Coupling theory of emergent spin electromagnetic field and electromagnetic field
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In ferromagnetic metals, an effective electromagnetic field which couples to conduction electron spin is induced by the \textit{sd} exchange interaction. We investigate how this effective field, spin electromagnetic field, interacts with the ordinary electromagnetic field by deriving an effective Hamiltonian based on the path-integral formalism. It turns out that the dominant coupling term is the product of the electric field and the spin gauge field. This term describes the spin-transfer effect, as was pointed out before. The electric field couples also to the spin electric field, but this contribution is smaller than the spin-transfer contribution in the low frequency regime. The magnetic field couples to the spin magnetic field, and this interaction suggests an intriguing intrinsic mechanism of frustration in very weak metallic ferromagnets under a uniform magnetic field. We also propose a voltage generation mechanism due to a non-linear effect of non-monochromatic spin wave excitation.

1. Introduction

Spin-transfer torque induced by an applied electric current in ferromagnetic metals is a crucially important effect in spintronics. The idea was first proposed theoretically in the case of a domain wall motion by Berger,\textsuperscript{1)} and by Slonczewski\textsuperscript{2)} and Berger\textsuperscript{3)} in the case of uniform magnetization of thin films. The spin-transfer effect arises from the transfer of spin angular momentum from conduction electrons to localized spins which induce the magnetization. The effect is caused by the \textit{sd} exchange interaction, and angular momentum transfer occurs due to the angular momentum conservation.\textsuperscript{2)}
The interaction Hamiltonian describing the spin-transfer effect is

\[ H_{\text{st}} = \int d^3r \frac{\hbar P}{2e}(1 - \cos \theta)(\mathbf{j} \cdot \nabla)\phi, \]

where \( \theta \) and \( \phi \) are the polar coordinates representing the localized spin direction, \( \mathbf{j} \) denotes the applied electric current density, \( P \) is the spin polarization of the conduction electron and \( e \) is electron charge. The interaction is represented as a gauge coupling to a spin gauge field, \( A_z^s \).

The interaction is thus expressed as

\[ H_{\text{st}} = \int d^3r P \sigma_B (\mathbf{E} \cdot A_z^s), \]

where \( \sigma_B \) is the Boltzmann conductivity. This expression clearly shows that the spin-transfer effect is due to a coupling of two gauge fields, the conventional electromagnetic field of the electric charge and the gauge field acting on the electron spin. The aim of this paper is to study the coupling between the two gauge fields by calculating an effective Hamiltonian. We shall show that the effective Hamiltonian in the case of a slowly-varying magnetization is made up of three contributions, one representing the spin-transfer torque and others describing couplings between the electric and magnetic fields.

In the case of charge electromagnetism coupled to relativistic charged particles, the effective Lagrangian induced by the particle is always written in a relativistically invariant form as \( \sum_{\mu \nu} F_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu} \), where \( F_{\mu \nu} \) is the field strength and \( \mu, \nu \) are indices representing \( x, y, z \) and \( t \). The only terms allowed in the relativistic case are thus either proportional to \( |E|^2 \) or \( |B|^2 \). In ferromagnetic metals, conduction electrons are interacting with the two gauge fields, \( \mathbf{A} \) acting on the charge and \( \mathbf{A}_s \) acting on the spin and the total electric and magnetic fields are \( \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{E}_s \) and \( \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{B}_s \), respectively, where \( \mathbf{E}_s \) and \( \mathbf{B}_s \) are the effective spin electric and spin magnetic fields, respectively. If the system is relativistic, we would thus expect to have interactions in the form of \( \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{E}_s \) and \( \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{B}_s \) arising from \( (\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{E}_s)^2 \) and \( (\mathbf{B} + \mathbf{B}_s)^2 \). In reality, there are other contribution in ferromagnetic metals since the electrons are not relativistic and they have finite lifetime of elastic scattering. We shall demonstrate that a coupling term proportional to \( \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{A}_s^z \) arises as the dominant contribution. This term, derived first in Ref.\(^6\) in fact represents the spin-transfer effect as was discussed there. We also investigate other coupling terms, \( \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{E}_s \) and \( \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{B}_s \).
1.1 Spin electromagnetic field

A effective electromagnetic field arises from the \(sd\) exchange interaction which described by

\[
\mathcal{H}_{sd} = -\Delta_{sd} \int d^3r \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{s}_e,
\]

where \(\Delta_{sd}\) is the exchange energy, \(\mathbf{n}\) is a unit vector representing the direction of localized spin and \(\mathbf{s}_e\) is the direction of the conduction electron spin. When this exchange interaction is strong, the conduction electron spin is aligned parallel to the localized spin direction, and this effect results in a quantum mechanical phase attached to the electron spin when the electron moves. (see Ref.\(^7\)) for details of derivation) The spin part of the electron wave function with the expectation value along \(\mathbf{n}\) is \(|\mathbf{n}\rangle = \cos \frac{\theta}{2} |\uparrow\rangle + \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\phi} |\downarrow\rangle\), where \(\theta, \phi\) are the polar coordinates of \(\mathbf{n}\) and \(|\uparrow\rangle\) and \(|\downarrow\rangle\) denote the spin states.\(^8\)

