Metal-Adapted Bacteria Isolated From Wastewaters Produce Biofilms by Expressing Proteinaceous Curli Fimbriae and Cellulose Nanofibers
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Bacterial biofilm plays a pivotal role in bioremediation of heavy metals from wastewaters. In this study, we isolated and identified different biofilm producing bacteria from wastewaters. We also characterized the biofilm matrix [i.e., extracellular polymeric substances (EPS)] produced by different bacteria. Out of 40 isolates from different wastewaters, only 11 (27.5%) isolates (static condition at 28°C) and 9 (22.5%) isolates (agitate and static conditions at 28 and 37°C) produced air–liquid (AL) and solid–air–liquid (SAL) biofilms, respectively, only on salt-optimized broth plus 2% glycerol (SOBG) but not in other media tested. Biomass biofilms and bacteria coupled with AL biofilms were significantly (P ≤ 0.001) varied in these isolates. Escherichia coli (isolate ENSD101 and ENST501), Enterobacter asburiae (ENSD102), Enterobacter ludwigii (ENSH201), Pseudomonas fluorescens (ENSH202 and ENSG304), uncultured Vitreoscilla sp. (ENSG301 and ENSG305), Acinetobacter Iwoffii (ENSG302), Klebsiella pneumoniae (ENSG303), and Bacillus thuringiensis (ENSW401) were identified based on 16S rRNA gene sequencing. Scanning electron microscope (SEM) images revealed that biofilm matrix produced by E. asburiae ENSD102, uncultured Vitreoscilla sp. ENSG301 and ENSG303, and B. thuringiensis ENSW401 are highly fibrous, compact, and nicely interlinked as compared to the biofilm developed by E. ludwigii ENSH201 and B. thuringiensis ENSW401. X-ray diffraction (XRD) results indicated that biofilm matrix produced by E. asburiae ENSD102, uncultured Vitreoscilla sp. ENSG301, and A. Iwoffii ENSG302 and K. pneumoniae ENSG303 are highly fibrous, compact, and nicely interlinked as compared to the biofilm developed by E. ludwigii ENSH201 and B. thuringiensis ENSW401. X-ray diffraction (XRD) results indicated that biofilm matrix produced by E. asburiae ENSD102, uncultured Vitreoscilla sp. ENSG301, and A. Iwoffii ENSG302 are non-crystalline amorphous nature. Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy showed that proteins and polysaccharides are the main components of the biofilms. Congo red binding results suggested that all these bacteria produced proteinaceous curli fimbriae and cellulose-rich polysaccharide. Production of cellulose was also confirmed by Calcofluor binding- and spectrophotometric assays. E. asburiae ENSD102, Vitreoscilla sp. ENSG301, and A. Iwoffii ENSG302 were tested for their abilities to form the biofilms exposure to 0 to 2000 mg/L of copper sulfate (for Cu), zinc...
INTRODUCTION

Discharge of untreated wastewater into the rivers, canals, lakes, and ponds is one of the major causes of water pollution. Generally, wastewater contains toxic heavy metals, synthetic dyes, and other hazardous substances (Jin et al., 2007; Das et al., 2011; Islam et al., 2011; Saratale et al., 2011; Sheikh et al., 2017), which pose threats to human health, fish, crops, and overall biodiversity (Islam et al., 2014, 2015; Naser et al., 2014; Ahmed et al., 2016; Alam et al., 2017). All around the world, numerous physico-chemical methods (e.g., chemical precipitation, oxidation, reduction, activated carbon, ion-exchange, reverse osmosis, membrane filtration, and evaporation) are being practiced to treat the wastewater. However, most of these methods are expensive, ineffective, and required high energy and produced large amount of sludge with hazardous by-products (Ahluwalia and Goyal, 2007; Dixit et al., 2015). By contrast, microbial-based techniques are eco-friendly, economic, and effectively detoxify the persistent organic pollutants (POPs), petroleum products, explosives, dyes, and metals from wastewater (Singh et al., 2006; Saratale et al., 2011; Edwards and Kjellerup, 2013; Elekwachi et al., 2014; Dixit et al., 2015; Mitra and Mukhopadhyay, 2016).

Biofilms are structured, surface-adherent, multicellular, microbial communities. Biofilms consist mainly of cells embedded in a self-produced extracellular polymeric substances [EPS (Costerton et al., 1999; Donlan and Costerton, 2002; Flemming and Wingender, 2010)]. EPS comprises polysaccharides, including cellulose nanoﬁbers (Zogaj et al., 2001; Solano et al., 2002; Haque et al., 2009; Römling and Galperin, 2015) and sucrose-derived glucans and fructans (Wingender et al., 2001), proteins, such as lectins, Bap-like proteins (Lasa and Penadé, 2006), and proteinaceous appendages mainly curli fimbriae (Prigent-Combaret et al., 2000; White et al., 2003; Zogaj et al., 2003), extracellular DNA (Whitchurch et al., 2002; Liang et al., 2010), lipids (e.g., surfactin, viscosin, and emulsan) (Conrad et al., 2003), surfactants (e.g., rhamnolipids) (Davey et al., 2003), and other biopolymers, including humic substances (Martin-Cereceda et al., 2001). The specific contents of the EPS controls biofilm morphology and stability (Flemming and Wingender, 2010). However, composition of the EPS vary between biofilms, species, surface on which biofilms are formed and environmental conditions, including availability of the nutrients, temperature, and oxygen tension (Prouty and Gunn, 2003; Haque et al., 2012; Koehler et al., 2015).

Bacterial biofilm matrix, i.e., EPS play significant roles compared with their free-living planktonic counterparts, including protection of the cells from adverse environmental stresses (e.g., high concentration of toxic chemicals, changes in pH, temperature, salt concentration, and water content), ability to communicate through expression of signal molecules, exchange genetic materials, and persistence in different metabolic states (Teitzel and Parsek, 2003; Hall-Stoodley et al., 2004; Kaplan, 2010; McDougald et al., 2012; Koehler et al., 2015; Mitra and Mukhopadhyay, 2016; Haque et al., 2017). Among the contents of the EPS, specifically the polysaccharide binds to heavy metals (Ferris et al., 1989; Teitzel and Parsek, 2003; van Hullebusch et al., 2003; Li and Yu, 2014). Despite these advantages, bacterial biofilms have been appreciated and applied to remove xenobiotic compounds (Seo et al., 2009; Payne et al., 2011; Edwards and Kjellerup, 2013) and heavy metal ions (Huang et al., 2000; Labrenz et al., 2000; Chang et al., 2006; Muñoz et al., 2006; Singh et al., 2006; Pal and Paul, 2008; Yamaga et al., 2010; Das et al., 2012; Fida et al., 2012). Cellulose nanofibers have been shown to use as a scaffold for tissue engineering (Klemm et al., 2001; Maneuring et al., 2007).
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sampling and Physico-Chemical Properties of the Wastewaters

Dyeing, composite (household plus different industrial wastewaters), garments, washing plant’s wastewaters were collected from Gazipur city areas of Bangladesh, while tannery wastewater was collected from Hazaribagh of Dhaka city, Bangladesh. The samples were collected in cleaned and sterilized screw cap bottles, and cold chain was maintained during transportation to the laboratory. Collected samples were stored at 4°C before analysis. Color and odor of the samples were noted. Total dissolve solid (TDS), salinity, and electrical conductivity (EC) were measured by Conductivity meter (Model: DDSJ-308A). Water pH was determined by the digital pH meter (model: HI 8424, HANNA). Water temperature and dissolved oxygen (DO) were measured during sample collection with the help of digital thermometer and digital DO meter (Model: HI 8424, HANNA), respectively. Copper (Cu), zinc (Zn), lead (Pb), chromium (Cr), and nickel (Ni) in different wastewater samples were determined by atomic absorption spectrophotometer (Model- AA-7000, Shimadzu, Japan) followed by procedures of American Public Health Association [APHA] (1998). Physico-chemical characteristics of various wastewaters are presented in Supplementary Table S1.

Isolation and Purification of Bacteria

Each wastewater sample was serially diluted with sterile distilled water then streaked on yeast extract peptone (YP) (1% of peptone, 0.5% of yeast extract, pH 6.8) agar (1.5%) plates. The plates were incubated at 28°C. After 24 h incubation, morphologically distinct (e.g., color, size, and shape) eight colonies from each sample were transferred to the fresh YP agar plate by the sterile toothpicks. Pure culture of each isolate was made by repeated streaking method and used for further studies.

