Similar efficacy and safety of once-weekly dulaglutide in patients with type 2 diabetes aged $\geq 65$ and $<65$ years
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Aims: To evaluate the efficacy and safety of dulaglutide 1.5 and 0.75 mg in elderly patients (aged $\geq 65$ years) with type 2 diabetes (T2D) in six phase III clinical trials.

Methods: Patients were grouped into two age groups: $\geq 65$ and $<65$ years. Pooled analysis for glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c) change from baseline, percentage of patients achieving HbA1c targets, and gastrointestinal tolerability were evaluated at 26 weeks for each dulaglutide dose. Change in weight from baseline and rates of hypoglycaemia were evaluated for each individual study.

Results: A total of 958 of 5171 (18.5%) patients were aged $\geq 65$ years. The reductions in HbA1c were similar between age groups for dulaglutide 1.5 mg-treated patients (least squares [LS] mean for patients aged $\geq 65$ years: $-1.03$ [95% confidence interval (CI) $-1.36$ to $-1.12$]) and for patients aged $<65$ years: $-1.29$ [95% CI $-1.38$ to $-1.20$]) and for dulaglutide 0.75 mg-treated patients (LS mean for patients aged $\geq 65$ years: $-1.16$ [95% CI $-1.29$, $-1.03$] and for patients aged $<65$ years: $-1.10$ [95% CI $-1.19$, $-1.01$]) at 26 weeks. The percentages of patients who achieved HbA1c targets of $<7$, $<8$ or $<9$% were also similar in the two groups with both dulaglutide doses. Patients aged $\geq 65$ years had similar weight change to patients aged $<65$ years. Severe hypoglycaemic events were infrequent. A similar incidence of gastrointestinal adverse events was observed in each age group with both dulaglutide doses.

Conclusion: Both dulaglutide doses were well tolerated, with similar efficacy in patients with T2D aged $\geq 65$ years to those aged $<65$ years. Dulaglutide can be considered a safe and effective treatment option for use in older adults.
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Introduction

As individuals age, the occurrence of diabetes increases, and it is estimated that within the next 15 years, $>$82 million patients with type 2 diabetes (T2D) in developed countries will be aged $\geq 65$ years [1]. Caution should be exercised when choosing therapy for T2D in older patients because of comorbidities such as renal impairment, neuropathy and cognitive dysfunction, and treatment regimens, when instituted, should take into consideration the risks of hypoglycaemia, heart failure, renal dysfunction, bone fractures and drug interactions [2,3]. Functional impairments, including cognitive decline, peripheral neuropathy, vision and hearing impairments, muscle atrophy, poor posture and balance problems, should also be taken into account when choosing a treatment option [4–10]. Amongst all these concerns, however, prevention of hypoglycaemia is the most important because the consequences of low blood glucose can be catastrophic for the elderly [11]. While hypoglycaemia should be avoided whenever possible, suboptimum treatment of hyperglycaemia resulting in prolonged high blood glucose levels can in itself worsen cognitive impairment, renal dysfunction and neuropathy. With this in mind, guidelines recommend choosing drugs with a low risk of hypoglycaemia, but also recommend higher glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c) targets of $<8$ or even $<9$% [7,12,13] compared with the non-elderly populations, for whom a target of $<7$% is considered optimal [2,3].

Sulphonylureas, because of their association with unregulated insulin release, increase the risk of hypoglycaemia [14,15] and are not a good choice for the elderly. Insulin therapy, while effective, has a very high potential for causing hypoglycaemia and can be challenging in older patients who may have poor vision, arthritis or cognitive dysfunction [16].

Incretin-based therapies including dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors and glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) receptor agonists stimulate insulin secretion in a glucose-dependent manner resulting in a lower risk of hypoglycaemia when used as monotherapy or in combination with agents that do not increase insulin levels [17–20], and could therefore be a good alternative for the elderly.
Dulaglutide is a human GLP-1 receptor agonist, with a half-life of ~5 days allowing once-weekly dosing [21,22]. It is administered with a single-use pen with no requirement for reconstitution or dialing of a dose [23]. It is not renally excreted and pharmacokinetic studies have shown that neither age nor renal function affect its actions, thus no dose adjustment is required in these settings [24].

