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ABSTRACT

In an effort to characterize the fast neutron radiation background, 16 EJ-309 liquid scintillator cells were installed in the Radiological Multi-sensor Analysis Platform (RadMAP) to collect data in the San Francisco Bay Area. Each fast neutron event was associated with specific weather metrics (pressure, temperature, absolute humidity) and GPS coordinates. The expected exponential dependence of the fast neutron count rate on atmospheric pressure was demonstrated and event rates were subsequently adjusted given the measured pressure at the time of detection. Pressure adjusted data was also used to investigate the influence of other environmental conditions on the neutron background rate. Using National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) coastal area lidar data, an algorithm was implemented to approximate sky-view factors (the total fraction of visible sky) for points along RadMAPs route. Three areas analyzed in San Francisco, Downtown Oakland, and Berkeley all demonstrated a suppression in the background rate of over 50% for the range of sky-view factors measured. This effect, which is due to the shielding of cosmic-ray produced neutrons by surrounding buildings, was comparable to the pressure influence which yielded a 32% suppression in the count rate over the range of pressures measured.

1. Introduction

Neutron detection is a key component of mobile wide-area search for nuclear materials or devices. Neutrons provide a sensitive and specific signature of special nuclear material (SNM). All SNM sources emit neutrons as a result of spontaneous fission events, with Pu materials emitting on the order of $10^5$ n/(s·kg). In contrast, highly enriched uranium (HEU) with 90% $^{235}$U and 10% $^{238}$U content emits less than 4 n/(s·kg). Therefore, quantities of Pu are typically the focus of passive fast neutron detection systems searching for SNM [1].

As in any detection application, background radiation limits the ability to detect hidden sources with confidence. An appealing feature of fast neutron detectors for SNM searches is that the background is mostly constant and relatively low, especially when compared to the much more abundant and variable gamma-ray background. Many existing neutron detection systems rely on moderated He-3 or other thermal neutron capture agents. A high efficiency for fission-energy neutrons per unit volume and simultaneous sensitivity to gamma radiation, albeit with poor gamma-ray energy resolution, are attractive features of organic scintillators. Additionally, the direct detection of incident neutrons preserves directional and spectral information, which can be used to improve detection, localization, and characterization of SNM sources.

In any case, depending on the scenario (time of exposure, source to detector distance, shielding and surrounding materials), detection of SNM neutrons above background levels with high confidence may be limited by systematic variability in the background rates. Understanding the factors that influence the naturally occurring radiation field is therefore crucial for confident detection.

Characterization of background radiation on a mobile platform has many advantages. An extensive variety of weather, altitude, and other environmental conditions are attainable on a daily basis. The Radiological Multi-sensor Analysis Platform (RadMAP) and its 16 organic liquid scintillator cells were utilized by Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (LBNL) to collect fast neutron (500 keV to 8 MeV) background data throughout the San Francisco Bay Area beginning in May 2012. The area is known for its many micro-climates, all of which are readily accessible to RadMAP’s location at LBNL. A variety of structural conditions are also present. Long bridges of differing construction, tunnels, dense urban environments, and sparse rural
areas are all located within a 30 mile range of Berkeley. The terrain also offers the ability to take measurements from sea level to over 3800 feet (Mount Diablo in Contra Costa County). This paper presents the results of a comprehensive study of the environmental influences on the fast neutron background. Research demonstrated the significance of the altitude and atmospheric pressure influence on the count rate, as documented in literature. It was determined that applying a pressure adjustment to measured event rates improves background predictability by reducing systematic error contributions. After applying a pressure adjustment to the data, the effects of other weather metrics, solar weather, and surrounding structures were investigated. A study of the influence of the shielding provided by surrounding structures was conducted by computing the fraction of unobstructed sky visible from the mobile platform and comparing it with the measured count rate. This study expands on recent work by Iyengar et al. [2].

2. Experimental system

2.1. RadMAP and detector specifications

RadMAP, previously known as the Mobile Imaging and Spectroscopic Threat Identification (MISTI) system, was originally developed by the Naval Research Laboratory as a mobile gamma-ray source detection and localization platform. The platform is a General Motors 20 foot box truck with an on board generator to provide power to its detectors and sensors [3,4]. MISTI was transferred to LBNL and began acquiring data in the San Francisco Bay Area in November 2011. It was subsequently renamed RadMAP given its change of mission focus to background characterization and its additional suite of integrated sensors and detection capabilities. Following the transfer, the system was used primarily for gamma-ray background characterization and source detection studies. RadMAP began collecting fast neutron background data in May 2012 following the installation of the liquid scintillator cells. Fig. 1 shows a RadMAP schematic highlighting some of its detection systems and external sensors.

