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Aim. This study aimed to study the effect of patients' and physician’s characteristics as a predictor of length of stay (LOS) in patients undergoing coronary bypass surgery. Material and methods. This was a retrospective study conducted at Tabriz Shaheed Madanee Cardiac Teaching Hospital in Iran in 2010. The 349 patients who did undergo a bypass surgery during 2008–2010 were studied. Patients and physicians characteristics were collected from patients medical records using a researcher developed checklist. Independent Samples Test of ANOVA was conducted to compare LOS between categorical variables. Data was analyzed using the SPSS17 statistical package.

Results. The mean age of the patients was 59.7 years. Overall average LOS was 15.58 (10.02) days. The findings of this study indicate that older patients stayed in the hospital for a significantly longer period than did younger patients. Patients' stay at the hospital was statistically significant and longer than assessed expected length of stay estimated by physicians (P < 0.05). Patient admitted to the hospital through emergency department and patients with no hospitalization history stayed longer in the hospital (P<0.01). Early discharged patients and death cases also had a longer LOS (P < 0.01). Patient whose attending physicians were working in private sector, in addition to Shaheed Madanee Hospital and had higher level of education stayed longer than those whose physicians worked only at Shaheed Madanee Hospital (P < 0.01).

Conclusion. Institutional characteristics – physicians practice both in private sector and public teaching hospital, physicians’ level of education, discharge process guidelines, and admission protocols were most important factor in predicting LOS. The patient’s hospitalization history whether patient was hospitalized before or not, was also a predictor.
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INTRODUCTION

Coronary artery bypass surgery is a safe and accepted technique to treat coronary artery diseases [1], with its own costs implications [2]. The annual cost of it is more than 10 billion US dollars for 500,000 coronary bypass surgeries in the United States [3]. Because of the large number of surgeries performed, even modest reductions in bypass unit cost by rationalizing the length of stay could significantly reduce expenditures at both the hospital and national levels [3].

However it has been shown that length of stay is associated with resource use [4], additionally it is a sensitive and specific marker of inefficiency in using scarce resources of hospitals in inappropriate length of stay [4].

However, there does not appear to be much evidence in the literature to support the assumptions that there is a golden
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However it has been shown that length of stay is associated with resource use [4], additionally it is a sensitive and specific marker of inefficiency in using scarce resources of hospitals in inappropriate length of stay [4].

However, there does not appear to be much evidence in the literature to support the assumptions that there is a golden
standard of length of stay for patients undergoing coronary bypass surgery, but finding of studies indicates that longer preoperative hospital stay can be a risk factor for deep wound infection [4, 5], in addition longer preoperative hospital stay was associated with a increased risk of surgical site infections [5]. In recent years, there has been data published from several studies suggesting that the predictors of length of stay as a patient outcome can range from clinical mix [1, 6, 7], to patient, [6, 8–10] and care providers characteristics [6, 8]. Therefore the risk of prolonged length of stay on patient’s outcome may be no different than clinical predictors of patient outcome in regards to service quality. However it seems possible to decrease coronary bypass surgery patients’ length of stay with lower resource utilization and costs without adversely affecting patient’s outcomes. It is not something out of sight, and it can be achieved with minor interventions in clinical and administrative procedures, processes, providers behaviour, and the guidelines, for instance, some studies show that the development of fast-track anaesthetic techniques for cardiac surgery has helped to decrease intensive care unit (ICU) and hospital length of stay (LOS) without adversely affecting mortality and morbidity [4, 11]. Therefore this study aimed to study the effect of patients’ and physician’s characteristics as a predictor in length of stay of patients undergoing coronary artery bypass surgery at Shaheed Madanee Cardiac Teaching Hospital in Tabriz.

**Material and methods**

We used a retrospective-observational study at Tabriz Shaheed Madanee Cardiac Teaching Hospital to study 349 hospitalized patients who did undergo a bypass surgery in 2010. About 349 hospitalized patients who did undergo a bypass surgery were studied. Study data were collected using a researcher developed checklist from patient medical records during 2008–2010. Trained personnel collected data using a standardized checklist on 349 patient’s undergone coronary bypass surgery during 2008–2010. Patients in this study had a coronary bypass grafting surgery (CABG) as their principal procedure defined by International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM) code, according to their medical records. During years 2008–2010 we randomly selected 15 medical records every month out of those records showing that patient has undergone a bypass surgery.

Study checklist included demographic information such as: patient’s sex, occupation, patients insurance, marital status, type of admission, history of hospitalization, discharge status, physician specialties, physicians practice in private in addition to practice at Shaheed Madanee Hospital sector, and length of stay at the hospital. Furthermore, in regards to determining the assessment of physicians on expected length of stay of patients undergoing coronary bypass surgery data was collected from physicians who had enough experience in diagnosing and performing coronary bypass surgery. The pessimistic, optimistic and most likely length of stay for patients undergoing coronary bypass surgery at this hospital were collected though conducting interviews with physicians and then expected length of stay was calculated using the PERT (Program Evaluation and Review Technique) Weighted Average formula:

\[
\text{Expected time} = \frac{\text{Pessimistic value} + 4 \times \text{most likely value} + \text{Optimistic value}}{6}
\]

Frequencies and percentages were used to describe demographic information of patients. Independent Samples Test, ANOVA was conducted to compare LOS between categorical variables after testing the normality of their distribution and confirmation by a Kolomogrov-Smirnov test. One-Sample T Test was used to describe the differences between physicians’ estimated and actual LOS derived from patients’ records. Furthermore data was analyzed using the SPSS17 statistical package. The P values ≤ 0.05 were considered as statistically significant.

