Update on the treatment of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma (CTCL): Focus on vorinostat

Madeleine Duvic
Jenny Vu
The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX, USA

Abstract: Epigenetic regulation of gene transcription by small molecule inhibitors of histone deacetylases (HDAC) is a novel cancer therapy. Vorinostat (Zolinza™) is the first FDA approved HDAC-inhibitor for treatment of patients with cutaneous T cell lymphoma (CTCL) who have progressive, persistent or recurrent disease on or following two systemic therapies. Vorinostat was active against solid tumors and hematologic malignancies as intravenous and oral preparations in Phase I development. In two Phase II trials, vorinostat was safe and effective at an oral dose of 400 mg/day with an overall response rate of 24%–30% in refractory advanced patients with CTCL including large cell transformation and Sézary syndrome (SS). The common side effects of vorinostat, similar in all studies, included gastro-intestinal symptoms, fatigue, and thrombocytopenia and the most common serious events were thrombosis. Vorinostat, in combination with other agents such as radiation therapy and chemotherapy, have shown synergistic or additive effects in a variety of cancers in clinical trials.
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Mycosis fungoides and Sézary syndrome: Pathogenesis and features

Cutaneous T-cell lymphomas (CTCL) are a clinically heterogeneous group of post-thymic lymphomas, accounting for the majority of all lymphomas arising in skin. Mycosis fungoides (MF) and Sézary syndrome (SS), the most common variants, are still rare with an annual incidence of 3 to 4 new cases per million or 1200 new cases per year in the United States (Giardi et al 2004; Kim et al 2005). The next most common entities are the CD30+ lymphoproliferative disorders: lymphomatoid papulosus and anaplastic large T-cell lymphoma (ALCL). Sub-cutaneous panniculitic T-cell lymphoma and NK-T cell lymphomas are quite rare, and more aggressive. Cutaneous T-cell lymphomas that do not fit into one of these categories are peripheral T-cell lymphomas, unclassified and are described on the basis of immunohistochemical phenotype.

Mycosis fungoides (MF) and Sézary syndrome (SS) are defined by their cutaneous presentation and the accumulation of a T helper memory/effector subset with a CD4+,CD8−, CD45RO+CLA+ phenotype (Kazakov et al 2004). In many patients, MF starts as an indolent and chronic dermatitis in the sun shielded areas and a diagnostic biopsy is difficult to obtain for years. In order to diagnose MF, there must be atypical lymphocytes within the epidermis, and the presence of CD4+>>CD8+ cells and clonality are supporting characteristics. In SS, the atypical cells have lost epidermotropism and are found around the dermal vessels (Diwan et al 2005).

Mycosis fungoides is staged using the Tumor Nodes Metastasis classification schema which is used to stage patients and to predict disease prognosis. MF may
progress to a leukemic and erythrodermic condition called Sézary syndrome (SS) which requires the presence of $>1000/\mu L$ of atypical circulating cells. Sézary cells secrete Th2 cytokines, IL-4 and IL-10, causing loss of cellular immunity due to decreased production of Th1 cytokines, interferon gamma and interleukin 2 (IL-2) (Kim et al 2005). This results in an atopic state characterized by erythroderma and staphylococcus colonization, peripheral eosinophilia, increased IgE production, and intractable pruritus. Thus, one strategy used to treat MF/SS, is to restore the immune TH2>TH1 imbalance.

Tan et al first hypothesized that T-cell proliferation was initiated by persistence of chronic antigen stimulation (Tan et al 1974). Molecular methods have shown that in MF there is emergence of one or more T-cell clones of skin-homing CD4+ cells and that with progression to SS, these appear in the blood and can be detected by flow cytometry (Vega et al 2002). If MF/SS is antigen driven, the stimulus would not need to be the same in each patient and could be autoantigens or environmental and once initiated might not resolve with removal of the antigen. That MF/SS patients have class II HLA associations with DR5 and DQB*03 alleles does support genetic predisposition similar to other autoimmune skin diseases (Jackow et al 1996).

Infectious agents such as Epstein-Barr virus, cytomegalovirus, HTLV-1, and Staphylococcus aureus have been hypothesized as possible triggers (Axelrod et al 1992; Tokura et al 1995; Jackow et al 1997; Shimakage et al 2001; Giardi et al 2004). The observation that the atypical CD4+ lymphocytes cluster around epidermal Langerhans cells (the Pautrier’s microabcess characteristic of MF) also supports an antigen driven immune response (Giardi et al 2004; Diwan et al 2005). Immature dendritic cells (Langerhans cells) in the epidermis are thought to activate T cells through direct contact and providing the stimulus for their clonal expansion by migrating to the dermis (Berger et al 2002). It is hypothesized that the loss of activation induced cell death following T-cell proliferation through loss of Fas may lead to accumulation of the T-cells in skin resulting in chronic inflammatory lesions (Ni et al 2005).

Tumor cells expressing Fas Ligand may eliminate tumor infiltrating, cytotoxic CD8+ T-cells, allowing disease progression to occur (Ni et al 2005). Progression of MF/SS is accompanied by clonal dominance of the malignant cells (Vega et al 2002) leading to the elaboration of Th2 cytokines (Rook et al 1997), impairment of the host’s immune response, and further tumor cell growth (Giardi et al 2004; Kim et al 2005).

