Phenotypic Characteristics and Probiotic Potentials of Lactobacillus spp. Isolated From Poultry
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Background: Lactic acid bacteria, especially Lactobacillus spp., have been considered as excellent probiotic microorganisms, because of their activities in reducing the enteric diseases and maintaining healthy poultry.

Objectives: The current study aimed to evaluate the phenotypic characteristics and the probiotic potentials of Lactobacillus spp. isolated from poultry.

Materials and Methods: A total of 168 lactic acid bacteria (LAB) were isolated from healthy six and twenty-one-day old chickens and their feed samples. The isolated bacteria were identified by morphological, biochemical, and molecular tests including Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) and 16S rRNA gene sequencing. Biochemical fingerprinting with Phene Plate system (Ph-P) was done and the acid and bile resistant lactobacilli were subjected to the antibiotic susceptibility test.

Results: Amongst all of the examined LAB, 30.3% were resistant to bile and acid. Most of the isolated LAB (57.1%) belonged to the genus Lactobacillus with L. brevis (78.1%) as the dominant species followed by L. reuteri (16.6%), L. plantarum (3%), and L. vaginalis (2%). The remaining isolates were identified as Pediococcus spp. (42.9%). The Ph-P cluster analysis of 75 L. brevis and 16 L. reuteri strains showed high phenotypic diversity. Whilst the results of Ph-P typing from L. reuteri strains showed low phenotypic variations especially among the strains sensitive to acid and bile salts.

Conclusions: Overall, the results showed that some of the high potential probiotic LAB species existed in Iranian poultry.
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1. Background

The normal flora bacteria in intestinal tract of chicken is about 1011 per gram (1). They play an important role in the health of host animals by improving their intestinal balance and, to some extent, preventing ingested pathogens (2), which result in healthier chickens, and in turn, can improve the health of humans following their consumption. Commercial poultry production is one of the most important sources of animal protein for humans and an important economic activity in many countries (3). On the other hand, when poultry is exposed to stressful conditions, including diseases and unsanitary environmental conditions, serious economic losses may occur. Understanding the ecology of chicken gut’s microbiota may, therefore, help to maintain healthy chicken products and prevent the economic losses. Lactic acid bacteria (LAB) are among important normal microbial population in chicken (4).

The production of antimicrobial compounds, especially organic acids and bacteriocins, by LAB has provided these microorganisms with a competitive advantage over the other species as an excellent probiotic candidate (5). Some of the proposed modes of action of probiotics in poultry include their ability to tolerate acid, bile, and also adhere to the intestinal epithelium of the hosts, to show an antagonistic activity against pathogenic bacteria (6). Overall, the results have suggested that the use of probiotics in the poultry industry has resulted in the improvement of chicken body weight, feed conversion ratio and egg weight (7). The diversity of microorganisms present in digestive tract of chickens is very high. The most commonly identified Lactobacillus species are L. crispatus, L. reuteri, and L. salivarius (8, 9). In addition, a great deal of diversity could be found in lesser reported microorganisms including Bacillus, Enterococcus, Streptococcus, Pediococcus and Bifidobacteria (3).

2. Objectives

The current study aimed to isolate, and identify the phenotypic characteristics and analysis of the probiotic char-
acteristics of the Lactobacillus spp. in the caeca, feces, and chicken feed obtained from poultry farms in the suburbs of Tehran, Iran.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Isolation of Lactobacilli

A total of 21 samples from ileum and caeca of healthy six (10) and twenty-one-day (11) old chickens, their fecal samples, and chicken feed were collected from two broiler chicken farms in the suburbs of Tehran, Iran. The chickens were fed with commercial corn-soy diet, without animal protein, and growth-promoting antibiotics. Serial decimal dilutions of the samples were prepared in phosphate-buffered saline and plated onto Man Rogosa and Sharpe (MRS) agar (Merck, Germany) and incubated at 37°C for 48 hours under microaerophilic condition. Colonies of different morphological appearance on MRS agar plates were picked and recultured to provide pure culture. For long-term storage, the bacteria were stored at -80°C in MRS broth with 20% glycerol.

3.2. Identification by Biochemical Methods

Isolated lactic acid bacteria were identified by Gram-staining, catalase reaction test and sugar fermentation pattern, using different carbohydrates including salisin, arabinose, sucrose, maltose, mannose, mannitol, raffinose, rahmonase, lactose, galactose, xylose, melezitose, ribose, gluconate, and also glucose and sterile water were used as positive and negative controls.

