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Abstract
Socialization into sport revolves around initial entry, continued participation and seeking excellence. Kenyan women volleyball players have returned significant successes at regional and continental competitions. However, their success is not comparable to men teams which are yet to set any accolades at regional and continental level. The purpose of this study was to investigate psycho-social attributes of Kenya male volleyball players who took part Kenya Volleyball Federation (KVF) league in 2015. The attributes investigated involved family background factors, reasons for specialization and motives for continued participation. Data was collected through self-reported questionnaires from (n=71) participants and was analyzed for frequencies and percentages. Results indicated majority of 38.5% had experiences of one to three years, majority of them (over 50%) came from lower and middle class backgrounds, reasons for specialization were; talent, enjoyment and social interactions while the motives for continued participation were; talent, desire to win and success in volleyball. It is concluded that male volleyball players entry into volleyball and their continued participation is buttressed in intrinsic motives. Consequently, coaches and team managers need to take stock of these drivers of seeking excellence in volleyball. Future studies need to be conducted to unearth the relationship between socio-psychological factors and technical and tactical shortfalls of the men volleyball players in Kenya.
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1. Introduction
Career orientation and paths of an elite athlete is shaped by socialization process in which socialization agents, social-cultural influences, socializing situations, the self and environment have significant roles to play (Stevenson, 1990; Philips, 1993, Rintaugu, 2005). However, the socialization process is dynamic and the social interactions and influence change from one level of involvement to the other. Socialization into sport refers to the initial involvement, continued participation and seeking for excellence (Coakley, 2005, Rintaugu, 2009). At all levels of sport involvement, different socializing agents such as significant others (parents, family, peers, friends), socializing situations (school, media, community agencies) and environment play crucial roles to sustain participation (Mcpherson, Loy, & Kurtis, 1989; Coakley, 2005; Rintaugu, 2005).

Sociological studies reveal that for athletic ability to translate into sustained good performance, athletes must get support and encouragement from significant others (Ipinmoroti & Ajayi, 2003; Rintaugu, 2005; Rintaugu, 2009). Significant others transmit attitudes and values about sport, pay activity fees, provide role modeling, provide physical and emotional support, encourage, and reward about sport participation. Beyond the family, athletes have play partners (peers, friends) from the neighbourhood while teachers and coaches are instrumental in technical instruction and evaluation of performance (Rintaugu, 2009; Kubayi, Jooste, Toriola et al., 2014; Otieno, Bailasha & Rintaugu, 2020). This happens in the confines of the schools and community agencies such as sport clubs and religious sport outfits. The role of secondary school in socialization into sport in Kenya reveals that some games are enculturated and domiciled in selected school where their dominance and legacy has transcended many generations (Wamukoya, 1994; Rintaugu, 2005).

Several psycho-social theories have been peddled to understand socialization into sport. Some of the theories include self-determination theory (Deci & Ryan, 1985), Competence motivation theory (Harter, 1981) achievement goal theories, (Nicholls, 1984) social exchange theory (Thibaut & Kelly, 1959) and social learning theory (Bandura, 1971). This study was hankered in the social learning theory to underpin the social influences of male volleyball players in Kenya.

Social learning theories as propounded by Bandura (1971) postulates that behavior is learnt through role modeling and reinforcement from significant others. Banduras social learning theory demonstrates the effect of identity formation and learning through the observation of models as represented by significant others (Stroot, 2002). However, the theory does not explain what happens after behavior has been learnt and internalized. This study was out to investigate the socialization process of volleyball male players in Kenya. This comes against a background of excellent performance of Kenyan women volleyball players at club and continental level. For example from 1990 to 1997 the African club championship title changed hands between two dominant female volleyball clubs of Nairobi Posta and Kenya Pipeline. Since then Kenyan women clubs such as Kenya Prisons, Kenya Commercial Bank have dominated the volleyball competitions in Africa. The national team for
women volleyball (Queens of Africa) have not only won the continental championships several times but have represented Africa in the Olympic Games, world volleyball championships and the Grand Prix competitions.

