High-Surface-Area-Activated Carbon Derived from Mango Peels and Seeds Wastes via Microwave-Induced ZnCl₂ Activation for Adsorption of Methylene Blue Dye Molecules: Statistical Optimization and Mechanism
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Abstract: In this study, Mango (Mangifera indica) seeds (MS) and peels (MP) seeds mixed fruit wastes were employed as a renewable precursor to synthesize high-surface-area-activated carbon (MSMPAC) by using microwave-induced ZnCl₂ activation. Thus, the applicability of MSMPAC was evaluated towards the removal of cationic dye (methylene blue, MB) from an aqueous environment. The key adsorption factors, namely A: MSMPAC dose (0.02–0.1 g), B: pH (4–10), and C: time (5–15 min), were inspected using the desirability function of the Box-Behnken design (BBD). Thus, the adsorption isotherm data were found to correspond well with the Langmuir model with a maximum adsorption capacity of (232.8 mg/g). Moreover, the adsorption kinetics were consistent with both pseudo-first-order and pseudo-second-order models. The spontaneous and endothermic nature of MB adsorption on the MSMPAC surface could be inferred from the negative ∆G° values and positive value of ∆H°, respectively. Various mechanisms namely electrostatic forces, pore filling, π-π stacking, and H-bonding govern MB adsorption by the MSMPAC. This study demonstrates the utility of MS and MP as renewable precursors to produce high-surface area MSMPAC with a potential application towards the removal of cationic organic dyes such as MB.
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1. Introduction

Activated carbon (AC) is a common form of carbonaceous material and is widely used in several industrial applications due to its high surface area, abundance of significant functional groups, porous structure, and exceptional adsorption capacity [1]. The AC’s significant adsorption effectiveness and usability make it a vital adsorbent in wastewater treatment methods [2]. Commercial ACs are usually made from pricey and non-renewable resources (e.g., coal, petroleum coke, and peat) [3]. However, the associated financial and environmental problems with AC obtained from the foregoing substances, extensive studies were conducted to seek inexpensive feedstock, i.e., biomass wastes to make AC [4,5].

The agricultural biomass materials might reach 70 million tons annually in Malaysia [6]. Mango (Mangifera indica) is widely available in Malaysia and produces a sizable amount of mango seeds (MS) and mango peels (MP) every year [7]. Since they slowly and gradually
ferment and generate unpleasant aromas, the large volume of MS and MP that must be disposed of is a serious problem in the environment [8]. Thus, producing AC from renewable, low-cost, and feasibly accessible precursors (MS and MP) represents the optimal way of exploiting agricultural waste and getting advantages for the economy and the environment.

A variety of biomass wastes have recently been used as precursors to produce AC, including pumpkin seed shells [9], corn stalks [10], green alga [11], cottonseed husks [12], Pisum sativum pods [13], mangosteen peels [14], and Halodule uninervis seagrass [15]. The physicochemical properties of AC, like surface area, high porosity, and adequate oxygen-containing functional groups, are greatly influenced by the preparation conditions of AC, i.e., the type of chemical activator (e.g., H$_2$SO$_4$, ZnCl$_2$, FeCl$_3$, and H$_3$PO$_4$) and precursor character [16]. In fact, ZnCl$_2$ contributes to the formation of AC with favorable characteristics such as greater surface area, smaller pore size, and pore volume, as well as increased carbon yield and a large number of reactive groups for absorbing contaminants [17]. Additionally, microwave radiation was the method employed in this study because it has a number of advantageous features, including minimal processing, high final product amount, process control, superior heat transfer, homogenous heating performance, and power density [18].

Numerous contaminants, comprising chlorinated substances, organic dyes, heavy metals, surfactants, and inhibitory substances are present in the industrial effluent from the manufacturing sectors, which is the main cause of water pollution [19–21]. The residual organic dyes can enter the human body via the food chain and lead to a number of hazardous disorders, including mutation or cancer, skin irritability, permanent blindness, vomiting, hypertension, and gastritis [22]. Therefore, before delivering the dye-containing effluent into the environment, it must be treated. For wastewater treatment containing organic dyes, a number of approaches have been developed and effectively used, including photocatalytic degradation [23], ion exchange [24], Fenton-like oxidation [25], adsorption [26], and membrane filtering [27]. Due to its excellent performance, cost-effectiveness, capacity to recover and repurpose adsorbents, diverse applicability, and simplicity of usage, adsorption has been recognized as a significant way to remove those pollutants [26]. Response surface methodology (RSM) combined with Box-Behnken design (BBD) was introduced to reduce the laborious adsorption testing approach and improve an operator’s ability to understand potential interactions between various factors [28].

