Modulating Crossover Frequency and Interference for Obligate Crossovers in Saccharomyces cerevisiae Meiosis
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ABSTRACT  Meiotic crossover frequencies show wide variation among organisms. But most organisms maintain at least one crossover per homolog pair (obligate crossover). In Saccharomyces cerevisiae, previous studies have shown crossover frequencies are reduced in the mismatch repair related mutant mlh3Δ and enhanced in a meiotic checkpoint mutant pch2Δ by up to twofold at specific chromosomal loci, but both mutants maintain high spore viability. We analyzed meiotic recombination events genome-wide in mlh3Δ, pch2Δ, and mlh3Δ pch2Δ mutants to test the effect of variation in crossover frequency on obligate crossovers. mlh3Δ showed ~30% genome-wide reduction in crossovers (64 crossovers per meiosis) and loss of the obligate crossover, but non-exchange chromosomes were efficiently segregated. pch2Δ showed ~50% genome-wide increase in crossover frequency (137 crossovers per meiosis), elevated noncrossovers as well as loss of chromosome size dependent double-strand break formation. Meiotic defects associated with pch2Δ did not cause significant increase in nonexchange chromosome frequency. Crossovers were restored to wild-type frequency in the double mutant mlh3Δ pch2Δ (100 crossovers per meiosis), but obligate crossovers were compromised. Genetic interference was reduced in mlh3Δ, pch2Δ, and mlh3Δ pch2Δ. Triple mutant analysis of mlh3Δ pch2Δ with other resolvase mutants showed that most of the crossovers in mlh3Δ pch2Δ are made through the Mus81-Mms4 pathway. These results are consistent with a requirement for increased crossover frequencies in the absence of genetic interference for obligate crossovers. In conclusion, these data suggest crossover frequencies and the strength of genetic interference in an organism are mutually optimized to ensure obligate crossovers.
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Meiosis is a reductional division that produces haploid gametes or spores from diploid progenitor cells. Ploidy reduction is achieved by one round of DNA replication, followed by two consecutive nuclear divisions (Meiosis I and II), producing four daughter cells (Roeder 1997). Crossovers promote the formation of chiasma which serves as a physical linkage between two homologs and opposes the spindle generated forces that pull apart the homolog pairs. This opposing set of forces provides the tension necessary to promote proper disjunction of homolog pairs at Meiosis I (Petronczki et al. 2003). Failure to maintain at least one crossover per homolog pair increases the probability of non-disjunction, resulting in aneuploid gametes (Serrentino and Borde 2012). Although crossovers are important for chromosome segregation, non-exchange chromosomes have been observed to segregate accurately forming viable gametes (Hawley et al. 1992; Davis and Smith 2003; Kemp et al. 2004; Newnham et al. 2010; Krishnaprasad et al. 2015).

Meiotic crossovers (and noncrossovers) are initiated in S. cerevisiae by 150–170 programmed double-strand breaks (DSBs) formed by an evolutionarily conserved topoisomerase-like protein Spo11 and other
accessory proteins (reviewed in Neale and Keeney 2006). The frequency and distribution of crossovers on chromosomes is a tightly regulated process. Four known aspects of this regulation are crossover interference, obligate crossovers, crossover homeostasis, and crossover invariance. Crossover interference regulates the spatial patterning of crossovers, where the presence of a crossover prevents the formation of a second crossover in its vicinity. As a consequence, an even distribution of crossovers is ensured, and closely spaced crossovers are less frequently observed than expected from a random distribution (Benchowitz and Copenhaver 2010). An obligate crossover per homolog pair facilitates disjunction. The obligate crossover is not a specific type of crossover, but refers to the observation that process(es) that generate most of the crossovers result in at least one crossover per homolog pair (Barchi et al. 2008). The obligate crossovers are ensured in different organisms with different crossover frequencies. For example, organisms that have strong genetic interference like Caenorhabditis elegans, Drosophila, Arabidopsis, mouse, and humans ensure obligate crossovers, although the number of crossovers per bivalent pair is low (~1–2) (Copenhaver et al. 2002; Hillers and Villeneuve 2003; Benchowitz and Copenhaver 2010; Libuda et al. 2013). But organisms with weaker interference, like S. cerevisiae, make ~90 crossovers, or ~6 times the number of bivalent pairs (16), to ensure an obligate event. Crossover homeostasis ensures that the number of crossovers is maintained (at the expense of noncrossovers) when the DSB precursors become limiting (Martini et al. 2006). This crossover buffering mechanism is also seen when the DSBs are increased (Cole et al. 2012).

Crossover invariance is another mechanism that maintains the number of crossovers in organisms that lack interference. This phenomenon refers to the observation that, in Schizosaccharomyces pombe, the ratio of interstitial to interhomolog repair is modulated in response to variations in DSB intensity to ensure uniform crossover distribution (Hyppa and Smith 2010). Recent studies suggest crossover interference, obligate crossovers, and crossover homeostasis are the outcomes of a single crossover patterning process regulated by the "mechanical stress relief" of chromosomes (Wang et al. 2015; Zickler and Kleckner 2016). As per this model, crossover interference and homeostasis are linked processes. But the designation of an obligate crossover is not dependent on either interference or homeostasis (Zhang et al. 2014; Wang et al. 2015).

The majority of the crossovers (Class I) in S. cerevisiae show interference, and are formed through a pathway involving the ZMM (Zip1, Zip2, Zip3, Zip4, Mer3, Spo16, and Msh4-Msh5) and STR (Sgs1, Rmi1, and Top3) procrossover factors and mismatch repair related proteins Exo1 and MutLγ (Mlh1-Mlh3) (Allers and Lichten 2001; Hunter and Kleckner 2001; Borner et al. 2004; Nishant et al. 2008; Shinohara et al. 2008; De Muyt et al. 2012; Zakharyevich et al. 2012). Cytological studies in the mouse have shown that the MutLγ complex acts downstream of Msh4-Msh5 (MutSy) (Baker et al. 1996; Lipkin et al. 2002; Kolas et al. 2005). Further physical, genetic, and biochemical analysis in S. cerevisiae suggest MutLγ has an endonuclease activity that can generate crossovers from DHJs in a pathway comprising MutSy, Exo1, and Sgs1 (Nishant et al. 2008; Hunter 2011; Zakaryevich et al. 2012; Rogacheva et al. 2014). Noninterfering crossovers are formed by a separate pathway involving the structure specific endonuclease Mus81-Mms4 (Class II crossovers). Mainly aberrant and multichromatid joint molecules are resolved by this pathway (de los Santos et al. 2003; Oh et al. 2008). The structure specific endonucleases Yen1 and Slx1-Slx4 have a minor role in meiotic crossover formation, which is observed in mms4Δ/mms4Δ sgs1Δ background (Zakharyevich et al. 2012; Higashide and Shinohara 2016). Genetic interference between crossovers is compromised in most ZMM mutants (zip1Δ, mer3Δ, msh4Δ, and msh5Δ) (Sym and Roeder 1994; Nakagawa and Ogawa 1999; Novak et al. 2001; Argueso et al. 2004; Zakaryevich et al. 2012), but the distribution of Zip3 foci (measured in physical distances), which mark DSB sites designated to be repaired as crossovers, show interference in zip1Δ and msh4Δ mutants (Zhang et al. 2014).