Screening of Biofilm Producing Isolates

Initially a single colony of each isolate was grown in YP broth at 28°C in shaking condition (180 rpm) overnight and diluted [1:100 (ca. 10<sup>6</sup> colony forming unit (CFU)/mL)]. Then 50 µL diluted culture were inoculated in glass test tubes (Pyrex, flat bottom, Glassco, United Kingdom) containing 5 mL of salt-optimized broth (SOB) plus glycerol (SOBG) broth (per liter, 20 g of tryptone, 5 g of yeast extract, 0.5 g of NaCl, 2.4 g of MgSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.186 g of KCl, and 50 ml of 40% glycerol), YP, Luria Bertani (LB), King’s B (KB), yeast peptone dextrose adenine (YPDA), and M63 glycerol minimal medium (per liter, 2.5 g of NaCl, 3 g of KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 7 g of K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 2 g of (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, 0.5 mg of FeSO<sub>4</sub>, 2 g of thiamine hydrochloride, and 2 g of glycerol). Then each test tube was incubated at two different temperatures (28 or 37°C) in static or agitate (150 rpm) condition. After 72 h incubation, solid-air-liquid (SAL) and air-liquid (AL) biofilm producing isolates were identified visually.

Quantification of AL Biofilms

Biomass of the rigid AL biofilms was extracted from the liquid medium and quantified as described in Haque et al. (2012) with a few modifications. In brief, each biofilm was gently removed from the glass test tube and washed two to three times with sterile distilled water. Then 1.5 mL sterile distilled water and 20 glass beads (3 mm) were added to each glass test tube. Each biofilm was detached by vortexing (50 s) at the highest speed. Then optical density (OD) was measured by reading the absorbance at 600 nm with an UV spectrophotometer (Ultrospec 3000, Pharmacia Biotech, Cambridge, United Kingdom). Biomass of the fragile AL biofilms was extracted/detached from the liquid and quantified as follows: after 72 h of incubation in static condition, 1 mL of planktonic culture, i.e., the culture beneath the AL biofilms was carefully collected by inserting the pipette tips, and OD (OD<sub>600</sub>) was determined by the UV spectrophotometer. Then each fragile AL biofilms was vigorously vortexed with 1 mL planktonic culture and 20 glass beads (3 mm), and OD<sub>600</sub> was measured. Afterward, the OD<sub>600</sub> of planktonic culture was subtracted from OD<sub>600</sub> of biomass of fragile AL biofilm plus planktonic culture. This would provide the amount of fragile biomass present in the AL biofilm.

Quantification of SAL Biofilms

After 72 h of incubation at 180 rpm at 28°C, 5.5 mL of 0.05% (w/v) crystal violet (CV) solution was added to each glass test tube then incubated for 45 min. Each test tube was rinsed with three times with sterile distilled water, and CV was eluted using 95% ethanol. The SAL biofilm was quantified by measuring the absorbance at 570 nm using UV spectrophotometer (Ultriospec 3000, Pharmacia, Biotech, Cambridge, United Kingdom).

Enumeration of Cells Coupled With Biofilms

The rigid AL biofilms were carefully transferred from the broth, washed with sterile distilled water (twice), and then placed in sterile glass test tubes containing 3 mL of YP broth and 40 glass beads. The biofilms were disrupted for 1 min by vortexing, serially diluted, spread on YP agar plates, and incubated at 28°C. After 32 h, the cells were enumerated. The bacterial cells coupled with fragile AL biofilms were counted as follows: first, 1 mL of planktonic culture was gently removed, diluted, then spread on YP agar plates. Second, the fragile AL biofilms were mixed with 3 mL of planktonic culture by vortexing (without glass beads), diluted, and spread on YP agar plates. After 32 h incubation at 28°C, the CFU were counted. Afterward, CFU of the planktonic culture was subtracted from CFU of fragile AL biofilm plus planktonic culture. This would provide the cells present in the fragile AL biofilm.

Identification of Biofilm Producing Bacterial Strains Using 16S rRNA Gene Sequencing

Extraction of genomic DNAs and gel electrophoresis was done as described in Sambrook et al. (1989). 16S rRNA genes were amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR)
using the universal bacterial 16S rRNA gene primers 27F (5'-AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG-3') and 1492R (5'-GTTACCTTGTGACGACTT-3'). The PCR amplification conditions were as follows: initial DNA denaturation at 94°C for 5 min followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30 s, annealing at 57°C for 45 s, and elongation at 72°C for 1.5 min, which was followed by a final extension at 72°C for 10 min. The PCR products were purified with QIAquick Gel extraction kit (Qiagen), essentially according to the manufacturer's instructions. Nucleotide sequences were determined from the purified products by using 3500 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Bio-system). Two forward primers 27F (5'-AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG-3') and 533F (5'-GTTCCAGCAGCCGCG GTAA-3') and one reverse primer 1492R (5'-GTTACCTTGTGACGACTT-3') were used for the sequencing. The gene sequences of different biofilm-producing bacterial strains were compared using the bioinformatics tool BLASTN (Basic Local Alignment Search for Nucleotide) against the sequences of bacteria available in National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) data banks.

### Construction of Phylogenetic Tree

All sequences were aligned with MUSCLE (Edgar, 2004). Alignments were pruned with G blocks (Castresana, 2000). The evolutionary history was inferred by using the Maximum Likelihood method based on the JTT matrix-based model (Jones et al., 1992). Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA6 (Tamura et al., 2013).

### Scanning Electron Microscopy

A scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (SU510, Hitachi, Japan) operated at 5.0 kV was used to image biofilm samples after centrifugation (10,000 rpm for 10 min) followed by drying (12 h) at 40°C. Each sample was coated with carbon using a vacuum sputter-coater to improve the conductivity of the sample.

### Acquiring and Analysis of the IR Spectra

The IR spectra of the biofilms were acquired through Perkin Elmer FTIR (Spectrum-2) instrument operated by CPU32M software. Biofilms were removed carefully from SOBG broth after 72 h incubation by pouring the culture into the tube and centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 20 min. The precipitates after centrifugation were directly used as samples for FTIR scanning; within 450 to 4000 cm⁻¹ using triglycine sulfate (TGS) detector. A total of 16 scans at 4 cm⁻¹; resolution were accumulated at 0.2 cm/s scanning speed. The supernatant of the SOBG broth was also scanned. The spectrum of the supernatant was subtracted from the sample spectra to present the result. The baseline subtracted biofilms spectra were analyzed by using Perkin Elmer's proprietary software (Version 10.05.03).

### X-Ray Diffraction Analysis

This study was carried out on a BRUKER D8 X-ray diffractometer with CuKα1 radiation (λ = 1.54056). A continuous scan type diffractograms were recorded between 5.01° and 74.99° (2θ) at a rate of 0.3 s/step with a step size of 0.02° (2θ). A fixed-type anti-scatter slit of 0.10 mm and 1° divergence and receiving slits were used. The measurement temperature was recorded as 25°C.

### Congo Red Binding Assays

Congo red binding assays were done as described by Haque et al. (2017) with a few modifications. In brief, initially each biofilm producing bacteria was grown in YP broth overnight at 28°C in shaking condition (180 rpm). Then 1 mL of culture of each biofilm-producing bacterial strain was collected and centrifuged. The pellet was then diluted 1:100 (ca. 10^5 CFU/mL). Then 2 µL diluted culture were spotted (five spot in each plate) onto SOBG agar plates containing 40 µg/mL of Congo red (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, United States). The plates were incubated at 28°C in still condition for 48 h, then photographs were taken.

### Calcofluor Binding Assays

Calcofluor binding assays were carried out as described in Haque et al. (2009) with a few modifications. In brief, each biofilm producing bacteria was grown in YP broth overnight at 28°C in agitation condition (180 rpm) and diluted 1:100 (ca. 10^5 CFU/mL). Then 2 µL of diluted culture of each biofilm-producing bacterial strain were spotted (five spot in each plate) onto SOBG agar plates containing 200 µg/mL of Calcofluor white (Thomas Scientific, Fluka, United States). The plates were incubated at 28°C before being checked under UV light (366 nm). The photographs were taken after 48 h.

