Extracting and physicochemical properties of carotenoprotein from shrimp processing waste by proteases-mediated hydrolysis
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Abstract

In this study, carotenoprotein from Pacific white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) processing waste was extracted with the aid of alcalase (CP-A) and pepsin (CP-P) at various levels (0-4 units/100g waste) for different times (0–240 min). Recovery of carotenoids and protein increased with increasing enzymes levels (3 unit/g) and hydrolysis times until 180 min (p<0.05). The extracted carotenoprotein by pepsin and alcalase consisted of 72.11-75.32% protein contents and carotenoids were in the range of 330-530 µg/g samples. The phenylalanine, lysine, methionine and valine as essential amino acids were high at CP-A and CP-P. The dominant non-essential amino acids in carotenoproteins were aspartic acid, glutamic acid, glycine and alanine. It was rich in mono and polyunsaturated fatty acids. The CP-A showed higher DHA and EPA (8.52 and 6.49%) than CP-P (5.55 and 5.49%). The saturated fatty acids were reduced after enzymatic hydrolysis and contents were higher in carotenoproteins. The extracted samples showed a significant amount of mineral contents. Sodium, phosphorus, magnesium and potassium contents were found to be higher in CP-A. The lead and copper reduced as a result of hydrolysis. Therefore, carotenoprotein from processing residue of pacific white shrimp could be used as the value-added nutritious enriching food or feed powder.

Statement Of Novelty

Extraction of carotenoprotein could be valorize shrimp waste and reduce environmental problems. These residues are one of the problems of shrimp processors in developing countries. Lots of researchers evaluated the extraction of carotenoprotein under various conditions in their work. In the present work, carotenoproteins were prepared by two types of common enzymes.

The obtained data can be useful in the option of the find a suitable method to producing carotenoprotein for animal feed or food. It suggests that other ways is better to investigate and a comparison could be helpful.

1. Introduction

Annually, a substantial content of residues, by-products, and wastes produces by fish and crustacean processing industry. This discards, burden severe environmental and ecological influences, also have considerable effects on the economic viability of the fisheries sectors [1, 2]. In recent years, growing considerations have been given to the waste of shrimp processing [3, 4]. The shrimp processing generates considerable quantities of waste in the form of heads, tails, and cephalothorax; which these large amounts of discards cause some problems [5, 6]. Approximately 50–70% of the whole weight of the raw material estimates as the wastes of shrimp processing. These residues have been explored as a potential and rich source of chitin, protein, carotenoid, shrimp hydrolysate, shrimp flavorant, nutritive components, PUFA and enzymes [5, 7, 8]. In Iran, a small quantity of the waste is being used as manure and animal feed mix. Thus, the large quantity of this organic waste is a problem for processors while can be a potential source of bioactive products.
Today, many researchers no longer bring up shrimp by-products as waste and instead it is known as a raw material which is prepared to usage in other processes [9]. Carotenoid and carotenoproteins have been known as bioactive compounds that have potential to use as natural colorant, antioxidant, antimicrobial agents in different industries such as food, pharmacology, textiles, chemical and feed [5, 8, 10, 11]. Carotenoproteins is a protein–pigment complexes are stable products which carotenoids by binding to the protein (hydrophobic sites) will be more stable [12]. Therefore, using such discards is of economic value and has drawn more attention in recent years [3, 10]. Investigates have been carried out on isolation of carotenoproteins from shrimp and crab processing discards by using different methods such as autolytic hydrolysis [5], enzymatic hydrolysis [2, 13], and fermentation [14]. Shrimp waste hydrolysate can be as a biological source of active peptides with considerable potential in pharmacology, anti-cancer activity and/or as growth-stimulating agents in animal feeds [15, 16]. Work on the application of extracted alkaline proteinases from fish viscera can be found for extraction of carotenoproteins [8, 17] and this compound was studied as an antioxidant agent in system models [18].

According to previous studies, enzymatic hydrolysis seems one of the suitable methods to increase the efficiency extraction of carotenoproteins [8]. The hydrolysis condition is effective on yield and characteristics of carotenoprotein from shrimp processing waste. Therefore, the present study investigates the impact of hydrolysis using Alcalase and pepsin on the extraction of carotenoproteins from Pacific white shrimp waste processing. Furthermore, this study aimed to describe some biochemical characteristics, mineral content and nutritional characteristics such as amino and fatty acids contents of extracted carotenoproteins.

