ABSTRACT

Background: Ultraviolet-B (UV-B) radiation is a smaller fraction of the total radiation reaching the Earth but leads to extensive damage to the deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) and other biomolecules through formation of free radicals altering redox homeostasis of the cell. Abelmoschus esculentus (okra) has been known in Ayurveda as antidiabetic, hypolipidemic, demulcent, antispasmodic, diuretic, purgative, etc.

Objective: The aim of this study is to evaluate the protective effect of flavonoids from A. esculentus against UV-B-induced cell damage in human dermal fibroblasts.

Materials and Methods: UV-B protective activity of ethyl acetate (EA) fraction of okra was studied against UV-B-induced cytotoxicity, antioxidant regulation, oxidative DNA damage, intracellular reactive oxygen species (ROS) production, apoptotic morphological changes, and regulation of heme oxygenase-1 (HO-1) gene through nuclear factor E2-related factor 2-antioxidant response element (Nrf2-ARE) pathway.

Results: Flavonoid-rich EA fraction depicted a significant antioxidant potential also showing presence of rutin. Pretreatment of cells with EA fraction (10–30 μg/ml) prevented UV-B-induced cytotoxicity, depletion of endogenous enzymatic antioxidants, oxidative DNA damage, intracellular ROS production, apoptotic changes, and overexpression of Nrf2 and HO-1.

Conclusion: Our study demonstrated for the 1st time that EA fraction of okra may reduce oxidative stress through Nrf2-ARE pathway as well as through endogenous enzymatic antioxidant system. These results suggested that flavonoids from okra may be considered as potential UV-B protective agents and may also be formulated into herbal sunscreen for topical application.
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SUMMARY

- Flavonoid-rich ethyl acetate (EA) fraction from A. esculentus protected against ultraviolet-B (UV-B)-induced oxidative DNA damage
- EA fraction prevented UV-B-induced cytotoxicity, depletion of endogenous enzymatic antioxidants, and intracellular reactive oxygen species production
- EA fraction could reduce oxidative stress through the Nrf2-ARE Pathway
- EA fraction was found to be nongenotoxic and prevented apoptotic changes

HIGHLIGHTS

- Flavonoids from Abelmoschus esculentus protected from ultraviolet-B-induced damage
- They were capable of reducing oxidative stress through Nrf2-ARE Pathway
- They are nongenotoxic and do not possess mutagenic potential
- Flavonoids from A. esculentus can be studied and explored further for its topical application as sunscreen.

Abbreviations used: ABTS: 2,2’-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzthiazoline-6-sulphonic acid), CAF: Caffeic acid, PMSF: Phenylmethanesulfonyl fluoride, HO-1: Heme oxygenase-1, HPTLC: High-performance thin layer chromatography, Nrf2: Nuclear factor E2-related factor-2, ARE: Antioxidant response element, BSA: Bovine serum albumin, CAT: Catalase, DMEM: Dulbecco's modified eagle's medium, DMSO: dimethyl sulfoxide, DNA: Deoxyribonucleic acid, DPPH: 2,2'-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl, ECL: Enhanced chemiluminescence, EDTA: Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, ELISA: Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, EtiBr: Ethidium bromide, FBS: Fetal bovine serum, FE: Fraction, FRAP: Ferric reducing antioxidant power, GSH: Reduced glutathione, GST: Glutathione-S-transferase, GR: Glutathione reductase, HFD: Human dermal fibroblast adult cells, HEPES: 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulphonic acid, HRP: Horseradish peroxidase, HBO-1: Heme oxygenase-1, HPTLC: High-performance thin layer chromatography, Keap-1: Kelch-like ECH-associated protein-1, MT1: 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide, NaCl: sodium chloride, NFDM: nonfat dry milk, Nrf2: Nuclear factor E2-related factor 2, NQO1: NAD (P) H: Quinone oxidoreductase 1, OH: Hydroxyl ions, PBST: Phosphate-buffered saline with 0.1% tween 20, PCR: Polymerase chain reaction, PMSF: Phenylmethanesulfonylfluoride, Rf: Retention factor, ROS: Reactive oxygen species, rRNA: Ribosomal ribonucleic acid, SDS: Sodium dodecyl sulfate, SOD: Superoxide dismutase, TLC: Thin layer chromatography, THF: Thin layer chromatography-2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl, UV: Ultraviolet, UV−A: Ultraviolet-A, UV−B: Ultraviolet-B, UV−C: Ultraviolet-C, qPCR: Quantitative polymerase chain reaction
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INTRODUCTION

