Edge states in 2D lattices with hopping anisotropy and Chebyshev polynomials
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Analytic technique based on Chebyshev polynomials is developed for studying two-dimensional lattice ribbons with hopping anisotropy. In particular, the tight-binding models on square and triangle lattice ribbons are investigated with anisotropic nearest neighbouring hoppings. For special values of hopping parameters the square lattice becomes topologically equivalent to a honeycomb one either with zigzag or armchair edges. In those cases as well as for triangle lattices we perform the exact analytic diagonalization of tight-binding Hamiltonians in terms of Chebyshev polynomials. Deep inside the edge state subband the wave functions exhibit exponential spatial damping which turns into power-law damping at edge-bulk transition point. It is shown that strong hopping anisotropy crashes down edge states, and the corresponding critical conditions are found.

I. INTRODUCTION

The concept of edge states dates back to Tamm [1] who pointed out in 1932 that the energy levels of a crystal can give birth to ”surface states” where electrons are localized along the crystal surface. Subsequent studies of the issue were carried out by different authors [2-5] till late 1930’s.

The physics of edge states acquired new life in last decades due to the progress in fabrication of low-dimensional electron structures and novel materials. Current carrying edge states play decisive role in the formation of formation of [6-8] and fractional [9-11] quantum Hall states observed in GaAs heterostructures, oxides heterostructures [12-14] and in graphene [15-17]. Interest in physics of edge states has been considerably heated up by the discovery of topological insulators [18,19]. These are systems with insulating bulk and topologically protected conducting edge states (see Ref. [20] for recent review). One can exemplify other physical systems e.g. optical lattices [21] and photonic crystals [22] where the edge states do emerge.

Edge states were usually studied in 2D lattice electron systems and within the framework of tight-binding models [6,23-25], though the Dirac equation approaches have been also carried out [26,27] (see Ref. [28-30] for more mathematical treatment).

After seminal theoretical papers by Fujita et al. [31] it became clear that edges have strong impact on the low-energy electronic structure and electronic transport properties of nanometer-sized graphene ribbons [31,34]. Because edge states substantially determine infrared transport and magnetic properties of graphene nanoribbons, considerable efforts were devoted during the last decade to studying the effect of edges in graphitic nanomaterials (see Ref. [35] for review).

Synthesis of two-dimensional boron nanoribbons with triangular crystal structure has been reported recently [36]. Theoretical estimates show that monolayers of a boron built up of triangular and hexagonal structural elements are energetically more stable than the flat triangular sheets [37]. Therefore general perception of a monolayer boron sheet is that it occurs as a buckled sheet with triangular and hexagonal components. As a result electronic band structure of boron nanoribbons with mixed structure has become the subject of subsequent theoretical and numerical analysis [38] while the edge states in pure triangular ribbons have not been studied in details.

In this paper we consider tight-binding models of free electrons living on two-dimensional square and triangular lattice ribbons. In the case of square-lattice ribbon electron delocalization process is characterized by four different hopping parameters $t_u, t_d, t_l, t_r$, while in the case of triangular-lattice ribbon – by three different hopping parameters $t_1, t_2, t_3$ parameterising hoppings along the three linear directions on the triangular lattice.

In Section 2 we study the square-lattice ribbon. For the particular regimes of hopping parameters the Hamiltonian under consideration is reduced to that of an electron on a honeycomb ribbon with either zigzag or armchair edges. For these physically important sets of hopping amplitudes we solve the eigenvalue problem exactly and express the solutions in terms of Chebyshev polynomials. Prior attention is paid to the occurrence of edge states and the corresponding necessary conditions on hopping parameters are found.

Results are summarized in Section 4. Calculational details are collected in Appendix.
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II. ANISOTROPIC SQUARE RIBBON

In this Section we consider electrons on a square lattice shown in Fig. 1 with four different hopping amplitudes \( t_u, t_d, t_l, t_r \). The lattice is finite in \( x \)-direction comprising of \( N \) one-dimensional chains, and infinite in \( y \)-direction. In response to the particular hopping anisotropy the lattice is considered as consisting of two Bravais sublattices labeled by \( \mu = \bullet, \circ \). Integers \( 1 \leq n \leq N \) and \(-\infty < m < +\infty\) parameterize the unit cell indicated by dashed area in Fig. 1.

The tight-binding Hamiltonian appears as

\[
H = t_u \sum_{m=1}^{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left[ c_{\bullet}^\dagger(n, m)c_{\bullet}(n, m) + \text{h.c.} \right] + \\
+ t_d \sum_{m=1}^{N} \sum_{n=-1}^{N-1} \left[ c_{\bullet}^\dagger(n, m)c_{\bullet}(n, m) + \text{h.c.} \right] + \\
+ t_r \sum_{m=1}^{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left[ c_{\bullet}^\dagger(n + 1, m)c_{\bullet}(n, m) + \text{h.c.} \right] + \\
+ t_l \sum_{m=1}^{N} \sum_{n=2}^{N} \left[ c_{\bullet}^\dagger(n - 1, m - 1)c_{\bullet}(n, m) + \text{h.c.} \right] 
\]

(1)

where \( c_{\bullet}^\dagger(n, m) \) and \( c_{\bullet}(n, m) \) are electron creation and annihilation operators.

