Time-dependent Gene Expression Analysis of the Developing Superior Olivary Complex*
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Background: The superior olivary complex (SOC) is an essential center for spatial hearing. Gene expression data are accessible at the Gene Expression Omnibus public repository under accession number GSE16764.

Results: Generation of a comprehensive qualitative and quantitative catalogue of the developmental changes in the SOC-related gene repertoire.

Conclusion: Postnatal maturation of the SOC is shaped by extensive molecular changes.

Significance: This work identifies strong candidate genes for normal and impaired hearing and genetic evidence for retrocochlear functions of deafness genes.

The superior olivary complex (SOC) is an essential auditory brainstem relay involved in sound localization. To identify the genetic program underlying its maturation, we profiled the rat SOC transcriptome at postnatal days 0, 4, 16, and 25 (P0, P4, P16, and P25, respectively), using genome-wide microarrays (41,012 oligonucleotides (oligos)). Differences in gene expression between two consecutive stages were highest between P4 and P16 (3.6%) and dropped to 0.06% between P16 and P25. To identify SOC-related genetic programs, we also profiled the entire brain at P4 and P25. The number of differentially expressed oligonucleotides between SOC and brain almost doubled from P4 to P25 (4.4% versus 7.6%). These data demonstrate considerable molecular specification around hearing onset, which is rapidly finalized. Prior to hearing onset, several transcription factors associated with the peripheral auditory system were up-regulated, probably coordinating the development of the auditory system. Additionally, crystallin-γ subunits and serotonin-related genes were highly expressed. The molecular repertoire of mature neurons was sculpted by SOC-related up- and down-regulation of voltage-gated channels and G-proteins. Comparison with the brain revealed a significant enrichment of hearing impairment-related oligos in the SOC (26 in the SOC, only 11 in the brain). Furthermore, 29 of 453 SOC-related oligos mapped within 19 genetic intervals associated with hearing impairment. Together, we identified sequential genetic programs in the SOC, thereby pinpointing candidates that may guide its development and ensure proper function. The enrichment of hearing impairment-related genes in the SOC may have implications for restoring hearing because central auditory structures might be more severely affected than previously appreciated.

How neurons acquire task-specific physiological properties and connectivity is a fundamental issue in developmental neuroscience. A favorable system for gaining insight into the development and maturation of sensory circuits is the mammalian auditory brainstem. In rats and mice, hearing onset occurs at postnatal days 10–12 (P10–P12)1 (1), and maturation processes continue until P25–P30 (reviewed in Refs. 2 and 3). The postnatal occurrence of these processes provides excellent access to experimental studies of many aspects of neurosensory development.

A prominent center in the mammalian auditory brainstem is the superior olivary complex (SOC). It consists of various nuclei, such as the lateral superior olive, the medial superior...
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olive, and the medial nucleus of the trapezoid body (MNTB), which are involved in sound localization and information feedback to the cochlea. Accumulating evidence indicates that dysfunction of the auditory brainstem, including the SOC, contributes to auditory processing disorders and developmental learning disabilities, such as dyslexia and autism spectrum disorders (4). Insight into the genetic causes of these disorders requires knowledge of the molecular repertoire underlying the developmental processes in the auditory brainstem. In the SOC, these processes include the formation of precise tonotopic projections and the adjustment of the molecular repertoire to the demands of fast and precise neurotransmission, which is required for accurate sound localization.

To identify the underpinning genetic programs, we performed an unbiased survey of gene expression at four developmental stages (P0, P4, P16, and P25) in the rat SOC using genome-wide microarrays. By P0, neurogenesis and neuronal migration are almost complete, and the basic layout of SOC circuits has become established with functional synapses and only few aberrant connections (5, 6). At P4, SOC neurons still show many signs of immaturity, such as depolarizing GABAergic and glycnergic action (7, 8), and axonal (9) and dendritic pruning (10). Furthermore, there is ongoing neurite outgrowth and functional refinement (9, 11, 12). The stage of P16, about 4–6 days after hearing onset, is often used for in vitro electrophysiological analyses of the mature-like auditory system (7, 13–15). It is also a stage of extensive axonal elimination (9). Finally, P25 closely resembles the mature system (10, 16) while precluding aging effects on the gene expression profile. To identify SOC-related genetic programs, we also assessed the gene expression profiles in the entire brain at P4 and P25 and compared them with the age-matched SOC profiles. To validate the microarray data, quantitative real-time PCR experiments and immunohistochemistry were finally performed. The resulting data provide molecular insights into cellular and physiological hallmarks of the developing SOC and identify strong functional candidates for postnatal SOC maturation.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Animals—Female and male Sprague-Dawley rats or C57BL/6 mice were used at P0, P4, P16, and P25. We used animals of both genders because previous analysis had demonstrated negligible sex-specific expression in the SOC (17). All protocols were in accordance with the German Animal Protection law and approved by the local animal care and use committee (Landesuntersuchungsamt Rhineland Palatinate, Germany). Protocols also followed the National Institutes of Health guide for the care and use of laboratory animals.

Tissue Preparation for Microarray Experiments—Animals were anesthetized with 7% chloral hydrate (1 ml/100 g) and decapitated. Tissue preparation was carried out in chilled buffer solution (−4°C) containing 25 mm NaHCO3, 2.5 mm KCl, 1.25 mm NaH2PO4, 1 mm MgCl2, 2 mm CaCl2, 260 mm ρ-glucose, 2 mm sodium pyruvate, 3 mm myo-inositol, and 1 mm kynurenic acid. This solution was gassed for 30 min with 95% O2 and 5% CO2 to adjust the pH to 7.4. The brain was removed from the skull and either immediately stored in RNA later (Ambion, Darmstadt, Germany) or processed to isolate the SOC.

