The G-Alpha Protein GNA3 of *Hypocrea jecorina* (Anamorph *Trichoderma reesei*) Regulates Cellulase Gene Expression in the Presence of Light
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Although the enzymes enabling *Hypocrea jecorina* (anamorph *Trichoderma reesei*) to degrade the insoluble substrate cellulose have been investigated in some detail, little is still known about the mechanism by which cellulose signals its presence to the fungus. In order to investigate the possible role of a G-protein/cyclic AMP signaling pathway, the gene encoding GNA3, which belongs to the adenylate cyclase-activating class III of G-alpha subunits, was cloned. *gna3* is clustered in tandem with the mitogen-activated protein kinase gene *imk3* and the glycogen phosphorylase gene *gph1*. The *gna3* transcript is upregulated in the presence of light and is almost absent in the dark. A strain bearing a constitutively activated version of GNA3 (*gna3QL*) exhibits strongly increased cellulase transcription in the presence of the inducer cellulose and in the presence of light, whereas a *gna3* antisense strain showed delayed cellulase transcription under this condition. However, the *gna3QL* mutant strain was unable to form cellulases in the absence of cellulose. The necessity of light for stimulation of cellulase transcription by GNA3 could not be overcome in a mutant which expressed *gna3* under control of the constitutive *gpd1* promoter also in darkness. We conclude that the previously reported stimulation of cellulase gene transcription by light, but not the direct transmission of the cellulose signal, involves the function and activation of GNA3. The upregulation of *gna3* by light is influenced by the light modulator ENVOY, but GNA3 itself has no effect on transcription of the light regulator genes *blr1*, *blr2*, and *env1*. Our data for the first time imply an involvement of a G-alpha subunit in a light-dependent signaling event in fungi.

*Hypocrea jecorina* (anamorph *Trichoderma reesei*) is a saprophytic fungus that grows on wood trunks. To this end it forms a very potent cellulolytic enzyme system, which has also found widespread industrial application (11, 21, 22). The regulation of expression of these cellulases has been subject to extensive research (2, 60), and at least three transcriptional activators (XRY1 [70], ACE2 [3], and the HAP2/3/5 complex [80]) as well as the two repressors CRE1 (33) and ACE1 (56) have been found to be involved.

In contrast, the mechanism by which cellulose signals its presence to the cell and induces cellulase gene expression has remained enigmatic. Cellulase formation is absolutely dependent on the presence of an inducer (69), which suggests the involvement of a signaling cascade. In addition, this induction is modulated by several environmental conditions, of which the stimulation by light has recently been investigated in some molecular detail (59). The biochemical nature of this cellulose signaling cascade is not yet known, however. Sestak and Farkas (66) demonstrated that the rate of cellulase induction in *H. jecorina* by the soluble β-linked disaccharide sophorose can be doubled by increasing the intracellular concentration of cyclic AMP (cAMP). However, at concentrations exceeding an optimum, cellulase synthesis is repressed by cAMP (62). In the chestnut blight fungus *Cryphonectria parasitica*, Wang and Nuss (75) showed that cellobiohydrolase I gene expression requires the function of the G-alpha protein CPG-1. Together these two findings would give rise to the hypothesis that cellulase induction could involve signaling by a G-protein/cAMP pathway.

Fungal heterotrimeric G proteins, consisting of G-alpha, G-beta, and G-gamma subunits, have been shown to play a major role in signaling to various processes such as regulation of growth, reproduction, morphogenesis, virulence, secondary metabolite production, and pathogenic development (6, 16, 17, 50, 53, 81). After binding of a specific ligand to the cognate receptor, GDP bound to the G-alpha subunit is replaced by GTP, leading to activation of the G protein, which then can interact with its effectors. Thereafter the intrinsic GTPase activity of the G-alpha subunit catalyzes hydrolysis of GTP to GDP and P$_i$, thus inactivating the G-alpha protein and preventing continuous transmission of the signal (6, 79). Based on phylogenetic analysis and functional characteristics, the G-alpha proteins can be classified in three major subgroups: subgroup I proteins, which inhibit adenylate cyclase, a function also reported for the mammalian Gαi proteins; subgroup II proteins, which have no homology with mammalian G pro-
tein; and subgroup IIII proteins, which in most fungi where tested activate adenylate cyclase and are thus functionally related to mammalian Gα subunits (6, 77). However, exceptions to this classification have also been reported (35). The genome of H. jecorina encodes a set of Gα subunits comparable to that in Neurospora crassa (7, 39), i.e., three Gα subunits which can be assigned to the groups described above (58).

