Isolation and Characterization of Twelve Polymorphic Microsatellite Loci for the Cocoa Mirid Bug *Sahlbergella Singularis*
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**Abstract:** Mirids are the primary pests affecting cocoa production in Africa, but no genetic studies have been conducted on these insects. Here we report the isolation and characterization of 12 polymorphic microsatellite loci for *Sahlbergella singularis*. A microsatellite-enriched genomic DNA library was developed and screened to identify marker loci. Twelve polymorphic loci were identified by screening 28 individuals collected from one presumed population in cocoa plantations in Southern Cameroon. The number of alleles ranged from 5 to 25, whereas the observed and the expected heterozygosities ranged from 0.179 to 0.786 and from 0.671 to 0.946, respectively. Tests showed significant deviations from HW equilibrium for four loci, but no linkage disequilibrium was detected at any of the loci. No cross-species amplification was observed in two other mirid pests in Africa.
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1. Introduction

The mirid *Sahlbergella singularis* Hagl. (Hemiptera: Miridae: Bryocorinae) is one of the primary pests affecting cocoa (*Theobroma cacao* L.) production in Africa, associated with 25 to 40% production losses. *Sahlbergella singularis* is widely distributed in West Africa, present throughout the forest zone, from Sierra Leone to the Demographic Republic of Congo [1], and its life history is well known on cocoa [1,2]. However, knowledge of *S. singularis* population structure in cocoa plantations is incomplete. About one century ago, mirids adapted to cocoa, a newly introduced cash-crop in West Africa. Its natural host-plants are mainly forest trees of the Malvaceae [1]. Although these trees are frequent neighbors or shade trees in cocoa agroforestry systems [3], their role in mirid population dynamics is unknown.

Molecular genetic techniques provide powerful tools for the study of insect ecology and population genetics in natural environment. DNA fingerprinting using microsatellite loci provides information on genetic variation at the population level allowing identification of biotypes and characterization of population structure, gene flow, and dispersal [4]. The present paper reports the isolation and characterization of microsatellite markers for *Sahlbergella singularis*. We also tested cross-species amplification for the closely related species *Distantiella theobroma* Distant. (Hemiptera: Miridae), the second most important pest of cocoa in West Africa [1], and for a mirid bug of sorghum, *Eurystylus oldi* Poppius (Hemiptera: Miridae).

2. Results and Discussion

Twelve primer pairs out of 28 tested showed a good amplification pattern and polymorphism in the 28 *S. singularis* individuals sampled from one presumed population for the study. The number of alleles per locus ranged from 5 to 25, while the observed and the expected heterozygositie ranged from 0.179 to 0.786 and from 0.671 to 0.946, respectively (Table 1). LD tests showed that there was no significant genetic association for all the 66 pairwise combinations of loci. The test showed significant deviations from HW equilibrium (*P* < 0.05) for four loci (Ss14, Ss01, Ss4 and Ss10). Analysis performed with MICRO-CHECKER showed that the general deficit in heterozygotes was distributed across most allele size classes excluding genotyping errors as a source of deviation from HW equilibrium. Our results showed that a significant excess of homozygotes for loci Ss14 and Ss10 might be due to high prevalence of null alleles (*i.e.*, ≥20%; Table 1). Other loci, including Ss01, showed null allele frequencies ≤10% but Ss4 displayed a moderate frequency of 11%. The primary cause of null alleles is mutations in the primer-binding region flanking microsatellite sequences [5,6]. Null alleles are common in insects and similar studies for other mirid species also indicated signs of null alleles at several loci [7–9].
Table 1. Twelve microsatellite loci isolated in *Sahlbergella singularis* collected from Southern Cameroon: locus name, PCR multiplex set no., repeat motif and allele size for the cloned allele, allele size range, number of alleles (N<sub>a</sub>), observed (H<sub>o</sub>) and expected (H<sub>e</sub>) heterozygosities, mean null allele frequency (r<sub>null</sub>), primer sequences and GenBank Accession no.

