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Abstract—Criminal activities, be it violent or non-violent are major threats to the safety and security of people. Frequent Crimes are the extreme hindrance to the sustainable development of a nation and thus need to be controlled. Often Police personnel seek the computational solution and tools to realize impending crimes and to perform crime analytics. The developed and developing countries experimenting their trust with predictive policing in the recent times. With the advent of advanced machine and deep learning algorithms, Time series analysis and building a forecasting model on crime data sets has become feasible. Time series analysis is preferred on this data set as the crime events are recorded with respect to time as significant component. The objective of this paper is to mechanize and automate time series forecasting using a pure DL model. N-Beats Recurrent Neural Networks (RNN) are the proven ensemble models for time series forecasting. Herein, we had foreseen future trends with better accuracy by building a model using NBeats algorithm on Sacramento crime data set. This study applied detailed data pre-processing steps, presented an extensive set of visualizations and involved hyperparameter tuning. The current study has been compared with the other similar works and had been proved as a better forecasting model. This study varied from the other research studies in the data visualization with the enhanced accuracy.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Time series analysis and forecasting[7][17] has always been crucial in the aspects of many research applications such as stock prediction, weather forecasting, supply chain management etc., So why not time series forecasting on crime data?

A time series [5] is a set of numerical values of the same entity taken at equally spaced intervals over time. A time series dataset can be collected yearly, monthly, quarterly and daily etc., any time series analysis can be explained with the help of three components such as

- Trend – Overall long-time direction of series (May be upward or downturn trend).
- Seasonality – Repeated behaviour at fixed intervals of time.
- Cycles – Occurs when it follows up or down pattern that is not seasonal and can be of varying length.

Conventionally time series analysis has been implemented using linear methods such as AR models, ETS etc., and these methods are simple and effective in implementation for smaller datasets. Machine learning [8] and Deep learning algorithms on the other hand are able to learn the temporal dependencies among the features and do forecasting with more accuracies. Also, deep learning algorithms automatically learn features and build model whereas manual feature extraction is required. The major challenge of this research is to handle the growing volumes of crime data and to build a predictive model with improved accuracy.

This paper is intended to build a better performing forecasting model [4][6] on the crime data. The objective of the current study is to gage the forecasting capacity of the NBeats model [1] on crime data, which is a hybrid of RNN-LSTM [4]. This model will aid police personnel in optimal decision-making and resource management. This work has been compared with the previous works done in this domain and the results are tabulated.

The rest of the paper is organized into the following segments. Section II introduces the existing methodologies. Section III deliberates the proposed approach with flowchart to build a model, discusses the techniques to prepare the dataset suitable for time series analysis and also presents a wide array of data visualization. In Section IV, the outcomes of the forecasting model and measures to calculate its error percentage are presented.

II. EXISTING METHODOLOGIES

Below is the detailed discussion of the existing methodologies used for forecasting. There are additive models, auto regression models[14][16], machine learning[8][15] and deep learning models[6][12] that are used to foretell about the trend or pattern of the crimes.

A. Exponential Smoothing (ETS Models)

These ETS models[10] use weighted average of past observations. The components of the model are error, trend and seasonality. Each component can be applied either additively or multiplicatively. Additive methods are useful when the trend and seasonal variations remain constant over time whereas multiplicative methods are applicable when trend and seasonality decrease or increases in magnitude over time.
There are four ETS models:

- **Simple exponential smoothing method** - In simple exponential method, forecast has been measured as follows. Forecast = weight\(t\)\(y_t\) + weight\(t-1\)\(y_{t-1}\) + weight\(t-2\)\(y_{t-2}\) + ... + \((1-\alpha)\)\(y_n\), where \(t\) is the number of periods before the most recent period and \(y_t\) is the target value of time series in \(t\) and \(\alpha\) is the smoothing parameter.

- **Holt’s linear trend method** - The simple exponential method was expanded to include forecasting data with a trend known as double exponential method or Holt’s linear trend methods. This method builds off simple exponential smoothing method not only the level but also trend in its calculation. Trend in this method is always applied in a linear or additive fashion and this method is great and suitable for non-seasonal data.

