On Compressible Smooth Viscous Fluids in Slowly Expanding Balls
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Abstract. In [17] and [19, 20], the global existence and large time behaviors of smooth compressible fluids (including inviscid gases of Euler equations, viscous gases of Navier-Stokes equations, and rarified gases of Boltzmann equation, respectively) have been established in an infinitely expanding ball with a constant expansion speed. This paper concerns with the viscous fluids in a slowly expanding ball. By involved analysis on the density function and the weighted energy estimates, we show that the fluid in the slowly expanding ball smoothly tends to a vacuum state and there is no appearance of vacuum in any part of the expansive ball. Our present result is a meaningful supplement to the one in [19].
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1 Introduction

In this paper, as in [17] and [19, 20], we continue to study the global existence and stability of a smooth compressible viscous flow in a 3-D slowly expanded ball. The slowly expanded ball at time $t$ is described by $S_t = \{ x : |x| = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2} \leq R(t) \}$, where $R(t) \in C^4[0,\infty)$ satisfies $R(0) = 1$, $R'(0) = 0$, $R''(0) = 0$, moreover, $R(t) = (1 + ht)^a$ holds for $t \geq 1$, here $a \in (0,1)$ and $h > 0$ are fixed constants. As in [19], we suppose that the movement of gases in $\Omega = \{ (t,x) : t \geq 0, |x| = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2} \leq R(t) \}$ is described by 3-D compressible barotropic Navier-Stokes equations:

$$
\begin{align}
\rho_t + \text{div}(\rho u) &= 0, \\
\rho u_t + \rho u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla P(\rho) &= \mu \Delta u + (\mu + \lambda) \nabla \text{div}u,
\end{align}
$$

*Corresponding author. Email addresses: huicheng@nju.edu.cn, 05407@njnu.edu.cn (H. C. Yin), lynzhung@gmail.com (L. Zhang)
Moreover,

**Theorem 1.1.** If in [19], we can obtain a local existence result as follows:

For Eqs. (1.1a)-(1.1b) together with (1.2), completely similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1 following initial-boundary conditions for Eqs. (1.1a)-(1.1b)

\[
\rho(0,x) = \rho_0(x), \quad u(0,x) = u_0(x), \quad \text{for } x \in S_0, \\
u(t,x) = \frac{R'(t)x}{R(t)}, \quad \text{for } (t,x) \in \partial\Omega, 
\]

where \(\rho_0(x) \in H^3(S_0)\), \(u_0(x) \in H^3_0(S_0)\), \(\rho_0(x) > 0\) for \(x \in S_0\), and \(\partial\Omega = \{(t,x): t \geq 0, |x| = R(t)\}\). For Eqs. (1.1a)-(1.1b) together with (1.2), completely similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1 in [19], we can obtain a local existence result as follows:

**Theorem 1.1.** If \(\rho_0(x) \in H^3(S_0)\), \(\nabla \rho_0(x) \in H^3_0(S_0)\), \(u_0(x) \in H^3_0(S_0)\), and \(R(t) = (1+ht)^a\) for \(t \geq 1\), then there exist a constant \(h_0 > 0\) and a small constant \(\epsilon_0 > 0\) depending only on \(h_0\) and \(a\) such that when

\[
\sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1, 1 \leq k \leq 4} |R^{(k)}(t)| + \|\rho_0(x) - 1\|_{H^1(S_0)} + \|u_0(x)\|_{H^1(S_0)} < \epsilon_0 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < h < h_0,
\]

there exists some constant \(T_0 > 1\) such that Eqs. (1.1a)-(1.1b) with (1.2) have a unique local solution \((\rho,u)\) which satisfies

\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\rho \in C([0,T_*], H^3(S_t)) \cap C^1([0,T_*], H^2(S_t)), \\
u \in C([0,T_*], H^1_0(S_t)) \cap C^1([0,T_*], H^1(S_t)) \cap L^2([0,T_*], H^4(S_t)).
\end{array} \right.
\]

Moreover, \(\rho(t,x) \geq C > 0\) holds for \((t,x) \in [0,T^*] \times S_t\), and

\[
\|\rho - 1\|_{C([0,T_*],H^1(S_t))} + \|\rho_t\|_{C([0,T_*],H^1(S_t))} + \|u\|_{C([0,T_*],H^1(S_t))} \leq C\epsilon.
\]
Remark 1.1. It follows from the assumptions $R''(0) = 0$, $\nabla \rho_0(x) \in H_0^1(S_0)$ and $u_0(x) \in H_0^3(S_0)$ in Theorem 1.1 that the compatibility of the initial velocity $u_0(x)$ on the boundary $\partial S_0 = \{x: |x| = 1\}$ holds and meanwhile $u_t(0,x) \in H_0^1(S_0)$ is derived from Eq. (1.1b). This fact will play a basic role in proving the local existence result in Theorem 1.1 (one can see the details in [19]).

Based on Theorem 1.1 and the continuity argument, we will establish the following global existence result:

**Theorem 1.2.** Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, when the adiabatic exponent $\gamma$ satisfies 

\[ 1 < \gamma < \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{\alpha}, \]

then Eqs. (1.1a)-(1.1b) with (1.2) admit a global solution $(\rho,u)$ in $\Omega$ which fulfills

\[
\begin{align*}
\rho(t,x) &\in C([0,\infty),H^3(S_t)) \cap C^1([0,\infty),H^2(S_t)), \\
u(t,x) &\in C([0,\infty),H^3(S_t)) \cap C^1([0,\infty),H^1(S_t)), \\
\frac{1}{2}R^3(t) &\leq \rho(t,x) \leq \frac{3}{2}R^3(t) \quad \text{for} \ t \geq 1.
\end{align*}
\]

Remark 1.2. The assumption of $\gamma < \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{\alpha}$ in Theorem 1.2 is applied to guarantee the uniform integrability of the integral $\int_0^t \int_{S_0} \frac{3\rho^{\gamma-1}}{\gamma} d\tau$ in (3.67) of Section 3, which is required to derive the global a priori energy estimate for the solution of (1.1a)-(1.1b) with (1.2). In addition, we specially point out that, for the air ($\gamma \approx 1.4$) and the polytropic gases ($\gamma \approx \frac{5}{3}$), the assumption of $\gamma < \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{\alpha}$ with $0 < \alpha < 1$ in Theorem 1.2 is obviously fulfilled.

Remark 1.3. By Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2, one can conclude that the solution of (1.1a)-(1.1b) with (1.2) does not contain vacuum state in any finite time.

So far there have been extensive studies on the global existence and behaviors of solutions to the compressible Navier-Stokes equations. For one-dimensional case, see [8,15,18] and the references therein. For multi-dimensional case with constant viscosity coefficients, the local existence of classical solution has been established in [14] in the absence of vacuum, and the local existence of strong solutions is also shown in [1,2], when the initial density may vanish in some open sets. The global existence of classical solution was first obtained in [12,13] for the initial data close to a non-vacuum state and then these results were generalized in other weighted energy spaces (one can see [3,16] and so on). In addition, the author in [6] studied the global existence with discontinuous initial data. Recently, for the case when the initial density may vanish in some region, under the smallness assumption on the total energy, the authors in [7] established the global existence and uniqueness of classical solutions. For large initial data with the finite total energy and different assumptions on the adiabatic constant $\gamma$, the global existence of weak solutions was firstly established by P.L. Lions in [11] and subsequently was improved in [4,9]. For the Eqs. (1.1a)-(1.1b) (or including the energy equation) with suitable initial-boundary values have also been extensively studied, for examples, see [10,13] and the references therein. On the other hand, the authors in [5] obtained the global existence...
of weak solution to the compressible barotropic Navier-Stokes system in a time dependent domain with slip boundary condition. Finally, we point out that the authors in [17] and [19, 20] have established the similar conclusions as in Theorem 1.1-Theorem 1.2 for \( R(t) = 1 + ht \) by taking the delicate weighted energy analysis through the related special solutions. However, in the case of \( 0 < \alpha < 1 \), it seems that the special solution of (1.1a)-(1.1b) with (1.2) is difficult to be found, moreover, the resulting linearized system is slightly different from that for \( \alpha = 1 \). This leads to somewhat different proof of Theorem 1.2 from the main result in [19]. Here we emphasize that although the proof of Theorem 1.2 is strongly motivated by [19], we still give out all the details for reader’s convenience.

The paper is arranged as follows. In Section 2, we reformulate problem (1.1a)-(1.1b) with (1.2) and cite an analogous local existence result in [19]. Moreover, some uniform weighted inequalities are derived by involved analysis. Based on the analysis in Section 2, the uniform energy estimate will be given in Section 3 and then the proof of Theorem 1.2 is completed in Section 4.

The following notations will be used throughout this paper:

\[
\|f\|_2 = \left( \int_{S_0} |f|^2 \, dy \right)^{1/2},
\]

\[
\int_0^1 \|V(\tau)\| \, d\tau = \int_0^t \|V(\tau, \cdot)\| \, d\tau,
\]

and \( Dg \) represents \( \partial_k g \), for any \( k = 1,2,3 \).

### 2 Reformulation of (1.1a)-(1.1b) with (1.2) and some uniform weighted energy inequalities

At first, as in [19], we take a transformation of the variables \((t,x)\) as follows

\[
\begin{align*}
\tau &= t, \\
y &= \frac{x}{R(t)},
\end{align*}
\]

(2.1)

In this case, \( \Omega \) is changed into the domain \([0, \infty) \times S_0\), and (1.1a)-(1.1b) have such new forms in the coordinates \((\tau, y) \in [0, \infty) \times S_0\)

\[
\begin{align*}
\rho_{\tau} - \frac{R'}{R} y \cdot \nabla \rho + \frac{1}{R} \text{div}(\rho u) &= 0, \\
(\rho u)_{\tau} - \frac{R'}{R} y \cdot \nabla (\rho u) + \frac{1}{R} \text{div}(\rho u \otimes u) + \frac{1}{R} \nabla P(\rho) &= \frac{1}{R^2} (\mu \Delta u + (\mu + \lambda) \nabla \text{div} u),
\end{align*}
\]

(2.2)
where and below all the derivatives $\nabla$ and $\text{div}$ are about the variable $y$. Let $\phi = R^3 \rho$ and $v = u - R'(t)y$. Then (2.2) is changed as

$$\phi_t + \frac{1}{R} \text{div}(\phi v) = 0, \quad (2.3a)$$

$$\phi v_t + \phi R'' y + \phi v \cdot \frac{1}{R} \nabla v + \frac{1}{R} \phi R' v + R^2 \nabla P(\rho) = R(\mu \Delta u + (\mu + \lambda) \nabla \text{div} u). \quad (2.3b)$$

Meanwhile, the initial-boundary condition (1.2) becomes

$$\begin{cases}
\phi(0, y) = \rho_0(y), & v(0, y) = u_0(y), & y \in S_0, \\
v(\tau, y) = 0 & \text{on } [0, \infty) \times \partial S_0.
\end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

By completely analogous proof of Theorem 2.1 in [19], one can obtain Theorem 1.1 (here we omit the details). Next, we start to establish some global a priori energy estimates on the solutions of (2.3a)-(2.3b) with (2.4). Let $s = 3(\gamma - 1)$ and $w = \phi - 1$. Then (2.3a)-(2.3b) can be written as

$$w_t + \frac{1}{R} \text{div} v = f,$$

$$v_t + \frac{R'}{R} v + \frac{\gamma}{R^{2s+1}} \nabla w - RLv = \tilde{g},$$

where

$$\tilde{f} = -\frac{1}{R} \text{div}(wv),$$

$$Lv = \mu \Delta v + (\mu + \lambda) \nabla \text{div} v,$$

$$\tilde{g} = -\frac{1}{R} v \cdot \nabla v - R''(t)y - \frac{1}{R^{2s+1}} \nabla P_1(w) - \frac{w}{1+w} RLv,$$

and

$$P_1(w) = w^2 \int_0^1 \gamma(\gamma - 2)(1+\theta w)^{\gamma-1} d\theta.$$ 

On the other hand, setting

$$\tilde{\tau} = (1 + ht)^{1+\alpha}, \quad m = \frac{2\alpha}{1+\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad s' = \frac{3\alpha(\gamma-1)}{2(1+\alpha)},$$

we then have that for $\tilde{\tau} \geq \tilde{\tau}_0 \equiv (1 + h)^{1+\alpha}$,

$$\begin{align*}
(1+\alpha) \tilde{\tau}^2 w + \tilde{\tau}^{-m} \text{div} v &= f, \quad (2.5a) \\
(1+\alpha) \tilde{\tau}^2 v + \frac{\alpha h}{\tilde{\tau}} v - L v + \frac{\gamma}{\tilde{\tau}^{2s+m}} \nabla w &= \tilde{g}, \quad (2.5b) \\
w(\tilde{\tau}, y)|_{\tilde{\tau} = \tilde{\tau}_0} = w_0(y) &\equiv \phi(\tilde{\tau}_0, y) - 1, \quad v(\tilde{\tau}, y)|_{\tilde{\tau} = \tilde{\tau}_0} = v_0(y) \equiv v(\tilde{\tau}_0, y), \quad (2.5c) \\
v = 0 &\text{ on } [\tilde{\tau}_0 + \infty) \times \partial S_0, \quad (2.5d)
\end{align*}$$
where
\[ f = -\frac{1}{\tau m} \text{div}(vw), \]
\[ Lv = \mu \Delta v + (\mu + \lambda) \nabla \text{div} v, \]
\[ g = -\frac{1}{\tau m} v \cdot \nabla v - \frac{1}{\tau m + 2\alpha} \nabla P_1(w) + \alpha(1 - \alpha) h^2 \tau^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} y - \frac{w}{1 + w} Lv. \]

We now derive a series of basic energy estimates on \((w, v)\). Set
\[ f_0 = -\frac{w}{\tau m} \text{div} v \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d\phi}{d\tau} = (1 + \alpha) h \phi \tau + \frac{1}{\tau m} v \cdot \nabla \phi. \]

Then we have

**Lemma 2.1** (Weight \(L^2\)-estimate of \((w,v)\)). For small \(h > 0\) and \(t \geq \tau_0\), one has
\[ h(\|w\|_2^2 + \|\tilde{v}^{s'}\tau\|_{1/2}^2) + \int_{\tau_0}^{t} \left( \|\tilde{v}^{s'} \nabla v\|_2^2 + \|\tilde{v}^{s' + m} d\omega \|_{1/2}^2 \right) d\tau \leq C \left( h(\|w_0, v_0\|) + \int_{\tau_0}^{t} \left( |(f, w)| + \|\tilde{v}^{s' + m} g\|_2 \right) d\tau \right). \]

**Proof.** By \(\int_{\tau_0}^{t} (2.5a) \times \gamma w dy\) and \(\int_{\tau_0}^{t} \tilde{v}^{s'} (2.5b) \cdot v dy\), we have
\[ \frac{1}{2} (1 + \alpha) \gamma h \partial \tau \|w\|_2^2 + \frac{\gamma}{\tau m} (\text{div} v, w) = (\gamma f, w) \]
and
\[ ((1 + \alpha) h \tilde{v}^{s'} \partial \tau v, v) + a h \tilde{v}^{s' - 1}(v, v) - \tilde{v}^{s'}(Lv, v) + \frac{\gamma}{\tau m} (\nabla w, v) = (\tilde{v}^{s'}, v, g). \]

Note that
\[ ((1 + \alpha) h \tilde{v}^{s'} \partial \tau v, v) + (ah \tilde{v}^{s' - 1} v, v) = \frac{1}{2} (1 + \alpha) h \partial \tau \|\tilde{v}^{s'} v\|_2^2 + (\alpha - (1 + \alpha) s') h \|\tilde{v}^{s' - 1} v\|_2^2 \]
and
\[ - \tilde{v}^{s'} Lv, v = \mu \|\tilde{v}^{s'} \nabla v\|_2^2 + (\mu + \lambda) \|\tilde{v}^{s'} \text{div} v\|_2^2. \]

Then substituting the above two equalities into (2.8) and subsequently adding (2.8) to (2.7), we have
\[ \frac{1}{2} (1 + \alpha) \frac{d}{d\tau} \left( h\gamma \|w\|_2^2 + h\|\tilde{v}^{s'} v\|_2^2 \right) + \mu \|\tilde{v}^{s'} \nabla v\|_2^2 + (\mu + \lambda) \|\tilde{v}^{s'} \text{div} v\|_2^2 \]
\[ = (\alpha - (1 + \alpha) s') h \|\tilde{v}^{s' - 1} v\|_2^2 + (\gamma f, w) + (\tilde{v}^{s'}, g, v). \]
By Poincare inequality for $v$, we see that
\[ \|\tau^{s'-\frac{1}{2}}v\|_2^2 \leq C\|\tau^{s'}\nabla v\|_2^2. \quad (2.10) \]

Combining (2.10) with (2.9) yields that for small $h > 0$,
\[ \frac{d}{dt} \left( h\gamma\|w\|_2^2 + h\|\tau^{s'}v\|_2^2 \right) + C\|\tau^{s'}\nabla v\|_2^2 \leq (\gamma f, w) + (\tau^{2s'}g, v). \quad (2.11) \]

Integrating (2.11) with respect to the variable $\tau$ over $(\tau_0, t)$ derives
\[ h(\|w\|_2^2 + \|\tau^{s'}v\|_2^2) + \int_{\tau_0}^t \|\tau^{s'}\nabla v\|_2^2 d\tau \leq Ch\|(w_0, v_0)\|_2^2 + C\int_{\tau_0}^t (|\gamma f, w| + |(\tau^{2s'}g, v)|) d\tau. \quad (2.12) \]

In addition, one has that from (2.5a)
\[ \frac{d\tau}{d\tau} = -\frac{1}{\tau^m} \text{div} v + f_0, \]
which easily implies
\[ \|\tau^{s'-m}\frac{d\tau}{d\tau}\|_2^2 \leq 2\|\tau^{s'}\text{div} v\|_2^2 + 2\|\tau^{s'+m}f_0\|_2^2. \quad (2.13) \]

From (2.12)-(2.13), we can obtain (2.6). \hfill \square

**Lemma 2.2** (Weighted $L^2$-estimate of $\nabla v$). For $t \geq \tau_0$, we have
\begin{align*}
& h\|\tau^{s'}\nabla v\|_2^2 + \int_{\tau_0}^t \left( \|hw_{\tau}\|_2^2 + \|h\tau^{s'}v_{\tau}\|_2^2 \right) d\tau \\
& \leq \eta_1 h^2 \int_{\tau_0}^t \|\nabla w\|_2^2 d\tau + \frac{C h}{\eta_1} \|(w_0, v_0)\|_2^2 + \frac{C}{\eta_1} \int_{\tau_0}^t A_1 d\tau + \int_{\tau_0}^t A_2 d\tau, \quad (2.14) 
\end{align*}
where $0 < \eta_1 < 1$ is a small fixed constant, $A_1 = |(\gamma f, w)| + |(\tau^{2s'}g, v)|$, and $A_2 = |(\gamma f, hw_{\tau})| + |(\tau^{2s'}g, hv_{\tau})|$. 

