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Abstract: Drinking water arsenic contamination has emerged as a big nuisance to public health and approximately 137 million people around the world consume arsenic contaminated water, exceeding the WHO threshold of 10 µg/L. In Pakistan context, various arsenic mitigation initiatives were undertaken by different NGOs and government departments which were confined to one time measure and resultantly turned to be un-sustainable. Continuous surveillance of drinking water sources is crucial for the well being of humans for which systemised procedures for regular monitoring of the arsenic contaminated water sources has been evolved. Identifications of contaminated water sources for random and blanket arsenic testing surveys will make the monitoring & evaluation process more reliable and prudent. Grid system approach for taking water samples has been discussed and evolved which yield meaningful results. For field test validation, 10% of water samples are recommended to be tested in the laboratory by using atomic absorption spectrometer (AAS). Well thought out institutional arrangements / linkages have been evolved for achieving government buying which is necessary to make the monitoring and evaluation process sustainable. Though, the donors and NGOs have played an important role in addressing the arsenic contamination issues in many countries, including in Pakistan, yet the ultimate ownership for the success of interventions lies with the government and its line departments.

Keywords: Arsenic Testing Kits, Monitoring & Impact Evaluation, Water Samples

1. Introduction

Arsenic contaminated water has proved to be slow poisoning process for the mankind and approximately 137 million people around the world, with 90 million people in Bangladesh and China alone, consume arsenic contaminated water, exceeding the WHO threshold of 10 µg/L (Unicef, 2008). In Pakistan, ground water arsenic contamination was identified during 1996 and basing on this revelation, following arsenic contamination mitigation actions were taken;

1.1. Preliminary Arsenic Contamination Investigations, 1999-2001

From Nov. 1999 to Jan. 2001, the Pakistan Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (PCSIR), and the Pakistan Council of Research in Water Resources (PCRWR) carried out a preliminary investigation on the prevalence. Of the 8,712 samples, nine percent had arsenic above the WHO guideline value of 10 ppb and 0.70 percent of samples had arsenic concentrations above 50 ppb. However, analysis of 848 validation samples (10 percent of total samples) by Atomic Absorption Spectrometer (AAS) revealed that almost 30 percent of samples had arsenic concentrations over 10 ppb and seven percent above 50 ppb. It should be noted that laboratory results obtained by AAS are thought to be more accurate than those obtained using Merck field testing kits. The results by province are presented in Table 1.

Results shown in Table 1, indicates that arsenic contamination is prevalent mainly in Punjab and Sindh provinces, where over 11 percent of field samples revealed an arsenic level above 10 ppb and 0.6 to 1.4 percent samples over 50 ppb. NWFP and Baluchistan had comparatively little
arsenic contamination, except one district in KPK province (Mardan) for which laboratory results indicated the presence of arsenic over 50 ppb (NAPAM 2005).

| District | Total Samples (No.) | >10 ppb (%age) | >50 ppb (%age) |
|----------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|
|          | Field | Lab | Field | Lab | Field | Lab |
| Baluchistan | 619 | 71 | 1.30 | 1.40 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| NWFP | 1560 | 156 | 0.30 | 22.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| Punjab | 4315 | 428 | 12.2 | 36.0 | 0.60 | 9.0 |
| Sindh | 2218 | 193 | 11.0 | 26.0 | 1.40 | 10 |
| Total | 8712 | 848 | 9.0 | 28.0 | 0.70 | 7.0 |

1.2. Arsenic Mitigation Activities - (2002–04)

Basing on the findings of preliminary investigations, national survey for arsenic testing was launched and the prevalence of arsenic contamination in Pakistan (NAPAM 2005) during national survey (2003-04) is shown in table-2:

| Total Sample (No.) | >10 ppb(%age) | >50 ppb(%age) |
|-------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Field | Lab | Field | Lab | Field | Lab |
| Punjab | 28,898 | 4,611 | 32.5 | 31.4 | 10 | 9 |
| Sindh | 67,556 | 4825 | 24 | 36 | 7.8 | 17 |
| Pakistan | 96,454 | 9,436 | 27 | 34 | 9 | 13 |

The field results compiled at national level showed 27 & 9 percent of water sources had arsenic contamination over 10 ppb and 50 ppb respectively while lab results showed much higher arsenic contamination of 33 & 13 percent over 10 ppb and 50 ppb respectively. However, the situation is even worse in certain tehsils/talukas showing over one third of samples over 50 ppb. Similarly in certain union councils (e.g. Agra in Taluka Gambat in district Khairpur) almost 75 percent of water sources had contamination over 50 ppb and 93 percent over 10 ppb, with highest recorded concentration of 972 ppb (Tameez et al 2004).

