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Abstract: The problem of reducing the increasing number of road traffic accidents has become more relevant in recent years. According to the United Nations plan this number has to be halved by 2030. A very effective way to handle it is to apply the machine learning paradigm to retrospective road traffic accident datasets. This case study applies machine learning techniques to form typical “portraits” of drivers violating road traffic rules by clustering available data into seven homogeneous groups. The obtained results can be used in forming effective marketing campaigns for different target groups. Another relevant problem under consideration is to use available retrospective statistics on mechanical road traffic accidents without victims to estimate the probable type of road traffic accident for the driver taking into account her/his personal features (such as social characteristics, typical road traffic rule violations, driving experience, and age group). For this purpose several machine learning models were applied and the results were discussed.
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1. Introduction

The United Nations General Assembly has set an ambitious target of halving the global number of deaths and injuries from road traffic crashes by 2030 (A/RES/74/299). This decision is explained by the increasing number of deaths caused by road traffic accidents (approximately 1.3 million human lives each year). Between 20 and 50 million more people suffer non-fatal injuries, with many incurring a disability as a result of their injury [1]. One of the reasons is the insufficiently high level of drivers trained in driving schools who break traffic regulation rules, as well as poorly organized marketing campaigns to promote compliance with traffic rules. In 2021, new exam rules for traffic police came into force in Russia; they exclude practical tests on special “field” conditions. The list of gross mistakes, due to which driving candidates will be sent to retake exams, has also been changed.

The percentage of graduates who pass the theoretical and practical tests on the first attempt is used to evaluate the success of driving schools. The share of theoretical and practical exams passed by the school graduates, as well as the number of accidents and victims caused by novice drivers, will be taken into account. Various projects (actions, campaigns) are undertaken annually at the federal and regional levels in Russia.

To increase the behavioral safety and to form a legal awareness of road users is a priority in the sphere of information and propaganda support of the activities of the State Traffic Police. Actions are not efficient without applying telecommunications and
information technologies, including Internet resources. Opportunities for their use vary, ranging from specialized web-resources to video hosting, using popular hashtags for high-quality infographics, mobile apps, applications to perform mass thematic mailings, social advertising, etc. Planning marketing campaigns is not efficient without taking into account the regional component that could be detected by analyzing retrospective datasets on road traffic accidents. The authors firstly explored available datasets with the goal to cluster drivers who violated road traffic rules in the study [2].

The research question of the current study is the applicability of machine learning approaches to a road traffic accident dataset with the goal of exploring its structure and building models connecting drivers who are initiators of road traffic accidents with their personal features. Only mechanical road traffic crashes without victims were taken into account. The results can help to analyze the distribution of traffic accidents in the Lipetsk region and to form typical “portraits” of traffic offenders to plan effective marketing campaigns as well as to make a prediction of probable traffic violations based on the specific features of an average driver.

The paper introduces classical machine learning models and approaches applied to researching road traffic accident datasets to construct homogeneous groups of drivers who cause accidents and to predict the most probable accident type.

2. Problem Formulation and Related Works

This section is organized as follows. In the beginning we introduce a conceptual scheme providing our contribution to road traffic accident data exploration based on applying machine learning approaches and models, then we observe the existing practices of related problems with their limitations. At the end of the section, relying on the best practices, we choose the models to be used in our study.

2.1. Conceptual Scheme of the Proposed Solution

Figure 1 explains the motivation of the study and data flows used to reach the declared objectives.

Figure 1. Conceptual scheme of applying the proposed methods to analyze the structure of traffic accident retrospective data.

The gathering and storing of information on road traffic accidents calls for the explanation and the prediction of new accidents. The goals of this particular case study are as follows: (I) to form typical “portraits” of drivers who cause traffic accidents based on available retrospective data and to use this information in social media campaigns; and (II) to predict the most probable type of traffic accident for drivers as a part of a marketing campaign.
To achieve goal (I) we use a clustering technique (namely $k$-means clustering) with the explanation of the choice of clusters optimal number taking into account the joint information of different approaches in estimating this number (elbow approach and silhouette coefficients) and agglomerative hierarchical clustering with classical Euclidean distance. Goal (II) is achieved by comparing classical machine learning techniques and choosing the most prominent to predict the type of road traffic accident.

