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ABSTRACT
Some novel transition metal [Co(II), Cu(II), Ni(II) and Zn(II)] complexes of substituted pyridine Schiff-bases have been prepared and characterized by physical, spectral and analytical data. The synthesized Schiff-bases act as deprotonated tridentate for the complexation reaction with Co(II), Ni(II) and Zn(II) ions. The new compounds, possessing the general formula [M(L)₂] where [M=Co(II), Cu(II), Ni(II) and Zn(II)] and HL=HL¹, HL², HL³ and HL⁴] show an octahedral geometry. In order to evaluate the effect of metal ions upon chelation, the Schiff bases and their complexes have been screened for antibacterial activity against the strains such as Escherichia coli, Staphylococcus aureus, and Pseudomonas aeruginosa. The complexed Schiff bases have shown to be more antibacterial against one more bacterial species as compared to uncomplexed Schiff-bases.

INTRODUCTION
Much attention has been devoted by bioinorganic as well as by medicinal chemists to the relationship between the metal ions and their complexes as antitumour and antibacterial agents. In vivo studies have indicated that some biologically active compounds may become more carcinostatic and bacteriostatic upon chelation. Such interactions of transition metal ions with amino acids, peptides and nucleic acids, are of immense biological importance. Several reviews showed that the metallo-organic chemistry of such compounds greatly influence their biological action highlighting the catalytic function metals in many biological processes.

Several studies have revealed that by condensation of salicylaldehyde with different heterocyclic compounds, derivatives with potent antibacterial and antifungal activity are obtained. Osman et al prepared thiazole derived compounds of salicylaldehyde which were found to be highly potent antibacterial against Bacillus cereus and antifungal, against Aspergillus niger. Several compounds incorporating piperazinyl guanidine, when condensed with salicylaldehyde were found to exhibit cardiovascular and vasodepressive activity. Studies of Shah et al also showed thiazolidinone-derived salicylaldehydes to possess good antimicrobial activity. Keeping in view the significance of metals in biology, we have previously reported several series of biologically active compounds and have evaluated the role of metal ions on their biological activity. In continuation to the same research topic, we report here some novel substituted pyridine Schiff bases obtained from salicylaldehyde. We have also studied the effect of substituents as well as metals ions on the biological activity of these derivatives.

EXPERIMENTAL
Material and Methods
All chemicals and solvents used were of Analar grade. All metal(II) salts were used as chlorides. IR spectra were recorded on a Philips Analytical PU 9800 FTIR spectrophotometer. UV-Visible spectra were obtained in DMF on a Hitachi U-2000 double-beam spectrophotometer. C, H and N analyses was carried out by Butterworth Laboratories Ltd. Conductance of the metal complexes was determined in DMF on a Hitachi YSI-32 model conductometer. Magnetic measurements were made on solid complexes using the Gouy method. Melting points were recorded on a Gallenkamp apparatus and are uncorrected.

(Fig. 1) Structure of the Schiff bases
Preparation of Schiff base (HL)

Salicylaldehyde (1.2 g, 1.1 mL, 0.01 M) in ethanol (10 mL) was added to an ethanol solution (20 mL) of 2-amino-5-hydroxypyridine (1.0 g, 0.01 M). Then 2-3 drops of conc. H₂SO₄ were added and the mixture refluxed for 2 h. On cooling, a solid product was formed which was filtered, washed with ethanol, then with ether and dried. Crystallization from hot ethanol gave HL₁. The same method was applied for the preparation of HL₂, HL₃ and HL₄ by using the corresponding reagents in the same molar ratio.

Preparation of cobalt(II) complex of HL

A warm ethanol solution (20 mL) of HL₁ (0.4 g, 0.002 M) was added to a magnetically stirred solution of cobalt chloride hexahydrate (0.24 g, 0.001 M) in distilled water (25 mL). The mixture was refluxed for 1 h and cooled to room temperature. On cooling, pink precipitates were formed which were filtered, washed with ethanol, acetone and ether, and dried by suction. Crystallization from aqueous ethanol (30:70) gave the desired metal complex (1). All other metal complexes were prepared respectively following the same method.