Ultraviolet (UV) radiation is a major environmental factor affecting human body in various ways and is divided into three categories such as UV-C (100–280 nm), UV-B (280–315 nm), and UV-A (315–400 nm). UV-B is the smaller fraction of the total radiation reaching the Earth but is most harmful.[1,2] UV-C is totally absorbed by the ozone layer. UV-B radiations penetrate the epidermal and dermal layer of the skin causing sunburn, formation of free radicals, disarrangement of collagen and elastin fibers, immune modulation, etc., UV-B is also genotoxic forming single-strand breaks, thymine dimers, photoproducts, etc., increasing chances of mutations. It reacts with cellular chromophores and photosensitizers forming free radicals, which affect biomolecules such as lipids, proteins, and deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA).[3,4] UV-B radiations lead to oxidative stress, which alters the redox homeostasis of the cell. Antioxidants are necessary to scavenge the free radicals and further reduce oxidative stress. Endogenous enzymatic and nonenzymatic antioxidants are depleted during oxidative stress, hence provide lesser protection than required. Therefore, exogenous antioxidants as supplements are necessary. Sunscreens and synthetic antioxidants are available but have some limitations on continual use. Hence, natural antioxidants are now studied and developed to be used for protection from oxidative stress.[5,6]

Preparation of extracts and enrichment of flavonoids

Cold extraction technique using n-hexane, chloroform, and alcohol successively was done to prepare crude extracts.[7] Preliminary analysis depicted the presence of flavonoids in the crude alcoholic extract; hence, it was further used for enrichment of flavonoids.

TLC, TLC-DPPH, and HPTLC analysis

Detection of flavonoids from the EA fraction, water fraction, and crude alcoholic extract was performed by thin layer chromatography (TLC), TLC-DPPH (TLC-DPPH), and high-performance TLC (HPTLC) analysis using rutin as a standard. Samples were spotted on Silica Gel 60 F254 precoated plates and the analysis was carried out using the standardized solvent system of EA:formic acid:glacial acetic acid:methanol (7.5:0.15:0.15:0.9). Plates were derivatized using 1% natural product reagent and observed under UV light at 366 nm.[8,9] 0.1% DPPH was also used as a derivatizing reagent to detect antioxidant potential qualitatively.[10] EA fraction of okra was subjected to HPTLC analysis using DESAGA HPTLC system. The chromatograms were scanned, and the spectra and retention factor (Rf) were recorded using ProQuant software (Informer Technologies, Inc.).

Flavonoid content and antioxidant activity

Flavonoid content of the crude alcoholic extract and EA fraction was determined quantitatively by a previously described method[11,12] using quercetin as a standard in the concentration range of 10–100 μg/ml. The antioxidant potential of both the crude alcoholic extract and EA fraction were determined by DPPH, ferric reducing antioxidant power, and ABTS assays by previously described methods.[13,14] EA fraction was further studied in human dermal fibroblast cells.

Cell culture

HDF cells (ATCC No. PCS-201-012) were grown in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS, 100 units/ml of penicillin, 0.1 mg/ml of streptomycin, and 2.5 μg/ml of amphotericin at 37°C, 5% CO₂.

