AISI 1005 Steel Plasma Treated by Different Thermochemical Surface Treatments
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To modify the surface structure of AISI 1005 steel and its properties without any dimensional loss, different plasma surface treatments were performed at low temperatures (500 °C) in this study. The samples were subjected to single plasma treatments including: nitriding (N5% and N3%), carburizing (CE) and ferritic nitrocarburizing (NC) and to duplex treatments of nitriding followed by carburizing (N5%+CE and N3%+CE) and ferritic nitrocarburizing followed by carburizing (NC+CE). The gas mixture used for these treatments was varied as follows: nitriding (5%N₂+95%H₂ and 3%N₂+97%H₂), carburizing (5%CH₄+95%H₂) and ferritic nitrocarburizing (5%N₂+1.5%CH₄+93.5%H₂). A microstructural characterization of the samples was carried out using optical and scanning electron microscopy in addition to XRD analysis. Microhardness testing was also performed. The XRD analysis showed a stabilization of the outermost cementite layer for all of the carburizing treatments. The results show that a greater hardness increase was achieved for the nitriding treatment as well as a more regular compound layer. However, a greater depth of hardening was obtained in samples with NC+CE and N5%+CE, which extended to the hardened depth to 800 μm.
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1. Introduction

In recent decades, there have been constant advancements in the techniques used for surface modifications of materials. The importance of such techniques is linked to the fact that modifications of the surface properties enable new applications for materials due to changes in the chemical, physical, mechanical, metallurgical and tribological properties. Among these techniques is a plasma surface thermochemical treatment.

Nitriding is a thermochemical treatment in which the hardening of a surface is achieved by introducing nitrogen through exposure in a nitriding atmosphere, which permits the formation of nitrides. Nitriding is performed at temperatures within the ferritic phase (500 – 570 °C) making the subsequent quenching treatment used to increase the hardness unnecessary. The same applies for the ferritic nitrocarburizing process, which differs only in the composition of the atmosphere containing the carbon.

Carburizing is also a surface treatment utilizing carbon diffusion. Generally, for carbon steels, this treatment occurs normally above 900 °C. However, for pieces that require high dimensional and geometric controls, the use of the high temperatures used in the carburizing treatment becomes a problem, as it leads to a loss of dimensional control.

Carburizing treatments at low temperatures have been developed, as described in, for stainless steels to avoid sensitization above 450 °C in these materials, and also for sintered pure iron and automobile gears, again, both to ensure dimensional control. Furthermore, the literature contains some studies describing plasma carburizing at low temperatures as a method to produce surface layers of pure cementite.

This work evaluates the layers obtained in AISI 1005 steel for different plasma surface treatments with regards to the structure, microstructure and hardness of the formed layers.

2. Material and Methods

The material used as a substrate in this study is AISI 1005 low carbon steel with a chemical composition shown in Table 1. The samples were discs with a diameter of 25.4 mm and a height of 6 mm. All of the samples were ground, polished and cleaned in an acetone ultrasonic bath prior to the thermochemical treatments.

Seven different thermochemical treatments were carried out in a DC pulsed glow discharge reactor with the samples in the cathode configuration. Single plasma treatments including nitriding, carburizing ferritic nitrocarburizing and double treatments of nitriding followed by carburizing and ferritic nitrocarburizing followed by carburizing were performed. The parameters of these treatments and nomenclature used are presented in Table 2. The working temperature was reached using auxiliary heating and the cooling of the samples performed in the plasma environment.
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Table 1: Chemical composition of AISI 1005 (wt.%).

|   | C   | Si  | Mn  | P   | S   | Cr  | Fe  |
|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
|   | 0.036 | <0.002 | 0.173 | 0.036 | 0.014 | 0.01 | balance |

Table 2: Cycling and parameters of the treatments.

