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Abstract. We develop the Sparse Approximate Matrix Multiply (SpAMM) n-body solver for first order Newton Schulz iteration of the matrix square root and inverse square root. The solver performs recursive two-sided metric queries on a modified Cauchy-Schwarz criterion, culling negligible sub-volumes of the product-tensor for problems with structured decay in the sub-space metric. These sub-structures are shown to bound the relative error in the matrix-matrix product, and in favorable cases, to enjoy a reduced computational complexity governed by dimensionality reduction of the product volume. A main contribution is demonstration of a new, algebraic locality that develops under contractive identity iteration, with collapse of the metric-subspace onto the identity's plane diagonal, resulting in a stronger SpAMM bound. Also, we carry out a first order Fréchet analyses for single and dual channel instances of the square root iteration, and look at bifurcations due to ill-conditioning and a too aggressive SpAMM approximation. Then, we show that extreme SpAMM approximation and contractive identity iteration can be achieved for ill-conditioned systems through regularization, and we demonstrate the potential for acceleration with a scoping, product representation of the inverse factor.

1. Introduction. In many areas of current numerical interest, matrix equations with decay properties describe correlations over a range of scales. By decay, we mean an approximate inverse relationship between a matrix element’s magnitude and an associated distance; this might be a slow inverse exponential relationship between matrix elements and a Cartesian separation, or it might involve a non-Euclidean distance, e.g. between character strings.

A common approach to exploiting matrix decay involves sparse approximation of inverse factors that transform Gramian equations to a representation independent form, via congruence transformations based on Löwdin’s symmetric orthogonalization (the matrix inverse square root) [85, 91], inverse Cholesky factorization [75] or related transformations that involve an inverse or pseudo-inverse [61, 18, 55, 56]. Gramian inverse factors with decay are ubiquitous to problems with local, non-orthogonal support, including finite element calculations [40, 59], radial-basis-function finite-difference calculations [114, 110], in the “direct” approach to radial-basis interpolation [106], with frames [47, 63], with computation involving “lets” of various types [55, 56], and in the Atomic Orbital (AO) representation [71, 64].

Off-diagonal decay of the matrix sign function is also a well developed area of study in statistics and statistical physics [98, 117, 6, 60, 76], and in electronic structure, where sparse approximation enables fast computation of the the gap shifted matrix sign function as projector of an effective Hamiltonian [17, 13, 22, 14]. Short to long ranged decay properties of the projector are shown in Fig. 1.1. These matrix functions, the matrix sign function and the matrix inverse square root, are related by Higham’s identity [66]:

\[ \text{sign} \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0 & s \\ I & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & s^{1/2} \\ s^{-1/2} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \]. \tag{1.1} \]

A well conditioned matrix \( s \) may often correspond to matrix sign and inverse square root functions with rapid exponential decay, and be amenable to \textit{ad hoc}
Fig. 1.1. Examples from electronic structure of decay for the spectral projector (gap shifted sign function) with respect to the local (atomic) support. Shown is decay for systems with correlations that are short (insulating water), medium (semi-conducting 4,3 nanotube), and long (metallic 3,3 nanotube) ranged, from exponential (insulating) to algebraic (metallic).

Matrix truncation or “sparsification”, \( \bar{s} = s + \epsilon_s^\tau \), where \( \epsilon_s^\tau \) is the error introduced according to some criterion \( \tau \), supported by useful bounds to matrix function elements [15, 16, 97, 59, 26]. The criterion \( \tau \) might be a drop-tolerance, \( \epsilon_s^\tau = \{-s_{ij} \ast \hat{e}_i \mid |s_{ij}| < \tau \} \), a radial cutoff, \( \epsilon_s^\tau = \{-s_{ij} \ast \hat{e}_i \mid \|r_i - r_j\| > \tau \} \), or some other approach to truncation, perhaps involving a sparsity pattern chosen a priori for computational expedience. Then, the sparse general matrix-matrix multiply (SpGEMM) [58, 113, 28, 21] may be employed, yielding fast solutions for multiplication rich iterations, and with fill-in modulated by truncation. Exhaustive surveys of these methods in the numerical linear algebra are given by Benzi [17, 13], and by Bowler [22] and Benzi [14] for electronic structure.

In addition to sparsity, data localities leading to high operation counts are essential for kernels like the SpGEMM and their distributed implementations. Over the past decades, methods have evolved from bandwidth reduction (Cuthill-McKee) + greedy blocking [113], progressing with tours of the graph via heuristic solutions to the Traveling Salesman Problem (TSP) [100, 3, 81], and more recently towards reordering based on cache modeling and dynamic sampling [48, 99]. Ordering with graph partitioning, targeting the load balance, may also lead to exploitable localities, via e.g. proximity to the diagonal [24]. Of current interest are ordering schemes that enhance the weighted block-locality of the Page Rank problem [73, 39, 82, 128].

Matrix locality may also result from an ordering that preserves locality in an auxiliary representation, a property of sub-space mappings that preserve local neighborhoods [10, 11, 12]. In the case of electronic structure, Space Filling Curve (SFC)
heuristics applied to a local Cartesian basis results in Gramian matrices with neighborhoods segregated by magnitude [28, 23], as shown in Fig. (1.2). Likewise, Sierpinski curves and Self Avoiding Walks on meshes lead to locality preserving orderings [62, 7], for e.g. finite elements [93, 108]. This type of weighted block-locality or “Block-By-Magnitude” (BBM) structure of the subspace metric $\| \cdot \|_F$ is finely resolved with the quadtree matrix [125, 1, 101, 124, 126, 84]:

$$ a^i = \begin{bmatrix} a_{00}^{i+1} & a_{01}^{i+1} \\ a_{10}^{i+1} & a_{11}^{i+1} \end{bmatrix}, $$

(1.2)

where $i$ is the recursion depth, and

$$ \| a^i \|_F = \sqrt{\| a_{00}^{i+1} \|_F^2 + \| a_{01}^{i+1} \|_F^2 + \| a_{10}^{i+1} \|_F^2 + \| a_{11}^{i+1} \|_F^2}, $$

(1.3)

is the sub-multiplicative Frobenius norm [51, 65, 72].