The aforementioned data inspires the current work for the production of activated carbon (thereafter, defined as MSMPAC) from MS and MP biomass wastes using microwave-assisted ZnCl$_2$ activation. The prepared MSMPAC was employed to remove MB dye from the simulated wastewater. Key adsorption parameters (MSMPAC dose, pH, and time) were optimized using the Box-Behnken design’s numerical desirability function (BBD). The adsorption ability of the created MSMPAC and the MB adsorption mechanism was deduced using adsorption isotherm, kinetic, and thermodynamic analyses of the MB adsorption.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

Mango seeds (MS) and mango peels (MP) used as precursors to produce AC were collected from juice shops in Shah Alam, Malaysia. The exact amount of 1 g of MB powder was dissolved in 1 L of deionized water to prepare a stock solution (1000 mg/L) of the MB (MW: 319.86 g/mol; R&M Chemicals; $\lambda_{\text{max}}$: 661 nm). Thus, R&M Chemicals, Malaysia supplied the chemicals and reagents such as sodium chloride (NaCl), zinc chloride (ZnCl$_2$), sodium hydroxide (NaOH), and hydrochloric acid (HCl).

2.2. MSMPAC Synthesis

The samples (MS) and (MP) were washed with boiled distilled water before being subjected to the drying process inside an oven for 24 h at 100 °C. Then, the samples (MS) and (MP) were subsequently ground into a powder with a consistent particle size (2 mm)
before being mixed with a fixed ratio of 50% MS + 50% MP to produce the final form of (MSMP) powder. After that, the chemical activation process was carried out by mixing 1 g of MSMP and 2 g of ZnCl\textsubscript{2} to achieve a 1:2 mixing ratio (pre-determined as the best ratio). Then, the mixture of the MSMP + ZnCl\textsubscript{2} was placed inside an oven (100 °C) for 48 h before being subjected to thermal activation. In the activation process, a fabricated microwave oven (SAMSUNG ME711K, 20 L, Seoul, South Korea) was used to activate MSMP + ZnCl\textsubscript{2} system at a fixed microwave radiation power (800 W) and radiation time (20 min) under pure N\textsubscript{2} (99.99)\textsubscript{,} condition with a fixed flow rate of 100 mL/min. Thus, the produced MSMPAC was then rinsed with distilled water until the pH was neutral. The moisture content was then removed by heating MSMPAC for 24 h at 100 °C. Finally, a powder with a 250 µm particle size of MSMPAC was produced by grinding and sieving the MSMPAC to the desired particle size.

2.3. Characterization

The porosity and surface analyzer (Micromeritics, ASAP 2060, Norcross, GA, USA), characterized the MSMPAC’s specific surface area and porosity. The chemical nature of the MSMP and MSMPAC adsorbent prior to and during MB uptake was explored using Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) (Perkin-Elmer, Spectrum RX I, Waltham, MA, USA). The pH at which the MSMPAC surface has a net neutral charge was obtained by determining the “point of zero charge (pH\textsubscript{pzc})” [29]. With the use of a Zeiss SEM (Model Supra 40 VP), the topology of the MSMPAC and MSMPAC-MB surfaces was examined. X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis using the PANalytical X’Pert PRO model was employed to identify the crystallinity of the MSMPAC.

2.4. Experimental Design

Response surface methodology (RSM) is a statistical method for examining how removal process factors interact and determining the best model parameters that maximize the removal efficiency (%) of MB dye. Using the statistics tool Design Expert 13 (version 13, State Ease, Minneapolis, MN, USA), the investigative strategy and statistical analysis were conducted. Table 1 displays the grades and names (with their codes) of the assessed variables.

| Codes | Variables       | Level 1 (−1) | Level 2 (0) | Level 3 (+1) |
|-------|----------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|
| A     | MSMPAC dose (g) | 0.02         | 0.06        | 0.1          |
| B     | pH             | 4            | 7           | 10           |
| C     | Time (min)     | 5            | 10          | 15           |

The model’s quadratic function (Equation (1)) of the inputs and output is denoted in Equation (1).

\[
Y = \beta_0 + \sum \beta_i X_i + \sum \beta_{ii} X_i^2 + \sum \sum \beta_{ij} X_i X_j
\]  

(1)

where \(Y\) is MB removal (predicted); \(\beta_0 = \) constant; \(X_i\) and \(X_j\) denote the evaluated parameters; \(\beta_i\) signifies linear impact coefficient; \(\beta_{ii}\) symbolizes quadratic impact coefficient; \(\beta_{ij}\) indicates interaction impact coefficient. Table 2 displays experimental matrix of BBD and corresponding quadratic model response (MB removal).

The MB dye removal tests started with the addition of various adsorbent quantities to 100 mL of modeled contaminants containing 100 mg/L of MB, which were then shaken in a water bath for a fixed period. After that, liquids were processed through a 0.45 µm syringe to remove the adsorbent. The remnant MB concentration in the proposed pollutant sample was measured using a UV-Vis spectrometer (HACH DR 2800) at a maximum wavelength of 661 nm. The removal rate (R %) of MB dye was computed using Equation (2).

\[
R\% = \left(\frac{C_o - C_e}{C_o}\right) \times 100
\]  

(2)
where the concentrations of MB (mg/L) throughout their initial and equilibrium phases are represented as $C_0$ and $C_e$, respectively.