2.2. World Practices of Marketing Campaigns in Road Traffic Safety

Marketing campaigns aimed at confronting traffic violations are important in increasing drivers’ behavioral safety. Most of these campaigns are fear-based [3], which means that their content is aimed at increasing fear in the minds of drivers to protect them from traffic violation. However, such actions should be used carefully to reach a wider audience. The other direction of preventing crashes is using non-fear-based safety campaigns [4], but they are limited in number, and their impact is significant in changing public attitudes towards safety. The efficient campaign has to take into account personal, social, and cultural issues to provide a higher level of quality [5]. Conducting actions should take into account social issues during new driver training depending on their social position, sex, age, or possible combinations of these factors [6]. These features of drivers who violate traffic rules were used in the study not only to classify them into groups but also to estimate the probable type of traffic accident within a social campaign for drivers.

2.3. Clustering Approaches to Segment Drivers Who Cause Road Traffic Accidents

The first problem of this study is to find a structure in data on traffic violations. The obvious approach is to cluster all available statistics and to form typical “portraits” of drivers who violate traffic rules. This approach was applied in the study [7], which stresses that the deviant driving behavior is considered to be the main cause of road traffic accidents in Pakistan. The authors use cluster analysis to divide the driving population into four relatively homogeneous and distinct groups of drivers. The results show the interaction between attitudes, behaviors, and socio-demographic characteristics of drivers. Their findings are used to recommend targeted information-based road safety solutions with a focus on the diverse characteristics of each of the identified segments. Clustering scenarios are also used to determine regions where road crash rates are similar [8,9]. The study [10] proposes comparing approaches to clustering and also uses obtained information to determine factors affecting crashes. Discussing algorithms can also be applied for classification of road segments causing traffic accidents. In this study we have applied the $k$-means clustering technique to form groups of drivers violating traffic regulations.

There is a direction of studies of special interest [11–14] introducing geo-based scenarios also with the application of clustering techniques. They mainly aimed at optimal smart-meter placement to create a scalable route map for the least-cost deployment of wireless heterogeneous networks supporting traffic from the advance metering infrastructure. The authors show that upgrading the standard $k$-means approach can increase its efficiency more than 2.4 times. It should also be noted that the mentioned studies are based on using heterogeneous data from different available sources. Nevertheless, the authors of the current study have also proposed a scheme to take into account available data to control an intelligent transportation system [15]. The proposed case study uses datasets of a special predefined structure obtained from the Traffic Inspectorate and introduces the application of standard approaches to find a structure in the mentioned data.

2.4. Machine Learning Approaches in Exploring Road Traffic Accidents

According to the specified conditions, the probability of road traffic accidents can vary. Many studies propose models to estimate the impact of different factors on the road traffic accident probability. As an example, the study [16] proposes a model to predict the incidence of road traffic crashes caused by weather conditions. It was compared with the number of occurrences in practice, which show similar results. The case study [17]
investigates and determines factors affecting vehicle and pedestrian accidents taking place in Iran. A neural network model was chosen in the study as the superior approach in predicting the number and severity of crashes. The obtained results were validated and showed a high predictive performance. These two examples show that within the last decade a wide range of classical and modern models were applied to solve the problem of road traffic safety. The question discussed in this study is to use machine learning techniques to predict the probable road traffic accident type taking into account the personal features of drivers. These estimates can be used during social campaigns to attract the attention of drivers to the strict following of traffic regulations. Another example of effectively applying machine learning approaches in an Internet of Things context is given in the study [18].

