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Abstract: The theoretical descriptions for a radial phase-locked multi-Gaussian Schell-model vortex (RPLMGSMV) beam array is first given. The normalized intensity and coherence distributions of a RPLMGSMV beam array propagating in free space and atmospheric turbulence are illustrated and analyzed. The results show that a RPLMGSMV beam array with larger total number \( N \) or smaller coherence length \( \sigma \) can evolve into a beam with better flatness when the beam array translating into the flat-topped profile at longer distance \( z \) and the flatness of the flat-topped intensity distribution can be destroyed by the atmospheric turbulence at longer distance \( z \). The coherence distribution of a RPLMGSMV beam array in atmospheric turbulence at the longer distance will have Gaussian distribution. The research results will be useful in free space optical communication using a RPLMGSMV beam array.
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1. Introduction

With the development of wireless optical communication and laser radar, the evolutions of laser beams in atmospheric turbulence were widely studied in past years [1]. In past years, the properties of fully coherent laser beams in turbulence have been widely analyzed, such as Gaussian beams [2], Hermite–Gaussian beam [3], Pearcey–Gaussian beam [4], beam array [5–7], Laguerre–Gaussian beam [8], Airy beam [9], hollow beam [10,11], vortex beam [12,13], and vortex lattices [14]. From previous studies [1], one can see that partially coherent beams are resistance to the deleterious effects of turbulence. In past years, the properties, including intensity, polarization, and coherence, of Gaussian Schell-model (GSM) beams [15–19] and GSM beam array [20–23] propagating in turbulence were widely studied. On the other hand, the special correlated beams are also be introduced and analyzed, such as non-uniformly correlated beams [24], multi-Gaussian Schell-model (MGSM) beams [25–28], cosine-GSM beams [29], multi-cosine-Laguerre–Gaussian correlated Schell-model beams [30]. Laser arrays can produce the higher power output than single beam and which can have linear, rectangular and radial distributions. The beams correlated with MGSM source can provide flat intensity profiles in the far field [25]. To obtain the flat-topped intensity profiles, the MGSM beam arrays propagating in turbulence are investigated, and it is found that the MGSM beam arrays can achieve the better flat-topped profiles [31,32]. Moreover, the MGSM vortex beam has been introduced and studied. It shows that the intensity profile of MGSM vortex can be modulated by the topological charge [33]. Thus, it will be very interesting to consider the laser array composed by MGSM vortex beams. In this paper, we extend MGSM vortex beam into the radial phase-locked multi-Gaussian-Schell-model vortex (RPLMGSMV) beam array, and investigate...
the intensity and coherence properties of RPLMGSMV beam array propagating in free space and atmospheric turbulence. Moreover, the model of laser arrays with linear and rectangular distributions can also be obtained in the similar analytical approach.

2. Theory Analysis

2.1. Analytical Description of RPLMGSMV Beam Array

The electric field distribution of a Gaussian vortex beam at source plane $z = 0$ is described by

$$ E(r_0, 0) = [x + i\text{sgn}(M)y_0]|^M| \exp \left(-\frac{x_0^2 + y_0^2}{w_0^2}\right) $$

where $w_0$ is beam waist and $M$ is the topological charge.

In this work, laser array with radial distribution will be analyzed as example, the electric field of a radial phase-locked Gaussian vortex beam array with $Q$ beamlets can be given as:

$$ E_Q(r_0, 0) = \sum_{q=1}^{Q} [(x_0 - r_{qx}) + i\text{sgn}(M)(y_0 - r_{qy})]|^M| \exp \left[-\frac{(x_0 - r_{qx})^2 + (y_0 - r_{qy})^2}{w_0^2}\right] \exp(i\phi_q) $$

with

$$ r_{qx} = R \cos \phi_q, r_{qy} = R \sin \phi_q, \phi_q = \frac{2\pi}{Q}, q = 1, 2, \cdots Q $$

where $R$ is radius; $\phi_q$ is the phase of the $q$-th beamlet; $r_{qx}$ and $r_{qy}$ are the center of the $q$-th beamlet element located at $z = 0$.