The objective of this study was therefore to clone a subgroup III Gα subunit encoding gene from H. jecorina and to determine its role in the induction and/or regulation of cellulase gene expression. As a member of subgroup III, such a G protein should cause elevated intracellular cAMP levels upon activation due to its positive effect on adenylate cyclase. We will show that, in contrast to our initial hypothesis, the cellulose signal is not transmitted via this Gα subunit (GNA3). However, we will provide evidence that GNA3 has a significant impact on the regulation of cellulase gene expression by positively modulating its stimulation by light.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Microbial strains and culture conditions. H. jecorina (T. reesei) wild-type strains QM9414 (ATCC 26291) and TU-6 (ATCC MYA-256) (Δpyr4; uridine auxotrophy) (25); the env1/neu1 mutant strain, which lacks the PAS domain of ENVOY but still transcribes a truncated transcript (59); gna3AS (gpd1::gna3::gpd1); an antisense strain (this study); and gna3S (gpd1::gna3::gpd1; a strain expressing gna3 under control of the constitutive gpd1 promoter) (this study) were used throughout this study and maintained on malt extract agar. The uridine auxotrophic mutant strain H. jecorina TU-6 (ATCC MYA-256; Δpyr4) (25) was maintained on malt extract agar supplemented with 10 mM uridine (Sigma-Aldrich Co.). The recombinant gna3QL and -2 mutant strains (Δpyr4; gna3Q206L::Δpyr4+) (this study) were maintained on selective minimal medium [1 g/liter MgSO₄ · 7H₂O, 10 g/liter 1% KH₂PO₄, 6 g/liter (NH₄)₂SO₄, 3 g/liter trisodium citrate · 2H₂O, 10 g/liter glucose, 20 mL/liter 50× trace elements solution (0.25 g/liter FeSO₄ · 7H₂O, 0.07 g/liter ZnSO₄ · 2H₂O, 0.1 g/liter CoCl₂ · 6H₂O, 0.085 g/liter MnSO₄ · H₂O), 2% (wt/vol) agar] (all chemicals were from Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). Strains were grown in 1-liter Erlenmeyer flasks at 28°C on a rotary shaker (200 rpm) in 200 mL of minimal medium as described by Mandels and Androctti (47), which were supplemented with 0.1% (wt/vol) peptone to induce germination and 1% (wt/vol) of microcrystalline cellulose (no. 14204; Serva, Heidelberg, Germany) or glycerol (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) as a carbon source. For cultivation of the uridine auxotrophic strain TU-6, the medium was supplemented with 10 mM uridine. When TU-6 was used as a control for other strains, they were also supplemented with 10 mM uridine under the same conditions. To activate the wild-type template DNA, we used 10 mCi/liter of 10⁶ conidia/liter (final concentration) were used as the inoculum.

Strains were grown either in the presence of constant illumination (25 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹; 1,800 lx) or in constant darkness. In the latter case, cultures were harvested under a red safety light. Genomic DNA was isolated as described previously (81). For Northern blotting, total RNA was isolated by the guanidinium-phenol procedure (14, 61). Standard methods (57) were used for electrophoresis, blotting, and hybridization of nuclear acids. The probes used for hybridization were as follows: for gna3, the 3.2-kb EcoRI/XbaI fragment (all restriction enzymes were from Fermentas, Vilnius, Lithuania unless stated otherwise) introduced into pBna3Q206L (see below); a 1.2-kb cbh1 fragment generated by PCR amplification with primers cbh1SF and cbh1SR; and a 1.0-kb cbh2 fragment generated by PCR amplification with primers cbh2SF and cbh2SR. Primers for amplification of PCR fragments to be used for analysis of transcript abundance of cbh1, cbh2, em1, em2, trb1RF, and trb1R, trb2RF and trb2R, and env1neu1F and env1neu1R, respectively. For 18S RNA hybridization, primers 18SRR and 18SRR were used for amplification of the probe. Sequences of the oligonucleotides used are given in Table 1.

Densitometric scanning of autoradiograms was done using the Bio-Rad GS-800 calibrated densitometer for three different exposures and two independent experiments. Measurements were normalized to 18S RNA signals. As a control, the wild-type strain was included in every cultivation and subsequent Northern analysis to allow for comparative evaluation of the data obtained.

Cloning of gna3 and construction of an H. jecorina gna3QL strain. Using sequence information for the corresponding gene from Trichoderma atroviride (81), a gna3 orthologue was identified in the genome sequence database of H. jecorina (http://genome.jgi-psf.org/Trire2/Trire2.home.html), and its sequence was used to design primers for amplification and cloning.

For construction of a modified copy for expression of a constitutively activated version of GNA3, an overlap extension mutagenesis approach was used. This procedure resulted in the single amino acid modification Q206L. A corresponding mutation has been reported to impair the intrinsic GTPase activity of this protein in mammals (5). Similar mutations were introduced into other fungi in order to assess the function of Gα subunits (48, 52, 65). Four oligonucleotides (Fig. 1A; Table 1) were designed based on the genomic sequence to generate the desired mutation in three steps. In a first PCR, primers gna3aa5F and gna3aa5NR containing the mutation, were used to amplify the 1,386-bp 3' coding region of gna3. The resulting PCR product was cloned into EcoRI-XbaI sites of pBluescript SK+ (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA), thereby generating pBgna3Q206L. The recombinant was then used as a template to amplify the 1,919-bp 5' region. In a second PCR, primers gna3aa3F and gna3aa3R, which contain the mutation to be integrated into the wild-type template DNA, were used to amplify the 3,270-bp fragment bearing the intended mutation as well as approximately 1 kb of 3' and 5' flanking regions by using the two nested primers gna3aa5NF and gna3aa5NR, which in addition contains an artificial EcoRI and XbaI restriction site to facilitate cloning. The PCR product was cloned into EcoRI/XbaI sites of plUSBsequent SK+ (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA), thereby generating pBna3Q206L. The gna3 coding region was completely sequenced to ensure that only the desired mutations had been introduced. After linearization with SacI, which cuts within the backbone of plUSBsequent, 10 µg of this fragment was used to transform protoplasts of H. jecorina TU-6 in cotransformation with 2 µg of a 2.7-kb Sall fragment excised from vector pFG1 (25), conferring uridine prototrophy. Transformants were selected on minimal medium as described above. Stable transformants were obtained by at least two rounds of single-spore isolation or three rounds of transfer to select medium lacking uridine in the case of the nonsporulating mutants. Integration into the H. jecorina genome and copy number were analyzed by Southern blotting using EcoRI and XbaI, which had been introduced to facilitate cloning, thereby showing the presence of the cassette by the presence of an additional 3.2-kb band (Fig. 1B). The presence of the 8,843-bp wild-type EcoRI/XbaI band in both wild-type and mutant strains confirmed that the cassette integrated ectopically. Southern blotting using HindIII, which has only one restriction site within the cassette and one within the vector backbone, revealed

