QED of lossy cavities: operator and quantum-state input-output relations
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Within the framework of exact quantization of the electromagnetic field in dispersing and absorbing media the input-output problem of a high-Q cavity is studied, with special emphasis on the absorption losses in the coupling mirror. As expected, the cavity modes are found to obey quantum Langevin equations, which could be also obtained from quantum noise theories, by appropriately coupling the cavity modes to dissipative systems, including the effect of the mirror-assisted absorption losses. On the contrary, the operator input-output relations obtained in this way would be incomplete in general, as the exact calculation shows. On the basis of the operator input-output relations the problem of extracting the quantum state of an initially excited cavity mode is studied and input-output relations for the s-parameterized phase-space function are derived, with special emphasis on the relation between the Wigner functions of the quantum states of the outgoing field and the cavity field.

PACS numbers: 42.50.Dv, 42.50.Lc, 05.30.-d

I. INTRODUCTION

The use of atoms interacting with light has been very promising in handling information storage, communication, and computation [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. In fact, optical systems do not only allow the observation of fundamental quantum effects [4, 5, 6, 7], but they can also be used to implement quantum networks with photons, which may be regarded as representing the best qubit carriers for fast and long-distance quantum communication [11, 12, 13]. In optical systems resonatorlike devices—referred to as cavities in the following—are indispensable elements. In particular, high-Q cavities have been well known to offer a number of possibilities to engineer nonclassical states of light [12, 13]. Since high-Q cavities feature well-pronounced line spectra of the electromagnetic field, which renders it possible to control the atom-field interaction to a high degree, they are best suited for the generation of quantum states on demand. For example, in Ref. [14] the creation of arbitrary superposition of Fock states of light inside a cavity by means of controlling the time sequence of the amplitudes and phases of the atom-field interactions of the quantized cavity field and the external driving fields with a trapped atom is considered. Another proposal to generate superposition Fock states or coherent states in a cavity is to exploit adiabatic interaction of the cavity field with an atomic system by achieving the transfer of ground-state Zeeman coherence onto the cavity-mode field [15]. It is worth noting that the idea of the generation of a bit-stream of single photons on demand in an optical cavity is based on the concept of adiabatic pas-...
degradation of nonclassical light features indispensable for quantum communication (see, e.g., Ref. [31]).

Roughly speaking, there have been two routes of treating the input-output problem of a leaky cavity. In the first—the quantum stochastic approach to the problem—standard Markovian damping theory is employed, where the dynamical system is identified with a chosen mode of the perfect cavity \((Q \rightarrow \infty)\), the dissipative system is identified with the continuum of modes outside the cavity, and a bilinear coupling energy between the modes of the two systems is assumed \([32]\). In this way, the cavity mode is found to obey a quantum Langevin equation, and operator input-output relations can be derived. The theory can be used, e.g., to relate correlation functions of the outgoing field to correlation functions of the cavity field and the incoming field \([33]\) or to describe the coupling of modes of two cavities through their respective input and output ports \([34, 35]\).

In the second route—the quantum field theoretical approach to the problem—the calculations are based on Maxwell’s equations and exact quantization of the electromagnetic field in the presence of nonabsorbing cavity walls described in terms of appropriately chosen real permittivities \([36, 37, 38]\). Having established the equivalence of the two routes, thereby constructing the interaction energy between the cavity field and the outer field, one may try to include unwanted absorption losses in the theory by allowing for further dissipative systems in such a way that appropriately chosen interaction energies between them and the cavity modes are added to the Hamiltonian used in the quantum stochastic approach \([38, 39]\). As we will show within the frame of exact quantum electrodynamics in dispersing and absorbing media, this simple concept, though leading to the correct quantum Langevin equations for the cavity field, does not lead to the correct operator input-output relations in general, because the absorption losses in the coupling mirrors are not properly taken into account.

If the operator input-output relations are known, the correlation functions of the outgoing field can be expressed in terms of correlation functions of the cavity field and the incoming field. In this context the question of the calculation of outgoing-field quantum state as a whole arises. Starting with the correct operator input-output relations that include both wanted and unwanted losses, we will calculate the quantum state of the pulse-like field escaping from a high-Q cavity, assuming that the quantum state of the corresponding cavity field at some initial time is known.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II some basic equations are given and the cavity model is introduced. The intracavity field and the outgoing field, including the operator input-output relations, are studied in Secs. III and IV respectively. The problem of extraction of an initially prepared cavity-quantum state is considered in Sec. V and a summary and concluding remarks are given in Sec. VI. Some derivations are given in appendices.

II. PRELIMINARIES

A. Quantization scheme

Let us consider \(N\) atoms (with the \(A\)th atom being at position \(r_A\)) that in electric dipole approximation interact with the electromagnetic field in the presence of linear dielectric media of spatially varying and frequency-dependent complex permittivity

\[
\varepsilon(r, \omega) = \varepsilon'(r, \omega) + i\varepsilon''(r, \omega).
\]

Note that due to causality the real and imaginary parts \(\varepsilon'(r, \omega)\) and \(\varepsilon''(r, \omega)\), respectively, are uniquely related to each other through the Kramers-Kronig relations. Following the approach to quantization of the macroscopic Maxwell field as given in Refs. \([10, 11, 12, 13, 14]\), we may write the multipolar-coupling Hamiltonian in the form of \([15]\)

\[
\hat{H} = \hat{H}_{\text{field}} + \hat{H}_{\text{atom}} + \hat{H}_{\text{int}}.
\]

Here,

\[
\hat{H}_{\text{field}} = \int d^3r \int_0^\infty d\omega \hbar \omega \hat{f}(r, \omega) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{f}}(r, \omega)
\]

is the Hamiltonian of the system composed of the electromagnetic field and the medium, including a reservoir necessarily associated with material absorption, with \(\hat{f}(r, \omega)\) and \(\hat{\mathbf{f}}(r, \omega)\) being bosonic fields that play the role of the dynamical variables of the composed system,

\[
[\hat{f}_\mu(r', \omega), \hat{f}^\dagger_\nu(r', \omega')] = \delta_{\mu\nu} \delta(\omega - \omega') \delta^{(3)}(r - r'),
\]

\[
[\hat{f}_\mu(r, \omega), \hat{f}^\dagger_\nu(r', \omega')] = 0
\]

(the Greek letters label the Cartesian components). Further,

\[
\hat{H}_{\text{atom}} = \sum_A \sum_k \hbar \omega_{Ak} \hat{S}_{Akk}
\]

is the atomic Hamiltonian and

\[
\hat{H}_{\text{int}} = -\sum_A \mathbf{d}_A \cdot \hat{\mathbf{E}}(r_A)
\]

is the (multipolar-)interaction energy, where

\[
\hat{S}_{Akk'} = |k'\rangle_A \langle k|\n\]

are the flip operators of the \(A\)th atom,

\[
\mathbf{d}_A = \sum_{kk'} \mathbf{d}_{Akk'} \hat{S}_{Akk'}
\]

is its electric dipole moment \((\mathbf{d}_{Akk'} = \langle k | \mathbf{d}_A | k' \rangle)\), and \(\hat{\mathbf{E}}(r)\) is the medium-assisted electric field, which expressed in terms of \(\hat{f}(r, \omega)\) and \(\hat{\mathbf{f}}(r, \omega)\) reads

\[
\hat{\mathbf{E}}(r) = \int_0^\infty d\omega \hat{\mathbf{E}}(r, \omega) + \text{H.c.},
\]
\[ \mathbf{E}(r, \omega) = i\mu_0 \sqrt{\frac{\hbar \omega}{\pi}} \epsilon_0^2 \]
\[ \times \int d^3r' \sqrt{\epsilon''(r', \omega)} \mathbf{G}(r, r', \omega) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{f}}(r', \omega) \]  
(11)
where the classical Green tensor \( \mathbf{G}(r, r', \omega) \), which also corresponds to the quantum field-theoretical retarded Green tensor (see, e.g., Ref. [46]), is the solution to the equation
\[ \nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{G}(r, r', \omega) - \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \epsilon(r, \omega) \mathbf{G}(r, r', \omega) = \delta^{(3)}(r - r') \]
(12)
together with the boundary condition
\[ \mathbf{G}(r, r', \omega) \to 0 \text{ for } |r - r'| \to \infty \]  
(13)
It is not difficult to see, that in the Heisenberg picture \( \hat{\mathbf{f}}(r, \omega, t) \) obeys the equation of motion
\[ \hat{\mathbf{f}}(r, \omega, t) = \frac{1}{i\hbar} \left[ \hat{\mathbf{f}}(r, \omega, t), \hat{\mathbf{H}} \right] = -i\hbar \hat{\mathbf{f}}(r, \omega, t) \]
+ \( \mu_0 \omega^2 \left\{ \frac{\epsilon_0}{\hbar} \sqrt{\epsilon''(r, \omega)} \sum_A A(t) \cdot \mathbf{G}(r_A, r, \omega) \right\}, \]
(14)
which after formal integration leads to
\[ \hat{\mathbf{f}}(r, \omega, t) = \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\text{free}}(r, \omega, t) + \hat{\mathbf{f}}_s(r, \omega, t), \]
(15)
where
\[ \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\text{free}}(r, \omega, t) = e^{-i\omega(t-t')} \hat{\mathbf{f}}_{\text{free}}(r, \omega, t') \]
(16)
and
\[ \hat{\mathbf{f}}_s(r, \omega, t) = \mu_0 \omega^2 \left\{ \frac{\epsilon_0}{\hbar} \sqrt{\epsilon''(r, \omega)} \right\} \]
\[ \times \sum_A \int dt' \Theta(t-t') \text{d}_A(t') \cdot \mathbf{G}(r_A, r, \omega) e^{-i\omega(t-t')} \]  
(17)
Substitution of Eq. (15) together with Eqs. (16) and (17) into Eq. (11) yields the corresponding source-quantity representation of \( \mathbf{E}(r, \omega, t) \).