### Treatment of early MF

There is a very limited number of FDA-approved therapies available to treat patients with MF/SS. However, agents that are used for eczema, psoriasis, and other forms of lymphoma are used for MF/SS and represent the standard of care. Early MF is characterized by eczematous or psoriasisform dermatitis limited to less than 10% of the body surface. Lesions are often most prominent in sun-shielded areas and will fade with exposure to UVB or UVA light. Early MF lesions are treated with one or more skin directed therapies and are capable of inducing durable complete responses. The first therapy used frequently before MF is diagnosed is the application of topical corticosteroids of increasing potency. For thicker or hypertrophic lesions, the topical retinoid gels or creams may be effective, can reduce the time of clearing with phototherapy, but may cause irritation, especially in intertriginous areas. The response rate of tazarotene gel 1% was 76% in patients not previously treated and this agent is the only topical therapy approved for MF (Breneman et al 2002). We have found this gel useful for MF of the hands or feet (Lain et al 2003) and for aborting lymphomatoid papulosis lesions (Kraken et al 2003). In an open label pilot study, tazarotene gel was active as a steroid adjuvant for treatment of MF lesions (Apisarnthanarax et al 2004). The topical inducer of interferon, imiquimod 5%, has produced 50% histologic clearing of MF lesions when applied to lesions of 6 patients for 3 days per week for 12 weeks (Deeths et al 2005).

### Treatment of intermediate or refractory early MF

Patients with <10% involvement (IA) who do not respond to first line topical therapies or who have >10% of the body surface area I (IB) or dermatopathic nodes (IIA) often need more extensive therapies such as topical chemotherapy with mustargen, BCNU, or with a combination of skin directed therapy plus one or more biological response modifiers. Agents that are not specifically approved for MF/SS are commonly used as the standard of care: topical steroids and mustargen, phototherapy, interferons, and chemotherapies. For patch disease, narrow band UVB is effective and can be effectively combined with topical steroids or retinoids. Thick plaque lesions or folliculotrophic MF lesions are more difficult to clear and are treated with PUVA plus interferon or an oral retinoid (bexarotene, soriatane, or accutane). Total electron beam radiation is reserved for patients who need skin palliation or who have extensive skin involvement and
have failed to respond to skin directed therapies, and should be followed with a form of maintenance therapy such as mustargen, PUVA, or oral bexarotene.

Oral bexarotene and intravenous denileukin difitox have received FDA approval for treatment of the cutaneous manifestations of CTCL and are used in this setting, along with interferon alpha. Bexarotene monotherapy has a response rate of 54% at a dose of 300 mg/m² in early patients and 45% in more advanced patients (Duvic et al 2001). Its dose limiting toxicity is hypertriglyceridemia, especially in predisposed patients. Use of lower doses of bexarotene is often effective in combination therapy and the triglyceridemia can often be controlled with addition of an HMG-coA reductase and/or a statin (Assaf et al 2006). Alpha interferon is another biological response modifier that has shown significant activity for MF and SS and may be used in combination with PUVA, photopheresis, and retinoids. It is widely used for MF/SS but has some dose limiting toxicities such as fever, fatigue, low white count, anemia, and hepatitis. Vorinostat, a novel histone deacetylase inhibitor, discussed in detail below, has also been approved recently and would also be considered to be in the category of the biological response modifiers, based on its known mechanism of action so far and its potential ability to synergize with radiation or phototherapy.

Denileukin difitox (Ontak®), a fusion protein composed of IL-2 and diphtheria toxin, is an example of a biologic response modifier as well as one of the newer targeted therapies developed for CTCL. It received FDA approval for cutaneous manifestations of CTCL of all stages based on a randomized two dose arm controlled multicenter trial showing a response rate of 30% (Olsen et al 2001). Patients with tumors (IIB) had significantly better responses at the higher dose of 18 µg/kg/day given for 5 days, every 3 weeks and it is a non-immunosuppressive alternative to chemotherapy for debulking MF tumors. Complete responses were seen in 10% of the patients enrolled (Olsen et al 2001). Capillary leak syndrome is seen in 20%–30% of patients but may be decreased with hydration and acute fusion reactions are blocked by steroid pre-medication. Bexarotene and steroids may increase CD25 expression on T-cells as measured by flow and could suggest synergism (Foss et al 2005). Our study suggested that high expression of CD25+ in >20% of the tumor cells was associated with higher response rates 60% compared to 20% of the low expressing patient and 30% in the pivotal trial. Additional studies have supported the use of this agent for other lymphomas, chronic lymphocytic leukemia, graft versus host disease, psoriasis, and elimination of tumor suppressor T-regs.

Generalized exfoliative erythroderma (EE) may be induced by S. aureus (Deeths et al 2005). MF and SS can present with EE, and the diagnosis of SS depends on having blood involvement fitting the B2 criteria (Atypical circulating lymphs >1000/µL or presence of a clone of CD4+ 26 or 7-cells). Extracorporeal photopheresis was approved in 1987 for the treatment of CTCL patients and significant responses were seen in erythrodermic patients (Lim et al 1995). Photopheresis is usually combined with biological response modifiers, especially interferon and retinoids, for responses approaching 60%–70% (McGinnis et al 2005). Patients with refractory or transformed MF and SS who do not respond to immune stimulating therapy have a poor prognosis and further therapy is usually palliative. There are several active targeted agents used in this setting which include nucleoside analogues (pentostatin, and the experimental agent forodesine), and monoclonal antibody to CD52 Campath H1.

Patients with transformed MF, tumors, or nodal disease may respond to local radiation, denileukin difitox, nucleoside analogues (gemcitabine, pentostatin), doxil, or combination chemotherapy. Various chemotherapy combinations, while effective for a limited time, can also induce further immunosuppression leading to line induced sepsis or other opportunistic infections. There is a definite need for new therapies to treat patients. The recent FDA-approved HDAC inhibitor, vorinostat, represents a new strategy for targeted therapy of CTCL (Marks et al 2007). As shown in two Phase II trials it has efficacy in patients who have failed prior therapies. This new agent is a biological response modifier but can work when chemotherapy has failed and has the advantage of being orally administered (Duvic et al 2007). Vorinostat is the first HDAC-inhibitor to be approved for a malignancy indication.

