Interplay between Plasmon Luminescence and Vibrationally Resolved Molecular Luminescence Induced by Scanning Tunneling Microscopy
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Effects of coupling between a molecular exciton and a surface plasmon (exciton-plasmon coupling) on the luminescence properties of the molecule and the surface plasmons are investigated using the nonequilibrium Green’s function method. Molecular absorption and enhancement by molecular electronic and vibrational modes (molecular modes) lead to dip and peak structures in the luminescence spectra of the surface plasmons. These structures will correspond to the peak and dip structures observed in a recent experiment. We found that in addition to the molecular dynamics, the re-absorption by the surface plasmons plays important roles in determining the luminescence spectral profiles.

PACS numbers: 73.20.Hb, 73.20.Mf, 33.50.Dq

Fundamental understanding of the role of surface plasmons in the molecular luminescence process is currently a topic of great interest owing to its wide applicability in nanoscale spectroscopy, biosensors, light-emitting devices, etc. One of the most promising approaches for deepening our knowledge is to investigate light emission induced by tunneling current of a scanning tunneling microscope (STM). STM-induced light emission (STM-LE) spectroscopy has a unique advantage of having the potential to unveil optical properties with submolecular spatial resolution. Moreover, it can remove any complexity resulting from disturbance of the incident light.

STM-LE from a metal surface was first reported by the group of Gimzewski in 1988. Now, it is well confirmed that light emission from clean metal surfaces is due to the radiative decay of surface plasmons localized near the tip-sample gap region. When a molecule is inserted into the gap region, there are two radiative processes, i.e., luminescence from the surface plasmons and the molecule. If the molecule is directly adsorbed on a metal substrate, charge and energy transfer between them lead to the quenching of molecular luminescence. Thus, the molecule acts as a spacer between the tip and the metal substrate, which slightly modifies the plasmon-mediated emission. If the molecule is electrically decoupled from the metal substrate by dielectric films or molecular multilayers, intrinsic molecular luminescence, which is associated with the electronic and vibrational transitions in the molecule, can be observed.

Since close proximity of the tip to the metal substrate induces an intense electromagnetic field generated by the surface plasmons, effects of the interaction between the intense electromagnetic field and the transition moments for the molecular excitations and de-excitations are expected to occur. Due to the fact that the molecular luminescence can be in the same energy window as the plasmon-mediated emission, light emission can be induced by the two kinds of radiative processes simultaneously. Recent experiments have shown that the plasmon-mediated emission overlapping with the molecular absorption enhances molecular luminescence intensity. Selective enhancement of luminescence intensity by spectrally tuning the surface plasmon mode to match with a particular transition in the molecule has been observed. Moreover, fascinating new phenomena, i.e., luminescence associated with radiative decay from higher vibrational levels for the excited electronic state of the molecule (hot luminescence) and luminescence at energies beyond the applied bias voltage (upconverted luminescence) have also been observed.

There are two dominant mechanisms involved in the molecular excitations in STM-LE from molecules on metal substrates. One is the excitation by the injection of electrons and/or holes from the electrodes. The other is the excitation by the absorption of surface plasmons that are excited by the inelastic tunneling between the tip and the substrate. Recent experiments suggest the importance of the plasmon-mediated excitations. In previous theoretical studies, molecular dynamics have been investigated within the framework of the one-body problem, where the surface plasmon is treated as a classical electric field. Tian et al. used the plasmon-mediated excitation mechanism to explain some spectral features observed in Ref. The results indicate that the molecular dynamics induced by the surface plasmons play essential roles.

Direct experimental evidences of the plasmon-mediated excitations of the molecules have been obtained in luminescence spectra acquired with molecule-covered tips over clean metal surface. Although no electron tunneling to the molecules takes place, the observed spectra can be considered as the luminescence spectra of the surface plasmons show peak and dip structures, some of which match peaks in molecular luminescence and absorption spectra. Thus, the molecular dynamics including the molecular luminescence and absorption have an impact on luminescence-spectral profiles of the surface plasmons. To understand this from a microscopic point...
of view, there is a need to investigate the interplay between the dynamics of the molecule and the surface plasmons within the framework of the quantum many-body theory.

In this study, we investigate the effects of coupling between a molecular exciton and the surface plasmon (exciton-plasmon coupling) with the aid of the nonequilibrium Green’s function method [28] as well as coupling between electronic and vibrational degrees of freedom on the molecule (exciton-vibron coupling). Our results show that the enhancement and suppression of the luminescence intensities of the molecule are due to the enhancement by the surface plasmons and due to the re-absorption of the surface plasmons by the molecule, respectively. Absorption and enhancement by molecular electronic and vibrational modes lead to dip and peak structures in the luminescence spectra of the surface plasmons. In addition to the molecular dynamics, it was found that the re-absorption by the surface plasmons leads to a dent structure in the luminescence spectra of the surface plasmons. The results also show evidence that vibrational excitations assist the occurrence of the upconverted luminescence.

