Water in Deep Eutectic Solvents: New Insights From Inelastic Neutron Scattering Spectroscopy
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The effect of water on the physicochemical properties of deep eutectic solvents (DES) is a trending research topic. In this work, inelastic neutron scattering (INS) spectroscopy, was used to probe intermolecular interactions in the water–deep eutectic solvent mixtures for the cases of choline chloride (the hydrogen bond acceptor) and three different hydrogen bond donors, with different degrees of acidity: urea, glycerol and lactic acid. It was found that quenching samples in liquid nitrogen is a procedure that may retain the liquid phase morphology of DES at the low temperatures required by INS spectroscopy. The three studied systems share the preference of water molecules to bind to chloride anion, as predicted by numerous molecular dynamics simulations. Despite this similarity, the three systems present several distinct INS features upon water addition that are related to their unique properties and structure at the molecular level. In the choline chloride:urea system, water molecules promote a strengthening of hydrogen bonds with the NH and OH donors, while for the choline chloride:lactic acid system INS probed the existence of solvated DES clusters instead of specifically interfering water molecules. This study takes advantage from the unique capabilities of INS and paves the way for future studies in these systems.
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INTRODUCTION

Deep eutectic solvents (DES) are a trending research topic [1–3], largely due to the need for sustainable alternatives to conventional solvents and for their versatility. This opens a wide range of applications, from biomass delignification [4], to electrochemical energy storage [5] and to pharmaceutical formulation [6]. At present, their range of applications keeps growing, arguably much faster than our current understanding of their behaviour. The most studied DES are formed by the association of a hydrogen bond-capable salt with a neutral hydrogen bond donor species. At the eutectic composition, the mixture has a melting point much lower than that of the corresponding ideal mixture. The physicochemical properties of DES are a macroscopic manifestation of the microscopic interactions of its components and this work aims to contribute to the understanding of these fundamental interactions.

A matter that emerges from a large number of experimental reports is the effect of water on the properties of DES. It has become evident that the effect of water on the physicochemical properties
and on the structural features of DES has important practical implications [7–24]. Water addition promotes structural changes on DES at the microscopic level and these changes are not yet fully understood. Recent results clearly demonstrated that there are three main DES-water regimes: summarised as “low water”, “medium water”, and “high water” contents [7–9, 14, 15]. Even small amounts of water (0–15% w/w) change the heterogeneous 3D structures of the pure components [7]. Up to about 50% (w/w) of water added, these strong interactions between the two components of the DES weaken gradually, but the supramolecular structures are to some extent preserved [8, 15]. Subsequent water additions led to the progressive disappearance of such interactions, until the mixture becomes a “simple” aqueous solution. Some studies propose that the passage from the water-in-DES system to a DES-in-water system occurs at a certain hydration level [2]. These questions are of utmost importance when considering the interactions of DES with active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs), particularly the effects of DES on API solubility and bioavailability, a broader interest which this work falls in [6]. In fact, understanding the grounds of DES-water interactions is a key step to assess the principles that govern the increased API solubility in API-DES-water systems.

One technique to characterize the structure and dynamics of bulk materials at a molecular level that is gaining momentum is inelastic neutron scattering (INS) spectroscopy. INS spectroscopy provides a unique assessment of the structural dynamics of hydrogenous materials that are not amenable from its optical counterparts, infrared and Raman spectroscopies, as it is not constrained by symmetry-related selection rules [25]. In INS, the intensity of each vibrational transition is expressed, for a given atom, by the dynamic structure factor:

\[ S_i(Q, \omega) \propto \frac{(\omega U_i)^n}{n!} \exp(-Q^2\sigma^2) \] (1)

