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Cardiovascular disease and ANCA-associated vasculitis: are we missing a beat?
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ABSTRACT

The association between cardiovascular (CV) disease and anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibody (ANCA)-associated vasculitis (AAV) is well documented. The recent work by Massicotte-Azarniouch et al. confirms the risk and adds to the existing evidence by describing the highest risk in the first 3 months after diagnosis. In this review, we aim to put their findings into perspective and formulate implications for the care of AAV patients. We discuss mechanisms for increased CV disease in AAV, including the impact of traditional risk factors and disease-related risks such as renal impairment and anti-myeloperoxidase (MPO) ANCA serotype. We also provide a brief primer on the impact of inflammatory-driven endothelial dysfunction and platelet activation on accelerated atherosclerosis in AAV patients. These features alongside the impact of disease activity and systemic inflammation provide potential explanations to why the incidence of CV events is highest in the first 3 months from diagnosis. We suggest future avenues of research, provide some suggestions to address and treat CV risk based on current evidence, and highlight the importance of addressing this topic early on. Addressing modifiable risk factors, dialogue with patients, patient information and a structured approach overall will be key to improve CV outcomes in AAV.
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INTRODUCTION

The association between cardiovascular disease (CVD) and chronic inflammatory conditions is well described [1] with a robust evidence base particularly around systemic lupus erythematosus [2]. There is growing recognition of a similar cardiovascular (CV) risk in patients with anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibody (ANCA)-associated small vessel vasculitis (AAV). Multiple studies, including long-term follow-ups from large randomized control trials, have corroborated a high CV risk in AAV, with evidence of premature atherosclerosis independent of traditional risk factors and with increased rates of CV events such as myocardial infarction (MI) and stroke [3–6]. A recent meta-analysis has since placed the risk of all CV events in AAV patients as being 65% higher compared with the general population [7]. In this issue of the journal, Massicotte-Azarniouch et al. [8] undertook a large retrospective cohort study evaluating CV outcomes in patients with a new diagnosis of AAV compared with a non-AAV population. Their study benefits from a strong study design with propensity score matching and demonstrated a significantly increased CV risk amongst those with AAV. The CV risk was highest within the first year of diagnosis, with peak risk during the initial 3-month period. This well-conducted study supports existing evidence, highlighting AAV patients as an at-risk group, and is the first to stratify risk from the time of diagnosis. Here, we aim to put this study into perspective, provide a brief primer on the existing body of literature around CVD in AAV and highlight areas of uncertainty. We briefly review risk factors, both traditional and those relating to vasculitis disease activity. We also suggest further areas of research into this important aspect of the management of patients with AAV. On a background of...
Traditional risk factors

When considering predictors of CVD in the AAV population, pre-existing traditional risk factors, including hypertension, dyslipidaemia and a relevant family history, have all been shown to be significant and highly prevalent [7, 9–10]. Advancing age has also been demonstrated as a contributing factor in an at-risk population with a typical peak disease onset in the sixth and seventh decades of life [9–11]. Furthermore, following an initial diagnosis of AAV, patients remain at risk of developing new onset hypertension and diabetes, with the severity of renal impairment, anaemia and anti-myeloperoxidase (MPO) ANCA serotype as key associated factors [12]. Remarkably, whilst smoking is a well-recognized risk factor for CVD, it has also been shown to have protective properties in the context of autoimmune diseases, including AAV [13]. The potential pathogenetic role of nicotine inhibiting T-cell function and the role of carbon monoxide in vascular tone are possible explanations for the protective effects despite the direct endothelial damage caused [13].

