Effects of blood flow restriction during moderate-intensity eccentric knee extensions
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Abstract We investigated if blood flow restriction (BFR, cuff pressure 20 mmHg below individual occlusion pressure) increases metabolic stress, hormonal response, release of muscle damage markers, and muscle swelling induced by moderate-intensity eccentric contractions. In a randomized, matched-pair design, 20 male subjects (25.3 ± 3.3 years) performed four sets of unilateral eccentric knee extensions (75% 1RM) to volitional failure with (IG) or without (CG) femoral BFR. Despite significant differences of performed repetitions between IG (85.6 ± 15.4 repetitions) and CG (142.3 ± 44.1 repetitions), peak values of lactate (IG 7.0 ± 1.4 mmol l−1, CG 6.9 ± 2.7 mmol l−1), growth-hormone (IG 4.9 ± 4.8 ng ml−1, CG 5.2 ± 3.5 ng ml−1), insulin-like growth factor 1 (IG 172.1 ± 41.9 ng ml−1, CG 178.7 ± 82.1 ng ml−1), creatine-kinase (IG 625.5 ± 464.8 U l−1, CG 510.7 ± 443.5 U l−1), the absolute neutrophil count (IG 7.9 ± 1.3 103 μl−1, CG 8.7 ± 2.0 103 μl−1), induced muscle swelling of rectus femoris and vastus lateralis and perceived pain did not differ. The present data indicate that BFR is suitable to intensify eccentric exercises.
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Introduction

When compared to concentric training, eccentric training has been reported to be associated with greater strength and mass gains in healthy individuals [1]. It has been proposed that the capacity to exert higher forces during eccentric contractions are responsible for this observation [1], indicating that the mechanical stimulus is a key anabolic signal in resistance training. It has further been speculated that the associated exercise-induced muscle damage (EIMD), which results in a temporary decline of muscle performance after eccentric exercises, affects the gene expression in muscle fibers and is required for long-term hypertrophic adaptations [2]. By contrast, Damas et al. [3] reported that EIMD and the associated protein synthesis was the highest after the initial bouts of a resistance training program, whereas the protein synthesis was only related to hypertrophy after the third and tenth week of training when muscle damage was attenuated. This indicates that high mechanical forces but not the EIMD are the anabolic signal of the eccentric training. Meanwhile, it is well established that the signaling pathway used by the organism for mechanically induced muscle growth activates the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR), resulting in increased protein synthesis and hypertrophy of muscle fibers [4, 5]. A recently published study has shown that the anabolic...
signaling was greater after eccentric than concentric contractions [6], which supports the outcome of the meta-analysis above, published by Roig et al. [1]. In summary, it seems unquestionable that mechanical stress represents a key anabolic stimulus for skeletal muscles.

However, there is a growing body of evidence that neither EIMD nor high forces are compulsory for exercise-induced mass and strength gains [2, 7]. For example, it has been demonstrated that moderate-intensity training regimens are associated with greater hypertrophic responses of musculature when compared to high-force protocols [8–10]. Even intensities of only 30% of the one repetition maximum (1RM) have been reported to induce similar responses of the muscular protein synthesis, when compared to high intensities (90% 1RM), provided that both protocols are performed until muscle failure [11]. In fact, a recent study suggests that a maximal voluntary contraction can induce similar strength gains when compared to a high load [12]. This indicates that other signals than high mechanical forces must be involved that can trigger the anabolic signaling cascade of skeletal muscle fibers.

Several lines of evidence suggest that metabolic stress, a result of metabolite accumulation, especially of lactate and H⁺, represents such a trigger and may explain structural and functional adaptations in the absence of meaningful muscle tension (defined as training intensities below ~60% of the 1RM) [13]. Support for this assumption comes from studies in which the metabolic stress is artificially increased by blood flow restriction (BFR). Intriguingly, this type of training has been shown to induce mass and strength gains in muscles that were trained at low intensities as little as ~20% 1RM with a predetermined amount of total repetitions [14, 15]. Even lower intensities in the form of walking with BFR have been reported to be associated with hypertrophic adaptations after a period of just 3 weeks [16]. The underlying mechanisms by which metabolic stress exerts its anabolic effects on muscle tissue remain unclear. However, it has been speculated that differences in the pattern of fiber recruitment, greater hormonal responses, reactive oxygen species (ROS), cellular swelling, and altered myokine production could explain the anabolic potential of the exercise-induced metabolic stress [13].