Antibacterial studies

The synthesized metal complexes, in comparison to the uncomplexed Schiff-bases were screened for their antibacterial activity against pathogenic bacterial strains, Escherichia coli, Staphylococcus aureus and Pseudomonas aeruginosa. The paper disc diffusion method⁴⁹ reported elsewhere was adopted for the determination of antibacterial activity.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Physical properties

The Schiff bases (HL₁-HL₄) (Fig. 1) were prepared by refluxing an appropriate amount of 5-hydroxy-, nitro-, methoxy- or bromo-substituted 2-aminopyridine and salicylaldehyde in hot ethanol in 1:1 molar ratio respectively. The structures of these Schiff bases were established with the help of their IR, NMR, and microanalytical data (Tables 1 and 2). These Schiff bases were then used for the complexation with Co(II), Cu(II), Ni(II) and Zn(II) ions. All of the synthesized metal complexes [(1)-(16)] (Table 3) were air and moisture stable. These were prepared by the stoichiometric reaction of the corresponding metal salts (as chlorides) and the Schiff base in molar ratios M:L of 1:2. The complexes are intensely colored, amorphous solids, which decompose above 200 °C. They are insoluble in common organic solvents such as ethanol, methanol, chloroform or acetone, but soluble in DMSO and DMF. Molar conductance values of the soluble complexes in DMF showed low values (12-19 ohm⁻¹ cm² mol⁻¹) indicating them to be non-electrolytic.

Table I. Physical, spectral and analytical data of the Schiff bases

| Schiff base | IR (cm⁻¹) | Calc (Found) % | M.P (°C) | Yield (%) |
|------------|-----------|----------------|----------|-----------|
| HL₁        | 3425 (br, Ph-OH), 3432 (br, Py-OH), 1630 (s, HC=N), 1620 (s, C=N). | 67.3 4.7 13.1 (67.8) (4.5) (13.0) | 118 | 78 |
| HL₂        | 3430 (br, OH), 1635 (s, HC=N), 1620 (s, C=N). | 59.3 3.7 17.3 (59.5) (3.9) (17.5) | 126 | 70 |
| HL₃        | 3430 (br, OH), 1635 (s, HC=N), 1625 (s, C=N). | 68.4 5.2 12.3 (68.3) (5.5) (12.0) | 137 | 75 |
| HL₄        | 3430 (br, OH), 1635 (s, HC=N), 1625 (s, C=N). | 52.2 2.9 10.1 (52.6) (3.2) (10.3) | 148 | 74 |

s=sharp, br=broad

Infrared spectra

IR spectra of the Schiff bases showed the absence of bands at 1735 and 3420 cm⁻¹ due to carboxyl ν(C=O) and ν(NH₂) stretching vibrations and, instead, appearance of a strong new band at ~1635 cm⁻¹ assigned to the azomethine, ν(HC=N) linkage. It suggested that amino and aldehyde moieties of the starting reagents are absent and have been converted into the azomethine moiety (Fig.1). The comparison of the IR spectra of the Schiff bases and their metal chelates (Table 4) indicated that the Schiff bases were principally coordinated to the metal atom in three ways, representing thus the ligands acting in a tridentate manner.
The band appearing at 1635 cm\(^{-1}\) due to the azomethine was shifted to lower frequency by -10-15 cm\(^{-1}\) indicating participation of the azomethine nitrogen in the complexation.

The band at 1620 cm\(^{-1}\) assigned to pyridine ring v(C=N) nitrogen also shifted to lower frequency by -15-25 cm\(^{-1}\) which was indicative of the involvement of ring nitrogen of pyridine in chelation.

A band appearing at 3425 cm\(^{-1}\) assigned to v(OH) in the Schiff base compounds was not found in the spectra of their metal complexes indicating deprotonation and coordination of the hydroxyl oxygen to the metal atom.

Further conclusive evidence of the coordination of these Schiff base compounds with the metals, was shown by the appearance of weak low frequency new bands at 525-530 and 455-460 cm\(^{-1}\). These were assigned\(^3\) to the metal-nitrogen v(M-N) and metal-oxygen v(M-O) respectively. These new bands were observable only in the spectra of the metal complexes and not in the spectra of its uncomplexed Schiff base compounds thus confirming participation of these hetero groups (O or N) in the coordination.

