Original Research Article

Evaluation of temporomandibular joint disorders using cone beam computed tomography
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ABSTRACT

Background: The aim of this study was to evaluate efficacy of segmental cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) in detecting bony changes in condyle and thickness of roof of glenoid fossa in temporomandibular joint (TMJ) disorders.

Methods: The study group comprised of 10 temporomandibular disorders (TMD) patients of either sex between the age group of 20-60 years diagnosed as TMDs by clinical evaluation using the research diagnostic criteria was considered. After the clinical examination, radiographic investigations were carried out which included digital OPG, transcranial radiograph and CBCT scan. TMJ Evaluation included: (a) bony changes of the condyle (flattening, erosion, sclerosis, osteophytes, resorption); (b) joint space (normal, increased, reduced, bony contact between the condyle and the mandibular fossa); and (c) bony changes of mandibular fossa (normal, sclerosis, erosion, resorption). The radiographic findings were statistically analysed.

Results: Significant difference between OPG, transcranial and CBCT were found for the presence of erosion (P=0.000), thickness of roof of glenoid fossa (P=0.000), deformed contour (P=0.001), joint space (P=0.011), subchondral sclerosis (P=0.011), irregularity of articular surface and eminence (P=0.000), flattening (P=0.050).

Conclusions: Using CBCT as imaging technique in our study to detect osseous changes in the TMJ was proved to be effective as compared to the conventional radiographic techniques, the results achieved with CBCT was 100%. The results obtained in our study prove to be a full proof one and it seems to promise to go one step closer to detect progression and severity of the osseous changes in the condylar head and mandibular fossa in patients with TMDs.
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INTRODUCTION

TMDs are recognized as the most common chronic orofacial pain conditions confronting dentists and other health care providers. It refers to cluster of disorders characterized by pain in the preauricular area, pain in the TMJ, or in the region of muscles of mastication, also limitation and deviation in the mandibular range of motion, and felt noises in the TMJ during mandibular function.1,3

Joint Imaging provides information about joint status and function that helps establish a definitive diagnosis in TMJ and cervical joint disorders. The most common techniques for TMJ imaging are the transcranial radiograph, tomogram without contrast,arthotomogram with contrast, CT scan and MRL.4
Cone beam computed tomography technique (CBCT) presents an innovation of tomographic imaging system and subsequent volumetric image reconstruction for dentistry. When compared with other methods of tomographic imaging, CBCT is characterized by rapid volumetric image acquisition from single low radiation dose scan of the patient.

CBCT’s accuracy and lack of superimposition makes it possible to measure the roof of the glenoid fossa and visualize the location of the soft tissue around the TMJ, which can offer a workable diagnosis and reduce the need for MRI.

Currently CBCT is most commonly used in the assessment of bony and dental pathologic conditions including fractures, maxillofacial deformity, fracture recognition, preoperative assessment of impacted tooth, TMJ disorders and in analysis of available bone for implant placement. The incorporation of 3D into dental practice and craniofacial imaging is now a reality. Craniofacial CBCT was designed to counter some of the limitations of earlier generations of CT scanning devices and to make 3D technique practical for dentistry.

METHODS

This study was carried out in the Department of Oral Medicine and Maxillofacial Radiology, D. J. College of Dental Sciences and Research, Modinagar. CBCT Imaging for the study was performed at Dr. Sahai’s Dental and Maxillofacial Diagnostics, Raj Nagar, Ghaziabad. The study group comprised of 10 TMD patients of either sex between the age group of 20-60 years reported to the Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology during the time period from January 2012 to January 2013. Patients diagnosed as TMDs by clinical evaluation using the research diagnostic criteria were considered. The patients were informed about the radiographic procedure provided to them and they agreed and signed the consent form.

Inclusion criteria were patients with TMJ disorders with age group of 20-60 years of age of both the sexes and exclusion criteria were patients with any TMJ malignancy and with any traumatic condition of TMJ.

Clinical examination

Patients were made to sit comfortably on a dental chair. Clinical examinations were carried out wearing sterile hand gloves and mouth mask with the patient seated appropriate to the procedure being performed. Recording of demographic data, general history, TMD history and physical examination were carried out in a systematic manner. Clinical examination was based on the research diagnostic criteria. After the clinical examination, radiographic investigations were carried out which included digital OPG, transcranial radiograph and CBCT scan.