where \( Q \) (Å\(^{-1}\)) is the momentum transferred to the sample, \( n \) is the quanta involved (\( n = 1 \) for fundamental modes), \( U_i \) is the energy of a vibrational mode, \( U_i (\text{Å}) \) is the displacement vector of atom \( i \) in mode \( k \), \( \sigma \) is the neutron scattering cross section of atom \( i \) and \( \alpha (\text{Å}) \) is related to a mass-weighted sum of the displacements of the atom in all vibrational modes, a term whose magnitude is in part determined by the thermal motion of the molecule [26]. This means that the intensity of a band associated with a given vibrational mode is proportional to the amplitude of nuclei displacement and to neutron scattering cross section of the moving nuclei, both of which are particularly large for hydrogen atoms. Thus, while there are no selection rules, there is a strong “propensity rule” that modes involving hydrogen motion will dominate the spectrum. Low frequency vibrations, such as the torsion of a methyl group or an intermolecular mode in a solid, typically involve large amplitude motions of the hydrogen atoms and generate intense INS bands. This makes INS particularly suited to assess low energy vibrational motions with high sensitivity.

This work aims to foster new insights on the role of water molecules in DES systems, taking advantage of the unique capabilities of INS spectroscopy described above. The DES systems selected are based on choline chloride (ChCl, the hydrogen bond acceptor) and three different hydrogen bond donors, with different degrees of acidity: urea (basic), glycerol (neutral) and lactic acid (acidic) (Figure 1 and Table 1).

ChCl and urea, mixed in a 1:2 M proportion, form the iconic deep eutectic solvent known as “Reline”, probably the most studied DES system and whose structure was already assessed through INS spectroscopy [28]. The similar 1:2 mixture of ChCl and glycerol (often known as “Glyceline”) is also of interest, mainly due to its low viscosity properties [27, 29]. The ChCl-lactic acid 1:1 mixture was originally included in the study under the broader interest on the interactions of APIs with DES systems: the solvation of the API lidocaine on this DES has been studied using DFT and molecular dynamics calculations [30], thus providing additional information for the interpretation of spectroscopic data. The DES-water systems were prepared with molar ratios up to 1:5, which correspond to a maximum of ca. 30% water content (water mass/total mass), falling within the “low–medium” water” regions. Higher water contents are not advisable due to the overwhelming intensity of ice in the INS spectrum.

This work also addresses the question on the nature of samples probed by INS spectroscopy. Due to the blurring consequences of the Debye-Waller factor at high temperatures (the exponential term in Eq. 1), INS spectra are recorded at very low temperatures, typically below 20 K. Hence, the use of INS spectroscopy to study DES assumes that it is possible, to a large extent, to preserve the room-temperature morphology of the liquid phase at these low temperatures. To this end, samples are usually quenched in liquid nitrogen (“shock frozen”), at ca. 77 K, prior to placement inside the cryostat in which the spectrum is collected. The sudden temperature drop, combined with the high viscosity of DES, is expected to prevent crystallization or phase separation in the sample at low temperature and preserve a liquid-like organization. Although the formation of glassy (non-crystalline) structures from quenching the sample in liquid nitrogen has been reported for molecular liquids (e.g., water [31] and ionic liquids [32]), to the best of our knowledge the procedure has not yet been tested/proven on DES.

**EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS**

Sample preparation: Cholinium chloride, urea, glycerol and lactic acid were obtained commercially: ChCl (≥ 99% purity, Sigma-Aldrich), urea (≥ 99% purity, Sigma-Aldrich), glycerol (≥ 99% purity, Sigma-Aldrich) and DL-lactic acid (≥ 85% purity, Acros Organics). The DES ChCl:urea (1:2), ChCl:glycerol (1:2) and ChCl:lactic acid (1:1) were all prepared, by the heat method. Prior to preparation of dry mixtures, the individual components were dried during 5 days under vacuum at 60°C and up to a constant weight by using phosphorus pentoxide. After dried the components were weighted inside a glovebox into sealed glass vials and placed under constant stirring and temperature (up to 80°C). After obtaining a homogeneous transparent liquid, the mixtures were then kept for 1 h at this maximum temperature...
and allowed to return to room temperature. DES-water mixtures were prepared from these by addition of water in the appropriate molar amount, with DES:water molar proportions of 1:1, 1:2, 1:3, and 1:5. For the sake of simplicity, these samples are referred to in the text below by 1, 2, 3 and 5w, respectively. Due to the highly hygroscopic character of ChCl, the dry samples, nominally 1:0 DES:water molar ratio (or 0w), were determined (from Karl-Fisher titration) to have 0.8% water in total mass in the case of ChCl:Urea and 0.6% water in the case of ChCl:glycerol. In the case of ChCl:lactic acid system, the nearly dry mixture did not produce a clear solution, and only 1, 3, and 5 samples are reported.

