Physical Function Assessment in Older Hemodialysis Patients
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Rationale & Objective: Physical function is not routinely measured in older adults receiving dialysis. We evaluated the appropriateness of repeated measurements of physical function, including Short Physical Performance Battery (SPPB), handgrip strength, and activities of daily living (ADLs), in older adults receiving dialysis.

Study Design: Prospective study.

Setting & Participants: 37 community-dwelling adults 65 years and older receiving in-center hemodialysis at 5 dialysis units located in North Carolina.

Exposures: SPPB (an assessment of standing balance, chair stands, and gait speed), handgrip strength, and Katz and Lawton ADLs at baseline and subsequent 3-month intervals up to 6 months.

Outcomes: Completion rate, presence of floor or ceiling effects, and presence of clinically meaningful change in physical function measurements.

Results: Of 55 potential participants, we enrolled 37 (67%) older adults receiving hemodialysis. Among 35 enrolled participants who completed baseline assessment in a dialysis unit, mean age was 70.1 (SD, 5) years, 46% (n = 16) were women, 77% (n = 27) were African American, and median time receiving dialysis was 2.7 (IQR, 0.6-5.0) years. There were 3 deaths within the observation period, and study retention at 3 and 6 months was 83% (n = 29) and 74% (n = 26), respectively. Participants tolerated measurements; only 2 participants did not attempt 1 of the performance-based tests at a study visit. Baseline median SPPB score, grip strength, and gait speed were 6 (IQR, 4-9), 55 (IQR, 42-70) kg, and 0.76 (IQR, 0.46-0.86) m/s, respectively. Baseline median for Katz and Lawton ADLs were 6 (IQR, 6-6) and 7 (IQR, 4-8), respectively. Ceiling effects were observed for both measures. For some participants, clinically meaningful changes (improvement or decline) in SPPB score, grip strength, and gait speed occurred at each 3-month interval.

Limitations: Limited geographic and ethnic variation.

Conclusions: SPPB, handgrip strength, and gait speed alone are appropriate measures for interval physical function assessment in community-dwelling older adults receiving in-center hemodialysis.

Older adults are the fastest growing population initiating dialysis, and >60% of community-dwelling older adults experience functional decline within 6 months of dialysis initiation.1 Self-reported functional decline (or impairment) in older adults receiving dialysis has been associated with mortality.2-5 Low physical function assessed using performance-based measures (eg, gait speed and balance) has been associated with both mortality and hospitalization.6,7 Despite this compelling evidence, there is no routine approach for identifying functional decline in older adults receiving dialysis.

Periodic functional assessments in older adults help identify new functional decline, the trajectory of functional decline, and opportunities for interventions.8,9 Because of time constraints and immobility associated with dialysis, some functional measures may be inappropriate for older dialysis patients. Some measures may also be insensitive to change and/or unacceptable to dialysis patients due to frailty, functional impairment, fatigue, and low physical activity.10,12 Activities of daily living (ADLs), Short Physical Performance Battery (SPPB), grip strength, and gait speed have been used in longitudinal studies of aging.13 However, there is insufficient evidence on the appropriateness (ie, usefulness) of these measures for short-interval physical function assessment in a population of older adults receiving hemodialysis.

Understanding the appropriateness of physical function measures in community-dwelling older adults receiving dialysis is a critical step toward both routine functional assessments in dialysis units and interventions to mitigate functional decline. Therefore, we conducted a prospective study of adults 65 years and older receiving hemodialysis to observe select performance-based and self-reported functional measures (SPPB, grip strength, and ADLs) and assess appropriateness, defined as completion rate, presence of floor or ceiling effects, practicality in relation to time and space constraints, and responsiveness.

METHODS

Study Population

We recruited a convenience sample of older (≥65 years) adults receiving hemodialysis for this prospective longitudinal study. Exclusion criteria included nonambulatory status, dependence in all basic ADLs, advanced dementia (operationalized as a patient with diminished capacity to...
Physical Function Measures
We measured physical function at baseline and 2 additional visits (≈3 months apart). We selected a 3-month interval because it was used to identify functional changes among nursing home residents receiving dialysis.2 We used 2 physical performance measures with high test-retest reliability in the hemodialysis population,14,15 the Short Physical Performance Battery (SPPB), handgrip strength, and activity of daily living (ADL) instruments are appropriate for routine use in dialysis units. We found that SPPB, handgrip strength, and the gait speed component of the SPPB provide meaningful information on changes in physical function over time. ADL scores did not identify physical function problems. These findings will help researchers and clinicians decide on which physical function measures to use.

