Atomicity and density of Puiseux monoids

Maria Bras-Amorosa and Marly Gottib

Departament d’Enginyeria Informàtica i Matemàtiques, Universitat Rovira i Virgili, Tarragona, Spain; Research and Development, Biogen, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA

ABSTRACT
A Puiseux monoid is an additive submonoid consisting of non-negative rationals. Although the operation of addition is continuous with respect to the standard topology, the set of irreducibles of a Puiseux monoid is, in general, difficult to describe. Here, we use topological density to understand how much a Puiseux monoid, as well as its set of irreducibles, spread throughout the real line. First, we separate Puiseux monoids according to their density, and we characterize monoids in each of these classes in terms of generating sets and sets of irreducibles. Then we study the density of the difference group, the root closure, and the conductor semigroup of a Puiseux monoid. Finally, we prove that every Puiseux monoid generated by a strictly increasing sequence of rationals is nowhere dense in the real line and has empty conductor.

1. Introduction
A Puiseux monoid is an additive submonoid of \((\mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}, +)\). The first significant appearance of Puiseux monoids in commutative algebra seems to date back to the 1970s, when A. Grams used them in [21] to disprove P. Cohn’s conjecture (see [7]) that every atomic integral domain satisfies the ACCP (i.e., every ascending chain of principal ideals eventually stabilizes). However, it was not until recently that Puiseux monoids became the focus of significant attention in factorization theory because of their rich and complex atomic structure. The first systematic study of Puiseux monoids appeared in [17], and since then they have been present in the semigroup and factorization theory literature (see, for instance, [6] and [19]). Recent applications of Puiseux monoids to numerical semigroups and commutative algebra can be found in [16] and [10], respectively.

In general, the atomic structure of a Puiseux monoid can be significantly complex. Puiseux monoids range from antimatter monoids (i.e., monoids without atoms) such as \(\langle 1/2^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle\) to atomic monoids whose sets of atoms are dense in \(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\) (see Example 3.6). Even though sufficient conditions for atomicity have been found (see [20, Theorem 5.5] and [18, Proposition 4.5]), there is no characterization of atomic Puiseux monoids in terms of their generating sets. In this article, we study how much Puiseux monoids and, in particular, their sets of atoms, can spread throughout \(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\), hoping our study can contribute toward the understanding of their atomic structure.

In Section 3, we subclassify Puiseux monoids according to how much they spread throughout \(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\): Puiseux monoids that are dense (in \(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\)), Puiseux monoids that are eventually dense (i.e., dense in \([r, \infty)\) for some \(r > 0\)), Puiseux monoids that are somewhere dense (i.e., dense in some finite interval), and Puiseux monoids that are nowhere dense (in \(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\)). We characterize members...
of each of these four classes in terms of their sets of atoms and generating sets. In this section, we also exhibit an atomic Puiseux monoid whose set of atoms is dense in \( \mathbb{R}_{>0} \).

In the first part of Section 4, we argue that the difference group (resp., the root closure) of a Puiseux monoid \( M \) is dense in \( \mathbb{R} \) (resp., in \( \mathbb{R}_{>0} \)) provided that \( M \) is not finitely generated. Then we fully describe the density of Puiseux monoids with non-empty conductor: such Puiseux monoids are nowhere dense if and only if they are finitely generated. In the second part of Section 4, we restrict our attention to increasing Puiseux monoids. A submonoid of \((\mathbb{R}_{>0},+)\) is called increasing provided that it can be generated by an increasing sequence. Increasing monoids were first studied in [20] in the context of Puiseux monoids, and then they were investigated in \([3, 4]\). Increasing monoids are always atomic \([18, \text{Proposition } 4.5]\). We conclude this article proving that every non-finitely generated increasing Puiseux monoid is nowhere dense and has empty conductor.

2. Preliminary

2.1. General notation

In this section, we review most of the notation and terminology we shall be using later. The interested reader can consult [22] for background material on commutative semigroups and [14] for extensive information on factorization theory of atomic monoids. The symbol \( \mathbb{N} \) (resp., \( \mathbb{N}_0 \)) denotes the set of positive integers (resp., non-negative integers), while \( \mathbb{P} \) denotes the set of primes. For \( r \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( S \subseteq \mathbb{R} \), we let \( S_{\geq r} \) denote the set \( \{ s \in S \mid s \geq r \} \) and, in a similar manner, we shall use the notation \( S_{>r} \). If \( q \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0} \), then we call the unique \( a, b \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( q = a/b \) and \( \gcd(a, b) = 1 \) the numerator and denominator of \( q \) and denote them by \( n(q) \) and \( d(q) \), respectively. For each subset \( S \) of \( \mathbb{Q}_{>0} \), we call the sets \( n(S) = \{ n(q) \mid q \in S \} \) and \( d(S) = \{ d(q) \mid q \in S \} \) the numerator set and denominator set of \( S \), respectively.

