Crucial Roles of Systemic and Tissue Lipid Peroxidation Levels and Anti-Oxidant Defences Following Contrast Agent Application
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Abstract

Background: One of the most important side effects of contrast pharmaceutical agents, which are used very common in routine radiology practice, is contrast induced nephropathy. Even ischemia, oxidative stress and osmolality related cytotoxic effects are considered, the molecular mechanisms underlying this pathology have not been identified completely yet.

Objectives: The aim of the current study was to reveal the role of oxidative stress and antioxidant enzymatic defence mechanisms in the aetiopathogenesis of contrast-induced nephropathy. We also studied possible alleviating effects of N-acetylcysteine (NAC), a potent antioxidant, to obtain extra information regarding the molecular mechanisms underlying this pathology.

Materials and Methods: This is an clinical-experimental study. This study was conducted of Istanbul/Turkey between September 15, 2012 and April 15, 2013. Three groups of male rats were randomly set up as a control group (C), a 100 mg/kg intraperitoneal NAC + 7 mL/kg contrast agent group (N + CIN) and a 7 mL/kg intraperitoneal contrast agent group (CIN). They were placed in individual metabolic cages 48 hours after agent administration to obtain 24-hour urine samples. Renal function tests (albumin, urea, creatinine, total protein) were conducted, oxidative stress parameters (Cu, Zn superoxide dismutase activity - Cu, Zn-SOD; advanced oxidation protein products - AOPP; protein carbonyls - PCO; total thiol groups - T-SH; and lipid hydroperoxides -LHP) were measured and tissues were analysed histopathologically.

Results: Compared with the control group, groups CIN and N + CIN had significantly higher urea and LHP levels (P < 0.05 and P < 0.001, respectively) and significantly lower Cu, Zn-SOD activity and creatinine clearance (P < 0.05). There was no statistically significant difference between the groups in PCO or AOPP levels despite differences in descriptive statistics.

Conclusions: Contrast-agent-induced nephropathic changes are more closely related to the magnitude of lipid peroxidation than protein oxidation.

1. Background

In daily contemporary clinical practice, some patients commonly require medications that are well known to be nephrotoxic. Among these pharmaceuticals, contrast agents may lead to significant morbidities, such as acute kidney injury, in some patients; in others, they may cause no specific complications at all. This kidney injury can occur in a broad spectrum from asymptomatic status to dialysis-needing renal impairment. The pharmacological constituents, osmolality, dosage, concentration, route and rate of application of the contrast agent all may contribute to adverse reactions (1). Acute kidney injury (AKI), which affects 13-18% of all patients admitted to hospitals, is a potentially reversible problem. Improvements in its recognition and early interventions could have a major impact on patient outcome (2). The incidence of contrast induced nephropathy (CIN) has been calculated to be > 2% in the general population (3). CIN is the third most common cause of hospital-acquired AKI (4). The aetiopathogenesis of CIN has not yet been fully understood or clearly explained by a single mechanism. In terms of CIN, high-risk patients include those individuals with co-morbid conditions, such as impaired renal function, diabetes mellitus, or congestive heart failure. Other risk factors include advanced age, female gender, dehydration and excessive use of contrast agents (1).

There are many studies confirming the protective effects of N-acetylcysteine (NAC) against CIN. It has been shown that NAC causes a significant decrease in urinary levels of 15-isoprostane F2t, a specific marker of oxidative stress (5). N-acetylcysteine is a frequently used medication that is recommended for preventing an acidic envi-
vironment and the formation of free radicals in the renal tubules (6). N-acetylcysteine also increases the production of nitric oxide, which has vasodilatory properties, and the concentration of glutathione, which acts as a free radical scavenger (3). Hence, NAC is commonly used as a prophylactic for CIN, and it is even used as a treatment for it. However, recent studies have yielded conflicting and contradictory results about the prophylactic use of NAC against CIN (7). Currently, the major treatment modality that many authors agree upon for the prophylaxis of CIN is hydration (8).