| Nomenclature | Treatment            | Gas Mixture                     | Pressure (Torr) | Time (h) | DDP (V) | Flow (cm³/min) | Temperature (°C) |
|--------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|----------------|----------|---------|----------------|------------------|
| N5%          | Nitriding            | 5%N₂ / 95%H₂                    | 3              | 3        | 500     | 240            | 500              |
| N3%          | Nitriding            | 3%N₂ / 97%H₂                    | 3              | 3        | 500     | 240            | 500              |
| NC           | Ferritic Nitrocarburizing | 5%N₂ / 1.5%CH₄ / 93.5%H₂       | 3              | 3        | 500     | 240            | 500              |
| CE           | Carburizing          | 5%CH₄ / 95%H₂                   | 5              | 3        | 500     | 240            | 500              |
| N5%+CE       | Nitriding            | 5%N₂ / 95%H₂                    | 3              | 3        | 500     | 240            | 500              |
|              | Carburizing          | 5%CH₄ / 95%H₂                   | 5              | 3        | 500     | 240            | 500              |
| N3%+CE       | Nitriding            | 3%N₂ / 97%H₂                    | 3              | 3        | 500     | 240            | 500              |
|              | Carburizing          | 5%CH₄ / 95%H₂                   | 5              | 3        | 500     | 240            | 500              |
| NC+CE        | Ferritic Nitrocarburizing | 5%N₂ / 1.5%CH₄ / 93.5%H₂       | 3              | 3        | 500     | 240            | 500              |
|              | Carburizing          | 5%CH₄ / 95%H₂                   | 5              | 3        | 500     | 240            | 500              |

Conventional metallography procedures were performed to analyze the microstructure and the layers. The samples were etched with a Nital 2% solution and the obtained microstructures were examined using optical (Olympus BX60M) and scanning electron microscopy (Hitachi TM 3030).

A Philips X-ray diffractometer (X’Pert MPD) with a copper Ka radiation source (λ = 1.5418 Å) was used to determine the phases of the samples before and after the plasma treatments. The numbers of JCPDS cards used to identify the phases were: 03-065-2412 (cementite), 03-065-4899 (α-Fe) 01-077-2006 (Fe₄N) and 01-083-0879 (Fe₃N).

The mechanical properties were evaluated for surface microhardness using a Leco LM100AT with a Vickers indenter and a 0.01 kg load. For each treatment, a cut was made in the sample in the transverse direction to expose a surface for microhardness measurements to be made at different depths (25, 50, 75, 100, 125, 150, 200, 300, 400, 500, 650, 750 and 800 micrometers) to statistically determine the substrate hardness. Hardness measurements were also performed on the sample surface.

The large number of measurements used for the microhardness tests were performed to ensure a maximum relative error of 10% and a reliability of 95%. Analysis test hypotheses, estimation of variance and multiple comparisons of means were also performed.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Microstructure and X-ray diffraction

Figure 1(a) shows a cross section of the AISI 1005 steel sample surface without any thermochemical treatments. We observe only the presence of the ferrite phase and a small fraction of pearlite in the microstructure, which is confirmed by the XRD pattern presented in Figures 1 (b) and (c).

Figure 2 (a) shows the surface microstructure of the sample after plasma carburizing (CE). We observe a thin carburized layer, which appears to be homogeneous along the entire surface. This layer may be related to the increase of the cementite peaks in the XRD patterns of this sample, as seen in Figure 2 (b). Thus, the plasma carburizing treatment promotes the formation of a thin Fe₃C layer on the substrate.

The same result was seen in[9], which is attributed to the formation of this thin layer of cementite because of the low diffusivity of carbon at 500 °C, and the low solubility of these atoms in α-Fe, which is approximately 0.0025 wt.% at 500 °C. From this, the adsorbed carbon atoms on the surface do not have enough mobility to diffuse into the substrate, causing saturation of the element on the surface, and therefore, the precipitation of cementite.

