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Abstract

Background: Autoimmune hemolytic anemia (AIHA) is a rare autoimmune disease in which autoantibodies target red blood cells leading to marked decrease in their lifespan. The classification of AIHA is based on the immunochemical properties of the RBC autoantibody. Warm antibody AIHA (wAIHA) accounts for 75-80% of all adult AIHA cases. The treatment of wAIHA is mainly corticosteroids. Our retrospective study aimed to study the clinical profile and management of wAIHA.

Methods: Data of 75 patients admitted with wAIHA or presented to outpatient department (previous medical records) with wAIHA between January 2003 and January 2016 were analyzed.

Results: In our study, females constituted 12 and 26 patients of primary and secondary wAIHA, while males constituted 17 and 20 patients of primary and secondary wAIHA, respectively. Mean hemoglobin level at AIHA onset was found to be 7.1 ± 1.7 g/dL in primary wAIHA group and 6.3 ± 1.2 g/dL in secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically significant. Splenectomy was used as mode of treatment in one (3.4%) patient of primary wAIHA group and 15 (32.60%) patients of secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically significant. Mean age of wAIHA onset was 69.7 ± 21.5 years in wAIHA group secondary to lymphoma and 54.3 ± 25.7 years in other wAIHA group, which is statistically significant.

Conclusion: The most common causes of secondary wAIHA are B-cell lymphoma, systemic lupus erythematosus, rheumatoid arthritis, chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), common variable immune deficiency, renal cell carcinoma and secondary to drug usage (alpha methyl dopa and carbamazepine), respectively. Reducing the cumulative dose of corticosteroids with second line treatment whenever possible and therefore reducing the risk of sepsis, specifically in older patients with comorbidities will reduce morbidity and mortality.
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Introduction

Hemolysis means the destruction of erythrocytes prematurely. A hemolytic anemia will develop if erythrocyte loss cannot be compensated by bone marrow. The severity depends on the onset of hemolysis (gradual or abrupt) and the extent of erythrocyte destruction. Mild hemolysis usually is asymptomatic while severe hemolysis can be life threatening and can cause cardiopulmonary decapsulation. Autoimmune hemolytic anemia (AIHA) and hereditary spherocytosis are due to extravascular hemolysis because the RBCs are destroyed in the spleen and other reticuloendothelial tissues [1].

Hemolytic anemia occurs due to many causes [2-12]. AIHA occurs due to warm or cold autoantibody types and, rarely, mixed type [13, 14]. In a recent population-based study [15], the incidence was found to be 0.8/100,000/year, but the prevalence is found to be 17/100,000 [16]. Warm antibody autoimmune hemolytic anemia (wAIHA) is the most common of the autoimmune hemolytic diseases [17].

AIHA can affect children (usually below age of 5) and adults, with an annual incidence of 1 - 3 per 100,000 individuals [18, 19]. The classification of AIHA is based on the immunochemical properties of the RBC autoantibody [20, 21]. WAIHA accounts for about 75-80% of all adult AIHA cases [18, 20]. AIHA is subdivided into primary (or idiopathic) and secondary depending on the presence of an associated and potentially causative disorder. In previous studies, many diseases and conditions were found to be associated with wAIHA, and secondary forms of wAIHA are considered to represent about 50% of all wAIHA cases [22].
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The diagnosis of AIHA is based on the following laboratory findings: normocytic or macrocytic anemia, reticulocytosis, low serum haptoglobin levels, elevated lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) level, increased indirect bilirubin level, and a positive direct antiglobulin test (DAT) with a broad-spectrum antibody against immunoglobulin and complement (Fig. 1). Secondary cases may not have all of the typical laboratory findings of AIHA [23]. Two questions required for are treatment decision: 1) The type of the antibody involved? 2) Is it primary or secondary AIHA?

The type of antibody is identified with the use of monospecific antibodies to immunoglobulin G (IgG) and C3d. When the RBCs are coated with IgG or IgG plus C3d, the antibody is mostly a warm antibody (wAIHA). When the RBCs are coated with C3d only, the antibody is often, but not always a cold antibody. For definite diagnosis of a cold antibody AIHA (cAIHA), the cold agglutinin titer should be elevated (>1:512). Diagnosis may be difficult in some patients with IgM warm antibodies, cold antibodies with low titers, DAT negativity, or Donath-Landsteiner antibodies. The type of antibodies and diseases underlying are represented in Table 1 [24-38].

