Anodic Aluminum Oxide Membrane-Assisted Fabrication of $\beta$-In$_2$S$_3$ Nanowires
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Abstract In this study, $\beta$-In$_2$S$_3$ nanowires were first synthesized by sulfurizing the pure Indium (In) nanowires in an AAO membrane. As FE-SEM results, $\beta$-In$_2$S$_3$ nanowires are highly ordered, arranged tightly corresponding to the high porosity of the AAO membrane used. The diameter of the $\beta$-In$_2$S$_3$ nanowires is about 60 nm with the length of about 6–8 μm. Moreover, the aspect ratio of $\beta$-In$_2$S$_3$ nanowires is up to 117. An EDS analysis revealed the $\beta$-In$_2$S$_3$ nanowires with an atomic ratio of nearly S/In = 1.5. X-ray diffraction and corresponding selected area electron diffraction patterns demonstrated that the $\beta$-In$_2$S$_3$ nanowire is tetragonal polycrystalline. The direct band gap energy ($E_g$) is 2.40 eV from the optical measurement, and it is reasonable with literature.
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Introduction

Nowadays, one-dimensional (1-D) nanomaterials (nano-rods, nanowires, nanobelts and nanotubes) have been attractive due to their physical and chemical properties. These nanostructures in particular show results in electronics [1], magnetic [2], optics, etc., that have great potential applications in the next generation of nanodevices [3]. Various methods such as chemical vapor deposition (CVD), laser ablation, thermal evaporation, hydrothermal process, and anodic aluminum oxide (AAO) membrane-assisted synthesis method have been employed to prepare 1-D nanomaterials. AAO membrane-based assembling has been widely applied in recent years to produce nanowires with extremely long length and high aspect ratios, and it also provides a simple, rapid and cheap way for fabricating nanowires as aligned arrays [4, 5].

Indium sulphide (In$_2$S$_3$) is an III-VI compound material, and it exists in three phases at normal pressure: $\alpha$ (cubic), $\beta$ (tetragonal) and $\gamma$ (trigonal) [6]. $\beta$-In$_2$S$_3$, an ordered-defect superstructure of the defect-spinel $\alpha$-In$_2$S$_3$, is stable below 420 °C [6], whereas $\gamma$-In$_2$S$_3$ is only stable above 754 °C, unless by adding As or Sb [7]. $\beta$-In$_2$S$_3$ crystal is known an n-type semiconductor with the band gap energy of 2.0 eV [6]. Furthermore, its photoconductive properties make it a promising candidate for photovoltaic applications such as solar cells [8]. Solar cell devices prepared by using $\beta$-In$_2$S$_3$ as a buffer layer show 16.4% conversion efficiency, which is very close to that of the standard CdS buffer layer [9].

A variety of methods have been developed into synthesized In$_2$S$_3$ powder, thin films, and nanofibers. Powder of $\beta$-In$_2$S$_3$ was synthesized using indium chloride (InCl$_3$) and thioacetamide (CH$_3$CSNH$_2$) as precursors via the sono-chemical route [10]. $\beta$-In$_2$S$_3$ thin films were prepared using the CSP technique, and the spray solutions were mixtures of indium chloride (InCl$_3$) and thiourea (CS(NH$_2$)$_2$) [11]. In addition, $\beta$-In$_2$S$_3$ nanofibers were prepared using indium chloride and thiourea as source precursors by hydrothermal method with AAO membrane at 150 °C for 15 h. [12]. However, $\beta$-In$_2$S$_3$ nanowires were fabricated within AAO template synthesis, using the electrodeposition and sulfurizing methods that are not yet reported.
To fabricate the $\beta$-In$_2$S$_3$ nanowires, an effective and economical technique-anodic alumina oxide (AAO) membrane-assisted method was utilized in this study. The microstructure and optical properties of $\beta$-In$_2$S$_3$ nanowires are discussed.

**Experimental**

Porous anodic aluminum oxide (AAO) membrane with average channel diameter of 60 nm and thickness of 25 $\mu$m was fabricated by two-step anodization process as described previously [4, 5]. First, high purity aluminum sheet (99.9995%) was anodized in the oxalic acid solution under constant voltage 40 V for several hours. Subsequently, the anodized Al sheet was put into H$_3$PO$_4$ solution to completely remove the alumina layer. The AAO membrane can be fabricated by repeating the anodization process under the same conditions of the first step anodization. After the anodization, the AAO membrane was obtained by etching away the underlying aluminum substrates with HgCl$_2$ solution. The transparent AAO membrane was immersed in H$_3$PO$_4$ solution to widen the nanochannels. Finally, the diameter of the nanochannel was about 60 nm.