**Results**

Data were collected on all 349 patients undergone coronary bypass surgery. Overall average LOS derived from patients’ records was 15.58 (±10.02) days. The mean age of the patients studied was 59.7 (9.8) years. Further analysis of the data indicates that older patients stayed in the hospital for a significantly longer period than did younger patients (P≤0.001). Table 1 summarizes the findings of the patients’ characteristics according to gender, occupation, type of insurance (Insurance plan), mode of admission, history of hospitalization (previous hospitalization due to cardiac problems), discharge status, physicians’ educational level, and their practice in private sector.

Study findings indicate females’ LOS statistically was longer than male (p=0.014). There was no significant association between length of stay and patients’ marital status and place of residence -rural or urban- (P>0.05). Significant association was observed between length of stay and type of admission and, hospitalization history, and physicians practice in private sector (P≤0.001), also, data related to practice in private sector for two physicians were not available. With the exception discharge status which was a little longer for partial recovery (P=0.049). Table 1 also reports the length of stay according to physicians status; patients whose physician was a specialist did stay one and half day longer than those whose physician was a sub-specialist, this difference wasn’t statistically significant (P=0.061) (Table 1).

Table 2 indicates detailed pessimistic, optimistic and most likely length of stay according to physician’s point of view. To calculate the expected length (time) of stay physicians were asked to estimate pessimistic, optimistic and most likely length of stay for patients undergoing coronary bypass surgery. Then the Expected length (time) of stay was determined using PERT Weighted Average formula.

The physicians estimated LOS was 10.72 days and actual LOS derived from patients’ medical records was 15.58 days. The difference between estimated and actual LOS using One-Sample T Test was statistically significant (P≤0.05) (Table 2).
Previous researchers have found that there is considerable variation in the resource use and LOS associated with bypass surgery. Our study examines the length of stay for a single regional specialist heart hospital in Tabriz. We found substantial associations between patients’ and physician’s characteristics and length of stay in this study. LOS was higher for patients, who were unemployed, retired, female, and had no hospitalization history due to cardiac problems, emergency admission patients, and death cases. These findings are generally consistent with prior studies that used medical records identify the predictors of length of stay [1, 2, 12]. Despite previous research findings failure to explain patients and physicians non clinical characteristics [7, 13] as predictors of variation of length of stay [1], our findings identify some association between institutional characteristics and length of stay as additional risk factors for prolonged length of stay which are consistent with previous findings [11, 14]. Length of stay differs due to many factors some of which may be modifiable such as discharge status and physicians practice in private and public sector at the same time [13, 14]. Therefore variation in pattern of staffing of physicians may affect the length of

### Table 1

| Characteristics                              | No (%) | Mean of Length of Stay (SD) | Min, Max | p     |
|----------------------------------------------|--------|----------------------------|----------|-------|
| Sex                                          |        |                            |          |       |
| Male                                         | 246 (70) | 14.77 (8.94)               | 3–60     | 0.014 |
| Female                                       | 103 (30) | 17.53 (10.79)              | 2–71     |       |
| Occupation                                   |        |                            |          |       |
| Unemployed                                   | 109 (31.1) | 17.56 (10.82)              | 2–71     | 0.052 |
| Employee                                     | 33 (14.6) | 13 (5.77)                  | 6–31     |       |
| Technical worker                             | 30 (5.9)  | 13.93 (6.22)               | 3–29     |       |
| Non-Technical worker                         | 15 (8.6)  | 15.47 (9.07)               | 4–40     |       |
| Retired                                      | 51 (4.3)   | 17.56 (10.82)              | 4–46     |       |
| Farmer                                       | 34 (9.7)   | 15.68 (6.41)               | 3–33     |       |
| Other                                        | 77 (22)    | 14.10 (8.56)               | 3–60     |       |
| Patient Insurance type                       |        |                            |          |       |
| Health services                              | 170 (48.7) | 16.16 (9.48)               | 2–60     | 0.672 |
| Social security                              | 120 (34.4) | 14.42 (8.81)               | 3–71     |       |
| Military insurance                           | 40 (11.5)  | 16.55 (8.53)               | 4–54     |       |
| Private insurance                            | 8 (2.3)    | 14.50 (3.85)               | 9–20     |       |
| Uninsured                                    | 11 (3.1)   | 14 (7.55)                  | 5–27     |       |
| Patient Marital status                       |        |                            |          |       |
| Married                                      | 347 (99.5) | 15.6 (9.86)                | 2–71     | 0.401 |
| Single                                       | 2 (0.5)    | 13.5 (2.27)                | 6–21     |       |
| Type of admission                            |        |                            |          |       |
| Elective                                     | 261 (74.7) | 14.11 (6.45)               | 2–60     | <0.001|       |
| Emergency                                    | 88 (25.3)  | 19.94 (10.54)              | 2–71     |       |
| History of hospitalization (previous hospitalization due to cardiac problems) |        |                            |          |       |
| Yes                                          | 231 (66.2) | 13.7 (9.09)                | 3–46     | <0.001|       |
| No                                           | 118 (33.8) | 19 (7.49)                  | 2–71     |       |
| Discharge status                             |        |                            |          |       |
| Complete recovery                            | 278 (79.7) | 14.86 (7.59)               | 2–60     | 0.049 |
| Partial recovery                             | 42 (12)    | 15.57 (6.90)               | 4–36     |       |
| Death                                        | 29 (8.3)    | 22.30 (17.95)              | 4–71     |       |
| Physician’s educational status               |        |                            |          |       |
| Specialist                                   | 215 (61.6) | 15.83 (7.24)               | 3–60     | 0.061 |
| Sub-Specialists                              | 134 (38.4) | 14.35 (6.25)               | 2–46     |       |
| Physician’s work in private sector           |        |                            |          |       |
| Yes                                          | 106 (35)   | 13.45 (7.2)                | 3–60     | <0.001|       |
| No                                           | 197 (65)   | 15.97 (8.35)               | 2–71     |       |

