A Monoclonal Antibody to the COOH-terminal Acidic Portion of Ran Inhibits Both the Recycling of Ran and Nuclear Protein Import in Living Cells
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Abstract. A small GTPase Ran is a key regulator for active nuclear transport. In immunoblotting analysis, a monoclonal antibody against recombinant human Ran, designated ARAN1, was found to recognize an epitope in the COOH-terminal domain of Ran. In a solution binding assay, ARAN1 recognized Ran when complexed with importin β, transportin, and CAS, but not the Ran-GTP or the Ran-GDP alone, indicating that the COOH-terminal domain of Ran is exposed via its interaction with importin β–related proteins. In addition, ARAN1 suppressed the binding of RanBP1 to the Ran–importin β complex. When injected into the nucleus of BHK cells, ARAN1 was rapidly exported to the cytoplasm, indicating that the Ran–importin β-related protein complex is exported as a complex from the nucleus to the cytoplasm in living cells. Moreover, ARAN1, when injected into the cultured cells induces the accumulation of endogenous Ran in the cytoplasm and prevents the nuclear import of SV-40 T-antigen nuclear localization signal substrates. From these findings, we propose that the binding of RanBP1 to the Ran–importin β complex is required for the dissociation of the complex in the cytoplasm and that the released Ran is recycled to the nucleus, which is essential for the nuclear protein transport.
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The intracellular transport of macromolecules between the nucleus and the cytoplasm takes place through the nuclear pore complexes (NPCs) which permit the passive diffusion of molecules smaller than 20–40 kD and also support an active and receptor-mediated transport. The active protein import pathway which has been studied most intensively is mediated by a basic-type nuclear localization signal (NLS) which contains a short amino acid stretch rich in basic amino acids. The basic-type NLS of the import substrate binds to the heterodimeric importin α/β to form a nuclear pore-targeting complex. Importin α provides the NLS-binding site, whereas importin β accounts for the targeting of the complex to the NPC (for reviews see Görlich and Mattaj, 1996; Koepp and Silver, 1996; Görlich, 1997; Nigg, 1997; Yoneda, 1997). In addition to the basic-type NLS mediated import pathway, it is known that the import of heterogeneous nuclear RNA-binding protein A1 (hnRNP A1) is mediated by a signal comprised of 38 amino acids, which is referred to as M9, and which is rich in glycine and aromatic residues. M9 directly binds to an importin β–related transport factor, transportin (Nakielny et al., 1996; Pollard et al., 1996). It has also been demonstrated that the nuclear export of some proteins depend on nuclear export signal (NES), which is rich in hydrophobic residues (for review see Nakielny and Dreyfuss, 1997). Nuclear export of proteins containing NES is mediated by an importin β–related export receptor, CRM1 (Fornerod et al., 1997b; Fukuda et al., 1997; Ossareh et al., 1997; Stade et al., 1997). Although the export signal of importin α has not been identified, its export is also mediated by another importin β–related export receptor, cellular apoptosis susceptibility gene (CAS) (Kutay et al., 1997). These transport factors, importin β, transportin, CAS, and CRM1 constitute a protein superfamily which has a Ran-GTP-binding motif (Fornerod et al., 1997a; Görlich et al., 1997).

A small GTPase Ran is known to be essential for the active transport pathways including the import of the basic-
type NLS and M9 containing proteins or snRNPs, or the export of importin α, NES-containing proteins, tRNA, U5snRNA, and several mRNAs (Melchior et al., 1993a; Moore and Blobel, 1993; Koeppe et al., 1996; Nakielny et al., 1996; Izaurrelde et al., 1997; Kutay et al., 1997; Richards et al., 1997; for reviews see Avis and Clarke, 1996; Koeppe and Silver, 1996; Görlich, 1997; Goldfarb, 1997). Ran is predominantly, but not exclusively, a nuclear protein (Bischoff and Ponstingl, 1991a). The Ran GTPase cycle is regulated by the GDP–GTP exchange factor, RCC1, which charges Ran with GTP (Bischoff and Ponstingl, 1991b), the GTPase-activating protein, RanGAP1, which converts Ran-GTP into Ran-GDP (Bischoff et al., 1994; Becker et al., 1995; Corbett et al., 1995), and RanBP1 (or RanBP2) which bind to Ran-GTP further stimulates the GTPase-activating activity of RanGAP1 (Coutavas et al., 1993; Bischoff et al., 1995; Richards et al., 1995). RCC1 is a chromatin-associated nuclear protein (Ohtsubo et al., 1989), whereas RanGAP1 and its coactivator, RanBP1, are exclusively cytoplasmic, and RanBP2 is located on the cytoplasmic fibrils of the NPC (Hopper et al., 1990; Melchior et al., 1993b; Bischoff et al., 1994, 1995; Wu et al., 1995; Yokoyama et al., 1995; Matunis et al., 1996; Richards et al., 1996; Mahajan et al., 1997). Because of this asymmetric distribution of RCC1, RanGAP1, and RanBP1/RanBP2, it has been predicted that a steep Ran-GTP gradient across the nuclear envelope exists (very low levels of cytoplasmic Ran-GTP and high levels inside the nucleus).

