GM-CSF Priming Drives Bone Marrow-Derived Macrophages to a Pro-Inflammatory Pattern and Downmodulates PGE₂ in Response to TLR2 Ligands
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Abstract

In response to pathogen recognition by Toll-like receptors (TLRs) on their cell surface, macrophages release lipid mediators and cytokines that are widely distributed throughout the body and play essential roles in host responses. Granulocyte macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) is important for the immune response during infections to improve the clearance of microorganisms. In this study, we examined the release of mediators in response to TLR2 ligands by bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMDMs) primed with GM-CSF. We demonstrated that when stimulated with TLR2 ligands, non-primed BMDMs preferentially produced PGÉ₂ in greater amounts than LTGE. However, GM-CSF priming shifted the release of lipid mediators by BMDMs, resulting in a significant decrease of PGÉ₂ production in response to the same stimuli. The decrease of PGÉ₂ production from primed BMDMs was accompanied by a decrease in PGÉ-synthase mRNA expression and an increase in TNF-α and nitric oxide (NO) production. Moreover, some GM-CSF effects were potentiated by the addition of IFN-γ. Using a variety of TLR2 ligands, we established that PGÉ₂ release by GM-CSF-primed BMDMs was dependent on TLR2 co-receptors (TLR1, TLR6), CD14, MyD88 and the nuclear translocation of NFκB but was not dependent on peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-γ (PPAR-γ) activation. Indeed, GM-CSF priming enhanced TLR2, TLR4 and MyD88 mRNA expression and phospho-IκBα formation. These findings demonstrate that GM-CSF drives BMDMs to present a profile relevant to the host during infections.

Introduction

The innate immune response is initiated by microbe recognition, phagocytosis and activation of numerous cells; macrophages seem to be at the focal point of this scenario. Through the production of inflammatory mediators macrophages exert a broad variety of functions [1]. Murine macrophages have been used as an important primary cell model for the investigation of the release and function of pro-inflammatory mediators. Because macrophages are derived from bone marrow cells, this seems a valuable tool to obtain high numbers of cells from a single mouse [2]. Transgenic and gene-disrupted mice allow the study of particular mechanisms, which has emphasized the need for cultures of primary cells, like as BMDM [2]. The specific profile of metabolites produced by phagocytes depends on the stage of differentiation, anatomic site of residence [3], and mainly depends on the activation pathway. The surface expression of receptors and co-receptors, such as toll-like receptors (TLR), are modulated by external factors, including cytokines and chemokines, produced in the microenvironment. The activation of TLR2 pathways by bacterial and yeast cell wall components is enhanced by GM-CSF [4]. GM-CSF is also described as a factor for granulocytes priming for leukotrienes (LTs) synthesis subsequent to stimulation with chemotactic factors [5]. The increased release of LT results from GM-CSF priming through increase of arachidonic acid (AA) release, enhancement of 5-Lipooxygenase (5-LO) activation [6,7] and rapid augmentation of mRNA translation to increase 5-LO protein levels [8]. Likewise, when alveolar macrophages (AM) are stimulated with GM-CSF, the production of LT is increased [9], validating its role as a primary stimulator of different cell populations.

GM-CSF is an immune regulatory cytokine produced by macrophages, endothelial cells, alveolar epithelial cells and T cells in response to pro-inflammatory cytokines, activating a variety of cells, such as dendritic cells, neutrophils and macrophages [10]. This cytokine is responsible for the survival, proliferation, differentiation and function of myeloid cells [11]. The clearance of microorganisms from the lungs of GM-CSF-deficient mice is extremely affected [12,13], providing evidence of its importance in the immune response during infectious diseases. AMs phagocytose a range of pathogens and particles through mechanisms mediated...
by receptor interactions that can be regulated by GM-CSF [13, 14].

Microbes and their components activate innate immune responses through interaction of their PAMPs with TLRs. TLR2 recognizes bacterial components, such as peptidoglycan (PGN), bacterial triacylated lipoprotein (Pam3CSK4), mycoplasma diacylated lipoprotein (Malp2), lipoarabinomannan (AraLAM), zymosan and protozoan GPI anchors [15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20]. Furthermore, TLR4 is essential for responses to LPS [21]. TLR activation culminates in the production of cytokines, chemokines, and other pro-inflammatory molecules to evoke host defense responses and initiate acquired immunity [22].

Lipid mediators, such as LT and prostaglandins (PG), are widely recognized for their pro-inflammatory activities [23, 24]. PGE2 enhances vasodilation, edema formation and vascular permeability [24]. LTB4 is a potent chemotactic and chemokinin mediator and acts as a leukocyte activator [25]. The role of lipid mediators during infections has been shown. LT can enhance the phagocytic activity of neutrophils and macrophages and increase their capacity to kill microbes and produce antimicrobial mediators [25]. Moreover, Mycobacterium tuberculosis-infected mice treated with 5-LO pathway inhibitor MK886 have an increased susceptibility to the disease [26]. This inhibition also results in exacerbation of pulmonary histoplasmosis [27]. The role of PG in host defense is also recognized. PGI2 regulates the killing and phagocytosis of Escherichia coli by alveolar and peritoneal macrophages [28]. Treatment with COX-1/2 inhibitors favor parasitism by Strongyloides venezuelensis due to a shift in the immune response [29] and liberation of PGE2 after ingestion of apoptotic cells by phagocytes results in poor clearance of Streptococcus pneumoniae [30].

