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Abstract: WC-Cr₃C₂-Ni coatings are a vital class of hard ceramic/cermet coatings with potential applications as wear-resistant materials. However, their erosion wear behavior in 3.5 wt.% sodium chloride medium (SCM) remains largely unexplored. The present study investigated the cavitation–silt erosion (CSE) behavior in 3.5 wt.% SCM of WC-Cr₃C₂-Ni coatings sprayed with high-velocity oxygen–fuel (HVOF) under different flow velocities (FVs) and sand concentrations (SCs). Comparing the WC-Cr₃C₂-Ni coating with the 1Cr18Ni9Ti stainless steel, the first possessed superior CSE resistance in 3.5 wt.% SCM in a full range of FV and SC. Meanwhile, the coating appeared more influenced by FV and less influenced by SC, which was indicated by the fact that the amplification of VLR value (377.1%) when the FV ranged from 23.4 to 41.9 m·s⁻¹ was larger than that (129.8%) when the SC increased from 10 kg·m⁻³ to 35 kg·m⁻³. With increasing FV and SC, the CSE process of the WC-Cr₃C₂-Ni coating in 3.5 wt.% SCM mainly included the discontinuous corrosion product films, erosion pits, fracturing of hard-phase grains, and micro-cutting of soft binder matrix, as well as crater formation and coating spalling.
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1. Introduction

Ocean power generation is an effective way to tackle energy shortage. However, many flow-handling components of hydraulic machinery (e.g., runner blade, guide vane, and volute) in ocean power generation systems are subjected to severe damage in the processes of corrosion and cavitation–silt erosion (CSE), which hinders the exploitation and utilization of ocean energy [1–3]. The degree of damage to the flow-handling components not only correlates with the stability and permanence of the materials on the surface, but also hinges on the structural design and the running conditions of hydraulic machinery, including water head, fluid temperature, pH, flow velocity (FV), sand diameter, and sand concentration (SC) [4–6]. Long and effective life is an urgent requirement for offshore hydro-turbines and pumps that run under multi-phase flow conditions, including complex CSE mechanisms, high ultrasonic cavitation, mechanical stresses, and electrochemical corrosion [7–9].

WC-based hard metals are favored for their great mechanical strength and considerable toughness and chemical stability that can be regulated by the adjustments of the type, grain size, and content of hard phases as well as binder phases, which often serve as erosion wear, corrosion, and tribocorrosion resistant materials [10–13]. However, there is still room for improvement to address the problems of the high cost and limited size of high-performance WC-based hard metals. On account of the large-area preparation, high production efficiency, and cost-efficiency of thermal spraying techniques, as well as the
preferential occurrence of corrosion and CSE on the surface, thermal-sprayed WC-based ceramic/fermet coatings show great potential for achieving a high erosion wear and corrosion-resistant surface for flow passage components of hydraulic machinery in ocean power generation systems [14–17]. Especially, in the case of high-velocity oxygen–fuel (HVOF) sprayed WC-based ceramic/fermet coatings, the oxidation and decarburization of the WC phase during the coating preparation process under high-temperature combustion conditions can be effectively suppressed owing to the low flame temperature of 1900–3000 K as well as the high flame velocity of ~550 m·s⁻¹, which guarantees the great toughness and mechanical strength and the resultant erosion wear and corrosion resistance [18–20].

