Genome-wide DNA methylation analysis of pituitaries during the initiation of puberty in gilts
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Abstract

It has been widely recognized that the early or delayed puberty appears to display harmful effects on adult health outcomes. During the timing of puberty, pituitaries responds to the hypothalamus and then introduce the following response of ovaries in hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal axis. DNA methylation has been recently suggested to regulate the onset of puberty in female mammals. However, to date, the changes of DNA methylation in pituitaries have not been investigated during pubertal transition. In this study, using gilts as the pubertal model, the genome-scale DNA methylation of pituitaries was profiled and compared across Pre-, In- and Post-puberty by using the reduced representation bisulfite sequencing. We found that average methylation levels of each genomic feature in Post- were lower than Pre- and In-pubertal stage in CpG context, but they were higher in In- than that in Pre- and Post-pubertal stage in CpH (where H = A, T, or C) context. The methylation patterns of CpHs were more dynamic than that of CpGs at the location of high CpG content, low CpG content promoter genes, and differently genomic CGIs. Furthermore, the differently genomic CGIs were likely to show in a similar manner in CpG context but display in a stage-specific manner in the CpH context across the Pre-, In- and Post-pubertal stage. Among these pubertal stages, 5 kb upstream regions of the transcription start sites were protected from both CpG and CpH methylation changes. 12.65% of detected CpGs were identified as the differentially methylated CpGs, regarding 4301 genes which were involved in the fundamental functions of pituitaries. 0.35% of detected CpHs were identified as differentially methylated CpHs, regarding 3691 genes which were involved in the biological functions of releasing gonadotropin hormones. These observations and analyses would provide valuable insights into epigenetic mechanism of the initiation of puberty in pituitary level.
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Introduction

In female mammals, the initiation of puberty indicates the achievements of adult height, body proportion, and the capacity of reproduction [1, 2]. In women, it has been widely recognized that the early or delayed puberty appears to display harmful effects on adult health outcomes [3–5], but the underlying molecular mechanism of pubertal timing has been largely unknown in mammals. Generally, the timing of puberty is driven by the hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal (HPG) axis in female mammals [6, 7]. An increase in the pulsatile release of gonadotropin-releasing hormone from the hypothalamus results in increased luteinizing hormone and follicle-stimulating hormone release from the pituitary [6, 7], and both luteinizing hormone and follicle-stimulating hormone act on the folliculogenesis, oogenesis, and sex steroid production of the gonads [8–10]. These observations indicate that the pituitary exhibits a pivotal role during the onset of puberty, which responds to hypothalamus and then introduces the following response of ovaries in HPGs axis.

DNA methylation is one of the most investigated epigenetics. Importantly, high CpG content promoters (HCPs) and low CpG content promoters (LCPs), showing essentially differentially methylated and expression patterns [11, 12], are strongly associated with housekeeping genes and tissue-specific genes [13, 14], respectively. Additionally, CpG islands (CGIs) are frequently identified as the potential promoters [15] and the regulatory element [16] to support the transcription of genes, and the methylation of CGIs is closely interacted with the methylation of genes [17]. Currently, DNA methylation has been strongly suggested to control and regulate the onset of puberty in female mammals [18, 19]. Previous studies have reported that changes of DNA methylation cause KISS1 gene, which has emerged as an essential gatekeeper for the onset of puberty via directing the stimulation of GnRH secretion at the hypothalamic level [20–22], switching from repressive to permissive in mice [19, 23, 24]. Moreover, the changes and dynamics of genome-wide DNA methylation during the onset of puberty have been described for the hypothalamus of female goats [25, 26] and rats [27], and these studies have provided useful insights into the epigenetic mechanism for the timing of puberty at hypothalamus level for mammals. However, few investigations have focused on the dynamics and changes of DNA methylation in pituitaries during the pubertal transition in mammals.

In pigs, puberty is defined as the emergence of the first estrous [28], and furthermore, the gilts are the valuable biomedical and pubertal model due to the similar physiological characteristics during the initiation of puberty with humans [29, 30]. In this study, to investigate the changes of DNA methylation in pituitaries during the pubertal transition, using pigs as the pubertal model, the genome-scale DNA methylation of pituitary was generated and profiled for Pre-, In- and Post-puberty by using the reduced representation bisulfite sequencing (RRBS). First, these methylation profiles were compared to describe changes for gene- and CGI-related regions in both CpG and CpH (where H = A, T, or C) contexts. Then the dynamic DNA methylation were investigated and profiled for the whole genome, HCP genes, LCP genes, and differently genomic CGIs across Pre-, In- and Post-puberty, respectively. Subsequently, these methylation dynamics were identified to explore their biological functions. This work will provide valuable insights into the epigenetic mechanism for the timing of mammalian puberty at pituitary level.

Materials and methods

Ethics statement

The pig cares and experiments were approved by the Animal care and Use Commiter of South China Agricultural University, Guangzhou, China (approval number: SCAU#2013–10),
and conductions were based on the Regulations for the Administration of Affairs Concerning Experimental Animals (Ministry of Science and Technology, China, revised in Jun 2004). The Pigs were fed the same diet daily and reared in the same conditions and environments, and pigs were pre-treated with anesthetic induction to alleviate suffering. The pituitaries of each pubertal group were collected, frozen quickly in liquid nitrogen and then stored at -80˚C for further using.

