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Abstract

Studied here is the large-time behavior of solutions of the Korteweg-de Vries equation posed on the right half-line under the effect of a localized damping. Assuming as in [20] that the damping is active on a set \((a_0, +\infty)\) with \(a_0 > 0\), we establish the exponential decay of the solutions in the weighted spaces \(L^2((x + 1)^m dx)\) for \(m \in \mathbb{N}^*\) and \(L^2(e^{2bx} dx)\) for \(b > 0\) by a Lyapunov approach. The decay of the spatial derivatives of the solution is also derived.
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1 Introduction

The Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) equation was first derived as a model for the propagation of small amplitude long water waves along a channel [9, 16, 17]. It has been intensively studied from various aspects for both mathematics and physics since the 1960s when solitons were discovered through solving the KdV equation, and the inverse scattering method, a so-called nonlinear Fourier transform, was invented to seek solitons [14, 22]. It is now well known that the KdV equation is not only a good model for water waves but also a very useful approximation model in nonlinear studies whenever one wishes to include and balance weak nonlinear and dispersive effects.

The initial boundary value problems (IBVP) arise naturally in modeling small-amplitude long waves in a channel with a wavemaker mounted at one end [1, 2, 3, 29]. Such mathematical formulations have received considerable attention in the past, and a satisfactory theory of global well-posedness is available for initial and boundary conditions satisfying physically relevant smoothness and consistency assumptions (see e.g. [1, 4, 6, 7, 11, 12, 13] and the references therein).

The analysis of the long-time behavior of IBVP on the quarter-plane for KdV has also received considerable attention over recent years, and a review of some of the results related to the issues we address here can be found in [3, 7, 19]. For stabilization and controllability issues on the half line, we refer the reader to [20] and [27, 28], respectively.

In this work, we are concerned with the asymptotic behavior of the solutions of the IBVP for the KdV equation posed on the positive half line under the presence of a localized damping represented by the function \(a\); that is,

\[
\begin{cases}
  u_t + u_x + u_{xxx} + uu_x + a(x)u = 0, & x, t \in \mathbb{R}^+, \\
  u(0, t) = 0, & t > 0, \\
  u(x, 0) = u_0(x), & x > 0.
\end{cases}
\]
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Assuming \( a(x) \geq 0 \) a.e. and that \( u(.,t) \in H^3(\mathbb{R}^+) \), it follows from a simple computation that

\[
\frac{dE}{dt} = - \int_{0}^{\infty} a(x)|u(x,t)|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2}|u_x(0,t)|^2
\]

where

\[
E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} |u(x,t)|^2 dx
\]

is the total energy associated with (1). Then, we see that the term \( a(x)u \) plays the role of a feedback damping mechanism and, consequently, it is natural to wonder whether the solutions of (1) tend to zero as \( t \to \infty \) and under what rate they decay. When \( a(x) > a_0 > 0 \) almost everywhere in \( \mathbb{R}^+ \), it is very simple to prove that \( E(t) \) converges to zero as \( t \) tends to infinity. The problem of stabilization when the damping is effective only in a subset of the domain is much more subtle. The following result was obtained in [20].

**Theorem 1.1** Assume that the function \( a = a(x) \) satisfies the following property

\[
a \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+), \ a \geq 0 \ a.e. \ in \ \mathbb{R}^+ \ and \ a(x) \geq a_0 > 0 \ a.e. \ in \ (x_0, +\infty)
\]

for some numbers \( a_0, x_0 > 0 \). Then for all \( R > 0 \) there exist two numbers \( C > 0 \) and \( \nu > 0 \) such that for all \( u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+) \) with \( ||u_0||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} \leq R \), the solution \( u \) of (1) satisfies

\[
||u(t)||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} \leq Ce^{-\nu t} ||u_0||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}.\]

Actually, Theorem 1.1 was proved in [20] under the additional hypothesis that

\[
a(x) \geq a_0 \ a.e. \ in \ (0, \delta)
\]

for some \( \delta > 0 \), but [20] may be dropped by replacing the unique continuation property [20, Lemma 2.4] by [30, Theorem 1.6]. The exponential decay of \( E(t) \) is obtained following the methods in [23, 25, 26] which combine multiplier techniques and compactness arguments to reduce the problem to some unique continuation property for weak solutions of KdV.

Along this work we assume that the real-valued function \( a = a(x) \) satisfies the condition (4) for some given positive numbers \( a_0, x_0 \). In this paper we investigate the stability properties of (1) in the weighted spaces introduced by Kato in [15]. More precisely, for \( b > 0 \) and \( m \in \mathbb{N} \), we prove that the solution \( u \) exponentially decays to 0 in \( L^2_b \) and \( L^2_{(x+1)^m dx} \) (if \( u(0) \) belongs to one of these spaces), where

\[
L^2_b = \{ u : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}; \int_{0}^{\infty} |u(x)|^2 e^{2bx} dx < \infty \},
\]

\[
L^2_{(x+1)^m dx} = \{ u : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}; \int_{0}^{\infty} |u(x)|^2 (x + 1)^m dx < \infty \}.
\]

The following weighted Sobolev spaces

\[
H^s_b = \{ u : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}; \partial_x^i u \in L^2_b \ for \ 0 \leq i \leq s; \ u(0) = 0 \ if \ s \geq 1 \}
\]

and

\[
H^s_{(x+1)^m dx} = \{ u : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}; \partial_x^i u \in L^2_{(x+1)^m dx} \ for \ 0 \leq i \leq s; \ u(0) = 0 \ if \ s \geq 1 \},
\]

endowed with their usual inner products, will be used thereafter. Note that \( H^0_b = L^2_b \) and that \( H^0_{(x+1)^m dx} = L^2_{(x+1)^m dx} \).
The exponential decay in $L^2_{(x+1)^m} dx$ is obtained by constructing a convenient Lyapunov function (which actually decreases strictly on the sequence of times $\{kT\}_{k \geq 0}$) by induction on $m$. For $u_0 \in L^2_{(x+1)^m} dx$, we also prove the following estimate

$$||u(t)||_{H^1_{(x+1)^m} dx} \leq C \frac{e^{-\mu t}}{\sqrt{t}} ||u_0||_{L^2_{(x+1)^m} dx}$$

in two situations: (i) $m = 1$ and $||u_0||_{L^2_{(x+1)^m} dx}$ is arbitrarily large; (ii) $m \geq 2$ and $||u_0||_{L^2_{(x+1)^m} dx}$ is small enough. In the situation (ii), we first establish a similar estimate for the linearized system and next apply the contraction mapping principle in a space of functions fulfilling the exponential decay. Note that (7) combines the (global) Kato smoothing effect to the exponential decay.

The exponential decay in $L^2_b$ is established for any initial data $u_0 \in L^2_b$ under the additional assumption that $4b^3 + b < a_0$. Next, we can derive estimates of the form

$$||u(t)||_{H^s_b} \leq C \frac{e^{-\mu t} t^{s/2}}{t} ||u_0||_{L^2_b}$$

for any $s \geq 1$, revealing that $u(t)$ decays exponentially to 0 in strong norms.

It would be interesting to see if such results are still true when the function $a$ has a smaller support. It seems reasonable to conjecture that similar positive results can be derived when the support of $a$ contains a set of the form $\bigcup_{k \geq 1} [ka_0, ka_0 + b_0]$ where $0 < b_0 < a_0$, while a negative result probably holds when the support of $a$ is a finite interval, as the $L^2$ norm of a soliton-like initial data may not be sufficiently dissipated over time. Such issues will be discussed elsewhere.

The plan of this paper is as follows. Section 2 is devoted to global well-posedness results in the weighted spaces $L^2_b$ and $L^2_{(x+1)^2} dx$. In section 3, we prove the exponential decay in $L^2_{(x+1)^m} dx$ and $L^2_b$, and establish the exponential decay of the derivatives as well.

2 Global well-posedness

2.1 Global well-posedness in $L^2_b$

Fix any $b > 0$. To begin with, we apply the classical semigroup theory to the linearized system

$$\begin{cases}
u_t + u_x + u_{xxx} + a(x)u = 0, & x, t \in \mathbb{R}^+; \\
u(0, t) = 0, & t > 0; \\
u(x, 0) = u_0(x), & x > 0.
\end{cases}$$

Let us consider the operator

$$A : D(A) \subset L^2_b \to L^2_b$$

with domain

$$D(A) = \{u \in L^2_b; \partial_x^i u \in L^2_b \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq 3 \text{ and } u(0) = 0\}$$

defined by

$$Au = -u_{xxx} - u_x - a(x)u.$$ 

Then, the following result holds.

**Lemma 2.1** The operator $A$ defined above generates a continuous semigroup of operators $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$ in $L^2_b$. 

\[ \text{3} \]
Proof. We first introduce the new variable \( v = e^{tx}u \) and consider the following (IBVP)

\[
\begin{align*}
&\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
v_t + (\partial_x - b)v + (\partial_x - b)^3v + a(x)v = 0, \quad x, t \in \mathbb{R}^+ , \\
v(0,t) = 0, \quad t > 0, \\
v(x,0) = v_0(x) = e^{bx}u_0(x), \quad x > 0. 
\end{array} \right.
\end{align*}
\]

(9)

Clearly, the operator \( B : D(B) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^+) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^+) \) with domain

\[
D(B) = \{ u \in H^3(\mathbb{R}^+); u(0) = 0 \}
\]

defined by

\[
Bv = -(\partial_x - b)v - (\partial_x - b)^3v - a(x)v
\]

is densely defined and closed. So, we are done if we prove that for some real number \( \lambda \) the operator \( B - \lambda \) and its adjoint \( B^* - \lambda \) are both dissipative in \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^+) \). It is readily seen that \( B^* : D(B^*) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^+) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^+) \) is given by \( B^*v = (\partial_x + b)v + (\partial_x + b)^3v - a(x)v \) with domain

\[
D(B^*) = \{ v \in H^3(\mathbb{R}^+); v(0) = v'(0) = 0 \}.
\]

Pick any \( v \in D(B) \). After some integration by parts, we obtain that

\[
(Bv,v)_{L^2} = -\frac{1}{2}v_x^2(0) - 3b \int_0^\infty v_x^2dx + (b + b^3) \int_0^\infty v^2dx - \int_0^\infty a(x)v^2dx,
\]

that is,

\[
([B - (b^3 + b)]v,v)_{L^2} \leq 0.
\]

Analogously, we deduce that for any \( v \in D(B^*) \)

\[
(v,[B^* - (b^3 + b)]v)_{L^2} \leq 0
\]

which completes the proof.

