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Summary Various aspects of adult diet have been linked to breast cancer development. These include intake of fat (risk factor), and intake of fibre, soy protein and vitamins A, C and E (protective factors). Results of previous studies have been inconsistent. We examined the possible associations between breast cancer and various indices of nutrient and food intake in two Chinese populations who are at relatively low risk for breast cancer (one-fifth the rate in US white women). Two case-control studies of breast cancer were conducted in the cities of Shanghai and Tianjin, China. In Shanghai, 534 women aged 20–69 years with histologically confirmed breast cancer were recruited, whereas in Tianjin 300 women aged 20–55 years with histologically confirmed breast cancer were interviewed. All controls were community controls who were individually matched to the cases by sex and age (case-control ratio = 1:1). All interviews were conducted in person. Findings from the two studies were similar, although the diets in Shanghai and Tianjin were different in many respects. Cases and controls were similar in their consumption of soy protein, measured either in absolute levels or as percentages of total protein. Overall, all components of dietary fat (saturated fat, monounsaturated fat, polyunsaturated fat) showed a modest, non-significant association with breast cancer after adjustment for energy intake and other non-dietary risk factors. Intake of crude fibre, carotene and vitamin C, on the other hand, exhibited strong, statistically significant inverse associations with breast cancer risk. The last three indices were highly correlated, rendering it impossible to disentangle their individual effects; they were closely associated with intake of green vegetables in the two study populations. Vitamin E intake was unrelated to breast cancer risk in Shanghai and Tianjin. In the multivariate logistic regression model which included all non-dietary risk factors for breast cancer and energy intake, Shanghai women in the lowest tertile of crude fibre intake and highest tertile of fat intake had a 2.9-fold increased risk for breast cancer relative to those in the highest tertile of crude fibre intake and lowest tertile of fat intake. The comparable relative risk in Tianjin women was 2.4. Our data indicate a strong protective effect against breast cancer development with intake of foods rich in fibre, vitamin C and carotene. Our results are also compatible with dietary fat having a modest, positive effect on breast cancer risk within the range of exposure experienced by women in China. Our study does not support the hypothesis that high intake of soy protein protects against breast cancer.
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Introduction

The search for a dietary component to the aetiology of breast cancer has been the subject of numerous epidemiological studies. The majority of these studies have focused on a possible relationship with dietary fat. Results to date have been equivocal. Eleven of 16 case-control studies in which specific individual estimates of fat intake are available show evidence of a modest, positive association (Howe, 1992). On the other hand, the overall evidence from ten prospective studies, each of which has at least 50 incident cases of breast cancer, does not support such an association (Hunter and Willett, 1993).

A number of case-control and cohort studies have examined the possible associations between breast cancer and dietary intake of fibre and vitamins A, C and E. In general, results of case-control studies demonstrate a reduced risk of breast cancer with high intake of fibre, vitamin C and β-carotene, but no relationship with intake of retinol and vitamin E (Howe et al., 1990; Lee et al., 1991; Zaridze et al., 1991; Hunter and Willett, 1993). The three cohort studies that have investigated these dietary components have produced diverse results. The Canadian National Breast Screening Study found a significant protective effect with high intake of dietary fibre and smaller, statistically non-significant reductions in risk with increasing intake of dietary retinol, β-carotene and vitamin C (Rohan et al., 1993). The US Nurses’ Health Study, on the other hand, observed no relationship with dietary fibre or vitamin C, but protective effects associated with high intake of retinol and β-carotene (Willett et al., 1992; Hunter et al., 1993). The New York State Prospective Study (Graham et al., 1992) did not find any associations with dietary fibre or vitamin A, but did note a non-significant, inverse association with vitamin C intake. Vitamin E was unrelated to breast cancer risk in all three cohort studies (Graham et al., 1992; Willett et al., 1992; Hunter et al., 1993; Rohan et al., 1993).

Recently, Lee et al. (1991) proposed that high intake of soy protein may protect against breast cancer. The investigators reported decreasing risk of breast cancer with increasing intake of soy protein among premenopausal Chinese women in Singapore, whose diet is relatively high in soy bean products. Soya beans are a rich source of phyto-oestrogens, and it was suggested that sufficient quantities of these plant oestrogens might partly suppress endogenous oestrogenic activity, thereby reducing the risk of breast cancer (Lee et al., 1991).

