Selective inhibition of RET mediated cell proliferation in vitro by the kinase inhibitor SPP86
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Abstract

Background: The RET tyrosine kinase receptor has emerged as a target in thyroid and endocrine resistant breast cancer. We previously reported the synthesis of kinase inhibitors with potent activity against RET. Herein, we have further investigated the effect of the lead compound SPP86 on RET mediated signaling and proliferation. Based on these observations, we hypothesized that SPP86 may be useful for studying the cellular activity of RET.

Methods: We compared the effects of SPP86 on RET-induced signaling and proliferation in thyroid cancer cell lines expressing RET-PTC1 (TPC1), or the activating mutations BRAFV600E (8505C) and RASG13R (C643). The effect of SPP86 on RET- induced phosphatidylinositide 3-kinases (PI3K)/Akt and MAPK pathway signaling and cell proliferation in MCF7 breast cancer cells was also investigated.

Results: SPP86 inhibited MAPK signaling and proliferation in RET/PTC1 expressing TPC1 but not 8505C or C643 cells. In TPC1 cells, the inhibition of RET phosphorylation required co-exposure to SPP86 and the focal adhesion kinase (FAK) inhibitor PF573228. In MCF7 cells, SPP86 inhibited RET- induced phosphatidylinositide 3-kinases (PI3K)/Akt and MAPK signaling and estrogen receptors (ERα) phosphorylation, and inhibited proliferation to a similar degree as tamoxifen. Interestingly, SPP86 and PF573228 inhibited RET/PTC1 and GDNF- RET induced activation of Akt and MAPK signaling to a similar degree.

Conclusion: SPP86 selectively inhibits RET downstream signaling in RET/PTC1 but not BRAFV600E or RASG13R expressing cells, indicating that downstream kinases were not affected. SPP86 also inhibited RET signaling in MCF7 breast cancer cells. Additionally, RET- FAK crosstalk may play a key role in facilitating PTC1/RET and GDNF- RET induced activation of Akt and MAPK signaling in TPC1 and MCF7 cells.
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Background

The REarranged during Transfection (RET) receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) regulates key aspects of cellular proliferation and survival by regulating the activity of the mitogen- activated protein kinase (MAPK) and PI3K/Akt signaling pathways [1,2]. RET also interacts directly with other kinases such as the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) and hepatocyte growth factor receptor (MET) and the focal adhesion kinase (FAK) [1,3,4]. Deregulated RET activity has been identified as a causative factor in the development, progression and response to therapy of thyroid carcinoma. Elevated RET expression has been associated with the development of endocrine resistance in human breast cancer [5,6]. A number of studies have also identified RET fusion proteins in lung adenocarcinomas [7-9]. Together, these findings suggest that RET presents an attractive therapeutic target for the treatment of certain cancer subsets.

Despite recent advances, the precise roles of RET in mediating cell proliferation, survival, migration, and resistance to therapy remain unclear. The activity of RTKs and their downstream targets is regulated by a complex array of kinase interactions and feedback loops [10,11]. Hence, directly targeting RAF kinases can lead to transactivation of RAF dimers, increased activation of MAPK signaling and tumor progression [11,12]. Further research on the role of RET in regulating these activities is thus important for the development of proper therapeutic strategies. Chemical inhibitors can prove useful...
for investigating signaling pathways and cell physiology, by complementing other model systems such as those employing protein over-expression, chemical-induced dimerization (CID) and siRNA technology [13,14]. For instance, signaling events often occur in the range of seconds and the ability to rapidly inhibit signaling can be extremely useful for investigations of this nature. Studies on structure-activity relationships using cell line models can also provide insights that direct the design and synthesis of novel kinase inhibitors. Unfortunately, the usefulness of kinase inhibitors in particular, is limited by their relative lack of selectivity. It can thus be difficult to specifically link observed cellular responses to inhibition of the desired target protein. Furthermore, the off target effects of kinase inhibitors can result in undesirable side effects if and when they are employed clinically [11,15,16]. Several kinase inhibitors with differential selectivity towards RET have been reported to date. Almost without exception, these inhibitors target several other kinases apart from RET with equal or higher affinity and accordingly induce a diverse range of effects in different cell lines (Table 1) [17-19]. Several of these compounds have entered clinical trials with promising results [1]. While multi-kinase inhibition might be beneficial for cancer treatments, it is also associated with a higher incidence of side effects. The inhibition of vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2 (VEGFR2), in particular, has been associated with undesirable side effects [17]. The inhibition of multiple kinases by an inhibitor can severely restrict its usefulness as a chemical tool [13,20,21]. For instance, RET has been shown to functionally interact with several other kinases such as EGFR, FAK, and MET [3,4,22-24]. Furthermore, BRAF and p38MAPK are downstream targets of RET [5]. Kinase inhibitors that simultaneously inhibit RET and its downstream targets (or kinases it interacts with) will produce results in cell based assays that are difficult to interpret [13,20,21]. The continued design and synthesis of novel inhibitors with selective activity towards RET is thus important [17,18,25].