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) are atoms, molecules and particles that are unstable and reactive because of having unpaired valence electrons. ROS exist as natural mediators of metabolism to maintain cellular homeostasis. They are integral components of multiple cellular pathways, functioning as anti-microbial effector molecules and as signalling molecules that regulate processes such as nuclear factor-kB (NF-kB) transcriptional activity and signal transduction (9). There is increasing evidence that ROS may act as signalling intermediates in a variety of cellular responses, such as gene transcription, activation of signalling kinases, antigen-IGE-induced mast cell degranulation and leukotriene release (10). However, ROS production may increase significantly in response to environmental stressors, resulting in extensive cellular damage (11). To reach a reasonably stable molecular state, endogenous and/or exogenous ROS react with proteins, nucleic acids, and polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) in phospholipids and thus cause oxidative damage. Oxidative stress occurs when the balance between ROS production and antioxidant defence capacity is disrupted in favour of a pro-oxidant state, which may result in cellular death (12). Emerging biomarkers that may be used to assess oxidative stress have always been an alluring subject for all health care professionals. State of the art, easily applied, rapid and correct tools for measuring such stress with validated methods are beneficial and crucial to investigate its role in the pathophysiology of diseases and responses to treatment modalities, as well as to obtain survey observations and valuable information for disease prognosis. Free radical molecules have very short half-lives (on the order of a few seconds), and their in vivo measurement encounters many difficulties due to their nature. However, oxy radical derivatives (such as hydrogen peroxide, lipid hydroperoxides, peroxyxinitrite, and hypochlorite ion) are stable and have longer half-lives, and they may be measured and monitored repeatedly (12). Starting with this point of view, the concept of this study was to investigate the role and magnitude of oxidative stress during the development of kidney injury and possible effects of NAC administration as an anti-oxidative agent for the treatment of this pathologic condition by using an experimental vertebrate animal method. The markers of oxidative stress that were analysed for this study are summarized in Table 1.

2. Objectives

The aim of the current study was to reveal the role of oxidative stress and antioxidant enzymatic defence mechanisms in the aetiopathogenesis of contrast-induced nephropathy.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Location and Time of the Study

This study was conducted in Istanbul/Turkey between September 15, 2012 and April 15, 2013. All the equipments (pipettes, precision scale analyzer, dispensers etc.) were calibrated periodically and checked during the study. All the variables were chosen based on oxidative stress, routine clinical chemistry and their relation to the disease.

3.2. Experimental Animals and Treatment

In this clinical-experimental study, 23 male Wistar rats were randomly divided (simple randomization) into three groups. One of these groups was randomly selected as the control. In this study, determining the sample size was calculated using statistical power analysis. The effect size of this study was 0.05, the value of alpha was 0.05 and power is 1-beta was calculated 0.80 and the sample size was found minimum 23 rats. Male Wistar albino rats (n: 23, weight 350 ± 50 g, 20 weeks old) were included in the study. They were obtained from Istanbul Bezm-i Alem Vakif University faculty of medicine experimental animal laboratory. The rats were housed in standard cages in an air-conditioned room at 22°C under controlled light conditions. The experimental animals were randomly divided into 3 groups: group C (control group, n = 7), group N + CIN (NAC + contrast agent group, n = 8) and group CIN (contrast agent group, n = 8). Group C underwent intraperitoneal application of only saline. Group N + CIN was administered 100 mg/kg NAC in 15 minutes. through intraperitoneal infusion followed by 7 mL/kg meglumine diatrizoate in 45 minutes. through the same way. Group CIN received 7 mL/kg meglumine diatrizoate in 45 minutes. through intraperitoneal infusion. Twenty-four hours after the infusions, the rats were placed in metabolic cages in order to collect 24-hour urine samples individually. Forty-eight hours after the onset of the experiment, the rats were anaesthetised with ketamine/xylazine (44 mg/kg and 33 mg/kg) and sacrificed. Blood samples were drawn into tubes containing a
Table 1. Oxidative Stress Parameters Measured and Their Brief Descriptions

| Evaluated Parameter                          | Brief Explanation                                                                 |
|----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Lipid hydroperoxides                        | Prominent non-radical intermediates of lipid peroxidation (13)                     |
| Protein carbonyl groups                      | Early biomarkers of oxidative stress to protein, which have been observed in Alzheimer’s disease, rheumatoid arthritis, diabetes, sepsis, chronic renal failure, and respiratory distress syndrome (14) |
| Cu, Zn superoxide dismutase activity         | One of the key enzymatic antioxidants of the defence system, by which the free radicals that are produced during metabolic reactions are removed (15) |
| Advanced oxidation protein products          | A potential diagnostic and/or prognostic indicator for diseases marking oxidative stress presence (16) |
| Total thiols                                 | Reducing agents that are not susceptible to oxidative modification or regulation and also have important roles in the stability and solubility of proteins (17) |
| Urea                                         | Antioxidant effect on tissues through inhibiting oxidation of divalent iron, which is protective against lipid peroxidation (18) |
| Albumin                                      | One of the most potent extracellular antioxidant defences in blood plasma (19)     |
| Creatinine                                   | A significant antioxidant scavenger (20)                                           |