In summary, both eccentric exercises and BFR training have been proven effective training modalities for eliciting muscle strength and mass gains, though by different mechanisms. Therefore, it seems plausible that combining both modalities provides additive benefits. However, previous data on this issue are scarce and conflicting. Sudo et al. [17] reported that combining high-intensity eccentric contractions with BFR enhanced the hypertrophic signaling in exercised muscles of Wistar rats, while the amount of muscle damage was much lower compared to the unrestricted condition. In contrast, in another study the combination of BFR and eccentric contractions induced less hypertrophy and strength gains in a 6-week, low-intensity training intervention, when compared to the combination of BFR and concentric contractions [18]. However, participants in that study did not perform each set to volitional failure but until a predetermined amount of repetitions. In consequence, the rate of perceived exertion was greater with the concentric-BFR combination compared to the eccentric-BFR protocol. Thus, it is likely that in the case of the latter combination, more repetitions could have been performed by the participants and that potential benefits of combining BFR with eccentric contractions were masked by the premature termination of the exercise. To shed more light on the effects of BFR during eccentric contractions, the present study investigated if this combination increases the metabolic stress, the response of anabolic hormones, and the amount of muscle damage, compared to eccentric contractions without BFR, when performed until muscle failure. Further, we assessed if muscle swelling, the range of motion, and the number of circulating inflammatory cells (neutrophils) differed between restricted and non-restricted blood flow conditions.

Methods

Subjects

Twenty healthy active male sports students (25.1 ± 3.1 years; 185.5 ± 6.5 cm; 81.4 ± 10.0 kg) were recruited as a sample of convenience from our university. All participants were engaged in at least 4 h of physical training per week and familiar with leg extension machines to avoid the effects of naïve exercise. Exclusion criteria were defined as any cardiovascular or orthopedic pathologies reported by the participants. Further, any medication was considered an exclusion criterion and all participants were instructed to refrain from taking any nutrition or other performance enhancing substances. To ensure similar groups regarding at least one key measurement, all participants were matched according to their knee extension one-repetition maximum (1RM). Matched pairs were then randomly assigned to an intervention (IG) or a control group (CG). The participants were asked to refrain from any strenuous physical exercises for 48 h before the 1RM tests and the intervention. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants before the start of the intervention. All procedures of the present study were in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and were approved by the local ethics board.

One repetition maximum (1RM)

One week before the intervention, the unilateral 1RM for knee extensions was assessed for the dominant leg.
according to the protocol of Baechle and Earle [19]. Briefly, participants were seated on a leg extension machine (Miltonic, Milon, Emersacker, Germany). Back pad position and lever arm length were adjusted to participants’ anthropometrics. The range of motion was set to 90° for all participants. All of these settings were saved on a chip card for each participant to ensure that the same settings were used during the exercise protocol. After a warm-up period, consisting of 15 submaximal repetitions, we started at 20% of a conservatively estimated 1RM so that the athletes could easily complete 3–5 reps. Each trial was followed by a 3-min resting period. We then increased the weight by 20%. As long as the athlete completed the following trials of one repetition per set successfully, we increased the weight by 10% until the athlete could no longer perform a repetition. We then decreased the weight by 5% until a 1RM was determined. All participants were advised to grasp the handles of the machine during the attempt. Every attempt was supervised to guarantee sufficient execution. Each maximal attempt was separated by a 3-min rest period. The highest weight that participants were able to lift in a controlled manner throughout the full test range of motion (10–100° inner knee angle) was defined as the 1RM.

**Cuff pressure**

BFR training is supposed to occlude the venous, but not the arterial blood flow. This results in a venous pooling without inducing a complete ischemia. The latter would significantly increase the risk of negative side effects and may reduce the favorable muscular adaptations [20]. In line with recommendations of Loenneke et al. [21], the applied cuff pressure in the present study was oriented on the individual blood pressure instead of using a fixed cuff pressure for all participants.

