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ABSTRACT

Background and Objectives: The standard technique for securing the base of the appendix during laparoscopic appendectomy is by absorbable endoloop ligature, although clinical reports favor the use of the stapler. Nonabsorbable Hem-o-lok clips have been shown to be an alternative technique to this. However, it is currently not clear whether nonabsorbable clips have any effects on the intestine or promote infection in the surgical area.

Materials and Methods: Sixty Wistar albino rats were randomized into 3 treatment groups: group I (n = 20) the base of the appendix was secured by endoloop 2-0 ligature; group II (n = 20) dissection of the appendix was performed by a 45-mm thick stapler; and group III (n = 20) the base of the appendix was secured by a Hem-o-lok plastic clip. The animals were sacrificed on the 14th and 28th days after surgery. The secured stump was used for histopathological examination.

Results: There were no significant differences in histopathological changes observed on the 14th postoperative day between the groups. On the 28th postoperative day, it was proved that mild and moderate inflammation is more frequent in the endoloop and Hem-o-lok groups than in the stapler group. Reaction to a foreign body is more frequent in the endoloop than in stapler and Hem-o-lok groups.

Conclusion: The mildest postoperative inflammatory changes were seen in the stapler group, followed by the Hem-o-lok group. However, because of the price of the plastic clip and the simplicity of its application, its use is still favored during laparoscopic appendectomy.
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INTRODUCTION

The standard technique for securing the base of the appendix during laparoscopic appendectomy is by absorbable endoloop ligature, although clinical evidence favors the use of the stapler. Nonabsorbable polymer locking Hem-o-lok clips are an alternative technique, because laparoscopic appendectomy can be performed faster and cheaper in this way than the standard technique. However, currently there is no literature examining the effects of the use of a nonabsorbable clip on the intestine or whether it promotes infection in the surgical area. Absorbable suture materials lead to various levels of inflammation and foreign body reactions, but nonabsorbable sutures lead to a milder tissue reaction, especially if nylon and wire sutures are used. Consequently, we hypothesized that nonabsorbable polymer Hem-o-lok clips would cause a milder reaction in the tissue after appendectomy than absorbable endoloop Vicryl ligatures and nonabsorbable titanium staples cause.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was initiated after approval by the Ethics Review Committee of the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Sarajevo. Wistar albino rats weighing 100g to 150g were kept under standard laboratory conditions before surgery, placed in freestanding cages, and acclimatized to standard laboratory conditions (temperature from 20C to 24C, 12 hours of light, 12 hours of dark, and the food was withdrawn for 24 hours.
before the surgery). Sixty rats were randomized into 3 groups: group I (endoloop) in which appendectomy was performed using endoloop ligature, group II (stapler) in which appendectomy was performed with the stapler, and group III (Hem-o-lok) in which appendectomy was performed using Hem-o-lok clips.

**Surgical Procedure**

After the rats were anesthetized with ketamine (50mg/kg), they were secured with an adhesive band and placed on the surgical table in the supine position. The abdomen of the animal was shaved and disinfected by povidone-iodine solution and dried with gauze. Laparotomy was performed using a median incision. The cecum, a dead-end pouch similar to the appendix in humans, was located and then dissected.

In group I (n=20), cecum (approximately 0.7 cm in length) was ligated with Vicryl 2-0 ligature (Vicryl, Ethicon) and divided (Figure 1).

In group II (n=20), cecum was divided by using a 45-mm stapler (thick charge) (Ethicon, Endosurgery, Cincinnati, OH) (Figure 2).

In group III (n=20), a Hem-o-lok XL clip (Hem-o-lock, Weck Closure Systems, Research Triangle Park, NC, USA) was attached to the cecum, and the distal part was divided (Figure 3).

Laparotomy and suturing of the skin was performed using a 3-0 continuous suture. No antibiotic treatment was used before, during, or after the experiment. During the entire observational period, all animals were controlled and underwent clinical examination to check

![Figure 1. Endoloop on stump.](image1)

![Figure 2. Stapler line on stump.](image2)

![Figure 3. Hem-o-lok clip on stump.](image3)
local and systemic complications. On the 14th day and 28th day after the surgical procedures, 10 animals from each group were sacrificed, and the stump containing the clip, endoloop, and the staples was taken for histopathological evaluation.

