Brain de novo transcriptome assembly of a toad species showing polymorphic anti-predatory behavior
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Understanding the genomic underpinnings of antipredatory behaviors is a hot topic in eco-evolutionary research. Yellow-bellied toad of the genus Bombina are textbook examples of the deimatic display, a time-structured behavior aimed at startling predators. Here, we generated the first de novo brain transcriptome of the Apennine yellow-bellied toad Bombina pachypus, a species showing inter-individual variation in the deimatic display. Through RNA-Seq experiments on a set of individuals showing distinct behavioral phenotypes, we generated 316,329,573 reads, which were assembled and annotated. The high-quality assembly was confirmed by assembly validators and by aligning the contigs against the de novo transcriptome with a mapping percentage higher than 91.0%. The homology annotation with DIAMOND (blastx) led to 77,391 contigs annotated on Nr, Swiss Prot and TrEMBL, whereas the domain and site protein prediction made with InterProScan led to 4747 GO-annotated and 1025 KEGG-annotated contigs. The B. pachypus transcriptome described here will be a valuable resource for further studies on the genomic underpinnings of behavioral variation in amphibians.

Background & Summary

Inter-individual variation in antipredatory behavior has long attracted scientific curiosity and has been investigated in a wide range of animal species, from mammals to fishes, insects and even to marine invertebrates. However, we still know little about the specific molecular mechanisms underlying the origin of this variation. In fact, while some behavioral traits have been linked to epigenetic mechanisms, the observation that behavior can be heritable supports a role for modulation of standing genetic variation within populations. The recent advances in omic-sciences opens for investigating the genetic basis of behavioral trait variation, and thus for understanding the genomic underpinnings of the inter-individual variation in antipredatory strategies.

Deimatism is a common anti-predatory strategy. It consists in suddenly unleashing unexpected defenses to frighten predators and to stop their attack, and it combines cryptism and aposematism in a complex and time structured antipredatory strategy. Deimatic displays typically involve either chromatic and behavioral components. Inter-individual variation in warning signals have traditionally been considered maladaptive. Yet, individual variation in morphological and chromatic components have been widely reported in many organisms. Conversely, the occurrence of polymorphism in the behavioral component of warning signals is still almost unexplored.

With this study, we aim at providing genomic resources to investigate the genetic underpinnings of inter-individual behavioral differences in warning signals. We generated the first de novo brain transcriptome of a species showing polymorphism in behavioral traits associated with deimatic displays, the Apennine yellow-bellied toad Bombina pachypus. The yellow-bellied toads of the genus Bombina are textbook examples of unken-reflex, a deimatic behavior which consists in toads arching their body and exposing their aposematically colored ventral side. Experimental evidence has shown within-population variation in the way B. pachypus toads reacted to predation stimuli: about half of the toads quickly reacted with a long and intense body arching and aposematic display (i.e. the unken-reflex), while the other half of the individuals analysed did not show...
deimatic behavior, but rather moved away\textsuperscript{12}. These two antipredatory strategies have been proposed to reflect the way individuals cope with environmental challenges, i.e. reactive vs proactive coping style, and arguably linked to differential sympathetic-parasympathetic activities\textsuperscript{13}. We focused on brain transcriptome, as the brain tissues have shown differential gene expression profiles linked to distinct behavioral states in response to environmental stimuli\textsuperscript{14–16}, also in closely related Bombina species\textsuperscript{17,18}. Thus, the transcriptome analysis of the brain can reveal the ways in which distinct molecular pathways can modulate anti-predatory behaviour\textsuperscript{19}.

The data presented in this study consist of assembled transcriptome sequences of the brain of *B. pachypus* at the adult stage. The *de novo* transcriptome has been annotated to provide a transcriptome reference for further analysis of differential gene expression profiles. Since the *B. pachypus* genome has not been sequenced so far, the transcriptome presented here will be a valuable resource for further eco-evolutionary studies on the behavioral repertoire of amphibians.

**Methods**

**Sample collection and RNA preparation.** We analyzed 6 adult yellow-bellied toad individuals representative of distinct behavioral profiles, i.e. prolonged unken-reflex display vs no unken-reflex display (hereafter referred as “+” and “−”, respectively). Behavioral profiles were scored as in Chiocchio et al.\textsuperscript{12}; 3 toads showed prolonged unken-reflex (+), whereas the other 3 did not show unken-reflex (−), as reported in Table 1. Sampling procedures were approved by the Italian Ministry of Ecological Transition and the Italian National Institute for Environmental Protection and Research (ISPRA; permit number: 20824, 18-03-2020). After dissection, brain tissue was immediately stored in RNAProtect Tissue Reagent (Quigen) until RNA extraction. RNA extractions were performed using the RNeasy Plus Kit (Quigen), according to the manufacturer’ instructions. RNA quality and concentration were assessed by means of both a spectrophotometer and a Bioanalyzer (Agilent Cary60 UV-vis and Agilent 2100, respectively - Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, USA).