However, despite structuring for cache, distributed memory or to enhance BBM structuring, matrix truncation may still be ineffective for ill-conditioned problems, because the rate of decay may be too slow, and also because of increased numerical sensitivities to the sparse approximation:

$$ \overline{a \cdot b} = a \cdot b + e^a \tau \cdot b + a \cdot e^b \tau + O(\tau^2), $$

(1.4)

allowing to control only absolute errors. An alternative approach is to find a reduced rank approximation, ideally closed under the operations of interest. However, rank reduction may be expensive if the rank is not much, much smaller than the dimension. Interestingly, in the ultra-flat limit, kernel methods enjoy rank reduction corresponding formally to change of basis, enabling fast methods for constructing the generalized inverse [107, 31]. In cases with simply slow exponential decay however, our experience has so far been that naive element dropping is about as effective as dropping singular values.
In this contribution, we consider the regime between trivial sparsity and formal rank reduction, with fast multiplications exploiting instead an accelerated *volumetric decay* in subspace-metric of the product-tensor. There, the SpAMM kernel carries out octree scoping of low-dimensional structures that bound the relative error while yielding a reduced complexity multiplication. Beyond decay associated with the the matrix square root and its inverse, we demonstrate additional compression of these bounding volumes under contractive identity iteration.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we modify the SpAMM occlusion-cull to bound the relative product error. In Section 3, we review several instances of the first order Newton-Schulz (NS) square root iteration, and go over the contractive identity iteration that develops in the basin of stability. In Section 4, we overview a generic implementation of the SpAMM kernel and introduce quantum chemical and engineering data of interest. In Section 5, we develop a Fréchet analysis for NS instances and the SpAMM algebra, and examine error flows in bifurcating and stable square root iterations for ill-conditioned problems. In Section 6 we show that even difficult, ill-conditioned problems can be brought to the regime of strongly contractive identity iteration, through iterative regularization and precision scoping. In Section 7, we show for the first time the process of lensing, involving sub-space contraction to diagonal planes of the $ijk$-cube ($i = j$, $i = k$ and/or $j = k$), followed finally by compression onto the identity’s plane diagonal, yielding additional orders of magnitude compression of SpAMM sub-volumes. Finally, in Section 8 we argue it may be possible to remain close to the lensed state whilst constructing a deferred product representation of the inverse factor.

2. SpAMM. The Sparse Approximate Matrix Multiply (SpAMM) is a reduced complexity approximation that evolved from a row-column skipout mechanism within the blocked-compressed-sparse-row (BCSR) [27] and the distributed-blocked-compressed-row (DBCSR) data structures [29], to methods with fast subspace resolution through octree recursion [32, 19, 20]. Finding sub-spaces via fast range or metric query is a generic $n$-body problem handled with agility by the quadtree [77, 50, 102, 52], a problem related to spatial hashing [112, 80] and the occlusion-cull in visualization [96].

The SpAMM kernel $\otimes_\tau$ provides fast approximate multiplication for matrices with decay and metric locality, with errors controlled by the scoping parameter $\tau$:

$$\widetilde{a} \cdot b \equiv a \otimes_\tau b = a \cdot b + \Delta_{\tau}^{a,b}. \quad (2.1)$$

As $\tau \to 0$, SpAMM reverts to the recursive GEMM [57, 46].

In this work, we promote the following stable version of the SpAMM occlusion-cull:

$$(2.2)$$

with $\|\cdot\| \equiv \|\cdot\|_F$ and the leaf condition determined by the block size, $N_b$. This scoping partitions the product tensor into two sub-spaces: the space of culled leaf-tasks, $a \otimes_\tau b$, and its complement, the occlusion error $\Delta_\tau^{a,b}$ of avoided multiplications. This occlusion
error is bounded by
\[ \frac{\| \Delta_{ab} \|}{\| a \| \| b \|} \leq n^2 \tau, \] (2.3)
as shown in the following section, a result commensurate with the stable, normwise multiplication criteria emphasized by Demel, Dumitriu, Holtz and Kleinberg (DDHK) [42].

2.1. Bound. We now prove Eq. (2.3):

**Proposition 2.1.** Let \( \tau_{ab} = \tau \| a \| \| b \| \). Then for each \( i, j \),
\[ \left| (a \otimes \tau b)_{ij} - (a \cdot b)_{ij} \right| \leq n \tau_{ab}, \]
and
\[ \| a \otimes \tau b - a \cdot b \| \leq n^2 \tau_{ab}. \]

**Proof.** We first show the following technical result: it is possible to choose \( \alpha_{lij} \in \{0, 1\} \) such that
\[ (a \otimes \tau b)_{ij} = \sum_{l=1}^{n} a_{il} b_{lj} \alpha_{lij}, \] (2.4)
In addition, if \( \alpha_{lij} = 0 \), then \( |a_{il}| |b_{lj}| < \tau_{ab} \). To show this, we use induction on the number \( k_{\text{max}} \) of levels.

First, if \( k_{\text{max}} = 0 \),
\[ a \otimes \tau b = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \| a \| \| b \| < \tau_{ab}, \\ a \cdot b & \text{else}. \end{cases} \]
Therefore, \( a \otimes \tau b \) is of the form (2.4) with either all \( \alpha_{lij} = 0 \) or all \( \alpha_{lij} = 1 \). Moreover, if \( \alpha_{lij} = 0 \), then \( |a_{il}| |b_{lj}| \leq \| a \| \| b \| < \tau_{ab} \).

