The effect of insecticide application by dropleg sprayers on pollen beetle parasitism in oilseed rape
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Abstract  Dropleg sprayers apply pesticides below the flower horizon of oilseed rape plants and thus reduce unwanted side effects on pollinating insects. Whether this technique benefits parasitoids of seed and pollen feeding insect pests has not been studied earlier. To answer this question, we first assessed the vertical distribution of pests and parasitoids using a portable aspirator. In addition, parasitism rates of pollen beetle, *Brassicogethes aeneus* Fabricius (Coleoptera: Nitidulidae), by the larval parasitoid *Tersilochus heterocerus* Thomson (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae) were compared in conventional and dropleg sprayed fields over four years (2016–2019), using the neonicotinoids thiacloprid and acetamiprid. Our results show that seed and pollen feeders were mainly found in the flowering canopy, while the predominant location of parasitoids was species-specific. Among pollen beetle parasitoids, *Phradis interstitialis* Thomson (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae) was more abundant below flowering canopy (63% of total catch), whereas *T. heterocerus* was mainly caught in the flowering canopy (84% of total catch). In the spraying experiments, average parasitism rates of pollen beetles by *T. heterocerus* ranged between 55 and 82% in the untreated controls. In the dropleg spray treatments, parasitism rates did not differ significantly from control levels, with the exception of thiacloprid application in 2019. In contrast, conventional spray applications resulted in a reduction of parasitism rates by up to 37% compared to the control for at least one of the insecticides in three out of four years. The impact of conventional application differed between years, which may be explained by the temporal coincidence between spray application and the immigration of parasitoids into the crop. We conclude that dropleg spraying exerts lower non-target effects on the main biological control agent of pollen beetle.
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Introduction

Due to concerns about declining insect populations in agricultural landscapes (Beckmann et al. 2019; Habel et al. 2016; Hallmann et al. 2017), there is considerable public and political will within the European Union to reduce pesticide applications and to improve integrated pest management strategies (European Commission 2020; Hatt and Osawa 2019). In this context, biological pest control by naturally occurring parasitoids and predators is an important ecosystem service that could possibly be enhanced by new application techniques such as dropleg sprayers. Droplegs are prolonged elastic nozzle holders that hang freely floating underneath the boom of the sprayer. Depending on the nozzle setting, the spray is directed towards the ground and sideways but avoids spraying the crop canopy. This technique was initially developed to improve fungicide applications in the lower vegetation layers of vegetables (Basil 2002; Rüegg and Total 2013). Searching for new applications, its use in flowering oilseed rape, *Brassica napus* L. (OSR), is under discussion. OSR is regularly treated with fungicides and insecticides during the period of flowering to prevent yield losses by the pathogen *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* (Lib.) de Bary and by seed damaging insects like cabbage seedpod weevil, *Ceutorhynchus obstrictus* Marsham (Coleoptera: Curculionidae), or brassica pod midge, *Dasineura brassicae* Winnertz (Diptera: Cecidomyiidae). The active substances used for these applications reflect the most frequent residues found in honey (Karise et al. 2017) and bee bread (Rosenkranz et al. 2019), since OSR is an important forage crop for honeybees and other pollinators such as bumble bees or solitary wild bees (Garratt et al. 2014; Hayter and Cresswell 2006; van Reeth et al. 2018; Westphal et al. 2003, 2009). In flowering OSR, below-canopy spraying with droplegs avoids direct exposure of pollinators with pesticides in the field. Dropleg application was also shown to decrease the level of pesticide residues of systemic and non-systemic compounds in nectar and pollen, because flowers are left unsprayed (Wallner 2014, 2015). These findings have been the main selling point for promoting the new technique in OSR. Field studies have also shown that the control of the pathogen *S. sclerotiorum* is not affected by the type of application technique (Dicke 2018; Weimar-Bosse et al. 2017). However, the efficacy of insecticides applied with dropleg technique against the seed damaging insect pests is slightly reduced (Hausmann et al. 2019).