Table 1 systematizes the latest related studies on road traffic safety, classifying them on the machine learning models used.

| Study                  | Used Models                                      |
|------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Yassin et al. (2020)   | Random forest                                    |
| Najafi et al. (2021)   | Artificial neural networks                       |
| Sangare et al. (2021)  | Support vector machine                           |
| Theofilatos et al. (2019) | Decision trees, random forest, support vector machine |
| Santos et al. (2021)   | Decision trees, random forest, logistic regression |
| Bokaba et al. (2022)   | Logistic regression, k-nearest neighbor, AdaBoost, support vector machine, random forest |

Based on the analysis of the latest applied techniques, we chose the following common approaches to the problem of predictive determination of road traffic accident type:

- Logistic regression,
- Ridge regression,
- Decision trees,
- Random forest,
- CatBoost gradient boosting approach,
- Neural network model.

3. Models Used

This section is organized as follows. In the beginning we describe the clustering approach with its limitations and optimal number of clusters choice. Then, we introduce machine learning models used in the applications of the study. The last part is devoted to dataset description.

3.1. Clustering Techniques. k-Means Approach

The k-means algorithm [25] supposes dividing datasets into homogeneous sub-sets and, being an unsupervised approach, is usually used in data mining and pattern recognition. Aiming at minimizing the cluster performance index, square-error, and error criterion are foundations of this algorithm. The idea of the approach is to seek the optimal division trying to divide instances into k different clusters to satisfy a certain criterion. Normally this criterion is the Euclidean distance as the similarity index and the clustering targets minimize the sum of the squares of the various types. The goal is to minimize

\[ d = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{i=1}^{n} ||(x_i - u_k)||^2. \]  (1)

Here, \( k \) represents \( K \) cluster centers, \( u_k \) represents the \( k \)-th center, and \( x_i \) represents the \( i \)-th point in the dataset.

Usually, the central idea of the algorithm consists of the following steps:
1. Determination of the number $k$ of clusters. It is also necessary to limit the number of possible iterations.
2. Initialization of cluster central points.
3. Connection of any observed data to the nearest cluster with Euclidean distance spacing measurements.
4. Gathering the remaining sample instances to their cluster in accordance with the criterion of minimum distance.
5. Modification of clusters’ centers if the classification is unreasonable.

The described procedure has an iterative nature and stops when the predefined quality criterion is obtained.

There are some metrics to find an optimal number of $k$, such as the elbow method and silhouette method. The elbow approach [26], being mostly well-known, supposes calculating the within-cluster-sum of squared errors (WSS) for different values of $k$, and choosing the $k$ for which WSS becomes first starts to diminish. In the plot of WSS-versus-$k$, this is visible as an elbow. The silhouette technique [27] supposes calculation of the coefficient using the mean intra-cluster distance ($a$) and the mean nearest-cluster distance ($b$) for each sample. The silhouette coefficient for a sample is

$$s = \frac{b - a}{\max(a,b)}.$$  

The best value is 1 and the worst value is $-1$. Values near 0 indicate overlapping clusters. Negative values generally indicate that a sample has been assigned to the wrong cluster, as a different cluster is more similar.

It should be mentioned that in a general case, the $k$-means approach and similar approaches to clustering procedures are unstable in choosing a rational number of clusters. The stability exploration is based on the study [25], where it was proposed to use, among others, the pairwise stability, which is defined as the adjusted Rand index between pairs of clustering results averaged across all pairs.

3.2. Logistic Regression Model (LR)

This model is nonlinear where the deviation or variance of the predictor variable is a function of its mean. The value of the predictor variable depends on the probability that it belongs to a certain class. Structurally, an exponential function is added on top of a simple linear regression model in order to restrain the predictor of response $y \in [0, 1]$, instead of $y \in \mathbb{R}$ as it is in the linear model. The LR model could be represented as:

$$y(X) = P_r(y = 1|X) = \frac{\exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \ldots + \beta_m X_m + \epsilon)}{1 + \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \ldots + \beta_m X_m + \epsilon)},$$

where $P_r(y = 1|X)$ is the probability that the response $y = 1$ or $0$; $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_m$ are independent variables; $\beta_0$ is the constant; $\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_m$ are coefficients of regression; and $\epsilon$ is the error.