Spectra acquisition: The INS spectra were collected using the TOSCA [26, 33–35] and MAPS [26, 36] time-of-flight spectrometers at the ISIS Neutron and Muon Source of the STFC Rutherford Appleton Laboratory (Chilton, United Kingdom). Each sample, weighing ca. 0.7–1.0 g, was placed inside a flat thin-walled aluminium can, which was then mounted perpendicular to the incident beam using a regular TOSCA center stick. Eutectic mixtures, in the liquid state, were “shock-frozen” by quenching samples in liquid nitrogen before placement in the beam path, in order to avoid phase separation and preserve the room-temperature morphology of possible amorphous and crystalline regions. For the slow cooling sample, a simple procedure was adopted: the sample filled aluminium can was wrapped in an isolating film to slow down heat transfer and placed at 277 K (4°C) for 12 h and then at 255 K (−18°C) for 24 h before, “shock freezing” and placing in the TOSCA cryostat. Spectra were collected below 15 K, measured for the 0 to 8,000 cm$^{-1}$ (TOSCA) and the 0 to 6,000 cm$^{-1}$ (MAPS) energy-transfer ranges. In MAPS, three incident energies were used (807, 2016 and 5,243 cm$^{-1}$) in order to accurately observe the low and high frequency ranges.

Data was converted to the conventional scattering law, $S(Q,\nu)$ vs energy transfer (in cm$^{-1}$), using the MANTID program (version 4.0.0) [37].

Most of the INS spectra of DES samples and the INS spectrum of pure lactic acid were recorded during project’s RB1920244 mission [38], while the INS spectra of remaining pure compounds were obtained in previous projects: ChCl (RB1610481 [39]) and glycerol (TOSCA XPRESS XB1890136 [40]). The TOSCA spectrum of urea was previously recorded by Johnson and colleagues [41] and is available at the INS database [42]. The INS spectra of dry “Reline” (slow cooling vs. shock freezing) were obtained within project RB1810054 [43]. The MAPS spectra of ChCl:urea:water were recorded within project RB1620171 [44].

In order to emphasize the spectral differences resulting from the addition of water to DES samples, difference spectra were obtained by subtracting the spectrum of the driest sample from those of wet samples. Since the signal strength of INS is mostly a quantitative measurement to the hydrogen content of the samples (hydrogen has an incoherent scattering length almost two orders of magnitude larger than that for nitrogen, carbon, oxygen, and chlorine), the subtraction procedure just requires an adequate weighting of both spectra to match the band intensities of DES components (i.e., the integrated “difference spectra intensity” for the DES components over a water-free range should be zero).

RESULTS

Quenching vs. Slow Cooling

Figure 2 compares the INS spectra of ChCl:urea 1:2 eutectic mixture subjected to “shock freezing” and to a “slow freezing” procedure. As it can be seen, the “shock frozen” and “slow freezing” samples produced drastically different spectra. While the shock frozen spectrum presents the broad features consistent with an amorphous sample, the slow freezing spectrum shows much sharper bands related to those from crystalline ChCl and also some new ones, indicated by arrows (Figure 2, slow cooling). The new, sharp features must result from a new structural organization and can be
ascribed to the presence of the co-crystal previously found by X-ray powder diffraction [45]. This a very important result, as it validates the quenching of samples in liquid nitrogen as a reliable procedure to prevent crystallization or phase separation in the DES sample at low temperature and to preserve a liquid-like organization.