### Quantification of Cellulose From Biofilm Producing Bacteria

Cellulose production was quantified from different biofilm producing bacteria as the method described by Haque et al. (2017) with a few modifications. In brief, 2 µL of diluted (overnight grown) culture (ca. 10^5 CFU/mL) were spotted (15 spots in each plate) onto SOBG Calcofluor agar plates then incubated at 28°C in stationary condition. After 48 h incubation, approximately 3 g of cells from each bacterium were collected in 50-mL polystyrene conical tubes, covered then lyophilized. The lyophilized dry masses were mixed with 5 mL of 8:2:1 acetic acid: nitric acid: distilled water and boiled for 30 min then centrifuged at 15,000 rpm. The cell pellet was transferred to the Corex centrifuged bottles, washed two to three times with sterile distilled water and dried aseptically. The dried pellet was mixed with 200 µL of concentrated H₂SO₄ with gentle shaking (50 rpm) for 1.5 h at room temperature. The amount of cellulose was determined (OD₆00) using 800 µL anthrone (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, United States) reagent (0.2 g in 100 mL H₂SO₄). The Avel cellulose (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, United States) was used as standard.

### Heavy Metal Stress on Biofilm Formation

In order to study the effect of heavy metal stress on biofilm formation, 50 µL of cultures were inoculated in 5 mL of magnesium-deprived SOBG broth with different concentrations (0, 500, 750, 1000, 1250, 1500, and 2000 mg/L) of copper sulfate (CuSO₄·5H₂O for Cu), zinc sulfate (ZnSO₄·7H₂O for Zn),
lead nitrate \([\text{Pb(NO}_3\text{)}_2\text{ for Pb}]\), nickel chloride \((\text{NiCl}_2\text{ for Ni})\), and potassium dichromate \((\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7\text{ for Cr})\). The test tubes were incubated at 28\(^\circ\)C in still condition. After 72 h incubation, the photographs were taken. The biomass biofilms were quantified after 72 h incubation as stated above.

Statistical Analysis

All the experiments were laid out in a complete randomized design with four replications and repeated at least two times. Analysis of variance and comparison of means were calculated with the statistical package “agricolae” of R software version 3.3.3. The means were compared by using Fisher’s least significance difference (LSD) test \((P < 0.001)\).

RESULTS

Screening of Biofilm Producing Bacterial Isolates

In order to isolate biofilm producing bacteria from different wastewaters, a total of 40 isolates (8 isolates/sample) were screened. In static condition, 11 (27.5\%) isolates, such as ENSD101, ENSD102, ENSH201, ENSH202, ENSG301, ENSG302, ENSG303, ENSG304, ENSG305, ENSW401, and ENST501 were found to produce fragile to rigid AL biofilms at the air–liquid interface (also known as pellicle) in the glass test tubes containing 5 mL of SOBG (Figure 1A) after 72 h incubation at 28\(^\circ\)C but not in YP, LB, KB, YPDA, and M63 glycerol minimal media (data not shown). AL biofilms developed by ENSD101, ENSD102, ENSH201, ENSG302, ENSG304, ENSG305, and ENST501 had a smooth surface, robust, and cells were not dispersed when the aggregates were agitated. Conversely, AL biofilms produced by ENSH202, ENSG301, ENSG303, and ENSG305 had a rough surface, fragile, and easily dispersed when disturbed. Conversely, at 37\(^\circ\)C, all the AL biofilm-forming isolates produced the SAL biofilms on SOBG broth but not in YP, LB, KB, YPDA, and M63 glycerol minimal media after 72 h incubation (data not shown).

In shaking (150 rpm) condition, only nine (22.5\%) isolates, including ENSD101, ENSH202, ENSG301, ENSG302, ENSG303, ENSG304, ENSG305, ENSW401, and ENST501 were found to form the SAL biofilms as a ring at the solid–air–liquid interface in the glass test tubes containing 5 mL of SOBG (Figure 1B) only but not in YP, LB, KB, YPDA, and M63 glycerol minimal media (data not shown) after 24 h incubation at 28\(^\circ\)C. Isolate ENSG303 built a wide and thick SAL biofilm ring than the other isolates. Therefore, SOBG broth was chosen to screen the biofilm producing bacteria from wastewaters. Furthermore, none of the biofilm producing bacterial isolate was found to be impaired in growth rate in SOBG broth and M63 glycerol minimal medium in shaking condition (data not shown).

Biomass of Biofilms Produced by Different Isolates

AL biomass of biofilm was found to be significantly \((P \leq 0.001)\) differed in these isolates (Figure 1C). The isolates of ENSD101 and ENSG301 produced significantly \((P < 0.001)\) more AL biomass biofilms \((\text{OD}_{600} \text{ at 1.55})\) followed by ENSH201 (OD\(_{600}\) at 1.35) and ENSG304 (OD\(_{600}\) at 1.45) after 72 h incubation. ENSG302 (OD\(_{600}\) at 1.20) and ENSH202 (OD\(_{600}\) at 1.15) produced significantly \((P < 0.001)\) less biomass AL biofilms compared to other isolates.

In shaking (150 rpm) condition, the biomass of AL biofilms produced by ENSD101, ENSH201, ENSG302, ENSG304, ENSW401, and ENST501 was significantly \((P < 0.001)\) more than the other isolates. ENSG301 (OD\(_{600}\) at 0.60) and ENSG304 (OD\(_{600}\) at 0.75) produced significantly \((P < 0.001)\) less biomass AL biofilms compared to other isolates.
at 1.52) and ENSW401 (OD_{600} at 1.50). However, the moderate biomass biofilms (OD_{600} at 1.48) was generated by the ENSG303 and ENST501 followed by ENSG302 (OD_{600} at 1.46), ENSD102 (OD_{600} at 1.43), and ENSG305 (OD_{600} at 1.41). Significantly the lowest biomass biofilm (OD_{600} at 0.98) was produced by ENSH202. Like AL biomass biofilm, SAL biomass biofilm was also significantly (P ≤ 0.001) differed in these isolates (Figure 1D). The isolate ENSG303 produced more SAL biomass biofilm (OD_{570} at 1.29) than the other isolates. The lowest SAL biomass biofilm (OD_{570} at 0.49) was developed by the isolate ENSG301.

### Bacterial Cells Coupled With AL Biofilm Matrix

Bacterial cells coupled with AL biofilm matrix were counted by a serial dilution plating method (Figure 1E). The CFU was significantly (P ≤ 0.001) higher in the matrix produced by ENSG301 (6.23 × 10^8) and ENSW401 (6.23 × 10^8), which were statistically similar with ENSD101 (6.17 × 10^8), ENSG303 (5.73 × 10^8), ENSG305 (5.8 × 10^8), and ENST501 (5.9 × 10^8). However, the moderate CFU was recorded in the matrix produced by ENSD102. The lowest CFU was detected in the matrix created by ENSH202 (3.90 × 10^8), which was statistically similar with ENSH201 (4.47 × 10^8), ENSG302 (4.17 × 10^8), and ENSG304 (3.97 × 10^8).

### Identification of Biofilm Producing Bacteria

The 16S rRNA gene from biofilm producing isolates was sequenced, aligned, and the closest match was detected using BLASTN (Table 1). However, the isolates of ENSD101 and ENST501 were 99% homologous to E. coli (KJ803895.1) with maximum score (score of single best aligned sequence) 2545, ENSG301 and ENSG305 were 98% homologous to uncultured Vitreoscilla sp. (LN870312.1) with maximum score 2567, ENSH202 and ENSG304 were 99% homologous to Pseudomonas fluorescens (KP126776.1) with maximum score 2615, the isolates of ENSD102, ENSH201, ENSG302, and ENSG303 were 99% homologous to Enterobacter asburiae (CP014993.1), Enterobacter ludwigii (KM077046.1), Acinetobacter lwoffii (KF993657.1), and Klebsiella pneumoniae (KF192506.1) with maximum score 2654, 2573, 2468, and 2675, respectively. Conversely the isolate of ENSW401 was 100% homologous to Bacillus thuringiensis (JX283457.1) with maximum score 2601. The 16S rRNA gene sequence data were submitted to the NCBI GenBank, and the assigned accession number for uncultured Vitreoscilla sp. ENSG301, A. lwoffii ENSG302, E. ludwigii ENSH201, B. thuringiensis ENSW401, E. coli ENSD101, E. asburiae ENSD102, K. pneumoniae ENSG303, and P. fluorescens ENSG304 were KU254758, KU254759, KU254760, KU254761, KU254762, KU254763, KU254764, and KU254765, respectively.

