Molecular Characterisation and Diagnosis of Root-Knot Nematodes (*Meloidogyne* spp.) from Turfgrasses in North Carolina, USA
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Abstract

Root-knot nematodes (*Meloidogyne* spp.) are the most common and destructive plant-parasitic nematode group worldwide and adversely influence both crop quality and yield. In this study, a total of 51 root-knot nematode populations from turfgrasses were tested, of which 44 were from North Carolina, 6 from South Carolina and 1 from Virginia. Molecular characterisation was performed on these samples by DNA sequencing on the ribosomal DNA 18S, ITS and 28S D2/D3. Species-specific primers were developed to identify turfgrass root-knot nematode through simplex or duplex PCR. Four species were identified, including *M. marylandi* Jepson & Golden in Jepson, 1987, *M. graminis* (Sledge & Golden, 1964) Whitehead, 1968, *M. incognita* (Kofoid & White, 1919) Chitwood, 1949 and *M. naasi* Franklin, 1965 through a combined analysis of DNA sequencing and PCR by species-specific primers. *M. marylandi* has been reported from North Carolina and South Carolina for the first time. Molecular diagnosis using PCR by species-specific primers provides a rapid and cheap species identification approach for turfgrass root-knot nematodes.

Introduction

Turfgrasses are used worldwide for lawns of home and office buildings, athletic fields, other recreational facilities, and roadsides. In the United States, there are more than 50,000,000 lawns and 16,000 golf courses and the turfgrass area was estimated to be 30 million acres in 2007 [1,2]. In North Carolina (NC), there are 664 golf courses [http://www.golflink.com/golf-courses/state.aspx?state=NC] and the turfgrass industry is a 2.3 billion dollar a year industry [http://www.golf2020.com/media/32940/nc_golf_full_rpt_sri_final_29apr2013.pdf]. However, maintenance of turfgrass is very challenging due to damage by various pests, including nematodes. During a survey from 2010 to 2013, 29 species of plant-parasitic nematodes belonging to 22 genera in 15 families were found associated with bermudagrass (*Cynodon dactylon*), creeping bentgrass (*Agrostis stolonifera*), and zoysiagrass (*Zoysia japonica*) in NC and South...
of the plant-parasitic nematodes found in the survey, *Belonolaimus longicaudatus*, *Cactodera* sp. *Dolichodorus heterocephalus*, *Hemicycliophora* spp., *Hopalaimus galeatus*, *Meloidogyne* spp., *Mesocriconema* spp., *Paratrichodorus* spp., and *Xiphinema* spp. were considered as damaging species [4]. Root-knot nematodes (RKN) ranked the third in prevalence after ring and spiral nematodes. They were recovered from about half of the samples and the population level was 131 ± 195 (10–1,160) per 500 cm³ soil [4].

RKNs are the most economically damaging plant-parasitic nematodes on horticultural and field crops. They are distributed worldwide and are obligate parasites of the roots of thousands of plant species, including monocotyledonous and dicotyledonous, herbaceous and woody plants. Symptoms associated with RKN infection include root galls, shoot chlorosis, stunted growth, nutrient deficiencies, and secondary infections by other pathogens [5]. A high level of damage can lead to total crop loss. RKNs have been recognized as economically damaging parasites associated with turfgrasses [6,7]. So far, there are nine species of *Meloidogyne* associated with turfgrasses worldwide, including *M. chitwoodi* Golden, O’Bannon, Santo & Finley, 1980, *M. fallax* Karssen, 1996, *M. graminicola* Golden & Birchfield, 1965, *M. graminis* (Sledge & Golden, 1964) Whitehead, 1968, *M. incognita* (Kofoid & White, 1919) Chitwood, 1949, *M. marylandi* Jepson & Golden in Jepson, 1987, *M. microtyla* Mulvey, Townshend & Potter, 1975, *M. minor* Karssen, Bolk, van Aelst, van den Beld, Kox, Korthals, Molendijk, Zilstra, van Hoof & Cook, 2004, and *M. naasi* Franklin, 1965 [6–12]. All but one species (*M. microtyla*) [10] were reported from turfgrasses in the United States [6,7]. In a survey of 238 golf courses in 10 states of the western United States, *M. naasi*, *M. marylandi*, *M. graminis*, *M. minor* and *M. chitwoodi* were identified, and they were considered as an important threat to turfgrasses [7].

Identification of RKN species is becoming increasingly important for the design of effective nematode management practices such as crop rotation and plant resistance. RKN species are normally identified using morphological features and morphometrics on the second-stage juveniles (J2), male morphology such as the form of the labial region, stylet and basal knobs, on the perineal patterns of mature females, differential host test or isozyme phenotyping of females [5,13]. With the number of described species over a hundred [5], the value of many of these characters becomes very questionable, often showing large intraspecific variation. Ideally, a diagnostic technique should not be limited to the availability of a particular developmental stage (eggs, juveniles or adults) and should require a small number of individuals to provide reliable identification of a species within a short period of time. The PCR process meets these requirements since it allows amplification of minute quantities of DNA which can be extracted from single nematodes, eggs or juveniles. As the J2 stage of RKN is readily available from soil in any assay lab, identifying this stage is most applicable for making species identification. Several molecular methods, such as the use of restriction-length polymorphisms (RFLP), random-amplified-polymorphic DNA (RAPD), satellite-DNA probes, sequence-characterised-amplified regions (SCAR), high-resolution-melting-curve analysis, real-time-PCR assays and DNA sequencing have become available for RKN identification [14–20]. However, few of these methods enable the identification of several RKN from turfgrasses and little is known about the RKN from turfgrass in the Southeastern United States.

