Validity and Reliability of the Korean Version of the MD Anderson Dysphagia Inventory for Head and Neck Cancer Patients
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Objective To translate the MD Anderson Dysphagia Inventory (MDADI) which is a self-administered questionnaire that assesses effect of dysphagia on the quality of life for patients with head and neck cancer, into Korean and to verify the validity and reliability of the Korean version of MDADI.

Methods We performed 6 steps for the cross-cultural adaptation which consisted of translation, synthesis, back translation, review by an expert committee, cognitive debriefing, and final proof reading. A total of 34 dysphagia patients with head and neck cancers from Seoul National University Hospital answered the translated version of the questionnaire for the pre-testing. The patients answered the same questionnaire 2 weeks later to verify the test-retest reliability.

Results One patient was excluded at second survey because he changed his feeding strategy. Overall, 33 patients completed the study. Linguistic validations were achieved by each step of cross-cultural adaptation. We gathered statistically strong construct validity (Spearman rho for subdomain scores to total score correlation range from 0.852 to 0.927), internal consistency for subdomains (Cronbach’s alpha coefficients range from 0.785 to 0.889) and test-retest reliability (intra-class correlation coefficient range from 0.820 to 0.955)

Conclusion The Korean version of the MDADI achieved linguistic validations and demonstrated good construct validity and reliability. It can be a useful tool for screening and treatment planning for the dysphagia of patients with head and neck cancers.
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INTRODUCTION

The absolute number of head and neck cancer survivors is expected to increase as the treatment outcome improves [1,2], although there is considerable variability in reported frequency worldwide [3]. The improved treatment outcome in nasopharyngeal cancer by concurrent chemoradiation therapy is case in point [4,5]. Therefore, the interest in health-related quality of life (QOL) of cancer survivors is increasing. The previous study reported
that dysphagia of head and neck cancer patients degrades the health-related QOL [6-8] which has increased demands for dysphagia-specific health-related QOL measurement tools. In this regard such tools are being developed and used around the world [9-11]. However, there is no standardized Korean tools for measuring dysphagia-specific health-related QOL. The MD Anderson Dysphagia Inventory (MDADI) developed by Chen et al. [12] is the dysphagia-specific health-related QOL measurement tool for head and neck cancer patients. The MDADI contains 20 questions covering four subdomains (Appendix 1). In addition, it has been used in many studies due to high validity and reliability [12].

The purpose of this study was to provide the academic basis of the Korean version of MDADI (K-MDADI) with the linguistic validation [13-18], construct validity, and reliability.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Subjects**

The survey was performed with the 34 volunteers (31 males, 3 females) who had head and neck cancers. They were asked to fill out the final version of K-MDADI and took videofluoroscopic swallowing study (VFSS) on the same day. The mean age of patients was 61.18 years (range, 37–79 years) and the average duration of dysphagia was 59.38 months (range, 3–252 months). The cancer lesion, treatment method, and current diet are summarized in Table 1.

**MDADI**

MDADI is a free questionnaire developed by Chen et al. [12] to evaluate the dysphagia-specific health-related QOL for head and neck cancer patients. The survey contains 20 self-administered questions to measure 4 subdomains of global (1 question), emotional (6 questions), functional (5 questions), and physical category (8 questions). Each question was composed of Likert scale and expressed as from 1 (very unlikely) to 5 (very likely). But it was expressed in inverse order for two questions: Question 5. I do not feel self-conscious when I eat; Question 15. I feel free to go out to eat with my friends, neighbors, and relatives. The average score for each question of subdomain was multiplied by 20 to calculate subdomain score. Higher scores meant better dysphagia-specific health-related QOL.

**Translation of the survey**

The translation consisted of the following (Fig. 1) according to the cross-cultural adaptation method [19,20].

Forward translation: In order to develop the K-MDADI, the translation was performed with the authorization from original author of MDADI, Chen AY. Two translators who are proficient at both English and Korean participated; one translating with preliminary knowledge of MDADI and the other with no medical background.

