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ABSTRACT

Based on a deep imaging survey, we present the first homogeneous star formation history (SFH) of the Fornax dwarf spheroidal (dSph) galaxy. We have obtained two-filter photometry to a depth of $B \sim 23$ over the entire surface of Fornax, the brightest dSph associated with the Milky Way, and derived its SFH using a CMD-fitting technique. We show that Fornax has produced the most complex star formation and chemical enrichment histories of all the Milky Way dSphs. This system has supported multiple epochs of star formation. A significant number of stars were formed in the early universe; however, the most dominant population are the intermediate-age stars. This includes a strong burst of star formation approximately $3-4$ Gyr ago. Significant population gradients are also evident. Similar to other dSphs, we have found that recent star formation was concentrated toward the center of the system. Furthermore, we show that the central region harbored a faster rate of chemical enrichment than the outer parts of Fornax. At the center, the ancient stars (age $>10$ Gyr) display a mean metallicity of $\text{[Fe/H]} \sim -1.4$, with evidence for three peaks in the metallicity distribution. Overall, enrichment in Fornax has been highly efficient: the most recent star formation burst has produced stars with close to solar metallicity. Our results support a scenario in which Fornax experienced an early phase of rapid chemical enrichment, producing a wide range of abundances. Star formation gradually decreased until $\sim4$ Gyr ago, when Fornax experienced a sudden burst of strong star formation activity accompanied by substantial chemical enrichment. Weaker star-forming events followed, and we have found tentative evidence for stars with ages less than 100 Myr.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The dwarf spheroidal galaxies (dSphs) are the least luminous galaxies known. They display remarkably high mass-to-light ratios ($\sim 100-1000$), and the stars in each system are known to reside at the center of a massive dark halo ($M_{\text{vir}} \sim 10^6-10^9 M_\odot$), which extends far beyond the observed limiting radii (Walker et al. 2007; Simon & Geha 2007). In terms of stellar population, these systems can have surprisingly complex star formation histories (SFHs). All dSphs contain a population of ancient stars (Held et al. 2000); however, some (such as Fornax) have been able to maintain multiple epochs of star formation and chemical enrichment over a Hubble time. These systems are relatively simple environments compared to larger galaxies, and are therefore a starting point in the study of star formation and enrichment. Simulations suggest a cyclical process, in which the gas collapses to form stars, is then chemically enriched and blown out by pockets of massive star formation, and then collapses again to repeat the cycle. Salvadori et al. (2008) propose a time frame of $\sim 250$ Myr for a single cycle.

There are, however, open questions regarding star formation in dwarf galaxies. Population gradients suggest that the most recent bursts of star formation in each dSph were concentrated toward the object’s center (Harbeck et al. 2001). Also, the Milky Way halo contains a population of extremely metal-poor stars, with abundances of $\text{[Fe/H]} < -5$ (Christlieb et al. 2002; Frebel et al. 2005), whereas the dSphs do not contain a population with metallicities below $\text{[Fe/H]} \sim -3$ (Helmi et al. 2006). This suggests that the gas sourcing the first generation of stars in these systems was preenriched. Moreover, Grebel & Gallagher (2004) have argued that the variety of SFHs in dSphs is not the result of reionization, and hence “local processes” have influenced star formation in each object. These include the regulation of gas dynamics due to internal feedback (Dekel & Silk 1986), while ram pressure stripping and tidal interaction with the Milky Way are also important factors (Mayer et al. 2006).

With an integrated absolute magnitude of $M_V = -13.1$ (Mateo 1998), Fornax is the brightest dSph associated with the Milky Way (excluding the tidally disrupting Sagittarius system). It lies at a distance of 138 kpc, and proper-motion measurements indicate that its orbit around the Milky Way is roughly circular, with an eccentricity of $e = 0.13 \pm 0.02$ and an orbital period of $3.2^{+1.4}_{-0.7}$ Gyr (Piatek et al. 2007). Walker et al. (2006) have completed a large kinematic survey of this system, collecting radial velocities for 206 member stars over the entire surface of Fornax. They measured a flat velocity dispersion profile, indicating a significant dark component, and find a mass-to-light ratio of $M/L_V \sim 15$ within 1.5 kpc (approximately half the tidal radius).

Compared to other dSphs, the SFH of Fornax is unusually complex. All dSphs contain some number of ancient stars (Grebel & Gallagher 2004); however, early studies of Fornax revealed an extended giant branch, including carbon stars, suggesting a strong intermediate-age component (Demers & Kunkel 1979; Aaronson & Mold 1980, 1985). In addition, Fornax contains a significant young stellar component. Originally discovered by Beauchamp et al. (1995), the photometry of Stetson et al. (1998) and Saviane et al. (2000) later identified main-sequence stars with ages as young as 100–200 Myr. The analysis of Gallart et al. (2005) indicates a burst of star formation in the center of Fornax 1–2 Gyr ago, which has continued almost to the present day. Indeed, more than half the stars on the red giant branch (RGB) are thought to be younger than 4 Gyr (Battaglia et al. 2006). Of all the dSphs, Fornax has experienced the most recent star formation.

Fornax also contains population gradients, in which the young stars are preferentially located toward the center (Stetson et al. 1998). This system has supported multiple epochs of star formation and chemical enrichment, and is therefore a starting point in the study of star formation and enrichment. Simulations suggest a cyclical process, in which the gas collapses to form stars, is then chemically enriched and blown out by pockets of massive star formation, and then collapses again to repeat the cycle. Salvadori et al. (2008) propose a time frame of $\sim 250$ Myr for a single cycle.

There are, however, open questions regarding star formation in dwarf galaxies. Population gradients suggest that the most recent bursts of star formation in each dSph were concentrated toward the object’s center (Harbeck et al. 2001). Also, the Milky Way halo contains a population of extremely metal-poor stars, with abundances of $\text{[Fe/H]} < -5$ (Christlieb et al. 2002; Frebel et al. 2005), whereas the dSphs do not contain a population with metallicities below $\text{[Fe/H]} \sim -3$ (Helmi et al. 2006). This suggests that the gas sourcing the first generation of stars in these systems was preenriched. Moreover, Grebel & Gallagher (2004) have argued that the variety of SFHs in dSphs is not the result of reionization, and hence “local processes” have influenced star formation in each object. These include the regulation of gas dynamics due to internal feedback (Dekel & Silk 1986), while ram pressure stripping and tidal interaction with the Milky Way are also important factors (Mayer et al. 2006).
1998; Battaglia et al. 2006). This property is common in the dSph population (Harbeck et al. 2001), and suggests that the gas required for subsequent star formation episodes was more successfully retained in the core of the dark halo than in the outer regions. In Fornax, the young component is not aligned with the main body and is highly structured, including a shell-like feature which may indicate an accretion event $\sim 2$ Gyr ago (Coleman et al. 2004; Olszewski et al. 2006).

