Probing X-ray irradiation in the nucleus of NGC 1068 with observations of high-J lines of dense gas tracers*
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ABSTRACT

Context. Single-dish observations of molecular tracers have suggested that both star formation and an AGN can drive the gas chemistry of the central ~kpc of active galaxies. The irradiation by UV photons from a starburst or by X-rays from an AGN is expected to produce different signatures in molecular chemistry, which existing data on low-J lines cannot distinguish, as they do not trace gas at high temperature and density. Depending on the angular scale of a galaxy, the observed low-J lines can be dominated by the emission coming from the starburst ring rather than from the central region.

Aims. With the incorporation of high-J molecular lines, we aim to constrain the physical conditions of the dense gas in the central region of the Seyfert 2 galaxy NGC 1068 and to determine signatures of the AGN or the starburst contribution.

Methods. We used the James Clerk Maxwell Telescope to observe the J = 4–3 transition of HCN, HNC, and HCO+, as well as the CN N2 = 21/2 − 11/2 and N1 = 31/2 − 23/2, in NGC 1068. We estimate the excitation conditions of HCN, HNC, and CN, based on the line intensity ratios and radiative transfer models. We discuss the results in the context of models of irradiation of the molecular gas by UV light and X-rays.

Results. A first-order estimate leads to starburst contribution factors of 0.58 and 0.56 for the CN and HCN J = 1–0 lines, respectively. We find that the bulk emission of HCN, HNC, and CN, and the high-J HCO+ emerge from dense gas (n(H2) ≥ 107 cm−3). However, the low-J HCO+ lines (dominating the HCO+ column density) trace less dense (n(H2) < 105 cm−3) and colder (Trot ≤ 20 K) gas, whereas the high-J HCO+ emerges from warmer (>30 K) gas than the other molecules. We also find that the HNC/HCN and CN/HCN line intensity ratios decrease with increasing rotational quantum number J.

Conclusions. The HCO+ J = 4–3 line intensity, compared with the lower transition lines and with the HCN J = 4–3 line, support the influence of a local XDR environment. The estimated N(CN)/N(HCN) ∼ 1–4 column density ratios are indicative of an XDR/AGN environment with a possible contribution of gas-surface chemistry induced by X-rays or shocks.
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1. Introduction

Active galaxies often have high concentrations of molecular gas in their central (<kpc) region, which may be feeding a central active galactic nucleus (AGN) or an starburst (e.g. Sanders & Mirabel 1996; Gao & Solomon 1997, 1999). Molecular gas irradiation by hard X-ray photons (>1 keV) emitted during the accretion process in the proximity of AGNs, leads to an X-ray dominated region (XDR) (e.g. Maloney et al. 1996; Lepp & Dalgarno 1996; Meijerink & Spaans 2005). In an starburst region, the formation/ destruction of molecules is instead driven by far-UV photons (6–13.6 eV) emitted by O and B stars, which leave the fingerprint of a photon-dominated region (PDR) (cf. Hollenbach & Tielens 1999, and references therein). An increased X-ray ionization of molecular clouds can enhance the abundance of several molecules (e.g. CN, HNC, HCN and HCO+) with respect to their abundances found in PDRs (e.g. Lepp & Dalgarno 1996; Meijerink & Spaans 2005; Meijerink et al. 2007). Conversely, the chemical state of the molecular gas is a tracer of the dominant energy source of AGNs.

* Appendices are only available in electronic form at http://www.aanda.org

The prototypical Seyfert 2 galaxy NGC 1068 (located at a distance ~14.4 Mpc and with a bolometric luminosity of ~1011 L⊙) is a known source where the star formation and/or AGN activity can be debated as the main driving mechanism of its gas chemistry. It hosts a circumnuclear starburst ring of about 2.5–3 kpc in diameter (Schinnerer et al. 2000), which delimits a ~2.3 kpc stellar bar (Scoville et al. 1988). The detection of strong CO emission coming from the starburst ring indicates that its massive star formation activity is being fed by vast amounts of molecular gas (e.g. Planesas et al. 1989, 1991; Helfer & Blitz 1995; Schinnerer et al. 2000). However, significant CO emission also arises from a circumnuclear disk (CND) of about 200 pc in diameter (Solomon & Barret 1991; Schinnerer et al. 2000). Earlier observations of high HCN/CO luminosity ratios, measured in the CND of NGC 1068, suggested an abnormal (by Galactic standards) chemistry of molecular gas (Tacconi et al. 1994; Sternberg et al. 1994). Later observations of HCN, HCO+ and HCO, among other molecules (Usero et al. 2004), suggest that the chemistry in the CND of NGC 1068 corresponds to that of an XDR. On the other hand, single-dish observations of other high-density tracers (HNC and CN) in the CND of NGC 1068 show that the HNC/HCN and CN/HCN line
Table 1. Beam sizes and efficiencies.

| Molecule | Transition | $\nu$ [GHz] | HPBW ["] | $\eta_{\text{ab}}$ |
|----------|------------|-------------|------------|-----------------|
| CN       | $2_{11}-1_{21}$ | 228.875 | 21 | 0.67 |
| CN       | $3_{22}-2_{11}$ | 359.517 | 15 | 0.63 |
| HCN      | 4–3 | 354.505 | 14 | 0.63 |
| HCO$^+$  | 4–3 | 356.734 | 14 | 0.63 |
| HNC      | 4–3 | 362.630 | 14 | 0.63 |

ratios favor a PDR, rather than an XDR scenario (Pérez-Beaupuits et al. 2007). This independent observational evidence for both PDR and XDR scenarios is consistent with a model that considers an AGN and starburst component to be present in NGC 1068 (Spinoglio et al. 2005). However, a later estimate indicates that the age of the most recent episode of star formation, within the central 10–100 pc, is about 250±50 Myr (Davies et al. 2007). This result suggests a recent, although no longer active, starburst activity in the CND of NGC 1068.

Nevertheless, it was noted in Pérez-Beaupuits et al. (2007) that the result of a favored PDR scenario in the CND of NGC 1068 may be misleading, since the AGN contribution (through XDR effects) is typical of an smaller angular scale than the starburst contribution (PDR). X-rays are known to penetrate deeper than UV photons into a single molecular cloud. But its effects are rather local, since the energy flux of the radiation decreases with radial distance from the source. On the other hand, an starburst region is more spread, and several O/B stars will typically be closer to a molecular cloud than a single X-ray source. Thus the PDR effects will dominate the bulk of the gas. Hence, the smaller angular size of the action zone of an X-ray source (the region around an X-ray source that dominates over UV driven chemical effects) will be affected by beam dilution, and the radiation emerging from the starburst component can dominate the overall emission collected by a single dish beam. This is a known drawback of single dish observations of extragalactic sources that, depending on the diameter of the telescope used, complicates the efforts to disentangle the AGN activity from that of the starburst ring, when considering the intensities of the lower transitions of molecular lines. Given that the starburst contribution is likely dominant at radii $R \sim 14''$ in NGC 1068, but not in the CND at $R \sim 2''$, the low-J lines observed with single dish telescopes (with beam $>25''$) can be dominated by the contribution from the starburst ring, and if uncorrected, can be misleading.

In this paper we present observations of high-J lines of HCN, HNC, CN and HCO$^+$ on NGC 1068. Due to the smaller beam size, these lines are less affected by the contribution from the starburst ring, than the $J = 1–0$ lines. We also present a first order estimate of the starburst contribution, based on independent observations of the $J = 1–0$ lines, and correct the intensities of these lines accordingly. Since HNC is the isomer of HCN, the radiation of these molecules is expected to arise from gas with the same physical conditions. However, radiative transfer models based on the $2_{12}-1_{21}$ line ratios of these molecules showed that the HNC emissions arise from less dense gas than HCN, when considering a kinetic temperature of about 80 K (Pérez-Beaupuits et al. 2007). We compare these previous results with those obtained from the new $2_{12}-1_{21}$ line ratios. The molecular abundances estimated from the radiative transfer models are subsequently compared to those obtained with our PDR and XDR models.

In Sect. 2 we describe the observations. The results (spectral lines and line intensities) are presented in Sect. 3. The modelling and analysis of the excitation conditions and abundances of HCN, HNC, HCO$^+$ and CN are presented in Sect. 4. The conclusions and final remarks of this work are presented in Sect. 5.

2. Observations and data reduction

We have used the 16-pixel receiver HARP (Heterodyne Array Receiver Programme) on JCMT\(^1\), during December 2006, to observe the $J = 4–3$ lines of HNC (363 GHz), HCN (355 GHz) and HCO$^+$ (357 GHz), as well as the CN $NJ = 3_{22}-2_{11}$ (339 GHz), toward the center of the Seyfert galaxy NGC 1068 (RA = $02^\mathrm{h}42^\mathrm{m}40.7^\mathrm{s}$ Dec = $-00^\circ00'48.7''$). Beam sizes and efficiencies are shown in Table 1. We used HARP as a single pixel in staring mode, since it was the only receiver available to cover the high frequencies. The 10th pixel was used to point the telescope at the nuclear region of NGC 1068. Detection in the other pixels was negligible and beyond the scope of this work, since they point outside the circumnuclear disk. Pointing was checked regularly on SiO masers and the RMS was found to be lower than 2". Since HARP was not fully commissioned at the time of the observations, we regularly checked the calibration of the receiver observing the CO $J = 3–2$ line in the reference source CRL168 and we compared it with the representative spectrum obtained with the former receiver B3. It was found that the calibration CO $J = 3–2$ line observed with HARP was fainter than the reference spectrum, and our data was corrected according to the variations of the calibration observed during the run. We also used the receiver A3 to observe the CN $NJ = 2_{5/2}-1_{3/2}$ (226 GHz) line. The backend was the ACSIS correlator, and when observing with HARP we used a bandwidth of 1 GHz since the 1.8 GHz bandwidth was showing some anomalies in the overlap area and a higher system temperature. Unfortunately, this limited our baseline coverage. Nevertheless, we used 2048 spectral channels which gives a resolution of about 0.4 km s\(^{-1}\) in the original spectra. We obtained different numbers of scans (on-source observations) for all the observed lines, with integration times between 5 and 10 min each, to allow for calibration observations between the scans.

