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Abstract

The mobile networks are experiencing a growing success. This success is mainly due to the fact that these networks providing the mobility of users, the transmission of data through open air and the requirement of low power. But, it is threatened by weak security, especially at the level of authentication. Hwang and Su have proposed an efficient authentication protocol for mobile networks. This protocol, called Hwang-Su here, is based on the use of one-way hash function, symmetric key cryptosystem and nonce. Hwang-Su protocol consists of two sub-protocols, named intra-domain authentication and inter-domain authentication. If the user and the service provider registered in the same domain, we would use intra-domain authentication protocol. Otherwise, we would initiate inter-domain authentication protocol. In this article, we show that both sub-protocols are not secured. Indeed, any legitimate user can abuse of these rights to attack them. We also propose improvements to increase its security.
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1. Introduction

Wireless networks (IEEE standard 802.11 1996, Gast 2005) have allowed computer systems to exchange data without cable connections. The development of these networks and associated communication protocols, such as Mobile-IP (Perkons 1996, 1997), and the increased need of communicating without space and time constraints, made mobile units (PDAs, laptops, smart phones,…) more and more used. Many service providers offer services to mobile users. Each one must be able to reject any non legitimate user to access these services. Consequently, mobile users must prove their identity to the service provider in order to be authorized according to rules defined by an authentication protocol. Mobile units are mainly characterized by their small size and their weak computing power. Furthermore, the wireless data channel is low data rate. These restrictions have an effect while designing the authentication protocol for mobile networks. Therefore, the number of encryption and decryption operations should be low. The size and the number of exchanged messages have to be small. In addition, the authentication inter-domain must be supported.

Many authentication protocols have been proposed (Neuman et al. 2004, Molva et al. 1992, Tardo et al. 1991, Shieh et al. 1999, Chien et al. 2003, Hwang et al. 2005) and many efforts have been devoted to improve their security (Fox et al. 1996, Tang et al. 2006, Chan et al. 2007, Wu et al. 2010, Xua et al. 2011). Among these proposed authentication protocols, Kerberos (Neuman et al. 2004), which was developed by Project Athena at MIT (Champine et al. 1990) is one of the most widely deployed protocols. Unfortunately, it is not only vulnerable to password guessing attacks but also very inefficient when inter-domain authentications are required. So, it is less suitable for mobile environments. Among the efficient protocols which is designed for mobile networks, the one proposed by Hwang and Su. Hwang-Su protocol is based on symmetric cryptosystem, challenge-response and hash chaining. This protocol has several merits including providing inter-domain authentication, low computational costs and low communication capacity.
2. Review of the Hwang-Su authentication protocol

In this section, we are going to review Hwang-Su authentication protocol. There are three principals: the mobile user (M), the service provider (S) and the key distribution center (KDC for short). Each entity (mobile user and service provider) share a secret key with the KDC of its own domain. The mobile user, the service provider and the KDC store secretly and respectively the different keys $K_{MC}$, $K_{SC}$ and $K_{C}$. By using a secret one-way hash function $f$ and the key $K_{C}$, the KDC can compute $K_{MC}$ and $K_{SC}$ as follows: $K_{MC} = f(K_{C}, M)$ and $K_{SC} = f(K_{C}, S)$. In this way, the KDC doesn't need store all the secret keys of the entities which it controls. The Hwang-Su protocol (Hwang et al. 2005) offers the intra-domain authentication and the inter-domain authentication.

2.1 Intra-domain authentication protocol

The intra-domain authentication is used when the mobile users and the service provider registered in the same KDC. This authentication consists of two parts: the initial authentication and the subsequent authentication.

2.1.1 Initial authentication

The initial authentication proceeds as shown in “Figure 1”.

