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Abstract

Advanced ceramic is usually joined to metal by the well-known direct brazing process, where costly active filler alloys can be considered a limitation. Brazing using active-metal-free filler alloy as insert between the joint components is an attempt to overcome it. The active metal diffusion from the titanium member through the bulk of molten filler to the ceramic was responsible to produce an active filler alloy in loco and promote reduction of the zirconium oxide to improve wetting on the ceramic surface. Unalloyed titanium was joined in a high-vacuum furnace (<3x10⁻⁵ mbar) to yttria-tetragonal zirconia polycrystals (Y-TZP) and zirconia partially stabilized with magnesia (Mg-PSZ), where commercial fillers Ag-28Cu and Au-18Ni with respective thermal cycles were evaluated. Helium gas leak detection test was performed at the ceramic/metal interface at room temperature; samples from reliable vacuum tight joints were examined by microstructural analysis techniques and energy dispersive X-ray analysis at the joint cross-section. Tight joints were produced with eutectic Ag-Cu filler, revealing an intermetallic layer and a dark reaction layer near the ceramic surface; titanium diffusion was efficient for superficial chemical interactions between individual components. Brazing joints were also tested using three-point flexure testing.
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1. Introduction

Advanced ceramics are actually increasingly important as engineering materials either as structural or electrical materials for electronic devices. Ceramics are both expensive and difficult to fabricate into large or complex shaped components. To overcome these disadvantages, their technical application strongly depends on the reliability of joining techniques especially with metals or metal alloys to produce new hybrid components.

Zirconia ceramic exhibits three well-defined polymorphs at atmospheric pressure: monoclinic (m-\(\text{ZrO}_2\)) at room temperature; tetragonal (t-\(\text{ZrO}_2\)) with increasing temperature above \(\approx 1170^\circ\)C; and then cubic structure (c-\(\text{ZrO}_2\)), starting at about 2360°C, with melting temperature by 2716°C [1,2]. A suitable tetragonal-monoclinic (t \(\rightarrow\) m) transformation is important to promote high abrasion strength associated to better mechanical properties.
Additions of specific metal oxides such as calcia (CaO), magnesia (MgO) or yttria (usually 3.0-4.5 mol% or equivalent $\approx$6-8 wt.% Y$_2$O$_3$) and controlled heat treatment are crucial to overcome the increased volume (3-5%) typical of pure zirconia caused by $t \rightarrow m$ transformation, which is similar to martensite formation when steel is quenched [1,3,4]. Induced stresses are sufficient to produce spontaneous cracking in pure zirconia under cooling from its firing temperature. High corrosion strength at elevated temperatures and higher mechanical strength and fracture toughness compared to other dense ceramics are important properties in a large technical application, ranging from cutting and machining tools, gas turbines to oxygen sensors and solid oxide fuel cells [5-7].

Joining zirconia to titanium or titanium alloys shows attractive features to produce potential joints due to mutual structural/ aerospace and biomedical applications; and their linear thermal expansion coefficients ($\alpha$-CTE) are closely matched, which is crucial to accommodate residual stresses produced at the joint interface [1,8]. For instance, partially stabilized zirconia (PSZ) and unalloyed zirconia (ASTM Grade 4, annealed) have similar $\alpha$-CTE values ($\approx$10 x $10^{-6}$/°C and $\approx$11 x $10^{-6}$/°C respectively) [9].

Techniques available to fabricate reliable ceramic/metal joints for structural applications have been studied and attention has been given to parameters of both direct and indirect bonding using liquid- and solid-phase materials. Active metal brazing and diffusion bonding have been continuously investigated for joining ceramics either to themselves or to metals because these techniques provide good strength and heat resistance [8,10-12].

