Interplay of electron correlation and covalency in FeTe$_{0.6}$Se$_{0.4}$
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We investigate the electronic structure of FeTe$_{0.6}$Se$_{0.4}$ employing high resolution photoemission spectroscopy and ab initio band structure calculations. Fe 2p core level and the valence band spectra exhibit signature of strong electron correlation in the electronic structure. The electronic states near the Fermi level reduces in intensity with the decrease in temperature in conformity with the insulating transport observed near 300 K. The observation of an insulator to metal transition around 150 K in the transport properties may be related to the spectral lineshape change in the vicinity of the Fermi level observed in this study. The spectral features near Fermi level exhibit significant p orbital character due to the correlation induced Fe d spectral weight transfer. The experimental spectra reveal dominant temperature dependence of the spectral functions possessing large p-character. These results demonstrate significant renormalization of the character of the conduction electrons due to electron correlation and emphasizes the importance of ligand states in the superconductivity of these materials.

PACS numbers: 74.70.Xa, 74.25.Jb, 71.20.-b, 79.60.-i

I. INTRODUCTION

Discovery of superconductivity led to an enormous growth of research in both fundamental science and technology. While these materials are extensively used in medical, scientific and engineering tools, potential application in lossless power transmission, fast transport system etc. has been the major driving force in the growth of research in both fundamental science and technology. In this respect, the discovery of superconductivity in Fe-based systems renewed great attention due to the complex interplay of magnetism and superconductivity. These materials are significantly different from copper oxide superconductors. It is believed that Fe 3d states derive the exotic properties in these systems unlike cuprates, where the ligands play significant role.

Among Fe-based superconductors, Fe(TeSe) group of compounds are believed to be the most correlated ones due to their large ‘chalcogen height’ (the height of the anions from the Fe-plane). These materials form in anti-PbO-type crystal structure (space group P4/nmm). The parent compounds, FeTe exhibits a spin density wave (SDW)-type antiferromagnetic transition at 65 K and FeSe is a superconductor below 8 K. Homovalent substitution of Te at Se-sites introduces disorder in the system that is expected to reduce the superconducting transition temperature, $T_c$. In contrast, $T_c$ increases in this system with the maximum $T_c$ of 15 K for about 60% of Te concentration, where disorder is expected to be the most prominent. Excess Fe (Fe$_{1+y}$Te$_{1-x}$Se$_x$) in these materials exhibits magnetic ordering with bcc/collinear commensurate/incommensurate structure that survives even in the highest $T_c$ compound as a short range order. Electronic structure studies show band narrowing and large mass enhancement due to electron correlation.

The normal phase (above $T_c$) of these compounds is complex exhibiting deviation from metallic conductivity near 300 K similar to that often observed in small gap semiconducting systems. Lowering of temperature leads to a transition to metallic conductivity around 150 K and eventually superconductivity appears below 15 K. Evidently, Fe(Se) exhibits plethora of complexity such as large electron correlation, curious disorder effects, complex normal phase etc. placing them in the pathway between other Fe-superconductors and cuprates. Here, we studied the electronic structure of FeTe$_{0.6}$Se$_{0.4}$ employing high resolution photoemission spectroscopy and band structure calculations. Our results reveal anomalous temperature evolution of the spectral function when the probing photon energy is varied that could be explained in terms of matrix element effect in photoemission and correlation induced enhanced chalcogen p-contributions near Fermi level. The observed change in electrical resistivity around 150 K has also been found to influence the photoemission spectra.

II. EXPERIMENT

The single crystalline sample of FeTe$_{0.6}$Se$_{0.4}$ was grown by flux method and characterized by x-ray diffraction, Laue, Mössbauer and tunneling electron microscopic measurements establishing stoichiometric and homogeneous composition of the sample with no trace of additional Fe in the material. The photoemission measurements were carried out using a R4000 WAL electron analyzer from Gammadata scienta, monochromatic Al Kα ($\nu = 1486.6$ eV), He I ($\nu = 21.2$ eV) and He II.
(\(h\nu = 40.8\text{ eV}\)) photon sources and an open cycle helium cryostat, LT-3M from Advanced Research Systems. The sample was cleaved at a base pressure better than \(4 \times 10^{-11}\) torr at each temperature just before the measurements. The energy resolutions were fixed to 2 meV, 5 meV and 350 meV for He I, He II and Al K\(\alpha\) energies, respectively.

