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Abstract

Background: The correction of attenuation effects in Positron Emission Tomography (PET) imaging is fundamental to obtain a correct radiotracer distribution. However, direct measurement of this attenuation map is not error-free and normally results in additional ionization radiation dose to the patient. Here, we propose to obtain the whole body attenuation map using a 3D U-Net generative adversarial network. The network is trained to learn the mapping from non-attenuation corrected 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose PET images to a synthetic Computerized Tomography (sCT) and also to label the input voxel tissue. The sCT image is further refined using an adversarial training scheme to recover higher frequency details and lost structures using context information. This work is trained and tested on public available datasets, containing several PET images from different scanners with different radiotracer administration and reconstruction modalities. The network is trained with 108 samples and validated on 10 samples.

Results: The sCT generation was tested on 133 samples from 8 distinct datasets. The resulting mean absolute error of the network is 103 ± 18 HU and a peak signal to noise ratio of 18.6 ± 1.5 dB. The generated images show good correlation with the unknown structural information.

Conclusions: The proposed deep learning topology is capable of generating whole body attenuation maps from uncorrected PET image data. Moreover, the method accuracy holds in the presence of data from multiple sources and modalities and is trained on publicly available datasets.

Keywords: Attenuation Correction; Deep Learning; Generative Models; Positron Emission Tomography

Background

The correct estimation of attenuation correction maps of positron emission tomography (PET) images is fundamental to their correct reconstruction, but direct measurement of this map means additional ionization radiation dose to the patient. A safer approach to obtain this information is to use image analysis methods. These methods create an attenuation structure from other image modality, such as Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) studies or the Non Attenuation Corrected PET (NAC-PET) image. This image translation is specially difficult in whole-body NAC-PET images, since the information it presents is incomplete. In this scenario,
where the translation process also needs to fill information blanks, the generative adversarial networks (GANs) are specially powerful.

The application of GANs in image to image translation tasks has been successfully exploited in many medical imaging domains, including PET attenuation map synthesizing. However, most methods of attenuation map generation analyze the MRI to CT translation using convolutional networks [1] and GANs with paired [2] and unpaired data [3], requiring a co-registered MRI image which contains anatomical information that is not present in NAC-PET images. The PET (and NAC-PET) to Computed Tomography (CT) image translation remains as one of the less explored domains. The studies in this particular domain focus on PET-CT image translation of corrected images on head scans. Liu [4] proposes using a 2D U-Net architecture to translate NAC-PET head scans to CT, showing promising results in head region scans. Armanious [2] proposes a general GAN application composed of a cascaded 2D U-Net generator and a discriminator used to evaluate the perceptual loss and style of the generated image. They show the capability of the topology to translate PET scans to CT, using only axial slices and, again, only for head region scans. Both methods provide no information on their capability for whole-body image translation which is a harder problem to solve, given that the possible modes in the attenuation structures is larger. Solving this problem is essential to the application of this technique in the praxis.

We propose to use a fully 3D GAN topology with mixed loss in order to generate high quality whole body CT images from NAC-PET images. Given that, the dimensionality of the 3D volumes is comparable to the generation of high resolution 2D images. Therefore we perform a two step training, starting with supervised training of labels and then we add an adversarial loss block to enhance the image resolution. This results in faster convergence and lower computational cost. Our model is trained on a public available data-set from the Cancer Image Archive [5], the data-set contains series of registered CT, PET and NAC-PET scans of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) [6]. We use 8 different datasets for the testing process, containing 5 different types of carcinomas and scanners from multiple manufacturers.

**Methods**

In this section the topology of the network is presented, followed by the implementation of the loss function and implementation details.

**Topology Description**

The network topology is composed of a 3D U-Net generator and a convolutional critic (or discriminator). An additional segmentation branch is used to regularize the training. Nevertheless, the adversarial loss gradient flow is limited to the last part of the network.