Brazing is a flexible one-step process that has been a good technological solution for joining dissimilar materials using filler alloys containing active metals such as Ta, Nb, Zr, V or especially Ti [1,5,6,9]. The role of an active metal is to reduce the main oxide of the ceramic composition and promote wetting on the ceramic surface by the molten filler alloy to obtain sound chemical bonding with the metallic member. Moreover, the presence of an active metal with thermodynamic affinity for oxygen is crucial. However, excessive reduction reactions can produce a reaction layer with undesirable intermetallic phases, reducing mechanical properties of the brazing joint [13]. The higher costs of active fillers combined to the limited concentration of active metals in their composition have led to alternative joining approaches, such as previous metallization of the ceramic surface with an active metal and subsequent indirect brazing process [14,15].

Several techniques for ceramic metallization have been reported, such as plasma spray, physical and chemical vapor deposition (PVD and CVD process) and electrochemical deposition [13,16]. Furthermore, the well-known Mo-Mn process is inappropriate for zirconia metallization because it does not have a glassy intergranular phase, which is a key factor for successful bonding between metal and the ceramic substrate [1,10]. Nevertheless, the techniques previously mentioned have the limitation of being more expensive and laborious than active metal brazing.

This study was aimed at the joining of zirconia to unalloyed titanium using an experimental method based on brazing process. Active-metal-free filler alloys were tested as inserts between dissimilar contact surfaces to minimize residual stresses during post-fabrication cooling due to eventual $\alpha$-CTE mismatch of the couple. Moreover, the active metal responsible for the superficial reducing of zirconia ceramic was properly supplied by Ti diffusion from the metallic member to the ceramic surface. Characterization of the microstructure at the resultant interface and evaluation of the mechanical strength by three-point bend testing were also performed.

2. Experimental Procedures

Ceramic rods of $\varnothing$8.0 mm of yttria-tetragonal zirconia polycrystals (Y-TZP, $\approx$5%Y$_2$O$_3$, %wt.) and zirconia partially stabilized with MgO (Mg-PSZ, $\approx$4% MgO, %wt.) were supplied by Engecer Ltda. (Brazil). The metallic member consisted of unalloyed titanium (ASTM Grade 4, annealed). Table 1 shows some properties for both zirconia ceramics and metallic base materials.

Active-metal-free filler alloys with 50 μm of thickness used as inserts were VH780 (Ag-28Cu) and VH950 (Au-18Ni), supplied by BrazeTec (Umicore AG & Co.KG). The eutectic Ag-Cu based filler alloy is usually preferred for brazing ceramic to ceramic or to metals because it is chemically inert and ductile, which reduces the oxidation behavior at the interface and therefore minimizes residual stresses during cooling at the end of the joining process [7-9,12]. With respect to Au-18Ni filler, gold has excellent thermal conductivity (315 W/m.K) and resistance to oxidation, with very high ductility, which is also beneficial to accommodate thermal stresses via interfacial plastic flow [6]. An obvious consideration about fillers is that they must have their liquidus temperature higher than the work temperature of the brazing joint, but lower than the component’s melting temperature.

Moreover, zirconia stabilized with yttria or magnesia is wet and strongly bonded by the eutectic Ag-Cu filler alloy even with small Ti contents in its composition [9].

Titanium specimens were manually cleaned with ethanol to remove machining residues and later ultrasonically cleaned in ethanol bath. Ceramic surfaces were polished with 1 μm diamond and cleaned in ultrasonic acetone bath for 10 minutes. Brazing of zirconia (ZrO$_2$) to titanium was made under high-vacuum higher than 3x10$^{-5}$ mbar (Pfeiffer, MOV262), using a simple butt-joint configuration according to scheme shown in Fig. 1. This configuration was also useful for helium gas leak detection at room temperature (Inficon UL200 equipment) in accordance to DIN EN 1779 standard.

