Hypoxia-directed tumor targeting of CRISPR-Cas9 and HSV-TK suicide gene therapy using lipid nanoparticles
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Hypoxia is a characteristic feature of solid tumors that contributes to tumor aggressiveness and is associated with resistance to cancer therapy. The hypoxia inducible factor-1 (HIF-1) transcription factor complex mediates hypoxia-specific gene expression by binding to hypoxia-responsive element (HRE) sequences within the promoter of target genes. HRE-driven expression of therapeutic cargo has been widely explored as a strategy to achieve cancer-specific gene expression. By utilizing this system, we achieve hypoxia-specific expression of two therapeutically relevant cargo elements: the herpes simplex virus thymidine kinase (HSV-tk) suicide gene and the CRISPR-Cas9 nuclease. Using an expression vector containing five copies of the HRE derived from the vascular endothelial growth factor gene, we are able to show high transgene expression in cells in a hypoxic environment, similar to levels achieved using the cytomegalovirus (CMV) and CBh promoters. Furthermore, we are able to deliver our therapeutic cargo to tumor cells with high efficiency using plasmid-packaged lipid nanoparticles (LNPs) to achieve specific killing of tumor cells in hypoxic conditions while maintaining tight regulation with no significant changes to cell viability in normoxia.

INTRODUCTION

Over recent years, many advances have been made in cancer therapy, including the development of targeted inhibitors and immunotherapies with greatly reduced adverse effects. Despite this, the current 5-year survival rate among all cancers is only 68%, dropping to under 10% for certain cancer types, including pancreatic cancer and glioblastoma.1 The resistance of these high-risk cancers to current therapies begets a need to develop novel treatment modalities to improve patient survival and quality of life. Several unique cancer treatment strategies have emerged by repurposing proteins found in viruses and bacteria to promote cell death, including the human herpes simplex virus thymidine kinase (HSV-tk) suicide gene and the CRISPR-Cas9 nuclease. Although both of these proteins have shown promise as treatment options for various cancers, there is a growing need to direct tumor-specific expression of these proteins in order to minimize the risk of off-target toxicity and, in the case of Cas9, off-target mutations.

The hypoxic microenvironment offers a unique opportunity to target these exogenous proteins to regions of the tumor where the most aggressive and treatment-resistant cancer cells often reside. Solid tumors account for approximately 90% of all human malignancies.2 As a result of vascular abnormalities that lead to low intratumoral blood flow, up to 50%-60% of locally advanced solid tumors develop areas of low O2 (<10 mmHg) partial pressure compared with their surrounding tissues.3–5 This hypoxic state has been associated with increased tumor aggressiveness and resistance to current therapies.6 Of all the proteins induced by hypoxic conditions, hypoxia-inducible factors (HIFs) and their downstream targets are the most well studied. Under normoxic conditions, the protein expression of HIF1α is short lived, with a half-life of approximately 5 min, as a result of rapid ubiquitin-dependent proteasomal degradation.7,8 Once induced, HIF heterodimers bind to hypoxia-response elements (HREs) within the promoters of target genes, including vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF). Promoters containing multiple copies of HRE sequences have been shown to drive specific gene expression in hypoxia. This HRE-directed gene expression has been well established in multiple systems, including hypoxia-specific chimeric antigen receptor (CAR)-T cells and multiple other therapies.9,10 While such hypoxia-specific gene expression has been explored for the HSV-tk suicide gene system,11,12 we aimed to improve on the existing systems and deliver therapies. In addition to this, hypoxia-mediated regulation has never been applied to the CRISPR-Cas9 system to achieve specific expression of the Cas9 protein. For cancer, CRISPR-Cas9 provides a unique opportunity to permanently disrupt genes essential for tumor survival for which no inhibitors otherwise exist. By using HRE-driven HSV-tk or CRISPR-Cas9, we aim to induce cancer cell death in hypoxic conditions.
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One of the greatest challenges for nucleic-acid-based therapeutics is the method of delivery. Delivery of HSV-tk and CRISPR-Cas9 in vivo has been demonstrated with varying levels of success using adeno-associated viral (AAV) vectors. However, significant limitations exist for AAV-mediated delivery of CRISPR-Cas9 stemming from the large size of the Cas9 system and the promoters necessary to direct replication in specific cell types. Additionally, the application of AAV therapies remains limited based on both innate and adaptive immune responses. Nanoparticles are an emerging alternative to AAVs, with several studies demonstrating delivery of Cas9 in vivo. Lipid nanoparticles (LNPs), in particular, offer a protective, flexible, and simple platform to encapsulate and protect therapeutic cargo, including drugs, small interfering RNAs (siRNAs), mRNA, protein, and DNA. While many efforts are being made in order to increase the specificity of LNP-mediated delivery to tumor cells, LNPs are known to commonly deliver cargo to the liver, spleen, and kidneys, which becomes a major cause of concern for off-target activity. Based on these concerns, we proposed to direct conditional expression of HSV-tk and Cas9 to increase LNP specificity and limit potential off-target toxicity.

