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Abstract: Tar is an unavoidable biomass gasification byproduct. Tar formation reduces gasification efficiency and limits the further application of biomass gasification technology. Hence, efficient tar removal is a major problem to be solved in the formation and application of biomass gasification technology. Chemical looping gasification (CLG), a novel and promising gasification technology has attracted extensive attention owing to its low tar generation. Active oxygen carriers (OCs), the reduced OC in CLG, are considered to be excellent catalysts for tar cracking. In this study, the use of benzene as a typical tar model compound for tar removal using the iron ore OC is investigated. In the blank experiment, where an inert material (SiO2) is used as the carrier, the benzene cracking is relatively low, and the benzene conversion, H2 yield, and carbon conversion are 53.65%, 6.33%, and 1.24%, respectively. The addition of hematite promotes benzene cracking. A large amount of oxygen-containing gases (CO and CO2) are generated. Additionally, the conversion degrees for benzene, H2 and carbon are about 67.75%, 21.55%, and 38.39%, respectively. These results indicate that hematite performs both oxidation and catalysis during benzene cracking. The extension of the residence time facilitates benzene removal, owing to the good interaction between the gas phase and solid phase. The addition of water vapor inhibits the benzene conversion and promotes the conversion of carbon deposition. The lattice oxygen reactivity of hematite OC shows an uptrend as the cycle number is increased during the benzene conversion cycle. The experimental results confirm that CLG has a low-tar advantage and that hematite is an effective OC for benzene removal.
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1. Introduction

China’s renewable energy source is equivalent to 2.15 billion standard coal, of which over 50% is biomass energy, which is two times as powerful as hydro energy and three and a half times as powerful as wind energy [1]. Biomass offers a significant advantage over fossil fuels in terms of CO2 emission reduction. For instance, the CO2 emissions of a biomass-fired plant with a capacity of 25,000 kW are 220 thousand tons less than that of a coal-fired plant. Additionally, biomass is a low-sulfur, low-nitrogen, and low-ash energy source with reduced environmental pollution levels during utilization [2,3]. Owing to the many similarities between biomass and fossil fuel, including molecular structure and utilization route, the industrial system of fossil fuel can be used for biomass conversion with minor adjustments, generating solid, liquid, and gas fuel or industrial raw materials. With the goal of carbon neutrality, it is crucial to advance biomass energy technology.

One of the practical technologies for biomass utilization is gasification, which converts biomass to syngas via thermo-chemical conversion [4]. Syngas mainly includes CO and
H₂, which can be used to generate heat and power and as a platform compound for the synthesis of liquid fuels and chemicals [5–7]. Biomass gasification has several advantages including high efficiency, low emissions, and simplicity of integration [8]. However, a significant drawback of the conventional gasification process is the high cost of oxygen sources. During gasification, solid fuel is partially oxidized, and air, oxygen, and H₂O steam are commonly used as gasified agents [9]. Using air as a gasification medium will result in the low heating value of the gas products because of nitrogen dilution [10]; using oxygen is beneficial for obtaining high heating-value gas products, but the high cost of oxygen separation cannot be ignored [11]; producing H₂O steam requires an external boiler, which consumes a lot of energy [12,13]. Additionally, tar, which accounts for 5%~15% of total energy in raw biomass, is a byproduct of gasification [14]. Therefore, it is crucial to design a biomass gasification method that has a low-cost oxygen source, produces less tar, and produces high-heating-value gas products.

Chemical looping gasification (CLG) is an effective solution for the abovementioned biomass gasification technology demand [15–17]. As shown in Figure 1, typical gasification is decomposed into two steps in CLG. In the fuel reactor (FR), the metal oxide is used as the oxygen carrier (OC) [18–25], which serves the dual purpose of oxidation and catalysis in the gasification [26]. The OC catalyzes to facilitate biomass gasification as well as a lattice oxygen source to replace molecular oxygen. After reacting with biomass, the OC is reduced, and it is then sent to the air reactor for air calcining to regenerate. Thereafter, the OC reenters the FR and AR cycle, as well as creating a reduction and oxidation loop (chemical looping). CLG does not require high-cost production of pure oxygen or water steam, and the regulation of syngas components can be achieved by introducing CO₂/H₂O. Additionally, the OC effectively promotes tar conversion, which is a great advantage for the thermo-chemical conversion of highly volatile solid fuels such as biomass.

