The diagonal two-point correlations of the Ising model on the anisotropic triangular lattice and Garnier systems
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Abstract

The diagonal spin–spin correlations $\langle \sigma_0 \sigma_{0,N} \rangle$ of the Ising model on a triangular lattice with general couplings in the three directions are evaluated in terms of a solution to a three-variable extension of the sixth Painlevé system, namely a Garnier system. This identification, which is accomplished using the theory of bi-orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle with regular semi-classical weights, has an additional consequence whereby the correlations are characterised by a simple system of coupled, nonlinear recurrence relations in the spin separation $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. The later recurrence relations are an example of discrete Garnier equations which, in turn, are extensions to a ‘discrete Painlevé V’ system.
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In this study we investigate the spin-half Ising model on the anisotropic, homogeneous triangular lattice and in particular the diagonal spin–spin correlations of the model. We identify a particular three-variable Garnier system in corollary 1 as the integrable system lying behind this model and thus find an extension of the evaluation by Jimbo and Miwa in 1980 [16] of the same quantity for the square lattice case in terms of a $\tau$ function of the sixth Painlevé system. This extension is quite literal in the sense that the anisotropic triangular model includes the diagonal and row or column correlation functions of the rectangular lattice as special cases.
To our knowledge this is the first appearance of a Garnier system in a statistical mechanical model even though such systems have arisen in other mathematical physics contexts: see [23] for the ADM approach to 2 + 1-dimensional gravity; [14] for the symmetry reductions of the self-dual Yang–Mills equations in 2 + 2 dimensions; [39] for a generalised Hénon-Heiles system; and [18] for finite gap solutions to the Garnier system and the g-dimensional anisotropic harmonic oscillator in a radial quartic potential.

The first identification of a Painlevé system for the Ising model correlations was for the scaled and critical correlations\(^1\) for the square lattice and in this case was made to a special type of the \(D_6^{(1)}\) Painlevé III system by Wu, McCoy, Tracy and Barouch in [44]. The extension to the lattice model for all \(T\) and finite \(N\) was found by Jimbo and Miwa [16]. This latter result for the spin–spin correlation \(\langle \sigma_0, \sigma_{N,N} \rangle\) can be summarised as

\[
\sigma_N(t) = t(t - 1) \frac{d}{dt} \log(\sigma_{0,0} \sigma_{N,N}) - \frac{1}{4} t,
\]

where \(\sigma_N(t)\) is the solution to the \(\sigma\)-form of Painlevé VI which is the second order, second degree ordinary differential equation

\[
\left[ t(t - 1) \frac{d^2 \sigma_N}{dt^2} \right]^2 = N^2 \left[ (t - 1) \frac{d \sigma_N}{dt} - \sigma_N \right]^2 - 4 \frac{d \sigma_N}{dt} \left[ (t - 1) \frac{d \sigma_N}{dt} - \sigma_N - \frac{1}{4} \right] \left( \frac{d \sigma_N}{dt} - \sigma_N \right).
\]

Here \(t = k^{-2}\) and \(k = \sinh 2K_2 \sinh 2K_3\) where \(K_i, K_2\) are the couplings between sites along the horizontal and vertical edges respectively and \(T = T_C\) corresponds to \(t = 1\). The physical quantities of this and the triangular lattice model are defined in section 1. This equation is solved subject to the boundary condition as \(t \to 0\), i.e. in the \(T < T_C\) regime

\[
\langle \sigma_0, \sigma_{N,N} \rangle(t) = (1 - t)^{3/2} + \frac{(1/2)t^{3/2}N}{4(N + 1)^2} t^{N + 1/2}(1 + O(t)),
\]

and for \(t \to \infty\) in the \(T > T_C\) high temperature regime by

\[
\langle \sigma_0, \sigma_{N,N} \rangle(t) = \frac{(1/2)t^{N/2}}{N!} t^{-N/2}(1 + O(t^{-1})).
\]

Alternative derivations of this result can be found in [4] and [8].

Equation (2) is a specialisation of the general \(\sigma\)-form of Painlevé VI which is constructed from the Hamiltonian system for Painlevé VI [21, 29]

\[
\frac{dq}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p}, \quad \frac{dp}{dt} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q},
\]

where the non-autonomous Hamiltonian is given by

\[
t(t - 1)H = q(q - 1)(q - t)p^2 - [\alpha_0(q - 1)(q - t) + \alpha_3(q - 1) + \alpha_4(q - 1)p] + \alpha_2(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)(q - t).
\]

Here the \(D_4\) root system constraint \(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 = 1\) applies. The general \(\sigma\)-form of the sixth Painlevé equation is then

\[\text{Equation (6)}\]

\[\text{Where the limits } M, N \to \infty \text{ and } T \to T_C \text{ are carried out together in the combination } \sqrt{M^2 + N^2} |T - T_C| = O(1) \text{ for the correlation } \langle \sigma_0, \sigma_{M,N} \rangle.\]
\[ h'(t)[(1-t)h''(t)]^2 + [h'(t)(2h(t) - (2t - 1)h'(t)) + b_1b_2b_3b_4]^2 = \prod_{k=1}^{4} (h'(t) + b_k^2), \] (7)

and \( h(t) \) is related to the Hamiltonian by

\[ h(t) = t(t-1)H(t) + e_2(b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4) - \frac{1}{2} e_3(b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4), \] (8)

where \( e_2(.) \) is the second elementary symmetric polynomial of its arguments.

In the present work we require the theory for the multi-variate extension of the Painlevé VI system. Let us place \( M + 1 \geq 3 \) independent variables in canonical position (see [15]), i.e. taking them at distinct points

\[ t_0 = 0, t_1, \ldots, t_{M-2}, t_{M-1} = 1, t_M = \infty, \] (9)

each of which is associated with the parameters \( \rho_0, \rho_1, \ldots, \rho_{M-2}, \rho_{M-1} = \rho, \rho_M = \rho_\infty \) respectively. The Garnier system \( G_{M-2} \) of type \( L(1^M, M - 2) \) is labelled by an abbreviated Riemann–Papperitz-like symbol (see section 4 of [15] for the definition) in the following manner

\[ \{ t_0 = 0 \quad t_1 \quad \ldots \quad t_{M-2} \quad t_{M-1} = 1 \quad \infty \} \] (10)

The dynamics of the Garnier system is governed by the Hamiltonian system

\[ \{ q_r, p_r; K_j(t_j) \}_{j=1}^{M-2} \] with co-ordinate \( q_r \) and momenta \( p_r \) and with the Hamiltonian \( [17, 15, 42] \)

\[ K_j = \frac{\Theta_\rho(t_j)}{W(t_j)} \sum_{r=1}^{M-2} W(q_r) \frac{1}{\Theta_\rho(q_r)} \left[ p_r^2 + p_r \left( \frac{2V(q_r)}{W(q_r)} - \frac{n}{q_r} \right) - \frac{1}{q_r(q_r - 1)} \right]. \] (11)

The monodromy exponents are given by \( \theta_j = -\rho_j \) for \( j = 1, \ldots, M - 1 \), \( \theta_0 = n - \rho_0 \) and \( \theta_\infty = 2n + 1 - \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \rho_j \). The polynomial \( W \), the denominator spectral polynomial, is given by

\[ W(z) = z(z - 1) \prod_{j=1}^{M-2} (z - t_j) = z \sum_{l=0}^{M-1} (-1)^{M-1-l} l! e_{M-1-l}, \] (12)

where the elementary symmetric functions of the singularity positions are denoted \( e_l, l = 0, \ldots, M - 1 \) and in particular \( e_0 = 1, e_M = 0 \) and \( e_{M-1} = \prod_{j=1}^{M-2} t_j \). The polynomial \( 2V \), the numerator spectral polynomial, is

\[ 2V(z) = z(z - 1) \prod_{j=1}^{M-2} (z - t_j) \left\{ \frac{\rho_0}{z} + \frac{\rho}{z - 1} + \sum_{j=1}^{M-2} \frac{\rho_j}{z - t_j} \right\} = \sum_{l=0}^{M-1} (-1)^{M-1-l} l! m_{M-1-l}, \] (13)

where the last relation defines the coefficients \( m_l, l = 0, \ldots, M - 1 \) and we observe that \( m_0 = \rho_0 + \rho + \sum_{j=1}^{M-2} \rho_j \) and \( m_{M-1} = \rho_\infty \rho_{M-1} \). The remaining polynomial, termed the spectral coefficient \( \Theta_\rho \), of degree \( M - 2 \), is

\[ \Theta_\rho(z) = \Theta_\infty \prod_{r=1}^{M-2} (z - q_r), \quad \Theta_\infty = (n + 1 + m_0) \frac{K_n}{K_{n+1}}, \] (14)
This Hamiltonian system (11) is not polynomial in the canonical variables $q_r$ and the dynamical equations for $q_r$ do not possess the Painlevé property in $t_j$, however using the well-known canonical transformation to the new Hamiltonian system $H_{M-2} = \{ Q_j, P_j, H_j, s_j \}$ [17, 15]

$$s_j = \frac{t_j}{t_j - 1},$$

$$Q_j = \frac{t_j \Theta_n(t_j)}{\Theta_\infty W(t_j)},$$

$$P_j = -(t_j - 1) \sum_{r=1}^{M-2} p_r \frac{\Theta_\infty W(q_r)}{q_r(q_r - 1)(q_r - t_j)\Theta'_n(q_r)},$$

both these deficiencies can be removed. The sixth Painlevé system is the $M = 3$ case of the above.

The $\sigma$-form differential equation (2) is quite useful in certain types of analysis of the correlations however additional insight can be gained from a recurrence system in $N$ which generates the correlation at $N + 1$ from ones at $N$ or earlier, see for example the use made of these in [6]. In propositions 1 and 2 a system of two coupled, first order non-linear difference equations for the diagonal correlations of the square lattice Ising model is given as $(\alpha = -k^{1/4})$

$$\alpha^{-2} f_{n+1} = \frac{[g_n + (n + 1)\alpha - \alpha^{-1}]}{[g_n + n\alpha^{-1}]} \frac{[g_n + (n + \frac{1}{2})\alpha - \frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{\alpha^2}]}{[g_n + (n + \frac{1}{2})\alpha - \frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{\alpha^2}]},$$ (18)

$$g_n + g_{n-1} + 2n\alpha^{-1} - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \alpha^{-1}) + \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \left( \frac{\alpha^2 - 1}{\alpha - 1} - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) + \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{\alpha(\alpha^2 - 1)}{\alpha^2 - f_n} = 0,$$ (19)

although different but entirely equivalent systems have been given in [9] and [41]. The above system is a specialisation of the general ‘discrete Painlevé V’ system [11, 28] or the $D_4^{(1)}$ system in Sakai’s classification [32]

$$t_{n+1} = \frac{[\omega_n + n - t - \rho_0(t + 1) - (\rho_1 + \rho_1^1)t][\omega_n + n - t - \rho_0(t + 1) - \rho_1 - \rho_1^1]}{[\omega_n + nt - 1 - \rho_0(t + 1) - \rho_1 - \rho_1^1][\omega_n + nt - 1 - \rho_0(t + 1) - \rho_1 - \rho_1^1]},$$ (20)

and

$$\omega_n + \omega_{n-1} + (2n - 1)t - 2 - 2\rho_0(t + 1) - 2\rho_1 - \rho_1(t + 1) = (n - \rho_0)\frac{1 - t}{f_n - 1} + (n + 1 + m_0)\frac{1 - t}{f_n - 1}.\quad (21)$$

A first remark is in order concerning the above equations. They are expressed in terms of new pairs of variables, $\{f_n, g_n\}$ or $\{f_n, \omega_n\}$, which are distinct from the Hamiltonian variables $\{q, p\}$ introduced previously. While there are explicit one-to-one transformations between the two sets we do not go into these details however because we are going to confine ourselves to

$^2$ For the general $M \geq 3$ Garnier system these transformations follow from equations (3.4) and (4.42) along with remark 4.2 of [42]. For the $M = 3$ PVI system explicit examples are given in lemma 4.1 and propositions 4.1 and 4.3 of [9].
a discussion of the recurrence relations and will give full and self-contained relations between
the \{f_\nu, g_\nu\} variables and the correlations (see proposition 2 for the example of PVI).