Now assume that the claim holds for \( k_{\text{max}} - 1 \). We show that it holds for \( k_{\text{max}} \). Indeed, if \( \| a \| \| b \| < \tau_{ab} \), we have that \( a \otimes \tau b = 0 \), which is of the form (2.4) with all \( \alpha_{lij} = 0 \). Also, if \( \alpha_{lij} = 0 \), then \( |a_{il}| |b_{lj}| < \| a \| \| b \| < \tau_{ab} \).

Now assume that \( \| a \| \| b \| \geq \tau_{ab} \). Then
\[ a \otimes \tau b = \begin{pmatrix} a_{00} \otimes \tau b_{00} + a_{01} \otimes \tau b_{10} \\ a_{10} \otimes \tau b_{00} + a_{11} \otimes \tau b_{10} \end{pmatrix} \]
We need to consider four cases: \( i \leq n/2 \) and \( j \leq n/2 \), \( i > n/2 \) and \( j > n/2 \), \( i > n/2 \) and \( j \leq n/2 \), and, finally, \( i > n/2 \) and \( j > n/2 \). Since the analysis is similar for all
four cases, we only consider $i \leq n/2$ and $j \leq n/2$. We have that

$$\begin{align*}
(a \otimes b)_{ij} &= (a_{00} \otimes b_{00} + a_{01} \otimes b_{10})_{ij} \\
&= \sum_{l=1}^{n/2} (a_{00})_{il} (b_{00})_{lj} \alpha_{ij}^0 \\
&\quad + \sum_{l=1}^{n/2} (a_{01})_{il} (b_{10})_{lj} \alpha_{ij}^1 \\
&= \sum_{l=1}^{n} a_{il} b_{lj} \alpha_{ij},
\end{align*}$$

where we used the induction hypothesis in the second equality.

Now suppose that $\alpha_{ij} = 0$ for some $l$. Then $\tilde{\alpha}_{ij}^0 = 0$ if $l \leq n/2$ or $\tilde{\alpha}_{i-n/2,j}^1 = 0$ if $l > n/2$. If, e.g., $\tilde{\alpha}_{i-n/2,j}^1 = 0$, then $|a_{il}| |b_{lj}| = |(a_{01})_{i,l-n/2}| |(b_{10})_{l-n/2,j}| < \tau_{ab}$, where we used the induction hypothesis in the final inequality. The analysis for $l \leq n/2$ is similar, and the claim follows.

We can now finish the proof of Proposition 2.1. Indeed, by (2.4),

$$\begin{align*}
|a \otimes b - (a \cdot b)|_{ij} &\leq \sum_{l=1}^{n} |a_{il} b_{lj}| |\alpha_{ij} - 1| \\
&= \sum_{\alpha_{ij} = 0} |a_{il} b_{lj}| .
\end{align*}$$

In addition, if $\alpha_{ij} = 0$, then $|a_{il} b_{lj}| < \tau_{ab}$ and the lemma follows.

2.2. Related research. SpAMM is perhaps most closely related to the Strassen-like branch of fast matrix multiplication [111, 41, 9, 79, 5], and also methods for group theoretical embedding allowing fast polynomial multiplication [38, 37, 115]. In the Strassen-like approach, disjoint volumes in high order tensor expansions of the product are recursively excluded, while in the SpAMM approach to fast multiplication, the subspace metric of the product tensor is recursively queried for occlusion of negligible volumes, with error bounded by Eq. (2.3). These methods for fast matrix multiplication are stable, satisfying the DDHK normwise product bound [41].

This work offers a data local alternative to fast non-deterministic methods for sampling the product, which include sketching [105, 44, 86, 94, 127], joining [90, 68, 70, 34, 4, 83, 74], sensing [69] and probing [36]. These methods may involve probabilistic assumptions and on the fly sampling, with the potential for complexity reduction due to statistical approximations. SpAMM also employs on the fly weighted sampling, with compression through octree scoping of metric tensor decay, and with additional subspace compression due to the onset of identity iteration.

SpAMM is related to the generalized $n$-body methods popularized by Gray [53, 54]. Here and in related research, we are interested in generic approaches to approximation that are data agnostic, based on the quadtree and its generalizations [77, 50, 102, 52] and on the facile measure $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_F$ [72]. In this work, a fast two-sided metric query enables octree scoping with the occlusion criteria $\|a^\dagger\| \|b\| < \tau \|a\| \|b\|$. With quantum chemical Fock exchange, a fast three-sided metric query enables hextree scoping with a related, Cauchy-Schwarz like occlusion criterion (direct SCF) [30]. It
may also be possible to exploit subspace locality more broadly, though mappings that optimally preserve local neighborhoods in higher dimensions, e.g. via the Laplace-Beltrami operator [10, 11, 12].

For distributed architectures, n-body methods offer well established protocols for turning spatial locality into data and temporal locality [119, 120, 121, 122, 118]. Recently, we showed strong scaling for the SpAMM kernel [20], while Driscoll et al. were able to show perfect strong scaling and communication optimally for pairwise n-body methods [45]. A uniform approach to generic scoping is empowered at the ecosystems level by runtime support for recursive task parallelism [43, 103, 78, 88, 104, 89, 87].

Finally, this work is inspired broadly by Higham’s work, particularly by Higham, Mackey, Mackey and Tisseur (HMMT) in 2005 [67] on square root iteration and the group structure of matrix functions. Also, it is influenced by Chen and Chow’s [33] approach to scaled NS iteration for ill-conditioned problems, and by the Helgaker group’s work on NS iteration, whose notation we follow in part [71].

