INTRODUCTION
Infections caused by methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) are a frequent occurrence in most medical centers, especially in patients admitted to the intensive care (IC) unit for prolonged periods of time [1]. In burned individuals, death due to the failure of initial resuscitation has been uncommon in recent years. Sepsis, despite the advent of potent new antibiotics, is now the major cause of death in these patients. The appearance of drug-resistant organisms is a common consequence of the injudicious use of antimicrobials, as exemplified by the emergence of MRSA [2].

MRSA, which always exhibits multiple antibiotic resistance in clinical manifestation, is one of the most important pathogenic species that may result in a severe shock to death [3]. To the best of our knowledge, severe burn patients are more susceptible to MRSA infection because of losing the protective skin barrier and immunological variations. The outbreak of MRSA infection in burn patients has been widely reported, and the persistence of MRSA in burn units has been proved by more and more evidence [4]. In the past few years, the prevalence of MRSA isolated from clinical isolates, especially in burn patients, increased sharply in China [5].

Historically vancomycin, a glycopeptide antimicrobial, has been the treatment of choice for MRSA infections. However, the clinical utility of vancomycin has recently been questioned when employed in the treatment of MRSA infections when the mean inhibitory concentration (MIC) of vancomycin is $>1$ mg/L, with published literature reporting increased treatment failure and mortality in patients due to inability to attain currently established pharmacokinetic (PK) and pharmacodynamic (PD) targets [6,7].

Although antimicrobials such as vancomycin have been shown to be effective in controlling MRSA infection, MRSA remains a common cause of death in burn patients. Fosfomycin was described originally by Hendlin and associates more than three decades ago. Fosfomycin was effective in controlling infection caused by MRSA, especially when combined with other antimicrobial agents [8,9]. We described a case of MRSA in a patient with scald burn injury who did not respond to initial ceftazidime therapy but responded clinically to treatment with fosfomycin-amikacin.

PRESENTATION OF CASE
A 31-year-old male sustained scald burn at the steam-powered electric generator in August 2016. He was conservatively managed including debridement at once in a private hospital at Batu, Centre of Java and brought to the Dr. Soetomo Hospital for further management. At admission, he was at the 9th day after the injury. On general examination, the patient was conscious, oriented, afebrile, not anemic, normal leukocyte and albumin level, not a known history of diabetes mellitus or hypertension and not sepsis. On local examination, he was diagnosed at 37.5% 2nd degree burns present in regimen facialis with burned eyelashes, thoracoabdominalis, extrimitas superior, extrimitas inferior, and genitalia extema.

Initially, the patient was treated with intravenous ceftazidime (IV) 1 g 3 times daily for 7 days along with supportive drugs, and during the hospitalization, surgery (debridement) was done for 3 times. Wound debridement was done under closely supervised by plastic surgeon and anesthesiologist. Soon after the debridement, wound was treated with a dressing containing silver. The patient completed
a total of 7 days of ceftazidime, but at 5th days of ceftazidime therapy, the patient became leukocytosis (>27.10^3) and fever and diagnosed as bacteremia or sepsis. It seems that the patient unresponsive to ceftazidime. Blood specimen was taken from the right and left venous vessel aseptically and processed by microbiological procedures. MRSA was isolated in culture. Antibiotic susceptibility was performed by Kirby–Bauer disk diffusion method where the isolate was found to be sensitive to tetracyclin, erytomycin, clindamycin, quinupristin-dalfopristin, fosfomycin, and vancomycin. The isolate of MRSA was found to be resistant to gentamicin, ampicillin, ampicillin-sublactam, penicillin G, oxacillin, chloramphenicol, levofloxacin, and moxifloxacin. The patient was isolated and treated with injection fosfomycin 2 g IV twice daily for the first 7 days and after that fosfomycin was combined with amikacin IV 500 mg once daily. The patient completed a total of 14 days of fosfomycin and 8 days of amikacin therapy. At the end of antibiotics therapy, blood specimen was taken, and the bacterial culture was negative.

**DISCUSSION**

MRSA is a widespread pathogen both in the community and in hospital settings. Infection of burn wound patients with MRSA and pathogen causes higher morbidity and mortality which may contribute death in about three-fourths of the burn wound infected patients [10]. Due to imprudent uses and suboptimal doses of antibiotics, common pathogenic microorganism develops antimicrobial resistance against commonly used drugs. It increases the burden of the patient as well as national cost in the health-care sectors. In our study, MRSA was isolated from the scald burn patient. In burns, breaching skin barriers provide a suitable site for bacterial multiplication and are more persistent richer sources of infection than any other surgical wounds, mainly because of the larger area involved and longer duration of patient stay in the hospital [11].