The experiments were performed in accordance with the internationally accepted standard ethical guidelines for laboratory animal use and care as described in the European community guidelines. The study protocols were approved by the institutional animal ethics committee of Istanbul Bezm-i Alem Vakif University of Medical Sciences in Istanbul-Turkey (reference code: 2012/259). All chemicals and reagents were supplied by Merck (Darmstadt, Germany) or Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO, USA).

3.3. Preparation of Supernatant Fractions of Kidney Tissues

Samples of kidney tissue were first washed in cooled 0.9 % NaCl solution and then placed on an ice-cold plate. The samples were immediately frozen in liquid N₂ until homogenization. The tissue samples (200 mg) were homogenized manually in 2 mL of homogenizing buffer (100 mM KH₂PO₄ · K₂HPO₄, pH 7.4). Finally, the homogenate was centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 10 minutes, and the supernatant fraction was collected for analyses of various parameters. All the homogenates were stored at -80°C till the analytical experiments were performed.

3.4. Measurement of Protein Carbonyls

Protein carbonyl groups (PCO) were measured spectrophotometrically by using the Reznick and Packer method (21). The principle of this method depends on the reaction of PCO groups with 2, 4-dinitrophenylhydrazine (DNPH) to yield chromophoric dinitrophenylhydrazones. DNPH was dissolved in HCl, and after the DNPH reaction, proteins were precipitated with an equal volume of 20 % (w/v) trichloroacetic acid and washed three times with 4 mL of an equal ethanol/ethyl acetate mixture (1:1). Washing was accomplished by mechanical disruption of pellets using a small spatula and repelleting by centrifugation at 6000 g for 5 minutes. Finally, the protein precipitates were dissolved in 6 M guanidine-HCl solution, and the absorbance values were measured at 360 nm using the molar extinction coefficient of DNPH, ε = 22,000 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹.

3.5. Measurement of Advanced Oxidation Protein Products

Advanced oxidation protein products (AOPPs) were analysed by using a method validated and optimized by Hanasand et al. (22). Forty µL supernatant fractions of homogenate and serum were first diluted using 160 µL of 0.2 M citric acid. Then, 10 µL of potassium iodide was added to each well of the microplate. The absorbance of each well was measured at 340 nm against a solvent blank (190 µL of 0.20 mol/L citric acid and 10 µL of potassium iodide). Sample absorbance was expressed as µmol/L (µmol/L chloramine-T equivalents) using a chloramine-T standard curve.

3.6. Measurement of Lipid Hydroperoxides

The range of lipid hydro peroxide (LHP and LOOHs) levels was detected spectrophotometrically by using a method that uses oxidation of ferrous ions with xylene orange (23). LOOHs oxidize ferrous to ferric ions selectively in diluted acid, and the amount of resultant ferric ions is determined by using a ferric-sensitive dye, which indicates the concentration of LOOHs. Fifty µL aliquots of the samples were transferred into microcentrifuge reaction vials. Ferrous
xylenol orange reagent (950 μL) was added into each sample vial at the same time with vortex mixing. After incubation at room temperature for 30 minutes, all of the samples were centrifuged at 3000 g at 20°C for 10 minutes, and the supernatant was transferred into microplate wells. Finally, the absorbance was read at 560 nm against a reagent blank.

3.8. Measurement of Cu, Zn Superoxide Dismutase Activity

Cu, Zn superoxide dismutase (SOD) (EC 1.15.1.1) activity levels were measured using the method described by Sun et al. (24), which depends on the fact that when superoxide radicals, which are produced by the xanthine-xanthine oxidase system, are not removed by the enzyme Cu, Zn SOD, nitro blue tetrazolium (NBT), which is present in the reaction medium, is reduced, and the colour change of which is measured spectrophotometrically. Assay mixture aliquots of 972 μL (containing 0.3 mmol/L xanthine, 0.6 mmol/L Na2EDTA, 150 μmol/L NBT, 400 mmol/L Na2CO3, and 1g/L albumin) and 13 μL XO (167 U/L) were added into 25 μL plasma sample tubes separately. At the end of a 20 minutes incubation period, 250 μL of 0.8 mmol/L CuCl2 was added to each well in order to terminate the reaction. Finally, absorbance values were read at 560 nm against a reagent blank.