Note that the terms with \( n = N \) and \( n = 1 \) are absent in third and fourth terms of (1) respectively. This reflects the absence of hoppings away beyond the boundaries.

Separation between the nearest sites is \( a \), and the lattice is periodic in \( y \)-direction with the period \( 2a \), hence we employ the Fourier transform in \( y \)-direction

\[
c_{\mu}(n, m) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi/a}} \int_{BZ} e^{+ik(2a)m} c_{\mu, n}(k) dk 
\]

(2)

where the length of the Brillouin zone is \( 2\pi/(2a) = \pi/a \).

Introducing \( \psi_{\mu} = (c_{\mu 1}, \ldots, c_{\mu N}) \) and \( \Psi = (\psi_\bullet, \psi_\circ) \) we rewrite the Hamiltonian (1) as

\[
H = \int \Psi^\dagger(k) \mathcal{H}(k) \Psi(k) dk
\]

(3)

\[
\mathcal{H} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & T \\
T^\dagger & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(4)

where

\[
T = t_u + t_d e^{+2ika} + t_r \beta \dagger + t_l e^{+2ika} \beta.
\]

Here \( \beta \) is the \( N \times N \) matrix

\[
\beta = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(6)

The eigenvalue equation for \( \mathcal{H} \) leads to the system of entangled equation

\[
(t_u + t_d e^{+2ika} + t_r \beta \dagger + t_l e^{+2ika} \beta) \psi_\bullet = E \psi_\circ, \quad (7a)
\]

\[
(t_u + t_d e^{-2ika} + t_r \beta + t_l e^{-2ika} \beta \dagger) \psi_\circ = E \psi_\bullet. \quad (7b)
\]

We consider three cases when this entanglement becomes soluble.

\( \bigstar \) Instead of \( \mathcal{H} \Psi = E \Psi \) one may consider \( \mathcal{H}^2 \Psi = E^2 \Psi \) where the entanglement is absent. However, the linear combination of \( \beta \) and \( \beta \dagger \) involved in (7) is a tri-diagonal matrix. Consequently, the matrices appearing in \( \mathcal{H}^2 \) are penta-diagonal and lead to five-term recurrence relations for the components of \( \psi_\bullet \) and \( \psi_\circ \). Taking \( t_l = 0 \) the penta-diagonal form of \( \mathcal{H}^2 \) turns into tri-diagonal one and the equation \( \mathcal{H}^2 \Psi = E^2 \Psi \) gives out three-term recurrence relation which appears soluble in terms of Chebyshev polynomials. Switching off the \( t_l \)-hoppings in Fig. 1 the lattice turns into the one shown in the left panel of Fig. 2 which is topologically equivalent to a honeycomb ribbon with zigzag edges.

\( \bigstar \) Taking \( t_l = t_r \) we find \( [T^\dagger, T] = 0 \) i.e. the two matrices in the left hand sides of (7a) and (7b) can be diagonalized simultaneously and we come to three-term recurrence relation soluble in terms of Chebyshev polynomials. This case can be further reduced to a honeycomb with armchair edges by taking \( t_d = 0 \) as shown in the right panel of Fig. 2.

\( \bigstar \) We study zero modes \( (E = 0) \) in the anisotropic square lattice. In that case the system (7) trivially decouples into two independent equations each of three-term recurrence form.

We consider these three options separately in the following subsections.
where \( \tilde{\rho} \) appears as (see Appendix)

\[
(7) \text{ takes the form to a honeycomb with zigzag edges. The eigenvalue system (7) takes the form}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
(t_{l} = t_{r} \text{ and putting } t_{d} = 0 \text{ the initial ribbon turns into an armchair edged honeycomb ribbon (right).})
\end{align*}
\]

A. Zigzag honeycomb \((t_{l} = 0)\)

For \( t_{l} = 0 \) the square ribbon is topologically equivalent to a honeycomb with zigzag edges. The eigenvalue system (7) takes the form

\[
(\xi + \beta^* \psi_0 = \omega \psi_0, \quad (8a))
\]

\[
(\xi^* + \beta) \psi_0 = \omega \psi_0. \quad (8b)
\]

where \( \xi = (t_{u} + t_{d} e^{+2i\kappa \rho})/t_{r} \) and \( \omega = E/t_{r} \).

Squared system appears as

\[
(\omega^2 - |\xi|^2 - \beta^* \beta - \xi \beta - \xi^* \beta^*) \psi_0 = 0 \quad (9a)
\]

\[
(\omega^2 - |\xi|^2 - \beta \beta^* - \xi \beta - \xi^* \beta^*) \psi_0 = 0 \quad (9b)
\]

and the two equations can be solved independently.