Considering the unified theory of coherence and polarization [34], the cross spectral density (CSD) of partially coherent beams can be expressed as

$$ W(r_{10}, r_{20}) = \langle E(r_{10})E^*(r_{20}) \rangle $$

Introducing a MGSM correlation [22], the CSD of a RPLMGSMV beam array with $Q$ beamlets can be written as

$$ W(r_{10}, r_{20}) = \sum_{q_1=1}^{Q} \sum_{q_2=1}^{Q} \exp[i(\phi_{q1} - \phi_{q2})] \times [(x_{10} - r_{q1x}) + i\text{sgn}(M)(y_{10} - r_{q1y})]|^M| \exp \left[-\frac{(x_{10} - r_{q1x})^2 + (y_{10} - r_{q1y})^2}{w_0^2}\right] $$

$$ \times [(x_{20} - r_{q2x}) - i\text{sgn}(M)(y_{20} - r_{q2y})]|^M| \exp \left[-\frac{(x_{20} - r_{q2x})^2 + (y_{20} - r_{q2y})^2}{w_0^2}\right] $$

$$ \times \frac{1}{C_0} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \binom{N}{n} \left( \frac{-1}{n} \right)^{n-1} \exp \left\{-\frac{[(x_{10} - r_{q1x}) - (x_{20} - r_{q2x})]^2}{2\sigma^2} - \frac{[(y_{10} - r_{q1y}) - (y_{20} - r_{q2y})]^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\} $$

where $N$ is total number of terms of MGSM source, $\sigma$ is the coherence length, $C_0$ is the normalized factor, and can be described by

$$ C_0 = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \binom{N}{n} \left( \frac{-1}{n} \right)^{n-1} $$

Figure 1 shows the normalized intensity of a RPLMGSMV beam array at $z = 0$ for the different $Q$, one can see that the beamlets of a RPLMGSMV beam array have the hollow center.
Figure 1. Normalized intensity of a RPLMGSMV beam array at $z = 0$ for the different $Q$. (a) $Q = 3$, (b) $Q = 4$, (c) $Q = 5$, (d) $Q = 6$.

2.2. Propagation Analysis

Based on the extended Huygens–Fresnel integral, the CSD of a RPLMGSMV beam array propagating in atmospheric turbulence at plane $z$ can be read as [1]

$$
W(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, z) = \frac{k^2}{4\pi z^2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} W(\mathbf{r}_{10}, \mathbf{r}_{20}, 0) \times \exp \left[ -\frac{ik}{2z} (\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_{10})^2 + \frac{ik}{2z} (\mathbf{r}_2 - \mathbf{r}_{20})^2 \right] \\
\times \langle \exp[\psi(\mathbf{r}_{10}, \mathbf{r}) + \psi^*(\mathbf{r}_{20}, \mathbf{r})] \rangle \rangle d\mathbf{r}_{10} d\mathbf{r}_{20}
$$

(7)

with

$$
\langle \exp[\psi(\mathbf{r}_{10}, \mathbf{r}) + \psi^*(\mathbf{r}_{20}, \mathbf{r})] \rangle = \exp\left[ -\frac{(\mathbf{r}_{10} - \mathbf{r}_{20})^2 + (\mathbf{r}_{10} - \mathbf{r}_{20})(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2) + (\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2)^2}{\rho_0^2} \right]
$$

(8)

In the above equation, the spatial coherence length $\rho_0$ can be expressed as

$$
\rho_0 = \left(0.545C_n^2 k^2 z\right)^{-3/5}
$$

(9)

where $C_n^2$ is the structure constant of atmospheric turbulence.

Substituting Equation (5) into Equation (7), the CSD of a RPLMGSMV beam array propagating in atmospheric turbulence at plane $z$ can be derived as

$$
W(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, z) = \frac{1}{C_0^2} \sum_{M=0}^{N} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{N} \binom{N}{n} (-1)^{n-1} \rho_0^2 \right\} W(x, z) W(y, z)
$$

