The synthesis and study of the electrical properties of composites (FeAl$_2$O$_3$) with paraffin wax and the determination of the mechanism of electrical conductivity
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Abstract. Thick films of composites of iron–aluminium oxide (FeAl$_2$O$_3$) were prepared by mixing paraffin wax with a powder of aluminium oxide (Al$_2$O$_3$) and iron granular (Fe) (600 μm in size). The current–voltage (I–V) characteristic was measured at different temperatures (293–328 K). The electrical conductivity was also measured at those temperature levels. It was noted that an increase in temperature leads to an increase in electrical conductivity. The activation energies (E$_a$) were determined to be 0.357–0.125 ev; the electrical conductivity mechanism was identified as the Schottky and Poole–Frenkel effects.

1. Introduction

Composite materials have great potential for many technological and industrial applications due to their unique properties, such as low density, ability to form intricate shapes, versatile electrical applications and low manufacturing cost, as well as having a very high dielectric strength, good change storage capacity and dopant-dependent electrical and optical properties. The already wide range of composite applications can be extended by using different materials with inorganic fillers, because dispersed fillers may enhance different physical properties of the host material. The electrical applications of composite solids can be controllably modified depending on many factors, such as the type of the filler used, its concentration, the conductivity of the filler and the method in which it penetrates and interacts with the chains of the polymer [1,2]. To achieve both electrically insulating and thermally conducting polymer composites, alumina is used. Metallic fillers such as graphite and carbon black are used to enhance electrical and thermal properties [3,4]. A nanoparticle is the most basic component in the fabrication of a nanostructure. Metallic nanoparticles have different physical and chemical properties from bulk metals (lower melting points, higher specific surface areas, electronic and optical characteristics, mechanical strength and magnetisation properties that could be used in various industrial applications). Nonconductive fillers increase dielectric permittivity due to interfacial polarisation. The effectiveness of composites depends on their ability to disperse the fillers homogeneously throughout the material [5]. In recent years, studies on the optical and electrical characteristics of composite materials have been the subject of considerable research efforts due to their wide applications in optoelectronic devices. Thin films of the composite FeAl$_2$O$_3$ with the nano dimension have been studied intensively [6,7]. The physical properties and determination of carrier concentration as well as the mobility of Sb$_2$(Te$_{1-x}$Sex)$_3$ thin films have been investigated [8,9]. The dielectric properties of manganese phthalocyanine (MnPc) thin films have been studied [10]. The influence of sodium zirconate nanoparticles on the structural and
electrical characteristics of polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) nanocomposite films have also been studied [11]. Optical properties of pure and iron-doped cellulose acetate (CA) or PVA have been studied in the 200–800 nm wavelength region [12]. The effect of cobalt(II) chloride on the electrical properties of poly(o-toluidine) have been investigated [13]. The synthesis, characteristics and optical properties of polyaniline (PANI) filled by graphene nano-films have also been investigated [14,15].

In the present study, we report on the synthesis and electrical characterisation of FeAl₂O₃/paraffin wax composite film of aluminium oxide (Al₂O₃ = 0.07 gm) and iron (Fe = 0.01 and 0.03 gm). The I-V characteristic was measured at different temperatures (283–328 K). Electrical conductivity was also measured at those temperature levels. The activation energies (Ea) and the voltage barrier (ϕ) were determined, and the electrical conductivity mechanism was also studied. At present, a significant scientific concern is the study of the electrical properties of blend and composites [16,17] and also the effect of the insulating matrix material on the electrical and magnetic properties of metal–insulators [18,19,20]. It is considered one of the most successful and sensitive methods in studying the composition of material and also to be important in studying the properties of layer films [21]. The process of determining conduction mechanisms that control the transmission of current through composite materials and physical composites depends on the measurements of the curves (current–voltage and conductivity–temperature) [22]. It may be that there is more than one conduction mechanism in the compound metal–insulator and in the thick film consisting of a specific physical composite, as it depends on the amount of thickness of the prepared film, the intensity of the projected electric field or the degree of deformation.