3. Newton-Shulz Iterations. There are two common, first order NS iterations; the sign iteration and the square root iteration, related by the square root iteration when sign

\[ X \rightarrow \sqrt{X} \]

onset of idempotence and the bounded eigenvalues of sign

\[ X \rightarrow \text{sign}(X) \]

Global perturbative bounds on this iteration have been derived by Bai and Demmel [8], while Byers, He and Mehrmann [25] developed asymptotic bounds. The automatic stability of sign iteration is a well developed theme in Higham’s work [66].

3.1. Sign iteration. For the NS sign iteration, this basin is marked by a behavioral change in the difference \( \delta X = X - X = \text{sign}(X) - \text{sign}(X) \), where \( \delta X \) is some previous error. The change in behavior is associated with the onset of idempotence and the bounded eigenvalues of sign, leading to stable iteration when sign

\[ (X) \delta X < 1 \]

Global perturbative bounds on this iteration have been derived by Bai and Demmel [8], while Byers, He and Mehrmann [25] developed asymptotic bounds. The automatic stability of sign iteration is a well developed theme in Higham’s work [66].

3.2. Square root iteration. We are concerned with resolution of the identity

\[ I(s) = s^{1/2} \cdot s^{-1/2}, \]

and its low-complexity computation with fast methods.

Starting with eigenvalues rescaled to the domain \((0, 1]\) with the easily obtained largest eigenvalue, \( s \rightarrow s/s_{N-1} \), and with \( z_0 = I \) and \( x_0 = y_0 = s \), the corresponding canonical, “dual” channel square root iteration is:

\[
\begin{align*}
y_k &\leftarrow h_\alpha \left[ y_{k-1} \cdot z_{k-1} \right] \cdot y_{k-1} \\
z_k &\leftarrow z_{k-1} \cdot h_\alpha \left[ y_{k-1} \cdot z_{k-1} \right]
\end{align*}
\]

converging as \( y_k \rightarrow s^{1/2} \), \( z_k \rightarrow s^{-1/2} \) and \( x_k \rightarrow I \), with eigenvalues aggregated towards 1 by the NS map \( h_\alpha(x) = \frac{\sqrt{x}}{2} (3 - \alpha x) \) [66, 67]. As in the case of sign iteration, this canonical iteration was shown by Higham, Mackey, Mackey and Tisseur [67] to remain strongly bounded in the super-linear regime, by idempotent Fréchet derivatives about the fixed point \((s^{1/2}, s^{-1/2})\), in the direction \((\delta y_{k-1}, \delta z_{k-1})\):

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta y_k &= \frac{1}{2} \delta y_{k-1} - \frac{1}{2} s^{1/2} \cdot \delta z_{k-1} \cdot s^{1/2} \\
\delta z_k &= \frac{1}{2} \delta z_{k-1} - \frac{1}{2} s^{-1/2} \cdot \delta y_{k-1} \cdot s^{-1/2}
\end{align*}
\]
In addition to the dual channel instance, we also consider the “single” channel version of square root iteration,

\[ z_k \leftarrow z_{k-1} \cdot h_\alpha [x_{k-1}] , \]
\[ x_k \leftarrow z_k^T \cdot s \cdot z_k . \]  
(3.5)

4. Implementation.

4.1. Programming. In our experimental research, issue driven implementations of SpAMM have been developed, including a Haskell version (formal functional programming) [35], a fine grained \((4 \times 4)\) single-precision assembly coded version (scalar performance) [19] and a task parallel version in C++, OpenMP 3.0 and Charm++ (strong scaling) [20]. In the current contribution, informal functional programming in Fortran08 was used, with the goal of generic simplicity and mathematical agility.

In our implementation, allocation functions instantiate or reuse sub-matrices in downward recursion, and accumulate decorations (flops, bounding boxes, non-zeros, norms, initialization flags etc.) in backwards recursion, up the stack. Optional, ifdef’d features include the first order Fréchet analyses, outlined in Section 5.1 and using MATMUL, as well as sparse VTK output for visualization of the \(ijk\) product volumes, shown in Section 7.

Precision is determined by the block dimension \(N_b\), the primary threshold \(\tau\) controlling error in the \(z\) and the \(x\) channels, and by the tighter (sensitive) threshold \(\tau_s\) for the \(y\) channel. Unless stated otherwise, we take \(N_b = 16\) and \(\tau_s \sim .01 \times \tau\). Finally, reported calculations were carried out in double precision using the GCC/gfortran 4.8.1 compiler.

4.2. Mapping. The NS logistic map for the square root iteration is \(h_\alpha [x] = \sqrt{\alpha} (3 - \alpha x)\), with the initial rate of convergence controlled by \(h'_\alpha\) and the smallest eigenvalue, \(x_0\). Various schemes for controlling the values \(\alpha\) towards convergence include methods by Pan and Schreiber [95], and more recently, Jie and Chen [33], who demonstrated \(2\times\) acceleration for very ill-conditioned problems with their continuous scaling approach.

In addition to scaling of the NS logistic, we introduce a stabilizing map that accounts for eigenvalues tossed out of bounds by \(\otimes\_\tau\). This stabilization is the transformation \([0, 1] \rightarrow [0 + \varepsilon, 1 - \varepsilon]\) (shift and scale), carried out prior to application of the logistic.