Effect of Water in ChCl:Urea DES

The effect of water increase in the INS spectra of the eutectic mixture of ChCl:urea is shown in Figure 3, for the 0–1,000 cm⁻¹ range (as mentioned in the experimental section, the sample that is nominally 1:0 DES:water molar ratio (labelled 0w), was determined to have 0.8% water in total mass).
As can be seen, the presence of water induced several changes, apart from the expected increase in the region above ca. 500 \(\text{cm}^{-1}\), which arises from the librational modes of water molecules and were observed in several water-containing samples [46]. The band at ca. 175 \(\text{cm}^{-1}\) consistently increases with water content and is assigned to the intermolecular stretching motion (also called anti-translational mode [47]) of the water molecule hydrogen-bonded to DES components. The region around 300 \(\text{cm}^{-1}\) is dominated by the methyl torsional modes (\(\tau_1\), \(\tau_2\), \(\tau_3\)) of ChCl [28], at ca. 252, 296 and 333 \(\text{cm}^{-1}\), respectively. They are virtually unchanged by the addition of one molecule of water (0w and 1w spectra in Figures 3, are nearly identical in this region). However, addition of three molecules gives rise to a shift of the lower wavenumber band (from 252 cm\(^{-1}\) to 246 cm\(^{-1}\)) and to a change of the intensity ratio between the 333/296 cm\(^{-1}\) bands. Further addition of water, up to the 1:5 M ratio (5w), does not change the position of the lower wavenumber band, but deepens the decrease in intensity ratio for the bands at higher wavenumber.

The effects on the region of methyl torsional modes are highlighted in Figure 4, which shows the difference spectra obtained by subtracting the spectrum of 0w sample from the spectra of water-containing samples, in the 200–400 \(\text{cm}^{-1}\) range. The negligible effect of the addition of one water molecule in this region is evident from the difference 1w-0w spectrum (Figure 4, bottom line). The shift of the \(\tau_1\) band for higher water contents (3w and 5w) is confirmed by the “band derivative” profile centered at ca. 250 \(\text{cm}^{-1}\) observed in the corresponding difference spectra. On the other side, the intensity changes of \(\tau_2\) and \(\tau_3\) are in fact associated with the loss of a broad intensity complex profile centered at ca. 340 \(\text{cm}^{-1}\) and spanning from 280 up to 400 \(\text{cm}^{-1}\).

For the region of 1,000–2,000 \(\text{cm}^{-1}\), a similar procedure of subtracting spectra unveils a few changes, as shown in Figure 5. The main changes observed in this region are proportional to the water content, namely, the intensity loss at ca. 1,450 \(\text{cm}^{-1}\), and the intensity gain centred at ca. 1,320 and 1700 \(\text{cm}^{-1}\). In a previous assignment of this system [28], based on discrete and periodic DFT calculations using a model cluster with two ChCl ion pairs and four urea molecules, the band at ca. 1,450 \(\text{cm}^{-1}\) was found to arise from \(\text{CH}_2\) and \(\text{CH}_3\) deformations, with significant contributions from OH bending modes of hydrogen bonded OH groups (OH···Cl and OH···O=C). The bending modes of free OH groups are expected to occur at lower wavenumber and stronger bonding would give rise to intensity at higher wavenumber. The intensity changes revealed by the difference spectra in Figure 5 are consistent with that situation and may be ascribed to the strengthening of the hydrogen bonding with choline’s hydroxyl group.

