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ABSTRACT
We present results from a coordinated XMM-Newton+NuSTAR observation of the type 1.8 Seyfert galaxy IRAS 13197-1627. This is a highly complex source, with strong contributions from relativistic reflection from the inner accretion disk, neutral absorption and further reprocessing by more distant material, and ionised absorption from an outflow. We undertake a detailed spectral analysis combining the broadband coverage provided by XMM-Newton+NuSTAR with a multi-epoch approach incorporating archival observations performed by XMM-Newton and Suzaku. Our focus is on characterising the reflection from the inner accretion disk, which previous works have suggested may dominate the AGN emission, and constraining the black hole spin. Using lamppost disk reflection models, we find that the results for the inner disk are largely insensitive to assumptions regarding the geometry of the distant reprocessor and the precise form of the illuminating X-ray continuum. However, these results do depend on the treatment of the iron abundance of the distant absorber/reprocessor. The multi-epoch data favour a scenario in which the AGN is chemically homogeneous, and we find that a rapidly rotating black hole is preferred, with $a^* \gtrsim 0.7$, but a slowly-rotating black hole is not strongly excluded. In addition to the results for the inner disk, we also find that both the neutral and ionised absorbers vary from epoch to epoch, implying that both have some degree of inhomogeneity in their structure.
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1 INTRODUCTION
Relativistically broadened iron emission is often seen from active galactic nuclei (AGN), both from individual sources (e.g. Tanaka et al. 1995; Fabian et al. 2009; Reis et al. 2014; Reynolds et al. 2014; Xu et al. 2017) and stacked spectra from AGN samples (e.g. Walton et al. 2015; Mantovani et al. 2016). Most AGN also show a ‘hard’ excess above 10 keV consistent with Compton reflection from the accretion disk (e.g. Walton et al. 2010; Nardini et al. 2011; Rivers et al. 2013). Together, these disk reflection features offer an opportunity to constrain the spins of the supermassive black holes that power these sources (e.g. Fabian et al. 1989; Laor 1991; Dauser et al. 2014), providing a rare observational window into their formation history (e.g. Volonteri et al. 2013; Sesana et al. 2014; Dubois et al. 2014). To date, spin estimates for ~30 AGN have been obtained through study of disk reflection, suggesting a preference for rapidly rotating black holes (e.g. Walton et al. 2013; Reynolds 2014; Vasudevan et al. 2016). However, the sample is relatively small and still not well defined in a statistical sense. Strong selection biases likely exist, as higher spin sources are expected to be brighter for a given rate of accretion (Brenneman et al. 2011; Reynolds et al. 2012), and it is also easier to obtain tight constraints on the spin parameter for more rapidly rotating black holes (Walton et al. 2013; Bonson & Gallo 2016). It is therefore vital to further expand the sample of spin measurements such that these biases may be overcome.

In part, the sample size is hindered because the detection and characterisation of emission from the inner disk can be complicated by both absorption from material along our line-of-sight to the inner accretion flow and additional reprocessing by more distant structures, which can also introduce strong spectral features in the X-ray band (e.g. Miller et al. 2008, 2009; Sim et al. 2010). Robustly disentangling the absorption and reflection requires both sensitive coverage across all the key reflection features and good spec-
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Table 1. Details of the X-ray observations of IRAS 13197-1627 considered in this work.

| Epoch | Mission     | OBSID          | Start Date | Exposure (ks) | Count Rate (ct s$^{-1}$) |
|-------|-------------|----------------|------------|---------------|--------------------------|
| XN1   | NuSTAR      | 60101020002    | 2016-01-17 | 85            | 0.121 ± 0.001            |
|       | XMM-Newton  | 0763220201     |            | 107/132       | 0.118 ± 0.001            |
| Archival |          |                |            |               |                          |
| X1    | XMM-Newton  | 0206580101     | 2005-01-24 | 32/39         | 0.166 ± 0.002            |
| X2    | XMM-Newton  | 0506340101     | 2008-01-24 | 57/76         | 0.179 ± 0.002            |
| S1    | Suzaku      | 704022010      | 2009-07-01 | 42/34         | 0.045 ± 0.001            |
| S2    | Suzaku      | 707027010      | 2013-01-04 | 156/127       | 0.0184 ± 0.0004          |

$^a$ XMM-Newton exposures are listed for the EPIC-pn/MOS detectors, and Suzaku exposures are given for the XIS/PIN detectors.

$^b$ Observed count rates are given in the 3–10 keV band for XMM-Newton (EPIC-pn) and Suzaku(XIS0), and the 3.5–79 keV band for NuSTAR (FPMA).

tral resolution. As such, the combination of XMM-Newton and NuSTAR provides a particularly powerful tool for the study of AGN. The continuous 3–79 keV bandpass of NuSTAR (Harrison et al. 2013) is well suited to the study of reflection, while the better spectral resolution of XMM-Newton (Jansen et al. 2001) helps to separate out the broadened iron emission from the signatures of absorption. Even in cases that exhibit complex absorption, as is seen for example in the well-studied Seyfert galaxy NGC 1365 (e.g. Miniutti et al. 2007), coordinated XMM-Newton and NuSTAR observations can often isolate the relativistic reflection from the inner disk (e.g. Risaliti et al. 2013; Walton et al. 2014).

IRAS 13197-1627 (also known as MCG–03-34-64) is a source of particular interest in this respect. IRAS 13197-1627 is a bright, nearby ($z = 0.01654$), type 1.8 Seyfert AGN with a complex X-ray spectrum. Previous observations with XMM-Newton, Suzaku, BeppoSAX and ASCA have shown that IRAS 13197-1627 is typically absorbed by a moderate neutral column ($N_H \sim 5 \times 10^{23}$ cm$^{-2}$), although on rare occasions it can exhibit a much greater level of obscuration, reaching column densities up to $N_H \sim 10^{24}$ cm$^{-2}$, and that it also exhibits evidence for a relativistically broadened iron line from the innermost accretion flow (Dadina & Cappi 2004; Miniutti et al. 2007; Brightman & Nandra 2011). In addition to the broad iron line, there is evidence for narrow absorption features from blueshifted ionised iron at $\sim$7–7.5 keV, and a clear narrow core to the iron emission. In many respects, IRAS 13197-1627 therefore shows many similarities to the NGC 1365. Most importantly, the high-energy data from the non-imaging Suzaku PIN and BeppoSAX PDS detectors show evidence for an extremely strong excess over the expected power-law-like AGN continuum (e.g. Tatum et al. 2013), potentially suggesting an unusually strong contribution from reflection (Miniutti et al. 2007). If this is correct, the implied accretion geometry is rather extreme, requiring a compact X-ray source located very close to the black hole such that gravitational lightbending can suppress the primary continuum emission relative to the reflection from the inner disk (e.g. Miniutti & Fabian 2004). However, IRAS 13197-1627 is located $\sim$2′ away from another active galaxy, MCG–3-34-63, potentially resulting in source confusion issues with the older non-imaging hard X-ray PIN and PDS detectors. Hard X-ray imaging spectrometers are required to unambiguously confirm the flux observed by these detectors is associated with IRAS 13197-1627.

Here we present results from a coordinated broadband observation of IRAS 13197-1627 with both NuSTAR and XMM-Newton in order to disentangle the complex X-ray spectrum exhibited by this source and test the extreme reflection scenario. The rest of the paper is structured as follows: in section 2 we describe the XMM-Newton and NuSTAR observations and outline our data reduction procedure, in sections 3 and 4 we present our analysis of these data, and in section 5 we discuss the results obtained and summarise our conclusions.

2 OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

2.1 2016 Observation

IRAS 13197-1627 was observed simultaneously with NuSTAR and XMM-Newton and on 2016 January 17; see Table 1 for details. The following sections detail our reduction of the data from this coordinated observation, referred to hereafter as epoch XN1.