In addition to an age spread, the stars in this system cover a significant range in metallicity. Tolstoy et al. (2001), Pont et al. (2004), and Battaglia et al. (2006) have examined the chemical abundances of Fornax red giants using spectra of the Ca ii triplet features, and found a metallicity range of $-2.5 \leq [\text{Fe/H}] \leq 0.0$. The data set of Battaglia et al. contained enough Fornax members (562) such that stellar metallicities could be accurately related to kinematics. They found that the metal-rich stars have a colder velocity dispersion, and the metal-poor component shows signs of nonequilibrium kinematics toward the center of Fornax ($r < 2 r_e$). In addition, high-resolution spectra of 81 red giants in the center of Fornax indicate that s-process elements are unusually strong; hence, stellar winds (such as those from asymptotic giant branch [AGB] stars) have dominated the chemical enrichment of Fornax in the last 2–4 Gyr (Letarte 2007).

Despite the progress described above, Fornax has been lacking a homogeneous study of its SFH over the entire surface of the system. The Hubble Space Telescope (HST) photometry of Buonanno et al. (1999) confirmed the presence of young stars at the center of Fornax and included evidence of separate bursts of star formation. By combining these results with Ca ii triplet metallicities, Tolstoy et al. (2001) created schematic star formation and chemical enrichment histories. The preliminary SFH produced by Gallart et al. (2005) was based on VLT/FORS1 photometry (depth $I \sim 24.5$) also located at the center of Fornax, and another field approximately 1 core radius from the center. Young stars were present in both fields; however, the authors noted a significant difference in SFH between the two. In summary, although the general trend of star formation at the center of Fornax is known, the aggregate history is yet to be determined.

Hence, we present the first results of a deep, homogeneous photometric data set over the entire surface of Fornax. We have extracted the SFH from this photometry using the color-magnitude diagram (CMD)–fitting techniques developed by Dolphin (2002). Not only has this allowed us to derive a global SFH for this system, but we also have examined the SFH as a function of position to search for population gradients. Our data have a limiting magnitude of $B \sim 23.0$; thus, we are sensitive to main-sequence stars in Fornax with an age of 3 Gyr and less. Also, the RGB, red clump, and horizontal branch stars allowed us to track the ages and metallicities of the populations with ages $>3$ Gyr. This is the first complete SFH of this system derived from deep photometry.

2. THE SURVEY

2.1. Data Reduction

Images encompassing the surface of the Fornax dSph were obtained using the ESO/MPG 2.2 m telescope equipped with the Wide Field Imager. This instrument provides a $34' \times 33'$ field of view using a $4 \times 2$ mosaic of 2048 $\times$ 4096 pixel$^2$ CCDs and a pixel resolution of 0.24 arcsec pixel$^{-1}$. The survey aim is to obtain photometry to 23rd magnitude over the entire body of Fornax. The first stage presented here contains Fornax itself and the outer shell noted by Coleman et al. (2005). Thus far, 21 pointings have been obtained, covering a sky area of 5.25 deg$^2$. An overlap region of $\sim 4'$ between each field was chosen to ensure the photometric zero point was constant across the survey. A schematic diagram of the fields is shown in Figure 1. We obtained three 600 s dithered exposures in both $B$ and $R$ for all fields, allowing us to reach magnitudes of $B = 23.0$ and $R = 23.5$ (50% completeness limits) in all fields. The images were taken during 11 nights in 2006 October–November in median seeing conditions of 1.4$''$ (range 0.9$''$–2.1$''$). The data were reduced using standard procedures in the mscstack package in IRAF; the overscan region and the bias frames were used to subtract the pedestal current from each science image, which were then trimmed. Twilight flat fields were combined to produce a master flat frame in $B$ and $R$ for each night, which were then used to flat field the science images.

Three dithered images were taken in each field, and we used routines in the mscstack package to combine the images and remove gaps between the CCDs (Valdes 2002). An astrometric solution was constructed for all images by matching them to the first USNO CCD Astrograph Catalogue (UCAC1), which has an average precision of 31 mas in the magnitude range $8 < R < 16$ (Zacharias et al. 2000). The rms of our solution was $<0.2''$ in all fields. The individual CCD images were then combined using the mscimage routine to produce three single (i.e., nonmosaic) images for each field in both filters. These three images were then median combined with the mscstack routine, which matches images based on their astrometry and removes the CCD gaps. Finally, the combined image for each field was then corrected for zero-point gradients across the field of view using the mscstack routine.

2.2. Photometry

Photometry was derived using DAOPHOT (Stetson 1987). We measured the background level in each field to calculate a standard deviation of the sky, $\sigma$. Each image was then searched for all sources $4 \sigma$ above the background level, and aperture photometry was used to estimate their brightnesses. In a crowded field such as the center of Fornax, the point-spread fitting (PSF) technique in DAOPHOT provides a more accurate measure of stellar magnitudes compared to aperture photometry, as it allows the signal of adjacent stars to be disentangled. Hence, we examined the brightest 60 stars in each image and used those with no apparent neighbors and a well-defined Gaussian shape to construct a master PSF for each science frame. This was then fitted to every source in the image using a fitting radius of 8 pixels (1.9$''$) or 1–2 half-width, half-maxima of the PSF (depending on seeing).

To measure the completeness and photometric accuracy of our photometry, we performed artificial star tests on all science frames in both filters (i.e., 42 science images). We placed 1600 artificial stars in the image and attempted to recover them with DAOPHOT, where the photometric uncertainty was then determined as the dispersion of the returned magnitudes about the mean (that is, not the input). This was repeated for artificial stars at every 0.25 mag in the $B$ and $R$ frames.