In addition to pollinators, parasitoids are another important insect guild in OSR, as they contribute considerably to the biological control of pests (Abram et al. 2019; Kovács et al. 2019; Ulber et al. 2010b; Veromann et al. 2010). Hence, the question arose whether applying systemic neonicotinoid insecticides to the lower vegetation layers using dropleg sprayers (Hausmann et al. 2019) could target pests in the OSR canopy, while having no or reduced impact on their co-occurring parasitoids. Many parasitoids are abundant in the crop during the flowering period (Nissen 1997; Ulber et al. 2010a) and insecticide application in this period can have detrimental effects on the parasitism rates of OSR pests such as cabbage seedpod weevil (Murchie et al. 1997) or pollen beetle, *Brassicogethes aeneus* Fabricius (Coleoptera: Nitidulidae) (Jansen 2017; Neumann 2010). To estimate whether dropleg spraying avoids such detrimental effects on parasitoids, it is important to determine their vertical distribution in the crop. Beneficial effects of dropleg can be expected with those parasitoids that are predominantly in the flower canopy where they may not be exposed to pesticides. The first objective of this work was therefore to assess the vertical distribution of several pest species of OSR reproductive parts and their parasitoids in the different vegetation layers of the crop during flowering.

Based on these observations, the second objective of this study was to elucidate whether dropleg spraying could potentially benefit the biological control of pollen beetle by reducing non-target effects on its larval parasitoids. Adults of *B. aeneus* damage the buds of OSR and are the major pest during the green bud stage (Alford et al. 2003). The larvae develop inside the bud and drop to the ground for pupation after one month (Williams 2010). Since pollen beetles have developed widespread resistance against the insecticidal class of pyrethroids (Heimbach and Müller 2013), and are also less sensitive to neonicotinoids (IRAC 2019) chemical control options for farmers in Germany and other European countries have become more and more restricted, while biological control is gaining in importance. The most relevant and widespread parasitoids of the pollen beetle in winter OSR in Germany are *Tersilochus heterocerus* Thomson (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae) and *P. interstitialis*
Thomson (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae) (Ulber et al. 2010b). Both species are univoltine, koinobiont endoparasitoids of the larvae. The adults of these parasitoids overwinter in their pupal cocoons in the soil and, after emergence in spring, migrate to the current year’s OSR fields (Nilsson 2003). *Phradis interstitialis* often colonizes the crop in the bud stage of the plants. The arrival of *T. heterocerus* occurs 1–2 weeks later and coincides with the beginning of flowering. Female parasitoids are already sexually mature at this time and no maturation feeding is necessary (Nilsson 2003). However, at least *T. heterocerus* is known to feed on nectar during the flowering period of OSR (Rusch et al. 2013). This parasitoid species could be beneficial if neonicotinoids applied during this time are not ingested in high concentration with this food resource. Both parasitoid species differ in their temporal abundance, their host finding behaviour and their host stage preferences, indicating niche separation between species (Berger et al. 2015). Field experiments were conducted over a four-year period, comparing the effects of two systemic insecticides on pollen beetle parasitism by *T. heterocerus*, when applied conventionally or by dropleg technique. Our investigations ultimately focused on this species as, in contrast to *P. interstitialis*, *T. heterocerus* was the dominating parasitoid of pollen beetle that was mainly present in the flowering canopy. The strongest effect of dropleg application was therefore expected for this species.

With this work we provide further insight into the vertical distribution of pests and parasitoids in OSR crops and first evidence regarding the effects of dropleg spraying on the biological control of pollen beetle. Our results show that parasitism rates in dropleg sprayed fields were generally as high as in unsprayed control fields, or at least higher than in the conventional spray treatment, suggesting a potential benefit of this novel application technique for biological control under certain conditions.

### Materials and methods

#### Field sites

Experiments were conducted in 2016–2019 in four conventionally managed OSR fields near Braunschweig, Germany (52° 19’ 36.89” N, 10° 38’ 2.91” E). Meteorological data of the site were obtained from the 5 km distant weather station Braunschweig Flughafen of the Deutscher Wetterdienst (Fig. 1). A different field was used each year with field areas of 3.3 ha in 2016–2018 and 24 ha in 2019. The vertical distribution of insect pests and their parasitoids was studied in the years 2018 and 2019. Sampling was carried out in the same OSR field used for the dropleg spraying trial, but adjacent to the treated area (Supplementary Figure S1). As the damage threshold for pollen beetles (> ten beetles per main shoot in the bud stage) was exceeded in 2018 and 2019 the whole OSR crop was treated with contact insecticides Avaunt (a.i.: 25.5 g ha⁻¹ indoxacarb) and Trebon 30 EC (a.i.: 57.7 g ha⁻¹ etofenprox) on 11th April 2018 and 1st April 2019, respectively. The applications reflect common agricultural practice and were indispensable to ensure an undamaged development of the inflorescences. OSR is growing very rapidly from the bud stage (plant height of approx. 50 cm) to its final height (1.2–1.5 m) and all new plant tissues should be free of insecticide residues. Sampling for the assessments of the vertical distribution started 13 days after overall insecticide application (DAA) in 2018 and 7 DAA in 2019. However, in 2019 parasitoid immigration was not observed until 21 days after application. Thus, an influence of insecticide residues on the vertical distribution of insects at this time is unlikely but cannot be ruled out entirely.

