Prognostic and predictive value of TOPK stratified by KRAS and BRAF gene alterations in sporadic, hereditary and metastatic colorectal cancer patients
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BACKGROUND: Our aim was to investigate the prognostic and predictive value of the oncogenic MAPKK-like protein T-cell-originated protein kinase (TOPK) stratified by KRAS and BRAF mutations in patients with sporadic, hereditary and metastatic colorectal cancer (CRC) treated with anti-EGFR therapy.

METHODS: Immunohistochemistry (IHC) for TOPK was performed on four study groups. Group 1 included two subgroups of 543 and 501 sporadic CRC patients used to test the reliability of TOPK expression by IHC. In Group 2, representing an additional 222 sporadic CRCs, the prognostic effect of TOPK stratified by KRAS and BRAF was assessed. The prognostic effect of TOPK was further analysed in Group 3, representing 71 hereditary Lynch syndrome-associated CRC patients. In Group 4, the predictive and prognostic value of TOPK was analysed on 45 metastatic patients treated with cetuximab or panitumumab stratified by KRAS and BRAF gene status.

RESULTS: In both sporadic CRC subgroups (Group 1), associations of diffuse TOPK expression with clinicopathological features were reproducible. Molecular analysis of sporadic CRCs in Group 2 showed that diffuse TOPK expression was associated with KRAS and BRAF mutations ($p<0.001$) and with poor outcome in patients with either mutation in univariate and multivariate analysis ($p=0.017$). In hereditary patients (Group 3), diffuse TOPK was linked to advanced pT stage. In metastatic patients treated with anti-EGFR therapy (Group 4), diffuse TOPK expression was linked to dismal outcome despite objective response to treatment ($p=0.01$).

CONCLUSION: TOPK expression is an unfavourable prognostic indicator in sporadic patients with KRAS or BRAF mutations and also in patients with metastatic disease experiencing a response to anti-EGFR therapies. The inhibition of TOPK, which could benefit 30–40% of CRC patients, may represent a new avenue of investigation for targeted therapy.
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The pathogenesis, progression and oncogenic behaviour of colorectal cancer (CRC) are to a large extent regulated by the ERK/MAPK signalling cascade, which activates transcription factors critical for angiogenesis, proliferation, apoptosis, differentiation and metastasis (Fang and Richardson, 2005). In CRC, 30–40% of cases have mutations in the KRAS proto-oncogene (Bos et al., 1987). Often linked to tumours arising from the chromosomal instability pathway, representing 80–85% of CRC cases, KRAS mutations have been associated with increased activity of ERK signalling, thereby promoting transcription of Elk-1 and c-Myc (Bos et al., 1987; Jass, 2007). Although evidence with regard to the effect of KRAS gene status on prognosis is heavily debated, the majority of published studies suggest a poorer outcome in patients with KRAS mutations (Siena et al., 2009). Interestingly, patients with Lynch syndrome-associated CRC, representing only 2–3% of all CRC patients, are also found to have a higher frequency of KRAS mutation, yet they generally show a favourable clinical outcome (Oliveira et al., 2007; Green et al., 2009). Downstream of KRAS in ERK/MAPK signalling lies BRAF, a gene that in sporadic disease is mutated in ~10% of CRC and is more highly associated with tumours showing microsatellite instability (MSI) (Bos et al., 1987; Jass, 2007). Few reports have investigated the prognostic effect of BRAF in CRC; however, evidence points to a worse prognosis in patients with mutations in this gene (Samowitz et al., 2005; French et al., 2008; Ogino et al., 2009).
Current regimens for patients with metastatic CRC include anti-EGFR monoclonal antibodies such as cetuximab and panitumumab, both downregulating ERK/MAPK and PI3K/PTEN/AKT pathway signalling (Amado et al., 2008; Giusti et al., 2008; Segal and Saltz, 2009). New evidence suggests that patients with KRAS, BRAF or PTEN mutations experience fewer clinical responses to these drugs, compared with patients with wild-type tumours; moreover, molecular analysis, particularly of KRAS, is routinely being performed in standard molecular pathology laboratories (Lieuve et al., 2006; Amado et al., 2008; Di Nicolantonio et al., 2008; Karapetis et al., 2008; Au et al., 2009; Jimeno et al., 2009; Sartore-Bianchi et al., 2008). As 30–40% of patients with CRC exhibit mutations in one of these genes in a mutually exclusive manner, their potential for receiving such targeted agents is substantially decreased (Jass, 2007; Di Nicolantonio et al., 2008).