**Animals and sample preparation**

The pituitary samples were collected from Landrace × Yorkshire crossbred gilts. In gilts, the onset of puberty could be handily identified by the standing reflex with the back-pressure test and boar contact [31]. 25 Landrace × Yorkshire crossbred gilts aged at 160 days were selected and used in this study. Pubertal signs were checked and recorded twice daily at 09:00 and 15:30 by inspection of the vulva and assessment of the standing reflex for these 25 gilts. Three gilts aged at 180 days without pubertal signs were selected as Pre-pubertal gilts; three gilts at the day exhibiting the first estrous and the standing reflex were selected as the In-pubertal gilts (about 205 days); and another three gilts in the dioestrus phase, 14 days after the day exhibiting the first estrus and standing reflex, were selected as the Post-pubertal gilts.

**Constructions of RRBS libraries**

The library constructions and sequencing services were provided by RiboBio Co., Ltd. (Guangzhou, China), which were previously described in our studies [32, 33]. The genomic DNA of these pituitary tissues was extracted using a DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Beijing), and then, after checking on the quality of the extracted DNA, one library was built for each gilt based on previously published RRBS studies [17, 32, 33]. The processes and procedures of RRBS libraries were briefed as follows. Firstly, the purified genomic DNA was digested overnight with MspI (New England Biolabs, USA). For the MspI digested segments, the sticky ends were filled with CG nucleotides and 3’A overhangs were added. Secondly, methylated Illumina sequencing adapters with 3’T overhangs were ligated to the digested segments, and the products obtained were purified. Then 110–220 bp fragments were selected [32] and converted by bisulfite using an EZ DNA Methylation Gold Kit (Zymo Research, USA). Lastly, libraries of 110–220 bp fragments were PCR amplified and each library was sequenced using one lane of an Illumina HiSeq 2500 and 100 bp paired-end reads.

**Bioinformatic and data analysis of RRBS**

The first two nucleotides were trimmed from all the second read sequences to blunt-end the MspI site. All reads were trimmed using Trim Galore (v0.4.0) software (Babraham Bioinformatics, http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/trim_galore/) and a Phred quality score of 20 as the minimum. The adaptor pollution reads and multiple N reads (where N > 10% of one read) were removed to generate the clean reads. The quality control checks were performed by FastQC (v0.11.3) software (Babraham Bioinformatics). The clean reads were mapped to the pig reference genome (Sscrofa 11.1, downloaded from Ensembl, http://asia.ensembl.org/Sus_scrofa/Info/Index), and then, the DNA methylation calling was performed by Bismark (v0.14.5) [34] using the default parameters.

263.94 million paired-end clean reads were totally generated for these nine libraries, and 29.33 million paired-end clean reads were generated for each library. These nine RRBS data were submitted to European Nucleotide Archive. After DNA methylation calling by Bismark [34] for these nine RRBS datasets, CpGs or CpHs covered by at least five reads and co-detected across all tissues were remained for further analysis. The methylation level of the CpGs or
CpHs was calculated as the methylated reads divided the total covered reads. The methylation level of one group of pituitaries was calculated by the average methylation level across the three replicates.

For each specific region, the methylation level was measured as the average level of CpGs or CpHs located in this region. To profile the DNA methylation patterns at the gene and CGI locations, the genic locations were divided into 20, 40 and 20 bins for 5 kb upstream region of the transcription start sites (TSSs), gene body and 5 kb downstream region of transcription end sites (TESs), respectively, and the CGI locations were divided into 20, 20 and 20 bins for 2 kb upstream region, CGIs and 2 kb downstream region, respectively. These analyses were performed by Perl and R scripts. The bisulfite conversion rates were calculated as the number of covered CpHs, which were unconverted, was divided by the total number of covered CpHs [35]. The bisulfite conversion efficiencies of these nine libraries were 99.47%, 99.57%, 99.42%, 99.39%, 99.26%, 99.45%, 99.31%, 99.34%, and 99.43% for Pre-puberty 1, Pre-puberty 2, Pre-puberty 3, In-puberty 1, In-puberty 2, In-puberty 3, Post-puberty 1, Post-puberty 2, and Post-puberty 3, respectively. This pipeline was carefully described by our previous studies [17, 33].

### Annotation of genes and CGIs

The genic locations were downloaded from Ensembl (http://asia.ensembl.org/Sus_scrofa/Info/Index). Basing on genic locations of Ensembl, the porcine genome was separated into five genic features, which were upstream, exonic, intronic, downstream and intergenic regions. The upstream region was 5 kb upstream region of the TSS. The exon was defined as the integration of 5’UTR, CDS and 3’UTR arranging from the TSS to the TES. The intron was determined as the integration of introns arranged from the TSS to the TES. The downstream region was 5 kb downstream region of the TES. The intergenic region was denoted as the outside regions of upstream, exonic, intronic and downstream regions.