In the mid-1980s, we initiated two case-control studies of breast cancer in the two largest urban centres of China. One of the goals was to evaluate adult diet, especially dietary fat and certain micronutrients, in relation to breast cancer development in these low-risk populations (the annual age-standardised incidence of female breast cancer in Shanghai and Tianjin is about 19/100 000, one-fifth the comparable rate in US white women). These two studies are well suited to evaluate further the provocative hypothesis that soy bean intake may protect against breast cancer; various soy bean products are an integral part of the diet in Shanghai and Tianjin. We have previously reported the results of non-dietary risk factors from these two studies (Yuan et al., 1988; Wang et al., 1992). This paper presents the dietary findings of the two studies separately and combined.
Materials and methods

The recruitment of cases and controls in Shanghai and Tianjin has been described in detail previously (Yuan et al., 1988; Wang et al., 1992). Briefly, eligible cases in Shanghai were all histologically confirmed incident cases of breast cancer diagnosed between 1 June 1984 and 31 May 1985 among female residents of Shanghai aged 20–69 years, identified through the population-based Shanghai Cancer Registry. Ninety-four percent of eligible patients were interviewed (n = 534). In Tianjin, eligible cases were all histologically confirmed incident cases in women aged 20–55 years that were identified by the population-based Tianjin Cancer Registry beginning on 1 January 1985 until 300 cases had been recruited into the study. Sixty-nine percent of eligible patients were interviewed. The cancer registries in Shanghai and Tianjin have been in operation since 1963 and 1978 respectively. Their inclusion in the Cancer Incidence in Five Continents series published by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) attests to the high quality and relative completeness of their data.

Controls in both studies were community controls, individually matched to the index case by sex and age (case–control ratio = 1:1), and selected according to a predetermined algorithm as described previously (Yuan et al., 1988; Wang et al., 1992). In Shanghai, the age-matching criterion specified that the case and her matched control had to belong to the same 5 year age group (20–24, 25–29, . . . 65–69), whereas in Tianjin the control was within 1 year of age to the index case. Virtually all controls interviewed in Shanghai and Tianjin were the first control chosen.

All interviews were conducted in the homes of study subjects by a trained interviewer employing a structured questionnaire. The questionnaires used in Shanghai and Tianjin were similar and covered demographic characteristics, height and usual adult weight, use of tobacco and alcohol, medical history, use of exogenous hormones, menstrual and reproductive history, usual adult diet and family history of cancer. The non-diet-related information collected has been described in detail previously (Yuan et al., 1988; Wang et al., 1992). In Shanghai, the usual frequencies and amounts of consumption of 63 food items were obtained during the interview. Intake frequencies were expressed in number of times per day, week, month or year, depending on the subject's choice. The amount of food consumed was given as the market weight (i.e. before cooking and including roughage) of the food eaten per day, week, month or year. Owing to the food rationing system in place in China from 1950 until recently, our study subjects had no difficulty in relating the amounts of their food intake by market weights. This method of obtaining information on intake amount also provided the most direct way of computing nutrient intake since the Chinese Food Composition Tables (Institute of Nutrition and Food Hygiene, Chinese Academy of Preventive Medicine, 1980; Institute of Nutrition and Food Hygiene, Chinese Academy of Preventive Medicine, 1991) list nutrient values per 100 g of the market weight of a given food item. The list of 63 food items asked about in Shanghai is given in the appendix.

In Tianjin, 68 food items were listed on the questionnaire (see appendix for details). For starches (noodles and rice) and cooking oils, each subject was asked to provide information on amounts (market weights) eaten per day or month, whichever time unit was preferable to the subject. For the remaining food items (meats, fish, eggs, legumes, vegetables and fruit), the subjects were asked to provide information only on usual frequency of intake (expressed in numbers of times per day, week, month or year). In order to establish a standard portion size for each of these foods, 25 control subjects were asked to indicate, using the actual, uncooked foods, the usual amounts they would consume per meal. For each food, the mean weight of all recorded servings was taken as the market weight of the standard portion. We did not ask about use of vitamin supplements in either study location; use of these products was extremely rare in China during the period of our studies.