### NMR spectra

The \(^1\)H NMR spectra of the Schiff bases and of their Zn(II) complexes taken in DMSO-d\(_6\) are listed in Table 2. The Schiff bases exhibited signals due to all the expected protons in their expected region and have been identified from the integration curve found to be equivalent to the total number of protons deduced from their proposed structures. These were compared with the reported\(^2\) signals of the known identical compounds and give further support for the compositions of the new ligands as well as their complexes suggested by their IR and elemental analyses data. Comparison of the chemical shifts of the uncomplexed Schiff bases with those of the corresponding complex show that some of the resonance signals experience shifts upon the complexation. In each case, the protons assigned due to heteroaromatic (HC=N), azomethine (HC=N),...
hydroxyl group (OH) and substituted aromatic were found at around \( \sim 8.8, 7.3, 9.9 \) and \( 6.8-7.7 \) ppm in the spectra of the ligands. The protons due to heteroaromatic, azomethine and substituted aromatic undergo shift towards downfield by 0.9-1.0 ppm in the complexes indicating coordination of these groups with the metal atom. Also, protons due to hydroxyl group (OH) were found absent in the spectra of the complexes. The absence of these signals suggested the deprotonation of the hydroxyl group and the involvement of the oxygen atom in complexation.

### Table 3. Physical and analytical data of the metal(II) chelates

| No | Metal chelate/ Mol. Formula | Yield (%) | M.P (°C) (decomp) | B.M. (μ eff) | Calc (Found)% |
|----|---------------------------|-----------|-------------------|-------------|---------------|
| 1  | [Co(L')₃] C₂₄H₁₈CoN₄O₄ | 60        | 218-220           | 4.2         | 59.4 (59.1)    |
| 2  | [Co(L')₃] C₂₄H₂₀CoN₄O₄ | 56        | 222-222           | 4.7         | 53.0 (53.4)    |
| 3  | [Co(L')₃] C₂₄H₂₂CoN₄O₄ | 58        | 222-223           | 4.5         | 60.8 (60.7)    |
| 4  | [Cu(L')₃] C₂₄H₂₄CoBr₂N₄O₄ | 61       | 225-227           | 4.3         | 45.2 (45.6)    |
| 5  | [Cu(L')₃] C₂₄H₂₆CoBr₂N₄O₄ | 57       | 228-230           | 1.4         | 58.8 (58.7)    |
| 6  | [Cu(L')₃] C₂₄H₂₈CoBr₂N₄O₄ | 58       | 221-214           | 1.5         | 60.3 (60.5)    |
| 7  | [Cu(L')₃] C₂₄H₃₀CoBr₂N₄O₄ | 60       | 226-228           | 1.4         | 44.8 (45.1)    |
| 8  | [Ni(L')₃] C₂₄H₁₈NiN₄O | 61       | 220-222           | 3.3         | 59.4 (59.5)    |
| 9  | [Ni(L')₃] C₂₄H₂₀NiN₄O | 56       | 225-228           | 3.1         | 53.1 (53.4)    |
| 10 | [Ni(L')₃] C₂₄H₂₂NiN₄O | 58       | 228-230           | 3.4         | 60.9 (60.7)    |
| 11 | [Ni(L')₃] C₂₄H₂₄NiN₄O | 60       | 222-224           | 3.2         | 45.2 (45.5)    |
| 12 | [Zn(L')₃] C₂₄H₁₈ZnN₄O | 60       | 215-217           | Dia         | 58.6 (58.9)    |
| 13 | [Zn(L')₃] C₂₄H₂₀ZnN₄O | 58       | 218-220           | Dia         | 52.4 (52.7)    |
| 14 | [Zn(L')₃] C₂₄H₂₂ZnN₄O | 61       | 222-224           | Dia         | 60.1 (60.2)    |
| 15 | [Zn(L')₃] C₂₄H₂₄ZnN₄O | 62       | 225-227           | Dia         | 44.7 (44.5)    |