The locations of CGIs in pigs were downloaded from UCSC (http://hgdownload.soe.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/susScr11/database/). CGIs were described as regions >200 bp in length, with a C and G percentage >0.5, and a ratio of the observed CpG/expected CpG >0.6. The expected CpG was calculated as the number of Cs multiplied by the number of Gs, divided by the length of the segment. The +/- 2 kb regions outside of CGIs were defined as CGI shores, and the +/- 2 kb regions outside of CGI shores were defined as CGI shelves.

We previously localized CGIs to genic features [17], based on the localization of CGIs and genic features. Briefly, when more than 50% of a CGI overlapped with a specific genic feature, that CGI was classified with the specific genic feature. For example, when the overlap ratio between the location of a CGI and the downstream region was greater than 50%, that CGI was defined as a downstream CGI. According to this process, the CGI was annotated as Upstream-CGI, Intronic-CGI, Exonic-CGI, Downstream-CGI and Intergenic-CGI. The processes and procedures of CGI annotation were detailedly described in our previous study [17].

### Statistics analysis

According to our previous study, the porcine genes could be separated into two classes: HCP and LCP genes [33]. The significant differences among these three stages were tested using the variance analysis with the function of “anova”, the enrichment was tested using a two-tailed Fisher’s exact test with the function of “fisher.test”, and the Pearson’s correlation coefficient was tested with the function of “cor.test” in R “stats” package (https://www.rdocumentation.org/packages/stats/).

The differentially methylated CpG sites (DMGs) and CpH sites (DMHs) were calculated by CGmapTools [36]. The CpGs or CpHs whose methylation levels changed more than 20% were...
identified as DMGs or DMHs according to a two-tail Fisher’s exact test \((P \leq 0.05)\) [36]. The genes, including 5 kb upstream flanking region, gene body and 5 kb downstream flanking region, overlapped at least one DMG or DMH were defined as the DMG or DMH regarding genes, respectively. For the comparison between two groups, DMGs or DMHs with higher methylation level in one group were considered as the hyper-methylated DMGs or DMHs in this group and were considered as the hypo-methylated DMGs or DMH in another group, respectively. The enrichment for certain genomic regions was calculated by using a two-tail Fisher’s exact test. The Gene Ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Gene and Genomes (KEGG) enrichment analysis were undertaken by DAVID (https://david.ncifcrf.gov/home.jsp) [37].

Results

Genome-wide DNA methylation of pituitary tissues during the timing of puberty

In the CpG context, 1301936 CpGs covered with at least five reads detected in all nine libraries were selected for further analysis. The average methylation levels were 53.51% ± 0.15%, 53.42% ± 0.40% and 52.04% ± 0.62% for Pre-, In- and Post-puberty, respectively. The CpG methylation levels all presented a bimodal distribution (Fig 1A). Comparisons of these stages revealed that Post-puberty displayed the most of CpGs with methylation level \(\leq 10\%\) (Pre: 28.21% ± 0.21%; In: 28.28% ± 0.08%; Post: 28.50% ± 0.25%) and the most of CpGs with methylation level ranging from 50% to 80% (Pre: 24.33% ± 0.10; In: 24.27% ± 0.67%; Post: 26.01% ± 0.34%) but displayed the lowest of CpGs with methylation level \(\geq 90\%\) (Pre: 20.43% ± 0.94%; In: 18.89% ± 0.94%; Post: 17.89% ± 0.56%). The average methylation levels of detected CpGs within the different genomic features were shown in Fig 1B and 1C. We found that the average methylation levels of each genomic feature in Post were lower than that in Pre- and In-puberty, although these differences were insignificant (Fig 1B and 1C). Besides, the average methylation levels of CGIs and upstream regions were observed to be lower than other CGI- and gene-related regions, respectively (Fig 1B and 1C).

In the CpH context, 5708233 CpHs covered with at least five reads detected in all nine libraries were selected for further analysis. The average methylation levels of CpH methylome were 0.60% ± 0.07%, 0.61% ± 0.05% and 0.60% ± 0.03% for Pre-, In- and Post-puberty, respectively. The numbers of detected CpHs with methylation level \(\leq 20\%\) were 99.70% ± 5.49%, 99.81% ± 0.01% and 99.75% ± 0.05% for Pre-, In- and Post-pubertal stages, respectively (Fig 1D). Comparisons of these stages revealed that the average methylation levels of Upstream, Intronic, Downstream, Intergenic, CGI shores and CGI shelves regions were the highest in In-pubertal methylome but were the lowest in Pre-pubertal (Fig 1E and 1F). However, the average methylation levels of CGI and Exonic region were the highest in Pre- and the lowest in Post-pubertal stage (Fig 1E and 1F).