**Proof.** Computing $\int_{\tau_0}^t (2.5a) \times \gamma hw_{\tau} d\tau$ and $\int_{\tau_0}^t \tau^{s'}(2.5b) \cdot hv_{\tau} d\tau$ yields
\[ (1+\alpha)|h\partial_{\tau}w|_2^2 + \left( \frac{T}{\tau^m} \text{div} hw_{\tau} \right) = (\gamma f, hw_{\tau}) \quad (2.15) \]
and
\[ (1+\alpha)|h\tau^{s'}\partial_{\tau}v|_2^2 + a h(\tau^{2s'-1}v, hw_{\tau}) - (\tau^{2s'}Lv, hv_{\tau}) + \left( \frac{\gamma}{\tau^m} \nabla w, hv_{\tau} \right) = (\tau^{2s'}g, hv_{\tau}). \quad (2.16) \]
Next we treat the terms in the left hand sides of (2.15)-(2.16) separately. For the term 
\(-\langle \tilde{\tau} \tilde{2}^s L_0, h v_\tau \rangle\), we have
\[
-\langle \tilde{\tau} \tilde{2}^s L_0, h v_\tau \rangle = h \frac{H}{2} \partial_\tau \perp \tilde{\tau}^s \nabla v \perp^2 + h \frac{H + \lambda}{2} \partial_\tau \perp \tilde{\tau}^s \text{div} v \perp^2 \\
- h s' \mu \perp \tilde{\tau}^s \nabla \perp^2 - h s'(\mu + \lambda) \perp \tilde{\tau}^s \frac{1}{2} \text{div} v \perp^2. \tag{2.17}
\]
For the terms \(a h \langle \tilde{\tau} \tilde{2}^s - 1 h v_\tau, h v_\tau \rangle\) and \(\langle \frac{\gamma}{\tilde{\tau}^m} \text{div} v, h v_\tau \rangle\), one has
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\gamma}{\tilde{\tau}^m} \text{div} v, h v_\tau \rangle & \leq \frac{1}{2} \|h \tilde{\tau}^s v\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\tilde{\tau}^s - 1 h v_\tau\|_2, \tag{2.18a} \\
\frac{\gamma}{\tilde{\tau}^m} \|h v_\tau\|_2^2 + \gamma \|\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}^m} \| \text{div} v \|_2^2. \tag{2.18b}
\end{align*}
\]
For the term \(\langle \frac{\gamma}{\tilde{\tau}^m} \nabla w, h v_\tau \rangle\), we have
\[
\left( \frac{\gamma}{\tilde{\tau}^m} \nabla w, h v_\tau \right) = - \partial_\tau \left( h \frac{\gamma}{\tilde{\tau}^m} \right) + m \frac{\gamma}{\tilde{\tau}^m} \nabla w, h v_\tau \right) + \left( \frac{\gamma}{\tilde{\tau}^m} \right)^2 \text{div} v, h v_\tau \right).
\]
This, together with Holder’s inequality, yields for small \(\eta_1 > 0\),
\[
\left( \frac{\gamma}{\tilde{\tau}^m} \nabla w, h v_\tau \right) \geq - \frac{d}{d\tilde{\tau}} \left( h \frac{\gamma}{\tilde{\tau}^m} \right)^2 - \frac{\gamma}{\tilde{\tau}^m} \| \tilde{\tau}^s v \|_2^2 - \frac{\gamma}{4} \| \text{div} v \|_2^2 \tag{2.19}
\]
Substituting (2.17)-(2.19) into (2.15)-(2.16), we arrive at
\[
\begin{align*}
\gamma \|h \partial_\tau \|_2^2 & + h \tilde{\tau}^s \partial_\tau \tilde{\tau}^s \|_2 \frac{2}{2} + h \partial_\tau \left( \frac{H}{2} \|\tilde{\tau}^s \nabla v \|_2^2 + \frac{H + \lambda}{2} \|\tilde{\tau}^s \text{div} v \|_2^2 - \left( \frac{\gamma}{\tilde{\tau}^m} \right)^2 \frac{\text{div} v \right)} \\
& \leq h \|\gamma \|_2^2 \| \tilde{\tau}^s \nabla v \|_2^2 + C \left( (\gamma f, h v_\tau) + (\tilde{\tau}^s g, h v_\tau) \right). \tag{2.20}
\end{align*}
\]
Note that
\[
- \langle \frac{\gamma}{\tilde{\tau}^m} \rangle \leq \frac{H}{4} \| \text{div} v \|_2^2 + \frac{\gamma^2}{\mu} \| \tilde{\tau}^s \|_2^2.
\]
Together with \(\int_{t_0}^t \left( \frac{C}{\eta_1} \times (2.11) + (2.20) \right) d\tilde{\tau}\), this yields
\[
\begin{align*}
h \| \tilde{\tau}^s v \|_2^2 & + \int_{t_0}^t \left( \| h v_\tau \|_2^2 + h \tilde{\tau}^s v_\tau \|_2^2 \right) d\tilde{\tau} \\
\leq & \int_{t_0}^t \eta_1 \| h^2 \left( \| h v_\tau \|_2^2 + \| h \tilde{\tau}^s v_\tau \|_2^2 \right) d\tilde{\tau} \\
& + \int_{t_0}^t \left( (\gamma f, h v_\tau) + (\tilde{\tau}^s g, h v_\tau) \right) d\tilde{\tau} + \frac{C}{\eta_1} \int_{t_0}^t \left( (\gamma f, h v_\tau) + (\tilde{\tau}^s g, v) \right) d\tilde{\tau},
\end{align*}
\]
which completes the proof of Lemma 2.2. □
Lemma 2.3 (Weighted $L^2$-estimate of $(w_\tau,v_\tau)$). For $t \geq \bar{t}_0$, we have

\[
\begin{aligned}
&h \|w_\tau\| + h \|\tau^{s'} v_\tau\| + \int_{\bar{t}_0}^{t} h \|\tau^{s'} \nabla v_\tau\| \, dt \\
&\leq C(\eta_2) h \|(w_0,v_0)\| + c\eta_2 h^2 \int_{\bar{t}_0}^{t} \|\nabla w\| \, dt + C(\eta_2) \int_{\bar{t}_0}^{t} (A_1 + A_2 + A_3) \, dt, \tag{2.21}
\end{aligned}
\]

where $A_3 = |(\gamma h f_\tau,h_\tau)| + |(\tau^{2s'} h g_\tau,h_\tau)|$.

Proof. Computing $\int_{S_0} \partial_\tau (2.5a) \times \gamma h^2 w_\tau dy$, we have

\[
\frac{1}{2} (1 + \alpha) h \gamma \frac{d}{d\tau} \|w_\tau\|^2 + \left( \gamma \int_{\tau_m}^{\tau} h \nabla v_\tau, h_\tau \right) - m \left( \frac{\gamma}{\tau_1^m} h \nabla v_\tau, h_\tau \right) = (\gamma h f_\tau,h_\tau). \tag{2.22}
\]

Computing $\int_{S_0} \tau^{2s'} h \partial_\tau (2.5b) \cdot h_\tau dy$ yields

\[
\frac{1}{2} (1 + \alpha) h \partial_\tau \|\tau^{s'} v_\tau\|^2 + (\alpha - s'(1 + \alpha)) h \|\tau^{s'-\frac{1}{2}} v_\tau\|^2 + A(h \tau^{2s'-\frac{1}{2}} v_\tau, h_\tau) - (h L \tau^{s'} v_\tau, h_\tau) \\
+ \left( \gamma \int_{\tau_m}^{\tau} h \nabla w, h_\tau \right) - (2s' \alpha + m) \left( \gamma \int_{\tau_1^m}^{\tau} h \nabla w, h_\tau \right) = (\tau^{2s'} h g_\tau,h_\tau). \tag{2.23}
\]

Noting that for small $\eta_2 > 0$,

\[
-(h L \tau^{s'} v_\tau) = \mu \|\tau^{s'} \nabla v_\tau\|^2 + (\mu + \lambda) \|h \tau^{s'} \nabla v_\tau\|^2, \tag{2.24a}
\]

\[
(2s' + m) \left( \gamma \int_{\tau_1^m}^{\tau} h \nabla w, h_\tau \right) \leq \eta_2 h^2 \left\| \frac{\nabla w}{\tau^{s'+1+m}} \left\| \right\|^2 + \frac{C}{\eta_2} \|h \tau^{s'} v_\tau\|^2, \tag{2.24b}
\]

and

\[
\left( \gamma \int_{\tau_1^m}^{\tau} h \nabla v, h_\tau \right) \leq \|h w_\tau\|^2 + \frac{C}{4} \|h \tau^{s'} \nabla v\|^2.
\]

Then substituting this and (2.24a)-(2.24b) into (2.23), and subsequently combining with (2.22), we arrive at

\[
\frac{d}{d\tau} (h \|w_\tau\|^2 + h \|\tau^{s'} v_\tau\|) + \|\tau^{s'} h \nabla v_\tau\| \\
\leq \eta_2 h^2 \left\| \frac{\nabla w}{\tau^{s'+1+m}} \right\|^2 + \frac{C}{\eta_2} (\|\tau^{s'} h_\tau\|^2 + \|w_\tau\|^2) \\
+ C\|\tau^{s'} h \nabla v\|^2 + C((\gamma h f_\tau,h_\tau)) + |(h \tau^{2s'} g_\tau,h_\tau)|, \tag{2.25}
\]

here we have applied such a fact

\[
|h(h \tau^{2s'-\frac{1}{2}} v_\tau)| \leq h \|h \tau^{s'} v_\tau\|^2 + C h \|\tau^{s'} h \nabla v\|^2.
\]
Integrating (2.25) over \((\bar{t}_0, t)\) with respect to the variable \(\bar{t}\), one has
\[
\begin{align*}
&h\|hw_t\|^2_2 + h\|	au^{s'}v_t\|^2 + \int_{\bar{t}_0}^t \|	au^{s'}\nabla v_t\|^2 \, d\bar{t} \\
&\leq Ch\|(w_0, v_0)\|^2_{H^2} + \eta_2h^2\int_{\bar{t}_0}^t \left\| \frac{\nabla w}{\tau^{s'+1+m}} \right\|^2_2 \, d\bar{t} + \frac{C}{\eta_2} \int_{\bar{t}_0}^t \left( \|	au^{s'}v_t\|^2 + \|hw_t\|^2 \right) \, d\bar{t} \\
&+ C \int_{\bar{t}_0}^t \left( \|	au^{s'}h\nabla v\|^2_2 + \left( \|\gamma hf_t, hw_t\| + \|\tau^{s'}h^2g_t, hv_t\| \right) \right) \, d\bar{t}.
\end{align*}
\] (2.26)

Computing \(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \times (2.14) + C \times (2.6) + (2.26)\), we see that
\[
\begin{align*}
&h\|hw_t\|^2_2 + h\|	au^{s'}v_t\|^2 + \int_{\bar{t}_0}^t \|	au^{s'}\nabla v_t\|^2 \, d\bar{t} \\
&\leq Ch\|(w_0, v_0)\|^2_{H^2} + \frac{Ch}{\eta_1\eta_2} \|(w_0, v_0)\|^2_{H^2} \\
&+ \frac{C}{\eta_1\eta_2} \int_{\bar{t}_0}^t A_1 \, d\bar{t} + \frac{C}{\eta_2} \int_{\bar{t}_0}^t A_2 \, d\bar{t} + \left( \frac{C}{\eta_1} + \eta_2 \right) h^2 \int_{\bar{t}_0}^t \left\| \frac{\nabla w}{\tau^{s'+1+m}} \right\|^2_2 \, d\bar{t} \\
&+ C \int_{\bar{t}_0}^t \left( \|\gamma hf_t, hw_t\| + \|\tau^{s'}h^2g_t, hv_t\| \right) \, d\bar{t}.
\end{align*}
\]

Set \(\eta_1 = \eta_2^2\), we then complete the proof of Lemma 2.3. \(\square\)

**Lemma 2.4** (Weighted \(L^2\)-estimate of \(\nabla v_t\)). For \(t \geq \bar{t}_0\) and \(0 < \eta_3 < 1\), we have
\[
\begin{align*}
&h\|\tau^{s'}h\nabla v_t\|^2_2 + \int_{\bar{t}_0}^t \left( \|h^2w_t\|^2 + \|h^2\tau^{s'}v_t\|^2 \right) \, d\bar{t} \\
&\leq Ch\|v_t(\bar{t}_0)\|^2_{H^2} + C(\eta_3)h\|(w_0, v_0)\|^2_{H^2} + C\eta_3h^2 \int_{\bar{t}_0}^t \left\| \frac{\nabla w}{\tau^{s'+1+m}} \right\|^2_2 \, d\bar{t} \\
&+ C(\eta_3) \int_{\bar{t}_0}^t (A_1 + A_2 + A_3 + A_4) \, d\bar{t},
\end{align*}
\] (2.27)

where \(A_4 = \|\gamma hf_t, h^2w_t\| + \|\tau^{s''}h^2g_t, h^2v_t\|\).

