An algorithmic approach to total hip arthroplasty in patient with post-polio paralysis and fixed pelvic obliquity
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Aims
Total hip arthroplasty (THA) in patients with post-polio residual paralysis (PPRP) is challenging. Despite relief in pain after THA, pre-existing muscle imbalance and altered gait may cause persistence of difficulty in walking. The associated soft tissue contractures not only imbalances the pelvis, but also poses the risk of dislocation, accelerated polyethylene liner wear, and early loosening.

Methods
In all, ten hips in ten patients with PPRP with fixed pelvic obliquity who underwent THA as per an algorithmic approach in two centres from January 2014 to March 2018 were followed-up for a minimum of two years (2 to 6). All patients required one or more additional soft tissue procedures in a pre-determined sequence to correct the pelvic obliquity. All were invited for the latest clinical and radiological assessment.

Results
The mean Harris Hip Score at the latest follow-up was 79.2 (68 to 90). There was significant improvement in the coronal pelvic obliquity from 16.6° (SD 7.9°) to 1.8° (SD 2.4°; p < 0.001). Radiographs of all ten hips showed stable prostheses with no signs of loosening or migration, regardless of whether paralytic or non-paralytic hip was replaced. No complications, including dislocation or infection related to the surgery, were observed in any patient. The subtrochanteric shortening osteotomy done in two patients had united by nine months.

Conclusion
Simultaneous correction of soft tissue contractures is necessary for obtaining a stable hip with balanced pelvis while treating hip arthritis by THA in patients with PPRP and fixed pelvic obliquity.
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Introduction
Total hip arthroplasty (THA) is the established treatment for patients presenting with painful disabling hip arthritis and/or associated instability secondary to muscular imbalance, not amenable to non-arthroplasty options. Hip arthritis is seen both in the affected and contralateral limbs with post-polio residual paralysis (PPRP). While the affected side can become subluxated and dysplastic due to weak abductors and extendors combined with normal flexors and adductors, the contralateral hip can become arthritic owing to leg length discrepancy, pelvic obliquity, and severe deformities of the affected hip.

After THA, despite pain relief from the arthritic hip, patients may continue to experience difficulty in walking, with or without support, due to pre-existing muscle imbalance and altered gait. The associated deformity due to soft tissue contractures, especially on the contralateral side, poses the risk of dislocation or early loosening.

The problems in the polio-affected hip include dysplastic acetabulum, valgus femoral neck, narrow femoral canal, disuse osteoporosis, and increased risk of dislocation after THA due to muscular imbalance.
between the hip abductors-adductors and extensors-flexors.5,6 Issues with the contralateral hip include muscular imbalance between the abductors of either side, pelvic obliquity, and inability to correct limb length discrepancy following THA due to contralateral paralytic limb.

There is paucity of literature on THA in patients with residual paralysis following poliomyelitis.1 There is also a considerable void in reporting from the developing world, where patients with residual paralysis have either been neglected or denied arthroplasty surgeries in anticipation of complications due to soft tissue contractures. To the best of our knowledge, no study has reported on results of THA in patients with PPRP with fixed pelvic obliquity and hip arthritis. We report our series of patients with PPRP with fixed pelvic obliquity who underwent THA and combined soft tissue release on ipsilateral and contralateral side to achieve correction of the pelvic obliquity. The sequence of soft tissue release is delineated.

**Methods**

In all, ten hips in ten patients with PPRP with fixed pelvic obliquity who underwent THA in two centres (All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, India, and Mediconver Hospital, Madhapur, India) from January 2014 to March 2018 with a minimum two years follow-up were evaluated. Fixed pelvic obliquity was defined as inability to square the pelvis when a traction is applied in the short limb. Patients without pelvic obliquity and those who did not require additional soft tissue releases were excluded from the study. The additional soft tissue releases were defined as those other than the following:

1. The 300° pericapsular release (sparing 60° for protecting the abductors);
2. Muscles in close vicinity of the joint (gluteus minimus muscle and the reflected head of rectus femoris);
3. The adductor tenotomy (if required);
4. and Psoas tenotomy at the trochanteric attachment (if required).