National action plan for arsenic mitigation was developed in 2004. The findings of the action plan could not be transformed fully on ground and arsenic contamination problem is still plaguing the lives of affected population/communities. Lot many activities were planned which were partially undertaken and the arsenic contamination in Pakistan is still growing in scope and complexity. Arsenic contamination in Pakistan has been, graphically shown in figure-1, below.

Figure 1. Pakistan map, showing arsenic contamination.
Continuous surveillance of drinking water sources is crucial for the wellbeing of humans who often suffer from symptoms of arsenicosis caused by consuming arsenic contaminated water on a regular basis. This serious illness is characterized by skin lesions, different forms of cancers, birth defects, and other conditions including premature death (Tomer 2015).

In most of the field testing monitoring programmes, it was observed the field test kits does not give accurate results and mislead the practitioners for wrong decision making. Therefore, In order to guarantee regular drinking water monitoring with accurate results, a test kit based on living, lyophilized bacterial bio reporters emitting bioluminescence as a response to bioavailable arsenic has already been developed. This particular test has been utilized in the field and lab in Germany, Mongolia, Bangladesh, India, Vietnam and Argentina during the past five years. Bio-reporter field measurements of arsenic in ground and surface water were in satisfying agreement with the results of spectroscopic analyses of the same samples conducted in the lab (Tomer 2015). The results of neglecting this problem and failing to execute regular blanket screenings are manifold and negatively influence health and socio-economic aspects of everyday life (Islam et al, 2012). The main consequence of regularly consuming water containing arsenic is arsenicosis. Skin lesions, a highly increased risk of obtaining cancer, birth defects and even death are some of the correlating clusters of the associated symptoms. There is also a “significant association between maternal arsenic exposure and reduced birth weight” (Rahman et al. 2009), which adds to an increased child mortality rate in developing countries.

1.3. Arsenic Contamination Management Beyond 2004 - Pakistan

Inspite of identification of alarming levels of ground water arsenic contamination and development of national action plan for arsenic contamination, no prudent plans/policies were developed for continuous & sustainable arsenic contamination monitoring in Pakistan. Due to devoid of continuous monitoring, the arsenic contamination problem in Pakistan is growing, both in scope and complexity and now only in the province of Punjab, 1.3 million people are exposed to drinking water arsenic contamination. Ground water arsenic contamination must be taken as priority pollutant and must be managed with aid of community health workers have indicated that community awareness increases as a consequence of the programmes. Therefore, continuing education and monitoring needs to be integrated into existing health services, whether governmental or nongovernmental (Allan H et al, 2000)

3. Objectives

The salient objectives are as under:
1. To review the existing arsenic mitigation interventions in the context of sustainability and programme up-scaling in the other arsenic hit areas of Pakistan.
2. To develop sustainable institutional linkages/strategies for post programme monitoring and impact evaluation practices.
3. To streamline the methods and procedures of water sampling, field testing tools/techniques and validation processes in terms of accuracy & efficiency for sustainable monitoring processes.

4. Methodology

Extensive literature review of arsenic mitigation programmes was carried out by various donor agencies and NGOs (from 1999-2005/07) in arsenic contamination areas of Pakistan, with a view to ascertain post programme interventions sustainability, monitoring and impact evaluations processes.

Field visits of arsenic contamination affected areas were carried out to meet the effected individuals and communities for post programme arsenic impact evaluations.

Meeting with related government departments were held on monitoring techniques and tools and further up-scaling arsenic mitigation programmes by making it part of annual development plans (ADPs)

5. Results and Discussion

5.1. Review of the Existing Arsenic Mitigation Programme

Ground water arsenic contamination problems in Pakistan surfaced/identified in late nineties, followed by various arsenic mitigation interventions and programmes by various donors agencies and government departments & organizations. The summary of all such measures / review of the arsenic mitigation programmes is as under;

Soon after ground water arsenic contamination revelation in Pakistan, preliminary arsenic testing survey/investigation was conducted in all the four provinces during 1999-2000. During the investigation, 308 samples were collected from these six potentially high-risk districts, taking one sample each from a grid size of 100 km². These samples were processed at laboratory using a Hydride Generation Atomic Spectrometer. Analysis of the samples
revealed that 14 percent had arsenic concentrations of over 10 ppb (WHO guideline value) and three percent (i.e. six samples/sites) above 50 ppb (Pakistan guideline value is 10ppb).