As for the HVOF-sprayed WC-CrC₂-Ni coatings, detailed studies of their damage behavior and failure mechanisms under various erosion wear, corrosion, and cavitation erosion conditions indicate their potential for practical applications in ocean power generation systems. It turns out that HVOF-sprayed WC-CrC₂-Ni coating possesses lower friction coefficient as well as higher sliding wear resistance, especially at temperatures over 700 °C, at various load or sliding speed conditions compared to the WC-CoCr coating, which mainly depends on two factors, i.e., the well-distributed thin oxide scale and the double-decker shell-core microstructure for the WC-CrC₂-Ni coating [21–24]. In pursuit of high bond strength and hardness as well as low porosity for enhanced sliding wear resistance, improvements have been made in the heat treatment of the WC-CrC₂-Ni coating [25,26] and the optimization of the HVOF spray process [27,28]. However, other researchers discovered that the sliding wear resistance of the WC-CrC₂-Ni coating was not influenced significantly by the content of the alloying element Cr when the counterpart was WC-Co hardmetal [29]. With regard to the corrosion and abrasive wear, HVOF sprayed with WC-CrC₂-Ni coating exhibited higher abrasive wear resistance at various load and SC conditions [30] as well as almost the same ability to resist corrosion in 3 wt.% SCM [31], as compared to AISI 304 stainless steel. Moreover, WC grain size, feedstock powder characteristics and thermal spraying process had notable influence on enhancing the resistance against abrasive wear for the WC-CrC₂-Ni coating [32,33]. Researchers also pointed out that HVOF-sprayed WC-CrC₂-Ni coating possessed superior resistance against cracking [34] and can be an ideal strategy for preventing the substrates from cavitation erosion damage at various FV and environmental conditions [35–37]. As stated above, although the existing studies have shown a positive effect on various properties, they contribute little to the feasibility and reliability of applying the WC-CrC₂-Ni coating in complex multi-phase flow conditions, including corrosion and CSE. Therefore, this study aims to investigate the CSE behaviors of HVOF-sprayed WC-CrC₂-Ni coating in 3.5 wt.% SCM under different FVs and SCs.

In the present work, WC-CrC₂-Ni cermet coatings were fabricated by the HVOF spraying method. Then, the coatings were subjected to a slurry containing 3.5 wt.% SCM, in order to assess their CSE properties via a rotating disk rig facility rather than the jet impingement facility, to more closely simulate the operating condition of rotating components, such as runner blades. This work provides anticipative help in understanding the effects of FV and SC on CSE behaviors of the coatings in 3.5 wt.% SCM. Meanwhile, CSE mechanisms of the coatings under various FVs and SCs in 3.5 wt.% SCM were elucidated on the basis of the eroded surface micro-morphologies.

2. Experimental Procedure

In this study, the feedstock powder used for spraying was a commercially available WC-CrC₂-Ni powder obtained from Zhangyuan Tungsten Co. Ltd., comprising 73 wt.% WC, 20 wt.% CrC₂, and 7 wt.% Ni. As shown in Figure 1, the feedstock powder particles possessed a spherical and porous structure with a grain size range of 15–45 μm. 1Cr18Ni9Ti stainless steel, with nominal composition in wt.% of 69.63 Fe, 17.65 Cr, 10.23 Ni, 1.17 Mn, 0.75 Si, 0.46 Ti, 0.075 C, 0.021 P, and 0.0069 S, was selected as the substrate material for spraying and as the comparative material for CSE tests, due to its wide
applications in offshore hydraulic machinery. Prior to the coating fabrication, the pre-
treatment of the substrates with a dimension of 60 × 30 × 5 mm³ was employed to ensure
adequate bonding of the coating, which included cleaning in acetone, drying in hot air-
flow, and sandblasting with 30 mesh Al₂O₃ grits. Subsequently, WC-Cr₃C₂-Ni feedstock
powders were sprayed onto the substrates with the help of the Tafa-JP8000 HVOF spray-
ing equipment manufactured by Praxair Co. Ltd. (Danbury, CT, USA). The specific HVOF
spraying parameters were as follows: (a) flow rate of kerosene was 23.4 L·h⁻¹, (b) flow rate
of oxygen was 906 L·min⁻¹, (c) spray distance was 0.38 m, (d) powder feeding rate was
0.92 g·s⁻¹, (e) scanning speed of spray gun was 16.8 m·min⁻¹. Argon was selected as the
carrier gas, with a flow rate of 652 L·h⁻¹. The as-sprayed coatings were deposited as thick
as ~350 μm through 14 fixed spraying passes. The deposition efficiency of the as-sprayed
coatings was approximately 47%.