A second remark concerns the multi-variate generalisation of the \(\sigma\)-form of PVI, namely
(2) or (7) for that matter. This is a distinct issue from the linear differential equations satisfied
by the isomonodromic system in either matrix or scalar form and which are well known.
The Hamiltonians themselves occupy a privileged position, like that of the \(\tau\)-functions, in the
physical applications as compared to the transcendents and therefore non-linear differential
equations for these would be very useful. One would expect from (11) that the generalisation
of the \(\sigma\)-form would be a system of coupled, non-linear partial differential equations for the
\(K_j, j = 1, \ldots, M - 2\). However we will elect to defer the task of writing down and analysing
these here as this would divert us from our primary goal.

All of the features that apply in the diagonal correlations of the square lattice case as a con-
sequence of the identification with an integrable system also apply in the case of the triangular
lattice but only in a slightly more complicated way. Thus the main results of our study are the
systems of non-linear recurrence relations for the diagonal correlations of the triangular Ising
model in corollaries 3 and 4, and by implication for the column/row correlations of the aniso-
tropic square lattice Ising model in corollaries 6 and 7.

The Ising model on the triangular lattice has attracted some considerable interest, partly
because it exhibits the phenomena of frustration in the anti-ferromagnetic phase and partly
because it led to the simplest form for the diagonal correlations on the square lattice. The par-
tition function has been evaluated through all the methods known to work in treating the Ising
model: Husimi and Syôzi [13, 37] used a simplified version of Onsager’s algebraic method on
the isotropic lattice; Wannier [40] used the method of Kaufman and Onsager for the isotropic
lattice whereas Temperley [38] employed this approach on the anisotropic lattice; Newell
first employed Kaufman’s method in [26] and then Kaufman and Onsager’s method on the
anisotropic lattice in [27]; Potts employed the combinatorial method of Kac and Ward on the
anisotropic model in [31]. In all of these works the isotropic lattice was observed to possess
a Curie point singularity in the ferromagnetic case, just like that for the square lattice, but no
singularity was found in the antiferromagnetic case. Subsequently Stephenson produced a
series of works I–IV, [33–36], starting with the Pfaffian representation of the partition func-
tion introduced by Kastelyn and utilised by Montroll, Potts and Ward to compute the square
lattice row/column correlations [25]. It is Stephenson’s first and fourth works that we will pri-
marily draw upon here. In his first work the two-spin correlations are evaluated as a Toeplitz
determinant; in the second some particular four-spin correlations are evaluated; in the third
the isotropic anti-ferromagnetic lattice is studied using the two and four-spin correlations and
the asymptotics found for these at large site separations; and in the fourth we find the most
detailed treatment of the anisotropic lattices in both the ferromagnetic and anti-ferromagnetic
phases. Subsequent to these earlier studies a number of modern re-derivations of the partition
function (and extensions thereof) [30, 5] have been made by exploiting the free-fermionic
character of the model using Grassmann variable techniques.

The triangular Ising model is known to be in the Villain–Stephenson universality class
with critical exponents \(\alpha = 0, (2 - \alpha)\gamma = 2, \nu = 1, \beta\gamma = 1/8, \delta = 7, \gamma/\nu = 7/4, \Delta/\nu = 7/4,\)
see for example [12]. As mentioned above because the triangular Ising model in the anti-ferro-
magnetic regime is a prototype for geometrical frustration an extensive modern literature has
emerged which we will only briefly touch upon. For example there are the studies [46, 19, 45]
of the Ising model on a triangular Kagomé lattice which explore this issue. Another feature of
this effect is that the ground state of the model is highly degenerate, \#configurations \(= O(e^{\text{finite}})\),
and has residual entropy at \(T = 0\). A consequence of this is that the boundary conditions do
affect this entropy as $\#\text{sites} \to \infty$ and some computations [24, 3] have clearly illustrated this. Perhaps more relevant to this work is the study [43] of the pair (both diagonal and off-diagonal) correlations of the isotropic triangular Ising model in the anti-ferromagnetic phase at $T = 0$ and $T > 0$ through a number of approaches: the exact results for $N \ll 20$ numerically evaluated, asymptotic approximations as $N \to \infty$ and Monte-Carlo simulations. Here it should be noted that in the isotropic anti-ferromagnetic case the model has no long-range order for $T > 0$, i.e. the critical point is at $T = 0$. What is observed here is the lack of exact results even in this highly specialised case and for $T > 0$ the existing approximations are of limited accuracy.

1. Correlations along the diagonal of the anisotropic triangular Ising model

The Ising model is a system of spins $\sigma_i \in \{ -1, 1 \}$ located at site $r = (i, j)$ on a triangular lattice of dimension $(2L + 1) \times (2L + 1)$, or equivalently with the sites on a rectangular lattice having in additional to nearest-neighbour couplings along the $x$ and $y$ axes a third next-nearest-neighbour coupling along the up-right diagonals. Our conventions for the labelling of sites and of the coupling constants $K_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ are displayed in figure 1, with the origin at the centre of the lattice.

The Gibbs measure for a configuration $\{ \sigma_{ij} \}_{i,j=-L}^L$ is the product of Boltzmann weights along the three axes

$$P[\{ \sigma_{ij} \}_{i,j=-L}^L] = \frac{1}{Z_{2L+1}} \exp \left[ K_1 \sum_{j=-L}^{L-1} \sum_{i=-L}^{L-1} \sigma_{i,j} \sigma_{i+1,j} + K_2 \sum_{i=-L}^{L-1} \sum_{j=-L}^{L-1} \sigma_{i,j} \sigma_{i,j+1} + K_3 \sum_{i=-L}^{L-1} \sum_{j=-L}^{L-1} \sigma_{i,j} \sigma_{i+1,j+1} \right],$$

where $Z_{2L+1}$ is the partition function. Averages such as the order parameter or average magnetisation are defined by

$$\langle \sigma_0 \rangle = \frac{\sum_{\{ \sigma_i \} \in \{ -1, 1 \}^{2L+1}} \sigma_0 P[\{ \sigma_{ij} \}_{i,j=-L}^L]},$$

however our interest will lie in the spin–spin correlation function

$$\langle \sigma_{0,0} \sigma_{N,N} \rangle = \frac{\sum_{\{ \sigma_i \} \in \{ -1, 1 \}^{2L+1}} \sigma_{0,0} \sigma_{N,N} P[\{ \sigma_{ij} \}_{i,j=-L}^L].}$$

In all the averages we will impose toroidal boundary conditions $\sigma_{i+2L+1,j} = \sigma_{i,j}, \sigma_{i,j+2L+1} = \sigma_{i,j}$ and take the thermodynamic limit, so for example $\lim_{L \to \infty} \langle \sigma_0 \rangle = \langle \sigma \rangle$. Our independent variables will either be the ordered triple $(z_1, z_2, z_3)$ or $(v_1, v_2, v_3)$ which are related to the coupling constants $K_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ by

$$z_i = \tanh K_i = \frac{1 - v_i}{1 + v_i}, \quad v_i = e^{-2K_i} = \frac{1 - z_i}{1 + z_i},$$

where the physical variables $z_i \in [-1, 1], v_i \in [0, \infty)$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. In our characterisation of these correlations as a classical solution to the Garnier equations we will see that they are

3 This notation is the reverse of that adopted by Stephenson whereas our conventions conform to common usage, see [22].
meaningful for complex values of the variables \( K_1, K_2, K_3 \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{ \infty \} \) modulo certain restrictions and in fact this is the natural setting for these systems.

From the symmetries of the correlations, see equation (1.6) of [36], we have the Toeplitz matrix element

\[
W_n(K_1, K_2, K_3) = W_n(-K_1, -K_2, K_3),
\]

(26)

\[
= (-1)^{n+1} W_n(-K_1, K_2, -K_3),
\]

(27)

\[
= (-1)^{n+1} W_n(K_1, -K_2, -K_3),
\]

(28)

from which Stephenson inferred a classification with two classes: class A which can be transformed to a completely ferromagnetic lattice \( K_1, K_2, K_3 > 0 \) and class B which can be transformed to a completely antiferromagnetic lattice \( K_1, K_2, K_3 < 0 \). In the class A system or ferromagnetic system there is a critical point, the Curie point \( T_C \), given by

\[
1 + z_1z_2z_3 = z_1 + z_2 + z_3 + z_1z_2 + z_1z_3 + z_2z_3, \quad v_1v_2 + v_1v_3 + v_2v_3 = 1,
\]

(29)

and exhibits a phase transition between a low temperature, \( 0 < T < T_C \), ordered phase where the spins are aligned \( \langle \sigma \rangle > 0 \) and a high temperature, \( T_C < T < \infty \), disordered phase with no alignment \( \langle \sigma \rangle = 0 \). By contrast, in the antiferromagnetic class or class B systems there are two critical points, the Néel point \( T_N \),

\[
1 + z_1z_2z_3 = -z_1 - z_2 + z_3 + z_1z_2 - z_1z_3 - z_2z_3, \quad v_1v_2 - v_1v_3 - v_2v_3 = 1,
\]

(30)

and the disorder point \( T_D > T_N \)

\[
z_1 + z_2 = 0, \quad -v_1v_2 + v_1v_3 + v_2v_3 = 1.
\]

(31)

In the low-temperature regime \( 0 < T < T_N \) the system exhibits antiferromagnetic long-range-order along the two lattice axes with the strongest \( K \) values and ferromagnetic order along the third. There is now an intermediate regime \( T_N < T < T_D \) in which there is antiferromagnetic short-range-order along the two axes with the largest absolute values of \( K \) and ferromagnetic short-range-order along the third. In the high temperature regime \( T_D < T < \infty \) there is
exponential decay of the pair correlations along all the axes. There is a clear exposition of the 3-D phase diagram in [5] with respect to the co-ordinates \((K_1, K_2, K_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3\), where it is clear there exist rays emanating from the origin with no critical point for \(T > 0\), i.e. the system is disordered down to \(T = 0\) such as in the isotropic anti-ferromagnetic case \(K_1 = K_2 = K_3 < 0\).

The spin–spin correlations along the diagonal have the Toeplitz determinant form given by equations (6.10) and (6.12) derived by Stephenson [33], or equation (1.4) of [36], using the methods of Montroll, Potts and Ward [25]

\[
\langle \sigma_{0,0\sigma_{N},N} \rangle^\wedge = \det[w_j]_{j,k=0,\ldots,N-1},
\]

where the Toeplitz matrix element is the trigonometric integral

\[
w_n = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{a \cos(n\theta) - b \cos((n-1)\theta) - c \cos((n+1)\theta)}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2 + c^2 - 2a(b + c) \cos(\theta) + 2bc \cos(2\theta)}} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z},
\]

with parameters \(a, b, c\) depending in the three couplings \(z_1, z_2, z_3\)

\[
a = 2\zeta(1 + \zeta^2)(1 + \zeta^2) + 4\zeta^2(1 + \zeta^2), \quad b = \zeta^2c = \zeta^2(1 - \zeta^2)(1 - \zeta^2).
\]

For our purposes we note the essential feature that these matrix elements are the Fourier coefficients of the weight \(e^{\zeta\theta}\)

\[
w(\zeta) \equiv \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} w_n \zeta^n = \left(\frac{a - b\zeta - c\zeta^{-1}}{a - b\zeta^{-1} - c\zeta}\right)^{1/2}.
\]

The above general result subsumes a number of special cases which are of interest in their own right:

1. Diagonal correlations on the square lattice \(K_3 = 0\),

\[
\langle \sigma_{0,0\sigma_{N},N} \rangle^\square = \langle \sigma_{0,0\sigma_{N},N} \rangle^\wedge,
\]

2. Row correlations on the square lattice \(K_1 = 0\),

\[
\langle \sigma_{0,0\sigma_{N},N} \rangle^\square = \langle \sigma_{0,0\sigma_{N},N} \rangle^\wedge|_{K_1 \rightarrow 0},
\]

3. Column correlations on the square lattice \(K_2 = 0\),

\[
\langle \sigma_{0,0\sigma_{N},N} \rangle^\square = \langle \sigma_{0,0\sigma_{N},N} \rangle^\wedge|_{K_2 \rightarrow 0},
\]

4. Curie Point \(T = T_C\),

5. Néel Point \(T = T_N\),

6. Disorder Point \(T = T_D\).

These correlations are governed by a Garnier system because of the simple observation: the weight function is a regular semi-classical weight, which is made precise below, and are thus these Toeplitz determinants are known to be \(\tau\)-functions for such equations [20, 10]. In fact we are going to heavily employ results from the theory of Garnier system specialised to the classical case\(^4\), in the particular setting of bi-orthogonal polynomials and their associated functions defined on the unit circle with regular semi-classical weights. We define a system

\(^4\) The term classical used in Painlevé theory is distinct from that employed in orthogonal polynomial theory and refers to the fact that the parameters of the Garnier equation are located on a Weyl chamber wall, i.e. a particular integrality condition applies to the parameters and the monodromy matrices are either lower/upper triangular or trivial.
of bi-orthogonal polynomials with respect to the weight $w(z)$ on the unit circle as the pair of sequences $(\phi_n(z), \tilde{\phi}_n(z))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ through the orthogonality relation

$$\int_{T} \frac{d\zeta}{2\pi i\zeta} w(\zeta) \phi_m(\zeta) \tilde{\phi}_n(\zeta) = \delta_{m,n}, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}. $$

Note that $\tilde{\phi}_n$ is not the conjugate of $\phi_n$ and that the weight $w(\zeta)$ need not be real let alone positive on $|\zeta| = 1$. The necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of such a system is that

$$\det(w_{j-k}, j, k = 0, \ldots, n - 1) = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \text{see} \quad [2].$$

The general theory of such systems has already been formulated [10] for systems with an arbitrary number of singularities, following earlier work on orthogonal polynomials on the real line with semi-classical weights in [7, 20].