The first line of treatment of newly diagnosed primary wAIHA is glucocorticoids. An initial dose of 1 mg/kg/day prednisone (PDN) is given orally or methylprednisolone intravenously. This initial dose is administered until the hematocrit reaches greater than 30% or a hemoglobin (Hb) level above 10 g/dL is reached. Normalization of Hb is not required. If the goal described above is not attained in 3 weeks, second-line treatment is started as further response with glucocorticoid treatment is not likely [39]. Once the treatment goal is attained, the dose of PDN is tapered to 20 - 30 mg/day within a few weeks.

Further dose of PDN is tapered slowly (by 2.5 - 5 mg/day per month) with monitoring of Hb and reticulocyte counts. An alternate-day regimen may further reduce the adverse effects of steroids. If the patient is in remission even after 3 - 4 months at a dose of 5 mg of PDN per day, an attempt to withdraw steroids is done. All patients on steroid therapy should receive vitamin D, bisphosphonates, and calcium from the beginning according to the recommendation of the American College of Rheumatology (ACR). Supplementation of folic acid is also recommended.

This retrospective study which evaluated 75 patients was conducted to study clinical profile, epidemiological and laboratory features of patients with wAIHA and mode of management, complications and prognostic factors.

Methods

The retrospective study analyzed data of patients admitted with wAIHA or presented to outpatient department (previous medical records) with wAIHA between January 2002 and January 2016. Data were pooled from seven hospitals. The medical records were analyzed for the demographic data (age and sex), clinical features, co-morbid conditions, investigations, mode and results of the treatment and complications of the procedures. A total of 81 patients fulfilled the clinical and diagnostic criteria of wAIHA of which 75 patients met the diagnostic certainty for wAIHA.

The eligibility criteria include the following: 1) age ≥ 16 years at the time of diagnosis; 2) diagnosis of AIHA defined by an Hb level ≤ 11 g/dL with laboratory features suggestive of hemolysis (low haptoglobin level and/or elevated LDH level and/or elevated bilirubin level) and a positive DAT result with
an IgG or IgG1 C3d pattern; 3) absence of any other cause of hereditary or acquired hemolytic anemia. Patients with a history of Evans’ syndrome defined by sequential or simultaneous immune thrombocytopenia (ITP) [40] were included if the above criteria for wAIHA were fulfilled. Patients with C3d type only positive DAT result due to the presence of cold agglutinins were excluded. The diagnosis of other associated autoimmune disease was based on the ACR revised classification criteria for systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE), updated international criteria for definite primary antiphospholipid syndrome [41], and the European criteria for primary Sjogren syndrome [42].

Treatment efficacy was assessed based on the following criteria. A complete response (CR) was defined as Hb level ≥ 12 g/dL without blood transfusion recently and without hemolysis features (normal levels of bilirubin, LDH, and haptoglobin). A partial response (PR) was defined as Hb level ≥ 10 g/dL with an increase of minimum of 2 g from baseline and persistent hemolysis. At the end of the follow-up, complete remission was defined as a lasting CR without any treatment and partial remission as the need for a daily dose of PDN less than 10 mg to maintain at least a long-term remission. Corticosteroid dependency was defined as the need for long-term PDN to maintain at least a response or as early relapse (within 3 months) after withdrawal of PDN. In every other situation, patients were considered non-responders and/or as having active disease.

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 16 program. Data analyses were performed using Shapiro-Wilk tests, Chi-square tests, Fischer’s exact tests, and Wilcoxon two-sample tests on SAS and Graph Pad. P-value was considered significant if < 0.05. The study was performed in accordance with the ethical guidelines of the Helsinki Declaration and was approved by our ethics committee.

Table 1. Prevalence and Type of Antibodies in Secondary AIHA in Adults

| Underlying disorder | Prevalence of AIHA | wAIHA | cAIHA | References |
|---------------------|-------------------|-------|-------|------------|
| CLL                 | 2.3-4.3%          | 87%   | 7%    | [24, 25]   |
| NHL (except CLL)    | 2.6%              | More common | Less common | [26]       |
| IgM gammopathy      | 1.1%              | No    | All   | [27]       |
| Hodgkin lymphoma    | 0.19-1.7%         | Almost all | Rare   | [28]       |
| Solid tumors        | Very rare         | 2/3   | 1/3   | [29]       |
| Ovarian dermoid cyst| Very rare         | All   | No    | [30]       |
| SLE                 | 6.1%              | Almost all | Rare   | [31]       |
| Ulcerative colitis  | 1.7%              | All   | No    | [32]       |
| CVID                | 5.5%              | All   | No    | [33]       |
| ALPD                | 50%               | All   | No    | [34]       |
| After allogeneic SCT| 4.4%              | Yes   | Yes   | [35]       |
| After organ transplant | 5.6% (pancreas) | Yes   | No    | [36]       |
| Drug-induced in CLL | 2.9-10.5%        | Almost all | Rare   | [37]       |
| Interferon α        | Incidence: 11.5/100,000 patient-years | All | 0     | [38]       |