In the matrix form these appear as

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\tilde{w} & -\xi & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
-\xi^* & w & -\xi & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\xi^* & w & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & w & -\xi \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -\xi^* & w
\end{pmatrix} \psi_0 = 0 \quad (10a)
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
w & -\xi & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
-\xi^* & w & -\xi & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\xi^* & w & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & w & -\xi \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -\xi^* & w
\end{pmatrix} \psi_0 = 0 \quad (10b)
\]

where \( \tilde{w} = \omega^2 - |\xi|^2 \) and \( w = \omega^2 - |\xi|^2 - 1 \).

Secular equation determining the spectrum \( \omega_1, \ldots, \omega_N \) appears as (see Appendix)

\[
U_N \left( \frac{w}{2|\xi|} \right) + \frac{1}{|\xi|} U_{N-1} \left( \frac{w}{2|\xi|} \right) = 0 \quad (11)
\]

where \( U_n \) is the Chebyshev polynomials of second kind which are set by the recurrence relation \( U_n(x) = 2xU_{n-1}(x) - U_{n-2}(x) \) with \( U_0 = 1 \) and \( U_{-1} = 0 \).

Since the quantities \( t_{u}, t_{d}, t_{r}, k \) are all combined in \( \xi \) and \( \omega \), it is reasonable to present the properties of the system in terms of these two parameters.

Fig. 3 depicts \( \omega_1, \ldots, \omega_N \) versus \( |\xi| \) for \( N = 5 \) and \( N = 13 \).

Employing the technique described in Appendix we solve (10a) and (10b) separately and obtain

\[
\psi_{n} = e^{-i(n-1)\theta} \left( U_{n-1} + \frac{1}{|\xi|} U_{n-2} \right) \psi_{0} \quad (12a)
\]

\[
\psi_{n} = e^{+i(N-n)\theta} \left( U_{N-n} + \frac{1}{|\xi|} U_{N-n-1} \right) \psi_{N} \quad (12b)
\]

where \( \theta = \arg(\xi) \) and \( U_{n} \equiv U_{n}(\frac{w}{2|\xi|}) \).

Expressions (12) are obtained by solving the homogeneous equations (10) and therefore comprise free constants \( \psi_{0} \) and \( \psi_{N} \). Equations (8) interrelate them as

\[
e^{+iN\theta} \psi_{0} + \omega U_{N} \psi_{N} = 0 \quad (13)
\]

and the remnant free one is fixed by normalization.

We show that the states located within the shaded area in Fig. 3 are bulk states, and the ones left beyond are edge states.

\[
\begin{align*}
\omega \quad \omega \\
1 \quad 1 \\
\end{align*}
\]

FIG. 3: Dispersion \( \omega(|\xi|) \) for \( N = 5 \) (top left) and \( N = 13 \) (top right). Only the positive subbands are shown. Curves across the energy bands represent the ellipse set by (18). Lower panel shows the edge subband in more details.

1. Bulk states

Shaded area shown in Fig. 3 is bounded from three sides by \( \omega = |\xi| \pm 1 \) and \( \omega = 1 - |\xi| \), which imply that in
the interior of this area we have
\[ -1 < \frac{\omega^2 - |\xi|^2 - 1}{2|\xi|} \leq 1. \] (14)

Denoting \( \frac{\omega^2 - |\xi|^2 - 1}{2|\xi|} = \cos \nu \) we use the relation
\[ U_n(\cos \nu) = \frac{\sin[(n + 1)v]}{\sin v}. \] (15)

This allows to write the eigenstates (12) as
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\psi_{on}}{\psi_{o1}} & = \frac{\sin[nv]}{\sin v} + \frac{\sin[(n - 1)v]}{|\xi|\sin v} \\
\frac{\psi_{sn}}{\psi_{sN}} & = \frac{\sin[(N - n + 1)v]}{\sin v} + \frac{\sin[(N - n)v]}{|\xi|\sin v}
\end{align*}
\] (16a, 16b)

where from the oscillating behaviour with respect to \( n \) is evident. Consequently, none of the states represented by the interior of shaded area can be localized at boundaries \( (n = 1 \text{ and } n = N) \). These are all bulk states.

Differentiating (11) we find
\[
\frac{d\psi}{d|\xi|} = \frac{\omega}{|\xi|} \frac{N \omega^2 + (N + 2)|\xi|^2 - N}{(2N + 1)\omega^2 + |\xi|^2 - 1} \] (17)

where we used \((x^2 - 1)U'_n(x) = n(xU_n(x) - (n + 1)U_{n-1}(x))\) and \(U_{n+1}(x) = 2xU'_n(x) - U_{n-1}(x)\) together with (11).

From (17) it follows that the extrema of subbands (numerator vanishes) are located along the ellipse set by
\[
\omega^2 + \frac{N + 2}{N} |\xi|^2 = 1. \] (18)

Alongside with the extrema there is an extra point (indicated in bold) where the ellipse intersects the energy bands. As shown in the next subsection this represents the edge-bulk transition points, and the subbands located beyond the shaded area are edge states.

