Establishment of a stable transfection system for genetic manipulation of Babesia gibsoni
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Abstract

Background: Genetic manipulation techniques, such as transfection, have been previously reported in many protozoan parasites. In Babesia, stable transfection systems have only been established for bovine Babesia parasites. We recently reported a transient transfection system and the selection of promoter candidates for Babesia gibsoni. The establishment of a stable transfection system for B. gibsoni is considered to be urgent to improve our understanding of the basic biology of canine Babesia parasites for a better control of babesiosis.

Results: GFP-expressing parasites were observed by fluorescence microscopy as early as two weeks after drug selection, and consistently expressed GFP for more than 3 months without drug pressure. Genome integration was confirmed by PCR, sequencing and Southern blot analysis.

Conclusions: We present the first successful establishment of a stable transfection system for B. gibsoni. This finding will facilitate functional analysis of Babesia genomes using genetic manipulation and will serve as a foundation for the development of tick-Babesia and host-Babesia infection models.
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Background

Babesia gibsoni is a tick-borne intraerythrocytic apicomplexan parasite which causes canine babesiosis [1]. During the asexual phase of its life-cycle occurring in the vertebrate host, B. gibsoni causes progressive anemia, remittent fever, hemoglobinuria, marked splenomegaly, hepatomegaly and sometimes death [2]. Babesia gibsoni has a global distribution, with considerable a significant impact on canine health [3].

The difficulties in identifying B. gibsoni virulence factors and developing successful therapies have been attributed in part to the lack of genetic manipulation tools [4]. Transfection systems have been established for several apicomplexan parasites, such as Cryptosporidium parvum [5], Plasmodium falciparum [6], Toxoplasma gondii [7], Theileria annulata [8] and T. parva [9].

Among Babesia species, transient and stable transfection systems have been reported for B. bovis [10], B. ovata [11] and B. bigemina [12]. For B. gibsoni, only two reports have described transient transfection systems [13, 14]. Babesia gibsoni elongation factor-1 alpha (Bg 5'ef-1α) promoter, Program FA113 of AMAXA 4D Nucleofector™ and Lonza buffer SF successfully supported the expression of reporter genes [13]. In addition, among the 12 promoter candidates tested, Bg 5'-actin was found to be the most active promoter [14]. Similar to B. bovis [15], the development of a stable transfection system for B. gibsoni parasites requires a drug selection system and an integration target. The WR99210/human dihydrofolate reductase gene (hdhfr) selection system and double cross-over homologous recombination locus have previously been successfully used for B. bovis [16] and B. ovata [11] stable transfection.

In this study, in order to establish B. gibsoni stable transfection, we investigated whether stable transfection of GFP-expressing B. gibsoni could be achieved using...


**Methods**

**Parasite culture**

In this study, *B. gibsoni* Oita strain [17] was cultured in *vitro* in 24-well culture plates (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) at 37 °C in humidified CO₂ (5%) and O₂ (5%) incubator (BIO-LABO, Tokyo, Japan). The parasite was cultured in 10% canine erythrocytes suspended in RPMI-1640 supplemented with 20% canine serum.

**Evaluation of *B. gibsoni* sensitivity to WR99210**

*Babesia gibsoni* was cultured *in vitro* in 96-well culture plates with 100 μl RPMI-1640 medium containing 10% canine erythrocytes supplemented with 20% dog serum and different concentrations of WR99210 (0.1, 0.5, 1, 5, 10 and 100 nM). For each drug concentration, parasites were cultured in triplicate wells and the culture medium was replaced daily. Parasitemia was calculated on day 3 by examining 3000 RBCs of a prepared thin blood smear stained with Giemsa solution.

**Plasmid constructs**

The schematic diagram of the plasmid used in this study (pBS-EGRADE) is shown in Fig. 1a. The reporter gene and drug selection gene cassettes were separated in order to drive *gfp* and *hdhfr* with *Bg 5’-ef-1α* (IG-B) and *Bg 5’-actin* promoters, respectively. *Bg 5’-ef-1α* (IG-B) and *Bg 3’-ef-1α* were used as recombination sites cloned into the upstream and downstream of the *gfp* and *hdhfr* genes, respectively. All the PCR primer pairs used for plasmid construction are listed in Table 1 and restriction sites are underlined. The constructed plasmid was purified using Qiagen® Plasmid Maxi Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Three sets of primers (Table 1) were used to confirm the integration of pBS-EGRADE into *B. gibsoni ef-1α* locus. Primer pair Integ-F and GFP-R was used to amplify a 1.6 kb DNA fragment to confirm the 5’ recombination. Primer pair hDHFR-F and Integ-R was used to amplify a 2.0 kb DNA fragment to examine the 3’ recombination whereas primer pair GFP-F and hDHFR-R was used to amplify a 4.1 kb DNA fragment to detect the insertion region. The DNA fragments amplified were confirmed by sequencing.