NHL: non-Hodgkin lymphoma; SLE: systemic lupus erythematosus; CVID: common variable immune deficiency; ALPD: autoimmune lymphoproliferative disease; SCT: stem cell transplantation.

Results

In our study, females constituted 12 and 26 patients of primary and secondary wAIHA, while males constituted 17 and 20 patients of primary and secondary wAIHA, respectively (P > 0.05). The common clinical characteristics of primary and secondary wAIHA patients are represented in Table 2. Mean age of wAIHA onset was 51.7 ± 20.5 years in primary wAIHA group and 54.3 ± 25.7 years in secondary wAIHA, which is statistically not significant. Regarding clinical symptoms at the time of onset, 93.10% (27) in primary wAIHA group and 67.39% (31) in secondary wAIHA group reported it.

Anemia was diagnosed in 72.41% (21) of primary wAIHA group and 63.04% (29) of secondary wAIHA group. Jaundice or discolored urine was found in 37.93% (11) of primary wAIHA group and 32.60% (15) of secondary wAIHA group. Regarding chest pain or acute coronary syndrome at the time of onset, 10.34% (three) in primary wAIHA group and 10.86% (five) in secondary wAIHA group reported it. Mean Hb level at AIHA onset was found to be 7.1 ± 1.7 g/dL in primary wAIHA group and 6.3 ± 1.2 g/dL in secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically significant.

Mean reticulocyte level at AIHA onset was found to be 323 ± 179 × 10⁹/L in primary wAIHA group and 262 ± 156 × 10⁹/L in secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically significant. Mean corpuscular volume (MCV) level at AIHA onset was found to be 109 ± 16 fl in primary wAIHA group and 104 ± 18 fl in secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant. Decreased level of haptoglobin was found in 27 (93.10%) patients of primary wAIHA group and 43 (93.47%) patients of secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant.

Increased LDH level was found in 29 (100%) patients of
primary wAIHA group and 42 (91.30%) patients of secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant. Increased bilirubin level was found in 25 (86.20%) patients of primary wAIHA group and 39 (84.78%) patients of secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant. Regarding DAT pattern, IgG antibody was found in 15 (51.72%) patients of primary wAIHA group and 15 (32.60%) patients of secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant. IgG antibodies and complement (C3d) were found in 14 (48.27%) patients of primary wAIHA group and 30 (65.21%) patients of secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant. Only C3d was found in 0% patients of primary wAIHA group and one (2.17%) patient of secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant. IgA antibody was found in 0% patients of primary wAIHA group and two (4.34%) patients of secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant. Regarding the treatment given to wAIHA patients, blood transfusion was administered in 20 (68.96%) patients of primary wAIHA group and 34 (73.91%) patients of secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant. Response to corticosteroid was observed in 28 (96.55%) patients of primary wAIHA group and 40 (86.95%) patients of secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant.

### Table 2. Comparison of Characteristics of Patients With Primary and Secondary wAIHA

| Characteristics | Primary wAIHA | Secondary wAIHA | P value |
|-----------------|---------------|----------------|---------|
| Females         | 12            | 26             | > 0.05  |
| Males           | 17            | 20             | > 0.05  |
| Mean age at wAIHA onset in years | 51.7 ± 20.5  | 54.3 ± 25.7    | > 0.05  |
| Clinical features at the time of onset, n (%) | 27 (93.10%)  | 31 (67.39%)    | > 0.05  |
| Anemia, n (%)   | 21 (72.41%)   | 29 (63.04%)    | > 0.05  |
| Jaundice/dark urine, n (%) | 11 (37.93%)  | 15 (32.60%)    | > 0.05  |
| Chest pain/ACS, n (%) | 3 (10.34%)   | 5 (10.86%)     |         |