For each study subject, we computed usual daily intake of 22 nutrients by combining information obtained from interview with nutrient values from the Chinese Food Composition Tables (Institute of Nutrition and Food Hygiene, Chinese Academy of Preventive Medicine, 1980; Institute of Nutrition and Food Hygiene, Chinese Academy of Preventive Medicine, 1991). Although crude fibre represents only a portion of total dietary fibre, the Chinese Food Composition Tables do not provide values on dietary fibre, and the only available surrogate for fibre intake is crude fibre, which we used for all analyses related to fibre. Cases and controls were compared in terms of their intake levels of various nutrients (as continuous variables and as categorical variables in tertiles or quartiles). Estimates of relative risks and their associated confidence intervals and P-values were computed using multivariate conditional logistic regression methods (Breslow and Day, 1980). Possible heterogeneity between studies was always tested whenever the two study data sets were combined in the analysis. Three statistical models have been proposed for simultaneously considering the effects of total calories and a nutrient which contributes to calories (Howe, 1989). Parallel analyses using these three approaches were applied to the various components of energy-generating macronutrients. Results from the different analyses were comparable, and figures shown in this report were taken from the analysis using model 1 as defined in Howe (1989). Cases and controls also were compared according to their intake levels of individual foods, using both parametric (t-test) and non-parametric (Wilcoxon signed-rank test) statistical procedures. The two sets of results were comparable, and only the parametric test results are presented in this report. All P-values quoted are two-sided.

Results

Table 1 presents selected demographic and reproductive characteristics of the study subjects in Shanghai and Tianjin. In

|                           | Shanghai Cases | Shanghai Controls | Tianjin Cases | Tianjin Controls |
|---------------------------|----------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Mean age (years)          | 50.8           | 50.0              | 43.3          | 43.3            |
| Mean height (cm)          | 158.4          | 157.8             | 160.2         | 159.5           |
| Mean weight in reference year (kg) | 52.6           | 51.9              | 59.3          | 58.9            |
| Percentage with college education | 11.4           | 3.2               | 11.7          | 6.7             |
| Mean age at menarche (years) | 14.6           | 15.0              | 14.1          | 14.4            |
| Percentage nulliparous   | 12.7           | 8.2               | 11.3          | 9.0             |
| Mean number of full-term births | 2.8            | 3.5               | 2.2           | 2.7             |
| Mean age at first full-term birth | 24.4           | 23.2              | 25.5          | 24.1            |
| Mean duration of nursing (months) | 31.5           | 42.8              | 36.5          | 46.8            |
| Percentage ever used oral contraceptives (OC) | 18.5           | 17.2              | 34.7          | 32.7            |
| Percentage first used OC at age 35 + years | 4.3            | 2.1               | 11.0          | 4.0             |

*Reference year = year of cancer diagnosis (for controls, year of interview) minus 2.
both locales, breast cancer cases relative to controls, in
general, were more educated, started menstruation earlier,
were more likely to be nulliparous and were more likely
to use oral contraceptives for the first time after age 35 years.
Among the parous subgroups, cases had fewer full-term
births, had later age at first full-term birth, and had shorter
duration of nursing (see Yuan et al., 1988, and Wang et al.,
1992, for details).

Table II presents the percentiles (25th, 50th and 75th)
of selected nutritional variables in cases and controls by study
location. The relative risks of these nutritional factors in
relation to breast cancer are given in Table III. Univariate
analyses showed that cases and controls in both locales were
similar in intake of total energy, total protein and total
carbohydrate, but cases had significantly higher intake of all
components of fat (saturated, monounsaturated, polyunsat-
saturated) relative to controls with the exception of polyunsat-
saturated fat, which showed no association with breast
cancer in Tianjin. Dietary cholesterol was higher among cases
than controls in Shanghai, but this positive association with
breast cancer was not evident in Tianjin. Crude fibre intake
was significantly lower among cases than controls in both
Shanghai and Tianjin, as were intakes of vitamin C and
carotene. Intake of soy protein (measured either in absolute
levels or as percentages of total protein), retinol or vitamin E
was not related to breast cancer in either study location
(Table III).

