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Abstract This paper studies the normalized Ricci flow from a slight perturbation of the hyperbolic metric on $H^n$. It’s proved that if the perturbation is small and decays sufficiently fast at the infinity, then the flow will converge exponentially fast to the hyperbolic metric when the dimension $n > 5$.

1 Introduction

The Ricci flow of Hamilton evolves the metric of a Riemannian manifold in the direction of an Einstein metric. There is a natural question that if one starts from a small perturbation of an Einstein metric, or without the a priori knowledge of the existence of an Einstein metric, from a sufficiently (Ricci) pinched metric, can we show the flow converges to (the) Einstein metric? The problem is addressed by R. Ye in [7]. Ye proved several theorems in this direction in the case of closed Riemannian manifolds. Central to his proof is a concept of stability. On one hand, if the solution to the Ricci flow remains stable, the $L^2$-norm of traceless Ricci tensor decays exponentially. On the other hand, if the solution remains pinched, it is stable. Since the traceless Ricci tensor is almost the right hand side of the Ricci flow equation, Ye was able to combine the above two observations to conclude if the initial metric is sufficiently pinched then the solution will remain so for any later time and converge to some Einstein metric.

In this paper, we try to study a similar problem for complete noncompact manifolds. More precisely, we are concerned with the normalized Ricci flow

$$\frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial t} = -2(R_{ij} + (n-1)g_{ij}).$$

(1.1)

Let $H^n$ be the hyperbolic space with constant sectional curvature $-1$. Denote the hyperbolic metric by $g_{\mathbb{H}}$. A metric $g$ on $H^n$ is said to be $\varepsilon$-hyperbolic for some positive $\varepsilon > 0$ if

$$(1 - \varepsilon)g_{\mathbb{H}} \leq g \leq (1 + \varepsilon)g_{\mathbb{H}}$$

(1.2)

and

$$|K(x, \sigma) + 1| \leq \varepsilon$$

(1.3)
where $K(x, \sigma)$ is the sectional curvature of tangent plane $\sigma$ at $x \in \mathbb{H}^n$. By a perturbation, we mean more than just being $\varepsilon$-hyperbolic. Since we are working on a complete noncompact manifold, it is natural to assume some asymptotic condition at the infinity. For some $\delta > 0$, the metric $g$ is $\varepsilon$-hyperbolic of order $\delta$ if, in addition to equation (1.2),

$$\left| (K(x, \sigma) + 1) e^{\delta d(x, x_0)} \right| \leq \varepsilon,$$

(1.4)

where $d(x, x_0)$ is the distance from $x$ to some fixed point $x_0$ with respect to the metric $g$.

Our first result is

**Theorem 1.1.** For each $n \geq 3$ and $\delta > 0$, there exists some $\varepsilon > 0$ depending only on $\delta$ and $n$ such that the normalized Ricci flow starting from any $\varepsilon$-hyperbolic metric $g$ of order $\delta$ on $\mathbb{H}^n$ exists for all time and converges exponentially fast to some Einstein metric.

The basic idea is more or less the same as in [7]. It is about the two inter-wining facts: first, as long as the solution remains close to the hyperbolic space, the analysis properties of $g(t)$, precisely the spectrum radius, resembles those of the hyperbolic space; second, we will show once we have a lower bound of the spectrum radius, $|R_{ij} + (n-1)g_{ij}|$ decays exponentially so that if the initial norm is small, it has no chance of getting too far away from the hyperbolic metric $g_{\mathbb{H}}$. The difference between the method here and that in [7] is that we use a point-wise estimate of $|R_{ij} + (n-1)g_{ij}|$ instead of $L^2$ estimate of the traceless Ricci tensor, which enables us to handle the case of very small decay.

There is another advantage of a point-wise estimate of $|R_{ij} + (n-1)g_{ij}|$, compared with a global $L^2$ estimate. It allows us to prove that the limiting Einstein metric is asymptotically hyperbolic of a certain degree if the initial metric is asymptotically hyperbolic. Combined with rigidity results of Shi and Tian [6], this implies our second result

**Theorem 1.2.** For $n > 5$ and $\delta > 2$, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ depending only on $\delta$ and $n$ such that the normalized Ricci flow starting from any $\varepsilon$-hyperbolic metric of order $\delta$ on $\mathbb{H}^n$ converges exponentially fast to $g_{\mathbb{H}}$.

For $n \leq 5$, we can not prove the decay result to justify the above result. For $n = 3$, since every Einstein metric is of constant sectional curvature, the result is still true in a sense. The case $n = 5$ is the critical case as can be seen from the proof below. The authors do not know whether this is essential or just a technical problem. However, it is clear the the approach in this paper does not work for the case $n = 4$. The condition $\delta > 2$ is necessary. Due to the result of Graham and Lee in [2], there does exist Poincaré-Einstein metric of non-constant curvature as close to the hyperbolic metric $g_{\mathbb{H}}$ as one needs such that $|K(x, \sigma) + 1|$ decays like $e^{-2d(x, x_0)}$ near the infinity.

The organization of the paper is: In Section 2, we establish two lemmas which are true on hyperbolic metric and which are robust enough to be still true for $\varepsilon$-hyperbolic metrics. In Section 3, the key estimate of this paper is proved. In the final section, we discuss the proof of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2.
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2 Basic facts on hyperbolic metric

In this section, we will show two basic results about analysis on \( \varepsilon \)-hyperbolic metric. They follow easily from the corresponding results on the hyperbolic metric. One of them is just Lemma 5.4 in John Lee’s paper [4]. The other is Lemma 7.12 in the same paper for the hyperbolic metric itself instead of asymptotically hyperbolic metric.

Lemma 2.1. Let \( g \) be an \( \varepsilon \)-hyperbolic metric on \( \mathbb{H}^n \). For \( a, b \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( a + b > n - 1, a > b \), there exists a constant \( C \) depending only on \( n, a, b \) such that for all \( x, y, z \in \mathbb{H}^n \),

\[
\int_{\mathbb{H}^n} e^{-ad(x,z)}e^{-bd(y,z)}dV_z \leq Ce^{-bd(x,y)}.
\]

For the proof, see Lemma 5.4 in [4]. Please note that the dimension of the hyperbolic space is \( n + 1 \) in [4] while we use \( n \). Moreover, we can make the constant uniform with respect to \( \varepsilon \) when \( \varepsilon \) goes to zero.