The Nematode Assay Laboratory of the Agronomic Division of North Carolina Department of Agriculture & Consumer Services (NCDA&CS) is a high-throughput and publicly operated lab. In fiscal year 2012, 1,939 turfgrass samples were analyzed, accounting for 5.68% of the total samples and RKN was recovered from 13.72% of these turfgrass samples. The average population level of the second-stage juveniles was 97 per 500 cm³ soil and the highest population was 2,622. Turfgrass damage by root-knot nematodes is usually underestimated, due to the frequent grass-mowing and invisible galls on the small root system comparing to field crops. The objective of this study was to characterize the DNA sequences of RKNs on the ribosomal DNA...
18S, ITS and 28S D2/D3, then to develop and validate turfgrass RKN species-specific primers for a reliable and rapid PCR assay to support our diagnostic services and to allow species identification of RKNs through a combined analysis of DNA sequencing and PCR by species-specific primers. The specificity and application of the assay were demonstrated.

**Materials and Methods**

**Nematode samples**

A total of 51 RKN populations from turfgrasses were tested in this study, which comprised of 44 from NC, 6 from SC and 1 from Virginia (Va) (Table 1). These samples were submitted to the Nematode Assay Laboratory of the Agronomic Division, NCDA&CS voluntarily from golf courses, sod farms and homeowners’ lawns. Some of the samples were collected during a plant-parasitic nematode survey of 111 golf courses in 39 counties in NC and SC in the summer 2011 [3]. No specific permissions were required in sampling for plant-parasitic nematodes and no endangered or protected species were involved. In addition, nine non-turfgrass nematode populations belonging to *M. arenaria* (Neal, 1889) Chitwood, 1949, *M. chitwoodi*, *M. enterolobii* Yang & Eisenback, 1983, *M. hapla* Chitwood, 1949, *M. incognita* and *M. javanica* (Treub, 1885) Chitwood, 1949 were included as reference species (sources in Table 1). The identification of these reference species had already confirmed by DNA sequencing and PCR by species-specific primers in other projects (data not shown herein). Nematodes were extracted from soil samples by a combination of elutriation [21] and centrifugation [22] methods. The nematode sample was poured into a counting dish (7.5 cm L × 3 cm W × 1.5 cm H) and the nematodes were identified and counted under a Nikon Diaphot 200 inverted microscope (Tokyo, Japan). Further species confirmation was performed with a Leica DM2500 compound microscope (Leica Microsystems Inc., Buffalo Grove, IL, USA) with interference contrast at up to 1,000× magnification.

**DNA extraction.** For molecular analysis, a single or up to 10 nematodes of the J2 from the same sample were hand-picked into 10-μl AE buffer (10 mM Tris-Cl, 0.5 mM EDTA; pH 9.0) on a glass microscope slide (7.5 cm x 2.5 cm). The nematodes were then macerated with a pipette tip into pieces and collected in 50-μl AE buffer and stored at -20°C.

**DNA amplification, cleaning and sequencing.** The primers used for PCR and DNA sequencing are given in Table 2. The primers SSUF07/SSUR26 [23], 18S965/18S1573R [24], and 18SnF/18SnR [25] were used to amplify the ribosomal DNA near-full-length 18S gene. The primers rDNA2/ rDNA1.58S [26,27] were used to amplify the ITS1 rDNA region. The primers D2a/D3b [28] were used to amplify the partial rDNA 28S gene D2/D3 domain. PCR for these genes was also conducted using various combinations of universal forward and reverse primers designed for *Meloidogyne* to ensure high success in PCR (Table 2). These primers were based on the conserved sites from a multiple alignment of many representative *Meloidogyne* species from the GenBank and their approximate positions are shown in Fig 1. The primer selection criteria were as follows: Tm (melting temperature) 55 to 60°C, primer length 18 to 22 bp, and absence of secondary structure when possible. These primers were synthesized by Integrated DNA Technologies, Inc. (Coralville, Iowa, USA). The 25-μl PCR was performed using 12.5-μl 2X Apex Taq red master mix DNA polymerase (Genesee Scientific Corporation, San Diego, CA, USA), 9.5-μl water, 1-μl each of 10-μM forward and reverse primers, and 1μl of DNA template according to the manufacturer’s protocol in a Veriti™ thermocycler (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA). The thermal cycler program for PCR was as follows: denaturation at 95°C for 5 min, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30 s, annealing at 55°C for 45 s, and extension at 72°C for 1 min. A final extension was performed at 72°C for 10 min. PCR products were cleaned using ExoSap-IT (Affymetrix, Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA)
Table 1. Species and isolates of root-knot nematodes sequenced in the present study.

