Radio and Radial Radio Numbers of Certain Sunflower Extended Graphs
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1. Introduction

The channel frequency assignment problem was first proposed by Griggs and Yeh [1] in 1992 for the amplitude modulation radio stations. Due to the cochannel interference, there is a challenge to fix the transmitters in a particular geographical area. Therefore, studying the channel assignment problem in radio stations is NP-complete. However, Fotakis et al. [2] proved that even for graphs with diameter 2, the problem is NP-hard. Chartrand et al. [3] presented the theoretical graph definition for the radio-k-chromatic number as follows.

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a connected graph with diameter $d$ and radius $\rho$. For any integer $k$, $1 \leq k \leq d$, radio $k$–coloring of $G$ is an assignment $\varphi$ of color (positive integer) to the vertices of $G$ such that $d(a, b) + |\varphi(a) - \varphi(b)| \geq 1 + k$, $\forall a, b \in V(G)$, where $d(a, b)$ is the distance between $a$ and $b$ in $G$. The biggest natural number in the range of $\varphi$ is called the radio $k$–chromatic number of $G$, and it is symbolized by $r_{ck}(G)$. The minimum number is taken over all such radio $k$–chromatic numbers of $\varphi$ which is called the radio $k$–chromatic number, denoted by $r_{ck}(G)$. For $k = d$ and $k = \rho$, the radio $k$–chromatic numbers are termed as the radio number ($rn(G)$) and radial radius number ($rr(G)$) of $G$, respectively. In this research work, the relationship between the radio number and radial radio number is studied for any connected graph. Then, several sunflower extended graphs are defined, and the upper bounds of the radio number and radial radio number are investigated for these graphs.

\[ r_{ck}(D(t - 1, t)) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}k^2 + k - \frac{t + 2}{2} & \text{where } t > 2 \text{ is an integer, } k > 3 \text{ is an odd integer,} \\ \frac{1}{2}tk^2, & \text{where } k > 0 \text{ is an odd integer, } t > 3 \text{ is an even integer.} \end{cases} \]
Recently, Bantva [5] improved this general lower bound. Based on different \( k \) values, the radio \( k \)-chromatic number is classified into different problems.

For \( k = d \), the radio \( k \)-chromatic number is termed as the radio number problem, and it is symbolized by \( rr(G) \). It was introduced by Chartrand et al. [6] for the purpose of determining the maximum number of channels for frequency modulation (FM) radio stations by minimum utilization of spectrum bandwidth. The radio number problem has been studied by several researchers [7, 8]. In 2017, Avadayappan et al. [9] brought in the concept of radial radio labelling. A star-sun graph, denoted by \( SS(n, h) \), is called a radial radio labeling if this satisfies the inequality \( \phi(a) - \phi(b) + d(a, b) \geq \rho + 1 \forall a, b \in V(G) \), where \( \rho \) is the radius of the graph \( G \). Radial radio number of \( G \), denoted by \( rr(G) \), is equal to the maximum number of channels used for the radio number problem.

### Theorem 1

**For any connected graph** \( G \), **radius** \( r(G) \) **≥ radial radio number** \( rr(G) \).

Chartrand et al. [6] proved the following three theorems, which will be used to study the general results for the radial radio number.

#### Theorem 2

If \( G \) is a connected graph of order \( n \) and diameter \( d \), then \( n \leq r(G) \leq (n - 1)d \).

#### Theorem 3

For a complete \( k \)-partite graph \( G \) of order \( n \), \( r(G) = n + (k + 1) \).

### 2. Relation between the Radio Number and Radial Radio Number

This section deals with certain results which connect \( r(G) \) with \( rr(G) \) for any connected graph \( G \).

**Definition 1.** The eccentricity of a vertex \( z \), represented by \( e(z) \) in a connected graph \( G \), is the maximum distance from \( z \) to any other vertex in \( G \). That is, \( e(z) = \max \{d(z, v)\} \forall v \in V(G) \). The maximum eccentricity of the vertices of \( G \) is called the diameter of the graph, and it is symbolized by \( d \) or \( di am(G) \). In addition, the radius of graph \( G \), symbolized by \( r \) or \( ra d(G) \), is the minimum eccentricity of the vertices of \( G \).

**Definition 2.** A connected graph \( G = (V, E) \) is called a self-centred graph if \( e(u) = e(v) \forall u, v \in V(G) \). In other words, \( di am(G) = ra d(G) \).

