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Abstract

Background: National Institute of alcohol and alcoholism define Problem/risky use of alcohol as drinking in a way that can negatively impact our health and life, but the body is not physically dependent on the substance. Risky alcohol use behavior is harmful to both the fetus and the mother such as maternal alcohol intoxication and alcohol use disorder, abortion, stillbirth, low birth weight, and prematurity. This study is aimed to assess the prevalence of risky alcohol use for both the mother and the fetus during pregnancy among mothers who have used alcohol at least once in the current pregnancy.

Methods: Institution based cross-sectional study was conducted among a total of 380 mothers who have used alcohol at least once during the current pregnancy. The participants were selected using a systematic random sampling technique. Both Bivariable and Multivariable Binary Logistic Regression models were done to identify associated factors. Odds Ratios with their 95% Confidence Interval was computed and variables with a $p$-value $< 0.05$ during multivariable analysis were considered significantly associated factors.

Results: A total of 380 mothers who used alcohol at least once (any amount) in the current pregnancy were included in the study and further interviewed for risky alcohol use behavior with a response rate of 100%. The overall prevalence of risky alcohol use behavior was 16.1% (95% CI = 12.1, 19.7). Having poor social support, having moderate to severe depression and anxiety, having diagnosed family history of mental illness, having a history of abortion were important factors which significantly associated with risky alcohol use behavior.

Conclusion: Significant proportions of pregnant mothers were risky alcohol drinkers. It will be better if screening of any amount of alcohol use during pregnancy and providing health education (about the risk of alcohol use) for all pregnant women who attend antenatal care follow up.
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The effect of risky alcohol use on behavior, health, and society are the main challenges across the world [4]. Alcohol is the most common teratogen and harmful substance if consumed during pregnancy; it can cause a problem for pregnancy and puts the mother at risk [5].

Maternal alcohol use during pregnancy increases the risk and occurrence of preterm delivery and spontaneous abortion. Its significant risk of mental, physical and psychological harm to the fetus is well known; called fetal alcohol spectrum disorders (FASD) and fetal alcohol syndrome (FAS) [6–8]. According to the report of the World Health Organization (WHO) prenatal alcohol use or exposure determinant for intellectual and developmental disabilities [9]. In addition, neurocognitive deficits manifested in language, motor, and cognitive functions are verified as the impact of alcohol use during pregnancy. Moreover, multiple body organ problems i.e. cardiac, skeletal, renal, ocular and auditory deficits have been proved as the effect of alcoholic beverages drinking during pregnancy [10].

Alcohol use during pregnancy often occurs in concordance with other predictors; such as maternal smoking and family of alcohol abuse. So, sometimes it is difficult to attribute the effects to fetal alcohol exposure or to the characteristics of the mother [11].

The excessive use of alcohol in the early weeks of pregnancy may lead to spontaneous abortion, and its consumption between the third and eighth week of pregnancy could increase the risk of physical deformities in the fetus. The effect of alcohol on the newborn is manifested through the Fetal Alcohol Syndrome (FAS); 33% of children born from mothers who used more than 150 g of ethanol per day will develop fetal alcohol syndrome. In addition, children of women who consumed alcohol moderately may present agitation, breast suction deficiency, sweating, and changes in sleep patterns illustrates the condition of abstinence syndrome [12].

According to the Ethiopia Demographic and Health Survey (EDHS) report in 2011, 45% of women reported drinking alcohol at least once in a lifetime; this proportion increases with age, and it is higher among urban areas than in rural areas. In addition to the above, the majority of the community had habits to prepare alcoholic beverages at their home in every ceremony and holidays. Homemade alcoholic beverages are common in the study area and used by anyone without the restriction of gender, age and pregnancy status by ignoring its unfavorable consequence [13].

Any amount of alcohol use during pregnancy at least once during pregnancy is well studied in Ethiopia. Even if drinking of alcoholic beverages in any amount is teratogen for the growing fetus but this study aims to determine proportions of mothers with risky alcohol drinking behavior (both for the mother and the fetus) during pregnancy; as it is important for policymakers and health professional to design health education strategies for mothers who had ANC follow up at health clinics.