To ensure a constant zero point across the survey, we matched stars in the overlap regions between fields using their astrometry and measured the mean interfield difference in $B$ and $R$. This is the same technique used in our previous Fornax survey (Coleman et al. 2005). The interfield corrections were accurate to $\sim 0.02$ mag in both $B$ and $R$. As an example, the final match between the fields F6 and F11 is shown in Figure 2. A final zero-point correction was made by matching our data to the catalog of Stetson et al. (1998), which contains photometry of the core of Fornax in $B$ and $R$ to a similar limiting magnitude as our survey. The $R$ filter attached to the 2.2 m WFI is a standard Cousins filter, and the $R$-band photometry was well matched between the two data sets. In contrast, the $B$ filter (BB/B123_ESO878) covers a larger wavelength
range than the standard Johnson filter,\(^1\) and therefore requires a color correction to match standard $B$ magnitudes. The ESO Web site provides a correction for the $B$-band photometry using the $(B - V)$ color; we determined a $(B - R)$ color correction by comparing our data to the Stetson et al. catalog, yielding the following result:

$$B = b + 0.20(b - R),$$

with a bootstrap error of 0.02 mag. The overall photometric zero points are accurate to 0.03 mag.

2.3. Color-Magnitude Diagram

The CMD of Fornax reinforces the complex SFH of this object: it contains multiple stellar populations with a vast range in age and chemical abundance. A full description of the stellar populations at the center of Fornax is given by Stetson et al. (1998) and Saviane et al. (2000). However, the outer regions of Fornax are not well known, and in Figure 3 we present the first deep CMDs for the entirety of Fornax. It has been theorized that Fornax may contain strong radial population gradients (e.g., Saviane et al. 2000); hence, we have divided the data set into the four elliptical regions shown in Figure 1. The regional boundaries are at radii of $r_c$, $2r_c$, $3r_c$, and $r_t$, where $r_c = 13.8'$ and $r_t = 76.0'$ are the core and tidal radii listed by Mateo (1998). The distribution of young stars in Fornax is not aligned with the system’s major axis, and it is also known to contain strong asymmetries (e.g., Stetson et al. 1998); however, the majority of these stars are contained in the core region (this will be shown in the next paper in this series; M. G. Coleman 2008, in preparation), and hence the assumption of elliptical regions is not vital for this subpopulation.

Region 1 (the core region) contains approximately 28,000 sources. Moving outward, another 41,000 stars were selected in region 2, 31,000 in region 3, and 51,000 in region 4. For convenience, we have also performed a background subtraction on each CMD, removing the field population using the “signal-to-noise” ratio technique provided by Grillmair et al. (1995). A full description of this technique as applied to the current data set will be given in the next paper in this series. In summary, we have divided the

\(^1\) See http://www.ls.eso.org/lasilla/sciops/2p2/E2p2M/WFI/filters/.
stellar ages and metallicities continues well beyond the core radius. However, the differences encountered in the stellar population when moving outward from the center of Fornax are remarkable. We see a decrease in the prominence of the young main sequence, possibly indicating that recent star formation (i.e., less than 4 Gyr ago) was preferentially located toward the center of Fornax. Another clear difference between the four regions lies in the morphology of the HB. The red clump is present in all four regions; however, the HB extends farther into the blue region as we move outward; it terminates at \( B - R \sim -0.2 \), in the region 4 CMD. This indicates that the outer regions of Fornax contain a significant (if not dominant) population of old, metal-poor stars. Further emphasis of this point is provided by the RGB: the lower panels of Figure 3 show that as we move outward, the RGB shifts toward the blue, thus indicating a decrease in mean metallicity with increasing radius. Overall, a comparison of the four CMDs shown in Figure 3 would suggest that later bursts of star formation and chemical enrichment were preferentially located toward the center of Fornax.

3. STAR FORMATION HISTORY

Numerical fitting of CMDs allows a study of the SFH of a dwarf galaxy (e.g., Gallart et al. 1996; Tolstoy & Saha 1996; Aparicio et al. 1997; Dolphin 1997; Holtzman et al. 1999; Olsen 1999; Hernandez et al. 2000; Harris & Zaritsky 2001). Moreover, the large mosaic presented in this paper provides an opportunity to study the spatial variation of the SFH within Fornax. To obtain a detailed picture of the SFH we use the CMD-fitting software MATCH (Dolphin 2002), which applies maximum likelihood methods to fit photometric data with simple model CMDs. By converting data and models to so-called Hess diagrams (two-dimensional histograms of the stellar density as a function of color and magnitude; Hess 1924) a direct pixel-by-pixel comparison is possible. The model CMDs are based on theoretical isochrones from Girardi et al. (2002) and include realistic photometric errors and completeness, which are obtained from the artificial star tests described earlier. By determining the best-fitting linear combination of model CMDs for different age and metallicity bins, the SFH and metallicity evolution are then constrained. The accuracy of the recovered metallicities depends not only on the data quality, but also on the quality of the isochrones and the stellar evolution tracks on which they are based; de Jong et al. (2008) tested MATCH on a set of six globular clusters with varying metallicities using isochrones based on the same stellar evolution tracks from Girardi et al. (2002). They show that the recovered metallicities are always within 0.2 dex of the spectroscopic values. In all results presented in this paper we therefore include an additional contribution to the metallicity uncertainties of 0.2 dex.

Since there are variations in seeing and sky brightness between the different fields in the mosaic, the SFH fits are done separately for each field. Furthermore, the four radial bins are treated separately to enable an analysis of the radial variation of the stellar populations in Fornax.

3.1. CMD Fitting Method

The main free parameters in CMD fitting are distance, age, metallicity, and extinction, although the binary fraction and the assumed initial mass function (IMF) also play a role. To limit the number of free parameters to the age and metallicity, we assume reasonable priors on the other parameters. For all our fits we assume a binary fraction of 0.5 and a Salpeter IMF (Salpeter 1955), which to the CMD depth probed here is practically equal to, for example, a Kroupa IMF (Kroupa et al. 1993). During the past
decade, several different studies have all found consistent distances to Fornax of $138 \pm 4$ kpc (Mateo 1998; Bersier 2000; Rizzi et al. 2007), justifying a prior on the distance in our SFH fits. To account for the small uncertainty in the distance, we perform all fits for three fixed distances, namely $135$, $138$, and $141$ kpc. According to the dust extinction maps from Schlegel et al. (1998), the foreground reddening toward Fornax varies between $E(B - V) = 0.015$ and $0.03$ mag. Fits are performed for different combinations of foreground and internal extinctions, with the former fixed at $E(B - V) = 0.015, 0.02, 0.025$, and $0.03$ mag, and the latter at $E(B - V) = 0.0, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3$, and $0.4$ mag.

