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First-Principles Insights into the Interface Chemistry between 4-Aminothiophenol and Zinc Phosphide (Zn₃P₂) Nanoparticles

Nelson Y. Dzade*

Cardiff University, Main Building, Park Place, CF10 3AT Cardiff, U.K.

ABSTRACT: Accurate prediction of the structures, stabilities, and electronic structures of hybrid inorganic/organic systems is an essential prerequisite for tuning their electronic properties and functions. Herein, the interface chemistry between the 4-aminothiophenol (4ATP) molecule and the (001), (101), and (110) surfaces of zinc phosphide (Zn₃P₂) has been investigated by means of first-principles density functional theory calculation with a correction for van der Waals interactions. In particular, the atomic-level insights into the fundamental aspects of the 4ATP adsorption, including the lowest-energy adsorption configurations, binding energetics, structural parameters, and electronic properties are presented and discussed. The 4ATP molecule is demonstrated to bind most strongly onto the least stable Zn₃P₂(001) surface (E_ads = −1.91 eV) and least strongly onto the most stable Zn₃P₂(101) surface (E_ads = −1.21 eV). Partial density of states analysis shows that the adsorption of 4ATP on the Zn₃P₂ surfaces is characterized by strong hybridization between the molecule’s sulfur and nitrogen p-orbitals and the d-orbitals of the interacting surface Zn ions, which gave rise to electron density accumulation around the centers of the newly formed Zn–S and Zn–N chemical bonds. The thermodynamic crystal morphology of the nonfunctionalized and 4ATP-functionalized Zn₃P₂ nanoparticles was obtained using Wulff construction based on the calculated surface energies. The stronger binding of the 4ATP molecule onto the less stable (001) and (110) surfaces in preference to the most stable (101) facet resulted in the modulation of the Zn₃P₂ nanocrystal shape, with the reactive (001) and (110) surfaces becoming more pronounced in the equilibrium morphology.

1. INTRODUCTION

Zinc phosphide is an attractive earth-abundant solar absorber material for scalable thin-film photovoltaic applications owing to its direct band gap of 1.5 eV,1 high visible-light absorption coefficient (>10⁴ cm⁻¹),2,3 long minority-carrier diffusion length (~10 μm),4 high extinction coefficient,5 passive grain boundaries,6 and large range of potential doping concentrations (10¹¹ to 10¹⁸ cm⁻³).7 Despite its ideal optoelectronic properties, problems such as poor band-alignment with buffer layers, inadequate interface passivation,8,9 and low surface stability in the presence of moisture and oxygen10,11 remain major problems that severely limits the commercial fabrication of highly efficient Zn₃P₂-based photovoltaics. Zinc phosphate nanoparticles can easily get oxidized when in contact with water and oxygen owing to the higher specific surface area and higher reactivity relative to the bulk.12–14 It is therefore important to develop synthesis techniques to protect Zn₃P₂ surfaces against unwanted oxidation.

Efforts have been made to passivate Zn₃P₂ surfaces via in situ functionalization, wherein the Zn₃P₂ nanoparticles of thin films are exposed to a vapor of organic functional molecules immediately after synthesis.15–18 Functionalization of Zn₃P₂ nanoparticles can enhance their surface stability against temperature and possible oxidation in the presence of oxygen and moisture that could result in their degradation.19,20 The binding of the organic molecules to the nanoparticle crystal facets helps to dictate the growth mechanism in terms of rate, final size, or geometric shape.21 Various functional groups react differently with inorganic surfaces, with the common example being thiol to gold.22–24 Strongly binding molecules can form a dense protective layer and hence stabilize the nanoparticles better than weakly binding ones.

A molecular-level insight into the adsorption mechanism of organic molecules onto inorganic surfaces and nanostructures is a prerequisite for the development of novel hybrid devices. However, due to the complex nature of the interface between organic functional groups and semiconductor nanoparticle surfaces, the interface chemistry is difficult to determine by purely experimental means. Accurate first-principles density functional theory (DFT) calculations have, however, become indispensable in complementing experiments to elucidate the interactions of organic molecules with solid surfaces.23–24 In this work, first-principles dispersion-corrected DFT-D3 calculations have been employed to investigate the functionalization of the (001), (101), and (110) surfaces of Zn₃P₂ by adsorbed 4-aminothiophenol (4ATP) molecule. Different coupling...
schemes that involve one or more functional groups of the 4ATP molecule have been investigated in order to determine the preferred lowest energy adsorption configuration. The optimized structures, binding energetics, and electronic properties of the 4ATP–Zn₃P₂ complexes are discussed. Finally, based on calculated surface energies the thermodynamic crystal morphology of the nonfunctionalized and functionalized Zn₃P₂ nanoparticle were simulated using Wulff construction.25

2. COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS

The first-principles DFT calculations were performed using the Vienna Ab initio Simulation Package.26−28 The projected augmented wave method was used to describe the interactions between the valence and core electrons. The electronic exchange−correlation potential was calculated using the Perdew−Burke−Ernzerhof generalized gradient approximation (GGA) functional.31−35 In our calculations, the long-range van der Waals (vdW) interactions were taken into consideration using the method of Grimme (DFT-D).36 This is important because the standard LDA/GGA approximations fail to provide an accurate description of the asymptotic decreasing behavior of the long-range vdW interactions that are ubiquitous in hybrid inorganic/organic systems.37−40 A plane-wave basis set with a kinetic energy cut-off of 600 eV was tested to be sufficient to converge the total energy of Zn₃P₂ to within 10⁻⁶ eV and the residual Hellmann−Feynman forces on all relaxed atoms reached 10⁻³ eV Å⁻¹. The Brillouin zone of the bulk Zn₃P₂ was sampled using 5 × 5 × 3 Monkhorst−Pack K-point mesh, which ensures electronic and ionic convergence.

The bulk Zn₃P₂ was modeled in the tetragonal system with space group P4₁/nmc (D₄h) and lattice parameters: a = b = 8.089 Å, c = 11.396 Å (Figure 1a).42−45 The primitive unit cell containing 16 P atoms and 24 Zn atoms. A full unit cell relaxation yielded strain-free Zn₃P₂ with lattice parameters a = b = 8.029 Å, c = 11.336 Å, which compares closely with known experimental data. To overcome the limitation of standard DFT methods in accurately predicting the electronic band gap of semiconducting materials, the screened hybrid DFT functional with 25% Hartree−Fock exchange was employed to determine the electronic structure of Zn₃P₂ (Figure 1b). The band gap is predicted at 1.51 eV, which is in excellent agreement with the experiment and previous DFT predictions.47 The partial density of states (PDOS) plot shows that the valence band is dominated by the electronic states of the Zn-pd and P-p orbitals, whereas the conduction band is composed mainly of the Zn-sd orbitals.

The (001), (101), and (110) surfaces were considered for the investigation of the 4ATP molecule adsorption as they are the most commonly observed growth facets of Zn₃P₂ nanocrystals.16,48 The surfaces were created from the fully relaxed bulk using the METADISE code,49 which ensures the creation of surfaces with zero dipole moment perpendicular to the surface plane. However, due to the adsorption of 4ATP on only one side of the slabs, the Makov−Payne dipole correction perpendicular to the surfaces was applied to correct any net charge or monopole/dipole perpendicular to the surfaces, which might otherwise affect the adsorption energetics and structures. The (001) surface has three unique terminations, whereas the (101) and (110) surfaces have two, unique possible terminations, all of which were considered and fully relaxed in order to determine the most stable terminations. For each surface, the slab thickness was increased until the convergence of the surface energy was achieved within 1 meV per cell. The converged slab thickness of the (001), (101), and (110) surfaces is 22.67, 19.65, and 17.02 Å, respectively. A vacuum region of 20 Å was tested to be large enough to avoid any spurious interactions between periodic slabs.

The relaxed structure of the most stable termination of each surface is schematically shown in Figure 2, whereas the relaxed structures of all possible terminations of each surface are shown in Supporting Information Figures S1−S3. Shown in Table 1 are the calculated unrelaxed and relaxed surface energies of all unique terminations of each surface with their corresponding percentage relaxation. The surface energy of the most stable terminations of the (001), (101), and (110) facets is quantified as % relaxation.

| Surface Termination | Unrelaxed \( \gamma_{\text{unrelaxed}} \) (J m⁻²) | Relaxed \( \gamma_{\text{relaxed}} \) (J m⁻²) | % Relaxation |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------|
| (001) Zn            | 1.48                                          | 1.03                                          | 30.4         |
| P—(A)                | 1.67                                          | 1.18                                          | 29.3         |
| P—(B)                | 1.89                                          | 1.48                                          | 21.7         |
| (101) Zn—(A)        | 0.90                                          | 0.60                                          | 33.3         |
| Zn—(B)               | 1.05                                          | 0.69                                          | 34.2         |
| (110) Zn            | 1.60                                          | 0.95                                          | 40.6         |
| P                   | 1.73                                          | 1.17                                          | 32.2         |

Figure 2. Side and top views of the relaxed structures of Zn₃P₂ (001), (101), and (110) surfaces used for the adsorption of the 4ATP molecule. (Color scheme: Fe = grey, S = yellow). The size of the simulation cells is highlighted by the continuous black lines.