Specific objectives

- To determine the prevalence of risky alcohol use behavior in pregnant antenatal care attendees
- To identify factors associated with risky alcohol use behavior in pregnant antenatal care attendees.

Hypothesis

H₀₁: there is no risky alcohol use behavior among pregnant mothers.
H₀₂: all pregnant mothers with different characteristics are equally experienced risky alcohol use behavior.

Methods

Study area
Debre Berhan town is located in North Shoa Administrative Zone, Amhara National Regional State, Ethiopia. It is found at 130 km North of Addis Ababa; the capital city of Ethiopia and 695 km from Bahir-Dar; the capital of Amhara regional state. The town is founded by Emperor Zara Yakob on 7 March 1456. The town has 9 administrative Kebele. In the town, there is one public referral hospital and one private general hospital, 3 health centers, 9 health posts, 5 private specialty clinics, which render health services for the community. These public health institutions expected to serve 2.8 million peoples per year and has Adult and pediatric outpatient, Immunization room, Emergency room, labor and delivery room, laboratory and antenatal care unit rooms. The above health institutions have the same national standard and private specialty clinics were not included in the study.

Study design and period
Institutional based cross-sectional study was conducted from April–May 2018.

Population

Source population
All pregnant women who have used alcohol at least once in the current pregnancy and living in Debre Berhan town and surrounding catchment areas.

Study population
All pregnant women who have used alcohol at least once in the current pregnancy and had ANC follow up in public health institutions in Debre Berhan town.
Eligibility

Inclusion criteria
All pregnant women who have used alcohol at least once in the current pregnancy; had ANC follow-up, and who were available during the study period.

Exclusion criteria
Pregnant women who were severely ill to the extent of interviewing were excluded.

Sample size calculation and sampling procedures
The sample size was calculated using the general formula for single population proportion and by considering 5% margin of error, \( Z = 1.96 \) (95% confidence level) and proportion = 34% of respondents consumed alcohol during pregnancy in a similar study [14]. By adding a 10% non-response rate the final sample size of the study became (\( n = 380 \)). The Preliminary assessment study was done among all pregnant women who had antenatal care follow up at all public health institutions. Based on the assessment 685 pregnant mothers’ use any amount of alcoholic beverage at least once during the current pregnancy were included in the study. Based on the baseline information, pregnant mothers (current users) were further interviewed for risky alcohol use behavior by using systematic random sampling technique (\( k = 2 \)).

Study variables

Dependent variables
Risky Alcohol Use behavior (yes /No).

Independent variables
Socio-demographic characteristics: (age, religion, ethnicity, marital status, educational, occupation, and monthly income).

Obstetric factors: - (parity, gestational age, number of children, pregnancy plan status, previous history of abortion/stillbirth, complication during pregnancy).

Psychosocial Factors: -(social support, Violence (sexual/physical), stressful life events).

Clinical Factors: - chronic medical illness, history of mental illness, family history of mental illness, depression, and anxiety.

Operational definitions
Risk drinking/ Problem drinking: pregnant Mothers who scored 2 or more on “CAGE” alcohol use screening tool (CAGE: C- Cut down, A- annoyed, G-guilty feeling, and E-Eye opener).

Depression and anxiety: - According to patient’s health questionnaire (PHQ-4), individuals for depression and anxiety were rated as normal (0–2), mild [3–5], moderate [6–8], and severe [9–12]. Total score \( \geq 3 \) for the first 2 questions suggests anxiety. And total score \( \geq 3 \) for the last 2 questions suggests depression.

Poor support:-Mothers who score 3–8 on the OSLO-3 social support scale during pregnancy.

Moderate support:-Mothers who score 9–11 on OSLO-3 social support scale during pregnancy.

Strong support:-Mothers who score 11–14 on the OSLO-3 social support scale during pregnancy.

Violence: - According to Abuse Assessment Screen (AAS) for use in Pregnancy, at least a response of one “yes” for physical or sexual abuse from three questions.

Stressful Life event: according to the list of threatening events questionnaire (LTE-Q), individuals who reported no “yes” for all items considered as no trauma, one “yes” as exposed to single trauma and two or more “yes” considered as exposed to multiple trauma.