When the electron hops over a small distance \(dr\) to a nearby site where localized spin is along \(\mathbf{n}'\), the overlap of the wave functions is calculated as \(\langle \mathbf{n}' | \mathbf{n} \rangle \simeq e^{i \frac{\hbar}{2} \mathbf{A}_{xz} \cdot \mathbf{n}' \times \mathbf{n} \cdot dr}\), where

\[
\mathbf{A}_{xz} = \frac{\hbar}{2e} (1 - \cos \theta) \nabla \phi,
\]

and the factor of \(\frac{1}{2}\) is due to the magnitude of electron spin. The field \(\mathbf{A}_{xz}\) is an effective vector potential or an effective gauge field. When the electron’s path is finite, the phase becomes \(\varphi = \frac{e}{\hbar} \int_C d\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{A}_{xz}\). Existence of phase means that there is an effective magnetic field, \(\mathbf{B}_s\), as seen by rewriting the integral over a closed path by use of the Stokes theorem as \(\varphi = \frac{e}{\hbar} \int_S d\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{B}_s\), where \(\mathbf{B}_s = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}_{xz}\). Time-derivative of the phase is equivalent to a voltage, and thus we have an effective electric field defined by \(\dot{\varphi} = -\frac{e}{\hbar} \int_C d\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{E}_s\), where \(\mathbf{E}_s \equiv -\dot{\mathbf{A}}_{xz}\). These two fields satisfy the Faraday’s law, \(\nabla \times \mathbf{E}_s + \mathbf{B}_s = 0\). We therefore have effective electromagnetic fields which couple to conduction electron spin as a result of the \(sd\) exchange interaction. We call the field a spin electromagnetic field.\(^9\)

Using the explicit form of the effective gauge field, Eq. (3), we see that the emergent spin electromagnetic fields are

\[
\mathbf{E}_{s,i} = -\frac{\hbar}{2e} \mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{n} \times \nabla_i \mathbf{n})
\]

\[
\mathbf{B}_{s,i} = \frac{\hbar}{4e} \sum_{jk} \epsilon_{ijk} \mathbf{n} \cdot (\nabla_j \mathbf{n} \times \nabla_k \mathbf{n}).
\]

The magnetic component, \(\mathbf{B}_s\), is the spin Berry’s curvature\(^10\) or scalar chirality. The electric component, \(\mathbf{E}_s\), called spin motive force, is a chirality in the space-time, which arises when localized spin structure, \(\mathbf{n}\), is time-dependent.

The expression, Eq. (1), was derived by Volovik in 1987.\(^11\) Originally, emergence of
the effective electric field, $E_s$, from moving magnetic structures was found in 1986 by Berger, where a voltage generated by canting of a moving domain wall was calculated.\(^1\) Stern discussed the motive force in the context of spin Berry’s phase and the Aharonov-Bohm effect in a ring, and showed similarity to the Faraday’s law.\(^12\) Spin motive force was rederived in Ref.\(^13\) in the case of domain wall motion, and was discussed in the context of topological pumping in Ref.\(^14\) Those works consider only the adiabatic limit, i.e., in the case of strong $sd$ exchange interaction and in the absence of spin-dependent scattering. The idea of spin motive force was recently extended to include the spin-orbit interaction,\(^9,15–20\) and it was shown that spin-orbit interaction modifies the spin electric field. It was also shown that the spin electromagnetic field arises even in the limit of weak $sd$ interaction.\(^21,22\) The case of the Rashba spin-orbit interaction was studied in detail recently. It was shown that the spin electric field in this case emerges even from a uniform precession of magnetization.\(^9,20\) This fact suggests that Rashba interaction at interfaces would be useful in controlling spin-charge conversion. The Rashba-induced spin electric field induces a voltage in the same direction as in the inverse spin Hall and inverse Edelstein effects\(^23,24\) driven by the spin pumping effect.\(^25\) It was also pointed out that the spin electromagnetic fields in the presence of spin relaxation satisfies the Maxwell’s equations with spin magnetic monopoles which are driven dynamically.\(^21\) The coupling between spin magnetic field and helicity of light was theoretically studied in the context of the topological inverse Faraday effect, which is a non-linear effect with respect to the incident electric field.\(^26\)

Experimentally, spin magnetic field (spin Berry’s curvature) has been observed by use of anomalous Hall effect in frustrated ferromagnets.\(^27,28\) The spin electric field has been measured in the motion of various ferromagnetic structures like domain wall,\(^29\) magnetic vortex\(^30\) and skyrmions.\(^31\)

