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Mouse genomic variation and its effect on phenotypes and gene regulation

Thomas M. Keane1,2, Leo Goodstadt2,6, Petr Danecek1,6, Michael A. White3, Kim Wong1, Binnaz Yalcin2, Andreas Heger4, Avigail Agam2,4, Guy Slater1, Martin Goodson2, Nicholas A. Furlotte3, Eleazar Eskin5, Christoph Nelläker8, Helen Whiteley2, James Cleak2, Deborah Janowitz2,6, Polinka Hernandez-Pliego2, Andrew Edwards2, T. Grant Belgard4, Peter L. Oliver6, Rebecca E. McIntyre5, Amarijit Bhomra2, Jérôme Nicod2, Xiaochao Gan1, Wei Yuan3, Louise van der Weyden1, Charles A. Steward1, Sendu Bal3, Jim Stalker1, Richard Mott2, Richard Durbin1, Ian J. Jackson2, Anne Czechanski2, José Afonso Guerra-Assuncão9, Leah Rae Donahue6, Laura G. Reinholdt8, Bret A. Payseur3, Chris P. Ponting3, Ewan Birney9, Jonathan Flint2 & David J. Adams1

We report genome sequences of 17 inbred strains of laboratory mice and identify almost ten times more variants than previously known. We use these genomes to explore the phylogenetic history of the laboratory mouse and to examine the functional consequences of allele-specific variation on transcript abundance, revealing that at least 12% of transcripts show a significant tissue-specific expression bias. By identifying candidate functional variants at 718 quantitative trait loci we show that the molecular nature of functional variants and their position relative to genes vary according to the effect size of the locus. These sequences provide a starting point for a new era in the functional analysis of a key model organism.

Until the end of the 20th century the molecular basis for morphological, physiological, biochemical and behavioural variation in laboratory mice remained largely obscure. At the beginning of the 21st century, decoding the complete genome of one strain, C57BL/6J, the mouse reference genome, revolutionized our ability to relate sequence to function. It enabled genetic screens in mice to be performed on an unprecedented scale, it facilitated the task of creating a complete set of null alleles for all genes, and it accelerated the discovery of mouse sequence diversity.

Our catalogues, however, remain incomplete and some forms of variation are largely undocumented. Whereas we now know more about the extent of phenotypic variation among laboratory strains of mice and the complexity of genetic action, from fully penetrant Mendelian effects, partially penetrant modifiers and non-additive effects, to the quasi-infinite-genetic architecture that underlies most quantitative traits, we are still largely ignorant of the molecular basis of the majority of genetically influenced phenotypes.

Here we describe the generation and analysis of sequence from 17 key mouse genomes, obtained using next-generation sequencing. The genomes include those of the classical laboratory strains C3H/HeJ, CBA/J, A/J, AKR/J, DBA/2J, LP/J, BALB/cJ, NZO/HILtJ and NOD/ShIitJ, and those of four wild-derived inbred strains CAST/EiJ, PWK/PhJ, WSB/EiJ and Spret/EiJ, which include the progenitors of the common laboratory strains and are representative of the Mus musculus castaneus, Mus musculus musculus, Mus musculus domesticus and Mus spretu, respectively. We also sequenced three related 129-strains, (129S5SvEvBrd, 129P2/OlaHsd and 129S1/SvImJ) representing most quantitative traits, we are still largely ignorant of the molecular nature of functional variants and their position relative to genes vary according to the effect size of the locus. These sequences provide a starting point for a new era in the functional analysis of a key model organism.

Data generation and variant discovery

Figure 1 and Table 1 summarize the sequence generated and the variants discovered. We defined all sequence as either the same as, or different from, that of the reference strain (C57BL/6J; MGSCv37 assembly) and we report our results with respect to an accessible genome: those sites to which sequence reads can be uniquely mapped with mapping qualities greater than 40 (Supplementary Methods). This represented on average 83.8% of the reference genome and 94.7% of coding sequence of each strain.