![Figure 1. The schematic of biomass chemical looping gasification.](image)

Biomass tar is a complex mixture, which consists of several polycyclic organic compounds. The major compositions of biomass tar are presented in Table 1. Benzene accounts for the highest proportion of tar compositions, and the majority of other compositions are benzene derivatives [27]. The benzene ring is common to see in the molecular structure of tar compounds, and this phenomenon might be attributed to the extreme stability of the benzene ring [28,29]. Both benzene and naphthalene barely decompose below 900 °C, and their decompositions are not significant until 1000 °C. Moreover, the thermal stability of benzene is higher than that of naphthalene [30]. According to certain studies, naphthalene must undergo a complete breakdown at a reaction temperature higher than
1400 °C [31]. It can be inferred that the decomposition of benzene is a key barrier to the complete conversion of biomass tar because benzene is present in large amounts and has nearly the highest thermal stability. Currently, several methods have been suggested for benzene elimination in gasification. These methods include catalytic steam reforming [32,33], photothermal steam reforming [34], steam-oxygen gasification [35,36], microwave-based treatment [37], and plasma technology [38,39]. Only a few studies in the literature report the in situ removal of benzene in CLG.

Table 1. Typical compositions of biomass tar [40].

| Species | Benzene | Naphthalene | Toluene | Xylene | Indene | Phenols | 2~3 Rings Compounds |
|---------|---------|-------------|---------|--------|--------|---------|---------------------|
| Composition (wt.%) | 28 | 15 | 14 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 21 |

To investigate the effect of CLG operation on the tar conversion, benzene was used as the biomass tar model compound in the CLG experiments to simulate the in situ removal of biomass tar decomposition using a fixed bed reactor, and hematite was employed as the OC. The effects of residence time, steam/carbon ratio, and cycle number on benzene conversion were investigated. The results provide some important references for biomass tar elimination in CLG operation.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Benzene Conversion Characteristics Using Hematite

The characteristics of benzene cracking between inert SiO$_2$ and hematite were compared to highlight the role of iron ore OC, as shown in Figure 2 and Tables 2–5. The hematite mass, benzene flow, and N$_2$ flow were set as 0.5 g, 40 mL/min, and 0.05 mL/min, respectively. The total reaction time was 60 min, and each point was collected every 3 min using a sampling bag.

![Figure 2](image-url)
Table 2. The role of hematite in benzene cracking.

| Carrier     | Relative Gas Concentration (%) | η (%) | Y_H2 (%) | Y_C (%) |
|-------------|--------------------------------|-------|----------|---------|
| SiO₂        | H₂ 95.40 CO 3.91 CH₄ 0.69 C₂H₅ 2.87 |       | 55.37    | 21.96   |
| Hematite    | H₂ 72.25 CO 24.42 CH₄ 0.37 C₂H₅ 0.09 | 1.98  | 21.96    | 39.13   |

Table 3. Distribution of liquid products during benzene cracked by two carriers.

| Carrier     | Mass of Liquid Products (g) | Biphenyl C₁₂H₁₀ | Meta-Terphenyl C₁₈H₁₄ | Para-Terphenyl C₁₈H₁₄ | Benzanthracene C₁₈H₁₂ | Sum |
|-------------|-----------------------------|------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----|
| SiO₂        | 0.91                        | 0.15             | 0.14                   | 0.18                   | 0.55                   | 1.20|
| Hematite    | 0.24                        | 0.07             | 0.06                   | 0.18                   | 0.55                   | 0.55|

Table 4. Distribution of carbon-containing species in the products.

| Carrier     | C-Gas | C-Liquid | C-Residual Benzene | C-Solid | C-Total | Carbon Balance (%) |
|-------------|-------|----------|--------------------|---------|---------|--------------------|
| SiO₂        | 0.01  | 1.13     | 1.09               | 0.06    | 2.29    | 93.85              |
| Hematite    | 0.05  | 0.51     | 0.75               | 1.00    | 2.31    | 94.67              |

Table 5. XRF analysis of hematite.

| Element | Fe | O | Si | Al | K | Ca | P | Ti | Mn |
|---------|----|---|----|----|---|----|---|----|----|
| Composition (wt/%) | 61.9 | 32.4 | 3.3 | 1.7 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

