A phosphorylation map of the photosystem II supercomplex C2S2M2
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PS II PHOSPHORYLATION

It was 36 years ago when John Bennett first discovered chloroplast protein phosphorylation (Bennett, 1977). The most conspicuous chloroplast phosphoprotein was the apoprotein of the Light Harvesting Complex II (LHC II) of photosystem II (PS II). Other phosphoproteins included the reaction center protein CP43 and the quinone-binding proteins D1 and D2 of PS II. These earlier studies also identified the PsbH protein, the minor subunit of PS II, as another chloroplast phosphoprotein (Allen, 1992). Nearly all of the first-known chloroplast phosphoproteins belong to PS II, the water-oxidizing photosystem of oxygenic photosynthesis.

With more than 25 protein subunits and 350 kDa in size, the PS II core monomer is a massive protein (Umema et al., 2011). This enormous size is in addition to the peripheral light harvesting complexes. The major peripheral antenna of PS II is LHC II, which is a trimer. Some, so-called minor, LHC are monomeric and act as linkers in connecting the trimeric LHC II to the core. The core is composed of the reaction center proteins D1 and D2 and an internal antenna made up of CP43 and CP47. The PS II core with its peripheral antenna forms supercomplexes, which mainly differ in the number of LHC II bound to the core (Caffarri et al., 2009). The core itself is dimeric (C2) to which the LHC II could strongly (S), or moderately (M), or loosely (L) bind. The monomeric linker associated with the S-form is CP26, and for the M-form are CP29 and CP24. A dimeric core (C2) with 2 S and 2 M LHC II (C2S2M2) is the most abundant supercomplex in plant photosynthetic membranes (Kouril et al., 2012).

PS II phosphorylation is light dependent. It turned out, however, light acts through the redox state of the plastoquinone (PQ) pool (Allen et al., 1981; Bennett, 1991). PS II phosphorylation can be divided into the core phosphorylation (D1, D2, CP43, and PsbH) and the peripheral antenna phosphorylation (LHC II). In plants, the protein kinases that phosphorylate the core and the LHC II have been identified as Stn8 and Stn7, respectively, (Bellafiore et al., 2005; Bonardi et al., 2005). PS II phosphorylation is only observed in green algae and plants, and not in cyanobacteria and red algae where the light harvesting antenna is phycobilisome-based (Pursiheimo et al., 1998). Core phosphorylation is responsive to light intensity with increasing levels of phosphorylation observed in high light (Elich et al., 1992; Tikkanen and Aro, 2012). On the contrary, LHC II phosphorylation was restricted to low light condition specific to PS II (Rintamaki et al., 2000). At higher light intensities, the activity of the Stn7 is inhibited by the reduced stromal electron carrier thioredoxin (Rintamaki et al., 2000; Puthiyaveetil, 2011). It was also noted that only the L-form of the LHC II trimer is phosphorylated, while the LHC II isoforms comprising the S- and M-trimers are not phosphorylated or do not contain phosphorylation sites (Galka et al., 2012). LHC II phosphorylation is the basis of state transitions, an acclimatory response to changes in light quality (Bonaventura and Myers, 1969; Murata, 1969). The precise function of PS II core phosphorylation, however, is still unclear. PS II undergoes damage in light, which results in photoinhibition—light-induced loss of photosynthetic activity (Aro et al., 1993; Long et al., 1994).

In plants and green algae, PS II is mainly found in the stacked granal regions of the thylakoid membrane where it forms almost immobile supercomplexes (Kirchhoff et al., 2008; Mullineaux, 2008). The centrally located reaction center protein D1 is the main target of photodamage in PS II (Kyle et al., 1984).