However, in contrast to the wealth of data exploring the TLR-dependent cytokine expression and activation of oxidant production, the mechanisms governing the TLR-mediated activation of lipid mediators production in primary macrophages is unclear. The production of eicosanoids and the macrophage differentiation/maturation process play an important role in innate immunity. Here, we address the effect of a set of TLR2-ligands on the production of PGE2 and LTB4 by GM-CSF primed BMDM and the correlation with other pro-inflammatory mediators, such as TNF-α and Nitric Oxide (NO).

**Methods**

**Mice**

Six- to 8-week old C57Bl/6 mice deficient for TLR2 [17], TLR1 [31], TLR6 [32], CD14 [33], MyD88 [34] and TLR4 [35] and their strain-matched wildtype (WT) controls from both sexes were bred under specific pathogen-free conditions in the Transgene Institute animal breeding facility (UPS44, CNRS, Orleans, France). This study was carried out in strict accordance with the recommendations in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the Regional ethics committee (Permit Number: CL2006-011). All surgery was performed under CO2/O2 excess atmosphere, and all efforts were made to minimize suffering.

**Priming and Stimulation of Primary Macrophage Cultures in vitro**

Marine bone marrow cells were isolated from femurs and cultured (10^5/ml) for 7 days (37°C and 5% CO2) in Dulbecco’s minimal essential medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10 mM L-glutamine (Sigma), 100 U/mL penicillin (Invitrogen) and 100 U/mL streptomycin (Invitrogen), 20% horse serum and 30% L929 cell-conditioned medium as a source of macrophage colony-stimulating factor (M-CSF). After suspending in cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), washing, and re-culturing for 5 days in fresh medium, the cell preparation contained a homogenous population of macrophages (97–98% CD11b+ F4/80). The bone marrow derived macrophages (BMDM) were plated in 48-well microculture plates (Nunc) at a density of 5 × 10^3 cells/well in DMEM supplemented with 10 mM L-glutamine, 100 U/mL penicillin and 100 U/mL streptomycin and 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and cultured at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO2 for 18 h. BMDM were primed (or not) with 10% J558L cell-conditioned medium as a source of granulocyte macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) (3.5 ng/10^5 cells) for 24 h before stimulation or in a combination with interferon-γ (IFN-γ) (500 UI/ml). Subsequently, BMDM were stimulated with increased concentrations of TLR-ligands as AraLAM-MS (lipoparabinomannan from Mycobacterium smegmatis) (InvivoGen) (5–5000 ng/ml), LM-MS (lipomannan from M. smegmatis) (InvivoGen) (5–5000 ng/ml), Malp2 (S-(2,3-bisacyloxy-ypropyl)-cysteine-GNEDSNSSFKEK) (Alexis Biochemicals) (0.3–300 ng/ml), synthetic bacterial lipopptide Pam3CSK4 (3,5 ng/10^5 cells) for 24 h before stimulation or in a combination with interferon-γ (IFN-γ) (500 UI/ml). This inhibition also results in exacerbation of pulmonary histoplasmosis [27]. The role of PG in host defense is also recognized. PGI2 regulates the killing and phagocytosis of Escherichia coli by alveolar and peritoneal macrophages [28]. Treatment with COX-1/2 inhibitors favor parasitism by Strongyloides venezuelensis due to a shift in the immune response [29] and liberation of PGE2 after ingestion of apoptotic cells by phagocytes results in poor clearance of Streptococcus pneumoniae [30].

However, in contrast to the wealth of data exploring the TLR-dependent cytokine expression and activation of oxidant production, the mechanisms governing the TLR-mediated activation of lipid mediators production in primary macrophages is unclear. The production of eicosanoids and the macrophage differentiation/maturation process play an important role in innate immunity. Here, we address the effect of a set of TLR2-ligands on the production of PGE2 and LTB4 by GM-CSF primed BMDM and the correlation with other pro-inflammatory mediators, such as TNF-α and Nitric Oxide (NO).

**Cytokine Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay**

Supernatants were harvested and assayed for cytokine content using the commercially available enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay reagents for TNF-α and IL-10 (Duoset R&D). The detection limit for both cytokines was 7 pg/mL.

**Nitrite Measurements**

Nitrite concentrations in the cell supernatants were measured using the Griess reaction (3% phosphoric acid, 1% p-aminobenzenie sulfonamide, 1% N-1-naphthyl ethylenediamide) as previously described [36].

**Eicosanoids Measurements**

LTB4 and PGE2 concentrations were measured directly in cell-free supernatant from primary macrophage culture. Eicosanoids were assayed using enzyme-linked immunoassays according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Cayman Chemical).

**Analysis of gene expression by real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR).**

Expression of mRNA in BMDM cells after treatment with GM-CSF for 4, 8 and 18 h was evaluated using a custom RT2 Profiler PCR Array kit (Qiagen). Total RNA
from BMDM cells was isolated using the RNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen), and the reverse transcription of 3 μg of RNA was performed using the RT2 HT First Strand kit (Qiagen). Each cDNA sample was processed in 96-well plates containing sets of pre-defined genes (Tbi1, Tbi6, Tbi4, Tbi2, Ca14, Igbl2, Tiam1, Ticam2, Alo5, Alo5ap, Pg2 and Pges2), including Actb and Gapdh as endogenous internal controls in all sets. Amplification was performed duplicates in an Eppendorf Mastercycler ep realplex 4 (Eppendorf). The 2−ΔΔCt method was used in the analysis of the RT-PCR data.