---

| Table 1. Oligonucleotides used in this study |
|---------------------------------------------|
| Oligonucleotide | Sequence* |
|----------------|----------|
| cbh1SF          | 5'-TCGGGCTGACATGCTTCAATC-3' |
| cbh1SR          | 5'-TGGAGTCCAGCAACGACATG-3' |
| cbh2SF          | 5'-ATTCCTCAAGCCTGCTGACAC-3' |
| cbh2SR          | 5'-CAGCCTATTTGGAATCTCAG-3' |
| trb1RF          | 5'-TGTTGGCTTGGTCTGTG-3' |
| trb1R           | 5'-GACGGATATGCTTGGACC-3' |
| env1neu1F       | 5'-ATGCCCCGGGTTGACATTAACCC-3' |
| env1neu1R       | 5'-ACGCACTTACATGGAATCTC-3' |
| 18SRF           | 5'-GTGGGAATGATTTGTTCG-3' |
| gna3aa5R        | 5'-CCACTTCTTCGGCTACTCGTACC-3' |
| gna3aa5F        | 5'-GTTTTGCCCCGATTGAAG-3' |
| gna3aa3R        | 5'-ATAATGCTCCACGGCCAATTC-3' |
| gna3aa3F        | 5'-GGCTACGAGGAGCCGGAAGAAATG-3' |
| gna3aa5NF       | 5'-ATGAAATCAGCCGGCAATCTTGTG-3' |
| gna3aa3NR       | 5'-AAATGAGAAGAAGAAATC-3' |
| gna3cDNA1F      | 5'-ACGGCAATGCGCTACCTCCG-3' |
| gna3cDNA1R      | 5'-ATGGGAAAGAACGCTATG-3' |
| gpd1F           | 5'-GAGGAGTCATTCACTACATCAAA-3' |
| gna3ASF         | 5'-ACCGAGTCAAAAGAAGCAATAAG-3' |
| gna3ASR         | 5'-ATGAGATGCGACCTGCTC-3' |

* Mutated bases are in bold, and artificially introduced restriction sites are underlined.
that one copy had integrated ectopically due to the additional 1.6-kb band resulting from integration of the cassette and only one additional band resulting from integration of the locus, with this band being slightly different for gna3QL1 and gna3QL2 (Fig. 1B). Two strains bearing a single ectopically integrated copy of the constitutively activated gna3 gene were chosen for further analysis. In order to confirm the actual expression of the additional copy, cDNA fragments of gna3QL1 grown for 72 h on microcrystalline cellulose as described above were amplified and cloned into pGEM-T Easy (Promega, Madison, WI). Sequencing of the resulting plasmids confirmed an approximately equal representation of amplified and cloned into pGEM-T Easy (Promega, Madison, WI). Sequencing hph hph pGEM-T Easy, resulting in plasmids pgna3CS (sense) and pgna3CAS (antisense). These plasmids were digested using BamHI and ligated to a fragment excised from pgna3CS or pgna3CAS by digestion with EcoRI, which was present in all three strains. Only the mutant strains contain the 3.2-kb fragment resulting from the artificially introduced restriction sites.

**RESULTS**

Characterization of H. jecorina gna3. The gna3 gene consists of a predicted 1,423-bp open reading frame interrupted by five introns, which encodes a protein of 356 amino acids (Fig. 1A). The amino acid sequence of GNA3 (GenBank accession number ABJ55985) shares 97% identity with Tga3 (GenBank accession number AAM69919) of Hypocre a atroviridis (Tri choderma atroviride) and has high identity to other fungal G proteins (91% to Gibberella zeae [Fusarium graminearum] GP-3 alpha [AA76506.1], 88% to Maygnaphoon grisea MAGA [AAB65425.1], 86% to N. crassa GNA-3 [AAG21364], and 77% to Aspergillus nidulans GnaB [AAF12813]). Neighbour-joining analysis of the amino acid sequences of H. jecorina GNA3 and of these proteins, together with other fungal G-alpha proteins, provided evidence that GNA3 is an orthologue of these genes (58).

