A study on the acoustic characteristics of the sound-absorbing structure in single-column UHV Shunt reactors
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Abstract. Single-column UHV shunt reactors usually generate large noises with long wavelengths. For this reason, the noises along the substation boundaries may exceed the standards. We aimed at noise control of single-column UHV shunt reactors in the present study. Based on the noise characteristics of single-column UHV shunt reactors, we employed an acoustic impedance test apparatus and studied the influences of different structural parameters on the acoustic performance of the compound impedance sound-absorbing structure. The results showed that the compound impedance sound-absorbing structure had a better sound-absorbing performance at low frequencies if a microperforated plate was introduced with basalt cotton as the filler. Besides, as the surface density, thickness, size of the dorsal cavity, and size of the intermediate cavity increased, the sound absorption coefficient at low frequencies increased. After the surface density increased to a certain level, the increase rate of the sound-absorbing performance dropped suddenly. Moreover, the intermediate cavity achieved a lesser enhancement of sound-absorbing performance at low frequencies than the dorsal cavity.
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1. Introduction

Recent years have witnessed a constant development of ultra-high-voltage (UHV) grids. However, noise pollution from UHV equipment has become increasingly aggravated [1]. The iron core of single-column UHV shunt reactors are designed as a discus, and the magnetic leakage phenomenon may be severe. In the presence of an alternating magnetic field, the attractive force between discuses and between the discus and the bracket may result in substantial vibration and noises [2]. Besides, single-column UHV shunt reactors are usually deployed along the substation boundaries. The noises generated by the reactors are predominantly in the low-frequency band and are of long wavelengths. These noises have a strong ability to penetrate the buildings. Therefore, single-column UHV shunt reactors may profoundly affect the residents in the surrounding area. Given the above, noise control of single-column UHV shunt reactors is a major concern for grid companies.

At present, glass wool and other fibers are usually used as sound-absorbing materials for single-column UHV shunt reactors. However, glass wool has a lower sound absorption coefficient at low frequencies. It is brittle, easily broken, and not environmentally friendly. Glass wool can hardly meet the noise reduction and service life requirements for single-column UHV shunt reactors [3], [4]. We performed a series of acoustic impedance tests and chose basalt cotton with smaller density, higher elastic modulus and better environmental sustainability as the filler. A compound impedance sound-absorbing structure was thus prepared. This structure could improve the sound-absorbing performance at low frequencies and widen the frequency band of sound.
absorption and therefore was applicable for noise control of single-column UHV shunt reactors.

2. Analysis of noise characteristics

The intensity of near-field noises generated by single-column UHV shunt reactors varies with voltage grade, rated capacity and manufacturer. Nevertheless, the intensity is generally within the range of 70 to 75 dB (A). The frequency range of noises is mainly limited to the low frequencies, with a significant peak occurring at 100 Hz. The sound pressure level gradually decreases after the peak. The single-column UHV shunt reactor is shown in Fig. 1, and the frequency spectrum of the generated noises is given in Fig. 2.

3. Experimental protocol

We adopted the compound impedance sound-absorbing principle (compounding porous fibers with resonance absorber) to optimize a noise-absorbing structure suitable for single-column UHV shunt reactors [5], [6]. To achieve this goal, we first studied the influences of the type, surface density and thickness of sound-absorbing materials on the acoustic performance of the sound-absorbing structure according to GB/T 18696.2-2002 Acoustics: Determination of sound absorption coefficient and impedance in impedance tubes-Part 2: Transfer function method. We also examined the influence of the compounding parameters (namely, compounding method, size of the dorsal cavity, and size of the intermediate cavity) on the acoustic performance of the sound-absorbing structure [7], [8]. The acoustic impedance test apparatus was used for the analysis, as shown in Fig. 3. The test samples included glass wool, basalt cotton, aluminum silicate fiber cotton, and microperforated plate, as shown in Fig. 4.
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4. Influence of material and structural parameters

4.1. Influence of material type on acoustic performance

Different types of sound-absorbing materials were experimented, namely, glass wool, basalt cotton and aluminum silicate fiber cotton. Sound absorption performance was analyzed and compared among different types of sound-absorbing materials. The results are shown in Fig. 5.

Fig. 5. Sound absorption coefficient curves of different types of sound-absorbing materials: 1 – glass wool; 2 – basalt cotton; 3 – aluminum silicate fiber cotton

It can be seen from the figure that the sound absorption coefficient was the highest with basalt cotton at frequencies below 1200 Hz. This result could be explained by the differences in density, which is 2.62 g/cm³ for glass wool, 2.56 g/cm³ for basalt cotton and 2.92 g/cm³ for aluminum silicate fiber cotton. With all other size parameters being the same, the basalt cotton had smaller pores inside, resulting in higher low-frequency noise retention capability.

4.2. Influence of surface density of materials on acoustic performance

Next, we tested the sound absorption performance of basalt cotton with different surface densities: 100 g/m², 300 g/m², 500 g/m², 700 g/m², and 900 g/m². The test results are shown in Fig. 6.