For each individual fit, the distance and two kinds of extinction are fixed, while the star formation rates (SFRs) for the age and metallicity bins serve as the free parameters. Since the isochrones are spaced more evenly in $\log(t)$ than in $t$, the age bins are defined in $\log(t)$. They have bin widths of $\Delta \log(t) = 0.15$, with the oldest bin corresponding to ages between 11 and 16 Gyr, and the youngest to 10 and 16 Myr, for a total of 21 age bins. The full metallicity range spanned by the isochrones, $[\text{Fe/H}] = -2.4$ to $0.0$, is covered with 16 bins of $0.15$ dex width. To account for contamination by foreground stars and faint background galaxies, a control field CMD is created from all starlike sources.

![Fig. 3.— CMDs of the four Fornax regions.](image-url)
outside the limiting radius of Fornax, and used as an additional model CMD in the fits. All stars with \(-1 < B - R < 3\) and \(B < 23\) and \(R < 23\) are fit, using Hess diagram bin sizes of 0.16 in magnitude and 0.08 in color. Figures 4 and 5 show the Hess diagrams of regions 1 and 3 recovered from field 15 with the corresponding best-fit models and residuals. In general the fits are good, but some systematic problems arise in reproducing the exact shape of the HB/RC and its extent toward the red. This imperfect modeling of the HB/RC region is a general problem for theoretical isochrones, due to the complicated processes taking place during this phase in stellar evolution. Newer isochrone sets than the one currently used by MATCH (Girardi et al. 2002) should improve this situation, but our current results are not strongly affected by these problems. However, as the details of the theoretical isochrones used do influence the exact values of metallicities and ages we recover, some extra uncertainty should be taken into account when interpreting the fit results.

After running the 60 fits (three distances, four foreground, and five internal extinction values) for each region, the values of the maximum likelihood measure of goodness of fit \(Q\) (see Dolphin 2002; de Jong et al. 2008) of all fits are compared. The expected random (i.e., not due to actual SFH differences) variance in this \(Q\) parameter, \(\sigma\), when fitting a specific CMD, is calculated using Poisson statistics and from Monte Carlo simulations using random drawings from the best model. All fits that have a value of \(Q\) within 1 \(\sigma\) of the best fit are considered as “good” fits and used to construct the SFHs presented in the remainder of this paper.

### 3.2. Results

#### 3.2.1. A Global View

As a first pass, we examine the aggregate SFH of the Fornax system. Combining the SFHs obtained from all regions and fields gives the total SFH of Fornax, presented graphically in Figure 6 and in tabular form in Table 1. Clearly, Fornax has a complex history and has been forming stars continuously over most of the age of the universe, with nonzero SFRs being found in most age bins. After the first stars formed more than 10 Gyr ago, a slow decline is detected until approximately 4 Gyr ago, when the SFR sharply increased for a period of \(<1\) Gyr. After this sudden intensified star formation episode, a very low level of star formation has continued until the present day. For comparison, we also show in Figure 6 the schematic SFH derived by Tolstoy et al. (2001) based on photometry and Ca \(\,\epsilon\) triplet results. We have shifted the peak of their relative SFR to match our peak SFR. The results are well matched for the last few gigayears; however, our CMD-fitting code is better able to extract detailed SFRs from the early history of Fornax. We also show the total stellar mass formed during each bin in Table 1 and Figure 7. From this, we calculate the total stellar mass formed in Fornax to be \(6.1^{+0.5}_{-0.7} \times 10^{7} M_{\odot}\), where we have integrated the Salpeter IMF down to a mass of \(0.15 M_{\odot}\).
Initially, the average metallicity of the stars shows little evolution. A large fraction of the ancient stars seems to have formed from preenriched gas, as the mean metallicity in the oldest age bin (11–16 Gyr) is already $[\text{Fe/H}] = -1.4$. The metallicity only starts to increase significantly from this value at an age of around 5 Gyr. Overall, these results are in good agreement with previous studies of the SFH and metallicity of Fornax. We show in the lower panel of Figure 6 the approximate age-metallicity relation derived by Battaglia et al. (2006) based on spectra of 562 RGB stars extending from the center of Fornax to the tidal radius. This line represents our estimate of the evolution of the average iron abundance from Figure 23 of Battaglia et al. (2006); however, we note that each age bin encompasses a wide range of stellar metallicities, and thus this line should be regarded as a guide only. Although they are well within the uncertainties, our results are slightly more metal-rich than the spectroscopic results. Such a small offset in metallicity might be caused by slight inaccuracies in the isochrones, the photometric calibration, or the distance to Fornax.

3.2.2. A Refined View

Population gradients are a common feature of dSphs (Harbeck et al. 2001). Generally, more recent star formation events are more centrally concentrated and produce stars with a greater metallicity compared to the older stellar population. In Figure 8 we show the total SFHs (left) and metallicity evolutions (right) for all four radial regions (e.g., for region 1 the four SFHs of the portions in fields 10, 11, 15, and 16 have been combined). Overplotted in each panel are the SFHs and metallicities for four individual regions, to give an idea of the field-to-field variation. Since the panels on the right only show the average metallicities and provide no insight into the metallicity distribution, the combined fit results for the four radial regions with the complete age-metallicity grid are shown in Figure 9.

There is a striking difference in the SFH when moving from region 1 (top panels) to region 4 (bottom panels). In the center of Fornax, the burst of star formation that occurred 3–4 Gyr ago stands out strongly. Moving outward, the proportion of stars produced during this burst decreases, and in the outskirts are not found at all. Stars younger than 1 Gyr are also mostly found in the center, with hardly any significant star formation at these ages in the outermost radial bin. This kind of radial dependence, with young stars more centrally concentrated than old stars, is a common characteristic of dSphs, and evidence for this was found before in Fornax (Stetson et al. 1998; Battaglia et al. 2006). At all radii, the metallicity evolution follows the same pattern. It starts off at $[\text{Fe/H}] \sim -1.5$ and remains constant until $\sim 5$ Gyr ago. Then the metallicity starts to increase rapidly to $-0.5$, after which there is little indication of further enrichment. This rapid increase in metallicity coincides with the strong burst of star formation.