#### Characteristics of patients at onset

| Mean hemoglobin level at AIHA onset (g/dL) | 7.1 ± 1.7 | 6.3 ± 1.2 | 0.029 |
| Mean reticulocyte level at AIHA onset (× 10^6/L) | 323 ± 179 | 262 ± 156 | > 0.05 |
| Mean MCV level at AIHA onset (fL) | 109 ± 16 | 104 ± 18 | > 0.05 |
| Decreased level of haptoglobin (%) | 27 (93.10%) | 43 (93.47%) | > 0.05 |
| Increased LDH level (%) | 29 (100%) | 42 (91.30%) | > 0.05 |
| Increased Bilirubin level (%) | 25 (86.20%) | 39 (84.78%) | > 0.05 |

#### DAT pattern

| IgG | 15 (51.72%) | 15 (32.60%) | > 0.05 |
| IgG + C3d | 14 (48.27%) | 30 (65.21%) | > 0.05 |
| C3d | 0% | 1 (2.17%) | |
| IgA | 0% | 2 (4.34%) | |

#### Treatment administered

| Blood transfusion | 20 (68.96%) | 34 (73.91%) | > 0.05 |
| Response to corticosteroid (%) | 28 (96.55%) | 40 (86.95%) | > 0.05 |
| Dependence on corticosteroid (%) | 17 (58.62%) | 32 (69.56%) | > 0.05 |
| Complete response to corticosteroid (%) | 20 (68.96%) | 30 (65.21%) | > 0.05 |
| Second line treatment (%) | 19 (65.51%) | 32 (69.56%) | > 0.05 |
| Rituximab usage | 14 (48.27%) | 23 (50%) | > 0.05 |
| Splenectomy | 1 (3.4%) | 15 (32.60%) | < 0.05 |
| Disease remission at last consultation | 22 (75.86%) | 33 (71.76%) | > 0.05 |
| Complete remission of AIHA | 14 (48.27%) | 23 (50%) | > 0.05 |
| Partial remission of AIHA | 8 (27.58%) | 12 (26.08%) | > 0.05 |
| Active disease | 8 (27.58%) | 14 (30.43%) | > 0.05 |
| Venous thrombosis | 4 (13.79%) | 11 (23.91%) | > 0.05 |
| Deaths | 3 (10.34%) | 4 (8.69%) | > 0.05 |
secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant. Dependence on corticosteroid was observed in 17 (58.62%) patients of primary wAIHA group and 32 (69.56%) patients of secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant. CR to corticosteroid was observed in 20 (68.96%) patients of primary wAIHA group and 30 (65.21%) patients of secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant. Second-line treatment was administered in 19 (65.51%) patients of primary wAIHA group and 32 (69.56%) patients of secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant. Rituximab was administered in 14 (48.27%) patients of primary wAIHA group and 23 (50%) patients of secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant. Splenectomy was used as mode of treatment in one (3.4%) patient of primary wAIHA group and 15 (32.60%) patients of secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant. Splenectomy was used as mode of treatment in one (3.4%) patient of primary wAIHA group and 15 (32.60%) patients of secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant. Splenectomy was used as mode of treatment in one (3.4%) patient of primary wAIHA group and 15 (32.60%) patients of secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant. Splenectomy was used as mode of treatment in one (3.4%) patient of primary wAIHA group and 15 (32.60%) patients of secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant. Splenectomy was used as mode of treatment in one (3.4%) patient of primary wAIHA group and 15 (32.60%) patients of secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant. Splenectomy was used as mode of treatment in one (3.4%) patient of primary wAIHA group and 15 (32.60%) patients of secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant. Splenectomy was used as mode of treatment in one (3.4%) patient of primary wAIHA group and 15 (32.60%) patients of secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant. Splenectomy was used as mode of treatment in one (3.4%) patient of primary wAIHA group and 15 (32.60%) patients of secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant. Splenectomy was used as mode of treatment in one (3.4%) patient of primary wAIHA group and 15 (32.60%) patients of secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant. Splenectomy was used as mode of treatment in one (3.4%) patient of primary wAIHA group and 15 (32.60%) patients of secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant. Splenectomy was used as mode of treatment in one (3.4%) patient of primary wAIHA group and 15 (32.60%) patients of secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant. Splenectomy was used as mode of treatment in one (3.4%) patient of primary wAIHA group and 15 (32.60%) patients of secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant. Splenectomy was used as mode of treatment in one (3.4%) patient of primary wAIHA group and 15 (32.60%) patients of secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant. Splenectomy was used as mode of treatment in one (3.4%) patient of primary wAIHA group and 15 (32.60%) patients of secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant. Splenectomy was used as mode of treatment in one (3.4%) patient of primary wAIHA group and 15 (32.60%) patients of secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant. Splenectomy was used as mode of treatment in one (3.4%) patient of primary wAIHA group and 15 (32.60%) patients of secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant. Splenectomy was used as mode of treatment in one (3.4%) patient of primary wAIHA group and 15 (32.60%) patients of secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant. Splenectomy was used as mode of treatment in one (3.4%) patient of primary wAIHA group and 15 (32.60%) patients of secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant. Splenectomy was used as mode of treatment in one (3.4%) patient of primary wAIHA group and 15 (32.60%) patients of secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant. Splenectomy was used as mode of treatment in one (3.4%) patient of primary wAIHA group and 15 (32.60%) patients of secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant. Splenectomy was used as mode of treatment in one (3.4%) patient of primary wAIHA group and 15 (32.60%) patients of secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant. Splenectomy was used as mode of treatment in one (3.4%) patient of primary wAIHA group and 15 (32.60%) patients of secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant. Splenectomy was used as mode of treatment in one (3.4%) patient of primary wAIHA group and 15 (32.60%) patients of secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant. Splenectomy was used as mode of treatment in one (3.4%) patient of primary wAIHA group and 15 (32.60%) patients of secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant. Splenectomy was used as mode of treatment in one (3.4%) patient of primary wAIHA group and 15 (32.60%) patients of secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant. Splenectomy was used as mode of treatment in one (3.4%) patient of primary wAIHA group and 15 (32.60%) patients of secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant. Splenectomy was used as mode of treatment in one (3.4%) patient of primary wAIHA group and 15 (32.60%) patients of secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant. Splenectomy was used as mode of treatment in one (3.4%) patient of primary wAIHA group and 15 (32.60%) patients of secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant. Splenectomy was used as mode of treatment in one (3.4%) patient of primary wAIHA group and 15 (32.60%) patients of secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant. Splenectomy was used as mode of treatment in one (3.4%) patient of primary wAIHA group and 15 (32.60%) patients of secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant. Splenectomy was used as mode of treatment in one (3.4%) patient of primary wAIHA group and 15 (32.60%) patients of secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant. Splenectomy was used as mode of treatment in one (3.4%) patient of primary wAIHA group and 15 (32.60%) patients of secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant. Splenectomy was used as mode of treatment in one (3.4%) patient of primary wAIHA group and 15 (32.60%) patients of secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant. Splenectomy was used as mode of treatment in one (3.4%) patient of primary wAIHA group and 15 (32.60%) patients of secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant. Splenectomy was used as mode of treatment in one (3.4%) patient of primary wAIHA group and 15 (32.60%) patients of secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant. Splenectomy was used as mode of treatment in one (3.4%) patient of primary wAIHA group and 15 (32.60%) patients of secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant. Splenectomy was used as mode of treatment in one (3.4%) patient of primary wAIHA group and 15 (32.60%) patients of secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant. Splenectomy was used as mode of treatment in one (3.4%) patient of primary wAIHA group and 15 (32.60%) patients of secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant. Splenectomy was used as mode of treatment in one (3.4%) patient of primary wAIHA group and 15 (32.60%) patients of secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant. Splenectomy was used as mode of treatment in one (3.4%) patient of primary wAIHA group and 15 (32.60%) patients of secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant. Splenectomy was used as mode of treatment in one (3.4%) patient of primary wAIHA group and 15 (32.60%) patients of secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant. Splenectomy was used as mode of treatment in one (3.4%) patient of primary wAIHA group and 15 (32.60%) patients of secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant. Splenectomy was used as mode of treatment in one (3.4%) patient of primary wAIHA group and 15 (32.60%) patients of secondary wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant. Splenectomy was used as mode of treatment in one (3.4%) patient of primary wAIHA group and 15 (32.60%) patients of secondary wA
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ed seven and 30 patients, respectively (P > 0.05). The common clinical characteristics of wAIHA secondary to lymphoma and other forms are represented in Table 3. Mean age of wAIHA onset was 69.7 ± 21.5 years in wAIHA group secondary to lymphoma and 54.3 ± 25.7 years in other wAIHA group, which is statistically significant. Regarding clinical symptoms at the time of onset, 14 (77.77%) patients in wAIHA group secondary to lymphoma and 45 (77.58%) patients in other wAIHA group reported it. Mean Hb level at AIHA onset was observed to be 6.4 ± 1.5 g/dL in wAIHA group secondary to lymphoma and 6.6 ± 1.8 g/dL in other wAIHA group, which is statistically not significant. Hypogammaglobulinemia was observed in 10 (55.55%) patients of wAIHA group secondary to lymphoma and six (10.34%) patients of other wAIHA group, which is statistically significant (P = 0.01). Monoclonal gammaglobulin was observed in 13 (72.22%) patients of wAIHA group secondary to lymphoma and 10 (17.24%) patients of other wAIHA group, which is statistically significant (P = 0.0019).