2. Material And Methods

2.1. Chemicals

Alcalase (Bacillus licheniformis, 2.4 AU-A/g) was obtained from Novozymes ( Bagsvaerd, Denmark) and pepsin (porcine gastric mucosa), bovine serum albumin (BSA), Boron trifluoride (BF3), fatty acid standards, were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, Mo, USA). All other chemicals used were of analytical grade and supplied by AppliChem (GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany) and Merck (KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany).

2.2. Preparation of raw material

Shrimp processing waste (a mixture of cephalothorax, shell, and tail) were obtained Litopenaeus vannamei (Shilabzi shrimp processing Co., Golestan, Iran). The waste was transported to the laboratory within 30 min; upon arrival, the raw waste was grounded by food processor (Panasonic, Malaysia Sdn Bhd.), was packed and stored in polyethylene bags at -20°C until the experiment.

2.3. Extraction of carotenoprotein using proteases
Carotenoproteins (CP-A and CP-P) extraction from shrimp waste was performed according to Chakrabarti (2002) and Sila, Nasri, and Bougatef (2012). At the first, the optimal concentration of enzymes (pepsin and alcalase) and time of hydrolysis were determined. 25 g of homogenized wastes were mixed with EDTA buffer solution (0.5 M) in a ratio of 1:2.5 and the pH of the mixture was adjusted (pepsin, 2 & alcalase, 8). Enzymes were added (0 to 4 U/g) and the mixture incubated for 0, 60, 120, 180 and 240 min (rpm 200) (IKA-Werke GmbH & Co. KG, Staufen Germany). At the end, the mixture was filtered via a multilayer cleaning cloth; the pH was adjusted to 4.5 (HCl 2M) and was centrifuged at 5000 rpm (4°C, 20 min), then it was dissolved in 20 ml of 5 mmol sodium phosphate buffer (pH =7) and lyophilized (Christ, ALPHA 2-4 LSC, Gmb, Germany). The dried CPs was stored in tightly closed plastic containers. The optimal condition of hydrolysis was determined based on the recovery protein and carotenoid contents.

2.4. Total carotenoid

Total carotenoid in the waste and CPs was measured (Simpson and Haard, 1985). 1g of the sample was mixed with acetone (25 ml) and homogenized (2 min, 16000 rpm); then filtered (Whatman paper filter, No.1) and was drained in a separating funnel and was subdivided with an equal volume of petroleum ether. The separatory funnel was shaken and stands for 30 min (24°C). The top layer was washed twice with an equal volume of water. The petroleum ether layer obtained was passed and dehydrated by anhydrous Na2SO4. All petroleum ether was evaporated by rotary at vacuum condition. The residue was appropriately diluted in petroleum ether (10ml) and the absorbance was read at 468 nm. The carotenoid content (μg/g) in shrimp waste and prepared sample was calculated by the equation (1); which 0.2 is the absorbance of standard astaxanthin (1 mg /ml).

\[
\text{Carotenoid content} = \frac{\text{absorbance (A468)} \times \text{volume} \times \text{diluten factor}}{0.2 \times \text{sample weight}}
\]  

(1)

2.5. Protein Recovery of hydrolyzed shrimp waste

Protein solubility was measured at the different time according to the biuret method [19]. 100 mg of the sample was mixed by 0.5 M NaOH (10 ml) at 85°C. After 1 h incubation, the samples were centrifuged (5000 rpm, 20 min); the supernatant was tested for protein content. The bovine serum albumin (BSA) was applied as the standard and the results were reported in mg/mL by BSA standard curve [11].

2.6. Proximate Analysis and Chitin content

The moisture, protein, fat, and ash contents of the uniformly grounded waste and CPs were estimated by standard methods [20]. To determine of chitin content, 2 g of sample was mixed by 30 ml of NaOH (2%, w/v) for 6 h at 25 C. After incubation (6 h), the mixture was filtered (Whatman paper filter, No.1) and was incubated with HCl (1 mM) for 30 min (25°C). After the filtration, samples washed and the pigment removed by vigorously homogenized (Ultraturax, IKA-Werke GmbH & Co. KG, Staufen Germany) with cold acetone (14000 rpm, 5 min). Then, it was washed by the water and mixed with NaOCl (0.3%). After
incubation for 6 h at 25°C, the sample was filtered and washes with distilled water and was dried (24h, 60°C). The chitin content was expressed as a percentage.