**Classification of the HDAC and HDAC inhibitors (HDAC-I)**

HDAC inhibitors (HDAC-I) are a new class of antineoplastic agents and are currently being evaluated in a number of clinical trials for a wide variety of cancer types, as monotherapy and in combination with other effective modalities. Normal cells have a balance between acetylation and deacetylation of histones mediated through the activity of histone acetyl-transferases (HATs) and histone deacetylases (HDACs) (de Ruijter et al 2003; Gregoretti et al 2004). HDACs remove acetyl groups on histones causing chromatin to be compacted resulting in silencing of gene transcription. Cancer cells tend to have over-expression of HDACs and aberrant recruitment HDACs to
oncogenic transcription factors causing hypoacetylation of core nucleosome histones. Cancer cells may also have reduced HAT activity through mutations resulting in silencing of genes such as tumor suppressors. HDAC-inhibitors are small molecules that bind to the active enzymatic sites in class I and II zinc containing HDAC enzymes. Depression of gene transcription by HDAC-I that block removal of acetyl-groups permits DNA transcription to occur. Although these enzymes are called “histone” acetylases and deacetylases, they actually have broad enzymatic effects on many other proteins, and predate histones in evolution (de Ruijter et al 2003; Gregoretti et al 2004). Many genes are suppressed as upregulated in expression by HDAC inhibitors.

As shown in Table 1, there are four known classes of HDAC- inhibitors based on their chemical structures and the prototypes are sodium n-butyrate, a short chain fatty acid; vorinostat (SAHA) an organic hydroxamic acid; depsipeptide (romidepsin), a bicyclic depsipeptide; and MS-275, a benzamide. HDAC-I prevent histone deacetylases from catalyzing the hydrolysis of acetyl groups from the amino-terminal lysine residues of the nucleosomal core histones (Figure 1). Although HDAC-inhibitors can affect specific HDAC enzymes, vorinostat has broad class I and II activity (Gregoretti et al 2004).

Eighteen HDAC enzymes have been described to date. They are divided into three families based on homology to yeast HDAC proteins. HDACs 1, 2, 3, 8 are class I (between 350 and 500 amino acids in length) (de Ruijter et al 2003; Gregoretti et al 2004), localized in the nucleus and are inhibited by vorinostat (SAHA) and romidepsin. HDACs 4–7, 9–11 are class II (about 1000 amino acids in length), found in cytoplasm and nucleus, inhibited by vorinostat (SAHA) but not romidepsin. HDAC 11 contains conserved residues that are shared by both class I and class II enzymes and thus is usually classified as a class I enzyme. Recent phylogenetic studies indicate that it represents a separate class of HDACs (class IV) (Gregoretti et al 2004; Ledent and Vervoort 2006). Class III HDACs are homologous to the yeast “silencing information regulator 2” (Sir2) family of deacetylases and have a unique catalytic mechanism that requires the cofactor nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD+) (Blander and Guarente 2004). Vorinostat is a non-specific agent inhibiting the activity of class I (types 1, 2, 3), II (type 6) and IV (type 11) HDAC enzymes, specifically (Gregoretti et al 2004). Romidepsin preferentially inhibits only class I enzymes, but is also classified as a broad spectrum inhibitor because it does inhibit Class II enzymes, at higher concentrations (Furumai et al 2002).

**HDAC-inhibitors – mechanisms of action**

Acetylation of histones proteins on lysine tails prevents close interactions with the DNA backbone and promotes a loosening of chromatin structure. This permits access of transcription factors to specific promoter regions increasing transcription of genes. Although HDAC-I only influence 1%–2% of genes, genes controlling differentiation, cell proliferation, and apoptotic cell death are affected. HDAC inhibitors induce the cdk kinase inhibitor (p21) causing cell cycle arrest leading to death. HDAC inhibitors target critical cell-cycle regulatory pathways and may induce the expression of various silenced tumor-suppressor genes critical in controlling cancer cell growth (Lindemann et al 2004). HDAC inhibitors trigger both caspase-dependent and caspase-independent apoptosis and may be as much as 1000-fold more toxic to malignant T-cells as to nonmalignant cells (Zhang et al 2005). The precise pathway that leads to cellular differentiation or apoptosis is not well understood. It has also been hypothesized that HDAC inhibitors may work as anti-angiogenesis agents though decreasing VEGF (Heider et al 2006).

The rationale for clinical use of HDAC inhibitors for cancer is based on their anti-proliferative effects: induction of differentiation, cell cycle growth arrest, and apoptosis, demonstrated for numerous cancer cell-lines in culture and in vivo mouse models (Lindemann et al 2004). HDAC-I induces the accumulation of acetylated histones and changes gene expression (Lindemann et al 2004; Kelly et al 2005; Kelly and Marks 2005; O’Connor 2005; Duvic and Zhang 2006). However, histone acetylation is seen in all patients’s cells and is not correlated with clinical response at least in CTCL patients (Zhang et al 2005; Heider et al 2006; Kelly et al 2005; Duvic and Zhang 2006). However, histone acetylation is seen in all patients’s cells and is not correlated with clinical response at least in CTCL patients (Zhang et al 2005; Heider et al 2006; Kelly et al 2005; Duvic and Zhang 2006). HDAC inhibitors have also been shown to block deacetylation of non-histone substrates, i.e., transcriptional factors such as p53, transduction mediators, and molecular chaperones all of which may also be important.

---

**Table 1 Structure of HDAC-inhibitors**

| Short chain fatty acids | Butyrate, phenylbutyrate, valproic acid AN-9 |
|------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| Hydroxamic acids        | Trichostatin A, vorinostat (SAHA)*, pyroxamide, LBH-589*, PXD-101*, LAQ-824 |
| Cyclic                 | Romidepsin* (depsipeptide) |
| Benzamides             | MS-275 |

*Activity in CTCL
In the anti-cancer effects (Butler et al 2000, 2002; Lindemann et al 2004; Kelly and Marks 2005; O’Connor 2005).

In addition to the potential use of HDAC inhibitor monotherapy for cancer, they may also be combined eventually and more effectively with other conventional chemotherapeutics and/or biological agents (Cohen et al 1999, 2002; He et al 2001; Reddy et al 2004). HDAC inhibitors have already been shown to be additive or synergistic with anthracyclines (Marchion et al 2004), tumor necrosis factor-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL) (Butler et al 2006), and all-trans-retinoic acid (Zhang et al 2005). They are efficient radiation modifying agents and therefore, have been proposed as possible clinical radiation sensitizers or protectors (Moradei et al 2005).