Consent), non–English speaking, and hospice patients. Participant screening, recruitment, and consent occurred in outpatient dialysis units within 15 miles of Duke University. This study was approved by the Duke University Institutional Review Board (Pro00075802). All study participants provided informed consent before enrollment and received incentives for participation.

Additional Measures
At baseline, participants reported residence type (eg, private residence, residential living, and long-term care) and assistive device use (eg, cane, walker, and wheelchair), and we administered life-space mobility16,19 and Mini-Cog assessments.20 We reviewed dialysis unit medical records for baseline demographics, comorbid conditions for the Charlson index, hemodialysis access type, length of time since dialysis initiation (years), hemoglobin level, dialysis adequacy (Kt/V), and albumin level.

Statistical Analysis
We performed descriptive statistics of baseline demographic, social, and clinical characteristics, as well as each SPPB score (including its chair stand time and gait speed component), maximum handgrip strength, ADL scores, and time to complete each of these physical function measures. We examined for floor and ceiling effects, defined as >15% of responses at the lowest or highest score for an instrument.21 We calculated average time between visits and the change from baseline to visit 1 and from visit 1 to visit 2 for measures without evidence of floor or ceiling effects.

For consistency across participants, we calculated change over time using data collected on a dialysis day. Because gait speed alone is practical and has high prognostic value,22 we also calculated changes in gait

completed baseline assessments, we found good agreement between SPPB scores on dialysis days and nondialysis days through visual inspection of Bland-Altman plots. Given this minimal variability in SPPB scores in the interdialytic period and logistical challenges in arranging home visits, we discontinued nondialysis day assessments for the remaining participants. Although we attempted to maintain consistency in conducting physical performance measures before dialysis, we conducted physical performance measures after dialysis when patients were unable to participate before their session. Handgrip strength was performed 3 times with a Jamar dynamometer (Jamar), and the maximum force (kg) of the 3 trials was used in analyses.

Katz and Lawton ADLs were administered during dialysis and scored based on the participant’s self-report of ability to complete a task. With higher score indicative of higher physical function, the maximum score of the Katz and Lawton ADLs were 6 and 8, respectively. We assessed completion of each of these physical function measures if a participant attempted the task. To assess practicality in relation to time and space constraints of a dialysis unit, we measured time to completion for each of the assessments, as well as a subjective assessment of available space to conduct physical function measures. We telephoned participants to schedule follow-up visits (visits 2 and 3) at 12-week intervals. If visits could not be completed after 3 attempts, we stopped pursuing data collection.

Statistical Analysis
We performed descriptive statistics of baseline demographic, social, and clinical characteristics, as well as each SPPB score (including its chair stand time and gait speed components), maximum handgrip strength, ADL scores, and time to complete each of these physical function measures. We examined for floor and ceiling effects, defined as >15% of responses at the lowest or highest score for an instrument.21 We calculated average time between visits and the change from baseline to visit 1 and from visit 1 to visit 2 for measures without evidence of floor or ceiling effects.

For consistency across participants, we calculated change over time using data collected on a dialysis day. Because gait speed alone is practical and has high prognostic value,22 we also calculated changes in gait
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speed (available through the SPPB). We identified the proportion of within-person change that was clinically meaningful (improvement or decline) and not clinically meaningful. Derived from the existing literature, cut points for clinically meaningful SPPB score, handgrip strength, and gait speed were ±1 point, ±5 kg, and ±0.1 m/s, respectively. To demonstrate the extent of clinically meaningful change at the individual level, we developed panel-data line plots with baseline set to zero to display change from baseline and used horizontal lines to demarcate clinically meaningful change cut points. We performed multilevel mixed-effects linear regressions to explore trends in physical function over time. Time was modeled as a categorical fixed effect and the models were fit through restricted maximum likelihood with the Kenward-Roger degrees of freedom approximation method. All analyses were performed using STATA (version 15; StataCorp) and SAS (version 9.4; SAS Institute, Inc).