In the case of nuclear import of proteins which contain the basic-type classical NLS, it has been proposed that Ran is involved in several different steps in the process. At least a portion of the energy required for translocation through the NPC is supplied via GTP hydrolysis by Ran (Melchior et al., 1993a), although other GTPases may also be involved (Sweet and Gerace, 1996). In addition, nuclear Ran-GTP functions to terminate the import reaction. After the translocation of the trimeric complex, which is comprised of importin α/β and a karyophile with the classical NLS, through the nuclear envelope, the direct binding of nuclear Ran-GTP to importin β causes the dissociation of the trimeric complex thus releasing the cargo (Rexach and Blobel, 1995; Görlich et al., 1996; Moroianu et al., 1996). In the same manner, transportin binds to its cargo only in the absence of Ran-GTP, i.e., in the cytoplasm and releases it in the nucleus. For the next rounds of nuclear import, importin β and transportin are required to return to the cytoplasm and dissociate from Ran-GTP. In contrast, the binding of the export receptors such as CRM1 and CAS to their cargos is enhanced by the simultaneous binding of Ran-GTP, which occurs in the nucleus. The trimeric cargo-export receptor–Ran-GTP complex is then transferred to the cytoplasm. Thus, the binding of Ran-GTP to importin β-related transport factors regulates their interaction with cargos or adapter molecules. Therefore, it has been suggested that this Ran-GTP concentration gradient is a critical determinant in the directionality of nucleocytoplasmic transport, ensuring that the transport factors carry their cargoes unidirectionally (Görlich et al., 1996, 1997; Izaurrelde et al., 1997).

It is also noteworthy that the Ran-GTP–importin β complex is very stable and resistant to the Ran GTPase activating activity of RanGAP1 (Flöer and Blobel, 1996). Biochemical analyses have suggested that RanBP1 directly promotes the dissociation of Ran-GTP from importin β, CAS, and transportin (Bischoff and Görlich, 1997; Lousnbury et al., 1997). Nuclear injection of RanGAP1 significantly inhibited the export of injected importin α and β, transportin, and NES-containing proteins in *Xenopus laevis* oocyte (Izaurrelde et al., 1997). As a result, it has been proposed that the importin β-related transport factors are probably exported to the cytoplasm as complexes with Ran-GTP, and that these complexes dissociate and Ran is then converted to the GDP-bound form by RanGAP1 in conjunction with RanBP1 in the cytoplasm (Bischoff and Görlich, 1997; Izaurrelde et al., 1997). However, direct in vivo evidence for this proposal is currently lacking.

Unlike other small GTPases, Ran has negatively charged amino acid residues at the COOH terminus instead of consensus sequences for lipid modification. To better understand the biological significance of the COOH-terminal domain, the COOH terminus-deleted mutant Ran, referred to as ΔDE-Ran, has been used (Lousnbury et al., 1994, 1996a,b; Ren et al., 1995; Richards et al., 1995; Carey et al., 1996; Chi et al., 1997). This mutant is capable of supporting the nuclear import of basic-type NLS-containing substrates in digitonin-permeabilized semi-intact cells, whereas the ΔDE-Ran, which is expressed in cultured cells has a dominant-negative phenotype for both nuclear protein import and RNA export (Ren et al., 1995; Richards et al., 1995; Carey et al., 1996). This mutant protein has a lower affinity for RanBP1 than the wild-type (wt) Ran and binds to importin β with higher affinity than wild-type Ran in an overlay assay (Richards et al., 1995; Lousnbury et al., 1996b). These results suggest that the deletion of the COOH-terminal portion of Ran may be the cause of the defect in the Ran GTPase cycle. However, the issue of how the COOH-terminal domain is involved in the nucleocytoplasmic transport or the Ran GTPase cycle is not known with certainty, since the deletion in COOH-terminal domain may cause drastic conformational changes in Ran.

In this study, in order to identify functional domains of Ran including the COOH-terminal domain, we produced anti-Ran monoclonal antibodies (mAbs). By using one of the anti-Ran mAbs, we provide evidence that the COOH-terminal domain of Ran is not exposed to the surface of the molecule until Ran interacts with importin β or importin β-related transport factors, CAS and transportin. This observation suggests that the exposed COOH-terminal acidic sequence of Ran may be essential for the binding of RanBP1 to the Ran-GTP complexed with importin β–related transport factors. Furthermore, we show in vivo evidence that Ran/importin β can be exported in the form of a complex from the nucleus to the cytoplasm. Our results indicate that the binding of RanBP1 to the Ran/importin β complex in the cytoplasm, which appears to be blocked by injected mAb, is essential for the recycling of Ran and nuclear protein import.

**Materials and Methods**

**Production of mAbs**

mAbs were obtained essentially according to the procedure of Köhler and Milstein (1975). 50 μg of denatured recombinant human Ran was initially
intraperitoneally administered with Freund’s complete adjuvant to a 16-wk-old BDF1 mouse (Japan SLC), followed by three subsequent injections at 3-wk intervals with the same dose in Freund’s incomplete adjuvant. 1 mo after the fourth injection, the mouse was given a booster injection of the same dose. 4 d later, spleen cells isolated from the mouse were fused with the mouse myeloma cell line P3U1 using standard methods. Screening was performed by ELISA and immunoblotting using the recombinant human Ran. Ran-specific mAbs were typed by using mouse monoclonal antibody isotyping kit (Amersham). Asces fluid was produced from a BDF1 × BALB/3T3 mouse (Japan SLC) which had been implanted with the hybridoma. The IgG fraction was obtained by precipitation with 50% saturated ammonium sulfate followed by chromatography on a protein A affinity column.

**Antibodies**

Rabbit anti-Ran polyclonal antibodies were produced as described previously (Sekimoto et al., 1996), Chromatographically purified normal mouse IgG was purchased from Zymed. Rabbit anti-importin β polyclonal antibodies were prepared as described previously (Kose et al., 1997). Mouse anti–human CAS monoclonal antibody and mouse anti–human transportin monoclonal antibody were purchased from Transduction Laboratories.