In the present study, we report on the development of both HSV-tk suicide gene and CRISPR-Cas9 DNA LNP-based therapeutic systems that enable hypoxic-tumor-specific expression in order to minimize concerns regarding off-target toxicities. To this end, we expressed these protein systems from a minimal promoter in combination with five copies of the HRE derived from the human VEGF enhancer. Through extensive testing using both hypoxia drug mimetics and true hypoxia, we have observed tightly regulated hypoxia-dependent cancer cell death using two distinct gene therapy approaches.

RESULTS

Development and characterization of a hypoxia-regulated protein-expression system

In order to determine the best combination of regulatory elements for hypoxia-specific gene expression, we conducted a luciferase screen in HeLa cells in the presence or absence of the hypoxia mimetic BAY 85-3934. While many efforts are being made in order to increase the specificity of LNP-mediated delivery to tumor cells, we conducted a luciferase screen in HeLa cells in the presence or absence of the hypoxia mimetic BAY 85-3934 (Figure S1A). BAY 85-3934 is a potent small-molecule inhibitor of HIF prolyl hydroxylases that stabilizes HIF1α from proteasomal degradation. We confirmed its ability to stabilize HIF1α using a HRE EGFP reporter (Figure 1A) and were able to see induction of HIF1α protein by western blot to levels similar to those achieved in true hypoxia (Figure 1B). We designed fusion constructs consisting of firefly luciferase combined with regions of the HIF1α oxygen-dependent degradation domain (ODD) that were designated as either short (residues 344–417 of HIF1α) or long (residues 402–603 of HIF1α). These regions were chosen because they encompass the two key proline residues (Pro402 and Pro564) of HIF1α that are
important for proteasomal degradation of the protein under normoxic conditions. In order to determine the contribution of promoter context to the expression of our fusion constructs, we expressed these constructs from three different promoters: (1) cytomegalovirus (CMV) promoter, (2) human phosphoglycerate kinase (PGK) promoter, and (3) a minimal CMV promoter combined with five copies of the human VEGF HRE (5HRE). As the 5HRE promoter provided superior control of gene expression under hypoxic conditions for all constructs (Figure S1A), we proceeded to examine its contribution to the expression of the luciferase fusion constructs in HeLa cells (Figures 1C and 1D) and H1299 cells (Figures S1B and S1C). While the long ODD construct offered the best induction of protein expression in hypoxia when driven from the HRE promoter (900-fold induction in HeLa cells), it limited overall protein expression when compared with levels that were obtained from a CMV promoter. Consequently, protein expression from the 5HRE promoter in the absence of any ODD fusion provided the best combined regulation and expression under hypoxic conditions.

**Hypoxia-specific expression of HSV-tk**

We initially tested the HRE-driven system with the well-established suicide gene HSV-tk. This suicide gene has been widely explored as an anti-cancer therapeutic in combination with acyclic guanosine analogs such as ganciclovir (GCV). GCV is largely inert in the absence of HSV-tk, as it cannot be used as a substrate by cellular enzymes. In the presence of HSV-tk, however, GCV becomes phosphorylated by the viral protein to produce GCV monophosphate, a form of the drug that can now be further processed by cellular enzymes to form GCV triphosphate. Incorporation of GCV triphosphate into DNA synthesis, leading to cell death. Notably, cells expressing HSV-tk can transfer GCV triphosphate to neighboring cells through gap junctions and apoptotic vesicles, which can then induce cell death in HSV-tk-negative cells through a phenomenon known as the bystander effect.

This suicide gene system has been optimized through the testing of HSV-tk mutants and proteins. Previous studies identified a HSV-tk variant (mutant 30) derived by random mutagenesis that displayed enhanced sensitivity to GCV and enhanced the bystander effect when compared with wild-type HSV-tk in vivo. Based on these findings, we expressed wild-type HSV-tk and mutant 30 from the 5HRE promoter (Figure 2A). We confirm HRE-specific induction of both HSV-tk constructs at the protein level in both BAY 85-3934 and hypoxia-treated HeLa and U251 cells (Figures 2B and S2). In the absence of the hypoxia mimetic or hypoxia treatment, there is no detectable protein expression of HSV-tk from the HRE constructs. Interestingly, HRE-HSV-tk wild-type (WT) protein expression under hypoxia is at nearly the same level as the CMV counterpart. Cells transfected with CMV HSV-tk WT or mutant 30 display reduced viability, as expected, when treated with GCV (Figure 2C). However, cells expressing HRE-directed HSV-tk WT or mutant 30 only exhibit reduced cell viability when cultured in the presence of GCV and the hypoxia mimetic BAY 85-3934 or true hypoxia (Figure 2C). In order to visualize the hypoxic induction of HSV-tk-mediated DNA damage occurring in these cells, we performed γH2AX staining. Indeed, we observe γH2AX staining in cells receiving HRE-HSV-tk mutant 30 during BAY 85-3934 treatment but do not observe γH2AX staining in the respective DMSO control (Figure 2D). Collectively, these data demonstrate that HRE-driven expression of HSV-tk WT or mutant 30 is tightly regulated and significantly reduces cell viability only during hypoxic conditions.

