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Abstract
Cystic fibrosis, due to the absence or abnormal function of the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator, is the most common life-limiting autosomal recessive genetic disorder among the Caucasian population. The lungs are particularly affected due to thick and tenacious mucus causing parenchymal anomalies ranging from bronchiectasis, progressive airflow limitation, respiratory infections, lung destruction and ultimately respiratory failure. Despite the remarkable advances in treatment that have greatly improved survival, most patients experience progressive exercise curtailment, with the consequence that a growing number of patients with cystic fibrosis will be referred for exercise-based evaluations in the forthcoming years. Cardiopulmonary exercise testing, in particular, is a useful tool to assess the mechanisms of exercise intolerance in individual patients that may have treatment and prognostic implications. In this review, we will focus on ventilatory efficiency and its clinical and prognostic value in adults with cystic fibrosis.

Introduction
This review belongs to the series on “Ventilatory efficiency and its clinical and prognostic value in cardiorespiratory disorders”. The review is addressed to clinicians, physiologists and researchers to encourage them to get acquainted with cardiopulmonary exercise testing (CPET) in order to help and orient the clinical decision concerning adult individual patients with cystic fibrosis (CF). CF is due to the absence or abnormal function of the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) and represents the most common life-limiting autosomal recessive genetic disorder among the Caucasian population. Due to the systemic nature of the disease, many organs are involved; the lungs, however, are primarily affected because of progressive chronic obstructive anomalies, secondary to the very thick and tenacious mucus that leads to bronchiectasis with resultant respiratory infections, progressive airflow limitation and lung destruction and ultimately respiratory failure. Recent major advances in CF treatments have significantly prolonged life expectancy in patients with CF in the last 20 years to the extent that nowadays there are more adults who are affected by the disease than children. Despite this, patients with CF experience progressive exercise curtailment that typically worsens over time, the major consequence of this being that more and more patients will be referred for CPET evaluation in the future. Exercise (in)tolerance is a well-established indicator of prognosis in CF [1, 2], as is the case in several chronic cardiac
and pulmonary diseases [3, 4]. This is the reason why clinicians should encourage patients with CF to exercise on a regular basis and be active from a physical activity standpoint, along with keeping a good nutritional state. This is in line with the results of numerous studies that have clearly pointed out that the rate of decline in lung function can be reduced or slowed by having patients with CF engage in regular exercise and physical activity along with a good nutritional state. In addition, the rate of pulmonary exacerbations can be drastically reduced with exercise training programmes and pulmonary physiotherapy. This review outlines the role of exercise testing, mainly CPET, in assessing the functional status and the prognosis of adult patients with CF, with a particular focus on the ventilatory efficiency and its clinical and prognostic value.

**Functional evaluation of adults with CF: the role of CPET and field tests**

It is well established that measurements of resting spirometry-related indices, such as forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV₁), are not able to predict exercise (in)tolerance in patients with CF [3–5]. Exercise performance should, therefore, be assessed particularly in the mild to moderate phases of CF, and CPET is the gold standard tool for this assessment, as is the case in several disorders [3, 4]. CPET not only enables maximal or peak oxygen uptake (V′O₂ peak) to be determined but it also sheds light upon the mechanisms of exercise curtailment, such as ventilatory limitation, cardiovascular limitation and metabolic/muscle limitation [3, 4].

When CPET equipment is not accessible, field tests can be used. Field tests are however less accurate than CPET in evaluating the extent of exercise curtailment and the responses to interventions [4]. Nonetheless, two measures obtained by field tests have been used in studies enrolling patients with CF to assess the response to therapeutic intervention, such as the distance covered during the 6-min walk test (6MWT) and the number of steps climbed during a 3-min step test [6]. Interestingly, Chetta and co-workers showed that adult patients with CF and mild to moderate lung disease, when compared with healthy controls, did not differ in walk distance and in pulse rate when they performed the 6MWT, but differed in oxygen saturation and breathlessness perception [7].

An emerging field test is the Shuttle Test: while the 6MWT is a self-paced walking test that approximately correlates with V′O₂ peak measured during CPET, the Shuttle Test is an incremental externally paced audio signal whose highest speed better correlates with the V′O₂ peak measured during CPET [8] than with 6MWT. This information is lacking in adults with CF.