It is apparent that few studies have been conducted in Africa on socialization into sports and their findings are quite diverse and inconclusive. For example, Burnett (2005) examined the influences on the socialization of South African elite athletes (n=123) and found the shifting nature and dominant role of significant others as socializing agents and the influence of environmental factors and socio-cultural and economic aspects. Gitonga, Bailasha and Toriola (2011) found that women volleyball players in Africa were influenced to play volleyball by family members and continued to play the game due to their success. Furthermore, talent identification, enjoyment and social interactions were found to be the main motives for their continued participation. In a related study Mbaabu (1997) reported that elite female athletes in athletics viewed their peer group as both role models and sources of inspiration. Among Kenyan secondary school athletes, Rintaugu (2009) found that the role of significant others in socialization into sport is essentially by the coach, friends, Physical Education (PE) teachers, brothers, sisters and the mothers. Bailasha (1999) analyzed the serves used by teams which participated in the 12th edition of feminine volleyball club championships and found that the floater serve was commonly used. This study focused on techno-tactical aspects of the game and did not address itself to social or psychological attributes of the players. Binboga, Kilic and Gokdemir (2013) examined the reasons why junior volleyball players start and continue playing volleyball and their expectations for future (n=359) in Tukey. They found that the most reason was being a member of the national team and the motive for continuing to play is the love for the game. Papadopoulou, Fachantidou and Laloglou (2008) examined the social economic features of Greek national volleyball team (n=18) and found that female athletes’ families never discouraged the girls from participating in sport and they were mainly second-born children. Their family environment loved or had a special connection to sport. Does the same apply to their male counterparts. Thus the purpose of this study was to establish the male volleyball athletes, family background factors, reasons for specializing in volleyball and their reasons for continued participation in volleyball.

2.0.Methodology

2.1.Study design and sample

A descriptive survey design was used to collect data from 71 male participants who took part in the Kenyan Volleyball Federation (KVF) Division one league in the year 2015. The athletes mean age was 24.97 +3.08 years with a range of between 20 and 29 years. The league had 12 participating clubs (team) with an average of 15 players per team resulting into a target population of 180 participants. Most of the above teams are based in Nairobi City County and the questionnaire was administered to 10 teams in Nairobi. However, some two teams did not take part in the study as their performance in the league was dismal and team management could not allow access to the players. Consequently, it’s the data of 71 participants which is presented in subsequent sections. Most of the participants 38 (53.50%) had experiences in competitive volleyball of 1 to 3 years followed by those with experiences of 5 to 6 years. Those with experiences of 7 to 9 years were 5 (7.04%) while those with experiences of over 10 years were 8 (11.27%).

2.2.Instrumentation

A self-report questionnaire was utilized for data collection. The questionnaire which had two sections had been utilized in previous studies (Burnett, 2005; Gitonga et al., 2011; Rintaugu et al., 2014). Section A of the questionnaire had items seeking the participants bio data of age, parental SES, nature of family playing experience while section B raised sport-specific issues revolving around influence of significant others, reasons for specializing in volleyball and motives for continued participation. These required categorical responses of “Yes” and “No”. The questionnaires were administered to the athletes during their training sessions with the assistance of coaches and team managers. This was done after obtaining informed consent from the athletes according to established guidelines (Thomas, Nelson & Silverman, 2011). Subsequent data collected was analyzed for frequencies and reported in percentages.

3.Results

The players’ biological brothers and sisters are presented in Table 1 while their motives for participation in Volleyball are presented in Table 2.
Table 1: Biological Brothers and Sisters Older and Younger Than You (n=71)

| Brothers | Older |   | Younger |   |
|----------|-------|---|---------|---|
| Number   | F     | % | F       | % |
| 0        | 8     | 11.28 | 18 | 25.35 |
| 1        | 27    | 38.03 | 23 | 32.39 |
| 3        | 19    | 26.76 | 14 | 19.72 |
| 3        | 6     | 8.45  |     |     |
| 4        | 8     | 11.28 | 9  | 12.68 |
| 4        | 3     | 4.23  | 7  | 9.86  |

| Sisters | Older |   | Younger |   |
|---------|-------|---|---------|---|
| Number  | F     | % | F       | % |
| 0       | 11    | 15.49 | 16 | 22.53 |
| 1       | 35    | 42.29 | 22 | 30.98 |
| 2       | 12    | 16.90 | 29 | 40.84 |
| 3       | -     | -     | 4  | 5.63  |
| 4       | 9     | 12.68 |     |     |
| 4       | 4     | 5.63  |     |     |

The results in Table 1 show that 27(38.03%) of the athletes had one older brother followed by those with two older brothers 19(26.76%). In regard to younger brothers, 23(32.39%) had one younger brother followed by 18(25.35%) who did not have a younger brother. 35(42.29%) of the athletes had one older sister followed by those who did not have younger sisters 29(40.84%) and those who had one younger sister at 22(30.98%) and no younger sisters 16(22.53%).