**Corollary 1 ([10, 42]).** The Toeplitz determinants \(\{\langle c_0, c_{N+1}, N \rangle\}_{N=0}^{\infty}\) given by (32) with (33), are classical \(\tau\)-functions of a Garnier system \(G_3\) of type \(L(15;3)\) in three variables formed from the ratios of any three of \(\{\zeta_j\}_{j=1}^{4}\) to the fourth (assumed non-zero) and whose abbreviated Papperitz-like symbol is

\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{cccc}
0 & \zeta_1 & \zeta_2 & \zeta_3 & \zeta_4 \\
\theta_0 = N & \theta_1 = -1/2 & \theta_2 = -1/2 & \theta_3 = 1/2 & \theta_4 = 1/2 & \theta_\infty = N
\end{array} \right\},
\]

where the formal monodromy exponent \(\theta_j\) corresponds to the singularity \(\zeta_j\). Explicit expressions for the singularities are given subsequently in the workings of the proof by (49).

**Proof.** The logarithmic derivative of the weight function (35) has the form

$$\frac{1}{w(\zeta)} \frac{d}{d\zeta} w(\zeta) = \frac{2V(\zeta)}{W(\zeta)},$$

where \(W(\zeta), 2V(\zeta)\) are irreducible polynomials in \(\zeta\). It is clear that (refer to the definitions (12) and (13))

\[
W(\zeta) = (\zeta - \zeta_1)(\zeta - \zeta_2)(\zeta - \zeta_3)(\zeta - \zeta_4) = \zeta^4 - e_1\zeta^3 + e_2\zeta^2 - e_3\zeta + e_4,
\]

\[
2V(\zeta) = W(\zeta) \sum_{j=1}^{4} \frac{\rho_j}{\zeta - \zeta_j} = m_0\zeta^3 - m_1\zeta^2 + m_2\zeta - m_3,
\]

where the weight data is represented in the following way when the four singularities \(\zeta_j, j = 1, 2, 3, 4\) are in generic positions and one really has the case of five finite singularities \(M = 5\) as the one at the origin is always present (as is also the one at infinity under generic circumstances). Here \(V(\zeta), W(\zeta)\) are irreducible polynomials that must satisfy the following generic conditions of the regular semi-classical class (see definition 3.1 of [10] and the remainder of section 3 of that work):

(i) \(\deg(W) = M \geq 2\),
(ii) \(\deg(V) < \deg(W)\),
(iii) the \(M\) zeros of \(W(\zeta)\), \(\{\zeta_0, \ldots, \zeta_{M-1}\}\) are pair-wise distinct, and
(iv) the residues \(\rho_j = 2V(\zeta_j)W(\zeta_j) \not\in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\).

The above generic case defines a system of bi-orthogonal polynomials and their associated functions on the unit circle, and these satisfy the same second-order linear differential equation which is represented by the following abbreviated Riemann-Papperitz-like symbol...
Here $e_4 \neq 0$ and (41) and (42) still apply. In our example $M = 5$, with four finite, non-zero regular singularities
\[
\begin{cases}
0 & \zeta_1 \\
0 & \zeta_2 \\
0 & \zeta_3 \\
0 & \zeta_4 \\
\theta_0 = n & \theta_1 = -\rho_1 \theta_2 = -\rho_2 \theta_3 = -\rho_3 \theta_4 = -\rho_4 \theta_\infty = 2n + 1 - \rho_\infty
\end{cases}
\]
(43)
and thus one of the conditions on the weight, $\rho_j \notin \mathbb{Z}$, is satisfied.

The other condition concerns the separation of the singularities $\zeta_i \neq \zeta_j$ for $i \neq j$. The four singularities are governed by a number of parameters: the discriminant $D^2$
\[
D^2 := (\zeta_1 + \zeta_2\zeta_3)(\zeta_2 + \zeta_3\zeta_1)(\zeta_3 + \zeta_1\zeta_2)(1 + \zeta_1\zeta_2\zeta_3),
\]
(45)
and the additional auxiliary variables
\[
\begin{align*}
\Gamma & := 1 + v_1^2 v_2^2 - (v_1^2 + v_2^2) v_3^2, \\
\Delta & := \frac{4\Delta}{(1 + v_1)^2(1 + v_2)^2(1 + v_3)^2}, \\
\bar{\Delta} & := \frac{(1 + v_1^2)^2\Delta^2 - 4v_1^2 v_2^2}{(1 - v_1^2)^2},
\end{align*}
\]
so that
\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta^2 & := (1 + v_1 v_2 - v_1 v_3 + v_2 v_3)(1 - v_1 v_2 - v_1 v_3 + v_2 v_3)(1 - v_1 v_2 + v_1 v_3 - v_2 v_3)
\times (1 + v_1 v_2 + v_1 v_3 + v_2 v_3), \\
\bar{\Delta}^2 & := (1 - v_1 v_2 + v_1 v_3 - v_2 v_3)(1 + v_1 v_2 + v_1 v_3 - v_2 v_3)(1 + v_1 v_2 - v_1 v_3 + v_2 v_3)
\times (1 - v_1 v_2 - v_1 v_3 + v_2 v_3).
\end{align*}
\]
(46)
(47)
(48)
The singularities then have the explicit forms
\[
\begin{align*}
\zeta_1 = & \frac{\Gamma + \Delta}{2v_1 v_2(1 - v_3)^2}, \\
\zeta_2 = & \frac{\Gamma - \Delta}{2v_1 v_2(1 - v_3)^2}, \\
\zeta_3 = & \frac{\Gamma + \Delta}{2v_1 v_2(1 + v_3)^2}, \\
\zeta_4 = & \frac{\Gamma - \Delta}{2v_1 v_2(1 + v_3)^2}.
\end{align*}
\]
(49)
Furthermore we note the relations between the singularities
\[
\zeta_4 \zeta_2 \zeta_3 \zeta_4 = 1, \quad \zeta_3 = z_3^2 \zeta_4 = z_2^{-1}, \quad \zeta_4 = z_3^2 \zeta_2 = z_1^{-1}.
\]
(50)
The sub-resultants of the numerator and denominator polynomials in (35) are proportional to $v_1^2 v_2^2 \bar{\Delta}^2$ and $v_1 v_2 v_3 \Gamma$. The Curie point (29), the three equivalent variations of the Néel point (30) and the degenerate case of $T = 0$ account for the vanishing of the first of these sub-resultants, whereby a single cancellation of a common factor in the numerator and denominator occurs and reduces the number of singularities by two. Examining the sub-resultants of the
numerator polynomial and its derivative we find \( v_1v_2(1 - v_3)^2 \Delta^2 \) and \( v_1v_2(1 - v_3)^2 \). Proceeding in the same way with the denominator polynomial and its derivative we find \( v_1v_2\Delta^2 \) and \( v_1v_2 \). These last two cases include the disorder point \( (31) \) and its three equivalent versions, as well as the case of \( T = \infty \). Thus in contrast to the generic case the three critical points and the boundary points \( T = 0, T = \infty \), correspond to situations where the singularities coalesce in a pair-wise manner in the following way:

\[
|\zeta_1| = \frac{1}{z_3} > |\zeta_2| = |\zeta_3| = 1 > |\zeta_4| = z_3^3
\]  
(51)

Curie or Néel Point

\[
|\zeta_1| = \frac{1}{z_3} > |\zeta_2| = |\zeta_3| = 1 > |\zeta_4| = z_3^3
\]  
(52)

\( T = 0 \)

\[
\zeta_1 = \zeta_3 \geq \zeta_2 = \zeta_4
\]  
(53)

Disorder point

\[
|\zeta_1| = |\zeta_2| = \frac{1}{z_3} > 1 > |\zeta_3| = |\zeta_4| = |z_3|
\]  
(54)

\( T = \infty \)

\[
\zeta_1 = \zeta_3 \geq \zeta_2 = \zeta_4
\]  
(55)

The reason why there are only three independent variables is that the integration contour defining \( w_n \) from the weight can be contracted or dilated from \( |\zeta| = 1 \) to one of the nearby singularity moduli (keeping the fixed singularities at \( \zeta = 0, \infty \) unchanged) which has the effect of normalising the remaining three by this particular one.

Our first result of the identification of a Garnier system with the spin–spin correlations is a simple recurrence relation for the Toeplitz matrix elements. This relation follows immediately from equation (40) along with (41) and (42) and the general theory is given in section 4 of [42], and in particular by equations (4.15) and (4.36) of that work.

**Corollary 2 ([42]).** The Fourier coefficients or Toeplitz matrix elements \( w_n \) satisfy the fourth order, linear homogeneous difference equation in the index \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \)

\[
(n - 3) v_1^2 v_2^2 (1 - v_3)^2 w_{n-3} - 2v_1v_2\Gamma [v_3 + (n - 2)(1 + v_3^2)] w_{n-2} \\
+ [(n - 1)v_3 + 4v_1^3v_2 + v_3v_4]v_3^4 - 2(n - 1) v_1^2 v_2^2 - 6v_3^2 v_4^2 + v_1^4 v_2^2 + v_1^2 v_3^2 v_4^2 \\
+ (n - 1)(1 + 4v_1^2 v_2 + v_1^4 v_2^2) + 8v_1^2 v_2^2 v_3^2(1 + v_3^2)] w_{n-1} \\
- 2v_1v_2\Gamma [v_3 + (n + 1)v_3^2] w_n + (n + 1)v_3^2 v_2^2 (1 - v_3^2) w_{n+1} = 0. \]  
(56)

In addition for \( n = -1, 3 \) the order drops to third order. One could take any contiguous set of four elements including \( w_0 \) as the initial values and iterate in either direction.

**Proof.** This follows from an adaptation of equation (4.36) of [42] to the case where \( e_4 \neq 0 \) and the spectral data \( (e_0 = 1) \).
\[ e_1 = e_3 = -2 \frac{(1 + v_3^2)(v_1^2 + v_3^2)v_3 - v_1^2v_3^2 - 1}{v_3v_2(1 - v_3^2)^2}, \]  
\[ e_2 = \frac{(v_1^4 + 4v_3^2v_1^2 + v_2^2)v_1^4 - 2(v_3^2v_1^4 + v_2^2v_1^2 - 6v_1^2v_2 + v_1^2 + v_2^2)v_1^2 + v_1^4v_2^2 + 4v_1^2v_2^2 + 1}{v_1^4v_2^2(1 - v_3^2)^2}, \]  
\[ e_4 = 1, \]  
\[ m_0 = 0, \]  
\[ m_1 = m_3 = 2 \frac{v_3(v_1^2 + v_2^2)v_1^2 - v_1^2v_3^2 - 1}{v_3v_2(1 - v_3^2)^2}, \]  
\[ m_2 = -8 \frac{v_3(1 + v_3^2)}{(1 - v_3^2)^2}, \]

Note that \( w_0 \) cannot be determined by this recurrence by any set of \( w_n \) either \( n < 0 \) or \( n > 0 \) and is an arbitrary normalisation of the weight.

