Clinical features of upper gastrointestinal serrated lesions: An endoscopy database analysis of 98746 patients
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AIM
To analyse the clinical features of patients with the serrated lesions in the upper gastrointestinal tract (UPGI) tract.

METHODS
Patients who underwent routine esophagogastroduodenoscopy (EGD) at the Digestive Endoscopy Centre of General Hospital, Tianjin Medical University between January 2011 and December 2015 were consecutively recruited. Patients with UPGI serrated lesions were consecutively identified. The patients’ demographics and histopathology were recorded. The colorectal findings for patients who underwent colonoscopy simultaneously or within six months were also extracted from the colonoscopy database. In addition, we analysed different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/
differences in colorectal neoplasia detection between the study patients and randomly selected patients matched for age and gender who did not exhibit serrated lesions and who also underwent colonoscopy in the same period.

RESULTS
A total of 21 patients out of 98746 patients (0.02%) who underwent EGD were confirmed to have serrated lesions with predominantly crenated, sawtooth-like configurations. The mean age of the 21 patients was (55.3 ± 17.2) years, and 11 patients were male (52.4%). In terms of the locations of the serrated lesions, 17 were found in the stomach (including 3 in the cardia, 9 in the corpus and 5 in the antrum), 3 were found in the duodenum, and 1 was found in the esophagus. Serrated lesions were found in different mucosal lesions, with 14 lesions were detected in polyps (8 hyperplastic polyps and 6 serrated adenomas with low grade dysplasia), 3 detected in Ménétrier gastropathy, 3 detected in an area of inflammation or ulcer, and 1 detected in the intramuscular carcinoma of the duodenum. In addition, colonoscopy data were available for 18 patients, and a significantly higher colorectal adenoma detection rate was observed in the UPGI serrated lesions group than in the randomly selected age- and gender-matched group without serrated lesions who also underwent colonoscopy in the same period (38.9% vs 11.1%, OR = 5.091, 95%CI: 1.534-16.890, \( P = 0.010 \)). The detection rate of advanced adenoma was also higher in the UPGI serrated lesions group (22.2% vs 4.2%, OR = 6.571, 95%CI: 1.322-32.660, \( P = 0.028 \)).

CONCLUSION
Serrated lesions in the UPGI were detected in various mucosal lesions with different pathological morphologies. Moreover, colonoscopy is recommended for the detection of concurrent colorectal adenoma for these patients.
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Core tip: In this retrospective study, the clinical features of the serrated lesions in the upper gastrointestinal tract (UPGI) were analysed. We found that serrated lesions in the UPGI occurred can be found in different mucosal lesions. Furthermore, a significantly higher colorectal adenoma detection rate was observed in the UPGI serrated lesions group than in the randomly selected age- and gender-matched group from our colonoscopy database, and the detection rate of advanced adenoma was also higher in the UPGI serrated group. Therefore, colonoscopy is recommended for the detection of concurrent colorectal adenoma in patients with UPGI serrated lesions.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Design and patients

Patients who underwent a routine esophagogastroduodenoscopy (EGD) at the Digestive Endoscopy Centre of the General Hospital, Tianjin Medical University between January 2011 and December 2015 were consecutively recruited. Patients who underwent other types examinations, such as therapeutic endoscopy and emergent endoscopy, were not included.

The patients’ features, including their age, gender, body mass index (BMI), endoscopy indications, family history of cancer and the size and location of the lesions were extracted from the endoscopy reports and patient questionnaires.

In patients with histologically confirmed UPGI serrated lesions, colonoscopy was required simultaneously or within six months. Each patient was compared to 4 randomly selected age- and gender-matched controls without serrated lesions who also underwent a colonoscopy within the same time period. We also analysed the differences in colorectal neoplasia detection in each study patient and in the control group. Patients with a history of colonoscopy polyectomy or surgical resection of the colon or rectum and patients with a family history of CRC, polyposis syndromes or inflammatory bowel disease were excluded. Informed consents for EGD and colonoscopy were obtained from all of the participants before the procedure, and the researchers had access to the patients’ identifying information. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the General Hospital, Tianjin Medical University.

Endoscopic procedure

Before undergoing the EGD, all of the patients were asked to undergo a fasting period of at least 12 h and water deprivation for 8 h. Polyethylene glycol lavage was prescribed for bowel preparation and watery diarrhea excretion prior to the procedure indicated adequate intestinal preparation for the colonoscopy. Experienced endoscopists carefully performed the colonoscopies while the patients were under anaesthesia. The cardiopulmonary function was monitored by an anaesthetist, and the patients were maintained under general anaesthesia with intravenous injections of propofol. Electronic gastroscopy (GIF-Q260, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) and colonoscopy (Olympus CF-Q260, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) equipment were used for all procedures.