#### Assessment of the vertical distribution of insects

Insects were sampled from the end of bud stage to the beginning of pod stage [BBCH 58-72, (Lancashire et al. 1991)]. In 2018, insects were collected on 13 sampling dates between 24th April (BBCH 59/60) and 17th May (BBCH 72) and in 2019 on 15 sampling dates between 8th April (BBCH 58) and 30th May (BBCH 72). All assessments were carried out in dry or dewy crop stands as frequently as possible, thereby focussing on the main flowering period. Timing of the sampling was flexible to cover any diurnal patterns. Suction sampling was conducted by using a modified leaf vacuum sampler (STIHL SH 85C, nominal maximum air flow rate: 625 m³ h⁻¹; diameter of the aspiration port: 20 cm). Insects were collected at two different heights, namely in the “flowering canopy” and “below canopy”. In the treatment “flowering canopy”, the aspiration port was guided at a slow
walking pace through the upper layer of flowers and buds along a 5 m transect. In the topmost layer, the port was held almost horizontally and orthogonal to the direction of movement at a distance of approximately 1 m from the experimenter’s body. In the treatment “below canopy”, suction samples were collected from stems and leaves at 30 cm below the flowering canopy including buds, flowers and pods. In this case, the aspiration port was held approximately 1 m in front of the experimenter’s body in the direction of movement. This was done to prevent catching any insects that respond to vibrations by dropping from buds and flowers. One sample each from the flowering canopy and the below flowering canopy was taken from two adjacent 5 m transects. This was repeated at four randomly selected locations in the field at each sampling date. All field samples were taken by the same person to minimize individual variability. Since OSR branches out during pod set, small pathways through the field (width 0.5 m, treated with glyphosate at the beginning of vegetation period) and tramlines (pathways used by machines) through the OSR field were used for sampling. A minimum distance of 25 m from the field border and 6 m from the dropleg trial area was adhered. Insect samples were collected in linen bags and then frozen at -20 °C. The frozen insects were separated from petals and plant material, counted and stored in 70% ethanol. All insect pests of OSR, as well as their key parasitoids, were identified at species level using keys by Ferguson et al. (2010), Vidal (2003) and in addition Muller et al. (2007) for the chalcid wasps. Regarding the species T. heterocerus and P. interstitialis, only the females were identified. This had ecological reasons, since males are abundant at high densities especially at the field borders and only the females are important for larval parasitism. Moreover, it is not trivial to identify males at species level.

Fig. 1 Average daily temperatures (°C) from 15th April to 20th May in the years 2016–2019. The arrows indicate the date of insecticide applications in the dropleg spraying trial in the specific year. The horizontal line indicates the basic temperature for flight activity of T. heterocerus. Data source: Deutscher wetterdienst, station: Braunschweig flughafen
Field trials comparing effects of conventional and dropleg spraying