Data quality control, data collection procedure, and tools
The questionnaires were translated into the local language (Amharic) and back to English; and was checked for consistency. Study subjects were informed on questions included in the questionnaires, purpose of the study, importance of privacy, and confidentiality issues. Before conducting the main study, a pretest was carried out in another health institution before two weeks of the main data collection period on 38 (10%) individuals who were attending antenatal care unit and the pretest data was not included in the analysis.

Data were collected by using pretested semi-structured data-collection tools for some associated factors. Standardized tools were used to assess the outcome variable and some explanatory variables. All the data’s required were quantitative and was collected by interviewer-administered questionnaire. The CAGE questionnaire was used to assess risky alcohol use behavior during pregnancy. The patient’s health questionnaire (PHQ-4) was used to assess the level of anxiety and depression, Oslo-3 to assess social support level, Abuse Assessment Screen (AAS) to assess the status of physical emotional and sexual abuse status and list of threatening events questionnaire (LTE-Q) to assess pregnant women level of exposure to life-threatening events.

Data processing and analysis
Data was entered into Epi-data version 3.1 statistical software after coding and checking of the questionnaires. The entered data were exported to SPSS version 20 for analysis. Then data were categorized and sorted to facilitate its analysis. Descriptive statistics were used to describe the frequency and percentages of the respondents by socio-demographic characteristics, obstetric factors, Psychosocial Factors, and Clinical Factors. Bivariate and multivariate Binary logistic regression models were used.
to identify factors associated with risky alcohol use behavior.

The descriptive results were presented in text and tables as based on the types of data. All explanatory variables which were associated with the outcome variable during bivariate analysis with a $p$-value of 0.2 or less were exported to multivariate analysis. The crude and adjusted odds ratio together with their 95% confidence intervals were computed. During multivariate binary logistic regression, $P$-value < 0.05 was considered to declare as statistically significant associated factors. Assumption tests of logistic regression were managed. The value of VIF (variance inflation factor) was above 1 and below 5 for multicollinear variables. The Model goodness of fit was done by using the Hosmer-Lemeshow test and its $p$-value was 0.54.

Ethical consideration
Ethical clearance was obtained from the Institutional Health Research Review Committee (Ref. No. IHRERCB-569/2018) of the College of Health and Medicine, Debre Berhan University. Official permission was taken from each health institution administrators and verbal consent was taken from the study participants. All pregnant women who use alcohol were informed about the effect of alcohol.

Results
Socio-demographic characteristics
A total of 380 respondents participated in the study, yielding a response rate of 100%. A total of 380 pregnant women were interviewed in the study; of them, almost 82% ($n = 310$) were found between the age of 25–45 years old (mean age = 29.6 ± 5.7 years). The majority, 275(72.4%) were married and 51(13.4%) were single. Regarding occupation, the higher proportion of the study subjects, 133(35%) were a private employee. As it would be expected based on population values of the study area, a vast majority 244 (64%) of the respondents’ were Amhara in ethnicity, and 262 (66%) of the study subjects were Orthodox by religion (see Table 1).

Obstetric factors
From all pregnant women, 271(71%) of mothers reported that the intention of the current pregnancy was wanted and 251(66%) of the pregnancies were planned. Almost half, 186 (48.9%) of the mothers were in the third trimester of pregnancy. Twenty-eight percent ($n = 108$) of the study subjects had no child. History of abortion was experienced by 68 (17.9%) of respondents. Though, 17.6, 46.1, 25.3 and 11.1% of participants reported to have ANC follow up in first, second, third and fourth visit respectively; 233(61%) of them were not informed about the risks of drinking alcohol by their health care providers (see Table 2).

Clinical factors
Of 380 respondents, 23 (6.1%) had known psychiatric illness and 45(11.8%) of the respondent’s family had known mental health problem. Self-reported diagnosed medical illness (pregnancy-related or previously diagnosed) was found among 54(14.2%) of pregnant women.

Psychosocial factors
Study subjects social support status was screened by using the OSLO-3 social support scale. Among all study subjects, 171 (45%) had moderate social support and almost half of the study participants had moderate husband support during their pregnancy. Almost 56% ($n = 193$) of the study subjects didn’t experience any anxiety and depression symptoms. (See Table 3).

