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Abstract

Recent studies of the nearest star-forming clouds of the Galaxy at submillimeter wavelengths with the Herschel Space Observatory have provided us with unprecedented images of the initial conditions and early phases of the star formation process. The Herschel images reveal an intricate network of filamentary structure in every interstellar cloud. These filaments all exhibit remarkably similar widths - about a tenth of a parsec - but only the densest ones contain prestellar cores, the seeds of future stars. The Herschel results favor a scenario in which interstellar filaments and prestellar cores represent two key steps in the star formation process: first turbulence stirs up the gas, giving rise to a universal web-like structure in the interstellar medium, then gravity takes over and controls the further fragmentation of filaments into prestellar cores and ultimately protostars. This scenario provides new insight into the origin of stellar masses and the star formation efficiency in the dense molecular gas of galaxies. Despite an apparent complexity, global star formation may be governed by relatively simple universal laws from filament to galactic scales.
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1. Introduction

Star formation is one of the most complex processes in astrophysics, involving a subtle interplay between gravity, turbulence, magnetic fields, feedback mechanisms, heating and cooling effects (e.g. McKee & Ostriker [2007]) etc... Yet, despite this apparent complexity, the net products of the star formation process on global scales are relatively simple and robust. In particular, the distribution of stellar masses at birth or stellar initial mass function (IMF) is known to be quasi-universal (e.g. Bastian et al. [2010]). Likewise, the star formation rate on both interstellar-cloud and galaxy-wide scales is related to the mass of dense molecular gas available by rather well defined “star formation laws” (e.g. Lada et al. [2012], Shimajiri et al. [2017]).

This paper presents an overview of recent observational results obtained with the Herschel Space Observatory (Pilbratt et al. [2010]) and other facilities on the texture of nearby star-forming clouds, which suggest that it may be possible to explain, at least partly, the IMF and the global star formation efficiency in the Galaxy in terms of the quasi-universal filamentary structure of the cold interstellar medium (ISM) out of which stars form. Interestingly, the filamentary web of cold interstellar gas responsible for star formation within galaxies bears a marked resemblance to the cosmic web of intergalactic gas and dark matter leading to galaxy formation in cosmological simulations (cf. Springel et al. [2005], Freundlich et al. [2014] and § 4 below).

2. Summary of Herschel results supporting a filamentary paradigm for star formation

Herschel imaging surveys of Galactic star-forming

Figure 1: Herschel/ SPIRE 250 μm dust continuum image of a portion of the Polaris flare translucent cloud (d ~ 150 pc) taken as part of the HGBS survey (e.g. Miville-Deschênes et al. [2010], Ward-Thompson et al. [2010], André et al. [2010]). Note the widespread filamentary structure.
regions have confirmed the ubiquitousness of filaments in Galactic molecular clouds (see Fig. 1) and suggested an intimate connection between the filamentary structure of the ISM and the star formation process (e.g. André et al., 2010; Molinari et al., 2010). While molecular clouds have been known to exhibit large-scale filamentary structures for quite some time (e.g. Schneider & Elmegreen, 1979; Johnstone & Bally, 1999; Myers, 2009 and references therein), Herschel observations now demonstrate that these filaments are truly ubiquitous in the cold ISM (e.g. Men'shchikov et al., 2010; Hill et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2015), probably make up a dominant fraction of the dense gas in molecular clouds (e.g. Schisano et al., 2014; Könyves et al., 2015), present a high degree of universality in their properties (e.g. Arzoumanian et al., 2011; and are the preferred birthplaces of prestellar cores (e.g. Könyves et al., 2015; Marsh et al., 2016). This means that interstellar filaments probably play a central role in the star formation process (e.g. André et al., 2014).