**Expression and Purification of Recombinant Proteins**

Expression and purification of recombinant importin β were performed as described previously (Kose et al., 1997). The human CAS gene was amplified from a HeLa cDNA library via the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using the synthetic oligonucleotide primers, 5'-TTTTTTTGA-TCCAATGAAACCTAGGATCAAAAATCAGAA-3' and 5'-TTTTTTT-CTCGAGTTAAAGCAGTGTCACACTGGCTGCCTG-3'. The PCR product was inserted into BamHI and KpnI sites of a modified pGEX-6P-3 (Pharmacia) and verified by DNA sequencing. Recombinant GST-transportin was expressed in Escherichia coli strain BL21. The expressed GST–IgG–CAS fusion protein was purified on glutathione–Sepharose (Pharmacia Biotech). After the digestion of GST portion with PreScission Protease (Pharmacia Biotech), hGF–CAS protein was purified by ion-exchange chromatography on Hi-Trap Q (Pharmacia Biotech, Inc.), and then was dialyzed against 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.3, 110 mM potassium phosphate, 2 mM DTT, and 1 μg/ml each of aprotinin, leupeptin, and pepstatin.

Human transportin/karyopherin β2 gene (Pollard et al., 1996; Bonifaci et al., 1997) was amplified from HeLa cell cDNA library by the PCR using the synthetic oligonucleotide primers (5’-CTCACTGATTTAAGCGATACATGCTGCTGCTG-3’). The PCR product was inserted into BamHI and XhoI sites of pGEX-6P-2/hGF vector, which was an expression vector of glutathione-S-transferase (GST)-fused green fluorescent protein (containing the S65A/Y145F mutation) (hGF). This construct was transformed to express the recombinant GST–hGF–CAS fusion protein in Escherichia coli strain BL21. The expressed GST–IgG–CAS fusion protein was purified on glutathione–Sepharose (Pharmacia Biotech). After the digestion of GST portion with PreScission Protease (Pharmacia Biotech), hGF–CAS protein was purified by ion-exchange chromatography on Hi-Trap Q (Pharmacia Biotech, Inc.), and then was dialyzed against 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.3, 110 mM potassium phosphate, 2 mM DTT, and 1 μg/ml each of aprotinin, leupeptin, and pepstatin.

**Preparation of Ehrlich Ascites Tumor Cells Cytosolic Extract**

Cytosolic extract was prepared from Ehrlich ascites tumor cells as described previously (Imamoto et al., 1995).

**Gel Electrophoresis and Immunoblotting**

One-dimensional SDS-PAGE was based on the method of Laemmli (1970) and the gels were stained with Coomassie brilliant blue. For immunoblotting, after electrophoresis, proteins were electrophoretically transferred from gels to nitrocellulose sheets. After blocking with 3% skim milk in PBS, the sheets were then incubated with the first antibody followed by alkaline phosphatase-conjugated anti-mouse or anti-rabbit IgG (Bio-Rad).

**Immunofluorescence**

BHK cells, plated on coverslips, were washed twice in PBS and fixed with 3.7% formaldehyde in PBS (at room temperature for 20 min) followed by permeabilization with 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS (at room temperature for 5 min). After blocking with 3% skim milk in PBS, the cells were incubated with ARAN1 (3 μg/ml) or affinity-purified rabbit anti-Ran polyclonal antibodies (3 μg/ml) overnight at 4°C and detected with Cy3-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (Amersham) or FITC-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (Tago). The samples were examined using a Zeiss Axiosimphot fluorescent microscope (Carl Zeiss).
Immunoprecipitation Using Recombinant Proteins

50 pmol of Ran (GTP-bound or GDP-bound) and/or 50 pmol of importin β were incubated with 50 pmol of ARAN1 in total 300 μl of transport buffer (TB: 20 mM Hepes, pH 7.3, 100 mM potassium acetate, 2 mM magnesium acetate, 5 mM sodium acetate, 1 mM glycolerythramin tetraacetate acid [EGTA], 2 mM DTT, and 1 μg/ml each of aprotinin, leupeptin, and pepstatin) for 1 h at 4°C. Protein A-bound agarose beads (Calbiochem-Novabiochem) were then added and the mixture was incubated for 1 h at 4°C. The beads were collected by centrifugation and washed five times with TB. The bound fraction and unbound fraction were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting.

150 pmol of transport or HGF-CAS and 150 pmol of Ran-GTP were incubated with 150 pmol of ARAN1 in the presence or absence of 150 pmol of importin α in TB for 1 h at 4°C. The proteins which bound to ARAN1 were then analyzed using the same procedure as above.

Immunoprecipitation Using Cytosolic Extract

To preclear the extract, anti-mouse IgG-conjugated agarose beads (American Qualex) were added to the Ehrlich ascites tumor cell cytosolic extract and rotated for 1 h at 4°C. The beads were then removed by centrifugation. 300 pmol of ARAN1 and 300 pmol of Q69L Ran-GTP was added to 200 μl of the precleared cytosolic extract followed by 1 h of incubation at 4°C. 15 μl of protein A-agarose beads were then added to the mixture, which was then incubated for 1 h. After washing five times with TB, the proteins bound to the protein A-agarose beads were resuspended in SDS-PAGE sample buffer containing DTT and analyzed by immunoblotting using antibodies indicated in the figure legend.

