Women’s self-reported experiences using misoprostol obtained from drug sellers: a prospective cohort study in Lagos State, Nigeria
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ABSTRACT

Objectives This study aimed to assess the safety and effectiveness of self-managed misoprostol abortions obtained outside of the formal health system in Lagos State, Nigeria.

Design This was a prospective cohort study among women using misoprostol-containing medications purchased from drug sellers. Three telephone-administered surveys were conducted over 1 month.

Setting Data were collected in 2018 in six local government areas in Lagos State.

Participants Drug sellers attempted to recruit all women who purchased misoprostol-containing medication. To remain in the study, participants had to be female and aged 18–49, and had to have purchased the medication for the purpose of abortion. Of 501 women initially recruited, 446 were eligible for the full study, and 394 completed all three surveys.

Primary and secondary outcome measures Using self-reported measures, we assessed the quality of information provided by drug sellers; the prevalence of potential complications; and the proportion with completed abortions.

Results Although drug sellers provided inadequate information about the pills, 94% of the sample reported a complete abortion without surgical intervention about 1 month after taking the medication. Assuming a conservative scenario where all individuals lost to follow-up had failed terminations, the completion rate dropped to 87%. While 86 women reported physical symptoms suggestive of complications, only six of them reported wanting or needing medical care.

Conclusions Drug sellers are an important source of medical abortion in this setting. Despite the limitations of self-report, many women appear to have effectively self-administered misoprostol. Additional research is needed to expand the evidence on the safety and effectiveness of self-use of misoprostol for abortion in restrictive settings, and to inform approaches that support the health and well-being of people who use this method of abortion.

INTRODUCTION

Globally, medical abortion (MA) has become an increasingly important method for people seeking to terminate a pregnancy. While mifepristone followed by misoprostol is the preferred MA regimen recommended by the WHO, misoprostol alone is recommended as a safe and effective alternative where mifepristone is not available. WHO guidelines specify self-managed mifepristone and misoprostol as a viable approach for terminating abortion.
pregnancies when users have access to adequate information and a trained healthcare provider. However, WHO has not yet recommended self-management of misoprostol alone because of limited evidence. In contexts in which abortion is illegal or highly restricted, misoprostol is unlikely to be approved by the government, and self-managed abortion with misoprostol alone is a critical option for individuals who may otherwise resort to other, unsafe methods.

Nigeria has a population estimated at 200 million in 2019, and induced abortion is legally permitted only to save a woman’s life. Despite the restrictive law, the rate of induced abortion in Nigeria was estimated at between 41.1 and 59.4 per 1000 women of reproductive age in 2017. MA drugs, particularly misoprostol, have become more widely available in Nigeria in recent years. Nigeria has a large market of drug vendors serving informally as the first point of care for diverse health problems, and most medications can be procured without prescription. However, evidence from other studies suggests that drug sellers have poor knowledge of MA drugs, commonly sell medications without packaging or instructions and often provide inadequate or inaccurate information to women about medications, side effects and potential complications.

There is currently a dearth of community-based evidence on people’s experiences accessing misoprostol from drug sellers and the outcomes of self-managed abortions. Findings from a prospective cohort study with a very small sample of women who bought misoprostol from pharmacy workers in Bangladesh indicate that 75% of women reported complete abortions after 2 weeks, which is just below the range of expected effectiveness for misoprostol alone (78%–90%). Studies from several countries in Latin America where abortion is legally restricted suggest that even if adequate information is not provided by drug sellers, information obtained through other means, such as hotlines, may reduce the potential risks of self-managed MA.

The few studies in sub-Saharan Africa that attempted to assess health outcomes from use of misoprostol alone recruited patients presenting for postabortion care in health facilities, and therefore do not represent everyone who had used this method. Prospective studies have the potential to provide stronger evidence but those that have attempted to explore these topics have reported challenges with the recruitment and follow-up of participants.