Table 1: Research diagnostic criteria/TMD classification (1992).

| Clinical location | RDC/TMD diagnosis                     |
|-------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Muscle            | Myofascial pain                       |
|                   | Myofascial pain with limited opening  |
| Disc displacement | Disc displacement with reduction       |
|                   | Disc displacement without reduction    |
| Articular bone    | Arthralgia                             |
|                   | Osteoarthritis of TMJ                  |
|                   | Osteoarthrosis of TMJ                  |

Methodology for CBCT scan

Limited CBCT Scan (CS 9300, Care stream Health Inc. Rochester, NY) of the right and left TMJs were obtained in closed mouth position using the TMJ program at exposure field (FOV) – 8 cm × 8 cm with default exposure parameters 5 mA and 90 kVp at voxel size 200 microns (0.2 × 0.2 × 0.2 mm) and scan time 6.20 seconds (Figure 1).

![Figure 1: Positioning of patient for CBCT scan.](image)

Patient positioning

Patient entry within the rotative arm of the unit followed by lowest position of adjustable chin rest base and use of 3D bite platform between the upper and lower arches. Patient adjustment was performed using laser positioning beams to align mid-sagittal plane and 3D FOV beam to align vertical dimension of exposed tissue volume. Selection of right / left TMJ 3D program leads to auto-
orientation of the unit center of rotation (focal trough) over the right / left TMJ region of the patient.

Image reconstruction in true axial, sagittal and coronal planes was performed by the CS 3D software program. Oblique MPR were reconstructed according to the individual angle of the condylar head within the glenoid fossa. These images were obtained parallel or perpendicular to the long axis of the condyle as in Figure 2 and 3.

Figure 2: CBCT findings of right TMJ. a) slight posterior position of condylar head with in glenoid fossa and b) slight flattening of articular surface of right TMJ condylar head.

Figure 3: CBCT findings of left TMJ. a) mild flattening of articular surface of left TMJ condylar head and b) mild articular surface irregularity and grade I erosion present in middle third of condylar head.

Alternatively, the axial image of the TMJ was used as reference view for secondary reconstruction by tracing a line that corresponds to long axis of examined condyle. The software then generates para-sagittal and paracoronal sections perpendicular to antero-posterior and transverse long axis of condylar head.

**TMJ assessment**

Criteria for determination of type of condylar bone change

- Type N: No proliferation or thickening on the cortical surface of the condyle; displaying typical morphology.
- Type F: Flattened contour at the anterosuperior and/or posterosuperior portions of the condyle.
- Type E: Proliferation or partial hypodense change with or without roughening on the cortical surface of the condyle.
- Type D: The condyle has a deformed contour, like a beak, without proliferation nor partial hypodense change on the condylar surface.
- Type S: Type D accompanied by Type E.

Measurement of the thickness of the roof of glenoid fossa will be performed on the paracoronal images. Linear measurements will be made 3 times by a single investigator on the thinnest area of the glenoid fossa identified among multiple slices on the monitor; the mean value of the 3 readings will be recorded.

**Criteria for the assessment of osseous changes of the condyle**

Osseous changes of the condyles:

- Flattening : defined as a flat bony contour deviating from the convex form;
- Erosion, defined as an area of decreased density of the cortical bone and the adjacent subcortical bone;
- Osteophytes: defined as marginal bony outgrowths on the condyle;
- Sclerosis: defined as an area of increased density of cortical bone extending into the bone marrow; and
- Resorption: defined as partial loss of condylar head.

**Criteria for the assessment of severity of erosion in the condylar head**

- 0: Absence of erosion
- 1: Slight erosion: when decreased density is observed only in the cortical bone
- 2: Moderate erosion: when decreased density is observed in the cortical bone and extends to the upper layers of the adjacent subcortical bone
- 3: Extensive erosion: when decreased density is observed in the cortical bone and extends below the upper layers of the adjacent subcortical bone.

**Criteria for the assessment of severity of osteophyte formation in the condylar head**

- 0: absence
- 1: Slight, when marginal bony outgrowth on the condyle was less than 1 mm
- 2: Moderate, when marginal bony outgrowth on the condyle was 1–2 mm
- 3: Extensive, when marginal bony outgrowth on the condyle was more than 2 mm.

**Osseous changes of the mandibular fossae**

(1) erosion, (2) sclerosis and (3) resorption.
Criteria for the assessment of joint space

- Increased, when the distance between the condylar head and mandibular fossa was more than 4 mm;
- Normal, when the distance between the condylar head and mandibular fossa was between 1.5 mm and 4 mm;
- Reduced, when the distance between the condylar head and mandibular fossa was less than 1.5 mm; and
- Bony contact between condyle and mandibular fossa.