Dulaglutide has been studied in six phase III clinical trials in 5171 adult patients aged 19–87 years across the T2D treatment continuum: as monotherapy; as add-on to one or two oral antihyperglycaemic medications; and in combination with prandial insulin. The results of these trials have shown that treatment with dulaglutide 1.5 mg was superior in achieving glycaemic control in head-to-head comparisons at the primary endpoint with metformin [25], sitagliptin [26], insulin glargine [27,28], and exenatide twice daily [29]; and non-inferior to lixisenatide [30]; however, these phase III published clinical articles do not report the effect of dulaglutide specifically for patients aged ≥65 years.

The results reported in the present analysis include the pooled and individual study data on the efficacy and safety of dulaglutide 1.5 and 0.75 mg, administered once weekly in patients aged ≥65 years, from the six Assessment of Weekly Administration of LY2189265 [dulaglutide] in Diabetes (AWARD) clinical trials [25–30]. These are then compared with the efficacy and safety data of both the doses in patients aged <65 years.

Materials and Methods

Design of the AWARD Clinical Trial Programme
All the AWARD trials were designed to evaluate the efficacy and safety of dulaglutide in adult patients with T2D, with primary endpoints of 26 or 52 weeks, depending on the individual study [25–30]. The objectives of these trials were to evaluate the superiority of HbA1c reduction from baseline compared with placebo and the non-inferiority/superiority to active comparators (Table S1, Supporting Information).

Statistical Analysis
In the present analysis we assessed the efficacy and safety of dulaglutide 1.5 mg and dulaglutide 0.75 mg in patients with T2D aged ≥65 and <65 years. For the overall analysis, elderly patients were defined as those aged ≥65 years [7]; however, given the increasing prevalence of patients aged ≥75 years, efficacy in HbA1c change from baseline was also analysed for this age group. The analysis was performed on the intention-to-treat population (randomized patients who received at least one dose of study medication). Analyses of efficacy measures and hypoglycaemia excluded observations after start of rescue therapy. All analyses were conducted at 26 weeks because it was the common time point for all of the AWARD studies. Efficacy measures of HbA1c change from baseline and percent of patients achieving HbA1c goals of <7, <8 and <9% were analysed using pooled data from the six trials for each dulaglutide dose. The analysis of pooled data for change in HbA1c was conducted using analysis of covariance (ANCOVA), including study, country, treatment, age group and age group by treatment interaction, and with baseline value as covariate. Analyses of change in HbA1c and weight for individual studies were carried out using ANCOVA, including country, treatment, age group, age group by treatment interaction and study-specific stratification factors, and with baseline value as covariate. The last observation was carried forward for missing data. Documented symptomatic hypoglycaemia (plasma glucose ≤3.9 mmol/l) and severe hypoglycaemia (defined as requiring assistance) were analysed by individual study only. Summative data of all gastrointestinal adverse events were pooled by dulaglutide treatment (1.5 and 0.75 mg) across studies.

Results

Baseline Characteristics
Across the six phase III studies, a total of 5171 patients (age ≥65 years, n = 958; age <65 years, n = 4213) were included in this analysis, of whom 1719 received dulaglutide 1.5 mg and 1417 received dulaglutide 0.75 mg treatment. Overall, 93 of the patients (1.8%) were aged ≥75 years (dulaglutide 1.5 mg, n = 23; dulaglutide 0.75 mg, n = 25; comparator and placebo arms, n = 45). The mean age of all patients was 56.2 ± 9.9 years, 2553 patients (49%) were female, and the mean body mass index was 32.4 ± 5.2 kg/m². The mean duration of diabetes was 8.0 ± 6.2 years and the mean baseline HbA1c was 8.1 ± 1.1%. A summary of pooled baseline characteristics and patient demographics with dulaglutide 1.5 mg or dulaglutide 0.75 mg by age group is shown in Table 1. The difference in mean age between groups was ~17 years for both dulaglutide doses, there were slightly fewer women in the ≥65 years age group, patients aged ≥65 years weighed slightly less than those aged <65 years, patients aged ≥65 years had a longer duration of diabetes (3–4 years longer), and patients aged ≥65 years were more likely to have used insulin.