Between 13% and 23% of each genome is inaccessible (Table 1 and Supplementary Figs 1–17). The higher proportion of inaccessible regions in the wild-derived strains indicates that divergence from the mouse reference is a major contributor to inaccessibility. In the accessible mouse genome, we identified 56.7 million (M) unique
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The sensitivity and specificity of our variant calls were established using 17.5 million bases (Mb) of DNA from one non-reference strain (NOD/ShiLtJ) that we generated with established sequencing technology. We sequenced 107 bacterial artificial chromosomes (BACs)\(^26\) (NOD/ShiLtJ) that we generated with established sequencing technology. The sequence has 17.5 million bases (Mb) of DNA from one non-reference strain (NOD/ShiLtJ) that we generated with established sequencing technology. The sequence has 0.71M structural variants, 8.8M unique indels and 0.28M structural variants including 0.07M transposable element insertion sites (Table 1). We called 0.71M structural variants >100 bp (0.41M simple deletions, 0.29M simple insertions, 2,100 inversions, 1,556 copy number gains and 3,658 complex structural variants) (Table 1 and Fig. 1) at 0.28M positions, as described in our accompanying paper\(^28\). On average 48.4 Mb of sequence of each strain falls into structurally variant regions of the genome (33 Mb for the laboratory strains and 98.2 Mb for wild-derived strains). Structural variants cluster with SNPs in each strain (Supplementary Fig. 1–17), indicating that the vast majority of these events may be ancestral in origin. This may also reflect high rates of polymorphism consequent to break-induced replication involved in

Figure 1 | An overview of variants called from 17 mouse genomes relative to the reference. a, The four wild-derived strains (CAST/EiJ, WSB/EiJ, PWK/PhJ and SPRET/EiJ) are representative of the Mus musculus castaneus, Mus musculus domesticus and Mus spretus taxa and include the progenitors from which the classical laboratory strains were derived. These genomes are shown in a circle with tracks indicating the relative density of SNPs, structural variants (SVs) and uncallable regions (binned into 10-Mb regions). Transposable element (TE) insertions, which are a subset of the structural variant calls, are shown as a separate track. Corresponding tracks are shown for each of the 13 classical laboratory strains to the right of the circle. Links crossing the circle indicate regions on the reference where the wild-derived strain is closest to the reference (375-kb bins). b, The numbers inside the Venn diagrams indicate the number of SNPs, indels, structural variant deletions and transposable element insertions in the wild-derived and classical laboratory strains. The numbers beneath each Venn diagram indicate totals for each type of variant in the wild and classical laboratory strains.

We used the NOD/ShiLtJ BAC sequence to estimate how many variants are contained within inaccessible regions. We found that the BAC sequence in inaccessible regions has approximately 2.8 times more SNPs per base than the rest of the BAC sequence. Sequence reads could not be unambiguously mapped to these regions, resulting in missed variant calls. An analysis of the content of the inaccessible sequence is provided in Supplementary Table 1. Our analysis of the NOD/ShiLtJ BAC sequence implies that at least 30% of all SNPs in the genomes of the strains we sequenced remain to be discovered. The majority of these SNPs are located in intergenic regions of the genome. In addition to homoyzogous SNP positions we also called 5.2M heterozygous positions. These result from misalignments around indels and structural variant breakpoints, duplicated loci and low depth positions.

We identified far fewer indels (1–100 bp) than SNPs and with lower confidence (Table 1). We relied for validation on comparison with the NOD/ShiLtJ BAC sequences and estimated false-positive and -negative rates to be 2.2% and 20.1%, respectively. Collectively, we estimate an average of 2.61 sequence errors per 10 kilobases (kb) of accessible sequence, an accuracy of 99.97% in NOD/ShiLtJ, which should extend to the other sequenced strains.
the production of a structural variant\(^{29}\). Only 7.5% of structural variants were private to one of the classical laboratory strains.

**Functional variants**

We identified 0.12M SNP positions in protein-coding sequence that lead to amino acid changes (non-synonymous substitutions) and 0.26M that do not (synonymous substitutions). In total 2,051 stop codons across all strains and transcripts were discovered, an average of 85 for the classical laboratory strains and 251 for the wild-derived strains. Supplementary Fig. 18 shows the distribution of these variants across the strains. Non-synonymous changes are seen, on average, every 1,454 codons, and rarely cluster. Extreme variation, however, occurs within a coding exon of Prdm9, a ‘speciation gene\(^{30}\), whose zinc-finger-encoding domains vary greatly across the sequenced strains (Supplementary Fig. 19). By sequencing RNA we confirmed 99.84% of the coding SNPs that were covered by 10 or more RNA-Seq reads in expressed genes (Supplementary Table 2).