Band structure calculations were carried out using full-potential linearized augmented plane wave method using WIEN2k software.\(^{20}\) In local density approximations (LDA), local uniform charge densities are considered to calculate the exchange correlation functionals. To improve upon this approximation, the exchange correlation is treated within the generalized gradient approximation (GGA),\(^{21}\) where the gradient terms of the electron density are added in the exchange correlation functionals. The GGA+\(U\) method\(^ {22}\) (\(U = \) on-site electron correlation strength) was employed for \(d\) electron interactions. The effective on-site Coulomb repulsion strength, \(U_{\text{eff}} = 0 - 7\) eV \((U_{\text{eff}} = U - J; J = \text{Hund’s exchange integral})\) was used to visualize the induced correlation changes in the electronic structure. \(2 \times 2 \times 2\) supercell was used to generate the structure of Fe\(_{0.5}\)Te\(_{0.5}\). A \((25 \times 25 \times 7)\) \(k\)-point mesh corresponding to 364 \(k\) points in the irreducible part of the Brillouin zone in the Monkhorst-Pack\(^ {23}\) scheme was used during the self-consistent cycle.

### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

In Fig. 1(a), we show the Fe \(2p\) core level spectra exhibiting interesting evolution with temperature and unusually large asymmetry relative to that of Fe metal.\(^ {24}\) The low energy excitations across the Fermi level in the photoemission final state lead to asymmetry in the spectral lineshape of metallic systems. However, the significantly large asymmetry in the present case requires consideration of three asymmetric features as denoted by 1, 2 and 3 in Fig. 1(a). These features can be attributed to the well screened final state (peak 1) and the other final states corresponding to different electron-hole excitations as observed in earlier studies of other related systems.\(^ {25}\) While the peak 1 is distinctly visible due to better energy resolution in this study, its intensity relative to the higher binding energy features is weaker than that in Fe indicating poorer itineracy of the conduction electrons in Fe\(_{0.6}\)Te\(_{0.4}\). Interestingly, the intensity of the peak 1 increases gradually with the decrease in temperature (see Figs. 1(b) and 1(c)).

Earlier theoretical studies\(^ {26}\) based on dynamical mean field theory showed that in a strongly correlated system, the valence band spectra exhibit two features. One feature appears at the Fermi level called coherent feature, which represents the itinerant electrons. The second feature, termed as incoherent feature or lower Hubbard band, corresponds to the correlation induced localized states and appears at higher binding energy. Decrease in temperature leads to an enhancement of the coherent feature intensity with a consequent decrease in the incoherent feature intensity. The valence band spectra in Figs. 1(d) and 1(e) exhibit scenario similar to the above theoretical results; the intensity near the Fermi level gradually increases with the decrease in temperature relative to the intensities at higher binding energies. This behavior of the spectral function is a manifestation of the correlation induced effect and also suggests that the intensity of itinerant electrons increases with the decrease in temperature. The enhancement of the well screened feature in the Fe \(2p\) core level spectra (peak 1) with the decrease in temperature is consistent with this scenario.

In order to investigate the correlation induced effects further, the calculated electronic density of states are compared with the experimental spectra in Fig. 2. Finite electron correlation leads to a spectral weight transfer from \(\epsilon_F\) to higher binding energies leading to an enhancement of intensity around 2 eV. Since the transferred spectral weight appears in the energy range similar to the energy range of bonding bands, distinct identification of the incoherent feature is non-trivial in this system. We observe that the calculated spectral functions in the whole ...
valence band energy range with finite $U$ exhibit better description of the experimental spectrum. For example, the calculated spectrum for $U = 4.0$ eV is shown in the figure providing a good description of the experimental features.

It is well established that covalency plays significant role in the electronic structure of various (3$d$, 4$d$ and 5$d$) transition metal compounds. Energy bandwidth depends of the degree of hybridization with the neighboring sites. Therefore, electron correlation would affect the electronic states with different orbital character differently depending on their degree of itineracy in the uncorrelated system. This is evident in Fig. 2 exhibiting significant transfer of the Fe 3$d$ partial density of states (PDOS) to higher binding energies. Subsequently, the Se 4$p$ and Te 5$p$ contributions increase near $\epsilon_F$. These results suggests strong renormalization of Fe $d$ - chalcogen $p$ covalency in this system.