2.2. Monoids

Every time the term “monoid” is mentioned here, we tacitly assume that the monoid in question is commutative and cancellative. Unless we specify otherwise, we use additive notation on any monoid. For a monoid \( M \), we let \( M^* \) denote the set \( M \setminus \{0\} \), and we let \( M^\times \) denote the set of invertible elements of \( M \). The monoid \( M \) is called reduced when \( M^\times = \{0\} \). For \( x, y \in M \), we say that \( x \) divides \( y \) in \( M \) and write \( x \mid_M y \) provided that there exists \( x' \in M \) satisfying \( y = x + x' \). An element \( a \in M \setminus M^\times \) is irreducible or an atom if whenever \( a = u + v \) for \( u, v \in M \), either \( u \in M^\times \) or \( v \in M^\times \). The set of atoms of \( M \) is denoted by \( A(M) \).

For the remaining of this section, assume that \( M \) is a reduced monoid. Let \( S \) be a subset of \( M \). If no proper submonoid of \( M \) contains \( S \), then \( S \) is called a set of generators (or generating set) of \( M \), in which case we write \( M = \langle S \rangle \). The monoid \( M \) is called finitely generated if \( M \) can be generated by a finite set; otherwise, \( M \) is called non-finitely generated. It is not hard to see that \( A(M) \) is contained in any set of generators of \( M \). If \( M = \langle A(M) \rangle \), then \( M \) is called atomic. By contrast, \( M \) is called antimatter if \( A(M) \) is empty. The notion of being antimatter was introduced and studied in [9] in the setting of integral domains.

2.3. Factorization theory

The (multiplicative) free commutative monoid on \( A(M) \) is denoted by \( \mathbb{Z}(M) \) and called the factorization monoid of \( M \); the elements of \( \mathbb{Z}(M) \) are called factorizations. If \( z = a_1 \cdots a_\ell \in \mathbb{Z}(M) \) for some \( \ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 \) and \( a_1, ..., a_\ell \in A(M) \), then \( |z| := \ell \) is called the length of the factorization \( z \). The unique homomorphism \( \pi_M : \mathbb{Z}(M) \to M \) satisfying that \( \pi_M(a) = a \) for all \( a \in A(M) \) is called the factorization homomorphism of \( M \). For each \( x \in M \), the set
\[ Z(x) := \pi_M^{-1}(x) \subseteq Z(M) \]

is called the \textit{set of factorizations} of \( x \). The monoid \( M \) is said to be an \textit{FF-monoid} (or a \textit{finite factorization monoid}) if \( Z(x) \) is finite for all \( x \in M \). It follows from \cite[Proposition 2.7.8(4)]{14} that every finitely generated monoid is an FF-monoid. For each \( x \in M \), the \textit{set of lengths} of \( x \) is defined by

\[ L(x) := \{ |z| : z \in Z(x) \}. \]

The set of lengths is an arithmetic invariant of atomic monoids that has been very well studied in recent years (see \cite{12} and the references therein). If \( L(x) \) is a finite set for all \( x \in M \), then \( M \) is called a \textit{BF-monoid} (or a \textit{bounded factorization monoid}). Clearly, every FF-monoid is a BF-monoid.

### 2.4. Numerical and Puiseux monoids

A special class of atomic monoids is that one comprising all \textit{numerical monoids}, i.e., cofinite sub-monoids of \((\mathbb{N}_0, +)\). Each numerical monoid has a unique minimal set of generators, which is finite. Let \( N \) be a numerical monoid. If \( \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\} \) is the minimal set of generators of \( N \), then \( \mathcal{A}(N) = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\} \) and \( \gcd(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = 1 \). Thus, every numerical monoid is atomic and contains only finitely many atoms. The \textit{Frobenius number} of \( N \), denoted by \( f(N) \), is the minimum \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( \mathbb{Z}_{>n} \subseteq N \). Readers can find an excellent exposition of numerical monoids in \cite{11} and some of their applications in \cite{1}.

A \textit{Puiseux monoid} is a submonoid of \((\mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}, +)\). Clearly, every numerical monoid is a Puiseux monoid. In addition, a Puiseux monoid is isomorphic to a numerical monoid if and only if the former is finitely generated \cite[Proposition 3.2]{17}. Puiseux monoids are not always atomic; for instance, consider \( \langle 1/2^n | n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle \). However, if \( M \) is a Puiseux monoid such that 0 is not a limit point of \( M^* \), then \( M \) is a BF-monoid \cite[Proposition 4.5]{18} and, therefore, atomic. The atomic structure of Puiseux monoids was first studied in \cite{17} and \cite{20}.

