Risk Factors for Discontinuation of S-1 Adjuvant Chemotherapy for Gastric Cancer
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Abstract

Purpose: The aim of this study was to clarify the risk factors for discontinuing tegafur/gimeracil/oteracil potassium (S-1) adjuvant chemotherapy following gastrectomy in patients with gastric cancer.

Methods: We retrospectively investigated patients with curatively-resected gastric cancer who received S-1 adjuvant chemotherapy. S-1 was administered orally at 80–120 mg/day, depending on body surface area, on days 1–28 every 6 weeks for 1 year. The dose and treatment schedule were modified at the clinicians’ discretion, according to toxicity.

Results: Seventy-one patients were included in the study, 26 of whom discontinued S-1 therapy. The relapse-free survival rates in the S-1-completed and S-1-discontinuation groups at 5 years post-surgery were 88.1% and 55.8%, respectively. The overall survival rates in the S-1-completed and S-1-discontinuation groups at 5 years post-surgery were 89.4% and 59.8%, respectively. The hazard ratios for relapse and death were significantly lower in the S-1-completed group compared with those in the S-1-discontinuation group (0.18; p<0.001 and 0.19; p=0.002, respectively). Multivariate logistic regression analysis revealed that S-1 discontinuation was significantly associated with an initial overdose of S-1, having stage I cancer, creatinine clearance <66 mL/min, and a side effect of nausea.

Conclusions: These results suggest that assessing renal function to avoid initial overdose of S-1, together with the early management of side effects, may support the continuation of S-1 adjuvant chemotherapy in patients with gastric cancer.
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Introduction

Gastric cancer is the second leading cause of cancer-related death, with the highest mortalities in East Asia, including Japan, Korea, and China.¹ D2 gastrectomy is commonly regarded as the standard surgical procedure for advanced gastric cancer in East Asia. However, over 40% of patients experience cancer recurrence after gastrectomy.² S-1 is an oral fluoropyrimidine comprising tegafur, gimeracil
(CDHP), and oteracil potassium, and is used as a standard postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy agent in patients with stage II or III gastric cancer in Japan.3

The phase III Adjuvant Chemotherapy Trial of S-1 for Gastric Cancer (ACTS-GC) found that 34.2% of patients had discontinued S-1 at 1 year post-surgery.4 This low completion rate of S-1 treatment remains a clinically-unresolved issue, though information on the reasons for discontinuation is sparse. In addition, a post-hoc analysis of the ACTS-GC trial data, which have not been published in a journal, showed that patients who completed the planned 1-year S-1 treatment survived longer than patients who discontinued S-1. However, data on compliance, dose reduction, and treatment schedule modifications during S-1 adjuvant chemotherapy in gastric cancer patients in clinical practice are lacking. Adjuvant chemotherapy aims to increase the probability of a cancer cure, and increasing the currently low completion rate of S-1 adjuvant chemotherapy is thus an important issue. Identification of the risk factors associated with discontinuation of S-1 adjuvant chemotherapy would allow clinicians and community pharmacists to support patients with those risk factors. We therefore retrospectively investigated the risk factors for discontinuation of S-1 adjuvant chemotherapy in patients with gastric cancer.

Materials and methods

Patients and study design

This retrospective observational study was carried out at Ehime University Hospital using electronic medical record data. We extracted the necessary clinical information on patient demographics, compliance, treatment outcomes, and toxicities. Between August 2006 and February 2014, we investigated patients with curatively-resected gastric cancer who received S-1 adjuvant chemotherapy. S-1 was administered at 80–120 mg/day, depending on body surface area (BSA), on days 1–28 every 6 weeks (in principle) for 1 year, in the absence of recurrence, unacceptable side effects, or patient refusal. Patients with a BSA <1.25 m² received 80 mg/day; patients with a BSA of 1.25–1.5 m² received 100 mg/day; and patients with a BSA >1.5 m² received 120 mg/day. The dose or treatment schedule of S-1 was modified at the clinicians’ discretion, according to the toxicity profiles. The clinicopathologic findings were determined in accordance with the Japanese classification of gastric carcinoma.3

Consenting patients with stages I-IV (M0) gastric cancer were candidates for adjuvant chemotherapy. Creatinine clearance (Ccr) was calculated using the Cockcroft-Gault formula by adding 0.2 mg/dL to the serum creatinine level measured by the enzymatic peroxidase-antiperoxidase method.6 According to the pharmaceutical company’s guide, we categorized each initial S-1 dose for patients with a Ccr <60 mL/min as an underdose, standard dose, or overdose. For example, patients with a BSA >1.5 m² received 120 mg/day standard dose, but patients with a low Ccr <60 mL/min received a standard dose of 100 mg/day. A total of 88 patients were enrolled, but 17 were subsequently excluded because of hospital transfer (n=10), ongoing treatment (n=3), or a Ccr of <30 mL/min (n=4). The 71 remaining patients were assessed and relapse-free survival (RFS) and overall survival (OS) were compared between patients who completed the schedule S-1 treatment (S-1-completed group) and those who discontinued treatment (S-1-discontinuation group). A further analysis was performed to assess the risk factors associated with S-1 discontinuation after the exclusion of seven patients who discontinued S-1 because of relapse (n=64).