First, the mobile user asks for an authentication from $S$ by sending a message (step 1). This message consists of his identity, a nonce $N_{M}$ and the hash value $h(N_{M}, K_{MC})$. $h$ denotes a one-way hash function. Then, the provider service $S$ sends the received message with his own identity, a nonce $N_{S}$ and the hash value $h(N_{S}, K_{SC})$ to KDC (step 2).

The KDC checks $h(N_{M}, K_{MC})$ and $h(N_{S}, K_{SC})$. Then, he generates a random number $a$ and sends the message $\{N_{S}, h^{a}(a), n, \{N_{M}, a, n\}K_{MC}\}K_{SC}$ to $S$ (step 3), where $n$ denotes the maximum number of times that the mobile user allowed to access $S$. $S$ decrypts the received message using his secret key $K_{SC}$. Then, he checks that the $N_{S}$ value corresponds well to that of the nonce which he had generated before. If such is the case, he computes $K_{S} = h(n, h^{a}(a))$ as the session key and keeps $M, h^{a}(a)$ and $n$. After, he sends $\{N_{M}, a, n\}K_{MC}, \{N_{M}\}K_{n}$ to $M$ (step 4). The mobile user $M$ decrypts $\{N_{M}, a, n\}K_{MC}$ and $\{N_{M}\}K_{n}$. Then, he checks that the values of both recovered nonces $N_{M}$ are equal and they correspond to that of the nonce which $M$ had sent before. If successful, $M$ secretly keeps $a, n$ and $K_{n}$.

2.1.1 Subsequent authentication

After initial authentication, the mobile user can request services from service provider $n$ times without involving the KDC. The $i$th subsequent authentication ($1 \leq i \leq n$) proceeds as shown in “Figure 2”.

Figure 1. Initial authentication of Hwang-Su intra-domain protocol

Figure 2. Subsequent authentication of Hwang-Su intra-domain protocol

Suppose the mobile user $M$ registered in the domain $H$ (home domain), the service provider $S$ registered in another domain $V$ (visited domain) and $P$ was the parent domain of $H$ and $V$, where the KDC of $P$ ($KDC_{P}$) shares the secret keys $K_{IP}$ and $K_{VP}$ with the KDC of $H$ ($KDC_{H}$) and the KDC of $V$ ($KDC_{V}$) respectively. The following procedures would be performed so that the mobile user could request a service that is provided by the visited service provider.
2.2.1 Initial authentication

The initial authentication proceeds as shown in “Figure 3”.

1. \( M \rightarrow S \) : \( KDC_{H}, M, N_{M}, h(N_{M}, K_{MH}) \)
2. \( S \rightarrow KDC_{V} \) : \( KDC_{H}, M, N_{M}, h(N_{M}, K_{MH}), S, N_{S}, h(N_{S}, K_{SV}) \)
3. \( KDC_{V} \rightarrow KDC_{P} \) : \( KDC_{H}, M, N_{M}, h(N_{M}, K_{MH}), S, KDC_{V}, N_{V}, h(N_{V}, K_{VP}) \)
4. \( KDC_{P} \rightarrow KDC_{H} \) : \( \{N_{V}, h'(a), n\}_{K_{VP}}, \{M, N_{M}, h(N_{M}, K_{MH}), S, KDC_{V}, a, n\}_{K_{HP}} \)
5. \( KDC_{H} \rightarrow KDC_{V} \) : \( \{N_{V}, h'(a), n\}_{K_{VP}}, S, M, \{N_{M}, a, n\}_{K_{MH}} \)
6. \( KDC_{V} \rightarrow S \) : \( \{N_{S}, h'(a), n, \{N_{M}, a, n\}_{K_{MH}}\}_{K_{SV}} \)
7. \( S \rightarrow M \) : \( \{N_{M}, a, n\}_{K_{MH}}, \{M_{T}, N_{M}\}_{K_{a}} \)