Table III also presents the relative risks of the various
nutrients to breast cancer after adjustment for energy intake.
Intake of total protein and soy protein remained unrelated
to breast cancer risk, while adjustment for energy intake
generally strengthened the positive associations noted earlier
between breast cancer and the various dietary fat compo-
ments. Energy adjustment also strengthened the negative
associations observed earlier between breast cancer and
intake of crude fibre, vitamin C and carotene.

However, the dietary fat–breast cancer associations were
attenuated when non-dietary risk factors for breast cancer
were controlled for in the analysis. The increases in adjusted
relative risks between the highest and lowest quintiles of
intake of saturated and unsaturated fatty acids were reduced
to about 20–30% and were not statistically significant (Table
III).

In contrast, intake of crude fibre, vitamin C and carotene
remained significantly and inversely related to breast cancer
risk after adjustment for energy intake and other non-dietary
confounders (Table III). Intake of these micronutrients was
highly correlated in our study populations, being closely
associated with vegetable intake. The correlation coefficient
(r) between vitamin C and crude fibre was 0.89, that between
vitamin C and carotene was 0.92 and that between crude
fibre and carotene was 0.81. Therefore, these three indices are
indistinguishable in terms of their impact on breast cancer
risk in Shanghai and Tianjin. Of these three nutrients, crude
fibre was the only one that exhibited statistically significant
associations with breast cancer risk in both Shanghai and
Tianjin. Crude fibre was also the nutrient with the strongest
biological plausibility as a direct protective factor for breast
cancer (see Discussion below). Therefore, crude fibre intake
was chosen as the marker for exposure to either one of these
three micronutrients in subsequent analyses.

Tables IV and V present the combined effects of intake
of fat and crude fibre on the risk of breast cancer in Shanghai
and Tianjin respectively. In Shanghai, the risk-enhancing
effect of fat consumption was most evident among women in
the lowest tertile of crude fibre intake. Similarly, the protec-
tive effect of high intake of crude fibre was most evident
among subjects in the highest tertile of fat consumption.
Women in the lowest tertile of crude fibre intake and highest
tertile of fat intake had the highest risk for breast cancer
(Table IV).

In Tianjin, at every level of crude fibre intake, breast
cancer risk increased with increasing fat consumption.
Similarly, at every level of fat consumption, breast cancer
risk decreased with increasing intake of crude fibre. Again,
women in the lowest tertile of crude fibre intake and highest
tertile of fat intake exhibited the highest level of breast
cancer risk (Table V).

We repeated the above analyses separately for pre- and
post-menopausal women. Results were similar for the two
groups and similar to the overall findings.

In terms of individual food intake, cases relative to con-
trols, both in Shanghai and Tianjin, had a higher intake of
pork and cow milk, and lower intake of green vegetables
(Table VI). The staple vegetable in Shanghai is bokchoi (a
medium green leafy vegetable), whereas in Tianjin the staple
vegetable is Chinese cabbage (a pale green vegetable). Among
controls in Shanghai, bokchoi consumption accounted for
44% of vitamin C intake. Among controls in Tianjin, 35% of
vitamin C intake was derived from Chinese cabbage.

Beef was a rarely consumed food item in both Shanghai
and Tianjin. Consumption of chicken and fish was more
common, but neither was related to breast cancer risk in
either study area. In Shanghai only, cases consumed
significantly more eggs than controls.