Efficacy

Change in HbA1c from Baseline. At 26 weeks, both older (≥65 years) and younger (<65 years) patients experienced similar HbA1c reduction from baseline in the pooled analysis both for dulaglutide 1.5 mg [least squares (LS) mean for age group ≥65 years: –1.16; (95% CI –1.36, –1.12); LS mean for age group <65 years: –1.29 (95% CI –1.38, –1.20) and for dulaglutide 0.75 mg [LS mean for age group ≥65 years: –1.16; (95% CI –1.29, –1.03) and for age group <65 years: –1.10 (95% CI –1.19, –1.01); Figure 1]. The individual study analysis for HbA1c change from baseline to 26 weeks (Figure 2) showed a similar HbA1c reduction to that in the pooled analysis (Figure 1). A similar HbA1c reduction from baseline with the pooled analysis for dulaglutide 1.5 mg [LS mean –1.27 (95% CI –1.63, –0.92)] and dulaglutide 0.75 mg [LS mean –1.21 (95% CI –1.56, –0.87)] was observed for patients aged ≥75 years.

Percentage Achieving HbA1c Targets <7, <8 and <9%. At 26 weeks, the percentage of patients who achieved the HbA1c targets of <7, <8 or <9% was similar in the older and younger
Table 1. Baseline characteristics and demographics.

| Variable                                        | Dulaglutide 1.5 mg | Dulaglutide 0.75 mg |
|------------------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
|                                                | Age ≥65 years n = 318 | Age <65 years n = 1401 | Age ≥65 years n = 258 | Age <65 years n = 1159 |
| Female, n (%)                                   | 138 (43.4)          | 716 (51.1)         | 121 (46.9)          | 592 (51.1) |
| Age, years                                      | 69.8 (3.7)          | 53.1 (8.1)         | 69.9 (3.7)          | 53.4 (8.0) |
| Weight, kg                                      | 87.5 (16.3)         | 91.7 (19.2)        | 88.5 (17.5)         | 90.6 (19.3) |
| BMI, kg/m²                                      | 31.5 (4.8)          | 32.7 (5.3)         | 32.0 (5.0)          | 32.4 (5.4) |
| HbA1c, %                                        | 8.0 (1.0)           | 8.1 (1.1)          | 8.0 (1.1)           | 8.1 (1.1) |
| FBG, mmol/l                                     | 9.4 (2.8)           | 9.1 (2.9)          | 8.9 (2.6)           | 9.0 (2.8) |
| Duration of diabetes, years                     | 11.3 (7.9)          | 7.2 (5.6)          | 10.5 (7.2)          | 7.6 (5.8) |
| Previous oral antidiabetic medication use, n (%) | 37 (11.6)           | 274 (19.6)         | 42 (16.3)           | 261 (22.5) |
| No oral antidiabetic medication                 | 111 (34.9)          | 550 (39.3)         | 67 (26.0)           | 311 (26.8) |
| 1 oral antidiabetic medication                  | 93 (29.2)           | 359 (25.6)         | 73 (28.3)           | 370 (31.9) |
| > 1 oral antidiabetic medication(s)             | 77 (24.2)           | 218 (15.6)         | 76 (29.5)           | 217 (18.7) |
| Insulin + oral antidiabetic medication(s)       |                    |                    |                    | |

BMI, body mass index; FBG, fasting blood glucose; HbA1c, glycated haemoglobin.
All data are presented as mean (standard deviation) unless otherwise indicated. No formal statistical test of baseline differences was performed.

*Pooled data from AWARD 1 through 6 clinical trials.
†Pooled data from AWARD 1 through 5 clinical trials.

Figure 1. Pooled analysis of glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c) change from baseline to 26 weeks. HbA1c change from baseline to 26 weeks for pooled analysis from AWARD-1 through AWARD-6 for dulaglutide 1.5 mg and AWARD-1 through AWARD-5 for dulaglutide 0.75 mg. Data presented as least squares means and standard errors. DU, dulaglutide.

