Traversable wormholes in Einstein-Dirac-Maxwell theory

Jose Luis Blázquez-Salcedo,1,2 Christian Knoll,2 and Eugen Radu3

1Departamento de Física Teórica, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, E-28040 Madrid, Spain
2Institut für Physik, Universität Oldenburg, Postfach 2503, D-26111 Oldenburg, Germany
3Departamento de Matemática da Universidade de Aveiro and Centre for Research and Development in Mathematics and Applications (CIDMA), Campus de Santiago, 3810-183 Aveiro, Portugal

(Dated: October 16, 2020)

We construct traversable wormholes in Einstein-Dirac-Maxwell theory in four spacetime dimensions, without needing any form of exotic matter. Restricting to a model with two massive fermions in a singlet spinor state, we show the existence of spherically symmetric asymptotically flat configurations which are free of singularities, representing localized states. These solutions satisfy a generalized Smarr relation, being connected with the extremal Reissner-Nordström black holes. They also possess a finite mass and electric charge $Q_e$, with $Q_e/M > 1$. An exact wormhole solution with ungauged, massless fermions is also reported.

Introduction.— The wormholes (WHs) have entered modern physics soon after the discovery of black holes (BHs) [1,2]. In both cases it took decades to understand their rich physical content and to realize that they may play a role in Nature. However, while there is increasing evidence for the existence of (astrophysical) BHs, the (Lorentzian, traversable) WHs remain so far rather an interesting possibility. A basic difference between these two types of solutions occurs already at the level of energy-momentum supporting the corresponding geometries. While the BHs exist in vacuum, being the end point of (normal matter’s) gravitational collapse, the traversable WHs necessarily require a matter content violating the null energy condition [3, 4]. Restricting to a field theory source and a classical matter content violating the null energy condition [3], collapse, the traversable WHs necessarily require a level of energy-momentum supporting the corresponding geometries. While the BHs exist in vacuum, being the end point of (normal matter’s) gravitational collapse, the traversable WHs necessarily require a matter content violating the null energy condition [3, 4]. Restricting to a field theory source and a classical setting, the (bosonic) matter fields necessarily possess a non-standard Lagrangian (e.g. ‘phantom’ fields [5]), or one has to consider extensions of gravity beyond general relativity (see e.g. [6, 7]).

However, as we shall prove in this work, the situation changes for fermions, with the existence of traversable WHs solutions of the Einstein-Dirac equations. In our approach, the Dirac matter is described by a quantum wave function rather than a quantum field. This results in a more tractable model, with the backreaction of the matter to spacetime geometry being taken into account. Moreover, the inclusion of an electric charge leads to ‘smooth’ geometries, without the presence of a thin shell of extra-matter at the throat of the WH.

Einstein-Dirac-Maxwell model.— We consider a model with two gauged fermions, the spin of which is taken to be opposite in order to satisfy spherical symmetry. Working in units with $G = c = \hbar = 1$, the action of the corresponding Einstein-Dirac-Maxwell (EDM) model reads

$$ S = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[ \frac{1}{4} R + \mathcal{L}_D - \frac{1}{4} F^2 \right], $$

where $R$ is the Ricci scalar of the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$, $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$ is the field strength tensor of the U(1) field $A_\mu$, and

$$ \mathcal{L}_D = \sum_{\epsilon=1,2} \left[ \frac{i}{2} \overline{\Psi}_\epsilon \gamma^\nu \tilde{D}_\nu \Psi_\epsilon - \frac{i}{2} \tilde{D}_\nu \overline{\Psi}_\epsilon \gamma^\nu \Psi_\epsilon - \mu \overline{\Psi}_\epsilon \Psi_\epsilon \right], $$

where $\gamma^\nu$ are the curved space gamma matrices [8] and $\mu$ is the mass of both spinors $\Psi_{\epsilon=1,2}$. Also, $\tilde{D}_\mu = \partial_\mu + \Gamma_\mu - i q A_\mu$, where $\Gamma_\mu$ are the spinor connection matrices, and $q$ is the gauge coupling constant. The resulting field equations are

