Monitoring and Evaluating the Sedimentation Process in Mosul Dam Reservoir Using Trap Efficiency Approaches
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Abstract

Reservoirs are usually exposed to sediment accumulation problems that will lead to reduction in their storage capacity. This problem directly affects the performance of the dams and causes shortage of their useful life. The simplest technique to estimate sediment deposition rate is using sediment rating curve with sediment trapping efficiency (TE) of the reservoir. Many empirical and semi-empirical approaches have been suggested for to determine this term depending on the annual inflow rate, reservoir characteristics and features of the catchments area. In this study six different empirical methods depending on the residence time principle (water retention time) were used. These approaches were reviewed and applied to determine TE of Mosul dam reservoir (MDR) for period 1986 to 2011. The monthly operating data for inflow, outflow and water elevations for MDR were used to determine monthly TE and long-term TE for whole period of MDR using the mentioned methods. Furthermore, the monthly inflow rate for River Tigris upstream MDR, its sediment rating curve and sediment feeding from valleys around MDR were used to estimate the amount sediment coming to the reservoir. The results provided by these methods for TE with sediment coming to MDR were used to compute the amount of sediment deposited in MDR on monthly bases during this period. The results obtained were evaluated using observed bathymetric survey data that had been collected in 2011 after 25 years of the operation of the dam. The results showed all the mentioned methods gave convergent results and they were very close to bathymetric survey results for estimating the volume of sediment deposited especially that proposed by Ward which gave 0.368% percentage error. Furthermore, the result computed using monthly TE gave good agreement if compared with that long-term TE where the percentage error was ranging between −3.229% to 1.674% for monthly adopted data and −4.862% to −2.477% for
whole period data. It is believed that this work will help others to use this procedure on other reservoirs.
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**1. Introduction**

Dams are designed to serve several aspects such as water storage for irrigation, flood control, domestic uses and recreational purposes as well as hydropower generation [1]-[3]. Generally, the total water storage of reservoirs in the world has been mentioned by various sources. One such reported forms about 5% of total runoff [4] whilst others said the global gross storage capacity till 2004 was 6000 km$^3$ [5]-[7]. Regardless of the purpose, reservoirs formed after dam construction causes changes in flow regime (or sediment transport regime) which decrease their storage capacity [1]-[3] [8]. Reservoir sedimentation is a major problem affecting directly the performance of dams and their useful life due to the reduction in the storage capacity of their reservoirs. Thus, the global loss rate or sedimentation rate of the reservoirs is around 1% of their storage capacity due to sedimentation [4] [6]. Therefore, to ensure prudent management and operation of the dams it is necessary to estimate the quantity of sediment deposited in their reservoirs. Sediment movement and deposition is a complicated phenomenon that can be affected by multiple hydraulic and hydrological factors. The dominant factors that influence the sedimentation process are sediment properties, water and sediment incoming to the reservoir, the reservoir characteristics and the mode of operating the reservoir [1] [3] [9].

The complexity of sediment transport and the deposition of sediment in a reservoir led to develop a numerous techniques to predict sediment distribution in reservoirs. These techniques can be divided as direct and indirect methods but the last techniques can be classified into theoretical and empirical or semi-empirical approaches. The empirical relationships are most commonly used than theoretical for determining the deposition rate or the reduction in the storage capacity of the reservoir because these techniques are developed depending on the field data. In addition, the empirical methods are relatively easier and quicker to be used and they require limited data. Furthermore, the theoretical approaches or models are hard to be calibrated [1]. Therefore, many empirical and semi-empirical methods have been developed using the sedimentation survey data collected for reservoirs. The simplest technique is the one where sediment rating curve with trap efficiency (TE) of the reservoir are used to estimate the sediment deposition rate. The TE is an important term that is used to estimate the amount of sediment deposited, sedimentation rate and useful life of the dam. It is an expression or index that reflects the ability of reservoir to impound the sediment. Many empirical methods were developed to determine this term depending on the annual inflow rate, reservoir characteristics and features of the catchments area [10] [11].