However the theory of discrete Garnier systems developed in [42] furnishes a direct recurrence relation system for the Toeplitz determinants themselves, and our second and more significant result is such a system for our application. Prior to stating these we need define appropriate co-ordinates by choosing one of the singularities, say \( \xi_4 \) without any loss of generality

\[ f'_n = \frac{\Theta_n(\xi_4)}{\xi_4 \Theta_\xi(\xi_4)}, \quad g'_n = \omega^{-1}_n, \quad j = 1, 2, 3. \]

The variables \( \Theta_n(z) \) and \( \omega'_n \) arise in the parameterisation of the derivatives of the bi-orthogonal polynomials and associated functions with respect to \( z \), and is termed the spectral structure of the isomonodromic system. The parameterisation is encapsulated in a set of four polynomials in \( z \), the spectral coefficient polynomials, \( \Theta_n(z), \Theta_n^s(z), \Omega_n(z), \Omega_n^s(z) \), although only two of these will be used here. These polynomials appear in the derivatives of the bi-orthogonal polynomials and associated functions, for example in proposition 2.6 of [10], or by their definitions via bi-linear relations as given in equations (3.18), (3.19), (3.22) and (3.24) of [10] or by equations (2.14)–(2.17) of [42]. Such relations apply to general systems of bi-orthogonal polynomials, but when specialising to the regular semi-classical class of weights more can be said about the spectral coefficient polynomials and their parameterisation. The co-ordinates \( f'_n, f'_n, f'_n, g'_n, g'_n, g'_n \) which feature centrally in our subsequent recurrence relations for the correlations will be such a parameterisation. The relations for the generic case are given by equations (4.41) and (4.42) of [42].

As a preliminary step we need to make the following definitions in order to render the results in the simplest possible form.
Definition 1. Let us define four auxiliary variables

\[
\mathcal{R}_1 := \frac{(\Gamma - \Delta)}{2v_1v_2(1 + v_3)^2} g_n^1 + \left(\frac{(\Gamma - \Delta)}{2v_1v_2(1 + v_3)^2}\right)^2 g_n^2 + \left(\frac{(\Gamma - \Delta)}{2v_1v_2(1 + v_3)^2}\right)^3 g_n^3 + \left(\frac{(\Gamma - \Delta)}{2v_1v_2(1 + v_3)^2}\right)^4, 
\]

(65)

\[
\mathcal{R}_2 := \frac{(\Gamma + \Delta)}{2v_1v_2(1 + v_3)^2} g_n^1 + \left(\frac{(\Gamma + \Delta)}{2v_1v_2(1 + v_3)^2}\right)^2 g_n^2 + \left(\frac{(\Gamma + \Delta)}{2v_1v_2(1 + v_3)^2}\right)^3 g_n^3 + \left(\frac{(\Gamma + \Delta)}{2v_1v_2(1 + v_3)^2}\right)^4, 
\]

(66)

\[
\mathcal{R}_3 := \frac{(\Gamma + \Delta)}{2v_1v_2(1 - v_3)^2} g_n^1 + \left(\frac{(\Gamma + \Delta)}{2v_1v_2(1 - v_3)^2}\right)^2 g_n^2 + \left(\frac{(\Gamma + \Delta)}{2v_1v_2(1 - v_3)^2}\right)^3 g_n^3 + \left(\frac{(\Gamma + \Delta)}{2v_1v_2(1 - v_3)^2}\right)^4, 
\]

(67)

\[
\mathcal{R}_4 := \frac{(\Gamma - \Delta)}{2v_1v_2(1 - v_3)^2} g_n^1 + \left(\frac{(\Gamma - \Delta)}{2v_1v_2(1 - v_3)^2}\right)^2 g_n^2 + \left(\frac{(\Gamma - \Delta)}{2v_1v_2(1 - v_3)^2}\right)^3 g_n^3 + \left(\frac{(\Gamma - \Delta)}{2v_1v_2(1 - v_3)^2}\right)^4. 
\]

(68)

Furthermore we define another set of four auxiliary variables

\[
S_1 := (2\Gamma v_3 + \Delta(1 + v_3^2)) \left[\frac{(1 - v_3)^2}{(1 + v_3)^2}(\Gamma + \Delta) - (\Gamma - \Delta) f_n^2\right]^2
+ (2\Gamma v_3 - \Delta(1 + v_3^2)) \left[(\Gamma + \Delta) f_n^4 - \frac{(1 - v_3)^2}{(1 + v_3)^2}(\Gamma - \Delta) f_n^3\right],
\]

(69)

\[
S_2 := (2\Gamma v_3 + \Delta(1 + v_3^2)) \left[\frac{(1 - v_3)^4}{(1 + v_3)^4}(\Gamma + \Delta) - (\Gamma - \Delta) f_n^2\right]^2
+ (2\Gamma v_3 - \Delta(1 + v_3^2)) \left[(\Gamma + \Delta) f_n^4 - \frac{(1 - v_3)^4}{(1 + v_3)^4}(\Gamma - \Delta) f_n^3\right],
\]

(70)

\[
S_3 := (2\Gamma v_3 + \Delta(1 + v_3^2)) \left[\frac{(1 - v_3)^2}{(1 + v_3)^2}(\Gamma(3v_3^2 + 2v_3 + 3) + \Delta(1 + v_3^2)(\Gamma + \Delta) - (\Gamma(3v_3^2 + 2v_3 + 3) - \Delta(1 - v_3^2)(\Gamma - \Delta) f_n^2\right]
+ (2\Gamma v_3 - \Delta(1 + v_3^2)) \left[(\Gamma(3v_3^2 + 2v_3 + 3) + \Delta(1 - v_3^2)(\Gamma + \Delta) f_n^4
- \frac{(1 - v_3)^2}{(1 + v_3)^2}(\Gamma(3v_3^2 + 2v_3 + 3) - \Delta(1 - v_3^2)(\Gamma - \Delta) f_n^3\right],
\]

(71)
\[ S_4 := (2 \Gamma v_3 + \Delta (1 + v_3^2)) \left[ \frac{(1-v_3)^2}{(1+v_3)^2} (\Gamma (3v_3^2 + 2v_3 + 3) - \Delta (1-v_3^2)(\Gamma + \Delta)^2 \right. \\
- (\Gamma (3v_3^2 - 2v_3 + 3) + \Delta (1+v_3^2)(\Gamma - \Delta)^2 f^j_n) \\
+ (2 \Gamma v_3 - \Delta (1-v_3^2)) \left[ (\Gamma (3v_3^2 - 2v_3 + 3) - \Delta (1+v_3^2)(\Gamma + \Delta)^2 f^l_n \right. \\
\left. - \frac{(1-v_3)^2}{(1+v_3)^2} (\Gamma (3v_3^2 + 2v_3 + 3) + \Delta (1-v_3^2)(\Gamma - \Delta)^2 f^j_n) \right] \right]. \] (72)

Then one has the following three-variable generalisation of the additive \( D_4^{(1)} \) member of the Sakai classification [32] otherwise known as the ‘fifth discrete Painlevé equation’. Subsequently we will give explicit relationships between the co-ordinates introduced above and the Toeplitz determinants.

**Corollary 3 ([42]).** Assume that the singularities \( \zeta_n, \zeta_j \) are pairwise distinct \( i \neq j \), i.e. that \( \Delta \neq 0, \Delta \neq 0 \) and that \( S_0, S_2 \neq 0 \). The set of variables \( \{ f^{j}_{n}, f^{l}_{n}, f^{j}_{n}, g^{j}_{n}, g^{l}_{n}, g^{j}_{n}, g^{l}_{n}, g^{j}_{n}, g^{l}_{n} \}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) satisfy the system of coupled, first order non-linear difference equations in \( n \geq 0 \). The first set of three constitute the first members of the Lax pair

\[ \frac{(1-v_3)^2}{(1+v_3)^2} f^{j}_{n+1} = \frac{[R_1 - n]}{[R_4 - n]} \left[ R_1 - n + \frac{2v_3 \Delta}{\sqrt{v_3^2 + 1 + v_3^2}} \left( \frac{2(\Delta^2 - 4v_3^2(1+v_3^2))}{\Gamma + \Delta} - \Delta \right) \right], \] (73)

\[ \frac{(1-v_3)^2(\Gamma + \Delta)}{(1+v_3)^2(\Gamma - \Delta)} f^{l}_{n+1} = \frac{[R_2 - n]}{[R_4 - n]} \left[ R_2 - n + \frac{2v_3 \Delta}{\sqrt{v_3^2 + 1 - v_3^2}} \left( \frac{2(\Delta^2 + 4v_3^2(1-v_3^2))}{\Gamma + \Delta} - \Delta \right) \right], \] (74)

\[ \frac{\Gamma + \Delta}{\Gamma - \Delta} f^{j}_{n+1} = \frac{[R_3 - n]}{[R_4 - n]} \left[ R_3 - n + \frac{2v_3 \Delta}{\sqrt{v_3^2 + 1 - v_3^2}} \left( \frac{2(\Delta^2 + 4v_3^2(1-v_3^2))}{\Gamma + \Delta} + \Delta \right) \right]. \] (75)

The remaining set of coupled, first order non-linear difference equations constitute the three members of the second Lax pair and are given by \( n \geq 1 \)

\[ g^{l}_{n} + g^{j}_{n} - \frac{\Gamma}{2v_3 v_2 (1-v_3^2)} \left( 2n - 1 + (2n-3) \frac{(1-v_3)^2}{(1+v_3)^2} \right), \]

\[ + \frac{n+1}{2v_3 v_2 (1-v_3^2)} S_{2} - \frac{n}{2v_3 v_2 (1-v_3^2)} \Delta S_{2} = 0, \] (76)
\[ g_n^2 + g_{n-1}^2 + \frac{8v_3(1 + v_3^2)}{(1 - v_3^2)^2} \]
\[ + \frac{1 + 4v_1^2v_3^2 + v_1^2v_4^2 - 2(v_1^2 + v_2^2 - 6v_1^2v_2^2 + v_1^2v_2^2)v_3^2 + 6v_1^2v_2^2 + v_4^2v_3^2}{v_1^2v_2^2(1 - v_3^2)^2} (n - 1) \]
\[ - \frac{n + 1}{4v_1^2v_2^2(1 + v_3^2)^2(1 - v_3^2)^2} S_4 + \frac{n}{(1 + v_3^2)^2 S_2} = 0, \] (77)

\[ g_n^3 + g_{n-1}^3 - \frac{\Gamma}{2v_1v_2(1 - v_3)^2} \left[ 2n - 1 + (2n - 3) \frac{(1 - v_3)^2}{(1 + v_3)^2} \right] \]
\[ + \frac{n + 1}{2v_1v_2(1 - v_3^2)^2 S_2} - 2nv_1v_2(1 - v_3^2) S_1 = 0. \] (78)

