Motor vehicle safety has improved substantially over the past 100 years. Between 2000 and 2010, for example, deaths related to motor vehicle crashes decreased by 55.1% in 19 developed countries. In contrast, deaths and injuries among a subset of those injured in motor vehicle crashes — motorcycle crashes — remained stable during the same time period.

Although medical costs may provide incentive to improve motorcycle safety, there is no reliable estimate of medical costs incurred by motorcycle crashes. Previous calculations of medical costs attributable to motorcycle crashes are limited to reviews of hospital charges at single centres. Estimates derived from these reviews are incomplete, neglecting costs incurred after a patient’s discharge, for example.

It is now possible to calculate patient-level medical costs in Ontario, Canada (population 13.6 million in 2014) with methodology that links publicly funded health care use to individuals over time. Using this methodology from the payer perspective, our objective was to calculate the direct costs of all publicly funded medical care provided to individuals after motorcycle crashes compared with automobile crashes.

Methods
Setting
We conducted a population-based, matched cohort study in Ontario, Canada using linked health administrative databases at the Institute for Clinical Evaluative Sciences (ICES) (see Appendix 1, RESEARCH Direct medical costs of motorcycle crashes in Ontario Daniel Pincus MD, David Wasserstein MD MSc MPH, Avery B. Nathens MD MPH PhD, Yu Qing Bai MSc, Donald A. Redelmeier MD MS(HSR), Walter P. Wodchis PhD

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: There is no reliable estimate of costs incurred by motorcycle crashes. Our objective was to calculate the direct costs of all publicly funded medical care provided to individuals after motorcycle crashes compared with automobile crashes.

METHODS: We conducted a population-based, matched cohort study of adults in Ontario who presented to hospital because of a motorcycle or automobile crash from 2007 through 2013. For each case, we identified 1 control absent a motor vehicle crash during the study period. Direct costs for each case and control were estimated in 2013 Canadian dollars from the payer perspective using methodology that links health care use to individuals over time. We calculated costs attributable to motorcycle and automobile crashes within 2 years using a difference-in-differences approach.

RESULTS: We identified 26,831 patients injured in motorcycle crashes and 281,826 injured in automobile crashes. Mean costs attributable to motorcycle and automobile crashes were $5825 and $2995, respectively (p < 0.001). The rate of injury was triple for motorcycle crashes compared with automobile crashes (2194 injured annually/100,000 registered motorcycles v. 718 injured annually/100,000 registered automobiles; incidence rate ratio [IRR] 3.1, 95% confidence interval [CI] 2.8 to 3.3, p < 0.001). Severe injuries, defined as those with an Abbreviated Injury Scale ≥ 3, were 10 times greater (125 severe injuries annually/100,000 registered motorcycles v. 12 severe injuries annually/100,000 registered automobiles; IRR 10.4, 95% CI 8.3 to 13.1, p < 0.001).

INTERPRETATION: Considering both the attributable cost and higher rate of injury, we found that each registered motorcycle in Ontario costs the public health care system 6 times the amount of each registered automobile. Medical costs may provide an additional incentive to improve motorcycle safety.
available at www.cmaj.ca/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1503/cmaj.170337/-/DC2, Supplementary Appendix A — “data sources”). Medically necessary health care interactions, provider information and demographic characteristics of residents of Ontario are recorded in these databases. These data have previously been used to estimate medical costs10–15 and study traffic crashes.16–18

Patients
The study design is shown in Figure 1. Ontario residents who presented to the emergency department or were admitted to hospital after a motorcycle or automobile crash between Apr. 1, 2007 and Mar. 31, 2013 were eligible for inclusion. We used International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision (ICD-10) codes to identify injured occupants of motor vehicles [motorcycle crash (V20–V29) and automobile crash (V40–V49, V50–V59, V70–V79), respectively].19 We excluded non-Ontario residents and those who had previously presented to a hospital for a motor vehicle–related injury of any type within 2 years before the index date (see Appendix 1, Supplementary Appendix B — “database codes”).