The TOSCA spectrometer is optimal in the low wavenumber region, degrading in resolution with increasing energy transfer. The MAPS instrument allows a better description of the high wavenumber region. In particular, MAPS allows assessing the regions of the NH\(_2\) bending modes and NH/OH stretching modes. The spectra recorded with MAPS for the 1,200–1,800 and 2,800–3,800 \(\text{cm}^{-1}\) ranges are shown in Figure 6. The first region (1,200–1,800 \(\text{cm}^{-1}\), Figure 6A) has been used to identify the NH\(_2\) bending modes at ca. 1,620 \(\text{cm}^{-1}\) [28]. Upon hydration,
this intensity becomes less defined, with a possible shift to higher energy. This behavior was predicted from MD simulations and ascribed to a more heterogeneous environment of the NH₂ groups and stronger hydrogen bonds involving the water molecules [11]. Pietro et al. [21], also relate the red shift of the NH₂ rocking band observed in Raman spectra with new hydrogen bond interactions of urea with water. In the second region (2,700–3,800 cm⁻¹, Figure 6B), there are two main broad features, assigned to the CH and NH + OH stretching modes, centered at ca. 3,000 and 3,400 cm⁻¹, respectively. The addition of water results in an increase of the OH stretching intensity relative to that of the CH stretching oscillators. A more interesting effect is revealed by the analysis of band contributions, as shown in Figure 6B, bottom. The addition of the first water molecule leads
to a general increase of the band intensity, nearly keeping the relative importance of each component. The second water molecule results in a significant increase of the lower energy component at ca. 3,300 cm$^{-1}$ relative to the component at ca. 3,400 cm$^{-1}$ (arrows in Figure 6B). Keeping in mind the limitations of a band fit analysis, an intensity increase in the lower wavenumber side of the NH/OH stretching band indicates an increase of the hydrogen-bond strength in the system.

**Effect of Water in ChCl:Glycerol DES**

Figure 7 compares the INS spectra of the ChCl:glycerol mixture with increasing water content, from 0w to 5w. The INS spectrum of pure glycerol is also shown (Figure 7, bottom), with intensity scaled to fit the visible contributions in the spectrum of the dry sample (Figure 7, 0w). Figure 8 presents the difference spectra (obtained as in Figures 4, 5) for the 200–400 and 1,000–2000 cm$^{-1}$ intervals.
For this system, the presence of water is clearly evidenced through the librational modes above ca. 500 cm\(^{-1}\). The band assigned to the water...DES hydrogen-bond stretching mode is observed at ca. 169 cm\(^{-1}\), slightly below its position in the ChCl:urea system. On the other hand, the position of the \(\tau_1\) band displays a nearly linear dependence on the number of water molecules: it shifts from ca. 259 cm\(^{-1}\) (0w) to ca. 256 cm\(^{-1}\) with the first water molecule added, and then to 252 cm\(^{-1}\) (3w) and 248 cm\(^{-1}\) (5w). As in the case of the previous system, water addition leads to the decrease of the \(\tau_3/\tau_2\) intensity ratio. Yet, the change in the intensity ratio is now explained by an intensity increase of the \(\tau_2\) mode, without significant changes for the \(\tau_3\) mode.

An interesting effect is evidenced in Figure 7 for the higher wavenumber region, particularly considering the band maxima observed in the 1,200–1,300 cm\(^{-1}\) range (and assigned to choline’s \(\text{CH}_3\) rocking modes [48]). These band maxima are manifest for 0w and 1w spectra and are blunted upon further hydration, in the 3w spectrum. However, they reappear in the 5w spectra, with a general profile nearly identical to that observed for low hydration levels. The difference spectra shown in Figure 8B also emphasises this effect. The region of 1,000–2000 cm\(^{-1}\) (Figure 8B) is nearly insensitive to the addition of the first water molecule, but evidences a strong intensity increase in the region centred at ca. 1,500 cm\(^{-1}\) and spanning ca. 1,200–1,800 cm\(^{-1}\), for the 3w sample. However, the intensity in this region reduces upon further addition of water, as it can be seen from the 5w-0w difference spectra. This spectral region has contributions from \(\text{CH}_2\) and COH bending modes of both glycerol, and ChCl [28]. These modes are highly mixed, but the general wavenumber trend is \(\text{COH}(\text{free}) < \text{CH}_3\text{twist} < \text{CH}_2\text{wag} < \text{CH}_2\text{scissor}\). Hydrogen-bonding can occur between OH groups or between OH groups and water or the Cl\(^-\) anion and shifts the COH mode to the higher wavenumbers.