We recently reported the design and synthesis of a small library of selective, cell permeable kinase inhibitors with activity against RET [45]. The lead compound (SPP86) [45] has previously been shown by us to exhibit high selectivity towards RET and potently inhibits its activity in vitro. Although SPP86 shows high selectivity for RET in vitro, it also inhibited EPHA1, FGFR1, FGFR2, FLT4, LCK, YES at low doses (<0.4 μM) under these conditions. As such, its selectivity profile differs from that of other kinase inhibitors reported to inhibit RET activity. Furthermore SPP86 is cell permeable and inhibits RET signaling in human cancer cell lines at low concentrations [45]. Our observations suggest that SPP86 may be a useful chemical tool for studies on RET signaling in cancer models. In this study, we further investigated the utility of SPP86 as a chemical tool for studies on RET signaling in human cancer cell lines. Based on its selectivity profile, we predicted that low doses of SPP86 would exert little or no effect on the signaling and proliferation of cell lines that do not depend on RET for these activities. We compared the effect of SPP86 on MAPK kinase signaling and proliferation in RET/PTC1 (TPC1), BRAFV600E (8505C) and RASG13R (C643) expressing thyroid cancer cell lines. Widening the scope beyond cancer types traditionally considered to be RET-driven, we also investigated the

Table 1 Kinase inhibitors with inhibitory activity towards RET

| Inhibitor | Targets (IC50) | Reference |
|-----------|---------------|-----------|
| PP1       | Lyc (5nM), fyn (6 nM), Src (170 nM), Csk (520 nM), CK1δ (1060 nM), p38MAPK (640 nM), RET (80 nM) | [20,26,27] |
| RPI-1     | MET (7.5 μM), RET (170 nM), | [28-30] |
| PHA-739358 (Danusertib) | Aurora kinase A/B/C (13 nM/79 nM/61 nM), BCR-ABL (25 nM), RET(31 nM), FGFR1 (47 nM) | [31] |
| TG101209  | JAK2 (6 nM), FLT3 (25 nM), RET (17 nM) | [32] |
| SU 5416   | RET (944 nM), VEGFR (nM), KIT, MET, FLT3 | [33,34] |
| SU11248   | RET (224 nM), VEGFR2 (4 nM), FLT3 (8–14 nM), KIT (1–10 nM), PDGFRβ (39 nM), CSF1R (50–100 nM) | [35] |
| XL184 (Cabozantinib) | VEGFR2 (0.035 nM), MET (1.3 nM), RET (4 nM), KIT (4.6 nM), FLT1/3/4 (12 nM/11.3 nM/6 nM, 14.3 nM), TIE2 (14.3 nM), AXL (7 nM) | [36] |
| BAY 43–9006 (Sorafenib) | RET (5.9-47 nM), BRAF (25 nM), VEGFR1/2/3 (20–90 nM), FLT3 (33 nM), p38MAPK (38 nM), PDGFRβ (57 nM), KIT (68 nM) | [35,37] |
| ZD6474 (Vandetanib) | RET (130 nM), VEGFR2 (40 nM), VEGFR3 (110 nM), EGFR (500 nM) | [38,39] |
| AP24534 (Ponatinib) | RET (7 nM), ABL (0.4 nM), Lyn (0.2 nM), FLT3 (13 nM), KIT (13 nM), FGFR1 (2 nM), PDGFRα (1 nM), Src (5.4 nM), VEGFR2 (2 nM) | [40,41] |
| NVP-AST487 | RET (880 nM), KDR (170 nM), FLT-4 (790 nM), KIT (500 nM), FLT-3 (520 nM), ABL (20 nM) | [42] |
| NVP-BBT594 | RET (~100 nM), JAK2 (1 nM), Tyk2 (1 nM), JAK3 (5 nM), JAK1 (15 nM), FAK (100 nM), IRK-3P (200 nM), ZAP70 (200 nM), FGFR2 (940 nM) | [43,44] |
effect of SPP86 on RET- induced ERα phosphorylation and proliferation in MCF7 breast cancer cells.