Table 2: Volleyball athletes’ parental social economic status based on level of education and occupation (n=71)

| Level of Education | Father |   | Mother |   |
|--------------------|--------|---|--------|---|
| No formal Education| 2      | 2.81 | 6      | 8.45 |
| Primary school     | 3      | 4.23 | 7      | 9.86 |
| Secondary          | 12     | 16.90| 11     | 15.49|
| Middle level       | 37     | 52.11| 29     | 40.84|
| University         | 20     | 32.94| 16     | 25.35|

| Occupation         | Father |   | Mother |   |
|--------------------|--------|---|--------|---|
| Professional       | 34     | 47.89| 20     | 28.16|
| Managerial         | 8      | 11.27| 9      | 12.67|
| Skilled            | 4      | 5.63 | 1      |      |
| Commercial/Business| 9      | 12.68| 15     | 21.13|
| Teaching           | 4      | 5.63 |       |      |
| Unskilled          | 2      | 2.81 | 4      | 5.63 |
| Teaching           | 8      | 11.27| 11     | 15.49|
| Forwarding         | 2      | 2.81 |       |      |
| Health workers     | -      | -   | 5      | 7.04 |
| House wife         | -      | -   | 7      | 9.85 |

The athletes parental level of education reveals that most of the athletes had their fathers and mothers having attained secondary education 37(52.11%) and 29(40.84%) for fathers and mothers respectively. These were followed by those athletes whose parents had middle level college education (fathers 20(32.94%) and mothers 16(25.35%). Athletes fathers and mothers with university education were 12(32-94%) and 11(15.49%) respectively. In regard to the athletes’ parental occupation, most of the fathers and mothers 34(47.89%) and 20(28.16%) were in skilled occupations. Those in skilled were followed by those in commercial/business 9(12.68%) and for mothers in commercial/business were 15(21.13%). The motives for participation in volleyball of the players are presented in Table 3.
Table 3: Motives for Participation in Volleyball (n=71)

| Motives                                      | Yes | %   | No | %   |
|----------------------------------------------|-----|-----|----|-----|
| Health, finances or body aesthetics          | 52  | 73.24 | 19 | 26.76 |
| Psychological well-being                     | 68  | 95.78 | 3  | 4.22  |
| Possibility of achievement and success       | 68  | 95.78 | 3  | 4.22  |
| Felt talented                                | 71  | 100  |    |      |
| Enjoyment                                    | 71  | 100  |    |      |
| Possibility of external rewards              | 65  | 91.55 | 6  | 8.45  |
| Influence of my parents/family               | 50  | 70.42 | 21 | 29.58 |
| Influence of my friends                      | 59  | 83.09 | 12 | 16.90 |
| Influence of my teachers                     | 55  | 77.46 | 16 | 22.54 |
| Influence of Coaches                         | 60  | 84.51 | 11 | 15.49 |
| Influence of mass media                      | 64  | 90.14 | 07 | 9.86  |
| Social status of sport                       | 66  | 92.96 | 05 | 7.04  |
| Influence of mass media                      | 54  | 76.06 | 17 | 23.9  |
| Social interactions                          | 71  | 100  |    |      |
| Availability of facilities/equipment         | 58  | 81.69 | 13 | 18.31 |

The athletes initial interest and entry in playing volleyball was spurred by the highest valued motives of talent, enjoyment, social interactions, psychological well-being and possibility of achievement and success. The least ranked arousals were influence of media, teachers, availability of facilities/equipment, health and influence of parents and family members. The reasons for the athletes' continued participation in volleyball is presented in Table 4.