The most important aspect of these scaling and stabilization maps is to turn them off towards convergence. Conventional methods often compute a lowest eigenvalue to monitor convergence [95, 33], but this may be too expensive for ill-conditioned problems. Alternatively, we monitor convergence simply with the relative trace error, \(t_k = (n - \mathrm{tr}(x_k))^{-1}\). Then, sigmoidal functions damp scaling to unity,

\[ \alpha(t) = 1. + 1.85 \times \left(1 + e^{-50 \cdot (t - .35)}\right)^{-1} , \]  
(4.1)

and the stability parameter to zero,

\[ \varepsilon(t) = 0.1 \times \left(1 + e^{-75 \cdot (t - .30)}\right)^{-1} . \]  
(4.2)

These empirical damping functions are used throughout.
4.3. Data. Data for numerical experiments include problems from electronic structure and structural engineering. Electronic structure matrices were obtained from the non-orthogonal metric (overlap matrix) of the generalized eigenproblem, encountered in local support with Gaussian-Type Atomic-Orbitals (GTAOs) [64]. A sequence of nanotube matrices, \(36 \times 128\) \((3,3)\) unit cell, were generated with TubeGen [49] and a modified STO-2G [109] basis, with an added diffuse (flat) Gaussian \(sp\)-shell and exponents \(\zeta_{10}^{10} = 0.06918\) and \(\zeta_{11}^{11} = 0.05934\), corresponding to the condition numbers \(\kappa(s) = 10^{10}\) and \(\kappa(s) = 10^{11}\) respectively\(^1\). We also constructed a sequence of matrices from periodic water cubes, in increments of 100, with coordinates obtained using the gromacs utility gmx solvate -box [116] and the triple-\(\zeta\) 6-311G** GTAO basis [109]. While less ill-conditioned than the nano-tube sequence, \(\kappa(s) \sim 10^5\), the water cube matrices manifest a different metric locality due to dimensionality. Also, we experiment with the bcsstk14 structural engineering matrix for the Roof of the Omni Coliseum [123].

5. Error Flow.

5.1. Stability. Stability in the square root iteration is determined by the differential

\[
\delta x_k = x\delta y_{k-1} \times \delta y_{k-1} + x\delta z_{k-1} \times \delta z_{k-1} + \mathcal{O}(\tau^2),
\]

which must remain bounded below one to avoid divergence. The corresponding Fréchet derivatives are

\[
x\delta y_{k-1} = \lim_{\tau \to 0} \frac{x( y_{k-1} + \tau \delta y_{k-1}, z_{k-1}) - x_k}{\tau},
\]

and

\[
x\delta z_{k-1} = \lim_{\tau \to 0} \frac{x( y_{k-1}, z_{k-1} + \tau \delta z_{k-1}) - x_k}{\tau},
\]

along unit directions of the previous errors \(\delta y_{k-1}\) and \(\delta z_{k-1}\), by an amount determined by the displacements \(\delta y_{k-1} = \|\delta y_{k-1}\|\) and \(\delta z_{k-1} = \|\delta z_{k-1}\|\). In the single instance, we have simply:

\[
\delta x_k = x\delta z_{k-1} \times \delta z_{k-1} + \mathcal{O}(\tau^2).
\]

This formulation makes plain changes about the resolvent, separating orientational effects for derivatives of the unit direction, set mostly by the underlying exact linear algebra, from changes to error displacements, which involve both the action of derivatives on previous errors, as well as current SpAMM occlusion errors. In the following sections, we develop this form of the error. Then, in Section 5.4, we show interesting behaviors of these derivatives at the edge of stability.

5.2. Fréchet derivatives. In the dual instance, Fréchet derivatives occurring in Eq. (5.1) are:

\[
x\delta z_{k-1} = y_{k-1} \cdot h'_\alpha \delta z_{k-1} \cdot y_{k-1} \cdot z_k + y_k \cdot \delta z_{k-1} \cdot h'_\alpha [x_{k-1}] \\
+ y_k \cdot z_{k-1} \cdot y_{k-1} \cdot h'_\alpha \delta z_{k-1},
\]

\(^1\)In this case, \(\kappa\) is double exponential with decreasing \(\zeta\).
and

\[ x_{\delta y_{k-1}} = h_\alpha [x_{k-1}] \cdot \delta y_{k-1} \cdot z_k + h_\alpha' \delta y_{k-1} \cdot z_{k-1} \cdot y_{k-1} \cdot z_k \]

\[ + y_{k} \cdot z_{k-1} \cdot h_\alpha' \delta y_{k-1} \cdot z_{k-1} \cdot \] (5.6)

Closer to the fixed point orbit, \( y_{k} \cdot z_{k-1} \rightarrow I, \ y_{k-1} \cdot z_{k} \rightarrow I, \ h_\alpha [x_{k}] \rightarrow I \) and \( h_\alpha' \rightarrow -\frac{1}{\pi} \) [67]. Then,

\[ x_{\delta y_{k-1}} \rightarrow \delta y_{k-1} \cdot (z_k - z_{k-1}) \] (5.7)

and

\[ x_{\delta z_{k-1}} \rightarrow (y_k - y_{k-1}) \cdot \delta z_{k-1} \] (5.8)

Likewise, in the single channel instance:

\[ x_{\delta z_{k-1}} \rightarrow (z_k - z_{k-1})^T \cdot s \cdot \delta z_{k-1} + \delta z_{k-1}^T \cdot s \cdot (z_k - z_{k-1}) \] (5.9)

About the fixed point then, error flow in the \( y \) and the \( z \) channels is tightly quenched, corresponding to \( x_{\delta y_{k-1}} \rightarrow I \) and identity iteration [67].

**5.3. Displacements.** At each step, the accumulation of previous errors in addition to the SpAMM occlusion error move the approximate iteration away from the unperturbed reference, here the double-precision iteration of arrays with MATMUL.
Including the SpAMM error in the $\tilde{z}_{k-1}$ update we have:

$$
\tilde{z}_{k-1} = \tilde{z}_{k-2} \odot_\tau \ h_\alpha [\tilde{x}_{k-2}] = \Delta \tilde{x}_{k-2} h_\alpha [\tilde{x}_{k-2}] + \tilde{z}_{k-2} \cdot h_\alpha [\tilde{x}_{k-2}] .
$$

(5.10)

Then, with $h_\alpha [\tilde{x}_{k-2}] = h_\alpha [x_{k-2}] + h'_\alpha \delta x_{k-2}$, and taking $z_{k-1}$ from both sides,

$$
\delta z_{k-1} = \Delta \tilde{x}_{k-2} h_\alpha [\tilde{x}_{k-2}] + \delta z_{k-2} \cdot h_\alpha [\tilde{x}_{k-2}] + z_{k-2} \cdot h'_\alpha \delta x_{k-2} ,
$$

