Acute or chronic stress induce cell compartment-specific phosphorylation of glucocorticoid receptor and alter its transcriptional activity in Wistar rat brain
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Abstract

Chronic stress and impaired glucocorticoid receptor (GR) feedback are important factors for the compromised hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis activity. We investigated the effects of chronic 21 day isolation of Wistar rats on the extrinsic negative feedback part of HPA axis: hippocampus (HIPPO) and prefrontal cortex (PFC). In addition to serum corticosterone (CORT), we followed GR subcellular localization, GR phosphorylation at serine 232 and serine 246, expression of GR regulated genes: GR, CRF and brain-derived neurotropic factor (BDNF), and activity of c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) and Cdk5 kinases that phosphorylate GR. These parameters were also determined in animals subjected to acute 30 min immobilization, which was taken as ‘normal’ adaptive response to stress. In isolated animals, we found decreased CORT, whereas in animals exposed to acute immobilization, CORT was markedly increased. Even though the GR was predominantly localized in the nucleus of HIPPO and PFC in acute, but not in chronic stress, the expression of GR, CRF, and BDNF genes was similarly regulated under both acute and chronic stresses. Thus, the transcriptional activity of GR under chronic isolation did not seem to be exclusively dependent on high serum CORT levels nor on the subcellular location of the GR protein. Rather, it resulted from the increased Cdk5 activation and phosphorylation of the nuclear GR at serine 232 and the decreased JNK activity reflected in decreased phosphorylation of the nuclear GR at serine 246. Our study suggests that this nuclear isoform of hippocampal and cortical GR may be related to hypocorticism i.e. HPA axis hypoactivity under chronic isolation stress.
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Introduction

Response to neuroendocrine stress begins with the activation of the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis leading to the increase in stress hormones glucocorticoids (GCs). These hormones mediate adaptation to stress and also regulate termination of the stress response through the intrinsic and extrinsic negative feedback action at the level of the HPA axis (De Kloet & Reul 1987, Magarinos et al. 1987, Diporto et al. 1993). Feedback response in the extrinsic HPA axis structures hippocampus (HIPPO) and prefrontal cortex (PFC) is regulated by the GR receptor (GR), which, in the absence of hormone, resides in the cytoplasmic compartment as heterocomplex with heat shock proteins (Sanchez et al. 1990). Upon ligand binding, GR, dissociates from the heterocomplex, translocates to the nucleus, and regulates neuronal target gene expression, including downregulation of the GR itself. However, under chronic stress this feedback sometimes becomes deregulated leading to the variety of maladaptive syndromes such as anxiety and various forms of depressive disorders (Sapolsky et al. 2000). In addition to regulating its own gene expression, GR also controls expression of other brain genes, such as corticotrophin–releasing hormone (CRH), brain–derived neurotropic factor (BDNF), and cytokines (Goujon et al. 1997, Schultkin et al. 1998, Morsink et al. 2006, Schulte-Herbruggen et al. 2006). The GR transcriptional activity is dependent on the cell type, the sequence of the GC response elements, presence of coactivators or corepressors, and on post-translational modifications, such as phosphorylation (Weigel & Moore 2007).

The rat GR is phosphorylated at threonine 171 (T171), serine 224 (S224), serine 232 (S232), or serine 246 (S246), which are all located in its N-terminal domain (Krstic et al. 1997). Several protein kinases phosphorylate GR at the specific sites, including c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) and cyclin–dependent kinases (CDKs; Krstic et al. 1997, Ismaili & Garabedian 2004, Kino et al. 2007). It has been reported that in heterologous systems, such as yeast strains that carry
defective CDK homologues, GR exhibits decreased transcriptional activity suggesting that these kinases exert a stimulatory effect on GR. GR is also targeted by glycogen synthase kinase-3 that phosphorylates GR at Thr171 and this phosphorylation is shown to inhibit GR-mediated transcription, whereas mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) family member JNK was reported to phosphorylate GR at S246 and also has a suppressive effect on GR function (Rogatsky et al. 1998, Ismaili & Garabedian 2004). The GR phosphorylation was further reported to be involved not only in the regulation of its transcriptional activity, but also in the regulation of its subcellular localization and protein stability (Krstic et al. 1997, Webster et al. 1997, Rogatsky et al. 1998, Ismaili & Garabedian 2004, Davies et al. 2008).

