Treatment Approach for Knee Osteoarthritis with Ipsilateral Femoral Cartilage Tumor: A Case Series
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Abstract: Osteoarthritis (OA) is a common pathology affecting the knee joint. Twenty percent of the cartilaginous tumors of bone are in the distal femur. This presents a challenge for treating patients with knee OA who also have ipsilateral distal femur cartilage tumors. We propose a classification system for knee OA with ipsilateral cartilaginous tumor and a treatment approach to address this issue. Intramedullary guides are avoided when performing total knee arthroplasty (TKA) in these patients so as not to further contaminate the femur with tumor cells. A non-image-based stereotactic surgical system is favored at our institution to achieve this goal. Seven patients underwent classification and treatment with TKA utilizing this approach. Average final follow-up was 15.3 months. Component alignment averaged 89.2° and all patients had 0° of extension with an average flexion to 107.5°. There were no postoperative complications and no radiographic evidence of component complication, tumor recurrence, or tumor expansion. Few studies have reported on the treatment of knee OA with concurrent cartilaginous tumor of the distal femur. All patients treated with the proposed approach had their OA successfully treated without complication related to their cartilaginous tumor or TKA components. This case series presents a novel classification and treatment algorithm to potentially guide arthroplasty surgeons in approaching these often-concurrent occurring pathologies.
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Introduction
Osteoarthritis (OA) of the knee is a significant health problem with an increasing percentage of patients in the United States (US) developing knee OA.1 Disability, pain, stiffness, and cost of treatment all contribute to the burden knee OA places on the healthcare system.2 Many symptomatic patients fail non-operative management and require surgical intervention, with favorable results seen in patients who undergo total knee arthroplasty (TKA).3

Cartilaginous tumors are common neoplasms involving bone that range from benign latent enchondromas to malignant high-grade chondrosarcomas, with many being found incidentally.4-6 Almost 20% of these tumors present in the distal femur.5 Differentiating an enchondroma from a low-grade chondrosarcoma is difficult because of the similar clinical and radiologic features.7 This presents another challenge as enchondromas are typically observed while low-grade chondrosarcomas undergo intralesional curettage with adjuvant therapy treatment.7

OA and cartilaginous tumors are common pathologies that can occur concurrently, presenting a unique challenge for arthroplasty surgeons. The following case
series presents our approach for treating OA of the knee in patients with cartilaginous tumors of the ipsilateral distal femur as well as a new classification system that may help guide surgeons encountering this scenario.

**Case Reports**

Our institution developed a classification system for knee OA in patients with cartilaginous tumors of the ipsilateral femur (Table 1). Plain radiographs was the primary modality that was initially used to classify the lesion in one of the four types. Enchondromas and low-grade chondrosarcoma can have similar radiographic appearance. The extent and degree of endosteal scalloping correlate the closest with the lesion being a chondrosarcoma. Murphy et al\(^8\) in their study found that 71 (75%) of 95 patients with chondrosarcoma had endosteal scalloping of more than two-thirds of the cortical thickness, compared with 8 (9%) of 92 patients with enchondroma. Based on this, the patients were classified in one of the four types in our classification system. Patients suspected to have chondrosarcoma based on the radiographs were then sent for biopsy to confirm the diagnosis and determine the grade of the tumor. This classification has guided the treatment of seven patients’ osteoarthritis since its implementation in 2017. All TKAs were performed without intramedullary guides to avoid further contaminating the femur with pathologic cells. A medial parapatellar arthrotomy, cemented posterior stabilizing components, and patellar resurfacing were utilized for six of the seven cases. The last case is a case of intermediate chondrosarcoma where wide resection along with total femur replacement was performed.

Patients 1, 2, 3, and 4 were a 72-year-old female, a 77-year-old male, a 73-year-old female, and an 83-year-old female, respectively. These patients had knee OA with incidentally found ipsilateral femoral enchondromas remote from planned distal femoral component. All four patients with remote ipsilateral femoral enchondroma will be Type 1 in our classification scheme. All four patients underwent TKA as described above (Figure 1A). Follow-up was 20 months, 14 months, 7 months, and 18 months for patients 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively, without postoperative complication. All four patients were observed with routine X-rays with regards to their cartilage lesions, and all were noted to be stable.

Patient 5 was a 76-year-old female with knee OA and suspected ipsilateral femoral enchondroma that would be encountered during distal femur cuts. This patient will be Type 2 in our classification scheme. TKA was performed as described above and, when the tumor was encountered, curettage of the lesion was performed with argon beam as adjuvant therapy. Autologous bone graft from the tibial cuts was used to fill the void and a stemmed femoral implant was required to compensate for the bone loss (Figure 1B). This patient had 14 months of follow-up without complication or noted recurrence of her femoral lesion on routine imaging.