Measurement of Total and Phosphorylated Proteins by ELISA
Following priming and LM (5000 ng/mL) stimulation, 3×10^6 cells were lysed in cell lysis buffer (Cell Signaling Technology). Cell lysates were analyzed, and proteins were semi-quantified using the PathScan® total-NFkB p65, phospho-NFkB p65 (Ser536) and total-IκBα, phospho-IκBα (Ser32) sandwich ELISA kits, according to the manufacturer's instructions (Cell Signaling Technology). Briefly, the lysates were diluted (10 μg/mL), and 100 μL of lysate was added to wells pre-coated with primary antibody. The plate was incubated overnight at 4°C and then washed four times. After washing the plate, the detection antibody was added to the wells, and the plate was incubated for 1 h at 37°C. After a second washing process, horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-linked secondary antibody was added, and the plate was incubated for 30 min at 37°C. Substrate was added, and the plate was incubated for 30 min at room temperature. Finally, the reaction was stopped, and the samples were read at an absorbance of 450 nm. The results were expressed as the percentage of relative expression (absorbance) of control versus results that produced by primed BMDMs stimulated with LM.

Nuclear Translocation of NFκB
BMDM were adhered to cover slides and cultured for 18 h at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO2. Cells were primed with GM-CSF for 24 h and subsequently stimulated with TLR2-ligands as described above for 2 h at 37°C and 5% CO2. Next, the monolayer was washed by gentle agitation with PBS, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, permeabilized with Triton X-100 (0.5%) and used for immunolocalization of NFκB as described [37], with minor modifications. BMDM were incubated with goat anti-murine NFκB p65 antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) for 1 h at room temperature, washed, and incubated with swine anti-goat IgG FITC Ab (Sigma). Cells presenting NFκB nuclear translocation were scored by confocal microscopy (Leica SP2).

Statistical Analysis
Results were expressed as mean ± SEM. Statistical significance was determined with GraphPad Prism software (Version 4.0, San Diego, CA) by unpaired t-test analysis of variance. Values of p<0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results
1. GM-CSF Priming Reduces Macrophage Production of PGE2
We characterized the capacity of different TLR2 ligands derived from bacteria to induce the release of PGE2 and LTB4 from macrophage after priming with GM-CSF. Cells were primed, or not primed, with GM-CSF and then stimulated with the TLR-agonists AraLAM, LM, Malp2, Pam3-CSK4 or LPS TLR4 ligand as a positive control. As shown in Figure 1A, unprimed macrophage stimulated with AraLAM, LM, Malp2, Pam3-CSK4 and LPS produced high levels of PGE2 as compared with the negative control; however, the response to AraLAM stimulation was slightly weaker. GM-CSF priming resulted in a significant decrease in PGE2 production by stimulated macrophages. The kinetics of stimulation was similar to all ligands, with the maximum response obtained after 24h of incubation (data not shown). Macrophage priming with GM-CSF resulted in a small increase in LTB4 release as compared with unprimed cells (Fig. 1B). However, GM-CSF priming did not affect TLRs induced LTB4 production (Fig. 1B). Similarly, no effect of GM-CSF priming was observed on LTCl release after TLR-agonist stimulation in the same conditions (data not shown). Thus, GM-CSF priming decreased PGE2 release by TLR-activated macrophages.

2. GM-CSF Priming Enhances TLR2 Ligands-induced TNF-α and NO Release
The effects of GM-CSF priming on macrophage release of TNF-α and NO in response to TLR2 or TLR4 stimulation were further analyzed. Macrophages were treated with increasing concentrations of TLR-ligands for 24 h. A time-dependent
increase in TNF-\(a\) and NO, which peaked after incubation for 24 h, was observed (data not shown). Therefore, in all subsequent experiments, TNF-\(a\) and NO was measured at this time point. We also evaluated the concentration-dependent effect of TLR-ligands and observed that Malp2 (Fig. 2D), LM (Fig. 2B) and Pam\(_3\)-CSK\(_4\) (Fig. 2C) induced maximal responses at 300 ng/mL, 5000 ng/mL and 5000 ng/mL, respectively. Priming with GM-CSF induced an increase of the peak TNF-\(a\) release, obtained at these concentrations. Moreover, AraLAM induced significant concentrations of TNF-\(a\) only at 5000 ng/mL (Fig. 2A). GM-CSF priming also greatly increased LPS-induced TNF-\(a\) release (Fig. 2E).

AraLAM (Fig. 3A), LM (Fig. 3B) and Malp2 (Fig. 3D) induced no or only a weak production of NO in unprimed cells, but NO release was increased synergistically after GM-CSF priming. In addition, LPS (Fig. 3E) and Pam\(_3\)-CSK\(_4\) (Fig. 3C) stimulated NO release dose-dependently and a significant enhancement in NO release was also observed after GM-CSF priming.

The capacity of TLR2 to recognize a vast array of compounds has been attributed to the ability of TLR2 to heterodimerize with other TLR co- and accessory receptors, which defines their ligand specificity [38]. The TLR2 co-receptors include TLR1 and TLR6. In addition, recognition of TLR2 agonists is influenced by several accessory receptors, including CD14 [39]. Most TLRs, including TLR2, recruit the MyD88 adaptor molecule, which leads to the induction of downstream signaling events through activation of nuclear factor NF\(k\)B and mitogen-activated protein (MAP) kinases [40,41].