| DNA ID | Sample Lab ID | Nematode Species | Host | Locality (County, State) | 18S + ITS GenBank Accession No. | 28S D2/D3 GenBank Accession No. |
|--------|---------------|------------------|------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1      | 08–23912      | M. marylandi     | Bermudagrass | Nash, NC                 | KP901041                        | KP901066                        |
| 2      | 11–29600      | M. marylandi     | Bentgrass   | Wayne, NC                | KP901042                        | KP901066                        |
| 3      | 11–29648      | M. marylandi     | Bentgrass   | Wayne, NC                | KP901042                        | KP901066                        |
| 4      | 11–30359      | M. marylandi     | Bermudagrass | Lexington, SC            | KP901043                        | KP901066                        |
| 5      | 11–30365      | M. graminis      | Bermudagrass | Kershaw, SC              | T44 KJ934143                    | KP901067                        |
| 6      | 11–30368      | M. graminis      | Bermudagrass | Richland, SC             | KP901044                        |                                  |
| 7      | 11–30383      | M. naasi         | Bentgrass   | Avery, NC                | T51 KJ934133                    | KP901068                        |
| 8      | 11–30385      | M. naasi         | Bentgrass   | Avery, NC                | T53 KJ934132                    | KP901069                        |
| 9      | 11–30669      | M. marylandi     | Bermudagrass | Beaufort, SC             | KP901042                        | KP901066                        |
| 10     | 11–30750      | M. marylandi     | Bermudagrass | Horry, SC                | KP901042                        | KP901066                        |
| 11     | 12–44         | M. naasi         | Bentgrass   | Greenville, SC           | T74 KJ934151                    |                                  |
| 12     | 12–419        | M. incognita     | Bermudagrass | Brunswick, NC            |                                  |                                  |
| 13     | 12–502        | M. incognita     | Zoysiagrass | Moore, NC                | KP901045                        | KP901070                        |
| 14     | 12–10150      | M. incognita     | St. Augustine grass | New Hanover, NC |                                  |                                  |
| 15     | 12–30983      | M. marylandi     | Bermudagrass | Brunswick, NC            | KP901042                        | KP901066                        |
| 16     | 12–32498      | M. marylandi     | Zoysiagrass | New Hanover, NC          | KP901042                        | KP901066                        |
| 17     | 12–32501      | M. marylandi     | Bermudagrass | New Hanover, NC          | KP901042                        | KP901066                        |
| 18     | 13–297        | M. marylandi     | Turfgrass   | New Hanover, NC          | KP901042                        | KP901066                        |
| 19     | 13–509        | M. incognita     | Bermudagrass | Cumberland, NC           | KP901046                        | KP901072                        |
| 20     | 13–34030      | M. marylandi     | Zoysiagrass | Brunswick, NC            |                                  |                                  |
| 21     | 14–1424       | M. graminis      | Turfgrass   | New Hanover, NC          | KP901047                        |                                  |
| 22     | 14–13539      | M. naasi         | Turfgrass   | VA                       | KP901048                        | KP901073                        |
| 23     | 14–13931      | M. marylandi     | Zoysiagrass | New Hanover, NC          | KP901043                        | KP901066                        |
| 24     | 14–14302      | M. marylandi     | Turfgrass   | New Hanover, NC          | KP901042                        | KP901066                        |
| 25     | 14–27025      | M. marylandi     | Bentgrass   | Brunswick, NC            | KP901042                        | KP901066                        |
| 26     | 14–34425      | M. marylandi     | Bermudagrass | Moore, NC                | KP901042                        | KP901066                        |
| 27     | 14–35854      | M. marylandi     | Bermudagrass | Greene, NC               | KP901049                        | KP901066                        |
| 28     | 14–36555      | M. marylandi     | Turfgrass   | Sampson, NC              | KP901042                        | KP901066                        |
| 29     | 14–36556      | M. marylandi     | Turfgrass   | Sampson, NC              | KP901042                        | KP901066                        |
| 30     | 14–36570      | M. graminis      | Turfgrass   | Sampson, NC              | KP901050                        | KP901074                        |
| 31     | 14–36577      | M. marylandi     | Turfgrass   | Sampson, NC              | KP901042                        | KP901066                        |
| 32     | 14–37838      | M. marylandi     | Bentgrass   | New Hanover, NC          | KP901042                        | KP901066                        |
| 33     | 14–39813      | M. graminis      | Bermudagrass | Brunswick, NC            | KP901051                        | KP901075                        |
| 34     | 14–39863      | M. marylandi     | Bermudagrass | Brunswick, NC            | KP901042                        | KP901066                        |
| 35     | 14–40153      | M. marylandi     | Bermudagrass | New Hanover, NC          | KP901042                        | KP901066                        |
| 36     | 14–41460      | M. graminis      | Bermudagrass | Brunswick, NC            | KP901052                        |                                  |
| 37     | 14–41535      | M. marylandi     | Bermudagrass | Moore, NC                | KP901042                        | KP901066                        |
| 38     | 14–41641      | M. marylandi     | Turfgrass   | Sampson, NC              | KP901042                        | KP901066                        |
| 39     | 14–41755      | M. incognita     | Bentgrass   | Mecklenburg, NC          | KP901045                        | KP901071                        |
| 40     | 15–565        | M. graminis      | St. Augustine grass | New Hanover, NC |                                  |                                  |
| 41     | 15–570        | M. graminis      | Bentgrass   | Mecklenburg, NC          |                                  |                                  |
| 42     | 15–1105       | M. marylandi     | Bermudagrass | Guilford, NC             | KP901042                        | KP901066                        |
| 43     | 15–2102       | M. marylandi     | Turfgrass   | Moore, NC                | KP901042                        | KP901066                        |
| 44     | 15–2131       | M. graminis      | Bentgrass   | Mecklenburg, NC          | KP901054                        | KP901067                        |
| 45     | 15–2170       | M. marylandi     | Turfgrass   | Sampson, NC              | KP901042                        |                                  |