### B. Cavity model

For the sake of transparency, let us consider a one-dimensional cavity modeled by a planar dielectric 4-layer system (Fig. 1). In particular, the layers \( j = 0 \) and \( j = 2 \), respectively, are assumed to correspond to perfectly and fractionally reflecting mirrors which confine the cavity (layer \( j = 1 \)). In what follows we use, with respect to \( z \), shifted coordinate systems such that \( 0 < z < l \) for \( j = 1 \), \( 0 < z < d_j \) for \( j = 2 \), and \( 0 < z < \infty \) for \( j = 3 \). Applying the one-dimensional version of Eq. (11) together with Eqs. (15–17) to the field in the \( j \)th layer of permittivity \( \epsilon_j(\omega) \) \( (j = 1, 2, 3) \), we may write
\[ \mathbf{E}^{(j)}(z, \omega, t) = \mathbf{E}_{\text{free}}^{(j)}(z, \omega, t) + \mathbf{E}_s^{(j)}(z, \omega, t), \]
(18)
with
\[ \mathbf{E}_{\text{free}}^{(j)}(z, \omega, t) = i\omega \mu_0 \]
\[ \times \sum_{j'=1}^{3} \int dz' \mathbf{G}^{(j,j')}(z, z', \omega) \mathbf{E}_{\text{free}}^{(j')}(z', \omega, t) \]  
(19)
and
\[ \mathbf{E}_s^{(j)}(z, \omega, t) = \frac{i}{\pi \epsilon_0 A} \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \sum_A \int dt' \Theta(t-t') \]
\[ \times e^{-i\omega(t-t')} \text{d}_A(t') \text{Im} \mathbf{G}^{(1,j)}(z_A, z, \omega) \]  
(20)
(\( A \), mirror area), where the integral relation (12) has been employed. Here, \( [j'] \) indicates integration over the \( j' \)th layer, the abbreviating notation
\[ \mathbf{G}^{(j,j')}(z, z', \omega) = \omega \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_0}{\pi \epsilon_A}} \mathbf{E}_s^{(j)}(z, \omega, t) \]  
(21)
is used, and it is assumed that the active atomic sources are localized inside the cavity. The (nonlocal part of the) Green function reads
\[ G^{(j,j')}(z, z', \omega) \]
\[ = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \mathbf{E}^{(j)}(z, \omega) \mathbf{E}^{(j')*}(z', \omega) \Theta(j - j') + \mathbf{E}^{(j)}(z, \omega) \mathbf{E}^{(j')*}(z', \omega) \Theta(j' - j) \right], \]
(22)
where the functions
\[ \mathbf{E}^{(j)}(z, \omega) = e^{i\beta_j(z-d_j)} + r_{j/3} e^{-i\beta_j(z-d_j)} \]
(23)
and
\[ \mathbf{E}^{(j)}(z, \omega) = e^{-i\beta_j z} + r_{j/0} e^{i\beta_j z}, \]
(24)
respectively, represent waves of unit strength traveling rightward and leftward in the \( j \)th layer and being reflected at the boundary [note that \( \Theta(j - j') \) means \( \Theta(z - z') \) for \( j = j' \)]. Further, \( \Xi^{j,j'} \) is defined by
\[ \Xi^{j,j'} = \frac{1}{\beta_j t_{0/3}} \frac{t_{0/3}^{j,j'}}{D_j} - \frac{t_{j/0}^{j,j'}}{D_{j'}}, \]
(25)
where
\[ D_j = 1 - r_j r_j/\beta_j e^{2i\beta_j d_j} \] (26)
and
\[ \beta_j \equiv \beta_j(\omega) = \sqrt{\varepsilon_j(\omega)/c} \]
\[ = [n_j'(\omega) + in_j''(\omega)]\omega' = \beta_j' + i\beta_j'' \quad (\beta_j', \beta_j'' \geq 0) \] (27)

\((d_1 = l, d_2 = d, d_3 = 0). The quantities \(t_{j/j'} = (\beta_j/\beta_{j'})_t'\) and \(r_{j/j'}\) denote, respectively, the transmission and reflection coefficients between the layers \(j'\) and \(j\), which can be recursively determined (for recursion formulas, see Appendix A).

### III. CAVITY FIELD

To further evaluate the equations given above, we first consider the field inside the cavity \((j = 1). In order to make contact with the familiar standing-wave expansion in the idealized case of a lossless cavity, it is useful to rewrite the equations with the aim to obtain a nonmonochromatic mode expansion that takes into account the finite line widths due to the wanted input-output coupling and the unwanted absorption losses that unavoidably exist in practice.

#### A. Nonmonochromatic mode expansion

We begin with the free field. From Eqs. (19) and (22) it follows that \(\hat{E}_{\text{free}}(z, \omega, t)\) can be represented in the form of
\[ \hat{E}_{\text{free}}(z, \omega, t) = \frac{1}{D_1} \left[ e^{i\beta_1 z} + r_{13} e^{-i\beta_1(z-2l)} \right] \left[ \hat{C}^{(1)}_{>\pm}(z, \omega, t) - \hat{C}^{(1)}_{<\pm}(z, \omega, t) \right] \]
\[ - \frac{2i \sin(\beta_1 z)}{D_1} \left( \hat{C}^{(1)}_{<\pm}(z, \omega, t) + r_{13} e^{2i\beta_1 l} \hat{C}^{(1)}_{>\pm}(z, \omega, t) \right) \]
\[ + \frac{t_{21} e^{i\beta_1 l}}{D_2} \left( \hat{C}^{(2)}_<(z, \omega, t) + r_{23} e^{2i\beta_2 d} \hat{C}^{(2)}_>(z, \omega, t) \right) \]
\[ + \frac{t_{31} e^{i\beta_1 l}}{D_2} \hat{C}^{(3)}_>(z, \omega, t), \] (28)

where
\[ \hat{C}^{(1)}_{<\pm}(z, \omega, t) = -\frac{\mu_0 c}{2n_1} \int dz' \Theta(z-z') e^{\pi i\beta_1 z'} \hat{E}_{\text{free}}^{(1)}(z', \omega, t), \]
\[ \hat{C}^{(1)}_{>\pm}(z, \omega, t) = \frac{\mu_0 c}{2n_1} \int dz' \Theta(z-z') e^{\pi i\beta_1 z'} \hat{E}_{\text{free}}^{(1)}(z', \omega, t), \]
\[ \hat{C}^{(2)}_<(z, \omega, t) = -\frac{\mu_0 c}{2n_2} \int dz' e^{\pi i\beta_2 z'} \hat{E}_{\text{free}}^{(2)}(z', \omega, t), \]
\[ \hat{C}^{(3)}_>(z, \omega, t) = -\frac{\mu_0 c}{2n_3} \int dz' e^{\pi i\beta_3 z'} \hat{E}_{\text{free}}^{(3)}(z', \omega, t), \]

and
\[ D_2(\omega) = 1 - r_{21} r_{23} e^{2i\beta_2 d}. \] (33)

Inspection of Eq. (28) shows that the function \(D_1(\omega)\) defined by Eq. (26) for \(j = 1\) characterizes the spectral response of the cavity. In particular, its zeros determine the (complex) resonance frequencies \(\Omega_k\),
\[ D_1(\Omega_k) = 1 + r_{13}(\Omega_k) e^{2i\beta_1(\Omega_k)n} = 0. \] (34)

Note that when the coupling mirror is not a single plate but—as in practice—a multilayer system, then \(r_{13}(\omega)\) is the reflection coefficient of the multilayer system and Eq. (34) applies as well. Decomposing \(\Omega_k\) into real and imaginary parts according to
\[ \Omega_k = \omega_k - \frac{1}{2} i \Gamma_k, \] (35)

we can write the formal solution to Eq. (28) in the form of
\[ \omega_k = c \frac{1}{2 n_1^2} \]
\[ \times \left\{ n_1' \left[ 2\pi k + \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{r_{13}''}{r_{13}'} \right) \right] - n_1'' \ln |r_{13}| \right\} \] (36)

and
\[ \Gamma_k = c \frac{1}{2 n_1^2} \]
\[ \times \left\{ n_1'' \left[ 2\pi k + \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{r_{13}''}{r_{13}'} \right) \right] + n_1' \ln |r_{13}| \right\} \] (37)

\([n_1 = n_1(\Omega_k), r_{13} = r_{13}(\Omega_k)], from which the \(\omega_k\) and \(\Gamma_k\) may be calculated by iteration, by starting, e.g., with the resonance frequencies of the lossless cavity. Let \(s(t)\) be a function of time whose Fourier transform is given by
\[ s(\omega) = \frac{S(\omega)}{D_1(\omega)} = \int dt e^{i\omega t} s(t) \] (38)

and assume that \(S(\omega)\) is analytic in the lower half-plane. Employing the residue theorem, we may write
\[ s(t) = \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} e^{-i\omega t} S(\omega) \frac{D_1(\omega)}{D_1(\omega)} = \sum_k \frac{c}{2 n_1 l} \Theta(t)e^{-m_k t} S(\Omega_k). \] (39)

Applying Eqs. (26) and (38) to the c-number functions \(\sin(\beta_1 z)D_1^{-1}, \sin(\beta_2 z)r_{13} e^{2i\beta_1 l} D_1^{-1}, \) and \(e^{i\beta_1 z} + r_{13} e^{-i\beta_1(z-2l)} D_1^{-1}\) in Eq. (28) and disregarding (irrelevant high-frequency) contributions that may arise from
poles other than those of $D^{-1}_1(\omega)$, we may rewrite \( \hat{E}^{(1)}_{\text{free}}(z,\omega,t) \) as
\[
\hat{E}^{(1)}_{\text{free}}(z,\omega,t) = \sum_k \hat{E}^{(1)}_{\text{free}}(z,\omega,t),
\]
with
\[
\hat{E}^{(1)}_{\text{free}}(z,\omega,t) = i\sqrt{\frac{\mu_0 ch\omega}{\pi A n_1}} \frac{c}{2n_1(\Omega_k) t} \sin[\beta_0(\Omega_k)z] \\
\times \int dt' e^{-i\Omega_k(t-t')} \Theta(t-t') \\
\times \left[T(\omega)b_{\text{in}}(\omega,t') + \sum_{\lambda} A_{\lambda}(\omega)c_{\lambda}(\omega,t') \right]
\]
(\( \lambda = \text{cav}, +, - \), where the operators \( c_{\lambda}(\omega,t) \) are defined according to
\[
\hat{c}_{\text{cav}}(\omega,t) = -\alpha_{\text{cav}} \sqrt{\frac{\pi A}{\mu_0 ch\omega}} \\
\times \int \frac{dz}{|1|} \sin(\beta_1 z) \hat{E}^{(1)}_{\text{free}}(z,\omega,t),
\]
\[
\hat{c}_{\pm}(\omega,t) = \alpha_{\pm} \sqrt{\frac{\pi A}{\mu_0 ch\omega}} \\
\times \left[e^{i\beta_{2d}n_2} \hat{c}^{(2)}_+(\omega,t) \pm \hat{c}^{(2)}_-(\omega,t) \right],
\]
\[
\hat{b}_{\text{in}}(\omega,t) = \frac{2|n_3|}{\sqrt{|n_3^2|}} \sqrt{\frac{\pi A}{\mu_0 ch\omega}} \hat{c}^{(3)}_-(\omega,t),
\]
with
\[
\alpha_{\text{cav}} = \alpha_{\text{cav}}(\omega) = 2\sqrt{2}|n_1| \left| n_1' \sinh(2\beta_1't) - n_2' \sin(2\beta'_1t) \right|^{-\frac{1}{2}},
\]
\[
\alpha_{\pm} = \alpha_{\pm}(\omega) = |n_2| e^{i\beta_{2d}/2} \left| n_2' \sinh(\beta_{1d}'d) \pm n_2' \sin(\beta_{1d}d) \right|^{-\frac{1}{2}},
\]
\[
A_{\text{cav}}(\omega) = -4\sqrt{|n_1|} \frac{1}{\alpha_{\text{cav}}},
\]
\[
A_{\pm}(\omega) = -\frac{t_{23} \sqrt{|n_1|}}{D_0 \alpha_{\pm}} \left(r_{23} e^{i\beta_{2d}d} \pm 1 \right) e^{i\beta_1 t},
\]
\[
T'(\omega) = -\frac{t_{31} \sqrt{|n_1|}}{|n_3^2|} e^{i\beta_1 t}.
\]
It is straightforward to prove that the operators \( c_{\lambda}(\omega,t) \) satisfy Bose commutation relations:
\[
\left[\hat{c}_{\lambda}(\omega,t), \hat{c}_{\lambda'}^{\dagger}(\omega',t') \right] = \delta_{\lambda\lambda'} \delta(\omega - \omega') e^{-i\omega(t-t')},
\]
\[
\left[\hat{b}_{\text{in}}(\omega,t), \hat{b}_{\text{in}}^{\dagger}(\omega',t') \right] = \delta(\omega - \omega') e^{-i\omega(t-t')},
\]
with all other commutators being zero.