3.3. Ridge Regression (RR) Model

This is a well-established method to tackle the multi-collinearity problem [28]. It involves the introduction of some bias into the regression equation to reduce the variance of the estimators of the parameters. The bias is introduced by the use of ridge constant $\alpha$, also known as the shrinkage constant or regularization parameter, which controls the extent to which the ridge estimates differ from the least-squares estimate. The salient feature of ridge regression is that the ridge estimators have smaller mean-squared-error than the ordinary least-squares estimates when the parameter $\alpha$ is small enough.
3.4. Decision Trees (DT) and Boosting Paradigm

Tree-based models or ensembles of decision trees are machine learning approaches whereby formal rules are obtained from detected patterns in the datasets; the tree-based models must be trained in a rigorous manner on the data in order to be able to predict the properties presented by a query [29]. Depending on the application features, there are differences in how the tree-based models are constructed: this can be simple DT models, random forest (RF) models [30], or some variations realized in modern software like the CatBoost gradient boosting approach [31].

3.5. Neural Network (NN) Models

Like the tree-ensemble ML models, NNs have to be trained on a dataset to be able to predict the properties of presented features. NN models are a kind of data-processing machine learning techniques that are based on the human brain or neural networks, in which neurons are connected by synapses. This network of neurons takes the available information, analyses it, and then makes judgments or predictions. The processing element, the neuron, may perform filtering operations to ensure that data sent to a certain node does not disrupt the network. The neuron also has the capacity to modify the weights that link the nodes through adaptive learning. Depending on the applied problem, many different types of NN model can be used. In our study we have applied a simple NN model consisting of input, hidden, and output layers, with the interconnections mathematically expressed as

\[
\alpha_m = \sigma \left( \sum_j w_{ij} y_j \right),
\]

where \(\alpha_m\) denotes NN activities; \(w_{ij}\) is the weight between two neurons; \(y_n\) is the output signal; \(x\) is the activation of the \(n\)-th neuron; and \(\sigma(x)\) is the activation function facilitating input transformation to output by multiplication of the inputs from the processing neuron by corresponding weights (ReLu in the presented study).

3.6. Data Description

To obtain numerical results we used a dataset containing statistics on traffic accidents in the city of Lipetsk between 2014 and 2019. These data describe each of 24,244 traffic accidents without victims with the following information: the type of accident (ranging from 1 to 13), sex of the driver responsible for the accident, her/his social characteristics (officially unemployed, commercial employee, manager, etc.; 47 different possible classes), direct and related violations of traffic rules (these characteristics were grouped within the following classes: maneuvering mistakes, violations of regulations, speed discrepancy with traffic conditions, pedestrian mistakes, other mistakes; in total 26 different violations were compared in these 5 classes), age of the driver, and her/his driving experience. The total number of features used is 7 different personal features describing each road traffic accident.

To apply clustering approaches and machine learning techniques, one-hot encoding was applied to features describing drivers’ social characteristics and types of rule violations.

Figure 2 presents the most common cases in traffic accident statistics: collision of two or more vehicles, hitting a standing vehicle, collision with an obstacle, and another 10 rare types (such as vehicular assault, vehicle rollover, etc.).
4. Analysis of Results

This section discusses the results of dataset clustering forming typical “portraits” of drivers who caused road traffic accidents and then the results of applying machine learning models to predict the most probable type of accident.