Global DNA methylation dynamics among these pituitaries

To explore the methylation dynamics during the onset of puberty, the DNA methylation levels and the densities of CpGs and CpHs in the pituitaries were profiled per 1 Mb in Fig 2. In the CpG context, the Pearson’s correlation coefficients of methylation levels were all 0.99 \((P < 2.22 \times 10^{-16})\) for Pre- vs. In-, In- vs. Post-, and Pre- vs. Post-pubertal methylome (Fig 2A and S1 Table). The CpG methylation of Pre-, In-, and Post-pubertal pituitary were all positively correlated with the densities of CpGs (Pearson’s correlation coefficient = 0.22,
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P < 2.22 × 10^{-16}) and CGIs (Pearson’s correlation coefficient = 0.27, P < 2.22 × 10^{-16}) (Fig 2A and S1 Table), but negatively correlated with the densities of genes (Pearson’s correlation coefficient = -0.12, P < 2.22 × 10^{-16}) (Fig 2A and S1 Table). In the CpH context, however, the Pearson’s correlation coefficient was 0.57, 0.80 and 0.58 for Pre- vs. In-, In- vs. Post-, and Pre- vs. Post-stage in CpH context, respectively (Fig 2B and S1 Table). The Pearson’s correlation coefficient was 0.10 (P < 2.22 × 10^{-16}), 0.15 (P < 2.22 × 10^{-16}) and 0.15 (P < 2.22 × 10^{-16}) between

Fig 1. Genome-wide DNA methylation of pituitary tissues during the timing of puberty. Distribution of methylation levels in CpG (a) and CpH (d) context. Average methylation levels of CpGs in gene- (b) and CGI-related regions (c). Average methylation levels of CpHs in gene- (e) and CGI-related regions (f).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0212630.g001

Fig 2. Global methylation of CpGs and CpHs in the pituitaries. The global CpG (a) and CpH (b) methylation levels in Pre- (track 1), In- (track 2) and Post-pubertal stage (track 3) of the pituitary tissue, from outside to inside, were quantified per 1Mb window. The densities of CGIs (track 4), genes (track 5) and CpGs (track 6 in a) or CpHs (track 6 in b) were also quantified per 1 Mb window. The labels outside of track 1 represent the chromosomes of the porcine genome.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0212630.g002
the densities of CpHs and the CpH methylation of Pre-, In- and Post-puberty (Fig 2B and S1 Table), and the Pearson’s correlation coefficient was 0.07 ($P < 2.22 \times 10^{-16}$), 0.15 ($P < 2.22 \times 10^{-16}$) and 0.14 ($P < 2.22 \times 10^{-16}$) between the densities of CGIs and the CpH methylation of Pre-, In- and Post-puberty, respectively (Fig 2B and S1 Table). The Pearson’s correlation coefficient was -0.01 ($P < 2.22 \times 10^{-16}$), -0.07 ($P < 2.22 \times 10^{-16}$) and -0.06 ($P < 2.22 \times 10^{-16}$) between the densities of genes and the CpH methylation in Pre-, In- and Post-puberty, respectively (Fig 2B and S1 Table).

Methylation dynamics in the location of genes and CGIs among these pituitaries

To investigate the methylation dynamics at the locations of genes and CGIs in these pituitaries, the methylation patterns of CpGs and CpHs were profile as well as the densities of CpGs and CpHs (Fig 3). Compared with that in Pre- and In-stage, the CpG methylation level was the lowest at the locations of genes and CGIs in Post-stage (Fig 3A and 3B), and the methylation level of Pre- was highly overlapped with that of In-stage (Fig 3A and 3B). The methylated patterns were all highly correlated with each other at the locations of genes (Person’s correlation coefficient = 0.99, $P < 2.22 \times 10^{-16}$) and CGIs (Person’s correlation coefficient = 0.99, $P < 2.22 \times 10^{-16}$) for the comparison of Pre- vs. In-, Pre- vs. Post- and In- vs. Post-puberty, respectively (Fig 3A and 3B). The methylation patterns of Pre-, In-, and Post-puberty were all highly negatively correlated with the densities of CpGs at the locations of genes (Person’s correlation coefficient = -0.61, $P < 1.51 \times 10^{-19}$) and CGIs (Person’s correlation coefficient = -0.85, $P < 2.22 \times 10^{-16}$), respectively (Fig 3A and 3B).

In the CpH context, the methylation levels were likely to be overlapped together across Pre-, In- and Post-puberty at gene and CGI locations (Fig 3C and 3D). At the genic locations, the Person’s correlation coefficient was 0.88 ($P < 2.22 \times 10^{-16}$), 0.81 ($P < 2.22 \times 10^{-16}$) and 0.95 ($P < 2.22 \times 10^{-16}$) for Pre- vs. In-, Pre- vs. Post- and In- vs. Post-stage, respectively (Fig 3C and 3D and S2 Table). At the CGI locations, the Person’s correlation coefficient was 0.92 ($P < 2.22 \times 10^{-16}$), 0.89 ($P < 2.22 \times 10^{-16}$) and 0.97 ($P < 2.22 \times 10^{-16}$) for Pre- vs. In-, Pre- vs. Post- and In- vs. Post-stage, respectively (Fig 3C and 3D and S2 Table). Additionally, the Person’s correlation coefficient was -0.17 ($P = 0.14$), -0.20 ($P = 0.07$), and -0.28 ($P = 0.01$) between the methylation patterns of CpHs and the densities of CpHs at genic location for Pre-, In-, and Post-puberty, respectively (Fig 3C and S2 Table). The Person’s correlation coefficient was -0.59 ($P = 7.56 \times 10^{-7}$), -0.78 ($P = 2.16 \times 10^{-13}$), and -0.80 ($P = 7.54 \times 10^{-15}$) between the methylation patterns of CpHs and the densities of CpHs at CGI locations for Pre-, In-, and Post-puberty, respectively (Fig 3D and S2 Table).