To calculate the electric free field
\[
\hat{E}^{(1)}_{\text{free}}(z,\omega,t) = \int_0^\infty d\omega \hat{E}^{(1)}_{\text{free}}(z,\omega,t) + \text{H.c.}
\]
[cf. Eq. (10)], we subdivide the \( \omega \) axis into intervals \( (\Delta_k) = [\frac{1}{2}(\omega_k-1 + \omega_k), \frac{1}{2}(\omega_k + \omega_k+1)] \) and write
\[
\hat{E}^{(1)}_{\text{free}}(z,\omega,t) = \sum_k \hat{E}^{(1)}_{\text{free}}(z,\omega,t) + \text{H.c.},
\]
where
\[
\hat{E}^{(1)}_{\text{free}}(z,\omega,t) = \int (\Delta_k) d\omega \hat{E}^{(1)}_{\text{free}}(z,\omega,t)
\]
(recall that the index \( k \) is used to numerate the resonances of the cavity). Substitution of Eq. (10) together with Eq. (11) into Eq. (12) yields
\[
\hat{E}^{(1)}_{\text{free}}(z,\omega,t) = \int (\Delta_k) d\omega \hat{E}^{(1)}_{\text{free}}(z,\omega,t).
\]
For sufficiently high-\( Q \) cavities, i.e., \( \Gamma_k \ll \Delta \omega_k \), with \( \Delta \omega_k = \frac{1}{2}(\omega_k-1 - \omega_k+1) \) being the width of the \( k \)th interval, the second term in Eq. (15) can be regarded as being small compared with the first one and may be omitted in general, leading to
\[
\hat{E}^{(1)}_{\text{free}}(z,\omega,t) = \int (\Delta_k) d\omega \hat{E}^{(1)}_{\text{free}}(z,\omega,t).
\]
In this approximation, Eq. (15) reduces to
\[
\hat{E}^{(1)}_{\text{free}}(z,\omega,t) = \sum_k \int (\Delta_k) d\omega \hat{E}^{(1)}_{\text{free}}(z,\omega,t).
\]
Note that within the approximation scheme used, the lower (upper) limit of integration in Eq. (17) may be extended to \(-\infty\) \((+\infty)\).

To determine the source field
\[
\hat{E}^{(1)}_{s}(z,t) = \int_0^\infty d\omega \hat{E}^{(1)}_{s}(z,\omega,t) + \text{H.c.},
\]
we start with Eq. (20) together with Eq. (22). Performing the Fourier transformation and using the resonance properties of the cavity response function [see Eqs. (24)–(29)], we obtain, in the same approximation that leads from Eq. (52) to Eq. (57),
\[
\hat{E}^{(1)}_{s}(z,t) = \sum_k \hat{E}^{(1)}_{k_s}(z,t) + \text{H.c.},
\]
where
\[
\hat{E}^{(1)}_{k_s}(z,t) = \frac{i\omega_k \sin[\beta_1(\Omega_k)z]}{\epsilon_0 c^4(\Omega_k) A} \sum A \int dt' \Theta(t-t') \\
\times e^{-i\Omega_k(t-t')} \hat{d}_A(t') \sin[\beta_1(\Omega_k)z_A] + \text{H.c.}.
\]
Note that in Eq. (60) it is assumed that $e^{i\omega_k t}d_A(t)$ may be regarded as being an effectively slowly varying quantity. Combination of $\hat{E}^{(1)}_{\text{free}}(z, t)$ and $\hat{E}^{(1)}_n(z, t)$ to the full intracavity field

$$\hat{E}^{(1)}(z, t) = \hat{E}^{(1)}_{\text{free}}(z, t) + \hat{E}^{(1)}_n(z, t)$$  \hspace{1cm} (61)

yields the nonmonochromatic mode expansion sought.

**B. Quantum Langevin equations**

To bring Eq. (61) with $\hat{E}^{(1)}_{\text{free}}(z, t)$ from Eq. (57) together with Eq. (61) and $\hat{E}^{(1)}_n(z, t)$ from Eq. (59) together with Eq. (60)] in a more familiar form, we introduce the operators

$$\hat{c}_{k\lambda}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int d\omega \hat{c}_{\lambda}(\omega, t),$$ \hspace{1cm} (62)

$$\hat{b}_{k\text{in}}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int d\omega \hat{b}_{\text{in}}(\omega, t),$$ \hspace{1cm} (63)

which, on a time scale $\Delta t \gg \Delta\omega^{-1}, \Delta\omega^{-1}$, obviously obey[recall Eqs. (50) and (51)] the commutation relations

$$[\hat{c}_{k\lambda}(t), \hat{c}^{\dagger}_{k'\lambda'}(t')] = \delta_{kk'}\delta_{\lambda\lambda'}\delta(t - t'),$$ \hspace{1cm} (64)

$$[\hat{b}_{k\text{in}}(t), \hat{b}^{\dagger}_{k'\text{in}}(t')] = \delta_{kk'}\delta(t - t').$$ \hspace{1cm} (65)

Further, recalling Eqs. (41), (57), (59), and (60), we may rewrite Eq. (61) as ($\Gamma_k \ll \Delta\omega$)

$$\hat{E}^{(1)}(z, t) = \sum_k E_k(z)\hat{a}_k(t) + \text{H.c.},$$ \hspace{1cm} (66)

where the standing wave mode functions are defined as

$$E_k(z) = i\omega_k \left[ \frac{\hbar}{\epsilon_0 \epsilon_1(\omega_k) \lambda A_{\omega_k}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \sin[\beta_1(\omega_k)z],$$ \hspace{1cm} (67)

and it can be proved (see Appendix D) that the equal-time commutation relation

$$[\hat{a}_k(t), \hat{a}^{\dagger}_{k'}(t)] = \delta_{kk'}$$ \hspace{1cm} (70)

holds.

The damping rate in the first term on the right-hand side of Eq. (69) can be decomposed as follows (see Appendix C):

$$\Gamma_k = \gamma_{\text{rad}} + \gamma_{\text{kabs}},$$ \hspace{1cm} (71)

$$\gamma_{\text{rad}} = \frac{c}{2|n_1(\omega_k)|} |T_k|^2,$$ \hspace{1cm} (72)

$$\gamma_{\text{kabs}} = \sum_{\lambda} \gamma_{k\lambda} = \frac{c}{2|n_1(\omega_k)|} \sum_{\lambda} |A_{k\lambda}|^2.$$ \hspace{1cm} (73)

Here, $\gamma_{\text{rad}}$ is the radiative decay rate describing the transmission losses due to the input-output coupling and $\gamma_{\text{kabs}}$ is the (nonradiative) decay rate describing the absorption losses inside the cavity (term proportional to $\gamma_{\text{kabs}}$) and inside the mirror (terms proportional to $\gamma_{k\text{abs}}$). Accordingly, the Langevin noise force as given by the third term on the right-hand side of Eq. (69) consists of the contributions associated with the losses due to the input-output coupling [term proportional to $T_k\hat{b}_{k\text{in}}(t)$] and the absorption losses inside the cavity [term proportional to $A_{k\lambda}\hat{c}_{k\lambda}(t)$] and inside the mirror [terms proportional to $A_{k\lambda}\hat{c}_{k\lambda}(t)$].

Equation (69) can be regarded as a generalization of the results derived in Ref. [84] for a leaky cavity without material absorption to a realistic cavity which gives rise to both radiative and unwanted (nonradiative) absorption losses. In particular, when $\epsilon_1(\omega_k)$ can be regarded as being real, then the second term on the right-hand side of Eq. (69) is nothing but the familiar commutator term $(i\hbar)^{-1}[\hat{a}_k, \hat{H}_{\text{int}}]$, where

$$\hat{H}_{\text{int}} = -\sum_A \sum_k E_k(z_A)\hat{a}_k + \text{H.c.}$$ \hspace{1cm} (74)

Moreover, from Eq. (69) together with Eqs. (64) - (66) it is seen that the effect of absorption losses on the intracavity field may be equivalently described within the frame of Markovian damping theory, with

$$\hat{H}_{\text{abs,int}} = \hbar \sum_{\lambda} \sum_k \int_{(\Delta k)} d\omega \left[ \frac{c}{2|n_1(\omega)|} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} A_{k\lambda}(\omega)\hat{a}^{\dagger}_{k\lambda}(\omega)$$

$$+ \text{H.c.}$$ \hspace{1cm} (75)

being the total interaction energy between the cavity modes and the dissipative systems responsible for absorption. Thus Eq. (69) can be also regarded as an extension of the results derived in Ref. [84] within the frame of quantum noise theories, by adding to the Hamiltonian therein an interaction energy of the type $\hat{H}_{\text{abs}}$. Needless to say that also other than the dissipative channels considered here can be included in the interaction energy. The unwanted losses attributed to the cavity wall that has been assumed to be perfectly reflecting is a typical example. Note that Eq. (75) implies that each cavity mode is coupled to its own dissipative systems.
IV. FIELD OUTSIDE THE CAVITY

Once the cavity field is expressed in terms of non-monochromatic mode operators $\hat{a}_k(t)$, the question arises of how the outgoing field is related to it. To answer, we first rewrite the outgoing field using Eqs. (13)–(20) with $j = 3$ and proceed similarly as in the case of the cavity field.