The following linear estimates will be needed.

Lemma 2.2 Let \( u_0 \in L^2_0 \) and \( u = S(\cdot)u_0 \). Then, for any \( T > 0 \)

\[
\begin{align*}
&\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty |u(x,T)|^2dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty |u_0(x)|^2dx + \int_0^T \int_0^\infty a(x)|u|^2dxdt + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T u_x^2(0,t)dt = 0 \\
&\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty |u(x,T)|^2e^{2bx}dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty |u_0(x)|^2e^{2bx}dx + 3b \int_0^T \int_0^\infty u_x^2e^{2bx}dxdt \\
&- (4b^3 + b) \int_0^T \int_0^\infty u_x^2e^{2bx}dxdt + \int_0^T \int_0^\infty a(x)|u|^2e^{2bx}dxdt + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T u_x^2(0,t)dt = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

(10)

(11)

As a consequence,

\[
\|u\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2_0)} + \|u_x\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2_0)} \leq C \|u_0\|_{L^2_0},
\]

(12)

where \( C = C(T) \) is a positive constant.
Proof. Pick any \( u_0 \in D(A) \). Multiplying the equation in (1) by \( u \) and integrating over \((0, +\infty) \times (0, T)\), we obtain (11). Then, the identity may be extended to any initial state \( u_0 \in L_b^2 \) by a density argument. To derive (11) we first multiply the equation by \((e^{2b\xi} - 1)u\) and integrate by parts over \((0, +\infty) \times (0, T)\) to deduce that

\[
\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty |u(x,T)|^2(e^{2b\xi} - 1)dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty |u_0(x)|^2(e^{2b\xi} - 1)dx + 3b \int_0^T \int_0^\infty u_x^2e^{2b\xi}dxdt - (4b^3 + b) \int_0^T \int_0^\infty u^2 e^{2b\xi} dxdt + \int_0^T \int_0^\infty a(x)|u|^2(e^{2b\xi} - 1)dxdt = 0.
\]

Adding the above equality and (11) hand to hand, we obtain (11) using the same density argument. Then, Gronwall inequality, (4) and (11) imply that

\[
||u||_{L^\infty(0,T;L_b^2)} \leq C ||u_0||_{L_b^2},
\]

with \( C = C(T) > 0 \). This estimate together with (11) gives us

\[
||u_x||_{L^2(0,T;L_b^2)} \leq C ||u_0||_{L_b^2},
\]

where \( C = C(T) \) is a positive constant.

\[\blacksquare\]

The global well-posedness result reads as follows:

Theorem 2.3 For any \( u_0 \in L_b^2 \) and any \( T > 0 \), there exists a unique solution \( u \in C([0,T];L_b^2) \cap L^2(0,T;H_b^1) \) of (1).

Proof. By computations similar to those performed in the proof of Lemma 2.2, we obtain that for any \( f \in C^1([0,T];L_b^2) \) and any \( u_0 \in D(A) \), the solution \( u \) of the system

\[
\begin{align*}
&\begin{cases}
u_t + u_x + u_{xx} + a(x)u = f, & x \in \mathbb{R}^+, \ t \in (0, T), \\
u(0,t) = 0, & t \in (0, T), \\
u(x,0) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^+,
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

fulfills

\[\text{(13)} \quad \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} ||u(t)||_{L_b^2} + \left( \int_0^T \int_0^\infty |u_x|^2 e^{2b\xi} dxdt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \left( ||u_0||_{L_b^2} + \int_0^T ||f||_{L_b^2} dt \right)
\]

for some constant \( C = C(T) \) nondecreasing in \( T \). A density argument yields that \( u \in C([0,T];L_b^2) \) when \( f \in L^1(0,T;L_b^2) \) and \( u_0 \in L_b^2 \).

Let \( u_0 \in L_b^2 \) be given. To prove the existence of a solution of (1) we introduce the map \( \Gamma \) defined by

\[
(\Gamma u)(t) = S(t)u_0 + \int_0^t S(t-s)N(u(s)) \, ds
\]

where \( N(u) = -uu_x \), and the space

\[
F = C([0,T];L_b^2) \cap L^2(0,T;H_b^1)
\]

endowed with its natural norm. We shall prove that \( \Gamma \) has a fixed-point in some ball \( B_R(0) \) of \( F \). We need the following
Now, by Claim 1, we have
\[ \|u^2 e^{2bx}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)} \leq (2 + 2b) \|u\|_{L^2_b} \|u\|_{H^1_b}. \]

From Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we get for any $\bar{\tau} \in \mathbb{R}^+$
\[
\begin{align*}
  u^2(\bar{\tau}) e^{2b\bar{\tau}} &= \int_0^\infty u^2 e^{2bx} |x| dx = \int_0^\infty [2uu_x e^{2bx} + 2bu^2 e^{2bx}] dx \\
  &\leq 2 \left( \int_0^\infty u^2 e^{2bx} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_0^\infty u_x^2 e^{2bx} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + 2b \int_0^\infty u^2 e^{2bx} dx \leq (2 + 2b) \|u\|_{L^2_b} \|u\|_{H^1_b}
\end{align*}
\]
which guarantees that Claim 1 holds.

**Claim 2.** There exists a constant $K > 0$ such that for $0 < T \leq 1$
\[ \|\Gamma(u) - \Gamma(v)\|_F \leq KT^{\frac{1}{4}}(\|u\|_F + \|v\|_F)\|u - v\|_F, \quad \forall u, v \in F. \]

According to the previous analysis,
\[ \|\Gamma(u) - \Gamma(v)\|_F \leq C \|uu_x - vuv_x\|_{L^1(0,T;L^2_b)}. \]
So, applying triangular inequality and Hölder inequality, we have
\[
\begin{align*}
  \|\Gamma(u) - \Gamma(v)\|_F &\leq C \{ \|u - v\|_{L^2(0,T;L^\infty(0,\infty))} \|u\|_{L^2(0,T;H^1_b)} + \\
  &\quad + \|v\|_{L^2(0,T;L^\infty(0,\infty))} \|u - v\|_{L^2(0,T;H^1_b)} \}\}
\end{align*}
\]
(14)

Now, by Claim 1, we have
\[ \|u\|_{L^2(0,T;L^\infty(0,\infty))} \leq CT^{\frac{1}{4}} \|u\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2_b)} \|u\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2(0,T;H^1_b)}, \]
(15)

Then, combining (14) and (15), we deduce that
\[ \|\Gamma(u) - \Gamma(v)\|_F \leq C \|u\|_F + \|v\|_F \|u - v\|_F. \]
(16)

Let $T > 0$, $R > 0$ be numbers whose values will be specified later, and let $u \in B_R(0) \subset F$ be given. Then, by Claim 2 and Lemma 2.2, $\Gamma u \in F$ and
\[ \|\Gamma u\|_F \leq C \{ \|u_0\|_{L^2_b} + T^{\frac{1}{4}} \|u\|_F^2 \}. \]
Consequently, for $R = 2C\|u_0\|_{L^2_b}$ and $T > 0$ small enough, $\Gamma$ maps $B_R(0)$ into itself. Moreover, we infer from (16) that this mapping contracts if $T$ is small enough. Then, by the contraction mapping theorem, there exists a unique solution $u \in B_R(0) \subset F$ to the problem (3) for $T$ small enough.

In order to prove that this solution is global, we need some a priori estimates. So, we proceed as in the proof of Lemma 2.2 to obtain for the solution $u$ of (3)
\[
\begin{align*}
  \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty |u(x,T)|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty |u_0(x)|^2 dx + \int_0^T \int_0^\infty a(x)|u|^2 dx dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T u^2(0,t) dt = 0
\end{align*}
\]
and
\[
\begin{align*}
  \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty |u(x,T)|^2 e^{2bx} dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty |u_0(x)|^2 e^{2bx} dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T u^2(0,t) dt \\
  + 3b \int_0^T \int_0^\infty u^2 e^{2bx} dx dt - (4b^3 + b) \int_0^T \int_0^\infty u^2 e^{2bx} dx dt \\
  + \int_0^T \int_0^\infty a(x)|u|^2 e^{2bx} dx dt - \frac{2b}{3} \int_0^T \int_0^\infty u^3 e^{2bx} dx dt = 0.
\end{align*}
\]
(17)
First, observe that

\[ |\int_0^\infty u^2 e^{2bx} dx| = \left| -\frac{1}{b} \int_0^\infty uu_x e^{2bx} dx \right| \leq \frac{1}{b} \left( \int_0^\infty u^2 e^{2bx} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_0^\infty u_x^2 e^{2bx} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \]

therefore,

\[ \int_0^\infty u^2 e^{2bx} dx \leq \frac{1}{b^2} \int_0^\infty u_x^2 e^{2bx} dx. \]

Combined to Claim 1, this yields

\[ \|u(x)e^{bx}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)} \leq C\|u_x\|_{L^2_b}. \]

On the other hand, it follows from \([\text{Taylor}]\) that

\[ \|u(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} \leq \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}, \]

hence

\[
\int_0^T \int_0^\infty |u|^2 e^{2bx} dx dt \leq \int_0^T \|ue^{bx}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)}(\int_0^\infty |u|^2 e^{2bx} dx) dt \\
\leq C \int_0^T ||u_x||_{L^2_b}||u||_{L^2_b}||u||_{L^2} dt \\
\leq \delta||u_x||_{L^2(0,T;L^2_b)}^2 + C_\delta ||u||_{L^2(0,T;L^2_b)}^2,
\]

where \(\delta > 0\) is arbitrarily chosen and \(C = C(b,\delta,\|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)})\) is a positive constant. Combining this inequality (with \(\delta < 9/2\)) to \([\text{Taylor}]\) results in

\[ \|u(T)\|_{L^2_b}^2 \leq \|u_0\|_{L^2_b}^2 + C \int_0^T ||u||_{L^2_b}^2 dt \]

where \(C = C(b,\|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)})\) does not depend on \(T\). It follows from Gronwall lemma that

\[ \|u(T)\|_{L^2_b}^2 \leq \|u_0\|_{L^2_b}^2 e^{CT} \]

for all \(T > 0\), which gives the global well-posedness.

\[ \square \]

### 2.2 Global well-posedness in \(L^2_{(x+1)^2} dx\)

**Definition 2.4** For \(u_0 \in L^2_{(x+1)^2} dx\) and \(T > 0\), we denote by a mild solution of \([\text{I}]\) any function \(u \in C([0,T];L^2_{(x+1)^2} dx) \cap L^2(0,T;H^1_{(x+1)^2} dx)\) which solves \([\text{I}]\), and such that for some \(b > 0\) and some sequence \(\{u_{n,0}\} \subset L^2_b\) we have

\[ u_{n,0} \to u_0 \text{ strongly in } L^2_{(x+1)^2} dx, \]

\[ u_n \to u \text{ weakly* in } L^\infty(0,T;L^2_{(x+1)^2} dx), \]

\[ u_n \to u \text{ weakly in } L^2(0,T;H^1_{(x+1)^2} dx), \]

\(u_n\) denoting the solution of \([\text{I}]\) emanating from \(u_{n,0}\) at \(t = 0\).