2. Derivation of the effective Hamiltonian

The effective Hamiltonian is calculated in the imaginary-time (denoted by $\tau$) path-integral formalism.\(^32\) In this section, we set $\hbar = 1$. The system we consider is a ferromagnetic metal, where conduction electrons, represented by two-component annihilation and creation fields, $c(r, \tau)$ and $\bar{c}(r, \tau)$, are interacting with localized spins, described by the vector field $n(r, \tau)$, via the $sd$ exchange interaction. The Hamiltonian thus reads

$$H = H_0 + H_{sd} + H_{em},$$

(5)
\[ H_0 = \int d^3r \left( \frac{1}{2m} |\nabla c(r, \tau)|^2 - \mu \bar{c}(r, \tau)c(r, \tau) \right), \]

\[ H_{sd} = -\Delta_{sd} \int d^3r (\nabla \cdot (\bar{c}(r, \tau)\sigma c(r, \tau))), \tag{6} \]

where \( \mu \) is chemical potential, \( m \) is the electron mass, and \( \sigma \) is the vector of Pauli matrices. The term \( H_{em} \) represents the interaction between the conduction electron and the applied electromagnetic field, described by a vector potential \( A \), which reads

\[ H_{em} = -\int d^3r A(r, \tau) \cdot \left( \frac{ie}{2m} \bar{c}(r, \tau) \nabla c(r, \tau) - \frac{e^2}{2m} A(r, \tau)\bar{c}(r, \tau)c(r, \tau) \right), \tag{7} \]

where \( \bar{\nabla} c \equiv \bar{c}(\nabla c) - (\nabla \bar{c})c \) and \(-e\) is the electron charge \((e > 0)\). The system we consider is a film thinner than the penetration depth of electromagnetic field. The Lagrangian of the system is

\[ \mathcal{L} = \int d^3r \bar{c}(r, \tau) \partial_\tau c(r, \tau) + \mathcal{H}, \tag{8} \]

and the effective Hamiltonian describing the localized spin and the gauge field is obtained by carrying out a path-integral over the conduction electrons as \( \mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}}(\theta, \phi, A) \equiv -\ln Z \), where

\[ Z = \int D\bar{c}(r, \tau) Dc(r, \tau)e^{-\int_0^\beta d\tau \mathcal{L}}, \tag{9} \]

is the partition function and \( D \) denotes the path-integral.

We are interested in the case where the \( sd \) exchange interaction is large and thus the conduction electron spin is aligned parallel to the localized spin direction, \( \mathbf{n} \), i.e., the adiabatic limit. To describe this limit, spin gauge field, which characterizes the deviation from the adiabatic limit, is convenient.\(^5\) The spin gauge field is introducing by diagonalizing the \( sd \) interaction by use of a unitary transformation, \( c(r, \tau) = U(r, \tau)a(r, \tau) \), where \( U(r, \tau) \) is a \( 2 \times 2 \) unitary matrix and \( a \) is a new electron field operator. A convenient choice of \( U(r, \tau) \) is \( U(r, \tau) = \mathbf{m}(r, \tau) \cdot \sigma \) with \( \mathbf{m}(r, \tau) = (\sin \frac{\theta}{2} \cos \phi, \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \phi, \cos \frac{\theta}{2}) \), where \( \theta \) and \( \phi \) are polar angles of \( \mathbf{n} \). It is easy to check that \( U^\dagger(\mathbf{n} \cdot \sigma)U = \sigma_z \) is satisfied. Because of this local unitary transformation, derivatives of the electron field become covariant derivatives \( \partial_\mu c = U(\partial_\mu + ie A_{s,\mu})a \), where \( A_{s,\mu} \equiv -\frac{i}{e} U^{-1} \partial_\mu U \) is the gauge field. Since \( U \) is a \( 2 \times 2 \) matrix, the gauge field \( A_{s,\mu} \) is written using of Pauli matrices as \( A_{s,\mu} = \sum_\alpha A_{s,\mu}^\alpha \sigma_\alpha \) (\( \mu = x, y, z, \tau \) is a suffix for space and time and \( \alpha = x, y, z \) is for spin). It is thus an SU(2) gauge field, which we call the spin gauge field. The Lagrangian in the rotated space is thus given by

\[ \mathcal{L} \equiv \mathcal{L}_0 + \mathcal{L}_A, \tag{10} \]
\[ \mathcal{L}_0 \equiv \int d^3r \bar{a} \left( \partial_\tau - \frac{1}{2m} \nabla^2 - \mu - \Delta_{sd}\sigma_z \right) a, \]  
(11)

\[ \mathcal{L}_A \equiv \int d^3r \left[ ie\bar{a}A_{s,\tau}a + \sum_i \left( \sum_\alpha A_{s,i}^\alpha j_{s,i}^\alpha + A_j i \right) + \frac{e^2}{m} \sum_{i,\alpha} A_i A_{s,i}^\alpha a^\sigma a \right. \]