Compounding the impact of these more traditional CV considerations is the potential limited uptake of management options, with data suggesting that only a third of patients are on lipid-lowering therapy and a similar proportion remain with suboptimal blood pressure control [9]. Despite these factors, conventional risk prediction models such as the Framingham tool are thought to underestimate the level of CV risk in the AAV population, with a disease-specific model demonstrating superior predictive accuracy within 5 years of diagnosis [7, 10]. In line with this, an increased cumulative incidence of CVD following the initial diagnosis of AAV has been observed and a positive correlation with the Birmingham Vasculitis Activity Score (BVAS) strengthens support for AAV-related risk factors [7, 11].

Disease activity, endothelial dysfunction and accelerated atherosclerosis

Small vessel vasculitis is characterized by destructive inflammation and necrosis of vessel walls. Neutrophil activation within the vessel wall and pro-inflammatory cytokines result in endothelial injury, inflammation, blood vessel occlusion and ischaemia leading to organ damage [4, 14]. Endothelial cell necrosis and detachment from the basement membrane not only provide a potential biomarker of disease activity [14], but the necrotic debris may itself have detrimental effects away from areas of inflammation [15]. The process of endothelial dysfunction also leads to the formation of endothelial-derived microparticles, which are complex structures derived from activated platelets and dead endothelial cells [16]. These microparticles have been associated with unstable plaque formation and are known to mediate adverse CV events [16].

Due to the degree of inflammation in AAV patients at presentation, it is plausible that endothelial dysfunction is the most prevalent in the acute phase, and the risk of accelerated atherosclerosis is highest in the first few months of diagnosis [17]. This is also borne out in the study by Massicotte-Azarionouch et al. [8]. Bai et al. [11] undertook a retrospective study of 504 patients, identifying BVAS as an independent predictor of CV-related mortality in AAV, indicating the degree of disease activity is likely to be associated with amplified levels of endothelial dysfunction and an increased risk of CV events [11]. More recently, Wu et al. [18] demonstrated that a C-reactive protein monomer (mCRP), which is responsible for activating platelets, complement and pro-inflammatory cytokines, was associated with CVD in AAV patients. This strengthens the link between AAV and CVD independent of conventional risk factors. Furthermore, successful remission-induction therapy can restore endothelial function, potentially minimizing CV risk with early disease control [19]. This has also been demonstrated with an improvement in any resulting arterial stiffness and impairment of vasodilation, which are an early indicator of atheromatous disease [20, 21]. Accordingly, the impact of arterial stiffness has been shown to be an independent predictor of mortality in multiple patient groups, and its presence in the cerebral microvasculature of patients with AAV as a consequence of disease has been confirmed [22, 23]. This risk appears to persist into remission [24].

A further consequence of inflammation-driven endothelial dysfunction is platelet activation as a further pathway towards a hypercoagulable, pro-thrombotic state [25, 26]. Here, we focus mainly on arterial disease in AAV, but multiple studies have also shown a significant association between AAV and an increased incidence of venous thromboembolic (VTE) events [26, 27]. It is currently unclear whether pathways leading to venous and arterial disease are largely identical, overlapping or different, and further research is required to tease out similarities and differences. When considering atherosclerotic plaque rupture, increased platelet activation with thrombocytosis, which is often seen in active AAV, may increase the likelihood of platelet aggregation with subsequent thrombus formation [28, 29]. Furthermore, antiphospholipid antibodies of various specificities and positive functional tests for lupus anticoagulant are prevalent in a subgroup of AAV patients [30]. Why some patients with AAV form these antibodies while many others do not remains poorly understood, together with the long-term implications of having these antibodies. Whilst the VTE risk associated with antiphospholipid antibodies and lupus anticoagulant is well known, they are also associated with accelerated atherosclerosis, further contributing to CV risks [31]. In keeping with this, Tabakovic et al. [32] identified a stroke incidence of 9.7/1000 person years in the AAV population, with the highest risk within the first year and an increased platelet count at AAV diagnosis as an independent risk factor [32]. Whilst prospective studies have not been undertaken looking at anticoagulation or antiplatelet therapy in AAV, this suggests there may be a role and further research work into the potential benefit is needed.