Between installation of the scintillators in May 2012 and December 2013, 37 mobile datasets are usable for neutron analysis. Due to various maintenance issues, the truck was immobile during 2014. During this time, over 100 data sets of significant length (12–15 h each) were collected from RadMAP’s parking spot adjacent to Building 88 on LBNL. The large quantity of statistics collected during this time period enabled stationary measurements of various weather and geomagnetic conditions that influence the neutron background count rate.

The scintillator array provided by Sandia National Laboratories (SNL) in Livermore, California consists of 16 EJ-309 organic liquid scintillator cells for fast neutron detection. EJ-309 was designed for its pulse shape discrimination (PSD) characteristics or the ability to distinguish a neutron induced signal from a gamma-ray interaction. The tail-to-total method was used for all PSD calculations in this experiment. EJ-309 also is an outstanding candidate for field deployment due to its high flash point (291°F), low vapor pressure and low chemical toxicity [5], especially when compared to its predecessors such as the flammable solvent xylene. In RadMAP, each individual detector is oriented horizontally and stacked vertically in two columns of 8 detectors each as pictured and numbered in Fig. 2. Each cell is a 6 in. diameter by 5 in. long aluminum cylinder. The total active detection volume of the system is approximately 25 L. Seven detectors are coupled to a 5 in. Hamamatsu photomultiplier tube (PMT). The other nine are coupled to Photonis 5 in. PMTs. The PMTs are connected to two Struck SIS3320 250 MHz 12 bit digitizers, each with 8 channels. The digitizers are operated at 200 MHz, so a sample is recorded every 5 nanoseconds. The provided Struck data acquisition software is used to control the digitizers and collect raw data. Once the raw event signal pulses with associated timing information are recorded, the raw data is parsed into a usable format for data processing, PSD, and analysis.

Each identified neutron event was then associated with specific weather metrics (pressure, temperature, absolute humidity) and GPS coordinates for subsequent count rate analysis. A Davis Vantage Vue Wireless Weather Station [6] was used to collect all relevant weather data for the analysis. The original GPS system installed on MISTI was a Magellan ADU5 which used four sensors, satellites, and ground-based stations to achieve down to 40 cm accuracy [7]. However, the accuracy of the system was compromised when terrain interfered with the signal. A NovAtel Synchronous Position, Altitude and Navigation (SPAN) GNSS/INS integrated GPS system [8] was installed in January 2012. The NovAtel system provides centimeter level accuracy and a data rate
of 100 Hz. The incorporation of the inertial system provided more accurate positional information during periods of intermittent satellite reception.

3. Altitude and pressure influence

In cosmic ray physics, the atmospheric depth (g/cm²) is a measure of the path length traveled by a particle used to predict absorption. Atmospheric depth (g/cm²) is the air density (g/cm³) multiplied by the path length from the top of the atmosphere to a given location in cm. As measured by Pfotzer [9], at approximately 15 km altitude, the cascades of particles originating from a primary cosmic ray reach a maximum particle density. Below this point, also known as the Pfotzer point, an exponential decrease in the number of all particles in the cosmic ray induced shower is observed due to attenuation [10].

The attenuation of cosmic rays below the Pfotzer point is commonly expressed in terms of an absorption length (also called the mean free path or attenuation factor) in units of g/cm². This unit may also be expressed in terms of a standard pressure unit such as mbar (1 mbar=1.01972 g/cm³). The absorption length differs for each type of particle depending on its mass, energy, and strength of interaction with the particles in the atmosphere [10]. Eq. (1) is the exponential decay formula for computing an expected neutron intensity for any atmospheric depth [11].

\[
I_2 = I_1 \exp \left( \frac{x_1 - x_2}{L} \right)
\]  
(1)

\(I_1\) is a measured neutron intensity recorded at depth \(x_1\) and particle absorption length \(L\), and \(I_2\) is the expected intensity at depth \(x_2\). The two depths and \(L\) are in units of g/cm².