A schematic illustration of our model is shown in Fig. 4. The electronic degrees of freedom of the molecule are modeled as a system with the ground electronic state $|g\rangle$ and the first-excited electronic state $|e\rangle$. The molecular exciton interacts with the molecular vibrations and with the surface plasmons. For simplicity, the molecular vibrations are approximated by a single harmonic oscillator [24]. The surface plasmon modes localized near the tip-sample gap region are approximated by a single energy mode [21, 24, 27]. The creation (annihilation) of the molecular exciton is induced by the absorption (emission) of the surface plasmon [25]. The Hamiltonian of the system is

$$
H = \epsilon_{ex} d^\dagger d + \hbar \omega_0 b^\dagger b + \hbar \omega_p a^\dagger a + \sum_\beta \hbar \omega_\beta b_\beta^\dagger b_\beta \\
+ M Q_\beta d^\dagger d + V (a d^\dagger + \text{H.c.}) + \sum_\beta U_\beta Q_\beta Q_\beta,
$$

(1)

where $d^\dagger$ and $d$ are creation and annihilation operators for the molecular exciton with energy $\epsilon_{ex}$. Operators $b^\dagger$ and $b$ are creation and annihilation operators for a molecular vibrational mode with energy $\hbar \omega_\beta$, $a^\dagger$ and $a$ are for a surface plasmon mode with energy $\hbar \omega_p$, $b_\beta^\dagger$ and $b_\beta$ are for a phonon mode in the thermal phonon bath, $Q_b = b + b^\dagger$ and $Q_\beta = b_\beta + b_\beta^\dagger$. The energy parameters $M$, $V$ and $U_\beta$ correspond to the exciton-vibron coupling, the exciton-plasmon coupling, and the coupling between the molecular vibrational mode and a phonon mode in the thermal phonon bath.

By applying the canonical (Lang-Firsov) transformation [28], $H$ becomes

$$
\hat{H} = \hat{\epsilon}_{ex} d^\dagger d + \hbar \omega_0 b^\dagger b + \hbar \omega_p a^\dagger a + \sum_\beta \hbar \omega_\beta b_\beta^\dagger b_\beta \\
+ V (a d^\dagger X^\dagger + \text{H.c.}) + \sum_\beta U_\beta Q_\beta Q_\beta,
$$

(2)

where $X = \exp \left[-\lambda (b^\dagger - b)\right]$, $\hat{\epsilon}_{ex} = \epsilon_{ex} - M^2/(\hbar \omega_0)$ and $\lambda = M/(\hbar \omega_0)$.

The luminescence spectra of the molecule and the surface plasmons are obtained by the relations, $B_L(\omega) = -3L^< (\omega)/\pi$ and $B_P(\omega) = -3P^< (\omega)/\pi$ [29]. Here $L^<$ and $P^<$ are the lesser projections of the Green’s function of the molecular exciton and the surface plasmon on the Keldysh contour ($L$ and $P$), respectively.

To investigate the excitation properties and analyze the structures in the luminescence spectra, the spectral functions of the molecule and the surface plasmons, which correspond to their absorption spectra, are calculated by the relations, $A_L(\omega) = -3L^> (\omega)/\pi$ and $A_P(\omega) = -3P^> (\omega)/\pi$, where $L^>$ and $P^>$ are the retarded projections of $L$ and $P$.

To obtain the spectral functions and the luminescence spectra, it is needed to calculate $L$ and $P$, which are defined as

$$
L(\tau, \tau') = \frac{1}{i \hbar} \langle T_C \{d(\tau) X(\tau) d^\dagger(\tau') X^\dagger(\tau')\} \rangle_H,
$$

(3)

$$
P(\tau, \tau') = \frac{1}{i \hbar} \langle T_C \{a(\tau) a^\dagger(\tau')\} \rangle_H,
$$

(4)

where $\langle \cdots \rangle_H$ denotes statistical average in representation by system evolution for $\hat{H}$. The variable $\tau$ is the Keldysh contour time variable. The operator $T_C$ denotes the time ordering along the Keldysh contour.