### Table 2

**Physicians estimated LOS related to real LOS**

| Conditions          | LOS (day) | p     |
|---------------------|-----------|-------|
| Optimistic          | 5.64      |       |
| Pessimistic         | 20.34     |       |
| Most likely         | 9.59      |       |
| Te. (Expected Time) | 10.72     | < 0.05|       |
| Real LOS according medical record              | 15.58 |       |

**Discussion**

Previous researchers have found that there is considerable variation in the resource use and LOS associated with bypass surgery. Our study examines the length of stay for a single regional specialist heart hospital in Tabriz. We found substantial associations between patients’ and physician’s characteristics and length of stay in this study. LOS was higher for patients, who were unemployed, retired, female, and had no hospitalization history due to cardiac problems, emergency admission patients, and death cases. These findings are generally consistent with prior studies that used medical records identify the predictors of length of stay [1, 2, 12]. Despite previous research findings failure to explain patients and physicians non clinical characteristics [7, 13] as predictors of variation of length of stay [1], our findings identify some association between institutional characteristics and length of stay as additional risk factors for prolonged length of stay which are consistent with previous findings [11, 14]. Length of stay differs due to many factors some of which may be modifiable such as discharge status and physicians practice in private and public sector at the same time [13, 14]. Therefore variation in pattern of staffing of physicians may affect the length of
Research findings indicate that some hospitals adopted protocols to shorten the length of stay, which are being considered to be effective. These studies also revealed that hospital discharge policy, hospital size and managerial factor have a major role in LOS [11, 13, 14]. Furthermore several recent studies suggest using critical pathways, care maps, and a fast-track protocol is effective to increase the efficiency by reducing LOS [11, 14].

Our study provides such information that could be used to predict and distinguish patients who as a result longer period of stay in the hospital. Furthermore, we could determine that savings will be extended if we use integrated hospital and home care services. That is to say, since Shaheedi Madanee Hospital is the only hospital serving a vast geographical area (more than three provinces in North West of the country) and most of the residents have difficulty in accessing the post-operative services, the providers and patients tend to prolong the hospital stay. Thereby offering post-operative services through home care can considerably reduce the LOS.

Further research is needed to address these issues, particularly in light of the trend toward shorter hospitalizations and resource utilization without sacrificing the quality of care [15].

On the other hand, due to non-linear pattern of hospital costs and revenue, most of the costs of hospitalization and profitable services for are incurred at the beginning of hospital stay, so, by reducing LOS hospital marginal profit’s increase [16]. Along with this factor according to present study findings technical expectancy of LOS based on physician’s estimation was significantly shorter than real LOS derived from medical records. In this regard, findings in the literature also indicate that other factors such as quality of care and hospitals volume can influence this issue [17].

Although we tried to limit the constraints of our study but there are still a number of limitations. First, our findings do not take into account the patients’ residency and economic characteristics, although, these factors play an enormous role in LOS. In our study context due to lack of access to post-operative services through home care patients tend to prolong the hospital stay. Thereby offering post-operative services through home care can considerably reduce the LOS.

Conclusion

The factors that were significantly associated with length of stay were largely due to institutional characteristics — physicians practice both in private sector and public teaching hospital, physicians’ level of education (specialist, subspecialist), discharge process guidelines, and admission protocols— were substantial predictors of length of stay. Patient non clinical characteristics such as gender, occupation, type of insurance and marital status did not play an important role in hospital stay except hospitalization history — those with no hospitalization history stayed for longer period. Furthermore the data from this study may be useful to administrators and physicians who are involved in the management of health programmers and hospital budget, to encourage shorter length of stay to reduce costs. Further research is needed to assess its impact on patient outcomes.
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