Methods
Reagents
The RET inhibitor SPP86 was synthesized by a literature procedure [45]. Stock solutions of SPP86 (10 mM) in DMSO were stored at 4°C and diluted just prior to use. 17-β estradiol (E2), 4- hydroxy tamoxifen (4-OHT) and insulin were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Stockholm, Sweden) dissolved in ethanol and stored at 4°C. PF-573228 was from Tocris Bioscience (Bristol, United Kingdom), dissolved in DMSO and stored at -20°C. ICI182,780 was from Tocris Bioscience dissolved in ethanol and stored at -20°C. Sorafenib (BAY43-9006) was obtained from AH Diagnostics AB (Skärholmen, Sweden) and stock solutions in DMSO were stored at -20°C. Recombinant human GDNF was obtained from R&D systems (Abingdon, United Kingdom) and was reconstituted and stored according to the supplier’s instructions.

Cell culture
MCF7 breast cancer cells from in-house stocks were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified eagle medium (DMEM) supplemented with heat inactivated 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum (FBS), 2 mM L-glutamine, 100 units/ml penicillin and 100 µg/ml streptomycin at 37°C in humidified 5% CO2. 8505C, C643 and TPC1 thyroid cancer cell lines were maintained in RPMI 1640 under similar conditions. The 8505C and C643 cells as well as the TPC1 cells were kind gifts from P. Soares and L. Mologni respectively. For estrogen and serum deprivation, MCF7 cells were cultured for 3 days in phenol red free RPMI 1640 supplemented with 1% (v/v) charcoal stripped FBS. For 4-OHT response assays, MCF7 cells were cultured for 3 days in phenol red free RPMI 1640 supplemented with 10% (v/v) charcoal stripped FBS followed by 24 h in the same medium containing 1% (v/v) charcoal stripped FBS. For 4-OHT response assays, MCF7 cells were cultured for 3 days in phenol red free RPMI 1640 supplemented with 10% (v/v) charcoal stripped FBS followed by 24 h in the same medium containing 0.1% (v/v) charcoal stripped FBS.

Antibodies
Antibodies directed against RET (C31B4), Akt1 (2H10), phospho-Ser473 Akt (193H12), p70 S6 Kinase, phospho-Thr389 p70 S6 Kinase (108D2), p44/42 MAPK (ERK1/2) (137 F5), phospho-Thr202/Tyr204 p44/42 MAPK (ERK1/2) (197G2), phospho- Src Tyr416, (D49G4), Src (36D10) and phospho-Ser167 (D1A3) ERα, phospho-Thr202/Tyr204 p44/42 MAPK (ERK1/2) (137 F5), phospho-Thr202/Tyr204 p44/42 MAPK (ERK1/2) (197G2), phospho- Src Tyr416, (D49G4), Src (36D10) and phospho-Ser167 (D1A3) ERα were from Cell Signaling Technologies (Bionordika (Sweden) AB, Stockholm, Sweden). Antibodies directed against β- catenin (B-9), phospho- Tyr654 β- catenin (1B11), cyclin D1 (DCS-6), PARP-1/2 (H-250), RET (C-19), phospho-Tyr1062 RET, PARP (H-250) and Sp1 (E3) were from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Heidelberg, Germany) and against ERα (6 F11) from Leica Microsystems AB (Kista, Sweden). Antibodies directed against phospho- Tyr576 FAK and FAK were from Invitrogen (Lidingö, Sweden). Monoclonal antibodies directed against actin and α-tubulin were from Sigma-Aldrich.