**Hypoxia-specific expression of CRISPR-Cas9**

The Cas9 protein is a repurposed prokaryotic RNA-guided double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) nuclease used for mammalian gene editing that can be programmed to cut any genomic locus. CRISPR-Cas9 offers a unique opportunity to treat cancer by permanently disrupting genes essential for tumor cell survival. However, there is currently no reliable way to specifically deliver and express the CRISPR-Cas9 nuclease in cancer cells. To overcome this limitation, many efforts have focused on targeting unique sequence variants that are found only in cancer cells, such as viral and fusion oncogenes, minimizing the risk of any potential toxicity in normal tissue. Nevertheless, in addition to the largely limited number of targets that can be pursued with this approach, there is also a growing concern about the risks of off-target mutations that can arise with unchecked expression of the Cas9 throughout the body. Based on these concerns, we set out to evaluate the potential of an HRE-directed CRISPR-Cas9 to specifically induce cancer cell death in hypoxia.

To determine the best gRNA targets, we screened gRNAs targeting polo-like kinase 1 (PLK1) and the consensus sequence of AluY elements for their ability to suppress cancer cell growth. PLK1 has been extensively evaluated as both an siRNA and a Cas9 target to induce apoptosis in a broad range of cancer cells. Consistent with previous work, all gRNAs targeting PLK1 suppressed cell viability, with gRNA-3 being used in all subsequent experiments, as it appeared to be the most potent in multiple cell lines (Figures 3A and S3A). A gRNA against the consensus AluY sequence was further included, as it has the potential to induce thousands of dsDNA breaks throughout the genome, leading to a significant reduction in cell viability (Figures 3A and S3A).

To determine the feasibility and efficacy of a hypoxia-regulated Cas9 expression system, we transfected cells with the Cas9 nuclease expressed from either the CBh or the 5HRE promoter (Figure 3B). The CBh promoter is a ubiquitously expressed hybrid CMV enhancer/chicken β-actin promoter that is extensively used to express Cas9 protein. We observed HRE-specific expression of the Cas9 nuclease in cells cultured with the hypoxia mimetic BAY 85-3934 or in hypoxia, with almost undetectable levels of expression in control conditions (Figures 3C and S3B). We saw a significant reduction in cell viability in cells transfected with the AluY and PLK1 gRNAs when the Cas9 nuclease was expressed from the CBh promoter in all conditions (Figures 3D and S3C). However, a significant reduction in cell viability was only observed when cells were treated in hypoxic conditions when these gRNAs were expressed in the presence of the
HRE-driven Cas9 (Figures 3D and S3C). For cells treated with the AluY gRNAs, we observed an increase in DNA damage using the HRE-driven Cas9 nuclease only in hypoxic conditions, while there was evidence of DNA damage in both hypoxic and normoxic conditions using the CBh-driven Cas9 (Figure 3E). Next, we wanted to confirm that Cas9 cleavage was only observed in hypoxic conditions when the expression of the nuclease is driven from the HRE promoter. To this end, we utilized a reporter cell line containing an EGFP-firefly luciferase fusion protein. Cas9 cleavage with our EGFP gRNA results in the disruption of the fusion protein in these cells, giving us an opportunity to use the expression of EGFP and luciferase as an indicator of Cas9 activity. We observed a specific reduction in luciferase signal in a hypoxia-regulated fashion for cells transfected with the HRE-regulated Cas9 construct (Figure S3D). However, as this was not a direct measure of Cas9 cleavage activity, we performed a T7 endonuclease I cleavage assay in order to directly survey genomic editing at the PLK1 locus. We were able to show hypoxia-regulated Cas9-mediated editing at the PLK1 locus, with minimal cleavage at this locus in normoxic conditions (Figures 3F and S3E). Overall, we demonstrate an HRE-promoter-driven Cas9 system that is capable of specifically reducing the viability of hypoxic cancer cells.