A. Outgoing field

We again begin with the free field. Inserting the Green tensor as given by Eq. (22) in Eq. (10) $(j = 3)$ and separating the incoming and outgoing parts propagating along $-z$ and $z$, respectively, we may represent the outgoing part at $z = 0^+$ (cf. Fig. 1) as follows (see Appendix B):

$$\hat{E}^{(3)}_{\text{out,free}}(z, \omega, t)\big|_{z=0^+} = \frac{t_{13} e^{i\beta z}}{D_1} \left\{ \hat{C}_{+}^{(1)}(l, \omega, t) - \hat{C}_{-}^{(1)}(l, \omega, t) \right\}$$

$$- \frac{t_{21} e^{i\beta z}}{D_2} \left[ \frac{r_{23} e^{2i\beta d} \hat{C}_{+}^{(2)}(\omega, t) + \hat{C}_{-}^{(2)}(\omega, t)}{} \right]$$

$$+ \frac{t_{23} e^{i\beta d}}{D_2} \left[ \hat{C}_{+}^{(2)}(\omega, t) + r_{21} \hat{C}_{-}^{(2)}(\omega, t) \right] + r_{31} \hat{C}_{-}^{(3)}(\omega, t),$$

(76)

where $\hat{C}_{\pm}^{(1)}(l, \omega, t)$ is defined by Eq. (29) (for $x = l$), and $\hat{C}_{\pm}^{(2)}(\omega, t)$ and $\hat{C}_{\pm}^{(3)}(\omega, t)$ are defined by Eqs. (31) and (32), respectively. Treating the term $D_1^{-1}(\omega)$ in the same way as that leading from Eq. (22) to Eq. (30) together with Eqs. (41)–(46), from Eq. (46) we derive

$$\hat{E}^{(3)}_{\text{out,free}}(z, \omega, t)\big|_{z=0^+} = \sum_k \hat{E}^{(3)}_{\text{out,free}}(z, \omega, t)\big|_{z=0^+} + \text{H.c.},$$

(77)

where

$$\hat{E}^{(3)}_{\text{out,free}}(z, \omega, t)\big|_{z=0^+} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\mu_0 c \omega}{\pi A n_1}} \frac{c}{n_3(\Omega_k)t} \left\{ \int dt' e^{-i\Omega_k(t-t')} \Theta(t-t') e^{i\beta l} \right\}$$

$$\times \left[ T(\omega) \hat{b}_{\text{in}}(\omega, t') + \sum \lambda A(\omega) \hat{c}_\lambda(\omega, t') \right]$$

$$+ \frac{t_{23} e^{i\beta d}}{D_2} \left[ r_{23} e^{i\beta d} + \frac{1}{\alpha_+} \hat{c}_k(\omega, t) \right]$$

$$- \frac{r_{21} e^{i\beta d} - 1}{\alpha_-} \hat{c}_k(\omega, t) \right\}.$$  

(78)

As we see from this equation, there are three physically different contributions to the outgoing field. The first (integral) term proportional to $t_{13}$ represents the fraction of the cavity field transmitted through the mirror [cf. Eq. (40)]. The term proportional to $r_{21} \hat{b}_{\text{kin}}(\omega, t)$ represents the reflected part of the incoming field, whereas the terms proportional to $t_{23} \hat{c}_k(\omega, t)$ describe the field attributed to the noise sources inside the mirror.

Integrating Eq. (76) with respect to $\omega$, we obtain the free-field part of the outgoing electric field in the time-domain,

$$\hat{E}^{(3)}_{\text{out,free}}(z, t)\big|_{z=0^+} = \sum_k \hat{E}^{(3)}_{\text{out,free}}(z, t)\big|_{z=0^+} + \text{H.c.}(79)$$

[cf. Eqs. (42) and (43)], where, within the approximation scheme used,

$$\hat{E}^{(3)}_{\text{out,free}}(z, t)\big|_{z=0^+} = \int \frac{d\omega}{(\Delta_k)} \hat{E}^{(3)}_{\text{out,free}}(z, \omega, t)\big|_{z=0^+}$$

(80)

[cf. Eqs. (46)–(51)].

Starting from Eq. (20) $(j = 3)$ together with Eq. (22), we may rewrite the source-field part of the outgoing electric field to obtain, in close analogy to Eqs. (15) and (16),

$$\hat{E}^{(3)}_{\text{out,s}}(z, t)\big|_{z=0^+} = \hat{E}^{(3)}_{\text{out,s}}(z, t)\big|_{z=0^+}$$

$$+ \sum_k \hat{E}^{(3)}_{k,s}(z, t)\big|_{z=0^+} + \text{H.c.},$$

(81)

where

$$\hat{E}^{(3)}_{ks}(z, t)\big|_{z=0^+} = \int \frac{d\omega}{(\Delta_k)} \hat{E}^{(3)}_{ks}(z, \omega, t)\big|_{z=0^+} + \text{H.c.}$$

$$= \frac{\omega_k t_{13} \exp[i\beta(\Omega_k)]}{2\mu_0 c_1(\Omega_k)A} \sum \lambda \int dt' \Theta(t-t')$$

$$\times \exp[-i\Omega_k(t-t')] \hat{A}(t') \sin[\beta_1(\Omega_k)z_A] + \text{H.c.}.$$  

(82)

Finally, combination of the free-field and the source-field part yields the full outgoing field at $z = 0^+$,

$$\hat{E}^{(3)}_{\text{out}}(z, t)\big|_{z=0^+} = \hat{E}^{(3)}_{\text{out,free}}(z, t)\big|_{z=0^+} + \hat{E}^{(3)}_{ks}(z, t)\big|_{z=0^+},$$  

(83)

B. Global input-output relation

Let us restrict our attention, for simplicity, to a cavity in free space, i.e., $n_3 \rightarrow 1$, and define the operators

$$\hat{b}_{\text{out}}(\omega, t) = 2 \sqrt{\frac{\pi A}{\mu_0 c \omega}} \hat{E}^{(3)}_{\text{out}}(z, \omega, t)\big|_{z=0^+}.$$  

(84)

By using the formulas given in Section IV A it is not difficult to see that we may rewrite the $\omega$-integrated operator

$$\hat{b}^{(3)}_{\text{out}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int d\omega \hat{b}_{\text{out}}(\omega, t)$$  

(85)
as

\[ \hat{b}_{\text{out}}(t) = \sum_k \hat{b}_{k\text{out}}(t), \]  

where

\[ \hat{b}_{k\text{out}}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{(\Delta_k)} d\omega \hat{b}_{k\text{out}}(\omega, t), \]  

with \( \hat{b}_{k\text{out}}(\omega, t) \) being given by

\[
\hat{b}_{k\text{out}}(\omega, t) = 2 \sqrt{\frac{\pi A}{\mu_0 c \omega}} \left| E_n^{(3)}(z, \omega, t) \right|_{z=0+} + \frac{c}{2m_1} T_k^{(o)}(\omega) \int dt' \Theta(t-t') e^{-i\Gamma_k(t-t')} \\
\times \left[ T_k(\omega) \hat{b}_{\text{kin}}(\omega, t') + \sum_{\lambda} A_{k\lambda}(\omega) \hat{c}_{k\lambda}(\omega, t') \right] + A_{k+}^{(o)}(\omega) \hat{c}_{k+}(\omega, t) + A_{k-}^{(o)}(\omega) \hat{c}_{k-}(\omega, t) + R_k^{(o)}(\omega) \hat{b}_{\text{kin}}(\omega, t).
\]

(88)

Here, the functions \( A_{k\pm}^{(o)}(\omega), R_k^{(o)}(\omega) \), and \( T_k^{(o)}(\omega) \) are defined as follows:

\[
A_{k\pm}^{(o)}(\omega) = \frac{t_{23} \pm r_{21} e^{i\beta_\pm d}}{D_2 \alpha_\pm},
\]

\[
T_k^{(o)}(\omega) = \frac{t_{13}^\prime}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}} e^{i\beta_1 t},
\]

\[
R_k^{(o)}(\omega) = r_{31}.
\]

(89)

(90)

(91)

Performing in Eq. (87) the \( \omega \) integral, on extending again the lower (upper) limit to \( -\infty \) \((+\infty)\) and recalling Eqs. (38) and (22), we see that the source term in Eq. (88) and the second (integral) term in this equation sum up to a term proportional to the cavity-field operator \( \hat{a}_k(t) \). Thus, from Eqs. (86–88) it follows that \( (\Gamma_k \ll \Delta_\omega) \)

\[
\hat{b}_{k\text{out}}(t) = \left[ \frac{c}{2m_1(\omega_\pm) l} \right]^\pm T_k^{(o)}(\omega) \hat{a}_k(t) + R_k^{(o)}(\omega) \hat{b}_{\text{kin}}(t)
\]

\[
+ A_{k+}^{(o)} \hat{c}_{k+}(t) + A_{k-}^{(o)} \hat{c}_{k-}(t)
\]

(92)

\[
[T_k^{(o)} = T_k^{(o)}(\omega_k), A_{k\pm}^{(o)} = A_{k\pm}^{(o)}(\omega_k), R_k^{(o)} = R_k^{(o)}(\omega_k)].
\]

Note that integration of both sides of Eq. (94) with respect to \( \omega \) over the interval \( (\Delta_k) \) leads to the input-output relation \( (92) \) \((n_3 \rightarrow 1)\). It should be mentioned

V. QUANTUM STATE OF THE OUTGOING FIELD

For the sake of transparency let us suppose that during the passage of atoms through the cavity the \( k \)th cavity mode is prepared in some quantum state and assume that the preparation time is sufficiently short compared with the decay time \( \Gamma_k^{-1} \), so that the two time scales are well distinguishable. In this case we may assume that at some time \( t_0 \) (when the atom leaves the cavity) the cavity mode is prepared in a given quantum state and its evolution in the further course of time (i.e., for times \( t \geq t_0 \)) can be treated as free-field evolution. To specify the relevant modes, it is useful not to use Eq. (92) but return to Eq. (88) and relate therein \( \hat{b}_{k\text{out}}(\omega, t) \) to \( \hat{a}_k(t_0) \). It can be proved (see Appendix A) that on the (relevant) time scale \( \Delta_t \gg \Delta_\omega^{-1} \), Eq. (88) can be rewritten as

\[
\hat{b}_{k\text{out}}(\omega, t) = \left[ \frac{c}{2m_1(\omega_\pm) l} \right]^\pm T_k^{(o)}(\omega) \int_{t_0}^{t_0+\Delta_t} dt' e^{-i\omega(t-t')} \hat{a}_k(t')
\]

\[
+ A_{k+}^{(o)} \hat{c}_{k+}(\omega, t_0) e^{-i\omega(t-t_0)} + A_{k-}^{(o)} \hat{c}_{k-}(\omega, t_0) e^{-i\omega(t-t_0)}
\]

\[
+ R_k^{(o)} \hat{b}_{\text{kin}}(\omega, t_0) e^{-i\omega(t-t_0)}.
\]

(94)
that, for the special case of purely radiative losses, an equation of the type of Eq. \( 114 \) could be also found from the quantum stochastic theory in Ref. \[33\], which would however suggest that its validity only requires the condition \( \Delta t > 0 \) to be satisfied.