Cell viability assays
For cell viability assays, cells were seeded in 96-well plates at optimal cell density to ensure exponential growth for the duration of the assay. After 24 h preincubation, growth medium was replaced with experimental medium containing the appropriate drug concentrations or vehicle controls (0.1% or 1.0% v/v DMSO). After 48 h incubation, cell viability was measured using PrestoBlue™ Cell Viability Reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Fluorescence was measured at the excitation and emission peaks for resorufin (544 and 590 nm respectively). Results were expressed as the mean ± S.E. for six replicates as a percentage of vehicle control (taken as 100%). Experiments were performed independently at least three times. Statistical analyses were performed using a two tailed Student’s t test. P <0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Immunoblotting
Cells treated as indicated were washed with ice-cold phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and lysed directly in ice-cold HEPES buffer [50 mM HEPES (pH 7.5), 10 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl2, 1 mM EDTA, 10% (v/v) glycerol, 1% (v/v) Triton X-100 and a cocktail of protease inhibitors (Roche Diagnostics Scandinavia AB, Bromma, Sweden)] at 4°C for 30 min with gentle agitation. The supernatants were either analyzed immediately or stored at -80°C. Equivalent amounts of protein (20 – 50 µg) from total cell lysates were resolved by SDS-PAGE and transferred onto ‘nitrocellulose membranes. Membranes were blocked in blocking buffer [5% (w/v) nonfat dried milk, 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris (pH 8.0) and 0.05% (v/v) Tween 20]. Proteins were detected by incubation with primary antibodies at appropriate dilutions in blocking buffer overnight at 4°C. Blots were then incubated at room temperature with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody. Bands were visualized by enhanced chemiluminescence (Supersignal West Pico; Pierce, Nordic Biolabs AB, Täby, Sweden) followed by exposure to autoradiography film (General Electric Bio-Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden). Antibodies directed against PARP [46] or tubulin were used to monitor gel loading. Cytoplasmic and nuclear extracts were prepared using an NE-PER extraction kit (Thermo Scientific Inc., Rockford, IL, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Immunofluorescence microscopy
Cells were grown on sterile glass coverslips in 6-well plates to 80% confluency in media before being washed
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three times in PBS. Cells were fixed in 4% formaldehyde/PBS at room temperature for 10 minutes. Coverslips were washed twice in PBS and permeabilized in 0.2% Triton X100/PBS for 15 minutes. Following another three washes in PBS, coverslips were blocked in 3% bovine serum albumin (BSA)/PBS at room temperature for 30 min. Monoclonal antibodies to β-catenin (B-9) were applied in 3% BSA/PBS overnight. Cells were then washed 3 times in PBS, and incubated with a fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) -conjugated bovine or goat anti-mouse secondary antibody (1:200) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) at room temperature for 1 h. After a final three washes, coverslips were mounted on glass slides with Vectashield containing 4′,6′-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) (Vector Laboratories Ltd., Peterborough, United Kingdom). Alternatively, cells were stained with FITC- conjugated phalloidin. Images were obtained with a Zeiss AxioCam on a Zeiss Axioplan 2 microscope with a 100× objective using the appropriate filter sets.

**Results**

We investigated the effect of SPP86 on ERK1/2 phosphorylation in thyroid cancer derived cell lines expressing the RET/PTC1 rearrangement (TPC1), BRAFV600E (8505C) or RASV12 or RASG13R (C643) mutations [47,48]. These mutations have previously been shown to induce constitutive activation of the MAPK signaling pathway in these cell lines [47-49]. Since TPC1 but not 8505C and C643 cells depend predominantly on RET/PTC1 signaling for proliferation, we hypothesized that SPP86 should only inhibit the proliferation of the former. Sorafenib, which inhibits both RET and RAF family kinases, was used as an internal control in these experiments.

