Influence of root canal filling techniques on sealer penetration and gutta percha/sealer ratio: a confocal laser scanning microscopy analysis
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ABSTRACT

Objective: The influence of four root canal filling techniques on the penetration of an endodontic sealer into dentinal tubules and the gutta percha/sealer ratio (GP/SR) in root canals was evaluated using confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM).

Material and Methods: Roots of the maxillary central incisors (n=40) were prepared with ProTaper Universal files up to file F5 and assigned to five groups: continuous wave condensation, lateral condensation, single cone, Thermafill®, and negative control group. After root canal filling with gutta-percha and AH26, along with the addition of 0.01% fluorescein, the roots were cut into 2-mm slices. Using CLSM, the specimens were transversely sectioned at 3, 6, and 10 mm from the apex.

Results: Sealer penetration was deeper and more frequent at 10 mm than at the 6 mm and 3 mm for all obturation technique. Penetration was not significantly affected by obturation techniques, except single master cone technique. Single cone technique demonstrated the lowest sealer penetration at all levels. However, sealer thickness was strongly dependent on obturation technique. Thermafill® demonstrated superior GP ratio followed by continuous wave condensation, lateral condensation and single cone.

Conclusion: In conclusion, the single cone technique resulted in lower sealer penetration than the other techniques, which did not differ significantly from each other. However, sealer thickness was strongly dependent on obturation technique. Thermafill® demonstrated superior GP ratio followed by continuous wave condensation, lateral condensation and single cone.
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INTRODUCTION

The success of endodontic treatment depends on the fulfillment of three main principles, also known as the 'endodontic triad'. These principles are debridement, shaping, and three dimensional obturation of the root canal [1,2]. The complex anatomy of root canals makes both shaping and filling difficult [3]. Studies evaluating the success of endodontic treatment have shown that treatment failure is mostly due to insufficient canal filling [4].

A number of different root canal obturation techniques have been developed and many studies have compared their effectiveness [5,6]. Today, the most commonly used root canal obturation materials are gutta-percha (GP) and root canal sealers. Root canal sealers are expected to fill the space between the GP cones, and the space between them and the dentin walls. The most important characteristic of an ideal root obturation sealer is its ability to bind with the dentin walls with good penetration into the dentinal tubules [7]. This ability to penetrate the dentinal tubules is clinically important because it increases the hermetic sealing property of root canal obturation.

Peters [8] and Wu et al. [9] suggest that the purpose of root canal obturation techniques is to increase the volume of GP and decrease the quantity of sealer used between the GP and the canal wall. However, the search for improved and more effective techniques is on. Kontakiotis et al. [10] and DuLac et al. [11] showed that a sealer can shrink during setting and dissolution; this can result in leakage. The most commonly used root canal obturation technique is cold lateral condensation; it is considered to be the gold standard in the industry. Leonardo [12] showed that cold lateral condensation results in the creation of voids, spreader tracts, and scarce surface adaptation to canal walls. Alternatively, plasticized GP techniques have recently been introduced that claim to better seal the root canal. These include continuous wave and Thermafill® obturation techniques that use thermal and/or frictional heat to plasticize the GP. Dalat and Spangnerg [13] and Schilder [1] showed that this approach led to better adaptation to canal walls, and a greater degree of homogeneity and optimum apical sealing compared to lateral condensation. In addition, the use of GP cones that match the taper and size of rotary nickel-titanium (NiTi) shaping instruments has recently been suggested. Gordon et al. [14] and Romania et al. [15] suggest that GP cones containing larger tapers should be used during the single cone technique following rotary preparation by NiTi shaping instruments.

However, no literature exists claiming whether the single-cone technique provides more or less favorable results in terms of sealer penetration and sealer thickness when compared with lateral condensation, Thermafill® and continuous wave condensation. Therefore, the aim of this study was to evaluate the influence of root canal filling techniques on the penetration of an endodontic sealer into dentinal tubules and the GP/sealer ratio at different levels in single root canals using confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM).