It’s well known that the bottom of the spectrum of \( \mathbb{H}^n \) is \( \frac{(n-1)^2}{4} \). It can be characterized by

\[
\inf \frac{\int_{\mathbb{H}^n} |\nabla f|^2 dV}{\int_{\mathbb{H}^n} f^2 dV}
\]

where the infimum is taken for all smooth \( f \) with compact support. Denote by \( C_1(\varepsilon) \) by some constant depending only on \( \varepsilon \) and

\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} C_1(\varepsilon) = 0.
\]

The same convention applies to all constants \( C_i(\varepsilon) \) throughout this paper. For an \( \varepsilon \)-hyperbolic metric \( g \), we have, for compactly supported function or function with fast decay such that the integration by parts can be justified,

\[
\int_{\mathbb{H}^n} |\nabla f|^2 dV_g \geq \left( \frac{(n-1)^2}{4} - C_1(\varepsilon) \right) \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} f^2 dV_g. \tag{2.1}
\]

Let \( \xi \) be a traceless symmetric two tensor. There is also a bottom of the spectrum of the Laplacian

\[
\tilde{\Delta} \xi = \sum_i \nabla_{e_i} \nabla_{e_i} \xi,
\]

where \( e_i \) are orthonormal frames. To obtain sharp constants in our theorems, we need the following lemma, which provides the sharp estimate for traceless symmetric two tensors.
Lemma 2.2. For any metric $\varepsilon$-hyperbolic metric $g$, there exists a positive constant $C_2(n, \varepsilon)$ such that for each $\lambda \leq \frac{(n-1)^2}{4} + 2 - C_2(\varepsilon)$, the following inequality is true for $\xi$ with compact support,
\[
\int_{\mathbb{H}^n} |\nabla \xi|^2 \, dV_g \geq \lambda \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} |\xi|^2 \, dV_g.
\]

Proof. The proof runs parallel to that of Lemma 7.12 in [4]. We need to be more careful to make sure the estimate is true globally instead of asymptotically. The idea of the proof is to take a symmetric two tensor as a $E$-valued 1-form ($E = T^*\mathbb{H}$). For any Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$, one may define the induced connection
\[
D : C^\infty(M, \Lambda^q E) \rightarrow C^\infty(M; \Lambda^{q+1} E)
\]
and the covariant Laplace-Beltrami operator on $E$-valued forms,
\[
\triangle = DD^* + D^*D,
\]
where $D^*$ is the formal adjoint of $D$. One can then prove by direct computation,

Lemma 2.3. (Lemma 7.9 in [4]) For any smooth compactly supported section $\xi$ of $\Lambda^q E$, and any positive $C^2$ function $\varphi$ on $M$, the following integral formula holds:
\[
(\xi, \triangle \xi) \geq \int_M \langle \xi, (\varphi^{-1} \triangle \varphi + 2H(\log \varphi))\xi \rangle \, dV. \tag{2.2}
\]

Here $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the induced inner product of tensor bundles and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is $\int_M \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle dV$, $H(u)\xi$ is defined by $H(u)\xi = u_{ij}e^i \wedge (e^j \lor \xi)$ and operator $\lor$ is defined to be the adjoint of $\land$.

Remark 2.4. In this paper, we use a sign convention of Laplacian of functions different from [4].

The above lemma is true for all complete manifolds. Now, let $g$ be some $\varepsilon$-hyperbolic metric on $\mathbb{H}^n$. We will show that there exists some $C_3(\varepsilon)$ such that for any compactly supported traceless symmetric two tensor $\xi$,
\[
(\xi, \triangle \xi) \geq \frac{(n-3)^2}{4} (1 - C_3(\varepsilon)) (\xi, \xi). \tag{2.3}
\]

Let us pretend that (2.3) is proved. The next ingredient of the proof is a Weitzenböck formula,
\[
\triangle \xi = \tilde{\triangle} \xi + R_{i\ell j} \xi_{\ell k} + R_{i\ell k j} \xi_{\ell l}.
\]

Since $g$ is $\varepsilon$-hyperbolic,
\[
|R_{i\ell j} - (-g_{i\ell}g_{jk} + g_{ij}g_{kl})| \leq C_4(\varepsilon).
\]
Therefore,
\[ \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} |\nabla \xi|^2 dV = (\xi, \tilde{\Delta} \xi) \]
\[ = (\xi, \Delta \xi) - R_{kl} \xi_{ik} \xi_{jl} - R_{ik} \xi_{kl} \xi_{ij} \]
\[ \geq \left( \frac{(n-3)^2}{4} (1 - C_3(\varepsilon)) + (n - 1) + 1 - nC_4(\varepsilon) \right) (\xi, \xi) \]
\[ = \left( \frac{(n-1)^2}{4} + 2 - C_2(\varepsilon) \right) (\xi, \xi). \]

It remains to prove (2.3). For any fixed traceless symmetric two tensor \( \xi \), let \( z \in M \) be some point such that \( B(z, 1) \) lies outside of the support of \( \xi \). Set
\[ \varphi(x) = e^{-\frac{n-3}{2} r}, \]
where \( r = d(x, z) \). We make this particular choice of \( z \) and \( \varphi \) for two purposes. One is to make sure \( \varphi \) is \( C^2 \) on the support set of \( \xi \) so that Lemma 2.3 applies. The other is to simplify some technical point, which will be clear later. We should then be careful that \( C_3(\varepsilon) \) does not depend on this choice.