The study protocol was approved by the ethics committee of Ehime University Hospital (approval number: 1402005) and was conducted in accordance with the ethical principles of the Japanese ethics guidelines for epidemiological studies.

Statistical analysis

RFS was defined as the interval from the date of surgery to the date when relapse was confirmed by computed tomography or positron emission tomography–computed tomography, death from any cause, or last follow-up, whichever came first. OS was defined as the interval from the date of surgery to the date of death from any cause or last follow-up. RFS and OS were compared between the S-1-completed and S-1-discontinuation groups using the Kaplan-Meier method. Hazard ratios (HRs) for relapse and death were compared between the completed and discontinuation groups using log-rank tests. Patient characteristics and side effects were compared using Student’s t, Welch’s t, and χ² tests, as appropriate. Multivariate logistic regression analysis was used to evaluate the risk factors associated with S-1 discontinuation. Possible explanatory variables with p<0.05 in univariate analysis were included in the multivariate model. Cut-off values for continuous variables such as serum albumin and Ccr were calculated using receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves and categorized as categorical variables. The relative dose intensity (RDI) of S-1 was defined as the actual dose divided by the planned dose during the period of S-1 treatment. All analyses were performed using JMP 8.0 (SAS Institute, Tokyo, Japan). All p values were two-tailed, and p<0.05 was considered significant.
Results

The CONSORT diagram is shown in Fig. 1. Seventy-one patients were included in the study, 26 patients (36.6%) of whom discontinued S-1 therapy. There were no significant differences between the S-1-completion and S-1-discontinuation groups in terms of baseline characteristics, except for ages, serum albumin levels, and Ccr levels (Table 1). The overall median follow-up time was 26.4 months. There were 15 relapse events and 12 deaths in both groups combined.

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the patients.

| Characteristic   | Completed group (n=45) | Discontinuation group (n=26) | p value |
|------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|
| Sex, n (%)       |                         |                               |         |
| male             | 29 (64.4)              | 18 (69.2)                     | 0.681   |
| female           | 16 (35.6)              | 8 (30.8)                      |         |
| Age (years)      | 67 [25–87]             | 72 [46–89]                    | 0.048   |
| Body mass index (kg/m²) | 21.5 [14.7–31.2]       | 21.5 [16.9–26.9]             | 0.798   |
| BSA (m²)         | 1.89 [1.28–2.04]       | 1.59 [1.34–1.83]             | 0.062   |
| Serum albumin (g/dL) | 3.8 [3.0–4.5]        | 3.6 [2.4–4.3]                | 0.011   |
| Ccr (mL/min)     | 57.1 [32.7–118.4]     | 51.6 [35.0–95.2]             | 0.056   |
| Surgical procedure, n (%) |                  |                               |         |
| LADG             | 18 (40.0)             | 12 (46.2)                     |         |
| TG               | 10 (22.2)             | 7 (26.9)                      |         |
| DG               | 15 (33.3)             | 6 (23.1)                      |         |
| Stage, n (%)     |                         |                               |         |
| I                | 8 (17.8)              | 8 (30.8)                      | 0.435   |
| II               | 14 (31.1)             | 7 (26.9)                      |         |
| III              | 15 (33.3)             | 5 (19.2)                      |         |
| IV               | 8 (17.8)              | 6 (23.1)                      |         |

BSA: body surface area; Ccr: creatinine clearance; LATG: laparoscopic-assisted total gastrectomy; LADG: laparoscopic-assisted distal gastrectomy; TG: total gastrectomy; DG: distal gastrectomy. *Values shown as median [range]. †Characteristics were compared between the S-1-completed and discontinuation groups using Student’s t-tests for body mass index, Welch’s t-tests for age, body surface area, serum albumin level, and Ccr, and χ² tests for sex, surgical procedure, and cancer stage.

The RFS rates at 5 years post-surgery in the S-1-completed and S-1-discontinuation groups were 88.1% and 55.8%, respectively (Fig. 2A). The HR for relapse in the S-1-completed group compared with that in the discontinuation group was 0.18 (95% confidence interval [CI] 0.04–0.38; p<0.001), indicating that completing S-1 treatment reduced the risk of relapse by 82%. The OS rates at 5 years post-surgery in the S-1-completed and S-1-discontinuation groups were 89.4% and 59.8%, respectively (Fig. 2B). The HR for death in the S-1-completed group compared with that in the discontinuation group was 0.19 (95% CI 0.04–0.49; p=0.002). Completion of S-1 treatment thus reduced the risk of death by 81%.

