A preliminary identification of Rf*-A619, a novel restorer gene for CMS-C in maize (Zea mays L.)
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ABSTRACT

C-type cytoplasmic male sterility (CMS-C) is widely utilized for hybrid maize seed production. However, genetic mechanisms underlying the fertility restoration are very complicated. At present, there is a divergence on the number of fertility restorer genes in maize inbred line A619 for CMS-C. To further elucidate the restoring mechanism of A619, we used genetic analysis and molecular markers to confirm the restorer genes of maize inbred line A619 for C-type male sterile line C48-2 in this study. Firstly, the fertility segregations of (C48-2 × A619)F2 populations were investigated under three environments during 2013–2015. The segregation ratio of fertile and sterile plants in the F2 population fit to 15:1 via chi-square test and this result suggested that there are two dominant restorer genes in A619 for CMS-C, i.e., Rf4 and a novel gene named Rf*-A619. Next, based on the sequence differences between Rf4 and its recessive allelic rf4, a novel dominant marker F2/R2 was developed and validated to genotyping Rf4 in the F2 population. Through genotypic analysis, we found that there were a certain amount of fertile individuals without Rf4 which accounted for 3/16 in the F2 population via chi-square test at the 0.05 level. These results provided another proof to sustain that the inbred line A619 contains one additional restorer gene for CMS-C fertility restoration except Rf4. At last, we used one SSR marker which is tightly linked with the dominant restorer gene Rf5 to analyze those fertile plants without Rf4 in the F2 population. The PCR amplification results showed that Rf*-A619 is not allelic to Rf5 but a novel restorer gene for CMS-C. These results not only provide a basis for the mapping and characterization of a novel restorer gene but also give a new insight into the mechanism of CMS-C fertility restoration.

INTRODUCTION

CMS is a popular phenomenon in plant and fertility restorer genes (Rf) and can rescue the fertility of CMS lines, and CMS/Rf systems in crops have been successfully utilized for human being because of the heterosis (Hu et al., 2014). To date, many restorer genes in various species have been identified and characterized (Bohra et al., 2016), and this
information greatly improves our knowledge of the genetic basis of male sterility and fertility restoration and accelerates the utilization of plant male sterility in practice.

According to the pattern of fertility restoration in CMS hybrid F1 progeny, maize cytoplasmic male sterility can be divided into three major types: T (Texas), S (USDA), and C (Charrua) (Beckett, 1971). CMS-T is almost eliminated in seed production due to its vulnerability to the fungus Helminthosporium maydis race T (Duvick, 1973). CMS-S male sterility is unstable compared to the other two cytoplasms, and this deficiency limits its application in agriculture (Weider et al., 2009). By contrast, CMS-C owns a stable male sterility and has a positive effect on grain yield (Weider et al., 2009; Stevanovic et al., 2016). As a result, CMS-C is now widely used for seed production. Nevertheless, there is a lack of a firm understanding of the major and minor restorer factors that overcome the deleterious mitochondrial open reading frames in CMS-C. Previous studies have demonstrated that fertility restoration of CMS-C is controlled by two dominant genes, $Rf_4$ and $Rf_5$, which separately located on chromosomes 8 and 5 (Chen, Luo & Ji, 1979; Sisco, 1991; Tang et al., 2001). In addition, $Rf_6$ and some quantitative trait loci (QTLs) involved in the partial restoration of male fertility for CMS-C have also been identified (Qin, Xu & Dun, 1990; Kohls et al., 2011). Furthermore, as an inhibitor of the $Rf_5$ restorer gene, 'Rf-I' has been mapped to chromosome 7 in the sterile line CMS-C77, but it could not prevent $Rf_4$ function in fertility restoration (Hu et al., 2006). Thus, compared to $Rf_5$, $Rf_4$ can restore fertility of CMS-C lines that have the Rf-I inhibitor. $Rf_4$ is a basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor (Ren et al., 2012). Despite cloning and genetic complementation experiments indicating that GRMZM2G021276 is a candidate gene for CMS-C fertility restoration (Ren et al., 2012), the mechanism by which a transcription factor targeting the nucleus can overcome the deleterious effects of a mitochondrial defect remains mysterious. On the other hand, as a restorer line containing $Rf_4$, the inbred line A619 was widely used in the CMS-C fertility restoration studies (Sisco, 1991; Huang et al., 1997; Tang et al., 2001; Ren et al., 2012). However, the number of restorer genes in A619 and their loci have remained controversial. Some studies suggested that $Rf_4$ is the only restorer gene in A619 and located on chromosome 8 (Tang et al., 2001; Ren et al., 2012). By contrast, Huang et al. (1997) found that the dominant restorer gene in A619 might be located on chromosome 7 and inferred that the inbred line A619 might own another dominant restore gene besides $Rf_4$. Moreover, Sisco (1991) not only mapped the restorer gene $Rf_4$ to chromosome 8 but also inferred that there was one duplicate gene of $Rf_4$ on chromosome 3 in A619. The above research results hinted A619 rescues the male sterility of CMS-C with highly complicate mechanisms.