For each motorcycle or automobile crash case, we identified 1 control from a representative subset of the Ontario population. The purpose of these controls was to determine baseline medical costs absent a motor vehicle crash and thus enable the calculation of incremental costs. Controls had health system contact but no documented motor vehicle–related injury during this time frame or dating back 2 years before the index date (see Appendix 1, Supplementary Appendix B — “database codes”).

Covariates
We measured several covariates that have been shown to influence utilization of health care in Ontario.13 We analyzed age and sex as continuous and categorical variables, respectively. Comorbidities listed on outpatient and hospital discharge abstracts in the 2 years before the index date were categorized according to collapsed aggregated diagnosis groups.21 Neighbourhood income quintile was used as a validated surrogate measure for socioeconomic status and social deprivation, by dissemination area.24–26 Patient location of residence was classified according to the Local Health Integrated Network and the Rurality Index of Ontario.27

Outcomes
The primary outcome of this study was direct medical costs within 2 years that were attributable to motorcycle and automobile crashes, and paid by Ontario’s Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care. First, medical costs were calculated for each case and each control during each fiscal year of the study period using established patient-level costing methodology (see Appendix 1, Supplementary Appendix A).9 We then calculated costs attributable to motorcycle and automobile crashes within 2 years using a difference-in-differences approach: a baseline cost accrued the year before a crash was subtracted from costs in the first and second year after the crash (first difference) and then compared with the same difference among controls (second difference).28

We categorized costs as acute care hospital, including the emergency department, index admission, rehabilitation and any subsequent readmissions; physician; drugs and laboratory costs outside of hospitals; continuing care including residential long-term care and home care; and assistive devices.18 We divided care episodes that spanned more than 1 fiscal year on a pro rata basis. All costs were expressed as 2013 Canadian dollars using the health care component of the Ontario Consumer Price Index (www.statscan.gc.ca).

We included clinical data in secondary outcomes. First, the annual rates of those injured and dying (within 30 d) from motorcycle and automobile crashes were reported, as long as the patient presented to hospital after the crash. We also compared more detailed clinical data between motorcycle and automobile crash cases. We identified the highest level of care required for

Figure 1: Depiction of the study design. For each case, we identified 1 control who had health system contact during the study period but no motor vehicle crash code. Index dates for controls were randomly assigned based on the distribution of index dates for cases in the same fiscal year. Costs attributable to motorcycle crashes and automobile crashes were then calculated using a difference-in-differences approach: the baseline cost accrued the year before the motorcycle or automobile crash was subtracted from costs in the 1st and 2nd year following the collision (1st difference) and then compared with the same difference among controls (2nd difference).
each patient: emergency department visit only, or hospital admission, or admission to the intensive care unit (ICU). The Injury Severity Score (ISS) and Abbreviated Injury Scale (AIS) were used to assess injury severity. ISS and AIS were obtained from ICD-10 codes by means of a validated algorithm.\(^2\) Injury Severity Score was categorized as < 9, 9–15, 16–24 or ≥ 25. We defined “severe injuries” as those with an AIS ≥ 3 and we reported them overall and for each anatomic region. The algorithm and operational definitions have been used previously in population-based research on administrative data in Ontario.\(^{30–32}\)

**Statistical analysis**

We reported baseline characteristics of cases and controls as means and proportions and compared them using standardized differences (> 0.1 considered indicative of imbalance).\(^{33,34}\) We also compared characteristics between motorcycle crash cases and automobile crash cases using independent sample t tests for continuous variables and \(\chi^2\) tests for categorical variables. We also compared longer-term costs (up to 5 yr) between matched cases and controls who were enrolled before the 2011 fiscal year and for whom longer-term follow-up (> 2 yr) was available. We expressed annual rates of injuries and deaths per 100 000 registered motorcycles or automobiles in the province using publicly available data from the Ministry of Transportation (see Appendix 1, Supplementary Appendix C).\(^2\) Incidence rate ratios (IRRs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were then used to compare injury and death rates between motorcycle and automobile crash cases. We performed all analyses using SAS software (SAS version 9.3 and SAS Enterprise Guide version 6.1; SAS Institute) and set the type I error probability to 0.05.