Solution Binding Assay

Solution binding assays were performed in TB. 50 pmol of GST-importin β and 50 pmol of Ran-GTP was incubated with 10 μl of a packed volume of glutathione–Sepharose for 1 h at 4°C. After washing the beads twice with TB, 250 pmol or 2,500 pmol of ARAN1 was added to the mixture followed by a 30-min incubation, 50 pmol of RanBP1 was then added and the mixture incubated for an additional 30 min at 4°C. The beads were washed with TB and proteins bound to the beads were resuspended in SDS-PAGE sample buffer containing DTT and analyzed by SDS-PAGE.

50 pmol of GST-importin β, 50 pmol of Ran-GDP, and 250 pmol of ARAN1 was incubated with 10 μl of a packed volume of glutathione–Sepharose for 1 h at 4°C. After washing the beads twice with TB, 50 pmol of RanBP1 was added to the beads and the mixture incubated for 1 h. For a control, 50 pmol of GST–importin β, Ran-GDP, and RanBP1 were incubated with glutathione–Sepharose for 1 h. The beads were then washed with TB and proteins bound to the beads were resuspended in SDS-PAGE sample buffer containing DTT, and then analyzed by SDS-PAGE.

Preparation of Fluorescein-labeled NLS-containing Transport Substrates

BSA was fluorescein-labeled and then chemically conjugated to the synthetic peptide which contained the amino acid sequence of SV-40 large T-antigen NLS (CYGGPKKKR(KVEDP), for the transport substrates termed FITC-T-BSA, as described previously (Tachibana et al., 1994).

Microinjection

BHK cells were grown on coverslips. Microinjection of proteins was performed as described previously (Yoneda et al., 1987). After incubation for the indicated time in each figure at 37°C, the cells were fixed with 3.7% formaldehyde in PBS. The injected fluorescently labeled proteins were detected by fluorescent microscopy (model Axioshot 2; Carl Zeiss).

Results

A Monoclonal Antibody Specific for Ran

To study the functional domain(s) of Ran, monoclonal antibodies were produced against recombinant human Ran. The screening of the monoclonal antibodies was based on two criteria: (a) that they react with only Ran protein in immunoblotting; and (b) that they show the same staining pattern with polyclonal anti-Ran antibodies in immunofluorescence microscopy. Through this screening procedure, we isolated only one clone, designated ARAN1, for further characterization. ARAN1 was typed using a mouse monoclonal antibody isolotyping kit as an immunoglobulin kappa G2b. On an immunoblot, ARAN1 detected recombinant human Ran and specifically reacted with a single 25-kD band in cell extracts from mouse Ehrlich ascites tumor cells, BHK21 cells, human embryonic lung (HEL) cells (Fig. 1 A), Madin-Darby bovine kidney-derived epithelial cells (MDBK), and Xenopus laevis oocytes (data not shown), which was also detected by affinity-purified rabbit anti-Ran polyclonal antibodies. Furthermore, ARAN1 recognized a single spot by immunoblotting analysis of HeLa total cell extracts after two-dimensional electrophoresis, which was also detected with the anti-Ran polyclonal antibodies (data not shown). Immunofluorescent image with ARAN1 in BHK cells showed the same typical staining pattern as that with the anti-Ran polyclonal antibodies (Fig. 1 B). From these findings, it was concluded that the monoclonal antibody ARAN1 specifically binds to Ran.

ARAN1 Recognizes an Epitope at the COOH-terminal Domain of Ran

To determine the specific epitope recognized by ARAN1, we prepared a series of truncated forms of recombinant Ran fused with GST (Fig. 2 A) and analyzed the interaction of these with ARAN1 by immunoblotting. Although anti-GST polyclonal antibodies showed that all of the Ran mutants were appropriately expressed and transferred to "Figure 1. Anti-Ran monoclonal antibody, ARAN1, monospecifically recognizes Ran molecule. (A) Cytosolic extract from mouse Ehrlich ascites tumor cells (lanes 1 and 2), and total extracts of HEL cells (lane 3) and BHK21 cells (lane 4) were electrophoresed on 12.5% polyacrylamide gels, transferred to nitrocellulose, and then probed with anti-Ran polyclonal antibodies (lane 1) and ARAN1 (lanes 2, 3, and 4). (B) BHK21 cells were double stained with ARAN1 and rabbit anti-Ran polyclonal antibodies. ARAN1 and rabbit anti-Ran polyclonal antibodies were detected with goat Cy3-conjugated anti–mouse IgG and goat FITC-conjugated anti–rabbit IgG, respectively. Phase-contrast microscopy is also shown."
nitrocellulose (Fig. 2 B). ARAN1 recognized only the full-length of GST-Ran. Removal of the COOH-terminal seven amino acids (210–216) of Ran completely abolished the reactivity with ARAN1 in immunoblotting, suggesting that the COOH-terminal domain, which consists of seven amino acid residues in Ran, represents the epitope for ARAN1. To confirm this, we prepared the 10-mer peptide of the COOH-terminal domain, 207–216, of Ran fused to GST (Fig. 3 A). As shown in Fig. 3, B and C, ARAN1 clearly reacted with the residues between 207 and 216 of human Ran not only in immunoblotting but also in a solution binding assay. From these findings, it was concluded that the epitope of ARAN1 is located in the COOH-terminal portion of Ran, which is highly negatively charged (−DEDDDL) and conserved among species (Fig. 3 D).