**Library preparation and sequencing.** Library preparation and RNA sequencing were performed by NOVOGENE (UK) COMPANY LIMITED using Illumina NovaSeq platform. Library construction was carried out using the NEBNext\textsuperscript{9} Ultra™ RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina\textsuperscript{8}, following manufacturer instructions. Briefly, after the quality control check, the mRNA sample was isolated from the total RNA by using magnetic beads made of oligos d(T)\textsubscript{25} (i.e. polyA-tail mRNA enrichment). Subsequently, mRNA was randomly fragmented, and a cDNA synthesis step proceeded using random hexamers and the reverse transcriptase enzyme. Once the synthesis of the first chain has finished, the second chain was synthesized with the addition of the Illumina buffer, dNTPs, RNase H and polymerase I of *E.coli*, by means of the Nick translation method. Then, the resulting products went through purification, repair, A-tailing and adapter ligation. Fragments of the appropriate size were enriched by PCR, the indexed P5 and P7 primers were introduced, and the final products were purified. Finally, the Illumina Novaseq 6000 sequencing system was used to sequence the libraries, through a paired-end 150bp (PE150) strategy. We obtained on average 52.7 million reads for each library. The sequencing data are available at the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (project ID PRJNA764013\textsuperscript{20}).

**Pre-assembly processing stage.** A total of 316,329,573 pairs of reads was generated by Illumina sequencing. All of them went to a cleaning analytic step. The quality of the raw reads was assessed with the FastQC 0.11.5 tool (http://www.bioinformatics.bbsrc.ac.uk/projects/fastqc), in order to estimate the RNAseq quality profiles. The quality estimators were generated for both the raw and trimmed data. The quality assessment metrics for trimmed data were aggregated across all samples into a single report for a summary visualization with MultiQC (http://multiqc.info/).

**De novo transcriptome assembly and quality assessment.** As there is no reference genome for *B. pachypus*, we performed a *de novo* transcriptome assembly procedure. The workflow of the bioinformatic pipelines is shown in Fig. 2. All the described bioinformatics analyses were performed on the high-performance computing systems provided by ELIXIR-IT HPC@CINECA\textsuperscript{21}.

To construct an optimized *de novo* transcriptome, we employed maSPAdes\textsuperscript{22}, a tool for *de novo* transcriptome assembly from RNA-Seq data implemented in the SPAdes v3.14.1 package.

| Bioproject | Run ID     | Phenotypes          | Raw sequences | Filtered sequences (% of the total reads) |
|------------|------------|---------------------|---------------|---------------------------------------|
| PRJNA764013 | SRR15927734 | not unken-reflex (−) | 52476660 | 49488968 (94.31%) |
| PRJNA764013 | SRR15927733 | not unken-reflex (−) | 52548719 | 49298011 (93.81%) |
| PRJNA764013 | SRR15927731 | unken-reflex (+)    | 53007498 | 49675835 (93.71%) |
| PRJNA764013 | SRR15927732 | not unken-reflex (−) | 54267911 | 50813920 (93.64%) |
| PRJNA764013 | SRR15927730 | unken-reflex (+)    | 51029133 | 48227054 (94.51%) |
| PRJNA764013 | SRR15927729 | unken-reflex (+)    | 52999652 | 49850617 (94.06%) |

Table 1. Summary of the 6 libraries deposited in the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) of NCBI, in terms of number of raw and trimmed reads per sample.
RNA-SPAdes automatically detected two k-mer sizes, approximately one third and half of the maximal read length (the two detected k-mer sizes were 45 and 67 nucleotides, respectively). At this stage, a total of 1,118,671 assembled transcripts were generated by RNA-SPAdes runs, with an average length of 689.41 bp and an N50 of 1474 bp (Table 2).

