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Abstract: Robotic systems are known for their precision and accuracy. To achieve a desired set of operating parameters the system must have a good control system. Robotic arms can vary in size shape and purpose of use. With increasing DOF (Degree of Freedom) the complexity of the system increases and hence a DAQ system is required to check various parameters of the process. This paper discusses the implementation of kinematic and inverse kinematic modelling of a 6 Degree of Freedom (DOF) hydraulic arm in MATLAB environment. The forward kinematic model is based on Denavit-Hartenberg parameters. To obtain a given set of position and orientation of end effector, inverse kinematics gives the required set of joint angles. Potentiometers mounted on arm joint are used as the prime feedback element for extracting the joint angles. All six joints of the arm are revolute joints. The values of potentiometer obtained are compared to the desired input values. Proportional direction control valve is the main controlling element of the hydraulic circuit. The forward and inverse kinematics is validated and implemented in MATLAB robotics toolbox (developed by Peter Croke). A GUI is also used to ease the process of retrieving and feeding the information in the system. The results of forward and inverse kinematics from MATLAB are verified by the physical model discussed in this paper.
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1. Introduction

Robotic arms are one of the most used types of robotic systems in today’s world. There are several types of robots, and they can be classified based on factors like the type of joints, number of degrees of freedom, actuation mechanism, etc. Robotic arms can have either revolute joints or prismatic joints. Some can also have a combination of both these types. Some of the most common types of robotics arms are:

1. Cartesian Robot
2. SCARA Robot
3. Articulated Robot
4. Cylindrical Robot
5. Polar Robot
6. Delta Robot

The actuation systems for a robot determines the responses and other characteristics of a robot. The table below compares various characteristics which are desired in a robot.
Table 1: Comparison between various types of actuators.

| Characteristic          | Hydraulic | Pneumatic | Belt Driven | Electronic actuators |
|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|---------------------|
| Response Time           | Slow      | Fast      | Moderate    | Fast                |
| Load Capacity           | High      | Low       | Moderate    | Moderate            |
| Complexity of construction | High     | High      | Moderate    | Low                 |
| Cost                    | Very High | High      | Moderate    | Moderate            |

It can be inferred from the above table; hydraulics actuated robots have a remarkably high load bearing capacity which is an important characteristic for many industrial and explorational applications. These systems, however, lack in the response time which is a huge disadvantage. To overcome this hurdle faced, a PID control loop can be implemented to reduce the errors dynamically, thus reducing the response time drastically, resulting in a fast system.

Kinematic modelling deals with the robot’s motion irrespective of the forces that act on it. It involves study of its geometry and its positions in space. It is used to study relations between end effector and base along with intermediate links. Kinematics is divided into two sections. First, forward kinematics or direct kinematics which gives the cartesian position of end effector for a given set of fully defined joint parameters. Second, inverse kinematics (IK) - which involves calculating joint angles, position for a given end effector position and orientation. IK is more complicated and requires more constrains compared to forward kinematics.

2. Literature review

P Corke [8] has developed an extensive toolbox for robotic arms in the MATLAB software. This toolbox has many features including functions to develop transformation matrices, ability to develop trajectories, etc. The toolbox can be used to model custom robots with the DH parameters as an input.

Gupta E. [7] have researched about the different software which can be used for the simulation and modelling of robotic systems. They have compared the most feasible options based on various parameters. In this paper, they have concluded that MATLAB would be the most suitable software for kinematic modelling of robots due to factors like huge host of inbuilt toolboxes and a strong developer community.

The modelling of a hydraulic circuit for multiple DOF robotic arm has been discussed by Stanislav and Krejsa [11] in their research paper. The authors have used the MATLAB Sim Hydraulics to design the circuit and simulate their arm and observe the position-time plots for various arms.

Hanan A.R. Akkar and Ahlam Najim A-Amir [12] have discussed the use of LabVIEW software for modelling and analysis of robotic arms. LabVIEW has been used to design a Graphical User Interface for the study of different parameters of a robotic arm.