It is not clear why organisms have vastly different crossover frequencies and how this is related to ensuring an obligate crossover. In this study, we address the relationship of obligate crossovers with crossover frequency using msh3Δ and pch2Δ mutants. In S. cerevisiae, both these mutants have very different crossover frequencies but show high spore viability. At specific chromosomal loci, msh3Δ shows up to a 50% reduction in crossover frequency but with good spore viability ranging from 72% and up to 92% depending on the strain background (Nishant et al. 2008; Cotton et al. 2010; Sonntag Brown et al. 2013). Pch2 is an evolutionarily conserved hexameric ring AAA+ ATPase protein that has roles in meiotic checkpoint signaling triggered by unrepaired DSBs, remodeling the chromosome axis at the future crossover sites and interhomolog bias (San-Segundo and Roeder 1999; Borner et al. 2008; Ho and Burgess 2011; Zanders et al. 2011; Chen et al. 2014). pch2Δ mutants are observed to make 50% more crossovers than wild type at specific loci, and also have good viability (95%) (Zanders and Alani 2009; but see Joshi et al. 2009). The msh3Δ and pch2Δ mutants are therefore useful to test the effect of a wide range of crossover frequencies on obligate crossovers. It is important to note that pleiotropic effects of pch2Δ could affect the interpretation of changes in crossover frequencies on the obligate crossover.

We performed genome-wide mapping of meiotic recombination events in msh3Δ, pch2Δ and msh3Δ pch2Δ using the S288c/YJM789 hybrid. Crossovers in all three mutants, msh3Δ (64 crossovers per meiosis on average), pch2Δ (137 crossovers per meiosis on average) and msh3Δ pch2Δ (100 crossovers per meiosis on average) showed reduced genetic interference. Noncrossovers were not affected in msh3Δ, but increased in pch2Δ and msh3Δ pch2Δ. Crossover and non-crossover data suggest loss of chromosome size dependent DSB formation in pch2Δ mutants. msh3Δ pch2Δ showed uniform crossover density on all chromosomes consistent with loss of genetic interference. Nonexchange chromosomes were abundant in msh3Δ (47% of meioses), but efficiently segregated. pch2Δ (7%) had a reduced percentage of meioses with nonexchange chromosomes, showing that high crossover frequencies can promote crossover assurance in the absence of genetic interference. Even though the msh3Δ pch2Δ mutant made as many crossovers as wild type, 20% of meioses showed nonexchange chromosomes, consistent with noninterfering crossovers being inefficient in promoting crossover assurance.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Media and strains**

All S. cerevisiae strains used in this study (S288c, YJM789, and SK1) were grown on either YPD (yeast extract, peptone, and dextrose) or synthetic complete medium at 30°C (Rose et al. 1990). The drugs, genetacin (Invitrogen), nourseothricin (Werner BioAgents), and hygromycin (HiMedia) were added to YPD as described in Goldstein and McCusker (1999). Sporulation media for the genetic analysis was prepared as described in Argueso et al. (2004). For whole genome recombination analysis, S288c and YJM789 strains were used. For tetrad analysis and cytology, the SK1 strains, EAY1108/EAY1112, NYH1168/NHY1162, and their derivatives, were used (Argueso et al. 2004; Martini et al. 2006). All strains were transformed using standard techniques (Gietz et al. 1995). The msh3Δ kanMX4 strains were created using plasmid pEAI168. pch2Δ::mutMX4, sre4Δ::kanMX4 and msh3Δ::pshMX4 were
made using deletion constructs amplified by PCR. The meiotic depleted allele of MMS4 (mms4Δ-nd) in EAY1108/EAY1112 was created by transformation with the kanMX-pCLB2-3HA-MMS4 PCR product generated from the haploid parents of MJL3172 (De Muyt et al. 2012). Strain information is given in Supplemental Material, Table S1.

Tetrad analysis

Diploids were sporulated following the zero growth mating protocol (Argueso et al. 2003). Haploid strains were mixed together on synthetic complete media. After incubation for 4 hr at 30°, the resulting diploids were patched on sporulation media. After 2 d of incubation at 30°, tetrads were dissected on synthetic complete medium using a Zeiss dissection microscope. The dissected tetrads were grown for 2 d, after which they were replica plated to various selective media. The replica plates were scored after 1 d of incubation. Marker segregation was analyzed using the recombination analysis software RANA (Argueso et al. 2003).

Meiotic time course

Wild type and pch2Δ diploids were streaked on YPD media, and a single colony was inoculated in 4 ml YPD and grown overnight at 30°. To obtain synchronized meiosis, cultures were grown in presporulation medium (SPS) (0.5% yeast extract, 1% peptone, 0.67% yeast nitrogen base, 1% potassium acetate, and 0.05 M potassium biphthalate) before transfer to the sporulation medium (2% potassium acetate, amino acids, and 0.001% polypropylene glycol) (Murakami et al. 2009).

Cytology

Meiotic cultures (5 ml) of wild type and pch2Δ from 2 to 8 hr post-induction into meiosis were collected at hourly intervals. Cultures were treated with Zymolyase 100T to obtain spheroplasts. Spheroplasts were washed and resuspended in MES/sorbitol solution (1 M sorbitol, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM MgCl2, 0.1 M MES pH 6.5). Chromosome spreads were prepared on ethanol washed glass slides, using detergent (1% Lipsol) and 4% paraformaldehyde/3.4% sucrose as a mixture model was fitted using an EM algorithm similar to that in the R package mixtools (Benaglia et al. 2009). The number of mixture components was fixed at two and the shape parameter for one of the components (Class II crossovers) was fixed at one. For the Coefficient of Coincidence (CoC) analysis, the genome was partitioned into 25 kb bins. The frequency of crossover events in each bin was calculated along with the expected and observed frequencies of double crossovers in all pairs of bins. The CoC (observed crossover frequency/expected crossover frequency) was analyzed for all bin pairs (with nonzero expected frequency) separated by a given interval (in kilobase) and averaged. Chi-square test was used to measure the statistical significance of observed and expected double crossover frequencies between wild type and the mutants.

DNA extraction and whole genome sequencing of meiotic spores

Spore colonies from the four spore viable tetrads of mlh3Δ, pch2Δ, and mlh3Δ pch2Δ were grown overnight at 30° in 4 ml YPD broth. DNA was isolated from the culture using PrepEase DNA isolation kit (Affymetrix). Whole genome sequencing on Illumina platform was performed at Fasteris, Switzerland, as well as at the European Molecular Biology Laboratory (EMBL) Genomics Core Facilities (GeneCore), Heidelberg, Germany.

Annotation of recombination events

The raw reads were demultiplexed using the NGS QC Toolkit (version 2.3.3, http://www.nipgr.res.in/ngsqctoolkit.html), and processed for quality control (QC) using the trimmomatic tool (Version 0.36, http://www.usadellab.org/cms/index.php?page=trimmomatic) (Bolger et al. 2014). These QC filtered high quality reads were then mapped to the S. cerevisiae genome (version R64-1-1, 2011) using bowtie 2 (version 2.2.6) (Langmead and Salzberg 2012). Only uniquely mapped reads were considered for variant calling using Picard tools. Local realignment around indels was performed to reduce misalignment. Genotyping was done using GATK (UnifedGenotyper, version 3.4-46) (McKenna et al. 2010; DePristo et al. 2011). From the alignment, an average of 59,215 SNPs was genotyped. Recombination events, such as crossovers, noncrossovers (type 0 gene conversions exhibiting 1:3 or 3:1 segregation of SNP markers), crossover independent gene conversions (type 0, 2, 3, and 4 gene conversions), and crossover associated gene conversions were annotated using the CrossOver program (v6.3) of the ReCombine program suite (v2.1) (Anderson et al. 2011). Parameters used for the CrossOver program were as described previously in Krishnaprasad et al. (2015). Custom R scripts were used to convert vcf files to segregation files (input file for the CrossOver program), to generate plots from the CrossOver program output and to perform various statistical tests. Detection of copy number variation was performed as described in Krishnaprasad et al. (2015).