Fig. 6. Sound absorption coefficient curves of basalt cotton with different surface densities: 1 – 900 g/m²; 2 – 700 g/m²; 3 – 500 g/m²; 4 – 300 g/m²; 5 – 100 g/m²

It can be seen from the figure that as the surface density increased, the sound absorption coefficient of the basalt cotton increased. When the surface density increased to 500 g/m², the sound absorption coefficient increased at a much lower rate as the surface density further increased. This was because as the surface density increased, the size of the pores within the basalt cotton reduced. As a result, the resistance encountered by the sound waves passing through the fiber cotton increased gradually. Once the surface density increased to a certain level, it was not conducive to sound absorption. This directly resulted in a sudden reduction in the increase rate of the sound absorption coefficient curve.
4.3. Influence of material thickness on acoustic performance

The sound absorption performance of basalt cotton with different surface densities was analyzed, namely, 2.5 cm, 5 cm and 10 cm. The test results are shown in Fig. 7.

It can be seen from the figure that as the material thickness increased, the peak of sound absorption shifted toward the left, which was accompanied by a dramatic improvement in the acoustic performance. This was because as the material thickness increased, the length of pores inside the basalt cotton also increased to match with the length of low-frequency sound waves. Therefore, the basalt cotton became more suitable for absorbing low-frequency noises.

![Fig. 7. Sound absorption coefficient curves of basalt cotton with different thicknesses: 1 – 10 cm; 2 – 5 cm; 3 – 2.5 cm](image)

5. Influence of compound structure parameters

5.1. Influence of the compounding method on acoustic performance

A microperforated plate is a resonance absorber featured by low sound quality and high acoustic impedance, with a pore size below 1 mm and a perforation rate of 1-5 %. When the frequency of the incident sound waves is close to the resonance frequency of the microperforated plate, the air in the microperforated plate will undergo vibration and friction, causing a significant attenuation of the sound energy [9], [10].

Based on the above considerations, we used a microperforated plate as the resonance absorber in the sound-absorbing structure. The microperforated plate was placed in front of or behind the basalt cotton. The sound absorption performance was compared between the sound-absorbing structures made by different compounding methods, with the test results shown in Fig. 8.

![Fig. 8. Sound absorption coefficient curves of the sound-absorbing structure with different compounding methods: 1 – Microperforated plate + Basalt cotton of thickness 10 cm + Dorsal cavity of thickness 2 cm; 2 – Basalt cotton of thickness 10 cm + Microperforated plate + Dorsal cavity of thickness 2 cm](image)

As shown in the figure, after adding the microperforated plates, the sound absorption coefficients of the sound-absorbing structure were significantly increased. Besides, when the
5.2. Influence of the size of the dorsal cavity on acoustic performance

With the microperforated panel as the surface layer, and the basalt cotton of thickness 5cm as the filling material, different sizes of the dorsal cavity were chosen, namely, 1 cm, 3 cm, 5 cm, and 7 cm. The sound absorption performance of the sound-absorbing structures with the dorsal cavity in different sizes was analyzed. The test results are shown in Fig. 9.

It can be seen from the figure that as the size of the dorsal cavity increased, the sound absorption coefficient curve shifted towards lower frequencies on the whole. Therefore, the low-frequency sound absorption performance of the sound-absorbing structure was enhanced. This result could be attributed to the fact that the compounded sound-absorbing structure resembled an RLC resonant circuit, where the fiber cotton achieved a cascade connection of acoustic impedance and sound quality. The microperforated plate acted as an acoustic capacitance. When the size of the dorsal cavity matched with the wavelength of the sound waves, the sound energy could be more effectively dissipated by the dorsal cavity.

5.3. Influence of the size of the intermediate cavity on acoustic performance

With the microperforated panel as the surface layer, and the basalt cotton of thickness 5cm as the filling material, different sizes of the intermediate cavity were chosen, namely, 3 cm, 5 cm, and 7 cm. The sound absorption performance of the sound-absorbing structures with the intermediate cavity in different sizes was analyzed. The test results are shown in Fig. 10.

It can be seen from the figure that as the intermediate cavity increased in size, the sound absorption coefficient curve shifted towards lower frequencies. In other words, the increase in the intermediate cavity in size had a similar effect as that in the dorsal cavity: both were conducive to low-frequency sound absorption. But compared with the dorsal cavity, the intermediate cavity achieved a lesser enhancement of low-frequency sound absorption. This was because the basalt cotton was not backed by a cavity.

6. Conclusions

Based on the noise characteristics of the single-column UHV shunt reactors, we analyzed the influence of different structural parameters on the acoustic performance of the compound impedance sound-absorbing structure. We arrived at the following conclusions:

1) The noise frequency range of single-column UHV shunt reactors is mainly limited to low frequencies, with a significant peak occurring at 100 Hz.
2) Basalt cotton had the better low-frequency sound absorption performance among the three
materials tested. Besides, as the surface density and the thickness increased, the low-frequency sound absorption coefficient increased correspondingly. However, the increase rate dropped abruptly after the surface density increased to a certain level.

3) The addition of a microperforated plate could dramatically increase the sound absorption coefficient of the sound-absorbing structure where basalt cotton was used as fillers. Moreover, as the size of the dorsal cavity and the intermediate cavity increased, the low-frequency sound absorption coefficient of the sound-absorbing structure also increased. However, the intermediate cavity achieved a lesser enhancement of low-frequency sound absorption performance than the dorsal cavity.
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