2.1. A characteristic filament width

Detailed analysis of the radial column density profiles derived from Herschel submm dust continuum data shows that, at least in the nearby clouds of the Gould Belt, filaments are characterized by a very narrow distribution of inner widths \( W \) with a typical FWHM value \(~ 0.1 \text{ pc} \) (much higher than the \( ~ 0.01 \text{ pc} \) resolution provided by Herschel at the distance \(~ 140 \text{ pc} \) of the nearest clouds) and a dispersion lower than a factor of 2 (Arzoumanian et al., 2011; Palmeirim et al., 2013; Koch & Rosolowsky, 2015). Independent measurements of filament widths have generally been consistent with this Herschel finding when performed in submm continuum emission. For instance, Salji et al. (2015) found an averaged deconvolved FWHM width of \( 0.08^{+0.07}_{-0.03} \text{ pc} \) for 28 filaments detected at 850\( \mu \text{m} \) with SCUBA-2. It should be pointed out, however, that significant variations around the mean inner width of \(~ 0.1 \text{ pc} \) (by up to a factor of \(~ 2 \) on either side) sometimes exist along the main axis of a given filament (e.g. Juvela et al., 2012; Ysard et al., 2013). We also note that the report by Henshaw et al. (2017) of a typical width of \( ~ 0.03 \text{ pc} \) for filamentary structures observed in the 1.1 mm dust continuum with ALMA toward the infrared dark cloud G035.39-00.33 may be affected by interferometric filtering of large-scale emission.

Recently, Panopoulou et al. (2017) raised the issue of whether the existence a characteristic filament width is consistent with the scale-free nature of the power spectrum of interstellar cloud images (well described by a single power law from \(~ 0.01 \text{ pc} \) to \(~ 50 \text{ pc} \) – Miville-Deschênes et al., 2010, 2016). They suggested that the filament widths obtained by Gaussian fitting may be strongly correlated with the range of radii over which the filament profiles are fitted and may not indicate a genuine characteristic width. This suggestion is questionable for the following reasons. First, simulations indicate that the power spectra of synthetic cloud images including populations of simple model filaments, all \(~ 0.1 \text{ pc} \) in diameter, remain consistent with the observed, ’scale-free’ power spectra for realistic distributions of filament contrasts over the background (A. Roy et al., in prep.). Second, in the case of dense filaments whose radial profiles often feature power-law wings, a typical inner diameter of \(~ 0.1 \text{ pc} \) is also found using a Plummer-like model function of the form \( N_p(r) = N_0^{p} (1 + (r/R_{\text{flat}})^2)^{1-p} \), which provides a much better fit to the overall radial profiles than a simple Gaussian model (see Fig. 2 adapted from Palmeirim et al., 2013). Third, the range of radii used for the Gaussian fitting need not be arbitrarily fixed but can be adjusted depending on an initial estimate of the background level at each point along the crest of a filament (cf. André et al., 2016). Finally, it is the physical inner width (in pc) that remains approximately constant from filament to filament in Herschel observations of nearby clouds, while the angular width (in arcsec) scales roughly as
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Figure 2: Mean radial column density profile observed with Herschel perpendicular to the B213/B211 filament in Taurus, for both the Northern (blue curve) and the Southern part (red curve) of the filament. The yellow area shows the (\( \pm 0.4 \)) dispersion of the distribution of radial profiles along the filament. The inner solid purple curve shows the effective 18′′ HPBW resolution (0.012 pc at 140 pc) of the column density map used to construct the profile. The dashed black curve shows the best-fit Plummer-like model of the form \( N_p(r) = N_0^{p} (1 + (r/R_{\text{flat}})^2)^{1-p} \), which has a power-law index \( p = 2.0 \pm 0.4 \) and a diameter \( 2 \times R_{\text{flat}} = 0.07 \pm 0.01 \text{ pc} \). It matches the data very well for \( r \leq 0.4 \text{ pc} \). (From Palmeirim et al. 2013.)
the inverse of the parent cloud’s distance [Arzoumanian 2012]. Although the width estimates reported for low-density filaments with a low contrast over the background are clearly more uncertain, we conclude that the median FWHM filament width of 0.09±0.04 pc reported by [Arzoumanian et al. 2011 – see also André et al., 2014] is most likely reflecting the presence of genuine characteristic scale corresponding to the inner diameter of filament systems, at least in the Gould Belt.