MPO is an enzyme that is mainly found in neutrophils and is involved in microbicidal activity as well as the formation of reactive oxidant species that can result in tissue damage. Localization of elevated MPO and their catalytic activity has been found at sites of atherosclerotic lesions, with a known positive association between MPO levels and CV risk in the chronic kidney disease population [33]. Increased expression of MPO as the autoantigen in anti-MPO AAV may contribute to the presence of accelerated atherosclerosis in patients and could account for the finding by Monti et al. [12] of the anti-MPO serotype as an additional risk factor for CVD. Roth Flach et al. [33] support the notion of early MPO inhibition in plaque stabilization and preventing worsening atherosclerotic lesions.

Immunosuppressive therapy and CV risk

Alongside cytotoxic and B-cell depleting therapy, glucocorticoids (GCs) remain central to current remission-induction and remission-maintenance treatment strategies. Due to the relapsing–remitting nature of the disease, AAV patients are at risk of repeated treatment courses and a high cumulative GC exposure. The adverse effects of GC therapy have been
recognized for decades, in particular their effects on the CV system [34, 35]. The term GC toxicity and its measurement by way of the glucocorticoid toxicity index (GTI) is recognized as an assessment tool through which clinicians can measure GC-associated morbidity [36, 37]. Interestingly, four of the nine composite GTI domains relate to adverse effects on the CV system: body mass index, glucose tolerance, blood pressure and lipid profile [36]. This highlights the burden of GC on CV risk.

GCs have been shown to cause increases in blood pressure by way of mineralocorticoid receptor overstimulation, sodium retention and increased peripheral vascular resistance [35]. Mebrahtu et al. [38] showed increased rates of hypertension with higher cumulative doses of oral GCs, particularly amongst vasculitis cohorts. Changes to a patient’s lipid profile through increased total cholesterol and triglycerides lead to atheromatous disease, which in addition to weight gain and glucose intolerance all contribute to elevated cardiometabolic risk in patients [35, 38]. This may be further amplified by the impact of rituximab, used as an induction, remission and maintenance treatment in AAV. Rituximab has been shown to increase cholesterol, triglyceride and lipid levels, but the overall risk on CVD is offset by its improvements in endothelial function and improvements in disease activity [39]. Despite this, the effects of immunosuppression treatment are evident by the burden of CV events in those receiving them and need to be considered when treating patients.

Multiple studies have shown the risk of CVD, including heart failure, arrhythmias, atherosclerotic disease and MI amongst those with auto-inflammatory disease with a dose-dependent effect of GC [34, 40]. Massicotte-Azarniouch et al. [8] demonstrated that CV events were highest in the first 3 months from diagnosis. This may be explained by the high burden of disease activity, but the impact of GC exposure also needs to be considered. Somewhat surprisingly, a recent study showed no impact of GC exposure on CV risk [41]. Although the Plasma Exchange and Glucocorticoids for Treatment of ANCA Associated Vasculitis (PEXIVAS) trial has made great strides in establishing a role for decreased-dose oral GCs as part of standard remission-induction therapy, many patients are still exposed to a high cumulative oral and intravenous dose within the first 3 months. When considering the evidence base for the current dose of intravenous methylprednisolone that is typically used, scope remains for the evaluation of its current role to help mitigate the risks of treatment-related damage.