The following is a straight result from the definitions of the radio number and radial radio number.

**Theorem 4.** Every connected graph \( G \) of order \( n \) with \( r(G) = n \) is self-centred.

Using Theorem 5 and Definition 2, we have attained the equality of Theorem 1 as follows.

**Theorem 5.** A connected graph \( G \) of order \( n \) is self-centred if and only if \( r(G) = rr(G) = n \).

**Theorem 6.** Let \( G = (V, E) \) be a complete \( k \)-partite graph of order \( n \); then, \( r(G) = k \).

**Proof.** Let the vertex set of \( G \) be partitioned into \( k \) disjoint sets \( U_1, U_2, \ldots, U_k \) such that \( U_i \cap U_j = \emptyset, 1 \leq i \neq j \leq k \), and \( V = \cup_{i=1}^{k} U_i \). The radius of the complete \( k \)-partite graph is 1, and all the vertices in the sets \( U_i \), \( 1 \leq i \leq k \), are at distance two. Hence, we can label the vertices in each set \( U_i \) as \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, k \). Clearly, the radial radio labelling condition \( d(a, b) + \phi(a) - \phi(b) \geq 2 \) is satisfied for any pair of vertices in \( G \). Hence, \( r(G) = k \).

**Theorem 7.** If \( G \) is a connected graph of order \( n > 1 \) and radius \( r(G) \), then \( 2 \leq r(G) \leq (n - 1)r \).

**Proof.** Given \( G \) is a connected graph that contains at least two vertices. Therefore, the lower bound of the theorem attains in the particular case of Theorem 6 which is for the complete bipartite graphs. Furthermore, the upper bound is obtained by replacing \( d \) by \( r \) in Theorem 2. Consequently, \( 2 \leq r(G) \leq (n - 1)r, n > 1 \).

### 3. Results and Discussion

In this section, we have defined and investigated the radial radio and radio number of some sunflower extended graphs such as star-sun graph \( SS(n, h) \), complete-sun graph \( CS(n, h) \), wheel-sun graph \( WS(n, h) \), and fan-sun graph \( FS(n, h) \).

**Definition 3.** A sunflower graph consists of a wheel with a centre vertex \( w_n \), \( n \)-cycle \( w_0, w_1, \ldots, w_{n-1} \), and additional \( n \) vertices \( u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1} \) where \( u_i \) is joined with edges to \( (u_i, w_{i+1}) \), \( i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1 \), and \( i + 1 \) is taken as modulo \( n \). It is represented by \( SF_n \). The radius, diameter, and number of vertices of \( SF_n \) are 2, 4, and \( 2n + 1 \), respectively.

**Definition 4.** A star graph, denoted by \( S_{h+1} \), is defined as a complete bipartite graph of the form \( K_{1, h+1} \). In other words, \( S_{h+1} \) is a tree having \( h \) leaves and one internal vertex.

**Definition 5.** A star-sun graph, denoted by \( SS(n, h) \), is a graph obtained from the sunflower graph \( SF_n \) and \( n \) copies of star graph \( S_{h+1} \) merging the internal vertex of the \( k \)-th star graph \( S_{h+1} \) and vertex \( u_{k-1} \) of \( SF_n \), \( 1 \leq k \leq n \), as shown in Figure 1(a).

**Remark 1.** The cardinality of \( V(SS(n, h)) \) and \( E(SS(n, h)) \) in \( SS(n, h) \) is \( n(h + 2) + 1 \) and \( 2nh + 4n \), respectively. Also, the diameter and radius of the graph are 6 and 3, respectively.
Remark 2. The diameter and radius of $CS(n,h)$ are 6 and 3, respectively.

Definition 7. A wheel-sun graph, denoted by $WS(n,h)$, is a graph obtained from the sunflower graph $SF_n$ and $n$ copies of the complete graph $K_1$ by merging a vertex of the $h^{th}$ complete graph $K_h$ and the vertex $u_{n-1}$ of $SF_n$, as shown in Figure 1(b). Here, we have $|V(CS(n,h))| = n(h + 1)$ and $|E(CS(n,h))| = (nh(h - 1)/2) + 2n$.

Remark 3. The number of vertices in $WS(n,h)$ is $n(h + 2) + 1$, while its number of edges is $2n(2n + h)$. Also, its diameter and radius are 6 and 3, respectively.

