A comparison of postoperative pain after conventional open thyroidectomy and single-incision, gasless, endoscopic transaxillary thyroidectomy: a single institute prospective study
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INTRODUCTION

The incidence of thyroid cancer has increased during the last few decades [1,2]. Various techniques for thyroid surgery have been introduced focusing on better cosmetic outcomes, less postoperative pain, and improved quality of life. Such as endoscopic breast approach, endoscopic and robotic bilateral axillo breast approach, endoscopic and robotic transaxillary approach, and endoscopic and robotic facelift approach are introduced instead of conventional open thyroidectomy through cervical approach [3]. Among the various techniques, transaxillary thyroidectomy has been widely used in the last several years. The endoscopic transaxillary approach used by Ikeda in 2000 [4] has several benefits: a wide operation field with the same surgical view as open surgery, and, ready accessibility to the thyrothymic ligament, facilitating neck node dissection in the central compartment. The feasibility and oncologic safety of single-incision, gasless, endoscopic transaxillary thyroidectomy (SET) in patients with papillary thyroid carcinoma has been proved by other articles [5-7]. Disadvantages of SET seem to include more postoperative pain due to a larger plane of tissue dissection compared to conventional open thyroidectomy. This

Purpose: The aim of this study was to compare postoperative pain between single-incision, gasless, endoscopic transaxillary thyroidectomy (SET), and conventional open thyroidectomy.

Methods: From March to December 2015, patients with thyroid disease underwent total thyroidectomy or lobectomy. Patient’s clinical and pathological characteristics, postoperative pain score using visual analog scale (VAS) were compared between the 2 groups. The primary endpoint was postoperative pain evaluated by VAS score and postoperative analgesic use. Operation time and length of postoperative hospital stay were secondary outcome measures.

Results: Conventional, open cervical surgery was performed on 30 patients (group O) and SET was performed on 27 patients (group E). Pain scores in shoulder area, which is the ipsilateral side of the tumor location at 1 hour and 24 hours after surgery, were higher in group E patients ($P < 0.05$). Pain scores 7 days after surgery did not differ between the 2 groups according to the locations ($P < 0.05$).

Conclusion: In conclusion, endocrine surgeons should be concerned about immediate higher postoperative pain scores in patients who undergo SET.
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influences patient decision when choosing a type of surgery. In fact, many patients choose conventional open thyroidectomy over SET due to concerns regarding postoperative pain. However, only a few studies have assessed pain indirectly based on visual analogue scale (VAS) pain scores. Therefore, we conducted a prospective observational study comparing postoperative pain after SET and open cervical total thyroidectomy to give accurate and unbiased information about SET to patients. We also assessed pain scores according to different locations that might be influenced by surgical position such as central neck, lateral neck, clavicle area, and site of operation. To observe whether there is postoperative difference between the two methods after a significant amount of time, we assessed pain scores over time.

METHODS

Study subjects
From March to December 2015, we enrolled patients aged 18 years or older who underwent total thyroidectomy at the Department of Surgery in Kangbuk Samsung Hospital. Cases with lateral neck node metastases or distant metastasis that required modified radical neck dissection, bilateral central neck node metastases on preoperative ultrasonography (USG), combined with other operation, and intentional resection of recurrent laryngeal nerve (RLN) invasion were excluded. Open cervical thyroidectomy was performed on 30 patients. Preoperative diagnosis of a thyroid tumor was based on fine-needle aspiration cytology and high resolution USG. All operations were conducted by a single surgeon.

Postoperative pain was measured using the VAS at 1 hour, 24 hours, and 7 days after operation. VAS was graded from 0 to 10. VAS was measured at 7 different sites: central neck, lateral neck (ipsilateral and contralateral side to tumor location), clavicular area (ipsilateral and contralateral side to tumor location), anterior chest, site of operation (cervical neck area or axillary area), upper arm (ipsilateral and contralateral side to tumor location), shoulder (ipsilateral and contralateral side to tumor location), and headache. For management of pain, intravenous analgesics such as nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs or opioids were injected as needed. Patients were eligible for discharge when they had no symptoms of complications such as hypocalcemia, or postoperative seroma.

