A Simple Simulation of Surface Plasmon Resonance in The Kretschmann Configuration Using Google Sheets
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Abstract

This article describes a simple numerical simulation of three-layer surface plasmon resonance (SPR) in the Kretschmann configuration. The calculation was performed in Google Sheets, a web-based spreadsheet environment that functions similarly to Microsoft Excel where it is easily accessible for students via the internet. Specifically, Fresnel’s equations were utilized to calculate the intensity of the reflected light for the p-polarized incident light on a three-layer system. The complex functions were utilized to plot the SPR curves. We examined the change of the resonance angle by the influence of the incident wavelength. The simulation was also performed for different thicknesses of the gold film layer. To demonstrate the sensitivity, we obtained the SPR curves with the variation of the refractive index in the sensitive medium. The SPR accuracy was analysed by comparing our obtained result with the published work. It is intended to incorporate into undergraduate instrumental analysis courses.
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INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, the development of simulation software allows us to model the physical phenomena in more detail. In the physics courses (Abell & Braselton, 2009; Driscoll, 2009), there are various computational tools which allow students to carry out the analysis. Performing interactive simulations of the physical phenomena behind lectures are necessary to enhance and expand the visualization of students in learning, especially in schools where the modern devices may not be available for experimental measurement (Uddin, Ahsanuddin & Khan, 2017; Singh, Khun & Kaur, 2018; Oliveira & Napoles, 2010; Adhi, Sismanto & Setiawan, 2019).

Surface plasmon resonance is one of the optical measurement methods that have been used in modern laboratories for biological and chemical applications (Tang, Zeng & Liang, 2010).

Although the basic knowledge is easy to understand, such devices are relatively scarce because of the cost of the instrument as well as the maintenance process (Tang, Zeng & Liang, 2010; Lavine, Westover, Oxenford, Mirjankar & Kaval, 2007). Furthermore, from the theoretical point of view, several structural parameters could affect the performance of the device, like the effect of wavelength, the prism, and the film materials (Brahmachari & Ray, 2013; Fotana, 2006). To overcome this issue, a theoretical approach is considered as an appropriate strategy. In doing so, numerous papers have proposed theoretical modeling of SPR biosensor based on the Kretschmann configuration (Widayanti & Abraha, 2016; Verma, Gupta, RajanJh, 2011; Maurya, Prajapati & Tripathi, 2018; Bhavsar & Prabhu, 2019; Dai et al., 2019; Zhao et al., 2018). However, most of the works (Brahmachari & Ray, 2013; Sadowski, Korhonen & Peltonen, 1995; Sarid & Challener, 2010) done in the formulation and analysis of SPR was employed
specialized software. This report describes an easy method to utilize the free spreadsheets, Google Sheets, to simulate the SPR in the Kretschmann configuration (https://www.google.com/sheets).

**METHOD**

**Background information**

Surface plasmon resonance is a phenomenon, in which the electromagnetic waves could be confined to propagate along the interface between two media. In principle, if a p-polarized electric field (external light field) impinges on a metallic surface, there will be a driving force on the free charges towards the interface and collective oscillations of the quasi-free conduction electrons are excited. In metal, the electrons are nearly free and the collective oscillations of free electrons are called plasmon (Novotny & Hecht, 2012). The coupling of the electron oscillation with the electric field results in the optical wave confined at the surface.