The trials were designed in a completely randomized block design with four replicates and five different treatments, including an untreated control. The distance between plots was 1 m (Table 1, for further information see also Hausmann et al. 2019). Insecticides were applied once per year at full flowering, using both conventional spraying and dropleg spraying technique (Lechler 2020). Biscaya (a.i.: 72 g thiacloprid ha$^{-1}$, Bayer Crop Science) was applied in each year, while Mospilan SG (a.i.: 40 g acetamiprid ha$^{-1}$, FMC Agricultural Solutions) was sprayed in the years 2016 and 2017 and Mospilan SL (a.i.: 42 g acetamiprid ha$^{-1}$, FMC Agricultural Solutions) in 2018 and 2019. Both active ingredients are neonicotinoids (IRAC 2020) and have systemic properties. The rest of the field was not treated with insecticides in the flowering period. The larvae of pollen beetles were collected using six white water trays per plot (Backs, Rehbuck, 60 × 10 × 10 cm) placed on the ground between the plant rows in the centre of each plot, 1 h before insecticide application. The trays were filled with a 10% (w/v) solution of sodium benzoate in water and were emptied weekly, beginning one day after the application until the end of June (BBCH 80). Pollen beetle larvae were counted and stored in 70% ethanol at plot level. To determine parasitisation by T. heterocerus, the larvae were dissected and examined for parasitoid eggs (see Brandes et al. 2018, and Hausmann et al. 2019). The number of larvae examined varied between years and sampling dates within a year (Supplementary Table S1). Parasitism by T. heterocerus was chosen because it is the most abundant parasitoid of B. aeneus in the area of Braunschweig (Brandes et al. 2018; Ulber et al. 2010b). In the year 2016, 160 larvae per treatment and sampling date were assessed. Due to low levels of pollen beetle infestation in 2017 larval numbers ranging between 229 and 527 individuals per treatment were pooled over three sampling dates. In 2018 and 2019 at least 200 larvae per treatment were assessed for each sampling date.

### Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using R (version 3.6.1) (R Core Team 2019) in the graphical user interface R Studio. The vertical distribution of insect pests and their parasitoids in the crop layer is presented as stacked bar plot based on the counts of each species over all sampling dates and years in the flowering canopy and the below flowering canopy. Independence of the pest species observations and crop layer was tested using a $\chi^2$ test. For the parasitoid species observations Fisher’s exact test was used to test independence from crop layer.

The parasitism rates of pollen beetle larvae by T. heterocerus were analysed separately for each year, because our aim was to present differences between insecticide treatments but not differences between years. A generalized linear model (GLM) explaining

| Table 1 | Design of the dropleg trials from 2016 to 2019 with applied insecticides, application dates and crop growth stages |
|-------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Trial design** | Randomized complete block design (RCBD), 4 replicates |
| **Treatments** | Untreated control |
| | Conventional Biscaya |
| | Conventional Mospilan SG |
| | Conventional Mospilan SL |
| | Dropleg Biscaya |
| | Dropleg Mospilan SG |
| | Dropleg Mospilan SL |
| **Date of application** | 10/05/2016 | 15/05/2017 | 02/05/2018 | 30/04/2019 |
| **Growth stage** | 65 | 65–67 | 64 | 65 |
| **Plot size (m$^2$)** | 240 (12 × 20 m) | 300 (12 × 25 m) | 240 (12 × 20 m) | 540 (27 × 20 m) |

Insecticides were applied at a driving speed of 7 km h$^{-1}$ with 300 l ha$^{-1}$ water. Weather was clear and wind speed was about 1.5–2.2 m s$^{-1}$ except from 2016 (3.4–3.9 m s$^{-1}$). All insecticides were applied at recommended field rates.
the parasitism rate of pollen beetle larvae by the treatment, the sampling date, the interaction between treatment and sampling date and the block was fitted using a logit link function. A binomial error distribution was assumed and models were tested for overdispersion. The full model including all variables and interactions was systematically compared with the reduced models via the AICc. The model with the lowest AICc value was chosen as it indicates the best fit (Burnham and Anderson 2002). The effect of different variables by was tested with an ANOVA. For model diagnostics the residuals were plotted against the predicted values and the explanatory variables. If some of the variables showed significance, a post-hoc analysis was done by performing a Tukey test at an α level of 0.05 using the package emmeans (Lenth et al. 2018). The R-script for the parasitism data is available on demand. Figures were created using the package ggplot2 (Wickham 2016). Regression lines were generated using the loess (locally estimated scatterplot smoothing) method implemented in the package.

Results

Vertical distribution of pests and parasitoids in the crop

Results on the vertical distribution of cabbage seedpod weevil (C. obstrictus), brassica pod midge (D. brassicaceae) and pollen beetle (B. aeneus) are shown as stacked bar plot (Fig. 2a). The location of the three pest species in the crop was not independent from the crop layer ($\chi^2 = 53.53, df = 2, p < 0.001$). Dasineura brassicaceae and C. obstrictus were mainly found in the flowering canopy. Brassocotethis aeneus was caught most frequently and was present only in 68% of catches in the flowering canopy. Compared to C. obstrictus and D. brassicaceae, B. aeneus was found significantly more often below the flowering canopy.