Statistical analysis

The comparative analysis was carried out between OPG, TR and CBCT group. Finding of Mean and standard deviation in OPG, TR and CBCT are illustrated in Table 2. Correlation between the observed radiographic findings were analysed with ANOVA using statistica 6.0 for windows software. Statistical analysis revealed significant differences between OPG, TR and CBCT.

RESULTS

The mean ± standard deviation of severity of erosion; thickness of roof of glenoid fossa; deformed contour; joint space; flattening; subchondral sclerosis; irregularity of articular surface and eminence in CBCT group was $1.4 \pm 0.1075; 1.8 \pm 0.422; 1.2 \pm 1.229; 0.6 \pm 0.516; 0.500 \pm 0.527; 0.6 \pm 0.516; 0.90 \pm 0.316$ (Table 2).

The study comprised of total number of 10 subjects out of which 8 were female subjects and 2 were male subjects. In our study it was reported that the male and female subjects were included in the ratio of 1:4.

| Table 2: Distribution of Mean and Standard Deviation in OPG, Transcranial and CBCT group. |
|----------------------------------|-------|----------|----------|------|
| Groups                          | N    | Mean     | Std. deviation | P value |
| Erosion                         |      |          |               |        |
| OPG                             | 10   | 0.00     | 0.00          | 0.000  |
| Transcranial                    | 10   | 0.00     | 0.00          | 0.000  |
| CBCT                            | 10   | 1.40     | 1.075         | 0.000  |
| Thickness of glenoid fossa      |      |          |               |        |
| OPG                             | 10   | 0.00     | 0.00          | 0.000  |
| Transcranial                    | 10   | 0.00     | 0.00          | 0.000  |
| CBCT                            | 10   | 1.80     | 0.422         | 0.001  |
| Deformed contour                |      |          |               |        |
| OPG                             | 10   | 0.00     | 0.00          | 0.000  |
| Transcranial                    | 10   | 0.00     | 0.00          | 0.000  |
| CBCT                            | 10   | 1.20     | 1.229         | 0.011  |
| Joint space                     |      |          |               |        |
| OPG                             | 10   | 0.10     | 0.316         | 0.001  |
| Transcranial                    | 10   | 0.10     | 0.316         | 0.001  |
| CBCT                            | 10   | 0.60     | 0.516         | 0.011  |
| Subchondral sclerosis           |      |          |               |        |
| OPG                             | 10   | 0.10     | 0.316         | 0.011  |
| Transcranial                    | 10   | 0.10     | 0.316         | 0.011  |
| CBCT                            | 10   | 0.60     | 0.516         | 0.011  |
| Irregularity of articular surface & eminence |      |          |               |        |
| OPG                             | 10   | 0.00     | 0.00          | 0.00   |
| Transcranial                    | 10   | 0.00     | 0.00          | 0.00   |
| CBCT                            | 10   | 0.90     | 0.316         | 0.00   |
| Flattening                      |      |          |               |        |
| OPG                             | 10   | 0.10     | 0.316         | 0.050  |
| Transcranial                    | 10   | 0.1000   | 0.316         | 0.050  |
| CBCT                            | 10   | 0.5000   | 0.527         | 0.050  |

It was found that the most common radiographic findings in CBCT were flattening (100%), subchondral sclerosis (50%), erosion (80%), irregularity of articular surface (90%), deformed contour (60%), increased thickness of roof of glenoid fossa (80%), and reduced joint space (60%). Conventional radiographic technique i.e. Digital OPG and Transcranial showed only flattening (70%), reduced joint space (10%), Sclerosis (10%). Deformed contour, erosion, irregularity of articular surface and eminence were not seen in any of the subjects (Figure 4).

The severity of erosion in our study was observed in total of 8 subjects, out of which 6 were female subjects and 2 were male subjects. Degree of erosion was 60% in female subjects and 20% in male subjects. In our study slight erosion was seen in 40% of the subjects, moderate erosion was observed in 20% of the subjects and extensive erosion was observed in 20% of the subjects. On comparing 3 groups it was observed that severity of erosion was 100% absent in OPG and TR whereas in CBCT 20% of cases showed moderate erosion, 20% showed extensive erosion, 40% showed slight erosion and 20% showed absence of erosion (Table 3 and Figure 5).