**Proof.** Computing \(\int_{\bar{t}_0}^t \partial_t \tau (2.5a) \times \gamma h^3w_t \, dy\) and \(\int_{\bar{t}_0}^t \tau^{s'}h \partial_t (2.5b) \times h^2v_t \, dy\) yields respectively,
\[
(1 + \alpha) \gamma \|h^2w_t\|^2 + \gamma \left( \frac{h^2}{\tau^{s'+1+m}} \, div v_t, h^2w_t \right) - m \left( \gamma \left( \frac{h^2}{\tau^{s'+1+m}} \, div v_t, h^2w_t \right) = (\gamma hf_t, h^2w_t) \right)
\] (2.28)

and
\[
(1 + \alpha) \|h^2\tau^{s'}v_t\|^2 + h\tau^{s'-1}(hv_t, h^2v_t) - h\tau^{s'-2}(hv, h^2v_t) - (\tau^{s'}hL v_t, h^2v_t) \\
+ \left( \frac{\gamma h^2}{\tau^{s'+1+m}} \, \nabla w_t, h^2v_t \right) - (2s + m) \left( \gamma \left( \frac{h^2}{\tau^{s'+1+m}} \, \nabla w_t, h^2v_t \right) = (\tau^{s'}h^2g_t, h^2v_t) \right)
\] (2.29)
We now treat the terms in (2.29) separately. For the term $-\left( \tau^{2s'} h L v_t, h^2 v_{tt} \right)$,

$$
- (\tau^{2s'} h L v_t, h^2 v_{tt}) = \hat{h} \partial_t \left( \frac{\mu}{2} \| \tau^{s'} h \nabla v_t \|_2^2 + \frac{\mu + \lambda}{2} \| h \tau^{s'} \text{div} v_t \|_2^2 \right) - s' h \mu \| \tau^{s'-1} h \nabla v_t \|_2^2 - s' h (\mu + \lambda) \| \tau^{s'-1} h \text{div} v_t \|_2^2.
$$

(2.30)

For the term $\left( \frac{h \gamma}{t^{1+m}} \nabla w_t, h^2 v_{tt} \right)$, one has

$$
\left( \frac{h \gamma}{t^{1+m}} \nabla w_t, h^2 v_{tt} \right) = - \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{h \gamma}{t} \nabla w_t, h^2 v_t \right) - m \frac{\gamma}{t^{1+m}} (h w_t, h^2 \text{div} v_t) + \frac{\gamma}{t^m} (h^2 w_{tt}, h \text{div} v_t)
$$

$$
\geq - \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{h \gamma}{t^{1+m}} \nabla w_t, h^2 v_t \right) - Ch \left( \| h w_t \|_2^2 + \| h \frac{1}{t^{1+m}} \text{div} v_t \|_2^2 \right) - \frac{\gamma}{2} \| h^2 w_{tt} \|_2^2
$$

$$
- \frac{\gamma}{2} \| h \frac{1}{t^m} \text{div} v_t \|_2^2.
$$

(2.31)

For the term $- \left( \frac{h \gamma}{t^{1+m}} \nabla \omega_t, h^2 v_{tt} \right)$, we can obtain that for $\eta_3 > 0$,

$$
- \left( \frac{h \gamma}{t^{1+m}} \nabla \omega_t, h^2 v_{tt} \right) = - \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{h \gamma}{t^{1+m}} \nabla \omega_t, h^2 v_t \right) - (1 + m) \left( \frac{2 \gamma h}{t^{1+m}} \nabla \omega_t, h^2 v_t \right) + \left( \frac{h \gamma}{t^{1+m}} \nabla \omega_t, h^2 v_t \right)
$$

$$
\geq - \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{h \gamma}{t^{1+m}} \nabla \omega_t, h^2 v_t \right) - \eta_3 h^2 \left( \frac{\nabla \omega}{t^{1+m+2}} \right)^2 - \frac{C}{\eta_3} \| \tau^{s'} h \nabla v_t \|_2^2 - \| h w_t \|_2^2.
$$

(2.32)

Substituting (2.30)-(2.32) into (2.29) and then combining with (2.28) yield

$$
\gamma \| h^2 w_{tt} \|_2^2 + \| h^2 \tau^{s'} v_{tt} \|_2^2 + h \partial_t \left( \frac{\mu}{2} \| \tau^{s'} h \nabla v_t \|_2^2 + \frac{\mu + \lambda}{2} \| h \tau^{s'} \text{div} v_t \|_2^2 \right)
$$

$$
- \left( \frac{h \gamma}{t^{1+m}} w_t, h \text{div} v_t \right) - (2s' + m) \left( \frac{h \gamma}{t^{1+m}} \nabla w_t, h v_t \right)
$$

$$
\leq \eta_3 h^2 \left( \frac{\nabla \omega}{t^{1+m+2}} \right)^2 + \frac{C}{\eta_3} \| h \tau^{s'} \nabla v_t \|_2^2 + \frac{C}{\eta_3} \| h w_t \|_2^2 + C(\gamma h f_t, h^2 w_{tt}) + C \left( \tau^{s'} h g_t, h^2 v_{tt} \right).
$$

(2.33)

In addition,

$$
\frac{\mu}{2} \| \tau^{s'} h \nabla v_t \|_2^2 + \frac{\mu + \lambda}{2} \| h \tau^{s'} \text{div} v_t \|_2^2 - \left( \frac{h \gamma}{t^{1+m}} w_t, h \text{div} v_t \right) - (2s' + m) \left( \frac{h \gamma}{t^{1+m}} \nabla w_t, h v_t \right)
$$

$$
\geq \frac{\mu}{4} \| \tau^{s'} h \nabla v_t \|_2^2 - \left( \frac{2 \gamma^2}{\mu} \| h w_t \|_2^2 + \frac{2(2s' + 1)^2 \gamma^2}{\mu} \| h w_t \|_2^2 \right).
$$

(2.34)
Thus, it follows from $\int_{\bar{t}_0}^{t} (2.33) d\tau + \frac{2\gamma^2}{p} \times (2.21) + \frac{2(2\gamma^2+1)^2\gamma^2}{p} \times (2.12)$ together with (2.34) that

$$h\|\tau^{\sigma} h\nabla v\|_2^2 + \int_{\bar{t}_0}^{t} (\|w^2v\|_2^2 + \|h^2\tau^{\sigma} v\|_2^2) d\tau$$

$$\leq C h\|v_\tau(\bar{t}_0)\|_2^2 + C(\eta_2) h\|(w_0, v_0)\|_2^2 + C\eta_2 h\int_{\bar{t}_0}^{t} \|\nabla w\|_{\frac{2}{2}, m+1}^2 d\tau$$

$$+ C(\eta_2) \int_{\bar{t}_0}^{t} (A_1 + A_2 + A_3) d\tau + \eta_3 h\|D\chi_0\|^2_{H^2}$$

$$+ C \int_{\bar{t}_0}^{t} (\|h\tau^{\sigma} \nabla v\|_2^2 + \|hw\|_2^2 + (\gamma h f_\tau, h^2 w_{\tau\tau}) + (\tau \tau^{\sigma} h f_\tau, h^2 v_{\tau\tau})) d\tau. \quad (2.35)$$

Utilizing the estimates of $\int_{\bar{t}_0}^{t} \|h\tau^{\sigma} \nabla v\|_2^2 d\tau$, $\int_{\bar{t}_0}^{t} (\|h\tau^{\sigma} \nabla v\|_2^2 + \|hw\|_2^2) d\tau$ in Lemmas 2.1-2.3, and setting $\eta_2 = \eta_3^2$, we then complete the proof of (2.27) from (2.35).

To derive the higher order energy estimates of $(w, v)$, as in [19], we will treat the interior energy estimates and the boundary energy estimates of $(w, v)$ separately.

**Lemma 2.5 (Weighted interior energy estimates of $(w, v)$).** For $\delta \in (0,1)$, define $B_\delta = \{y: |y| < \delta\}$. Choosing the function $\chi_0(y) \in C^0_0(B_\delta)$, then we have that for $t \geq \bar{t}_0$, and $k = 1, 2, 3$,

$$h\|\tau^{\sigma} h\chi_0 D^k w\|_2^2 + h\|\tau^{\sigma} \chi_0 D^k v\|_2^2 + \int_{\bar{t}_0}^{t} (\|\tau^{\sigma} \chi_0 \Delta D^k v\|_2^2 + \|\chi_0 D^k w\|_{\frac{2}{2}, m+1}^2) d\tau$$

$$\leq C \int_{\bar{t}_0}^{t} \left( \|\tau^{\sigma} D^{k-1} v\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\tau^{\sigma} \chi_0 D^k f, D^k w\| + \|\tau^{\sigma} D^{k-1} \eta\|_2^2 + \|h\tau^{\sigma} D^{k-1} v\|_2^2 \right) d\tau$$

$$+ C \|(w_0, v_0)\|_2^2. \quad (2.36)$$

**Proof.** Computing $\int_{S_0} \chi_0^2(y) \gamma D^k(2.5a) \times D^k w dy$ and $\int_{S_0} \chi_0^2 \tau^{2\sigma} D^k(2.5b) \cdot D^k v dy$ yield respectively

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \alpha\right) h \gamma \frac{d}{dt} \|\chi_0 D^k w\|_2^2 + \frac{\gamma}{\tau m} (\chi_0 \nabla D^k f, D^k w) = (\gamma \chi_0^2 D^k f, D^k w) \quad (2.37)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \alpha\right) h \frac{d}{dt} \|\tau^{\sigma} \chi_0 D^k v\|_2^2 + (\alpha - \sigma' (1 + \alpha)) h \|\tau^{\sigma - 1} \chi_0 D^k v\|_2^2 - (\chi_0^2 \tau^{2\sigma} \Delta D^k v, D^k v)$$

$$+ \left(\frac{\gamma}{\tau m} \chi_0^2 \nabla D^k w, D^k v\right) = (\gamma \chi_0^2 \tau^{2\sigma} D^k f, D^k v). \quad (2.38)$$

Note that

$$- (\chi_0^2 \tau^{2\sigma} \Delta D^k v, D^k v)$$

$$= \mu (\tau^{2\sigma} \nabla D^k v, \nabla (\chi_0^2 D^k v)) + (\mu + \lambda) (\tau^{2\sigma} \Delta (D^k v), \Delta (\chi_0^2 D^k v))$$

$$\geq \frac{\mu}{2} \|\chi_0 \tau^{\sigma} \nabla D^k v\|_2^2 + C \|\tau^{\sigma} D^k v\|_2^2. \quad (2.39)$$
and for small $\eta_4 > 0$,

$$\frac{\gamma}{m}(\chi_0^2 \text{div}D^k v, D^k w) + \left(\frac{\gamma}{m} \chi_0^2 \nabla D^k w, D^k v\right)$$

$$= -\frac{\gamma}{m} (D^k w, \nabla \chi_0^2 \cdot D^k v)$$

$$\leq \eta_4 \left\| \frac{\chi_0 D^k w}{\tau^{s+\alpha}} \right\|^\frac{2}{2} + \frac{C}{\eta_4} \left\| \tau^s D^k v \right\|^\frac{2}{2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.40)

Adding (2.37) to (2.38) and then applying (2.39)-(2.40), we arrive at

$$\frac{1 + \alpha}{2} \frac{d}{d\tau} \left( h \gamma \left\| \chi_0 D^k w \right\|^2 + h \left\| \tau^s \chi_0 D^k v \right\|^2 \right) + \frac{\mu}{2} \left\| \tau^s \chi_0 \nabla D^k v \right\|^2$$

$$\leq \eta_4 \left\| \frac{\chi_0 D^k w}{\tau^{s+\alpha}} \right\|^\frac{2}{2} + \frac{C}{\eta_4} \left\| \tau^s D^k v \right\|^\frac{2}{2} + \left( \gamma \chi_0^2 D^k f, D^k w \right) + \left( \chi_0^2 \tau^s D^k g, D^k v \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.41)

Since

$$\left( \chi_0^2 \tau^s D^k g, D^k v \right) = -\tau^s \left( D^{k-1} g, D(\chi_0^2 D^k v) \right)$$

$$= -\tau^s \left( D^{k-1} g, D(\chi_0^2 D^k v) \right) - \tau^s \left( D^{k-1} g, \chi_0^2 D^{k+1} v \right),$$

we have

$$\left| \left( \chi_0^2 \tau^s D^k g, D^k v \right) \right| \leq \frac{\mu}{4} \left\| \tau^s \chi_0 D^{k+1} v \right\|^\frac{2}{2} + C \left\| \tau^s D^{k-1} g \right\|^\frac{2}{2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.42)

Then substituting (2.42) into (2.41) yields

$$\frac{1 + \alpha}{2} \frac{d}{d\tau} \left( h \gamma \left\| \chi_0 D^k w \right\|^2 + h \left\| \tau^s \chi_0 D^k v \right\|^2 \right) + \frac{\mu}{4} \left\| \tau^s \chi_0 \nabla D^k v \right\|^2$$

$$\leq \eta_4 \left\| \frac{\chi_0 D^k w}{\tau^{s+\alpha}} \right\|^\frac{2}{2} + \frac{C}{\eta_4} \left\| \tau^s D^k v \right\|^\frac{2}{2} + \left( \gamma \chi_0^2 D^k f, D^k w \right) + C \left\| \tau^s D^{k-1} g \right\|^\frac{2}{2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.43)

In addition, it follows from $\frac{1}{\tau^\alpha} \times \{ (2 \mu + \lambda) \tau^m \times \nabla (3.1) + (3.2) \}$ and direct computation that

$$\frac{(1 + \alpha)(2 \mu + \lambda) h \partial_\tau (\nabla w) + \gamma \nabla w}{\tau^{2s+2m}}$$

$$= -\frac{\mu}{\tau^m} (\nabla \text{div} u - \Delta u) + (2 \mu + \lambda) \nabla f$$

$$- \frac{1}{\tau^m} \left( (1 + \alpha) h \partial_\tau v + \frac{\alpha h}{\tau} v \right) + \frac{1}{\tau^m} g.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.44)
And computing \( \int_{S_\gamma} \lambda_0^2 D^k(2.44) \cdot \nabla D^k w \) yields

\[
\begin{align*}
&\frac{1+\alpha}{2} (2\mu + \lambda) h \frac{d}{d\tau} \left| \chi_0 \nabla D^k w \right| \|_2^2 + \gamma \left| \frac{\chi_0 \nabla D^k w}{\chi_0^2 + \mu^2} \right| \|_2^2 \\
&\leq \frac{\mu}{2m} \left| (\chi_0^2 D^k (\nabla div v - \Delta v), \nabla D^k w) \right| \\
&\quad + C \left| \left( (2\mu + \lambda) \chi_0 \nabla D^k f - \frac{\chi_0}{\tau^2} \left( hD^k D^k v + \frac{hD^k v}{\tau} - D^k g \right) \chi_0 \nabla D^k w \right) \right| \\
&\leq \frac{\gamma}{2} \left| \chi_0 \nabla D^k w \right| \|_2^2 + C \left| \left( \gamma D^k f, D^k w \right) + \| h\tau' D^k v \|_2^2 + \| \tau' D^k w \|_2^2 \right|
\end{align*}
\]  

(2.45)

here we have used the following fact

\[
(\chi_0^2 D^k \Delta v, \nabla D^k w) = -\sum_{i,j}(\partial_i D^k v_i, \partial_j (\chi_0^2 \partial_i D^k w))
\]

\[
= -\sum_{i,j}(\partial_i D^k v_i, \partial_j (\chi_0^2 \partial_i D^k w)) + \sum_{i,j}(\partial_i (\chi_0^2 \partial_j D^k v_i, \partial_j D^k w)) + \sum_{i,j}(\chi_0^2 \partial_i D^k v_i, \partial_j D^k w))
\]

\[
= -\sum_{i,j}(\partial_i D^k v_i, \partial_j (\chi_0^2 \partial_i D^k w)) + \sum_{i,j}(\partial_i (\chi_0^2 \partial_j D^k v_i, \partial_j D^k w)) + (\chi_0^2 \nabla div D^k v, \nabla D^k w)
\]
to derive

\[
\frac{1}{\tau^m} \left| (\chi_0^2 D^k (\nabla div v - \Delta v), \nabla D^k w) \right| \leq \frac{\gamma}{8} \left| \chi_0 \nabla D^k w \right| \|_2^2 + C \| \tau' D^k v \|_H^2.
\]

From (2.45), we have that for \( k = 1,2,3 \),

\[
\begin{align*}
&h \frac{d}{d\tau} \left| \chi_0 D^k w \right| \|_2^2 + \left| \chi_0 D^k w \right| \|_2^2 \\
&\leq C \left| (\chi_0^2 D^k f, D^k w) \right| + \| h\tau' D^k w \|_2^2 + \| \tau' D^k w \|_H^2.
\end{align*}
\]

(2.46)

Adding (2.43) to (2.46) and choosing \( \eta_4 = \frac{1}{2} \) yield

\[
\begin{align*}
&h \frac{d}{d\tau} \left( \gamma \left| \chi_0 D^k w \right| \|_2^2 + \| \tau' \chi_0 D^k w \|_2^2 \right) + \| \tau' \chi_0 \nabla D^k v \|_2^2 + \left| \frac{\chi_0 D^k w}{\chi_0^2 + \mu^2} \right| \|_2^2 \\
&\leq C \left( \| \tau' D^k w \|_H^2 + \| (\chi_0^2 D^k f, D^k w) \| + \| \tau' D^k w \|_H^2 \right).
\end{align*}
\]

(2.47)

Integrating (2.47) with respect to the variable \( \tau \) over \((\tau_0, t)\) derives (2.36). \( \square \)

Next we treat the weighted energy estimates of \((w, v)\) near the boundary \([0, T] \times \partial S_0\). To this end, it is convenient to use the following spherical coordinate transformation

\[
\begin{align*}
y_1 &= r \cos \theta, \\
y_2 &= r \sin \theta \cos \varphi, \\
y_3 &= r \sin \theta \sin \varphi.
\end{align*}
\]
and decompose \( v = (v_1, v_2, v_3) \) as

\[
\begin{align*}
    v_r &= \cos \theta v_1 + \sin \theta \cos \varphi v_2 + \sin \theta \sin \varphi v_3, \\
    v_\theta &= -\sin \theta v_1 + \cos \theta \cos \varphi v_2 + \cos \theta \sin \varphi v_3, \\
    v_\varphi &= -\sin \varphi v_2 + \cos \varphi v_3,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \varphi \in [0, 2\pi] \) and \( \theta \in [0, \pi] \). Set \( V = (v_r, v_\theta, v_\varphi)^T \) and \( V_T = (v_\theta, v_\varphi)^T \), and denote

\[
\begin{align*}
    \overline{\text{div}} V &= \partial_r v_r + \frac{1}{r} \partial_\theta v_\theta + \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \partial_\varphi v_\varphi, \\
    \overline{\Delta} &= \partial_r^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} \partial_\theta^2 + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} \partial_\varphi^2, \\
    \overline{\nabla}' &= \left( \frac{1}{r} \partial_\theta, \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \partial_\varphi \right)^T, \\
    \text{grad} V &= (g_\theta, g_\varphi)^T, \\
    \nabla \text{div} &= \text{as the form of } \nabla \text{div} \text{ in the spherical coordinates.}
\end{align*}
\]