**Generator:**

The initial section of the generator is a 3D U-Net topology [7], after which the network forks into two branches. The model representation can be seen in Fig. 1.
The first branch is used for segmentation, it is composed of three convolutional layers and ends in a softmax layer. The second branch is responsible for the synthetic CT (sCT) generation, it is composed of a convolutional layer with an hyperbolic tangent activation and the GAN layers. The outputs of the U-Net are merged and processed by the GAN layers. These are a collection of 5 convolutional layers with 8 filters each, used during the adversarial training. All convolutional operations use a filter of size $3 \times 3 \times 3$ except the output layer which use a $1 \times 1 \times 1$ filter. The network posses 5 resolution levels, each of them composed of two convolutional layers with filter shape of $3 \times 3 \times 3$ and Rectified Linear Unit (ReLU) activation. Each resolution level posses an skip connection between the down-sample and up-sample path. Instead of convolutional resampling the resolution changes are performed using trilinear up- or down-sampling. After each convolutional layer we apply a voxel normalization along feature maps, dividing each voxel value by $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} (v_{x,y,z}^i)^2 + e$, where $N$ is the number of channels in the feature map, $v_{x,y,z}^i$ is the voxel value of the $i^{th}$ voxel in the position $(x, y, z)$ and $e = 1.0 \times 10^{-8}$. We also apply at each convolutional layer, a scaling factor to the filter kernel based on He’s [8] scaled initialization of weights.

**Critic:**
The critic or discriminator network is a fully convolutional network with ReLU activation in all layers, only the last layer has no activation. The input of this network is a two channel volume composed of the NAC-PET volume and the real or sCT image. The output of the network is a value proportional to quality value of the generated image. The network is conformed by 4 resolution levels with two convolutional layers per level. Each convolution has a filter size of $3 \times 3 \times 3$ and ReLU activation. No batch or pixel normalization is applied. The last two layers of the critic are a flatten operation followed by a single dense layer with linear output.
Training Scheme

The training of the network is divided into two stages: first the generator network is trained in a supervised manner using a composed loss. The segmentation branch of the network applies a 3D-DICE as shown in (1),

\[
L_D = \frac{1}{N_c} \sum_{c=0}^{N_c} \left( \frac{2 \sum_{i} p_{i,c} g_{i,c}}{\sum_{i} p_{i,c}^2 + \sum_{i} g_{i,c}^2} \right),
\]

where \( N_c \) is the number of objective classes, \( N_v \) the number of voxels in the volume, \( g_{i,c} \) are the voxels of the ground truth and \( p_{i,c} \) the values of the softmaxed output of the network. The dice loss ranges from 0 to 1. It produces its maximum value when all voxels of the ground truth (\( g_{i,c} \)) have the same value as the softmaxed output voxels (\( p_{i,c} \)). Since the output is softmaxed the denominator of (1) is always larger than its numerator except when \( g_{i,c} \) and \( p_{i,c} \) are identical. In the case of a multi-class problem (\( N_c > 1 \)) the final value is divided by the number of classes.

The CT synthetization branch, up to the GAN layers, is trained using as loss function the euclidean distance between the sCT and the objective CT image, \( L_e = ||s, r||^2 \), where \( s \) is the sCT and \( r \) is the real CT volume. The loss for the supervised training stage is shown in (2),

\[
L_{\text{gen}}^{\text{sup.}} = (1 - L_D) + K_e L_e,
\]

where \( K_e \) is a coupling constant. After the initial training, the adversarial training starts. The adversarial training uses the Wasserstein-GAN (W-GAN) strategy [9], resulting in a generator loss as shown in (3),

\[
L_W = -f_c(f_g(x)),
\]

where \( f_c() \) is the critic network function, \( f_g() \) is the generator network function and \( x \) is the input NAC-PET image. During the adversarial training the GAN layers become active and are trained using the W-GAN loss. The gradient of the GAN does not flow into the 3D U-Net layers. The critic is trained using a coupled pairs of NAC-PET and CT images, real or fake. It is trained using the wasserstein loss shown in (4),

\[
L_{\text{crit.}}^{\text{GAN}} = f_c(r) - f_c(f_g(x)) + \lambda G_p(s,r),
\]

where \( G_p \) is the gradient penalty [10] and \( \lambda = 10.0 \). The critic is trained 5 steps for each generator step. At the initial step of the GAN training stage the critic is optimally trained before starting the GAN training loop. The generators are trained using an Adaptive Moment Estimation (ADAM) optimizer with parameters \( \beta_1 = 0.0, \beta_2 = 0.99 \) and \( \epsilon = 1.0 \times 10^8 \) and learning rate \( lr = 0.0001 \). The discriminator uses a RMSprop optimizer with learning rate \( lr = 0.0005 \).
Results

In this section, we first introduce the train dataset and the preprocessing operations, then we present the test datasets and finally, the test metrics and the results are given.