Each joint assemble was submitted to a pressure of approximately 90 kPa on its top by using a small mass on top of a set of 4 samples, which were fixed together to avoid eventual misalignments. Tests were executed three times for each group of base materials and fillers for its respective experimental conditions.
Table 1. Properties of the base materials and filler alloys used in the study (manufacturer’s data).

| Properties                        | Y-TZP ceramic | Mg-PSZ ceramic | Titanium | VH780 (Ag-28Cu) | VH950 (Au-18Ni) |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|----------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Purity / Composition (wt.%)       | 99.0-99.2% / 5.0-10.0% Y₂O₃, ZrO₂ balance | 99.0-99.2% / 3.0-4.5% MgO, ZrO₂ 90.0-95.0% | ASTM Grade 4 | 71.0-73.0% Ag Cu balance | 81.5-82.5%Au 17.5-18.5%Ni |
| Apparent density, 20°C (g/cm³)    | 5.5-6.1       | 5.1-5.6        | 4.5      | 10.0            | 15.96           |
| Linear CTE (x10⁶ °C⁻¹)           | 10.4 (25-1000°C) | 10.0-10.1 (25-1000°C) | 9.2 (20-250°C) | 17.8 (20-400°C) | 14.6 (20-550°C) |
| E modulus (GPa)                   | 206           | 204            | 105      | 100             | –               |
| Hardness (HRC)                    | 83            | 76             | 23       | –               | –               |
| T working (°C)                    | 1200          | 1000           | –        | 780 (eutectic)  | 950 (eutectic)  |

The standard thermal cycle used for the joining process tested in this study is shown in Fig. 2. There are two temperature homogenization levels, 700°C and 750°C, and the third level (soaking 10 min) is specific for brazing temperature, depending on the chosen filler/insert. Usually, the temperatures selected for experimental activities can be at least 30-50°C over the liquidus temperature of the filler alloy [1,8]. Tests for ZrO₂/Ag-28Cu/Ti and ZrO₂/Au-18Ni/Ti joint assemblies were made at 820°C and 980°C, respectively.

Samples were selected from vacuum tight joints for microstructural analysis at the ceramic/filler interface: mounted in epoxy and cut on low-speed diamond wire; grinded using diamond grinding paper (76 μm, 40 μm, 20 μm, 10 μm); polished on diamond suspension (6 μm and 3 μm) and submitted to final polishing using 0.025 μm SiO₂ colloidal silica polishing suspension. The cross-section of the joint interface was analyzed by optical and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) using back-scattered electron image (BEI). It was used a Shimadzu SSX-
550 equipment equipped with linescan technique by energy dispersive X-ray analysis (EDX), operating voltage of 20 kV; and JEOL JSM-6390LV equipped with Thermo Scientific equipment.

Another group of tight brazed joints was submitted to three-point flexure – eight samples were tested for each analyzed experimental condition, including a standard deviation results. It was used an EMIC DL-3000 Universal Materials Testing Machine with 5 kN load cell and cross head speed of 0.5 mm/min (see schematic illustration in Fig. 3), according to ASTM C1161-02c standard.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Vacuum tightness of the joints

Results of vacuum tightness of ceramic/metal joints at room temperature are summarized in Table 2. Leak rates bellow 10^-8 mbar.f.s^{-1} were considered satisfactory for ZrO₂/Ag-28Cu/Ti joints using both Y-TZP and Mg-PSZ ceramic. In this case, it was suggested that Ti diffusion from the metallic member possibly allowed reduction reactions of zirconium oxide on the ceramic surface due to the joining process. It is believed that the addition of Ti to Ag-28Cu filler alloy promotes drastically lower contacts, improving wetting and adhesion on the ceramic substrate [1,5,6,10]. Moreover, reliable vacuum tight joints from this group were selected for microstructural analysis.

The joining process for ZrO₂/Au-18Ni/Ti joints was considered not possible because the joint assemblies were weakly joined in the end of process. It was suggested that Ti diffusion across the molten filler alloy towards the ceramic surface did not result in Au-Ni active solid solution sufficiently capable for reducing zirconium oxide. Probably it inhibited the formation of a reaction layer to enhance sound bonding between the ceramic and the metallic member. Nascimento et al. [15] had concluded that no reaction layer was observed upon brazing alumina previously metallized with Ti to Fe-Ni-Co alloy using the Au-18Ni filler alloy. In addition, low tightness and poor joining process were observed for both types of zirconia ceramic when the Au-18Ni filler was used in the joint assemblies.

