The naturally weak spin-orbit coupling in Graphene can be largely enhanced by adatom deposition (e.g. Weeks et al. Phys. Rev. X 1, 021001 (2011)). However, the dynamics of the adatoms also induces a coupling between phonons and the electron spin. Using group theory and a tight-binding model, we systematically investigate the coupling between the low-energy in-plane phonons and the electron spin in single-layer graphene uniformly decorated with heavy adatoms. Our results provide the foundation for future investigations of spin transport and superconductivity in this system. In order to quantify the effect of the coupling to the lattice on the electronic spin dynamics, we compute the spin-flip rate of electrons and holes. We show that the latter exhibits a strong dependence on the quasi-particle energy and system temperature.

I. INTRODUCTION

Two-dimensional topological insulators exhibiting the quantum spin Hall effect (QSHE) hold great potential for applications in low-power consumption electronics. However, so far very few such materials are available. This makes the quest for new materials exhibiting the QSHE a very important research enterprise. Early in the history of the field, it was pointed out by Kane and Mele that graphene, if endowed with a sizable spin-orbit coupling (SOC), would exhibit a robust QSHE. However, the strength of the SOC in graphene is just a few tens of micro-volts, which makes the QSHE in graphene extremely fragile and, in practice, inaccessible in actual experiments.

Nevertheless, after its isolation in 2004, graphene, a monolayer of carbon atoms arranged in a honeycomb lattice, has become an extremely popular 2D material because it can be cheaply produced in large-size samples displaying large carrier mobilities. Furthermore, the carrier charge and density can be easily tuned by gating. In recent years, several methods for enhancing the SOC in graphene have been put forward. They largely rely on adatom deposition, and can lead to either predominantly Rashba-type SOC, or predominantly intrinsic-type SOC, or both, depending on the adatom type. Castro Neto and Guinea pointed out that chemisorption of atomic species like Hydrogen or Fluorine, which bind to the carbon atoms by inducing sp²–sp³ hybridization, locally induces a spin orbit coupling of the Rashba-type, which can be as large as several tens of meV. This proposal has been recently verified experimentally by Balakrishnan and coworkers, who measured the spin-Hall effect (SHE) in lightly hydrogenated graphene devices.

In addition, it was proposed by Weeks et al. that, by deposition of certain heavy adatoms like Indium and Thallium, the strength of the intrinsic-type SOC can be largely enhanced in single-layer graphene. The enhancement is due to second-neighbor hopping that is mediated by the spin-orbit-splitted p-orbitals of the adatoms, which strongly hybridize with the unoccupied π-levels of graphene. Such an enhancement of the intrinsic SOC can lead to a band gap of the order of several tens of meV, and therefore, to a much more robust QSHE. Furthermore, it was noted that, for these atomic species, the adatoms find their lowest absorption energies at the center of the hexagons on the honeycomb lattice (the so-called H position). Indeed, first principle calculations by Weeks and coworkers found that the heavy adatoms at the H position have binding energies of −0.525 eV, being the energy difference between H and B position (i.e. the bridge between two neighbor carbon atoms) 80 meV. In this configuration, the Rashba-type SOC, which is detrimental for QSHE, is entirely absent at the K and K′ points (but grows linearly with the crystal-momentum separation to those points). Deposition of other atomic species, such as transition metal elements like Iridium and Osmium, has been also studied. In this case, the details of microscopic mechanism by which SOC is induced on the graphene layer by transition-metal atoms containing d orbitals is different from the atomic species studied by Weeks and coworkers, for which the p-orbitals play the dominant role.

It is worth pointing out that recent experiments carried both in CVD graphene (where Copper atoms exist in the form of a residue resulting from the fabrication process) and in intentionally decorated graphene with noble metal clusters of e.g. Cu, and Au, support the idea that decoration can enhance the SOC in graphene. As in the case of Hydrogen, decoration produces a robust SHE in standard Hall-bar devices made with CVD and exfoliated graphene samples that have been decorated with adatoms. Indeed, single-layer graphene is particularly prone to the existence of resonances in the neighborhood of the Dirac point induced by adatoms (see references therein). This has been recently shown to lead to a sizable enhancement the SHE.

Nevertheless, the possibility of a proximity-induced SOC in graphene still remains controversial, as a recent experiment found no experimental evidence of such effect induced in the magnetoresistance, quantum Hall
effect and non-local spin Hall effect of graphene decorated with Indium. However, other studies\textsuperscript{22,23} have found evidence of such proximity induced SOC by Gold\textsuperscript{22} and Lead\textsuperscript{23} in the quasi-particle spectrum measured either through angle-resolved phono-emission\textsuperscript{22} or scanning tunneling spectroscopy.\textsuperscript{23} Further studies are therefore needed in order to better clarify the complexities of the coupling between the adatoms and the electrons on the graphene plane.

One important concern with the decoration of graphene by heavy adatoms is that the latter are often physisorbed rather than chemisorbed. Thus, the binding of the adatoms to the layer is rather weak and a native estimation of the phonon frequencies of the modes associated with the motion of the adatom yields a relatively low characteristic energy of $\approx 10$ meV.\textsuperscript{38} Since the adatom is responsible for the SOC, when its position fluctuates, it will affect the electron spin. Added to the relatively low-energy of the relevant phonons, it can be expected that the lattice dynamics in adatom decorated graphene can have a substantial effect on the spin-dynamics of the low-energy electrons and holes. The precise way in which those low-energy phonons couple to the electron spin degree of freedom is the subject of this work. In addition, in order to assess the effect of this coupling on the spin-dynamics, we have computed the phonon-contribution to the spin-flip rate of electrons and holes.

The rest paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we use symmetry arguments as well as a simple model to obtain the phonon spectrum of decorated graphene. In Sec. III, we review the most important facts about the tight-binding model for the electronic structure of single-layer graphene decorated with a periodic array of heavy atoms sitting on the H position introduced in Ref. [16]. In Sec. IV, the coupling of the low-energy phonons to the electron spin is obtained both from symmetry arguments and the tight-binding model. The consequences of this coupling for the electron spin-flip rate are discussed in Sec. V. Finally, we discuss our results in Sec. VI and summarize our main conclusions in Sec. VII. Some of the more technical details of the calculations have been relegated to the Appendices.

\section{PHONON SPECTRUM OF ADATOM DECORATED GRAPHENE}

In order to obtain the spectral degeneracies of the phonon spectrum around special points of the Brillouin zone (BZ), we first rely upon group theory. Thus we analyze the symmetry properties of graphene covered by a periodic layer of adatoms of the type considered by Weeks \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{16} The symmetry group constrains the types of phonon-mediated spin-orbit coupling. Since the adatoms sit periodically at the H position on top of the graphene layer (see Fig. 1, for example), each unit cell contains two carbon atoms and one adatom. Note that, in this configuration, the mirror symmetry where $z \rightarrow -z$

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
$C_{6v}^{\prime\prime}$ & $E$ & $2\sigma_a$ & $3C_2$ & $2C_3$ & $4\sigma_a$ & $C_3$ & $6C_3$ & $3\sigma_a$ \\
\hline
$\chi$ & 9 & 0 & 1 & 3 & 3 & 1 & 3 & 0 & 3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Character table for the vibrational representation of the group $C_{6v}$.}
\end{table}

is absent. Therefore, the point group of graphene with adatoms on the H position is $C_{6v}$, which has 12 elements, whose equivalence classes are $\{E, 2C_6, 2C_3, C_2, 3\sigma_a, 3\sigma'_a\}$ where $C_m$ describes a rotation by $2\pi/m$ by an axis (z) perpendicular to the graphene layer, $\sigma_a$ describes reflections containing the $z$ axis that leave the A and B sublattices invariant, and $\sigma'_a$ describes reflections that swap the two sublattices.\textsuperscript{24}

Generally speaking, two kinds of phonon modes affect the dynamics of electrons at low energies. Phonons near the $\Gamma$ point can scatter low-energy electrons within the same valley. In addition, phonons at $K(K')$ points can also scatter electrons between valleys because $K'$ is equivalent to $-K$ and $3K$ is a reciprocal lattice vector \textit{umklapp} scattering (i.e. ($K_e + (K)_{ph} + G = (K')_e$) for $G = -3K$). In order to treat the inter- and intra-valley phonon scattering at the same time, it is convenient to follow Ref. [24] and triple the unit cell (see Fig. 1), which means that two translations $t_{a1}$ and $t_{a2}$ are factored out from translation group and must be included in the point group $C_{6v}$. This yields the group $C_{6v}'' = C_{6v} \times t_{a1}C_{6v} + t_{a2}C_{6v}$. This approach maps the $K$ and $K'$ points to the $\Gamma$ point of a lattice with a unit cell that is three times larger. Thus, the states at $K, K'$ become degenerate and we can deal with them simultaneously. Therefore, the possible inter- and intra-valley couplings between the phonons and the electron spin, which in addition must respect time-reversal symmetry, can be classified according to the \textit{irreducible representations (irreps)} of the $C_{6v}''$.