Interference analysis

Interference analysis using the one pathway gamma model was performed as described previously (McPeek and Speed 1995; Krishnaprasad et al. 2015). For the two pathway analysis of interference, crossover distances between each crossover per chromosome was calculated for each tetrad, and converted to centimorgans. A gamma mixture model was fitted using an EM algorithm similar to that in the R package mixtools (Benaglia et al. 2009). The number of mixture components was fixed at two and the shape parameter for one of the components (Class II crossovers) was fixed at one. For the Coefficient of Coincidence (CoC) analysis, the genome was partitioned into 25 kb bins. The frequency of crossover events in each bin was calculated along with the expected and observed frequencies of double crossovers in all pairs of bins. The CoC (observed crossover frequency/expected crossover frequency) was analyzed for all bin pairs (with nonzero expected frequency) separated by a given interval (in kilobase) and averaged. Chi-square test was used to measure the statistical significance of observed and expected double crossover frequencies between wild type and the mutants.

Data availability

All strains listed in Table S1 are available upon request. Sequence data are available from the National Centre for Biotechnology Information Sequence Read Archive: Accession number SRP082254. The raw recombination data files and the custom R scripts are available online at the Dryad data repository (http://datadryad.org; http://dx.doi.org/10.5061/dryad.5vm4g).

RESULTS

Genome-wide recombination maps for mlh3Δ, pch2Δ, and mlh3Δ pch2Δ by whole genome sequencing

mlh3Δ, pch2Δ, and mlh3Δ pch2Δ mutations were introduced in the S288c and YJM789 strains. Spore viability of mlh3Δ (85%) was similar to the wild-type S288c/YJM789 hybrid (Figure S1 and Table 1). Spore viability of pch2Δ (75%), in the S288c/YJM789 hybrid, was reduced
Table 1  Crossover (CO), noncrossover (NCO) counts and spore viability of mlh3Δ, pch2Δ, mlh3Δ pch2Δ mutants in the S288c/YJM789 hybrid

| Genotype               | N   | S.V% | Tetrads Genotyped | Average CO Counts ± SD (Median) | Average NCO Counts ± SD (Median) |
|------------------------|-----|------|-------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| S288c x YJM789         | 180 | 84   | 66*               | 93.4 ± 10.86 (94)              | 46 ± 15.6 (43)                  |
| S288c x YJM789 mlh3Δ   | 120 | 85   | 19                | 64.4 ± 8.5 (62)                | 49.5 ± 11.6 (45)               |
| S288c x YJM789 pch2Δ   | 164 | 75   | 15                | 136.5 ± 25.6 (134)             | 85.9 ± 25.9 (91)               |
| S288c x YJM789 mlh3Δ pch2Δ | 120 | 59   | 20                | 99.8 ± 21.6 (96)               | 93.6 ± 27.6 (86)               |

Spore viability (S.V) data for wild type is from Krishnaprasad et al. (2015). N, number of tetrads analyzed for spore viability.

* Merged data set from Krishnaprasad et al. (2015) and Mancera et al. (2008).

compared to wild-type hybrid ($P = 0.0001$, Fischer’s exact test) (Figure S1 and Table 1). The mlh3Δ pch2Δ mutant also showed significantly less viability compared to wild type (59%, $P = 7.9 \times 10^{-23}$, Fischer’s exact test). We performed whole genome sequencing of spores from 54 four-viable spore tetrads of the S288c × YJM789 hybrid bearing mlh3Δ, pch2Δ, and mlh3Δ pch2Δ mutations (Table S2) (sequence data available from National Centre for Biotechnology Information Sequence Read Archive under accession number: SRP082254). High-resolution genome-wide recombination data were generated in mlh3Δ, pch2Δ, and mlh3Δ pch2Δ mutants by analyzing segregation of SNPs in the 54 tetrads (File S1). These include 19 tetrads in mlh3Δ, 15 tetrads in pch2Δ and 20 tetrads in mlh3Δ pch2Δ. Genome-wide recombination data for wild type were generated from 66 tetrads by combining previously published studies (Mancera et al. 2008; Krishnaprasad et al. 2015). Crossover and noncrossover counts for each of the 120 tetrads are shown in Table S3.

Crossover defects in mlh3Δ are distinct from msh4Δ.

The mlh3Δ mutant showed a significant genome-wide reduction in crossovers compared to wild type (64 crossovers on average in mlh3Δ vs. 93 in wild type, $P = 1.7 \times 10^{-14}$, t-test) (Figure 1 and Table 1). These results are consistent with crossover data obtained from analysis of specific loci in mlh3Δ (Nishant et al. 2008; Sonntag Brown et al. 2013). Genome-wide crossover defects are therefore stronger in mlh3Δ (average of 49 crossovers per meiosis) compared to mlh3Δ (P = 2.3 × 10^{-3}, t-test), although the Msh4-Msh5, Mlh1-Mlh3 proteins are thought to act in the same pathway for making crossovers (Chen et al. 2008; Krishnaprasad et al. 2015). Previous work by Sonntag Brown et al. (2013) also showed stronger crossover defects in msh5Δ (57 cM) compared to mlh3Δ (54.5 cM) for chromosome XV in the SK1 EAY1108/EAY1112 background. Noncrossover counts in mlh3Δ (50 per meiosis) were similar to wild type (46 per meiosis) (P = 0.29, t-test). Since the crossover defects in mlh3Δ were not associated with a concomitant increase in noncrossovers, perhaps in the absence of the Mlh3 protein DSBs that were not repaired as crossovers may be repaired using the sister chromatid.

The effects of chromosome size on crossover distribution were analyzed using average crossover counts per chromosome (Figure 2A and Table S4). The mlh3Δ mutant showed a significant decrease in crossovers on medium and large chromosomes (Figure 2A and Figure S2A). Crossovers on small chromosomes also showed a decrease in mlh3Δ, but these were not significant except for chromosome IX. Crossover defects in mlh3Δ are therefore different from msh4Δ and msh4Δ-6765W, which had significant crossover reduction on all (small, medium, and large) chromosomes (Krishnaprasad et al. 2015). Noncrossover counts in mlh3Δ did not show significant differences on any of the chromosomes compared to wild type (Figure 2B and Figure S2B). The noncrossover data in mlh3Δ are different from msh4Δ, where average noncrossover counts were increased on several chromosomes (Krishnaprasad et al. 2015). When the noncrossover counts are regressed against the crossover counts, we observed no correlation in wild type and mlh3Δ (wild type, $r = 0.23, P = 0.06$; mlh3Δ, $r = 0.01, P = 0.95$; Figure 2C).