Substituting Eq. \( 108 \) (for \( t \geq t_0 \)) together with Eqs. \[62\] and \[63\] into Eq. \( 101 \), we derive
\[
\hat{b}_{\text{out}}(\omega, t) = F_k^*(\omega, t)\hat{a}_k(t_0) + \hat{B}_k(\omega, t),
\]
where the \( c \)-number function \( F_k(\omega, t) \) reads
\[
F_k(\omega, t) = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left( \frac{c}{2\hbar} \right)^{1/2} T^{(o)*}_k \exp\left[-i(\omega - \Omega_k^c)(t + \Delta t - t_0)\right] - 1,
\]
and the operator \( \hat{B}_k(\omega, t) \) is a linear functional of the operators \( \hat{b}_{\text{kin}}(\omega, t_0) \) and \( \hat{c}_{k\lambda}(\omega, t_0) \):
\[
\hat{B}_k(\omega, t) = \int_{\Delta k} d\omega' \left[ G_{k\lambda}^*(\omega, \omega', t) \hat{b}_{\text{kin}}(\omega', t_0) + \sum_{\lambda} G_{k\lambda}^*(\omega, \omega', t) \hat{c}_{k\lambda}(\omega', t_0) \right].
\]

Here,
\[
G_{k\text{kin}}(\omega, \omega', t) = T^{(o)*}_k v_k(\omega, \omega', t) + T^{(o)*}_k e^{i\omega'(t-t_0)} \delta(\omega - \omega'),
\]
\[
G_{k\text{cav}}(\omega, \omega', t) = T^{(o)*}_k A_{k\text{cav}} v_k(\omega, \omega', t),
\]
\[
G_{k\pm}(\omega, \omega', t) = T^{(o)*}_k A_{k\pm} v_k(\omega, \omega', t) + A_{k\pm} e^{i\omega'(t-t_0)} \delta(\omega - \omega'),
\]
with
\[
v_k(\omega, \omega', t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{c}{\hbar} \frac{e^{-i\omega\Delta t}}{\omega - \Omega_k^c}
\times \left[ e^{i\omega'(t+\Delta t-t_0)} - e^{i\omega'(t+\Delta t-t_0)} \right].
\]

### A. Nonmonochromatic modes

To calculate the quantum state of the outgoing field, it is convenient to introduce a unitary, explicitly time-dependent transformation according to
\[
\hat{b}_{\text{out}}(\omega, t) = \sum_i \phi_i^*(\omega, t) \hat{b}_{\text{kin}}(t),
\]
\[
\hat{b}_{\text{kin}}(t) = \int_{\Delta k} d\omega \phi_i(\omega, t) \hat{b}_{\text{out}}(\omega, t),
\]
where, for chosen \( t \), the nonmonochromatic mode functions \( \phi_i(\omega, t) \) are a complete set of square integrable orthonormal functions:
\[
\int_{\Delta k} d\omega \phi_i(\omega, t) \phi_j^*(\omega, t) = \delta_{ij},
\]
\[
\sum_i \phi_i(\omega, t) \phi_i^*(\omega', t) = \delta(\omega - \omega').
\]

Needless to say that the commutation relation
\[
[\hat{b}_{\text{kin}}(t), \hat{b}_{\text{kin}}^*(t)] = \delta_{ij}
\]
holds.

Let \( \hat{b}_{\text{out}}(t) \) be the operator attributed to the outgoing mode that is related to the cavity mode through the input-output relation \( 95 \):
\[
\phi_1(\omega, t) = \frac{F_k(\omega, t)}{\sqrt{\eta_k(t)}},
\]
\[
\eta_k(t) = \int_{\Delta k} d\omega |F_k(\omega, t)|^2.
\]

By using Eqs. \( 95 \), \( 107 \), and \( 108 \) we may rewrite (for chosen \( k \)) Eq. \( 108 \) as
\[
\hat{b}_{\text{out}}^i(t) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\eta_k(t)} \hat{a}_k(t_0) + \hat{B}_k^i(t) & \text{if } i = 1, \\ \hat{B}_k^i(t) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}
\]
where
\[
\hat{B}_k^i(t) = \int_{\Delta k} dt \, \phi_i(\omega, t) \hat{b}_{\text{kin}}(\omega, t).
\]

Inserting Eq. \( 107 \) in Eq. \( 110 \), we may rewrite \( \hat{B}_k^i(t) \) as
\[
\hat{B}_k^i(t) = \sqrt{\zeta_{k\text{kin}}^i(t)} \hat{b}_{\text{kin}}^i(t) + \sum_{\lambda} \sqrt{\zeta_{k\lambda}^i(t)} \hat{c}_{k\lambda}^i(t).
\]
Here the functions \( \zeta_{k\sigma}^i(t) (\sigma = \text{in, out}) \) read
\[
\zeta_{k\sigma}^i(t) = \int_{\Delta k} d\omega |\chi_{k\sigma}^i(\omega, t)|^2,
\]
where
\[
\chi_{k\sigma}^i(\omega, t) = \int_{\Delta k} d\omega' \phi_i(\omega', t) G_{k\sigma}^*(\omega', \omega, t).
\]

The operators \( \hat{b}_{\text{kin}}^i(t) \) and \( \hat{c}_{k\lambda}^i(t) \) are defined by
\[
\hat{b}_{\text{kin}}^i(t) = \int_{\Delta k} d\omega \sqrt{\zeta_{k\text{kin}}^i(t)} \hat{b}_{\text{kin}}(\omega, t),
\]
\[
\hat{c}_{k\lambda}^i(t) = \int_{\Delta k} d\omega \sqrt{\zeta_{k\lambda}^i(t)} \hat{c}_{k\lambda}(\omega, t).
\]
B. Phase-space functions

Introducing in the characteristic functional
\[
C_{\text{out}}[\beta(\omega), t] = \left\langle \exp \left[ \int_{(\Delta_k)} d\omega \beta(\omega) \hat{b}^{(1)}_{\text{out}}(\omega, t) - \text{H.c.} \right] \right\rangle
\]
the operators \(\hat{b}^{(1)}_{\text{out}}(t)\) according to Eq. (102) and taking into account the commutation relation (106) we see that the operator exponential factorizes as
\[
\exp \left[ \int_{(\Delta_k)} d\omega \beta(\omega) \hat{b}^{(1)}_{\text{out}}(\omega, t) - \text{H.c.} \right] = \prod_i \exp \left[ \beta_i \hat{b}^{(1)}_{\text{out}}(t) - \text{H.c.} \right],
\]
where
\[
\beta_i = \beta(t) = \int_{(\Delta_k)} d\omega \phi_i(\omega, t) \beta(\omega).
\]

Let us further consider the case, when the non-monochromatic modes of the incoming field and dissipative channels corresponding to \(\hat{B}^{(1)}_k(t)\), \(i \neq 1\), are in vacuum state at the initial time \(t_0\). Then we may assume that the resulting characteristic function factorizes as well, with
\[
C_{\text{out}}(\beta_1, t) = \left\langle \exp \left[ \beta_1 \hat{b}^{(1)}_{\text{out}}(t) - \text{H.c.} \right] \right\rangle
\]
being the characteristic function of the relevant outgoing mode. Using Eq. (100) and noting that the commutation relation
\[
[\hat{a}_k(t_0), \hat{B}^{(1)}_k(t)] = 0 \quad (t \geq t_0)
\]
holds (see Appendix C), we may rewrite Eq. (111) as
\[
C_{\text{out}}(\beta_1, t) = \left\langle \exp \left[ \beta_1 \sqrt{\eta_k(t)} \hat{a}^{\dagger}_k(t_0) - \text{H.c.} \right] \times \exp \left[ \beta_1 \hat{B}^{(1)}_k(t) - \text{H.c.} \right] \right\rangle.
\]

Noting that according to Eq. (111) \(\hat{B}^{(1)}_k(t)\) is a functional of \(\hat{b}_{\text{kin}}(\omega, t_0)\) and \(\hat{c}_{k\lambda}(\omega, t_0)\) and assuming that the density operator (at the initial time \(t_0\)) factorizes with respect to the cavity field, the incoming field, the dissipative channels, we obtain
\[
C_{\text{out}}(\beta_1, t) = \left\langle \exp \left[ \beta_1 \sqrt{\eta_k(t)} \hat{a}^{\dagger}_k(t_0) - \text{H.c.} \right] \times \left\langle \exp \left[ \beta_1 \hat{B}^{(1)}_k(t) - \text{H.c.} \right] \right\rangle \right\rangle.
\]

Making use of the commutation relations (106) and
\[
[\hat{B}^{(1)}_k(t), \hat{B}^{(1)}_{k'}(t)] = 1 - \eta_k(t),
\]
which follows from Eq. (109), together with the commutation relations (100), (103), and (114), the further evaluation of Eq. (122) is straightforward. Following Ref. 39 and calculating the characteristic function \(C_{\text{out}}(\beta; t; s) = C_{\text{out}}(\beta_1, t; s)\) in order of the quantum state of the relevant outgoing field, we may express it in terms of the characteristic function \(C(\beta'; s') = C_k(\beta'; s')\) of the quantum state of the initially excited cavity mode and the characteristic functions \(C_{\sigma}(\beta_\sigma; s_\sigma) = C_{k\sigma}(\beta_\sigma; s_\sigma)\) of the quantum states of the incoming field (\(\sigma = \text{in}\)) and the dissipative channels (\(\sigma = \lambda\)) as
\[
C_{\text{out}}(\beta; t; s) = e^{-\frac{1}{2} \xi(t) |\beta|^2} C \left( \sqrt{\eta(t)} \beta; s \right) \prod_\sigma C_{\sigma} \left[ \sqrt{\zeta_{\sigma}(t)} \beta; s_\sigma \right],
\]
where
\[
\xi(t) = \eta(t) s' + \sum_\sigma \zeta_{\sigma}(t) s_\sigma - s
\]
and
\[
[\eta(t) \equiv \eta_k(t), \zeta_{\sigma}(t) \equiv \zeta_{k\sigma}(t)].
\]

From Eq. (121) the phase-space function in order can be derived to be
\[
P_{\text{out}}(\alpha; t; s) = \frac{2}{\pi \xi(t)} \times \int d^2 \alpha' P(\alpha'; s') \prod_\sigma \int d^2 \alpha_\sigma P_{\sigma}(\alpha_\sigma; s_\sigma)
\]
\[
\times \exp \left[ -\frac{2}{\xi(t)} \left( |\sqrt{\eta(t)} \alpha' + \sum_\sigma \sqrt{\zeta_{\sigma}(t)} \alpha_\sigma - \alpha |^2 \right) \right],
\]
provided that
\[
\xi(t) \geq 0,
\]
where the equality sign must be understood as a limiting process. To calculate \(\eta(t)\) [Eq. (112)], \(\zeta_{\sigma}(t)\) [Eq. (111)] we make use of Eqs. (96), (98)–(101), (107), and (113). Straightforward calculation yields \(T = T_k, A_{\pm} = A_{k\pm}, T(0) = T_k(0), A_{\pm}^{(0)} = A_{k\pm}^{(0)}, R_{\pm} = R_{k\pm}^{(0)}; \gamma_\sigma = \gamma_{k\sigma}, \Gamma = \Gamma_k\)
\[
\eta(t \to \infty) = \frac{\gamma_{\text{rad}}}{\Gamma},
\]
\[
\zeta_{\text{in}}(t \to \infty) = \frac{\gamma_{\text{rad}} \text{rad}}{\Gamma^2} + |R(0)|^2
\]
\[
+ \frac{c}{2 \eta_{l} l} \frac{R(0)T^{(0)} + T(0)R^{(0)}}{\Gamma} + \frac{c}{2 \eta_{l} l} \frac{R(0)^* T^{(0)} + T(0)^* R^{(0)}}{\Gamma},
\]
\[
\zeta_{\pm}(t \to \infty) = \frac{\gamma_{\text{rad}} \gamma_{\pm}}{\Gamma^2} + |A_{\pm}^{(0)}|^2
\]
\[
+ \frac{c}{2 \eta_{l} l} \frac{A_{\pm}^{(0)} A_{\pm}^{(0)*} T^{(0)} + T^{(0)} A_{\pm}^{(0)*} A_{\pm}}{\Gamma} + \frac{c}{2 \eta_{l} l} \frac{A_{\pm}^{(0)*} A_{\pm} T^{(0)} + T^{(0)*} A_{\pm} A_{\pm}}{\Gamma},
\]
\[
\zeta_{\text{cav}}(t \to \infty) = \frac{\gamma_{\text{rad}} \gamma_{\text{cav}}}{\Gamma^2},
\]
where the damping rates $\gamma_{\text{rad}}$, $\gamma_{\text{abs}}$, and $\gamma_{\lambda}$ are defined according to Eqs. (72) and Eq. (73), and

$$\gamma_{\text{rad}}^{(o)} = \frac{e}{2|\eta| |T_k^{(o)}|^2}. \quad (132)$$

Eq. (126) together with Eqs. (125)–(131) generalizes the result in Ref. [38] since it fully takes into account the noise associated with the dissipative channels.