In the blank experiment (using SiO₂), the gas concentrations were low, the main gas component was H₂ (95.40%) and the CH₄ (3.91%) and C₂H₅ (0.69%) contents were low. The oxygenated gases (CO and CO₂) were not observed. H₂ concentration increased gradually in the first 30 min before stabilizing. Additionally, the benzene conversion, H₂ yield, and carbon deposition were 55.37%, 7.22%, and 1.98%, respectively. These results indicate that the self-cracking performance of benzene at high temperatures was low, since the inert SiO₂ carrier had no oxidation and catalysis ability. During this process, the reaction (R1) played a major role [41]. Although the benzene conversion was 55.37%, the majority of benzene only partially cracked and only a small portion of its C-H/C-C bonds was completely broken. Thus, the H₂ yield (gas product) and carbon deposition (solid product) were very low, and a large amount of benzene series (liquid product) was generated, as shown in (R2). Benzene dehydrogenation mostly generates benzene radicals, which later combine with other radicals to form a new, larger benzene series. The mass of the generated liquid products was 1.20 g and the main component (biphenyl) accounted for 75.83% of that mass.

However, hematite addition accelerated the benzene cracking. Along with the H₂, CH₄, and C₂H₅, a large amount of oxygen-containing gases (CO and CO₂) was generated. In the initial stage, a small amount of absorbed oxygen reacted with the benzene on the surface of the hematite, which leads to the formation of CO and CO₂. After 25 min, the CO concentration increased and reached its peak at 33 min, indicating that lattice oxygen was involved in the benzene oxidation. In the final stage, lattice oxygen was absent, making it impossible to meet the oxygen demand of benzene oxidation, but part of the OC was reduced to metal Fe, which catalyzed the benzene cracking. Therefore, CO concentration declined gradually, and the H₂ concentration increased continuously.

Furthermore, compared to the blank experiment, the benzene conversion, H₂ yield and carbon deposition increased to 69.05%, 21.96%, and 39.13%, respectively. Hematite has the dual function of oxidation and catalysis. First, benzene underwent an oxidation-reduction reaction with hematite to generate a large amount of oxygen-containing gas, while the hematite was reduced to metallic Fe or Fe₃C, as shown in (R3). Subsequently, the generated Fe or Fe₃C acted as a catalyst to catalytically crack the benzene, and large amounts of hydrogen and carbon deposits were generated, as shown in (R4). Additionally,
when compared to the blank experiment, 0.55 g of liquid products were generated during the process. This was attributed to the relatively low oxidation and catalytic ability of hematite. Thus, the secondary reaction R5 occurred in the process.

$$\text{C}_6\text{H}_6 \rightarrow \text{Gas (H}_2/\text{CH}_4/\text{C}_2\text{H}_m) + \text{Liquid (C}_1\text{H}_y, x > 4) + \text{Solid (C)} \quad \Delta H > 0 \quad (R1)$$

$$\text{Fe/Fe} \rightarrow \text{H}_2 \quad \Delta H > 0 \quad (R2)$$

$$\text{C}_6\text{H}_6 + \text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 \rightarrow \text{H}_2/\text{CH}_4/\text{CO}/\text{CO}_2/\text{C}_2\text{H}_m + \text{Fe}/\text{Fe}_3\text{C} \quad \Delta H > 0 \quad (R3)$$

$$\text{C}_6\text{H}_6 \rightarrow \text{Gas (H}_2/\text{CH}_4/\text{C}_2\text{H}_m) + \text{Liquid (C}_1\text{H}_y(x > 4)) + \text{Solid (C)} \quad \Delta H > 0 \quad (R4)$$

### 2.2. The Residence Time

This section investigates the effect of residence time on benzene cracking, and the result is shown in Figure 3. The hematite mass and benzene flow were set as 0.5 g and 0.05 mL/min, respectively. The total reaction time was 60 min. The residence time was adjusted by controlling the N$_2$ flow (40, 60, 80, 100 mL/min).

![Figure 3](image)

**Figure 3.** Effect of residence time on benzene cracking.

The benzene conversion degree increased from 63.5% to 78.3% when the residence time increased from 0.032 to 0.08 s. Over 0.053 s, the benzene conversion increased from 78.3% to 81.1%, but at a slower rate. There was a significant positive correlation between the benzene conversion degree and the residence time. Long residence time allows for increased interaction between benzene and hematite, which is beneficial for benzene cracking. The increased conversion degree reduced slightly after 0.053 s, because the benzene-cracking capacity of the OC was close to the saturation at this condition. Carbon deposition and H$_2$ are the typical byproducts of benzene cracking (R1), and their percentage–time curves were similar to that of the benzene conversion degree. The difference was in the period of 0.032 to 0.053 s, which showed a rapid increase in the carbon deposition and H$_2$ yield curves. The restricted amount of lattice oxygen capacity made it increasingly difficult to completely oxidize input benzene. Therefore, there was a rapid increase in both carbon deposition and H$_2$ yield.