Delivery using LNPs

As our method for achieving hypoxia-specific expression for HSV-tk and Cas9 requires transcriptional activation at the promoter level, we needed a vehicle that could facilitate delivery of a DNA-based system in order to achieve regulated expression of these genes. While AAV has been widely used as a vector for gene therapy to deliver DNA...
sequences to target cells, the large size of the spCas9 nuclease together with its gRNA (~5 kb) makes it largely incompatible with an AAV-based delivery vehicle due to the ~4.7-kb packaging capacity of AAVs. In light of this, we decided to deliver these transgenes using plasmid-packaged LNPs. Recently, the feasibility of LNP therapies have gained immense traction with the success of the mRNA-based coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) vaccines produced by Moderna and BioNTech/Pfizer.48 LNPs are typically formulated using a mixture of four lipids: (1) an ionizable cationic lipid to facilitate cargo encapsulation and intracellular delivery, (2) a PEG-containing lipid to modulate particle size, reduce aggregation, and increase circulation time, (3) cholesterol to enhance particle stability, particularly in circulation, and (4) neutral helper lipids to further promote formulation stability of the lipid bilayer.49,50 In order to deliver DNA, we utilized the formulation optimized by Kulkarni et al.29 in which the ionizable lipid DLin-KC2 demonstrated delivery of a firefly luciferase or GFP plasmid. In order to test the feasibility of delivering a Cas9 plasmid, we encapsulated a 9.3-kb Cas9-T2A-EGFP plasmid into LNPs using a nitrogen-to-phosphate (N:P) ratio of 6. Flow cytometry analysis of EGFP expression 48 h after LNP treatment demonstrates efficient delivery of the plasmid into cells (Figure S4A). Furthermore, these LNPs are well tolerated, as all cell lines tested remained greater than 90% viable 48 h after treatment (Figure S4B).

Based on the successful delivery of the Cas9-T2A-EGFP plasmid using the KC2 DNA-LNP formulation, we moved forward and synthesized LNPs for each DNA construct used in this study. These LNPs are approximately 136 ± 10.4 nm in size, with a low polydispersity of 0.09 ± 0.03, and a zeta potential of 21.7 ± 5.6 mV (Figures 4A and S4C). Visualization of these nanoparticles was carried out using transmission electron microscopy and revealed a consistent spherical morphology (Figure S4D). We first evaluated induction of GFP
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expression in HeLa cells following addition of LNPs packaged with the HRE-EGFP plasmid through both fluorescent microscopy and flow cytometry. We observe efficient uptake of Cy3-labeled HRE-EGFP LNPs in all cells but only observe GFP expression in cells cultured in BAY 85-3934 or hypoxia (Figure 4B). Flow cytometry analysis of HRE-EGFP LNP-treated HeLa cells supports our microscopy observations and shows strong induction of GFP in cells cultured in BAY 85-3934 or hypoxia (Figure 4C).

Given our success in driving hypoxia-specific gene expression of EGFP, we sought to evaluate the efficacy of delivering HRE promoter-driven HSV-tk and Cas9 therapeutic cargo into hypoxic cells using the KC2 LNP formulation. Treatment of a variety of different tumor cell lines with our HSV-tk-packaged LNPs displayed a significant reduction in cell viability in HRE HSV-tk constructs cultured in GCV with hypoxia mimetic or true hypoxia (Figures S5A–S5C). In contrast, little to no change in viability was observed in cells receiving HRE-driven HSV-tk LNPs in the presence of GCV and DMSO. Likewise, we were able to observe a significant reduction in cell viability in a hypoxia-regulated manner for cancer cell lines treated with HRE-Cas9-packaged LNPs (Figures S5D–S5E). Cas9-mediated cleavage was, once again, shown to occur in a hypoxia-specific fashion at the PLK1 locus for cells treated with Cas9 expressed from the HRE promoter (Figures S5F–S5G).

As we performed the majority of this study using cancer cell line monolayer cultures, we wished to test our therapeutic platform on a more tumor-relevant culture system. To this end, we tested our
LNP s on glioblastoma-patient-derived neurospheres HCM-BROD-0417-C71 (Figure 4D). This culture was created in April 2021 from a primary glioblastoma tumor from a 50-year-old female. Addition of our HSV-tk-packaged LNPs led to a significant reduction in cell viability in the HRE HSV-tk constructs cultured in GCV with the hypoxia mimetic or true hypoxia (Figure 4E). Similarly, when these neurosphere cultures were treated with HRE-Cas9-packaged LNPs (Figure 4F), we observed a reduction in cell viability that occurred in a hypoxia-specific manner.

Finally, it has been reported that CD34+ cells in the bone marrow niche reside in a hypoxic microenvironment and can express HIF1α.31 This could result in significant undesirable effects and secondary disease using HRE-driven expression of toxic therapeutic genes. In order to determine if this is a potential concern, we treated CD34+ human bone marrow progenitor cells (Figure S6A) with LNPs and surveyed their uptake and expression of the packaged plasmid by flow cytometry. We observed minimal Cy3 LNP staining in these cells (Figure S6B), indicating that these cells poorly uptake LNPs. Additionally, no GFP plasmid expression was observed in the cells following any of the treatment conditions (Figure S6C). These results suggest that CD34+ cells are not a significant off-target population and are an unlikely cause of concern using this therapy in an in vivo setting.

Overall, these results demonstrate efficient hypoxia-regulated expression and activity of therapeutically relevant cargo delivered to cells and tumor models using plasmid-packaged LNPs.