In the present study, using genetic analyses and molecular markers, we proved the inbred line A619 possesses two fertility restorer genes, $Rf_4$ and the novel restorer gene $Rf^*-$A619. Especially, when combined with earlier studies, we found that the function of $Rf^*-$A619 might be affected by the genetic backgrounds of CMS-C lines. These results not only facilitate the mapping of a novel restorer gene but also contribute to the understanding of the mechanism underlying fertility restoration of CMS-C.
Figure 1 Different male fertility grades of maize anthers. A–E indicated plant fertility grades I–V respectively. I: 0–10% of anthers exerted; II: 11–25% of anthers exerted; III: 26–50% of anthers exerted; IV: 51–75% of anthers exerted; V: over 75% of anthers exerted.

MATERIALS & METHODS

Plant materials
Maize CMS-C male sterile lines C48-2 was used as parent pollinated with inbred line A619 pollen. The hybrid of (C48-2 × A619)F₁ was totally fertility restored, then self-pollinated to obtain its F₂. The F₂ populations were cultivated in the winter of 2013–2014 at Xishuangbanna (21°95′N latitude, 100°76′E longitude) and at Chengdu (29°98′N latitude, 102°99′E longitude) in the spring of 2015. In this experiment, the CMS-C line C48-2 exhibited complete male sterility and the inbred line A619 had normal male fertility.

Phenotyping male fertility
Male fertility of each F₂ plant during 2013–2015 was graded mainly based on the degree of anther exertion. Anthers fertility were recorded every other day when tiller tassels were starting to branch. Plant male fertility was graded on a scale of I to V as follows (Fig. 1). I: 0–10% of anthers exerted; II: 11–25% of anthers exerted; III: 26–50% of anthers exerted; IV: 51–75% of anthers exerted; V: over 75% of anthers exerted. Plants with scores of I or II were viewed as sterile, while scores of III, IV, and V were recorded as fertile plants (Duvick, 1956; Hu et al., 2006). In addition, we collected each plant pollen from the upper, middle and bottom non-dehiscent anthers on the main stalk to investigate its fertility. Pollen fertility was rated on a scale of 1 to 3 according to the pollen staining ability using 1% (w/v) KI-I₂: ① <25% stainable pollen; ② 25%–75% pollen stainable; ③ >75% pollen stainable. Any plant with score ① was recorded as sterile and one plant fertility grade was minus 1 if its pollen fertility scored ②. Moreover, during the cultivation of the F₂ populations at Xishuangbanna in 2014 winter, some normal inbred lines did not shed pollen easily. In view of this, plant fertility belonging to grade II should be assigned to the fertile plants at Xishuangbanna (Chen & Duan, 1986).

Development and validation of Rf4 -targeted marker F2/R2
According to the conservative sequence of GRMZM2G021276_T02, primers F1/R1 (5’-GGAAGGAGGAAACCAATCG-3’, 5’-TGTAACGAGCAAGCGGATT-3’) were designed to amplify its full length genome sequence. Rf4 and rf4 were respectively amplified in A619 and C48-2. As a result, a 19-bp deletion was found in the intron of rf4 compared
Figure 2 Design and evaluation of the primers F2/R2. (A) Development of F2/R2 primers. There is a 19-bp deletion in male sterile line C48-2 compared with the inbred line A619. (B) Electrophoresis analysis of F2/R2 PCR amplification in A619 and C48-2. “M” was standard molecular weight, “actin1” was taken as positive control.