\[ \left. + \frac{e^2}{2m} \sum_i \left( \sum_\alpha (A_{s,i}^\alpha)^2 + (A_i)^2 \right) \bar{a}a \right], \]  
(12)

where \( j_{s,i}^\alpha \equiv -ie\frac{1}{2m} \bar{a} \left( \nabla_i - \hat{\nabla}_i \right) \sigma^\alpha a \) and \( j_i \equiv -ie\frac{1}{2m} \bar{a} \left( \nabla_i - \hat{\nabla}_i \right) a \) are the spin current and charge current, respectively. The electron field \( a \) is strongly spin-polarized due to the \( sd \) exchange interaction (the last term of \( \mathcal{L}_0 \)).

We carry out the path-integral with respect to the electron field and derive the effective Hamiltonian for the two gauge fields, \( A_{s,i}^\alpha \) and \( A_i \), describing the spin and charge gauge field, respectively. The spin gauge field is written using the localized spin direction, \( \theta \) and \( \phi \), and thus the effective Hamiltonian can be regarded as that describing the interaction of localized spin and the charge electromagnetic field.

Up to the second order in the gauge fields, the effective Hamiltonian reads

\[ \mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} = -\int_0^\beta d\tau \int d^3r \left[ 2ieA_{s,\tau}^\text{e} s_e(r, \tau) + \frac{2e^2}{m} \sum_i A_i A_{s,i}^\alpha s_e(r, \tau) \right. \]

\[ \left. + \frac{e^2}{2m} \sum_i \left( \sum_\alpha (A_{s,i}^\alpha)^2 + (A_i)^2 \right) n(r, \tau) \right] + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\beta d\tau \int_0^\beta d\tau' \int d^3r \int d^3r' \sum_{ij} \]

\[ \times \left[ \sum_\alpha A_{s,i}^\alpha A_{s,j}^\alpha \chi_{ij}(r, r', \tau, \tau') + 2 \sum_\alpha A_{s,i}^\alpha A_j \chi_{ij}(r, r', \tau, \tau') + A_i A_j \chi_{ij}(r, r', \tau, \tau') \right], \]  
(13)

where \( s_e(r, \tau) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \langle \bar{a}(r, \tau) \sigma^z a(r, \tau) \rangle \), \( n(r, \tau) \equiv \langle \bar{a}(r, \tau) a(r, \tau) \rangle \), \( \langle \rangle \) denotes the thermal average, and

\[ \chi_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(r, r', \tau, \tau') \equiv \langle j_{s,i}^{\alpha}(r, \tau) j_{s,j}^{\beta}(r', \tau') \rangle \]

\[ \chi_{ij}^{\alpha}(r, r', \tau, \tau') \equiv \langle j_{s,i}^{\alpha}(r, \tau) j_{j}(r', \tau') \rangle \]

\[ \chi_{ij}(r, r', \tau, \tau') \equiv \langle j_{i}(r, \tau) j_{j}(r', \tau') \rangle, \]  
(14)

are the current-current correlation functions. The spin density, \( s_e \), and the electron density, \( n \), are calculated as \( s_e = \frac{1}{2V} \sum_k \sum_{\sigma=\pm} \sigma f(\epsilon_k \sigma) \) and \( n = \frac{1}{V} \sum_k \sum_{\sigma=\pm} f(\epsilon_k \sigma) \), respectively, where \( f(\epsilon_k \sigma) = (e^{\beta \epsilon_k \sigma} + 1)^{-1} \) is Fermi-Dirac distribution function, \( \epsilon_k = \frac{p^2}{2m} - \mu - \sigma \Delta_{sd} \) and \( \sigma = \pm \) is the spin index. The Fourier components of the correlation
functions are

\[ \chi_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(q, i\Omega) = -\frac{e^2}{m^2 \beta V} \sum_{n,k} k_i k_j \text{tr} \left[ \sigma_{\alpha} G_{k-n, \beta} G_{k+q, n+\ell} \right] \]

\[ \chi_{ij}^{\alpha}(q, i\Omega) = -\frac{e^2}{m^2 \beta V} \sum_{n,k} k_i k_j \text{tr} \left[ \sigma_{\alpha} G_{k-n, \beta} G_{k+q, n+\ell} \right] \]

\[ \chi_{ij}(q, i\Omega) = -\frac{e^2}{m^2 \beta V} \sum_{n,k} k_i k_j \left[ G_{k-n, \beta} G_{k+q, n+\ell} \right], \]

where \( G_{k,n} \equiv \left[ i\omega_n - \epsilon_k + \frac{i\pi}{2\tau_e} \text{sgn}(n) \right]^{-1} \) ( \( \text{sgn}(n) = 1 \) and \(-1\) for \( n > 0 \) and \( n < 0 \), respectively ), \( \epsilon_k = \frac{v^2}{2m} - \mu - \Delta_{ed}\sigma \) is electron energy in the matrix representation, \( \tau_e \) is electron’s elastic scattering lifetime and \( tr \) denotes trace over spin space. Fermionic thermal frequency is represented by \( \omega_n \equiv \frac{(2n+1)\pi}{\beta} \) and \( \Omega_\ell \equiv \frac{2\pi\ell}{\beta} \) is a bosonic thermal frequency.