In order to prepare for pure Indium (In) nanowires, a layer of Pt was sputtered onto one side of the membrane acting as the working electrode in a standard two-electrode electrochemical cell. Pure Indium (In) was electrodeposited inside the nanochannels of the AAO membrane under constant voltage, using an electrolyte containing In(SO$_4$)$_3$, H$_3$BO$_3$, and distilled water. The AAO membrane with pure Indium (In) nanowires was washed with distilled water and air dried, put into a glass tube and together with sulfur powder (99.99%). The glass tube was evacuated by using a pump, and it was placed into the furnace. The samples were then heated from room temperature (heating rate: 5°C/min) to 500°C for 10 h. Figure 1b shows a typical FE-SEM image for the cross-section image of $\beta$-In$_2$S$_3$ nanowires. It can be clearly seen that the $\beta$-In$_2$S$_3$ nanowires were wellfilled in nanochannels of the AAO membrane. The length of the $\beta$-In$_2$S$_3$ nanowires was in the average range 6–8 $\mu$m with the aspect ratio around 117. Figure 1c reveals the high-magnification FE-SEM image, it can be observed that the $\beta$-In$_2$S$_3$ nanowires were independent and parallel to each other with the diameter, which is about 60 nm. The EDS spectrum of the $\beta$-In$_2$S$_3$ nanowires is not shown. The result of EDS measurement reveals the atomic ratio of In and S with 41.79:58.21 and is very close to 1:1.5, which is in good agreement with the XRD result. After dissolving the AAO membrane by thorough chemical etching and followed by rinsing with distilled water, the $\beta$-In$_2$S$_3$ nanowires are still on the conducting substrate surface (Pt). Figure 1d reveals the $\beta$-In$_2$S$_3$ nanowires without AAO membrane. When the AAO membrane was dissolved away, the individual $\beta$-In$_2$S$_3$ nanowires were observed almost the same with the diameter of the channels of the AAO membrane. Thus, it can be seen the dimension of the $\beta$-In$_2$S$_3$ nanowires was controlled by AAO membrane.

Figure 2 shows the X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) spectra of the as-prepared $\beta$-In$_2$S$_3$ nanowires without AAO membrane, which makes the crystal structure of the $\beta$-In$_2$S$_3$ nanowires convenient for characterization. All the peaks were indexed as the reported data for $\beta$-In$_2$S$_3$ (JCPDS Card no. 32-0456). After the pure Indium (In) nanowires were completely sulfurized, no diffraction peaks of In and S or other impurities have been found. Furthermore, the In peaks totally disappear and the $\beta$-In$_2$S$_3$ peaks appear after sulfurize the pure Indium (In) nanowires. Metal oxides such as In$_2$O$_3$ nanowires have been prepared by oxidation of pure Indium (In) nanowires [13], and it is generally accepted that the chemical reaction of oxidation and sulfurization are similar to each other. Hence, the technique used here for formation of $\beta$-In$_2$S$_3$ is understandable. The reaction equation of $\beta$-In$_2$S$_3$ nanowires can be expressed as follows:

$$\beta$$-In$_2$S$_3$ nanowires were completely sulfurized, no diffraction peaks of In and S or other impurities have been found. Further-
In which the S atoms would react with In atoms at high temperatures to form $\beta$-In$_2$S$_3$ nanowires. The completeness of reaction is mainly dominated by time and temperature, long periods and high temperatures of the sulfurization process were needed to prepare the fine crystalline $\beta$-In$_2$S$_3$ nanowires. We observed that the $\beta$-In$_2$S$_3$ nanowires were completely formed when the sulfurization time reached to 10 h and the temperatures up to 500 °C.

Detailed information on the microstructure of as-prepared $\beta$-In$_2$S$_3$ nanowires was obtained by HR-TEM. Low-magnification HR-TEM image (Fig. 3a) illustrates the numerous $\beta$-In$_2$S$_3$ nanowires. Figure 3b reveals the HR-TEM image of an individual $\beta$-In$_2$S$_3$ nanowire. The diameter of the nanowire is about 60 nm. In Fig. 3c, the corresponding SAED pattern of an individual nanowire exhibits a polycrystalline. Moreover, the concentric diffraction rings could be indexed outwards as (2 2 12), (1 0 9), (0 0 12) and (1 0 3) lattice planes of tetragonal $\beta$-In$_2$S$_3$. The HR-TEM image of the nanowires (Fig. 3d) shows the parallel fringes with a spacing of 0.1913 nm, which can be assigned to the (2 2 12) plane of $\beta$-In$_2$S$_3$.