**Table (1):** The weight ratio of composites (FeAl₂O₃) and activation energies.

| Material          | Weight Ratio | Activation Energy (Ea) |
|-------------------|--------------|------------------------|
| Aluminium oxide   | 0.07 gm      | 0.07 gm                |
| (Al₂O₃)           |              |                        |
| Iron (Fe)         | 0.01 gm      | 0.03 gm                |
| Activation energy (Ea) | 0.125 ev    | 0.357 ev               |

2. Experimental procedure

The films’ composite FeAl₂O₃ was prepared by mixing paraffin wax with a powder of aluminium oxide (Al₂O₃) and iron (Fe). The iron element powder was sifted using a granular sieve with a size of 600 μm, and then 0.07 gm of aluminium oxide was mixed with 0.01 and 0.03 gm of a granular iron element with a size of 600 μm, as shown in Table 1. The mixing process was performed using an evaporating dish and a mixing spatula. After the process of mixing was complete, 0.1 gm of paraffin wax was added, which was melted at a temperature of 328 K, while continuing manual mixing as in the previous method. The aluminium slices (2.5×4 cm²) were washed with acetone and distilled water and then dried in an oven with a temperature of 323 K for 15 minutes. The composition consisting of paraffin paste and aluminium oxide with iron was deposited on aluminium slices. The slices were left in laboratory conditions for 24 hours. The thickness of the samples was measured, and it was found to be 0.448 mm. The aluminium electrodes were deposited in the form of circles with a radius of 1 mm by using the evaporation apparatus under evacuated pressure (10–5 torr). When the electrode deposition process finished, the samples were placed in a special electrode measuring circuit, which consisted of a chamber containing electrodes made of copper. These electrodes were separated by the electric measuring circuit, which consisted of regulated voltages ranging from 1–30 V, where supplied by PHYWE 2592 power. The current was measured by an electrometer type measuring amplifier D53200 L.H.Co. The sample temperature was measured with a thermocouple placed near the sample.
3. Results and discussion

3.1. Measurement of electrical properties
The method of measuring electrical properties plays an important role in providing an accurate description of the change in current with voltages [22,23]. All data were taken after the current stabilised, in which case the current is independent of time. The current–voltage characteristics were measured at different temperatures (293–323 K) and at different concentrations (Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} = 0.07 gm; Fe = 0.01 and 0.03), as shown in the Figures 1 and 2. In the two figures, it can be noted that an increase in temperature leads to an increase in current, where both curves display the same behaviour (the ohmic characteristic) at high temperatures, but Figure 1 differs from that characteristic at low temperatures (20–25 K) because of the difference in Fe metal concentration, which in turn leads to the difference in the mechanism of electrical conductivity. When recording values of current and voltage (corresponding to the current), it is followed by raising the temperature of the sample to a temperature higher than its predecessor, which is left for a period of half an hour to reach a degree of thermal stability, and then the process of re-recording the feature (current–voltage) begins; these measurements were repeated until the highest permissible temperature range was reached. Electrical conductivity was measured as a function of temperature of compound samples (FeAl\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}) for a range of temperatures (293–323 K). Electrical measurements showed an increase in electrical conductivity as the temperatures increased, and this is a traditional behaviour with regard to electrical conductivity in semiconductor materials when increasing the temperature, which in turn leads to an increase in the mobility of the electric charge carriers. Figures 3 and 4 show the linear dependence of electrical conductivity with increasing temperatures and also the hysterical behaviour of electrical conductivity after cooling the samples from 323 to 293 K, which is probably due to the difference in the mechanism of electrical conductivity and also to a decrease in the kinetic energy of the charge carriers.
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**Figure1.** The I-V characteristic for sample FeAl\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}
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**Figure2.** The I-V characteristic for sample FeAl\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}
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**Figure3.** Electrical conductivity for sample FeAL\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}
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**Figure4.** Electrical conductivity for sample FeAL\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}
3.2. Testing conductivity mechanisms for samples FeAL₂O₃

3.2.1. Testing the hopping conduction mechanism

The hopping conduction mechanism can be tested by plotting electrical conductivity versus reciprocal temperatures (σ-1000*T⁻¹/₄), as shown in Figures 5 and 6, and the plotting of electrical conductivity versus reciprocal temperatures (σ-1000*T⁻¹/₃), as shown in Figures 7 and 8, according to the following two equations [24]:

\[ \sigma = \exp \left( \frac{E_a}{K T^{1/3}} \right) \]  
\[ \sigma = \exp \left( \frac{E_a}{K T^{1/4}} \right) \]  

With:

- \( E_a \) = activation energy (ev)
- \( K \) = Boltzmann constant (ev/k)
- \( T \) = temperature (K)

In Figures 5–8, it can be noted that the relationship of conductivity with temperature is non-linear, which indicates the exclusion of this type of conductivity mechanism.

