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ABSTRACT

Objective: The aim of this consensus statement on viscosupplementation is to serve as a reference document based on relevant literature and clinical experience in the treatment of knee osteoarthritis using an intra-articular injection of hyaluronic acid, covering key aspects such as clinical indications, effectiveness, and tolerability. Methods: A multidisciplinary panel including two sports medicine physicians, six orthopedists, four physiatrists, and two rheumatologists were selected based on their clinical and academic experience of viscosupplementation. Sixteen statements were prepared and discussed, after which a vote was held. Each member of the panel gave a score between 0 and 10 on a Likert scale, specifying their level of agreement with the statement. Results: The panel reached a consensus on several issues. Specifically, the panel agreed that the best indication is for mild to moderate knee arthritis; prior or concomitant use of intraarticular triamcinolone hexacetonide may optimize the effect of hyaluronic acid; viscosupplementation should not be performed as an isolated procedure but in conjunction with other rehabilitative and pharmacological measures; viscosupplementation has analgesic, anti-inflammatory, and chondroprotective effects; and viscosupplementation is cost-effective. Conclusion: This consensus statement provides clear information and guidance for both individuals and payers. Level of evidence V, Consensus statement.
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RESUMO

Objetivo: O Consenso Brasileiro de Viscossuplementação visa gerar uma fonte referencial e consensual, a partir de levantamentos bibliográficos relevantes, do conhecimento teórico e da experiência clínica de especialistas de áreas afins para tratamento de viscosuplementação na osteoartrose do joelho, mitigando pontos críticos desse procedimento, como via de aplicação, indicação, eficácia e tolerabilidade. Métodos: Um painel multidisciplinar foi formado com dois médicos do esporte, seis ortopedistas, quatro fisiatras e dois reumatólogos, com base nas experiências clínicas e acadêmicas no uso da viscosuplementação. Foram elaboradas, discutidas e votadas 16 afirmativas. Cada membro do painel deu um valor entre zero e 10, em uma escala tipo Likert, especificando seu nível de concordância com a afirmação. Resultados: O painel chegou a um consenso sobre diversos aspectos da viscosuplementação, com destaque para as seguintes afirmativas: a melhor indicação é para artrose de joelhos leve à moderada; o uso prévio ou concomitante de hexacetonidio de triamcinolona intra-articular pode otimizar o efeito do ácido hialurônico; a viscosuplementação não deve ser realizada como procedimento isolado no tratamento da OA, mas em conjunto com outras medidas reabilitadoras e farmacológicas; promove efeito analgésico; anti-inflamatório; condroprotetor; e é custo-efetiva. Conclusão: Este consenso traz informações claras e servirá, como guia tanto para médicos quanto para as fontes pagadoras. Nível de evidência V, Consenso de especialistas.
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INTRODUCTION

Osteoarthritis (OA) is the most prevalent joint disease and is associated with pain and disability. It is predicted that 25% of the adult population (more than 50 million people in the US) will be affected by this disease by 2020 and that OA will be a major cause of morbidity and restricted mobility in individuals over 40 years of age.\(^1\)\(^2\) The latest update of the 2013 Global Burden of Disease Estimates that 242 million people worldwide live with symptoms and limitations due to osteoarthritis of the knees or hips.\(^3\)

Viscosupplementation (VS) is the intra-articular injection of exogenous hyaluronic acid for the treatment of osteoarthritis.\(^4\) Hyaluronic acid exists in several organisms, and when it is not bound to other molecules, it binds to water and becomes gelatinous. It was first isolated in 1934 by Karl Meyer.\(^5\) Balazs popularised the name hyaluronan by using it to encompass the various forms that the molecule can take: the acid form, such as hyaluronic acid, and the salt form, such as sodium hyaluronate; Balazs is considered the pioneer in the use of this substance for the treatment of osteoarthritis.\(^6\) Intra-articular injection of hyaluronic acid has basically three main objectives: viscosupplementation itself, i.e., improving the rheological properties of the synovial fluid, serving both as a lubricant and as a shock absorber; analgesia; and improvement of joint homeostasis by decreasing inflammation and positively stimulating chondrocytes.\(^7\)