Based on the findings of the preliminary investigations, national survey in 2001 was launched in 35 districts which revealed that almost 30 percent of samples had arsenic concentrations over 10 ppb and seven percent above 50 ppb.

5.1.1. Arsenic Mitigation Activities - 2002-2004

Basing on the outcomes of national survey for arsenic contamination in Pakistan, following arsenic mitigation were undertaken;

- Blanket testing/screening of water sources in the four districts (Dadu, Khairpur, Nawabshah, and Tharparkar) in Sindh province that ranked highest in arsenic contamination during the national survey;
- A first round of village-level surveys for arsenic contamination in the three districts (Multan, Rahim Yar Khan, and Bahawalpur) that had ranked highest for arsenic contamination in Punjab, followed by five more districts (DG Khan, Layyah, Muzafar Garh, Sargohda, and Jhang) in Punjab in the second round of focused surveys;
- Blanket testing in Multan, Rahim Khan, and Bahawalpur in Punjab based on results of focused survey;
- Resource integration based, national action plan for arsenic mitigation (NAPAM) was written in 2004 (by Col. Islam-ul-Haque) which holistically gave the future directions for arsenic mitigation in Pakistan.
- Arsenic Removal Technology (ART) tanks units (for small communities & for households levels were designed and installed.
- Social mobilization, advocacy and awareness campaigns were conducted in the affected areas.

5.1.2. Analysis of Arsenic Mitigation Programmes-Pakistan

Soon after the identification of arsenic revelation in Pakistan in 1999, tremendous amount of efforts were made for arsenic mitigation, like national arsenic testing surveys, installation of community based arsenic removal units, advocacies, social mobilization and awareness campaigns. These efforts cloud only last for 6-7 years which were primarily dependent on foreign donor's funding. Afterwards, the above mentioned arsenic contamination programmes in Pakistan, could not continued / up-scaled, but virtually have been capped due to the following major reasons;

- Devoid of government buying and ownership, as arsenic mitigation programmes were not made part of annual development programmes (ADPs) by the federal and provincial governments, inspite of their commitments, made in national plan action for arsenic mitigation. Rather government departments relied on donors agencies funding, like UNICEF etc, which could not be provided.
- Non-implementation of national action plan for arsenic mitigation in true letter & spirit and national steering committee on arsenic mitigation, as suggested in NAPAM.
- Resultantly, provinces (Punjab & Sindh) neither made any tangible efforts to activate provincial steering committees and nor the line departments / institutions diverted some financial resources for the continuation of the arsenic mitigation programmes in the respective arsenic hit districts.
- All the installed community based arsenic removal technologies in the affected areas, either have become non-functional or have been damaged/removed.
- Initially, social behaviour change communication strategies were launched only as a onetime measure, but not as a sustained process and due to which majority of the people are relying on arsenic contaminated water for their daily needs.
- Now, at this point in time in Pakistan, arsenic mitigation programmes have been shelved and as a result, 1.3 million people are exposed arsenic contamination in the province of southern Punjab only (Centre for Environmental Protection Studies).

5.2. Sustainability Framework and Institutional Linkages Mechanisms

Arsenic mitigation programme sustainability, ensures the tangible outcomes and impacts which contributes towards provisioning of arsenic free water to the target communities. Sustainability paradigm must have clearly defined rationales, measures and set of activities to be formed performed by all the stakeholders. In Pakistan, all good intentioned arsenic mitigation programme could not sustained, as discussed earlier, therefore, following programme sustainability framework is suggested for such future programmes, as shown in figure-2, below;
5.3. Institutional Linkages Path Way Mechanisms for Effective Sustainable Monitoring

In national action plan for arsenic mitigation did advocate outlines of institutional mechanisms to support arsenic mitigation programmes, but, there was no mention of detailed activities to be performed by each organ of specific institution/department. Devoid of legislation also contributed towards no up-scaling of the arsenic mitigation programmes in Pakistan, as the related government line department did not keep any financial resources in their annual development programmes.