Analysis of the gna3 cDNA by RT-PCR confirmed the model provided in the Trichoderma reesi genome database v2.0 (http://genome.jgi-psf.org/Trire2/Trire2.home.html) with five introns. Following the guidelines defined for the H. jecorina genome annotation, which recommends the use of N. crassa gene names when the orthologue has already been characterized, we named this G-alpha protein GNA3.

Promoter analysis of 1 kb upstream of the predicted gna3 translational start codon revealed a CCAAT box at −120, eight CRE1-binding sites (5′ SYGGGRG 3′) (among them one double site as described previously [15]), and the sequence 5′ CTGTCGTGTCGTGTCGTGTCGTG 3′ at −774, comprising five overlapping EUM1-binding motifs (5′ CTGTCGTGTCGTGTCGTGTCGTG 3′) and a single EUM1-binding site at −924. The EUM1-binding motif has recently been described to occur in genes regulated by light, especially in Neurospora crassa vvd as well as in H. jecorina env1 (59). Further, the gna3 promoter also com-
prises two motifs (at −808 and −851 relative to the ATG) which can be recognized by SRY family HMG box DNA-binding proteins (5′ CAAAG 3′) (4).

Characterization of the *H. jecorina* gna3 genomic locus. Analysis of the gna3 sequence in the *Trichoderma reesei* genome database v2.0 revealed that gna3 is present as a single copy, located on scaffold 2, and is flanked downstream by gph1, a gene encoding a glycosyl hydrolase family 35 protein, which is the only member of this family in *H. jecorina* and encodes an orthologue of the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* glycolgen phosphorylase Gph1p (NP_015486; 61% amino acid identity) required for the mobilization of glycogen (Fig. 2). Downstream of gph1 is located the tmk3 gene (encoding mitogen-activated protein [MAP] kinase), which encodes the orthologue of *S. cerevisiae* HOG1 involved in osmosensing (Fig. 2). Interestingly, the activity of Gph1p is regulated by cAMP-mediated phosphorylation (40), and its expression is regulated by the HOG-MAP kinase pathway (71). These findings suggest that these three genes may constitute a functional gene cluster. For simplicity we name the proposed cluster MGG (MAP kinase, glycolgen phosphorylase, G protein). BLAST searches against numerous fungal genomes (http://www.broad.mit.edu/annotation/fungi/; http://genome.jgi-psf.org/) revealed that this clustering of gna3, gph1, and tmk3 is syntenic in *Hypocrea atroviridis* (*Trichoderma atroviride*), *Hypocrea virens* (*Trichoderma virens*), Gibberella zeae (*Fusarium graminearum*), Gibberella moniliformis (*Fusarium verticillioides*), *Fusarium oxysporum*, and *Magnaporthe grisea*, thus further substantiating this hypothesis. In *Emericella nidulans* (*Aspergillus nidulans*), *Aspergillus niger*, and *Aspergillus fumigatus*, the order of the genes in this cluster is different (Fig. 2), while in *N. crassa* only Hog1p and the Gph1p orthologue are located nearby, whereas the G-alpha protein GNA3 is located on a different contig. In *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *Rhizopus oryzae*, *Phanerochaete chrysosporium*, *Laccaria bicolor*, and *Cryptococcus neoformans*, orthologous genes are present but unlinked. In *Coprinopsis cinerea*, a MAP kinase is located next to the Gph1p orthologue, but it shares highest similarity with Fus7p. *Ustilago maydis* seems to lack an orthologue of Gph1p but has a hypothetical protein with low similarity to a glycosyltransferase family 35 protein in the vicinity of the TMK3 orthologue. In *Phycomyces blakesleeanus* also, no Gph1p orthologue was detected and the loci of the Hog1p and GNA3 orthologues are unlinked, but interestingly, a MAP kinase orthologue is located next to the GNA3 orthologue (for genomic coordinates and characterized orthologues of the respective genes, see Table S1 in the supplemental material). Phylogenetic analysis of the orthologues of GNA3, GPH1, and TMK3 did not reveal striking peculiarities and showed evolutionary relationships as expected for these organisms (data not shown).