| Joints                  | Leak rate (mbar.f.s^{-1}) |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Y-TZP/Ag-28Cu/Ti        | < 10^-8                   |
| Mg-PSZ/Ag-28Cu/Ti       |                           |
| Brazing at 980°C/10 min, VH980 (Au-18Ni) |         |
| Joints                  |                            |
| Y-TZP/Au-18Ni/Ti        | No brazing                |
| Mg-PSZ/Au-18Ni/Ti       |                           |

Schröder et al. [17] consider that the maximum allowable leak rate for most cases of technical application is 10^-6-10^-8 mbar.f.s^{-1}. These researchers suggested that leak values bellow 10^-8 mbar.f.s^{-1} may classify components as vacuum tight, which means that there would be approximately 3 ml of He gas loss within 1 year through a discontinuity diameter = 0.4 μm. They also suggested that only extremely low leak rates (<10^-10 mbar.f.s^{-1}) can technically classify vacuum tight components.

Knowledge on the wetting behavior using selected fillers was important for the development of this joining process, which is a key factor to produce sound ceramic/metal joints. The selected temperatures of 820°C and 980°C were expected to be optimum using the eutectic Ag-28Cu and Au-18Ni filler alloy for improving wetting on the ceramic surface. Generally, these temperatures for experimental activities are at least 30-50°C over the liquidus of fillers [1]. For instance, researches have already reported that active brazing temperatures around 820°C ensure the formation of a continuous reaction layer between the ceramic and the filler alloy in the case of brazed PSZ/Ti joints [5,9,18]. Moreover, these temperature values are interesting to prevent excessive grain growth in β-phase field of the unalloyed titanium because α → β transus temperature is 950°C [19].

3.2. Darkening effect in zirconia ceramic

The darkening in zirconia near the joined ceramic/metal interface is shown in Fig. 4. Visually, this dark area was notably more pronounced in Mg-PSZ than in Y-TZP ceramic using the same thermal cycle with Ag-28Cu filler for brazing tests. It was just observed that at higher temperatures in separated test at 880°C, darkening was a little more extensive from the joined interface using the materials mentioned before. The darkening effect and its consequences about mechanical properties in zirconia ceramic were not investigated here.

Researchers have already reported this typical darkening effect in zirconia at the ceramic/metal joints interface for active brazing with commercial fillers containing Ti as active metal [6,12,17]. It has been proposed that this phenomenon is attributed to the formation of non-stoichiometric zirconia (ZrO₂-X, where 0<X<0.02), in which zirconia may lose oxygen due to the high chemical affinity of active metal for oxygen, which leads to O-deficient area that appears dark [8,9]. The darkening effect in zirconia ceramic can be influenced by some factors [1,20]: permanence time at brazing temperature; substrate to which zirconia is being joined; percentage of active metal in the filler composition; and structure ceramic associated to the working condition; and structure ceramic associated to the working
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3.3. Mechanical properties of joints

The mechanical strength of the tight \( \text{ZrO}_2/\text{Ag-28Cu}/\text{Ti} \) joints for brazing tests at 820°C/10 min was evaluated by three-point flexure tests. Samples were strictly fixed to avoid detrimental misalignments during the brazing process.

Results were quite similar for both zirconia ceramics (Mg-PSZ and Y-TZP), which values are within the range of 32 ± 9 MPa. The scattered strength results can be attributed to the concentration of thermal residual stresses at the joint interface as well as to the specific characteristics of ceramic materials when submitted to mechanical tests. However, these values were not comparatively as good as other values found in scientific literature (see some results from Smorygo’s study [9] in Table 3).