Vorinostat and romidepsin have shown in vitro and in vivo cytotoxic activity against various tumors which made them attractive to bring into clinical trials (Gore and Carducci 2000). In Phase I and II clinical trials, these HDAC-I were active in mycosis fungoides and peripheral T cell lymphoma patients (Querfeld et al 2005). They received orphan drug designation from the FDA for the treatment of advanced CTCL. Based the similar results in two Phase II trials, vorinostat was approved for the cutaneous manifestations of CTCL in October 2006.

**Vorinostat: “SAHA”**

Vorinostat (Zolinza™; Merck, Whitehouse Station, NJ, USA), was previously known as suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid or SAHA. The drug is an orally bioavailable inhibitor of Class I and II HDACs (Gregoretti et al 2004). It is described chemically as N-hydroxy-N'-phenyloctanediamide, a small molecule with the molecular formula C_{14}H_{20}N_{2}O_{3} (shown in Figure 2) and a molecular weight of 264.32. It has no chiral centers and is non-hygroscopic. It is very slightly soluble in water and freely soluble in dimethyl sulfoxide with a pH of 6.6 in saturated water. The discovery and pre-clinical development of vorinostat was a collaboration between Breslow, Professor of Chemistry at Columbia, and Marks, Rifkind and Richon, Cell Biology at Sloan-Kettering and Phase I Clinical Trials at Memorial Sloan Kettering with Kelly Scher, and colleagues (Marks and Breslow 2007). Subsequently, Phase I clinical trials were conducted by Aton Pharmaceuticals prior to its being acquired by Merck in April 2004. Vorinostat comes in 100 mg capsules.

**Preclinical studies**

In preclinical studies, vorinostat was shown to cause accumulation of acetylated histones, induce cell-cycle arrest and apoptosis in a broad range of cancer cell lines, including Sezary cells (Zhang et al 2005). Vorinostat also showed antitumor activity in leukemia, lymphoma, and solid-tumor models in vivo (Vrana et al 1999; Kelly et al 2003, 2005; Garcia-Manero et al 2005; Sakajiri et al 2005; Zhang et al 2005; O’Connor et al 2006).

Marks et al first demonstrated the efficacy of SAHA (vorinostat) in vivo in nude mice bearing a human prostate...
cancer cell (CWR22) xenograft (Marks et al 2001; Marks and Dokmanovic 2005; Marks and Jiang 2005). The intraperitoneal administration of vorinostat daily for 21 days completely inhibited tumor cell growth at doses that had no detectable toxic effects on normal cells as determined by hematological studies and extensive autopsy examination (Butler et al 2000). Subsequently, orally or parenterally administered vorinostat was shown effective in inhibiting tumor growth in a carcinogen-induced mammary tumor in rats, a human neuroblastoma xenograft in mice, a transgenic mouse model of therapy-resistant acute promyelocytic leukemia, and a carcinogen-induced lung cancer in mice, all with little or no toxicity (Desai et al 2003).

**Mechanism of vorinostat in CTCL cells**

Vorinostat inhibits HDACs of class I (types 1, 2, 3) and II (type 6) at about 50 nM concentrations (Richon et al 1998). It arrests cell growth of a wide variety of transformed cells in culture at 2.5–5.0 µM, including Sezary and MF cell lines (Moradei et al 2005; Zhang et al 2005). Class I HDACs are primarily nuclear in localization and ubiquitous. Class II HDAC expression is more tissue restricted; these HDACs shuttle between the nucleus and the cytoplasm, and some are primarily cytoplasmic proteins. At concentrations inducing growth arrest of both normal and transformed cultured cells, vorinostat causes cell death of only the transformed cells (including neuroblastoma, melanoma, leukemia, multiple myeloma and breast, prostate, lung, ovary, colon) suggesting selective and broad anti-cancer effects. As shown in Figure 3, by inhibiting HDAC activity, vorinostat facilitates transcription of genes governing growth arrest, caspase-dependent apoptotic cell death and/or caspase-independent autophagic cell death of transformed cells (Richon et al 2000; Rosato et al 2003; Gui et al 2004; Guo et al 2004; Lindemann et al 2004; Shao et al 2004; Zhang et al 2005). Normal cells are up to tenfold more resistant to vorinostat-induced cell death compared with transformed cells (Marks and Jiang 2005; Ungerstedt et al 2005; Zhang et al 2005). Vorinostat causes the accumulation of acetylated histones in both normal and transformed cells, and histone acetylation, as measured in an assay using peripheral mononuclear cells, has proved to be a predictive marker for HDAC inhibitory activity of the drug in vivo (Kelly and Marks 2005).

Zhang et al showed that vorinostat at 1–5 µM selectively causes apoptosis of CTCL cell lines and SS/MF patients’ PBL compared to healthy donors’ PBL (Zhang et al 2005). This difference in sensitivity to vorinostat-induced apoptosis appears not to be caused by a difference in the ability to inhibit HDAC activity because accumulation of acetylated histones occurs in both tumor and normal cells (Kelly et al

---

**Figure 3** Proposed mechanism of reaction.

- **TF**: Transcription Factor
- **HDAC**: Histone Deacetylase
- **SAHA**: Suberoylanilide Hydroxamic Acid
- **Ac**: Acetyl Group
- **subset of promoters**: Subset of genes targeted by HDAC inhibitors
- **Genes for Cell cycle arrest, differentiation, apoptosis**
- **Anti-tumor Activity**
escalation study of intravenous vorinostat given by 2 hour infusion in 37 patients with advanced cancer (Kelly et al 2003). The starting dose of 75 mg/m^2/day was escalated to 900 mg/m^2/day with no dose limiting toxicities (He et al 2001). In part B of the trial, vorinostat was administered for 3 days every 21 days for solid tumors patients (n = 7) and 5 days per week for 1–3 weeks for those patients with hematological malignancies (n = 12). The maximum tolerated dose in hematologic malignancies was 300 mg/m^2/day × 5 days per week for 3 weeks. Median duration of response was 6.4 weeks (range, 1.6–40 weeks). The half-life was 21–58 minutes. There was dose related increase in the AUC, and the plasma concentration exceeded 2.5 µM at all dose levels (Kelly 2003). Acetylated H3 histones accumulated in peripheral blood mononuclear cells and in tumor biopsies at all doses. The duration of acetylation increased with dose escalation. The drug was generally well tolerated with some fatigue and gastrointestinal symptoms noted.