In the latter case, the brainstem was dissected, and 300-μm-thick coronal slices, containing the SOC, were cut with a vibratome (Leica VT 100 S, Leica, Nussloch, Germany). To ensure that the regions used for microarrays were comparable across the different ages used, slices were carefully inspected under a binocular microscope. SOC structures were clearly identified under this condition, even in unstained slices. Further dissection of the SOC also occurred under binocular control. At P16 and P25, the SOCs were bilaterally collected from two consecutive slices, whereas at P0 and P4, only one slice was used. Collected tissue was stored in RNA later at −80°C.

Total RNA Isolation—Total RNA used for hybridization experiments was isolated from the entire brain or from the SOCs of single animals at P16 and P25. Due to the small sample amount, SOCs from four animals were pooled at P0 and from two animals at P4. Total RNA extraction was performed with the RNeasy Lipid Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The SOC tissue was lysed in 1 ml of Qiazol using a homogenizer (MicrCr D-8, Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany) at 23,500 rpm for 15 s. For whole brain tissue, the extraction was carried out with the RNeasy Midi Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Homogenization of a single brain was performed in 15 ml (P4) or 45 ml (P25) of RLT buffer (supplied by Qiagen; 23,500 rpm for 15 s). To proceed, 2 ml of the homogenates were used. The determination of total RNA integrity and purity was assessed via a 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent, Böblingen, Germany).

Microarray Experiments—Hybridization of fluorescently labeled cRNA samples was performed on whole rat genome, 60-mer sequence nucleotide microarrays from Agilent (4 × 44,000). This microarray platform contains 41,012 rat genes, expressed sequence tags, or predicted genes. Because many genes are represented by more than one 60-mer on the array, we refer to the spotted sequences as “oligos.” The synthesis of the cRNA samples was performed according to the manufacturer’s protocol with the Agilent Low RNA Input Linear Amplification Kit (Agilent). 1,000 ng of total RNA were used as starting material. The yield and incorporation of the dyes were assessed using a NanoDrop ND-1000 UV-visible spectrophotometer (Peqlab, Erlangen, Germany). Between six (SOC stages P0 and P4, whole brain stages P4 and P25) and nine (SOC stages P16 and P25) cRNA samples from different animals were hybridized as biological replicates and used for statistical analysis. Furthermore, 13 cRNA samples were hybridized twice, as technical replicates (10 samples) or dye swap experiments (three samples). Hierarchical cluster analysis was performed via the freely available software HCE2 (Hierarchical Clustering Explorer 2). Raw data analyses were performed using Agilent Feature Extraction software (version 8.1), and data normalization and statistics were performed by in-house software packages and algorithms of the Fraunhofer Institute for Industrial Mathematics (ITWM). They included a Lowess transformation with smoothing parameter of 0.2 to reduce intensity-dependent errors.

Statistical and Bioinformatic Analyses—Two different types of statistical analysis were applied to the data set. The H test of Kruskal and Wallis was performed for the time course study in the SOC comparing gene expression measurements of all age
stages with each other (18). Pairwise comparisons of two different stages (SOC or brain between P4 and P25) or different tissues at the same stage (SOC ↔ brain) were evaluated using Wilcoxon’s U test (19). A false discovery rate estimation was performed to ensure the quality of the statistics using a bootstrap method (20). The SOC ↔ brain signature list contains those genes that were present in both the P4 and the P25 signature lists because nothing indicated that the data were following a normal distribution; thus, it provides a conservative estimation of genes being up-regulated in the SOC at both stages.

To annotate the transcription factors within the 41,012 oligos, we downloaded the gene sequences associated with 1,445 transcription factors (TFs) and 133 co-factors described previously (21) from GenBankTM and built a local BLAST-for-matted database using formatdb from the BLAST package. Thereafter, each oligo was aligned individually against the database using the BLASTn function of BLASTall. All hits with an E-value of less than $10^{-10}$ were considered significant. In total, 2,159 hits were found for 2,020 separate oligos. Most of the 2,020 oligos (1,965) matched to only a single TF sequence. Oligos with a large number of hits (the maximum being 16) were usually matching highly similar zinc finger protein variants. Specific enrichment of TF binding sites in subsets of oligos was determined using PAINT and the Transfac Prof database.

To evaluate the statistical relevance of the total number of transcripts associated with hearing impairment in the SOC and the brain, we assumed that a gene can display with the same probability $r$ (with probability $1 - r$, both samples show within error bars the same expression level) a higher or lower expression level in the SOC compared with the brain (null hypothesis). Let $q_1$ be the number of cases in which the SOC shows a significantly higher expression level within $m$ genes and $q_2$ the number of cases in which the expression level is higher in the brain, denoted by $(q_1, q_2, m)$. We obtained $p$ from a maximum likelihood argument (22). The joint probability for $(q_1, q_2, m)$ is as follows:

$$Q = \left( \frac{m}{q_1} \right) r^{q_1} (1 - r)^{m - q_1} \left( \frac{m}{q_2} \right) r^{q_2} (1 - r)^{m - q_2} \quad \text{(Eq. 1)}$$