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Specimen Preparation

This study was conducted with the approval of the Ethics Committee of Clinical Research of Istanbul University, Istanbul, Turkey (2014/606-641). Fifty freshly extracted, single-rooted, human maxillary incisors were used for this study. After extraction, soft tissue remnants were removed using a surgical blade and hard tissue remnants were removed with a scaler. The teeth were then preserved in 0.5% thymol solution. The experiments were carried out by a single general practise dentist.

For standardization purposes, the dimensions of the teeth were chosen to be between 21 ± 1 mm and 25 - 35 mm for IAF. The endodontic working lengths were determined visually at 1.00 mm short of the apical foramen using the No. 10 K-type root files (Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland). ProTaper (Dentsply Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland) with a rotating NiTi system was used for shaping until F5 file. The root canals were irrigated after each file with 2 mL
2.5% sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl). After shaping of the root canals, 5 ml 17% EDTA (CALASEPT) (Endo-Eze®, Ultradent, South Jordan, UT, USA) was applied to the canals to wash away the smear layer. EDTA was neutralized by washing with 5 mL 2.5% NaOCl, and the root canals were washed with 5 mL distilled water. Next, the root canals were dried with paper points and randomly allocated to one of four experimental groups according to the filling technique, or a control group as follows: Group 1, continuous wave condensation; Group 2, lateral condensation; Group 3, single master cone; Group 4, Thermafill® (Thermafill®, Dentsply Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland); Group 5, negative control group (no filling).

**Root Canal Filling**

AH26 (Dentsply, DeTrey/Germany), an epoxy resin-based sealer, was used as a root canal sealer. To obtain confocal microscopy images, AH26 canal sealer was prepared according to manufacturer instructions, and 0.01% Rhodamine B (Bereket Chemistry Co Ltd., Turkey) was added sparingly. The maximum absorption by the dye is at 565 nm and the maximum emission at 605 nm. The Rhodamine B dye-sealer mixture was placed in the root canals with a K-file size 50 placed at the working length (WL) in counter-clockwise motion.

Group 1 samples were subjected to continuous wave obturation as described by Buchanan16). In brief, the technique involved the use of System B Heat Source (BeeFill 2 in 1; VDW, Münih, Germany). The experiment was carried out in two steps. First, an F5-size GP cone was placed in the apical third of the root canal. The cone was adjusted with a scalpel at 1 mm short of working length until tug-back was achieved. Next, a 40/.03 plugger was marked at its binding point within 4 mm of working length using a rubber stopper. Then, the apical part of the master cone was coated with a thin film of sealer and placed into the canal following coating of the root canal walls with the sealer. Temperature was set to 200 °C and the touch mode was activated. Next, the heated plugger was driven through the GP at approximately 3-4 mm from the reference point. During the down pack, the plugger was deactivated and a firm apical pressure was applied for 10 s followed by a down packing procedure. The remainder of the root canal was filled with the BeeFill 2in1 backfill device. Finally, the temperature was set at 160 °C, the plugger was advanced to the apical plug, and heated GP was injected into the canal. During this procedure, as the canal filled, the injector was retracted. The canal was completely filled and condensed using the GP plugger.

Group 2 was obturated by lateral condensation of GP. The apical section of a size 50 GP cone was coated with a thin film of sealer and placed into the canal at full working length. A suitable spreader (Dentsply Maillefer) that reached 1-2 mm short of the working length was selected for lateral compaction. Accessory cones coated with the sealer were placed in the voids created by the spreader. This was repeated until the spreader could not penetrate more than 1-2 mm into the canal orifice.

For Group 3, F5 as a single master cone (Dentsply Maillefer) was coated with AH26 sealer and inserted into the root canal. Next, excess GP and sealer were removed with a heated tool until the cement-enamel junction was reached.

For Group 4, the Thermafill System was used. A Thermafill cone of size F5 was preheated using ThermaPrep® Plus (Tulsa Dental, Tulsa, Oklahoma) and carefully placed at the working length.

Group 5 was the negative control group, which was not subjected to root canal filling.