Comparing the SFHs of individual fields within a radial bin (i.e., the colored lines in Fig. 8) shows no significant difference in the central bin. Since in the central part the dynamical timescales are shortest, the populations are well mixed. In the outermost region there is also no sign of spatial variation, which is
probably because there are mostly ancient stars which have had sufficient time to diffuse throughout the galaxy. In regions 2 and 3, however, significant field-to-field variation is visible in the strength of the 4 Gyr burst. The detailed structural properties of the stellar populations in Fornax is the subject of the next paper in this series (M. G. Coleman 2008, in preparation).

![Figure 6](image)

**Fig. 6.**—Total SFH (upper panel) and age-metallicity relation (AMR; lower panel) for Fornax. In both panels, the horizontal error bars indicate the widths of the age bins. The error bars on the SFRs are not independent; a higher SFR in one bin would be compensated by a higher SFR in adjacent bins. Metallicities are only shown for age bins with a SFR greater than $0.0005 \, M_\odot \, yr^{-1}$ and are SFR-weighted means. Both panels contain a comparison with previous work, represented by the dotted lines. The upper panel contains the schematic SFH derived by Tolstoy et al. (2001) scaled to match our peak SFR detection, and the lower panel contains our estimate of the evolution of the average metallicity derived by Battaglia et al. (2006).

![Figure 7](image)

**Fig. 7.**—Stellar mass formed during each age bin, taken directly from the total SFH (Fig. 6).

### 3.2.3. Abundance Variations in the Ancient Stars

Although Fornax is found to be relatively metal-rich, Figure 9 shows that the spread in metallicities is significant. At all ages the metallicity spread is at least $\sim 1$ dex, and especially the oldest stars ($>10$ Gyr) show a very large spread, with metallicities ranging between $[\text{Fe/H}] = -0.5$ and our metallicity cutoff at $-2.4$. Note that in all regions there is a nonzero SFR in the most metal-rich two age bins. This is caused by MATCH attempting to fit the redward extension of the HB (see Fig. 3) with an additional, very red RC. This redward extension is an artifact of the observational dithering pattern in which some (less than 1%) of the HB stars have poor $B$-band photometry; hence, this detection of very metal-rich, ancient stars is also an artifact, and they are excluded from our SFH.

Based on spectroscopy of 562 RGB stars in Fornax, Battaglia et al. (2006) found two distinct populations: a metal-rich ($[\text{Fe/H}] \sim -0.9$) component, and a metal-poor ($[\text{Fe/H}] \sim -1.7$) component with a large metallicity spread. Consistent with observations of other dSphs, they found the metal-rich stars to be more centrally concentrated than the metal-poor population. To examine the metallicities of the oldest stars, we show histograms of the metallicity distribution of the stars in the oldest age bin in Figure 10. In region 1, the metallicity distribution of these ancient stars peaks at $[\text{Fe/H}] \sim -1$, but also contains many of stars with lower metallicities. The peak at $[\text{Fe/H}] \sim -1$ is also apparent in region 2, but becomes less strong in region 3 and is practically absent in region 4. This outer bin appears to harbor a broader peak at $[\text{Fe/H}] \sim -1.5$, and a third peak at $[\text{Fe/H}] \lesssim -2$. It should be noted that this third peak is most likely an accumulation of more metal-poor stars outside our metallicity range. However, the histograms of the inner three regions are consistent with the presence of three peaks at metallicities of $[\text{Fe/H}] \sim -1.0$, $-1.5$, and $\lesssim -2.0$ dex.

To summarize, we have recovered the metal-rich component (centered at $[\text{Fe/H}] \sim -1.0$) of Fornax; however, our results support the presence of three distinct peaks in the metallicity distribution of the ancient stars rather than the two proposed by Battaglia et al. (2006). To test the statistical significance of the three peaks, we created 10 Monte Carlo realizations of the Fornax stellar population using our SFH and age-metallicity relation. A comparison of the resulting metallicity distributions indicated that, despite some slight differences, the three-peaked function was present in every synthetic population. We therefore argue that the metal-poor component of Battaglia et al. (2006) can be subdivided into two separate populations. This suggests that Fornax experienced three main star formation events in the period $>10$ Gyr ago, a hypothesis to be tested with further spectroscopic data.

### Table 1

**Fornax Star Formation History**

| Age Bin [log yr] | SFR [$10^{-3} \, M_\odot \, yr^{-1}$] | [Fe/H] | Mass [$10^7 \, M_\odot$] |
|------------------|-------------------------------------|--------|--------------------------|
| 7.05–7.20        | 0.16 ± 0.09                        | -2.2 ± 0.9 | 0.73 ± 0.40 |
| 7.20–7.35        | 0.02 ± 0.01                        | -1.9 ± 0.5 | 0.16 ± 0.08 |
| 7.35–7.50        | 0.09 ± 0.04                        | -1.6 ± 0.6 | 0.81 ± 0.29 |
| 7.50–7.65        | 0.0                                | ...      | 0.0                      |
| 7.65–7.80        | 0.0                                | ...      | 0.0                      |
| 7.80–7.95        | 0.0                                | ...      | 0.0                      |
| 7.95–8.10        | 0.15 ± 0.07                        | -1.9 ± 1.1 | 5.5 ± 2.6    |
| 8.10–8.25        | 0.0                                | ...      | 0.0                      |
| 8.25–8.40        | 0.04 ± 0.03                        | -1.2 ± 0.9 | 3.0 ± 1.9    |
| 8.40–8.55        | 0.11 ± 0.04                        | -0.6 ± 0.7 | 11 ± 4       |
| 8.55–8.70        | 0.24 ± 0.09                        | -0.8 ± 0.5 | 35 ± 12      |
| 8.70–8.85        | 0.75 ± 0.15                        | -0.4 ± 0.3 | 154 ± 30     |
| 8.85–9.00        | 0.14 ± 0.06                        | -0.2 ± 0.6 | 42 ± 15      |
| 9.00–9.15        | 0.12 ± 0.06                        | -0.9 ± 0.9 | 51 ± 23      |
| 9.15–9.30        | 0.17 ± 0.08                        | -1.1 ± 0.8 | 98 ± 46      |
| 9.30–9.45        | 1.50 ± 0.63                        | -0.5 ± 0.6 | 1240 ± 514   |
| 9.45–9.60        | 9.4 ± 1.9                          | -0.9 ± 0.4 | 10900 ± 2200 |
| 9.60–9.75        | 3.0 ± 1.5                          | -1.1 ± 0.3 | 5000 ± 2470  |
| 9.75–9.90        | 3.6 ± 1.7                          | -1.3 ± 0.3 | 8400 ± 3880  |
| 9.90–10.05       | 3.2 ± 1.1                          | -1.3 ± 0.4 | 10500 ± 3440 |
| 10.05–10.20      | 5.4 ± 1.0                          | -1.4 ± 0.3 | 25000 ± 4610 |
Fig. 8.— Relative SFHs (left) and AMRs (right) per radial bin. From top to bottom the panels correspond to the central through to the outermost radial bin. As in Fig. 6, horizontal error bars show the age bin widths, and the errors on the SFRs of adjacent bins are not independent. The SFHs shown here are scaled to the average SFR in each radial bin. Overplotted on each panel, using colored lines, are the SFHs and AMRs of each radial bin in four individual survey fields; right panels indicate which fields are plotted.