2.1.1 NuSTAR

We reduced the NuSTAR data following standard procedures, first cleaning the data with NUPipeline, part of the NuSTAR Data Analysis Software (NUSTARDAS, v1.6.0). We used the standard depth correction, which significantly reduces the internal high-energy background, and also removed passages through the South Atlantic Anomaly. Instrumental calibration files from NuSTAR caldb v20160824 are used throughout this work. Source and background spectra/lightcurves and instrumental responses were then produced for each of the two focal plane modules (FPMA/B) using NUPRODUCTS. Source products were obtained from circular regions of radius 60″, and background was estimated from larger regions of blank sky on the same detector as IRAS 13197-1627. In order to maximise the signal-to-noise (S/N), we also extracted the ‘spacecraft science’ (mode 6) data in addition to the standard ‘science’ (mode 1) data, following the method outlined in Walton et al. (2016), which in this case provides $\sim$10% of the total $\sim$85 ks good NuSTAR exposure. IRAS 13197-1627 is detected across the entire NuSTAR band (the S/N above 30 keV is $\sim$20 for each FPM), and we analyse the NuSTAR data between 3.5–79 keV owing to a slight ($\sim$40%) discrepancy between NuSTAR and XMM-Newton below 3.5 keV in FPMB. This is likely related to the fact that the point-spread function (PSF) is energy-dependent at very low energies (Madsen et al. 2015), and on FPMB the PSF for IRAS 13197-1627...
straddles a chip gap, resulting in an uncertain PSF correction below \(\sim 3.5\) keV in this case.

2.2.2 XMM-Newton

The XMM-Newton data reduction was carried out with the XMM-Newton Science Analysis System (SAS v15.0.0), following the standard prescription provided in the online guide. Raw data files were cleaned using EPCHAIN for the EPIC-pn detector (Strüder et al. 2001), and EMCHAIN for two EPIC-MOS units (Turner et al. 2001). Source products were extracted from the cleaned event files from circular regions of radius 40\arcsec and 45\arcsec for EPIC-pn and EPIC-MOS, respectively, using XMMSELECT. As with the NuSTAR data, background was estimated from larger regions of blank sky on the same chip as IRAS 13197-1627. Only single and double events were considered for EPIC-pn and single to quadruple events were considered for EPIC-MOS. Periods of high background were excluded as standard. Instrumental response files for each of the detectors were generated with RMFGEN and ARFGEN. After performing the reduction separately for the two EPIC-MOS detectors, and confirming their consistency, these data were combined into a single EPIC-MOS spectrum using ADDASCASPEC.

2.2 Archival Data

In addition to the new 2016 observation, we also analyse several archival observations of IRAS 13197-1627, focusing on high signal-to-noise data obtained with the more recent generation of X-ray observatories. IRAS 13197-1627 was observed twice with XMM-Newton alone (epochs X1, X2), and also twice by Suzaku (epochs S1, S2; see Table 1). Epochs X1 and S2 have previously been studied by Miniutti et al. (2007) and Brightman & Nandra (2011), and by Tatum et al. (2013), respectively. The following sections provide details on our reduction of these data.

1 https://www.cosmos.esa.int/web/xmm-newton

2 http://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs/suzaku/analysis/

3 http://www.astro.isas.ac.jp/suzaku/analysis/hxd/
extracting the source spectrum, adds a simulated contribution from the CXB to the NXB (using the form of Boldt 1987) to produce a total background spectrum. We analyse the PIN data over the ∼15–50 keV bandpass.

3 NUSTAR IMAGING

As noted previously, IRAS 13197-1627 is located ∼2′ away from MCG–3–34–63, another known X-ray emitting active galaxy (z = 0.02133, classified as a Seyfert 2). While the latter AGN is significantly fainter than IRAS 13197-1627 in the soft X-ray band (typically by more than a factor of 100), sources with extremely hard spectra that are only really seen in hard X-rays are known (e.g. Lansbury et al. 2017), and the only previous hard X-ray (>10 keV) observations of this field have been with non-imaging instruments (the PDS detector on BeppoSAX and the PIN detector on board Suzaku: Miniutti et al. 2007; Tatum et al. 2013), leaving a major uncertainty over their relative contributions in the band in which the hard excess is seen. NuSTAR is the first hard X-ray mission with sufficient imaging capabilities to resolve IRAS 13197-1627 and MCG–3–34–63. We show the NuSTAR image in Figure 1. It is clear that the hard X-ray flux is entirely dominated by IRAS 13197-1627 (MCG–3–34–63 is not even detected), confirming the hard X-ray emission recorded by BeppoSAX and Suzaku is indeed associated with IRAS 13197-1627. Assuming that IRAS 13197-1627 constantly outshines MCG–3–34–63 by a significant factor at these energies, we adopt the standard cross-calibration constants of 1.18 for epoch S1 (performed with an XIS nominal pointing) and 1.16 for epoch S2 (performed with an HXD nominal pointing) for the Suzaku PIN detector with respect to the XIS units during our analysis of the archival data (Section 4.2).

4 SPECTRAL ANALYSIS

The majority of our work focuses on spectral analysis of the observations considered. Model fits are performed with XSPEC v12.6.0f (Arnaud 1996), and we quote parameter uncertainties at the 90% confidence level for one interesting parameter. All models include a Galactic absorption component with a fixed column of $N_{	ext{H},\text{Gal}} = 4.99 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$ (Kalberla et al. 2005), modelled with the TBNEW neutral absorption code (Wilms et al. 2000). We use the cross-sections of Verner et al. (1996) for the absorption, as recommended, but we combine these with the solar abundance set of Grevesse & Sauval (1998) for internal self-consistency with both the XILLVER family of reflection models (García & Kallman 2010) and the XSTAR photoionisation code (Kallman & Bautista 2001), which are heavily utilized throughout this work.

4.1 2016 Data

We begin our analysis by focusing on the new coordinated observation taken with XMM-Newton+NuSTAR (XN1). In Figure 2 we show XMM-Newton (EPIC-pn) and NuSTAR (FPMA) lightcurves from this observation extracted in the 3–6, 6–10 and 10–79 keV bands, as well as a simple hardness ratio computed as the ratio between the EPIC-pn count rates in the 6–10 keV and 3–6 keV bands. While there is some flux variability observed, including a small flare towards the beginning of the observation, no evidence for strong spectral variability is seen.
core to the iron emission at 6.4 keV and a narrow absorption feature just above 7 keV are both evident. IRAS 13197-1627 is also known to exhibit absorption from ionized iron (Miniutti et al. 2007), and there is evidence for a second narrow absorption line at \( \sim 6.7 \) keV (this is not so visually obvious, but is also required by the data; see below); given the observed energies and the known redshift of IRAS 13197-1627 (\( z = 0.0165 \)), these absorption lines are likely associated with Fe XXV and Fe XXVI from an outflowing disk wind. Prior studies have indicated an outflow velocity of \( v_{\text{out}} \sim 5000 \) km s\(^{-1}\) (Miniutti et al. 2007).

In order to fit the AGN spectrum, we therefore construct models consisting of a partially covering neutral absorber, a power-law/Compton scattered continuum, reflection from the accretion disk, reflection from more distant material, and an ionized absorber. We assume the distant reflection arises from the same structure that extends into the innermost stable circular orbit) and the height of the X-ray source (\( h \); see also Wilkins & Fabian 2012). In order to ensure that the source is always required to be outside the event horizon, we fit the model with \( h \) in units of the vertical event horizon radius (\( R_H \), which varies from \( 1 - 2 R_G \); for maximally-rotating to non-rotating black holes, where \( R_G = GM/c^2 \) is the gravitational radius). The other key free parameters are the photon index and high-energy cutoff of the illuminating continuum (\( \Gamma, E_{\text{cut}} \)), the iron abundance (\( A_{\text{Fe}} \)), inclination (i) and ionisation parameter (\( \xi \)) of the accretion disk. Here, the ionisation parameter is defined as \( \xi = 4\pi F_X/n \), where \( F_X \) is the incident X-ray flux, and \( n \) is the density of the material.