In every case, the operative procedure followed detailed planning after consideration of patient’s clinical condition and radiographs by the senior surgeons (RM, KKE) from the two hospitals. The requirement of additional soft tissue procedure(s) was anticipated preoperatively after clinical examination. All additional soft tissue contractures were addressed prior to THA in a sequential manner, as per the algorithm shown in Figure 1. The contracture releases were aimed at correcting the pelvic obliquity (Figures 2 to 7). All the surgeries were performed via the standard posterior approach. Subtrochanteric shortening osteotomy was required in two cases (20%), with high riding femur to achieve reduction.

**Additional soft tissue procedures.** One or more of the following combined soft tissue procedures were performed as per the requirement:

---

Authors’ algorithm for managing soft tissue contractures during total hip arthroplasty in patients with post-polio residual paralysis and fixed pelvic obliquity.
1. Yount’s release: With the patient in a lateral or floppy lateral position, 5 cm to 8 cm incision was given on the lateral aspect of the distal thigh. The iliotibial band was exposed and isolated and excised for a length of at least 2 cm. This was followed by the division of the intermuscular septum and release of lateral hamstrings as per the requirement. The knee extension, as well as hip extension and adduction, were checked at each stage of the surgical release. Once the procedure was completed and the wound closed, the patient was positioned supine and re-assessed clinically. If the patient had a residual hip contracture despite Yount’s release, Soutter’s soft tissue release around the hip was undertaken.

2. Soutter’s release: Contractures around the hip were in flexion, either alone or associated with abduction. A short transverse incision of around 5 cm was given two finger breadths inferior to the anterior superior...
iliac spine. The avascular plane between the sartorius and tensor fascia lata was identified after protecting the lateral cutaneous nerve of thigh. The tight structures were released in a stepwise manner in the sequence of fascia of sartorius followed by the muscle itself. This was followed by the release of tensor fascia lata at the musculotendinous junction near its attachment at the outer border of iliac crest. If the flexion contracture still persisted, the straight head of rectus femoris was released. Any residual flexion contracture was addressed by anterior capsular release and/or psoas release during the THA procedure. If the flexion contracture was associated with abduction contracture, the release was extended posteriorly up to the gluteus fascia, and was further addressed either by gluteus maximus transfer and a dual mobility component, or a constrained hip prosthesis during THA.

3. Gluteus maximus transfer. Gluteus maximus transfer was carried out in the case where the contracture necessitated the Soutter’s release to be extended till the abductor fascia, resulting in compromised abductor function and in patients undergoing THA on the paralytic side with abductor power less than 3/5. The transfer was carried out according to the steps described by Whiteside. The gluteus maximus muscle was split in line, approximately to half of the length of the muscle continuing distally to split the fascia lata approximately 4 cm distal to the upper attachment of the gluteus maximus. The anterior portion of the gluteus maximus was then elevated as a flap by splitting the fibres of tensor fascia lata in its line proximally up to the iliac crest, hence creating a triangular flap based proximally. The lateral cortex of the greater trochanter was then exposed through 2 cm of the proximal attachment of vastus lateralis by splitting along its midline and elevating the gluteus medius and vastus lateralis in continuity. The lateral cortex was roughened with multiple drill holes, and the apex of the gluteus maximus triangular flap sutured onto the lateral cortical bone with multiple non-absorbable sutures under moderate tension. The split vastus lateralis and the gluteus medius were repaired over the attached flap with absorbable sutures. The hip was held in 15° abduction for closure. The posterior musculocapsule complex was re-attached to the posterior border of greater trochanter. The anterior margin of the posterior part of gluteus maximus was sutured to the posterior margin of the transferred flap. The fascial closure was completed by snug suturing of anterior and posterior portions of the fascia lata over the transferred flap making a Y-shaped closure proximally.

4. Iliolumbar fascia release Iliolumbar fascia release was carried out in one patient to address an associated fixed pelvic obliquity due to suprapelvic contracture. The suprapelvic contracture was diagnosed in a patient with non-structural lumbar scoliosis where the pelvic obliquity was due to contracture of supra-pelvic soft tissues. The contracture release was done as described by Lee et al. With the patient in floppy lateral position and slightly flexed trunk, a curved incision was made extending proximally from the iliac crest up to the spinous process of L5 vertebra. The subcutaneous layer was dissected in the line of skin incision to expose the posterior layer of iliolumbar fascia. The thick layer of iliolumbar fascia posteriorly was divided transversely from the iliac crest extending up to the L5 spinous process leaving the underlying erector spinae muscle intact. The division was carried laterally up to the junction where the anterior and posterior fascial layers blend. The interspinous ligaments at the levels L4/L5 and L5/S1 were divided, and the erector spinae muscle was reflected medially to free up the fascial attachments and short rotator muscles from the L4 and L5 transverse processes. The release was completed by dividing the arcuate band of the iliolumbar ligament. An intraoperative traction image (traction to the ipsilateral limb by an assistant after removing the back support and making the patient supine) was taken to assess the squaring of pelvis. THA was completed as per the standard procedure.