### Phylogenetic Tree

Phylogenetic tree revealed that there were at least seven major clades where each species belonged to a clade representing its genus with species (Supplementary Figure S1). ENSD101 and ENST501 belonged to the same clade as E. coli, ENSG301 and ENSG305 formed the same clade as uncultured Vitreoscilla sp., ENSH202 and ENSG304 into the same clade as P. fluorescens, and ENSD102 and ENSH201 formed another clade as E. asburiae and E. ludwigii. However, rest of the isolates, ENSG302, ENSG303, and ENSW401 formed individual clade as A. lwoffii, K. pneumoniae, and B. thuringiensis, respectively.

### Scanning Electron Microscopy

Scanning electron microscopy images of the biofilm matrix are shown in Figure 2. Biofilm matrix produced by E. asburiae ENSD101 (Figure 2A), uncultured Vitreoscilla sp. ENSG301 (Figure 2B), A. lwoffii ENSG302, and K. pneumoniae ENSG303 were highly fibrous, compact, and nicely interlinked as compared to the biofilm developed by E. coli ENSD101 and B. thuringiensis ENSW401 in resolution of 2.0 k. The images were clearer in high resolution of 6.5 k. Cracks were easily visible in the biofilm matrix generated by E. ludwigii ENSH201 and P. fluorescens ENSG304 leading to form an indented surface morphology. It may be due to the effect of drying and/or centrifugation. In this study, we were unable to measuring the size of interwoven mesh of microfibrils.

### Table 1: Identification of biofilm forming bacteria.

| Strains   | Source                  | Top hit against colony isolate       | Accession no. | Maximum score | Maximum identity (%) |
|-----------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------------|
| ENSD101   | Dyeing industry         | Escherichia coli                    | KJ803895.1    | 2545          | 99                   |
| ENSD102   |                         | Enterobacter asburiae               | CP014993.1    | 2654          | 99                   |
| ENSH201   | Composite (household    | Enterobacter ludwigii               | KM077046.1    | 2573          | 99                   |
|           | plus different industrial wastewaters) |                         |               |               |                      |
| ENSH202   | Garments industry       | Pseudomonas fluorescens             | KP126776.1    | 2615          | 99                   |
| ENSG301   |                         | Uncultured Vitreoscilla sp.         | LN870312.1    | 2567          | 98                   |
| ENSG302   |                         | Acinetobacter lwoffii               | KF993657.1    | 2468          | 99                   |
| ENSG303   |                         | Klebsiella pneumoniae               | KF192506.1    | 2675          | 99                   |
| ENSG304   |                         | P. fluorescens                      | KP126776.1    | 2615          | 99                   |
| ENSG305   |                         | Uncultured Vitreoscilla sp.         | LN870312.1    | 2567          | 98                   |
| ENSW401   | Washing plant industry  | Bacillus thuringiensis              | JX283457.1    | 2601          | 100                  |
| ENST501   | Tannery industry        | E. coli                             | KJ803895.1    | 2545          | 99                   |
Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy and X-Ray Diffraction Analysis

The FTIR spectra of the EPS of different biofilms are presented in Figure 3. It was observed that all the bacterial EPS were dominant with protein contents producing peaks at amide I (1600–1700 cm$^{-1}$), amide II (1500–1600 cm$^{-1}$), and amide III (1200–1350 cm$^{-1}$) regions. The EPS were also consisted of high content of polysaccharide which produced intense peaks near 900–1150 cm$^{-1}$. The 2800–2970 cm$^{-1}$ domain indicates the presence of small amount of lipids in the EPS. The XRD analyses of the dried biofilm masses were carried out to assess the crystalline/amorphous nature. The XRD of E. asburiae ENSD102, uncultured Vitreoscilla sp. ENSG301, and A. lwoffii ENSG302 biofilms are presented in Figure 4. All the XRD patterns exhibit the non-crystalline amorphous nature with producing an extremely broad peak near at around 15–25° ($2\theta$).

Detection of Curli Fimbriae and Cellulose Nanofibers by Congo Red Binding Assays

Expression of curli fimbriae (a major proteinaceous component of the EPS) and cellulose nanofibers (a major polysaccharide component of the EPS) triggers the red, dry, and rough (rdar) morphotype/phenotype on Congo red agar plates (Römling, 2005; Milanov et al., 2015). However, sole expression of cellulose nanofibers leads the pink, dry and rough (pdar) or pink and smooth (pas) morphotype, while sole expression of curli fimbriae creates the brown, dry and rough (bdar) morphotype (Zogaj et al., 2003). In the present study, we observed that all the biofilm producing bacteria produced the rdar morphotype (Figure 5A), associated with curli fimbriae and cellulose production. However, intensity of red color, dryness, and roughness of the surfaces were greatly varied in these bacteria (Figure 5A). Thus, amount of cellulose and/or curli fimbriae production might be differed in these bacteria.

Detection of Cellulose by Calcofluor Binding Assays

Because rdar expressing bacteria binds to the cellulose specific dye Calcofluor (Zogaj et al., 2001; Solano et al., 2002; Römling, 2005; Uhlich et al., 2006; Milanov et al., 2015), we therefore evaluated these bacterial strains by spotting the cultures (ca. 10$^5$ CFU/mL) on Calcofluor (200 µg/mL) agar plates and incubated at 28°C. After 48 h incubation, Calcofluor agar plates were examined under UV (366 nm) light. However, the fluorescence intensity and pattern were varied greatly in these bacteria (Figure 5B). E. coli ENSD101 weakly fluoresced only at the side of the colonies, while E. asburiae ENSD102, E. ludwigii ENSH201, uncultured Vitreoscilla sp. ENSG301, K. pneumoniae ENSG303, and P. fluorescens ENSG304 strongly fluoresced all the spreading zones of the colonies. A. lwoffii ENSG302 also strongly fluoresced but covering only 85% of the spreading zones of the colonies, while B. thuringiensis ENSW401 fluoresced in a banding pattern. The results of the
study confirmed that all these bacteria produced the cellulose-rich polysaccharide.

**Cellulose Production by Different Biofilm Producing Bacteria**

Amount of cellulose production and intensity of fluorescence were correlated in bacteria (Haque et al., 2017), we, therefore, quantified cellulose production in different biofilm producing bacteria grown in Calcofluor agar plates after 48 h incubation at 28°C in static condition. Amount of cellulose production was found to be significantly \((P \leq 0.001)\) varied in these bacteria (Figure 6). *B. thuringiensis* ENSW401 produced significantly \((P \leq 0.001)\) more cellulose (153.36 ng), which was followed by *K. pneumoniae* ENSG303 (149.53). The second highest cellulose (133.9 ng) was produced by uncultured *Vitreoscilla* sp. ENSG301. However, cellulose production was statistically similar in *E. asburiae* ENSD102 (105.3 ng) and *P. fluorescens* ENSG304 (103.5 ng). Among the bacteria, *E. coli* ENSD101 produced the lowest amount of cellulose (65.2 ng). Thus, the increase of cellulose production seemed to have been reflected in the increase of Calcofluor binding.

**Bacterial Growth in Response to Different Concentrations of Cu, Zn, Pb, Ni, and Cr**

Three novel bacteria, such as *E. asburiae* ENSD102, uncultured *Vitreoscilla* sp. ENSG301, and *A. lwofii* ENSG302 were tested to ascertain the effect of different concentrations (0, 500, 750, 1000, 1250, 1500, 1750, and 2000 mg/L) of Cu, Zn, Pb, Ni, and Cr on cell growth in shaking condition (Figure 7). All the tested bacterial strains grew rapidly in the absence of any heavy metals in SOBG broth. In general, as the concentrations increased, the growth rate was decreased in all the bacteria tested. Among the heavy metals, Pb severely affected the growth. These bacterial strains were incapable to recover their growth exposure to 1750 and 2000 mg/L of Pb and Cr, while they grew only slightly in response to 1750 and 2000 mg/L of Cu and Zn. Thus, specific metals and concentration of the metal might be important for the growth of these bacteria. Interestingly, when 50 µL biofilm cells \((10^7\) CFU/mL) of these bacteria (72-h old, biofilm formed on magnesium-deprived SOBG broth containing 500 mg/L of Cu, Zn, Pb, and Cr) were transformed into the glass test tubes containing 5 mL of magnesium-deprived SOBG broth with 1750
and 2000 mg/L of Cu, Zn, Pb, and Cr, the growth was increased in these bacteria in the presence of these metals (data not shown).