The existence of this characteristic scale is challenging for numerical simulations of interstellar cloud turbulence (e.g. Smith et al. 2014; Ntormousi et al. 2016), and the origin of the common inner width of interstellar filaments is currently debated. A possible interpretation is that filaments originate from planar intersecting shock waves due to supersonic interstellar turbulence (e.g. Pudritz & Kevlahan 2013) and that the filament width corresponds to the (magneto-)sonic scale below which the turbulence becomes subsonic in diffuse, non-star-forming molecular gas (cf. Padoan et al. 2001; Federrath 2016). Alternatively, a characteristic width may arise if interstellar filaments are formed as quasi-equilibrium structures in pressure balance with a typical ambient ISM pressure $P_{\text{ext}} \sim 2-5 \times 10^4$ K cm$^{-3}$ (Fischera & Martin 2012; S. Inutsuka, private communication). A second alternative explanation relies on the thermodynamical properties of cold ISM gas (e.g. Larson 2005; Hocuk et al. 2016) and connects the common inner width of Herschel filaments to the thermal Jeans length at the transition density $n_{H_2} \sim 10^4-10^5$ cm$^{-3}$ at which the effective equation of state of the gas $P \propto \rho^{\gamma_{\text{eff}}}$ changes from $\gamma_{\text{eff}} < 1$ (below the transition density) to $\gamma_{\text{eff}} > 1$ (above the transition density). Yet another possibility is that the characteristic inner width of filaments may be set by the dissipation mechanism of magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) waves due to ion-neutral friction [Hennebelle 2013; Hennebelle & André 2013; Ntormousi et al. 2016]. Recently, Basu (2016) and Auddy et al. (2016) pointed out that the magnetized character of dense molecular filaments (see § 6 below) implies that they cannot be true cylinders and that their 3D configuration should be closer to magnetic ribbons, i.e., triaxial objects flattened along the direction of the large-scale magnetic field. On this basis, they developed an analytic model in which the lateral width of the ribbon-shaped filaments is independent of density, but their thickness corresponds to the Jeans scale, and a relatively flat distribution of apparent filament widths results from projection effects assuming a random set of viewing angles.

2.2. Link between dense cores and filaments

Another major result from Herschel in nearby clouds is that most (> 75%) prestellar cores are found to lie within dense filaments with column densities $N_{H_2} \gtrsim 7 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$ (e.g. Andrè et al. 2010; Konyves et al. 2015; Marsh et al. 2016 – see Figs. 3 and 4). Independent, albeit more indirect, evidence that prestellar cores are closely associated with filaments is provided by recent SCUBA-2 imaging observations which show that the
spatial distribution of dense cores as traced by minimal spanning trees is itself filamentary (e.g. Kirk et al. 2016; Lane et al. 2016), and highly correlated with the dense filaments detected with Herschel (Könyves et al., in prep.). Quantitatively, \( \geq 80\% \) of the candidate prestellar cores identified with Herschel lie within a radius of \( < 0.1 \, \text{pc} \) of the crest of their nearest filament (Könyves et al. 2015; Bresnahan et al. 2017; Ladjelate et al., in prep.). The column density threshold above which prestellar cores are found in filaments is quite pronounced, as illustrated in Fig. 5 which shows the observed core formation efficiency \( \text{CFE}_{\text{obs}}(A_V) = \Delta M_{\text{core}}(A_V) / \Delta M_{\text{cloud}}(A_V) \) as a function of the “background” column density of the parent filaments in the Aquila cloud complex. As can be seen, the plot of Fig. 5 resembles a smooth step function. The combination of this threshold with the typical column density probability function or distribution of cloud/filament mass as a function of column density (Kainulainen et al. 2009; Lada et al. 2010; Schneider et al. 2013) implies that most prestellar cores form just above the column density threshold (cf. Fig. 6).

There is a natural interpretation of the column density threshold for prestellar core formation within filaments in terms of simple theoretical expectations for the gravitational instability of nearly isothermal gas cylinders. Given the typical width \( W_{\text{fil}} \sim 0.1 \, \text{pc} \) measured for filaments and the relation \( M_{\text{line}} \sim \Sigma_0 \times W_{\text{fil}} \) between the central gas surface density \( \Sigma_0 \) and the mass per unit length \( M_{\text{line}} \) of a filament, the threshold at \( A_V^{\text{back}} \sim 7 \) or \( x_{\text{gas}}^{\text{back}} \sim 150 \, M_\odot \, \text{pc}^{-2} \) corresponds to within a factor of \( < 2 \) to the critical mass per unit length \( M_{\text{line}, \text{crit}} \)
$2c_s^2/G \sim 16 M_\odot$ pc$^{-1}$ of isothermal long cylinders in hydrostatic equilibrium for a sound speed $c_s \sim 0.2$ km/s, i.e., a typical gas temperature $T \sim 10$ K (e.g., Ostriker 1964). Therefore, as pointed out by André et al. (2010), the prestellar core formation threshold approximately corresponds to the line mass threshold above which interstellar filaments become gravitationally unstable to radial contraction and fragmentation along their length (cf. Inutsuka & Miyama 1992, 1997).