2.7. Determination of color

Color was measured using a Lovibond CAM-System 500, Colorimeter Imaging (Tintometer Ltd., Amesbury, UK). The color (L*, lightness; a*, redness/greenness; and b*, yellowness/blueness) indexes were reported in the CIE system.

2.8. Determination of amino acid

The amino acids composition of SW and CPs was analyzed by following the method of Matloubi et al. (2004). The sample was analyzed through hydrolysis of samples using 6 M HCl (1h, 110 °C). After cooling, an aliquot of the sample was subjected to neutralization (pH 1.5-5). Derivatization of amino acids was done by phenyl isothiocyanate. The amino acid standard and hydrolysates were dissolved in 100 mL buffer; 1-10 mL of the solutions was analyzed by reverse-phase HPLC (PerkinElmer, Shelton, CT, USA). Tryptophan was measured, separately.

2.9. Determination of fatty acid composition

Fatty acid compositions of SW and CPs were defined as fatty acid methyl esters (FAMEs) according to Metcalfe and Schmitz [21] using a gas chromatograph (GC Hewlett Packard 5890 series, Avondale, PA., USA); which provided with flame ionization detector. MEs were acquired from fatty acids in the lipid extract of samples through Boron trifluoride esterification. The MEs were afterwards dissolved in n-heptane and were injected into the GC and breakdown was carried out on a fused silica capillary column (30 m × 0.32 mm, 0.25 μm; Supelco, Bellefonte, PA, USA). The Helium was used as a carrier gas. The initial temperature of the oven was introduced at 160°C and went up to 200°C (3°C/min) and continued for 1 min. It was more increased to 220°C at 3°C/min and the temperature was kept for 17 min. The temperature of the detector and injection was planned at 240°C and 250°C, respectively. Identification of chromatographic peaks was carried out to the retention time of FAME standards. In the end, fatty acid compositions were shown as the percentage of total fatty acids.

2.10. Determination of mineral and heavy metals

The minerals and heavy metals (calcium, sodium, potassium, iron, manganese, zinc, magnesium, copper, cadmium, mercury, and lead) content of shrimp waste and carotenoproteins were determined. The nitric acid and H₂O₂ were used to digest samples. The analysis was determined by Optima 8000 ICP-OES Spectrometer (PerkinElmer, Inc., Waltham, USA).

2.11. Statistical Analysis

The results were analyzed using one-way analysis of variance in the completely randomized design. To compare the means Tukye' test was carried out. The analysis was accomplished by IBM SPSS Statistics
3. Results And Discussion

3.1. Protein solubility of shrimp waste

The Protein solubility of *Vannami* shrimp waste at different pH was determined (Fig.1). This was similar to the isoelectric pH of other species of shrimp. At pH 4 to 7, the solubility of the protein was low and in the range of 1-3 and 8-10, protein had a higher solubility. At pH 6, the solubility was the lowest (1.8 mg/ml). This pH was determined 5.78 for the brown shrimp [13]. This solubility is extremely related to pH; which at the isoelectric point, the lowest protein solubility is happening. In this point, protein has a net charge to zero, and the highest isoelectric point is at high acid or basic pH [22].

According to Latorres, Rios [23], The low solubility of protein is related to the firm structure of macromolecule to subunits; which bounded by multiple inter and intramolecular disulfde bonds and hydrophobic interactions. Therefore, hydrolysis of shrimp waste may increase the solubility of the protein.

3.2. Effect of different types and levels of enzymes and hydrolysis times on the recovered carotenoprotein from shrimp waste

The effect of different levels of enzymes (alcalase and pepsin) and times of hydrolysis incubation on the recovery of protein and carotenoid from shrimp waste has shown in Fig. 2 & 3.