\subsection{Symmetry analysis of the phonon modes}

First, let us study the classification of in-plane phonon modes according to the symmetry operations of $C_{6v}''$. The tripled unit cell contains 9 atoms, i.e. 6 carbons and 3 adatoms. When one atom is mapped onto an equivalent atom by a symmetry operation in $C_{6v}$, it contributes 1 to the character of the transformation. For example, under $C_3$, only the 3 adatoms are invariant, and therefore the character for this element equals 3. The character for the different symmetry transformations in the tripled-unit cell lattice is shown in Table I. By applying the orthonogality theorem, this representation can be reduced to $2A_1 + B_1 + E_1' + G'$. Taking into account that a two dimensional vector like the atom displacement $u = (x, y)$ transforms according to the $E_1$ \textit{irrep} of $C_{6v}$, the vector of in-plane vibrations belongs to an 18-dimensional reducible representation. The latter can be decomposed into $(2A_1 + B_1 + E_1' + G') \times E_1 = 2E_1 + E_2 + E_1' + E_2' + 2G'$, where we have used that\textsuperscript{24} $A_1 \times E_1 = E_1, B_1 \times E_1 = E_2, E_1' \times E_1 = G', G' \times E_1 = E_1' + E_2' + G'$. $G'$ is a
four-dimensional irreps and the $E_1, E_2, E_1', E_2'$ are two dimensional irreps.

In order to better identify which of the above modes belong to the $\Gamma$ point of the BZ, we can go back to the original three-atom unit cell rather than using the tripled unit cell. The original unit cell transforms according to the $C_{6v}$ group. This group is also the little group for the $\Gamma$ point (the little group for $K$ and $K'$ is $C_{3v}$). The representation of the phonon modes at $\Gamma$ can be decomposed into $2E_1 + E_2$, which corresponds to two acoustic modes (transforming according to one of the $E_1$ irreps) and two optical modes transforming according to $E_1 + E_2$. Hence, it follows that the $K$ and $K'$ points contribute a total of 12 optical modes, which transform according to $E_1' + E_2' + 2G'$ in the (reducible) $C_{6v}'$ vibrational representation.

**B. Central-force model for the in-plane phonons**

We next extend and establish the previous findings based on the symmetry analysis by explicitly obtaining the dispersion and wavefunctions for the phonons in the entire BZ. To this end, we use a simple model that assumes only nearest-neighbor harmonic forces:

$$H_{ph} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i m_i \ddot{u}_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} k_{ij} (\dot{\rho}_{ij} \cdot (\vec{u}_i - \vec{u}_j))^2,$$  

where atomic mass $m_i = m$ for the carbon atoms, $m_i = m_a$ for the adatoms (we take $m_a > m$), $\dot{\rho}_{ij}$ is the unit vector along the direction connecting the $i$ and $j$ sites, $\vec{u}_i = (x_i, y_i)$ is the displacement of an atom at site $i$, and $k_{ij} = k$ is spring constant that determines the strength of the bond between two neighboring carbons. However, $k_{ij} = k'$ determines the force between neighboring adatoms and carbons. The above central-force model describes, for small atomic displacements, the changes in kinetic and elastic energy.

Let us next introduce a six-component vector of displacements, $\vec{u}(\vec{R})$, whose components are $\vec{u}(\vec{R}) = [x_A(\vec{R}) \ y_A(\vec{R}) \ x_B(\vec{R}) \ y_B(\vec{R}) \ x_0(\vec{R}) \ y_0(\vec{R})]^T$, where $x_A, y_A, x_B$ and $y_B$ correspond to the in-plane displacement of the carbon atoms from their equilibrium positions on the A and B sublattices, respectively, and $x_0, y_0$ are displacements of adatom from its equilibrium position; $\vec{R} = n_1 \vec{a}_1 + n_2 \vec{a}_2$ ($n_1, n_2$ being integers) spans the Bravais lattice (see Fig. 1), whereas $\delta_i$ describes the position of the atoms within the unit cell (i.e. the basis). Note that we neglect out of plane dynamics, assuming that the system is on a substrate, which damps out the flexural phonons. Using periodic boundary conditions, the normal frequency $\omega_\mu$ in the three-atom unit cell can be computed by expanding $u_{i,\lambda}(\vec{R})$ in running waves ($\lambda = x, y$):

$$u_{i,\lambda}(\vec{R}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{\mu=1}^{6} \sum_{q} \sqrt{\frac{1}{m_i}} e^{i q (R+\delta_i)} \epsilon_{\mu,i,\lambda}(q) u_{\mu}(q),$$

where $\epsilon_{\mu,i,\lambda}(q)$ describes to the pattern of atomic displacements for the $\mu$th normal mode and satisfies $\epsilon^\dagger_{\mu}(q) \cdot \epsilon_{\mu'}(q) = \delta_{\mu,\mu'}$ ($\epsilon_{\mu}(q)$ is a six-component vector whose components are $\epsilon_{\mu,i,\lambda}(q)$). The explicit form of Eq. (1) in momentum space is given in the Appendix A. In terms of second quantization $u_{\mu}(q) = \sqrt{\hbar/2\omega_\mu} (b_\mu(q) + b_\mu^\dagger(-q))$, the phonon Hamiltonian becomes

$$H_{ph} = \sum_{\mu, q} \hbar \omega_\mu(q) b_\mu^\dagger(q) b_\mu(q).$$

The phonon spectrum along the high symmetry points is shown in Fig. 2. Two special symmetry points, $\Gamma$ and $K$ (or $K'$), are marked by yellow lines and phonon modes at both points labelled according to their irreducible representations.

Let us next discuss the properties of the lowest energy phonon modes. The acoustic (Goldstone) modes whose energy vanishes at $\Gamma$ enter the intra-valley electron-phonon coupling only through the space and time derivative of the mode displacement. This is because an uniform translation of the crystal cannot affect the motion of electrons (neglecting the coupling to the substrate). On the other hand, the lowest-energy optical phonon at $\Gamma$ transforming according to the $E_1$ irreps can give rise to an electron-phonon coupling that is linear in the displacement field $\vec{u}(\vec{R})$. The square of the frequency for this mode is given by the expression:

$$\omega_{\Gamma}^2 = \frac{3k'(2m + m_a)}{2mm_a}$$

FIG. 1: Enlarged unit cell contains 9 atoms, 6 of which are carbon (in red and blue, for A and B sublattices, respectively) plus 3 adatoms (in yellow). $a_1$ and $a_2$ are two vectors of unit cell.
Note that, for a heavy adatom (i.e. \( m_a \gg m \)), \( \omega_T^2 \to 3k'/2m \), which only depends on the coupling between the adatom and the carbon atoms, \( k' \), and the carbon atom mass, \( m \). Typically, heavy ad-atoms are physisorbed and therefore \( k' \ll k \), meaning that the \( E_1 \) is expected to have a rather low-energy, as we have indeed assumed in the calculation shown in Fig. 2. The polarization vectors for the \( E_1 \) mode read:

\[
\epsilon_1(\Gamma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m + m_a/2}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{m}{2} \\ 0 \\ \frac{m_a}{2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{5}
\]

\[
\epsilon_{11}(\Gamma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m + m_a/2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{m}{2} \\ 0 \\ \frac{m_a}{2} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{6}
\]

For crystal momentum \( q \) near \( K(K') \), phonons can scatter electrons from one Dirac point to the other. The low-lying degenerate optical phonons transforming according to the \( E' \) irrep have squared frequencies given by:

\[
\omega^2_{K(K')} = \frac{3k'}{2m} + 3\left(-b + \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac} + 2km_a\right) \tag{7}
\]

The corresponding polarization vectors are:

\[
\epsilon_1(K') = B \begin{pmatrix} i \\ 1 \\ -i \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{8}
\]

\[
\epsilon_2(K') = B \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ i \\ -1 \\ -i \end{pmatrix} \tag{9}
\]

where \( B \) is the normalization constant, \( b = -2k'm + (k + k')m_a, a = k'/\sqrt{m_a m}, \) and \( c = -k'/\sqrt{m_a m} \). The polarization vectors at \( K \) are obtained from time-reversal symmetry which requires that \( \epsilon_1(K') = \epsilon_1^*(K), \epsilon_2(K') = \epsilon_2^*(K) \). Note that, for \( k \gg k' \) and \( m_a \gg m \), \( \epsilon_1(K') \to [0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0] \) and \( \epsilon_2(K') \to [0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0] \). That is, in the limit of weak adatom-carbon coupling and large adatom-carbon mass ratio, the mode is dominated by the motion of the adatoms whereas the displacement of the carbon atoms becomes negligibly small (cf. Fig 3b)

When considering the modes at \( K, K' \) points it is convenient to take their real and imaginary part combinations. The pattern of \( E_1 \) mode of \( \Gamma \) point and \( G' \) mode of \( K \) and \( K' \) are shown in Fig. 3. For Indium on Graphene, the value of adatom-carbon elastic constant has been estimated to be\(^{20} \) \( k' \approx 0.02 \text{ Ry/bohr}^2 \sim 1 \text{eV/A}^2 \) between carbon and Indium atoms with \( k \approx 50 \text{ eV/A}^2 \) between neighbor carbon atoms. Hence, we obtain \( \hbar \omega_K \approx 25 \text{ meV} \) and \( \hbar \omega_{K'} \approx 11 \text{ meV} \) by Eq. (4) and (7), which is an energy scale comparable to the calculated\(^{16} \) band gap \( M = 42 \text{ meV} \) for this system.