Let us consider the simple model as depicted in Figure 1 (a) where the localized electric field propagate at the interface between metal and air. The existence of the surface plasmon wave at the interface between air and metal can be expressed (Novotny & Hecht, 2012)

\[
\begin{align*}
E_i &= \begin{pmatrix}
E_{j,z} \\
0 \\
E_{j,z}
\end{pmatrix} e^{ik_jx} e^{ik_z} e^{jz} \quad j = 1, 2
\end{align*}
\]

where \( c \) is the speed of light, \( \omega \) is the light frequency. The obtained wave vector indicates (Novotny & Hecht, 2012)

\[
k_x = \frac{\omega}{c} \sqrt{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2}.
\]

In general, the dielectric function of metal include the real part and imaginary part, or

\[
\varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon_r + i\varepsilon_r'',
\]

We then logically introduce a complex wave-number

\[
k_x = k'_x + ik''_x.
\]

Substituting the results into equation (1) gives

\[
\begin{align*}
E_i &= \begin{pmatrix}
E_{j,x} \\
0 \\
E_{j,z}
\end{pmatrix} e^{ik'_x} e^{ik_z''} e^{jz} \quad j = 1, 2
\end{align*}
\]

Clearly, the real part \( k'_x \) describes the surface plasmon (SP) wave while the imaginary \( k''_x \) shows the damping of the SP wave propagating along the x-direction. In principle, to maintain the plasmon wave, the imaginary part \( k''_x \) of materials supporting plasmon resonance should have a small value. Later we will take into account this physical origin to explain a dip in the reflectivity curves.

Theoretically, the wave vector of the SP wave at the interface between metal and air does

---

**Figure 1.** (a) A p-polarized light impinges on the interface. (b) Kretschmann configuration for surface plasmon excitation at metal/sensing medium interface.
not match with that of the external coming light (Sarid & Challener, 2010; Novotny & Hecht, 2012). Therefore, SP excitation could not be active if we simply hit the metal surface by the incoming light. To match these two wave vector components for SPR, we have to exploit some special methods (Sarid & Challener, 2010; Novotny & Hecht, 2012). Normally, a prism coupler is employed to achieve the phase matching between the SP wave and excitation wave. By coating a thin metal film on the prism as shown in Figure 1 (b), we can shift the wave vector of the coming photon toward that of the surface plasmon wave (Sarid & Challener, 2010). This method is called the Kretschmann configuration (Raether, 1988). The intensity of the reflected beam is then detected by irradiating light into the prism. The minimum angle appearing on the angle-dependent curve is the resonance angle.

Since the shape and the resonance angle of the SPR curve are extremely sensitive to a change in the refractive index of the sensing medium, this technology has been employed as optical sensing technology, even at molecular interaction level (Chen et al., 2007; Shank-Retzloff & Sligar, 2000).

The theoretical approach performed in research of Raether (1988) and Yamamoto (2010) was used to obtain the reflectivity $R$. It is defined by the Fresnel’s equations as

$$ R = \left| r_{01}^p \right|^2 = \frac{r_{01p} + r_{12p}e^{i2\beta}}{1 + r_{01p}r_{12p}e^{i2\beta}} \right|^2. \quad (6) $$

Where $\beta$ is the phase angle, and $r_{01p}$ and $r_{12p}$ are the Fresnel reflection coefficients at the 0-1 and 1-2 interfaces for the p-polarization, respectively. Details of these coefficients are listed in Table 1.