In both Shanghai and Tianjin, tofu consumption was

| Table II | Percentile (25th, 50th and 75th) nutritional characteristics of breast cancer cases and controls in Shanghai and Tianjin, China |
|----------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Daily intake of energy nutrients | Shanghai (534 case-control pairs) | Tianjin (300 case-control pairs) |
| P25 | P50 | P75 | Controls P25 | P50 | P75 | Controls P25 | P50 | P75 |
| Total energy (kcal) | 1857 | 2231 | 2628 | 1864 | 2181 | 2623 | 1707 | 1922 | 2179 | 1653 | 1884 | 2183 |
| Total protein (g) | 55.0 | 66.1 | 84.8 | 54.9 | 67.2 | 83.8 | 43.4 | 51.5 | 63.0 | 42.7 | 50.1 | 60.7 |
| Soy protein (g) | 2.4 | 3.5 | 11.1 | 2.4 | 3.5 | 12.6 | 1.2 | 3.5 | 7.5 | 1.2 | 2.8 | 7.1 |
| Soy total protein (%) | 40.0 | 6.4 | 12.9 | 4.3 | 6.8 | 13.2 | 2.5 | 6.6 | 13.2 | 2.3 | 5.5 | 12.8 |
| Total fat (g) | 43.0 | 57.7 | 79.0 | 37.9 | 52.1 | 73.5 | 52.1 | 64.8 | 80.0 | 48.9 | 60.4 | 75.8 |
| Saturated fat (g) | 9.7 | 14.4 | 21.3 | 8.0 | 12.5 | 18.9 | 12.9 | 17.7 | 24.4 | 11.2 | 16.1 | 20.5 |
| Monounsaturated fat (g) | 17.9 | 24.3 | 33.9 | 14.9 | 22.0 | 31.4 | 21.4 | 26.8 | 33.1 | 19.5 | 24.3 | 31.9 |
| Polyunsaturated fat (g) | 11.7 | 15.4 | 20.1 | 10.5 | 14.5 | 19.6 | 14.4 | 17.6 | 20.7 | 14.2 | 17.5 | 20.8 |
| Carbohydrate (g) | 279.0 | 338.9 | 407.5 | 296.5 | 345.4 | 406.6 | 240.8 | 279.4 | 317.2 | 243.2 | 282.5 | 322.6 |
| Cholesterol (mg) | 114.8 | 196.8 | 355.0 | 99.9 | 178.1 | 328.9 | 191.8 | 310.6 | 397.9 | 202.2 | 310.7 | 390.3 |
| Total crude fibre (g) | 3.9 | 4.9 | 6.3 | 4.1 | 5.3 | 6.6 | 2.4 | 2.8 | 3.4 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 3.6 |
| Corn crude fibre (g) | 1.7 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.5 |
| Vegetable fruit crude fibre (g) | 1.8 | 2.6 | 3.9 | 2.0 | 2.9 | 4.4 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 2.2 |
| Vitamin C (mg) | 61.3 | 87.7 | 122.3 | 67.9 | 96.8 | 150.6 | 22.2 | 27.3 | 35.1 | 23.6 | 29.7 | 36.3 |
| Retinol (IU) | 191 | 432 | 805 | 154 | 385 | 799 | 313 | 610 | 759 | 317 | 606 | 691 |
| Carotene (IU) | 2731 | 3992 | 5480 | 3203 | 4518 | 5869 | 750 | 1042 | 1269 | 787 | 1040 | 1271 |
| Vitamin E (mg) | 16.4 | 20.9 | 28.5 | 15.2 | 19.9 | 30.9 | 19.2 | 23.7 | 27.6 | 20.2 | 24.4 | 29.0 |
Table III  Relative risks for breast cancer per unit intake of various nutrients in Shanghai and Tianjin, China