![Figure 1. FE-SEM micrographs of the feedstock powder: (a) typical surface morphology; (b) a magnification of the rectangular frame in (a).](image)

An x-ray diffractometer (XRD, Philips PANalytical X’pert) with a Cu Kα anode and
and a field-emission scanning electron microscope (FE-SEM, FEI Quanta 250F, Hillsboro,
USA) were employed to analyze phase constitutions as well as to examine surface and
cross-sectional micro- morphologies of the WC-Cr₃C₂-Ni feedstock powder and as-
sprayed coating. During XRD data acquisition, the voltage, current, scanning, velocity,
scanning step and diffraction range were set as 40 kV, 150 mA, 5°min⁻¹, 0.02°, and 20–90°,
respectively. Energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS, Oxford X-Max, Oxfordshire, UK) was
utilized to determine the types and contents of the elements on cross-sections and eroded
surfaces of the as-sprayed coating. Porosity testing was conducted on FE-SEM cross-sec-
tional micro-morphologies of the as-sprayed coating under magnification of ×1000 with
the help of an image analysis method, which was in accordance with the standard ASTM
E2109-01 [38]. A nanoindentation method was used on the cross-section to assess the na-
nomechanical properties of the as-sprayed coating. The elastic modulus (E) and hardness
(H) of the coating were evaluated via an ultra- nanoindentation tester (CSM UNHT, Pe-
seux, Switzerland) under a strain rate of 0.05 s⁻¹ and a loading rate of 10 mN·s⁻¹. After
attaining the maximum load of 300 mN, the hold time of the Berkovich indenter was 5 s.
Then, the indenter was unloaded at a rate of 10 mN·s⁻¹. The coating specimens were sub-
jected to 15 repetitions of porosity and nanoindentation testing to ensure the repeatability
and accuracy of the data.

The corrosion resistance of the coating and the comparative stainless steel was as-
essed using potentiodynamic polarization tests, which were performed on a CS 2350H
electrochemical workstation using a typical three-electrode cell system. The saturated cal-
omel electrode (SCE) and platinum electrode were used as the reference electrode and
counter electrode, respectively. A reasonable time of 1 h was reserved for the immersion
of specimens (1 cm² exposed area) in 3.5 wt.% SCM at room temperature, aiming to reach
an equilibrium state of the open-circuit potential. Then, potentiodynamic polarization
tests were implemented by scanning the potential at a rate of 0.5 mV·s⁻¹. Superimposing
and extrapolating the straight lines along the linear part of the anodic and cathodic polarization curves were utilized to acquire the electrochemical characteristic parameters, comprising corrosion current density ($i_{corr}$) and corrosion potential ($E_{corr}$). The specimens were subjected to 3 rounds of specimen testing to guarantee the accuracy and repeatability of the data.