To address this research gap, we designed a prospective study to explore the experiences of women (people of all genders are capable of becoming pregnant and having abortions. We did not include questions related to gender identity in the surveys due to concerns related to cultural sensitivity and appropriateness. For the purpose of this study, our eligibility criteria included being a woman 15–49 years old who had purchased misoprostol to terminate a pregnancy, and the word ‘woman’ was used in the consent form as well as the surveys. Based on these criteria, in addition to assumptions made by drug sellers and the interviewers, we refer to participants as ‘women’ in this paper) who self-manage abortion using misoprostol obtained from drug sellers in Lagos State, Nigeria. We investigated what dosages and information women receive when attempting to purchase misoprostol for abortion; what clinical effects they experience, including potential complications; if and how they assess completeness; and what proportion of women have completed abortions.

METHODS

Study setting

Data for this study were collected in six local government areas (LGA) in Lagos State. Lagos is the most populous city in Nigeria and constitutes one of the largest markets for MA nationally. The 20 LGAs in Lagos State were stratified into more urban and less urban based on population density. From each stratum, we purposively selected three that each had at least one higher level educational institution. We hypothesised that areas with higher level educational institutions would have greater market for misoprostol due to the concentration of females aged 20–29 and those with a secondary education or higher, populations that have a relatively higher estimated incidence of abortion in Nigeria.

Study design and data collection

Field activities were organised into two major components: (1) a drug seller study, which included (A) a mapping of pharmacies and proprietary patent medicine vendors, herein referred to as drug sellers, and (B) a screener interview to identify those selling misoprostol for any reason; and (2) a prospective cohort study of women who purchased misoprostol, recruited by drug sellers.

Identifying drug sellers who sell misoprostol

Fieldworkers collected the names and Global Positioning System coordinates of all drug sellers in each LGA, generating the universe (n=968; figure 1). Thereafter, they visited every shop and conducted a screening interview to generate a list of drug sellers that reported selling misoprostol for any indication. Drug sellers who reported selling misoprostol (n=340) were invited to recruit all women who purchased any misoprostol-containing medications, directly or through a proxy, for any reason over a 2-month period. In total, 227 drug sellers agreed to recruit women. See online supplementary appendix A for more details on recruitment procedures.

Prospective cohort study of women

Drug sellers assisted in recruiting women who bought misoprostol, or a misoprostol-containing drug, for any reason. Drug sellers provided a general explanation of the study, and asked everyone interested in participating if they agreed to be contacted by a member of the study team. Interviewers contacted willing participants 1–2 days after purchase of the medicine, explained the study in
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501 women recruited by drug sellers into the study
485 women participated in the screener (1-2 days after purchase)
446 women were eligible for the full study
Purchased Misoprostol to end a pregnancy
Aged 18 to 49
423 women completed 1st follow-up interview (5-7 days after purchase)
394 women completed 2nd follow-up interview (~1 month after purchase)
896 drug stores identified in the mapping exercise
72 additional drug stores were identified in the screener
968 drug stores were screened
340* drug stores were identified that sold misoprostol and all were invited to recruit women for the study
227 drug sellers agreed to recruit women
148 drug sellers successfully recruited women into the study
501 women recruited by drug sellers into the study

Figure 1 Sample of drug sellers, recruitment of women and retention throughout the study. *Sixteen additional drug sellers who initially denied selling misoprostol or refused to participate in the screener later agreed to recruit women.

detail and obtained informed consent to participate prior to conducting a telephone screener to determine eligibility. Only women aged 18–49 who bought misoprostol specifically to terminate a pregnancy were eligible for inclusion. Eligible women were invited to participate in two additional rounds of surveys using structured questionnaires administered by telephone over approximately 1 month.

A first follow-up interview was conducted 5–7 days after screening to identify the medications purchased, establish if and how the woman used the medication and ask about her interaction with the drug seller at the time of purchase. A second follow-up interview was conducted 3 weeks later (1 month after purchasing the medication) to understand women’s self-reported health outcomes after taking the medication, how women assessed the completion of their abortions, support during the abortion process and women’s willingness to recommend misoprostol to friends or use it again.

Data for the drug seller screening were collected in person by trained male fieldworkers and data from the women were collected over the telephone by female fieldworkers trained by the study team in sensitive interviewing techniques. All data were collected using the mobile data collection application SurveyCTO on password-protected and encrypted Android tablets and stored on a secure server accessible only to the research team. Women’s informed consent was obtained prior to each interview, and permission to be contacted again for the next follow-up interview was given at the end of the screener and first follow-up interviews. Women’s identities were confirmed at each interview using a unique identification number, password or nickname provided at the time of recruitment.