**Table 2.** Experimental matrix of BBD and corresponding quadratic model response (MB removal).

| Run | A:Dose (g) | B:pH | C:Time (min) | MB Removal (%) |
|-----|------------|------|--------------|----------------|
| 1   | 0.02       | 4    | 10           | 70.1           |
| 2   | 0.1        | 4    | 10           | 85.9           |
| 3   | 0.02       | 10   | 10           | 71.2           |
| 4   | 0.1        | 10   | 10           | 97.1           |
| 5   | 0.02       | 7    | 5            | 71.4           |
| 6   | 0.1        | 7    | 5            | 86.9           |
| 7   | 0.02       | 7    | 15           | 71.7           |
| 8   | 0.1        | 7    | 15           | 97.8           |
| 9   | 0.06       | 4    | 5            | 70.7           |
| 10  | 0.06       | 10   | 5            | 72.6           |
| 11  | 0.06       | 4    | 15           | 79.1           |
| 12  | 0.06       | 10   | 15           | 85.2           |
| 13  | 0.06       | 7    | 10           | 74.1           |
| 14  | 0.06       | 7    | 10           | 75.9           |
| 15  | 0.06       | 7    | 10           | 78.8           |
| 16  | 0.06       | 7    | 10           | 76.5           |
| 17  | 0.06       | 7    | 10           | 78.7           |

2.5. **Adsorption Study of MB on MSMPAC**

Quantifying the amount of MB adsorbed onto the MSMPAC based on the numerical desirability function, batch adsorption investigations have been carried out. The desirability function data stated that the best conditions for the maximum removal of MB (98.1%) were MSMPAC dose (0.09 g), pH (8.7), and duration (14.8) min. As a result, adsorption experiments were fulfilled under these ideal circumstances using different MB beginning concentrations (20–200 mg/L). The batch adsorption investigations for MB dye were undertaken using the same methods described in the section before this one (2.4). Hence, the adsorption capacity ($q_e$, mg/g) of the MSMPAC was estimated by using Equation (3).

$$q_e = \frac{(C_0 - C_e)V}{W}$$

where terms $V$ (L) and $W$ (g) refer to the MSMPAC’s quantity and volume of solution, respectively.

3. **Results and Discussion**

3.1. **Characterization of MSMPAC**

The data of MSMPAC’s pore structure and surface area are provided in Table 3. According to measurements, MSMPAC has a total pore volume of 0.614 cm$^3$/g and a BET surface area of 1151.6 m$^2$/g. According to IUPAC [30], the MSMPAC possesses mesopores (pores ranging 2–50 nm) owing to its mean pore diameter value of 3.05 nm.

**Table 3.** Surface properties of MSMPAC.

| Parameter(s)                   | Value    |
|--------------------------------|----------|
| BET surface area (m$^2$/g)     | 1151.6   |
| Langmuir surface area (m$^2$/g)| 1585.4   |
| Total volume in pores (cm$^3$/g)| 0.614    |
| Mean pore diameter (nm)        | 3.05     |

The N$_2$ adsorption-desorption isotherm curve of MSMPAC is displayed in Figure 1. As per the IUPAC classification, Figure 1 depicts a Type I isotherm with a Type 4 hysteresis.
Due to the micropore filling effect, the adsorption trend of MSMPAC exhibits a sharp increase in the low relative pressure range while, at high pressure, the trend of the plot exhibits multilayer sorption and capillary condensation, implying the existence of mixed micro- and mesopores within the [31]. The reversible adsorption of molecules in holes with sizes that are near the diameter of nitrogen molecules may be the cause of the minor hysteresis loop [10]. The significant surface area (1151.6 m²/g) covered by micropores is further evidence that Type I isotherm is often linked to microporosity. This demonstrates that MSMPAC is constituted of a combination of micropores and mesopores. An additional indication of the presence of narrow slit-like mesopores in the structure of MSMPAC is the fact that capillary condensation took place at a relative pressure (P/P₀) of above 0.7. Additionally, BJH (Barrett, Joyner, Halenda) pore size distributions (inserted curve in Figure 1) might be employed to conclusively prove that MSMPAC contains micro and mesopores. The majority of the holes produced in the MSMPAC formed with the ZnCl₂ agent were tiny, which may be explained by the small particle size of ZnCl₂ and its hydrates [32]. As per the aforementioned information, the produced MSMPAC has a high degree of porosity, a huge pore volume, and a massive specific surface area. Thus, it offers a sizable number of active sites that can effectively interact with MB dye.

Figure 1. N₂ adsorption-desorption isotherms and pore size distribution of MSMPAC.