Regarding DAT, IgG was observed in two (11.11%) patients of wAIHA group secondary to lymphoma and 30 (51.72%) patients of other wAIHA group, which is statistically significant (P = 0.029). IgG antibodies and complement (C3d) were observed in 14 (77.77%) patients of wAIHA group secondary to lymphoma and 28 (48.27%) patients of other wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant. Only complement (C3d) was observed in 9% patients of wAIHA group secondary to lymphoma and 0% patients of other wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant. IgA was observed in 0% patients of wAIHA group secondary to lymphoma and 4% patients of other wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant.

Regarding treatment administered, blood transfusion was administered in 95% patients of wAIHA group secondary to lymphoma and 49% patients of other wAIHA group, which is statistically significant. Response to corticosteroids was observed in 94% patients of wAIHA group secondary to lymphoma and 90% patients of other wAIHA group, which is statistically insignificant. Dependence on corticosteroids was observed in 94% patients of wAIHA group secondary to lymphoma and 58% patients of other wAIHA group, which is statistically significant. Second line of treatment was administered in 96% patients of wAIHA group secondary to lymphoma and 51% patients of other wAIHA group, which is statistically significant. Splenectomy was done in 36% patients of wAIHA group secondary to lymphoma and 11% patients of other wAIHA group, which is statistically significant.

The most common cases of secondary wAIHA are presented in Table 4. They are B-cell lymphoma, SLE, rheumatoid arthritis, CLL, common variable immune deficiency (CVID), renal cell carcinoma and secondary to drug usage (alpha methyl dopa and carbamazepine).

**Table 4. Most Common Causes of Secondary wAIHA**

| Cause                                | Percentage |
|--------------------------------------|------------|
| B-cell lymphoma                      | 18 (39.13%)|
| Systemic lupus erythematosus         | 9 (19.56%) |
| Rheumatoid arthritis                 | 8 (17.39%) |
| Chronic lymphocytic leukemia         | 3 (6.52%)  |
| Common variable immune deficiency    | 2 (4.34%)  |
| Renal cell carcinoma                 | 2 (4.34%)  |
| Secondary to drug usage              |            |
| Alpha methyl dopa                    | 2 (4.34%)  |
| Carbamazepine                        | 2 (4.34%)  |

**Discussion**

WAIHA is one of four clinical types of AIHA, characterized by the autoantibodies directing against patient’s own antigens on RBCs with the best reactive temperatures at 37 °C and accounting for 50-70% of all AIHA patients [43]. It produces a variable anemia, i.e., mild and sometimes severe. The secondary conditions causing wAIHA are primary immunodeficiencies such as common variable immunodeficiency, infections, hematologic malignancies, tumors, or drugs. Primary WAIHA comprises about 50% of patients.

CLL and lymphomas account for about half of secondary WAIHA cases. Autoimmune diseases, particularly SLE, account for a considerable proportion of secondary WAIHA cases. IgA class antibodies are present in about 14% of patients with WAIHA and almost always occur associated with IgG or IgM [44, 45]. Immunotherapy is defined as “the treatment of disease by inducing, enhancing, or suppressing an immune response”. It is classified as activation immunotherapy to elicit or amplify an immune response and suppression immunotherapy to reduce or suppress the immune response. The mainstay of therapy of WAIHA is immunosuppression with corticosteroids.