After a weighted (by system temperature and integration time) average of the scans, a baseline was removed from the average spectra and the resolution was lowered to reduce the level of noise. A first-order polynomial was used in most of the spectra to fit the baselines. Only for CN $NJ = 3_{22}-2_{11}$ a second order polynomial was needed. The velocity resolution was reduced to 20 km s\(^{-1}\), which represents less than 10% of the line widths. Small variations in the channels chosen to define the baselines in the original averaged spectra, lead to variations of <6% in the integrated intensities of the final spectra shown in the next section. The average system temperature was about 300 K for total on-source integration times between 35 min and 4.1 h. The $S/N$ levels (the peak antenna temperatures, obtained from the Gaussian fit, with respect to the rms of the baselines) ranged between 3 and 7. The software package XS (written by Bergman, Onsala Space Observatory) was used to reduce the data and fit the Gaussians.

3. Results

The observed molecular line emissions are shown in Fig. 1 and the line parameters of the Gaussian fits are summarized

---

\(^1\) The JCMT is operated by the Joint Astronomy Center on behalf of the Science and Technology Facilities Council of the United Kingdom, the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research, and the National Research Council of Canada.
Fig. 1. Molecular line emissions in NGC 1068. The velocity resolution was reduced to 20 km s\(^{-1}\) for all the lines. The spectra are centered with respect to the heliocentric systemic velocity \(v_{\text{sys}} = 1137\) km s\(^{-1}\).

Table 2. NGC 1068 line parameters.

| Molecule-J | \(V\) [km s\(^{-1}\)] | \(T_A^*\) [mK] | \(\Delta V\) [km s\(^{-1}\)] |
|-----------|----------------|-------------|----------------|
| CN \(N_j = 2_{3/2} - 1_{1/2}\) | 1131 ± 15 | 21.4 ± 1.7 | 265 ± 35 |
| | 1444 ± 42 | 7.1 ± 2.1 | 265\(^{a}\) |
| CN \(N_j = 3_{3/2} - 2_{3/2}\) | 1076 ± 14 | 5.0 ± 0.9 | 132 ± 34 |
| | 1252 ± 33 | 2.2 ± 0.8 | 132\(^{a}\) |
| HCN \(J = 4\) | 1123 ± 6 | 41.5 ± 2.4 | 202 ± 14 |
| HNC \(J = 4\) | 1116 ± 18 | 6.7 ± 1.1 | 218 ± 47 |
| HCO\(^+\) \(J = 4\) | 1112 ± 8 | 14.9 ± 1.4 | 166 ± 19 |

\(^{a}\) The uncertainty of this parameter was larger than 100%, if let free. So we set its value to the one found for the main Gaussian component.

Table 3. Velocity-integrated intensities\(^{a}\).

| Molecule | Transition | Intensity [K km s\(^{-1}\)] |
|----------|------------|----------------------------|
| CN \(2_{3/2} - 1_{1/2}\) | 417 ± 1.4 |
| CN \(3_{3/2} - 2_{3/2}\) | 1.6 ± 0.3 |
| HCN \(4\) | 13.9 ± 1.6 |
| HNC \(4\) | 2.7 ± 0.3 |
| HCO\(^+\) \(4\) | 3.8 ± 0.5 |

\(^{a}\) Total velocity integrated temperature considering all the components of each spectral line. The values correspond to the main-beam brightness intensity, \(I_{\text{mb}} = \int T_A^*/\eta_{\text{mb}} \delta v\), in [K km s\(^{-1}\)].

In Table 2. The spectra are centered with respect to the heliocentric systemic velocity \(v_{\text{sys}} = 1137\) km s\(^{-1}\), taken from the NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED). The velocity-integrated intensities are shown in Table 3.

The HCN \(J = 4\) spectrum shows the same single peak structure as the lower-\(J\) transitions reported by Pérez-Beaupuits et al. (2007) and Curran et al. (2000). The average line width is about 25% (~70 km s\(^{-1}\)) narrower than the average width observed in the lower \(J\)-transitions.

The double peak structure seen in the HNC \(J = 1\) and \(J = 3\) spectral lines by Pérez-Beaupuits et al. (2007) is not present in the \(J = 4\) line. This may be due to the smaller beam size and to the lower signal to noise ratio of our data. The center velocity of the Gaussian fit is just about 10 km s\(^{-1}\) away from the center velocities of the main component in the lower transition lines (within ~1\(\sigma\)). Since this is a single component line, its average width is about 50 km s\(^{-1}\) wider than the line widths of the lower transition lines reported by Pérez-Beaupuits et al. (2007), but similar to the line width of the HNC \(J = 1\) line reported by Hüttemeister et al. (1995).

The HCO\(^+\) spectrum shows a single peak structure, and appears to be narrower than the \(J = 1\) and \(J = 3\) lines reported by Krips et al. (2008). Our \(J = 4\) line has an average line width of about 166 km s\(^{-1}\), while the lines reported by Krips et al. have average line widths of about 240 km s\(^{-1}\). This may be an indication that the \(J = 4\) line traces a different gas than the lower transitions. But due to the relatively low \(S/N \sim 6\), deeper observations are needed.
optically thin limit, the second spingroup is expected to be a factor \(< 0.56\) weaker than the main spingroup. The factor we obtained from the Gaussian fit in Fig. 1 is \(\sim 0.33 \pm 0.1\), which means that this line is close to optically thin.

Observing CN with HARP at \(^{-339.5}\) GHz, we also detected a double peak structure. However, the two spingroups at \(^{-339.5}\) GHz \((N = 3−2, J = 5/2−5/2, F = 7/2−7/2)\) and \(^{-339.47}\) GHz \((F = 5/2−5/2)\) are severely blended. The separation between the two peaks is \(176 \pm 36 \text{ km s}^{-1}\), whereas the separation between the farthest spingroup \((339.447 \text{ GHz, } F = 5/2−3/2)\) could not be detected in our bandwidth. The separation is expected to be at just about \(62 \text{ km s}^{-1}\) towards the higher velocities. So we do not think the double peak structure is due to another spingroup. Nevertheless, two Gaussian components are needed to fit the line profile. Given the noise in the spectrum, the line width of the second component was set to the line width found for the main component.

4. Discussion

4.1. Structure of the CND and limitations of the model

Millimeter/sub-millimeter and mid-IR high spatial resolution observations of the nuclear region of NGC 1068 support the classical picture of a rotating torus (e.g., Tacconi et al. 1994; Schinnerer et al. 2000; Galliano et al. 2005; Poncet et al. 2007). However, in some previous works (e.g., Schinnerer et al. 2000) it was speculated that the classical (large-scale) molecular torus is not always needed to explain the occultation of the nuclear regions. Recent mid-IR observations have shown the existence of two molecular streamers feeding the galactic nucleus of NGC 1068 (Tomono et al. 2006; Sánchez et al. 2009). These observations suggest that the occultation of the nuclear region can also be associated with a nuclear concentration of molecular gas and dust that forms an optically thick amorphous clumpy structure (Sánchez et al. 2009). Although it is very likely that this structure encloses smaller infalling clouds, they are not resolved at the 0.075" (scale size \(< \text{5 pc} \) at a distance of 14.4 Mpc) resolution of the Very Large Telescope (VLT).

In the case of millimeter and sub-millimeter interferometer maps of high density tracers (e.g., Tacconi et al. 1994; García-Burillo et al. 2008), not even the streamers are resolved. This represents a limitation of the current millimeter and sub-millimeter observations in comparison with visual and IR wavelength ranges, with which the morphology and dynamics of the gas can be studied in more detail. This limitation is even more severe in single dish observations for which, depending on the lines observed, the emitting regions (or clouds) can be of a much smaller scale (\(<1 \text{ order of magnitude}\) than the beam size of the telescope. In particular, our single dish observations of HCN, HNC, CN and HCO\(^+\) are sensitive to the high density (\(\sim 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}\)) clouds that can be embedded even inside the feeding streamers observed by Sánchez et al. (2009). The size of these dense clumps is expected to be from \(<1 \text{ pc} \) up to a few pc, embedded in large molecular clouds of the order of tens of parsecs. In the near future, only the Atacama Large Millimeter Array (ALMA) is expected to resolve these smaller clouds at millimeter and sub-millimeter wavelengths with resolutions (at 1 mm) between 0.17" and 0.011" (scale size \(7−0.7 \text{ pc} \) at the distance of NGC 1068).

Ideally, we would like to be able to observe and to model the physical environment in extra-galactic sources, just like it has been done for the Milky Way. Relatively complex radiative transfer codes like, for instance, RATRAN (Hoogerheijde & van der Tak 2000) and \(\beta SD\) (Poelmann & Spaans 2005), can be used to model the internal dynamics, temperature and density structure of individual molecular clouds. However, the detailed structure and signatures of the individual clouds are convolved and smeared out with a large (\(>10^{10}\)) beam in single dish extra-galactic observations. Therefore, we do not have the information needed to constrain the parameters related to the detailed internal structure of the clouds. What we have is the convolved intensity and line profile of the emission emerging from all the clumps that fall within the telescope beam. Therefore, all modeling efforts are limited to estimate only the bulk of the gas properties. For this purpose, the less complex radiative transfer code RADEX\(^2\) (Van der Tak et al. 2007) is a sensitive model for estimating these average physical conditions of the high density gas from single dish observations towards extra-galactic sources. However, due to the lack of spatial resolution in our data, and the limitations of our model, the following discussion and the conclusions derived from them should be taken as qualitative orders of magnitude. Higher spatial resolution, such as the resolutions that ALMA will provide, are necessary to reach definitive conclusions.