Smorygo et al [9] obtained values within the range of 242 ± 18 MPa for 3Y-PSZ/Ti joint strength (Ø8 x 35 mm), but in this case, active brazing process was used for joining zirconia to unalloyed titanium. Another important consideration is that probably, these researches used a length value between the outer two support bearings (support span, \( L \), according to ASTM C1161-02c standard) in the three-point flexure tests, which is different and longer from the value used in the present study (joints Ø8 x 10 mm and \( L = 10 \) mm). Considering the calculation for mechanical strength of the three-point flexure test, the specific difference about this parameter could indicate values somewhat higher than the mechanical strength values found in this study. For instance, if those researchers had used \( L = 20.0 \) mm and used this value in the calculation here, the new mechanical strength value would be also lower than that found in the literature searched (32.5 x 2 = 65 MPa versus 242 MPa).

In addition, the possibility of residual thermal stresses due to mismatch between linear thermal expansion coefficient (\( \alpha \)-CTE) of individual components should be also considered. The \( \alpha \)-CTE of unalloyed titanium (9.2 x 10^6 °C^-1) is comparable to that of zirconia ceramic (10.0-10.4 x 10^6 °C^-1). However, the \( \alpha \)-CTE value of the filler is higher than it – \( \alpha \)-CTE of the Ag-28Cu filler (20-400°C) is 17.8 x 10^6 °C^-1. According to these data, tensile strains can be developed at the ceramic/metal interface due to differential contraction during post-fabrication cooling. It is believed that residual stresses can be accommodate by plastic flow due to the high ductility of this filler alloy [5,6,9].

Table 3. Comparison of the three-point flexure test results with literature values.

| Brazing at 820°C / 10 min, filler VH780 (Ag-28Cu) with no previous metallization |
|---|---|---|---|
| zirconia | active metal | ceramic/metal joint | Mechanical strength |
| Y-TZP | Ti (diffusion from base metal) | Y-TZP/Ag-28Cu/Ti | 32 ± 9 MPa |
| Mg-PSZ | | Mg-PSZ/Ag-28Cu/Ti | |

| Brazing at 840°C / 5 min, filler Cusil-ABA (63Ag-35,25Cu-1,75Ti) [9] |
|---|---|---|---|
| zirconia | active metal | ceramic/metal joint | Mechanical strength |
| 3Y-PSZ | Ti (active brazing) | 3Y-PSZ/Ag-28Cu/Ti | 242 ± 18 MPa |

3.4. Microstructural analysis

Figure 5 shows the microstructure at the brazing region, which is representative for \( \text{ZrO}_2/\text{Ag-28Cu}/\text{Ti} \) joint assemblies using both zirconia ceramics (Mg-PSZ or Y-TZP) and suggests the formation of sound ceramic/metal joints. Initially, the optical micrograph shows three different regions at the resultant interface: a region composed of dispersed phases along with the ceramic/filler interface, and other two noticeable regions with distinct colors. There is a white region in the middle (thickness ranging from 4.5 to 16.5 µm), which is likely to have higher silver contents, and a continually thicker region that was formed closely to the metallic counterpart (brown color in this resolution, thickness from 10.5 to 17.5 µm), which is probably composed of higher titanium contents.

Figure 5. Optical micrograph at the Y-TZP/Ag-28Cu/Ti joint interface: region composed of dispersed phases adjacent to the ceramic (see white arrow)

![Figure 5. Optical micrograph at the Y-TZP/Ag-28Cu/Ti joint interface: region composed of dispersed phases adjacent to the ceramic (see white arrow)](image)
the filler/Ti interface; one region possibly composed of dispersed phases closely to the ceramic (as mentioned in previous Fig. 5); and one dark reaction sublayer on the ceramic surface. These dispersed phases mentioned before were not identified in this study. Similar interfacial regions were found in each examined sample and the microstructural analysis do not reveal noticeable difference about it.

