Correction of congenital cleft earlobe with front and back flaps
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Abstract (J Korean Assoc Oral Maxillofac Surg 2017;43:423-426)

Congenital auricular deformities may be either deformational or malformational. Malformational anomalies present with a skin or cartilage shortage. Two cases with congenital cleft earlobe were presented. A new surgical technique using a two-layered repair with front and back flaps were introduced. She waited to begin wearing earrings until six months after the surgery to prevent possible scar contracture. The patients were followed up for a period ranging from 3 to 14 months. The earlobe volume deficiency was replaced, and acceptable scar maturation was obtained.
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I. Introduction

Congenital auricular deformities are relatively common and most frequently involve the upper one-third of the auricle. The lower part of the auricle is affected in 1:15,000 of live births¹, and the vast majority are cleft earlobe anomalies². Various techniques have been introduced for congenital cleft earlobe repair depending on the size and form². The purpose of this report was to introduce a useful operative technique for repair.

II. Technical Note

Between September 2015 and May 2017, two patients who presented with a congenital earlobe cleft underwent surgical correction at our institution. The patients were 11- and 17-year-old females. The anomalies were unilateral in both cases and occurred on the patient’s left side. The first patient

demonstrated a tag and a hypoplasia-type cleft earlobe malformation according to Park’s classification².(Fig. 1) The second patient had a defective type of cleft earlobe anomaly.(Fig. 2)

The auricle was compared to the unaffected side, and the amount of tissue loss on the affected side was determined. We designed an operative technique composed of a Z-plasty anteriorly and a Y-V advancement posteriorly. The Z-plasty
to produce anterior and posterior subdermal flaps of equal thickness. (Fig. 5) The first flap composed the front layer. The key suture of this layer stretched from the lateral component to the inferior point of the medial component, accomplishing the Z-plasty transposition. The anterior skin was closed with 6/0 prolene sutures (Ethicon Inc., Somerville, NJ, USA). (Fig. 6) A slit was prepared on the posterior surface, and the second subdermal flap section of the medial component was adapted as a Y-V advancement flap. (Fig. 7) The second flap

incision was marked with a right angled anterior and continued on the marginal surfaces for a corrective intervention. The lateral (line AB) and vertical (line BC) lines were 5 mm, while the medial component along line CD was 6 mm in length. (Fig. 3) An incision that ran half of the total thickness was drawn beginning from the anterior surface of the earlobe to the cleft margin and ending at the inferior point of the medial component. (Fig. 4) After the incision was made, the flaps were undermined and separated from the posterior skin.

Fig. 2. A defective type of cleft earlobe anomaly in a 17-year-old girl. The lateral element was rotated posteriorly. Selman Karaci et al: Correction of congenital cleft earlobe with front and back flaps. J Korean Assoc Oral Maxillofac Surg 2017

Fig. 3. The design of the front flap. Marking an earlobe Z-plasty with a right-angled front and the margin of the cleft. The lengths of the line AB and line BC are 5 mm, while line CD is 6 mm. Selman Karaci et al: Correction of congenital cleft earlobe with front and back flaps. J Korean Assoc Oral Maxillofac Surg 2017

Fig. 4. A split thickness Z-plasty incision in only the front allowed for intact back support. Selman Karaci et al: Correction of congenital cleft earlobe with front and back flaps. J Korean Assoc Oral Maxillofac Surg 2017

Fig. 5. Undermined Z-plasty flaps. Lobule bisected into two layers. Selman Karaci et al: Correction of congenital cleft earlobe with front and back flaps. J Korean Assoc Oral Maxillofac Surg 2017
Correction of congenital cleft earlobe with front and back flaps

III. Discussion

Malformational auricular anomalies reveal themselves by their absent structural tissue and are caused by damage during embryologic or fetal development. The auricle is formed between the fifth and ninth weeks of gestation in the embryologic period. Failure of fusion of auricular components hillock 1 and hillock 6 is probably the cause of cleft earlobe

This technique was applied to two patients to repair their specific deformities. The patients were followed up for a period ranging from 3 to 14 months. She has been wearing earrings since the sixth month postoperatively. (Fig. 9) Ultimately, the consecutive application of the front and back flaps created a well-shaped earlobe. The patients healed uneventfully.

created the back layer and supplied sufficient earlobe bulk to pull the earlobe medially. (Fig. 8)

Fig. 6. Z-plasty flaps transposed and sutured anteriorly. Selman Karaci et al: Correction of congenital cleft earlobe with front and back flaps. J Korean Assoc Oral Maxillofac Surg 2017

Fig. 7. Back flap. A slit performed on the posterior auricle. The incision pulls away from the margin with the maintenance of a minimum of 5 mm marginal tissue in the back rear of the lobule. Selman Karaci et al: Correction of congenital cleft earlobe with front and back flaps. J Korean Assoc Oral Maxillofac Surg 2017

Fig. 8. A subdermal back flap was adapted as a Y-V advancement style. Selman Karaci et al: Correction of congenital cleft earlobe with front and back flaps. J Korean Assoc Oral Maxillofac Surg 2017

Fig. 9. Postoperative view at 14 months. Minimal scar contracture and a notch can be seen, and a satisfactory lobule repair is evident. Selman Karaci et al: Correction of congenital cleft earlobe with front and back flaps. J Korean Assoc Oral Maxillofac Surg 2017
cleft earlobe deformities, and they can be classified into four subtypes based on the corrective method required; defective, tag and cleft, tag and cleft with hypoplasia, and simple type

Acquired cleft earlobe deformity is extensively seen worldwide. However, congenital cleft earlobe presents as a distinct entity. Various reconstructive methods have been described according to the severity of the anomaly

These approaches have used local flaps, grafts and simple suture techniques. The Z-plasty, 7-plasty or other applications can be used to correct simple clefts. The disadvantage of these methods is that a scar line ends from the free margin of the lobule. Alternatively, a method that creates a sutureless-free lobule margin may be preferred.

We used two distinct subdermal flaps. In this technique, the front flap was used for the Z-plasty, while the back became the Y-V advancement flap. This method allowed for control of the rotation of the earlobe as well. To avoid any ischemic condition, we did not use local anesthetics, but we know it is not necessary constantly. Park corrected this type of anomaly by the using buttoning procedure and a chondrocutaneous postauricular arterial flap. We selected a simple technique that is applicable to clefts with a wide transverse diameter of the lobule. The Z-plasty on the front side is a safe intervention for this condition. After the flap transposition is completed on the front side, it becomes clear which process to use for the second flap on the back side. We chose this method because we consider it to be easier than Park’s validated method. The medial component contains thick tissue, so it is suited to various reconstructive options. A common feature of many techniques is that they design a two-layer repair of the lobule. Cases that are more serious than a simple cleft will still require a local tissue transfer therefore contains extending incisions.

We advised our patients that they could begin wearing earrings during the sixth month postoperatively. This time frame was chosen to allow the influence of gravity to overcome possible earlobe contraction and prevent creating a different deformity. We report a useful method that may be worth considering for some patients with cleft earlobe.
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