To calculate the Green’s function $L$ containing the exciton-plasmon coupling $V$, we take into account a random-phase-approximation (RPA)-type diagrammatic

FIG. 1. (Color online) Schematic energy diagram of excitation in the absorbed molecular layer whose excitation energy is close to the surface plasmon mode. Horizontal lines in each parabola denote vibrational sublevels where $|g\rangle$ and $|e\rangle$ denote the ground and first-excited electronic states, respectively. The variable $Q$ denotes the vibrational coordinate whose equilibrium position is displaced by $Q_0$ upon the creation of the molecular exciton.
series. This approximation is expected to hold for \( M \gg V^2 \). The integral equation for \( L \) is given by

\[
L(\tau, \tau') = L_b(\tau, \tau') + \int d\tau_1 d\tau_2 L_b(\tau, \tau_1) |V|^2 P^{(0)}(\tau_1, \tau_2) L(\tau_2, \tau'),
\]

where

\[
L_b(\tau, \tau') = L_{el}(\tau, \tau') K(\tau, \tau'),
\]

\[
L_{el}(\tau, \tau') = \frac{1}{\hbar} \langle T_C \{ d(\tau) d^{\dagger}(\tau') \} \rangle_B,
\]

\[
K(\tau, \tau') = \langle T_C \{ X(\tau) X^{\dagger}(\tau') \} \rangle_B,
\]

and \( P^{(0)} \) is the plasmon Green’s function for \( V = 0 \). Here, we employ an approximation of decoupling electronic and vibrational parts in \( L_b \), and calculate \( L_{el} \) and \( K \). The integral equation for \( L_{el} \) is given by

\[
L_{el}(\tau, \tau') = L^{(0)}_{el}(\tau, \tau') + \int d\tau_1 d\tau_2 L^{(0)}_{el}(\tau, \tau_1) \Sigma(\tau_1, \tau_2) L_{el}(\tau_2, \tau'),
\]

where \( L^{(0)}_D \) is the correlation function \( K \) in terms of the vibrational Green’s function \( D \), which is defined by

\[
D(\tau, \tau') = \frac{1}{\hbar} \langle T_C \{ P_b(\tau) P_b^{\dagger}(\tau') \} \rangle_B
\]

where \( P_b = -i (b - b^{\dagger}) \). The self-energy for \( D \) can be expressed by using \( P \) and \( L \).

By assuming the condition of stationary current, the distribution function \( N_{pl} \) of the surface plasmons excited by inelastic tunneling between the tip and the substrate is given by

\[
N_{pl}(\omega) = \begin{cases} T_{pl} \left( 1 - \frac{\hbar \omega}{e V_{bias}} \right), & |\hbar \omega| < |e V_{bias}|, \\ 0, & \text{others} \end{cases}
\]

where \( T_{pl} \) is a coefficient related to the current amplitude due to the inelastic tunneling \([31]\), \( e \) is the elementary charge, and \( V_{bias} \) is the bias voltage. The lesser and greater projections of \( P^{(0)} \) are obtained through the relations, \( P^{(0)},<(\omega) = 2i N_{pl}(\omega) \Im P^{(0),\tau}(\omega) \) and \( P^{(0)},>(\omega) = 2i [1 + N_{pl}(\omega)] \Re P^{(0),\tau}(\omega) \), where \( P^{(0),\tau} \) is the retarded projection of \( P^{(0)} \) \([24]\). The equations mentioned above are self-consistently solved. After convergence, the spectral functions and the luminescence spectra are calculated.

The parameters given correspond to the experiment on STM-LE from tetraphenylporphyrin(TPP) molecules on the gold surface \([20]\). \( \epsilon_{ex} = 1.89 \text{ eV}, \) \( \hbar \omega_0 = 0.16 \text{ eV}\) and \( \hbar \omega_p = 2.05 \text{ eV} \). The statistical average is taken for temperature \( T = 80 \text{ K} \) \([20]\). The square of \( \lambda \) has been reported to be 0.61 on the basis of first-principles calculations \([24]\). The parameter \( \Gamma_\beta \) is given so that the molecular vibrational lifetime due to the coupling to the thermal phonon bath is 13 ps \([20]\). A Markovian decay is assumed for the surface plasmon so that the plasmon lifetime for \( V = 0 \text{ eV} \) becomes 4.7 fs \([20, 24]\). The coefficient \( T_{pl} \) is set to \( 10^{-4} \) to give the tunneling current \( I_t = 200 \text{ pA} \).

Figure 2 shows the calculated spectral functions of the molecular exciton \( A_L \) and \( A_P \). The peak positions for both \( A_L \) and \( A_P \) are shifted due to the exciton-plasmon coupling \( V \), remarkably for the lowest one upon excluding the small structure near 1.7 eV. It is confirmed that the amount of the shift is roughly scaled by \( V^2 \) in the range from \( V = 0.05 \text{ eV} \) to \( V = 1 \text{ eV} \). These peaks include the contribution from the processes of electronic transitions accompanied by vibrational state transitions. The amplitude of the asymmetric structure in the vicinity of 1.7 eV increases as the bias voltage \( V_{bias} \) increases. These structures originate from a process which involves energy transfer from the vibrational state.