Taken together, the identification of novel prognostic and predictive factors, which consider the heterogeneous molecular background of CRC, particularly with regard to KRAS and BRAF gene status, is warranted. In 2000, a new member of the ERK/MAPK pathway, T-cell-originated protein kinase (TOPK), also known as PDZ-binding kinase, was identified (Abe et al., 2000; Gaudet et al., 2000). T-cell-originated protein kinase was described as a MAPKK-like protein involved in p38MAPK and JNK signalling, possibly in a cell-type-dependent manner, and was more recently found to be involved in the ERK/MAPK pathway (Matsumoto et al., 2004; Nandi et al., 2004; Aylion and O’Connor, 2007; Oh et al., 2007). T-cell-originated protein kinase is over-expressed in highly proliferating normal tissues, foetal tissues and in a wide variety of tumours in vitro, whereas the inhibition of TOPK is shown to lead to apoptosis in breast and melanoma cell lines (Simons-Evelyn et al., 2001; Zhao et al., 2001; Matsumoto et al., 2004; Nandi et al., 2004; Dougherty et al., 2005; Park et al., 2006; Zykova et al., 2006). Most recently, Herrero-Martin et al. (2009) evaluated TOPK expression in Ewing sarcoma cell lines and found that the inhibition of TOPK led to a decrease in the proliferation rate and an important change in cell growth, indicating that TOPK could have a significant role in Ewing sarcoma biology. Zhu et al. (2007) systematically assessed this novel molecule in CRC and confirmed its oncogenic potential in vitro and in vivo. Importantly, they found that, unlike other MEKs that undergo negative phosphorylation, those occurring between these two and ERK, TOPK could promote malignant transformation by exerting a positive feedback loop on ERK2 activity. However, the prognostic and predictive effect of TOPK in patients with CRC has to date not been explored.

Given its central involvement in ERK/MAPK signalling, we hypothesised that TOPK overexpression is significantly related to KRAS and BRAF mutations, thereby implicating this gene in the poorer outcome of patients, both in terms of prognosis and response to anti-EGFR therapies. The aim of our study was, first, to determine using two randomised subgroups (n = 543 and n = 501) whether TOPK expression leads to reproducible associations with clinicopathological features by immunohistochemistry (IHC) and, second, to determine according to KRAS and BRAF gene status the prognostic effect of TOPK on 222 metastatic CRC patients treated with anti-EGFR agents, cetuximab and panitumumab.

### METHODS

#### Patients

**Sporadic CRC patients (Groups 1 and 2)** A total of 1420 primary pre-operatively untreated, unselected sporadic CRC patients treated at the University Hospital of Basel between 1987 and 1996 were included in this study. Haematoxylin and eosin-stained slides were retrospectively collected from the Institute of Pathology, University Hospital of Basel, the Institute of Clinical Pathology, Basel, Switzerland and from the Institute of Pathology, Stadtsipital Triemli, Zürich, Switzerland. Histopathological criteria were reviewed by an experienced gastrointestinal pathologist (LT) and included tumour diameter, pT and pN classification, grade of differentiation, histological subtype, presence of vessel invasion, tumour border configuration (pushing/expanding or infiltrating) and presence of peritumoural lymphocytic inflammation at the invasive tumour front (Jass et al., 1986). Clinical data including patient age at diagnosis, tumour location and follow-up, local recurrence, distant metastasis and post-operative therapy were retrieved from the patient records, where available. Censored observations included patients who were alive at the last follow-up, those who died for reasons other than CRC or were lost to follow-up. Median survival time was 76 (95% CI 47–137) months; median follow-up was 60.3 months.

**Lynch syndrome-associated CRC patients (Group 3)** In all, 94 patients with genetically confirmed Lynch syndrome-associated CRC identified from the Swiss Cancer Registry were included in this study. Histopathological criteria were reviewed and included pT, pN, pM classifications and grade of differentiation. Clinical data including patient age at diagnosis, tumour location and follow-up were retrieved from patient records. Censored observations included patients who were alive at last follow-up, those who died for reasons other than CRC or were lost to follow-up. Follow-up period ranged from 0 to 74 years and median follow-up time was 7.1 years (95% CI 5.4–8.7).

**Metastatic CRC patients (Group 4)** A total of 46 consecutive patients with histologically confirmed metastatic CRC treated at the Oncology Institute of Southern Switzerland, Bellinzona, Switzerland with cetuximab or panitumumab regimens were entered into this study. Cetuximab was administered at a standard loading dose of 400 mg m⁻² over 2 h, followed by weekly dose of 250 mg m⁻² over 1 h. Panitumumab (6 mg kg⁻¹) was administered intravenously every 2 weeks until progression was allocated in two patients who were refractory to oxaliplatin-based and irinotecan-based regimens. With the exception of two patients who received cetuximab as frontline therapy, the others had failed at least one previous chemotherapy regimen. For those patients who progressed on irinotecan-based regimens, cetuximab was administered in combination with these regimens given at the same dose and schedule. Treatment was continued until progressive disease (PD) or toxicity occurred, according to standard criteria. Clinical responses were assessed every 6–8 weeks with radiological examination (computerised tomodensitometry or magnetic resonance imaging).