The prevalence of risky alcohol use behavior and its associated factors
The prevalence of risky alcohol use behavior during pregnancy was screened by using the CAGE questionnaire, and mothers who reported two or more yes were considered as having risky alcohol use behavior. The overall prevalence of risky alcohol use was 16.1% (95% CI: 12.1, 19.7) (Fig. 1).

During Bivariate analysis, twelve variables were significantly associated with the outcome variable with a $p$-value of less than 0.2, and these variable were exported to multivariable binary logistic regression. Among them, only four predictor variables were significantly associated with the outcome variables i.e. risky alcohol use behavior at $p$-value < 0.05.

Having Poor social support were associated with risky alcohol use behavior during pregnancy. The odds of having risky alcohol use behavior were four times higher in odds among mothers who had poor social support as compared with who had strong social support (AOR = 4.06, 95% CI = 1.56,10.5).

Having diagnosed with family psychiatric history was higher in odds to use alcohol in a risky way. The odds of having risky alcohol use behavior during pregnancy were two times higher among mothers who had diagnosed family psychiatric history as compared with those who had no family psychiatric history (AOR = 2.20, 95% CI = 1.03,5.41). In a similar dimension, being suffered moderate to severe anxiety and depression during pregnancy was also significantly associated with risky alcohol use behavior during pregnancy (AOR = 1.91, 95% CI = 1.01, 4.50). Among obstetric factors, having a history of abortion in previous pregnancies were associated with risky alcohol use behavior as compared with those mother
Discussion

Prevalence of risky alcohol use behavior

The current study attempted to determine the prevalence of risky alcohol use behavior during pregnancy and its associated factor. The overall prevalence of risky alcohol use behavior in the current study was 16.1% (95% CI: 12.1, 19.7).

The current study finding shows that the prevalence of risky alcohol use behavior was consistent with studies done in the world health organization’s survey report among Africa countries’ i.e. Cameroon (12.6%), Uganda (20.5%), Namibia (14.2%), Sierra Leone (14.8%) [15], Ghana 20.4% [16] and Korea 16.4% [17].

The prevalence of risky alcohol use behavior during pregnancy in this study was higher than those studies conducted in equatorial guinea (2.2%), Seychelles (3.4%), Botswana (5.7%), Eastern Mediterranean (6.2%) [13], and united states national survey 7.6% [18], united states 10% [19], South Africa 5.4% [20] and Nigeria [21]. The higher prevalence of risky alcohol use behavior during pregnancy in the current study might be due to the difference in perceived seriousness of alcohol use during pregnancy. And also the studies in African countries described above were used random-effects meta-analysis to determine alcohol use during pregnancy in some WHO Africa regions. The studies done in the United States [18, 19] were used a national survey and the perceived risk of using alcohol during pregnancy might be well understood (better health literacy) in developed countries.
But, the prevalence of risky alcohol use behavior during pregnancy in the current study was lower than the studies done in Ireland 60% [13], Belarus 47% [22], Denmark 46% [20], United Kingdom and Northern Ireland 41% [20], Russian Federation 37% [20], northern Tanzania 34.1% [23] and Bahir Dar; Ethiopia 34% [24]. The lower prevalence in the current study might be due to the current study focuses on risky alcohol use than any amount of alcohol consumption.

### Associated factors of risky alcohol use behavior

This study result showed that prevalence of risky alcohol use behavior was higher among pregnant women who had a history of abortion in a previous pregnancy, poor social support, diagnosed family psychiatric history and suffered moderate to severe depression and anxiety. The association of having moderate to severe depression and anxiety with problematic alcohol use in the current study was in agreement with the study done in Australia [25]. The association might be due to the fact that individuals who had poor mental health are prone to use alcohol for self-treatment.

Having a history of abortion in a previous pregnancy, having poor social support and having diagnosed family psychiatric history were also associated with the prevalence of risky alcohol use behavior in the current study. The association might be due to women who had pregnancy-related complications and poor social support are at greater risk to use alcohol to suppress stressful feelings.