In addition, we denote \( L(V, k) \) and \( L(\partial_T^k V) \) by the linear combinations of \( D^l V \) \((l \leq k)\) and \( \partial_T^l V \) \((l \leq k)\) with the smooth function coefficients respectively, where \( \partial_T = (-\partial_\varphi \text{ or } \partial_\theta) \) is the vector field tangent to \( \partial S_0 \). Then it follows from direct computation that the Eqs. (2.5a)-(2.5b) have such forms:

\[
\begin{align*}
    (1+a) h w_T + \frac{1}{r} \overline{\text{div}} V &= f + \frac{1}{r} L(V, 0), \\
    (1+a) h \partial_T v_r + \frac{ah}{r} v_r - \mu \overline{\Delta} v_r - (\mu + \lambda) \partial_r \overline{\text{div}} V + \frac{\gamma}{r^{2s} + m} \partial_r w = g_r + L(V, 1), \\
    (1+a) h \partial_T v_T + \frac{ah}{r} v_T - \mu \overline{\Delta} v_T - (\mu + \lambda) \overline{\nabla}' \overline{\text{div}} V + \frac{\gamma}{r^{2s} + m} \overline{\nabla}' w = g_T + L(V, 1).
\end{align*}
\]

Set \( \mathcal{O} = \{ y : \frac{3}{4} < r \leq 1, \varphi \in [0, 2\pi], \theta \in [\pi / 4, 3\pi / 4] \} \). We choose a function \( \chi_1(y) \in C_0^\infty \) such that \( \text{supp} \chi_1(y) \subset \mathcal{O} \) and \( \chi_1(y) = 1 \) on \( \partial S_0 \). Here we point out that the other left domain in \( \{ y : \frac{3}{4} < r \leq 1, \varphi \in [0, 2\pi], \theta \in [0, \pi] \} \) can be successively changed into \( \mathcal{O} \) by the coordinate rotations. Thus, it does not lose the generality, we only consider the partial boundary domain \( \mathcal{O} \) instead of the whole boundary domain for (2.48a)-(2.48c) (one can also see some details in (4.39)-(4.42) of [13]).

Let \( \partial_T^k = \partial^k_\theta \partial^k_\varphi \) with \( k_1 + k_2 = k \). Then one can easily verify that

\[
\begin{align*}
    [\partial_T^k, \overline{\text{div}}] V &= L(\partial_T^k V, 0), \\
    [\partial_T^k, \overline{\Delta}] V &= L(\partial_T^{k+1} V, 0), \\
    [\partial_T^k, \partial_r \overline{\text{div}}] V &= L(\partial_T^{k+1} V, 1), \\
    [\partial_T^k, \overline{\nabla}' \overline{\text{div}}] V &= L(\partial_T^{k+1} V, 0), \\
    [\partial_T^k, \overline{\nabla}'] w &= L(\partial_T^k w, 0).
\end{align*}
\]

Based on the preparations above, we now establish the tangent energy estimates of \( (w, v) \).
Lemma 2.6 (Weighted tangent energy estimates of \((w, v)\)). For \(t \geq t_0, 0 < \eta < 1, \) and \(k = 1, 2, 3,\) we have

\[
\begin{align*}
h \|\chi_1 \partial_t^k w\|^2 + & + \| \varphi \chi_1 \partial_t^k V \|^2 + \int_{t_0}^{t} \| \varphi \chi_1 \nabla (\partial_t^k V) \|^2 d\tau \\ \leq C(\eta) \int_{t_0}^{t} (\| \varphi \partial_t^k L(\partial_t^k V, 0) \|^2 + |(\partial_t^k f, \chi_1^2 \partial_t^k w)| + \| \varphi \partial_t^k g \|^2) d\tau \\ & + C\eta \int_{t_0}^{t} \left\| \frac{\partial_t^k w}{\varphi \chi_1} \right\|_2^2 d\tau + C\| (w_0, v_0) \|^2_{H^k}. \tag{2.50}
\end{align*}
\]

Proof. Acting \(\partial_t^k\) on two hand sides of (2.48a)-(2.48c) and applying (2.49), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
(1 + \alpha) h \partial_t^k w & \partial_t^k f + \frac{1}{\varphi \chi_1} L(\partial_t^k V, 0), \tag{2.51a} \\
(1 + \alpha) h \partial_t^k V & \partial_t^k g + L(\partial_t^k V, 1), \tag{2.51b} \\
(1 + \alpha) h \partial_t^k V & \chi_1^2 \partial_t^k w) + \left( \frac{1}{\varphi \chi_1} L(\partial_t^k V, 0), \partial_t^k w \right). \tag{2.52}
\end{align*}
\]

It follows from \(\int_{t_0} \gamma \chi_1^2 (2.51a) \partial_t^k w dy\) that

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{1 + \alpha}{2} & \frac{d}{d\tau} \left( \gamma \chi_1 \partial_t^k w \right) + \left( \frac{1}{\varphi \chi_1} L(\partial_t^k V, 0), \partial_t^k w \right) \\
& = \left( \gamma \partial_t^k f, \chi_1^2 \partial_t^k w \right) + \left( \frac{1}{\varphi \chi_1} L(\partial_t^k V, 0), \partial_t^k w \right). \tag{2.55}
\end{align*}
\]

In addition, computing \(\int_{t_0} \tilde{\tau}^2 \chi_1^2 (2.51b) \partial_t^k v dy\) yields

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{1 + \alpha}{2} & \frac{d}{d\tau} \left( \| \varphi \chi_1 \partial_t^k V \|^2 \right) + \left( \varphi \chi_1 \tilde{\tau}^2 \chi_1 \partial_t^k V, \partial_t^k \varphi \chi_1 \tilde{\tau}^2 \chi_1 \partial_t^k V \right) \\
& \left( \varphi \chi_1 \tilde{\tau}^2 \chi_1 \partial_t^k V, \varphi \chi_1 \tilde{\tau}^2 \chi_1 \partial_t^k V \right) = \left( \gamma \chi_1 \tilde{\tau}^2 \chi_1 \partial_t^k g + L(\partial_t^k V, 1), \partial_t^k V \right), \tag{2.53}
\end{align*}
\]

and computing \(\int_{t_0} \tilde{\tau}^2 \chi_1^2 (2.51c) \partial_t^k V dy\) yields

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{1 + \alpha}{2} & \frac{d}{d\tau} \left( \| \varphi \chi_1 \partial_t^k V \|^2 \right) + \left( \varphi \chi_1 \tilde{\tau}^2 \chi_1 \partial_t^k V, \partial_t^k \varphi \chi_1 \tilde{\tau}^2 \chi_1 \partial_t^k V \right) \\
& \left( \varphi \chi_1 \tilde{\tau}^2 \chi_1 \partial_t^k V, \varphi \chi_1 \tilde{\tau}^2 \chi_1 \partial_t^k V \right) = \left( \gamma \chi_1 \tilde{\tau}^2 \chi_1 \partial_t^k V, \partial_t^k V \right). \tag{2.54}
\end{align*}
\]
Notice that

\[-(\chi_1^2 - \bar{\tau}' \frac{\gamma}{\tau^m} \partial_{k} v_r \lambda + (\mu + \lambda) \partial_r \bar{\tau} \frac{\gamma}{\tau^m} \partial_{k} V) \partial_{k} v_r, \partial_{k} V_r)\]

\[-\mu ||\tau'^{\prime} \chi_1 \nabla (\partial_{k} v_r)||^{2} + \mu (\tau'^{\prime} \nabla (\partial_{k} v_r), \nabla (\chi_1^2 \partial_{k} v_r)\]

\[+ (\mu + \lambda) (\tau'^{2} \bar{\tau} \frac{\gamma}{\tau^m} \partial_{k} V, \partial_r (\chi_1^2 \partial_{k} v_r)) \tag{2.55}\]

and

\[-(\chi_1^2 \bar{\tau}' \frac{\gamma}{\tau^m} \partial_{k} v_r + (\mu + \lambda) \nabla \bar{\tau} \frac{\gamma}{\tau^m} \partial_{k} V) \partial_{k} V_r\]

\[-\mu ||\tau'^{\prime} \chi_1 \nabla (\partial_{k} v_r)||^{2} + \mu (\tau'^{\prime} \nabla (\partial_{k} v_r), \nabla (\chi_1^2 \partial_{k} v_r))\]

\[+ (\mu + \lambda) (\tau'^{2} \bar{\tau} \frac{\gamma}{\tau^m} \partial_{k} V, \nabla (\chi_1^2 \partial_{k} V_r)). \tag{2.56}\]

Adding (2.55) and (2.56) yields

\[-(\chi_1^2 \bar{\tau}' \frac{\gamma}{\tau^m} \partial_{k} v_r + (\mu + \lambda) \partial_r \bar{\tau} \frac{\gamma}{\tau^m} \partial_{k} V) \partial_{k} v_r\]

\[-(\chi_1^2 \bar{\tau}' \frac{\gamma}{\tau^m} \partial_{k} v_r + (\mu + \lambda) \partial_r \bar{\tau} \frac{\gamma}{\tau^m} \partial_{k} V)\]

\[\geq \frac{\mu}{2} ||\tau'^{\prime} \chi_1 \nabla (\partial_{k} v_r)||^{2} - C ||\tau'^{2} \partial_{k} V||^{2}. \tag{2.57}\]

Additionally, for small \(\eta > 0\), one has

\[\left( \frac{\gamma}{\tau^m} \partial_{k} w, \chi_1^2 \partial_{k} V \right) + \left( \chi_1^2 \bar{\tau}' \frac{\gamma}{\tau^m} \partial_r (\partial_{k} w), \partial_{k} v_r \right) + \left( \chi_1^2 \bar{\tau}' \frac{\gamma}{\tau^m} \partial_{k} V, \partial_{k} V_r \right)\]

\[-\frac{\gamma}{\tau^m} \partial_{k} w, \chi_1 \nabla (\partial_{k} V)\]

\[\leq \eta ||\partial_{k} w||^{2} + C(\eta) ||\tau'^{2} \partial_{k} V||^{2} \tag{2.58}\]

and

\[\left( \frac{1}{\tau^m} \chi_1^2 L(\partial_{k} V, 0), \partial_{k} w \right) + \tau'^{2} \left( \chi_1^2 L(\partial_{k} V, 1), \partial_{k} v_r \right) + \left( \chi_1^2 L(\partial_{k} V, 1), \partial_{k} V_r \right)\]

\[\leq \frac{\mu}{4} ||\tau'^{\prime} \chi_1 \nabla (\partial_{k} V)||^{2} + \eta ||\tau'^{2} \partial_{k} w||^{2} + C(\eta) ||\tau'^{2} \partial_{k} V||^{2}. \tag{2.59}\]

Note that for small \(\eta_5 > 0\),

\[|\chi_1^2 \bar{\tau}' \frac{\gamma}{\tau^m} \partial_{k} \lambda, \partial_{k} V|\]

\[\leq |(\tau'^{2} \partial_{k}^{-1} \lambda, \partial_{k} (\chi_1^2 \partial_{k} V))| + |(\chi_1^2 \bar{\tau}' \frac{\gamma}{\tau^m} \partial_{k}^{-1} \lambda, \partial_{k} V)|\]

\[\leq C ||\tau'^{2} \partial_{k}^{-1} \lambda||^{2} + \eta_5 ||\tau'^{\prime} \chi_1 \nabla (\partial_{k} V)||^{2} + C(\eta_5) ||\tau'^{2} \partial_{k} V||^{2}. \tag{2.60}\]
Adding (2.52), (2.53) and (2.54), we have that by (2.57)-(2.59) and (2.60) with small $\eta > 0$,

\[
\frac{d}{d\tau} \left( h \| \chi_1 \partial_t^k w \|_2^2 + h \| \partial_t^\epsilon \chi_1 \partial_t^k V \|_2^2 \right) + \| \partial_t^\epsilon \chi_1 \nabla (\partial_t^k V) \|_2^2 \\
\leq \eta \left\| \frac{\partial_t^k w}{\tau^{s+\mu}} \right\|_2^2 + C(\eta) \| \partial_t^\epsilon \chi_1 \partial_t^k L(\partial_t^k V, 0) \|_2^2 + C(\partial_t^k f, \chi_1 \partial_t^k w) + C(\| \partial_t^\epsilon \partial_t^{k-1} g \|_2^2).
\]

Integrating this on the variable $\tau$ over $[\tau_0, \tau]$, we can complete the proof of (2.50).

Next we deal with the normal derivative estimates of $w$.

**Lemma 2.7** (The first order normal derivative estimate of $\partial_t^k w$). For $t \geq \tau_0$, $0 < \eta < 1$, and $k = 0, 1, 2$, then

\[
\begin{align*}
&h \| \chi_1 \partial_t^k w \|_2^2 + \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \left( \| \partial_t^\epsilon \chi_1 \nabla (\partial_t^k V) \|_2^2 + \| \chi_1 \partial_t^k \partial_t^\epsilon w \|_2^2 \right) d\tau \\
&\leq C((w_0, v_0))_m^{2\mu - 1} + C\eta \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \left( \| \partial_t^{k+1} w \|_2^2 + \| \partial_t^\epsilon \chi_1 \partial_t^k V \|_2^2 + \| \partial_t^\epsilon \chi_1 (\partial_t^k V) \|_2^2 + \| \partial_t^\epsilon \chi_1 \partial_t^k L(\partial_t^k V, 1) \|_2^2 \right) d\tau.
\end{align*}
\]

**Proof.** At first, we rewrite $\partial_t (2.51a)$ and (2.51b) as

\[
\begin{align*}
(1 + \alpha) h \partial_t^\epsilon (\partial_t^k w) + \frac{1}{\tau m} \partial_t^\epsilon \partial_t^k v_r &= \frac{1}{\tau m} L(\partial_t^{k+1} V, 1) + \partial_t \partial_t^k f + \frac{1}{\tau m} L(\partial_t^k V, 1), \quad (2.62a) \\
(1 + \alpha) h \partial_t (\partial_t^k v_r) - (2\mu + \lambda) \partial_t^2 \partial_t^k v_r + \frac{\gamma}{\tau^{2\epsilon + m}} \partial_t (\partial_t^k w) &= \partial_t^k g_r + L(\partial_t^k V, 1) - \frac{\alpha h}{\tau m} \partial_t^k v_r + L(\partial_t^{k+2} V, 0). \quad (2.62b)
\end{align*}
\]

Computing $\frac{(2.62b)}{(2\mu + \lambda) \tau^m} + (2.62a)$ yields

\[
\begin{align*}
(1 + \alpha) h \partial_t (\partial_t^k w) + \frac{\gamma}{2\mu + \lambda} \frac{\partial_t \partial_t^k w}{\tau^{2\epsilon + 2m}} &= \frac{1}{\tau m} L(\partial_t^{k+1} V, 1) + \partial_t \partial_t^k f + \frac{1}{\tau m} L(\partial_t^k V, 1) \\
&+ \frac{1}{(2\mu + \lambda) \tau^m} \left( -(1 + \alpha) h \partial_t (\partial_t^k v_r) + \partial_t^k g_r + L(\partial_t^k V, 1) - \frac{\alpha h}{\tau} \partial_t^k v_r + L(\partial_t^{k+2} V, 0) \right). \quad (2.63)
\end{align*}
\]
It follows from \( \int_{S_\tau} \chi_1^2 (2.63) \times \partial_t \partial_t^k w dy \) and direct computation that
\[
\frac{h}{\tau} \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \left\| \chi_1 \partial_t \partial_t^k w \right\|^2 \frac{d\bar{\tau}}{2} + \left\| \chi_1 \partial_{\bar{\tau}} \partial_t^k w \right\|^2 \frac{d\tau}{2} \\
\leq C \left( \left\| \chi_1 \partial_t L(\partial_t^{k+1} V, 1) \right\|^2 + \left\| \partial_t \chi_1 \partial_t^k V \right\|^2 \right) \]
\[
+ \left\| \chi_1 \partial_t L(\partial_t^k V, 1) \right\|^2 + \left( \chi_1^2 \partial_t \partial_t^k f, \partial_t \partial_t^k w \right) + \left\| \chi_1 \partial_t^k \bar{g} \right\|^2 \frac{d\bar{\tau}}{2} \frac{d\tau}{2} \right). \tag{2.64}
\]