The maximum likelihood value of $r$ is obtained from $\delta \log Q/\delta r = 0$, which leads immediately to $r = (q_1 + q_2)/2m$ (i.e. the average of the individual (maximum likelihood) rates $q_1/m$ and $q_2/m$). We now calculate the probability ($p$ value) $p$ for an event that is as unlikely as $(q_1, q_2, m)$ or, even more unlikely, for $q_1 < q_2$. This results in the following.

$$p = P_{low}(q_1|r)P_{high}(q_2|r)$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{q_1} \left( \frac{m}{t} \right) r^{i} (1 - r)^{m - i} \sum_{i=0}^{q_2} \left( \frac{m}{t} \right) (1 - r)^{m - i} \quad \text{(Eq. 2)}$$

This hypothesis test allows us to estimate the significance more accurately than calculating only the expectation values $q_1/m$, $q_2/m$, and corresponding S.E. bars and checking how far the expectation values differ in terms of the error bars. For the above values, $q_1 = 11$, $q_2 = 26$, $m = 138$, we obtain $r \approx 0.134$, which results in a $p$ value of $p \approx 0.0015$. Hence, on a 99% significance level, the event in which the SOC shows in 26 cases a higher expression level and the brain shows higher expression only in 11 cases is significant.

The calculation of whether genes were significantly enriched in specific gene subsets was performed as follows. We first calculated the enrichment log odds ratio ($LR$), which is the log of how much the data set frequency $q/k$ outperforms the microarray frequency $m/t$. Second, we calculated the $p$ value as the probability that when choosing $q$ out of $t$ genes (where $m$ out of these $t$ genes are associated with the term of interest), one finds by pure chance $q$ or more (up to $m$) among them that exhibit the term of interest. This was readily obtained from the hypergeometric distribution,

$$LR = \log_{2} \left( \frac{q/k}{m/t} \right)$$

and

$$P = \sum_{i=q}^{m} \left( \frac{m}{t} \right) \left( \frac{t - m}{k - i} \right) \frac{t}{k} \quad \text{(Eq. 4)}$$

where $q$ is the count of oligos associated with hearing impairment, $m$ the count of oligos associated with hearing impairment on the microarray platform, $k$ the total number of oligos in the gene lists, and $t$ the total number of oligos on the microarray platform.

To map rat genes to human deafness loci, the genetic flanking markers of all human loci implicated in hearing impairment were manually collected from the hereditary hearing loss database and the literature. The genomic positions of the flanking genetic markers were extracted from the human genome assembly raw files GRCH37/hg19. Genes located in these deafness loci were retrieved using BioMart (23). To identify which genes mapped to human deafness loci, human orthologues of those genes enriched in the SOC were identified using EnsemblCompare (24) and compared with the list of genes located in deafness loci.

The most probable hypothesis is that only one gene in each deafness locus is the true causative gene. On this basis, we thought of testing whether the covering of human deafness loci by the gene sets revealed by our analysis was greater than random. To achieve this, we randomly picked $n$ genes in the 18,489 genes covered by the Agilent microarray, retrieved their human orthologues, and counted the number of deafness loci covered by at least one orthologue ($n$ corresponds to the number of $R. norvegicus$ genes of the data set of interest). By repeating this operation 100,000 times, we generated an empirical distribution of the null hypothesis that we used to estimate a $p$ value. Enrichments in gene ontology terms and KEGG pathways were analyzed using DAVID, applying the classification stringency “high” (25).

**Quantitative RT-PCR**—For quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) experiments, three independent RNA pools were used for each tissue. One pool consisted of the same RNA samples as
used for the hybridizations. The other two pools represented biological replicas, which were derived from 6–16 animals. For each pool, 2,250 ng of total RNA were reverse transcribed using 200 ng of random hexamers, 500 ng of (dT)$_{18}$ primers, and 1 µl (200 units) of Superscript II (Invitrogen) (26). For each tissue, all the three RNA pools were analyzed in triplicates. Most primer pairs were designed to amplify at least parts of the spotted 60-mer sequences. Primer sequences are available from the authors upon request. Primer efficiency ($E$) was determined by calculating serial dilutions of cDNA in triplicate. Efficiency was calculated according to the equation, $E = 10^{-1/	ext{slope}}$ (27). 3-Phosphoglyceraldehyde ($Gapdh$) (28) served as reference gene in all experiments. Quantitative RT-PCR was performed on a MyiQ Thermal Cycler (Bio-Rad). Reactions contained 0.5 µl of cDNA template, 0.5 µl of 20 pm forward and reverse primer, 5.5 µl of RNase-free water, and 12.5 µl of Master Mix (Absolute SYBR Green Fluorescein, Thermo Scientific, Schwerte, Germany). Cycling conditions were as follows: 15-min 95 °C activation, 45 cycles at 95 °C, 30-s denaturation; 56 °C 30-s annealing; 72 °C 30-s extension. At the end, an additional extension step followed (72 °C for 5 min), and a melt curve analysis was performed (stepwise temperature rise of 0.5 °C, starting at 55 °C, ending at 95 °C, each step for 30 s). Statistical analyses and the calculation of the -fold change ratio ($fc$) in the triplicate experiments ($µ$) have been described previously (17). Semi-quantitative RT-PCR was performed using standard procedures with 28 cycles.