Operative methods

Endoscopic procedure
The patient was placed in a supine position with a pillow under the neck for extension. The arm on the lesion side was raised above the head and held parallel to the midline extending from the hyoid bone and to the sternal notch. A 5- to 6-cm vertical skin incision was made in the lateral side of the pectoralis major muscle at the axilla. The route to the anterior neck area was dissected through the anterior surface of pectoralis major muscle, using an electric cautery under direct vision, until the sternocleidomastoid (SCM) muscle was exposed. The dissection was made from the sternal notch toward the hyoid bone. To minimize bleeding and injury of the flap. Once the clavicular and sternal heads of SCM muscle were exposed, a right angle retractor or cuda retractor (with light source attached) was placed in the space between the SCM branches. The exposed strap muscle was dissected laterally, and then the omohyoid muscle was pushed below the strap muscle. A Chung’s retractor was inserted through the axilla to create working space.

A 12-mm port was fixed 1–2 mm from the upper-most margin of the axillary incision, then a 45-degree rigid endoscope was inserted through the port. Under endoscopic guidance, the trachea was visualized parallel to the operation field, and the thyroid gland was exposed. The upper pole of the thyroid was drawn downward, and the superior thyroid vessels were individually ligated using the harmonic scalpel, to avoid injury to the external branch of the superior laryngeal nerve. The lower pole was dissected to the thyrothymic ligament, and then the inferior thyroid vessels were dissected. The thyroid gland was retracted medially using an endoscopic grasper, and the carotid sheath was sharply dissected using an endoscopic dissector to trace the RLN. The middle thyroid vein was then ligated. The RLN adjacent to Berry’s ligament was protected by covering it with gauze. The entire cervical course of the RLN was then traced, and the inferior thyroid vein, located 1 cm superior to RLN, was ligated. The ipsilateral side of the thyroid gland was then dissected from the trachea.

To avoid bleeding and adhesion, Tisseel fibrin sealant (Dalim, Seoul, Korea) and HyFence (CHA Bio & Diostech, Seoul, Korea) were applied to the detachment sites of the thyroid gland, trachea, and SCM muscle. Fluid collected in cervical area level V was removed using a suction catheter. A 200-mL drain was inserted to the detachment site of the thyroid gland and fixed at 2 cm distal from the inferior margin of the wound. The subcutaneous fascia was closed with absorbable Vicryl sutures (Vicryl 4-0, Ethicon, Johnson & Johnson, Somerville, NJ, USA) and the subcuticular layer was closed with 5-0 Maxon sutures (Covidien Pty. Ltd., Melbourne, Australia). The skin was closed with a 3M steri strip (3M, St. Paul, MN, USA).

Open procedure
The patient was placed in a supine position with the neck extended. A 6- to 8-cm transverse skin incision was made two fingers above the sternal notch and a subplatysmal flap dissection was performed from the hyoid bone to the sternal notch. A vertical incision was made on the midline of the
strap muscle to expose the thyroid gland. A bilateral total
thyroidectomy, central compartment neck dissection, and
wound closure were performed in the same manner as the
endoscopic procedure.

Data collection
The primary endpoint was postoperative pain evaluated
by VAS score and postoperative analgesic use. Collected data
included patient demographics, clinicopathologic characteristics,
and perioperative outcomes including operation time, length of
hospital stay, total dose of analgesics, and VAS pain score.

Statistical analysis
Characteristics of groups O and E were compared using the
Student t-test for continuous variables and the Pearson chi-
square test for categorical variables. For comparison of pain
during postoperative period between the 2 groups, we used
repeated measure analysis of variance test. Means and standard
errors are shown in the tables. Differences between groups
were considered significant at P < 0.05. All statistical analyses
were performed using SPSS ver. 12.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL,
USA).

RESULTS
Clinicopathologic characteristics
From March to December 2015, 57 patients (40 female
and 17 male) were recruited for the study. Of these patients,
30 underwent conventional open thyroidectomy (group O)
and 27 underwent SET (group E). Baseline demographic and
clinical data for the 2 groups of patients are shown in Table 1.
The 2 groups did not differ significantly in terms of clinical
characteristics, or pathologic features except age, body mass
index (BMI) and operation time (P < 0.001). The mean operation
time in group O was 98.50 ± 32.301 minutes compared with
134.86 ± 19.142 minutes in group E, a statistically significant
difference of 36 minutes shorter (P = 0.001). Group E patients
were younger and BMI was lower in Group E (P = 0.001).