Train Dataset Description

The HNSCC dataset is composed of a series of registered CT, PET and NAC-PET scans of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. The dataset is first stripped of all samples that do not contain PET, NAC-PET and CT matched samples. Then the matched samples are tested for overlapping and cropped to contain only axial slices with information from all the image types. After the dataset is clean, we normalize the image size to a $128 \times 128 \times 256$ voxels FoV, with a voxel size of $5.46 \times 5.46 \times 5.08$ mm$^3$. The final dataset contains 118 images from 71 different patients, from which 7 patients (10 images) where separated as validation dataset. Before feeding each sample to the network, the volume is randomly sliced into a $128 \times 128 \times 32$ volume and the input NAC-PET voxels values are randomly shifted by a 10% and re normalized.

Objective CT normalization:
The objective CT must be free of the couch structures, this task is performed using a method based on the voxel variance along the axial axis [11]. Then the image dynamic range is clipped between $-125$ and 1300 Housfield Units (HU) and normalized between 0 and 1, to maximize the distance between soft and bone tissue.

Label Generation:
Four labels classes are extracted from the couch stripped CT image using a voxel value threshold and open and closing filters. The Air-Lung mask values ranges from $-1000$ HU to $-125$ HU, the Fluids-Fat mask ranges from $-125$ HU to 10 HU, the Parenchyma mask ranges from 10 HU to 90 HU and the Bone mask ranges from 90 HU to 1300 HU.

Test Datasets Description

The test datasets are series of public dataset, also from TCIA, including different types of lesions, patients and scanner technologies. These datasets were cleaned from non matching samples and re normalized to fit voxel size expected by the network, resulting in 133 test samples: 73 from the Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer (NSCLC) [12] dataset, 25 from The Cancer Genome Atlas - Head-Neck Squamous Cell Carcinoma (TCGA-HNSC) [13], 20 from The Cancer Genome Atlas Lung Adenocarcinoma (TCGA-LUAD) [14], 1 from The Cancer Genome Atlas - Thyroid Cancer (TCGA-THCA) [15], 4 from Clinical Proteomic Tumor Analysis Consortium - Lung Adenocarcinoma (CPTAC-LUAD) [16], 1 from Clinical Proteomic Tumor Analysis Consortium - Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma (CPTAC-PDA) [17], 3 from Clinical Proteomic Tumor Analysis Consortium - Uterine Corpus Endometrial Carcinoma (CPTAC-UCEC) [18] and 6 from Clinical Proteomic Tumor Analysis Consortium - Lung Squamous Cell Carcinoma (CPTAC-LSCC)[19].
During the testing procedure the NAC-PET is fed in consecutive slices of $128 \times 128 \times 32$ voxels. The intensity is re-scaled to $[0,1]$ for each slice. The resulting sCT slices are composed into a single volume using a weighted average operation.

**Experimental Results**

The network was tested on the quality of the generated CT images using three different metrics, Peak Signal to Noise Ratio (PSNR), Mean Absolute Error (MAE) and Normalized Cross Correlation (NCC). The metrics are presented in the Fig. 2, each of the box plots corresponds to the metrics of the 3D U-Net and GAN on the different test sets. The datasets are presented by source since the number of samples of the individual datasets is too small in some cases.

An ablation test was done to assess the influence of the different network properties. Five different networks were trained for the same amount of epochs and have the same number of parameters. The baseline network has no supervised loss (shown in E1. 2), the adversarial gradient is allowed through the U-Net and is pre-trained. The code *Sup.* indicates supervised loss during GAN training, *(No) Pre-Train* indicates whether or not the network has a supervised pre-train step, *No GAN.Loss* indicates no adversarial training and *RestrictedGrad.* indicates that the adversarial gradient...
is not allowed into the U-Net. The results of the study using the PSNR, MAE and MCC metrics of all datasets is summarized in table 1.

| Topology                  | Dataset   | PSNR $[\text{dB}]$ | MAE $[\text{HU}]$ | NCC $[-]$ |
|---------------------------|-----------|---------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| Baseline                  | Validation| 18.3 ± 1.36         | 121 ± 20.0         | 0.64 ± 0.076 |
|                           | Testing   | 17.3 ± 1.46         | 133 ± 21.9         | 0.60 ± 0.074 |
| Sup. Pre-Train            | Validation| 19.6 ± 1.25         | 92.2 ± 14.1        | 0.75 ± 0.058 |
|                           | Testing   | 18.3 ± 1.41         | 105 ± 19.8         | 0.70 ± 0.066 |
| Sup. No Pre-Train         | Validation| 17.5 ± 1.76         | 147 ± 38.3         | 0.54 ± 0.14  |
|                           | Testing   | 17.0 ± 1.37         | 143 ± 24.9         | 0.57 ± 0.082 |
| Sup. Pre-Train No GAN.Loss.| Validation| 21.0 ± 1.49         | 80.3 ± 13.4        | 0.80 ± 0.050 |
|                           | Testing   | 19.3 ± 1.68         | 96.8 ± 20.4        | 0.76 ± 0.064 |
| Sup. Pre-Train RestrictedGrad.| Validation| 19.9 ± 1.35         | 88.9 ± 10.5        | 0.76 ± 0.046 |
|                           | Testing   | 18.6 ± 1.49         | 103 ± 18.5         | 0.72 ± 0.059 |