Interviewers did not have medical training and did not give advice about any potential complications or directly answer health-related questions at any point in the women’s interviews. During the screener or first follow-up interview, respondents who asked for medical advice or who described symptoms that could indicate a serious health problem were provided with the telephone numbers for the Marie Stopes Nigeria hotline and the accident and emergency unit of the Lagos State University Teaching Hospital. All participants in the study were given this contact information after completion of the second follow-up interview, in case they had additional medical questions. Data collection occurred between April and October 2018. A description of the study and the study tools are on Open Science Framework, and are accessible at https://osf.io/3akjx/?view_only=960f46d756fd4bb18949ec1fe5ae5a5.

Patient and public involvement
The study was supported by a Technical Advisory Group that provided input on the program of research. The advisory group, which consisted of medical doctors, local
researchers and other experts in the field of sexual and reproductive health in Nigeria, provided input on the research questions, study design and tool development prior to the start of fieldwork. The advisory group provided feedback on the preliminary research findings and advice on messaging the results. The group will help plan dissemination activities, including the presentation of findings to participating drug sellers and other stakeholders.

**Study definitions**
The criteria used to define the following measures are described in detail in the online supplementary appendix B.

**Dosage**
Doses of less than 800 mcg for misoprostol alone were classified as less than the WHO-recommended dose for first trimester abortions.²

**Route of administration**
An optimal route of misoprostol administration was defined as administering it bucally, sublingually or vaginally.² A suboptimal route was one where women were given the option to swallow the misoprostol by mouth.

**Adequacy of information**
We created a 9-item score for the adequacy of information covered during the drug sellers’ interactions with women. We included information considered to be reasonably necessary, based on medical literature, for women to successfully self-manage abortion. We allocated each item one point if the information was provided and created the index by adding up the total number of points.

**Warning signs of potential complications**
Warning signs of complications were assessed using self-reported symptoms after taking the medication and included excessive or greater than anticipated bleeding; or a combination of greater than anticipated abdominal pain or cramping, fever and chills, or vaginal discharge that could potentially indicate an infection.

**Analysis**
We conducted descriptive analyses to summarise women’s sociodemographic characteristics; the quality of information provided by drug sellers; the prevalence of potential complications; the proportion who had complete terminations without additional medical interventions; and abortion strategies women would have employed had they not used misoprostol. All analyses were conducted using Stata V.15.1.

**RESULTS**
Of the 501 women recruited by drug sellers, 485 (97%) were successfully contacted and screened by interviewers. 446 were eligible for the study (92% of those screened) and 394 (88% of all eligible women recruited) were successfully interviewed in both follow-up interviews (figure 1). The data presented in the results are from the 394 women who completed both interviews, including a small proportion (2%) that received mifepristone+misoprostol.

**Demographic characteristics of participants**
Among our final sample, more than half (55%) were between the ages of 18 and 29, and 92% had completed senior secondary school while 38% had completed some higher education (table 1). About three-quarters (76%) worked for a family or non-family-owned business, and 13% were students at the time they purchased the misoprostol. Half (50%) were married or cohabiting, and 95% purchased the drug themselves. Most respondents (83%) had taken some kind of pregnancy test prior to going to the drug seller. For 85% of participants, this was their first pregnancy termination.

**Abortion attempts prior to recruitment**
A small proportion (5%, n=21) of the sample had made at least one other attempt to terminate the current pregnancy prior to being recruited into the study (online supplementary appendix C, table 1). Of them, 12 had taken pills and 10 ingested herbal preparations. Six women who took pills did not know what pills they had taken, four had taken emergency contraception and two took an unspecified dose of misoprostol that was reported as ineffective.

**Medication types, determining eligibility and dosage**
On entering the drugstore, 51% of women told the drug sellers that they needed something to end a pregnancy, and 39% said they wanted help to bring back a late menstrual period (table 2). More than half (57%) reported that they had not known there were pills they could take to end a pregnancy prior to visiting the drug seller; 22% had heard about such pills from a friend or family member; and 7%–8% found out about them online (n=33) or from a health professional (n=28) (not shown). One-fourth of the sample (25%) reported that they did not know what medication they purchased from the drug seller. Among those who knew what medication they purchased, a majority (97%, n=272) reported receiving just misoprostol from the drug seller, and 3% (n=7) received misoprostol in combination with mifepristone. Based on women’s self-reports of the type and number of tablets purchased, we confirmed that 44% were sold less than the recommended dosage for effectiveness (<800 mcg misoprostol), 20% received a dosage within the range of effectiveness, and we were unable to assess the dosage for an additional 37% of the sample.