By Hessian comparison, we have
\[ \text{Hess}(r) \leq \sqrt{1 + \varepsilon} \frac{\cosh \sqrt{1 + \varepsilon} r}{\sinh \sqrt{1 + \varepsilon} r} (g - dr \otimes dr). \]
Therefore,
\[ (\log \varphi)_{ij} = -\frac{n-3}{2} r_{ij} \]
\[ \geq -\frac{n-3}{2} \sqrt{1 + \varepsilon} \frac{\cosh \sqrt{1 + \varepsilon} r}{\sinh \sqrt{1 + \varepsilon} r} (g_{ij} - r_{i}r_{j}). \]
\[ (2H(\log \varphi) \xi, \xi) \geq -(n-3) \sqrt{1 + \varepsilon} \frac{\cosh \sqrt{1 + \varepsilon} r}{\sinh \sqrt{1 + \varepsilon} r} \langle [\xi, \xi] - (dr \wedge dr \vee \xi, \xi) \rangle \]
\[ = -(n-3) \sqrt{1 + \varepsilon} \frac{\cosh \sqrt{1 + \varepsilon} r}{\sinh \sqrt{1 + \varepsilon} r} \langle [\xi, \xi] - (dr \vee \xi, dr \vee \xi) \rangle \]
\[ \geq -(n-3) \sqrt{1 + \varepsilon} \frac{\cosh \sqrt{1 + \varepsilon} r}{\sinh \sqrt{1 + \varepsilon} r} (\xi, \xi) \quad (2.4) \]

By Laplacian comparison, we have
\[ \Delta r \geq (n-1) \sqrt{1 - \varepsilon} \frac{\cosh \sqrt{1 - \varepsilon} r}{\sinh \sqrt{1 - \varepsilon} r}. \]
Then,
\[ -\varphi^{-1} \Delta \varphi = -\frac{(n-3)^2}{4} + \frac{n-3}{2} \Delta r \]
\[ \geq -\frac{(n-3)^2}{4} + \frac{(n-3)(n-1)}{2} \sqrt{1 - \varepsilon} \frac{\cosh \sqrt{1 - \varepsilon} r}{\sinh \sqrt{1 - \varepsilon} r}. \quad (2.5) \]
Combining equation (2.4) and (2.5) and Lemma 2.3, we have

\[
(\xi, \Delta \xi) \geq \int_M \left( -\frac{(n-3)^2}{4} - (n-3)\sqrt{1+\varepsilon} \frac{\cosh \sqrt{1+\varepsilon} r}{\sinh \sqrt{1+\varepsilon} r} 
+ \frac{(n-3)(n-1)}{2} \frac{\cosh \sqrt{1-\varepsilon} r}{\sinh \sqrt{1-\varepsilon} r} \right) (\xi, \xi) dV
\]

**Lemma 2.5.** For any \( \delta > 0 \), there exists some positive constant \( \varepsilon_0(n, \delta) \) such that

\[
-\frac{(n-3)^2}{4} - (n-3)\sqrt{1+\varepsilon} \frac{\cosh \sqrt{1+\varepsilon} r}{\sinh \sqrt{1+\varepsilon} r} + \frac{(n-3)(n-1)}{2} \frac{\cosh \sqrt{1-\varepsilon} r}{\sinh \sqrt{1-\varepsilon} r} \geq \frac{(n-3)^2}{4} - \delta
\]

holds for \( r \in [1, \infty) \) and \( \varepsilon \) smaller than \( \varepsilon_0(n, \delta) \).

**Remark 2.6.** We have assumed that \( B(z, 1) \) is outside of the support of \( \xi \). This explains why it suffices to prove the lemma for \( r \in [1, \infty) \) only, which is a simplification.

**Proof.** Given \( \delta \), there is some big \( R > 0 \) such that \( r > R \) implies \( \frac{\cosh \sqrt{1+\varepsilon} r}{\sinh \sqrt{1+\varepsilon} r} \) are very close to 1. Therefore, the inequality is true if \( \varepsilon \) is small.

For each \( r \in [1, R] \), when \( \varepsilon \) goes to 0, the limit of the right hand side is

\[
-\frac{(n-3)^2}{4} - (n-3)\frac{\cosh r}{\sinh r} + \frac{(n-3)(n-1)}{2} \frac{\cosh r}{\sinh r} \geq \frac{(n-3)^2}{4}.
\]

The limit is a continuous function. Hence by Dini’s theorem in calculus, the convergence is uniform with respect to \( r \in [1, R] \).

This finishes our proof of equation (2.3) and the Lemma 2.2.

---

### 3 Pointwise estimate of \( |R_{ij} + (n - 1)g_{ij}| \)

This section contains the proof of an estimate of \( |R_{ij} + (n - 1)g_{ij}| \), which is the most important part in the proof of the main theorems. The proof of this estimate follows the method of Grigor’yan in [3]. In that paper, Grigor’yan proved a sharp estimate (Proposition 5.1) of heat kernel on complete manifolds with a positive spectrum radius. In fact, the authors tried to modify his proof and use it for our purpose. Fortunately, it turns out that it is much easier to use the method instead of the result. In a sense, we extend his result from estimating functions to tensors. Moreover, the Lemma 2.2 comes in to provide sharp constants \((n, \delta)\) in the main theorems.

Let \( g(t), t \in [0, T] \) be a solution to the normalized Ricci flow (1.1) where \( T > \eta \) for some positive constant \( \eta \). Assume that there exists some \( \varepsilon > 0 \) such that for each \( t \), \( g(t) \) is \( \varepsilon \)-hyperbolic. Note that in this section, we do not assume that the derivatives of curvature tensor are bounded for \( t \in (0, T] \), although we do know that for each time slice derivatives of any
Lemma 3.4. There exists a constant \( g \) and \( B \)

\[
h_{ij}(t) = R_{ij} + (n - 1)g_{ij}.
\]

The main estimates in this section are the following two lemmas:

**Lemma 3.1.** For any \( x \in \mathbb{H}^n \) and \( t > \eta \), we have

\[
\int_{\eta}^{T} |h_{ij}|(x,t)\, dt \leq C(\eta, \varepsilon) \left( \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} |h_{ij}|^2(y,0) \exp(-2\sqrt{\lambda} - C_{13}(\varepsilon))d_0(y,x)\, dy \right)^{1/2},
\]

where \( \lambda \) is any positive constant no bigger than \( \frac{(n-1)^2}{4} - \max\{C_1(\varepsilon), C_2(\varepsilon)\} \).

**Lemma 3.2.** For any \( x \in \mathbb{H}^n \) and \( t > 2\eta \), we have

\[
\int_{2\eta}^{T} \max_{B_0(x, \sqrt{\eta}) \times [t - \eta, t]} |h_{ij}|\, dt \leq C(\eta, \varepsilon) \left( \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} |h_{ij}|^2(y,0) \exp(-2\sqrt{\lambda} - C_{13}(\varepsilon))d_0(y,x)\, dy \right)^{1/2},
\]

where \( \lambda \) is any positive constant no bigger than \( \frac{(n-1)^2}{4} - \max\{C_1(\varepsilon), C_2(\varepsilon)\} \).

