Scarred scalp reconstruction with a rectangular expander
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INTRODUCTION

Scalp reconstruction is challenging because the scalp is inelastic, stiff, and has hair follicles [1]. Cosmetic considerations are also relevant, as this zone makes a major contribution to individuals’ appearance. If possible, local flaps are preferable for scalp reconstruction because they use original tissue, resulting in a natural appearance, which is especially relevant for hair-bearing tissue. However, the applicability of local flaps can be limited in patients with defects that are larger than 50 cm² or require extensive undermining [2], and excessive tension leads to cicatricial alopecia [3]. Skin grafting is appropriate for larger defects, but skin grafts are too friable to be exposed without the protection of clothes; furthermore, the scar can be so wide that it is easily noticeable, and usually without hair [4]. Free flaps poorly match the scalp in terms of texture and contour and can result in loss of sensation. In contrast, tissue expansion offers aesthetically pleasing outcomes with minimal donor-site morbidity. However, in a scarred scalp, the extent of possible dissection for the expander insertion may be limited, and surgeons must make use of the limited scalp tissue. We successfully reconstructed scarred scalps using rectangular expanders. This report presents two cases: a 4 × 3 cm chronic defect with widespread scarring and osteomyelitis and an 11 × 7.5 cm scar tissue following a skin graft. Tissue expanders were inserted in the subgaleal plane and were inflated by 195 mL and 400 mL over periods of 2 and 3 months, respectively. Subgaleal elevation of a fasciocutaneous flap was achieved with the expanded tissue. The defects were well covered, with good color, texture, and hair-bearing tissue. There were no complications involving the tissue expanders. Rectangular expanders yield more available tissue than round or crescent-shaped expanders. Moreover, since the base of the flap is well defined, the expander can be easily inserted in a limited space. Therefore, rectangular expanders are recommended for the reconstruction of scarred scalps.

Keywords: Cicatrix / Scalp / Tissue expanders

Correspondence: Jun Yong Lee
Department of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, Incheon St. Mary's Hospital, College of Medicine, The Catholic University of Korea, 56 Dongbu-ro, Bupyeong-gu, Incheon 21431, Korea
E-mail: ps.jylee@catholic.ac.kr

The abstract was presented at the PRS Korea 2019 in a poster session on November 8, 2019, in Seoul, Korea.
Received January 3, 2020 / Revised May 4, 2020 / Accepted June 19, 2020
**CASE REPORTS**

Case 1
A 37-year-old woman presented with ongoing wound problems in the left temporoparietal region after surgery to remove a brain tumor and two radiation therapy sessions. Upon lysis of the eschar in the wound closure, the skull bone was exposed in a 4 × 3 cm open wound. The wound margin was contractive with widespread scarring (Fig. 1A). Osteomyelitis was diagnosed based on culture results and was treated with 2 weeks of teicoplanin, 2 weeks of combination therapy (rifampicin with trimethoprim and sulfamethoxazole), and 2 weeks of linezolid. Reconstruction was performed using an expander. The incision line was designed on the right side of the scalp to avoid a chronic open wound. The pocket for the expander was planned in the frontoparietal region, considering future flap coverage. A dissection was made in the subgaleal plane, and a 400 mL smooth rectangular tissue expander was inserted. Since the defect was chronic and accompanied by osteomyelitis, there was a high risk of infection. Therefore, perioperative antibiotics were maintained for a week. Initial inflation was conducted 2 weeks after surgery to confirm the stability of the incision wound. The expander was inflated by a total of 195 mL over 2 months (Fig. 1B). Although radiation therapy and irregular scarring caused uneven expansion and thinning of the frontal scalp, we were able to achieve sufficient expansion to cover the defect despite only inflating the expander halfway. Two weeks after the final inflation, expander removal surgery was performed. A rotational fasciocutaneous flap was used to cover the defect.

Case 2
A 41-year-old woman had a 10 × 15 cm bone-depth avulsion wound on the right parietal region of her scalp as a result of a degloving injury, as her hair had been sucked into a roller. Emergent microanastomosis was performed, but replantation of the avulsed scalp failed. Necrosis occurred thereafter. Debridement and a split-thickness skin graft were performed 1 month after the initial surgery. Scalp reconstruction with a tissue expander was planned, as a wide area of alopecia was noticeable (Fig. 2A). Ten months after the skin graft, the pocket for the expander was planned in the vertex region and an incision was made just medial to the scar tissue of the skin graft. A 400 mL smooth rectangular tissue expander was inserted in the subgaleal plane and immediately inflated by 20 mL. During the dissection, firm adhesion and scarring were found throughout the subgaleal layer, making it difficult to dissect the tissue sufficiently. It was suspected that the galea was detached from the perios- teum, which is a characteristic finding of degloving injuries.