2. **Edge states**

The only energy band left beyond the shaded area is the one shown in Fig. 3. In this case we have
\[ \text{chu} = \frac{\omega^2 - |\xi|^2 - 1}{2|\xi|} \leq -1. \] (19)

Taking \( v = iu \) in (15) we obtain
\[ U_n(\text{chu}) = \frac{\text{sh}[(n + 1)u]}{\text{sh} u}. \] (20)

Using (20) in secular equation (11) we find
\[ |\xi| = \frac{\text{sh}(Nu)}{\text{sh}(N + 1)u}. \] (21)

which substituted into (19) leads to
\[
\omega^2 = \frac{\text{sh}^2(Nu)}{\text{sh}^2[(N + 1)u]} - \frac{2\text{chu} \text{sh}(Nu)}{\text{sh}(N + 1)u} + 1. \] (22)

Expressions (21) and (22) set the function \( \omega(|\xi|) \) parametrically via \( 0 \leq u < \infty \).

Employing (20) and (21) in (12) we obtain
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\psi_{on}}{\psi_{o1}} & = e^{-i(n - 1)v} \frac{\text{sh}[(N - n + 1)u]}{\text{sh}(Nu)} \\
\frac{\psi_{sn}}{\psi_{sN}} & = e^{i(N - n)v} \frac{\text{sh}(Nu)}{\text{sh}(Nu)}
\end{align*}
\] (23a, 23b)

These are depicted in Fig. 4.

![Fig. 4: Moduli of the wave functions](image)

**FIG. 4:** Moduli of the wave functions \( \frac{|\psi_{sn}|}{|\psi_{o1}|} \) (left) and \( \frac{|\psi_{sn}|}{|\psi_{sN}|} \) (right) versus \( n \) for \( N = 30 \) and \( u = 1.0, 0.12, 0.01 \).

From (20) and (22) we find \( \omega^2 U_N^2 = 1 \). Then (13) gives
\[
|\psi|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{N} |\psi_{on}|^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{N} |\psi_{sn}|^2 = \frac{\text{sh}[(2N + 1)u] - (2N + 1)\text{shu}}{2\text{sh} \text{sh}^2(Nu)} |\psi_{o1}|^2 \] (24)

where from we fix the value of \( |\psi_{o1}| \) so that \( |\Psi| = 1 \).

Taking \( u = 0 \) in (21) and (22) we find
\[
|\xi|_{cr} = \frac{N}{N + 1} \] (25a)

\[
|\omega|_{cr} = \frac{1}{N + 1} \] (25b)

which represents the edge-bulk transition point indicated in bold in Fig. 3.

So far we discussed the properties with respect to \( |\xi| \), while the physical variable is the momentum \( k \). Varying \( k \) within the Brillouin zone the quantity \( |\xi| \) varies in the interval
\[
\frac{|t_u - t_d|}{t_r} \leq |\xi| \leq \frac{t_u + t_d}{t_r}. \] (26)

Therefore, occurrence of edge states depends on the values of \( t_u, t_d, t_r \) as follows.
• For $|t_u - t_d| > t_r |\xi|_{cr}$ edge states never emerge.
• For $t_u + t_d < t_r |\xi|_{cr}$ edge states do emerge but never turn into bulk states.
• For $|t_u - t_d| \leq t_r |\xi|_{cr} \leq t_u + t_d$ edge states do emerge and the system exhibits the edge-bulk transition.

B. Left-right isotropic case ($t_l = t_r$)

In this case we take advantage of $[T^\dagger, T] = 0$, hence the two matrices can be diagonalized simultaneously. We thus avoid the "square up" trick, i.e. are faced with three-term recurrence relation which is soluble in terms of same polynomials.

Introduce $\psi_e = e^{-\frac{ika}{2}}G\phi_e$ and $\psi_o = e^{\frac{ika}{2}}G\phi_o$ where the matrix $G$ is given by

$$G = \text{diag}(e^{-ika}, e^{-2ika}, \ldots, e^{-Nika})$$

(27)

Using $G^\dagger \beta G = e^{-ika} \beta$ we rewrite (7) as

$$(t_r[\beta + \beta^\dagger] + t_u e^{-ika} + t_d e^{+ika})\phi_e = E\phi_o,$$

(28a)

$$(t_r[\beta + \beta^\dagger] + t_u e^{+ika} + t_d e^{-ika})\phi_o = E\phi_e.$$  

(28b)

i.e. we can employ the eigenstates of $\beta + \beta^\dagger$. These are

$$(\beta + \beta^\dagger)f_j = 2\cos \frac{\pi j}{N + 1} f_j$$

(29a)

$$(f_j)_n = U_{n-1} \left( \cos \frac{\pi j}{N + 1} \right) = \frac{\sin(\frac{\pi j n}{N+1})}{\sin(\frac{\pi j}{N+1})}$$

(29b)

where $j = 1, 2, \ldots, N$ enumerates the eigenstates.