Modelling of robotic arms has been hugely supplemented by the work of Denavit J. & R.S. Hartenberg [3]. They have developed a set of six parameters which can be used to design and model any robot with multiple degree of freedoms with either revolute or prismatic joints.

3. Plant Setup

The robotic platform used is a 6 DOF robotic arm manipulator. It is a serial manipulator having all joints as revolute. The arm geometrical configuration is made up of Waist (Body), Shoulder, Elbow and Wrist in correspondence with the human arm joints. Each of these joints except the wrist has a single DOF.
Wrist has 3 DOF (Yaw, Pitch, Roll), thus making the end effector more flexible in terms of operation. The arm is fully actuated with each DOF achieved by a Proportional Derivative Control Valves (PDCVs) with all PDCVs connected to PID. The end-effector is a two-state gripper. The built-in mechanical safety limits restrict the joint motion in case somethings in the algorithm goes wrong.

| Feature               | Description                  |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|
| Position Precision    | ±1.0mm (approx.)             |
| Operating Movement Speed | 20 mm/sec                   |
| Hydraulic Pressure    | Actuators (70 bar)           |
|                       | Gripper (140 bar)            |
| Pump Capacity         | 80 lpm                       |
| Overall Weight        | 30 Kgs                       |
| Load Capacity         | 15kgs                        |
| Hydraulic Hose Diameter | 3/8”                      |

The final model of our robot was designed on Solid works software after considering the required specifications. The CAD model is shown below.

![CAD Rendering of Robotic Arm](image)

**Figure 1:** CAD Rendering of Robotic Arm.

4. Kinematic Modelling

Forward kinematics is simple to implement compared to inverse kinematics where some form of numerical method or algorithm is needed to get the desired result. The output of forward kinematics is singular and unique while inverse kinematics can have multiple outputs based upon the constrains.

4.1. Forward Kinematic Model

The study of Kinematic Problem of a robot can be carried out by different methods. The method used in this paper is based on Denavit - Hartenberg (DH) parameters [3]. This Method is systematic in nature and application of method is easy for modelling serial manipulators. DH method is used to develop the kinematic model of the robot because of its versatility and acceptability for modelling of any number of joints and links of an arm irrespective of its complexity.
The kinematic diagram for our robot considering the DH parameters (from Table 3) has been shown below where the axes of rotation for each joint have been considered as the Z axis.

Figure 2: Coordinate frame assignment.

DH works with quadruple \{α_{i-1}, a_{i-1}, d, θ_i\} [3] represents twist angle, link length, link offset and joint angle, respectively.

| Theta | d (mm) | Alpha (deg) | a (mm) |
|-------|--------|-------------|--------|
| θ1    | 37     | π/2         | 0      |
| θ2    | 0      | 0           | 500    |
| θ3    | 0      | π/2         | 0      |
| θ4    | 250    | -π/2        | 0      |
| θ5    | 0      | π/2         | 0      |
| θ6    | 400    | 0           | 0      |

Using the general form of transformation matrix for each link is given by [4]:

\[
T = \begin{bmatrix} R & T \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \cos \alpha & \sin \theta \sin \alpha & r \cos \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \cos \alpha & -\cos \theta \sin \alpha & r \sin \theta \\ 0 & \sin \alpha & \cos \alpha & d \end{bmatrix}
\]

Figure 3: General Form of Transformation Matrix

The Calculation of these individual matrices is direct. Computing each of the link transformation matrix using above equation, as follows
Multiplying all the matrices together, starting with the first joint all the way up to the end effector. The final T vector will contain the position of the end effector. The R matrix will contain the orientation of the end effector.