**DISCUSSION**

Hypoxia is present in the majority of solid tumors as a result of alterations in local vascular blood flow that lead to oxygen deprivation. This phenomenon presents a unique opportunity to selectively target the solid tumor microenvironment without significantly affecting the surrounding normoxic tissue. Multiple studies have shown the benefit of targeting this microenvironment, as hypoxic cells are known to be more resistant to radio- and chemotherapy.32 In addition to this, selective killing of HIF1α-expressing tumor cells has been shown to reduce metastatic progression and improve survival,32 suggesting that targeting these cells is a viable therapeutic strategy. In this study, we developed an LNP-based platform to deliver therapeutically relevant cargo to hypoxic tumor cells. We focused our efforts on delivery of two different anti-cancer therapeutic systems: HSV-tk and the spCas9 nuclease. Using 5HRE-driven expression, we were able to show LNP-delivered robust and specific expression of these proteins in hypoxic conditions, with minimal off-target activity in normoxic conditions in multiple cancer cell lines. Notably, we were able to reach similar levels of activity when delivering our DNA constructs through LNPs formulated within our lab when compared with commercially available transfection reagents. It would be of interest to determine the effect of combined HSV-tk and CRISPR-Cas9 delivery to hypoxic tumor cells using our system. For example, combined CRISPR-Cas9-mediated inactivation of proteins involved in multidrug resistance may work in combination with HSV-tk-based therapy for an additive tumor-killing effect.33 34

Our proof-of-concept work demonstrates an effective delivery of a hypoxia-responsive HSV-tk and CRISPR-Cas9 system using nanoparticles; however, further optimization to include additional levels of regulation should be explored. Although we observe a strong induction of gene expression using the 5HRE promoter during hypoxic culture conditions, a small amount of baseline expression persists in control cells. One method to help reduce baseline expression from the 5HRE promoter is to optimize the incorporation of the HIF1α ODD. Installing two layers of regulation with the 5HRE and ODD would greatly limit any residual expression in normoxia, which has been demonstrated in CAR-T cells.7 To further tailor the 5HRE system for expression in tumor cells, the inclusion of tumor-specific promoters should be explored. Previous work has demonstrated the use of Survivin, TERT, CEA, PSA, and other gene promoters for cancer-specific expression of transgenes.34 The inclusion of a cancer-specific promoter would help limit expression of the 5HRE system in normal cells that may have high levels of VEGF, such as hematopoietic stem cells.35 Furthermore, this would allow for the targeting of normoxic tumor cells while enhancing the targeting of the resistant hypoxic cell population.

Another strategy that we envision could increase expression specificity for our genes of interest in tumor cells is to incorporate microRNA (miRNA) seed sequences into the 3’ untranslated region (UTR) of the gene. miRNAs are small single-stranded RNAs that bind to mRNAs based on sequence complementarity and prevent protein expression by either promoting degradation of the mRNA transcript or blocking translation machinery. This strategy for post-transcriptional gene silencing can be implemented when there is dysregulated expression of miRNAs between the target and non-target cells. Previous studies have capitalized on the dysregulated expression of miR-122 for use in delivering the HSV-tk gene for hepatocellular carcinoma.55,56 Beyond this, miR-128 and miR-124 seed sequences have been used to achieve glioblastoma-specific expression of transgenes in combination with cancer-specific promoters.57,58

In addition to utilizing methods of controlling gene expression on the transcriptional and post-transcriptional levels, advances in LNP delivery and targeting may also be combined with the 5HRE constructs described here. Although most LNPs primarily traffic to the liver, newly formed vessels within a tumor are abnormal and leaky. This leakiness has been shown to result in enhanced accumulation of LNPs.59 Our LNPs exhibit a positive surface charge; however, we aim to explore modifications to the surface of the LNP to increase delivery to tumor cells. Recent technology has emerged to help direct LNP uptake and delivery in specific tissues and cell types by incorporating an anchored secondary ScFv enabling targeting (ASSET) moiety. Addition of an EGFR antibody to the surface of an LNP using ASSET technology significantly enhanced LNP uptake and delivery of CRISPR-Cas9 mRNA in OV8 ovarian tumors.57 Furthermore, there are stimuli-responsive lipids and peptides that can be incorporated into LNPs to promote penetration within a tumor in order to
reach the hypoxic core. Future studies will explore incorporating these LNP modifications to promote tumor delivery of our HSV-tk and Cas9 system for in vivo testing.