To further identify whether TLR1, TLR6, CD14 and MyD88 are involved in the signaling pathway of NO and TNF-\(a\).
production by GM-CSF primed macrophages, we analyzed the TLR pathway dependence in macrophages from gene-deficient mice. Low concentrations of TNF-α (Table 1) were produced by GM-CSF-primed TLR2−/− and CD14−/− macrophages after stimulation with AraLAM and LM. In contrast, after Malp2 and Pam3-CSK4 stimulation, TNF-α was efficiently released by GM-CSF-primed CD14−/− but not by TLR2−/− macrophages. In the absence of either TLR1 or TLR6, there was a partial decrease in TNF-α release following Pam3-CSK4 stimulation, but TNF-α release was totally abolished in GM-CSF-primed TLR6−/− macrophages stimulated with Malp2. As expected LPS-induced TNF-α release was essentially absent in GM-CSF-primed TLR4−/− or CD14−/− macrophages. TNF-α production was strongly impaired or not detected in the supernatants of MyD88−/− macrophages in the presence of all stimuli used (Table 1). Similarly, the induction of NO (Table 2) by AraLAM, LM, Malp2 and Pam3-CSK4 was strongly dependent on TLR2 and MyD88. In addition, NO release by GM-CSF-primed macrophages stimulated with LM was dependent on CD14 but dependent on TLR6 when stimulated with Malp2. As described, LPS stimulation was totally dependent on CD14 and TLR4 and partially dependent on MyD88 (Table 2). Therefore, GM-CSF priming did not alter the TLR pathway usage for macrophage TNF and NO release.

Figure 3. Effect of GM-CSF priming on NO production by BMDM. BMDM from WT mice were primed (or not) with GM-CSF for 24 h and then incubated for 24 h with increasing concentrations of AraLAM (5–5000 ng/mL) (A), LM (5–5000 ng/mL) (B), Pam3-CSK4 (5–5000 ng/mL) (C), Malp2 (0.3–300 ng/mL) (D) or LPS (50–1000 ng/mL) (E). Medium alone was used as a negative control. Supernatants were harvested, and the Nitrite content determined by the Griess Method. Results are the average ± S.E.M from mice cells (n = 6 per group), from two independent experiments; *, p < 0.05 compared with unstimulated cells; #, p < 0.05 compared with unprimed GM-CSF cells. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0040523.g003
3. PGE₂ Induced by TLR2 Ligands Depend on Co-receptors and MyD88

The participation of TLR pathways in the production of PGE₂ by GM-CSF-primed macrophages was then evaluated in macrophages from gene deficient mice. After stimulation with AraLAM, a significant increase in PGE₂ production was observed in GM-CSF-primed macrophages cultures from C57Bl/6, CD14⁻/⁻, TLR1⁻/⁻, TLR6⁻/⁻ and TLR4⁻/⁻ mice, while this effect was abrogated in GM-CSF-primed, TLR2⁻/⁻ macrophages (Fig. 4A). Furthermore, LM stimulated PGE₂ release from WT macrophages, but the absence of TLR2 dramatically reduced this effect (Fig. 4A). Macrophages deficient for TLR6 responded to LM as efficiently as WT cells, but the production of PGE₂ was reduced in TLR1⁻/⁻, TLR6⁻/⁻ and TLR4⁻/⁻ mice, while this effect was associated with elevated MyD88 and TRIF mRNA expression (Fig. 5A). The participation of TLR pathways in the production of PGE₂ by GM-CSF-primed macrophages cultures from C57Bl/6, CD14⁻/⁻, TLR1⁻/⁻, TLR6⁻/⁻ and TLR4⁻/⁻ mice, while this effect was abrogated in GM-CSF-primed, TLR2⁻/⁻ macrophages (Fig. 4A). Furthermore, LM stimulated PGE₂ release from WT macrophages, but the absence of TLR2 dramatically reduced this effect (Fig. 4A). Macrophages deficient for TLR6 responded to LM as efficiently as WT cells, but the production of PGE₂ was reduced in TLR1⁻/⁻, TLR6⁻/⁻ and TLR4⁻/⁻ mice, while this effect was associated with elevated MyD88 and TRIF mRNA expression (Fig. 5A). The participation of TLR pathways in the production of PGE₂ by GM-CSF-primed macrophages cultures from C57Bl/6, CD14⁻/⁻, TLR1⁻/⁻, TLR6⁻/⁻ and TLR4⁻/⁻ mice, while this effect was abrogated in GM-CSF-primed, TLR2⁻/⁻ macrophages (Fig. 4A). Furthermore, LM stimulated PGE₂ release from WT macrophages, but the absence of TLR2 dramatically reduced this effect (Fig. 4A). Macrophages deficient for TLR6 responded to LM as efficiently as WT cells, but the production of PGE₂ was reduced in TLR1⁻/⁻, TLR6⁻/⁻ and TLR4⁻/⁻ mice, while this effect was associated with elevated MyD88 and TRIF mRNA expression (Fig. 5A).