**gna3** transcription is stimulated by light. As a prerequisite to studying a possible function of gna3 in cellulase induction, we first investigated whether gna3 would be expressed under the conditions relevant for this study. In order to be able to relate these data to subsequent analyses of cellulase gene transcription, cellulose was used as a carbon source. Since light has been shown to enhance cellulase gene transcription, all experiments were done both in the presence of light and in darkness (59). Figure 3A shows that expression of gna3 was influenced by the presence of light; whereas the transcript was below the detection limit in darkness, it accumulated in the presence of light. In order to test whether the light modulator protein ENV1, which was previously shown by us to be responsible for the upregulation of expression of several genes by light in *H. jecorina* (62), was involved in this light regulation of gna3 transcription, we compared gna3 transcript accumulation in the parent strain QM 9414 and the env1 mutant strain (59). Figure 3A shows that the env1 mutant strain accumulates the gna3 transcript also in the dark, whereas the transcript was below the detection limit in darkness, it accumulated in the presence of light. In order to test whether the light modulator protein ENV1, which was previously shown by us to be responsible for the upregulation of expression of several genes by light in *H. jecorina* (62), was involved in this light regulation of gna3 transcription, we compared gna3 transcript accumulation in the parent strain QM 9414 and the env1 mutant strain (59). Figure 3A shows that the env1 mutant strain accumulates the gna3 transcript also in the dark, whereas the transcript was hardly detectable in light. The clear difference from the wild-type strain in darkness indicates that gna3 transcription is indeed influenced by ENV1. However, due to the decreased light tolerance of the env1− strain and the consequent slow growth observed in light (59), we cannot rule out that despite the clear difference in darkness in the env1− strain, regulation of gna3 in light on cellulose might be comparable to that for the wild-type. In order to analyze a potential short-term light response of the gna3 transcript, the cellulase-noninducing carbon source glycerol and shorter periods of illumination instead of steady-state conditions as applied for cellulose were used (Fig. 3B). These conditions also allowed for comparison with the results of a study by Schuster et al., which reported...
different darkness- and light-related functions of ENVOY (62). Stimulation of gna3 gene expression on glycerol occurred within 30 min of illumination and reached a peak after 60 min (Fig. 3B). Thereafter transcript levels decreased until they were close to the initial level after 4 h. An essentially similar pattern was observed in the env1PAS- strain, but transcript levels are clearly increased. We therefore conclude that GNA3 belongs to the category of light-regulated genes which are repressed by env1 in darkness, as shown by Schuster et al. (62).

**Generation of an H. jecorina mutant carrying a constitutively activated gna3 allele.** In order to learn whether GNA3 is involved in transmitting the cellulose signal to cellulase gene transcription, we first produced a mutant strain which carried a gna3 mutant allele whose product is locked in the active GTP-bound state, resulting in a constitutively activated protein and permanent signal transmission. To this end, Q206 was replaced by L. Analogous mutations in mammalian Gos and Goi subunits have been shown to lower the GTPase activity, and thus these mutants cannot return to the inactive state. In fungi such mutations have been used to analyze the function of G-alpha subunits (48, 52, 65). Segers and Nuss (65) showed that ectopic integration of the mutated allele results in the same phenotype as integration in a deletion mutant of the respective G-alpha subunit. Thus, the activated G-alpha allele is considered dominant over the wild-type allele. Cotransformation of the uridine auxotrophic H. jecorina strain TU-6 with a respectively altered copy of gna3 and the pyr4 marker cassette (25) conferring uridine prototrophy resulted in two different transformants which contained a single additional copy of the constitutively activated allele (Fig. 1A), which yielded consistent results in all further experiments. Data from only one of them are given in this paper.

**Phenotype of H. jecorina mutants bearing a constitutively activated gna3 allele.** The transcription pattern of gna3 was not altered in the H. jecorina mutant gna3QL, as its transcript still accumulated in the presence of light but remained below the detection limit in darkness (Fig. 3A). Densitometry of the gna3 transcript in the parent strain and the gna3QL mutant showed that it is about threefold more abundant in the latter. Since both alleles are present in single copies, this disproportional accumulation may indicate feedback regulation by active GNA3. Sequencing of several random cDNA clones of gna3QL further confirmed that the wild-type and mutant alleles are transcribed in approximately equal proportions in this strain.

The gna3QL mutant showed considerably decreased sporulation (by approximately 60%) in comparison to the wild-type strain TU-6 on full medium (malt extract) and no sporulation at all on minimal medium with glucose as the sole carbon source. Vegetative growth, on the other hand, was not affected by the Q206L mutation, as shown by similar hyphal extension rates of the parent and the mutant strain on plates or in race tubes, in darkness and in light.

A constitutively activated GNA3 protein should permanently activate adenylate cyclase and hence increase the intracellular concentration of its product, cAMP. In order to verify that the Q206L mutation indeed results in this expected adenylate cyclase activation, we measured the intracellular cAMP concentration in the mutant and in the parent strain. In support of the hypothesis, mycelia of the wild-type strain TU-6 grown on malt extract plates in constant light showed intracellular cAMP levels of 18.2 ± 4.1 pmol/mg protein, whereas mycelia of the gna3QL strain grown under the same conditions contained 103.9 ± 24.2 pmol cAMP/mg protein.

**Constitutive activation of GNA3 does not lead to inducer-independent cellulase gene expression.** The availability of a mutant strain with a constitutively activated gna3 allele now allowed us to test the main hypothesis of this paper, i.e., that GNA3 may be involved in transmitting the signal from cellu-
lose to cellulase gene expression. If this hypothesis was correct, this mutant should form cellulases also in the absence of their inducer. We therefore cultivated the \textit{gna3} \textit{QL} mutant on the two noninducing carbon sources glucose and glycerol in the presence of light and in darkness. However, no cellulase mRNA (\textit{cbh1} or \textit{cbh2}) was detected, and the presence or absence of light had no influence on this result (data not shown). We note that carbon catabolite repression would not have interfered with this experiment, because it acts only on the basal expression level of \textit{cbh1} and not at all on \textit{cbh2} (82).

Thus, we conclude that constitutive activation of GNA3 does not result in inducer-independent cellulase gene expression.