The crystallinity of MSMPAC was characterized using the XRD technique. Figure 2 expresses the XRD pattern of MSMPAC. The amorphous phase of the MSMPAC structure is shown by the broad (002) diffraction peak that existed at 2θ = 24°, whereas the strong (101) diffraction peak that formed at 2θ = 44° may be ascribed to the MSMPAC’s graphite structure [33]. Furthermore, it has been found that MSMPAC exhibits notable peaks at 2θ = 31.9°, 34.5°, 36.3°, 63.14°, 66.5°, and 77.2°, which are linked to an activator’s (ZnCl₂) role in the process of MSMPAC formation and correspond to ZnO [34].
FTIR spectroscopy was used to identify the surface functional groups of the pristine (MSMP), MSMPAC, and MSMPAC-MB samples. The FTIR spectra of unprocessed MSMP, MSMPAC, and MSMPAC-MB are shown in Figure 3a–c. The O-H stretching vibrations of cellulose, pectin, hemicellulose, lignin, and absorbed water as well as N-H stretching vibrations of amine groups are responsible for the broad peak (Figure 3a) in the range from 3800 to 3300 cm\(^{-1}\) [33]. The asymmetric C-H stretching and C-H bending of the -CH\(_2\)- and -CH\(_3\) groups, respectively, can be attributed to the weak peak at about 2923 cm\(^{-1}\) and the medium band at 1375 cm\(^{-1}\) [35]. The stretching vibration of C=O and C=C in bioactive molecules may be responsible for the peaks at 1680 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1500 cm\(^{-1}\). The peak at 2321 cm\(^{-1}\) is assigned to alkyne group C≡C. The sharp peak at 1030 cm\(^{-1}\) and the weak band at 805 cm\(^{-1}\) are attributed to C-O stretching vibration and out-of-plane C-H derivatives, respectively [7]. The FTIR spectra of MSMPAC (Figure 3b) exhibited a slight difference in the intensity of several peaks compared to MSMP especially peaks at 1500 cm\(^{-1}\) (C=C stretch was boosted) and 1030 cm\(^{-1}\) (C-O stretching was minimized), implying that chemical bonds were destroyed across MSMPAC’s production. The FTIR spectra of MSMPAC after MB adsorption (Figure 3c) are essentially the same as those of MSMPAC but with a marked shift in numerous bands. The bands’ shift indicates that the MSMPAC’s main functional groups heavily participated in MB adsorption.

To determine the morphological characteristics and chemical composition of MSMPAC and MSMPAC-MB, SEM-EDX analysis was used. The SEM image and EDX spectra of MSMPAC are shown in Figure 4a, whereas those of MSMPAC-MB are shown in Figure 4b. The MSMPAC surface (Figure 4a) has a surface that is mostly smooth with few cracks and cavities. A porous structure was generated on the MSMPAC-MB surface than on the MSMPAC sample, signifying that the MSMPAC surface was successfully covered by MB molecules. The S atom (sourced from the MB dye) was observed in MSMPAC-MB, whereas the C, N, and O atoms in MSMPAC and MSMPAC-MB were affirmed by EDX data.
To determine the morphological characteristics and chemical composition of MSMPAC and MSMPAC-MB, SEM-EDX analysis was used. The SEM image and EDX spectra of MSMPAC are shown in Figure 4(a), whereas those of MSMPAC-MB are shown in Figure 4(b). The MSMPAC surface (Figure 4a) has a surface that is mostly smooth with few cracks and cavities. A more porous structure was generated on the MSMPAC-MB surface than on the MSMPAC sample, signifying that the MSMPAC surface was successfully covered by MB molecules. The S atom (sourced from the MB dye) was observed in MSMPAC-MB, whereas the C, N, and O atoms in MSMPAC and MSMPAC-MB were affirmed by EDX data.

Figure 3. FTIR spectra of (a) MSMP, (b) MSMPAC, and (c) MSMPAC-MB.

Figure 4. SEM images and EDX spectra of (a) MSMPAC and (b) MSMPAC-MB at a magnification of 3.0 k.

\[
\text{MB removal (\%)} = +76.80 + 10.41A + 2.54B + 4.03C + 2.52AB + 2.65AC + 4.66A^2
\]
3.2. Model Fitting

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was conducted to estimate the validity of the model and assess the strength of explored variables and their interactions. Table 4 lists the ANOVA findings of the derived second-order mathematical model. The results of the ANOVA (Table 4) demonstrate that the proposed model has a statistically meaningful (F-value of 31.0). The $R^2$ value for the BBD model was calculated to be 0.97. The strong link between MB removal findings practically acquired and those estimated by the proposed quadratic model is supported by this finding. The non-significant $p$-values (0.4143) of the Lack of Fit provided evidence for the suitability of the developed model [36]. Commonly, variables that influence MB adsorption rate and seem to have $p$-values $p < 0.05$ are perceived to be statistically meaningless. Therefore, the developed model’s codes A, B, C, AB, AC, and $A^2$ were assessed to be statistically meaningful. The second polynomial model provides the three tested variables and the expected MB removal after fitting is shown in Equation (4).