\[
A_1 = \begin{bmatrix}
C_1, & 0, & S_1, & 0 \\
S_1, & 0, & -C_1, & 0 \\
0, & 1, & 0, & 37/1000 \\
0, & 0, & 0, & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
A_2 = \begin{bmatrix}
C_2, & -S_2, & 0, & C_2/2 \\
S_2, & C_2, & 0, & S_2/2 \\
0, & 0, & 1, & 0 \\
0, & 0, & 0, & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
A_3 = \begin{bmatrix}
C_3, & 0, & S_3, & 0 \\
S_3, & 0, & -C_3, & 0 \\
0, & 1, & 0, & 0 \\
0, & 0, & 0, & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
A_4 = \begin{bmatrix}
C_4, & 0, & -S_4, & 0 \\
S_4, & 0, & C_4, & 0 \\
0, & 0, & 1, & 1/4 \\
0, & 0, & 0, & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
A_5 = \begin{bmatrix}
C_5, & 0, & S_5, & 0 \\
S_5, & 0, & -C_5, & 0 \\
0, & 1, & 0, & 0 \\
0, & 0, & 0, & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
A_6 = \begin{bmatrix}
C_6, & -S_6, & 0, & 0 \\
S_6, & C_6, & 0, & 0 \\
0, & 0, & 1, & 2/5 \\
0, & 0, & 0, & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Multiplying all the matrices together, starting with the first joint all the way up to the end effector. The final T vector will contain the position of the end effector. The R matrix will contain the orientation of the end effector.

\[
\dot{c}_{eT} = \begin{bmatrix}
\dot{r}_{11} & \dot{r}_{12} & \dot{r}_{13} & p_x \\
\dot{r}_{11} & \dot{r}_{12} & \dot{r}_{13} & p_y \\
\dot{r}_{11} & \dot{r}_{12} & \dot{r}_{13} & p_z \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\dot{r}_{11} = C_6*(C_5*(S_1*S_4 + C_4*(C_1*C_2*C_3 - C_1*S_2*S_3)) - S_5*(C_1*C_2*S_3 + C_1*C_3*S_2)) - S_6*(S_4*(C_1*C_2*C_3 - C_1*S_2*S_3) - C_4*S_1)
\]

\[
\dot{r}_{12} = -S_6*(C_5*(S_1*S_4 + C_4*(C_1*C_2*C_3 - C_1*S_2*S_3)) - S_5*(C_1*C_2*S_3 + C_1*C_3*S_2)) - C_6*(S_4*(C_1*C_2*C_3 - C_1*S_2*S_3) - C_4*S_1)
\]

\[
\dot{r}_{13} = C_5*(C_1*C_2*S_3 + C_1*C_3*S_2) + S_5*(S_1*S_4 + C_4*(C_1*C_2*C_3 - C_1*S_2*S_3))
\]

\[
p_x = (2*C_5*(C_1*C_2*S_3 + C_1*C_3*S_2))/5 + (2*S_5*(S_1*S_4 + C_4*(C_1*C_2*C_3 - C_1*S_2*S_3)))/5 + (C_1*C_2)/2+(C_1*C_2)*S_3)/4 + (C_1*C_3*S_2)/4
\]

\[
\dot{r}_{21} = C_6*(C_5*(C_4*(C_2*C_3*S_1 - S_1*S_2*S_3) - C_1*S_4) - S_5*(C_2*S_1*S_3 + C_3*S_1*S_2)) - S_6*(S_4*(C_2*C_3*S_1 - S_1*S_2*S_3) + C_1*C_4)
\]

\[
\dot{r}_{22} = -S_6*(C_5*(C_4*(C_2*C_3*S_1 - S_1*S_2*S_3) - C_1*S_4) - S_5*(C_2*S_1*S_3 + C_3*S_1*S_2)) - C_6*(S_4*(C_2*C_3*S_1 - S_1*S_2*S_3) + C_1*C_4)
\]
\[ r_{23} = C_5(C_2S_1S_3 + C_3S_1S_2) + S_5(C_4(C_2C_3S_1 - S_1S_2S_3) - C_1S_4) \]