**Theorem 2.5** For any \(u_0 \in L^2_{(x+1)^2} dx\) and any \(T > 0\), there exists a unique mild solution \(u \in C([0,T];L^2_{(x+1)^2} dx) \cap L^2(0,T;H^1_{(x+1)^2} dx)\) of \([\text{I}]\).
Proof. We prove the existence and the uniqueness in two steps.

**Step 1. Existence**

Since the embedding $L^2_0 \subset L^2_{(x+1)^2dx}$ is dense, for any given $u_0 \in L^2_{(x+1)^2dx}$ we may construct a sequence $\{u_{n,0}\} \subset L^2_0$ such that $u_{n,0} \to u_0$ in $L^2_{(x+1)^2dx}$ as $n \to \infty$. For each $n$, let $u_n$ denote the solution of (1) emanating from $u_{n,0}$ at $t = 0$, which is given by Theorem 2.1. Then $u_n \in C([0, T]; L^2_0) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1_0)$ and it solves

\[ u_{n,t} + u_{n,x} + u_{n,xxx} + u_n u_{n,x} + a(x)u_n = 0, \quad \text{for } n \geq 1, \quad u_n(0, t) = 0, \quad u_n(x, 0) = u_{n,0}(x). \]

Multiplying (19) by $(x + 1)^2u_n$ and integrating by parts, we obtain

\[
\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty (x + 1)^2 |u_n(x, T)|^2 dx + \frac{3}{2} \int_0^T \int_0^\infty (x + 1)^2 |u_{n,x}|^2 dx dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T |u_{n,x}(0, t)|^2 dt \\
- \int_0^T \int_0^\infty (x + 1)^2 |u_n|^2 dx dt - \frac{2}{3} \int_0^T \int_0^\infty (x + 1)u_n^3 dx dt + \int_0^T \int_0^\infty (x + 1)^2 u_n^2 a(x) dx \\
= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty (x + 1)^2 |u_{n,0}(x)|^2 dx.
\]

Scaling in (19) by $u_n$ gives

\[
\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty |u_n(x, T)|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T |u_{n,x}(0, t)|^2 dt + \int_0^T a(x)|u_n(x, t)|^2 dx dt \\
= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty |u_{n,0}(x)|^2 dx,
\]

hence

\[ ||u_n||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} \leq ||u_{n,0}||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} \leq C \]

where $C = C(||u_0||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)})$. It follows that

\[
\frac{2}{3} \int_0^\infty (x + 1)|u_n|^3 dx \leq \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3} ||u_{n,x}||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}^2 ||u_n||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}^2 ||(x + 1)u_n||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} \\
\leq \int_0^\infty (x + 1)|u_{n,x}|^2 dx + C \int_0^\infty (x + 1)^2 |u_n|^2 dx
\]

which, combined to (22), gives

\[
\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty (x + 1)^2 |u_n(x, T)|^2 dx + 2 \int_0^T \int_0^\infty (x + 1)|u_{n,x}|^2 dx dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T |u_{n,x}(0, t)|^2 dt \\
\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty (x + 1)^2 |u_{n,0}(x)|^2 dx + C \int_0^T \int_0^\infty (x + 1)^2 |u_n(x, t)|^2 dx dt.
\]

An application of Gronwall’s lemma yields

\[
||u_n||_{L^\infty(0, T; L^2_{(x+1)^2dx})} \leq C(T, ||u_{n,0}||_{L^2_{(x+1)^2dx}}), \\
||u_{n,x}||_{L^2(0, T; H^1_{(x+1)^2dx})} \leq C(T, ||u_{n,0}||_{L^2_{(x+1)^2dx}}), \\
||u_{n,x}(0, \cdot)||_{L^2(0, T)} \leq C(T, ||u_{n,0}||_{L^2_{(x+1)^2dx}}).
\]
Therefore, there exists a subsequence of \( \{u_n\} \), still denoted by \( \{u_n\} \), such that

\[
\begin{cases}
    u_n \to u & \text{weakly * in } L^\infty(0, T; L^2_{(x+1)^2} dx), \\
    u_n \to u & \text{weakly in } L^2(0, T; H^1_{(x+1)^2} dx), \\
    u_{n,x}(0,.) \to u_x(0,.) & \text{weakly in } L^2(0, T).
\end{cases}
\]

Note that, for all \( L > 0 \), \( \{u_n\} \) is bounded in \( L^2(0, T; H^1(0, L)) \cap H^1(0, T; H^{-2}(0, L)) \), hence by Aubin’s lemma, we have (after extracting a subsequence if needed)

\[
u_n \to u \quad \text{strongly in } L^2(0, T; L^2(0, L)) \quad \text{for all } L > 0.
\]

This gives that \( u_n, u_{n,x} \to uu_x \) in the sense of distributions, hence the limit \( u \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2_{(x+1)^2} dx) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1_{(x+1)^2} dx) \) is a solution of (1). Let us check that here, \( u \in C([0, T]; L^2_{(x+1)^2} dx) \). Since \( u \in C([0, T]; H^{-2}(\mathbb{R}^+)) \cap L^\infty(0, T; L^2_{(x+1)^2} dx) \), we have that \( u \in C_w([0, T]; L^2_{(x+1)^2} dx) \) (see e.g. (21)), where \( C_w([0, T]; L^2_{(x+1)^2} dx) \) denotes the space of sequentially weakly continuous functions from \([0, T]\) into \( L^2_{(x+1)^2} dx\).

We claim that \( u \in L^3(0, T; L^3(\mathbb{R}^+)) \). Indeed, from Moser estimate (see (3))

\[
\|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)} \leq \sqrt{2}\|u_x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]

and Young inequality we get

\[
\int_0^\infty |u|^3 dx \leq \|u\|_{L^\infty} \|u\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \sqrt{2}\|u_x\|_{L^2} \|u\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \varepsilon \|u_x\|_{L^2}^2 + c_\varepsilon \|u\|_{L^2}^{10}
\]

where \( \varepsilon > 0 \) is arbitrarily chosen and \( c_\varepsilon \) denotes some positive constant. Since \( u \in C_w([0, T]; L^2_{(x+1)^2} dx) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1_{(x+1)^2} dx) \), it follows that \( u \in L^3(0, T; L^3(\mathbb{R}^+)) \). On the other hand, \( u(0, t) = 0 \) for \( t \in (0, T) \) and \( u_x(0,.) \in L^2(0, T) \). Scaling in (1) by \((x+1)^2 u\) yields for all \( t_1, t_2 \in (0, T)\)

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \int_0^{t_2} (x+1)^2 u(x, t_2) dx & - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{t_2} (x+1)^2 u(x, t_1) dx \\
& = -3 \int_0^{t_2} \int_0^{t_2} (x+1) u_x^2 dt - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{t_2} \int_0^{t_2} |u_x(0,t)|^2 dt + \int_0^{t_2} \int_0^{t_2} (x+1) |u|^2 dt dt \\
& + \frac{2}{3} \int_0^{t_2} \int_0^{t_2} (x+1) u^3 dt - \int_0^{t_2} \int_0^{t_2} (x+1)^2 u x u^2 dt dt.
\end{align*}
\]

Therefore, \( \lim_{t_1 \to t_2} \left| \|u(t_2)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} - \|u(t_1)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} \right| = 0 \). Combined to the fact that \( u \in C_w([0, T]; L^2_{(x+1)^2} dx) \), this yields \( u \in C([0, T]; L^2_{(x+1)^2} dx) \).