**ARAN1 Binds to the Ran–Importin β Complex But Not the Ran Molecule Alone in Solution Binding Assay**

It is known that the stable Ran-GTP-importin β complex causes the inhibition of GTP hydrolysis on Ran stimulated by RanGAP1. In contrast, Ran-GDP shows a much lower affinity to importin β than Ran-GTP. To determine which form of Ran is recognized by ARAN1 in solution, immunoprecipitation analysis of ARAN1 using recombinant proteins was performed. Purified recombinant human Ran-GTP or Ran-GDP was incubated with ARAN1 in the presence or absence of importin β followed by the precipitation with protein A–conjugated agarose beads. The results showed that, whereas ARAN1 precipitated neither Ran-GTP nor Ran-GDP alone, it reacted with both Ran-GTP and Ran-GDP only in the presence of importin β and precipitated both Ran and importin β. To clearly confirm that ARAN1 can’t recognize Ran molecule alone, precipitated proteins were analyzed by immunoblotting with anti-Ran polyclonal antibodies (Fig. 4 A). The molar ratio of the Ran and importin β precipitated with ARAN1 was estimated to be ∼1:1 (data not shown). Since ARAN1 did

**Figure 2.** Mapping of the ARAN1 binding domain in the Ran molecule. (A) Schematic presentation of Ran and a series of truncated forms of Ran, which were expressed in E. coli as recombinant GST fusion proteins. Numbers at left, amino acid positions of the Ran sequence. A full length of human Ran consists of 216 amino acid residues. (B) Immunoblotting analysis of full and mutant Ran proteins using ARAN1 and rabbit anti-GST polyclonal antibodies. E. coli was harvested after 6 h of induction with IPTG and lysed in SDS-PAGE sample buffer. Samples were electrophoresed on 12.5% polyacrylamide gels, transferred to nitrocellulose, and then probed with the ARAN1 and anti-GST polyclonal antibodies. Right, a loading control stained with Coomassie brilliant blue.

**Figure 3.** ARAN1 recognizes an epitope located within 10 amino acid residues of the COOH terminus of Ran. (A) Schematic drawing of the recombinant fusion protein of the COOH-terminal tail fragment of Ran expressed in E. coli. (B) Immunoblotting analysis of the E. coli extract which expresses the GST fused Ran COOH-terminal tail, 207–216 with ARAN1 (lane 1). Lane 2 shows negative control without ARAN1. The right panel shows an SDS-PAGE profile of E. coli extract expressing GST-fused COOH-terminal tail fragment of Ran, applied in the same amount as was used for immunoblotting. (C) Recombinant GST-fused COOH-terminal portion (207–216) of Ran (lane 1) or GST (lane 2) is incubated with ARAN1 and protein A–bound agarose beads for 1 h. The beads were then washed and the bound proteins were analyzed by SDS-PAGE followed by Coomassie brilliant blue staining. (D) Amino acid comparison of the COOH-terminal domain of Ran in human, mouse, C. elegans and S. cerevisiae. The COOH-terminal domain of human RhoA is also shown. Negatively charged residues are shown in boldface.
posed as the result of the interaction with these molecules. It is most likely that the COOH-terminal tail of Ran may also be exposed to importin α when bound to importin β.

The conservation of the Ran-binding domain in this family, it is clear that ARAN1 binds to both Ran-GTP/importin complex and Ran-GTP–CAS–importin α complex (Fig. 4 B), suggesting that importin β–related transport factors might induce a general conformational change in Ran through their interaction. In the case of CAS, it should be noted that a slight recognition of a complex between CAS and Ran-GTP by ARAN1 can also be observed (Fig. 4 B). This suggests that CAS directly binds to Ran-GTP in the absence of importin α, although the affinity is very low.

**ARAN1 Suppresses the Ternary Complex Formation of Ran, Importin β, and RanBP1 in a Solution Binding Assay**

Both Ran-GTP and Ran-GDP form a ternary complex with RanBP1 and importin β in a solution binding assay. Although it has been assumed that RanBP1 plays a role in the dissociation of the Ran-GTP-importin β complex, the biological significance of the ternary complex has not yet been established. The RanBP1–Ran-GDP–importin β complex has been proposed to play a role in nuclear protein import as a ternary complex (Chi et al., 1997), although it has not yet demonstrated how the complex functions in the nuclear protein import. We therefore examined the effects of ARAN1 on the interaction of RanBP1 with both Ran-GTP–importin β and Ran-GDP–importin β complexes in the solution binding assay. In the absence of ARAN1, GST-tagged importin β efficiently precipitated both Ran-GTP and Ran-GDP together with RanBP1 in a molar ratio of 1:1 (Fig. 5, A and B, lane 1). In contrast, in the presence of ARAN1, the amount of RanBP1 precipitated with GST-tagged importin β apparently decreased, although the Ran was efficiently precipitated. These results indicate that RanBP1 is not able to interact with the Ran-GTP-importin β and Ran-GDP–importin β complexes, when bound to ARAN1. That is, the binding of ARAN1 to the Ran–importin β complex suppresses the ternary complex formation of both RanBP1–Ran-GTP–importin β and RanBP1–Ran-GDP–importin β in solution.