Methylation patterns of HCP and LCP genes among these pituitaries

Many previous studies reported that the methylated pattern of HCP genes was differently from that of LCP genes [12, 33]. According to our previous study, the porcine genes could be separated into two classes: HCP and LCP genes [33]. To further explore the dynamics at the locations of genes, the methylation patterns of CpGs and CpHs were profile as well as the densities of CpGs and CpHs for HCP and LCP genes (Fig 4), respectively. We found that the methylation levels of HCP and LCP genes displayed the same patterns to that in Fig 3A and 3B with the observations that the CpG methylation level was the lowest in Post-puberty (Fig 4A and 4B), and the Pre- highly overlapped with the In-puberty. For the comparisons of Pre- vs. In-, Pre- vs. Post- and In- vs. Post-stage, the methylation patterns of CpGs were highly correlated with each other at the locations of HCP (Person’s correlation coefficient = 0.99, $P < 2.22 \times 10^{-16}$) and LCP (Person’s correlation coefficient = 0.99, $P < 2.22 \times 10^{-16}$) genes.
respectively (Fig 4A and 4B). Besides, the methylation patterns of CpGs in Pre-, In- and Post-puberty were all negatively correlated with its CpG density (Person’s correlation coefficient = -0.69, $P < 0.74 \times 10^{-12}$) at the locations of HCP genes (Fig 4A) but were all positively correlated with its CpG density (Person’s correlation coefficient = 0.46, $P < 1.22 \times 10^{-5}$) at the locations of LCP genes (Fig 4B).

However, the Person’s correlation coefficients between the CpH methylation patterns were 0.85, 0.93 and 0.80 at the locations of HCP genes (Fig 4C and S3 Table), and 0.86, 0.94 and 0.79 at the locations of LCP genes (Fig 4D and S3 Table) for the comparison of Pre- vs. In-, In- vs. Post-, and Pre- vs. Post-pubertal stage, respectively. The Person’s correlation coefficient between the CpH methylation pattern and density of CpHs was -0.36, -0.43 and -0.51 at the locations of HCP genes (Fig 4C and S3 Table), and it was 0.13, 0.15 and 0.13 at the locations of LCP genes (Fig 4D and S3 Table) for the Pre-, In- and Post-puberty, respectively.

**Methylation patterns of differently genomic CGIs among these pituitaries**

We previously found that the differently genomic CGIs exhibited different methylation patterns in pigs [17]. To further investigate the methylation dynamics of CGIs, the methylation patterns of differently genomic CGIs were profiled for these pituitaries in Fig 5. In the CpG context, the methylation levels of Intronic-CGIs were the highest (Fig 5A, 5B and 5C), and Upstream-CGIs were the lowest (Fig 5A, 5B and 5C). The methylation levels of Exonic- and downstream-CGIs were lower than that of Intergenic-CGIs (Fig 5A, 5B and 5C). Moreover, the...
CpG methylation of these different CGIs trended to be reversed correlation with its densities of CpGs (Fig 5D), and the methylation patterns among Upstream-, Exonic-, Intronic-,
Downstream- and Intergenic-CGIs were likely to show in a similar manner for the Pre-, In- and Post-pubertal stage (Fig 5A, 5B and 5C).

However, in the CpH context, the methylation levels and patterns among Upstream-, Exonic-, Intronic-, Downstream- and Intergenic-CGIs could not be distinguished from each other in Pre-puberty. In In- and Post-pubertal stage, the CpH methylation levels of Intergenic- and Intronic CGIs were likely to be higher than that of Exonic and Downstream-CGIs, and Upstream-CGIs presented the lowest the methylation levels (Fig 5F and 5G). The CpH methylation levels of these different CGIs trended to be reversed correlation with its densities of CpHs, with exception of Downstream-CGIs (Fig 5H). Furthermore, the methylated patterns among Upstream-, Exonic-, Intronic-, Downstream- and Intergenic-CGIs were likely to display in a stage-specific manner for the Pre-, In- and Post-pubertal stage in the CpH context (Fig 5E, 5F and 5G).

Change patterns of DNA methylation among these pituitaries

To explore whether the DNA methylation changes among these pituitaries preferentially happen at certain genomic regions, the distributions of the differentially methylated CpGs (DMGs) and CpHs (DMHs) were shown in Fig 6. Respectively, 68237, 80567, and 83225 DMGs were identified for Pre- vs. In- (Fig 6A), In- vs. Post- (Fig 6B) and Pre- vs. Post-puberty (Fig 6C). Pre-pubertal stage appeared to show the same number of hyper-DMGs with that in In-pubertal methylome (Fig 6A). Compared with Post-pubertal stage, more hyper-DMGs were found in Pre- and In-stage (Fig 6A, 6B and 6C). Moreover, DMGs were likely to be underrepresented in CGI, upstream and exonic regions (relative enrichment = 0.46–0.70, \( P < 2.22 \times 10^{-16} \) (Table 1), but overrepresented in CGI shores, CGI shelves, intronic, down-stream and intergenic regions (relative enrichment = 1.12–1.51, \( P < 2.22 \times 10^{-16} \) (Table 1).