(5.11)

which is bounded by

$$
\delta z_{k-1} < ||z_{k-2}|| \left( \tau n^2 ||h_\alpha [\tilde{x}_{k-2}]|| + h'_\alpha \delta y_{k-2} ||z_{k-2}|| \right)
+ \delta z_{k-2} \left( ||h_\alpha [\tilde{x}_{k-2}]|| + ||y_{k-2}|| \right). \quad (5.12)
$$

In Eq. (5.12), the term $h'_\alpha \delta y_{k-2} ||z_{k-2}||^2$ is volatile, tending towards $\delta y_{k-2} \kappa(s)/2$. Because of this sensitivity, and because the $y$ product channel maintains fidelity of the starting eigen-basis, we single out this “sensitive” product for a higher level of precision; $\tau_s \ll \tau$.

In the single instance, the $y$ channel is implicit in the first product involving $s$, which can be from the left or the right. In this work, the most accurate product in the single instance is rightmost.

5.4. Most approximate yet still stable. The potential to compute fast and effective preconditioners with SpAMM is determined by the most approximate yet still stable (MAYSS) iteration, a challenge for increasingly ill-conditioned problems. Illustrative experiments were carried out on the $\kappa(s) = 10^{10}$ nanotube examples.
Fig. 5.3. Trace error and $\|\|$ of derivatives and displacements for the scaled single iteration. Derivatives are full lines, whilst displacements for $\tau_s = \{10^{-7}, 10^{-8}\}$ are dashed lines. The trace error is a full black line.

described in Section 4.3. We picked $\tau = .001$ and $N_h = 32$. Then, we looked at stability with respect to the tighter $\tau_s$ threshold: In Fig. 5.1, unscaled results for the dual instance are shown. In Fig. 5.2, scaled results for the dual instance are given, and in Fig. 5.3 we show results for the scaled single instance.

In the dual instances, Figs. 5.1 & 5.2, the bifurcating orientational components of the error manage to avoid the numerical catastrophe, with $x_{\tilde{z}_k-1}$ in solid green converging strongly, and $x_{\tilde{y}_k-1}$ in solid red, with an above unity drift driving divergence of the displacements (dashed lines). On the other hand, bifurcation in the single instance ($\tau_s = 10^{-7}$) finds the orientational component of the error, $x_{\tilde{z}_k-1}$, diverging well ahead of the displacement $\delta z_{k-1}$.

In these problems, values of $\tau$ near the MAYSS bifurcation do not lead to a reduced complexity; instead, near total fill of the product is observed towards convergence, even for the largest $128 \times$ unit cell nano-tube. Also, scaling as reported in Section 4 reclaims about $\sim 2/3$ of the available $2 \times$ acceleration possible at this level off ill-conditioning [33], but dramatically enhances fill-in of the metric tensor, via the multiplicative effect of $h'_\alpha$ in Eq. (5.12). In addition to scaling, the single instance also results in a much larger volumetric fill-in, involving extended, delocalized error flows in the orbit.

Our interpretation of these results is that despite a similar overall convergence behavior and error control, the tensor volumes accessed by the two instances is very different, due to the magnitude of norms entering the SpAMM kernel; in the dual instance $y_k^{\text{dual}} = h(x_{k-1}) \otimes \tau y_{k-1}$ is well behaved, while $y_k^{\text{single}} = z_{k} \otimes \tau s$ encumbers large norms associated with the broad spectral resolution, leading to extended delocalization of the metric tensor.
6. Regularization. Even for the most approximate yet still stable approximations (MAYSS), our nanotube calculations lead to delocalized products that are not tightly bound by Eq. (2.3), even for very large 128× unit cell systems. And while similarly ill-conditioned problems may achieve substantial compression with just the MAYSS approximation, as shown later in Fig. 7.3, the SpAMM approximation cannot generally yield a fast method in cases of severe ill-conditioning.

A systematic way to reduce these effects is through Tikhonov regularization [92, 106]. Regularization involves a small level shift of the eigenvalues, \( s_\mu \leftarrow s + \mu I \), altering the condition number of the shifted matrix to \( \kappa(s_\mu) = \frac{\sqrt{s_\mu^2 + \mu^2}}{\sqrt{s_\mu^2}} \) [106].

Achieving substantial acceleration with severe ill-conditioning may require a large level shift however, producing inverse factors of little practical use. One approach to recover a more accurate inverse factor is Riley’s method based on Taylor’s series [106]:

\[
s^{-1/2} = s_\mu^{-1/2} \left( I + \frac{\mu}{2} s_\mu^{-1} + \frac{3\mu^2}{8} s_\mu^{-2} + \ldots \right). \tag{6.1}
\]

For severely ill-conditioned problems and large level shifts, this expansion may converge very slowly. Also, adding powers of the full inverse may not be computationally effective.
6.1. Product representation. We introduce an alternative representation of the regularized inverse factor;

\[
\begin{align*}
 s^{-1/2} & \equiv \bigotimes_{\tau = \tau_0}^{\tau = \tau_0} \mu = \mu_0 | \tau \mu ; s^{-1/2} \big),
\end{align*}
\]

which is a telescoping product of preconditioned “slices” starting with a most-approximate-yet-still-effective-by-one-order (MAYEBOO) preconditioner, \( s^{-1/2} \equiv | \tau_0 \mu_0 ; s^{-1/2} \) Braket notation marks the potential for asymmetries in the intermediate representation. This sandwich of generic, thinly sliced SpAMM products allows to construct a nested scoping on precision via \( \tau \), and in the effective condition number controlled by \( \mu \).