Treatment groups

HDF cells were divided into seven treatment groups for further studies:
Group 1 – Control.
Group 2 – EA fraction treated cells (30 μg/ml).
Group 3 – UV-B-irradiated.
Group 4 – UV-B-irradiated + 5 μg/ml silymarin.
Group 5 – UV-B-irradiated + 10 μg/ml EA fraction.
Group 6 – UV-B-irradiated + 20 μg/ml EA fraction.
Group 7 – UV-B-irradiated + 30 μg/ml EA fraction.

Treatment of human dermal fibroblast adult cells
Cultured HDF cells were treated with EA fraction (10 μg/ml, 20 μg/ml, and 30 μg/ml) and silymarin (5 μg/ml) for 24 h followed by washing the cells with DPBS, covering cells in minimum amount of DMEM and UV-B irradiation. Preliminary cytotoxicity studies were performed by MTT assay to confirm whether these concentrations were nontoxic.

Irradiation procedure
After fraction and silymarin treatment, HDF were UV-B irradiated by a UV-B tube (Sankyo Denki, Japan) with a wavelength range of 280–315 nm and peak at 312 nm. The cells were irradiated at an intensity of 5 mW/cm² for 500 s with total UV-B radiation of 2.5 J/cm² reducing viability to 50%. After UV-B exposure, cells were incubated at room temperature for 30 min and processed for further experiments.

MTT assay
Cultured HDF cells (5 × 10⁴) were seeded in 96-well plate and treated with EA fraction and silymarin. After 24 h, cells were UV-B-irradiated and incubated for 30 min at room temperature. MTT (5 mg/ml) was added to the cells and incubated at 37°C for 4 h followed by addition of 150 μl dimethyl sulfoxide and measurement of absorbance on an ELISA reader at 570 nm.[28]

Estimation of endogenous antioxidants
Cell lysis buffer containing 50 mM Tris-Cl, 5 mM EDTA, 150 mM sodium chloride, 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 1% Triton X-100, 50 mM HEPES, 1 mM PMSF, and 1X protease inhibitor cocktail was used for preparation of cell lysates followed by estimation of proteins using Folin Lowry method. Levels of superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), glutathione peroxidase (GPx), and glutathione reductase (GR) were assayed by previously described methods.[29-31]

Comet assay
HDF cells (1 × 10⁴) were seeded in 6-well plate and then treated with EA fraction and silymarin followed by UV-B irradiation. Comet assay was performed by previously described method.[30] 100 comets of each treatment group were observed at 400× magnification under a fluorescent microscope. The comets were analyzed using Casp software version 2.0 (CaspLab.com) and percent head DNA were calculated followed by statistical analysis.

Ethidium bromide/acridine orange staining
After treatment of cultured HDF with EA fraction and UV-B, they were stained using 1:20 diluted mixture of EtBr and AO (100 μg/ml each) and observed at ×400 magnification under a fluorescent microscope.

Quantitation of intracellular reactive oxygen species
After treatment of cultured HDF with EA fraction and UV-B, they were washed and resuspended in DPBS followed by addition of 10 μl DCFH-DA (1 μM) and incubation at 37°C for 45 min. ROS positive cells were measured using flow cytometer at 488 nm laser wavelength and 535 nm detection wavelength.

Ames test
Salmonella typhimurium TA100 was used to determine the mutagenic potential of EA fraction of okra. The strain identification tests (histidine requirement, Rfa mutation (changes bacterial cell wall properties), UVrB mutation (DNA repair), and R-factor assay) were performed to confirm the genotye followed by Ames test which was performed as previously described.[30,31]

qPCR analysis for Nrf2 and HO-1
Expression of Nrf2 and HO-1 was determined by Quantitative PCR (qPCR) analysis using 18S ribosomal ribonucleic acid (rRNA) as internal control. Total RNA extraction was done by TRI reagent followed by cDNA synthesis. SYBR green real-time PCR master mix was used for the analysis and the primer sequences and standardized reaction conditions for Nrf2, HO-1, and 18S rRNA are given in Tables 1 and 2. Expression of Nrf2 and HO-1 was represented as fold change as compared to expression in UV-B-irradiated cells.