Different parasitoid species were detected during the flowering period in OSR (Fig. 2b). The pollen beetle parasitoid, T. heterocerus, was the most abundant species. Females of this parasitoid were mainly found in the flowering canopy of the crop. Only 16% of all specimen collected were observed below flowering canopy. The second key parasitoid of the pollen beetle, P. interstitialis, was less abundant and occurred predominantly below flowering canopy (63%). Three larval parasitoid species of the cabbage seed weevil C. obstrictus were caught by suction sampling. These were, in decreasing order, Trichomalus perfectus Walker, Mesopolobus morys Walker and Stenomalina gracilis Walker (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae). Trichomalus perfectus was disproportionately more frequent below canopy, whereas M. morys was found almost exclusively in the flowering canopy. The tersilochine parasitoids of stem weevils (Ceutorhynchus pallidactylus Marsham and Ceutorhynchus napi Gyllenhaal, Coleoptera: Curculionidae) could not be identified at species level. They were mostly sampled in the vegetation layer below the flowering canopy of the crop. The distribution of the parasitoid species sampled was not independent from the crop layer ($p < 0.001$, Fisher’s exact test).

Temporal abundance of pollen beetle parasitoids

In 2018, monitoring was initiated at the end of bud stage (BBCH 59) on 24th April. At this time, immigration of parasitoids had already started as P. interstitialis and T. heterocerus were caught on the first sampling date (Fig. 3). In 2019, the development of inflorescences was earlier and sampling started at the end of bud stage (BBCH 58) in the second week of April. First females of P. interstitialis were caught below flowering canopy on the 22nd April at the beginning of flowering (BBCH 62). The first females of T. heterocerus were trapped three days later when the crop had reached almost the full flowering stage (BBCH 64). The main period of activity for T. heterocerus was at full flowering in 2018, and in this year 93% of all individuals were found in the flowering canopy. By contrast, only 64% of all T. heterocerus specimen were present in the flowering canopy in the following year. The abundance was lower and the period of activity was about one month, without a clear peak. P. interstitialis was less numerous in both years and occurred during the whole
Parasitism of pollen beetle larvae in field trials using dropleg technique

The average density of pollen beetle larvae dropping to the ground for pupation was lowest in 2017 (443 ± SE 44 larvae m\(^{-2}\)) and increased up to 6857 (± 648) larvae m\(^{-2}\) in 2019. The number of larvae per m\(^2\) varied between the different blocks within a given year. Number of larvae in the untreated control plots was not correlated with *T. heterocerus* parasitism rates in these plots (*r* = − 0.15, *df* = 14, *p* = 0.578).

The parasitism rate of pollen beetle larvae by *T. heterocerus* in the untreated control increased from 56% (± 3%) in the year 2016 up to 82% (± 1%) in 2018 and fell back to 55% (± 2%) in 2019 (Fig. 4).

**Fig. 2** Vertical distribution of **a** insect pests and **b** parasitoids of insect pests in oilseed rape, sampled with a portable aspirator during the flowering period in 2018 and 2019. Bars show the sampling period in both years. Figures about the temporal abundance of the pest species can be found in the Supplementary Fig. S2.
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all years, parasitism rates by *T. heterocerus* in the dropleg Mospilan treatment was not significantly different from the control, while the dropleg Biscaya treatment showed a significantly lower parasitism rate only in 2019 ($44\% \pm 2\%$, $p = 0.0123$; dropleg Mospilan $52\% \pm 2\%$, $p < 0.0001$). The conventional Mospilan treatment significantly reduced the parasitism rate of pollen beetles in 2018 ($74\% \pm 2\%$) in comparison to the control ($parasitism rate 82\% \pm 1\%, p = 0.0069$) and the dropleg Mospilan treatment ($parasitism rate 81\% \pm 3\%, p = 0.0288$). The same was found in 2019 with $37\% \pm 2\%$ parasitism in conventional Mospilan treatment (control $p < 0.0001$; dropleg Mospilan $p < 0.0001$). Results on average parasitism rates and multiparasitism by *Phradis* in four years of field trials are presented in the Supplementary Table S2.