Figure 3 (a) shows the layer after a single treatment of plasma nitriding with 5% N₂ (N5%). It is possible that this treatment promotes the formation of a compound and...
A diffusion layer. The diffusion zone is mainly formed by $\gamma'$-Fe$_4$N in form of elongated needles, and also by $\alpha''$-Fe$_{16}$N$_2$ nitrides in form of short needles, as suggested by\textsuperscript{19} and also reported in\textsuperscript{6,20}. The compound layer is very regular and consists of nitride $\gamma'$-Fe$_4$N, as shown in the XRD spectrum of Figure 3 (b).

The XRD analysis of sample N3% is shown in Figure 4 (b). The diffractogram indicates the presence of Fe-\textgreek{a} and discrete peaks corresponding to $\gamma'$-Fe$_4$N and cementite.

Figure 4 (a) shows a micrograph of sample N3% obtained using SEM. Note that there was no formation of a compound layer, which was already expected according to authors who claim that nitriding with a low nitrogen activity avoids the formation of the compound layer\textsuperscript{21-23}.

Figure 5 (a) shows a micrograph of the cross section for the NC treatment. The formation of a compound layer and diffusion zone was observed for this treatment.

It is possible to observe that the compound layer is irregular and contained $\gamma'$-Fe$_4$N and $\varepsilon$-Fe$_2$N nitrides. Based on how the Fe$_3$C carbide was confirmed in the XRD analysis, we may assume that the formation of Fe$_3$C occurred. The cementite formation may have been promoted because of the presence of 1.5 vol.% methane in the gas mixture that could provide a carbon source for the formation of Fe$_3$C.
Figure 3: Sample N5%: (a) scanning electron microscopy image and (b) XRD.

Figure 4: Sample N3%: (a) scanning electron microscopy and (b) XRD.

Figure 5: Sample NC: (a) scanning electron microscopy and (b) XRD.
The presence of carbon is responsible for the stabilization and increase in the ε phase in the Fe-C-N phase diagram and a decrease in the phase γ′. According to Figueiredo et al. the γ′-Fe₄N phase is unstable and tends to evolve into cementite by releasing nitrogen and carbon enrichment.

The diffusion zone of the NC sample is also shown in Figure 5 (a) and consists predominantly of nitride γ′-Fe₄N and a small fraction of α″-Fe₁₆N₂.

Figure 6 (a) shows a cross section of sample N5% + CE. It is evident that there are three regions: a very thin outer layer, a compound layer and a diffusion zone.

The outer layer exhibits similar thin and regular characteristics as those observed in the CE treatment, as shown in Figure 2 (a). This layer is easily detached during the metallographic preparation procedure.

It is believed that the outer layer is composed of cementite from the plasma carburizing performed after the nitriding treatment. Furthermore, in this treatment, an increase is observed in the number and intensity of Fe₃C peaks in the XRD pattern, shown in Figure 6 (b), than those in samples not receiving the carburizing treatment. This may confirm the assumption that a thin layer of cementite formed above the compound layer in the N5%+CE samples.

The compound layer, shown in detail in Figure 6 (a), is visually the thicker layer among the different treatments performed in this study. Moreover, the layer contains γ′-Fe₄N and ε-Fe₃N nitrides, as observed in the XRD pattern in Figure 6 (b), which also exhibits the presence of γ′-Fe₄N and α″-Fe₁₆N₂ nitrides, which are associated with the diffusion zone.

Sample NC + CE shows the same three layers observed in the treatment N5% + CE (Figure 7 (a)). The only difference is that the cementite layer is more discreet.

Micrographic analysis of the cross section of sample N3%+CE (Figure 8 (a)) revealed the formation of two regions: the outermost layer and the diffusion zone. It is assumed that the layer consists of cementite due to the increased peaks of this compound shown in the sample’s XRD. Still, we observe that the formed layer exhibits some microcracking and has a thickness exceeding that obtained in the CE sample (Figure 2 (a)). Thus, we assume that the N3% treatment promoted an increase in the thickness of the hardened layer.

The sample diffusion zone in sample N3%+CE is similar to that formed in sample N3%, and consists of nitrides and γ′-Fe₄N e α″-Fe₁₆N₂.