(F2) Forward primer 5’-CGCACCTAACCCTGCTCC-3’ 19-bp deletion in C48-2

(R2) Reverse primer 5’-GGCAAGTACGCCCTAC-3’

(A) Development of F2/R2 primers. There is a 19-bp deletion in male sterile line C48-2 compared with the inbred line A619. (B) Electrophoresis analysis of F2/R2 PCR amplification in A619 and C48-2. “M” was standard molecular weight, “actin1” was taken as positive control.

to Rf4 (Fig. S1B). PCR amplification was performed using the following program: initial denaturation at 94 °C for 3 min; 35 cycles of denaturation at 98 °C for 10 s, annealing at 61 °C for 30 s, extension at 68 °C for 2 min 10 s, and a final extension at 68 °C for 5 min. Based on the 19-bp deletion, the primers F2/R2 (5’-CGCACCTAACCCTGCTCC-3’, 5’-GGCAAGTACGCCCTAC-3’) were designed to phenotype Rf4 and rf4. The 5’end of the reverse primer (R2) specifically binds to the region containing the 19bp deletion between Rf4 and rf4 (Fig. 2A and Fig. S1B). In order to validate the effectiveness of F2/R2, 30 plants from A619 and C48-2 were used as PCR templates respectively. Genome DNA was extracted from fresh leaves as the modified cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) method (Porebski, Bailey & Baum, 1997). PCR amplification was performed using Tsingke Master Mix and the following reaction conditions: initial denaturation at 94 °C for 5 min; 35 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 30 s, annealing at 55 °C for 30 s, extension at 72 °C for 45 s, and a final extension at 72 °C for 8 min. The primers F/R (5’-CACCTTCTACAAGCAGCTGCTC-3’, 5’-TAATCAAAGGCAACCTAC-3’) designed from actin1 (accession: J01238), which amplified an approximately 500-bp fragment, were used as positive controls (Wang et al., 2008).

Additionally, in order to identify the specificity of primers F2/R2, we got its amplifying band sequence from A619 by direct sequencing and searched it with maizeGDB BLAST program in the maize genome (Andorf et al., 2016).
Genotypic analysis of (C48-2 × A619) F₂ by F2/R2
A total of 165 F₂ plants in 2014 and 150 F₂ plants in 2015 were used for Rf₄ genotyping. Purified DNA was extracted from fresh leaves following the modified cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) method (Porebski, Bailey & Baum, 1997). The presence of an amplification fragment for Rf₄ and no amplification indicated the rf₄ genotype using F2/R2 in this experiment. At the same time, the primers actin1 F/R (described above) were further examined as positive controls. PCR amplification was performed following the methods described above.

Allelic analysis of Rf*-A619 and Rf₅
The plants without Rf₄ in the (C48-2 × A619)F₂ population were analyzed using the SSR marker bnlg1346 (5′-CATCATGAAGCAATGAAGCC-3′, 5′-CCGCGCCATTATCTAGTTGT-3′), which is tightly linked with the Rf₅ gene (Tang et al., 2001), to identify whether those plants contain Rf₅. PCR amplification was performed using Tsingke Master Mix and the following reaction conditions: initial denaturation at 94 °C for 5 min; 35 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 30 s, annealing at 52 °C for 30 s, extension at 72 °C for 30 s, and a final extension at 72 °C for 8 min.

RESULTS

Genetic analysis of fertility restorer gene in A619
In this study, the fertility segregation of (C48-2 × A619) F₂ have been investigated during 2013–2015 respectively (Table 1 and Table S1). A chi-square test showed that the segregation ratio of male fertile plants to male sterile plants fit 15:1. The statistic analysis results showed that A619 might have two restore genes for C48-2 (Table 1). It is well known that A619 has the restore gene Rf₄, so we named the other restore gene as Rf*-A619 following the nomenclatural rules of maize genetics (http://www.maizegdb.org/nomenclature).

