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Abstract: The phase characteristics of reflecting and transmitting type twisted nematic liquid crystal based Spatial Light Modulators (SLMs) were measured using interferometry. Device parameters like contrast, brightness, input and output polarizer angles have been optimized and SLM phase nonlinearity was reduced by higher order polynomial interpolation. Higher order aberration production ability of SLMs was tested by measuring the shift in the spots of a Shack Hartmann Sensor.

1. INTRODUCTION

Spatial Light Modulator (SLM) is a versatile device for reliable and effortless modulation of amplitude and phase of light [1]. They can be used in applications requiring controlled production of phase like phase shifting [2], digital holography [3] and adaptive optics [4]. An accurate calibration of the device is essential before its usage in any controlled phase production application. The phase response of a liquid crystal based SLM is nonlinear. The nonlinearity can be modeled and appropriate command values can be assigned to generate the desirable phase.

A Twyman-Green interferometer was used for the phase measurement in the reflective type SLM case and a Mach-Zehnder interferometer for the transmitting type SLM. The phase characteristics of reflective and transmitting type SLMs were measured at different wavelengths. The phase to gray scale relation depends on the display properties of the Liquid Crystal Display (LCD), namely contrast and brightness. Optimum set of these parameters which allowed the usage of a large grayscale range and gave relatively high amplitude of phase were selected. The phase response at these optimum parameters was then fitted with cubic and tenth degree polynomial interpolation. The nonlinearity of the SLMs was taken into account by using the inverse mathematical expression for the corresponding interpolation polynomials. This linearization procedure of the SLM was checked by addressing grayscale values corresponding to linearly varying phase. This characterization is useful for a controlled and accurate phase production. We checked the production of phase of the reflective type SLM with the shift in the spots of the Shack Hartmann sensor. The variation of the fringe contrast was also measured.

In the second section, the methodology used to measure the phase response of SLMs is explained. Phase measurement results are discussed in detail in the third section. In the last section conclusions are presented.

2. METHODOLOGY

The schematic of the Twyman-Green interferometer setup for phase measurement of the reflective type SLM is shown in Fig. 1. The SLM used is LC-R 720 from HOLOEYE. G.P1, G.P2 are two glan polarizer. S.F is a spatial filter setup consisting 40x beam expander and 5µm pinhole, L is 25cm focal length doublet lens for collimation purpose. B.S is a beam splitter, M is a plane mirror in one of the arms of the interferometer, SLM is placed in the other arm. A pulnix CCD camera is used for recording the interferograms. Mellis Griot He-Ne lasers of different wavelengths were used as sources of light.

![Fig. 1. Twyman-Green Interferometric setup](image)

The schematic of the Mach Zehnder interferometer setup for phase measurement of the transmitting type SLM is shown in Fig. 2. The SLM used is LC 2002 from HOLOEYE. G.P1, G.P2 are two glan polarizers. S.F is a spatial filter setup. B.S1, B.S2 are beam splitters, M1, M2 are plane mirrors, L is a collimating triplet lens with 12.5cm focal length. Fringe stability is a major problem in the measurement of small phase differences using interferometric arrangement. Vibration isolation table was used for the experiment. Wobbling of the interferograms can occur due to local refractive index fluctuations caused by air. To overcome the wobbling
of the interferograms, vertically divided screens on
the SLM were addressed as shown in the Fig. 3a. The
bottom part of the screen was left dark (0 grayscale)
with varying grayscale on the upper part of the screen
from 0 to 255 in steps of 8. The resultant
interferogram captured on the CCD is shown in Fig.
3b. The interferograms so obtained were smoothened
using different image processing techniques. Smoothening was performed by applying medfilt2
and wiener2 filters available in MATLAB. Here
medfilt2 stands for 2D median filtering. It reduces
salt and pepper noise. This is effective in this case
because it simultaneously reduces noise and
preserves edges. Another filter wiener2 stands for 2D
wiener filter. This is a low pass-filter used to remove
constant power additive noise in grayscale images.
After smoothening, the measurement of fringe width
and fringe shift is straightforward.