GNA3 modulates the stimulation of cellulase gene expression by light. Although the above results caused us to reject the hypothesis of direct cellulose signaling by GNA3, we considered it still possible that GNA3 would modulate cellulase formation in response to another environmental cue in the presence of an inducer. We therefore investigated this by reverse genetics, using the \textit{gna3} \textit{QL} strain described above and a \textit{gna3} antisense strain, \textit{gna3} \textit{AS} (under the control of the \textit{H. jecorina} \textit{gpd1} promoter, which allows strong constitutive expression), in order to knock down expression of GNA3. RT-PCR showed that the transcript of \textit{gna3} was indeed decreased or even below the detection limit in Northern blots, i.e., after 96 h of growth in the same medium as mentioned above in constant darkness (DD) and additionally after 96 h in constant light (LL). \textit{gna3} is under the control of the constitutive \textit{gpd1} promoter in the \textit{gna3} \textit{AS} strain.

As expected, \textit{cAMP} levels in the \textit{gna3} \textit{AS} and \textit{gna3} \textit{S} strains after 72 h of growth in constant light or constant darkness on Mandels-Andreotti medium with cellulose as a carbon source relative to the wild-type strain (21.72 pmol/mg protein). (D) Conidiation of the \textit{gna3} \textit{AS} and \textit{gna3} \textit{S} strains relative to the wild type in light and darkness.
Cultivation of these two transgenic *H. jecorina* strains on cellulose in the dark and in the presence of light resulted in pronounced differences in the expression of the major cellulase gene *cbh1* depending on the presence or absence of light (Fig. 5A). In darkness, the patterns of *cbh1* gene expression were similar in the wild-type strain and in both mutants, demonstrating that *gna3* has no effect on cellulase formation under this condition. In the presence of light, however, *cbh1* gene expression showed a direct correlation with the putative in vivo activity of GNA3: while the *gna3* antisense strain showed a strongly delayed accumulation of the *cbh1* transcript, the *Q206L* mutant strain exhibited a significantly (10- to 12-fold) increased *cbh1* transcript abundance (Fig. 5B). Hence, the function of GNA3 directly correlates with cellulase gene expression under conditions of illumination.

**Stimulation of cbh1 gene expression by GNA3 requires the presence of light.** The finding that *gna3* itself requires illumination for expression at high levels (see above) raised the question of whether the light-dependent GNA3 stimulation of *cbh1* expression was due to the fact that *gna3* is transcribed at very low levels in darkness and thus is unable to stimulate cellulase formation under these conditions or, alternatively, whether GNA3 may modulate the response of cellulase gene expression to the signal to be transmitted by its related receptor only in light and in the presence of its inducer. In order to test this, we constructed a mutant strain which expresses *gna3* under control of the constitutive gpd1 promoter. This strain did not show altered growth compared to the wild type. RT-PCR showed that *gna3* is indeed expressed in this strain in darkness (Fig. 4B). However, analysis of cAMP levels in this strain revealed a lower level than in the wild type (Fig. 4C), thus suggesting that increased abundance of GNA3 does not necessarily result in increased cAMP levels but seems to influence cAMP levels in a more complex way. Cellulase gene expression in darkness remained unaffected in this strain (Fig. 5A). Under illumination, elevated transcript levels compared to those in the parent strain were detected (up to fourfold), which nevertheless did not reach the level seen in the *gna3QL* mutant (Fig. 5B). These data therefore indicate that GNA3 per se does not influence cellulase gene transcription but modulates the stimulation of *cbh1* gene transcription by light.

In order to test whether this effect of *gna3* is due to a stimulation of transcription of the light receptor genes *blr1*, *blr2*, and *env1* and thus controls the whole light signaling process, their transcripts were also monitored in the parent strain and the *gna3QL* mutant strain (Fig. 6). However, these data showed that these three genes display the same expression pattern in the *gna3QL* strain and the parent strain. Taking this together with the altered transcription pattern of *gna3* in the *env1PAS* mutant (see above), we therefore conclude that GNA3 does not influence transcription of the light receptor genes but rather acts downstream of the light perception machinery.

**DISCUSSION**

The main objective of this study was to investigate whether a G-protein/cAMP signaling pathway was involved in the transduction of a putative signal derived from the presence of cellulose in the substrate of *H. jecorina* to the nucleus and induced cellulase gene expression, as suggested by the data reported by Sestak and Farkas (66) and Wang and Nuss (75). GNA3 was selected as the candidate G protein because it belongs to subgroup III of G-protein alpha subunits, members of which have previously been shown to be involved in the control of cAMP concentrations in fungi (6). The amino acid sequence of *H. jecorina* GNA3 and the physiology of the mutants bearing the constitutively activated *gna3* allele of GNA3 (i.e., reduced condensation and an elevated intracellular cAMP content) are all in agreement with previous studies of other fungi, including other *Trichoderma* spp. (6, 37, 50, 81), and support the con-
conclusion that GNA3 is a functional homologue of the subgroup III G-alpha proteins.