**Should we screen for occult cardiac disease and atheroma in AAV?**

Our limited ability to accurately assess CV involvement at presentation is likely part of the problem and limits our grasp of CV risk in AAV. Novel biomarkers in CVD are becoming more accessible, but remain imperfect due to limitations on their reliability and accuracy [42]. Many patients undergo routine cardiac investigations at presentation, inclusive of Electrocardiogram (ECGs) and echocardiograms, but these are not always reliable for identifying CV involvement [43]. Cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) with late gadolinium enhancement has been shown to identify subtle myocardial abnormalities caused by active AAV, which are otherwise not identified on routine investigations. Giollo et al. [44] used cardiac MRI in patients with granulomatosis with polyangiitis and identified evidence of myocardial fibrosis and abnormal left ventricular features, compared with healthy controls. Although few studies have been undertaken, overall they suggest that the use of cardiac MRI in AAV may be used as a means of identifying those at risk and to support monitoring and treatment plans [43]. However, even with sophisticated imaging differentiating between pre-existent cardiac disease and cardiac involvement by AAV remains notoriously difficult in clinical practice. The same applies to imaging of atheroma and studies of the vascular wall. Increased intima media thickness of the carotid arteries has been described using duplex ultrasound [23], but the interpretation of such findings remains difficult in an individual patient. For now, we remain sceptical of whether earlier, more aggressive or more detailed imaging confers significant advantages in patients with AAV over and above current standards of care. Table 1 provides a summary of further avenues of research.

**How can we improve CV risk in AAV?**

One important message from the study by Massicotte-Azarniouch et al. [8] is the finding that the CV risk peaks as early as 3 months after diagnosis. This observation should challenge widespread practice to wait for remission before considering CV risk in any detail and prompt us to consider and address CV risk much earlier. It is highly likely that steroid exposure plays a major role in CV risk and early reduction of GC dose, and the use of steroid sparing agents such as CsA receptor antagonists could be considered [37]. In the absence of specific CV risk scores for this particular population, formal assessment of risk should probably include the use of scores established in the general population. This will act as a prompt to clinicians in those patients who would already be at high risk even if they had not developed AAV. Kronbichler et al. [27] have argued that such a formal assessment should occur periodically, e.g. every 6 months. Such risk assessment should perhaps be documented in clinic letters, particularly where formal letter templates facilitate such an approach, i.e. in a dedicated vasculitis clinic environment. We also feel that many patients with AAV may not be aware of their CV risk, particularly during periods of active disease when both the clinician’s and the patient’s focus of attention is around the choice of treatment, side effects and prognosis, but not on CV risk. It is also tempting to think (but unproven) that dedicated vasculitis clinics may have clear advantages in reducing CV risk, e.g. by adhering to a more structured approach or through the fact that physicians who treat AAV infrequently will be even more focused on managing active disease and not CV risk. Another obvious advantage of such a dedicated environment is to provide suitable patient information in a targeted manner and to those who require it. Formally assessing and documenting the risk in clinic letters may serve as a starting point for discussing CV risk with them and their families. Based on current evidence, optimization of blood pressure control and diabetes is clearly an imperative factor in improving CV outcomes and reducing early atherosclerosis [5]. Inhibition of the renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system (RAAS) with angiotensin–converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors and angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs) are also potential targets for improving CV risk factors in AAV patients. The use of ACE inhibitors and ARBs for CV risk reduction is multifactorial and the result of reduced arterial stiffness, systemic inflammation and endothelial dysfunction [45]. It is recognized that proteinuria in AAV is associated with poor renal outcomes and the use of RAAS inhibition should be utilized for its synergistic improvements in blood pressure, proteinuria and CV risk [46]. When considering this, the use of direct renin inhibition (DRI) may offer an additional benefit by reducing alternative complement pathway activation to aid disease control [47]. Of note, patients with
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Table 1. Areas of uncertainty, suggested avenues of research and proposed study models