Wild-type cells show variation in crossover density (centimorgan per kilobase) with chromosome size (Figure 2D, and see Pan et al. 2011). Previous studies have shown that high crossover density on small chromosomes is mostly a consequence of higher DSB density on small chromosomes (Pan et al. 2011). We plotted crossover density vs. chromosome length for wild type, and observed a pattern similar to that observed for DSB density (Figure S3, and see Pan et al. 2011). First, the crossover density decreases with chromosome size, indicating that larger chromosomes have fewer crossovers than expected for their physical length (assuming crossover density is equal on all chromosomes). Second, if we take into account this inverse relationship between chromosome length and crossover density, we observed that the smaller chromosomes deviate a lot from the regression line, indicating that they have a much higher crossover density than expected (Figure S3). mlh3Δ showed a significant negative correlation coefficient ($r = -0.76, P = 6.3 \times 10^{-4}$), implying an inverse relation between crossover density and chromosome size (Figure 2D). The correlation coefficient for mlh3Δ was comparable to wild type ($r = -0.84, P = 5.7 \times 10^{-9}$). Crossover density was higher on smaller chromosomes in wild type and mlh3Δ, even when the analysis excluded the three smallest chromosomes (I, III, and VI), which have the highest DSB densities (Figure S4A, and see Sun et al. 2015). Noncrossover density, as well as crossover plus noncrossover density, also showed a significant negative correlation with chromosome size in wild type and mlh3Δ (Figure S4, B–D). The relationship between crossover or noncrossover density and chromosome size was therefore similar between wild type and mlh3Δ. These results suggest the regulation of DSB distribution based on chromosome size is intact in mlh3Δ like in wild type. Further, since most crossovers in wild type and mlh3Δ are Class I and Class II, respectively, these results suggest the chromosome size effect seen for crossover density variation is dependent on DSB density, and not on the recombination pathway as shown previously (Pan et al. 2011). It is important to note that using crossover and noncrossover density as a proxy for DSBs does not consider the effect of any changes in homolog bias in these mutants. Crossover and noncrossover distributions at centromere and telomere regions were comparable to wild type, but noncrossovers were elevated within 10 kb of centromere region in mlh3Δ (File S2). Chromosome-wide crossover distribution for mlh3Δ is shown in Figure S5.

Genome-wide increase in crossovers and noncrossovers in pch2Δ.

Average crossovers in pch2Δ (137) were significantly more than wild type ($P = 1.15 \times 10^{-5}$, t-test) (Figure 1). The increase in crossovers was statistically significant on all the medium and large chromosomes,
and on two of the smaller chromosomes (III and VI) (Figure 2A and Figure S2B). This result is consistent with increased crossovers observed at specific loci on medium and large chromosomes in SK1 background (Zanders and Alani 2009), but different from Joshi et al. (2009) who observed no increase in crossovers across nine intervals on three chromosomes. The increase in crossovers on chromosome III is different from the results observed by Zanders and Alani (2009) and San-Segundo and Roeder (1999). Such differences may be because of the limited number of chromosomal loci analyzed in the previous studies (San-Segundo and Roeder 1999; Joshi et al. 2009; Zanders and Alani 2009). Noncrossovers in \( \text{pch2} \) (average of 86 per meiosis) were also significantly higher than wild type (46, \( P = 2.9 \times 10^{-5}, t\)-test) (Figure 1 and Table 1). Similar to the trend seen for crossovers, increased noncrossovers in \( \text{pch2} \) were significant for all medium and large chromosomes (Figure 2B and Figure S2E). These results suggest that specific regulation of DSB formation on medium and large chromosomes is affected in \( \text{pch2} \). Among the small chromosomes, Chromosome III was exceptional in showing an increase in both crossovers and noncrossovers. This might be due to an enhanced distribution of DSBs on chromosome III in \( \text{pch2} \) mutants. An increase in DSB formation at the \( \text{HIS4}:\text{LEU2} \) locus has been observed previously in \( \text{pch2} \) (Farmer et al. 2012). Interestingly Borner et al. (2008) reported increased noncrossovers and decreased crossovers for the \( \text{HIS4}:\text{LEU2} \) locus on chromosome III for \( \text{pch2} \). When the noncrossover counts are regressed against the crossover counts, we observed a well correlated linear relationship (Figure 2C). \( \text{pch2} \) cells with more crossovers also had more noncrossovers (\( r = 0.82, P = 1.9 \times 10^{-9} \)). Although \( \text{pch2} \) showed a negative correlation coefficient (\( r = -0.30 \)) between crossover density and chromosome size, it was not significant (\( P = 0.26 \)), consistent with the enhanced crossovers on large and medium chromosomes (Figure 2D). Unlike wild type, \( \text{pch2} \) did not show a significant negative correlation between chromosome size and crossover density, noncrossover density, as well as crossover plus noncrossover density (Figure S4).

Crossover and noncrossover distributions within 10 kb of the centromere were comparable between wild type and \( \text{pch2} \) (File S2). But crossover and noncrossover distributions in \( \text{pch2} \) were suppressed for an extended distance from the telomere (up to 80 kb) compared to the wild type (File S2). These differences may reflect changes in DSB distribution near telomeres. Chromosome-wide analysis of crossover distribution showed distinct crossover peaks compared to wild type, including elevated crossovers around the rDNA locus (Figure S6). This is consistent with the observation of increased DSBs and recombination at the outermost rDNA repeats in \( \text{pch2} \) and the role of Pch2 in maintaining the integrity of the rDNA cluster (Vader et al. 2011).

Genome-wide recombination analysis showed a correlated increase in both crossovers and noncrossovers in \( \text{pch2} \) (Figure 1 and Figure 2C). The simplest explanation is that \( \text{pch2} \) makes more DSBs. \( \text{Rad51} \) focus analysis can provide information on whether \( \text{pch2} \) mutants show increased DSBs. We measured \( \text{Rad51} \) focus counts in wild type and \( \text{pch2} \) mutants in three independent meiotic time courses to determine changes in cellular DSB frequency. \( \text{pch2} \) mutants showed a 2 hr delay in meiotic divisions, as observed previously (Wu and Burgess 2006; Zanders and Alani 2009) (Figure 3A). We analyzed \( \text{Rad51} \) foci in 1 hr increments from 2 to 7 hr time points in wild type, and from 2 to 8 hr time points in \( \text{pch2} \). For wild type and \( \text{pch2} \), maximum \( \text{Rad51} \) foci were detected at 3 hr, and representative images are shown in Figure 3B. The maximum (average) \( \text{Rad51} \) focus counts for \( \text{pch2} \) (37) were reduced compared to wild type (49). However, unlike wild type, where the \( \text{Rad51} \) foci peaked at 3 hr and could not be detected after 7 hr, \( \text{pch2} \) showed a gradual decline of \( \text{Rad51} \) foci over an extended time from 3 hr until 8 hr (Figure 3C). In wild type, the percentage of cells that show \( \text{Rad51} \) foci was negligible (3.7%) at 7 hr, while, in \( \text{pch2} \), almost 50% of the cells showed \( \text{Rad51} \) foci (Figure 3D). The persistent presence of DSBs may be due to ongoing formation and repair of new DSBs (turnover), which may explain the increased crossovers and noncrossovers observed in \( \text{pch2} \). In addition, such a pattern may be caused by delayed repair of DSBs, which may cause more DSBs, and, in turn, result in more crossovers and noncrossovers (Hochwagen et al. 2005; Borner et al. 2008). The cytological observations are consistent with a prophase delay in \( \text{pch2} \) for a variable amount of time, during which additional DSBs are made asynchronously, so that there is a much greater range in the total number of crossover and noncrossover events (Figure 1, Figure 2C, and Table 1). Persistent DSBs in the \( \text{pch2} \) mutant have been observed previously at the \( \text{HIS4}:\text{LEU2} \) locus by Southern blot analysis, and also from analysis of \( \text{Rad51} \) foci in \( \text{pch2} \) \( \text{ndt80} \) mutants (Hochwagen et al. 2005; Subramanian et al. 2016).