| Cell | Term | Description | Command |
|------|------|-------------|---------|
| A3   | $\theta_0$ | Incident angle in degree | =A2+$O$5 |
| B2   | $\cos \theta_0$ | | =COS(RADIANS(A2)) |
| C2   | $\sin \theta_1 = \frac{n_0 \sin \theta_0}{n_1}$ | Calculate the sine of $\theta_1$ as depicted in figure 1(b) | =$IMDIV($M$2*SIN(RADIANS(A2)),C OMPLEX($N$2,$O$2)) |
| D2   | $\cos \theta_1 = \sqrt{1-(\sin \theta_1)^2}$ | | =$IMSQRT(IMSUB(1,IMPOWER(C2, 2)))$ |
| E2   | $\sin \theta_2 = \frac{n_0 \sin \theta_0}{n_2}$ | Calculate the sine of $\theta_2$ as depicted in figure 1(b) | =$($MS$2^*SIN(RADIANS(A2)))/$P$2 |
| F2   | $\cos \theta_2 = \sqrt{1-(\sin \theta_2)^2}$ | | =$IMSQRT(IMSUB(1,IMPOWER(E2,2 ))))$ |
| G2   | $r_{01p} = \frac{n_1 \cos \theta_0 - n_0 \cos \theta_1}{n_1 \cos \theta_0 + n_0 \cos \theta_1}$ | The Fresnel reflection coefficient at the 0-1 interface for the p polarization | =$IMDIV(IMSUB(IMPRODUCT(COM PLEX($N$2,$O$2),$B$2),IMPRODUCT(T($MS$2,$D$2))),IMSUM(IMPRODUCT( COMPLEX($N$2,$O$2),$B$2),IMPRODUCT(T($MS$2,$D$2)))) |
| H2   | $r_{12p} = \frac{n_2 \cos \theta_1 - n_1 \cos \theta_1}{n_2 \cos \theta_1 + n_1 \cos \theta_2}$ | The Fresnel reflection coefficient at the 1-2 interface for the p polarization | =$IMDIV(IMSUB(IMPRODUCT($P$2, B$2),IMPRODUCT(COMPLEX($N$2, $O$2),$F$2)),IMSUM(IMPRODUCT($P$ S$2,$D$2),IMPRODUCT(COMPLEX($N$ $2,$O$2),$F$2)))) |
\[ \beta = 2\pi \left( \frac{d}{\lambda} \right) n_1 \cos \theta_1 \]

The phase angle \( \beta \) is given by the equation above.

\[ e^{i2\beta} \]

Total reflected amplitude \( R \) is calculated using the equation:

\[ R = \left| \text{Re} f \right|^2 \]

where \( \text{Re} f \) is the real part of the reflected amplitude. The reflectivity \( R \) is given by the square of the absolute value of total reflected amplitude \( \text{Re} f \).

**Students' activities**

Obviously, we can see that these terms shown in Table 1 are almost complex numbers, meaning that the complex functions are used for calculating the reflection intensity of the p-polarized light. After completing the theoretical introduction, together with students teacher should briefly introduce the description and syntax of these functions as shown in Table 2. Students then progress to simulate the three-layers SPR based on equation (6) as the following four points: 1) Sign in Google or Gmail account on the web browser. 2) Create a new blank spreadsheet. 3) Carefully type these terms used in reflectivity equation into each cell as explicitly written in Table 1. As we can see the detail commands for the numerical calculation could be entered wrongly. Therefore the teacher should carefully check during their performance. After finalizing, these cells might not need to change during the calculation. 4) Input appropriate values of the incident wavelength, the refractive indexes of materials and thicknesses of gold as explained in Table 3. These terms could be changed when students execute the simulation. The indices of refraction at several wavelengths were shown in Table 4.

**Table 2. The description and syntax of these functions used for numerical simulation**

| Function Syntax       | Arguments                                      | Description                        |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| =COMPLEX (x, y)       | x - The real number, y - The imaginary number | Creates a complex number with given real and imaginary coefficients. |
| =IMSUB (inumber1, inumber2) | inumber1 - Complex number 1, inumber2 - Complex number 2 | Gets difference between two complex numbers |
| =IMSUM (inumber1, [inumber2], ...) | inumber1 - Complex number 1, inumber2 - Complex number 2 | Gets sum of complex numbers |
| =IMDIV(inumber1,inumber2) | inumber1 - Complex number 1, inumber2 - Complex number 2 | Returns one complex number divided by another |
| =IMSQRT( inumber )   | inumber - A complex number                    | Calculates the square root of a complex numbers |
| =IMPOWER (inumber, number) | inumber - A complex number, number - Power to raise number | Raises complex number to given power |
| =IMEXP( inumber )    | inumber - A complex number                    | Returns the exponential of a complex number |
| =IMABS (inumber)     | inumber - A complex number                    | Gets absolute value of a complex number |
Table 3. Description of the data is inputted in the cells