4.1. Clustering of Traffic Accident Dataset

The possibilities of social marketing campaigns on Russian territories were demonstrated during the international project “Road safety in 10 countries (RS 10)” [32]. The presented characteristics allow us to form typical “portraits” of drivers, which are necessary for socio-market research. The data of typical “portraits” allow using methods of focus groups [33]. On the one hand, there is information about a typical representative of the group (driver’s sex, her/his social characteristics, driver’s age, and her/his driving experience). On the other hand, it also describes the cause of the accident (direct and related traffic violations) and consequences (type of accident).

One of the most common practices in choosing the optimal number of clusters is to apply agglomerative techniques. The Ward method was tested with the available dataset (cf. Figure 3, right) and showed that the statistical data can be divided into 7 clusters. This result supports the calculated silhouette coefficients (cf. Table 2) and elbow procedure (cf. Figure 3, left). Besides, results of clustering were analyzed from the point of view of their interpretation. It was found that using 7 clusters allowed us to find homogeneous groups of drivers with similar features from which to form effective social marketing campaigns. Pairwise stability analysis with 1000 clustering starts showed the averaged Rand index of 0.9614, which proves the stability of the obtained results.

Table 2. Silhouette analysis of dataset on road traffic accidents.

| Number of Clusters | 2    | 3    | 4    | 5    | 6    | 7    | 8    | 9    |
|--------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Silhouette coefficient | 0.4294 | 0.3601 | 0.3725 | 0.3677 | 0.3808 | 0.3859 | 0.3641 | 0.2949 |

Figure 2. The distribution of traffic accident types within the dataset. Lipetsk, Russia, from January 2014 to December 2019.

Figure 4 presents the distribution of cases within 7 homogeneous clusters. By analyzing clusters it is possible to create a typical “portrait” of a driver using median values of her/his attributes.
Figure 3. Results of finding an optimal number of clusters: (left)—Elbow approach, (right)—agglomerative technique.

Figure 4. The distribution of traffic accidents among found clusters, %. Lipetsk, Russia, from January 2014 to December 2019.

Table 3 presents 7 target groups of drivers who caused traffic accidents in the Lipetsk region.

The formed clusters correspond to the groups in the most frequent types of accidents: collision (62.12%), hitting a standing vehicle (28.13%), and hitting an obstacle (7.52%). The most frequent initiators of traffic accidents are men aged from 30 to 40 years with 1 to 13 years of experience. Three groups are formed by employees in the commercial sphere and officially unemployed. One group includes managers and officials, for whom the violation of regulations is frequent.

Groups 6 (14.07%) and 7 (5.22%) are of special interest. Group 6 is represented by women who are not characterized by the most common direct traffic violations (cf. Table 1). In group 7 the related violations are of special interest: driving under the influence of alcohol or narcotic (toxic) intoxication, driving by a person who is not permitted to drive a vehicle, refusals to pass a medical examination for intoxication, has left the scene of the accident, etc.

When forming target groups, clusters 1 and 3 can be combined to enlarge the target audience. Typical “portraits” in these groups are close: direct violation of traffic rules, sex, driving experience, age group.
### Table 3. Typical “portraits” of drivers based on the clustering results.

| Cluster | Type of Traffic Accident         | Driver’s Sex | Social Characteristic       | Direct Violation of Traffic Rules                  | Related Violation of Traffic Rules | Driving Experience | Age Group |
|---------|----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| Cluster 1 | Hitting a standing vehicle      | Male         | Officially unemployed       | Speed discrepancy with traffic conditions         | —                                 | 10                 | 30–35     |
| Cluster 2 | Collision of two or more vehicles | Male         | Commercial employee         | Violation of regulations                          | —                                 | 29                 | 50–55     |
| Cluster 3 | Collision with an obstacle      | Male         | Commercial employee         | Speed discrepancy with traffic conditions         | —                                 | 13                 | 35–40     |
| Cluster 4 | Collision of two or more vehicles | Male         | Officially unemployed       | Maneuvering mistakes                              | —                                 | 8                  | 25–30     |
| Cluster 5 | Collision of two or more vehicles | Male         | Manager                     | Violation of regulations                          | —                                 | 10                 | 30–35     |
| Cluster 6 | Collision of two or more vehicles | Female      | Commercial employee         | Other mistakes                                    | —                                 | 6                  | 30–35     |
| Cluster 7 | Collision of two or more vehicles | Male         | Officially unemployed       | Other mistakes                                    | Aggravating related violations    | 11                 | 35–40     |