**SPP86 inhibits MAPK pathway activation in RET/PTC1 expressing cell lines**

As previously reported [45], SPP86 effectively inhibits ERK1/2 phosphorylation in TPC1 cells expressing the RET/PTC1 rearrangement at a concentration of 1 μM (Figure 1A). In contrast, SPP86 had no effect on ERK1/2 phosphorylation in 8505C or C643 cells (Figure 1A). We next investigated if FAK could maintain RET phosphorylation on Tyr1062 despite the inhibition of RET/PTC1 signaling. These results demonstrate that prolonged exposure (20 h) to 0.5-1 μM SPP86 was associated with a decline in cyclin D1 levels in this cell line (Figure 1E). In contrast, prolonged exposure to SPP86 did not affect ERK1/2 phosphorylation or cyclin D1 expression in 8505C and C643 cells (Figure 1G). We noted however, that prolonged exposure to SPP86 (0.5-1 μM) was associated with a decrease in Akt Ser473 phosphorylation in C643 cells (Figure 1G). C643 cells express wild type RET [47]. SPP86 may thus inhibit RET-mediated Akt activation in this cell line. These results demonstrate that unlike sorafenib, SPP86 appears to selectively inhibit RET/PTC1-activated MAPK signaling in these cell lines.
Interestingly, PF273228 and SPP86 inhibited Akt and ERK1/2 phosphorylation to a similar degree (Figure 2B and C). Exposure to PF573228 but not SPP86 was associated with a reduction of FAK Tyr576 phosphorylation (Figure 2B). Neither PF273228 nor SPP86 suppressed Src phosphorylation (Figure 2D). SPP86 inhibited TPC1 cell proliferation more effectively than PF573228 (49% proliferation vs. 77%) but no additive effect was observed following co-exposure to both drugs (Figure 2E).

Our observations strongly suggested that SPP86 would selectively inhibit RET- induced proliferation. We thus compared the effect of sorafenib and SPP86 on the proliferation of TPC1, 8505C and C643 cells in media containing 0.1% serum (i.e. low culture conditions). Under these conditions, signaling pathway activation is predominantly under the control of the respective oncogenes expressed by these cell lines. C643 and TPC1 cells showed marked differential sensitivity to SPP86 (IC$_{50}$ 61.5 vs 1.5 μM for C643 and TPC1 cells respectively) (Figure 3A). In contrast, 8505C cells grew poorly under low serum conditions (data not shown), but their proliferation was enhanced when exposed to doses of SPP86.

Figure 2 SPP86- mediated RET inhibition does not abolish its phosphorylation TPC1 cells. (A) TPC1 cells were cultured overnight in media containing 0.1% FBS and exposed to 2.5 μM PF573228 and/or 1 μM SPP86 for 90 min in similar media. Total lysates were resolved by SDS-PAGE and probed with antibodies directed against phosphorylated (Tyr1062) and total RET. Actin was used to monitor gel loading. (B- D) Total lysates were resolved by SDS-PAGE and probed with antibodies directed against the indicated proteins. Tubulin was used to monitor gel loading. (E) TPC1 cells were grown in media containing 0.1% FBS and the left untreated or cultured in the presence of 2.5 μM PF573228 and/or 1 μM SPP86 for 72 h. Viability was expressed as a percentage of the untreated control population. The data in each panel represent the mean of 3 experiments ± S. E.; *p <0.01 treated vs. untreated for each series.
from 1–10 μM (Figure 3A). Under similar conditions, the IC₅₀ values for sorafenib were 3.1 μM, 0.28 μM, and 33.3 μM for C643, TPC1 and 8505C cells respectively (Figure 3B). In general, low doses of SPP86 do not inhibit the activity of signaling proteins downstream of RET or other kinases that directly interact with it [45]. SPP86 thus selectively inhibited the proliferation of TPC1 cells dependent on oncogenic RET but not 8505C and C643 cells respectively dependent on oncogenic BRAF and RAS.

