Precision of handheld multispectral optoacoustic tomography for muscle imaging
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**ABSTRACT**

Photo-or optoacoustic imaging (OAI) allows quantitative imaging of target tissues. Using multi-wavelength illumination with subsequent ultrasound detection, it may visualize a variety of different chromophores at centimeter depth. Despite its non-invasive, label-free advantages, the precision of repeated measurements for clinical applications is still elusive. We present a multilayer analysis of the inter- and intramuscular variability of endogenous absorbers or exogenous contrast agents. The use of a semi-automated batch mode software. Intraclass correlation coefficients (ICC) were good to excellent for intrarater (SWL: 0.82–0.92; MSOT-parameter: 0.72–0.92) and interrater reproducibility (SWL: 0.79–0.87; MSOT-parameter: 0.78–0.86). Results were stable over time, but exercise-related effects as well as inter- and intramuscular variability were observed. The findings of this study provide a framework for further clinical OAI implementation.
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**1. Introduction**

Within recent years, photoacoustic or optoacoustic imaging (PAI/OAI) has been evolving from a preclinical to a promising future clinical imaging modality [1,2]. OAI allows non-invasive, quantitative imaging of target tissues with high spatial resolution by means of near-infrared laser-induced thermoelastic expansion and subsequent detection of ultrasonic waves [3]. As comparable to clinical ultrasound (US), handheld OAI devices can offer structural, functional and kinetic information, either generated by endogenous absorbers or exogenous contrast agents [4]. The use of a multi-wavelength illumination, termed as multispectral optoacoustic tomography (MSOT), may allow to resolve endogenous chromophores such as deoxygenated and oxygenated hemoglobin, melanin and lipids [3,5,6]. The potential clinical impact of label-free imaging has been shown in various studies, including the visualization of disease activity in Crohn’s disease [7], malignant features in human breast tissue [8], microvascular dysfunction in systemic sclerosis [9], diverse cardiovascular applications [10] and muscle degeneration in Duchenne muscular dystrophy [11]. From a clinical perspective, MSOT offers non-invasive functional imaging without the need for ionizing radiation or long scanning times.

Since MSOT enables quantification, standardization and measures of precision are needed to evaluate the accuracy and reliability of the technical systems and to ensure quality and control of measured parameters for further studies and subsequent clinical translation [12].
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preclinical studies, OAI was reported to consistently perform equal or better (with variations of smaller than 10 %) than other preclinical imaging modalities, underlining its clinical potential [13]. Efforts are currently made, as seen with the foundation of the International Photoacoustics Standardization Consortium (IPASC), to reach an international consensus on PAI standardization [14].

To address the need of further clinical imaging precision, we provide a large-scale semi-automated multidimensional analysis of OAI imaging data derived from a prospective single-center clinical study in healthy adult volunteers. We demonstrate the precision of quantitative imaging measurements for intra- and interrater reproducibility, temporal and exercise-associated errors as well as inter- and intramuscular variability.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Study design and participants

A prospective, monocentric, single arm clinical trial (investigator-initiated trial) was performed. The ethics committee of the University of Erlangen-Nürnberg granted approval for the study (number: 169_19B) and it was registered at clinicaltrials.gov (Identifier: NCT03979157). All volunteers gave written informed consent and the study was conducted in accordance with the declaration of Helsinki. Imaging before and after exercise was performed in the same sequence at two visits in a 14-day-interval. n = 10 healthy volunteers (n = 5 women, n = 5 men) > 18 years of age were recruited. All participants were asked to shave the imaging sites prior to examination. Exclusion criteria included anamnestic or clinical signs of myopathy, pregnancy and tattoos on the skin area to be examined.

2.2. Study flow

After inclusion, a resting period after arrival at the study site was ensured. MSOT imaging was performed according to the following protocol: all imaging procedures were consecutively repeated by two different, independent examiners (investigator A, two years of clinical experience) and automated region of interest (ROI) placement analysis. MSOT signals for SWLs (660 nm, 680 nm, 715 nm, 730 nm, 760 nm, 800 nm, 850 nm, 920 nm, 1000 nm, 1030 nm, 1064 nm, 1100 nm, and 1210 nm) and MSOT parameters (deoxygenated hemoglobin (Hb), oxygenated hemoglobin (HbO2), total hemoglobin (HbT), collagen, and lipid) were obtained. MSOT = Multispectral Optoacoustic Tomography, SWL = Single wavelength, ROI = region of interest.
MSOT imaging experience and B, no previous experience, both with experience in standard US). MSOT was performed on two anatomical muscle groups of the leg (upper: quadriceps, lower: gastrocnemius) on both sides (left and right). MSOT scans were taken at three different positions (proximal, middle and distal) with two repetitive scans per position. Identical scanning positions were ensured by skin markings according to anatomical landmarks at each visit (middle quadriceps: half between inguinal ligament and tip of patella; proximal: ±5 cm; distal: ±5 cm; middle gastrocnemius: one third of popliteal fossa to half between inguinal ligament and tip of patella; proximal: ±5 cm; distal: ±5 cm). All MSOT scans were acquired in an identical fashion before and after standardized exercise (6-minute walk test [15,16]). The entire procedure was repeated after 14 days (Fig. 1a).