The main gas components were H$_2$ and CO. A small amount of CH$_4$ and CO$_2$ was observed when the residence time was above 0.04 s. Over the residence time, the H$_2$ concentration increased from 12.5% to 31.9%, and the CO concentration increased from 1.9% to 4.4%. As the residence time increased, the lattice oxygen was depleted, and
the reducing gas content increased. For the benzene cracking process, OC plays a dual role in catalysis and oxidation in the range of 0.04 to 0.053 s, but the oxidation function declines after 0.053 s because lattice oxygen was exhausted, and the reducing gas content subsequently increased.

2.3. H2O Steam Content

H2O steam is usually used as a gasifying agent to promote biomass gasification. The effect of H2O steam on benzene conversion degree, H2 yield, and carbon deposition was investigated, and the Steam/Carbon ratio (S/C) was set in a range of 0.49–1.14. The results are presented in Figure 4. The hematite mass, benzene flow, and N2 flow were set as 0.5 g, 60 mL/min, and 0.03 mL/min, respectively. The total reaction time was 60 min. The residual solid products were analyzed by X-ray diffraction (XRD, X’Pert Pro MPD) and Cu Kα radiation (λ = 0.1504 nm) at a voltage of 40 kV and a current of 40 mA. The scanning rate was 2°/min from 2θ = 5° to 80° at a step of 0.02°.

![Figure 4](image-url)  
Figure 4. Effect of mass ratio on benzene cracking.

H2O steam inhibited the benzene decomposition, and the benzene conversion degree reduced from 81.8% to 66.8% when the S/C value increased from 0.49 to 1.14. According to (R1)–(R4), benzene was decomposed with the release of H2, as well as carbon deposition. As the benzene conversion degree decreased, H2 yield reduced from 55.5% to 3.7%, while carbon deposition reduced from 35.7% to 0.33%.

There were two possible reasons for the H2O inhabitation of the benzene decomposition. First, in our experimental devices, liquid H2O was pumped into the reactor where it was gasified to H2O steam because of the high internal temperature. The H2O gasification had a significant impact on the gas flow in the reactor, resulting in a higher flow through the quartz tube. Consequently, the residence time of benzene and its decomposition products was shortened leading to a decrease in the benzene conversion degree. Second, the introduction of H2O steam enhanced the oxidation performance of the atmosphere, leading to OC reduction. Thereafter, the catalysis effect, which was dependent on the reduced OC, diminished as a result of the reduced OC formation.

\[
C/Fe_3C + H_2O \rightarrow CO/CO_2 + H_2 + Fe \quad \Delta H > 0 \quad (R5)
\]

Figure 5 shows the variation in the XRD pattern of the reduced OC when the S/C value increased from 0.49 to 0.81. When the S/C value was set at 0.49, the reduced OC primarily contained metal Fe, carbon, and Fe3C. Carbon and Fe3C vanished as the S/C value was increased to 0.66. Metal Fe was the dominant phase, and its signal intensity was reduced relative to that of the S/C value of 0.49. When the S/C value was increased to 0.81, the signal intensity of metal Fe decreased further, and FeO1−δ was observed in the spectrum. The above phenomenon indicated that H2O first reacted with carbon deposition and Fe3C before oxidizing into FeO1−δ when the carbon was used up. Upon the metal Fe oxidation, the catalysis effect of the OC decreased, resulting in a lower benzene conversion degree. Therefore,
the S/C should be set at a level that allows for sufficient elimination for carbon deposition, and little oxidation to the reduced OC, at the same time. When the S/C value reached 0.66, the Fe₃C pattern was too weak to be observed, and the OC maintained an almost completed reduced state. Therefore, the S/C value was set at 0.66 for the cyclic experiments.

Figure 5. The effect of S/C on the XRD pattern of reduced OC. 1-C; 2-Fe; 3-Fe₃C; 4-FeO₁₋δ.

