The Prognostic Impact of Tumor Differentiation on Recurrence and Survival after Resection of Hepatocellular Carcinoma Is Dependent on Tumor Size
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Abstract
Introduction: The present study aimed to evaluate the effect of poor differentiation and tumor size on survival outcome after hepatic resection of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). Methods: A total of 1,107 patients who underwent initial and curative hepatic resection for HCC without macroscopic vascular invasion participated in the study. Using the multivariable Cox proportional hazards regression model, we evaluated changes in hazard ratios (HRs) for the association between tumor differentiation and survival based on tumor size. Results: In patients with poorly (Por) differentiated HCCs, the adjusted HRs of reduced overall survival (OS), recurrence-free survival (RFS), early RFS, and early extrahepatic RFS were 1.31 (95% confidence interval [CI]; 1.07–1.59), 1.07 (95% CI 0.89–1.28), 1.31 (95% CI 1.06–1.62), and 1.81 (95% CI 1.03–3.17), respectively. Moreover, based on an analysis of the effect modification of tumor differentiation according to tumor size, Por HCC was found to be associated with a reduced OS (p = 0.033). The HRs of Por HCCs sharply increased in patients with tumors measuring up to 5 cm. The adjusted HRs of reduced OS in patients with Por HCCs measuring <2, ≥2 and <5, and ≥5 cm were 1.22 (95% CI 0.69–2.14), 1.33 (95% CI 1.02–1.73), and 1.58 (95% CI 1.04–2.42), respectively. The corresponding adjusted HRs of reduced early RFS were 0.85 (95% CI 0.46–1.57), 1.34 (95% CI 1.01–1.8), and 1.57 (95% CI 1.03–2.39), respectively. The adjusted HRs of reduced early extrahepatic RFS were 1.89 (95% CI 0.83–4.3) in patients with tumors measuring ≥2 and <5 cm and 2.33 (95% CI 0.98–5.54) in those with tumors measuring ≥5 cm. Conclusions: Por HCC measuring ≥2 cm was associated with early recurrence. Hence, it had negative effects on OS. After surgery, patients with Por HCC measuring ≥5 cm should be cautiously monitored for early extrahepatic recurrence. These findings will help physicians devise treatment strategies for patients with HCC.
**Introduction**

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is one of the leading causes of cancer-related death worldwide [1]. Despite improvements in the clinical management of patients with HCC, the prognosis remains unsatisfactory. Several clinicopathological factors related to background liver disease and tumor progression have been reported to predict poor outcome [2–4]. Oncological characteristics with regard to tumor malignancy and aggressiveness obtained from pathological findings are considered prognostic factors for HCC [5–7]. Tumor differentiation is determined by cytological atypia and growth pattern. Poorly (Por) differentiated HCC with marked cellular pleomorphism is considered malignant, exhibiting invasiveness and a tendency to metastasize [8–10]. Por HCC is thought to have a potentially negative effect on long-term survival; however, many studies have shown no significant effect of tumor differentiation on prognosis [11–13]. The European Association for the Study of the Liver guidelines indicated that the prognostic value of tumor differentiation would be equivocal [5].

Previous studies have demonstrated that the frequency of poor differentiation increased as tumor size increased [12, 14]. The frequency of microscopic vascular invasion by Por HCC was also reported to increase with tumor size [14]. Meanwhile, prognostic effects of malignant characteristics of HCC, such as microvascular invasion and increased serum α-fetoprotein level (a tumor biomarker), were reported to be influenced by tumor size, but the predictive value of both characteristics for survival was not identified in HCCs that were small (<2 cm in size) [15]. However, no investigations have focused on whether the prognostic effect of tumor differentiation on survival outcomes is modified by tumor size. To clarify the prognostic value of tumor differentiation in patients with HCC, we investigated whether different sizes of Por tumors affect rates of early recurrence and prognosis.

**Materials and Methods**

**Patients**

We identified 1,131 patients who underwent initial and curative hepatic resection for HCC without macroscopic vascular invasion at Osaka City University Hospital, Osaka, Japan between January 1991 and December 2018. Among them, 98 had preoperative transcatheter arterial embolization (TACE), and 24 were pathologically diagnosed with complete necrosis caused by preoperative TACE. Moreover, 24 patients were excluded from the study. Thus, a total of 1,107 patients were enrolled in the study. Cure affected by hepatic resection was defined as the histological absence of tumor cells along the liver transection plane. This study was conducted in accordance with the guidelines of the Ethics Committee of our institution (No. 3815) and the Declaration of Helsinki.