These recurrences are subject to the initial values \( n = 0 \) for \( j = 1, 2, 3 \)

\[ f_0^j = \frac{(1 - v_3)^2}{(1 + v_3)^2} \]
\[ \times \left[ \frac{(\Gamma - \Delta)}{v_3(1 + v_3)^2} w_{-2} + \frac{(\Gamma - \Delta)}{4v_3^2(1 + v_3)^2} \left( \Gamma - \Delta - \frac{4(1 - v_3 + v_3^2)}{(1 + v_3)^2} \right) w_{-1} - \frac{2v_3\Gamma}{v_3(1 - v_3)^2} w_0 + w_1 \right] \]
\[ \frac{(\Gamma - \Delta)}{v_3(1 - v_3)^2} w_{-2} + \frac{(\Gamma - \Delta)}{4v_3^2(1 - v_3)^2} \left( \Gamma - \Delta + \frac{4(1 - v_3 + v_3^2)}{(1 + v_3)^2} \right) w_{-1} - \frac{2v_3\Gamma}{v_3(1 - v_3)^2} w_0 + w_1. \] (79)

\[ f_0^2 = \frac{(1 - v_3)^2(\Gamma + \Delta)}{(1 + v_3)^2(\Gamma - \Delta)} \]
\[ \times \left[ \frac{(\Gamma + \Delta)}{v_3(1 + v_3)^2} w_{-2} + \frac{(\Gamma + \Delta)}{4v_3^2(1 + v_3)^2} \left( \Gamma + \Delta - \frac{4(1 - v_3 + v_3^2)}{(1 + v_3)^2} \right) w_{-1} - \frac{2v_3\Gamma}{v_3(1 - v_3)^2} w_0 + w_1 \right] \]
\[ \frac{(\Gamma + \Delta)}{v_3(1 - v_3)^2} w_{-2} + \frac{(\Gamma + \Delta)}{4v_3^2(1 - v_3)^2} \left( \Gamma + \Delta + \frac{4(1 - v_3 + v_3^2)}{(1 + v_3)^2} \right) w_{-1} - \frac{2v_3\Gamma}{v_3(1 - v_3)^2} w_0 + w_1. \] (80)

\[ f_0^3 = \frac{(\Gamma + \Delta)}{(\Gamma - \Delta)} \]
\[ \times \left[ \frac{(\Gamma + \Delta)}{v_3(1 + v_3)^2} w_{-2} + \frac{(\Gamma + \Delta)}{4v_3^2(1 + v_3)^2} \left( \Gamma + \Delta - \frac{4(1 - v_3 + v_3^2)}{(1 + v_3)^2} \right) w_{-1} - \frac{2v_3\Gamma}{v_3(1 - v_3)^2} w_0 + w_1 \right] \]
\[ \frac{(\Gamma - \Delta)}{v_3(1 - v_3)^2} w_{-2} + \frac{(\Gamma - \Delta)}{4v_3^2(1 - v_3)^2} \left( \Gamma - \Delta + \frac{4(1 - v_3 + v_3^2)}{(1 + v_3)^2} \right) w_{-1} - \frac{2v_3\Gamma}{v_3(1 - v_3)^2} w_0 + w_1. \] (81)

and

\[ g_0^1 = 2 \frac{v_3\Gamma}{v_1v_2(1 - v_3^2)^2} - \frac{w_1}{w_0}, \] (82)
\[ g_0^2 = -8v_3(1 + v_3^2) \frac{v_3 \Gamma}{(1 - v_3^2)^2} \frac{w_0}{v_1v_2(1 - v_3^2) w_{-1}} + 2(1 + v_3 + v_3^2) \frac{\Gamma}{v_1v_2(1 - v_3^2)^2} \frac{w_1}{w_{-1}} + \frac{w_1}{w_{-1}} - 2 \frac{w_2}{w_0}, \quad (83) \]

\[ g_0^3 = 2 \frac{w_{-2}}{w_{-1}} = \frac{w_{-1}}{w_0} - 2(1 - v_3 + v_3^2) \frac{\Gamma}{v_1v_2(1 - v_3^2)}. \quad (84) \]

**Proof.** We will first permute and re-label the singularities in the following way \( \xi_1 = \zeta_4, \xi_2 = \zeta_3, \xi_3 = \zeta_4, \xi_4 = \zeta_2 \) so that we can rescale the contour radius in order to normalise with respect to \( \xi_4 \). From an adaptation of the first result in proposition 4.3 of [42] the first Lax pair can be written for \( j = 1, 2, 3 \) as

\[ \frac{\xi_j}{\xi_4} \left( f_{n+1}^j \right) = \left[ \xi g_3 + \xi^2 g_2 + \xi^3 g_1 + (1 + m_0) - ne_1 \right] \left[ \xi g_2 + \xi^2 g_1 + \xi^3 (1 + m_0) - ne_1 \right] \]

\[ \times \frac{\left[ \xi (g_4^3 + m_3) + \xi^2 (g_4^2 + m_2) + \xi^3 (g_4^3 + m_3) + \xi^4 (1 - ne_1) \right]}{\left[ \xi (g_4^3 + m_3) + \xi^2 (g_4^2 - m_2) + \xi^3 (g_4^3 + m_3) + \xi^4 (1 - ne_1) \right]} \]

\[ \xi \xi = \ldots = \sum \ldots > \in \ldots > \in \ldots = \sum \ldots > \in \ldots > \in \ldots \]

(85)

Substituting the evaluations of the singular points (49) and employing the definitions (65)–(68) we simplify the resulting expressions and arrive at (73)–(75).

Proceeding we now define \( s_{ab}^j, s_{aa}^j, \ldots, \) as the \( n \)-th elementary symmetric function in the variables \( \xi_{aa}, \xi_{bb}, \ldots \) and the Vandermonde determinant \( \Delta_{\{\xi_{a}^j, \xi_{b}^j, \ldots, \} \equiv \prod_{r<s \in \{a, b, \ldots, \}}(\xi_r - \xi_s) \). Adapting now the second result in proposition 4.3 of [42] the second member of the Lax pair is given for \( j = 1, 2, 3 \) by

\[ g_0^4 + g_0^{j-1} + (-1)^{j-1}a_{+j} - (-1)^{j-1}b_{+j} + (-1)^{j+1}a_{+j} + m_{+j} \]

\[ \times s_{+j}(123)\Delta_{\{123\}} - s_{+j}(234)\Delta_{\{234\}} + s_{+j}(134)\Delta_{\{134\}} - s_{+j}(124)\Delta_{\{124\}} \]

\[ \Delta_{\{123\}} - \Delta_{\{234\}} + \Delta_{\{134\}} - \Delta_{\{124\}} \]

\[ + (-1)^{ne_1} s_{+j}(123)\Delta_{\{123\}} - s_{+j}(234)\Delta_{\{234\}} + s_{+j}(134)\Delta_{\{134\}} - s_{+j}(124)\Delta_{\{124\}} \]

\[ = 0. \quad (86) \]

Using the definitions (69)–(72) and the evaluations of the other data we deduce (76)–(78).

The first set of initial values, (79)–(81), are ratios of the spectral coefficient (see equations (2.6) and (2.10) of [42])

\[ \Theta_4(\zeta) = -ne_4 \frac{\phi_n(0)}{\phi_{n+1}(0)} \zeta^{-1} + \frac{\kappa_n}{\kappa_{n+1}} \phi_n^0 + \frac{\kappa_n}{\kappa_{n+1}} \phi_n^1 \zeta + \frac{\kappa_n}{\kappa_{n+1}} (n + 1 + m_0) \zeta^2, \quad (87) \]

appropriately specialised using the data (58)–(63) and evaluated at \( \zeta = \xi_j \) for \( j = 1, \ldots, 4 \). The second set, (82)–(84), can be derived from a third spectral polynomial \( U(\zeta) = \sum_i u_i \zeta^i \) using the generic relation
\[
\Omega_0(\zeta) + V(\zeta) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{r_1} \right] [2V(\zeta) - \kappa_0^2 U(\zeta)],
\]

(88)

and the fact that \( g_{n+1}^{(1)} = [\zeta'](\Omega_0 + V) \), which follows from the parameterisation of \( \Omega_n \). The polynomial \( U \) has the explicit form (which is an adaptation of equations (4.37)–(4.39) of [42] for \( \epsilon_1 = 0 \)) with coefficients

\[
u_0 = 2e_0 w_1 + m_3 w_0, \quad u_0 = m_0 w_0, \quad (89)
\]

\[
u_l = (-1)^{l+1}m_{3-l} w_0 + 2 \sum_{r=-1}^{\min(l-1,3)} (-1)^{l-r} [(I - r)e_3 - m_{3-r}] w_{l-r}, \quad l = 1, 2, \quad (90)
\]
in terms of a contiguous set of initial moments, essentially the same set that defines the initial values of the recurrence in corollary 2. Thus \( U \) encodes these initial values in an alternative way. □

Under the conditions applying to corollary 3 the primary variables can be recovered from the \( \{f_n, g_n\}_{j=1}^3 \) variables using the formulae given in the following corollary and is a direct consequence of the theory in [10, 42]. In particular one can write the spectral coefficient polynomials \( \Theta_n(z) \) and \( \Omega_n(z) \) in an alternative form as given by proposition 3.1 of [10] or in the form more relevant here, by proposition 2.3 of [42]. This alternative form involves some primary data coming from the bi-orthogonal polynomials themselves such as the reflection coefficients \( r_n, \bar{r}_n \) and the sub-leading coefficients \( \lambda_n, \bar{\lambda}_n \)

\[
\frac{\phi_n(z)}{\kappa_n} = z^n + \lambda_n z^{n-1} + \mu_n z^{n-2} + \ldots + r_n, \quad \frac{\tilde{\phi}_n(z)}{\kappa_n} = z^n + \bar{\lambda}_n z^{n-1} + \bar{\mu}_n z^{n-2} + \ldots + \bar{r}_n.
\]

Corollary 4 ([10, 42]). Assume the conditions of corollary 3. The Toeplitz determinants (32) and (33), abbreviated as \( \langle \sigma_{0,0}^{(N,0)} \rangle \) \( = I_N \), are computed using the recurrence relation equation

\[
\frac{I_n + I_{n-1}}{I_n^2} = 1 - r_n \bar{r}_n, \quad n \geq 1,
\]

(91)

with the initial conditions \( I_0 = 1, I_1 = w_0 \). In turn the pair of reflection coefficients \( r_n, \bar{r}_n \) are computed firstly using the recurrence relation for \( r_n \)

\[
\frac{r_{n+1}}{r_n} = \frac{2v_2(1 - v_3)^2}{n + 1} \frac{S_1}{S_2}, \quad n \geq 1.
\]

(92)

The coefficient \( r_n \) is computed via another coefficient \( \lambda_n \) which satisfies

\[
(n + 1)\lambda_{n+1} - n\lambda_n = -2n - \frac{(1 + v_3^2)\Gamma}{v_2(1 - v_3)^2} + \frac{n + 1}{2v_2(1 - v_3)^2} \frac{S_3}{S_1},
\]

(93)

and the generic relation \( \lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n = r_{n+1} \bar{r}_n \). Alternatively one can use
\[(n + 1)\tilde{\lambda}_{n+1} - n\tilde{\lambda}_n = g_1 - \frac{\Gamma}{2v_1v_2(1 - v_3)^2} \left[ 2n + 1 + (2n - 1) \frac{(1 - v_3)^2}{(1 + v_3)^2} \right] + \frac{(n + 1)}{2v_1v_2(1 - v_3)^2} S_2, \tag{94} \]

along with \(\tilde{\lambda}_n - \tilde{\lambda}_{n-1} = \tilde{r}_n r_{n-1}\) to determine \(\tilde{r}_n\). The initial conditions are \(r_0 = \tilde{r}_0 = 1\) and \(\lambda_0 = \tilde{\lambda}_0 = 0\).