We subsequently evaluated the risk factors associated with S-1 discontinuation, excluding seven patients who discontinued S-1 because of relapse. Digestive symptoms and hematological toxicity of any grade were frequent side effects of S-1 (Table 2). The reasons for S-1 discontinuation were side effects in 16 patients (84.2%) and patient refusal in three patients (15.8%) (Table 3). Dose reduction was required during treatment in 20 patients (44.4%) in the S-1-completion group and five patients (26.3%) in the S-1-discontinuation group. The treatment schedule was modified during treatment in 42 patients (93.3%) in the S-1-completion group and eight patients (42.1%) in the discontinuation group.
Univariate analysis revealed that S-1 discontinuation was not significantly associated with age, S-1 modification/dose reduction during treatment, surgical procedure, complications including diabetes mellitus or hypertension, prescription of prophylactic anti diarrheal, laxative, probiotic, and antiemetic agents, prescription of therapeutic anti diarrheal, probiotic, and antiemetic agents, side effects including vomiting, diarrhea, stomatitis, anorexia, dysgeusia, pigmentation, skin rash, lacrimation, anemia, throm bocytopenia, and others. Variables that showed a significant difference in univariate analysis were included in a multivariate model. Multivariate logistic regression analysis revealed that S-1 discontinuation was significantly associated with an initial overdose of S-1, having stage I cancer, Ccr <66 mL/min, and a side effect of nausea (Fig. 3).

**Table 2.** Side effects induced by S-1 (n=64).

| Side effects                  | Completed group (n=45) | Discontinuation group (n=19) | p value \(^a\) |
|------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|----------------|
| Non-hematological toxicity, n (%) | Any grade              | Any grade                   |                |
| Nausea                       | 6 (13.3)               | 8 (42.1)                    | 0.027          |
| Vomiting                     | 5 (11.1)               | 4 (21.1)                    | 0.515          |
| Diarrhea                     | 26 (57.8)              | 8 (42.1)                    | 0.251          |
| Stomatitis                   | 12 (26.7)              | 3 (15.8)                    | 0.538          |
| Anorexia                     | 21 (46.7)              | 7 (36.8)                    | 0.469          |
| Dysgeusia                    | 6 (13.3)               | 4 (21.1)                    | 0.689          |
| Pigmentation                 | 8 (17.8)               | 3 (15.8)                    | 0.865          |
| Skin rash                    | 7 (15.6)               | 4 (21.1)                    | 0.865          |
| Lacrimation                  | 13 (28.9)              | 3 (15.8)                    | 0.430          |
| Others                       | 33 (73.3)              | 10 (52.6)                   | 0.107          |
| Hematological toxicity, n (%) |                        |                              |                |
| Leucopenia                   | 32 (71.1)              | 7 (36.8)                    | 0.010          |
| Neutropenia                  | 31 (68.9)              | 6 (31.6)                    | 0.006          |
| Anemia                       | 43 (95.6)              | 16 (84.2)                   | 0.300          |
| Thrombocytopenia             | 17 (37.8)              | 5 (26.3)                    | 0.378          |

\(^a\) Side effects were compared between the S-1-completed and discontinuation groups using \(\chi^2\) tests.

**Table 3.** Reasons for S-1 discontinuation (n=19).

| Side effects                  | n (%)     |
|------------------------------|-----------|
| Nausea                       | 3         |
| Anorexia                     | 2         |
| Diarrhea                     | 2         |
| Thrombocytopenia              | 2         |
| Hand-foot syndrome           | 1         |
| Ileus                        | 1         |
| Abdominal pain               | 1         |
| Infection                    | 1         |
| Dysgeusia                    | 1         |
| Lacrimation                  | 1         |
| Interstitial pneumonia       | 1         |
| Fatigue                      | 1         |
| Unknown                      | 3         |

Patient refusal: 3 (15.8)

The numbers do not total 19 because some patients cited multiple reasons for discontinuation.

**Figure 3.** Forest plot of risk factors associated with S-1 discontinuation. Multivariate logistic regression analysis was used to evaluate the risk factors associated with S-1 discontinuation. OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval; Ccr: creatinine clearance.
Discussion

There is currently little information on the optimal management of S-1 adjuvant chemotherapy in patients with gastric cancer in the clinical practice setting. The present study showed that the S-1 discontinuation rate was 36.6%, which was similar to the dropout rate identified in the previous ACTS-GC trial. In addition, patients who completed S-1 chemotherapy benefited from lower relapse and death rates than those who discontinued S-1 chemotherapy, suggesting that it was a very important evidence to maintain a continuous administration of S-1 after gastrectomy in patients with gastric cancer. Furthermore, multivariate logistic regression analysis demonstrated the first report that S-1 discontinuation was significantly associated with an initial overdose of S-1, having stage I cancer, a Ccr <66 mL/min, and a side effect of nausea.