Figure 1. Pooled analysis of glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c) change from baseline to 26 weeks. HbA1c change from baseline to 26 weeks for pooled analysis from AWARD-1 through AWARD-6 for dulaglutide 1.5 mg and AWARD-1 through AWARD-5 for dulaglutide 0.75 mg. Data presented as least squares means and standard errors. DU, dulaglutide.

Weight Change from Baseline. Individual study analysis from baseline to 26 weeks showed a similar effect on weight for dulaglutide 1.5 mg or dulaglutide 0.75 mg in older and younger patients (Figure 3).

Safety

Hypoglycaemia. The incidence of documented symptomatic, asymptomatic and nocturnal hypoglycaemia (plasma glucose ≤3.9 mmol/l) with dulaglutide was low and similar for older and younger patients across all non-insulin comparator studies (AWARD-1, -3, -5 and -6; Table 2). For the insulin comparator studies (AWARD-2 and -4), higher incidences of documented symptomatic, asymptomatic and nocturnal hypoglycaemia were observed with dulaglutide treatments; however, results were similar for either age group (Table 2). Severe hypoglycaemia was infrequent with a total of 25 episodes across the 6 trials: 12 episodes occurred in dulaglutide-treated patients (7 in the 1.5-mg dose group; 5 in the 0.75-mg dose group), 1 occurred in a patient treated with exenatide twice daily in AWARD-1, and 12 episodes occurred in insulin glargine-treated patients in AWARD-2 and -4. Five of the 12 episodes with dulaglutide were in patients aged ≥65 years (3 in the 1.5-mg dose group and 2 in the 0.75-mg dose group), 1 occurred in a patient treated with exenatide twice daily in AWARD-1, and 12 episodes occurred in insulin glargine-treated patients in AWARD-2 and -4. The seven episodes in patients aged <65 years were: dulaglutide 1.5 mg, one patient in AWARD-2 and three patients in AWARD-4; dulaglutide 0.75 mg, three patients in AWARD-4.

Adverse Events. Treatment-emergent adverse events were similar across age groups for pooled results of dulaglutide 1.5 mg-treated patients [those aged ≥65 years, 217 of 318 (68.2%) and those aged <65 years, 917 of 1401 (65.5%)] and pooled results of dulaglutide 0.75 mg-treated patients [those aged ≥65 years, 159 of 258 (61.6%) and those aged <65 years, 762 of 1159 (65.7%)]. Overall, the percentage of patients...
Figure 2. Glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c) change from baseline to 26 weeks by individual study. Data presented as LS means and 95% CIs. AWARD, Assessment of Weekly Administration of LY2189265 (dulaglutide) in Diabetes; BL, baseline; HbA1c, glycated haemoglobin; MET, metformin; SU, sulphonylurea; TZD, thiazolidinedione; yrs, years.

reporting gastrointestinal adverse events was similar in each age group with both dulaglutide doses (nausea: dulaglutide 1.5 mg, ≥65 years = 22.6% and <65 years = 20.3%; dulaglutide 0.75 mg, ≥65 years = 13.2% and <65 years = 12.3%; diarrhoea: dulaglutide 1.5 mg, ≥65 years = 14.2% and <65 years = 11.6%; dulaglutide 0.75 mg, ≥65 years = 8.9% and <65 years = 8.9%; and vomiting: dulaglutide 1.5 mg, ≥65 years = 10.4% and <65 years = 10.1%; dulaglutide 0.75 mg, ≥65 years = 8.5% and <65 years = 6.3%). Nausea was the most common gastrointestinal adverse event with onset highest in the first 2 weeks of treatment (dulaglutide 1.5 mg, ≥65 years = 16.4% and <65 years = 15.5%; dulaglutide 0.75 mg, ≥65 years = 8.5% and <65 years = 8.4%); and rapid decline thereafter, with no differences observed between age groups.