The clinical outcomes of VS show a benefit with regard to pain relief, which has been demonstrated in several clinical trials and meta-analyses.\(^7\)\(^-\)\(^12\) It is also considered a disease-modifying drug\(^13\)\(^-\)\(^14\) with benefits that have been observed over a period of 6 months to 2 years.\(^15\) It is believed that the long-term efficacy of hyaluronic acid is attributable to its modulatory action in the inflammatory process that occurs in the osteoarthritic joint and in its interaction with the receptors of CD44 synoviocytes.\(^16\)\(^-\)\(^17\) However, despite this robust evidence, the recent international guidelines are not unanimous regarding recommendations for its use.\(^18\)\(^-\)\(^21\)

Thus, a multidisciplinary group was formed to generate a consensus reference document based on a review of relevant literature, theoretical knowledge and the clinical experience of specialists in areas related to viscosupplementation for knee osteoarthritis. We aimed to address critical aspects of this procedure such as injection approach, clinical indications, efficacy and tolerability. The statement is also intended to guide and support medical students, medical residents and managers of public and private health systems who seek to increase the use of this procedure and improve their medical practice to enhance the quality of life of individuals with osteoarthritis of the knees.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A multidisciplinary panel including physicians in different specialties was formed. Participants were selected based on their clinical and academic experience in the use of viscosupplementation for the treatment of osteoarthritis. Two sports medicine physicians, 6 orthopaedists, 4 physiatrists and 2 rheumatologists participated in the panel. Initial face-to-face meetings were held between 4 members to define the 16 statements to be discussed. Ethics approval was waived since it is an expert opinion paper.

Once the statements were defined, 1 panellist conducted a review of the relevant literature and distributed the selected articles to all panel members so that discussion and scores were based not only on personal experience but also on quality scientific evidence. The terms “viscosupplementation”, “hyaluronic acid”, “hylan”, and “hyaluronan”, in conjunction with the terms “osteoarthritis” and “knee” were used for the bibliographic search. Only articles in the English language were considered. The search was performed in the PubMed database and the articles considered most relevant were selected and distributed to all panel members. After reading the articles, all members of the panel convened for a meeting during which the statements were presented. All the statements were strongly debated before the vote. The vote was held after exhaustive debate of all questions raised regarding viscosupplementation. Sixteen statements were prepared, discussed and voted on. For each of the statements, each panel member gave a score between 0 and 10 on a Likert scale, indicating their level of agreement with the statement. On this scale, the value zero meant “I completely disagree”, and the value 10 meant “I completely agree”. After the vote, the scores were grouped into 3 categories. Scores between 0 and 3 were classified as indicating “disagreement”, scores between 4 and 6 were classified as indicating “indifference”, and scores between 7 and 10 were classified as indicating “agreement”. Finally, the level of agreement among panellists for each statement was summarised as “unanimously in favour” when all votes were greater than or equal to seven, “strongly in favour” when only one of the votes was not greater than or equal to seven, “moderately in favour” when only two to four votes were not greater than or equal to seven, “without consensus” when there was no category with at least four votes more than another category, “moderately against” when only two to four votes were greater than 3, “strongly against” when only one of the votes was greater than 3, and “unanimously against” when all votes were less than or equal to 3.

RESULTS

Statement 1. The best indication is for mild to moderate OA of the knee.
Agreement: 100% - unanimously in favour
Mean: 9.78  Median: 10   Score range: 8-10

The panel was unanimously in favour of the statement, as was the American group for the Appropriate Use Criteria (AUC) of hyaluronic acid for OA of the knee, which in 2017 published an article stating that there is evidence in the literature to support that viscosupplementation is an appropriate treatment for patients with mild and moderate OA.\(^22\) Such a statement had previously been made by a consensus panel of European experts.\(^23\) A French study focusing on evidence from “real” studies has suggested that joint infiltration with hyaluronic acid appears to be more effective when the patient has mild to moderate OA.\(^24\) Viscosupplementation was also recommended for patients with chronic, low-grade OA in the anterior, medial and lateral knee compartments.\(^25\)

Statement 2. Viscosupplementation can be used as the first line of treatment.
Agreement: 92.86% - strongly in favour
Mean: 8.85  Median: 9.5   Score range: 6-10