Western blot for Nrf2 and HO-1
Cell lysates were prepared and quantified as mentioned above and then electrophoresed and transferred onto nitrocellulose membrane. In case of Nrf2, 5% bovine serum albumin (BSA) was used for blocking the membrane followed by probing with 1:1000 diluted monoclonal Nrf2 antibody and 1:2000 diluted goat anti-mouse HRP-conjugated secondary antibody. Detection was done by ECL and chemiluminescence was recorded on X-ray film. Membrane was stripped using stripping buffer (10% SDS, 0.5% Tris-Cl, β-mercaptoethanol) at 55°C for 30 min and washed 5 times using phosphate-buffered saline. For HO-1, 5% BSA was used for blocking the membrane followed by probing with 1:500 diluted monoclonal HO-1 antibody and 1:2000 diluted goat anti-mouse HRP-conjugated secondary antibody followed by detection. Membrane was restripped and probed for β-actin using 5% nonfat dry milk for blocking, 1:1000 diluted monoclonal β-actin antibody followed by the above given procedure.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism software by one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s and Tukey’s posttest. ***P < 0.001. Results are expressed as mean ± SD (n = 3).

RESULTS

TLC, TLC-DPPH and HPTLC analysis
Flavonoids from EA fraction, water fraction, and crude alcoholic extract of okra were separated by TLC using rutin as the standard. In TLC, TLC-DPPH and HPTLC analysis, rutin was found to be present in crude alcoholic extract of okra.
extract as well as EA fraction. All the flavonoid bands seen in the crude alcoholic extract were also present in the EA fraction suggesting successful separation. Water fraction showed the presence of blue colored bands which may be due to some impurities. Flavonoid bands in the fraction were more intense than that in crude alcoholic extract indicating enrichment. TLC-DPPH analysis was done to detect the antioxidant potential qualitatively. The yellow coloration in the EA fraction was more intense than the crude alcoholic extract indicating increased antioxidant potential. Figure 1c and d depicts HPTLC profile and HPTLC spectra of the EA fraction. Four peaks were detected in the spectra and their area, and area (%) and Rf values are given in Table 3. Of the four peaks detected, peak two was confirmed to be rutin.

Flavonoid content and antioxidant activity
Flavonoid content and antioxidant potential of the crude alcoholic extract and EA fraction were determined [Table 4]. EA fraction showed higher flavonoid content and increased antioxidant potential than the crude alcoholic extract confirming successful enrichment of flavonoids. The increased antioxidant potential may be due to higher flavonoid content in EA fraction. As EA fraction depicted higher flavonoid content and better antioxidant potential than crude alcoholic extract, it was further studied for its UV-B protective potential in human dermal fibroblast cells.

MTT assay
UV-B radiation reduced the cell viability significantly to 50% which was retained by the pretreatment of HDF with EA fraction of okra [Figure 2]. The protective effect against UV-B-induced cell damage and injury was observed to be significant (**P < 0.001) in a concentration-dependent manner (10–30 µg/ml).

Estimation of endogenous antioxidants
Levels of the important endogenous enzymatic antioxidants SOD, CAT, GPx, and GR were observed to be significantly reduced which may be due to UV-B-induced generation of free radicals [Figure 3]. EA fraction pretreatment significantly (**P < 0.001) retained the levels of all the four enzymes in a concentration-dependent manner (10–30 µg/ml).

Comet assay
Evaluation of protective effect of EA fraction against UV-B-induced DNA damage was done by comet assay [Figure 4]. UV-B radiations induce single-strand breaks in the DNA which resolve out of the cell as fragmented DNA leading to formation of comets and reduction in the percent head DNA of the cell [Figure 4c]. After pretreatment with EA fraction of okra, formation of comets reduced significantly (**P < 0.001) also increasing the percent head DNA of the cells indicating protection from UV-B-induced DNA damage. EA fraction treated cells showed absence of comets suggesting that the EA fraction is nongenotoxic.