(Continued)
according to the manufacturer’s protocol. DNA sequencing was performed using PCR primers for direct sequencing by dideoxynucleotide chain termination using an ABI PRISM BigDye terminator cycle sequencing ready reaction kit (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) in an Applied Biosystems 3730 XL DNA Analyzer (Life Technologies) by the Genomic Sciences Laboratory (North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC, USA). The molecular sequences were compared with other nematode species available at the GenBank sequence database using the BLASTn homology search program.

**Phylogenetic analyses.** DNA sequences were edited with ChromasPro1.5 2003–2009 (Technelysium Pty Ltd, Helensvale, Australia) and aligned using ClustalW (http://workbench.sdsc.edu; Bioinformatics and Computational Biology group, Dept. Bioengineering, UC San Diego, CA, USA). The sequences used in phylogenetic analysis were chosen from the highest match based on BlastN result in GenBank against the four RKN species recovered from this study. The model of base substitution in the DNA sequence data was evaluated using MODELTEST version 3.06 [29]. The Akaike-supported model [30], the proportion of invariable sites, and the gamma distribution shape parameters and substitution rates were used in phylogenetic analyses using DNA sequence data. Bayesian analysis was performed to confirm the tree topology for each gene separately using MrBayes 3.1.0 [31], running the chain for 1,000,000 generations and setting the ‘burnin’ at 1,000. Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) methods were used within a Bayesian framework to estimate the posterior probabilities (pp) of the phylogenetic trees [32] using the 50% majority-rule. The λ2 test for homogeneity of base frequencies and phylogenetic trees was performed using PAUP* version 4.0 (Sinauer Associates, Inc. Publishers, Sunderland, MA, USA).

**Simplex PCR by species-specific primers**

The species identification of *M. incognita* was confirmed using PCR by species-specific SCAR primers Inc-K14-F/Inc-K14-R which produce a 399-bp DNA fragment [33]. Mn28SFs/RK28SUR in 28S D2/D3 were designed specific for *M. naasi* producing a 272-bp DNA fragment based on JN019291. Primers Mg28SFs/RK28SUR and Mm28SFs/RK28SUR in 28S D2/
D3 were designed specific for *M. graminis* and *M. marylandi*, both producing a 198-bp DNA fragment based on JN019339 and JN019359 respectively. Primers MgmITSF/MgITSRs and MgmITSF/MmITSRs in ITS were designed specific for *M. graminis* and *M. marylandi*, producing a 267-bp based on JN241882 and 323-bp DNA fragment based on JN157855 respectively. Universal primers RK28SF/MR (MR is from Hu et al. [34]) in 28S D2/D3 were designed for all *Meloidogyne* species producing a 612-bp DNA fragment based on JN019339 as an internal positive control for all assays. A DNA sample was prepared from a mixture of four species (DNA ID: 2, 19, 22 and 48 in Table 1) to test the scenario if a mixed species was present. The PCR condition is the same as described above.