---

**Fig. 1.** A 37-year-old woman with a 4×3 cm scalp defect. (A) The defect was accompanied by chronic unhealed scar tissue and osteomyelitis following a brain tumor removal operation. (B) A rectangular tissue expander was inserted in the subgaleal plane and was inflated by 195 mL over 2 months. (C) Three-month postoperative photograph. (D) Eighteen-month postoperative photograph.

**Fig. 2.** A 41-year-old woman with an 11×7.5 cm post-graft scar in the right frontoparietal region. (A) Preoperative view. (B) A rectangular tissue expander is inserted in the subgaleal plane and is inflated by 400 mL over 3 months. (C) The expanded tissue used as the advancement flap and the rotated flaps from the anterior and posterior base covered the lesion. (D) Twenty-four-month postoperative photograph.
The expander was inflated 10 times by a total of 400 mL over the course of 3 months (Fig. 2B). Two months after the final inflation, the expander was removed and scar bands were scored to ensure better flap advancement. The anterior and posterior base was additionally undermined and a fasciocutaneous flap was used to cover the defect (Fig. 2C).

Both defects were well covered with good color, texture, and hair-bearing tissue (Figs. 1C and D, 2D). There were no complications involving tissue expanders, such as expander exposure, malfunction, rupture, infection, or hematoma. However, particularly thin skin at the vertex of the rectangular expander was noticeable.

**DISCUSSION**

Tissue expansion causes temporary epidermal thickening and thinning of the dermis. The expansion of the skin results from new creation of skin tissue by increased mitosis, rather than recruitment from an adjacent area [5]. Hair follicles neither increase in number nor degenerate, but become dispersed. However, this was not strikingly noticeable in our cases, even in large areas. Scalp reconstruction with tissue expansion inevitably affects the cranial bone because the scalp is a thin layer of tissue covering the bone. Tissue expansion has been found to decrease the thickness and volume of the cranial bone, but not bone density during expansion [6], but bone remodeling is completed between 2 and 3 months after the removal of the expander. In our cases, obtrusive bone loss or depression was not observed. Furthermore, it has been proven that the vascularity of expanded tissue increases [7], which contributes to the survival of flaps using expanded tissue, even when a large defect is being reconstructed. This is why tissue expansion can be recommended regardless of the size of the defect. Furthermore, tissue expansion is ideal for reconstructing the scalp because of the presence of hair-bearing tissue, which is not replaceable by distant tissues. For this reason, tissue expansion is used to cover areas of alopecia [8]. Caution should be taken when applying tissue expanders in the scalp to ensure that the expanders are not subject to pressure during sleep. In addition, the capsule and galea should be maximally preserved to maintain sufficient blood supply.

The incision site for the insertion of expanders should be carefully considered. When an incision is made in scarred tissue, it is preferable to avoid additional scarring by discarding the scarred tissue after obtaining the expanded tissue. However, the incision should only be made if the scar is mature and thick, in order to prevent extrusion of the expanders. Furthermore, dissection of the scarred wound in order to insert the expander is often challenging. Therefore, we recommend making the incision in normal tissue instead of in the fibrinous scar tissue. In case 1, to reduce the possibility of infection and to facilitate dissection, an incision was made in normal tissue along the frontotemporal line. In case 2, an incision was made in normal tissue, just medial to the scar tissue, due to the immature scar border and the high risk of extrusion of the expander. Although the tissue bridge between the scar tissue and the incision could develop necrosis, it was narrow enough for the expanded tissue to sufficiently replace it.

The subcutaneous and subgaleal layers have been recommended as planes for expander insertion in the scalp. We recommend the subgaleal plane to prevent extrusion, as the scalp tissue is thin. In addition, as the insertion plane can be easily dissected for the flap to be used as coverage after removing the expander, the future flap should be considered. Since a fasciocutaneous flap is better for the protection of exposed bone than a flap without the galea, the subgaleal plane was chosen. The initial inflation of the expander can be performed immediately to prevent seroma. However, if there is surrounding scar tissue, the contractile force can create pressure on the expander. The initial inflation and expansion velocity should be set in consideration of the area of the dissected space and the severity of scarring.

Tissue expansion affects the surrounding tissue in three dimensions. After expander removal, tissue deflates, which can be excessive when converted into two dimensions [9]. In this sense, rectangular expanders yield more available tissue than round or crescent-shaped expanders, assuming the same base area. Several mathematical formulas have been proposed for estimating the expansion volume to achieve a target surface area gain [10-12]. A study found that the surface area gain was 25% of the calculated value for spherical expanders and 38% and 32% with rectangular and crescent-shaped expanders, respectively [12]. Rectangular expanders are particularly effective for scar tissue, which requires more surface gain due to its contractile force. In addition, given the difficulty of dissecting scar tissue, it is necessary to maximize surface expansion with the least volume possible. As this study only presents two cases, it is difficult to present a generalized recommendation on expander insertion. However, it is noteworthy that the rectangular expander, when used on scarred wounds, secured an ample surface area without complications or bone resorption.
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