**STEP 2. UNIQUENESS**

Here, \( C \) will denote a universal constant which may vary from line to line. Pick \( u_0 \in L^2_{(x+1)^2} dx \), and let \( u, v \in C([0, T]; L^2_{(x+1)^2} dx) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1_{(x+1)^2} dx) \) be two mild solutions of (1). Pick two sequences \( \{u_{n,0}\}, \{v_{n,0}\} \) in \( L^2_b \) for some \( b > 0 \) such that

\[
\begin{align*}
    u_{n,0} & \to u_0 \quad \text{strongly in } L^2_{(x+1)^2} dx, \\
    u_n & \to u \quad \text{weakly * in } L^\infty(0, T; L^2_{(x+1)^2} dx), \\
    u_n & \to u \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(0, T; H^1_{(x+1)^2} dx).
\end{align*}
\]
and also

\[(31)\] 
\[v_{n,0} \to u_0 \text{ strongly in } L^2_{(x+1)^2}dx,\]

\[(32)\] 
\[v_n \to v \text{ weakly * in } L^\infty(0,T; L^2_{(x+1)^2}dx),\]

\[(33)\] 
\[v_n \to v \text{ weakly in } L^2(0,T; H^1_{(x+1)^2}dx).\]

We shall prove that \(w = u - v\) vanishes on \(\mathbb{R}^+ \times [0,T]\) by providing some estimate for \(w_n = u_n - v_n\). Note first that \(w_n\) solves the system

\[(34)\]
\[w_{n,t} + w_{n,x} + w_{n,xxx} + aw_n = f_n = v_n v_{n,x} - u_n u_{n,x},\]

\[(35)\]
\[w_n(0,t) = 0,\]

\[(36)\]
\[w_n(x,0) = w_{n,0}(x) = u_{n,0}(x) - v_{n,0}(x).\]

Scaling in \((34)\) by \((x+1)w_n\) yields

\[
\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty (x+1)|w_n(x,t)|^2 dx + \frac{3}{2} \int_0^T \int_0^\infty |w_{n,x}|^2 dx d\tau - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_0^\infty |w_n|^2 dx d\tau \\
\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty (x+1)|w_n(0,t)|^2 dx + \int_0^T \left( \int_0^\infty (x+1)|w_n|^2 dx \right)^\frac{1}{2} \left( \int_0^\infty (x+1)|f_n|^2 dx \right)^\frac{1}{2} d\tau \\
\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty (x+1)|w_n(0)|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \sup_{0 < \tau < T} \int_0^\infty (x+1)|w_n(x,\tau)|^2 dx \\
+ \left[ \int_0^T \left( \int_0^\infty (x+1)|f_n|^2 dx \right)^\frac{1}{2} d\tau \right]^2.
\]

Since \(||w_n(t)||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} \leq ||w_n(t)||_{L^2_{(x+1)}dx},\) this yields for \(T < 1/10\)

\[
\sup_{0 < t < T} \int_0^\infty (x+1)|w_n(x,t)|^2 dx + \int_0^T \int_0^\infty |w_{n,x}|^2 dx dt \\
\leq C \left[ \int_0^\infty (x+1)|w_{n,0}(x)|^2 dx + \left( \int_0^T \left( \int_0^\infty (x+1)|f_n|^2 dx \right)^\frac{1}{2} d\tau \right)^2 \right].
\]

It remains to estimate \(\int_0^T \left( \int_0^\infty (x+1)|f_n|^2 dx \right)^\frac{1}{2} dt.\) We split \(f_n\) into

\[f_n = (v_n - u_n)v_{n,x} + u_n(v_n, x - u_{n,x}) = f_n^1 + f_n^2.\]

We have that

\[
\int_0^T \left( \int_0^\infty (x+1)|f_n^1|^2 dx \right)^\frac{1}{2} dt \leq \int_0^T \left( \int_0^\infty (x+1)|w_n|^2 |v_{n,x}|^2 dx \right)^\frac{1}{2} dt \\
\leq \int_0^T ||w_n||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)} \left( \int_0^\infty (x+1)|v_{n,x}|^2 dx \right)^\frac{1}{2} dt \\
\leq \int_0^T \left( \int_0^\infty (x+1)|v_{n,x}|^2 dx \right)^\frac{1}{2} dt \\
\leq \left( \int_0^T ||w_n||_{L^2_{\mathbb{R}^+}}^2 dt \right)^\frac{1}{2} \left( \int_0^T \int_0^\infty (x+1)|v_{n,x}|^2 dx dt \right)^\frac{1}{2}.
\]

By Sobolev embedding, we have that

\[
\left( \int_0^T ||w_n||_{L^2_{\mathbb{R}^+}}^2 dt \right)^\frac{1}{2} \leq \left( \int_0^T ||w_n||_{H^1_{\mathbb{R}^+}}^2 dt \right)^\frac{1}{2} \\
\leq \sqrt{T} \sup_{0 < t < T} ||w_n||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} + ||w_n||_{L^2(0,T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^+))},
\]

10
Thus
\[
\int_0^T \left( \int_0^{\infty} (x + 1) |f_n(x, u_{n,x})|^2 \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \, dt \leq \left\| v_n \right\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(x_{(x+1)}dx)} \left( \sqrt{T} \sup_{0 < t < T} \left\| w_n \right\|_{L^2(R^+)} \right)
\]
(38)
\[+ \left\| w_{n,x} \right\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(R^+))} \]

On the other hand, we have that
\[
\int_0^T \left( \int_0^{\infty} (x + 1) |f_n(x, u_{n,x})|^2 \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \, dt
\]
\[= \int_0^T \left( \int_0^{\infty} (x + 1)|u_n|^2 |w_{n,x}|^2 \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \, dt
\]
\[\leq \int_0^T \left( \frac{1}{2} |u_n|_{L^\infty(R^+)} \left\| w_{n,x} \right\|_{L^2(R^+)} \right) \, dt
\]
\[= C \int_0^T \left( \frac{1}{2} |u_n|_{L^\infty(R^+)} + \left\| w_{n,x} \right\|_{L^2(R^+)} \right) \, dt
\]
\[\leq C \left( \sqrt{T} \sup_{0 < t < T} \left\| u_n \right\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(R^+))} \right)
\]
(39)
\[+ \left\| w_{n,x} \right\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(R^+))} \left\| w_{n,x} \right\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(R^+))}
\]

Gathering together (37), (38) and (39), we conclude that for \( T < 1/10 \)
\[h_n(T) \leq K_n(T)h_n(T) + C\left\| w_{n,0} \right\|_{L^2(x_{(x+1)}dx)}^2
\]
where
\[(40) \quad h_n(t) := \sup_{0 < \tau < T} \int_0^{\infty} (x + 1)|v_n(x, \tau)|^2 \, dx + \int_0^T \int_0^{\infty} |w_{n,x}|^2 \, dx \, dt
\]
\[K_n(T) \leq C \left( \int_0^T \int_0^{\infty} (x + 1)|v_n(x, \tau)|^2 \, dx \, dt + T \left\| w_{n,x} \right\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(R^+))} \right)
\]
\[+ \int_0^T \int_0^{\infty} (x + 1)|u_{n,x}|^2 \, dx \, dt
\]
(41)
and \( C \) denotes a universal constant. The following claim is needed.

**Claim 3.**
\[\lim_{T \to 0} \sup_{n \to \infty} \int_0^T \int_0^{\infty} (x + 1)|u_{n,x}|^2 \, dx \, dt = 0, \quad \lim_{T \to 0} \sup_{n \to \infty} \int_0^T \int_0^{\infty} (x + 1)|v_n(x)|^2 \, dx \, dt = 0.
\]
Clearly, it is sufficient to prove the claim for the sequence \( \{u_n\} \) only. From (27) applied with \( u = u_n \) on \([0,T]\), we obtain
\[
\frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\infty} (x + 1)^2 |u_n(x, T)|^2 \, dx + 3 \int_0^T \int_0^{\infty} (x + 1)|u_{n,x}|^2 \, dx \, dt
\]
\[\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\infty} (x + 1)^2 |u_{n,0}|^2 \, dx + \int_0^T \int_0^{\infty} (x + 1)|u_n|^2 \, dx \, dt + \frac{2}{3} \int_0^T \int_0^{\infty} (x + 1)|u_{n,x}|^3 \, dx \, dt.
\]
Combined to (23)-(24), this gives
\[
\left\| u_n(T) \right\|_{L^2(x_{(x+1)}dx)}^2 + \int_0^T \int_0^{\infty} (x + 1)|u_{n,x}|^2 \, dx \, dt
\]
(42)
\[\leq \left\| u_{n,0} \right\|_{L^2(x_{(x+1)}dx)}^2 + C \int_0^T \left\| u_n \right\|_{L^2(x_{(x+1)}dx)}^2 \, dt.
\]

It follows from Gronwall lemma that
\begin{equation}
\|u_n(t)\|_{L^2_{(x+1)^2dx}}^2 \leq \|u_n,0\|_{L^2_{(x+1)^2dx}}^2 e^{Ct}
\end{equation}

Using (43) in (42) and taking the limit sup as \(n \to \infty\) gives for a.e. \(T\)
\[\|u(T)\|_{L^2_{(x+1)^2dx}}^2 + \limsup_{n \to \infty} \int_0^T \int_0^{\infty} |u_{n,x}|^2 dxdt \leq e^{Ct}\|u_0\|_{L^2_{(x+1)^2dx}}^2\]

As \(u\) is continuous from \(\mathbb{R}^+\) to \(L^2_{(x+1)^2dx}\), we infer that
\[\lim_{T \to 0} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \int_0^T \int_0^{\infty} |u_{n,x}|^2 dxdt = 0.\]

The claim is proved. Therefore, we have that for \(T > 0\) small enough and \(n\) large enough, \(K_n(T) < \frac{1}{2}\), and hence
\[h_n(T) \leq 2C\|w_n(0)\|_{L^2_{(x+1)^2dx}}^2.\]

This yields
\[\|u - v\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2_{(x+1)^2dx})} \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} h_n(T) \leq 2C\liminf_{n \to \infty} \|w_n(0)\|_{L^2_{(x+1)^2dx}}^2 = 0\]
and \(u = v\) for \(0 < t < T\). This proves the uniqueness for \(T\) small enough. The general case follows by a classical argument.

**Remark 2.6**

1. If we assume only that \(u_0 \in L^2_{(x+1)dx}\), then a proof similar to Step 1 gives the existence of a mild solution \(u \in C([0,T];L^2_{(x+1)dx}) \cap L^2(0,T;H^1_{(x+1)dx})\) of (1). The uniqueness of such a solution is open. The existence and uniqueness of a solution issuing from \(u_0 \in L^2_{(x+1)dx}\) in a class of functions involving a Bourgain norm has been given in [13].
2. If \(u_0 \in L^2_{(x+1)^m dx}\) with \(m \geq 3\), then \(u \in C([0,T];L^2_{(x+1)^m dx}) \cap L^2(0,T;H^1_{(x+1)^m dx})\) for all \(T > 0\) (see below Theorem 3.1).

### 3 Asymptotic Behavior

#### 3.1 Decay in \(L^2_{(x+1)^m dx}\)

**Theorem 3.1** Assume that the function \(a = a(x)\) satisfies (4). Then, for all \(R > 0\) and \(m \geq 1\), there exist numbers \(C > 0\) and \(\nu > 0\) such that
\[\|u(t)\|_{L^2_{(x+1)^m dx}} \leq C e^{-\nu t}\|u_0\|_{L^2_{(x+1)^m dx}}\]
for any solution given by Theorem 2.4, whenever \(\|u_0\|_{L^2_{(x+1)^m dx}} \leq R\).

