Atypical respiratory pathogens
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The main atypical pathogens in respiratory tract infections are classified on the basis of their ability to cause atypical pneumonia. This is not a well-defined clinical entity, and it is evident that atypical pathogens can sometimes cause ‘typical’ pneumonias and vice versa. This emphasizes the need for microbiological diagnosis, since it affects the selection of proper treatment, in which β-lactam antibiotics and aminoglycosides are not effective. Moreover, mixed infections caused by atypical and typical pathogens together are common. At this moment rapid and sensitive diagnostic methods are lacking. Besides numerous viruses, the main bacterial pathogens causing atypical pneumonias are *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*, two chlamydial species, *Chlamydia pneumoniae* and *C. psittaci*, one rickettsia, *Coxiella burnetti*, and several *Legionella* species. The majority of these pathogens cause upper respiratory tract infections more often than overt pneumonias. An atypical agent, *Chlamydia pneumoniae*, has also been associated with chronic inflammatory conditions in the cardiovascular system. The most recently discovered pathogen in atypical pneumonias is a hantavirus causing hantavirus pulmonary syndrome.
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INTRODUCTION

‘Atypical pathogens’ in community-acquired respiratory tract infections are purely microbiological entities: apart from pneumonias, where the pneumococcus is the leading causative agent, the overwhelming majority of respiratory infections are caused by ‘atypical pathogens’ (Table 1). The list of atypical pathogens is long, and the more microbial diagnostic methods are used, the more pathogens are found. A recent example comprises the hantaviruses recently found in hantavirus pulmonary syndrome [1]. Although pneumonia and pneumonic symptoms had earlier been described in hantavirus infections, as had pulmonary edema in rickettsioses [2], no one expected a hemorrhagic fever virus to be the causative agent in severe pneumonias. The use of advanced microbial diagnostic methods established a new disease syndrome.
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HISTORY

The history of atypical pathogens starts with psittacosis, caused by *Chlamydia psittaci* [3], influenza viruses [4] and Q fever, caused by rickettsia, *Coxiella burnetti* [5]. Influenza virus continues to be a great scourge of all Table 1 Agents associated with atypical pneumonias

| Agent                  | Pneumonia type |
|------------------------|----------------|
| True bacteria          |                |
| *Legionella pneumophila* | Mostly epidemics |
| *Legionella* spp.      | Uncommon       |
| Mycoplasma             |                |
| *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* | Common         |
| Rickettsia             |                |
| *Coxiella burnetti*    | Zoonosis       |
| Chlamydia              |                |
| *Chlamydia psittaci*   | Uncommon       |
| *Chlamydia trachomatis*| Infants        |
| Viruses                |                |
| influenza A and B      | Common         |
| adenoviruses 3, 4 and 7| Common         |
| parainfluenzas         | Common         |
| respiratory syncytial  | Common         |
| rhinoviruses           | Uncommon       |
| enteroviruses          | Uncommon       |
| coronaviruses          | Uncommon       |
| herpes viruses         | Uncommon       |
mankind, and a possible appearance of a new killer strain is in every autumn a greater menace than the feared Ebola virus. The other two agents also continue to be important respiratory tract pathogens, but being zoonoses, are limited in their occurrence mostly to cases with animal contacts. The 1940s saw the discovery of Eaton-PPLO agent 161, *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*, which still is one of the commonest and well-recognized causes of pneumonia worldwide. Virologic research has revealed a long list of viral agents, ranging from adenoviruses, enteroviruses, parainfluenza viruses, respiratory syncytial viruses, rhinoviruses and coronavirus finally up to hantaviruses underlying the hantavirus pulmonary syndrome [1]. Viruses are by far the most numerous causative agents in respiratory tract infections with 'atypical pathogens', and the importance of pneumococcus is the only reason justifying the division between 'typical' and 'atypical' pathogens.