Integrating (2.64) over \( (\bar{\tau}_0, t) \), we have
\[
\frac{h}{\tau} \int_{\tau_0}^{t} \left\| \chi_1 \partial_t \partial_t^k w \right\|^2 \frac{d\bar{\tau}}{2} + \int_{\tau_0}^{t} \left\| \chi_1 \partial_{\bar{\tau}} \partial_t^k w \right\|^2 \frac{d\bar{\tau}}{2} \]
\[
\leq C \left( \left\| \chi_1 \partial_t L(\partial_t^{k+1} V, 1) \right\|^2 + \left\| \partial_t \chi_1 \partial_t^k V \right\|^2 \right) \]
\[
+ \left\| \chi_1 \partial_t L(\partial_t^k V, 1) \right\|^2 + \left( \chi_1^2 \partial_t \partial_t^k f, \partial_t \partial_t^k w \right) + \left\| \chi_1 \partial_t^k \bar{g} \right\|^2 \frac{d\bar{\tau}}{2} \frac{d\tau}{2} \right). \tag{2.65}
\]

By (2.65), one has that
\[
-(2\mu + \lambda) \partial_t^2 \partial_t^k v_r = -(1 + \alpha) h \partial_t \partial_t^k v_r - \frac{\gamma}{\tau^{2s + m}} \partial_r \partial_t^k w + \partial_t^k \bar{g} + L(\partial_t^k V, 1) \]
\[- \frac{\lambda h}{\tau} \partial_t^k v_r + L(\partial_t^k V, 0). \tag{2.66}
\]

Then computing \( \int_{S_\tau} \chi_1^2 \chi_1 \nabla \bar{d} \bar{v} (\partial_t^k V) dy \) yields
\[
\left\| \chi_1 \partial_t \partial_t^k v_r \right\|^2 + \left\| \chi_1 \partial_t L(\partial_t^k V, 1) \right\|^2 + \left( \chi_1^2 \partial_t \partial_t^k f, \partial_t \partial_t^k w \right) + \left\| \chi_1 \partial_t^k \bar{g} \right\|^2 \frac{d\bar{\tau}}{2} \frac{d\tau}{2} \right). \tag{2.67}
\]

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.6, (2.67) and the expression of \( \nabla \bar{d} \bar{v} V \), we have that for \( k = 0, 1, 2 \), and small \( \eta > 0 \),
\[
\int_{\tau_0}^{t} \left\| \chi_1 \partial_t \partial_t^k v_r \right\|^2 \frac{d\bar{\tau}}{2} + \int_{\tau_0}^{t} \left\| \chi_1 \partial_t L(\partial_t^k V, 1) \right\|^2 + \left( \chi_1^2 \partial_t \partial_t^k f, \partial_t \partial_t^k w \right) + \left\| \chi_1 \partial_t^k \bar{g} \right\|^2 \frac{d\bar{\tau}}{2} \frac{d\tau}{2} \right). \tag{2.68}
\]
Then the proof of Lemma 2.7 is completed.

As in [19], we now state the following result which can be easily shown by the standard regularity theory on the second order elliptic equation.

**Lemma 2.8.** For the Stokes equation

\[
\begin{cases}
\nabla u = f, \\
-\Delta u + \nabla P = g, \\
u = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial \Omega,
\end{cases}
\]

we have

\[
\|D^2 u\|_{H^1}^2 + \|DP\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(\|f\|_{H^1}^2 + \|g\|_{L^2}^2).
\]

### 3 The global energy estimates for problem (1.1a)-(1.1b) with (1.2)

In this section, based on the results in Section 2, we will establish the global energy estimates of the solution \((w,v)\) to (3.1)-(3.2). For this purpose, we define for \(t_2 \geq t_1 \geq \tau_0\) and \(k=2,3\),

\[
N_k(t_1, t_2) = \sup \left\{ (h\|w\|_{H^k}^2 + h\|\tau' v\|_{H^k}^2 + h\|\tau' w\|_{H^{k-1}}^2 + h\|\tau' v\|_{H^{k-2}}^2) \right.\]

\[
+ \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left( \left( \frac{\partial w}{\tau' + m} \right)_{H^{k-1}}^2 + h\|\tau' v\|_{H^{k-1}}^2 + \|\tau' Dw\|_{H^{k-2}}^2 + h\|\tau' v\|_{H^{k-2}}^2 \right) \, dt.
\]

To prove Theorem 1.2, we need to obtain the uniform \(H^3\) estimates of \((w,v)\), i.e., the uniform estimates of \(N_3(\tau_0, t)\) for any \(t \geq \tau_0\). As the starting point, we will show

\[
N_3(\tau_0, t) \leq C \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq t} h\|\tau' g(\tau)\|_{H^3}^2 + C\|w(\tau_0, v_0)\|_{H^3}^2 + C\int_{\tau_0}^{t} M(\tilde{\tau}) \, d\tilde{\tau},
\]

(3.1)
where

\[ M(\bar{t}) \]
\[
= |(\bar{t}^2 g, h_v) + (\bar{t}^2 h g, \bar{t}^2 v_\bar{t}) + (\bar{t}^2 h g, h_v)| + \sum_{k=0}^{3} |(D^k f, D^k w)| \\
+ \sum_{k=0}^{2} |(\bar{t}^2 D^k g, D^k v)| + \| \bar{t}^{s+1} f_0 \|_1^2 + \| f \|_2^2 + \| \bar{t}^s g \|_1^2 + \| \bar{t}^s h g \|_2^2 + \| h f \|_2^2, \tag{3.2}
\]

and the definitions of \( f, g, f_0 \) and the number \( s' \) have been given in Section 2. We will divide the proof procedure of (3.1) into the following four steps.

**Step 1.** The basic \( L^2 \)-energy inequality of \((w, v)\).

By Lemmas 2.1-2.3, we have that for small \( \eta > 0 \) and \( t \geq t_0 \),

\[
h \| w \|_2^2 + h \| \bar{t}^s v \|_2^2 + h \| \bar{t}^s \nabla v \|_2^2 + h \| h w \|_2^2 + h \| h \bar{t}^s v_\bar{t} \|_2^2 \\
+ \int_{t_0}^{t} \left( \| \bar{t}^s \nabla v \|_2^2 + \| \bar{t}^s v_\bar{t} \|_2^2 + \| h w \|_2^2 + h \| h \bar{t}^s v_\bar{t} \|_2^2 \right) d\bar{t} \\
\leq C \| (w_0, v_0) \|_{H^2}^2 + C \eta h^2 \int_{t_0}^{t} \| \nabla w \|_{2^{s+1}}^2 d\bar{t} + C(\eta) \int_{t_0}^{t} M(\bar{t}) d\bar{t}, \tag{3.3}
\]

where \( M(\bar{t}) \) is defined in (3.2).

**Step 2.** The \( H^1 \)-energy inequality of \((w, v)\).

By Lemma 2.5 with \( k = 1 \) and Lemma 2.1-Lemma 2.3, we have that for small \( \eta > 0 \),

\[
h \| \chi_0 D w \|_2^2 + h \| \bar{t}^s \chi_0 D v \|_2^2 + \int_{t_0}^{t} \left( \| \bar{t}^s \chi_0 \nabla D v \|_2^2 + \| \chi_0 D w \|_{2^{s+1}}^2 \right) d\bar{t} \\
\leq C \int_{t_0}^{t} \| \bar{t}^s \nabla v \|_2^2 + h \| \bar{t}^s v_\bar{t} \|_2^2 + h \| \bar{t}^s v \|_2^2 + M(\bar{t}) d\bar{t} + C \| (w_0, v_0) \|_{H^1}^2 \\
\leq C \| (w_0, v_0) \|_{H^1}^2 + C \eta h^2 \int_{t_0}^{t} \| \nabla w \|_{2^{s+1}}^2 d\bar{t} + C(\eta) \int_{t_0}^{t} M(\bar{t}) d\bar{t}. \tag{3.4}
\]

From Lemma 2.6 with \( k = 1 \), we see that

\[
h \| \chi_1 \partial_\bar{t} w \|_2^2 + h \| \chi_1 \bar{t}^s \partial_\bar{t} V \|_2^2 + \int_{t_0}^{t} \| \bar{t}^s \chi_1 \nabla \partial_\bar{t} V \|_2^2 d\bar{t} \\
\leq C \| (w_0, v_0) \|_{H^1}^2 + C \eta \int_{t_0}^{t} \| \partial_\bar{t} w \|_{2^{s+1}}^2 d\bar{t} + C(\eta) \int_{t_0}^{t} \| \bar{t}^s L(\partial_\bar{t} V, 0) \|_2^2 + M(\bar{t}) d\bar{t}. \tag{3.5}
\]
In addition, by Lemma 2.7 with \( k = 0 \), we arrive at

\[
\begin{align*}
\| \chi_1 D w \|^2_2 + \int_{\tau_0}^t \| \chi_1 \tau^\xi \nabla \text{div} \tilde{v} \|^2_2 d\tau & \\
\leq C \left( \| (w_0, v_0) \|^2_{\tilde{H}^1} + \eta \int_{\tau_0}^t \left\| \frac{\partial_\tau \tilde{w}}{\tau^\xi + m} \right\|^2_2 d\tau + C(\eta) \int_{\tau_0}^t \left\| \tau^\xi \chi_1 L(\partial_\tau V, 1) \right\|^2_2 d\tau \right) \\
& \quad + \| h \tau^\xi \chi_1 V_\tau \|^2_2 + \| h \tau^\xi L(V, 1) \|^2_2 + M(\tau) d\tau.
\end{align*}
\] (3.6)

Collecting (3.5)-(3.6) and (3.3) yields

\[
\begin{align*}
\| \chi_1 D w \|^2_2 + \int_{\tau_0}^t \| \chi_1 \tau^\xi \nabla \text{div} \tilde{v} \|^2_2 d\tau & \\
\leq C \left( \| (w_0, v_0) \|^2_{\tilde{H}^1} + \eta \int_{\tau_0}^t \left\| \frac{\nabla \tilde{w}}{\tau^\xi + m} \right\|^2_2 d\tau + C(\eta) \int_{\tau_0}^t M(\tau) d\tau \right).
\end{align*}
\] (3.7)

Next, we rewrite (2.5a)-(2.5b) as

\[
\text{div}(\tau^\xi v) = -\tau^\xi \Delta v + \frac{\gamma \nabla w}{\tau^\xi + m} = -(1 + \alpha) h \tau^\xi v_{\tau} - \tau^\xi - 1 ahv + (\mu + \lambda) \nabla \text{div} \tilde{v} + \tau^\xi g.
\]

Then by Lemma 2.8 with \( u = \mu \tau^\xi v \) and \( P = \frac{\gamma w}{\tau^\xi + m} \), we obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
\| \tau^\xi D^2 v \|^2_2 + \left\| \frac{\nabla \epsilon}{\tau^\xi + m} \right\|^2_2 & \\
\leq C \left\| \tau^\xi \tau^\xi D^2 v \right\|^2_2 + C \| \tau^\xi \tau^\xi \tau^\xi f_0 \|^2_{\tilde{H}^1} + C \| h \tau^\xi v_{\tau} \|^2_2 \\
& \quad + C \| \tau^\xi - 1 v \|^2_2 + C \| \tau^\xi \nabla \text{div} \tilde{v} \|^2_2 + C \| \tau^\xi g \|^2_2.
\end{align*}
\] (3.8)

From (2.5a), we see that

\[
D \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial \tau} \right) = -\frac{1}{\tau^m} D \text{div} \tau^\xi + D f_0.
\]

Together with (3.7), this yields

\[
\int_{\tau_0}^t \| \tau^\xi \tau^\xi D \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial \tau} \right) \|^2_2 d\tau \leq C \left( \| (w_0, v_0) \|^2_{\tilde{H}^1} + \eta \int_{\tau_0}^t \left\| \frac{\nabla \tilde{w}}{\tau^\xi + m} \right\|^2_2 d\tau + C(\eta) \int_{\tau_0}^t M(\tau) d\tau \right).
\] (3.9)
By applying Lemma 2.1 and (3.9), we arrive at
\[
\int_{t_0}^t \| \tilde{\tau}^{\varepsilon'} D^2 v \|_2^2 + \| \nabla \tilde{w} \|_{\tilde{\tau}^{\varepsilon'} + m}^2 \, d\tilde{\tau} \leq C \| (w_0, v_0) \|_{H^1}^2 + C h \int_{t_0}^t \| \tilde{w} \|_{\tilde{\tau}^{\varepsilon'} + m}^2 \, d\tilde{\tau} + C(\eta) \int_{t_0}^t M(\tilde{\tau}) \, d\tilde{\tau}.
\] (3.10)

Substituting (3.3), (3.7), (3.10) into (3.8), we obtain
\[
\int_{t_0}^t \left( \| \tilde{\tau}^{\varepsilon'} D^2 v \|_2^2 + \| \nabla \tilde{w} \|_{\tilde{\tau}^{\varepsilon'} + m}^2 \right) \, d\tilde{\tau} \leq C \| (w_0, v_0) \|_{H^1}^2 + C h \int_{t_0}^t \| \tilde{w} \|_{\tilde{\tau}^{\varepsilon'} + m}^2 \, d\tilde{\tau} + C(\eta) \int_{t_0}^t M(\tilde{\tau}) \, d\tilde{\tau}.
\]

This, together with (3.7), yields for small \( \eta > 0 \)
\[
h \| D w \|_2^2 + \int_{t_0}^t \left( \| \tilde{\tau}^{\varepsilon'} D^2 v \|_2^2 + \| \nabla \tilde{w} \|_{\tilde{\tau}^{\varepsilon'} + m}^2 \right) \, d\tilde{\tau} \leq C \| (w_0, v_0) \|_{H^1}^2 + C \int_{t_0}^t M(\tilde{\tau}) \, d\tilde{\tau}.
\] (3.11)

**Step 3.** The \( H^2 \)-energy inequality of \((w, v)\).

At first, we have that from (2.5b)
\[-\mu \Delta v - (\mu + \lambda) \nabla \text{div} v = -(1 + \alpha) h v - \frac{\lambda h}{\tilde{\tau}} v - \frac{\gamma}{\tilde{\tau}^{2\varepsilon'} + m} \nabla w + g.\]

By the regularity theory on the second order elliptic equation system, we obtain
\[
\| \tilde{\tau}^{\varepsilon'} D^2 v \|_2^2 \leq C \| \tilde{\tau}^{2\varepsilon'} \|_{H^1}^2 + C h \int_{t_0}^t \| \tilde{\tau}^{\varepsilon'} g(\tilde{\tau}) \|_2^2 \, d\tilde{\tau}.
\] (3.12)

By Lemma 2.5 with \( k = 2 \), (3.11) and (3.3), we get for \( t \geq \tilde{t}_0 \)
\[
h \| \chi_0 D^2 w \|_2^2 + \int_{t_0}^t \left( \| \tilde{\tau}^{\varepsilon'} \chi_0 D^3 v \|_2^2 + \| \chi_0 D^2 w \|_{\tilde{\tau}^{\varepsilon'} + m}^2 \right) \, d\tilde{\tau} \leq C \| (w_0, v_0) \|_{H^1}^2 + C \int_{t_0}^t M(\tilde{\tau}) \, d\tilde{\tau}.
\] (3.13)

By Lemma 2.6 with \( k = 2 \) and Lemma 2.7 with \( k = 1 \), one has respectively
\[
h \| \chi_1 \tilde{\tau}^{\varepsilon'} w \|_2^2 + \int_{t_0}^t \| \tilde{\tau}^{\varepsilon'} \chi_1 \hat{\nabla} (\tilde{\tau}^{\varepsilon'} V) \|_2^2 \, d\tilde{\tau} \leq C \| (w_0, v_0) \|_{H^2}^2 + C \eta \int_{t_0}^t \| \tilde{\tau}^{\varepsilon'} D w \|_{\tilde{\tau}^{\varepsilon'} + m}^2 \, d\tilde{\tau} + C(\eta) \int_{t_0}^t M(\tilde{\tau}) \, d\tilde{\tau}.
\] (3.14)
and
\[
\begin{aligned}
&h\|\chi_1 \partial_T w\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_{t_0}^t \left( \left\| \tau^\varepsilon \chi_1 \nabla \text{div} (\partial_T V) \right\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial_T \partial_T w}{\varepsilon^\alpha + m} \right\|_{L^2}^2 \right) d\tau \\
\leq &\|(w_0, v_0)\|_{H^2}^2 + C\eta \int_{t_0}^t \left\| \frac{\partial_T^2 w}{\varepsilon^\alpha + m} \right\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau + C(\eta) \int_{t_0}^t \left( \left\| \tau^\varepsilon \chi_1 L(\partial_T^2 V, 1) \right\|_{L^2}^2 + M(\tau) \right) d\tau.
\end{aligned}
\] (3.15)