Figure 6: Mass in the form of prestellar cores in the Aquila molecular cloud as a function of background column density in $A_V$ units. The peak seen at $A_V \sim 10$ implies that most prestellar cores form just above the column density threshold ($A_{\text{back}} \sim 7$), in marginally supercritical filaments. (Adapted from Kőnyves et al. 2015.)

Some departures from the predictions of standard cylinder fragmentation theory are observed, however. Linear fragmentation models for infinitely long, isothermal equilibrium cylinders predict a characteristic core spacing of $4 \times$ the filament diameter or $\sim 0.4$ pc (e.g., Inutsuka & Miyama 1992). In contrast, recent high-resolution studies (e.g., Takahashi et al. 2013; Teixeira et al. 2016; Kainulainen et al. 2013, 2017) provide evidence of two-level hierarchical fragmentation within thermally supercritical filaments, with two fragmentation modes: a) a “cylindrical” fragmentation mode corresponding to groups of cores separated by $\sim 0.3$ pc, and b) a “spherical”, Jeans-like fragmentation mode corresponding to a typical spacing $\lesssim 0.1$ pc between cores (and within groups). Moreover, the second mode appears to dominate since the median projected spacing observed between prestellar cores ($\sim 0.08$ pc in Aquila – Kőnyves et al. 2015) is consistent with the thermal Jeans length within critical filaments of 0.1 pc inner diameter at 10 K.

These findings have stimulated recent theoretical work to try and account for two fragmentation modes based on modifications to the standard cylinder fragmentation theory. Clarke et al. (2016) explored the properties of non-equilibrium, accreting filaments and Gritschneder et al. (2017) investigated the role of geometrical effects (i.e., the fact that real filaments are not perfectly straight but often exhibit some bends). While further work is still needed to get a perfect match with observations, it seems likely that a relatively simple model of filament fragmentation (including, for instance, the effects of low levels of turbulence – Clarke et al. 2017) may be able to explain the observed distribution of core spacings along filaments.

Overall, the observational results summarized in this section support a filamentary paradigm for solar-type star formation, in two main steps (cf. André et al. 2014): First, large-scale compression of interstellar material in supersonic MHD flows generates a cobweb of filaments $\sim 0.1$ pc in width in the ISM; second, the densest filaments fragment into prestellar cores (and subsequently protostars) by gravitational instability above $M_{\text{line,crit}}$. This paradigm differs from the classical “gravo-turbulent” fragmentation picture (e.g., Padoan & Nordlund 2002; Mac Low & Klessen 2004; Hennebelle & Chabrier 2008) in that it relies on the unique properties of filamentary geometry, such as the existence of a critical mass per unit length for nearly isothermal filaments.

3. Merits of the filamentary paradigm of star formation

The filamentary paradigm of star formation sketched at the end of § 2 has several merits. One of them is that it provides a simple explanation for the origin of the lognormal “base” of the stellar initial mass function (IMF), for stellar masses around $\sim 0.3 M_\odot$ (cf. Chabrier 2001, Bastian et al. 2010).

Indeed, as most prestellar cores appear to form in filaments just above the threshold for cylindrical gravitational instability, one expects that there should be a characteristic prestellar core mass corresponding to the local Jeans (or Bonnor-Ebert) mass in marginally critical filaments. The thermal Bonnor-Ebert mass within $\sim 0.1$-pc-wide critical filaments at $\sim 10$ K with $M_{\text{line}} \approx M_{\text{line,crit}} \sim 16 M_\odot$ pc$^{-1}$ and surface densities $\Sigma_{\text{crit}} \approx 160 M_\odot$ pc$^{-2}$ is $M_{\text{BEB}} \sim 1.3 c_s^2/G \Sigma_{\text{crit}} \sim 0.5 M_\odot$. This is very close to the peak of the prestellar core mass function (CMF) at $\sim 0.6 M_\odot$, as observed in the Aquila cloud complex (Kőnyves et al. 2015 – cf. Fig. 7). Moreover, the observed prestellar CMF closely resembles the IMF, apart from a global shift in mass scale corresponding to
an efficiency factor $\epsilon_{\text{core}} \sim 30\%-50\%$ (Alves et al. 2007; Könyves et al., 2015), which can be attributed to mass loss due to the effects of outflows during the protostellar phase (Matzner & McKee 2000; Machida & Matsumoto 2012). The Herschel results therefore support the view that gravitational fragmentation of filaments is the dominant physical mechanism generating prestellar cores and stars around the peak of the CMF/IMF.