**Figure 5.** The relationship of conductivity with the inverted temperature for composite FeAL₂O₃.

**Figure 6.** The relationship of conductivity with the inverted temperature for composite FeAL₂O₃.

**Figure 7.** The relationship of conductivity with the inverted temperature for composite FeAL₂O₃.

**Figure 8.** The relationship of conductivity with the inverted temperature for composite FeAL₂O₃.
3.2.2 Testing the Schottky and Poole–Frenkel conduction mechanisms

Schottky emission [25] is one of the causes of non-ohmic behaviour of the current and occurs when voltage is applied on the metal–insulator contact area. This happens when the electrons transfer from the metal to the conduction band in the dielectric. This mechanism is related to the thermal emission of electrons. In addition, the applied field causes a decrease in the voltage barrier between the metal–dielectric joint. This barrier is affected by the surface layer and the presence of surface trapping centres. The Poole–Frenkel effect [26], or glow discharge [27], is based on the same concept of reducing the voltage barrier to the Schottky effect. However, in this case, electrons are released from the capture trap levels to the conduction beam in the compound. In other words, the difference between the two cases is that the Schottky effect causes a decrease in the voltage barrier at the surface while the Poole–Frenkel effect causes a reduction in the voltage barrier inside the compound. At high voltages, Schottky’s mechanism is related with Poole–Frenkel’s mechanism in the linear relationship of the I-V feature. Schottky’s constant ($\alpha_{sh}$) is related with Poole–Frenkel’s constant ($\alpha_{PF}$) in the following relationship [28]:

$$\alpha_{sh}=\left[\frac{q^3}{4\pi\epsilon\epsilon_0}\right]^{1/2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

With:
- $q$ = electronic charge (C)
- $\epsilon$ = dielectric constant
- $\epsilon_0$ = dielectric constant in the Space (f/m)

Schottky’s constant is related with Poole–Frenkel’s constant by the following relationship:

$$\alpha_{PF}=2\alpha_{sh}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Figures 9 and 10 show the relationship between the current and the square root of the voltage of the both samples ($Fe = 0.01$ and $0.03$; $AL_2O_3 = 0.07$). It is clear that the relationship is linear at high fields. The experimental values of the constant $\alpha_{exp}$ for the examined films can be obtained from the slopes in Figures 9 and 10 in the straight line in the high field region. The theoretical values of $\alpha_{sh}$ and $\alpha_{PF}$ can be determined with the help of Equations 3 and 4. The experimental values $\alpha_{exp}$ in Tables 2 and 3 are close to the theoretical value $\alpha_{PF}$, which indicates that the Poole–Frenkel effect is a dominant mechanism, so the applied filed is enough to enhance the thermal exited electron inside the composite films.

**Table 2.** Experimental and determined $\alpha$ values from Schottky’s and Poole–Frenkel’s theories and the dielectric constant for composite sample FeAL$_2$O$_3$, where Fe = 0.01 gm and AL$_2$O$_3$ = 0.07 gm.

| T (K) | $\alpha_{exp}$ | $\alpha_{sh}$ | $\alpha_{PF}$ | $\epsilon$ |
|------|----------------|---------------|---------------|------------|
| 293  | 0.082          | 0.045         | 0.09          | 0.60       |
| 298  | 0.065          | 0.037         | 0.07          | 0.45       |
| 313  | 0.041          | 0.027         | 0.05          | 0.22       |
| 318  | 0.036          | 0.018         | 0.036         | 0.10       |
| 323  | 0.032          | 0.016         | 0.033         | 0.085      |
Table 3. Experimental and determined $\alpha$ values from Schottky’s and Poole–Frenkel’s theories and the dielectric constant for composite sample FeAL$_2$O$_3$, where Fe = 0.03 gm and AL$_2$O$_3$ = 0.07 gm.

