Bibliometric-Enhanced Information Retrieval 10th Anniversary Workshop Edition

Guillaume Cabanac¹(✉), Ingo Frommholz²(✉), and Philipp Mayr³(✉)

¹ Computer Science Department, University of Toulouse, IRIT UMR 5505, Toulouse, France
guillaume.cabanac@univ-tlse3.fr
² Institute for Research in Applicable Computing, University of Bedfordshire, Luton, UK
ifrommholz@acm.org
³ GESIS – Leibniz-Institute for the Social Sciences, Cologne, Germany
philipp.mayr@gesis.org

Abstract. The Bibliometric-enhanced Information Retrieval workshop series (BIR) was launched at ECIR in 2014 [19] and it was held at ECIR each year since then. This year we organize the 10th iteration of BIR. The workshop series at ECIR and JCDL/SIGIR tackles issues related to academic search, at the crossroads between Information Retrieval, Natural Language Processing and Bibliometrics. In this overview paper, we summarize the past workshops, present the workshop topics for 2020 and reflect on some future steps for this workshop series.
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1 Motivation and Relevance to ECIR

Searching for scientific information is a long-lived user need. In the early 1960s, Salton was already striving to enhance information retrieval by including clues inferred from bibliographic citations [23]. The development of citation indexes pioneered by Garfield [11] proved determinant for such a research endeavour at the crossroads between the nascent fields of Bibliometrics¹ and Information Retrieval (IR) — BIR. The pioneers who established these fields in Information Science — such as Salton and Garfield — were followed by scientists who specialised in one of these [30], leading to the two loosely connected fields we know of today.

The purpose of the BIR workshop series founded in 2014 is to tighten up the link between IR and Bibliometrics [20]. We strive to get the ‘retrievalists’

¹ Bibliometrics refers to the statistical analysis of the academic literature [21] and plays a key role in scientometrics: the quantitative analysis of science and innovation [16].
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and ‘citationists’ [30] active in both academia and the industry together, who are developing search engines and recommender systems such as ArnetMiner, Dimensions, Google Scholar, Microsoft Academic Search, and Semantic Scholar, just to name a few.

These bibliometric-enhanced IR systems must deal with the multifaceted nature of scientific information by searching for or recommending academic papers, patents, venues (i.e., conferences or journals), authors, experts (e.g., peer reviewers), references (to be cited to support an argument), and datasets. The underlying models harness relevance signals from keywords provided by authors, topics extracted from the full-texts, co-authorship networks, citation networks, and various classifications schemes of science.

BIR is a hot topic with growing recognition in the community in recent years: see for instance the Initiative for Open Citations [25], the Google Dataset Search [5], the Indian JNU initiative for indexing the world’s literature in full-text [22], the increasing number of retractions [4], and massive studies of self-citations [13,27]. We believe that BIR@ECIR is a much needed scientific event for the ‘retrievalists’, ‘citationists’ and others to meet and join forces pushing the knowledge boundaries of IR applied to literature search and recommendation.

### 2 Summarizing the Past BIR Workshops

The BIR workshop series was launched at ECIR in 2014 [19] and it was held at ECIR each year since then. As our workshop lies at the crossroads between IR and NLP, we also ran BIR as a joint workshop called BIRNDL (Bibliometric-enhanced IR and NLP for Digital Libraries) at the JCDL [7] and SIGIR [9] conferences. All past workshops had a large number of participants (between ~30 and ~60), demonstrating the relevance of the workshop’s topics.
In the following, we present an overview of the past BIR workshops and keynotes at BIR (Tables 1 and 2). All pointers to the workshops and proceedings are hosted at sites.google.com/view/bir-ws. Many of the presented workshop papers appeared in extended form in one of our four BIR-related special issues (2015 [20], 2018 [8,18], 2019 [2]).