### III. Electronic Structure of Decorated Graphene

A minimal tight-binding model for a single-layer graphene sheet uniformly covered by adatoms was given in Ref. [16]. In what follows, we briefly review the main results obtained in Ref. [16] that are relevant to our derivation of the phonon-SO coupling from this microscopic model. This will also allow us to introduce the continuum-limit Hamiltonian that describes the electronic states at low energies.

The Hamiltonian of the model contains three terms:

\[
H_0 = H_g + H_a + H_c. \tag{10}
\]

\( H_g \) describes the hopping between the \( \pi \) orbitals of the two nearest neighbor carbon atoms:

\[
H_g = -t \sum_{\langle rr' \rangle} \langle \pi^\dagger_r \pi_{r'} + \text{h.c.} \rangle. \tag{11}
\]
The term allowing electrons to tunnel between the adatoms and its neighboring carbons can be written as follows:\textsuperscript{16}

\[ H_e = -\sum_l \sum_{m=0,\pm 1} t_{|m|} C_m^\dagger(R_l) d_m(R_l) + \text{h.c.}, \tag{12} \]

where \( C_m^{\dagger}(R_l) = \sum_{j=1}^{6} \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} e^{im\pi(j-1)/3} \epsilon_{ij} \alpha(R_l). \) As to the hopping amplitudes, \( t_0 \) is real and \( t_1 = t_{-1} \) purely imaginary; the index \( I \) labels the plaquettes where the adatoms sit on the \( H \) position. Finally, the Hamiltonian describing the adatoms reads:

\[ H_a = \sum_l d_l^\dagger(R_l) h_a d_l(R_l), \tag{13} \]

where

\[ h_a = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_1 + \Lambda_{SO} s^z & \sqrt{2} \Lambda_{SO}^s s^+ & 0 \\ \sqrt{2} \Lambda_{SO}^s s^- & \epsilon_0 & \sqrt{2} \Lambda_{SO}^s s^- \\ 0 & \sqrt{2} \Lambda_{SO}^s s^- & \epsilon_1 - \Lambda_{SO} s^z \end{bmatrix}. \tag{14} \]

and \( d_l^\dagger(R_l) = \left[ d_{l,0}^\dagger(R_l), d_{l,-1}^\dagger(R_l), d_{l,-1}^\dagger(R_l) \right]. \) The parameters \( \epsilon_0, \Lambda_{SO} \) and \( \Lambda_{SO}^\prime \) are associated to crystal field effects and spin-orbit coupling in the adatom \( p \)-orbitals.\textsuperscript{16}

After pertubatively eliminating the adatom orbitals,\textsuperscript{16} the low-energy Hamiltonian in the vicinity of the two Dirac points near \( K = (4\pi/3\sqrt{3}a_0, 0) \) (where \( a_0 = 1.42 \) \( \text{Å} \) is the distance between two neighboring carbon atoms and \( K = -K' \)) reads:

\[ H_0(k) = \hbar v (\tau_z \sigma_x k_x + \sigma_y k_y) + M \tau_z \sigma_z s_z, \tag{15} \]

where the last term \( \tau_z \sigma_z s_z \) is the so-called Kane-Mele (or intrinsic) spin-orbit coupling. The Fermi velocity is \( v = 3t a_0/(2\hbar) \) and the band gap \( M = 3\sqrt{3}\lambda_{so} \) (being \( t = 2.7 \text{ eV} \) in single-layer graphene). A linear Rashba correction to \( H_0(k) \) of the form

\[ H_{cr} = -\beta_R (k_x s_y - k_y s_x) + \beta_R [k_x (s_x \sigma_y \tau_z - s_y \sigma_x) - k_y (s_x \sigma_y \tau_z)] + \cdots \tag{16} \]

with \( \beta_R = \lambda_R a_0/2 \) is also induced by the adatoms. However, this correction is not important near the Dirac points at \( K \) and \( K' \) and can dropped because it yields subdominant corrections to the band structure, which otherwise realizes the Kane-Mele model.\textsuperscript{16} For Indium coverage, \( \lambda_{so} \approx 8 \text{ meV} \) and \( \lambda_R = 20 \text{ meV} \), so \( M = 42 \text{ meV} \) and \( \beta_R/a_0 = 90 \text{ meV} \). The above continuum model provides an accurate description of the electronic structure for energies well below the cut-off \( E_c = \hbar v/a_0 \approx 4.1 \text{ eV}. \)

IV. PHONON-SO COUPLING

A. GROUP THEORETIC ANALYSIS

In order to obtain the form of the phonon-SO interaction, the phonon displacement fields must be combined with electron spin and orbital operators in order to yield a scalar under the symmetry group \( C_{6v}^\prime \). Furthermore, symmetry requires that the phonon field and the electron operators transform according to the same \textit{irrep}. In this work, we are interested in the coupling of the \( \pi \)-electrons near \( K(K') \), which transform according to the 8-dimensional irreducible representation of \( C_{6v}^\prime \). We take three different sets of \( 2 \times 2 \) Pauli matrices \( \sigma, \tau \) and \( s \) to define the physical sublattice isospin, valley pseudospin, and real spin degrees of freedom respectively and these matrices act on eight-component spinor:

\[ \Phi(k) = \begin{pmatrix} c_A(k) \\ c_B(k) \\ c_A(-k) \\ c_B(-k) \\ c_A(k') \\ c_B(k') \\ c_A(-k') \\ c_B(-k') \end{pmatrix}. \tag{17} \]
TABLE II: Classification of possible SO coupling terms under the $C_6^v$. All these terms preserve time-reversal symmetry.

| Irrep | $z \to -z$ even | $z \to -z$ odd |
|-------|-----------------|----------------|
| $A_1$ | $\sigma_x \otimes \tau_z \otimes s_z$ | $\tau_z \otimes s_x$ |
| $A_2$ | $\sigma_x \otimes \tau_z \otimes s_z + \sigma_y \otimes s_y$ | $\sigma_y \otimes s_y$ |
| $E_1$ | $-\sigma_y \otimes s_z$ | $-\sigma_x \otimes \tau_z \otimes s_z$ |
| $E_2$ | $\sigma_x \otimes \tau_z \otimes s_y + \sigma_y \otimes s_z$ | $\sigma_x \otimes \tau_z \otimes s_y - \sigma_y \otimes s_y$ |
| $E'_1$ | $-\sigma_x \otimes \tau_y \otimes s_x$ | $-\sigma_y \otimes \tau_y \otimes s_y$ |
| $E'_2$ | $\sigma_y \otimes \tau_x \otimes s_x$ | $\sigma_y \otimes \tau_x \otimes s_y$ |
| $G'$ | $-\sigma_y \otimes \tau_y \otimes s_z$ | $-\sigma_y \otimes \tau_y \otimes s_z$ |

The possible SO operators transforming according to irreps of $C_6^v$ that are even under time-reversal symmetry are displayed in Table II.\textsuperscript{24,27,28} Note that the operators that contain $s_z$ are even under mirror symmetry operation, $z \to -z$ with respect to the graphene plane. On the other hand, the operators containing $s_x$ or $s_y$ are odd. The different forms of these operators for different choices of the spinor basis are discussed in Refs. 24,27,28, together with the details of the derivation of the table results.

The phonon SO coupling can be expanded in powers of the phonon displacement fields and its derivatives. In what follows, we shall focus in the long wavelength limit, that is, we assume that the momentum transferred by the phonon ($\mathbf{q}$) is small (i.e. $|\mathbf{q}| \ll a_0^{-1}$). In what follows, we consider only interactions involving one phonon and derivative couplings will be neglected. In this regard, we recall that, at the $\Gamma$ point, a uniform translation of the acoustic ($E_1$) phonon does not affect the electron dynamics and therefore, the coupling to these phonon modes is necessarily derivative and can be neglected for $|\mathbf{q}| \to 0$.

In addition, we note that there is no term in Table II near the $K(K')$ point, even though its frequency is small compared to other optical modes, we shall not consider it. The reason is that the lowest order coupling between the electron-spin and this mode does not preserve time reversal symmetry. This can be seen from Table II: It is not possible to write down a time-reversal invariant operator involving the electron spin that transforms according to the $E'_2$ irrep.

### B. Microscopic derivation

In what follows we shall extend the tight binding model introduced in Sect. III beyond the rigid-lattice (Born-Oppenheimer) approximation. This will allow for an alternative (re-)derivation of the form of the electron-spin to phonon couplings. In addition, we shall be able to estimate the strength of couplings of Eqs. (18,19), which is not possible from the symmetry analysis provided in the previous section.