**Histopathological Procedure**

Biopitcal material was formalin fixed, paraffin embedded, and was cut into standard 5μ cuts and colored by applying according to the standard procedure for H&E (hematoxylin eosin) staining, and then mounted by using Canada balsam. Microscopic analysis was performed with an Olympus BX41 microscope.

**Statistical Analysis**

The Fisher exact probability test was used for the analysis of differences between distributions of histopathological changes. Differences were considered significant for \( p \leq 0.05 \).

**RESULTS**

All the rats that we operated on exhibited a 100% survival rate accompanied by normal food and water intake. No other complications were observed, including infection of the wound, volvulus, intestinal obstruction, abscess, or wound dehiscence.

**Histopathological Assessment**

We analyzed the tissue reaction in the field just around the endoloop suture, staples, or the plastic clip. Under the light microscope, on the 14th postoperative day in group I (endoloop), moderate mucosal inflammation (4/10) was seen in general, with some erosions, ulcers, and granulation tissue with absceding necrotizing purulent inflammation on serosa and partly in muscular layer (3/10) (Figure 4).

In group II (staples): moderate mucosal inflammation with granulation tissue in the serosa and partly in the muscular layer (7/10) was observed (Figure 5); in only one specimen absceding and necrotizing purulent inflammation was observed. In 3 specimens (3/10), there was some mild inflammation with minimal inflammatory infiltrating cells and edema.

In group III (Hem-o-lok): moderate mucosal inflammation with granulation tissue and necrotizing inflammation on serosa was observed (6/10) accompanied by mild mucosal inflammation and granulation tissue (4/10) (Figure 6). In only one specimen, a cluster of siderophages was seen as a sign of old bleeding (Figure 7) and clearance of the affected area (Table 1).

The frequency of mild and moderate inflammation between endoloop, stapler, and Hem-o-lok groups was compared using Fisher’s exact test. We did not identify a statistical significance in the frequency of mild (\( p = 1 \)) and moderate inflammation (endoloop-stapler, \( p = 0.00036 \), Hem-o-lok-stapler, \( p = 1 \), endoloop-Hem-o-lok, \( p = 0.656 \)).
Bonferroni correction factor (multiplying the level of significance $p$ by 3) was not used, because we could conclude without it that there was no statistical significance.

On the 28th postoperative day, we observed the following:

In group I (endoloop): moderate inflammation with granulation tissue with absceding inflammation in the serosa (Figure 8) and partly in the muscular layer (4/10), and signs of repair (6/10) were seen with foreign body reaction in almost all specimens.

In group II (staples): the degree of inflammation in this phase varied from mild (8/10) (Figure 9) to moderate inflammation in the mucosa (1/10), while changes were more constant in the serosa, with foreign body reaction in 4 specimens (4/10). All of the above were of mild to moderate severity, uncomplicated tissue reaction to the damage.
In group III (Hem-o-lok): moderate inflammation with signs of repair in the form of mild fibrosis and focal granulation tissue was seen (Figure 10), with foreign body reaction in 3 specimens (3/10) (Table 2). Using Bonferroni correction factor, we identified a statistical significance in the frequency of mild and moderate inflammation when we compared the Endoloop-stapler \((p=0.00036)\) and Hem-o-lok-stapler \((p=0.0033)\) groups. There were no statistically significant differences when we compared endoloop with Hem-o-lok clip groups. We concluded from these data that mild and moderate inflammation is more frequent in endoloop and Hem-o-lok groups than in the stapler group.

With Bonferroni correction, a statistical significance was identified in the frequency of foreign body reaction between the endoloop and stapler groups \((p=0.033)\) and endoloop and Hem-o-lok groups \((p=0.009)\), but there were no statistically significant differences between the stapler and Hem-o-lok clip groups. Foreign body reaction is more frequent in the endoloop than in the stapler and Hem-o-lok groups.