Synthesis of the translations: Two translations were synthesized into one interim version through the discussion between authors and translators.

Backward translation: The interim version was translated into English again by a 3rd translator who was bilingual in English and Korean with no medical background. Thereafter, the difference of backward translation from concept of the original survey was verified by three professionals composed of rehabilitation medicine doctors who were fluent in both English and Korean, and have treated dysphagia patients for over two years.

Review of expert committee: The authors and translators gathered again to check any potential errors.
Cognitive debriefing: The preliminary examination was performed on 5 head and neck cancer patients with the translated MDADI before finalization of translation. The understanding and answering of questions without any help were verified and the opinions on the ambiguity, misunderstanding, awkward expression, and cultural differences were collected through in-depth interviews.

The final proof-reading: The development of the K-MDADI was completed after inspecting the survey style and typing error.

Validation of the final version
The head and neck cancer patients with dysphasia were surveyed on the same day of VFSS. The survey was self-administered and performed again two weeks after the initial survey for verifications of reliability whether there had been changes of the general condition and feeding strategy was checked on the second survey.

Swallowing function
The American Speech-Language-Hearing Association National Outcome Measurement System (ASHA-NOMS) was determined after VFSS [21]. The correlation between measured ASHA-NOMS score and K-MDADI score was analyzed.

Data analysis
The linguistic validation of K-MDADI was verified by the cross cultural adaptation method [13-20]. The SPSS ver. 18.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used for statistical analysis. The correlation coefficient was used to measure the construct validity of K-MDADI [14,15,22]. The four subdomains were defined as the construct and the Spearman rho was calculated between subdomain scores and total scores to check whether the test scores well measured the defined constructs. The Cronbach’s alpha was calculated for internal consistency of subdomains [23] and intra-class correlation coefficient was obtained for test-retest reliability [24]. The correlation between ASHA-NOMS score and total score of the K-MDADI was checked by Spearman rho.

RESULTS

K-MDADI
A total of 20 questions were translated and validated by the cross cultural adaptation method (Appendix 2). The order of each question was same as the original and questions were sequentially numbered. The table for scoring was attached at the end of K-MDADI (Appendix 3).

Table 2. Correlation matrix of overall scores and subdomain scores of the Korean version of the MD Anderson dysphagia inventory

|                | Global | Emotional | Functional | Physical | Total score |
|----------------|--------|-----------|------------|----------|-------------|
| Global         | 1      | -         | -          | -        | -           |
| Emotional      | 0.677* | 1         | -          | -        | -           |
| Functional     | 0.708* | 0.802*    | 1          | -        | -           |
| Physical       | 0.720* | 0.869*    | 0.797*     | 1        | -           |
| Total score    | 0.852* | 0.903*    | 0.927*     | 0.901*   | 1           |

*p<0.05.
Validity and reliability of K-MDADI

Overall 33 patients were included, because one patient changed diet strategy after initial VFSS. Construct validity was checked by Spearman rho. The Spearman rho between subdomain scores and total score of K-MDADI ranged from 0.852 to 0.927 (Table 2). The Spearman rho between each subdomain scores ranged from 0.677 to 0.869. The internal consistency was checked by Cronbach’s alpha in three subdomains except the global subdomain which has only one question. Cronbach’s alpha ranged from 0.785 to 0.889 (Table 3). The intra-class correlation coefficient of each subdomains and total score by test-retest method ranged 0.820 to 0.955 (Table 4).

Swallowing function and K-MDADI

The ASHA-NOMS [21] score and total score of K-MDADI showed no significant correlation (Fig. 2). The Spearman rho of total score of K-MDADI and ASHA-NOMS score was 0.282 (p=0.112).

