The Effects of Valvular Heart Disease on Atrial Conduction During Sinus Rhythm
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Abstract
Different arrhythmogenic substrates for atrial fibrillation (AF) may underlie aortic valve (AV) and mitral valve (MV) disease. We located conduction disorders during sinus rhythm by high-resolution epicardial mapping in patients undergoing AV (n = 85) or MV (n = 54) surgery. Extent and distribution of conduction delay (CD) and block (CB) across the entire right and left atrial surface was determined from circa 1880 unipolar electrogram recordings per patient. CD and CB were most pronounced at the superior intercaval area (2.5% of surface, maximal degree 6.6%/cm²). MV patients had a higher maximal degree of CD at the lateral left atrium than AV patients (4.2 vs 2.3%/cm², p = 0.001). A history of AF was most strongly correlated to CD/CB at Bachmann’s bundle and age. Although MV patients have more conduction disorders at the lateral left atrium, disturbed conduction at Bachmann’s bundle during sinus rhythm indicates the presence of atrial remodeling which is related to AF episodes.
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Abbreviations
AF Atrial fibrillation
AV Aortic valve
CB Conduction block
CD Conduction delay
MV Mitral valve

Introduction
Valvular heart disease predisposes to the occurrence of atrial tachyarrhythmias. Significant stenosis or regurgitation of heart valves has important hemodynamic effects on the atria that lead to structural atrial remodeling. In situations of pressure or volume overload, the increased pressure on the atrial wall causes atrial dilation [1]. Chronic mechanical stretch initiates pathways that produce fibrosis and alter myocyte coupling and function [2, 3]. Consequently, electrical conduction in the atria becomes disrupted and can result in areas with slow and discontinuous conduction which may act as a substrate for tachyarrhythmias. The incidence of atrial fibrillation (AF) is higher in patients with mitral valve (MV) disease (26–54%) than in patients with aortic valve (AV) disease (10–13%), most likely due to higher left atrial loads in MV disease [4, 5]. Therefore, different types of atrial remodeling may take place in AV and MV disease.

It has been previously demonstrated that structural remodeling and not electrical remodeling is the major contributor to development of AF in models of chronic atrial stretch [3]. The electrophysiological disturbances due to structural alterations in patients with valvular heart disease may also be identifiable during sinus rhythm. The purpose of this study is first to identify differences in the amount and distribution of high-resolution conduction disorders during sinus rhythm between patients with AV and MV disease. Secondly, we aim to determine if predilection sites of conduction disorders during sinus rhythm exist in patients with valvular heart disease who have...
developed clinical AF. A high-resolution epicardial mapping approach was performed to locate atrial areas with conduction abnormalities and identify the differences in conduction disorders between patients with AV and MV disease requiring surgical treatment.

Methods

Study Population

Patients of 18 years and older undergoing AV (mainly for aortic stenosis) or MV (for mitral regurgitation) surgery with or without coronary artery bypass grafting participated in this study. The study is part of the QUASAR study [6] that was approved by the local ethics committee and all patients gave informed consent prior to surgery.

Study Procedure

During surgery and prior to cardiopulmonary bypass, epicardial mapping was performed of the entire atrial surface with an electrode array containing 128 or 192 unipolar electrodes with an electrode diameter of 0.65 or 0.45 mm and inter-electrode spacing of 2 mm (GS Swiss PCB AG, Küssnacht, Switzerland). Five-second recordings of sinus rhythm were sequentially acquired from all epicardial accessible sites at the right atrium, left atrium, and Bachmann’s bundle. Patients that were in AF at the start of the procedure were electrically converted to sinus rhythm. A temporary pacemaker wire stitched to the terminal crest served as a reference signal during the recordings. The mapping procedure and mapping sites were previously described in detail [7]. Mapping locations are also illustrated in the upper right panel of Fig. 1. In case of the 128-electrode mapping array, each 192-array location consisted of two 128-array recordings. Unipolar electrograms were stored on hard disk after amplification, filtering (bandwidth 0.5–400 Hz), sampling (1KHz), and analogue to digital conversion (16 bits).

Mapping Data Analysis

Atrial deflections were detected automatically by marking of the steepest negative deflection with a minimal slope of 80 mV/s and signal to noise ratio > 4 for each recorded electrogram in order to construct activation maps [6]. The marked electrograms and activation maps were thereafter checked and manually corrected if necessary. Aberrant and premature atrial beats were excluded from analysis. Sinus rhythm activation maps were used to determine the incidence of conduction delay (CD) and conduction block (CB) on a high-resolution scale (left panel, Fig. 1). CD and CB were defined as a difference in activation time between adjacent electrodes of, respectively, 7–11 ms and ≥ 12 ms (translating to an effective conduction velocity of 19–28 cm/s and ≤ 18 cm/s) [8]. The amount of CD and CB was calculated as a percentage per 1 cm² quadrants. Figure 1 illustrates the subdivision of the entire mapping surface of each patient in 1 cm² quadrants in which the percentages of CD and CB were determined.