Some functional variants previously reported in one strain were found for the first time in others. In LP/J mice we identified a mutation in the DNA polymerase iota (Pol\(i\)) gene. This premature stop codon, which ablates gene function, has previously been identified in 129-derived mice (MMU18:70688442)\(^{31}\). We also discovered that a mutation in Disc1, known in 129-derived mice and associated with a deficit in working memory\(^{32}\), is also present in LP/J. Further, we discovered a truncating mutation (MMU10:53345838) in the mini-chromosome maintenance gene Mmcm9 (ref. 33) in SPRET/EiJ. This gene is thought to have an important role in replication, suggesting functional redundancy or the existence of a paralogous gene in SPRET/EiJ.

**Variation between mouse strains**

The classical laboratory strains of mice carried relatively few private variants (~2% of all variants called in each strain) (Table 1). These variants were distributed genome-wide, indicating that they had either arisen since the divergence of these strains (Supplementary Fig. 1–17), or are errors. We observed significant differences in transposable element families across the laboratory and wild-derived strains (Fig. 1). Transposon element variants (TEVs) were found to be depleted near transcriptional start sites, in or near exons, and long interspersed nuclear element (LINE) variants were depleted within the introns of transcription factor genes. Within introns, we find a significantly reduced number of endogenous retroviral (ERV) TEVs that are inserted in the sense transcriptional orientation.

Loci that are absent from the C57BL/6J reference genome are difficult to access. We identified 424 Mb of novel sequence (contigs >100 bp; 48.4 Mb for contigs >1 kb) (Supplementary Fig. 20). Unsurprisingly, more is found in the wild-derived strains than in the classical laboratory strains, which are largely derived from a common pool of founders. Of the novel sequence 20.4 Mb aligned with the Celera mixed strain assembly\(^{34}\) and other mouse sequence not present in the reference genome; 562.9 kb mapped to the rat reference genome and 18.9 kb to the rabbit reference. About 30 Mb of novel sequence was conserved across multiple strains (Supplementary Fig. 20).

**The phylogenetic history of the mouse**

We used the accessible sequences of the wild-derived strains to explore the evolutionary history of the primary subspecies that gave rise to the laboratory mouse. We conducted a Bayesian concordance analysis\(^{35}\) with the sequences of M. m. musculus (PWK/PhJ), M. m. domesticus (WSB/EiJ), M. m. castaneus (CAST/EiJ) and M. spreptus (SPRET/EiJ), using rat as an outgroup.

We observed substantial phylogenetic discordance across the genomes of M. musculus, M. m. domesticus and M. castaneus (Fig. 2). In the face of this discordance, we identified a M. musculus/M. m. castaneus primary subspecies history (concordance factor (CF) = 37.9%; 95% credibility interval (CI) = 37.8–38.0%). The two other possible histories were supported by equal numbers of loci (CF = 30.3%; 95% CI = 30.2–30.4%; and CF = 30.2%; 95% CI = 30.1–30.3%), closely matching expectations from theoretical models of incomplete lineage sorting\(^{36–38}\). Phylogenetic switching occurs over a short physical scale, in rough agreement with the spatial pattern of linkage disequilibrium in natural populations of house mice\(^{39}\), and median locus sizes parallel the three phylogenetic histories (primary history, 40,975 bp; alternative histories, 33,626 bp and 33,412 bp). Despite its considerable divergence time from house mice, we also found phylogenetic discordance involving M. spreptus: 12.1% of loci did not place this species as the outgroup to a M. musculus subspecies clade.

**Allele–specific functional differences**

We combined a measure of allele-specific variation with a measure of activity at gene promoters to implicate functional variants. Sequencing RNA from an F1 hybrid of two sequenced strains and assaying the relative abundance of allelic variants in transcripts makes it possible to assess the variation in gene expression. We sequenced RNA from six tissues (liver, thymus, spleen, lung, hippocampus and heart (Supplementary Table 2)) obtained from an F1 generated by crossing the reference strain (C57BL/6J) with one sequenced strain (DBA/2J). A total of 40,521 SNP positions were covered by RNA reads spread over