3.9. Measurement of Thiols Groups

Total thiol group (T-SH) values were analysed using the method described by Sedlak and Lindsay (25). The principle of the method is the production of 1 mole of 2-nitro-5-thiobenzoic acid from each mole of thiol groups as a result of the reduction of 5, 5'-dithiobis 2-nitrobenzoic acid (DTNB) (26). Sample aliquots of 125 μL were mixed with 375 μL of 0.2 M tris buffer pH 8.2, 25 μL of 0.01 M DTNB and then with 1975 μL absolute methanol. A reagent blank (without sample) and a sample blank (without DTNB) were prepared in a similar manner. After a 15 minutes incubation period, the mixtures were centrifuged at 3000 g at room temperature for 15 minutes. The absorbance values of the supernatant fractions were read at 412 nm with a spectrophotometer against a blank.

3.10. Measurement of Serum Total Protein, Albumin, Urea, Creatinine, and Creatinine Clearance

Routine biochemical analyses were run immediately using an automated multi-item analyser (TMS-1024, Tokyo Boeki Medical System Ltd., Japan measuring protein with Biuret method, albumin with bromocresol green method, urea with urease method, and creatinine with Jaffe method).

3.11. Histopathological Examination

Renal tissue samples were fixed in 10% paraformaldehyde in phosphate buffered saline for histological examination. They were postfixed in the same fixative overnight for preparing paraffin and frozen sections (30% sucrose in 0.1 M phosphate buffer saline for 2 days after post-fixation). Serial coronal paraffin sections (4-5 μm thick) were cut for haematoxylin and eosin staining.

3.12. Statistical Analysis

For the statistical analysis of the findings obtained during the study, NCSS (Number cruncher statistical system) 2007 and PASS (power analysis and sample size) 2008 Statistical Software (Utah, USA) were used. Besides descriptive statistical (mean, standard deviation, minimum, maximum) methods, The one-way ANOVA test (one-way variance analysis) was used to compare three or more groups with normal distribution, chi-squared test to identify the potential difference between two groups or more and the Turkey HSD test to determine the group responsible of the difference. Kruskal-Wallis test and Mann-Whitney U-test were used to compare three or more groups with abnormal distribution. Significance was considered to be at a level of P < 0.05.

4. Results

The average weight of rats in the control group was 332.71 ± 20.62 g, whereas it was 317.25 ± 13.74 g in the N + CIN group and 349.5 ± 32.68 g in the CIN group. There was no significant difference between the groups (P = 0.057). The average weight of kidney tissue in the control group was 2.2 ± 0.25 g, whereas it was 2.5 ± 0.41 g in the N + CIN group and 2.4 ± 0.27 g in the CIN group. There was no significant difference between these groups either (P = 0.233).

Routine serum renal functional test results are given in Table 2. There was no significant difference between the three groups in total protein or albumin levels (P = 0.083 and P = 0.094). The difference in serum urea levels between the three groups was statistically significant (P = 0.008). Serum urea levels were significantly higher in the N + CIN and CIN groups than in group C (P = 0.02). There was no significant difference between the three groups in terms of serum creatinine levels (P = 0.058). In addition, there was a significant difference in total urine output between the groups (P = 0.027). The rats in group N + CIN excreted urine higher volumes than the rats in group CIN (P = 0.015). Creatinine clearance values also differed significantly between the groups (P = 0.048) (Table 2).

AOPP levels in serum and kidney homogenates did not differ significantly from each other (P = 0.994 and P = 0.661)
Table 2. Evaluation of Routine Clinical Chemistry Parameters

|                   | Group C (n = 7) | Group N + CIN (n = 8) | Group CIN (n = 8) |
|-------------------|----------------|----------------------|------------------|
| Serum total protein, g/dL | 5.81 ± 0.42 | 6.36 ± 0.39 | 6.13 ± 0.46 |
| Serum albumin, g/dL | 3.47 ± 0.33 | 3.3 ± 0.35 | 3.34 ± 0.19 |
| Serum urea, mg/dL | 40.83 ± 5.34 | 55.67 ± 6.31 | 48.17 ± 6.14 |
| Serum creatinine, mg/dL | 0.66 ± 0.07 | 0.65 ± 0.08 | 0.66 ± 0.05 |
| Total urine output, mL/24 h | 9.57 ± 1.62 | 12.38 ± 3.29 | 7.63 ± 2.83 |
| Creatinine clearance, ml/min | 1.44 ± 0.32 | 1.11 ± 0.32 | 1.00 ± 0.32 |

*Values are expressed as mean ± SD.