Table 4: Reasons for Continued Participation in Volleyball (n=71)

| Reason                        | Yes | %   | No | %   |
|-------------------------------|-----|-----|----|-----|
| Success in Sport              | 71  | 100 |    |     |
| Financial rewards             | 62  | 87.32 | 9 |     |
| Career opportunities          | 65  | 91.55 | 6 |     |
| Travel opportunities          | 66  | 92.96 | 5 |     |
| Recognition                   | 66  | 92.96 | 5 |     |
| Status/prestige               | 65  | 91.55 | 6 |     |
| Talent                        | 71  | 100  |    |     |
| Desire to win                 | 71  | 100  |    |     |
| Personal development          | 68  | 95.55 | 4.22 |    |
| Self – actualization          | 67  | 94.37 | 5.63 |    |
| Religious beliefs             | 33  | 46.48 | 52.52 |    |
| Possibility of employment     | 63  | 88.73 | 11.27 |    |

Their reasons for continued participation in volleyball were talent, desire to win, success, personal development, self-actualization, travel opportunities and recognition. On the reverse the least involved reasons for continued participation in volleyball were religious beliefs, financial rewards, possibility of employment and status/prestige. The influence of significant others on the volleyball players is presented in Table 5.

Table 5: Influence of Significant others in Volleyball (n=71)

| Significant others | Positive | | | |
|--------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
|                    | F        | %        | F        | %        |
| Family members     | 68       | 95.78    | 3        | 4.22     |
| Spouse/boyfriend   | 61       | 85.92    | 7        | 9.86     |
| Coach              | 68       | 95.78    | 3        | 4.22     |
| Teachers/lectures  | 63       | 88.73    | 3        | 4.22     |
| Fiends/peers       | 71       | 100      |          |          |
| Teammates          | 71       | 100      |          |          |
| Yourself           | 71       | 100      |          |          |
| Employers          | 62       | 87.32    | 2        | 2.82     |

As athletes continue to participate in volleyball the role of significant others (those closely associated with them) is crucial. Based on the results in Table 5 show that volleyball athletes continue to be influenced mainly by friends/peers, teammates, themselves, coach and family members. The significant others with minimal influence are spouses/girls friends, teachers and employers.
4. Discussion

The purpose of this study was to find out the volleyball players’ parental social economic status, motives for participation, reasons for continued participation and the influence of significant others. Findings indicate that over 50% of the athletes had playing experiences in competitive volleyball of over 6 years. These findings are comparable to Papadopoulou et al. (2008) where members of the Greek national team played competitive volleyball for the national team for 4.7 ± 2.6 years. This shows that athletes in volleyball can play competitively for a long period of time. This could be attributed to the fact that volleyball is a non-contact sport and consequently injuries which lead to early career termination are not there or are minimal. These findings are in consonance with reported in other studies (Ahmed, 2015).

Athletes in this study came from families where they had older and younger siblings. These findings are supported in Singh (2000) and Gitonga et al., (2011) that for female athletes second born athletes were motivated by the family to participate in sport. Literature indicates that it is possible to get influenced into taking part in sport of other family members are involved (Akpata & Gitonga, 2002; Rintaugu, 2005). Birth order impact on the social world of athletes in the sense that first borns are relatively more dependent on older siblings for support in their sport roles (Ebiharu et al., 1983).

Athletes parental levels of education had majority having secondary education. These findings are consonance with those of Ahmed (2015) where 83% of interuniversity men volleyball players belong to the middle and lower economic strata. Similarly, Otieno et al., (2020) had reported that most of the parents of rugby players in Kenya had secondary education. Parents mainly transmit the orientation and ideology of upward social mobility through successful sport participation to children especially in poorer sections of society (Burnett, 2005). Whereas it is difficult to attribute athletes’ parental education to prowess in volleyball, it can be postulated that volleyball is a common man’s game in terms of exposure and the needed sports infrastructure. This is also supported in the athletes’ parental occupation of skilled, commercial/business and teaching, hitherto volleyball is a very popular sport in low and middle cost secondary schools. It is a game that is competed aggressively in primary schools. Consequently, it can be postulated that the athletes may have started taking part while enrolled in local primary and secondary schools. Secondly, it is possible that the parents based on their education level and occupation could not afford to socialize their sons in costly sports like tennis, basketball, hockey and rugby.