**Remark 3.3.** Lemma 3.2 is prepared for estimating the derivatives of \( h_{ij} \).

**Proof.** (For both lemmas.) It is straightforward to compute the evolution equations for \( h_{ij} \) and \( |h_{ij}|^2 \):

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} h_{ij} = \triangle h_{ij} - 2R_{ipjq}h_{pq} - 2h_{ij}h_{pj} \tag{3.3}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} |h_{ij}|^2 = \triangle |h_{ij}|^2 - 2|h_{ij,k}|^2 - 4R_{ipjq}h_{ij}h_{pq} - 4h_{ip}h_{pj}h_{ij} \tag{3.4}
\]

Since \( g(t) \) is \( \varepsilon \)-hyperbolic,

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} |h_{ij}|^2 \leq \triangle |h_{ij}|^2 + c|h_{ij}|^2,
\]

where \( c \) is some universal constant. For each \( t > \eta \) and any \( x \in M \), consider a parabolic ball \( B_0(x, \sqrt{\eta}) \times [t - \eta, t] \). Here by \( B_0 \) we mean geodesic ball measured by \( g(0) \). Recall that \( g(t) \) and \( g_{\varepsilon} \) are comparable by a constant \( 1 + \varepsilon \). Therefore, we have uniform Sobolev inequality on \( B_0(x, \sqrt{\eta}) \) for each \( g(s), s \in [t - \eta, t] \). The standard Moser iteration gives

\[
|h_{ij}|^2(x,t) \leq C(\eta) \int_{t-\eta/2}^{t} \int_{B_0(x, \sqrt{\eta}/2)} |h_{ij}|^2(y,x)\, dy\, ds. \tag{3.5}
\]

The following lemma is a direct consequence of \( g(t) \) being \( \varepsilon \)-hyperbolic.

**Lemma 3.4.** There exists a constant \( C_5(\varepsilon) \) (which vanishes if \( \varepsilon \) goes to zero) such that

\[
\xi(y, s) = -\frac{d_0^2(y)}{(2 + C_5(\varepsilon))(t - s)}
\]

satisfies

\[
\xi_s + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \xi\|^2 \leq 0
\]

for \( s < t \). Here \( d_0(y) \) is a distance function with respect to \( g(0) \) and the norm and \( \nabla \) are those of \( g(s) \).
Now, let $d_0(y)$ be the distance to $B_0(x, \sqrt{\eta}/2)$ measured with respect to $g(0)$ and $\xi$ be as in the previous lemma. Since $\xi(y, s) \equiv 1$ for $y \in B_0(x, \sqrt{\eta}/2)$,

$$|h_{ij}|(x, t)^2 \leq C \int_{t-\eta/2}^t \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} |h_{ij}|^2 (y, s) e^\xi dy ds. \quad (3.6)$$

The integrability is not a problem since when $s < t$, $e^\xi$ decays very fast at the infinity and $|h_{ij}|^2$ is always bounded. Set

$$I(s) = \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} |h_{ij}|^2 (y, s) e^\xi dy.$$ 

Then,

$$\frac{dI}{ds}(s) = \frac{d}{ds} \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} |h_{ij}|^2 e^\xi dy$$

$$\leq \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} 2\left(\frac{\partial h_{ij}}{\partial s}, h_{ij}\right)e^\xi + |h_{ij}|^2 e^\xi \xi_s + |h_{ij}|^2 e^\xi C_0(\varepsilon) dy$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} 2\left(\Delta h_{ij} - 2R_{ipjq}h_{pq} - 2h_{ip}h_{pj}h_{ij}\right)e^\xi + |h_{ij}|^2 e^\xi \xi_s + |h_{ij}|^2 e^\xi C_0(\varepsilon) dy$$

$$\leq \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} 2\left(\Delta h_{ij}, h_{ij}\right)e^\xi - 4R_{ipjq}h_{pq}h_{ij}e^\xi + |h_{ij}|^2 e^\xi \xi_s + |h_{ij}|^2 e^\xi C_7(\varepsilon) dy.$$

Here we use $\varepsilon$-hyperbolic in the second line, the evolution equation of $h_{ij}$ in the third and the fact $|h_{ij}| \leq n \varepsilon$ in the last.

Consider the following divergence term, whose integration vanishes. (Of course, this needs justification. $e^\xi$ decays very fast at infinity while $h_{ij}$ together with its derivatives remain bounded.)

$$\left(\left(\nabla_k h_{ij}, h_{ij}\right)e^\xi\right)_k = \left(\Delta h_{ij}, h_{ij}\right)e^\xi + |\nabla_k h_{ij}|^2 e^\xi + \nabla_k h_{ij}h_{ij}e^\xi \xi_k.$$ 

$$\int_{\mathbb{H}^n} 2\Delta h_{ij}h_{ij}e^\xi + |h|^2 e^\xi \xi_s dy = \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} -2|\nabla_k h_{ij}|^2 e^\xi - 2\nabla_k h_{ij}h_{ij}h_{ij}e^\xi + |h_{ij}|^2 e^\xi \xi_s$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} -\frac{1}{2}e^\xi |2\nabla_k h_{ij} + \xi \xi_{h_{ij}}|^2 + |h_{ij}|^2 e^\xi (\xi_s + \frac{1}{2}|\nabla \xi|^2)$$

$$\leq -2\int_{\mathbb{H}^n} |\nabla_k (e^{\xi/2} h_{ij})|^2$$

Since $g(t)$ is $\varepsilon$-hyperbolic, we have

$$|R_{ipjq} - (g_{ij}g_{pq} - g_{iq}g_{jp})| \leq C_8(\varepsilon).$$

$$\frac{dI}{ds}(s) \leq \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} -2|\nabla_k (e^{\xi/2} h_{ij})|^2 + 4(-g_{ij}g_{pq} + g_{iq}g_{jp})h_{ij}h_{pq}e^\xi + C_9(\varepsilon)I(s)$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} -2|\nabla_k (e^{\xi/2} h_{ij})|^2 - 4\text{tr}(h)^2 e^\xi + 4|h_{ij}|^2 e^\xi + C_9(\varepsilon)I(s)$$
For abbreviation, we write \( tr \) for \( \text{tr}(e^{\xi/2}h_{ij}) \) and \( \tilde{h}_{ij} \) for the traceless part of \( e^{\xi/2}h_{ij} \), that is

\[
e^{\xi/2}h_{ij} = \frac{tr}{n}g_{ij} + \tilde{h}_{ij}.
\]