**Discussion**

After insecticide application by using dropleg technique the flowering canopy of the crop is left unsprayed and the residue levels of the pesticide in nectar and pollen are relatively low compared to conventional spray application (Wallner 2014; Weimar-Bosse et al. 2017). In our study, we tested whether dropleg technique is suitable to avoid non-target effects on parasitoids. Therefore, the vertical distribution of insect pests and parasitoids was studied during the flowering period of OSR. Our results demonstrate that both the seed damaging pest insects *C. obstrictus* and *D. brassicae*, as well as the bud damaging beetle *B. aeneus*, are active predominately in the flowering canopy (Fig. 2a). The latter was the most frequent insect pest in our study (55% of all insect pest catches) and was partially found below canopy (32% of all pollen beetle catches). This might be explained because females of *B. aeneus* feed on pollen and lay their eggs in medium sized, closed buds of OSR (Hervé et al. 2015). In OSR the main raceme is the first to produce flowers (Tayo and Morgan 1975). At this growth stage (BBCH 61-65), the side branches are still at a lower height and in the bud stage, which renders them suitable for oviposition and might attract *B. aeneus* beetles (Supplementary Figure S2).

The parasitoid species of OSR herbivores found in our study differed significantly in their vertical
The distribution (Fig. 2b). The most frequently caught parasitoid species were *T. heterocerus* (56% of all parasitoid catches) and *P. interstitialis* (16% of all parasitoid catches), both of which parasitize the larvae of *B. aeneus*. The two parasitoid species can avoid competition as *P. interstitialis* parasitizes eggs and *L* 1 larvae in the buds, whereas *T. heterocerus* prefers *L* 2 larvae in the open flowers (Berger et al. 2015; Nilsson 2003). The latter is also known to feed on nectar during flowering of OSR (Rusch et al. 2013). This is in agreement with the vertical distribution pattern of these two parasitoids shown in our study, as *T. heterocerus* was found predominantly in the flowering canopy, while *P. interstitialis* was instead found below flowering canopy on most sampling dates. These findings are in agreement with previous studies, in which parasitoids were caught in yellow water traps that were placed at soil level and at top height of the flowering canopy (Neumann 2010; Nitzsche 1998).

*Tersilochus heterocerus* was mainly found at top height, while females of *P. interstitialis* were equally distributed at crop height and soil level (Neumann 2010). Overall, these observations fit the niche separation hypothesis (Berger et al. 2015) as both parasitoid species, although competing for the same host, use their environment and resources differently. Based on these results, we hypothesized that the pollen beetle parasitoid *T. heterocerus* should benefit most from the new dropleg technique. Although effects on other parasitoid species have not been assessed in this study, we assume that the pollen beetle parasitoid *T. heterocerus* should benefit most from the new dropleg technique. Although effects on other parasitoid species have not been assessed in this study, we assume that the pollen beetle parasitoid *T. heterocerus* should benefit most from the new dropleg technique. Although effects on other parasitoid species have not been assessed in this study, we assume that the pollen beetle parasitoid *T. heterocerus* should benefit most from the new dropleg technique.

**Fig. 4** Boxplots showing the median value (solid line), the 25th and 75th percentile of parasitism rates of pollen beetle larvae by *T. heterocerus* per replicate and sampling date in the years 2016–2019; the error bars below and above the box indicate the 10th and 90th percentile, respectively. Additional, calculated means ± SE obtained from the best model are shown. Treatments with different letters within a given year differ significantly from each other (*p* < 0.05). Numbers below the boxplots show the total number of larvae investigated per treatment and year.
the dropleg application technique on these species seems therefore unlikely.

In four years field trials, we tested the hypothesis that dropleg spraying causes less side-effects on *T. heterocerus*. The rate of pollen beetle larvae parasitized by *T. heterocerus* in the untreated control in all four years exceeded 50%, which is high enough to have a significant impact on pollen beetle population development (Hokkanen 2008; Jansen 2017). The parasitism rates in treatments with dropleg technique never differed from the unsprayed control with the exception of the dropleg Biscaya treatment in 2019, where a significantly lower parasitism rate was found. On the other hand, in 2016–2019, four out of eight insecticide treatments, using conventional spray application, significantly reduced parasitism rates compared to the control.

