Characteristics of multiple sclerosis patient stance control disorders, measured by means of posturography and related to brainstem lesions
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Abstract

Balance disorders are commonly observed during the course of multiple sclerosis (MS). The aim of this study is to report characteristics of MS patient stance control disorders, measured by means of posturography and related to the brainstem lesions. Thirty-eight patients affected by MS, mildly to moderately disable according to Kurtzke’s Expanded Disability Status Scale, underwent a complete clinical neurological and vestibular evaluation and brain MRI scanning. All patients were then tested on a static posturography platform (Tetrax, Israel) in four conditions: eyes open and eyes closed standing on a firm surface and on a foam pad. Clinical and/or magnetic resonance imaging evidence of brainstem involvement was observed in 55.3% of patients. When brainstem lesion was detected, Fourier analysis showed a typical pattern characterized by inversion of the 0.01 Hz and 0.1-0.25 Hz frequency bands. In conclusion, MS leads to pervasive postural disturbances in the majority of subjects, including the visuo-vestibular loops and proprioception involving vestibulo-spinal pathways in at least 55.3% of patients. Our results may also suggest the presence of Fourier inversion in patients with brainstem lesions.

Introduction

Balance disorders are commonly observed during the course of multiple sclerosis (MS). Though disequilibrium mostly arises from an involvement of the vestibulo-spinal system in these subjects, the visual-vestibulo-oculomotor reflexes remain the most often investigated.1 MS can affect all areas of the central nervous system and cause such a wide range of impairments that control changes in people with MS may be thought to have multifactorial causes. A review by Cameron et al.2 showed that changes in postural control in patients with MS are most likely to be the result of slowed somatosensory conduction and impaired central integration control. In fact, it is well known that brainstem and cerebellum involvement is likely in many MS patients and that it is severely and rapidly affected by this pathology.

More recently, perspectives of surgical treatment have been proposed in some cases of MS.3 Functional evaluations in the follow up of MS have, therefore, become the focus of greater importance since follow-up gold standards are still to be defined.4 Among the functional evaluations considered, static posturography has often been used to study sensory impairments during quiet standing in subjects with MS.5-9 Static posturography measures displacement of the center of pressure on a force-measuring platform and allows precise evaluation of the postural strategies employed by patients suffering from a variety of vestibular disorders.8,10 Its major limitation is the patient’s ability to maintain a sufficiently stable stance for the duration of the test (approx. 2 min). Documentation of disturbances in controlling an upright position has been proposed to complete neuro-physiological assessment of MS and provide useful functional information in the planning of rehabilitative treatment.11-13 Center of pressure displacement during standing tasks has been shown to be a useful performance-based evaluation parameter also for MS patients with minimal or no clinically evident balance deficits who are not yet experiencing...
reported that there were no differences between MS and control groups regarding their ability to maintain standing balance with the feet apart, together, or in stride stance. However, they did find that MS patient performance was poorer than controls in tandem and single leg stance in the functional reach test, arm raise test, step test, and in response to an external perturbation.

Cattaneo and colleagues recently reported a study of sensory impairment in MS patients obtained by adapting the Shumway-Cook protocol using a stabilometric platform. In this study, they underlined that previous reports on stance disturbance in MS using posturography often had not considered the importance of analyzing conditions and variables other than anteroposterior sway alone.

A recent study has shown that even mildly impaired MS patients might present measurable equilibrium disturbances upon static posturography, leading to difficulties in standing up and increasing the risk of falls. If so, posturography data should correlate with brainstem neuroimaging lesions and equilibrium disturbances should be identifiable at an early stage by static posturography with an interactive balance system which allows a Fourier spectral analysis. Fourier spectral analysis allows us to better identify subgroups of unsteady patients. Indeed, excessive postural sway upon Fourier spectral analysis in each of the frequency ranges reflects intensified activity within the relevant postural subsystem, either due to pathology or to compensatory efforts, and a variation in the low-medium sway (0.10-0.50 Hz; F2-F4) frequencies are usually considered to be an expression of vestibular control. This hypothesis is supported by a recent report suggesting that damage to specific infratentorial areas negatively affects static standing balance and may predispose MS patients to accidental falls. The aim of this study is to evaluate whether stance disorders measured by static posturography may be related to clinical signs of brainstem involvement and/or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) brainstem lesions in MS patients with mild-moderate impairment. If so, it may be useful to include static posturography among the gold standard parameters for post-surgical MS follow up.