| Locus | PCR multiplex set | Repeat motif | Allele size (bp) | Allele size range (bp) | N<sub>a</sub> | H<sub>o</sub> | H<sub>e</sub> | r<sub>null</sub> (%) | Primer sequence (5'-3') | Genbank Accession N° |
|-------|------------------|--------------|------------------|------------------------|-------------|----------|---------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| Ss14  | 1                | (GA)<sub>30</sub>(CA(GA)<sub>2</sub> AA(GA)<sub>3</sub>) | 234               | 205–278               | 16          | 0.462    | 0.917 * | 23                | F: Pet-CTGGAAATGGGTAGGGGATT R: GACAGGTTAGTCCGCAAGAC | JQ687207 |
| Ss24  | 1                | (AC)<sub>26</sub> | 188               | 153–247               | 17          | 0.720    | 0.904   | 9                 | F: Ned-AAACACGACTTTTCCCTTAC R: AGCTAAATGCTATCTCTGC | JQ687206 |
| Ss01  | 2                | (TC)<sub>19</sub> | 239               | 216–252               | 18          | 0.750    | 0.927 * | 7                 | F: Fam-TCCGAGGGAAACCTTCCTAT R: ACGTTATGCAGCAGCAGTA | JQ687216 |
| Ss11  | 2                | (AC)<sub>24</sub> | 155               | 119–151               | 12          | 0.750    | 0.871   | 4                 | F: Fam-GTCCATGCGAGCTGATGTT R: CGTCTCTCAGCTCCTCATAGC | JQ687213 |
| Ss15  | 2                | (GA)<sub>28</sub> | 161               | 125–194               | 25          | 0.741    | 0.946   | 10                | F: Pet-CGAGCCAGCTATATTCC R: TGCGAGGTCGATAGTTGGA | JQ687208 |
| Ss04  | 3                | (CT)<sub>6</sub>AT(C)<sub>7</sub> (CA)<sub>3</sub>C(GA)<sub>6</sub> | 217               | 187–234               | 17          | 0.643    | 0.851 * | 11                | F: Fam-GGATGTTCCCTTTACCCTTTT R: ACATGAATAGCGTAGATTTCC | JQ687210 |
| Ss05  | 3                | (GT)<sub>11</sub> | 120               | 104–125               | 9           | 0.714    | 0.872   | 8                 | F: Fam-CTAGTGTATGTTATGTAATCAGC R: GTCGAACCTCTACAAGGGGATATAG | JQ687217 |
| Ss12  | 3                | (AC)<sub>14</sub> | 198               | 183–229               | 10          | 0.609    | 0.788   | 10                | F: Vic-ACAACCAAGCTGATGTTTCG R: TCATTCATACGCTGCTTGG | JQ687214 |
| Ss06  | 4                | (CA)<sub>6</sub> | 100               | 96–102                | 5           | 0.536    | 0.671   | 4                 | F: Vic-TATAGCGCGGCAAGGTAGAC R: AAAGGCTGTAAATCGAAATGC | JQ687215 |
| Ss19  | 4                | GCGAG(GA)<sub>17</sub> GG(GA)<sub>2</sub> | 160               | 130–183               | 14          | 0.786    | 0.865   | 4                 | F: Vic-CAGCAATGTTCATTAGGTTGC R: TTGAAAGCAGTGCTTAAATG | JQ687211 |
| Ss10  | na               | (GT)<sub>13</sub>(GTGA)<sub>3</sub> (GAAT)<sub>3</sub> | 101               | 76–127                | 8           | 0.179    | 0.706 * | 31                | F: Fam-GCTGGGTATTTGAGGAGGATT R: CGCCAGATGAATAATAAGGACG | JQ687212 |
| Ss25  | na               | (AC)<sub>27</sub> | 231               | 191–231               | 13          | 0.778    | 0.861   | 4                 | F: FamGTATATCAGTATCATTCCAGCGACT R: GTTAGTCCTCGGCCGATCT | JQ687209 |

* indicates significant deviations from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium after sequential Bonferroni correction (p < 0.05).
None of the *S. singularis* microsatellite primers used in this study amplified in either of the other two mirid species, which suggests that the primers designed for *S. singularis* in our study may be species-specific, with limited cross-species applicability.