The role of the mentioned kinases, particularly of MAPKs, in the brain was related to the regulation of neurotransmission, synaptic plasticity, as well as to the consolidation of memory (Ortiz et al. 1995, Flood et al. 1998, Grewal et al. 1999, Sweatt 2001). For example, JNK pathway was reported to play a significant role in various brain regions as signalling mediator of different types of physical and psychological stressors (Meller et al. 2003, Shen et al. 2004). The capability of JNKs to phosphorylate GR implied their regulatory role in the central nervous system (CNS) stress response. In addition to that, recent reports document the role of one of the members of Cdk family, Cdk5, in the regulation of nervous system functions via GR-dependent mechanism (Kino et al. 2007, Cruz & Tsai 2004).

In accordance with the above-mentioned reports in the current study, we exploited chronic 21 day isolation stress in Wistar male rats as a model of possible maladaptive stress. In this model, we analyzed GR subcellular localization, GR phosphorylation at serine 232 (GRS232) and at serine 246 (GRS246), and alterations in GR, CRF, and BDNF mRNA in the upper CNS regulatory structures HIPPO and PFC, which are related to the GR-mediated negative feedback regulation of the HPA axis activity. In parallel, the changes in protein expression of Cdk5 and its activators p35 and p25, as well as protein expression and phosphorylation of JNK1 and JNK2/3, were recorded. These parameters were also determined in Wistar males subjected to acute 30 min immobilization taken as ‘normal’ adaptive response to stress. Finally, the alterations in normal stress response after chronic stress experience were investigated by the combination of the two treatments mentioned above (‘combined stress’). The combined stress model was used to test whether chronic stress caused irreversible alterations, which could potentially indicate that this form of stress is maladaptive.

Materials and Methods

Animal care and treatment

All experiments were performed in adult (3 months old) Wistar male rats (body mass 330–400 g) housed four per standard size cage with access to food (commercial rat pellets) and water ad libitum. Light was kept on, between 0700 and 1900 h, and room temperature (RT) was kept at 20 ± 2 °C. All animal procedures were approved by the Ethical Committee for the Use of Laboratory Animals of the VINCA Institute of Nuclear Sciences, according to the guidelines of the EU registered Serbian Laboratory Animal Science Association (SLASA). For the analysis of the effects of stress, animals were divided into four groups: group I consisted of unstressed animals (control group); group II animals were exposed to acute immobilization for 30 min; group III animals were subjected to chronic isolation stress, by housing them individually for 21 days; group IV was exposed to chronic isolation for 21 days followed by 30 min immobilization. All animals were killed immediately after the termination of the stress procedure.

Corticosterone assay

Blood from each animal was collected at the time of killing. Serum corticosterone (CORT) level was determined by using the OCTEIA CORT EIA kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Immunodiagnostic Systems Ltd, Bolton, UK). Absorbance at 450 nm (reference 650 nm) was determined by microplate reader (Wallac, VICTOR² 1420, PerkinElmer). CORT concentration (ng/ml) was determined using the standard curve.