As expected, with increasing time of hydrolysis and concentration of enzymes, recovery of carotenoid and protein was increased. These recoveries significantly increased at higher units of the enzyme (3U/g). The control batch proved that enzymes in all levels had positive results on the proteolysis of shrimp waste and carotenoid extraction. Nevertheless, in protein recovery a higher level of the enzymes (> 2 U/g) was more effective (p<0.05); which it was previously reported by Sila, Nasri [2]. No significant differences in protein and carotenoid recoveries were considerable at levels of 3 and 4 U/g enzymes. In both enzymes, the hydrolysis of the waste was increased after 2 h; then, a slower rate of hydrolysis was found 180 min up to 240 min and reached to the equilibrium phase (p>0.05). No significant differences in recoveries were observed after 180 min of hydrolysis. The comparison of enzymes showed that the alcalase was more effective than pepsin in the recovery of compounds from white shrimp waste (p<0.05). The highest recovery of protein by 3U/g of pepsin and alcalase was 55.85 and 50.1%, respectively; which had no significant difference by 4 units of the enzymes. In the case of carotenoid recovery, the higher content was 532.14 and 531.21 mg/g for alcalase and pepsin at a concentration of 3.0 U/g of SW. Barse, Chakrabarti [24] reported that trypsin was more effective than papain and pepsin for extracting carotenoprotein from shrimp shell. Related results were also observed by Klomklao, Benjakul [25], Sila, Nasri [2] and Senphan, Benjakul [11] when different concertation and type of proteases were applied for hydrolysis of shrimp wastes to the recovery of carotenoid and protein. The enzyme levels are various in different studies related to the activities of the enzyme. The current study 3 unit of enzymes showed acceptable results of recovery. It was inconsistent with the results of pink shrimp shells hydrolysis by a
barbell and bovine trypsin (2 unit/g shell) [2]; while 1.2 units of trypsin enhanced the carotenoprotein extraction of tiger shrimp exoskeleton [25].

3.3. Proximate composition of shrimp waste and carotenoproteins

The proximate compositions and chitin contents of shrimp waste were 35.71% protein, 1.75% lipid, 39.42% ash, and 22.48% (Table 1).

| Proximate composition | Shrimp waste | Carotenoprotein |
|-----------------------|--------------|-----------------|
|                       |             | CP-A            | CP-P            |
| Protein (%)           | 35.71±1.43b | 75.32±1.09a     | 72.11±0.42a     |
| Lipid (%)             | 1.75±0.25c  | 20.49±0.96a     | 15.72±0.44b     |
| Ash (%)               | 39.42±3.92a | 3.95±0.24b      | 8.30±1.21b      |
| Chitin (%)            | 22.48±2.95a | 1.61±0.37b      | 2.47±0.33b      |
| Carotenoids (μg g⁻¹)  | 24.06±2.05c | 530.37±6.86a    | 330.00±4.07b    |

Data expressed as means of three replicates ± standard errors
Different letters show the significant difference between treatments (P<0.05).

Protein in various shrimp shells has been reported about 31 to 49% [2, 11, 26]. Due to the great protein content of the waste, this source could be used directly to the formulations for feed [26]. The max protein content in the CP-A and CP-P was 75.32 and 72.11%, respectively (p>0.05); which is within the range of 71.09 to 80.05 reported by previous studies [2, 27]. Higher protein content in carotenoproteins than the raw waste could be attributed to the removal of insoluble solid matter besides protein solubilization by enzymatic hydrolysis [14, 28]. The carotenoid content in the SW was close to 24.06 μg g⁻¹, which was within the range of 35.8 μg g⁻¹ tiger a prawn (P monodon) from 23 to 331 μg g⁻¹ in the exoskeleton reported by previous studies. It depends on species, nutritional and rearing conditions. Due to effect of proteases, the total carotenoid in CP-A (530.37 μg g⁻¹) and CP-P was significantly higher than raw material; which is in agreement with the other findings [18, 25]. Sila, Nasri [2] reported 140 μg g⁻¹ carotenoid in carotenoprotein extracted of pink shrimp waste. The extracted carotenoprotein was found to have antioxidant activity as the result of the higher content of carotenoid which is bounded to the proteins [11]. Therefore, higher content of carotenoid will cause of the antioxidant activity of the CPs. It was also observed about lipid content in CPs compared with that found in SW; which CP-A had a higher content of carotenoid and lipid in comparison of CP-P. The chitin and ash content was relatively lower in
CP-P & CP-A (2.47 and 1.61%) compared with that found in SW (22.48%); which it was inconsistent with Chakrabarti [13] also found that carotenoprotein from tropical brown shrimp shell waste extracted by trypsin had lower ash and chitin contents than shrimp waste. Our findings are in line with previous works reported by Sila, Nasri [2] and Senphan, Benjakul [11] who found high chitin at shrimp residue and the lower content in recovered CP of pink and white shrimps. It has been described that extraction by protease promotes the outgoing of protein, and lipid from shrimp residues, whiles chitin maintained in the shell [11, 25]. According to these results, enzyme-derived CP can be used as a source of pigments, protein, and fats for human dietary supplements and salmon breeding [25].