Moreover,

\[
|e^{\xi/2}h_{ij}|^2 = \frac{tr^2}{n} + |\tilde{h}_{ij}|^2.
\]

Hence,

\[
|\nabla_k(e^{\xi/2}h_{ij})|^2 = \frac{1}{n} |\nabla tr|^2 + |\nabla_k \tilde{h}_{ij}|^2.
\]

\[
\frac{dI}{ds}(s) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} -\frac{2}{n} |\nabla tr|^2 - 2 |\nabla \tilde{h}|^2 - 4tr^2 + \frac{4}{n} tr^2 + 4 |\tilde{h}|^2 + C_9(\varepsilon) I(s)
\]

\[
\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} -\frac{2}{n} |\nabla tr|^2 - 2 |\nabla \tilde{h}|^2 + 4 |\tilde{h}|^2 + C_9(\varepsilon) I(s)
\]

\[
\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} -2\lambda tr^2 - 2\lambda |\tilde{h}|^2 + C_{10}(\varepsilon) I(s)
\]

\[
\leq -2\lambda I(s) + C_{10}(\varepsilon) I(s).
\]

Here \( \lambda \) is any positive constant no bigger than \( \frac{(n-1)^2}{4} - \max\{C_1(\varepsilon), C_2(\varepsilon)\} \). We have used Lemma \( \ref{2.2} \) and the Poincaré inequality \( \ref{2.1} \). By ODE comparison,

\[
I(s) \leq e^{-(2\lambda - C_{10}(\varepsilon))s} I(0).
\]

Together with equation \( \ref{3.6} \), this implies

\[
|h_{ij}|^2(x, t) \leq C(\eta) e^{-(2\lambda - C_{10}(\varepsilon))t} I(0).
\]

Hence,

\[
|h_{ij}|^2(x, t) \leq C(\eta) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |h_{ij}|^2(y, 0) \exp \left( -\frac{d_0^2(y)}{(2 + C_5(\varepsilon))t} - (2\lambda - C_{10}(\varepsilon))t \right) dy. \quad (3.7)
\]

This is a point-wise estimate on \( h_{ij}, \) from which one can know the behavior of \( h_{ij} \) for any \( t > \eta \) and \( x \in \mathbb{H}^n \). We will be interested in an estimate of the accumulation of \( h_{ij}, \) since it measures the change of \( g_{ij}. \)

\[
|h_{ij}|^2(x, t) \leq C(\eta) \exp(-C_{12}(\varepsilon)t) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |h_{ij}|^2(y, 0) \exp \left( -\frac{d_0^2(y)}{(2 + C_5(\varepsilon))t} - (2\lambda - C_{11}(\varepsilon))t \right) dy.
\]

Due to the basic inequality \( a + b \geq 2\sqrt{ab} \), there exists some \( C_{13}(\varepsilon) \) such that

\[
|h_{ij}|^2(x, t) \leq C(\eta) \exp(-C_{12}(\varepsilon)t) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |h_{ij}|^2(y, 0) \exp \left( -(2\sqrt{\lambda} - C_{13}(\varepsilon))d_0(y) \right) dy. \quad (3.8)
\]

Recall that \( d_0(y) \) is the distance to \( B_0(x, \sqrt{\eta}/2) \). By triangle inequality,

\[
d_0(y) > d_0(y, x) - \sqrt{\eta}/2.
\]
Hence,
\[
\exp(-(2\sqrt{\lambda} - C_{13}(\varepsilon))d_0(y)) \leq C(\eta) \exp(-(2\sqrt{\lambda} - C_{13}(\varepsilon))d_0(y, x)).
\]
Then inequality (3.8) is still true if we replace \(d_0(y)\) by \(d_0(y, x)\). Taking the square root and integrating over time proves Lemma 3.1.

By a similar argument,
\[
\max_{B_0(x, \sqrt{\eta}) \times [t-\eta, t]} |h_{ij}| \leq C(\eta) \exp(-C_{12}(\varepsilon)t) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |h_{ij}|^2(y, 0) \exp\left(-(2\sqrt{\lambda} - C_{13}(\varepsilon))d_0(y, x)\right) dy.
\]
For each \(t > 2\eta\), the above inequality is true for \(s \in [t-\eta, t]\). Similar argument in \(t\) direction gives
\[
\max_{B_0(x, \sqrt{\eta}) \times [t-\eta, t]} |h_{ij}| \leq C(\eta) \exp(-C_{12}(\varepsilon)t) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |h_{ij}|^2(y, 0) \exp\left(-(2\sqrt{\lambda} - C_{13}(\varepsilon))d_0(y, x)\right) dy.
\] (3.9)
Taking the square root and integrating over time, we have
\[
\int_{\eta}^{T} \max_{B_0(x, \sqrt{\eta}) \times [t-\eta, t]} |h_{ij}| dt \leq C(\eta, \varepsilon) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |h_{ij}|^2(y, 0) \exp\left(-(2\sqrt{\lambda} - C_{13}(\varepsilon))d_0(y, x)\right) dy\right)^{1/2}.
\]
This finishes the proof of Lemma 3.2.