**Instructions received from the drug sellers**
Most of the sample (78%) reported that they were given some instructions about how to take the medications, and nearly all women who received instructions followed them (table 3). Most women relied solely on drug sellers for information: 72% did not use any other source (not shown).
Table 1  Demographic characteristics of women, type of drug sellers visited and who bought the pills, proportion of women who took a pregnancy test and previous experiences with abortion

| Age categories | %   | n  |
|----------------|-----|----|
| 18–24          | 22.8| 90 |
| 25–29          | 32.0| 126|
| 30–34          | 23.4| 92 |
| 35–39          | 16.0| 63 |
| 40–44          | 5.1 | 20 |
| 45–49          | 0.8 | 3  |
| Median age (IQR)| 28  | (25–33) |

| Parity*         | %   | n  |
|-----------------|-----|----|
| No children     | 33.5| 76 |
| 1–2 children    | 37.4| 85 |
| 3+ children     | 29.1| 66 |
| Mean (SD)       | 1.6 | (1.5) |

| Highest level of education completed | %   | n  |
|--------------------------------------|-----|----|
| No schooling or incomplete primary   | 0.8 | 3  |
| Primary/junior secondary school      | 7.4 | 29 |
| Senior secondary school              | 54.1| 213|
| Some higher education (or more)      | 37.8| 149|

| Employment                      | %   | n  |
|---------------------------------|-----|----|
| Work for someone else/non-family business | 51.5| 203|
| Work for own/family business    | 24.1| 95 |
| Housewife                       | 3.8 | 15 |
| Student                         | 13.2| 52 |
| Unemployed                      | 7.4 | 29 |

| Relationship status              | %   | n  |
|---------------------------------|-----|----|
| Currently married or cohabiting  | 50.0| 197|
| Separated/divorced/widowed       | 5.1 | 20 |
| Never married and never lived together with a man | 44.9| 177|

| Local government area of recruitment | %   | n  |
|--------------------------------------|-----|----|
| Lagos Mainland                       | 17.0| 67 |
| Ojo                                  | 18.3| 72 |
| Oshodi-Isolo                         | 16.2| 64 |
| Epe                                  | 8.9 | 35 |
| Ikorodu                              | 24.4| 96 |
| Ibeju Lekki                          | 15.2| 60 |
| Women who went to each type of drug seller |  |   |
| Pharmacy                             | 40.6| 160|

Table 1  Continued

| Among women who completed both follow-up interviews (n=394) | %   | n  |
|------------------------------------------------------------|-----|----|
| Proprietary patent medicine vendor (PPMV)                  | 59.4| 234|
| Who purchased the medicine                                 |     |    |
| Medicines bought by the woman                              | 95.2| 375|
| Medicines bought by someone else                           | 4.8 | 19 |
| Previous pregnancy terminations                            |     |    |
| No, has not ended a prior pregnancy                        | 84.5| 333|
| Yes, has ended a prior pregnancy                           | 15.5| 61 |
| % of women who took each type of pregnancy test when they suspected they were pregnant† |     |    |
| Confirmation via test with a doctor                        | 20.8| 82 |
| Confirmation via test at a laboratory                      | 17.5| 69 |
| Self-administered urine test                               | 54.6| 215|
| No test                                                     | 17.0| 67 |

*Data on parity were only from a subset of the sample (n=227).
†Multiple responses were allowed.

On average, women reported that drug sellers covered three of nine items we considered necessary for successful self-management of abortion using misoprostol (table 3). A relatively high proportion reported that drug sellers attempted to assess their eligibility: 74% were asked if they had confirmed their pregnancy with a test, and 79% were asked the timing of their last menstrual period. However, fewer reported being given information about what clinical symptoms to anticipate as a normal part of the abortion process; 67% and 35% reported being told that they could expect some bleeding or cramping, respectively. Only 51 women (13%) were told about severe bleeding that could indicate a potential complication.