The neutral absorption is again modelled with TBNEW, and we initially treat the distant reflection with an unblurred XILLVER component. TBNEW implicitly assumes that the absorber has solar abundances, and only has the column density (\( N_{\text{HI}} \)) and the covering fraction (\( C_t \)) as free parameters (we assume the neutral absorber is at the redshift of the host galaxy). The XILLVER model assumes a simple slab geometry for the reflector, and its key free parameters are again the photon index and high-energy cutoff of the illuminating continuum, the ionization parameter and

---

4.1.1 Model 1: Basic Approach

In this work, we model the innermost regions of the AGN with a simple lampost geometry using the RELXILL family of disk reflection models (García et al. 2014), which merges the XILLVER family of reflection models with the RELCONV model for the relativistic effects relevant for regions close to a black hole (Dauser et al. 2010). The lamppost geometry treats the X-ray emitting region as a point source situated on the rotation axis of the black hole. While this is obviously an idealised geometric approximation, it provides a simple parametrization for the reflected emission and allows us to exclude low-spin, reflection-dominated solutions that are unphysical for thin-disk accretion (Dauser et al. 2014). We start by using the RELXILLLP model, which treats the illuminating continuum as a simple powerlaw with a high-energy exponential cutoff. This model incorporates both the primary continuum and the reflected emission from the accretion disk, and self-consistently determines both the radial emissivity profile for the disk and the relative strength of the reflected emission (\( R_{\text{ref}} \), defined to be the ratio between the continuum fluxes seen by the disk and by the observer in the latest versions of the RELXILLLP model; see Dauser et al. 2016) based on the spin of the black hole (\( a^* \); we assume the disk extends into the innermost stable circular orbit) and the height of the X-ray source (\( h \); see also Wilkins & Fabian 2012). In order to ensure that the source is always required to be outside the event horizon, we fit the model with \( h \) in units of the vertical event horizon radius (\( R_H \), which varies from \( 1 - 2 R_G \); for maximally-rotating to non-rotating black holes, where \( R_G = GM/c^2 \) is the gravitational radius). The other key free parameters are the photon index and high-energy cutoff of the illuminating continuum (\( \Gamma, E_{\text{cut}} \)), the iron abundance (\( A_{\text{Fe}} \)), inclination (i) and ionisation parameter (\( \xi \)) of the accretion disk. Here, the ionisation parameter is defined as \( \xi = 4\pi F_X/n \), where \( F_X \) is the incident X-ray flux, and \( n \) is the density of the material.

The neutral absorption is again modelled with TBNEW, and we initially treat the distant reflection with an unblurred XILLVER component. TBNEW implicitly assumes that the absorber has solar abundances, and only has the column density (\( N_{\text{HI}} \)) and the covering fraction (\( C_t \)) as free parameters (we assume the neutral absorber is at the redshift of the host galaxy). The XILLVER model assumes a simple slab geometry for the reflector, and its key free parameters are again the photon index and high-energy cutoff of the illuminating continuum, the ionization parameter and
the iron abundance of the reflecting medium, and the inclination of the slab. Given the assumed association between the distant reflector and the neutral absorber, we fix the iron abundance for the XILLVER component to solar. The parameters for the illuminating continuum are assumed to be the same as those for the RELXILLP component, and we fix the inclination to 45°, since the fits are largely insensitive to this parameter. In order to provide the reader with an indication of the relative contribution of the distant reflection, in addition to their normalisations we also present the ratio of the observed fluxes for the distant reflector and the RELXILLP component (\(F_{\text{dust}}/\text{relx}\)) in the 20–40 keV band, roughly where the Compton hump peaks; the effects of photoelectric absorption from the neutral absorber are also reduced in this band. In addition to treating the photoelectric absorption from the neutral absorber, as the column along our line of sight to IRAS 13197-1627 can be quite large (see Section 4.2) we also account for flux losses due to Compton-scattering in the intervening material by including a CABS component with the column set to be equal to that of the neutral absorber.

Finally, we model the ionised absorption with the XSTAR photoionisation code, computing a custom grid of absorption models. We allow the column density, the ionisation parameter, the outflow velocity and the iron abundance of the absorber to be varied as free parameters. The other abundances are assumed to be solar, and the absorbing medium is assumed to be illuminated by a \(\Gamma = 2\) powerlaw for the model calculation. Initial modelling of the two absorption lines with Gaussian features (both lines are significant; \(\Delta \chi^2 = 111\) for three additional free parameters when the Fe XXVI line is added, and by a further \(\Delta \chi^2 = 27\) for one more free parameter when the Fe XXV line is added, assuming the same outflow velocity and broadening for the two lines) suggested line broadening of \(\sigma \sim 0.06\) keV, so we set the turbulent velocity to 1750 km s\(^{-1}\) throughout the fit. Since we assume the outflow comes from the accretion disk, we link the iron abundance of the ionised absorption to that of the disk reflection (RELXILLP) component in our analysis. The final form of this initial model, which we refer to as Model 1, is: TBNW × (XILLVER + (TBNW × XSTAR × CABS × RELXILLP)).

Applying this model to the combined 3–79 keV spectrum from XNI results in a good fit, with \(\chi^2 = 794\) for 723 degrees of freedom (DoF). The parameter values obtained are given in Table 2. The neutral absorption column local to IRAS 13197-1627 is found to be rather large (\(N_H = [8.1 \pm 0.4] \times 10^{23}\) cm\(^{-2}\)), but the fits still imply a very strong disk reflection contribution (\(R_{\text{dust}} \sim 5\); for refer-
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Table 2. Results obtained for the free parameters in the various lamppost reflection models fit to epoch XN1.

| Model Component | Parameter | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|-----------------|-----------|---|---|---|---|
| TBNEW\(_{PCF}\) | \(N_H\) [10\(^{23}\) cm\(^{-2}\)] | 8.1 ± 0.4 | 7.6 ± 0.4 | 6.2\(^{+0.3}_{-0.5}\) | 6.4\(^{+0.2}_{-0.4}\) |
|                 | \(C_l\) [%] | > 99.8 | 98.7\(^{+1.1}_{-0.5}\) | 98.5 ± 0.2 | 99.2\(^{+0.1}_{-0.3}\) |
|                 | \(A_{\text{Fe,distant}}\) [solar] | =1 | =1 | =\(A_{\text{Fe,disk}}\) =\(A_{\text{Fe,disk}}\) |
| RELXILLLP (\(_{CP}\)) | \(\Gamma\) | 2.16\(^{+0.10}_{-0.37}\) | 2.1\(^{+0.3}_{-0.2}\) | 2.2\(^{+0.1}_{-0.2}\) | 2.12\(^{+0.04}_{-0.03}\) |
|                 | \(E_{\text{cut}}\) or \(kT_e\) | 150\(^{+100}_{-40}\) | 100\(^{+300}_{-40}\) | > 110 | > 48 |
|                 | \(a^*\) | 0.97\(^{+0.02}_{-0.04}\) | 0.92 ± 0.03 | 0.73\(^{+0.25}_{-0.32}\) | 0.72\(^{+0.23}_{-0.53}\) |
|                 | \(i\) | 44\(^{+2}_{-2}\) | 44\(^{+2}_{-2}\) | 61\(^{+2}_{-3}\) | 60\(^{+2}_{-3}\) |
|                 | \(h\) [\(R_H\)] | < 1.4 | < 1.4 | < 1.8 | < 1.8 |
|                 | \(R_{\text{dust}}\) | 5.1\(^{+3.3}_{-1.9}\) | 3.0\(^{+0.8}_{-0.4}\) | 1.7\(^{+1.7}_{-0.6}\) | 1.6\(^{+0.4}_{-0.7}\) |
|                 | \(\log \xi\) | < 1.3 | < 1.5 | < 2.3 | < 3.0 |
|                 | \(A_{\text{Fe,disc}}\) [solar] | > 7.1 | > 7.3 | > 2.3 | > 2.3 |
|                 | \(\text{Norm}\) [10\(^{-5}\)] | 21.2\(^{+2.8}_{-9.5}\) | 10.3\(^{+17.0}_{-2.2}\) | 4.4\(^{+3.7}_{-1.2}\) | 3.3\(^{+1.2}_{-1.8}\) |
| XSTARabs | \(\log \xi\) [log(cm s\(^{-1}\))] | 3.46\(^{+0.44}_{-0.09}\) | 3.44\(^{+0.14}_{-0.10}\) | 3.51\(^{+0.04}_{-0.09}\) | 3.48 ± 0.09 |
|                 | \(N_H\) [10\(^{23}\) cm\(^{-2}\)] | 0.6\(^{+0.4}_{-0.2}\) | 0.3\(^{+1.0}_{-0.3}\) | 2.4\(^{+0.7}_{-0.5}\) | 2.3\(^{+1.2}_{-0.6}\) |
|                 | \(\text{v}_{\text{cut}}\) [km s\(^{-1}\)] | 4900\(^{+800}_{-700}\) | 4800 ± 700 | 4700 ± 700 | 4700 ± 700 |
| XILLVER (\(_{CP}\)) | \(\text{Norm}\) [10\(^{-5}\)] | 5.1\(^{+0.8}_{-0.3}\) | 3.1\(^{+1.4}_{-0.7}\) | 3.3\(^{+0.4}_{-0.2}\) |
|                 | \(F_{\text{dust}}/\text{relx}\) | 0.19\(^{+0.03}_{-0.02}\) | < 0.14 ± 0.02 |
| MYTORUS | \(N_H\) [10\(^{23}\) cm\(^{-2}\)] | – | 22\(^{+16}_{-8}\) | > 32 | – |
|                 | \(\text{Norm}\) [10\(^{-2}\)] | – | 1.5\(^{+0.7}_{-0.4}\) | 0.9\(^{+0.5}_{-0.2}\) | – |
|                 | \(F_{\text{dust}}/\text{relx}\) | – | 0.49\(^{+0.20}_{-0.37}\) | 0.19\(^{+0.03}_{-0.04}\) | – |