2.3. **MSOT technical details**

A prototype hybrid reflected-ultrasound computed tomography (RUCT)-MSOT imaging system (MSOT Acuity Echo, iThera Medical GmbH, Munich, Germany) comprising a handheld 2D detector (4 MHz, 256 transducer elements) was used. The detector had a field of view of 40 mm x 40 mm and a spatial resolution of 150 μm. The probe was positioned at about 90 degree angle on the skin, coupled by transparent US gel (medinex GmbH, Germany). RUCT was used for guidance during image acquisition. For laser safety all patients and examiners wore appropriate laser safety goggles.

2.4. **Data analysis**

MSOT signals were obtained at 660 nm, 680 nm, 715 nm, 730 nm, 760 nm, 800 nm, 850 nm, 920 nm, 1000 nm, 1030 nm, 1064 nm, 1100 nm, 1210 nm and reconstructed using backprojection algorithm [17]. A polygonal region of interest (ROI) was traced just beneath the muscle fascia according to the RUCT image by an independent blinded reader using clabs software (V2.65, iThera Medical GmbH). Quantification was performed by iLabs software (iThera Medical GmbH) in a batch-processing mode (Fig. 1b). All MSOT signals are given in arbitrary units (a.u.) and correspond to the mean signal value within the ROI. For evaluation of MSOT unmixing parameters multiple SWL from the near-(MSOT hemoglobin) and extended near (MSOT collagen and MSOT lipid) infrared spectrum of light were used for further analyses to depict specific spectral signatures from multispectral measurements ([5,7,11,18]). Deoxygenated hemoglobin (Hb), oxygenated hemoglobin (HbO2), collagen and lipid were spectrally unmixed using linear regression algorithm [3,19]. 715 nm, 730 nm, 760 nm, 800 nm and 850 nm were used for unmixing Hb and HbO2, whereas collagen and lipid were unmixed using 680 nm, 715 nm, 730 nm, 760 nm, 800 nm, 850 nm, 920 nm, 1000 nm, 1030 nm, 1064 nm and 1100 nm. Total hemoglobin (HbT) signal was calculated as HbT = Hb + HbO2 In the following, all described parameters implicit MSOT derived parameters, e.g. HbT = MSOT parameter HbT or collagen = MSOT parameter collagen.

2.5. **Statistical analysis**

Given the pilot study design, no case number calculation could be performed. The data are mean ± SD and tested for normal distribution using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Analyses were performed in a paired fashion. For two normal distributed groups, a paired t-test, and for nonparametric data, Wilcoxon matched-pair signed rank tests were applied. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for three or more groups and in case of nonparametric distribution Friedman Test was used. Correlations were calculated using Pearson (r) or nonparametric Spearman correlation coefficient (r_s) as appropriate. To define the upper and lower limit, the 95 %CI was used. The intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) was performed [20], by a two-way mixed effects, absolute agreement, single measurement for intrarater reproducibility and two-way random effects, absolute agreement, multiple measurements for interrater reproducibility [21]. Grading was as follows: < 0.4 were considered as poor, 0.41–0.60 moderate, 0.61–0.80 good, 0.81–1 very good and 1 as perfect agreement [22]. Bland Altman plots were calculated including 95 % limits of agreement [23]. A p < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant. Statistical analysis was carried out using IBM SPSS Statistics (Version 24, International Business Machines Corporation, USA) and GraphPad Prism (Version 8, GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA).

3. **Results**

3.1. **Study population**

n = 10 healthy volunteers (n = 5 women, n = 5 men) were included in the clinical trial between October 14th and November 11th, 2019. Age was 25.7 ± 4.4 years, weight was 67.0 ± 15.1 kg, height was 174.0 ± 10.2 cm, body-mass-index (BMI) was 21.9 ± 2.8 kg/m². No serious adverse events were reported (Table 1).