Table 1 Validation (10 samples) and testing (133 samples) scores for topologies with additional features. Supervised loss (Sup.), pre-train step (Pre-Train), no adversarial training (No GAN.Loss.) and adversarial gradient restricted to last layers (RestrictedGrad.). The presented network is in bold text.

Three samples from the test datasets can be seen in Fig. 3, Fig. 4, and Fig. 5, two with the patients arms elevated over the head (arms up) and other with the patients arms positioned at the side (arms down). These images correspond to the NSCLC Radiogenomics, CPTAC-PDA and TCGA-HNSC datasets respectively. In the sub-figures (b,h) are the sCT images generated using only the supervised loss shown in Eq. 2 and in sub-figures (c,i), are the sCT images generated using the adversarial loss shown in Eq. 3.

Discussion

Our technique shows to be resistant to multiple reconstruction techniques and scanners technologies, as shown by the test metrics in Fig. 2. The basic 3D U-Net topology generates synthetic attenuation correction images with a PSNR of 19.3±1.68 dB, a MAE of 96.7 ± 20.4 HU and NCC of 0.76 ± 0.064. The addition of the GAN layers achieve a PSNR of 18.6 ± 1.45 dB, a MAE of 103.2 ± 18.53 HU and NCC of 0.72 ± 0.059. These scores are obtained on test samples from different scanners, patients and lesions, showing that our technique can be used on multiple sources. The adversarial loss enables the network to learn higher frequency details, as shown in Fig. 3. It can be seen that the supervised network is unable to generate fine bone structures (see Fig. 3(f)) whereas the GAN trained network shows a more complete structure, including part of the rib cage and the sacrum and coccyx. Nevertheless these improvements are not reflected in the performance of the metrics, resulting in lower but not significantly important changes when compared to the base 3D U-Net. This illustrates the limits of simplistic metrics to reflect anatomic improvements in the image generation process. It is expected that more specific losses based on learnt representations could reflect this improvement [20].
Figure 3 Input NAC-PET (a,g), 3D-U-Net sCT (b,e,h), 3D GAN sCT (c,f,i) and reference CT (d,j,k) coronal (a,b,c,d), sagital (g,h,i,j) and 3D renders of bone tissue (e,f,k) of AMC-009 from NSCLC Radiogenomics. The improvement of the GAN can be seen in lower blurring between images (b, c) and (h, i). In the 3D render, the GAN network (f) is able to generate further details than the 3D U-Net (e) , when compared to the ground truth (k).

The U-Net and the adversarial networks fail to generalize the upper section of the body where less training data were available. This is also reflected in the arms, that appear in different positions inside the dataset. This could be mitigated by anatomically matching the training data and train region specific networks. Further improvement in this direction will be to train the network using a full-size intermediate space to map each anatomical section, such as the intermediate representations presented in [21] and [22]. The current sCT generation can also be used as prior on attenuation reconstruction techniques such as the maximum-likelihood reconstruction of attenuation and activity (MLAA) [23, 24] single scatter modelling [25]. These techniques can potentially eliminate artefacts from the generated attenuation maps, such as the CT contrast in the stomach observed in Fig. 5(d) that is not present in Fig 5(b) nor in Fig 5(b), however its effect is clearly seen in Fig. 5(a).

Recently, cycle-GAN architectures were proposed for NAC-PET to CT translation [26] on controlled dose administration and reconstruction procedures for the Discovery 690 PET/CT scanner (General Electric) with time of flight capabilities. They achieve a MAE of $108.4 \pm 19.1$ HU in the reconstruction of the CT image. In a later work they provide proof of the capacity of sCT images to be used as attenuation correction maps [27]. Their results are within our accuracy but no direct comparison was possible since their data is private. We consider that is important to posses a common dataset to test these methods, for this reason the code and dataset used in this work is released.
Conclusion

We presented a deep learning approach to the task of attenuation map generation from uncorrected PET image data. The method performs with accuracy comparable to other methods showing their fitting for PET image correction.
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