**CLINICAL PICTURE**

Luckily, 'atypical pneumonias' are usually not clinically severe; rather, the converse is the case. Some clinical features of atypical pneumonias are presented in Table 2. In the patient history, family cases and the epidemiologic situation can aid in the diagnosis, as well as contact with a sick parrot when there is suspicion of psittacosis. The onset can be delayed and insidious. Sputum production can be minimal and polymorphonuclear leukocytes are not present. In the chest X-ray, no lobar infiltrates, but more diffuse alterations 'typical of atypical pneumonia', are seen. Leukocytosis can be absent in cases without massive pulmonary destruction, the erythrocyte sedimentation level is usually elevated, but C-reactive protein does not reach the levels found especially in severe pneumococcal pneumonias. However, none of these symptoms and signs readily differentiates an 'atypical' disease from a 'typical' one. All the values overlap, and even a lobar infiltrate in the X-ray can be caused by an atypical pathogen [7]. Without proper microbial diagnosis, the first clue to the etiology can too often be only the lack of response to the standard antimicrobial treatment used.

| Table 2 | Some features considered typical for atypical pneumonias |
|---------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Typical patient history | Lack of purulent sputum |
| Typical chest X-ray finding | Absence of leukocytosis |
| Normal or moderately elevated C-reactive protein | Poor response to β-lactam therapy |

In the following sections the main bacterial agents causing atypical respiratory tract infections are discussed, with a special emphasis on the latest bacterial addition, *Chlamydia pneumoniae*.

**Mycoplasma pneumoniae**

Mycoplasmal pneumonias concentrate in the younger age groups, and this is illustrated in Figure 1, comparing the prevalence of antibodies against *M. pneumoniae* and *Chlamydia pneumoniae* in the Finnish population. The clinical description of mycoplasmal infections is classical but there are some open questions. One is the lack of reliable diagnostic methods, since conventional serologic methods, cold-agglutinin and complement-fixation tests, are neither sensitive nor specific, although the former is easy to perform. A second question is, how effective is the antibiotic treatment in mycoplasmal pneumonias? Mycoplasmal diseases in general are a neglected area, and we should not rely on serology only in the diagnosis of mycoplasmal pneumonias [8].

**Legionella species**

The epidemic of a curious disease named afterwards as 'legionellosis' in Pittsburgh in 1976 brought a special bacterial genus to our attention [9]. Although its first member had been discovered over thirty years earlier [10], only then was it discovered to be the causative agent of several serious epidemics of respiratory infections. Relatively new also is its association with environmental constructions and air-conditioning tech-
nology. The descriptions of the importance, diagnosis and treatment of legionellosis have become established [11]. Over the years, at least 17 Legionella species have been associated with clinical diseases, especially with severe pneumonias, but the importance of these environmental bacteria varies considerably between different regions and settings. In some places they are causing a considerable proportion of all pneumonias [12] and are listed among the three major causes. In other areas, e.g. Finland, they are, despite an intensive search, rarities, usually imported by tourists.

*Chlamydia psittaci*

Respiratory tract infections caused by *Chlamydia psittaci* are directly dependent on exposure to birds carrying the pathogen. Therefore, cases are seen in connection with turkey and duck farming (chickens seem not to be important), pigeon breeding, and sick pet birds. Casual contacts with synanthropic birds are common, and ruling out a bird contact is much more difficult than finding one. There has been a debate over whether *Chlamydia pneumoniae* is a more common agent than *Chlamydia psittaci*. Even in the microimmunofluorescence (MIF) test it is sometimes difficult to differentiate these two chlamydial species from each other [13], and it demands expertise [14]. Fortunately, the treatment is the same in both chlamydial pneumonias. According to seroepidemiologic surveys, *Chlamydia pneumoniae* infections are 20–50 times more common than *Chlamydia psittaci* infections [15,16]. There is a possibility that the severity of *Chlamydia psittaci* infections could be due to the sensitization of the patient to this species by an earlier, mild *Chlamydia pneumoniae* pulmonary infection.

*Chlamydia pneumoniae*

The most recent addition to the long list of important atypical bacterial pathogens is *Chlamydia pneumoniae*. Like the first *Legionella* strains, the first strains of this new chlamydial agent were isolated [17] years before an epidemic in northern Finland brought them to our attention [18]. In the beginning, *Chlamydia pneumoniae* seemed to be an agent causing benign and mild disease, but later it was shown to cause—the typical feature of all *Chlamydia*—silent, slowly creeping infections, gradually leading to severe tissue damage [19].