Materials and Methods

Posturography was recorded in 38 inpatients (22 females and 16 males) affected by primary progressive MS, clinically defined according to the Poser criteria and in 32 normal subjects (18 females and 14 males, mean age 37±4.8 years) as controls. The control group did not report any static or dynamic postural control disturbance and did not present any history of neurological or otologic disease that could interfere with postural assessment.

Mean age of the patient group was 37.09±10.6 years (range 22-58 years), with a mean disease duration of 10.54±7.7 years (range 0.7-31.8 years). All of the patients were mildly to moderately disable according to Kurtzke’s Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDDS) with a median EDSS score of 2.8 (range 1.0-6.0). The presence of any ankle, knee or hip disorders that could interfere with the results was ruled out in all subjects before testing. Furthermore, other previous diseases that could interfere with balance performance, such as whiplash, head trauma or Ménière’s disease, or assumption of drugs such as benzodiazepine, were excluded. All patients underwent a complete clinical neurological and vestibular examination and brain MRI scanning. They fulfilled the criteria of definite MS based on clinical findings, abnormal cerebrospinal fluid findings, and abnormalities on MRI of the brain and spinal cord. All patients were able to maintain autonomous stance for at least 3 min.

Posturography with interactive balance system was recorded by means of equipment with four independent force plates (Tetrax-IBS equipment by Sunlight Medical Ltd., Tel Aviv, Israel) and posturographs often had not considered the importance of analyzing conditions and variables other than anteroposterior sway alone.

The Tetrax system combines the signals from the left and right leg to result in one sway frequency with a sampling rate of 34 Hz. While it is not a direct measurement of postural stability, the fluctuations of the vertical ground reaction force normalized for body weight have been found to correlate with both the static and dynamic parts of Berg’s balance test and with the antero-posterior center of pressure (COP) velocity. In fact, the ST score provides information about the adequacy of postural adjustments. A lower ST indicates smaller fluctuations and therefore better postural control, and it has also been seen to be significantly correlated to the equilibrium score of the Equitest posturography system.

The weight distribution index (WDI) is calculated on the basis of the relative recorded weight at each of the four supports: the higher the WDI, the higher the abnormal distribution of the weight that had to be theoretically equally divided between the four supports.

Qualitative sway analysis

Fourier analysis of sway frequencies is a mathematical treatment of wave signals of body oscillations on the horizontal plane produced by the patient in order to maintain an upright position.

The Tetrax system combines the signals from the left and right leg to result in one sway frequency with a sampling rate of 34 Hz, as described by Kohen-Raz. The four signals recorded at the four sensors are analyzed with the Fourier transformation and then Tetrax recalculate an average of the results obtained providing a unique parameter. Fourier analysis is then carried out to determine which signal frequencies are most common. Fourier analysis of sway frequency distribution shows that a normal postural performance is characterized by a high intensity at the low range, and this appears to indicate that posture is controlled with minimal effort, not involving any activity of the semi-circular canals, which are considered to be insensitive to body displacements below 0.2 Hz. Fourier analysis of sway frequencies is divided into eight frequency bands (F1-F8).
Posturography with an interactive balance system was recorded by means of equipment with four sensors; therefore, Fourier transformations were derived from four independent wave signals and were presented in the form of a spectrum, broken down into the following eight frequency bands: F1 0.01-0.1; F2 0.1-0.25; F3 0.25-0.35; F4 0.35-0.50; F5 0.50-0.75; F6 0.75-1.00; F7 1.00-3.00 Hz; and F8 above 3.0 Hz. Previous studies on the Fourier spectral analysis of postural sway by TetraX25,26,30,31 have shown that typical ranges of postural frequency (i.e., frequency bands) express the different levels of activity of postural subsystems, the systems that affect postural sway. Study of postural frequency can provide insights into the individual's use of these postural subsystems, which include the vestibular, somatosensory, and other sub-systems, to maintain postural stability. Thus, spectral analysis of postural sway might be a valuable tool in clinical diagnosis. TetraX posturography has been shown to have a high test-retest reliability.30