In 73 patients with hematologic or solid tumors treated with oral vorinostat in Phase I (Kelly et al 2005), the maximum tolerated dose was defined as 400 mg daily, or 200 mg BID for continuous daily dosing, or 300 mg BID for 3 consecutive days per week. Dose limiting thrombocytopenia was reported in 87% of the patients with hematologic malignancies compared to only 44% of the patients with solid-tumors, and was more severe in the former group (Kelly et al 2005). There was anti-tumor activity in lymphomas and in patients with solid tumors, including thyroid, renal cell, mesothelioma, laryngeal, and urothelial carcinomas.

Thirty-five patients with hematologic malignancies were treated in a Phase I trial with either intravenous (n = 12) or oral vorinostat (n = 23) at continuous doses ranging from 200 mg/daily to 300 mg/twice daily (O’Connor et al 2006). Oral vorinostat showed good oral availability and favorable pharmacokinetics. Of interest, the IV formulation gave a four fold higher C_max while oral administration produced significantly higher (22 fold) AUC values. Vorinostat was active in different hematologic malignancies: Hodgkin disease, diffuse large B-cell lymphomas, and 3 patients with CTCL. One MF patient experienced a >=4 month partial response. Dose limiting toxicities observed were nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, anorexia, dehydration, fatigue, and myelosuppression. Patients with hematologic malignancies appeared to be more sensitive to thrombocytopenia and myelosuppressive effects (thrombocytopenia, neutropenia, and leukopenia) (O’Connor et al 2006). Thrombocytopenia with vorinostat was accompanied by hypolobular and decreased numbers of megakaryocytes, suggesting an impairment in differentiation, and recovery was observed in 3–7 days.
Dose ranging Phase II trial of oral vorinostat

In light of the favorable preclinical studies and the response of CTCL patients to oral vorinostat in Phase I, a single center, non-randomized, Phase II dose ranging trial was initiated. The purpose of the trial was to determine response rate and duration, safety, and tolerability of oral vorinostat in heavily pretreated patients with refractory CTCL (Duvic et al 2007). Thirty-three patients with refractory or relapsed CTCL (stages IA-IIB) unresponsive to at least one conventional systemic therapy were enrolled into one of three dosing cohorts (Duvic et al 2007). Four patients received treatment in 2 cohorts but were considered only once for efficacy.

The patients were highly pre-treated with a median of 5 prior therapies (range 1–15). Twenty-nine (83%) had advanced stage MF (>IIB) and one third had Sézary Syndrome, defined as erythroderma with blood involvement. Twenty-nine of the 33 patients had received prior chemotherapy, 22 had received bexarotene, and 14 had received denileukin diftitox (Table 2). Patients were treated sequentially with one of three oral dosing schedules and the demographics were similar among the three cohorts (Table 3).

The first cohort received 400 mg daily, the second received 300 mg twice daily (for 3 days with 4 days’ rest), and the third received 300 mg twice daily for 14 days, with 7 days off followed by 200 mg twice daily for maintenance. The MF stages and response for each cohort as well as the dose modification schedule are shown in Table 4.

The primary efficacy end point of the study was the complete and partial response rate (CR, PR). However, the study also evaluated the time to response, time to progressive disease, response duration, pruritus relief, and safety. The response to therapy was categorized according to the World Health Organization criteria (Miller et al 1981) as complete response (CR), partial response (PR), stable disease (SD), or progressive disease (PD). The skin involvement was calculated as body surface area (BSA) involvement with patch, plaque, or tumor disease.

Considering unique patients for an intent-to-treat analysis, 8 out of 33 or 24% of the patients achieved a documented PR defined as a 50% reduction in skin score and no increase in other disease sites. There were no complete responses. An additional 11 patients had pruritus relief, stable disease, or both such that 19 (58%) of 33 study patients received clinical benefit from the drug. Responses to vorinostat were observed in a broad spectrum of the study population, including a patient with early IA refractory MF, one patient with advanced tumors with histologic large-cell transformation, and patients with nodal and/or blood involvement (Table 5). One elderly patient with large cell lymphoma tumors had very fast response in his existing tumors, but new tumors formed while he was on therapy (Figure 4). There was no difference in response rate in patients who had received prior bexarotene or not.

Secondary objectives were to determine the duration of response and to evaluate the safety and tolerability of vorinostat in these patients. The median duration of response overall and in patients with stage IIB or higher CTCL was 15.1 weeks (106 days) and ranged from 9.4 to 19.4 weeks (66–136 days). The median duration of response was numerically lowest in group 2 who received intermittent dosing

---

**Table 2 Vorinostat in CTCL: Patient demographics**

| Demographic          | No. of patients | %  |
|----------------------|-----------------|----|
| No. of patients      | 33              |    |
| Sex                  |                 |    |
| Male                 | 18              | 55 |
| Female               | 15              | 45 |
| Median age, years    | 67              |    |
| Performance status   |                 |    |
| Stage IA             | 1               | 3  |
| Stage IB             | 2               | 6  |
| Stage IIA            | 1               | 3  |
| Stage ≥IIB           | 29              | 88 |
| Prior therapy        |                 |    |
| Chemotherapy         | 29              | 88 |
| Ontak                | 14              | 42 |
| Bexarotene           | 22              | 67 |
| Failed ECP+          | 15              | 45 |

*Phase 2A
Abbreviation: ECP, extracorporeal photopheresis.
Vorinostat and cutaneous T-cell lymphoma

The most common major toxicities that were possibly or probably related to oral vorinostat therapy were fatigue and gastrointestinal symptoms, including diarrhea, altered taste, nausea, and dehydration from not eating/drinking (Table 6). Thrombocytopenia was dose limiting in cohort 3 following the higher dose of 300 mg twice daily for 14 days. Overall, the daily dose of 400 mg vorinostat orally provided the most favorable risk-benefit profile and dose of 400 mg daily was selected for evaluation in a second Phase IIb multi-center trial (Duvic et al 2007; Olsen et al 2007).