6.2. Effective by one order. We look again at the \( \kappa(s) = 10^{10} \) nanotube series described in Section 4.3, this time with extreme regularization, \( \mu_0 = .1 \), and at a finer granularity, \( N_b = 8 \). Culled \( y_k \) and \( z_k \) volumes (as percentage of the total work) for \( 36 - 128 \times (3,3) \) unit cell are shown for the MAYEBOO approximation in Fig. 5.4 for the single instance, and in Fig. 5.5 for the dual instance.

The behavior of these implementations is very different; in the single instance, a stable iteration could not be found at precision \( \tau_0 = .1 \). Stability could only be found at .01, and that with a poorly contained trace error and cull-volumes that continue to inflate past convergence, with a conspicuous \( \sqrt{\kappa} \)-like dependence. This behavior results
from the broad resolution of spectral powers $\tilde{y}_k \to s^{-1/2}_{\tau_0} \otimes s_{\mu_0}$, with corresponding large metric fields that are poorly bound by Eq. (2.3).

On the other hand, dual iteration volumes collapse rapidly with fast trapping of the trace error, as $\tilde{y}_k \to I_{\tau_0} \otimes s^{-1/2}_{\mu_0}$ and $\tilde{z}_k \to s^{-1/2}_{\tau_0} \otimes I_{\mu_0}$, and with Eq. (2.3) tightening to

$$\Delta I_{\tau_0} s^{-1/2}_{\mu_0} < \tau n \|s^{-1/2}_{\tau_0}\|$$

and

$$\Delta s^{-1/2}_{\mu_0} I_{\tau_0} < \tau n \|s^{-1/2}_{\tau_0}\|.$$  \hfill (6.4)

This contraction to the plane diagonal is compressive, leading to computational complexities that should approach quadtree copy in place.

---

**Fig. 6.1.** Product volumes in construction of the unregularized preconditioner $|\tau_0 = .001; s^{-1/2}|$, with dual instance square root iteration, and for the 6-311G** metric of 100 periodic water molecules. At top its $y_k = h_\alpha [x_k-1] \otimes r_\tau; y_k$ for $k = 0, 5, 17$, while on the bottom we have $x_k = y_k \otimes z_k$ for $k = 0, 5, 17$. Maroon is $a$, purple is $b$, green is $c$, and black is the volume $\text{vol}_a \otimes b$ in the product $c = a \otimes b$.

**6.3. Iterative regularization.** We now sketch an iterative approach to constructing the product representation, Eq. (6.2). In the dual instance, it is possible to obtain a first MAYEBOO approximation $s_{\tau_0 = 1, \mu_0 = 1}$, which improves the condition number by one order of magnitude, with a numerical resolution of approximately one digit. Then, a next level slice can be found, $s^{-1/2}_{\tau_0} \otimes \tau_1$, based on the residual $\left(s^{-1/2}_{\tau_0} \otimes \tau_1 \left(s + \mu_1 I\right) \otimes \tau_1 s^{-1/2}_{\mu_0}\right)$, with e.g. $\mu_1 = .01$ and $\tau_1 = .01$. The product $s^{-1/2}_{\tau_0} \otimes \tau_1 s^{-1/2}_{\mu_0}$ then improves the condition number by two orders of magnitude, still with a numerical resolution of one digit. Reflected in the preceding notation, it appears necessary to compute the residual at a higher level of precision, e.g. using $\otimes \tau_1$ instead of $\otimes \tau_0$ and with $\tau_1 > \tau_0$. 
In this way, it may be possible to obtain product representation of the inverse square root at a SpAMM resolution that is potentially far more permissive than otherwise possible,

\[ s_{\tau_0}^{-1/2} = s_{\tau_0 \mu_1}^{-1/2} \otimes \tau_1 \cdots s_{\tau_0 \mu_m}^{-1/2} \],

(6.5)

assuming \( \mu_0 > \mu_1 > \ldots \). Likewise, it may also be possible to obtain the full inverse factor with increasing numerical resolution as

\[ s^{-1/2} = s_{\tau_m}^{-1/2} \otimes s_{\tau_{m-1}}^{-1/2} \otimes \ldots \]

(6.6)

and \( \tau_0 > \tau_1 > \ldots \).

Also, with each step a well conditioned generic slice, it may be possible to find a more effective logistic map optimized for a vanilla distribution of eigenvalues. Finally, relative to the regularization and precision scoping sketched here, alternative products are possible that may be far more efficient. We hope to pursue these efforts in future work.

7. Locality.

7.1. Spatial and metric locality. Astrophysical \( n \)-body algorithms employ range queries over spatial databases to hierarchically discover and compute approximations that commit only small errors. Often, these spatial databases are ordered with a space filling curve (SFC) \([125, 1, 101, 124, 126, 84, 102, 2, 19, 7]\), which maps points that are close in space to an index where they are also close. Spatial locality of this type empowers the SpAMM approximation through Block-By-Magnitude orderings of the sub-space metric.

This metric locality is compressive, but diminished by dimensionality. In Figure 6.1, we show \( \otimes \) volumes for square root iteration, corresponding to the Gramian matrix of a small, periodic water box with the large 6-311G** basis (Section 4.3). In this 3-d periodic case, diminishing Cartesian separations lead to long-skinny pillae and related delocalizations not observed in lower dimensional problems at this modest \( \kappa(s) \sim 10^5 \) level of ill-conditioning. These delocalizations correspond to weakness in Eq. (2.3), and to tighter values of \( \tau_s \), required in the MAYSS approximation. As \( n \) becomes large, Cartesian separation will eventually thin these delocalizations leading to complexity reduction due only to metric decay.