We put $\phi_o = A_o f_j$ and $\phi_e = A_e f_j$ reducing (28) to

$$\left(2t_r\cos \frac{\pi j}{N + 1} + t_u e^{-ika} + t_d e^{+ika}\right)A_o = EA_o,$$

(30a)

$$\left(2t_r\cos \frac{\pi j}{N + 1} + t_u e^{+ika} + t_d e^{-ika}\right)A_e = EA_e.$$  

(30b)

Then the solubility condition leads to

$$\frac{E^2}{4t_r^2} = \frac{(t_u - t_d)^2}{4t_r^2} \sin^2(ka) + \left[\cos \frac{\pi j}{N + 1} + \frac{t_u + t_d}{2t_r} \cos(ka)\right]^2.$$  

(31)

The eigenstates (29b) oscillate with respect to $n$. Hence, in the square lattice with $t_l = t_r$ (including armchair honeycomb for $t_l = 0$) there are no edge states. However, Kohmoto and Hasegawa [10] have shown that edge states emerge in armchair honeycomb provided $t_l \neq t_r$. In the following subsection we reproduce this result for general anisotropic square lattice.

C. Zero mode edge states

We discuss zero mode ($E = 0$) solutions to (7). The corresponding equations in the component form look as

$$(t_u + t_d e^{+2ika})\psi_{n+1} + t_r \psi_{n-1} + t_l e^{+2ika} \psi_{n+1} = 0,$$

(32a)

$$(t_u + t_d e^{-2ika})\psi_{n+1} + t_r \psi_{n+1} + t_l e^{-2ika} \psi_{n+1} = 0,$$

(32b)

where $\psi_{0} = \psi_{0} = 0$ and $\psi_{N+1} = \psi_{N+1} = 0$ are assumed.

Solutions to (32) can be written in various forms. Assuming $t_r \leq t_l$ the most appropriate form is (up to normalization)

$$\psi_n = e^{-i(nka + \pi)} \left[ \frac{t_r}{t_l} \right]^{\frac{n}{2}} U_{n-1} \left( \frac{t_u e^{-ika} + t_d e^{+ika}}{2\sqrt{t_r t_l}} \right),$$

(33a)

$$\psi_{on} = e^{-i(nka + \pi)} \left[ \frac{t_r}{t_l} \right]^{(N-n)/2} U_{N-n} \left( \frac{t_u e^{+ika} + t_d e^{-ika}}{2\sqrt{t_r t_l}} \right),$$

(33b)

where the boundary conditions $\psi_{0} = \psi_{N+1} = 0$ are satisfied due to the definition $U_{-1}(x) = 0$. The ones $\psi_{N+1} = \psi_{0} = 0$ lead to a single equation

$$U_N \left( \frac{t_u e^{-ika} + t_d e^{+ika}}{2\sqrt{t_r t_l}} \right) = 0.$$  

(34)

Provided the zeroes of $U_n(x)$ are given by $x_j = \cos \frac{\pi j}{n+1}$ ($j = 1, 2, \ldots, N$) we resolve (34) as

$$\frac{t_u e^{-ika} + t_d e^{+ika}}{2\sqrt{t_r t_l}} = \cos \frac{\pi j}{N + 1}.$$  

(35)

Substituting this into (33) and using (15) we find

$$\psi_{on} = (-1)^n e^{-inka} \left[ \frac{t_r}{t_l} \right]^{n/2} \frac{\sin \frac{\pi j n}{N+1}}{\sin \frac{\pi j}{N+1}}.$$  

(36a)

$$\psi_{on} = (-1)^n e^{-inka} \left[ \frac{t_r}{t_l} \right]^{(N-n)/2} \frac{\sin \frac{\pi j}{N+1}}{\sin \frac{\pi j}{N+1}}.$$  

(36b)

where irrelevant multiplicative factor is omitted in (36b).

Provided $t_r < t_l$ the wave function $\psi_{on}$ is exponentially suppressed from the left edge towards the bulk due to the factor of $(t_r/t_l)^{n/2}$. Analogously, $\psi_{on}$ is suppressed from the right edge towards the bulk. For $t_r > t_l$ the function $\psi_{on}$ is localized at the right edge, while $\psi_{on}$ at the left edge. For $t_r = t_l$ suppression disappears so the edge states never occur.

Due to the trigonometric factors the moduli of these wave functions oscillate with respect to $n$ as shown in Fig. 5. Note that such oscillations are absent in the edge states observed in zigzag honeycomb.
We end this subsection by discussing the condition (35) required the zero modes (36) would occur at all. Apparently the left hand side of (35) must be real, hence there are two cases.

• \( k = 0 \). In this case we find

\[
\frac{t_u + t_d}{2\sqrt{t_r t_l}} = \cos \frac{\pi j}{N + 1}.
\]  

(37)

• \( t_u = t_d \). In this case we come to

\[
\cos(ka) = \frac{\sqrt{t_r t_l}}{t_u} \cos \frac{\pi j}{N + 1}.
\]  

(38)

We comment on the first case which for \( t_d = 0 \) turns into a honeycomb with armchair edges (\( t_d = 0 \) is unacceptable in the second case where \( t_u = t_d \)).