**Ethics approval**

The research protocol was approved by the Research Ethics Board at Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre.

**Results**

**Descriptive clinical data**

We identified 26 831 people in Ontario who were injured during the study period in motorcycle crashes and 281 826 who were injured in automobile crashes. The annual incidence of injured persons was triple for motorcycle compared with automobile crashes (2194 injured annually/100 000 registered motorcycles v. 718 injured annually/100 000 registered automobiles; IRR 3.1, 95% CI 2.8 to 3.3, \(p < 0.001\); Table 1). Deaths (at 30 d) were about 5 times greater (14 deaths annually/100 000 registered motorcycles v. 3 deaths annually/100 000 registered automobiles; IRR 4.7, 95% CI 2.9 to 7.6, \(p < 0.001\); Table 1).

In terms of the level of care required for each patient, those injured in motorcycle crashes were significantly more likely to require admission to hospital and the ICU than those injured in automobile crashes (11.93% v. 4.24%, \(p < 0.001\) and 2.31% v. 1.09%, \(p < 0.001\), respectively). Patients injured in motorcycle crashes were also more likely to have an ISS greater than 16 (3.67% v. 1.32%, \(p < 0.001\)) and have severe injuries (5.69% v. 1.67% with AIS ≥ 3, \(p < 0.001\)). Expressed per registered vehicle, severe injuries were 10 times greater for motorcycle crashes than automobile crashes (125 severe injuries annually/100 000 registered motorcycles v. 12 severe injuries annually/100 000 registered automobiles; IRR 10.4, 95% CI 8.3 to 13.1, \(p < 0.001\)). Severe injuries to the head, thorax, abdomen and extremities were all more common among patients injured in motorcycle than in automobile crashes (Table 2).

**Baseline characteristics after matching**

There were 26 257 motorcycle crash cases and 276 760 automobile crash cases (≥ 98%) matched to controls. Covariates were balanced between cases and controls, with the exception that patients who had been in motorcycle crashes were more likely to reside in rural areas than controls. Baseline utilization of health care, as measured by costs in the previous year, was similar between cases and controls, which also indicated that groups were comparable (mean standardized difference [MSD] previous-year costs for motorcycle crash cases and controls were $1779.76 [$7003.45] and $1664.34 [$8732.5], MSD 0.02; automobile crash cases and controls $2385.69 [$8223.62] and $2314.57 [$9420.75], MSD 0.01).

---

**Table 1: Annual incidence of persons injured in motorcycle and automobile crashes and deaths per 100 000 registered vehicles (fiscal year 2007–2012)**

| Crash type          | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | Mean ± SD |
|---------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-----------|
| **No. of injuries** |      |      |      |      |      |      |           |
| Motorcycle          | 2568 | 2328 | 2212 | 2014 | 2105 | 1938 | 2194 ± 230 |
| Automobile          | 767  | 712  | 711  | 751  | 681  | 685  | 718 ± 35   |
| **No. of deaths**   |      |      |      |      |      |      |           |
| Motorcycle          | 16   | 14   | 12   | 14   | 10   | 14   | 14 ± 2    |
| Automobile          | 3    | 3    | 3    | 3    | 2    | 3    | 3 ± 0.4   |

Note: SD = standard deviation.
*Calculated using motor vehicle registration data made publicly available by Ontario’s Ministry of Transportation (see Appendix 1, Supplementary Appendix C).
The mean age of people (mean age ± standard deviation 36.08 ± 16.34 yr) injured in motorcycle crashes was less than those injured in automobile crashes (mean age 38.53 ± 19.09 yr) \( (p < 0.001) \). A greater proportion of patients who were in motorcycle crashes was also men (81% male) compared with patients who were in automobile crashes (43% male) \( (p < 0.001) \). Other baseline characteristics and average costs among cases and controls after matching are presented in Appendix 1, Supplementary Appendix E.

