1. Introduction

Holoprosencephaly (HPE) is the most common midline structural anomaly of the forebrain affecting anterior neural features resulting in incomplete separation of the forebrain into two discrete hemispheres during the third and fourth week of gestation. It affects 1 in 10,000 live births occurring more in females than males [1–4]. HPE occurs when there is a failure of complete separation of the two hemispheres and a failure of transverse cleavage into diencephalon and telencephalon. The aetiology of HPE is varied with most cases being sporadic. Chromosomal abnormalities affect 25% to 50% of cases with HPE and are associated with trisomy 13, 18 and triploidy [3,5,6]. We present a case of alobar HPE with cebocephaly in a neonate born to an otherwise healthy woman referred to our tertiary hospital from a primary care facility. It is to our
knowledge that a case of semilobar HPE has been described [7] but there is no record of alobar HPE in Tanzania. With this case we intend to raise awareness of early prenatal screening and emphasise on meticulous analysis of early signs of congenital anomalies in obstetrics ultrasound scans. This case report has been reported in line with the SCARE criteria [8].

2. Presentation of case

We present the case of a 6 h old female baby who was referred to our centre from a primary health facility. She was delivered at term via spontaneous vaginal delivery and weighed 3200 g. She was reported by the referring nurse to have an APGAR score of 5 and 6 in the first and fifth minute respectively. Immediately post-delivery she developed difficulty in breathing associated with severe chest indrawing and bluish discoloration of the whole body was observed. Oxygen supplement via a face mask was attempted without success hence manual bag ventilation was initiated while the baby was being transferred to our tertiary facility. She was the first born to her parents. Her mother is 19 years old; a stay-at-home mother and the father is 25 years old motorcycle taxi driver. They both did not report any history of a similar condition or congenital abnormalities in their lineages.

Fig. 1. Cephalocele: Picture of neonate showing hypotelorism, microcephaly and a proboscis with a single blind-ending nostril.
On admission, the baby was lethargic, had central and peripheral cyanosis, hypothermic. She had abnormal facial feature, small head, hypotelorism, a small nose with a single imperforate nostril (Fig. 1). She had unstable vital signs of severe hypothermia 32.1 °C, heart rate of 132 beats per minute and respiratory rate of 65 breaths per minute. Oxygen saturation was 94% with an oropharyngeal airway and on oxygen support via face mask. On systemic examination, sucking, startle, grasping and Moro primitive reflexes were absent. Afterwards the baby was started on intravenous dextrose 10% at 128 mL for 24 h alongside intravenous antibiotics Ampicillin and Gentamycin. The ENT team was consulted due to concern over airway compromise and given the prognosis associated with this anomaly non-surgical intervention was preferred hence oral airway was kept patent. Preliminary laboratory blood analysis showed an otherwise normal full blood count parameters, blood urea of 6.11 mmol/L. serum creatinine of 68 μmol/L, total protein of 53.2 g/dL and serum calcium level of 2.19 mmol/L. A multiplanar non-contrast computerized tomography scan of the brain (Fig. 2) revealed the head and nose to be small with a cephalohematoma in the vertex and an intranasal soft tissue density lesion blocking the entrance measuring approximately 10 × 8.5 mm. Absence of the corpus callosum and fused thalami with cebocephaly, a severe facial dysmorphism [10,11]. It entails hypotelorism, microcephaly and a proboscis with a single blind-ending nostril [6]. All these above-mentioned features were found in our patient after a CT scan of the brain was done. Other less severe abnormalities include single maxillary central incisor and midline cleft lip and palate. The fused cortex in a computerized tomography can appear in one of three basic shapes: pancake where cerebral tissue is confined to the anterior basicranium; cup where cerebral tissue lines variable amounts of the anterior cranium with a dorsal cyst present posteriorly; and ball where a complete rim of tissue surrounding the monoventricle without dorsal cyst is noted [2].

HPE can reliably be diagnosed with ultrasound through the first and second trimesters of pregnancy [9]. Alobar and semilobar HPE are predominantly diagnosed in the first trimester. Our patient attended Antenatal Care Clinic in a primary health centre but she never did an ultrasound during pregnancy due to financial constraints. A characteristic absence of choroid plexus “butterfly” appearance of the brain is a pathognomonic sign of HPE [2,9]. Alobar HPE is incompatible with life, hence early and thorough sonography may provide a means to the early intervention by proper counselling for possible termination of the pregnancy [1]. In our patient, since ultrasound was not done during pregnancy the option of pregnancy termination was not considered. Many legal concerns beyond the scope of this discussion regarding medical abortion exist in our country.

3. Discussion

The established types of HPE in decreasing order of severity are alobar, semi lobar, lobar and interhemispheric variants [1,9]. Alobar HPE, the most severe form presents in approximately two-thirds of individuals is characterized by a single ventricle, absence of corpus callosum and fused thalami with cebocephaly, a severe facial dysmorphism [10,11]. It entails hypotelorism, microcephaly and a proboscis with a single blind-ending nostril [6]. All these above-mentioned features were found in our patient after a CT scan of the brain was done. Other less severe abnormalities include single maxillary central incisor and midline cleft lip and palate. The fused cortex in a computerized tomography can appear in one of three basic shapes: pancake where cerebral tissue is confined to the anterior basicranium; cup where cerebral tissue lines variable amounts of the anterior cranium with a dorsal cyst present posteriorly; and ball where a complete rim of tissue surrounding the monoventricle without dorsal cyst is noted [2].
4. Conclusion

The importance of ultrasonography screening for the detection of congenital anomalies is emphasized in our report. Prenatal ultrasound screening of the foetus brain is essential and reliable in making a diagnosis. Absence of the “butterfly” sign in the foetal brain ultrasonography should raise a high index of suspicion for brain malformation. In most resource-limited settings, ultrasonography is widely accessible. However, primary care practitioners lack the knowledge of existence and or detection of in utero anomalies. Nevertheless, controversies of abortion policies in many countries like Tanzania hinder efficiency in the efforts of reducing child and maternal mortalities particularly neonatal mortalities of precedent causes like holoprosencephaly.
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