The pathogenesis of *Chlamydia pneumoniae* infections has been studied using mouse models [20,21]. When *Chlamydia pneumoniae* is given intranasally, acute infection with polymorphonuclear leukocytes in the lungs is seen only after massive challenge doses. Otherwise a silent pneumonia with a clear histologic picture of mononuclear inflammation around bronchioles and vessels develop without overt illness. Repeated inoculations aggravate this inflammation temporarily, but the presence of the agent is difficult to demonstrate by isolation [22]. The demonstration of nucleic acids by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) gives, however, a positive finding and cortisone treatment, after alleviating inflammation, makes isolation of the agent possible [23]. *Chlamydia pneumoniae* is also demonstrable after intranasal challenge in the blood circulation, alveolar and peritoneal macrophages, and the liver and spleen, pointing to a disseminated infection [24].

Upper respiratory tract carriage has been described [25,26], and there is a possibility that carriers do not develop antibody responses or, if they do, only after a prolonged period. Pneumonias due to *Chlamydia pneumoniae* have been described in infants [27], and from Japan there is a report of an epidemic in day-care centres [28]. However, in industrialized countries antibodies usually start to appear when children enter school [29, 30]. In a recent Finnish study on childhood pneumonias, the youngest patient with a *Chlamydia pneumoniae* infection was 7 years old, and the majority of patients were over 10 years of age (Korppi et al, unpublished data). Other respiratory syndromes associated with *Chlamydia pneumoniae* are rhinitis, sinusitis, pharyngitis, otitis, and bronchitis. A recent review of *Chlamydia pneumoniae* infections in children concentrated on respiratory tract infections [31]. However, during a *Chlamydia pneumoniae* epidemic in northern Finland, only a third of the children presenting a seroconversion were hospitalized because of respiratory tract symptoms (Uhari et al, unpublished data). The disease picture in children can thus be quite variable and demands further study.

Primary infection in young adults leads to pneumonia in about 10% of cases [32]. This is usually a mild disease, but can be prolonged with a long convalescence. In young age groups, reinfections seem not to lead to pneumonias [32]. However, reinfection pneumonias, especially in elderly patients with underlying diseases, can be very severe [33]. One possibility is that when the resistance to infection has decreased enough to allow the agent to invade the lungs, or the invading strain is different enough to be able to colonize the lungs, partial immunity can lead to hypersensitivity reactions typical of all chlamydial infections.

The role that *Chlamydia pneumoniae* plays in other acute respiratory tract infections is still under study. In adults, it has been associated with 0.5–7% of pharyngitis [34,35] cases (the last figure during an epidemic), and 5–10% of acute bronchitis cases. Sinusitis and otitis in adults have also been reported, but wider studies are so far lacking.

Mixed infections are common in *Chlamydia pneumoniae* infections. In the studies on Finnish children,
half or even the majority of patients have had a concomitant infection caused by another pathogen; virus, mycoplasma or bacterium. Similarly, during an epidemic in North Finland, nearly half of the Chlamydia pneumoniae pneumonias were combined with invasive pneumococcal infections [36]. Chlamydia pneumoniae has a ciliostatic effect [37], which in these cases may help pneumococci carried in the upper respiratory tract to invade deeper layers. These double infections were more severe than usual and the response to antibiotics effective against the pneumococcus only was poor [38]. One should not be satisfied when one pathogen is diagnosed, but always keep in mind the possibility of mixed infection.

LABORATORY DIAGNOSIS OF ATYPICAL PATHOGENS

Serology has traditionally been used to diagnose infections caused by atypical pathogens. Antigens and complete kits for antibody assays are commercially available from several sources. The most serious disadvantage is the need to demonstrate a seroconversion in the majority of the cases. This delays the diagnosis and is then of no aid to the clinician treating the acutely ill patient. Attempts have been made to overcome this delay by the demonstration of IgM antibodies in the acute phase or by using ‘diagnostic’ high titers in the first serum sample. The pitfalls are the lack of IgM in reinfections and the uncertainty of the diagnostic value of high titers in acute diseases caused by several atypical agents. Serology, even though inadequate, has remained the main diagnostic tool in mycoplasmal and chlamydial pneumonias as well as in Q fever.