### 3. Atomicity and density

Our goal is to understand how much the set of atoms of an atomic Puiseux monoid can spread throughout \( \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \). To do this it will be convenient to sub-classify Puiseux monoids into classes according to their topological density in positive rays of the real line.

Let \((X, T)\) be a topological space. If \( Y \subseteq X \), then \( Y \) naturally becomes a topological space with the subspace topology, in which case we write \((Y, T|_Y)\). Let \( A, B \subseteq X \) such that \( A \subseteq B \). Recall that \( A \) is a dense set of \((X, T)\) (or dense in \( X \)) if the closure of \( A \) is \( X \). We say that \( A \) is \textit{dense in} \( B \) if \( A \cap B \) is a dense set of \((B, T|_B)\). Also recall that \( A \) is a nowhere dense set of \((X, T)\) (or nowhere dense in \( X \)) if the interior of its closure is empty. We say that \( A \) is \textit{nowhere dense in} \( B \) if \( A \cap B \) is a nowhere dense set of \((B, T|_B)\). Here we only consider the real line \( \mathbb{R} \) with the Euclidean topology.

**Definition 3.1.** Let \( M \) be a Puiseux monoid. We say that \( M \) is

1. dense if \( M \) is dense in \( \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \);
2. eventually dense if there exists \( r \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \) such that \( M \) is dense in \( \mathbb{R}_{>r} \);
3. somewhere dense if there exists a non-empty open interval \((r, s) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \) such that \( M \) is dense in \((r, s) \);
4. nowhere dense if for all \( r, s \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \) with \( r < s \), the set \( M \) is not dense in the open interval \((r, s) \).
Observe that, a priori, none of the definitions above provides information about the set of atoms or any generating set of \( M \). However, the density of a Puiseux monoid according to the previous definitions can be characterized in terms of the topological distribution of its set of atoms.

**Proposition 3.2.** For an atomic Puiseux monoid \( M \) the following conditions are equivalent.

1. \( M \) is dense.
2. \( 0 \) is a limit point of \( M^* \).
3. \( 0 \) is a limit point of any generating set of \( M \).
4. \( 0 \) is a limit point of \( \mathcal{A}(M) \).

**Proof.** Clearly, (1) implies (2). Since \( M \) is reduced, any generating set of \( M \) must contain \( \mathcal{A}(M) \) and, therefore, (2), (3), and (4) are equivalent. To prove that any of the conditions (2), (3), and (4) implies (1), assume that 0 is a limit point of \( M^* \). Let \( \{r_n\} \) be a sequence in \( M^* \) converging to 0. Fix \( p \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \). To check that \( p \) is a limit point of \( M \), fix \( \epsilon > 0 \). Because \( \lim_{n \to \infty} r_n = 0 \), there exists \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( r_n < \min\{p, \epsilon\} \). Take \( m = \max\{k \in \mathbb{Z} \mid p - kr_n > 0\} \), and set \( r = mr_n \). Then we have that \( 0 < p - r = p - (m + 1)r_n + r_n \leq r_n < \epsilon \). As for any \( \epsilon > 0 \) we have found \( r \in M \setminus \{p\} \) with \( |p - r| < \epsilon \), it follows that \( p \) is a limit point of \( M \). So \( M \) is a dense Puiseux monoid. \( \square \)

**Corollary 3.3.** If a Puiseux monoid is not dense, then it is atomic.

**Proof.** It follows immediately from [18, Proposition 4.5], which implies that a Puiseux monoid \( M \) is a BF-monoid when 0 is not a limit point of \( M^* \). \( \square \)

Observe that the conditions (1), (2), and (3) in Proposition 3.2 are equivalent even when \( M \) is not atomic. In addition, condition (4) always implies all the three previous conditions. However, the atomicity of \( M \) is required to obtain condition (4) from any of the previous conditions; for example, consider the antimatter Puiseux monoid \( \langle 1/2^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle \).

Let us characterize the atomic Puiseux monoids that are somewhere dense.

**Proposition 3.4.** Let \( M \) be an atomic Puiseux monoid. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

1. \( \mathcal{A}(M) \) is somewhere dense in \( \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \).
2. Each generating set of \( M \) is somewhere dense in \( \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \).

If any of the above conditions holds, then \( M \) is a somewhere dense Puiseux monoid.

**Proof.** Since \( M \) is reduced, every generating set contains \( \mathcal{A}(M) \). Therefore (1) implies (2). Since \( M \) is atomic, \( \mathcal{A}(M) \) is a generating set of \( M \) and, therefore, (2) implies (1). The last statement follows straightforwardly. \( \square \)

The next example illustrates an atomic Puiseux monoid whose set of atoms is not only dense in certain interval but also each element of this interval is an atom.