Atrial Distribution

The prevalence and degree of CD and CB in the patient groups were assessed separately for 7 atrial areas: 4 areas at the right atrium (the superior (1) and inferior (2) intercaval/terminal crest area and the superior (3) and inferior (4) lateral right atrium), Bachmann’s bundle (5), posterior left atrium between the pulmonary veins (6), and the lateral left atrium/left atrial appendage (LAA) (7) (Fig. 1, right lower panel). The prevalence of CD/CB per area is defined as the percentage of patients with presence of CD or CB in that area. For each area in every patient, the average degree of CD and CB was determined (average percentage CD/CB of the 1 cm² quadrants in that area) and also the maximal degree of CD and CB in 1 cm² (CD/CB of the 1 cm² quadrant with the highest amount of CD/CB).

Statistical Analysis

Categorical clinical characteristics between the AV and MV group were compared with Chi-square or Fisher’s exact tests. Continuous clinical data and electrophysiological data were not normally distributed and differences between the AV and MV group were evaluated with Mann-Whitney U tests. Electrophysiological mapping data are presented as median (minimum-maximum) values. To evaluate differences between areas, the area with the highest average and maximal degree of CD/CB was identified for each patient and the total incidences of highest amount of CD/CB were determined for each area. Differences in the incidence distributions between areas were first evaluated with the overall Cochran’s Q test. Subsequently, McNemar tests were applied for a pair-wise comparison of each of the areas. We applied Bonferroni correction to adjust for inflation of type I error with repeated tests. A p value ≤ 0.05/7 was considered statistically significant for the comparison of patient groups (AV vs MV) within the 7 areas, whereas for the inter-areal comparisons, a p value threshold of ≤ 0.05/21 was used. The rank-biserial correlation coefficient (skewed continuous/ordinal data) and Phi coefficient (binary data) were determined to quantify the correlation between electrophysiological/clinical variables and a history of AF. Binary logistic regression analysis was performed to relate electrophysiological and clinical variables with incidence of postoperative AF. All analyses were performed with IBM SPSS Statistics version 21 (IBM corp., Armonk, NY).
Clinical Characteristics

Epicardial high-resolution mapping during sinus rhythm was performed in 139 patients who underwent cardiac surgery for valvular heart disease. There were 89 (64%) male and 50 (36%) female patients with a median age of 70 (IQR = 62–75) years. MV surgery was performed in 54 (39%) patients and 85 (61%) patients had valvular cardiac surgery for only AV disease. A total of 66 (47%) patients had significant coronary artery disease and 38 (27%) had a history of AF. All clinical characteristics are demonstrated in Table 1. Patients with MV disease presented more often with left atrial enlargement, left ventricular dysfunction, and AF prior to surgery; hypertension was more often observed in AV disease.

Mapping Data

The median number of electrogram recordings of the entire atrial surface acquired by high-resolution mapping was 1880 (945–2356) per patient. Qua}
Cardioversion was performed before mapping of sinus rhythm in 16 patients (42%) with a history of AF. However, there were no differences in the amount of conduction disorders between patients with AF who received a cardioversion and those who were spontaneously in sinus rhythm.

**Overall Conduction Delay and Block in Valvular Heart Disease**

A certain amount of both CD and CB was present in (nearly) all of the patients during sinus rhythm and the prevalence ranged respectively between 0.17–3.4% (median 1.26%) and 0–3.58% (median 1.15%) of the atrial surface. Figure 2 demonstrates the degree of CD and CB of the entire atria in patients with AV and MV disease. There was no difference in the atrial amount of CD/CB between patients with AV or MV disease. Both maximal peak gradient of patients with only aortic valve stenosis (n = 64, 78 ± 23 mmHg) and cycle length were not correlated to the total amount of CD and CB (p = 0.30 and p = 0.93).

**Atrial Distribution of Conduction Delay and Block**

Figure 3 demonstrates the average degree of CD and CB in each area for both groups. In Table 2, the maximal degrees of CD and CB for each area are shown. The largest difference in CD/CB between patients with AV and MV disease was seen at the lateral left atrial/LAA area. The maximal degree of CD at the lateral left atrium was higher in patients with MV disease and there was an overall trend towards more CD/CB at this site in the MV disease group. The average and maximal degree of CB was highest at the superior intercaval/terminal crest area of the right atrium (respectively 2.5% and 6.6%/cm², all p ≤ 0.001). Long lines of CB are often seen towards the posterior area of the right atrium, also in two out of three of the youngest patients in our study group (21–24 years).