**Effect of Water in ChCl:Lactic Acid DES**

In the case of the eutectic mixture ChCl: lactic acid it was not possible to obtain the INS spectrum of the dry (or nearly dry) sample. Figure 9 presents the INS spectra of the wet samples (1w, 3w and 5w) and the INS spectra of lactic acid (scaled to provide a visual fit with the corresponding bands in the DES spectra).

As in the previous systems, the presence of water is observed through the intense H\(_2\)O librational modes (above ca. 500 cm\(^{-1}\)) and the less intense band at ca. 176 cm\(^{-1}\), assigned to the water...DES hydrogen-bond stretching mode. This value of 176 cm\(^{-1}\) is close to the one observed for ChCl:urea system. In fact, in the low wavenumber region, the effects of water addition to the ChCl:lactic acid system mimics that seen for the ChCl:urea system: the difference spectrum 5w-1w of ChCl:lactic acid (not shown) is identical to the corresponding 5w-0w for ChCl:urea (Figure 4).

A different situation arises for the higher wavenumber regions. In the 600–1800 cm\(^{-1}\) range the effect of water addition is scant, even considering that comparison cannot be done with the dry form. The INS spectra of 1w and 3w samples in this region are nearly identical and their difference spectrum 5w-1w of ChCl:lactic acid (not shown) is identical to the corresponding 5w-0w for ChCl:urea (Figure 4).
choline’s CH₂ and CH₃ deformation, with contributions from COH deformation modes [28]).

**DISCUSSION**

Looking at all three systems together, and to the spectral changes observed upon water addition (e.g., Figures 3, 6, 7), some similarities can be highlighted. For instance, all the samples show an intensity increase centred at around 500–550 cm⁻¹, that is proportional to the water content. It has been reported that for samples with low water content (up to 20–30% of the dry mass) the presence of water molecules becomes evident in INS through a broad asymmetric band, spanning roughly from 400 to 800 cm⁻¹ [46]. This broad band arises from the librational modes of the water molecules interacting with the DES components and its intensity and profile is markedly different from the one observed for ice crystals. This supports the absence of water clusters at these hydration levels, since the presence of water clusters would result in ice microcrystals upon freezing and the ice crystals have an unambiguous spectral signature [28, 49].

The presence of water is also evident in the three systems from the INS band at ca. 169–175 cm⁻¹. Due to its intensity dependence on water content and its low wavenumber position, this band is tentatively assigned to the stretching vibration of the hydrogen bond formed between water molecules and DES components, in the specific geometry of the formed complex. The differences in the position of the band maxima for the three systems suggests that these specific water interactions are somewhat weaker for the cholin-based DES than for the ChCl:urea and ChCl:glycerol mixtures.

Other similarities can be found for bands arising from torsional motions of the choline’s methyl groups, labelled τ₁, τ₂, and τ₃ in Figure 4. Methyl torsions give rise to strong INS intensities, and so these modes dominate the 200–300 cm⁻¹ spectral range for the three systems herein considered. Upon hydration, τ₁ mode is red-shifted while the ratio τ₂/τ₃ decreases. The red-shift of τ₁ mode has been observed for situations in which there are competitive hydrogen bond donors for the chloride anion [28, 50]. In pure ChCl, all the methyl groups of choline are hydrogen-bonded to chloride anions (CCDC database, RefCode:CHOCHL01), and the methyl torsional motions are restricted. In the presence of alternative hydrogen bond donors, such as urea [28] or matrix donors [50], chloride anions are displaced and some methyl groups became freer. Consequently, methyl torsions move to lower wavenumbers. Results from molecular dynamics (MD) simulations on the hydration of DES systems [9, 11–13, 19] point out that water molecules tend to preferentially bond to the chloride anion. This water-chloride bonding promotes the release of more methyl groups from the methyl-chloride interaction, thus contributing to the observed red-shift of the τ₁ mode.