**SPP86 inhibits RET signaling in ERα positive breast cancer cells**

In addition to its role in thyroid cancers, RET has been shown to functionally interact with ERα in human breast cancer cell lines [5,6,51,52]. We thus evaluated the utility of using SPP86 to interrogate RET signaling in MCF7 breast cancer cells. Firstly, we studied the effect of SPP86 on RET- mediated ERα phosphorylation on serine residue 167 (Ser167). Estrogen deprived and serum starved MCF7 cells were exposed to 10 ng/ml GDNF in the absence or presence of increasing doses of SPP86. In these experiments, SPP86 effectively inhibited GDNF/ RET- induced phosphorylation of Akt and its downstream signaling at concentrations as low as 0.1 μM (Figure 4B). We noted that SPP86 inhibited phosphorylation of Akt more effectively than that of its downstream target p70S6K at this concentration. Similarly, SPP86 inhibited Akt phosphorylation at markedly lower concentrations than those required to inhibit MAPK phosphorylation (0.1 vs. 1.0 μM) (Figure 4B). SPP86 effectively inhibited GDNF- induced RET phosphorylation Tyr1062 at a concentration of 1.0 μM. In contrast, FAK inhibition with PF573228 only moderately inhibited RET phosphorylation. Co- exposure to PF573228 and SPP86 however, exerted an additive inhibitory effect on RET phosphorylation (Figure 4C).

Both PF573228 and SPP86 inhibited GDNF- induced ERK1/2 and Akt phosphorylation (Figure 4C and Additional file 1A). Prolonged exposure of MCF7 cells to SPP86 also lead to the suppression of cyclin D1 expression (Figure 4D). We next compared the effects of sorafenib and SPP86 on PI3K/Akt and MAPK pathway signaling, with a view to discriminate the direct effects of RET inhibition from those of a combined inhibition of RET and RAF. In these experiments, estrogen deprived and serum starved MCF7 cells were exposed to 10 ng/ml GDNF alone or in the presence of either sorafenib or SPP86. Analyses of the relative levels of phosphorylated Akt and ERK1/2 demonstrated that both compounds effectively block GDNF- induced RET signaling at concentrations as...
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low as 1 μM (Figure 4E). We noted however, that sorafenib inhibited Akt and ERK1/2 slightly more effectively than SPP86 under these conditions (Figure 4E). These differential effects on PI3K/Akt and MAPK signaling may result may stem from the fact that sorafenib and SPP86 target different kinases at low concentrations. The enhanced inhibition of MAPK signaling observed with sorafenib may also result from the fact that it targets both RET and RAF family kinases [37,45].

Since these observations suggested that SPP86 disrupts ERα-RET crosstalk, we investigated the effect of SPP86 on the proliferation of MCF7 cells. Estrogen deprived and serum starved cells were cultured in the presence of 1 ng/ml β-estradiol (E2) or 10 ng/ml GDNF alone and in combination in the presence of 1 μM SPP86 for 7 days. SPP86 effectively inhibited E2 and/or GDNF-induced proliferation (p <0.05) (Figure 5A). In contrast, SPP86 did not inhibit proliferation when MCF7 cells were co-exposed to 1 ng/ml E2 and 5 ng/insulin under similar conditions (Figure 5B). We next compared the effect of SPP86 and tamoxifen on the proliferation of MCF7 cells. Estrogen deprived and serum starved cells were cultured in the presence of 1 ng/ml β-estradiol (E2) and 10 ng/ml GDNF with increasing doses of either SPP86 or tamoxifen, in medium containing 1 ng/ml β-estradiol (E2) and 10 ng/ml GDNF and incubated for 7 days. In these experiments, SPP86 and tamoxifen inhibited proliferation to a similar degree with IC₅₀ values of 1.0 and 1.4 μM respectively (Figure 5C).

**Discussion**

We have investigated the effect of SPP86, a novel small molecule kinase inhibitor with selective activity towards RET on cancer cell proliferation. SPP86 is cell permeable, potently inhibits RET activity in vitro and in vivo, and exhibits a unique selectivity profile that differs from previously reported inhibitors with activity towards this kinase [45]. Deregulated RET activity has been associated with the development, progression and/or resistance to therapy of certain thyroid, breast and lung cancer subtypes. Together, these studies have identified RET as a potentially important therapeutic target in these subtypes of thyroid, breast and lung cancers [5-9]. Further studies on RET will be required however, if effective treatment regimens that target this kinase are to be developed. Due to the rapidity of their actions, small molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitors have been extremely useful as chemical tools to study the physiological roles of the pathways regulated by these enzymes [13,14,21]. Most if not all kinase inhibitors target more than one kinase, leading to potentially confounding or erroneous results when used to study cellular physiology. Several small molecules with inhibitory activity towards RET have been reported (Table 1). This problem can be partially circumvented; by using two or more RET inhibitors of dissimilar structure for studies of this nature [13,20,21]. Given that no purely selective inhibitors of RET exist, the continued characterization of small molecules that target RET is desirable.