### 4.2. Machine Learning Models to Estimate the Probability of Traffic Accident Type

Based on the available statistical information, the prominent problem is to estimate the most probable type of road traffic accident depending on the drivers’ personal features. For this purpose we applied the machine learning models described above.

With the grid search technique, it was found that the regularization strength parameter $\alpha$ is equal to 1 in the RR model. Similarly, we found that the optimal parameter maximal depth of the tree for the DT model is 5 with entropy used as a classification criterion. The RF model used 10 estimators with the maximal depth of the tree also equal to 5. The CatBoost classifier was trained on optimal parameters of maximal depth of the tree equal to 10 and the learning rate equal to 0.04. A simple NN model was used with 9 hidden layers consisting of (40, 35, 30, 40, 30, 25, 15, 10, 6) neurons and the ReLu activation function on hidden layers; the softmax activation function was used in the output layer to estimate the probability of classifying a particular case into one of the available classes.

To estimate the accuracy of models, we applied the cross-validation approach. Table 4 shows accuracy measures to choose the best machine learning model for the prediction.

### Table 4. Accuracy of applied models to estimate the most probable road traffic accident type.

| Model                  | Accuracy, % |
|------------------------|-------------|
| Logistic regression    | 68.12       |
| Ridge regression       | 68.14       |
| Decision trees         | 55.83       |
| Random forest          | 49.66       |
| CatBoost               | 68.11       |
| Neural network         | 65.15       |

According to the results presented in Table 4, the best classification can be obtained by applying logistic regression and ridge regression models.

Figure 5 presents precision vs. recall ratio (cf. Figure 5, top) and ROC curves (cf. Figure 5, bottom), being common approaches to estimate the quality of classification in
multi-class classification problems. By analyzing these graphs, it can be found that the proposed LR model can sustainably predict three of the most common types of road traffic accidents: collision of two or more cars (class 1), hitting a standing vehicle (class 2), and collision with an obstacle (class 3).

Models of this type can be used in road traffic safety marketing campaigns to estimate the most probable traffic accident for the driver taking into account her/his personal features.

![Precision vs. Recall curve](image)

**Figure 5.** Precision vs. recall ratio and ROC curves in classifying road traffic accident types. Lipetsk, Russia, from January 2014 to December 2019.

5. Conclusions

The study presented approaches to exploring the structure of traffic accident data; namely, a dataset on road traffic accidents in Lipetsk in 2014–2019 was clustered, and based on the obtained results we formed 7 homogeneous groups of drivers responsible for traffic accidents. Another prospective problem presented was researching the applicability of machine learning techniques to the prediction of the most probable type of traffic accident based on a driver’s personal features.

The main practice contribution is that the described applications of machine learning techniques can significantly increase the effectiveness of social marketing campaigns, taking into account constructed typical “portraits” of the drivers who violated road traffic rules within the target groups found.

However, the obtained results have limitations, among which the main one is their verification only on data gathered in the Lipetsk region (central Russia). Nevertheless, we can say that being a typical Russian region with an effective transportation infrastructure and median geographical and climate position, the Lipetsk region represents an average Russian Federation subject and the results obtained could be extended to other Russian regions. One of the next directions of the study is testing the obtained results on data from other Russian regions.
Further research will be aimed at expanding the capabilities of the described methods. It could be reasonable to widen the dataset by adding information regarding weather conditions and the time of accident to make the estimation of the type more realistic and applicable. It is also recommended for organizations responsible for road safety and educational organizations teaching driving to use the proposed methods during processing statistical information and analyzing the quality of driver training.
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