The results obtained with strains bearing mutated alleles of gna3 allowed us to reject the hypothesis of direct cellulose signaling via GNA3, as a constitutively activated gna3 allele still did not initiate formation of cellulases in the absence of an inducer. This allows two alternative conclusions: either induction of cellulase formation does not at all involve a G-protein-coupled receptor for signal recruitment or another G protein is involved in this process. While this question must yet remain unanswered, our results nevertheless showed that GNA3 significantly stimulates cellulase gene expression under induced conditions and, moreover, that this effect is observed only in the presence of light and not in its absence. Since this obligatory dependence on the presence of light could not be overcome by overexpression of gna3 in the dark, we conclude that the prime stimulatory signal is either light or a light-specific signal and that GNA3 is a modulator of the subsequent response.

Effects of light on the physiology and metabolism of fungi have been observed widely. Orthologues of the N. crassa photoreceptor WHITE COLLAR-1 (WC-1) and the transcription factor WHITE COLLAR-2 (WC-2) (10, 18, 31, 32, 41, 54, 68, 72) are believed to act most upstream in this process. In addition, another blue light photoreceptor of N. crassa, VIVID, is regulated by the WC-1–WC-2 complex (28, 64). The H. atroviridis orthologues of WC-1 and WC-2, BLR1 and BLR2, are involved in both photoconidiation and mycelial growth in this organism (12). The downstream components transferring the light signal to the metabolic targets have not been identified, however. Our study makes it likely that GNA3 represents one of the downstream components in this cascade. First, the gna3 transcript is much more abundant in the presence of light and almost absent in darkness, implying that its function is required mainly under illuminated conditions. Further, expression of gna3 in H. jecorina is altered in an env1Pas− mutant strain, whereas its constitutive activation does not affect expression of env1 (and of neither bbl1 nor bbl2). This is consistent with the interpretation that gna3 acts downstream of ENV1 in the light signaling cascade and is thus involved in modulating the light response of cellulase gene expression. Moreover, our results on the light responsiveness of the gna3 transcript suggest that ENVOY may stabilize the gna3 transcript or, consistent with the known role of N. crassa VIVID in adaptation (63, 67), increase its rate of transcription in the light. The surprising lack of gna3 transcript under steady-state conditions in the env1Pas− strain on cellulose in light could be caused by a continued decrease of transcript levels after 4 h of illumination, resulting in a transcript abundance below the detection limit. Interestingly, the delayed cellulase gene transcription in the gna3 antisense mutant gna3AS resembles the situation in the env1Pas− mutant strain (59), thus supporting this hypothesis. In this regulatory process the influence of ENVOY on gna3 transcription is likely to involve further downstream factors and maybe also a feedback mechanism. One possible target would be the H. jecorina equivalent of the white collar complex described for N. crassa. Hence, ENVOY could act via activation of this transcription factor complex, causing alteration of transcription of gna3. On the other hand, while transcription of bbl1 and bbl2 is not altered by constitutive activation of GNA3, it cannot be excluded that GNA3 interacts with a complex of these proteins, which in turn may act on transcription of the cellulase genes. Alternatively, although so far shown only for higher eukaryotes (30, 76), light-dependent activation of a G-alpha protein without the involvement of an equivalent of the white collar complex also appears to be possible.

An involvement of G proteins in responses to blue light has earlier been indirectly shown for N. crassa (34), Phycomyces blakesleeanus (73), and Coprinus congregatus (38). Despite these hints as to a connection of G-alpha subunits to the light response pathway, regulation of G-alpha genes by light in other fungal species has not been reported so far. However, considering the fact that both BLR1 and BLR2 represent transcription factors, we cannot rule out that, as mentioned above, GNA3 acts not on transcription but on activity of these photoreceptors and thereby causes light-dependent cellulase regulation. It will be interesting to investigate whether GNA3 is also involved in other light-dependent processes in H. jecorina.

The light-dependent modulating effect of GNA3 on cellulase gene expression is reminiscent of the modulating effect of artificial increases in the intracellular concentration of cAMP on cellulase formation in H. jecorina (66). This treatment, while enhancing the rate of cellulase induction twofold, also did not overcome inducer dependency. Unfortunately, that study was not done under controlled conditions of illumination, and a strict comparison with the present data is therefore not possible. However, we have recently shown (20) that an artificial elevation of intracellular cAMP levels in the dark in another Trichoderma sp., H. atroviridis, mimicked the stimulatory effect of light. Illumination of T. viride also leads to a transient rise in cAMP levels and subsequent protein kinase A-dependent phosphorylation of several intracellular proteins in T. viride (24). These data suggest that at least some meta-
bolic effects caused by illumination are due to an increase in intracellular cAMP concentrations. We therefore consider it likely that the stimulation of cellulase gene expression by light in *H. jecorina* occurs at least partially by GNA3-dependent adenylate cyclase activation. Nevertheless, the fact that cAMP levels in the overexpressing strain hardly reach wild-type levels while the upregulation of *gna3* causes increased cellulase levels in light indicates that additional downstream effectors must be targeted by GNA3.

Orthologues of GNA3 have also been reported to play a role in sporulation in fungi (13, 37, 43, 81), although no consistent relationship to the impact of this G-alpha subunit on cAMP levels could be established. Phenotypes of mutant strains could not be mimicked or rescued consistently. For *H. jecorina*, sporulation in the mutants studied also cannot be correlated directly to the different cAMP levels. Consequently, while sporulation in *H. jecorina* is influenced by GNA3, this influence is not (solely) due to the effect of GNA3 on cAMP levels.