In order to obtain the electron-phonon coupling, we need to expand the hopping amplitudes in Eq. (10) as a function of the atomic positions. Thus, we obtain two types of electron-phonon couplings. The first type results from the modulation in length of the carbon-carbon bonds and is also present in pristine graphene. It can be written as follows:\textsuperscript{18,26}

$$H_{p}^{\text{ph}} = - \sum_{(r,r')} \left\{ \frac{\partial t}{\partial l} \hat{b}_{r\tau'} \cdot (\mathbf{u}_r - \mathbf{u}_{r'}) e_{\tau'}^\dagger e_{\tau} + \text{h.c.} \right\},$$

where $l$ is the interatomic distance and $\hat{b}_{r_1 r_2} = \hat{b}_{r_2 r_1} = (\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})a_0$, $\hat{b}_{r_2 r_3} = \hat{b}_{r_3 r_2} = (0, 1)a_0$ and $\hat{b}_{r_1 r_4} = \hat{b}_{r_4 r_1} = (\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})a_0$ are the vectors connecting a carbon atom on the B sublattice to its nearest neighbors on the A. The second type stems from the modulation of distance between the adatoms and their neighboring carbons, and it is described by a term of the form:

$$H_{a}^{\text{ph}} = \sum_{l} \sum_{m=-1}^{+1} \frac{\partial t}{\partial l} \hat{b}_{r_0} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{u}_r C_m(\mathbf{R}_l) d_m(\mathbf{R}_l) + \text{h.c.},$$

Whereas the intra-valley coupling reads:

$$H_{pSO, K} = C_1 (u_{r_1 l} s_z - u_{r_1 l} s_y) \sigma_z \tau_z + D_\sigma (+u_{r_1 l} s_y - u_{r_1 l} s_z \sigma_z) s_z.$$
where $\delta_{r_1} = -\delta_{r_4} = (0, -1)a_0, \delta_{r_2} = -\delta_{r_3} = (\sqrt{3} \cdot \frac{1}{2})a_0$, and $\delta_{r_3} = -\delta_{r_2} = (\sqrt{3} \cdot \frac{1}{2})a_0$ are the vectors connecting the adatom to its neighboring carbons, and $\Delta u_{r_2} = u_{r_2} - u_0$ with $u_{r_2}$ and $u_0$ associated to the displacement operator of carbons and adatoms respectively. Both types of electron-phonon couplings contribute to the electron-phonon scattering rate but only the latter one gives rise to the spin-phonon coupling in which we are interested here.

In order to study the coupling within the low energy sector, we can integrate out the adatom’s degrees of freedom by performing an unitary transformation (see Appendix B). Hence, the strength of the phonon to electron-spin coupling can be obtained to be (see Eqs. (18) and (19))

$$C_\Gamma = \frac{3(2 + \alpha^2)\Lambda_{\sigma|0}/|t_1|/\partial t_0}{2\sqrt{2}\sqrt{m + m_a/2\alpha e_{c1}},}$$

$$D_\Gamma = \frac{3(2 + \alpha^2)\Lambda_{\sigma|1}/|t_1|/\partial t_0}{4\sqrt{m + m_a/2\alpha e_1}},$$

and

$$A_K = \frac{(3\alpha b' - 3\alpha\sqrt{b'^2 + 4 + 6})\Lambda_{\sigma|0}/|t_1|/\partial t_0}{2m_a e_{c1}(b' - \sqrt{b'^2 + 4})\sqrt{4 + b'^2 + b'\sqrt{b'^2 + 4}},}$$

where $b' = b/a = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} + \frac{\sqrt{1 + k^2}}{3\alpha}$ with $\alpha = \sqrt{m_a/m}$.

Let us next estimate numerically the magnitude of these couplings. In pristine graphene, $\beta = \partial \ln t/\partial \ln T \approx 2$ to 3. $\beta$ is the parameter given in Ref. [16], we estimate the intra-valley $s_z$-conserving electron-phonon coupling to be $C_\Gamma \approx 46$ meV/Å $\sqrt{m}$, intra-valley spin-flip electron-phonon coupling $C_\Gamma \approx 98$ meV/Å $\sqrt{m}$, and the inter-valley spin-flip coupling $A_K \approx 28$ meV/Å $\sqrt{m}$. In addition, using Eq. (18) and Eq. (19), we can study the effective electron-electron interaction by integrating out the phonon modes $\hat{u}_{r_1, f}$, $\hat{u}_{r_1, i}$, $\hat{u}_0, \hat{u}_c, \hat{u}_d$. The dominative effective interactions induced by phonon are: $C_{r_1}^2/2a_0^2 \approx 2.6$ meV $D_{r_1}^2/2a_0^2 \approx 0.57$ meV and $A_K^2/2\omega_K^2 \approx 1.3$ meV.

V. APPLICATION: QUASIPARTICLE SPIN-FISSION RATE DUE TO PHONONS

A. Formalism

The electron-spin to phonon coupling displayed in Eqs. (18) and (19) is the main result of this work. It can be applied to different problems, such like the calculation of spin transport in the system as well as the study of superconducting pairing instabilities in ad-atom decorated graphene. However, in this section, we focus on computing the spin-flip rate of electrons and holes. This will provide a quantitative estimation of the importance of this coupling as a function of the quasi-particle energy and system temperature.

Indeed, the existence of the spin-electron phonon coupling implies that phonon emission and absorption can flip the spin of electronic quasiparticles. The spin-flip rate, $\Gamma$, can be obtained from the imaginary part of the electron self-energy ($\Sigma$) as $\Gamma = -i\lambda \Sigma$. The self-energy is related to the single-particle Green’s function ($G$) by means of Dyson’s equation: $G^{-1} = G_0^{-1} - \Sigma$, where $G_0$ is the non-interacting electron Green’s function. The quasiparticle decay rate, $\Gamma$, is a sum of different contributions $\Gamma = \Gamma_{ee} + \Gamma_{dis} + \Gamma_{e-ph} + \cdots$, which contains contributions from electron-electron interactions $\Gamma_{ee}$, disorder $\Gamma_{dis}$, lattice vibrations $\Gamma_{e-ph}$, and other inelastic mechanisms. Here we focus on $\Gamma_{e-ph}$ term, which contains contributions from two different channels: the spin-flip channel ($\Gamma^{\text{spin}}$) and non-spin-flip channel ($\Gamma^{\text{non}}$). The non-spin-flip channel contribution arises from the electron-phonon couplings of various kinds. On the other hand, the spin-flip contribution is exclusively generated by the spin-phonon coupling that has been discussed above. Note that such phonon-mediated spin-flip processes are different from those due to electron-electron interactions and (magnetic/SOC) impurity scattering because they typically have a much more pronounced temperature and energy dependence due to the optical nature of the phonons involved. Therefore, the understanding of this spin-flip processes becomes necessary when thinking about possible device applications, which must be operated in a finite temperature.

In order to investigate the spin-flip rate due to phonons, we first compute the (non-interacting) quasiparticle dispersion by diagonalizing the Hamiltonian in Eq. (15). This can be achieved by means of a unitary transformation, $U$ (see Appendix C for the details):

$$\psi(k) = U^{-1}\Phi(k) = \begin{pmatrix}
    c_+, \mathbf{K}_{\sigma}, \downarrow(k) \\
    c_+, \mathbf{K}_{\sigma}, \uparrow(k) \\
    c_+ \mathbf{K}, \uparrow(k) \\
    c_-, \mathbf{K}, \uparrow(k) \\
    c_-, \mathbf{K}, \downarrow(k) \\
    c_-, \mathbf{K}, \downarrow(k)
\end{pmatrix},$$

where $\Phi(k)$ is the original basis given by Eq. (17). As a result, the unperturbed Hamiltonian becomes diagonal, i.e.

$$U^\dagger H_0(k)U - \mu \hat{N} = \begin{pmatrix}
    \epsilon_{k, +1} + I_{4 \times 4} & 0 \\
    0 & \epsilon_{k, -1} - I_{4 \times 4}
\end{pmatrix} \hat{N}$$

where $\epsilon_{k, \pm} = \pm \sqrt{\mathbf{K}^2 + \mathbf{h}^2 v_F^2 \mathbf{k}^2} - \mu$. Here $\mu$ is the chemical potential and $I_{4 \times 4}$ is the $4 \times 4$ unit matrix.