RESULTS

Cohort Characteristics
Of 55 hemodialysis patients approached to participate in the study, 37 enrolled. Two withdrew after experiencing hospitalizations within a week of study enrollment, leaving 35 who completed baseline measures (Fig S1). Among the 35 study participants, mean age was 70.1 (SD, 5.0) years, 46% (n = 16) were women, 77% (n = 27) were African American, and median time receiving dialysis was 2.7 (interquartile range [IQR], 0.6-5.0) years (Table 1). Most (97%; n = 34) lived at home and did not use an assistive device (51%; n = 18) but had restricted life-space mobility such that most needed help going outside their own home (Table 1).

Completion Rate
Of the 35 participants, 97% (n = 34) were able to undergo baseline SPPB and hand grip measures. For longitudinal measures, 83% (n = 29) and 74% (n = 26) were able to be measured at visits 2 and 3, respectively. Study dropout was due to death (n = 3) and voluntary withdrawal (n = 8; Fig S1). Reasons for withdrawal were acute health concerns (n = 5), social concerns (n = 1), lost interest in participation (n = 1), and kidney transplantation (n = 1). Compared with those who completed 1 or 2 study visits, participants who completed all 3 study visits tended to have lower Charlson scores (Table S1).

Although most study visits were completed before dialysis, 3 participants completed 1 or more physical function measure after dialysis. An additional participant could not complete the measures after dialysis because of postdialysis muscle cramps. One participant was unable to complete a follow-up visit because of scheduling conflicts. When prompted by the coordinator, all participants attempted each measure at subsequent visits, except 1 participant who had general weakness did not attempt the visit 3 SPPB. Although participants were cooperative, 31% (n = 11) of those who attempted the SPPB at baseline could not complete the SPPB’s repeated chair stand at least once because of knee pain and/or need to use arms to stand. Median completion times at baseline for SPPB, grip strength, and ADL instruments were 7 (IQR, 6-8), 3 (IQR, 3-4), and 3 (IQR, 2-4) minutes, respectively (Table S2). Each of the dialysis units had adequate space to conduct the SPPB and handgrip measures without interruption (eg, secluded hallway or examination room).

Physical Function Measures and Sensitivity to Change
At baseline, median SPPB score, grip strength, and gait speed were 6 (IQR, 4-9), 55 (IQR, 42-70) kg, and 0.76

Table 1. Baseline Cohort Characteristics

| Characteristic                  | Value* |
|--------------------------------|--------|
| Age, y                         | 70.1 (5.0) |
| Female sex                     | 16 (46%) |
| Race                           |        |
| African American               | 27 (77%) |
| White                          | 8 (23%) |
| Hispanic ethnicity             | 0 (0%)  |
| Charlson Comorbidity Index score | 4.5 (1.6) |
| Time on dialysis, y            | 2.7 [0.6-5.0] |
| Access type (n = 34)           |        |
| Central venous catheter        | 4 (12%) |
| Arteriovenous graft            | 10 (29%) |
| Arteriovenous fistula          | 20 (59%) |
| Hemoglobin, g/dL               | 10.6 (1.0) |
| Kt/V (n = 32)                  | 1.5 (0.2) |
| Albumin, g/dL (n = 34)         | 3.8 [3.5-4.0] |

*Note: Values for categorical variables are given as number (percent); values for continuous variables are given as mean (standard deviation) or median [interquartile range].

Abbreviation: SPPB, Short Physical Performance Battery.

*Values computed among N = 35 unless otherwise noted.

**Kt/V is measure of dialysis adequacy.

**Mini-Cog score of 2 or less is suggestive of higher likelihood of dementia.

*Life-space mobility; score <60 indicates restricted life-space. Lower scores suggest increasing limitations in moving beyond bedroom or home.
We explored the appropriateness of interval assessment of physical function in a cohort of ambulatory older adults receiving in-center hemodialysis. More than half the participants completed the SPPB, grip strength, and ADL assessments in the dialysis unit. Although ADLs demonstrated ceiling effects, average SPPB, grip strength, and gait speed measures revealed a low-functioning cohort with clinically meaningful changes at 3-month intervals. Overall, these findings provide guidance for future clinical and research efforts targeting identification of functional impairment in older adults receiving hemodialysis.