4.2. Line ratios and starburst contribution

The observed line ratios of molecular transitions have been largely used as a tool for estimating the physical conditions of the molecular gas. However, the conversion from \(T_{\text{mb}}\) to \(T_K\) is uncertain through the source size of the emitting region and, hence, the derived physical conditions \((T_K, n(\text{H}_2)\) and \(N)\) depend strongly on this conversion. Special care regarding this issue has been taken in previous work (e.g. Tacconi et al. 1994; Usero et al. 2004; Pérez-Beaupuits et al. 2007; Krips et al. 2008).

The high resolution map of the CO \((J = 1−0)\) line in NGC 1068 reported by Schinnerer et al. (2000) shows that the morphology of this galaxy consists mostly of a nuclear region (circumnuclear disk) and spiral arms (starburst ring). Since our aim is to use the HCN, CN, HNC and HCO\(^+\) data as a diagnostic tool for AGN-like environments, we are interested only in the emission emerging from the CND of NGC 1068. However, the starburst ring could potentially be contributing to the observed emission and, hence, influence the results of the radiative transfer models, as noted by Pérez-Beaupuits et al. (2007). This is particularly relevant for the \(J = 1−0\) transitions of all the molecules because of the larger beam size. Therefore, the line intensity ratios, involving the \(J = 1−0\) transitions, could be misleading.

A priori, the most straightforward way to estimate the contribution from the starburst ring would be to use interferometer data, for instance, from the HCN \((J = 1−0)\) map reported by Tacconi et al. (1994). Although this is possible, using interferometer data is somewhat delicate due to the missing flux. Even though the zero spacing problem can be resolved through spectra observed with a single-dish telescope in the direction of the interferometer phase center, only part of the short spacing information can be recovered. Besides, high quality interferometer and single-dish data are required in order to minimize the artifacts in the final combined data. Because the uncertainties in this technique are higher, and because high quality data are not available for all the lines needed, we derive below a first-order estimate of the starburst contribution, based on single-dish data only. We use the available \(J = 1−0\) lines of HCN, HNC and CN observed with different telescopes (SEST, OSO, IRAM 30 m).

The total flux or intensity \(F_{\text{TOTAL}}\), measured with a beam of \(FWHM = \theta_{\text{mb}}\) that includes emission from the starburst ring

\(^2\)http://www.sron.nl/~vdgtak/radex/radex_manual.pdf
\( F_{\text{SB}} \), of average radius \( r \approx 14'' \), and from the CND \( F_{\text{CND}} \), with an smaller radius of about 1', can be decomposed as follows:

\[
F_{\text{TOTAL}} = F_{\text{CND}} + \omega_{\text{CND}} F_{\text{SB}} + \omega_{\text{SB}},
\]

where \( \omega_{\text{CND}} \) and \( \omega_{\text{SB}} \) are weighting functions that can be estimated as \( \omega_{\text{CND}} \sim 1 \), because the size of the CND is small compared to the beams of any of the available single dish data, and

\[
\omega_{\text{SB}} \sim \exp \left[ -4 \times \ln(2) \times \left( \frac{14''}{\theta_{\text{mb}}} \right)^2 \right].
\]

In Eq. (2) we assume that the contribution comes only from an axisymmetric ring-like distribution of radius \( r = 14'' \), neglecting the contribution from the bar or any other feature at intermediate radii. Since we have at least two independent observations of the \( J = 1-0 \) line, with different telescopes of beams \( \theta_{\text{mb1}} \) and \( \theta_{\text{mb2}} \), we can have a first-order estimate of the starburst contribution as:

\[
F_{\text{SB}} = \frac{F_{\text{TOTAL1}} - F_{\text{TOTAL2}}}{\omega_{\text{SB1}} - \omega_{\text{SB2}}},
\]

Hence, from Eq. (1), the starburst contribution factor \( f_{\text{SB}} \) of a line observed with any telescope can be estimated as:

\[
f_{\text{SB}} = \frac{F_{\text{SB}} \times \omega_{\text{SB2}}}{F_{\text{TOTAL}}}.\]

So we can estimate the intensity that emerges from the CND from the observed total intensity as \( F_{\text{CND}} \sim F_{\text{TOTAL}} \times (1 - f_{\text{SB}}) \). This means that, the larger \( f_{\text{SB}} \), the larger will be the ratio. Note that, from Eq. (2), \( f_{\text{SB}} \) depends on the beam size \( \theta_{\text{mb}} \) of the telescope used for the observations. Using the peak intensities from Pérez-Beaupuits et al. (2007) and from available IRAM 30 m data for the same CN, HCN and HNC \( J = 1-0 \) lines (Usero et al. 2009) and the corresponding telescope beams, we estimate the \( f_{\text{SB}} \) factors as 0.58 ± 0.25 for CN (SEST, \( \theta_{\text{mb}} = 45'' \)), 0.56 ± 0.32 for HCN (OSO, \( \theta_{\text{mb}} = 44'' \)) and 0.99 ± 0.50, for HNC (SEST, \( \theta_{\text{mb}} = 55'' \)).

The similar (within ~4%) starburst contribution factors found for CN and HCN, as observed with SEST and OSO, respectively, are as expected since the respective beam sizes are also similar (within ~2%). Instead, the high contribution factor estimated for HNC would indicate that only 1% of the observed emission emerges from the nuclear region, in contrast to the 42% and 44% found for CN and HCN. If this was real, we would expect to see a pronounced double peak structure, with the proper velocity centers, in the HNC \( J = 1-0 \) line profile. Since this is not the case, we think that the substantial difference between the starburst contribution factors of HNC and the other molecules might be due to some problems (e.g., pointing or calibration errors) in the spectral line reported in Pérez-Beaupuits et al. (2007), which was noted to be different than the one previously reported by Hüttemeister et al. (1995). Thus, we use the HNC \( J = 1-0 \) line from Hüttemeister et al. for the following analysis. However, this line, as well as the low-\( J \) HCO\(^+\) lines reported by Krips et al. (2008), was observed with the IRAM 30 m telescope only. Therefore, we cannot estimate the corresponding starburst contribution factor, due to the lack of independent observations. Hence, for HNC and HCO\(^+\) \( J = 1-0 \) lines we adopt the value \( f_{\text{SB}} = 0.45 ± 0.31 \) estimated from the HCN observations done with the 30m telescope (\( \theta_{\text{mb}} = 28'' \)). The starburst contribution factors for the higher-\( J \) transitions are expected to be negligible because of the smaller beams at higher frequencies, so we can assume these to be zero.

After correcting the \( J = 1-0 \) lines for the starburst contribution, we correct the peak intensities for beam dilution as

\[
F_{\text{TOTAL1}} - F_{\text{TOTAL2}} = \frac{F_{\text{CND}}}{\omega_{\text{CND}}} = \frac{F_{\text{SB}}}{\omega_{\text{SB}}}.
\]

The ratios between the line intensities are shown in Table 4. We use the ratios between the peak intensities of the main components in the Gaussian fit shown in Table 2. The peak intensity corresponds to the emission emerging from regions with velocities close to the systemic velocity of NGC 1068, and the ratios obtained from these values should be similar to those obtained from the velocity-integrated intensities, if the line widths of the corresponding components of the different transitions are also similar. The peak intensities of the low-\( J \) transitions of HCN and CN were taken from Pérez-Beaupuits et al. (2007). The peak main beam temperatures of the lower transitions of HCO\(^+\) were provided by Krips (private communication; Krips et al. 2008).

The line ratios were compared considering uncertainties of 10% in the reported beam efficiencies \( \eta_{\text{mb}} \), 5% in the main beam and 5% in the estimated source size \( \theta_{\text{GB}} \). As described in the next section, \( \theta_{\text{GB}} \) was assumed to be 1.5'' and the same for all the lines. Note that the high uncertainties given in Table 4 should be considered lower limits, since comparison of data observed with different telescopes may introduce additional uncertainties due to the presence of different unknown systematics between the telescopes.

### 4.3. Modeling the excitation conditions

We explore a wide range of possible excitation conditions that can lead to the observed line intensities and line ratios, in a similar way as described in Pérez-Beaupuits et al. (2007). In the radiative transfer models, the kinetic temperature \( T_K \) and the hydrogen density \( n(H_2) \) can be constrained from the line ratios (Table 4), whereas the column density (per line width) is mostly constrained by the line intensities. We used the intensity of the \( J = 3-2 \) line of HCN, HNC, and HCO\(^+\), and the \( N_J = 2J+1 - 3J/2 \) line of CN, since these are common in the ratios between the low-\( J \) and high-\( J \) lines. The intensity of these lines was corrected by beam dilution as described below. We use the radiative transfer code RADEX to build a cube of kinetic temperature \( T_K \), number density \( n(H_2) \) and column density per line width \( N/\Delta V \) for each molecule.

