs6465938 that were associated with antipsychotic response. One novel SNP rs2535764 was also found to be associated with antipsychotics response under the overdominant genetic model. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to report the associations of three SNPs associated with antipsychotic response. Rs155333 is an intronic locus within RELN. Han et al. have reported that rs155333 was significantly associated with cognitive impairment at a level of conventional genome-wide significance ($P_{\text{adjusted}} = 1.3 \times 10^{-6}$) (Han et al., 2017). Kahler et al. performed an association study on 839 schizophrenia cases and 1,473 controls of Scandinavian origin. Their study showed that rs155333 of RELN attained nominal significant $p$-values ($p < 0.05$) in both genotypic and allelic association test (Kahler et al., 2008). Rs6465938 was also reported to be significantly associated with the risk of schizophrenia in the Scandinavian origin population. The combined rs262355, rs155333, and rs6465938 haplotype was also significantly associated with schizophrenia in that study ($p = 0.031$) (Kahler et al., 2008). These previous findings indicated that rs155333 and rs6465938 genetically contribute to the risk of cognitive function and involve in schizophrenia pathology. Furthermore, our study suggests that rs155333 and rs6465938 could be novel drug targets for schizophrenia.

Previous researches have suggested haplotype-based association methods are more powerful than single locus-based methods (Shifman et al., 2002), therefore, in the present study, haplotype-based association analyses were performed to investigate the effects on antipsychotic treatment response. A single SNP is not sufficient to predict drug response (Drysdale et al., 2000; Luo et al., 2019), however, the interaction of several SNPs in a haplotype can affect the physiological reaction and response to treatment. In this study, the association of RELN with antipsychotics response was further supported by the results of haplotype analysis. A haplotype consisting of rs362814-rs362626-rs2237628 in RELN showed highly significant association with antipsychotic treatment response (Table 4). Even after permutation, the $p$-value indicated significant association ($p = 0.0117$, permuted $p = 0.04$). Haplotype A-C-T was more prevalent in poor responders than in good responders, suggesting that patients with haplotype A-C-T have a high risk of suffering poor antipsychotic treatment response. Several studies have also reported the associations of haplotypes with the risk of schizophrenia (Kahler et al., 2008; Li et al., 2011; Li et al., 2013; Luo et al., 2019). A recent study reported a haplotype consisting of rs362814, rs39339, rs540058, and rs661575 was found to be significantly associated with schizophrenia even after Bonferroni correction ($\chi^2 = 29.024$, $p = 6.42E-04$, $p_{\text{Bonf}} = 0.017$), and the T-C-T-C haplotype was a protective factor for schizophrenia (OR = 0.50, 95% CI = 0.31-0.99) (Shifman et al., 2002). Our findings support that these SNPs have the potential to be used as antipsychotic treatment markers. Combined with previous studies, our findings may provide useful information for future designs of clinically useful predictive biomarkers of antipsychotic drug response.
response-related factor might be too small to predict treatment response. Therefore, the significant haplotype in our study may serve as a better marker to guide clinical individual medication in the future than a single SNP marker.

We also conducted a combined analysis between all the selected SNPs using MDR analysis in the present study. However, no model showed significant association with antipsychotic treatment response, suggesting there was no interaction among these SNPs.

In order to fully mine the data and provide systematic and comprehensive analysis, we applied different strategies for data analysis. They have different algorithms and different merit. In total, we applied five different analysis methods, three for single SNP association analysis (general linear model analyses, chi-square tests, and five genetic models analysis), one for haplotype-based association analysis (linkage disequilibrium analysis), and one for multivariate interactions analysis (MDR model). Using general linear model analyses, we can control the non-genetic confounding factors as covariates to investigate the association of percentage change on PANSS scores with different genotypes. This method is more rigorous. Chi-square tests is another different method for single SNP association analysis. It’s case-control study strategy. The subjects were classified as two groups. Five genetic models analysis is also a method for single SNP association analysis, but the merit is that the data can be analyzed using unconditional logistic regression under five inheritance models (codominant, dominant, recessive, overdominant, and log-additive). Because haplotype-based association methods are more powerful than single locus-based methods, so we also applied linkage disequilibrium analysis to investigate the haplotype consisting of several SNPs associated with drug response. Multifactor dimensionality reduction (MDR) analysis is a more powerful model to investigate probable multivariate interactions, including all SNPs and the non-genetic clinical factors. It can identify high dimensional gene–gene or gene–environment interactions in population.

This study reported the association of RELN with antipsychotic response. Our findings may provide novel drug response markers. The potential of using RELN as a novel drug target has been investigated. Several studies have directly administrated RELN protein into the mouse brain to evaluate this possibility and examine the effects. Ishii et al. demonstrated that RELN had a preventive effect on phencyclidine-induced behavioral deficits (Ishii et al., 2015). Another group demonstrated that in vivo injection of RELN into the mouse cerebral ventricle affected the synaptic and cognitive functions in wild-type mice and heterozygous reeler mice (Rogers et al., 2011; Rogers et al., 2013). This group further showed that RELN administration ameliorated both the synaptic plasticity and the cognitive behavioral deficits in a mouse model of Angelman syndrome, which is characterized by mental retardation, absence of speech, seizures, and motor dysfunction (Hethorn et al., 2015).

Our study also had some limitations. Although we have considered underlying non-genetic factors such as sex, age, baseline PANSS score, drug-exposure, and duration of illness as covariates, other potential factors, such as treatment history, dosage of different antipsychotics, smoking, baseline weight, and concomitant medication should also be considered in future clinical information collection. Our makers were only identified in the Chinese Han population. It needs validation in other ethnicities and in larger sample sizes.

CONCLUSION

In summary, the aim of this study was to determine whether risk gene of schizophrenia RELN affects antipsychotic treatment outcomes in the Chinese Han population. We have identified two novel genetic loci of RELN associated with response to antipsychotic treatment in patients with schizophrenia. Future research should extend these findings to larger samples and different populations to confirm their potential use in the development of personalized medicine.
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