CSE tests were conducted via a rotating disk rig facility equipped with a circulation system, as illustrated in Figure 2a. The schematic illustrations of the rotating disk compartment for CSE tests and the corresponding specimen size are represented in Figure 2b,c, respectively. It is obvious that six bolt cavitators (Φ 5 mm × 5 mm) were fixed in the actinomorphic holes along the periphery of the rotating disk. For reducing the flow round within the chamber and maintaining the pressure at 0.1 MPa in the chamber, 24 sets of equal-interval actinomorphic baffles were inserted on either side of the rotating disk. The high-velocity sand-containing seawater in the Qinshan area of China contained an average sand concentration of 3–6 kg·m$^{-3}$ with a maximum of 12 kg·m$^{-3}$ and an average flow velocity of 0.9–1.8 m·s$^{-1}$ with a maximum of 4 m·s$^{-1}$ [39,40]. In order to predict the performance of the HVOF-sprayed WC-Cr3C2-Ni coating for application in offshore hydraulic machineries, the operating parameters stated in Table 1 and 3.5 wt.% SCM were chosen to simulate severe working environments run under high-CSE conditions in corrosive seawater. Prior to the CSE tests, the pre-treatment of the specimens was employed, which included grinding with 240–2000# SiC abrasive papers, cleaning ultrasonically in acetone, drying in hot air, weighing with an analytical balance to a precision of 10$^{-1}$ mg, and imbedding in the rotating disk. Then, CSE tests were implemented for 6 h in a slurry containing 3.5 wt.% SCM and commercial quartz sand with a grain size range of 30–70 mesh. The cooling circulation system was employed to maintain the temperature of the slurry at 25 ± 5°C. For ensuring data accuracy, new quartz sand and three parallel specimens for both the coating and the comparative stainless steel were used at each operating parameter. After the tests, the specimens were re-treated following the procedure for obtaining the mass changes, which was same as the pre-treatment of the specimens before the tests. The volume losses were computed by dividing the mass losses by the densities of the specimens. Thus, the volume loss rates (VLR) and corresponding FE-SEM micro-morphologies of the eroded surfaces were mainly considered for revealing the effects of FV and SC on the CSE behavior in 3.5 wt.% SCM of the HVOF-sprayed WC-Cr3C2-Ni coating.

![Figure 2. Schematic diagram of the test apparatus (a), the rotating disk compartment for the CSE test (b), and the corresponding specimen size (c).](image)

Table 1. Operating parameters of the CSE tests in 3.5 wt.% SCM.
### Operating Parameters

| Flow Velocity (m·s⁻¹) | 23.4 | 33.5 | 41.9 |
|-----------------------|------|------|------|
| Sand concentration (kg·m⁻³) | 10   | ✓    |      |
|                       | 20   | ✓    | ✓    |
|                       | 35   | ✓    |

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Microstructure, Nanomechanical Properties, and Potentiodynamic Polarization

Figure 3 shows the FE-SEM images of the coating in the cross-sectional view and the corresponding results of EDS analysis. From Figure 3a,b, the coating in as-sprayed state presented a clear interface between the substrate and coating, as well as a dense structure with average porosity of 1.15% and thickness of ~350 μm. When the feedstock powders in molten state flew at high speed and severely struck the substrate, the sufficient deformation and anchoring effects were beneficial for decreasing porosity and ensuring high bond strength [37]. At higher magnifications, i.e., 2000× (Figure 3c) and 5000× (Figure 3d), microstructural features of the coating were captured in more detail. Moreover, the EDS results, as exhibited in Figure 3e,f, indicated that the dark grey-contrasted regions (denoted as Point A) and the light grey-contrasted regions (denoted as Point B) corresponded to chromium carbide and tungsten carbide, respectively. Although a great amount of tungsten carbide combined well with chromium carbide, imperfections including pores and microcracks were still presented in the as-sprayed state due to the temperature gradient that resulted from the overlapping of poor molten particles [41].

![Figure 3. FE-SEM micrographs and EDS analysis of the as-sprayed coating: (a–d) typical cross-sectional microstructures, (e) EDS result of Point A, (f) EDS result of Point B.](image-url)
Figure 4 represents the phase constitutions of the feedstock powder and the coating [37], in which the XRD peaks corresponding to WC (JCPDS No. 51-0939), Cr3C2 (JCPDS No. 35-0804), Ni (JCPDS No. 04-0850), and (W,Cr)2C were identified. It was noted that WC was the major phase, while Cr3C2 and Ni appeared as minor phases in the feedstock powder. After the HVOF spraying process, the peaks assigned to WC, Cr3C2, and Ni became weaker and the peaks assigned to (W, Cr)2C appeared in the coating, which was in accordance with previous studies reported in the literature [42,43]. This revealed that Cr3C2 was unstable along with Ni matrix in molten state, during which WC, Cr3C2, and Ni underwent sufficient solid-state reactions, which was mainly derived from two factors, i.e., sufficiently high temperature and complete combustion [21,44].