### Several Concentrations of Cu, Zn, Pb, Ni, and Cr Stimulates Biofilm Formation

Biofilm formation by *E. asburiae* ENSD102, uncultured *Vitreoscilla* sp. ENSG301, and *A. lwoffii* ENSG302 exposure to different concentrations of Cu, Zn, Pb, Ni, and Cr were not studied by any other contemporary researchers yet. *E. asburiae* ENSD102 produced the dense, robust, and smooth AL biofilms in response to 500, 750, and 1250 mg/L of Cu, while they developed the skinny and delicate AL biofilms responding to 1500 and 1750 mg/L of Cu (*Figure 8A*). This bacterium created a faint AL biofilm exposed to 2000 mg/L of Cu (*Figure 8A*). Uncultured *Vitreoscilla* sp. ENSG301 developed a thick, stout, and smooth AL biofilm responding to 500 mg/L of Cu (*Figure 8B*), but they constructed a tinny and uneven AL biofilms responding to 750, 1000, and 1250 mg/L of Cu (*Figure 8B*). Conversely, they generated the weak SAL biofilms in response to 1500 and 1750 mg/L of Cu (*Figure 8B*). Increasing the Cu concentration from 1750 to 2000 mg/L, completely inhibited the biofilm formation in uncultured *Vitreoscilla* sp. ENSG301 (*Figure 8B*). A profuse, firm, and smooth AL biofilm was generated by *A. lwoffii* ENSG302 increasing the Cu concentration from 0 to 500 mg/L (*Figure 8C*), while they developed a thin and fragile AL biofilm in response to 750 mg/L Cu (*Figure 8C*). Conversely, 1000 and 1250 mg/L of Cu triggered the SAL biofilm formation (*Figure 8C*), while 1500 mg/L of Cu prevented the biofilm formation in *A. lwoffii* ENSG302. Nevertheless, the minimal biofilm Cu inhibitory concentration (mg/L) for *E. asburiae* ENSD102, uncultured *Vitreoscilla* sp. ENSG301, and *A. lwoffii* ENSG302 was 2100, 2000, and 1500, respectively. When quantified (*Figure 8D*), compared to the absence of Cu, *E. asburiae* ENSD102 produced 3.39-, 4.61-, 5.4-, 7.53-, 3.38-, 3.37-, and 2.07-fold more biomass biofilms responding to 500,
Different concentrations of Zn also influenced the biofilm formation (Figure 9). *E. asburiae* ENSD102 produced the profuse and rough AL biofilms exposure to 500, 750, 1000, 1250, and 1500 mg/L of Zn (Figure 9A), while uncultured *Vitreoscilla* sp. ENSG301 (Figure 9B) and *A. lwoffii* ENSG302 (Figure 9C) developed the prolific and uneven AL biofilms responding to 500, 750, 1000, and 1250 mg/L of Zn. However, biofilm formation of *E. asburiae* ENSD102 and *A. lwoffii* ENSG302 was prevented by 2000 mg/L of Zn (Figures 9A,C), while 1750 mg/L of Zn inhibited the biofilm formation of uncultured *Vitreoscilla* sp. ENSG301 (Figure 9B). The minimal biofilm Zn inhibitory concentration (mg/L) for *E. asburiae* ENSD102, uncultured *Vitreoscilla* sp. ENSG301 and *A. lwoffii* ENSG302 was detected at 2000, 2000, and 1750 mg/L, respectively.

Increasing the Pb concentration from 0 to 500 mg/L triggered an intense SAL biofilm formation by *E. asburiae* ENSD102 and *E. ludwigi* ENSG302, while uncultured *Vitreoscilla* sp. ENSG301 produced a faint SAL biofilm in this concentration (Figure 10). *E. asburiae* ENSD102 also induced AL biofilms responding up to 750 mg/L of Ni, while this bacterium generated the weak to strong SAL biofilms increasing the Ni concentration up to 1500 mg/L (Figure 10). A stout and thick AL biofilm developed by uncultured *Vitreoscilla* sp. ENSG301 responding to 500 mg/L of Ni, while *A. lwoffii* ENSG302 formed a fragile and thin AL biofilm at this concentration (Figure 9). All these bacterial strains also produced a lighter and fragile AL biofilms increasing the Cr concentration up to 750 mg/L (Figure 10). Thus, biofilm formation might be dependent on particular metal, concentration of the metal, and bacterial strain. All these bacteria produced the cellulose-rich polysaccharide (Figures 3, 4) responsible for biofilm formation. Polysaccharides were shown to bind with the metals (Ferris et al., 1989; Teitzel and Parsek, 2003;
van Hullebusch et al., 2003; Li and Yu, 2014). Thus, these biofilm producing bacterial strains might be an attractive biotechnological tool to remove the toxic heavy metals from wastewaters.

DISCUSSION

Biofilm formation is an important colonization strategy for adaptation and survival in adverse environmental cues in bacteria. In nature, more than 99% bacteria exists as biofilms (Costerton et al., 1987). Ude et al. (2006) shown that 76% Pseudomonas isolates from diverse environmental origins develops AL biofilms on KB broth at 20–22°C within 15 days of incubation in stationary condition in the laboratory. Another survey conducted by Solano et al. (2002), 71% Salmonella enterica serovar Enteritidis isolates from environment, food, animals, and clinical origins were found to develop the AL biofilms on Luria-Bertani broth at room temperature in static condition. In this study, only 27.5% (in static condition at 28 and 37°C) and 22.5% (in shaking condition at 28°C) isolates formed the AL and SAL biofilms, respectively, in the glass test tubes containing SOBG broth after 72 h incubation (Figures 1A,B) but not in YP, LB, KB, YPDA, and M63 glycerol minimal media (data not shown). SOBG broth was also found as a best biofilm inducing medium by other researchers (Yap et al., 2005; Jahn et al., 2008; Zou et al., 2012; Haque et al., 2009, 2017).

Bacterial strains, chemical composition of the surface, nutritional (e.g., media composition, carbon sources, and divalent cations including, magnesium, calcium, and iron), and environmental conditions (e.g., temperature, oxygen tension, osmolarity, pH, and chemotaxis) are important to form the biofilms in the laboratory (Yap et al., 2005; Hossain and Tsuyumu, 2006; Liang et al., 2010; Haque et al., 2012, 2017). Thus, failure to develop the biofilms by several isolates of this study might be due to incongruous nutritional and environmental conditions.
Bacterial biofilm formation depends on production and quantity of EPS (Sutherland, 2001). Concentration and composition of the EPS, hydrodynamic conditions, availability of nutrients, materials of the surface, motility, and intercellular communication system have been shown to regulate biofilm morphology (e.g., smooth and flat, rough, fluffy or filamentous, pillar, and mushroom) in bacteria (Zogaj et al., 2001; Solano et al., 2002; Hall-Stoodley et al., 2004; Flemming and Wingender, 2010). However, in the present study, the isolates of ENSD101, ENSH201, ENSG302, ENSG304, ENSW401, and ENSH501 produced the smooth surface AL biofilms, while ENSH202, ENSG301, ENSG303, and ENSG305 developed the rough surface AL biofilms. Thus, biofilm morphology might depend on bacterial isolates/strains too.

Based on 16S rRNA gene sequencing, E. coli (ENSD101 and ENSH501), E. asburiae (ENSD102), E. ludwigi (ENSH201), P. fluorescens (ENSH202 and ENSG304), uncultured Vitreoscilla sp. (ENSG301 and ENSG305), A. lwofii (ENSG302), K. pneumoniae (ENSG303), and B. thuringiensis (ENSW401) were identified (Table 1). Except uncultured Vitreoscilla sp., all these bacteria have been isolated from different wastewaters (Zablocka-Godlewska et al., 2012; Singh et al., 2015; Khan et al., 2015; Zhi et al., 2016; Radwan et al., 2017; Maintinger et al., 2017). Importantly, the ability of these bacteria to produce the biofilms in the glass test tubes with SOBG broth was not examined yet. Definitely, certain strains of E. coli (Weiss-Muszkat et al., 2010; Hung et al., 2013), P. fluorescens (Spiers et al., 2003; Koza et al., 2009), K. pneumoniae (Wang et al., 2016), A. lwofii (Martí et al., 2011), and B. thuringiensis (El-Khoury et al., 2016) from other than wastewater origins have been reported to form the AL biofilms in defined laboratory systems. In this study, E. asburiae ENSD102 (from dyeing wastewater), uncultured Vitreoscilla sp. ENSG301 (from garments wastewater) and E. ludwigi ENSG302 (from garments wastewater) were identified as novel biofilm producing bacteria.