The AMELIA study showed that repeated cycles of intra-articular hyaluronic acid infiltration improves knee OA symptoms not only during the period between treatments but also for as long as one year after the last injection.\(^15\) Similarly, a Cochrane review conducted in 2006 and revised in 2014 found that viscosupplementation is an effective treatment for OA of the knee, with beneficial effects on pain, function and overall assessment of the patient and over various post-treatment periods, especially between 5 and 13 weeks.\(^9\)\(^-\)\(^26\) A systematic review and meta-analysis confirmed that viscosupplementation is effective within 26 weeks after infiltration in patients with OA.\(^27\) Another meta-analysis found that there is good evidence in the literature on the efficacy of viscosupplementation in reducing pain and improving function in OA of the knee.\(^7\) Finally, the American group for the Appropriate Use Criteria of viscosupplementation for OA of the knee has recognised that there is a need to consider injections of hyaluronic acid as primary therapy
given the expected increase in the prevalence of OA of the knee among American adults.22

**Statement 3.** Viscosupplementation may be indicated in cases of severe OA of the knee
Agreement: 85.71% - moderately in favour
Mean: 8.85 Median: 10 Score range: 5-10

Viscosupplementation improves pain and function in patients with OA of the knee.26 Guidelines on the clinical treatment of osteoarthritis suggest that viscosupplementation is indicated for the treatment of OA of the knee either alone28 or in combination with medications for symptomatic relief,29 mainly in patients with mild to moderate OA (classified as Kellgren & Lawrence grades 2 and 3). However, several studies also suggest that viscosupplementation is beneficial in patients with severe OA of the knee. A recent randomised clinical trial demonstrated improvement of pain and function in patients with severe OA of the knee.28 Waddell et al.29 found that total knee arthroplasty was delayed by more than 7 years in 75% of 1863 knees with grade IV osteoarthritis (1342 patients) who had used viscosupplementation. The European Consensus30 also states that viscosupplementation may be beneficial in cases of severe osteoarthritis of the knees.

**Statement 4.** The prior or concomitant use of intra-articular triamcinolone hexacetonide may optimise the effect of hyaluronic acid.
Agreement: 100% - unanimously in favour
Mean: 8.85 Median: 9.5 Score range: 7-10

The use of intra-articular corticosteroid in osteoarthritic knees leads to an improvement in pain and function for 2 to 4 weeks, after which the effect wanes.3,8,12,30,31 Infiltration with hyaluronic acid also promotes antalgic effects and functional improvement, but with a later onset and longer duration (approximately 6 months).7,9 Based on these findings, in 2009 Bannuru et al.8 suggested that both medications should be used concurrently to achieve early and lasting pain control and functional improvement. This combination was studied by de Campos et al. in 201312 In this study, the addition of 1 ml of triamcinolone hexacetonide improved pain and function in the first week after viscosupplementation, without altering the incidence of adverse effects, or even the long-term beneficial effect of viscosupplementation. This finding provides support for the effectiveness of combined corticosteroid and hyaluronic acid treatment in achieving early and lasting improvement of pain and function. Although studies on the combination of corticosteroids and hyaluronic acid consider only concomitant injection, the panel also considered it appropriate to perform the corticosteroid injection one week before the injection of the hyaluronic acid.

**Statement 5.** The results of VS vary according to the viscosupplementation product used.
Agreement: 85.71% - moderately in favour
Mean: 8.21 Median: 8 Score range: 6-10

The products currently on the market differ in their origin (animal or biofermented), production method, molecular weight, rheological properties, presence of crosslinks, pharmacodynamics and duration of persistence in the joint.4 Some are still combined with anti-oxidants such as sorbitol or mannnitol.32 In the panel’s view, these variations play an important role in the discrepant and unclear recommendations currently found in some systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and guidelines that attempt to aggregate evidence on viscosupplementation by considering all viscosupplements as a single class of drugs. A review in the Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery (JBJS) that carefully examined more recently published studies suggests that viscosupplementation is a safe option with a clinically important reduction of pain in patients with OA of the knee, especially when using formulations with higher molecular weights or cross-links.33 The use of anti-oxidant substances may also potentiate the effects of viscosupplementation by reducing the rate of degradation of hyaluronic acid without increasing the risk of adverse events.32 Similar to the European Consensus panel,23 this panel finds that it is impossible to consider the different products as a single class. Thus, one cannot extrapolate the results from one product to another, nor perform meta-analyses by grouping results from different types of viscosupplements.