(10)
with

$$W(x, z) = \exp\left[-\frac{1}{\rho_0^2} (r_{q1x} - r_{q2x})^2\right] \exp\left[-\frac{i k}{z} \left(\rho_{\sqrt{\exp|\pi}} x_{1}^{2} - r_{1}^{2} x_{q1x} + x_{2} r_{q2x}\right)\right] \exp\left[2 \frac{i k}{z} (x_{1} r_{q1x} - x_{2} r_{q2x})\right]$$

$$\times \exp\left[-\frac{i}{\rho_0^2} (\rho_{\sqrt{\exp|\pi}} x_{1} - r_{1} r_{q1x} - x_{2} r_{q1x} - x_{1} - x_{2} + 2 (r_{q1x} - r_{q2x})\right]$$

$$\times \sum_{n=0}^{\frac{|M|-l}{2}} \left(\frac{|M|-l}{2}\right) \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\sqrt{\exp|\pi}}{2^n} \left(\rho_{\sqrt{\exp|\pi}} x_{1} - r_{1} r_{q1x} - x_{2} r_{q1x} - x_{1} - x_{2} + 2 (r_{q1x} - r_{q2x})\right)$$

$$\times \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2^n}} \left(\frac{|M|-l}{2}\right) \exp\left(\frac{c_{x}}{\rho_0^2}\right) H_{0} \exp\left(-\frac{c_{y}}{\rho_0^2}\right)$$

where

$$a = 1 + \frac{1}{w_0^2} + \frac{1}{2n^2} + \frac{1}{\rho_0^2} + \frac{i k}{2z}$$

$$b = 1 + \frac{1}{w_0^2} + \frac{1}{2n^2} - \frac{i k}{2z} - a \left(\frac{1}{2n^2} + \frac{1}{\rho_0^2}\right)^2$$

$$c_{x} = \frac{i k}{2z} r_{q2x} - \frac{i k}{2z} r_{q2x} + \frac{x_{1} - x_{2} + 2 (r_{q1x} - r_{q2x})}{2 \rho_0^2}$$

$$c_{y} = \frac{i k}{2z} r_{q2y} - \frac{i k}{2z} r_{q2y} + \frac{x_{1} - x_{2} + 2 (r_{q1x} - r_{q2x})}{2 \rho_0^2}$$

In the derivations of Equation (10), the following equations has been applied [35]

$$\left(x + iy\right)^{M} = \sum_{i=0}^{M} \frac{M!}{(M - i)!} x^{M-i} y^{i}$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x^{n} \exp(-ax^2 + 2bx) dx = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a}} \left(\frac{i b}{2\sqrt{a}}\right)^{n} \exp\left(\frac{b^2}{a}\right) H_{n}\left(-\frac{i b}{\sqrt{a}}\right)$$

$$H_{n}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{n} n!}{i!(n-2i)!} (2x)^{n-2i}$$
When $r_1 = r_2 = r$ in Equation (10), the intensity of a RPLMGSMV beam array propagating in atmospheric turbulence is written as

$$I(r, z) = \frac{k^2}{4\pi z^2} \frac{1}{c_0} \sum_{q_1}^{Q} \sum_{q_2}^{Q} |M||\text{sgn}(M)|l_1 \sum_{l_2=0}^{\lfloor \frac{|M|-|\text{sgn}(M)|}{2} \rfloor} I(l_1) I(l_2)$$

$$\times \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left( \frac{-1}{n} \right)^{n-1} \exp \left[ i (\varphi_{q_1} - \varphi_{q_2}) \right] I(x, z) I(y, z)$$

where

$$I(x, z) = \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{\rho_0} (r_{q_1 x} - r_{q_2 x})^2 \right] \exp \left[ -\frac{ik}{2z} \left( r_{q_1 x}^2 - r_{q_2 x}^2 \right) \right] \exp \left[ 2\frac{ik}{\rho_0} \left( r_{q_1 x} - r_{q_2 x} \right) \right]$$

$$\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} (|M| - l_1)! \left( \frac{1}{z} \right) \exp \left[ \frac{1}{\rho_0} \left( \frac{ik}{2z} x - \frac{ik}{2z} r_{q_1 x} - \frac{r_{q_1 x} - r_{q_2 x}}{\rho_0} \right)^2 \right]$$

$$\sum_{k_1=0}^{\lfloor \frac{|M|-l_1}{2} \rfloor} \frac{1}{k_1! (|M|-l_1-2k_1)!} \sum_{s_1=0}^{\lfloor \frac{|M|-l_1-2k_1}{2} \rfloor} \left( \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{\rho_0^2} \right)^{s_1}$$

$$\left( \frac{ik}{2z} x - \frac{ik}{2z} r_{q_1 x} - \frac{r_{q_1 x} - r_{q_2 x}}{\rho_0} \right) |M|-l_1-2k_1-s_1 \left( \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{\rho_0^2} \right)^{s_1}$$