Results from the assembly procedures were validated through three independent validator algorithms implemented in BUSCO25 v.4.1.4, DETONATE26 v.1.11 and TransRate27 v.1.0.3. These tools generate several metrics used as a guide to evaluate error sources in the assembly process and provide evidence about the quality of the assembled transcriptome. Busco provides a quantitative measure of transcriptome quality and completeness, based on evolutionarily-informed expectations of gene content from the near-universal, ultra-conserved eukaryotic proteins (eukaryota_odb9) database. Detonate (DE novo Transcriptome RNA-seq Assembly with or
without the Truth Evaluation) is a reference-free evaluation method based on a novel probabilistic model that depends only on the assembly and the RNA-Seq reads used to construct it. Transrate generates standard metrics and remapping statistics. No reference protein sequences were used for the assessment with Transrate. The main metrics resulted from the assembly validators are shown in Table 2 ("Before CD-HIT-est" column). After this triple assessment validation step, the result of the assembly procedure become the input for the CD-HIT-est v.4.8.128 program, a hierarchical clustering tool used to avoid redundant transcripts and fragmented assemblies common in the process of de novo assembly, providing unique genes. CD-HIT-est was run using the default parameters, corresponding to a similarity of 95%. Subsequently, a second validation step was launched on the CD-HIT-est output file. To refine the final transcriptome dataset, a further hierarchical clustering step was performed by running CORSET v1.0629. Then, the output of CORSET was validated by BUSCO, and quality assessment was performed with HISAT230,31 by mapping the trimmed reads to the reference transcriptome (unigenes). Results from all validation steps are shown in Table 2 and discussed in the "Technical Validation" paragraph.

Finally, the CORSET output was run on TransDecoder 32,33, the current standard tool that identifies long open read frames (ORFs) in assembled transcripts, using default parameters. TransDecoder by default performs ORF prediction on both strands of assembled transcripts regardless of the sequenced library. It also ranks ORFs based on their completeness, and determines if the 5' end is incomplete by looking for any length of AA codons upstream of a start codon (M) without a stop codon. We adopted the “Longest ORF” rule and selected the highest 5 AUG (relative to the inframe stop codon) as the translation start site.

### Table 2. Similarity rate of newly assembled transcripts versus the de novo transcriptome of *B. pachypus*.

| Validation scores | After rnaSPAdes | After CD-HIT-est (Unigenes) |
|-------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|
| **Basic parameters** |                |                             |
| Total transcripts | 1118671        | 896992                      | 267959                      |
| Mean transcripts length (bp) | 689.41        | 616.32                      | 799                         |
| N50                | 1474           | 1082                        | 2314                        |
| GC content (%)     | 40.15          | 39.93                       | 39.87                       |
| **Transrate v.1.0.3** |                |                             |
| Transrate Assembly Score | 0.0563        | 0.1281                      | —                           |
| Transrate Optimal Score | 0.0877        | 0.178                       | —                           |
| Transrate Optimal Cutoff | 0.0138        | 0.0138                      | —                           |
| good contigs       | 991122         | 822552                      | —                           |
| p good contigs     | 0.89           | 0.92                        | —                           |
| **Busco v.3.0.2**  |                |                             |
| Complete Buscos (C) | 245 (96.1%)    | 240 (94.1%)                 | 246 (96.5%)                 |
| Complete and single-copy Buscos (S) | 157 (61.1%) | 186 (72.9%) | 157 (61.6%) |
| Complete and duplicated Buscos (D) | 88 (34.5%) | 54 (21.2%) | 89 (25.3%) |
| Fragmented Buscos (F) | 4 (1.6%)      | 8 (3.1%)                    | 8 (3.1%)                    |
| Missing Buscos (M) | 6 (2.3%)       | 7 (2.8%)                    | 1 (0.4%)                    |
| Total Busco groups searched | 255 | 255 | 255 |
| **Detonate v.1.9** |                |                             |
| Score              | −28610853057.11 | −31067445817.60               | —                           |
| BIC_penalty        | −10912136.97   | −11301742.60                 | —                           |
| Prior_score_on_contig_lengths_(_function_canceled) | −2403141.71 | −2100226.61 | — |
| Prior_score_on_contig_sequences | −1072761315.55 | −993903654.87 | — |
| Data_likelihood_in_log_space_without_correction | −27529522562.34 | −30064989468.40 | — |
| Correction_term_(_function_canceled) | −4746099.45 | −4892974.88 | — |

**Transcriptome annotation.** We employed different kinds of annotations for the de novo assembly. We introduced DIAMOND34, an open-source algorithm based on double indexing that is 20,000 times faster than BLASTX on short reads and has a similar degree of sensitivity. Like BLASTX, DIAMOND attempts to determine exhaustively all significant alignments for a given query. Most sequence comparison programs, including BLASTX, follow the seed-and-extend paradigm. In this two-phase approach, users search first for matches of seeds (short stretches of the query sequence) in the reference database, and this is followed by an 'extend' phase that aims to compute a full alignment. The following parameter settings were applied: DIAMOND-fast DIAMOND BLASTX -t 48 -k 250 -min-score 40; DIAMOND-sensitive: DIAMOND BLASTX -t 48 -k 250 -sensitive -min-score 40.

