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Abstract

Introduction: Occupational noise is among the most critical occupational hazards, which, in addition to hearing loss, can cause other adverse consequences on an individual’s physical and mental health. Long-term exposure to noise can affect blood and biochemical parameters and subsequently lead to increased risk of cardiovascular disease. This study investigated the effect of occupational exposure to noise on blood and biochemical parameters of workers in an insulator manufacturing plant.

Methods: This case-control study was conducted on workers in the production section (49 people as a case group) and the administrative staff (10 people as a control group) in one insulator manufacturing plant from 2010 to 2014. To assess individual exposure of workers to noise, the noise dosimeter model TES-1355 was used. Noise dosimetry testing was done based on the exposure pattern of workers to noise in compliance with the Iranian national standard (the criterion level of 85 dBA and the exchange rate of Q = 3 dBA). Blood parameters such as blood glucose, cholesterol, red blood cells (RBC), white blood cells (WBC), hemoglobin (Hb), and hematocrit (Hct) were studied in production workers (case) and administrative staff (control) over five consecutive years. The data were analyzed by software (SPSS-22) using the t-test, Mann-Whitney test, Fisher exact test, and Greenhouse-Geisser test.

Results: No significant difference was found among the demographic data of the two groups (p > 0.05). Average of glucose and cholesterol levels in the two groups was statistically significant (p < 0.05) and blood glucose levels in the case group significantly reduced over time and cholesterol increased (p < 0.001). Mean difference of RBC number, WBC number, and serum levels of Hb and Hct was statistically significant between the two groups (p < 0.05). In addition, these hematological parameters increased among workers during these years.

Conclusion: Occupational exposure to noise may have a significant effect on an individual’s blood parameters, which will cause harmful effects on worker health. Therefore, industrialists must take preventive measures in the field of noise control.
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1. Introduction
A major risk in life is an occupational hazard, which typically arises as a result of exposure to specific harmful agents at the workplace (1). Workers in different industrial environments are constantly exposed to many environmental agents such as heat, vibration, cold, humidity, lighting, noise, etc., which are considered as the greatest threats to productivity and efficiency of workplaces and can affect the health, comfort, and performance of workers (2). In addition to various workplace hazards, noise pollution is a major health problem in many industries, which typically lack the required prevention and may lead to physiological, psychological, economic, and social complications among those who are exposed (3). At least 30 million people in America are exposed to noise that exceeds the limit value (3, 4). The proportion of population exposed to environmental noise above 65 dBA has increased from 15% to 26% in the European Union over the past 10 years (5). According to reports in the European Union, 28% of workers in occupational environments are exposed to high-level noise (6). Statistics in developing countries, due to low control facilities, are much greater (7). It is also estimated that more than six million people in the world are exposed to noise above 85 decibels (dB) in their work environment (8). Insulator manufacturing is one such industry that is at risk of noise pollution and its consequences. An insulator is a device that has a high electrical resistance and is used as good electrical insulation between two conductors with different voltage levels and between a conductor and earth as well. An insulator effectively prevents electrical discharge and is applicable in transmission and distribution of electrical energy. Many countries in the world such as the United States, China, Spain, Iran, Britain, France, South Africa, Russia, Australia, Germany, Canada, India, Japan, and Greece are producing this type of protective device and their employees are exposed to the noise pollution from milling, mixing, pressing, drying, assembling, cutting, grinding, extruding, and glazing equipment in its production process (9, 10).

