The comparative analysis of the methods for keratin extraction from sheep wool and human hair
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Nowadays, biopolymers such as keratins are widely used in biomedicine due to their low toxicity, biocompatibility, and biodegradability. At the molecular level, keratins differ from other structural proteins by a high content of disulfide bonds, which provide the formation of a compact three-dimensional structure resistant to biological and chemical degradation. Native keratins are highly ordered, whereas, recovered keratins are characterized by a flexible structure with more accessible functional groups. A characteristic feature of solubilized keratins is their ability to polymerize; therefore, they are widely used to create biomaterials. The extraction of keratins from natural fibers is an important step to the development of functional biomaterials. However, this process is complicated by the presence of a large number of intramolecular and intermolecular disulfide bonds in keratins. That is why keratin extraction by breaking the intermolecular disulfide bonds while preserving the covalent bonds of the polypeptide chain is necessary. The goal of our study was to estimate the different methods of solubilized keratin obtaining. In the experiments, samples of different types of wool and human hair were used. Various methods of keratin extraction were applied. The yield of solubilized keratin (%) was calculated from the ratio of the weight of the lyophilized keratin extract and the initial weight of fibers. The molecular mass of recovered keratins was evaluated by SDS-PAAG electrophoresis in the Laemmli buffer system. An analysis of the efficiency of keratin extraction has shown that solubilized keratin yield ranged from 32% to 51% and depended on the composition of the extraction mixture. Electrophoretic analysis of all keratin extracts obtained by various methods confirmed the presence of two bands, which according to the molecular weight corresponding to I and II types of proteins of intermediate filaments. The presence of these proteins provides self-assembly into complex structures.
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Wool and hair are complex natural fibers with a heterogeneous morphological structure. The chemistry of the different components of keratin fibers results in their unique physical and mechanical properties. The major constituent of wool, human hair, and other animal fibers (near 90–95% by weight) is keratin. Keratin belongs to a group of insoluble proteins characterized by high sulfur content [15].

On the other hand, keratin is a biopolymer with a strongly hierarchical organization of subunits, from the α-chains, via intermediate filaments, to the fiber. At the molecular level, keratins differ from other structural proteins by a high content of cysteine, and disulfide bonds, which provide the formation of a compact three-dimensional structure resistant to biological and chemical degradation.

Nowadays, renewable biopolymers, such as keratin are widely utilized in biomedicine due to their abundant availability, low toxicity, biocompatibility, and bioactivity [5]. The structure of these proteins is similar to the extracellular matrix of biological tissues. They contain motifs such as arginine-glycine-aspartic acid and leucine-aspartic acid-valine imitating areas for cellular adhesion. For these reasons, many recent investigations of new biomaterials for clinical use have utilized keratin micromaterials as matrices for cell delivery and as scaffolds for cell-free support of native tissues [4].

It is known that extracted keratin is characterized by the ability to self-assembly and self-aggregation [17]. This feature of keratin provides its use in various fields. In the literature there are many information about the application of keratin to develop nanofibers [18], hydrogels [13], films [11], 3D-scaffold for tissue engineering [19], nanocontainers for controlled drug delivery [6, 14], wound healing [9], nerve regeneration [16], for the creation of functional nanomaterials [7].
The extraction of keratins from human hair and animal fibers is the first step towards the development of biomaterials with a high degree of functionality. However, it is important to save the natural characteristics of recovered keratin breaking only intermolecular disulfide bonds and preserving the covalent bonds of the polypeptide chain. The efficiency of keratin extraction also depends on some factors such as temperature, pH value, duration of extraction, choice of reducing agent, etc.

For obtaining keratin extract, there are many different methods. All of them are based on the oxidation or reduction of disulfide bonds in keratin. The obtained recovered keratin and its physicochemical characteristics significantly depend on the source and method of extraction. In this regard, the purpose of our study was to obtain soluble keratin from wool and human hair and evaluate the efficacy of keratin recovery.

Materials and Methods

In the experiments, samples of wool and human hair were used. All fibers were not chemically treated. Before the extraction of keratin, wool and hair was washed with neutral detergents, washed several times with deionized water, and then dried at the room temperature. Surface lipids from wool and hair were extracted in the Soxhlet apparatus with tetrachloromethane for 6 hours. All fibers were cut into small pieces and then used for experiments.

Extraction

Denaturation-reduction/Urea-thiourea-mercaptoethanol method. According to this method [12], 1 g of fibers was put into the aqueous solution (fiber to liquid ratio 1:50) included 25 mM Tris-HCl, 5 M urea, 2.6 M thiourea, and 5% 2-mercaptoethanol (2-ME), pH 8.5. The extraction was carried out for 72 hours and at a temperature of 50°C. After filtration, the obtained solution was dialyzed in the cellulose tube (molecular weight cut off 12,000–14,000 kDa) against deionized water changed 3 times a day for 3 days and then centrifuged at 12,000 g for 20 min. Then keratin extracts were freeze-dried.

Sulfitolysis method. For keratin solubilization, the method Isarakuraka Ayuthaya et al. [1] was used. 1 g of fibers was treated with 50 ml of the aqueous solution containing 8 M urea, 0.1 M sodium dodecyl sulfate, and 0.5 M sodium metabisulfite (m-BS). The extraction was conducted for 72 hours and a temperature of 50°C. Then the mixture was filtered and dialyzed. The obtained solution was lyophilized.

Analytical methods. The extraction yield of keratin (%) was calculated from the ratio of the weight of the lyophilized keratin from extract and initial weight of the fibers.