**Kruskal Wallis test, P < 0.01.

***Kruskal Wallis test, P < 0.05.

(Figure 1). LHP levels in the kidney did not differ significantly between groups (P > 0.236). However, there was a significant difference between the groups in terms of systemic LHP levels (P = 0.008). Groups N + CIN and CIN each had significantly higher systemic LHP levels when compared to group C (P = 0.004, P = 0.009) (Figure 2). Neither systemic nor tissue levels of T-SH showed any statistically significant difference among the three groups (P = 0.971 and P = 0.597) (Figure 3). There was a significant difference between the groups in terms of Cu, Zn-SOD activity (P = 0.044). Levels of serum Cu, Zn-SOD activity were lower in groups N + CIN than in group C (p = 0.026) (Figure 4). Serum and tissue PCO levels were not significantly different between the groups (P = 0.884, P = 0.78) (Figure 5).

Histopathological examination revealed the effects of contrast agent on renal tissue. While the kidney tissue from group C was physiological in appearance (Figure 6), tubular dilatation, which is the gathering of contrast agent and the presence of necrotic areas around tubules, were noticed in the experimental groups (Figures 7 and 8).

5. Discussion

The pathophysiology of CIN has not yet been fully elucidated. Therefore, finding a proper and robust prophylactic and/or treatment is an important clinical issue. In this current study, we aimed to investigate the possible scope and consistency of oxidative damage in experimen-
Preserved glomerular and tubular structures can be observed (H&E X 400).

Figure 4. Cu, Zn Superoxide Dismutase Activity Levels in Serum

Figure 5. Protein Carbonyl Levels in Both Serum and Tissue Homogenates

Figure 6. Histopathological Examination of Kidney Tissue From Group C

Figure 7. Histopathological Examination of Kidney Tissue From Group N + CIN

Figure 8. Histopathological Examination of Kidney Tissue From Group CIN

Mild tubular necrosis (long arrow) and interstitial inflammation (plasma cells; short arrow) can be observed (H&E X 400).

Antioxidant, was assessed in the progression, prevention and treatment of CIN. Preliminary histopathological examination and results regarding LHP, Cu Zn SOD activity, urea, creatinine clearance and total urine output levels support the idea that contrast agents damage renal cells in rats through the mechanism of medullar hypoxia and cause acute kidney injury through oxidative stress, such as in many hypotheses regarding the pathophysiology of CIN (27, 28). Although previous researchers have successfully demonstrated that NAC, ascorbic acid, melatonin, caffeic acid phenethyl ester and L-carnitine have renal protec-
tive effects in addition to hydration, there is no standard-
ized treatment modality for all age and patient groups.
The aforementioned supplements may prevent contrast-
induced nephropathy and its consequences by modifying
renal haemodynamics and reducing direct oxidative tissue
injury (29). The most widely used and well-known agent,
NAC, is being recommended by many researchers in pre-
ventive clinical approaches where therapy is indicated. De-
spite this general perspective on NAC, there are some stud-
ies that disagree regarding its preventive and curative ef-
fects (29).

Unlike all routine clinical chemistry practice, there is
no standard predictive and/or prognostic golden
biomarker for contrast-induced kidney injury. As part
of the biochemical aspect, the statistical significance of
elevated serum urea levels, reduced urine excretion, and
most importantly decreased creatinine clearance levels
in the contrast agent group indicate that CIN was exper-
imentally confirmed. In contrast, the higher total urine
excretion in groups C and N + CIN compared to group
CIN note the protective effect of NAC, as was previously
proven (5). When renal function tests, such as serum
urea and creatinine clearance values are considered, our
study falls into the category of those suggesting that NAC
possesses a partial positive effect. On the other hand,
the lack of statistical significance in creatinine levels
might be due to the lack of balanced and homogenous
hydration of the animals as a result of sustained stress
following the experimentation and the possible presence
of idiosyncratic contrast agent hepatotoxicity together
with nephrotoxicity.