Women’s experiences of warning signs after taking the medication and subsequent care seeking

Most women did not report adverse events after taking the medication. However, 77 women (20%) reported bleeding that we classified as potentially problematic, and 15 women (4%) reported a combination of symptoms suggestive of infection (table 4). Six women were classified as having experienced symptoms of both. Among the 86 women with potentially problematic bleeding or symptoms of infection, 7% (n=6) reported wanting or needing medical care, and 5% (n=4) sought care (not shown). One of the four reported receiving a blood transfusion and undergoing a surgical abortion procedure, while the rest were given painkillers, an ultrasound or blood test.

Overall, 29 women in the study (7%) reported wanting or needing medical care after taking the pills, and 24
(6%) actually sought care (not shown). Few women (25%, n=97) were given any information from drug sellers about seeking postabortion care. However, 48% (n=14) of those who reported wanting or needing care also reported they had been told during their initial interaction with the drug seller that they may need to seek medical attention for potential complications, compared with 23% (n=84) of those who did not report needing follow-up care. Most women who sought care were given pain medication, or underwent an ultrasound, suggesting that they might not have presented with serious complications. One woman reported receiving a blood transfusion, and seven women were treated with a surgical abortion procedure.

**Participants’ assessment of abortion completeness**

At the time of the second follow-up interview, around 1 month after taking the pills, 95% of women reported

---

**Table 2** Women’s experiences interacting with the drug seller, types of medications, dosages and administration routes

| Women who completed both follow-up interviews (n=394) | % | n |
|-----------------------------------------------------|---|---|
| How women presented themselves to the drug seller* | | |
| Told drug seller she wanted to end a pregnancy. | 50.5 | 199 |
| Told drug seller she wanted to purchase misoprostol or other specific brand name medicine. | 16.2 | 64 |
| Told the drug seller she wanted to bring back a late period. | 39.3 | 155 |
| Told drug seller something else. | 1.3 | 5 |
| Types of medicine women reported receiving† | | |
| Misoprostol | 69.0 | 272 |
| Misoprostol+mifepristone | 1.8 | 7 |
| Unknown medicine‡ | 25.4 | 100 |
| Missing | 3.8 | 15 |
| Dosage of medication prescribed by drug seller§ | | |
| Less than the WHO-recommended dosage (<800 mcg misoprostol) | 43.7 | 172 |
| 800 mcg misoprostol | 17.0 | 67 |
| 1000–1400 mcg misoprostol | 1.3 | 5 |
| 1600–2400 mcg misoprostol | 0.5 | 2 |
| 200 mg mifepristone and 800 mcg misoprostol | 0.8 | 3 |
| Not assessed | 36.8 | 145 |
| Routes of administration of the medication prescribed by drug seller¶ | | |
| Suboptimal route (oral misoprostol) | 38.6 | 152 |
| Optimal route (buccal, vaginal or sublingual misoprostol) | 19.0 | 75 |
| Drug seller did not say | 0.3 | 1 |
| Not assessed | 42.1 | 166 |

*Multiple responses were allowed.
†Data on types of medicine received are not available for 15 women due to missing responses.
‡‘Unknown’ means the woman did not know what medication she took, either because she was never told or because she could not remember. Although the medication was unknown to her, it still could have been misoprostol or another abortifacient.
§The medication dosage could only be assessed among women who answered specific questions about the numbers of each type of pills they were given, and who either knew what medication(s) they were given or for whom we were able to, with reasonable confidence, parse out what medication(s) they were given based on their answers to related questions.
¶The administration route could only be assessed among women for whom we could identify the medication(s) given. Since WHO guidelines recommend that mifepristone be administered orally and misoprostol be administered buccally, vaginally or sublingually, any administration route instructions that diverge from these recommendations are considered suboptimal.