The marker F2/R2 could distinguish the Rf₄ genotype
We developed a marker F2/R2 based on a 19-bp deletion in C48-2 compared with A619 to distinguish the Rf₄ locus genotype (Fig. 2A). As expected, the primers F2/R2 could amplify a fragment of approximately 550-bp in A619, but did not amplify the fragment in the male sterile C48-2 (Fig. 2B). Direct PCR product sequencing results showed the sequence amplified by F2/R2 belonged to a part of Rf₄ (Fig. S2A). Moreover, we found the F2/R2 amplifying sequence could be matched to one unique position in maize genome which is just in gene GRMZM2G021276 (Rf₄) (Fig. S2B). These results totally confirmed the specificity of the F2/R2 primers. Thus, the amplification fragment by F2/R2 represented

---

**Table 1** Fertility segregations of (C48-2 × A619) F₂ populations.

| Population                  | Environment     | Total plants | Fertile | Sterile | Ratio tested | χ²   | p-value |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|--------------|---------|---------|--------------|------|---------|
| (C48-2 × A619) F₂           | 2013, Winter, Xishuangbanna | 290          | 273     | 17      | 15:1         | 0.02 | 0.88    |
|                             | 2014, Winter, Xishuangbanna | 165          | 151     | 14      | 15:1         | 1.05 | 0.30    |
|                             | 2015, Spring, Chengdu      | 150          | 136     | 14      | 15:1         | 1.94 | 0.16    |
for $Rf_4$ genotype and the lack of amplification indicated the genotype of $rf_4rf_4$ in this experiment.

**$Rf^*\text{-}A619$ exhibited a dominant restorer gene for CMS-C fertility restoration**

The primers F2/R2 were used to genotype $Rf_4$ in the (C48-2 $\times$ A619) $F_2$ population, including 165 plants from Xishuangbanna in 2014 and 150 plants from Chengdu in 2015 (Fig. 3). As for the $F_2$ population planted at Xishuangbanna in 2014, 126 ($Rf_4Rf_4$ and $Rf_4rf_4$) out of 165 plants can amplify the fragment, 39 ($rf_4rf_4$) out of 165 plants cannot amplify the fragments with F2/R2 primers. For the $F_2$ population planted at Chengdu in 2015, 116 ($Rf_4Rf_4$ and $Rf_4rf_4$) out of 150 plants with the PCR products, 34 ($rf_4rf_4$) out of 150 plants without PCR products by the F2/R2 primers. And furthermore, chi-square test showed with PCR products and without PCR products fitted 3:1 ($3/4Rf_4_\text{-}A619_\text{-}$ and $1/4rf_4rf_4$) ratio that consistent with the heredity pattern of one dominant gene (2014: $\chi^2 = 0.10$, $p = 0.75$; 2015: $\chi^2 = 0.32$, $p = 0.57$) (Table 2). The results gave the answer that the PCR amplification results were reliable and we could conjecture the genotype on the $Rf_4$ locus through the PCR amplifying results. When combining the fertility investigation of each individual with their $F_2$/R2 amplify results, we found 36 individuals (2014, Xishuangbanna) and 27 individuals (2015, Chengdu) exhibited male fertile but without PCR products by F2/R2 among the $F_2$ populations respectively. Moreover, these fertile plants ($rf_4rf_4Rf^*\text{-}A619_\text{-}$) without F2/R2 PCR fragments accounted for 3/16 of the total $F_2$ plants (2014: $\chi^2 = 0.83$, $p = 0.36$; 2015: $\chi^2 = 0.03$, $p = 0.90$). As is known to all, this 3/16 ratio just matched the amount of plants with genotype $rf_4rf_4Rf^*\text{-}A619Rf^*\text{-}A619$ and $rf_4rf_4Rf^*\text{-}A619rf^*\text{-}A619$ in the $F_2$ population. These above results provided another item of proof in sustaining that the inbred line A619 contains one additional restorer gene for CMS-C fertility restoration besides $Rf_4$. Additionally, we also observed plants that had $Rf_4$, but were sterile (Table 2), including 11 sterile plants out of 165 plants at Xishuangbanna in 2014 and 7 infertile plants among 150 total plants at Chengdu in 2015.

