Oxidative Cleavage of Vicinal Diols Catalyzed by Monomeric Fe-Sites Inside MFI Zeolite
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The oxidative cleavage of vicinal diols to carboxylic acids was investigated over heterogeneous Fe/MFI catalysts in aqueous medium, with green oxidant H₂O₂, in mild reaction conditions. High conversions and selectivities (X = 90%, S = 79%) were achieved for the oxidative cleavage of ethylene glycol. Further substrates were also tested broadening the relevance of this catalyst. The employment of non-noble and abundant Fe as the active metal and the MFI-type zeolite as support has a clear advantage over the presently used homogeneous or noble metal-based catalysts. A combination of complementary characterisation techniques with catalytic results is used to show that monomeric Fe species inside zeolite MFI are the active sites for the oxidative cleavage of C–C bonds of vicinal diols. The recyclability of the catalyst and reaction mechanism were also studied.

Introduction

Biomass is a renewable and abundant carbon-neutral resource for sustainable production of chemicals, among which organic acids are very important and widely used chemicals.[1] Vicinal diols are commonly found in biomass derived polyols or created via epoxidation and subsequent hydolysis of C–C double bonds, which are also highly abundant in biomass waste materials i.e. lignocellulosic biomass or unsaturated fatty acids.[2] Molecules containing a vicinal diol function can undergo a carbon-carbon bond cleavage, oxidizing each carbon of the vicinal diol to an aldehyde or carboxylic acid. This often leads to highly attractive chemicals.[3] Oxidative cleavage of carbon-carbon bond of vicinal diols has been conducted using stoichiometric amounts of strong oxidizing agents e.g. periodate or lead salts.[4] However, the use of stoichiometric reagents leads to high amounts of waste, which encourages the employment of a catalyst. Several works in literature have reported the use of molecular catalysts, like iron salts,[5] polyoxometalates,[6] or homogeneous vanadium complexes,[7] for the oxidative carbon-carbon bond cleavage of bio-derived vicinal diols. These reactions typically result in formation of e.g. formic acid, a highly demanded commodity chemical.[8] However, separation of the molecular entities from the reaction mixture, use of toxic solvents, high pressure O₂, etc., makes these approaches costly and difficult to implement in larger scale. The utilization of more benign solvents and oxidants, as well as heterogeneous catalysts for this process would offer a more sustainable alternative for obtaining carboxylic acids from biomass.[9] Using H₂O₂ as oxidant allows to conduct the reaction at ambient pressures, simplifying the procedure. The use of H₂O₂ also increases the sustainability index[10] as H₂O₂ can be obtained by direct synthesis from green hydrogen and oxygen[11] or via electrolysis.[12] In comparison, the use of molecular oxygen, often requires high pressures to attain an adequate reaction rate.[6,13] Some heterogeneous catalysts have been reported for the C–C bond cleavage, but they are based on precious and/or scarce metals.[14] Iron is one of the most abundant metals on earth’s crust, also being the only 3d metal, next to Ti, which is not considered to face scarcity in the future.[15] Fe is known to catalyze oxidative transformations in the presence of molecular oxygen or H₂O₂ and widely studied to mimic biological systems.[16] Crotti et al.[17] showed oxidative cleavage of glyceraldehyde to formic acid, using molecular Fe²⁺-salts and H₂O₂. Moreover, previous research has shown that monomeric/dimeric Fe species located in zeolite micropores are effective redox catalyst, able to selectively reduce NOₓ in presence of NH₃.[17,18] These redox active Fe-sites have also been used in fine chemistry, e.g., the oxidation of benzene to phenol,[19] for which the extra framework Fe sites on MFI were reported to be the active sites. In another study, Fe(III)-O-radicals and Fe(IV) have been speculated to be the active sites.[20] The oxidation potential of Fe/MFI catalyst has been further reported in the oxidation of methane using H₂O₂, which led to methane activation and C–H bond cleavage at mild temperatures.[21,22] Further applications that benefit from the high activity of Fe/MFI catalysts are, e.g., (de)hydrogenation,[23] dehydoration,[24] and other oxidation reactions,[25] as well as higher alcohol[26] and Fischer-Tropsch synthesis.[27] Most of the literature assumes that mononuclear...
Fe-species confined within the zeolite micropores are the catalytically active sites.[29,30,31]

In this study, we describe the first-time use of Fe/MFI catalysts in the oxidative carbon-carbon bond cleavage of the renewable vicinal diols. Ethylene glycol (EG) was used as model bio-derivable substrate for the systematic study of the catalyst activity and mechanism of the oxidative cleavage reaction. By varying the amount of Fe on the MFI zeolite, the role of different Fe-species on the catalytic activity was investigated. The stability and reusability of the catalyst were also examined. Additionally, the oxidative capabilities of the Fe/MFI system were investigated for larger substrates and bio-derived polyols, broadening the capability of Fe/MFI as catalyst for biomass valorization.