The MRI protocol included two interleaved sets of 16 slices each covering the whole brain, which were obtained at 1.5 T (Intera, Philips Medical Systems, the Netherlands), sampling the brain at a total of 32 contiguous levels. Each of the two sets included conventional spin-echo sequences providing T1w (520/15 ms TR/TE) and PD/T2w (1800/15-90 ms TR/TE) 4 mm thick axial images (24 cm FOV, 256×256 acquisition matrix).34,35 Patients with acute or subacute lesions were excluded. For the purpose of the present study, only patients who presented at least two T2-weighted MRI lesions at brainstem level were considered to have imaging signs of brainstem lesion.

Statistical analysis

A descriptive statistical analysis was performed. Kurtosis/Skewness index was not significant. A Student’s t-test was applied to compare posturographic findings (including Fourier analysis) in normal subjects and MS patients. Pearson’s correlation was used to evaluate the possible relationships between general stability or weight distribution index and clinical signs of brainstem involvement and/or MRI brainstem lesions.

Results

Stability was normal in only 7 patients (18.4%). In fact, the MS stability index was higher than in normal subjects in all analyzed conditions (P <0.0001) (Table 1). Stability in MS patients was lower with the eyes closed standing on foam pads.

Weight distribution index results are shown in Table 1 and were normal in 42%. Weight distribution was slightly abnormal in MS sufferers only in tests with the eyes closed (P=ns).

Normative data of Fourier analysis are shown in Figure 1. Fourier analysis was normal in 17 patients (44.7%). In the other patients, an atypical pattern characterized by an inversion of the frequency bands (F2=0.1-0.25 Hz higher than F1=0.01 Hz) was observed (Figure 1) (P<0.05). In patients with clinical signs of brainstem involvement and/or MRI brainstem lesions, body sways on the horizontal plane produced in order to maintain an upright position were set on a higher frequency than in normal subjects.

Clinical and/or MRI evidence of brainstem involvement was observed in 21 of 38 (55.3%) of patients. No relationship was found between general stability or weight distribution index and clinical signs of brainstem involvement and/or MRI brainstem lesions. On the contrary, all the subjects presenting clinical and/or imaging signs of brainstem lesion (21 of 38) had an inverted frequency distribution pattern.

Discussion

Many studies have shown that MS patients have a reduced stability in comparison with normal subjects, even in the simplest conditions (eyes open),15,26 and that instability could be primarily interpreted as the involvement of the central multisensory control of vestibulo-spinal reflexes.37 In MS patients, balance is impaired and this impairment is greater in progressive MS than in relapsing remitting forms.26 Gait and balance impairment can be detected in the early stages of the disease, even in the absence of clinical signs of pyramidal dysfunction.39 Therefore, the aim of this study was to relate the results of posturographic assessment to the clinical or imaging evaluation of brainstem lesions in patients with mild to moderate MS.