For most of the molecules we explored collisions only with \( H_2 \), since this is considered to be the most important
astrophysical process. Other collision partners can be H and He. Although their collision cross sections are comparable, H₂ is about 5 times more abundant than H and He is at least one order of magnitude less abundant than H₂ in the dense cores of molecular clouds (e.g., Meijerink & Spaans 2005). Hence, the effects of collisions with these other partners can be neglected. Nevertheless, in contrast with HCN, HNC and HCO⁺, the open shell molecular structure of the CN radical makes it more interactive with electrons. Therefore, we also explore the CN–e– collision as an additional process that could aid the excitation of CN, since the electron abundance can be enhanced by a high ionization degree in PDRs and XDRs.

The volume density explored ranges between 10⁴ cm⁻³ and 10¹⁷ cm⁻³, the kinetic temperature varies from 4 K to 200 K, and the column density per line width lies between 10¹⁰ cm⁻² km⁻¹ s⁻¹ and 10¹¹ cm⁻² km⁻¹ s⁻¹. In order to get the actual column density and optical depth, the values that will be reported here must be multiplied by a pertinent line width. According to Schinnerer et al. (2000), the velocity dispersion at the nuclear region of NGC 1068 is about 23 km s⁻¹. The velocity dispersion increases as well. The column density per line width lies between 10¹⁰ cm⁻² km⁻¹ s⁻¹ to 10⁵ cm⁻² km⁻¹ s⁻¹.

| Molecule/ratio | n(H₂) [cm⁻³] | T_K [K] | N/Δνᵇ [cm⁻² km⁻¹ s⁻¹] | τᵇ |
|----------------|--------------|---------|-----------------------|-----|
| HCN            | 10⁴–10⁷      | >20     | 10¹³²–10¹⁵²            | 10⁻³⁸ < τ₁₁⁺₁ < 10⁻⁴ | 10⁻³⁸ < τ₁₁⁻₁ < 10⁻⁸ |
| HNC            | 10⁴–10⁷      | 10–90   | 10¹²⁸–10¹⁵⁰            | 10⁻¹⁰ < τ₁₁⁺₁ < 10⁻⁶ | 1 < τ₁₁⁻₁ < 10⁻³ |
| CN             | 10⁵⁺–10⁷     | 10–20   | 10¹⁴–10¹⁵²             | 10⁻⁰⁶ < τ₁₁⁺₁ < 10⁻⁴ | 10⁻⁰⁶ < τ₁₁⁻₁ < 10⁻⁴ |
| HCO⁺(1+3)      | 10⁵⁺–10⁷     | >30     | 10¹¹⁹–10¹²²            | 10⁻⁴⁰ < τ₁₁⁺₁ < 10⁻¹² | 10⁻⁴⁰ < τ₁₁⁻¹ < 10⁻¹² |

* Results from the single-phase model with a source size θₙ = 1.5″.  
* In order to get the actual column density and optical depth, the values reported here must be multiplied by a pertinent line width, i.e. thermal plus turbulent width (FWHM) of the lines. According to Schinnerer et al. (2000), the line width dispersion at the nuclear region of NGC 1068 is about 23 km s⁻¹. The line width increases as well.

The physical conditions of HCN, HCO⁺, and HNC were modeled using the collisional data available in the LAMDA³ database (Schöier et al. 2005). In the case of CN, we used the collision rates estimated by Fuente et al. (1995). We do not consider hyperfine splitting of CN, HNC and HCN, since they are not resolved in extragalactic systems.

In Pérez-Beaupuits et al. (2007) the excitation conditions of HCN and HNC overlapped, assuming that their emission emerges from the same region. Since we now have more transitions, we can constrain the temperature and density for each molecule separately, and then see if they agree or not.

Once the starburst contribution to the low-J lines is removed, the bulk of the emission for all the dense gas tracers mostly arises from the CND. There should not be a significant difference in the sizes of the CND as seen in HCN, HCO⁺, HNC, and CN, if all the lines can be modeled by a single phase. So we assume the same source size for all the J lines to correct them for beam dilution. From the interferometer maps of CN, HCN and HCO⁺ available in the literature for NGC 1068 (e.g. Tacconi et al. 1994; Kohino et al. 2001; García-Burillo et al. 2008), we estimate that the source size (FWHM) of these molecules ranges between about 1 and 2 arcsec.

We can model the physical conditions for most of the line ratios of most of the molecules, considering an average source size θₙ = 1.5″. A summary of the estimated physical conditions is provided in Table 5, and a summary of the main results is presented in the next section. Details of the modeling and analysis of the uncertainties are given in the Appendix.

4.4. Physical environment and abundance ratios

All the solutions for HCN are found for temperatures higher than 20 K, with a degeneracy between the kinetic temperature and molecular hydrogen density. The temperatures at which solutions can be found for HNC are limited up to about 90 K.  

³ http://www.strw.leidenuniv.nl/~moldata/
The solutions found for both CN $^{2_2}P_{1/2}$ and $^{2_2}P_{3/2}$ line ratios overlap in a small region, with a narrow temperature range (between 10 K and 20 K). However, if we use an smaller source size the temperature range can go from 20 K up to 100 K. The analysis of the uncertainties in CN is presented in Appendix B.1. We found that the effect of electrons as a secondary collision partner of CN, is not important in a PDR environment due to the relatively low electron abundance ($\sim 10^{-3}$) with respect to $H_2$ and because the abundance of CN is very low where $n(e^-)$ is considerably higher (at the outer layers of a molecular cloud, close to the ionization front). In an XDR environment, small effects can be expected from collisions with electrons, mainly for the high-$J$ lines.

Using $\theta_{\text{eff}} = 1.5''$ our model is not able to reproduce the HCO$^+$ $J=2-1$ line ratio and the HCO$^+$ $J=3-2$ line intensity simultaneously. But it is able to reproduce the HCO$^+$ $J=4-3$ line. However, our model can reproduce the observed HCO$^+$ $J=2-1$ ratio, and HCO$^+$ $J=3-2$ intensity, if we assume either a larger source size, or a larger starburst contribution factor. Detailed analysis of these alternatives is described in Appendix B.2.

We estimate an $N$(HNC)/$N$(HCN) column density (abundance) ratio lower than unity in the CND of NGC 1068. This result cannot be interpreted as a signature of a pure PDR or XDR environment because the HNC abundance can be decreased due to high temperatures produced inside a molecular cloud by mechanisms like turbulence and shocks (Loenen et al. 2008). The high SiO abundance observed in the CND of NGC 1068 can be a direct evidence of the possible contributions from mechanical heating (shocks) and dust grain chemistry induced by X-rays (García-Burillo et al. 2008). Hence, a more elaborate PDR/XDR model that includes both mechanical heating and grain surface chemistry is needed for the interpretation of our results.

The mean $N$(CN)/$N$(HCN) column density ratios (0.7–0.9) estimated with $\theta_{\text{eff}} = 1.5''$ can be easily found in an XDR environment, but the predominance of this component cannot be concluded from the $N$(CN)/$N$(HCN) ratio only, since ratios $\sim 1.0$ are also expected in a PDR component (Lepp & Dalgarno 1996; Meijerink et al. 2007). The mean column density ratios $\leq 2 \lesssim N$(CN)/$N$(HCN) $\leq 4$ estimated with $\theta_{\text{eff}} = 1''$, are tentatively more consistent with an XDR/AGN environments (Lepp & Dalgarno 1996; Meijerink et al. 2007). However, these and higher [CN]/[HCN] abundance ratios have also been found in PDR/starburst scenarios (e.g. Fuente et al. 2005). The fact that we find a relatively low $N$(CN)/$N$(HCN) column density ratio, with respect to what would be expected in a pure XDR scenario, could be explained by an overabundance of HCN due to the grain-surface chemistry suggested by García-Burillo et al. (2008).

Our model indicates that the emission from the high-$J$ HCO$^+$ lines emerge from gas that does not co-exist with HCN, HNC and CN, in the nuclear region of NGC 1068. The warmer and denser gas traced by the high-$J$ lines is only an small fraction (0.5%–10%) of the total HCO$^+$ gas. Most of it is confined to the lower transitions. Details of the analysis and interpretation of the abundance ratios are presented in Appendix C.

5. Conclusions

We have used HARP on JCMT to observe the $J = 4–3$ line of HCN, HNC, HCO$^+$, and the $N_1 = 2_3/2-1_1/2$ and $N_2 = 3_3/2-2_3/2$ transitions of CN, in the nuclear region of NGC 1068. We estimated the excitation conditions and abundance ratios of these molecules in the CND from radiative transfer models, assuming a single phase gas for all the molecules and lines. We compared column density ratios with predictions from PDR/starburst and XDR/AGN models.

We deduced a first-order estimate of the starburst contribution to the $J = 1–0$ lines, out of independent observations with different telescopes. The starburst contribution of the higher-$J$ lines should be negligible because of the smaller beam sizes at the higher observing frequencies.

We first expected, and assumed, that the source sizes, seen by the different molecules and transitions, should not be significantly different. We estimated the source sizes for HCN, CN and HCO$^+$ from the different interferometer maps available in the literature, and used a common value of 1.5'' for all the observed lines. The results of our models showed that the HCN, HNC and partly CN, can co-exist in gas with similar kinetic temperature (10–20 K) and hydrogen density $(10^3–3–7 \text{ cm}^{-3})$.

However, the analysis of the uncertainties in source size, as well as in the starburst contribution factor, shows that the HCO$^+$ molecule seems to trace a totally different gas phase, both with respect to the other molecules and its own different transitions. The HCO$^+$ $J=3-2$ line ratio indicates that the emission of these lines emerges from gas with densities larger than $10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and temperatures higher than 30 K. Instead, the HCO$^+$ $J=4-3$ line ratio would traces less dense $(n(H_2) \leq 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3})$ and colder ($T_K \leq 20 \text{ K}$) gas, when using models with either an smaller source size or a higher starburst contribution factor.