| Primer | Gene | Sequence (5’ to 3’) | Reference |
|--------|------|---------------------|-----------|
| SSUF07 | 18S  | AAAGATTAAGCCATGCAATG | 23        |
| SSUR26 | 18S  | CATTCTGGCAAAATGCTTTCG | 23        |
| 18S965 | 18S  | GGCGATCAGATACCGCTAGTG | 24        |
| 18S1573R | 18S | TACAAAGGCGAGGAGTTAT | 24        |
| 18SnF  | 18S  | TGGATAACGTGCTGAATCTAGAC | 25        |
| 18SnR  | 18S  | TTACGACTTTGCCGCTTCTC | 25        |
| rDNA2  | ITS  | TTGATTACGTTCCCTGCCCTTT | 26        |
| rDNA1.58S | ITS | ACGGCCGAGTGTACACCG | 27        |
| D2a    | 28S D2/D3 | ACAAGTACCGTGAGGAGTTGAGTTG | 28        |
| D3b    | 28S D2/D3 | TGGCAAAGGACACCCTACTA | 28        |
| Inc–K14-F | SCAR | CCGCTACACCTCAACTCTC | 33        |
| Inc–K14-R | SCAR | GGGATGTGTAATGCCTCTGT | 33        |
| MR     | 28S D2/D3 | AACCCGCGCTACCTCACCTCAG | 34        |
| Me18S17F | 18S | GAGAACCGCGAGCCGATC | This study |
| Me18S500F | 18S | GCAGAGTCTGCGGCCGAGAC | This study |
| Me18S740R | 18S | TCCATGCGGATACATTTCAAGGG | This study |
| Me18S840F | 18S | ATTTGTATGCTGCCGTGAGAGG | This study |
| Me18S940R | 18S | TGATCGCTTCGACCTCTCG | This study |
| Me18S1120F | 18S | ACCACAGGATGGGACGCC | This study |
| Me18S1120R | 18S | CTGGCCGACCTCGGTTG | This study |
| Me18S1220R | 18S | ATGCAACCACCATCCACGAATC | This study |
| Me18S1710R | 18S | GCCCGTTCAGGCCATCG | This study |
| Me18S1740R | 18S | GCAGGTTCACTCCACGCTACTT | This study |
| RK28SF  | 28S D2/D3 | CGGATAGAGTCGGCGTATC | This study |
| RK28SR  | 28S D2/D3 | GATGGTTCGATTAGTCTTGCC | This study |
| RKITSF2 | ITS  | CTGGCGGACCTGCTCGTG | This study |
| MeITS2R | ITS  | ATGCCTCAATTGCCGCGG | This study |
| RK28SUR | 28S D2/D3 | CCACTACAAGCAAGCCGAT | This study |
| Mn28SFs | 28S D2/D3 | GCTCTGATGCTCAGCATCTCTCT | This study |
| Mm28SFs | 28S D2/D3 | GATGCGGATATTTTTTCGGA | This study |
| Mg28SFs | 28S D2/D3 | GATGCGGATATTCTTCGCAAGG | This study |
| MgmITSF | ITS  | GATCGTAAAGCTTAATGAGCC | This study |
| MgiTSRs | ITS  | TGGATATGGAACATGATAATG | This study |
| MmITSRs | ITS  | CTGATCTGATTTACATTACAGGG | This study |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0143556.t002
Duplex PCR by ITS species-specific primers and 28S universal primers
The 25-μl duplex PCR was performed using 12.5-μl 2X Apex Taq red master mix DNA polymerase, 7.5-μl water, 1-μl each of 10-μM forward and reverse primers specific for M. graminis and M. marylandi, plus 1-μl each of 10-μM primers RK28SF/MR as internal positive control, and 1-μl of DNA template. The PCR condition is the same as described above.

Results and Discussion
Root-knot nematode identification
The J2s of RKNs were recovered from the turfgrass soil samples. Species identification in this study was based on the combined analysis of DNA sequencing on the rDNA 18S, ITS and 28S D2/D3 (Table 1) and PCR by species-specific primers (Table 3). Four species were recovered including M. marylandi, M. graminis, M. incognita and M. naasi; the results are given in Table 1.

DNA sequencing
The rDNA 18S, ITS and 28S D2/D3 were successfully sequenced; their accession numbers from the GenBank are presented in Table 1. A lot of the sequences are identical, but sequence variations were observed in each gene among some populations. The 2,015-bp DNA sequences of 18S and ITS of M. marylandi (KP901041 and KP901042) are identical to a sequence of M. marylandi from the GenBank (JN241856) in 18S region and 1-3-bp differences with other populations of M. marylandi (KP901043 and KP901049). DNA sequences of 18S of M. graminis are represented by KJ934143, KP901044, KP901047, and KP901050-KP901056. They have 0–23-bp differences in 1,737-bp 18S and are the closest to sequences of M. marylandi. Two 2,015-bp DNA sequences of 18S and ITS sequences of M. naasi (KJ934132 and KJ934133) are identical and have 2-bp differences from KP901048. These sequences have the highest match with two 18S sequences of M. naasi from the GenBank (AY593901 and AY593902). The 2,020-bp DNA sequences of 18S and ITS of M. incognita (KP901046) has 6-bp differences with a reference species M. incognita (KP901064). It has 99% identity on 18S with the tropical root-knot nematodes, including M. incognita (AY268120, AY284621, AY942624), M. arenaria (AY942623), M. javanica (AY268121, EU669938) and M. floridensis (AY942621), which failed to differentiate these tropical species.