---

**Table 3** Per cent of women who reported drug sellers providing information about misoprostol or asking questions to assess eligibility for misoprostol prior to purchase

| Among women who completed both follow-up interviews (n=394) | % | n |
|----------------------------------------------------------|---|---|
| Women who received any instructions from the drug seller about how to take the medication | 77.9 | 307 |
| Women who took tablets according to drug sellers’ instructions | | |
| Followed instructions. | 75.9 | 299 |
| Did not follow instructions. | 2.0 | 8 |
| Did not receive instructions. | 22.1 | 87 |
| Women reporting the following items were covered during the interaction with the drug seller | | |
| Asked timing of last menstrual period. | 79.4 | 313 |
| Asked if she took a pregnancy test. | 74.1 | 292 |
| Informed that bleeding is an anticipated effect. | 66.5 | 262 |
| Informed that cramping is an anticipated effect. | 35.3 | 139 |
| Informed that severe bleeding could indicate a potential complication. | 12.9 | 51 |
| Informed that severe and persistent abdominal pain could indicate a potential complication. | 3.0 | 12 |
| Informed about use of pain medication. | 28.2 | 111 |
| Informed of potential allergic reactions. | 7.1 | 28 |
| Informed of contraindications. | 22.8 | 90 |
| Number of items listed above that were covered in women’s interaction with drug sellers | | |
| No core information | 9.6 | 38 |
| 1–3 items | 42.4 | 167 |
| 4–6 items | 45.2 | 178 |
| 7–8 items | 2.8 | 11 |
| 9 items | – | – |
| Mean SD | | |
| Adequacy of information scale score (out of 9 items) | 3.3 | 1.8 |
Table 4  Women’s experiences of potential complications after using medications obtained from drug sellers

| Among women who completed both follow-up interviews (n=394) | Women who experienced warning signs of potential complications |
|----------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                                          | %                 | n                  |
| Bleeding*                                                | 19.5              | 77                 |
| Cramping/abdominal pain†                                 | 2.0               | 8                  |
| Fever/chills‡                                            | 1.3               | 5                  |
| Foul smelling or coloured vaginal discharge§             | 0.5               | 2                  |
| Postabortion infection¶                                  | 3.8               | 15                 |

*Bleeding that could indicate potential complications is categorised as bleeding that soaked through more than two regular-sized pads in 2 hours, lasting for 12 hours after taking the medication.
†Abdominal pain and cramping that could indicate potential complications are categorised as follows: abdominal pain self-reported as greater than 5 on a 1–10 pain scale that lasted more than 24 hours after taking the medication and was not alleviated by taking pain medication, or abdominal pain self-reported as greater than 5 on a 1–10 pain scale that occurs with nausea and (vomiting or diarrhoea) that lasted more than 24 hours after taking the medication, or abdominal pain at the time of the last interview (~1 month after taking the medication), which was rated qualitatively by women as being ‘moderate’ or ‘severe’ or greater than 5 on the 1–10 pain scale and that had either lasted more than 6 days, or had not improved over time.
‡Fever and chills that could indicate potential complications are categorised as follows: fever or chills that lasted more than 24 hours after taking the medication, or any fever or chills still experienced at the time of the last interview (~1 month after taking the medication).
§Foul-smelling or discoloured vaginal discharge that could indicate potential complications is categorised as follows: foul-smelling or discoloured (not clear or white) discharge after taking the medication, or foul-smelling or discoloured discharge at the time of the last interview that had either lasted for more than 6 days or had not improved over time.
¶Postabortion infection was characterised as a combination of problematic abdominal pain or cramping, fever and chills, or vaginal discharge.

that they were no longer pregnant (table 5). The most common way women assessed the completeness of the abortion was by the return of their menstrual period (54%). Three hundred and sixty-nine women (94%) reported a complete abortion without any additional medical intervention about 1 month after taking the pills. Completion rates did not substantially vary according to the dosages women reported taking: 93% (n=160) of women who confirmed received less than 800 mcg of misoprostol reported complete abortions versus 97% (n=65) of women who received 800 mcg (online supplementary appendix C, table 3). If we assume 50% of the women lost to follow-up had a successful termination, then 91% of all 423 eligible women had a complete abortion, and if we assume none of them had a successful termination the rate of complete abortion drops to 87% (online supplementary appendix C, table 4).

