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1. Introduction
A function \(f(x)\) is said to be completely monotonic on an interval \(I\) if it has derivatives of all orders on \(I\) and satisfies
\[
(-1)^n f^{(n)}(x) \geq 0
\]
for $x \in I$ and $n \geq 0$. For more information on this class of functions, please refer to Mitrinović, Pečarić, and Fink (1993, Chapter XIII) and Widder (1946, Chapter IV).

It is common knowledge that the classical Euler’s gamma function is defined by

$$\Gamma(x) = \int_0^\infty t^{x-1} e^{-t} dt$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.2)

for $x > 0$, that the logarithmic derivative of $\Gamma(x)$ is called psi or digamma function and denoted by

$$\psi(x) = \frac{d}{dx} \ln \Gamma(x) = \frac{\Gamma'(x)}{\Gamma(x)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.3)

for $x > 0$, and that the derivatives $\psi^{(i)}(x)$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x > 0$ are called polygamma functions.

In Alzer and Batir (2007, p. 779), Alzer and Batir obtained that

1. the function

$$G_{\alpha}(x) = \ln \Gamma(x) - x \ln x + x - \frac{1}{2} \ln(2\pi) + \frac{1}{2} \psi(x + \alpha)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.4)

for $\alpha \geq 0$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{3}$,

2. so is the function $-G_{\alpha}(x)$ if and only if $\alpha = 0$.

We remark that the function $G_{\alpha}(x)$ may be reformulated as

$$G_{\alpha}(x) = \ln \Gamma(x) - \left( x - \frac{1}{2} \right) \ln x + x - \ln \sqrt{2\pi} + \frac{1}{2} \left[ \psi(x + \alpha) - \ln x \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.5)

In Merkle (1998, Theorem 1), Merkle proved that the function $F_{\alpha}(x)$ is strictly concave and the function $F_{\alpha}(x)$ for $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$ is strictly convex on $(0, \infty)$, where

$$F_{\alpha}(x) = \ln \Gamma(x) - \left( x - \frac{1}{2} \right) \ln x - \frac{1}{12} \psi'(x + \alpha)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.6)

for $\alpha \geq 0$. See also Qi (2010, p. 46, Section 4.3.3).

Stimulated by Merkle (1998, Theorem 1), the authors considered in Qi (2015), its preprint Qi (2013), and Şevli and Batir (2011) the function

$$f_{\alpha}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \ln(2\pi) - x + \left( x - \frac{1}{2} \right) \ln x - \ln \Gamma(x) + \frac{1}{12} \psi'(x + \alpha)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.7)

for $\alpha \geq 0$ and established that

1. the function $f_{\alpha}(x)$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $\alpha = 0$,

2. so is the function $-f_{\alpha}(x)$ if and only if $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$

After analysing the functions (1.5) and (1.7), we naturally introduce the function

$$f_{\alpha,b_i}(x) = \ln \Gamma(x) - \left( x - \frac{1}{2} \right) \ln x + x - \frac{1}{2} \ln(2\pi) - a_i \left[ (-1)^{i+1} \psi^{(i)}(x + b_i) \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.8)

on $(0, \infty)$, where $a_i > 0$ and $b_i \geq 0$ are real numbers and $i \geq 2$ are integers, and instinctively ask for complete monotonicity of it.
The main result of this paper is that neither \( f_{a,b}(x) \) nor \(-f_{a,b}(x)\) is completely monotonic on \((0, \infty)\), which can be formulated as the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1** For all real numbers \( a, b \geq 0 \) and all integers \( i \geq 2 \), neither the function \( f_{a,b}(x) \) defined by (1.8) nor its negative is completely monotonic on \((0, \infty)\).

In the final section of this paper, we also give several remarks about complete monotonicity of \( f_{a,b}(x) \) and other functions related to the gamma function.

### 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

The idea of this proof comes from the second proof of Qi (2013, Theorem 3.1) and its formally published version in Qi (2015, Theorem 2).