Postoperative pain assessment
The mean number of analgesic doses after surgery is shown
in Table 2. Patients in group O tended to receive more total
doses of analgesics within the first 24 hours than 24 hours
after surgery, but the difference was not statistically significant.
The mean postoperative pain scores on 1 hour, 24 hours, and
7 days after surgery are listed in Tables 3–5. Pain scores 1 hour

Table 1. Clinicopathologic characteristics of patients in groups O and E

| Characteristic                      | Group O (n = 30) | Group E (n = 27) | P-value |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|
| Age (yr)                           | 50.00 ± 12.567  | 37.62 ± 10.264  | 0.001   |
| Mean ± SE                          | 30–74           | 20–55           |         |
| Sex                                |                 |                 | 0.078   |
| Female                             | 19 (63.3)       | 21 (77.7)       |         |
| Male                               | 11 (36.7)       | 6 (22.3)        |         |
| Body mass index (kg/m²)            | 25.47 ± 4.097   | 21.37 ± 3.320   | <0.001  |
| Pathologic diagnosis               |                 |                 | 0.607   |
| Papillary thyroid carcinoma        | 22              | 20              |         |
| Benign                             | 8               | 7               |         |
| Operation time (min)               | 98.50 ± 32.301  | 134.86 ± 19.142 | <0.001  |
| Postoperative hospital stay (day)  | 2.73 ± 0.640    | 2.90 ± 1.136    | 0.536   |

Values are presented as number (%) or mean ± standard error (SE) unless otherwise indicated.
Group O, conventional open thyroidectomy; group E, single-incision, gasless, endoscopic transaxillary thyroidectomy.

Table 2. The mean number and amount of analgesic doses after surgery

| Analgesic dose after surgery      | Group O (n = 30) | Group E (n = 27) | P-value |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|
| No. of analgesic used < 24 hr     | 0.73 ± 0.740    | 0.52 ± 0.680    | 0.309   |
| No. of analgesic used > 24 hr     | 0.13 ± 0.346    | 0.05 ± 0.218    | 0.284   |
| Amount of analgesic used < 24 hr (mg) | 61.84 ± 19.308  | 52.78 ± 8.333   | 0.574   |
| Amount of analgesic used > 24 hr (mg) | 58.33 ± 14.443  | 50.02 ± 11.100  | 0.681   |

Values are presented as mean ± standard error.
Group O, conventional open thyroidectomy; group E, single-incision, gasless, endoscopic transaxillary thyroidectomy.
after surgery did not differ between the 2 groups according to the locations except the shoulder (Table 3). Group E patients showed more pain in the shoulder, which is ipsilateral side of tumor location ($P = 0.035$). Pain scores 24 hours after surgery did not differ between the 2 groups according to the locations except central neck and shoulder (Table 4). Group E patients showed more pain in the shoulder, which is ipsilateral side compared to group O ($P < 0.05$). Pain scores 7 days after surgery did not differ between the 2 groups according to the locations (Table 5).

**DISCUSSION**

Thyroid cancer is known for its good prognosis and also its reduced postoperative complications due to improvement of surgical skills and instruments. Recently, various techniques for thyroid surgery have been focused on better cosmetic results, oncologic safety, and cost issues. Since Gagner [10] first reported endoscopic subtotal parathyroidectomy in 1996, various approaches for endoscopic thyroidectomy have been examined. The advantages of endoscopic procedure include better magnification of the cervical anatomy, lower incidence of postoperative hypesthesia or paresthesia in the neck, less discomfort while swallowing, and improved cosmesis [8,11,12]. Conventional open thyroidectomy by cervical incision often results in discomfort, pain, and hyperesthesia in the cervical area. Several studies reported comparisons of postoperative pain between transaxillary endoscopic thyroidectomy and conventional open thyroidectomy and the results showed no difference between the 2 groups [9,13], So, this study was