The DNA sequence of 28S D2/D3 (KP901066) on M. marylandi is fairly conserved; no sequence variation was observed among all populations. It has 1-bp difference with a sequence variation in the 28S D1 region.
# Table 3. Nematode simplex and/or duplex PCR results.

| Species            | DNA ID | Species-specific primers | Internal positive control |
|--------------------|--------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
|                    |        |                          |                           |
| Primer             |        |                          |                           |
| Mg28SFs/RK28SUR    |        |                          |                           |
| MgmTSF/MyITSRs     |        |                          |                           |
| Mm28SFs/RK28SUR    |        |                          |                           |
| MgmTSF/MmITSRs     |        |                          |                           |
| Mn28SFs/RK28SUR    |        |                          |                           |
| Inc-K14-F/Inc-K14-R|        |                          |                           |
| RK28SF/MR          |        |                          |                           |
| Specific species   |        |                          |                           |
| M. graminis        | 56     | +                        | +                         |
| M. graminis        | 54     | +                        | +                         |
| M. enterolobii     | 53     | +                        | +                         |
| M. graminis        | 30     | -                        | -                         |
| M. graminis        | 36     | -                        | -                         |
| M. graminis        | 40     | -                        | -                         |
| M. graminis        | 41     | -                        | -                         |
| M. graminis        | 44     | -                        | -                         |
| M. graminis        | 46     | -                        | -                         |
| M. graminis        | 47     | -                        | -                         |
| M. graminis        | 48     | -                        | -                         |
| M. graminis        | 51     | -                        | -                         |
| M. graminis        | 60     | -                        | -                         |
| M. hapla           | 13     | -                        | -                         |
| M. incognita       | 19     | -                        | -                         |
| M. incognita       | 52     | -                        | -                         |
| M. incognita       | 55     | -                        | -                         |
| M. incognita       | 59     | -                        | -                         |
| M. javanica        | 57     | -                        | -                         |
| M. javanica        | 58     | -                        | -                         |
| M. marylandi       | 1      | -                        | +                         |
| M. marylandi       | 2      | -                        | +                         |
| M. marylandi       | 10     | -                        | +                         |
| M. marylandi       | 20     | -                        | +                         |
| M. marylandi       | 23     | -                        | +                         |
| M. marylandi       | 24     | -                        | +                         |
| M. marylandi       | 25     | -                        | +                         |
| M. marylandi       | 26     | -                        | +                         |
| M. marylandi       | 27     | -                        | +                         |
| M. marylandi       | 29     | -                        | +                         |
| M. marylandi       | 31     | -                        | +                         |
| M. marylandi       | 38     | -                        | +                         |
| M. marylandi       | 42     | -                        | +                         |
| M. marylandi       | 43     | -                        | +                         |
| M. marylandi       | 50     | -                        | +                         |
| M. naasi           | 22     | -                        | +                         |

PCR amplicon size

- 198bp
- 267bp
- 198bp
- 323bp
- 272bp
- 399bp
- 612bp

GenBank # for determining PCR amplicon size

- JN019339
- JN241882
- JNO19359
- JN157855
- JN019291
- JN019339

Target gene

- 28S D2/D3
- ITS
- 28S D2/D3
- ITS
- 28S D2/D3
- SCAR
- 28S D2/D3

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0143556.t003
of *M. marylandi* (JN157852) from the GenBank. The DNA sequence of 28S D2/D3 (KP901067, KP901074 to KP901077) on *M. graminis* have 0–3-bp differences. They highly match with sequences of *M. graminis* from the GenBank (JN019327, JN019329, JN019331 and JN157850). The 985-bp DNA sequence (KP901066) of *M. marylandi* is close to *M. graminis* (KP901067), with 97% identity; the divergence is significant to differentiate these two sister species by this gene and thus this gene was chosen as a diagnostic marker in PCR. Three (KP901067), with 97% identity; the divergence is significant to differentiate these two sister species by this gene and thus this gene was chosen as a diagnostic marker in PCR. Three sequences are also identical to the sequences of *28S D2/D3* (KP901070, KP901071, KP901072 and KP901081) on *M. incognita* have 12-bp variable sites over 1,008-bp fragment. These sequences are also close to some reference tropical species, namely *M. incognita* (KP901078 and KP901085) with 99% identity. This result agreed with previous studies that rDNA is very conserved with high similarity among the three most common tropical RKN species, namely *M. incognita*, *M. arenaria* and *M. javanica* on 18S [7,35–38], ITS [7,39], 28S [7,39] and IGS [40]. Therefore, the conserved ribosomal DNA can’t separate these tropical RKNs. The mitochondrial DNA has a faster rate of evolution than the corresponding nuclear genes, creating sufficient nucleotide variation for species-level analyses [15]. The region of the mitochondrial genome flanked by the COII gene and the large (16S) ribosomal gene were successfully applied in large-scale regional RKN survey through PCR and RFLP [14]. Unfortunately, numerous attempts using the same primers [14] or designing new primers for turfgrass RKNs in this project were not successful, with a low rate of success in PCR and insufficient DNA sequence data to generate any meaningful results. Thus, the use of mitochondrial genome on molecular identification for turfgrass RKNs needs further study.