3.4. Color of shrimp waste and carotenoproteins

The color parameters of SW and recovered CPs are presented in Table 2. L* value (Lightness) was found to be significantly higher in the SW; which was significantly lower in CP-A and CP-P. SW had significantly lower a* and b*values (p<0.05).

| Table 2. |
|----------|
| Color indexes of the raw waste and carotenoprotein extracted with the aid of Alcalase (CP-A) and Pepsin (CP-P) from shrimp (Litopenaeus vannamei) shell |

| Shrimp waste | Carotenoprotein |
|--------------|-----------------|
|              | CP-A            | CP-P            |
| L*           | 70.40±1.05<sup>a</sup> | 36.1±0.00<sup>b</sup> | 41.60±0.00<sup>b</sup> |
| a*           | 9.06±2.05<sup>b</sup> | 38.60±0.80<sup>a</sup> | 32.05±0.75<sup>a</sup> |
| b*           | 16.10±2.05<sup>c</sup> | 42.90±0.75<sup>c</sup> | 40.25±0.50<sup>c</sup> |

Data expressed as means of three replicates ± standard errors
Different letters show the significant difference between treatments (P<0.05).

The recovered CP-A and CP-P samples were orange-red in color and CP-A showed higher redness of 38.60 (a*) and yellowness of 42.90 (b*). Higher values of a* and b* was related to the extracted astaxanthin along with protein. These results agreed with that reported by Senphan, Benjakul [11] and Sila, Sayari [27] in a studies on obtained carotenoproteins by proteases; which reported lower lightness and higher a* and b* in CPs than shrimp waste. Crustacean color depends on the astaxanthin content that causes a range of color from green, yellow, blue to brown. The deposited astaxanthin is responsibility of the color and hue saturation of crustacean [29]; which could be used as a coloring agent in feed or maybe food.

3.5. Amino acid composition
The essential and non-essential of amino acids were found at Pacific white shrimp waste (Table 3).

The shrimp waste has a significant content of the essential amino acids such as phenylalanine, lysine, valine and leucine (155.34, 73.04, 59.00 and 58.05 mg/g sample, respectively). The non-essential amino acids such as glutamic acid, arginine, aspartic acid, and glycine also have been identified in amino acid profiles of shrimp waste (109.35, 82.05, 73.04, and 62.07 mg/g sample, respectively). Carotenoproteins extracted from shrimp shells had higher total non-essential amino acid (660.06 & 606.23 mg/g) level than shrimp waste (529.67 mg/g). The CPs was a significant source of the non-essential amino acids such as arginine (101.05 & 93.20 mg/g sample, respectively); which was higher in alcalase treated waste.
Table 3.
Amino acid composition of the raw waste and carotenoprotein extracted with the aid of Alcalase (CP-A) and Pepsin (CP-P) from shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) shell