**Ran–Importin β–related Transport Factor Complex Is Exported from the Nucleus to the Cytoplasm**

As described above, it has been proposed that the Ran-GTP–importin β complex and Ran-GTP–importin β–related transport factor (such as transportin and CAS) complex are formed in the nucleus and exported to the cytoplasm. To determine whether these complexes are actually formed in the nucleus and migrate to the cytoplasm as a single entity in living cells, ARAN1, which binds to only the Ran complexed with importin β and importin β–related transport factors in solution, was injected into the cytoplasm or nucleus of cultured BHK cells. It was found that nuclear-injected ARAN1 was efficiently exported to the cytoplasm within 30 min of incubation (Fig. 6 A), whereas control mouse IgG was retained in the injected nucleus (data not shown). Since due to its size (Mr = 160,000), mouse IgG cannot passively traverse the nuclear envelope (Wen et al., 1995), these results indicate that ARAN1 migrates to the cytoplasm in a piggyback fashion. These findings suggest that it is most likely that ARAN1 binds to the Ran-GTP com-

---

**Figure 4. ARAN1 recognizes the Ran–importin β–related transport factors complex but not the Ran molecule alone in solution.** (A) Recombinant Ran-GTP, Ran-GDP, and/or importin β were incubated with ARAN1 for 1 h at 4°C and protein A–agarose was then added. After a 1-h incubation, the bound proteins were analyzed by SDS-PAGE followed by Coomassie blue staining for importin β and by immunoblotting for Ran using rabbit anti-Ran polyclonal antibodies. Top and bottom panels show the bound and unbound fractions, respectively. ARAN1 failed to precipitate Ran-GTP, Ran-GDP, and importin β alone, and precipitated only the Ran-GTP–importin β complex and Ran-GDP–importin β complex. (B) ARAN1 also recognizes the Ran/transportin complex and Ran/CAS–importin α complex. Recombinant transportin or CAS and importin α were incubated with Ran-GTP and ARAN1, then precipitated by protein A–agarose and analyzed by SDS-PAGE.

---

| Ran-GTP | Ran-GDP | importin β |
|---------|---------|------------|
| -       | -       | +          |

**Unbound**

| Ran-GTP | CAS | importin α |
|---------|-----|------------|
| -       | +   | -          |

**Bound**

| Ran-GTP | Ran-GDP | CAS | importin α |
|---------|---------|-----|------------|
| -       | -       | +   | -          |

---

**ARAN1 Also Binds to the Ran–Transportin Complex and the Ran–CAS–Importin α Complex**

It is well known that Ran interacts with some import and export receptors, e.g., transportin, CAS, and CRM1, which are members of the superfamily of Ran-GTP-binding protein/importin β (Kutay et al., 1997; Görlich et al., 1997; Fornerod et al., 1997a). Transportin forms a complex with Ran-GTP and CAS simultaneously binds to both Ran-GTP and importin α (Kutay et al., 1997). Judging from the conservation of the Ran-binding domain in this family, it is likely that the COOH-terminal tail of Ran may also be exposed as the result of the interaction with these molecules. To confirm this, we performed the solution binding assay with different importin β–related transport factors, transportin, and CAS. The results clearly showed that ARAN1 binds to both Ran-GTP/transportin complex and Ran-GTP–CAS–importin α complex (Fig. 4 B), suggesting that importin β–related transport factors might induce a general conformational change in Ran through their interaction. In the case of CAS, it should be noted that a slight recognition of a complex between CAS and Ran-GTP by ARAN1 can also be observed (Fig. 4 B). This suggests that CAS directly binds to Ran-GTP in the absence of importin α, although the affinity is very low.
plexed with importin β–related transport factors in the nucleus, in which Ran would exist as the GTP-bound form, and is transported to the cytoplasm as a complex with them. That is, these results suggest that the Ran–importin β–related transport factor complex moves to the cytoplasm as a single entity without dissociation. On the other hand, cytoplasmically injected ARAN1 remained in the cytoplasm even after 3 h of incubation (data not shown).

To determine whether ARAN1 actually recognizes the Ran–importin β–related transport factor complex in a crude cell extract, we performed immunoprecipitation analysis with mouse Ehrlich ascites tumor cell cytosolic extract in the presence of Q69L Ran-GTP, which should stabilize the complexes of importin β–related transport factors and Ran-GTP in the crude lysate. As shown in Fig. 6B, importin β, CAS, and transportin were coprecipitated with the Ran from the cell lysate by ARAN1. This result supports the view that nuclear-injected ARAN1 binds to Ran-GTP–importin β-related factors and is exported from the nucleus to the cytoplasm in vivo.

**ARAN1 Affects the Distribution of Endogenous Ran**

Ran is localized predominantly in the nucleus. If Ran continuously shuttles between two compartments, the nucleus and cytoplasm, and the binding of RanBP1 to the Ran-GTP–importin β complex in the cytoplasm is required for the recycling of Ran to the nucleus, ARAN1 would be expected to affect the distribution of Ran. To examine this hypothesis, ARAN1 was introduced into the cell and the distribution of endogenous Ran was observed. As shown in Fig. 6, 30 min after injection into either the nucleus or the cytoplasm, injected ARAN1 localized in the cytoplasm. 30 min after injection of ARAN1 into either the nucleus or the cytoplasm, the subcellular localization of endogenous Ran became apparently dominant in the cytoplasm of the ARAN1-
injected cells, whereas control mouse IgG had no effect on this distribution (Fig. 7 A for cytoplasmic injection and data not shown for nuclear injection). The relocalization of Ran was caused irrespective of the injection sites of ARAN1.