![XRD patterns of the feedstock powder and the as-sprayed coating](image)

**Figure 4.** XRD patterns of the feedstock powder and the as-sprayed coating [37].

The nanomechanical properties of the WC-Cr3C2-Ni coating and the 1Cr18Ni9Ti stainless steel, including the ratio of H and E (i.e., H/E) as well as H/E', were illustrated in Figure 5. It can be noted that the H/E and H/E' values of the WC-Cr3C2-Ni coating were about 1.5 and 8.7 times as large as those of the 1Cr18Ni9Ti stainless steel, respectively. This indicated that the coating may possess higher resistance to deformation in the erosion wear condition by comparison with the stainless steel. The high proportion of tungsten carbide phase, with hardness and elastic modulus values of about 24 GPa and 680 GPa [45,46], was considered favorable to the superior nanomechanical properties of the coating, although there were a few imperfections, including pores and microcracks in the coating, as compared to the stainless steel.

For long-term practical applications of HVOF-sprayed WC-Cr3C2-Ni coating in ocean power generation systems, evaluation of corrosion resistance was essential since the surface of the coating was exposed to localized corrosion in a seawater environment. Figure 6 depicts the potentiodynamic polarization curves in 3.5 wt.% SCM of the WC-Cr3C2-Ni coating and the 1Cr18Ni9Ti stainless steel, which were closest to the average of three electrochemical measurements. The \( E_{corr} \) values of the stainless steel and the coating were established to be \(-204\) mV and \(-517\) mV vs. SCE, respectively. In the meantime, the stainless steel revealed \( i_{corr} \) values as low as \(39.5\) nA-cm\(^{-2}\), compared to the coating \(7650\) nA-cm\(^{-2}\). From the perspective of the thermodynamics of corrosion, more negative values of \( E_{corr} \) signified lower degrees of difficulty of corrosion. From the perspective of the kinetics of corrosion, smaller values of \( i_{corr} \) signified lower corrosion rates [47]. Comparing the coating with the stainless steel, the former possessed a more negative value of \( E_{corr} \) as well as a larger value of \( i_{corr} \). Thus, the coating revealed worse anticorrosion properties in 3.5 wt.% SCM, which was ascribed to two foremost factors. For one, the absence of imperfections and microstructural homogenization for the stainless steel can impede the occurrence of
corrosion reactions. For the other, the passive nature of the stainless steel contributed to the high forming ability of passive film that prevented the infiltration of electrolytes and the initiation of corrosion defects [48–51].

![Graph](image1)

**Figure 5.** Nanomechanical properties of the WC-Cr$_3$C$_2$-Ni coating and the 1Cr18Ni9Ti stainless steel.

![Graph](image2)

**Figure 6.** Potentiodynamic polarization curves of the WC-Cr$_3$C$_2$-Ni coating and the 1Cr18Ni9Ti stainless steel in 3.5 wt.% SCM.