**Figure 3.** Initial authentication of Hwang-Su inter-domain protocol

First, the mobile user sends a message includes his identity, the identity of \( KDC_{H}, N_{M} \) and \( h(N_{M}, K_{MH}) \) to \( S \) (step 1). \( K_{MH} \) denotes the secret key of \( M \). Next, the provider service \( S \) sends the received message with his identity, \( N_{S} \) and \( h(N_{S}, K_{SV}) \) to \( KDC_{V} \) (step 2). \( K_{SV} \) denotes the secret key of \( S \). \( KDC_{V} \) authenticates \( S \) by checking \( h(N_{S}, K_{SV}) \). Then, he sends the message \( \{N_{V}, h'(a), n\}_{K_{VP}}, \{M, N_{M}, h(N_{M}, K_{MH}), S, KDC_{V}, a, n\}_{K_{HP}} \) to \( KDC_{P} \) (step 3). \( N_{V} \) denotes a nonce randomly selected by \( KDC_{V} \). \( KDC_{P} \) authenticates \( KDC_{V} \) by checking \( h(N_{V}, K_{VP}) \). After, he generates a random number \( a \) and sends the message \( \{N_{V}, h'(a), n\}_{K_{VP}}, \{M, N_{M}, h(N_{M}, K_{MH}), S, KDC_{V}, a, n\}_{K_{HP}} \) to \( KDC_{H} \) (step 4). \( KDC_{H} \) authenticates \( M \) and \( KDC_{P} \) by checking \( h(N_{M}, K_{MH}) \). Then, he sends the message \( \{N_{V}, h'(a), n\}_{K_{VP}}, S, M, \{N_{M}, a, n\}_{K_{MH}} \) to \( KDC_{V} \) (step 5). Next, \( KDC_{V} \) authenticates \( M \) by checking \( N_{V} \). After, he sends the message \( \{N_{S}, h'(a), n, \{N_{M}, a, n\}_{K_{MH}}\}_{K_{SV}} \) to \( S \) (step 6).

Then, \( S \) checks \( N_{S} \). After verifying it, he assigns a temporary name \( M_{T} \) to mobile user. This temporary name will permit the mobile user to perform the subsequent authentication without involving the \( KDC \). Then, \( S \) computes the session key \( K_{a} = h(n, h'(a)) \), which will be attributed to \( M_{T} \). After, he keeps \( M_{T}, h'(a) \) and \( n \). Afterward, he sends the message \( \{N_{M}, a, n\}_{K_{MC}}, \{M_{T}, N_{M}\}_{K_{a}} \) to \( M \) (step 7).

2.2.1 Subsequent authentication

The subsequent authentication is similar to that of the intra-domain protocol except the mobile user’s identity which is replaced by his temporary name.

3. Vulnerabilities and proposed improvement of the Hwang-Su authentication protocol

In this section, we both show the security problems of Hwang-Su intra-domain and inter-domain authentication protocol, and also we present our proposed improvement.

3.1 Vulnerability and proposed improvement of Hwang-Su intra-domain authentication protocol

3.1.1 Vulnerability of Hwang-Su intra-domain authentication protocol

The initial authentication of Hwang-Su intra-domain protocol presents a major security flaw. This latter can be exploited by a malicious but legitimate user \( A \), to impersonate another user \( M \). The impersonation attack operates as shown in “Figure 4”.

1. \( A(M) \rightarrow S \) : \( M, N_{M}, h(N_{M}, K_{AC}) \)
2.1 \( S \rightarrow A(KDC) \) : \( M, N_{A}, h(N_{A}, K_{AC}), S, N_{S}, h(N_{S}, K_{SC}) \)
2.2 \( A(S) \rightarrow KDC \) : \( A, N_{A}, h(N_{A}, K_{AC}), S, N_{S}, h(N_{S}, K_{SC}) \)
3. \( KDC \rightarrow S \) : \( \{N_{S}, h'(a), n, \{N_{M}, a, n\}_{K_{AC}}\}_{K_{SC}} \)
4. \( S \rightarrow A(M) \) : \( \{N_{A}, a, n\}_{K_{AC}}, \{N_{A}\}_{K_{a}} \)

**Figure 4.** Proposed attack against Hwang-Su intra-domain protocol

First, the intruder \( A \), pretending to be \( M \), sends a message consisting of the identity \( M \), a nonce \( N_{A} \) and \( h(N_{A}, K_{AC}) \) (step 1). \( K_{AC} \) denotes secret key of the intruder, who is the legal user.