**Proof.** The proof will be done by induction in \(m\). We set
\[V_0(u) = E(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\infty} u^2 dx\]
and define the Lyapunov function \(V_m\) for \(m \geq 1\) in an inductive way
\[V_m(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\infty} (x+1)^m u^2 dx + d_{m-1}V_{m-1}(u),\]
where $d_{m-1} > 0$ is chosen sufficiently large (see below).

Suppose first that $m = 1$ and put $V = V_1$. Multiplying the first equation in (1) by $u$ and integrating by parts over $\mathbb{R}^+ \times (0,T)$, we obtain

\begin{equation}
\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty |u(x,T)|^2 \, dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty |u_0(x)|^2 \, dx - \int_0^T \int_0^\infty a(x)|u|^2 \, dx \, dt - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T u_x^2(0,t) \, dt.
\end{equation}

Now, multiplying the equation by $xu$, we deduce that

\begin{equation}
\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty x|u(x,T)|^2 \, dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty x|u_0(x)|^2 \, dx + \frac{3}{2} \int_0^T \int_0^\infty u_x^2 \, dx \, dt
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_0^\infty u^2 \, dx \, dt - \frac{1}{3} \int_0^T \int_0^\infty u^3 \, dx \, dt - \int_0^T \int_0^\infty xu(x)|u|^2 \, dx \, dt = 0.
\end{equation}

Combining (46) and (47) it follows that

\begin{equation}
V(u) - V(u_0) + (d_0 + 1) \left( \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T u_x^2(0,t) \, dt + \int_0^T \int_0^\infty a(x)|u|^2 \, dx \, dt \right)
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\quad + \frac{3}{2} \int_0^T \int_0^\infty u_x^2 \, dx \, dt - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_0^\infty u^2 \, dx \, dt - \frac{1}{3} \int_0^T \int_0^\infty u^3 \, dx \, dt + \int_0^T \int_0^\infty xu(x)|u|^2 \, dx \, dt = 0.
\end{equation}

The next step is devoted to estimate the nonlinear term in the left hand side of (48). To do that, we first assume that $||u_0||_{L^2} \leq 1$.

By (26) we have that

\begin{equation}
\int_0^\infty |u|^3 \, dx \leq \varepsilon ||u_x||_{L^2}^2 + c_\varepsilon ||u||_{L^2}^{10}
\end{equation}

for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and some constant $c_\varepsilon > 0$. Thus, if $||u_0||_{L^2} \leq 1$, we have $||u||_{L^2}^{10} \leq ||u||_{L^2}^2$ and

\begin{equation}
\int_0^T \int_0^\infty |u|^3 \, dx \, dt \leq \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_0^\infty u_x^2 \, dx \, dt + c_\varepsilon \int_0^T \int_0^\infty u^2 \, dx \, dt.
\end{equation}

Moreover, according to (21), there exists $c_1 > 0$, satisfying

\begin{equation}
\int_0^T \int_0^\infty u^2 \, dx \, dt \leq c_1 \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T u_x^2(0,t) \, dt + \int_0^T \int_0^\infty a(x)|u|^2 \, dx \, dt \right\}.
\end{equation}

Now, combining (48)-(50) and taking $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ and $d_0 := 2c_1(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{c_\varepsilon}{3})$ we obtain

\begin{equation}
V(u(T)) - V(u_0) + \frac{d_0 + 1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T u_x^2(0,t) \, dt + \int_0^T \int_0^\infty a(x)|u|^2 \, dx \, dt \right)
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\quad + \left( \frac{3}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \right) \int_0^T \int_0^\infty u_x^2 \, dx \, dt + \int_0^T \int_0^\infty xu(x)|u|^2 \, dx \, dt \leq 0
\end{equation}

or

\begin{equation}
V(u(T)) - V(u_0) \leq -\tilde{c} \left\{ \int_0^T u_x^2(0,t) \, dt + \int_0^T \int_0^\infty (x + 1)a(x)|u|^2 \, dx \, dt + \int_0^T \int_0^\infty u_x^2 \, dx \, dt \right\}
\end{equation}

where $\tilde{c} > 0$. We aim to prove the existence of a constant $c > 0$ satisfying

\begin{equation}
V(u(T)) - V(u_0) \leq -c V(u_0)
\end{equation}
Indeed, such an inequality gives at once the decay $V(u(t)) \leq ce^{-\nu t}V(u_0)$. To this end, we need to establish two claims.

**Claim 4.** There exists $c > 0$ such that

$$\int_0^T V(u)dt \leq c \left\{ \int_0^T u_x^2(0, t)dt + \int_0^T \int_0^\infty (x + 1) a(x) u^2 dx dt \right\}.$$

Since $u_0 \in L^2_{(x+1)dx} \subset L^2$, from (4) and (50) we get

$$\int_0^T V(u)dt = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_0^\infty (x + 1) u^2 dx dt + \frac{d_0}{2} \int_0^T \int_0^\infty u^2 dx dt$$

$$\leq \frac{c_1d_0}{2} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T u_x^2(0, t)dt + \int_0^T \int_0^\infty a(x) u^2 dx dt \right\} + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_0^{x_0} (x + 1) u^2 dx dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{x_0}^\infty (x + 1) u^2 dx dt$$

$$\leq \frac{c_1d_0}{2} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T u_x^2(0, t)dt + \int_0^T \int_0^\infty a(x) u^2 dx dt \right\}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} (x_0 + 1) \int_0^T \int_0^{x_0} u^2 dx dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{x_0}^\infty \frac{a(x)}{a_0} u^2 dx dt$$

$$\leq c \left\{ \int_0^T u_x^2(0, t)dt + \int_0^T \int_0^\infty (x + 1) a(x) u^2 dx dt \right\}.$$

**Claim 5.**

(54) \quad V(u_0) \leq C \left( \int_0^T u_x^2(0, t)dt + \int_0^T \int_0^\infty (x + 1) a(x) u^2 dx dt + \int_0^T \int_0^\infty u_x^2 dx dt \right)

where $C > 0$.

Multiplying the first equation in (3) by $(T - t)u$ and integrating by parts in $(0, \infty) \times (0, T)$, we obtain

$$\frac{T}{2} \int_0^\infty |u_0(x)|^2 dx =$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_0^\infty |u|^2 dx dt + \int_0^T \int_0^\infty (T - t) a(x)|u|^2 dx dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T (T - t) u_x^2(0, t)dt,$$

and therefore, using (50)

(56) \quad \int_0^\infty |u_0(x)|^2 dx \leq C \left( \int_0^T \int_0^\infty a(x)|u|^2 dx dt + \int_0^T u_x^2(0, t)dt \right).

Now, multiplying by $(T - t)xu$, it follows that

$$-\frac{T}{2} \int_0^\infty x|u_0(x)|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_0^\infty x|u|^2 dx dt + \frac{3}{2} \int_0^T \int_0^\infty (T - t) u_x^2 dx dt$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_0^\infty (T - t) u^2 dx dt + \int_0^T \int_0^\infty (T - t) x a(x)|u|^2 dx dt - \frac{1}{3} \int_0^T \int_0^\infty (T - t) u^3 dx dt = 0.$$
The identity above and (53) allow us to conclude that
\[ \int_0^\infty x|u_0(x)|^2dx \]
\[ \leq C \left\{ \int_0^T \int_0^\infty (x+1)|u|^2dxdt + \int_0^T \int_0^\infty u_x^2dxdt + \int_0^T \int_0^\infty x\alpha(x)|u|^2dxdt + \int_0^T \int_0^\infty |u|^3dxdt \right\} \leq C \left\{ \int_0^T V(u(t))dt + \int_0^T \int_0^\infty x\alpha(x)u^2dxdt + \int_0^T \int_0^\infty u_x^2dxdt \right\} \]
for some \( C > 0 \). Claim 5 follows from Claim 4 and (50)-(57).  

The previous computations give us (53) (and the exponential decay) when \( \|u_0\|_{L^2} \leq 1 \). The general case is proved as follows. Let \( u_0 \in L^2_{(x+1)dx} \subset L^2 \) be such that \( \|u_0\|_{L^2} \leq R \). Since \( u \in C(\mathbb{R}^+; L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)) \) and \( \|u(t)\|_{L^2} \leq \alpha e^{-\beta t} |u_0|_{L^2} \), where \( \alpha = \alpha(R) \) and \( \beta = \beta(R) \) are positive constants, \( \|u(T)\|_{L^2} \leq 1 \) if we pick \( T \) satisfying \( \alpha e^{-\beta T} R < 1 \). Then, it follows from (48)-(26) and (53) that for some constants \( \nu > 0, c > 0, C > 0 \)
\[ V(u(t + T)) \leq ce^{-\nu T}V(u(T)) \leq c(T||u_0||_{L^2}^2 + T||u_0||_{L^2}^{10}) + V(u_0))e^{-\nu t}, \]
hence
\[ V(u(t)) \leq Ce^{-\nu t}V(u_0), \]
where \( C = C(R) \), which concludes the proof when \( m = 1 \).

**Induction Hypothesis:** There exist \( c > 0 \) and \( \rho > 0 \) such that if \( V_{m-1}(u_0) \leq \rho \), we have
\[ V_m(u) - V_m(u_0) \]
\[ \leq -c \left\{ \int_0^T u_x^2(0,t)dt + \int_0^T \int_0^\infty (x+1)^{m-1}u_x^2dxdt + \int_0^T \int_0^\infty x\alpha(x)u^2dxdt \right\} \leq c \left\{ \int_0^T u_x^2(0,t)dt + \int_0^T \int_0^\infty (x+1)^{m-1}u_x^2dxdt + \int_0^T \int_0^\infty (x+1)^{m-1}a(x)u^2dxdt \right\}. \]

By (52)-(54), the induction hypothesis is true for \( m = 1 \). Pick now an index \( m \geq 2 \) and assume that \( d_0, ..., d_{m-2} \) have been constructed so that \((*)_k - (**)_k \) are fulfilled for \( 1 \leq k \leq m - 1 \). We aim to prove that for a convenient choice of the constant \( d_{m-1} \) in (53), the properties \((*)_{m} - (**)_m \) hold true.