SEM images results revealed that several matrix of the biofilms produced highly fibrous ribbon-like microfibrils (Figure 2), popularly known as cellulose fibrils or nanofibers (Jahn et al., 2011; Hu et al., 2013). XRD data indicated that matrix produced by E. asburiae ENSD102, uncultured Vitreoscilla sp. ENSG301, and A. lwofii ENSG302 are non-crystalline amorphous in nature (Figure 4). These results agree with the previously reported findings (Dogan et al., 2015). The results also came to an agreement that the absence of any ordered crystalline peak is due to the fact that the produced biofilms principally consisted of organic substances without forming any inorganic deposits (Hu et al., 2013). Besides, the literature suggests that presence of protein even in small amount can prevent the crystallization of sugar or sugar–protein mixture (Surewicz and Mantsch, 1988; Sharma and Kalonia, 2004; Haque M.A. et al., 2015). FTIR spectra (Figure 3) as well as Congo red binding (Figure 5A) results has confirmed that biofilm matrix produced by these bacteria are composed of proteins and cellulose-rich polysaccharides. The component of the matrix in the biofilms of E. asburiae ENSD102, uncultured Vitreoscilla sp. ENSG301, A. lwofii ENSG302, and B. thuringiensis ENSW402 was not reported by any other contemporary researches.

Numerous species from Enterobacteriaceae [including certain strains of E. coli (Bokranz et al., 2005), some serovars of Salmonella (Steenackers et al., 2012), Enterobacter sp. (Zogaj et al., 2003; Hungund and Gupta, 2010), A. baumannii (Nucleo et al., 2009), K. pneumoniae (Zogaj et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2016), and Pectobacterium carotovorum subsp. carotovorum (Haque et al., 2017)] and Pseudomonadaceae [including several species of Pseudomonas (Spiers et al., 2003; Ude et al., 2006; Hinsa and O'Toole, 2006) have been reported to produce the cellulose nanofibers and curli fimbriae, the major fraction of the EPS matrix. It was reported that expression of cellulose nanofibers and/or curli fimbriae depend on bacterial species/strains, chemical composition of the surfaces, growth,
and environmental conditions (Prouty and Gunn, 2003; Gerstel and Römling, 2003; García et al., 2004; Yap et al., 2005; Haque et al., 2012, 2017; Haque M.M. et al., 2015). EPS not only contains cellulose nanofibers and curli fimbriae but also contain extracellular DNA (Whitchurch et al., 2002; Liang et al., 2010). In this study, when we added up to 1000 U/mL of DNase I to SOBG broth during biofilm formation process by these bacteria and incubated the culture at 28°C in static condition, all these bacteria produced the AL biofilms after 72 h incubation (data not shown). Thus, EPS of these bacterial strains might not be contained the extracellular DNA.

Bacterial biofilms are resistant to toxic metal ions (Teitzel and Parsek, 2003; Harrison et al., 2005; Koechler et al., 2015). Certain metal ions, such as Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, Fe²⁺, Fe³⁺, Ba²⁺, Cu²⁺, and Zn²⁺ induced the biofilm formation in bacteria (Turakhia and Characklis, 1989; Rinaudi et al., 2006; Song and Leff, 2006; Liang et al., 2016; Haque et al., 2012). Not only metals but their concentrations also played an important role in biofilm formation in bacteria. For example, increasing the Ca²⁺ concentration from 50 to 100 μM increased the biofilm formation in Xyella fastidiosa strain Temecula, while higher concentrations (>200 μM) prevented the biofilm formation (Cobine et al., 2013). X. fastidiosa also increased the biofilm formation when PD2 amended with 400 μM ZnSO₄ under flow conditions and with constant bacterial feeding (Navarrete and De La Fuente, 2014). E. coli K-12 produced twofold more biofilm biomass in the presence of 100 μM of nickel compared to the biofilm grown in the absence of this metal (Perrin et al., 2009). We observed that several concentrations of Cu, Zn, Pb, Ni, and Cr stimulated the biofilm formation (Figures 8–10). We do not know exactly why several concentrations of these metals increased the biofilm formation in E. asburiae ENSD102, uncultured Vitreoscilla sp. ENSG301, and A. lwoffii ENSG302. Current study showed that all these bacteria produced both proteinaceous curli fimbriae and cellulose—rich polysaccharide (Figures 4, 5). The protein units reportedly gave the characteristics IR band through C = O stretching at amide I region, N—H bending and C—N stretching at amide II region and C—N bending and N—H stretching at amide III region (Liaqat et al., 2009; Haque et al., 2014). On the other hand, band region for polysaccharide principally resulted by stretching vibration of C—C and C—O bonds and deformation of C—O—H and C—O—C bonds (Naumann, 2000; Grube et al., 2002). It was reported that the positively charged metal bound with negatively charged functional groups present on the bacteria (Teitzel and Parsek, 2003; van Hullebusch et al., 2003). Thus, protein and/or polysaccharide produced by E. asburiae ENSD102, uncultured Vitreoscilla sp. ENSG301, and A. lwoffii ENSG302 in response to different concentrations of Cu, Zn, Pb, Ni, and Cr could sequester the toxic metal ions, giving to the bacteria the time required for adaptation thus driving to the physiological or metabolic changes necessary for eliminating the toxic effect of these metals, i.e., expression of enzymes and transporters for pumping out the metal or metal-binding proteins (Letelier et al., 2010; Mindlin et al., 2016; Nocelli et al., 2016; Karn et al., 2017). Thus, these bacterial strains might be an attractive biotechnological tool for bioremediation of toxic heavy metals from wastewaters.

Recently, several researchers have been shown that heavy metal resistant bacteria were also multidrug resistant (Bhagat et al., 2016; Aransiola et al., 2017; Andrade et al., 2018). Therefore, future studies should focus on study the virulence factor of these bacteria before used in bioremediation of heavy metals.

CONCLUSION

Eleven biofilm producing bacterial strains were isolated and identified from diverse wastewaters of Bangladesh using 16S rRNA gene sequencing. All these bacteria produced proteinaceous curli fimbriae and cellulose—the two major components of the EPS. Cellulose has a wide variety of biomedical applications (e.g., wound dressing and blood vessels) as well as tissue engineering fields. Bacterial growth rate was decreased with the increase of the concentrations of the Cu, Zn, Pb, Ni, and Cr. Several concentrations of these heavy metals significantly enhanced the biofilm formation in E. asburiae ENSD102, uncultured Vitreoscilla sp. ENSG301, and A. lwoffii ENSG302. Biofilm/EPS matrix act as molecular sieve, e.g., sequestering metal ions, these bacterial strains might be an attractive biotechnological tool for bioremediation of Cu, Zn, Cr, Ni, and Pb from wastewaters.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

MM, ZHT, and MMH conducted the experiments. MMH conceived the idea, wrote the manuscript, and collected the research fund. MK, AM, MA, and MRT characterized the isolates and analyzed the data. MI identified the bacteria based on 16S rRNA gene sequencing. MAH conducted the FTIR analysis of the matrix of the biosilts produced by different bacteria. All the authors read the manuscript and approved for the submission.