### Magnetic moments and UV-visible spectra

The room temperature magnetic moment of the solid cobalt (II) complexes was found to lie in the range (4.2-4.7 B.M), indicative of three unpaired electrons per Co (II) ion in an octahedral environment. The Cu (II) complexes showed \( μ e \) values in the range (1.4-1.6 B.M) indicative of one unpaired electron per Cu (II) ion suggesting these complexes within the range consistent to spin-free distorted octahedral geometry. Similarly the In (II) complexes showed \( μ e \) values in the range (3.1-3.4 B.M), corresponding to two unpaired electrons per Zn(II) ion for their ideal six-coordinated configuration. The Zn(II) complexes were all found diamagnetic.

The electronic spectra of the Co(II) chelates showed three bands observed at 8780-8815, 17560-18425 and 30210-30575 cm\(^{-1}\) which may be assigned to \( ^{4}T_{1g} \rightarrow ^{2}T_{2g}(F) \), \( ^{4}T_{1g} \rightarrow ^{2}A_{2g}(F) \) and \( ^{4}T_{1g} \rightarrow ^{2}T_{1g}(P) \) transitions respectively and are suggestive of the octahedral geometry around the cobalt ions.

The Cu(II) complexes showed three absorption bands between 10 Dq band for a distorted octahedral geometry corresponding to the transitions \( 2E_g \rightarrow 2T_{2g} \). The bands at 22152-22355 and 30550-30645 cm\(^{-1}\) may be due to intra-ligand charge transfer transitions.
The Ni(II) complexes exhibited three spin-allowed bands at 815-10145, 15945-16250 and 28540-2910 cm⁻¹ assignable respectively, to the transitions $^{3}A_{2g}(F) \rightarrow ^{3}T_{2g}(F)(v_1)$, $^{3}A_{2g}(F) \rightarrow ^{3}T_{1g}(F)(v_2)$ and $^{3}A_{2g}(F) \rightarrow ^{3}T_{2g}(F)(v_3)$ which were characteristic of their octahedral geometry (Fig. 2).

Table 4. IR and UV-visible spectral data of the metal(II) chelates

| No | IR (cm⁻¹) | $\lambda_{max}$ (cm⁻¹) |
|----|----------|------------------------|
| 1  | 1625 (s, HC=N), 1580 (s, C=N), 525 (ms, M-N), 455 (ms, M-O) | 30210, 18425, 8815 |
| 2  | 1620 (s, HC=N), 1585 (s, C=N), 525 (ms, M-N), 455 (ms, M-O) | 30575, 17850, 8795 |
| 3  | 1620 (s, HC=N), 1590 (s, C=N), 530 (ms, M-N), 460 (ms, M-O) | 30545, 17950, 8810 |
| 4  | 1620 (s, HC=N), 1590 (s, C=N), 530 (ms, M-N), 460 (ms, M-O) | 30550, 17560, 8780 |
| 5  | 1625 (s, HC=N), 1585 (s, C=N), 525 (ms, M-N), 455 (ms, M-O) | 30565, 22255 |
| 6  | 1620 (s, HC=N), 1580 (s, C=N), 530 (ms, M-N), 455 (ms, M-O) | 30575, 22350 |
| 7  | 1625 (s, HC=N), 1585 (s, C=N), 525 (ms, M-N), 460 (ms, M-O) | 30654, 22265 |
| 8  | 1625 (s, HC=N), 1585 (s, C=N), 530 (ms, M-N), 455 (ms, M-O) | 30550, 22180 |
| 9  | 1620 (s, HC=N), 1580 (s, C=N), 530 (ms, M-N), 455 (ms, M-O) | 28540, 16275, 9815 |
| 10 | 1620 (s, HC=N), 1585 (s, C=N), 525 (ms, M-N), 460 (ms, M-O) | 29210, 15945, 10145 |
| 11 | 1625 (s, HC=N), 1590 (s, C=N), 530 (ms, M-N), 460 (ms, M-O) | 28875, 16250, 9980 |
| 12 | 1620 (s, HC=N), 1585 (s, C=N), 525 (ms, M-N), 455 (ms, M-O) | 28910, 16155, 9875 |
| 13 | 1625 (s, HC=N), 1590 (s, C=N), 530 (ms, M-N), 455 (ms, M-O) | 28450 |
| 14 | 1620 (s, HC=N), 1595 (s, C=N), 530 (ms, M-N), 460 (ms, M-O) | 28510 |
| 15 | 1620 (s, HC=N), 1585 (s, C=N), 525 (ms, M-N), 460 (ms, M-O) | 28475 |
| 16 | 1625 (s, HC=N), 1585 (s, C=N), 525 (ms, M-N), 455 (ms, M-O) | 28500 |