For that purpose, the arterial occlusion pressure was measured for all participants before the intervention, using an ultrasound Doppler system (Xario XG, Toshiba Medical Systems, Germany). To take account for orthostatic effects, participants were positioned on an examination bench with an upright back pad similar to the leg extension machine, which was used for the exercise protocol. In this position, a 13-cm-wide cuff (Riester Komprimeter Nr. 5255, Rudolf Riester GmbH, Jungingen, Germany) was wrapped around the proximal thigh. Posterior to the medial malleolus, the artery tibialis posterior was displayed with the ultrasound device, and a Doppler was used to assess the blood flow within the vessel. After that, the cuff was inflated until no further blood flow was detectable. This pressure was defined as the individual occlusion pressure. Though 10 mmHg below this pressure, some blood flow in the arteria tibialis posterior was detected in all participants, we chose to err on the side of caution and used 20 mmHg below the occlusion pressure during the training protocol to guarantee arterial blood flow in the trained leg. The average occlusion pressure for the BFR group was 197 ± 33.7 mmHg.

**Exercise protocol**

All participants performed four sets of unilateral eccentric knee extensions with their dominant leg until volitional muscle failure. The latter was defined as the inability to perform another repetition while maintaining the predefined movement velocity. The ROM was set to 90° (100–10° knee flexion). To ensure a proper technique, all of the subjects participated in a familiarization training 1 week before the intervention. The resistance for this eccentric phase of the movement was set to 75% of the predetermined concentric 1RM, as it was shown previously that this moderate-intensity eccentric resistance was equally effective in inducing strength gains when compared to higher external loads of 125% of the concentric 1RM [22]. Further, this intensity aimed to increase the maximum number of possible repetitions per set to increase the metabolic stress. The concentric phase of the movement was performed only with the opposite leg. During that phase of the movement, the resistance was automatically lowered by the machine to 50% of the eccentric load (corresponding to 37.5% of the 1RM). The inter-set rest period was set to 30 s, as is frequently used for BFR training protocols [23]. A visual biofeedback system was used to ensure that repetitions were performed at a standardized movement velocity of 1.0 and 2.0 s for the concentric and the eccentric phase of the movement.

**Blood sampling and analysis**

Venous blood samples were drawn from the antecubital vein of participants before (pre), immediately after (post), 20 min, 2 h, and 24 h after the exercise protocol. We opted for these time intervals, as we wanted to determine short-term and intermediate hormonal responses on the one side and to keep the number of blood samples for the participants as low as possible. Furthermore, previous studies indicated that the markers investigated in the present study (see below) change within 24 h after eccentric contractions and BFR training [24–26]. The blood samples were drawn into Serum Separation Tubes™ (SST) and ethylenediaminetetraacetate (EDTA)-coated tubes. The latter were used for an absolute neutrophil count (ANC), which was performed immediately after taking the sample using an automated hematology analyzer (Sysmex KX-21N, Sysmex Deutschland GmbH, Norderstedt, Germany). The SSTs were stored at room temperature for 30 min before
the participants resulted in well-balanced groups regarding 1RM than participants in the IG, resulting in a total load.

**Muscle thickness**

To assess exercise-induced muscle swelling, the same experienced physician measured muscle thicknesses of the rectus femoris and the vastus intermedius at pre, post, 20 min, 2 h, and 24 h. During the examination, care was taken to provide a standardized position for all subjects. That is, participants were lying supine on an examination table with hips and knees extended and muscles completely relaxed, which is in accordance to previously published research [29, 30]. The ultrasound sensor (Xario XG, Toshiba Medical Systems, Germany) was placed at 50% of the total muscle length, measured as the distance between the spina iliaca anterior superior and the proximal margin of the patella. To increase the repeatability, this point was marked with water-resistant ink and subject positioning was kept constant for all follow-up measurements. Muscle thickness was defined as the distance between the lower margin from the upper fascia and the upper margin of the lower fascia of the respective muscles, measured perpendicular to the surface.