3.2. Effects of FV and SC on CSE

Figure 7 displays the VLR of the WC-Cr$_3$C$_2$-Ni coating and the 1Cr18Ni9Ti stainless steel as a function of FV after CSE at 20 kg·m$^{-3}$ in 3.5 wt.% SCM. After 6 h of CSE at FV of 23.4, 33.5 and 41.9 m·s$^{-1}$, the WC-Cr$_3$C$_2$-Ni coating revealed VLR values of 0.056, 0.11, and 0.27 mm$^3$·h$^{-1}$, respectively, which were significantly inferior to those of the 1Cr18Ni9Ti stainless steel (3.23, 7.94, and 9.52 mm$^3$·h$^{-1}$). This disparity meant that the CSE resistance in 3.5 wt.% SCM of the WC-Cr$_3$C$_2$-Ni coating was superior to that of the 1Cr18Ni9Ti stainless steel when the FV ranged from 23.4 m·s$^{-1}$ to 41.9 m·s$^{-1}$, although the WC-Cr$_3$C$_2$-Ni coating possessed worse anticorrosion properties, as suggested by Figure 6. Except for this finding, the VLR values of the WC-Cr$_3$C$_2$-Ni coating and the 1Cr18Ni9Ti stainless steel after 6 h of CSE at the FV of 41.9 m·s$^{-1}$ were 377.1% and 194.7% higher, respectively, than those after 6 h of CSE at the FV of 23.4 m·s$^{-1}$. This phenomenon indicated that higher FV coupled with SC of 20 kg·m$^{-3}$ and 3.5 wt.% SCM caused more severe CSE degradation for
both the WC-CrC2-Ni coating and the 1Cr18Ni9Ti stainless steel, and the coating appeared more influenced by FV, in contrast to the stainless steel. With increasing FV, the frequency of sand particle impingement, the kinetic energy conveyed by sand particles, the shedding frequency of cavitation, and flow turbulence all increased. These phenomena resulted in a wider availability of sand particles, a weaker shielding effect, and a larger stress on the specimen surface [52–54]. Additionally, higher FV can accelerate the conveyance of electroactive species and expand the electrochemical reaction’s surface area [55]. As a consequence, the CSE degradation for both the WC-CrC2-Ni coating and the 1Cr18Ni9Ti stainless steel was more severe owing to the abovementioned mechanisms, while the influence of FV on the 1Cr18Ni9Ti stainless steel was restricted owing to the alternation of depassivation and repassivation of passive film during CSE when the SC was 20 kg·m⁻³.

The VLR of the WC-CrC2-Ni coating and the 1Cr18Ni9Ti stainless steel as a function of SC after CSE at 20 kg·m⁻³ in 3.5 wt.% SCM is shown in Figure 8. After 6 h of CSE, significant difference between the WC-CrC2-Ni coating and the 1Cr18Ni9Ti stainless steel was detected when the SC were 10, 20, and 35 kg·m⁻³, in which the VLR values of the coating and the stainless steel were 0.072, 0.11, and 0.17 mm²-h⁻¹, and 3.21, 7.94, 10.52, and mm³-h⁻¹, respectively. In addition, the VLR values of the WC-CrC2-Ni coating and the 1Cr18Ni9Ti stainless steel after 6 h of CSE at SC of 35 kg·m⁻³ were 129.8% and 227.7% higher than those after 6 h of CSE at SC of 10 kg·m⁻³, respectively. These findings revealed that the WC-CrC2-Ni coating possessed superior CSE resistance in 3.5 wt.% SCM to that of the 1Cr18Ni9Ti stainless steel when the SC ranged from 10 to 35 kg·m⁻³, and higher SC coupled with FV of 33.5 m·s⁻¹ and 3.5 wt.% SCM induced more severe CSE degradation for both the coating and the stainless steel. Two reasons could explain the more severe CSE degradation at higher SC. On the one hand, higher SC may have shortened the intervals among different sand particle impingements when the FV was 33.5 m·s⁻¹, resulting in larger interactions between sand particles and specimen surface [53,56]. On the other hand, the increment of pressure and incipient cavitation number as well as the expansion of eroded area in the cavitation erosion zone at higher SC may have promoted the occurrence of cavitation erosion and the resultant higher abrasion rate [57]. Previous studies have pointed out that cavitation erosion had a positive relationship with SC [57,58]. It is also worth noting that contrary to the influence of FV on material degradation, the influence of SC on degradation of the coating was less than that of the stainless steel, which can be ascribed to the fact that the passive film on the surface of the stainless steel was
insufficient to achieve repassivation under the continuous impingements of sand particles and cavitation bubbles as SC increased from 10 to 35 kg·m⁻³ at an FV of 33.5 m·s⁻¹.

![Figure 8](https://via.placeholder.com/150)

**Figure 8.** Volume loss rates of the WC-Cr,C₃-Ni coating and the 1Cr18Ni9Ti stainless steel after CSE for 6 h in 3.5 wt.% SCM at 33.5 m·s⁻¹ and different SCs.