**ARAN1 Inhibits the Nuclear Import of Proteins Containing the Classical NLS**

Lastly, we examined the effect of ARAN1 on the nuclear protein import in living cells. For this, ARAN1 or control mouse IgG was injected into nucleus or cytoplasm before the cytoplasmic injection of FITC-labeled BSA conjugated with the NLS peptide of SV-40 T antigen (FITC–T-BSA). In both cases, in which ARAN1 was injected into the cytoplasm or the nucleus, the nuclear import of FITC–T-BSA, which was injected afterwards was clearly inhibited (Fig. 7 B for cytoplasmic injection and data not shown for nuclear injection). In both cases, the injected ARAN1 was confirmed to be localized in the cytoplasm as expected from the results of Fig. 6 (data not shown). In contrast, the simultaneous cytoplasmic injection of ARAN1 with FITC–T-BSA failed to inhibit the nuclear import of the FITC–T-BSA (data not shown). These results suggest that the injected ARAN1 interrupts the Ran cycle in the cytoplasm probably by preventing RanBP1 from interacting with the Ran–importin β complex, which causes an abnormal distribution of Ran and further the suppression of nuclear protein import.

**Discussion**

*The COOH-terminal Acidic Domain of Ran Is Exposed to the Molecular Surface as the Result of Its Interaction with Importin β or Importin β Families*

In this study we obtained a monoclonal anti-Ran antibody, ARAN1, which recognizes mono-specific Ran as confirmed by immunoblotting of total cell extract and immunofluorescent analysis of cultured cells. An epitope of ARAN1 was found to lie in the acidic COOH-terminal domain of Ran. A solution binding assay revealed that ARAN1 interacts only with Ran, when it is complexed with importin β, transportin, or CAS–importin α but not Ran alone. These results indicate that the COOH-terminal domain of Ran is exposed to the molecular surface probably as the result of a conformational change only when importin β–related transport factors bind to Ran.
Although ARAN1 recognizes only Ran complexed with importin β or its related transport factors in solution, it shows the same immunofluorescence pattern as a polyclonal anti-Ran antibodies (Fig. 1). Since it was previously reported that importin β is located not only in the cytoplasm but also within the nucleus (Kose et al., 1997), it is likely that the apparent nucleoplasmic staining by ARAN1 means the complex formation of Ran with importin β and importin β–related transport factors in the nucleus. Alternatively, this can be explained by a similar degree of Ran denaturation during cell fixation as in immunoblotting.

It was found that ARAN1 binds to Ran-GDP–importin β complex as well as Ran-GTP–importin β complex, whereas it is known that importin β binds to Ran-GDP with much lower affinity than to Ran-GTP. Chi et al. (1996) demonstrated that the addition of RanBP1 with Ran-GDP increased the affinity of Ran-GDP for importin β. By analogy, it is speculated that ARAN1 may stabilize the interaction of Ran-GDP with importin β like RanBP1. In both cases, whereas ARAN1 cannot reach the COOH-terminal domain of Ran-GTP or Ran-GDP alone, the interaction of Ran with importin β appears to induce a conformational change of Ran so that ARAN1 is able to recognize the epitope domain. Richards et al. (1995) demonstrated that a polyclonal antibody against a peptide corresponding to residues 196–207 of Ran, which is adjacent to the acidic 212DEDDDL-COOH316 domain, preferentially recognizes the GTP-bound form of Ran. They therefore proposed that the COOH-terminal domain is structurally flexible and undergoes a nucleotide-dependent conformational change, which is not inconsistent with the results herein.

Due to the characteristic amino acid sequences and conformational flexibility, it is likely that the COOH-terminal domain has some functions. Richards et al. (1995) also proposed from their biochemical analysis using ΔDE-Ran that the DEDDDL sequence stabilizes the GDP form of Ran, possibly by folding into the guanine nucleotide binding pocket and mimicking the negative charge on the γ-phosphate of GTP. In addition, it was suggested that the COOH terminus of Ran is implicated in the regulation of interaction between Ran and RanBP1 (Richards et al., 1995). Consistent with their suggestion, we showed that the binding of ARAN1 to the COOH-terminal tail suppresses the interaction of RanBP1 with the Ran–importin β complex. Although ΔDE-Ran is able to bind to RanBP1, its affinity to RanBP1 is lower than wild-type Ran (Richards et al., 1995). Therefore, we speculate that exposure of the COOH-terminal tail of Ran when GDP is bound and that this rearrangement would expose the COOH terminus of Ran for binding to RanBP1, stabilizing the trimeric complex. Their proposal is consistent with our result that the COOH-terminal tail of Ran-GDP was also exposed by the binding of importin β.

The Export of Ran–Importin β–related Transport Factor Complexes from the Nucleus

Although it has been proposed that the Ran–importin β–related transport factor complexes form in the nucleus, are exported to the cytoplasm, and then dissociate in order to recycle (Bischoff and Görlich, 1997; Izaurralde et al., 1997), this proposal has not been experimentally verified in vivo. Recently, Izaurralde et al. (1997) showed that the depletion of nuclear Ran-GTP inhibits the export of importin α and β, transportin and NES-containing proteins in Xenopus laevis oocyte, suggesting that nuclear Ran-GTP is required for the export of importin α and β, transportin, and NES-containing proteins from the nucleus. However, their results do not necessarily mean that the Ran–importin β–related transport factor complexes are translocated from the nucleus to the cytoplasm as a complex. Thus, in regard to the dynamic behavior of Ran through the nuclear envelope, little concrete experimental data exist. In this study, we found that a monoclonal anti-Ran antibody ARAN1 failed to inhibit the export of Ran from the nucleus and inhibited reimport into the nucleus, and that nuclearly injected ARAN1 was efficiently exported from the nucleus. Our results indicate that the Ran–importin β–related transport factor complexes efficiently migrate from the nucleus to the cytoplasm as a complex in living cells, even when complexed with ARAN1. Although it can not be excluded that the nuclear export of ARAN1 injected into the nucleus could be due to complex formation with Ran-GDP–importin β–related factors rather than Ran-GTP–importin β–related factors, the current model of a steep Ran-GTP gradient across the nuclear membrane (see introduction) and the immunoprecipitation experiments with ARAN1 in the presence of Q69L Ran-GTP (Fig. 6 B) support that ARAN1 is exported from the nucleus as a complex with Ran-GTP and importin β–related factors.