**Sample Preparation for CLSM and Image Acquisition**

All samples were kept in an incubator at 37°C and 100% relative humidity for 7 days. The prepared samples were cut into 1-mm discs at levels of 3, 6, and 10 mm from the root apex using an IsoMet device (IsoMet, Buehler, IL, USA) under water cooling. Samples were mounted on a glass slide with a transparent double-sided tape.

**Part A: Measurements of GP /Sealer ratio**

Images acquired by CLSM were transferred...
to a computer and then combined using Adobe Photoshop 6.0 software. Image J software was used to measure root canal and GP areas in pixel units. These two data were chosen as the root sealer area for that level. Next, the rate and percentage of the GP-covered area was calculated by dividing the GP-covered area by the total canal area to calculate the Gutta-Percha/Sealer Ratio (GP/SR). During these calculations, the plastic core area using thermoplastic methods was also evaluated as the GP area.

Part B: Depth of Sealer Penetration

A measurement scale LAS-AF microscopic software (Leica, Buffalo Grove, IL, USA) was used to measure the maximum depth of sealer penetration at four different points in each section. Maximum depth of tubule penetration by the sealer was calculated as follows: the canal wall served as the starting point and the deepest sealer penetration into dentinal tubules was measured to calculate maximum depth of penetration. An Excel® spreadsheet was used to average these data points to obtain a single measure for each section.

Statistical analysis

SPSS statistics 22 software package (IBM SPSS, Turkey) was used for all statistical analyses. For normally distributed parameters, a One-Way ANOVA test and a Tamhane’s T2 test vs Tukey’s HSD test were used for within-group comparisons. Variance analysis and the Bonferroni method were used for between-group comparisons. The Friedman test and the Bonferroni-corrected Wilcoxon-signed rank test were used for within-group comparisons, and the Kruskal-Wallis and Bonferroni-corrected Mann-Whitney U tests for between-group comparisons for parameters without a normal distribution. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

The mean (± standard deviations) of sealer penetration depths are presented in Table 1. There was no significant difference in the results between the three sections (coronal, middle, and apical) in any of the groups, except for Group 4, where Thermafill® was used. In this group, coronal thirds had the highest values and apical thirds the lowest; the difference between these two values was statistically significant (P < 0.05). However, there was no statistically significant difference between the coronal and middle sections in this group (P > 0.05).

At a level of 3 mm, there was no significant difference in the depth of sealer penetration between Thermafill®, lateral condensation, and continuous wave condensation (P > 0.05), whereas single cone showed the least penetration (P < 0.05). At a level of 6 mm, Thermafill® and lateral condensation showed the best outcomes (P > 0.05); however, single cone, similar to the 3 mm level, had the lowest penetration (P < 0.05). At a level of 10 mm, there was no significant difference in the depth of sealer penetration between lateral condensation, continuous wave condensation, and single cone techniques (P > 0.05). However, Thermafill® exhibited the maximum penetration at this level (P < 0.05).

The means (± standard deviation) of GP/SR are presented in Table 2. There were no significant differences between the different sections for continuous wave condensation and single cone techniques (P > 0.05) . However, in Group 2, where a lateral condensation technique was used, GP/SR was significantly higher in the middle and coronal thirds compared to the apical third (P < 0.05). There were no statistical differences between the middle and coronal thirds (P > 0.05). In the Thermafill® group (Group 4), GP/SR in the coronal third was significantly higher than that in the apical third (P < 0.05).

When we compared the mean of the measurements of the apical, middle, and coronal thirds between the groups, mean GP/SR was highest in the Thermafill® group, followed by continuous wave condensation, lateral condensation, and single cone technique, in that order (P < 0.05) (Figure 1).
DISCUSSION

In the present study, the influence of root canal filling techniques on the penetration of an endodontic sealer into dentinal tubules and the GP/sealer ratio was evaluated. It was found that sealer penetration of single-cone technique was significantly lower than that of other 3 techniques in apical and middle root thirds. Moreover, sealer thickness was significantly dependent on obturation technique.