\(\chi^2/\text{DoF}\) 794/723 796/722 789/722 787/723

\(E_{\text{cut}}\) is limited to \(<\)1000 keV following García et al. (2015), and \(kT_e\) is limited by the bounds of the RELXILLP grid to \(\leq 200\) keV.

\(a^*\) The iron abundance is limited by the bounds of the XSTAR grid used here to \(A_{\text{Fe}} \leq 10.0\).

\(R_{\text{dust}}\) is calculated self-consistently for a simple lamppost geometry from \(a^*\) and \(h\). Errors represent the range of values permitted by varying these parameters within their 90% uncertainties.

\(F_{\text{dust}}/\text{relx}\) Ratio of the observed fluxes from the distant reflector and the RELXILLP (\(_{CP}\)) components in the 20–40 keV band.
ence, a thin accretion disk in Newtonian gravity should give $R_{\text{true}} \sim 1$, broadly similar to that inferred previously (Miniutti et al. 2007). For a standard thin accretion disk, strong gravitational lightbending is required to produce such strong reflection (e.g. Miniutti & Fabian 2004). This in turn requires a very compact X-ray source in close vicinity to a rapidly rotating black hole, such that the majority of the emission from the X-ray source is bent onto the disk, rather than escaping to the observer directly (see also Dauser et al. 2014; Parker et al. 2014). The confidence contour for the black hole spin is shown in Figure 4; we find $a^* = 0.97^{+0.01}_{-0.00}$ for this model. We also find that, as expected, the height of the X-ray source is constrained to be very small: $h \leq 1.6 R_G$ (converting from $R_H$ based on the spin constraints).

### 4.1.2 Model 2: MYTorus

The XILLVER model used to account for the distant reflection contribution in Model 1 assumes a simple slab geometry for the reprocessor, which is also treated as being highly optically-thick. While convenient, this is unlikely to be physically realistic for the distant reprocessor in an AGN, which is expected to have a torus-like geometry and a finite column of material. Different geometries can lead to differences in the reflected spectra (e.g. Brightman et al. 2015). In order to determine whether this could have any influence on the results obtained for the disk reflection, we construct a second model (hereafter Model 2) in which the XILLVER component is replaced by MYTorus (Murphy & Yaqoob 2009). This self-consistently computes the absorption and reprocessed emission from a neutral torus surrounding a central illuminating X-ray source. MYTorus assumes a doughnut-like geometry such that the line-of-sight column density varies with viewing angle, up to a maximum equatorial column density. The basic version of MYTorus also assumes the illuminating continuum to be a powerlaw (up to a termination energy of 500 keV, which is outside the NuSTAR bandpass), that the torus has a solar composition (based on the solar abundances reported in Anders & Grevesse 1989), and that the torus has an opening of $30^\circ$ from the equatorial plane. The key

MYTorus parameters are the equatorial column density, the angle at which the torus is viewed, and the continuum photon index.

The $30^\circ$ opening angle assumed for the torus is equivalent to a covering factor of $\Omega = 2\pi$, or in fractional terms $\Omega = 0.5$ (where $\Omega = \Omega/4\pi$). Although this covering factor is fixed in the available MYTorus models, the assumed value is quite reasonable for IRAS 13197-1627. Correcting for losses from both photoelectric absorption and Compton scattering due to the neutral line-of-sight absorber, we estimate the intrinsic 2–10 keV luminosity to be $\sim 1.5 \times 10^{43} \text{erg s}^{-1}$ during epoch XN1. Based on the correlation between the covering factor of the distant reprocessor and the intrinsic 2–10 keV X-ray luminosity presented by Brightman et al. (2015) we find an expected covering factor of $\Omega \sim 0.5$.

The MYTorus model is separated into three different components: the absorption from the torus, the continuum component to the reprocessed emission, and the reprocessed line emission. The line component only includes Fe Kα and Kβ, but these are the key transitions relevant to this work. In order to continue allowing for the possibility of partial covering, we still model the neutral absorption with TNEW_PCF, but we replace the XILLVER component with a combination of the two reprocessed MYTorus components (lines plus continuum). All parameters are required to be the same for both, but we additionally multiply the line emission with an energy-independent constant factor of 0.68 (the ratio of the Anders & Grevesse 1989 and Grevesse & Sauval 1998 solar iron abundances) to approximately account for the differences in the abundance sets used for MYTorus and XILLVER/XSTAR, since the iron abundance isn’t currently a free parameter in MYTorus. Since we are only using the reprocessed MYTorus components, the fits are not strongly sensitive to the viewing angle for the torus. However, as the neutral column varies from epoch to epoch (see below), we assume that we are viewing the system fairly close to the edge of the torus, and set this to 65°. Finally, we link the photon index to that of the RELXILL LP component.

This model provides a similarly good fit to the data as Model 1 (see Table 2). Critically, although there are some minor quantitative changes to some of the parameters, the results are generally similar to Model 1. The reflection fraction is still high ($R_{\text{true}} \sim 3$), requiring a strong degree of lightbending, and again a compact X-ray source and a rapidly rotating black hole. In this case, we find $a^* = 0.92^{+0.03}_{-0.01}$ (see Figure 4). The key results for the disk reflection do not depend strongly on the precise modelling of the distant reflection. The best-fit MYTorus column density suggests that while the neutral absorption along our line-of-sight is Compton-thin, the absorbing medium does become Compton-thick closer to the equatorial plane.

### 4.1.3 Model 3: Common Iron Abundance

One issue of note with both Models 1 and 2 is that the iron abundance of the disk is found to be very high. Similar iron abundances have been found in other AGN, e.g. 1H 0707–495 (Fabian et al. 2009) and IRAS 13224–3809 (Fabian et al. 2013). However, in this case the iron abundance of the disk strongly contrasts the solar abundance assumed for the distant model components (neutral absorption, distant reflection) which play a significant role

---

4. Multiplying the line component by a constant is only a rough approximation for a different iron abundance as the strength of the iron absorption edge should also vary correspondingly; see the MYTorus manual for caveats.
in shaping the observed spectrum (in contrast to IRAS 13197-1627, both 1H 0707–495 and IRAS 13224–3809 are unobscured systems). This is similar to results recently reported by Xu et al. (2017) for the Seyfert 2 galaxy IRAS 05189–2524.

In order to investigate this issue further, we construct a third model in which all the distant and the disk components have a common iron abundance (hereafter Model 3), mimicking the approach taken in Miniutti et al. (2007). We continue to model the distant reflection with MYTORUS here, but stress that again similar results are seen if we replace this with XILLVER. Since the TBNEW_PCF model does not include iron abundance as a free parameter, in order to account for the neutral absorption we replace this with a version of TBNEW in which the iron abundance can be varied, convolved with a PARTCOV component to continue allowing for the possibility of partial covering (for simplicity, we still refer to this combination as TBNEW_PCF in Table 2). We then link all the iron abundance parameters together, along with the constant multiplicative factor applied to the MYTORUS line emission (continuing to include the additional factor of 0.68 to account for the different solar iron abundance assumed by MYTORUS).