- **Exponential trend method** - A variation of Holt’s linear trend method is the exponential trend method. It uses the same components (Level and trend) but they are applied multiplicatively. This method is great for non-seasonal time series analysis.

- **Holt-winters seasonal method** - This method models all the three components such as level, trend and seasonality of time series analysis. It can be either implemented as additive or multiplicative model. The additive method is used in which seasonal fluctuation does not change in time whereas in the multiplicative method, seasonal fluctuation changes in time.

**B. ARIMA Model**

ARIMA[11] stands for auto regressive integrated moving average. It is of two types: Seasonal and Non-seasonal. Non-seasonal models is built on three components: AR(p), I(d) and MA(q). \((p,d,q)\) represents the amount of time periods to lag for in ARIMA calculation.

- **p** - refers to the previous periods or the number of lag observations.
- **d** – refers to differencing term and the number of transformations used in the process of transforming a series into non stationary one.
- **q** – refers to moving average.

**C. Recurrent Neural Networks(RNN)**

Neural networks(NN)[6] in general are simple and great for classification problems by assigning labels and for regression problems in which a continuous value can be predicted. The disadvantage with this kind of NN is that its tendency to forget what it learned or what happened in the past. When it comes to sequential data or ordered data in which data points are interdependent, these NN are a greater disadvantage. Here RNN[4]come into picture which could be imagined as they have a sense of memory to remember what happened in the past. Hence RNN is best suited for time series analysis.

**III. PROPOSED APPROACH**

This section introduces dataset and its attributes, data preprocessing steps, smoothing and normalization techniques, proposed architecture, an algorithm to build a model and evaluation of a model using error accuracy measures such as MAE and SMAE.

**A. Dataset**

The data used in this paper are real time dataset which was collected from the Sacramento police Open Data portal https://data.cityofsacramento.org/search (2014-2021). The dataset contains attributes such as FID, RecordId, Offense Code, Offense _Extension, Offense Category, Description, Police District, Beat, Grid, occurrence Timestamp. Each instance of the dataset is a crime record with date and timestamp. There are totally 66 unique crime categories. The data set is a collation of the past seven years data and contains a total of 2,72,333 records approximately.

The geographical locations[9] of city of Sacramento have been divided into six districts. Each district is divided into beats and there are a total of 21 prominent beats, beats further split in to grids for better patrolling and surveillance. The dataset reports 66 unique categories of offenses such as trespass, weapon offense, petty theft, burglary, DUI alcohol, owning or possessing ammunition, conspired crime, vehicle theft, false personation etc.,

**B. Proposed Architecture**

In Fig. 1, a flow chart is depicted that explains the proposed methodology step by step.

![Fig. 1. Proposed Flow of this Work.](image-url)
It begins with data collection, cleaning and extracting the appropriate features that suit to the requirement of time series analysis. Later, the dataset was tested to realize whether it is stationary or not. Smoothing and normalization techniques had been applied to understand their necessity. Then the dataset was divided into testing and training sets. The model had been trained on 2014 – 2020 data and was tested and forecasted on for the next year 2020-2021 data. The accuracy of the model was measured using MAE and sMAPE.

C. Data Preparation

The real time dataset has to be modified to suit Univariate time series analysis\[13\][17]. The dataset is cleaned by removing duplicate entries and the rows that contain null values. Less than 1% of rows are found dirty and removed. The instances in the dataset are grouped by Beat and the crime count is calculated for each day beat wise as given in Fig. 2. The zeroth row displays two crimes recorded on 01\textsuperscript{st} January 2014, ten crimes recorded on 02\textsuperscript{nd} January 2014 and so on in the beat ‘1A’.

For better performance of the model and to achieve uniformity in timeline across the Beats, the period from 2014-12-31 till 2020-12-31 have been considered and the rest of the days are ignored. To do forecasting, measurement of data should be sequential and equal with utmost one data point. For each day within the stipulated time period, the number of crimes is counted.

D. Checking the Stationery of Series

To check the stationery of time series, a histogram had been plotted for the 500 days from 1\textsuperscript{st} of January 2014 against crime count. Since the distribution of data across the plot did not follow Gaussian distribution and it looked like the distribution is squashed as given in Fig. 3, it may be concluded that the mean and variance is not the same thereby, the given time series data is not stationery dataset.