Let us investigate first \((*)_m \). We multiply the first equation in (1) by \( (x+1)^m u \) to obtain
\[ V_m(u) - V_m(u_0) - d_{m-1}(V_{m-1}(u) - V_{m-1}(u_0)) \]
\[ - \frac{m(m-1)(m-2)}{2} \int_0^T \int_0^\infty (x+1)^{m-3}u_x^2dxdt + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T u_x^2(0,t)dt \]
\[ + \frac{3m}{2} \int_0^T \int_0^\infty (x+1)^{m-1}u_x^2dxdt - \frac{m}{2} \int_0^T \int_0^\infty (x+1)^{m-1}u^2dxdt \]
\[ - \frac{m}{3} \int_0^T \int_0^\infty (x+1)^{m-2}u^3dxdt + \int_0^T \int_0^\infty (x+1)^{m}a(x)u^2dxdt = 0. \]
The next steps are devoted to estimate the terms in the above identity. First, combining (4) and (50) we infer the existence of a positive constant $c > 0$ such that

\[
\int_0^T \int_0^\infty (x + 1)^{m-1} u^2 \, dx \, dt
\]

\[=
\int_0^T \int_0^{x_0} (x + 1)^{m-1} u^2 \, dx \, dt + \int_0^T \int_0^\infty (x + 1)^{m-1} u^2 \, dx \, dt
\]

\[\leq (x_0 + 1)^{m-1} \int_0^T \int_0^\infty u^2 \, dx \, dt + \frac{1}{\alpha_0} \int_0^T \int_0^\infty a(x)(x + 1)^{m-1} u^2 \, dx \, dt
\]

\[\leq c \{ \int_0^T u_x^2(0,t) \, dt + \int_0^T \int_0^\infty (x + 1)^{m-1} a(x) u^2 \, dx \, dt \}
\]

\[\leq -c \{ V_{m-1}(u) - V_{m-1}(u_0) \}
\]

where we used $(\ast)_{m-1}$. In the same way

\[
\int_0^T \int_0^\infty (x + 1)^{m-3} u^2 \, dx \, dt
\]

\[\leq \int_0^T \int_0^\infty (x + 1)^{m-1} u^2 \, dx \, dt \leq -c \{ V_{m-1}(u) - V_{m-1}(u_0) \}
\]

where $c > 0$ is a positive constant. Moreover, assuming $V_{m-1}(u_0) \leq \rho$ with $\rho > 0$ small enough (so that by exponential decay of $V_{m-1}(u(t))$ we have $\int_0^\infty (x + 1)^{m-1} |u(x,t)|^2 \, dx \leq 1$ for all $t \geq 0$) and proceeding as in the case $m = 1$, we obtain the existence of $\varepsilon > 0$ and $c_\varepsilon > 0$ satisfying

\[
\int_0^T \int_0^\infty (x + 1)^{m-1} |u|^3 \, dx \, dt
\]

\[\leq \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_0^\infty (x + 1)^{m-1} u^2 \, dx \, dt + c_\varepsilon \int_0^T \int_0^\infty (x + 1)^{m-1} u^2 \, dx \, dt.
\]

Indeed,

\[
\int_0^\infty (x + 1)^{m-1} |u|^3 \, dx
\]

\[\leq \|u\|_{L^\infty} \int_0^\infty (x + 1)^{m-1} u^2 \, dx \leq \sqrt{2} |u_x|_{L^2}^\frac{1}{2} |u|_{L^2}^\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty (x + 1)^{m-1} u^2 \, dx
\]

\[\leq \varepsilon \int_0^\infty (x + 1)^{m-1} u_x^2 \, dx + c_\varepsilon \int_0^\infty u^2 \, dx + c_\varepsilon \left( \int_0^\infty (x + 1)^{m-1} u^2 \, dx \right)^2.
\]

Then, if we return to (58) and take $\varepsilon < 9/2$ and $d_{m-1} > 0$ large enough, from (53)-(54), if follows that

\[
V_m(u) - V_m(u_0)
\]

\[\leq -c \{ \int_0^T u_x^2(0,t) \, dt + \int_0^T \int_0^\infty (x + 1)^{m-1} u_x^2 \, dx \, dt + \int_0^T \int_0^\infty a(x)(x + 1)^m u^2 \, dx \, dt \}
\]

\[+ \frac{d_{m-1}}{2} \{ V_{m-1}(u) - V_{m-1}(u_0) \}.
\]
This yields \((*)_m\), by \((*)_{m-1}\). Let us now check \((**)_m\). It remains to estimate the terms in the right hand side of (63). We multiply the first equation in (63) by \((T-t)(x+1)^m u\) to obtain

\[
\frac{T}{2} \int_0^\infty (x+1)^m u_0^2 dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_0^\infty (x+1)^m u^2 dt dx - \frac{m(m-1)(m-2)}{2} \int_0^T \int_0^\infty (T-t)(x+1)^{m-3} u^2 dt dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T(T-t)u_2^2(0,t) dt + \frac{3m}{2} \int_0^T \int_0^\infty (T-t)(x+1)^{m-1} u_2^2 dt dx - \frac{m}{3} \int_0^T \int_0^\infty (T-t)(x+1)^{m-1} u_3^2 dt dx + \int_0^T \int_0^\infty (T-t)(x+1)^m a(x) u^2 dt dx.
\]

Then, proceeding as above, we deduce that

\[
\int_0^T (x+1)^m u_0^2 dx\leq \int_0^T \int_0^\infty (x+1)^{m-1} u^2 dt dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T u_2^2(0,t) dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_0^\infty (x+1)^{m-1} u_2^2 dt dx + \int_0^T \int_0^\infty (x+1)^{m-1} a(x) u^2 dt dx.
\]

Combined to \((**)_{m-1}\), this yields \((**)_m\). This completes the construction of the sequence \(\{V_m\}_{m \geq 1}\) by induction.

Let us now check the exponential decay of \(V_m\) for \(m \geq 2\). It follows from \((*)_m - (**)_m\) that

\[
V_m(u) - V_m(u_0) \leq -c V_m(u_0)
\]

where \(c > 0\), which completes the proof when \(V_{m-1}(u_0) \leq \rho\). The global result \((V_{m-1}(u_0) \leq R)\) is obtained as above for \(m = 1\).

\[\text{Corollary 3.2} \quad \text{Let} \quad \alpha = a(x) \quad \text{fulfilling} \quad (\mathcal{A}) \quad \text{and} \quad a \in W^{2,\infty}(0,\infty). \quad \text{Then for any} \quad R > 0, \quad \text{there exist positive constants} \quad c = c(R) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu = \mu(R) \quad \text{such that}
\]

\[
\|u(t)\|_{L^2(R^+)} \leq c \frac{e^{-\mu t}}{\sqrt{t}} \|u_0\|_{L^2_{(x+1)}dx}
\]

for all \(t > 0\) and all \(u_0 \in L^2_{(x+1)dx}\) satisfying \(\|u_0\|_{L^2_{(x+1)}dx} \leq R\).

\[\text{Proof.} \quad \text{Pick any} \quad R > 0 \quad \text{and any} \quad u_0 \in L^2_{(x+1)dx} \quad \text{with} \quad \|u_0\|_{L^2_{(x+1)dx}} \leq R. \quad \text{By Theorem 3.1} \quad \text{there are some constants} \quad C = C(R) \quad \text{and} \quad \nu = \nu(R) \quad \text{such that}
\]

\[
\|u(t)\|_{L^2_{(x+1)dx}} \leq C e^{-\nu t} \|u_0\|_{L^2_{(x+1)dx}}.
\]

Using the multiplier \(t(u^2 + 2u_{xx})\) we obtain after some integrations by parts that for all \(0 < t_1 < t_2\)

\[
t_2 \int_0^\infty u_2^2(x,t_2) dx + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} t u_2^2(0,t) dt + 2 \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_0^\infty ta(x) u_2^2 dt dx + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} tu_{xx}^2(0,t) dt = -\frac{1}{3} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_0^\infty u^3 dx dt + \frac{t_2}{3} \int_0^\infty u_3(x,t_2) dx + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_0^\infty tu^3 a(x) dx dt
\]

\[
+ \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_0^\infty u_3 dx dt + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_0^\infty ta''(x) u^2 dx dt.
\]
1. Let us assume first that $T > 1$. Applying (64) on the time interval $[T - 1, T]$, we infer that
\begin{equation}
\int_0^\infty |u_x(x, T)|^2dx \leq C \left( \int_{T-1}^T \int_0^\infty |u|^3dxdt + ||u(T)||^3_{L^3(\mathbb{R}^+)} + \int_{T-1}^T ||u||^2_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+)}dt \right).
\end{equation}
To estimate the cubic terms in (67), we use (26) to obtain
\begin{equation}
\int_0^\infty |u_x(x, T)|^2dx \leq \varepsilon \int_0^\infty |u_x(x, T)|^2dx
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
+ c_\varepsilon (||u(T)||^{10}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} + \int_{T-1}^T (||u||^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^+)} + ||u||^{10}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}dt).
\end{equation}
Note that by (63)
\begin{equation}
||u(T)||^{10}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} \leq (Ce^{-tT})||u_0||^2_{L^2_{(x+1)dx}} \leq C^{10}R_1^4e^{-\mu T}||u_0||^2_{L^2_{(x+1)dx}}.
\end{equation}
It follows from (38), (26), and (65) that
\begin{equation}
\int_{T-1}^T (||u||^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^+)} + ||u||^{10}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}dt
\leq C \left( V_1(u(T - 1)) + \int_{T-1}^T (||u||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} + ||u||^{10}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}dt) \right)
\leq C e^{-\mu T}||u_0||^2_{L^2_{(x+1)dx}}
\end{equation}
where $C = C(R, \nu)$. (64) for $T \geq 1$ follows from (58) and (59) by choosing $\varepsilon < 1$ and $\mu < \nu$.
2. Assume now that $T \leq 1$. Estimating again the cubic terms in (60) (with $[t_1, t_2] = [0, T]$) by using (24), we obtain
\begin{equation}
T \int_0^\infty u_x^2(x, T)dx \leq \frac{T}{3} \left( \varepsilon ||u_x(T)||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} + C_\varepsilon ||u(T)||^{10}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} \right)
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
+ C_\varepsilon \int_0^T (||u||^2_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^+)} + ||u||^{10}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}dt.
\end{equation}
By (48), (24) and (53), we have that
\begin{equation}
\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{\infty} |u_x|^2dxdt \leq C(R)||u_0||^2_{L^2_{(x+1)dx}}
\end{equation}
which, combined to (70) with $\varepsilon = 1$ and (63), gives
\begin{equation}
||u_x(T)||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} \leq C(R)T^{-1}||u_0||^2_{L^2_{(x+1)dx}}
\end{equation}
for all $T < 1$. This gives (34) for $T < 1$.