This model provides a similarly good fit to the data as both Models 1 and 2 (again, see Table 2). However, there are some significant differences of note between the results obtained. The iron abundance is still super-solar ($A_{Fe} = 2.3^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$), but not extremely so, and is (unsurprisingly) intermediate to the solar abundance assumed for the distant components and the high abundance found for the disk components in the previous models. This allows the neutral absorption to account for more of the strong drop in the spectrum above $\sim$7 keV (the iron edge) and produce more curvature in the observed continuum above 10 keV, which reduces the requirement for the reflection model to account for these features with a large reflection fraction. In turn, this means the requirement for a rapidly rotating black hole is no longer as strong, and so the parameter constraints are correspondingly looser. In this case, we find that $a^* = 0.73^{+0.25}_{-0.32}$ (see Figure 4). However, the best-fit reflection fraction is still greater than unity, so the X-ray source is still required to be relatively compact. The increased iron abundance in the absorber also means that the blue wing of the iron line in the disk reflection component is no longer required to be at a low enough energy to help produce the $\sim$7 keV spectral drop, which results in a higher best-fit inclination. Lastly, the stronger line emission relative to the continuum in the distant reflection means this component cannot account for as much of the observed emission at $\sim$3–4 keV as in the previous models, and so the column of the neutral absorber is now significantly lower to compensate. A comparison of Models 2 and 3 is shown in Figure 5.

4.1.4 Model 4: Compton-Scattered Continuum

All three of the models presented so far have treated the primary continuum as a simple powerlaw with an exponential high-energy cutoff. The reflection components used so far have also been calculated assuming the illuminating continuum to be a simple powerlaw continuum either with (RELXILLLP, XILLVER) or without (MYTORUS) an exponential high-energy cutoff. However, the nature of the primary X-ray continuum is widely expected to be Compton up-scattering of low-energy photons from the accretion disk by hot electrons for most AGN (e.g. Haardt & Maraschi 1991). While a cutoff powerlaw is often a reasonable approximation for a real Compton-scattered continuum, there are subtle differences between them. The former is constantly curving across all energies, while...
the latter is more power-law-like until it rolls over with a sharper cutoff (e.g. Zdziarski et al. 2003; Fabian et al. 2015; Fürst et al. 2016).

Recently, versions of the XILLVER family of models have been calculated using a realistic Compton-scattered continuum for the illuminating continuum (hereafter XILLVER, CP, etc.). Therefore, we construct one more model utilizing these new versions to investigate what effect the differences between the assumed continuum forms might have on the results for the disk reflection (Model 4), replacing RELXILLLP with RELXILLLP, and since the results with MYTORUS and XILLVER have been consistent, replacing MYTORUS with XILLVER, CP for convenience. XILLVER, CP and RELXILLLP are then calculated using the NTHCOMP thermal Comptonization model (Zdziarski et al. 1996; Zecky et al. 1999) as the input continuum, which is primarily parametrized by the photon index of the continuum below the cutoff, and the electron temperature $kT_e$. The key parameters for XILLVER, CP and RELXILLLP are therefore essentially the same as for XILLVER and RELXILLLP, except that the high-energy cutoff parameter has been replaced with $kT_e$. As before, we assume the same illuminating continuum parameters for both the RELXILLLP and XILLVER, CP components, and we also continue with the approach taken in Model 3 and assume a common iron abundance for all AGN components.

Model 4 also provides a similarly good fit to all the previous models considered (as before, see Table 2). The different curvature in the primary continuum allows in this case for lower values of $R_{\text{disc}}$, as the sharper curvature in the primary continuum allows this component to account for a bit more of the observed high-energy curvature by decreasing the electron temperature, a degeneracy that is naturally exacerbated by the strong absorption in the system. The formal constraint on the spin therefore weakens a little further in comparison to Model 3; here we find $a^* = 0.72^{+0.23}_{-0.53}$. The rest of the parameters remain broadly similar to the results with Model 3.

Finally, although we do not present the full results to these fits as additional models here, we note that if we repeat the fit assuming the distant model components to have a solar abundance, but assuming a Comptonized continuum instead of a cutoff powerlaw, we find practically identical results to those presented for Model 1. In this scenario, the precise form of the continuum does not have any significant effect. We also note that allowing the iron abundances for both the distant and the disk components to vary independently does not result in any significant statistical improvement over the common iron abundance scenario.

4.2 Multi-Epoch Analysis

In order to obtain the most robust constraints on the key inner disk parameters, in addition to our analysis of epoch XN1 we also undertake a multi-epoch analysis of all the observations included in Table 1. As with XN1, we only analyse the data above 3 keV. A comparison of some of the results from these observations is shown in Figure 6. During the first three of these archival observations (X1, X2, S1), IRAS 13197-1627 was less absorbed than during epoch XN1, and the spectra of these three datasets over their common 3–10 keV bandpass were extremely similar. In contrast, during the last of the archival observations (S2), IRAS 13197-1627 was significantly more absorbed than XN1. Despite the changing absorption, the Suzaku PIN detections from S1 and S2 suggest that the intrinsic variations are relatively minor; the observed 20–40 keV fluxes only vary by a factor of $\sim 2$.

We primarily focus on applying Model 4 (common iron abundance for all AGN model components, realistic Comptonized continuum) from section 4.1 to all these observations simultaneously. All parameters that should not vary on observational timescales, i.e. the black hole spin, disk inclination and iron abundance, are linked across all the datasets. In addition to these, we assume that the flux of the distant reflection is constant across all epochs, and also assume that the primary continuum parameters ($\Gamma$, $kT_e$), and the ionisation states for both the accretion disk and the ionised absorber are constant across all epochs, given that the intrinsic flux variations between all the different epochs appear to be quite minor. Finally, given the strong similarity between the X1, X2 and S1 3–10 keV spectra, we also assume that the neutral absorption parameters are the same for these three epochs.

Within this framework, we test two possible explanations for the AGN variability that is present in addition to the absorption variability observed. First, we assume that the geometric structure of the inner accretion flow is static, and that all the variability is produced through intrinsic changes in the brightness of the corona. In modelling terms, we therefore keep $h$ constant across all epochs, and allow the normalisation of the RELXILLLP component to vary. Second, we assume that the intrinsic brightness of the corona is stable, and that the observed flux variations are produced through geometric changes that vary the degree of lightbending and thus the fraction of the primary emission that escapes directly to the observer, i.e. we allow $h$ to vary and keep the RELXILLLP norm.
Table 3. Results obtained for the free parameters in our multi-epoch lamppost reflection model fit.