**Patient-level costs of health care**

Mean costs for medical treatment attributable to motorcycle and automobile crashes within 2 years of the crash were $5825 and $2995 \( (p < 0.001) \), respectively. Hospital costs for acute care accounted for more than 75% of this amount for both motorcycle and automobile crashes (Table 3). Furthermore, most costs were accrued within 1 year of the injury date. Attributable costs declined thereafter for both motorcycle and automobile crashes and remained stable and similar up to 5 years after the injury date (Appendix 1, Supplementary Appendix D).

**Interpretation**

In this population-based study of patients in the most populous Canadian province, we measured the cost of health care provided to patients who were injured in a motorcycle or automobile crash and required treatment at hospital. Mean costs for medical treatment attributable to motorcycle and automobile crashes within 2 years were $5825 and $2995, respectively. Because the annual number of injured persons per registered vehicle was triple and costs per injury were double for motorcycle crash victims versus automobile crash victims, we estimate the total cost to the universal health care system of injuries sustained in motor vehicles to be about 6 times greater per registered motorcycle than per automobile. Because acute care accounted for more than 75% of the costs, severe injuries \( (\text{AIS} \geq 3) \) that were 10 times greater for motorcycles than for automobiles likely accounted for the additional costs incurred by motorcycle crashes. All rates in our study could also be multiplied by 5 and expressed per kilometre travelled because the average automobile travels 5 times the distance of the average motorcycle (i.e., 15 times the injuries, 50 times the severe inju-

### Table 2: Clinical outcomes among patients with motorcycle- and automobile-related injuries

| Characteristic                      | No. of (%) patients injured in motorcycle crashes | No. of (%) patients injured in automobile crashes | \( p \) value* |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| **Level of care**                   |                                                   |                                                   |               |
| Emergency department visit only     | 23 123 (88.1)                                    | 265 020 (95.8)                                   | < 0.001       |
| Required hospital admission         | 3123 (11.9)                                      | 11 740 (4.2)                                     | < 0.001       |
| Required ICU admission              | 606 (2.3)                                        | 3018 (1.1)                                       | < 0.001       |
| **ISS**                             |                                                   |                                                   |               |
| < 9                                 | 23 753 (90.5)                                    | 268 765 (97.1)                                   | < 0.001       |
| 9–15                                | 1539 (5.9)                                       | 4348 (1.6)                                       |               |
| 16–24                               | 668 (2.5)                                        | 2238 (0.8)                                       |               |
| ≥ 25                                | 297 (1.1)                                        | 1409 (0.5)                                       |               |
| **Severe injuries (AIS ≥ 3), overall and by body region** |                                                   |                                                   |               |
| Any severe injury                   | 1493 (5.7)                                       | 4621 (1.7)                                       | < 0.001       |
| Head                                | 58 (0.2)                                         | 440 (0.2)                                        | 0.02          |
| Face                                | NR                                               | NR                                               | 0.52          |
| Neck                                | NR                                               | NR                                               | 0.88          |
| Thorax                              | 347 (1.3)                                        | 1173 (0.4)                                       | < 0.001       |
| Abdomen                             | 53 (0.2)                                         | 198 (0.07)                                       | < 0.001       |
| Spine                               | 36 (0.1)                                         | 390 (0.1)                                        | 0.87          |
| Upper extremity                     | 396 (1.5)                                        | 830 (0.3)                                        | < 0.001       |
| Lower extremity                     | 600 (2.3)                                        | 1568 (0.6)                                       | < 0.001       |
| Missing                             | NR                                               | NR                                               | –             |

Note: AIS = Abbreviated Injury Scale, ED = emergency department, ICU = intensive care unit, ISS = Injury Severity Score, NR = small cell sizes not reportable according to privacy guidelines at the Institute for Clinical Evaluative Sciences.