3 Workshop Topics

The call for papers for the 2020 workshop (the 10th BIR edition) addressed current research issues regarding 3 aspects of the search/recommendation process:

1. User needs and behaviour regarding scientific information, such as:
   - Finding relevant papers/authors for a literature review.
   - Measuring the degree of plagiarism in a paper.
   - Identifying expert reviewers for a given submission.
   - Flagging predatory conferences and journals.
   - Information seeking behaviour and HCI in academic search.

2. Mining the scientific literature, such as:
   - Information extraction, text mining and parsing of scholarly literature.
   - Natural language processing (e.g., citation contexts).
   - Discourse modelling and argument mining.

3. Academic search/recommendation systems:
   - Modelling the multifaceted nature of scientific information.
   - Building test collections for reproducible BIR.
   - System support for literature search and recommendation.

4 Target Audience

The target audience of the BIR workshops are researchers and practitioners, junior and senior, from Scientometrics as well as Information Retrieval and Natural Language Processing. These could be IR/NLP researchers interested in potential new application areas for their work as well as researchers and practitioners working with, for instance, bibliometric data and interested in how IR/NLP methods can make use of such data.

5 Peer Review Process and Workshop Format

Our peer review process is supported by EasyChair. Each submission is assigned to 2 to 3 reviewers, preferably at least one expert in IR and one expert in Bibliometrics or NLP. The accepted papers are either long papers (15-min talks) or short papers (5-min talks). Two interactive sessions close the morning and afternoon sessions with posters and demos, allowing attendees to discuss the latest developments in the field and opportunities (e.g., shared tasks such as the CL-SciSumm [12] at the BIRNDL joint workshop, see Sect. 2). These interactive sessions serve as ice-breakers, sparking interesting discussions that usually continue during lunch and the cocktail party. The sessions are also an opportunity for our speakers to further discuss their work.
Table 2. Keynotes at BIR

| Year | Area | Title of the keynote presentation                                                                 | Presenter |
|------|------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| 2015 | SCIM | In Praise of Interdisciplinary Research through Scientometrics                                    | Cabanac [6] |
| 2016 | IR   | Bibliometrics in Online Book Discussions: Lessons for Complex Search Tasks                         | Koolen [14] |
| 2016 | SCIM | Bibliometrics, Information Retrieval and Natural Language Processing: Natural Synergies to Support Digital Library Research | Wolfram [31] |
| 2017 | IR   | Real-World Recommender Systems for Academia: The Pain and Gain in Building, Operating, and Researching them | Beel [3] |
| 2017 | NLP  | Do “Future Work” sections have a purpose? Citation links and entailment for global scientometric questions | Teufel [26] |
| 2018 | NLP  | Trends in Gaming Indicators: On Failed Attempts at Deception and their Computerised Detection     | Labbé [15] |
| 2018 | IR   | Integrating and Exploiting Public Metadata Sources in a Bibliographic Information System          | Schenkel [24] |
| 2019 | NLP  | Beyond Metadata: the New Challenges in Mining Scientific Papers                                   | Atanassova [1] |
| 2019 | IR   | Personalized Feed/Query-formulation, Predictive Impact, and Ranking                               | Wade [28] |
| 2019 | NLP  | Discourse Processing for Text Analysis: Recent Successes, Current Challenges                      | Webber [29] |
| 2020 | SCIM | Metrics and trends in assessing the scientific impact                                             | Tsatsaronis |

SCIM: Scientometrics; NLP: Natural Language Processing; IR: Information Retrieval

6 Next Steps

Research on scholarly document processing has for many years been scattered across multiple venues like ACL, SIGIR, JCDL, CIKM, LREC, NAACL, KDD, and others. Our next strategic step is the First Workshop on Scholarly Document Processing (SDP)\(^2\) will be held in November 2020 in conjunction with the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing. This workshop and initiative will be organized by a diverse group of researchers (organizers from BIR, BIRNDL, Workshop on Mining Scientific Publications/WOSP

\(^2\) https://ornlcda.github.io/SDProc/.
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