**Relation of Conduction Disorders with Pre- and Postoperative AF**

Table 3 illustrates the relation and differences in clinical and electrophysiological parameters between patients with and without preoperative AF. AF patients were older, had more left atrial enlargement (> 45 mm), total CD/CB, and more CD/CB at Bachmann’s bundle and the lateral left atrium. The strongest parameter which correlated with preoperative AF was CD/CB at Bachmann’s bundle (rᵇ = 0.40, p < 0.001) followed by age (rᵇ = 0.31, p = 0.006).

Postoperative continuous rhythm registrations of 121 (87%) patients were available and analyzed for occurrence
of early postoperative AF. Postoperative AF occurred in 52%. Presence of total amount of atrial CD and CB in the upper quartile, preoperative AF, left atrial enlargement, left ventricular dysfunction, hypertension, and diabetes did not predict postoperative AF; only age was a limited risk factor for developing postoperative AF (OR 1.071, CI 1.023–1.122,

Fig. 2  Overall conduction delay and block in valvular heart disease. Histograms of the amount of conduction delay and block (CD/CB) of the entire atrial surface in patients with aortic valve (AV) and mitral valve (MV) disease

Fig. 3  Conduction delay and block per atrial area. Boxplots of the average percentage of conduction delay and block (CD/CB) in each area in patients with AV and MV disease (error bars indicate minimum and maximum, box indicates Q1-median-Q3). The right atrial intercaval superior area (RA-ICS) has the highest amount of CB ($p < 0.001$, significant after Bonferroni correction). Patients with MV disease tend to have more CD/CB at the lateral left atrium (LLA). RA = right atrium; ICS/I = intercaval superior/ inferior; RAS/I = right appendage superior/inferior; BB = Bachmann’s bundle; PLA = posterior left atrium; LLA = lateral left atrium
Neither univariate nor multivariate logistic regression including CD and CB in the upper quartile per area separately showed associations between electrophysiological parameters and postoperative AF.

Discussion

High-resolution mapping of the atria in patients with valvular heart disease demonstrated a high and heterogeneous occurrence of disturbances in electrical conduction during sinus rhythm. The highest prevalence was seen at the high right atrium. The overall degree of CD and CB did not differ between patients with AV and MV disease. However, the lateral left atrium demonstrated a higher maximal degree of CD per 1 cm² in patients with MV disease. Preoperative AF was most strongly correlated with conduction disorders at Bachmann’s bundle and age.

Conduction Block at the High Right Atrium

Both groups demonstrated a high prevalence and degree of CB at the high right atrium which is not specific for patients with left-sided valvular heart disease and is also observed in patients with coronary artery disease [8]. The high right atrium is characterized by the sinoatrial node area and fractionated atrial electrograms are common at this site in patients with sinus node dysfunction [9]. However, likely CB seen at this site is not caused by sinus node disease with advancing age, but anatomically determined. Even young patients in this study had long lines of CB lateral to the site of first activation. Previous studies have demonstrated that the sinus node is surrounded by arteries and connective tissue protecting the node from external electrical influences and that action potentials of the sinus node are conducted to atrial myocardium via specific exit pathways located superiorly and inferiorly. Lateral conduction towards the atrial septum, however, is blocked by the insulating tissue [10, 11]. Furthermore, the neighboring terminal crest is known for anisotropic conduction properties and slow conduction parallel to the crest which may also contribute to the observed CB at the high right atrium [12].

Conduction Disorders in AV Versus MV Disease

Both AV and MV disease have been associated with changes in the myocardial structure of the atria due to the altered hemodynamic effects [13, 14]. Structural remodeling can alter atrial electrophysiology and predispose to development of atrial tachyarrhythmias. The higher incidence of AF in MV disease suggests the presence of a higher degree of atrial remodeling in these patients. Roberts-Thomson et al. indeed demonstrated a larger amount of functional delay in conduction during pacing at the posterior left atrial wall in patients with MV disease compared to AV disease [15]. However, these differences were not present during sinus rhythm. We have investigated the entire atrial surface and identified the