3.2. **Intrarater reproducibility**

All scans (n = 1920) were used to assess the reproducibility within one examiner. Intraclass correlations (ICC) between scan 1&2 were excellent for all SWL (range: 0.82 – 0.92. Fig. 2a, Supplementary Table 1). The derived MSOT parameters showed good/excellent ICCs for Hb (0.91; 95 %CI: 0.90–0.92), HbO2 (0.87; 95 %CI: 0.85–0.88), HbT (0.92; 95 %CI: 0.91–0.93), collagen (0.82; 95 %CI: 0.79–0.84) and lipid (0.72; 95 %CI: 0.68–0.76) (Fig. 2b, Supplementary Table 2). The experienced (examiner A, range: 0.83–0.93) showed a minimally better intrarater reproducibility than the non-experienced examiner (examiner B, range: 0.81–0.91). Bland-Altman plots did not show a large dispersion (bias for 800 nm: −0.43, HbT: −1.12 × 10⁻⁴ and collagen: −0.08, respectively, Fig. 2c).

3.3. **Interrater reproducibility**

The following analyses were performed with the mean values of scan 1 (n = 960) and 2 (n = 960). Values were matched for each anatomic position. ICCs were excellent for SWLs 660 nm–1100 nm (range: 0.82–0.87, for 95 %CI) and good for 1210 nm (0.79; 95 %CI: 0.75–0.82) (Fig. 3a, Supplementary Table 3). For MSOT parameters, ICCs were

| Table 1: Healthy volunteers’ clinical characteristics. |
|-------------------------------------------------------|
| **Female (n = 5)** | **Male (n = 5)** |
| Age, years | 25.0 ± 2.7 | 26.4 ± 5.8 |
| Height, cm | 166.4 ± 5.3 | 182.6 ± 4.7 |
| Weight, kg | 55.6 ± 8.0 | 78.4 ± 11.2 |
| BMI, kg/m² | 20.2 ± 1.8 | 23.5 ± 2.9 |
| Medication | Contraceptive (n = 2) | none |
| | Sertraline (n = 1) | none |
| MSOT scanning time/visit | 49.0 ± 5.5 | 52.0 ± 9.1 |
| | 1*, min | 43.0 ± 2.7 | 48.0 ± 2.7 |
| MSOT scanning time/visit | 1**, min | 655.0 ± 24.7 | 615.0 ± 91.2 |
| | 2*, min | 685.0 ± 51.8 | 635.0 ± 112.6 |
| Walking distance/visit | none | Uncomfortable feeling of coolness of ultrasound gel (n = 1) |
| | 1**, m | 0.87 |
| | 2*, m | 0.81 |

Categorical variables are provided as numbers. Continuous variables are mean ± SD. *approximated to 5 min, **approximated to 25 m. MSOT scanning time/visit comprises the time for the whole assessment including both measurements before and after muscular activity and the 6 MWT itself. 6MWT – 6 minute walk test.
Fig. 2. Intrarater reproducibility.
a + b) Intraclass correlation coefficients (ICCs, two-way mixed effects, absolute agreement, single measurement) were calculated between scan 1 and 2 (n = 960 scan 1, n = 960 scan 2, n = 120 independent muscle regions, n = 10 independent subjects) for 13 single wavelengths (a) and MSOT parameters (b) deoxygenated hemoglobin (Hb), oxygenated hemoglobin (HbO2), total hemoglobin (HbT), collagen and lipid. The grading of Landis and Koch was applied: ICC values < 0.4 were considered as poor, 0.41–0.60 moderate, 0.61–0.80 good, 0.81–1 very good and 1 as perfect agreement [22]. For detailed information see Supplementary Table 1+2.
c) Bland-Altman analysis illustrating the difference between the paired measurement (scan 1 – scan 2) and the average of the measurements ((scan 1 – scan 2)/2) (n = 960 scan 1, n = 960 scan 2, n = 120 independent muscle regions, n = 10 independent subjects) for 800 nm, HbT and collagen. The green line indicates the bias, the red lines lower and upper 95 % limits of agreement.