| Cell | Description                                                                 |
|------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| M2   | Refractive index of prism materials which depends on the wavelength of light |
| N2   | The real part of the refractive index of metal                               |
| O2   | The imaginary of the refractive index of metal                               |
| P1   | Refractive index of sensing medium                                           |
| M5   | Initial angle of incidence                                                   |
| N5   | Final angle of incidence                                                     |
| O5   | Increment of angle                                                          |
| M8   | Thickness of metal film                                                      |
| N8   | Wavelength of incident light                                                 |

Table 4. List of parameters used to calculate the SPR curves

| Materials       | Refractive index                  | Dielectric function   | Wavelength |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|------------|
| Gold film-Au    | 0.18377 + i3.4313                 | -11.740 + i1.2611     | 632.8 nm   |
| Gold film-Au    | 0.15352 + i4.9077                 | -24.061 + i1.5068     | 800 nm     |
| Gold film-Au    | 0.13100 + i4.0624                 | -16.486 + i1.0643     | 700 nm     |
| Gold film-Au    | 0.24873 + i3.0740                 | -9.3875 + i1.5292     | 600 nm     |
| Gold film-Au    | 0.97112 + i1.8737                 | -2.5676 + i3.6391     | 500 nm     |
| Gold film-Au    | 0.41684 + i1.9530                 | -1.6580 + i5.7354     | 400 nm     |
| Prism-SF10      | 1.7231                            | 2.9692                | 632.8 nm   |
| Prism-SF10      | 1.7113                            | 2.9285                | 800 nm     |
| Prism-SF10      | 1.7174                            | 2.9493                | 700 nm     |
| Prism-SF10      | 1.7267                            | 2.9816                | 600 nm     |
| Prism-SF10      | 1.7432                            | 3.0386                | 500 nm     |
| Prism-SF10      | 1.7783                            | 3.1622                | 400 nm     |

The indices of refraction were quoted from https://refractiveindex.info/.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Before starting the simulation process, we consider the performance of the spreadsheet. Recall the work done by Masahiro Yamamoto, the reflection curve obtained by SPR sensor consisting of SF10 (n = 1.723)/gold (50 nm, n1 = 0.1726 + i3.4218)/air (n = 1.0) for He-Ne laser light (633 nm) presented the resonance angle of about 37.45°. For the comparison, we simulated the three-layers SPR in the same condition of the structural parameters. In Figure 2, the SPR curve indeed confirms the accuracy of the spreadsheet. From the consistency between the calculation and reference (Yamamoto, 2010), the results below were done based on the setup program in which glass prism is SF10 for all the calculations.

![Figure 3. The reflection curves of 50 nm thick gold film for different incident wavelengths at 800 nm, 700 nm, 600 nm, 500 nm, and 400 nm, respectively](image)
Figure 3 shows the obtained simulation of the SPR curves of 50 nm thick gold film for different wavelengths at 800 nm, 700 nm, 600 nm, and 500 nm, respectively. The SPR curves of the calculation data reveal the angular dependence of the reflectivity. Namely, gold supports narrow SPR curves for wavelengths greater than 600 nm while the resonance angle broadens significantly for the others. Let us consider the data in Table 4. Here, the imaginary part of a dielectric function at a short wavelength is larger than that at long wavelength. Furthermore, as pointed out in equation (5), \( k_x'' \) naturally emerges as the dielectric function of metal includes the imaginary part. Thus, increasing \( \varepsilon_2'' \) tentatively corresponds to increasing \( k_x'' \), thereby damping the SP wave and no obvious SPR observation (Sarid & Challener, 2010; Novotny & Hecht, 2012; Raether, 1988).