Patient 6 was an 85-year-old male with knee OA and an ipsilateral femur tumor concerning for low-grade chondrosarcoma. This patient will be Type 3 in our classification

**Table 1 Classification for Knee OA with Ipsilateral Cartilaginous Tumor and Treatment Recommendations**

| Classification Type | Definition | Treatment Recommendation |
|---------------------|------------|--------------------------|
| Type 1              | Lesion suspicious for enchondroma that is remote from planned distal femoral component placement, but in way of intramedullary guide | Perform TKA with guides that avoid intramedullary contamination and perform routine observation of cartilage lesion |
| Type 2              | Lesion suspicious for enchondroma that is at the level of planned distal femoral component placement | Perform TKA with guides that avoid intramedullary contamination and perform concomitant curettage of lesion with augmentation for arthroplasty as needed |
| Type 3              | Lesion suspicious for low-grade chondrosarcoma | Perform intralesional curettage with adjuvant therapy, internal fixation as needed; then return to perform TKA in a staged fashion using appropriate techniques to deal with adjacent hardware |
| Type 4              | Lesion suspicious for intermediate- to high-grade chondrosarcoma | Wide resection of chondrosarcoma and, when tumor is in the vicinity of knee joint, consider tumor prosthesis that also functions as total knee arthroplasty |

---

8. Murphy et al.
scheme. Intralesional curettage with calcium phosphate grafting and prophylactic fixation with a plate and screw construct was performed. High-grade chondrosarcoma was ruled out by biopsy at time of surgery. Patient 6 returned at a later date for TKA performed using the above steps, as now the calcium phosphate and hardware prevented placement of an intramedullary guide (Figure 1C). This patient had 25 months of follow-up without complication or noted recurrence of his femoral lesion on routine imaging.

Patient 7 was a 63-year-old male with knee and ipsilateral thigh pain who was found to have a lesion of the femur concerning for intermediate to high-grade chondrosarcoma. This patient will be Type 4 in our classification scheme. A biopsy of the lesion was performed which revealed intermediate-grade chondrosarcoma. For this patient, wide resection of the tumor along with total femur replacement was performed (Figure 2). This patient had nine months of follow-up without complication or any evidence of recurrence or metastasis.

Discussion

Osteoarthritis (OA) is a common pathology that impacts the knee joint and up to 20% of low-grade cartilaginous tumors can occur within the distal femur.\(^4,5\) Over the last several decades, chondrogenic tumors of the appendicular skeleton, other than those of hands and feet, have been known as a diagnostic dilemma in literature.\(^9\) It is even more challenging if the lesion lies close to another musculoskeletal pathology such as OA. If no concomitant OA was present, current literature would propose observation of enchondromas, intralesional treatment for a low-grade cartilaginous tumor, and wide resection for intermediate and high-grade chondrosarcomas.\(^10,11\) This case series presents an approach used at our institution for performing TKA in patients with...
knee OA and cartilaginous tumors of the ipsilateral distal femur.

All seven of our patients had end-stage OA that failed conservative treatment, and thus were deemed to require operative treatment with TKA. To date, there is only one case study, of two patients, regarding the treatment of knee OA with an ipsilateral, periarticular cartilage tumor. However, this study utilized intramedullary guides for their patients as well as being unable to delineate treatment based on tumor grade due to their sample size. We sought to avoid intramedullary guides in order to minimize the chance of seeding the remaining bone with tumor cells. One additional study was found in the literature search that reported on this clinical scenario. No previous report detailing a treatment algorithm for knee OA with ipsilateral femoral cartilage lesions was found in the literature.

For all seven patients included in this case series, radiographs as well as clinical and physical exams were conducted during their postoperative visit. Radiographs were all reviewed by a blinded radiologist. Average alignment of the components was measured to be 89.2°, with a range of 88.0° to 90.0°. In addition, there was no radiographic evidence of fracture, aseptic loosening, or hardware complications. Neither patient who had undergone curettage and cement augmentation demonstrated recurrence. The patient who underwent wide resection did not demonstrate any recurrence or metastasis. The remaining patients had no radiographic changes in their cartilage tumors on subsequent imaging studies. All patients also had their range of motion (ROM) determined at each postoperative visit, with all patients achieving 0° of extension and average flexion to 107.5° with a range of 100° to 125°.

The classification and recommendations we propose for patients with knee OA and ipsilateral femoral cartilage tumors are summarized in Table 1. We propose avoiding intramedullary guides so as not to contaminate the femur further with pathologic cells for Type 1 and 2 lesions. Adjuvant treatment for Type 2 and 3 lesions can be determined by surgeon preference, with ours being argon beam therapy and internal fixation as needed. We additionally propose that Type 3 lesions be approached in a staged fashion. For a Type 4 lesion, which is an intermediate- or high-grade cartilaginous tumor, our recommendation would be to perform a wide resection along with a prosthesis that also functions as a total knee arthroplasty such as a total or distal femoral replacement. This case series serves as a pilot study with potential for future studies to be conducted to confirm the results presented here.
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