Fig. 9.— CMD-fitting results for the four radial bins. From left to right the panels are for the central bin (1) to the outermost bin (4). The gray scale indicates the SFR in each age-metallicity bin, with a darker value corresponding to a higher value.
3.3. The Inner Shell

In a previous, wide-area survey, Coleman et al. (2004) noticed a shell-like feature near the center of Fornax in our field 15 (see Fig. 1). Subsequently, Olszewski et al. (2006) confirmed the presence of the feature based on deep photometry obtained with Magellan, and determined an age of 1.4 Gyr and a metallicity of $[\text{Fe/H}] = -0.9^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$ dex for its stars. Because of the relatively small number of stars in this overdensity and the large contamination by the overall Fornax stars, obtaining a SFH is difficult, as also shown by Olszewski et al. (2006). Therefore, we opt for constraining the properties of the stars in the feature using a single-component (SC) fitting strategy, described in detail in de Jong et al. (2008). In short, simple stellar population models with a narrow age and metallicity range are fit to the observed CMD, and their goodness of fit is compared to that of the best-fitting SC model.

All stars in a 2.5′ wide elliptical annulus containing the inner shell were extracted from the survey. This was then divided into two regions: the 17′ arc containing the shell, while the remainder of the annulus was used as a control field. The control field—subtracted Hess diagram of the shell is shown in Figure 11a, where the main-sequence turnoff and RC of the shell population clearly stand out. Figure 11b shows the areas in the age-metallicity plane that lie within 1, 2, and 3 $\sigma$ of the best-fit values, indicated with an asterisk. We find the age of the stars in the shell to be $1.6 \pm 0.4$ Gyr, and the metallicity to be $[\text{Fe/H}] = -0.9^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$ dex. Our SFH results indicate a very low SFR for this age, and the average age-metallicity relation predicts a higher metallicity of $[\text{Fe/H}] \approx -0.5$ for stars of the general Fornax population of the same age. Thus, these results seem consistent with the interpretation of the shell resulting from an accretion event (Coleman et al. 2004, 2005), rather than being part of the underlying stellar populations. This accretion hypothesis will be discussed further in a later publication.

3.4. Luminosity History

With the SFH in hand, it is possible to construct the CMD of Fornax as it would have looked at some time in the past. In this way, the total luminosity of the system can be traced as a function of time. Combining the SFH fits of all fields, the overall SFR as a function of age and metallicity was used to construct artificial CMDs for Fornax at various points in the last 10 Gyr, assuming in all cases a binary fraction of 0.5 and a Salpeter IMF. By extending the CMDs far enough down the luminosity function, the flux of all stars can be used to calculate the total luminosity. Although several systematic effects hamper a very precise measurement of $M_V$, the value we obtain for the present day, $M_V \approx -13.0$, is very close to the literature value of $M_V = -13.1$ (Mateo 1998). The evolution of the $V$-band luminosity of Fornax during the past 10 Gyr is shown in Figure 12. This figure is for illustrative purposes only, and any uncertainties given would be

![Fig. 10.— Histograms showing the metallicity distribution of stars in the oldest age bin. The histograms for the radial bins are labeled 1 through 4, going outward from the center of Fornax. “Total” is the sum of the four radial distributions.](image)

![Fig. 11.— Background-subtracted Hess diagram and stellar population constraints for the inner shell. (a) Hess diagram of the inner shell feature in gray scale, with darker pixels corresponding to a higher number of stars. The overplotted contours show the stellar density of the best-fit SC stellar population. (b) Contours showing the regions of the age-metallicity plane where the SC fits lie within 1, 2, and 3 $\sigma$ from the best fit; the best-fit values are indicated with an asterisk.](image)
estimates at best. However, we can see that while Fornax has experienced a general trend toward increasing brightness as more gas is converted to stars, there are significant variations caused by bursts of star formation. We find that the total luminosity of Fornax has a range of at least 1 mag over a Hubble time; hence, at some point it was less than 50% of its current brightness.

4. DISCUSSION

The mechanisms of star formation and chemical enrichment in low-mass galaxies are not well understood. Qualitatively, the process is simple to envision. Initially, gas collapses at the center of a dark halo to form the first generation of stars. The heaviest of these quickly evolve and blowout material, injecting chemicals and energy into the surrounding gas cloud. This stellar feedback causes the enriched gas cloud to expand and, if the potential well is deep enough, then collapse back to the center of the dark halo to create a new generation of chemically enriched stars. Thus, a cyclical process is established in which multiple generations of stars form with a progressive increase in chemical abundance.

However, there are still many unresolved questions regarding dSph star formation. Helmi et al. (2006) have shown that, in contrast to the Galactic halo, the dSphs are conspicuously lacking stars with [Fe/H] $< -3.0$. This suggests that the gas sourcing the oldest stars in dSphs was preenriched. Given that all dSphs are thought to contain some fraction of ancient stars (i.e., with ages $> 13$ Gyr; Held et al. 2000) the initial enrichment must have been an extremely rapid process, via some mechanism which is unclear.