Corollary 3.2 may be extended (locally) to the weighted space $L^2_{(x+1)^m dx}$ $(m \geq 2)$ in following the method of proof of [24, Theorem 1.1].

**Corollary 3.3** Let $a = a(x)$ fulfilling (3) and $m \geq 2$. Then there exist some constants $\rho > 0$, $C > 0$ and $\mu > 0$ such that
\begin{equation}
||u(t)||_{H^1_{(x+1)^m dx}} \leq C e^{-\mu t}||u_0||^2_{L^2_{(x+1)^m dx}}
\end{equation}
for all $t > 0$ and all $u_0 \in L^2_{(x+1)^m dx}$ satisfying $||u_0||^2_{L^2_{(x+1)^m dx}} \leq \rho$. 
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Proof. We first prove estimates for the linearized problem

\begin{align}
(72) & \quad u_t + u_x + u_{xx} + au = 0 \\
(73) & \quad u(0, t) = 0 \\
(74) & \quad u(x, 0) = u_0(x)
\end{align}

and next apply a perturbation argument to extend them to the nonlinear problem (1). Let us denote by $W(t)u_0 = u(t)$ the solution of (72)-(74). By computations similar to those performed in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we have that

$$||W(t)u_0||_{L^2_{(x+1)^m} dx} \leq C_0 e^{-\nu t} ||u_0||_{L^2_{(x+1)^m} dx}.$$  

We need the

**Claim 6.** Let $k \in \{0, ..., 3\}$. Then there exists a constant $C_k > 0$ such that for any $u_0 \in H_{(x+1)^m}^k,$

$$||W(t)u_0||_{H^k_{(x+1)^m} dx} \leq C_k e^{-\nu t} ||u_0||_{H^k_{(x+1)^m} dx}.$$  

Indeed, if $u_0 \in H^3_{(x+1)^m} dx$, then $u_t(., 0) \in L^2_{(x+1)^m-3} dx$, and since $v = u_t$ solves (72)-(73), we also have that

$$||u_t(., t)||_{L^2_{(x+1)^m-3} dx} \leq C_0 e^{-\nu t} ||u_t(., 0)||_{L^2_{(x+1)^m-3} dx}.$$  

Using (72), this gives

$$||W(t)u_0||_{H^3_{(x+1)^m} dx} \leq C_3 e^{-\nu t} ||u_0||_{H^3_{(x+1)^m} dx}.$$  

This proves (75) for $k = 3$. The fact that (75) is valid for $k = 1, 2$ follows from a standard interpolation argument, for $H^k_{(x+1)^m} dx = [H^0_{(x+1)^m} dx, H^3_{(x+1)^m} dx]_k$.

**Lemma 3.4** Pick any number $\mu \in (0, \nu)$. Then there exists some constant $C = C(\mu) > 0$ such that for any $u_0 \in L^2_{(x+1)^m} dx$

$$||W(t)u_0||_{H^1_{(x+1)^m} dx} \leq C e^{-\mu t} ||u_0||_{L^2_{(x+1)^m} dx}.$$  

**Proof.** Let $u_0 \in L^2_{(x+1)^m} dx$ and set $u(t) = W(t)u_0$ for all $t \geq 0$. By scaling in (72) by $(x+1)^m u$, we see that for some constant $C_K = C_K(T)$

$$||u||_{L^2_{(0, 1), H^1_{(x+1)^m} dx}} \leq C_K ||u_0||_{L^2_{(x+1)^m} dx}.$$  

This implies that $u(t) \in H^1_{(x+1)^m} dx$ for a.e. $t \in (0, 1)$ which, combined to (75), gives that $u(t) \in H^1_{(x+1)^m} dx$ for all $t > 0$. Pick any $T \in (0, 1]$. Note that, by (75),

$$||u(T)||_{H^3_{(x+1)^m} dx} \leq C_1 e^{-\nu(T-t)} ||u(t)||_{H^1_{(x+1)^m} dx}, \forall t \in (0, T).$$  

Integrating with respect to $t$ in (77) yields

$$[C_1^{-1} ||u(T)||_{H^1_{(x+1)^m} dx}]^2 \int_0^T e^{2\nu(T-t)} dt \leq \int_0^T ||u(t)||_{H^1_{(x+1)^m} dx}^2 dt,$$

and hence

$$||u(T)||_{H^1_{(x+1)^m} dx} \leq C_K C_1 \sqrt{\frac{2\nu}{e^{2\nu T} - 1}} ||u_0||_{L^2_{(x+1)^m} dx} \leq C_K C_1 \frac{||u_0||_{L^2_{(x+1)^m} dx}}{\sqrt{T}}.$$  
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for $0 < T \leq 1$. Therefore

\[ ||u(t)||_{H^1_{x+1}} \leq C_K C_1 e^{\nu t} ||u_0||_{L^2_{x+1}} \quad \forall t \in (0, 1). \]

(78) follows from (78) and (72), since $\mu < \nu$. Let us return to the proof of Corollary 3.3. Fix a number $\mu \in (0, \nu)$, where $\nu$ is as in (75), and let us introduce the space

\[ F = \{ u \in C(\mathbb{R}^+; H^1_{x+1}) : ||e^{\mu t} u(t)||_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+; H^1_{x+1})} < \infty \} \]

dowered with its natural norm. Note that (1) may be recast in the following integral form

\[ u(t) = W(t)u_0 + \int_0^t W(t-s)N(u(s)) \, ds \]

where $N(u) = -uu_x$. We first show that (79) has a solution in $F$ provided that $u_0 \in H^1_{x+1}$ with $||u_0||_{H^1_{x+1}}$ small enough. Let $u_0 \in H^1_{x+1}$ and $u \in F$ with $||u_0||_{H^1_{x+1}} \leq r_0$ and $||u||_F \leq R$, $r_0$ and $R$ being chosen later. We introduce the map $\Gamma$ defined by

\[ (\Gamma u)(t) = W(t)u_0 + \int_0^t W(t-s)N(u(s)) \, ds \quad \forall t \geq 0. \]

We shall prove that $\Gamma$ has a fixed point in the closed ball $B_R(0) \subset F$ provided that $r_0 > 0$ is small enough.

For the forcing problem

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{cases}
\frac{ut + uu_x + u_{xx} + au}{u(0,t)} = 0 \\
u(x,0) = u_0(x)
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]
we have the following estimate

\[
\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} ||u(t)||_{L^2_{x+1}}^2 + \int_0^t \int_0^\infty (x+1)^{m-1} u_x^2 \, dxdt 
\leq C \left( ||u_0||_{L^2_{x+1}}^2 + ||f||_{L^1(0,T;L^2_{x+1})}^2 \right).
\]

Let us take $f = N(u) = -uu_x$. Observe that for all $x > 0$

\[
(x+1)u^2(x) = \left| \int_0^\infty \frac{d}{dx}((x+1)u^2(x)) \, dx \right|
\leq C \left( \int_0^\infty (x+1)^m |u|^2 \, dx + \int_0^\infty (x+1)^{m-1} |u_x|^2 \, dx \right)
\]

whenever $m \geq 2$. It follows that for some constant $K > 0$

\[
||uu_x||_{L^2_{x+1}}^2 \leq \left\| (x+1)u^2 \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)} \int_0^\infty (x+1)^{m-1} |u_x|^2 \, dx
\leq K ||u||_{H^1_{x+1}}^2.
\]

Therefore, for any $T > 0$,

\[
\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left[ \left( ||(\Gamma u)(t)||_{L^2_{x+1}}^2 + \int_0^T \int_0^\infty (x+1)^{m-1} |(\Gamma u)_x|^2 \, dxdt \right) \right] 
\leq C \left( ||u_0||_{L^2_{x+1}}^2 + \left( \int_0^T ||u(t)||_{H^1_{x+1}}^2 \, dt \right)^2 \right) < \infty.
\]
Thus $\Gamma u \in C(\mathbb{R}^+, L^2_{(x+1)^m} dx) \cap L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^+; H^1_{(x+1)^m} dx)$ with $(\Gamma u)(0) = u_0$. We claim that $\Gamma u \in F$. Indeed, by (33),

$$||e^{\mu t} W(t) u_0||_{H^1_{(x+1)^m} dx} \leq C_1 ||u_0||_{H^1_{(x+1)^m} dx}$$

and for all $t \geq 0$

$$||e^{\mu t} \int_0^t W(t-s) N(u(s)) ds||_{H^1_{(x+1)^m} dx} \leq C e^{\mu t} \int_0^t \frac{e^{-\mu (t-s)}}{\sqrt{t-s}} ||N(u(s))||_{L^2_{(x+1)^m} dx} ds$$

$$\leq C \int_0^t \frac{e^{\mu s}}{\sqrt{t-s}} K(e^{-\mu s} ||u||_F^2) ds$$

$$\leq CK ||u||_F^2 \int_0^t \frac{e^{-\mu (t-s)}}{\sqrt{s}} ds$$

$$\leq CK(2 + \mu^{-1}) ||u||_F^2$$

where we used Lemma 3.4. Pick $R > 0$ such that $CK(2 + \mu^{-1}) R \leq \frac{1}{2}$, and $r_0$ such that $C_1 r_0 = \frac{R}{2}$. Then, for $||u_0||_{H^1_{(x+1)^m} dx} \leq r_0$ and $||u||_F \leq R$, we obtain that

$$||e^{\mu t} (\Gamma u)(t)||_{H^1_{(x+1)^m} dx} \leq C_1 r_0 + CK(2 + \mu^{-1}) R^2 \leq R, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Hence $\Gamma$ maps the ball $B_R(0) \subset F$ into itself. Similar computations show that $\Gamma$ contracts. By the contraction mapping theorem, $\Gamma$ has a unique fixed point $u$ in $B_R(0)$. Thus $||u(t)||_{H^1_{(x+1)^m} dx} \leq C e^{-\mu t} ||u_0||_{H^1_{(x+1)^m} dx}$ provided that $||u_0||_{H^1_{(x+1)^m} dx} \leq r_0$ with $r_0$ small enough. Proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 3.4, we have that

$$||u(t)||_{H^1_{(x+1)^m} dx} \leq C e^{-\mu t} ||u_0||_{L^2_{(x+1)^m} dx} \quad \text{for } 0 < t < 1,$$

provided that $||u_0||_{L^2_{(x+1)^m} dx} \leq \rho_0$ with $\rho_0 < 1$ small enough. The proof is complete with a decay rate $\mu^t < \mu$. \hfill \blacksquare