Since conventional insecticide application greatly reduced the number of pollen beetle larvae in the crop, it is possible that lower host densities could explain the decreased parasitism rates, when compared to the untreated plots. However, other studies found no relationship between host density and parasitism rates in pollen beetle parasitoids (Ferguson et al. 2003; Kaasik et al. 2014). Furthermore, in our control plots we observed no interaction between parasitism rates and larval densities over the four years. Hence, we assume that direct toxic effects of the insecticides on adult parasitoids rather than reduced host density caused lower parasitism rates in the conventional treatments.

Strikingly, the effects of the conventional application in our field trials were quite variable between years and also between the two neonicotinoid insecticides. The persistence of insecticide activity in the field can be affected by environmental conditions such as temperature, air humidity and UV light (Holmstrup et al. 2010). Higher post-exposure temperatures can increase the toxicity of acetamiprid to psyllids and green lacewings (Boina et al. 2009; Mansoor et al. 2015). The differences between the insecticide effects in our study therefore might be related to different temperature regimes in individual years (Fig. 1). In 2018, insecticides were applied in the field trial at 10:00 AM at approximately 20 °C and the following days were warm and sunny. In 2019, however, the application was carried out in the evening at approx. 16 °C, with low temperatures on the following days (Fig. 1). Such different conditions may possibly explain why Mospilan in 2018 showed a stronger impact on larval parasitoids than Biscaya.

Furthermore, temperature and environmental conditions also determine the time of immigration of parasitoids from their place of emergence into the new crop (Johnen et al. 2010; Nilsson 2003), which was recorded by sampling with a portable aspirator around the dropleg field trials in 2018 and 2019 (Fig. 3a). *Tersilochus heterocerus* starts to migrate when the average daily temperature exceeds 10 °C, combined with sunshine and a maximum daily temperature above 14 °C (Johnen et al. 2010). This was the case at the beginning of OSR flowering in both years. In 2018, migration into the field was within a few days and the main period of activity was during full bloom. In the field trial, the insecticides were applied at the peak of *T. heterocerus* abundance. However, its main activity period started already one week before (Fig. 3a). In contrast, due to lower temperatures (Fig. 1), the flowering period in 2019 was much longer and the abundance of *T. heterocerus* increased only slowly without showing a specific peak. The insecticide application was conducted during the first wave of immigration, leading to a significant reduction of parasitism rates by conventional spraying. In 2018, the average rate of pollen beetle larvae parasitized by *T. heterocerus* was as high as 80% six days after application. In 2019, parasitism rate was only 24% at day 9 after application. Thus, the temporal coincidence of insecticide application and an increasing abundance of *T. heterocerus* may have resulted in greater effects in the year 2019 compared to 2018. It is likely that the insecticides did not have any impact in the year 2016, because the warm days preceding the application (Fig. 1) had already allowed the parasitoids to nearly complete egg laying. Similar findings were presented by Brandes et al. (2018), who investigated the effects of insecticide applications during the bud stage (BBCH 55) or the flowering stage (BBCH 62-65) of OSR on parasitism rates of pollen beetle larvae by *T. heterocerus*. The authors were unable to detect larval parasitism by *T. heterocerus* before BBCH 65 and insecticide applications at this growth stage tended to reduce parasitism rates compared to applications at the bud stage (BBCH 55). Overall, the results indicate that the timing of an insecticide application is a major factor influencing the side effects on parasitoids. However, detrimental
effects of insecticides on *T. heterocerus* were also moderated by using the dropleg technique.

In conclusion, many parasitoid species are abundant in high numbers during the bloom of OSR. The vertical distribution patterns of parasitoid species in the crop showed that many species prefer a specific crop layer, presumably because of niche separation between species based on the distribution of their hosts. Although parasitism rates of pollen beetle larvae varied between years, our data revealed that only in a single year dropleg spraying affected parasitism rates by the most important parasitoid of pollen beetle larvae. This was in contrast to conventional application, which had a stronger detrimental impact on *T. heterocerus*. Whether other parasitoid species might benefit from dropleg application needs further investigation. Additionally, the trade-off between a somewhat lower efficacy of insecticides applied with dropleg technique against pests and the reduced side effects on beneficial parasitoids should be evaluated under real-world farming conditions. Overall, our study suggests that, to some extent, dropleg spray application can reduce non-target effects of neonicotinoid insecticides on the most important parasitoid of pollen beetle.
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