Since the electron spin is coupled to the phonon by means of (18) and (19), which are both linear in atomic displacement (i.e. phonon field), the decay rate including spin-flip and non spin-flip processes can be obtained by calculating the imaginary part of the electron self-energy to lowest order in the electron-phonon interaction $\alpha$. We shall neglect the renormalization of the quasi-particle
energy, which effectively renders our approach entirely equivalent to a Fermi-Golden rule calculation. Thus, the decay rate for a quasi-particle (or quasi-hole) with energy $\omega$ (referred to Fermi energy) and band-index $\pm$ (where $+$ stands for conduction and $-$ for valence band), valley $K(K')$ and spin $\uparrow / \downarrow$ at momentum $k$ is given by

$$\Gamma_{k,\eta}(\omega) = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \sum_{p,\eta_1} \sum_{\alpha} \frac{J_{\alpha}^2}{2\omega_{\alpha} N (U^I U^\dagger U)_{\eta,\eta_1} (U^I U^\dagger U)_{\eta_1,\eta} \times}
\left[(1 + n(\omega_\alpha) - f(\varepsilon_{k-p,\eta_1})) \delta(\omega - (\varepsilon_{k-p,\eta_1} + \omega_\alpha))
+ (n(\omega_\alpha) + f(\varepsilon_{k-p,\eta_1})) \delta(\omega - (\varepsilon_{k-p,\eta_1} - \omega_\alpha))\right].$$

(27)

where $\eta = (\pm, K(K'), \uparrow (\downarrow))$ denotes the set of quasi-particle quantum numbers (band, valley, spin), and $N$ is the total number of unit cells. $L^\alpha$ stands for the $4 \times 4$ matrices in Eq. (18) and the two terms in Eq. (19) whose couplings are $J_{\alpha} = \Delta K, C_T, D_T$. The phonon frequencies $\omega_\alpha = \omega_T, \omega_K$ are given in Eqs. (4,7): $f(\omega)$ and $n(\omega)$ are the Fermi-Dirac and Bose-Einstein distributions, respectively.

FIG. 4: Quasi-particle spin-flip rate due to the electron-phonon coupling, as a function of quasi-particle energy $\omega$ when $T = 10 K$, the band gap is $\Delta = 0.1 eV$ and chemical potential around $0.11 eV$, measured from the middle of the spin gap. The blue line is for the total spin-flip rate $\Gamma^{flip}(\omega) = \Gamma^{flip}_{\text{intra}}(\omega) + \Gamma^{flip}_{\text{inter}}(\omega)$, red dashed line for intra-valley spin-flip $\Gamma^{flip}_{\text{intra}}(\omega)$ and green dash-dotted line for intervalley spin-flip $\Gamma^{flip}_{\text{inter}}(\omega)$. The inset shows the band structure near a Dirac point with a spin gap with a black thick line associated with the location of the chemical potential. For this plot, we use the parameters of Indium coverage discussed in context. Note that $\Gamma^{\lambda}(\omega), \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\text{intra}}(\omega), \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\text{inter}}(\omega)$ can have values only when $\lambda(\omega + \mu) \geq M$, but for convenience we plot them together to show overall features among the whole range of $\omega$.

FIG. 5: Spin-flip rate $\Gamma^{flip}(\omega)$ as a function of the quasi-particle energy $\omega$. (a) for chemical potentials $\mu = 0.11 eV$ and $0 eV$ for $T = 10 K$. (b) for various temperature $T = 10, 50, 100 K$ for $\mu = 0.11 eV$. The values of the remaining parameters are the same as in Fig. 4.

B. Quasi-particle Spin-flip rate

The spin-flip rate of a spin polarized quasi-particle, say, an electron injected at any given valley with spin up and energy $\hbar \omega$ (relative to the Fermi energy), may be easier to determine experimentally. Note that, as mentioned above, we are interested in the contribution from the spin-flip rate as obtained from the electron-phonon coupling derived above (processes that do not flip the spin can also be induced by other mechanisms such like the normal electron-phonon coupling). Thus, we shall retain the contribution from the following four matrix elements: $(U^I U^\dagger U)_{a,b}(\alpha,\beta)$ in Eq. (27), where $a, b = (\pm, K/K')$.

For the current example, the band structures are isotropic for momentum $k$ and symmetric between unlike spins at low energy. Therefore, after some manipulations (see Appendix D for details), the spin-flip rate can be shown to be independent on the direction of momentum $k$ and the spin of the quasi-particle. As a consequence, the spin-flip rate of a spin polarized quasi-
The electron spin flip rate due to the coupling with phonons. There are two different influences of this term on the spin motion: First, the presence of the Rashba term in the band structure leads corrections to the inelastic spin flip rate through the change of the density of states (i.e. the slope of the energy dispersion at low energies). The effect can be estimated by replacing the prefactor \( 1/v^2 \) in Eq. (29) by \( 1/(v \pm \beta_R/h)^2 \approx 1/v^2 + 2\beta_R^2/h^2v^2 \). Thus we see that the correction arising from the linear Rashba term is \( \sim \beta_R^2/h^2v^2 \approx 10^{-3}, \) which can be safely neglected.

The second effect results from the fact that the electron spin is no longer a good quantum number when the Rashba term is included. In other words, an injected electron whose spin is pointing up in the out of the plane direction, for instance, will naturally precess even without coupling to the phonons. In other words, the spin state will experience Rabi-like oscillations. Nevertheless, this Rashba-induced precession does not lead to energy loss of the injected electron and therefore, it should not affect the inelastic spin flip rate mediated by phonons that we have computed above. We can estimate the precession rate (\( \Gamma_R \)) by diagonalizing the electron Hamiltonian including the order correction to order \( \beta_R/hv \):

\[
\Gamma_R/\mu \approx \frac{1}{\hbar v^2} \frac{\beta_R}{\hbar v M}.
\]

where \( \mu \) is the chemical potential. If we use the same parameters as in Fig. 4 taking \( \mu \approx 100 \text{ meV} \), we find \( \Gamma_R \approx 10^4 \text{ ns}^{-1} \), which is much larger than the spin flip rate (\( \Gamma_{\text{flip}} \)) due to spin-phonon interaction. Therefore, in an experiment, the spin orientation of the injected electron will precess very fast due to the spin-orbital (Rashba) coupling. However, such precession will be damped by inelastic processes arising from e.g. the coupling to the phonons. Thus, the double step structure as a function of the quasi-particle energy that we found above should still appear even in the presence of a Rashba-type spin-orbit coupling.

VI. DISCUSSION

We have obtained the electron-spin to phonon coupling for a single-layer of graphene uniformly covered with heavy adatoms. The coupling is obtained using both symmetry arguments and a simple tight-binding model. However, when considering the experimental consequences of our calculations, a major concern is that, as it has been found (see e.g. Ref. [19,31]), adatoms tend to cluster rather than uniformly covering the graphene layer. Indeed, it has been discussed by a number of authors recently, some of the features of the (topological) band gap may be still observable in the quasi-particle spectrum or in the transport properties provided clustering is not very strong. In such scenario, we expect that, even in the presence of a sizable randomness in adatoms coverage, a coupling between the electron...
spin and the lattice dynamics exists. Assuming that a large fraction of the adatoms are located in the $H$ position, we can expect that the randomness will lead to some sizable broadening of the phonon spectrum (especially of the shorter wave-length phonons at the $K$ and $K'$ points). The latter will result in a rounding up of the shape rates of the energy dependence of spin-flip rate shown in Fig. 4 and 5, even at zero temperature. For a clean and uniformly covered single-layer graphene system, the existence of these sharp features and the exponential suppression of the spin-flip processes that they imply for quasi-particles near the Fermi level seem to imply that the edge transport may be essentially ballistic, if disorder exists in the adatom coverage, this may not be longer the case. The quantification of this effect is currently under investigation.

In addition, our results also open the door to research into superconductivity in decorated graphene. Indeed, in recent time, studies of layer materials such as graphene heterostructures separated by hexagonal boron nitride and molybdenum disulfide were proposed, when the carrier concentration is high and the screening of long range Coulomb potential is strong, to have a superconducting phase, at which the Cooper pairing has opposite signs in different valleys. It becomes more interesting in the graphene decorated with heavy adatoms because the couplings between the electron-spin and lattice vibration can provide some more unusual effective spin-spin interactions. Competition between electronic repulsion and the effective spin-spin interaction with tunable charge density can offer a new platform to show rich unconventional superconductivity phases.