Although the general trend of the methyl torsion bands upon hydration is the same for the three systems, the behaviour is not identical. In particular, the red-shift of the τ₁ band in the urea-based DES is only observed for the 3w and 5w samples, while for the glycerol-based DES the effect is evident from 1w and the red-shift up to the 5w sample is larger. In the same region, the difference spectra shown in Figures 4, 8A also evidence the distinct origin of the change in τ₁/τ₃ ratio for these systems. The molecular origin of this effect cannot be obtained from these results alone and requires further investigation. For the lactic acid-based DES, the difference spectra in this region are identical to those observed for ChCl:urea, an observation that singles out glycerol among the three H-bond donors. The different behaviour of ChCl:urea and ChCl:glycerol was previously reported by Pandey and Pandey [51], who propose that while water molecules in the ChCl:urea system tend to prefer an interstitial accommodation, water molecules in ChCl:glycerol will preferentially bind to glycerol and/or ChCl. This different behaviour is corroborated by other authors, but on different grounds. For ChCl:glycerol DES, Ahmadi et al. [52], using Raman and infrared spectroscopies followed by multivariate analysis, concluded that the stoichiometry of the DES–water association is 1:9, a value which exhibits the domination of DES–water interactions over DES–DES interactions. From MD simulations, Weng and Toner [53] stated that initial addition of water strengthens the direct hydrogen bonding between ChCl and glycerol, but the general trend is the gradual decrease in the overall number of interactions between choline and glycerol with increasing water content. For ChCl:urea DES, Hammond et al. [14] used neutron diffraction to analyse the effect of water in and conclude that it contributes slightly to the hydrogen-bond network, strengthening choline-urea bonding, but only for low hydration levels (≤1w). By the same token, Abramchon et al. [10] concluded from thermodynamic modelling that the interactions in ChCl:urea DES are weaker, not stronger, in the presence of water.

Looking at higher wavenumbers, more differences among these systems emerge. For the ChCl:urea system, the intensity changes in the 1,400 cm⁻¹ region may be ascribed to the strengthening of hydrogen bonds with choline’s hydroxyl group. Analysis of the INS spectra obtained with the MAPS instrument (which allows a better description of the high wavenumber region than TOSCA) reveals the changes in the NH₂ bending modes upon hydration. These changes, previously related to a more heterogeneous environment of the amine groups upon water addition (11), are consistent with the strengthening of hydrogen bonds with the NH donors. The same conclusion is drawn from the INS-MAPS spectra in the region of OH/NH stretching modes, with an intensity increase of the lower wavenumber side of the broad vOH/NH band.

In the case of the ChCl:glycerol system, the most relevant issue revealed in Figures 7, 8B is the unusual behaviour of the 3w sample, which seems to be the sweet spot of the hydration effect before disruption. To the best of our knowledge, there are no experimental reports on this DES pointing to this behaviour. Nevertheless, recent work from Ferreira et al. [12], using NMR spectroscopy to study the ChCl:glycerol system with added water, at water contents ranging from 1% wt to 70% wt, identified three distinct water behaviour domains. Up to 11% wt, between 11% and 35%wt, and above 35% wt. The plot of the spin lattice relaxation rates of choline and glycerol hydroxyl groups as...
function of the water content shows a maximum at 11% wt (which compares well with the 14.3% wt of 3w sample). In addition, the above mentioned MD simulations of Weng and Toner [53] concluded that the effect of water increase on hydrogen bonding between ChCl and glycerol reverses at ca. 10 wt% of water content. Hence, our observation of an inflection point at 3w is directly comparable and agree quite well with those results (12,53).