Results from EDX analysis by linescan technique across the filler/Ti interface revealed the presence of diffusion of elemental Cu and Ag from the molten filler alloy to the metallic member during brazing thermal cycle, producing a diffusive zone and an intermetallic layer, as previously mentioned (see specific regions shown in Fig. 6). According to the average values of the elemental quantitative EDX analysis as indicated in Fig. 7, these two specific regions are both Ti-rich zones as following: 95.6 at.%Ti, 3.5 at.%Cu and 0.9 at.% Ag for intermetallic layer; and 94.3 at.%Ti, 4.9 at.%Cu and 0.8 at.%Ag for diffusive zone. The small brown squares indicated in Fig. 7 represent the areas where elemental quantitative EDX analysis was executed at joint interface. The CuTi, compound may have been produced in these regions, which follows from the Cu-Ti equilibrium phase diagram shown in Fig. 8 and the quantitative analysis previously shown.

Researchers have already reported the probable formation of CuTi and CuTi$_2$ compounds at the Ag-28Cu/Ti interface by active brazing of zirconia to titanium; the formation mechanism of the bond layer in this study can be explained by analyzing previously reported data [9,21]. It was suggested that copper has a strong tendency to rapid formation of intermetallics with titanium, and Ag is the less active element of Ag-Cu filler alloys with low diffusivity through the CuTi layer; however, the presence of Ag can remarkably increase the activity of filler alloys to promote interfacial reactions with technically stable ceramics [9,22,23]. Other elements such as V, Cr, Zr, V, Nb, Ta and Hf can also be considered chemically active in braze alloys composition - especially Ti, which can make chemical interactions (dissolution, interdiffusion and/or product formation reaction) at the ceramic/metal interfaces to enhance wetting on the ceramic surface [5,6,24].

Figure 7. Elemental quantitative EDX analysis (see the brown square areas) at Ti/Ag-28Cu interface: (a) diffusive zone; (b) intermetallic layer.

Figure 8. Probable phase formed in the intermetallic layer and diffusive zone at the filler/Ti interface (see the square indication). Cu-Ti equilibrium phase diagram [25].

Figure 9 exhibits the ceramic/filler interface with higher magnification from the region shown in Fig. 6. Scanning electron image shown in Fig. 9a revealed another intermetallic layer (1.7-2.7 μm) at the resulting interface, but now between the dispersed phases region (d-p region) and the dark reaction of the sublayer (2.0-2.5 μm) next to the ceramic surface. EDX profiles by linescan technique across this interface are shown in Fig. 9b. The bulk of molten filler alloy was enriched with Ti as a result of its diffusion from the metallic member to the zirconia ceramic. The elemental Ti, Ag and Cu, were detected in the d-p region where some intermetallic phases may have been
produced; lower EDX levels for the elemental Zr and Y were also detected in the molten filler. It occurred due to the reduction of ZrO$_2$ and Y$_2$O$_3$ by titanium, which are the main oxides in the zirconia ceramic composition. According to the elemental quantitative EDX analysis, this intermetallic layer contains Ti (≈54 at.%) and Cu (≈36 at.%) in similar equiatomic amounts, characterizing a Cu-Ti-rich zone – Ag (≈4 at.%), O (≈5 at.%) and Zr (≈1 at.%) were also found in less percents here. The probable formation of CuTi compounds in this layer also follows the Cu-Ti equilibrium phase diagram (see indication in Fig. 8) and in its elemental quantitative analysis.

This continuous dark reaction sublayer is not exactly the region previously visualized in Fig. 4 (darkening effect). It is a specific reaction layer that was formed next to the ceramic surface from the chemical reduction of zirconium oxide by the active metal (Ti) on the zirconia ceramic surface. Higher EDX level of the elemental Ti was found (see Fig. 9b), which contains the following distribution: Ti (≈63 at.%), O (≈18.5 at.%), Cu (≈11 at.%), Ag (≈1.5 at.%) and Zr (≈6 at.%). Researchers have reported that this dark layer is mainly composed of TiO$_x$ compounds (TiO, Ti$_2$O$_3$, Ti$_3$O$_5$…TiO$_2$), which is beneficial to improve wetting on the ceramic surface due to the subsequent precipitation of active metal oxides [5,9].