3.1. Altitude and pressure results

An altitude count rate histogram was created for every RadMAP run combined to compare to the observations of Pfotzer. Only one RadMAP run with the liquid scintillators data contains altitude data above 600 m. On September 20, 2012 RadMAP made the round trip from LBNL to the peak of Mount Diablo (1173 m altitude). Applying Eq. (1) and an average neutron absorption length, \(L\), used by Ziegler [10] of 148 g/cm², a Pfotzer curve predicted count rate for each altitude bin may be plotted for comparison to the observed rates. For the Pfotzer predicted count rates in Fig. 3, the measured mean rate (not adjusted for pressure) of 2.2 counts per second (CPS) at sea level (0 m) was used for \(I_1\). This effectively pins the red Pfotzer curve in Fig. 3 to the measured (blue data point) count rate at 0 m. Ziegler’s equation [10] for converting altitude to atmospheric pressure was also used to convert altitude measurements to an equivalent pressure or atmospheric depth in g/cm² for use in Eq. (1).

The predicted count rate, \(I_2\), is determined for each altitude bin given its atmospheric depth, \(x_2\), and the constant (altitude-independent) values for \(I_1\), \(x_1\), and \(L\). Fairly good agreement between the predicted and measured values was obtained in Fig. 3.

As the count rate increased with increasing altitude above, the opposite relationship is expected for count rates at increasing pressures (as altitude increases, pressure decreases and there is less attenuation of cosmic ray neutrons). The result for the count rates at atmospheric pressure values averaged over all runs (including stationary measurements taken at Building 88) is plotted in Fig. 4. A suppression of the count rate with increasing pressure occurred as predicted. The range in count rates measured from low to high pressure of 0.9 CPS (3 down to 2.1 CPS) corresponds to a significant suppression of 32%.

3.2. Pressure adjustment method

At a constant altitude, a wide range of pressures may be observed within a few hours time. A significant variation of count rates is observed for the stationary data; therefore, for this analysis the count rates are adjusted based on atmospheric pressure, rather than on altitude. The fit parameters from the combined pressure data for all datasets (Fig. 4) were used to determine the appropriate count adjustment. The fitted count rate \(C\) dependence on pressure \(P\) is

\[
C(P) = \exp(7.58 - 0.00670P[\text{mbar}])
\]

(2)

Confidence intervals for the fit parameters in Eq. (2) are \((7.58 \pm 0.08)\) and \((0.00670 \pm 0.00008)\) mbar⁻¹.

In this paper, the pressure adjustment is applied by adjusting every neutron count to an equivalent number of counts (or fraction of a count) given the measured atmospheric pressure at the time of detection. The reference point used is one count at standard atmospheric pressure (1013.25 mbar). With the correction applied, if a neutron is detected at a measured pressure of 1013.25 mbar, its adjusted value will remain one count. However, if the pressure measurement is lower than standard pressure, the adjusted count value or weight is a fraction of one count. The adjusted weight of one count at measured pressure \(x\) is set equal to the ratio of the expected count rates at standard pressure and at the measured pressure:

\[
\text{Adjusted Count Weight} = \frac{C_{\text{std}}}{C_{\text{meas}}} = \frac{C(1013.25)}{C(x)}
\]

(3)

The resulting pressure count rate histogram with all counts adjusted in this manner should be a flat distribution with the best fit line located at the standard pressure or sea level mean count rate (/1013.25 = 2.206 CPS).
as shown in Fig. 5. Once the pressure dependency is effectively removed, various weather conditions and other environmental variables may be studied for residual correlations that may exist. For the energy range of neutrons measured in this study (500 keV to 8 MeV), no significant residual correlations was observed for temperature as depicted in Fig. 6. There is a weak residual correlation observed with absolute humidity (Fig. 7), but more data and analysis are needed to understand whether this relationship has predictive value or arises in this particular dataset from accidental correlations with other hidden variables. Higher absolute humidity results in more hydrogen atoms in the air and therefore more softening of the cosmogenic neutron spectrum. Any real slight increase in count rate with absolute humidity could be due to a greater number of high-energy neutrons downscattering into the detectable region than the number of neutrons originally within the detectable region that are then downscattered below the detection threshold.