**The mlh3\( \Delta \) pch2\( \Delta \) mutant has wild-type crossover frequency and uniform crossover density on all chromosomes**

Since \( \text{pch2} \) shows increased crossovers in wild-type background as well as in crossover mutants like \( \text{msh5} \) and \( \text{mms4} \) (Zanders and...
Alani 2009), we were curious to see if a $\text{pch2}\Delta$ mutation in the $\text{mlh3}\Delta$ background would restore crossovers to wild-type frequencies. $\text{mlh3}\Delta$ $\text{pch2}\Delta$ made, on average, 100 crossovers per meiosis, comparable to the wild-type $\text{S288c} \times \text{YJM789}$ hybrid (Figure 1 and Table 1) ($P = 0.22$, t-test). None of the chromosomes (except chromosomes 1 and XV) in $\text{mlh3}\Delta$ $\text{pch2}\Delta$ showed significant differences in crossovers compared to wild type (Figure 2A and Figure S2C). Noncrossovers in $\text{mlh3}\Delta$ $\text{pch2}\Delta$ (average of 94 per meiosis) were significantly more than wild type ($P = 1.84 \times 10^{-7}$, t-test) and comparable to $\text{pch2}\Delta$ ($P = 0.40$, t-test). These results suggest most of the increase in noncrossovers in $\text{pch2}\Delta$ mutants comes from the activity of the structure-specific endonucleases, which are less biased toward resolution of the dHJs into crossovers. The increase in noncrossovers was observed across small (VI, III, and IX), and all medium and large chromosomes (except V) (Figure 2B and Figure S2F). Similar to the pattern observed in $\text{pch2}\Delta$, crossover, and noncrossover counts in $\text{mlh3}\Delta$ $\text{pch2}\Delta$ were positively correlated ($r = 0.83$, $P = 5 \times 10^{-6}$) (Figure 2C).

The crossover density in $\text{mlh3}\Delta$ $\text{pch2}\Delta$ was uniform across all chromosome sizes and the correlation coefficient ($r = 0.04$, $P = 0.88$) was close to zero (Figure 2D). A similar lack of correlation was seen for crossover, noncrossover, and crossover plus noncrossover density with chromosome size (including/excluding the smallest chromosomes I, III, and VI) (Figure S4). Like $\text{pch2}\Delta$, crossover and noncrossover distributions were suppressed for an extended distance from the telomere (up to 80 kb) in $\text{mlh3}\Delta$ $\text{pch}\Delta$ (File S2). Chromosome-wide distribution showed distinct crossover peaks in $\text{mlh3}\Delta$ $\text{pch2}\Delta$ compared to wild type, especially around the rDNA locus (Figure S7).

**Enhanced gene conversion frequencies and long gene conversion tracts in $\text{pch2}\Delta$ mutants**

Most markers (>97.5%) showed 2:2 segregation in wild type and $\text{mlh3}\Delta$ mutant (Table S5). $\text{pch2}\Delta$ mutants showed higher gene conversion frequencies than wild type or $\text{mlh3}\Delta$ mutant. The percentage of SNP markers segregating 2:2 was 94.7% in $\text{pch2}\Delta$, compared to >97.5% in wild type and $\text{mlh3}\Delta$. Like the $\text{pch2}\Delta$ single mutant, the $\text{mlh3}\Delta$ $\text{pch2}\Delta$ mutant also showed higher gene conversion frequencies than wild type (94% of markers segregating 2:2). Increases in gene conversion frequencies in $\text{pch2}\Delta$ at specific loci have been previously observed by Zanders and Alani (2009) and Joshi et al. (2009). The median gene conversion tract lengths associated with crossovers (2.4, 2.7, and 3.9 kb) were significantly longer than the noncrossover gene conversion tract lengths (1.7, 1.8, and 2.2 kb) for the $\text{mlh3}\Delta$, $\text{pch2}\Delta$, and $\text{mlh3}\Delta$ $\text{pch2}\Delta$ mutants (Figure S8, A–C and Table S5). These results are similar to observations in wild type and other crossover mutants (Terasawa et al. 2007; Chen et al. 2008; Mancera et al. 2008; Oke et al. 2014; Krishnaprasad et al. 2015). Both crossover- and noncrossover-associated gene conversion tract lengths were significantly longer in $\text{mlh3}\Delta$, $\text{pch2}\Delta$, and $\text{mlh3}\Delta$ $\text{pch2}\Delta$ compared to wild type (Figure S8, A–C and Table S5). In addition, long gene conversion tracts associated with...
with crossovers (>4 kb) and noncrossovers (>2 kb) were over-represented in *pch2Δ* and *mlh3Δ pch2Δ* compared to wild type and *mlh3Δ* (Figure S8, B and C, see Discussion).

**Most crossovers in mlh3Δ pch2Δ are made by the Mus81-Mms4 pathway**

Although *mlh3Δ* has ~30% reduction in crossovers, *mlh3Δ pch2Δ* has wild-type crossover frequency. In *S. cerevisiae*, the major pathway for resolving joint molecules into crossovers involves Mlh1-Mlh3/Exo1 proteins (De Muyt et al. 2012; Zakharievich et al. 2012). Joint molecules are also resolved into crossovers by minor pathways involving the structure selective nucleases Mus81-Mms4, Yen1, and Slx1-Slx4 (De Muyt et al. 2012; Zakharievich et al. 2012). Yen1 is thought to process joint molecules that escape Mus81-Mms4 (Matos et al. 2011). We were curious to know how wild-type frequency of crossovers is possible in *mlh3Δ pch2Δ* in the absence of the major resolvase (Mlh3). We tested the role of the two joint molecule resolvases, Mus81-Mms4 and Slx1-Slx4, in making crossovers in the *mlh3Δ pch2Δ* background. For testing the role of Mus81-Mms4, a meiotic depleted allele of MMS4 (*mms4-md*) was used (De Muyt et al. 2012). Spore viability and genetic map distances of *mlh3Δ pch2Δ mms4-md* and *mlh3Δ pch2Δ slx4Δ*, were compared with *mlh3Δ pch2Δ* in the EAY1108/EAY1112 background (Argueso et al. 2004). *mlh3Δ pch2Δ* has 71% spore viability in the EAY1108/EAY1112 background and has a spore viability pattern (4, 2, and 0 viable spore tetrad class >3 and 1 viable spore tetrad class) suggesting Meiosis I nondisjunction (Figure 4). Introduction of *mms4-md* and *slx4Δ* mutations reduced the spore viability to 34% and 41%, respectively (Figure 4). Genetic map distances in four intervals in *mlh3Δ pch2Δ* (113.6 cM), based on four-viable spore tetrads was similar to wild type (105.4 cM), in agreement with the whole genome sequencing data from the S288c/YJM789 hybrid (Figure 1 and Figure 5A). However, map distances when all viable spores were considered showed a reduction in *mlh3Δ pch2Δ* (79.9 cM) relative to wild type (96.6 cM) (Figure 5B). These results suggest restoration of crossovers in *mlh3Δ pch2Δ* to wild-type frequency occurs through an increase in both single crossovers measured as tetratypes (TT), as well as double crossovers measured as nonparental ditypes (NPDs). The increase in double crossovers (NPDs) contributes to map distances from tetrat data but not from spore data (Table S6 and Table S7). More NPD tetrads are also observed in *pch2Δ* mutants relative to wild type (Joshi et al. 2009; Zanders and Alani 2009).