Naively, one would expect gravitational fragmentation to result in a narrow prestellar CMF sharply peaked at the median thermal Jeans mass. However, a Salpeter-like power-law tail can quickly develop if turbulence has generated a field of initial density fluctuations within the filaments in the first place (Inutsuka, 2001). To investigate this possibility, Roy et al. (2015) carried out a statistical analysis of the line-mass fluctuations observed with Herschel along a sample of 80 subcritical or marginally supercritical filaments in three clouds of the Gould Belt. They found that the line-mass fluctuations are in the linear regime and that their power spectrum is well fit by a power law $P(k) \propto k^\alpha$ with $\alpha = -1.6 \pm 0.3$, which is consistent with the 1D power spectrum generated by subsonic Kolmogorov turbulence ($\alpha = -5/3$). Starting from such an initial power spectrum of line-mass fluctuations, the theoretical analysis by Inutsuka (2001) shows that the density perturbations quickly evolve – in about two free-fall times or $\sim 0.5$ Myr for a critical 0.1 pc-wide filament – from a mass distribution similar to that of CO clumps (cf. Kramer et al., 1998) to a population of protostellar cores whose mass distribution approaches the Salpeter power law at the high-mass end. This occurs because small-scale perturbations (i.e., small core masses) grow faster than large-scale perturbations, resulting in a steepening of the mass distribution with time.

4. Formation of interstellar filaments: Observational constraints

Broadly speaking, three classes of models have been proposed to explain the origin of interstellar filaments depending on whether gravity, supersonic turbulence, or magnetic fields play the primary role in generating the filamentary structure. When gravity dominates, e.g., in strongly Jeans-unstable clouds, it is known to amplify initial anisotropies, leading to large-scale collapse first along the shortest axis, creating sheets or pancakes, then along the second dimension producing filaments, and finally ending up with spheroidal clumps/cores (e.g. Lin et al., 1965; Zel'dovich, 1970). This is the favored mechanism to explain the growth of large-scale structure in the Universe and the formation of filaments in the cosmic web (Shandarin & Zeldovich, 1989; Bond, J.R., et al., 1996), and it has been proposed to operate in molecular clouds as well (e.g. Burkert & Hartmann, 2004; Gómez & Vázquez-Semadeni, 2014). Observationally, there is little doubt that gravity is indeed the main player in strongly self-gravitating “hub-filament” systems, where a cluster-forming hub is observed at the center of a converging network of filaments (Myers 2009). Such systems are quite spectacular in high-mass star-forming regions (e.g. MonR2; Didelon et al., 2015; Pokhrel et al., 2016; SDC335; Peretto et al., 2015), but also exist in clouds forming mostly (or only) low-to intermediate-mass stars (e.g. B59; Peretto et al., 2012; L1688; Ladjevate et al., in prep.). Clearly, however, filaments are already widespread in gravitationally unbound clouds such as atomic (HI) clouds (e.g. McClure-Griffiths et al., 2006; Clark et al., 2014; Kalberla et al., 2016) or translucent molecular clouds (e.g. Polaris: Miville-Deschênes et al., 2010; Men'shchikov et al., 2010 – see Fig. 1), where the dominant role of gravity is difficult to invoke. At least in these clouds, the filamentary structure must arise from other mechanisms, and the role of large-scale supersonic flows (turbulent or not) is likely. There is growing evidence of the presence of large-scale supersonic streams in the nearby ISM, possibly resulting from the action of stellar winds (e.g. Bouy & Alves, 2015). Each of these large-scale supersonic flows generates a locally planar shock wave which compresses interstellar matter. A filament is naturally produced at the intersection of two such planar shock
waves (Pudritz & Kevlahan, 2013), or as a result of the focusing effect generated by a single, but deformed MHD shock wave (Inoue & Fukui, 2013). Direct evidence of the role of large-scale compressive flows has been found with Herschel in the Pipe Nebula in the form of filaments with asymmetric column density profiles which most likely result from compression by the winds of the neighboring Sco OB2 association (Peretto et al., 2012).