In this study six different empirical methods were reviewed and applied to determine monthly TE of Mosul dam reservoir (MDR) for period 1986 to 2011. These methods depend on the residence time principle (water retention time) that are reported by; Brune [12], Dendy [13], Gill [14], Ward [15], Heinemann [16] and Jothiprakash and Garg [17] (hereafter referred to as Brune, Dendy, Gill, Ward, Heinemann and Jothiprakash and Garg, respectively). The monthly operation data for inflow, outflow and water elevations for MDR were used to determine monthly TE using the mentioned methods. Furthermore, the monthly inflow rate for River Tigris upstream MDR, its sediment rating curve and sediment feeding from valleys around MDR were also used to estimate the amount sediment entering the reservoir. The results provided by these methods for TE with sediment entering MDR were used to compute monthly amount of sediment deposited during the studied period. In addition, to the above TE of MDR for the whole period of its operation were computed using the same technique to find out the time of dam operating. These results were compared with bathymetric survey data that were collected in 2011 after 25 year of operating Mosul dam [18]. Thus, the specific aims of this study were evaluating these methods with reference to the bathymetric survey for determining the amount of sediment deposited during this period and to monitor the sedimentation process in MDR which is the biggest and the most important strategic projects in Iraq.

This project provides water for three irrigation projects that cover an area about 2500 km$^2$ [19]. This study can
help decision makers and planners to put prudent planning for Iraqi water resources problems especially Iraq is recently facing serious water shortage problems due to climate changes and increasing demand [19]-[23] where, the annual reduction of the water inflow for the Tigris and Euphrates Rivers before entering Iraqi territory is 0.1335 km$^3 \cdot$yr$^{-1}$ and 0.245 km$^3 \cdot$yr$^{-1}$ respectively [21]. In view of the foregoing, it is very important to know which technique is most suitable to adopt for MDR in the future to determine its actual storage capacities and rate of reduction of storage capacity.

2. Methods Used to Estimate Trap Efficiency

TE of sediment is an expression or indicator for the ability of reservoir to impound sediment and reflects the characteristic of reservoir to trapping or collecting the sediment. It plays an important role on estimating the fraction of sediment deposited within the reservoirs, determining the useful life of the dam (reservoir active storage capacity), and assessing changes in the reservoir storage capacity with time of dam operation [10] [11]. Therefore, it is very important parameter for planners, designers and operators of dams [1] [3] [16] [17] [24]. TE of sediment is the index of the portion of the deposited sediment in reservoirs to the total inflowing sediment which is usually expressed in percentage [17] [25] [26] and can be expressed as:

$$\text{TE\%} = 100 \left( \frac{\text{Total inflow sediment} - \text{Total outflow sediment}}{\text{Total inflow sediment}} \right)$$

The sediment TE is a function of many factors from flow conditions in the river and reservoir, sediment amount and properties, geometry of reservoir and its age, location of spillway, location and depth of outlets and operation mode of reservoir [1] [8] [27]. The complexity of sedimentation process led to the development of many approaches for determining the TE within the reservoirs. Some of them are direct while others are indirect. The former uses bathymetric survey and sedimentology data and the latter uses hydrological data and reservoir features [27] [28]. Historically, several methods for calculating TE were developed but the most commonly are the empirical methods that were developed based on survey data for some reservoirs. All these methods were established based on three principles. These are; reservoir storage capacity and catchment area relationship that were suggested by Brown [29] sedimentation index of reservoir (ratio of water retention time to the mean velocity in the reservoir) proposed by Churchill [30] and hydraulic residence time principle (or inflow storage capacity ratio) by Brune. All empirical methods had been taken in their account the capacity of reservoir, annual inflow rate, feature of catchment area and reservoir geometry [1] [27]. These methods are widely used for engineering purposes. Consequently, large numbers of empirical methods have been reported, but the most commonly are:

The method proposed by Brown [29] is the first empirical curve representing the relationship between the capacity of reservoir ($C$) and catchment area upstream the dam ($A$). The method is expressed by the general equation [14] as:

$$\text{TE}_{\text{Brown}} = 100 \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{1}{1 + K \frac{C}{A}} \right) \right]$$

where $C$ in acre-feet, $A$ in square miles and $K$ is factor depends on retention time and particle size of sediment which varies between 0.046, 0.1 and 1 for fine, medium and coarse sediment respectively [14] [31]. This method is used for catchment area that has one dam. Churchill [30], developed a concept of sediment release efficiency and proposed a relationship for this based on sedimentation index and total load of incoming sediment (Figure 1). The curve integrates both water residence time and flow velocity to calculate a “sedimentation index” for the reservoir. The method is suitable to estimate release efficiency of sediment for reservoir continuously sluiced such as stilling basin, small reservoirs and flood controlling structures [1] [17].