**Surgical Treatment**

The surgical indication was based on an algorithm that included the presence/absence of ascites, the serum total bilirubin level, and the results of the indocyanine green retention test [16]. For anatomic resection, we divided the liver parenchyma along the demarcation line that appeared on the liver surface after the occlusion of the portal vein and hepatic artery or after the injection of indocyanine green into the portal vein to outline the tumor-bearing area.

**Patient Follow-Up**

HCC-specific tumor markers were estimated, and ultrasonography, dynamic computed tomography (CT), or magnetic resonance imaging was performed in every quarter after surgery. When imaging findings and/or an increase in tumor marker level indicated HCC recurrence, the patient underwent hepatic resection, radiofrequency ablation, TACE, or other alternative treatments, as indicated.

**Histological Study**

Histological examination was performed by experienced pathologists. The guidelines of the Liver Cancer Study Group of Japan [17] were used to evaluate the histological classifications of the tumor and the status of the background liver tissue. The tumor was graded histologically as well-differentiated, moderately differentiated, and Por HCC. The grade (severity of active hepatitis) and stage (degree of hepatic fibrosis) of noncancerous hepatic tissue were determined by scoring based on the histologic activity index [18, 19].

**Statistical Analysis**

Patients’ demographic and clinical characteristics were calculated as numbers (proportions) and medians (interquartile ranges) for categorical and continuous variables, respectively. The differences between the characteristics of the Por HCCs and those of the well- or moderately differentiated (W-M) HCCs were analyzed by the χ² test and the Mann-Whitney U test. We examined the effect of the tumor differentiation on overall survival (OS), recurrence-free survival (RFS), cumulative early recurrence rate, and cumulative early extrhepatic recurrence rate using univariable and multivariable Cox proportional hazard regression models and Kaplan-Meier cumulative event-free probability estimators. Because 2 years after surgery was the inflection point [20], we defined postoperative recurrence within 2 years as early recurrence. To estimate the effect based on tumor size, each subgroup, which comprised patients who had tumors measuring <2, ≥2 and <5, and ≥5 cm, underwent similar analyses. In these models, the proportional hazard assumption was not satisfied, indicating that the effect of tumor differentiation changed over time. Therefore, we assessed the hazard ratios (HRs) of tumor differentiation before and after the second-year follow-up. Furthermore, based on the effect modification of tumor differentiation according to tumor size, multivariable Cox proportional hazards regression analyses, which used cross-product terms between tumor differentiation according to tumor size as explanatory variables, were performed. In these models, we analyzed the
nonlinear effect of the tumor size by using the restricted cubic spline method. Furthermore, these models were adjusted for the following covariables: age, sex, alanine aminotransferase level (≤30 or >30 IU/L), Child-Pugh class (A or B), α-fetoprotein level (≤20 or >20 ng/mL), presence of viral hepatitis (hepatitis B surface antigen positivity or anti-hepatitis C virus antibody positivity), multiple tumors, microscopic vascular invasion, liver cirrhosis, anatomic resection, surgical margin of <5 mm, and date of surgery. R software version 4.0.2 (https://www.r-project.org/) was used to perform all statistical hypothesis tests with 2-sided 5% significance levels.

Results

Clinicopathological Characteristics and Postoperative Outcomes
Background characteristics of 286 patients with Por HCC and 821 with W-M HCC are listed in Table 1. The proportions of patients with α-fetoprotein levels >20 ng/mL and microvascular invasion were higher for Por HCC than for W-M HCC. Patients with Por HCC exhibited more large tumors than those with W-M HCC. The median follow-up time was 49.5 (interquartile range 22.8–87.3) months. Postoperative recurrence was identified in 728 patients, and 568 patients died. Early recurrence and early extrahepatic recurrence were observed in 471 and 64 patients, respectively. The distribution of sites of early extrahepatic recurrence is described in online suppl. Table 1; for all online suppl. material, see www.karger.com/doi/10.1159/000517992.

