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ABSTRACT
Today transportation is one of the rapidly evolving technologies in the world. With the stringent mandatory emission regulations and high fuel prices, researchers and manufacturers are ever increasingly pushed to the frontiers of research in pursuit of alternative propulsion systems. Electrically propelled vehicles are one of the most promising solutions among all the other alternatives, as far as; reliability, availability, feasibility and safety issues are concerned. However, the shortcomings of fully electric vehicle in fulfilling all performance requirements make the electrification of the conventional engine powered vehicles the form of a plug-in hybrid electric vehicle (PHEV) the most feasible propulsion systems.
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I. INTRODUCTION
Plug-in Hybrid Electric Vehicles (PHEV) link two major infrastructures, the transportation and the electric power grid. They offer a promise of significant reduction of fuel consumption, oil imports, and CO2 emissions by bringing other sources of energy into transportation. PHEVs are similar to conventional Hybrid Electric Vehicles (HEV) in that they utilize an electric motor and a gasoline engine as two drives to power a vehicle, but they have much larger batteries that can be charged from an electrical outlet. The electrical energy stored in the battery is used as the primary source of power on-board until the battery charge is depleted to a threshold level. This mode of powertrain operation is called the charge depleting (CD) mode or electric vehicle (EV) mode. After charge depletion to the threshold level the internal combustion engine is used to sustain the charge at this level. This is referred to as the charge sustaining mode (CS) mode. The all-electric range (AER) or the charge depleting range (CDR) of a PHEV is the distance over which the powertrain operates in EV or CD mode respectively and this has been recognized a very relevant attribute for PHEVs [1] [2] [3]. The engine fuel consumption during this mode is very low or zero. This has led to classification of PHEVs as PHEV 10 (A PHEV with 10 miles CDR/AER), PHEV 20 and so on. If pure AER is desired, a Series Hybrid Electric Vehicle configuration is particularly interesting. However, many design decisions have to be made to maximize the potential of a given configuration for a selected vehicle application. A hybrid electrical vehicle could be a variety of hybrid vehicle that utilizes the mixture of a standard combustion engine system and electrical system. The existence of electrical system is meant to boost the fuel economy, scale back waste material emissions and/or improve the performance. The idea of hybrid electrical vehicle (HEV) belongs to academic. Ferdinand Porsche in 1899 succeeding thirty years makers created numerous ideas. This technology wasn’t a middle of interest once the first development amount for an extended time. However, in 1990s, researchers and makers started intensely leaning on raising the HEV technology. Its potential of being extremely fuel-efficient and considerably low levels of emissions created this technology one in all the brightest analysis subjects of the age.

II. Problem Definition
The problem arises from the search for a better fuel economy whilst meeting the performance requirements. The search for a better configuration of drivetrain components in terms of fuel economy and better performance is an open-ended research subject. Continuous improvement in this field is a significant technical achievement that should be taken care of. Since the vehicle itself is dynamically, highly nonlinear and most of the drivetrain components in a PHEV, directly or indirectly, has an effect on each other, optimization process of the major powertrain components have to be done via proper methodology to represent effects of each component modification on the others. In order to explain this effect briefly, for example, if the engine on the vehicle is decided to be more powerful, changing the existing engine with a 20% more powerful engine will increase the total mass of the vehicle because the bigger engine's block mass will be higher than the replaced one. Therefore, each and every component’s effect has to be considered. The objective of using an optimization tool is explained to express the effects of all the components on the others and on themselves mathematically in the optimization methodology structure.

III. Methodology

Optimization Methodology
This section is explained in two different subsections. The first one is advantages of PSO tool and why it is chosen for this research and the second subsection describes briefly the PSO optimization tool.

Advantages of PSO
Using gradient-based algorithms the optimization problem could be solved [3]. However, since these algorithms depend on the gradients to find the optimum solution, they do not always give the global maximum or minimum as the solution. Therefore, derivative free algorithms such as Genetic Algorithm (GA), DIRECT, Dynamic Programming, Simulated Annealing, Particle Swarm Optimization, etc. can be used. Since they aren't gradient-based, they provide global solution to the optimization problem. Most evolutionary techniques mentioned above shares some common procedure, such as: random generation of initial
population, reckoning of a fitness value for each subject, reproduction of the population. However, PSO does not have genetic operators like mutation and crossover [14]. Particles update themselves with the internal velocity. Also each particle has a memory, which is significant for the algorithm. The information sharing mechanism of PSO tool is significantly different than the others. Instead of having massive amount of variables to tell the system about the previous iteration, PSO has just one variable to rule that functionality to the algorithm. It is simply a one-way information sharing mechanism. Another major advantage of PSO tool is that the method only searches for the best solution and at the end all the particles converge to the best solution quickly in most cases [23]. Strengths and advantages of the PSO tool mentioned in above paragraphs over the other gradient based algorithms, made this tool the most convenient optimization algorithm to work with a highly nonlinear and component dependent system like PHEV.

Particle Swarm Optimization

Particle Swarm optimization was developed by Kennedy and Eberhart in 1995 [14]. The algorithm is based on the social behavioral model of the society, similar to the social behaviors of bird flocking or fish schooling; in other words, it is based on the stochastic optimization technique. The difference of this method from the other evolutionary computation techniques, like Genetic Algorithm (GA), is that it does not use evolution operators, such as mutation, crossover and etc. The system is initialized with a population of particles with their own position and velocity values in n-dimensional space. Each particle in the solution space is a possible optimum solution.

IV. Results

PSAT/Simulink

The mathematical model of the vehicle that was explained in the previous chapters of this thesis is constructed as block diagrams in PSAT software [15]. PSAT is a powerful simulation tool based on Matlab/Simulink. The default Toyota Prius vehicle model in PSAT is used in this research to compute major parameter values that are used in the optimization tool. Since Toyota Prius is a HEV, the model had to be modified to represent a PHEV model.

MATLAB

Matlab is very powerful simulation tool and in this work will be used to join the PSAT/SIMULINK block diagram structure with the PSO optimization tool. The PSO script is embedded in the Matlab script which runs the simulation software PSAT/SIMULINK to compute necessary parameters. Since the system is highly nonlinear and the vehicle model is complicated model, to achieve more desired results complete vehicle model simulation is used to determine the fuel consumption and the emission levels according to various random component sizes in their own boundaries. the following figure shows “Evaluate Fitness function for each particle” portion of the constrained PSO algorithm flow chart.

Evaluate Objective Function’ portion of PSO algorithm flow chart

UDDS drive cycle velocity profile over time

UDDS velocity profile vs. actual velocity profile

Driver cycle torque demand vs. actual final drive torque
V. Conclusion:
The gradient free algorithm, e.g., the particle swarm optimization was used to determine the optimal configuration of the component sizes to achieve a better fuel economy and emission levels. Therefore, a simplified model of a power split plug-in hybrid electric vehicle powertrain was developed for a plug-in hybrid electric vehicle in PSAT.
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