4 Proof of the theorems

We can now show the proof of the main theorems. Let’s look at the proof of Theorem 1.1. The theorem assumes that the initial metric is some \(\varepsilon\)-hyperbolic metric of order \(\delta\) for some \(\delta > 0\). Given this \(\delta > 0\), pick an \(\varepsilon_1 > 0\) such that
\[
2\sqrt{(n-1)^2/4 - \max\{C_1(\varepsilon_1), C_2(\varepsilon_1)\}} - C_{13}(\varepsilon_1) + 2\delta > n - 1.
\]
For the meaning of \(C_i\)’s, see the previous section. This is possible because
\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} C_i(\varepsilon) = 0.
\]
Let \(g(0)\) be any \(1/10\varepsilon_1\)-hyperbolic initial metric. Due to a result of Shi [5], there exists a local solution to the normalized Ricci flow (1.1). By continuity, there exists some \(\tau > 0\) such that for each \(t \in [0, \tau]\), \(g(t)\) is \(1/2\varepsilon_1\)-hyperbolic. Let \(T\) be the maximum number such that \(g(t)\) remains \(\varepsilon_1\)-hyperbolic for \(t \in [0, T]\). By another result of Shi in the same paper, there exists constant \(C(k, \tau)\), such that the \(k\)-th derivatives of curvature tensor of \(g(t)\) are bounded by \(C(k, \tau)\) uniformly for \(t \in [\frac{\tau}{2}, T]\).

We can now apply the result of Section 3 to the flow \(g(t)\) with \(t \in [0, T]\) and \(\eta = \tau/2\). Since \(g(0)\) is \(\varepsilon\)-hyperbolic of order \(\delta\), we have
\[
|h_{ij}(y, 0)| \leq C\varepsilon e^{-\delta d_0(y, x_0)}.
\]
By Lemma 3.1,
\[ \int_\tau^T |h_{ij}(x, t)| \, dt \leq C(\varepsilon_1) \varepsilon \left( \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} \exp(-2\delta d_0(y, x_0)) \exp(-(2\sqrt{\lambda} - C_{13}(\varepsilon_1))d_0(y, x)) \, dy \right)^{1/2}. \] (4.1)

By our choice of \( \varepsilon_1 \), set \( \lambda = \frac{(n-1)^2}{4} - \max\{C_1(\varepsilon_1), C_2(\varepsilon_1)\} \), then integral in the right hand side in the above inequality is finite. Hence,
\[ \int_\tau^T |h_{ij}(x, t)| \, dt \leq C\varepsilon. \] (4.2)

Since \( g(\tau) \) is \( \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_1 \)-hyperbolic,
\[ (1 - \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_1)g_{\mathbb{H}^n} \leq g(\tau) \leq (1 + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_1)g_{\mathbb{H}^n}. \]

The normalized Ricci flow equation
\[ \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial t} = -2h_{ij} \]

together with equation (4.2) implies one can choose \( \varepsilon \) small so that
\[ (1 - \frac{3}{4}\varepsilon_1)g_{\mathbb{H}^n} \leq g(t) \leq (1 + \frac{3}{4}\varepsilon_1)g_{\mathbb{H}^n} \]

for each \( t \in [\frac{\tau}{2}, T] \).

If we can show
\[ \left| K_{g(t)}(x, \sigma) + 1 \right| \leq \frac{3}{4}\varepsilon_1 \] (4.3)

for each \( t \in [\tau, T] \), then \( g(t) \) is \( \frac{3}{4}\varepsilon_1 \)-hyperbolic. This implies \( T = \infty \) by its definition. The proof of equation (4.3) involves higher order derivative estimates of \( h_{ij} \), because
\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} R_{ijk} = -g^{lp} \left\{ \nabla_i \nabla_j h_{kp} + \nabla_i \nabla_k h_{jp} - \nabla_i \nabla_p h_{jk} \right\}. \]

Recall that \( h_{ij} \) satisfies
\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} h_{ij} = \Delta h_{ij} - 2R_{ipjq} h_{pq} - 2h_{ip} h_{pj}. \]

For each \( t \in (\tau, T] \) and \( x \in \mathbb{H}^n \), consider a parabolic ball \( B_0(x, \sqrt{\tau/2}) \times [t - \tau/2, t] \) where the radius is measured by \( g_0 \). Due to the assumption that \( g(t) \) is \( \varepsilon_1 \)-hyperbolic and the derivatives of curvature tensor are uniformly bounded by \( C(k, \tau) \) for \( t \in [\tau/2, T] \), one can choose a local coordinate system on \( B_0(x, \sqrt{\tau/2}) \), for example the harmonic coordinates with respect to \( g(t - \tau/2) \), such that \( g_{ij}(x, t) \) together with its derivatives are bounded in the parabolic ball \( B_0(x, \sqrt{\tau/2}) \times [t - \tau/2, t] \).
We then apply the standard parabolic estimate to equation (3.3) in this parabolic ball to show
\[
\left| \frac{\partial h_{ij}}{\partial x_k} \right| (x, t), \quad \left| \frac{\partial^2 h_{ij}}{\partial x_k \partial x_l} \right| (x, t) \leq C \max_{B_0(x, \sqrt{\tau/2}) \times [t-\tau/2, t]} \sum_{p,q} |h_{pq}| .
\]
This implies
\[
\left| \nabla \nabla h_{ij} \right| (x, t) \leq C \max_{B_0(x, \sqrt{\tau/2}) \times [t-\tau/2, t]} \sum_{p,q} |h_{pq}| .
\] (4.4)
The method of choosing harmonic coordinates and the parabolic estimate involved here are rather routine but lengthy. We move the detail to the appendix.

Instead of estimating \( |K(x, \sigma) + 1| \) for any \( x \) and \( \sigma \), we consider \( |R_{ijpq} - (g_{ij}g_{pq} - g_{iq}g_{jp})| \).
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} |R_{ijpq} - (g_{ij}g_{pq} - g_{iq}g_{jp})| (x, t) \leq C \left( \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} R_{ijpq} \right| + \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g_{ij} \right| \right) \\
\leq C \max_{B_0(x, \sqrt{\tau/2}) \times [t-\tau/2, t]} \sum_{p,q} |h_{pq}| .
\]
Due to Lemma 5.2(\( \eta = \tau/2 \)) and our choice of \( \varepsilon_1 \), if \( g(0) \) is \( \varepsilon \)-hyperbolic of order \( \delta \),
\[
\int_{\tau}^T \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |R_{ipjq} - (g_{ij}g_{pq} - g_{iq}g_{jp})| (x, s) ds \leq C(\varepsilon_1)\varepsilon .
\]
Therefore, equation (4.3) is true if we choose \( \varepsilon \) small. Hence \( T = \infty \), that is the solution will exist for all time and remain \( \varepsilon \)-hyperbolic for ever. It is now obvious from equation (3.9) that the solution will converge to some Einstein metric. This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

We can now turn to the proof of Theorem 1.2. Here is a result of Shi and Tian [6] on the rigidity of hyperbolic space.