**Southern blot analysis**

Two micrograms of genomic DNA from wild type (WT) and genome integrated (GI) *B. gibsoni* were digested overnight with 20 units of Sca I and Sph I. The digestion products were separated by agarose gel electrophoresis, transferred onto Hybond N*+* membrane (GE Healthcare, Buckinghamshire, UK) then hybridized with labeled probes using an AlkPhos Direct Kit (GE Healthcare, Buckinghamshire, UK) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Two probes corresponding to the complete open reading frame (ORF) of *gfp* and the 0.4 kb length of *Bg 3’-ef-1α* fragment, respectively, were used. The primer pairs used to amplify the probes are listed in Table 1. Probe signal was detected using a CDP-star detection reagent (GE Healthcare).

**Growth curves**

WT and GI parasites were continuously cultured from approximately 0.5% parasitemia by sub-culturing every 3 days for two generations. Parasitemia were monitored daily by examining 3000 RBCs with Giemsa staining.

**Results**

*Babesia gibsoni* sensitivity to WR99210

WR99210 successfully inhibited the growth of *B. gibsoni in vitro* at a nanomolar concentration (Additional file 1: Figure S1). The calculated IC₅₀ was 1.1 nM, and 10 nM WR99210 completely inhibited the growth of *B. gibsoni*. Thus, 10 nM WR99210 was used for the selection of transfected parasites.

**Establishment of stable GFP expression in *B. gibsoni***

GFP-expressing parasites emerged as early as two weeks after drug selection with 10 nM WR99210. The parasite population was cloned by limiting dilution and consistently expressed GFP for more than 3 months without

*hdhfr* as a selectable marker under the control of the *Bg 5’-ef-1α* (IG-B) and *Bg 5’-actin* promoters, and *ef-1α* locus as the integration target.
After obtaining parasite clonal lines, the correct integration of pBS-EGRADE into the ef-1α locus was confirmed by the results of both PCR and Southern blot analysis. The PCR-1, -2 and -3 primer pairs successfully amplified 1.6, 2.0 and 4.1 kb DNA fragments, respectively, using DNA template from one clonal line named GI parasite (Fig. 2a) and the amplified DNA fragments were validated by sequencing. The sequences of the above DNA fragments were deposited in the GenBank database under the accession numbers MH087225-MH087227. No amplicons were obtained with DNA template from the WT parasite. In Southern blot analysis, both gfp and 3′-ef-1α probes detected a single 2.1 kb band, and the gfp probe did not detect any band for the WT parasite (Fig. 2b). In addition, the growth curves of WT and GI parasites showed high similarity (Additional file 2: Figure S2).

Discussion
Transfection systems improve our understanding of the molecular biology of parasites and pave the way for genetic manipulation [18]. The application of transfection systems can also lead to a better understanding of the mechanisms underlying drug resistance, host-parasite interactions, and provide novel information for vaccine development and drug target discovery [19]. Currently, there is a lack of techniques for the genetic manipulation of parasites.
Table 1 List of primers used in this study

| Primer         | Sequence (5’-3’)a |
|----------------|-------------------|
| Bg 5’-ef-1α-F  | GACGGTATCGATAAGCTTCAGCTGTATAACGGATGAAGGT |
| Bg 5’-ef-1α-R  | CACCATGATAACGTTTTTGAAAGGGTTCGATA |
| GFP-F (EcoR V) | ATCGATAAGCTTGATATGTCAGGACAGGAGGGA |
| GFP-R (EcoR V) | CTGCAGGAATCCGATATCAAGCTTCAGCTGTATAAGGG |
| Bb 3’-rap-F   | GAATTCTGAGAAGCTTGCAGCTGTATAAGGG |
| Bb 3’-rap-R   | ACGGTATCGATAAGCTTCAGCTGTATAAGGG |
| Bg 5’-actin-F | AAACATGATAACGTTTTTGAAAGGGTTCGATA |
| Bg 5’-actin-R | ACGGTATCGATAAGCTTCAGCTGTATAAGGG |
| hDHFR-F (EcoR V) | ATCGATAAGCTTGATATGTCAGGACAGGAGGGA |
| hDHFR-R (EcoR V) | CTGCAGGAATCCGATATCAAGCTTCAGCTGTATAAGGG |
| Bg 3’-ef-1α-F | GAATTCTGAGAAGCTTGCAGCTGTATAAGGG |
| Bg 3’-ef-1α-R | ACTAGTGGATCCCTGCAGGATCTTAATCATTCTTCTTACGTAAGGG |
| GEG-F (Sal I) | CCCCCCCTCGAGGTCGACCACTGTATAACGGATGAAGGG |
| GEG-R (Sal I) | CTTATCGATACGGTCGAGCCCTACCAGACGATATGCAAAAGGG |
| Integ-F        | ATGGTGAGCAAGGGCGACGTTCAAGG |
| Integ-R        | TTTCTTGTACAGCTCGTCCATG |
| Probe-GFP-F    | ATGGTGAGCAAGGGCGACGTTCAAGG |
| Probe-GFP-R    | TTTCTTGTACAGCTCGTCCATG |
| Probe-3’-ef-1α-F | ATGGTGAGCAAGGGCGACGTTCAAGG |
| Probe-3’-ef-1α-R | TTTCTTGTACAGCTCGTCCATG |