| Daily intake of energy/nutrients* | Shanghai |  | Tianjin |  | Total |  |
|----------------------------------|----------|-------------------|----------|-------------------|----------|-------|
|                                  | RR       | Adj. RR          | Adj. RR (95% CL.) | RR       | Adj. RR (95% CL.) | Adj. RR (95% CL.) |
| Total energy (per 1738 kcal)     | 1.1      | 1.1 (0.7, 1.6)   | 1.3       | 1.1 (0.5, 2.5)   | 1.1      | 1.1 (0.8, 1.6)   |
| Total protein (per 75 g)         | 1.1      | 1.0 (0.4, 1.8)   | 1.3       | 0.6 (0.1, 5.6)   | 1.1      | 0.8 (0.4, 1.6)   |
| Soy protein (per 18 g)           | 1.0      | 0.9 (0.6, 1.3)   | 1.2       | 1.4 (0.7, 3.0)   | 1.0      | 1.0 (0.7, 1.4)   |
| Soy/total protein (per 25%)      | 0.9      | 0.9 (0.6, 1.4)   | 1.1       | 1.4 (0.8, 2.6)   | 1.0      | 1.0 (0.7, 1.5)   |
| Total fat (per 90 g)             | 1.5*     | 2.0** (1.6, 1.9) | 1.9       | 3.4** (0.7, 8.7) | 1.5**    | 2.2** (0.7, 2.0) |
| Saturated fat (per 50 g)         | 1.6*     | 2.3** (0.4, 2.0) | 4.0**     | 9.4** (1.2, 31.5)| 1.9**    | 3.0** (0.6, 2.6) |
| Monounsaturated fat (per 50 g)   | 1.5*     | 2.2** (0.6, 2.1) | 2.1       | 3.4** (0.7, 11.0)| 1.6**    | 2.4** (0.7, 2.2) |
| Polyunsaturated fat (per 50 g)   | 2.9*     | 7.0** (0.6, 10.5)| 1.7       | 0.5 (0.1, 4.2)   | 2.3*     | 3.5* (0.4, 4.3)  |
| Carbohydrate (per 278 g)         | 0.8      | 0.5* (1.0, 2.5)  | 0.8       | 0.2* (0.1, 1.6)  | 0.8      | 0.4** (0.1, 1.6) |
| Cholesterol (per 518 mg)         | 1.4*     | 1.6* (0.9, 1.9)  | 1.1       | 0.6 (0.2, 1.4)   | 1.4*     | 1.4* (0.8, 1.5)  |
| Total crude fibre (per 6 g)      | 0.6*     | 0.3* (0.2, 0.8)  | 0.2*      | 0.1** (0.01, 0.5)| 0.6**    | 0.3* (0.2, 0.6)  |
| Cereal crude fibre (per 3 g)     | 0.8      | 0.5 (0.5, 3.2)   | 0.3       | 0.1** (0.02, 0.95)| 0.7      | 0.3** (0.8, 1.9) |
| Vegetable/fruit crude fibre (per 3 g) | 0.8   | 0.7** (0.5, 0.99)| 0.5       | 0.4** (0.1, 1.1) | 0.7**    | 0.6* (0.5, 0.9)  |
| Vitamin C (per 179 mg)           | 0.4**    | 0.3* (0.2, 0.5)  | 0.05      | 0.04 (0.003, 5.2)| 0.3**    | 0.3* (0.2, 0.5)  |
| Retinol (per 1753 IU)            | 1.0      | 1.0 (0.6, 1.2)   | 1.6       | 1.5 (0.4, 2.1)   | 1.1      | 0.9 (0.6, 1.2)   |
| Carotene (per 7269 IU)           | 0.5**    | 0.4* (0.3, 0.9)  | 0.2       | 0.2 (0.01, 3.3)  | 0.5**    | 0.4* (0.4, 0.9)  |
| Vitamin E (per 30 mg)            | 1.1      | 1.0 (0.5, 1.3)   | 0.8       | 0.6 (0.2, 1.4)   | 1.0      | 0.9 (0.5, 1.1)   |

*Each unit represents the range between P and P upt, among controls except the subcategories of fat and crude fibre. To facilitate comparisons across subcategories of fat (saturated fat, monounsaturated fat, polyunsaturated fat), the average range between P and P upt across the subcategories is used as the common unit for all subcategories. The same is done for the two subcategories of crude fibre (cereal crude fibre, and vegetable/fruit crude fibre). For energy intake: Adjusted for energy intake and non-diary risk factors in Shanghai, which included: age at menarche, usual cycle length under 25 days, number of full-term pregnancies (FTP), duration of nursing years, first used oral contraceptives (OC) at age 35 + , .1 Kg average weight, had benign breast disease, female first-degree relative had breast cancer, and level of education. Adjusted for energy intake and non-dairy risk factors in Tianjin which included: age at menarche, number of FTPs, duration of nursing years, first used OC at age 35 + , had benign breast disease, female first-degree relative had breast cancer, and level of education. Adjusted for energy intake and non-dairy risk factors in Shanghai and Tianjin as listed above. For possible differential effects of the given variable by study (Shanghai vs Tianjin). Adjustment had been made for energy intake and non-dairy risk factors in Shanghai and Tianjin as listed above. *0.01 < two-sided P-value < 0.05. **Two-sided P-value < 0.01.
similar between cases and controls. Consumption of soy milk also showed little difference between cases and controls. Tofu was the major source of soy protein in Shanghai, whereas in Tianjin soy milk was the major source.