\[ p_y = \frac{2C_5(C_2S_1S_3 + C_3S_1S_2)}{5} + \frac{2S_5(C_4(C_2C_3S_1 - S_1S_2S_3) - C_1S_4)}{5} + \frac{(C_2S_1)}{2} + \frac{(C_2S_1S_3)}{4} + \frac{(C_3S_1S_2)}{4} \]

\[ r_{31} = -C_6S_5(S_2S_3 - C_2C_3) - C_4C_5(C_2S_3 + C_3S_2) - S_4S_6(C_2S_3 + C_3S_2) \]

\[ r_{32} = S_6S_5(S_2S_3 - C_2C_3) - C_4C_5(C_2S_3 + C_3S_2) - C_6S_4(C_2S_3 + C_3S_2) \]

\[ r_{33} = C_5S_2S_3 - C_2C_3 + C_4S_5(C_2S_3 + C_3S_2) \]

\[ p_z = \frac{S_2}{2} + \frac{(S_2S_3)}{4} - \frac{(C_2C_3)}{4} + \frac{2C_5(S_2S_3 - C_2C_3)}{5} + \frac{2C_4S_5(C_2S_3 + C_3S_2)}{5} + \frac{37}{1000} \]

**4.2. Inverse Kinematic Model**

In this paper, both analytical method and software approached is mentioned. This method verifies makes certain for any object in the vicinity of the arms, the model provides correct joint angles.
The equations for inverse kinematics of the first three joints are as follows:

\[ \Theta_1 = \arctan \left( \frac{P_y}{P_x} \right) \]  
\[ \Theta_3 = \arctan \left( \frac{(1-M^2)^{1/2}}{M} \right) \]  
\[ \Theta_2 = \arctan \left( \frac{L_3 \sin \Theta_3 D + P_z (L_3 \cos \Theta_3 + L_2) / L_3 \cos \Theta_3 + L_2 D - L_3 \sin \Theta_3 P_z}{L_3 \cos \Theta_3 + L_2 - L_3 \sin \Theta_3 P_z} \right) \]

Where, \( M = \frac{(L_2 - L_2^2 - L_3^2)}{2L_2L_3} \)

\[ D = (P_x^2 + P_y^2)^{1/2} \]

5. Verification of kinematic model

The verification of the model is done by the physical model and cross checking the results with analytical calculations. A proposed analytical method is given in inverse modelling. MATLAB is used to make the calculation part simpler. Verification is done based on required accuracy of 1mm for forward kinematics and 1degree for inverse kinematics.

5.1. Verification of forward kinematic model

The analytical calculations for forward kinematics of the robot have been verified on MATLAB using the robotics toolbox. The analytical calculation is given in section 2.1.

The same inputs were given in the MATLAB environment and the position of robot was plotted after running the MATLAB script. The code also gives the end effector positions as an output. These coordinates are compared with the ones obtained from analytical calculations and have been compared in the table below.
Table 4. Comparison between the results from analytical and MATLAB results

| Input angles | Θi (deg) | End effector Position (mm) | MATLAB Output (mm) | Experimental Output (mm) |
|--------------|---------|----------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| Θ1           | 0       | X                          | 250               | 250                      |
| Θ2           | 90      | Y                          | -1                | 0                        |
| Θ3           | 0       | Z                          | 137               | 137                      |
| Θ4           | 0       |                            |                   |                          |
| Θ5           | -90     |                            |                   |                          |
| Θ6           | 0       |                            |                   |                          |

Figure 6: MATLAB plot of forward kinematics

5.2. Verification of Inverse Kinematic Model

The verification of inverse kinematic model involves the measurement of joint angles for a particular end effector position using a set of potentiometers mounted on the joint axis. Potentiometers are sensors which convert the rotational motion into a change of resistance. This change of resistance can be converted into an electrical signal and this feedback would be used to perform the inverse kinematic calculation analytically. The accuracy of potentiometer readings is 0.1 deg. A Data Acquisition system is used to collect data from 6 potentiometers and plot the results to a GUI.

We have used the robotics toolbox mentioned earlier to calculate the joint angles from a desired end effector position. These values have been further verified by analytical calculations and then compared with the physical potentiometer feedbacks mentioned above. The results from analytical calculations are compared with the actual end effector position.