Findings reveal that the motives which spurred interest in volleyball for those athletes were talent, enjoyment, social interactions, psychological well-being and possibility of achievement and success. The possible reason is that volleyball like all other sports once learnt can be contagious besides volleyball in Kenya has not penetrated to the professional level of play. These reasons are cross-cutting and find refuge in the theories of self-determination social learning and competence motivation theories. These reasons have also been reported elsewhere (Gitonga, et al., 2011; Burnett, 2005; Rintaugu et al., 2014). It’s the athletes personal goals and perceptions of potential success that inform the athletic identity which impacts positively on the continuation of a sporting career (Stroot, 2002). For example, Rintaugu et al., (2014) reported that athletes in their study started participating in their specific sport due to enjoyment, talent achievement and psychological well-being. Once athletes discover that they are talented, then they strive to achieve success in competitions. Kotnik et al. (2012) found that the motives for participating in sport was competition and winning.

Conversely, the least ranked reasons for entry into volleyball were the influence of media, teachers, availability of facilities/equipment, health and influence of parents/family. While such motives have been reported elsewhere, the possibility of these motives to be rated least in Volleyball could be attributed to the nature of modern family where the social environment of players is open to the wider community at a very early age. These reasons are in agreement with findings by Gitonga et al., (2011) contrary to what is expected in sociological literature. For instance, it is true that parents/family and teachers are pivotal in socialization into sport but the results are just contrary (Rintaugu, 2005). Secondly, lack of influence of the media is not remote as volleyball in Kenya is only popular in the rural areas where media is beyond reach of the many young boys and girls. The lack of influence of teachers at the initial stages is attributed to the Kenyan education system whose primary objective is passing examinations and little emphasis is placed in participation in co-curricular activities.

The positive experiences and success in competitions are carried over into the second phase of specialization in a sport (Prus & Irine, 1980). The athletes reasons for continued participation in volleyball are talent, desire to win, success, personal development, travel opportunities and recognition. These reasons are both intrinsic and extrinsic in nature but they are tilted towards intrinsic motives. These reasons have been reported elsewhere (Johansson, 1992; Weiss, 2001). Johansson (1992) and Weiss (2001) opined that travelling and gaining expertise are external rewards as well as internal rewards in terms of personal development, self-actualization and self-belief are recognized as least important reasons for continued specialization into a sport. The role of significant others cannot be ignored in the context of continued volleyball participation and competition. This is essential as the athletes require encouragement, social support, rewards and sharing obligations as they continue to seek excellence. As expected in the social learning theories, socialization is a multi-stage process and the primary agents (Cote, 1999; Deci & Eccles, 2004; Fredricks & Eccles, 2004) are replaced by...
secondary agents such as teachers, peers, coaches and spouses (Malina, 1994). Athletes in this study continue to be influenced overtly by friends/peers teammates and the coach. This is consistent with assertions that friends and peers encourage sport participation among boys and girls in secondary schools (Kubayi et al., 2014) Stevenson (1990) opined that athletes introduction to the sports in which they eventually gained international status were sponsored by a variety of individuals such as parents, siblings, peers and others. Other contingencies had to occur before they became committed to sport and those included potential for success and the people involved in sport. Prus (1984) had described sponsored recruitment as others willing to support the athletes involvement in sport or providing opportunities that encourage the athletes involvement.

It is regrettable that the employees has minimal influence over the athletes in this study yet a majority of the volleyball clubs in Kenya are supported by corporate organizations. It can only be speculated that the athletes may not be uncomfortable with the remuneration coming from their employers and there is need for more input from the employer.

5. Conclusions
It is concluded that athletes entered into volleyball due to diverse intrinsic and extrinsic reasons. Their continued participation is attributed to essentially extrinsic reasons and the secondary agents significant others combine to influence their continued participation in volleyball. However school environment seem to be a base in which volleyball players are founded. Majority of players feel that playing volleyball to express is quite gratifying no wonder it is possible to find corporate teams having volleyball players who are not part of the employees.

6. Recommendations
As socialization into volleyball is a continuous process, then those associated with the volleyball athletes should take stock of their motives. More aptly there is need for team managers to vary the reinforcement mechanisms which have been put in place. Secondly, the corporate world (supporters) of the volleyball clubs need to improve on their relationships with the athletes. They can also devise ways of turning volleyball into a professional sport in Kenya. Since talent is reported as the main reason for playing volleyball a well thought out talent identification and nurturing system should be developed at the lowest level of child growth. Parental guidance is also important in influencing the players choice of sport. Future studies need to unearth the challenges of playing competitive volleyball in Kenya and also establish whether the motives for initial entry and continued participation are influenced by other factors such as educational level of the athletes and their need to come up with a structured reward structure for the volleyball athletes in Kenya.
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