**Theorem 4.1.** Suppose that \( (X^n, g) \), \( n \geq 3 \) and \( n \neq 4 \) is an ALH manifold of order \( \alpha (\alpha > 2) \), \( K \leq 0 \) and \( Ric(g) \geq -(n-1)g \), then \( (X^n, g) \) is isometric to \( (\mathbb{H}^n, g_{\mathbb{H}^n}) \).

A complete noncompact Riemannian manifold is called by Shi and Tian an ALH manifold of order \( \alpha \) if \( |K(x, \sigma) + 1| = O(e^{-\alpha d_o(x, o)}) \) for some fixed point \( o \).

Suppose now \( n > 5 \) and \( \delta > 2 \), by Theorem 4.1 there exists some \( \varepsilon > 0 \) such that the normalized Ricci flow from an \( \varepsilon \)-hyperbolic metric of order \( \delta \) converges to an Einstein metric \( g_\infty \).

In the proof, we can see that the solution remains \( \varepsilon_1 \)-hyperbolic, hence the sectional curvature of the limit is negative. The condition \( Ric(g_\infty) \geq -(n-1)g_\infty \) is automatically satisfied by the Einstein metric. Therefore to prove Theorem 1.2 it suffices to show
\[
|K_\infty(x, \sigma) + 1| \leq C e^{-\alpha d_\infty(x, x_0)}
\]
for some \( \alpha > 2 \). As before, we study \( |R_{ipjq} - (g_{ij}g_{pq} - g_{iq}g_{jp})| \) instead of \( K(x, \sigma) + 1 \). Since the initial metric is \( \varepsilon \)-hyperbolic of order \( \delta \), we know there exists some \( C \) such that
\[
|R_{ipjq} - (g_{ij}g_{pq} - g_{iq}g_{jp})| (x, 0) \leq C e^{-\delta d_0(x, x_0)} .
\] (4.5)
The method we used above is not readily applicable here, because the higher order derivative estimate (4.4) works for \( t \) bigger than some fixed positive constant. However, we need a bound for \( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} R^k_{ijl} \) immediately after \( t = 0 \). Therefore, we need the following lemma, which is an application of maximum principle on complete manifold. It shows that if \( |K_{g_0}(x, \sigma) + 1| \) decays at the order \( \delta \) with respect to \( g(0) \), then \( |K_{g(t)}(x, \sigma) + 1| \) decays at the same order \( \delta \) with respect to \( g(t) \) for \( t > 0 \).

**Lemma 4.2.** Let \((M, g)\) be a complete Riemannian manifold such that equation (4.5) holds. Then for any \( t > 0 \) as long as the solution exists, we have

\[
|R_{ipjq} - (g_{ij}g_{pq} - g_{iq}g_{jp})| (x, t) \leq C(t)e^{-\delta d_t (x, x_0)}.
\]

**Proof.** We follow the argument in [8] and [9]. Set

\[
Q_{ipjq} = R_{ipjq} - (g_{ij}g_{pq} - g_{iq}g_{jp}).
\]

Direct calculation shows that

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} Q = \Delta Q + Q * Rm + 2(n - 1)Q,
\]

where \( Q * Rm \) denotes a sum of contractions of \( Q \) and the curvature tensor \( Rm \). Since the curvature of the initial metric is bounded, if there is a positive \( t_0 > 0 \) such that the solution is defined for \( t \in [0, t_0] \), then there exists constant \( C \),

\[
|Rm| (t) \leq C, \quad t \in [0, t_0].
\]

By the comparison theorem, the derivatives of the distance function are uniformly bounded:

\[
|\nabla d_t (x, x_0)| + |\nabla^2 d_t (x, x_0)| \leq C,
\]

where we can smooth \( d_t \) at \( x_0 \) such that its derivatives are uniformly bounded. Since we only consider the asymptotic behavior when \( x \) go to infinity, the non-smoothness of the distance function at \( x_0 \) can be ignored. Combining the above estimates, we have

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (e^{\delta d_t (x, x_0)}|Q|) \leq \Delta (e^{\delta d_t (x, x_0)}|Q|) + a \cdot \nabla (e^{\delta d_t (x, x_0)}|Q|) + be^{\delta d_t (x, x_0)}|Q|
\]

where \( a \) is a vector with \( |a| \leq C \) and \( b \) is a constant. Note that \( Q \) satisfies (4.5), by the maximum principle on complete manifolds in [9] we have

\[
e^{\delta d_t (x, x_0)}|Q|(x, t) \leq e^{bt} \max e^{\delta d_0 (x, x_0)}|Q|(x, 0) \leq Ce^{bt}, \quad t \in [0, t_0].
\]

Thus, the lemma is proved. \( \square \)

**Corollary 4.3.** Let \((M, g)\) be a complete Riemannian manifold such that equation (4.5) holds with \( \delta > 2 \). Let \( \tilde{\delta} \) be any constant in \((2, \delta)\). There exists some \( \tau > 0 \) such that for each \( t \in [0, \tau] \),

\[
|R_{ipjq} - (g_{ij}g_{pq} - g_{iq}g_{jp})| (x, t) \leq Ce^{-\tilde{\delta} d_0 (x, x_0)}.
\]
Given this corollary, we can argue as before. The key point is Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 2.1. In equation (3.9),

\[ |h_{ij}|^2 (y, 0) \leq Ce^{-2\delta d_0(y, x_0)} \]

and

\[ \exp \left( -(2\sqrt{\lambda} - C_{13}(\varepsilon))d_0(y, x) \right) \leq Ce^{-\left(\sqrt{(n-1)^2 - \max\{C_1(\varepsilon_1), C_2(\varepsilon_1)\} - C_{13}(\varepsilon_1)\}d_0(y, x) \right)} \]

If \( n > 5 \) and \( \delta > 2 \), there exists \( \alpha \) such that

\[ 2 < \alpha < \tilde{\delta} \]

and

\[ 2\alpha < \sqrt{(n-1)^2 - \max C_1(\varepsilon_1), C_2(\varepsilon_1) - C_{13}(\varepsilon_1)} \]