Exposure to noise except hearing loss as the most characteristic effect causes other negative health consequences. Generally, the biological effects of noise are divided into two parts: auditory and nonauditory (13-11). Nonauditory effects of noise include physiological, interference with activities, and psychological. Noise at all levels causes body peripheral vasconstriction (14-16). Heart rate can change as a result of noise; its cardiac output will be reduced and breathing will become rapid (17). Increased systolic and diastolic blood pressure upon exposure to noise higher than 85 dBA has been observed in most human studies (18-20). Other studies have shown that exposure to noise can increase blood pressure and the risk of cardiovascular disease, which, in the long term, tends to increase with age and work experience (21-23). Other studies have shown the effect of noise pollution on blood and immune system cells (24). Sabahi, studying the effect of noise on blood parameters in mice, showed that exposure to noise increases the number of red blood cells, white blood cells, hemoglobin, and hematocrit of blood cells. He also demonstrated that noise pollution is accompanied with reducing the average volume of blood cells (MCV), the average size of hemoglobin (MCH), and mean cell hemoglobin concentration (MCHC) (25). Other studies have shown that noise pollution can increase red blood cells, hematocrit, and hemoglobin (26). Noise effects are also said to have an impact on food and water consumption rates and also the pituitary hormones in the plasma (28, 27), which, in turn, alters biochemical parameters, including triglycerides, glucose, cholesterol, etc. Various studies have demonstrated an increase in the level of blood serum cholesterol and decrease in glucose levels in noise exposure (29-31). Studies on mice have shown that exposure to noise can increase blood cholesterol (32). Human studies also have shown the impact of workplace noise on increased cholesterol and triglyceride levels (33). Animal studies have shown that noise pollution reduces blood cholinesterase enzyme activity and the amount of glycogen stored in the liver (34). Other studies have confirmed elevated levels of cortisol as a result of noise exposure (35), which, in turn, increase total cholesterol, LDL (bad cholesterol or low-density lipoprotein), triglycerides, decreased cholesterol HDL (good cholesterol or high-density lipoprotein), and impaired insulin secretion (33). Thus far, no study has investigated the effect of noise pollution on hematological and biochemical parameters of industry workers over a period of several years. Given the growing importance of workforce health as the promoter of industry in the world, the aim of this study is to investigate the effect of occupational noise exposure on blood and biochemical parameters of insulator manufacturing plant workers.

2. Material and Methods
This case-control study was done among healthy male workers in the production section (49 people in the case group) and the administrative staff (10 people as the control group) in an insulator factory in Saveh, Iran, from 2010 to 2014. Referring to medical records of the subjects and consulting with the factory physician, workers with underlying diseases, such as cardiovascular and blood and using certain medications, were excluded from the study. Because its workers are typically exposed to noise levels higher than the recommended value, this particular factory was selected for this study. Referring to periodic environmental surveys from 2010 to 2014, noise exposure levels
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measured by individual dosimetry model TES - 1345 (Sunlight Electronic Technology Co. Ltd., China) in accordance with standard no. ISO9612 (36, 37) were recorded for each subject. Referring to participants’ medical records, test results carried out for blood and biochemical parameters, including serum glucose (FBS), total cholesterol, the number of red blood cells (RBC) and white blood cells (WBC), hemoglobin (Hb), hematocrit (Hct), mean corpuscular blood volume (MCV), mean size of hemoglobin (MCH), mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration (MCHC) were recorded for each subject. All blood samples were analyzed in the Subspeciality Hospital Alzahra in Saveh. To analyze the data and determine the relationship among various parameters, the software package SPSS-22 was used. The t-test and Mann-Whitney test, Fisher exact test, and Greenhouse-Geisser test were applied to determine statistical significance.

3. Results
According to the results of the demographic data, the mean age of the subjects was 38.13 (6.2) years. This demographic parameter showed no statistically significant difference between the two groups (Table 1). Mean height and weight were 173.03 (6.49) cm and 78.83 (11.44) kg, respectively. Also, there was no statistically significant difference among the parameters of weight, height, and smoking status between the groups (Table 1). The results of noise dosimetry in this study showed (Table 2) that participants engaging in both administrative and production sections are subject to different levels of noise. The Mann-Whitney test revealed a significant difference between the noise exposure level between two groups; as expected, noise levels in the production section are higher than in the administrative section (p < 0.001). Biochemical analysis of blood parameters showed that blood glucose (FBS) in the production workers decreased during 2010–2014, while minor changes in FBS can be observed in the administrative staff during these years (Table 3).