Although functional assessment is not part of routine care for older adults receiving dialysis, some studies in this population have used related measures. The predominance of those measures has involved self-report or provider evaluation (eg, 12-Item Short Form Health Survey or Karnofsky performance score). One study engaged older adults receiving dialysis in the timed-up-and-go test; however, most studies involving physical performance measures included younger adults and evaluated changes in measures over longer intervals (12 months). Our findings extend those of the other studies demonstrating that older adults receiving hemodialysis, a highly vulnerable subgroup, can engage in 3-month interval physical performance measures and clinically meaningful changes can be found in those intervals.

The main goal of this study was to investigate the appropriateness of the SPPB, handgrip strength, and ADL assessments in older adults in the dialysis unit setting. Findings from this prospective study inform future implementation of physical function measures, specifically the SPPB, handgrip strength, and ADL assessments, for both clinical and research purposes. In terms of practicality of time and space constraints in the dialysis unit, we were able to reliably identify adequate space at each dialysis unit to complete the assessments without interference with hemodialysis sessions.

Considering that prior clinical trials with tailored recruitment plans for enrolling older adults achieved 72% to 73% enrollment, we enrolled 67% of eligible patients, suggesting older adults’ willingness to undergo physical function measures for research purposes. However, absent longitudinal data was primarily driven by study attrition from new medical issues and/or death. Future studies should enroll more participants at baseline in anticipation of attrition, as well as acknowledge that survival bias will affect interpretation of longitudinal data. The responsiveness of the SPPB, the gait speed obtained from the SPPB, and grip strength over time support their utility in detecting functional decline in community-dwelling older adults receiving dialysis. However, the SPPB chair stand component was commonly difficult to attempt due to physical limitations. Taken together, these findings suggest that gait speed alone, instead of the SPPB, may be a more appropriate measure of lower-extremity function in this population. There is also limited utility of ADL assessments because of the Katz and Lawton ceiling effects.

Last, our study shows good agreement between a nondialysis day and dialysis day (before the session), but it remains unknown whether there is similar agreement between measures performed before and after a dialysis session.

**DISCUSSION**

We explored the appropriateness of interval assessment of physical function in a cohort of ambulatory older adults receiving in-center hemodialysis. More than half the participants completed the SPPB, grip strength, and ADL assessments in the dialysis unit. Although ADLs demonstrated ceiling effects, average SPPB, grip strength, and gait speed measures revealed a low-functioning cohort with clinically meaningful changes at 3-month intervals. Overall, these findings provide guidance for future clinical and research efforts targeting identification of functional impairment in older adults receiving hemodialysis.
session. Future studies that aim to measure this agreement are needed to provide evidence on the extent of flexibility in timing of functional assessments. Additional studies should also explore longitudinal physical function changes over longer observation periods.

This study revealed heterogeneity in the degree of vulnerability among community-dwelling older adults receiving dialysis. Some participants were highly vulnerable because nearly 25% of participants either died or withdrew for health issues. At baseline, the cohort’s median SPPB score and gait speed were lower than average for community-dwelling older adults, suggesting that nearly half the participants had increased risk for future disability and mortality. Still, some participants met the average physical performance for their age. This heterogeneity suggests that additional research could potentially reveal factors that influence maintenance of physical function among older adults receiving dialysis.

Our ability to collect robust functional measurements over time in a cohort seldom included in research, older hemodialysis patients, is a strength of this study. However, there are some limitations. First, our study does not confirm the feasibility of physical function assessment. To confirm feasibility, future studies would need to assess the practicality of adding functional measures to dialysis unit staff workload and measure acceptability (ie, participant or staff satisfaction or opinions on clinical relevance). Second, inconsistency in the timing of functional assessments (before vs after hemodialysis) may introduce measurement bias. However, additional studies are needed to evaluate whether post- and predialysis physical function are incongruent. Last, our study’s generalizability is limited given the small geographic distribution, absent Hispanic representation, and exclusion of patients receiving home dialysis. Future studies are needed to see whether our findings are consistent in a larger more representative cohort of older dialysis patients.

In summary, this prospective study in a vulnerable population of older hemodialysis patients demonstrates that interval assessment of physical function is feasible in the busy dialysis unit setting, and it provides clinically meaningful information on functional change over time. Using interval assessment of physical function to inform the management of functional decline is the next critical step toward improving function in older adults receiving dialysis.
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