DISCUSSION

The purpose of this study was to develop the K-MDADI and to verify its validity and reliability. The linguistic validation of K-MDADI was checked by the cross cultural adaptation method [13-20] and the construct validity and reliability was evaluated statistically.

The process of cross cultural adaptation caused little difficulty because MDADI had relatively simple and clear sentences. However, question 13 was modified because patients who could not drink water were unable to answer the question.

The patient’s cooperation is very important for diagnosis and treatment of dysphagia. But the previous research reported various compliance of dysphasia diet with modified consistency [25-27]. Patients’ perceived QOL is as an important determinant for higher compliance as objective functional evaluation such as VFSS. The study actually showed no statistical correlation between total score of K-MDADI and ASHA-NOMS score [21], and it means that the functional state only cannot fully explain the QOL of dysphagia patients. The previous study showed correlations between MDADI and performance status scale for dysphagia [28], but correlation with MDADI emotional domain than with other domains. It implied that self-administered MDADI reflects the subjective emotional condition of patients better than the performance status scale scored by clinicians [12]. Meanwhile, other study showed that depression was correlated with dysphasia for head and neck cancer patients, and low QOL was more highly correlated with depression rather than with severity of dysphasia [29]. Depression might aggravate treatment compliances, subjective pain, and

Table 3. Internal consistency of the Korean version of the MD Anderson dysphagia inventory

| Sub-domain   | No. of questions | Cronbach’s coefficient |
|--------------|------------------|------------------------|
| Global       | 1                | -                      |
| Emotional    | 6                | 0.785                  |
| Functional   | 5                | 0.791                  |
| Physical     | 8                | 0.889                  |

Table 4. Test-retest reliability of Korean version of the MD Anderson dysphagia inventory by ICC

| Item         | ICC (95% CI)           |
|--------------|------------------------|
| Global       | 0.820 (0.663–0.908)    |
| Emotional    | 0.875 (0.761–0.936)    |
| Functional   | 0.835 (0.693–0.915)    |
| Physical     | 0.839 (0.694–0.916)    |
| Total score  | 0.955 (0.909–0.978)    |

Fig. 2. Distribution of total score of Korean version of the MD Anderson dysphagia inventory (K-MDADI) according to the American Speech-Language-Hearing Association National Outcome Measurement System (ASHA-NOMS) score.
suicidal risk. Therefore dysphagia-specific QOL as well as dysphasia function should be evaluated in the treatment of dysphasia [30].

MDADI is a dysphagia-specific QOL measuring tool for head and neck cancer patients and has been used widely and translated in many languages [31-33]. In addition, MDADI could be applicable to not only head and neck cancer patients but also stroke patients [32,34].

The limitations of this study lies in that we could not check the concurrent validity due to lack of another Korean version of dysphagia-specific QOL measurement tool and that the study had relatively small sample size although statistical analysis was possible. It was also limitation of this study that we did not evaluate depression. But in case of translation for other dysphagia-specific QOL measurement tool in the future, concurrent validity could be provided using the above-stated K-MDADI.

In this study we translated MDADI into Korean by cross cultural adaptation method for clinical purpose. And we also checked the validity and reliability of the K-MDADI for providing academic basis for medical research.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors appreciated Dr. Chen’s kind permission to translate MDADI into Korean. We would like to thank Minsoo Ha, Saena Sohn, and Hyunkyung Kwon for their contribution to the translation.