| Table 3. Postoperative pain score using visual analogue scale on 1 hour after surgery |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------|
| Variable                        | Group O (n = 30) | Group E (n = 27) | P-value |
| Central neck                    | 3.60 ± 3.255    | 2.90 ± 2.931    | 0.438  |
| Lateral neck                    |                 |                 |       |
| Ipsilateral side                | 2.03 ± 2.684    | 3.19 ± 3.060    | 0.159  |
| Contralateral side              | 1.70 ± 2.395    | 1.10 ± 2.095    | 0.344  |
| Clavicle area                   |                 |                 |       |
| Ipsilateral                     | 0.43 ± 1.478    | 1.67 ± 2.745    | 0.071  |
| Contralateral                   | 0.43 ± 1.478    | 0.81 ± 1.806    | 0.418  |
| Operation site                  |                 |                 |       |
| Anterior chest                  | 0.33 ± 1.028    | 0.52 ± 1.123    | 0.534  |
| Wound site/axilla               | 6.03 ± 3.045    | 5.90 ± 2.879    | 0.880  |
| Upper arm                       |                 |                 |       |
| Ipsilateral                     | 0.00 ± 2.49     | 0.10 ± 0.436    | 0.329  |
| Contralateral                   |                 |                 |       |
| Shoulder                        |                 |                 |       |
| Ipsilateral                     | 0.67 ± 1.354    | 0.03 ± 0.436    | 0.035  |
| Contralateral                   |                 |                 |       |
| Headache                        | 1.20 ± 2.722    | 0.52 ± 1.030    | 0.222  |

Values are presented as mean ± standard error.
Group O, conventional open thyroidectomy; group E, single-incision, gasless, endoscopic transaxillary thyroidectomy.

| Table 4. Postoperative pain score using visual analogue scale on postoperative day 1 |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------|
| Variable                        | Group O (n = 30) | Group E (n = 27) | P-value |
| Central neck                    | 3.43 ± 2.192    | 1.10 ± 1.609    | <0.001 |
| Lateral neck                    |                 |                 |       |
| Ipsilateral                     | 1.40 ± 2.415    | 1.43 ± 2.336    | 0.967  |
| Contralateral                   | 1.33 ± 2.426    | 0.57 ± 1.832    | 0.208  |
| Clavicle area                   |                 |                 |       |
| Ipsilateral                     | 0.40 ± 1.102    | 1.19 ± 2.294    | 0.155  |
| Contralateral                   | 0.40 ± 1.102    | 0.52 ± 1.721    | 0.755  |
| Operation site                  |                 |                 |       |
| Anterior chest                  | 0.33 ± 1.093    | 0.67 ± 1.494    | 0.362  |
| Wound site/axilla               | 2.97 ± 2.220    | 4.43 ± 2.675    | 0.039  |
| Upper arm                       |                 |                 |       |
| Ipsilateral                     | 0.03 ± 0.183    | 0.29 ± 1.102    | 0.310  |
| Contralateral                   | 0.03 ± 0.183    | 0.05 ± 0.218    | 0.801  |
| Shoulder                        |                 |                 |       |
| Ipsilateral                     | 0.20 ± 0.805    | 1.10 ± 1.670    | 0.031  |
| Contralateral                   | 0.20 ± 0.805    | 0.19 ± 0.680    | 0.965  |
| Headache                        | 0.43 ± 0.935    | 1.48 ± 2.542    | 0.085  |

Values are presented as mean ± standard error.
Group O, conventional open thyroidectomy; group E, single-incision, gasless, endoscopic transaxillary thyroidectomy.