**Figure 1** Study design. (A) 1420 sporadic colorectal cancers (CRCs) mounted onto tissue microarrays (TMA) underwent immunohistochemistry (IHC) for TOPK and were then subdivided into Group 1 (n = 1198) and Group 2 (n = 245) on the basis of the availability of paraffin-embedded material. Excluding 154 cases, Group 1 was randomised into two matched subgroups (n = 543 and 501), then used to define ‘diffuse’ and ‘patchy’ TOPK expression and to test associations of TOPK with clinicopathological features. In Group 2, 23 cases were excluded. A total of 222 cases with evaluable TOPK IHC were analysed for microsatellite instability (MSI), KRAS and BRAF. The prognostic value of TOPK stratified by KRAS and BRAF gene status was determined. (B) TOPK IHC staining was assessable in 71 of 94 Lynch syndrome-associated CRC patients in Group 3. T-cell-originated protein kinase expression was related to KRAS and BRAF mutation, clinicopathological features and cancer-specific survival time. (C) TOPK IHC was assessable in 45 of 46 metastatic CRC patients, whereas investigations of MSI, KRAS, BRAF and PTEN were performed. The prognostic and predictive value of TOPK in metastatic CRC patients treated with anti-EGFR agents was evaluated.
The Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumours (RECIST) were adopted for evaluation, and objective tumour response was classified into complete response, partial response (PR), stable disease (SD) and PD. Follow-up time ranged from 0 to 8 years, with a median of 2.0 years and a median survival time of 2.4 (95% CI 2.0–3.4) years.

### A

**1420 Unselected sporadic colorectal cancer patients**
(Institute of Pathology, University Hospital Basel, Institute of Clinical Pathology, Basel, Stadtspital Triemli, Zürich, Switzerland)

Tissue microarray of all cases
Immunohistochemistry for TOPK
Semi-quantitative evaluation of immunostaining

- Corresponding paraffin-embedded material not available (n=1198)
- Corresponding paraffin-embedded material available (n=245)

**GROUP 1:**
Randomisation of 1044 cases into two subgroups

- Subgroup A (n=543)
- Subgroup B (n=501)

**Aim Group 1:**
Determine cutoff score for 'diffuse' and 'patchy' TOPK expression and test reproducibility of association of TOPK with clinicopathological features

- Exclusion of (n=154) cases arising from TMA failure

**GROUP 2:**
222 cases for molecular analysis of microsatellite instability (MSI), KRAS and BRAF

**Aim Group 2:**
Determine whether TOPK expression is linked to MSI, KRAS or BRAF gene alterations and its impact on survival time in sporadic colorectal cancer

- Exclusion of (n=23) cases arising from TMA failure

### B

**94 Genetically confirmed patients with Lynch syndrome-associated colorectal cancer**
(Swiss Cancer Registry)

Tissue microarray with multiple tumor punches. Immunohistochemistry for TOPK

- Average TOPK expression per case obtained. Cases classified as 'patchy' or 'diffuse' using the cutoff score determined from Group 1 subgroup A
- Exclusion of (n=23) cases arising from TMA failure

71 Cases evaluable for TOPK.
70 Paraffin-embedded tissue blocks available for molecular analysis of KRAS and BRAF

**Aim Group 3:**
Determine association of TOPK expression with KRAS, BRAF, clinicopathological features and survival time in hereditary colorectal cancer

### C

**46 Consecutive patients with metastatic disease treated with cetuximab or panitumumab**
(Institute of Southern Switzerland)

Immunohistochemistry for TOPK on whole tissue sections. Evaluation of TOPK as 'patchy' or 'diffuse' using the cutoff score determined from Group 1

- Exclusion of (n=1) case with insufficient tissue for assessment

45 Evaluable cases for TOPK and molecular analysis of KRAS and BRAF.
Immunohistochemistry for PTEN

**Aim Group 4:**
Determine the prognostic and predictive value of TOPK expression in metastatic patients treated by anti-EGFR agents
Specimen characteristics

For sporadic CRC patients (Groups 1 and 2), a previously described single-punch tissue microarray was constructed including all 1420 tumours and 57 normal mucosa samples as control (Sauter et al, 2003; Zlobec et al, 2008). Of the 1420 tumours, paraffin-embedded surgical resection specimens were available for 245 cases, which were retrospectively collected from the archives of the Institute of Pathology, University Hospital Basel, Switzerland for subsequent molecular analysis. Second, a multiple-punch tissue microarray including all 94 patients with Lynch syndrome-associated CRCs was constructed. Briefly, tissue blocks were retrieved from the Research Group Human Genetics, Department of Biomedicine, University of Basel. Haematoxylin and eosin slides were re-evaluated and representative areas from the tumour centre, tumour invasive front and adjacent normal mucosa (if available) were identified using a felt-tip pen. Tissue punches 0.6 mm in diameter were taken from these areas and brought into one recipient paraffin block (3 x 2.5 cm) using a homemade semi-automated tissue arrayer. The final tissue microarray contained 297 tissues, taken from 101 different tissue blocks, and included 135 punches from the tumour centre, 78 from the tumour front and 84 samples of normal tissue. Third, for patients with metastatic disease, the corresponding paraffin-embedded tissue blocks were retrospectively collected and whole-tissue sections were cut at 4 μm.