| Model Component | Parameter | Global | X1 | X2 | S1 | S2 | XN1 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Intrinsic variations: variable RELXILLP normalisation, constant h** | | | | | | | |
| TBNEW_PCF | $N_H$ [10$^{23}$ cm$^{-2}$] | 5.3 ± 0.3 =X1 =X1 | 10.0$^{+0.8}_{-0.9}$ | 6.3$^{+0.5}_{-0.3}$ |
| | $C_f$ [%] | 98.7 ± 0.2 =X1 | =X1 | 96.7$^{+0.9}_{-0.8}$ | 98.6$^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$ |
| RELXILLP_CP | $\Gamma$ | 1.75$^{+0.13}_{-0.05}$ |
| | $kT_e^a$ [keV] | > 33 |
| | $a^*$ | > 0.92 |
| | $i$ [°] | 59$^{+5}_{-4}$ |
| | $h$ | < 2.7 |
| | $R_{\text{relx}}^b$ | 5.4$^{+3.5}_{-2.4}$ |
| | $\log \xi$ | log[erg cm s$^{-1}$] | 3.46 ± 0.06 |
| | $A_{\text{phot}}$ [solar] | 2.2 ± 0.2 |
| | Norm | 10$^{-3}$ |
| | | 2.3$^{+2.0}_{-1.4}$ | 2.8$^{+2.8}_{-1.7}$ | 3.1$^{+3.3}_{-1.6}$ | 1.7$^{+1.9}_{-0.9}$ | 2.1$^{+2.0}_{-1.1}$ |
| XSTAR$_{\text{abs}}$ | $\log \xi$ | log[erg cm s$^{-1}$] | 3.46 ± 0.06 |
| | $N_H$ [10$^{23}$ cm$^{-2}$] | 1.7$^{+1.9}_{-0.5}$ | 4.6$^{+1.4}_{-1.0}$ | 6.0$^{+3.0}_{-2.2}$ | 0.9$^{+1.0}_{-0.5}$ | 1.9$^{+0.6}_{-0.5}$ |
| | $v_{\text{out}}$ km s$^{-1}$ | 2000$^{+1300}_{-1500}$ | 7200 ± 600 | 5400 ± 900 | 7800$^{+3300}_{-2100}$ | 4700$^{+800}_{-700}$ |
| XILLVER_CP | $\log \xi$ | log[erg cm s$^{-1}$] | 3.44 ± 0.06 |
| | $A_{\text{phot}}$ [solar] | 2.6$^{+0.1}_{-0.2}$ |
| | Norm | 10$^{-3}$ |
| | | 0.17$^{+0.10}_{-0.03}$ |
| | | 0.22$^{+0.02}_{-0.04}$ | 0.16$^{+0.02}_{-0.03}$ | 0.16$^{+0.02}_{-0.03}$ | 0.44$^{+0.07}_{-0.09}$ | 0.26$^{+0.02}_{-0.04}$ |
| $\chi^2$/Dof | 1673/1545 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Geometric variations: constant RELXILLP normalisation, variable h** | | | | | | | |
| TBNEW_PCF | $N_H$ [10$^{23}$ cm$^{-2}$] | 5.0 ± 0.2 =X1 =X1 | 9.2$^{+0.8}_{-0.7}$ | 5.9 ± 0.3 |
| | $C_f$ [%] | 98.7 ± 0.2 =X1 | =X1 | 96.3$^{+0.7}_{-0.8}$ | 98.4 ± 0.2 |
| RELXILLP_CP | $\Gamma$ | 1.79$^{+0.10}_{-0.04}$ |
| | $kT_e^a$ [keV] | < 42 |
| | $a^*$ | > 0.70 |
| | $i$ [°] | 69$^{+3}_{-4}$ |
| | $h$ | < 2.7 |
| | $R_{\text{relx}}^b$ | 5.4$^{+3.5}_{-2.4}$ |
| | $\log \xi$ | log[erg cm s$^{-1}$] | 3.0$^{+0.2}_{-0.3}$ |
| | $A_{\text{phot}}$ [solar] | 2.6$^{+0.1}_{-0.2}$ |
| | Norm | 10$^{-3}$ |
| | | 0.17$^{+0.10}_{-0.03}$ |
| XSTAR$_{\text{abs}}$ | $\log \xi$ | log[erg cm s$^{-1}$] | 3.44 ± 0.06 |
| | $N_H$ [10$^{23}$ cm$^{-2}$] | 1.7$^{+1.1}_{-0.6}$ | 3.9$^{+1.2}_{-1.1}$ | 5.6$^{+2.6}_{-2.0}$ | 0.9$^{+0.9}_{-0.4}$ | 1.8 ± 0.5 |
| | $v_{\text{out}}$ km s$^{-1}$ | 5800$^{+1200}_{-1500}$ | 7000 ± 600 | 5300$^{+4900}_{-800}$ | 7600$^{+3500}_{-1700}$ | 4600 ± 700 |
| XILLVER_CP | $\log \xi$ | log[erg cm s$^{-1}$] | 1.8$^{+0.4}_{-0.1}$ |
| | $F_{\text{dist/relx}}^c$ | 0.14 ± 0.02 | 0.12 ± 0.02 | 0.11$^{+0.02}_{-0.01}$ | 0.21$^{+0.05}_{-0.04}$ | 0.16 ± 0.02 |
| $\chi^2$/Dof | 1667/1545 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | $F_{3-10}^d$ [10$^{-12}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$] | 2.64$^{+0.06}_{-0.03}$ | 2.97 ± 0.05 | 3.15 ± 0.10 | 0.95$^{+0.02}_{-0.03}$ | 1.88 ± 0.03 |
| | $F_{20-40}^d$ [10$^{-12}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$] | - | - | 14.5 ± 1.8 | 6.3 ± 0.5 | 9.7$^{+0.3}_{-0.4}$ |

$^a$ $kT_e$ is limited by the bounds of the RELXILLP grid to 20 ≤ $kT_e$ ≤ 400 keV.

$^b$ $R_{\text{relx}}$ is calculated self-consistently for a simple lamppost geometry from $a^*$ and $h$. Errors represent the range of values permitted by varying these parameters within their 90% uncertainties.

$^c$ Ratio of the observed fluxes from the XILLVER_CP and the RELXILLP_CP components in the 20–40 keV band.

$^d$ Observed fluxes, not corrected for the line-of-sight absorption. These are consistent for both models.
malisation constant. Lower source heights result in stronger light-bending, reducing the observed flux of the primary continuum (e.g. Miniutti & Fabian 2004). Of course, both of these processes can occur simultaneously so the reality likely lies somewhere in between, but these are useful idealisations that reduce parameter degeneracies and show the two limiting scenarios. This is broadly similar to the approach taken in Walton et al. (2017).

The global fit obtained for the first scenario (intrinsic variations) is very good ($\chi^2$/DoF = 1673/1545), and the parameter constraints are given in Table 3. Many of the results from this analysis are broadly similar to those obtained with Model 4 considering epoch XN1 alone. As expected, we see significant variations in the neutral absorption column comparing all the epochs. However, even during the most absorbed epoch (S2), the best-fit column for the line-of-sight absorption is not Compton-thick. This is further supported by the fact that there is reasonable evidence that the ionized absorption – which must arise closer to the black hole than the neutral absorber – is still visible in the data from this epoch; removing this component from the fit to S2 degrades the fit by $\Delta \chi^2 = 13$ for 2 fewer free parameters.

For the black hole spin, we do indeed find that the formal parameter constraints are tighter than for XN1 alone; a comparison of the confidence contours obtained for XN1 and our multi-epoch analysis is shown in Figure 7. In this case, the model prefers a high $R_{\text{gas}}$, high spin scenario, with the spin constrained to $a^* > 0.92$. However, as the spin decreases the model quickly enters a region of parameter space in which the fit is not sensitive to this parameter, and a non-rotating black hole is again not excluded at high confidence. This is related to the same parameter degeneracy between the two scenarios. With this scenario, we find that the spin is constrained to be $a^* > 0.92$.

The second scenario (geometric variations) also provides a good fit ($\chi^2$/DoF = 1667/1545). The parameter constraints are again given in Table 3 and, aside from the enforced differences, there are many similarities with the intrinsic variations scenario. In particular, the absorption variations are practically identical between the two scenarios. With this scenario, we find that the spin is constrained to be $a^* > 0.70$ (see Figure 7). This is not quite as tight as the intrinsic variations scenario, but the degeneracy between $R_{\text{gas}}$ and $kT_e$ is not as severe in this case. This is because the best-fit electron temperature is already unusually low ($\sim 23$ keV), so the model cannot decrease $kT_e$ to this level in order to fit lower reflection fractions, and thus lower spins (we stress that while $kT_e$ is already low, as we decrease the spin the model does not ever hit the lower limit of 20 keV in the current version of RELXLILLP eP, resulting in artificial increases in $\chi^2$. However, since the best-fit electron temperature is unusually low, we again re-compute the spin contour with $kT_e = 50$ keV. This worsens the fit a little ($\chi^2$/DoF = 1670/1546) and slightly contracts the formal spin constraint to $a^* > 0.78$, but otherwise does not result in any other major changes to the confidence contour.

Finally, we also revisit the scenario in which the distant components are assumed to have a solar abundance with the multi-epoch data. Here, we continue to use the reflection models that assume realistic Comptonized spectra as the illuminating continuum, and also the broader approach taken in this section in terms of the parameters assumed to be constant and to vary between epochs, but return to decoupling the abundances of the disc components and the distant components, forcing the latter to have a solar abundance. As with our analysis of epoch XN1, we again find that the abundance of the disc components becomes highly super-solar ($A_{Fe} > 9.0$). However, even if we allow both $h$ and the RELXILILLP eP normalisation to vary simultaneously, with the multi-epoch data the fit is notably worse than either of the cases in which all the model components are assumed to have a common iron abundance: $\chi^2$/DoF = 1719/1545, i.e. $\Delta \chi^2 > 45$ worse despite having more degrees of freedom. We can therefore confidently exclude this possibility thanks to the multi-epoch dataset, and so we do not present the results for this model in any further detail.