3.3. Identification by Molecular Biology Methods

Total DNA was extracted with peqGOLD Bacterial DNA Kit (peqLab, Erlangen, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Molecular identification was carried out by Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) using the previously designed Lactobacillus 16S rRNA specific primers for-lac (5'-TGGAAAAACAGGTGCTAATACCG-3') and Rev-lac (5'-CCATTGTGGAAGATTCCC-3') genes (11). The PCR was performed under the following conditions: 94°C for 5 minutes; 30 cycles of 94°C for 30 seconds, 57°C for 30 seconds and 72°C for 30 seconds, and the final extension at 72°C for 7 minutes (12). The strains approved by PCR amplification for Lactobacillus 16S rRNA with different sugar fermentation patterns were subjected to sequencing. The data of sequences were compared with the sequences deposited in the GenBank database (National Center for Biotechnology Information) using the BLAST algorithm.

3.4. Phene Plate System

All of lactobacilli isolates were subjected to a biochemical fingerprinting with Phene Plate system according to the manufacturer’s instructions (PhPlate Micro-plate Techniques AB, Stockholm, Sweden) modified for typing lactobacilli (PhP-LB). Phene Plate system is based on the evaluation of the kinetics of biochemical reactions by reading test results at several occasions. The microplates contain four sets of dehydrated reagents (23 different sugar including arabinose, xylose, galactose, maltose, cellobiose, trehalose, palatinose, sucrose, lactose, melibiose, mannos, melezitose, inosine, mannitol, arbutin, sorbitol, galactose, sorbose, rhamnose, tagatose, amigdalin, gluconate, and salicin), specifically selected for Lactobacillus genus. The plate was incubated at 37°C and the color of each well was measured after 24, 48, and 72 hours. Scanned images were analyzed by PhPWIN software package (AB, Stockholm, Sweden).

3.5. pH and Bile Tolerance

All of the Lactobacillus strains were tested for their ability to tolerate low pH and bile salt. Bacteria were grown overnight in MRS broth (1 mL), centrifuged at 6000 g, and re-suspended in phosphate buffer saline (PBS). Then, 50 µL of suspension was transferred to 5 mL of MRS broth containing 0.4% bile salts (Merck, Germany) and incubated at 37°C for six hours. To examine the survival rate of different Lactobacillus strains under acidic conditions, 50 µL of each bacterial suspension prepared in PBS was transferred to 5 mL of phosphate-buffered saline at pH 3 and incubated at 37°C for six hours. The suspensions were centrifuged at 6000 rpm for 10 minutes and washed with sterile saline solution. Bacteria were suspended in physiological solution and a series of tenfold dilution (10² to 10⁶) was prepared. One hundred microliter of each dilution was plated onto MRS agar (Merck, Germany) and incubated anaerobically at 37°C for 24 to 48 hours; then, the Survival rate of the Lactobacillus strains was measured by counting the cells.

3.6. Antibiotic Susceptibility Testing

The agar diffusion method was used to determine the antibiotic susceptibility patterns of isolated lactobacilli on MRS agar plate, instead of Muller Hinton base Medium, bases on Bauer et al. protocol (13). Fourteen antibiotics from different classes, including penicillin G (10 µg), clindamycin (2 µg), erythromycin (15 µg), tetracy-
cline (30 µg), amoxicillin (25 µg), rifampin (5 µg), ciprofloxacin (5 µg), nitrofurantoin (300µg), amikacin (30µg), chloramphenicol (30µg), oxacillin (1 µg), augmentin (amoxicillin and clavulanate) (30 µg), tobramycin (10 µg) and streptomycin (10µg) (MAST Diagnostics, U.K) were tested in the current study. The discs were placed on the agar plates, and incubated at 37°C for 48 hours. The diameters of inhibition zones were measured and the results were expressed as sensitive and resistant according to CLSI standards.

4. Results

4.1. Isolation of Lactobacillus Species

In the current study, 168 lactic acid bacteria were isolated from rectal swabs, fecal and feed samples, out of which, 89 (53%) were isolated from 21-day-old chickens with 22, 63 and 4 isolates, and 79 (47%) isolates were collected from 6-day-old chickens with 6, 67 and 6 isolates from stools, rectal swabs and feeds, respectively.

Figure 1. Agarose Gel Electrophoreses of Polymerase Chain Reaction PCR Products

Lane M, 100 bp-DNA ladder; Lane one, L. rhamnosus; Lane two, L. planta- rum; Lane three, L. rhamnosus; Lane four, L. reuteri.