Postoperatively, all the patients were nursed prone for at least two hours, three times a day, for six weeks. The side with preoperative adduction deformity was kept abducted. Patients were discharged with instructions to adhere to the precautions as advised. All the patients were regularly follow-up as per our service protocol.

After approval from Institutional Ethics Committee of both the hospitals, we retrospectively analyzed all
ten patients who were able to attend the outpatient department as a part of regular follow-up. The clinical and surgical details were obtained from the outpatient department record card and hospital medical records. The clinical assessment was done using the Harris Hip Score\(^1\) and radiological assessment with serial radiographs. The preoperative radiographs were retrieved from the service record for comparison. Residual limb length discrepancy and tilting of the pelvis were evaluated with the anteroposterior radiographs of the pelvis. The type of the coronal plane pelvic obliquity in each patient was classified as described by Lee et al.\(^1\) The coronal plane pelvic obliquity was measured by drawing a line joining the inferior margins of the two ischial tuberosities and the angle made by it with the horizontal. The acetabular inclination was measured as the angle between the acetabular axis and the horizontal. All the radiological measurements were done using the Surgimap software (Nemaris, USA). The limb length was assessed radiologically by drawing a line parallel to the inter-teardrop line at the level of lesser trochanters and measuring the level of upper margin of lesser trochanter with respect to that line (Figure 8). Use of orthosis (if any) was noted during the clinical assessment.

Results
All the patients were female with mean age of 39.7 years (standard deviation (SD) 8.5; 25 to 52) at the time of surgery. The mean follow-up was four years (2 to 6). Five patients had undergone THA on contralateral non-paralyzed side and four patients on the paralyzed side. One patient had bilateral involvement due to polio with left side being flail and the right having weakness of hip abductors (power of 1/5). The right hip, on the higher side of the pelvic obliquity had painful dislocated hip for the last two years before surgery. Prior to the dislocation, the patient was ambulating on this hip with the help of a walker. The indication for surgery was severe hip pain with end-stage arthritis and chronic dislocated right hip rendering the patient unable to carry out her activities of daily living. All operations were performed under spinal anaesthesia. The soft tissue procedures performed were necessitated as a part of THA to achieve pelvic obliquity correction in each patient. All the patients had non-structural scoliotic deformity secondary to contractures of the hip and knee and one patient with lumbar scoliosis required additional iliolumbar fascia release. Clinical and surgical details obtained from the records are summarized in Table I.

The mean Harris Hip Score at the latest follow-up was 79.2 (68 to 92). Compared to the preoperative status, all patients had a satisfactory outcome, except two, who were pain free but partially satisfied because of the paralytic limb.

The radiographs of all ten hips showed stable prostheses, with no signs of loosening or migration, regardless of whether paralytic or non-paralytic hip was replaced. There was no osteolysis or heterotopic ossification in any of the radiographs at the latest follow-up (Figure 8b). The pelvic obliquity as measured in the anteroposterior pelvis radiograph showed significant improvement from 16.6° (SD 7.9°; 95% confidence interval (CI) 10.8 to 22.3) to 1.8° (SD 2.4°; 95% CI 0.5 to 3.5) (p < 0.001, paired \(t\)-test). The limb length discrepancy on the paralytic limb decreased significantly from 2.9 cm (SD 1.6; 95% CI 1.7 to 4.1) to 0.6 cm (SD 0.8; 95% CI 0.4 to 1.2) (p < 0.001, paired \(t\)-test). The mean acetabular component inclination was 37.9° (SD 5.6°; 30 to 44) (Table II). The subtrochanteric shortening osteotomy carried out in two patients had united by nine months.