| Variable   | Exposed       | Unexposed     | p-value |
|------------|---------------|---------------|---------|
| Age (year) | 37.44 (5.59)  | 41.5 (8.15)   | NS\#    |
| Height (cm)| 173.34 (6.34)| 171.5 (7.36)  | NS\#    |
| Weight (kg)| 77.18 (10.02)| 81 (17.17)    | NS\#    |
| Smoke      | Yes           | 8 (80%)       | 2 (16.3%)| NS¥     |
|            | No            | 41 (83.7%)    | 8 (16.3%)|         |

NS=Not significant, \# based on t-test, ¥ Based on Fisher exact test

| Variable | Threshold Limit Value (8-hr TWA) (dBA) | Year | Case       | Control    | p-value |
|----------|----------------------------------------|------|------------|------------|---------|
| Noise    | 85                                     | 2010 | 83.9 (5.40)| 66.6 (0.25)| < 0.001 |
|          |                                        | 2011 | 83.3 (5.30)| 65.3 (0.63)| < 0.001 |
|          |                                        | 2012 | 83.7 (4.98)| 67.4 (0.3) | < 0.001 |
|          |                                        | 2013 | 84.1 (5.62)| 65.3 (0.34)| < 0.001 |
|          |                                        | 2014 | 82.6 (3.3) | 65 (0.93)  | < 0.001 |

| Variable | Normal Level                  | Year | Case       | Control    | p-value |
|----------|------------------------------|------|------------|------------|---------|
| FBS      | <100 (mg/ml)                 | 2010 | 90.04 (6.54)| 94.70 (3.86)| 0.034   |
|          |                              | 2011 | 88.48 (7.71)| 95.60 (5.12)| 0.007   |
|          |                              | 2012 | 87.08 (10.41)| 95.50 (5.56)| 0.016   |
|          |                              | 2013 | 87.38 (8.38)| 93.10 (6.00)| 0.046   |
|          |                              | 2014 | 86.22 (12.08)| 95.20 (7.36)| 0.028   |
| Cholesterol | <200 (mg/dl)               | 2010 | 162.63 (25.39)| 191.60 (35.79)| 0.003   |
|          |                              | 2011 | 165.2 (26.49)| 193.2 (36.88)| 0.006   |
|          |                              | 2012 | 169.95 (29.25)| 191.5 (27.16)| 0.036   |
|          |                              | 2013 | 173.02 (27.32)| 192.3 (20.84)| 0.040   |
|          |                              | 2014 | 175.44 (27.89)| 194.8 (12.35)| 0.002   |
The Greenhouse-Geisser test results showed that blood glucose levels in the case group significantly decreased over time (p < 0.001). The t-test indicated significant difference between the average blood glucose levels over the study period (p < 0.05). Blood cholesterol levels measured in workers increased over the years, but there were not a lot of changes of it in the administrative staff. There was a significant difference between the mean cholesterol in both groups during the study years (p < 0.05). Results of the study parameters of blood (the red blood cell [RBC]) during the study years showed an increasing trend in the case group, while there were no significant changes in the control group (Table 4). A significant difference was found between the mean of RBC in two groups (p < 0.05). During 2010–2014, the amount of white blood cells (WBC) in production workers grew, while small changes were seen in the control group. WBC mean was statistically significant between the two groups (p < 0.05). During five years of study, hemoglobin levels increased in the case group, while there were no significant changes in the control group, and the difference between the two groups was considered statistically significant (p < 0.05).