**RNA in Situ Hybridization**—RNA *in situ* probes were generated by reverse transcription-PCR. Primer sequences are available from the authors upon request. PCR products were ligated to either a T7 or an Sp6 promoter containing linker or were cloned into a pGEM-T easy vector (Promega, Mannheim, Germany) and transcribed by T7 or Sp6 polymerases in the presence of digoxigenin-11-UTP (Roche Applied Science). Coronal sections of 30-µm thickness were cut in a microtome (Microm, HM 400, Waldorf, Germany) and collected in 2× saline sodium citrate buffer (SSC) (20× SSC: 3 M NaCl, 300 mM Na$_3$C$_6$H$_5$O$_7$). After a 10-min wash in 2× SSC mixed 1:1 with prehybridization buffer (50% formamide, 3× SSC, 2% blocker (Roche Applied Science), 0.02% SDS, 0.1% N-laurylsarcosine), sections were stored in prehybridization buffer at −20 °C until use. Free-floating section hybridization was performed at 48–50 °C overnight (29), and bound probes were detected with an anti-digoxigenin antibody conjugated to alkaline phosphatase (Roche Applied Science). Sp6 (sense) probes and a T7 antisense probe against a T7 antisense probe against antibody conjugated to alkaline phosphatase (Roche Applied Science). Scattered images of SOC nuclei were taken at identical exposure times with a laser-scanning microscope (LSM510, Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany). Data were obtained and processed using Adobe Photoshop 7.0 software (Adobe Systems).

**RESULTS**

The SOC develops through a series of cellular and morphological events (2). To characterize the molecular underpinnings, microarrays were employed to profile developmental changes in gene expression during the first 25 postnatal days. We used two prehearing stages (P0 and P4) and two posthearing stages (P16 and P25), which relate to the time before and after hearing onset, respectively. This time window encompasses the major postnatal developmental changes of SOC neurons (Fig. 1A). In addition, gene expression in the entire brain was profiled at P4 and P25. Data are accessible at the Gene Expression Omnibus public repository under accession number GSE16764.

**Statistical Analysis of Gene Expression Profiles in the SOC**—Scatter plot analysis revealed considerable differences in gene expression between P0 and P4 and when comparing the two stages before hearing onset (P0 and P4) with the two stages after hearing onset (P16 and P25) (Table 1) (data not shown). In order to be considered differentially expressed, two criteria had to be fulfilled: a more than 2-fold change ratio and a $p$ value below 0.05. The number of differentially expressed sequences between consecutive stages was highest between P4 and P16 (1,465 sequences, ~3.6%). Considerable changes were also observed between P0 and P4 (510 sequences, ~1.2%) (Table 1). The number collapsed between P16 and P25 because only 24 differentially expressed oligos (~0.06%) were identified (Table 1). A similar drop in differentially expressed genes during postnatal development could also be observed in microarray data obtained for the cochlear nucleus complex, another auditory brainstem structure. In this tissue, 6.2% of 22,690 oligos were differentially expressed between P7 and P14, and 0.13% were differentially expressed between P14 and P21, using criteria similar to ours ($p ≤ 0.05$ and $fc$ of $≥1.8$) (32). Comparing all stages in the SOC, the largest number of differentially expressed oligos was observed between P0 and P25 (5,373 oligos, ~13.1%) (Table 1).
A closer mutual relationship between the prehearing stages on one side and the posthearing stages on the other side was also suggested by a hierarchical cluster analysis, in which P0 was grouped with P4, and P16 was grouped with P25 (Fig. 1B). Taken together, we observed extensive differences in gene expression before and around hearing onset but only minor changes thereafter.

Statistical Comparison between the Gene Expression Profiles of the SOC and the Entire Brain—To gain insight into SOC-related genetic programs, we next determined the gene expression profiles of the brain at P4 (Br-P4) and P25 (Br-P25) and compared them with the age-matched SOC tissue using the Wilcoxon U test (Table 2). 1,790 oligos (4.4%) were differentially expressed at P4 between the SOC and the brain. By P25, this number had almost doubled to 3,107 oligos (7.6%). The increased molecular specialization of the SOC during postnatal development was also revealed by hierarchical cluster analysis, in which the Br-P4 was more closely related to the prehearing SOC samples than was the Br-P25 to the posthearing SOC samples (Fig. 1B).

453 oligos were more highly expressed in the SOC at both stages than in the total brain and may hence represent a transcriptional signature of the postnatal SOC. Among the 10 top-ranked genes were Slc6a5, encoding the glycine transporter 2; Kcnq1, encoding Kv1.1; and Kcnk15, encoding Task5 (Table 3). All three proteins have previously been linked to the SOC (33–35). Therefore, the other genes may also play an important role in the SOC. To see if the transcriptional signature of SOC was reflecting functional specificities, the larger functional significance of this SOC signature, we performed a Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis (25). The most enriched biological processes among the 453 transcripts were “regulation of action potential” (GO:0002427), encoding Kv1.1; and “ion homeostasis” (GO:0008270), encoding Task5 (Table 3). Among them, 11 TFs were identified within the 453 SOC-related oligos: the zinc finger TFs Peg3 and Gata3; the homeobox TFs Phox2a, Dbx2, Nkx6–2, Hoxa2, and Pbx3; the leucine zipper TF Mtf2; the helix-loop-helix TFs Olig1 and estrogen-related receptor Esrrb; and the SRY-related HMG-box family member Sox10. Notably, five of them, Esrrb (37), Gata3 (38), Hoxa2 (39), Mtf2 (40), and Sox10 (41), have been associated with deafness. None of their transcriptional targets...
was enriched in the gene set, as revealed by bioinformatic approaches. This may be due to (i) the imprecision of the existing transcriptional networks, (ii) the complexity of TF-DNA binding (42), and (iii) the fact that many TFs act as heteromers forming transcriptional networks, (ii) the complexity of TF-DNA binding was enriched in the gene set, as revealed by bioinformatic approaches.