C. Thermal noise

Let us consider the typical case of the dissipative channels being in thermal states, i.e.,

$$W_\lambda(\alpha) = \frac{2}{\pi^{1/2}} \frac{1}{1 + 2\bar{n}_\lambda} e^{-|\alpha|^2/(1+2\bar{n}_\lambda)} \quad (133)$$

($\bar{n}_\lambda$, average number of thermal quanta) and calculate the Wigner function of the quantum state of the relevant outgoing mode. Inserting Eq. (133) into Eq. (126), after having set $s_3 = 0$ therein, performing the $\alpha_\lambda$ integrations, and setting $s = s' = s_n = 0$, we derive

$$W_{\text{out}}(\alpha, t) = \frac{2}{\pi^{1/2}} \frac{1}{\xi^W(t)} \times \int d^2\alpha' \int d^2\beta W(\alpha')W_{\text{in}}(\beta) \times \exp \left[ -2|\sqrt{\eta}(\alpha' + \sqrt{\eta}_{\text{in}}(t) \beta - \alpha|^2 / \xi^W(t) \right], \quad (134)$$

where

$$\xi^W(t) = 1 - \eta(t) - \zeta_{\text{in}}(t) + 2 \sum_\lambda \bar{n}_\lambda \zeta_\lambda(t). \quad (135)$$

Let us consider Eq. (134) together with Eq. (125) for some typical situations in more detail.

(i) When the incoming field is in the vacuum state (unused input port, $W_{\text{in}}(\beta) = 2\pi^{-1} e^{-|\beta|^2}$) and the dissipative channels—particularly, the coupling mirror—are in the vacuum state as well, then almost perfect extraction of the quantum state of the cavity mode requires the condition

$$\eta(t) \approx 1 - \eta(t) \gg 1 \quad (136)$$

to be satisfied. In other words, on recalling Eq. (125), the nonradiative cavity-field decay rate must be small compared with the radiative one, $\gamma_{\text{abs}}/\gamma_{\text{rad}}^{(o)} < 1$—a condition that can be hardly satisfied for a high-$Q$ cavity presently [38, 41]. How small—depends on the nonclassical features of the quantum field to be extracted. Notice, this condition can be also obtained by means of quantum stochastic approach to the problem [39].

(ii) When the input port is unused but the dissipative channels are thermally excited, then, as one can easily see from Eq. (134), the condition to ensure nearly perfect extraction of the quantum state of the cavity field is

$$\eta(t) \ll 1 - \eta(t) + 2 \sum_\lambda \bar{n}_\lambda \zeta_\lambda \gg 1. \quad (137)$$

The condition Eq. (137) strengthens even more the requirement of smallness of nonradiative cavity-field decay rate compared with the radiative one. Particularly, the value of $\sum_\lambda \bar{n}_\lambda \zeta_\lambda(t)$ should be as small as possible to ensure that the effect of thermal noise effectively does not play a role. This is obviously the case when both $\bar{n}_\lambda$ and $\zeta_\lambda(t)$ are sufficiently small. Needless to say that small values of $\bar{n}_\lambda$ require sufficiently low temperatures. For cavities with high-quality mirrors [38, 42] with the finesse of several hundred thousands, the second, the third and the forth terms on the right-hand side of Eq. (130) are of a order smaller magnitude than the first term on the right-hand side of Eq. (130), as well as $\zeta_{\text{av}}$, Eq. (131), and may be therefore disregarded in the sum $\sum_\lambda \bar{n}_\lambda \zeta_\lambda(t)$. That is to say, in case of unused input port dissipation due to absorption in the coupling mirror can be effectively described by adding appropriate Langevin noise forces in Eq. (30).

(iii) To describe typical problems on engineering of nonclassical states of light let us assume, that the dissipative channels are again thermally excited and the incoming field mode with the mode function $\chi_{\text{in}}(1, t)$ according to Eq. (115) is prepared in some nonclassical state. Then, the quantum state of the outgoing field mode is the one of the cavity-mode superposed with the reflected incoming field mode as well as the modes of the (thermally excited) dissipative channels. The weights of the modes of the incoming field and the cavity-mode field in the resulting superposition are defined respectively by the fractions

$$\frac{\zeta_{\text{in}}(t)}{1 - \eta(t) - \zeta_{\text{in}}(t) + 2 \sum_\lambda \bar{n}_\lambda \zeta_\lambda(t)}, \quad (138)$$

$$\frac{\eta(t)}{1 - \eta(t) - \zeta_{\text{in}}(t) + 2 \sum_\lambda \bar{n}_\lambda \zeta_\lambda(t)}. \quad (139)$$

Notice, that dropping the absorption in the coupling mirror, $|R_k^{(i)}| = 1$, and Eq (128) reduces to $\zeta_{\text{in}}(t \rightarrow \infty) = [1 - \eta(t \rightarrow \infty)]^2$. The additional noise associated with the coupling mirror reduces the fraction of the input field in the resulting superposition, and, therefore, represents the absorption of the incoming field mode in the coupling mirror. For high-$Q$ cavities with the finesse of several hundred thousands [38, 41], the unwanted losses in the coupling mirror reduce the weight of the incoming field mode by about 50%. In this way, the quantum state of the output mode carries additional noise.

Notice, to obtain the above given results we have assumed, that the nonmonochromatic modes of the incoming field and the dissipative channels corresponding to $\tilde{P}_k^{(i)}(t), i \neq 1$, are initially prepared in the vacuum state. In practice, this is not necessarily the case, especially
with regard to the dissipative channels associated with the coupling mirror, due to the finite number of thermal quanta and the impossibility to prepare the mode of a dissipative channel. As a consequence, additional noise is fed into the cavity. Moreover, it is straightforward to prove with the use of Eqs. (G1), (G2) and (120), that there are necessarily more than one (nonmonochromatic) mode functions of the outgoing field, including the one corresponding to $\hat{b}^{(1)}_{\text{out}}(t)$, that lie in the relevant frequency interval, defined by the bandwidth $\Gamma_k$ of the cavity mode resonance frequency $\omega_k$. Therefore, if the nonmonochromatic modes of the dissipative channels and the incoming field corresponding to $\hat{B}^{(s)}_k(t)$, $i \neq 1$ are initially prepared in another than the vacuum state, the quantum state of the output field in the relevant frequency interval is a mixture of modes of the outgoing field corresponding to $\hat{b}^{(1)}_{\text{out}}(t)$ including the relevant one, which corresponds to $\hat{b}^{(1)}_{\text{out}}(t)$. The mode analysis for this case will be performed in detail in a forthcoming paper.

VI. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Within the frame of exact quantum electrodynamics in causal media we have studied the input-output problem of a high-$Q$ cavity. Making use of the representation of the quantized electromagnetic field in dispersing and absorbing planar (dielectric) multilayers as given in Ref. [47], we have considered a one-dimensional cavity bounded by a perfectly reflecting mirror and a fractionally transparent mirror, which is responsible for the input-output coupling. In order to study the effect of unwanted losses such as absorption losses, we have allowed both the medium inside the cavity and the coupling mirror to be absorbing, by attributing to them complex permittivities. Moreover, we have assumed that there are also active atoms inside the cavity, which are supposed to interact with the medium-assisted electromagnetic field via electric-dipole coupling.

We have calculated the electromagnetic field both inside and outside the cavity. It has turned out that in a coarse-grained approximation, i.e., on a time scale that is large compared with the inverse separation of two neighboring cavity resonance frequencies, the intracavity field may be expressed in terms of standing waves, and bosonic operators associated with them can be introduced which obey quantum Langevin equations. In this approximation, the radiative losses due to the input-output coupling and the absorption losses can be regarded as representing independent dissipative channels, each giving rise to a damping rate and a corresponding Langevin noise force. The result shows that the Hamiltonian used in quantum noise theories [33] to treat a leaky cavity can be simply complemented by bilinear interaction energies between the cavity modes and appropriately chosen dissipative channels to model unwanted losses such as absorption losses.

However, this intuitive concept fails with respect to the operator input-output relations in general. As we have shown, the absorption losses attributed to the coupling mirror give rise to additional force terms in the input-output relations which cannot be simply inferred from the above mentioned interaction energies between the cavity modes and the dissipative channels introduced to model the mirror-assisted absorption. Hence the input-output relations obtainable from standard quantum noise theories would be incomplete.

Finally we have used the exact operator input-output relations to explicitly calculate the quantum state of the outgoing field as a function of time, assuming that the quantum state of the cavity field is known at some initial time. To be more specific, we have restricted our attention to a single cavity mode and assumed that the process of quantum state preparation is sufficiently short compared with the decay time of the mode under consideration, so that the time scales of quantum state preparation and extraction from the cavity are well separated from each other. Introducing the relevant modes of the incoming and outgoing fields, i.e., the modes the cavity mode couples to, we have expressed the s-parameterized phase-space function of the quantum state of the relevant outgoing mode in terms of the phase-space functions of the quantum states of the cavity mode, the relevant incoming mode, and the dissipative degrees of freedom responsible for unwanted losses. It should be mentioned that the generalization to more than one cavity mode initially excited is straightforward.
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APPENDIX A: RECURSION FORMULAS FOR FRESNEL COEFFICIENTS