Combining (3.14) and (3.15), we see that
\[
\begin{aligned}
&h\|\chi_1 \partial_T D w\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_{t_0}^t \left( \left\| \tau^\varepsilon \chi_1 \nabla \text{div} (\partial_T V) \right\|_{L^2}^2 \right) d\tau \\
\leq &\|(w_0, v_0)\|_{H^2}^2 + C\eta \int_{t_0}^t \left\| \frac{\partial_T^2 w}{\varepsilon^\alpha + m} \right\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau + C(\eta) \int_{t_0}^t M(\tau) d\tau.
\end{aligned}
\] (3.16)

And combining (3.16) with (3.13), we obtain
\[
\begin{aligned}
&h\|\partial_T D w\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_{t_0}^t \left( \left\| \tau^\varepsilon \nabla \text{div} (\partial_T v) \right\|_{L^2}^2 \right) d\tau \\
\leq &\|(w_0, v_0)\|_{H^2}^2 + C\eta \int_{t_0}^t \left\| \frac{\partial_T^2 w}{\varepsilon^\alpha + m} \right\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau + C(\eta) \int_{t_0}^t M(\tau) d\tau.
\end{aligned}
\] (3.17)

In addition, we can rewrite \(\partial_T (2.5a)\) and \(\partial_T (2.5b)\) as
\[
\begin{aligned}
d\text{div}(\tau^\varepsilon \partial_T v) &= -\tau^\varepsilon \partial_T f_0 + [\text{div}, \partial_T] \tau^\varepsilon v, \\
-\tau^\varepsilon \mu \Delta \partial_T v + \gamma \nabla \partial_T w &= -\tau^\varepsilon \mu [\Delta, \partial_T] v + [\nabla, \partial_T] \gamma \partial_T w - \tau^\varepsilon (1 + \alpha) h \partial_T v \tau \\
&-\tau^\varepsilon^{-1} ah \partial_T v + \tau^\varepsilon (\mu + \lambda) \partial_T \nabla \text{div} v + \tau^\varepsilon \partial_T g.
\end{aligned}
\] (3.18a)

In order to apply Lemma 2.8 to estimate \(\tau^\varepsilon D^2 \partial_T v\) and \(\tau^\varepsilon D \partial_T v\), we require to analyze each term in the right hand sides of (3.18a)-(3.18b). At first, from (2.5a) we see that
\[
\partial_T D \left( \frac{dw}{d\tau} \right) = -\frac{1}{\varepsilon^m} \partial_T D \text{div} v + \partial_T D f_0,
\]
and then by (3.17) we have
\[
\int_{t_0}^t \left\| \tau^\varepsilon \partial_T D \left( \frac{dw}{d\tau} \right) \right\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau \leq C\|(w_0, v_0)\|_{H^2}^2 + C\eta \int_{t_0}^t \left\| \frac{\partial_T^2 w}{\varepsilon^\alpha + m} \right\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau + C(\eta) \int_{t_0}^t M(\tau) d\tau.
\]

This, together with (3.10)-(3.11), yields
\[
\int_{t_0}^t \left\| \tau^\varepsilon \partial_T \left( \frac{dw}{d\tau} \right) \right\|_{L^1}^2 d\tau \leq C\|(w_0, v_0)\|_{H^2}^2 + C\eta \int_{t_0}^t \left\| \frac{\partial_T^2 w}{\varepsilon^\alpha + m} \right\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau + C(\eta) \int_{t_0}^t M(\tau) d\tau.
\]
(3.19)
On the other hand, it is noted that
\[
\begin{align*}
\| [\text{div}, \partial_t] \tilde{\tau}' \nu \|_2^2 & \leq C \| \tilde{\tau}' \nabla \nu \|_2^2, \\
\| \tilde{\tau}' [\Delta, \partial_t] \nu \|_2^2 & \leq C \| \tilde{\tau}' D^2 \nu \|_2^2, \\
\| \nabla, \partial_t \| \frac{w}{\tilde{\tau}' + \tau} \|_2^2 & \leq C \| \frac{Dw}{\tilde{\tau}' + \tau} \|_2', \\
\| \tilde{\tau}' \partial_t \nabla \text{div} \nu \|_2^2 & \leq C (\| \tilde{\tau}' \nabla \text{div} \partial_t \nu \|_2^2 + \| \tilde{\tau}' D^2 \nu \|_2^2).
\end{align*}
\] (3.20)

Then by applying Lemma 2.8 for (3.18a)-(3.18b) and using (3.19)-(3.20), we obtain
\[
\int_{\tau_0}^t \left( \| \tilde{\tau}' D^2 \partial_t \nu \|_2^2 + \| \frac{\partial_t Dw}{\tilde{\tau}' + \mu} \|_2^2 \right) d\tilde{\tau} \leq C \| (w_0, v_0) \|_{H^2} + C \eta \int_{\tau_0}^t \| \frac{\partial_t w}{\tilde{\tau}' + \mu} \|_2^2 d\tilde{\tau} + C(\eta) \int_{\tau_0}^t M(\tilde{\tau}) d\tilde{\tau},
\]
which means that for small $\eta > 0,$
\[
\int_{\tau_0}^t \left( \| \tilde{\tau}' D^2 \partial_t \nu \|_2^2 + \| \frac{\partial_t Dw}{\tilde{\tau}' + \mu} \|_2^2 \right) d\tilde{\tau} \leq C \| (w_0, v_0) \|_{H^2} + C \int_{\tau_0}^t M(\tilde{\tau}) d\tilde{\tau}.
\] (3.21)

Next, we estimate $\| D^2 w \|_2^2$ and $\int_{\tau_0}^t \| \frac{D w}{\tilde{\tau}' + \mu} \|_2 d\tilde{\tau}.$ It follows $\partial_r (2.63)$ with $k = 0$ and direct computation that
\[
(1 + \alpha) h (\partial_r^2 w) + \frac{\gamma}{(2 + \lambda) \tilde{\tau}^2 + \mu} \partial_r^2 w = \frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}^m} L (\partial_r^2 V, 2) + \partial_r^2 f + \frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}^m} L (V, 2) + \frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}^m} \left( -2 \partial_r \text{div} (\partial_r v_r) + \partial_r g_r + L (V, 2) - \frac{\alpha h}{\tilde{\tau}} \partial_r v_r + L (\partial_r^2 V, 1) \right). \tag{3.22}
\]

Computing $\int_{\gamma_0}^2 \chi^2 (3.22) \times \partial_r^2 w d\tau$ yields for small $\eta_1 > 0,$
\[
\frac{1 + \alpha}{2} h \int_{\tau_0}^t \left( \chi^2 \partial_r^2 w \right) d\tilde{\tau}^2 + \left( \chi^2 \partial_r^2 w \right)^2 \leq C \| \tilde{\tau}' L (\partial_r^2 V, 2) \|_2 + (\chi^2 \partial_r^2 f, \partial_r^2 w) \| + C \| \tilde{\tau}' L (V, 2) \|_2^2
\]
\[
+ C \| h \tilde{\tau}' \partial_r (\partial_r v_r) \|_2^2 + C \| \tilde{\tau}' \partial_r g_r \|_2^2 + C \| \tilde{\tau}' \partial_r v_r \|_2^2 + \eta_1 \| \chi^2 \partial_r^2 w \|_2^2. \tag{3.23}
\]

Integrating (3.23) with respect to the variable $\tilde{\tau}$ over $(\tau_0, t)$ and using the results in Lemma 2.6-Lemma 2.7, then we arrive at
\[
h \| \chi^2 \partial_r^2 w \|_2^2 + \int_{\tau_0}^t \left( \chi^2 \partial_r^2 w \right)^2 d\tilde{\tau} \leq C h \| (w_0, v_0) \|_{H^2} + C \int_{\tau_0}^t M(\tilde{\tau}) d\tilde{\tau}. \tag{3.24}
\]
Combining (3.13), (3.17), (3.21) and (3.24) yields

\[ h\|D^2w\|_{H^2}^2 + \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \left( \|D^s D^2\partial_\tau v\|_{H^3}^2 + \left\| \frac{D^2 w}{\tau^s + \mu} \right\|_{L^2}^2 \right) d\tau \leq Ch\| (w_0, v_0) \|_{H^2}^2 + C \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} M(\tau) d\tau. \]  

(3.25)

Noting that \( v \) satisfies the following second order elliptic equation system

\[
\begin{cases}
-\mu \Delta v - (\mu + \lambda) \nabla \text{div} v = -(1 + \alpha) h \nu_{\tau} - \frac{ah}{\tau} v - \frac{\gamma}{\tau^2 + \mu} \nabla w + g, \\
v = 0 \quad \text{on} \; S_0.
\end{cases}
\]  

(3.26)

we then have

\[ \|v\|_{H^p} \leq C \left\| (1 + \alpha) h \nu_{\tau} - \frac{ah}{\tau} v - \frac{\gamma}{\tau^2 + \mu} \nabla w + g \right\|_{H^{p-1}}. \]  

(3.27)

which implies

\[ \| \tau^s v \|_{H^2} \leq C \left( \| h \tau^s v_{\tau} \|_{H^1}^2 + \| \tau^{s-1} v \|_{H^3}^2 + \| \tau^s g \|_{H^1}^2 + \left\| \nabla w \right\|_{(s+1)}^2 \right). \]  

(3.28)

Combining (3.12), (3.25) and (3.28), we arrive at

\[
\begin{align*}
& h\|\tau^s D^2 v\|_{L^2}^2 + h\|D^2 w\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \left( \|\tau^s D^3 v\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\| \frac{D^2 w}{\tau^s + \mu} \right\|_{L^2}^2 \right) d\tau \\
& \leq C\| (w_0, v_0) \|_{H^2}^2 + C \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} M(\tau) d\tau + C \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau} h\|\tau^s g(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{align*}
\]  

(3.29)

Thus, by (3.3), (3.11) and (3.29), we have obtained

\[ N_2(\tau_0, \tau) \leq C\| (w_0, v_0) \|_{H^2}^2 + C \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} M(\tau) d\tau + C \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau} h\|\tau^s g(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2. \]  

(3.30)

**Step 4.** The \( H^3 \)-energy inequality of \((w, v)\).

By Lemma 2.4 and (3.30), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
& h\|h \tau^s \nabla v_{\tau} \|_{L^2}^2 + \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \left( \|h^2 \tau^s v_{\tau\tau} \|_{L^2}^2 + \|h^2 \tau^s v_{\tau\tau} \|_{L^2}^2 \right) d\tau \\
& \leq C\| (w_0, v_0) \|_{H^2}^2 + C \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} M(\tau) d\tau + C \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau} h\|\tau^s g(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{align*}
\]  

(3.31)

Due to (3.28) and (3.30), one has

\[ h\|\tau^s v\|_{H^2}^2 \leq C\| (w_0, v_0) \|_{H^2}^2 + C \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} M(\tau) d\tau + C \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau} h\|\tau^s g(\tau)\|_{H^{p-1}}^2. \]  

(3.32)

On the other hand, it follows from (3.26) that

\[ -\mu \Delta v_{\tau} - (\mu + \lambda) \nabla \text{div} v_{\tau} = -(1 + \alpha) h \nu_{\tau\tau} - \left( \frac{ah}{\tau} \right) v_{\tau} - \left( \frac{\gamma}{\tau^2 + \mu} \nabla w \right)_{\tau} + g_{\tau}. \]  

(3.33)
Noting that we can obtain for
\[
\left\| - (1 + \alpha) h v_{\tau\tau} - \left( \frac{\alpha h}{\tau} v \right)_{\tau} - \left( \frac{\gamma}{\tau^{2s'+m}} \Delta w \right)_{\tau} + g_{\tau} \right\|_2 \\
\leq C \left( \| h v_{\tau\tau} \|_2 + \| \tau^{-1} v_{\tau} \|_2 + \| \tau^{-2} v \|_2 + \| \nabla w_{\tau} \|_{\tau^{2s'+m}} \|_2 + \left\| \nabla w \right\|_{\tau^{2s'+m}+1} \|_2 + \| g_{\tau} \|_2 \right). \tag{3.34}
\]

Then it follows from (3.33)-(3.34) and a direct computation that
\[
\| h \tau^{-d} D^2 v_{\tau} \|_2^2 \\
\leq C \left( \| h^2 \tau^{-d} v_{\tau} \|_2^2 + \| h \tau^{-1} v_{\tau} \|_2^2 + \| h \tau^{-2} v \|_2^2 + \| h \nabla w_{\tau} \|_{\tau^{2s'+m}} \|_2 + \left\| \nabla w \right\|_{\tau^{2s'+m}+1} \|_2 + \| h \| \| \tau^{-d} g_{\tau} \|_2 \right). \tag{3.35}
\]

This, together with (3.30)-(3.31), yields
\[
\int_{t_0}^t \| h \tau^{-d} D^2 v_{\tau} \|_2^2 \, d\tau \leq C \| (w_0, v_0) \|_{H^1}^2 + C \int_{t_0}^t M(\tau) \, d\tau + C \sup_{t_0 \leq \tau \leq t} h \| \tau^{-d} g_{\tau} \|_2^2. \tag{3.36}
\]

We now focus on the estimates on $D^3w$. At first, by Lemma 2.5 with $k = 3$, we have such an interior estimate
\[
h \| \chi_0 D^3 w \|_2^2 + \int_{t_0}^t \left( \| \tau^{-d} \chi_0 \nabla (\partial_{\tau}^2 v) \|_2^2 + \| \tau^{-d} \chi_1 \nabla \text{div} (\partial_{\tau}^2 v) \|_2^2 + \left\| \chi_1 \partial_{\tau}^3 D^2 w \right\|_{\tau^{s'+m}}^2 \|_2 \right) \, d\tau \\
\leq C \| (w_0, v_0) \|_{H^1}^2 + C \int_{t_0}^t \| D^3 w \|_{\tau^{s'+m}}^2 \|_2 \, d\tau + C(\eta) \int_{t_0}^t \| \tau^{-d} D^2 v_{\tau} \|_{\tau^{s'+m}}^2 + M(\tau) \, d\tau \\
\leq C \| (w_0, v_0) \|_{H^1}^2 + C \int_{t_0}^t \| D^3 w \|_{\tau^{s'+m}}^2 \|_2 \, d\tau + C(\eta) \int_{t_0}^t M(\tau) \, d\tau + C(\eta) \sup_{t_0 \leq \tau \leq t} h \| \tau^{-d} g_{\tau} \|_2^2. \tag{3.37}
\]

In addition, by Lemma 2.6 with $k = 3$ and Lemma 2.7 with $k = 2$, we have
\[
h \| \chi_1 \partial_{\tau}^2 D^2 w \|_2^2 + \int_{t_0}^t \left( \| \tau^{-d} \chi_1 \nabla (\partial_{\tau}^2 v) \|_2^2 + \| \tau^{-d} \chi_1 \nabla \text{div} (\partial_{\tau}^2 v) \|_2^2 + \left\| \chi_1 \partial_{\tau}^3 D^2 w \right\|_{\tau^{s'+m}}^2 \|_2 \right) \, d\tau \\
\leq C \| (w_0, v_0) \|_{H^1}^2 + C \int_{t_0}^t \| D^3 w \|_{\tau^{s'+m}}^2 \|_2 \, d\tau + C(\eta) \int_{t_0}^t \| \tau^{-d} D^2 v_{\tau} \|_{\tau^{s'+m}}^2 + M(\tau) \, d\tau \\
\leq C \| (w_0, v_0) \|_{H^1}^2 + C \int_{t_0}^t \| D^3 w \|_{\tau^{s'+m}}^2 \|_2 \, d\tau + C(\eta) \int_{t_0}^t M(\tau) \, d\tau + C(\eta) \sup_{t_0 \leq \tau \leq t} h \| \tau^{-d} g_{\tau} \|_2^2. \tag{3.38}
\]

Combining (3.37) and (3.38) yields
\[
h \| \partial_{\tau}^2 D^2 w \|_2^2 + \int_{t_0}^t \left( \| \tau^{-d} \nabla (\partial_{\tau}^2 v) \|_2^2 + \| \tau^{-d} \nabla \text{div} (\partial_{\tau}^2 v) \|_2^2 + \left\| \partial_{\tau}^3 D^2 w \right\|_{\tau^{s'+m}}^2 \|_2 \right) \, d\tau \\
\leq C \| (w_0, v_0) \|_{H^1}^2 + C \int_{t_0}^t \| D^3 w \|_{\tau^{s'+m}}^2 \|_2 \, d\tau + C(\eta) \int_{t_0}^t M(\tau) \, d\tau + C(\eta) \sup_{t_0 \leq \tau \leq t} h \| \tau^{-d} g_{\tau} \|_2^2. \tag{3.39}
\]
In addition, we rewrite $\partial_t^2 (2.5a)$ and $\partial_t^2 (2.5b)$ as

\[
\begin{cases}
\text{div}(\tilde{\tau}^s D^2 \partial_t^2 v) = -\tilde{\tau}^s \mu \Delta \partial_t^2 v + \frac{\gamma}{\tilde{\tau}^s + \mu} \nabla \partial_t^2 v + [\nabla, \partial_t^2] \tilde{\tau}^s v,
\end{cases}
\]