Pathological evaluation

All of the biopsy specimens or resected lesions that collected during the EGD were fixed in 10% formalin within 1 h of removal and then fixed for a minimum of 4 h. All haematoxylin and eosin-stained sections used for the pathological assessment and classification were evaluated by experienced pathologists. Serrated lesions in the UPGI exhibit clinically and molecularly diverse changes with common features, such as crypt luminal morphology characterized by glandular serration. In addition, advanced colorectal adenomas (AA) were defined as tubular adenomas > 10 mm in size, adenomas with villous histology, or high grade dysplasia. Multiple polyps were categorized according to the most advanced lesion.

Statistical analysis

All of the statistical analyses were performed with SPSS 17.0 (Chicago, IL, United States) for Windows. The means and standard deviations (SDs) were calculated for continuous variables. Categorical or constitute data were expressed as percentage. Risks of colorectal neoplasia between patients with serrated lesions in the UPGI and the control group in our database were compared via the χ² test or Fisher’s exact test. The level of statistically significance was set at two-tailed P < 0.05.

RESULTS

General information on the study group

During the study period, 98746 routine EGDs were performed. A total of 21 patients with serrated lesions that exhibited predominantly crenated, sawtooth-like configurations were diagnosed. The mean age of these 21 patients with serrated lesions was (55.3 ± 17.2) years, and the proportion of males was 52.4% (11/21). The mean BMI of the patients was (24.9 ± 5.8) kg/m² and 13 patients (61.9%) had a BMI within the normal range. The proportions of patients with a history of smoking, alcohol use, and a family history of gastric cancer were 33.3% (7/21), 47.6% (10/21) and 4.8% (1/21), respectively. The indications for EGD included upper abdominal pain (23.8%, 5/21), nausea, vomiting and reflux (19.0%, 4/21), anemia and edema (19.0%, 4/21), positive fecal occult blood test (14.3%, 3/21), a history of gastric polyps (14.3%, 3/21) and dyspepsia (9.5%, 2/21) (Table 1).

Distribution of serrated lesions detected in UPGI

Table 2 presents the distribution of serrated lesions: 17 lesions were detected in the stomach (including 3 in the cardia, 9 in the corpus and 5 in the antrum), 3 were detected in the duodenum (2 in the duodenal bulb and 1 in the descending part) and 1 was detected in the lower esophagus.

Morphology of UPGI serrated lesions

The mean size of the UPGI serrated lesions was (11.7 ± 10.3) mm. The diameter was less than 20 mm in 18 patients, and more than 30 mm in 2 patients. The histopathological features of different serrated lesions were divided into four morphologies: (1) serrated hyperplasia (6/21) which was detected in...
Prevalence of colorectal neoplasia in patients with UPGI serrated lesions

A total of 18 patients with UPGI serrated lesions (81.7%, 18/21) underwent a colonoscopy simultaneously or within six months, and 3 patients refused to undergo a colonoscopy. We then evaluated the colonoscopy findings of these patients. Three non-advanced colorectal adenomas (NAA) and 4 AAs (1 tubular adenoma with high grade dysplasia, 2 tubulo-villous adenomas and 1 adenoma > 10 mm in size) were found, and all of these colorectal adenomas were detected only in patients with UPGI serrated adenomas or polyps. The remaining colonoscopy reports included 7 colorectal HPs and 4 patients without polyps. CRC was not detected found in 18 patients. The colonoscopy findings in the patients with UPGI serrated lesions are illustrated in Table 3.

We also compared the detection rate of colorectal adenoma in the UPGI serrated lesions group with that in the control group in our colonoscopy database (Table 4). A total of 72 age- and gender-matched patients without serrated lesions who had presented to our centre for EGD and colonoscopy were randomly selected as the control group. A significantly higher colorectal adenoma detection rate was observed in the UPGI serrated lesions group than in the control group (38.9% vs 11.1%, OR = 5.091; 95%CI: 1.534-16.890; \( P = 0.010 \)), and a higher detection rate of advanced adenoma was observed in the UPGI serrated lesions areas of inflammation or ulcer lesions (3/21) and Ménétrier gastropathy (3/21); (2) HPs (8/21); (3) serrated adenoma with low grade dysplasia (6/21); and (4) Serrated lesion (1/21), which was found in the intramucosal carcinoma of the duodenum. The typical pathological images and clinical features are shown in Figure 1 and Table 2.