Monitoring and evaluation must be made an essential part of the National Action Plan for Arsenic Mitigation Planning and should be one of the integrated components of the national action plan and linkage to process of programme implementation. The steps taken in this regard include:

a. Establishing a National Action Plan for Arsenic Mitigation (NAPAM) Forum at national, provincial and district levels.

b. Setting up of technical units from Pakistan council of research in water resources (PCRWR) at national, provincial and district levels.

c. Strengthening of NAPAM units to create a database on arsenic contamination related matters.

d. Strengthening and expanding the scope and role of existing Water Quality Management and Information System (WQMIS) and its coordination, if possible, with other district information systems to avoid a top-down approach.

e. Improving the mechanisms and capacity of district management for effective supervision, monitoring and evaluation of Arsenic Mitigation Programmes and projects.

f. Establishing coordinator/support officers at district levels for timely and speedy coordination among the various executing agencies at district levels.

g. Establishing Village Arsenic Mitigation Committees (VAMC) for enhancement of community participation, resource mobilization, monitoring and evaluation at the grassroots level.

h. Indicators for monitoring need to be developed such as behavioural change on use of arsenic–safe water, improved sanitation and hygiene.

i. The monitoring process should also be able to reflect the problems and constraints during programme implementation, solution/supports provided by communities, local government if they are within their capacities.

Therefore, to keep the arsenic mitigation on tracks, following institutional arrangements, at federal levels, is suggested, as shown in figure-3, below;
5.3.1. Implementation & Monitoring Agencies- Roles and Responsibilities

The following agencies, at district and town levels, will be involved in monitoring and evaluation of the National Action Plan for Arsenic Mitigation.

a. TMAs (Tehsil Municipal Administration) will monitor the execution plan/activities in the field and will ensure their timely completion in stipulated time and space.

b. Assistant Director Local Government (ADLG) in each district will be responsible for monitoring progress achieved against planned targets. ADLGs will review any gray areas and reallocate resources to meet the planned targets.

c. Director General Local Government (DGLG) will monitor and evaluate all the programmes (as identified & planned) under implementation. DGLGs will also be responsible for reallocation of resources within the districts and will apprise the National Steering Committee for Arsenic Mitigation of the progress of the work in detail.

d. National Steering and provincial Committees for Arsenic Mitigation will monitor, evaluate and review the arsenic mitigation programmes and will hold quarterly progress review meetings for analyzing monitoring and impact evaluation.

e. Pakistan Council of Research in Water Resources (PCRWR) will monitor the existing technologies being used in the field for efficiency and provide the technical support in all of the entire project areas.

f. Provincial/District levels Institutional Arrangements are shown in figure-4, below;
5.3.2. Environmental audit - Monitoring & Evaluation Tool

A central Technical Environmental Audit Team (TEAT) shall be formed under the supervision of Director P.C.R.W.R., other members will be included in consultation with DGLGs. The envisioned task of the TEAT is:

a. Ensuring adherence to technical procedures by the sampling/testing/survey teams.

b. Verification of sampling strategies.

c. Ensuring compliance with work plans.

d. Ensuring judicious use of materials.

e. Ensuring correct data recording, compilation, collation and analysis.

f. Checking and ensuring operational worthiness of costly laboratory equipment.

5.3.3. Submission of Progress Reports

The following progress reports will be submitted:

a. Weekly progress report at Tehsil headquarters level, which will be submitted to District Headquarters.

b. Fortnightly progress report be submitted by District Headquarters to DG Local Government duly compiled by ADLGS.

c. Monthly progress report will be submitted to administrative management (federal level) with a copy to all the tiers of management in the chain.

5.4. Efficient Arsenic Monitoring - Water Sampling, Testing Equipments and Procedures

Following arsenic testing techniques, procedures and equipment are suggested, as shown in table-3, below;
5.4. Monitoring and Evaluation Logical Frame Work