**DISCUSSION**

Unlike the standard classical appendectomy procedure, different methods of securing the stump of the appendix during laparoscopic appendectomy have been reported.\(^1\)\(^,\)\(^4\) However, use of different materials may vary in terms of inflammation, foreign body reaction, or rate of infection in the surgical area. Generally speaking, tissues respond to sutures as they would to any foreign material. If the body’s response to the suture is intense, the suture itself may be broken down, or the tissue surrounding the suture may be destroyed. The possible consequences of a severe tissue reaction include edematous and friable tissue that has less holding capacity for the sutures, infection that enhances the inflammatory response and dehiscence. It would be ideal to

---

**Figure 9.** Stapler 28th day, HEx10: mild inflammation in the mucosa with focal mild fibrosis (almost normal wall).

**Figure 10.** Hem-o-look 28th day, HEx10: moderate inflammation with signs of repair in the form of mild fibrosis and focal granulation tissue.

**Table 2.**

| Degree of Inflammation                                    | Endoloop | Stapler | Hem-o-lok |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|----------|---------|-----------|
| Mild mucosal inflammation                                 | 0        | 6       | 1\(^a\)   |
| Ulcer and granulation tissue                              | 0        | 0       | 0         |
| Moderate severe mucosal inflammation and granulation tissue on serosa | 4\(^b\)   | 1       | 3\(^d\)   |
| Foreign body reaction                                     | 6\(^c\)  | 6\(^b\) | 3         |

\(^a\)With granulation tissue.
\(^b\)With abscess formation.
\(^c\)With signs or reparation in level of muscular layer and serosa.
\(^d\)With focal erosions and reparation in form of mild fibrosis.
utilize a suture material that would induce minimal tissue responses.8,9

In the study of Kosan et al.,10 in which they compared Vicryl (polyglactin 910), PDS (polydyoxanone), and chromed catgut sutures in the rat model, the lowest level of inflammation was seen in the PDS group. However, in our study the mildest reactive changes were seen on the 28th postoperative day in the stapler group. Staples are made of titanium, which elicits minimal tissue reaction.

The foreign body reactions, characterized by the formation of foreign body giant cells, encapsulation of the foreign object, and chronic inflammation,11 were significantly different depending on the method used for securing of the stump of the appendix; thus, the staple and Hem-o-lok clips reduced its frequency. Foreign body reaction was correlated with the duration of suture absorption, developing at the end of the absorption processes.12 Thus, one way that we can explain the lower level of foreign body reaction to these materials is that both Hem-o-lok clips and titanium stapler line are nonabsorbable materials.

There is currently only one report of encountered foreign body reaction associated with stapled human gastrointestinal anastomoses.13 In a porcine model, mini-
eign body reaction associated with stapled human gas-
There is currently only one report of encountered for-
mal fibrosis around the titanium staples was reported with only an occasional foreign-body giant-cell reaction.14 However, Klein et al.,15 in the study in which a rat model was used, reported that there were no significant differences in fibrous tissue formation between titanium and absorbable polymeric surgical clips at any time.

Our study is the first to evaluate the effects of Hem-o-
lok clips on the intestine. We observed that Hem-o-lok clips caused a milder reaction than endoloop clips did. Although a precise comparison cannot be made because this study was performed in a rat model, the reaction in humans is generally similar to but less intense than that observed in experimental animals.16

An ideal suture would provide high tensile strength and knot security, resist infection and contamination, and react minimally with the tissue in which it is embedded.17 Differences between the degree of inflammation and foreign body reaction to different suture materials used in our study were statistically significant. We observed the lowest degree of inflammation and foreign body reaction in the stapler group. Considering our results, it may be prudent to declare that titanium staples that cause a milder tissue reaction may be advan-

tageous as a method of securing the base of the append-
dix in laparoscopic appendectomy. However, this is just one aspect of its use; Hem-o-lok nonabsorbable plastic clips also exhibited a mild reaction phenotype, whilst their price and simplicity of application, would give them a strong advantage for use in laparoscopic appendectomy.5 We could conclude that “perfect” suture material may never become available, and that surgeons will have to examine each surgical problem and select the appropriate materials accordingly.18
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