Table 1. Unrelaxed \( \gamma_{\text{unrelaxed}} \) and Relaxed \( \gamma_{\text{relaxed}} \) Surface Energies of the (001), (101), and (110) Surfaces of Zn₃P₂.

"The effect of relaxation is quantified as % relaxation."
surfaces is calculated to be 1.03, 0.60, and 0.95 J m$^{-2}$, respectively, which suggest that the surface stability trend in decreasing order is (101) > (110) > (001). Each surface is found to under significant relaxation as reflected in the calculated percentage relaxation. The significant percentage relaxation is ascribed to relaxation of topmost undercoordinated ions, which shift downward to provide a closer to bulk coordination of the surface species.

The 4ATP adsorption calculations were carried out on surfaces with large areas (as shown in Figure 2) in order to minimize lateral interactions between the 4ATP molecules in neighboring image cells. No symmetry constraints were imposed on the structural optimization of the 4ATP–Zn$_3$P$_2$ systems, and in particular, the 4ATP molecule was free to move away laterally and vertically from its initial binding site or reorient itself to find the lowest-energy adsorption configuration. The adsorption energy ($E_{\text{ads}}$), which characterizes the strength of 4ATP–Zn$_3$P$_2$ interactions, is calculated as follows

$$E_{\text{ads}} = E_{4\text{ATP}+\text{surface}} - (E_{\text{surface}} + E_{4\text{ATP}})$$

where $E_{4\text{ATP}+\text{surface}}$ is the total energy of the relaxed adsorbate-substrate systems, $E_{\text{surface}}$ is the total energy of the isolated surface, and $E_{4\text{ATP}}$ is the total energy of the free 4ATP molecule. An exothermic adsorption process is characterized by a negative $E_{\text{ads}}$ whereas an endothermic adsorption process is characterized by a positive value. Prior to the adsorption of 4ATP on the (001), (101), and (110) Zn$_3$P$_2$ surfaces, the reference energy and bond length computations were performed in a cubic box of size 20 Å, sampling only the gamma point. The fully relaxed structure of the 4ATP molecule is shown in Figure 3a, between the 4ATP–Zn$_3$P$_2$ systems.$^{52}$ The equilibrium morphology of the Zn$_3$P$_2$ nanocrystals were determined using Wulff’s construction based on calculated surface energies.$^{53}$ Under thermodynamic conditions, the equilibrium morphology of a crystal possesses the minimal total surface free energy for a given volume based on Gibbs formulation. The relaxed surface energy of the naked surfaces ($\gamma_r$) was calculated using the equation

$$\gamma_r = \frac{E_{\text{relaxed}} - nE_{\text{bulk}}}{2A}$$

where $E_{\text{relaxed}}$ is the energy of the relaxed slab, $nE_{\text{bulk}}$ is the energy of an equal number ($n$) of the bulk Zn$_3$P$_2$ atoms, and $A$ is the surface area. After the adsorption of 4ATP on one side of the surface slab (1 x A), the additional energy because of the relaxed surface at the top of the slab with the adsorbed 4ATP molecule must be separated from the energy of the fully relaxed naked surface, as the two differ. From the relaxed surface energy of the naked surface and considering negligible relaxation at the bottom of the slab (held fixed), it is possible to calculate the surface energy of the 4ATP-functionalized surfaces as

$$\gamma_{4\text{ATP}} = \frac{E_{\text{relaxed slab+4ATP}} - nE_{4\text{ATP}} - nE_{\text{bulk}}}{A} = \frac{E_{\text{relaxed slab}} - nE_{\text{bulk}}}{2A}$$