*p values were calculated using \( \chi^2 \) tests.
ries, 25 times the deaths, 30 times the costs per kilometre travelled).35

Limitations
Although our methodology captured most (an estimated 92%) publicly funded health care costs provided to Ontario residents who were injured in motorcycle and automobile crashes,18 the most important limitation of our cost calculations was our inability to capture ongoing care costs for patients requiring outpatient rehabilitation, such as those with acquired brain injury. Accordingly, our cost calculations were less comprehensive over time as patients, and their care, were moved from the hospital setting and into the community. Because those involved in motorcycle crashes are considerably more likely to sustain head trauma3,36,37 and severe injuries in general, this limitation underestimated only costs attributable to motorcycle crashes compared with those costs incurred by automobile crashes (Table 2). Similarly, we also did not capture direct costs borne by private insurance, or indirect or opportunity costs incurred by individual patients and society. Indirect costs from missed workdays and permanent disability that precluded the ability to earn, for example, may also have been greater after motorcycle than automobile crashes, because motorcycle crash patients were younger and more severely injured.

Our data also do not include those injured or killed at the scene and who were not transported to hospital because we identified only patient encounters with health care providers. Because we identified only each patient’s first event, it is also important to point out that injury rates reported in this study do not include subsequent crashes, if a patient had more than one.

Implications
We found that motorcycle injuries cost the public health care system 6 times more than automobile injuries, per vehicle. Expressed in other terms, Ontario’s health care payer (the Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care) would expect to save $13.5 million per year if collision rates remained unchanged and the province’s registered motorcycles were replaced with automobiles. This estimate is likely conservative for several reasons mentioned previously (see “Limitations”).

Despite publicly available data indicating that the risk associated with driving a motorcycle is much greater than that associated with driving an automobile, this knowledge has not translated to improvements in motorcycle safety.2,35 Medical costs may provide a novel financial incentive to mitigate the risk of motorcycle crashes. Anecdotally, insurance companies that raise their rates in response to private medical costs have already acted as a deterrent to motorcycle use.38 Health care costs incurred by the Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care in Ontario in treating patients involved in motor vehicle crashes (including motorcycle crashes) may be recovered, at least in part, by the Government of Ontario under the province’s Insurance Act. This study provides an estimate of costs that may be recovered from motorcycle insurers under this legislation. The higher costs and greater incidence of severe injury and death we observed may also warrant other improvements to health prevention measures. For example, supplementary private health care insurance, an excise tax or another novel method of health coverage for motorcyclists may be justified with the goal of improving motorcycle safety.

We conducted our study at the level of a health care system that serves a population of about 13.6 million people. Although exact health care costs vary in other health care systems, the conclusions drawn from the relative comparison of motorcycle to automobile crashes we found in our study may be applicable beyond Canada to the rest of the developed world. For example, in a privately funded health care system, insurance companies and individual providers may accept a larger share of the direct health care costs than we have estimated in this study. We captured all hospital and physician costs provided in Ontario’s publicly funded health care system.

Table 3: Cost attributable to a motorcycle- or automobile-related injury or death within 2 years

| Health care sector        | Mean cost attributable to motorcycle crash, 2013 Can$ (95% CI) | Mean cost attributable to automobile crash, 2013 Can$ (95% CI) | p value* |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| Acute care hospital       | 4409 (4247 to 4571)                                           | 2185 (1883 to 2340)                                           | < 0.001  |
| Physician                 | 1175 (1109 to 1241)                                           | 664 (534 to 731)                                              | < 0.001  |
| Drug or laboratory        | –6 (–54 to 42)                                                | 27 (–77 to 81)                                                | < 0.001  |
| Continuing care           | 224 (166 to 282)                                              | 104 (–16 to 165)                                              | < 0.001  |
| Assistive devices         | 14 (–7 to 25)                                                 | 13 (–32 to 36)                                                | < 0.001  |
| Total cost                | 5825 (5651 to 5999)                                           | 2995 (2669 to 3161)                                           | < 0.001  |

Note: CI = confidence interval.
*p values compared overall costs attributable to motorcycle and automobile crashes, and by sector, within 2 years and were calculated using independent sample t tests.

Conclusion
We found that each motorcycle incurs 3 times the injuries, 6 times the medical costs and 5 times the deaths of each automobile. An understanding of these consequences may play a key role in public health strategy aimed at improving motorcycle safety. Future research is required to investigate indirect or opportunity costs incurred by motorcycle crashes.
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