All the suggested monitoring and evaluation activities have been summarized in the form of logical frame work, as shown in table-4, below:

| Description of water resources to be monitored | Testing Techniques | Quality Control | Recommended arsenic testing equipments |
|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------------------------------|
| Target Groups and area                        |                   |                |                                        |
| a. Community water sources- deep              |                   |                |                                        |
| b. wells, tube wells                          |                   |                |                                        |
| c. Individual water sources- hand             |                   |                |                                        |
| d. pumps, shallow wells                       |                   |                |                                        |
| e. Irrigation tub wells/channels               |                   |                |                                        |
| f. Residents of hot spot areas for            |                   |                |                                        |
| identification of arsenicosis to ascertain     |                   |                |                                        |
| the enormity of the problem.                  |                   |                |                                        |
| Water Sampling Points                         |                   |                |                                        |
| · Hand Pumps                                  |                   |                |                                        |
| · Tube wells                                  |                   |                |                                        |
| · Private Wells                               |                   |                |                                        |
| · Tobas / ponds & tanks                       |                   |                |                                        |

### Table 3. Field testing techniques, procedures and equipment for effective monitoring.

| Testing Techniques                                                                 | Quality Control | Recommended arsenic testing equipments |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------------------|
| · Field testing and validation with accurate field testing kits                    | · 20 % Random Testing – Field Validation. | a. Field Testing Kits                       |
| · Laboratory validation by Atomic Absorption                                       |                | · ARSOlux - The Luminous Water Test for Arsenic in |
| · Spectrophotometer (AAS) of 10 % samples.                                        |                | · Drinking Water.                        |
| · polystyrene bottles of ½ litter capacity.                                       |                | · Merck & Wagtech                        |
| · Preservative , boric acid (2 ml/l sample)be added                                 |                | · Laboratory Equipment                  |
| · Date, site and sample code for identification                                   |                | · Mercury Hydride System of Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (AAS). |
| · Water samples be stored at 4°C.                                                  |                |                                        |
|                                                                                   |                | c. Soil Analysis equipment -             |
|                                                                                   |                | · XRF( X-Ray Fluorescence               |
|                                                                                   |                | · Spectrometer) To investigate           |
|                                                                                   |                | elemental composition of the soil strata |
|                                                                                   |                |                                        |
|                                                                                   |                | · compound                               |

#### Table 4. Logical Frame Work for Arsenic Mitigation Interventions.

| Objectives                                                                 | Indicators                                                                 | Means of Verifications                                                                 | Assumptions                                                                 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Goal: Continuous monitoring of drinking water sources for the wellbeing of | · % (percentage) reduction related drinking water arsenic contamination related diseases among target population | · Reviewed existing arsenic mitigation interventions in the context of sustainability and programme up-scaling in the other arsenic hit areas of Pakistan. | All stake holders will make sure to implement MIE system in letter and spirit. |
| arsenic contaminated water on a regular basis. Use safe regular drinking   | · arsenicosis caused by consuming arsenic contaminated water to reduce illness and diseases related to drinking water arsenic contamination | · Develop sustainable institutional linkages/ strategies for post programme monitoring and impact evaluation practices. |                                                                         |
| water to reduce illness and diseases                                       | · arsenic contamination related diseases among target population Arsenic mitigation programme sustainability, ensures the tangible outcomes and impacts which contributes towards provisioning of arsenic free water to the target communities. | · Streamline the methods and procedures of water sampling, field testing tools/techniques and validation processes in terms of accuracy & efficiency for sustainable monitoring processes. |                                                                         |
| arsenic contamination                                                    | % (percentage) reduction related drinking water arsenic contamination related diseases among target population Arsenic mitigation programme sustainability, ensures the tangible outcomes and impacts which contributes towards provisioning of arsenic free water to the target communities. |                                                                                       |                                                                          |
| arsenic contamination problem scope and complexity /enormity assessment    | Sample water quality tests in each district confirm that chemical and bacteriological quality of water meets national standards in 90% of cases | Periodic sample surveys and water quality testing by advisory partners and M & E specialist team | Programme retains government interest and support as well as all stake holders |
| Outcome 1 Conduct rapid arsenic contamination problem scope and complexity  | · Establish a National Action Plan for Arsenic Mitigation Forum at national, provincial and district levels. |                                                                                       |                                                                          |
| Arsenic contamination problem scope and complexity /enormity assessment     | · Set up of technical units from Pakistan council of research in water resources (PCRWR) at national, provincial and district levels. |                                                                                       |                                                                          |
| Output 1.1 Monitoring and evaluation must be made an essential part of the  | · Strengthened of NAPAM units to create a database on arsenic contamination related |                                                                                       |                                                                          |
| National Action Plan for Arsenic Mitigation Planning and should be one of  |                                                                                       |                                                                                       |                                                                          |
| the integrated components of the national action plan and linkage to process of |                                                                                       |                                                                                       |                                                                          |
| programme implementation.                                                   |                                                                                       |                                                                                       |                                                                          |
| · Line departments/NGO/Govts/Schools can secure an ongoing supply of relevant material | · “Community Facility Inspection” field report                                    |                                                                                       |                                                                          |
| · No major disputes or conflicts within the stake holders and community     | · Periodic sample surveys and water quality testing by advisory partners, Stake holders and communities |                                                                                       |                                                                          |
### Logical Framework (log frame) - Monitoring and Impact Evaluation (MIE) System for Arsenic Mitigation Interventions (AMI)