**Molecular phylogenetic relationships**

A phylogenetic tree based on the near-full-length 18S rDNA from a multiple alignment of 1,699 total characters is presented in Fig 2. This dataset has 1,443 constant characters (85.2%). Using two *Pratylenchus* species as outgroup taxa, this tree placed turfgrass nematodes in three distinct groups. *M. naasi* populations are in a clade with *M. kralli*, *M. oryzae*, *M. minor*, *M. fallax* and *M. chitwoodi*. *M. marylandi* and *M. graminis* populations are very closely related and are in a clade with *M. pratensis*. *M. incognita* populations are in a clade with tropical RKNs *M. arenaria*, *M. javanica*, *M. floridensis* and *M. moroccensis*. This tree generally agrees with the trees from McClure et al. [7] and a 18S-rDNA gene by Tigano et al. [36].

A phylogenetic tree based on the rDNA 28S D2/D3 sequences from a multiple alignment of 800 total characters is presented in Fig 3. This dataset has 596 constant characters (93.4%). Using two *Pratylenchus* species as outgroup taxa, this tree placed turfgrass nematodes in three distinct groups. *M. naasi* populations are in a clade with *M. trifoliophila*, *M. graminicola*, *M. exigua*, *M. minor* and *M. chitwoodi*. *M. marylandi* and *M. graminis* populations are in a monophyletic clade and are two distinct sister species. *M. incognita* populations are in a clade with *M. arenaria*, *M. javanica*, *M. paranaensis* and *M. konaensis*. This tree generally agrees with the trees from McClure et al. [7].

**Simplex PCR by species-specific primers**

Results of simplex PCR by species-specific primers are given in Table 3. Using the internal positive control primer set RK28SF/MR, all assays are 100% positive which proves its usefulness for PCR on RKN. Primer sets Mg28SFs/RK28SUR and MgmITSF/MgITSRs are positive only for *M. graminis*. Primer sets Mm28SFs/RK28SUR and MgmITSF/MmITSRs are positive only
Fig 2. The 10001st Bayesian tree inferred from 18S under GTR+I+G model (-lnL = 7750.8096; AIC = 15521.6191; freqA = 0.2618; freqC = 0.2096; freqG = 0.2649; freqT = 0.2638; R(a) = 1.6485; R(b) = 3.5003; R(c) = 2.7135; R(d) = 0.5616; R(e) = 5.9174; R(f) = 1; Pinvar = 0.5043; Shape = 0.5848). Posterior probability values exceeding 50% are given on appropriate clades.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0143556.g002
Fig 3. The 10001st Bayesian tree inferred from 28S D2/D3 under TVM+I+G model (-lnL = 4970.2949; AIC = 9958.5898; freqA = 0.2484; freqC = 0.1933; freqG = 0.2727; freqT = 0.2855; R(a) = 0.5776; R(b) = 2.9297; R(c) = 1.8072; R(d) = 0.228; R(e) = 2.9297; R(f) = 1; Pinvar = 0.2661; Shape = 0.6378). Posterior probability values exceeding 50% are given on appropriate clades.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0143556.g003
for *M. marylandi*. Results of 28S primers and ITS primers agree with each other. Primer set Mn28SFs/RK28SUR are positive only for *M. naasi*. However, *M. naasi* is rather rare in this study and only one population (14–13539) was available for further PCR testing by species-specific primers. Two other populations (11–30383 and 11–30385) are also sequenced on 28S D2/D3 and they are all identical with population 14–13539. Although these sequences are identical to the sequences of *M. naasi* from the GenBank ([JN019265, JN019266, JN019299, JN019304, JN019312 and KC241979]), more samples could be included to validate the specificity in the future. Primer set Inc-K14-F/Inc-K14-R are positive only for *M. incognita*. Other reference species, including *M. arenaria*, *M. chitwoodii*, *M. hapla*, and *M. javanica*, are all negative to these species-specific primers, but all positive to positive control primer set RK28SF/MR.

The simplex PCR results for testing four common *Meloidogyne* species from turfgrass using species-specific primers are presented in Fig 4. Fig 4A amplified a 198-bp DNA fragment in 28S D2/D3 using Mg28SFs/RK28SUR for *M. graminis*, but the other three species failed to get any PCR products. Fig 4B amplified a 198-bp DNA fragment in 28S D2/D3 using Mm28SFs/RK28SUR for *M. marylandi*, but the other three species failed to get any PCR products. Fig 4C amplified a 272-bp DNA fragment in 28S D2/D3 using Mn28SFs/RK28SUR for *M. naasi*, but the other three species failed to get any PCR products. Fig 4D amplified a 399-bp DNA fragment in ITS using MmITSF/MmITSRs and a 612-bp DNA fragment using RK28SF/MR. All results are positive if the DNA is from a mixture of four species. Water used as a negative control in all these assays was negative.