Results and Discussion

Catalysts characterization

The textural properties and measured Fe-loading of all catalysts are shown in Table 1. Elemental analysis showed that the Fe-loading corresponded to the aimed values. Fe impurities (0.04 wt%) were observed in the commercial HMFI55 zeolite, which is not uncommon for technical zeolites.[32] The high BET surface area of zeolites was generally retained after incorporation of Fe. The BET surface area and pore volume slightly decreased upon increasing Fe-loading, probably due to formation of bulkier Fe-species causing some pore blocking. However, in the XRD patterns (Figure S2) no FeOx reflections were visible, even for the 5.0Fe/HMFI55, which suggests high dispersion of Fe species. All catalyst samples, including an in-house synthesized Fe-free HMFI50, displayed the characteristic XRD pattern of MFI-type zeolites.[33] SEM images (Figure S3) also confirm the coffin-shaped crystal morphology of the commercial and synthesized zeolites, with similar length of about three microns.[33]

To elucidate the Fe-species, solid-state diffuse reflectance UV-vis spectroscopy (DR-UV-vis) of all the catalysts was performed (Figure 1). Absorption bands centered at 210 nm and 278 nm are representative of tetrahedral and octahedral Fe-species, respectively, often denoted as monomeric Fe(0.04 wt%) were observed in the commercial HMFI55 zeolite, the role of different Fe-species on the catalytic activity was investigated. The stability and reusability of the catalyst were also examined. Additionally, the oxidative capabilities of the Fe/MFI system were investigated for larger substrates and bio-derived polyols, broadening the capability of Fe/MFI as catalyst for biomass valorization.

Table 1. Structural and elemental analysis of all catalysts by nitrogen physisorption and ICP-OES.

| Sample          | BET surface area [m²/g] | Micropore volume [cc/g] | Fe (wt %) |
|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|
| Commercial      | 428                     | 0.158                   | 0.04      |
| HMFI50          | 410                     | 0.152                   | 0.84      |
| 0.1Fe/HMFI50    | 415                     | 0.154                   | 0.44      |
| 0.2Fe/HMFI55    | 415                     | 0.154                   | 0.84      |
| 0.5Fe/HMFI50    | 415                     | 0.154                   | 0.44      |
| 0.5Fe/HMFI55    | 362                     | 0.128                   | 0.00      |
| 1.0Fe/HMFI55    | 410                     | 0.152                   | 0.84      |
| 5.0Fe/HMFI55    | 410                     | 0.152                   | 0.84      |
| HMFI50          | 410                     | 0.152                   | 0.84      |
| 0.5Fe/HMFI50    | 362                     | 0.128                   | 0.00      |

Figure 1. Diffuse reflectance UV-vis spectra of Fe/HMFI55 catalysts. Corresponding FeOx structures are shown on top of the graph for illustration. T = tetrahedral; O = octahedral. Absorption wavelength positions of various Fe-species are shown by the dotted lines inside the graph.

510 nm corresponds to bulk FeO3.[17,18,21,22,29,34,35] Deconvolution results of these peaks, shown in Table S1 and Figure S4, revealed that for Fe-loading of up to 0.2 wt% (0.2Fe/HMFI55), monomeric-like Fe-species were dominating. With increasing Fe-loading more oligomeric and bulk Fe-species were formed, as also suggested by the decrease in surface area (see Table 1). The absence of Fe-impurities in the in-house synthesized HMFI50, was confirmed by the UV-vis spectrum with no significant bands visible, whereas commercial HMFI55 showed low bands of monomeric iron from the Fe impurities present (Table 1). Note, however, that assignment of single-site/monomeric species is often demanding.[36] To elucidate the oxidation state and coordination of Fe, further investigation was carried out by X-ray absorption spectroscopy. For the catalyst with the lowest Fe content (0.1 wt%) and the HMFI55 support, the data quality and signal-to-noise ratio was insufficient for adequate analysis, hence we focused on samples with a Fe content above 0.2 wt%. The XANES spectra at the Fe K-edge in Figure 2 show that for all samples the features around the white line are similar to FeO3 (hematite) reference, confirming an oxidation state of +3, also seen in the UV-vis spectra. In the samples with high Fe-loading (1.0Fe/HMFI55 and 5.0Fe/HMFI55), the shape of the white line resembles more that of the hematite reference, than in the low loaded samples (0.2Fe/HMFI55 and 0.5Fe/HMFI55), indicating more oligomeric/bulk FeOx species at higher Fe-
The oxidation state and geometry of the average Fe-site can be derived from the analysis of the pre-edge region, at about 10 eV below the Fe K-absorption edge. In addition, absorption in the pre-edge region corresponds to a quadrupolar $1s \rightarrow 3d$ electronic transition, which is less intense for Fe-sites with higher symmetry.\cite{38,39} Hence, octahedrally coordinated Fe-sites, which exhibit inverse symmetry unlike tetrahedrally coordinated Fe-sites, show a weaker pre-edge absorption compared to tetrahedral Fe-sites. The pre-edge peak at around 7114.6 eV which is more intense for 0.2Fe/HMF155 and 0.5Fe/HMF155 therefore indicates higher amounts of tetrahedral Fe-sites compared to the samples with higher Fe-loading (1.0Fe/HMF155 and 5.0Fe/HMF155).\cite{21,39} This is in agreement with UV-vis spectroscopy data, where we could observe higher fractions of octahedral $\text{Fe}^{2+}$ and lower of tetrahedral $\text{Fe}^{2+}$ with increasing Fe-loading.