The third hydrogen bond donor herein considered, lactic acid, also promotes a distinct DES behaviour with increasing water content. While in the low wavenumber region, discussed above, there are striking similarities between ChCl:lactic acid and ChCl:urea in the INS spectra upon water addition, in the 1,000–2000 cm^{-1} region ChCl:lactic acid is unique. Contrary to both ChCl:urea and ChCl:glycerol, ChCl:lactic acid does not present large intensity changes, observed as broad features in the difference spectra. In fact, within the experimental error, difference spectra 3w-1w and 5w-1w are close to straight lines. On the other hand, the broadening of several sharp bands in the 700–1,300 cm^{-1} range is evident. This is not observed, to a similar extent, for either of the remaining systems and points to a unique property of the acidic hydrogen-bond donor component. All the affected bands are assigned to choline (including mainly NC stretching and CH3 rocking modes), which points to a strong increase of heterogeneity in the choline cation’s interactions. Alcalde el al. [13], from MD simulations, state that lactic acid molecules are mainly occupied in ChCl-lactic acid hydrogen bonding, which is not weakened upon addition of water molecules. ChCl-lactic acid cluster prevail from small to large water concentrations, up to the limit of dispersed “ChCl-lactic acid monomers” in the hydrogen-bonded water fluid [13]. Such a solvation process of the ChCl-lactic acid clusters may explain the observed broadening effect on the choline anion bands.

CONCLUSION

This work has addressed several questions in the study of water effects in DES systems as probed by INS. The first was to understand whether known pitfalls on how freezing DES samples (due to the very low temperature required by INS, < 20 K) would affect sample integrity, i.e. do the frozen samples mirror the liquid phase?

The comparison between shock freezing and slow freezing of DES samples evidenced that shock freezing avoids crystallization or phase separation of DES components. Thus, quenching samples in liquid nitrogen is a procedure that may retain the liquid phase morphology of DES at the low temperatures required by INS spectroscopy. This allows the application of INS spectroscopy to unravel the DES interactions at a molecular level. In particular, INS spectroscopy gives access to large amplitude/low wavenumber modes not easily amenable from other spectroscopic techniques.

All the systems studied present similar spectroscopic changes upon water addition. The presence of water is evident from the increase of a broad profile centered at ca. 550 cm^{-1}, ascribed to non-freezing water molecules, i.e., water molecules bound to the DES components without forming water clusters, and thus unable to form ice crystals when subject to a sudden temperature drop. In addition, a band a ca. 175 cm^{-1} displays an intensity dependence on the water content and is assigned to the intermolecular stretching mode of water molecules hydrogen-bonded to DES components.

The methyl torsional modes also share identical behavior upon water addition. In particular, the low wavenumber component, τ1, moves to lower wavenumber with increasing water content. This behavior is consistent with the preference of water molecules to bind to chloride anion, as predicted by numerous MD simulations dealing with different choline chloride-based DES: the torsional motions of methyl groups in choline chloride are hampered by hydrogen bonding with chloride anion and the preferential interactions of chloride anion with water releases the methyl groups.

Despite all the above similarities, the three systems present several distinct INS features upon water addition that can be related to their unique properties and structure at the molecular level, as revealed by their most relevant spectral features. As such, in the ChCl:urea system a strengthening of hydrogen bonds with the NH and OH donors was observed. In the ChCl:glycerol counterpart, the red-shift of the τ1 band alongside the ideal water content (3w) were the most relevant phenomena observed. Finally, in the ChCl:lactic acid system INS could probe the existence of solvated DES clusters instead of specifically interfering water molecules. All these signature features arising from each system were found to confirm simulation data from the literature.
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