**Duplex PCR by ITS species-specific primers and 28S universal primers**

Results of duplex PCR by ITS species-specific primers and 28S universal primers are given in Table 3 and agree with simplex PCR results. The duplex PCR results for testing two most common *Meloidogyne* species (*M. marylandi* and *M. graminis*) from turfgrass using ITS species-specific primers and 28S universal primers are presented in Fig 5. Fig 5A amplified a 267-bp DNA fragment using MgmITSF/MgITSRs and a 612-bp DNA fragment using RK28SF/MR for *M. graminis*, but the other three species only amplified a 612-bp DNA fragment by RK28SF/MR. Fig 5B amplified a 323-bp DNA fragment in ITS using MgmITSF/MgITSRs and a 612-bp DNA fragment using RK28SF/MR for *M. marylandi*, but the other three species only amplified a 612-bp DNA fragment by RK28SF/MR. Water used as a negative control in all these assays was negative. The duplex PCR provides any assay to detect the target species and any RKNs in a single reaction to prevent false negatives caused by failure of the PCR for any reason.

In conclusion, this study characterized DNA sequences on rDNA 18S, ITS and 28S D2/D3 on a wide range of RKN populations from turfgrasses mainly from NC. Universal primers were also developed for PCR on the genus *Meloidogyne* for these three gene fragments. Analysis of the sequences through BlastN search and phylogenetic analysis revealed four distinct species, namely *M. marylandi*, *M. graminis*, *M. incognita* and *M. naasi*, the first two being the predominant species in NC. This result is different from the western United States where *M. naasi* was determined to be the most common species [7,12]. In this same study [7,12], *M. minor* was only detected from Washington and *M. chitwoodi* and *M. fallax* only from California, but none of these three species were detected in the current study.

*Meloidogyne marylandi* was found on bermudagrass (*Cynodon dactylon* (L.) Pers) in College Park, Maryland, USA and first described by Jepson & Golden [41]. In addition to Maryland, *M. marylandi* has been reported from Texas [42], Florida [43], Oklahoma [44] and the western United States, including Arizona, California, Nevada, Utah and Hawaii [7]. Outside the United
Fig 4. Simplex PCR results for four common *Meloidogyne* species from turfgrass using species-specific primers. A. Simplex PCR results using *M. graminis*-specific primers (Mg28SFs/RK28SUR). M: HyperLadder II DNA Marker; 1: *M. graminis* (DNA ID: 48); 2: *M. marylandi* (2); 3: *M. naasi* (22); 4: *M. incognita* (19); 5: Water; 6: Mixed DNA of four species (2, 19, 22 and 48); 7: *M. graminis* (Universal primers, RK28SF/MR). B. Simplex PCR results using *M. marylandi*-specific primers (Mm28SFs/RK28SUR). 1: *M. graminis* (48); 2: *M. marylandi* (2); 3: *M. naasi* (22); 4: *M. incognita* (19); 5: Water; 6: Mixed DNA of four species (2, 19, 22 and 48); 7: *M. marylandi* (2) (Universal primers, RK28SF/MR). C. Simplex PCR results using *M. naasi*-specific primers (Mn28SFs/RK28SUR). 1: *M. graminis* (48); 2: *M. marylandi* (2); 3: *M. naasi* (22); 4: *M. incognita* (19); 5: *M. naasi* (22) (Universal primers, RK28SF/MR); 6: MixDNA of four species (2, 19, 22 and 48); 7: Water. D. Simplex PCR results using *M. incognita*-specific primers (Inc-K14-F/Inc-K14-R). 1: *M. graminis* (48); 2: *M. marylandi* (2); 3: *M. naasi* (22); 4: *M. incognita* (19); 5: *M. incognita* (19) (Universal primers, RK28SF/MR); 6: MixDNA of four species (2, 19, 22 and 48); 7: Water.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0143556.g004

States, *M. marylandi* has been found in Japan [45], Israel [46], and Costa Rica [47]. It feeds and reproduces on some turfgrasses, including bermudagrass [41,43,48] and zoysiagrass (*Zoysia matrella* Steud.) [45]. This is the first report of this species in NC and SC.

Species-specific primers on rDNA 28S D2/D3 were developed to identify turfgrass RKN through simplex PCR by species-specific primers on *M. marylandi*, *M. graminis* and *M. naasi*. Species-specific primers on ITS were also developed to identify two most common species *M. marylandi* and *M. graminis* to allow species confirmation using an additional marker through simplex or duplex PCR. SCAR primers Inc-K14-F/Inc-K14-R [33] were employed to identify *M. incognita* which produces a 399-bp DNA fragment. In addition, the RKN-universal primers RK28SF/MR were designed and included to amplify a 612-bp DNA fragment as a RKN endogenous control to detect the presence of RKN rDNA 28S gene, so that a RKN-negative sample
can still be evaluated to exclude false negatives due to instrument, pipetting, reagent, and/or reaction failure. Compared with other molecular diagnosis [7,14,16,17,19,20,49], this assay only requires routine PCR and electrophoresis and is simple, cheap and rapid (<4 h), without further restriction digestion, DNA sequencing or expensive real-time PCR equipment and reagents. This molecular diagnosis using PCR by species-specific primers provides a rapid species identification approach for turfgrass RKN independent of morphology.
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