5 DISCUSSION

We have presented a broadband X-ray spectral analysis of a new coordinated XMM-Newton+NuSTAR observation of the type 1.8 Seyfert galaxy IRAS 13197-1627, along with a multi-epoch X-ray analysis further incorporating archival observations taken with XMM-Newton and Suzaku. The broadband X-ray spectrum exhibited by this source is highly complex, with strong contributions from relativistic reflection from the inner accretion disk,
sorption and further reprocessing by more distant material, and ionised absorption from an outflow all combining to sculpt the observed spectral form, similar to the well-studied AGN in NGC 1365 (Risaliti et al. 2013; Walton et al. 2014; Rivers et al. 2015). By combining the high S/N broadband data provided by XMM-Newton and NuSTAR with the multi-epoch archival data obtained with XMM-Newton and Suzaku, we are able to disentangle the relative contributions from all these various components for a variety of different scenarios, allowing us to place constraints on the parameters of the innermost accretion flow, and in turn the spin of the black hole, despite the heavy absorption present in this system.

5.1 Metallicity and the Inner Disk

Based on our analysis of epoch XN1, the key inner disk parameters (e.g. black hole spin, disk inclination) appear to be largely independent of the precise treatment of the distant reflection (slab vs torus geometry) and are only moderately sensitive to the form of the primary continuum (powerlaw with an exponential cutoff vs realistic Comptonized continuum). However, the results are strongly dependent on the treatment of the iron abundance for the neutral absorption (and by extension the distant reflection, which we assume to be associated with the same medium).

We test two different scenarios for the iron abundance, focusing initially on epoch XN1 as the highest S/N broadband dataset. First we assume that the neutral absorption/distant reprocessor has a solar iron abundance – as is often the case in the literature – but allow the abundance for the disk components to vary, and second we assume that all the model components have a common iron abundance, which is free to vary. In the former scenario, we find that the contribution from the disk reflection needs to be very strong ($R_{\text{vis}} \geq 3$) in order to model the strong drop in the spectrum at $\sim 7$ keV and the curvature of the continuum above $10$ keV (see Figure 3). This requires the X-ray source to be very close to a rapidly rotating black hole, such that strong gravitational lightbending can sufficiently enhance the disk reflection relative to the observed primary continuum emission (e.g. Minuti & Fabian 2004). Combining the constraints from the slab and torus models for the distant reflection (Models 1 and 2, respectively), we find the black hole spin to be $0.89 \leq a^* \leq 0.98$ in this scenario. However, in this scenario a large discrepancy between the iron abundance assumed for the distant material and that inferred for the disk is seen, with the disk required to be strongly super-solar ($A_{\text{Fe}} > 7.1$). Assuming instead that all model components are chemically homogeneous, we find a moderately super-solar iron abundance ($A_{\text{Fe}} \sim 2.3$) and that the requirement for strong reflection and gravitational lightbending is much less severe (although such solutions are still permitted). As such, the constraints on the spin are subsequently much looser; considering epoch XN1 only, we could only constrain the spin to $0.19 \leq a^* \leq 0.98$. Neither of these scenarios is strongly preferred over the other in a statistical sense for the broadband XN1 data, although the common iron abundance scenario does result in a minor improvement to the fit (see Table 2).

The scenario in which the iron abundance is common to all AGN model components is clearly the more intuitive of these two possibilities, and would likely be the preferred scenario in the situation where only the broadband data from epoch XN1 were available. In addition, the higher inclination inferred in the chemically homogeneous scenario ($\sim 60^\circ$) is probably more in line with expectation for a system with such high levels of absorption. However, the scenario in which the distant components have a solar abundance cannot statistically be ruled out with these data alone. Furthermore, although such measurements are notoriously challenging, the metallicity indicator based on the C III, C IV and He II lines presented by Dors et al. (2014) suggests that the narrow line region (NLR) in IRAS 13197-1627 has a solar metallicity. A solar iron abundance could therefore also be expected for the distant model components in our work, which would still appear to be in some tension with the super-solar abundance inferred in the chemically homogeneous scenario. There may therefore be some justification for preferring the chemically inhomogeneous scenario if both are statistically permissible. This would in turn require a mechanism by which the inner accretion disk could have, or at least appear to have a significantly different abundance than the neutral absorber/distant reprocessor.

Two potentially interesting possibilities for such a mechanism are discussed in Reynolds et al. (2012). The first is that the atomic iron in the distant absorber/reprocessor could be depleted into dust grains. Should these grains be sufficiently large, self-shielding could reduce the strength of the atomic iron signatures relative to the contribution of the distant backscattered continuum (i.e. the distant Compton hump), which would give the appearance of a reduced iron abundance for the distant model components. Second, Reynolds et al. (2012) also discuss the possibility that the photospheric iron abundance of the inner disk could appear to be enhanced by radiative levitation of iron atoms to the disk surface. In this scenario, the background radiation field within the innermost regions of an AGN accretion disk could produce a net upwards force on moderately ionised iron, causing the iron to diffuse upwards. Some evidence for this process may have been observed recently from the Galactic black hole X-ray binary GRS 1915+105, where the iron abundance appears to change across the phase of the 50 s limit cycle oscillations observed from this source (Zoghbi et al. 2016).

These possibilities are not without their problems. With regards to potential dust depletion of iron in the distant absorber/reprocessor, while the NLR should reside beyond the dust sublimation radius (Netzer 2015), we note that there is evidence that the majority of the narrow core to the iron emission in AGN may arise from regions interior to this (Gandhi et al. 2015). Furthermore, Reynolds et al. (2012) note that radiative levitation of iron may only be relevant for high accretion rates (close to the Eddington limit) onto lower mass AGN, where disk temperatures are higher. The mass of IRAS 13197-1627 is not well known, but Vasudevan et al. (2010) estimate the mass and bolometric luminosity to be $\log(M_{\text{BH}}/M_\odot) \sim 7.81$ and $L_{\text{bol}} \sim 5 \times 10^{44}$ erg s$^{-1}$, respectively, combining X-ray and infrared information. These values would correspond to $L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}} \sim 0.05 - 0.1$. While this is still fairly uncertain, the accretion rate is likely too low for radiative levitation to play an important role in this case. However, although these processes may struggle individually to produce a metallicity gradient large enough to match that inferred here, they could potentially act in combination. Furthermore, there may be other mechanisms beyond those considered here that can produce strong/additional metallicity gradients (actual or apparent), so it would also be difficult to conclusively rule out the chemically inhomogeneous scenario through physical arguments based on epoch XN1 alone.

However, we find that when considering the multi-epoch dataset – additionally including archival observations from XMM-Newton and Suzaku (epochs X1, X2, S1, S2) which show both varying levels of absorption and intrinsic variability from the central AGN – we can distinguish between these two scenarios in a statistical sense, with the chemically homogeneous scenario clearly
preferred. This clearly demonstrates the importance of combining high S/N broadband observations with a multi-epoch approach to disentangle the various emission and absorption components for these complex AGN, particularly when the level of absorption is variable, and the typical column is as high as it is for IRAS 13197-1627.

Within the chemically homogeneous scenario, we test two different possible explanations for the AGN variability observed. First we assume this is dominated by intrinsic brightness variations in the corona, and second we assume it is dominated by variations in the geometry of the corona that change the degree of lightbending, resulting in variations in the observed flux. Both of these limiting scenarios fit the multi-epoch data similarly well, and both improve the formal spin constraint in comparison to the fits to epoch XN1 alone. However, it is also possible that the absorbing material is located at distances \(10^6 R_G\), or equivalently \(10^6 \text{ light years}\), or equivalently \(\sim 5 \times 10^{23} \text{ cm}^{-2}\), suggesting that the absorbing medium has a clumpy structure. A hint of this was previously claimed by Risaliti et al. (2002) comparing archival observations by BeppoSAX and ASCA, but the subsequent analysis by Miniutti et al. (2007) found the column density between these observations and the first XMM-Newton epoch (X1) to be consistent. Although we have made simplifying assumptions in our analysis, e.g. forcing the photon index and the height of the X-ray reflector to be constant across all epochs, the changes in \(N_H\) observed here are too strong for these assumptions to have any major influence on this conclusion. Assuming this absorption is related to the torus structure invoked in AGN unification schemes, this could add further observational evidence to the suggestion that this torus is clumpy, rather than a uniform structure (e.g. Nikutta et al. 2009; Markowitz et al. 2014).