The correlation functions are calculated by rewriting the summation over the thermal frequency by use of contour integral \( (z \equiv i\omega_n) \) as

\[ \chi_{ij}^{\pm}(q, i\Omega) = \frac{e^2}{m^2 V} \sum_k k_i k_j \sum_{\sigma=\pm} \int_C \frac{dz}{2\pi i} f(z) g_{k-q, \sigma}(z) g_{k+q, \sigma}(z+i\Omega_\ell) \]

\[ \chi_{ij}^{+-}(q, i\Omega) = \frac{e^2}{m^2 V} \sum_k k_i k_j \sum_{\sigma=\pm} \int_C \frac{dz}{2\pi i} f(z) g_{k-q, \sigma}(z) g_{k+q, -\sigma}(z+i\Omega_\ell) \]

\[ \chi_{ij}^{\pm}(q, i\Omega) = \frac{e^2}{m^2 V} \sum_k k_i k_j \sum_{\sigma=\pm} \int_C \frac{dz}{2\pi i} \sigma f(z) g_{k-q, \sigma}(z) g_{k+q, \sigma}(z+i\Omega_\ell) \]

\[ \chi_{ij}(q, i\Omega) = \frac{e^2}{m^2 V} \sum_k k_i k_j \sum_{\sigma=\pm} \int_C \frac{dz}{2\pi i} f(z) g_{k-q, \sigma}(z) g_{k+q, \sigma}(z+i\Omega_\ell), \]

where \( C \) is an anti-clockwise contour surrounding the imaginary axis\(^{33,34}\) and \( g_{k,\sigma}(z) \equiv \left[ z - \epsilon_{k\sigma} + \frac{i\pi}{2\tau_e} \text{sgn}(\text{Im} z) \right]^{-1} \).

We expand the correlation functions with respect to the external wave vector and frequency, \( \gamma \) and \( \Omega \), respectively after the analytical continuation to \( \Omega + i0 \equiv i\Omega_\ell.\) \(^{34}\) The result to the second order in \( q \) and \( \Omega \) is

\[ \chi_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(q, \Omega) = \frac{e^2}{m} \left\{ (\delta_{\alpha\beta} - \delta_{\alpha z} \delta_{\beta z}) \delta_{ij} b + \delta_{\alpha z} \delta_{\beta z} [\delta_{ij} n (1 + i\Omega \tau_e - (\Omega \tau_e)^2) + c(q_i q_j - q^2 \delta_{ij})] \right\} \]

\[ \chi_{ij}(q, \Omega) = \frac{e^2}{m} \left[ \delta_{ij} 2s_e (1 + i\Omega \tau_e - (\Omega \tau_e)^2) + d(q_i q_j - q^2 \delta_{ij}) \right] \]

\[ \chi_{ij}(q, \Omega) = \frac{e^2}{m} \left[ (1 + i\Omega \tau_e - (\Omega \tau_e)^2) + c(q_i q_j - q^2 \delta_{ij}) \right], \]

where \( b \equiv \frac{1}{3mV \Delta_{ed}} \sum_k \sum_{\sigma=\pm} \sigma k^2 f(\epsilon_{k\sigma}), \quad c \equiv \frac{1}{12m} \sum_{\sigma=\pm} \nu_{\sigma}, \quad d \equiv \frac{1}{12m} \sum_{\sigma=\pm} \sigma \nu_{\sigma}, \quad n = \)
\[ \sum_{\sigma=\pm} \frac{k_{F\sigma}^2}{3m} s_\sigma = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma=\pm} \sigma \frac{k_{F\sigma}^2}{3m} \nu_\sigma \text{ and } k_{F\sigma} \equiv \sqrt{k_F^2 + 2m\sigma \Delta_{sd}} \text{ and } \nu_\sigma \equiv \frac{m^2}{2\pi^2} \sqrt{\nu_F + \sigma \Delta_{sd}} \]

are spin-dependent Fermi wave vector and density of states per unit volume, respectively. Vertex corrections are irrelevant, since they are proportional to \( \nabla \cdot E \), which vanishes in metals. In this paper we do not consider surface effects played by induced surface charges such as surface plasmons effect. We thus obtain the effective Hamiltonian to the order of \( q^2 \) and \( \Omega^2 \) as

