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Abstract

This study investigated the effects of cyclic electrolytic solutions injection in clay-containing oil reservoirs. The analysis allowed to obtain reservoir properties depending on ionic composition of the injected water and clay-content of reservoir. It has been shown that decrease of salinity of the injected agent leads to the transformation of the structure of the porous medium: share of «thin» pores increases, whereas the share of «thick» pores reduces, resulting in reduced porosity. Analytical relative permeabilities depending on the salt concentration and ion composition of injected fluid have been obtained on the basis of micromechanical description of fluid flow process in frame of the lattice model of porous medium. The modeling of two-phase Newtonian fluids flow in clay-containing porous medium has been carried out. The influence of parameters of porous medium, injected saline fluid also injection frequency on efficiency of oil displacement has been analyzed.
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Nomenclature

- $R_h$ – the hydration rate of the mineral in a dilute electrolyte solution
- $C_e$ – ion concentration in fresh water
- $C_{Me}^0$ – cation exchange capacity of clays
- $C_{Me}$ – concentration of electrolytic solution in fluid,
- $C_{Me2}$ – concentration of substituting cations
- $I_e$ – ionic strength of the electrolyte
- $A$ – characteristic constant of the solvent
- $K_{ex}$ – the equilibrium constant of the cation exchange reaction
- $K_i$ – clayiness index
- $m_i$ – initial porosity
- $f(r)$ – porometrical function
- $S_a$ – share of adsorbed water in rock
- $F(S,C_{Me})$ – Buckley-Leverett function
- $q$ – unit discharge
- $P_a(S,C_{Me})$ – capillary pressure function
- $M_f(S,C_{Me})$ – phase mobility
- $R_e$ – radius of the reservoir external boundary
- $r_w$ – well radius
- $D'$ – coefficient taking into account diffusion and hydrodynamic dispersion

Introduction

Interest in the tertiary method of oil field development, called LSW (Low Salinity Waterflooding) [1], grows in recent years. This method implies obtaining additional oil by fresh water injection into the reservoir. In some experiments on different cores [1-3] an increase of displacement efficiency has been recorded. Among the conditions under which described effect occurs:

- A large share of clay minerals in the rock;
- Produced water contains divalent cations Ca$^{2+}$ or Mg$^{2+}$;
- Injected water has a reduced concentration of salts in comparison with the formation water.

It is known that hydrochemical imbalance in the «solution-rock» system causes change in clay mineral volume under the influence of osmotic and ion exchange processes, which significantly affects the properties of the clay-containing reservoirs. In addition, changing the hydrochemical regime of reservoir may lead to change in the type of clay mineral, entailing the destruction of molecular structure of clay and its disaggregation [4]. Besides the salt concentration in the water, hydration of clay minerals is also dependent on the composition of the dissolved salts.

Analysis of osmotic and ion exchange processes at the contact of clay mineral and electrolyte solution

The swelling value and swelling nature of clays are determined by several factors, majority of them are mineral composition of injected fluid and the structure and composition of clay minerals. Clay minerals with a hard crystal structure (e.g. kaolinite) have the least swelling value, minerals with unsteady crystal structure (e.g. montmorillonite) united by a common name smectites [5] have the largest swelling value. Interaction with fresh water in smectites causes the osmotic swelling of clays caused by the difference in salt concentrations in connate water in clays and injected water.
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It’s important to take into consideration that at the interface between electrolyte solution and clay mineral osmotic process occurs simultaneously with ion exchange reaction. Studies show [6] that the hydration rate is dependent not only on the type of minerals and concentration disparity between mineral and electrolyte, but also on the ionic composition of the electrolyte solution and connate water of clays. Experimental investigations in [6] give the following dependence

$$R'(C_{Me2}) = \frac{R_C}{C_{Me2} - C_0} \left( \frac{C_{Me2}}{C_{Me2}} - 1 \right)$$

(1.1)

Where $R_C$ – the hydration rate of the mineral in a dilute electrolyte solution, $C_0$ – ion concentration in fresh water.