The above two methods were based on the reservoir capacity, catchment area and sedimentation index principles of reservoir but the following approaches depend on the hydraulic residence time principle. Brune established an empirical relationship curve for estimating long-term trap efficiency of reservoirs based on correlation between reservoir capacity and inflow ratio ($C/I$) that was developed using the data of 44 reservoirs in the USA (Figure 2). The method is widely adopted, easy to apply and requires small amount of data and is suitable
This relation was expressed as an empirical equation by Gill as follow:

\[
TE_{\text{Brune}} = 100 \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{1 + 50(C/I)} \right]
\]  

where \( C \) is in m\(^3\) and \( I \) is the water inflow in m\(^3\)·sec\(^{-1}\). Dendy suggested algebraic equation by adding more data of 17 small reservoirs \((A \leq 60 \text{ km}^2)\) to Brune’s curve as:

\[
TE_{\text{Dendy}} = 100 \left[ 0.9 \times 10^{0.19(C/I)} \right]
\]

Gill derived three equations from Brune’s curves for fine (Equation (5)), medium (Equation (6)) and coarse sediment (Equation (7)):

\[
TE_{\text{Gill}} = \frac{(C/I)^3}{1.02655(C/I)^3 + 0.02621(C/I)^2 - 133(C/I) + 0.1 \times 10^{-5}}
\]

\[
TE_{\text{Gill}} = \frac{C/I}{0.012 + 1.02(C/I)}
\]
\[
\text{TE}_{\text{Gill}} = \frac{(C/I)^2}{0.994701(C/I)^2 + 0.006297(C/I) + 0.3 \times 10^{-5}}
\]  
(7)

Ward modified Brune’s equation depending on the hydraulic water residence time for largest world reservoir as:

\[
\text{TE}_{\text{Ward}} = 100 \left[ 1 - \frac{0.05}{(\sqrt{\Delta t})} \right]
\]  
(8)

where \(\Delta t\) is \((C/I)\) residence time in year, \(C\) and \(I\) are in hectare-m and \(m^3 \cdot yr^{-1}\) respectively.

Heinemann developed a new relationship for determining \(\text{TE}\) slightly difference of Brune’s curve based on data of 20 ponded reservoirs in the USA (Equation (9)).

\[
\text{TE}_{\text{Heinemann}} = -22 + \frac{119.6(C/I)}{0.012 + 1.02(C/I)}
\]  
(9)

Jothiprakash and Garg developed two empirical equations to estimate \(\text{TE}\) for medium and coarse sediment depending on the related by Brune’s curves for medium (Equation (10)) and coarse sediment particle (Equation (11)) as follow:

\[
\text{TE}_{\text{Jothiprakash and Garg}} = \frac{(C/I)}{0.00013 + 0.01(C/I) + 0.0000166 \times \sqrt{C/I}}
\]  
(10)

\[
\text{TE}_{\text{Jothiprakash and Garg}} = \frac{8000 - 36(C/I)^{-0.78}}{78.85 + (C/I)^{-0.78}}
\]  
(11)

The mentioned equations did not take into consideration the effect of future variation or reservoir age on the \(\text{TE}\). In this study the methods established based on the hydraulic residence time were used to evaluate and monitor monthly sedimentation processes within MDR.

### 3. Site Description

The Mosul dam is one of the most important strategic projects in Iraq. The project was constructed on the Tigris River in the north of Iraq, located 60 km north west of Mosul city at latitude 36°37’44”N and longitude 42°49’23”E [32] (Figure 3). The dam is a multipurpose project and it started operating in 1986 to store water, flood control and hydropower generation but the main purpose was to provide water for three irrigation projects that cover 2500 km² of agricultural area. The dam is an earth filled dam, 113 m high and 3650 m long including its spillway [32].

The MDR was constructed to impound 11.11 km³ of water at normal operation level (330 m a.s.l), including 8.16 and 2.95 km³ of live storage and dead storage respectively. The maximum and dead storage operation levels of its reservoir are 335 and 300 m a.s.l respectively. The length of reservoir is about 45 km, with width ranging from 2 to 14 km at the normal level and a water surface area of 380 km² while its shape is elongated where then River Tigris enters the upper zone and broadens close to the dam site [32] (Figure 3). The River Tigris is the main source of the water and sediment entering the reservoir. In addition, there are ten tributary valleys feed the MDR, seven from the left (northeast) side and three from the right (southwest) side [33] [34]. The catchment area above Mosul dam site is about 56,275 km² shared by Turkey, Syria and Iraq [18] [35] [36].