In conclusion, we provide proof-of-concept work demonstrating the feasibility of targeting HSV-tk and Cas9 therapeutic cargo to hypoxic tumor cells using LNPs. To the best of our knowledge, hypoxia-specific expression and activity of the CRISPR-Cas9 nuclease in tumor cells using LNPs has not previously been demonstrated. The technologies presented here hold tremendous potential in targeting some of the most aggressive and treatment-resistant cells within a solid tumor and should be explored further for enhanced cancer specificity and delivery.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell lines and cell culture

U251 cells were obtained as a kind gift from the lab of Dr. Stephen Forman (City of Hope, Duarte, CA, USA). The human cell lines 293FT (Invitrogen R70007), HT-1080 (ATCC CCL-121), NCI-H1299 (ATCC CRL-5803), HeLa (ATCC CCL-2), and HCM-BROD-0417-C71 (ATCC PDM-379) were cultured according to the respective guidelines in a humidified incubator with 5% CO2 at 37°C. The following media and supplements were used in this study for routine tissue culture: DMEM (Corning 10-017-CV), RPMI (Corning 10-040-CV), EMEM (Corning 10-009-CV), Benchmark T FBS (GeminiBio 100-106), DPBS (Corning 21-040-CMR), and 0.25% Trypsin-EDTA (Gibco 25200056). All cell lines were routinely tested for mycoplasma contamination using the MycoAlert PLUS Mycoplasma Detection Kit (Lonza LT07-710).

For the HCM-BROD-0417-C71 neurospheres, cells were cultured in ultra-low attachment vessels (Corning) using the following media and supplements: NeuroCult NS-A Proliferation Kit (Stemcell Technologies 09720), cells were maintained using the StemSpan Leukemic Cell Culture Kit (Stemcell Technologies 09720) according to the protocol supplied by the manufacturer.

CD34+ cell culture

For the primary bone marrow CD34+ human progenitor cells (ATCC PCS-800-012), cells were maintained using the StemSpan Leukemic Cell Culture Kit (Stemcell Technologies 09720) according to the protocol supplied by the manufacturer.

Treatment of cells

Transfection

Plasmid transfection was carried out using Lipofectamine 3000 (Invitrogen 11668019) or ViaFect (Promega E4981) according to the protocol provided by the manufacturer. A complete list of plasmids used in this study can be found in Table S1.

Hypoxia

For chemical induction of hypoxia, cells were treated with 10 μM of the HIF prolyl-hydroxylase inhibitor BAY 85-39341 (Molidustat) (Cayman Chemical 15297) unless stated otherwise in the text. The BAY 85-3934 inhibitor was dissolved in DMSO (ATCC® 4-X) at a stock concentration of 10 mM. For hypoxia treatment, cells were placed in a BD GasPak EZ anaerobe pouch (BD 260683) for the times indicated in the figure legends.

For true hypoxia treatment without the chemical hypoxia mimetic, cells were treated with intermittent hypoxia. Following transfection, cells were placed into hypoxia for 24 h, normoxia for 48 h, hypoxia for 24 h, and normoxia until the experimental endpoint. These treatments result in a total of 48 h in hypoxia using two 24-h periods.

GCV treatment

For experiments involving the suicide gene HSV-tk, cells were treated with GCV (ACROS Organics 461710010) at the indicated concentrations. The GCV stock solution was made by dissolving the drug in DMSO at a final concentration of 100 mM.

Apolipoprotein E treatment

For experiments involving neurospheres and CD34+ cells, LNPs were prepared in Opti-MEM (Gibco 31-985) with recombinant human apolipoprotein E (R&D Systems 4144-AE) to assist with uptake in cells cultured in specialized media lacking FBS.

Cell viability assay

Cell viability was assessed using a 10X Alamar reagent: 650 μM rezasurin (Sigma Aldrich 199930), 78 μM methylene blue (Sigma Aldrich M9140), 1 mM potassium ferrocyanide (ACROS Organics 196781000), and 1 mM potassium ferricyanide (ACROS Organics 424130050) in DPBS, diluted to a final concentration of 1X in the cell culture medium (US patent no. 5501959). After addition of the Alamar reagent, cells were incubated in a humidified incubator with 5% CO2 at 37°C for 1 to 6 h before reading the fluorescence of the solution using the Promega GloMax Discover Microplate Reader (Ex: 525 nm; Em: 580–640 nm).

Luciferase activity assay

Luciferase activity was detected using the Dual-Luciferase Reporter (DLR) Assay System (Promega E1980) according to the protocol supplied by the manufacturer. Cells were transfected with a 1:5 ratio of renilla luciferase background control plasmid to firefly luciferase plasmid driven from different experimental promoters. Luminescence was detected using the Promega GloMax Discover Microplate Reader.