Contigs were aligned with DIAMOND on Nr, SwissProt and TrEMBL to retrieve the corresponding best annotations. An annotation matrix was then generated by selecting the best hit for each database. Following the analysis of BLASTX against Nr, SwissProt and TremBL, we obtained respectively: 123,086 (64.57%), 77,736 (40.78%), 122,907 (64.48%) contigs. The results obtained following the analysis with BLASTP against Nr,
SwissProt and TrEMBL were 96,321 (50.53%), 57,877 (30.36%) and 97,256 (51.02%) contigs respectively. All the information on the resulting datasets is resumed in Table 3.

| Database    | Number of BLASTX results | Number of BLASTP results |
|-------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Nr          | 123086 (64.57%)          | 96321 (50.53%)           |
| SwissProt   | 77736 (40.78%)           | 57877 (30.36%)           |
| TrEMBL      | 122907 (64.48%)          | 97256 (51.02%)           |

Table 3. Summary of homology annotation hits on the different databases queried in this study.

The output obtained by the BLASTX annotation consisted in a total of 77391 sequences simultaneously mapped on the three queried databases (i.e., Nr, SwissProt and TrEMBL). The output obtained following the BLASTP annotation consisted in a total of 57704 sequences simultaneously mapped on the three databases. Venn diagrams are presented in Fig. 3, showing the redundancy of the annotations in the different databases for both DIAMOND BLASTX (Fig. 3a) and DIAMOND BLASTP (Fig. 3b). Furthermore, the ten most represented species and the ten hits of the gene product obtained respectively with BLASTX and BLASTP by mapping the transcripts against the reference database Nr are shown in Figs. 4 and 5. Since BLASTX translated nucleotide sequence searches against protein sequences the BLASTX results are more exhaustive than BLASTP results. Contigs were also processed with InterProScan to detect InterProScan signatures. The InterPro database integrates together predictive models or ‘signatures’ representing protein domains, families and functional sites from multiple, diverse source databases: Gene3D, PANTHER, Pfam, PFSE, PRINTS, ProDom, PROSITE, SMART, SUPERFAMILY and TIGRFAMs. The obtained InterProScan results for all the unigenes are available on Figshare in the form of Tab Separated Values (tsv) file format, which includes the GO and KEGG annotated contigs, respectively.

SwissProt and TrEMBL were 96,321 (50.53%), 57,877 (30.36%) and 97,256 (51.02%) contigs respectively. All the information on the resulting datasets is resumed in Table 3.

The output obtained by the BLASTX annotation consisted in a total of 77391 sequences simultaneously mapped on the three queried databases (i.e., Nr, SwissProt and TrEMBL). The output obtained following the BLASTP annotation consisted in a total of 57704 sequences simultaneously mapped on the three databases. Venn diagrams are presented in Fig. 3, showing the redundancy of the annotations in the different databases for both DIAMOND BLASTX (Fig. 3a) and DIAMOND BLASTP (Fig. 3b). Furthermore, the ten most represented species and the ten hits of the gene product obtained respectively with BLASTX and BLASTP by mapping the transcripts against the reference database Nr are shown in Figs. 4 and 5. Since BLASTX translated nucleotide sequence searches against protein sequences the BLASTX results are more exhaustive than BLASTP results. Contigs were also processed with InterProScan to detect InterProScan signatures. The InterPro database integrates together predictive models or ‘signatures’ representing protein domains, families and functional sites from multiple, diverse source databases: Gene3D, PANTHER, Pfam, PFSE, PRINTS, ProDom, PROSITE, SMART, SUPERFAMILY and TIGRFAMs. The obtained InterProScan results for all the unigenes are available on Figshare in the form of Tab Separated Values (tsv) file format, which includes the GO and KEGG annotated contigs, respectively.
Comparison with *Bombina orientalis* brain transcriptome. We compared the brain *de novo* transcriptome of *B. pachypus* with the brain *de novo* transcriptome of *B. orientalis*, recently produced in the frame of a prey-catching conditioning experiment\textsuperscript{17,18}. The *B. orientalis* transcriptome resource was downloaded from GEO archive of NCBI (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE171766). To make the datasets comparable, we first performed ORF prediction on *B. orientalis* transcriptome using Transdecoder, using default settings. Results from the *B. orientalis* transcriptome ORF prediction are available in Figshare at the following link https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.20319633/). We also applied the *makedb* function implemented in DIAMOND to create the protein database index. Then, we aligned the *B. pachypus* predicted coding sequences (query files) against the *B. orientalis* protein database (reference) using DIAMOND BLASTX and BLASTP, respectively. We obtained 167041 matches from BLASTX and 156248 matches for BLASTP. Results from the BLASTX and BLASTP comparisons, and the most matched proteins, are available on Figshare\textsuperscript{36} (link available in next paragraph).