The famous Binet’s first formula of \( \ln \Gamma(x) \) for \( x > 0 \) is given by

\[
\ln \Gamma(x) = \left( x - \frac{1}{2} \right) \ln x - x + \frac{1}{2} \ln(2\pi) + \theta(x) \tag{2.1}
\]

where

\[
\theta(x) = \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{1}{e^t - 1} - \frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{e^{-xt}}{t} \, dt \tag{2.2}
\]

for \( x > 0 \) is called the remainder of Binet’s first formula for the logarithm of the gamma function \( \Gamma(x) \). See Magnus (1966, p. 11) or Qi and Guo (2010, p. 462). Combining this with the integral representation

\[
\psi^{(k)}(x) = (-1)^{k+1} \int_0^\infty \frac{t^k}{1 - e^{-t}} e^{-xt} \, dt \tag{2.3}
\]

for \( x > 0 \) and \( k \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \ldots\} \), see Abramowitz and Stegun (1972, p. 260, 6.4.1), yields

\[
f_{a,b}(x) = \theta(x) - a |(-1)^{i+1} \psi^{(i)}(x + b)|
\]

\[
= \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{t} \left( \frac{1}{e^t - 1} - \frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \left( -\frac{\alpha t^i e^{-bt}}{1 - e^{-t}} \right) e^{-xt} \, dt
\]

\[
= \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{t} \left[ \frac{1 - e^{-t}}{e^t - 1} - \frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{2} \right] - \alpha \frac{t^i e^{-bt}}{1 - e^{-t}} \, dt
\]

\[
= \int_0^\infty \left[ \frac{1}{t} \left( \frac{e^{-t} + 1}{2} + \frac{e^{-t} - 1}{t} \right) - \alpha \frac{t^i e^{-bt}}{1 - e^{-t}} \right] \, dt
\]

For \( t > 0 \) and \( i \geq 2 \), let

\[
h_i(t) = \frac{1}{t^{i+1}} \left( \frac{e^{-t} + 1}{2} + \frac{e^{-t} - 1}{t} \right) \tag{2.4}
\]

Completely monotonic functions were characterized by Widder (1946, p. 160, Theorem 12a) which reads that a necessary and sufficient condition that \( f(x) \) should be completely monotonic in \( 0 \leq x < \infty \) is that

\[
f(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-xt} \, d\alpha(t) \tag{2.5}
\]

where \( \alpha(t) \) is bounded and non-decreasing and the integral converges for \( 0 \leq x < \infty \). Therefore, in order to prove complete monotonicity of \( f_{a,b}(x) \) on \((0, \infty)\), it suffices to show the positivity or negativity of the function \( h_i(t) - \alpha e^{-bt} \) on \((0, \infty)\), which is equivalent to
\[ h_i(t) \geq a_i e^{-b_i t}, \quad \frac{1}{t} \ln \frac{h_i(t)}{a_i} \geq -b_i, \quad \frac{1}{t} \ln \frac{h_i(t)}{a_i} \geq -b_i, \quad b_i \geq -\frac{1}{t} \ln \frac{h_i(t)}{a_i} \]

Because

\[
\frac{1}{t} \ln \frac{h_i(t)}{a_i} = \frac{1}{t} \ln \frac{h_i(t)}{a t^{1-1}} = \frac{\ln[12h_i(t)]}{t} - \frac{\ln(12a t^{1-1})}{t}
\]

\[
\ln[12h_i(t)] \rightarrow \begin{cases} \frac{-1}{2}, & t \rightarrow 0^+ \\ 0, & t \rightarrow \infty \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\ln(12a t^{1-1})}{t} \rightarrow \begin{cases} -\infty, & t \rightarrow 0^+ \\ 0, & t \rightarrow \infty \end{cases}
\]

it follows that

\[
\frac{1}{t} \ln \frac{h_i(t)}{a_i} \rightarrow \begin{cases} -\infty, & t \rightarrow 0^+ \\ 0, & t \rightarrow \infty \end{cases}
\]

for \( i \geq 2 \). Thus, if \( b_i > 0 \) the function \( h_i(t) - a_i e^{-b_i t} \) for \( i \geq 2 \) and any positive number \( a_i \) is neither constantly positive nor constantly negative on \((0, \infty)\), so, by Widder (1946, p. 160, Theorem 12a) mentioned above, neither the function \( f_{a_i,b_i}(x) \) for \( i \geq 2 \), \( a_i > 0 \) and \( b_i > 0 \) nor its negative is completely monotonic on \((0, \infty)\).

It is easy to calculate that

\[
\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} h_i(t) = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h_i(t) = 0
\]

for \( i \geq 2 \). Hence, if \( b_i = 0 \) and \( a_i > 0 \), the function \( h_i(t) - a_i \) for \( i \geq 2 \) is still neither constantly positive nor constantly negative on \((0, \infty)\). Consequently, neither the function \( f_{a_i,b_i}(x) \) for \( i \geq 2 \) and \( a_i > 0 \) nor its negative is completely monotonic on \((0, \infty)\). The proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete.