Our data from the quantitative analysis agree with literature; in particular, in MS patients, ST was significantly higher than in normal subjects in all conditions analyzed. On the other hand, no relationship was found between GS or WDI and clinical signs of brainstem involvement and/or MRI brainstem lesions. As expected, Fourier spectral analysis illustrated the sensory condition better than indexes of sway analysis, such as ST and WDI. In fact, ST showed only that MS gives a stability deficit that worsens in response to more difficult conditions of evaluation (visual and somatosensory deprivation), i.e., conditions that stress the vestibular control of posture and stability. This finding confirms data reported by Cattaneo and colleagues.16

Fourier spectral analysis of postural sway has been applied in several studies since the 1970s, using different posturographic methods.14,32,33 These studies demonstrate that variations in intensity at the low, medium or high frequency ranges of the Fourier spectrum may reflect disturbances, compensatory mobilization, or functional breakdown of the visual, somatosensory and vestibular subsystems of postural control. Consequently, spectral analysis of postural sway has been presented in the form of a spectrum, broken down into the following frequency bands: F1 0.01-0.1; F2 0.1-0.25; F3 0.25-0.35; F4 0.35-0.50; F5 0.50-0.75; F6 0.75-1.00; F7 1.00-3.00 Hz; and F8 above 3.0 Hz.

Table 1. General stability and weight distribution index in normal control subjects and in multiple sclerosis patients.

| Condition                   | General stability index | Weight distribution index |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| Eyes open                   | 11.7±4.7                | 20.7±7.8                   |
| Eyes closed                 | 15.1±4.8                | 29.9±10.1                  |
| Eyes open+foam              | 14.4±4.1                | 29.9±10.1                  |
| Eyes closed+foam            | 21.9±8.2                | 41.7±13.7                  |

Table 1: General stability and weight distribution index in normal control subjects and in multiple sclerosis patients.

| Condition                   | General stability | Weight distribution |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Eyes open                   | 11.7±4.7          | 20.7±7.8            |
| Eyes closed                 | 15.1±4.8          | 29.9±10.1           |
| Eyes open+foam              | 14.4±4.1          | 29.9±10.1           |
| Eyes closed+foam            | 21.9±8.2          | 41.7±13.7           |

MS, multiple sclerosis.

Figure 1. Fourier analysis: sway frequencies in normal subjects and in patients with clinical signs of brainstem involvement and/or magnetic resonance imaging brainstem lesions.
vestibular-otolythic mechanism. Gagey and Toupet identified a dominant sway at 0.2 Hz that corresponds to the rhythm of normal breathing, and named the multisensorial system involved in postural control at low frequencies the Fine Tuned Postural System. According to these authors, this kind of system is a functional rather than an anatomical system, involving the vestibular nuclei and posterior cerebellum that are the end points of the primary vestibular afferents. Vestibular nuclei communicate bilaterally through a commissural system that is predominantly inhibitory. Secondary vestibular neurons also receive convergent sensory information from the optokinetic circuitry system, the central visual system and the proprioceptive systems of the neck, but they cannot distinguish between sources of afferent activity. However, the firing of secondary vestibular neurons can distinguish between active and passive movements. The posterior cerebellum has extensive afferent and efferent connections with the vestibular nuclei. Primary vestibular afferents are distributed to the ipsilateral uvula-nodulus as mossy fibers whereas secondary vestibular afferents are distributed bilaterally. Climbing fibers to the cerebellum originate from two subnuclei of the contralateral inferior olive; the dorsomedial cell column and the beta-nucleus. Vestibular climbing fibers carry information only from the vertical semicircular canals and otoliths. They establish a coordinate map, set out in sagittal zones on the surface of the uvula-nodulus. Purkinje cells respond to vestibular stimulation with antiphase modulation of climbing fiber responses. The vestibulo-cerebellum imposes a vestibular coordinate system on postural responses and permits adaptive guidance of movement.

F1/F2 inversion might be a dysfunction of the Fine Tuned Postural System involving a disturbance in the visual/vestibular loops. The vestibular system appears to play an important role in this buffer mechanism. Results may suggest the presence of an MS-specific reorganization of the postural balance control system. Evidently, MS leads to pervasive postural disturbances, including visuo-vestibular loops and proprioception. Fourier abnormalities correlated to clinical and imaging signs indicate that 55.3% of MS patient instability could be due to brainstem involvement.
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