For this reason, special attention to hospital infection should be paid in burns unit by early interventions should be performed to improve the quality of treatment. Antibiotics are frequently administered at random, without obtaining the results or without respect to the principles of rational antibiotic therapy. On the other hand, the usage of antibiotics is one of the main risk factors for nosocomial infections. Excessive use of antibiotics stimulates the development of antibiotic-resistant bacteria, increases treatment costs, and causes side effects. This can be avoided by rational use of antimicrobials, i.e., only when necessary, with a careful choice of the suitable drugs [12]. Methicillin resistance in *S. aureus* is a gold standard for multidrug resistance, which may contribute up to 15% in about three-fourths of the burn wound infected patients [10]. Due to imprudent uses and suboptimal doses of antibiotics, common pathogenic microorganism develops antimicrobial resistance against commonly used drugs. It increases the burden of the patient as well as national cost in the health-care sectors. In our study, MRSA was isolated from the scald burn patient. In burns, breaching skin barriers provide a suitable site for bacterial multiplication and are more persistent richer sources of infection than any other surgical wounds, mainly because of the larger area involved and longer duration of patient stay in the hospital [11].

For this reason, special attention to hospital infection should be paid in burns unit by early interventions should be performed to improve the quality of treatment. Antibiotics are frequently administered at random, without obtaining the results or without respect to the principles of rational antibiotic therapy. On the other hand, the usage of antibiotics is one of the main risk factors for nosocomial infections. Excessive use of antibiotics stimulates the development of antibiotic-resistant bacteria, increases treatment costs, and causes side effects. This can be avoided by rational use of antimicrobials, i.e., only when necessary, with a careful choice of the suitable drugs [12]. Methicillin resistance in *S. aureus* is a gold standard for multidrug resistance, mainly to penicillin family (such as cephalosporins, ampicillin, and amoxicillin), tetracyclines-clavulanic acid, piperacillin-tazobactam, and the carbapenems regardless of the susceptibility testing results [13, 14] and our report also supports the same.

To date, there have only been limited data on MRSA in Indonesia. A new study conducted in 2001 identified 1 (0.3%) MRSA isolates among 329 *S. aureus* nares flora from 3,995 patients [15]. By 2011, in three teaching hospitals (Denpasar, Semarang, and Malang) in Indonesia, screening of 1,502 surgery patients at the time of discharge by culturing nares, throat and skin lesion, revealed a MRSA carriage rate of 4% [16]. Our patient with diagnosed as 37.5% 2nd degree burn, categorized as a severe burn. In the case of a severe burn, the probability of MRSA infection increases, since the patients spend more time in the hospital, and undergo more frequent debridements and dressings [17]. The similar thought applies since the patients spend more time in the hospital, and undergo more frequent debridements and dressings [17]. The similar thought applies since the patients spend more time in the hospital, and undergo more frequent debridements and dressings [17]. The similar thought applies since the patients spend more time in the hospital, and undergo more frequent debridements and dressings [17]. The similar thought applies since the patients spend more time in the hospital, and undergo more frequent debridements and dressings [17]. The similar thought applies since the patients spend more time in the hospital, and undergo more frequent debridements and dressings [17]. The similar thought applies since the patients spend more time in the hospital, and undergo more frequent debridements and dressings [17]. The similar thought applies since the patients spend more time in the hospital, and undergo more frequent debridements and dressings [17].

Ceftazidime is widely used to treat burn patients, but few data are available on its PKs in this group. The standard dosage recommendations for beta-lactam antibiotics can result in very low drug levels in IC patients and burn patients in the absence of renal dysfunction. In this study, the patient was given ceftazidime 1 g 3 times daily but showed no signs of clinical improvement. Alteration in the physiological response to a burn makes dosing medication, especially antibiotics, challenging in burn patients.

A study by Conil et al., 2007, carried out the PKs of ceftazidime in burn patients with daily doses of 1 g × 6 (n = 17). The results showed that the ratio of C (min) to the low MIC breakpoint (4 mg/l) was lower than 4 in 52% of subjects receiving ceftazidime. The C (min) of ceftazidime was correlated with measured creatinine clearance (CLCR) and was higher in mechanically ventilated patients than in non-ventilated patients. The clearance of ceftazidime was correlated with age. Therefore, dosage adjustment of these drugs in burn patients needs to take into account age, measured CLCR and the danger of low concentrations occurring when the CLCR is >120 ml/min. In burn patients, the PK disposition of ceftazidime was much more variable than in healthy subjects. Age and CLCR were predictors of the disposition of this antibiotic. Shortening the dosage interval or using continuous infusions will prevent low serum levels and keep trough levels above the MIC for longer periods of time [19].