| Amino acid         | Raw waste     | CP-A          | CP-P          |
|--------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Histidine          | 38.00±0.09<sup>a</sup> | 29.05±0.05<sup>c</sup> | 33.00±0.09<sup>b</sup> |
| Leucine            | 58.05±0.05<sup>a</sup> | 57.16±0.05<sup>b</sup> | 32.00±2.29<sup>a</sup> |
| Isoleucine         | 32.05±0.05<sup>a</sup> | 22.15±0.25<sup>b</sup> | 11.01±0.01<sup>c</sup> |
| Lysine             | 73.00±0.20<sup>a</sup> | 74.01±0.09<sup>a</sup> | 54.24±0.33<sup>b</sup> |
| Methionine         | 18.00±0.10<sup>b</sup> | 14.99±0.02<sup>c</sup> | 24.70±0.10<sup>a</sup> |
| phenylalanine      | 155.34±1.35<sup>a</sup> | 75.04±0.06<sup>c</sup> | 124.74±4.75<sup>a</sup> |
| Threonine          | 35.01±0.01<sup>c</sup> | 55.20±0.10<sup>a</sup> | 44.25±0.45<sup>b</sup> |
| Valine             | 59.00±0.09<sup>b</sup> | 61.01±0.00<sup>a</sup> | 42.05±0.25<sup>c</sup> |
| Cystein            | 37.05±0.05<sup>a</sup> | 31.00±1.00<sup>b</sup> | 31.06±0.04<sup>b</sup> |
| Aspartic acid      | 73.04±0.05<sup>c</sup> | 111.01±1.01<sup>a</sup> | 107.13±3.02<sup>b</sup> |
| Glutamic acid      | 109.35±0.36<sup>c</sup> | 142.89±0.08<sup>a</sup> | 131.01±0.11<sup>b</sup> |
| Serine             | 46.05±0.05<sup>a</sup> | 38.05±0.05<sup>c</sup> | 41.10±0.10<sup>b</sup> |
| Glycine            | 62.07±2.18<sup>c</sup> | 90.89±0.09<sup>a</sup> | 72.05±0.05<sup>b</sup> |
| Arginine           | 82.05±0.05<sup>c</sup> | 101.05±0.05<sup>a</sup> | 93.20±0.20<sup>b</sup> |
| Alanine            | 48.05±0.05<sup>c</sup> | 83.04±0.05<sup>a</sup> | 72.50±0.19<sup>b</sup> |
| Proline            | 38.95±0.04<sup>c</sup> | 42.05±0.05<sup>a</sup> | 40.06±0.02<sup>b</sup> |
| Tyrosin            | 33.05±0.05<sup>a</sup> | 20.05±0.05<sup>b</sup> | 18.11±0.01<sup>c</sup> |
| Essential amino acids | 468.46±1.73<sup>a</sup> | 388.62±0.41<sup>b</sup> | 366.00±7.57<sup>c</sup> |
| Non-essential amino acids | 529.67±2.39<sup>c</sup> | 660.06±0.13<sup>a</sup> | 606.23±2.97<sup>b</sup> |
| Total Amino acids  | 998.14±4.13<sup>b</sup> | 1048.68±0.28<sup>a</sup> | 972.24±10.54<sup>c</sup> |

Data expressed as means of two replicates ± standard errors

Different letters show the significant difference between treatments (P<0.05).
Due to degradation during hydrolysis, tryptophan was not in any sample. Carotenoproteins recovered by alcalase and pepsin were a significant source of the non-essential amino acids glutamic acid, aspartic acid, glycine, alanine (p< 0.05). The phenylalanine, lysine and valine as essential amino acids were high at alcalase treated waste; while phenylalanine and lysine were at a higher concentration (124.74 & 54.24 mg/g) in CP-P. The non-essential amino acids aspartic acid, glutamic acid, glycine and alanine were found in higher concentrations in both carotenoproteins; which alcalase treated waste displayed higher content amino acids. It was inconsistent by Pattanaik, Sawant [12] reporting between all amino acids, glutamic acid was in the main and high amount in all the CPs extracted from different shrimp species. It was also in agreement with the findings of Senphan, Benjakul [11], Klomklao, Benjakul [25] who reported that the CPs was abundant in these amino acids.

In similar study, the essential amino acids in carotenoprotein of *L. vannamei* shrimp waste reported higher than the current study [11]. Armenta and Guerrero-Legarreta (2009) showed that carotenoprotein extracted from fermented vannami shrimp waste was rich in aspartic acid and glutamic acids. Cremades, Parrado [30], expressed that carotenoproteins could develop diets for patients who are suffering from specific diseases such as cancer–anorexia-cachexia syndrome and renal damage.

3.6. Fatty acid composition

Fatty acid compositions of shrimp waste and extracted carotenoprotein by different enzymes are presented in Table 4. The fatty acid profile of shrimp shell could be related to species differences and also farming and/or feeding conditions.
Table 4
Fatty acid profile (% of total fatty acids) of the raw waste and carotenoprotein extracted with the aid of Alcalase (CP-A) and Pepsin (CP-P) from shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) shell