$$\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \left( \frac{z}{2\gamma^2} \right) H_{|M|-l_1-s_1} \left( -\frac{ik}{\sqrt{\rho_0}} \right)$$

$$I(y, z) = \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{\rho_0} (r_{q_1 y} - r_{q_2 y})^2 \right] \exp \left[ -\frac{ik}{2z} \left( r_{q_1 y}^2 - r_{q_2 y}^2 \right) \right] \exp \left[ 2\frac{ik}{\rho_0} \left( r_{q_1 y} - r_{q_2 y} \right) \right]$$

$$\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} l_1! \left( \frac{1}{z} \right) \exp \left[ \frac{1}{\rho_0} \left( \frac{ik}{2z} y - \frac{ik}{2z} r_{q_1 y} - \frac{r_{q_1 y} - r_{q_2 y}}{\rho_0} \right)^2 \right]$$

$$\sum_{k_2=0}^{\lfloor \frac{|M|-l_2}{2} \rfloor} \frac{1}{k_2! (|M|-l_2-2k_2)!} \sum_{s_2=0}^{\lfloor \frac{|M|-l_2-2k_2}{2} \rfloor} \left( \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{\rho_0^2} \right)^{s_2}$$

$$\left( \frac{ik}{2z} y - \frac{ik}{2z} r_{q_1 y} - \frac{r_{q_1 y} - r_{q_2 y}}{\rho_0} \right) |M|-l_2-2k_2-s_2 \left( \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{\rho_0^2} \right)^{s_2}$$

$$\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \left( \frac{z}{2\gamma^2} \right) H_{2+s_2} \left( -\frac{ik}{\sqrt{\rho_0}} \right)$$

with

$$c_{xx} = \frac{ik}{2z} r_{q_2 x} - \frac{ik}{2z} x + \frac{r_{q_1 x} - r_{q_2 x}}{\rho_0^2} + \frac{1}{a} \left( \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{\rho_0^2} \right) \left( \frac{ik}{2z} x - \frac{ik}{2z} r_{q_1 x} - \frac{r_{q_1 x} - r_{q_2 x}}{\rho_0^2} \right)$$

$$c_{yy} = \frac{ik}{2z} r_{q_2 y} - \frac{ik}{2z} y + \frac{r_{q_1 y} - r_{q_2 y}}{\rho_0^2} + \frac{1}{a} \left( \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{\rho_0^2} \right) \left( \frac{ik}{2z} y - \frac{ik}{2z} r_{q_1 y} - \frac{r_{q_1 y} - r_{q_2 y}}{\rho_0^2} \right)$$

The degree of coherence for a RPLMGSMV beam array propagating in atmospheric turbulence at plane $z$ is given as

$$\mu(r_1, r_2, z) = \frac{W(r_1, r_2, z)}{[W(r_1, r_1, z) W(r_2, r_2, z)]^{1/2}}$$

3. Numerical Results and Discussions

In this section, the intensity and coherence distributions of a RPLMGSMV beam array in free space will firstly be investigated, and then the influences of atmospheric turbulence
 photonics and coherence distributions of a RPLMGSMV beam array will be discussed. The relevant parameters in numerical simulations are selected as $\lambda = 532$ nm, $w_0 = 1$ cm, $\sigma = 1$ mm, $N = 10$, $M = 1$ and $R = 5$ cm without other explanations.

The normalized intensity of a RPLMGSMV beam array with $Q = 5$ in free space at the different distances is illustrated in Figure 2. As can be seen from Figures 2a and 1c, the dark hollow center of beamlets of a RPLMGSMV beam array will evolve into a Gaussian-like beam at $z = 50$ m (Figure 2a), while the beamlets have the dark hollow center at $z = 0$ (Figure 1c); The reason that the dark hollow profile translating into the Gaussian beam can be explained as the effect of initial coherence length [33]. As the $z$ increases further, the Gaussian-like beamlets can evolve into a beam with flat-topped profile (Figure 2b), and the beamlets will also begin to overlap with each other (Figure 2b); thus, a RPLMGSMV beam array can translate into a beam with Gaussian-like intensity distribution; at last, the RPLMGSMV beam array can evolve from beam array into the beam with flat-topped intensity distribution at longer distance $z$ (Figure 2d). The phenomenon whereby a RPLMGSMV evolves into a beam with flat-topped profile is dominated by MGSM correlated function, and similar evolutions can also be found in the previous reports [25–28,33].