Recent studies reported that the dose of S-1 should take into consideration the renal function of each patient, with the aim of enabling patients to complete 1 year of S-1 treatment. S-1 includes each patient, with the aim of enabling patients to

S-1 chemotherapy benefited from lower relapse and death rates than those who discontinued S-1 chemotherapy, suggesting that it was a very important evidence to maintain a continuous administration of S-1 after gastrectomy in patients with gastric cancer. Furthermore, multivariate logistic regression analysis demonstrated the first report that S-1 discontinuation was significantly associated with an initial overdose of S-1, having stage I cancer, a Ccr <66 mL/min, and a side effect of nausea.

Recent studies reported that the dose of S-1 should take into consideration the renal function of each patient, with the aim of enabling patients to complete 1 year of S-1 treatment. S-1 includes CDHP, an inhibitor of dihydopyrimidine dehydrogenase (DPD), which is the rate-limiting enzyme for the degradation of fluorouracil. CDHP clearance depends on renal function, resulting in high blood concentrations of fluorouracil because of reduced DPD activity. This may lead to severe and/or frequent S-1-induced side effects in patients with low Ccr levels. Yamanaka et al. reported that baseline renal function was a significant risk factor for severe neutropenia caused by S-1 chemotherapy in advanced gastric cancer. Iwasa et al. reported that patients with low Ccr levels tended to require S-1 dose reduction. A recent 6-month study found that Ccr <60 mL/min was a significant risk factor for discontinuation of S-1 adjuvant chemotherapy. Our results showed that S-1 discontinuation was significantly associated with an initial overdose of S-1 and Ccr <66 mL/min. We excluded four patients with Ccr <30 mL/min because the pharmaceutical company’s guidance cites Ccr <30 mL/min as a contraindication to S-1 therapy. The appropriate initial dose of S-1 of 80–120 mg/day should thus take into consideration not only BSA, but also pretreatment Ccr level, paying particular attention to patients with Ccr <66 mL/min. Clinicians and community pharmacists can provide support for patients with the above risk factors.

In the present study, the dose of S-1 was reduced in 44.4% of patients in the S-1-completed group, which was comparable to that in the ACTS-GC trial (46.5%). In addition, 93.3% of patients in the S-1-completion group changed their treatment schedule. Iwasa et al. reported that the planned 1 year S-1 adjuvant therapy for stage II or III gastric cancer could be completed in most patients by modifying the dose and/or treatment schedule. Kim et al., however, reported that a decreased RDI was related to poor DFS in patients with stage II-IV gastric cancer who underwent curative surgery and received S-1 adjuvant chemotherapy. In this study the HRs for relapse and death in the S-1-completion group were significantly lower (0.18 and 0.19, respectively) than those in the discontinuation group. The average RDI in the S-1-completion group was 94.4% with a 95% CI of 53.6–127.1%. However, we were unable to calculate the optimal RDI cut-off value using an ROC curve because of the small sample size. Previous studies found that persistent gastrointestinal toxicities, even of low grade, were the major reason for patient refusal to continue S-1 treatment. In the present study, S-1-induced digestive symptoms were relatively common side effects, as seen in the ACTS-GC trial. Our results showed that having stage I cancer and a side effect of nausea were risk factors for discontinuing S-1 adjuvant chemotherapy. It is possible that S-1 adjuvant chemotherapy might be associated with both less benefit and more side effects in patients with stage I cancer, resulting in patient refusal to continue treatment. However, a dose-reduction of one level should be considered in patients with uncomfortable digestive symptoms: from 120 mg to 100 mg/day, from 100 mg to 80 mg/day, or from 80 mg to 60 mg/day. Maximum effort should be made to maintain dose intensity, in light of these results.

The present study had several limitations. First, it was a retrospective, single-institution study with a small sample size. Second, we assessed consenting patients with stages I-IV disease (M0) who were candidates for adjuvant chemotherapy, whereas patients with stage II or III gastric cancer were eligible for the ACTS-GC trial. There may thus have been some bias in our results. Large-scale, multicenter studies are needed to confirm the results of this study.

This study is the first to clarify the risk factors for discontinuation of S-1 adjuvant chemotherapy 1 year post-surgery in patients with gastric cancer in a clinical setting, using multivariate logistic regression analysis. The results suggest that patient renal function should be assessed to avoid initial S-1 overdose. Early management of S-1-induced side effects, especially nausea, may also support the continuation of S-1 adjuvant chemotherapy in patients with gastric cancer.
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