| Objectives | Indicators | Means of Verifications | Assumptions |
|------------|------------|------------------------|-------------|
| **Outcome 2.1 Implementation of National Action Plan by Monitoring agencies for Arsenic Mitigation / Attaining ODF status for the target villages / communities.** | • Strengthened and expanded the scope and role of existing Water Quality Management and Information System (WQMIS) and its coordinated with other district information systems to avoid a top-down approach. • Improved the mechanisms and capacity of district management for effective supervision, monitoring and evaluation of Arsenic Mitigation Programmes and projects. • Established coordinator/support office at district levels for timely and speedy coordination among the various executing agencies at district levels. • Established Village Arsenic Mitigation Committees (VAMC) for enhancement of community participation, resource mobilization, monitoring and evaluation at the grassroots level. • Evidence that men, women and children in targeted communities continue to adopt safe water consumption practices • Safe drinking water promotion, using tested approaches is integrated into the primary school curriculum and routinely applied for overall reduction in water born diseases • To reflect the problems and constraints during programme implementation, solution/supports provided by communities, local government if they are within their capacities. • TMAs will monitor the execution plan/activities in the field and will ensure their timely completion in stipulated time and space. • Assistant Director Local Government (ADLG) in each district will be responsible for monitoring progress achieved against planned targets. ADLGS will review any grey areas and reallocate resources to meet the planned targets. • Director General Local Government (DGLG) will monitor and evaluate all the programmes (as identified in Chapter 2) under implementation. DGLGs will also be responsible for reallocation of resources within the districts and will apprise the National Steering Committee for Arsenic Mitigation of the progress. | • Conducted rapid capacity assessment for capacity building. • Involvement of Tehsil and district level line agencies. • Designed a set of training guidelines • Holding of advocacy workshops for Govt Departments. • Form village sanitation committee • Created and sustained sanitation demand and polio eradication • Identification of district coordination focal person. • Sharing of all district level plans and activities • Evaluation and certification committee • Continuous Advocacy with PHED/ local governments/ District Govt • Prepared District action plan. • Issued of various activities notifications | All stake holders and Local government is willing to prioritise sanitation and hygiene promotion and play a pro-active role in co-ordination for effectively and efficiently implementation of Monitoring and Impact Evaluation System for Arsenic Mitigation Interventions (AMI) - Arsenic Contamination Areas of Pakistan |
### Logical Framework (log frame) - Monitoring and Impact Evaluation (MIE) System for Arsenic Mitigation Interventions (AMI)

| Objectives | Indicators | Means of Verifications | Assumptions |
|------------|------------|------------------------|-------------|
|            | progress of the work in detail. | · Weekly progress report at Tehsil headquarters level, District Headquarters. | Timely submission of report and validation of data |
|            | · National Steering Committee for Arsenic Mitigation will monitor, evaluate and review the arsenic mitigation programmes and will hold quarterly progress review meetings under the chairmanship of chairman PCRWR. | · Fortnightly progress report of District Headquarters to DG Local Government duly compiled by ADLGs. |  |
|            | · PCRWR will monitor the existing technologies being used in the field for efficiency and provide the technical support in all of the entire project areas. | · Monthly progress report of administrative management (federal level) with a copy to all the tiers of management in the chain. |  |
|            | · Provincial/District levels Institutional Arrangements | | |
| Outcome   | 3 Environmental audit | · Central Technical Environmental Audit Team (CTEAT) formed under the supervision of Director PCRWR, other member’s included in consultation with DGLGs. The envisioned task of the CTEAT achieved |  |
|           | Outputs3.1 Ensure adherence to technical procedures by the sampling/testing/survey teams. Verified sampling strategies. Ensured compliance with work plans. Ensured judicious use of materials. Ensured correct data recording, compilation, collation and analysis. Checked and ensured operational worthiness of costly laboratory | Adherence of all procedures by stake holders | Regular analysis and periodic verification of programme reports |
|           | Outputs3.2 Efficient Arsenic Monitoring - Water Sampling, Testing Equipment’s and Duties | Field testing and validation with accurate field testing kits | Community water sources- deep wells, tube wells Individual water sources - hand pumps, shallow wells |
|           | | Laboratory validation by Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (AAS) of 10 % samples. Polystyrene bottles of ½ litter capacity. Preservative, boric acid (2 ml/l sample) be added Date, site and sample code for identification Water samples be stored at 4°C. | Irrigation tub wells/ channels Residents of hot spot areas for identification of arsenicosis to ascertain the enormity of the problem. Water Sampling Points Hand Pumps. Tube wells Private Wells. Tobas/ ponds & tanks |
|           | | To ensure to maintain - 20 % Random Testing – Field Validation. To ensure to maintain - 10 % samples Testing – in the laboratory |