By measuring the fringe width and the fringe shift
the amplitude of phase introduced by the SLMs can
be calculated using the following formulae,

\[
\text{path difference} = \frac{\lambda}{\omega} \delta \quad (1)
\]

where, \( \lambda = \) wavelength, \( \omega = \) fringe width
and \( \delta = \) fringe shift

\[
\text{phase difference} = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} \text{path difference} \quad (2)
\]

3. PHASE MEASUREMENT RESULTS

The maximum phase of a liquid crystal based SLM
depends on the refractive index of the liquid crystal
material, the thickness of the liquid crystal and the
wavelength of the source used. Since there is no
control over liquid crystal thickness, the phase to
grayscale relation can be measured at different
wavelengths. The phase response of the reflecting
and transmitting type SLMs at different wavelength
is shown in Figs. 4 and 5.

The phase response varies with the applied
contrast on the SLM liquid crystal screen. Contrast
ratio is defined as the ratio of the maximum intensity
to minimum intensity. The manufacturer provides a
contrast control that can be varied from \( c=0-255 \) for
transmitting type SLM and \( c=0-100 \) for reflecting
type SLM. The results of changing phase response
with contrast are shown in Figs. 6 and 7. In all
graphs, phase is always expressed in wavelength
units. The wavelength is specified in corresponding
figure caption.

In the SLMs, an allowance was made to adjust the
LCD bias voltage. This adjustment controls the
contrast ratio of the display device, and this voltage
needs to be optimized for best amplitude and phase
modulation. Higher contrasts which need
development of large voltage difference for small
grayscale change leads to saturation effects. On the
other hand, low contrasts fail to produce appreciable
phase differences. The measured optimum contrast

![Fig. 2. Mach Zehnder Interferometer setup](image1)

![Fig. 3. Vertically divided Screen and the
corresponding interferogram](image2)

![Fig. 4. Wavelength dependent phase response of
LC-R 720 SLM](image3)

![Fig. 5. Wavelength dependent phase response of
LC 2002 SLM](image4)
for both of the SLMs lies in the center of the contrast range. It was observed that the brightness change of the SLMs merely allows amplitude modulation and has minimal effect on phase modulation. The input polarization angle for both the SLMs was chosen to be \( \varphi = 135^\circ \). For the transmitting type SLM, an analyzer \( A = 90^\circ \) was used for best performance.

The phase response of the transmitting type SLM at 543nm was fitted using polynomial interpolation. The corresponding linearized plots for cubic and 10th degree interpolation are shown in Figs. 8 and 9.

The phase response of reflecting type SLM at 633nm was fitted using polynomial interpolation. The resultant equations for cubic and 6th degree polynomial interpolation are shown in equations (5) and (6).

Cubic:

\[
g = 2315.3876p^3 - 2469.6140p^2 + 1096.8648p + 14.2464
\]

6th degree:

\[
g = -57059.6360p^6 + 132609.9681p^5 - 110176.3732p^4
\]

\[\quad - 43431.1814p^3 - 9326.8085p^2
\]

\[\quad + 1516.2394p + 10.0568\]

The linearization results are plotted for cubic and 6th degree interpolation and shown in Figs. 10 and 11.

The phase to grayscale relation was verified using Diffractive Optical Lens (DOL) based Shack-Hartmann Sensor (SHS) realized using SLM. Linear tilts of increasing magnitude were applied across sub-apertures of SHS and the shift in the spots was measured. The linear relation shown in Fig. 12 between the tilt and the shift in the spot confirms a proper phase characterization of the SLM.
4. CONCLUSIONS
Changing brightness of the SLMs had minimal effect on the phase modulation characteristics. Very high and too low contrasts either led to saturation effects or production of low phase. The optimum contrast for both SLMs is at the center of the contrast range. This observation can be attributed to the voltage difference dependent contrast of the display. Hence rest of the analysis was performed using 50% contrast. LC-R 720 was found to give a maximum phase of $4.52 \pm 0.01$ radians at 543nm and $2.76 \pm 0.01$ radians at 633nm. The input polarizer was fixed at $45^\circ$ which is the orientation of molecular director of the nematic LC-SLMs. At output polarizer angles of $0^\circ$ and $45^\circ$, the magnitude of phase was significant for LC-R 720. In the case of LC 2002, the optimum analyzer angle was found to be $90^\circ$.

The obtained nonlinear phase curves were fitted using cubic interpolation. Inverse transformation was performed to obtain expressions for grayscale as a function of applied phase. Cubic inversion has a linearization residual error of $\pm 0.19$ radians in the case of LC-R 720 and $\pm 0.31$ radians for LC 2002. It was observed that inversion using higher order interpolation reduces the residual error. The measured shift in the spots of SHS corresponding to the applied phase difference was found to be linear within the experimental errors ascertaining the possibility of using SLM for higher order aberration production and compensation in adaptive optics testing.
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