**Proof.** From the general theory the spectral coefficient polynomials can be parameterised, in the case of four finite singularities, in the following forms. Rewriting (87) from the proof of the previous corollary we have

\[\Theta_n = -ne_4\frac{\phi_n(0)}{\phi_{n+1}(0)} z^{-1} + \frac{\kappa_n}{\kappa_{n+1}} \vartheta^0_n + \frac{\kappa_n}{\kappa_{n+1}} \vartheta^1_n z + \frac{\kappa_n}{\kappa_{n+1}} (n + 1 + m_0)z^2, \tag{95} \]

where \(\vartheta^0_n, \vartheta^1_n\) are additional parameters for which we find relations with both the recurrence variables and the bi-orthogonal polynomial coefficients. Furthermore we have (see equations (2.7) and (2.11) of [42])

\[\Omega_n = -ne_4z^{-1} + \omega^0_n + \frac{1}{2} m_3 + \left( \omega^1_n - \frac{1}{2} m_2 \right) z + \left( \omega^2_n + \frac{1}{2} m_1 \right) z^2 + \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} m_0 \right) z^3. \tag{96} \]

The parameters introduced above can, in turn, be related to the leading or trailing coefficients (with respect to \(z\)) of the bi-orthogonal polynomials via the formulae

\[\frac{r_{n+1}}{r_n} \vartheta^0_n = ne_3 - m_3 + e_4 \left[ (n + 1)\tilde{\lambda}_{n+1} - (n - 1) \left( \tilde{\lambda}_{n-1} + \frac{r_{n-1}}{r_n} \right) \right], \tag{97} \]

\[\vartheta^1_n = -(n + 1)e_1 - m_1 + (n + 2 + m_0) \left[ \frac{r_{n+2}}{r_{n+1}} - \lambda_{n+2} \right] + (n + m_0)\lambda_n, \tag{98} \]

\[\omega^0_n = ne_3 - m_3 + e_4 \left[ (n + 1)\tilde{\lambda}_{n+1} - n \left( \tilde{\lambda}_n + \frac{r_n}{r_{n+1}} \right) \right], \tag{99} \]

\[\omega^2_n = -e_1 - m_1 + (n + 1 + m_0)\lambda_{n+1} - (n + 2 + m_0) \left[ \lambda_{n+2} - \frac{r_{n+2}}{r_{n+1}} \right]. \tag{100} \]

However these parameters are also given by the dynamical co-ordinates by the formulae

\[\frac{r_n}{r_{n+1}} = \frac{n + 1 + m_0}{n} \times \frac{s_3[123] \Delta[123] - s_3[124] \Delta[123] f_n^1 + s_3[134] \Delta[134] f_n^1 - s_3[124] \Delta[124] f_n^1}{\Delta[123] - \Delta[234] f_n^1 + \Delta[134] f_n^1 - \Delta[124] f_n^1}, \tag{101} \]
\[ \vartheta_n^0 = (n + 1 + m_0) \times \frac{s_1[123]\Delta[123] - s_2[234]\Delta[234][f_n^3 + s_2[134]\Delta[134][f_n^2 - s_2[124]\Delta[124][f_n^1]}{\Delta[123] - \Delta[234][f_n^3 + \Delta[134][f_n^2 - \Delta[124][f_n^1]} (102) \]

and

\[ \vartheta_n^1 = -(n + 1 + m_0) \times \frac{s_1[123]\Delta[123] - s_1[234]\Delta[234][f_n^3 + s_1[134]\Delta[134][f_n^2 - s_1[124]\Delta[124][f_n^1]}{\Delta[123] - \Delta[234][f_n^3 + \Delta[134][f_n^2 - \Delta[124][f_n^1]} (103) \]

Combining (98) and (100) we note

\[ \omega_n^2 - \vartheta_n^1 = ne_1 + (n + 1 + m_0)\lambda_{n+1} - (n + m_0)\lambda_n. \]

The first of these, (101), gives the formula (92). From the preceding relation (104) and (103) we deduce the formula (93). There are also other ways to determine \( r_n \), such as using (99) with (101), and this yields (94). In addition to the forgoing specific relations we have the general identities

\[ \lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n = r_{n+1}r_n, \quad \bar{\lambda}_{n+1} - \bar{\lambda}_n = \bar{r}_{n+1}\bar{r}_n, \]

which allow us to close the system. Finally the Toeplitz determinants satisfy the second order difference equation

\[ \frac{I_{n+1}I_{n-1}}{I_n^2} = 1 - r_n\bar{r}_n, \]

which is also a general identity.

In the remaining part of our study we discuss two important special cases that arise from the general formulae above by taking appropriate limits as given in (38) and (36).

2. Correlations along a row/column of the rectangular Ising model

The row and column correlations of the rectangular Ising model also have a Toeplitz determinant form (see VIII.2.28, 2.29 and 2.30 of [22]). Because the row and column correlations are given by each other under the exchange \( z_1 \leftrightarrow z_2 \) we will treat only the column correlations without any loss of generality. These latter correlations are given by

\[ \langle \sigma_{0,0} \sigma_{0,N} \rangle^2 = \det(w_{j-k})_{0 \leq j, k \leq N-1}, \]

where the Fourier coefficients \( w_n \)

\[ w_n = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{(1 + \alpha_2 - (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \cos(\theta)) \cos(n\theta) - (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) \sin(\theta) \sin(n\theta)}{\sqrt{(1 - 2\alpha_1 \cos(\theta) + \alpha_1^2)(1 - 2\alpha_2 \cos(\theta) + \alpha_2^2)}} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}, \]
are defined by the weight

\[ w(\zeta) = \left[ \frac{(1 - \alpha_1 \zeta)}{(1 - \alpha_1 \zeta^{-1})} \frac{(1 - \alpha_2 \zeta^{-1})}{(1 - \alpha_2 \zeta)} \right]^{1/2}. \]

(109)

The Fourier coefficients satisfy the symmetry \( w_\alpha(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = w_{-\alpha}(\alpha_2, \alpha_1) \). Two new co-ordinates are defined by

\[ \alpha_1 = \frac{z_2}{1 + z_1}, \quad \alpha_2 = \frac{1}{z_2} \left( \frac{1}{1 + z_1} \right). \]

(110)

The parameters \( \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \) are also related to the set \( S, C \) and \( \tilde{S}, \tilde{C} \) by

\[ \alpha_1 = \frac{\tilde{C} - 1}{S} (C - S), \quad \alpha_2 = \frac{S}{\tilde{C} - 1} (C - S), \]

(111)

which is entirely analogous to the definitions of McCoy and Wu but differs because of conventions for the lattice co-ordinate system. The modulus is related to these parameters by

\[ k = \frac{1 - \alpha_2 \alpha_1}{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1}. \]

(112)

For \( 0 < k < \infty \) the parameters satisfy the inequalities

\[ 0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < 1 < \alpha_2^{-1} < \alpha_1^{-1} \quad k > 1, T < T_C, \]

(113)

\[ 0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2^{-1} < 1 < \alpha_2 < \alpha_1^{-1} \quad k < 1, T > T_C. \]

(114)

As indicated by (38) the result (107) and (108) can be found from the diagonal correlations on the triangular lattice by setting \( K_2 \to 0 \) followed by the relabelling \( K_1 \to K_2 \). This is still within the \( M = 4 \) semi-classical class of the general case even though the number of independent variables has been reduced by one. The singular points are now

\[ (\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, \zeta_4) = (\alpha_1^{-1}, \alpha_2, \alpha_2^{-1}, \alpha_1). \]

(115)

Even though this is merely a specialisation within the original system we will record the full details of the final recurrence relations for the convenience of the reader since they are new and of separate and intrinsic interest.

**Corollary 5.** The Toeplitz matrix elements \( w_n \) for the column correlations of the anisotropic square lattice Ising model satisfy the fourth order, linear homogeneous difference equation in the index \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \)

\[ 2\alpha_1 \alpha_2 (n - 3) w_{n-3} - (1 + \alpha_1 \alpha_2) [(2n - 5) \alpha_1 + (2n - 3) \alpha_2] w_{n-2} + 2 [(n - 2) \alpha_1^2 + n \alpha_2^2 + (n - 1)(1 + \alpha_1 \alpha_2)^2] w_{n-1} - (1 + \alpha_1 \alpha_2) [(2n - 1) \alpha_1 + (2n + 1) \alpha_2] w_n + 2 \alpha_1 \alpha_2 (n + 1) w_{n+1} = 0. \]

(116)

One could take any contiguous set of four elements including \( w_0 \) as the initial values and iterate in either direction.

And the corresponding specialisation of the coupled non-linear recurrences for the Toeplitz determinants is given by the following result.
Corollary 6. Assume that \((1 - \alpha_1^2) [1 - \alpha_2^2 f_n^1] - (1 - \alpha_2^2) [f_n^1 - \alpha_1^2 f_n^0] \neq 0\) and \(\alpha_1(1 - \alpha_1^2) [1 - \alpha_2^2 f_n^2] - \alpha_2(1 - \alpha_2^2) [f_n^2 - \alpha_1^2 f_n^1] \neq 0\). The set of variables \(\{f_n^1, f_n^2, g_n^1, g_n^2, g_n^3, g_n^4\}_{n=0}^{\infty}\) for the column correlations of the anisotropic square lattice Ising model satisfy a system of coupled, first order non-linear difference equations in \(n \geq 0\). The first set of three constitute the first members of the Lax pair

\[
\frac{\alpha_1 f_n^1}{\alpha_2} = \frac{[\alpha_1 g_n^1 + \alpha_1^2 g_n^2 + \alpha_1^3 g_n^3 + \alpha_1 - n]}{[\alpha_2 g_n^1 + \alpha_2^2 g_n^2 + \alpha_2^3 g_n^3 + \alpha_2 - n]}
\times \left[ \alpha_1 g_n^1 + \alpha_1^2 g_n^2 + \alpha_1^3 g_n^3 - n - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_1^4 + \frac{\alpha_1}{2 \alpha_1^3} (1 - \alpha_1^2)(1 + \alpha_2^2) \right]
\]

(117)

\[
\frac{\alpha_2 f_n^2}{\alpha_2} = \frac{[\alpha_2 g_n^1 + \alpha_2^2 g_n^2 + \alpha_2^3 g_n^3 - n \alpha_2 + 1]}{[\alpha_2 g_n^1 + \alpha_2^2 g_n^2 + \alpha_2^3 g_n^3 + \alpha_2 - n]}
\times \left[ \alpha_2 g_n^1 + \alpha_2^2 g_n^2 + \alpha_2^3 g_n^3 - n + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_2^4 + \frac{\alpha_2}{2 \alpha_2^3} (1 + \alpha_1^2)(1 - \alpha_2^2) \right]
\]

(118)

\[
\frac{\alpha_1 f_n^3}{\alpha_2} = \frac{[\alpha_1 g_n^1 + \alpha_1^2 g_n^2 + \alpha_1^3 g_n^3 - n \alpha_1 + 1]}{[\alpha_2 g_n^1 + \alpha_2^2 g_n^2 + \alpha_2^3 g_n^3 + \alpha_2 - n]}
\times \left[ \alpha_2 g_n^1 + \alpha_2^2 g_n^2 + \alpha_2^3 g_n^3 - n + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_2^4 + \frac{\alpha_2}{2 \alpha_2^3} (1 + \alpha_1^2)(1 - \alpha_2^2) \right]
\]

(119)

The remaining set of coupled, first order non-linear difference equations constitute the three members of the second Lax pair and are given by

\[
g_n^1 + g_{n-1}^1 - \frac{(1 + \alpha_1 \alpha_2)}{2 \alpha_1 \alpha_2} [(2n - 3) \alpha_1 + (2n - 1) \alpha_2]
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} (n + 1) \left[ \frac{\alpha_1(1 - \alpha_1^2) [1 - \alpha_2^2 f_n^2] - \alpha_2(1 - \alpha_2^2) [f_n^2 - \alpha_1^2 f_n^1]}{[1 - \alpha_1^2][1 - \alpha_2^2 f_n^2] - (1 - \alpha_2^2) [f_n^2 - \alpha_1^2 f_n^1]} \right]
\]

\[
- \frac{1}{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} (n + 1) \left[ \frac{\alpha_1(1 - \alpha_1^2) [1 - \alpha_2^2 f_n^2] - \alpha_2(1 - \alpha_2^2) [f_n^2 - \alpha_1^2 f_n^1]}{[1 - \alpha_1^2][1 - \alpha_2^2 f_n^2] - (1 - \alpha_2^2) [f_n^2 - \alpha_1^2 f_n^1]} \right] = 0,
\]