The physical conditions estimated from the line ratios are based on the assumption of a single-phase model with the same size of the emitting region. However, a single-phase scenario clearly does not hold for the HCO$^+$ molecule. The lack of an independent measurement of the HCO$^+$ $J=1–0$ line, and the assumption of the same starburst contamination factor for this line as the one found for HCN from the IRAM 30 m data, introduces an additional uncertainty. Nevertheless, the fact that the high-$J$ lines of HCO$^+$ clearly trace higher densities and temperatures, with lower HCO$^+$ column densities, indicates that this gas is less extended throughout the CND, in terms of volume and maybe in surface as well.

The line intensity ratios of CN/HCN and HNC/HCN decrease with increasing rotational quantum number $J$. The ratio of different HCO$^+$ $J=3–4$ line intensity, compared with the lower transition lines and with the HCN $J=4–3$ line, support the influence of a local XDR environment.

The $N$(CN)/$N$(HCN) $\sim 1–4$ column density ratios estimated with the single-phase model are indicative of an XDR/AGN environment with a possible contribution of grain-surface chemistry induced by X-rays or shocks.

The single-phase model, with a common source size seen by all the molecules and transitions, works well for HCN and HNC. However, HCO$^+$ shows clear evidence that different lines can trace completely different gas phases. Besides, assuming an average source size for all the molecules and lines can be a good first approximation, but has the clear drawback that estimates derive mostly from the $J = 1–0$ interferometer maps, which do not trace very warm and dense gas, and are sensitive to beam smearing effects. High resolution maps of the higher-$J$ lines may show slight differences in source sizes that can be considered negligible, at a first glance. But, as was shown with CN and HCO$^+$, even an error of a fraction of an arcsecond in the estimated source size can make a big difference in the physical conditions derived from the radiative transfer models. High resolution maps of the different transitions used in the models would aid to reduce the uncertainties. In addition, a more elaborated PDR/XDR model that
includes mechanical heating and grain-surface chemistry, will be necessary to properly account for the different contributing factors.

The spatial extent and morphology of the emitting regions of different J lines, as well as the detailed dynamics and physical environment of the high density tracers, can be tested with the higher spatial resolution maps that ALMA will provide in the future.

Acknowledgements. Many thanks go to Kalle Torstensson for doing the observations, and to the JCMT staff for their support. We are grateful to J.H. Black for useful comments and M. Krips for providing HCO\(^+\) data. We are grateful to the referee for the careful reading of the manuscript and constructive comments and suggestions. Molecular Databases that have been helpful include the NASA/JPL, LAMDA and NIST. This work has benefitted from research funding by the European Community’s sixth Framework Programme under RadioNet R113CT 2003 5058187.

References

Aalto, S., Spaans, M., Wiedner, M. C., & Hüttemeister, S. 2007, A&A, 464, 193
Black, J. F., & van Dishoeck, E. F. 1991, ApJ, 369, L9
Curran, S. J., Aalto, S., & Booth, R. S. 2000, A&A, 141, 193
Davies, R. I., Sánchez, F. M., Genzel, R., et al. 2007, ApJ, 671, 1388
Fuentet, A., Martin-Pintado, J., & Gaume, R. 1995, ApJ, 442, L33
Fuentet, A., Garca-Burillo, S., Gerin, M., et al. 2005, ApJ, 619, L155
Galliano, E., Pantin, E., Allion, D., & Lagage, P. O. 2005, MNRAS, 363, L1
Gao, Y., & Solomon, P. M. 1997, in Proc. 18th Symp. IAU, 231G, ed. Y. Sofue (Dordrecht: Kluwer, 1998)
Gao, Y., & Solomon, P. M. 1999, ApJ, 512, L99
García-Burillo, S., Combes, F., Usero, A., & Graciá-Carpio, J. 2008, JPhCS, 131
Goldreich, P., & Scoville, N. 1976, ApJ, 205, 144
Hogerheijde, M. R., & van der Tak, F. F. S. 2000, A&A, 362, 697
Hollenbach, D. J., & Tielens, A. G. G. M. 1999, RevMP, 71, 173
Hollenbach, D. J., Takahashi, T., & Tielens, A. G. G. M. 1991, ApJ, 377, 192
Hüttemeister, S., Henkel, C., Mauersberger, R., et al. 1995, A&A, 295, 571
Kohno, K. 2005, The Evolution of Starbursts: The 331st Wilhelm and Else Heraeus Seminar, AIP Conf. Proc., 783, 203
Kohno, K., Matsushita, S., Vila-Vilaro, B., et al. 2001, in The Central Kiloparsec of Starbursts and AGN: The La Palma Connection, ed. J. H. Knappen, J. E. Beckman, I. Shlosman, & T. J. Mahoney, ASP Conf. Ser., 249
Kraemer, S. B., Ruiz, J. R., & Crenshaw, D. M. 1998, ApJ, 508, 232
Krips, M., Neri, R., García-Burillo, S., et al. 2008, ApJ, 677, 262
Lepp, S., & Dalgarno, A. 1996, A&A, 306, L21
Loenen, A. F., Spaans, M., Baan, W. A., & Meijerink, R. 2008, A&A, 488, L5
Maloney, P., Hollenbach, D., & Tielens, G. 1996, ApJ, 466, 561
Mao, R. Q., Henkel, C., Schulz, A., et al. 2000, A&A, 358, 433
Meijerink, R., & Spaans, M. 2005, A&A, 436, 397 (MS05)
Meijerink, R., Spaans, M., & Israel, F. P. 2007, A&A, 461, 793
Pérez-Beaupuits, J. P., Aalto, S., & Gerebro, H. 2007, A&A, 476, 177
Poelman, D. R., & Spaans, M. 2005, A&A, 440, 559
Poncelet, A., Doucet, C., Perrin, G., Sol, H., & Lagage, P. O. 2007, A&A, 472, 823
Sánchez, F. M., Davies, R. I., Genzel, R., et al. 2009, ApJ, 691, 749
Sanders, D. B., & Mirabel, I. F. 1996, ARA&A, 34, 749S
Schilke, P., Walmsley, C. M., Pineau de Forêt, G., et al. 1992, A&A, 256, 595 (S92)
Schinnerer, E., Eckart, A., Tacconi, L. J., & Genzel, R. 2000, ApJ, 533, 850
Schöier, F. L., van der Tak, F. F. S., van Dishoeck, E. F., & Black, J. H. 2005, A&A, 432, 369
Solomon, P. M., & Barrett, J. W. 1991, in Dynamics of Galaxies and Their Molecular Cloud Distributions, ed. F. Combes, & F. Casoli (Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers), Proc. 146th Symp. IAU, 235
Spaans, M., & Meijerink, R. 2007b, ApJ, 664L, 23
Spinoglio, L., Malkan, M. A., Smith, H. A., González-Alfonzo, E., & Fischer, J. 2005, ApJ, 623, 123
Sternberg, A., Genzel, R., & Tacconi, L. J. 1994, ApJ, 436, L131
Tacconi, L. J., Genzel, R., Blietz, M., et al. 1994, ApJ, 426, L77
Tachikawa, H., Iyama, T., & Fukuzumi, T. 2003, A&A, 397, 1
Talbi, D., Ellinger, Y., & Herbiet, E. 1996, A&A, 314, 688
Tomono, D., Terada, H., & Kobayashi, N. 2006, ApJ, 646, 774
Usero, A., García-Burillo, S., Fuente, A., Martin-Pintado, J., & Rodríguez-Fernández, N. J. 2004, A&A, 419, 897 (U04)
Usero, A., García-Burillo, S., Fuente, A., et al. 2009, in preparation
Van der Tak, F. F. S., Black, J. H., Schöier, F. L., Jansen, D. J., & van Dishoeck, E. F. 2007, A&A, 468, 627
Appendix A: Modeling the physical conditions of high density tracers

A.1. The physical environment of HCN

The top panel of Fig. A.1 shows the mean column density per line width \( N(\text{HCN})/\Delta v \) cm\(^{-2}\) km\(^{-1}\) s (the mean between the maximum and minimum \( N/\Delta v \) that yield valid solutions), for all the explored densities \( n(\text{H}_2) \) and temperatures \( T_K \) that can reproduce, within 1\( \sigma \), the observed HCN \( \frac{J_{3-2}}{J_{1-0}} \) and HCN \( \frac{J_{2-1}}{J_{1-0}} \) line ratios and the intensity of the HCN \( J = 3-2 \) line. The bottom panel of Fig. A.1 shows how \( N(\text{HCN})/\Delta v \) cm\(^{-2}\) km\(^{-1}\) s changes with \( n(\text{H}_2) \) at different temperatures. These curves are easier to compare with the output of Large Velocity Gradient (LGV) models (e.g. Goldreich & Scoville 1976) commonly found in the literature. To make these curves more clear we do not show the error bars (or uncertainties) of \( N(\text{HCN})/\Delta v \) for each temperature in the plot. But they range between 25.0\%\text{--}48.8\% at 30 K, 42.4\%\text{--}46.0\% at 50 K, 18.4\%\text{--}38.0\% at 70 K, and 21.2\%\text{--}36.4\% at 90 K. Note that the uncertainties decrease towards the higher \( n(\text{H}_2) \) densities.