### 4. Available Data (Material Used)

#### 4.1. Operating Data

The water level fluctuation in MDR reservoir is small through the year. The hydropower generation and pump stations of the north Al-Jazeera project are the important structures within MDR. The power-generation facilities are located on and in the right abutment of the main dam (Figure 3). The power house is located in the toe of the
Figure 3. The location of the Mosul dam.

dam embankment and includes four turbines with total generating capacity of 750 MW. The Al-Jazeera pump
station is located in the upper zone of the reservoir (latitude 36°49′20″N and longitude 42°30′31″E) with a ma-
ximum water discharge 45 m³ sec⁻¹ (Figure 3) [32]. The long terms records of water inflow and outflow of Tigris
River were provided by these stations (Figure 4). The discharges data showed that the annual inflow and out-
flow rates were 563 and 543 m³ sec⁻¹ respectively. In addition the water elevations in MDR were recorded du-
ring operation period (Figure 5).

4.2. Sedimentation Record Data

Tigris River is one of the two most important rivers in Iraq and is the main source of water for Mosul dam re-
ervoir. Four major tributaries (Batman, Garzan, Botan and Al-Khabur) feed the Tigris River north of MDR
from the left bank [37]. The catchment area upstream MDR is about 54,900 km² shared by Turkey, Syria and
Iraq [35] [36] while the valleys surrounding the reservoir drains an area of about 1375 km² [18] [33]. Long term
sedimentation record data were measured in the River Tigris before the construction of the dam were carried out
on three gaging stations by Harza engineering company and Binnie and Partners [38]. These stations are; Mosul
at dam site, Tusan and Zakho which were upstream MDR (Table 1). The sediment rating curve for Tigris River
is shown in Figure 6. The sediment particle size on the river bed at this region before the construction of the
dam had a median grain size diameter of $d_{50} = 18 \text{ mm}$ [35] [39]. In 2009, Dijla Company for Engineering De-
sign provided a study for the same region of Tigris River. In that study, it was noted that the sediment size was
$d_{50} = 12.4 \text{ mm}$ and the specific gravity for the bed material was $G_s = 2.65$ [40]. In addition, the annual sediment
delivered by right and left valleys of MDR were $42.7 \times 10^3 \text{ ton}$ and $702 \times 10^3 \text{ ton}$ respectively [33] [41].

4.3. Bathymetric Survey Data

A bathymetric survey of the reservoir was conducted in 2011 after 25 years of dam operation. The survey results
showed that 1.143 km³ of sediment had accumulated over the period 1986-2011. This represents an annual sedi-
ment deposition rate of $45.72 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3\text{ yr}^{-1}$. As a result, the reservoir lost 10.29% of its storage capacity dur-
ding this period [18]. The survey results were also used to construct area-storage capacity curves (Figure 3). The
results indicated that the water depth below the normal operation level at the dam site was 83 m in 1986. Later
in 2011 it was about 80 m due to sedimentation. This suggests that, 3.0 m of sediment accumulated near the dam
during the operational period of the project [18].

5. Methodology and Techniques Used

All the empirical methods that e mentioned above did not take into account the variation of TE with time due to
changes in the factors affecting it. That means, the river behavior, the reservoir’s characteristics and operation
mode will remain constant in the future. Therefore, in this study, average monthly data of MDR were considered during the calculation of its TE. The calculations were performed as follow:

- Average monthly data of water elevations in MDR with stage-storage capacity curve at 1986 (Figure 7) were used to determine the average monthly storage capacity of its reservoir (Figure 8).
- The monthly data of storage capacity and inflow rate were employed to compute monthly TE of MDR by using the six previously mentioned methods that are represented by equations (3, 4, 6, 8, 9 and 10) (Figure 9).
- The inflow rate data were used with sediment rating curve for River Tigris and rate of sediment for surrounding valleys to compute average sediment entering MDR (Figure 6).

| Station | Easting   | Northing   |
|---------|-----------|------------|
| Zakho   | 42°41'00" | 37°08'00"  |
| Tusun   | 42°28'00" | 37°00'00"  |
| Mosul   | 42°49'03" | 36°37'57"  |
I. E. Issa et al.