Figure 4 shows the UV/Visible/NIR absorption spectra of the as-grown $\beta$-In$_2$S$_3$ nanowires, recorded in the wavelength range 200–900 nm, which obviously reveals a broad absorption peak around 350 nm. Recently, Shen et al. reported that the bulk of In$_2$S$_3$ was prepared by In(NO$_3$)$_3$·4.5H$_2$O with H$_2$S at 300 °C for 3 h in the muffle oven [14]. They observed an absorption onset of bulk In$_2$S$_3$ at 650 nm. In addition, In$_2$S$_3$ colloidal thin films were synthesized by the spin-coating method [15]. They prepared a yellow colloid suspension by adding H$_2$S gas into an InCl$_3$ solution, containing a small amount of acetic acid as a stabilizer. The thin film was heated at 400 °C for 30 min under a N$_2$ atmosphere. In$_2$S$_3$ colloidal thin films have an absorption edge at about 550 nm. Furthermore, flowerlike $\beta$-In$_2$S$_3$ assembled with nanoflakes were synthesized by the hydrothermal method using InCl$_3$·4H$_2$O and L-cysteine [16].
The absorption peak of In$_2$S$_3$ flowerlike is located at 395 nm. In addition, In$_2$S$_3$ hollow spheres were prepared by dodecanethiol-assisted hydrothermal process at 180°C for 12 h, employing InCl$_3$·4H$_2$O and L-cysteine as precursors [17]. They observed an absorption peak of In$_2$S$_3$ hollow spheres located at 251 nm. However, our value of absorption peak is between the largest value of bulk (650 nm) and the smallest value of hollow spheres (251 nm). Our value of absorption peak is reasonable with literature [14–17].

In order to determine the band gap energy ($E_g$) of the β-In$_2$S$_3$ nanowires, the optical absorption coefficient ($\alpha$) at the absorption edge may be expressed as [18]:

$$\alpha h\nu = A(h\nu - E_g)^m$$

where $h\nu$ is the photon energy, $A$ is the optical transition dependent constant, $E_g$ is the optical band gap, and $m$ is a constant that depends on the types of transitions involved. The values of $m = 1/2, 3/2, 2,$ and 3 are for direct allowed, direct forbidden, indirect allowed, and indirect forbidden transitions, respectively. This equation gives band gap ($E_g$), when straight portion of $(\alpha h\nu)^2$ versus $h\nu$ plot is extrapolated to the point $\alpha = 0$. The analysis of the absorption spectra obtained for our samples shows that the spectral variation of the absorption coefficient, within the fundamental absorption region can be fitted by Eq. 2.
However, $m = 3/2$, 2, and 3, the band gap energies were found to be a negative number, which is not reasonable in physics. The inset in Fig. 4 shows the $(ahv)^2$ against $hv$ plot. Relationship fitting to the absorption spectra of $\beta$-In$_2$S$_3$ as $m = 1/2$, which is the allowed direct transition for these nanowires. In this inset figure, we observed that the curve has a very good straight line fit from 2.7 to 2.9 eV. This result indicates that the optical energy gap is direct transition. We also observed that the curve has been breakaway this line range when the photon energy is above 2.9 eV. The band gap energy ($E_g$) of $\beta$-In$_2$S$_3$ nanowires with diameter of about 60 nm is estimated to be 2.40 eV as $m = 1/2$ for extrapolation. In literature [19], powder of $\beta$-In$_2$S$_3$ was synthesized using InCl$_3$ and CH$_3$CSNH$_2$ as precursors followed by annealing in Ar atmosphere in the temperature range 573–1,123 K. The grain size of powder varied in the range 0.40–1.48 $\mu$m for different annealing temperatures. The band gap energy of $\beta$-In$_2$S$_3$ powder for various grain sizes was between 2.12 and 2.14 eV. Moreover, thin films of In$_2$S$_3$ were prepared from In$_2$S$_3$ powder using vacuum evaporation with resistively heated graphite crucible [20]. The average grain sizes of In$_2$S$_3$ thin film were around 0.22 $\mu$m. The optical band gaps of In$_2$S$_3$ thin film for different annealing temperatures and times were between 1.75 and 2.19 eV. $\beta$-In$_2$S$_3$ films were prepared by rapid heating of metallic Indium (In) films in H$_2$S atmosphere [21]. The grain sizes of the $\beta$-In$_2$S$_3$ films were in the range 22–30 nm. The films exhibit the higher band gap of 2.58 eV. Consequently, our band gap energy of $\beta$-In$_2$S$_3$ nanowires with 60 nm is between the largest band gap energy with grain sizes around 22–30 nm (2.58 eV) and the smallest band gap energy with grain sizes around 0.22 $\mu$m (1.75 eV). Our band gap energy of $\beta$-In$_2$S$_3$ nanowires with 60 nm is acceptable with literature [19–21].

**Conclusions**

In summary, we have presented a simple, inexpensive, and reasonable method to fabricate $\beta$-In$_2$S$_3$ nanowires. The $\beta$-In$_2$S$_3$ nanowires were first fabricated by sulfurizing the pure Indium (In) nanowires at 500 °C for 10 h, which is embedded in an AAO membrane. $\beta$-In$_2$S$_3$ nanowires have high wire packing densities with uniform wire diameters and lengths of about 60 nm and 6–8 $\mu$m, respectively. The analysis of the HR-TEM/SAED revealed that the $\beta$-In$_2$S$_3$ nanowire is polycrystalline. The $\beta$-In$_2$S$_3$ nanowires exhibited a linear relationship at 2.7–2.9 eV as $m = 1/2$, indicating that the direct band gap energy is 2.40 eV.
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