| Table 5. Postoperative pain score using visual analogue scale on postoperative day 7 |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------|
| Variable                        | Group O (n = 30) | Group E (n = 27) | P-value |
| Central neck                    | 1.10 ± 1.989    | 0.76 ± 1.609    | 0.522  |
| Lateral neck                    |                 |                 |       |
| Ipsilateral                     | 0.37 ± 0.890    | 0.57 ± 1.599    | 0.561  |
| Contralateral                   | 0.37 ± 0.890    | 0.38 ± 1.532    | 0.967  |
| Clavicle area                   |                 |                 |       |
| Ipsilateral                     | 0.17 ± 0.648    | 1.00 ± 1.924    | 0.068  |
| Contralateral                   | 0.17 ± 0.648    | 0.38 ± 1.746    | 0.540  |
| Operation site                  |                 |                 |       |
| Anterior chest                  | 0.13 ± 0.434    | 0.52 ± 1.778    | 0.335  |
| Wound site/axilla               | 1.10 ± 1.90     | 1.10 ± 1.670    | 0.993  |
| Upper arm                       |                 |                 |       |
| Ipsilateral                     | 0.20 ± 1.095    | 0.38 ± 0.805    | 0.522  |
| Contralateral                   | 0.20 ± 1.095    | 0.00 ± 0.00     | 0.408  |
| Shoulder                        |                 |                 |       |
| Ipsilateral                     | 0.60 ± 1.499    | 0.52 ± 1.569    | 0.862  |
| Contralateral                   | 0.60 ± 1.499    | 0.00 ± 0.00     | 0.037  |
| Headache                        | 0.53 ± 1.432    | 0.24 ± 0.889    | 0.407  |

Values are presented as mean ± standard error.
Group O, conventional open thyroidectomy; group E, single-incision, gasless, endoscopic transaxillary thyroidectomy.
designed to compare postoperative pain after SET and open cervical total thyroidectomy.

In the postoperative period, the postoperative VAS 1 hour, 24 hours, and 7 days after surgery, and IV analgesic use showed no statistically significant difference except on a few locations (Tables 2–5).

Postoperative pain on shoulder ipsilateral to tumor location tends to be higher at 1 hour and 24 hours after surgery. However, this is due to prolonged raised positioning of the arm during operation and was resolved completely 7 days after surgery. Also, postoperative pain on cervical neck area during the first 24 hours after surgery showed more pain (3.43 ± 2.192 vs. 1.10 ± 1.670) (Table 4).

Our results are consistent with previously reported results. Ikeda et al. [6,11,14] mentioned that mild postoperative pain and discomfort occurred in patients who underwent transaxillary endoscopic thyroidectomy. This is due to the large space needed for flap formation during a transaxillary approach. The route to the anterior neck area was dissected through the anterior surface of the pectoralis major muscle, using an electric cautery under direct vision, until the SCM muscle was exposed. The flap dissection was made from the sternal notch toward the hyoid bone, making available operation space. The width of the dissected flap is more than 3 times wider than that of conventional open thyroidectomy. The pain caused by transaxillary thyroidectomy would be more severe. Also, the patient’s arm is raised during entire operation time, which might cause pain in axilla [15].

Lee et al. [8] also compared postoperative pain levels between open versus transaxillary robotic thyroidectomy. Postoperative pain levels and complications were comparable in the 2 groups although robotic group showed distinct advantages including very good to excellent cosmetic results, reduced postoperative neck discomfort, and fewer adverse swallowing symptoms. They assume several reasons for these results. First, the different nerve distribution due to different incision sites could cause these results. Second, it is characterized by no midline dissection of the strap muscle and reduced traction over the paraesophageal area, which might play a valuable role in preventing postoperative swallowing and pain.

Our other concern was operative time. Our study found SET to have an approximately 40 minutes longer operative time, which was statistically significant. Longer operative time may translate to more stretching of the wound, and subsequently more postoperative pain. Other studies also found that the gasless transaxillary technique was more time-consuming [5,7,16]. However, we consider it likely that operative time will gradually decrease as we gain more experience.

This study had several limitations. First, our sample size was relatively small, suggesting that a larger study may be needed to better define the postoperative pain outcomes after transaxillary endoscopic thyroidectomy. Second, it was not a randomized double blind study. However, the postoperative pain assessment was somewhat blinded as all scoring was performed by the attending nurse who was unaware of the ongoing study.

Our results showed that there was no difference in postoperative pain between the 2 groups over time. This result would alert and change the views about SET for the patients who are planned for surgery. It will provide valuable information on deciding type of surgery for the patients. In conclusion, endocrine surgeons should be concerned with immediate higher postoperative pain scores in patients who undergo SET.
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