Irregularity of articular surface and eminence was absent in 100% of the cases in OPG and TR while in CBCT 90% of cases showed presence of irregularity of articular eminence and 10% cases showed absence of irregularity of articular surface (Table 3 and Figure 6).
Thickness of roof of glenoid fossa appeared to be normal in 20% of the cases and increased in 80% of the cases. Measurement of thickness of roof of glenoid fossa was not applicable in OPG and transcranial, hence in 100% of the cases thickness of roof of glenoid fossa was not measured (Table 3 and Figure 7).

Subchondral sclerosis was observed in 10% of cases in OPG and TR while in CBCT 50% of the cases showed presence of subchondral sclerosis and 50% showed absence of erosion (Table 3).

Joint space appeared to be normal in 90% of the cases in OPG and TR while in CBCT 40% of the cases appeared to have normal joint space, where as 60% of the cases showed reduced joint space. No bony contact was observed in any of the cases (Table 3).

### Table 3: Average percentage (%) difference between OPG, transcranial and CBCT for the presence of erosion, thickness of roof of glenoid fossa, deformed contour, joint space, subchondral sclerosis, flattening, irregularity of articular surface and eminence

| Groups                          | OPG (%) | Transcranial (%) | CBCT (%) |
|--------------------------------|---------|-----------------|----------|
| Erosion (Grading according to severity of erosion criteria) |         |                 |          |
| Absent                        | 100     | 100             | 20       |
| Slight                        | 0       | 0               | 40       |
| Moderate                      | 0       | 0               | 20       |
| Extensive                     | 0       | 0               | 20       |
| Thickness of roof of glenoid fossa |         |                 |          |
| Not applicable                | 100.0   | 100.0           | 0.0      |
| Normal                        | 0.0     | 0.0             | 20.0     |
| Increased                     | 0.0     | 0.0             | 80.0     |
| Irregularity of articular surface and eminence |         |                 |          |
| Absent                        | 100.0   | 100.0           | 10.0     |
| Present                       | 0.0     | 0.0             | 90.0     |
| Subchondral sclerosis         |         |                 |          |
| Absent                        | 90.0    | 90.0            | 50.0     |
| Present                       | 10.0    | 10.0            | 50.0     |
| Joint space                   |         |                 |          |
| Normal                        | 90.0    | 90.0            | 40.0     |
| Reduced                       | 10.0    | 10.0            | 60.0     |
| Deformed contour              |         |                 |          |
| Not present                   | 100.0   | 100.0           | 40.0     |
| Anterior beak                 | 0.0     | 0.0             | 20.0     |
| Anterior and posterior beak   | 0.0     | 0.0             | 20.0     |
| Beak at medial pole           | 0.0     | 0.0             | 20.0     |
| Flattening                    |         |                 |          |
| Absent                        | 30.0    | 30.0            | 0.0      |
| Present                       | 70.0    | 70.0            | 100.0    |

### Table 4: Distribution of osseous changes in TMJ.

| Distribution of Osseous changes in TMJ | OPG (Right side) | OPG (Left side) | OPG (Total no. of patients) | TR (Right side) | TR (Left side) | TR (Total no. of patients) | CBCT (Right side) | CBCT (Left side) | CBCT (Total no. of patients) |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|----------------|--------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| Erosion                               | 0                | 0               | 0                           | 0              | 0            | 0                           | 4                 | 7               | 8                           |
| Type F                                | 4                | 5               | 7                           | 4              | 5            | 7                           | 9                 | 8               | 10                          |
| Reduced joint space                   | 1                | 1               | 1                           | 1              | 1            | 1                           | 4                 | 2               | 6                           |
| Subchondral sclerosis                 | 1                | 1               | 1                           | 1              | 1            | 1                           | 3                 | 3               | 6                           |
| Irregularity of articular surface and eminence | 0                | 0               | 0                           | 0              | 0            | 0                           | 7                 | 8               | 9                           |
| Thickness of roof of glenoid fossa    | 0                | 0               | 0                           | 0              | 0            | 0                           | 6                 | 7               | 6                           |
| Type D                                | 0                | 0               | 0                           | 0              | 0            | 0                           | 5                 | 4               | 6                           |
Kohli S et al. Int J Res Orthop. 2017 Jul;3(4):698-706

Figure 4: Distribution of osseous changes in OPG, transcranial and CBCT group.

Figure 5: Severity of erosion in OPG, transcranial and CBCT group.

Figure 6: Evaluation of irregularity of articular surface and eminence in OPG, TR and CBCT group.
Deformed contour appeared to be absent in 100% of the cases in OPG and TR, while in CBCT 40% of the cases showed absence of deformed contour, 20% of cases appeared to have anterior beak, 20% of the cases showed anterior along with the posterior beak and 20% of the cases showed beak present at the medial pole of condyle (Table 3 and Figure 8).