E. Zero Value Analysis

Zero value analysis is one of the smoothing techniques. With respect to our time series forecasting, zero value analysis is finding the count of days within the given period for each Beat whose crime count is nil for the day. The sample result is given in Fig. 4.

For a total of 2,192 days, a time series analysis\[13\][17] graph with the number of days on the X axis and the count of occurrences of crime on the Y-axis has been plotted for every Beat. Below are the snapshots starting from Fig. 5 till Fig. 13.

For each Beat, a time series graph has been plotted with the incrementing number of days on x-axis and count of crimes on y-axis. From the graphs, it is obvious that the given data set is not a stationary dataset as it exhibits strong seasonality and also there is no obvious upward or downward trend.
Some Beats (geographical locations) recorded the highest number of crimes whereas some Beats such as Beat 3M as in Fig. 13 showed a smaller number of crimes reporting on a daily basis. Most of the Beats exhibited repeating patterns at fixed intervals of time is said to have seasonality. In most of the plots, there is a display for the presence of noise with extraordinary spikes in the growth of crimes.

A normalization technique has been applied to the feature ‘count of crimes’. The count of crimes for every day has been normalized in the range of 0 to 1. The rationale behind this normalization is that in general, deep Learning algorithms that suffer from vanishing and exploding gradient descent problems. In order to overcome these problems, data normalizing have been applied.

F. Algorithm

N-Beats – Neural basis expansion analysis for interpretable time series forecasting [1][2] is a block based deep neural architecture suitable for Univariate time series analysis. It is chosen to build a pure deep learning model for forecasting based on time series that can take non stationery data with long term trends and seasonality and excels the accuracy of existing models such as ETS, ARIMA and Holt Winters, etc.

NBeats[1][2] is a hybrid model of RNN and LSTM that takes an entire window of past values and computes many forecast time point values in a single pass. For doing so, the architecture uses fully connected layers containing several blocks connected in a residual way. The first block models the past data(backcast) and predicts the future, then the second block models only the residual error from the previous block and improves the forecast values based on this error and continues to repeat.

Hence it is a residual architecture wherein multiple blocks are stacked together to avoid the risk of gradient vanishing which is common in deep learning algorithms and also has the advantages of ensembling technique. Hence the forecast value is the sum of predictions of several blocks and keeps improving based on residual errors calculated in the other blocks.

Advantages of using N-BEATS RNN over several traditional approaches:

- As all operations are parallelized, it supports quicker training of networks.
- Stacked blocks are much configurable thereby light weight networks.
- Fully Configurable Backcast and Forecast.
This model in Fig. 14 takes time series data up to $Y_t$, where $y =$ datapoints up to time ‘t’ as its input and predict future $Y_{t+1}$ where 1 = length of forecast window. Let us consider $t = 90$ days and $l = 30$ days. The size of the input is always $x^H$ where $x$ refers to features and $x = 1$, also known as Lookback Period. During this period, our time series model learns the behavior in the past and tries to predict the behavior of H data points which is known as Forecast period. In our case, it is for one day.

![NBeats Deep Layered Architecture](image)

Fig. 14. NBeats Deep Layered Architecture [1].

NBeats architecture takes time series data for the lookback period of 90 days as input to stack 1, and each stack in turn is made up of multiple blocks and it is necessary to understand the structure of basic block as given below.

1) **Basic blocks**: A lookback period of 90 days is given as input to the stack that passes through every block and a forecast period is set for the next 30 days. The input passes through a set of 4 connected layered (FC + Relu) stack and then divided into two outputs. Each output is further passed through FC and finally we receive two outputs such as

- 90-dimension vector as backcast , (X).
- 30-dimension vector as forecast., (FC).

2) **Stacking of blocks**: Each stack consists of multiple basic blocks, arranged in a double residual manner. For each block,

- A vector $X(x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots x_90)$ is given as input to the first block and every block.
- The first block gives two vectors as outputs ie. $BC_1$(Backcast of block 1) and $FC_1$
- The input of 2nd, 3rd, and so on blocks is calculated as (X-$BC_1$).
- The backcast output ($BC_n$) of nth block is the final stack output.
- The forecast output of stack is calculated as $\sum_{k=1}^{n} FC_k$ where $n$ is the number of blocks in a stack.