To calculate $r_{ij}$ and $t_{ij}$, we first note, that in the case $|i - j| = 1$, i.e., single-interface transmission, they are defined according to

$$r_{ij} \equiv r_{i/j} = \frac{\hat{b}_i - \hat{b}_j}{\hat{b}_i + \hat{b}_j} = -\frac{r_{j/i}}{1}, \quad (A1)$$

$$t_{ij} \equiv t_{i/j} = (1 + r_{i/j}) = \frac{\hat{b}_i}{\hat{b}_j} t_{j/i}, \quad (A2)$$

leading to

$$t_{ij} t_{ji} - r_{ij} r_{ji} = 1. \quad (A3)$$
In the general case, the relations (see Ref. 50)
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{r_{ij/k}}{1 - r_{ij}} &= \frac{r_{ij} + (t_{ij}t_{j/i})r_{ij/k}e^{2i\beta_{3}d_{j}}}{1 - r_{ij}t_{j/i}e^{2i\beta_{3}d_{j}}}, \\
\frac{t_{ij/k}}{1 - r_{ij}} &= \frac{t_{ij}t_{j/i}e^{2i\beta_{3}d_{j}}}{1 - r_{ij}t_{j/i}e^{2i\beta_{3}d_{j}}},
\end{align*}
\]
(A4)
(A5)
\[
|\min(i, k) \leq j \leq \max(i, k)| \text{ hold}. \quad \text{With these formulas at hand, we can calculate recursively all the quantities } r_{i/j} \text{ and } t_{i/j}, \text{ since}
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{r_{ik}}{1 - r_{ij}} &= \frac{r_{ij} + (t_{ij}t_{j/i})r_{ik}e^{2i\beta_{3}d_{j}}}{1 - r_{ij}t_{j/i}e^{2i\beta_{3}d_{j}}}, \\
\frac{t_{ik}}{1 - r_{ij}} &= \frac{t_{ij}t_{j/i}e^{2i\beta_{3}d_{j}}}{1 - r_{ij}t_{j/i}e^{2i\beta_{3}d_{j}}},
\end{align*}
\]
(A6)
(A7)
\[
\text{for any } j \text{ with } \min(i, k) \leq j \leq \max(i, k). \quad \text{Note that in the system under consideration } r_{10} = -1, \text{ since perfect reflection from the left-side mirror of the cavity field has been postulated.}
\]

**APPENDIX B: DERIVATION OF EQ. (76)**

Inserting Eq. (22) in Eq. (19) for \(j = 3\), we may write
\[
\hat{E}_{\text{out,free}}^{(3)}(z, \omega, t) \big|_{z=0^+} = \frac{t_{34}e^{i\beta_{3}d}}{D_{1}} \left[ \hat{C}_{-}^{(1)}(l, \omega, t) - \hat{C}_{-}^{(1)}(l, \omega, t) \right] + \frac{t_{23}e^{i\beta_{2}d}}{D_{2}} \left[ \hat{C}_{+}^{(2)}(\omega, t) + r_{20}\hat{C}_{-}^{(2)}(\omega, t) \right] + r_{30}\hat{C}_{-}^{(3)}(\omega, t),
\]
(B1)
\[
\text{where } \hat{C}_{-}^{(1)}(l, \omega, t), \hat{C}_{+}^{(2)}(\omega, t), \text{ and } \hat{C}_{-}^{(3)}(\omega, t) \text{ are given by Eqs. (20), (31), and (32), respectively. Using Eqs. (20), (31), and (32), the following proved relations can be easily proved:}
\]
\[
\frac{1}{D_{2}} (1 \pm r_{20}e^{i\beta_{2}d}) - \frac{1}{D_{2}} (1 \pm r_{21}e^{i\beta_{3}d}) = \mp \frac{t_{13}}{D_{2}} \frac{t_{21}}{D_{2}} (1 \pm r_{23}e^{i\beta_{2}d}) e^{2i\beta_{3}l},
\]
(B2)
\[
r_{30} - r_{31} = -\frac{t_{13}t_{31}}{D_{1}} e^{2i\beta_{3}l}.
\]
(B3)
\[
\text{Combining Eq. (151) with Eqs. (152) and (153), we arrive at Eq. (76).}
\]

**APPENDIX C: DERIVATION OF EQS. (71) – (73)**

We solve Eq. (34) [equivalently, Eqs. (36) and (37)] by iteration to obtain \(\Gamma_k\) in leading order as
\[
\Gamma_k = \frac{c}{2n_{1}l} (1 - |r_{13}|^2),
\]
(C1)
where \(n_{1}, r_{13}, \text{ and the parameter introduced in the following are taken at the (unperturbed) frequency } \omega_k\). By means of
\[
r_{13} = \frac{-r_{21} + r_{23}e^{2i\beta_{3}d_{2}}}{1 - r_{23}r_{21}e^{2i\beta_{2}d_{2}}},
\]
(C2)
\[
\text{Eq. (C1) can be rewritten as}
\]
\[
\Gamma_k = \frac{1}{|D_{2}|^2} \left[ n_{1}^{2} \left( \frac{1 - |r_{23}|^2}{2} e^{-3\beta_{2}d_{2}} \right) + in''_{2} \left( r_{23}e^{-2i\beta_{2}d_{2}} - r_{23}e^{2i\beta_{2}d_{2}} \right) \right].
\]
(C3)
\[
\text{Further, from Eqs. (49) } (\omega = \omega_{k}) \text{ and (A5)} \text{ we find}
\]
\[
|T_{k}|^2 = \frac{16n_{1}|n_{2}|^{2}n_{3}^{4}}{|D_{2}|^2|n_{1}|^{2}|n_{2}|^{2}n_{3}^{2}} e^{-2\beta_{2}d_{2}}.
\]
(C4)
\[
\text{Making use of Eqs. (47) and (48), we derive } (\omega = \omega_{k})
\]
\[
\sum_{\lambda} |A_{k\lambda}|^2 = \frac{4n_{1}}{|D_{2}|^2|n_{1}|^{2}n_{2}^{2}n_{3}^{2}n_{4}}\left(1 - |r_{23}|^2 \right) e^{-\beta_{2}d_{2}}
\]
\[
\times \left[ n_{1}^{2} \left( e^{\beta_{2}d_{2}} - e^{-\beta_{2}d_{2}} \right) \left(1 + |r_{23}|^2 e^{-2\beta_{2}d_{2}} \right) - in''_{2} \left( e^{i\beta_{2}d_{2}} - e^{-i\beta_{2}d_{2}} \right) \left( r_{23}e^{i\beta_{2}d_{2}} + r_{23}e^{-i\beta_{2}d_{2}} \right) \right].
\]
(C5)
\[
\text{Thus, combining Eqs. (C3) – (C5), we arrive at}
\]
\[
\Gamma_k = \frac{c}{2n_{1}l} \left( |T_{k}|^2 + \sum_{\lambda} |A_{k\lambda}|^2 \right),
\]
(C6)
\[
\text{which matches Eq. (71) together with Eqs. (72) and (73).}
\]

**APPENDIX D: PROOF OF THE COMMUTATION RELATION (70)**

To prove the commutation relation (70), we recall the definition of \(\hat{E}_{k}^{(1)}(z, \omega, t)\), namely
\[
\hat{E}_{k}^{(1)}(z, \omega, t) = \int_{(\Delta_{k})} d\omega \hat{E}_{k}^{(1)}(z, \omega, t),
\]
(D1)
where \(\hat{E}_{k}^{(1)}(z, \omega, t)\) is defined by Eq. (19) for \(j = 1\). Using Eq. (21), employing the integral relation
\[
\text{Im } G(z_{1}, z_{2}, \omega) = \frac{\omega}{c^{2}} \int dx \varepsilon''(x, \omega) G(z_{1}, x, \omega) G^{*}(z_{2}, x, \omega),
\]
(D2)
\[
\text{and recalling the commutation relation (1), after some algebra we find that}
\]
\[
\left[ \hat{E}_{k}^{(1)}(z, t), \hat{E}_{k'}^{(1)}(z, t) \right] = \delta_{kk'} \int_{(\Delta_{k})} d\omega \omega^{2} \frac{\hbar o}{\pi A} \text{ Im } G^{(1)}(z_{1}, z_{2}, \omega).
\]
(D3)
To perform the integration we extend the lower (upper) integration limit to
and rewrite \( \text{Im} \, G^{(1)}(z_1, z_2, \omega) \) as \( [G^{(1)}(z_1, z_2, \omega) - G^{(1)}(z_1, z_2, \omega)]/(2i) \). Then, recalling the definition of \( G^{(1)}(z_1, z_2, \omega) \) \( [G^{(1)}(z_1, z_2, \omega)] \) from Eqs. \( \ref{eq:D4} \) and \( \ref{eq:D6} \) with \( j = 1 \), we evaluate the integral applying the residue theorem for the poles determined by the zeroes of the function \( D_1(\omega) \, [D_1^*(\omega)] \). Thus, for sufficiently high-Q cavities, \( \Gamma_k \ll \Delta_k \) we obtain

\[
[\hat{E}^{(1)}_{k}(z_1, t), \hat{E}^{(1)}_{k'}(z_2, t)] = \delta_{kk'} \hbar \omega_k \epsilon_0 |z_1| |A| \sin[\beta_1(\omega_k z_1)] \sin[\beta_1'(\omega_k z_2)]. \tag{D4}
\]

Comparing Eq. \( \ref{eq:D4} \) with Eq. \( \ref{eq:D6} \) [together with Eq. \( \ref{eq:67} \)], we then easily see that the commutation relation \( \ref{eq:70} \) holds.

**APPENDIX E: PROOF OF THE COMMUTATION RELATION \( \ref{eq:93} \)**

From Eq. \( \ref{eq:87} \) it follows that

\[
[\hat{b}_{\text{out}}(t), \hat{b}^\dagger_{\text{out}}(t')] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{(\Delta_k)} \frac{d\omega}{(\Delta_{\nu})} \frac{\pi A}{\mu_0 c \chi \sqrt{\omega}} \left[ \hat{E}^{(3)}_{\text{out}}(0^+, \omega, t), \hat{E}^{(3)}_{\text{out}}(0^+, \omega', t') \right],
\]

which in the source-quantity representation reads as [cf. Eqs. \( \ref{eq:13} \) and \( \ref{eq:83} \)]

\[
[\hat{b}_{\text{out}}(t), \hat{b}^\dagger_{\text{out}}(t')] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{(\Delta_k)} \frac{d\omega}{(\Delta_{\nu})} \frac{\pi A}{\mu_0 c \chi \sqrt{\omega}} \left\{ \left[ \hat{E}^{(3)}_{\text{out,free}}(0^+, \omega, t), \hat{E}^{(3)}_{\text{out,free}}(0^+, \omega', t') \right] + \left[ \hat{E}^{(3)}_{\text{es}}(0^+, \omega, t), \hat{E}^{(3)}_{\text{es}}(0^+, \omega', t') \right] + \left[ \hat{E}^{(3)}_{\text{out,free}}(0^+, \omega, t), \hat{E}^{(3)}_{\text{out,free}}(0^+, \omega', t') \right] \right\}. \tag{E1}
\]

Using Eq. \( \ref{eq:29} \) one easily finds

\[
[\hat{E}^{(3)}_{\text{es}}(0^+, \omega_1, t_1), \hat{E}^{(3)}_{\text{es}}(0^+, \omega_2, t_2)] = \frac{1}{\pi^2 c_0^2 A^2} \frac{\omega_1^2 \omega_2^2}{c^4} \times \sum_{AA'} \text{Im} \, G^{(13)}(z_{A'}, 0^+, \omega_1) \text{Im} \, G^{(13)}(z_A, 0^+, \omega_2)
\times \int dt' \int dt'' \Theta(t_1 - t') \Theta(t_2 - t'')
\times e^{-i\omega_1(t_1 - t')} e^{i\omega_2(t_2 - t'')} \left[ \hat{A} \, (t'), \hat{A} \, (t'') \right]. \tag{E2}
\]