Next, the intruder impersonates KDC identity to intercept the message sent from \( S \) to KDC (step 2.1). \( A \) modifies the intercepted message replacing the identity \( M \) by his own. Then, he sends this message to KDC, pretending to be \( S \) (step 2.2).
KDC authenticates $S$ and $A$, who is the legitimate user, by checking $h(N_S, K_{SC})$ and $h(N_A, K_{AC})$. After, he creates and sends the step 3 message to $S$.

$S$ decrypts the received message with his secret key. Then, he checks that the $N_S$ value corresponds well to that of the nonce which he had sent before. If the check succeeds, he is convinced that KDC authenticated $M$, while KDC authenticated $A$. Afterward, $S$ sends the step 4 message to $A$, believing that he communicates with $M$.

Thus, the intruder deceives the initial authentication, and later, he can access to $S$ as being another user $M$. For that, he just needs to perform normally the subsequent authentication as he possesses $a$, $n$ and $K_a$.

It is also possible that the intruder impersonates the service provider. The scenario of masquerading as the service provider is similar.

### 3.1.2 Proposed improvement of Hwang-Su intra-domain authentication protocol

The vulnerability, shown in section 3.1.1, is essentially due to the fact that the message sent by KDC doesn’t mention the identities authenticated by this latter. To correct this vulnerability, we propose adding the identity of mobile user and the service provider, in the step 3 and 4 messages of initial authentication procedure, as follows:

5. $KDC \rightarrow S : \{M, N_S, h^a(a), n, \{S, N_M, a, n\}K_{MC}\}K_{SC}$
6. $S \rightarrow M : \{S, N_M, a, n\}K_{MC}, \{N_M\}K_n$

So, the proposed improvement of Hwang-Su intra-domain authentication protocol is as shown in “Figure 5”.

![Figure 5. Proposed improvement of Hwang-Su intra-domain protocol](image)

### 3.2 Vulnerability and proposed improvement of Hwang-Su inter-domain authentication protocol

#### 3.2.1 Vulnerability of Hwang-Su inter-domain authentication protocol

As the inter-domain protocol is an extension of intra-domain protocol, the initial authentication of Hwang-Su inter-domain protocol suffers from the same security flaw mentioned in section 3.1.1. To repair this security flaw, we propose to modify the step 6 and 7 messages of initial authentication procedure as follows:

6. $KDCV \rightarrow S : \{M, N_S, h^a(a), n, \{S, N_M, a, n\}K_{MD}\}K_{SV}$
7. $S \rightarrow M : \{S, N_M, a, n\}K_{MD}, \{MT, N_M\}K_a$

Even if this flaw is repaired, the inter-domain protocol is still insecure. In fact, the protocol has another critical security flaw which may permit a malicious but legal user $A$, to be authenticated as another user. To exploit this vulnerability, the intruder has to proceed as illustrated in “Figure 6”.

![Figure 6. Proposed attack against Hwang-Su inter-domain protocol](image)
First, the intruder, pretending to be $M$, sends a message consisting of the identity of $KDC_H$ and $M$, a nonce $N_i$ and $h(N_i, K_{Al})$. $K_{Al}$ denotes the secret key of the intruder.

The provider service $S$ sends the received message with his identity, a nonce $N_S$ and $h(N_S, K_{SV})$ to $KDC_V$.

The intruder impersonates $KDC_P$ identity to intercept the message sent from $KDC_V$ to $KDC_P$.