Discussion
In the present analysis, the efficacy measure of HbA1c change from baseline was analysed using pooled data from six AWARD clinical trials for each dulaglutide dose as well as by individual study for patients aged ≥65 years and those <65 years. The range of HbA1c reduction from baseline to the primary
Figure 3. Change in weight from baseline to 26 weeks by individual study. Data presented as least squares means and 95% confidence intervals. AWARD, Assessment of Weekly Administration of LY2189265 (dulaglutide) in Diabetes; BL, baseline; MET, metformin; SU, sulphonylurea; TZD, thiazolidinedione.

The endpoint with dulaglutide treatment was 0.8–1.6% for dulaglutide 1.5 mg [25–30] and 0.7–1.6% for dulaglutide 0.75 mg [25–29]. This post hoc analysis, based on both the pooled and individual study data, showed that reduction in HbA1c with both dulaglutide doses was similar in patients who were aged ≥65 years and those who were aged <65 years.

The current standards for treating T2D recommend an HbA1c of <7% for healthy adults, but less stringent goals, such as <8–9%, are recommended for those with limited life expectancy and/or comorbid illness [7,12,13]. All three HbA1c target goals were therefore evaluated in the present analysis. We found that with both dulaglutide doses, the percentage of patients achieving HbA1c targets of <7, <8 and <9% in each age group was similar, and in the ranges achieved in the overall AWARD clinical trial programme, where the percentage of patients achieving HbA1c target goal of <7% ranged from 53 to 78% for dulaglutide 1.5 mg [25–30], and from 37 to 69% for dulaglutide 0.75 mg [25–29].

Dulaglutide 1.5 mg treatment resulted in weight loss in both older and younger patients for AWARD-1 through to AWARD-6 clinical trials. Dulaglutide 0.75 mg treatment also resulted in similar weight loss in both age groups for AWARD-2, -3, and -5; however, a small weight gain was observed in AWARD-4 for both age groups. This was probably a result of
Table 2. Number (%) of patients reporting hypoglycaemia (plasma glucose ≤3.9 mmol/l) at 26 weeks.