**$Rf^*\text{-}A619$ is not allelic to $Rf_5$**

In order to verify whether the additional restore gene in A619 is allelic to $Rf_5$ for CMS-C, the SSR marker bnlg1346 tightly linked to $Rf_5$ was used for the individuals without
Table 2  Primers F2/R2 amplification results in the (C48-2 × A619) F2 population.

| Population          | Total plants | With PCR product | Fertility | Without PCR product | Fertility |
|---------------------|--------------|------------------|-----------|---------------------|-----------|
| (C48-2 × A619) F2  | 165          | 126              | 115 Fertile | 36 Fertile          |
|                     | 150          | 116              | 11 Sterile  | 3 Sterile           |
|                     |              |                  | 109 Fertile | 27 Fertile          |
|                     |              |                  | 7 Sterile   |                     |

Figure 4  SSR products amplified using bnlg1346 from parents and F2 individuals without Rf4. S, a subset of F2 sterile individuals; F, a subset of F2 fertile individuals.

F2/R2 products. Polymorphism amplifying bands were detected between C48-2 and A619 (Fig. 4). However, no evidence of plants fertility co-segregation to the SSR amplifying fragments was found. A fragment amplified in A619, can also be amplified in the male sterile individuals. The bnlg1346 marker amplification results indicated that the male fertile individuals without F2/R2 amplification in F2 populations could not be restored by Rf5, so it is concluded that the additional restore gene Rf*-A619 is not allelic to Rf5.

DISCUSSION

Up to now, only two dominant restorer genes (Rf4 and Rf5) and some partial fertility restorer genes for CMS-C in maize have been discovered. Insufficient main restorer genes and restorer lines limit the use of CMS-C in hybrid seed production (Stevanovic et al., 2016). Thus, discovering and mapping new restorer genes are of great importance. In the present study, we identified a novel dominant restorer gene Rf*-A619 in the inbred line A619 for CMS-C through genetic analyses and molecular markers. In the future, it is imperative to identify and clone Rf*-A619.

In contrast to our results, previous findings indicate that A619 only contains one single restorer gene (Chen, Luo & Ji, 1979; Tang et al., 2001). Therefore, it is of great interest to explain why Rf*-A619 does not rescue some c-type sterile lines in maize. Similar events have also occurred in other inbred lines. According to various studies of CMS-C fertility restoration (Chen & Chen, 1989; Chen & Duan, 1986; Chen, Luo & Ji, 1979; Huang et al., 1997; Tang et al., 2001), when crossed with CMS-Chuangzaosi and CMS-Cernan24, Fengke1 (Rf4Rf4Rf5Rf5) seems to have only one restorer gene, but it appears to have two loci for CMS-CMO17 and CMS-C237. Similarly, Guang10-2 (Rf4Rf4rf5rf5) exhibited
one restorer gene in CMS-Chuangzaosi and CMS-Cernan24, but two restorer genes were observed in progeny from crosses with CMO17. Most interestingly, parallel phenomena were reported in rice. The same restorer line rescued CMS via different numbers of restorer genes when facing different male sterile lines with the same cytoplasm (Zhou et al., 1986; Zhu, Duan & Deng, 2001). The results of this study, together with those of previous related reports indicated that the functions of restorer genes are affected by the genetic backgrounds of sterile lines. Moreover, in the present experiment, it was surprised that there were some sterile plants with Rf4 genotype. Some studies (Tang et al., 2001; Ren et al., 2012) have indicated that an inbred line containing Rf4 is capable of completely rescuing CMS-C fertility. However, Kohls et al. (2011) found that most fertile plants exhibit partial restoration in the (B37C × K55) F2 population, though the inbred line K55 contains Rf4. Some studies suggested that the interactions of some factors between male parent and female parent might also contribute to plant cytoplasmic male fertility restoration (Sharma, Singh & Singh, 2005; Sochtenko, Gorbacheva & Kosogorova, 2007). The above studies combining with our results indicated that different parents might have effects on the Rf4 function. In the future, it will be necessary to determine the fertility restoration mechanism of Rf4 to ensure its normal function and to increase its use in hybrid seed production.
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