Assessment of Awareness Regarding Heavy Metal Toxicity in School Children
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ABSTRACT

Background: Heavy metal toxicity is increasing rapidly due to the increased use of products containing heavy metals daily life. It can cause acute or chronic toxicity if they used for long term unknowingly especially by the children.

Objectives:
1) To assess whether the school children have information about products containing heavy metal which are used in daily life and their hazardous effects with the help of structured questionnaire.
2) To sensitize the school children about the products containing heavy metal which are used in daily life and their hazardous effects.

Methods: Descriptive (cross sectional) observational study was designed among 300 primary and secondary school children. The questionnaires containing fifteen questions each carrying two marks (total 30 marks) about the products containing heavy metal and their hazardous effects on human body were given to students to fill the information and answers to the questions. Next day a sensitization program was conducted which was followed by the same questionnaire. The questionaries were assessed as pre and posttest.
**INTRODUCTION**

A heavy metal may be defined as on the basis of density or atomic number or chemical behavior [1]. Heavy metals include Cadmium (Cd), Lead (Pb), Arsenic (As), Mercury (Hg) Manganese (Mn), Copper (Cu), Zinc (Zn), Nickel (Ni), Chromium (Cr), Fluoride (F), Iron (Fe), Aluminium (Al), Selenium (Se) etc. There are over 30 heavy metals but approximately ten of them are important in terms of exposure and health effects. There are four routes by which the heavy metals can enter the body: inhalation, skin (or eye) absorption, ingestion and injection. Many metallic elements in trace quantities are essential for various biological processes. Some of them activate enzymes; others facilitate exchange and utilization of oxygen and carbon dioxide. While most of these trace elements are acquired in adequate quantities through food, excessive exposure (nutritional, occupational or environmental) can lead to progressive accumulation and toxicity resulting in serious consequences [2-3]. Though the general perception is that heavy metal poisoning is uncommon, the actual fact is just converse. Heavy metal poisoning: acute or chronic is a major cause of morbidity and mortality all over the world and in India.

Heavy metal poisoning has been a main threat to living world. Even though adverse effect due to heavy metals is known, still exposure continues the same in most of the developed and developing countries. Traces of many heavy metals are required for proper functioning of the body. Most of our foods and drinks that we consume contain small traces of heavy metals but in general, these traces are not harmful. But the incorporation of excess heavy metals in the body results in toxic effects.

Cadmium (Cd) causes high blood pressure, liver and kidney damage and anemia. Lead (Pb) affects red blood cell chemistry, delays normal physical and mental development in babies and young children, increase in blood pressure in some adults. Exposure to the arsenic (As) causes the high risk of cancer of lung, skin, bladder and kidney, skin lesions such as hyperkeratosis and pigmentation changes. Mixture of Lead (Pb), Cadmium (Cd) and Arsenic (As) induces anemia type condition with decrease in RBC count and haemoglobin concentration [4-5]. Study on oestrous cycle revealed that sub chronic treatment with the mixture of heavy metals Cadmium (Cd), Lead (Pb) and Arsenic (As) causes irregularities in the reproductive cycle [6-8]. The study on implantation showed a significant pre implantation and post implantation loss in mixture of heavy metals cadmium (Cd), lead (Pb) and arsenic (As) treated animals with decrease in the number of live foetus [9]. Most of these heavy metals are carcinogens. Heavy metals are environmental pollutant due to their toxic effects on plants, animals and human being. They are toxic even at low concentrations [3,10].

Heavy metal toxicity is the main concern since seventy decades. The production of chemicals (including metals and their variants) around the world has increased dramatically in recent years. It has been reported that there has been a tenfold increase in the global output of chemicals worldwide. Chemicals including metals account for significant mortality and morbidity.

Now, it has entered in our daily routine life. Since morning to night people are using the products made up of heavy metals. Unknowingly, people are consuming heavy metals in traces or less quantity for years together which can cause chronic heavy metal poisoning. Especially, primary and secondary school going children are having habit of chewing pencil, pen, indirectly consuming ink and other metal products. Unawareness of immediate or late health effects...