For a comprehensive definition, it is extremely diffi-
cult to analyse the degree of oxidation or modification
of macromolecules in a time-dependent manner at the
present time. Once lipid peroxidation is initiated, a prop-
gating chain of reactions will take place until termina-
tion products are produced. Polyunsaturated fatty acids
(PUFAs) of cell membranes are major substrates for lipid
peroxidation due to their methylene -CH
2
- groups, which
are especially reactive with ROS due to their hydrogen con-
tent (30). Such ROS reactions can also lead to protein dam-
age, including DNA repair enzyme and polymerase impair-
ment, as well as the production of aldehyde by-products,
such as malondialdehyde and 4-hydroxy-2-nonenal (31).
Lipid peroxidation-derived aldehydes can easily diffuse
across membranes and can covalently modify any proteins
in the cytoplasm and nucleus far from their site of origin
(32). On the other hand, antioxidants are substances that
delay or prevent the oxidation of molecules even when
they are present in low amounts compared to oxidizable
substrates. The mechanisms of action of these antioxi-
dants include catalytic removal of ROS (e.g., superoxide
dismutase, superoxide reductase, catalase, peroxidase), de-
creasing ROS formation (e.g., mitochondrial uncoupling
proteins, transferrin, haptoglobins, haemopexin, metallo-
tionin), protecting biomolecules against oxidative dam-
age (e.g., chaperones), physical quenching of ROS (e.g.,
carotenoids), and the replacement of molecules sensi-
tive to oxidative damage with resistant molecules, which
are ‘sacrificial agents’ that are oxidized by ROS to pre-
serve more important molecules (e. g., glutathione, α-
tocopherol, bilirubin, ascorbate, urate) (33, 34). It can be
concluded from this study that these mechanisms pre-
serve proteins more than lipids or that protein oxidation
has a relatively minor role in the pathogenesis of nephro-
toxicity (Figures 1, 3, and 4). Therefore, the novelty (and to
some extent superiority) of this study is that lipid peroxi-
dation is more prominent or persistent than protein oxida-
tion with regard to oxidative damage to macromolecules.

T-SH groups act as a redox sensor for the regulation of
renal redox status (35). It is well known that NAC is
a molecule that possesses thiol (-SH) groups. Therefore,
when compared to the control group, the lower decline
in T-SH levels in both serum and kidney tissues in group
N + CIN than in group CIN may support the significance
of timely antioxidant defences and their ability to protect
macromolecules. The lack of a significant difference in PCO
concentrations in either sera or tissue homogenates, in ad-
dition to the similar results regarding AOPP levels, indicate
that oxidative stress in CIN is not as effective as anticipated
in the redox regulation of plasma and tissue proteins.

5.1. Limitations

The limitation was low sample size. Therefore cannot
be generalized this results. More sample size studies rec-
ommended for further research.
- The sample size can be varied with old/young,
  male/female, diseased/healthy working groups.
- It can be obtained more sensitivity and high speci-
city results with Western blot protein analysis.
- Both the contrast agent and the NAC infusion can be
  applied higher doses or as repeated doses.
- It can be clarified specific relationship of these param-
eters with contrast nephropathy, in particular lipid peroxi-
dation and later by working basal value of their products.

5.2. Conclusions

If the limitations of this study are to be mentioned,
there are plenty of biomarkers and tools for measuring
the oxidative stress status of living organisms from biologi-
cal materials. No single test shows all the modification
processes of lipid peroxidation and protein oxidation. We
were able to measure only eight oxidative stress markers.
The number of animal disease model groups could have been higher or built differently regarding aspects such as animal type, dosage, and pharmaceutical applications. In future studies, we suggest that researchers use a higher dose of contrast agent, a possible period of dehydration, repeated exposure to different contrast agents and a selection of different types of animals or cell culture. We also suggest to add risk factors by application of streptozotocin or alloxan and using aged animals for better comparison to humans. Oxidative damage to DNA and assessment of 8-oxo-2′-deoxyguanosine (8-oxo-dG) should also be included during the conception and design of further studies. Finally, by establishing basal levels and determining better mechanistic relations of lipid peroxidation parameters, further larger studies will lead to more preferable biological markers from a clinical standpoint.
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