REFERENCES

1. Jung KW, Park S, Kong HJ, Won YJ, Lee JY, Seo HG, et al. Cancer statistics in Korea: incidence, mortality, survival, and prevalence in 2009. Cancer Res Treat 2012;44:11-24.
2. Kim KM, Kim YM, Shim YS, Kim KH, Chang HS, Choi JO, et al. Epidemiologic survey of head and neck cancers in Korea. J Korean Med Sci 2003;18:80-7.
3. Sankaranarayanan R, Masuyer E, Swaminathan R, Ferlay J, Whelan S. Head and neck cancer: a global perspective on epidemiology and prognosis. Anticancer Res 1998;18:4779-86.
4. Fountzilas G, Tolis C, Kalogera-Fountzila A, Karanikotis C, Bai M, Misailidou D, et al. Induction chemotherapy with cisplatin, epirubicin, and paclitaxel (CEP), followed by concomitant radiotherapy and weekly paclitaxel for the management of locally advanced nasopharyngeal carcinoma: a Hellenic Cooperative Oncology Group phase II study. Strahlenther Onkol 2005;181:223-30.
5. Lin S, Tham IW, Pan J, Han L, Chen Q, Lu JJ. Combined high-dose radiation therapy and systemic chemotherapy improves survival in patients with newly diagnosed metastatic nasopharyngeal cancer. Am J Clin Oncol 2012;35:474-9.
6. Lovell SJ, Wong HB, Loh KS, Ngo RY, Wilson JA. Impact of dysphagia on quality-of-life in nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Head Neck 2005;27:864-72.
7. Nguyen NP, Frank C, Moltz CC, Vos P, Smith HJ, Karlsson U, et al. Impact of dysphagia on quality of life after treatment of head-and-neck cancer. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2005;61:772-8.
8. Garcia-Peris P, Paron L, Velasco C, de la Cuerda C, Cambor M, Breton I, et al. Long-term prevalence of oropharyngeal dysphagia in head and neck cancer patients: impact on quality of life. Clin Nutr 2007;26:710-7.
9. McHorney CA, Bricker DE, Kramer AE, Rosenbek JC, Robbins J, Chignell KA, et al. The SWAL-QOL outcomes tool for oropharyngeal dysphagia in adults: I. Conceptual foundation and item development. Dysphagia 2000;15:115-21.
10. Woisard V, Andrieux MP, Puech M. Validation of a self-assessment questionnaire for swallowing disorders (Deglutition Handicap Index). Rev Laryngol Otol Rhinol (Bord) 2006;127:315-25.
11. Belafsky PC, Mouadeb DA, Rees CJ, Pryor JC, Postma GN, Allen J, et al. Validity and reliability of the Eating Assessment Tool (EAT-10). Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol 2008;117:919-24.
12. Chen AY, Frankowski R, Bishop-Leone J, Hebert T, Leyk S, Lewin J, et al. The development and validation of a dysphagia-specific quality-of-life questionnaire for patients with head and neck cancer: the M. D. Anderson dysphagia inventory. Arch Otolarngol Head Neck Surg 2001;127:870-6.
13. Wild D, Grove A, Martin M, Eremenco S, McElroy S, Verjee-Lorenz A, et al. Principles of good practice for the translation and cultural adaptation process for patient-reported outcomes (PRO) measures: report of
14. Lee Y, Kim E, Oh SJ, Lee BS, Kim DA. The linguistic validation and reliability of the Korean version ‘Qualiveen Questionnaire’. J Korean Acad Rehabil Med 2010;34:524-43.

15. Collins MM, O’Leary MP, Calhoun EA, Pontari MA, Adler A, Eremenco S, et al. The Spanish National Institutes of Health-Chronic Prostatitis Symptom Index: translation and linguistic validation. J Urol 2001;166:1800-3.

16. Oh SJ, Park HG, Paick SH, Park WH, Choo MS. Translation and linguistic validation of Korean version of the King’s Health Questionnaire Instrument. Korean J Urol 2005;46:438-50.

17. Homma Y, Tsukamoto T, Yasuda K, Ozono S, Yoshida M, Shinji M. Linguistic validation of Japanese version of International Prostate Symptom Score and BPH impact index. Nihon Hinyokika Gakkai Zasshi 2002;93:669-80.

18. Quittner AL, Sweeny S, Watrous M, Munzenberger P, Bearss K, Gibson Nitza A, et al. Translation and linguistic validation of a disease-specific quality of life measure for cystic fibrosis. J Pediatr Psychol 2000;25:403-14.