Data Records

We generated six files corresponding to the RNA-seq samples of the brain tissue of the six *B. pachypus* individuals analyzed for this study. The six files were deposited in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive database, under project identification number PRJNA764013\textsuperscript{20}; the NCBI accessions for each individual are listed in Table 1 (Run ID SRR15927729 - SRR15927734). The datasets containing the rnaSPAdes transcriptome assembly, post CD-Hit-Est assembly, post corset assembly (unigenes), predicted ORF and homology and functional annotation files were deposited in Figshare (Project description\textsuperscript{36}: https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.c.5696179; Assembly\textsuperscript{37}: https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.16945270; Annotation\textsuperscript{38}: https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.16945264; comparison with *Bombina orientalis* transcriptome\textsuperscript{39}: https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.20319633).

Technical Validation

Quality of the raw reads and assembly validation. To assess overall data quality, we performed quality checks using FastQC and MultiQC for all samples before and after adaptor/sequence trimming. The mean read counts per quality score were higher than 35 (Fig. 1a). The mean quality scores in each base position were higher than 35 (Fig. 1b). The mean sequence lengths were 126–130 bp (Fig. 1c). The mean per sequence GC content was 40% (Fig. 1d).

Transcriptome assembly validation was done using Busco, Detonate and Transrate. Results from the triple validation step are shown in Table 2, and contain the scores obtained from the execution of the three analysis tools, both before and after running CD-HIT-est.

Using HISAT2\textsuperscript{31} (a fast and sensitive alignment program for mapping next-generation sequencing reads, DNA and RNA), we verified that more than 91% of the reads were mapped back to the assembled transcriptome of the *B. pachypus* thus indicating a proper quality sequence reconstruction. Figure 6 shows the number of raw reads, paired-reads after trimming, and trimmed paired-reads that are mapped against *B. pachypus de novo* transcriptome.

Transrate assessment showed increased values for the ”Transrate Optimal Score” item following hierarchical clustering using CD-HIT-est, passing from 0.088 to 0.178, and for the ”Transrate Assembly Score” item, passing from 0.056 to 0.128 (more than twice). The value of “good contigs” increased after CD-HIT-est (due to redundancy removal), but with a value of 0.92 for the final assembly. Transrate also reported a value of GC around 40% after each validation step. The transcriptome obtained after CD-HIT-est included a total of 896,992 transcripts with a mean transcript length of 616.32 bp and an N50 of 1082 bp, with a value above the 94% of completeness for Busco assessment. In terms of redundancy removal, the further step of CORSET clustering produced a real improvement. In fact, the final version of the assembled transcriptome included 267,959 transcripts with a mean transcript length of 799 bp, the N50 value equals to 2314 and a value above the 96% for Busco assessment, improving the previous results computed by the CD-HIT-est tool. As shown in Table 2, CORSET greatly

---

**Fig. 5** Most represented species and gene product hits. Top 10 best species (a) and protein (b) hits present in the reference database (Nr, BLASTP).
improved the assembled transcriptome removing redundancy and reducing the number of transcripts, thus improving the quality scores of the final assembly.

**Quality control of annotation.** The transcriptome was functionally annotated by performing DIAMOND and InterProScan. By selecting the best hit for NR, SwissProt and TrEMBL databases, the annotation matrix generated with DIAMOND has led to the results listed in Table 3. In particular 77,391 (BLASTX) and 57,704 (BLASTP) contigs were annotated in all the three databases, NR, Swissprot, Trembl.

InterProScan provided as result the corresponding InterPro accession numbers and, among other accession IDs, the GO and Kegg annotation. It produced a total of 32142 annotated contigs, being 4747 contigs GO-annotated and 1025 contigs KEGG-annotated.

**Code availability**

All the software programs used in this article (de novo transcriptome assembly, pre and post-assembly steps, and transcriptome annotation) are listed in the Methods paragraph. In case of no details on parameters, the programs were used with the default settings.
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