Remark, that (37) imposes the following restriction

\[
t_u + t_d \leq 2\sqrt{t_r t_l}.
\]  

(39)

Summarizing, the condition (37) and hence (39) are necessary for occurrence of the zero mode, while \( t_r \neq t_l \) is necessary this zero mode would be localized at the edges.

III. ANISOTROPIC TRIANGULAR RIBBON

We consider triangular anisotropic ribbons with three different types of boundaries: 1) linear, 2) single side zigzag and 3) two side zigzag cases as shown in Fig. 6. These are all soluble in terms of Chebyshev polynomials. We consider them separately in the following subsections.

A. Linear edges

In this case (upper panel Fig. 6) the tight-binding Hamiltonian is given by

\[
H = t_1 \sum_{m} \sum_{n=2}^{N} [c^\dagger (n-1, m)c(n, m) + h.c.] + \\
+ t_2 \sum_{m} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} [c^\dagger (n+1, m+1)c(n, m) + h.c.] + \\
+ t_3 \sum_{m} \sum_{n=1}^{N} [c^\dagger (n, m-1)c(n, m) + h.c.].
\]  

(40)

FIG. 5: \( |\psi_{an}| \) versus \( n \) for \( N = 30 \) and \( t_r = 0.9t_l \) with \( j = 1 \) (left) and \( j = 5 \) (right).

We consider them separately in the following subsections.

Employ the Fourier transform

\[
c(n, m) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi/a}} \int_{BZ} e^{i km} c_m(k) dk
\]  

(41)

where the width of Brillouin zone is \( 2\pi/a \).

Then the Hamiltonian (40) takes the form

\[
H = \int \psi^\dagger(k) \mathcal{H}(k) \psi(k) dk
\]  

(42)

where \( \psi = (c_1, \ldots, c_N) \) and

\[
\mathcal{H} = 2t_3 \cos(ka) + \zeta^* \beta + \zeta \beta^*
\]  

(43)

with \( \zeta = t_1 + t_2 e^{-ika} \) and \( \beta \) given by (6).

The eigenvalue equation takes the form

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
    w & -\zeta^* & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
    -\zeta & w & -\zeta^* & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
    0 & -\zeta & w & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
    \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
    0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & w & -\zeta^* \\
    0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -\zeta & w
\end{pmatrix} \psi = 0
\]  

(44)

where \( w = E - 2t_3 \cos(ka) \).

Eigenvalues and eigenstates are given by

\[
E_j = 2t_3 \cos(ka) + 2|\zeta| \cos \frac{\pi j}{N + 1}
\]  

(45a)

\[
(\psi_j)_n = e^{+i(n-1)\theta} \frac{\sin \frac{\pi j n}{N+1}}{\sin \frac{\pi n}{N+1}} \psi_1
\]  

(45b)

FIG. 6: Triangular-lattice ribbons with different boundaries: linear edges (upper), single side zigzag (lower left) and two side zigzag (lower right). All three cases are periodic in \( y \)-direction with periodicity \( a \).
where $\vartheta = \text{arg}(\zeta)$ and $j = 1, \ldots, N$ labels the eigenstates. Form (45b) it is obvious that eigenstates exhibit oscillations with respect to $n$, i.e. these are bulk states.

### B. Single side zigzag

We consider the case shown in the lower left panel of Fig. 6. The corresponding Hamiltonian is obtained by removing the $n = 1$ term from the $t_3$-piece of (40). The eigenvalue equation takes the form

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
    w + \tau & -\zeta^* & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
    -\zeta & w & -\zeta^* & \cdots & 0 \\
    0 & -\zeta & w & \cdots & 0 \\
    \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
    0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & w - \zeta^* \\
    0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -\zeta & w
\end{pmatrix}
\psi = 0
\]  

(46)

where $w = E - 2t_3\cos(ka)$ and $\tau = 2t_3\cos(ka)$. Secular equation appears as

\[
U_N \left( \frac{E - \tau}{2|\zeta|} \right) + \frac{\tau}{|\zeta|} U_{N-1} \left( \frac{E - b}{2|\zeta|} \right) = 0
\]  

(47)

and determines the eigenvalues $E_1, \ldots, E_N$. The corresponding eigenstates (up to normalization) are

\[
(\psi_j)_n = e^{i n \vartheta} \left[ U_{n-1} \left( \frac{E_j - \tau}{2|\zeta|} \right) + \frac{\tau}{|\zeta|} U_{n-2} \left( \frac{E_j - \tau}{2|\zeta|} \right) \right].
\]

(48)

We are mainly interested in revealing the conditions necessary for the formation of edge states. Reminding the relation (15) we conclude that for $-1 < \frac{E - \tau}{2|\zeta|} < 1$ the eigenstates (48) oscillate with respect to $n$ and therefore represent bulk states. Consequently, the edge states may occur only in the following two cases

\[
\frac{E - \tau}{2|\zeta|} = \pm \text{ch} u.
\]  

(49)

We examine if these conditions can be satisfied by the energy bands determined by (47).