4. Regulation of mRNA Expression of the Enzymes Involved in PGE₂ and LTB₄ Metabolism, TLRs and TLR Adaptor Molecules in GM-CSF-primed BMDMs

To determine the effects of GM-CSF on steady-state mRNA encoding for 5-LO, FLAP, COX-2, PGE₂ synthase, TLR1, TLR2, TLR4, TLR6, CD14, MyD88, TRIF and TRAM, macrophages were cultured with or without GM-CSF for various periods of time and without agonist stimulation. Quantitative real time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) analysis from these BMDMs revealed that GM-CSF priming increased COX-2 mRNA expression (threefold) after 4 h of incubation (Fig. 5A), and this increase persisted through 8 h (fivefold) (Fig. 5B) and 18 h (fourfold) (Fig. 5C) of incubation. In contrast, PGE₂ synthase mRNA was down-regulated 4 h after GM-CSF treatment and persisted until 18 h. No effect was observed on mRNA for either 5-LO or FLAP after 4 h of incubation, compared with non-treated macrophages (Fig. 5A). However, there was an approximately half-fold increase in mRNA for 5-LO but not for FLAP at 8 h of GM-CSF treatment (Fig. 5B). As shown in Fig. 5B, we detected increased mRNA expression of TLR2 and TLR4 only after 8 h of GM-CSF treatment. There was no effect on mRNA expression for TLR1 and TLR6. However, CD14 mRNA expression was down-regulated within 18 h of GM-CSF treatment. Since the use of different adaptor proteins provides a mechanism to modulate the response of individual TLRs, we analyzed the regulation of the expression of known TLR adaption protein stimulation. TRAM mRNA expression is up-regulated after 4 h of treatment (Fig. 5A), which was associated with elevated MyD88 and TRIF mRNA expression after 8 h of GM-CSF treatment (Fig. 5B). Thus, GM-CSF coordinate regulates the expression of multiple molecular components of the innate response in macrophages, including both positive (COX-2, 5-LO, TLR2, TLR4, MyD88, TRIF and TRAM) and negative (PGE₂ synthase and CD14) components.

5. TLRs and Co-receptors Participate in IL-10 Production by Macrophages Stimulated with Bacterial Products

In addition to their participation in the production of pro-inflammatory mediators, TLR-ligands are also involved in the regulation of IL-10 release, as shown in Figure 6. Macrophages primed with GM-CSF constitutively produced relatively low concentrations of IL-10. Stimulation with LM, Pam₃-CSK₄ and LPS resulted in IL-10 secretion. However, AraLAM and Malp2 had a very small effect on IL-10 induction (data not shown). Subsequently, the impact of TLRs and co-receptors on IL-10 production after GM-CSF priming was examined. As shown in Figure 6A, IL-10 secretion by LM-stimulated WT primary macrophages was inhibited in TLR2⁻/⁻, TLR4⁻/⁻, MyD88⁻/⁻ and MyD86⁻/⁻ cells. Indeed, TLR2⁻/⁻, TLR1⁻/⁻ and MyD86⁻/⁻ cells were largely unresponsive to Pam₃-CSK₄ in terms of IL-10 release, and TLR6⁻/⁻ macrophages were partially responsive (Fig. 6B), while absence of TLR4 and MyD88 prevented LPS induced IL-10 release (Fig. 6C). Thus, bacterial PAMPs, including mycobacterial LM, induce IL-10 release in GM-CSF primed macrophages.

6. IFN-γ Potentiates the Effects of GM-CSF

IFN-γ is added in culture to prime macrophage. To analyze the effect of GM-CSF in combination with IFN-γ on macrophage priming, the production levels of TNF-α, IL-10, NO and PGE₂ were determined in cell culture supernatants after stimulation with TLR ligands. Macrophages were primed with GM-CSF only or in combination with IFN-γ. For all TLR ligands tests NO release was increased after priming with a combination of GM-CSF and IFN-γ (Fig. 7A). Priming with either GM-CSF or IFN-γ induced a
after LM stimulation. The nuclear translocation of NFκB is important in macrophage differentiation and in the inflammatory response, we next examined the influence of PPAR-γ on the percentage of cells showing nuclear NFκB in GM-CSF-primed macrophages before TLR ligand stimulation. Indeed, these priming conditions also enhanced the total and phospho-NFκB expression, allowing NFκB to be translocated into the nucleus and to become active. To determine whether GM-CSF priming and stimulation with TLR2 ligands are associated with the activation of NFκB, we analyzed total cytoplasmic NFκB, phosphorylated (phospho) NFκBp65, total IκBα, and phospho-IκBα in BMDM lysate after priming the cells with GM-CSF, IFN-γ or GM-CSF plus IFN-γ in the presence or absence of LM stimulus (2 h) using PathScan® ELISA. The results showed that all priming had no effects on total and phospho-NFκBp65 expression (Fig. 7A) and total and phospho-IκBα expression (Fig. 8B). The LM stimulus up-regulated total and phospho-NFκBp65 but had no effect on total and phospho-IκBα expression. Priming with GM-CSF or GM-CSF plus IFN-γ enhanced the total and phospho-NFκBp65 expression after LM stimulation. Indeed, these priming conditions also enhanced phospho-IκBα expression. However, the priming with only IFN-γ increased phospho-NFκBp65 but not phospho-IκBα expression after LM stimulation. The nuclear translocation of NFκBp65 was confirmed by immunofluorescence. NFκBp65 staining is cytoplasmic in GM-CSF-primed macrophages before TLR ligand stimulation. After 2 h of stimulation with AraLAM, LM, Malp2, Pam3-CSK4 or LPS, the translocation to the nucleus was clear (Fig. 8, panel). The stimuli also significantly increased the percentage of cells showing nuclear NFκBp65 staining, as compared with the untreated cells (Fig. 8C).