Definition 8. A fan-sun graph is a graph obtained from the sunflower graph $SF_n$ and $n$ copies of wheel graph $W_{h+1}$ by merging the vertex $u_{n-1}$ of $SF_n$ and the centre vertex of the $h^{th}$ wheel, where $1 \leq k \leq n$ as shown in Figure 1(c).

Remark 4. For the graph $FS(n,h)$, the number of edges is $4n + n(2h - 1)$, while the number of vertices is $n(h + 2) + 1$. Moreover, the diameter and radius are 6 and 3, respectively.

In this work, we name the newly included $nh$ vertices of $SS(n,h)$, $WS(n,h)$, and $FS(n,h)$ as $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{nh}$ in the clockwise sense.

### 3.1. Radial Radio Number of Sunflower Extended Graphs

The following theorems provide the upper bound for the radial radio number of $S(n,h)$, $CS(n,h)$, and $WS(n,h)$.

Theorem 8. Let $G$ be the sun-star graph $SS(n,h)$. Then, $rr(SS(n,h)) \leq 3n + 2h + 1$.

Proof. First, we define a mapping $\phi: V(SS(n,h)) \rightarrow N$ as follows:

$$\phi(v_{p(j-1)+i}) = 2i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, h, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n.$$  
$$\phi(w_{j-1}) = 2h + 1 + i, i = 0, 1, \ldots, |n/2| - 1, \phi(w_{j+1}) = 2(\lceil n/2 \rceil + h + 1 + i), i = 0, 1, \ldots, |n/2| - 1, \phi(u_{n-1}) = 2(\lceil n/2 + h + 1 \rceil + i), i = 0, 1, \ldots, |n/2| - 1, \phi(w_{n}) = 1$$

and $\phi(v_{n}) = 1$ as shown in Figure 2.

Since the radius of the graph is 3, we must verify $\phi$ satisfies the radial radio labelling condition $d(a, b) + |\phi(a) - \phi(b)| \geq 2 + r$ for every pair of vertices $a, b \in V(SS(n,h))$.

Let us choose any two arbitrary vertices $a$ and $b$ in the sun-star graph.

Case 1: suppose $a$ and $b$ are star vertices, then $a$ and $b$ are of the form $a = v_i$ and $b = v_{m+1}, 1 \leq m \neq nh$.

Case 1.1: if $l = h(j - 1) + a$ and $m = h(j - 1) + b$, then the value of $a$ and $b$ under $\phi$ is $2p$ and $2q$, respectively. Also, $a$ and $b$ are at a distance two. Hence, the radial radio labelling condition becomes $d(a, b) + |\phi(a) - \phi(b)| = 2 + |2a - b| \geq 4$ since $a \neq b$.

Case 2: if $l = a + h(s - 1) + \beta$ and $m = b + h(t - 1) + \beta$, then $a$ and $b$ are at a distance at least 4. Hence, the radial radio labelling condition is trivially satisfied.

Case 3: if we take $a = v_{(j-1)+s}, 1 \leq s \leq h$, and $b = w_{m+1}, 0 \leq m \leq n - 1$, then the value of $\phi(a)$ is $2l$, and $\phi(b)$ is at least $2(h + 1 + m)$. Furthermore, $d(a, b)$ is at least 2. Therefore, $d(a, b) + |\phi(a) - \phi(b)| \geq 2 + (2h + 2 - (h + 2)) \geq 4$.

Case 4: suppose $a = v_{(j-1)+s}, 1 \leq s \leq h$, and $b = w_{m+1}, 0 \leq m \leq n - 1$, the value of $\phi(a)$ is $2l$, and $\phi(b)$ is at least $2(h + 1 + m)$. Furthermore, $d(a, b)$ is at least 2. Therefore, $d(a, b) + |\phi(a) - \phi(b)| \geq 2 + (2h + 2) - 2n \geq 4$.

Case 5: if $a$ is the centre vertex of the wheel and $b$ is any other star vertex, then the distance between them is exactly 3. Also, $\phi(a) = 0$ and $\phi(b) \geq 1$. Therefore, $d(a, b) + |\phi(a) - \phi(b)| \geq 4$.

Case 6: let $a$ and $b$ be the vertices in the $n$-cycle of the sunflower graph.

Case 6.1: if $a = v_{i+1}$ and $b = v_{i+1}, 0 \leq i \leq n/2 - 1$, then $|\phi(a) - \phi(b)| \geq 2(l - m)$. Again, $d(a, b) = 2.$ Since $l \neq m$, the condition for the radial radio labelling is satisfied.