**DISCUSSION**

The present study was conducted to evaluate the usefulness and efficacy of CBCT in 10 subjects of TMDs. The TMJ is a rather difficult area to investigate radiographically. A number of imaging techniques have been developed over the years; however, there is still no single technique that provides accurate imaging of all the components of the complex anatomy of the joint. Modern imaging modalities, such as MRI and CT, are now being used more frequently for radiographic examination of the TMJ.5,14

Radiographic examination of the TMJ is a decisive factor for the differential and final diagnosis of several pathological conditions of the TMJ.15 Various
conventional radiographic projections like panoramic, transcranial and transpharyngeal are limited for the type of evaluation like erosion of cortical boundary, sclerosis, osteophyte formation and reduction of joint biological space.\

In our study it was reported that the male and female subjects were included in the ratio of 1:4 which correlates with the other studies done by Riden, Juniper, Linde, Isacsson and Jonsson. dos Anjos Pontual et al did a study in 2012 which showed that high prevalence of degenerative bone alteration in TMJs is more frequent in women.\

Alexiou et al did a study, which showed that slight erosion was found in 25% of the joints, moderate erosion was present in 19% of the joints and severe erosion was seen in 15% of the joints which is similar to the results observed in our study.3 Pountal et al (2012) documented that flattening and osteophytes are the most prevalent types of degenerative bony changes and also stated that erosion is the initial stage of degenerative changes, indicating that TMJ is unstable and changes in bone surface will occur.17\n
Honda et al in 2004 did a study similar to ours but on cadavers specimen using 3D X image tool to measure thickness of roof of glenoid fossa and observed that bone thickness measurement by CBCT method was effective.13\n
Gynther et al in 1998 stated that sclerosis develops secondarily in more progressive forms of the disease.18 But in our study we found 50% of the sclerosis in female and 10% in male subjects in young subjects, which is indicative for progression of disease in younger age group.\n
Alexiou et al in 2009 observed reduced joint space in only 50% of the subjects.3 Bony contact between condylar head and mandibular fossa was observed in 25% of the joints. According to the available literature a few studies were reported in the evaluation of joint space through CBCT.\n
Flattening in our study was observed in 10 subjects, out of which 8 were female subjects and 2 were male subjects. Alxeiou et al in 2009 stated that flattening and erosion are the most common radiographic findings of the condyle. Dos Anjos Pontual et al in 2012 observed flattening in 59% of the cases. He stated that flattening and osteophytes are the most prevalent of degenerative bony changes. He also documented that high prevalence of flattening may be explained by the possibility that this bone change represents an adaptive alteration, the first change of progressive disease secondary to internal derangement.\n
Deformed contour and irregularity of articular surface in our study was observed in total of 6 and 9 subjects. Campos et al in 2008 did a similar study observed that 91% of the cases showed changes in condyle and only 1% of the cases showed changes in articular surface.19 He documented that degenerative changes were significantly more frequent in the condyle than in articular eminence. But in our study more changes were seen in articular surface and eminence.\n
Campos et al in 2008 documented that degenerative changes were significantly more frequent in the condyle than in articular eminence. But in our study more changes were seen in articular surface and eminence.19\n
Hintze et al in 2007 did a similar study to ours by comparing the diagnostic accuracy of CBCT images with conventional tomographic images for the detection of morphological TMJ changes. He observed no significant differences in diagnostic accuracy for detection of bone changes in condyle (i.e. flattening, defects and osteophytes) and in the articular tubercle (i.e. flattening and defects) between CBCT images and conventional tomograms.20\n
It was observed that higher occurrence of osteoarthritic lesion of condyle was sclerosis followed by bony proliferation and ill-defined cortical bone. As far as the difference between male and female subject was concerned it was observed that maximum number of patients with TMDs was female subjects. Most of the bony changes in the condyle were seen in female subjects as compared to male subjects.\n
Using CBCT as imaging technique in our study to detect osseous changes in the TMJ was proved to be effective as compared to the conventional radiographic techniques. An attempt was made to compare efficacy of CBCT with conventional radiographic technique in detecting changes in TMJ disorders and observed that CBCT is more effective in detecting any bony changes as compared to OPG and TR. Further, more studies need to be carried out to determine the effectiveness of CBCT in TMJ Disorders among either sex of the population and in larger sample of subjects. Further studies are also required with large sample to compare the efficacy of CBCT with other conventional radiographic techniques.\n
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