Assay methods

Immunohistochemistry  Immunohistochemistry was carried out for all tumour specimens from Groups 1 to 4 and for normal mucosa samples using anti-TOPK antibody. Tissue microarrays and whole-tissue sections were dewaxed and rehydrated in dH2O. After pressure cooker-mediated antigen retrieval in 0.001 M EDTA (pH 8.0), endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked using 0.5% H2O2. Sections were incubated with 10% normal goat serum for 20 min. After incubation with primary antibody (PK/TOPK, rabbit polyclonal, dilution 1:50, Cell Signalling, Danvers, MA, USA), sections were incubated with HRP-conjugated secondary antibody (DakoCytomation, Glostrup, Denmark) for 30 min at room temperature, immersed in 3-amino-9-ethylcarbazole plus substrate–chromogen (DakoCytomation) for 30 min and counterstained with haematoxylin. Negative control tissues underwent the same protocol with the primary antibody omitted. Tumour cell immunoreactivity was evaluated by an experienced gastrointestinal pathologist (AL) blinded to clinical endpoints. Tumour cell staining for TOPK was predominantly observed in the cytoplasm, rather than in the nucleus or membrane. The percentage of positive tumour cells per case was scored. Staining intensity was not considered. The inter-observer variability of TOPK scores was assessed on one tissue microarray slide containing 456 cases by a second independent pathologist (MH) from an external institution and blinded to clinicopathological features.

Molecular analyses  For groups 2, 3 and 4, MSI analysis along with KRAS (exon 2, codons 12 and 13) and BRAF (exon 15, codon 600) mutational investigations was performed as detailed previously (Frattini et al, 2007; Lugli et al, 2009). Microsatellite stable and MSI-low status were defined as instability at 0 and 1 markers, respectively. Microsatellite instability-high was characterised by the presence of instability in ≥2 markers (Umar et al, 2004).

Study design

The study design is outlined in Figure 1. For study groups 1–3, excluded cases were those resulting from tissue microarray failure, that is, insufficient tissue for evaluation or <50% tumour/punch.
The 1420 sporadic CRCs mounted onto the tissue microarray underwent IHC for TOPK and staining was evaluated semi-quantitatively. These cases were subdivided into two groups on the basis of the availability of corresponding paraffin-embedded material for subsequent DNA extraction (Figure 1A). Group 1 included cases without available tumour blocks (n = 1198), whereas Group 2 represented cases with available archival paraffin-embedded material (n = 245).

After exclusion of 154 cases, Group 1 was further randomised into two matched subgroups containing 543 and 501 patients each. The appropriate IHC cutoff score for TOPK for all study groups was determined using subgroup A. Second, the reliability of TOPK expression and its association with clinicopathological features could be determined by analysing both subgroups independently.

After exclusion of 23 cases from Group 2, 222 cases underwent molecular investigations for MSI, KRAS and BRAF. The aim of this study group was to determine the prognostic value of TOPK in CRCs, with subgroup analysis by KRAS and BRAF mutation. Multivariable cancer-specific survival time models were evaluated by including candidate variables such as age, sex, pT and pN classification, vascular invasion and MSI status.

A total of 23 cases were excluded from Group 3 (Figure 1B). The remaining 71 Lynch syndrome-associated CRCs underwent molecular analysis for KRAS and BRAF. The association of TOPK expression with mutational status of KRAS and BRAF, clinicopathological features and cancer-specific survival time, was assessed.

One case of metastatic CRC was excluded from Group 4 because of insufficient material for adequate assessment of TOPK expression.

### Table 1

**Group 1: immunohistochemical expression of TOPK (patchy or diffuse) and association with clinicopathological features in both randomized subgroups A and B**