To verify our hypothesis that Fe is indeed the active metal, we synthesized HMF150 with similar structural loadings and more monomeric $\text{FeO}_x$ species at lower Fe-loadings, in very good agreement with results from UV-vis.\cite{21,39}

The Fourier transformed EXAFS spectra of the Fe/MFI samples and the hematite reference are shown in Figure 2b. All Fourier-transformed EXAFS spectra (Figure 2b) showed a main feature at 1.50 Å (without phase-correction), corresponding to Fourier-transformed EXAFS spectra (Figure 2b) showed a main feature at 1.50 Å (without phase-correction), corresponding to Fe-O-Fe (2nd shell Fe) interactions. Dotted lines in b) highlight the features for the 1st shell Fe-O and 2nd shell Fe-Fe interactions.

To investigate the oxidation state at reaction conditions, we also conducted XANES experiments at the Fe K-edge under in-situ conditions. The white line position and intensity in the XANES spectra (Figure S6) were preserved when the catalyst was measured, in contact with H$_2$O$_2$ and under reaction conditions with H$_2$O$_2$ + EG, suggesting that the oxidation state of Fe(III) remains stable at reaction conditions.

The energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) mapping of 0.1Fe/HMF155 shown in Figure 3 displays the high dispersion of Fe-sites with little to no agglomeration on the zeolite support. This is also confirmed by the STEM analysis (Figure S7) which show no visible iron oxide clusters for the lower Fe loadings (0.1 and 0.2 wt% Fe), indicating well-dispersed sub nanometer Fe-species, in agreement with UV-vis and XAS results. Similarly, for 0.5 wt% Fe and higher formation of Fe$_2$O$_3$ clusters with particle sizes from 50 up to 100 nm for 5.0Fe/HMF155 was observed.\cite{21}

**Oxidative cleavage of ethylene glycol**

Ethylene glycol (EG) was chosen as the simplest model compound for bio-derived vicinal diols, in order to obtain distinct structure-activity relationships and insights into the reaction mechanism. To the best of our knowledge, Fe/MFI catalysts have not been previously investigated for this transformation. All Fe/MFI catalysts showed very good activity and selectivity for the oxidative C–C bond cleavage of EG, with formic acid being the main product (Figure 4a). Table S2 and S3 show catalytic results after 2 hours of reaction time and close to iso-conversion, respectively. Among all the synthesized catalysts, 0.2Fe/HMF155 showed a very good selectivity of 89% at a conversion of 80% after only 2 h of reaction time. The parent HMF155 also showed satisfactory catalytic activity (Figure 4a), which is due to the Fe impurities (0.04 wt%). This iron in the technical catalyst is known to mainly be present in its monomeric form.\cite{21,39} To verify our hypothesis that Fe is indeed the active metal, we synthesized HMF150 with similar structural

![Figure 2. a) Normalized XANES spectra and b) Fourier-transformed EXAFS spectra at the Fe K-edge for the different Fe/HMF1 catalysts and α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ (hematite) as reference. Dotted lines in b) highlight the features for the 1st shell Fe-O and 2nd shell Fe-Fe interactions.](image-url)