T7 endonuclease assay

To survey genomic targeting activity, genomic DNA was extracted from cells at the indicated time points using the Maxwell RSC Cultured Cells DNA Kit (Promega AS1620). DNA was amplified using Q5 High-Fidelity 2X Master Mix (New England BioLabs M0492L) from 100 ng genomic DNA according to the protocol provided by the manufacturer. The following primers (sequences 5'-3') were used for genomic amplification of the PLK1 locus: PLK1-Fwd GGTGGTTTCTCCAGG CTATC, PLK1-Rev TCCAGAAAGCTGACTTCCCTATC. After PCR amplification, 100–200 ng PCR product was denatured and re-annealed...
in a thermal cycler. 3 min at 98°C then cooled to 25°C using a ramp rate of 0.1°C/s. After re-annealing the DNA, 5U of T7 endonuclease I (New England BioLabs M0302L) was added, and the reaction was incubated at 37°C for 20 min. After completion, the reaction was loaded onto an agarose gel for visualization of cleavage efficiency. Cleavage efficiency was determined using the following calculation: percent cleavage = 100 × (1–(1–fraction cleaved))/2, where fraction cleaved = (cleavage products)/(cleavage products + parental band).

Western blotting

For western blotting, cells were lysed in 1X RIPA lysis buffer: 50 mM Tris HCl (pH 7.4), 150 mM NaCl, 1.0% (v/v) NP-40, 0.5% (v/v) sodium deoxycholate, and 0.1% (v/v) SDS. To facilitate lysis, the lysate was then sonicated on the high setting for a total of three 30-s cycles using the Bioruptor Plus (Diagenode). For SDS-PAGE electrophoresis, 20–30 µg protein was loaded per well. The proteins were then transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane using the Trans-Blot Turbo Transfer System (Bio-Rad Laboratories). To enhance detection of HIF1α, the membrane was treated using the Pierce Western Blot Signal Enhancer (Thermo Fisher Scientific 21050) before blocking. Antibodies were diluted in blocking solution: 2% bovine serum albumin in 1X Tris-Buffered Saline with 0.1% (v/v) Tween-20. Protein detection was performed using the SuperSignal West Pico PLUS Chemiluminescent Substrate (Thermo Fisher Scientific) using the ChemiDoc system (Bio-Rad Laboratories). The following antibodies were used in this study: anti-GAPDH (1:10,000; Santa Cruz Biotechnology sc-47724), anti-HIF1α (1:1,000; Bethyl Laboratories A700-001), anti-spCas9 (1:10,000; Sigma-Aldrich SAB4200701), anti-tk (1:2,000; Invitrogen PA5-67984), anti-histone H3 (1:10,000; Cell Signaling Technologies 14269), mouse immunoglobulin G (IgG) HRP conjugate (1:10,000; Bio-Rad Laboratories 1706515), and anti-rabbit IgG HRP conjugate (1:10,000; Bio-Rad Laboratories 1706516).

Immunofluorescence

γH2AX DNA-damage staining

Cells were grown using the Nunc Lab-Tek II Chamber Slide System (Thermo Scientific 154534PK) pre-treated with a 0.01% Poly-L-Lysine solution (Sigma Aldrich A-005-M) to facilitate cell adhesion. Cells were transfected the next day and treated with either DMSO vehicle or 10 μM BAY 85-3934. After 48 h, cells were fixed for 10 min at room temperature using a 4% paraformaldehyde solution (Thermo Fisher Scientific AAJ19943K2). The fixed cells were then permeabilized using a 0.25% Triton-X solution and blocked using 1% BSA w/v in PBS for 1 h at room temperature. Primary antibody incubation was performed overnight at 4°C, while secondary antibody incubation was performed for 1 h at room temperature. The following antibodies were used: γH2AX (1:1,000; Invitrogen MA12022), HSV-tk (1:400; Invitrogen PAS-67984), Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (1:1,000; Invitrogen A28175), Alexa Fluor 555-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (1:1,000; Invitrogen A21422), and Alexa Fluor 647-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (1:1,000; Invitrogen A27040). After staining, the slides were mounted using ProLong Glass Antifade Mountant (Invitrogen P36982) and sealed using generic-brand nail polish. Staining was visualized using the ZEISS LSM 880 with Airyscan confocal microscope. Images were processed for export using ZEN Blue v.2.6 software (ZEISS).

Flow cytometry

Following transduction or transfection, cells were trypsinized, washed, and resuspended in complete growth medium and placed on ice. Flow cytometry was conducted using the BD Accuri C6 Cytometer (BD Biosciences). Data was analyzed using FlowJo v.10.8 software (BD Biosciences).

CD34+ cell preparation and staining

To confirm that we were maintaining CD34+ cell culture, CD34+ cells were washed twice in ice-cold fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) buffer (DPBS + 1% FBS). The following antibodies were used for staining the cells: FITC CD34 (1:20, BD Biosciences 555821) and PE/Cy7 CD45 (1:100, BD Biosciences 304015). Staining was performed in FACS buffer for 20 min on ice, prior to washing the cells once with FACS buffer before analysis. DAPI was used for dead cell exclusion.

To determine LNP uptake and expression, CD34+ cells were treated with the indicated Cy3-labelled LNPs at a dosage of 20 ng packaged LNP per 5,000 CD34+ cells for 96 h in the presence of 1-ug/mL apolipoprotein E to facilitate uptake in the absence of FBS. Following treatment, CD34+ cells were harvested and washed once in ice-cold FACS buffer prior to analysis. DAPI was used for dead cell exclusion.