| Study (concomitant therapy) | Type of hypoglycaemia | Age ≥65 years | Age <65 years |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|--------------|
|                             | N*        | n† (%)          | Rate/year‡   | N*        | n† (%)          | Rate/year‡   |
| **AWARD-1 (metformin + pioglitazone)** |          |                |             |          |                |             |
| Documented symptomatic      | Dulaglutide 1.5 mg   | 54            | 2 (3.7)      | 0.07      | 225          | 12 (5.3)     | 0.25        |
|                             | Dulaglutide 0.75 mg  | 37            | 1 (2.7)      | 0.05      | 243          | 12 (4.9)     | 0.20        |
|                             | Exenatide twice daily | 45          | 4 (8.9)      | 0.54      | 231          | 27 (11.7)    | 1.17        |
|                             | Placebo      | 20            | 0 (0.0)      | 0.00      | 121          | 2 (1.7)      | 0.07        |
| Asymptomatic                | Dulaglutide 1.5 mg   | 54            | 5 (9.3)      | 0.26      | 225          | 11 (4.9)     | 0.17        |
|                             | Dulaglutide 0.75 mg  | 37            | 3 (8.1)      | 0.21      | 243          | 13 (5.3)     | 0.75        |
|                             | Exenatide twice daily | 45          | 4 (8.9)      | 0.27      | 231          | 18 (7.8)     | 0.39        |
|                             | Placebo      | 20            | 1 (5.0)      | 1.30      | 121          | 2 (1.7)      | 0.08        |
| Nocturnal                   | Dulaglutide 1.5 mg   | 54            | 2 (3.7)      | 0.11      | 225          | 2 (0.9)      | 0.05        |
|                             | Dulaglutide 0.75 mg  | 37            | 2 (5.4)      | 0.79      | 243          | 5 (2.1)      | 0.09        |
|                             | Exenatide twice daily | 45          | 2 (4.4)      | 0.13      | 231          | 14 (6.1)     | 0.25        |
|                             | Placebo      | 20            | 1 (5.0)      | 1.30      | 121          | 1 (0.8)      | 0.08        |
| **AWARD-3 (none)**          |          |                |             |          |                |             |
| Documented symptomatic      | Dulaglutide 1.5 mg   | 45            | 2 (4.4)      | 3.29      | 224          | 7 (3.1)      | 0.08        |
|                             | Dulaglutide 0.75 mg  | 54            | 2 (3.7)      | 0.15      | 216          | 11 (5.1)     | 0.18        |
|                             | Metformin     | 44            | 1 (2.3)      | 0.05      | 224          | 9 (4.0)      | 0.10        |
| Asymptomatic                | Dulaglutide 1.5 mg   | 45            | 2 (4.4)      | 0.34      | 224          | 14 (6.3)     | 0.38        |
|                             | Dulaglutide 0.75 mg  | 54            | 5 (9.3)      | 0.48      | 216          | 12 (5.6)     | 0.30        |
|                             | Metformin     | 44            | 4 (9.1)      | 0.51      | 224          | 12 (5.4)     | 0.13        |
| Nocturnal                   | Dulaglutide 1.5 mg   | 45            | 0 (0.0)      | 0.00      | 224          | 3 (1.3)      | 0.03        |
|                             | Dulaglutide 0.75 mg  | 54            | 3 (5.6)      | 0.11      | 216          | 4 (1.9)      | 0.08        |
|                             | Metformin     | 44            | 1 (2.3)      | 0.05      | 224          | 2 (0.9)      | 0.04        |
| **AWARD-5 (metformin)**     |          |                |             |          |                |             |
| Documented Symptomatic      | Dulaglutide 1.5 mg   | 37            | 2 (5.4)      | 0.21      | 267          | 15 (5.6)     | 0.27        |
|                             | Dulaglutide 0.75 mg  | 40            | 1 (2.5)      | 0.15      | 262          | 7 (2.7)      | 0.12        |
|                             | Sitagliptin    | 44            | 1 (2.3)      | 0.05      | 271          | 9 (3.3)      | 0.11        |
|                             | Placebo       | 23            | 0 (0.0)      | 0.00      | 154          | 2 (1.3)      | 0.09        |
| Asymptomatic                | Dulaglutide 1.5 mg   | 37            | 0 (0.0)      | 0.00      | 267          | 5 (1.9)      | 0.09        |
|                             | Dulaglutide 0.75 mg  | 40            | 0 (0.0)      | 0.00      | 262          | 5 (1.9)      | 0.06        |
|                             | Sitagliptin    | 44            | 0 (0.0)      | 0.00      | 271          | 0 (0.0)      | 0.00        |
|                             | Placebo       | 23            | 0 (0.0)      | 0.00      | 154          | 0 (0.0)      | 0.00        |
| Nocturnal                   | Dulaglutide 1.5 mg   | 37            | 1 (2.7)      | 0.05      | 267          | 6 (2.2)      | 0.11        |
|                             | Dulaglutide 0.75 mg  | 40            | 0 (0.0)      | 0.00      | 262          | 5 (1.9)      | 0.07        |
|                             | Sitagliptin    | 44            | 0 (0.0)      | 0.00      | 271          | 2 (0.7)      | 0.03        |
|                             | Placebo       | 23            | 0 (0.0)      | 0.00      | 154          | 0 (0.0)      | 0.00        |
| **AWARD-6 (metformin)**     |          |                |             |          |                |             |
| Documented symptomatic      | Dulaglutide 1.5 mg   | 51            | 0 (0.0)      | 0.00      | 248          | 8 (3.2)      | 0.14        |
|                             | Liraglutide 1.8 mg  | 60            | 1 (1.7)      | 0.33      | 240          | 7 (2.9)      | 0.27        |
| Asymptomatic                | Dulaglutide 1.5 mg   | 51            | 0 (0.00)     | 0.00      | 248          | 20 (8.1)     | 0.24        |
|                             | Liraglutide 1.8 mg  | 60            | 2 (3.3)      | 0.07      | 240          | 8 (3.3)      | 0.14        |
| Nocturnal                   | Dulaglutide 1.5 mg   | 51            | 0 (0.00)     | 0.00      | 248          | 4 (1.6)      | 0.08        |
|                             | Liraglutide 1.8 mg  | 60            | 2 (3.3)      | 0.41      | 240          | 4 (1.7)      | 0.08        |
the very-high-dose insulin lispro concomitant therapy used in that study [27]. In AWARD-1, dulaglutide 0.75 mg treatment resulted in a small weight loss in the older patients, and a small weight gain in the younger patients, probably as a result of pioglitazone concomitant therapy in that study [29]; treatment with thiazolidinedione has been previously reported to result in weight gain [31]. In the overall AWARD clinical trial programme, change (LS mean) in body weight from baseline to the primary endpoint ranged from −0.9 to −3.0 kg for dulaglutide 1.5 mg [25–30], and from +0.2 to −2.6 kg for dulaglutide 0.75 mg [25–29]. This post hoc analysis in the elderly suggest that the results on weight change are in line with those seen in the overall study population.