Considering that lipid-activated nuclear receptors may play an important role in macrophage differentiation and in the inflammatory response, we next examined the influence of PPAR-γ on NFκB release in response to the TLR ligands (data not shown). However, priming with the combination of GM-CSF plus IFN-γ did not result in a further increase in TNF-α release as compared to the single priming with GM-CSF, at the concentrations of stimuli used (Fig. 7B). Surprisingly, PGE2 (Fig. 7C) and IL-10 (Fig. 7D) production by macrophages primed with both GM-CSF and IFN-γ significantly decreased in response to AraLAM, LM, Malp2, Pam3-CSK4, or LPS exposure, compared to priming with GM-CSF alone. Thus, IFN-γ priming potentiates GM-CSF induced increase in NO and decrease in PGE2 and this is associated with a decrease in IL-10 release.

### Discussion

Macrophages have a pivotal function in the resolution of infectious diseases. The activation of macrophage receptors induces intracellular signaling that results in the release of mediators into the microenvironment. GM-CSF is a multifunctional cytokine that has a primary role as a hematopoietic growth factor. In addition, GM-CSF can directly activate and have a priming effect on mature phagocytes [42,43]. TLRs interact with different combinations of adapter proteins and activate transcription factors, leading to specific immune responses when activated by structurally conserved molecules derived from pathogens [44,45]. Here, we analyzed the mechanisms governing TLR-mediated eicosanoid production by BMDMs primed with GM-CSF. Primarily, our study demonstrated that priming BMDMs with GM-CSF and stimulating BMDMs with TLR ligands results in a downregulation of PGE2 production. In contrast, these primed cells have increased TNF-α and NO release. The production of pro-inflammatory mediators by GM-CSF-primed BMDMs is dependent on the adaptor protein MyD88 and the nuclear translocation of NFκBp65.

As an activator of cell functions, GM-CSF has been shown to upregulate LTs release by alveolar macrophages (AM) in rats [9]. When pre-treated with GM-CSF, AMs released more AA and generated more metabolites, including PGs and LTs upon subsequent stimulation, compared to untreated cells. This action was related to the capacity of GM-CSF to increase the expression of the 85-kDa PLA2 protein, an important enzyme in the eicosanoid production pathway [9]. Here, priming with GM-CSF resulted in a reduction of PGE2 release by BMDMs stimulated with different TLR2 ligands (AraLAM, LM, Malp2 and Pam3-CSK4) or the TLR4 ligand LPS. Although this reduction was accompanied by an increase in COX-2 mRNA expression, PGE-synthase mRNA expression was downregulated at the time of the analyses, and this downregulation may have led to the decrease in

| Stimulus | TLR2 | TLR1 | TLR6 | TLR4 | CD14 | MyD88 |
|----------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|
| AraLAM   | 55.6±6.9<sup>b</sup> | −10.0±30.3<sup>c</sup> | 12.4±27.9 | 35.2±6.8<sup>b</sup> | 34.2±39.5<sup>b</sup> | 98.4±2.1<sup>b</sup> |
| LM       | 79.0±10.1<sup>b</sup> | −25.8±23.7<sup>c</sup> | 5.8±22.5 | 17.3±5.3 | 67.3±2.1<sup>b</sup> | 83.0±1.8<sup>b</sup> |
| Malp2    | 90.6±2.2<sup>b</sup> | 1.8±15.2 | 87.8±8.0<sup>c</sup> | −26.8±4.5<sup>c</sup> | 31.7±19.6 | 97.5±3.1<sup>b</sup> |
| Pam3     | 91.5±0.4<sup>b</sup> | 5.2±4.3 | 21.5±15.1 | −22.0±1.9<sup>c</sup> | 9.9±4.7 | 98.5±0.4<sup>b</sup> |
| LPS      | 7.2±27.7 | −260.23<sup>c</sup> | −0.4±14.0<sup>c</sup> | 99.3±0.9<sup>b</sup> | 71.6±3.3<sup>b</sup> | 55.4±6.0<sup>b</sup> |

*<sup>b</sup>Percentage of inhibition of NO production in deficient mice compared to WT.
*<sup>c</sup>p<0.05, significantly versus WT production. Data are mean ± SD, n = 6 mice per genotype from two independent experiments.
*<sup>a</sup>Negative values corresponds an increment in correlation with WT production.

Table 2. Effect of GM-CSF priming on NO production by BMDM in response to bacterial stimulus.<ref>doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0040523.t002</ref>
PGE₂ production. Several reports demonstrated that PGE₂ contributes to immune suppression [46]. PGE₂ secreted from activated macrophages in response to pro-inflammatory stimuli acts on macrophages themselves and exhibits an inhibitory function in a negative feedback loop [47,48]. Therefore, we suggest that GM-CSF acted as a regulator for an inflammatory profile. The biosynthesis of LTs in macrophages is known to result from a complex series of reactions. These particular events begin with the activation of transmembrane receptors by cytokines or other stimuli that prompt the activation and expression of 5-LO and the entire signaling pathway, resulting in the production of LTB₄ and cysteinyl leukotrienes (Cys-LT). Here, although the effect of GM-CSF priming on BMDM release of LTB₄ was demonstrated and 5-LO mRNA expression was upregulated in BMDMs after 8 h of GM-CSF priming, no further increase in LTB₄ was observed after stimulation with TLR2 ligands or LPS. These results suggest that there is additional regulation at other levels in the 5-LO pathway and may reflect the activation/

Figure 4. TLRs, co-receptors and MyD88 contribute to PGE₂ production by GM-CSF primed BMDM. BMDM from WT (C57Bl/6) or mice deficient for TLR2 or CD14 (A), TLR1 or TLR6 (B), or TLR4 or MyD88 (C) were primed with GM-CSF for 24 h and then incubated for 24 h with AraLAM (5000 ng/mL), LM (5000 ng/mL), Malp2 (300 ng/mL), Pam₃CSK₄ (5000 ng/mL) or LPS (500 ng/mL) in vitro. Medium alone was used as negative control. ELISA was used to measure the PGE₂ production in the supernatants. Results are the average ± S.E.M (n=6 per genotype), from two independent experiments; *, p<0.05 compared with unstimulated cells; **, p<0.05 compared with WT mice.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0040523.g004
deactivation of the cell signaling response to a stimulatory assay [49].

