platelet count (>31000) and PCT (≥0.03) predicted patient survival with 100% specificity and 100% positive predictive value.

Table 1: Demographic and laboratory characteristics of patients with severe and mild/moderate_CCHF

|                     | Severe cases | Mild/moderate cases |
|---------------------|--------------|---------------------|
| Age                 | 45.8 ± 15.6  | 51.8 ± 17.1         |
| Female, %           | 35 (61.4%)   | 38 (41.3%)          |
| Blood/blood product | 39           | 42                  |
| Transfusion         | 14           | 5                   |
| IV/G                | 4.3 ± 29.3   | 64.5 ± 35.4         |
| PCT                 | 0.06 ± 0.07  | 0.08 ± 0.03         |
| PLT                 | 174 ± 1.5    | 16.8 ± 1.5          |
| MPV                 | 8.6 ± 1.3    | 8.6 ± 1.2           |

Conclusion. Our study shows that platelet count, PCT and PDW are parameters that may be used to determine disease severity. The platelet index, and particularly PCT, may be at least as useful as platelet count in helping clinicians identify severe cases.

Disclosures. All authors: No reported disclosures.

1155. Impact of a Pharmacist-Driven Respiratory Viral Panel Stewardship Program on Antibiotic Exposure Within a Multicenter Community Health System

Background. Strategies to ensure optimal use of multiplex polymerase chain reaction (mPCR) testing results for antimicrobial stewardship in acute respiratory infections remain to be elucidated. This study sought to assess the impact of pharmacist intervention (by means of prospective feedback to prescribers) on overall antibiotic exposure in patients with viral-positive mPCR Respiratory Viral Panel (RVP) laboratory test results.

Methods. This retrospective cohort study included patients ≥18 years of age admitted to an acute care hospital with a viral-positive nasopharyngeal FilmArray Respiratory Panel test result receiving antibiotics for a suspected respiratory tract infection. Immunocompromised patients, patients with RVP samples from bronchial lavage, patients in the intensive care unit when samples were obtained, and patients receiving antibiotics for non-respiratory infections were excluded. Antibiotic exposure days, antibiotic discontinuation at 72 hours, and culture-positive bacterial superinfection were compared in two cohorts of patients, before and after the rollout of an educational pharmacist RVP stewardship initiative.

Results. Median antibiotic exposure days did not differ between the pre- and post-intervention groups (6 days vs. 7 days, P = 0.20). Antibiotic discontinuation at 72 hours was significantly lower in the post-intervention group (38% vs. 25%, P = 0.02). More patients in the post-intervention group had positive bacterial respiratory cultures (2.7% vs. 10%, P = 0.007) and chest radiographs suggestive of pneumonia (34.7% vs. 46%, P = 0.05). Patients with peak procalcitonin levels ≥0.25 ng/mL were more likely to have antibiotics discontinued at 72 hours than those with peak levels >0.25 ng/mL (36% vs. 0%, P = 0.02).

Conclusion. An antimicrobial stewardship initiative by pharmacists among patients with viral-positive RVP results did not appear to impact antibiotic exposure days. Serum procalcitonin levels appeared to influence antibiotic discontinuation decisions. Alternative strategies for maximizing the antimicrobial stewardship impact of RVP testing should be explored.

Disclosures. All authors: No reported disclosures.

1156. BioFire FilmArray Decreases Infection Control Isolation Times by 4 days in a Large Community Hospital

Background. Novel, rapid, syndromic testing of patients presenting with respiratory infections has the potential to improve patient access and care by decreasing time to diagnosis. BioFire FilmArray (BioFire Diagnostics, bioMerieux) is a cartridge-based, multiplex PCR platform capable of detecting 17 viral and 3 bacterial targets in one hour: This study assessed the impact of implementing this technology on the duration of infection control isolation.