Fosfomycin has a broad spectrum of activity against a wide range of Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria. Its unique mechanism of action may provide a synergistic effect to other antibiotics including beta-lactams, aminoglycosides, and fluoroquinolones [20-22]. Recently, intravenous fosfomycin has been administered in critically ill patients with sepsis or nosocomial-acquired infections due to MRSA, vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus, and MDR Gram-negative bacteria, especially carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, in combination with other antibiotics, due to its unique mechanism of action and its protective effect against nephrotoxicity induced by aminoglycosides or colistin [23-25]. Rationale for combining fosfomycin with a second antimicrobial agent is to prevent the emergence of fosfomycin-resistant strains. Fosfomycin is approved in several European countries for the therapy of soft tissue infections and sepsis. In our patient, amikacin 500 mg daily was administered with fosfomycin.

In healthy subjects, amikacin exhibits low protein binding (<10%) and a volume of distribution of 0.20–0.25 L/kg. Unmetabolized amikacin is eliminated in an active form through renal mechanisms, essentially by glomerular filtration. PK changes noted in burn patients are an increased volume of distribution and altered renal clearance [26]. Due to the PK alterations observed in burn patients, inadequate peak concentrations (Cmax) are frequent when using multiple-daily dosing regimens [27]. Aminoglycosides exhibit a concentration-dependent bactericidal effect, and many reports have shown the merits of once-daily dosing (ODD) regimen [28, 29]. The ODD regimen is based on PD, bacteriological, and toxicological principles. It enables optimization of the Cmax/MIC ratio as well as efficacy while ensuring an "aminoglycoside-free" period during a 24 h interval, thus decreasing toxicity [30-32]. In this study, amikacin was administered 500 mg once daily. Our study is similar to Conil et al., who recorded plasma concentration following administration of 20 mg/kg amikacin in burn patients. It conclude that ODD regimens of amikacin in patients with burns >15% body surface area and/or with ClCR >120 ml/min could require doses >20 mg/kg to reach adequate peak concentrations [33]. Amikacin is one of a aminoglycosides antibiotics, clearance of aminoglycosides was increased in burns patients that caused lower Cmax values and loss of aminoglycosides was caused by greater percentage total body surface area [33, 34].

Swab specimen was taken by several days of antibiotics administration, as bacteremia or sepsis. It seems that the patient became leukocytosis (>27.10^3) and fever and diagnosed as bacteremia or sepsis. It seems that the patient is unresponsive to ceftazidime. Blood specimen was taken from the right and left venous vessel aseptically and processed by microbiological procedures. MRSA was isolated in culture. Antibiotic susceptibility was performed by Kirby–Bauer disk diffusion method where the isolate was found to be sensitive to tetracyclin, erytomycin, clindamycin, quinupristin-dalfopristin, fosfomycin, and vancomycin. The isolate of MRSA was found to be resistant to gentamicin, ampicillin, ampicillin-sublactam, penicillin G, oxacillin, chloramphenicol, levofloxacin, and moxifloxacin. The patient was isolated and treated with injection fosfomycin 2 g IV twice daily for the first 7 days and after that fosfomycin was combined with amikacin IV 500 mg once daily. The patient completed a total of 14 days of fosfomycin and 8 days of amikacin therapy. At the end of antibiotics therapy, blood specimen was taken, and the bacterial culture was negative.
A study by Montgomery et al. showed that for A. baumannii strains, the effect was most pronounced for strains with high amikacin resistances (MIC > 1024 µg/ml), but amikacin MIC values were reduced to <256 µg/ml with the amikacin/fosfomycin combination. It concluded that combining amikacin in a 5:2 ratio with fosfomycin significantly enhanced the potency of amikacin-resistant pathogens [35].

CONCLUSION

MRSA acquisition remains a significant problem in burn units. We describe a case of a scald burn injury patient who successfully treated with fosfomycin 2 g every 12 h and amikacin 500 mg once daily for documented MRSA sepsis. Larger studies are needed to further evaluate for safety, efficacy, and the appropriate dose based on PKs altered in burn patients. Clinicians should recognize the rational use of antibiotics, adjust treatment accordingly, thus allowing for improved patient outcomes.
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