Ethidium bromide/acridine orange staining
UV-B radiations induced loss in cell membrane integrity and also early apoptosis as UV-B irradiated cells appear orange due to uptake of EtBr [Figure 5]. Pretreatment of HDF with 10 µg/ml of EA fraction showed presence of bright spots inside the cell suggesting chromatin condensation and nuclear fragmentation. With the increase in concentration of EA fraction to 30 µg/ml, bright spots disappeared indicating a concentration-dependent protection and restoration of cell membrane integrity.

### Table 2: Standardized cycling conditions for nuclear factor E2-related factor 2, heme oxygenase-1 and 18S ribosomal ribonucleic acid

|          | Nrf2 | HO-1 | 18S rRNA |
|----------|------|------|----------|
| Initial denaturation | 94°C, 5 min | 94°C, 3 min | 94°C, 3 min |
| Denaturation | 94°C, 20 s | 35 cycles | 94°C, 20 s | 40 cycles | 94°C, 30 s | 30 cycles |
| Annealing | 57°C, 20 s | 70°C, 20 s | 70°C, 20 s | 60°C, 30 s | 30 cycles |
| Extension | 72°C, 20 s | 72°C, 20 s | 72°C, 20 s | 72°C, 30 s |
| Final extension | 72°C, 7 min | 72°C, 7 min | 72°C, 7 min | 72°C, 5 min |

Nrf2: Nuclear factor E2-related factor 2; HO-1: Heme oxygenase-1; rRNA: Ribosomal ribonucleic acid
Quantitation of intracellular reactive oxygen species
UV-B radiations induced excessive formation of intracellular ROS as shown in Figure 6. EA fraction pretreatment showed a significant (**P < 0.001) reduction in formation of intracellular ROS in a concentration-dependent manner (10–30 µg/ml). This confirms the free radical scavenging property of EA fraction and also can be correlated to the retained levels of the enzymatic antioxidants.

Ames test
*S. typhimurium* TA100 strain identity tests were performed to confirm the genotype. It showed presence of colonies on the histidine-positive medium and absence in the histidine-negative medium indicating that the strain is auxotrophic for the amino acid. Along with histidine dependence, the strain also carries an Rfa mutation which decreases the liposaccharide barriers and allows permeability of larger molecules, which was confirmed by observing a clear zone around a disc of crystal violet. The strain also has a compromised DNA repair mechanism which was confirmed by absence of colonies in the UV-irradiated region of the plate. In the R-factor assay, the strain shows resistance to antibiotic ampicillin. These results were in accordance with the genotype of *S. typhimurium* TA100.

All the concentrations of EA fraction of okra (10–100 µg/ml) showed no significant difference in the number of revertant colonies as compared to the negative control indicating that the fraction is unable to induce mutation in the histidine gene [Table 5]. Sodium azide was used as a positive control which showed presence of 4-fold increased number of revertant colonies suggesting that it can induce mutation in the histidine gene making it a wild-type strain.

qPCR and western blot analysis for Nrf2 and HO-1
1.8- and 3.3-fold increase in the expression of Nrf2 and HO-1, respectively, was detected in qPCR analysis [Figure 7] in UV-B-irradiated cells which may possibly due to UV-B-induced oxidative stress. EA fraction pretreatment significantly (**P < 0.001) reduced the expression of Nrf2 and HO-1 in a concentration-dependent manner (10–30 µg/ml) which can be correlated to the reduced formation of intracellular ROS, hence, decreasing oxidative stress in the cell. Similar expression pattern was also observed at the protein level in Western blot analysis [Figure 8] suggesting no posttranslational modifications in Nrf2 and HO-1.