Preparation of cytoplasmic and nuclear extracts

Frozen tissues were weighed and homogenized (1:2 = tissue mass:vol) in ice-cold 20 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.2) buffer containing 10% glycerol, 50 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 2 mM dithiothreitol, and protease inhibitors (20 mM Na3MoO4, 0.15 mM spermidin, 0.15 mM spermidin, 0.1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 5 µg/ml antipain, 5 µg/ml leupeptin, 5 µg/ml aprotinin, 10 µg/ml trypsin inhibitor, and 3 mM benzamidine) and phosphatase inhibitors (20 mM β-glycerophosphate, 5 mM Na4P2O7×10H2O, 2 mM Na3VO4, and 25 mM NaF) with 20 strokes of Potter-Elvehjem teflon–glass homogenizer. Samples were centrifuged 10 min at 2000 g at 4 °C, supernatants centrifuged for 1 h at 105 000 g, and final supernatants were used as cytoplasmic fraction. Pellets were washed in 0.5 ml homogenization buffer, and centrifuged for 10 min at 2000 g at 4 °C. Final pellets were weighed, resuspended (1:1 = mass:vol) in the same buffer supplied with 0.5 M KCl, incubated for 1 h in ice bath (with frequent vortexing), and centrifuged for 10 min at 8000 g at 4 °C. Supernatant was used as nuclear extract (Spencer et al. 2000).

Western-blot detection of the GR, Cdk5, and JNK proteins

Protein concentration in the cytoplasm and nuclear fraction was determined by the method of Lowry (Lowry et al. 1951). Samples were mixed with denaturing buffer, according to
Table 1 Specific primer sequences used for detection of glucocorticoid receptor (GR), corticotrophin-releasing hormone (CRH), and brain-derived neurotropic factor (BDNF) gene expressions

| Primer sequence | Annealing temperature (°C) | Product size (bp) | Reference |
|-----------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| GR | 5'-TGGCAACCTCAATAGGGCTGACCCAG-3' | 59 | 522 |
| CRH | 5'-TGATCCGTTGGTTAGAATATCTTCTGC-3' | 70 | 394 |
| BDNF | 5'-AGGGGACTCTGGAGATGCAAGG-3' | 60 | 574 |
| β-actin | 5'-TTGGAACACTGAGCACTTGAG-3' | 57 | 764 |

Laemmli (1970), boiled for 5 min at 100 °C, and 60 μg protein were subjected to electrophoresis on 7.5% SDS-PAGE. Subsequently, proteins were transferred onto PVDF membrane (Immobilon-P membrane, Millipore, Watford, UK) using a blot system (Transblot, Bio-Rad). The membranes were incubated in appropriate primary and secondary antibodies and signal was developed using enhanced chemiluminescence reagent (Pierce) and exposed to X-ray film (Fuji Photofilm, Bedfordshire, UK). GR M-20 antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA) was used to detect total GR (tGR) and phospho-GR antibody (Ser211) (Cell Signaling, New England Biolabs, Hertfordshire, UK) was used to detect GR phosphorylated at S211. The anti-S246-P antibody was raised against the phosphorylated rat GR peptide LLIDENLLpSPLAGEDDP (amino acid residues 238–254) and custom made by Sigma (Davies et al. 2008). Anti-human Cdk5 and p35 polyclonal antibodies (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) were used to detect Cdk5 and its activator respectively. Anti-human JNK1/JNK2 monoclonal antibody (BD, Biosciences, Oxford, UK) and anti-phospho-SAPK/JNK (Thr183/Tyr185) polyclonal antibody (Cell Signaling) were used to detect total or phosphorylated JNK respectively. Rabbit polyclonal anti-β-actin (Abcam, Cambridge, UK) was used to detect β-actin as a loading control. Blots were developed with goat anti-mouse or goat anti-rabbit HRP-conjugated secondary antibody (Pierce). Anti-α-tubulin (Sigma) and anti-NBS1 (GeneTex, Irvine, CA, USA) antibodies were used to analyze the purity of cytoplasmic and nuclear fractions respectively. Densitometry of protein bands on X-ray film was performed by Image J analysis PC software. Amounts of relative phosphorylated isoforms of all analyzed proteins were normalized to their total expression level and to β-actin.