Finally, we chose 11 probes covering SOC-related genes that arose during our various statistical analyses (see below). This set included the TFs Hoxd1, Meis2, and Phox2a; the plasma membrane proteins Gpr37, Kcnab3, Kcn3, and Scn1a; the deafness genes Esrrb, Myh14, and Tjp2; and Tph2, involved in serotonin signaling. These probes were hybridized on mouse tissue because this genetically amenable animal model is increasingly used to probe gene functions in the auditory brainstem (45–47). All probes were expressed in the SOC, but again, no striking differences were observed between the different nuclei (Fig. 3). Instead, it appeared that they labeled subsets of neurons in some instances in a given nucleus, such as Hoxd1 and Kcnab3, in the superior paraolivary nucleus. These data validated our microarray results and indicated that the nuclei of the mammalian SOC have similar expression patterns for many genes.

**Gene Expression Profiles of the Developing SOC**

**Table 3**

Top 10 oligos that are specifically more highly expressed in the SOC as compared with the brain at P4 and P25

| Gene symbol | Gene name | Accession number | P4 (SOC/Br) | P4 p value | P25 (SOC/Br) | P25 p value |
|-------------|-----------|------------------|-------------|------------|-------------|------------|
| Slc6a5      | Solute carrier family 6 (neurotransmitter transporter, glycine), member 5 | NM_203334 | 14.98 | 0.0022 | 19.43 | 0.0004 |
| Spp1        | Secreted phosphoprotein 1 | NM_012881 | 3.21 | 0.01 | 11.57 | 0.0004 |
| Gepr        | Glucagon receptor | NM_172091 | 2.88 | 0.0022 | 11.34 | 0.0004 |
| Apod        | Apolipoprotein D | NM_012777 | 2.77 | 0.0022 | 11.01 | 0.0004 |
| Ugt1a       | UDP galactosyltransferase 8A | NM_019276 | 2.69 | 0.0022 | 10.2 | 0.0004 |
| Trf         | Transferrin | NM_00013110 | 2.49 | 0.0022 | 9.9 | 0.0004 |
| Neat1       | Nuclear parapodele assembly transcript 1 | AW143472 | 6.92 | 0.0022 | 9.17 | 0.0004 |
| Kcna1       | Potassium voltage-gated channel, shaker-related subfamily, member 1 | NM_173095 | 6.18 | 0.0022 | 8.66 | 0.0004 |
| Kcnk15      | Potassium channel, subfamily K, member 15 | NM_130813 | 2.05 | 0.0022 | 8.38 | 0.0004 |
| S100g       | S100 calcium-binding protein G | NM_012521 | 4.35 | 0.0022 | 7.99 | 0.0004 |

**Validation of Microarray Data by qRT-PCR and RNA in Situ Hybridization**—To assess the quality of our microarray data, qRT-PCR was performed for 15 genes (Crym, Dock6, Gpc2, Gsta3, Gjb1, Hap1, Hcn2, Kcnk15, Ppp1r14c, Pvalb, Sgr1, Slc1a6, Slc5a11, and Spind2) (Fig. 2A) (data not shown). These genes were chosen to cover various significance patterns. Regardless of the expression profiles, the microarray and the qRT-PCR data correlated strongly. All comparisons with a 2-fold change in the qRT-PCR experiments. A few highly regulated genes showed an even greater magnitude of expression changes in the qRT-PCR data, in accordance with previous reports of signal saturation in microarray. In cases of opposite changes of direction between microarray and qRT-PCR, changes were <2-fold in both types of experiments and thus considered as not significant. The independent confirmation of the microarray results by qRT-PCR indicated that the microarray data accurately reflected biological differences.

The SOC is a composite structure. Our data, therefore, describe changes in gene expression across a heterogeneous neuronal population. To gain a cellular view of the transcriptional program of the developing SOC, RNA in situ hybridizations were carried out. In a first series of experiments, 16 probes were selected to cover (i) different functional protein classes, such as plasma membrane proteins (Adora1, Il4r, Kcnh2, Lphn3, Slc22a7, and Tmem9b), TF (Deaf1, Foxn3, and Zfyve27), enzymes (Cdc37, Cdk16, and Stk40), and others (Calb1, Carhsp1, Egln3, Lin7b); (ii) different expression level ranges; and (iii) several regulation patterns.

Because our microarray study revealed increased molecular specialization during development, we focused on P25–P30. 15 probes tested revealed a rather widespread expression in the rat SOC at P25–P30 (Fig. 2B) (data not shown). Only Calb1, which was previously reported to be specifically expressed in the mature MNTB (44), gave exclusive labeling in this nucleus (Fig. 2B). We next analyzed five genes (Atp1a, Arhgef7, Cacna1c, Hap1, and Wnk4) both at P3–P4 and P25–P30. Again, all transcripts were expressed in the SOC, but no nucleus-specific expression was observed (data not shown).
GTP cyclohydrolase I feedback regulator (Gchfr) (Fig. 4, N–P). These data point to the importance of a novel group of neurite-promoting factors and serotonin signaling in SOC circuit organization.