\[ \mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} = - \left\{ 2ieA_\sigma^z s_\sigma + \frac{1}{2m} (n - b) \sum_{i,q,\Omega} A_{s,i}^+ (-q, -\Omega) A_{s,i}^- (q, \Omega) \right. \]

\[ - \frac{e^2}{2m} 2s_\sigma \tau_\sigma \sum_{i,q,\Omega} i\Omega A_{s,i}^z (-q, -\Omega) A_i (q, \Omega) \]

\[ + \frac{e^2}{2m} \tau_\sigma \sum_{i,q,\Omega} \Omega^2 \left[ n (A_{s,i}^z (-q, -\Omega) A_{s,i}^- (q, \Omega) + A_i (-q, -\Omega) A_i (q, \Omega)) + 4s_\sigma A_{s,i}^z (-q, -\Omega) A_i (q, \Omega) \right] \]

\[ - \frac{e^2}{2m} \sum_{ij,q,\Omega} (q_i q_j - q^2 \delta_{ij}) \]

\[ \times \left[ e (A_{s,i}^z (-q, -\Omega) A_{s,j}^z (q, \Omega) + A_i (-q, -\Omega) A_j (q, \Omega)) + d A_{s,i}^z (-q, -\Omega) A_j (q, \Omega) \right] \}

(18)

where \( A_{s,i}^z (q, \Omega) \equiv A_{s,i}^z (q, \Omega) \pm i A_{s,i}^y (q, \Omega) \). The terms second order in charge gauge field describe the electric permittivity and magnetic permeability of the media. We used the fact that \( \int dt A_i \dot{A}_i = 0 \) to drop the term proportional to \( \Omega A_i (-\Omega) A_i (\Omega) \). The terms second order in the spin gauge field contribute to renormalization of the exchange interaction and dissipation as shown in Ref.\(^\text{35}\). In fact, the contribution of the order of \( \Omega^0 \) reduces to

\[ \frac{1}{m} (n - b) \sum_i A_{s,i}^+ A_{s,i}^- = J_{\text{eff}} (\nabla n)^2, \]

(19)

where \( J_{\text{eff}} \equiv \frac{1}{4m} (n - b) \). We treat this renormalization as a term in the original exchange interaction and do not consider it further. The term second order in the spin gauge field and linear in \( \Omega \) represents a dissipation,\(^\text{35}\) but we neglect this effect since we are not interested in the spin dynamics where dissipation plays an important role. Instead we are interested in the coupling between the two gauge fields. The effective Hamiltonian describing the coupling reads

\[ \mathcal{H}_{\text{int}} = \frac{e^2}{m} \int d^3r \left( 2s_\sigma \tau_\sigma E \cdot A_\sigma^z + 2s_\sigma \tau_\sigma E \cdot E_\sigma + \frac{d}{2} B \cdot B_\sigma \right), \]

(20)
where \( \mathbf{E} \equiv -\dot{\mathbf{A}} \) and \( \mathbf{B} \equiv \nabla \times \mathbf{A} \) are electric and magnetic fields, respectively and \( \mathbf{E}_s \equiv -\dot{\mathbf{A}}^z_s \) and \( \mathbf{B}_s \equiv \nabla \times \mathbf{A}^z_s \) are the effective spin electric and magnetic fields, respectively.

3. Discussion

Let us discuss the effect of the coupling terms, Eq. (20). The first term indicates that \( \mathbf{A}^z_s \) is induced when an electric field is applied. In fact, this term is the term describing the spin-transfer torque, as seen by denoting \( 2\sigma^2 e \tau e E = P j \), where \( P \equiv \frac{2\tau}{m} \), \( j = \sigma_B \mathbf{E} \) and \( \sigma_B \equiv \frac{e^2}{m} n \tau e \) is the Boltzmann conductivity. As pointed out in Ref., the effective Hamiltonian method we used thus reproduces easily the spin-transfer effect, which is usually discussed in context of the conservation law of angular momentum.

Although spin gauge field \( \mathbf{A}_s^z \) is related to the spin electric field as \( \mathbf{E}_s = -\dot{\mathbf{A}}_s^z \), generation of \( \mathbf{A}_s^z \) does not always imply generation of a spin electric field. In fact, a direct consequence of the spin-transfer torque is to drive magnetization textures only when the induced magnetization dynamics creates non-coplanarity, spin electric field is induced.

The emergence of the spin electric field thus depends in an essential way on the dynamics of the magnetization.