Priming with GM-CSF was also critical for the formation of NO by BMDMs. In GM-CSF-primed macrophages, the level of NO production was strongly increased with all the stimuli evaluated. The increase in NO production may contribute to the decrease in PGE2 release. NO has been reported to suppress COX activity and PGE2 production [50]. The modulation of the COX system by NO represents an important pathway that regulates the magnitude of the inflammatory process [51]. There is molecular crosstalk between the inflammatory mediators NO and PGs. NO exerts divergent effects on the constitutive and inducible COX isoforms, activating COX-1 but inactivating COX-2 [52]. Moreover, COX-2 nitration inhibits the catalytic activity of this enzyme [53]. Interestingly, other reports suggest that LPS reduces 5-LO metabolism by multiple mechanisms, including the marked induction of NO synthase (NOS) [54]. NO has been proposed to inactivate lipooxygenases by reducing the ferric enzyme to the ferrous form [55]. This effect of NO on 5-LO and COX-2 inactivation is another possible mechanism for the lower production of LTB4 and the decreased PGE2 production by GM-CSF-primed BMDMs after TLR-ligand stimulation.

Different from that observed with PGE2, GM-CSF-primed BMDMs subsequently stimulated with TLR ligands produced higher concentrations of TNF-α, as compared with unprimed cells. These data confirm the results of a study demonstrating that the main priming factor for TNF-α release by human PMNs was GM-CSF [56]. We suggest that the increase in cytokines release after priming with GM-CSF could be a consequence of a series of events, such as an increase in TLR2 and TLR4 mRNA expression and an increase in mRNA expression of TRIF-related adaptor molecule (TRAM) and MyD88. However, IL-10 production in response to LM, Pam3-CSK4 or LPS was reduced in GM-CSF-primed macrophages (data not shown). Our results demonstrate that GM-CSF priming enhances phospho-IκBα expression after TLR2 agonist stimulation and that IFN-γ potentiates this

Figure 5. Effect of GM-CSF priming on BMDM mRNA expression. mRNA was prepared from 5×10⁶ BMDMs. Macrophages were treated with or without GM-CSF for 4 (A), 8 (B) or 18 h (C). qRT-PCR was used to determine the relative expression of transcripts encoding for lipid metabolism enzymes, TLRs and adaptor proteins. The results were normalized to the expression of the endogenous internal controls Actb and Gapdh. The 2−ΔΔCt method was used in the analysis of the qRT-PCR data. Dotted lines show mRNA expression in untreated cells. The results are presented as the average ± S.E.M from three independent experiments in duplicate (n = 3); *, p<0.05 compared with non-GM-CSF-treated cells.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0040523.g005

Figure 6. Evidence of the involvement of TLRs, CD14 and MyD88, in IL-10 production by BMDM primed with GM-CSF. BMDM from WT mice or mice deficient were primed with GM-CSF for 24 h and then stimulated with LM (5000 ng/mL), Pam3-CSK4 (5000 ng/mL) or LPS (500 ng/mL) for 24 h. The concentrations of IL-10 from BMDM deficient for TLR2, CD14 or MyD88 stimulated with LM (B), deficient for TLR2, TLR1, TLR6 and MyD88 stimulated with Pam3-CSK4 (C) and deficient for TLR2, TLR4 and MyD88 stimulated with LPS (D) were determined in the culture supernatant. Medium alone was used as a negative control. ELISA was used to determine the concentrations of IL-10. Results are the average ± S.E.M (n = 6 per genotype), from two independent experiments; *, p<0.05 compared with unstimulated cells; **, p<0.05 compared with WT mice.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0040523.g006
phenomenon. Increased IkBα phosphorylation and elevated levels of nuclear NFκB components p50 and p65 by GM-CSF-priming was also demonstrated in monocytes [43].

We addressed the effect of GM-CSF priming in combination with IFN-γ in macrophage stimulation. IFN-γ is a major activation signal for macrophages. The priming or concomitant exposure of macrophages to IFN-γ dramatically increases their responsiveness to microbial products, such as LPS, in terms of the production of NO, cytokines and co-stimulatory molecules [57]. Macrophage priming with the combination of GM-CSF and IFN-γ had no effect on TNF-α release, possibly because priming with GM-CSF alone was able to induce the maximal production of this cytokine at the doses of agonists that were used. However, NO production was increased in the presence of IFN-γ, demonstrating its importance on cell signaling to activate NO metabolism in macrophages. As expected, with increased concentrations of NO, there was decreased PGE2 and IL-10 production by BMDMs primed with the combination of GM-CSF and IFN-γ, in comparison to BMDMs primed with GM-CSF alone. Indeed, GM-CSF and IFN-γ have immunostimulatory properties; they not only prime resting monocytes but also restore monocytic functions after LPS tolerization [58].