$$\text{MB removal (\%)} = +76.80 + 10.41A + 2.54B + 4.03C + 2.52AB + 2.65AC + 4.66A^2 \quad (4)$$

| Source | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F-Value | $p$-Value | Remarks |
|--------|----------------|----|-------------|---------|-----------|---------|
| Model  | 1200.32        | 9  | 133.37      | 31.00   | <0.0001   | Significant |
| A-Dose | 867.36         | 1  | 867.36      | 201.59  | <0.0001   | Significant |
| B-pH   | 51.51          | 1  | 51.51       | 11.97   | 0.0105    | Significant |
| C-Time | 129.61         | 1  | 129.61      | 30.12   | 0.0009    | Significant |
| AB     | 25.50          | 1  | 25.50       | 5.93    | 0.0451    | Significant |
| AC     | 28.09          | 1  | 28.09       | 6.53    | 0.0378    | Significant |
| BC     | 4.41           | 1  | 4.41        | 1.02    | 0.3450    | Not significant |
| $A^2$  | 91.53          | 1  | 91.53       | 21.27   | 0.0024    | Significant |
| $B^2$  | 0.6322         | 1  | 0.6322      | 0.1469  | 0.7128    | Not significant |
| $C^2$  | 1.00           | 1  | 1.00        | 0.2326  | 0.6443    | Not significant |
| Residual| 30.12          | 7  | 4.30        |         |           |         |
| Lack of Fit | 14.32 | 3 | 4.77 | 1.21 | 0.4143 | Not significant |
| Pure Error | 15.80 | 4 | 3.95 |         |         |         |
| Cor Total | 1230.44      | 16 |            |         |           |         |

It is crucial to conduct an additional analysis of the established model by investigating the normal probability plot (Figure 5a) to make sure the residuals are normally distributed [37]. Both the model’s design and the results of the ANOVA are fully satisfactory, as shown by the normal distribution of values in Figure 5a around the 45° straight line. Additionally, as seen in Figure 5b, the points representing the real values and predicted values are uniformly spaced on both sides of the line, indicating that the calculated values are consistent with the actual values.

3.3. Effects of interactive variables

3D response surfaces and 2D contour plots were constructed to discover the important interactions between assessed components and to establish their essential properties in the MB removal process. The interaction of MSMPAC with pH strongly impacted the removal process of MB dye as shown in Figure 6 (a: 3D and b: 2D), while the time (10 min) was held constant. The removal of MB was not improved with the change in pH value from 4 to 10, as seen in Figure 6a.b. As shown in Figure 6e, the pH$_{pzc}$ of the MSMPAC was 6.4, further demonstrating that the MSMPAC surface may acquire a positive charge at low pH of 4, i.e., less than pH$_{pzc}$. In contrast, at a solution pH of 10, MSMPAC’s surface charge turns to the negative, demonstrating the MSMPAC’s capacity for cationic dye adsorption. According to the pK$_a$ value of MB (pK$_a$ = 3.8), the cationic MB molecules were more abundant in environments with pH levels greater than pH$_{pzc}$ [38]. As a result, as shown in Equation (5),
stronger electrostatic interactions take place between the cationic MB dye and negatively charged functional groups of MSMPAC.

\[
\text{MSMPAC} - \text{O}^– + \text{MB}^+ \leftrightarrow \text{MSMPAC} - \text{O}^– \ldots ^+ \text{MB} \tag{5}
\]

Figure 5. Plots illustrating (a) the normal probability of residuals and (b) the association between expected and real MB removal levels.

The interaction of MSMPAC with time strongly impacted the removal process of MB dye as shown in Figure 6 (c: 3D and d: 2D), while the pH (7) was held constant. The magnitude of MB removal was slightly increased with higher MSMPAC doses (0.02 g to 0.1 g) according to Figure 6c,d, which may be ascribed to greater numbers of active adsorption sites and larger surface area. Figure 6c,d signifies that the MSMPAC functioned better in removing MB at a higher time (15 min). This is due to the MB molecules taking sufficient time to adsorb on the adsorbent surface and migrate into the MSMPAC mesopores and achieve equilibrium.

3.4. Optimization by the Desirability Functions

Derringer and Suich developed a multi-response optimization method in 1980 called the desirability function, sometimes known as Derringer’s desirability function [39]. Individual desirability (di) values between 0 and 1 are first created for each individual response in this method. A desirability value of 1 generally means that the targeted level of response was attained, whereas a desirability value of 0 means that the desired level of reaction was surpassed [3]. The following Equation (6) is used to determine the total desirability function.

\[
D = \left( d_1 \times d_2 \times \ldots \times d_n \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} = \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n} d_i \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \tag{6}
\]

where D denotes the overall desirability, n represents the count of replies, and di indicates the desirability of each response. The objective is to determine the spot at which desirability has the highest value. Accordingly, a desirability function is a known method for pointing out how to synchronously improve variables such as A: MSMPAC dose, B: pH, and C: time, which achieves the desired efficiency for the response (MB removal) and the detailed information was mentioned in [39]. As per the desirability function, the MSMPAC dosage (0.09 g), pH (8.7), and time (14.8 min) were the factors that successfully removed MB. As shown in Figure 7, under these operating circumstances, the MB decolorization (%) was 98.1 and the desirability value was 1. Duplicate validation experiments with optimized components were used to validate the reliability of this estimation. Overall, the results
obtained from empirical observations were in good agreement with the information acquired by numerical optimization. These results illustrate that the BBD model coupled with the desirability function may be effectively used to enhance the MB adsorption by MSMPAC. Consequently, the following investigations employed the optimal input values for MB adsorption.