VII. SUMMARY

In summary, we have used symmetry arguments and tight-binding models to obtain the coupling between the lattice dynamics and the electron spin in adatom decorated graphene. Since the vibration mode transforming according to the irreducible representation at $K$ and $K'$ and the mode transforming according to $E_1$ at $\Gamma$ are the lowest energy phonons coupling to the electron-spin, we have focused on them. The strength of the phonon to electron-spin coupling constants have been estimated using a tight-binding model. Finally, in order to study the effect of this coupling on the dynamics of electronic quasi-particle, we have computed the phonon spin-flip rate. Our results could be relevant for the understanding of the temperature dependence of spin-transport in graphene decorated with heavy adatoms. In particular, the spin-flip rate may be measurable by performing spin-polarized scanning tunneling spectroscopy of the system.
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Appendix A: Dynamics matrix of the center force model

In this appendix, we provide the details of the derivation of the central-force model introduced in section II B (cf. Eq. (1)). By working in Fourier space, we obtain the phonon eigenfrequencies and eigenmodes of the model. Upon inserting $u_i(R) = \sum_p e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot(R+\mathbf{a}_i)} u_i(p)$ into Eq. (1), the elastic energy term, become:

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{q}} u^T(q) \begin{bmatrix} A & C_1^* & F_1^* & G_1^* & C_2^* & F_2^* & G_2^* \\ 0 & A & F_1 & G_1 & 0 & A & G_2 \\ C_1 & F_1 & A & 0 & F_2 & G_3 & D_3 \\ G & F_2 & G & B & 0 & D \\ C_2 & F_2 & G & B & 0 & D \\ G & D & G & B & 0 & D \end{bmatrix} u(q),$$

(A1)

where

$$u^T(q) \equiv (x_A(q), y_A(q), x_B(q), y_B(q), x_0(q), y_0(q)),$$

(A2)

and

$$A = \frac{3}{2} k + \frac{3}{2} k',$$

(A3)

$$B = 3k',$$

(A4)

$$C_1 = -\frac{3}{4} k [e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{b}_{12}} + e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{b}_{34}}],$$

(A5)

$$C_2 = -\frac{3}{4} k' [e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{d}_3} + e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{d}_4}],$$

(A6)

$$D_1 = -\frac{1}{4} k [e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{b}_{12}} + e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{b}_{34}} + 4e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{b}_{13}}],$$

(A7)

$$D_2 = -\frac{1}{4} k' [e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{d}_3} + e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{d}_4} + 4e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{d}_1}],$$

(A8)

$$F_1 = -\frac{3}{4} k [e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{b}_{12}} - e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{b}_{34}}],$$

(A9)

$$F_2 = -\frac{3}{4} k' [e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{d}_3} - e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{d}_4}] = C_2^*,$$

(A10)

$$G = -\frac{3}{4} k' [e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{d}_3} - e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{d}_4}],$$

(A11)

$$G_2 = D_2.$$  (A12)

Here $\mathbf{d}_1 = -\delta_1 \equiv a_0(0, 1)$, $\mathbf{d}_3 = -\delta_3 \equiv a_0(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, 1)$ and $\mathbf{d}_5 = -\delta_5 \equiv a_0(-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, -1)$ are the vectors joining the adatom to its neighboring carbon adatoms; $\mathbf{b}_{12} = \mathbf{b}_{54} \equiv a_0(0, 1)$ and $\mathbf{b}_{32} = \mathbf{b}_{56} \equiv a_0(0, 0)$ and $\mathbf{b}_{34} = \mathbf{b}_{16} \equiv a_0(0, 1)$.
\( a_0(\frac{n\pi}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}) \) are the vectors joining a carbon atom on the B sublattice to the neighboring carbons on the A sublattice (see Fig. 1).

### Appendix B: Perturbation theory

In this Appendix, we outline the details of the perturbation theory\(^{1,10}\) that allows us to integrate out the adatom orbitals and obtain the proximity-induced electron–spin to phonon coupling. The starting electronic Hamiltonian matrix consists of the minimal tight-binding model, Eq. (10), discussed in section III and the electron–phonon coupling, Eq. (20) and Eq. (21), in section IV B. By means of a Fourier transformation,

\[ d_\| (R_I) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{V}} \sum_k e^{i k \cdot R_I} d_\| (k), \]  
\[ c_{R_I}(R_I) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{V}} \sum_k e^{i k \cdot (R_I + \delta_I)} c(k), \]
\[ u_{R_I}(R_I) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{V}} \sum_k e^{i k \cdot (R_I + \delta_I)} u(k), \]

the electronic Hamiltonian matrix in the momentum space can be written as follows:

\[ \hat{H} = \sum_{k,p} \psi_k^\dagger H(k, k - p) \psi_{k-p}, \]

where

\[ H(k, k - p) = \begin{bmatrix} h_g(k, k - p) & T(k, k - p) \\ \tilde{T}(k, k - p) & h_u(k, k - p) \end{bmatrix}, \]

and

\[ \psi_k^\dagger \equiv [c_A(k), c_B(k), d_1(k), d_0(k), d_{-1}(k)]. \]

Here \( h_g(k, k - p) \) is the Hamiltonian matrix just coming from graphene (see Eqs.(11) and (20)), but its explicit form is not important for the calculation sketched below. \( h_u(k, k - p) \) represents the Hamiltonian of adatoms:

\[ h_u(k, k - p) = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_1 + \Delta_{SO} s^z & \sqrt{2} \Delta_{SO} s^- \\ \sqrt{2} \Delta_{SO} s^+ & \epsilon_0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \epsilon_0 \end{bmatrix}. \]

\( T(k, k - p) \) and \( \tilde{T}(k, k - p) \) come from, Eq. (12) and Eq. (21) respectively, which allow electrons to tunnel between the adatoms and their neighboring carbons with the influence of adatom’s displacement. After some straightforward algebra, we have \( T(k, k - p) = T_0(k) \delta_{k,k-p} + T_u(k, k - p), \) and \( \tilde{T}(k, k - p) = T_{0L}(k) \delta_{k,k-p} + \tilde{T}_u(k, k - p), \) where \( \tilde{T}_u(k, k - p) \) can be obtained by

\[ \tilde{T}_u(k, k - p) = T_u^\dagger(-p, k - p). \]

Here we use \( p \to -p \) first and then \( k \to k - p \) on \( T_u^\dagger(k, k - p) \). \( T_0(k) \) is defined as:

\[ T_0(k) = \begin{bmatrix} V_1(k) & V_0(k) & V_{-1}(k) \\ U_1(k) & U_0(k) & U_{-1}(k) \end{bmatrix}, \]

with

\[ V_m(k) = t_{[m]} \sum_{n=1,3,5} e^{i (n-1) \pi/3} e^{-i \delta_n k}, \]

\[ U_m(k) = V^*_m(k), \]

and at the same time

\[ T_u(k, k - p) \equiv \begin{bmatrix} V_{1A}(k, k - p) & V_{0A}(k, k - p) & V_{-1A}(k, k - p) \\ V_{1B}(k, k - p) & V_{0B}(k, k - p) & V_{-1B}(k, k - p) \end{bmatrix} \]

where

\[ V_{mA}(k, k - p) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6N}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (x_A(p)) \sqrt{3} e^{i(2\pi/3)m_{e}e^{-i\delta_3 (k-p)}} \]

and

\[ V_{mB}(k, k - p) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6N}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (x_B(p)) \sqrt{3} e^{i(2\pi/3)m_{e}e^{-i\delta_2 (k-p)}} \]

To integrate out adatom’s degrees of freedom, we can choose a proper transformation \( H \to \hat{H} = e^{-S} H e^S \) to make \( h_g \) and \( h_u \) decoupled. When

\[ S = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & M \\ -M^T & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \]

the Hamiltonian matrix is transformed to

\[ \hat{H} = e^{-S} H e^S = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{H}_1 & h_g M + T - M h_u \\ M^T h_g + \hat{T} - h_u M^T & \hat{H}_2 \end{bmatrix}_{k,k-p}. \]
The off-diagonal terms can be eliminated when requiring
\[ \tilde{T} = -M^\dagger h_g + h_a M^\dagger, \] (B18)
\[ T = M h_a - h_g M, \] (B19)
or equivalently
\[ M^\dagger = h_a^{-1} \tilde{T} + h_a^{-1} M^\dagger h_g, \] (B20)
\[ M = T h_a^{-1} + h_g M h_a^{-1}. \] (B21)

This transformation yields corrections to \( h_g \), such that
\[ \hat{h}_g = h_g - T M^\dagger M \hat{T} - \frac{1}{2} h_g M M^\dagger - \frac{1}{2} M^\dagger M h_g + M h_a M^\dagger + O(M^3) \] (B22)
\[ \approx h_g - T h_a^{-1} \tilde{T}, \] (B23)

where we employ \( \frac{1}{2}(h_g M M^\dagger + M h_a M^\dagger) = \frac{1}{2} T M^\dagger \) and \( \frac{1}{2}(-M^\dagger M h_g + M h_a M^\dagger) = \frac{1}{2} M \tilde{T} \). Following the assumption used in Ref. [16] that the spin-orbit splitting of adatom orbitals is small compared to the crystal field effects, we can keep only the leading order terms in \( \lambda_{SO}^2 \) and \( \lambda_{SO} \) and make \( h_a^{-1} \approx h_g^{-1} - h_0^{-1} h_1 h_0^{-1} \), where \( h_a = h_0 + h_1 \) and \( h_0 = \text{diag}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_0, \epsilon_1) \). Thus, to the leading order of phonon displacement fields we obtain
\[ \hat{h}_g(k, k - p)_{\text{spinh}} \approx T_0(k) h_0^{-1} h_1 h_0^{-1} \tilde{T}_u(k, k - p) + T_u(k, k - p) h_0^{-1} h_1 h_0^{-1} T_0^\dagger(k, k - p). \] (B24)

Here only terms relevant to electron’s spin are shown. Eq. (B24) can help us to compute strength of the phonon-SO coupling of Eqs. (18,19).