Table 2 Prevalence and maximal degree of CD and CB per area

|          | All          | AV          | MV          | P value* |
|----------|--------------|-------------|-------------|----------|
|          | Prevalence (% patients) | Max degree (%/cm²) | Prevalence (% patients) | Max degree (%/cm²) | Prevalence (% patients) | Max degree (%/cm²) |        |
| RA-ICS   | CD 78        | 4.1 (0–20.8) | 79          | 4.2 (0–17.4) | 77          | 4.0 (0–20.8) | 0.391  |
|          | CB 73        | 6.6 (0–34.7) | 74          | 6.3 (0–30.6) | 72          | 6.9 (0–34.7) | 0.635  |
| RA-ICI   | CD 70        | 2.8 (0–13.9) | 72          | 2.8 (0–13.9) | 66          | 2.9 (0–11.1) | 0.578  |
|          | CB 55        | 2.1 (0–22.5) | 53          | 2.1 (0–22.5) | 59          | 2.8 (0–22.5) | 0.696  |
| RA-RAS   | CD 63        | 1.4 (0–13.0) | 65          | 1.4 (0–13.0) | 59          | 1.4 (0–9.7)  | 0.489  |
|          | CB 32        | 0 (0–22.2)   | 38          | 0 (0–22.2)   | 24          | 0 (0–14.7)  | 0.138  |
| RA-RAI   | CD 53        | 1.4 (0–15.3) | 47          | 0 (0–13.9)   | 62          | 1.5 (0–15.3) | 0.118  |
|          | CB 20        | 0 (0–12.5)   | 15          | 0 (0–10.3)   | 26          | 0 (0–12.5)  | 0.086  |
| BB       | CD 84        | 4.2 (0–18.1) | 82          | 4.2 (0–18.1) | 87          | 4.2 (0–13.9) | 0.847  |
|          | CB 70        | 3.5 (0–22.2) | 71          | 2.8 (0–20.8) | 67          | 4.2 (0–22.2) | 0.630  |
| PLA      | CD 81        | 4.2 (0–18.8) | 79          | 4.2 (0–18.8) | 85          | 4.7 (0–18.1) | 0.380  |
|          | CB 58        | 2.0 (0–23.6) | 58          | 2.1 (0–23.6) | 59          | 1.8 (0–19.6) | 0.975  |
| LLA      | CD 75        | 2.8 (0–23.6) | 69          | 2.8 (0–12.5) | 85          | 4.2 (0–23.6) | 0.001† |
|          | CB 50        | 0 (0–20.8)   | 45          | 0 (0–20.8)   | 58          | 1.8 (0–17.4) | 0.037  |

*p value of maximal degree of CB/CD between AV and MV patients. †Significant after Bonferroni correction; CD conduction delay; CB conduction block; RA right atrium; ICS/I intercaval superior/ inferior; RAS/I right appendage superior/ inferior; BB Bachmann’s bundle; PLA posterior left atrium; LLA lateral left atrium
lateral left atrium as a location with increased conduction delay in patients with MV disease.

### Atrial Fibrillation and Conduction Disorders During Sinus Rhythm

In sinus rhythm, more CD was observed at the left posterior wall in MV patients with persistent AF than without AF [16]. In our study, patients with MV disease had more conduction disorders at the lateral left atrium and a higher prevalence of AF. However, preoperative AF was most strongly correlated with conduction disturbances at Bachmann’s bundle. Teuwen et al. has recently found that a high amount and long lines of CB at Bachmann’s bundle during sinus rhythm predisposes for early postoperative AF in patients with coronary artery disease [17]. The highly organized structure and anisotropic features could leave Bachmann’s bundle more vulnerable to structural remodeling and disturbances in conduction that can even be identified during sinus rhythm. The myocardial strands crossing the right and left atrial roof are not enclosed by fibrous tissue and may perhaps be easily disrupted by stretch due to overload of either atrium [18]. The histological study of Becker et al. demonstrated in fact that structural continuity of Bachmann’s bundle is often compromised, especially in patients with AF [19]. Conduction disorders at Bachmann’s bundle have been proposed to be a measure of more general atrial electrical pathology [20]. However, we found that conduction disturbances in other areas during sinus rhythm are not related to AF.

### Study Limitations

The routine preoperative echocardiograms did not allow for a retrospective sampling of exact dimensions. This parameter was therefore limited to the used cut-off dimension. The atrial septum cannot be reached by epicardial mapping and is therefore not included in this study. The atrial areas are recorded in a sequential manner due to technical restrictions which may cause some overlap between recordings.

### Clinical Relevance

This study is the first to describe conduction disorders in high-resolution of the entire atrial surface during sinus rhythm in patients with valvular heart disease. It demonstrated that left atrial overload in MV disease also translates to more conduction disorders at the lateral left atrium during sinus rhythm and that Bachmann’s bundle is most affected in MV and AV patients with AF. These findings help to further understand the structural damage caused by valvular heart disease that alters electrical conduction and most likely creates susceptibility for AF.

### Conclusions

Excitation of the atria during sinus rhythm is heterogeneously disrupted in patients with AV and MV disease; however, in MV disease, more conduction disorders are present at the lateral left atrium. The occurrence of AF in presence of
valvular heart disease is associated with increased conduction disturbances in sinus rhythm at Bachmann’s bundle. Further research is necessary to determine the role of Bachmann’s bundle and lateral left atrium in the occurrence of AF in patients with valvular heart disease.
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