7.2. Algebraic locality. In addition to compression through orderings that maximize these block-by-magnitude effects, we demonstrate a new kind of locality in Figs. 7.1 and 7.2, which is, so far, uniquely exploited by the \( n \)-body approach to square root iteration. This locality increases compressively towards convergence, as contractive identity iterations develop. We call this compression *lensing*, involving collapse of the culled volume about plane diagonals of the identity. Lensing corresponds to strengthening Eq.(2.3), viz Eqs. (6.3)-(6.4), and to strongly contracting directional derivatives, viz Eqs. (5.7)-(5.8). This is an important, mitigating effect for SpAMM computations in the \( y \) channel, encumbered by the parameter \( \tau_s \sim 0.01 \times \tau \).

Graph reorderings that minimize the distance of matrix elements from the diagonal also lead to matrix locality (aforementioned). In Fig. 7.3 we show convergence of an unregularized (MAYSS) preconditioner for this type of ordering and the bcsstk14 [123] structural matrix of the Omni Coliseum in Atlanta, with \( \kappa(s) = 10^{10} \). These results show remarkable gossamer sheeting and flattening along plane diagonals, in Fig. 7.3, at top for development of \( y_k \), as well as hollow accumulation of vol\( y_k \otimes z_k \).
Product volumes in construction of the MAYEOO preconditioner $\tau_0 = 1, \mu_0 = 1; s^{-1/2}$, with dual instance square root iteration, for $8 \times \kappa(s) = 10^{11}$ nano-tube. $y_k$ appears wider than $z_k$ because it is computed at a higher precision, $\tau_s = .001$, and because the first multiply involves $s^2$. At top its $y_k = h_0[x_{k-1}] \otimes_{\tau} y_{k-1}$ for $k = 0, 4, \& 16$, while on the bottom we have $x_k = y_k \otimes_{\tau} z_k$ for $k = 0, 2, \& 16$. Maroon is $a$, purple is $b$, green is $c$, and black is the volume $\text{vol}_a \otimes_{\tau} b$ in the product $c = a \otimes_{\tau} b$.

at bottom. Interestingly, this example demonstrates well lensed volumes towards convergence, whilst the equally ill-conditioned and lower dimensional $\kappa(s) = 10^{10}$ nanotube demands a much tighter value of $\tau_s$ ($10^{-4}$ vs. $10^{-9}$) and retains dense volumes through $128 \times$ the unit cell.

7.3. Complexity reduction. Finally, we show complexity reduction at convergence of the MAYEOO approximation relative to the MAYSS approximation, in Fig. 7.4 for periodic water boxes, and in Fig. 7.5 for the ill-conditioned nano-tube. The two-orders difference between $y_k$ and $z_k$ volumes corresponds precisely to $\tau_s \sim \tau \times .01$, with $x_k$ in between. Except for the slower trend in Fig. (7.4)'s $x_k$ volume, we see the potential for continued strong acceleration with increasing system size.

8. Conclusions. In this work, we developed the SpAMM $n$-body solver for square root iteration, along with some algebra for the operator $\otimes_{\tau}$, and showed how we could exploit different types of locality in the sub-space metric of the product-tensor. Our main contributions include a modified Cauchy-Schwarz criterion for the SpAMM occlusion-cull, Eq. (2.2), and proof that the corresponding relative error in the product is bound by Eq. (2.3). We showed how block-by-magnitude orderings and locality of the sub-space metric leads to reduced complexity of the SpAMM kernel, involving low-dimensional sub-structures that bound the relative error, distributed along plane-diagonals and along their their intersection at the cube-diagonal. Perhaps most significantly, we demonstrated a new kind of compressive locality, lensing, that develops in the $\otimes_{\tau}$ volume on contractive identity iteration, together with tightening the SpAMM bound, viz Eqs. (6.3)-(6.4).
Fig. 7.2. Product volumes in construction of the MAYEOO preconditioner ($\gamma_0 = 1, \mu_0 = 1, s^{-1/3}$), with dual instance square root iteration, for 6-31G** box of 100 periodic water molecules. At top its $y_k = h_{\tau_0} [x_{k-1}] \otimes_{\tau_0} y_{k-1}$ for $k = 0, 4, 15$, while on the bottom we have $x_k = y_k \otimes z_k$ for $k = 0, 4, 15$. Maroon is $a$, purple is $b$, green is $c$, and black is the volume $\text{vol}_{a \otimes b}$ in the product $c = a \otimes b$.

Additional contributions include development and implementation of a first order Fréchet analyses for the single and dual instances of the NS square root iteration, with focus on separating directional effects that are mostly controlled by the unperturbed reference algebra, from the magnitude of SpAMM occlusion errors and their accumulation. We found that numerical sensitivity develops primarily in the $z$ channel, according to Eq. (5.12), due to amplification of $dy$ by terms approaching condition of the full inverse; we then looked at sensitivity to this error, bifurcations, controlled by $\tau_s$ (Figs. 5.1-5.3), concluding that a most approximate, naive application of SpAMM to the ill-conditioned problem is generally insufficient to achieve a fast solution.

Finally, we introduced scoping on both precision and regularization in product representation of the inverse factor, and demonstrated the potential for orders of magnitude compression in the dual instance, Figs. 7.4-7.5, with the most extreme, “by-one-order” slice of the nested factor, providing a foothold for this expansion at $\tau_0 = 1$. A next step is to demonstrate full bootstrapping of the inverse factor with reduced complexity, i.e. via a compact, nested product of well lensed terms, a work in progress.
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Fig. 7.4. Complexity reduction in metric square root iteration for the periodic 6-311G** water sequence. Shown is the ratio of lensed product volumes for the regularized MAYEBOO approximation with respect to the unregularized (MAYSS) approximation.

Fig. 7.5. Complexity reduction in square root iteration for the $\kappa(s) = 10^{(10)}$ sequence. Shown is the ratio of lensed product volumes for the regularized MAYEBOO approximation with respect to the unregularized MAYSS approximation, which we take to be $n^3$. 