Survival Outcomes between Patients with W-M and Those with Por HCCs
Figure 1a–d show the Kaplan-Meier survival curves of OS, RFS, early RFS, and early extrahepatic RFS. The 5-year OS and RFS rates were 64 and 30% in patients with W-M HCCs and 49 and 28% in patients with Por HCCs, respectively. The 2-year RFS rates of patients with W-M HCCs and those with Por HCCs were 57 and 44%, respectively. The 2-year extrahepatic RFS rates of the 2

| Variables                              | All tumors (n = 1,107) | Por differentiated (n = 286) | W-M differentiated (n = 821) | p value |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|---------|
| Sex, male, n (%)                       | 868 (78.4)             | 215 (75.2%)                 | 653 (79.5%)                  | 0.12    |
| Age, years                             | 67 (61–73)             | 66 (60–72)                  | 67 (61–73)                  | 0.23    |
| ALT >30 IU/L, n (%)                    | 737 (66.6)             | 197 (68.9%)                 | 540 (65.8%)                  | 0.34    |
| Child-Pugh class: B, n (%)             | 62 (5.6)               | 13 (4.5)                    | 49 (6.0)                     | 0.37    |
| AFP level >20 ng/mL, n (%)             | 478 (43.2)             | 191 (66.8)                  | 287 (35.0)                  | <0.001  |
| Viral hepatitis presence, n (%)        | 841 (76.0)             | 222 (77.6)                  | 619 (75.4)                  | 0.45    |
| HCV Ab (+), n (%)                      | 681 (61.5)             | 172 (60.1)                  | 509 (62)                     | 0.62    |
| SVR*, n (%)                            | 118 (17.3)*            | 33 (19.2)*                  | 85 (16.7)*                   | 0.49    |
| HBs Ag (+), n (%)                      | 177 (16.0)             | 55 (19.2)                   | 122 (14.9)                   | 0.092   |
| HBV-DNA (−)*, n (%)                    | 22 (12.4)*             | 3 (5.5)*                    | 19 (15.6)*                   | 0.083   |
| Tumor size, cm*                        | 3.0 (2.0–4.5)          | 3.5 (2.3–5.4)               | 2.9 (1.9–4.4)                | <0.001  |
| < 2 cm, n (%)                          | 250 (22.6)             | 41 (14.3)                   | 209 (25.5)                   | <0.001  |
| ≥ 2 and < 5 cm, n (%)                  | 616 (55.6)             | 161 (56.3)                  | 455 (55.4)                   |         |
| ≥ 5 cm, n (%)                          | 241 (21.8)             | 84 (29.4)                   | 157 (19.1)                   |         |
| Multiple tumors, n (%)                 | 326 (29.4)             | 97 (33.9)                   | 229 (27.9)                   | 0.054   |
| MVI, n (%)                             | 331 (29.9)             | 136 (47.6)                  | 195 (23.8)                   | <0.001  |
| Liver cirrhosis, n (%)                 | 401 (36.2)             | 104 (36.4)                  | 297 (36.2)                   | 0.96    |
| Anatomic resection, n (%)              | 458 (41.4)             | 136 (47.6)                  | 322 (39.2)                   | 0.014   |
| SM <5 mm, n (%)                        | 675 (61.0)             | 175 (61.2)                  | 500 (60.9)                   | 0.93    |
| Date of surgery, n (%)                 | 2007 (1999–2013)       | 2005 (1999–2010)            | 2008 (1998–2014)             | <0.001  |

SVR was defined as a value for serum HCV-RNA under the detection sensitivity limit at 6 months after termination of interferon therapy or direct-acting antiviral therapy. HBV-DNA (−) was defined as the serum HBV-DNA concentration under the detection sensitivity limit at surgery by nucleos(t)ide analogs. ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AFP, α-fetoprotein; HCV Ab, anti-hepatitis C virus antibody; SVR, sustained virological response; HBV, hepatitis B virus; HBs Ag, hepatitis B surface antigen; MVI, microscopic vascular invasion; SM, surgical margin; Por, poorly differentiated; W-M, well- or moderately. *Medians with interquartile ranges. * Ratio to patients with HCV Ab (+). * Ratio to patients with HBs Ag (+).
groups were 95 and 86%, respectively. The unadjusted Cox proportional hazards regression analysis revealed that the unadjusted HRs of reduced OS, RFS, early RFS, and early extrahepatic RFS in patients with Por HCCs were 1.35 (95% CI 1.13–1.61; \(p = 0.001\)), 1.16 (95% CI 0.98–1.36; \(p = 0.076\)), 1.52 (95% CI 1.26–1.85; \(p < 0.001\)), and 2.72 (95% CI 1.67–4.45; \(p < 0.001\)), respectively. By multivariable Cox proportional hazards regression analysis, the adjusted HRs of reduced OS, RFS, early RFS, and early extrahepatic RFS in patients with Por HCCs were 1.31 (95% CI 1.07–1.59; \(p = 0.008\)), 1.07 (95% CI 0.89–1.28; \(p = 0.47\)), 1.31 (95% CI 1.06–1.62; \(p = 0.013\)), and 1.81 (95% CI 1.03–3.17; \(p = 0.039\)), respectively.