3. Experimental Section

3.1. Isolation of Microsatellite Markers

Genomic DNA was extracted based on Risterucci *et al.* [10] from 5 pooled individuals (3 adults and 2 nymphs) collected on a cocoa farm in the Centre Region of Cameroon near Yaoundé (3°52′N; 11°28′E), and conserved in alcohol. A microsatellite-enriched genomic DNA library was developed following the method of Billotte *et al.* [11]: total genomic DNA was digested with the *RsaI*. DNA fragments were purified and ligated with T4 DNA ligase (Gibco-BRL) to *MluI* self-complementary adaptors (RSA21 5′-CTCTTGCTAAGCGTGGA-3′ and phosphorylated RSA25 5′-TAGTCAAGCGTAAGCGAAGCACA-3′). The selection of microsatellite sequences was performed following a hybridization-based capture method using biotin-labeled microsatellite oligoprobes and streptavidin-coated magnetic beads. The selected fragments were amplified using RSA21 primer. The resulting amplification products were cloned into pGEM-T Easy vector (Promega, Madison, USA), and transformed using *Epicurian coli* XL1-Blue MRF super-competent cells (Stratagene, www.genomics.agilent.com). One hundred ninety-two recombinant colonies were amplified with RSA21 primer. The size of inserts was estimated using agarose gel electrophoresis of PCR products. The electrophoresed PCR products were alkaline-Southern transferred onto Hybond N+ nylon membranes (Amersham, www.gelifesciences.com). Clones containing microsatellite alleles were selected by hybridization with a 32P radiolabeled (GA)15 and (GT)15 synthetic microsatellite probe. We sequenced the 93 recombinant clones that showed a strong hybridization signal and the SSR Analysis Tool (SAT) [12] was used to collect sequence information and facilitate the design of PCR primers and evaluation of flanking sequences. The following criteria were considered for sequence selection: uniqueness, adequate flanking sequence size, and a lack of repetitive elements in the flanking regions. Final primer pairs were designed using Primer 3 [13].

3.2. Primer Validation

Levels of locus polymorphism were assessed in 28 *S. singularis* individuals, sampled from a presumed population on cocoa, in a restricted area of the Bakoa village (4°34.3′N; 11°10.0′E) in the Centre Region of Cameroon. Since evidence was produced that individuals on a cocoa tree may be related [2], we collected a single individual per tree. PCR amplification was performed with a thermocycler TC-512 (Techne) following a Touchdown procedure [14] with an annealing temperature decrease of 0.5 °C per cycle during the first 10 cycles of the PCR (from 60 °C to 55 °C). PCR started with an initial activation step at 95 °C for 15 min followed by 35 cycles with denaturation at 94 °C for 30 s, annealing for 90 s, extension at 72 °C for 75 s and a 20 min final extension step at 72 °C.

Loci were combined in sets which were independently co-amplified using a multilocus amplification Kit (Qiagen) in a 10 µL volume containing 1× Qiagen Multiplex Master Mix (+Q). 0.2 µM of each primer and 2 µL of genomic DNA (20 ng/µL). Forward primers were labeled with FAM, VIC,
or NED fluorescent labels. Labeled fragments were then discriminated using a capillary sequencer ABI 3500 (Applied Biosystems) with the size standard GeneScan 500 Liz. Allele sizes were determined using GENEMAPPER version 4.1 (Applied Biosystems).

Levels of expected and observed heterozygosities were computed using GENECLASS2 version 2.0h [15]. Hardy–Weinberg (HW) tests for each locus and linkage disequilibrium (LD) tests for each pair of loci were performed using GENEPOP version 4.1 [16]. P-values of HW and LD tests were corrected with the sequential Bonferroni adjustment [17]. Mean null allele frequencies were computed using FREENA [6]. The most probable causes of deviation from HW equilibrium were determined among various genotyping errors and the presence of null alleles with MICRO-CHECKER version 2.2.3 [18].

3.3. Cross-Species Transferability

Cross-species amplification was attempted for 7 individuals of Distantiella theobroma, collected on Bombax sp. (Malvaceae) near Bokito (4°30.0’N; 11°04.8’E), and 6 individuals of Eurystylus oldi, collected on sorghum at Niamey, Niger (13°30.8’N; 2°06.7’E). Extraction and PCR amplification were performed as described for S. singularis.

4. Conclusions

To the best of our knowledge, no microsatellite markers have been developed for African cocoa mirids. A recent publication reports the description of 6 polymorphic loci for the polyphagous mirid Bryocorinae Helopeltis theivora Waterhous, a pest of cocoa in Asia [19]. Here we described twelve microsatellite loci for potential use in genetics studies of Sahlergella singularis populations. Our work will improve the management of this important pest that affects cocoa production in Africa through better knowledge of mirid ecology in cocoa plantations. Conservation of primer sequences was not observed for the two other mirid species tested.
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