**Example 3.5.** Take \( r, s \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \) such that \( r < s < 2r \). Now consider the Puiseux monoid \( M := \langle (r, s) \cap \mathbb{Q} \rangle \). Since 0 is not a limit point of \( M^* \), it follows that \( M \) is atomic. On the other hand, the condition \( 2r > s \) implies that \( s \) is a lower bound for \( M^* + M^* \). Hence \( \mathcal{A}(M) = (r, s) \cap \mathbb{Q} \).

What is even more striking is the existence of an atomic Puiseux monoid whose set of atoms is dense in \( \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \).

**Example 3.6.** First, we verify that the set \( S = \{m/p^n \mid m, n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } p \nmid m\} \) is dense in \( \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \) for every \( p \in \mathbb{P} \). To see this, take \( \ell \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \) and then fix \( \epsilon > 0 \). Now take \( n, m \in \mathbb{N} \) with \( 1/p^n < \epsilon \) and
\[
m/p^n < \ell \leq (m + 1)/p^n.
\]
Clearly, \( s := m/p^n \) of \( S \) satisfies that \( |\ell - s| < \epsilon \). Since \( \epsilon \) was arbitrarily taken, \( \ell \) is a limit point of \( S \). Because 0 is also a limit point of \( S \), we conclude that \( S \) is dense in \( \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \).

Now take \( \{r_n\} \) to be a sequence of positive rationals with underlying set \( R \) dense in \( \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \). Let \( \{p_k\} \) be an increasing enumeration of the prime numbers. It follows from the previous paragraph, that for each \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), the set
\[
\left\{ \frac{m}{p_k^n} \mid m, n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } p_k \nmid m \right\}
\]
is dense in \( \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \). Therefore, for every natural \( k \), there exist naturals \( m_k \) and \( n_k \) satisfying that \( |r_k - m_k/p_k^{n_k}| < 1/k \). Consider the Puiseux monoid
\[
M := \left\{ \frac{m_k}{p_k^n} \mid k \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.
\]
As distinct generators in (3.1) have powers of distinct primes in their denominators, \( M \) must be atomic and \( \mathcal{A}(M) = \left\{ m_k/p_k^n \mid k \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \). To check that \( \mathcal{A}(M) \) is dense in \( \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \), take \( x \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \) and then fix \( \epsilon > 0 \). Since \( R \) is dense in \( \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \), there exists \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) large enough such that \( 1/k < \epsilon/2 \) and \( |x - r_k| < \epsilon/2 \). So \( |r_k - m_k/p_k^{n_k}| < 1/k < \epsilon/2 \). Then
\[
|x - \frac{m_k}{p_k^n}| < |x - r_k| + \left| r_k - \frac{m_k}{p_k^n} \right| < \epsilon.
\]
Hence \( \mathcal{A}(M) \) is dense in \( \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \).

We conclude this section proving that being somewhere dense and being eventually dense are equivalent conditions in the setting of Puiseux monoids.

**Proposition 3.7.** For a Puiseux monoid \( M \), the following conditions are equivalent.

1. \( M \) is eventually dense.
2. \( M \) is somewhere dense.

**Proof.** It is clear that (1) implies (2). To verify that (2) implies (1), suppose that \( M \) is somewhere dense, i.e., there exists an interval \((r, s)\) with \( r < s \) such that \( M \) is topologically dense in \((r, s)\). Since \( M \) is closed under addition, it follows that \( M \) is topologically dense in \((ns, ns)\) for each \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). Take \( N \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( n(s - r) > r \) for every \( n \geq N \). In this case, we can see that \( nr < (n + 1)r < ns < (n + 1)s \) for each \( n \geq N \) which means that
\[
\mathbb{R}_{>Nr} = \bigcup_{n=N}^{\infty} (nr, ns).
\]
Now fix \( p \in \mathbb{R}_{>Nr} \) and \( \epsilon > 0 \). Take \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( p \in (nr, ns) \). Since \( M \) is topologically dense in \((nr, ns)\), there exists \( x \in M \cap (nr, ns) \) such that \(|x - p| < \epsilon \). Hence \( M \) is topologically dense in \( \mathbb{R}_{>Nr} \) and, as a result, eventually dense. \( \square \)

**Corollary 3.8.** Let \( M \) be a Puiseux monoid. If \( \mathcal{A}(M) \) is somewhere dense, then \( M \) is eventually dense.

The converse of Corollary 3.8 does not hold in general, as our next example illustrates. First, recall that the Cantor set \( C \) is the subset of \( \mathbb{R} \) one obtains starting with the interval \([0, 1]\) and then removing iteratively the open middle third of each of the intervals in each iteration. Formally, we can write
\[ C := [0, 1] \setminus \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=0}^{3^n-1} \left( \frac{3k+1}{3^{n+1}}, \frac{3k+2}{3^{n+1}} \right). \]

It is well known that the Cantor set is an uncountable nowhere dense subset of \([0, 1]\) satisfying that \(C + C = [0, 2]\). Also, it is well known that the set \(E\) consisting of the end points of all the intervals obtained in each iteration in the construction of \(C\) is a subset of \(C\) that is dense in \(C\).