| T (K) | $\alpha_{exp}$ | $\alpha_{th}$ | $\alpha_{P.F}$ | $\epsilon$ |
|-------|----------------|---------------|----------------|-----------|
| 293   | 0.03611        | 0.0461        | 0.09219        | 0.169     |
| 298   | 0.03602        | 0.04592       | 0.09184        | 0.109     |
| 303   | 0.03597        | 0.04583       | 0.09165        | 0.076     |
| 308   | 0.03592        | 0.04576       | 0.09152        | 0.056     |
| 313   | 0.03586        | 0.04569       | 0.09139        | 0.043     |
| 318   | 0.03582        | 0.04561       | 0.09122        | 0.034     |
| 323   | 0.03574        | 0.04530       | 0.09060        | 0.028     |

Figures 11 and 12 represent the relationship between log Io/T2 versus reciprocal temperature (Richardson plot), where Io represents the extrapolation of current (Fe = 0.01 and 0.03; AL$_2$O$_3$ = 0.07). The lowering of the work function ($\Delta\phi$) for the thermionic emission due to the Schottky effect can be determined from the following relation [29]:

$$\Delta\phi = \left[ \frac{eE}{4\pi\epsilon\epsilon_0} \right]^{1/2}$$

(5)

With:
- $e$ = the electronic charge (C)
- $E$ = electric field
- $\epsilon$ = the dielectric constant (v/m)

These are shown in Figures 13 and 14 for both samples Fe = 0.01 and 0.03 and Al$_2$O$_3$ = 0.07.

Figure 9. Plots of log(I) with square root of V for sample of composite FeAL$_2$O$_3$ at several temp. (293–323 K).

Figure 10. Plots of log(I) with square root of V for sample of composite FeAL$_2$O$_3$ at several temp. (293–323 K).
3.3.3 Testing the ion conduction mechanism

The mechanism of ion conduction was tested by drawing the relationship between \(-L-n\sigma T^{1/2}\) and \(1000/T - 1\) according to the following formula [29]:

\[
\sigma = \frac{\sigma_0}{\sqrt{T}} \exp \left( - \frac{E_a}{K_T} \right) \tag{6}
\]

with:

\(\sigma_0 = \text{constant}\)

Figures 15 and 16 show the plots of \(-L-n\sigma T^{1/2}\) versus \(1000/T - 1\), where the ion conduction mechanism can be expected to occur if the data show linear dependence. Furthermore, the current–voltage characteristics do not obey the general ionic equation (hyperbolic sine relationship); the tunnelling mechanism is also not applicable in our study, because it requires very thin films, where the current is independent of temperature, but we have thick films (as shown in Table 4), where the current is dependent on temperature.
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Table 4. The thickness and concentrations of both samples.

| Composite | Fe    | AL2O3 | Thickness |
|-----------|-------|-------|-----------|
| Fe        | 0.01 gm | 0.07 gm | 0.448 mm |
| AL2O3     | 0.03 gm | 0.07 gm | 0.538 mm |

Figure 15. The relationship between Ln (σ T^{1/2}) versus 1000/T for FeAL2O3 for the ionic conduction test.

Figure 16. The relationship between Ln (σ T^{1/2}) versus 1000/T for FeAL2O3 for the ionic conduction test.

4. Conclusions

The following conclusions can be drawn from this study of the two samples of iron–aluminium oxide composite (FeAl2O3), where Fe = 0.01 and 0.03 and AL2O3 = 0.07. Electrical properties and determination of the mechanism of electrical conductivity have been investigated in the temperature range 293–323 K; the conduction mechanisms were identified by measuring the current–voltage (I-V) characteristics and current–temperature dependence equations. For sample FeAL2O3 with Fe = 0.01 gm, Schottky’s conduction mechanism is dominant, where its electrical characteristics are explained by comparing α constants and by studying the dependence of conductivity with different work functions. However, for sample FeAl2O3 with Fe = 0.03 gm, Poole–Frenkel’s conduction mechanism is dominant. Other mechanisms (i.e. ion conduction mechanism and hopping conduction mechanism) were also investigated, but they were excluded from our expectations. On the other hand, we found some difference between these two samples in their electrical properties in the values of α constants therefore in conduction mechanism, and finally in some electrical factors (σ, Φ and I), because of the different in thickness and the concentration of metal in each sample.
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