Fig. 3. Interrater reproducibility.
a + b) Intraclass correlation coefficients (ICCs, two-way random effects, absolute agreement, multiple measurements) were calculated between examiner A and B (n = 480 examiner A, n = 480 examiner B, n = 120 independent muscle positions, n = 10 independent subjects) for 13 single wavelengths (a) and MSOT parameters (b) deoxygenated hemoglobin (Hb), oxygenated hemoglobin (HbO2), total hemoglobin (HbT), collagen and lipid. The grading of Landis and Koch was applied: ICC values < 0.4 were considered as poor, 0.41–0.60 moderate, 0.61–0.80 good, 0.81–1 very good and 1 as perfect agreement [22]. For detailed information see Supplementary Table 3+4.
c) Bland-Altman analysis illustrating the difference between the paired measurement (examiner A – examiner B) and the average of the measurements ((examiner A - examiner B)/2) (n = 480 examiner A, n = 480 examiner B, n = 120 independent muscle positions, n = 10 independent subjects) for 800 nm, HbT and collagen. The green line indicates the bias, the red lines lower and upper 95 % limits of agreement.
excellent for Hb (0.86; 95 %CI: 0.83 – 0.89), HbO2 (0.84; 95 %CI: 0.78 – 0.89) and HbT (0.86; 95 %CI: 0.81 – 0.90), good for collagen (0.78; 95 %CI: 0.74 – 0.82) and moderate for lipid (0.56; 95 %CI: 0.47 – 0.63) (Fig. 3b, Supplementary Table 4). Bland-Altman plots did not show a large dispersion (bias for 800 nm: −3.26, HbT: −3.60 × 10⁻³ and collagen: −0.15) (Fig. 3c).

As intra- and interrater results for each SWL and MSOT parameters were in similar ranges, the following results are presented for 800 nm, HbT and collagen.

3.4. Temporal reproducibility

The scans of two visits (day 0 and 14) were compared (Fig. 4a). Mean values of scan 1 and 2 were used and pre-exercise (n = 960, mean n = 480) were analyzed separately from post-exercise scans (n = 960, mean n = 480) to limit confounding of variables by muscular activity (Fig. 4b). Results were similar comparing day 0 and 14 without any significant difference for SWL 800 nm (pre-exercise: p = 0.3639; post exercise: p = 0.6487), HbT (pre-exercise: p = 0.1918; post exercise: p = 0.7009) and collagen (pre-exercise:p = 0.8947; post exercise: p = 0.8647). Similar results were retrieved when analyzing each examiner individually (Supplementary Table 5a).

3.5. Muscular activity

The means of scan 1 and 2 of all pre- (n = 960 total scans, mean n = 480) and all post-exercise scans (total n = 960, mean n = 480) were compared (Fig. 4a + b). Differences were significant for 800 nm (pre vs. post: 49.72 ± 11.63 a.u. vs. 52.86 ± 12.09 a.u., p < 1.0 × 10⁻¹²), HbT (5.59 × 10⁻² ± 1.53 × 10⁻² a.u. vs. 5.96 × 10⁻² ± 1.57 × 10⁻² a.u., p < 1.0 × 10⁻⁵) and collagen (2.14 ± 0.98 a.u. vs. 2.31 ± 1.07 a.u., p = 2.84 × 10⁻³). Analyzing each examiner separately, differences were consistently significant for examiner A. For examiner B, 10–20 min post-exercise, findings were still significant for 800 nm (pre vs. post: 52.07 ± 11.46 a.u. vs. 53.77 ± 11.91 a.u., p = 0.0006), HbT (5.84 × 10⁻² ± 1.53 × 10⁻² a.u. vs. 6.06 × 10⁻² ± 1.56 × 10⁻² a.u., p = 0.0005) but not for collagen (2.28 ± 1.01 a.u. vs. 2.33 ± 1.08 a.u., p = 0.2558) (Supplementary Table 5b).

Fig. 4. Temporal reproducibility and muscular activity.

a) Exemplary images of the right quadriceps muscle of one patient (female, BMI 17.4 kg/m²) on day 0 and day 14 before and after exercise, respectively. Displayed are RUCT images (RUCT) for muscular guidance and superimposed MSOT signals (800 nm, HbT, Collagen). Scale bar indicates 1 cm. RUCT = reflection ultrasound computed tomography, HbT = total hemoglobin.

b) Displayed are n = 120 independent muscle positions from n = 10 independent subjects at each timepoint from examiner A (grey) and examiner B (red) for 800 nm, HbT and collagen. Each dot represents the mean (scan 1 and scan 2) MSOT signal per independent muscle position and subject. Data are given as mean value with standard deviation. Pre = pre-exercise, post = post-exercise, HbT = total hemoglobin.
3.6. Intermuscular differences