The differential selectivity profile of SPP86 suggested it might be useful for studies on the cellular functions of RET [45]. As previously reported for other RET inhibitors [17-19,45], SPP86 inhibits RET mediated activation of the PI3K/Akt and MAPK pathways at low doses (0.1- 1 μM) in a cell line expressing oncogenic RET. In this study, we have demonstrated that SPP86 selectively inhibits this activity in a thyroid cancer cell line expressing RET/PTC1 but not in others with activating mutations in BRAF (V600E) or Ras (G13R) which lie downstream of RET. Furthermore, SPP86 selectively inhibited the proliferation of the former at similar concentrations while having little or no anti-proliferative effect on the latter. Interestingly, SPP86 appears to enhance the proliferation of 8505C cells which express constitutively activated BRAF(V600E) under low serum conditions. It remains to be determined, if this effect resulted directly from the SPP86 mediated inhibition of RET.

Surprisingly, we observed only partial suppression of RET phosphorylation on Tyr1062 following exposure to low doses of SPP86. The near complete inhibition of Akt and ERK1/2 by SPP86 at these doses, did not correlate with inhibitory effects on RET phosphorylation. While
similar observations have been previously reported [28], the reasons for this discrepancy remain unclear. FAK has been shown to phosphorylate RET on Tyr1062 [24]. In our studies, FAK inhibition by PF573228 did affect RET phosphorylation in TPC1 cells. Co-exposure to PF273228 and SPP86 however, clearly inhibited RET phosphorylation. SPP86 did not inhibit the activity of FAK or its activating kinase Src in our studies. It is possible, that FAK maintains the phosphorylation of RET on Tyr1062 despite the inhibition of the latter’s autophosphorylation by SPP86. Interestingly, exposure to PF573228 or SPP86 inhibited Akt and ERK1/2 to a similar degree in TPC1 cells. In contrast, low concentrations of SPP86 clearly inhibited GDNF- induced RET autophosphorylation in MCF7 cells. Exposure to PF573228 alone only marginally inhibited GDNF- induced RET autophosphorylation but enhanced the inhibitory effect of SPP86 on this activity. PF573228 also inhibited RET-dependent activation of Akt and ERK1/2 in MCF7 cells to a similar degree as SPP86 (Figures 2 and 4). The precise role of FAK in regulating Akt and ERK1/2 phosphorylation as well as proliferation in MCF7 and TPC1 cells will require further studies. Our findings suggest however, that RET autophosphorylation is insufficient to activate downstream signaling and requires FAK activity. TPC1 cells have also been shown to express FGFR1, a target of SPP86 [53]. The role of FGFR1 in regulating the aforementioned effects on cell signaling in TPC1 cells has not been reported. Therefore we cannot rule out at present, that the inhibitory effect of SPP86 on TPC1
cell proliferation partially results from the inhibition of FGFR1. RET/PTC1 is the main oncogenic driver in the TPC1 cell line and activates both the Akt and MAPK signaling pathways [54,55]. The observed selective effect on RET driven proliferation therefore suggests that SPP86 predominantly inhibits signaling via this RTK.