Interestingly, expression of the constitutively activated *gna3* allele led to disproportionally enhanced *gna3* transcript levels, which suggests the operation of feedback regulation. Similarly, the enhancement of *cbh1* gene transcription in the *gna3QL* mutant compared to the *gna3S* mutant (with *gna3* under the control of the constitutive *gpd1* promoter) over that in the parent strain shows a disproportional effect of the constitutively activated allele. This behavior could be explained by assuming that the activity of wild-type GNA3 is subject to negative regulation by a factor which is inactive on the constitutively activated GNA3. A possible candidate for this task would be the regulator of G-protein signaling (RGS) proteins, which enhance the intrinsic GTPase activity of G-alpha subunits (29). Because of the abolished GTPase activity in the constitutively activated GNA3 as expressed in the *gna3QL* mutant, this function would no longer be effective and thus could be responsible for the observed differences. In fact, the respective protein of *Magnaporthe grisea*, Rgs1, was shown to negatively regulate all three G-alpha subunits, including MagA, the orthologue of GNA3 (42). In this fungus, constitutive activation of MagA, caused by the introduction of an allele similar to that used in this work [magA(Q208L)], resulted in a phenotype similar to that caused by deletion of Rgs1. Regulation of activity of G-alpha subunits by RGS proteins has also been reported for *Aspergillus nidulans* (26) and *Aspergillus fumigatus* (46). Since an orthologue of Rgs1 is present in the genome of *H. jecorina* (RGS1; http://genome.jgi-psf.org/Trire2/Trire2.home.html), we consider the operation of this regulation in this fungus to be very likely and to be a plausible interpretation of our data. Also, such a mechanism would at least in part explain why the cAMP levels in the overexpressing strain do not exceed those in the wild type.

Investigation of *gna3*’s neighboring genes in the genome revealed that it is located in tandem with *gph1*, an orthologue of *S. cerevisiae* GPH1 encoding a glycogen phosphorylase whose activity is regulated by cAMP-mediated phosphorylation, and *tmk3*, encoding the *H. jecorina* orthologue of the *S. cerevisiae* HOG1 MAP kinase. The 5′ nontranslated sequence of *tmk3* contains a single copy of the EUM1 motif, is positively regulated by light as is *gna3*, and is influenced by ENVYOY (62). On the other hand, within the promoter of *gph1* no EUM1 sequence was detected, and this gene is downregulated by light. However, for this gene also a regulatory impact of ENVYOY was found (62). Although these data indicate a connection of both genes to light-dependent signaling events, further studies will be necessary to understand the regulatory interdependence and the downstream targets of the genes within this cluster. The three genes within the proposed MGG cluster are syntenic in several sordariomycetes (*Fusarium* spp., *Magnaporthe grisea*, and *Hypocreara* spp., but not *N. crassa*), which are the phylogenetically the closest fungi to *H. jecorina*, but are still clustered in *Aspergillus* spp. (eurotymycetes), albeit in a different order. The cluster could not be detected in *S. cerevisiae*, *Phycomyces blakesleeanus*, *Rhizopus oryzae*, and several basidiomycetes. We conclude that the MGG cluster is specific to ascomycetes, although hints as to a nonincidental proximity of G-alpha subunits, Gph1p orthologues, and MAP kinases have also been detected in basidiomycetes and zygomycetes.

The clustering of these three genes within the proposed MGG cluster may be functionally relevant, because yeast GPH1 is regulated by the HOG-MAP kinase pathway (71), and consequently this clustering may be indicative of a joint function in glycogen mobilization. Clustering of functionally related genes is a common feature in many eukaryotic regulatory pathways, including the nitrate assimilation cluster in *Aspergillus* (1, 36) and the gene clusters regulating secondary metabolite biosynthesis (8, 9, 23). The genes of such clusters are often coregulated, not least because of their common susceptibility to changes in chromatin rearrangement (74). In yeast, G-protein-mediated signal transduction and the HOG1 MAP kinase pathway are linked (51), and it is also known that G-protein-coupled receptors can affect both G-protein-mediated pathways and MAP kinase cascades (44, 45). Hints about a physiological role of MGG come from the finding that the glycogen content of *Trichoderma* is degraded upon illumination (19). Glycogen degradation requires the action of the GPH1 glycogen phosphorylase I and causes formation of glucose-6-phosphate, which is involved in regulating cellulase synthesis in *H. jecorina* (66).

To summarize, our study, while not supporting the hypothesis that direct cellulase signaling in *H. jecorina* involves GNA3, has revealed a new role of GNA3 in stimulation of a light-responsive process in this fungus. This striking dependence of the effect of GNA3 of light renders the hypothesis of light gating of cellulose signaling/regulation involving the regulatory function of ENVYOY and/or GNA3 worth considering. It will be interesting to learn whether the other G proteins of this fungus are also involved in light-dependent phenomena and whether any of the other G proteins is involved in cellulase gene induction. Given the numerous reports on effects of light on other fungi, it is likely that the functions of GNA3 orthologues in these fungi also involve an influence of light.
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