**Example 3.9.** As \(C + C = [0, 2]\), the equality \((1 + C) + (1 + C) = [2, 4]\) holds. Let us argue that \(((1 + C) \cap \mathbb{Q}) + ((1 + C) \cap \mathbb{Q})\) is dense in the interval \([2, 4]\). Note that \(1 + C\) is just the Cantor set constructed in the interval \([1, 2]\). Since \(E \subseteq \mathbb{Q} \cap C\) is dense in \(C\), we obtain that \(((1 + C) \cap \mathbb{Q}) + ((1 + C) \cap \mathbb{Q})\) is dense in \([2, 4]\), as desired. Now consider the Puiseux monoid \(M = ((1 + C) \cap \mathbb{Q})\). It is clear that \(A(M) = (1 + C) \cap \mathbb{Q}\), which implies that \(M\) is atomic. On the other hand, \(A(M)\) is nowhere dense as it is a subset of \(1 + C\). However, we have seen before that \(M\) is dense in \([2, 4]\).

For the sake of completeness, we record the following proposition whose proof is straightforward.

**Proposition 3.10.** For a Puiseux monoid \(M\), the following statements are equivalent.

1. \(M\) is nowhere dense.
2. Each generating set of \(M\) is nowhere dense.

If any of the above statements holds, then \(M\) is atomic and \(A(M)\) is nowhere dense.

Every finitely generated Puiseux monoid is isomorphic to a numerical monoid and, therefore, must be a nowhere dense Puiseux monoids. In addition, there are non-finitely generated atomic Puiseux monoids that are nowhere dense. We shall determine a class of such monoids in Theorem 4.9.

**4. Difference group, root closure, and conductor**

**4.1. Difference group and the root closure**

The *difference group* of a monoid \(M\), denoted by \(\text{gp}(M)\), is the abelian group (unique up to isomorphism) satisfying that any abelian group containing a homomorphic image of \(M\) will also contain a homomorphic image of \(\text{gp}(M)\). When \(M\) is a Puiseux monoid, the difference group \(\text{gp}(M)\) can be taken to be a subgroup of \((\mathbb{Q}, +)\), namely,

\[ \text{gp}(M) = \{ x - y \mid x, y \in M \}. \]

The difference group of a Puiseux monoid can be characterized in terms of the denominators of its elements. Before stating such a characterization it is convenient to introduce the notion of the root closure. The *root closure* \(\tilde{M}\) of a monoid \(M\) with difference group \(\text{gp}(M)\) is defined by

\[ \tilde{M} := \{ x \in \text{gp}(M) \mid nx \in M \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N} \}. \]

The monoid \(M\) is called *root-closed* provided that \(\tilde{M} = M\). The difference group and the root closure of a Puiseux monoid \(M\) were described by Geroldinger et al. in [13] in terms of the set of denominators of \(M\) in the following way.

**Proposition 4.1.** [13, Proposition 3.1] Let \(M\) be a Puiseux monoid, and let \(n = \gcd(n(M^*))\). Then

---

1Looking at the endpoints of the Cantor set was kindly suggested by Jyrko Correa and Harold Polo.
\[
\tilde{M} = \text{gp}(M) \cap \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0} = n \left\{ \frac{1}{d} \mid d \in \text{d}(M^*) \right\}. 
\]  \hfill (4.1)

The normal closure and the complete integral closure are two other algebraic closures of a monoid that play an important role in semigroup theory and factorization theory. However, we do not formally introduce any of these two notions of closures as, in virtue of [15, Lemma 2.5] and [13, Proposition 3.1], they are both equivalent to the root closure in the context of Puiseux monoids.

**Example 4.2.** Take \( r \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0} \) such that \( \text{d}(r) \in \mathbb{P} \), and consider the Puiseux monoid \( M = \langle r^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \rangle \). Since \( 1 \in M \), one sees that \( \gcd(n(M^*)) = 1 \). Also, it is clear that \( \text{d}(M^*) = \{ \text{d}(r)^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \} \). Then Proposition 4.1 guarantees that

\[
\tilde{M} = \left\{ \frac{1}{\text{d}(r)^n} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right\},
\]

which is the non-negative cone of the localization \( \mathbb{Z}_{\text{d}(r)} \) of \( \mathbb{Z} \) at the multiplicative set \( \{ q^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \} \). Observe that \( M \) is closed under multiplication, and so it is a cyclic rational semiring. Various factorization invariants of cyclic rational semirings were recently investigated in [5] by Chapman et al.