**Factors limiting exercise tolerance in CF detected at CPET**

Ventilatory limitation (e.g. low FEV₁) is usually the major factor limiting exercise in patients with CF who are in their advanced phase of the disease. However, deconditioning and poor nutritional condition may also represent important factors limiting or contributing to exercise curtailment. Exertional dyspnoea and leg discomfort are usually the most common reasons for stopping exercise in patients with CF, albeit no difference has recently been found in frequency of these two symptoms in curtailing exercise when comparing patients with CF with healthy subjects [9]. Peripheral factors such as skeletal muscle dysfunction presenting with decreased muscle mass/force may be responsible for reduced aerobic capacity and premature lactate production that, all together, may promote a rise in ventilatory demand during exercise. Dynamic lung hyperinflation on exertion may occur in patients with CF [10] as is the case in other chronic respiratory disorders such as COPD. The development of dynamic lung hyperinflation, measured by the decrease of inspiratory capacity, should be reported [11] as it highly correlates with dyspnoea [12, 13] and is amenable to treatment with bronchodilators [12, 13]. Arterial oxygen desaturation may occur during exercise [3]. In other disorders such as COPD and lung fibrosis, arterial oxygen desaturation usually develops on exertion when T_{LCO}/D_{LCO} (transfer factor of the lung for carbon monoxide/diffusing capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide) is <50% predicted [3]; in addition, arterial oxygen desaturation is more frequent during walking than cycling in COPD [14]. In some patients affected by CF who experience peripheral muscle dysfunction, such as deconditioning and poor muscle energetics, anaerobic metabolism may kick in early in the course of exercise leading to early lactate production [3]. Last but not least CPET clearly defines the extent of exercise curtailment (i.e. V′O₂ peak), predicts prognosis [1, 2] and sheds light on the mechanisms of reduced exercise tolerance in patients with CF.

**The physiological, clinical and prognostic value of the ventilatory efficiency in adults with CF**

The steepness with which minute ventilation (V′E) increases in response to and with respect to pulmonary carbon dioxide output (V′CO₂) defines the appropriate ventilatory response to exercise (i.e. ventilatory efficiency) and depends on how and to what extent V′E obeys pulmonary gas exchange and acid-base regulations [15]. Thus, three variables drive the ventilatory demand/response of/to exercise: the rate of V′CO₂, the set-point at which arterial CO₂ partial pressure (P_{aCO₂}) is regulated, and the physiological dead
space fraction of tidal breath (\(V_D/V_T\)), as described by the modified Bohr equation [15]:

\[
\frac{V'_E}{V'_CO_2} = \frac{863}{P_{aCO_2} \times (1 - V_D/V_T)}
\]

In the ideal lung, at a regulated \(P_{aCO_2}\), there is homogeneous evenness of lung ventilation to perfusion (\(V'/Q'\)). With unevenness, efficiency of lung gas exchange is impaired, and this necessitates a rise in \(V'_E\) for a given \(V'_CO_2\), to maintain a stable \(P_{aCO_2}\). This is the reason why exercise ventilatory efficiency is best described by the \(V'_E/V'_CO_2\) relationship. This relationship is explained by four indices [16]: its steepness (the \(V'_E/V'_CO_2\) slope), its intercept on the y-axis, the absolute ratio measured at its lowest value during CPET (nadir) and the absolute ratio measured at anaerobic/lactate threshold, as thoroughly reviewed in the fourth review in this series by Ward [17]. In order to refrain from underestimating ventilatory (in)efficiency, a cautious interpretation of these indices is essential in specific clinical populations, such as those presenting with respiratory mechanical constraints, for example, COPD [18]. Ventilatory (in)efficiency has been demonstrated to be clinically useful in exploring the pathophysiological mechanisms of exercise intolerance, in defining the functional impact of cardiopulmonary diseases on gas exchange during CPET, in evaluating disease progression, in identifying comorbidities, and in assessing therapeutic intervention in COPD [19]. In addition, ventilatory (in)efficiency is a well-established independent prognostic factor in numerous cardiorespiratory disorders, such as pulmonary fibrosis, pulmonary hypertension and chronic heart failure [4, 15]. Therefore, it would not be surprising that ventilatory efficiency would hold important clinical and prognostic information in a complex disease such as CF characterised by chronic inflammation and thus remodelling of the airways, which result in frequent pulmonary exacerbations, progressive worsening in lung function and \(V'/Q'\) unevenness. Recently, Di Paolo et al. [20] have pointed out that altered ventilatory efficiency is a pathophysiological hallmark of CF and that it could hold a greater sensitivity than lung function tests in identifying heterogeneity of ventilation. They provided a thorough frame of reference pertaining to indices of ventilatory efficiency in CF adults presenting with normal to moderately altered lung function and pointed out that ventilatory efficiency was impaired even in adult patients with CF with normal resting lung function (figure 1) [20]. They also stressed the importance of measuring the intercept to properly illustrate ventilatory inefficiency as the severity of lung disease increases (figure 2) [20]. Finally, they pointed out that ventilatory inefficiency seems to be impacted rather by an altered \(V_D/V_T\) than by the occurrence of dynamic lung hyperinflation or by an abnormal CO2 set-point, thus ruling out the potential contribution of dynamic lung hyperinflation and chemosensitivity in defining the ventilatory demand/efficiency in adult patients with CF [20]. Altered \(V_D/V_T\) in CF results from both large and small airway remodelling [21, 22]. Di Paolo et al. [20] demonstrated that ventilatory inefficiency is independent from resting lung functional impairment and, in addition, is altered even in patients in which resting spirometry may underestimate the actual severity of lung disease. This is an important information because continuous deterioration in lung function is a typical characteristic in the natural evolution of CF, and following up its progression is instrumental in identifying patients who necessitate more robust therapeutic intervention to decelerate this immutable process [23]. Measuring ventilatory efficiency or inefficiency is therefore a useful and emerging tool in clinical practice, along with other indices such as the lung clearance index (LCI), pulmonary magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and high-resolution computed tomography (HRCT).