Figure 6. 3D response surfaces and 2D contour plots of AB ((a): 3D; (b): 2D) and AC ((c): 3D; (d): 2D) interactions; while (e) pH_{pzc} of MSMPAC.
Derringer and Suich developed a multi-response optimization method in 1980 called the desirability function, sometimes known as Derringer's desirability function [39]. Individual desirability (di) values between 0 and 1 are first created for each individual response in this method. A desirability value of 1 generally means that the targeted level of response was attained, whereas a desirability value of 0 means that the desired level of reaction was surpassed [3]. The following equation (6) is used to determine the total desirability function.

\[
D = (d_1 \times d_2 \times \ldots \times d_n)^{1/n} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} d_i\right)^{1/n}
\]

where D denotes the overall desirability, n represents the count of responses, and di indicates the desirability of each response. The objective is to determine the spot at which desirability has the highest value. Accordingly, a desirability function is a known method for pointing out how to synchronously improve variables such as A: MSMPAC dose, B: pH, and C: time, which achieves the desired efficiency for the response (MB removal) and the detailed information was mentioned in [39]. As per the desirability function, the MSMPAC dosage (0.09 g), pH (8.7), and time (14.8 min) were the factors that successfully removed MB. As shown in Figure 7, under these operating circumstances, the MB decolorization (%) was 98.1 and the desirability value was 1. Duplicate validation experiments with optimized components were used to validate the reliability of this estimation. Overall, the results obtained from empirical observations were in good agreement with the information acquired by numerical optimization. These results illustrate that the BBD model coupled with the desirability function may be effectively used to enhance the MB adsorption by MSMPAC.

Consequently, the following investigations employed the optimal input values for MB adsorption.

![Figure 7. Desirability ramps for optimizing key adsorption factors of MB dye removal (%) using MSMPAC.](image)

3.5. Adsorption Study

The adsorption rate of the MSMPAC is directly affected by varying the initial MB concentration (20, 40, 60, 80, 100, 150, 200 mg/L), as shown in Figure 8a. The pH of the solution (8.7) and MSMPAC dosage (0.09 g) were kept constant throughout this study. The adsorption capacity of MSMPAC was significantly elevated (14.4 to 190.2 mg/g) because of raising the initial MB concentration from 20 to 200 mg/L. The influence is caused by the MB's movement to the active adsorption sites and the MB's increased diffusion within the internal pores of the MSMPAC, which is caused by the higher concentration gradient [37].

![Figure 8. Cont.](image)
by the MB’s movement to the active adsorption sites and the MB’s increased diffusion within the internal pores of the MSMPAC, which is caused by the higher concentration gradient [37].

Figure 8. (a) Contact-time effect on MB adsorption at various concentrations; (b) MB adsorption isotherms (dose = 0.09 g, solution pH = 8.7, temperature = 25 °C, stirring speed = 90 rpm, and volume of solution = 100 mL).

3.6. Adsorption Kinetics

To establish the adsorption mechanism of MB dye on the MSMPAC, the pseudo-first-order [40] and pseudo-second-order [41] models of adsorption kinetics were used. Thus, the PFO and PSO kinetic models (See Table 5) were employed to simulate empirical kinetic data.

Table 5. Adsorption kinetics and isotherms nonlinear models.

| Models                        | Formula                                                                 | Descriptions                                      |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| Pseudo-first-order (PFO)      | $q_t = q_e \left(1 - e^{-k_1 t}\right)$                                | $k_1$: pseudo-first-order rate constant (1/min)    |
| Pseudo-second-order (PSO)     | $q_t = \frac{q_e^2 k_2 t}{1 + q_e k_2 t}$                               | $k_2$: pseudo-second-order rate constant (g/mg min) |
| Langmuir                      | $q_t = \frac{n_m K_L C_e}{1 + K_L C_e}$                                 | $n_m$: monolayer capacity (mg/g)                  |
|                      |                                                                         | $K_L$: Langmuir constant (L/mg)                    |
| Freundlich                    | $q_t = K_F C_e^{1/n}$                                                   | $n$: adsorption intensity                         |
|                      |                                                                         | $K_F$: Freundlich constant (mg/g) (L/mg)\(^{1/n}\) |
| Temkin                        | $q_t = \frac{K_T}{b_T} m(K_T C_e)$                                      | $K_T$: Temkin constant (L/mg)                      |
|                      |                                                                         | $b_T$: adsorption intensity (J/mol)                |