**Statement 6.** The best access route for non-guided infiltration is the superolateral approach.
Agreement: 21.43% - no consensus
Mean: 4.5 Median: 5 Score range: 0-9

There are several non-guided techniques for knee viscosupplementation described in the literature. Most studies show that insertion points lateral to the patella are more accurate when compared to the insertion points medial to the patella.34-36 The most cited approach in patients with associated joint effusion are the superolateral and the medial lateral patellar approaches,34,36 which are more accurate than medial approaches. When using the superolateral and direct lateral approaches, the patient should be placed in a supine position with the knee half-extended or fully extended. For the anterolateral approach, which is similar to that used for knee arthroscopy, the patient may be in a sitting position with the knee at 90 degrees, which some professionals prefer. Although the literature favours the superolateral approach, there was no consensus among panel members in this regard. As most studies have been conducted with patients with some degree of joint effusion, the panel’s opinion is that individual clinical experience should be taken into account during the procedure, especially in patients without joint effusion.

**Statement 7.** Viscosupplementation should not be performed as the only procedure in the treatment of OA but together with other rehabilitative and pharmacological measures.
Agreement: 100% - unanimously in favour
Mean: 9.78 Median: 10 Score range: 7-10

The consensus statement of the European Society for Clinical and Economic Aspects of Osteoporosis and Osteoarthritis (ESCEO)37 published in 2014 stated that patients with mild to moderate OA of the knee can be appropriately managed with a set of core measures (education, diet and exercise) combined with the use of oral analgesics (Paracetamol), slow-acting symptomatic treatment (glucosamine and chondroitin) and topical anti-inflammatory drugs. If necessary, advanced pharmacological measures, including non-selective and selective oral anti-inflammatory drugs, intra-articular corticosteroids and hyaluronic acid, may be added. This is consistent with virtually all guidelines and opinion articles on OA treatment, which recommend a multimodal approach to OA treatment with a central core of education, weight loss and physical activity, which can be complemented with other pharmacological therapies such as, for example, viscosupplementation.

**Statement 8.** The number of treatments will depend on the patient’s profile and the viscosupplements used.
Agreement: 92.86% - strongly in favour
Mean: 8.71 Median: 9.5 Score range: 4-10

As discussed in the Statement 5, there are various products currently on the market that differ from one another with regard to several characteristics. Some of these characteristics interfere with the longevity of viscosupplements in the joint.37,38 Because it remains in the joint for approximately 7 days, the classic regimen for sodium hyaluronate involves weekly injections with a total of 3 to 5 treatments, which allows a total time of action in the joint of 21 to 35 days. The literature demonstrates that the presence of cross-links greatly increases the longevity of the product in the joint, probably because
it hinders resorption. Combination with anti-oxidant substances may also delay resorption of the product. The use of products with longer persistence allows for a treatment regimen with fewer injections, or even a single injection. Single-dose use was validated for high-molecular weight and cross-linked hylan in a controlled non-inferiority clinical trial. However, a prospective randomised study comparing two regimens with a linear sodium hyaluronate product of intermediate molecular weight (single injection of 6 ml versus 3 weekly injections of 2 ml) demonstrated that the one-time injection did not provide the same efficacy.

**Statement 9.** In cases of mild knee osteoarthritis, VS has a chondroprotective effect.

Agreement: 100% - unanimously in favour
Mean: 9 Median: 9 Score range: 7-10

A recent systematic review found 67 articles in the literature describing chondroprotective effects conferred by intra-articular injection of hyaluronic acid. Hyaluronic acid has several beneficial effects, including reduction of chondrocyte apoptosis and increased chondrocyte proliferation, and most of these effects are due to interaction with CD44 cell receptors. Binding to CD44 has a greater effect with viscosupplements of higher molecular weights. Studies by Bagga et al. in 2006 and Band et al. in 2015 examined the synovial fluid of patients with osteoarthritis of the knee who had received hyaluronic acid injections; improvements of pain and function were observed, probably due to the chondroprotective effect of the increased hyaluronic acid concentration in the synovial fluid. Studies with more objective findings have also published. Biopsies performed before and after VS showed reconstitution of the superficial layer, better quality of the matrix and higher density of chondrocytes, with a greater number of intracellular organelles after 6 months. “Second look” arthroscopies performed 1 year after starting treatment with hyaluronic acid found a better visual appearance of the articular surface compared to the placebo group. Finally, Jubb et al. found that treatment with hyaluronic acid significantly reduced the progression of joint space loss in patients with milder degrees of OA of the knees compared to placebo.