Appendix C: Diagonalization of the non-interacting electron Hamiltonian

In this appendix, we will show the electronic Hamiltonian given in Eq. (15),
\[ H_0(k) = v_f h(\tau_x \sigma_x k_x + \sigma_y k_y) + M \tau_z \sigma_z s_z, \] (C1)
in the basis,
\[ \Phi(k) = \begin{pmatrix} c_A, k, \uparrow(k) \\ c_B, k, \uparrow(k) \\ c_A, k, \downarrow(k) \\ c_B, k, \downarrow(k) \end{pmatrix}, \] (C2)

can be diagonalized by an unitary transformation \( \hat{E}(k) = U^{-1} H_0(k) U \), where the unitary matrix is
\[
U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & M + R \frac{M + R}{F_+} \frac{M - R}{F_-} \hbar \epsilon_{k, \uparrow} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \frac{M - R}{F_+} \frac{M + R}{F_-} \hbar \epsilon_{k, \uparrow} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \frac{M + R}{F_+} \frac{M - R}{F_-} \hbar \epsilon_{k, \uparrow} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \frac{M - R}{F_+} \frac{M + R}{F_-} \hbar \epsilon_{k, \uparrow} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \frac{M - R}{F_+} \frac{M + R}{F_-} \hbar \epsilon_{k, \uparrow} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \frac{M + R}{F_+} \frac{M - R}{F_-} \hbar \epsilon_{k, \uparrow} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \frac{M - R}{F_+} \frac{M + R}{F_-} \hbar \epsilon_{k, \uparrow} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \frac{M + R}{F_+} \frac{M - R}{F_-} \hbar \epsilon_{k, \uparrow} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \frac{M - R}{F_+} \frac{M + R}{F_-} \hbar \epsilon_{k, \uparrow} \end{pmatrix}, \] (C3)

with \( \tan \theta_k = k_y / k_x \), \( R(k) = \sqrt{M^2 + \hbar^2 v^2 k_x^2 + k_y^2} \),
\[ F_+(k) = \sqrt{(M + R(k))^2 + \hbar^2 v^2 (k_x^2 + k_y^2)} \] and
\[ F_-(k) = \sqrt{(M - R(k))^2 + \hbar^2 v^2 (k_x^2 + k_y^2)}. \]

After this unitary transformation, the electronic Hamiltonian in the grand canonical ensemble becomes a diagonal energy eigenvalue matrix,
\[ \hat{E}(k) - \mu = \begin{pmatrix} (R(k) - \mu) I_{4 \times 4} & 0 \\ 0 & (-R(k) - \mu) I_{4 \times 4} \end{pmatrix}, \] (C4)
\[ = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_{k, \uparrow} I_{4 \times 4} & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon_{k, \downarrow} I_{4 \times 4} \end{pmatrix}, \] (C5)

where \( \epsilon_{k, \pm} = \pm \sqrt{M^2 + \hbar^2 v^2 k^2} - \mu \). Here \( \mu \) is the chem-
Appendix D: The spin-flip rate

To compute the spin-flip rate, we can straightforwardly select contribution from the following four terms: \( \langle U^A L^b U \rangle_{(a,\uparrow), (b, \downarrow)} \) from Eq. (27), where \( a,b = (\pm, K/K') \). Therefore the spin-flip rate of a quasiparticle with \( \eta = (\pm, K(K'), \uparrow \downarrow) \) quantum numbers can be written as

\[
\Gamma_{\text{flip}}, \pm = 2\pi \hbar \sum_{\lambda=\pm} \frac{2A_{L}^{2}}{2\omega_{K}} \left\{ g_{+}(\omega_{K}, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}) + g_{-}(\omega_{K}, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}) \pm \frac{-g_{+}(\omega_{K}, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}) + g_{-}(\omega_{K}, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p})}{R(k)R(k-p)} \left[ M^{2} - \frac{1}{2} k|\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}| v^{2} \cos(\theta_{k} - \theta_{k-p}) \right] \right\}
\]

where

\[
g_{\pm}(\omega_{\alpha}, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{N} [1 + n(\omega_{\alpha}) - f(\varepsilon_{k-p, \pm})] \delta(\omega - (\varepsilon_{k-p, \pm} + \omega_{\alpha})) + \frac{1}{N} [n(\omega_{\alpha}) + f(\varepsilon_{k-p, \pm})] \delta(\omega - (\varepsilon_{k-p, \pm} - \omega_{\alpha}))
\]

and \( R(k) = \sqrt{M^{2} + h^{2}v^{2}(k_{x}^{2} + k_{y}^{2})} \). Because the band structures are symmetric between unlike spins and unlike valleys at low energy, the spin-flip rate from the quasiparticle of channel \( \eta \) is dependent on the energy of quasiparticles, \( \omega = \varepsilon_{k,\pm} \), but independent on the spin and valley labels. In the following calculation we would like to deal with the summation on the internal momentum \( \mathbf{p} \). By rewriting the summation as integral over the internal momentum \( \mathbf{p} \), Eq. (D1) becomes

\[
\Gamma_{\text{flip}}, \pm = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \sum_{\lambda=\pm} \frac{\Omega}{(2\pi)^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} dp \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\theta \frac{2A_{L}^{2}}{2\omega_{K}} \left\{ g_{\lambda}(\omega_{K}, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}) \mp \frac{\lambda g_{\lambda}(\omega_{K}, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p})}{R(k)R(k-p)} \left[ M^{2} - \frac{1}{2} k|\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}| v^{2} \cos(\theta_{k} - \theta_{k-p}) \right] \right\}
\]

where \( \cos(\theta_{k} - \theta_{k-p}) = (k - p \cos \theta)/|k - p|, y = \cos \theta \) and the azimuthal angle part is replaced as \( d\theta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-y^{2}}} dy \).

Let us introduce the function:

\[
e_{k-p,\lambda}(y) = \lambda R(k-p) = \lambda \sqrt{M^{2} + h^{2}v^{2}(k_{x}^{2} + k_{y}^{2} - 2kpy)}.
\]

with \( \lambda = \pm \). Thus, the delta function of Eq. (D2) becomes

\[
\delta(\omega' - \frac{e_{k-p,\lambda}(y) \pm \omega_{\alpha}}{\hbar}) = \frac{|e_{k-p,\lambda}(y_{\mp,\alpha})|}{\hbar v^{2}kp} \delta(y - y_{\mp,\alpha}) \times \Theta(\lambda(\omega' + \omega_{\alpha}) - M)
\]

where \( \omega' \equiv \omega + \mu \) and

\[
y_{\mp,\alpha} = -\frac{h^{2}(\omega' + \omega_{\alpha})^{2} + M^{2} + h^{2}v^{2}(k_{x}^{2} + k_{y}^{2})}{2h^{2}v^{2}kp}.
\]

Furthermore the interval of the integral of \( p \) is limited by \( -1 \leq y_{\mp,\alpha} \leq 1 \) (remember \( y = \cos \theta \)). From the inequality, \( y_{\mp,\alpha} \leq 1 \), we obtain

\[
p_{1,\mp,\alpha} \leq p \leq p_{2,\mp,\alpha}
\]

where

\[
p_{1,\mp,\alpha} = k - \sqrt{\frac{h^{2}(\omega' + \omega_{\alpha})^{2} - M^{2}}{v^{2}h^{2}}}
\]

and

\[
p_{2,\mp,\alpha} = k + \sqrt{\frac{h^{2}(\omega' + \omega_{\alpha})^{2} - M^{2}}{v^{2}h^{2}}}
\]

Let us introduce the function:

\[
e_{k-p,\lambda}(y) = \lambda R(k-p) = \lambda \sqrt{M^{2} + h^{2}v^{2}(k_{x}^{2} + k_{y}^{2} - 2kpy)}.
\]

with \( \lambda = \pm \). Thus, the delta function of Eq. (D2) becomes

\[
\delta(\omega' - \frac{e_{k-p,\lambda}(y) \pm \omega_{\alpha}}{\hbar}) = \frac{|e_{k-p,\lambda}(y_{\mp,\alpha})|}{\hbar v^{2}kp} \delta(y - y_{\mp,\alpha}) \times \Theta(\lambda(\omega' + \omega_{\alpha}) - M)
\]
and

\[ p_{2,\pi,\alpha} = k + \sqrt{\frac{\hbar^2(\omega' + \omega_\alpha)^2 - M^2}{\nu^2 k^2}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (D10)

with \( \sqrt{\hbar^2(\omega' + \omega_\alpha)^2 - M^2} \) being real. From the other inequality, \( -1 \leq y_{\pi,\alpha} \), we obtain

\[ p \leq p_{2,\pi,\alpha}^* p_{1,\pi,\alpha}^* \leq p \]  \hspace{1cm} (D11)

where

\[ p_{1,\pi,\alpha}^* = -k + \sqrt{\frac{\hbar^2(\omega' + \omega_\alpha)^2 - M^2}{\nu^2 k^2}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (D12)

Therefore these inequalities limit the range of \( p \) within

\[ \text{Max}\{p_{1,\pi,\alpha}^*, p_{1,\pi,\alpha}^*\} \leq p \leq p_{2,\pi,\alpha}^* \]