#### 5.5. Arsenic Field Testing Kits

The biggest problems, being faced during the arsenic contamination field testing is the inaccuracy of results which mislead for future planning due to non-branded field testing kits. Therefore, to avoid this situation, following field test kits are recommended;

#### 5.5.1. Merck & Wagtech Field Arsenic Testing Kit

Following brands of arsenic field testing are available with the field teams of Arsenic survey funded by UNICEF Pakistan and the same are in use for carrying out field testing, as shown in figure- 5, below;
5.5.2. ARSOlux - Arsenic Field Testing Kit

ARSOlux is a robust, precise and easy to handle biosensor, which serves as a sustainable and efficient field and lab test. It enables fast and parallel screenings of large numbers of drinking water samples for arsenic with low material expenditure. The biosensor emits light when brought into contact with arsenic dissolved in water. The bioluminescence of the non-pathogenic, genetically modified bio-reporter bacteria E.coli DH5α is detected by the portable measuring device AQUA-CHECK3. The quantifiable light intensity correlates with the arsenic concentration in the water sample. Integrated software stores test results and GPS data for each measurement. In order to guarantee regular drinking water monitoring, even for people belonging to low socio-economic classes, a test kit based on living, lyophilized bacterial bio-reporters emitting bioluminescence as a response to bioavailable arsenic was developed. This particular test has been utilized in the field and lab in Germany, Mongolia, Bangladesh, India, Vietnam and Argentina during the past five years. Bio-reporter field measurements of arsenic in ground and surface water were in satisfying agreement with the results of spectroscopic analyses of the same samples conducted in the lab. Various activities being performed with ARSOlux field test kits are shown in figure-6, below;
5.5.3. Arsenic Quick™ II, 50 Tests and 481303-5 – Arsenic Quick™ II Mini, 5 Tests

Arsenic Quick II Mini for water quality testing was designed to give the user accurate results without sacrificing cost and time. Designed using cutting-edge chemistry, Arsenic Quick II Mini reports results in only 14 minutes. Additionally, there are no dangerous chemicals needed to run this water quality test kit. With only 3 simple test procedures, Arsenic Quick II Mini is a must for any lab, water testing professional, or service technician who values accurate, rapid results without sacrificing cost, which takes only 14 Minutes for the entire tests, as shown in figure-7, below;

![Fig. 7. Part No. 481303 and Part No. 481303-5 arsenic field testing kits.](http://arsenickits.com/)

6. Conclusion

Ground water arsenic contamination has emerged as a big nuisance to public health which adversely impacts the human health and approximately over 137 million people are exposed to this menace. In spite of all its lethal impacts, arsenic mitigation programmes could not get requisite government buying and ownership and fragmented efforts have been made or being made, particularly in developing countries, to mitigate this deadly contaminant, that too by the NGOs, financed by donor agencies only. Sustained monitoring and impact evaluation is major missing component which overshadows piece meal arsenic mitigation programmes. Prudent and efficient institutional arrangements at federal, provincial and district levels can ensure effective, sustainable and cost-effective mitigation planning and implementation. Periodic arsenic testing & monitoring will make the mitigation programmes more result oriented and long lasting, with reliable and accurate, both field & laboratory equipments. Since ground water arsenic contamination is natural process, therefore, all the such arsenic mitigation programmes must be transformed into natural processes and must not end-up at any point in time.
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