In previous studies that aimed to evaluate dentin tubule penetration and adaptation of root canal sealers, either scanning electron microscopy (SEM) [17,18] or light microscopy [19,20] was used. The SEM method requires the samples to be plated with gold, which damages them. Additionally, at higher magnifications, it does not allow for a complete examination of the sample surface [21]. Another disadvantage of this method is that it only provides limited information about the root canal because it only allows a very limited evaluation of the canal wall. The difficulty with light microscopy is its inability to distinguish between the sealer and the radicular dentin.

Confocal laser scanning microscopy has recently been introduced and has some advantages over other methods. First, it allows complete examination of the sample surface at lower magnifications. Second, it does not require any additional procedures, so that 3-D images can be obtained without damaging the sample. Third, there are fewer artifacts, which
allows direct measurement of resin-dentin interfacial gaps and teeth are not dehydrated using this method. Fourth, with this method, it is possible to evaluate the relative quantities of canal sealer and GP (GP/SR), dentin tubule penetration, and depth.

The results of this study show that in all experimental groups, the maximum depth of sealer penetration was observed in the coronal thirds, followed by the middle thirds, and was least in the apical thirds of the root canal. These results are in concordance with those of previous studies [20,22,23]. This may be a result of better removal of the smear layer in the coronal thirds than in the apical thirds of root canals, which may, in turn, be because of the fact that there are more dentinal tubules in the coronal area, and the diameters of the tubules in the coronal area are larger than the diameters of those in the apical area [24].

The overall depth of sealer penetration (without differentiation into coronal, middle, and apical thirds) was lowest in Group 3, i.e. the single master cone technique (P < 0.05). A limitation of the current study was that nonuse of Lentulo, which may have affected the results of the single cone technique. However, there were no statistically significant differences between continuous wave condensation, lateral condensation and Thermafill® groups (P > 0.05). Weis et al. [20] and Kok et al. [25] reported similar results where they did not find any effects of root canal obturation techniques on sealer penetration into dentin tubules. However, our results showed that the single cone obturation technique was ineffective compared to other techniques.

In previous studies where root canal obturation techniques were evaluated for their properties of adaptation to dentin walls and leakage/sealing, it was shown that the single cone technique had the worst results compared to other GP canal approaches [26-28]. Similarly, our results showed that the worst results were obtained in the single cone obturation technique group. We believe that one of the reasons for this is inadequate penetration. In other techniques that we used, it was shown that GP applied a certain pressure to dentin walls. Adaptation to canal walls happens with the help of a spreader in lateral condensation, with the help of a plugger in vertical condensation, and by the use of pressure in the Thermafill® groups. This pressure helps the canal sealer penetrate into the dentin tubules. However, in the single cone technique, the tubule sealer is not pushed towards the dentin wall. This can be the underlying reason behind the insufficient tubule penetration for the single cone technique.

The single master cone technique had the lowest GP/SR among all the groups, for all the sections. Among the other three groups, Thermafill® showed the highest GP/SR, followed by continuous wave condensation and lateral condensation, in that order (P < 0.05). There are many other studies in the literature with similar results [29-32]. While laboratory studies provide precious data, the results of such studies must be extrapolated to clinical situations with caution.

In contrast to the results of our study, De Deus et al. [33] and Emmanuel et al. [34] reported no significant difference between System B and lateral condensation in their studies. We believed that this discrepancy between our results and those of previous studies might be attributable to differences in the imaging methods used.

**CONCLUSIONS**

We found that canal obturation techniques (Thermafill®, lateral condensation, continuous wave condensation) have an insignificant effect on tubule sealer penetration into dentin canals, with the exception of the single master cone technique, which results in insufficient penetration. It was also found that obturation techniques have a significant effect on GP/SR. The Thermafill® group had the highest GP/SR, and the single cone group the lowest. Thus, we can conclude that, when compared to other GP
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techniques, the single cone technique results in the least satisfactory results for both dentin tubule penetration and GP/SR.
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