The effectiveness of endocrine therapy for ERα positive breast cancer is limited by the development of resistance. Increased RTK signaling leads to estrogen independent ERα activation and resistance to tamoxifen and aromatase inhibitors [56]. RET interacts functionally with ERα to promote breast cancer cell proliferation and is frequently overexpressed in ERα positive breast cancer [5,51]. Furthermore, overexpression of RET confers resistance to tamoxifen and aromatase inhibitors [5,43]. RET mediated activation of the PI3K/ Akt and MAPK pathways leads indirectly to the phosphorylation and activation of ERα [5,57]. These studies have identified RET as a potentially important target for the treatment of endocrine resistant breast cancer. A small molecule inhibitor with inhibitory activity towards RET, NVP- BBT394, has recently been shown to reverse resistance to aromatase inhibitors in breast cancer cells [43]. The effective use of kinase inhibitors to study the roles of RET in cell physiology will require the use of two or more structurally similar inhibitors [13,21]. We have previously shown that SPP86 inhibits GDNF- RET induced activation of the MAPK pathway at low doses [45]. Herein, we have demonstrated that SPP86 inhibits GDNF- RET induced phosphorylation of ERα. Earlier studies have demonstrated that RET indirectly induces ERα phosphorylation via activation of the PI3K/Akt and MAPK pathways [5,57]. Interestingly, SPP86 appeared more effective at inhibiting the GDNF- RET induced activation of the PI3K/Akt pathway than the MAPK pathway (0.1 vs 1.0 μM). Furthermore, SPP86 inhibited GDNF- RET induced ERα phosphorylation at concentrations similar to those required to inhibit Akt phosphorylation. This is in agreement with previous findings showing that inhibition of PI3K/Akt signaling is more effective at blocking ERα phosphorylation than inhibition of the MAPK pathway [5]. It is possible however, that SPP86 mediated inhibition of Src family kinases enhances its effect on Akt phosphorylation [45,58]. ERα induces RET expression which in turn enhances ERα phosphorylation and activation in a positive feedback loop [5,6,51]. In our study, SPP86 inhibited the proliferation of MCF7 cells cultured in the presence of estrogen and GDNF to the same degree as tamoxifen on a molar basis. In contrast, SPP86 did not inhibit the proliferation of MCF7 cells cultured in the presence of estrogen and insulin. Exposure to SPP86 was also associated with a reduction in cyclin D1 levels. Cyclin D1 is a transcriptional target of ERα and central regulator of cell cycle progression in MCF7 cells [59,60]. SPP86 thus appears to suppress MCF7 proliferation at least in part, by inhibiting ERα- RET cross talk and cyclin D1 expression.

Both sorafenib and SPP86 inhibited PI3K/Akt and MAPK pathway signaling to similar degrees. Our studies thus show that SPP86 selectively inhibits RET- induced MCF7 cell proliferation. Additional targets of individual kinase inhibitors with activity towards RET include the Aurora kinases, BRAF, EGFR, JAK2, KIT, MET, p38, PDGFRα/β and Src (Table 1). As these kinases all play roles in regulating MCF7 proliferation and/or survival, these inhibitors cannot be used in isolation to determine the cellular functions of RET. Although SPP86 shows inhibitory activity towards Src family kinases, it does not inhibit the aforementioned kinases. Additional targets of SPP86 such as EPHA1 and FLT4 (VEGFR3) play minor roles in regulating MCF7 proliferation and survival [61,62]. Its selectivity and differential target profile make SPP86 an additional useful inhibitor for studies on RET function in human breast cancer cell lines.

Conclusions

We have demonstrated that SPP86, a novel kinase inhibitor, is a useful tool for studying the cellular functions of RET. Numerous studies have identified RET as a potentially important therapeutic target in subtypes of breast, lung and thyroid cancers. Kinase inhibitors are useful tools for studying the cellular functions of kinases. Their relative lack of specificity can however, lead to erroneous results. The use of two or more structurally distinct kinase inhibitors has therefore been recommended for studies on cell physiology. Our studies have identified SPP86 as a selective inhibitor of RET signaling in human cancer cell lines. The selectivity profile of SPP86 is similar to that of PP1 and PP2 but differs substantially from that of other inhibitors that target RET. Unlike PP1 and PP2 however, SPP86 does not inhibit p38, CSK, KIT, PDGF, Src or BCR-ABL. Together, our findings indicate that SPP86 is a useful tool for studying the cellular functions of RET.

Additional file

Additional file 1: Figure S1. Inhibition of RET phosphorylation.

(A) MCF7 cells were grown in media growing 1.0% FBS overnight, pretreated with 2.5 μM PF573228 and/or 1 μM SPP86 for 40 min and then exposed to 10 ng/ml of GDNF for a further 20 min in similar media. Total lysates were resolved by SDS-PAGE and probed with antibodies directed against phosphorylated and total Akt. Actin was used as a loading control.
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