| Clinicopathological features | Subgroup A | Subgroup B | P-value | Subgroup A | Subgroup B | P-value |
|-----------------------------|------------|------------|---------|------------|------------|---------|
| Gender                      |            |            |         |            |            |         |
| Female                      | 211 (52.5) | 75 (53.2)  | 0.886   | 200 (51.3) | 62 (55.9)  | 0.395   |
| Male                        | 191 (47.5) | 66 (46.8)  |         | 190 (48.7) | 49 (44.1)  |         |
| Tumour location             |            |            |         |            |            |         |
| Left sided                  | 136 (44.2) | 36 (25.7)  | 0.008   | 132 (34.3) | 33 (30.2)  | 0.027   |
| Right sided                 | 131 (39.9) | 64 (45.7)  |         | 119 (30.9) | 46 (42.2)  |         |
| Rectum                      | 131 (39.9) | 40 (28.6)  |         | 134 (34.8) | 30 (27.5)  |         |
| Histological subtype        |            |            |         |            |            |         |
| Mucinous                    | 30 (7.5)   | 16 (11.4)  | 0.154   | 26 (6.7)   | 11 (9.9)   | 0.249   |
| Non-mucinous                | 372 (92.5) | 125 (88.7) |         | 364 (93.3) | 100 (90.1) |         |
| pT stage                    |            |            |         |            |            |         |
| pT1 – 2                     | 77 (19.4)  | 23 (16.7)  | 0.471   | 67 (17.5)  | 18 (16.4)  | 0.782   |
| pT3 – 4                     | 319 (80.6) | 115 (83.3) |         | 316 (82.5) | 92 (83.6)  |         |
| pN stage                    |            |            |         |            |            |         |
| pN0                         | 194 (49.6) | 71 (52.2)  | 0.603   | 198 (52.4) | 55 (51.9)  | 0.928   |
| pN1 – 2                     | 197 (50.4) | 65 (47.8)  |         | 180 (47.6) | 51 (48.1)  |         |
| pM stage                    |            |            |         |            |            |         |
| pM0                         | 116 (81.7) | 53 (80.3)  | 0.812   | 124 (81.1) | 42 (82.4)  | 0.836   |
| pM1                         | 26 (18.3)  | 13 (19.7)  |         | 29 (18.9)  | 9 (17.7)   |         |
| Tumour grade                |            |            |         |            |            |         |
| G1 – 2                      | 346 (87.4) | 112 (80.6) | 0.04    | 341 (89.5) | 88 (81.5)  | 0.025   |
| G3                          | 50 (12.6)  | 27 (19.4)  |         | 40 (10.5)  | 20 (18.5)  |         |
| Vascular invasion           |            |            |         |            |            |         |
| Absence                     | 274 (69.4) | 110 (79.1) | 0.028   | 285 (74.8) | 79 (72.5)  | 0.624   |
| Presence                    | 121 (30.6) | 29 (20.9)  |         | 96 (25.2)  | 30 (27.5)  |         |
| Local recurrence            |            |            |         |            |            |         |
| Absence                     | 73 (52.9)  | 43 (66.2)  | 0.075   | 90 (59.6)  | 30 (58.8)  | 0.922   |
| Presence                    | 65 (47.1)  | 22 (33.9)  |         | 61 (40.4)  | 21 (41.2)  |         |
| Post-operative therapy       |            |            |         |            |            |         |
| No                          | 104 (7.3)  | 53 (80.3)  | 0.344   | 129 (84.9) | 37 (72.6)  | 0.048   |
| Yes                         | 36 (25.7)  | 13 (19.7)  |         | 23 (15.1)  | 14 (27.5)  |         |

| Mean (min, max)       | Mean (min, max) |
|-----------------------|------------------|
| Age (years)           | 69.9, 36 – 96    | 70.1, 39 – 93 |
| Survival time 5-year  | 55.7 (50 – 61)   | 62.9 (53 – 71) |

Rate (95% CI)

| Survival time 5-year  | Rate (95% CI) |
|-----------------------|---------------|
| 55.7 (50 – 61)        | 62.9 (53 – 71) |

Abbreviations: CI = confidence interval; N = frequency; TOPK = T-cell-originated protein kinase.
Table 2  Group 2: immunohistochemical expression of TOPK (patchy or diffuse) and association with clinicopathological and molecular features in sporadic colorectal cancer

| Clinicopathological features | Patchy       | Diffuse      | P-value |
|------------------------------|--------------|--------------|---------|
| Gender                       |              |              |         |
| Female                       | 87 (54.7)    | 28 (44.4)    | 0.167   |
| Male                         | 72 (45.3)    | 35 (55.6)    |         |
| Tumour location              |              |              |         |
| Left sided                   | 42 (26.4)    | 18 (28.6)    | 0.05    |
| Right sided                  | 47 (29.6)    | 26 (42.9)    |         |
| Rectum                       | 70 (44.0)    | 18 (28.6)    |         |
| Histological subtype         |              |              |         |
| Mucinous                     | 6 (3.8)      | 8 (12.7)     | 0.027   |
| Non-mucinous                 | 153 (96.2)   | 55 (87.3)    |         |
| pT stage                     |              |              |         |
| pT1 – 2                      | 36 (22.8)    | 13 (21.3)    | 0.815   |
| pT3 – 4                      | 122 (77.2)   | 48 (78.7)    |         |
| pN stage                     |              |              |         |
| pN0                          | 84 (54.6)    | 36 (59.0)    | 0.552   |
| pN1 – 2                      | 70 (45.5)    | 25 (41.0)    |         |
| Tumour grade                 |              |              |         |
| G1 – 2                       | 154 (97.5)   | 54 (88.5)    | 0.012   |
| G3                           | 4 (2.5)      | 7 (11.5)     |         |
| Vascular invasion            |              |              |         |
| Absence                      | 112 (70.9)   | 43 (70.5)    | 0.954   |
| Presence                     | 46 (29.1)    | 18 (29.5)    |         |
| KRAS                         |              |              |         |
| Wild type                    | 117 (76.5)   | 36 (63.2)    | 0.054   |
| Mutation                     | 36 (23.5)    | 21 (36.8)    |         |
| BRAF                         |              |              |         |
| Wild type                    | 129 (89.6)   | 39 (72.2)    | 0.002   |
| Mutation                     | 15 (10.4)    | 15 (27.8)    |         |
| KRAS/BRAF                    |              |              |         |
| Both wild type               | 108 (67.9)   | 27 (42.9)    | < 0.001 |
| KRAS or BRAF mutation        | 51 (32.1)    | 36 (57.1)    |         |
| Microsatellite status        |              |              |         |
| Stable/low                   | 126 (79.3)   | 48 (76.2)    | 0.618   |
| High                         | 33 (20.8)    | 15 (23.8)    |         |