a Restriction enzyme sites are underlined

Fig. 2 Confirmation of integration of pBS-EGRADE into the ef-1α locus. a Schematic diagram and results of PCR to confirm the integration of pBS-EGRADE into the ef-1α locus. PCR-1, -2 and -3 were done with primer sets Integ-F/GFP-R, hDHFR-F/Integ-R and GFP-F/hDHFR-R, respectively. b Schematic diagram and Southern blot analysis to confirm the integration of pBS-EGRADE into ef-1α locus. Two μg of samples genomic DNA were digested with Sca I and Sph I, and hybridized with 3’-ef-1α and gfp probes. Abbreviations: GI, genome-integrated; WT, wild type; C-, pBS-EGRADE plasmid control.
of B. gibsoni. In order to fill this gap, we describe herein the development of a stable transfection system for B. gibsoni.

In this study, we employed a WR99210/hdhfr selection system for B. gibsoni stable transfection. The IC_{50} of WR99210 against B. gibsoni was 1.1 nM (Additional file 1: Figure S1), which is similar to B. bovis (1 nM) [16] and almost twice that of the one reported for B. ovata (0.56 nM) [11]. The transfected parasite selected with WR99210/hdhfr emerged as early as two weeks after adding the drug, indicating the suitability of this selection system for stable transfection of B. gibsoni. Babesia bovis 3’-rap was successfully used as terminator in this study (Fig. 1a). This result is consistent with our previous work [14], confirming that Bb 3’-rap heterologous terminator is fully functional in B. gibsoni. These findings provide considerable flexibility in the construction of plasmid vectors to be used for transfection systems in Babesia species. The cloned GI parasite stably expressed GFP (Fig. 1b) and PCR amplicons (Fig. 2a) and Southern blot analyses (Fig. 2b) indicated that pBS-EGRADE was integrated into B. gibsoni genome by homologous recombination as expected. In addition, the growth of GI parasite was comparable with that of the WT parasite (Additional file 2: Figure S2). These results indicate that the genetic manipulations in this study did not affect the growth of parasite in vitro.

The proliferation of Babesia organisms in the vectors is an essential part of their survival. However, the detailed life-cycle of the parasite in ticks, including information about the timing of migration, remains unknown [20]. Haemaphysalis longicornis, a vector for B. gibsoni [21], is widely used as a model tick to study pathophysiology in tick infestation [22]. Therefore, transfected B. gibsoni and H. longicornis could be used for developing tick-Babesia experimental models for clarifying the kinetics of the tick stage of canine Babesia parasites. A tick-Babesia interactions model may contribute to a better understanding of tick transmission as well as the way Babesia species interact with the ticks.

All previously established transfection systems for Babesia focused on bovine Babesia species, which were transfected using Gene Pulser Xcell™ Electroporation system (Bio-Rad, VA, USA) and AMAXA Nucleofector™ 2b device (Lonza) [10–12]. However, these transfection systems were not effective for B. gibsoni [13]. Therefore, the present method based on 4D Nucleofector™ may provide a more suitable transfection system for non-bovine Babesia parasites, such as B. gibsoni. The rapid in vitro aging of canine erythrocytes [23] may play an important role in restricting a successful transfection. Therefore, to avoid the rapid aging of canine erythrocytes, we strongly suggest subculturing every week by fresh RBCs after transfection. A host-Babesia infection model may be easier to achieve using canine Babesia rather than bovine Babesia because using dogs for animal experiments is more feasible than using cattle. The urgently needed genome edited host-Babesia infection model may help us monitor transmission in vivo, investigate mechanisms of infection and immunity, and also improve the development of novel strategies for controlling babesiosis.

Conclusions

In summary, we established a stable transfection system for B. gibsoni and successfully integrated exogenous genes into the B. gibsoni genome. The establishment of this system is critical to fulfill genome editing, which may contribute to determining gene function, discovery of novel drug targets, establishment of infection model and evaluation of the interactions between the parasite and the host.
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