Although it is difficult in general to determine whether a given Puiseux monoid is dense, a criterion to determine the density of its difference group and its root closure can be easily established, as the following proposition shows.

**Proposition 4.3.** Let \( M \) be a Puiseux monoid. Then the following statements are equivalent.

1. \( \text{gp}(M) \) is dense in \( \mathbb{R} \).
2. \( \tilde{M} \) is dense in \( \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \).
3. \( M \) is not finitely generated.

**Proof.** To prove that (1) implies (2), suppose that \( \text{gp}(M) \) is dense in \( \mathbb{R} \). It is clear that every additive subgroup of \( \mathbb{Q} \) is symmetric with respect to 0. This, together with Proposition 4.1, guarantees that \( \tilde{M} = \text{gp}(M) \cap \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0} \) is dense in \( \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \), which is condition (2).

Let us argue now that (2) implies (3). Suppose, by way of contradiction, that the monoid \( M \) is finitely generated. Then \( \text{d}(M^*) \) is a finite set, and it follows from Proposition 4.1 that \( m\tilde{M} \) is a submonoid of \( (\mathbb{N}_0, +) \), where \( m = \text{lcm}(\text{d}(M^*)) \). Therefore the monoid \( m\tilde{M} \) is not dense in \( \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \). However, this clearly implies that \( \tilde{M} \) is not dense in \( \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \), which is a contradiction.

Finally, we show that (3) implies (1). Assume that \( M \) is not finitely generated. Then \( \text{d}(M^*) \) contains infinitely many elements as, otherwise, \( \text{lcm}(\text{d}(M^*))M \) would be a submonoid of \( (\mathbb{N}_0, +) \) and, therefore, finitely generated. Then it follows from Proposition 4.1 that 0 is a limit point of \( \tilde{M}^* \), and it follows from Proposition 3.2 that \( \tilde{M} \) is a dense Puiseux monoid. Hence Proposition 4.1 ensures that \( \text{gp}(M) = \tilde{M} \cup -\tilde{M} \) is dense in \( \mathbb{R} \). \( \square \)

### 4.2. The conductor of a Puiseux monoid

Let \( M \) be a monoid. The **conductor** of a Puiseux monoid \( M \) is defined to be

\[
\text{c}(M) := \{ x \in \text{gp}(M) \mid x + \tilde{M} \subseteq M \}. 
\]  \hfill (4.2)

It is clear that \( \text{c}(M) \) is a subsemigroup of the group \( \text{gp}(M) \). Although it is more convenient for our purposes to define the conductor of a Puiseux monoid in terms of its root closure, we would like to remark that in general the conductor of a monoid is defined in terms of its complete
integral closure; see for example, [14, Definition 2.3.1]. However, recall that the notions of root closure and complete integral closure coincide in the setting of Puiseux monoids.

**Example 4.4.** Let $M$ be a numerical monoid, and let $f(M)$ be the Frobenius number of $M$. It follows from Proposition 4.1 that $\text{gp}(M) = \mathbb{Z}$ and $\widetilde{M} = \mathbb{N}_0$. For $n \in M$ with $n \geq f(M) + 1$, the inclusion $n + \widetilde{M} = n + \mathbb{N}_0 \subseteq M$ holds. In addition, for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $n \leq f(M)$ the fact that $f(M) \in n + \widetilde{M}$ implies that $n + \widetilde{M} \not\subseteq M$. Thus,

$$c(M) = \{ n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid n \geq f(M) + 1 \}. \quad (4.3)$$

As the equality of sets (4.3) shows, the minimum of $c(M)$ is $f(M) + 1$, namely, the conductor number of $M$ as usually defined in the setting of numerical monoids.

The conductor of a Puiseux monoid has been recently described in [13] as follows.

**Proposition 4.5.** [13, Proposition 3.2] Let $M$ be a Puiseux monoid. Then the following statements hold.

1. If $M$ is root-closed, then $c(M) = \widetilde{M} = M$.
2. If $M$ is not root-closed, then set $\sigma = \sup M \setminus M$.
3. If $\sigma = \infty$, then $c(M) = \emptyset$.
4. If $\sigma < \infty$, then $c(M) = M_{\geq \sigma}$.

Puiseux monoids with non-empty conductor have been considered in [13] and, more recently, in [2]. The density of a Puiseux monoid with non-empty conductor can be fully understood with the following criterion.

**Proposition 4.6.** Let $M$ be a Puiseux monoid with non-empty conductor. Then $M$ is nowhere dense if and only if $M$ is finitely generated.

**Proof.** For the direct implication, suppose that $M$ is a nowhere dense Puiseux monoid. Since $M$ has non-empty conductor, it follows from Proposition 4.5 that either $M$ is root-closed or $\sup M \setminus M < \infty$. We consider the following two cases.