To view the action of $Q$ on intensity distribution, normalized intensity of a RPLMGSMV beam array with $Q = 4$ in free space are illustrated in Figure 3. As $z$ increases, it is found that the evolution of intensity distributions of a RPLMGSMV beam array with $Q = 4$ are almost the same with a RPLMGSMV beam array with $Q = 5$ (Figure 2), the beamlets of beam array will lose the dark hollow profile and become a beam with Gaussian-like beam distribution, the beam array with $Q = 4$ will translate into the flat-topped profile (Figure 3b). Moreover, the flat-topped profile of a RPLMGSMV beam array is dominated by MGSM sources at longer distance [33]. By comparing Figures 2 and 3, we can conclude...
that a RPLMGSMV beam array with the different $Q$ will evolve from beam array into flat-topped profile due to the action of MGSM source.

![Figure 2](image1.png)

To view the effects of source parameters on the evolutions of intensity of a RPLMGSMV beam array propagating in free space, the cross sections $(y = 0)$ of the normalized intensity of a RPLMGSMV beam array with $Q = 4$ in free space for source parameters $\sigma$, $N$ and $M$ at the different distances are shown in Figures 4–6, respectively. From Figure 4, it is found that the RPLMGSMV beam array with larger $\sigma$ will lose the dark hollow distribution slower than the beam array with smaller $\sigma$. So, the smaller coherence length $\sigma$ will accelerate the evolutions of beam array translating into flat-topped profile, and the beam array with smaller $\sigma$ will have the better flatness when the beam array translating into flat-topped intensity profile at the longer distance $z$ (Figure 4d). Thus, it can conclude that the speed of a beam array translating into the flat-topped profile can be dominated by setting different $\sigma$ of MGSM source. Figure 5 shows that the RPLMGSMV beam array with larger $N$ will evolve from beam array into flat-topped beam faster, and which will have the better flatness when the beam array translating into the flat-topped beam at last (Figure 5d). The flatness of flat-topped profile is dominated by the total number $N$ of MGSM source, and the similar results can also be seen in the previous work [25]. Thus, from previous discussions, one can conclude that the flatness of flat-topped profile generating by RPLMGSMV beam array can be modulated by the parameters $\sigma$ and $N$ in the far field. The better the flatness of flat-topped profile is, the more power can be received by the same receiver, this is helpful for received power of free space optical communication. One can see from Figure 6 that the RPLMGSMV beam array with larger $M$ will have the larger dark hollow center at $z = 0$ (Figure 6a), while the influences of different $M$ on the intensity distribution will disappear as the beam array evolve into the flat-topped beam at the longer distance $z$ (Figure 6d). Thus, we can conclude that the flat-topped profile is not correlated with topological charge in the far field.

![Figure 3](image2.png)
From Figure 4, it is found that the RPLMGSMV beam array with larger $\sigma$ will lose the dark hollow distribution slower than the beam array with smaller $\sigma$. So, the smaller coherence length $\sigma$ will accelerate the evolutions of beam array translating into flat-topped profile, and the beam array with smaller $\sigma$ will have the better flatness when the beam array translating into flat-topped intensity profile at the longer distance $z$ (Figure 4d). Thus, it can conclude that the speed of a beam array translating into the flat-topped profile can be dominated by setting different $\sigma$ of MGSM source. Figure 5 shows that the RPLMGSMV beam array with larger $N$ will evolve from beam array into flat-topped beam faster, and which will have the better flatness when the beam array translating into the flat-topped beam at last (Figure 5d). The flatness of flat-topped profile is dominated by the total number $N$ of MGSM source, and the similar results can also be seen in the previous work [25]. Thus, from previous discussions, one can conclude that the flatness of flat-topped profile generating by RPLMGSMV beam array can be modulated by the parameters $\sigma$ and $N$ in the far field. The better the flatness of flat-topped profile is, the more power can be received by the same receiver, this is helpful for received power of free space optical communication. One can see from Figure 6 that the RPLMGSMV beam array with larger $M$ will have the larger dark hollow center at $z = 0$ (Figure 6a), while the influences of different $M$ on the intensity distribution will disappear as the beam array evolve into the flat-topped beam at the longer distance $z$ (Figure 6d). Thus, we can conclude that the flat-topped profile is not correlated with topological charge in the far field.