**RT-PCR**

Total RNA was extracted using TRIzol Reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, tissues were weighed and homogenized in 1 ml TRIzol Reagent per 100 mg of tissue using Potter-Elvehjem teflon–glass homogenizer. Homogenates were then incubated at 30 °C for 5 min in order to completely dissociate nucleoprotein complexes. Subsequently, 0.2 ml of chloroform was added and the homogenate was shaken vigorously for 15 s and incubated for 3 min at 30 °C. Samples were centrifuged at 12 000 g for 15 min at 4 °C. The aqueous phase, containing RNA, was mixed with 0.5 ml of isopropanol, incubated at 30 °C for 10 min, and centrifuged at 12 000 g for 10 min at 4 °C. Resulting RNA pellet was resuspended in 75% ethanol, centrifuged (7500 g, 5 min, 4 °C), air dried and dissolved in 100 μl 0.1% DEPC water, and stored at −80 °C until analysis. The concentration of RNA was determined by measuring the absorbance at 260 nm (A260) in a spectrophotometer. The ratio between the absorbance values at 260 and 280 nm was used to estimate RNA purity and all samples had A260/A280 ratio from 1.9 to 2. The integrity of the purified RNA was determined by visualization of the 28S and 18S rRNA bands after electrophoresis of 2 μg RNA of each sample on the 1.5% agarose gel.

For the synthesis of cDNAs, a High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems) was used according to the manufacturer's instructions. Namely, 2 μg of total RNA was reverse transcribed using MultiScribe Reverse Transcriptase (50 U/μl) in the presence of 2 μl

Table 2 Serum corticosterone concentrations in control and stressed animals

| Stress | Control | Acute | Chronic | Combined |
|--------|---------|-------|---------|----------|
| Number of animals | n=15 | n=15 | n=15 | n=15 |
| Corticosterone (ng/ml) | 137±10 | 627±26*# | 65±7* | 601±20*# |

Serum corticosterone (CORT) is represented as mean±s.e.m. (n=15). Statistical analysis by one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post hoc test indicated a significant increase in CORT concentration caused by acute immobilization stress (*P<0.001 versus control) or combined stress (chronic isolation plus acute immobilization stress *P<0.001 versus control). The chronically isolated animals had significantly decreased CORT concentrations in respect to control level (*P<0.001), acute (*P<0.001) or combined stress animals (*P<0.001).
Values were considered statistically significant if the $P$ value was $<0.05$. As expected, the acute (30 min) exposure to the high-intensity physical–emotional–psychosocial stress, such as immobilization, resulted in a significant increase in serum CORT levels to 627 ng/ml. On the contrary, chronic isolation for 21 days (low-intensity but long-term psychosocial stress) led to significant decrease in CORT serum levels to 65 ng/ml. This decrease in CORT serum levels to 65 ng/ml in accordance with previous reports (Sanchez et al., 1998, Malkesman et al. 2006). When the chronically stressed animals were subsequently subjected to acute immobilization (i.e. combined stress), serum CORT increased to a similar level as that observed after acute stress (Table 2).

**Chronic stress does not alter GR subcellular localization in HIPPO**

Effects of acute and combined stresses (that resulted in high CORT levels – see Table 2) on hippocampal tGR level were reflected in the significant reduction in cytoplasmic and increase in nuclear tGR (Fig. 1D). By contrast, both cytoplasmic and nuclear HIPPO tGR protein levels were unchanged after chronic stress. Although we can detect the GR in both compartments, the results in Fig. 1 showed that acute and combined stresses induced nuclear translocation of the receptor, whereas chronic stress does not induce GR translocation (Fig. 1).

**Results**

**Chronic stress lowers the level of CORT without impairing responsiveness to the subsequent acute stress**

CORT concentration was detected using a commercial CORT kit (Table 2). In the control group of Wistar rats, the CORT level was 137 ng/ml, and was in the same range as reported by other authors (Merino et al., 2000, Wren et al., 2002). As expected, the acute (30 min) immobilization (A), chronic isolation (C), or combined stress (CA) on the levels of glucocorticoid receptor (GR) and its phosphoisofoms in the cytoplasmic and nuclear HIPPO: (A and B) GR phosphorylation at S246 (pGR246) or S232 (pGR232). Cell lysates were resolved by SDS-PAGE and probed with antibodies against total GR (tGR), pGR246, pGR232, or actin as a loading control. (C) The purity of subcellular fractions was assayed by anti-α-tubulin or anti-NBS1 antibodies for the cytoplasmic or nuclear compartments respectively. (D) Immunoreactivities of the cytoplasmic and nuclear HIPPO tGR protein levels were reflected in the significant reduction in cytoplasmic and increase in nuclear tGR (Fig. 1).
GR phosphorylation at S232 is dominant over the S246 phosphorylation independent of the type of stress or cellular compartment