3.3. Influence of pressure adjustment on count rate distributions

In this section, we estimate the value of the pressure correction in predicting a fast neutron background count rate. For every run, count rates are determined for every minute of data acquisition and the rate is filled into a count rate frequency histogram. In the absence of systematic variability, the result would be a Poisson distribution of observed rates centered about the mean or expected value. The distributions are described by their mean and root mean square (RMS) error as done for the unadjusted and pressured adjusted distributions in Fig. 8 and 9, respectively. Upon initial inspection, it is clear the mean shifts down to the sea level equivalent rate and the RMS is smaller for the pressure adjusted distribution. A certain quantity of the error is due to statistical uncertainty but there is also a contribution from systematic error from environmental variables. Given the count rate mean, $\mu_{\text{rate}}$, and RMS, $\sigma_{\text{total}}$, the statistical and systematic error contributions may be determined. The pressure adjusted distribution is scaled for calculation so the mean matches that of the unadjusted data. The RMS is also adjusted by the same factor to give the properly scaled error. Table 1 shows the results for each quantity in the error calculation.

The total width of the unadjusted distribution is 0.2332 CPS which, after subtracting in quadrature the contribution from statistical uncertainty, gives a residual systematic uncertainty of 0.113 CPS. For

![Fig. 5. Pressure adjusted count rate histogram for all datasets with linear fit $y = (0.00001 \pm 0.00014)x + (2.2 \pm 0.1)$.](image5)

![Fig. 6. Pressure adjusted temperature count rate histogram. Equation for linear fit is $y = (0.0004 \pm 0.0001)x + (2.181 \pm 0.006)$.](image6)

![Fig. 7. Pressure adjusted absolute humidity count rate histogram. Equation for linear fit is $y = (0.00064 \pm 0.00003)x + (2.136 \pm 0.004)$.](image7)

![Fig. 8. Unadjusted distribution of count rates for all RadMAP runs combined.](image8)

![Fig. 9. Pressure adjusted distribution of count rates for all RadMAP runs combined.](image9)
the pressure adjusted distribution, the total and residual errors are 0.2186 CPS and 0.078 CPS, respectively. Thus, by applying the pressure adjustment to this data, a reduction of 31% in the systematic error is obtained. This narrowing of the distribution increases the understanding of the expected count rate and will result in greater sensitivity and specificity in detection of a source over background. Note that although the averaging time (here 60 s) affects the statistical contribution to the width of the distribution, the systematic contribution is largely insensitive to that value as long as it is small compared to the timescale of the relevant systematic variability.

4. Solar weather considerations and effects on neutron background count rate

A brief study was conducted to characterize the influence of solar weather on the measured fast neutron background. For this study, the geomagnetic activity $K_p$ index was used. The $K$ index is a metric that was introduced by Bartels in 1938 as a measure of geomagnetic field activity [12]. It was designed to measure the local magnetic activity for a specific observatory given its well-understood quiet day activity levels, diurnal fluctuations, and other longer term variations. The result is an index that characterizes the strength of a geomagnetic storm. The average planetary $K$ index, known as the $K_p$ index, ranges from 0 to 9 in increments of 1/3. NOAA’s National Geophysical Data Center regularly publishes the daily $K_p$ indices.

For analysis, the reported $K_p$ value is assigned to each detected neutron event to determine if there is a correlation between the geomagnetic field activity (as measured by the $K_p$ index) and the pressure-adjusted count rate. As expected, a suppression in the cosmic ray neutron count rate is observed with increasing magnetic field activity as primary galactic cosmic rays are deflected from entering the earth's atmosphere. Likewise, suppressed count rates are typically measured in ground-based neutron monitors with increasing $K_p$ index. For the RadMAP data, as shown in Fig. 10, a suppression of 2.5% in the rate is observed at a $K_p$ index of 5 ($K_p$ index of 5 is classified as a minor geomagnetic storm). This small suppression is not significant enough to make a rate adjustment on data given that statistical and systematic uncertainties are generally much greater than 2.5% (depending on the averaging time). However, it would still be important to be aware of significant solar events that may affect the measured background rate for any neutron counting experiment in the field.

5. Surrounding structures influence

Using available National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) coastal area lidar data [13], an algorithm was implemented to approximate sky-view factors (the total fraction of visible sky) for points along RadMAPs route. Fig. 11 shows one out of every five of the lidar data points that cover a portion of 14th Street in Oakland. Each USGS lidar point has latitude, longitude and elevation information associated with it.