Methods. A randomized control trial in a 900-bed tertiary-care academic hospital was conducted between December 2016 and January 2017. Fifty consecutive samples of patients with respiratory infections on our ICU, BMT and Respiratory wards to received either BioFire FilmArray Respiratory Panel (BF) diagnostic testing or our routine diagnostic testing (RO) consisting of an influenza A/B/RSV PCR (in-house) followed by Luminex NxTag Respiratory Pathogen Panel that was batched at a reference lab. Five patient charts with missing data were excluded from analysis. Statistical analysis was completed using RStudio Version 1.0.136 – © 2009–2016 RStudio, Inc.

Results. Patients randomized to the BF arm remained on respiratory isolation precautions on average (42.3 ± 72.9 hours) over 100 hours less than patients randomized to the routine arm (151.3 ± 151.8 hours) (95% CI: 35.6–184.4 hours, P = 0.0052).

Conclusion. Implementing the BioFire FilmArray Respiratory Panel decreased infection control isolation time by approximately 4 days compared with routine testing; further study is warranted to determine the impact of this technology on patient outcomes and cost benefit.

Table 1: Mean Hours in Respiratory Isolation is Statistically Significantly Decreased with BioFire FilmArray Respiratory Panel

| Arm       | N  | Mean (hours) | SD (hours) | Median (hours) | 95% CI* | P value* |
|-----------|----|--------------|------------|----------------|--------|----------|
| BioFire   | 24 | 42.3         | 72.9       | 8.2            | 35.6–184.4 | 0.005253 |
| Routine   | 21 | 152.3        | 151.8      | 67.0           |        |          |

*Welch two-sample t-test (assumed unequal variance), significance set at p<0.05

Figure 1: The majority of patients randomized to receive BioFire testing were in respiratory isolation for less than 50 hours.

Disclosures. T. Wong, bioMerieux: Investigator, Research grant A. Stefanovic, bioMerieux: Investigator, Research grant E. Bryce, bioMerieux: Investigator, Research grant J. M. Grant, bioMerieux: Investigator, Research grant D. Roscoe, bioMerieux: Investigator, Research grant

1157. Multidisciplinary Approach to Improve Utilization and Cost Savings of Multiplex Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) Respiratory Pathogen Testing in a Large Community Hospital

Background. PCR technology can be used for precise detection of infectious agents and improves antimicrobial stewardship through: Accelerated de-escalation of therapy Rapid identification of pathogens Detection of resistance genes. In our center, basic respiratory Panel detect 11 targets and cost $100 while Complete panel detect 31 targets and cost $230. The purpose of the study is to improve utilization of these panel testing in a large community hospital.

Methods. Retrospective chart review of all patients with an order for a complete or basic panel and excluding Patients discharged or deceased prior to result reporting or insufficient specimen quantity to perform. Each patient was evaluated for appropriate respiratory panel collection site and antibiotic regimen changes within 48 hours of results. The preintervention period conducted from 10/2015–12/2015, evaluated how respiratory panels were being utilized in antibiotic decision-making. Three primary interventions were enacted: Eliminated nasal swabs as a source option for respiratory panels in the clinical information system, restricted complete panel ordering to ID physicians and Eliminated PCR ordering options from all order sets. The postintervention period conducted from 5/2016 – 8/2016, re-evaluated the utilization and costs of respiratory panels.

Results. 270 tests ordered preintervention (13% basic and 87% complete) and 196 postintervention (84% basic and 16% complete), nasal swab was done in 78% in preintervention period vs. 7% in postintervention period, cost in preintervention period was $780 in preintervention vs. $230 in postintervention. No difference between ID vs. non-ID specialist in utilization of PCR.

Conclusion. Nasal swab collections for PCR decreased post-intervention from 78% to 8%. Appropriate sources for PCR specimen, such as sputum, were utilized during the post-intervention period. Post-intervention utilization of the panel results was comparable to pre-intervention period. Elimination of PCR respiratory panels from