Figure 6a–c shows the morphology of the OC at different stages. Figure 6a depicts the morphology of the original OC. There were massive blocks distributed in the picture with a few small blocks adhering to the surface. These blocks were made up specifically of the reduced OC particle. After reacting with benzene, the OC particle agglomerated into blocks with a smooth edge, and some tubes, overlapping on the surface of the blocks, crossed over with each other, as shown in Figure 6b. These microtubes had distinct structures from the OC blocks. According to the fixed bed experiments, a large amount of carbon deposition was found in the reduced OC, and these microtubes could be formed from the carbon nanotube polymer, which was generated during the carbon deposition (R1 and R4). Similar results were found in the literature [42]. The carbon microtubes disappeared when H₂O steam was introduced into the reaction (R5). Carbon deposition was converted to CO/CO₂ when it reacted with H₂O. Figure 6c depicted the morphology of the microtube-free OC. After reacting to H₂O steam, the OC structure became loose and irregular, and the carbon microtubes were not observed in the image.

Figure 6. SEM image of OC: (a) original, (b) reduced, (c) reduced with H₂O steam.
2.4. The Cycle Numbers

Figure 7 shows the variations in benzene conversion during 5 chemical looping redox cycles. In the benzene conversion stage, the hematite mass, S/C, benzene flow, and N2 flow were set as 0.5 g, 0.66, 60 mL/min, and 0.03 mL/min, respectively. The total reaction time was 60 min. In the oxidation stage, the air flow was set as 300 mL/min for 30 min.

The multiple cycles were divided into two stages. In stage I, rapid increases in H2 yield and carbon deposition were observed in the 2nd cycle. The benzene conversion degree increased from 63.9% to 74.4% as the cycle number increased. The H2 yield increased from 13.4% to 43.2%, and the carbon deposition increased from 5.8% to 31.0%. This improvement was attributed to the increase in the catalytic effect of OC, which could be observed in the H2 yield and carbon deposition figures.
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The amount of carbon deposit from the benzene conversion was calculated by measuring quartz cotton (about 0.02 g), and the OC was placed on top of it. To avoid condensation of liquid products, a heater (150 °C) was wrapped around the line connecting the quartz tube to the gas collector and the bottom of the quartz tube, which was placed at the center of the reactor. The reaction temperature was set as 850 °C. The exit of the reactor was connected to a gas collector, which contained isopropanol. After the collection, the solution in the collector was stored at 5 °C.

The gas product was collected in the sample bag for subsequent analysis. After the benzene conversion experiment, air was used to oxidize the carrier and to eliminate the carbon deposit, and the gas product was collected by using the sample bag. The amount of carbon deposit from the benzene conversion was calculated by measuring the CO and CO₂ content in the gas product. The solution in the collector was transferred to a 200 mL volumetric flask. The gas and liquid products were analyzed using the Agilent 7890A gas chromatograph; the split flow was set as 10:1, the FID detector was set at 280 °C, the DB-5HT nonpolar capillary column was equipped with gas chromatograph, and the column was heated from 40 °C to 280 °C at a heating rate of 40 °C/min.

In the experiments, Australian hematite was used as the OC, and its compositions are listed in Table 5. The hematite was heated from room temperature to 1100 °C at a heating rate of 10 °C/min before being maintained at 1100 °C for 3 h. Thereafter, the hematite was naturally cooled to room temperature before being ground into a powder and sieved to a diameter less than 0.15 mm.

3.2. Fixed Bed Experiment

The fixed bed reactor is shown in Figure 9. Benzene or deionized water was injected into the valve by using a high-pressure infusion pump at a certain flow rate and a pressure of 1 atm, and the N₂ or air flow was controlled by using a mass flowmeter. The quartz tube had an outer diameter of 20 mm, and inner diameter of 15 mm, and a length of 800 mm. In the middle of the quartz tube, there was a porous bezel, which carried a certain mass of quartz cotton (about 0.02 g), and the OC was placed on top of it. To avoid condensation of liquid products, a heater (150 °C) was wrapped around the line connecting the quartz tube to the gas collector and the bottom of the quartz tube, which was placed at the center of the reactor. The reaction temperature was set as 850 °C. The exit of the reactor was connected to a gas collector, which contained isopropanol. After the collection, the solution in the collector was stored at 5 °C. The gas product was collected in the sample bag for subsequent analysis. After the benzene conversion experiment, air was used to oxidize the carrier and to eliminate the carbon deposit, and the gas product was collected by using the sample bag. The amount of carbon deposit from the benzene conversion was calculated by measuring the CO and CO₂ content in the gas product. The solution in the collector was transferred to a 200 mL volumetric flask. The gas and liquid products were analyzed using the Agilent 7890A gas chromatograph; the split flow was set as 10:1, the FID detector was set at 280 °C, the DB-5HT nonpolar capillary column was equipped with gas chromatograph, and the column was heated from 40 °C to 280 °C at a heating rate of 40 °C/min.