In order to analyze effects of stress on the GR function in HIPPO, we analyzed its phosphorylation status. Cyclin-dependent protein kinases (CDKs) phosphorylate S224 and S232 of the rat GR, whereas JNK pathway has been shown to phosphorylate S246 amino acid. It has been suggested that phosphorylation of these residues is of importance in the regulation of the GR transcriptional activity and the target gene specificity (Blind & Garabedian 2008, Davies et al. 2008). In the next series of experiments, we observed the increased level of GR S232 phosphorylation in both cytoplasmic and nuclear HIPPO extracts following acute and chronic stresses (Fig. 1A, B second panel, and D). In animals subjected to the combined stress, GR S232 was similar to the control level in both compartments (Fig. 1D). On the other hand, both cytoplasmic and nuclear GR S246 phosphorylation levels were lower than control in most samples (Fig. 1A, B first panel, and D). The pGR S232/246 ratio was determined in order to quantitatively compare the extent of GR phosphorylation at S232 or S246 (Fig. 1D). The ratio values above 100% indicated the dominance of GR S232 phosphorylation, while values below 100% suggested the prevalence of GR S246 phosphorylation. This approach indicated that GR phosphorylation at S232 is predominant compared with that at S246, independent of the type of stress or cellular compartment analyzed. The only exception was that of the cytoplasmic GR in the combined stress, which was equally phosphorylated at both S232 and S246 (Fig. 1D). The highest pGR S232/246 ratio was found in the group of chronically stressed animals in both compartments.
Changes in expression of the Cdk5, p35, and p25 proteins in HIPPO

The expression level of Cdk5 was not changed by any of the three stresses in either of the cell compartments (Fig. 2). The level of p35 protein, an activator of Cdk5, was markedly elevated by chronic stress in the cytoplasm, while its nuclear level was increased by acute and chronic stresses. In addition to that, p25 protein, which is a more active form of Cdk5 activator, was somewhat increased only under chronic stress in both cell compartments (Fig. 2).

JNK2/3 activity is upregulated by the acute and downregulated by the chronic and combined stresses, whereas JNK1 is inhibited under all stress conditions in HIPPO

In the next set of experiments, we analyzed the levels and activity of the JNK1 detected as 46 kDa protein (tJNK1) and JNK 2 and 3 detected as 54 kDa proteins (tJNK2 and 3, Fig. 3C). These experiments showed that the levels of all three JNK proteins in both cellular compartments were mainly unchanged (Fig. 3C). The level of pJNK1 isoform in the cytoplasm of HIPPO was significantly reduced by all three stresses in comparison with control (Fig. 3A and C), while the nuclear level of pJNK1 isoform in HIPPO remained unaltered by any of the stresses (Fig. 3B and C). The level of pJNK2/3 was significantly elevated in the cytoplasm of HIPPO by acute stress (Fig. 3A–C), while it was significantly reduced by either chronic or combined stress. The nuclear level of pJNK2/3 isoforms was reduced by the chronic stress (Fig. 3B and C). The ratio of pJNK1 to tJNK1 (pJNK1/tJNK1) indicated that cytoplasmic JNK1 activity was low in all types of stress (Fig. 3C). By contrast, the ratio of cytoplasmic pJNK2/3/tJNK2/3 was markedly high in acute stress, while it was low in two other types of stress and in nucleus this ratio was decreased under chronic stress (Fig. 3C).