Table 4. Comparison of RBC, WBC, HB, and HCT between Understudy Groups

| Variable     | Normal Level | Year  | Case     | Control  | p-value  |
|--------------|--------------|-------|----------|----------|----------|
| RBC (cells/ml) | 4.7-6.1      | 2010  | 5.86 (0.31) | 5.18 (0.26) | < 0.001  |
|              |              | 2011  | 5.92 (0.32) | 5.25 (0.3)  | < 0.001  |
|              |              | 2012  | 6.08 (0.35) | 5.76 (0.38) | 0.015    |
|              |              | 2013  | 6.13 (0.35) | 5.36 (0.5)  | < 0.001  |
|              |              | 2014  | 6.09 (0.36) | 5.26 (0.59) | 0.002    |
| WBC (cells/ml) | 4.5-10       | 2010  | 6.54 (0.87) | 5.9 (0.89)  | 0.041    |
|              |              | 2011  | 6.77 (0.73) | 6.16 (0.51) | 0.015    |
|              |              | 2012  | 6.97 (1.45) | 5.93 (0.79) | 0.034    |
|              |              | 2013  | 7.14 (1.00) | 6.3 (1.18)  | 0.023    |
|              |              | 2014  | 7.46 (1.73) | 6.18 (1.43) | 0.033    |
| Hemoglobin (g/dl) | 13.5-17.5   | 2010  | 14.88 (0.78) | 14.32 (0.84) | 0.046    |
|              |              | 2011  | 15.54 (0.81) | 14.88 (0.85) | 0.024    |
|              |              | 2012  | 15.79 (0.77) | 14.94 (0.84) | 0.003    |
|              |              | 2013  | 16.08 (1.13) | 15.27 (0.58) | 0.003    |
|              |              | 2014  | 16.35 (0.90) | 14.88 (0.88) | < 0.001  |
| Hematocrit (%) | 38.8-50      | 2010  | 44.99 (2.42) | 43.22 (2.16) | 0.036    |
|              |              | 2011  | 45.51 (1.72) | 44.1 (2.28)  | 0.030    |
|              |              | 2012  | 48.17 (2.74) | 44.7 (3.85)  | 0.001    |
|              |              | 2013  | 49.78 (1.99) | 45.89 (2.55) | < 0.001  |
|              |              | 2014  | 50.81 (1.87) | 48.31 (3.04) | 0.030    |

Table 5. Comparison of MCV, MCH, and MCHC between Understudy Groups

| Variable     | Normal Level | Year  | Case     | Control  | p-value  |
|--------------|--------------|-------|----------|----------|----------|
| MCV (fL)     | 80-100       | 2010  | 88.53 (4.95) | 83.02 (3.49) | 0.001    |
|              |              | 2011  | 87.79 (4.59) | 83.8 (3.62)  | 0.014    |
|              |              | 2012  | 86.11 (2.93) | 83.16 (3.49) | 0.038    |
|              |              | 2013  | 86.11 (2.93) | 83.16 (3.49) | 0.007    |
|              |              | 2014  | 84.79 (3.42) | 82.26 (3.57) | 0.038    |
| MCH (pg/cell) | 27-31        | 2010  | 32.56 (1.97) | 29.97 (1.60) | < 0.001  |
|              |              | 2011  | 32.00 (2.00) | 29.3 (5.17)  | NS       |
|              |              | 2012  | 29.90 (2.16) | 28.37 (1.31) | 0.036    |
|              |              | 2013  | 28.84 (1.52) | 27.93 (1.69) | 0.022    |
|              |              | 2014  | 28.00 (2.00) | 26.7 (1.65)  | 0.002    |
| MCHC (g/dl)  | 32-36        | 2010  | 35.48 (1.68) | 33.72 (1.18) | 0.003    |
|              |              | 2011  | 34.00 (0.00) | 33.1 (1.38)  | 0.000    |
|              |              | 2012  | 33.42 (1.16) | 33.43 (1.52) | NS       |
|              |              | 2013  | 32.47 (1.89) | 37.26 (1.07) | 0.006    |
|              |              | 2014  | 31.77 (1.89) | 33.55 (1.07) | 0.006    |

Hematocrit levels were increased in the case group, while there were not many changes in hematocrit levels among the workers. The difference between the two groups in terms of hematocrit mean was statistically significant (p <
Comparing the blood cells’ volume mean (MCV) with that of the control group (Table 5) showed that, during 2010–2014, MCV reduced in the exposed group, while many changes were not observed in the case group; this difference between the two groups was statistically significant (p < 0.05). Also, the average size of hemoglobin (MCH) in the case group was reduced during the study, but a significant trend was not observed in the control group. MCH mean difference between the two groups was statistically significant in all years of study (p < 0.05). There was a similar result for mean cell hemoglobin concentration (MCHC).