Figure 8. The influence of cycle number on relative concentration of gas products and H₂/CO.
3.3. Data Processing

During the experiment, isopropanol was used to absorb the content of benzene (g/L) as part of the non-participating reaction, and the conversion degree of benzene was:

$$\eta_t = \frac{m_t - m_0}{m_t} \times 100\%$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

In the formula:
- \(m_t\)--------Amount of benzene fed during reaction time (g);
- \(m_0\)-------Quality of unreacted benzene (g).

The total accumulation of gas exports was calculated using the nitrogen balance. The formula was shown in (2).

$$V_{out} = \frac{V_{in}}{V_n}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

In the formula:
- \(V_{in}\)--------Total volume of imported nitrogen (L);
- \(V_{out}\)-------Total volume of gas outlet (L);
- \(V_n\)-------The volume fraction of the total volume of the outlet occupied by \(N_2\) in the dry-base state.

Carbon deposition \(Y_c(\%)\):

$$Y_c(\%) = \frac{12m_c}{13(m_t - m_0)} \times 100\%$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

In the formula:
- \(m_t\)--------Amount of benzene fed during reaction time (g);
- \(m_0\)-------Quality of unreacted benzene (g);
- \(m_c\)-------Carbon mass on the surface of the OC (g), which was calculated by measuring the CO and CO\(_2\) content during the OC oxidation.

\(H_2\) yield is calculated as follows:

$$Y_{H_2}(\%) = \frac{2[H_2]}{6[m]_{in} + 2[m]_{in}} \times 100\%$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

In the formula:
The number of moles of imported benzene;

The number of moles in imported H₂O.

Steam/carbon (S/C) is the mass flow ratio of H₂O steam to benzene carbon:

\[
S/C = \frac{\dot{m}_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}}{\dot{m}_{\text{benzene carbon}}} \times 100\%
\]  

(5)

In the formula:

\( \dot{m}_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} \)——The mass flow of H₂O steam (g/min);

\( \dot{m}_{\text{benzene carbon}} \)——The mass flow of carbon of benzene (g/min).

The relative concentrations of gas products are obtained as follows:

\[
\text{Relative concentration} = \frac{y_k}{y_{\text{CO}_2} + y_{\text{CO}} + y_{\text{CH}_4} + y_{\text{H}_2}} \times 100\%
\]  

(6)

where \( y_{\text{gas}} \) is the actual concentration of gas products (CO₂, CO, CH₄, and H₂) detected by GC, and \( y_k \) is the specific gas product (CO₂, CO, CH₄, C₂Hₓ, or H₂).

The residence times are calculated as below:

\[
\text{The residence time} = \frac{V_{\text{OC}}}{N_2 \text{ flow}}
\]  

(7)

In the formula:

\( V_{\text{OC}} \)——The volume of the OC is estimated as 0.053 cm³ (0.5 g hematite in the quartz tube with an inner diameter of 15 mm);

\( N_2 \text{ flow} \)——The volume flow of N₂ (40, 60, 80, and 100 mL/min).

4. Conclusions

In this study, fixed-bed reactor tests for benzene conversion using hematite as an OC were performed. In summary, hematite exhibited better benzene conversion than an inert carrier (SiO₂). The conclusions are as follows:

1. During benzene conversion, hematite functioned as both an oxidative and a catalytic OC. Hematite also improved the benzene conversion degree by about 20%, going from 55.37% to 69.05%.

2. As the residence time increased from 0.032 s to 0.08 s, the benzene conversion increased from 63.5% to 81.1%. However, the positive influence of the increased residence time declined when the residence time was over 0.053 s.

3. The introduction of H₂O steam was advantageous for the removal of carbon deposition. As the S/C ratio increased from 0.49 to 1.14, the carbon deposition decreased from 35.7% to 0.33%, and H₂O inhibited the benzene conversion, resulting in a decrease in H₂ yield.

4. A significant primary effect was observed in the multiple redox cycles. The benzene conversion increased in the 2nd cycle. The agglomeration of hematite deactivated a part of lattice oxygen vacancy, resulting in a decline in the oxidative performance of the hematite after the redox cycle. As the cycle number increased, the benzene conversion improved.
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