Mean (min, max)  | Age (years) | 67.6, 43 – 95 | 69.7, 44 – 89 | 0.156  |
| 5-year survival time       | All patients | 54.6 (47 – 63) | 52.3 (39 – 64) | 0.719  |

Abbreviations: CI = confidence interval; N = frequency; TOPK = T-cell-originated protein kinase.

expression (Figure 1C). Immunohistochemistry for PTEN and molecular investigations of MSI, KRAS and BRAF were previously performed (Frattini et al, 2007). The prognostic and predictive value of TOPK in this group of patients was analysed, with specific end points of interest being cancer-specific survival time and objective tumour response to anti-EGFR agents.

The use of all patient material was approved by local Ethics Committees.

Statistical analysis methods

Associations of TOPK with categorical features were investigated by Chi-Square and Fisher’s Exact tests where appropriate, and by Student’s t-test for age. Survival analysis was performed using the Kaplan–Meier method, log-rank test and by multiple Cox regression analysis after verification of the proportional hazards assumption. The appropriate number of variables to be included in regression models was dependent on the frequency of patient deaths in each analysis. We included 1 variable per 10 deaths, to prevent overfitting. Differences in TOPK expression between normal colonic mucosa and tumour were determined using Wilcoxon’s rank-sum test for medians. The most clinically relevant cutoff score for TOPK was determined on subgroup A by receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis for end point survival/death. To prevent overfitting, re-sampling of data was performed by bootstrapping 200 times. The inter-observer variability of TOPK staining was assessed using the intra-class correlation coefficient (ICC), with values of ≥0.8 indicating excellent agreement. Missing clinicopathological data were assumed to be at random. No imputation was performed; rather, only patients with complete data for all features were included in multivariable analyses. P-values <0.05 were considered to be statistically significant.

RESULTS

TOPK expression in normal colon versus sporadic CRC

T-cell-originated protein kinase expression in 57 normal colonic mucosa samples was compared with sporadic CRCs from Group 1 (n = 1044). T-cell-originated protein kinase was highly over-expressed in tumours with a median of 90% positive cell staining compared with 5% positive cell staining in normal tissue (P <0.001).

Inter-observer agreement of TOPK scoring and determination of the cutoff score in CRC

Re-evaluation of one tissue microarray slide (n = 456 CRCs) by a second independent pathologist from an external institution using the same semi-quantitative scoring method resulted in ICC = 0.92, indicating excellent agreement. Having established that the evaluation of TOPK staining was reproducible between observers, next, the most appropriate cutoff score to describe tumours as overexpressed for TOPK was evaluated. Using ROC curve analysis, the protein expression value with the highest sensitivity and specificity for patient survival was obtained for subgroup A (Group 1) and was found to be 90% positive for cell staining. This value also coincided with the median expression value of TOPK in sporadic CRCs in Group 1, hence tumours with >90% positive cell staining for TOPK were considered ‘diffuse’, whereas cases with ≤90% were defined as ‘patchy’ (Figure 2). This definition was subsequently applied to all tumours in this study.

Group 1: TOPK in sporadic CRC and clinicopathological information

In subgroups A and B, 141 and 111 patients had a diffuse TOPK expression (26 and 28% of cases, respectively). In both randomised subgroups, diffuse TOPK expression was associated with tumour location (more right sided; P = 0.008 and P = 0.027) and with high tumour grade (P = 0.04 and P = 0.025) (Table 1).
**Figure 3** Kaplan–Meier survival curves (A) illustrating survival time differences among patients in Group 2 with KRAS or BRAF mutations stratified by TOPK expression, (B) of metastatic colorectal cancer patients illustrating the negative effect of diffuse TOPK expression on prognosis in patients with KRAS and BRAF wild-type tumours and (C) of patients with stable disease or response to anti-EGFR therapy. Tables describe the number of patients at risk of death (alive) at each time point, beginning at the initial time of diagnosis when all patients are alive.