Fig. 1. Kaplan-Meier survival curves of patients with Por differentiated and W-M differentiated HCC. a OS. b RFS. c Early RFS. d Early extrahepatic RFS. The unadjusted and adjusted HRs of reduced OS, RFS, early RFS, and early extrahepatic RFS in patients with Por HCCs were calculated using the unadjusted and adjusted Cox proportional hazard regression model. The HRs of tumor differentiation before and after the second-year follow-up were 2.24 and 1.04 (\(p\) value for effect change over time = 0.19) for OS and 1.38 and 0.61 (\(p\) value for effect change over time = 0.1) for RFS, respectively. Por, poorly differentiated; W-M, well- or moderately; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; OS, overall survival; RFS, recurrence-free survival; HRs, hazard ratios; CI, confidence interval.
Prognostic Effect of Tumor Differentiation on Postoperative Outcomes with Regard to Changes in Tumor Size

A multivariable Cox proportional hazards regression analysis was performed to assess effect modification. Results showed that Por HCC was associated with a lower OS ($p = 0.033$), but not with a reduced RFS ($p = 0.54$; Fig. 2a, b). The HRs of Por HCCs for OS increased sharply until tumors reached around 5 cm in size. The HRs for reduction in OS were 1.49 (95% CI 1.12–1.99; $p = 0.006$) for tumors 5 cm in size and 1.15 (95% CI 0.85–1.55; $p = 0.34$) for tumors 2 cm in size. HR for OS did not change for the interaction between tumor differentiation and tumor size ($p$ for interaction = 0.45).

Figure 3a, b show the multivariable Cox proportional hazards regression model for early RFS and extrahepatic RFS. Results did not reveal a definite association between tumor differentiation and either early recurrence ($p = 0.07$) or early extrahepatic recurrence ($p = 0.15$). However, patients with Por HCCs measuring about 5 cm, but not those with tumors measuring approximately 2 cm, were at higher risk for early recurrence (HR: 1.36, 1.19; 95% CI: 1.00–1.84, 0.85–1.65; $p = 0.048$, 0.29, respectively) and early extrahepatic recurrence (HR: 2.26, 1.58; 95% CI: 1.07–4.78, 0.42–5.90; $p = 0.033$, 0.49, respectively). The HRs of early recurrence and early extrahepatic recurrence did not change for the interaction between tumor differentiation and tumor size ($p$ for interaction = 0.64 and 0.59, respectively).

Prognostic Effect of Tumor Differentiation on Postoperative Outcomes Stratified according to Tumor Size

Figure 4a–d show the Kaplan-Meier survival curves of OS, RFS, early RFS, and early extrahepatic RFS in patients with tumors measuring <2, ≥2 and <5, and ≥5 cm. The 5-year OS rates of patients with W-M and Por HCCs measuring <2, ≥2 and <5, and ≥5 cm were 68 and 57%, 63 and 56%, and 60 and 30%, respectively. Their corresponding 5-year RFS rates were 33 and 32%, 28 and 29%, and 30 and 23%, respectively. The 2-year RFS rates of patients with W-M and Por HCCs measuring <2, ≥2 and <5, and ≥5 cm were 65 and 59%, 63 and 57%, and 48 and 30%, respectively. Their corresponding 5-year early extrahepatic RFS rates were 99 and 98%, 95 and 90%, and 86 and 70%, respectively. The unadjusted Cox proportional hazards re-
A multivariable Cox proportional hazards regression analysis showed that the unadjusted HRs of reduced OS in patients with Por HCCs measuring <2, ≥2 and <5, and ≥5 cm were 1.16 (95% CI 0.71–1.89; p = 0.55), 1.14 (95% CI 0.9–1.45; p = 0.28), and 1.77 (95% CI 1.27–2.48; p < 0.001), respectively. The corresponding unadjusted HRs of reduced RFS were 0.92 (95% CI 0.59–1.43; p = 0.70), 1.05 (95% CI 0.85–1.3; p = 0.65), and 1.48 (95% CI 1.07–2.04; p = 0.016), respectively. The corresponding unadjusted HRs of reduced early RFS were 1.18 (95% CI 0.67–2.07; p = 0.56), 1.3 (95% CI 1–1.69; p = 0.047), and 1.86 (95% CI 1.31–2.63; p < 0.001), respectively. The corresponding unadjusted HRs of reduced early extrahepatic RFS were 5.22 (95% CI 0.33–83.51; p = 0.24), 2.27 (95% CI 1.09–4.72; p = 0.028), and 2.19 (95% CI 1.11–4.35; p = 0.024), respectively. The corresponding adjusted HRs of reduced early RFS were 0.85 (95% CI 0.46–1.57; p = 0.60), 1.34 (95% CI 1.01–1.8; p = 0.045), and 1.57 (95% CI 1.03–2.39; p = 0.036), respectively. The adjusted HRs of reduced early extrahepatic RFS in patients with tumors measuring ≥2 and <5 and ≥5 cm were 1.89 (95% CI 0.83–4.3; p = 0.13) and 2.33 (95% CI 0.98–5.54; p = 0.056), respectively. However, the adjusted HR of tumors measuring <2 cm could not be estimated due to the small number of events.