CASE 1: $M$ is root-closed. Suppose, by way of contradiction, that $M$ is not finitely generated. Then Proposition 4.3 guarantees that $\widetilde{M}$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. Since $M$ is root-closed, $M = \widetilde{M}$, and so $M$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. However, this contradicts that $M$ is nowhere dense.

CASE 2: $M$ is not root-closed. In this case, the inequality $\sup M \setminus M < \infty$ must hold. Taking $\tau := 1 + \sup M \setminus M$, we find that $M_{\geq \tau} = M_{\geq \tau}$. Suppose for a contradiction that $M$ is not finitely generated. Then $M_{\geq \tau}$ would be dense in $\mathbb{R}_{\geq \tau}$ by Proposition 4.3 and, therefore, $M_{\geq \tau}$ would also be dense in $\mathbb{R}_{\geq \tau}$. However, this contradicts that $M$ is nowhere dense.

The reverse implication follows immediately. Indeed, if $M$ is finitely generated, then $d(M^*)$ is finite and the set $\text{lcm}(d(M^*))M \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is nowhere dense in $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, which in turn implies that $M$ is nowhere dense. □

### 4.3. Increasing Puiseux monoids

A submonoid $M$ of $(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, +)$ is called increasing (resp., decreasing) if $M$ can be generated by an increasing (resp., decreasing) sequence of real numbers. Clearly, every Puiseux monoid generated by an increasing sequence of rationals is an example of an increasing monoid. Increasing (and decreasing) Puiseux monoids were first studied in [20]. The monoids $(\alpha^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}_0)$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ are either increasing or decreasing, and have been recently studied in [8]. If an increasing submonoid of $(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, +)$ (in particular, an increasing Puiseux monoid) can be generated by an
unbounded (resp., bounded) sequence it is called a strongly increasing (resp., weakly increasing) monoid. Strongly increasing monoids were considered in [3, 18] and then in [4] under the term “ω-monoids.” Increasing Puiseux monoids are always atomic. Indeed, it was proved in [18, Proposition 4.5] that every increasing Puiseux monoid is an FF-monoid.

Our goal in this final subsection is to prove that increasing Puiseux monoids are nowhere dense and also that they have empty conductors provided that they are not finitely generated. First, we will establish some needed lemmas.

Let \( S \) be a subset of \( \mathbb{R} \), and take \( \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \). We say that \( \alpha \) is a limit point of \( S \) from the right if for every \( \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \) the set \( (\alpha, \alpha + \epsilon) \cap S \) is non-empty.

**Lemma 4.7.** Let \( M \) be an increasing Puiseux monoid. If \( M \) has a limit point \( \alpha \) from the right, then \( M \) also has another limit point \( \beta \) from the right with \( \beta < \alpha \).

**Proof.** Assume that \( M \) has a limit point \( \alpha \) from the right. Then \( M \) cannot be finitely generated. Since \( M \) is increasing it is an atomic monoid. As \( M \) is not finitely generated there exists a strictly increasing sequence \((a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) with underlying set \( A(M) \). Notice that if the equality \( \lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = \infty \) held, it would imply that \( |M \cap [0, n]| < \infty \) for every \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), contradicting that \( \alpha \) is a limit point of \( M \) from the right. Hence the sequence \((a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) must converge to some \( \ell \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \).

For each \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) the monoid \((a_1, \ldots, a_n)\) is finitely generated and, therefore, the set \( \{s \in (a_1, \ldots, a_n) | s > \alpha\} \) has a minimum, which we denote by \( s_n \). Clearly, the sequence \((s_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) is decreasing. Since \( \alpha \) is a limit point of \( M \) from the right, \( \lim_{n \to \infty} s_n = \alpha \). Let \((j_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) be the strictly increasing sequence with underlying set \( \{j \in \mathbb{N} | s_j < s_{j-1}\} \). Notice that \( a_{j_n} / M s_{j_n} \) for every \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). Hence after setting \( b_n := s_{j_n} - a_{j_n} \) for every \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), we obtain that each term of the sequence \((b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) belongs to \( M \). In addition, \( b_n = s_{j_n} = a_{j_n} > s_{j_n+1} = a_{j_n+1} = b_{n+1} \) for every \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), whence \((b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) is a strictly decreasing sequence. As \((b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) consists of non-negative numbers, \( \lim_{n \to \infty} b_n = \beta \) for some \( \beta \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \). So \( \beta \) is a limit point of \( M \) from the right. It is clear that \( \beta = \lim_{n \to \infty} b_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} s_{j_n} - \lim_{n \to \infty} a_{j_n} = \alpha - \ell < \alpha \), from which the lemma follows. \( \square \)