Scanning electron image associated to the EDX analysis for the elemental Ti, Y, Ag and Cu by linescan technique are shown in Fig. 10 from a region at the interface previously shown in Fig. 6. According to results, Ti diffusion was confirmed from the metallic member across the bulk of molten filler alloy to the ceramic surface. There was also Y and Zr diffusion into the depth of the molten filler. It is recognized that titanium drastically reduces the contact angle with the ceramic substrate, improving the ceramic/filler adhesion by reacting with the oxide that constitutes the main phase of the ceramic [5]. The elemental Ag and Cu are concentrated in the two well-distinguished regions at the brazing joint interlace previously shown in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6: the white region is an Ag-rich zone, and the adjacent gray region is a Cu-Ti-rich zone.

In addition, chemical interactions between individual components of the joint assemble should also be considered in the study. Possible reactions of the zirconium oxide (ZrO$_2$) from zirconia ceramic with Ti are shown in Table 4 – corresponding values of Gibb’s free energy change, $\Delta G$, are given for these reactions between ZrO$_2$ and Ti at 850°C. Titanium is considered an effective active metal to enhance the ceramic-metal wettability, especially in ceramic oxides because it exhibits strong thermodynamic affinity with oxygen [1,8].

Table 4. Chemical reactions between Ti and ZrO$_2$ from zirconia ceramic and respective values of Gibb’s free energy change ($\Delta G$) [1].

| Reactions with ZrO$_2$ | $\Delta G$ (kJ/mol) at 850°C |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| ZrO$_2$ + 2Ti $\rightarrow$ Zr + 2TiO | +14,7 |
| ZrO$_2$ + (4/3)Ti $\rightarrow$ Zr + (2/3)Ti$_2$O$_3$ | +79,7 |
| ZrO$_2$ + (6/5)Ti $\rightarrow$ Zr + (2/5)Ti$_2$O$_4$ | +102,6 |
| ZrO$_2$ + (8/7)Ti $\rightarrow$ Zr + (2/7)Ti$_3$O$_7$ | +113,9 |
| ZrO$_2$ + Ti $\rightarrow$ Zr + TiO$_2$ | +145,1 |

The positive $\Delta G$ values per mole of ZrO$_2$ shown in Table 4 that predict the formation of titanium oxides by a simple direct reduction of zirconium and yttrium oxides are not thermodynamically favorable at 850°C. However, it might be
possible to produce these oxides from the reaction of Ti with residual oxygen in the brazing furnace atmosphere, if not just from the direct chemical reduction of ZrO₂ and Y₂O₃ constituents on the zirconia ceramic surface [6]. Probably, it occurs in few atomic levels into the depth of the ceramic surface, which can have some influence on the ΔG values calculation for these oxides. It is also believed that stable Ti oxides can be formed even at oxygen partial pressure as low as \( P_{O_2} = 10^{-28} \text{ atm} \) [8].

Researchers have already proposed that Ti can act as an oxygen scavenger in zirconia, which changes its stoichiometry by producing an O-deficient area at the joined interface that appears dark [6,12].

4. Conclusion

Zirconia was successfully joined to unalloyed titanium using eutectic Ag-28Cu filler alloy. Titanium diffusion from the metallic counterpart to the ceramic surface produced sound brazing joints. Results based on helium gas leak detection were satisfactory with leak rates below \( 10^{-8} \text{ mbar.ℓ.s}^{-1} \), which characterize vacuum tight components. Microstructural analysis revealed the formation of a continuous dark reaction sublayer next to the ceramic surface (responsible for the good wetting condition), an intermetallic layer adjacent to this dark layer and a noticeable dispersed phases region at the ceramic/filler interface. A typical darkening effect occurred at the zirconia brazed joint interface. Visually, this effect was more pronounced in Mg-PSZ than in Y-TZP ceramic. The mechanical strength results of tight brazed joints were comparatively not as good as the other results found in scientific literature, in which was used an active filler alloy for joining ceramic to metal. It was possible to successfully braze Y-TZP/Ag-28Cu/Ti and Mg-PSZ/Ag-28Cu/Ti joints using an active-metal-free filler alloy. However, the mechanical strength of these ceramic-metal joints still needs to be improved.
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