| Research question | Proposed research model |
|-------------------|-------------------------|
| Risk prediction model for determining those with AAV at highest risk of CVD | Prospective and retrospective cohorts can be used to inform on a binary outcome and predictive variables for adverse CV events in AAV. Using regression coefficients to predict CV risk and outcomes in AAV |
| Association between GTI and CV events | Retrospective cohort study comparing GTI scores and relationship with CV outcomes |
| Methods to identify early atheromatous disease in AAV | Prospective cohort study looking at the use of imaging to reliably identify early atheromatous disease and correlate with CV events and outcomes |
| Antiphospholipid antibodies and CV risk | Prospective, long-term cohort study, identifying those with antiphospholipid antibodies and observing the incidence of CV events between patients with and without antibodies |
| Impact of aspirin in AAV to reduce CV events | Randomized control trial looking at CV outcomes such as MI, stroke and death from CVD |
| Timing of strategies to address CV risk | Randomized controlled trial comparing a strategy of addressing CV risk early on versus addressing it later, i.e. when in remission |
| More aggressive imaging to identify occult cardiac and vascular disease | Randomized controlled trial comparing a strategy of early imaging versus standard of care |
| The role of ACE inhibitors/ARBs and DRI in improving CV events and mortality | Randomized controlled trial looking at effect of ACE inhibitors/ARBs and DRI on CV events and mortality |

Table 2. Suggestions to improve CV risk in AAV

| Suggestion |
|------------|
| Consider CV risk at baseline and use established scores for risk stratification, e.g. GTI |
| Re-assess CV risk periodically, e.g. every 3 months |
| Document CV risk and risk factors in clinic letters |
| Consider therapeutic regimes with less steroid exposure in patients with high CV risk |
| Address CV risk early in the diagnosis and do not delay until remission is achieved |
| Educate patients on their individual CV risk |
| Aim for smoking cessation in current smokers |
| Manage traditional risk factors such as blood pressure, diabetes and hyperlipidaemia |
| Include CV risk in departmental algorithms and guidelines |

AAV were excluded from most recent trials of SGLT-2 inhibitors, and studies in this population are therefore eagerly awaited [48]. The situation around statins is slightly more complex, not least because they influence key inflammatory mechanisms in vasculitis [49, 50]. Recent studies suggest that lipid-lowering treatment is underutilized in AAV [5]. For now, statin treatment should probably be regarded as standard unless there are good reasons to avoid these drugs. A French trial of statin treatment in AAV is currently underway (STATVAS, NCT02117453) and should inform practice in this regard. The role of antiplatelet therapy is even less clear and not routinely recommended. We feel that in view of current evidence, primary prevention with antiplatelet therapy should be considered on a case-by-case basis, tailoring treatment based on patients’ bleeding risk profile. Further research and randomized control trials looking at the use of antiplatelet drugs are needed to answer the risk–benefit questions surrounding systemic anticoagulation in AAV. A summary of recommendations for further research is provided in Table 1, with recommendations for clinical practice in Table 2.

CONCLUSION

AAV is strongly associated with increased CVD, including MI, cerebrovascular disease and cardiac arrhythmias [7, 8]. The underlying pathophysiology is complex (Figure 1), multifaceted and not fully understood. Accelerated atherosclerotic disease may occur as a direct consequence of disease activity and endothelial damage, treatment-related toxicity or due to other currently unknown factors. The study by Massicotte-Azarniouch et al. [8] corroborates the significant risk for these patients, provides important new information about the timing of such risk and informs further research. The study by Massicotte-Azarniouch et al. [8] also challenges common practice to only focus on CV risk once remission has been achieved. One of the main findings of their study is to demonstrate peak risk early on, suggesting that strategies to address this risk should be employed much earlier. A key question is whether different therapeutic regimes for achieving and maintaining remission confer the same CV risk or not. It is tempting to think that approaches that work in the general population, such as lipid-lowering treatment, smoking cessation and blood pressure control, should also work in AAV. However, good quality evidence in this specific group of patients remains sparse. Further studies should improve our understanding of the underlying mechanisms, delineate the influence of different treatment regimens and provide a more robust evidence base for treatment aimed at reducing CV risk. The last decade or so of research has provided us with less toxic but equally efficient treatment regimens for AAV, especially in
elderly patients and those with comorbidity. Further research and a pragmatic approach to address CV risk should now be employed together to address CV risk and thereby ensure that we are not missing a beat in this vulnerable group of patients.
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