Recent molecular line studies of the projected velocity field observed toward several nearby filament systems set interesting constraints on the origin of dense star-forming filaments. Both velocity gradients along and perpendicular to the major axis of molecular filaments have been detected (e.g. Peretto et al., 2006; Kirk, H. et al., 2013; Palmeirim et al., 2013), but the gradients across filaments often dominate (e.g. Fernández-López et al., 2014; Mundy et al., in prep.). Such transverse velocity gradients, sometimes seen consistently along the entire length of the filamentary structure (see Fig. 8), would not be observed if the filaments were embedded in (and accreting from) a spherical or cylindrical ambient cloud. They are most readily explained if the filaments are forming and growing inside sheet-like structures. Numerical MHD simulations of filament formation within shock-compressed layers generated by large-scale supersonic flows can reproduce this kinematic pattern (Chen & Ostriker, 2014; Inutsuka et al., 2015).

5. Evolution of molecular filaments: Observational constraints

Locally at least, i.e., close to the filament axis, it appears that the transverse velocity gradients observed toward supercritical filaments are driven by gravity. Their magnitude is indeed roughly consistent with what is expected from accretion of background cloud material given the gravitational potential of the central filaments (e.g. Palmeirim et al., 2013; Mundy et al., in prep.; Shimajiri et al., in prep.). In the case of the Taurus B211/B213 filament, the estimated accretion rate of $27–50 M_\odot/pc/Myr$ is such that it would take $\sim 1–2$ Myr for the filament to double its mass (Palmeirim et al. 2013). Another indication that thermally supercritical filaments are accreting background cloud material is the detection in dust and/or CO emission of low-density striations or sub-filaments perpendicular to the main filaments, and apparently feeding them from the side. Examples include the Musca filament (Cox et al. 2016), the Taurus B211/B213 filament in Taurus (Goldsmith et al. 2008, Palmeirim et al. 2013), the Serpens-South filament in Aquila (e.g. Kirk et al. 2013), and the DR21 ridge in Cygnus X (Schneider et al., 2010; Hennemann et al., 2012). The conclusion that supercritical filaments are generally accreting mass from their parent cloud is independently supported by the fact that their internal velocity dispersion is observed to increase with central column density, which is suggestive of an increase in virial mass per unit length with time (Arzoumanian et al., 2013).

This accretion process likely plays a key role in effectively preventing dense filaments from collapsing to spindles and allowing them to maintain approximately constant inner widths while evolving. Accretion supplies gravitational energy to supercritical filaments which is then converted into turbulent kinetic energy (cf. Heitsch et al., 2009; Klessen & Hennebelle, 2010) and may explain the increase in velocity dispersion ($\sigma_{\text{tot}}$) with column density observed by Arzoumanian et al. (2013). The central diameter of such accreting filaments is expected to be on the order the effective Jeans length $D_{\text{eff}} \sim 2 \sigma_{\text{tot}}^2 / G \Sigma_0$, which Arzoumanian et al. (2013) have shown to remain close to $\sim 0.1$ pc. Toy models of this process are discussed by Heitsch (2013) and Hennebelle & Andrè (2013). Given the importance of magnetic fields in the formation and evolution of filaments (see § 6 below), the “turbulence” driven in the interior of dense filaments by the accretion of background matter is likely to be MHD in nature rather than purely hydrodynamic. It is therefore expected to dissipate due to ion-neutral friction. As shown by Hennebelle & André...
A dynamical equilibrium can then be established between the supply and the dissipation of kinetic energy inside the filament, resulting in an almost constant inner width $\sim 0.1 \text{ pc}$ in good agreement with observations (see Fig. 9).

A possible manifestation of accretion-driven MHD turbulence may have been detected in the form of substructure and velocity-coherent “fibers” in several star-forming filaments such as Taurus B211/B213 (e.g. Hacar et al., 2013; Tafalla & Hacar, 2015; Henshaw et al., 2016 – see Fig. 10). Not all filaments consist of multiple fiber-like structures, however. The Musca filament, for instance, appears to be a 6-pc-long velocity-coherent sonic filament with much less substructure than the Taurus B211/B213 filament and no evidence for multiple velocity-coherent fibers (Hacar et al., 2016; Cox et al., 2016). In the context of the accretion-driven turbulence picture introduced earlier, Cox et al. (2016) proposed that the Musca cloud may represent an earlier evolutionary stage that the Taurus B211/B213 filament in which the main filament has not yet accreted sufficient mass and energy to develop a multiple system of filamentary sub-components. A thermally supercritical filament may indeed be expected to develop a more complex system of intertwined fibers as the filament system grows in mass per unit length and its internal velocity dispersion increases.