| Strain | Gb of mapped data | Coverage | % of genome inaccessible | SNPs (Private) | Indels (Private) | Structural variants (Private) |
|--------|------------------|----------|--------------------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------------------|
| C57BL/6NJ | 77.29            | 29.29    | 13.12                    | 9,844         | (1,488)        | 22,228                      |
| 129S1/SvImJ | 71.91            | 27.25    | 15.30                    | 4,458,004     | (1,489)        | 886,136                     |
| 129S5SVEJ<sup>ph</sup> | 50.27            | 19.05    | 15.17                    | 4,383,799     | (1,991)        | 810,310                     |
| 129P2/Ola | 115.52           | 43.78    | 14.47                    | 4,694,529     | (23,677)       | 1,029,629                   |
| A/J | 70.39            | 26.68    | 15.90                    | 4,198,324     | (44,837)       | 823,688                     |
| AKR/J | 107.16           | 40.61    | 14.86                    | 4,331,384     | (87,527)       | 966,002                     |
| BALB/cJ | 65.72            | 24.90    | 15.09                    | 3,920,925     | (29,973)       | 831,193                     |
| C3H/HeJ | 92.81            | 35.17    | 15.09                    | 4043599       | (16,804)       | 949,206                     |
| DBA/2J | 77.43            | 29.34    | 14.79                    | 4,511,278     | (34,203)       | 929,860                     |
| DBA/2J | 65.11            | 24.67    | 15.09                    | 4,468,071     | (72,214)       | 868,611                     |
| LP/J | 73.03            | 27.67    | 15.29                    | 4,701,445     | (53,509)       | 947,614                     |
| NOD/ShiLtJ | 75.88          | 28.75    | 17.30                    | 4,323,572     | (143,489)      | 797,086                     |
| NZO/HilLtJ | 45.68           | 17.31    | 16.06                    | 4,492,372     | (210,256)      | 806,511                     |
| PWK/PhJ | 66.99            | 25.38    | 19.26                    | 17,202,436    | (4,461,772)    | 2,535,885                   |
| CAST/EiJ | 64.84            | 24.57    | 19.18                    | 17,673,726    | (5,368,019)    | 2,727,089                   |
| WSB/EiJ | 48.19            | 18.26    | 16.23                    | 6,045,573     | (894,875)      | 1,197,006                   |
| SPRET/EiJ | 70.41            | 26.68    | 23.26                    | 10,041,735    | (23,455,525)   | 4,456,243                   |

Total | 1,238.63 | 469.36 | 129,260,574 | 21,683,297 | 711,920 | 15 SEPTEMBER 2011 | VOL 477 | NATURE | 291

Private variants are strain-specific variants.

©2011 Macmillan Publishers Limited. All rights reserved
15,884 genes (≥ 1 read per gene), of which 6,975 had at least 20 reads crossing SNP positions. We define allelic bias as the proportion of expression attributable to a particular parental strain, ranging from 0 to 1, with the null hypothesis of 0.5 in the absence of any bias. Due to the very high abundance of RNA sequence data and of SNPs within many genes revealed by whole genome sequencing, many (41%) loci show a significant bias towards one or other allele in at least one tissue; 12% of all loci showed a substantial expression bias (expression below 25% or above 75% of the reference allele).

Figure 3 shows the distribution of allele-specific biases between tissue pairs at the gene level and Supplementary Table 3 shows the concordance of allele-specific biases for each pair of tissues examined. 2,871 genes were found to be significantly different (0.01 false discovery rate, FDR) in at least one tissue pair (Supplementary Table 4). Most differences (95%) between tissues were due to biased allelic expression occurring in one tissue only. However, 336 (4.8%) of tested transcripts showed a different pattern: they show a biased allelic expression in more than one tissue, but the bias occurs in opposing directions. One example is the Phb gene: in liver, 76% of informative reads derive from the C57BL/6J haplotype, but in spleen the figure is just 39%.

Genes showing divergent allele-specific patterns between tissues were clustered into different functional classes using the DAVID tool. Among such genes, those encoding proteins found in mitochondria are significantly enriched between liver and spleen (FDR = 9.5 × 10^{-6}), as are cell cycle genes between thymus and spleen (FDR = 3.4 × 10^{-4}), indicating that allele-specific biases are related to the functional program occurring in these tissues.