FUNDING

This research was supported by Research Management Committee (RMC) of Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman Agricultural University and Ministry of Science and Technology, Bangladesh to MMH.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Rajnee Hasan, Nasima Akter, Rasel Ahmed, and Md. Sabbir Hossain, Bangladesh Jute Research Institute, Bangladesh for helping 16S rRNA gene sequencing. Professor Shah Mohammad Masum, University of Dhaka, Bangladesh for facilitating X-ray diffraction study.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2018.01334/full#supplementary-material
REFERENCES

Ahluwalia, S. S., and Goyal, D. (2007). Microbial and plant derived biomass for removal of heavy metals from wastewater. Bioreour. Technol. 98, 2243–2257. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2005.12.006

Ahmed, M. K., Baki, M. A., Kundu, G. K., Islam, M. S., Islam, M. M., and Hossain, M. M. (2016). Human health risks from heavy metals in fish of Buriganga river, Bangladesh. Springer Plus 5:1697. doi: 10.1186/s40064-016-3357-0

Alam, M. Z., Carpenter-Boggs, L., Rahman, A., Haque, M. M., Miah, M. R. U., Moniruzzaman, M., et al. (2017). Water quality and resident perceptions of declining ecosystem services at Shitalakka wetland in Narayanganj city. Sustain. Water Qual. Ecol. 9–10, 53–66. doi: 10.1666/swaque.2017.03.002

Al-Wadthi, H., Al-Hasan, R. H., Sorkhoh, N. A., Salamah, S., and Radwan, S. S. (2003). Establishing oil-degrading biofilms on gravel particles and glass plates. Int. Biodebiter. Biodegradation 51, 181–185. doi: 10.1016/S0964-8305(02)00140-3

American Public Health Association [APHA] (1998). Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 20th Edn. Washington, DC: American Public Health Association.

Andrade, L. N., Siqueira, T. E. S., Martinez, R., and Darini, A. L. C. (2018). Physical characteristics of spray dried whey protein isolate powders produced in the presence and absence of lactose, trehalose and polysorbate-80. Drying and denaturation characteristics of spray dried whey protein isolate powders produced. J. Agric. Food Chem. 66, 127ñ137. doi: 10.1021/acs.jafc.7b01786

Donlan, R. M., and Costerton, J. W. (2002). Biofilms: survival mechanisms of clinically relevant microorganisms. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 15, 167–193. doi: 10.1128/CMR.15.2.167-193.2002

Dixon, R., Wasiuia, M. D., Pandiyan, K., Singh, U. B., Sanu, A., et al. (2015). Bioremediation of heavy metals from soil and aquatic environment: an overview of principles and criteria of fundamental processes. Sustainability 7, 2189–2212. doi: 10.3390/su7022189

Dogan, N. M., Doganli, G. A., Dogan, G., and Bozkaya, O. (2015). Characterization of extracellular polysaccharide (EPS) produced by thermal Bacillus and determination of environmental conditions affecting exopolysaccharide production. Int. J. Environ. Res. 9, 1107–1116. doi: 10.20597/IJER.2015.998

Ferris, F. G., Schultze, S., Witten, T. C., Fyfe, W. S., and Beveridge, T. J. (1996). Metal interactions with microbial biofilms in acidic and neutral pH environments. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 55, 1249–1257.

Frida, T. T., Breugelmans, P., Lavigne, R., Coronado, E., Johnson, D. R., vander Meer, J. R., et al. (2012). Exposure to sulfolane stress affects genome-wide expression but not the poly-cyclic aromatic hydrocarbon-degrading activity of Sphingomonas sp. strain LH128 in biofilms. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 78, 8311–8320. doi: 10.1128/AEM.02516-12

Flemming, H. C., and Wingender, J. (2010). The biofilm matrix. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 8, 623–633. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro2415

García, B., Latasa, C., Solano, C., García-del Portillo, F., Gamazo, C., and Lasa, I. (2004). Role of the GGDEF family in Salmonella cellulose biosynthesis and biofilm formation. J. Bacteriol. 186, 289–296. doi: 10.1128/JB.186.1.289-296.2004

Gerstel, U., and Römling, U. (2003). The csgD promoter, a control unit for biofilm formation in Salmonella typhimurium. Res. Microbiol. 154, 659–667. doi: 10.1016/s0034-5677(03)00008-7

Gruwe, M., Bekers, M., Upte, D., and Kaminska, E. (2002). Infrared spectra of some fructans. Spectroscopy 16, 289–296. doi: 10.1155/2002/673758

Hall-Stodley, L., Costerton, J. W., and Stoodley, P. (2004). Bacterial biofilms from the natural environment to infectious diseases. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 2, 95–108. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro8281

Haque, M. A., Aldred, P., Chen, J., and Adhikari, B. (2015). Denaturation and physical characteristics of spray dried whey protein isolate powders produced in the presence and absence of lactose, trehalose and polysorbate-80. Drying Technol. 33, 1243–1254. doi: 10.1080/07373937.2015.1023311

Haque, M. A., Aldred, P., Chen, J., Barrow, C. J., and Adhikari, B. (2014). Drying and denaturation characteristics of α-lactalbumin, β-lactoglobulin and bovine serum albumin in convective drying process. J. Agric. Food Chem. 62, 4965–4976. doi: 10.1021/jf405603c

Haque, M. M., Hirata, H., and Tsuyumu, S. (2012). Role of PhoP-PhoQ two-component system in pellicle formation, virulence and survival in harsh environments of Dickeya dadantii 3937. J. Gen. Plant Pathol. 78, 176–189. doi: 10.1016/j.sjppt.2011.02.002

Haque, M. M., Hirata, H., and Tsuyumu, S. (2005). SlyA regulates motA and motB, virulence and stress-related genes under conditions induced by the PhoP-PhoQ system in Dickeya dadantii 3937. Res. Microbiol. 156, 467–475. doi: 10.1016/j.resmic.2015.03.004

Haque, M. M., Kabir, S. M., Aini, L. Q., Hirata, H., and Tsuyumu, S. (2009). SlyA, a MatR family transcriptional regulator, is essential for virulence in Dickeya dadantii 3937. J. Bacteriol. 191, 5409–5419. doi: 10.1128/JB.00240-09
Jahn, C. E., Willis, D. K., and Charkowski, A. O. (2008). The flagellar sigma factor.

Hungund, B. S., and Gupta, S. G. (2010). Improved production of bacterial cellulose.

Jones, D. T., Taylor, W. R., and Thornton, J. M. (1992). The rapid generation of mutation data matrices from protein sequences.

Kaplan, J. B. (2010). Biofilm dispersal: mechanisms, clinical implications, and potential therapeutic uses.

Karn, S. K., Fang, G., and Duan, J. (2017). Bacillus sp. acting as dual role for corrosion induction and corrosion inhibition with carbon steel (CS).

Klemm, D., Schumann, D., Udhart, U., and Marsch, S. (2001). Bacterial synthesized cellulose-artificial blood vessels for microsurgery.

Kochler, S., Farasin, J., Cleiss-Arnold, J., and Arsené-Ploetz, F. (2015). Toxic metal resistance in biofilms: diversity of microbial responses and their evolution.

Kozá, A., Hallett, P. D., Moon, C. D., and Spiers, A. J. (2009). Characterization of a novel air-liquid interface biofilm of Pseudomonas fluorescens SBW25.

Labrenz, M., Druschel, G. K., Thomesen-Ebert, T., Gilbert, B., Welch, S. A., Kemner, K. M., et al. (2000). Formation of sphere-like (ZnS) deposits in natural biofilms of surface-reducing bacteria.

Lasa, I., and Penadé, J. R. (2006). Bap: a family of surface proteins involved in biofilm formation.

Letelier, M. E., Sebastian, S. I., Liliana, P. S., Cortés-Troncoso, J., and Aracena-Parks, P. (2010). Mechanisms underlying iron and copper ions toxicity in biological systems: pro-oxidant activity and protein-binding effects.

Li, W.-W., and Yu, H.-Q. (2014). Insight into the roles of microbial extracellular polymer substances in metal biosorption.

Hung, C., Zhou, Y., Pinkner, J. S., Dodson, K. W., Crowley, J. R., Heuser, J., et al. (2011). Resistance of permafrost and modern Acinetobacter biofilm strains to heavy metals and arsenic revealed by genome analysis.

MacNeil, T., Mori, Y., Nakazawa, S., et al. (2000). Formation of sphalerite (ZnS) deposits in natural biofilms of a novel air-liquid interface biofilm of Shewanella oneidensis.

Maneerung, T., Tokura, S., and Rujiravanit, R. (2007). Impregnation of silver nanoparticles into bacterial cellulose for antimicrobial wound dressing.

Martín-Cerecero, M., Jorand, F., Guinea, A., and Block, J. C. (2001). Characterization of extracellular polymeric substances in rotating biological contacts and activated sludge flocs.