The lower and upper limits of the HCN column density per line width \( N(\text{HCN})/\Delta v \) cm\(^{-2}\) km\(^{-1}\) s are \( 10^{13.2} \) cm\(^{-2}\) km\(^{-1}\) s, at the highest density of about \( 10^9 \) cm\(^{-3}\), and \( 10^{15.5} \) cm\(^{-2}\) km\(^{-1}\) s at the lowest density explored of \( 10^4 \) cm\(^{-3}\), respectively. There is also a narrower temperature region (around 20 K) with densities higher than \( 10^6 \) cm\(^{-3}\), where solutions for the observed ratios and intensities are also possible. The optical depths \( \tau \) of each line are summarized in Table 5.

All the solutions are found for temperatures higher than 20 K, with a clear degeneracy between the kinetic temperature and molecular hydrogen density. That is, a given column density can be obtained with either high \( T_K \) and low \( n(\text{H}_2) \), or low \( T_K \) and high \( n(\text{H}_2) \).

A.2. The physical environment of HNC

In the case of the double peak structure observed in the lower transition lines of HNC, only the main peak (at velocity \(-1073 \pm 13 \text{ km s}^{-1}\) was considered in the analysis, since this is the component that is closer to the central velocity observed in the HNC \( J = 4-3 \) line.

The top panel of Fig. A.2 shows all the excitation conditions for which the observed HNC \( \frac{J_{2-1}}{J_{1-0}} \) and HNC \( \frac{J_{3-2}}{J_{1-0}} \) line ratios and the intensity of the HNC \( J = 3-2 \) line can be reproduced within 1\( \sigma \). The mean column density of HNC per line width \( N(\text{HNC})/\Delta v \) cm\(^{-2}\) km\(^{-1}\) s is shown in the contour plot. The bottom panel of Fig. A.2 shows how the column density changes with \( n(\text{H}_2) \) at different temperatures. The corresponding error bars ranges are 6.4\%\text{--}35.9\% at 30 K, 7.6\%\text{--}32.3\% at 50 K, and 8.3\%\text{--}26.0\% at 70 K. The error bars decrease towards the higher \( n(\text{H}_2) \) densities.

The estimated \( N(\text{HNC})/\Delta v \) cm\(^{-2}\) km\(^{-1}\) s column density per line width ranges between \( 10^{12.8} \) cm\(^{-2}\) km\(^{-1}\) s and \( 10^{15.4} \) cm\(^{-2}\) km\(^{-1}\) s. The \( n(\text{H}_2) \) densities required to reproduce these ratios range from \( 10^4 \) cm\(^{-3}\) (and probably lower than that, when considering higher temperatures outside of our explored grid) and \( 10^7 \) cm\(^{-3}\) at temperatures of about 10 K. A summary of the corresponding optical depth \( \tau \) can be found in Table 5.

In contrast with HCN, the temperatures at which solutions can be found for HNC are limited to up to about 90 K, for the lowest densities explored. At a density of \( 10^{5.5} \) cm\(^{-3}\), \( T_K \) ranges between 10 K and 70 K. But at densities \( \geq 10^{6} \) cm\(^{-3}\) only temperatures lower than 30 K are allowed.

A.3. The physical environment of HCO⁺

Using the common source size of 1.5" and the starburst contribution factor of 0.45 in the HCO⁺ \( J = 1-0 \) line, our model is not able to reproduce the observed HCO⁺ \( \frac{J_{3-2}}{J_{1-0}} \) line ratio and HCO⁺ \( J = 3-2 \) line intensity. The top panel of Fig. A.3 shows the mean column density of HCO⁺ per line width \( N(\text{HCO}^+)/\Delta v \) cm\(^{-2}\) km\(^{-1}\) s modeled for the HCO⁺ \( \frac{J_{3-2}}{J_{1-0}} \) line ratio and HCO⁺ \( J = 3-2 \) line intensity. The bottom panel of Fig. A.3 shows how the column density changes with \( n(\text{H}_2) \) at different temperatures. The corresponding error bars ranges are 29.9\%\text{--}30.1\% at 50 K, 29.3\%\text{--}30.0\% at 70 K, and 29.0\%\text{--}30.1\% at 90 K. In this case the error bars decrease towards the lower \( n(\text{H}_2) \) densities.

The model shows that the high-\( J \) lines trace gas with densities larger than \( 10^{5.9} \) cm\(^{-3}\) and temperatures larger than 30 K. Solutions with temperatures lower than 30 K could also be found at densities larger than those explored in this work (\( n(\text{H}_2) > 10^8 \) cm\(^{-3}\)). The columns range from \( 10^{11.9} \) cm\(^{-2}\) km\(^{-1}\) s to \( 10^{12.2} \) cm\(^{-2}\) km\(^{-1}\) s, and both lines are optically thin (\( \tau \lesssim 10^{-12} \)) over the whole range of columns (Table 5). The analysis of the uncertainties in the HCO⁺ model is discussed in the Appendix B.2.
A.4. The physical environment of CN

Only the main spingroups of each transition were considered for modelling the physical conditions of CN. The hyperfine structure of CN is not included in the model. Hence, the radiative lines of CN are described just by the quantum numbers $N$ and $J$. The collisional data are the same as used by Fuente et al. (1995).

The excitation conditions derived from the two line ratios with the one-phase model (with a source size of 1.5″) are shown in the top panel of Fig. A.4. In contrast with HCN and HNC, these conditions overlap just in a small region, with a narrow temperature range. At the lowest density for which solutions are found ($n(H_2) = 10^{5.2}$ cm$^{-3}$), the kinetic temperature of the gas $T_K$ is between 14 K and 16 K. At higher densities ($n(H_2) \geq 10^7$ cm$^{-3}$) the gas can be as cold as 10 K.

The bottom panel of Fig. A.4 shows how the column density changes with $n(H_2)$ at $T_K = 14$ K. The dashed lines correspond to the upper and lower limits of $N(HCO^+) / \Delta \nu$ cm$^{-2}$ km$^{-1}$ s. Note that for $n(H_2) = 10^{5.2}$ cm$^{-3}$ and $n(H_2) = 10^7$ cm$^{-3}$ the limits converge, which means there is only one solution at $T_K = 14$ K for those densities.

The column densities per line width have a lower limit of about $10^{14}$ cm$^{-2}$ km$^{-1}$ s at $n(H_2) = 10^7$ cm$^{-3}$ and an upper limit of $10^{15.6}$ cm$^{-2}$ km$^{-1}$ s at a density of $10^{10}$ cm$^{-3}$. The corresponding optical depths of each line can be found in Table 5.

Besides molecular hydrogen, we also explore the effects of electrons as a second collision partner for CN. These could be significant both in PDR and XDR environments, due to enhancement of the ionization degree by radiation. The collision rates are the same as used in Black & Van Dishoeck (1991), and were obtained from Black (private communication). These rates are available only for $T_K = 20$ K.

In a PDR environment, the CN emissivity peaks at a total hydrogen column density of about $10^{21.5} - 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$. At these depths the electron abundance is $\sim 10^{-5}$, and the CN column density is of the order of $10^{14}$ cm$^{-2}$. We found that for densities $n(H_2) \geq 10^7$ cm$^{-3}$ the effect of collisions between CN and electrons is negligible.

The only region where the electron abundance can be larger is at the edge of a PDR cloud, that is $n(H_2) \leq 10^{21.5}$ cm$^{-2}$. There the electron abundance is still about four orders of magnitude lower than the total hydrogen density, but it can be at least two orders of magnitude larger than the H$_2$ density (Meijerink & Spaans 2005). At those shallow depths, however, the column of CN is not significant ($N(CN) \leq 10^{10}$ cm$^{-2}$). In order to boost the electron abundance to higher levels, in the region where most of the CN emission originates, we would require a gas phase carbon abundance of about 3-4 times Solar. However, these higher abundances are not supported by other works (e.g. Kraemer et al. 1998).

In an XDR, the ambient conditions along the cloud are different than those found in a PDR, with larger ($10^{-2} - 10^{-4}$) relative electron abundances (Meijerink & Spaans 2005). In the main emitting region ($N(H) \geq 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$) the electron density $n(e^-)$...
is expected to be about 10 cm\(^{-3}\) if \(n(\text{H}_2) \sim 10^5\) cm\(^{-3}\). These densities produce changes of the order of 10\% in the excitation temperatures \(T_e\) of CN, with respect to those obtained when using only H\(_2\) as collision partner, and the column density \(N(\text{CN})\) has to decrease with about 50\% to get the same line strengths.

Hence, the effect of electrons as secondary collision partner of CN, is not important in a PDR environment. In an XDR environment, small effects can be expected.

**Appendix B: Analysis of the uncertainties**

We analyze here how the uncertainties in the input parameters of our models (4–3/3–2, 3–2/1–0 line ratios and the \(J = 3–2\) line intensities) propagates to the solutions we find. The two main uncertainties in our models are the estimated common source size (\(\theta_s = 1.5''\)) for all the molecules and transitions, and the first order estimate of the starburst contribution factor \(f_{SB}\) for the \(J = 1–0\) lines. The starburst contribution factor affects only the \(\frac{A_{\nu}}{\Delta \nu}\) line ratios which in turn will modify, to some extent, the combination of temperatures (\(T_K\)), densities (\(n(\text{H}_2)\)) and column densities (\(N\)) for which solutions are found. On the other hand, the source size affects mostly the \(J = 3–2\) line intensities (used to constrain the radiative transfer models) which in turn affect mostly the range of temperature and column densities of the solutions. The source size is also present in the 4–3/3–2 and 3–2/1–0 line ratios, as we correct these lines for beam dilution. However, because the source size is about one order of magnitude smaller than the size of the respective beams, its effect in the line ratios is negligible.