Table 5  Women’s perceptions of pregnancy continuation and how they assessed the completeness of the abortion process

| Among women who completed both follow-up interviews (n=394) | Reasons given for believing pregnancy has ended (n=376)* |
|----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
|                                                          | %             | n       |
| Women who reported that they were no longer pregnant at the time of the second follow-up interview |
| Reasons given for believing pregnancy has ended (n=376)* |
| Woman’s period returned.                                  | 53.7          | 202     |
| Woman took a urine pregnancy test at home.               | 33.2          | 125     |
| Woman no longer has pregnancy symptoms.                 | 24.7          | 93      |
| Woman took a blood pregnancy test.                       | 16.0          | 60      |
| Woman passed the products of conception.                | 13.3          | 50      |
| Woman took a urine pregnancy test at a facility.        | 5.1           | 19      |
| Woman had a sonogram/ultrasound.                        | 4.0           | 15      |
| Woman got cleaned at a facility/surgical intervention.  | 1.9           | 7       |
| Other.                                                   | 0.8           | 3       |

*Multiple responses were allowed.

Alternatives to using misoprostol and willingness to use it again or recommend it to a friend in the future

Sixty per cent of women reported that they would have gone to a health facility for help had they not had access to misoprostol (not shown). Twenty-two women (6%) said they would have gone to a traditional practitioner, 17 would have drunk chemicals, 4 would have done excessive exercise and 2 reported that they would have inserted objects to self-induce abortion. About one-fourth of women (27%, n=105) said they would have done nothing.

Seventy per cent of women in the study reported that they would use misoprostol again. Of those who would not, 47% (n=56) said they would not terminate another pregnancy at all. Others (13–16%) thought that it would damage their health or fertility, reported that it was too painful or that they did not like the experience. Nevertheless, 85% of participants reported that they would recommend misoprostol to a friend.
DISCUSSION

To our knowledge, this is the first prospective study examining the experiences and health outcomes of women obtaining misoprostol from drug sellers in sub-Saharan Africa. Around two-thirds of eligible drug sellers were willing to recruit for the study, and 88% of eligible women completed the screener and both follow-up interviews. Although about 60% of our sample was not aware of MA pills prior to visiting the drug seller, for nearly everyone this encounter was their first and only attempt to end their pregnancy. Most women visited the drug seller by themselves, and a majority of them appeared to have been comfortable explicitly asking drug sellers for help to induce an abortion. Most women in our study relied on their interaction with the drug sellers as their only source of information, reported trusting the information drug sellers gave them about the drugs and following their instructions for use. More than one-fourth reported that they would have had an unwanted birth had they not had access to misoprostol, suggesting they would have lost the opportunity to achieve their preferred family size or their desired timing of giving birth. Additionally, for nearly 9% of women, having access to misoprostol was essential to avoid self-induction with highly unsafe methods such as sharp objects inserted into the vagina, drinking chemical substances or another method obtained from an herbalist or other traditional practitioner. Further, the majority of women reported that they would recommend misoprostol abortion to a friend, and, if necessary, use it in the future, suggesting high levels of satisfaction with this method of abortion. These findings suggest a relatively high level of autonomy for abortion care seeking among our sample compared with other studies and indicate the important role that drug sellers play as trusted sources of information and providers of MA in Lagos State. However, the appropriateness of the drug prescriptions and the quality of information provided to women by drug sellers were poor overall, as documented in other studies.12

Among women who completed both interviews, 94% reported complete abortion without surgical intervention. This finding is consistent with findings from other studies that assessed the effectiveness of self-managed misoprostol-only abortion.29 30 We were unable to assess the dosage received and instructions given on routes of administration for 37% and 42% of the sample, respectively. Among those who we could assess, at least two-thirds appeared to have received less than the first trimester recommended dose of 800 mcg misoprostol and/or were told they could ingest the misoprostol orally, which is a less effective route of administration than sublingually, buccally or vaginally.28 The medication’s high level of effectiveness despite the low doses reportedly used could be partially explained if most pregnancies were in second trimester, during which time the uterus is more sensitive to lower doses of misoprostol.31 The perceived success of the method could also be attributed to the longer length of follow-up in our study: generally, studies assessing MA effectiveness have followed up women around 2 weeks or sooner after taking the medication.17 32 Allowing for a longer follow-up period ensured that the medication had sufficient time to work in the body, and may have improved its success rate.32 Overall, even in the most conservative outcome for women lost to follow-up, the completeness rate of self-managed abortions in our study was 87% (online supplementary appendix C, table 4), which is within the effectiveness range for misoprostol based on recommended protocols in clinical settings (78%–90%).18–21