**Table 3** Two multivariable analyses of TOPK expression in sporadic KRAS-mutated or BRAF-mutated colorectal cancer patients

| Variable          | Analysis 1                      | Analysis 2                      |
|-------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| TOPK expression   | Hazard ratio (95% CI)           | Hazard ratio (95% CI)           |
| Patchy            | 1.0                             | 1.0                             |
| Diffuse           | 2.42 (1.2–5.0)                  | 2.39 (1.2–4.9)                  |
| Age               | Baseline year 1.0                 | Baseline year 1.0                |
|                   | 0.06 (1.1–1.1)                  | 0.13 (1.0–1.3)                  |
| pT stage          | PT1–2                           | pT1–2                           |
|                   | 1.0                             | 1.0                             |
|                   | PT3–4                           | PT3–4                           |
|                   | 0.71 (0.3–2.1)                  | 1.63 (0.8–3.3)                  |
| pN stage          | pN0                             | pN1–2                           |
|                   | 1.0                             | 1.0                             |
|                   | pN1–2                           | 1.0                             |
|                   | 1.38 (0.7–2.7)                  | 1.9 (0.9–4.2)                   |
| pM1 status        | MSI-microsatellite instability   | MSI-microsatellite instability   |
|                   | MSS/MSS-L                       | MSS/microsatellite stable       |
|                   | 1.0                             | 1.0                             |
|                   | MSI-H                           | 1.0                             |

Abbreviations: CI = confidence interval; MSI = microsatellite instability; MSI-L = MSI low; MSI-H = MSI high; MSS = microsatellite stable; TOPK = T-cell-originated protein kinase.
57 cases (27%). Mutations in \(BRAF\) \((P = 0.002)\) and \(KRAS\) \((P = 0.054)\) occurred more frequently in patients with diffuse \(TOPK\) staining compared with patients with wild-type tumours. As \(KRAS\) and \(BRAF\) mutations were mutually exclusive, the relationship of \(TOPK\) with either \(KRAS\) or \(BRAF\) mutation was evaluated. The diffuse expression found in 36 of 63 (57.1%) patients was significantly associated with mutation in either \(KRAS\) or \(BRAF\), compared with 32.1% of patients with a patchy expression \(\left(P < 0.001\right)\).

Among patients with \(KRAS\) or \(BRAF\) mutations, those with diffuse \(TOPK\) expression had a significantly worse prognosis compared with patients with a patchy expression \(\left(P = 0.015\right)\) \((\text{Figure 3A})\). The relative risk of death for patients with \(KRAS\) or \(BRAF\) mutations was 2.22 (95% CI 1.1–4.4) compared with those showing no mutation in either gene. In multivariate survival
analysis with age, pT classification and pN classification, TOPK expression maintained a significant adverse effect on outcome ($P = 0.017; HR = 2.42$ (95% CI 1.2–5.0)), as well as after adjusting for the prognostic effects of pT classification, pN classification and MSI status ($P = 0.018; HR = 2.39$ (95% CI 1.2–4.9)) (Table 3).

**Group 3: TOPK in hereditary Lynch syndrome-associated CRC**

T-cell–originated protein kinase expression could be assessed in 71 patients with Lynch syndrome-associated CRC. Of the 30 patients with a diffuse TOPK expression (41% of cases), 27 (93.1%) had pT3 or pT4 tumours compared with 68.2% of patients with a patchy expression ($P = 0.014$). KRAS mutations were found in 22 (31%) patients, whereas mutation in BRAF was noted in only one case of genetically confirmed Lynch syndrome. No association of TOPK was observed with either prognosis or KRAS mutation status (Table 4).

**Group 4: TOPK in metastatic CRC patients treated with anti-EGFR therapy**

Of the 45 metastatic patients treated with cetuximab or panitumumab with evaluable TOPK staining, a wild-type KRAS and BRAF gene status was detected in 32 (71.1%) and 41 (91.3%) BRAF wild-type tumours. A highly unfavourable outcome in patients with KRAS and BRAF wild-type tumours with overexpression of TOPK was noted ($P = 0.018$) (Figure 3B). No difference in TOPK staining was found between PTEN loss and overexpression, and the prognostic effect of diffuse TOPK staining in KRAS and BRAF wild-type patients was maintained after adjusting for PTEN status ($P = 0.041$). In total, 23 patients (51.1%) had PD, 11 (24.4%) had PR and 11 (24.4%) had SD, with diffuse expression of TOPK occurring in 10 (43.5%), 7 (30.4%) and 6 (26.1%) patients, respectively. Patients having SD or PR to anti-EGFR therapy but with diffuse TOPK expression suffered from poor outcome; in contrast, those with no overexpression of TOPK were alive or censored at 5-year follow-up ($P = 0.01$) (Figure 3C). T-cell–originated protein kinase expression was not of predictive value for response to anti-EGFR therapy, either in the entire cohort of patients or when stratified by KRAS and BRAF mutation status (Table 5).

**DISCUSSION**

We report the association of diffuse TOPK expression with specific sporadic CRC features, namely, with right-sided tumour location and higher tumour grade in two large multicentric cohorts of patients and excellent inter-observer reproducibility of TOPK scores. Second, our findings point to the diffuse expression of TOPK as an adverse prognostic factor in patients with sporadic CRC with a KRAS or BRAF mutation and in metastatic patients with SD or PR after treatment with anti-EGFR-based regimens.