Use of cooking oils was similar between cases and controls in both locations. Use of alcohol was uncommon among women in Shanghai and Tianjin, and there was no association with breast cancer in these limited data. Fresh fruits or juices were not readily available and thus were infrequently consumed in both locations.

Discussion

Our dietary questions were designed to include all common foods in the local diet as well as local foods rich in any of the nutrients of interest. The two questionnaires have not been subjected to formal validation tests (such as comparison to a series of 3 or 7 day food records collected over the 12 months preceding the administration of the standard questionnaires). However, a comparison of the nutritional profile of our control subjects in Shanghai with those from a case–control study of colorectal cancer conducted in neighbouring Zhejiang and employing a detailed, but different, dietary questionnaire revealed a high degree of comparability between the two data sets (Whittemore et al., 1990). Thus, there is some assurance that our dietary data are reproducible and correlate with usual adult intake.

These data from two major Chinese urban areas do not support the hypothesis that high intake of soy protein protects against breast cancer. Intake of soy protein, in terms of either absolute levels or percentages of total protein or soy bean products, did not differ between breast cancer cases and controls in either Shanghai or Tianjin. Among Chinese Singaporeans, in whom the inverse association between soy protein intake and breast cancer risk was first observed (Lee et al., 1991), the median daily intake of soy protein was 2.5 g, or 7% of total protein. Among women in Shanghai and Tianjin, China, the comparable figures are 3.5 g (or 6.8% of total protein) and 2.8 g (or 5.5% of total protein) respectively. Therefore, exposure levels to soy protein among our study populations are similar to those experienced by Chinese Singaporean women.

Our data suggest that, among Chinese women, a diet that is high in pork intake and low in vegetable intake, especially green vegetables, predisposes to breast cancer development. In terms of nutrients, this high-risk dietary profile translates to a modest, positive association with total fat intake, and stronger, negative associations with intake of crude fibre, vitamin C and carotene. As noted above, our study could not adequately address the separate effects of the last three nutrients owing to the high correlations among them. The correlation coefficient between any two of these three nutrients was at least 0.8.

Recent, prospective studies of breast cancer have cast doubt on earlier observations, based on case–control design, of a positive association between dietary fat and breast cancer (Hunter and Willett, 1993). Our data are compatible with the null hypothesis (none of the dietary fat breast cancer associations after adjustment for energy intake and confounding factors was statistically significant), but could be interpreted to support a weak, positive association between fat intake and breast cancer risk within the range of exposure studied (ranging from a mean of 15% of total caloric intake among Shanghai women in the lowest quartile category to a mean of 35% among Tianjin women in the highest quartile group). These levels of exposure are considerably lower than those experienced by Western women, who were the subjects of the published cohort results (Hunter and Willett, 1993).

Our data strongly suggest that a diet high in food sources rich in fibre, carotene and vitamin C within the range of exposure studied protects against breast cancer. It has been

| Table IV Multivariate relative risks of breast cancer in Shanghai by tertiles of intake of total fat and crude fibre |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Crude fibre** | **Total fat** | **First tertile** | **Second tertile** | **Third tertile** |
| | | | | |
| Crude fibre | | | | |
| First tertile | | 1.06 | 1.40 | 2.92 |
| | (81.99) | (74.63) | (54.15) | (209.177) |
| Second tertile | | 0.87 | 1.41 | 1.18 |
| | (36.59) | (67.61) | (70.57) | (173.177) |
| Third tertile | | 1.00 | 1.01 | 0.73 |
| | (10.18) | (42.53) | (93.102) | (145.173) |
| *Adjusted for energy intake and non-dietary risk factors in Shanghai as listed under footnote in Table III. **Number of cases number of controls. Two-sided P-value < 0.05. |