Substituting (49) into (47) and using (20) we find

\[
\frac{\tau}{|\zeta|} = \frac{\text{sh}[N(u + 1)]}{\text{sh}[Nu]}.
\]  

(50)

Squaring up this relation and using the explicit expressions $\tau = 2t_3\cos(ka)$ and $|\zeta|^2 = t_1^2 + t_2^2 + 2t_1 t_2 \cos(ka)$ we arrive to quadratic equation with respect to $\cos(ka)$. Two solutions corresponding to $\tau \pm$ signs in (49) are

\[
\cos(ka) = \frac{t_1 t_2 \mp \sqrt{t_1^2 t_2^2 + A^2 (t_1^2 + t_2^2) t_3^2}}{A t_3^2}
\]  

(51)

\[
A = \frac{2\text{sh}[Nu]}{\text{sh}[(N+1)u]}.
\]  

(52)

\[
\text{FIG. 7: Energy spectrum for } N = 5 \text{ and } t_{1,2,3} = 0.9, 0.1, 1. \text{ Shaded area is bounded in vertical directions by } \frac{E - \tau}{2|\zeta|} = \pm 1, \text{ so that in the interior we have } -1 < \frac{E - \tau}{2|\zeta|} < 1. \text{ Therefore the energy band segments located within the shaded area are bulk states, while the ones beyond represent the edge states. For the particular values of hopping parameters the relations (54) are both satisfied. Correspondingly, we have two subbands of edge states. One of them located below the shaded area occurs due to } \frac{t_1 + t_2}{2t_3} < \frac{N}{N+1}, \text{ while the other above the area appears due to } \frac{t_1 + t_2}{2t_3} > \frac{N}{N+1}.
\]

Without loss of generality we assume $t_{1,2,3} > 0$, so the upper and lower signs in (51) correspond to $\tau < 0$ and $\tau > 0$ in (50).

The formal solutions (51) make sense only if the right hand sides are in the interval $[-1, +1]$. This requirement leads to

\[
\frac{|t_1 + t_2|}{2t_3} < \frac{\text{sh}[Nu]}{\text{sh}[(N+1)u]},
\]  

(53)

which can be realized for certain values of $u$ only if the following conditions are satisfied

\[
\left| \frac{t_1 + t_2}{2t_3} \right| < \frac{N}{N+1}.
\]  

(54)

Provided (54) is held, the edge states are parameterized by the values of $u$ satisfying (53). The corresponding momentum $k$ and energy $E$ are determined by (51) and (49). Eigenstates can be obtained by substituting (49) into (48) and using (20). These appear as

\[
\psi_n = (\pm 1)^{n-1} e^{i n \vartheta} \frac{\text{sh}[(N-n+1)u]}{\text{sh}[Nu]}.
\]

(55)

Fig. 7 shows $E$ versus $k$ for $t_{1,2,3} = 0.9, 0.1, 1$ with $N = 5$. Wave functions (55) are plotted in Fig. 8 where from it is obvious that localization occurs near $n = 1$, i.e. at zigzag edge.
which gives the following four solutions

$$\cos(ka) = \frac{t_1 t_2 \pm \sqrt{t_1^2 t_2^2 + A^2 (t_1^2 + t_2^2) t_3^2}}{A^2 t_3^2},$$  \hspace{1cm} (61a)$$

$$\cos(ka) = \frac{t_1 t_2 \pm \sqrt{t_1^2 t_2^2 + B^2 (t_1^2 + t_2^2) t_3^2}}{B^2 t_3^2},$$  \hspace{1cm} (61b)$$

where

$$A = \frac{2 \sh((N - 1)u)}{\sh(Nu) - shu},$$  \hspace{1cm} (62a)$$

$$B = \frac{2 \sh((N - 1)u)}{\sh(Nu) + shu}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (62b)

Requiring the right hand sides of (61) to lay in the interval $[-1, +1]$ we obtain

$$\left| \frac{t_1 \pm t_2}{2t_3} \right| < \frac{\sh((N - 1)u)}{\sh(Nu) - shu}$$  \hspace{1cm} (63a)$$

$$\left| \frac{t_1 \pm t_2}{2t_3} \right| < \frac{\sh((N - 1)u)}{\sh(Nu) + shu}$$  \hspace{1cm} (63b)$$

for (61a) and (61b) respectively.

These can be satisfied for certain values of $u$ only if

$$\left| \frac{t_1 \pm t_2}{2t_3} \right| < 1$$  \hspace{1cm} (64a)$$

$$\left| \frac{t_1 \pm t_2}{2t_3} \right| < \frac{N - 1}{N + 1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (64b)$$

respectively.