**Corollary 3.5** Assume that $a(x)$ satisfies (4) and that $\partial^k_x a \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$ for all $k \geq 0$. Pick any $u_0 \in L^2_{(x+1)^m} dx$. Then for all $\varepsilon > 0$, all $T > \varepsilon$, and all $k \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$, there exists a constant $C = C(\varepsilon, T, k, \alpha) > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\varepsilon}^T (x+1)^{m-k} |\partial^k_x u(x, t)|^2 dx \leq C ||u_0||_{L^2_{(x+1)^m} dx}^2 \quad \forall t \in [\varepsilon, T].$$

**Proof.** The proof is very similar to the one in [8, Lemma 5.1] and so we only point out the small changes. First, it should be noticed that the presence in the KdV equation of the extra terms $u_x$ and $a(x) u$ does not cause any serious trouble. On the other hand, choosing a cut-off function in $x$ of the form $\eta(x) = \psi_0(x/\varepsilon)$ (instead of $\eta(x) = \psi_0(x - x_0)$ as in [8]) where $\psi_0 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, [0, 1])$ satisfies $\psi_0(x) = 0$ for $x \leq 1/2$ and $\psi_0(x) = 1$ for $x \geq 1$, allows to overcome the fact that $u$ is a solution of (4) on the half-line only. \hfill \blacksquare

### 3.2 Decay in $L^2_b$

This section is devoted to the exponential decay in $L^2_b$. Our result reads as follows:
Theorem 3.6 Assume that the function \(a = a(x)\) satisfies (4) with \(4b^3 + b < a_0\). Then, for all \(R > 0\), there exist \(C > 0\) and \(\nu > 0\), such that

\[
\|u(t)\|_{L^2_R} \leq C e^{-\nu t} \|u_0\|_{L^2_R}, \quad t \geq 0
\]

for any solution \(u\) given by Theorem 2.3.

Proof. We introduce the Lyapunov function

\[
V(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty u^2 e^{2bx} dx + c_b \int_0^\infty u^2 dx,
\]

where \(c_b\) is a positive constant that will be chosen later. Then, adding (17) and (18) hand by hand we obtain

\[
V(u) - V(u_0) = (4b^3 + b) \int_0^T \int_{x_0}^{\infty} u^2 e^{2bx} dx dt + (4b^3 + b) \int_0^T \int_0^{x_0} u^2 e^{2bx} dx dt
\]

\[
- 3b \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty u_x^2 e^{2bx} dx dt + \frac{2b}{3} \int_0^T \int_0^\infty u^3 e^{2bx} dx dt
\]

\[
- (c_b + \frac{1}{2}) \int_0^T u_x^2 (0, t) dt + \int_0^T \int_0^\infty a(x)|u|^2 (e^{2bx} + 2c_b) dx dt,
\]

where \(x_0\) is the number introduced in (4). On the other hand, since \(L^2_R \subset L^2_{(x+1)dx}\), \(\|u(t)\|_{L^2(0,\infty)}\) and \(\|u_x(t)\|_{L^2(0,\infty)}\) decays to zero exponentially. Consequently, from Moser estimate we deduce that \(\|u(t)\|_{L^\infty(0,\infty)} \rightarrow 0\). We may assume that \((2b/3)\|u(t)\|_{L^\infty} < \varepsilon = a_0 - (4b^3 + b)\) for all \(t \geq 0\), by changing \(u_0\) into \(u(t_0)\) for \(t_0\) large enough. Therefore

\[
\frac{2b}{3} \int_0^T \int_0^\infty |u|^3 e^{2bx} dx dt
\]

\[
\leq \frac{2b}{3} \int_0^T \|u(t)\|_{L^\infty(0,\infty)} \left( \int_0^\infty |u|^2 e^{2bx} dx \right) dt \leq \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_0^\infty u^2 e^{2bx} dx dt.
\]

So, returning to (83), the following holds

\[
V(u) - V(u_0) - (4b^3 + b + \varepsilon) \int_0^T \int_0^{x_0} u^2 e^{2bx} dx dt
\]

\[
+ 3b \int_0^T \int_0^\infty u_x^2 e^{2bx} dx dt + (c_b + \frac{1}{2}) \int_0^T u_x^2 (0, t) dt + 2c_b \int_0^T \int_0^\infty a(x)|u|^2 dx dt \leq 0.
\]

Moreover, according to (21) there exists \(C > 0\) satisfying

\[
\int_0^T \int_0^{x_0} u^2 e^{2bx} dx dt
\]

\[
\leq e^{2bx_0} \int_0^T \int_0^{x_0} u^2 dx dt \leq C \left\{ \int_0^T u_x^2 (0, t) dt + \int_0^T \int_0^\infty a(x)u^2 dx dt \right\}
\]

since \(L^2_R \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^+).\) Then, choosing \(c_b\) sufficiently large, the above estimate and (83) give us that

\[
V(u) - V(u_0) \leq -C \left\{ \int_0^T u_x^2 (0, t) dt + \int_0^T \int_0^\infty a(x)u^2 dx dt
\]

\[
+ \int_0^T \int_0^\infty u_x^2 e^{2bx} dx dt \right\} \leq -CV(u_0),
\]
which allows to conclude that $V(u)$ decays exponentially. The last inequality is a consequence of the following results:

**CLAIM 7.** There exists a positive constant $C > 0$, such that

$$
\int_0^T V(u(t))dt \leq C \int_0^T \int_0^\infty u_x^2 e^{2bx} dxdt.
$$

First, observe that

$$
\left| \int_0^\infty u_x^2 e^{2bx} dx \right| = \left| -\frac{1}{b} \int_0^\infty uu_x e^{2bx} dx \right| \leq \frac{1}{b} \left( \int_0^\infty u_x^2 e^{2bx} dx \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_0^\infty u_x^2 e^{2bx} dx \right)^{1/2},
$$

therefore,

$$
\int_0^\infty u_x^2 e^{2bx} dx \leq \frac{1}{b^2} \int_0^\infty u_x^2 e^{2bx} dx.
$$

Then, from (1) and (87) we have

$$
V(u(t)) \leq \left( \frac{1}{2} + c_0 \right) \int_0^\infty u_x^2 e^{2bx} dx \leq \left( \frac{1}{2} + c_0 \right) b^{-2} \int_0^\infty u_x^2 e^{2bx} dx,
$$

which gives us Claim 7.

**CLAIM 8.**

$$
V(u_0) \leq C \{ \int_0^T u_x^2(0,t)dt + \int_0^T \int_0^\infty u_x^2 e^{2bx} dxdt + \int_0^T V(u(t))dt \},
$$

where $C$ is a positive constant.

Multiplying the first equation in (1) by $(T-t)u_x e^{2bx}$ and integrating by parts in $(0, \infty) \times (0, T)$, we obtain

$$
-\frac{T}{2} \int_0^\infty u_0(x)^2 e^{2bx} dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_0^\infty |u|^2 e^{2bx} dxdt + 3b \int_0^T \int_0^\infty (T-t)u_x^2 e^{2bx} dxdt
$$

$$
+ 3 \int_0^T \int_0^\infty (T-t)u_x^2 e^{2bx} dxdt + \frac{3}{2} \int_0^T \int_0^\infty (T-t)u_x e^{2bx} dxdt = 0
$$

and therefore,

$$
\int_0^\infty |u_0(x)|^2 e^{2bx} dx \leq C \left( \int_0^T u_x^2(0,t)dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_0^\infty u^2 e^{2bx} dxdt
$$

$$
+ \int_0^T \int_0^\infty u_x^2 e^{2bx} dxdt + \int_0^T \int_0^\infty |u|^3 e^{2bx} dxdt \right).
$$

Then, combining (87) and (84), we derive Claim 8. (86) follows at once. This proves the exponential decay when $\|u(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq 3\varepsilon/(2b)$. The general case is obtained as in Theorem 3.1. 

**Corollary 3.7** Assume that the function $a = a(x)$ satisfies (4) with $4b^3 + b < a_0$. Then for any $R > 0$, there exist positive constants $c = c(R)$ and $\mu = \mu(R)$ such that

$$
\|u_x(t)\|_{L^2_b} \leq \frac{e^{-\mu t}}{\sqrt{t}} \|u_0\|_{L^2_b}
$$

for all $t > 0$ and all $u_0 \in L^2_b$ satisfying $\|u_0\|_{L^2_b} \leq R$. 
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Corollary 3.8  Assume that the function \( a = a(x) \) satisfies (4) with \( 4b^3 + b < a_0 \), and let \( s \geq 2 \). Then there exist some constants \( \rho > 0 \), \( C > 0 \) and \( \mu > 0 \) such that

\[
||u(t)||_{H^s_b} \leq C \frac{e^{-\mu t}}{t^2} ||u_0||_{L^2_b}
\]

for all \( t > 0 \) and all \( u_0 \in L^2_b \) satisfying \( ||u_0||_{L^2_b} \leq \rho \).

The proof of Corollary 3.7 (resp. 3.8) is very similar to the proof of Corollary 3.3 (resp. 3.3), so it is omitted.
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