Phase II B multi-center single arm trial of oral vorinostat in CTCL patients

Phase IIb was conducted as an open-label, multi-center trial of oral vorinostat at 400mg daily in patients with IB-IV A MF/SS (Olsen et al 2007). Two dose modifications were allowed: 300 mg daily or 300 mg daily for 5 days per week. Safety assessments were done with NCI Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events v3.0. Patients were eligible with MF/SS Stage IB to IVB that was progressive, persistent, or recurrent on or following more than 2 prior systemic therapies. One must have contained bexarotene unless the patient was intolerant. Patients with ECOG performance status of 0–2, adequate hematologic, hepatic, and renal function were included. Patients with prior use of HDAC inhibitor or anticancer treatment within 3 weeks of study entry were excluded. Table 7 demonstrates baseline CTCL stage prior to dosing.

Approximately 30% of patients with treatment refractory advanced MF/SS > Stage IIB had at least a partial response and one patient with tumors had a complete long lasting response (Figures 4 and 5). The median time to response was less than 2 months. Clinically long lasting responses were observed and 15 patients stayed on the drug for over a year. Median time to progression in all patients was 4.9 months. Vorinostat provided pruritus relief in 30% of patients including 25% of those who did not meet the criteria for objective cutaneous response.

The 400 mg dose was well tolerated since less than 15% of patients (n=10) required dose reduction. Only 11% of patients had related serious adverse events: thrombosis, anemia, thrombocytopenia, increased creatinine, gastrointestinal hemorrhage, ischemic stroke, syncope, and streptococcal bacteremia. The most common drug related adverse events were gastrointestinal symptoms (diarrhea (49%), nausea (43%), anorexia (26%), dysgeusia, dry mouth, vomiting, constipation, anorexia), or fatigue (46%), thrombocytopenia, weight decrease, alopecia, muscle spasms, increase in creatinine, anemia, and chills. Most adverse events were grade 2 or less. Grade 3 events included fatigue (5%), pulmonary embolism (5%), thrombocytopenia (5%) and nausea (4%). There were three deaths in the study, including one sudden death on day 2 (Olsen et al 2007). Based on the Phase I and II studies,

Table 4 Oral vorinostat dosing and dose modification schedule

| Treatment group | Dosing schedule | First dose reduction | Second dose reduction |
|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| 1               | 400 mg every day* | 350 mg every day     | 300 mg every day     |
| 2               | 300 mg twice daily × 3 d per wk × 4 wk, then 5 d every wk | 250 mg × 3 d/wk       | 200 mg twice daily   |
| 3               | Ind: 300 mg twice daily × 14 d with 7 d rest; mtnc; 200 mg twice daily | 200 mg twice daily | 200 mg twice daily × 5 d/wk |

Abbreviation: Ind, indicates induction; mtnc, maintenance.

*Initial 3 patients treated at 250 mg/m²/day

Table 5 Vorinostat in CTCL: Response rates

|                  | 400 mg qd (n = 13) | 300 mg bid × 3–5 d/wk (n = 11) | 300 mg bid 400 mg qd (n = 9) |
|------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Partial response | 4 (31%)            | 1 (9%)                         | 3 (33%)                    |
| marked (patients)| 2                  | 1                              | 3                          |
| Median PR duration (range/weeks) | 15 (8–24) | 16 (8–24) | 13 (3–21) |
| Mean time to PR (weeks) | 11              | 4                              | 11                         |
| LN regression at 4 wks (patients) | 7 of 9          | 3 of 8                         | 5 of 9                     |
| >50%↓ pruritus (patients) | 9 of 11          | 8 of 12                        | 4 of 8                     |

*Phase 2A

Abbreviations: LN, lymph node; PR, partial response.
on October 6, 2006, the FDA approved a New Drug Application for vorinostat for the treatment of cutaneous manifestations of CTCL in patients who have progressive, persistent, or recurrent disease on or following two prior systemic treatments.

Pharmacokinetics and caveats
Vorinostat is 71% bound to plasma proteins over a range of 0.5 to 50 µg/mL (Kelly and Marks 2005). It is metabolized by glucuronidation and hydrolysis followed by β-oxidation. The two serum metabolites are not active.

Table 6 Zolinza™ (vorinostat) clinical or laboratory adverse events occurring in CTCL patients (incidence ≥10% of patients)