19. Guillemin F, Bombardier C, Beaton D. Cross-cultural adaptation of health-related quality of life measures: literature review and proposed guidelines. J Clin Epidemiol 1993;46:1417-32.

20. Beaton DE, Bombardier C, Guillemin F, Ferraz MB. Guidelines for the process of cross-cultural adaptation of self-report measures. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 2000;25:3186-91.

21. American Speech-Language-Hearing Association. Adult Speech-Language pathology training manual. In: National Outcomes Measurement System (NOMS). Rockville: American Speech-Language-Hearing Association; 1998.

22. Cronbach LJ, Meehl PE. Construct validity in psychological tests. Psychol Bull 1955;52:281-302.

23. Streiner DL, Norman GR. Health measurement scales: a practical guide to their development and use. 4th ed. New York: Oxford University Press; 2008.

24. Shrout PE, Fleiss JL. Intraclass correlations: uses in assessing rater reliability. Psychol Bull 1979;86:420-8.

25. Low J, Wyles C, Wilkinson T, Sainsbury R. The effect of compliance on clinical outcomes for patients with dysphagia on videofluoroscopy. Dysphagia 2001;16:123-7.

26. Rosenvinge SK, Starke ID. Improving care for patients with dysphagia. Age Ageing 2005;34:587-93.

27. Crawford H, Leslie P, Drinnan MJ. Compliance with dysphagia recommendations by carers of adults with intellectual impairment. Dysphagia 2007;22:326-34.

28. List MA, Ritter-Sterr C, Lansky SB. A performance status scale for head and neck cancer patients. Cancer 1990;66:564-9.

29. Lin BM, Starmer HM, Gourin CG. The relationship between depressive symptoms, quality of life, and swallowing function in head and neck cancer patients 1 year after definitive therapy. Laryngoscope 2012;122:1518-25.

30. de Leeuw JR, de Graeff A, Ros WI, Blijham GH, Hordijk GJ, Winnubst JA. Prediction of depressive symptomatology after treatment of head and neck cancer: the influence of pre-treatment physical and depressive symptoms, coping, and social support. Head Neck 2000;22:799-807.

31. Speyer R, Heijnen BJ, Bajens LW, Vrijenhoef FH, Otters EF, Roodenburg N, et al. Quality of life in oncological patients with oropharyngeal dysphagia: validity and reliability of the Dutch version of the MD Anderson Dysphagia Inventory and the Deglutition Handicap Index. Dysphagia 2011;26:407-14.

32. Carlsson S, Ryden A, Rudberg I, Bove M, Bergquist H, Finizia C. Validation of the Swedish M. D. Anderson Dysphagia Inventory (MDADI) in patients with head and neck cancer and neurologic swallowing disturbances. Dysphagia 2012;27:361-9.

33. Guedes RL, Angelis EC, Chen AY, Kowalski LP, Vartanian JG. Validation and application of the M. D. Anderson Dysphagia Inventory in patients treated for head and neck cancer in Brazil. Dysphagia 2013;28:24-32.

34. Chen PH, Golub JS, Hapner ER, Johns MM 3rd. Prevalence of perceived dysphagia and quality-of-life impairment in a geriatric population. Dysphagia 2009;24:1-6.
Appendix 1. The MD Anderson dysphagia inventory

This questionnaire asks for your views about your swallowing ability. This information will help us understand how you feel about swallowing.

The following statements have been made by people who have problems with their swallowing. Some of the statements may apply to you.