The LCI is a measure of ventilation distribution inhomogeneity which can be calculated with the multiple breath washout method [24]. LCI is able to detect pathological abnormalities within the peripheral airways, even in many patients with CF with normal FEV1% in early stages of their lung disease [25–27]. LCI can therefore inform clinicians on the “silent zone of the lung”, meaning the pathological lung compartments that are usually not detectable by conventional lung function tests such as spirometry [25–27]. Therefore, LCI is of great value for lung diseases such as CF [28], for which early detection of structural injuries and immediate interventions are instrumental to slow the progression of the disease [25–27]. It has been shown that, in most cases, a normal LCI can rule out the presence of anomalies observed at HRCT [29, 30]. LCI has also been found to relate in particular to the extent of bronchiectasis, and the presence of mucus plugging and emphysema [31]. These findings may open to the suggestion of routine using of LCI as a reliable alternative to HRCT as a first line exam to rule out lung injury while minimising radiation exposure. Some studies have recently assessed the performance of pulmonary MRI using hyperpolarised gas among patients with CF and found a strong correlation between anomalies detected at MRI and abnormal LCI values [28, 32–36]. The extent of ventilatory efficiency alteration has been recently found to correlate with the degree of air trapping at HRCT scan but not with scores indicating airway disease such as bronchiectasis, mucus plugging and bronchial wall thickening [37], nor with the measures of transfer or diffusion capacity of the lung for carbon monoxide (\(T_{LCO}\) or \(D_{LCO}\)) [20]. This comes as a surprise given...
that \( \text{T}_{\text{LCO}}/\text{DLCO} \) is a recognised predictor of ventilatory inefficiency in COPD [38]. It is reasonable to think that the lower extent of pulmonary vascular and parenchymal destruction in CF might explain, at least in part, the absence of correlation between ventilatory (in)efficiency and \( \text{T}_{\text{LCO}}/\text{DLCO} \) in adults with CF. Higher dead space and lower tidal volume secondary to mechanical constraints induced by dynamic lung hyperinflation are deemed to play a fundamental role in CF. In addition, the lack of solid correlations between ventilatory (in)efficiency and resting lung function measures including \( \text{T}_{\text{LCO}}/\text{DLCO} \), clearly indicates that measures derived from CPET and resting lung function are complementary in CF population [20].

Despite the evidence of its clinical utility, CPET yet seems to be largely underused in CF. This seems true at least for its prognostic role in patients with CF, despite the past 30 years of clinical evidence collected on its prognostic utility [1, 39–41] and recently highlighted by HEBESTREIT et al. in a large multicentre study [2]. Eight years survival is three times greater in patients with CF presenting with \( \text{V'O}_2 \) peak >82\% pred than in those presenting with low \( \text{V'O}_2 \) peak <58\% pred [1]. In addition, mortality is increased among patients with CF presenting with a \( \text{V'O}_2 \) peak <32 mL·min\(^{-1}\)·kg\(^{-1}\) compared with those presenting with \( \text{V'O}_2 \) peak >45 mL·min\(^{-1}\)·kg\(^{-1}\) [2]. HEBESTREIT et al. [2] in a large, international multicentre study confirmed that \( \text{V'O}_2 \) peak and work rate peak were solid predictors of survival (over a 10-year period) and lung transplantation in patients with CF.

**FIGURE 1** Representative cases of ventilatory inefficiency profiles in patients with cystic fibrosis with normal (a and b), mildly impaired (c and d) and moderately impaired (e and f) lung function. Each data point is the arithmetic mean of breath-to-breath values recorded over a 15 s period. \( \text{V'E} \): minute ventilation; \( \text{V'CO}_2 \): carbon dioxide output. \( \Delta = \text{nadir} – \text{slope difference} \). Reproduced from Di PAOLO et al. [20], with permission.
What about the prognostic role of ventilatory efficiency or inefficiency in adult patients with CF? This is still debated. HEBESTREIT et al. [2] did not find a correlation between the $V'_{E}/V'_{CO2}$ slope and survival.