| Fatty acids |  WS       | Carotenoprotein |        |        |
|            |          | CP-A           | CP-P   |
| C12:0      | 1.70±0.01| 1.10±0.00      | 0.81±0.00 |
| C14:0      | 3.09±0.00| 1.11±0.00      | 2.39±0.05 |
| C15:0      | 1.70±0.00| 0.50±0.01      | 1.30±0.01 |
| C16:0      | 15.29±0.00| 6.41±0.01     | 8.60±0.02 |
| C18:0      | 6.20±0.00| 2.25±0.16      | 4.20±0.00 |
| C20:0      | 0.39±0.01| 0.94±0.04      | 0.51±0.00 |
| unknown    | 1.45±0.04| 0.50±0.00      | 0.79±0.01 |
| SFA        | 29.85±0.01а | 12.82±0.11с  | 18.63±0.04б |
| C16:1n-7   | 4.81±0.00| 5.86±0.08      | 5.79±0.03 |
| C16:1n-5   | 1.38±0.01| 2.50±0.00      | 2.21±0.01 |
| C18:1n-9   | 10.31±0.08| 8.29±0.02     | 7.50±0.00 |
| C18:1n-7   | 5.08±0.02| 7.20±0.00      | 6.58±0.06 |
| C20:1n-9   | 2.15±0.05| 4.20±0.00      | 3.30±0.00 |
| C20:1n-7   | 1.51±0.00| 1.80±0.00      | 2.10±0.09 |
| C22:1n-9   | 1.09±0.00| 2.43±0.03      | 1.93±0.03 |
| C22:1n-7   | 0.20±0.00| 0.52±0.02      | 0.81±0.01 |
| unknown    | 0.80±0.00| 0.49±0.00      | 0.51±0.02 |
| MUFA       | 27.37±0.17с | 33.31±0.04а  | 30.76±0.18б |
| C16:2n-9   | 1.80±0.00| 2.20±0.00      | 1.59±0.04 |
| C16:2n-4   | 2.09±0.02| 3.23±0.03      | 2.80±0.00 |
| C16:3n-4   | 1.22±0.02| 1.70±0.01      | 0.89±0.02 |
| C16:4n-3   | 1.59±0.00| 0.28±0.01      | 0.83±0.03 |
| C18:2n-6   | 1.76±0.08| 1.51±0.01      | 0.68±0.01 |
| C18:2n-4   | 0.60±0.01| 0.26±0.04      | 0.50±0.00 |
| Fatty Acids | Sample A  | Sample B  | Sample C  |
|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| C18:3n-4   | 0.50±0.08 | 0.41±0.01 | 0.80±0.00 |
| C18:3n-3   | 0.79±0.06 | 1.80±0.00 | 1.41±0.05 |
| C20:2n-9   | 3.10±0.00 | 3.60±0.00 | 3.11±0.01 |
| C20:2n-6   | 2.00±0.00 | 2.50±0.00 | 2.14±0.04 |
| C20:4n-6   | 4.19±0.00 | 5.21±0.01 | 4.51±0.01 |
| C20:5n-3   | 4.79±0.00 | 6.49±0.00 | 5.49±0.00 |
| C22:4n-6   | 0.88±0.01 | 1.52±0.00 | 1.39±0.02 |
| C22:5n-6   | 1.10±0.00 | 2.20±0.00 | 2.82±0.02 |
| C22:5n-3   | 1.69±0.00 | 2.70±0.00 | 2.10±0.09 |
| C22:6n-3   | 5.50±0.00 | 8.52±0.02 | 5.55±0.15 |
| Unknown    | 0.19±0.03 | 0.85±0.05 | 1.80±0.02 |
| PUFA       | 33.85±0.22 | 45.06±0.04 | 38.58±0.03 |

Data expressed as means of two replicates ± standard errors
Different letters show the significant difference between treatments (P<0.05).

Shrimp waste exhibited a desirable content of PUFAs (33.85%); which were found to be more abundant than MUFAs and SFAs (27.37 and 29.85, respectively). The most abundant SFAs in SW were Palmitic (C16:0) (15.29%) and Stearic acids (C18:0) (6.20%) and the main MUFA was oleic acid (C18:1 n-9); among the PUFAs, DHA (C22:6n-3) and EPA (C20:5n-3) were the main PUFAs (5.50 and 4.79%, respectively) in shrimp waste. It was inconsistent of similar studies on pink shrimp shell fatty acids; which palmitic, stearic and oleic acid was prevail in the shells. Total PUFA in this study was more than the reported of pink shrimp shells (22.48%) [2]. The SFAs were reduced after enzymatic hydrolysis and MUFA and PUFA contents were higher in carotenoproteins (p<0.05). The high content of PUFA and the ratio of PUFA/SFA descending orders were: CP-A> CP-P> WS. The lowest ratio of total ω6 to ω3 was in CP-A samples. Palmitic acid, oleic acid, DHA and EPA were found to be higher than other fatty acids of CP-A and CP-P; which CP-A showed higher DHA and EPA (8.52 and 6.49%) than CP-P (5.55 and 5.49%). According to Cremades, Parrado [30] recovered CP from crayfish contained significant content of ω3 and ω6 fatty acids which bound to protein and make this compound as a nutraceutical product.