![Figure 3. a-d) STEM-EDS mapping for 0.1Fe/HMF155. e) high-angel annular dark-field (HAADF) STEM image, corresponding to elemental maps. f) High resolution HAADF-STEM image of 0.1Fe/HMF1. Si = blue, O = green, Al = yellow, Fe = red.](image-url)
Properties of the as-received technical HBEA25 and HMOR20, although they had Fe impurities (ICP-OES: 0.05 wt%), showed no catalytic activity (Table 2). It is also worth pointing out that the acidity, present in the protonated form of the tested zeolites, does not have any quantifiable effect on the catalytic activity. This was also evident from the very poor performance of the in-home synthesized HMFI50 (conversion < 10%, Table S2). Even after impregnating HBEA25 and HMOR20 with additional 0.5 wt% Fe the catalytic activity was still inferior compared to that of 0.5Fe/HMFI55. This suggests that the MFI structure, having smaller 10-membered ring micropores, probably provides a better confinement for preferential formation of small monomeric Fe-sites. On the other hand, the BEA and MOR type structures having bigger 12-membered ring pores facilitate the formation of undesired FeOx aggregates. We also tested SiOx and AlOx as supports with 0.5 wt% of Fe, which showed no activity at all, further underlining the need for structured microporous environment as it is the case in the zeolites.

Apart from Fe, other metals in the 1st row of transition metals, are known to catalyze oxidative transformations, also with hydrogen peroxide as the oxidizing agent. Therefore, we screened a wide range of transition metals, namely V, Cr, Ti, Cu, Ag, Co, Mn and Ni. For the screening procedure, we used 0.5 wt% of metal on HBEA25 as support, because in contrast to HMFI55 it had no intrinsic activity (Table 2). Among all metals, only Fe and Cr showed considerable activity for the oxidative cleavage of EG to formic acid (Table S5). However, in the case of 0.5Cr/HBEA25 we observed extensive leaching of Cr-species into the aqueous solution, indicated by a strong violet coloration of the solution after contacting 0.5Cr/HBEA25 with H2O2. The violet color suggests the formation of the CrOx-peroxo species, which can be generated by oxidation of chromates with H2O2. A hot filtration test was conducted for the reaction where 0.5Cr/HBEA25 catalyst was used, in which the conversion continued to increase even after separating the solid catalyst (see Figure S8). This suggests strong leaching of Cr in the solution, which was also confirmed by ICP-OES analysis of the filtered reaction solution. Based on the collected results, Fe/ MFI are the most active and stable catalysts for the oxidative cleavage of EG.

| Entry | Catalyst | Conversion [%] | Yield [%] | Selectivity [%] |
|-------|----------|----------------|----------|-----------------|
| 1     | HMFI55   | 72.2           | 57.1     | 79.1            |
| 2     | HBEA25   | 0              | 0        | -               |
| 3     | HMOR20   | 0              | 0        | -               |
| 4     | 0.5Fe/HMFI55 | 100          | 47.7     | 47.7            |
| 5     | 0.5Fe/HBEA25 | 54.1         | 24.3     | 45.0            |
| 6     | 0.5Fe/HMOR20 | 35.1         | 5.4      | 15.5            |
| 7     | 0.5Fe/SiOx | 2.4           | 0        | 0               |
| 8     | 0.5Fe/AlOx | 3.1           | 0        | 0               |

(a) According to HPLC.

Screening of different supports and transition metals: The case for the superior activity and stability of Fe inside MFI

Besides commercial MFI, also Beta Zeolites (BEA) and mordenite (MOR) zeolites were tested for the oxidative cleavage reaction. However, the as-received technical HBEA25 and HMOR20,
Catalyst stability and reusability

The stability and reusability of the Fe/HMFI55 catalyst was also investigated. For this, 0.5Fe/HMFI55 was tested and it showed little to no conversion after separation via hot filtration (Figure 5a), suggesting that the catalytically active sites are of heterogeneous nature. In addition, we also studied the reusability of the catalyst, where the used catalyst was only dried in air at 363 K for 16 h. The conversion was also limited to 70% to better investigate the catalyst before reaching full conversion. Owing to the good stability of the Fe-catalyst, the activity and selectivity could be preserved for three consecutive runs (see Figure 5b). A slight decrease in conversion in the third run could be due to catalyst mass loss after each separation process and possible deposition of carbonaceous species over the active sites, which could not be desorbed during the intermediate treatment between runs.

Beyond providing the most active and stable catalytic sites for this transformation, Fe is also the most abundant metal in nature and is less toxic. According to the American Institute of Chemical Engineers (AIChE) we can attribute a high sustainability index to this process when considering stability, reusability and abundance of the catalyst in combination with mild reaction conditions, water solvent and green H$_2$O$_2$ oxidant.[21]