**Statement 10.** VS may be indicated in patellofemoralchondropathy.

Agreement: 85.71% - moderately in favour
Mean: 8.21 Median: 8 Score range: 6-10

There are no prospective and randomised clinical trials on viscosupplementation aimed at treating patients with chondropathy and/or patellofemoral osteoarthritis of the knee, a condition often associated with anterior knee pain, which is often disabling. An open pilot study used hylan in patients with anterior knee pain due to patellofemoral arthritis and demonstrated a reduction of pain (particularly when climbing stairs) and overall improvement in the condition of the participating patients according to validated measures. The decrease in pain was significant from week 4 and maintained until week 52. A recent study reported improvement of pain in professional soccer players who received VS for the treatment of patellofemoral chondropathy. Frosted and Dagher were successful in the treatment of 25 patients with patellofemoral pain using an arthroscopic lateral release combined with viscosupplementation. Most participants in his panel recognise the potential beneficial effect of viscosupplementation in cases of patellofemoral pathology, especially when combined with non-pharmacological treatment for muscle strengthening.

**Statement 11.** Imaging guidance (by ultrasound, fluoroscopy, CT or other methods) is necessary to perform VS.

Agreement: 78.57% - moderately against
Mean: 2.28 Median: 2 Score range: 0-4

Hyaluronic acid (HA) may be injected in different anatomical sites, with or without imaging guidance. However, to achieve their therapeutic benefit, hyaluronic acid derivatives should be injected directly into the space of the knee joint and not into the anterior adipose cushion or subsynovial tissues. In the absence of a knee effusion, reproducible placement of the needle into the intra-articular space can be a challenge. Anatomically guided injections, which rely on conventional palpatation, often result in inaccurate needle positioning in the extra-articular tissue and adjacent structures. However, injections have traditionally been carried out this way and it is important to question whether the use of imaging can significantly improve the accuracy of injections. Bookman et al. report an accuracy of 92.7% using ultrasound-guided infiltration of the knee joint and 77.9% using blind methods; similar results were reported by Berkoff et al. and respectively. A comparison between the effect of ultrasound-guided HA injection and blind HA injection in patients with OA of the knee was performed using clinical scores. Kianmehr et al. observed better WOMAC scores in patients who underwent guided injection than in those who underwent blind injection after 6 and 12 weeks. Even so, most doctors choose to perform blind knee infiltration. The panel of experts was moderately against the need for guided puncture in viscosupplementation. Blind injections at the superolateral patellar site have good accuracy, especially if performed by an experienced professional. The precision of needle placement varies from 63% to 100% with ultrasound and from 39% to 100% with conventional anatomical guidance.

**Statement 12.** Viscosupplementation generates cost reductions for the supplementary health system, making it a cost-effective procedure.

Agreement: 92.86% - strongly in favour
Mean: 8.85 Median: 9.5 Score range: 5-10

Osteoarthritis of the knee is a great burden to society because it is extremely prevalent, it severely decreases the quality of life of the affected individuals and it generates enormous costs. Thus, in addition to being effective, it is essential that any treatment for osteoarthritis be cost-effective. Several studies have studied the cost-effectiveness of viscosupplementation. A French multicentre study compared the costs and effectiveness of OA treatment with NSAIDs or hyaluronic acid and concluded that intra-articular (IA) HA treatment did not generate additional costs for the national health insurance system and was associated with functional improvement and better quality of life in patients with osteoarthritis of the knee. The cost-effectiveness analysis was in favour of VS. Another study conducted in individuals of productive age (between 18 and 65 years old) concluded that the addition of high-molecular weight hyaluronic acid to the routine OA treatment is cost-effective. Finally, a study carried out with the top 5 brands of hyaluronic acid on the American market concluded that viscosupplementation with any of was more cost-effective compared to routine treatment. Thus, the panel was strongly in agreement that viscosupplementation generates cost reductions for the supplementary health system and is a cost-effective procedure.

**Statement 13.** Viscosupplementation has analgesic effects.

Agreement: 100% - unanimously in favour
Mean: 9.21 Median: 10 Score range: 7-10

VS promoted reduction of knee pain in all studies, based on both the WOMAC score and the visual analogue scale. The magnitude and duration of this pain reduction depended on the treatment used (corticosteroids, NSAIDs, placebo). The analgesic effect seems to be associated with the concentration of HA. In comparison to corticosteroids, VS led to a greater reduction of pain after the 5th week, mainly between the 5th and 13th weeks, and persisted until
The use of HA is associated with decreased pain severity, and this reflects improvements in mobility and function. It has been shown that VS can increase quality-adjusted life-years in patients with symptomatic OA of the knee. A recent pharmaco-economic study showed that the use of intra-articular HA did not generate additional costs for the health system and was associated with functional improvement and better quality of life in patients with OA of the knee.