Furthermore, the SFHs for each dSph are remarkably different. Some dSphs contain purely old stars (ages $> 10$ Gyr) and are characterized by a simple SFH (e.g., Draco), whereas others are dominated by intermediate-age stars and have been able to maintain multiple epochs of star formation and chemical enrichment (e.g., Fornax). This is the leading question concerning star formation in dwarf galaxies: Why do Draco and Fornax reside at the centers of dark halos with similar masses (Walker et al. 2007), yet differ in brightness by a factor of more than 10? Mayer et al. (2006) discussed this point, and described two possible scenarios: (1) Either Fornax and Draco initially contained the same amount of gas; hence, local effects have allowed Fornax to produce stars with a greater efficiency; or (2) Fornax had access to a tenfold greater reservoir of gas, and Draco experienced a similar (but scaled down) initial star formation. We note that these are extreme scenarios, and something in between is not precluded.

Grebel & Gallagher (2004) found that the reionization of the universe did not cause the expected reduction in dSph star formation; hence, “local effects” are thought to be the dominant factor producing the variety of dSph SFHs. Differences in the level of tidal distortion, mechanical feedback, and gas infall experienced by each system are cited; however, the precise nature of these local effects is uncertain (e.g., Dekel & Silk 1986; Mayer et al. 2006). In this regard, Ferrara & Tolstoy (2000) have noted that a dSph’s dark matter fraction will influence its SFH. External forces (e.g., tidal and ram pressure stripping) can remove blown-out gas from a dSph; however, a massive dark halo will allow the satellite to retain its gas.

4.1. Ancient Stars

Fornax contains a large number of stars and has a complex SFH; hence, it is an ideal object to compare to simulations. First, our best fits show that the ancient stars (age $> 10$ Gyr) in this system have a mean metallicity of $\frac{[\text{Fe/H}]}{\text{C}_0} \approx -1.4$ (Fig. 6). This indicates that the first few gigayears contained an intense period of star formation and chemical enrichment. At some level, this enrichment appears to have occurred throughout the whole body of Fornax: Figure 9 indicates that the oldest stars in every radial bin contain a number of $\frac{[\text{Fe/H}]}{\text{C}_0} \sim -1.0$ stars. However, we also note a metallicity gradient in this ancient population, such that the central stars display a mean iron abundance approximately 0.3 dex greater than those in the outer regions. This is consistent with the spectroscopic results of Battaglia et al. (2006). The three peaks in the metallicity distribution function (Fig. 10) are possible evidence for three main bursts for star formation in the early universe. In summary, while our results indicate that the first few gigayears saw a swift chemical enrichment process in Fornax, they also show that this enrichment was enhanced toward the center of the system.

In general, our results for the first few gigayears of Fornax are well reproduced by models. Marcolini et al. (2006) constructed a three-dimensional hydrodynamic model describing gas dynamics and chemical enrichment in a dwarf galaxy including the contribution of supernovae. The metallicity distribution function we find for the ancient Fornax stars is similar to that produced by the Marcolini et al. model. Furthermore, they find that stars located toward the center of a dSph are the product of a more efficient chemical enrichment (Marcolini et al., 2008), as is seen in Fornax (Battaglia et al. 2006). Salvadori et al. (2008) presented a semianalytic cosmological model following star formation in a dSph galaxy in a Milky Way-type environment, preenriched to an abundance of $\frac{[\text{Fe/H}]}{\text{C}_0} \sim -3$. They showed that a dSph experiences intense star formation in the first few hundred megayears, with multiple cycles of star bursts followed by gas blowout and infall, with accompanying chemical enrichment. Their metallicity distribution function and mean metallicity are roughly equivalent to those we measured for the old stars in Fornax. It is clear that simulations are able to accurately reproduce the first epoch of star formation in a dSph environment.

4.2. Intermediate-Age Stars

Salvadori et al. (2008) find a rapidly decreasing SFR with time, such that approximately 2.5 Gyr after virialization the
The rate has fallen well below $10^{-4} \, M_\odot \, yr^{-1}$. This corresponds to an age of $\sim 9$ Gyr, or the second data point in our global SFH for Fornax (Fig. 6), where we measure a SFR of approximately $3 \times 10^{-3} \, M_\odot \, yr^{-1}$. Hence, although the Salvadori et al. (2008) model provides an excellent reproduction of star formation in a dSph such as Sculptor, they note that a more complex SFH (such as that seen in Fornax) requires a different set of conditions.

In this context, we find the SFR in Fornax to be an approximately constant value of $3 \times 10^{-3} \, M_\odot \, yr^{-1}$ in the period from 9 to 4 Gyr ago. This period also witnessed a slow, monotonic increase in iron abundance. However, star formation in Fornax experienced a sudden increase approximately 3–4 Gyr ago, jumping threefold to $\sim 10^{-2} \, M_\odot \, yr^{-1}$ with an accompanying spike in chemical enrichment. These results all agree with the Ca II triplet results of Pont et al. (2004). Our results also suggest that this epoch of star formation was relatively short-lived, lasting 1–2 Gyr, and was confined to the central $\sim 0.5r_t$ (1500 pc) of Fornax (Fig. 8).

There are a variety of explanations for this continued star formation. Salvadori et al. (2008) state that a refinement of the reionization criterion would allow massive dSphs with a lower initial gas-to-dark ratio in their models, thereby leading to less efficient mechanical feedback and more regular star formation activity. This could explain the steady star formation seen in the period from 9 to 4 Gyr ago; however, it cannot account for the subsequent burst. As an alternative scenario, Fornax may have experienced an injection of new gas to fuel this next generation of stars. We have already presented evidence for a merger in Fornax, proposing that a gas-rich dwarf galaxy merged with this system to fuel strong star formation activity (Coleman et al. 2004, 2005). However, the timing is problematic: the original scenario requires that this merger occurred approximately 2 Gyr ago, or 2 Gyr after the sudden burst of star formation found here. Furthermore, our chemical enrichment history shows that the new burst of stars was accompanied by a sudden increase in abundance; hence, this would imply that, prior to star formation, the metal abundance of the gas was at least that of Fornax and therefore

---

2 One should always remember that each data point in our SFH represents an average measurement, and hence will contain hidden complexities. A useful time frame estimate for a single cycle of star formation and chemical enrichment is $\sim 250$ Myr.
unlikely to be of foreign origin. We would therefore argue that the 4 Gyr burst was fueled by gas originating in Fornax.