Please read each statement and circle the response which best reflects your experience in the past week.

| Statement                                                                 | Strongly Agree | Agree | No opinion | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|-------|------------|----------|-------------------|
| My swallowing ability limits my day-to-day activities.                    |                |       |            |          |                   |
| E2. I am embarrassed by my eating habits.                                 | Strongly Agree | Agree | No opinion | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
| F1. People have difficulty cooking for me.                                | Strongly Agree | Agree | No opinion | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
| P2. Swallowing is more difficult at the end of the day.                   | Strongly Agree | Agree | No opinion | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
| E7. I do not feel self-conscious when I eat.                              | Strongly Agree | Agree | No opinion | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
| E4. I am upset by my swallowing problem.                                  | Strongly Agree | Agree | No opinion | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
| P6. Swallowing takes great effort.                                        | Strongly Agree | Agree | No opinion | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
| E5. I do not go out because of my swallowing problem.                     | Strongly Agree | Agree | No opinion | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
| F5. My swallowing difficulty has caused me to lose income.                | Strongly Agree | Agree | No opinion | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
| P7. It takes me longer to eat because of my swallowing problem.           | Strongly Agree | Agree | No opinion | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
| P3. People ask me, “Why can’t you eat that?”                             | Strongly Agree | Agree | No opinion | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
| E3. Other people are irritated by my eating problem.                      | Strongly Agree | Agree | No opinion | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
| P8. I cough when I try to drink liquids.                                  | Strongly Agree | Agree | No opinion | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
| F3. My swallowing problems limit my social and personal life.             | Strongly Agree | Agree | No opinion | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
| F2. I feel free to go out to eat with my friends, neighbors, and relatives| Strongly Agree | Agree | No opinion | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
| P5. I limit my food intake because of my swallowing difficulty.           | Strongly Agree | Agree | No opinion | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
| P1. I cannot maintain my weight because of my swallowing problem.         | Strongly Agree | Agree | No opinion | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
| E6. I have low self-esteem because of my swallowing problem.             | Strongly Agree | Agree | No opinion | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
| P4. I feel that I am swallowing a huge amount of food.                    | Strongly Agree | Agree | No opinion | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
| F4. I feel excluded because of my eating habits.                          | Strongly Agree | Agree | No opinion | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |

Thank you for completing this questionnaire!
이 설문지는 삼키는 문제에 대한 건강 관련 삶의 질을 측정하기 위한 것입니다.
이어지는 문장을 읽으신 후 질문에 대한 귀하의 의견과 가장 일치한다고 생각되는 보기를 선택해 주십시오.

@ 다음 질문을 읽고 귀하의 의견과 가장 부합하는 보기에 체크해 주십시오.