**Discussion**

Multivariable Cox proportional hazards regression analysis revealed that Por HCC was associated with reduced OS, early RFS, and early extrahepatic RFS. However, its correlation with RFS was not confirmed. By assessing the effect modification of tumor differentiation according to tumor size, Por HCC was found to be associated with reduced OS, and the HRs of Por HCCs sharply increased in patients with tumors measuring up to 5 cm. Patients with Por HCCs measuring about 5 cm were at risk for low OS. Nevertheless, the risk was not confirmed...
in patients with tumors measuring about 2 cm. Similarly, patients with Por HCCs measuring approximately 5 cm, but not those with tumors measuring about 2 cm, had a higher risk for early and extrahepatic recurrence. Furthermore, by multivariable Cox proportional hazards regression analysis stratified according to tumor size, patients with Por HCCs measuring ≥2 and <5 and ≥5 cm had a higher risk of low OS and early recurrence. In addition, Por HCCs measuring ≥5 cm was correlated with a higher risk of early extrahepatic recurrence.
Tumor differentiation has been shown by many studies to have no significant effect on OS after surgery [11–13]. One possible reason for this might be the influence of tumor size. In our study, the HRs of Por HCCs sharply increased in patients with tumors measuring up to 5 cm. Furthermore, patients with Por HCCs measuring ≥2 and <5 and ≥5 cm, but not those with tumors measuring <2 cm, had a higher risk. Therefore, the proportion of small HCCs may have influenced the risk of Por HCC for OS in prior studies.

Poor differentiation of HCCs has been reported to be associated with tumor aggressiveness, including progression, invasion, and metastasis [9, 10, 21, 22]. Endo and Terada [10] reported that upregulation of CD44 isoform, a transmembrane glycoprotein that acts mainly as a receptor for hyaluronan to function in cell adhesion, was associated with vascular invasion and Por HCC. The reduced expression of E-cadherin, a cell adhesion factor, was reported to be associated with tumor progression and Por HCC [22]. Furthermore, as tumor size increased, Por HCC was demonstrated to increase the incidence of microscopic vascular invasion [14] and the frequency of extrahepatic recurrence after surgery [11]. These findings indicated that the aggressive behavior of Por HCC might become evident as it enlarges. In our study, Por HCCs measuring ≥2 and <5 and ≥5 cm were associated with a
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A high risk of early recurrence, and Por HCCs measuring ≥5 cm were correlated with a high risk of early extrahepatic recurrence.

Early recurrence (within 2 years of surgery) is considered to arise from residual micrometastasis, including intrahepatic and extraportal portion [20]. In our study, patients with Por HCCs measuring 5 cm, but not those with tumors measuring 2 cm, had a high risk of early recurrence. Moreover, patients with Por HCCs measuring ≥2 and <5 cm and those with tumors measuring ≥5 cm were at risk for early recurrence. Furthermore, Por HCCs measuring ≥5 cm were correlated with a higher risk for early extrahepatic recurrence. Therefore, patients with Por HCCs measuring ≥2 cm were more likely to develop hematogenous micrometastasis. Meanwhile, the rate of long-term survival of patients with early recurrence or extrahepatic recurrence is very poor [23]. In our study, the prognostic risk for reduced OS was evident in patients with Por HCCs measuring ≥2 and <5 cm and those with tumors measuring ≥5 cm. In view of the causal relationship between early recurrence and hematogenous micrometastasis, early recurrence of Por HCC may recur early via hematogenous spread, and thus OS may be reduced in patients with tumors measuring ≥2 cm.