Correlation of catalytic activity with the nature of Fe-sites

The characterization results evidenced that below 0.5 wt% of Fe the majority of the species are present as monomeric species. Catalytic activity, on the other hand, increased with Fe-loading only up to 0.5 wt%, point from which it plateaued (Figure 4). To better display the dominant active sites in the oxidative cleavage of EG over Fe/MFI catalysts, the correlation of the catalytic activity with the type of the Fe-sites, derived from the UV-vis analysis, is shown in Figure 6. TOR shows a positive correlation to the relative amount of monomeric Fe-sites. This dependence on monomeric sites is also reported by recent literature on Fe/MFI systems for the methane oxidation reaction.[21,22] Indeed, when Luo et al.[22] studied the Fe/MFI catalyzed methane oxidation, also using H$_2$O$_2$ they found a similar linear correlation between the proportion amount of monomeric Fe-sites and the product yield per amount of Fe. In-situ Raman studies by Hammond et al. revealed that the oxidation of methane with H$_2$O$_2$ over dimeric Fe-sites on their Fe/MFI leads to the formation of monomeric Fe-sites (Fe–OOH), which were speculated to be the active oxidizing sites.[31] In addition, we also observed a negative correlation of the TOR with oligomeric Fe-sites and bulk Fe$_2$O$_3$ (Figure 6b). Therefore, we conclude that the optimal catalysts should have well distributed monomeric Fe-sites and no/minimal amount of oligomeric/bulk Fe species, which have no major contribution to the catalytic activity and may even be detrimental as they may block accessibility to the active surface area inside the zeolite.

Reaction mechanism

We used EG (1) as model compound to investigate the reaction mechanism of the oxidative cleavage of vicinal diols over Fe/MFI catalysts. During product analysis via HPLC of the oxidative cleavage of EG on 0.5Fe/HMFI55 over time, we detected small amounts (<1% selectivity) of glycolic aldehyde (2), glyoxal (3), glycolic acid (4) and oxalic acid (7), indicating possible intermediates with fast kinetics for their oxidation. Additionally, we analyzed the gas phase of the reaction products via micro GC (reactor shown in Figure S9), where we detected CO, and CO$_2$ in a ratio of 1 to 0.7. Considering all the reaction products in solution and gaseous phase, we were able to nearly close the carbon balance, which leads us to assume that formation of carbonaceous species deposited on the zeolite are negligible. In several control experiments, we investigated compounds 2, 3, 4 and 7 as well as glyoxylic acid (5), another possible intermediate, as starting materials for the oxidative cleavage. We observed that compounds 2, 3, 4 and 5 are fully converted to formic acid (6) under reaction conditions. EG (1) only reaches a conversion of 70%, indicating that the initial alcohol oxidation of 1 is the rate determining step (RDS) of the reaction. Further,
for the compounds 2–5 we only detected 6 via HPLC analysis, probably due to fast conversion of the any intermediate molecules. When using 6 as starting material, we observed a full conversion to CO and CO₂, without any products detected by HPLC. During the oxidative cleavage of 1 we could observe that the yield of 6 increases over time. However, the yield of 6 decreases when 1 is fully converted, leading us to assume that the over-oxidation of 6 to CO and CO₂ is the primary reason for a decreased selectivity. Compound 7 reached a conversion of 19%, with no observable liquid products in HPLC, leading to the assumption that only CO and CO₂ were produced. This makes the formation of 7 during the reaction undesirable. To further investigate the role of the catalyst in this reaction mechanism, we also conducted test experiments on molecules 1–7 without catalyst. For compounds 1, 2, 4 and 6 no conversion was observed when the Fe/MFI catalyst was not present, indicating that oxidation of an alcohol group or the decomposition of the catalyst only proceeds on the Fe/MFI catalyst. However, for molecules 3 and 5 full conversion is detected even without the presence of the catalyst and only in contact with H₂O₂. Here, 6 was the only product detected. Notably, the conversion of 3 without any catalyst leads to formation of 6 in very high selectivity of 96%, while the uncatalyzed conversion of 5 only leads to moderate selectivity of 35%. This strongly indicates, that the C–C bond in compound 3 is cleaved in a way that produces two equivalents of 6 without formation of CO or CO₂, while cleavage of the C–C bond in 5 leads to the formation of at least one equivalent of CO or CO₂. It is known in the literature, that the α-bond of α-keto carboxylic acids, like compound 5, can be cleaved with H₂O₂ with the formation of CO₂.[46] Due to the catalyzed oxidative cleavage of 1 leading to selectivity of 6 close to 80%, we assume that the actual C–C bond cleavage does not proceed over the formation of compound 5 and a C–C bond cleavage for intermediate 3 or 4 is more likely. Based on these findings, we propose the mechanism as shown in Figure 7. At first, oxidation of one of the alcohol groups on EG (1) to an aldehyde 2 can occur, which we propose to be the RDS. The second oxidation could happen on the other alcohol or the newly formed aldehyde group, leading to two possible intermediates, 3 or 4. Oxidation of one of the aldehyde groups on 3 or oxidation of the aldehyde group of the glycolic acid now leads to the formation of 5, which is likely to be cleaved into 6 or to be oxidized into 7. Formation of 7 is undesired because its conversion only leads to CO and CO₂. The most prominent side reaction is the over-oxidation of formic acid to CO and CO₂, which is primarily responsible for selectivities below 100%. The fact that a catalyst is needed for the alcohol oxidation step, as well as that all intermediates only appear in small amounts during the course of the reaction, suggests that the initial alcohol oxidation of 1 is the rate-limiting step. Notably the proposed mechanism resembles the mechanism found in the metabolic conversion of 1, catalyzed partially by alcohol-dehydrogenase[47] containing Fe as one of their active metals, while molecular oxygen is used as the oxidizing agent.[48]