3. Remarks

In this section, we list several remarks about some functions related to the logarithm of the gamma function \( \Gamma(x) \).

Remark 3.1 For \( a_i \leq 0 \) and \( b_i \geq 0 \), how about complete monotonicity of the function \( f_{a_i,b_i}(x) \) on \((0, \infty)\)?

Since the function

\[
\frac{1}{e^t - 1} = \frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{2}
\]

is positive and increasing on \((0, \infty)\), see Guo and Qi (2009; 2010), Zhang, Guo, and Qi (2009) and closely related references therein, the remainder \( \theta(t) \), defined by (2.2), of Binet’s first formula for the logarithm of the gamma function \( \Gamma(x) \) is clearly completely monotonic on \((0, \infty)\). The formula (2.3) reveals that the functions \((-1)^{x+1} \psi^{(1)}(x)\) are completely monotonic on \((0, \infty)\). As a result of the facts that the sum of finitely many completely monotonic functions and the product of any positive number of completely monotonic functions are both completely monotonic, we obtain that for all real numbers \( a_i \leq 0 \) and \( b_i \geq 0 \) the function \( f_{a_i,b_i}(x) \) defined by (1.8) is trivially completely monotonic on \((0, \infty)\).

Remark 3.2 Is the constant \( \frac{1}{12} \ln(1.7) \) the best possible? I believe it is.

Repeating the process in the proof of Theorem 1.1 for \( i = 1 \), we obtain that

\[
(1) \text{ if } a_1 > \frac{1}{12},
\]

\[
\frac{1}{t} \ln \frac{h_1(t)}{a_1} = \frac{\ln[12h_1(t)]}{t} - \frac{\ln(12a_1)}{t} \rightarrow \begin{cases} -\infty, & t \rightarrow 0^+ \\ 0, & t \rightarrow \infty \end{cases}
\]

(3.2)
(2) if \( a_1 < \frac{1}{12} \),

\[
\frac{1}{t} \ln \frac{h_1(t)}{a_1} = \frac{\ln[12h_1(t)]}{t} - \frac{\ln(12a_1)}{t} \rightarrow \begin{cases} \infty, & t \to 0^+ \\ 0, & t \to \infty \end{cases}
\]

(3.3)

Combining these with (2.6), we see that only the function \( f_{a_1, b_1}(x) \) for \( a_1 \leq \frac{1}{12} \) and \( b_1 \geq 0 \) is possible to be completely monotonic on \((0, \infty)\). It is apparent that

\[
f_{a_1, b_1}(x) = f_{1/12, b_1}(x) + \left( \frac{1}{12} - a_1 \right) \psi'(x + b_1)
\]

This means that, for \( a_1 \leq \frac{1}{12} \) and \( b_1 \geq \frac{1}{2} \), the function \( f_{a_1, b_1}(x) \) is completely monotonic on \((0, \infty)\).

Consequently, since \( f_{1/12, b_1} = f_b(x) \), the coefficient \( \frac{1}{12} \) in (1.7) is the best possible in the sense that the scalar \( \frac{1}{12} \) cannot be replaced by a bigger one. This sharpens the main result in Qi (2013; 2015), Şevli and Batir (2011).

**Remark 3.3** Is the scalar \( \frac{1}{2} \) in the last term of (1.5) the best possible? I believe it is.

For \( a \geq 0 \) and \( b \neq 0 \), let

\[
G_{a, b}(x) = \theta(x) + b[\psi(x + a) - \ln x]
\]

(3.4)

on \((0, \infty)\). It is clear that \( G_{a, 1/3}(x) = G_{a}(x) \). An easy calculation leads to

\[
G'_{a, b}(x) = \theta'(x) + b \left[ \psi'(x + a) - \frac{1}{x} \right]
\]

\[
= \int_0^\infty \left[ b \left( \frac{te^{-at} - 1}{1 - e^{-t}} - \left( \frac{1}{e^{t} - 1} - \frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right) e^{-xt} \right] dt
\]

\[
= \int_0^\infty \left[ 2b \frac{t [te^{1-a} - e^t + 1]}{(t - 2)e^t + t + 2} - 1 \right] \left( \frac{1}{e^{t} - 1} - \frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{2} \right) e^{-xt} \right] dt
\]