Changes in the expression of the GR, CRH and BDNF genes in HIPPO

The role of phosphorylated GR in the regulation of gene expression was tested on GR-regulated genes namely, the GR (Hermann & Spencer 1998), the CRH (Lu & Cidlowski 2006), and the BDNF (Tapia-Arancibia et al. 2004). As shown in Fig. 4 (lane 1), expression of GR gene was significantly decreased by all three types of stress with a dominant change under chronic stress. Expression of BDNF gene was significantly inhibited under chronic stress, while combined and acute stresses did not affect its expression with respect to the control. On the contrary, the expression of CRH was significantly increased by all three types of stress.

Chronic stress influences GR subcellular localization in the PFC in the opposite manner than the acute and combined stresses

Low cytoplasmic and concomitantly high nuclear tGR level was observed in PFC in acute stress (i.e. high CORT) (Fig. 5A, B third panel, and C). Under chronic stress, tGR protein level was increased in both compartments, while in combined stress this increase was detected only in the nucleus (Fig. 5A–C). The statistical intergroup analysis indicated significant compartmental differences in the tGR protein level, between acute or combined stress on one side and chronic stress on the other (Fig. 5C).

The PFC GR phosphorylation on S232 is dominant under chronic stress

The cytoplasmic GR S232 phosphorylation level was increased in chronic and combined stresses (Fig. 5A).
The phosphorylation of JNK1 and JNK2/3 in the cytoplasm and nuclear compartment of the PFC was reduced under chronic stress.

The total JNK1 and JNK2/3 protein levels were mostly unaltered by any type of stress in both compartments (Fig. 7C). Both cytoplasmic and nuclear pJNK1 and pJNK2/3 levels were downregulated in chronic stress and unaltered under combined stress (Fig. 7C). Distinctly enhanced cytoplasmic pJNK2/3 protein level was observed only in the case of acute stress (Fig. 7A and C). The pJNK/tJNK ratio indicated that the activity of both JNK1 and JNK2/3 was reduced under chronic stress in both compartments (Fig. 7C), while in acute stress increased cytoplasmic pJNK2/3/tJNK2/3 ratio was observed, suggesting that JNK2/3 but not JNK1 is activated in the acute stress.

Changes in the expression of GR, CRH, and BDNF genes in PFC

The expression of GR gene in PFC was downregulated under chronic stress. On the contrary, CRH and BDNF genes were

Figure 5 Western-blot (WB) experiment demonstrating the effects of acute immobilization (A), chronic isolation (C), or combined stress (CA) on the levels of glucocorticoid receptor (GR) and its phosphoisoforms in the cytoplasm and nucleus of prefrontal cortex: (A and B) GR phosphorylated at S246 (pGR246) or S232 (pGR232). Cell lysates were resolved by SDS-PAGE and probed with antibodies against total GR (tGR), pGR246, pGR232, or actin as a loading control. (C) Immunoreactivities of the cytoplasmic and nuclear GR, pGR232, and pGR246 (normalized to actin) and ratio of pGR232/pGR246 (normalized to GR in each compartment) are expressed as mean ± S.E.M. (as described under Materials and Methods); n = 15 animals per experimental group. Asterisks indicate significant differences between treated groups. Statistical analysis was performed using one-way ANOVA followed by the Tukey’s post hoc test and labelled as in Fig. 1.

The cytoplasmic levels of Cdk5 and p35 proteins were significantly increased only by chronic stress, whereas their nuclear levels were increased only by combined stress (Fig. 6). The cytoplasmic level of p25 was increased by both chronic and combined stresses, while its nuclear level was increased only by chronic stress (Fig. 6). Our results indicated that Cdk5 activity might be increased in both cell compartments under chronic and combined stresses. 

Changes in the expression of Cdk5, p35, and p25 proteins in PFC

The cytoplasmic levels of Cdk5 and p35 proteins were significantly increased only by chronic stress, whereas their nuclear levels were increased only by combined stress (Fig. 6). The cytoplasmic level of p25 was increased by both chronic and combined stresses, while its nuclear level was increased only by chronic stress (Fig. 6). Our results indicated that Cdk5 activity might be increased in both cell compartments under chronic and combined stresses.
Tukey's analysis was performed using one-way ANOVA followed by the post hoc test and labelled as in the Fig. 1.
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Effects of acute, chronic, and combined stresses on GR, CRH, and BDNF gene expressions in the rat prefrontal cortex measured by semi-quantitative PCR (A). A semi-quantitative evaluation of gene expressions from six independent experiments is shown in (B). Data are presented as mean ± S.E.M.; n = 15 animals per experimental group. Statistical analysis was performed using one-way ANOVA followed by the Tukey's post hoc test and labelled as in the Fig. 1.