Figure 6. Sediment rating curve of Tigris River under natural condition in three gaging stations [40] (Hint: Mosul station at Mosul dam site).

Figure 7. Area-storage capacity curves for the Mosul dam.

- The sedimentation data (Figure 10) and the monthly TE (Figure 9) were used to determine the amount of sediment deposited in the MDR.
- The deposition data were employed to correct the storage capacity of MDR after sedimentation (Figure 8). Thus the adjusted data of storage capacity were used to correct monthly TE of MDR (Figure 11).
- The corrected data of TE were used again to determine monthly sediment deposited in the MDR during its operating that will be used to compute accumulative sediment deposited in MDR during 25 year of its operating (Figure 12).
Figure 8. Monthly variation of storage capacity for MDR.

Figure 9. Average monthly TE for MDR.

Figure 10. The monthly rate (discharge) of sediment load coming to MDR.
6. Results and Discussion

The six methods mentioned in the previous sections were evaluated by testing them against the bathymetric survey data for Mosul reservoir which were obtained by the survey conducted in 2011. The total volume of sediment deposited in the MDR was 1.143 km$^3$ [18] and total sediment coming to the reservoir was 1.199 km$^3$ which were computed from sediment rating of River Tigris (1.17684 km$^3$) and surrounding valleys (0.02216 km$^3$) (Table 2). Therefore, the real TE of MDR is 95.329%. The total volume of sediment deposited in the MDR was also calculated using average monthly data for inflow and storage capacity via these empirical methods (Table 2). Furthermore, to identify the effect of monthly operation on TE, the long term TE of MDR were also computed by these empirical methods depending on the average inflow and storage capacity of MDR during its operation period (25 years). The long term TEs were used to estimate the volume of sediment deposited in MDR (Table 2). The percentage errors for sediment volume deposited in MDR for all methods based on monthly average data and average of whole data (long term TE) are tabulated in Table 2. The results for all methods showed good agreement with bathymetric survey, with a percentage error ranging between $-3.237\%$ to $1.662\%$ for monthly adopted data and $-4.549\%$ to $-2.450\%$ for whole period data (Table 2). It should be mentioned however, that Ward method was giving very good result relative to the other methods with percentage error 0.350%. In addition, convergent results were obtained for determining monthly storage capacity (Figure 4) and
Table 2. Total sediment coming and deposited in the MDR during 25 years.

| Methods         | Total sediment coming (km3) | Depending the monthly TE |               |               | Depending the long-term TE |               |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------------------|---------------|
|                 |                             | Average TE              | Total sediment deposited (km3) | % Error | Average TE              | Total sediment deposited (km3) | % Error |
| Brune           | 1.199                       | 97.818                  | 1.173         | -2.625       | 99.573                    | 1.194         | -4.462  |
| Dendy           | 1.199                       | 96.880                  | 1.162         | -1.662       | 99.003                    | 1.187         | -3.850  |
| Gill            | 1.199                       | 97.708                  | 1.172         | -2.537       | 98.759                    | 1.184         | -3.587  |
| Ward            | 1.199                       | 94.975                  | 1.139         | 0.350        | 97.686                    | 1.171         | -2.450  |
| Heinemann       | 1.199                       | 93.729                  | 1.124         | 1.662        | 99.960                    | 1.199         | -4.899  |
| Jothiprakash and Garg | 1.199               | 98.403                  | 1.180         | -3.237       | 99.646                    | 1.195         | -4.549  |

volume of sediment deposited in the MDR (Figure 8).

7. Conclusion

Trap efficiency (TE) is one of the most informative parameters that represent the sedimentation characteristics in reservoirs. It is that proportion of trapped or deposited to the total incoming sediment in the reservoir which is usually expressed in percentage. Six empirical methods for determining this term were reviewed and applied for MDR. These methods depend on the water retention time principle. They are: Brune, Dendy, Gill, Ward, Heinemann and Jothiprakash and Garg. The empirical methods were applied to compute TE of MDR depending on average monthly data and whole data for dam operation (25 years). The results were used to determine the volume of sediment deposited in the MDR. These results were compared with those obtained using the bathymetric survey conducted in 2011 after 25 years of dam operation. The comparison of the results showed that the method proposed by Ward [15] gave good agreement with minimum percentage error (0.350%). The results depending on the monthly TE data produced good results relative to the long term TE for estimating the volume of sedimentation.
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