**Effect of the film thicknesses**

To couple the incident light to the SP propagating along the metal/sensing medium interface, adjusting the thickness of the metal film is critical. Normally, the optimal thickness depends on excitation wavelength and materials (Homola, 2006). There are two physical candidate origins we should consider, including radiation damping and the decay of the electric field. As perceived in equation (5), the wave vector \( k_{j,z} \) can be considered in the same way as \( k_x \) where its imaginary part reflecting the evanescent wave created at the interface. Thus, if the metal film thickness exceeds a given value, the evanescent wave is not able to penetrate through it to reach the metal/sensing medium interface for SP excitation (Novotny & Hecht, 2012). In the case of the thin film value, the SP wave oscillating at the interface between metal and sensing medium will be damped due to the
prism above as shown in Figure 1 (b) (Novotny & Hecht, 2012).

Following the representation of Figure 4, we could see that the thickness significantly affects the shape of the SPR curve. For a gold film excited at wavelength of 632.8 nm, the strongest excitation of SP appears about 50 nm, in which the reflectivity minimum is nearly zero. Here, the depth of the reflectivity dip at a thickness of 60 nm shows a larger value compared with that of 50 nm. However, the width of the reflectivity was broadened at 60 nm, implying the attenuation of the surface plasmon (Homola, 2006). Consequently, the gold film thickness is limited by an optimal value in the Kretschmann configuration (Fontana, 2006).

Effect of the change of the refractive index in sensing medium

![Table and SPR curves](image)

**Figure 4.** The reflection curves of 632.8 nm incident wavelengths for different gold film thicknesses at 20 nm, 30 nm, 50 nm, 60 nm and 70 nm, respectively.

Finally, to demonstrate the sensitivity of this configuration, we further simulate the angle shift due to the change of the refractive index in sensing medium which usually assumed to be caused by biological or chemical reactions (Verma, Gupta & RajanJh, 2011; Dai et al., 2019). Consider that the change in refractive index ($\Delta n_2 = 0.005$) results from the adsorption of biomolecules, the obtained two SPR curves in Figure 5 indicated an angular shift of $0.25^\circ$ ($\Delta \theta = 0.25^\circ$) (Verma, Gupta & RajanJh, 2011). We must emphasize that although there is an insignificant change of the refractive index but a strongly shifted plasmon resonance curve can be observed. The reason for the word ‘strongly’ is that the high precision rotation stages allow us to probe such kind of small angle shift without difficulty (https://www.thorlabs.com/navigation.cfm?guide_id=2175).

We should also introduce the sensitivity definition of the sensor as (Verma, Gupta & RajanJh, 2011)

$$S = \frac{\Delta \theta}{\Delta n} = \frac{\theta(n_2 + \Delta n) - \theta(n_2)}{\Delta n}. \quad (7)$$

**Figure 5.** The reflection curves wavelength. Refractive indexes of sensing of 50 nm gold thin film for 632.8 nm incident medium are 1 and 1.005, respectively.

Here, $\Delta \theta$ is the shift of resonance angle corresponding to the change of the refractive index $\Delta n$ in the sensing medium. To enrich the visualization of students, a further assignment could give, in which the teacher might ask each student to do their simulation with different noble metals of the thin film. The results then can be used to compare the sensitivity of the sensor between gold metal...
and other materials. For a more advanced level, students could expand the scope by using the calculation process applied for multi-layered systems as mentioned in works of (Fujiwara, 2007; Azzam & Bashara (1977).  

CONCLUSION

The calculation in this work is an interdisciplinary subject between the physical phenomenon and instrumental analysis. With a simple performance, we intend at providing an easy method to use Google Sheets for the simulation of three-layer SPR in the Kretschmann configuration. In class, without using any numerical simulation other than a personal computer connected to the internet, the students still can visualize the performance of three layers SPR sensor that they might have no chance to experience the device. Thus, this presentation aims to provide students an overview of the SPR concept, which could be served as a module on instrumental analysis of laboratory classes comprised of the optics-based methods.
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