where $E_{\text{relaxed slab+4ATP}}$ is the energy of the surface with adsorbed 4ATP molecule and $nE_{4\text{ATP}}$ is the energy of the equivalent number of free 4ATP molecules in the gas phase. Based on the calculated surface energies, the equilibrium Wulff morphology for the naked and 4ATP-functionalized surfaces was constructed using GDIS software.$^{55}$ Because of the small difference between the entropies of bulk materials and the surface, the contribution of the excess entropy term to the surface free energy is small.$^{56}$ Hence for solid surfaces, the surface energy is a close approximation of the surface free energy which can be assumed to determine the equilibrium morphology of the nanocrystal. This approach has been employed to investigate the effect of the adsorption of small molecules on the thermodynamic morphologies of many different materials, including oxides, carbonates, phosphates, sulﬁdes, and metal nanoparticles,$^{54,55,56}$ where good agreement was obtained with the experiment.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Adsorption of 4ATP on the Zn$_3$P$_2$ (001) Surface. 4ATP molecule has three potential binding groups; the thiol (–SH), amine (–NH$_2$) end groups, and the benzene (–C$_6$) ring (Figure 3a), thus it may form single or multiple bonds with Zn$_3$P$_2$ surface species. In order to determine the preferred adsorption sites and binding modes of the 4ATP molecule on the (001) surface, a number of different initial orientations were optimized without any symmetry constraints. Shown in Figure 4 are the optimized adsorption structures and the calculated adsorption energies, interatomic bond distances, and charge transfer are listed in Table 2. Two monodentate configurations, wherein the 4ATP molecule binds at the Zn site either via the –SH (Zn–S-slanted) or –NH$_2$ (Zn–N-slanted) end, and a bidentate configuration, wherein it binds via both the –NH$_2$ and –SH ends (Zn–NS–Zn), were predicted. No stable chemisorbed structure involving the benzene ring was obtained. The lowest energy adsorption

Figure 3. Schematic representation of (a) the optimized structure, (b) the LUMO, and (c) the HOMO of 4ATP (C$_6$H$_7$NS). The amine (–NH$_2$) and thiol (–SH) end groups are highlighted in dashed circles. (Color scheme: C = grey, S = orange and H = white).
structure on the (001) surface is predicted to the monodentate Zn–S-slanted configuration (Figure 4a), which released an adsorption energy of −1.91 eV, compared to −1.48 eV for the bidentate Zn–NS–Zn configuration (Figure 4b) and −0.82 eV for the monodentate Zn–N-slanted configuration (Figure 4c). The interacting Zn–S bond distance in the most stable Zn–S-slanted configuration is calculated at 2.458 Å, whereas in the Zn–N-slanted configuration, the Zn–N bond distance is 2.109 Å. In the bidentate Zn–NS–Zn configuration, the interacting Zn–S and Zn–N bond distances are 2.503 and 2.187 Å, respectively. The calculated Zn–S interatomic distances at the Zn,P3 (001) surface are similar to those of cysteine metal–S bonds, which are typically predicted in the range of 2.50–2.520 Å for Au–S interaction. Shown in Table 2 are the calculated internal bond distances of the adsorbed 4ATP molecule on the (001) surface. When compared to the gas phase geometry parameters, one can observe only small adsorption-induced changes in the internal bond distances. The adsorbed 4ATP molecule remained planar with only small tilting in the hydrogen atoms of the −NH3 end away from the surface. The topology of the surface also remained essentially unchanged with only small lateral and vertical displacements of the interacting surface species.

3.2. Adsorption of 4ATP on the Zn,P3 (101) Surface. Similar to the (001) surface, a number of different initial orientations of the 4ATP molecule were optimized on the (101) surface without any symmetry constraints, in order to determine the preferred adsorption sites and the lowest-energy adsorption configurations. Shown in Figure 5 are the three stable adsorption configurations predicted with the calculated adsorption energies and structural parameters reported in Table 2. The strongest adsorption is computed for the Zn–S-flat configuration (Figure 5a) which released an adsorption energy of −1.21 eV compared to −0.73 eV for the Zn–S-slant configuration (Figure 5b), and −0.32 eV for the Zn–N-slant configuration (Figure 5c) configurations. In the lowest energy Zn–S-flat configuration, the interacting Zn–S bond distance is calculated at 2.482 Å, with the hydrogen atoms of the −NH3 end tilting toward the surface such the shorted P···H and Zn···H interatomic distance are predicted at 2.834 and 2.939 Å, respectively. In the Zn–S-slant configuration, the Zn–S bond distance is calculated at 2.553 Å, whereas in the Zn–N-slant configuration, the Zn–N distance is 2.203 Å. As on the (001) surface, the adsorbed 4ATP molecule remained planar with only small bending in the hydrogen atoms of the −NH3 end toward the surface in the Zn–S-slant configuration and away from the Zn–N-slant configuration. The surface topology remained essentially unchanged as reflected in only small vertical displacements (0.04–0.10 Å) of the interacting surface species.

