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Abstract

Recently, COVID-19 has affected a variety of real-life aspects of the world and led to dreadful consequences. More and more tweets about COVID-19 has been shared publicly on Twitter. However, the plurality of those Tweets are uninformative, which is challenging to build automatic systems to detect the informative ones for useful AI applications. In this paper, we present our results at the W-NUT 2020 Shared Task 2: Identification of Informative COVID-19 English Tweets. In particular, we propose our simple but effective approach using the transformer-based models based on COVID-Twitter-BERT (CT-BERT) with different fine-tuning techniques. As a result, we achieve the F1-Score of 90.94% with the third place on the leaderboard of this task which attracted 56 submitted teams in total.

1 Introduction

In the mid of April 2020, the COVID-19 pandemic has caused 23M affected and more than 800,000 deaths almost over the world 1, and the number of people affected and death increase day by day. The world faces many challenges from many sides. One of the biggest problems is how to prevent the spread of COVID-19 before the vaccine is ready. Additionally, how to provide people information about COVID-19 with the fastest and most timely is one of the most issues. Traditionally, the information will provide some official sources as WHO or news on TV, but with the incredible speed of virus spreading, this cannot be real-time update. The social network is the channel that can solve this problem, and Twitter is one of the popular social networks with a massive amount of data. The number of tweets related to COVID-19 on Twitter is up to 4 million daily (Lamsal, 2020), and most of these tweets are uninformative. Because of that reason, detecting which tweet is informative or not is necessary. Thus, the W-NUT 2020 Shared Task 2: Identification of Informative COVID-19 English Tweets (Nguyen et al., 2020b) attracted about 120 different teams coming from 20 countries. We present our solution for this task which is also our main contribution in this paper.

In recent years, transfer learning models such as BERT (Devlin et al., 2018), ALBERT (Lan et al., 2019), BERTweet (Nguyen et al., 2020a), XLM-R (Conneau et al., 2019), XLNet (Yang et al., 2019), COVID-Twitter-BERT (Müller et al., 2020) have achieved the best performances on a variety of NLP tasks, e.g., text classification (Sun et al., 2019), machine reading comprehension (Van Nguyen et al., 2020). Fine-tuning pre-trained language models (Dodge et al., 2020) also have proved the effectiveness of exploring solutions for new tasks. In addition, ensemble approaches were also very effective in previous studies (Araque et al., 2017; Nguyen et al., 2019; Van Huynh et al., 2020). Inspired by these research works, we propose our simple but effective ensemble strategy using different models based on CT-BERT with different fine-tunings, achieving the F1-score of 90.94% on the final test set. This result reaches the third rank on the leaderboard of this competition.

The rest of this paper is structured as follows. Section 2 is task definition. Section 3 introduces information about the dataset. Section 4 describes our approach. Section 5 shows experiments and results on the dataset. Finally, Section 6 concludes the paper and discusses future work.

---

1https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/ (The COVID-19 information is updated on September 6, 2020)
2 Task Definition

In this section, we summarize the W-NUT-2020 Shared Task 2 (Nguyen et al., 2020b). The objective of this task is to detect whether a COVID-19 text on Twitter is informative or not. Such informative Tweet provides information about recovered, suspected, confirmed, and death cases as well as the location and history of each case. Formally, the task is described as follows.

- **Input**: Given English Tweets on the social networking site Twitter.
- **Output**: One of two different labels (INFORMATIVE and UNINFORMATIVE) predicted by our classifier.

Several examples extracted from the dataset are presented in Table 1.

| Tweet                                             | Label |
|---------------------------------------------------|-------|
| Oklahoma’s first confirmed case of coronavirus is in Tulsa County HTTPURL #SmartNews | 0     |
| Ladies and gentlemen, put your hands together for... Johnny Covid and the Underlying Comorbidities! | 1     |

Table 1: Example of sentence and label on dataset. 0 and 1 stand for INFORMATIVE and UNINFORMATIVE, respectively.

3 Dataset

We use the dataset about COVID-19 English Tweets (Nguyen et al., 2020b) for our experiment. This corpus consists of nearly 10,000 COVID Tweets, including 4,719 Tweets annotated as informative and 5,281 Tweets annotated as uninformative. Each tweet on this dataset is annotated by three independent annotators with an inter-annotator agreement score of Fleiss’ Kappa at 81.80%. This dataset is divided into training/validation/test sets with a 70/10/20 rate. Table 2 shows overview statistics of the dataset.

|          | Informative | Uninformative |
|----------|-------------|---------------|
| Training | 3,303       | 3,697         |
| Validation | 472       | 528           |
| Test     | 944         | 1,056         |

Table 2: Overview statistics of the dataset of W-NUT-2020 Task 2.