6. Role of magnetic fields

All-sky dust polarization observations with the Planck satellite at 850 $\mu$m have revealed very organized magnetic fields on large scales ($> 1$–10 pc) in interstellar clouds (Planck Collaboration Int. XIX, 2015 – see Fig. 11). Low-density filamentary structures tend to be aligned with the magnetic field, while dense star-forming filaments tend to be perpendicular to the magnetic field (Planck Collab. Int. XXXII, 2016; Planck Collab. Int. XXXV, 2016), a trend also seen in optical and near-IR polarization observations (Chapman et al., 2011; Palmeirim et al., 2013; Panopoulou et al., 2016; Soler et al., 2016). This trend has been quantified by the Planck Collaboration using the Histogram of Relative Orientations (HRO) and the unprecedented statistics provided by Planck dust polarization observations in nearby molecular clouds (Planck Collaboration Int. XXXV 2016). The HRO (Soler et al., 2013) is a statistical tool that measures the relative orientation between the plane-of-sky magnetic field $B_\perp$ and the local column density gradient. Using this technique, Soler and collaborators were able to show that a switch occurs at $\log_{10}(N_H/\text{cm}^{-2}) = 21.9 \pm 0.2$ between filamentary structures preferentially parallel to the magnetic field (low $N_H$) and filamentary structures preferentially perpendicular to the magnetic field (high $N_H$). Expressed in terms
of \( \text{H}_2 \) column densities, and taking into account the fact that \textit{Planck} underestimates the central column density of narrow \( \sim 0.1 \) pc filaments due to beam dilution, the switch occurs at \( N_{\text{H}_2} \approx 7 \times 10^{21} \text{cm}^{-2} \), which corresponds to the critical mass per unit length for 10 K gas filaments of \( \sim 0.1 \) pc width.

There is also a hint from \textit{Planck} polarization observations of the nearest clouds that the direction of the magnetic field may change within dense filaments from nearly perpendicular in the ambient cloud to more parallel in the filament interior (Planck Collaboration Int. XXXIII, 2016).

All of these findings suggest that magnetic fields play a crucial role in the formation and evolution of star-forming filaments. In particular, they support the view that dense filaments form by accumulation of interstellar matter along field lines. Comparison with MHD simulations of molecular cloud evolution also shows that the \textit{Planck} results are only consistent with models in which the turbulence is sub-Alfvénic or at most Alfvénic (Planck Collaboration Int. XXXV, 2016).

Note that a similar conclusion had been reached by Li et al. (2009) based on a comparison of the magnetic field orientation inferred on large \( \sim 10-100 \) pc scales by optical polarimetry with the magnetic field orientation inferred on small \( << 1 \) pc scales by ground-based submm polarimetry.

The low resolution of \textit{Planck} polarization data \( (5'-10' \) at best or 0.2–0.4 pc in nearby clouds) is however insufficient to probe the organization of field lines in the \( \sim 0.1 \) pc interior of filaments. Consequently, the detailed role of magnetic fields in the evolution of star-forming filaments and their fragmentation into prestellar cores remains a key open issue for the future.

### 7. Conclusions and prospects

The observational results discussed in this paper are quite encouraging as they point to a unified picture for star formation in the molecular clouds of galaxies. They suggest that both the IMF (see § 3) and the star formation efficiency in dense molecular gas (André et al., 2014; Shimajiri et al., 2017) are largely set by the “microphysics” of core formation along filaments. Many open issues remain, however, especially concerning the detailed role of magnetic fields (see § 6). High-resolution, high-dynamic range dust polarization observations at far-IR/submm wavelengths with future space observatories (possibly SPICA) should be able to test the hypothesis, tentatively suggested by \textit{Planck} polarization results, that the B field becomes nearly parallel to the long axis of star-forming filaments in their dense interiors on scales \( < 0.1 \) pc, due to, e.g., gravitational compression. Should this prove to be the case, it could explain both how dense filaments maintain a roughly constant \( \sim 0.1 \) pc width while evolving and why the observed core spacing along filaments is significantly shorter than the characteristic fragmentation scale of \( 4 \times \) the filament diameter expected in the case of non-magnetized nearly isothermal gas cylinders (see § 2.2).

This would have profound implications for our understanding of core and star formation in the Universe.
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