### Table 1 General information on patients with serrated lesions in upper gastrointestinal tract

| n (%) |
|-------|
| Total | 21 |
| Mean age (yr), mean ± SD | 55.3 ± 17.2 |
| Gender, male | 11 (52.4) |
| Body mass index (kg/m²), mean ± SD | 24.9 ± 5.8 |
| 18.5-23.9 | 13 (61.9) |
| ≥ 24.0 | 8 (38.1) |
| History of smoking | 7 (33.3) |
| Alcohol consumption | 10 (47.6) |
| Family history of gastric cancer | 1 (4.8) |

### Table 2 Clinical features of serrated lesions in upper gastrointestinal tract

| n (%) |
|-------|
| Size (mm), mean ± SD | 11.7 ± 10.3 |
| ≤ 5 | 8 (38.1) |
| 5-10 | 4 (19.0) |
| 10-20 | 6 (28.6) |
| 20-30 | 1 (4.8) |
| ≥ 30 | 2 (9.5) |
| Distribution |
| Esophagus | 1 (4.8) |
| Cardia | 3 (14.3) |
| Corpus | 9 (42.9) |
| Antrum | 5 (23.8) |
| Duodenum | 3 (14.3) |
| Morphology |
| Serrated hyperplasia | 6 (28.6) |
| Hyperplastic polyps | 8 (38.1) |
| Adenoma | 6 (28.6) |
| Adenocarcinoma | 1 (4.8) |
| Situation of serrated lesions in mucosal lesions |
| Inflammation or ulcer | 3 (14.3) |
| Serrated polyps | 14 (66.7) |
| Ménétrier gastropathy | 3 (14.3) |
| Duodenal cancer | 1 (4.8) |
| Colonoscopy findings |
| No-polyp | 18 |
| Hyperplastic polyps | 4 (22.2) |
| Non-advanced adenomas | 7 (38.9) |
| Advanced adenomas | 3 (16.7) |
| Tubular adenoma with high grade dysplasia | 4 (22.2) |
| Tubulovillous adenoma | 1 (5.6) |
| Adenoma > 10 mm | 2 (11.1) |

### Table 3 Colonoscopy findings in the patients with serrated lesions in upper gastrointestinal tract

| Serrated lesions in upper gastrointestinal tract mucosal lesions (n) | Colonoscopy findings (n) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Inflammation or ulcer (3) | HPs (1) |
| HPs (8) | AA (2), NAA (1), HPs (2) |
| Serrated adenoma (6) | AA (2), NAA (2), HPs (2) |
| Ménétrier gastropathy (3) | HPs (2) |
| Duodenal cancer (1) | Absent |

HPs: Hyperplastic polyps; AA: Advanced adenoma; NAA: Non-advanced adenoma.

### Table 4 Prevalence of colorectal adenoma in patients with serrated lesions in upper gastrointestinal tract and the control group (n %)

| Patients with serrated lesions in UPGI (n = 18) | Average group (n = 72) | OR (95%CI) | P value |
|-----------------------------------------------|------------------------|------------|---------|
| Colorectal adenoma | 7 (38.9) | 8 (11.1) | 5.091 (1.534-16.890) | 0.010 |
| Non-advanced adenoma | 3 (16.7) | 5 (6.9) | 2.680 (0.576-12.463) | 0.195 |
| Advanced adenoma | 4 (22.2) | 3 (4.2) | 6.571 (1.322-32.660) | 0.028 |

**Prevalence of colorectal neoplasia in patients with UPGI serrated lesions**

A total of 18 patients with UPGI serrated lesions (81.7%, 18/21) underwent a colonoscopy simultaneously or within six months, and 3 patients refused to undergo a colonoscopy. We then evaluated the colonoscopy findings of these patients. Three non-advanced colorectal adenomas (NAA) and 4 AAs (1 tubular adenoma with high grade dysplasia, 2 tubulovillous adenomas and 1 adenoma > 10 mm in size) were found, and all of these colorectal adenomas were detected only in patients with UPGI serrated adenomas or polyps. The remaining colonoscopy reports included 7 colorectal HPs and 4 patients without polyps. CRC was not detected found in 18 patients. The colonoscopy findings in the patients with UPGI serrated lesions are illustrated in Table 3. We also compared the detection rate of colorectal adenoma in the UPGI serrated lesions group with that in the control group in our colonoscopy database (Table 4). A total of 72 age- and gender-matched patients without serrated lesions who had presented to our centre for EGD and colonoscopy were randomly selected as the control group. A significantly higher colorectal adenoma detection rate was observed in the UPGI serrated lesions group than in the control group (38.9% vs 11.1%, OR = 5.091; 95%CI: 1.534-16.890; \( P = 0.010 \)), and a higher detection rate of advanced adenoma was observed in the UPGI serrated lesions areas of inflammation or ulcer lesions (3/21) and Ménétrier gastropathy (3/21); (2) HPs (8/21); (3) serrated adenoma with low grade dysplasia (6/21); and (4) Serrated lesion (1/21), which was found in the intramucosal carcinoma of the duodenum. The typical pathological images and clinical features are shown in Figure 1 and Table 2.
group (22.2% vs 4.2%, OR = 6.571; 95%CI: 1.322-32.660; \( P = 0.028 \)).