**DISCUSSION**

Viscosupplementation with intra-articular injection of hyaluronic acid has been widely used as part of the therapeutic arsenal in the conservative treatment of osteoarthritis of the knees. The literature on viscosupplementation is robust, but extremely heterogeneous and conflicting. In the face of contradictory evidence and inconclusive guidelines, physicians need other sources of information to guide their decisions and offer the best possible treatment to their patients. In this context, a consensus considering the opinion of experts in the area, including physicians in different specialties, can be highly valuable. This article constitutes the first Brazilian consensus statement on viscosupplementation and was developed by a multidisciplinary panel of two sports medicine physicians, 6 orthopaedists, 4 physiatrists and two rheumatologists. Although it is extensive, the literature on viscosupplementation includes many studies with excessive comparisons and small samples, which does not allow adequate statistical power to draw consistent conclusions. In addition, some articles have been criticised for the involvement of the pharmaceutical industry in the design, analysis or funding of the studies. However, a careful examination of the most recently published articles indicates that viscosupplementation is a safe option with clinically important reduction of pain in patients with osteoarthritis of the knee (especially younger patients and those with less severe disease), especially in those formulations with higher molecular weights.

Our panel was strongly in favour of the use of viscosupplementation as first-line treatment in osteoarthritis of the knees. The ESCEO guidelines, which recommend injections of hyaluronic acid, reserve its use as a second line of treatment, referring to it as “advanced pharmacological management” indicated for patients with moderate to severe pain that do not respond to common analgesics and/or chondroprotectors. First-line use allows intervention at earlier stages of osteoarthritis, and also obviates the misconception that viscosupplementation should only be used when no other medication or procedure has been successful. The panel also agreed unanimously that viscosupplementation is best indicated for mild to moderate cases. Regarding advanced stages of knee osteoarthritis, although the use of VS as an alternative to a knee prosthesis is not an ideal indication, it can bring benefits to those patients who cannot or do not wish to undergo total knee arthroplasty surgery. This panel also reviewed the available literature on the various forms of action of hyaluronic acid injected into the knee. The panel unanimously agreed that the effect of viscosupplementation is not purely mechanical and that it does not simply function as an “oil change” as some physicians have said to their patients. The literature demonstrates potent biological effects, such as modulation of inflammatory activity, chondrocyte stimulation and decreased nociceptive activity. Optimising the effect of hyaluronic acid requires that it is injected correctly into the joint space. The panel moderately disagreed that imaging guidance such as USG or fluoroscopy is needed for puncture of the knee joint. Although there is literature demonstrating increased efficacy with the use of a guidance method, specialists feel comfortable with correct intra-articular positioning of the needle using only anatomical parameters. There was no consensus, however, on
the best anatomical site to perform the injection. The puncture can be performed lateral or superolateral to the patella with the patient in the supine position and the knee extended, or with an anterolateral approach with the knee at 90 degrees. The panel concluded that physicians should use the technique with which they are more accustomed and feel more confident performing.

The panel was also unanimously in favor of the prior or concomitant use of intra-articular trimcainolone hexacetoinide to optimise the effect of hyaluronic acid. Antioxidants agents have been also used in combination with hyaluronic acid to decelerate degradation by the reactive oxygen species and improve its residence time into the joint. Sorbitol and mannnitol which have intrinsic free radical scavenger properties have been the most studied antioxidants. The oxygen free radicals neutralization by mannnitol and sorbitol can delay the degradation of HA and also provide faster analgesia without safety issues.32

Finally, in spite of the current reluctance of payers to cover the costs of viscosupplementation, the present panel strongly agreed that viscosupplementation generates cost reductions for the supplementary health system and is a cost-effective procedure. The literature has quality studies that demonstrate greater gain in function, increase in quality-adjusted life years, and lower use of deleterious drugs such as NSAIDs when treatment with hyaluronic acid is incorporated into routine treatment for osteoarthritis of the knee.58-58

CONCLUSION

This expert consensus statement provides important information on the most important aspects of viscosupplementation and may serve as a guide for both physicians and payers regarding the treatment of osteoarthritis of the knees with hyaluronic acid.
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