4.2. Identification of the Isolates

Amplification with primers for 16S rRNA gene and sequencing of 247 base pair amplicon showed that 96 isolates (57.1%) belonged to Lactobacillus, and the remaining isolates were identified as Pediococcus spp. The PCR results showed the presence of 75 (78.1%), two (2%), three (3%), and 16 strains (16.6%) as L. brevis, L. vaginalis, L. planta- rum and L. reuteri (Figure 1), respectively. Sequencing confirmed the gene amplification results.

4.3. PhP typing

Seventy five L. brevis and sixteen L. reuteri strains were differentiated into primary groups based on carbohydrate fermentation assays for Lactobacillus strains typing (PhP-LB). Data were obtained after three continuous readings of change color by bacteria on microtiter plates, including 23 different sugars, and analyzed with PhPWIN software. Data regarding the biochemical reactions of all isolates were compared and clustered. All Lactobacillus strains were run in the same intra-assay and identify level ID level was set at 0.975. Strains with similarity more than 0.975 were defined as the same PhP type. The cluster analysis of 75 L. brevis strains showed that 42 (56%) isolates were single types (STs) and the remaining 33 isolates belonged to six common types (CTs). The most frequent CTs were CT1 and CT3 which included eight isolates, five isolates from twenty-one-day-old and three isolates from six-day-old chickens, and 13 isolates, five isolates from twenty-one-day-old and eight isolates from six-day-old chickens, respectively. The other CTs, CT2, CT4, CT5 and CT6 included four, four, two and two isolates, respectively. The results of PhP test for 24 bile and acid resistant L. brevis strains are shown in Figure 2.

PhP typing divided the 16 L. reuteri isolates into one CT (CT1) and six STs. The cluster analysis of 16 L. reuteri strains showed high phenotypic similarity. For example, CT1 included 10 isolates collected from six-day-old chickens.

4.4. pH and Bile Tolerance

All 96 Lactobacillus isolates were tested for resistance to acid and bile salts. Out of which, 31 isolates were identified as resistant to low pH and bile salts. Only 24 L. brevis (32%) and two L. reuteri (12.5%) strains showed resistance to acid and bile salts. Two L. vaginalis and three L. planta- rum strains were resistant to acid and bile salts.

4.5. Antibiotic Susceptibility Testing

Antibiotic susceptibility tests showed that all strains were sensitive to Augmentin, amoxicillin, erythromycin, chloramphenicol, and rifampin. The isolates showed different degrees of resistance to the other antibiotics as follow: tetracycline 20 (64.5%), ciprofloxacin 31 (100%), clindamycin 21 (67.7%), penicillinG1 (3.2%), nitrofurantoin 22 (70.9%), amikacin 31 (100%), oxacillin 31 (100%), tobra- mycin 31 (100%), and streptomycin 31 (100%) (Table 1)
Figure 2. Dendrogram derived from UPGMA clustering of the Phene Plate Typing Data of 24 bile and acid resistant *Lactobacillus brevis* isolates from chicken.

Table 1. Antibiotic Susceptibility of *Lactobacillus* Strains Isolated From Chickens

| Antibiotic      | *Lactobacillus brevis* | *Lactobacillus plantarum* | *Lactobacillus vaginalis* | *Lactobacillus reuteri* |
|-----------------|------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| Tetracycline    | 20 (83.3)              | 0 (0)                     | 0 (0)                     | 0 (0)                   |
| Clindamycin     | 18 (75)                | 3 (100)                   | 0 (0)                     | 0 (0)                   |
| Erythromycin    | 0 (0)                  | 0 (0)                     | 0 (0)                     | 0 (0)                   |
| Amoxicillin     | 0 (0)                  | 0 (0)                     | 0 (0)                     | 0 (0)                   |
| Rifampin        | 0 (0)                  | 0 (0)                     | 0 (0)                     | 0 (0)                   |
| Ciprofloxacin   | 24 (100)               | 3 (100)                   | 2 (100)                   | 2 (100)                 |
| Penicillin G    | 1 (41)                 | 0 (0)                     | 0 (0)                     | 0 (0)                   |
| Nitrofurantoin  | 21 (87)                | 0 (0)                     | 1 (50)                    | 0 (0)                   |
| Amikacin        | 24 (100)               | 3 (100)                   | 2 (100)                   | 2 (100)                 |
| Chloramphenicol | 0 (0)                  | 0 (0)                     | 0 (0)                     | 0 (0)                   |
| Augmentin       | 0 (0)                  | 0 (0)                     | 0 (0)                     | 0 (0)                   |
| Tobramycin      | 24 (100)               | 3 (100)                   | 2 (100)                   | 2 (100)                 |
| Oxacillin       | 24 (100)               | 3 (100)                   | 2 (100)                   | 2 (100)                 |
| Streptomycin    | 24 (100)               | 3 (100)                   | 2 (100)                   | 2 (100)                 |