The first step in the analysis was to write an algorithm to determine a two-dimensional open sky angle for each position of the detection system, similar to the angles determined manually by Iyengar et al. [2]. In calculating this two-dimensional angle, we consider only the plane transverse to the truck's direction of motion. In the algorithm, the lidar points must be "scanned" on either side of the truck to determine the height above the ground and the horizontal distance from the truck center. The horizontal distances are computed by determining the straight line distance between the truck's GPS coordinate and each of the lidar point coordinates. The height of each lidar point is simply determined by subtracting the truck's GPS elevation value from the lidar elevation since the lidar elevations are also relative to sea level. In this study, only points at or above the truck's elevation are considered in the calculations so a maximum angle of 180° may be obtained.

This simplified two-dimensional open sky angle does not accurately represent the more complex environment encountered by RadMAP. For example, the two-dimensional angle method yields a result of 180° when the vehicle is located in the center of an intersection. The calculation does not consider the obstruction to the open sky provided by the buildings located on the corners of the intersection. The sky-view factor used in our study gives a more accurate representation of the open sky. The sky-view factor is used as the basis for an alternative method to shading an image as a relief visualization technique in digital elevation model (DEM) generation. Zakšek et al. computes the sky-view
Further investigation revealed that most of the events in this bin came from a particular location in downtown San Francisco. At the time of the USGS lidar dataset acquisition, this location contained densely packed tall buildings and overhead structures, so the low SVF was correctly calculated from the lidar data. However, these buildings and structures were demolished prior to the RadMAP data runs, so that the true SVF at the time of neutron data acquisition was much higher. Due to this discovery and the uncertainty at lower sky-view factors, we fit to values greater than 0.15 in Fig. 14.

The anomaly discovered at the downtown San Francisco location with unrepresentative lidar data reinforces the predictive value of the SVF, but clearly shows the disadvantage of a temporal gap between the lidar data and neutron data acquisition, which is inherent in the use of independent USGS lidar data. A preferred approach would be to use onboard lidar to determine the SVF at the same time as neutron data acquisition. Although RadMAP does collect lidar data, its field of view does not include overhead angles, so further hardware additions or modifications are needed in order to test this approach using RadMAP.

Between the resulting pressure adjusted count rate of 2.25 CPS at a sky-view factor of 1 and a rate of 0.95 CPS at a sky-view factor of 0.2 a suppression of 58% in the rate was observed. The result obtained tells
us the shielding effect of buildings in urban environments is dominant over any additional production in building materials from spallation processes. The suppression at low sky-view factors is the greatest residual effect (after pressure adjustment) studied and represents a significant influence on the neutron background count rate. For comparison, the pressure effect yielded a suppression of about 32% from 970 mbar to 1030 mbar. In urban area search applications, an adjustment could be made given the sky-view factor in addition to the pressure to increase detectability of sources over background.

6. Conclusions

The fast neutron background characterization studies in this paper both complement and enhance ongoing and previously conducted research in this field. Data obtained by the organic liquid scintillator cell system on RadMAP exhibited good agreement with observations originally made by Piotzer on background event rate at various altitudes. Pressure adjustments applied to detected events effectively reduce systematic error contributions to the overall background count rate distribution. The reduction in background uncertainty may increase the detectability of neutron emitting sources, a critical goal for SNM detection. Results also complement and extend current research on the suppression of the fast neutron count rate in urban areas. This study employed a novel method and added a layer of complexity to previously published research by using urban lidar data and the calculation of the sky-view factor to characterize the magnitude of background suppression.

It is important to note that the results in this paper, dealing with organic liquid scintillators detecting cosmic ray neutrons above a 500 keV energy threshold, may considerably differ for systems that detect the entire neutron spectrum such as those used in Radiation Portal Monitors (RPMs). RPMs detect both the cosmic ray neutron spectrum and the downscattered spectrum without preserving incident neutron energy information. In the urban environment, fast neutrons may be downscattered to lower energies and the presence of surrounding structures will likely yield a different result. Nonetheless, this paper provides a comprehensive characterization of the fast neutron background and employs methods that may be applied to various detection scenarios and systems, both mobile and stationary. The end-state of such an in-depth characterization of the neutron background is the improved detectability of neutron-emitting material and SNM for systems employing similar technologies.
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