In minerals with a hard crystal structure a chemical adsorption of metal cations of injected water occurs on the mineral surface. It results in substitution of potential-cations by other cations came from injected agent. As a result, an increase in zeta-potential on the surface of smectites cation-exchange reactions lead it to swelling. Consequently, besides the growth of the zeta-potential on the surface of smectites cation-exchange reactions lead it to swelling.

When substituting of the potential-cations in smectite the water molecules penetrate to intermolecular layers of clays as hydration water of cations. Consequently, besides the growth of the zeta-potential on the surface of smectites cation-exchange reactions lead it to swelling. The concentration of substituting cations, which were included in the connate water of clays from the solution, is determined by the following empirical formula. $C_{Me2}$ is the cation exchange capacity of clays in ion exchange reaction [11].

$$C_{Me2} = C_{Me1} \left( \frac{C_{Me1}}{C_{Me2}} \right) \frac{1}{10K_e} \frac{1}{\pi^{1/2} \sigma}$$

(1.2)

The value $C_{Me1}$ is the cation exchange capacity of clays, the most important characteristic of clays in exchange reactions, denominated in mole or milligram-equivalent per 1 g of clay. The value $C_{Me1}$ is the quantity of exchangeable cations, which are equivalent of protons in exchange reactions and capable to react with the electrolyte solution.

$$L_C = \frac{1}{2} \sum C_{Me1} z_i \text{ – ionic strength of the electrolyte; } A \text{ – characteristic constant of the solvent, the temperature-dependent [9] (for water } A \approx 0.5 \text{); } K_e \text{ – the equilibrium constant of the cation exchange reaction; } B \text{ – the value which does not depend on the composition of the solid solution, but depends on the properties of the solution; } R \text{ – universal gas constant. For most montmorillonite clays } C_{Me1} \text{ is equal to } 1.0 \pm 0.1 \text{ mg‐eq/g} \text{[10]. The equilibrium constant is determined by the cation exchange capacity of clays in ion exchange reaction [11].}

Figure 1 shows the concentration of substituting cations depending on type of the mineral, its composition and composition of injected solution. In [11] the equilibrium constants $K_e$ used in the calculations have been defined for certain types of clays. Initial parameters: $C_{Me1} = 1 \text{ mg‐eq/g, } C_{Me0} = 10 \text{ mg/g.}$

Compensation of the free energy of the ion occurs by the fact that water molecules make a hydrate shell around the ion. Change of the volume of clay mineral occurs due to the substitution of hydrated ions with different hydration shell. Table 1 shows some of masses and radii of ions in the hydrated state and the Free State [12] Table 1.

By the difference of the radii of hydrated ions $\Delta r_i = r_{i2} - r_{i1}$ we can assess increase or decrease of clay volume. In case $\Delta r_i > 0$, an increase of mineral volume occurs. If $\Delta r_i < 0$ mineral volume decreases.

Table 1: The ion radii in the free and hydrated state.

| Ion       | Li+ | Na+ | K+ | Rb+ | Cs+ | NH4+ | F- | Cl- |
|-----------|-----|-----|----|-----|-----|------|----|-----|
| Radius of hydrated ion, nm | 0.379 | 0.339 | 0.285 | 0.248 | 0.255 | 0.243 | 0.287 | 0.228 |

Influence of ionic composition of injected agent on the structure of the porous medium

It is assumed that the clay component is distributed evenly over the entire pore space surface. The authors analyzed the influence of composition of the electrolyte solution, composition and quantity of clay component in rock on the porous medium structure, resulting in a value of the mean radius of the capillary, which takes into account both osmotic and ion exchange processes. Porometrical function and porosity of clay-containing rocks are determined by the mean radius as follows

$$f(r(C_{Me2})) = \frac{1}{r(C_{Me2})} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \exp \left( -\frac{(\ln r(C_{Me2}) - \beta)^2}{2\alpha^2} \right)$$

(2.1)

$$m(C_{Me2}) = \left[ \frac{\pi z^2}{2} \int_0^r f(r(C_{Me2}))dr \right]^{1/2}$$

(2.2)

Expression (2.1) defines the porometrical function – lognormal probability density function of pore radius – depending on the salt concentration and composition of the liquid being injected. Equation (2.2) determines the porosity of the clay-containing rock depending on the salt concentration and composition of the fluid.