**Broadening the scope of Fe/MFI as oxidative catalysts for bio-derived molecules**

To demonstrate the versatility of the Fe/MFI catalyst we tested several vicinal diols as substrates for the oxidative cleavage reaction. For this, we tested 1,2 vicinal diols with varying alkyl chain length (1,2-propane diol, 1,2-butanediol, 1,2-hexanediol), which represent possible bio-degradable diol molecules.[49]

Additionally, we also tested biomass platform molecules containing vicinal diol functions, like glycerol, sorbitol and D-glucose. The main product formed was always FA, with lower amounts (< 23%) of higher carboxylic acids formed in some of

![Figure 7. Proposed mechanism of oxidative cleavage of EG and the plausible reaction intermediates. Molecules 1, 2, 3, 4, 6 and 7 were identified by HPLC. CO₂ and CO were identified by micro-GC. RDS = Rate determining step. Cat. = catalyzed reaction; uncat. = uncatalyzed reaction. Reaction conditions: \( \eta_\text{H₂O₂} = 0.715 \text{ mmol} \); \( \eta_\text{EG} = 3.6 \text{ mmol} \); \( V_\text{EG} = 10 \text{ ml} \); \( m_\text{Fe/MFI} = 100 \text{ mg} \); \( T = 323 \text{ K} \), reaction time = 5 h.](image-url)
the cases (Table 3). The Fe/HMFI catalyst investigated here in presence of H₂O₂ as oxidant, was indeed active in the oxidative cleavage of all tested substrates containing vicinal diol groups. This is an exciting result, considering that this catalyst is potentially able to convert a mixture of bio-derived vicinal diols without prior separation yielding FA selectively, which could make such oxidative cleavage process more economically viable. Upon increase in C-chain length, we observe a decrease in reaction rate. This could be due to the lower accessibility of the bulkier molecules into the active Fe-sites inside the zeolite micropores. Further, when long-chain carboxylic acids are formed, e.g., valeric acid (Entry 4), conversion of this acid to BA, AA and mainly FA was observed, probably due to a decarboxylation process which decreases the acid chain length by one carbon atom at a time, releasing CO₂ in the process.²⁰⁶

**Conclusion**

This study demonstrated the unprecedented use of Fe/MFI catalysts in the oxidative cleavage of vicinal diols. To investigate the catalytic performance as well as the active sites of Fe/MFI in detail, we used ethylene glycol (EG) as a model substrate, yielding 2 equivalents of formic acid in very high selectivity (X_{formic acid} = 80%; S_{formic acid} = 89%). The reaction was conducted using the green oxidant H₂O₂ in an aqueous medium at ambient pressure, allowing for easy and green process. Among several transition metals tested in the reaction, Fe showed the highest activity and stability. The other zeolite and oxide supports tested also underperformed compared to MFI type zeolite support, probably due to its ability to confine Fe-species. Extensive characterization unravelled the major types of Fe-species formed in the Fe/MFI catalysts, where monomeric Fe-sites dominated at lower Fe-loadings, while oligomeric/bulk FeO₅ species were in majority for Fe-loadings over 0.5 wt%. Correlation of catalytic activity with the different Fe-species revealed that the monomeric Fe-sites confined in the zeolite MFI micropores are the active species for the oxidative cleavage of EG. Observing the kinetics of product formation over time and using control experiments with intermediate molecules as starting materials, we could uncover the reaction mechanism and that the initial alcohol oxidation of EG was the rate determining step. We could also demonstrate that the Fe/MFI catalyst can be used in the oxidative cleavage of other vicinal diols with varying chain length and of bio-derived polyols such as glycerol, sorbitol, glucose with FA as the main product. The applicability of Fe/MFI catalysts to a wide variety of biogenic oxygenates promotes the production of industrially relevant carboxylic acids in a sustainable way. Moreover, results showed the high stability of Fe/MFI catalysts under reaction conditions which did not loose activity over at least 3 reaction cycles. This study also suggests that further improvement to increase the share of monomeric Fe-species in zeolites are needed for improving catalytic performance. Future studies will focus on increasing the accessibility of the bulky reactant molecules to the active sites inside the zeolite micropores. By using abundant, non-noble and non-toxic Fe as catalyst, renewable H₂O₂ as oxidizing agent, and mild reaction conditions, this approach provides new capabilities for the production of valuable chemicals from biomass via oxidative cleavage.