**Perceived muscle pain**

Before the first and immediately after every set, as well as 20 min, 2 h, and 24 h after the last set, participants were asked to rate their perceived muscle pain on a visual analog scale. The scale consisted of a 10-cm-long line, with only the beginning and the end of the line being labeled with “no pain” and “worst conceivable pain”, respectively.

**Range of motion (ROM)**

Exercise-induced muscle damage (EIMD) is known to limit the range of motion of adjacent joints due to an increased longitudinal stiffness of the muscle and is therefore frequently used to quantify the EIMD [27]. The ROM was tested at the same intervals like the perceived muscle pain, and the same physician performed all procedures. The ROM of the knee joint was measured while participants were lying prone on a flat examination bench with their hips and knees fully extended. From this position, the leg that performed the eccentric contractions was passively flexed until a noticeable resistance occurred. The inner knee angle in that position was measured with a goniometer (Bauerfeind AG, Zeulenroda-Triebes, Germany). After that, the same procedure was performed with the opposite leg.

**Statistical analysis**

Data in the tables are presented as mean values, SDs, and statistical significance levels for specific comparisons. A two-way mixed ANOVA was used to compare changes in measures over time between both groups. If ANOVA revealed significant results, a Bonferroni post hoc analysis was used to examine which of the within- and between-treatment differences were significant. The critical level of significance in the present study was set to \( p < 0.05 \). The IBM software package SPSS Statistics (IBM Corp. Released 2013. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 22.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp, USA) was used for all analyses.

**Results**

All of the enrolled participants completed the entire study protocol, and none of them was injured. The matching of the participants resulted in well-balanced groups regarding the unilateral knee extension 1RM (IG 68.3 ± 11.5 kg; CG 66.2 ± 8.1 kg). There was a significant group by time interaction for the number of performed repetitions \( F(3, 18) = 6.66, \ p < 0.001 \) (Fig. 1a). While the number of performed repetitions significantly \( (p = 0.02) \) differed between both groups at the first set, no such difference was found for the second to fourth set. As can be seen in Fig. 1, the number of performed repetitions substantially decreased from the first to the second set in both groups, whereas only slight decreases were found from set 2 to 3 and from set 3 to 4. Overall, the participants of the CG performed 39.9 ± 34.9% more repetitions with 75% of the 1RM than participants in the IG, resulting in a total load...
The intervention commenced, lactate concentration (IG 1.1 ± 0.3 mmol l⁻¹; CG 0.9 ± 0.2 mmol l⁻¹), CK activity (IG 181.8 ± 105.7 U l⁻¹; CG 170.2 ± 79.2 U l⁻¹), ANC (IG 5.6 ± 0.9 10⁹ µl⁻¹; CG 5.8 ± 1.3 10⁹ µl⁻¹), IGF-1 (IG 163.0 ± 46.1 ng ml⁻¹; CG 160.5 ± 68.9 ng ml⁻¹), and hGH concentration (IG 0.5 ± 0.9 ng ml⁻¹; CG 1.0 ± 2.5 ng ml⁻¹) did not differ between both groups and despite pronounced differences in performed repetitions and total load, no group or group by time interactions was observed for these blood parameters (Figs. 1, 2). However, there was a significant main effect of time for lactate $F(3, 18) = 126.2, p < 0.001$, CK $F(4, 18) = 12.4, p < 0.001$, ANC $F(4, 18) = 76.5, p < 0.001$, and hGH $F(4, 18) = 10.4, p < 0.001$, but not for IGF-1 $F(4, 18) = 6.66, p < 0.001$. No significant group by interaction was found for the perceived muscle pain $F(7, 18) = 138.68, p = 0.09$. However, there was a main effect of time (Fig. 2c).

The perceived muscle pain significantly increased from pre values in both groups with a peak after the fourth set and declined after that (Fig. 2c). A second increase was observed 24 h after the intervention. No significant difference was found between groups. No group by time interaction $F(4, 18) = 0.8, p = 0.5$ or a main effect for group $F(1, 18) = 0.18, p = 0.7$ was found for the ROM values (Fig. 2d). However, a significant main effect of time emerged from the ANOVA, $F(4, 18) = 19.42, p < 0.001$; meaning that the ROM of the knee joint was similarly impaired in the IG and the CG. After the intervention, the ROM values remained significantly ($p < 0.001$) decreased over the entire observation period.