No complications, including dislocation or infection related to the surgery, were observed in any patient.
survivorship free from any reoperation, dislocation, loosening, or infection was 100% at six years.

Discussion
The successful implementation of vaccination regime dramatically reduced the new cases of poliomyelitis worldwide. However, patients in developing nations who were infected in the later years of eradication, and left with residual paralysis, are still a cause of concern. Although they have learned to live with the paralytic limb, the quality of life further deteriorates when the patient develops pain due to arthritic changes in the joints. Either of the hips (paralytic or non-paralytic) can be affected with hip arthritis. The altered gait pattern due to motor weakness, limb length discrepancy, and pelvic obliquity ostensibly predisposes these patients to degenerative changes in the weightbearing joints.

Historical treatment with arthrodesis has been gradually replaced by THA, albeit with caution in polio survivors presenting with painful hip arthritis. There is paucity of literature on THA in PPRP with a few case reports and small series. Most of the studies have reported clinical

Table I. Demographics, surgical details, and clinical follow-up of the patients.

| Serial no (initials) | Age, yrs | Limb affected by polio | THA side | Type of pelvic obliquity | Sequence of soft tissue procedures | Implants used | HHS at latest follow-up | Use of walking aids at present | Remarks |
|----------------------|----------|------------------------|----------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|
| 1 (VL)               | 52 (F)   | Left                   | Left     | IB                      | Ipsilateral Yount’s and Soutter’s release, plus abductor fasciotomy, plus gluteus maximus transfer | Uncemented dual mobility component (Evolutis) plus Corail Stem (Depuy) | 90 at six years | KFO and cane on left side | Satisfactory |
| 2 (AA)               | 46 (F)   | Right                  | Right    | IIC                    | Ipsilateral Yount’s and Soutter’s release, plus abductor fasciotomy, plus gluteus maximus transfer | Cemented Snap fit component (Link) plus Corail Stem (Depuy) | 78 at six years | Crutch on right side | Satisfactory |
| 3 (MA)               | 46 (F)   | Right                  | Right    | IID                    | Ipsilateral Yount’s and Soutter’s release plus ipsilateral iliolumbar fascia release plus adductor tenotomy | Modular dual mobility component (Stryker) plus SROM Stem (Depuy) | 72 at five years | KFO on left side | Partially satisfied |
| 4 (GR)               | 43 (F)   | Right                  | Right    | IIC                    | Ipsilateral Yount’s and Soutter’s release plus abductor fasciotomy plus Gluteus Maximus transfer | Uncemented dual mobility component (Evolutis) plus Hactiv Stem (Evolutis) | 92 at five years | KFO on right side | Satisfactory |
| 5 (PC)               | 45 (F)   | Right                  | Left     | IB                     | Ipsilateral Yount’s and Soutter’s release plus adductor tenotomy | Uncemented Pinnacle component (Depuy) plus SROM stem (Depuy) | 80 at five years | KFO on right side | Satisfactory |
| 6 (SR)               | 42 (F)   | Right                  | Left     | IIC                    | Ipsilateral Yount’s and Soutter’s release | Libertas dual mobility component (Max) plus Latitutd Stem (Max) | 68 at three years | Weightbearing on left side only with a cane, right leg flail | Partially satisfied |
| 7 (AD)               | 30 (F)   | Right                  | Left     | IIC                    | Ipsilateral Yount’s release | R3 component (Smith & Nephew) plus Synergy Stem (Smith & Nephew) | 80 at two years | FRO on right side | Satisfactory |
| 8 (LD)               | 32 (F)   | Left                   | Right    | IIC                    | Ipsilateral Yount’s and Soutter’s release | Modular dual mobility component (Stryker) plus ML Taper Stem (Zimmer Biomet) | 74 at 2 years | KFO on left side | Satisfactory |
| 9 (SD)               | 25 (F)   | Left                   | Left     | IIC                    | Ipsilateral Yount’s and Soutter’s release plus Abductor fasciotomy, plus Gluteus Maximus transfer | Uncemented dual mobility component (Evolutis) plus SROM Stem (Depuy) | 82 at two years | KFO on left side | Satisfactory |
| 10 (NM)              | 36 (F)   | Right                  | Right    | IB                     | Ipsilateral Yount’s and Soutter’s release, plus Abductor fasciotomy, plus gluteus maximus transfer | Uncemented Pinnacle Gription component (Depuy) plus SROM stem (Depuy) | 76 at two years | KFO on right side | Satisfactory |

FRO, floor reaction orthosis; KAFO, knee ankle foot orthosis; SD, standard deviation.