Let

\[
q_a(t) = \frac{t [te^{1-a} - e^t + 1]}{(t - 2)e^t + t + 2}
\]

for \( a \geq 0 \) and \( t > 0 \). By L'Hôpital rule, we have

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} q_a(t) = -\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{e^{-at}[(t + 1)e^{1+at} - e^{at} + te^t[(a - 1)t - 2]]}{(t - 1)e^t + 1}
\]

\[
= -\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{e^{-at}[(t + 2)e^{at} - (a - 1)^2t^2 + 4(a - 1)t - 2]}{t}
\]

\[
= -\lim_{t \to \infty} \left[ 1 + \frac{a^2t^2 - 2at^3 + 3a(t^2 + 8t + 6)}{t^2 + 2t + 2} \right] e^{-at}
\]

\[
= \begin{cases} -1, & a > 0 \\ -\frac{1}{6(1-2a)}, & a = 0 \\ \infty, & a < 0 \end{cases}
\]

and \( \lim_{t \to \infty} q_a(t) = 3(1 - 2a) \). For the function \( G_{a, b}(x) \) to be completely monotonic on \((0, \infty)\), it is necessary that \( 6b(1 - 2a) - 1 \leq 0 \) which implies that \( a < \frac{1}{2} \) and \( b \leq \frac{1}{6(1-2a)} \) are both necessary. Since \( \frac{1}{6(1-2a)} \) has a minimum \( \frac{1}{2} \) for \( \frac{1}{3} \leq a < \frac{1}{2} \) and \( G_{1/2, b}(x) \) is completely monotonic on \((0, \infty)\) if and only if \( a \geq \frac{1}{3} \), the coefficient \( \frac{1}{2} \) in front of the first bracket in (1.5) is the best possible in the sense that it cannot be substituted by a larger number.
Remark 3.4 Now we outline an alternative proof of the main result in Alzer and Batir (2007, p. 779).

When \( b = \frac{1}{2} \), the function \( G_{a,1/2}(x) = G_a(x) \). In order to prove that \( G_{a,1/2}(x) \) or its negative is completely monotonic on \((0, \infty)\), it is necessary that the function \(-G_{a,1/2}(x)\) or its negative is completely monotonic on \((0, \infty)\). The latter is equivalent to the inequality \( q_a(t) \leq 1 \) on \((0, \infty)\) and this inequality may be rewritten as

\[
1 - \alpha \leq \frac{1}{t^2} \ln \frac{2((t-1)e^{t}+1)}{t^2}
\]

The function

\[
\frac{1}{t^2} \ln \frac{2((t-1)e^{t}+1)}{t^2}
\]

is strictly increasing on \((0, \infty)\), with limits

\[
\lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{1}{t^2} \ln \frac{2((t-1)e^{t}+1)}{t^2} = \frac{2}{3} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t^2} \ln \frac{2((t-1)e^{t}+1)}{t^2} = 1
\]

This implies that the function \( G_{a,1/2}(x) \) is completely monotonic on \((0, \infty)\) if and only if \( \alpha \geq \frac{1}{3} \) and so is the function \(-G_{a,1/2}(x)\) if and only if \( \alpha = 0 \).

Remark 3.5 By the way, some complete monotonicity properties of functions involving the gamma and polygamma functions and relating to differences between two remainders \( \Theta(x) \) of Binet’s first formula for the logarithm of the gamma function \( \Gamma(x) \) have been investigated in Chen, Qi, and Srivastava (2010, p. 162–163, Section 5), Qi and Guo (2010, p. 464, Section 1.4, Theorem 3) and Chen and Srivastava (2011), Chen and Srivastava, Li, and Manyama (2011), Guo, Qi, and Srivastava (2010), Guo and Qi (2009), Guo, Qi, and Srivastava (2012), Guo, Qi, and Srivastava (2007; 2008), Guo and Srivastava (2009; 2008), Qi, Niu, and Guo (2007), Srivastava, Guo, and Qi (2012). For more information, please refer to the survey articles Qi (2010), Qi and Luo (2012) and plenty of references therein.

Remark 3.6 Finally, we pose an open problem. Discuss complete monotonicity of the functions

\[
\ln \Gamma(x) - \left( x - \frac{1}{2} \right) \ln x + x - \frac{1}{2} \ln(2\pi) - a_i \left[ (-1)^i \psi^{(i)}(x+b_i) + \frac{(i-1)!}{x^i} \right]
\]

and their negatives on \((0, \infty)\), where \( a_i > 0 \) and \( b_i \geq 0 \) are real numbers and \( i \geq 1 \) are integers.
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