---

**Result:** All the students scored zero marks in the pretest. Hence, they were graded in no awareness category. After sensitization, in posttest 155 students (51.6%) scored between 21-30 marks and 144 students scored between 11-20 marks. The score of marks in posttest is highly significant.

**Conclusion:** Results indicate that there was no awareness in school children about the products containing heavy metal and their hazardous effects on human body. Awareness increased after the sensitization. Hence, to avoid the heavy metal toxicity in school children, it is highly recommended to make them aware regarding sources of heavy metals which are used in daily life and their hazardous effects on body. It can be done through awareness programs to be conducted at schools. It may be achieved by incorporating this point into the syllabus of the school children.
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of consumptions of these products can lead to serious local and systemic toxic effects in future.

Hence, the study was planned to assess the awareness among school children regarding the knowledge of products containing heavy metals which are used in daily life and their hazardous effects on the body with the help of structured questionnaire. After the assessment, the students were sensitized regarding heavy metal products and their health effects.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Descriptive (cross sectional) observational study was designed among 300 primary and secondary school children in Salod (Hirapur), District Wardha of Maharashtra state in India.

IEC approval was received with ref no. DMIMS (DU)/IEC/Sept-2019/8458 dated: 10/10/2019. Questionnaire about the common products containing heavy metals used in daily routine life and their effects on body was prepared in regional language (Marathi).

Primary and secondary schools were identified and selected from Neri Punarvasan, Salod (H), District Wardha. Written permission was taken from the headmasters of the respective schools. Total 300 students were selected from Jilha Parishad Primary School and Model High School Neri Punarvasan, Salod (H), District Wardha. All the students from the same school who were present on the day of assessment were included in the study irrespective of their achievement in the academic examination. The first section of the questionnaire included demographic information of the school children like name, age, sex, address, class in which they study. The second part included fifteen questions each carrying two marks (total 30 marks) about the products containing heavy metal and their hazardous effects on human body. The questionnaires were given to students to fill the information and answers to the questions. Half an hour was allotted to write the answers of the question. The following items were assessed during the study.

2.1 Awareness Assessing Items

a. Awareness regarding products containing heavy metals used in daily life.
b. Awareness regarding hazardous effects of products containing heavy metals used in daily life
c. Awareness regarding hazardous effects of Arsenic
d. Awareness regarding hazardous effects of lead
e. Awareness regarding hazardous effects of mercury
f. Awareness regarding hazardous effects of copper

The questionnaires were collected, checked and analyzed. The score ranging from 0-30 was categorized into three grades as: score less than 10 marks would indicate low awareness, score between 11-20 marks would indicate moderate awareness and score between 21-30 marks would indicate high awareness.

It was found that the students could not write answer to any questions. It indicated that students are unaware about the products containing heavy metal and their effects on body.

Hence, an awareness program was conducted at each school in which the students were given information about the products containing heavy metals, their sources and hazardous effects on human body. Visual presentation was given using LCD projector. The post test was conducted using the same questionnaire. The questionnaires were collected and checked. A master chat was prepared and data was analyzed by using unpaired t test. The data was presented as Mean±SEM. The level of confidence was decided at 0.05.

3. OBSERVATION AND RESULTS

The students participated in the study were of age ranging from 8-15 years. Maximum numbers of students (20%) were of age 10 years.

The marks obtained in post test were highly significant (P <0.001) at 5% level of significance.

3. DISCUSSION

A heavy metal may be defined as on the basis of density whereas in physics the distinguishing criterion might be atomic number and in chemistry the distinguishing criterion might be chemical behavior. Density criteria range from above 3.5g/cm$^3$ to above 7g/cm$^3$. Atomic weight definitions can range from greater than sodium (atomic weight 22.98)$^1$; (greater than 40 (excluding s- and f block metals, hence starting with scandium) or more than 200, i.e. from mercury onwards.
Table 1. Sources of heavy metal poisoning: [11]