*mlh3Δ pch2Δ slx4Δ* (91.2 cM) showed reduced genetic map distances compared to *mlh3Δ pch2Δ* (113.6 cM) in measures based on complete tetrads, but the genetic map distances from spore data were similar: 77.8 cM in *mlh3Δ pch2Δ slx4Δ* compared to 79.9 cM in *mlh3Δ pch2Δ* (Figure 5, A and B and Table S6, and Table S7). These results suggest Slx1-Slx4 does not significantly contribute to increased crossovers in *mlh3Δ pch2Δ* except for contributing to the NPD class. This is consistent with the meiotic role of the Slx1-Slx4 complex in the non-ZMM pathway characterized by multi-chromatid joint molecules (Zakharievich et al. 2012; Kaur et al. 2015), *mlh3Δ pch2Δ mms4-md* mutants showed strong reduction in genetic map distances (23.7 cM) compared to *mlh3Δ pch2Δ* (79.9 cM) (Figure 5B and Table S7). Map distances from tetrat data could not be accurately estimated in *mlh3Δ pch2Δ mms4-md* due to the low frequency of four-spore viable tetrads and the strong reduction in the map distances. These results suggest that the majority of the crossovers in *mlh3Δ pch2Δ* are made through the Mus81-Mms4 pathway.
Genetic interference is reduced across a range of crossover frequencies in the \textit{mlh3}Δ, \textit{pch2}Δ, and \textit{mlh3}Δ \textit{pch2}Δ mutants

Interference limits crossover number and ensures that multiple crossovers along the chromosome are widely spaced (Muller 1916; Hillers 2004; Kleckner \textit{et al.} 2004; Stahl \textit{et al.} 2004). Intercrossover distances modeled using a gamma distribution can be used to determine the strength of genetic interference: $g = 1$ corresponds to no interference, while $g > 1$ indicates positive interference (Anderson \textit{et al.} 2011). Intercrossover distances in physical units were converted into genetic distances (centimorgans) in wild type, \textit{pch2}Δ, \textit{mlh3}Δ, \textit{mlh3}Δ \textit{pch2}Δ, to account for differences in crossover frequencies (\textit{Materials and Methods}) (Figure 6A). To account for differences in the number of tetrads analyzed, we also converted the counts for intercrossover distances as a fraction of the total for wild type and each mutant (Figure 6B). For the wild-type strain, the $g$ value was 1.83. Interference was reduced in \textit{pch2}Δ ($g = 1.33$), \textit{mlh3}Δ ($g = 1.29$) and \textit{mlh3}Δ \textit{pch2}Δ ($g = 1.13$) compared to wild type. Interference analysis using the two pathway model that incorporates contribution from both interfering and noninterfering pathways also showed reduced interference in \textit{mlh3}Δ \textit{pch2}Δ, and \textit{mlh3}Δ \textit{pch2}Δ (File S3, and see Copenhaver \textit{et al.} 2002; Houwers and Stahl 2003). We also analyzed interference from the genome-wide crossover data using the CoC method (\textit{Materials and Methods}, and see Anderson \textit{et al.} 2015). Statistical significance of the difference between observed and expected frequency of double crossovers was estimated using the chi-square test. Interference (1 – CoC) was significantly reduced in \textit{mlh3}Δ ($P = 0.00033$), \textit{pch2}Δ ($P = 4.36 \times 10^{-6}$), and \textit{mlh3}Δ \textit{pch2}Δ ($P = 1.85 \times 10^{-9}$) relative to the wild type (Figure 6C).

The reduced interference in \textit{mlh3}Δ is consistent with the two pathway model for crossover formation (Copenhaver \textit{et al.} 2002; Stahl \textit{et al.} 2004; Getz \textit{et al.} 2008). \textit{pch2}Δ has been shown previously to lack genetic interference (Joshi \textit{et al.} 2009; Zanders and Alani 2009). However, analysis of Zip3 foci that mark DSB sites that will be repaired as crossovers, suggests that interference is observed in \textit{pch2}Δ at the crossover designation stage (Zhang \textit{et al.} 2014). The loss of genetic interference in \textit{pch2}Δ was thought to be due to the misregulation of the crossover/noncrossover decision step, and not because of the excess crossovers (Zanders and Alani 2009). We observe an increase in both crossovers and noncrossovers in \textit{pch2}Δ mutants and Zip3 localization is known to be maintained in \textit{pch2}Δ (Table 1, Zhang \textit{et al.} 2014). Therefore, the reduced interference in \textit{pch2}Δ is most likely due to the excess crossovers made by the MutLγ-dependent interfering, and the Mus81-Mms4-dependent noninterfering crossover pathways.

Since \textit{mlh3}Δ \textit{pch2}Δ also makes more noncrossovers, it is unlikely that the loss of interference is due to impairment of the crossover/noncrossover decision. The loss of interference is most likely because nearly all crossovers in \textit{mlh3}Δ \textit{pch2}Δ are made through the Mus81-Mms4 pathway (Figure 5B). Loss of interference in the \textit{mlh3}Δ \textit{pch2}Δ mutant is also supported from the uniform crossover density observed across all chromosome sizes (Figure 2D). The loss of genetic interference in \textit{mlh3}Δ \textit{pch2}Δ is particularly interesting as it makes as many crossovers on average as wild type. In summary, genetic
interference is reduced across all three categories of crossover frequencies (reduced, equivalent, and greater than wild-type crossovers for \( mlh3^{\Delta} \), \( mlh3^{\Delta} pch2^{\Delta} \), and \( pch2^{\Delta} \), respectively). No chromatid interference was observed among wild type, \( mlh3^{\Delta} \), and \( mlh3^{\Delta} pch2^{\Delta} \) mutants (\( P > 0.05 \), chi-square test), but negative chromatid interference characterized by a slight excess of two-strand crossovers may be present in \( mlh3^{\Delta} pch2^{\Delta} \) mutants (0.01 < \( P < 0.05 \), chi-square test) (Table S8).