Mikolášová, J., Dvořák, J., and Švec, M. (2003). Resistance of permalfrost and modern Acinetobacter biofilm strains to heavy metals and arsenic revealed by genome analysis.
Nucleo, E., Steffanini, L., Fugazza, G., Migliavacca, R., Giacobone, E., Navarra, A., et al. (2009). Growth in glucose-based medium and exposure to subinhibitory concentrations of imipenem induce biofilm formation in a multidrug-resistant clinical isolate of Acinetobacter baumannii. BMC Microbiol. 9:270. doi: 10.1186/1471-2180-9-270

Pal, A., and Paul, A. K. (2008). Microbial extracellular polymer substances: central elements in heavy metal bioremediation. Indian J. Microbiol. 48, 49–64. doi: 10.1007/s12088-008-0006-5

Payne, R. B., May, H. D., and Sowers, K. B. (2011). Enhanced reductive dechlorination of polychlorinated biphenyl impacted sediment by bioaugmentation with a dehalorespiring bacterium. Environ. Sci. Technol. 45, 8772–8779. doi: 10.1021/es103553c

Perrin, C., Briandet, R., Jubelin, G., Lejeume, P., Mandrand-Berthelot, M. A., Nucleo, E., Steffanini, L., Fugazza, G., Migliavacca, R., Giacobone, E., Navarra, A., Seo, Y., Lee, W. H., Sorial, G., and Bishop, P. L. (2009). The application of a mulch producing molecular cloning. Sambrook, J., Fritsch, E. F., and Maniatis, T. (1989).

Spiers, A. J., Bohannon, J., Gehrig, S. M., and Rainey, P. B. (2003). Biofilm behavior in Escherichia coli O157:H7 strain and its role in biofilm formation and resistance to antimicrobial agents. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 72, 2564–2572. doi: 10.1128/AEM.72.4.2564-2572.2006

Teitzel, G. M., and Parsek, M. R. (2003). Heavy metal resistance of biofilm and planktonic Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 69, 2313–2320. doi: 10.1128/AEM.69.4.2313-2320.2003

Turakhia, M. H., and Characklis, W. G. (1989). Activity of Pseudomonas aeruginosa in biofilms-effect of calcium. Biotechnol. Bioeng. 33, 406–414. doi: 10.1002/bit.260330405

Ude, S., Arnold, D. L., Moon, C. D., Timms-Wilson, T., and Spiers, A. J. (2006). Biofilm formation and cellulose expression among diverse environmental Pseudomonas isolates. Environ. Microbiol. 8, 1997–2011. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2980.2006.00100.x

Uhlich, G. A., Cooke, P. H., and Solomon, E. B. (2006). Analyses of the red-dry rough phenotype of an Escherichia coli O157:H7 strain and its role in biofilm formation and cellulose expression among diverse environmental Pseudomonas isolates. Environ. Microbiol. 8, 1997–2011. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2980.2006.00100.x

van Hullebusch, E. D., Zandvoor, M. H., and Lens, P. N. L. (2003). Metal immobilization by biofilms: mechanisms and analytical tools. Rev. Environ. Sci. Biotechnol. 2, 9–33. doi: 10.1007/BF00002299.48330.55

van Canstein, H., Kelly, S. L., Li, Y., and Wagner-Dobler, I. (2002). Species diversity improves the efficiency of mercury-reducing biofilms under changing environmental conditions. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 68, 2829–2837. doi: 10.1128/AEM.68.6.2829-2837.2002

Wagner-Dobler, I., Lünsdorf, H., Lubbenhüsen, T., van Canstein, H. F., and Li, Y. (2000). Structure and species composition of mercury-reducing biofilms. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 66, 4559–4563. doi: 10.1128/AEM.66.10.4559-4563.2000

Wang, H., Yan, Y., Rong, D., Wang, J., Wang, H., Liu, Z., et al. (2016). Increased biofilm formation ability in Klebsiella pneumoniae after short-term exposure to a simulated microgravity environment. Microbivologically 5, 793–801. doi: 10.1002/mbo3.370

Weiss-Muszkat, M., Shakh, D., Zhou, Y., Pinto, R., Belausov, E., Chapman, M. R., et al. (2010). Biofilm by and multicellular behavior of Escherichia coli O55:H7, an atypical enteropathogenic strain. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 7, 1545–1554. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01395-09

Whitchurch, C. B., Tolker-Nielsen, T., Rasag, P. C., and Mattick, J. S. (2002). Extracellular DNA required for bacterial biofilm formation. Science 295:1487. doi: 10.1126/science.295.5559.1487

White, A., Gibson, D. L., Collinson, S. K., Banser, P. A., and Kay, W. W. (2002). Extracellular polysaccharides associated with thin aggregative fimbiae of Salmonella enterica serovar Enteritidis. J. Bacteriol. 185, 5398–5407. doi: 10.1128/JB.185.18.5398-5407.2003

Wingender, J., Strathmann, M., Rode, A., Leis, A., and Flemming, H.-C. (2001). Isolation and biochemical characterization of extracellular polymeric substances from Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Methods Enzymol. 336, 302–314. doi: 10.1016/S0076-6879(01)36597-7

Yamaga, F., Washio, K., and Morikawa, M. (2010). Sustainable biodegradation of trivalent chromium using Bacillus biofilms through continuous flow reactor. J. Hazard. Mater. 174, 41–51. doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2010.08.066

Surewicz, W. K., and Mantsch, H. H. (1988). New insight into protein secondary structure from resolved enhanced infrared spectra. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 952, 115–130. doi: 10.1016/0005-2780(90)90107-0

Sutherland, I. W. (2001). The biofilm matrix – an immobilized but dynamic microbial environment. Trends Microbiol. 9, 222–227. doi: 10.1016/S0966-842X(01)02012-1

Tamura, K., Stecher, G., Peterson, D., Filipski, A., and Kumar, S. (2013). MEGA6: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. Mol. Biol. Evol. 30, 2725–2729. doi: 10.1093/molbev/mst197

Yap, M.-N., Yang, C.-H., Barak, J. D., Jahn, C. E., and Charkowski, A. O. (2005). The Erwinia chrysanthemi type III secretion system is required for multicellular behavior. J. Bacteriol. 187, 639–648. doi: 10.1128/JB.187.2.639-648.2005

Steenackers, H., Hermans, K., Vanderleyden, J., and Keersmaecker, D. (2012). Salmonella biofilms: an overview on occurrence, structure, regulation and eradication. Food Res. Int. 45, 502–531. doi: 10.1016/j.foodres.2011.01.038

Sundar, K., Sadiq, M., Mukherjee, A., and Chandrasekaran, N. (2011). Bioremoval of trivalent chromium using Bacillus biofilms through continuous flow reactor. J. Hazard. Mater. 741, 41–51. doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2011.08.066

Sorensen, B. K., and Mantsch, H. H. (1988). New insight into protein secondary structure from resolved enhanced infrared spectra. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 952, 115–130. doi: 10.1016/0005-2780(90)90107-0
Zabłocka-Godlewska, E., Przystaś, W., and Grabińska-Sota, E. (2012). Decolourization of diazo evans blue by two strains of Pseudomonas fluorescens isolated from different wastewater treatment plants. Water Air Soil Pollut. 223, 5259–5266. doi: 10.1007/s11270-012-1276-4

Zhi, S., Banting, G., Li, Q., Edge, T. A., Topp, E., Sokurenko, M., et al. (2016). Evidence of naturalized stress-tolerant strains of Escherichia coli in municipal wastewater treatment plants. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 82, 5505–5518. doi: 10.1128/AEM.00143-16

Zogaj, X., Bokranz, W., Nimtz, M., and Römling, U. (2003). Production of cellulose and curli fimbriae by members of the family Enterobacteriaceae isolated from the human gastrointestinal tract. Infect. Immun. 71, 4151–4158. doi: 10.1128/IAI.71.7.4151-4158.2003

Zogaj, X., Nimtz, M., Rohde, M., Bokranz, W., and Römling, U. (2001). The multicellular morphotypes of Salmonella typhimurium and Escherichia coli produce cellulose as the second compound of the extracellular matrix. Mol. Microbiol. 39, 1452–1463. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2958.2001.02337.x

Zou, L., Zeng, Q., Lin, H., Gyaneshwar, P., Chen, G., and Yang, C.-H. (2012). SlyA regulates type III secretion system (T3SS) genes in parallel with the T3SS master regulator HrpL in Dickeya dadantii 3937. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 78, 2888–2895. doi: 10.1128/AEM.00721-11

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2018 Mosharaf, Tanvir, Haque, Haque, Khan, Molla, Alam, Islam and Talukder. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.