Bringing results of above discussions into Eq. (D4), we have (skip coefficients \( \frac{2\nu^2 2A_K}{\pi} \) and \( \frac{2\nu^2 2C_F}{\pi} \) here)

\[ \sum_{\lambda = \pm} \frac{2\Omega}{(2\pi)^2} \int_0^\infty dp \int_1^{-1} dy \frac{-p}{\sqrt{1 - y^2}} \frac{1}{2\omega_\alpha} g_\lambda(\omega_\alpha, k, p) \]

\[ = \frac{2\Omega}{N(2\pi)^2} \sum_{\lambda = \pm} \int_0^\infty dp \int_1^{-1} dy \frac{-p}{\sqrt{1 - y^2}} \frac{1}{2\hbar^2 k p_\alpha} \left[ \left| e_{k-p,\lambda}(y_{\pi,\alpha}) \right| (1 + n(\omega_\alpha) - f(e_{k-p,\lambda}(y - \mu)) \delta(y - y_{\pi,\alpha}) \Theta(\hbar \omega' - \omega_\alpha) - M) \right. \]

\[ + \left. \left| e_{k-p,\lambda}(y_{\pi,\alpha}) \right| (n(\omega_\alpha) + f(e_{k-p,\lambda}(y - \mu)) \delta(y - y_{\pi,\alpha}) \Theta(\hbar \omega' + \omega_\alpha) - M) \right] \]

\[ = \frac{2\Omega}{N^2 k(2\pi)^2} \sum_{\lambda = \pm} \int_0^\infty dp \left\{ \left[ 1 + n(\omega_\alpha) - f(\hbar \omega' - \omega_\alpha) \right] \frac{\sqrt{\omega' - \omega_\alpha} \Theta(1 - |y_{\pi,\alpha}|)}{2\omega_\alpha \sqrt{1 - (y_{\pi,\alpha})^2}} \Theta(\hbar \omega' + \omega_\alpha) - M) \right\} \]

and

\[ \sum_{\lambda = \pm} \frac{2\Omega}{(2\pi)^2} \int_0^\infty dp \int_1^{-1} dy \frac{-p}{\sqrt{1 - y^2}} \frac{1}{2\omega_K} \frac{\lambda}{R(k) R(k - p)} \left[ M^2 - \frac{1}{2} k v^2 (k - p) \right] \]

\[ = \frac{2\Omega}{N(2\pi)^2} \sum_{\lambda = \pm} \int_0^\infty dp \int_1^{-1} dy \frac{-p}{\sqrt{1 - y^2}} \frac{1}{2\hbar^2 k p R(k) R(k - p)} \left\{ \left[ 1 + n(\omega_K) - f(e_{k-p,\lambda}(y - \mu)) \right] \delta(y - y_{\pi,\alpha}) \Theta(\hbar \omega' - \omega_K) - M) \right\} \]

\[ + \left. \left[ n(\omega_K) + f(e_{k-p,\lambda}(y - \mu)) \delta(y - y_{\pi,\alpha}) \Theta(\hbar \omega' + \omega_K) - M) \right\} \right\} \]

\[ = \frac{2\Omega}{N^2 h\nu^2 k R(k)(2\pi)^2} \sum_{\lambda = \pm} \int_0^\infty dp \left\{ \left[ 1 + n(\omega_K) - f(\hbar \omega' - \omega_K) \right] \frac{\Theta(1 - |y_{\pi,\alpha}|)}{4\omega_K \sqrt{1 - (y_{\pi,\alpha})^2}} \Theta(\hbar \omega' + \omega_K) - M) \right\} , \]

where \( |e_{k-p,\lambda}(y_{\pi,\alpha})| = |\omega' + \omega_\alpha| \). In our case the optical phonon mode \( \omega_\alpha \) does not have momentum dependence (to the leading order), so we just need to compute the integral:

\[ \int_0^\infty dp \frac{\Theta(1 - |y_{\pi,\alpha}|)}{\sqrt{1 - (y_{\pi,\alpha})^2}} \]

\[ = \int_0^{p_{2,\pi,\alpha}} dp \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - (y_{\pi,\alpha})^2}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (D20)

\[ = \int_{\text{Max}}^{p_{2,\pi,\alpha}} p \frac{dp}{\sqrt{p^2 - (\rho_{\pi,\alpha} + \beta \rho_{\pi,\alpha})^2}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (D21)

\[ = \int_{\text{Max}}^{p_{2,\pi,\alpha}} dx \frac{1}{\sqrt{x - (\rho_{\pi,\alpha} + \beta \rho_{\pi,\alpha})^2}} = 2\pi k, \]  \hspace{1cm} (D22)
and
\[
\int_0^\infty dp |y_{\pm,\alpha}^*| \Theta(1 - |y_{\pm,\alpha}|^2) \sqrt{1 - (y_{\pm,\alpha})^2} = \int_{p_{1,\pm,\alpha}}^{p_{2,\pm,\alpha}} dx \frac{\rho_{\pm,\alpha} + \beta x}{2 \sqrt{x - (\rho_{\pm,\alpha} + \beta x)^2}} = 2\pi k^2, \tag{D25}
\]
where \(y_{\pm,\alpha}^* = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_{\pm,\alpha}^2} + \beta p^2\), \(\rho_{\pm,\alpha} = -\hbar^2 (\omega_{\pm,\alpha})^2 + M^2 + \hbar^2 v^2 k^2\), 
\(\beta \equiv -\frac{1}{2R}\), and \(x = \frac{p^2}{\hbar^2}\). Because of Eq. (D23) and (D25),
\[
\cos(\theta_k - \theta_{k-p}) \text{ in Eq. (D1) gives no contribution to the spin flip rate. Therefore terms associated with } \omega_K \text{ in } \Gamma_{k,\pm}^{\text{flip}} \text{ can be simplified as}
\]

\[
\sum_{\lambda=\pm} \frac{2\Omega}{(2\pi)^2} \int_0^\infty dp \int_0^{\infty} dy \frac{-p}{\sqrt{1 - y^2}} \frac{1}{2\omega_K} \left\{ g_\lambda(\omega_K, k, p) + \frac{\lambda g_\lambda(\omega_K, k, p)}{R(k)} \left[ M^2 - \frac{1}{2} kv^2 (k - py) \right] \right\} \tag{D26}
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2N\hbar\omega_R \pi v^2} \left\{ \left[ 1 + n(\omega_K) - f(\omega - \omega_K) \right] \left[ \hbar |\omega' - \omega_K| \mp \text{sgn}(\omega' - \omega_K) \frac{M^2}{\hbar R(k)} \right] \Theta(\hbar^2 (\omega' - \omega_K)^2 - M^2) \right\} \tag{D27}
\]
\[
\equiv L_\pm(\omega, \omega_R);
\]
Terms associated with \(\omega_R\) can be simplified as
\[
\sum_{\lambda=\pm} \frac{2\Omega}{(2\pi)^2} \int_0^\infty dp \int_0^{\infty} dy \frac{-p}{\sqrt{1 - y^2}} \frac{1}{2\omega_K} \left\{ g_\lambda(\omega_R, k, p) + \frac{\lambda g_\lambda(\omega_R, k, p)}{R(k)} \left[ M^2 + kv^2 (k - py) \right] \right\} = L_\pm(\omega, \omega_R). \tag{D29}
\]

Since the energy of incoming quasi-particle is \(\omega\), we can set \(R(k) = |\omega'| = |\omega + \mu|\) in Eq. (D28) and (D29) and drop the \(k\) dependence of \(\Gamma_{k,\pm}^{\text{flip}}(\omega)\). To ensure incoming quasi-particles within bands, \(\Theta(\pm\omega' - M)\) is introduced

\[
\Gamma_\lambda^{\text{flip}_{\text{int}}}(\omega) = \Gamma_\lambda^{\text{flip}_{\text{intra,\lambda}}}(\omega) = \frac{2\pi}{h} \left[ 2A^2_K L_\lambda(\omega, \omega_K) + C^2_{\text{intra,\lambda}} L_\lambda(\omega, \omega_R) \right], \tag{D30}
\]
where
\[
L_\lambda(\omega, \omega_\alpha) = \Theta(\hbar \omega' - M) \frac{\Omega}{2N\hbar \omega_\alpha \pi v^2} \left\{ \left[ 1 + n(\omega_\alpha) - f(\omega - \omega_\alpha) \right] \left[ \hbar |\omega' - \omega_\alpha| - \frac{M^2}{\hbar |\omega'|} \right] \Theta(\hbar^2 (\omega' - \omega_\alpha)^2 - M^2) \right\} \tag{D31}
\]

In the expression of \(\Gamma_\lambda^{\text{flip}_{\text{int}}}(\omega)\), the scattering event associated with \(\omega_K\) phonons of \(G^g\) modes is identified as intravalley spin-flip \(\Gamma_\lambda^{\text{flip}_{\text{intra,\lambda}}}(\omega)\), whereas the event associated with \(\omega_R\) phonons of \(E_1\) modes is identified as intravalley spin-flip \(\Gamma_\lambda^{\text{flip}_{\text{intra,\lambda}}}(\omega)\).
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