To exemplarily validate these data, we performed immunohistochemistry and applied an antibody that detects crystallin-γ proteins (31). Strong labeling occurred in the SOC at P5 (Fig. 5A), whereas low labeling was seen at P25 (Fig. 5D). Thus, the decline in immunoreactivity for crystallin-γ was consistent with the microarray results. At the cellular level, labeling was present mainly in auditory fiber tracts (Fig. 5, B and E), such as the trapezoid body and the ventral acoustic stria (Fig. 5, A and D). The neuropil of most SOC nuclei was also labeled with perisomatic signals surrounding immunonegative cell bodies (Fig. 5, B, C, F, and G). Only a few somata were labeled in the prehearing condition, but nearly all

### FIGURE 2. Validation of microarray data by qRT-PCR and RNA in situ hybridization.

*Panel A:* Relative expression level changes of selected genes were examined by qRT-PCR to verify the microarray results. Consecutive developmental stages of the SOC were investigated. **Black dashed lines,** microarray data; **gray dashed lines,** qRT-PCR data of three biological replicates. Data sets were normalized to P0. *, p < 0.05; ***, p < 0.001. Collectively, data sets from microarray experiments correlated strongly with those from qRT-PCR experiments.

*Panel B:* RNA in situ hybridization of coronal sections through the rat brainstem at P25–P30. Sections were hybridized with digoxigenin-labeled cRNA probe. *Calb1* served as a positive control, and a sense probe served as negative control. All probes except for *Calb1* hybridized throughout the SOC. The MNTB is always at the left-hand side, whereas the lateral superior olive is on the right-hand side. For orientation, a schema of the adult rat SOC is provided in the bottom right-hand corner. Shown are representative results from at least three independent hybridization experiments.

**Legend:**
- **LSO:** lateral superior olive
- **LNTB:** lateral nucleus of the trapezoid body
- **MSO:** medial superior olive
- **SPN:** superior paraolivary nucleus
- **VNTB:** ventral nucleus of the trapezoid body
- **Scale bars:** (B), 200 μm.
somata of the mature MNTB were labeled, albeit with a rather low signal intensity.

Hearing Onset and the Underlying Changes in Gene Expression—We next analyzed those 1,319 oligos that were up-regulated between SOC-P4 and SOC-P25 with respect to their potential contribution to the molecular maturation of the SOC. Nine of the 10 top-ranked up-regulated oligos encode proteins important for myelination (Tmem10, Mobp, Haplin2, Trf, Mal, Mog, and Mohp); the remainder was derived from the Apod gene, encoding an antioxidant stress protein (Table 5).

Among the 1,319 oligos, 656 (49.7%) were also significantly more highly expressed in the SOC-P25 compared with the Br-P25. The most significantly enriched GO terms in these 656 transcripts were "regulation of action potential" \((p < 10^{-10})\), followed by "cellular ion homeostasis" \((p < 10^{-5})\). This prompted us to investigate more closely several selected categories of proteins that might participate in these neuronal processes. These functional categories were genes for \(K^+\) channels, \(Ca^{2+}\) channels, \(Na^+\) channels, neurotransmitter receptors and transporters, G-protein-coupled receptors, and myelination (Fig. 6). We focused within these categories on those genes that were differentially expressed between SOC-P25 and SOC-P4 and between SOC-P25 and Br-P25. This analysis revealed a striking SOC-related up- and down-regulation of genes in all six categories. The expression levels of all but one developmentally up-regulated gene in the mature SOC exceeded that of Br-P25 (Fig. 6, green triangles). The only exception was Grin2c (Fig. 6). The SOC-specific up-regulation was complemented by an SOC-related down-regulation of several genes. All of these genes showed reduced expression levels compared with the age-matched Br-P25 as well (Fig. 6, red triangles). Notably, all developmentally regulated voltage-gated \(Ca^{2+}\) channels were down-regulated. This indicates an important role of these channels in the perinatal period, which is in accord with the recent observation of the requirement of \(Ca_{1,3}\) for proper development and refinement of auditory circuits (12, 46, 49).

The very low number of merely 24 oligos in the SOC with differential expression between P16 and P25 (Table 1) demonstrates rapid maturation of the SOC after hearing onset and not much dynamics after P16. Only three genes (Hbb, GloA, and Hbb-B1) were up-regulated beyond P16; they all encode globin genes. In the 21 down-regulated genes, the KEGG pathway "focal adhesion" was the only significant hit \((p = 0.03)\).