WHO’s task-sharing guidelines recognise that women have a role in self-management of the combined mifepristone and misoprostol abortion regimen if they have accurate information and access to a healthcare provider should they want or need at any point during the process, although pharmacy-alone provision is not explicitly recommended.3 However, no such self-management guidelines yet exist for misoprostol-only abortions, which was the regimen used by the majority of our study sample. We could not accurately assess their weeks of gestation; however, the majority of the sample had taken a pregnancy test, was able to follow instructions and, similar to findings from other studies,33 appeared to have been able to assess the completeness of termination. Almost all women retained for the duration of the study reported complete abortion at the 1-month follow-up. While most women did not report needing or wanting postabortion care, we were unable to clinically verify the proportion that actually experienced complications. The findings suggest that perceptions of needing care may be related to information, or lack thereof, they received from drug sellers about potential complications and care-seeking needs. Nearly half (48%) of those who reported needing medical care had been warned by drug sellers about complications, compared with only 23% of those who did not report needing care. However, the results show that nearly all women who reported wanting or needing care were able to access it, and most of those who sought care were monitored or given pain medications, suggesting that they presented with symptoms that were either a normal part of the abortion process or that reflected very minor complications.

Our study had many methodological challenges and limitations. The study LGAs were purposively selected, so our sample was not representative of Lagos State. We had little information on women who dropped out and were hence unable to ascertain how they differed from women who completed the entire study. Our sample only included women who were recruited by drug sellers who admitted to selling misoprostol and then agreed to participate in recruiting women, and who may have had different practices from those who did not admit to selling or agree to recruit women, which could have potentially biased our results (online supplementary appendix C, table 5). We were able to verify the medication purchased for about three-fourths of the women. However, we hypothesised that a majority of the women in our study obtained misoprostol because this was the criterion for recruitment on
which we trained drug sellers, and we did not see many differences in key indicators between the women who we were able to verify had purchased misoprostol and those who we could not verify (online supplementary appendix C, table 2). Measures for dosage and route of administration were based on women’s self-report of the number of pills they took and instructions they were given, which may have been subject to recall bias and/or misinterpretation. These limitations were, in part, balanced by the strengths of the study, including its prospective design and its focus on women’s direct experiences rather than relying on third party reporting. However, our overall reliance on self-reported measures for many of our core outcomes makes it difficult to determine the extent to which our findings will correlate with clinical data and must be interpreted with caution.

CONCLUSION

Worldwide, there is a paucity of research assessing the processes by which women obtain MA (either the combined method or misoprostol alone) for self-management of abortion and prospectively documenting their outcomes. Our success with drug seller engagement and women’s recruitment is high compared with previous studies.\(^{17,26}\) While this success may be due in part to the urban context of Lagos and the relatively liberal environment for drugstores and medication access generally in Nigeria, our experience suggests that prospective studies on misoprostol abortion in highly restrictive legal settings with similar attributes may be feasible. While our findings may not be generalisable to the entire female population in Lagos, they do provide valuable insights into the experiences of women who self-manage their abortions using misoprostol, from their own perspectives. The majority of our sample purchased misoprostol, took the medication according to the instructions, reported that drug sellers were their main source of information during the process and appeared to have been able to successfully complete their abortions with minimal complications. Recognising the limitations of self-reported outcomes, and given evidence from clinical trials suggesting that as many as 20% of women may experience an incomplete termination using misoprostol alone, it is an important harm reduction strategy to ensure that people who self-manage their MAs have adequate and correct information on warning signs of complications as well as access to postabortion care. Interventions to improve drug sellers’ knowledge of best practices related to MA may be an important part of the effort to improve their quality of services and the health and well-being of their clients, especially in similar settings where drug sellers are relied on for basic medical care. However, future research should explore ways to more objectively capture data relating to the duration of pregnancy, drug dosage and clinical outcomes in addition to documenting individuals’ experiences using the method. It is likely that the self-use of misoprostol will remain an important option for people, especially where abortion is legally restricted. More research is needed to expand the evidence on the safety and effectiveness of self-managed misoprostol abortion in similarly restrictive contexts, and to help inform the development of approaches and mechanisms to facilitate optimal outcomes for those using this method.
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