Lemma 2.1 and equation (3.9) implies that for \( t > \tau \),

\[ \max_{B_0(x, \sqrt{\tau}/2) \times [t-\tau/2, t]} |h_{ij}|^2 \leq C \exp(-C_{12}(\varepsilon_1)t) \exp(-2\alpha d_0(x, x_0)) \]

The same argument as before gives

\[ \int_\tau^\infty \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |R_{ipjq} - (g_{ij}g_{pq} - g_{iq}g_{jp})| (x, s)ds \leq C \exp(-\alpha d_0(x, x_0)) \]

Together with Corollary 4.3, we have

\[ |K_\infty(x, \sigma) + 1| \leq Ce^{-\alpha d_\infty(x, x_0)} \]

Here we used the fact that \( g(0) \) and \( g_\infty \) are dominated by each other. The rigidity theorem of Shi and Tian implies that \( g_\infty \) is \( g_{\tilde{\varepsilon}} \), which concludes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

5 Appendix: Higher order estimates

The purpose of this appendix is to get higher order derivative estimate of \( h_{ij} \) in the following equation.

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} h_{ij} = \Delta h_{ij} - 2R_{ipjq}h_{pq} - 2h_{ip}h_{pj} \] (5.1)

We will prove a local estimate in the parabolic neighborhood \( B_0(x, \sqrt{\tau}/2) \times [t-\tau/2, t] \). The difficulty is that if we write equation (5.1) in terms of coordinates, then the coefficients involves \( \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x_k} \). For our purpose, we need to estimate second derivatives of \( h_{ij} \) in terms of the \( L^\infty \) norm. It is a routine technique in PDE to apply \( L^p \) estimate and Sobolev embedding to get \( C^\alpha \) Hölder norm of \( h_{ij} \), then apply Hölder estimate. In order that the above estimates work, we need to control the \( C^{1,\alpha} \) norm of \( g_{ij} \). However, all we know is that the curvature is bounded and moreover, thanks
to the derivative estimate of Shi, if we need, we may assume that the derivatives of the curvature tensor are bounded too.

It is well known that in terms of harmonic coordinates, $g_{ij}$ is $C^{1, \alpha}$ for any $\alpha < 1$ if the curvature is bounded. In our problem, the metric is changing with time. This makes the discussion tricky.

For simplicity, let’s write $\tilde{g}$ for $g(t - \tau/2)$. As for the existence for harmonic coordinates, we have this result of Anderson\[1\],

Theorem 5.1. Given $n \geq 2$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $\Lambda, i_0 > 0$, one can for each $Q > 0$ find $r(n, \alpha, \Lambda, i_0) > 0$ such that for any complete Riemannian $n$–manifold $(M, g)$ with

$$|\text{Ric}| \leq \Lambda$$

$$\text{inj} \geq i_0,$$

for any $x \in M$, there exists harmonic coordinates $x_i$ on $B(x, r)$ such that

$$\|g_{ij}\|_{C^{1, \alpha}} \leq Q.$$

We then apply this theorem to $\tilde{g}$. In fact, we get better result

$$\|\tilde{g}_{ij}\|_{C^{k, \alpha}} \leq Q(K), \quad (5.2)$$

since we have derivatives of Ricci tensor bounded. By choosing a smaller $\tau$ if necessary, we can assume that the harmonic coordinates exist on $B_0(x, \sqrt{\tau}/2)$.

To extend our estimate to $s \in [t - \tau/2, t]$, we need the following lemma

Lemma 5.2. If $\Gamma^i_{jk}$ denotes the Christoffel symbol of $g(s)$ for $s \in [t - \tau/2, t]$, then

$$|\Gamma^i_{ij}| \leq C.$$

Proof. Write $\tilde{\Gamma}^i_{jk}$ for the Christoffel symbol of $\tilde{g}$. We have

$$|\tilde{\Gamma}^i_{ij}| \leq C.$$

Recall that $\frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial t} = -2h_{ij}$, hence

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Gamma^i_{jk} = -g^{il}(\nabla_j h_{kl} + \nabla_k h_{jl} - \nabla_l h_{jk}). \quad (5.3)$$

The lemma follows from the assumption that the right hand side is bounded. \hfill $\square$

The following is a basic formula in Riemannian geometry,

$$\frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x_k} = g_{lj}\Gamma^l_{ki} + g_{il}\Gamma^l_{kj}. \quad (5.4)$$
Due to this formula, we know $g(s)_{ij}$ have bounded first order derivatives in our coordinates. By induction and repeated differentiating equation (5.4), the $k$—th derivatives of $g_{ij}$ are bounded if $(k - 1)$—th derivatives of $\Gamma^i_{jk}$ are.

To obtain higher order derivatives estimate of $\Gamma^i_{jk}$, we can argue like Lemma 5.2. We know the derivatives of $\tilde{\Gamma}^i_{jk}$ are bounded. It suffices to control the time derivatives. Take partial derivative of equation (5.3). For simplicity, we omit similar terms since we are interested in an upper bound only.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{\partial \Gamma^i_{jk}}{\partial x_p} \right) = -\frac{\partial g^i_{il}}{\partial x_p} \nabla_j h_{kl} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_p} (\nabla_j h_{kl}) + \cdots$$

The right hand side is bounded. Therefore, we proved the first derivatives of $\Gamma^i_{jk}$, hence the second derivatives of $g(s)_{ij}$ are bounded. Take one more partial derivative of equation (5.3) and write $\nabla \nabla \nabla h$ for $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_p} \nabla \nabla h$. Since all covariant derivatives of $h$ are bounded, first derivatives of $\Gamma$ and second derivatives of $g_{ij}$ are bounded, it follows that the second derivatives of $\Gamma^i_{ij}$ are bounded. We can repeat the above argument to get bounds for $k$—th derivatives of $g(s)_{ij}$.

Due to the evolution equation, $\frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial t} = -\frac{2}{h_{ij}}$. Since we have bounds on any finite order derivatives of $\Gamma^i_{ij}$, all partial derivatives of $h_{ij}$, hence those of $\frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial t}$ are bounded. These are strong enough so that we can apply the routine estimate of parabolic equation.
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