Having diagnosed with family psychiatric history including depression will also make individuals prone to

### Table 2

Obstetric history of study mothers on antenatal care follow-up at public health institution in Debre Berhan, April 2018 (n = 380)

| Variables                                | Frequency (%) (n = 380) |
|------------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Pregnancy intention                      |                         |
| Planned                                  | 251 (66.1)              |
| Unplanned                                | 129 (33.9)              |
| Current pregnancy                        |                         |
| Wanted                                   | 271 (71.3)              |
| Unwanted                                 | 109 (28.7)              |
| Gravidity                                |                         |
| Prim gravida                             | 50 (13.2)               |
| Multigravida                             | 330 (86.8)              |
| Parity                                   |                         |
| No child yet                             | 108 (28.4)              |
| One child                                | 105 (27.6)              |
| Two child                                | 125 (32.9)              |
| ≥ 3 child                                | 42 (11.1)               |
| Weeks of pregnancy                       |                         |
| First trimester                          | 42 (11.1)               |
| Second trimester                         | 152 (40)                |
| Third trimester                          | 186 (48.9)              |
| ANC visit                                |                         |
| First visit                              | 67 (17.6)               |
| Second visit                             | 175 (46.1)              |
| Third visit                              | 96 (25.3)               |
| Fourth visit                             | 42 (11.1)               |
| Previous history of still death          |                         |
| Yes                                      | 38 (10)                 |
| No                                       | 342 (90)                |
| Previous history of abortion             |                         |
| Yes                                      | 68 (17.9)               |
| No                                       | 312 (82.1)              |
| Previous neonatal death                  |                         |
| Yes                                      | 25 (6.6)                |
| No                                       | 355 (93.4)              |
| Previous pregnancy complication          |                         |
| Yes                                      | 68 (17.9)               |
| No                                       | 312 (82.1)              |
| Current pregnancy complication           |                         |
| Yes                                      | 47 (12.4)               |
| No                                       | 333 (87.6)              |
| Informed about alcohol drinking on ANC   |                         |
| Yes                                      | 147 (38.7)              |
| No                                       | 233 (61.3)              |
have poor mental health and concurrently may use alcohol for stress management.

“CAGE” questionnaire
World health organization report on alcohol drinking during pregnancy recommended that there is no safe amount and time of alcohol drinking, especially for the growing fetus. But, the Current study authors use “CAGE” questionnaire to assess risky alcohol use for both the mother and the growing fetus. The CAGE screening tool was used for this study opposed to alternate single question screening tools due to the authors hypothesize that since local drinks are easily available at the study area in addition to modern drinks pregnant mothers may use alcohol at least once in the current pregnancy by ignoring an unfavorable outcome. And the authors aimed to determine the proportions of risky alcohol drinkers to herself and the fetus than using the single question asking about alcohol use during pregnancy. But, the authors had no additional reason for using CAGE screening tool than other standardized tools like T-ACE and AUDIT.

Limitation of the study
This study did not assess alcohol use biomarker tests. Since local drinks alcohol by volume and amount of drinks in ounce are difficult to determine; the number of standard drinks consumed by pregnant women per day was not assessed. Social desirability bias may underestimate the prevalence of risky alcohol use.

Table 3 Level of psychological factors among study population on antenatal care follow-up at public health institution in Debre Berhan, April 2018(n = 380)

| Variables                        | Frequency | Percentage |
|----------------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Social support status            |           |            |
| Poor support                     | 43        | 11.3       |
| Moderate support                 | 171       | 45.0       |
| Strong support                   | 166       | 43.7       |
| Depression and anxiety           |           |            |
| Normal                           | 212       | 55.8       |
| Mild                             | 114       | 30.0       |
| Moderate                         | 48        | 12.6       |
| Severe                           | 6         | 1.6        |
| Husband support                  |           |            |
| Poor support                     | 99        | 26.1       |
| Moderate support                 | 193       | 50.8       |
| Strong support                   | 88        | 23.2       |
| Practical support from family    |           |            |
| Yes                              | 244       | 64.2       |
| No                               | 136       | 35.8       |
| Physical/emotionally abused by a partner | | |
| Yes                              | 14        | 3.7        |
| No                               | 366       | 96.3       |
Table 4: Bivariate and Multivariate analysis of factors associated with risky alcohol use behavior among mothers who had antenatal care follow up at perinatal care clinics, Debre Berhan, Ethiopia, 2018