Case 6.2: suppose $a$ and $b$ are of the form $w_{i+1}$ and $w_{i+1}$, where $0 \leq i \leq n/2 - 1$, then the distance between them is exactly two. Also, the function values of $a$ and $b$ are $2(h + 1 + |n/2 - 1|)$ and $2(h + m + |n/2 - 1|)$, respectively. Hence, the radial radio labelling condition becomes $d(a, b) + |\phi(a) - \phi(b)| = 2 + 2(|n/2 + h + 1| - 2(|n/2 + h + m + 1|)) \geq 4$.

Case 6.3: suppose $a = w_{i+1}, 0 \leq i \leq |n/2 - 1|$, and $b = w_{i+1}, 0 \leq m \leq |n/2 - 1|$, then $\phi(a) = 2(h + 1 + l)$ and $\phi(b) = 2(h + m + |n/2 - 1|)$.

If $m = 0$ and $l = |n/2 - 1|$, then $d(a, b) = 2$; else, $d(a, b) \geq 1$. Hence, in both possibilities, we obtain $d(a, b) + |\phi(a) - \phi(b)| = 2 + 2(|n/2 + h + 1| - 2(h + m + 1)) \geq 4$.

Case 7: if $a = w_{i+1}, 0 \leq i \leq |n/2 - 1|$, and $b = w_{i+1}$, then $\phi(a) - \phi(b)$ is at least $2h + 1$. Since $n > 2$, the radial radio labelling condition is easily verified.

Case 8: suppose $a = u_i$ and $w_{m+1}, 0 \leq i \neq m \leq n - 1$.

Case 8.1: if $l = 2a$ and $m = 2b$, $0 \leq a, b \leq |n/2 - 1|$, respectively, then $\phi(a) = 2(h + 1 + a) + \alpha$ and $\phi(b) = 2(h + 1 + a) + \beta$. Also, the distance between $a$ and $b$ is 2. Hence, we have $d(a, b) + |\phi(a) - \phi(b)| = 2 + 2(2(h + 1 + a) + \alpha - (2(h + 1 + a) + \beta) > 4$ since $\alpha \neq \beta$.  


between them is at least 1, and the modulus difference

\[ |d(a, b) - |d(\phi(a)) - \phi(b)|| = 2 + [2(n + h + 1) + [n/2] + \alpha + \beta + 1 - (2(n + h + 1) + [n/2] + 1)| \geq 4. \]

The remaining possibility is obvious since the distance between them is at least 1, and the modulus difference between \( \phi(a) \) and \( \phi(b) \) is at least 4.

\[ |d(a, b) + \phi(a) - \phi(b)| = 2 + [2(n + h + 1) + [n/2] - 1 - (2(n + h + 1) + [n/2] + 1)| \geq 2 + 2 = 4. \]

Case 8.2: if \( l = 2a + 1 \) and \( m = 2\beta + 1, \ 0 \leq a \neq \beta \leq [n/2] - 1 \), then \( d(a, b) = 2\). Also, \( \phi \) takes the values of \( a \) and \( b \) to \( 2(n + h) + [n/2] + \alpha + 1 \) and \( 2(n + h + 1) + [n/2] + \beta + 1 \), respectively. Therefore, \( d(a, b) + |\phi(a) - \phi(b)| = 2 + [2(n + h + 1) + [n/2] + \alpha + 1 - (2(n + h + 1) + [n/2] + \beta + 1)| \geq 4. \)

Case 8.3: let \( a = u_m, 0 \leq l \leq [n/2] - 1 \), and \( b = u_{2m+1}, 0 \leq m \leq [n/2] - 1 \); then, \( a \) and \( b \) are mapped to \( 2(n + h + 1) + l \) and \( 2(n + h + 1) + [n/2] + m + 1 \), respectively.

When \( l = [n/2] - 1 \) and \( m = 0 \), \( d(a, b) = 2 \), and hence, \( d(a, b) + |\phi(a) - \phi(b)| = 2 + [2(n + h + 1) + [n/2] - 1 - (2(n + h + 1) + [n/2] + 1)| \geq 2 + 2 = 4. \)

Hence, we conclude that \( rr(SS(n, h)) \leq 3n + 2h + 1. \) \( \square \)

**Theorem 9.** Let \( G \) be the complete-sun graph \( CS(n, h) \). If \( n \equiv 0 \text{(mod} 3) \), then the radial radio number of \( G \) satisfies \( rr(G) \leq 3(h + 1) + 2n. \)