Fig. 1 Number of completed repetitions (a), lactate (b), growth hormone (hGH; c), and insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1; d) concentrations assessed prior to and after four sets of unilateral eccentric knee extensions that were performed until volitional muscle failure either with (open squares) or without (filled circles) blood flow restriction. Data are presented as means with 95% confidence intervals. Asterisks indicate significant difference ($p < 0.05$) from pre, pound keys indicate significant difference ($p < 0.05$) between groups. In cases where no significant group by time interactions were observed, asterisks above horizontal lines spanning adjacent bars indicate this measurement time point was significantly different ($p < 0.05$) from pre, collapsed across groups.
There was no significant group by time interaction on the muscle thickness of the rectus femoris \( F(4, 18) = 0.62, p = 0.6 \) or the vastus intermedius \( F(3, 18) = 0.07, p = 0.9 \) (Fig. 3). However, a significant main effect of time was observed for both muscles (rectus femoris, \( F(4, 18) = 59.4, p < 0.001 \); vastus intermedius, \( F(4, 18) = 33.5, p < 0.001 \)). That is, in both groups, the thickness of the rectus femoris and the vastus medialis increased from pre to post. While this increase remained statistically significant \( (p < 0.001) \) until 24 h after the intervention for the rectus femoris, the muscle thickness of the vastus intermedius presented a bimodal pattern. Only the post \( (p < 0.001) \), 20 min \( (p = 0.004) \), and 24 h \( (p < 0.001) \) values were significantly greater than the respective pre-value, while the 2 h value did not differ from pre \( (p = 0.5) \).

**Discussion**

The main finding of the present study was that the metabolic stress, the endocrine response and indirect markers of muscle damage did not differ between the IG and the CG, despite the pronounced differences regarding the number of performed repetitions and the total load lifted. That is, fewer repetitions were needed to evoke a comparable response pattern of the selected markers when the blood flow to the leg muscles was restricted during moderate intensity eccentric knee extensions.

It can be speculated that the BFR-mediated effects are based on an altered recruitment pattern. Studies on low-intensity exercises in conjunction with BFR indicate that the reduced oxygen supply of the working muscles results in a premature fatigue of slow twitch fibers (STFs), which...
is counterbalanced by an early recruitment of fast twitch fibers (FTFs) to maintain the necessary force output [28]. Because a recruitment of large and high-threshold motor units is thought to be crucial for this adaptation [31], some authors assume that this early FTF recruitment is the key factor for the hypertrophic responses seen after a BFR training regimen [32, 33]. Since the energy production of FTFs primarily relies on anaerobic glycolysis, their early recruitment results in a rapid buildup of metabolites, associated with the release of anabolic hormones [23, 34]. However, FTFs are naturally recruited early during eccentric contractions [34]. Therefore, it is unlikely that an altered recruitment pattern is responsible for the results of the present study. Nevertheless, the reduced blood flow likely aggravated the metabolic situation in all recruited muscle fibers and resulted in the early fatigue of the knee extensors in the IG. This is indicated by the lactate concentrations that were similar between both conditions even though the time under tension and the total load lifted was markedly lower in the IG than in the CG (Fig. 1b).

Lactate, hGH, and IGF-1

Though the exact mechanisms underlying the exercise-induced hGH release remain unclear, a metabolite buildup with a concomitant reduction in muscle pH may be involved in triggering the hGH release from the pituitary gland. In this context, Hakkinen and Pakarinen [35], who compared two different high-intensity resistance training protocols, reported that the hGH release is linked to the grade of exercise-induced muscle fatigue. Endings of myelinated group III and unmyelinated group IV nerves residing in the interstitium of skeletal muscles denoted as metaboreceptors [36, 37], are thought to play a significant role in this metabolic stress-dependent cascade [38, 39]. Since both groups in the present study performed all sets to muscle failure and reached comparable lactate concentrations, the resulting metaboreflex may explain the comparable hGH response in the IG and CG.