Culture of the atypical pathogens demands special media not widely used in microbiology laboratories or, in the case of obligatory intracellular pathogens, cultured living cells in specialized units. Moreover, Coxiella burnetti and Chlamydia psittaci present dangers to laboratory workers handling the agent, and even Chlamydia pneumoniae has caused laboratory-acquired pneumonias [39]. In legionellosis, however, culture is a standard diagnostic procedure [40]. It should also be attempted in Chlamydia pneumoniae infections in order to obtain information on disease associations and strain variability of this newly recognized pathogen.

Antigen detection in respiratory tract infections has been utilized mainly in viral infections, with good success. Its use in the case of atypical bacterial pathogens has not been as rewarding. Moreover, techniques based on immunofluorescence demand experience and patience from the reader, since numbers of pathogens are often limited and their reliable identification is difficult. Lack of commercially available reagents and kits is a problem in the diagnosis of uncommon atypical pathogens. However, in legionellosis, detection of antigen in urine seems to be a reliable diagnostic method [11,41]. In pneumonias, the presence of bacterial components in the circulation, alone or in immune complexes, has been used successfully in the diagnosis of pneumococcal pneumonias [42], but has remained understudied in the case of atypical pathogens. These types of complex are commonly seen, however, in chronic Chlamydia pneumoniae infections, which lessens their diagnostic value in acute infections, especially in elderly males with arteriosclerotic lesions [43].

Nucleic acid (NA) detections seems to be the diagnostic method of the future for atypical respiratory pathogens. The commercial kit for M. pneumoniae direct NA detection is no longer available, but diagnostic companies are developing kits based on NA amplification for Legionella spp., M. pneumoniae and Chlamydia pneumoniae. These, whether based on the polymerase or ligase chain reaction, would provide a sensitive and specific diagnosis for these pathogens in 24 h. This would finally give a firm basis for a rationally targeted therapy.

TREATMENT

Table 3 shows the recommended treatment for infections caused by atypical pathogens. The therapeutic response of bacterial atypical pathogens to β-lactam antibiotics and aminoglycosides is lacking or marginal. The drugs used are tetracyclines, macrolides, and azalides. Time will tell how much the advent of newer quinolones will alter these recommendations. Prolonged treatment of 2–4 weeks has been used in legionellosis and in Chlamydia pneumoniae pneumonias. The possibility of mixed infections should always be kept in mind.

| Pathogen          | Preferred drugs                  | Also effective       |
|-------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|
| Legionella spp.   | Erythromycin (rifampicin)        | Quinolone?           |
| Mycoplasma        | Erythromycin                     | Macrolide/azalide    |
| pneumoniae        |                                  | Quinolone            |
| Chlamydia spp.    | Tetracycline                     | Macrolide/azalide    |
| Coxiella burnetti | Doxycycline/tetracycline         | Macrolide/azalide    |
| Respiratory syncytial virus | Ribavirin aerosol | Quinolone            |
CHRONIC SEQUELAE DUE TO ATYPICAL RESPIRATORY PATHOGENS

Appropriate therapy can be efficient in preventing long-term sequelae caused by some of these atypical pathogens. Q fever endocarditis is a feared complication, which often leads to valvular operations or drug therapy for the rest of the patient’s life [44]. Recently discovered Chlamydia pneumoniae seems to be associated with complications of unexpected severity and ubiquity. Signs of chronic Chlamydia pneumoniae infection have been found in both childhood [45] and adult-onset [46] asthma, chronic bronchitis [47], and sarcoidosis [48]. Most surprising is its association with arteriosclerosis, the leading cause of death in industrialized countries. Markers of chronic Chlamydia pneumoniae infections are found in acute myocardial infarction [49,50], and they have repeatedly been shown to be a risk factor for cardiac events [51,52]; and, finally, the pathogen has been demonstrated in atherosclerotic lesions [53,54]. Animal experiments have been positive [55,56], as have preliminary intervention trials [57,58]. It may be possible that appropriate treatment of Chlamydia pneumoniae respiratory infection in the acute phase prevents infection from progressing to a chronic state, which can be much more difficult to cure. This would demand acute diagnosis and targeted therapy for atypical pneumonias.
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