3.3. Adsorption of 4ATP on the Zn,P3 (110) Surface. The predicted lowest energy adsorption structures of the 4ATP molecule on the Zn,P3 (110) surface are shown in Figure 6 with the energetics and structural details listed in Table 2. The lowest energy adsorption structure is predicted to be a bidentate configuration (Figure 6a), wherein the 4ATP molecule is adsorbed on the (110) surface with an adsorption energy of −1.51 eV. The adsorbed 4ATP molecule remained planar with a small tilt of the hydrogen atoms of the −NH3 end toward the surface in the (110) configuration and away from the (110) configuration. The surface topology remained essentially unchanged as reflected in only small vertical displacements (0.04–0.10 Å) of the interacting surface species.

Table 2. Adsorption Energies (E_{ads}) and the Relevant Bond Distances of 4ATP Adsorbed onto the (001), (101), and (110) Zn,P3 Surfaces

| surface   | configuration | E_{ads} (eV) | d(Zn–S) (Å) | d(Zn–N) (Å) | d(C–S) (Å) | d(C–N) (Å) | d(S–H) (Å) | d(N–H) (Å) | |q| (e–) |
|-----------|---------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|--------|
| Zn,P3 (001) | Zn–S-slanted | −1.91 | 2.458 | 1.776 | 1.389 | 1.354 | 1.013 | 0.11 |
| Zn,P3 (001) | Zn–S-flat     | −1.48 | 2.508 | 2.187 | 1.783 | 1.444 | 1.356 | 1.023 | 0.29 |
| Zn,P3 (001) | Zn–N-slanted  | −0.82 | 2.109 | 1.767 | 1.436 | 1.348 | 1.022 | 0.06 |
| Zn,P3 (101) | Zn–S-flat       | −1.21 | 2.482 | 3.360 | 1.778 | 1.381 | 1.352 | 1.017 | 0.11 |
| Zn,P3 (101) | Zn–S-slanted   | −0.73 | 2.552 | 1.779 | 1.388 | 1.353 | 1.012 | 0.07 |
| Zn,P3 (101) | Zn–N-slanted   | −0.32 | 2.203 | 1.762 | 1.424 | 1.350 | 1.019 | 0.05 |
| Zn,P3 (110) | Zn–S-flat     | −1.35 | 2.649 | 2.230 | 1.775 | 1.435 | 1.349 | 1.010 | 0.14 |
| Zn,P3 (110) | Zn–S-slanted  | −0.82 | 2.504 | 1.777 | 1.377 | 1.353 | 1.010 | 0.13 |
| Zn,P3 (110) | Zn–N-slanted  | −0.52 | 2.133 | 1.766 | 1.431 | 1.349 | 1.021 | 0.08 |

|q| denotes the net charge gained by the 4ATP molecule.
molecule binds at Zn sites via both the −NH$_3$ and −SH ends (denoted as Zn−NS−Zn). The adsorption energy is calculated at −1.35 eV, with the interacting Zn−S and Zn−N bond distances calculated at 2.649 and 2.230 Å, respectively. The monodentate Zn−S-slat (Figure 6b) and Zn−N-slat (Figure 6c) configurations released adsorption energies of −0.82 and −0.52 eV, respectively, and the interacting Zn−S and Zn−N bond distances are predicted at 2.504 and 2.133 Å, respectively. As was observed on the (001) and (101) surfaces, the adsorption of the 4ATP molecule on the (110) surface did not induce significant changes to the surface; the interacting surface species remained in their positions with only small vertical displacements (0.03–0.09 Å) relative to the naked surface. By comparing the adsorption characteristic of 4ATP on the three surfaces, the stronger adsorption calculated for the configurations involving the thiol (−SH) end suggests that the S p-orbitals are the driving force for 4ATP adsorption on the Zn$_3$P$_2$ surfaces. This is consistent with the dominant contribution of the 3p character of the sulfur atom to the HOMO of 4ATP (Figure 3b).