Furthermore, Table 6 lists the computed outcomes from the kinetic models. The PSO models obtained $R^2$ values are marginally closer to those of the experimental data. It was also discovered that the predicted MB dye adsorption capabilities computed using the PSO models are consistent with the experimental values. This implies that the PSO models may adequately explain the process of MB adsorption onto the MSMPAC, showing that chemisorption may be the main step that controls the adsorption rate [42].
Table 6. PFO and PSO kinetic parameters for MB adsorption on MSMPAC.

| Concentration (mg/L) | qₑₑₑₑ exp (mg/g) | PFO | | | PSO | |
|----------------------|-------------------|-----|----------------|----------------|---|-----|
|                      | qₑₑₑₑ cal (mg/g) | k₁ (1/min) | R² | qₑₑₑₑ cal (mg/g) | k₂ × 10⁻² (g/mg min) | R² |
| 20                   | 14.4              | 0.44 | 1  | 14.6              | 13.0              | 0.99 |
| 40                   | 44.2              | 0.99 | 54.6 | 1.11              | 0.99              |
| 60                   | 60.7              | 0.96 | 61.9 | 0.69              | 0.99              |
| 80                   | 89.1              | 0.97 | 89.6 | 0.64              | 0.99              |
| 100                  | 109.7             | 0.98 | 112.2 | 0.36              | 0.99              |
| 150                  | 160.5             | 0.93 | 166.9 | 0.07              | 0.98              |
| 200                  | 190.2             | 0.94 | 200.8 | 0.04              | 0.97              |

3.7. Adsorption Isotherms

The adsorption isotherm offers significance and helpful familiarity with how the dye molecules are allocated between the MSMPAC and the liquid solution in the equilibrium state and reveals maximizing the adsorption capability of the MSMPAC [43]. In the current work, Langmuir, Freundlich, and Temkin isotherms were assessed. The Freundlich [44], Temkin [45], and Langmuir [46] models were used to designate experimental data. Table 5 summarizes the mathematical formula for each of these model types. Table 7 lists the computed outcomes from the isotherm models, and Figure 8b provides the isotherm curves for the adsorption of MB. Values of 1/n can be used to determine the type of isotherm, such as whether it is irreversible (1/n = 0), advantageous (0 > 1/n), or unfavorable (1/n > 1). In the Freundlich isotherm, the value of 1/n for MB was 0.43, showing an ideal adsorption performance [47]. The experimental data were found to be well-fitted with the Langmuir model based on R² values (Table 7). This finding implies that MB adsorption on MSPSAC occurs as a monolayer and at homogeneous sites [47].

Table 7. The parameters of isotherm models for MB dye adsorption on MSMPAC.

| Adsorption isotherm | Parameter | Value |
|---------------------|-----------|-------|
| Langmuir            | qₑₑₑₑ max (mg/g) | 232.8 |
|                     | Kₑₑₑₑ (L/mg)    | 0.96  |
|                     | R²             | 0.97  |
| Freundlich          | Kᵧ (mg/g) (L/mg) ⁄n | 101.4 |
|                     | R²             | 2.29  |
|                     | n              | 2.92  |
| Temkin              | Kᵣ (L/mg)      | 2.44  |
|                     | Bₑₑₑₑ (J/mol)  | 52.5  |
|                     | R²             | 0.96  |

MSMPAC’s qₑₑₑₑ max for MB was identified to be 176.6 mg/g. The comparison of MSMPAC’s qₑₑₑₑ max with other ACs described in previous efforts toward MB is summarized in Table 8. According to the findings in Table 8, MSMPAC is a promising material for the removal of MB from aqueous environments with desirable adsorption capacity over other activated carbon-based adsorbents.

Table 8. Comparison of the adsorption capacity of MSMPAC towards MB dyes with different ACs.

| Adsorbents                                    | qₑₑₑₑ max (mg/g) | References |
|-----------------------------------------------|------------------|------------|
| MSMPAC                                        | 232.8            | This study |
| Activated carbon from green algae *Ulva lactuca* | 344.83           | [48]       |
| Activated carbon from palm oil waste          | 188              | [49]       |
| Jengkol peel-based activated carbon           | 170.9            | [50]       |
| Activated carbon from *Moringa oleifera* leaf | 136.99           | [51]       |
| Activated carbon from *Elaeagnus angustifolia* seeds | 72               | [52]       |
3.8. Thermodynamic Characteristics

The removal capability of the prepared MSMPAC is highly impacted by temperature changes; hence, information regarding the nature of the MB adsorption mode is necessary. For this purpose, the obtained adsorption data at varying temperatures (298.15–328.15 K) were employed for computing the thermodynamic characteristics namely, Gibbs free energy ($\Delta G^\circ$), entropy ($\Delta S^\circ$), and enthalpy ($\Delta H^\circ$). The following Equations (7)–(9) were used to find the values of these parameters [53].