Furthermore, 12959, 9404, and 13335 DMHs were identified for Pre- vs. In- (Fig 6D), In- vs. Post- (Fig 6E) and Pre- vs. Post-puberty (Fig 6F), respectively. In-pubertal stage appeared to show the same number of hyper-DMHs with that in Post-pubertal stage (Fig 6D). However, compared with Pre-pubertal stage, less hyper-DMHs were found in In- and Post-stage (Fig 6E and 6F). Moreover, the DMHs were likely to show an overrepresentation in CGI shelves and downstream regions (relative enrichment = 1.09–1.22, \( P \leq 3.06 \times 10^{-3} \) (Table 2) but show an underrepresentation in upstream (relative enrichment = 0.51–0.58, \( P < 2.22 \times 10^{-16} \) (Table 2). Importantly, these DMHs exhibited in a stage-specific enrichment for CGI, CGI shores, exonic, intronic and intergenic regions (Table 2).

Biological functions of DNA methylation changes among these pituitaries

To gain insight into the biological functions and processes in which genes associated with the DNA methylation changes might be involved, we performed the GO and KEGG enrichment analysis on genes who were associated with DMGs or DMHs (see methods and materials). During pubertal transition, 4301 genes were identified as the DMG genes in the pituitaries (S1 File). The most significant terms of genes associated with DMGs were negative regulation of transcription, regulation of cell proliferation, and cell growth (S1A Fig). Moreover, these genes were also enriched in PI3K-Akt signaling pathway, Oxytocin signaling pathway, and Insulin secretion (Fig 7A). These pathways were highly involved in the fundamental biological function of pituitary tissues. Furthermore, 3691 genes were identified as the DMHs genes in these pituitaries (S2 File). The most significantly enriched terms of genes associated with DMHs were regulation of cell proliferation, cell maturation, development (such as in utero embryonic development, kidney development, skin development and regulation of projection development), and morphogenesis (such as inner ear morphogenesis and embryonic limb development).
These genes were enriched in Metabolic pathways, Insulin signaling pathway, Neurotrophin signaling pathway, and Estrogen signaling pathway (Fig 7B). These pathways were likely to be more highly involved in the releasing gonadotropin hormones from pituitary tissues during the timing of puberty in pigs.

**Discussion**

During the timing of puberty, the pituitary has been thought to connect with and respond to the hypothalamus and then introduce the following response of ovary in HPG axis [38, 39]. Previous studies demonstrated that disrupted DNA methylation results in the delayed puberty [22, 24]. The DNA methylation of pituitaries was supposed to show dynamics and changes during the pubertal transition in mammals. In the present study, the genome-wide DNA methylation profiles were profiled for the pituitary tissues of Pre-, In- and Post-pubertal gilts.

**Table 1. Distribution of differentially methylated CpGs among these pituitaries.**

|       | Detected CpGs | DMGs between Pre- and In-puberty | DMGs between In- and Post-puberty | DMGs between Pre- and Post-puberty |
|-------|---------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
|       | Number | Enrichment | Number | Enrichment | Number | Enrichment |
| Total | 1,301,936 | 68,237 | — | — | 80,567 | — |
| CGI   | 581,907 | 19,650 | 0.50 ($P < 2.22 \times 10^{-16}$) | 22,352 | 0.48 ($P < 2.22 \times 10^{-16}$) | 23,791 | 0.50 ($P < 2.22 \times 10^{-16}$) |
| CGI shores | 264,273 | 16,423 | 1.24 ($P < 2.22 \times 10^{-16}$) | 20,242 | 1.32 ($P < 2.22 \times 10^{-16}$) | 20,805 | 1.31 ($P < 2.22 \times 10^{-16}$) |
| CGI shelves | 87,971 | 6,209 | 1.38 ($P < 2.22 \times 10^{-16}$) | 7,379 | 1.39 ($P < 2.22 \times 10^{-16}$) | 7,690 | 1.40 ($P < 2.22 \times 10^{-16}$) |
| Upstream | 213,133 | 5,881 | 0.48 ($P < 2.22 \times 10^{-16}$) | 6,599 | 0.46 ($P < 2.22 \times 10^{-16}$) | 7,096 | 0.48 ($P < 2.22 \times 10^{-16}$) |
| Exon   | 278,535 | 10,523 | 0.67 ($P < 2.22 \times 10^{-16}$) | 11,919 | 0.64 ($P < 2.22 \times 10^{-16}$) | 12,369 | 0.70 ($P < 2.22 \times 10^{-16}$) |
| Intronic | 420,362 | 25,453 | 1.25 ($P < 2.22 \times 10^{-16}$) | 30,674 | 1.29 ($P < 2.22 \times 10^{-16}$) | 31,726 | 1.29 ($P < 2.22 \times 10^{-16}$) |
| Downstream | 74,434 | 4,517 | 1.17 ($P < 2.22 \times 10^{-16}$) | 5,154 | 1.13 ($P < 2.22 \times 10^{-16}$) | 5,276 | 1.12 ($P < 2.22 \times 10^{-16}$) |
| Intergenic | 315,472 | 21,863 | 1.47 ($P < 2.22 \times 10^{-16}$) | 26,221 | 1.51 ($P < 2.22 \times 10^{-16}$) | 25,858 | 1.41 ($P < 2.22 \times 10^{-16}$) |

Enrichment of differentially methylated CpGs for certain genomic regions was calculated by using with a two-tail Fisher’s exact test.