As illustrated in Figures 7 and 8, it can be concluded from the lower VLR values of the WC-Cr,C₃-Ni coating that the coating possessed superior CSE resistance in 3.5 wt.% SCM by contrast with the 1Cr18Ni9Ti stainless steel, which was related to three aspects. Firstly, the dense structure of the coating coupled with the strong bonding between the coating and substrate were conducive to the reduction of the cracking sources and the large-area exfoliation of the coating caused by the attack of multi-phase flow. Secondly, the element chromium in the coating made it possible to generate the passive film and accordingly, improved the CSE resistance in 3.5 wt.% SCM to some degree. Thirdly, the superior mechanical properties (e.g., H/E and H/E²) of the coating ensured the higher resistance to impingements of sand particles and cavitation bubbles, especially under the condition of normal impact [59–61].

The FE-SEM images of surfaces for the WC-Cr,C₃-Ni coating after 6 h of CSE in 3.5 wt.% SCM at 20 kg·m⁻³ and different FVs are depicted in Figure 9. It can be clearly seen from Figure 9a–c that there were great differences in the eroded surface micro-morphologies of the coatings when the FV ranged from 23.4 to 41.9 m·s⁻¹. The appearance of rougher surface was characterized by smaller area of initially polished surfaces, more obvious scour marks and larger number and dimension of craters at higher FV. These observations indicated that more drastic physicochemical interaction processes and more serious material degradation took place during CSE at higher FV, which was in line with the measured VLR values, as revealed in Figure 7. It is evident from Figure 9(a1,a2) that only a small number of small erosion pits were scattered on the relatively flat surface. This meant at the FV of 23.4 m·s⁻¹ coupled with the SC of 20 kg·m⁻³, most of the interfaces between hard-phase grains and soft binder matrix were strong enough to resist the impingement and abrasion of sand particles as well as the continuous attack of micro-jets or shock waves caused by the implosion of cavitation bubbles. By contrast, there were shallow erosion pits with a diameter of approximately 10 μm as well as slight fracturing of hard-phase grains and micro-cutting of soft binder matrix when the FV was 33.5 m·s⁻¹ (Figure 9(b1,b2)), although most of the hard-phase grains and soft binder matrix were relatively intact. These findings contributed to the unevenness of the eroded surface and thereby resulted in the increase in the VLR value, as illustrated in Figure 7. When the FV increased to 41.9 m·s⁻¹, there was a further increase in the dimension and depth of the craters. In addition, the gradual emergence of crater-shaped structures formed a somewhat
interconnected groove structure on the eroded surface (Figure 9(c1,c2)). These phenomena were mainly ascribed to the more violent flow structure and propulsion by bubble-wall and micro-jet at the higher FV of 41.9 m·s⁻¹ [62].

Figure 9. FE-SEM images of the WC-Cr₃C₂-Ni coatings after CSE for 6 h in 3.5 wt.% SCM at 20 kg·m⁻³ and different FVs: (a) 23.4 m·s⁻¹, (b) 33.5 m·s⁻¹, (c) 41.9 m·s⁻¹.