The intruder forges a new message form the intercepted message, by replacing the identity $M$ with his own.

$KDC_P$ generates a random number $a$ and sends the message $\{N_i, h^n(a), n\}K_{VP}, \{A, N_i, h(N_i, K_{Al})\}, S, KDC_V, a, n\}K_{HP}$ to $KDC_H$.

Then, the intruder impersonates $KDC_V$ identity.

$KDC_H$ authenticates $A$, who is the legitimate user, by checking $h(N_i, K_{Al})$. Then, he sends the message $\{N_i, h^n(a), n\}K_{VP}, S, A, \{N_i, a, n\}K_{Al}$ to $A$, thinking he communicates with $KDC_V$.

The intruder modifies the received message replacing his identity by $M$. Then, he sends this message to $KDC_V$, pretending to be $KDC_H$.

$KDC_V$ authenticates $A$ as being $M$, and sends the message $\{N_S, h^n(a), n, \{N_i, a, n\}K_{Al}\}K_{SV}$ to $S$.

By checking $N_S$, $S$ is convinced that $KDC_H$ authenticated $M$, where as $KDC_H$ authenticated $A$. Then, $S$ sends the step 7 message to $A$, believing he communicates with $M$.

Thus, the intruder deceives the service provider $S$, by impersonating another user $M$. So making $S$ thinks that he communicates with $M$.

### 3.2.2 Proposed improvement of Hwang-Su inter-domain authentication protocol

To withstand the attack, proposed in section 3.2.1, we propose adding the identities $M$ and $S$, in the step 5, 6 and 7 messages of initial authentication phase.

So, the proposed improvement of Hwang-Su inter-domain authentication protocol is as shown in “Figure 7”.

| Initial authentication |
|------------------------|
| 1. $M \rightarrow S$ : $KDC_H\{M, N_M, h(N_M, K_{SH})\}$ |
| 2. $S \rightarrow KDC_V$ : $KDC_H\{M, N_M, h(N_M, K_{SH}), S, N_S, h(N_S, K_{SV})\}$ |
| 3. $KDC_V \rightarrow KDC_P$ : $KDC_H\{M, N_M, h(N_M, K_{SH}), S, KDC_V, N_i, h(N_i, K_{VP})\}$ |
| 4. $KDC_P \rightarrow KDC_H$ : $\{N_i, h^n(a), n\}K_{VP}, \{M, N_M, h(N_M, K_{SH}), S, KDC_V, a, n\}K_{HP}$ |
| 5. $KDC_H \rightarrow KDC_V$ : $\{M, N_i, h^n(a), n\}K_{VP}, S, M, \{S, N_M, a, n\}K_{SH}$ |
| 6. $KDC_V \rightarrow S$ : $\{M, N_S, h^n(a), n, \{S, N_M, a, n\}K_{SH}\}K_{SV}$ |
| 7. $S \rightarrow M$ : $\{S, N_M, a, n\}K_{SH}, \{M_T, N_M\}K_a$ |

| Subsequent authentication |
|---------------------------|
| 1. $M \rightarrow S$ : $M_T, \{h^n(a)\}K_{n+1}$ |
| 2. $S \rightarrow M$ : $\{h^n(a)\}K_{n+1}$ |

**Figure 7. Proposed improvement of Hwang-Su inter-domain protocol**

### 4. Conclusions

Hwang-Su protocol has been proposed to be applied in the mobile networks. In their protocol, Hwang and Su significantly minimized the number and the size of the exchanged messages. They also reduced the number of the keys that should be maintained by $KDCs$ to ensure the inter-domain authentication. Despite its merits, the protocol, as proposed by its authors, presents security problems. Hwang and Su claim that their protocol is resistant to attacks. However, in this paper we have proposed two attacks: one against the inter-domain authentication and the other against the intra-domain authentication. We have also proposed an improvement of Hwang-Su protocol. Our improvement can withstand the proposed attacks.
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