(120)
\[ g_n^2 + g_{n-1}^2 + \frac{\alpha_n^3 - \alpha_n^2}{\alpha_1\alpha_2} + (n-1) \frac{1}{\alpha_1\alpha_2} [\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2 + (1 + \alpha_1\alpha_2)^2] \]

\[ = \left[ (1 - \alpha_n^2) \left( (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2(1 + \alpha_1^2)) - (1 + \alpha_1(1 + \alpha_2))\alpha_n^3 f_n^1 \right) \right. \]
\[ - (1 - \alpha_n^2) \left( (\alpha_2 + \alpha_1(1 + \alpha_2))f_n^1 - (1 + \alpha_2(1 + \alpha_2))\alpha_n^3 f_n^1 \right) \]
\[ + \frac{n}{\alpha_1\alpha_2} \left[ \alpha_1(1 - \alpha_n^2) [1 - \alpha_2 f_n^1] - \alpha_2(1 - \alpha_n^2) [f_n^1 - \alpha_1^2 f_n^1] \right] \]
\[ - \frac{n + 1}{\alpha_1\alpha_2} \left[ (1 - \alpha_n^2) \left( (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2(1 + \alpha_2^2)) - (1 + \alpha_1(1 + \alpha_2^2))\alpha_n^2 f_n^1 \right) \right. \]
\[ - (1 - \alpha_n^2) \left( (\alpha_2 + \alpha_1(1 + \alpha_2^2))f_n^1 - (1 + \alpha_2(1 + \alpha_2^2))\alpha_n^2 f_n^1 \right) \]
\[ + \frac{n + 1}{\alpha_1\alpha_2} \left[ (1 - \alpha_n^2) [1 - \alpha_2 f_n^1] - (1 - \alpha_n^2) [f_n^1 - \alpha_1^2 f_n^1] \right] = 0. \] (121)

\[ g_n^3 + g_{n-1}^3 - \frac{(1 + \alpha_1\alpha_2)}{2\alpha_1\alpha_2} [(2n - 3)\alpha_1 + (2n - 1)\alpha_2] \]
\[ = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \left[ (1 - \alpha_n^2) [1 - \alpha_2 f_n^2] - (1 - \alpha_n^2) [f_n^1 - \alpha_1^2 f_n^2] \right] \]
\[ - \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \left[ (1 - \alpha_n^2) [1 - \alpha_2 f_n^2] - (1 - \alpha_n^2) [f_n^1 - \alpha_1^2 f_n^2] \right] \]
\[ + \frac{n + 1}{\alpha_1\alpha_2} \left[ (1 - \alpha_n^2) \left( (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2(1 + \alpha_2^2)) - (1 + \alpha_1(1 + \alpha_2^2))\alpha_n^2 f_n^2 \right) \right. \]
\[ - (1 - \alpha_n^2) \left( (\alpha_2 + \alpha_1(1 + \alpha_2^2))f_n^2 - (1 + \alpha_2(1 + \alpha_2^2))\alpha_n^2 f_n^2 \right) \]
\[ + \frac{n + 1}{\alpha_1\alpha_2} \left[ (1 - \alpha_n^2) [1 - \alpha_2 f_n^2] - (1 - \alpha_n^2) [f_n^1 - \alpha_1^2 f_n^2] \right] = 0. \] (122)

These recurrences are subject to the initial values for \( j = 1, 2, 3 \)
\[ f'_0 = \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2} \times 4\alpha_2^2 \omega_2 - \alpha_2(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_2(3 + \alpha_2^2))w_{-1} - (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) - \alpha_1(1 - \alpha_2^2)w_0 + 2\alpha_1\alpha_2 w_1. \] (123)
\[ f'_2 = \frac{1}{\alpha_2} \times 4\alpha_2^2 \omega_2 - (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_2(3\alpha_1 + \alpha_2))w_{-1} + \alpha_2(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)(1 + \alpha_2)w_0 + 2\alpha_1\alpha_2 w_1. \] (124)
\[ f'_3 = \frac{1}{\alpha_2} \times 4\alpha_2^2 \omega_2 - (3\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 + \alpha_2(3\alpha_1 + \alpha_2))w_{-1} + \alpha_2(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)(1 + \alpha_2)w_0 + 2\alpha_1\alpha_2 w_1. \] (125)

and
\[ g_0^1 = - \frac{(\alpha_1(1 - \alpha_2^2) - \alpha_2(1 - \alpha_1^2)w_0 + 2\alpha_1\alpha_2 w_1}{2\alpha_1\alpha_2 w_0}, \] (126)
\[ g_0^2 = \frac{2(\alpha_1^2 - \alpha_2^2)w_0 + (\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2)(1 + \alpha_2)w_{-1}}{2\alpha_1\alpha_2 w_0} - 4\alpha_1\alpha_2 w_0 + (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)(1 + \alpha_2)w_0 + 2\alpha_1\alpha_2 w_1. \] (127)
The primary variables can be recovered from the the \( \{f^j_n, g^j_n\} \) variables using the formulae given in the following corollary and is a direct consequence of the theory in [10, 42].

**Corollary 7.** The Toeplitz determinants (107) and (108), abbreviated as \( \sigma_{0,0}^{(n)} \), are computed using the generic recurrence relations of corollary 4 with the initial conditions \( I_{0} = 1, I_{1} = w_{0} \). In turn the pair of reflection coefficients \( r_{n}, \bar{r}_{n} \) are computed firstly using the recurrence relation

\[
\frac{r_{n+1}}{r_{n}} = \frac{\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}n}{\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}n + 1} \left( 1 - \alpha_{1}^{2} \right) \left[ 1 - \alpha_{2}^{2} f^{2}_{n} \right] - \left( 1 - \alpha_{2}^{2} \right) [f^{2}_{n} - \alpha_{2}^{2} f^{2}_{n}] \quad n \geq 1.
\]

Secondly \( \bar{r}_{n} \) is computed through another coefficient \( \lambda_{n} \) which satisfies

\[
(n + 1)\lambda_{n+1} - n\lambda_{n} = g_{n}^{3} - \frac{\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}(1 + \alpha_{1}\alpha_{2})}{\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}n} + n + 1 \left( 1 - \alpha_{1}^{3} \right) \left( \frac{\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}(1 + \alpha_{1}\alpha_{2})}{\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}} \right) \left[ 1 - \alpha_{2}^{2} f^{2}_{n} \right] - \left( 1 - \alpha_{2}^{2} \right) [f^{2}_{n} - \alpha_{2}^{2} f^{2}_{n}] \quad n \geq 1.
\]

and the generic relation \( \lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_{n} = r_{n+1} \bar{r}_{n} \) Alternatively one can use

\[
(n + 1)\lambda_{n+1} - n\lambda_{n} = g_{n}^{3} - \frac{\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}(1 + \alpha_{1}\alpha_{2})}{\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}n} - \frac{\alpha_{1}(1 - \alpha_{1}^{2})}{2\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}} \left( 1 + \alpha_{1}\alpha_{2} \right) - \left( 1 + \alpha_{2}^{2} f^{2}_{n} \right) - \left( 1 - \alpha_{2}^{2} \right) [f^{2}_{n} - \alpha_{2}^{2} f^{2}_{n}] + \frac{\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}}{\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}n} + \frac{n + 1}{\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}} \left( 1 - \alpha_{1}^{2} \right) \left( 1 - \alpha_{2}^{2} f^{2}_{n} \right) - \left( 1 - \alpha_{2}^{2} \right) [f^{2}_{n} - \alpha_{2}^{2} f^{2}_{n}] + \frac{\alpha_{1}(1 - \alpha_{1}^{2})}{2\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}} \left( 1 + \alpha_{1}\alpha_{2} \right) - \left( 1 + \alpha_{2}^{2} f^{2}_{n} \right) - \left( 1 - \alpha_{2}^{2} \right) [f^{2}_{n} - \alpha_{2}^{2} f^{2}_{n}] + \frac{\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}}{\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}n} + \frac{n + 1}{\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}} \left( 1 - \alpha_{1}^{2} \right) \left( 1 - \alpha_{2}^{2} f^{2}_{n} \right) - \left( 1 - \alpha_{2}^{2} \right) [f^{2}_{n} - \alpha_{2}^{2} f^{2}_{n}] + \frac{\alpha_{1}(1 - \alpha_{1}^{2})}{2\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}} \left( 1 + \alpha_{1}\alpha_{2} \right) - \left( 1 + \alpha_{2}^{2} f^{2}_{n} \right) - \left( 1 - \alpha_{2}^{2} \right) [f^{2}_{n} - \alpha_{2}^{2} f^{2}_{n}].
\]

along with the previous generic relations.

### 3. Diagonal correlations of the square lattice model

The diagonal correlations of the rectangular Ising model also have a Toeplitz determinant form (see equations (3.29)–(3.31) of [22]) given by

\[
\langle \sigma_{0,0}^{(n)} \rangle = \text{det}(w_{j-k})_{0 \leq j, k \leq N - 1},
\]

where the Fourier coefficients \( w_{n} \)

\[
w_{n} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{k \cos(n\theta) - \cos((n + 1)\theta)}{\sqrt{(1 - 2k \cos(\theta) + k^{2})}} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi},
\]

are defined by the weight

\[
w(\zeta) = \left( \frac{1 - k^{-1} \zeta^{-1}}{1 - k^{-1} \zeta} \right)^{1/2},
\]
and where $k = S\tilde{S}$, $S = \sin 2K_1$, $\tilde{S} = \sin 2K_2$. This arises from (33) as $z_3 \to 0$ or $v_3 \to 1$ and thus $a = 4\tilde{z}_2$, $b = 0$, $c = (1 - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{z}_2^2)(1 - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{z}_2^2)$, so that $k = ac$. We will also use

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{k} = \frac{(1 - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{z}_2^2)(1 - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{z}_2^2)}{4\tilde{z}_2}.$$  

(135)

Under this limiting process the singularities behave like

$$\zeta_1 = \frac{4}{\alpha}(v_3 - 1)^{-2} + O((v_3 - 1)^{-1}),$$  

(136)

$$\zeta_2 = \alpha + O((v_3 - 1)^3),$$  

(137)

$$\zeta_3 = \frac{1}{\alpha} + O((v_3 - 1)^3),$$  

(138)

$$\zeta_4 = \frac{\alpha}{4}(v_3 - 1)^2 + O((v_3 - 1)^3),$$  

(139)

and so two of these merge with those at $0, \infty$, leaving two at finite, non-zero locations. Therefore the three variable Garnier system reduces to the single variable Painlevé VI system. We first consider the limit of corollary 2.

**Corollary 8 (11).** Under the limiting process $z_3 \to 0$ or $v_3 \to 1$ equation (56) for the Toeplitz matrix elements $w_n$ reduces to the second order linear difference equation

$$\alpha(2n - 3)w_{n-2} - 2[(\alpha^2 + 1)n - 1]w_{n-1} + \alpha(2n + 1)w_n = 0.$$  

(140)

This is identical to equation (2.18) of [1].

Next we check the limit of the nonlinear system in corollary 3.

**Proposition 1.** Through the limiting process $z_3 \to 0$ or $v_3 \to 1$ the dynamical variables (64) behave as

$$f_n^1 = (v_3 - 1)^2f_n^1, \quad f_n^2 = f_n^2, \quad f_n^3 = (v_3 - 1)^{-4}f_n^3,$$  

(141)

$$g_n^1 = (v_3 - 1)^{-2}g_n^1, \quad g_n^2 = (v_3 - 1)^{-2}g_n^2, \quad g_n^3 = (v_3 - 1)^{-2}g_n^3,$$  

(142)

where $F_n^j, G_n^j = O(1)$ in this limiting process. Let us define the change of variables

$$F_n^2 = \alpha^{-4}f_n, \quad G_n^2 = \frac{4}{\alpha}g_n.$$  

(143)

Under the conditions $g_n + n\alpha^{-1} \neq 0$ and $g_n + (n + \frac{1}{2})\alpha^{-1} - \frac{1}{2}\alpha \neq 0$ the dynamical equations (73)–(78) reduce under the limit to the pair of coupled first order difference equations

$$\alpha^{-2}f_{n+1} = \frac{[g_n + (n + 1)\alpha - \alpha^{-1}]\left[g_n + \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)\alpha^{-1} - \frac{1}{2}\alpha\right]}{[g_n + n\alpha^{-1}]\left[g_n + \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)\alpha^{-1} - \frac{1}{2}\alpha\right]},$$  

(144)

$$g_n + g_{n-1} + 2n\alpha^{-1} - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \alpha^{-1}) + \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)\frac{(\alpha^2 - 1)}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}f_n + \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)\frac{\alpha(\alpha^2 - 1)}{\alpha^2 - f_n} = 0.$$  

(145)
This is precisely the $D_4^{(1)}$ discrete Painlevé system in the Sakai scheme otherwise known as ‘discrete Painlevé $V$’. These are subject to the initial conditions

$$f_0 = \alpha \frac{w_{-1} + \alpha w_0}{\alpha w_{-1} + w_0}, \quad g_0 = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{w_{-1} - \alpha w_0}{w_0} \right)$$