Figure 10 shows the analysis of surfaces for the WC-Cr₃C₂-Ni coating after 6 h of CSE in 3.5 wt.% SCM at 33.5 m·s⁻¹ and different SCs through FE-SEM. From Figure 10a–c, it can be noted that similar ripple-shaped structures were observable on the coatings’ eroded surfaces at different SCs. Meanwhile, with higher SC, the depth and dimension of the ripple-shaped structures tended to become larger and the growth direction of the ripple-shaped structures followed the flow direction. These observations suggest that the increase in SC provided continuous interaction of sand particles and cavitation bubbles and thus prompted more serious material degradation, which was also supported by the measured VLR values, as referenced in Figure 8. At the SC of 10 and 20 kg·m⁻³, the micro-structures of the coatings were relatively compact, characterized by extensive initially polished surfaces coupled with slight micro-cutting of the soft binder matrix, fracturing of hard-phase grains, and some shallow erosion pits with diameters of around 10 μm (Figure 10(a1,a2,b1,b2)). However, this compact microstructure was not preserved at an SC of 35 kg·m⁻³. After being subjected to 35 kg·m⁻³, the fracturing of hard-phase grains, as well as the micro-cutting and preferential removal of soft binder matrix were detected in Figure 10(c1,c2), leading to the coating spalling, on account of the smaller interval among different impingements caused by sand particles, as well as the higher degree of cavitation.
Figure 10. FE-SEM images of the WC-Cr3C2-Ni coatings after CSE for 6 h in 3.5 wt.% SCM at 33.5 m·s⁻¹ and different SCs: (a) 10 kg·m⁻³, (b) 20 kg·m⁻³, (c) 35 kg·m⁻³.

It is interesting to note from Figures 9 and 10 that there was a bright white phase observable in all microstructures of the coatings after 6 h of CSE in 3.5 wt.% SCM at different FVs and SCs. EDS was applied to understand the composition of the bright white phase, and the analytical results were listed in Table 2. As the bright white structures were rich in O element, it is reasonable to infer those bright white structures were composed of oxide and the corrosion product films were distributed discontinuously on the eroded surfaces of the coatings. Comparisons on the EDS results of Points A, B, and C showed that bright white structures at lower FV and SC had higher O element content than others. This indicated that the corrosion product films were more easily destroyed by multi-phase flow at higher FV and SC, which may induce a higher abrasion rate. Therefore, based on the above-mentioned findings, the CSE failure mechanisms in 3.5 wt.% SCM of the coating with increasing FV and SC were caused by the discontinuous corrosion product films, the erosion pits, the fracturing of hard-phase grains, micro-cutting of soft binder matrix, and crater formation, as well as coating spalling.

Table 2. Composition of elements in different regions of WC-Cr3C2-Ni coating after CSE for 6 h in 3.5 wt.% SCM under different FVs and SCs.

| Region | O    | Cr   | C    | W    | Ni   |
|--------|------|------|------|------|------|
| A      | 11.8 | 4.9  | 65.6 | 16.6 | 1.1  |
| B      | 5.7  | 11.6 | 63.7 | 11.8 | 7.2  |
| C      | 16.7 | 6.5  | 56.2 | 17.5 | 3.1  |

4. Conclusions

In this work, we report the CSE behaviors in 3.5 wt.% SCM of HVOF-sprayed WC-Cr3C2-Ni coatings under different FVs and SCs via a rotating disk rig facility. The main conclusions of this work can be outlined as follows:

(1) The WC-Cr3C2-Ni coating presented a dense structure with average porosity of 1.15% and thickness of ~350 μm, as well as good combination with the substrate. The H/E
and $H/E^2$ values of the WC-CrC$_2$-Ni coating were about 1.5 and 8.7 times as large as those of the 1Cr18Ni9Ti stainless steel, respectively.

2. In contrast to the 1Cr18Ni9Ti stainless steel, the WC-CrC$_2$-Ni coating possessed superior CSE resistance and worse anticorrosion properties in 3.5 wt.% SCM. Higher FV and SC caused more severe CSE degradations for both the coating and the stainless steel. By taking the amplification of the VLR value as the evaluation index, the coating (377.1%) appeared more influenced by FV in contrast with the stainless steel (194.7%), while the influence of SC on degradation of the coating (129.8%) was less than that of the stainless steel (227.7%).

3. The predominant mechanisms causing the CSE degradation in 3.5 wt.% SCM of the WC-CrC$_2$-Ni coating with the increase of the FV and SC were varying in terms of the discontinuous corrosion product films, the erosion pits, the fracturing of hard-phase grains, micro-cutting of soft binder matrix, and the crater formation, as well as coating spalling.
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