(3.40)

As in (iii), in order to apply Lemma 2.8 to estimate $\tilde{\tau}^s D^2 \partial_t^2 v$ and $\tilde{\tau}^s D(\frac{\partial_t^2 w}{\tilde{\tau}^s})$, we require to analyze the terms in the right hand sides of (3.40). At first, from (2.5a) we see that

\[
\partial_t^2 D \left( \frac{d w}{d \tilde{\tau}} \right) = -\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}^m} \partial_t^2 D \text{div} v + \partial_t^2 D f_0.
\]

Together with (3.39), this yields

\[
\int_{\tau_0}^{t} \left\| \tilde{\tau}^s \Delta \partial_t^2 v \right\|_{H^1}^2 d \tilde{\tau} 
\leq C \|(w_0, v_0)\|_{L^2}^2 + C \gamma \int_{\tau_0}^{t} \left\| \frac{D^3 w}{\tilde{\tau}^s + m} \right\|_{L^2}^2 d \tilde{\tau} + C(\gamma) \int_{\tau_0}^{t} M(\tilde{\tau}) d \tilde{\tau} + C(\gamma) \sup_{\tilde{\tau} \in [\tau_0, t]} h(\tilde{\tau}^s g(\tilde{\tau})) \|_{L^2}^2.
\]  

(3.41)

Collecting (3.19) and (3.41), we have

\[
\int_{\tau_0}^{t} \left\| \tilde{\tau}^s \Delta \partial_t^2 v \right\|_{H^1}^2 d \tilde{\tau} 
\leq C \|(w_0, v_0)\|_{L^2}^2 + C \gamma \int_{\tau_0}^{t} \left\| \frac{D^3 w}{\tilde{\tau}^s + m} \right\|_{L^2}^2 d \tilde{\tau} + C(\gamma) \int_{\tau_0}^{t} M(\tilde{\tau}) d \tilde{\tau} + C(\gamma) \sup_{\tilde{\tau} \in [\tau_0, t]} h(\tilde{\tau}^s g(\tilde{\tau})) \|_{L^2}^2.
\]  

(3.42)

It follows from direct computation that

\[
\begin{cases}
\|\text{div} \partial_t^2 D \|_{L^2}^2 \leq C \|\tilde{\tau}^s D^2 v\|_{L^2}^2,
\|\tilde{\tau}^s \Delta \partial_t^2 v\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C \|\tilde{\tau}^s D^2 v\|_{L^2}^2,
\|\nabla, \partial_t^2\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C \|\tilde{\tau}^s D^2 v\|_{L^2}^2,
\|\tilde{\tau}^s \partial_t^2 \nabla \text{div} v\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C \|\tilde{\tau}^s \nabla \text{div} v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\tilde{\tau}^s D^2 v\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{cases}
\]

(3.43)

By Lemma 2.8 for (3.40) and the results in Lemma 2.6-Lemma 2.10, we arrive at

\[
\int_{\tau_0}^{t} \left( \|\tilde{\tau}^s D^2 \partial_t^2 v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\frac{\partial_t^2 D w}{\tilde{\tau}^s + m}\|_{L^2}^2 \right) d \tilde{\tau} 
\leq C \|(w_0, v_0)\|_{L^2}^2 + C \gamma \int_{\tau_0}^{t} \left\| \frac{D^3 w}{\tilde{\tau}^s + m} \right\|_{L^2}^2 d \tilde{\tau} + C(\gamma) \int_{\tau_0}^{t} M(\tilde{\tau}) d \tilde{\tau} + C(\gamma) \sup_{\tilde{\tau} \in [\tau_0, t]} h(\tilde{\tau}^s g(\tilde{\tau})) \|_{L^2}^2.
\]  

(3.44)
On the other hand, we rewrite $\partial_t(2.48a)$, (2.48b) and (2.48c) as
\[
(1+\alpha)h(\partial_t w)\tau + \frac{1}{m}\partial^2_t v_r = \partial_t f + \frac{1}{m+1} L(V,1) + \frac{1}{m} \left( \frac{1}{r} \partial^2_\phi v_\theta + \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \partial^2_\phi v_\phi \right),
\]
(3.45a)
\[
-(2\mu+\lambda)\partial^2_t v_r + \frac{\gamma}{r^{2+s}} \partial_r w
\]
\[= -(1+\alpha)h \partial_t \tau v_r + L(\partial^2_t v_r,0) + L(\partial_t \partial_t V_T,0) + g_\tau + L(V,1),
\]
(3.45b)
\[
-\partial^2_t V_T = -(1+\alpha)h \partial_t \tau V_T + L(\partial^2_t V_T,0) + L(\partial_t \partial_t V_T,0) - \frac{\gamma \nabla^2 w}{r^{2+s}} + g_\tau + L(V,1).
\]
(3.45c)

By $\partial_t[(3.45a) + \frac{1}{m+1}(2\mu+\lambda)(3.45b)]$ and direct computation, we have
\[
(1+\alpha)h(\partial_t^3 w)\tau + \frac{1}{m+1} L(V,3) + \frac{1}{m} L(\partial_t \partial_t V_T,0)
\]
\[\quad + \frac{1}{m+1}(2\mu+\lambda)
\]
\[
\left( -(1+\alpha)h \partial_t \partial_t \partial_t v_r + L(\partial_t \partial_t \partial_t v_r,0) + L(\partial_t \partial_t \partial_t V_T,0) + \partial_t^3 g_\tau + L(V,3) \right).
\]
(3.46)

Computing $\int_{\mathcal{S}_t} \chi^2(3.46) \times \partial_t^3 w dy$ yields that for small $\eta_1 > 0$,
\[
\frac{h}{\partial_t} \| \chi_1 \partial_t^3 w \|_2^2 \geq \frac{1}{m+1} \| \chi_1 \partial_t^3 w \|_2^2
\]
\[
\leq C \| \tau \partial_t^2 D^2 \tau \|_2^2 + |(\chi_1^2 \partial_t^3 f_1, \partial_t^3 w)|
\]
\[
+ C \| \tau \partial_t^2 L(\partial_t^2 \partial_t V_T,0) \|_2^2 + C \| \tau \partial_t^2 D^2 \tau \|_2^2 + C \| \tau \partial_t D^2 \tau \|_2^2 + C \| \tau \partial_t^3 g \|_2^2 + C \| \tau \partial_t^3 \partial_t \partial_t V_T \|_2^2.
\]
(3.47)

Integrating (3.47) over $(\tau_0, \tau)$ and applying the results in Lemma 2.6-Lemma 2.10, we have
\[
\frac{h}{\partial_t} \| \chi_1 \partial_t^3 w \|_2^2 \geq \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \| \chi_1 \partial_t^3 w \|_2^2 d\tau
\]
\[
\leq C \| \tau \partial_t^2 \partial_t \partial_t V_T \|_2^2 + C \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau} \left( \| \tau \partial_t^2 L(\partial_t^2 \partial_t V_T,0) \|_2^2 + M(\tau) \right) d\tau + C \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq \tau} h \| \tau \partial_t^2 \partial_t \partial_t V_T \|_2^2.
\]
(3.48)

From the equation $\partial_t \partial_t (3.45c)$, we see that
\[
-\partial_t^2 \partial_t V_T = -(1+\alpha)h \partial_t \partial_t \partial_t V_T + L(\partial_t \partial_t \partial_t V_T,0) + L(\partial_t^2 \partial_t^2 V_T,0)
\]
\[
- \frac{\gamma \partial_t \partial_t \nabla^2 w}{r^{2+s}} + \partial_t \partial_t g_\tau + L(V,3),
\]
which means
\[
\| \tau \partial_t^2 \partial_t \partial_t V_T \|_2^2
\]
\[
\leq C \left( \| h \tau \partial_t^2 D^2 \tau \|_2^2 + \| \tau \partial_t^2 D^2 \tau \|_2^2 + \| \tau \partial_t D^2 \partial_t g \|_2^2 + \| \tau \partial_t^2 D^2 \partial_t \partial_t g \|_2^2 + \| \tau \partial_t^2 D \partial_t^2 \partial_t \|_2^2 \right).
\]
(3.49)
Substituting (3.49) into (3.48) and using (3.28), we have

\[ h\|\chi_1 \partial_t^2 w\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_{t_0}^t \left( \| \chi_1 \partial_t^3 w \|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\| \partial_t \chi_1 \partial_t^2 w \|_{L^2}^2}{\tau^3 + m} \right) d\tau \leq C \left( \| (w_0,v_0) \|_{H^3}^2 + C(\eta) \int_{t_0}^t M(\tau) d\tau + C(\eta) \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq t} h \| \tau^{\sigma'} g(\tau) \|_{L^2}^2 \right). \] (3.50)

Combining (3.39), (3.44) with (3.48)-(3.50), we arrive at

\[ h\|D^3 w\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_{t_0}^t \left( \| \tau^{\sigma'} D^4 v \|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\| D^3 w \|_{L^2}^2}{\tau^3 + m} \right) d\tau \leq C \left( \| (w_0,v_0) \|_{H^3}^2 + C \int_{t_0}^t M(\tau) d\tau + C \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq t} h \| \tau^{\sigma'} g(\tau) \|_{L^2}^2 \right), \]

which derives together with (3.30)

\[ N_3(\tau_0,t) \leq C \left( \| (w_0,v_0) \|_{H^3}^2 + C \int_{\tau_0}^t M(\tau) d\tau + C \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq t} h \| \tau^{\sigma'} g(\tau) \|_{L^2}^2 \right). \] (3.51)

Based on the above results in Step 1-Step 4, we now establish the following conclusion:

**Proposition 3.1.** Assuming \( \gamma < \frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \) and \( N_3(\tau_0,t) < 1 \), then for \( t \geq \tau_0 \), we have

\[ N_3(\tau_0,t) \leq C h \left( \| (w_0,v_0) \|_{H^3}^2 + C \int_{\tau_0}^t M(\tau) d\tau + C \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tau \leq t} h \| \tau^{\sigma'} g(\tau) \|_{L^2}^2 \right). \] (3.52)

**Proof.** To prove (3.52), we require to estimate all the terms in right hand side of (3.51). By the expression of \( M(\tau) \), we only need to treat the terms such as \( \| (D^3 f, D^3 w) \|_{H^3}^2 \), \( \| \tau^{\sigma'} g(\tau) \|_{L^2}^2 \) and \( h f_\tau \|_{L^2}^2 \).

For \( (D^3 f, D^3 w) \), we see that

\[ (D^3 f, D^3 w) = - \int_{S_0 \tau_m} \frac{1}{\tau^m} (D^3 (wdiv v)D^3 w + D^3 (v \cdot \nabla w)D^3 w) \ dy \]

\[ = - \int_{S_0 \tau_m} \frac{1}{\tau^m} (D^3 (wdiv v)D^3 w + D^3 (v \cdot \nabla w)D^3 w + D^3 (v \cdot \nabla w)D^3 w) \ dy \]

\[ = - \int_{S_0 \tau_m} \frac{1}{\tau^m} (D^3 (wdiv v)D^3 w + D^3 (v \cdot \nabla w)D^3 w - \frac{1}{2} div(D^3 w)^2) \ dy. \] (3.53)

Next we analyze each term in the right hand side of (3.53). Note that

\[ \int_{S_0 \tau_m} \frac{1}{\tau^m} (D^3 (wdiv v)D^3 w) \ dy \]

\[ = \frac{1}{\tau^m} \int_{S_0 \tau_m} (D^3 wdiv D^3 w + 3D^2 wDdiv D^3 w + 3DwD^2 div D^3 w + wD^3 div D^3 w) \ dy. \] (3.54)
In addition, since
\[
\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}^m} \left| \int_{S_0} (D^3 w \div D^3 w) dy \right| \leq C \| \tilde{\tau}' \div \|_{L^\infty} \| D^3 w \|_2 \| D^3 w \|_2
\]
\[
\leq C \| \tilde{\tau}' \div \|_{H^2} \| D^3 w \|_2 \| D^3 w \|_2
\]
\[
\leq C \left( \| \tilde{\tau}' \div \|_{H^3}^2 + \| D^3 w \|_2^2 \right) \| D^3 w \|_2,
\]
we have
\[
\int_{\tilde{\tau}_0}^{1} \frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}^m} \int_{S_0} (D^3 w \div D^3 w) dy \, d\tilde{\tau}
\]
\[
\leq C \int_{\tilde{\tau}_0}^{1} \left( \| \tilde{\tau}' \div \|_{H^2}^2 + \| D^3 w \|_2^2 \right) \left( \sup_{\tilde{\tau}_0 \leq \tilde{\tau} \leq 1} \| D^3 w(\tilde{\tau}) \|_2 \right)
\]
\[
\leq C h^{-\frac{1}{2}} N_3^3 (\tilde{\tau}_0, t). \tag{3.55}
\]
Similarly,
\[
\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}^m} \left| \int_{S_0} (D^2 w \div D^3 w) dy \right| \leq C \| \tilde{\tau}' \div \|_{L^\infty} \| D^2 w \|_2 \| D^3 w \|_2
\]
\[
\leq C \left( \| \tilde{\tau}' \div \|_{H^3}^2 + \| D^2 w \|_2^2 \right) \| D^3 w \|_2,
\]
which concludes
\[
\int_{\tilde{\tau}_0}^{1} \frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}^m} \int_{S_0} (D^2 w \div D^3 w) dy \, d\tilde{\tau} \leq C h^{-\frac{1}{2}} N_3^3 (1, t). \tag{3.56}
\]
We also have
\[
\int_{\tilde{\tau}_0}^{1} \frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}^m} \int_{S_0} (Dw \div D^3 w) dy \, d\tilde{\tau}
\]
\[
\leq C \int_{\tilde{\tau}_0}^{1} \left( \| \tilde{\tau}' \div \|_{L^\infty} \| D^2 w \|_2 \| Dw \|_{L^\infty} \right) \, d\tilde{\tau}
\]
\[
\leq C \int_{\tilde{\tau}_0}^{1} \left( \| \tilde{\tau}' \div \|_{H^3}^2 + \| D^2 w \|_2^2 \right) \left( \sup_{\tilde{\tau}_0 \leq \tilde{\tau} \leq 1} \| Dw \|_{H^2} \right)
\]
\[
\leq C h^{-\frac{1}{2}} N_3^3 (\tilde{\tau}_0, t) \tag{3.57}
\]
and
\[
\int_{\tau_0}^{t} \frac{1}{t^m} \int_{S_0} (w D^2 \text{div} v D^2 w) dy \, d\tilde{\tau} \\
\leq C \int_{\tau_0}^{t} \| \tilde{\tau}' D^3 \text{div} v \|_{L^2}^2 \left\| \frac{D^3 w}{\tilde{\tau}^{\alpha} + 1} \right\|_2 \| w \|_{L^\infty} \, d\tilde{\tau} \\
\leq C \int_{\tau_0}^{t} \left( \| \tilde{\tau}' \text{div} v \|_{L^2}^2 + \left\| \frac{D^3 w}{\tilde{\tau}^{\alpha} + 1} \right\|_2^2 \right) \, d\tilde{\tau} \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tilde{\tau} \leq t} \| w \|_{H^2} \leq C h^{-\frac{1}{2}} N_3^2 (1,t). \tag{3.58}
\]

Substituting (3.55)-(3.58) into (3.54) yields
\[
\int_{\tau_0}^{t} \frac{1}{t^m} \int_{S_0} (D^3 (w \text{div} v) D^3 w) dy \, d\tilde{\tau} \leq C h^{-\frac{1}{2}} N_3^2 (\tau_0, t). \tag{3.59}
\]

Analogously, we can arrive at
\[
\int_{\tau_0}^{t} \frac{1}{t^m} \int_{S_0} \left( |D^3 v \cdot \nabla| w D^3 w - \frac{1}{2} \text{div} v (D^3 w)^2 \right) dy \, d\tilde{\tau} \leq C h^{-\frac{1}{2}} N_3^2 (\tau_0, t). \tag{3.60}
\]

Inserting (3.59)-(3.60) into (3.53), we have
\[
\int_{\tau_0}^{t} \frac{1}{t^m} \| D^2 f, D^3 w \| d\tilde{\tau} \leq C h^{-\frac{1}{2}} N_3^2 (\tau_0, t). \tag{3.61}
\]