(146)

Proof. We note some preliminary expansions of a number of auxiliary quantities appearing in the Lax pairs (73)–(75) and (76)–(78)

$$-\frac{2\Delta v_3}{v_1^2 v_2} \left[ \frac{2(\Delta^2 - 4v_1^2 v_2 v_3(1 + v_3)^2)}{\Gamma + \Delta} - \Delta \right] = -\frac{1}{2} + O((v_3 - 1)^2), \quad (147)$$

$$-\frac{2\Delta v_3}{v_1^2 v_2(1 + v_3)^6} \left[ \frac{2(\Delta^2 - 4v_1^2 v_2 v_3(1 + v_3)^2)}{\Gamma - \Delta} + \Delta \right] = -\frac{2(1 - \alpha^2)}{\alpha^4} (v_3 - 1)^{-2} + O((v_3 - 1)^{-1}), \quad (148)$$

$$-\frac{2\Delta v_3}{v_1^2 v_2(1 - v_3)^6} \left[ \frac{2(\Delta^2 + 4v_1^2 v_2 v_3(1 - v_3)^2)}{\Gamma - \Delta} + \Delta \right] = -\frac{128}{\alpha^4} (v_3 - 1)^{-8} + O((v_3 - 1)^{-7}), \quad (149)$$

$$\frac{2\Delta v_3}{v_1^2 v_2(1 - v_3)^6} \left[ \frac{2(\Delta^2 + 4v_1^2 v_2 v_3(1 - v_3)^2)}{\Gamma + \Delta} - \Delta \right] = -2(1 - \alpha^2)(v_3 - 1)^{-2} + O((v_3 - 1)^{-1}), \quad (150)$$

along with

$$\mathcal{R}_4 = \frac{\alpha}{4} g_n^4(v_3 - 1)^2 + O((v_3 - 1)^3), \quad (151)$$

$$\mathcal{R}_2 = [\alpha^{-1} g_n^1 + \alpha^{-2} g_n^2 + \alpha^{-3} g_n^3 + \alpha^{-4}] + O((v_3 - 1)), \quad (152)$$

$$\mathcal{R}_3 = \frac{256}{\alpha^4} (v_3 - 1)^{-8} + O((v_3 - 1)^{-7}), \quad (153)$$

$$\mathcal{R}_4 = [\alpha g_n^1 + \alpha^2 g_n^2 + \alpha^3 g_n^3 + \alpha^4] + O((v_3 - 1)), \quad (154)$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}_1 = 2(1 - v_1^2)^2(1 - v_2^2)^2 [1 - (1 - \alpha^2)f_n^1 - \alpha^2 f_n^2] (v_3 - 1)^2 + O((v_3 - 1)^3), \quad (155)$$

$$\mathcal{S}_2 = -4(1 - v_1^2)^2(1 - v_2^2) [1 - (1 - \alpha^2)f_n^1 - \alpha^2 f_n^2] (v_3 - 1)^2 + O((v_3 - 1)^3), \quad (156)$$

$$\mathcal{S}_3 = 16(1 - v_1^2)^2(1 - v_2^2)^2 [1 - (1 - \alpha^2)f_n^1 - \alpha^2 f_n^2] (v_3 - 1)^2 + O((v_3 - 1)^4), \quad (157)$$

$$\mathcal{S}_4 = 8(1 - v_1^2)^2(1 - v_2^2)^2 [1 - (1 - \alpha^2)f_n^1 - \alpha^2 f_n^2] (v_3 - 1)^4 + O((v_3 - 1)^5). \quad (158)$$
Using the scalings (142) in (73), and assuming $G^4_n + \alpha G^2_n + \alpha^2 G^3_n \neq 0$ and $\alpha G^4_n + \alpha^2 G^2_n + \alpha^3 G^3_n - 2(1 - \alpha^2) \neq 0$ we deduce from the leading order in this expansion that

$$(\alpha G^4_n - 4n)(\alpha G^4_n - 4n - 2) = 0. \quad (159)$$

Treating (75) in a similar manner we conclude, again under the above conditions, that

$$\left(\frac{1}{4}\alpha G^3_n + 1\right) \left(\frac{1}{2}\alpha G^3_n + 1\right) = 0. \quad (160)$$

Which of these two sets of solutions are relevant can be settled by the initial conditions, which we undertake subsequently. The other member of the first set of the Lax pair, (74), now has at leading order the expression

$$\frac{1}{\alpha^8} \left\{ \alpha^6 F^2 \left| w_{n+1} \right|^2 - \left[ \alpha^2 G^4_n + \alpha G^2_n + G^3_n \right] \left[ \alpha^2 G^4_n + \alpha^2 G^2_n + \alpha G^3_n - 2(1 - \alpha^2) \right] \right\}. \quad (161)$$

Undertaking the expansion of the initial values given in (79)–(81) and (82)–(84) we compute the leading terms as

$$f_0^1 = \frac{w_0}{4(\alpha w_{-1} + w_0)} (v_3 - 1)^2 + O((v_3 - 1)^3), \quad (162)$$

$$f_0^2 = \frac{w_{-1} + \alpha w_0}{\alpha^3(\alpha w_{-1} + w_0)} + O(v_3 - 1), \quad (163)$$

$$f_0^3 = -\frac{16w_{-1}}{\alpha^3(\alpha w_{-1} + w_0)} (v_3 - 1)^{-4} + O((v_3 - 1)^{-3}), \quad (164)$$

$$g_0^1 = \frac{2}{\alpha} (v_3 - 1)^2 + O((v_3 - 1)^{-1}), \quad (165)$$

$$g_0^2 = -\frac{2(\alpha w_{-1} + w_0) - 3w_{-1} w_0}{\alpha^2(\alpha w_{-1} + w_0)} (v_3 - 1)^{-2} + O((v_3 - 1)^{-1}), \quad (166)$$

$$g_0^3 = -\frac{2}{\alpha} (v_3 - 1)^2 + O((v_3 - 1)^{-1}), \quad (167)$$

and thus

$$F_0^1 = \frac{w_0}{4(\alpha w_{-1} + w_0)}, \quad F_0^2 = \frac{w_{-1} + \alpha w_0}{\alpha^3(\alpha w_{-1} + w_0)}, \quad F_0^3 = -\frac{16w_{-1}}{\alpha^3(\alpha w_{-1} + w_0)}. \quad (168)$$

$$G_0^1 = \frac{2}{\alpha}, \quad G_0^2 = \frac{2}{\alpha} \left(\frac{w_{-1}}{w_0} - \frac{w_0}{w_{-1}}\right), \quad G_0^3 = -\frac{2}{\alpha}. \quad (169)$$
From these initial values we see that the choice for the solutions to (159) and (160) are

\[ G_n^1 = \frac{4n + 2}{\alpha}, \quad G_n^3 = -\frac{2}{\alpha}. \]  

(170)

Now we turn our attention to the second set of the Lax pair and in particular expanding (76) we find at the leading order that

\[ G_n^1 + G_{n-1}^1 = \frac{2(2n-1)}{\alpha} - \frac{4n}{\alpha} + \frac{16n}{\alpha} + \frac{(1 - \alpha^2)F_n^1}{4(1 - \alpha^2)F_n^1 + \alpha^4 F_n^2 - 1}. \]  

(171)

Equating this to zero and substituting the first of the solutions (170) for \( G_n^1 \) we compute \( F_n^1 \) as

\[ F_n^1 = \frac{\alpha^4 F_n^2 - 1}{4(\alpha^2 - 1)(2n + 1)}. \]  

(172)

Continuing we expand (78) to leading order and deduce the expression

\[ G_n^3 + G_{n-1}^3 = \frac{4n - 2}{\alpha} + \frac{4}{\alpha}(n + 1) - \frac{\alpha^2 F_n^2 - 1}{\alpha^2 F_n^2 - \frac{1}{16} \alpha^2(1 - \alpha^2)F_n^3 - 1}. \]  

(173)

Utilising the second solution of (170) we solve for \( F_n^3 \) as

\[ F_n^3 = \frac{16(\alpha^2 F_n^2 - 1)}{\alpha^2(\alpha^2 - 1)(2n + 1)}. \]  

(174)

Lastly we expand (77) and compute to leading order

\[ G_n^2 + G_{n-1}^2 + \frac{4(1 + \alpha^2)(n - 1)}{\alpha^2} + 4 + \frac{4n}{\alpha^2} + \frac{4(n + 1)}{\alpha^2} - \frac{1 - \alpha^4 F_n^2}{\alpha^2 F_n^2 - \frac{1}{16} \alpha^2(1 - \alpha^2)F_n^3 - 1} \]

\[ - \frac{4(1 - \alpha^2)n}{\alpha^2} - \frac{4F_n^1 - 1}{4(1 - \alpha^2)F_n^1 + \alpha^4 F_n^2 - 1} = 0. \]  

(175)

Finally employing the solutions (170) and the relations (172) and (174) in the two remaining equalities, (161) and (175), we arrive at (144) and (145) respectively.

\[ \square \]

**Proposition 2.** The diagonal correlations of the square lattice Ising model are governed by the generic relations of corollary 4 along with

\[ \frac{r_{n+1}}{r_n} = \frac{\alpha - \alpha^{-1} f_n}{1 - f_n}. \]  

(176)

and

\[ \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \lambda_{n+1} - \left(n - \frac{1}{2}\right) \lambda_n = -n(\alpha + \alpha^{-1}) - g_n + \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{1 - f_n}{\alpha - \alpha^{-1} f_n}. \]  

(177)
**Proof.** Expanding the relation (92) as \( v_3 \to 1 \) and using the results of the previous proposition we find the leading order gives

\[
\frac{r_{n+1}}{r_n} - \alpha^{n+1} F_n^2 - \frac{1}{10} \alpha^2 (1 - \alpha^2) F_n^3 = \frac{1}{4 (1 - \alpha^2) F_n^2 + \alpha^2 F_n^2 - 1}.
\]

Employing (172) and (174) we deduce (176).

**Remark 1.** The Lax pair (144) and (145) can also be verified directly from the theory in section 4 of [42]. From the weight (134) the configuration of singularities is given by

\[
\begin{cases} 0 & \alpha & \alpha^{-1} & \infty \\ -1/2 & 1/2 & -1/2 & 1/2 \end{cases}
\]

from which we compute the spectral data as \( e_0 = 1, e_1 = \alpha + \alpha^{-1}, e_2 = 1 \) and \( m_0 = -1/2, m_1 = -\alpha, m_2 = -1/2 \). Employing the parameterisation of the spectral polynomials as

\[
\frac{\rho_{n+1}}{\rho_n} \Theta_n(\zeta) = \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \zeta + \vartheta_n,
\]

\[
\Omega_n(\zeta) = \frac{3}{4} \zeta^2 - \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \zeta - n - \frac{1}{4},
\]

and making the definition

\[
\alpha^{-2} f_n = \frac{\Theta_n(\alpha^{-1})}{\Theta_n(\alpha)},
\]

we can use equations (2.18) and (1.33) of [42] to deduce (144) and (145) respectively. This Lax pair differ from those of proposition 4.1 of [42] only in the rescaling of the independent variable \( \zeta \). Alternative, but entirely equivalent, recurrence relations have been given in corollary 5.3 of [9] and corollary 1 of [41].

**Remark 2.** The foregoing generic theory does not apply without modifications to the critical cases of the Curie, Néel and disordered points where \( \Delta = 0, \Delta = 0 \) because of the undefined nature of the simultaneous vanishing of numerators and denominators. There are two strategies one could adopt here: perform a local expansion about the critical points or adopt the more direct approach of evaluation of the primary variables from first principles. However we defer this task to a subsequent study because of the lengthy technical issues involved.

**Remark 3.** We have not attempted to discuss the hypergeometric function evaluation of the Toeplitz matrix elements \( w_n \) in the general case of the various regimes: \( T < T_c, T_K \), or \( T_N < T < T_D \) and \( T > T_D \). Suffice it to say that one would expect the three-variable Lauricella \( D \) hypergeometric functions would appear in the diagonal correlations on the generic anisotropic triangular lattice whereas the third complete elliptic integral would describe the row/column correlations of the anisotropic square lattice, in addition to the first and second complete elliptic integrals.
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