### DISCUSSION
UV-B radiations penetrate the epidermal and dermal layer of the skin causing various injuries and damages to the cells. They react with photosensitizers forming excessive free radicals leading to aging, immune reactions, sunburns, skin cancer, as well as various systemic diseases. UV-B reacts with DNA directly leading to single-strand breaks, thymine

### Table 3: Area, area %, retention factor values of crude alcoholic extract and ethyl acetate fraction of *Abelmoschus esculentus*

| Peak | Area | Area (%) | Rf values | Identified compounds |
|------|------|----------|-----------|----------------------|
| 1    | 1804.7| 28.5     | 0.06      | Unknown              |
| 2    | 2269.87| 36.0     | 0.43      | Rutin                |
| 3    | 577.59| 9.2      | 0.81      | Unknown              |
| 4    | 1655.44| 26.2     | 0.90      | Unknown              |

Rf: Retention factor

### Table 4: Flavonoid content and EC_{50} values of crude alcoholic extract and ethyl acetate fraction of *Abelmoschus esculentus*

| Sample                        | Flavonoid content (mg quercetin equivalent/g plant material) | DPPH assay | ABTS assay | FRAP assay |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Crude alcoholic extract       | 2.3328±0.08                                                | 400.46±6.18| 5977.3±244.97| 1655.22±66.91|
| EA fraction                   | 3.9082±0.01                                               | 87.70±0.90 | 990.50±18.57| 731.77±35.42|

All values are expressed as mean±SD for 9 experiments. EA: Ethyl acetate; SD: Standard deviation; DPPH: 2,2'-diphenyl-1-picryl hydrazyl; ABTS: 2,2’-azino-bis-(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulphonic acid); FRAP: Ferric reducing antioxidant power

### Table 5: Mutagenic activity of ethyl acetate fraction of *Abelmoschus esculentus* by Ames test

| Sample                        | Number of revertant colonies (mean±SD) (n=3) | Comments            |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Negative control              | 12±2                                        | Spontaneous revertant colonies |
| Histidine positive plate      | 33±4                                        | Confirming genotype  |
| Positive control (sodium azide 1 mg/ml) | 54±2                                    | 4 fold increase in number of colonies, mutagenic |
| Okra (10 µg/ml)               | 12±3                                        | Nonmutagenic        |
| Okra (20 µg/ml)               | 13±2                                        | Nonmutagenic        |
| Okra (30 µg/ml)               | 13±2                                        | Nonmutagenic        |
| Okra (40 µg/ml)               | 12±3                                        | Nonmutagenic        |
| Okra (60 µg/ml)               | 13±2                                        | Nonmutagenic        |
| Okra (80 µg/ml)               | 13±3                                        | Nonmutagenic        |
| Okra (100 µg/ml)              | 14±1                                        | Nonmutagenic        |

SD: Standard deviation
dimers, etc., causing damage and also increasing chances of mutations. Therefore, protection from harmful UV-B radiations is essential.\textsuperscript{[3,33]} Sunscreens and synthetic antioxidants are available commercially for UV protection but are associated with limitations on continual use. They contain chemical compounds such as zinc oxide, titanium dioxide, which are unstable and themselves become free radicals on long exposure to UV radiations. Sunscreens may also block the production of Vitamin D.\textsuperscript{[34]} Hence, use of plant molecules is now regarded as a safer alternative to curb UV-B-induced damage. Plant molecules such as silymarin,\textsuperscript{[13]} sesamol,\textsuperscript{[34]} ursolic acid,\textsuperscript{[14]} ferulic acid,\textsuperscript{[15]} and epicatechin gallate\textsuperscript{[16]} have been successful in protecting against UV-B-induced cell injury and damage. We have also reported a significant UV-B protective potential of flavonoids from \textit{E. caryophylata}. They also showed protection against UV-B-induced cytotoxicity, antioxidant depletion, ROS generation, DNA damage, and apoptotic morphological changes. These flavonoids also reduced oxidative stress in the cell through the Nrf2-ARE pathway maintaining the oxido-redox status of the cell.\textsuperscript{[35]}

Plant molecules can be of various types – flavonoids, alkaloids, tannins, etc. However, flavonoids are considered to be good free radical scavengers exhibiting a better antioxidant potential. EA fraction of okra also showed significantly higher flavonoid content and antioxidant potential than crude alcoholic extract. It also possesses free radical scavenging ability and a reducing potential.