Table II. Change in pelvic parameters following soft tissue procedure and total hip arthroplasty.

| Serial no (author initials) | Pelvic inclination, ° | Limb length discrepancy, cm | Acetabular inclination, ° |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|
|                             | Preoperative | Post- surgery | Preoperative | Post- surgery | Preoperative | Post- surgery |
| 1 (VL)                      | 15          | 0             | 1.5         | 0.0           | 39          |
| 2 (AA)                      | 16          | 0             | 2.5         | 0.5           | 43          |
| 3 (MA)                      | 37          | 8             | 6.0         | 2.5           | 32          |
| 4 (GR)                      | 11          | 2             | 1.5         | 0.0           | 30          |
| 5 (PC)                      | 17          | 1             | 2.5         | 1.0           | 43          |
| 6 (SR)                      | 11          | 0             | 3.0         | 0.0           | 39          |
| 7 (AD)                      | 20          | 3             | 2.0         | 0.0           | 34          |
| 8 (LD)                      | 18          | 2             | 4.5         | 1.0           | 44          |
| 9 (SD)                      | 9           | 0             | 1.0         | 0.0           | 31          |
| 10 (NM)                     | 12          | 2             | 5.0         | 1.5           | 44          |
acetabular prosthesis. Although a longer follow-up is required to document long-term fixation in our series, balance in cases of fixed obliquity. On the other hand, abductor tension is important in providing the hip stability, hence we recommend gluteus maximus transfer to provide an optimum soft tissue tension around the joint if the abductor power is less or compromised due to releases. One case of contralateral THA with good abductor tension could be managed with un cemented unconstrained prosthesis. However, one case with hip arthritis in paralytic limb required a constrained prosthesis due to the absence of abductor function. Both these patients are doing well with stable prostheses at the latest follow-up.

**Limitations.** Despite satisfactory results, there are a few limitations of this study. First, this is a small series with a retrospective study design. However, a prospective randomized study is not possible on this topic due to the rarity of disease; this is the only study to report on combined soft tissue procedures and THA in patients of post-polio paralysis. Second, two different surgeons (RM, KKE) were involved. Nonetheless, the same surgical principles were used in all the cases, and were performed after discussion between the two senior surgeons. Third, the limb length discrepancy was measured radiologically at pelvic level only, and was not corroborated with the clinical discrepancy (if any) as these patients may also have discrepancy due to infrapelvic causes. The deformities distal to the knee were not accounted. Fourth, only one patient had a significant lumbar spine deformity necessitating release, making it difficult to generalize our findings.

In conclusion, the results of this series have re-emphasized that THA can be considered a feasible treatment for hip arthritis in patients with PPRP. This is the first study to report on the additional soft tissue procedures performed along with THA in patients with post-polio paralysis so as to simultaneously correct the deformity, and give a squared or a nearly squared painless pelvis. In addition to pain relief, correction of soft tissue contractures is also needed for hip stability and pelvic balance. Given the risk of implant loosening with the use of constrained prosthesis, the authors advocate the use of dual mobility prosthesis whenever possible. One should also consider gluteus maximus transfer if the abductor power is compromised due to the contracture release. Although a general conclusion cannot be made with a limited number of patients, this study proves that simultaneous correction of bilateral contractures is an important aspect of treating hip arthritis in patients with PPRP. New cases of poliomyelitis are unlikely to be seen in developed countries (as they have been eliminated or eradicated by vaccinations), it is intuitive that patients infected before the eradication era are still there in the age range for THA in developing countries, and will be approaching orthopaedic surgeons for a few decades in the future.

**Take home message**

- Either of the hips (paralytic or non-paralytic) can be affected with hip arthritis in post-polio residual paralysis.
  - If a contralateral non-paralytic hip is arthritic, the contracted soft tissue on the paralytic side should be released before undertaking total hip arthroplasty (THA) on the contralateral side.
  - If the paralytic hip is arthritic, the THA should be performed cautiously, along with soft tissue release as it may require a constrained liner and a gluteus maximus transfer to achieve a stable hip.
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