$$ R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} R g_{\mu\nu} = 2 T_{\mu\nu} \text{ with } T_{\mu\nu} = T^{(D)}_{\mu\nu} + T^{(M)}_{\mu\nu}, $$

$$ (\gamma^\nu \tilde{D}_\nu - \mu) \Psi_\epsilon = 0, \quad \nabla_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = j^\nu, $$

with the current $j^\nu = \sum_{\epsilon=1,2} \overline{\Psi}_\epsilon \gamma^\nu \Psi_\epsilon$ and $T^{(D)}_{\mu\nu} = \sum_{\epsilon=1,2} 23 (\overline{\Psi}_\epsilon \gamma^\nu (\tilde{D}_{\nu}) \Psi_\epsilon), T^{(M)}_{\mu\nu} = F_{\mu\alpha} F^{\alpha\nu} - \frac{1}{4} F^2 g_{\mu\nu}$. Restricting to static, spherically-symmetric solutions of the field equations, we consider a generic metric ansatz $ds^2 = g_{tt}(r) dt^2 + g_{rr}(r) dr^2 + g_{\Theta\Theta}(r) d\Theta^2$, where $r$ and $t$ are the radial and time coordinates, and $d\Omega^2 = d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2$. The U(1) field is purely electric, with $A = V(r) dt$. A general spinors Ansatz compatible with the symmetries of the considered line element is

$$ \Psi_\epsilon = e^{-iwt} R_\epsilon(r) \otimes \Theta_\epsilon(\theta, \varphi), $$

(4)
with \( w \) the frequency and
\[
\mathcal{R}_1 = -i \mathcal{R}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \phi(r) \\ -i \phi'(r) \end{bmatrix}, \\
\Theta_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -\kappa \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \\ \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \end{bmatrix} e^{i \frac{\theta}{2}}, \quad \Theta_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \kappa \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \\ \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \end{bmatrix} e^{-i \frac{\theta}{2}},
\]
with \( \kappa = \pm 1 \). Also, assuming \( r > 0 \), one considers the usual tetrad choice, with \( e^\nu = \sqrt{g_{rr}} dr, \ e^\theta = \sqrt{g_{\theta\theta}} d\theta, \ e^\varphi = \sqrt{g_{\varphi\varphi}} \sin \theta d\varphi, \ e^t = -\sqrt{-g} dt \).

A useful parametrization in the numerics is \( \phi = |\phi| e^{i \alpha / 2} = e^{i \pi / 4} F - e^{-i \pi / 4} G \). Then the entire matter content of the model is encoded in the two real fermion functions \( F(r), G(r) \), together with the electrostatic potential \( V(r) \). This is essentially the framework used in [6] to construct (topologically trivial) particle-like solutions of the EDM system. In what follows we show that the system possess also traversable WH configurations [12].

An exact solution.— The resulting EMD equations can be solved analytically in the \( q = 0 \) limit, the spinor fields being massless, with \( w = 0 \). The solution has the metric and the U(1) potential
\[
ds^2 = -(1 - \frac{M}{r}) dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{(1 - \frac{r_0}{r})(1 - \frac{Q^2}{r_0^2})} + r^2 d\Omega^2, \tag{5}
\]
while the spinor functions are
\[
F(r) = c_0 \left( \sqrt{1 - \frac{Q^2}{r_0^2} - \kappa \sqrt{1 - \frac{r_0}{r}}} \right)^2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{M}{r}}, \\
G(r) = \frac{\kappa r_0}{32 c_0 (Q^2 + r_0^2)} \left( \sqrt{1 - \frac{Q^2}{r_0^2} + \kappa \sqrt{1 - \frac{r_0}{r}}} \right)^2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{M}{r}},
\]

with \( c_0 \neq 0 \) an arbitrary constant. This describes a (regular) traversable WH solution, with \( r_0 \) the throat’s radius and \( Q_e < r_0 \) the electric charge, while \( M \) is the ADM mass (note that \( Q_e/M > 1 \)). The WH geometry is supported by the spinors contribution to the total energy-momentum tensor, being regular everywhere. As \( Q_e \to r_0 \), the extremal Reissner-Nordström (RN) BH is approached, while \( T^{(D)}_{\mu\nu} \to 0 \).