**Proof.** Let us name the newly included \( n(h - 1) \) vertices of \( CS(n, h) \) as \( v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{n(h-1)} \) in the clockwise sense. Now, we define a one-one mapping \( \phi: V(CS(n, h)) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \ldots\} \) as follows:
\[ \phi\left( v_{(n-1)(j-1)+i} \right) = 3i - 1, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, h - 1, j = 1, 2 \ldots n, \]
\[ \phi\left( u_{3j+i} \right) = 3h - 1, \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, \frac{n}{3} - 1, \]
\[ \phi\left( u_{3j} \right) = 3h + 1, \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, \frac{n}{3} - 1, \]
\[ \phi\left( u_{3j+1} \right) = 3h + 3, \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, \frac{n}{3} - 1, \]
\[ \phi\left( w_{2i} \right) = 3h + 2i + 5, \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor - 1, \]
\[ \phi\left( w_{2i+1} \right) = 3h + 2\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + 2i + 5, \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor - 1, \]
\[ \phi\left( w_n \right) = 1. \]

This mapping is visible in Figure 3(a).

In the following, we claim that \( d(a, b) = |\phi(a) - \phi(b)| \geq 4 \forall a, b \in V(CS(n, b)). \)

Let \( a, b \in V(CS(n, b)). \)

Case 1: suppose \( a = v_{(n-1)(p-1)+\alpha} \) and \( b = v_{(n-1)(q-1)+\beta}, 1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq h - 1, \quad 1 \leq p, q \leq n, \) then \( \phi\left( v_{(n-1)(p-1)+\alpha} \right) = 3m - 1 \) and \( \phi\left( v_{(n-1)(q-1)+\beta} \right) = 3m - 1. \)

Case 1.1: if \( \alpha \neq \beta, \) then \( d\left( v_{(n-1)(p-1)+\alpha}, v_{(n-1)(q-1)+\beta} \right) = 1 \) and \( |\phi(a) - \phi(b)| \geq |3(\alpha - \beta)|. \) Therefore, \( d(a, b) + |\phi(a) - \phi(b)| \geq 1 + |3(\alpha - \beta)| \geq 4 \) since \( \alpha \neq \beta. \)

Case 1.2: if \( p = q, \) then \( d\left( v_{(n-1)(p-1)+\alpha}, v_{(n-1)(q-1)+\beta} \right) = 4, \) which is enough for verifying the condition.

Case 2: assume that \( a = v_{(n-1)(p-1)+\alpha}, 1 \leq \alpha \leq h - 1, \) and \( b = u_{2p}, 0 \leq \beta \leq n - 1; \) then, \( \phi(a) = \beta - 4 \) and \( \phi(b) = 3h - 1. \) Also, \( d(a, b) \geq 1. \) Therefore, \( d(a, b) + |\phi(a) - \phi(b)| \geq 1 + 3 \geq 4. \)

Case 3: if we take \( a = v_{(n-1)(p-1)+\alpha}, 1 \leq \alpha \leq h - 1, 1 \leq p \leq n, \) and \( b = w_{2p}, 0 \leq \beta \leq n - 1, \) then \( |\phi(a) - \phi(b)| \geq |3h - 4 - (3h + 3)| > 4 \) since \( n > 2, \) which verifies the condition trivially.

Case 4: assume that \( a = u_{2p}, 0 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n - 1. \)

Case 4.1: if \( \alpha = n - 2 \) and \( \beta = 1, \) then \( \phi(u_{2p}) = 3h + 3 \) and \( \phi(w_{2p}) = 3h + 5. \) However, \( d(u_{2p}, w_{2p}) = 2. \) Therefore, \( d(a, b) + |\phi(a) - \phi(b)| \geq 4. \) Otherwise, \( |\phi(a) - \phi(b)| \geq 3. \)

Case 5: let \( a = u_{2p}, \) and \( b = w_{2q}, 0 \leq \alpha \neq \beta \leq n - 1. \) In this case, if \( \alpha = 3p + 2 \) and \( \beta = 3q + 2 \) or \( \alpha = 3p + 1 \) and \( \beta = 3q + 1 \) or \( \alpha = 3p \) and \( \beta = 3q, 0 \leq p + q \leq (n/3) - 1, \) then \( d(a, b) = 4 \) and \( |\phi(a) - \phi(b)| = 0. \) Otherwise, \( d(a, b) \geq 2 \) and \( |\phi(a) - \phi(b)| \geq 2. \) Hence, in both possibilities, the condition for radial radio labelling is satisfied.