| Table V Multivariate relative risks of breast cancer in Tianjin by tertiles of intake of total fat and crude fibre |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Crude fibre** | **Total fat** | **First tertile** | **Second tertile** | **Third tertile** |
| | | | | |
| Crude fibre | | | | |
| First tertile | | 2.07 | 2.12 | 2.44 |
| | (47.49) | (46.36) | (26.15) | (110.100) |
| Second tertile | | 0.98 | 1.58 | 1.98 |
| | (21.36) | (39.34) | (41.29) | (101.99) |
| Third tertile | | 1.00 | 0.80 | 1.52 |
| | (7.15) | (17.28) | (54.56) | (78.99) |
| *Adjusted for energy intake and non-dietary risk factors in Tianjin as listed under footnote in Table III. **Number of cases number of controls. Two-sided P-value < 0.05. |

| Table VI Mean daily intake (g) of individual foods among breast cancer cases and controls in Shanghai and Tianjin, China |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Shanghai** | **Tianjin** |
| | | **Cases** | **Controls** | **Cases** | **Controls** |
| | | **P-value** | **Cases** | **Controls** | **P-value** |
| Pork | 68.3 | 55.8 | 0.0004 | 39.8 | 36.0 | 0.06 |
| Beef mutton | 5.4 | 3.9 | 0.10 | 4.3 | 3.5 | 0.24 |
| Poultry | 15.2 | 15.3 | 0.96 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 0.66 |
| Fish | 31.8 | 29.8 | 0.48 | 10.0 | 11.5 | 0.10 |
| Eggs | 24.5 | 21.3 | 0.04 | 36.7 | 37.6 | 0.55 |
| Rice | 373.5 | 386.9 | 0.07 | 124.3 | 121.4 | 0.57 |
| Noodles bread | 49.6 | 43.7 | 0.12 | 217.2 | 221.4 | 0.51 |
| Medium dark-green vegetables | 164.4 | 189.1 | 0.37 | 19.4 | 20.0 | 0.37 |
| Light-green vegetables | 99.3 | 147.7 | 0.0001 | 87.8 | 93.5 | 0.04 |
| Yellow vegetables | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.21 | 5.1 | 5.3 | 0.65 |
| Tomatoes | 71.3 | 70.5 | 0.86 | 18.5 | 21.0 | 0.0002 |
| Tofu | 29.2 | 30.6 | 0.45 | 9.2 | 8.5 | 0.38 |
| Soy milk | 59.9 | 62.9 | 0.42 | 68.7 | 67.0 | 0.79 |
| Cow milk | 60.5 | 41.8 | 0.02 | 30.9 | 21.1 | 0.04 |
| Cooking oils | 18.6 | 17.5 | 0.048 | 17.6 | 17.6 | 0.95 |
suggested that endogenous oestrogens excreted via the bile are more readily metabolised and reabsorbed from the gut when the lumen contains little or no fibre. Thus, a woman on a low-fibre diet would experience greater exposure to endogenous oestrogen than a woman on a high-fibre diet (Sharpe and Skakkaebaek, 1993), thereby possibly resulting in a higher breast cancer risk (Henderson et al., 1991). Vitamin C and carotenoids are antioxidants that have been shown to protect against cancer in animals and humans, although information specific for breast cancer is relatively scant (Hunter and Willett, 1993). It is also possible that other ingredients present in Chinese green vegetables are responsible for the observed protective effect of this food group against breast cancer development.
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Appendix

The 68 food items listed in the questionnaire used in Shanghai are:

- (1) rice, (2) rice, (2) noodles, (4) mantou (steamed bun), (4) fat lean pork, (5) fatty pork, (6) lean pork, (7) pork chop, (8) pork spare ribs, (9) pig trotters, (10) salted pork, (11) pork liver, (12) other pig organ meats.
- (13) beef, (14) mutton, (15) chicken, (16) duck, (17) sausage, (18) eggs, (19) vegetable oils (rapeseed, soybean, sesame, etc.).
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