From a safety perspective, the incidence of documented symptomatic, asymptomatic and nocturnal hypoglycaemic (plasma glucose ≤3.9 mmol/l) events were similar across age groups, and were low when patients were not on concomitant sulphonylurea or insulin therapy. As seen with other incretin agents, when combined with sulphonylurea or insulin, the risk of hypoglycaemia increases [16,32]. Events of severe hypoglycaemia were, however, very infrequent.

Lastly, pooled analyses from all the studies showed that gastrointestinal adverse events were similar in each age group. Nausea, the most common adverse event, was transient; with the highest rates in the first 2 weeks, rapidly declining thereafter. The dulaglutide results from this analysis are consistent with other published studies that show no difference in efficacy and safety of GLP-1 receptor agonist use in older patients with T2D compared with younger patients [18,19,33]. It has been reported that patients with T2D have a higher incidence of cognitive decline [34] and T2D is associated with an increased risk of dementia and Alzheimer’s disease development [34]. High glucose levels in themselves are also thought to have detrimental effects on the aging brain and may be associated with an increased risk of dementia in populations both with and without diabetes [35]. Conversely, stringent glycaemic control in elderly patients may result in hypoglycaemia, which may also have detrimental effects on cognitive function [36] and cognitive impairment in itself also increases the risk of hypoglycaemia. It is therefore important to consider a treatment regimen that not only is effective in HbA1c reduction but also has demonstrated low incidences of hypoglycaemia.

It is recommended that older adults with mild to moderate cognitive impairment achieve an HbA1c target of <8% whilst those with severe cognitive impairment should aim for an HbA1c target of <8.5% [7]. In the present analysis, we have shown that treatment of patients aged ≥65 years with dulaglutide resulted in a high percentage of patients achieving HbA1c targets of <8% (87.4–89.4%) and <9% (96.0–96.8%) with a low risk of hypoglycaemia, especially when not used with...
sulphonylurea or insulin. Also, as mentioned previously, older adults may have poor vision, arthritis or cognitive dysfunction, which may make dose calculations and administration of injectable medications difficult. Results from a study with the dulaglutide single-use pen showed that injection success was achieved by 99.3% of patients aged <65 years and by 98.6% of patients aged ≥65 years [23].

There are several limitations that should be considered when interpreting these results. This was a post hoc analysis with a small number of elderly patients in each individual study, very few of whom were aged ≥75 years, the fastest growing segment of the aging population. In addition, the focus of this analysis was at 26 weeks because of the varying duration of the AWARD trials. Given the heterogeneity of concomitant therapy across the AWARD clinical trial programme, with concomitant sulphonylurea and insulin therapy increasing the risk of hypoglycaemia (AWARD 2 and 4) [16,27,28,32] and pioglitazone attenuating weight loss (AWARD 1) [29,31], pooling of all studies would not have been the best representation of the clinical results for weight change and risk for hypoglycaemia.

In conclusion, given the increasing prevalence of T2D in older adults, continued evaluation of diabetes medications for efficacy and safety in this population are necessary. The results of this analysis show that treatment with both dulaglutide doses improves glycaemic control, decreases body weight (or results in less weight gain), has a low risk of hypoglycaemia, and similar incidence of gastrointestinal adverse events in patients aged ≥65 years and those aged <65 years and can be considered a safe and effective treatment option for use in older adults.
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