Case 6: assume that \( a = u_{2p}, \) and \( b = w_{2p}, 0 \leq \alpha \neq \beta \leq n - 1. \) If \( \alpha = 2p \) and \( \beta = 2q \) or \( \alpha = 2p + 1 \) and \( \beta = 2q + 1, \) \( 0 \leq p + q \leq (n/2) - 1, \) then \( d(a, b) = 2. \) Thus, \( d(a, b) = 2 \) and \( |\phi(a) - \phi(b)| \geq 2. \) Otherwise, \( d(a, b) \geq 1 \) and \( |\phi(a) - \phi(b)| \geq 4. \) Therefore, the condition holds in both of the possibilities.

Case 7: finally, let us assume that \( a = w_{2p}, \) and \( b \) is any vertex in \( CS(n, b). \) If \( b = v_1, \) then \( d(a, b) = 3 \) and \( |\phi(a) - \phi(b)| = 1. \) Otherwise, the radial radio labelling condition is obviously true. Thus, \( \phi \) satisfies the condition of radial radio labelling and attains the maximum value \( 3h + 2n/2 + 2n/2 + 2 + 5 = 3h + 2n + 3 \) for the vertex \( w_{2p(n/2)}. \) Therefore, we get \( rr(CS(n, b)) \leq 3(h + 1) + 2n. \)

The proof for the other two cases, namely, \( n \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \) and \( n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}, \) is left to the reader. \( \square \)

**Theorem 10.** For \( n > 2 \) and \( r > 3, \) the radial radio number of the wheel-star graph satisfies

\[
rr(SS(n, b)) \leq \begin{cases} 
2(h + n) + 6, n \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \\
2(h + n) + 8, n \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \\
2(h + n) + 9, n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}
\end{cases}
\]

We omit the proof, but Figure 3(b) illustrates the case \( n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \)

**3.2. Radio Number of Sunflower Extended Graphs.** This section provides the upper bound for the radio number of \( S(n, b), SS(n, b), \) and \( WS(n, b). \)

**Theorem 11.** For \( n > 3 \) and \( n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \) the radio number of the complete-sun graph satisfies \( rr(CS(n, b)) \leq 18b + 9n - 30. \)

**Proof.** We define a 1-1 mapping \( \phi: V(CS(n, b)) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \ldots\} \) as follows:
Figure 3: A radial radio labelling of complete-sun graph \(CS(n, h)\) for \(n = 9\) and \(h = 4\) and wheel-sun graph \(WS(8, 5)\).

\[
\begin{align*}
\phi(v_{(h-1)(j-1)+1}) &= 6(i-1) + j + 4, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n, \\
\phi(v_{(h-1)(j-2)+1}) &= 6b + \frac{n}{3} + 6(i-1) + j - 8, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n, \\
\phi(v_{(h-1)(j-1)+1}) &= 12b + 2\frac{n}{3} + 6(i-1) + j - 20, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n, \\
\phi(u_1) &= 18b + n + 3i - 28, \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, n, \\
\phi(u_{3i+1}) &= 18b + 2n + 3i - 28, \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, n/3 - 1, \\
\phi(u_{3i+2}) &= 18b + 3(n+i) - 28, \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, n/3 - 1, \\
\phi(w_2) &= 18b + 4n + 5i - 25, \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, n/2 - 1, \\
\phi(w_{(2i+1)}) &= 18b + 4n + 5i(n/2) + 5i - 25, \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil - 1, \\
\phi(w_n) &= 1.
\end{align*}
\]

See Figure 3(a).

Then, to show \(\phi\) is a valid radio labelling, we must verify the inequality

\[
d(a, b) + |\phi(a) - \phi(b)| \geq 7 \forall a, b \in V(CS(n, h)).
\]  

Let \(a, b \in V(CS(n, h))\).

Case 1: suppose that \(a = v_a\) and \(b = v_b\), \(1 \leq \alpha \neq \beta \leq n\) \((h-1)\).