**Experimental Section**

**Materials**

All reagents were used as received: Tetraethyl orthosilicate (> 99%, Alfa Aesar), Tetrapropylammonium hydroxide (40%, Sigma Aldrich), Al(NO₃)₃·9H₂O and NaOH (Merck), SiO₂ (63-200 μm), Cu(NO₃)₂·3H₂O, Co(NO₃)₂·6H₂O (> 99%) (Fluka), Al₂O₃ (90 standardized), Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O (Merk), Ti(OPrOH), (97%) and VO(acac)₂ (> 99%) (Thermo Fisher Scientific), Mn(NO₃)₂·6H₂O (98%, Alfa Aesar), Ni(NO₃)₂·6H₂O (99.9%, abc), Cr(NO₃)₃·9H₂O, AgNO₃ (WWR), H₂O₂ (30%, Merck), Ethylene glycol (> 99%, Alfa Aesar), Glycerol (> 99.5%, Honeywell), Sorbitol (> 99.5%), 1,2-Hexane diol (> 99%) (Fluka), D-glucose (anhydrous, WWR), 1,2-propane diol (98%), 1,2-Butane diol (98%) (Sigma Aldrich), 1,2-Cyclohexane diol (> 98%, Thermo Fisher Scientific). Glycol aldehyde, glyoxal (40%), glyoxylic acid (Sigma Aldrich), glycolic acid (> 99.5%, Merck), formic acid (> 99%, WWR). Commercial HMFI55, HBEA25 and HMOR20 were supplied by Clarient.

**Catalyst preparation**

Hydrothermal synthesis for HMFI50 was carried out based on a method published by Mintova et al.³³³ TEOs, 40% TPAOH, NaOH, Al(NO₃)₃·9H₂O and H₂O were stirred overnight at RT to ensure complete hydrolyzation of the alcohols. The resulting gel composition was 0.125 Na₂O:0.05 (TPA):0.02 Al₂O₃:1.0 SiO₂:100 H₂O. The mixture was then transferred to a Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave and heated to 443 K for 36 h. Crystallized products were separated by centrifuge (4900 r/min, 15 min), washed with deionized H₂O dried at 343 K and calcined at 823 K (5 K/min) for 12 h, to completely remove the organic template. The NaHMFI50 was then transformed into NH₄MFI50 via three consecutive ion exchanges with NH₄NO₃ (0.1 M, 12 h) and finally calcined at 550 K (5 K/min) for 4 h in static air to obtain HMFI50.

**Table 3. Conversion of different bio-available substrates and yield of formic acid during oxidative cleavage reactions over 0.5Fe/HMF155.**

| Entry | Substrate         | Conversion [%][a] | Selectivity [%][b] |
|-------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| 1     | Ethylene glycol   | 99               | FA: 52            |
| 2     | 1,2-Propane diol  | 61               | FA: 54            |
| 3     | 1,2-Butane diol<sup>[c]</sup> | 99 | AA: 23            |
| 4     | 1,2-Hexane diol<sup>[d]</sup> | 62 | AA: 10           |
| 5     | Glycerol<sup>[e]</sup> | 86 | AA: 48           |
| 6     | Sorbitol<sup>[f]</sup> | 36 | AA: 25           |
| 7     | D-Glucose<sup>[g]</sup> | 49 | AA: 18           |

[a] Conversion and selectivity derived from HPLC analysis. [b] T = 353 K. [c] Selectivity was normalized to amount of C atoms in substrate. FA = Formic acid; AA = Acetic acid; PA = Propionic acid; BA = Butyric acid; VA = Valeric acid.
The 0.5Fe/HMFI55 catalysts were prepared via an incipient wetness impregnation method. The desired amount of metal precursor was dissolved in an amount of H₂O or ethanol corresponding to the pore volume. Subsequently, the solution was added dropwise to the zeolite powder and mixed with a spatula until the entire solution was added. The resulting mixture was then dried at 343 K and calcined at 773 K (5 K/min) for 4 h in static air.

**Characterization methods**

 Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) experiments were performed using a diffractometer (X’Pert Pro, PANalytical) with a Bragg-Brentano geometry and Cu–Kα radiation (1.54060 Å). The diffractograms were recorded from 5° to 120° 2θ, with a step size of 0.017° (0.53 s acquisition time).