For the term \( \| \tilde{\tau}' + m f_0 \|_{H^3}^2 \), it suffices to treat \( \| \tilde{\tau}' + m D^3 f_0 \|_2^2 \) since the other left terms in \( \| \tilde{\tau}' + m f_0 \|_{H^3}^2 \) can be more easily estimated. It follows from direct computation that
\[
\| \tilde{\tau}' + m D^3 f_0 \|_2^2 = \tilde{\tau}^{2\tilde{\tau}} \int_{S_0} |D^3 w \text{div} v|^2 dy + 3 \tilde{\tau}^{2\tilde{\tau}} \int_{S_0} |D^2 w D \text{div} v|^2 dy \\
+ 3 \tilde{\tau}^{2\tilde{\tau}} \int_{S_0} |D w D^2 \text{div} v|^2 dy + \tilde{\tau}^{2\tilde{\tau}} \int_{S_0} |w D^3 \text{div} v|^2 dy \\
\leq C (\| \tilde{\tau}' \text{div} v \|_{H^\infty}^2 + \| \tilde{\tau}' D \text{div} v \|_{H^\infty}^2) \| D^2 w \|_{H^1}^2 \\
+ C (\| \tilde{\tau}' D^2 \text{div} v \|_{H^1}^2 (\| w \|_{H^\infty}^2 + \| Dw \|_{H^\infty}^2) \\
\leq C (\| \tilde{\tau}' D v \|_{H^2}^2 \| w \|_{H^3}^2)
\]

which derives
\[
\int_{\tau_0}^{t} \| \tilde{\tau}' + m D^3 f_0 \|_2^2 d\tilde{\tau} \leq C h^{-1} N_3^2 (\tau_0, t). \tag{3.62}
\]

For the treatment on the term \( \| \tilde{\tau}' g \|_{H^3}^2 \), it is only enough to estimate \( \| \tilde{\tau}' D^2 g \|_2^2 \). Note that
\[
g = -\frac{1}{t^m} v \cdot \nabla v - \frac{1}{t^m + 2\tilde{\tau}} \nabla P_1 (w) + a(1 - \alpha) h^2 \tilde{\tau}^{\frac{2}{2}} y - \frac{w}{1 + w} L v. \tag{3.63}
\]
To estimate $\| \tilde{\tau}^s D^2 \phi \|_{L^2}^2$, we need to deal with each term in the expression of $\tilde{\tau}^s D^2 \phi$. It follows from Hölder inequality, Sobolev’s imbedding inequality, and direct computation that
\[
\int_{\tau_0}^{t} \left\| \tilde{\tau}^s D^2 \left( \frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}^{2s' + m}} \nabla \phi \right) \right\|_{2}^2 d\tilde{\tau} \leq C h^{-1} N^2(\tilde{\tau}_0, t). \tag{3.64}
\]
In addition,
\[
\left\| \tilde{\tau}^s D^2 \left( \frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}^{2s' + m}} \nabla \phi \right) \right\|_{2}^2 \leq C \left\| \frac{Dw}{\tilde{\tau}^{s' + m}} \right\|_{H^2}^2 (\|w\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|Dw\|_{L^\infty}^2)
\]
\[
\leq C \left\| Dw \right\|_{L^2}^2 \|w\|_{H^m}^2 \|w\|_{H^2}^2,
\]
which derives
\[
\int_{\tau_0}^{t} \left\| \tilde{\tau}^s D^2 \left( \frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}^{2s' + m}} \nabla \phi \right) \right\|_{2}^2 d\tilde{\tau} \leq C h^{-1} N^2(\tilde{\tau}_0, t). \tag{3.65}
\]
For the term $\left\| \tilde{\tau}^s \frac{w}{1+w} L v \right\|_{H^2}^2$, we have
\[
\left\| \tilde{\tau}^s D^2 \left( \frac{w}{1+w} L v \right) \right\|_{2}^2 \leq C (\|w\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|Dw\|_{L^\infty}^2) \|\tilde{\tau}^s D^2 \phi\|_{H^2}^2 + C \|\tilde{\tau}^s D^2 \phi\|_{H^2}^2 \|\tilde{\tau}^s D^2 \phi\|_{L^\infty}^2
\]
\[
\leq C \|w\|_{H^m}^2 \|\tilde{\tau}^s D^2 \phi\|_{H^2}^2 + C \|D^2 w\|_{H^2}^2 \|\tilde{\tau}^s D^2 \phi\|_{H^m}^2,
\]
which derives
\[
\int_{\tau_0}^{t} \left\| \tilde{\tau}^s D^2 \left( \frac{w}{1+w} L v \right) \right\|_{2}^2 d\tilde{\tau} \leq C \sup_{\tau_0 \leq \tilde{\tau} \leq t} \|w\|_{H^2}^2 \int_{\tau_0}^{t} \|\tilde{\tau}^s D^2 \phi\|_{H^2}^2 d\tilde{\tau} \leq C h^{-1} N^2(\tilde{\tau}_0, t). \tag{3.66}
\]
For the term $\alpha (1 - \alpha) h^2 \tilde{\tau}^{-\frac{2}{1+\alpha}} y$, we see that
\[
\int_{\tau_0}^{t} \| \tilde{\tau}^s \alpha (1 - \alpha) h^2 \tilde{\tau}^{-\frac{2}{1+\alpha}} y \|_{H^2}^2 d\tilde{\tau} \leq C h^2 \int_{\tau_0}^{t} \tilde{\tau}^{2s' - \frac{4}{1+\alpha}} d\tilde{\tau}.
\]
Note that the integrand function $\tilde{\tau}^{2s' - \frac{4}{1+\alpha}} \in L^1(0, +\infty)$ is required, one then has
\[
2s' - \frac{4}{1+\alpha} + 1 = \frac{3\alpha(\gamma - 1) - 3 + \alpha}{1+\alpha} < 0,
\]
which means
\[
\gamma < \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{\alpha}.
\]
In this case,

$$
\int_{t_0}^{t} \| \tilde{\tau}^s (1 - \alpha) h^2 \tilde{\tau} - \tilde{\tau}_\infty y \|_{H^2}^2 d\tilde{\tau} \leq C h^2. \tag{3.67}
$$

Thus, by (3.64)-(3.67) and (3.63), we arrive at

$$
\int_{t_0}^{t} \| \tilde{\tau}^s g \|_{H^2}^2 d\tilde{\tau} \leq C h^{-\frac{1}{2}} N_3^3 (\tilde{t}_0, t) + h^{-1} N_2^3 (\tilde{t}_0, t). \tag{3.68}
$$

Next, we treat \( \| h \tilde{\tau}^s g \|_{L^2}^2 \). By the expression of \( g \) in (3.63), we require to deal with the terms \( \tilde{\tau}^s \left( \frac{1}{\tilde{t}^m} \cdot \nabla v \right) \tau \), and \( \tilde{\tau}^s \left( \frac{\nabla P(w)}{\tilde{\tau}^{2s+\omega}} \right) \tau \), \( \tilde{\tau}^s \left( \frac{w}{1 + w} L v \right) \tau \), separately. It follows from direct computation that

$$
\left\| \tilde{\tau}^s \left( \frac{1}{\tilde{t}^m} \cdot \nabla v \right) \right\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C \left( \| \tilde{\tau}^{s-m} \cdot \nabla v \|_{L^2}^2 + \| \tilde{\tau}^{s-m} \nabla v \|_{L^2}^2 + \| \tilde{\tau}^{s-m} \cdot \nabla v \|_{L^2}^2 \right)
$$

which means

$$
\int_{t_0}^{t} \left\| h \tilde{\tau}^s \left( \frac{1}{\tilde{t}^m} \cdot \nabla v \right) \right\|_{L^2}^2 d\tilde{\tau} \leq C h^{-1} N_3^3 (\tilde{t}_0, t). \tag{3.69}
$$

And

$$
\left\| \tilde{\tau}^s \left( \frac{\nabla P(w)}{\tilde{\tau}^{2s+\omega}} \right) \right\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C \left( \| \tilde{\tau}^{s+\omega} \nabla P(w) \|_{L^2}^2 + \| \tilde{\tau}^{s+\omega} \nabla P(w) \|_{L^2}^2 \right)
$$

which derives

$$
\int_{t_0}^{t} \left\| h \tilde{\tau}^s \left( \frac{\nabla P(w)}{\tilde{\tau}^{2s+\omega}} \right) \right\|_{L^2}^2 d\tilde{\tau} \leq C h^{-1} N_3^3 (\tilde{t}_0, t). \tag{3.70}
$$

In addition, we have

$$
\left\| \tilde{\tau}^s \left( \frac{w}{1 + w} L v \right) \right\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C \left( \| w \|_{L^2}^2 + \| w \|_{L^2}^2 \right) \left( \| \tilde{\tau}^{s} D^2 v \|_{L^2}^2 + \| \tilde{\tau}^{s} D^2 v \|_{L^2}^2 \right), \tag{3.71}
$$

which implies

$$
\int_{t_0}^{t} \left\| h \tilde{\tau}^s \left( \frac{w}{1 + w} L v \right) \right\|_{L^2}^2 d\tilde{\tau} \leq C \left( \| w \|_{L^2}^2 + \| w \|_{L^2}^2 \right) \int_{t_0}^{t} \left( \| \tilde{\tau}^{s} D^2 v \|_{L^2}^2 + \| h \tilde{\tau}^{s} D^2 v \|_{L^2}^2 \right) d\tilde{\tau}
$$

$$
\leq C h^{-1} N_3^3 (\tilde{t}_0, t). \tag{3.72}
$$
Collecting (3.69)-(3.72) yields
\[
\int_{t_0}^t \| h \bar{t}^\varepsilon \mathcal{G}_t \|_{2, \bar{t}}^2 d\bar{t} \leq Ch^2 + Ch^{-1} N_3^2(\bar{t}_0, t). \tag{3.73}
\]

Next, we estimate the term \( \| h f_t \|_{2}^2 \). It follows from the expression of \( f \) and direct computation that
\[
\| \frac{1}{\bar{t}^m} (\text{div}(vw))_\tau \|_{2, \bar{t}}^2 \leq \| \frac{1}{\bar{t}^m} w_{\tau} \text{div} v \|_{2, \bar{t}}^2 + \| \frac{1}{\bar{t}^m} w_{\tau} \text{div} v \|_{2, \bar{t}}^2 + \| \frac{1}{\bar{t}^m} \nabla w_{\tau} \cdot v \|_{2, \bar{t}}^2 \leq C (\| \text{div} v \|_{L^2}^2 + \| v \|_{L^\infty}^2 + \| \nabla v \|_{L^\infty}) (\| w_{\tau} \|_{2}^2 + \| \text{div} v_{\tau} \|_{2}^2 + \| \nabla w_{\tau} \|_{2}^2 + \| v_{\tau} \|_{2}^2),
\]
which derives
\[
\int_{t_0}^t \| h f_t \|_{2}^2 d\bar{t} \leq Ch^{-1} N_3^2(\bar{t}_0, t). \tag{3.74}
\]

Finally, we estimate the term \( \sup_{\bar{t}_0 \leq \bar{t} \leq t} \| h \bar{t}^\varepsilon \mathcal{G}_\bar{t} \|_{2, \bar{t}}^2 \). As in the above, we require to treat each term in the expression of \( \bar{t}^\varepsilon D \mathcal{G} \). It follows from direct computation that
\[
\sup_{\bar{t}_0 \leq \bar{t} \leq t} h \| \bar{t}^\varepsilon D (v \cdot \nabla v) \|_{2, \bar{t}}^2 \leq Ch (\| \bar{t}^\varepsilon D v \cdot \nabla v \|_{2}^2 + \| \bar{t}^\varepsilon v \cdot \nabla D v \|_{2}^2),
\]
\[
\leq Ch \sup_{\bar{t}_0 \leq \bar{t} \leq t} (\| \bar{t}^\varepsilon D v \|_{L^\infty}^2 \| \bar{t}^\varepsilon v \|_{L^\infty} + \| \bar{t}^\varepsilon D v \|_{L^\infty}^2 + \| \bar{t}^\varepsilon D^2 v \|_{2}^2)
\]
\[
\leq Ch \sup_{\bar{t}_0 \leq \bar{t} \leq t} (\| \bar{t}^\varepsilon D v \|_{H^2}^2 + \| \bar{t}^\varepsilon D v \|_{H^1}^2)
\]
\[
\leq Ch^{-1} N_3^2(\bar{t}_0, t) \tag{3.75}
\]

and
\[
h \| \bar{t}^\varepsilon D \left( \frac{w}{1 + w} \Delta v \right) \|_{2, \bar{t}}^2 \leq C \| \bar{t}^\varepsilon D \left( \frac{w}{1 + w} \Delta D v \right) \|_{2, \bar{t}}^2 \leq Ch \| w \|_{H^2}^2 \| \bar{t}^\varepsilon v \|_{H^1}^2 \leq Ch^{-1} N_3^2(\bar{t}_0, t) \tag{3.76b}
\]

Collecting (3.75)-(3.76b) together with the expression of \( g \) in (3.63), we get
\[
\sup_{\bar{t}_0 \leq \bar{t} \leq t} h \| \bar{t}^\varepsilon g \|_{H^1}^2 \leq Ch^2 + Ch^{-1} N_3^2(\bar{t}_0, t). \tag{3.77}
\]
Combining (3.61)-(3.62), (3.68), (3.73)-(3.74) and (3.77), we complete the proof of (3.52), i.e., Proposition 3.1 is proved. \( \square \)
4 The proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section, we will complete the proof of Theorem 1.2 based on the results in Section 2-Sectiion 3. Denote by \( t' = \frac{1}{h}(t + \pi - 1) \) and define \( \bar{N}_k(1, t') = h^{-1}N_k(t, t) \). Then we see that

\[
hN_k(1, t') = N_k(t, t)
\]

\[
= \sup_{1 \leq \tau \leq t'} \left( h\|w\|_{H^\gamma}^2 + h\|R^\gamma v\|_{H^\gamma}^2 + h\left\| \frac{1}{(1+\alpha)R} w_\tau \right\|_{H^{\gamma-1}}^2 + h\left\| \frac{1}{(1+\alpha)R} R^\gamma v_\tau \right\|_{H^{\gamma-2}}^2 \right)
\]

\[
+ \int_1^{t'} \left( \left\| \frac{Dw}{R^{\gamma+\frac{1}{2}}} \right\|_{H^{\gamma-1}}^2 + \left\| \frac{1}{1+\alpha} R^{\gamma-\frac{1}{2}} w_\tau \right\|_{H^{\gamma-1}}^2 + \left\| R^{\gamma+\frac{1}{2}} Dv \right\|_{H^{\gamma}}^2 
+ \left\| \frac{1}{1+\alpha} R^{\gamma-\frac{1}{2}} Dv_\tau \right\|_{H^{\gamma-2}}^2 + \left\| \frac{1}{1+\alpha} R^{\gamma-\frac{1}{2}} v_\tau \right\|_{H^{\gamma-2}}^2 \right) d\tau.
\]

Thus

\[
\bar{N}_k(1, t') = \sup_{1 \leq \tau \leq t'} \left( \left\| w \right\|_{H^\gamma}^2 + \left\| R^\gamma v \right\|_{H^\gamma}^2 + \left\| \frac{1}{(1+\alpha)R} w_\tau \right\|_{H^{\gamma-1}}^2 + \left\| \frac{1}{(1+\alpha)R} R^\gamma v_\tau \right\|_{H^{\gamma-2}}^2 \right)
\]

\[
+ (1+\alpha) \int_1^{t'} \left( \left\| \frac{Dw}{R^{\gamma+\frac{1}{2}}} \right\|_{H^{\gamma-1}}^2 + \left\| \frac{1}{1+\alpha} R^{\gamma-\frac{1}{2}} w_\tau \right\|_{H^{\gamma-1}}^2 + \left\| R^{\gamma+\frac{1}{2}} Dv \right\|_{H^{\gamma}}^2 
+ \left\| \frac{1}{1+\alpha} R^{\gamma-\frac{1}{2}} Dv_\tau \right\|_{H^{\gamma-2}}^2 + \left\| \frac{1}{1+\alpha} R^{\gamma-\frac{1}{2}} v_\tau \right\|_{H^{\gamma-2}}^2 \right) d\tau.
\]

(4.1)

By the same proof of Proposition 3.1, we can obtain

**Proposition 4.1.** If \( \bar{N}_3(1, t') \leq 1, \gamma < \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \) and \( t' \geq 1 \), then we have

\[
\bar{N}_3(1, t') \leq C \left\| (w_0, v_0) \right\|_{H^\gamma}^2 + Ch + C\bar{N}_3^2(1, t').
\]

(4.2)

Based on Proposition 4.1, we start to prove Theorem 1.2.

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** By Theorem 1.1, we know that problem (2.5a)-(2.5b) has a local solution \((w, v)\) such that \( w \in C([0, T], H^3(S_0)) \cap C^1([0, T], H^2(S_0)) \) and \( v \in C([0, T], H^3(S_0)) \cap C^1([0, T], H^2(S_0)) \). From Proposition 4.1, the uniform energy estimates for \( t' \geq 1 \) are obtained when the initial norm \( \left\| (w_0, v_0) \right\|_{H^\gamma} \leq \epsilon_0 \) is small. Therefore, it follows the continuity argument that problem (2.5a)-(2.5b) has a global small solution and further (1.3a)-(1.3c) in Theorem 1.2 hold. \( \square \)
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