The luminescence spectra \( B_L \) and \( B_P \) are shown in Fig. 3. At \( V_{bias} = 1.8 \text{ V} \), the luminescence intensity of the molecule [Fig. 3(a)] is lower than the surface plasmons [Fig. 3(c)]. While at \( V_{bias} > 1.85 \text{ V} \), the luminescence intensity of the molecule becomes higher than the surface plasmons. In addition, at \( V_{bias} = 2.5 \text{ V} \), the luminescence spectra \( B_L \) [red solid line in Fig. 3(d)] shows peak structures near 2.05 eV and 2.21 eV. The position of these peak structures correspond to the energies of...
the peaks in the luminescence spectra of the molecule than 1. The position of the peak structures in the energy range lower than 1 corresponds to the peaks in the spectral function of the molecule \( B_L \). These peak structures are attributed to the electronic transitions from the higher vibrational levels for the excited electronic state to the ground electronic state of the molecule. Therefore, these peak structures are attributed to the hot luminescence, which have been observed in the experiment \([20]\). The luminescence intensity of the peak near 1.89 eV is suppressed. In this energy range, the high intensity peak is found in the spectral function of the molecule [Fig. 3(c)]. Thus, the suppression of the luminescence intensity is attributed to the re-absorption of the surface plasmons by the molecule (re-absorption process). The re-absorption processes are classified into two kinds: one is accompanied by the vibrational excitations while the other is not (inelastic and elastic processes, respectively). From the spectral function of the molecule, it is confirmed that for \( \lambda^2 < 1 \), the elastic process is dominant over the inelastic processes.

In the luminescence spectra of the surface plasmons \( B_P \), complicated peak and dip structures appear at \( V_{\text{bias}} > 1.85 \) V [red solid line in Figs. 3(g), 3(h)]. The position of the peak structures in the energy range lower than 1.75 eV (near 1.70, 1.54 and 1.38 eV) correspond to the peaks in the luminescence spectra of the molecule \( B_L \) [red solid line in Figs. 3(c), 3(d)]. These peak structures are, therefore, due to the enhancement by the molecular electronic and vibrational modes (molecular modes). The position of the dip structures in the energy range higher than 1.8 eV in \( B_P \) (near 1.86, 2.02 and 2.18 eV) correspond to the peaks in the spectral function of the molecule \( A_L \). These dip structures are attributed to the molecular absorption. These peak and dip structures will correspond to the peak and dip structures observed in the recent experiment \([22]\). In addition, our results show that a dent structure appears near the energy of the surface plasmon mode (2.05 eV) in \( B_P \) [Fig. 3(h)], although \( A_L \) has its maximum intensities near 1.86 eV. It is found that the re-absorption by the surface plasmons leads to the dent structure in the energy range near 2.05 eV, where the spectral function of the surface plasmons \( A_P \) has its maximum intensity. Thus, we insist that in addition to molecular luminescence and absorption, the re-absorption by the surface plasmons play crucial roles in determining the luminescence spectral profiles of the surface plasmons. We expect that these results can be verified by experiments, for example by a comparison between the luminescence spectra acquired with a molecule-covered tip and with a clean metallic tip on a clean metal surface, which correspond to the red solid and green dashed lines in Fig. 3(h), respectively \([22]\).

The upconverted luminescence can be seen in Fig. 4. Its contribution can be confirmed in comparison with the vibrational state in thermal equilibrium [green dashed line in Fig. 4], where the molecular vibration is distributed according to the Bose distribution function at \( T = 80 \) K and is therefore almost in the ground state. The vibrational excitations are accompanying the electronic transitions, which occur via the excitation channels resulting from the exciton-plasmon coupling \( V \). The vibrational occupation number \( \langle b^\dagger b \rangle_{\hat{R}} \) are scaled by \( \lambda^2 |V|^2 n_{ex}/\gamma \), where \( n_{ex} \) is the population of the molecular excitation, which is given by \( n_{ex} = \langle d^\dagger d \rangle_{\hat{R}} \), and \( \gamma \) represents the vibrational damping for \( V = 0 \) eV. Thus the vibrational excitations assist the occurrence of the upconverted luminescence.

In conclusion, the luminescence properties of the molecule and the surface plasmons can be strongly influenced by the interplay between their dynamics resulting from the exciton-plasmon coupling. The luminescence spectra of the molecule are modified due to the
re-absorption of the surface plasmons by the molecule. The peak structures in $B_P$ arise due to the enhancement by the molecular modes and the dip structures due to the molecular absorption. It is found that the re-absorption by the surface plasmons leads to the dent structure in $B_P$. Thus, in addition to the molecular dynamics, the re-absorption by the surface plasmons plays crucial roles in determining the spectral profiles of $B_P$. Moreover, our calculations have reproduced the hot luminescence and the upconverted luminescence assisted by the molecular vibrations.
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