Eccentric contractions, either with constant external load [40] or isokinetically [41, 42], are known to induce lower hGH responses in the post-exercise phase than concentric contractions. This phenomenon likely results from the fact that eccentric contractions induce less metabolic stress when compared to concentric contractions performed at the same total load [40, 43]. By contrast, low-intensity resistance training protocols in combination with BFR have been demonstrated to result in marked hGH elevations in the blood [26, 44, 45]. For example, Pierce et al. [46] found a ninefold hGH increase from baseline after unilateral knee extension at 20% MVC with BFR. Takarada et al. [26] even found a 290-fold increase from baseline, following five sets of low-intensity (20% 1RM) blood flow-restricted bilateral knee extensions performed until failure. These studies delineate that aggravating the blood supply for muscle fibers during exercise via BFR results in greater hGH responses. In the present study, hGH increased fivefold in the CG and tenfold in the IG (BFR: pre: 0.5 ± 0.94; post: 4.9 ± 4.83 ng/ml, p = 0.03; CG: pre: 1.0 ± 2.5 ng/ml; post: 5.24 ± 3.47 ng/ml, p = 0.01) on average. However, this difference was not statistically significant. Collapsed across both groups, the hGH increased 6.8-fold from pre to 20 min after the intervention, which is in line with the study presented by Pierce et al. [46]. The fact that the hGH response did not differ between both groups despite the significant difference in the number of performed repetitions, indicates that the
intensification of exercises via BFR seems not only to apply for low intensity (20–50% 1RM) protocols, as demonstrated previously [26, 46], but also for moderate-intensity (75% 1RM) eccentric contractions. The relevance of this finding for chronic adaptations remains to be determined by future research.

It has been debated for decades whether exercise-induced elevations of hGH affect the process of muscle hypertrophy [47]. On one side it has been stated that administration of recombinant hGH does not induce muscle growth in healthy subjects [48–50] and it was further demonstrated that exercise-induced muscle hypertrophy was independent of concentrations of circulating anabolic hormones [51]. On the other side, the greatest hGH elevations are usually seen in response to “hypertrophy protocols” that are characterized by high volume and short interset rest periods, whereas relatively small hGH increases are associated with strength training protocols with low repetitions and longer rest periods [52]. While some authors suggest that the anabolic potential of hGH mainly arises from IGF-1 releasing effect [53], others are convinced that hGH and IGF-1 effects on muscle anabolism are additive and rely on different signaling pathways [54]. Nevertheless, the circulating IGF-1 remained virtually unaffected in both groups of the present study and may therefore play a minor role for potential muscular adaptations arising from the combination of eccentric contractions and BFR. However, a recently published review points out that hGH-mediated effects on skeletal muscle fibers may be based on a local IGF-1 synthesis that is not detected by plasma analyses and might explain why several studies failed to detect a link between muscular adaptations and circulating IGF-1 concentrations [55].

**Muscle swelling**

Muscle swelling has been hypothesized to be involved in metabolic stress-mediated hypertrophy [13]. It has been suggested that an increased intracellular pressure against the cytoskeleton activates integrin-associated osmosensors within the muscle fibers, triggering anabolic and anti-catabolic processes [56]. Since an intracellular metabolite accumulation seems to be the driving force for the transmembrane fluid shift [13], the same degree of muscle swelling in both groups of the present study seems plausible based on the comparable lactate concentrations that were found in the IG and the CG.

It is interesting to note that the muscle thickness of the rectus femoris remained increased over the entire follow-up period of 24 h and that the muscle thickness of the vastus intermedius presented a bimodal response with a second increase after 24 h. While the initial swelling likely results from the metabolite build up, as described above, the second increase in muscle thickness may be related to an EIMD-induced inflammation that is known to occur with some delay [10]. If cell swelling provides an anabolic signal, this signal seems to be active for a prolonged period after exhausting eccentric contractions, no matter if the time until exhaustion was shortened by BFR or not. However, the duration of the muscle swelling is unlikely based on metabolites as these should be cleared early from the muscles after the intervention [57]. Therefore, it is reasonable to assume that factors other than the metabolite buildup contributed to the muscle swelling. One of these factors could be inflammation-induced edema, involving an accumulation of neutrophil granulocytes. Neutrophils are known to release proteolytic enzymes and produce reactive oxygen species, resulting in an increased capillary leakage and may further worsen an edema by inducing a vasoconstriction or a capillary plugging [58].