This scenario requires gas blown away by star formation to have resided in the outer regions of Fornax for at least 5 Gyr before collapsing back to the dSph. Gas expelled to the outer regions (or even the halo) of a satellite system is generally expected to be removed by ram pressure stripping and tidal distortion. However, Blitz & Robishaw (2000) presented evidence that H I clouds exist in many Local Group dSphs, situated up to 10 kpc (or, approximately 3 tidal radii in the case of Fornax) from the center of the system. This is supported by the strong evidence for H I associated with Sculptor (Carignan et al. 1998; Bouchard et al. 2003). Indeed, Bouchard et al. (2006) discovered an H I cloud located to the north of Fornax. Whether this is associated with the dSph is not certain (the radial velocity of Fornax is inconveniently close to that of the Milky Way in this direction); however, Bouchard et al. (2006) state a minimum mass of 1.5 × 10^5 M⊙ at the distance of Fornax. Mayer (2005) noted that an object following an orbit with a low ellipticity (such as Fornax; Piatek et al. 2007) will be better able to retain its gas. It therefore seems possible that the massive, extended dark halo of Fornax could have allowed gas in the outer regions to remain bound to the system for an extended period.

An external influence on star formation in a satellite system is tidal interactions with the larger host galaxy (Mayer et al. 2006). Tidal forces experienced by a satellite system as it orbits its host can induce bursts of star formation (Barton et al. 2000) as the interstellar gas clouds are compressed (Mihos & Hernquist 1996). Using HST images over a 4 yr epoch, Piatek et al. (2007) measured the proper motion of Fornax and derived an orbital period of 3.2–14 Gyr with an eccentricity of e = 0.13±0.25. Tidal forces scale as R^−3; hence, this orbit implies that Fornax will experience a distortion force change of at least 50% as it moves from pericenter to apocenter. A pericentric passage approximately 4 Gyr ago is possible within the current solution (we are grateful to S. Piatek for sharing his orbital code); however, the uncertainties are currently too large for a fair comparison between orbit and SFH.

4.3. Recent Activity

Finally, we examine the recent activity in Fornax. Following the 4 Gyr burst, there was another star-forming event 400–600 Myr ago, and a more recent event approximately 100 Myr ago (Fig. 6). These 100 Myr old stars have been previously noted by Stetson et al. (1998) and Saviane et al. (2000), and are the youngest stars yet observed in a dSph. However, as a new result, we have also detected a small number of younger stars. Table 1 indicates that in the period 10–100 Myr ago, ~1500 M⊙ were formed in Fornax. This is minute compared to Fornax as a whole (see Fig. 7), yet it is tentative evidence that Fornax may have been forming stars almost to the present day. We ignore the abundance measurements for this youngest population, given that they are based on only a few stars in each age bin and have significant errors.

To demonstrate the difficulty in detecting this small, age < 100 Myr population, we show an artificial CMD of the Fornax young stars in Figure 13. The left panel is a “typical” prediction for the young stars based on our SFH. Extinction, photometric errors, and completeness for the survey have been included. Note that the distribution of the blue points (age < 100 Myr) is almost identical to that of the red points (age = 100 Myr); thus, these populations are degenerate, and it is not possible to distinguish between them based only on photometry. However, it shows that such a young population could easily have evaded detection up to now. Given the small number of young stars predicted by our SFH, and the difficulty in separating them from slightly older stars, we classify this as tentative evidence for an ultrayoung population in Fornax. Nonetheless, we expect very little foreground contamination blueward of B − R = 0.4; hence, the brightest blue stars shown in the right panel would argue that Fornax did indeed experience star formation less than 100 Myr ago. High-resolution spectra of these young stars is required to accurately determine their age. Lithium is an important age diagnostic for late-type stars, as it is easily destroyed in stellar interiors; hence, a follow-up survey could target the resonance doublet of Li at 6708 Å (e.g., Montes et al. 2001) in these young stars to demarcate the age of the most recent star-forming event in Fornax.

5. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Based on two-filter photometry to a magnitude of B ~ 23, we used a CMD-fitting technique to derive the star formation history (SFH) for the Fornax dSph. All dSphs contain some number of ancient stars; however, these systems are known to display a wide variety of SFHs. Fornax formed a significant number of its stars in the early universe (age > 10 Gyr) and subsequently experienced a constant SFR. This behavior can be reproduced by the simulations. However, in the period 3–4 Gyr ago, Fornax experienced a sudden burst of star formation, approximately 3 times the rate for the previous 5 Gyr. The cause of this activity is unclear. The SFR has been decreasing ever since, with smaller events 400–600 and 100 Myr ago. We also find tentative evidence for a small number of stars (total mass ~1500 M⊙) which have formed in the past 100 Myr. Fornax contains the most recent star formation activity of any Local Group dSph.

Strong radial gradients in the SFH are also evident. As noted by previous authors (Stetson et al. 1998; Saviane et al. 2000), recent star formation has been concentrated toward the center of Fornax. This trend is seen in other dSphs (Harbeck et al. 2001). Furthermore, we have found that chemical enrichment was more efficient at the dSph’s center. Even the oldest stars display a metallicity gradient, such that the inner stars have a mean iron abundance approximately 0.3 dex greater than the outer stars. Indeed, the first few gigayears in Fornax appear to have been a time of intense star formation and chemical enrichment: the age > 10 Gyr stars display a mean abundance of [Fe/H] = −1.4. The oldest stars in Fornax also show three peaks in the metallicity distribution, possibly evidence for three main bursts of star formation in the period >10 Gyr ago. We find the metallicity to have increased monotonically in the period 9–4 Gyr ago, and then experienced a sharp increase in conjunction with the intense burst of star formation described above.

Thus, while the first few gigayears of star formation in Fornax can be reproduced by models, the cause of the burst 4 Gyr ago is unclear. We have previously proposed that a gas-rich dwarf galaxy merged with Fornax approximately 2 Gyr ago to produce substructure (Coleman et al. 2004, 2005); however, we cannot reconcile the timing of this event with the observed peak in the SFH. Therefore, we suggest that H I gas clouds enriched and blown out by earlier star-forming events settled in the outer regions of Fornax, and then recollapsed to fuel an intense period of star formation at the center of the dSph. This event may have been caused by tidal interactions with the Milky Way during a pericentric passage.
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