**FIGURE 2** Comparison of $V'_{E}/V'_{CO2}$ nadir (a), slope (c) and intercept (e) in patients with cystic fibrosis according to the severity of impairment to lung function and matched HC. Patients were divided into groups according to pulmonary function test results. G1: normal lung function: FEV1/FVC $\geq 0.7$, FEV1 $\geq 80\%$ pred, and FVC $\geq 80\%$ pred; G2: mild impairment: not satisfying criteria for G1 and FEV1 $\geq 70\%$ pred; G3: moderate impairment: not satisfying criteria for G1 and 40\% $\leq$ FEV1 $<70\%$ pred. Relative frequencies of nadir (b), slope (d), and intercept (f) above the upper limit of normality (ULN) estimated from the 95th percentile of HC distribution. ULN=28.5, 29.5, and 5.3 L·min$^{-1}$ for nadir, slope, and intercept, respectively. p-values intended for comparisons between all subgroups of participants. *: p<0.05 versus HC, using a post hoc test. CF: cystic fibrosis; FEV1: forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FVC: forced vital capacity; HC: healthy controls; $V'_{CO2}$: carbon dioxide output; $V'_{E}$: minute ventilation. Reproduced from Di PAOLO et al. [20], with permission.

**Future directions and perspectives**

What about the prognostic role of ventilatory efficiency or inefficiency in adult patients with CF? This is still debated. HEBESTREIT et al. [2] did not find a correlation between the $V'_{E}/V'_{CO2}$ slope and survival nor
lungs transplantation in their patients with CF, but they found that $V'_E/V'_CO_2$ and $V'_E/V'_CO_2$, both at peak exercise, were solid and strong predictors of survival (over a 10-year period) and lung transplantation in their patients with CF [2]. Whether $V'_E/V'_O_2$ and $V'_E/V'_CO_2$, both at peak exercise, are to be considered as indices of ventilatory efficiency (or inefficiency) is unclear and further well-designed prospective studies are needed to answer this question.

The data from the retrospective analysis by Herbstreit et al. [2] show that those patients with the worst prognosis had evidence of possible ventilatory constraint at peak exercise, with $V'_E/V'_CO_2$ values similar to those with better resting lung function and better overall prognosis. This suggests that ventilatory efficiency might behave similarly to what is known for COPD patients [18], with a pseudo-normalisation of the slope and a progressive increase in the intercept instead [19]. Therefore, it is not surprising that the choice of the slope as the only marker of ventilatory efficiency in an outcome-based cluster analysis may be misleading and actually underestimate the possible prognostic relevance of ventilatory efficiency in a disease such as CF where ventilatory constraints are common in the most severe stages [20, 42].

In this regard, only two studies are available that focused in detail on the features of ventilatory efficiency in CF: one from Di Paolo et al. in adults with CF [20], and another from Kampionas et al. [43] in 11–20-year-old patients with CF accompanied by a commentary by Neder et al. [42]. Although different for populations features, severity of lung diseases and the criteria used to define the severity of lung disease itself, both studies agree in showing that in CF the $V'_E/V'_CO_2$ slope does not represent the best marker to describe the progressive impairment in ventilatory efficiency along with the worsening in lung function. However, the intercept had an obvious increasing trend among patients with more severe disease, as well as the nadir (see above), whose value was also found to be independent of the development of dynamic hyperinflation by Di Paolo et al. [20].

In light of these considerations, it is our opinion that the effects of CF progression on ventilatory (in)efficiency, the physiological and structural determinants, as well as their prognostic value, remain an open field for future investigations.

Summary
CF is the most common life-limiting autosomal recessive genetic disorder among Caucasians. The lungs are particularly affected due to the very thick and tenacious mucus causing parenchymal anomalies ranging from bronchiectasis, progressive airflow limitation, respiratory infections, lung destruction and ultimately respiratory failure. Despite the remarkable advances in treatment that greatly improved survival, most patients experience progressive exercise curtailment, with the consequence that a growing number of patients with CF will be referred to exercise-based evaluations in the forthcoming years. CPET in particular is a useful tool to assess the mechanisms of exercise intolerance in individual patients and may have therapeutic and prognostic implications. Measuring ventilatory efficiency or inefficiency (and their physiological and structural determinants) during CPET is a useful and emerging tool in clinical practice, but its prognostic role is still debated and needs further thorough investigation.
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