3.1 \( E_s \) induced by domain wall motion

Let us consider as an example a domain wall. A domain wall favors a non-coplanar motion since its center of mass coordinate, \( X \), and the angle of the wall plane, \( \phi \), are canonical conjugates to each other. When spin-transfer torque due to an electric field is applied, the wall plane starts to tilt and its angle drives the motion of the wall. The spin electric field generated by this wall motion is calculated as follows; We consider a case of uniaxial anisotropy and neglect non-adiabaticity which is represented by \( \beta \) in Ref. A planar domain wall with magnetization changing along \( x \) direction at position \( x = X(t) \) is described by \( \cos \theta = \tanh \frac{x-X}{\lambda} \) and \( \sin \theta = \frac{1}{\cosh \frac{x-X}{\lambda}} \) with a constant \( \phi \). The equations of motion for \( X \) and \( \phi \) are

\[
\dot{X} - \alpha \lambda \dot{\phi} = \frac{a_0^3}{2eS} P j,
\]

\[
\dot{\phi} + \alpha \frac{\dot{X}}{\lambda} = 0,
\]

where \( \lambda \) is the width of the wall, \( \alpha \) is the Gilbert damping parameter, \( a_0 \) is the lattice constant and \( S \) is the magnitude of the localized spin. The solution for Eq. (21) is

\[
\dot{X} = \frac{1}{1+\alpha^2} \frac{a_0^3}{2eS} P j \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{\phi} = -\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha^2} \frac{a_0^3}{2eS} X P j.
\]

The spin electric field, Eq. (4), for a moving domain wall is calculated by use of \( \nabla_x \mathbf{n} = -\frac{\sin \theta}{\lambda} e_\theta \) and \( \dot{\mathbf{n}} = \sin \theta \left( \dot{\phi} e_\phi + \frac{\dot{X}}{\lambda} e_\theta \right) \), where
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The spin electric field then arises along the $x$ direction and the magnitude is

$$E_s = \frac{\hbar}{2e} \frac{1}{\lambda} \phi = \frac{\hbar}{2e} \frac{\alpha}{1 + \alpha^2} \frac{a_0^3 P}{4S\lambda^2} \sigma_B E.$$

Let us estimate the magnitude choosing $\alpha \sim 10^{-2}$, $P \sim 0.8$, $\sigma_B \sim 10^8 \Omega^{-1} \text{m}^{-1}$ and $S = 1$. For a field of $E \sim 10^4 \text{V/m}$ corresponding to $j = 10^{12} \text{A/m}^2$, we have $|\dot{X}| \sim 4 \text{ m/s}$ and $|\dot{\phi}| \sim 4 \times 10^6 \text{ s}^{-1}$. For $\lambda \sim 10^{-8} \text{ m}$, we thus obtain $|E_s| \sim 0.1 \text{ V/m}$. In experiments, what is measured is the voltage due to $E_s$. Since $E_s$ is localized at the wall, the voltage generated by a single domain wall is $E_s \lambda \sim 1 \text{ nV}$. This value is not large, but is detectable. For instance, for the case of a domain wall driven by external magnetic field, voltage of 400 nV was observed for a wall speed of 150 m/s. The conversion efficiency from the electric field to the spin electric field is given by

$$\mu \equiv \frac{E_s}{E} = \frac{\hbar}{2e} \frac{\alpha}{1 + \alpha^2} \frac{a_0^3 P}{4S\lambda^2} \sigma_B \sim \frac{P\alpha}{4(k_F\lambda)^2} \left( \frac{\epsilon_F\tau_e}{\hbar} \right),$$

where we approximated $s e a_0^3 \sim P/2$. A typical value of $\mu$ is $\mu \sim 10^{-4}$ for $\alpha \sim 10^{-2}$, $k_F\lambda \sim 100$ and $(\epsilon_F\tau_e)/\hbar \sim 100$. The conversion efficiency is larger in thin wall, like perpendicular anisotropy magnets and weak ferromagnets.

In contrast to the spin-transfer term, the second term in Eq. (20) describes a direct coupling between the electric field and the spin electric field. The strength of the induced spin electric field is determined by solving spin dynamics as we did above, because the $E_s$ is determined by the equation of motion for spin (the Laudau-Lifshitz equation) and not by the Lagrangian like $(E_s)^2 - (B_s)^2$ as in the charge electromagnetism. The effect is generally small, since the $E \cdot E_s$ coupling term is smaller than the spin-transfer term by a factor of $\Omega\tau_e$, which is small in the GHz frequency where magnetization structures can respond ($\tau_e \gtrsim 10^{-13} \text{ s}$ for a metal with $(\epsilon_F\tau_e)/\hbar = 100$). The term may be important in very clean metal in the THz range.