| 1. 삼기는 능력 때문에 나의 일상생활이 제한된다. | 매우 그렇다. 그렇다. 모르겠다. 그렇지 않다. 매우 그렇지 않다. |
| 2. 나는 내가 음식을 먹는 방법 때문에 당혹스러울 때가 있다. | 매우 그렇다. 그렇다. 모르겠다. 그렇지 않다. 매우 그렇지 않다. |
| 3. 사람들은 나를 위한 음식을 만들어서 어려음을 겪는다. | 매우 그렇다. 그렇다. 모르겠다. 그렇지 않다. 매우 그렇지 않다. |
| 4. 저녁 때가 되면 삼키는 것이 더 힘들다. | 매우 그렇다. 그렇다. 모르겠다. 그렇지 않다. 매우 그렇지 않다. |
| 5. 음식을 먹을 때, 나는 다른 사람들의 시선을 의식하지 않는다. | 매우 그렇다. 그렇다. 모르겠다. 그렇지 않다. 매우 그렇지 않다. |
| 6. 나는 삼키는 문제 때문에 화가 난다. | 매우 그렇다. 그렇다. 모르겠다. 그렇지 않다. 매우 그렇지 않다. |
| 7. 나는 삼키는데 많은 노력이 필요하다. | 매우 그렇다. 그렇다. 모르겠다. 그렇지 않다. 매우 그렇지 않다. |
| 8. 나는 삼키는 문제 때문에 외출하지 않는다. | 매우 그렇다. 그렇다. 모르겠다. 그렇지 않다. 매우 그렇지 않다. |
| 9. 나는 삼키는 문제로 인하여 수입이 감소했다. | 매우 그렇다. 그렇다. 모르겠다. 그렇지 않다. 매우 그렇지 않다. |
| 10. 삼키는 문제 때문에 먹는 데 시간이 더 오래 걸린다. | 매우 그렇다. 그렇다. 모르겠다. 그렇지 않다. 매우 그렇지 않다. |
| 11. 사람들이 “당신은 왜 그렇게 못 먹습니까?”라고 물어본다. | 매우 그렇다. 그렇다. 모르겠다. 그렇지 않다. 매우 그렇지 않다. |
| 12. 내가 잘 삼기지 못하는 것 때문에 다른 사람들이 저를 놀리는다. | 매우 그렇다. 그렇다. 모르겠다. 그렇지 않다. 매우 그렇지 않다. |
| 13. 나는 음료수를 마실 때 기침을 하기 때문에 마시는 것이 어렵다. | 매우 그렇다. 그렇다. 모르겠다. 그렇지 않다. 매우 그렇지 않다. |
| 14. 삼키는 문제로 인해 나의 사회적, 개인적인 삶이 제한된다. | 매우 그렇다. 그렇다. 모르겠다. 그렇지 않다. 매우 그렇지 않다. |
| 15. 나는 나의 친구, 이웃, 친척들과 부담 없이 외식하러 간다. | 매우 그렇다. 그렇다. 모르겠다. 그렇지 않다. 매우 그렇지 않다. |
| 16. 삼키는 문제 때문에, 나는 적게 먹는다. | 매우 그렇다. 그렇다. 모르겠다. 그렇지 않다. 매우 그렇지 않다. |
| 17. 삼키는 문제로, 내가 원하는 체중을 유지하기 힘들다. | 매우 그렇다. 그렇다. 모르겠다. 그렇지 않다. 매우 그렇지 않다. |
| 18. 삼기는 문제로 인해, 나의 자존감이 낮아졌다. | 매우 그렇다. 그렇다. 모르겠다. 그렇지 않다. 매우 그렇지 않다. |
| 19. 삼킬 때 한 번에 아주 많은 양을 넣기는 것처럼 느껴진다. | 매우 그렇다. 그렇다. 모르겠다. 그렇지 않다. 매우 그렇지 않다. |
| 20. 나는 내가 먹는 모습 때문에 소외당한다고 느낀다. | 매우 그렇다. 그렇다. 모르겠다. 그렇지 않다. 매우 그렇지 않다. |

감사합니다.
### 한국어판 MDADI (MD Anderson Dysphagia Inventory) 점수 계산표

| 문항번호 | 점수 | 문항번호 | 점수 | 문항번호 | 점수 | 문항번호 | 점수 | 문항번호 | 점수 |
|----------|------|----------|------|----------|------|----------|------|----------|------|
| 1        | ( )  | 2        | ( )  | 3        | ( )  | 4        | ( )  | 5        | ( )  |
| 6        | ( )  | 7        | ( )  | 8        | ( )  | 9        | ( )  | 10       | ( )  |
| 12       | ( )  | 13       | ( )  | 15       | ( )  | 16       | ( )  | 17       | ( )  |
| 18       | ( )  |          |      |          |      |          |      |          |      |

합산점수  ( )  평균점수  (합산점수/문항수)  1개 문항  ( )  6개 문항  ( )  8개 문항  ( )

하위 범주 별 점수  (평균점수×20)  1개 문항  ( )  6개 문항  ( )  8개 문항  ( )

※ 매우 그렇다: 1점, 그렇다: 2점, 보통이다: 3점, 그렇지 않다: 4점, 매우 그렇지 않다: 5점
※ 단, 문항번호 5과 15는 점수 체계가 역순임(매우 그렇다: 5점, 매우 그렇지 않다: 1점)