Generally higher MSOT signal levels were found for gastrocnemius muscles for 800 nm (quadriceps vs. gastrocnemius muscles: 46.10 ± 11.43 a.u. vs 56.48 ± 10.09 a.u., p < 1.0 × 10^{-15}), HbT (5.51 × 10^{-2}±1.54 × 10^{-4} a.u. vs. 6.40 × 10^{-2}±1.30 × 10^{-4} a.u., p < 1.0 × 10^{-15}) and collagen (1.85 ± 0.89 a.u. vs. 2.60 ± 1.03 a.u., p < 1.0 × 10^{-15}) (Fig. 6 a). This effect was found to be more pronounced in women (HbT: 4.27 × 10^{-2}±1.65 × 10^{-2} a.u. vs. 6.15 × 10^{-2} ±1.49 × 10^{-2} a.u., p < 1.0 × 10^{-15}) than in men (HbT: 6.02 × 10^{-2}±0.70 × 10^{-2} a.u. vs. 6.65 × 10^{-2} ±1.02 × 10^{-2} a.u., p < 5.9 × 10^{-14}) (Fig. 6b). A negative correlation of MSOT HbT signals with muscle depth was found (r_s = −0.6795, % CI −0.7130 to −0.6428, p < 1.0 × 10^{-15}) (Fig. 5c, Supplementary Fig. 1). In accordance with this finding of depth limitation, variability of MSOT HbT signal increased with muscle depth (Supplementary Fig. 2).

3.7. Intramuscular variability

To discriminate potential changes along the muscles, the proximal, middle and distal parts were examined (each scan twice; n = 6 scans/muscle, n = 10 independent subjects, n = 960 means from n = 1920 total scans). Significant intramuscular differences for 800 nm, HbT and collagen, except for middle vs. distal scans for 800 nm (p = 0.1502) were found. When comparing all mean values of proximal, middle and distal scans separated for muscle region (quadriceps and gastrocnemius muscles) (Fig. 6b). Overall differences of all mean values of proximal, middle and distal scans separated for muscle region (quadriceps and gastrocnemius muscles) and gender were in the range of 0.6-10.1 % for HbT (Fig. 6c, Supplementary Table 6).

4. Discussion

This study showed the reproducibility of a large quantity of clinical MSOT imaging data sets for various confounding variables, using a semi-automated batch mode software as analyzing tool. Next to intra- and interrater reproducibility this is, to the best of our knowledge, the first clinical MSOT study investigating temporal and exercise variability as well as inter-and intramuscular differences.

We demonstrate high intra- and interrater ICCs for all SWLs and clinically relevant MSOT signal differences for all confounding factors, including gender and muscle region.显著性水平为0.05被考虑为统计学显著。HbT = total hemoglobin.

As hypothesized, muscular activity via exercise (6MWT) and suggested consecutive increased blood flow in muscles led to higher MSOT signals. Interestingly, this seems to be a transient effect, as after 10–20
min post exercise, differences become smaller and approach baseline pre-exercise values. These transient exercise-induced changes are in agreement with those detected by other techniques like MRI [31,32]. Notably, the effect of muscular activity and the range of signal levels were stable over time (14-day interval), indicating the potential utility for clinical monitoring studies.

When comparing intermuscular imaging signals between two anatomical regions, we found generally higher signals in the gastrocnemius muscle. As penetration depth is a well-known limitation of optical imaging [33], the greater thickness of subcutaneous fat tissue over the thigh might be accountable for this finding [34]. Mean intramuscular signal values between the proximal, middle and distal position of the quadriceps and gastrocnemius muscles were also mostly different, while overall errors between mean values remained considerably low. Intramuscular differences might be explained due to the biological and interindividual variability, personal physical activity levels, sexual differences (e.g. muscle volume) and non-uniform architecture throughout the volume and along the muscles of the lower leg [35-39].

Further analyses revealed relevant gender differences with ubiquitous lower MSOT signal levels in women. Gender variability and heterogeneity of muscles is well described with regard to muscle fiber-type [37,40], fiber bundle length, enzyme activities [37], muscle thickness [35] and volume [38]. It is known that women present with about 10% more body fat compared to men with the same BMI [41,42]. As our results indicated a relevant difference in thickness of subcutaneous fat between both genders and a negative correlation between MSOT signal and muscle depth, we suggest that limits in penetration depth mainly account for these gender-specific findings.

To implement MSOT imaging in the clinical routine, challenges as depth limitation and therefore difficulties when investigating deeper anatomical regions need to be addressed. The present technology needs continuative technical development and adaption of the underlying algorithms. Further study-specific limitations include the lack of imaging data of the upper extremity, the short time interval, the effect of more strenuous exercise than the 6MWT on MSOT signals and the small number of subjects due to the pilot feasibility character of the study. In addition, we did not include pathologic muscular changes, where more pronounced differences between healthy and diseased subjects were previously reported [11]. Apart from this, additional studies will be needed to address similar questions in different target tissues.

This study emphasizes the stability and reproducibility of MSOT imaging based on a clinically relevant and representative comprehensive data set. For a wide range of clinical applications, but especially for degenerative or inflammatory muscle diseases in children and adults, our results will serve as a basis for the future establishment of appropriate imaging protocols.
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