4 Our Approach

In this section, we present our simple yet effective approach for this task. Instead of leveraging multiple supper models, we only focus on the CT-BERT model for generating a variety of best-performance models by fine-turning techniques. Figure 1 shows the overview of the approach using three important components which are pre-processing techniques (see in Subsection 4.1), the core method CT-BERT (see Subsection 4.2), and the ensemble learning (see Subsection 4.3).

4.1 Pre-processing Techniques

Pre-processing is an essential part of the running model because it can increase the performance of the model. In this part, we try to programmatically apply some pre-processing techniques as follows:

- **Step 1**: Converting Tweets into lower texts.
- **Step 2**: Removing or replacing special characters (emojis including) with ASCII alternatives.
- **Step 3**: Normalizing punctuation.
- **Step 4**: BERT-tokenizer tokenizes each sentence into a list of tokens. Some kinds of contractions may be ignored because they are not listed in vocab. Thus, we use the Pycontractions tool with pre-trained model glove-twitter-100 to expand the contractions.
- **Step 5**: Normalizing abbreviations.
- **Step 6**: Segmenting each hashtag into words. If we feed a raw hashtag into the tokenizer, usually it will be ignored because the pre-trained models vocab does not contain any

---

2 https://pypi.org/project/pycontractions/
word like this whole hashtag. A hashtag usually contains useful information because it may mark the tweet as a newsletter. Because of that, we segment them, and their words will be less overlooked at the phase of tokenization. Segmenter tool ekphrasis (Baziotis et al., 2017) with twitter corpus are used for this task.

4.2 CT-BERT

The task is defined as the binary-classification task to detect a COVID 19 text on Twitter is informative or not. In this paper, we experiment with COVID-Twitter-BERT (CT-BERT) (Müller et al., 2020) as our default transformer-based model. CT-BERT is based on the BERT-LARGE and is optimized to be used on the COVID-19 domain from social media.

Following the fine-tuning pre-trained language models (Dodge et al., 2020), we fine-tune with different parameters and random seeds. These parameters and random seeds of each model are random. With each change of parameters and random seeds, we obtain an individual model based on CT-BERT.

4.3 Ensemble Learning

From a range of the individual models, we choose seven models with the best F1-score performances. Finally, we implement the ensemble approach using hard or soft voting to predict a final output from outputs of the seven best-performance models.

5 Experiments

In this section, we introduce about our simple but effective ensemble model the dataset. Our systems are evaluated using accuracy, precision (P), recall (R) and F1-score (Nguyen et al., 2020b). Note that P, R and F1-score are calculated on the INFORMATIVE class.

5.1 Data Preparation

Because the original training set is unbalanced, we re-split this training set with a ratio of 50:50, which means that the number of informative labels and uninformative labels is approximately equal, which is more effective in the training phase.

5.2 Experiment Setting

We conduct various experiments on Google Colab Pro (CPU: Intel(R) Xeon(R) CPU @ 2.20GHz; RAM: 25.51 GB; GPU: Tesla P100-PCIE-16GB with CUDA 10.1). We fine-tuning CT-BERT with different parameters as batch size, learning rate, epoch, random seed. In general, we set batch size is 16 for our all models. About learning rate, we set the value is 2.00E-05 for first six models and 3.00E-05 for the 7th model. The difference between each model is the epoch and random seed. As our resources are constrained, we use the method of randomly choosing random seed and try to combine them with other parameters. With the same hyper-parameter values, distinct random seeds can lead to substantially different results (Dodge et al., 2020). After many times combine these parameters, we choose seven models with the best F1-score performances. As a result, Table 3 shows the parameters of the seven models.

| Model | Batch Size | Learning Rate | Epochs | Random Seed |
|-------|------------|---------------|--------|-------------|
| 1     | 16         | 2.00E-05      | 1      | 96          |
| 2     | 16         | 2.00E-05      | 2      | 144         |
| 3     | 16         | 2.00E-05      | 2      | 380,343     |
| 4     | 16         | 2.00E-05      | 3      | 1          |
| 5     | 16         | 2.00E-05      | 3      | 25          |
| 6     | 16         | 2.00E-05      | 4      | 747         |
| 7     | 16         | 3.00E-05      | 2      | 380,343     |

Table 3: The parameters of the seven individual models.