| Adverse events               | Zolinza 400-mg once daily (n = 86) | All grades | Grades 3–5* |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------|-------------|
|                              | n | %   | n  | %           |
| Fatigue                      | 45 | 52.3 | 3 | 3.5         |
| Diarrhea                     | 45 | 52.3 | 0 | 0.0         |
| Nausea                       | 35 | 40.7 | 3 | 3.5         |
| Dysgeusia                    | 24 | 27.9 | 0 | 0.0         |
| Thrombocytopenia             | 22 | 25.6 | 5 | 5.8         |
| Anorexia                     | 21 | 24.4 | 2 | 2.3         |
| Weight decreased             | 18 | 20.9 | 1 | 1.2         |
| Muscle spasms                | 17 | 19.8 | 2 | 2.3         |
| Alopecia                     | 16 | 18.6 | 0 | 0.0         |
| Dry mouth                    | 14 | 16.3 | 0 | 0.0         |
| Blood creatinine increased   | 14 | 16.3 | 0 | 0.0         |
| Chills                       | 14 | 16.3 | 1 | 1.2         |
| Vomiting                     | 13 | 15.1 | 1 | 1.2         |
| Constipation                 | 13 | 15.1 | 0 | 0.0         |
| Dizziness                    | 13 | 15.1 | 1 | 1.2         |
| Anemia                       | 12 | 14.0 | 2 | 2.3         |
| Decreased appetite           | 12 | 14.0 | 1 | 1.2         |
| Peripheral edema             | 11 | 12.8 | 0 | 0.0         |
| Headache                     | 10 | 11.6 | 0 | 0.0         |
| Pruritus                     | 10 | 11.6 | 1 | 1.2         |
| Cough                        | 9  | 10.5 | 0 | 0.0         |
| Upper respiratory infection  | 9  | 10.5 | 0 | 0.0         |
| Pyrexia                      | 9  | 10.5 | 1 | 1.2         |

*No grade 5 events were reported.  
Table summarizes the frequency of specific adverse events, regardless of causality, in CTCL patients using the National Cancer Institute-Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events, version 3.0 (Olsen et al 2001; Foss et al 2005).
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and are excreted through metabolism. The mean half-life is about 2.0 hours for vorinostat and the O-glucuronide metabolite. It is not an inhibitor of CYP drug metabolizing enzymes but there is potential for suppression of CYP2C9 and CYP3A at concentrations above 10 µM (Desai et al 2003).

Lab abnormalities seen in patients taking 400 mg in the clinical trials included increased serum glucose in 69%, transient increases in creatinine levels in 46.5%, and proteinuria in 51.4%.

Vorinostat should not be taken by nursing or pregnant women as it could harm the fetus. It was not studied in patients with hepatic or renal impairment. Patients under age 18 were not included in the trials. Patients on vorinostat should be encouraged to maintain adequate hydration to compensate for the GI symptoms.

### Other HDAC inhibitors currently in clinical trials for CTCL (Table 8)

**Romidepsin (depsipeptide)**

Depsipeptide, (E)-(1S,4S,10S,21R)-7-[(Z)-ethylidene]-4,21-dioisopropyl-2-oxa-12,13-dithia-5,8,20,23-tetraaza bicyclo[8.7.6]tricos-16-ene-3,6,9,19,22-pentanone, is a bicyclic pentapeptide isolated from the soil bacterium *Chromobacterium violaceum*. Romidepsin or depsipeptide (FK228) (Gloucester Pharmaceuticals) is a class I novel HDAC inhibitor currently in Phase II clinical trials and demonstrates clinical activity in patients. Depsipeptide has been shown to induce differentiation, cause G1 and G2/M cell cycle arrest and apoptosis, increase expression of p21 and cyclin E, decrease expression of cyclin D1 and c-myc, and cause hypophosphorylation of Rb (Sandor et al 2000; Picardo et al 2004; Piekarz et al 2004; Piekarz and Bates 2004).

In a Phase I trial of intravenous depsipeptide conducted at the National Cancer Institute, 3 patients with cutaneous T-cell lymphoma had a partial response, and 1 patient with peripheral T-cell lymphoma, unspecified, had a complete response. Sézary cells isolated from patients after treatment had increased histone acetylation (Piekarz et al 2001). Clinically, following treatment with depsipeptide, there was a rapid decrease of circulating Sézary cells and improvement of skin erythema and edema.

To date, over 400 cancer patients have received depsipeptide in Phase I and II studies. Ongoing clinical investigations include Phase II studies including a pivotal trial in cutaneous

| Table 7 Zolinza™ (vorinostat) Study 1: Baseline patient characteristics (all patients as treated) |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| **Characteristics** | **Vorinostat (n = 74)** |
| **CTCL stage, n (%)** |  |
| IIB | 19 (25.7%) |
| II | 2 (2.7%) |
| III | 22 (29.7%) |
| IVA | 16 (21.6%) |
| IVB | 4 (5.4%) |
| **Clinical Characteristics** |  |
| Number of prior systemic treatments, median (range) | 3.0 (1.0, 12.0) |

**Figure 5** Vorinostat in CTCL: stage IVA Sézary and keratoderma partial response (**Phase 2A**).
T-cell lymphoma and another in peripheral T-cell lymphomas. Common adverse events are myelotoxicity, asthenia, nausea, vomiting, and cardiac repolarization effects and occasional cardiac dysrhythmias including QTc prolongation. In cutaneous T-cell lymphoma patients, an objective response was observed in 10 of 28 evaluable patients, including 3 patients with complete response (CR) and 7 with partial response (PR), for an overall response rate of 36%.

**PXD-101 or bellinostat**

PXD-101 (Curagen) is a low molecular weight HDAC inhibitor with a sulfonamide-hydroxamide structure. A Phase I open label, dose escalation, safety, PK, and PD study of intravenous PXD101 was conducted in patients with advanced cancer. Sequential dose cohorts of 3–6 patients were examined (150, 300, 600, 900, and 1200 mg/m²). An expanded cohort at 1000 mg/m² was recruited for IV and oral testing. The main drug-related adverse events were fatigue, nausea, vomiting (infusion-related) and phlebitis. Other adverse events included headache, diarrhea, constipation, and dyspnea. No hematological toxicity was identified. Dose limiting toxicities of Grade 3 fatigue at 600 mg/m², atrial fibrillation (spontaneously reversible) at 1200 mg/m², Grade 3 diarrhea and lethargy prevented completion of a cycle at 1200 mg/m². The presumptive maximum tolerated dose was 1000 mg/m². Four patients had stable disease for 2, 3, 4, and 5 cycles respectively. Preliminary data with oral dosing showed good tolerability. Pharmacodynamic analysis showed histone H4 hyperacetylation in peripheral blood mononuclear cells following PXD-101 intravenous administration lasting from 6–24 hours post-dose (Steele and Vidal 2005).