The solutions for the HCN and HNC line ratios are less sensitive to these two parameters since the range of temperatures and densities for which solutions exist is large enough (which allows for more flexibility in the \(T_K\) vs. \(n(\text{H}_2)\) space) and because the \(\frac{A_{\nu}}{\Delta \nu}\) line ratios, which basically define and constrain the overlap with the solutions found for the ratio between the lower-\(J\) lines, are independent of \(f_{SB}\). The effect is reflected mostly in the column densities per line width due to changes in the source size.

**B.1. Uncertainties in CN**

The solutions for CN are particularly sensitive to the source size. As mentioned before, the starburst contribution factor affects only the ratio between the lower \(J\)-lines. The range of \(T_K\) and \(n(\text{H}_2)\) for which we find solutions for the CN \(\frac{A_{\nu}}{\Delta \nu}\) line ratio is smaller than that for the \(\frac{A_{\nu}}{\Delta \nu}\) ratio. Hence, the final solutions for CN (given by the overlap between the solutions found separately for the ratios between the low-\(J\) lines and the high-\(J\) lines) is constrained by the solutions found for the \(\frac{A_{\nu}}{\Delta \nu}\) line ratio.

Because the solutions found for CN are already constrained, little changes in the source size have a larger impact than for HCN and HNC. If we assume a larger source size of 2\'', the solutions for CN are restricted to a small range of temperature around 10 ± 4 K and for densities between 10\(^{5.7}\) cm\(^{-3}\) and 10\(^{6.6}\) cm\(^{-3}\). On the other hand, if we assume an smaller source size of 1\'', the solutions for CN line ratios can be found in a larger region of \(T_K\) and \(n(\text{H}_2)\) than those found for a source size of 1.5\'', as shown in Fig. B.1. The smaller source size of 1'' increases the estimated CN \(N_J = 2\Sigma 2 - 1\Sigma 1\) radiation temperature by a factor 2.25, which is reflected mostly in the range of kinetic temperatures at which we can find solutions for the observed line ratios. When using \(\theta_s = 1.5''\) the maximum temperature where solutions can be found is 20 K, at a density of 10\(^{3.3}\) cm\(^{-3}\) (Fig. A.4). But when using an smaller source size (Fig. B.1) the temperature range can go from 20 K up to 100 K, at the same density.
increases the HCO$^+\frac{3}{2}\rightarrow\frac{1}{2}$ line ratio, and allows for solutions at slightly higher temperatures than assuming a larger source size. Note that in both cases solutions for densities $<10^4$ cm$^{-3}$ are also possible. This result, however, rises a new question since these solutions do not overlap with the solutions found for the HCO$^+\frac{1}{2}\rightarrow\frac{1}{2}$ ratio (Fig. A.3).

The fact that the ratio between the higher transition lines traces denser ($n$(H$_2$) $>10^5$ cm$^{-3}$) and warmer ($T_K > 30$ K) gas than what the lower transition lines would indicate, and that they do not overlap either with the solutions found for HCN, HNC or CN, may raise some skepticism about the HCO$^+J = 4\rightarrow3$ line. So we also explored the results of our model, assuming that the HCO$^+J = 4\rightarrow3$ line could be somehow overestimated (e.g., undetected calibration problems, or intrinsic instrumental differences, like sensitivities, between the JCMT and the IRAM 30 m telescopes).

In Sect. 2 we mentioned that HARP observations of the calibration source showed less flux than the reference spectra observed with the former receiver B3. Those differences account for factors between 1.1 and 1.5, that where used to correct each of our scans before adding them up. So, if we reduce the final HCO$^+J = 4\rightarrow3$ line by a factor 1.5 (which would be the worst case scenario) the HCO$^+\frac{3}{2}\rightarrow\frac{1}{2}$ line ratio reduces to 0.91 ± 0.31 and the new solutions would be as shown in the bottom panel of Fig. B.2. It can be seen that, even in this worst case scenario, there would not be an overlap between the solutions found for the ratio between the lower $J$ lines and those found for the higher $J$ lines.

This result would imply that the lower and higher $J$-lines of HCO$^+$ trace different gas phases, and a single-phase model may not be the most appropriate to reproduce the observed HCO$^+$ ratios and intensities. Hence, a two-phase model, where different sizes of the emitting region could be seen by different $J$ lines, may be a better approach for HCO$^+$. In fact, Krips et al. (2008) mentioned that the size of the emitting region decreases with increasing $J$ line, according to recent SMA data. A multiphase model can also be applied to the HCN, HNC and CN molecules. Among molecules, those which are easily dissociated should have small sizes. Hence, a decreasing source size with increasing $J$ line seems natural. However, the only way to constrain these models would be by using interferometer maps (fluxes, beam deconvolution, etc.) to accurately estimate the actual source size seen by the different $J$ lines. Nevertheless, this is a task that is beyond the scope of this work.

Appendix C: Line intensity and abundance ratios

The line intensity ratios, with respect to HCN, are summarized in Table C.1. The intensities used correspond to the peak antenna temperature of the main component of the Gaussian fits, corrected for starburst contribution, beam efficiency, and beam dilution, assuming a source size of 1.5$''$ for all the lines. We also find that most of the line intensity ratios (the HCO$^+$/HCN$J = 4\rightarrow3$ ratio is the exception that is discussed below) decrease with increasing rotational quantum number $J$, similar to what was found by Krips et al. (2008) for the HCN/CO ratio. This was also noticed for the HNC/HCN $J = 1\rightarrow0$ and $J = 3\rightarrow2$ line ratios observed in NGC 1068, and other Seyfert galaxies, by Pérez-Beauvais et al. (2007). Since the beam sizes are comparable, this could be an indication that the higher-$J$ levels of CN and HNC are less populated than those of HCN.

This is what we would expect assuming collisional excitation of the molecules, where $T_K$ is proportional to $\tau \times n$(H$_2$). From the excitation conditions modeled in Sect. 4.3, the HNC column

Fig. B.2. Top: excitation conditions modeled for the $\frac{3}{2}\rightarrow\frac{1}{2}$ line ratio of HCO$^+$, when considering the estimated starburst contribution factor $f_{SB} = 0.45$, but a larger source size $\theta_S = 2''$. Middle: excitation conditions modeled for the $\frac{3}{2}\rightarrow\frac{1}{2}$ line ratio of HCO$^+$, when considering a larger starburst contribution factor $f_{SB} = 0.60$, and the common source size $\theta_S = 1.5''$. Bottom: excitation conditions modeled for the $\frac{1}{2}\rightarrow\frac{1}{2}$ line ratio of HCO$^+$, when reducing the HCO$^+J = 4\rightarrow3$ line intensity by a factor 1.5. The higher transition $J = 4\rightarrow3$ still traces mostly the dense and warm gas ($n$(H$_2$) $\geq 10^4$ cm$^{-3}$, $T_K \geq 20$ K), whereas the $\frac{3}{2}\rightarrow\frac{1}{2}$ line ratio indicates that those lines would trace less dense ($n$(H$_2$) $< 10^3$ cm$^{-3}$) and cold (10 K $< T_K < 30$ K) gas.

B.2. Uncertainties in HCO$^+$

On the other hand, the HCO$^+\frac{3}{2}\rightarrow\frac{1}{2}$ is affected by both $\theta_S$ and $f_{SB}$, and the fact that we do not find solutions for this ratio may be due to the uncertainties in these two parameters. We explored different alternatives and we found that our model can reproduce the observed ratio, and HCO$^+J = 3\rightarrow2$ line intensity, if we assume either a larger source size ($\theta_S = 2''$), or a larger starburst contribution factor ($f_{SB} = 0.60$). The results are shown in the top and middle panels of Fig. B.2. A larger starburst contribution factor
densities, and hence $\tau_{\text{HNC}}$, tend to be lower than that of HCN. On the other hand, even if the column densities that we find for CN are as high (or higher in some cases) than the columns found for HCN, the optical depth $\tau_{\text{CN}}$ tends to be lower than $\tau_{\text{HNC}}$ because of the higher (fine and hyperfine) splitting of the rotational levels of CN. Thus, the high energy levels of CN and HNC would be less populated than those of HCN.

In the following sections we address the relative abundance issue by estimating the abundance ratio as the ratio between the column densities estimated from the radiative transfer models described before.

### C.1. HNC/HCN

The range of temperatures where we can analyze the HNC/HCN ratio is limited by the solutions found for HNC, which go up to $\sim 90$ K at the lowest density explored ($n(\text{H}_2) = 10^4$ cm$^{-3}$). The top panel in Fig. C.1 shows the mean $N(\text{HNC})/N(\text{HCN})$ column density ratio for the temperatures and densities where the solutions found for each molecule (top panels of Figs. A.4 and A.1) overlap. The mean column density ratio ranges between 0.10 and 0.18, with errors that vary between 30% and 55% of the mean value. Note that similar ratios can be found at two different temperatures for a particular density. The bottom panel in Fig. C.1 shows the mean value, and corresponding upper and lower limits, of the $N(\text{HNC})/N(\text{HCN})$ ratio at 20 K and 50 K. At these temperatures the ratios are quite similar (within 15%) for the density range $n(\text{H}_2) = 10^3$–$5 \times 10^3$ cm$^{-3}$.

The fact that the line intensity ratios (Table C.1) are also lower than unity, indicates that the bulk of the HNC and HCN emission emerges from warm gas ($T_K > 30$ K). This agrees with observations in the vicinity of the hot core of Orion KL, and experimental and theoretical data, where the HNC/HCN line ratio decreases as the temperature and density increase (e.g. Schilke et al. 1992; Talbi et al. 1996; Tachikawa et al. 2003).