Figure 2 shows porosity depending on the salinity of water and clayiness index.

Figure 3 shows $m(C)$ dependence, calculated using porometrical function (2.2) and the following parameters: $K_e$=5%, initial porosity of the core $m_0$=0.25, radiiuses of hydrated ion are shown in Table 1.

Calculated and analytical results demonstrate that osmotic processes lead to swelling of clays, but ion exchange processes may also lead to clay contraction in reservoir. Calculations show that decrease of porosity can reach 70-80% when osmotic processes take place. Ion exchange processes lead to a change in porosity in the range of 10-15%.

Analysis of the influence of injected agent mineralization on relative permeabilities

Consider the displacement of non-wetting liquid by wetting...
Calculations indicate that in case of osmotic processes, when reducing the salt concentration, oil mobility rises and water mobility decreases. In case of the influence of ion exchange processes, an insignificant decrease in permeability to water and growth of permeability to oil is observed.

The model of two-phase fluid flow in porous medium considering capillary pressure and salinity of the aqueous phase

The model of two-phase incompressible fluids flow in porous medium considering capillary pressure is determined by Rapoport-Lease equation [14, 15]. The choice of this model is due to the fact that when swelling of clays, reservoir gets more fine-pored that requires consideration of capillary forces.

\[
\frac{\partial P_k}{\partial t} + \frac{\rho_k}{\rho} \left( \frac{\partial P}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_k \right) = 0
\]

where \( P_k \) is the pressure of phase \( k \) (oil or water), \( \rho_k \) is the density of phase \( k \), \( \mathbf{u}_k \) is the velocity of phase \( k \), and \( P \) is the pressure of the porous medium.

The model of two-phase fluid flow in porous medium considering capillary pressure and salinity of the aqueous phase is described by the following equation:

\[
P_k - P_j = \frac{\mu_j}{\rho_j \ln \frac{r_{ij}}{r_{ij}}}
\]

where \( P_k \) and \( P_j \) are the pressures of phases \( k \) and \( j \), respectively, \( \mu_j \) is the dynamic viscosity of phase \( j \), \( \rho_j \) is the density of phase \( j \), and \( r_{ij} \) is the correlation radius (\( r^* = 0.85 \pm 0.05 \) for three-dimensional lattice).

Figure 4 shows the behavior of the relative permeabilities when changing of salt concentration of electrolyte. In case of osmotic swelling of clays, the same parameters as for determining porosity of the clay-containing rock with \( K_s = 9\% \) were used.

Figure 5 shows the effect of ion exchange processes on the relative permeabilities. Calculations were carried out using a concentration of salts in the injected agent \( C_{Me} = 0.5 \text{ mol/l} \).
where \( S \) – share of adsorbed water in rock, \( P(S, C_{Me2}) \) – Buckley-Leverett function, \( q \) – unit discharge, \( P(S, C_{Me2}) \) – capillary pressure function, \( M(S, C_{Me2}) \) – phase mobility, \( R_w \) – radius of the reservoir external boundary, \( r_w \) – well radius.

Salt transfer in fluid flow is described by diffusion-convective equation

\[
\frac{\partial m(C_{Me2})}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial w(C_{Me2})}{\partial t} = D^* \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \left( \rho \frac{\partial C_{Me2}}{\partial p} \right) - R(C_{Me2})
\]

where \( w \) – the filtration rate of the aqueous phase, \( D^* \) – coefficient taking into account diffusion and hydrodynamic dispersion.