The change in $p$ and $d$ contributions with the increase in $U$ is demonstrated in Fig. 3. The electronic states near $\epsilon_F$ is primarily dominated by the Fe 3$d$ contributions for $U = 0.0$ eV. With the increase in $U$, the Fe 3$d$ partial density of states gradually shifts towards higher binding energies with substantial increase in intensity around 2 eV. Subsequently, the chalcogen $p$ contributions near $\epsilon_F$ gradually increases making the covalent mixing much stronger compared to the uncorrelated case.

The high resolution spectra exhibit curious evolution with temperature. The He II spectra in Fig. 4(a) exhibit a broad feature near $\epsilon_F$ with no distinct change in lineshape with temperature apart from a sharp fall in intensity at $\epsilon_F$ due to the superconducting gap below $T_c$. In contrast, the He I spectra exhibit two distinct features at 0.1 and 0.3 eV. The intensities of these peaks increase gradually with the decrease in temperature. Since the spectra were collected using transmission mode with a large acceptance angle of $\pm 15^\circ$, the experimental spectra will be a representation of the total density of states. Thus, the change in spectral features might have a origin different from momentum dependence. The photoemission cross section for Fe 3$d$ states is 4.833 and 8.751 at 21.2 eV and 40.8 eV photon energies, respectively. While that of As 4$p$ states is 3.858 and 0.2949, respectively. Thus, the relative photoemission cross-section of As 4$p$ to that of Fe 3$d$ states enhances from 0.034 at 40.8 eV to 0.8 at 21.2 eV. Since the valence states are well described by the linear combination of atomic orbitals, one can conclude that the contribution of $p$ orbital character in the conduction electronic states is significant and exhibit higher degree of sensitivity to the change in temperature.

The spectral density of states, SDOS at different temperatures are estimated by the division of the high resolution spectra by the energy resolution broadened Fermi-
served to be shifted due to the formation of the superconducting gap below \( T_C \). A shift of 5 meV of the 50 K data towards higher binding energy reproduces the rising part of the spectrum at 10 K excellently well suggesting the superconducting gap of about 5 meV. The lineshape of the spectral functions is investigated by fitting the raw data with a polynomial; the best fit is found for the relation, \( I(\epsilon) = y_0 + A \times (\epsilon - \epsilon_F)^{1.5} \) as demonstrated in Fig. 4(e). The fitting parameters are shown in Figs. 4(f) and 4(g). The observed energy exponent of 1.5 indicates importance of magnetic fluctuations in the ground state.\(^{30}\) While the fitting range starts limiting itself in the low energy regime below 150 K, coefficient, \( A \) for the spin fluctuation term starts becoming larger at the same temperature, where the transition to metallic phase occurs indicating their importance in the low temperature properties.

Effect of disorder has extensively been studied theoretically and experimentally.\(^{31}\) Altshuler and Aronov showed that charge disorder in correlated systems exhibits \( |\epsilon - \epsilon_F|^{0.5} \) dependence of the spectral lineshape near Fermi level and the spectral intensity at \( \epsilon_F \) is proportional to the square root of temperature.\(^{32,33}\) In the present case, SDOS at \( \epsilon_F \), represented by \( y_0 \) in Fig. 4(f) does not follow the typical square root dependence on temperature in conformity with the above observation indicating importance of magnetic interactions in these systems.

**IV. CONCLUSIONS**

In summary, we studied the electronic structure of Fe-based superconductor, FeTe\(_{0.65}Se_{0.4}\) employing high resolution photoemission spectroscopy and high quality single crystalline sample. The core level and valence band spectra exhibit signature of electron correlation induced features. The intensity at the Fermi level reduces gradually with the decrease in temperature suggesting decrease in conductivity as manifested by insulating temperature dependence of electronic conduction in transport measurements. The transition from insulating to metallic conductivity could be related to the change in spectral lineshape near the Fermi level.

Electron correlation renormalizes the covalency significantly leading to a significant chalcogen \( p \) orbital contributions near the Fermi level. Interestingly, the electronic spectra possessing large \( p \) character exhibit stronger sensitivity to the change in temperature. The spectral lineshape and its temperature evolution indicate importance of magnetic fluctuations in the electronic properties. Evidently, ligands play an important role in high temperature superconductivity as also found in cuprates.
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