For the CD34+ cells, flow cytometry was conducted using the MACSQuant (Miltenyi Biotec). Data was analyzed using FlowJo v.10.8 software (BD Biosciences).

LNP assembly and analysis

Lipids

The lipids 1-stearoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (SOPC), cholesterol, and 1,2-dimyristoyl-rac-glycero-3-methoxypropylethylene glycol-2000 (PEG-DMG) were purchased from Avanti Polar Lipids (Alabaster, AL, USA). 2,3-dinolino-4-(2-dimethylaminophenyl)-[1,3]-dioxolane (DLin-KC2-DMA) was purchased from (MedChemExpress; Monmouth Junction, NJ, USA). Lipophilic dye DiI (DiI<sub>60</sub>(3) (1,1’-dioctadecyl-3,3,3′,3′-tetramethylindocarbocyanine perchlorate) at 1 mM stock in ethanol (Invitrogen; Carlsbad, CA, USA) was used to label nanoparticles to observe in vitro delivery. Lipids were dissolved in absolute ethanol, aliquoted in amber glass vials, and stored at −20°C.

LNP synthesis

LNPs were prepared as described in Kulkarni et.al. LNP synthesis was performed at a 50:10:39:1 (KC2:SOPC:Chol:PEG) molar ratio. Lipids in
ethanol were mixed with plasmid prepared in an aqueous phase of 100 mM sodium citrate pH 4 at a mol cationic lipid: mol DNA (N:P) ratio of 6:1 using the NanoAssemblr Benchtop machine (Precision NanoSystems; Vancouver, BC, Canada). For packaging of the Cas9 system, both the Cas9 protein and gRNA were expressed from a single plasmid from their respective promoters. This machine contains a microfluidic chip by which the injected lipids and nucleic acids are rapidly mixed in a staggered herringbone pattern at a total flow rate of 12 mL/min. The controlled mixing of the aqueous and organic streams produces homogeneous nanoparticles. Immediately following the mixing process, the nanoparticles were diluted 1:4 in 1X PBS to reduce the amount of ethanol present in solution. The nanoparticle solution was further diluted with 1X PBS up to 15 mL and then concentrated using a 10 kDa Amicon ultra-15 filter (Millipore; Burlington, MA, USA) via centrifugation at 2,000 × g for 30 min. The column flow through was discarded and another 15 mL 1X PBS was added to the nanoparticles which were centrifuged at 2,000 × g for 30 min. This step was repeated one additional time for a total of 3 washes. The concentrated nanoparticles were then pushed through a 0.22-µm filter and stored at 4°C.

LNP characterization
To measure the amount of plasmid encapsulated inside the nanoparticles the Quant-IT Picogreen assay was carried out (P7589, Molecular Probes; Eugene, OR, USA). The standard protocol was modified to include a 15 min, 37°C incubation of the nanoparticles in the presence of 2% Triton to facilitate release of the encapsulated nucleic acids. %encapsulation = (DNA-LNP in 2%Triton - DNA-LNP in TE)/DNA-LNP in 2%Triton-X100. Size and polydispersity of the nanoparticles was evaluated using dynamic light scattering (DLS) analysis, and relative surface charge was determined by measuring zeta potential on a ZetaPals instrument (Brookhaven Instruments; Holtsville, NY, USA). Samples were diluted in distilled water for both DLS and zeta-potential measurements. Size and polydispersity were evaluated in five runs of 1 min and 30 s duration, while zeta potential was evaluated in 10 runs of 30 cycles/run. In order to visualize the nanoparticles, negative-staining electron microscopy was carried out. Nanoparticles were diluted 1/10 in 1X PBS and absorbed to glow-discharged, carbon-coated 200 mesh EM grids. Conventional negative staining was carried out using 1% (w/v) uranyl acetate, and images were captured using an FEI Tecnai 12 transmission electron microscope and Gatan OneView CMOS camera.

Lentiviral generation of stable cells
Lentiviral production was performed in 293FT cells as described in Urak et al.62 HeLa cells were transduced at MOI = 0.2 using lentiviral particles containing a pLenti-EF1a-EGFP-fluc-BSR transfer plasmid. Selection for stably transduced cells was performed by adding blasticidin (Gibco A1113903) to a final concentration of 5 µg/mL.

Statistical analysis
All data are presented as mean ± SD and are representative of a minimum of two independent experiments. Statistical analysis was performed using Prism v.9.1.2 (GraphPad). Comparisons of three or more groups were analyzed using one-way or two-way ANOVA tests when appropriate. One-way ANOVA tests were corrected for multiple comparisons using the Dunnett correction method, while two-way ANOVA tests were corrected using the Tukey method. p value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.
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