| SN. | Heavy metals | Sources                                                                 |
|-----|--------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1   | Arsenic      | Crayons, Toys, Candles, Fabric, Wallpaper, Pesticides, Household lawn and garden chemicals, arsenic-treated wood |
| 2   | Mercury      | Dental amalgam fillings, all fish, Mercury treated seeds                |
| 3   | Lead         | Pencil, small cells of the watches, Batteries, Pesticides, foods, Old house paint, a few hair dyes, lubricants, medications, cosmetics such as lipstick, inks, Glazes used on cookware, Household lawn and garden chemicals |
| 4   | Copper       | Electric wires, Dental amalgams                                         |
| 5   | Nickel       | All hydrogenated oil products such as commercial peanut butter, all margarines, and vegetable shortening. |
| 6   | Fluoride     | Rat poison, ground water supplies, reconstituted vegetable, fruit juices and foods processed with water containing fluoride. |
| 7   | Iron         | Red meat, iron pills or mineral supplements, iron-enriched foods such as breads and most other products made with white flour |
| 8   | Aluminum     | All anti-perspirants and many cosmetics                                 |

Table 2. Showing number of students according to age

| Age of students (yrs) | Number of students | Percentage of students (%) |
|-----------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|
| 8                     | 46                 | 15.33                     |
| 9                     | 44                 | 14.66                     |
| 10                    | 60                 | 20.00                     |
| 11                    | 30                 | 10.00                     |
| 12                    | 28                 | 9.33                      |
| 13                    | 29                 | 9.67                      |
| 14                    | 32                 | 10.67                     |
| 15                    | 31                 | 10.33                     |
| Total                 | 300                | 100                       |

Table 3. Gradation of awareness after post test

| Gradation of awareness | Range of score | Number of students | % of students |
|------------------------|----------------|--------------------|---------------|
| No awareness           | Score equal to 0 marks | 00                 | 00            |
| Low awareness          | Score > 1 and less than 10 marks | 1                  | 0.33          |
| Moderate awareness     | Score between 11-20 marks | 144                | 48            |
| High awareness         | Score between 21-30 marks | 155                | 51.6          |

Table 4. Comparison between pretest and post test marks

|                        | Sample Size (n) | Mean   | Std Dev | SEM  |
|------------------------|-----------------|--------|---------|------|
| Pre test marks         | 300             | 0.000  | 0.000   | 0.000|
| Post test marks        | 20.573          | 3.621  | 0.209   |

Heavy metal toxicity has been increased since seventy years. Since then it is increasing due to the increased use of heavy metals in the daily life in various forms. Commonly children are victims of accidental or chronic poisoning. Exposure of any heavy metal since childhood can cause its chronic toxicity. Hence, awareness is needed in students as well as their parents to avoid the heavy metal toxicity.

In the present study, it was found that all the students scored zero marks in the pretest. Hence, they were graded in no awareness category. After sensitization, in post test 155 students (51.6%) scored between 21-30 marks and 144 students scored between 11-20 marks. The score of marks in posttest is highly significant. It indicates that the awareness increased after the sensitization. Unawareness regarding sources of heavy metals and their continuous exposure can lead to acute or chronic toxicity. Hence, to avoid the heavy metal toxicity in school children, it is highly recommended to make them aware...
regarding sources of heavy metals which are used in daily life and their hazardous effects on body. It can be done through awareness programs to be conducted at schools. It may be achieved by incorporating this point into the syllabus of the school children. Few of the related studies have been reported in literature. Wadnerwar et. al. reported chronic toxicity evaluation of Ayurvedic arsenical formulation Rasamanikya with special reference to heavy metal toxicity [12]. Related studies on awareness and school children were reviewed [13-17] Studies on different child health aspects have been reported [18-22].

4. CONCLUSION

- Awareness regarding sources of heavy metals which are used in daily life and their hazardous effects on body was not found in the studied population i.e, school children from age 8 years to 14 years.
- Unawareness regarding sources of heavy metals and their continuous exposure can lead to acute or chronic toxicity.
- To avoid the heavy metal toxicity in school children, it is highly recommended to make them aware regarding sources of heavy metals which are used in daily life and their hazardous effects on body.
- It can be done through awareness programs to be conducted at schools. It may be achieved by incorporating this point into the syllabus of the school children.
- The study can be conducted at multicentre in future to create awareness regarding acute or chronic toxicity due to products containing heavy metal which are used in daily life.
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