Severe pancytopenia caused by trifluridine/tipiracil in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer and an impaired renal function: A case report
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Abstract
Although the incidence of hematological toxicity due to Trifluridine/tipiracil (FTD/TPI) treatment is high, the incidence of severe adverse events has been reported to be relatively low. However, it should be noted that patients with renal impairment are prone to severe hematological adverse events.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Later-line treatment has been shown to improve the survival of patients with metastatic colorectal cancer who are refractory to standard therapies, including fluoropyrimidines, oxaliplatin, irinotecan, anti-vascular endothelial growth factor receptor monoclonal antibody, and anti-epidermal growth factor receptor monoclonal antibody (RAS wild-type only).1,2 Trifluridine/tipiracil (FTD/TPI) is one of the anticancer drugs that is used in later-line therapy for metastatic colorectal cancer. Although the incidence of hematological toxicity due to FTD/TPI treatment is high, it has been reported that the incidence of severe adverse events and discontinuation of treatment is relatively low and that most toxic effects can be managed by dose modification and/or delayed administration.3,4 Furthermore, the tolerability has been confirmed, even in elderly patients.4,5 However, the incidence of grade ≥3 hematological adverse events in patients with renal impairment was higher than that in patients with a normal renal function.
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Therefore, great care should be taken when administering FTD/TPI to patients with an impaired renal function.

2 | CASE PRESENTATION

An 83-year-old man with an impaired renal function who had undergone laparoscopic ileocecal resection, sigmoidectomy, and lymph node dissection for synchronous stage III ascending colon cancer, and stage III sigmoid colon cancer was found to have para-aortic lymph node metastases and peritoneal dissemination 2 years after surgery. He received S-1+oxaliplatin (SOX) + bevacizumab therapy as a first-line treatment.

One year after the initiation of first-line treatment, he was judged to have progressive disease due to the appearance of multiple pulmonary metastases. After the failure of first-line treatment, he received 5-fluouracil + leucovorin + irinotecan (FOLFIRI) + Panitumumab therapy as second-line treatment.

Stable disease continued for 3 years with second-line treatment, but eventually his multiple lung metastases increased. Therefore, he received FTD/TPI as third-line treatment. Although the absolute neutrophil count and platelet count were sufficiently maintained before initiation of FTD/TPI treatment (Table 1), at 2 weeks after the initiation of FTD/TPI treatment, neutropenia (758/µl), and high fever were observed (Table 1), and he was admitted to hospital with a diagnosis of febrile neutropenia. The cause of high fever was a urinary tract infection. Despite the daily administration of granulocyte colony stimulating factor (G-CSF), his neutrophil count dropped to <100/µl and sepsis developed on hospital Day 4 (Table 1). Furthermore, he was diagnosed with disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC) based on the Japanese diagnostic criteria. The antibiotic agent was changed from cefepime dihydrochloride hydrate to meropenem, and teicoplanin, antifungal drugs, and thrombomodulin were added. Furthermore, the central venous catheter was removed. In addition, due to the presence of pancytopenia with anemia and thrombocytopenia (Table 1), red blood cells and platelets were transfused. As the neutrophil count recovered, the infection improved on hospital Day 8 (Figure 1).

However, one month passed without being able to start the second course while his physical condition was unstable, and during that time, his multiple lung metastases increased.

### TABLE 1  Blood test results

|                         | Before initiation of FTD/TPI treatment | Hospital day 1 | Hospital day 4 |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| White blood cells (µl)  | 4800                                   | 1200          | 700           |
| Hemoglobin (g/dl)       | 8.6                                    | 7.1           | 9.8           |
| Platelets (µl)          | 19.9 × 10⁴                             | 6.1 × 10⁴     | 2.0 × 10⁴     |
| Absolute neutrophil count (µl) | 1690                        | 758           | 42            |
| Total protein (g/dl)    | 5.7                                    | 5.8           | 5.5           |
| Albumin (g/dl)          | 3.1                                    | 2.9           | 2.5           |
| Total bilirubin (mg/dl) | 0.2                                    | 0.4           | 0.9           |
| AST (U/L)               | 20                                     | 19            | 18            |
| ALT (U/L)               | 13                                     | 12            | 17            |
| BUN (mg/dl)             | 16                                     | 31            | 32            |
| Creatinine (mg/dl)      | 1.06                                   | 1.58          | 1.36          |
| eGFR (ml/min/1.7)       | 51.39                                  | 33.09         | 38.99         |
| C-reactive protein (mg/dl) | 1.88                                 | 14.99         | 22.83         |
| Natrium (mmol/L)        | 144                                    | 139           | 136           |
| Kalium (mmol/L)         | 4.3                                    | 4.4           | 4.3           |
| Chloride (mmol/L)       | 110                                    | 109           | 112           |
| PT-INR                  |                                        |               |               |
| FDP (µg/ml)             |                                        | 1.39          |               |

Abbreviations: ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; FTD/TPI, trifluridine/tipiracil.
DISCUSSION

Trifluridine/tipiracil is an oral anticancer drug containing trifluridine, which is an antineoplastic thymidine-base nucleoside analog and tipiracil hydrochloride is a thymidine phosphorylase inhibitor. Trifluridine is incorporated into DNA, causing DNA dysfunction, whereas tipiracil hydrochloride maintains the plasma concentration of trifluridine by inhibiting thymidine phosphorylase, which degrades trifluridine. As tipiracil is primarily eliminated via renal excretion, the plasma concentration of trifluridine is likely to be high in patients with renal impairment, leading to an increased risk of adverse events. In previous studies, an association has been reported between a reduced renal function and an increased risk of adverse events. However, there are still many unclear points about the correlation between the renal function and the plasma concentration of tipiracil and between the plasma concentration of tipiracil and the incidence of adverse events. Therefore, the criteria for dose reduction according to the renal function have not been established. As a result, in clinical practice, FTD/TPI is generally administered at a full dose, as long as the administration criteria are met. In our case, severe pancytopenia occurred, followed by febrile neutropenia and sepsis, which may have been due to the patient's decreased renal function. It may be necessary to adjust the dose of FTD/TPI based on the renal function, especially in elderly patients, because it is often difficult for elderly patients to recover once their condition becomes severe.

In our case, severe myelosuppression with a neutrophil count <100/µl lasted for one week; however, the time to the recovery of the bone marrow function was similar to the results in a previous study. Accordingly, it seems that the severity of myelosuppression and the duration of myelosuppression are not always correlated. Given that the increasing number of neutrophils due to the recovery of bone marrow function quickly improved the patient's infection, it is important to administer G-CSF and control the infection until the bone marrow function is restored.

In our case, severe neutropenia occurred from the first course. Thus, it is necessary to pay attention to adverse events from the first course. Furthermore, in cases with severe neutropenia, the bone marrow function may be significantly reduced, and attention should be paid to the hemoglobin level and platelet count.

In our case, the disease progressed during the interruption of chemotherapy due to adverse events. Minimizing adverse events is important, as the disease may progress before recovery from adverse events. Biweekly administration has been reported as a method of preventing neutropenia. Furthermore, in a previous report, dose reduction from 35 mg/m²/twice daily to 20 mg/m²/twice...
daily was proposed for patients with an impaired renal function (glomerular filtration rate <30/ml/min) based on the predicted blood concentration for the prevention of neutropenia.  

4  |  CONCLUSION

Trifluridine/tipiracil treatment is associated with a high incidence of hematological toxicity, and may be more frequent in patients with an impaired renal function. Therefore, more caution is required when administering FTD/TPI treatment to patients with an impaired renal function.
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