Further, from Eqs. \( \ref{eq:15} \)–\( \ref{eq:17} \) it follows that

\[
\left[ \hat{f}_{\text{free}}(z', \omega_1, t_1), \hat{A} \, (t') \right] = -\mu_0 \omega_1^2 \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_0}{\hbar \pi A}} \sqrt{\epsilon'(z', \omega_1)} \times \sum_A \int dt'' \Theta(t' - t'') G^*(z_{A'}, z', \omega_1) e^{-i\omega_1(t_1 - t'')}
\times \left[ \hat{A} \, (t''), \hat{A} \, (t') \right]. \tag{E4}
\]

At this stage we first multiply both sides of this equation by \( i\omega_1^2 \mu_0 \sqrt{\hbar \epsilon_0 / (\pi A)} \sqrt{\epsilon'_j(\omega_1)} G^{(3j)}(0^+, z', \omega_1) \) and perform the sum \( \sum_{j=1}^3 \) and the integrals \( \int dz' \), by making use of Eq. \( \ref{eq:12} \). Next we multiply the result by \(-i / (\pi \epsilon_0 A) (\omega_0^2 / c^2) \Theta(t_2 - t') \text{Im} \, G^{(13)}(z_A, 0^+, \omega_2)\)
and take the sum with respect to \( A \) and the time integral with respect to \( t' \), and recall the free-field and the source-field definitions \( \ref{eq:19} \) and \( \ref{eq:20} \) together with Eq. \( \ref{eq:21} \), leading to

\[
\left[ \hat{E}^{(3)}_{\text{free}}(0^+, \omega_1, t_1), \hat{E}^{(3)}_{\text{es}}(0^+, \omega_2, t_2) \right] = \frac{1}{\pi^2 c_0^2 A^2} \frac{\omega_1^2 \omega_2^2}{c^4} \times \sum_{AA'} \text{Im} \, G^{(13)}(0^+, z_{A'}, \omega_1) \text{Im} \, G^{(13)}(z_A, 0^+, \omega_2)
\times \int dt' \int dt'' \Theta(t_2 - t') \Theta(t' - t'')
\times e^{-i\omega_1(t_1 - t')} e^{i\omega_2(t_2 - t'')} \left[ \hat{A} \, (t''), \hat{A} \, (t') \right]. \tag{E5}
\]

Using Eqs. \( \ref{eq:29} \) and \( \ref{eq:29a} \) we then derive, on recalling that \( \Theta(x) + \Theta(-x) = 1 \),

\[
\left[ \hat{E}^{(3)}_{\text{es}}(0^+, \omega_1, t_1), \hat{E}^{(3)}_{\text{es}}(0^+, \omega_2, t_2) \right] = -\frac{1}{\pi^2 c_0^2 A^2} \frac{\omega_1^2 \omega_2^2}{c^4} \times \sum_{AA'} \text{Im} \, G^{(13)}(z_{A'}, 0^+, \omega_1) \text{Im} \, G^{(13)}(z_A, 0^+, \omega_2)
\times \int dt' \int dt'' \Theta(t_1 - t') \Theta(t' - t'') \Theta(t'' - t_2)
\times \Theta(t_2 - t'' \Theta(t' - t_1) + \Theta(t_2 - t'') \Theta(t' - t_1)]
\times e^{-i\omega_1(t_1 - t')} e^{i\omega_2(t_2 - t'')} \left[ \hat{A} \, (t'), \hat{A} \, (t'') \right]. \tag{E6}
\]

In a similar way one can calculate the commutator \( \left[ \hat{E}^{(3)}_{\text{kin,free}}(0^+, \omega, t), \hat{E}^{(3)}_{\text{es}}(0^+, \omega', t') \right] \), where

\[
\hat{E}^{(3)}_{\text{kin,free}}(z, \omega, t) = e^{-i\beta_2 z} C^{(3)}_-(\omega, t), \tag{E7}
\]

with \( C^{(3)}_-(\omega, t) \) being given by Eq. \( \ref{eq:32} \). That is, multiplying both sides of Eq. \( \ref{eq:29} \) by
Hence from Eq. (E10) it follows that on the right-hand side of the Eq. (88) can be rewritten to the mutation relation (93) to be proved.

\[
\left[\hat{E}_{\text{kin,free}}(0^+,\omega_1, t_1), \hat{E}_{\text{kin,free}}(0^+,\omega_2, t_2)\right] = \frac{i}{\pi^2 \epsilon_0^2 A^2} \int d\omega \epsilon^\prime(\omega) \left\{ \hat{a}_A(t') \hat{a}_A(0) + \hat{a}_A(0) \hat{a}_A(t') \right\}.
\]

Now we may calculate the commutator \(\left[\hat{E}_{\text{kin,free}}(0^+,\omega, t), \hat{E}_{\text{kin,free}}(0^+,\omega', t')\right]\), using the identity

\[
\left[\hat{E}_{\text{kin,free}}(0^+,\omega, t), \hat{E}_{\text{kin,free}}(0^+,\omega', t')\right] = \frac{i}{\pi^2 \epsilon_0^2 A^2} \int d\omega |\alpha_{\text{out}}|^2 \left\{ \hat{a}_A(t') \hat{a}_A(0) + \hat{a}_A(0) \hat{a}_A(t') \right\}.
\]

Combining Eqs. (F22), (F25), (F28), and (F29), we derive

\[
\left[\hat{b}_{\text{out,free}}(\omega, t), \hat{b}_{\text{out,free}}(\omega', t')\right] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{(\Delta_k)} d\omega \int_{(\Delta_{\omega'})} d\omega' |\alpha_{\text{out}}|^2 \left\{ \hat{a}_A(t') \hat{a}_A(0) + \hat{a}_A(0) \hat{a}_A(t') \right\}.
\]

It can be shown [47] that the operators \(\hat{b}_{\text{out,free}}(\omega, t)\) [and \(\hat{b}^\dagger_{\text{out,free}}(\omega, t)\)] defined according to Eq. (F23) with \(\hat{E}_{\text{out,free}}(z, \omega, t)\) in place of \(\hat{E}_{\text{kin,free}}(z, \omega, t)\) obey the Bose commutation relation

\[
\left[\hat{b}_{\text{out,free}}(\omega, t), b_{\text{out,free}}(\omega', t')\right] = \delta_{kk'} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{(\Delta_k)} d\omega \epsilon \delta(\omega - \omega').
\]

Hence from Eq. (E11) it follows that

\[
\left[\hat{b}_{\text{out,free}}(\omega, t), b_{\text{out,free}}^\dagger(\omega', t')\right] = \delta_{kk'} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{(\Delta_k)} d\omega \epsilon \delta(\omega - \omega').
\]

Extending, within the approximation scheme used, the limits of integration to \(-\infty\) and \(\infty\), we arrive at the commutation relation (F3) to be proved.

**APPENDIX F: DERIVATION OF EQ. (F21)**

To prove Eq. (F1), we first show that the integral term on the right-hand side of the Eq. (F21) can be rewritten as follows:

\[
\hat{\xi}_k(t) = \int dt'^{\prime} \Theta(t-t') e^{-i\Omega_k(t-t')} \times \left[ T_k(\omega) \hat{b}_{\text{kin}}(\omega, t') + \sum_\lambda A_{k\lambda}(\omega) \hat{e}_{k\lambda}(\omega, t') \right]
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{\pi} \int d\omega' \int_{t_0}^{t+\Delta t} dt'' \epsilon^\prime(\omega' - \omega)(t''-t') \times \int dt'^{\prime} \Theta(t-t') e^{-i\Omega_k(t-t')}
\]

\[
\times \left[ T_k(\omega') \hat{b}_{\text{kin}}(\omega', t') + \sum_\lambda A_{k\lambda}(\omega') \hat{e}_{k\lambda}(\omega', t') \right].
\]

\(\Delta t \gg \Delta_k^{-1}\). To verify, we first perform \(t''\)-integration on the right-hand side of this equation to obtain

\[
\hat{\xi}_k(t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\Delta_{\omega_k}} d\omega'' \int dt'^{\prime} \Theta(t-t') e^{-i\Omega_k(t-t')}
\]

\[
\times \frac{\epsilon^\prime(\omega-\omega') \Delta t - 1 + \epsilon^\prime(\omega-\omega')(t_0-t)}{i(\omega-\omega')}
\]

\[
\times \left[ T_k(\omega') \hat{b}_{\text{kin}}(\omega', t') + \sum_\lambda A_{k\lambda}(\omega') \hat{e}_{k\lambda}(\omega', t') \right].
\]

In the coarse-grained approximation used, i.e., \(\tau \gg \Delta_{\omega_k}^{-1}\) \((\tau = \Delta t, t_0)\), we may let

\[
\frac{\epsilon^\prime(\omega-\omega') \tau - 1}{i(\omega-\omega')} \rightarrow \zeta(\omega - \omega')
\]

\[
= \begin{cases} 
  iP \frac{\omega - \omega'}{\omega - \omega'} + \pi \delta(\omega - \omega') & \text{if } \tau > 0, \\
  iP \frac{\omega - \omega'}{\omega - \omega'} - \pi \delta(\omega - \omega') & \text{if } \tau < 0
\end{cases}
\]

(P, principal value). Now the \(\omega'\)-integration can be easily performed to see that Eq. (F21) is correct within the approximation scheme used.

Making \([\text{on the right-hand side of the Eq. (F1)}\) the change of variables: \(t' \rightarrow t'' + t'\) and performing \(\omega'\)-integration \([T_k = T_k(\omega_k), A_{k\lambda} = A_{k\lambda}(\omega_k)]\), we find

\[
\hat{\xi}_k(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{t_0}^{t+\Delta t} dt'' \int dt'^{\prime} \Theta(t'-t'') \times e^{-i\Omega_k(t''-t')}
\]

\[
\times \left[ T_k(\omega) \hat{b}_{\text{kin}}(t') + \sum_\lambda A_{k\lambda}(\omega) \hat{e}_{k\lambda}(t') \right].
\]

Comparing Eq. (F4) with Eq. (F8), we see that

\[
\hat{\xi}_k(t) = \left[ \frac{c}{2n_3(\omega_k)} \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}
\]

\[
\times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{t_0}^{t+\Delta t} dt'' e^{-i\Omega_k(t''-t')} \hat{a}_k(t'').
\]
Using Eq. (110) together with Eqs. (97) and (107), from Eqs. (61) and (62) we can calculate the commutator in a straightforward manner.

**APPENDIX G: DERIVATION OF EQ. (120)**

Using Eq. (68) together with Eqs. (62), (63), and the commutation relations (50) and (51) it is not difficult to prove that

\[
[a_k(t), \hat{b}^\dagger_{k'\rightarrow in}(\omega, t')] = \delta_{kk'} \left[ \frac{c}{2n_1(\omega_k)} i \right] \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{T_k}{\omega - \Omega_k} e^{-i\omega(t-t')} ,
\]

\[\text{(G1)}\]

\[
[a_k(t), \hat{c}^\dagger_{k'\rightarrow \lambda}(\omega, t')] = \delta_{kk'} \left[ \frac{c}{2n_1(\omega_k)} i \right] \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{A_{kk'} e^{-i\omega(t-t')}}{\omega - \Omega_k} .
\]

\[\text{(G2)}\]
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