### 3.2 Magnetic coupling

The third term of Eq. (20) is due to the external magnetic field. It indicates that the spin magnetic field is induced when a uniform external magnetic field is applied. This effect indicates a novel intrinsic mechanism involving frustration, since finite $B_s$ means a non-coplanar spin structure, while a uniform magnetic field favors uniform magnetization along the magnetic field. When magnetization structure has a finite non-coplanarity at the scale of $\lambda$, the magnitude of the induced spin magnetic field is $B_s \sim \frac{\hbar}{e\alpha^2}$. The energy gain per site due to the non-coplanarity is then $\left( \frac{\epsilon F}{m} \right)^2 \frac{B_s}{\epsilon F} B \simeq$
\[ \frac{\hbar}{m} B \frac{2}{(kF\lambda)^2}, \]
assuming that \( d \sim O(\frac{1}{12m\nu_f}) \). (Note that \( d = \frac{1}{12m}(\nu_+ - \nu_-) \) can be positive or negative.) In ferromagnetic systems, a non-coplanar structure costs exchange energy of \( \frac{1}{2}(\nabla n)^2 \simeq \frac{1}{2} \frac{J}{a^2} (kF\lambda)^2 \), where \( J \) is the exchange energy of localized spin, in the absence of other frustration. The total energy cost due to generation of \( B_s \) thus is
\[
\Delta E \simeq \frac{1}{(kF\lambda)^2} \left( \frac{J}{a^2} - \frac{e\hbar}{m} B \right). \tag{24}
\]

In common 3d ferromagnetic metals, the exchange energy \( J/a^2 \) is of the order of 1 eV, while the applied magnetic field of 1 T corresponds to the energy of \( \frac{e\hbar}{m} B = 10^{-4} \) eV. The spin magnetic field, \( B_s \), is therefore not induced by simply applying a uniform magnetic field. The situation may be different in very weak ferromagnets like in molecular conducting ferromagnets. For a system with ferromagnetic critical temperature of 5 K, \(^{40} \) magnetic field of 5 T may be enough to induce a finite \( B_s \) if the Fermi energy is of the order of 1 eV. Our present study assuming strong sd exchange interaction does not directly apply to weak ferromagnets, and different approaches like in Ref. \(^{21} \) are needed to study the interplay between \( B_s \) and \( B \). Molecular conducting ferromagnets would be unique systems in the context of emergent spin electromagnetic field.

### 3.3 Spin electromagnetic field induced by spin wave

The spin-transfer term, \( \mathcal{H}_{st} \), induces a spin wave excitation when the electric field is applied if the induced current exceeds a threshold value. \(^{41} \) If the spin wave is monochromatic, a spin electric field is not induced, since a monochromatic plane wave, such as \( n_x \pm i n_y = \varphi e^{\pm i(kx - \Omega t)} \), does not have a non-coplanarity because \( \hat{n} \) and \( \nabla x\hat{n} \) are parallel to each other. It is possible to excite a spin electric field if we use two spin waves having different wave vectors or frequencies. Let us consider the case described by
\[
s_\pm = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1,2} \varphi_j e^{\pm i(k_j x - \Omega_j t)}, \tag{25}
\]
where \( s_\pm \equiv \frac{1}{2}(s_x \pm is_y) \) is small spin fluctuation, \( \varphi_j \) are the amplitudes of spin waves and \( k_j \) and \( \Omega_j \) \((j = 1, 2)\) are the wave vector and angular frequency of the two spin-wave excitations. The spin electric field associated with the spin waves then reads
\[
E_{s,x} = \frac{\hbar}{2e} \varphi_1 \varphi_2 (\Omega_1 k_2 - \Omega_2 k_1) \sin[(k_1 - k_2)x - (\Omega_1 - \Omega_2)t]. \tag{26}
\]
Namely, a spin electric field having a wave vector \((k_1 - k_2)\) and a frequency \((\Omega_1 - \Omega_2)\) is induced by the two spin-wave excitations. Generation of two spin waves having the same frequency of about 7 GHz has been carried out recently using a magnetic field which is induced by applying a current through antennas. \(^{42} \) The method would be applicable
to create spin electric field. For spin waves with $k = 0.4 \, \mu m^{-1}$ and angular frequency of $2\pi \times 7 \, \text{GHz}$, $k \Omega \sim 1.7 \times 10^{15} \, 1/(\text{ms})$, and the spin electric field is expected to be of the order of $\frac{\hbar k \Omega}{\phi} \simeq 1.7 \times \phi^2 \, \text{V/m}$ for the amplitude of spin waves $\phi$. The spin electric field is expected to be induced generally by the spin wave excitation due to a non-linear effect ($E_{s,x}$ non-linearly depend on the spin wave amplitude), if the wave is non-monochromatic. The present method to generate spin electric field applies to a uniform ferromagnet and would have better possibilities of applications compared to the conventional methods using magnetic structures such as domain walls.

4. Summary

We have derived the effective Hamiltonian describing the coupling between the emergent spin electromagnetic field and charge electromagnetic field. The dominant term turns out to be the one corresponding to the spin-transfer torque. The coupling between the magnetic components suggests an interesting possibility of inducing frustration by applying a uniform external magnetic field on weak ferromagnets. We have proposed a generation mechanism of spin electric field using a non-linear effect of non-monochromatic spin-wave excitations. This mechanism is applicable to the case of uniform magnetization, and it would have a great advantage in applications compared to common setups using non-coplanar structures. Our theoretical considerations call for an experimental verification of the effect.
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