To maintain photosynthetic efficiency in high light, chloroplasts have evolved a robust repair process known as the PS II repair cycle, wherein the damaged D1 protein is degraded and replaced with a newly synthesized copy (Melis, 1999; Nixon et al., 2010). The PS II repair cycle operates through many constraints (Kirchhoff, 2013b), chief among them are how the repair machinery located in the unstacked regions of the thylakoid membrane accesses the damaged photosystems in the stacked, crowded granal membranes and how the damaged photosystems are mobilized in the rather “immobile” grana. The proposed functions of PS II phosphorylation include “marking” the damaged photosystems for degradation (Aro et al., 1993), increasing the mobility of photosystems (Goral et al., 2010; Herbstova et al., 2012), disassembly of PS II (Tikkanen et al., 2008; Fristedt and Vener, 2011), decreasing the granal diameter (Herbstova et al., 2012; Kirchhoff, 2013a), and for maintaining electron flow in high light (Harrison and Allen, 1991). Some of these proposals are not mutually exclusive. Functions such as increased mobility and disassembly are especially supported but how precisely phosphorylation produces these effects are unclear.

MAPPING PHOSPHORYLATION SITES ONTO THE PS II SUPERCOMPLEX C2S2M2

Significant advances in mass-spectrometry-based phosphoproteomics approaches have enabled the mapping of phosphorylation sites in PS II proteins (Turkina et al., 2006; Lemeille and
To gain insight into the function of PS II core phosphorylation, we projected known phosphorylation sites on the PS II supercomplex C2S2M2. The available PS II atomic coordinates, however, are for cyanobacteria (Umena et al., 2011) and not for plants where PS II phosphorylation actually occurs. Furthermore, the mapped phosphorylation sites are for Arabidopsis and Chlamydomonas proteins. For the monomeric antenna CP29, however, structure from a plant source is available (Pan et al., 2011). LHC II also has a structure solved from spinach (Liu et al., 2004). In our approach, we therefore, aligned the amino acid sequence of the Arabidopsis PS II core phosphoproteins with that of cyanobacteria and then projected the positions of phosphorylation sites on the cyanobacterial sequence and structure. For PS II core proteins, phosphorylation sites are located usually on their stromaly-positioned N-termini. Even though homology exists between N-termini of cyanobacterial and Arabidopsis proteins and the information on phosphorylation site positions can safely be transferred between them, the structure of their N-termini has not been resolved to their entire length for some cyanobacterial proteins (Umena et al., 2011). Under these circumstances, the nearest amino acid on the N-terminus, for which structural information exists, is taken as the approximate position of the phosphorylation site.

For the Arabidopsis D1 and D2 proteins, threonine 2 is the phosphorylation site and for Arabidopsis CP43, threonine 15 (Reiland et al., 2011). All three sites are conserved in Chlamydomonas and cyanobacterial proteins. For PsbH, multiple phosphorylation sites have been noted as threonines 3 and 5 (Reiland et al., 2011), both of which are conserved in Chlamydomonas but not in cyanobacteria. The monomeric antenna CP29 has at least five high light-dependent phosphorylation sites, only one of which, threonine 37 in Lhcb4.2 isoform, is catalyzed by the Stn8 kinase (Fristedt and Vener, 2011), while others are catalyzed by Stn7.

Atomic coordinates for Thermosynechococcus vulcanus PS II core proteins D1, D2, CP43, CP47, and PsbH are rendered in a space-filling model using the Chimera program (Pettersen et al., 2004) (Figure 1). The monomeric and trimeric antenna coordinates are rendered by the ribbon diagram, and modeled around the core from positions determined by the single particle analysis (Caffarri et al., 2009). The phosphorylation sites of PS II proteins are then projected onto the supercomplex structure to reveal the distribution of phosphosites in relation to PS II proteins (Figure 1).
THE FUNCTION OF PS II CORE PHOSPHORYLATION

Our projections reveal strategic location of phosphositers around the periphery of the core PS II, where the monomeric antennas CP29, CP24, and CP26 attach and at the monomer-monomer interface (Figure 1). From this distribution, we suggest that the function of PS II core phosphorylation is antenna disassociation and monomerization of the dimeric core. Phosphorylation-mediated antenna disassociation is likely to be the mechanism by which the S- and M-trimers are removed from the core. It remains to be determined how, in molecular terms, phosphorylation causes antenna disassociation and core monomerization.