Discussion

It has been postulated that the maladaptive response of the CNS to neuroendocrine stress may emerge from its compromised termination, which is at least in part based on the impaired GR negative feedback in the upper parts of the CNS. Such alterations were observed under conditions of chronic stress (Chrousos & Kino 2007). In the present in vivo study, we exploited the Wistar rat model to investigate chronic stress-dependent GR alterations in HIPPO and PFC, the two CNS structures known to participate in the HPA axis homeostatic regulation. We analyzed stress-dependent GR redistribution and its transcriptional activity after the chronic 21 day social isolation that represented potentially maladaptive stress. In animals subjected to this type of stress, we found a decreased level of CORT in the blood serum compared with the control animals. This finding is in accordance with the data of other authors showing HPA axis hypoactivity in Wistar rats under similar conditions (Sanchez et al. 1998, Malkesman et al. 2006). In the group of animals exposed to acute 30 min immobilization (Garcia et al. 2000), applied either solely or after the chronic stress, we found a significantly increased level of serum CORT. The prominent increase in CORT after acute immobilization was expected, since this type of stress is of high intensity (Garcia et al. 2000). Thus, animals with previous chronic stress experience did not exhibit compromised HPA axis responsiveness to the subsequent acute stress as concluded from the observed hormone levels.

The analysis of the tGR protein levels in HIPPO indicated that in accordance with the well-known ‘dogma’ of the molecular mechanism of GR action (Nishi & Kawata 2006), this protein was translocated from the cytoplasmic to the nuclear compartment when CORT levels were elevated, i.e. under acute or combined stress. In agreement with that, under the chronic stress, when CORT levels were low, tGR levels in the cytoplasm and nucleus of HIPPO remain indistinguishable from the control. Thus, our results suggested that chronic stress did not compromise the ability of GR to undergo nuclear translocation upon subsequent acute stress, i.e. when hormone level is increased.

However, under the chronic stress, despite of unaltered level of the nuclear tGR, this protein exhibited significant transcriptional activity regarding the expression of its own gene, as well as the expression of CRF and BDNF genes, which are two other well-known GR targets (Tapia-Arancibia et al. 2004, Lu & Cidlowski 2006). This nuclear tGR activity under chronic stress was comparable with the one observed under acute stress, i.e. when CORT levels were high and increased levels of the GR were found in the nucleus. Namely, under both acute and chronic stresses, similar downregulation of GR gene and upregulation of CRH gene were detected. Moreover, while BDNF was unaltered by acute stress, it was downregulated under chronic stress. These findings suggested that the transcriptional
activity of GR did not seem to be regulated solely by the levels of hormone. In order to further investigate this process, we followed the GR phosphorylation status that is one important level of control of the GR transcriptional activity (Krstic et al. 1997, Rogatsky et al. 1998, Ismaili & Garabedian 2004, Davies et al. 2008). Specifically, we monitored GR phosphorylation at the two GR amino acids S232 and S246, which are known to be modified by CDK and JNK kinases respectively (Orti et al. 1992, Krstic et al. 1997, Webster et al. 1997, Rogatsky et al. 1998, Ismaili & Garabedian 2004, Davies et al. 2008). We hypothesized that the pattern of GR phosphorylation, particularly that of the nuclear GR, might be related to GR transcriptional activity at the three target genes studied.