Although this solution captures some basic properties of the general configurations below, it also possesses some undesirable features. In particular, the spinor wave function is not normalizable, since \( |\phi| \) does not vanish as \( r \to \infty \). However, the situation changes in a model with massive fermions, they becoming exponentially localized.

The general case.— The generic solutions have \( \mu \neq 0, \ q \neq 0 \) and are found numerically, by employing a metric ansatz which makes transparent the WH structure and simplifies the numerics [6]
\[
ds^2 = -e^{2\nu(r)} dt^2 + f(r) dr^2 + (r^2 + r_0^2) d\Omega^2, \tag{6}
\]
with \( r_0 > 0 \) the radius of the throat, which is located at \( r = 0 \) (with \( A_T = 4\pi r_0^2 \) the throat area). The WH consists in two different regions \( \Sigma_\pm \) of the same Universe. The ‘up’ region is found for \( 0 < r < \infty \); there is also a ‘down’ region, with \( -\infty < r < 0 \). However, in general the joining at \( r = 0 \) of these regions is not ‘smooth’, with a discontinuity of the metric derivatives. This implies the presence of a thin mass shell structure at the throat, with a \( \delta \)-source added to the action (e.g. the surface energy density is \( \epsilon_T = -\nu'(0)/\sqrt{f(0)} \)). The condition for a ‘smooth’ geometry is \( \nu'(0) = 0 \).

Also, we shall consider the case of a symmetric WH, the geometry [6] and the energy-momentum tensor being invariant under the transformation \( r \to -r \). The sign change of \( r \) at the WH’s throat reflects in a change of sign of the tetrad [15]. Then the matter functions transform as \( V(r') = -V(r) \) and \( \phi(r') = i\phi(r) \) (with \( r' = -r > 0 \)), while \( \kappa \to -\kappa \) and \( w \to -w \). As such, in what follows we shall report results mainly for the \( r > 0 \) region.

With this framework, the problem reduced to solving a system of four first order equations for \( \{\nu, f, F, G\} \) and a second order equation for \( V [17] \). These equations are invariant under the transformation \( w \to w + \beta, \ V \to V + \beta/q \) (with \( \beta \) an arbitrary constant), which is fixed by imposing the electric potential to vanish at the throat.

The only global charges are the mass \( M \) and the electric charge \( Q_e \), which are read from the far field asymptotics. For the ‘up’ region, one finds \( \nu \to -M/r, \ f \to 1 + 2M/r, \ V \to \Phi - Q_e/r \) (with \( \Phi \) the electrostatic potential). The spinor functions decay as \( e^{-\mu \cdot r} / r \), where \( \mu_\ast = \sqrt{\mu^2 - (w - \Phi/q)^2} \) (with the bound state condition \( \mu_\ast^2 \geq 0 \)).

An approximate solution can also be found close the throat, with the boundary conditions \( \nu(0) = \nu_0, \ f(0) = f_0, \ F(0) = 0, \ G(0) = G_0 \) and \( V(0) = 0 \) (\( \nu_0, \ f_0, \ G_0 \) being nonzero constants).

The WHs satisfy a Smarr law, the mass being the sum of an electrostatic term and a bulk contribution
\[
M = \Phi Q_e + M_{(B)}, \tag{7}
\]
with
\[
M_{(B)} = 4 \int_0^\infty dr (r^2 + r_0^2) \left( \mu_\ast \sqrt{\mathcal{F}} e^\nu (F^2 - G^2) + qV |\phi|^2 \sqrt{\mathcal{F}} \right).
\]
By integrating the Maxwell equations, one finds
\[
Q_e = 2qQ_N + Q_T, \tag{8}
\]
where \( Q_N \) is the Noether charge of a spinor

\[
Q_N = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\Sigma} d^3x \sqrt{-g} j^0 = 4 \int_0^\infty dr \sqrt{f(r^2 + r_0^2)} |\phi|^2,
\]

and \( Q_T = V'(0)e^{-\nu(0)}r_0^2/\sqrt{f(0)} \). Similar relations hold for the \( r < 0 \) region, with mass, electric charge and Noether charge changing sign.