Substituting (59) into (57) and using (61) in $\tau$ and $|\zeta|$ yields

$$\psi_n = (\pm 1)^{n-1} e^{-i \theta} \frac{\sh((N - n)u) + \sh((n - 1)u)}{\sh((N - 1)u)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (65a)$$

$$\psi_n = (\pm 1)^{n-1} e^{-i \theta} \frac{\sh((N - n)u) - \sh((n - 1)u)}{\sh((N - 1)u)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (65b)$$

for (64a) and (64b) respectively.

Thus, we may have up to four segments of $k$ representing edge states. Edge states emerge in these intervals of $k$ only if the corresponding condition from (64) is satisfied. In Fig. 9 we plot $E$ versus $k$ for $N = 5$ and various values of $t_1, t_2, t_3$. Wave functions (65) are plotted in Fig. 10.

### IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have considered tight-binding models on particular class of lattice ribbons where the eigenvalue problems lead to three-term recurrence relations. Such a
FIG. 9: Energy $E$ versus $k$ for $N = 5$ with $t_{1,2,3} = 1.5, 0.1, 1$ (upper) and $t_{1,2,3} = 0.9, 0.1, 1$ (lower). Energy band segments laying beyond the shaded area represent the edge states. Inequalities express the conditions when the corresponding segments appear.

FIG. 10: Edge state wave functions (65a) (left) and (65b) (right) versus $n$ for $N = 30$ and different values of $u$. Phase factors of $(\pm 1)^{n-1} e^{-in\theta}$ are omitted.

selection is motivated by the fact that three-term recurrence relations are usually resolved by orthogonal polynomials, which in the cases under consideration turn to be the Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind. The technique developed is capable of handling ribbons with hopping anisotropy. Within the given approach we have reproduced the results due to Wakabayashi et al. for isotropic honeycomb ribbons with zigzag and armchair edges, and the one due to Kohmoto and Hasegawa for zero mode edge states in anisotropic armchair honeycomb. Inclusion of hopping anisotropy allowed to trace out the corresponding influence on the formation of edge states. Also, anisotropic triangular ribbons with various edge geometries are studied within the same approach.
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Appendix A

We comment on solving (10a). We first get rid of the phases of $\xi$ by taking $\psi_n = e^{-in\theta}\phi_n$ with $\theta = \arg(\xi)$. Then the equation written out in components appears as

$$|\xi|\phi_2 = \tilde{w}\phi_1$$

$$|\xi|\phi_3 = w\phi_2 - |\xi|\phi_1$$

$$|\xi|\phi_4 = w\phi_3 - |\xi|\phi_2$$

$$\vdots$$

$$|\xi|\phi_N = w\phi_{N-1} - |\xi|\phi_{N-2}$$

$$0 = w\phi_N - |\xi|\phi_{N-1}$$

The system is homogeneous hence comprises one undetermined constant we choose to be $\phi_1$. Then $\phi_2$ can be solved out from the first equation. Substituting this into the second we solve out $\phi_3$ and so on. Using induction method we can show the following relation

$$\phi_{n+1} = D_n\phi_1$$

(A2)

where

$$D_n \equiv \text{det} \left( \begin{array}{cccccc} \frac{\tilde{w}}{|\xi|} & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & \frac{w}{|\xi|} & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{w}{|\xi|} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \frac{\tilde{w}}{|\xi|} & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \frac{1}{|\xi|} & \frac{w}{|\xi|} \end{array} \right)_{n \times n}$$

(A3)

Expression (A2) with $n = 1, \ldots, N - 1$ resolves the first $N - 1$ equations of (A1), while the last equation implies

$$D_N = 0$$

(A4)

and gives the eigenvalues $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_N$. 
We now calculate $D_n$. We first calculate
\[ A_n \equiv \det \begin{pmatrix} w & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{w}{|\xi|} & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \frac{w}{|\xi|} & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & \frac{w}{|\xi|} \end{pmatrix} \] (A5)
which differs from $D_n$ by $w$ instead of $\tilde{w}$ in the upper left corner. Expanding (A5) with respect to first row we find
\[ A_n = \frac{w}{|\xi|} A_{n-1} - A_{n-2}. \] (A6)

Remind that the Chebyshev polynomials $U_n(x)$ satisfy the recurrence relations $U_n(x) = 2xU_{n-1}(x) - U_{n-2}(x)$.

Comparing this with (A6) we come to
\[ A_n = U_n \left( \frac{w}{|\xi|} \right). \] (A7)

Expanding (A3) with respect to the first row we obtain
\[ D_n = \frac{\tilde{w}}{|\xi|} A_{n-1} - A_{n-2}. \] (A8)

Using $\tilde{w} = w + 1$ together with (A6) and (A7) we find
\[ D_n = U_n \left( \frac{w}{|\xi|} \right) + \frac{1}{|\xi|} U_{n-1} \left( \frac{2}{|\xi|} \right). \] (A9)

Combining this with (A2) and $\psi_{\alpha n} = e^{-i\theta} \phi_n$ we obtain (12a). The secular equation (A4) takes the form (11).

Equation (10b) is solved in the same way by expressing all components via $\psi_{\alpha N}$ (starting from the lower right corner instead of the upper left).
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