To characterize the molecular source of allele-specific biases we sequenced RNA from liver bound to chromatin precipitated by a marker for active gene promoters (histone 3, lysine 4 trimethylation; H3K4me3). Of 19,258 SNPs in these ChIP-Seq (chromatin immunoprecipitation followed by sequencing) reads with greater than seven informative reads for H3K4me3, 386 (2%) showed a significant allelic bias. There was unsurprisingly a highly significant correlation between allelic biases of H3K4me3 in the promoters of genes with allelic expression biases (P < 10^{-10}). Histone modification of promoter regions, as opposed to other parts of genes, is most predictive of transcriptional bias (Spearman’s rho = 0.29), particularly so for the strongly biased genes, showing below 25% or above 75% of the reference allele expression (Spearman’s rho = 0.67). Therefore, we have been able to identify genes where differences in cis-regulatory promoter sequence between C57BL/6J and DBA/2J are likely to contribute significantly to allele-specific expression biases. With access to the genome sequences, we can use the functionally defined cis sequence variants to identify the important regulatory elements.

**Molecular basis of quantitative traits**

We used the complete genome sequence of multiple inbred strains to address a key challenge in complex trait genetics: the identification of sequence variants that underlie quantitative traits. We asked whether functional variants have common molecular features, and if they were more likely to lie within genes or outside them, and to comprise structural variants, indels or SNPs. We tested the hypothesis that quantitative trait loci (QTLs) with large effects (expressed as the percentage of total phenotypic variation attributable to the locus) are more likely to consist of certain categories of sequence variant.

We examined this relationship using 843 QTLs identified in over 2,000 heterogeneous stock mice, animals that are descended from eight of the sequenced strains (A/J, AKR/J, BALB/cJ, C3H/HeJ, C57BL/6J, DBA/J, DBA/2J and LP/J). Because many recombinants have accumulated in the heterogeneous stock since its creation, the QTLs are resolved to an average genomic size of 3 Mb. The 100 traits mapped include disease models (asthma, anxiety and type 2 diabetes), as well as haematological, immunological, biochemical and anatomical phenotypes.

We imputed the genotypes of the heterogeneous stock mice for all variants and then applied a test that discriminates between variants that could be functional and those that are not. At each variant we compared two models. In one (the haplotype model) the effect on the QTL was modelled with eight alleles (representing each of the founder haplotypes). In the second, the effect on the QTL was modelled with the number of alleles of the variant (usually two for a SNP). At 718 QTLs (85%) there was at least one variant where the fit of the allelic model was better than a haplotype based model. This implies that, at these QTLs, there is either a single functional variant, or a series of functional variants on the same haplotype. The median number of
variants per QTL with a merge P-value exceeding the minimum haplotype P-value was 7; we refer to these variants as functional variants. At 10% of QTLs there is a single functional variant so defined.

We asked whether functional variants are more likely to occur in certain locations relative to genes and whether they are more likely to belong to certain molecular classes. Suppose at a QTL we classify 0.1% of the variants as potentially functional. If there is no relationship between the position of a gene and a functional variant, we expect 0.1% of the variants within genes to be classified as functional. We calculated the ratio of the percentage of functional variants at a QTL over the percentage of variants in five locations relative to genes: intergenic, exonic, intronic or flanking (upstream or downstream lying within 2 kb of the transcriptional start or end sites). Ratios greater than 1 indicate that functional variants are enriched in a classification and less than 1 indicate relative deficiency. We calculated the significance of the ratios’ departure from 1 empirically (Table 2). We carried out a similar analysis of molecular categories, comparing SNPs, structural variants, indels and coding polymorphisms predicted to be harmful to protein function.

Figure 4 shows results for 718 QTLs, grouped by effect size (the percentage of phenotypic variance attributed to the QTL) so that each group contains approximately 100 QTLs. We also show results for the 22 largest effect QTLs (explaining more than 10% of the variance). Table 2 shows the results of testing for significant differences between large effect (>4%) and small effect (<4%) QTLs.

Functional variants at small effect QTLs are significantly more likely to be intergenic and less likely to be a structural variant; by contrast, functional variants at large effect QTLs are significantly less likely to be intergenic, and more likely to be intronic. However, it is only with the 3% of QTLs that explain more than 10% of the phenotypic variance that we find significant enrichment for coding variants predicted to be detrimental. These latter QTLs are significantly more likely to arise from indels and structural variants. Our analysis therefore indicates that both the position and molecular nature of quantitative trait variants influence the effect size of the QTL.