Noninterfering crossovers are less efficient in promoting crossover assurance

We tested the effect of a wide range of crossover frequencies on obligate crossovers using data from genome-wide analysis of meiotic recombination in the \( mlh3^{\Delta} \), \( pch2^{\Delta} \), and \( mlh3^{\Delta} pch2^{\Delta} \) mutants. We determined the frequency of meiosis with nonexchange events in \( mlh3^{\Delta} \), \( pch2^{\Delta} \), and \( mlh3^{\Delta} pch2^{\Delta} \) mutants. The percentage of meiosis with 1 or >1 nonexchange chromosome was 3% for wild type, 7% for \( pch2^{\Delta} \), and 47% for \( mlh3^{\Delta} \), and 20% for \( mlh3^{\Delta} pch2^{\Delta} \) (Table S3). \( mlh3^{\Delta} \), which showed a decrease in both genetic interference and crossover frequencies, had the highest number of meioses with nonexchange events. In 5% of the meioses, the \( mlh3^{\Delta} \) mutant also had >1 nonexchange chromosome. However, \( mlh3^{\Delta} \) had an overall lower amount of nonexchange events among four-spore viable tetrads (47%) compared to \( msh4^{\Delta} \) (72%) but similar to \( msh4^{\Delta} R676W \) (42%, Krishnaprasad et al. 2015). These results suggest that, even though \( mlh3^{\Delta} \) makes 64 crossovers, the obligate crossover is not maintained. These findings reinforce our earlier observations that simultaneous reductions in crossover frequencies and genetic interference compromise the obligate crossover (Krishnaprasad et al. 2015). In \( pch2^{\Delta} \), the number of meioses with nonexchange events (7%) was comparable to wild type (3%) \((P = 0.37, \text{Binomial test})\). This is likely due to the excess crossovers made in \( pch2^{\Delta} \) that compensate for the loss of genetic interference. Previously, we had estimated that, if the crossovers are distributed randomly, a wild-type yeast cell would require up to ~200 crossovers to ensure (with probability >0.98) the absence of nonexchange chromosomes (Krishnaprasad et al. 2015). The observations with the \( pch2^{\Delta} \) mutant support the theoretical predictions that genetic interference reduces the number of crossovers required for crossover assurance. The results also suggest that \( pch2^{\Delta} \) does not significantly increase nonexchange chromosome frequency through other mechanisms. The \( mlh3^{\Delta} pch2^{\Delta} \) mutant was most interesting, since, although it made as many crossovers as wild type, the percentage of meiosis with nonexchange events (20%) was significantly more than wild type (3%) \((P = 2.7 \times 10^{-3}, \text{Binomial test})\). These data experimentally demonstrate that if the wild-type frequency of crossovers were randomly distributed in \( S. \text{cerevisiae} \), there would be more nonexchange chromosomes.

To further test how crossover frequency affects obligate crossovers, we estimated the percentage of meiosis with no nonexchange chromosome. The results show that if the wild-type frequency of crossovers were distributed in \( S. \text{cerevisiae} \), there would be more nonexchange chromosomes.
the crossover events are independent) (Figure 7). The expected percentage of meiosis with no nonexchange chromosomes, 46% (mlh3Δ), 80% (mlh3Δ pch2Δ), 93% (pch2Δ) matched well with the experimental observations: 53% (mlh3Δ), 80% (mlh3Δ pch2Δ) and 93% (pch2Δ). Crossover distributions in mlh3Δ, pch2Δ, and mlh3Δ pch2Δ, therefore, approximate a Poisson process. For wild type, the percentage of meiosis with nonexchange chromosomes (3%) is significantly lower than expected (24%) due to the presence of interference. These observations are consistent with the requirement for higher crossover frequencies in the absence of genetic interference to ensure obligate crossovers (Krishnaprasad et al. 2015; Berchowitz and Copenhaver 2010; Kaback et al. 1999).

In mutants with reduced genetic interference, adjacent crossovers may be closely spaced. As a result, they could be annotated as double noncrossovers inflating the number of nonexchange chromosomes. We inspected each nonexchange chromosome in the wild type, mlh3Δ, pch2Δ, and mlh3Δ pch2Δ mutants for ambiguity in the annotation for double crossovers and double noncrossovers, and did not find any significant difference in the estimate of nonexchange chromosomes (Table S9). All nonexchange chromosomes, except one, had gene conversion events (Table S9). This observation suggests that lack of an obligate crossover was not a consequence of the absence of recombination interactions between the homologs, but rather a failure to convert even one of them into a crossover.

Small chromosomes are most sensitive to loss of the obligate crossover

We analyzed the influence of chromosome size on the occurrence of nonexchange chromosomes in the wild type, mlh3Δ, pch2Δ, and mlh3Δ pch2Δ mutants (Figure 8). For mlh3Δ, nonexchange chromosomes included small (I, VI, and IX), medium (XI), and large chromosomes (XVI). This observation is similar to the distribution of nonexchange chromosomes in msh4Δ observed previously, except that the number of nonexchange chromosomes is much less in mlh3Δ (Krishnaprasad et al. 2015). For pch2Δ, the only nonexchange chromosome was a small chromosome (IX). For mlh3Δ pch2Δ, only small chromosomes (I and VI) were nonexchange. These observations suggest that small chromosomes are particularly susceptible to the loss of the obligate crossover when there are crossover and/or interference defects. A stronger reduction in crossover frequencies, as observed in mlh3Δ mutants, is required for the loss of obligate crossovers on medium and large chromosomes. These observations further consolidate our previous results showing that small chromosomes are particularly sensitive to variations in crossover frequency (Krishnaprasad et al. 2015). A high frequency of nonexchange has been observed for smaller chromosomes in other crossover defective mutants, and also in human meiosis (Chen et al. 2008; Fledel-Alon et al. 2009). Among the small chromosomes, we observed a lack of E0s for the chromosome III. A similar phenomenon was seen in Krishnaprasad et al. (2015), where chromosome III had the fewest E0s among the small chromosomes. Analysis of copy number variation using read depth information did not detect aneuploidy in any of the mlh3Δ, pch2Δ, and mlh3Δ pch2Δ sequenced tetrads (Figure S9).

DISCUSSION

We previously analyzed genome-wide crossovers in a msh4 hypocodon to demonstrate that a random distribution of reduced number of crossover events can increase nonexchange chromosome frequencies (Krishnaprasad et al. 2015). Our current work generalizes this observation to a larger context by analyzing nonexchange events when there is a random distribution of reduced, wild-type, and greater than wild-type frequency of crossovers using the mlh3Δ, pch2Δ, and mlh3Δ pch2Δ mutants.

Obligate crossover is sensitive to crossover frequencies and genetic interference

Genetic interference is affected in mlh3Δ, pch2Δ, and mlh3Δ pch2Δ due to increased contributions from the noninterfering pathway. Interference is also compromised in mlh3Δ and mlh3Δ pch2Δ because of defects in converting crossover designated sites into actual crossovers. The pch2Δ results suggest that mutants with reduced genetic interference can maintain an obligate crossover if crossover frequencies are significantly higher than in wild type (Figure 7). If the crossover frequency is reduced compared to wild type, there is a loss of the obligate crossover, as in the case of mlh3Δ. In mlh3Δ pch2Δ, we observed crossover frequencies equivalent to wild type (100 crossovers per meiosis), but an increased proportion of nonexchange chromosomes. Three lines of evidence support enhanced nonexchange chromosome frequency in mlh3Δ pch2Δ. First, we observe nonexchange chromosomes in 20% of meiosis in mlh3Δ pch2Δ in the S288c/YJM789 hybrid (Figure 8 and Table S3). Second, theoretical predictions by modeling the mlh3Δ pch2Δ crossover distribution as a Poisson process also support higher nonexchange chromosome frequencies consistent with the experimental results (Figure 7). Third, mlh3Δ pch2Δ showed spore viability pattern typical of Meiosis I nondisjunction in the SK1 EAY1108/1112 background (Figure 4). Since most crossovers in mlh3Δ pch2Δ are made by the Mus81-Mms4 pathway (Figure S5B), these observations are consistent with noninterfering crossovers being less efficient in supporting an obligate crossover. The higher E0 frequency in mlh3Δ pch2Δ also supports the prediction that S. cerevisiae will require up to 200 crossovers in the absence of genetic interference to

Figure 7 Probability of observing no E0 (chromosomes with zero crossovers per meiosis) for wild type, mlh3Δ, pch2Δ, and mlh3Δ pch2Δ. The probability of observing no E0 events is plotted against the crossover frequency modeled as a Poisson distribution. The dotted lines indicate the probabilities for finding no E0s in the absence of genetic interference for the experimentally observed average crossover counts per cell (mlh3Δ: 64; wild type: 93; mlh3Δ pch2Δ: 100; pch2Δ: 137).
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have >0.98% probability of an obligate crossover on every homolog pair (Krishnaprasad et al. 2015).