The equations of the model are invariant under the scaling transformation (the variables and quantities which are not specified remain invariant):

\[
(r, r_0) \rightarrow \lambda(r, r_0), \ (F, G) \rightarrow (F, G)/\sqrt{\lambda}, \ (\mu, q, w) \rightarrow (\mu, q, w)/\lambda,
\]

where \( \lambda \) is a positive constant, while various quantities of interest transform as \( (M, Q_e) \rightarrow \lambda(M, Q_e), \ (Q_N, A_H) \rightarrow \lambda^2(Q_N, A_H) \). Only quantities which are invariant under this transformation (like \( M/Q_e \)) are relevant.

As with the solitons [11, 16, 19], this transformation is used to impose the one particle condition, \( Q_N = 1 \), for each spinor in both 'up' or 'down' regions.

The solutions.— We have solved the EDM for various values of the model’s constants \((\mu, q)\). In particular, WH solutions exist also in the ED limit (i.e. \( q = 0 \) and \( V = 0 \)). However, as seen in the inlet of Fig. 1, those solutions have always \( \nu'(0) \neq 0 \), and thus require the presence of extra-matter at the throat. The ‘smooth’ configurations necessarily possess a nonzero electric charge and have \( \mu > 0 \) (although \( q \) can vanish), the profile of a typical such configuration (marked with a star in Fig. 3) being displayed in Fig. 2.

In our approach, apart from \((q, \mu)\), the other input parameters are \( \{Q_e, r_0, w\} \), all other quantities (e.g. \( M \) and \( Q_N \)) being read from the numerical output. As shown in Fig. 1, our results indicate that for fixed electric charge and field frequency, a solution with no extra-matter at the throat exists for a unique value of the throat size [20].

As such, when varying \( w \), a continuous set of ‘smooth’ solutions is found, the corresponding picture in terms of mass \( v/s. \) throat area being shown in Fig. 3 (with the quantities given in units of the electric charge). A curve there interpolates between the extremal RN BH (in which limit \( r_0 \) becomes the horizon radius while the spinor fields vanish), and a critical configuration with \( \mu_* \to 0 \). This behaviour is generic, being found for all considered values of \((q, \mu)\). The set of all critical configurations forms the critical line. Although they still possesses a smooth geometry, their ADM mass is negative, a feature shared by a set of solutions close to them. Also, we have found that all solutions constructed so far have \( Q_e/M > 1 \) and \( q/\mu < 1 \).

A complementary picture is shown in the inset of Fig. 3, with the quantities given in Planck units (with the one particle condition imposed for each spinor). When the mass \( \mu \) of the spinors is made smaller and
M behaves in this limit as constant along the colored lines in Fig. 2, for any null vector field without bounds (note that, since the product of Reissner-Nordström black hole and end in a critical line where the spatial localization of the spinors is lost.

Conclusions.— All known examples of traversable WHs with (classic) bosonic fields require some exotic matter and/or non-standard Lagrangians. However, the results in this work show that the situation changes for a fermionic matter content. WH solutions were found in the (standard) EDM theory, without introducing extra-matter in the bulk or at the throat, providing an explicit realization of Wheeler’s idea of “electric charge without charge” [21]. For the WHs to be ‘smooth’, the presence of a total electric charge is crucial, while to be traversable, the mass-charge ratio has to be smaller than one.

A semiclassical approach has been used, in which case the Dirac-Maxwell and Einstein equations are coupled, the fermionic matter being treated as a quantum wave function, a treatment which may provide a reasonable approximation under certain conditions [22]. However, we expect such configurations to exist as well in a more complete setting, with fully quantized matter fields [23], as suggested by the results in [20].

Also, although we considered a simple toy model with two localized fermions, this study can be extended to states with an arbitrary number of fermions, which would enhance the size of quantum effects, while retaining the simplifications offered by spherical symmetry [16]. EDM WHs with a single spinor should also exist, possessing an intrinsic angular momentum [27]. Generalizations of such WH solutions for the full matter content of the Standard Model are also likely to exist.
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