[https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0212630.t001](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0212630.t001)
We found that the average methylation level of CpGs in Post- was lower than that in Pre- and In-puberty (Fig 1B and 1C), but the average methylation level of CpHs in In- appeared to be higher than that in Pre- and Post-puberty (Fig 1E and 1F). Besides, compared with that in Pre- and In-stage, the CpG methylation level was the lowest at the gene and CGI locations (Fig 3A and 3B) in Post-stage. However, the methylation levels of CpHs were likely to be overlapped together across Pre-, In- and Post-puberty at gene and CGI locations (Fig 3C and 3D). The similar observations were also found in the location of HCP and LCP genes (Fig 4). These results recommended that changes of CpG methylation were different from that of CpHs among these pituitaries during the timing of puberty.

We found that the Pearson’s correlation coefficients of the global methylation were all 0.99 ($P < 2.22 \times 10^{-16}$) for the comparisons of Pre- vs. In-, In- vs. Post-, and Pre- vs. Post-pubertal pituitaries in the CpG context (Fig 2A), but the Pearson’s correlation coefficient was 0.57, 0.80 and 0.58 in CpH context, respectively (Fig 2B). Moreover, the Person’s correlation coefficients of the methylation patterns of CpGs were all 0.99 at the locations of genes and CGIs for the comparisons of Pre- vs. In-, Pre- vs. Post- and In- vs. Post-puberty, respectively (Fig 3A and 3B).

We found that the average methylation level of CpGs in Post- was lower than that in Pre- and In-puberty (Fig 1B and 1C), but the average methylation level of CpHs in In- appeared to be higher than that in Pre- and Post-puberty (Fig 1E and 1F). Besides, compared with that in Pre- and In-stage, the CpG methylation level was the lowest at the gene and CGI locations (Fig 3A and 3B) in Post-stage. However, the methylation levels of CpHs were likely to be overlapped together across Pre-, In- and Post-puberty at gene and CGI locations (Fig 3C and 3D). The similar observations were also found in the location of HCP and LCP genes (Fig 4). These results recommended that changes of CpG methylation were different from that of CpHs among these pituitaries during the timing of puberty.

We found that the Pearson’s correlation coefficients of the global methylation were all 0.99 ($P < 2.22 \times 10^{-16}$) for the comparisons of Pre- vs. In-, In- vs. Post-, and Pre- vs. Post-pubertal pituitaries in the CpG context (Fig 2A), but the Pearson’s correlation coefficient was 0.57, 0.80 and 0.58 in CpH context, respectively (Fig 2B). Moreover, the Person’s correlation coefficients of the methylation patterns of CpGs were all 0.99 at the locations of genes and CGIs for the comparisons of Pre- vs. In-, Pre- vs. Post- and In- vs. Post-puberty, respectively (Fig 3A and 3B).

Table 2. Distribution of differentially methylated CpHs among these pituitaries.

| Detected CpHs | DMHs between Pre- and In-puberty | DMHs between In- and Post-puberty | DMHs between Pre- and Post-puberty |
|---------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
|               | Number | Enrichment | Number | Enrichment | Number | Enrichment |
| Total         | 5,708,233 | 12,959 | — | — | 13,335 | — |
| CGI           | 1,443,684 | 3,788 | 1.22 ($P < 2.22 \times 10^{-16}$) | 1,603 | 0.61 ($P < 2.22 \times 10^{-16}$) | 3,934 | 1.29 ($P < 2.22 \times 10^{-16}$) |
| CGI shores    | 1,488,072 | 2,882 | 0.81 ($P < 2.22 \times 10^{-16}$) | 2,579 | 1.07 ($P = 2.94 \times 10^{-3}$) | 3,064 | 0.88 ($P = 2.26 \times 10^{-16}$) |
| CGI shelves   | 494,636 | 1,211 | 1.09 ($P = 6.53 \times 10^{-3}$) | 991 | 1.13 ($P = 5.26 \times 10^{-14}$) | 1,210 | 1.09 ($P = 7.18 \times 10^{-3}$) |
| Upstream      | 755,007 | 1,024 | 0.56 ($P < 2.22 \times 10^{-16}$) | 6,73 | 0.51 ($P < 2.22 \times 10^{-16}$) | 1,055 | 0.58 ($P < 2.22 \times 10^{-16}$) |
| Exon          | 890,620 | 3,799 | 2.24 ($P < 2.22 \times 10^{-16}$) | 846 | 0.53 ($P < 2.22 \times 10^{-16}$) | 3,900 | 2.33 ($P < 2.22 \times 10^{-16}$) |
| Intron        | 2,084,096 | 3,785 | 0.72 ($P < 2.22 \times 10^{-16}$) | 3,853 | 1.07 ($P = 1.46 \times 10^{-3}$) | 3,928 | 0.76 ($P < 2.22 \times 10^{-16}$) |
| Downstream    | 361,176 | 893 | 1.10 ($P = 9.29 \times 10^{-7}$) | 716 | 1.22 ($P = 6.24 \times 10^{-7}$) | 903 | 1.11 ($P = 3.06 \times 10^{-7}$) |
| Intergenic    | 1,617,334 | 3,485 | 0.93 ($P = 2.62 \times 10^{-3}$) | 3,316 | 1.38 ($P < 2.22 \times 10^{-16}$) | 3,549 | 0.95 ($P = 1.68 \times 10^{-2}$) |