Allgood and Chaplin observed 43 patients, of whom 32 (74%) patients responded to corticosteroids but 25 (78%) patients of the responders group relapsed in the 9 months to 11 years of follow-up [46]. Zupanska et al demonstrated similar results with the most of patients responding initially, but only 19 (46%) patients of the 41 continued to respond after 3 weeks to treatment [47]. These studies suggested that 80% of patients respond to corticosteroids promptly; however, a proportion of responders relapse after the steroid-induced remission. Petz observed that about 50% of responders to corticosteroid require maintenance therapy [48]. For non-responders, usage of cyclosporin was documented by Pogglitsch et al [49].

Rituximab is a humanized monoclonal antibody directed against CD20 on pre-B cells and mature B lymphocytes. Binding of rituximab to CD20-positive cells causes cell death through a combination of antibody-dependent cell cytotoxicity, complement activation, and apoptosis [50, 51]. Quartier et al used rituximab for six children with refractory WAIHA and achieved a 100% CR rate [52]. Zecca et al treated 13 refractory WAIHA pediatric patients and observed a CR for 11, while two failed to respond [53].

In the adults, D’Arena et al treated 11 patients with refractory primary WAIHA by rituximab with a standard dose of 375 mg/m² in a retrospective study [54]. Eight patients were observed to have a CR, three patients achieved a PR, but six patients still had laboratory signs of hemolysis. All patients remained in either CR or PR, at a mean follow-up of 604 days.
Several retrospective studies in population of refractory primary or secondary AIHA confirmed the efficacy of rituximab [54-59]. The potential long-term complications of rituximab were reported by Carson et al [60], i.e., progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy in two patients in 57 cases.

Barcellini et al [61] performed a clinical trial of low-dose rituximab (100 mg fixed dose for four weekly infusions) to investigate the efficacy, safety, and duration of response along with a short course of steroids as first- or second-line therapy in 23 patients with primary AIHA. They showed 82.6% overall response at month +2, improving to 90% at months +6 and +12, and the better response in wAIHA (100% overall response) than in cold AIHA (average, 60%). Several studies showed rituximab usage in refractory SLE-associated wAIHA with adequate safety and efficacy [62-64].

In an Exploratory Phase II/III SLE Evaluation of Rituximab (EXPLORER), the double-blind trial demonstrated that rituximab decreased B cells and anti-dsDNA autoantibody with increased C3 and C4 levels [65]. In a retrospective study of 53 patients with refractory AIHA administered rituximab in Belgium, the overall response rate was 79% with 47% CR and 32% of PR [56]. Mild infusion reaction including hypotension and fever is the most common complication observed with rituximab, and incidence of serious infection is very low [66]. Another recently developed monoclonal antibody, alemtuzumab, might be useful in treating wAIHA. Alemtuzumab is a humanized IgG1-type mAb directed against CD52 expressed on human B and T cells, natural killer cells, eosinophils, and macrophages [67, 68]. Alemtuzumab kills target cells by complement- and/or antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity, but also capable of inducing direct apoptosis via caspase-dependent and -independent mechanisms [69, 70].

**Conclusion**

We put forward the following observations based on our retrospective study. No significant difference between males and females was observed. Mean Hb level at AIHA onset was significantly lower in secondary wAIHA group. DAT pattern was similar in primary and secondary AIHA groups. There are no significant differences in occurrence of clinical features in primary and secondary AIHA groups. Splenectomies were more commonly done in secondary AIHA group compared to primary AIHA. Administration of corticosteroids, second-line treatments, pattern of remissions and complications were comparable without any statistically significant difference.

Significant difference in mean age of onset was observed in wAIHA secondary to lymphoma compared to others. Clinical features were comparable. Significant differences were observed in hypogammaglobulinemia, monoclonal gammaglobulin and IgG. Requirements of blood transfusion, dependence on corticosteroids, second line of treatment, and splenectomy were significantly higher in patients with wAIHA secondary to lymphoma. Our study shows effectiveness of corticosteroids as primary treatment and also efficacy and safety rituximab as line of treatment. Splenectomy is useful in wAIHA secondary to lymphoma and should be considered whenever possible. Reducing the cumulative dose of corticosteroids with second-line treatment whenever possible and therefore reducing the risk of sepsis, specifically in older patients with comorbidities will reduce morbidity and mortality.

**Abbreviations**

CR: complete response; PR: partial response; AIHA: autoimmune hemolytic anemia; ACR: American College of Rheumatology; NHL: non-Hodgkin lymphoma; SLE: systemic lupus erythematosus; CVID: common variable immune deficiency; ALPD: autoimmune lymphoproliferative disease; SCT: stem cell transplantation
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