In sporadic CRC, diffuse TOPK expression was associated with the presence of KRAS or BRAF mutation, underlining the involvement of TOPK in ERK/MAPK signalling. In patients with either KRAS or BRAF mutations, diffuse expression of TOPK had an adverse effect on 5-year survival. In addition, this unfavourable effect of TOPK expression on outcome was maintained in multivariate analysis, suggesting that TOPK could represent an important prognostic factor in patients with KRAS-mutated or BRAF-mutated tumours (Andreyev et al, 1998; Samowitz et al, 2005; French et al, 2008; Ogino et al, 2009). Although KRAS mutations are frequently found in patients with Lynch syndrome-associated CRC despite their favourable prognosis, in this study, no association between TOPK expression and KRAS mutation was observed (Oliveira et al, 2007). The propensity for more right-sided, poorly differentiated cancers and poorer outcome in patients with KRAS or BRAF mutation was not found here, despite an association with a more advanced pT stage with diffuse TOPK staining. These results seem to indicate that involvement of TOPK in CRC may be limited to tumours of sporadic origin.

We report that in 45 metastatic CRC patients treated with anti-EGFR agents and with wild-type KRAS and BRAF gene status, those expressing diffuse TOPK staining suffer from a significant adverse prognosis. In addition, TOPK expression seemed to be unmodified by PTEN status and maintained its adverse effect on outcome in KRAS or BRAF wild-type patients independently of the expression of this molecule in tumours with both mutations or those with objective response, a diffuse expression of TOPK may act as a highly unfavourable prognostic factor. Together, these results indicate that the activation of MAPK signalling is still possible at the level of TOPK, even in the context of wild-type KRAS and BRAF, and is unlikely because of loss of PTEN. Therefore, TOPK may act as a prognostic, rather than as a predictive, factor, suggesting that it may be important to consider its expression in metastatic CRC patients with a proficient molecular profile for positive response to anti-EGFR drugs.

Our results suggest that inhibition of TOPK could be beneficial for at least two groups of CRC patients together representing 30–40% of all cases, namely, those with a KRAS or BRAF mutation and those with metastatic disease supported by several factors. T-cell–originated protein kinase is barely detectable in most normal adult tissues including normal colonic mucosa, whereas it is highly overexpressed in CRC (Zhu et al, 2007). Its detection by IHC leads to reproducible associations with clinico-pathological features and its evaluation leads to excellent inter-observer agreement. As a MAPKK-like protein, it is a downstream molecule of KRAS and BRAF, both of which are associated with diffuse expression of TOPK (Roberts and Der, 2007). T-cell–originated protein kinase may itself be an effector of BRAF, as phosphorylation of TOPK by RAF has previously been shown (Yuryev and Wennglose, 2003). Therefore, inhibition of TOPK at this level of signalling may have a more significant impact on downregulating deregulated ERK/MAPK signalling. Current MEK inhibitors have led to moderate results (Roberts and Der, 2007). Although blocking MEK1 should lead to a decrease in the phosphorylation of ERK1/2, this may be hindered by a negative feedback loop of ERK1/2 onto MEK1, making inhibition of this molecule to some extent counterproductive (Ramos, 2008). T-cell–originated protein kinase, in contrast, has been described as an oncogenic MEK involved in a positive phosphorylation loop with ERK2 (Zhu et al, 2007). Therefore, inhibition of TOPK should be expected to successfully decrease the activation of ERK2 and thus its downstream transcription factors. Moreover, TOPK expression in this study seems to be independent of PTEN status. Considering recent evidence suggesting that PTEN mutation results in resistance to EGFR-targeted therapies (Sartore-Bianchi et al, 2009), the inhibition of TOPK in KRAS and BRAF wild-type patients could represent an approach for an average clinical outcome in patients with either PTEN wild-type or mutated cancers.

A limitation of this study is that information on cancer treatment was limited. Subgroup analysis produced results using relatively small sample sizes; therefore, these findings necessitate validation on larger patient cohorts. Nonetheless, our study gives valuable results for several reasons. Four groups of patients were included, representing sporadic, hereditary and metastatic CRC. Patients were treated in different centres and considerable corresponding clinico-pathological data and follow-up could be obtained. Whole-tissue sections and two tissue microarrays were evaluated, the largest containing more than 1000 tumours, the second with multiple tissue punches taken from the same patient representing different tumour areas. Finally, the cohort of
metastatic CRC was well characterised with respect to both clinicopathological treatment and molecular features.

Although several study groups have investigated the functional role of TOPK in different tumour types, this seems to be the first assessment of the prognostic and predictive value of this protein in CRC. In conclusion, TOPK seems to be a valuable prognostic factor in patients with sporadic CRC with KRAS or BRAF gene mutations, as well as in patients with metastatic disease who respond to anti-EGFR therapies. If confirmed prospectively, the inhibition of TOPK may represent a novel avenue of investigation for targeted treatment in patients with CRC, especially for the early identification of patients with a worse prognosis, although experiencing disease control after anti-EGFR drug administration.
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