Enrichment of differentially methylated CpHs for certain genomic regions was calculated by using with a two-tail Fisher’s exact test.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0212630.t002

Fig 7. KEGG enrichment analysis of DNA methylation changes. (a) The significantly enriched signaling pathway of genes associating with CpG methylation changes. (b) The significantly enriched signaling pathway of genes associating with CpH methylation changes.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0212630.g007
but the Person’s correlation coefficient of CpH methylation patterns was 0.88, 0.81 and 0.95 at the locations of genes (Fig 3C and S2 Table) and was 0.92, 0.88 and 0.98 at the locations of CGIs, respectively (Fig 3D and S2 Table). Besides, in the CpG context, the Person’s correlation coefficients of the methylation patterns were all 0.99 against Pre- vs. In-, In- vs. Post-, and Pre- vs. Post-puberty at the locations of HCP and LCP genes, respectively. However, the Person’s correlation coefficients between the CpH methylation patterns were 0.85, 0.93 and 0.80 for HCP genes, and 0.86, 0.94 and 0.79 for LCP genes against Pre- vs. In-, In- vs. Post-, and Pre- vs. Post-puberty, respectively (Fig 4C and 4D and S3 Table). These results indicated that the CpH methylation were more dynamic than CpG methylation among these pituitaries during the timing of puberty.

Totally, 12.65% of detected CpGs were identified as the DMGs among these pituitaries. These DMGs appeared to be hypermethylated in Pre- and In-pubertal stages but hypomethylated in Post-pubertal stages (Fig 6A, 6B and 6C). This result was in line with the observation that the methylation level of Post-pubertal stage was lower than that in Pre- and Post-pubertal stage at the locations of whole genes (Fig 3A), CGIs (Fig 3B), HCP genes (Fig 4A) and LCP genes (Fig 4B). Moreover, the enrichments of these DMGs ranged from 0.46 to 0.70 at CGI, upstream and exonic regions (Table 1), which were much lower than the enrichments of DMGs located at CGI shores, CGI shelves, intronic, downstream and intergenic regions (ranging from 1.12 to 1.51) (Table 1). Alternatively, DMGs among Pre- and In-, In- and Post-, and Pre- and Post-pubertal methylome were likely to show the similarly enriched patterns (Table 1). This result was in accordance with the exhibition that the methylation patterns among Upstream-, Exonic-, Intronic-, Downstream- and Intergenic-CGIs showed in the same manner for the Pre-, In- and Post-pubertal stage (Fig 5A, 5B and 5C). These observations indicated that the CpG methylation changes were likely to show in a similar pattern during the timing of puberty.

Furthermore, 0.35% of detected CpHs were identified as DMHs among these pituitaries. These DMHs appeared to be hypermethylated in Pre-pubertal stage but hypomethylated in In- and Post-pubertal stage (Fig 6D, 6E and 6F). The relative enrichment of DMHs, ranging from 1.09 to 1.22, recommended an overrepresentation in CGI shelves and downstream regions (Table 1), and an underrepresentation in upstream region with the enrichment ranging from 0.51 to 0.58 (Table 2). These results were in line with the finding that observed in DMGs (S3 Table). However, these DMHs exhibited in a stage-specific enrichment for CGI, CGI shores, exonic, intronic and intergenic region (Table 2). Moreover, these stage-specific dynamics were also observed at the methylation patterns among Exonic-, Intronic- and Intergenic-CGI at Pre- (Fig 5E), In- (Fig 5F) and Post-pubertal stage (Fig 5G). These results suggested that the CpH methylation changes were likely to show the stage-specific pattern during the onset and timing of puberty.

The biological processes and pathway of the DNA methylation changes were explored during the timing of puberty. We found that genes associating with CpG methylation changes were enriched the regulation of transcription, regulation of cell proliferation and differentiation, PI3K-Akt signaling pathway, Oxytocin signaling pathway, and Insulin secretion (Fig 7A), which were more likely to be involved in the fundamental functions of pituitary tissues. However, genes associated with CpH methylation changes were enriched in Insulin signaling pathway, Neurotrophin signaling pathway and Estrogen signaling pathway (Fig 7A), which were more likely to get involved in the biological functions of releasing gonadotropin hormones from pituitary tissues during the timing of puberty; that is the pituitary responded to the hypothalamus and then released gonadotropin hormones to introduce the following response of ovary in HPG axis.
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