Case 1.1: if \(\alpha = 3(h-1)(s-1) + p\) and \(\beta = 3(h-1)(t-1) + q\) or \(\alpha = (h-1)(3s-2) + p\) and \(\beta = (h-1)(3s-2) + p\) or \(\alpha = (h-1)(3s-1) + p\) and \(\beta = (h-1)(3s-1) + p\) where \(p \neq q\) and \(s = t\), then

\[
d(v_a, v_b) = 1\quad \text{and}\quad |\phi(v_a) - \phi(v_b)| \geq 6(p - q) \geq 6.
\]  

In the same subcase, if \(s \neq t\), then \(d(v_a, v_b) = 6\) and \(|\phi(v_a) - \phi(v_b)| \geq 1\). So, \(d(a, b) + |\phi(a) - \phi(b)| \geq 7\).

Case 1.2: if \(\alpha = 3(h-1)(s-1) + p\) and \(\beta = 3(h-1)(t-1) + q\), where \(1 \leq p, q \leq h-1, 1 \leq s, t \leq (n/3)\), then \(\phi(v_a) = 6(p-1) + s + 4\) and \(\phi(v_b) = 6(p-1) + s + 4\). In addition, \(d(v_a, v_b) \geq 4\). Therefore, \(d(a, b) + |\phi(a) - \phi(b)| \geq 4 + 6(p-1) + s + 4 + 6(p-1) + t - 8 \geq 4 + 3 = 7\).

Case 1.3: if \(\alpha = 3(h-1)(s-1) + p\) and \(\beta = (h-1)(3t-1) + q\), where \(1 \leq p, q \leq h-1, 1 \leq s, t \leq (n/3)\), then \(|\phi(a) - \phi(b)| = 6(p-1) + s + 4 - (12b +}
2(n/3) + 6(q − 1) + t − 20)| > 6. Consequently, the condition is true.

Case 1.4: if \( a = (h − 1) \) \((3s − 2) + \) and \( \beta = (h − 1)(3t − 1) + q \), where \( 1 ≤ p, q ≤ h − 1, 1 ≤ s, t \leq \), then \( d(v_a, v_p) ≥ 4 \) and \( |φ(v_a) − φ(v_p)| = 6b + (n/3) + 6(p − 1) + s − 8 \) \( − (12b + 2(n/3) + 6(q − 1) + t − 20)| ≥ 3 \). It follows that \( d(a, b) + |φ(a) − φ(b)| ≥ 7 \).

Case 2: take \( a = v_a \) and \( b = v_{β}p, 0 ≤ a, β ≤ n − 1 \). If \( a = 3s + p \) and \( \beta = 3t + q \), \( 0 ≤ p = q ≤ 2, 0 ≤ s, t ≤ (n/3) − 1 \), then \( d(v_{3s+p}, v_{3t+q}) = 4 \) and \( |φ(v_{3s+p}) − φ(v_{3t+q})| ≥ 3 \). Otherwise, that is, if \( p ≠ q \), \( d(v_{3s+p}, v_{3t+q}) ≥ 2 \) and \( |φ(v_{3s+p}) − φ(v_{3t+q})| ≤ 3 \). Therefore, in both cases, we get \( d(a, b) + |φ(a) − φ(b)| ≥ 7 \).

Case 3: assume that \( a = u_{2t+q} \) and \( b = u_{2s+p} \), \( 0 ≤ s ≤ (n/2) − 1, 0 ≤ t ≤ (n/2) − 1, 0 ≤ p, q ≤ 1 \). If \( p = q \), then \( |φ(u_{2t+p}) − φ(u_{2t+q})| ≥ 4 \) and \( d(v_{3s+p}, v_{3t+q}) = 3 \); else, \( d(v_{3s+p}, v_{3t+q}) ≥ 2 \) and \( |φ(v_{3s+p}) − φ(v_{3t+q})| ≥ \lceil (n/2) + (n/2 − 2) \rceil \). Therefore, in both of them, the inequality is satisfied.

Case 4: suppose that \( a = v_a \) and \( b = u_{β}q, 0 ≤ a ≤ n(h − 1), 0 ≤ β ≤ n − 1 \); then, either \( d(a, b) = 3 \) and \( |φ(a) − φ(b)| ≥ 4 \) or \( d(a, b) = 1 \) and \( |φ(a) − φ(b)| > 6 \). Therefore, \( d(a, b) + |φ(a) − φ(b)| ≥ 7 \).

Case 5: if we take \( a = v_a, 1 ≤ a ≤ n(h − 1), \) and \( b = w_{β}, 0 ≤ β ≤ n − 1 \), then from the mapping, \( |φ(a) − φ(b)| ≥ 12b + 2(n/3) + 6(b − 2) + (n/3) − 20 − (18b + 4n − 25) q) > n > 6 \), which verifies the condition trivially.