Triple mutant analysis with another resolvase (Slx4) showed that genetic map distances measured from spore data were not significantly different between \( mlh3^{\Delta} pch2^{\Delta} \) (79.9 cM) and \( mlh3^{\Delta} pch2^{\Delta} slx4^{\Delta} \) (77.8 cM). However, map distances from tetrad data showed significant differences between \( mlh3^{\Delta} pch2^{\Delta} \) (113.6 cM) and \( mlh3^{\Delta} pch2^{\Delta} slx4^{\Delta} \) (91.2 cM). These results suggest Slx4 only makes a minor contribution to crossovers in the \( mlh3^{\Delta} pch2^{\Delta} \) mutant. Slx4 may be involved in resolving a fraction of closely spaced double crossovers that contribute to the NPD class of tetrads.

**Segregation of nonexchange chromosomes in \( mlh3^{\Delta} \)**

Since \( mlh3^{\Delta} \) and wild type have identical spore viability in the S288c/YJM789 hybrid and similar proportion of the four-viable spore tetrad class, we tested the association of spore viability with the nonexchange chromosome frequency. \( Mlh3 \) acts downstream of Msh4/5 at the final steps of Holliday Junction resolution (Baker et al. 1996; Lipkin et al. 2002; Snowden et al. 2004; Kolas et al. 2005). \( mlh3^{\Delta} \) mutants, therefore, provide an advantage in relating variations in crossover frequency with the loss of obligate crossovers without the confounding effects that may arise in other mutants that have an early role in the crossover/noncrossover decision step or that affect DSB formation. It is also important to recognize that the data for the nonexchange chromosome frequency comes from the analysis of the four viable spore tetrads only. \( mlh3^{\Delta} \) has high spore viability (85%), strong crossover defects (64 crossovers per meiosis), and abundant single nonexchange chromosomes (Figure 8 and Table 1). These data are similar to the pattern seen with \( msh4^{\Delta}-R676W \) earlier (Krishnaprasad et al. 2015). The \( mlh3^{\Delta} \) mutant reinforces the idea that a reduction in crossover frequency results in loss of the obligate crossover, even though the average crossover number is still four times the number of homologs. The high spore viability of \( mlh3^{\Delta} \) suggests these single nonexchange chromosomes are efficiently segregated as observed with \( msh4^{\Delta}-R676W \) (Krishnaprasad et al. 2015), supporting the hypothesis that crossovers facilitate but are not essential for chromosome segregation. Other mechanisms, such as distributive segregation, spindle checkpoint, and centromere pairing may also contribute to the segmentation of achiasmate chromosomes in \( S. cerevisiae \) (Guacci and Kaback 1991; Shonn et al. 2000; Kemp et al. 2004). These observations also suggest spore viability is a poor measure of the status of obligate crossovers. The \( mlh3^{\Delta} \) mutant showed 64 crossovers genome-wide compared to 49 reported in \( msh4^{\Delta} \) (Krishnaprasad et al. 2015). These results provide support to previous observation on \( Msh4^{\Delta}/5 \) dependent but \( Mlh1^{\Delta}/3 \) independent crossover pathways (Argueso et al. 2004). It is also expected if, in \( mlh3^{\Delta} \), some of the crossover precursor joint molecules are resolved by structure selective nuclease as both crossovers and noncrossovers.

**Recombination maps in \( pch2^{\Delta} \) capture DSB variation**

We observed a simultaneous increase in crossovers and noncrossovers in \( pch2^{\Delta} \), which suggested that DSBs are increased in \( pch2^{\Delta} \). Previous studies have shown a complex role for \( Pch2 \) in DSB formation, with increased DSB formation at certain loci, such as the rDNA locus (Vader et al. 2011), and a general reduction in DSBs at other loci (Farmer et al. 2012). Pch2 also has a role in the processing of early DSBs (Joshi et al. 2015). These studies suggest overall DSB levels may remain constant in \( pch2^{\Delta} \), and the effects need to be examined on a per locus/chromosome basis (Farmer et al. 2012). High-resolution crossover and noncrossover data in \( pch2^{\Delta} \) provide an indirect readout of variation in DSB formation. For example, \( pch2^{\Delta} \) mutants do not show negative correlation of chromosome size with crossover plus noncrossover density. We observe more crossovers around the rDNA locus in \( pch2^{\Delta} \) mutants. \( pch2^{\Delta} \), as well as \( mlh3^{\Delta} pch2^{\Delta} \), also show suppression of both crossovers and noncrossovers near telomeres, which may suggest an altered

---

Figure 8 The percentage of meiosis that are nonexchange for each chromosome for wild type, \( mlh3^{\Delta} \), \( pch2^{\Delta} \), and \( mlh3^{\Delta} pch2^{\Delta} \). Chromosomes are shown in increasing order of size.
DSB distribution near telomeric regions. Also, we observed increased crossovers as well as noncrossovers on Chr III, consistent with increased DSB formation on chr III (Farmer et al. 2012). Such differences in DSB distribution, possibly by regulating Hop1 function (Borner et al. 2008; Wojtasz et al. 2009), and the use of the Mus81-Mms4 pathway, may be responsible for the differences in crossover and noncrossover patterns in pch2Δ and mlh3Δ pch2Δ compared to wild type (Figure S4, Figure S6, and Figure S7).

Although Rad51 foci analyses do not give information on regional DSB variation, it can provide information on total cellular DSB levels and its turnover. We did not observe peak Rad51 focus counts in pch2Δ to be greater than wild type. This is consistent with the physical analysis of DSBs, which suggests that, at least at specific loci, there is no increase in DSB formation in pch2Δ mutants (Zanders et al. 2011; Farmer et al. 2012; Joshi et al. 2015). Instead, pch2Δ showed the persistence of peak DSB foci over an extended period of the meiotic time course from 3 to 8 hr. It is possible there is DSB turnover in pch2Δ, so that DSBs are made and repaired and the process is repeated, leading to an overall increase in crossover and noncrossovers. Such a possibility is supported by previous observations of the accumulation of Rad51 foci in pch2Δ mutants (Subramanian et al. 2016). The only other alternative is that there is more repair of DSBs by the interhomolog pathway in pch2Δ mutants, which may account for increased crossovers and noncrossovers. But all available evidence suggests that in pch2Δ there is more interisister recombination than in wild type (Zanders et al. 2011; Joshi et al. 2015). Defects in DSB processing (e.g., longer resection) may also result in longer ssDNA filaments stabilized by Rad51 that may account for the long gene conversion tracts observed in pch2Δ mutants (Figure S8, B and C). The role of Pch2 in DSB regulation requires further investigation.

In conclusion, the mlh3Δ pch2Δ data provides experimental support from genome-wide analysis that wild-type crossover frequencies distributed randomly cannot maintain an obligate crossover on all homolog pairs (Kaback et al. 1999; Berchowitz and Copenhaver 2010; Krishnaprasad et al. 2015). The distribution of crossovers and noncrossovers suggest that chromosome-size dependent DSB formation is affected in pch2Δ. pch2Δ mutants also show that obligate crossovers can be ensured through a random distribution of excess crossovers. These results are consistent with Mutl, gamma dependent interfering crossovers being more efficient in promoting homolog disjunction compared to the Mus81-Mms4 dependent noninterfering crossovers.
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