The table below summarizes the joint angles obtained for the end effector coordinates (500, -100, 100).
Table 5: Verification of inverse kinematics with physical model

| Joint Number | Parameter | Potentiometer | MATLAB |
|--------------|-----------|---------------|--------|
| 1            | \( \theta_1 \) | -11.6         | -12    |
| 2            | \( \theta_2 \) | 79.30         | 80     |
| 3            | \( \theta_3 \) | -9.80         | -10    |
| 4            | \( \theta_4 \) | 0             | 0      |
| F5           | \( \theta_5 \) | -44.2         | -44    |
| 6            | \( \theta_6 \) | 0.1           | 0      |

6. MATLAB GUI for verification

S Mahalingam, [6] has discussed the method and algorithm for the development of a simple Graphic User Interface (GUI) which can be used for performing the forward and inverse kinematics calculations. We have modified and developed a GUI for simulation of our robot. We have re-developed by considering the DH parameters for our robot. This GUI will be developed further in the coming months to make it a more polished interface. We incorporated the real time angle feedback from the potentiometer feedback system.

7. Converting joint angles into equivalent linear displacement of hydraulic cylinders

There are various methods to transfer joint angles into linear displacement of hydraulic cylinders based on geometry, differential calculus. This paper discusses the use of cosine rule to transfer required angle into required cylinder extension position. As the length of every link is fixed there are only two variables that are present into the formed triangle. The length of cylinder (fixed length+ stroke length) and required angle \( \alpha \) (required angle). Implantation of cosine rule can be done easy in primitive controllers while the implantation of counterpart differentiation equations requires higher computing power.

\[ L = \sqrt{s^2 + f^2 - 2sf \cos \alpha} \]

\[ s = \frac{L}{\sin \beta} \]

\[ f = \frac{L}{\sin \gamma} \]

\[ \beta = \arccos \left( \frac{s^2 + f^2 - L^2}{2sf} \right) \]

\[ \gamma = \arccos \left( \frac{s^2 + f^2 - L^2}{2sf} \right) \]

\[ \alpha = \arccos \left( \frac{s^2 + f^2 - L^2}{2sf} \right) \]

\( \alpha \)- required link angle with previous link

L- link length

Figure 7. Line diagram of hydraulic cylinder and joint angle triangle

Fig A- cylinder in extended position

Fig B- cylinder in intermediate position
s- Stroke length of piston
f- Fixed length of cylinder
b- fixed distance between link and cylinder

According to Cosine Rule:

\[ s + f = \sqrt{L^2 + b^2 - 2Lb \cos(180 - \alpha + \beta)} \]

Thus

\[ s = \sqrt{(L^2 + b^2 + 2Lb \cos(\beta - \alpha)) - f} \]

The equation (5) gives the required stroke length of cylinder to achieve the desired angle of rotation. The parameters in the equation can be changed to suit various types of actuators of different types of robots. Dynamic modelling based upon above formula is also possible.

8. Conclusion

In various sections of this paper, we have verified and calculated the kinematic models for our specific robot with the help of various tools including the robotics toolbox. We have also verified the physical results further using a feedback system involving potentiometers. As it can be noted from the result tables, the errors between real world physical readings and the simulation results are within acceptable limits and can be used for further control of the robotic arm.

Kinematic modelling of a robot is a key step in designing of a robotic system and in this paper, we have covered Forward and Inverse Kinematics. Both methods have different application areas, but these can primarily be used to cross verify the results of each other. This paper also covers the geometric modelling approach to calculate the end effector positions. This can be programmed further in MATLAB to make the process more fluid. The scope of this project can be extended for more applications like a PID controlled robotic arm with multiple degrees of freedom. These quick response systems can also be utilized for industrial applications like pick and place mechanisms for sorting. Further applications can also include the integration of these arms with sophisticated systems like an underwater remotely operated vehicle.
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