UV-B radiations react with cellular chromophores and photosensitizers forming excessive ROS leading to lipid peroxidation, protein modifications, 8-hydroxyguanine formation, etc. Due to the free radical scavenging property of EA fraction, excessive formation of ROS was prevented. This decreases oxidative stress in the cell also retaining the levels of endogenous enzymatic antioxidant enzymes. There can be various reasons for depletion in levels of endogenous enzymatic antioxidant enzymes – direct absorbance of UV-B radiation, interaction with ROS or the antioxidant recycling mechanisms. Heme group absorbs UV-B radiations and decreases CAT activity; depleted SOD may be due to the formation of superoxide anion and antioxidant recycling mechanism may be the reason for decreased GPx and GR activities.\textsuperscript{[36,37]} EA fraction of okra was observed to be working through the endogenous enzymatic antioxidant system. This fraction may be further useful in diseases caused due to oxidative stress.

UV-B radiations also damaged DNA in HDF cells showing the presence of comets. DNA damage may occur due to direct absorption of UV-B or through ROS.\textsuperscript{[38]} Excessive strand breaks also increase the chances of mutation. EA fraction pretreatment protected against

---

**Figure 3:** Levels of endogenous enzymatic antioxidants. (a) Superoxide dismutase, (b) catalase, (c) glutathione peroxidase, (d) glutathione reductase. Results were analysed statistically by GraphPad Prism software version 2.0 using one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s and Tukey’s posttest, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001.
UV-B-induced DNA damage which may be due to two reasons – firstly, EA fraction prevented formation of ROS, hence, reducing chances of 8-hydroxyguanine formation; and secondly, EA fraction may be exhibiting a sunscreen effect on DNA preventing direct interaction of UV-B and DNA which will inhibit formation of single-strand breaks, thymine dimers, etc. EA fraction was also observed to be nongenotoxic as it did not lead to DNA damage in the cell. EA fraction also did not show a mutagenic potential till a concentration of 100 μg/ml in S. typhimurium TA100 strain.

UV-B radiations also damaged the cell membrane of HDF, which may be due to the lipid peroxidation reactions caused by excessive ROS in the cell. This loss in cell membrane integrity is a characteristic of apoptosis.
EA fraction pretreatment reduced the apoptotic morphological changes like chromatin condensation and nuclear fragmentation in a concentration-dependent manner. This may be prevented due to a better redox homeostasis in the cell attained by EA fraction pretreatment.

Nrf2-ARE pathway is important in cellular defence and sensitive towards oxidative stress. It leads to over-expression of antioxidant enzyme and phase II detoxification enzyme genes in presence of oxidative stress as also observed in the qPCR and western blot analysis. EA fraction pretreatment decreased the intracellular ROS formation leading to decreased oxidative stress. Hence, the expression of Nrf2 and HO-1 also decreased in EA fraction pretreated cells.

In the current study, we observed that the EA fraction of okra protected HDF against UV-B-induced cytotoxicity, antioxidant depletion, intracellular ROS, oxidative DNA damage, apoptotic changes and also...
reduced oxidative stress through Nrf2-ARE pathway. Taken together, these findings suggest that the flavonoids from okra could potentially be considered as UV-B protectants and can be further studied and developed into a topical formulation against UV-B radiations.

CONCLUSION
In the present study, we have reported protective ability of flavonoids from A. esculentus against UV-B-induced cytotoxicity, antioxidant depletion, excessive formation of intracellular ROS, oxidative DNA damage, and apoptotic morphological changes. They were also able to reduce oxidative stress through Nrf2-ARE pathway and maintain the redox homeostasis. Flavonoids from okra can be further explored and developed into an herbal formulation for skin ailments caused due to UV-B radiations.
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