 Elemental analysis was carried out via inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES). The zeolite samples were digested in a mixture of aqua regia and hydrofluoric acid and treated with microwave radiation (2 h, 500 W) before measurements.

 Specific surface area, as well as pore volume of the zeolites were examined by determination of nitrogen-physisorption isotherms. Samples were first pre-treated at 623 K for 4 h in vacuum. Afterwards, nitrogen was adsorbed at 77 K using a NOVATouch gas sorption analyzer (Anton Paar). The specific surface area was determined according to the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) method via multipoint measurements.

 Ultraviolet-visible spectroscopy (UV-vis) of the samples were measured on an Agilent instrument in diffuse reflection on a quartz window between the wavelengths of 200 nm and 800 nm. Spectralon was used as a reference. The reflectance was used to calculate the K/S coefficient ratios following the Kubelka-Munk theory, with K being the absorption coefficient, S being the backscattering coefficient and R being the measured reflectance.

$$K = \frac{(1 - R)^2}{2SR}$$

The UV-vis spectra were deconvoluted by applying four Gaussian curves at 210, 278, 360, 510 nm and fitting for least squares using Origin Pro 2019.

 Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) analyzes were performed with a LEO Gemini 982 from Zeiss (Oberkochen, Germany) at 3.5–5.0 keV equipped with an annular high brightness in-lens-SE detector for high resolution and true surface imaging.

 Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was performed on carbon covered copper grids using catalyst powders. For TEM measurements, the catalyst powders were mounted onto a standard lacey TEM grid (S166 3). Subsequently, the samples were examined using a ThermoFischer Themis 300 (S)TEM aberration corrected electron microscope operated at 300 keV. High angle annular dark field (HAADF) scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) images were acquired with a Super-X EDS detector.

 Conventional XAS (X-ray absorption spectroscopy) at the Fe K-edge (7112 eV) was measured at the P65 beamline[31] at Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron (DESY, Hamburg, Germany) in fluorescence mode (step scanning collection). Samples with low Fe-loading (HMFI55 to 0.5Fe/HMFI55) were measured as self-supporting pellets (13 mm in diameter), while higher loadings (1.0Fe/HMFI55 and 5.0Fe/HMFI55) were measured as pellets diluted with cellulose to adjust the absorption. α-Fe₂O₃ (hematite) as reference sample was measured in transmission mode. For energy selection, a Si(111) crystal monochromator was used. Measurements were taken between an energy range of 7000 to 7900 eV. Fluorescence spectra were collected with a four element Ge detector and Kα₁ and Kα₂ lines were considered. The beam size was 0.5 mm × 1 mm (V × H).

 In-situ XAS experiments were conducted at the CATACT beamline[22] at the KIT light source in fluorescence mode (step scanning collection). For energy selection, a Si(111) crystal monochromator was used. Measurements were taken between an energy range of 7000 to 7900 eV. A silicon drift detector was used to detect and select the emitted radiation in fluorescence at the maximum of the Fe K$_{a1}$ emission line (e.g., at 7058.81 eV). The beam size was 0.3 mm × 1.2 mm (V × H). For all collected spectra XAS data reduction (energy calibration, background removal and normalization) was carried out using the Demeter software packages including the Athena code (version 0.9.26).[23] μ(E) data were transformed to EXAFS χ(k) data, in which the k-range from 2 to 10 was Fourier transformed into R-space (k-weighting of 3).

**Catalytic reactions**

 Catalytic tests were conducted in a round bottom flask under atmospheric pressure. 0.715 mmol substrate was added to a 50 ml flask, dissolved in 10 ml H₂O and 5 eq. of H₂O₂ was added to the solution at once. The flask was covered with a rubber septum, heated to the desired temperature in an oil bath and stirred at 1000 rpm. For kinetic experiments, 0.5 ml of liquid were sampled with a syringe and filtered through a 0.45 μm filter to separate the catalyst. After the desired reaction time, the flask was quickly cooled in an ice bath and the catalyst was separated via syringe filtration (0.45 μm).

 For hot filtration tests, liquid aliquot was taken after 40–50% conversion while the temperature of the reaction medium was still at 323 K. For the catalysts re-usability study, consecutive test reactions were performed, between which the catalyst was separated via centrifugation and dried overnight at 363 K in air, before re-use. We aimed at a reaction time that led to a conversion below 70%, to investigate the catalyst activity before reaching maximum conversion. The resulting product solution was analyzed via high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). HPLC analysis were carried out using a Hitachi Primaide, Bio-Rad Aminex HPX-87H column, with 5 mM H₂SO₄ eluent, at 298 K and 88 bar.
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