Discussion

The sequence we have obtained has a number of notable features. First is the sheer magnitude of the number of variants we have found. An earlier catalogue, based on re-sequencing by hybridization to oligonucleotide arrays, identified SNPs at 8.3M unique sites in 15 strains; our total count in 17 strains is 56.7M unique sites. In addition, our catalogue includes other types of sequence polymorphism that have previously been difficult to assess on a genome-wide scale: indels at 8.8M unique sites and 0.28M structural variants.

Second, we have estimated the false positive and false negative rates by exploiting 17.5 Mb of very high quality sequence from one non-reference strain. We should caution, however, that the BAC sequences were not chosen randomly from the genome; their collinearity when mapped back to the reference genome indicates that they do not lie in regions replete with structural variation for example. Importantly, access to the BAC sequence tells us what the new sequencing technology misses, information currently lacking for other vertebrate sequence projects. We find that inaccessible regions contain almost three times the amount of sequence variation expected from the rate observed in the accessible regions. This observation, gained from the analysis of inbred genomes that represent a best-case scenario for variant calling, has important implications for the whole genome sequencing of outbred populations such as humans, where variant calling is significantly more difficult.

What use is the current catalogue of variants? First, simply knowing the distribution of variants across the genomes of the sequenced strains is important. The short evolutionary timescale of domestication suggests that many genetic differences among classical inbred strains originated in natural populations. Our phylogenetic analyses both confirm that M. m. castaneus is sister species of M. m. musculus and demonstrate that wild mouse genomes are complex mosaics of alternative evolutionary histories. Widespread phylogenetic discordance indicates that polymorphisms are often shared among subspecies, challenging the assignment of subspecific ancestry across the genomes of the classical inbred strains. Our results further suggest that M. spreus is not a reliable outgroup for determining the ancestral state of house mice in some genomic regions. Analyses of genome sequences from larger numbers of wild mice will provide a more detailed understanding of the origins of laboratory mice.

A second use of our catalogue is for exploring the relationship between genotype and phenotype. We have demonstrated this with two examples. By examining six tissues in a single cross (C57BL/6 × DBA/2J) we were able to detect high levels of allelic bias at 12% of expressed loci. Furthermore, 4.8% of tested transcripts showed divergent allele-specific patterns between tissues: the allele that is

| Positions | Enrichment | Exp | Int | Flank |
|-----------|------------|-----|-----|-------|
| <3%       | 0.6        | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.8   |
| 3% - 5%   | 1.0        | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.8   |
| 5% - 7%   | 1.2        | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.8   |
| 7% - 10%  | 1.0        | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.8   |

Figure 4 | Enrichment of functional variants. Each line shows the ratio of the percentage of functional variants at a QTL over the percentage of variants expected. Ratios greater than one indicate that functional variants are enriched in a classification and ratios less than one indicate a dearth of functional variants. Functional variants are classified by their position relative to a gene and by their molecular class: SNPs, structural variants and insertions/deletions (indels) polymorphisms.
relatively highly expressed in one tissue is relatively under-expressed in a second tissue. Again using our catalogue, and the genome sequences reported here, we have begun to identify the molecular basis for this complex pattern of gene regulation. Further analysis and functional studies will allow us to identify the exact sequence differences responsible for these allelic expression differences.

We also show that the molecular nature of sequence variants and their position relative to genes influence the likelihood that they are functional. Using a statistical method to predict whether the allelic pattern of a variant is consistent with its action as the molecular cause of quantitative trait variation, we are able to show that functional variants contributing to small effect QTLs are significantly more likely to be intergenic; by contrast, larger effect QTLs are more likely to be caused by intronic variants, and are significantly less likely to be intergenic.

Together with the accumulated phenotypic information on inbred strains, provided by the Mouse Phenome Project, the sequence of the 17 mouse genomes and the associated catalogue of variants will serve as a basis for understanding trait differences, and will allow further insights into the nature of functional variants. Furthermore, near complete sequence will make it possible to impute the genomes of any derivative of the sequenced strains, including the Collaborative Cross, a large set of recombinant inbred strains to be used for high-resolution mapping of multiple complex phenotypes. Collectively, the sequence described here will help dissect the path from sequence variant to phenotype.

METHODS SUMMARY
The Supplementary Information provides full details of samples, data generation protocols, read mapping, SNP calling, short insertion and deletion calling, structural variation calling and all other computational methods. Received 5 July; accepted 5 August 2011.
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