**IV. RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

Any deep learning neural network is trained using stochastic gradient descent algorithm. The purpose of this algorithm is to optimize the model by updating the weights during training based on error gradient measures. The rate at which the weights are getting updated during training of a model are referred to as learning rate. A plot for tuning the hyperparameter ‘Learning rate’ is given in Fig. 15.

Training of any neural network involves the challenge of identifying the hyperparameter ‘learning rate’. Estimating the optimal training rate is crucial to train a neural network, since learning rate describes how quickly a model is adapted to the given dataset and problem. Learning rate is a highly tunable parameter that calculates the number of weights needed to be updated so that the loss is reduced each time. The calculated learning rate is 0.000562341325190349.

The error measure of this N-Beats baseline model has been measured using mean and SMapE(Symmetric Mean absolute percentage error) [3] and displayed in Table I. There are many error metrics such as MAE, MAPE, sMAPE, etc. available.

Mean absolute Error (MAE) is defined as the average of forecast errors $e_i$, where $e_i = F_i - A_i$.

$$\text{MAE} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |e_i|$$

(1)

Symmetric Mean absolute Percentage error (sMAPE) is chosen over MAPE for the reason that MAPE behaves extremely undefined for actual zero values. The prediction or the future values are calculated based on the recent known values. But the resulting SMAPE measure is disappointing and the error percentage is 0.5219. Hence the optimal learning rate to train the RNN is calculated as 10^-4 approximately. After training the model, the error in the model has been reduced and calculated as 0.2922. The smaller the sMAPE value, the greater is the prediction accuracy.

$$\text{sMAPE} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{|F_i - A_i|}{(F_i + A_i)/2}$$

(2)

**A. Comparison with Previous Works**

The novelty about our work is the in-depth statistical analysis on the data set and converting it into univariate time series data set suiting to model building, thereby pruning the other dimensions. Here is the tabulation of results of this paper with recently published works given in Table II.

Different data sets have been compared in the above table that are using RNN model and their performance measures have been tabulated.

**Fig. 15. Plot for Hyperparameter Tuning.**
TABLE I. ERROR ACCURACY MEASURES

| Error Measures | Before training | After training with optimal learning rate |
|----------------|----------------|-----------------------------------------|
|                |                | SMApe | MAE  |
| Validation set | 0.4314         | -     | 0.1932 |
| Test set       | 0.5219         | 0.3922 | 0.1407 |

TABLE II. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS

| References                                                                 | Model          | Accuracy Measures          |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------|
| HaseebTariq, Muhammad Kashif Hani, Muhammad Umer Sarwar, Sabeen Bari,     | RNN-LSTM model | MAPE = 13.42               |
| Muhammad Shahzad Sarfraz, and Rozita Jamili Oskouei [4]                   | RNN-LSTM model | MAPE = 11.6                |
| Wajjha Safat, Sohail Asghar, (Member, IEEE) and Saira Andleeb Gilliani[6] | Chicago dataset, MAE = 11.6 | RNN-LSTM model for Los Angeles dataset, MAE = 6.0 |
| Current study                                                             | RNN-LSTM Model | MAE = 0.1407               |

V. CONCLUSION

It is understood that RNN-LSTM model implemented on our univariate data set displayed better performance based on MAE measure(refer in Table II) than the other works in time series forecasting. In general, error accuracy measures vary depending on the context, size of training set and the number of features. In this table, we have used MAE as performance metric. The innovation of this work lies in the systematic way of statistical analysis thereby extracting and understanding the deep insights of the data and converting the dataset suitable for univariate analysis before building a model on the data compared to the other works.

VI. FUTURE ENHANCEMENTS

In future, a hybrid model could be a better choice for building a forecasting model on this crime data and the accuracy of the mentioned model may be improved by exploring and tuning the other hyperparameters as well. This paper considered the features such as date and time and the number of occurrences of crime per day. In future, the attributes with respect to location that is geospatial coordinates can also be considered to build and improvise the model.
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