\[
\Delta G^\circ = -RT \ln K_d
\]

\[
k_d = \frac{q_e}{C_e}
\]

\[
\ln k_d = \frac{\Delta S^\circ}{R} - \frac{\Delta H^\circ}{RT}
\]

The intercept and slope of the associated plot of $\ln k_d$ against $1/T$ were used to calculate, respectively, $\Delta S^\circ$ and $\Delta H^\circ$. Table 9 outlines the estimated values of thermodynamics. The spontaneous and plausible nature of MB adsorption on the MSMPAC surface could be inferred from the negative $\Delta G^\circ$ values [54]. The positive value of $\Delta H^\circ$ makes it abundantly evident that the MB uptake phenomenon is endothermic [54]. The growing irregularity at the adsorbent/solution system interface throughout the MB adsorption route is shown by the positive sign of the $\Delta S^\circ$. Additionally, when the temperature rose from 298.15 K to 308.15 K, the adsorption efficiency improved from 92.33 mg/g to 102.02 mg/g. This rise in adsorption capacity was initially rapid, reaching 308.15 K, before slowing down to around 318.15 K. As the temperature rose, the bonds between the pores weakened, resulting in the pores expanding and the appearance of additional active sites. Additionally, heating caused an increase in the rate of MB diffusion into the pore [55].

Table 9. Thermodynamic parameters for MB dye adsorption onto MSMPAC.

| T (K)  | $q_e$ (mg/g) | $K_d$  | $\Delta G^\circ$ (kJ/mol) | $\Delta H^\circ$ (kJ/mol) | $\Delta S^\circ$ (kJ/mol·K) |
|-------|--------------|--------|----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| 298.15| 92.3         | 4.06   | -3.48                      | 18.2                     | 0.074                     |
| 308.15| 102.0        | 7.92   | -5.30                      |                          |                           |
| 318.15| 103.7        | 8.23   | -5.58                      |                          |                           |
| 328.15| 103.8        | 8.34   | -5.79                      |                          |                           |

3.9. Adsorption Mechanism of MB Dye

The adsorption of MB on MSMPAC could be accomplished by various interactions like pore-filling, electrostatic attraction, $\pi$–$\pi$ interaction, and hydrogen bonding. In Figure 9, a schematic illustration of the potential MB adsorption process onto MSMPAC is shown. As per the adsorption tests, the removal of the MB dye molecules occurred in the basic medium (pH greater than the pH_{pzc}); thus, in this environment, the surface of MSMPAC will gain negative charges, while MB molecules will bear a positive charge, causing the significant interaction called electrostatic forces, which is considered crucial in MB adsorption. Additionally, MB molecules can be entered into the MSMPAC structure by its micropores and mesopores, and thus, they will subsequently adsorb onto the active sites. As a result, MB adsorption by the pore-filling route is greatly aided by the many mesopores seen in the MSMPAC structure. In order to establish hydrogen bonds, the nitrogen atoms of the MB molecules interact with the hydrogen atoms of the hydroxyl groups presented on MSMPAC’s surface [22]. As a result of interactions between MSMPAC’s hexagonal skeleton and MB’s aromatic backbone, $\pi$–$\pi$ stacking creates, which constitutes a further parameter in increasing the effectiveness of the MB adsorption [56].
The spontaneous and endothermic nature of MB adsorption on the MSMPAC surface could be inferred from the negative $\Delta G^\circ$ values and positive value of $\Delta H^\circ$, respectively. Various mechanisms namely electrostatic forces, pore filling, $\pi$-$\pi$ stacking, and H-bonding govern MB adsorption by the MSMPAC. This study demonstrates the utility of MS and MP as a renewable precursor for the effective and reliable creation of high surface area MSMPAC and its application in the removal of pollutants from polluted water.

**4. Conclusions**

Fruit biomass wastes such as MS and MP were efficiently used to generate MSMPAC by microwave induced ZnCl$_2$ activation at 800 W for 20 min with an impregnation ratio of 2 g MSMP and 1 g ZnCl$_2$. The desirability function data stated that the best conditions for maximum removal of MB (98.1%) were MSMPAC dose (0.09 g), pH (8.7), and duration (14.8) min. The monolayer adsorption process was validated by matching the acquired equilibrium data to the Langmuir model, and the greatest adsorption capacity of 232.8 mg/g was achieved. The spontaneous and endothermic nature of MB adsorption on the MSMPAC surface could be inferred from the negative $\Delta G^\circ$ values and positive value of $\Delta H^\circ$, respectively. Various mechanisms namely electrostatic forces, pore filling, $\pi$-$\pi$ stacking, and H-bonding govern MB adsorption by the MSMPAC. This study demonstrates the utility of MS and MP as a renewable precursor for the effective and reliable creation of high surface area MSMPAC and its application in the removal of pollutants from polluted water.
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