**EIMD and circulating neutrophils**

Muscle damage is known to result predominantly from unaccustomed lengthening contractions. Over the past decades, most research has emphasized that mechanical stress acting on the muscle fibers during eccentric contractions induces membrane damage [59]. The resulting clefts allow large molecules such as muscle enzymes to leak into the extracellular space. These molecules are therefore used as indirect markers of muscle damage. However, the observation that large molecules also occur in the bloodstream after exhausting exercises that virtually lack any mechanical stress [60, 61] led to the recently published hypothesis on metabolic stress-associated membrane disturbances [62]. Accordingly, we hypothesized that the metabolic stress resulting from the reduced oxygen supply during the eccentric contractions leads to greater membrane damage in the IG. This damage may result from reactive oxygen species that are formed in consequence of an ischemia–reperfusion sequence or by invading neutrophils [63–65].

However, in the present study, the CK response, the perceived muscle pain, and the ANC did not differ between groups. This observation is consistent with previous investigations reporting that BFR training is neither associated with high ROS concentrations [26, 66] nor with high concentrations of muscle damage markers in the blood [67, 68]. Further, in line with the literature [69], the present data do not indicate that BFR aggravated the eccentric exercise-induced longitudinal stiffness of the quadriceps, as the ROM of the knee joint was similarly affected in both groups. Nevertheless, the indirect markers of muscle damage (CK, ANC, and ROM) assessed in the present study should be interpreted in the light of the pronounced group differences regarding the performed repetitions and
the total load lifted. That is, less mechanical load in the IG induced a comparable amount of EIMD.

Limitations

The findings of the present study are subject to at least four limitations. First, we did not investigate a third group that was matched to the total load performed in the IG. Though we are convinced that the acute effects of such a group would have been much lower than those seen in the IG, we are unable to scale this difference. Secondly, the present study is entirely based on the investigation of blood parameters, measures of muscle fatigue, and perceived muscle pain. However, it would have been interesting to know from muscle biopsies if the protein accretion and induced muscle damage is similar after both protocols. Thirdly, it is important to note that it is hard to estimate long-term effects of new training modalities on the grounds of acute responses. To the best knowledge of the authors, only one study to date has investigated chronic muscular adaptations when applied over several weeks. However, it is unclear how much resistance used in that study (i.e., 30% of the concentric 1RM) and the fact that movements were not performed until muscle failure, clearly reduce the comparability to the present study. Therefore, future investigations are needed to clarify if the addition of BFR to moderate-intensity eccentric contractions is associated with beneficial effects on functional and structural adaptations when applied over several weeks. Finally, it needs to be taken into account that all participants performed not only eccentric but also concentric contractions to return the lever arm of the knee extensor machine back into the starting position. Although the load for this part of the movement was reduced, it is likely that the concentric contractions affected the response of the investigated parameters. However, since the CG performed much more contractions (eccentric and concentric) than the IG, this rather supports our conclusion that blood flow restriction reduced the number of contractions needed to induce the observed effects.

Conclusions

To the extent of our knowledge, the present study was the first to investigate the effect of BFR on the acute metabolic, hormonal, inflammatory, and muscle damage response to a single bout of moderate-intensity eccentric contractions performed until exhaustion. Despite a considerably lower number of repetitions that were performed under the blood flow-restricted condition, we observed similar responses of lactate, hGH, ANC, CK, and ROM when compared to the unrestricted condition. Further, the exercise-induced muscle swelling of the rectus femoris and vastus intermedius did not differ between both groups. The conclusion that can be drawn from the present data is that BFR is capable of intensifying the exercise stimulus of moderate-intensity eccentric contractions in terms that fewer repetitions (and total load) are needed to induce a similar response pattern of selected parameters. However, it needs to be determined by future investigations if muscular adaptations are comparable between both protocols when applied chronically.
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