The concept of TLR-ligand interactions suggests that individual TLR proteins recognize a set of microbial products [40]. Some TLR proteins may act cooperatively in response to particular microbial ligands [38]. In studies of neutrophil priming, the expression of TLR2 and CD14 was upregulated by GM-CSF or LPS treatment [4]. Our results showed that TLR2 and TLR4 expression by BMDMs controlled the potential response to microbial ligands and that this response was dramatically enhanced by GM-CSF priming, suggesting that GM-CSF can modulate the activation of macrophages in the host defense against bacterial infection. The mycobacterial lipoglycans LM and AraLAM are structural parts of the mycobacterial cell wall that interact with pattern recognition receptors, such as TLRs [19]. AraLAM and LM from several mycobacteria display pro-inflammatory activities [59,60], whereas ManLAM has a predominant anti-inflammatory activity in the presence of the TLR4 agonist LPS [61,62]. In our experiments, we used LM and AraLAM purified from M. smegmatis, and we described the pattern of recognition of GM-CSF-primed BMDMs by TLR2, TLR1, TLR6, TLR4 and CD14 agonists. The release of TNF-α from AraLAM-stimulated cells in culture is mediated through TLR2 and CD14. However, NO production and PGE2 production are mediated by only TLR2. As we demonstrated here, LM stimulation of PGE2 formation occurs by activating a complex cluster of receptors mediated through CD14, TLR2 and TLR1, which may function as heterodimers. The lipopeptides induce signaling in the cells of the immune system through TLR2–TLR1 or TLR2–TLR6 heterodimers [63,64]. We showed that Malp2 stimulation of GM-CSF-primed macrophages results in a marked increase in pro-inflammatory mediator production. Malp2 stim-
ulation of PGE2 release is strongly dependent on TLR2–TLR6 and the adaptor protein MyD88 in GM-CSF-primed macrophages, while Pam3-CSK4 stimulation of PGE2 release depends mostly on the TLR2 and MyD88 pathway.

NFκB plays a central role in the regulation of many of the genes responsible for the generation of inflammatory mediators. [65]. Therefore, NFκB is an attractive candidate to mediate the release of pro-inflammatory mediators. Our data show that BMDMs primed with GM-CSF and stimulated with TLR2 ligands express a very prominent nuclear staining of NFκBp65 and phosphorylated NFκBp65 relative expression. Peroxisome proliferator activated receptors (PPARs) are members of the nuclear hormone receptor superfamily of ligand-activated transcription factors [66]. Mycobacteria-induced PPAR-γ expression and activation are demonstrated to be centrally involved in regulating lipid metabolism in macrophages through the modulation of lipid body biogenesis and PGE2 production, thereby affecting the host response to infection [67]. We hypothesized that the activation of PPAR-γ has an effect on PGE2 release by macrophages. However, PPAR-γ inhibition by GW9662 treatment did not affect TNF-α and PGE2 release in response to TLR agonists, heat-killed M. tuberculosis, or BCG infection.

Together, our results demonstrate that GM-CSF priming of BMDMs enhances the capacity to drive the pro-inflammatory

Figure 8. Nuclear translocation of NFκB and PPAR-γ activation in BMDMs primed with GM-CSF. NFκBp65, IκBα expression and NFκB nuclear translocation were investigated in BMDMs from WT mice. Graphic (A) percentage of relative expression of total NFκBp65, phosphorylated NFκBp65, (B) total IκBα and phosphorylated IκBα compared with non-stimulated control cell lysate (dotted line). Cells were pre-incubated for 24 h with GM-CSF, IFN-γ or GM-CSF plus IFN-γ and then stimulated with LM (5000 ng/mL) for 2 h in vitro. Total cellular proteins were collected to assay the relative expression by ELISA (PathScan Sandwich ELISA kit). The percentage of positive cells for NFκB nuclear translocation is shown for macrophages primed with GM-CSF for 24 h and then stimulated with different TLR2 agonists or LPS for 2 h, as analyzed by confocal microscopy. The panel depicts the expression of nuclear NFκB in GM-CSF primed BMDMs stimulated with medium (vii), AraLAM (viii), LM (ix), Malp2 (x), Pam3-CSK4 (xi) or LPS (xii) and the contrast DIC (i, ii, iii, iv, v and vi) on immunofluorescence slides. To evaluate the role of PPAR-γ in pro-inflammatory mediator release, WT primary macrophages were treated with 1 μM of PPAR-γ antagonist (GW 9662) 1 h before stimulus. TNF-α (C) and PGE2 production (D) after 24 h of incubation were measured directly in the supernatant by ELISA. The graphic results are the average ± S.E.M for cells (n=6 per group) from two independent experiments; *, p<0.05 compared with unstimulated cells. The images from confocal microscopy were representatives from two independent experiments.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0040523.g008
response against bacterial components by increasing TNF-α and NO production and by altering lipid mediator release. In particular, the production of PGE₂, which was more prominent than that of LTB₄, was strongly decreased by GM-CSF priming, indicating a compensatory function for these cells in a high-inflammatory environment. Our data suggest that the GM-CSF produced when a host is infected by a pathogen may be responsible for the macrophages exhibiting a pro-inflammatory profile. Additionally, GM-CSF drives host immune defense mechanisms, which favor phagocytosis and killing, as a result of a shift in the production of lipid mediators from PGE₂ to LTB₄.
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