*Data are presented as No. (%).*
5. Discussion

In broiler nutrition, *Lactobacillus*, *Streptococcus*, *Pediococcus*, *Bacillus*, *Bifidobacterium*, *Enterococcus*, *Aspergillus*, *Candida*, and *Sacharomyces* were the probiotic species (7), which play significant role in animal health. In the current study, the search for LAB with probiotic properties was conducted with rectal swaps, fecal, and the feed samples collected from a poultry farm, near Tehran, Iran. Phenotypic characteristics and specific PCR were used to identify 168 samples. Only 96 (57.1%) isolates were confirmed by 16S DNA sequencing as *Lactobacillus* species. The results showed that the primers used in the current study were probably not specific enough to detect all *Lactobacillus* species. The reason for the primers unspecificity is under investigation in this laboratory. The remaining isolates (42.9%) were identified as *Pediococcus* spp., considered as common normal flora with excellent probiotic activities (3, 14, 15). In the current study, 75% of the isolated *Lactobacillus* spp. (78.1%) were *L. brevis*, considered as one of the most frequently isolated species from dairy products and animals (16, 17). The present study further indicated that *L. brevis* was the dominant species in two separate broiler farms at distinctive locations and in different age groups. The other *Lactobacillus* species isolated in the current study were *L. reuteri* (16.6%), and *L. plantarum* (3%). *Lactobacillus plantarum* and *L. reuteri* were also considered as good candidates for probiotic in humans and animals (19). An investigation by Majidzade Heravi et al. (18) showed that *L. crispatus*, *L. salivarius*, and *L. reuteri* were the most frequently species isolated from gastrointestinal tract of broiler chickens in Mashhad, Iran. Taheri et al. (20) also found that *L. crispatus* showed potential probiotic characteristics compared to the other strains isolated from the gastrointestinal tract of chickens. The difference between the present study results and the reports by other investigators about different isolated *Lactobacillus* species could be due to the fact that in the current study, the obtained isolates were from broilers with no antibiotics feeding, diet composition, age of the chicken, breed, geographic locations and the section of the intestinal tract such as small intestine, ileum, and cecum. All these factors were found to affect the composition of the intestinal normal bacterial content in particular *Lactobacillus* species (3, 7, 21).

In the current study, 30.3% of the rectal isolates showed resistance to acid and bile, which was significantly higher than the reports by Belkacem et al. (22) and Jin et al. (23) who showed that 17% and 16% of their isolates were resistant to acid and bile, respectively. In addition, PhPlate analysis showed high diversity among the isolated *L. brevis* strains. This was consistent with the reports published by the other investigators who showed a great deal of diversity, using other phenotypic techniques, within *Lactobacillus* species in the poultry raised under commercial conditions (24). One of the safety aspects of the probiotics which should be considered is their ability to transfer or obtain antibiotic resistance genes.

Non-pathogenic enteric bacteria may transfer their antibiotic resistance genes to pathogenic bacteria in gut microbiota. It is, therefore, important to select the probiotic strains without promiscuous antibiotic resistance elements. In the current study, antibiotic susceptibility tests showed that all of the strains were sensitive to common antibiotics such as amoxicillin, erythromycin, chloramphenicol, Augmentin, and rifampin.

Most of the isolates were sensitive to penicillin G (96.8%) which was in accordance with the findings reported by Danielsen (25) and Coppola (26) et al. Natural resistance against ciprofloxacin, nitrofurantoin, and vancomycin was also observed amongst the isolated species. In accordance with the other reports, the current study also found resistance to streptomycin, amikacin, ciprofloxacin, tobramycin, and oxacillin (26-28). Overall, the results of the current study showed no significant antibiotic resistance amongst the isolates. Diverse populations of *Lactobacillus*, as determined by PhPlate, found in the current study were proportional to the isolated large number of acid and bile resistant *Lactobacillus* species, and the proportion was higher than the reported figures by the other investigators.
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