4. Discussion
This study aimed to investigate the effect of occupational noise exposure on blood parameters among workers of an insulator manufacturing plant. Several studies were done on physiological and psychological parameters in regards to the effects of noise exposure. In these studies, noise effects on increasing cardiovascular problems and risk of heart attack has been shown (14-16). Increased blood cholesterol due to noise exposure in laboratory mice was observed in the study of Prabhakaran and colleagues, in which they knew the effect due to changes in pituitary hormones (32). The study of Samuel and colleagues also indicated an increase in cholesterol and triglyceride levels due to increase of workplace noise in subjects (33). The results of this study also demonstrated a statistically significant difference in average blood cholesterol levels between production workers who were statistically exposed to higher noise than the administrative staff. The five-year changes in the case group had more obvious increased trends than in the administrative group. Several studies have been conducted on noise effects increasing blood cortisol levels, which demonstrated that increased cortisol level increases blood cholesterol in people who are exposed to noise (29, 35). Several studies demonstrated changes in pituitary hormone secretion as a result of exposure to noise, which can change cholesterol, triglycerides, and blood glucose levels as a result of noise exposure. The various studies show that serum cholesterol level increases and blood glucose level decreases in increased noise exposure. The health effects of noise (such as increased cholesterol, blood glucose, etc.) may happen as a direct result of exposure to noise or may be a reaction of the body, such as discomfort and dissatisfaction caused by noise exposure (31-29), and the results of the present study indicate the issue. In this study, the cholesterol variable in the case group was more than that of the control group; this difference was statistically significant in all years of study (p < 0.05). Cholesterol had an increasing trend in the case group, which corresponds with field studies. The FBS variable in the control group was higher than in the case group, and there was a significant difference between them, which is in line with studies in this area, although the FBS had a decreasing trend in the production group during the study years.

A study conducted by Sabahi and colleagues demonstrated that the number of red blood cells, white blood cells, hemoglobin, and hematocrit of blood cells of mice increases due to noise exposure, which is due to the effect of vibrating sound on the immune system and increasing blood parameters (25). A study conducted by Boiko concluded that noise pollution can increase red blood cell count, hematocrit, and hemoglobin in oil refinery workers, while the mechanism of this process remained unclear (26). In this study, the RBC was significantly different between production and administrative groups (p < 0.05), and an increasing trend was seen in the workers. WBC, in addition to that of the case group in all the years of study, was significantly different between the two groups (p < 0.05). The values of hemoglobin and hematocrit variables were increased during the study years of the case group, and the difference between the two examined groups was significant in all years of study (p < 0.05). The results of this study are in accordance with past studies. The other results of a study conducted in 2002 by Sabahi and colleagues on the effects of noise on blood cells and parameters of mice showed that exposure to noise reduces mean cell volume (MCV), mean cell hemoglobin (MCH), and mean cell hemoglobin concentration (MCHC) in blood (25). In the present study, the variables MCV, MCH, and MCHC have been decreased; there was also a significant difference between the two groups.

5. Conclusions
In summary, the findings showed that the parameters of MCV, MCH, FBS, and MCHC were decreased during the study years among production workers exposed to noise, and parameters of cholesterol, RBC, WBC, Hb, and Hct were increased more than that of the administrative workers. Thus, it is clear that there are significant changes on blood parameters of these workers, which can have adverse effects on their health in the future. Because exposure to noise can increase stress, tissue dysfunction, and cause changes in the normal process of secretion of the body’s hormones, thus resulting in significant effects on blood parameters and health, it is recommended that industrialists perform preventive measures on administrative/engineering controls of noise. However, a definitive conclusion on
the effects of exposure to high levels of occupational noise on blood parameters is subject to more extensive laboratory and field studies, which can simultaneously study the more influential factors on blood parameters.
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