3.7 Mineral and Heavy metal composition

Table 5 shows the mineral and heavy metal compositions of the shrimp waste and recovered carotenoproteins. Minerals are essential to several important biochemical and physiological functions in
the body [31]. In the present study, SW contained substantial amounts of calcium, phosphorus, and sodium.

Table 5.

| Mineral and heavy metals (mg/100g) content of the raw waste and carotenoprotein extracted with the aid of Alcalase (CP-A) and Pepsin (CP-P) from Pacific white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) shell | Shrimp waste | Carotenoprotein |
|---|---|---|
| Ca (calcium) | 2547.50±27.50 | Trace |
| Ph (phosphorus) | 313.00±12.00<sup>a</sup> | 101.0±1.00<sup>b</sup> | 101.00±1.00<sup>b</sup> |
| Mg (Magnesium) | 120.50±3.49<sup>a</sup> | 47.5±0.50<sup>b</sup> | 47.5±0.50<sup>b</sup> |
| Na (Sodium) | 278.50±6.50<sup>a</sup> | 100.50±10.50<sup>b</sup> | 315.50±15.25<sup>a</sup> |
| K (potassium) | 53.00±5.00<sup>a</sup> | 39.50±0.50<sup>b</sup> | 39.51±0.50<sup>b</sup> |
| Mn (Manganese) | 4.95±0.15<sup>a</sup> | 1.60±0.50<sup>b</sup> | 1.20±0.50<sup>b</sup> |
| Fe (Iron) | 4.65±0.55<sup>a</sup> | 3.95±0.15<sup>b</sup> | 2.87±0.27<sup>c</sup> |
| Hg (Mercury) | Trace | Trace | Trace |
| Pb (Lead) | 0.15±0.05 | Trace | Trace |
| Zn (Zinc) | 4.95±0.25<sup>a</sup> | 2.40±0.30<sup>b</sup> | 2.26±0.50<sup>b</sup> |
| Cd (Cadmium) | Trace | Trace | Trace |
| Cu (Copper) | 10.00±0.20<sup>a</sup> | 4.75±0.45<sup>b</sup> | 4.32±0.13<sup>b</sup> |

Values are mean ± SD (n = 3)

Different letters in each row denote significant difference (P<0.05).

It was likely due to the existence of wide concentrations of the minerals in the raw material. Sodium, phosphorus, magnesium and potassium contents were found to be higher in CPs. The mineral content in CPs was affected by substrate and enzyme. Chalamaiah, Hemalatha [31] also considered the effect of enzyme and substrate type on the mineral type and content in hydrolyzed roe samples. The minerals in the SW were higher than CPs; which calcium mainly found in higher quantity in waste. Furthermore, except of sodium, the mineral contents no significant difference observed among CP-P and CP-A. Due to the neutralization of acidic pH by addition NaOH; sodium was mainly in higher quantity in CP-P [32]. In CP-A and CP-P, phosphorus and magnesium contents were significantly reduced than raw material.
Regarding the heavy metals, the lead was in SW; which it was eliminated in the CPs (Table 3). The elements decreased significantly after enzymatic hydrolysis. The presence of heavy metals in shrimp waste depends on the content of metals in the water and the breeding area. Copper, zinc and iron are among essential heavy metals. According to Wu and Yang [33], the amount of Zn and Fe elements are a reflect of aquatic environments. These essential heavy metals decreased in CPs; which were higher in CP-A samples.

4. Conclusion

Results of the present study showed the characteristics of the extracted carotenoproteins from shrimp processing residues. The optimum conditions for the higher extracted content of carotenoid and protein by alcalase and pepsin were found. The maximum yield was found by the alcalase at 3 U/g during 180 min of incubation time (pH= 8). The study showed the potential of the raw shell of Litopenaeus vannami can be a source of protein and mineral contents. The carotenoproteins had a higher content of fatty acids and essential and non-essential amino acids. This bio-macromolecule contained an acceptable level of mineral contents. The co-extraction of protein and carotenoid as a bioactive and antioxidant powder could be used as an additive to enrich some daily foods. Further, researches are required to assess in this area.
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