**LBH 589**

LBH-589 (Novartis) is a novel HDAC Inhibitor, similar to SAHA, but with a longer half-life. There is an ongoing Phase I trial of CTCL patients with advanced stage or who had progressed following prior systemic therapy evaluating oral LBH-589 every Monday, Wednesday, and Friday at either 30 mg or 20 mg in a 28-days treatment cycle (Prince et al 2006). The 30 mg dose was dose limiting. Oral LBH589 was continued until progressive disease (PD) or unacceptable toxicity. Of 10 patients enrolled to date, one was enrolled in the 30 mg cohort and nine in the 20 mg cohort. Two patients attained CRs, 4 had a PR, and 3 had SD before progressing, and one had PD. Therefore, responses were seen in 6 out of 10 patients treated with oral LBH (Prince et al 2006) in the Phase I study. A Phase II clinical trial will evaluate the oral formulation in this disease indication.

**Conclusion**

Cancer may arise through multiple defects in the expression and/or function of proteins controlling cell proliferation, death, and migration. T-cell malignancies remain challenging to treat due to the essential role of T-cells for host immune function.

Histone deacetylase inhibitors, including vorinostat, have the potential to modulate the transcription of multiple genes and pathways, yet are selective and efficacious as anti-cancer agents. Acetylation of histones, tumor suppressors, and transcription factors are thought to underlie the ability of vorinostat and other HDAC-inhibitors to selectively induce tumor cell apoptosis and growth arrest (Bolden et al 2006). HDAC-I should probably be classified as biological response modifiers, along with retinoids and interferons. With different specificity assigned to each of the 18 HDACs, the potential effects of HDAC-I are as yet largely unknown.

Based on preliminary responses of CTCL patients in Phase I trials, we performed a dose ranging study of
oral vorinostat in heavily pretreated CTCL patients and observed the most dramatic responses in patients with large cell transformation and Sézary syndrome. This clinical observation is supported by the selectivity of vorinostat for malignant cells in vitro. Oral vorinostat was well tolerated at a dose of 400 mg daily and had a rapid onset of action (Olsen et al 2007). The drug also improved skin, nodal, and blood involvement as well as reducing pruritus. This significantly impacts quality of life in SS and MF patients. The side effects of vorinostat are well tolerated, and quickly reversible. Even in advanced CTCL patients who had limited treatment options, vorinostat was well tolerated, with fatigue and gastrointestinal symptoms being the most common side effects at lower doses. Thrombocytopenia was dose limiting at the highest doses but was reversible.

For the treatment of patients with MF and SS who have failed traditional therapies, vorinostat is an important new therapeutic option with an overall response rate of about 30%. It was active in patients resistant to chemotherapy and has the advantage of being taken orally, avoiding line sepsis that can accompany chemotherapy. Advanced MF patients often have hyper-coagulable states and caution is indicated in patients with a prior history of deep vein thrombosis or patients on warfarin therapy. Low platelets are seen at the highest concentrations but are reversible when the drug is held.

Although EKG changes including ST-T wave changes and QT prolongation were observed, they were not clinically significant. Before starting HDAC-I therapy it is advised to monitor and if necessary correct the K+ and Mg+ ion concentrations. Although there may be a class effect with respect to cardiac toxicity, there may be differences among HDAC-inhibitors.

Vorinostat is indicated for the treatment of cutaneous manifestations in patients with cutaneous T-cell lymphoma (CTCL) who have progressive, persistent or recurrent disease on or following two systemic therapies.

**Future direction of vorinostat**

As of 7 March 2007, according to the National Institutes of Health Clinical Trials.gov website, there are 41 other clinical trials recruiting patients for vorinostat alone or in combination for a wide range of cancer types. Of these trials, 36 are recruiting patients and 5 are approved but not yet recruiting patients. Vorinostat has activity in multiple other solid and hematologic malignancies and is first in its class of HDAC-inhibitor therapy for cancer. HDAC inhibitors may prove even more effective when they are combined with other agents such as retinoids, ie, bexarotene, phototherapy and extracorporeal photophoresis with not-overlapping toxicities (Table 9). Vorinostat in combination studies with other agents such as radiation therapy, epirubicin, and fluorouracil have shown synergistic or additive effects in a variety of cancers (Table 10), so the future is very exciting and there is unlimited potential for novel approaches to be developed (Almenara et al 2002; Nimmanapalli et al 2003; Marchion et al 2004; Ocker et al 2005; Bolden et al 2006; Lakshmi-kanthan et al 2006).

**Disclosures**

MD has received clinical and basic research support from Aton/Merck for conducting the clinical trial. MD was the recipient of salary support from NIH K24CA86815 and participated on a Scientific advisory board for Merck. JV declares no competing financial interest.

**Table 9 Proposed HDAC inhibitor combination strategies in CTCL**

| HDACi* + bexarotene: all stages | HDACi* + ECP + bexarotene: Sézary syndrome | HDACi* + methylation inhibitors | HDACi* + chemotherapy for induction and Tx of advanced disease | Topical HDACi* for early-stage disease |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Vorinostat and/or depsipeptide. |

**Table 10 Vorinostat in combination studies**

| Combination | Cell lines | Activity | Reference |
|---|---|---|---|
| Vorinostat + Radiation | Prostate (LNCaP) | Synergistic | Lakshmikanthan, 2006 |
| Vorinostat + Epirubicin | Breast (MCF-7, SKBr3) | Synergistic | Marchion, 2004 |
| Vorinostat + 5-Fluorouracil + irinotecan | Hepatoma (HepG2, Hep IB) | Additive | Ocker, 2005 |
| Vorinostat + Flavopiridol | Leukemia (U937) | Synergistic | Almenara, 2002 |
| Vorinostat + Imatinib | CML (LAMA-84) | Additive | Nimmanapalli, 2002 |
| Vorinostat + ATRA | APL (NB4) | Additive/Synergistic | He, 2001 |
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