As a result, we obtain a system of two differential equations for \( C_{Me2} \) and \( S \). The following parameters are set for the calculations: pressure drop – 15 MPa; initial porosity – 0.2; absolute permeability \( 5 \times 10^{-13} \text{m}^2 \); viscosity of water – 0.6 mPa.s; oil viscosity – 5 mPa.s. It’s assumed that reservoir contains bentonite, \( K_f=5\% \); formation water contains ions \( K^+ \), \( C_{Me} \) – 0.8 mol/l. Injected water contains \( Na^+ \), \( C_{Me}=0.8 \text{ mol/l} \). Reservoir size: formation thickness – 10 m, external boundary of reservoir – 500 m, well radius – 0.05 m.

Initial conditions: \( S(p,0)=0.2 \); \( C_{Me2}(p,0)=C_C \). Boundary conditions: \( S(R_w,t)=0.8 \); \( \partial S(R_w,t)/\partial R_w=0 \); \( \partial C_{Me2}(R_w,t)/\partial R_w=0 \); \( C_{Me2}(R_w,t)=10^{-2}C_C \) in case of low salinity water injection; \( C_{Me2}(R_w,t)=C_C \) in case of electrolytic solution injection. Figure 6 shows oil recovery depending on development time.

Results demonstrate that electrolytic solution injection increases oil recovery by 6% in comparison with formation water injection, whilst low salinity water injection increases oil recovery by 15%. However, it’s been shown in [4] that fresh or low salinity water injection leads to destruction of clay in reservoir which means that absolute permeability drops while injecting that solutions. As high salinity electrolytic solutions cause swelling of clays without destruction, high salinity electrolytic solutions is recommended as displacing agent.

Results shown on Figure 6 are confirmed by experimental data [1, 2, 3].

**Modeling of cyclic electrolytic solutions injection in clay-containing oil reservoirs**

Let’s consider the influence of injection frequency of electrolytic solutions on oil recovery. The purpose of this consideration is possibility to save salts.

\[
C(t, r, T) = C_{Me2} + \frac{C_{Me2} - C_{Me}}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{4}{\pi n} \sin \left( \frac{2\pi n}{T - t} \right) \cos \left( \frac{2\pi n}{T - t} \right)
\]

Calculations are shown on Figure 8.

It’s shown that cyclic injection of electrolytic solutions with highly active metal ions (i.e. LiCl) allows to save 50% of salts and increases oil recovery by 8.5% (i.e. LiCl) and 7% (i.e. NaCl) in comparison with formation water injection.

**Conclusion**

The analysis of osmotic and ion exchange processes in clay-containing porous medium allowed to determine the reasons for the growth of oil recovery when changing the hydrochemical regime of reservoir. It is shown that osmotic process at the interface between rock and displacing agent lead to an increase in relative permeability to oil and decrease in relative permeability to water. The growth in relative permeability to water and its reduction to oil is caused by ion exchange...
processes leading to clay contraction. This is why swelling of clay leads to enhanced oil recovery – relative permeability to oil increases when injecting electrolytic solutions with highly active metal ions.

Due to the osmotic swelling of clays porosity may decrease three times, whereas due to the ion-exchange process change of porosity is about 10%. This is also the reason why oil recovery increases. When porosity of reservoir decreases, the reservoir works like a sponge, squeezing out oil from reservoir.

Calculations show that electrolytic solutions injection increases oil recovery up to 10% in comparison with formation water injection. Cyclic injection of electrolytic solutions with highly active metal ions (i.e. LiCl) allows to save 50% of salts and increases oil recovery by 8.5% (i.e. LiCl) and 7% (i.e. NaCl) in comparison with formation water injection. The created model allows evaluating the influence of injected agent composition on technological performance of clay-containing reservoirs. Calculated results are qualitatively matched with experimental data [1,2,3].
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