However, we note again that the variations in the intrinsic flux between epochs are fairly minor (less than a factor of 2, after accounting for the scattering losses; see the variations in the RELXILLLP normalisation in Table 3), so it may be that the average flux on long timescales is relatively constant. If this is the case, then we may not expect to see strong variations in the distant reflector, even if this material is located at radii smaller than \(10^6 R_G\). All of this could be tested in the future with higher-cadence broadband observations, both to study the intrinsic flux variations and the timescales on which the absorption varies.

In contrast to the column density, the covering fraction obtained for the neutral absorber is persistently high (\(>97\%\)). Covering fractions this high are likely indicative that, although the model is formally partially covering, along our line-of-sight the absorber is actually fully covering in a geometric sense, and the non-unity covering fraction is accounting for the small fraction of the intrinsic continuum emission that gets scattered around the absorber and back into our line-of-sight. Indeed, X-ray obscured AGN often display scattered fractions at the \(\sim\)few percent level (e.g. Ichikawa et al. 2012), similar to that inferred here.

5.2 Variations in the Neutral Absorber

From our multi-epoch analysis we find evidence that the line-of-sight column of the neutral absorber varies from epoch to epoch, ranging over \(N_H \sim 5 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-2}\), suggesting that the absorbing medium has a clumpy structure. A hint of this was previously claimed by Risaliti et al. (2002) comparing archival observations by BeppoSAX and ASCA, but the subsequent analysis by Miniutti et al. (2007) found the column density between these observations and the first XMM-Newton epoch (X1) to be consistent. Although we have made simplifying assumptions in our analysis, e.g. forcing the photon index and the height of the X-ray reflector to be constant across all epochs, the changes in \(N_H\) observed here are too strong for these assumptions to have any major influence on this conclusion. Assuming this absorption is related to the torus structure invoked in AGN unification schemes, this could add further observational evidence to the suggestion that this torus is clumpy, rather than a uniform structure (e.g. Nikutta et al. 2009; Markowitz et al. 2014). However, it is also possible that the absorbing clouds are located instead in the broad line region, as appears to be the case in NGC 1365 (e.g. Risaliti et al. 2009). Unfortunately, the observations presented here are separated by \(\sim\)years, so a meaningful test of the location of the absorbing clouds is not currently possible.

If the neutral absorber is associated with the distant reflector, as we have generally assumed here, then the apparent stability of this emission over the full set of observations could suggest that this material is located at distances \(\gtrsim 10\) light years, or equivalently \(10^6 R_G\) for a mass of \(M_{BH}/M_\odot \sim 7.81\), given the full temporal separation of the observations considered. This would likely place the material beyond the broad line region (Netzer 2015).

However, we also find robust evidence that IRAS 13197-1627 exhibits blueshifted absorption from ionized iron, with absorption lines from both Fe XXV and Fe XXVI observed, confirming the tentative indication reported in previous works (Dadina & Cappi 2004; Miniutti et al. 2007). Evidence for this absorption is seen at all epochs analysed in this work, but its properties appear to be variable, with the line-of-sight column density and outflow velocity ranging from \(N_H \sim 2 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}\) (assuming the system is chemically homogeneous) and from \(v_{out} \sim 5000 - 8000 \text{ km s}^{-1}\), respectively. These velocities are not dissimilar to the ionised outflow seen in NGC 1365 (Risaliti et al. 2005), but are not as extreme as the ‘ultra-fast’ outflows now robustly confirmed with broadband spectroscopy in a few AGN (e.g. Nardini et al. 2015; Lobban et al. 2016; Parker et al. 2017).

Throughout this work we have assumed that the ionised absorber is associated with the accretion disc, and therefore physically interior to the neutral absorber. Given the high degree of ionisation, and the fairly rapid outflow velocity, it is natural to assume that this absorption would occur closer to the central ionising source. However, it is worth noting that since we use multiplicative absorption models, and both apply to the central emission from the source, the results obtained for the inner disc do not depend on this assumption for any of the models considered. The only issue this relates to is the iron abundance assumed for the ionised absorption in the chemically inhomogeneous models (i.e. whether this should be linked to the disc components or the distant components). However, since the only features the ionised absorption contributes to the observed spectrum are the iron absorption lines, the only result that will be influenced by this assumption is the column density inferred for this component (which is strongly degenerate with the iron abundance as a consequence). In the (preferred) chemically homogeneous models the absorbers are fully commutative in a functional sense, since the iron abundance is the same for all model components.

Although the values obtained for the column density of the ionised absorption do depend heavily on our treatment of the iron abundance, this only serves to set the overall scale for the column
density results, and cannot explain the variations in the column seen between epochs. This variability suggests there is also some level of inhomogeneity in the ionized wind, in addition to the neutral absorption, although the variations in the ionized absorption do not obviously appear to correlate (or anti-correlate) with those in the neutral absorber. Such inhomogeneities are likely also expected for disk winds; MHD simulations of disc winds show them to be clumpy, time-variable structures (e.g. Proga & Kallman 2004). However, the fact that this ionised absorption is seen at all epochs likely suggests that along our line-of-sight, these inhomogeneities may not be too severe.

6 CONCLUSIONS

The X-ray spectrum of IRAS 13197-1627 is highly complex, exhibiting contributions from relativistic reflection from the inner accretion disk, absorption and further reprocessing by more distant material, and absorption from an ionised outflow. By utilizing the high sensitivity, broadband coverage provided by XMM-Newton+NuSTAR, as well as a multi-epoch approach incorporating the archival observations performed by XMM-Newton and Suzaku, we perform detailed spectral analysis with an emphasis on separating out the reflection from the innermost accretion disk, which previous works have suggested may dominate the AGN emission. Using the latest reflection and absorption models and focusing first on the broadband XMM-Newton+NuSTAR epoch, we find that the results for the inner disk do not strongly depend on the geometry assumed for the distant reprocessor, or the precise form of the illuminating X-ray continuum. However, these results do depend on the treatment of the iron abundance of the distant absorber/reprocessor. If this is assumed to have a solar abundance, as may be suggested by independent metallicity estimates for the narrow line region, a high-sin, reflection-dominated scenario is strongly required ($a^* > 0.98$), but the results also require a highly super-solar abundance for the disk ($\Delta \chi_2 > 7.1$). If we instead assume the system is chemically homogeneous and link the iron abundance between all the AGN components, we find a moderately super-solar abundance ($\Delta \chi_2 = 2.3_{-0.7}^{+0.7}$) and the constraint on the spin is significantly weaker ($0.19 < a^* < 0.98$).

Both of these scenarios fit the XMM-Newton+NuSTAR data similarly well. However, when we incorporate the data from archival XMM-Newton and Suzaku observations, and fit these datasets simultaneously with the XMM-Newton+NuSTAR epoch, we find the chemically homogeneous scenario is preferred in a statistical sense thanks to the absorption variability observed. This demonstrates the importance of combining both broadband and multi-epoch spectroscopy for AGN with sources as complex as IRAS 13197-1627. Including this data also improves the formal spin constraint to $a^* > 0.7$ for this scenario, so a rapidly rotating black hole is preferred. However, a slowly rotating black hole is still not strongly excluded, so this constraint should be confirmed with additional broadband observations.

In addition to the results for the inner disk, through our multi-epoch analysis we also find that both the neutral and ionised absorbers vary from epoch to epoch. This suggests that both the neutral absorber, either the torus or broad line region clouds, and the ionised absorber, an accretion disk wind, have inhomogeneous, clumpy structure (at least to some extent). Higher cadence broadband monitoring in the future should be able to constrain the location of the variable neutral absorber and determine whether this is associated with the torus or the broad line region.
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