Figure 4. Cross sections ($y = 0$) of normalized intensity of a RPLMGSMV beam array with $Q = 4$ in free space for the different $\sigma$. (a) $z = 50$ m, (b) $z = 200$ m, (c) $z = 400$ m, (d) $z = 1000$ m.

Figure 5. Cross sections ($y = 0$) of the normalized intensity of a RPLMGSMV beam array with $Q = 4$ in free space for the different $N$. (a) $z = 50$ m, (b) $z = 200$ m, (c) $z = 400$ m, (d) $z = 1000$ m.
Figure 6. Cross sections $(y=0)$ of the normalized intensity of a RPLMGSMV beam array with $Q = 4$ in free space for the different $M$. (a) $z = 0$ m, (b) $z = 100$ m, (c) $z = 200$ m, (d) $z = 1000$ m.

Figure 7 illustrates the average intensity of a RPLMGSMV beam array with $Q = 4$ propagating in free space and atmospheric turbulence for different $C_n^2$. As can be seen that in Figure 7b, the flatness of the flat-topped profile obtained at the longer distance is poorer than a RPLMGSMV beam array in free space, and the larger the $C_n^2$ is, the poorer the flatness of flat-topped profile is. The phenomenon where the flat-topped profile is becoming poor in atmospheric turbulence can be explained by the influences of atmospheric turbulence.

Figure 8 gives the cross-sections of degree of coherence of a RPLMGSMV beam array in atmospheric turbulence for the different $C_n^2$. (a) $z = 100$ m, (b) $z = 1000$ m.

Figure 8 illustrates the average intensity of a RPLMGSMV beam array with $Q = 4$ propagating in free space and atmospheric turbulence for different $C_n^2$. As can be seen that in Figure 7b, the flatness of the flat-topped profile obtained at the longer distance is poorer than a RPLMGSMV beam array in free space, and the larger the $C_n^2$ is, the poorer the flatness of flat-topped profile is. The phenomenon where the flat-topped profile is becoming poor in atmospheric turbulence can be explained by the influences of atmospheric turbulence.

As can be seen that in Figure 7b, the flatness of the flat-topped profile obtained at the longer distance is poorer than a RPLMGSMV beam array in free space, and the larger the $C_n^2$ is, the poorer the flatness of flat-topped profile is. The phenomenon where the flat-topped profile is becoming poor in atmospheric turbulence can be explained by the influences of atmospheric turbulence.
coherence distribution. To view the influences of $z$ on coherence distribution, the coherence of a RPLMGSMV beam array with $Q = 5$ at the different distance $z$ is illustrated in Figure 9. One can see from Figure 9 that a RPLMGSMV beam array at the longer propagation distance will have more regular coherence distribution. One can conclude that the spectral degree of coherence of a RPLMGSMV beam array in atmospheric turbulence will have a Gaussian distribution at longer distance.

Figure 8. Modulus of the coherence of a RPLMGSMV beam array with $Q = 5$ in the free space and atmospheric turbulence at the distance $z = 1000$ m. (a) different $M$, (b) different $\sigma$, (c) different $N$, (d) different $C_n^2$.

Figure 9. Modulus of the coherence of a RPLMGSMV beam array with $Q = 5$ for the different distance $z$. (a) free space, (b) atmospheric turbulence.

4. Conclusions

In this paper, the analytical description of a RPLMGSMV beam array generated by MGSM sources is introduced and analyzed. Based on the extended Huygens–Fresnel integral, the CSD of a RPLMGSMV beam array propagating in atmospheric turbulence is derived. The evolutions of intensity and coherence properties of a RPLMGSMV beam array propagating in free space and atmospheric turbulence are analyzed in detail. It is seen that a RPLMGSMV beam array propagating in free space can gradually lose the initial intensity distribution of beamlets, and evolve from the beam array into a beam with
a flat-topped profile due to the action of MGSM source as \( z \) increases. In the far field, when the total number \( N \) is larger or the coherence length \( \sigma \) is smaller, the flatness of flat-topped profile of a RPLMGSMV beam array will be better, this is useful for the free space optical communication. When a RPLMGSMV beam array propagates in atmospheric turbulence, the flatness of the flat-topped profile can be dominated by the atmospheric turbulence, the flatness will become poor. It is also found that the coherence distribution of a RPLMGSMV beam array in atmospheric turbulence will have Gaussian distribution at the longer distance.
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