The Role of RanBP1 in the Recycling of Ran

After the translocation of the Ran–importin β complex into the cytoplasm, it is predicted that the complex is disassembled for the next round of nuclear import and the recycling of Ran. The Ran-GTP–importin β complex is kinetically very stable (the dissociation constant is ~1 nM, when nucleotide exchange and GTP hydrolysis are blocked) (Görlich et al., 1996; Izaurralde et al., 1997). Injected ARAN1 induced the realocalization of endogenous Ran in living cells. That is, endogenous Ran was found predominantly in the cytoplasm within 30 min after injection (Fig. 7). Since the injected ARAN1 was also localized in the cytoplasm, irrespective of the injection site (Fig. 6), it is concluded that ARAN1 prevented the event(s) from occurring in the cytoplasm but not in the nucleus. Our in vitro biochemical experiments (Figs. 4 and 5) strongly suggest that the injected ARAN1 efficiently interacts with the Ran–importin β complex in the nucleus or the cytoplasm and prevents the binding of RanBP1 to the Ran–importin β complex in the cytoplasm. Furthermore, it was found that the COOH-terminal tail of Ran is also exposed via interaction with other importin β family molecules. Therefore, we conceive that Ran probably remains in the cytoplasm complexed with importin β or its related transport factors.
factors due to the inhibition by ARAN1 on the binding of RanBP1 to Ran–importin β or its related transport factor complexes in the cytoplasm, although we did not examine in this study that ARAN1 actually inhibits the binding of RanBP1 to the complex of Ran with importin β–related transport factors other than importin β. At least part of our conclusion is consistent with the recent biochemical analysis by Bischoff and Görlich (1997). They showed that the importin α and RanBP1 cooperatively relieved the GAP resistance of the Ran-GTP–importin β complex, suggesting that RanBP1 is critical for the release of Ran-GTP from importin β. Moreover, in our study, it was found that ARAN1 competes much better for RanBP1 complexed to Ran-GDP–importin β than to Ran-GTP–importin β, which means that RanBP1 has much higher affinity for Ran-GTP–importin β complex than for Ran-GDP–importin β complex. This is consistent with the idea that RanBP1 efficiently binds to Ran-GTP–importin β complex in the cytoplasm to promote the dissociation of the complex.

RanBP2 contains four Ran-binding domains which are functionally equivalent to RanBP1 (Lounsbery et al., 1994; Yokoyama et al., 1995). It is located at the cytoplasmic filaments of the NPC (Wu et al., 1995; Yokoyama et al., 1995) and a fraction of RanGAP which is modified by the addition of a small ubiquitin-like peptide binds to RanBP2 (Matunis et al., 1996; Mahajan et al., 1997; Saitoh et al., 1997). Therefore, RanBP2 may play the same role as RanBP1 in the recycling of Ran, although further experiments will be required to better understand the role(s) of RanBP2 on the nucleocytoplasmic transport of macromolecules as well as to understand the effect of ARAN1 on RanBP2.

Nuclear Protein Import and Recycling of Ran and Importin β

This study showed that ARAN1, when injected into cultured cells inhibits nuclear protein import mediated by basic-type classical NLS irrespective of the injection site, i.e., nucleoplasm or cytoplasm. It has been recently reported that RanBP1 is required for nuclear protein import and RNA export in vivo (Schlenstedt et al., 1995; Richards et al., 1996) and stimulates the protein import in a permeabilized cell-free assay (Chi et al., 1996). Furthermore, it has been shown that the COOH terminus deleted Ran (ΔDE-Ran) represents the dominant-negative effect on the nuclear protein import. In this study, we found that ARAN1 inhibits the interaction of RanBP1 (and probably RanBP2) with the Ran–importin β complex. There are two possible explanations for the inhibitory effect of ARAN1 on the nuclear import of the classical NLS substrates. One possibility is that ARAN1 may inhibit the interaction of RanBP1 to Ran-GTP–importin β complex, which leads to the suppression of the disassembly of the complex. Alternatively, consistent with the suggestion of Chi et al. (1996) that RanBP1 promotes nuclear protein import by stabilizing the interaction of Ran-GDP with importin β, ARAN1 may block the function of RanBP1 on the interaction of Ran-GDP with importin β by binding to the Ran-GDP–importin β complex stably and, as a result, affect nuclear protein import.

Thus, it seems most likely that the inhibition of the function of RanBP1 (and probably RanBP2) blocks the disassembly of Ran–importin β complex in the cytoplasm, which causes the depletion of nuclear Ran, an inhibition in the recruitment of importin β, and further, the decline of the recycling of importin α/β to the cytoplasm by the suppression of the dissociation of the nuclear pore-targeting complex (importin α/β bound to a karyophile) in the nucleus following the depletion of nuclear Ran. It appears that such an imbalance in the import factors totally inhibits the nuclear protein import. Further studies will be necessary in order to understand whether ARAN1 also affects import and export pathways other than the classical NLS import pathway.
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