Meanwhile, patients with Por HCCs measuring <2 cm did not have a high risk for early recurrence, early extra-
hepatic recurrence, or low OS in our study. Previous studies indicated excellent long-term outcomes in patients with HCCs up to 2 cm in size [24]. The cutoff size of 2 cm has been adopted in the Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer staging system, and HCCs ≤2 cm in size are categorized as “very early HCC” [5]. The prognosis of patients with HCCs measuring ≤2 cm is considered not to be affected by the presence of microscopic vascular invasion [15]. In our study, patients with Por and W-M HCCs measuring <2 cm had similar Kaplan-Meier survival curves of early RFS and early extrahepatic RFS. Thus, in patients with small HCCs measuring up to 2 cm, tumor differentiation was not associated with early recurrence or early extrahepatic recurrence, and it had no effect on long-term survival.

Our results raise clinically important concerns. First, clinicians can facilitate plans for individual case management after hepatic resection for Por HCCs according to tumor size. Due to the increased risk of early recurrence in patients with Por HCCs measuring ≥2 cm, physicians should increase the frequency of patient visits. In patients with Por HCCs measuring ≥5 cm, considering the increased risk of early extrahepatic recurrence, physicians should perform an extrahepatic metastasis workup, including chest CT scan, brain CT scan, and whole-body bone scintigraphy in addition to routine abdominal im-
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Aging follow-up. Second, among patients with Por HCC, it may be possible to determine potential candidates for adjuvant therapy. Although no effective therapies currently exist in adjuvant settings, several immune checkpoint inhibitors, including nivolumab and pembrolizumab, have recently demonstrated durable response effects for patients with advanced HCC [25]. Many trials of immune checkpoint inhibitors are ongoing in the adjuvant setting [26]. To select candidates for adjuvant therapy in clinical trials, several criteria have been devised on the basis of tumor progression [27, 28]. Considering the increased risk for early recurrence, early extrahepatic recurrence, and reduced OS, Por HCC measuring ≥5 cm could be an additional criterion for adjuvant chemotherapy after surgery. Third, various curative therapeutic options are available for HCCs measuring <2 cm, which includes hepatic resection, local ablation therapy, or liver transplantation according to tumor number and background liver function because Por HCCs measuring <2 cm are not associated with the risk of early recurrence and poor OS.

Meanwhile, Por HCC was not associated with an increased risk for reduced RFS in the multivariable Cox proportional hazards regression model, whereas it was associated with low OS. One possible reason for the discrepancy is the influence of multicentric recurrence. Multicentric recurrence is considered to be de novo HCC in the remnant liver, typically manifesting >2 years after surgery [29]. Patients with multicentric recurrence have a chance to undergo curative treatment and have a favorable prognosis [30]. In this study, the study period was 27 years, and cases of multicentric recurrence would have accumulated during this time. In fact, patients with Por HCCs measuring ≥2 cm had a risk for early recurrence in this study. Therefore, multicentric recurrence might obscure the risk of Por HCC for reduced RFS.

This study had several limitations. First, the retrospective nature of the study may have resulted in some bias in patient enrollment. Second, patients who preoperatively underwent TACE might have tumor specimens with necrotic components in part. Therefore, the diagnostic limitation of tumor differentiation associated with TACE could have existed. However, in total, only 74 patients underwent preoperative TACE. Thus, the influence of the diagnostic limitation of tumor differentiation on survival could be quite limited. Third, our results may have been influenced by the long study period, inasmuch as medical techniques and treatment selection have changed. However, we adjusted the confounding effect of the period of surgery by entering it into multivariable Cox proportional hazards regression models. Furthermore, the current results of the long-term study period could provide important information for the treatment of HCC.

Conclusion

In conclusion, Por HCC measuring ≥2 cm was associated with early recurrence. Hence, it had a negative effect on OS. After surgery, patients with Por HCC measuring ≥5 cm should be cautiously assessed for early extrahepatic recurrence. These findings will help physicians devise treatment strategies for patients with HCC.
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