| Variables                              | Risky alcohol use | COR (95% CI) | AOR (95% CI) |
|----------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------|--------------|
|                                        | Yes | No             |              |              |
| **Age category**                       |     |                |              |              |
| 18–24 (youth)                          | 19  | 51             | 2.38 (1.28,4.42) | 1.03 (0.40,2.64) |
| 25–45 (young adult)                    | 42  | 268            | 1.00         | 1.00         |
| **Average monthly income**             |     |                |              |              |
| ≤ 1200 ETB                             | 28  | 69             | 3.09 (1.64,5.82) | 1.29 (0.56,2.98) |
| 1201–2500                              | 12  | 90             | 1.02 (0.48,2.16) | 0.85 (0.35,2.06) |
| > 2500                                 | 21  | 160            | 1.00         | 1.00         |
| **Husband support**                    |     |                |              |              |
| Poor                                   | 8   | 14             | 2.90 (1.35,6.24) | 1.65 (0.63,4.31) |
| Moderate                               | 20  | 91             | 0.86 (0.39,1.86) | 0.77 (0.31,1.96) |
| Strong                                 | 20  | 155            | 1.00         | 1.00         |
| **Social support**                     |     |                |              |              |
| Poor support                           | 17  | 20             | 4.77 (2.21,10.30) | 4.06 (1.56,10.5) |
| Moderate                               | 22  | 137            | 1.19 (0.63,2.25) | 0.97 (0.46,2.07) |
| Strong                                 | 28  | 126            | 1.00         | 1.00         |
| **Family size excluding self and husband** |     |                |              |              |
| 1 to 2                                 | 28  | 75             | 2.33 (1.06,5.21) | 0.83 (0.25,2.71) |
| 3 to 4                                 | 23  | 181            | 0.80 (0.36,1.77) | 0.71 (0.16,3.25) |
| ≥ 5                                    | 10  | 61             | 1.00         | 1.00         |
| **Gravidity**                          |     |                |              |              |
| First                                  | 32  | 76             | 3.49 (1.84,6.61) | 1.54 (0.40,5.90) |
| Second                                 | 11  | 94             | 0.97 (0.44,2.14) | 0.75 (0.28,2.0)  |
| third                                  | 18  | 149            | 1.00         | 1.00         |
| **Previous diagnosed psychiatric history** |     |                |              |              |
| Yes                                    | 8   | 15             | 3.06 (1.24,7.57) | 2.33 (0.76,7.2)  |
| No                                     | 53  | 304            | 1.00         | 1.00         |
| **Family diagnosed psychiatric history** |     |                |              |              |
| Yes                                    | 14  | 31             | 2.78 (1.37,5.59) | 2.20 (1.03,5.41) |
| No                                     | 47  | 288            | 1.00         | 1.00         |
| **Depression and anxiety**              |     |                |              |              |
| Normal                                 | 25  | 187            | 1.00         | 1.00         |
| Mild                                   | 21  | 93             | 1.69 (1.00,3.18) | 0.88 (0.40,1.92) |
| Moderate to severe                     | 15  | 39             | 2.88 (1.39,5.95) | 1.91 (1.01,4.50) |
| **History of abortion**                |     |                |              |              |
| Yes                                    | 23  | 45             | 3.69 (2.01,7.6)  | 1.94 (1.23,4.41) |
| No                                     | 38  | 274            | 1.00         | 1.00         |
| **History of still birth**             |     |                |              |              |
| Yes                                    | 12  | 26             | 2.76 (1.31,5.83) | 1.76 (0.65,4.78) |
| No                                     | 49  | 293            | 1.00         | 1.00         |
| **Previous pregnancy complication**    |     |                |              |              |
| Yes                                    | 20  | 48             | 2.75 (1.49,5.10) | 1.48 (0.67,3.30) |
| No                                     | 41  | 271            | 1.00         | 1.00         |

**Key:** P value of Hosmer Lemeshow = 0.54 and * = significant variables with p value < 0.05

Conclusions
According to world health organization recommendation, it is expected to no pregnant women will drink alcohol in any amount. But, in the current study, a significant proportion of mothers drink alcohol in a risky way (for both the mother and the growing fetus). Having known family psychiatric illness, having a history of abortion, having moderate to severe depression and anxiety, having poor social support were found to be significantly associated factors with risky alcohol use behavior during pregnancy. Therefore, the current study authors failed to accept both the null hypothesizes.