SOC-related Genetic Programs and Hearing Impairment—During our analyses, we noticed that several genes in SOC-related genetic programs were associated with peripheral hearing impairment. To investigate the contribution of transcripts associated with hearing impairment (TAHI) to the genetic program of the SOC in more detail, we compiled a list of genes associated with hearing impairment from public databases and the literature. The large majority of these genes is associated with hearing impairment from public databases and the literature. The large majority of these genes is associated with dysfunction in the ear (50–52). 138 oligos (0.34%) from the microarray matched to TAHI. 26 oligos, derived from 22 different genes, were significantly up-regulated in the SOC in at least one pairwise comparison with the brain (Fig. 7, A and B). Only 11 TAHI (Atp2B2, Cacna1d, Cisd2, Coch, Col1a2, Crym, Gjb2, Gjb6, Hspa1a, Slc26a4, and Wfs1) displayed a higher expression in the brain. Applying a maximum likelihood argument, the difference between 26 oligos in the SOC subsets and 11 oligos in the brain subsets was significant \((p = 0.0015, \text{max})\).
FIGURE 4. Expression profiles of genes that are highly expressed prior to hearing onset and display elevated expression in SOC-P4 compared with Br-P4. A–J, transcription factors; K–M, crystallin-γ subunits; N–P, serotonin signaling. Expression profiles are shown as dashed lines, and mean expression levels are normalized to SOC-P4 = 1. Dark gray, SOC development; light gray, brain development; black insets, comparison of age-matched SOC and brain at P4 and P25. *, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01; ***, p < 0.001. Error bars, S.E.
To investigate the possible participation of SOC-related genes in human heritable hearing impairment, we performed an in silico mapping analysis. We compiled lists of human genes located in 47 loci associated with heritable hearing impairment and unknown etiology. Next, we retrieved the human orthologues of the SOC-related subsets in the three signature lists and mapped them to the 47 loci. For P4, 65 orthologues were mapped to 24 deafness loci ($p = 0.32$). For P25 SOC ↔ brain and SOC ↔ brain, the relevant numbers were 97 orthologues to 31 deafness loci and 29 orthologues to 19 loci, respectively ($p = 0.13$ and $p = 0.057$) (Table 6). Albeit none of these scores reached significance, they point to a higher than random coverage of deafness loci by SOC-related genes. This was especially true for the 453 oligos from the SOC ↔ brain signature list with a $p$ value close to significance. Hence, this data set will be useful to narrow down candidate genes in loci for hearing impairment.

**DISCUSSION**

The aim of this comparative time course study was to gain insight into the genetic program underlying the postnatal maturation of the SOC. Chief among our findings were the observed enrichment of genes associated with hearing impairment in the SOC and the identification of novel strong candidate genes, such as crystallin-$\gamma$ genes, for SOC development and function.

**Study Design and Caveats**—To illuminate candidate molecules for distinct phases of SOC development, we investigated the temporal changes in gene expression profiles. To pinpoint genes important for SOC maturation and function, we also compared the SOC data with those of the entire brain. The rationale of such a comparison is a similar developmental time scale between the SOC and most other brain circuits. The peak of myelination at P18 (53) suggests a similar temporal development of many circuits in the rat brain. Furthermore, a transcriptome analysis of the frontal cortex, hypothalamus, and hippocampus revealed only minor changes in gene expression after P14 (54). This indicates a rapid postnatal maturation of many brain circuits, similar to our observation in the SOC.

**Retrocochlear Role of Genes Associated with Hearing Impairment**—A clinically important finding of our study is the participation of genes implicated in hearing impairment in the genetic program of the SOC (Fig. 7). It implies a retrocochlear function of these genes, which have mainly been considered to compromise peripheral auditory function. Indeed, we recently showed an essential retrocochlear function of the peripheral deafness gene Cacna1d, encoding Ca$_{a,1.3}$ (46). Strikingly, four SOC-related genes associated with hearing impairment already have a proven role in proper development and function of central auditory structures. Hoxa2 is essential for CNC development and correct projections to the SOC (55, 56), Gata3 and Unc are required for correct function of olivocochlear neurons (57, 58), and Slc17a8 is essential for refining the MNTB-lateral superior olive projection (11). A dual role in the auditory system was also established for the TF Atoh1, which is essential for hair cell development (59) and proper maturation and function of the SOC (45). These experimental data demonstrate that mutations in genes associated with hearing impairment can affect sensory and neuronal cells alike. The resulting diversity in cen-
Central auditory deficits will contribute to the observed variation in the benefit that hearing devices, such as cochlear implants, can provide (52, 60). Deciphering these retrocochlear deficits will probably result in better tailored therapies and improved auditory rehabilitation.

Our SOC-related signature lists may also provide an important resource to gain insight into genetic causes of auditory processing disorders. These disorders are characterized by impaired sound processing in the central auditory system in the absence of considerable peripheral hearing loss, thus resulting in perceptual dysfunction (61, 62). Currently, the underlying molecular causes are unknown.

**Circuit Organization**—Among the SOC-related genes in the prehearing period were three crystallin-\(H\) proteins. These proteins promote axon regeneration of injured optic nerve fibers (31). Consistent with this, the related crystallin-\(\beta\) subunit b2 accumulates in axons and growth cones of retinal ganglion cells (63). Our immunohistochemical analysis, which demonstrated the presence of crystallin-\(\gamma\) proteins in fiber tracts and the neuropil of the SOC (Fig. 5), is in full agreement with these findings. The observed down-regulation of crystallins between P4 and P16 correlates well with the shift in auditory brainstem neurons from a state allowing axonal growth and reorganization to a state of largely fixed connectivity. Neurons of the anteroventral cochlear nucleus can establish novel projections to the SOC after unilateral cochlear removal only before P10 (64). In agreement, cultured MNTB neurons lose their capacity to regenerate connectivity to lateral superior olive neurons at a similar time point (65). The timing of their down-regulation, as well as their expression in the entire auditory brainstem, makes crystallin-\(\gamma\) proteins attractive candidates for this shift.

Also of note is the high expression of the serotonin-related genes *Tph2*, *Slc6a4*, and *Gchfr* in the prehearing SOC. Transiently high serotonin content in developing neurons is often observed in highly topographically organized sensory systems (66). Interestingly, deficits in serotonin-mediated synaptic signaling result in neurodevelopmental disorders, such as autism spectrum disorder (67), which is linked to altered processing of auditory information. Several studies revealed a malformed SOC with a decreased volume (68, 69) and altered auditory brainstem responses (70–72) in patients with autism. It will therefore be interesting to analyze in detail the role of serotonin in the developing brainstem.