**DISCUSSION**

Since 1990, serrated polyps have been commonly found during colonoscopy and recognized as an important process in the development of CRC\(^{[1,32,33]}\). Limited reports focused on the clinical features of UPGI serrated lesions because of the low prevalence of these lesions, and few articles have described serrated adenomas in the UPGI\(^{[7-25]}\). Thus, serrated polyps have not been previously listed in the classifications of the upper digestive tract\(^{[34-36]}\). The present study provides current information on serrated lesions in different UPGI diseases, including inflammation or ulcer, Ménétrier gastropathy, HPs, serrated adenomas, and adenocarcinoma, as well as the serrated profile found in cases of reactive gastropathy\(^{[8,9]}\). We also found that nearly half of the UPGI serrated lesions were located in the gastric corpus, and 2/3 of the lesions were detected in polyps in the current study. In addition, we evaluated the colonoscopy findings of patients with UPGI serrated lesions, and found a significantly higher colorectal adenoma detection rate in the serrated lesions group than in the control group, thus colonoscopy may be recommended to exclude the presence of concurrent colorectal adenomas in these patients.

This study provides the first description the detection and distribution of serrated lesions in the UPGI, and analysed the colonoscopy results of these patients compared with the control group. Simple and readily accepted methods (EGD and colonoscopy) were used, and the possibility of clinical heterogeneity was minimized because of the study setting, which was within a tertiary endoscopic centre. However, several limitations should be mentioned. First, relatively small sample size was used in the present study because of the rarity of serrated lesions, and a statistical analysis of the age, gender, BMI and family history of patients with different mucosal lesions could not be conducted. Second, this study was conducted in a tertiary endoscopic centre, therefore selection bias likely occurred. In addition, more rigorous studies with larger sample sizes from multiple clinical centres are necessary to determine whether patients with UPGI serrated lesions have a higher rate of colorectal adenomas and to ascertain whether these findings similar to those in previous reports\(^{[31,37]}\).

Activating mutations of the RAS-RAF-MAPK pathway have been reported to initiate and sustain lesions in the serrated pathway, and the presence of a positive CpG island methylation phenotype and DNA repair genes might play a major role in colorectal neoplastic progression\(^{[5,38,39]}\). Compared with serrated

---

**Figure 1** Typical pathological images of serrated lesions in upper gastrointestinal tract. Serrated lesions characterized by epithelial cells with luminal infolding and a serrated growth pattern were shown. A: Serrated hyperplasia in esophagitis (× 40); B: Serrated hyperplasia in the Ménétrier gastropathy: marked foveolar hyperplasia and glandular cysts with serrated lesions in the stomach (× 100); C: Hyperplastic polyp in the stomach: a serrated polyp without overt cytological atypia showed narrowed crypt bases that were predominantly lined with immature cells (× 100); D: Serrated adenoma with low grade dysplasia in the duodenum: a serrated polyp with enlarged nuclei, a pencil-shaped, hyperchromaticity and nuclear stratification (× 100).

---
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polyps of the colon, extracolonic serrated polyps are virtually undescribed and their genetic alterations are largely unknown. The pathological findings and analysis of the molecular alterations of 13 serrated neoplasms of the small intestine indicated that almost half of the neoplasms demonstrated high-grade dysplasia or were associated with an adenocarcinoma. However, the absence of the BRAFV600E mutation does not support a role for the serrated neoplasia pathway in the development of these lesions, as it does in colorectal serrated polyps. Another report confirmed that oncogenic KRAS mutation was the most common abnormality in extracolonic serrated polyps, whereas a microsatellite instability and a CpG island methylator phenotype were less commonly.

Rubio presented a TSA pathway of carcinogenesis in the UPGI, and 53.4% (39/73) of the UPGI TSAs reported in the literature are associated with invasive carcinomas, however, we only detected one case associated with duodenal cancer. The younger average age of the patients with serrated adenoma in our study (62.2 ± 11.4) than that in the past reports (66.4 ± 11.7) compared with that in previous may provide a suitable explanation for this phenomenon. Hence, the mechanism that causes these lesions to evolve into invasive carcinomas remains elusive.

In conclusion, serrated lesions in the UPGI, which represents a rarely described histological phenotype, were observed in various mucosal lesions with different pathological morphologies. Moreover, colonoscopy is recommended to exclude the presence of concurrent colorectal carcinomas in these patients. However, further studies are needed to clarify the clinical significance of these lesions.
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