On the other hand, the ratios $N(\text{HNC})/N(\text{HCN}) < 1$ estimated with our models cannot be directly interpreted as a signature of a pure PDR or XDR environment in the CND of NGC 1068. The HNC abundance can be decreased due to temperatures higher than traditionally expected, produced deep inside a molecular cloud by mechanisms other than radiation, like turbulence and shocks (Loenen et al. 2008). If the temperature is higher than 100 K, the conversion of HNC into HCN is more efficient and HNC is suppressed (Schilke et al. 1992; Talbi et al. 1996). These high temperatures are not found in regions where the abundance of HCN and HNC is high enough to be detected, for traditional PDR or XDR models (Meijerink & Spaans 2005). The high SiO abundance observed in the CND of NGC 1068 can be a direct evidence of the possible contributions from mechanical heating (shocks) and dust grain chemistry induced by X-rays (García-Burillo et al. 2008). Hence, a more elaborated PDR/XDR model that includes both mechanical heating and grain surface chemistry will be needed to further understand the results of our radiative transfer models.

### C.2. CN/HCN

When using the one-phase model with a source size $\theta_S = 1.5''$, the physical conditions estimated for the CN and HCN molecules (top panel in Figs. A.4 and A.1) overlap only in an small region around $n(\text{H}_2) \sim 10^{5.5}$ cm$^{-3}$ and $T_K \sim 20$ K. The top panel in Fig. C.2 shows the mean $N(\text{CN})/N(\text{HCN})$ column density ratio and the corresponding upper and lower limits. The maximum ratio of 0.93±0.07 is found at $n(\text{H}_2) \sim 10^{5.3}$ cm$^{-3}$. The ratio decreases almost linearly with density (while the uncertainty increases), and it reaches the minimum ratio of 0.73 ± 0.2, with a larger uncertainty of ~29%, at a density of $n(\text{H}_2) \sim 10^{5.3}$ cm$^{-3}$. The ratio then increases slowly, with a constant uncertainty, up to 0.76 ± 0.2 at $n(\text{H}_2) \sim 10^{5.7}$ cm$^{-3}$.

If we assume a larger source size $\theta_S = 2''$ for CN, the solutions obtained do not overlap with those found for HCN. However, when using an smaller source size $\theta_S = 1''$ for CN, there is a large overlap in the physical conditions found for these molecules (top panel in Figs. B.1 and A.1). The middle panel in Fig. C.2 shows the mean $N(\text{CN})/N(\text{HCN})$ column density ratio for the new model. The new mean column density ratios now range between ~2 and ~4, with errors that vary between 20% and 50% of the mean value. The maximum mean ratios ($\geq 3.6$) are found at a density of $\sim 10^6$ cm$^{-3}$ and temperatures between 40 K and 60 K, while the minimum mean ratios ($< 2.3$) can be

### Table C.1. Line intensity ratios between molecules.

| Molecules | Transition$^a$ | $J = 1–0$ | $J = 3–2$ | $J = 4–3$ |
|-----------|--------------|----------|----------|----------|
| HNC       | $3–1$        | 0.71 ± 0.45 | 0.26 ± 0.08 | 0.16 ± 0.05 |
| HNC       | $4–2$        | 0.89 ± 0.69 | 0.54 ± 0.15 | 0.14 ± 0.04 |
| HNC       | $4–3$        | 1.10 ± 0.59 | 0.13 ± 0.04 | 0.36 ± 0.10 |

$^a$ The lines correspond to $J = 1–0$, $J = 3–2$ and $J = 4–3$, for HCN, HNC and HCO$^+$. In the case of CN, the lines are actually $N_J = 1_{3/2}–1_{1/2}, N_J = 2_{3/2}–1_{1/2}$ and $N_J = 3_{3/2}–2_{3/2}$, respectively.
found at a larger density range of $n(H_2) \sim 10^{5-6} \text{cm}^{-3}$ but at lower temperatures ($T_K < 30 \text{ K}$).

The bottom panel in Fig. C.2 shows the mean value, and corresponding upper and lower limits, of the $N(\text{CN})/N(\text{HCN})$ ratio at $18 \text{ K}$, $30 \text{ K}$ and $50 \text{ K}$. At $T_K = 18 \text{ K}$ the new ratios (with uncertainties ranging from 23.6% to 26.5%) are larger (ranging between 3 and 4) and are found at a higher density range ($n(H_2) > 10^{6-8} \text{cm}^{-3}$) than in the $1.5''$ source size model. On the other hand, at densities between $10^{5} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and $10^{6} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ the ratios found for $30 \text{ K}$ and $50 \text{ K}$ are very similar (within 10%), but the uncertainties of the ratios at $T_K = 30 \text{ K}$ are larger ($63.6\%-72.4\%$) than those for $T_K = 50 \text{ K} (39.0\%-43.7\%)$.

These larger uncertainties for the ratios at $T_K = 30 \text{ K}$ imply that the corresponding upper limits increase to values $>5$ at a density $n(H_2) = 10^{3.6} \text{cm}^{-3}$. Note that the uncertainties at $T_K = 30 \text{ K}$, and hence the upper limits, decrease for densities $<10^{3.1} \text{cm}^{-3}$.

The mean column density ratios estimated with $\theta_s = 1.5''$ can be easily found in an XDR environment, but the predominance of this component cannot be concluded from the $N(\text{CN})/N(\text{HCN})$ ratio only, since ratios $\sim 1.0$ are also expected in a PDR component (Lepp & Dalgarno 1996; Meijerink et al. 2007). The mean column density ratios $2 \leq N(\text{CN})/N(\text{HCN}) \leq 4$ estimated with $\theta_s = 1''$, are tentatively more consistent with an XDR/AGN environments (Lepp & Dalgarno 1996; Meijerink et al. 2007). However, these and higher $[\text{CN}]/[\text{HCN}]$ abundance ratios have also been found in PDR/starburst scenarios (e.g. Fuente et al. 2005). The fact that we find a relatively low $N(\text{CN})/N(\text{HCN})$ column density ratio, with respect to what would be expected in a pure XDR scenario, could be explained by an overabundance of HCN due to the grain-surface chemistry suggested by García-Burillo et al. (2008).

Nevertheless, assuming a smaller emitting region for CN than for HCN introduces a new question regarding the chemistry/physics driving the formation (or destruction) of these two molecules. If this is the case, then we would need to explain why CN is absent in the hypothetically more extended region covered by HCN. Perhaps this scenario could also be explained by the possible contributions from mechanical heating and dust grain chemistry suggested in Loenen et al. (2008) and García-Burillo et al. (2008). However, exploring this alternative would require high resolution maps of at least SiO, HCN, CN and HNC, in addition to a composite molecular heating, X-rays and dust-grain chemistry model, to properly account for the different contributing scenarios. This is an study that can be addressed in a follow up work.

### C.3. HCO$^+$/HCN

Figure A.3 indicates that the emission from the high-$J$ HCO$^+$ lines emerge from gas that does not co-exist with HCN, HNC and CN, in the nuclear region of NGC 1068. The column density ($10^{11.9} - 10^{12.2} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{km}^{-1}$) estimated from the HCO$^+$ column density ratio, and the possible solutions found for the HCO$^+/HCN$ ratio (top panels of Fig. B.2), also indicates that the warmer and denser gas traced by the high-$J$ lines is only an small fraction (0.5%-10%) of the total HCO$^+$ gas. Most of it is confined to the lower transitions.

The main reason for the lack of co-existence is the HCO$^+$ $J = 4-3$ line. The possible solutions found for the HCO$^+/HCN$ ratio, considering the uncertainties, would be consistent, in terms of density, with the HCN and HNC molecules, albeit at somewhat lower temperature. However, if we consider a larger source size of about $2''$ (as shown in Sect. 4.3), the HCO$^+$ $J = 3-2$ line intensity will decrease, and solutions for temperatures up to $30 \text{ K}$ (at densities of a few times $10^{5} \text{cm}^{-3}$) will be possible, and the solutions for the high-$J$ ratio will require just slightly lower ($N(HCO^+) \sim 10^{11.7-12.0} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{km}^{-1}$) column densities per line width. Hence, the HCO$^+$ $J = 4-3$ line seems to indicate a different gas phase.

Krips et al. (2008) found that the HCN/CO line intensity ratios decrease with increasing rotational quantum number $J$, for AGN dominated galaxies, including NGC 1068. We find the same trend in the HNC/HCN and CN/HCN line intensity ratios.
The HCO$^+$/HCN ratio, however, defies this trend. Interestingly, the higher-$J$ levels of HCO$^+$ may be more populated than its lower levels due to a local X-ray source (Meijerink et al. 2007). This result is consistent with an XDR, given that in strongly irradiated dense XDRs the HCO$^+$ column builds up with depth to high values before the HCN does. Hence, the column weighted temperature of the HCO$^+$ molecule is higher, from which the HCO$^+$ $J=4\rightarrow3$ line benefits. The HCN behavior with depth is more gradual, avoiding the strong separation between the low and high-$J$ lines (e.g., Fig. 9 of Meijerink & Spaans 2005).

The $J=4\rightarrow3$ line ratio between the peak intensities of HCN and HCO$^+$ (the inverse value is shown in Table 6) is about $\sim2.7$, and is consistent with the ratio reported by Kohno et al. (2001). Instead, the velocity-integrated intensity ratio $I(\text{HCN})/I(\text{HCO}^+ \ J=4\rightarrow3)$ is $\sim3.7$ (from Table 3), which is larger than the ratio between the peak intensities due to the smaller line width of the HCO$^+$ $J=4\rightarrow3$ line. This number is right above the maximum value shown in Fig. 3 of Kohno (2005). Interestingly, this places NGC 1068 in a distinguished position within the Kohno diagram, among their pure AGNs.