**Hearing Onset and the Underlying Genetic Program**—The most remarkable physiological change during our time course study is the shift from the prehearing to the posthearing condition at around P12. Whereas the neuronal connectivity becomes implemented in the prehearing period, the hallmark

### TABLE 5

Top 10 up-regulated oligos at SOC-P25 as compared with SOC-P4

| Gene symbol | Gene name | Accession number | SOC-P25/SOC-P4 | \(p\) value |
|-------------|-----------|------------------|----------------|------------|
| Tmem10      | Transmembrane protein 10 | NM_001017386 | 135.07 | 0.0002 |
| Mobp        | Myelin-associated oligodendrocytic basic protein | XM9638 | 88.9 | 0.0002 |
| Hapln2      | Hyaluronan and proteoglycan link protein 2 | NM_022285 | 69.72 | 0.0002 |
| Tf          | Transferrin | NM_001013110 | 65.99 | 0.0002 |
| Hapln2      | Hyaluronan and proteoglycan link protein 2 | NM_022285 | 62.47 | 0.0002 |
| Mal         | Myelin and lymphocyte protein, T-cell differentiation protein | NM_012798 | 52.15 | 0.0002 |
| Mog         | Myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein | NM_002668 | 47.25 | 0.0002 |
| Apod        | Apolipoprotein D | NM_012777 | 46.72 | 0.0002 |
| Mog         | Myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein | TC605393 | 31.14 | 0.0002 |
| Mobp        | Myelin-associated oligodendrocytic basic protein | NM_012720 | 30.96 | 0.0002 |

**FIGURE 6.** Expression profiles of selected gene categories with significantly differential regulation between pre- and posthearing stages. Oligos with significant differences in expression between the SOC and the age-matched brain are marked by triangles (green, higher expression in the SOC; red, lower expression in the SOC). The blue horizontal plane depicts the border between developmentally up- and down-regulated oligos. Shown are the mean expression values, normalized to P0 = 1.
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after P12 are secure and high temporal acuity in sound-induced neurotransmission and high neuronal activity. Our data provide a comprehensive quantitative and qualitative description of the underlying molecular changes. They extend, for instance, the repertoire of voltage-gated K⁺ channels, which play an important role in auditory neurons (73, 74). They furthermore hint at an important role of G-protein-coupled receptors, which so far have been poorly analyzed in the auditory system, despite their emerging importance (75). As an example, Gpr37 and Gpr3711, encoded by two of the three highest up-regulated genes, were recently identified as receptors for the neuroprotective and glioprotective factor prosaposin (76).

Our study also emphasizes the importance of down regulation in sculpting mature SOC neurons. We observed various categories in which individual genes displayed SOC-related down-regulation. These included Ca²⁺, K⁺, and Na⁺ channels (Fig. 6). Their down-regulation (below the level in the entire brain) suggests that they are not only dispensable for mature SOC function but impair it. Na⁺v,1.2 channels make a good case for this hypothesis; they promote back-propagation of action potentials to the soma (77). This process is strongly reduced in medial superior olive neurons to optimize correct synaptic integration (78). The fact that half of the molecular specification in the mature SOC is caused by significant down-regulation compared with the entire brain (Table 2) underscores the importance of SOC-related down-regulation.

**Coordinated Development of the Auditory System**—The development of sensory pathways requires precise and coordinated orchestration. A striking example is provided by the visual system in *Drosophila*, where the same TFs promote the cell type-specific expression of sensory receptors and cell surface proteins that regulate target specificity (79). Intriguingly, several of the TFs that are up-regulated in the early postnatal SOC have established functions during development of auditory structures, such as Gata3 (57) and Mafk/Kreisler (80). They are essential for proper development of the inner ear, and Gata3 is additionally required for correct olivocochlear projections (57). Expression of Gata3 has also been reported in cochlear ganglion neurons (57), the lateral lemniscus, and the inferior colliculus (81), whereas Mafk/Kreisler is present in neurons of the ventral cochlear nucleus (82). Given these data, these TFs might provide a molecular mechanism for coordinated development of the auditory system. Finally, the intimate genetic relationship between the cochlea and the SOC supports the emerging hypothesis that the sensory cells and auditory brainstem nuclei arouse jointly by having recourse to common changes in genetic programs (83).

Taken together, our study provides the first comprehensive and quantitative molecular description of the developing SOC on the transcriptome level. We identified novel molecular pathways involved in circuit organization and function, and our catalogue represents a valuable tool to

### TABLE 6
Mapping of SOC-related genes to human genetic loci associated with hearing impairment

| Comparison         | Oligos | Rat genes | Human orthologues | Orthologues located in a deafness locus | Deafness loci covered | p value |
|--------------------|--------|-----------|-------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------|
| P4 SOC ↔ brain     | 912    | 452       | 430               | 65                                     | 24                    | 0.3182  |
| P25 SOC ↔ brain    | 1,609  | 817       | 770               | 97                                     | 31                    | 0.1297  |
| SOC ↔ brain        | 453    | 209       | 196               | 29                                     | 19                    | 0.05676 |
| All mapped oligos  | 22,150 | 18,489    | 14,790            | 2,427                                  | 39                    | NA*     |

* NA, not applicable.
identify genes involved in normal and abnormal function of the auditory brainstem.
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