3.2 Microhardness

Table 3 shows the results for the surface hardness and the hardening depth obtained for each treatment.

Table 4 shows the layer thickness results obtained using SEM. For the N3% treatment, no layer thickness was measured, as the sample exhibited no compound layer, which was previously discussed. It is important to note that there were some difficulties in the metallographic preparation of the N3%+CE and NC+CE samples. The cementite layer was found to peel away from the sample during the polishing step. For this reason, Table 4 shows the layer thicknesses for the N3%+CE and NC+CE samples determined using 2000 grit sandpaper without any polishing. Because of this, we avoided making comparisons between the layer thicknesses obtained using only sanded samples and those from polished samples. This is because the imaged layer for only a sanded sample is larger than the imaged layer for a sample that has been polished.

Hypothesis testing and estimation of the variances between the top hardness of the sample base and sample CE shows that both are statistically identical with a 95% probability. This was already observed by Lamim⁹, who attributed this result to the thin layer (in this case 0.45 µm) of cementite, which is a very hard compound, on a ductile substrate which leads to the breakdown of the hardened layer and the concealment of its hardness by the hardness of the substrate. To eliminate the effects of the substrate on the

![Figure 6: Sample N5%+CE: (a) scanning electron microscopy and (b) XRD.](image)
hardness measurement, Jönsson\textsuperscript{25} determined that the ratio of the indentation depth and sample thickness of the layer must be within a critical range, which varies from 0.07 to 0.2. For the carburized sample, the relation is higher than the critical value, confirming the influence of the substrate hardness values. Although the presence of precipitates was not observed in the CE samples, the profiles of the microhardness in the cross-section micrographs are higher than the microhardnesses of the substrate to a depth of 100 µm at reliability of 95%. Thus, we believe that for this region, the substrate was solid solution hardened and/or by very small precipitates.
The sample which exhibited the higher surface hardness was the one subjected to the nitriding treatment (N5%). This may be a result of the significant thickness (2.81 µm ± 0.26 µm) and regularity of the formed compound layer.

The results of the top microhardnesses of the sample NC+CE (362.7 HV ± 59.7 HV) are similar to that presented by Doré26, who used the same conditions as in this study and obtained 360.7 HV ± 43.3 HV. The same was not observed for sample N5%+CE, which exhibited a hardness obtained of 149.0 HV ± 28.6 HV24, and differed significantly from the value found in this work: 224.6 HV ± 20.6 HV.

It is evident that when comparing sample N5% to samples NC, N5%+CE and NC+CE, sample N5% possesses the lowest hardness depth (500 µm), but has the highest surface hardness. This is attributed to the increased thickness of the compound layer that formed for this treatment compared to the others, confirming the assumption that the greater the thickness of the compound layer, the smaller the diffusion zone27.

The sample N3%+CE exhibited a microhardness of 214.6 HV ± 12.9 HV, which is statistically identical to sample N3% (215.7 HV ± 10.0 HV), despite the first sample having undergone the nitriding process to promote a greater hardness. This result is due to the cementite layer formed during the N3%+CE treatment, leading to a very thin layer upon a ductile substrate, resulting in the breakage of the carburized layer and the concealment of hardness by the hardness of the substrate25. This situation was mentioned above for sample CE.

The treatments that had the highest hardening depth were the NC+CE and the N5%+CE, which exhibited a hardened depth of 800 µm. This greater depth of hardening is attributed to the longer hold time that the samples were subjected to the two successive treatments, which provided more time to diffuse nitrogen throughout the sample.

4. Conclusions

The highest surface hardness was obtained from the nitriding treatment (N5%).

For the double treatments of N5%+CE, N3%+CE and NC+CE a fine layer of cementite was observed. The nitrocarburized depth is 650 µm. A greater hardening depth was obtained in the samples that were nitrocarburized + carburizing and nitrided + carburized, which achieved a hardened depth of 800 µm.
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