3.4. Electronic Properties. Atomic-level insights into the bonding mechanism of the 4ATP molecule onto the (001), (101), and (110) Zn$_3$P$_2$ surfaces were gained through analyses of the PDOS and differential charge density isosurface contours, which give a chemical picture of hybridization and electron density redistribution within the 4ATP–Zn$_3$P$_2$ systems. Shown in Figure 7a1–c1 is the density of states projected on the sulfur and nitrogen p-orbitals of the 4ATP molecule in the lowest energy configurations at each surface and the interacting Zn p- and d-states. Consistent with chemisorption, the PDOS plots reveal strong hybridization between the interacting surface and adsorbate orbitals, which gave rise to electron density redistributions within the 4ATP–Zn$_3$P$_2$ systems. This was analyzed via differential charge density isosurface contours, obtained from relation

$$\Delta \rho = \rho_{4ATP+surface} - (\rho_{4ATP} + \rho_{surface})$$

where $\rho_{4ATP+surface}$ is the electron density of the total 4ATP–Zn$_3$P$_2$ system, $\rho_{surface}$ and $\rho_{4ATP}$ electron density of the naked Zn$_3$P$_2$ surface and that of the isolated 4ATP molecule with the atomic positions taken to be the same as those of the relaxed 4ATP–Zn$_3$P$_2$ systems. The iso-surface contour plots displayed in Figure 7a2,b2,c2 reveal electron density accumulation (green contours) around centers of the newly formed Zn−S and Zn−N chemical bonds. The observed electron density accumulation between hydrogen and surface atoms on the (101) surface (Figure 7b2) is characteristic of hydrogen-bonded interactions, which may contribute to the stabilization of the 4ATP molecule on the surface. Notwithstanding the local electron density rearrangements within the 4ATP–Zn$_3$P$_2$ systems, the net charge transfers between the Zn$_3$P$_2$ surfaces and the 4ATP molecule, as estimated from the Bader partition scheme is very small: 0.11 e$^-$ on the (001) and (101) surfaces and 0.14 e$^-$ on the (110) surface.

To ascertain whether the functionalization of the Zn$_3$P$_2$ surfaces have any effect on their electronic structures, the partial DOS of the naked surface was compared with those covered with the 4ATP molecule as shown in Figure 8. The semiconducting nature of the surfaces is found to be generally preserved upon 4ATP adsorption with only small differences in features compared to the naked surfaces. The band gap of the naked (001), (101), and (110) surfaces, calculated at 1.12, 1.15, and 1.305 eV, respectively, remain essentially unchanged upon 4ATP adsorption. Any noticeable differences in features

![Figure 6: Lowest energy adsorption configurations of 4ATP on Zn$_3$P$_2$(110) surface: (a) bidentate Zn−NS−Zn-flat, (b) monodentate Zn−S-slat, and (c) monodentate Zn−N-slat, in side (top) and top (bottom) views. Color scheme: Zn = green, P = orange, S = yellow; C = grey, and H = white.)](image)

![Figure 7: PDOS projected on the interacting surface Zn p- and d-states and the 4ATP molecule’s N and S p-states at the (a1) (001), (b1) (101), and (c1) (110) Zn$_3$P$_2$ surfaces. Shown in (a2–c2) are the corresponding differential charge density isosurfaces contours, where red and green isosurfaces denote depletion and accumulation of electron density by ±0.02 e/Å$^3$, respectively.)](image)
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can be attributed to the small adsorption-induced changes to the atomic positions of the interacting surface species, which are displaced slightly upward relative to their position in the naked surfaces. The work function (\(\Phi\)), which is one of the most important properties of surfaces in understanding photocatalysis and thermionic emission processes, was calculated for each \(\text{Zn}_2\text{P}_2\) surface before and after 4ATP adsorption (Table 3). The work function was calculated as \(\Phi = E_{\text{vacuum}} - E_{\text{Fermi}}\), where the potential in the vacuum region \(E_{\text{vacuum}}\) and the Fermi energy \(E_{\text{Fermi}}\) were derived from the same calculation. Dipole corrections perpendicular to all surfaces were accounted for, which ensured that there is no net dipole perpendicular to the surfaces that may affect the potential in the vacuum level. The work function of the naked \(\text{Zn}_2\text{P}_2\) (001), (101), and (110) surfaces is predicted at 4.32, 4.79, and 4.75 eV, respectively, whereas the 4ATP-functionalized surfaces have calculated the work function of 4.07, 4.67, and 4.42 eV, respectively. The lowering of the work functions upon 4ATP adsorption can be attributed to the adsorption-induced electron density redistribution in the 4ATP-surface systems. Besides, the adsorption acts to smoothen the surface electric charge distribution (the Smoluchowski effect) which lowers the work function.