The protein concentration in the supernatants was determined by a colorimetric Bradford method using bovine serum albumin as a standard [2]. Before electrophoresis, the samples were heated at 90°C for 10 min. Electrophoresis of solubilized keratin was conducted in a 12.5% SDS-PAGE in the Laemmli buffer system [10]. Proteins in the gel were stained with 0.2% Coomassie Brilliant Blue R-250 and washed with the solution containing 7% acetic acid. Standards Protein Molecular Weight Mass (Fermentas, Lithuania) were used to determine the molecular weight of proteins in keratin extract.

The obtained data were processed using the arithmetic mean and standard error (M±m) and the adequate interval for assessing the degree of probability using Student’s criterion. Differences were statistically significant at P<0.05.

Results and Discussion

Nowadays, there are two main approaches to obtaining soluble keratin. The first is based on the dissolution of proteins by cleavage of peptide bonds to form macromolecules and mild extraction methods that provide the predominant cleavage of disulfide linkage and intermolecular hydrogen bonds. To preserve the unique properties of keratins, the second approach is usually chosen for keratin extraction. It can be realized through the oxidation or reduction of keratin. Upon keratin oxidation with peracetic acid, hydrogen peroxide, and other oxidants, disulfide groups are converted into cysteic acid residues. The obtaining derivatives called keratoses and they are impossible to restore disulfide bonds in their molecules. As a result of keratin reduction, keratines are obtained in the form Keratin — Cys — S− and Keratin — Cys — SS−. Keratines contain amino acid residues capable of re-crossing [17]. In our experiments, we applied the method of keratin reduction using various extraction mixtures.

| Table: The efficiency of keratin extraction |
|--------------------------------------------|
| Reducing agent in the mixture | The extraction yield, % |
|------------------------------- |----------------------|
| Wool                         | Human hair          |
| 2-mercaptoethanol            | 31.90±1.07a          | 34.16±0.17a          |
| Dithiothriol                 | 50.65±1.46b          | 40.80±2.3b           |
| Metabisulfite                | 33.96±1.25a          | 47.90±0.98b          |

Note. Results are expressed as means of 5-measurements ± standard error. The values in a column marked with various letters differ significantly (P<0.05).

As can be seen from the table, the efficiency of keratin extraction from wool and human hair significantly depends on the reducing agent. It should be noted that the extraction of keratin from wool was highest when the extraction mixture contained dithiothriol. In contrast, the effect of mercaptoethanol and sodium metabisulfite on the efficiency of keratin extraction was almost identical. Among the present reducing agents used for human hair extraction, 2-mercaptoethanol showed 34.16% protein yield as compared to the dithiothriol mixture (40.8%) and m-bisulfite mixture (47.90%).

Our data have shown that the replacement of mercaptoethanol with dithiothriol in the extraction mixture significantly increased the efficiency of keratin extraction both from wool and human hair. However, the most effective reducing agent for keratin solubilization from human hair was metabisulfite.
Several methods are presented in the literature source in a view of improving extraction yield. However, the results obtained by various authors are different. According to the authors [8], the extraction efficiency of keratin from feathers was 18.3% if the extraction mixture contained sodium metabisulfite, while when using Na₂S — 86.5%. In studies [17], mercaptoethanol was identified as the most effective reducing agent for feather keratin extraction.

Other authors reported about development of a new two-hour protocol for the extraction of human hair shaft proteins and showed a protein recovery of 47.3±3.72% [20]. In this case, the extraction mixture contained NaOH, sodium dodecyl sulfate, beta-mercaptoethanol, and ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid. However, some authors indicated that the optimal reducing agent for the extraction of keratin from various keratin fibers can be sodium metabisulfite, as it is cheaper and safer than mercaptoethanol and dithiothreitol [17].

The effect of the reducing agent on the protein concentration in the keratin extract is shown in fig. 1. Thus, protein concentration in the wool extract fluctuated from 2.6 to 4.02 mg/ml. In human hair extracts, the protein concentration was in the range of 2.9–4.75 mg/ml. As can be seen from fig. 1B, application in the extraction mixture of metabisulfite and dithiothreitol is accompanied by a significant increase in protein content in the extract of human hair (P<0.05).

Figures 2–3 show the electrophoretic profile of all keratin extracts. It should be noted that electrophoretic patterns of all keratin extracts obtained by methods based on the principle of denaturation-reduction do not differ significantly. Our results indicate the presence of two main bands of proteins in the range of 40–60 kDa, which, according to the literature data, belong to the proteins of intermediate filaments [3]. These proteins are characterized by low sulfur content and microfibrillar structure. They are predominantly localized in the cortex.

We also found bands of proteins with a molecular mass of 10–30 kDa, which can be considered as keratin-associated proteins that form the fiber matrix [15]. Thus, the extracted keratins via reduction and sulfitolysis ways showed the same molecular weight distribution.

**Conclusions**

A comparative analysis of the efficacy of different methods of wool and human hair keratin extraction has been shown that the keratin extraction yield significantly depends on the reducing agent in the extraction mixture. The use of sodium metabisulfite in the extraction mixture provides extraction of keratin from wool and hair in the range of 34–48%. Electrophoretic analysis of all keratin extracts confirmed the presence of two bands, which according to the molecular weight corresponding to I and II types of proteins of intermediate filaments.

**Prospects for Further Research**

Hydrogels and films based on keratin biopolymer will be developed. Their structure and physicochemical parameters will be determined. Special attention will be paid to the studies of the ability of these hydrogels to combine with extracts of medicinal plants. In the future, it is planned to obtain films and hydrogels based on keratins with antimicrobial properties.
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