PS II PHOSPHORYLATION IN PS II REPAIR CYCLE

The primary purpose of antenna disassociation will be to relieve the excitation pressure on PS II reaction centers and to prevent further damage to D1 in high light. The detached antenna, however, unless in a quenched state, can be a source of destructive reactive oxygen species. An antenna supercomplex dubbed as “band 4,” comprising LHC II, CP29, and CP24 has been suggested as the site of protective non-photochemical quenching (NPQ) in high light (Betterle et al., 2009). Interestingly, this supercomplex is precisely what our model of phosphorylation-mediated antenna disassociation would generate during disassembly (Figure 1). However, the apparent correlation between phosphorylation and the band 4-mediated NPQ is yet to be investigated.

As discussed earlier, our model predicts that PS II core phosphorylation drives monomerization of the dimeric cores. Monomerization has also been suggested to result from the damage or rearrangement of the extrinsic PsbO subunit of PS II (Nixon et al., 2010). If this is indeed the case, phosphorylation might act alone or in conjunction with PsbO in causing monomerization. As a consequence of antenna disassociation and core monomerization, larger supercomplexes will be broken up into smaller PS II particles. The observation that most of the PS II supercomplexes and PS II core dimers remain intact in high light in the absence of phosphorylation is consistent with our proposal (Tikkanen et al., 2008; Nath et al., 2013).

During the PS II repair cycle, the following scenario can be envisioned. PS II is phosphorylated, whether they are damaged or not. This will break up supercomplexes into monomeric cores. Monomeric cores in the grana, with their smaller particle size, will be able to diffuse faster toward the stromal-exposed regions of the thylakoid membrane where the repair machinery has access to the damaged photosystems. A swift migration of the monomeric cores may also result from the smaller diffusion area of the grana as they shrink in diameter in high light (Herbstova et al., 2012; Kirchhoff, 2013a).

Following dephosphorylation and the partial disassembly of the PS II monomer into the so-called “RC47 complex,” proteases recognize damaged PS II by conformational changes brought about by the damage and degrade them. The newly synthesized and processed D1 is then inserted, activated, and assembled by the repair machinery. The resultant PS II core monomer will diffuse back to the grana where they could dimerize and be fitted with their new antenna. Similarly, the phosphorylated but undamaged PS II core monomer, following dephosphorylation, could simply slip back to the grana, dimerize, and reassemble with their antenna.

ANTENNA DISSOCIATION IN STATE TRANSITIONS AND PS II REPAIR CYCLE: A COMMON REGULATORY THEME

State transitions correct imbalance in excitation of individual photosystems by redistributing excitation energy to the rate-limiting photosystem (Bonaventura and Myers, 1969; Murata, 1969; Allen, 2003). When PS II is preferentially illuminated, LHC II gets phosphorylated and the phospho-LHC II dissociates from PS II. It then associates with photosystem I (PS I) as its light harvesting antenna. When dephosphorylated, LHC II re-joins PS II from PS I. Phosphorylation of LHC II is thought to change its molecular recognition of PS II (Allen and Forsberg, 2001). The similarity of phosphorylation-mediated antenna disassociation in state transitions and PS II repair is thus unmistakable and supports antenna dissociation as a unifying function of PS II phosphorylation.

This functional similarity of LHC II and PS II core phosphorylation is even deeper. The protein kinases, Stn7 and Stn8, which underpin state transitions and PS II repair, are paralogs, sharing 43% identity at the amino acid sequence level. They both require reduced PQ for activation (Allen et al., 1981; Bennett, 1991). Studies of the kinase mutants reveal significant substrate overlap between the kinases (Depege et al., 2003; Bellafiore et al., 2005; Bonardi et al., 2005; Puthiyaveetil et al., 2012), suggesting their ancient origin from gene duplication and subsequent divergence. Even though the Stn7 kinase is inhibited in high light, its role in PS II disassembly through CP29 phosphorylation in the early stages of high light acclimation cannot be ruled out (Fristedt and Vener, 2011). Phosphorylation-mediated detachment of CP29 from PS II is also a feature of green algal state transitions (Kargul et al., 2005; Takahashi et al., 2006). Significant functional and amino acid sequence similarity exists also for the LHC II and PS II core phosphatases (Pribil et al., 2010; Shapiguzov et al., 2010; Samol et al., 2012). Minimizing PS II excitation pressure through antenna disassociation thus appears to be the defining feature of phosphorylation in state transitions and in PS II repair cycle.
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