### 3.5. Equilibrium Crystal Morphologies

Following the procedure of Wulff construction and using the calculated surface energies of the naked and 4ATP-covered surfaces (Table 3), the equilibrium crystal morphology of the nonfunctionalized and 4ATP-functionalized \(\text{Zn}_2\text{P}_2\) nanocrystal was constructed as shown in Figure 9. The adsorption of the 4ATP molecule is shown to have a stabilization effect on the three surfaces studied because the adsorption acts to coordinate the 4ATP molecule to the under coordinated Zn ions, thus providing a closer bulk coordination of the surface species. The stabilization of the surfaces is reflected in the lower surface energies calculated for the 4ATP-functionalized surfaces compared to the naked nonfunctionalized surfaces (Table 3). From Figure 9a, it can be seen that the (001), (110), and (001) facets appear in the nonfunctionalized \(\text{Zn}_2\text{P}_2\) nanocrystals, although the (101) surface enclosed the largest areas, in agreement with its surface stability being the most stable among the three surfaces investigated. The (001) and (110) facets enclose smaller areas in the nonfunctionalized \(\text{Zn}_2\text{P}_2\) nanocrystal. From the adsorption studies, the stronger binding of the 4ATP molecule onto the (001) and (110) surfaces, rather than the (101) facet, causes the surface areas enclosed by these reactive surfaces to increase in the crystal morphology (Figure 9b). The increase in surface areas can be attributed to increased stability of the (001) and (110) surfaces upon 4ATP adsorption and this is consistent with many other crystals grown in the presence of growth-modifying ligands. The results demonstrate the selectivity of the 4ATP functional groups toward stabilizing the different \(\text{Zn}_2\text{P}_2\) surfaces, favoring the expression of the more reactive surfaces in the particle morphology. Increasing the 4ATP coverage on the \(\text{Zn}_2\text{P}_2\) surfaces may likely result in further expression of the reactive (001) and (110) surfaces in the equilibrium morphology.

### 4. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The organic functionalization of the (001), (101), and (110) surface \(\text{Zn}_2\text{P}_2\) with 4ATP molecule has been studied by means of first-principles dispersion corrected DFT-D3 calculations. In particular, the effects of 4ATP adsorption on the structural and electronic properties of naked \(\text{Zn}_2\text{P}_2\) surfaces have been elucidated. The lowest-energy adsorption geometries are predicted to be a monodentate \(\text{Zn}--\text{S}\)-slant configuration on the (001), monodentate \(\text{Zn}--\text{S}\)-flat configuration on the (101), and bidentate \(\text{Zn}--\text{NS}--\text{Zn}\) configuration on the (110) surfaces, which released adsorption energies of \(-1.91\), \(-1.21\), and \(-1.35\) eV, respectively. The adsorption of the 4ATP onto \(\text{Zn}_2\text{P}_2\) surfaces is shown to be driven by strong hybridization between the 4ATP molecule’s S and N p-orbitals and the d-orbitals of the interacting surface Zn ions, which resulted in the formation of strong \(\text{Zn}--\text{S}\) and \(\text{Zn}--\text{N}\) chemical bonds. The final equilibrium morphology of \(\text{Zn}_2\text{P}_2\) is modulated by 4ATP adsorption, with the reactive (001) and (110) surfaces becoming more pronounced in the equilibrium morphology relative to the (101) facet. The surface work function is shown to be lowered by 4ATP adsorption but the electronic bandgap of the \(\text{Zn}_2\text{P}_2\) surfaces remained significantly unaffected. This work provides an atomic-level understanding of the interactions of 4ATP species with \(\text{Zn}_2\text{P}_2\) nanoparticles and the results discussed here may be relevant for future investigations of self-assembled 4ATP monolayers and other higher coverage structures.
Schematic of the unrelaxed and relaxed structures of all unique terminations of the (001), (101), and (110) Zn3P2 surfaces with their predicted surface energies and unrelaxed and relaxed structures of the three unique terminations of Zn3P2 (001), (101), and (110) (PDF).
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