Recommendations
It will be better if screening of little alcohol use (risky for the fetus) and risky use of alcohol (risky for both the fetus and the mother), and providing health education about the effect of alcohol use to the fetus and to her for all pregnant women at antenatal care follow up unit.

Abbreviations
ANC: Ante-Natal Care; AOR: Adjusted odds ratio; CAGE: C-cut down, A-annoyed, G-Guilty, and E-eye opener; COR: Crude odds ratio; FAS (D): Fetal Alcohol Syndrome (disorder); SPSS: Statistical Package for Social Sciences; WHO: World Health Organization

Acknowledgments
It is our pleasure to acknowledge Debre Berhan University, study health institutions, and the study participants. Finally, we would like to appreciate African Mental Health Research Initiative (AMARI) which is funded through the DELTAS Africa initiative (DEL-15-01) for supporting the co-author (Kefyalew Dagne) financially as well as through providing various capacity building initiatives including manuscript writing workshop which facilitated the writing of the present manuscript.
The manuscript did not contain individuals’ consent for publication. Verbal consent was taken from each study participant. Permission letter to each study health institution was written and permission letter was taken. Verbal consent was taken from each study participants. 

**Availability of data and materials**

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

**Ethics approval and consent to participate**

Ethical clearance was obtained from the Debre Berhan University ethical review board (IRB) with the reference number of (Ref. No. HRERC-569/2018). Permission letter to each study health institution was written and permission letter was taken. Verbal consent was taken from each study participants.

**Consent for publication**

The manuscript did not contain individuals’ person detailed data in any form.

**Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

**Author details**

1. Department of Psychiatry, College of Health Science and Medicine, Debre Berhan University, P.O. Box 445, Debre Berhan, Ethiopia. 2. Debre Berhan Public Health Institution, Debre Birhan, Ethiopia.

Received: 10 November 2018 Accepted: 29 July 2019 Published online: 13 August 2019

**References**

1. Health Nlo. National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism.
2. Organization WH, Unit WHO-MoSA. Global status report on alcohol and health, 2014: World Health Organization; 2014.
3. WHO guidelines for the identification and management of substance use and substance use disorders in pregnancy. WHO Library Cataloguing-in-Publication Data. 2014.
4. World Health Organization Geneva S. Global status report on alcohol and health. 2011.
5. DHS, Office of Applied Studies, USA results from the 2002 and 2003 National Survey on drug use and health national findings. 2005.
6. Henrikse TB, Hjollund NH, Jensen TK, Bonde JP, Andersson A, Kolstad H, et al. Alcohol consumption at the time of conception and spontaneous abortion. Ann J Epidemiol. 2004;160:61–7.
7. Sawyer KM, Wechsberg WM, Myers BJ. Cultural similarities and differences between a sample of Black/African and Colored women in South Africa: Convergence of risk related to substance use, sexual behavior, and violence. 2006:43:73–92.
8. Nayak RB, Murthy P. Fetal Alcohol Spectrum Disorder Indian Pediatr. 2008;45:977–83.
9. Denn CH, Tsai J, Floyd RL, Green PP. Alcohol use among pregnant and nonpregnant women of childbearing age. 2009;58:529–32.
10. Green JH. Fetal alcohol Spectrum disorders: understanding the effects of prenatal alcohol exposure and supporting students. J Sch Health. 2007;77:103–8.
11. Strandberg-Larsen K, Arnn A. Alcohol and fetal risk: a property of the drink or the drinker? Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. 2011;90(3):207–9.
12. Olivia TR, SMF, S. O consumo de bebida alcoólica pelas gestantes: estudo exploratório. 2007;11(4):632–8.
13. Tarekleg SM, Lieberman LS, Giedraitis V. Determinants of maternal health service utilization in Ethiopia: analysis of the 2011 Ethiopian demographic and health survey. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2014;14(1):161.