**Lemma 4.8.** Let \( M \) be an increasing Puiseux monoid. Then \( M \) does not contain limit points from the right.

**Proof.** Suppose, by way of contradiction, that the set \( A \) consisting of all the limit points of \( M \) from the right is non-empty. Set \( \alpha = \inf A \). We will first argue that \( \alpha \) is indeed the minimum of \( A \). To do so take a decreasing sequence \((s_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) whose terms belong to \( A \) such that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} s_n = \alpha \). Because each \( s_n \) belongs to \( A \), for each fixed \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) there exists a strictly decreasing sequence \((b_{n,j})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}\) whose terms belong to \( M \) such that \( \lim_{j \to \infty} b_{n,j} = s_n \). So for each \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) there exists \( k_n \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( b_{n,k_n} < s_n + 1/n \). Therefore \((b_{n,k_n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) is a sequence of elements of \( M \) satisfying that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} (b_{n,k_n}) = \alpha \). Hence \( \alpha \) is a limit point of \( M \) from the right and, therefore, \( \alpha \in A \). So \( \alpha = \min A \). Now Lemma 4.7 guarantees the existence of a limit point \( \beta \) of \( M \) from the right such that \( \beta < \alpha \). However, this contradicts the minimality of \( \alpha \). Thus, one can conclude that \( M \) has no limit point from the right. \( \square \)

We are now ready to prove the main result of this section.

**Theorem 4.9.** Let \( M \) be an increasing Puiseux monoid. Then the following statements hold.

1. \( M \) is nowhere dense.
2. \( \mathcal{C}(M) \) is non-empty if and only if \( M \) is finitely generated.

**Proof.** To argue the statement (1) we proceed by contradiction. Suppose that \( M \) is not a nowhere dense Puiseux monoid. Then there exist \( r, s \in \mathbb{R} \) with \( 0 < r < s \) such that \( M \) is dense in \((r, s)\). Take \( b \in M \cap (r, s) \). Since \( M \) is an increasing Puiseux monoid, Lemma 4.8 guarantees the
existence of $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that $M \cap [b, b+\epsilon] = \{b\}$. Then the set $M \cap (b, \min\{s, b+\epsilon\})$ is empty. However, this contradicts that $M$ is dense in $(r, s)$ because the open interval $(b, \min\{s, b+\epsilon\})$ is contained in the open interval $(r, s)$. Hence $M$ is a nowhere dense Puiseux monoid, as desired.

To establish the direct implication of (2), suppose that $M$ is a non-finitely generated increasing Puiseux monoid. Then, by Proposition 4.3, the set $M^*$ has 0 as a limit point. Since 0 is not a limit point of $M^*$, it follows that $M \neq M^*$. Hence $M$ cannot be root-closed. On the other hand, let us argue that $M \setminus M$ is unbounded and so $\sup M \setminus M = \infty$. Indeed, let us see that for each $r \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists an element $c$ in $M \setminus M$ with $c > r$. Since the underlying set of $M$ is unbounded, there exists $c \in M$ such that $c > r$. It follows now from Lemma 4.8 that there exists $e \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that $M \cap [c, c+e] = \{c\}$. Since $M$ is not finitely generated, Proposition 4.3 ensures that $M$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ and, therefore, there exists $c_0 \in M$ such that $0 < c_0 < e$. Now take $\tilde{c} := c + c_0$. The element $\tilde{c}$ belongs to $\tilde{M}$ and satisfies that $c < \tilde{c} < c + e$, whence $\tilde{c} \in \tilde{M} \setminus M$. In addition, $\tilde{c} > c > r$. As $r$ was taken arbitrarily in $\mathbb{R}$, we obtain that $\sup \tilde{M} \setminus M = \infty$, as desired. Hence it follows from Proposition 4.5 that $\mathcal{C}(M)$ is necessarily empty.

For the reverse implication of (2), it suffices to notice that $M$ is finitely generated if and only if $M$ is isomorphic to a numerical monoid and that, as seen in Example 4.4, the conductor of a numerical monoid is always non-empty.

We have seen that if a non-finitely generated monoid is increasing, then it has empty conductor. We would like to remark that there are non-finitely generated atomic Puiseux monoids that are not increasing and still have empty conductor. The following example illustrates this.

Example 4.10. Consider the Puiseux monoid $M$ generated by the infinite set $A := \{1\} \cup \{1+1/p \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$. Since 0 is not a limit point of $M^*$, it follows from [18, Proposition 4.5] that $M$ is atomic. Indeed, it is not hard to check that $\mathcal{A}(M) = A$. So $M$ is not finitely generated. On the other hand, the fact that 1 is a limit point of $M$ from the right, along with Lemma 4.8, guarantees that $M$ is not an increasing Puiseux monoid. In addition, it has been verified in [13, Example 3.9] that $\mathcal{C}(M)$ is empty.
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