5.3 Experimental Results

Table 4 shows our experimental results. Comparing the experimental results of each model with different parameters such as epoch and random seed, we can see that Model 3 with epoch is 2 and random seed is 380,343 achieves the best accuracy of 92.41% with F1 on validation sets. Additionally, the best precision is Model 5 with 92.36%, and the best recall is Model 4 with 94.07%.

| Model | P        | R        | F1       | Acc     |
|-------|----------|----------|----------|---------|
| 1     | 0.9179   | 0.9237   | 0.9208   | 0.9250  |
| 2     | 0.9059   | 0.9386   | 0.9220   | 0.9250  |
| 3     | 0.9202   | 0.9280   | 0.9241   | 0.9280  |
| 4     | 0.9043   | 0.9407   | 0.9221   | 0.9250  |
| 5     | 0.9236   | 0.9216   | 0.9226   | 0.9270  |
| 6     | 0.9076   | 0.9364   | 0.9218   | 0.9250  |
| 7     | 0.9216   | 0.9216   | 0.9216   | 0.9260  |
| Ensemble (SV) | 0.9174 | 0.9407 | 0.9289 | 0.9350 |
| Ensemble (HV) | 0.9213 | 0.9428 | 0.9319 | 0.9350 |

Table 4: Model performances of our proposed approach on the validation set. HV, SV, P, R, F1, and Acc stand for Hard Voting, Soft Voting, Precision, Recall, F1-score and Accuracy, respectively.

However, Model 4 has the best recall, but the precision of this model is the lowest. It is a challenge for us to choose this model because precision
and recall are unbalanced. The two most balanced models are Model 7 with the same precision and recall, and Model 5 with the highest precision and recall is approximately equal to the precision. Because of these challenges, we determine to use the ensemble approach with the hope of getting a better model. On this task, we use both Soft Voting (SV) and Hard Voting (HV) to ensemble these models together. As shown in Table 4, HV has better performance than SV when we ensemble these models together. In particular, we reach 0.9319 in F1-score on the validation set. And we achieve 0.9094 on the test set, which was ranked the third place of the leaderboard of this task.

Table 5: Performance of our system on the final scoreboard of the W-NUT-2020 Task 2. P, R, F1, and Acc stand for Precision, Recall, F1-score and Accuracy, respectively.

| Rank | Team Name   | P     | R     | F1    | Acc   |
|------|-------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 1    | NutCracker  | 0.9135| 0.9057| 0.9096| 0.9150|
| 2    | NLP_North   | 0.9029| 0.9163| 0.9096| 0.9140|
| 3    | UIT-HSE     | 0.9046| 0.9142| 0.9094| 0.9140|
| 4    | #GCDH       | 0.8919| 0.9269| 0.9091| 0.9125|
| 5    | Loner       | 0.8918| 0.9258| 0.9085| 0.9120|
| 48   | Baseline    | 0.7730| 0.7288| 0.7503| 0.7710|

Table 5 illustrates the result of the top 5 highest teams on this shared task. As you can see from the Table 5, with the F1 Score, our model performance is 90.94% and just lower than the first rank team (NutCracker) and the second rank team (NLP_North) is 0.02% and much higher than the baseline and over 50 submitted teams.

5.4 Error Analysis

Error analysis is carried out to analyze the errors that we encountered in our system by quantitative analysis using the confusion matrix of our best-performance model. Fig. 2 shows the confusion matrix of our best model when predicting informative tweets about COVID-19 on the validation set. It can be inferred from Fig. 2 that the ability of prediction of our system on the INFORMATIVE label is better than the UNINFORMATIVE label. As our experiment, we figure out that our wrong predicted cases are the sentences containing informative factors, but they are condition sentences or interrogative sentences. For example, Table 6 shows the sentences with actual labels are uninformative, but their predictions are informative.

| No. | Tweet                                                                 |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1   | Anyone wanna tell little Amy Mexico has like 1000 Covid 19 cases to our zillions? |
| 2   | if anyone in Austin has a place to stay for just one night pls lmk I tested negative for flu, they didn’t even see the need to test me for covid19 I don’t feel safe going back to my apartment til I can get management involved, since my roommate owns a Glock &amp; ran from police |

Table 6: Examples of the sentences with actual labels are uninformative, but their predictions are informative.

6 Conclusion and Future Work

In this paper, we propose the simple but effective ensemble approach to achieve better performance on a new dataset about COVID-19 based on tweets on Twitter and perform experiments to compare CT-BERT performances with different random seed and epoch. The experimental results showed that our proposed ensemble method with combination of data clean is effective for this dataset. Our best performance reaches 93.19% in F1 on the validation set and 90.94% on the test set. Although our model performance achieves rank the third place, there is just a little gap between our model and the best model (a really small difference of 0.02%).

In the future, we plan to combine more strong transfer learning models (Conneau et al., 2019; Yang et al., 2019) with different parameters to obtain higher performances. As our experiment, our ensemble model still does not reach the highest performance, so if we try with other different parameters, it will make different results. In addition, because the default max sequence length of CT-BERT is 96 tokens, a small number of sentences that are not short enough will be truncated. We will try to analyze and handle these cases.
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