Under chronic stress, we observed an increase in GR phosphorylation at S232 in both cytoplasmic and nuclear compartments of HIPPO, which corresponded well with the elevated levels of Cdk5 activator proteins p35 and p25. Considering that association of Cdk5 with p35 as well as its association with p25 is critical for kinase activation (Tsai et al. 1994), our results indicated that Cdk5 activity could be increased in the both cell compartments under chronic stress. Further analyses indicated that at the same time chronic stress decreased GR phosphorylation at S246 in both cell compartments. The decrease in nuclear GRS246 phosphoisoform level corresponded well with downregulation of JNK2/3 activities under the chronic stress. Taken together, these results suggest that increase in GRS232 phosphorylation may be at least in part due to diminished phosphorylation of GR at S246, i.e. higher activity of Cdk5 relative to that of JNKs at the level of GR. This is in accordance with data of Ismaili & Garabedian (2004) that the decrease in GRS246 phosphorylation may stimulate that at GRS232. As an additional measure of the GR phosphorylation status, we determined GRS232/GRS246 ratio and observed the clear prevalence of nuclear GRS232 isoform under chronic stress. This GR phosphoisoform may be important for BDNF and GR gene repression and CRH gene activation in chronic stress where hormone levels are low. Thus, increased phosphorylation of hippocampal GR at S232 and its decreased phosphorylation at S246 may be related to GR transcriptional activity, HPA axis hypoactivity, and compromised negative feedback regulation (Herman & Spencer 1998). It is important to mention that the pattern of hippocampal GR phosphorylation under chronic stress was reversed by subsequent acute stress.

In contrast to unchanged levels of tGR in HIPPO under chronic stress, this stress caused increase in GR protein levels in both compartments of PFC and its accumulation in the cytoplasm. Such differences in response of PFC to chronic stress compared with those of HIPPO could be due to alternative signalling pathways operating in these CNS substructures (Mizoguchi et al. 2003) or altered level/activity of tGR-interacting proteins (chaperones, kinases, components of proteasome, and other cofactors). Nevertheless, under acute or combined stress, the compartmental distribution of tGR in PFC was similar to that of HIPPO, i.e. GR exhibited translocation to the nucleus upon CORT increase. Thus, likewise in HIPPO, GR translocation to the nucleus of PFC was not compromised by the previous chronic stress exposure.

Similarly to our findings in HIPPO of chronically stressed animals, the tGR in the nuclear compartment of PFC also exhibited transcriptional activity, since the expression of cortical GR gene was attenuated, whereas expression of CRF and BDNF genes was upregulated. The magnitude of these changes in gene expression in PFC was somewhat smaller compared with the one observed in HIPPO, which may be explained by the relatively lower initial level of tGR in PFC (Cerqueira et al. 2005). The analysis of GR phosphorylation status in PFC of chronically stressed animals revealed, again, the presence of increased levels of GRS232 form primarily in the nucleus. Its presence in the nucleus corresponded well with the elevated levels of Cdk5, as well as of its activator proteins p35 and p25. The nuclear GRS232 prevailed over nuclear S246 phosphoisoform, which again may be due to decreased activity of JNKs. In contrast to HIPPO, the pattern of cortical GR phosphorylation under chronic stress was not reversed by subsequent acute stress, indicating prevalence of S246 phosphorylation that correlated with BDNF gene repression.

In summary, our data indicated that the regulation of the expression of the GR, CRH, and BDNF genes in HIPPO and PFC under chronic stress did not seem to be exclusively dependent on the predominant nuclear positioning of GR protein determined by high serum CORT levels. This finding suggests that cell signaling through GR phosphorylation may be of special importance under low GC's level, i.e. low GR occupancy with GCs as recently also reported by Chen et al. (2008). Based on these observations, future efforts should be directed towards detailed analyses of transcriptional activity of individual GR phosphoisoforms. In particular, it will be important to provide more evidence regarding the role of S232 phosphoisoform of the GR in chronic stress-induced hypocorticism and HPA axis hypoactivity. In that view molecular signals emerging from cell membrane receptors or those generated internally e.g. from mitochondria should be considered as potential regulators of the GR activity. Understanding of those mechanisms would be of immense help for the selection of pharmaceutical agents aiming to restore chronic stress-disturbed homeostasis of HPA axis.
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