Case 6: assume that \( a = u_a \) and \( b = w_{β}, 0 ≤ a, β ≤ n − 1 \). If \( a = n − 1 \) and \( β = 0 \), then \( |φ(u_a) − φ(w_{β})| = 18b + 4n + 5(n/2) − 25) = 6 \) and \( d(u_a, w_{β}) = 1 \). Otherwise, \( |φ(u_a) − φ(w_{β})| > 6 \). So, \( d(u_a, w_{β}) + |φ(u_a) − φ(w_{β})| > 6 \).

Case 7: let \( a \) be the centre vertex of the wheel and \( b \) be any other vertex in the graph. If \( b = v_1 \), then \( |φ(w_a) − φ(v_1)| = |1 − 5| = 4 \) and \( d(a, b) = 3 \). Otherwise, the condition is obviously true. Thus, \( φ \) is a valid radio labelling, and the vertex \( w_{m−1} \) is labelled with the maximum number \( 18b + 4n + 5(n/2) + 5(n/2 − 1) − 25 \) \( = 18b + 9n − 30 \). Hence, \( rn(CS(n, b)) ≤ 18b + 9n − 30, n > 4 \) and \( n = 0 (mod 3) \).

Theorem 12. For \( n > 5 \) and \( n ≡ 1 (mod 3) \), the radio number of the star-sun graph satisfies \( rr(SS(n, b)) ≤ 20b + 12[n/3] + 5n/4 − 4 \).

Proof. First, we define an injection \( φ: V(SS(n, b)) → N \) as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
φ(v_{3b−i+1}) &= 5(i − 1) + j + 4, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, b, j = 1, 2, \ldots, \left\lceil n/3 \right\rceil, \\
φ(v_{3b−i+2}) &= 5b + \left\lceil n/3 \right\rceil + 5(i − 1) + j − 1, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, b, j = 1, 2, \ldots, \left\lceil n/3 \right\rceil, \\
φ(v_{2b−i+1}) &= 10b + 2 \left\lceil n/3 \right\rceil + 5(i − 1) + j − 4, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, b, j = 1, 2, \ldots, \left\lceil n/3 \right\rceil, \\
φ(v_{2b−i+2}) &= 15b + 3 \left\lceil n/3 \right\rceil + 5(i − 1) − 6, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, b, \\
φ(u_{3i}) &= 20b + 3 \left\lceil n/3 \right\rceil + 3i − 7, \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, \left\lceil n/3 \right\rceil, \\
φ(u_{3i+1}) &= 20b + 6 \left\lceil n/3 \right\rceil + 3i − 4, \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, \left\lceil n/3 \right\rceil, \\
φ(u_{3i+2}) &= 20b + 9 \left\lceil n/3 \right\rceil + 3i − 1, \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, \left\lceil n/3 \right\rceil, \\
φ(w_{2i}) &= 20b + 12 \left\lceil n/3 \right\rceil + 5i + 1, \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, \left\lceil n/2 \right\rceil − 1, \\
φ(w_{2i+1}) &= 20b + 12 \left\lceil n/3 \right\rceil + 5 \left\lceil (n/2) + i \right\rceil + 1, \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, \left\lceil n/2 \right\rceil − 1, \\
φ(w_{n}) &= 1.
\end{align*}
\]

We omit the rest of the proof as it is similar to the one for Theorem 11.

The other two cases in Theorems 11 and 12 are also left to the reader.
Theorem 13. For $n > 5$, the radio number of the wheel-sun graph satisfies

$$rr(WS(n, \mathcal{U})) \leq \begin{cases}
15h + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \right\rfloor + 8n - 4, & n \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \\
19h + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \right\rfloor + 8n - 4, & n \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \\
19 + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \right\rfloor + 8n - 5, & n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}
\end{cases}$$

Figure 4 illustrates the case $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. We omit the proof of this theorem.

4. Conclusion

In this paper, we have presented the relation between the radio number and radial radio number. We have also defined and investigated the bounds for the same problems for the graphs $CS(n, h)$, $SS(n, h)$, and $WS(n, h)$. For the graph fan-sun graph $SS(n, \mathcal{U})$, the problem is still considered as an open research problem that needs further investigation. Since the method of finding the radial radio number and radio number of the fan-sun graph is similar to the previous theorem, it is still open to the interested researchers to do a further research work that can extend our results to identify more relations between the radio number and radial number by studying the same problem for interconnection networks.
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