s=sharp, ms=medium sharp

The diamagnetic zinc(II) complexes did not show any d-d bands and their spectra are dominated only by charge transfer bands. The charge transfer band at 28450-28510 cm⁻¹ was assigned due to transition $^{2}E_{g} \rightarrow ^{2}T_{2g}$ possibly in an octahedral environment.

(Fig. 2) Proposed structure of the metal(II) complex

Antibacterial properties

The title Schiff bases and their metal chelates were evaluated for their antibacterial activity against the strains Escherichia coli (a), Staphylococcus aureus (b) and Pseudomonas aeruginosa (c). The compounds were tested at a concentration of 30 μg/0.01 mL in DMF solution using the paper disc diffusion method. The susceptibility zones were measured in diameter (mm) and the results are reproduced in Table 5. The susceptibility zones measured were the clear zones around the discs killing the bacteria. All the Schiff bases and their complexes individually exhibited varying degrees of inhibitory effects on the growth of the tested bacterial species. The antibacterial results evidently show that the activity of the Schiff base compounds became more pronounced when coordinated to the metal ions. All metal ions have varying antibacterial influence on bacterial species. The Co(II) complex of HL₁ was more antibacterial against one species and less against the other as compared to the Co(II) complex of the other Schiff bases. Same results were found for other metal complexes. It is however, not possible to make out exactly which metal ion is playing more antibacterial role against one or the other bacterial species but, it is definitive that metal ions do play a significant role in enhancing the antibacterial activity of antibacterial agents on chelation. It is suggested that in the chelated complex, the positive charge of the metal ion is partially shared with the donor atoms and there is $\pi$-electron delocalization over the whole chelate ring. This increases the lipophilic character of the metal chelate and favors its permeation through lipid layers of the bacterial membranes. It is
also suspected that factors such as solubility, dipole moment and cell permeability mechanisms are also influenced by the presence of the metal ions, which are responsible in enhancing this role of metals as bactericidal. Our in vitro studies are in progress, which would help us in determining further the actual mechanism involved in enhancing this activity.

Table 5. Antibacterial activity data of the Schiff bases and its metal(II) chelates

| Schiff Base/ Chelate | Microa | bialsb | psecsc |
|---------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| HL'                 | ++     | +      | ++     |
| HL'                 | ++     | ++     | ++     |
| HL'                 | +++    | ++     | +++    |
| HL'                 | +++    | ++     | +++    |
| 1                   | +++    | +++    | +++    |
| 2                   | +++    | +      | +++    |
| 3                   | +++    | +++    | +++    |
| 4                   | ++++   | +++    | +++    |
| 5                   | ++++   | +      | +++    |
| 6                   | ++++   | ++     | +++    |
| 7                   | ++     | +++    | +      |
| 8                   | +++    | +++    | +++    |
| 9                   | +++    | +++    | +++    |
| 10                  | ++++   | ++     | +++    |
| 11                  | ++++   | +++    | ++++   |
| 12                  | +++    | +++    | ++++   |
| 13                  | ++++   | ++     | ++++   |
| 14                  | ++++   | +      | +++    |
| 15                  | ++++   | +++    | +++    |
| 16                  | ++++   | +++    | +++    |

a= Escherichia coli, b= Staphylococcus aureus, c= Pseudomonas aeruginosa
Inhibition zone diameter mm (% inhibition): +, 6-10 (27-45 %); ++, 10-14 (45-64 %); ++++, 14-18 (64-82 %); ++++, 18-22 (82-100 %). Percent inhibition values are relative to inhibition zone (22 mm) of the most active compound with 100 % inhibition.
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