Long-term outcomes of systemic therapies for Hurler syndrome: an international multicenter comparison
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Purpose: Early treatment is critical for mucopolysaccharidosis type I (MPS I), justifying its incorporation into newborn screening. Enzyme replacement therapy (ERT) treats MPS I, yet presumptions that ERT cannot penetrate the blood–brain barrier (BBB) support recommendations that hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT) treat the severe, neurodegenerative form (Hurler syndrome). Ethics precludes randomized comparison of ERT with HCT, but insight into this comparison is presented with an international cohort of patients with Hurler syndrome who received long-term ERT from a young age.

Methods: Long-term survival and neurologic outcomes were compared among three groups of patients with Hurler syndrome: 18 treated with ERT monotherapy (ERT group), 54 who underwent HCT (HCT group), and 23 who received no therapy (Untreated). All were followed starting before age 5 years. A sensitivity analysis restricted age of treatment below 3 years.

Results: Survival was worse when comparing ERT versus HCT, and Untreated versus ERT. The cumulative incidences of hydrocephalus and cervical spinal cord compression were greater in ERT versus HCT. Findings persisted in the sensitivity analysis.

Conclusion: As newborn screening widens treatment opportunity for Hurler syndrome, this examination of early treatment quantifies some ERT benefit, supports presumptions about BBB impenetrability, and aligns with current guidelines to treat with HCT.
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INTRODUCTION
Incorporation of mucopolysaccharidosis type I (MPS I, OMIM 607014) into newborn screening in the United States and internationally has been driven by an ever-growing body of evidence that early treatment leads to more favorable outcomes. Early treatment inhibits the generally irreversible, progressive pathology of MPS I, and thus newborn screening affords greater numbers of patients better opportunity for reducing or preventing cognitive or physical disability. MPS I is an autosomal recessive disorder resulting from a deficiency of the lysosomal enzyme α-L-iduronidase, crucial in a stepwise pathway of glycosaminoglycan (GAG) degradation. Accumulating GAG in nearly all organ systems causes clinical symptoms beginning in infancy that progress to worsening disability due to cardiac, airway, pulmonary, orthopedic, ophthalmologic, and auditory problems; hepatosplenomegaly; and neurologic dysfunction including increased risk for hydrocephalus and spinal cord compression. In contrast to the attenuated forms of MPS I, the severe form, Hurler syndrome (MPS IH), involves rapid and dramatic neurologic deterioration during early childhood including intellectual developmental decline, as well as death between 5 and 10 years of age.

Enzyme replacement therapy (ERT) with laronidase was approved for all phenotypes of MPS I in 2003 in Europe and the United States, and in 2005 and 2006 in Brazil and Japan, respectively. However, the presumption that the blood–brain barrier (BBB) is impenetrable to intravenously delivered ERT has guided the continued recommendation that MPS IH be treated with hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT), a therapy that was first utilized for this disease more than two decades prior. HCT has long been shown to arrest neurologic deterioration, stabilize cognition, improve metabolic correction, and extend survival. Given these HCT outcomes
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combined with presumptions about the impermeable BBB, a formal randomized comparison of the efficacy of relatively newer ERT as monotherapy to that of HCT for MPS IH was never ethically possible to pursue. Short-term safety studies evaluating ERT as well as longer-term case reports and case series have suggested therapeutic benefit that is less effective than HCT, although long-term outcomes of ERT in larger groups, particularly those who were treated from a young age, have not been understood. ERT from birth, or at higher doses, in animal models has demonstrated efficacy in affected organ systems that are typically impervious to ERT, including the central nervous system (CNS). Given the capacity of newborn screening to shift the window of intervention earlier, clarifying long-term efficacy of systemic therapies when initiated early in life has become more pressing. This study presents a benchmark examination of the long-term outcomes of ERT monotherapy in a unique international cohort of patients with Hurler syndrome who were treated exclusively with ERT from a young age, before significant disease progression was apparent. All but three patients in this unusual group were first described a decade ago in a 1-year safety study of ERT, thus enabling a rare opportunity for longitudinal tracking of survival and cardinal CNS manifestations.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients
Eighteen patients who were treated exclusively and continuously with ERT from a young age until last follow-up or death (ERT group) were identified from three sources: 15 patients from a larger published international study involving sites in the United Kingdom, France, Germany, and the Netherlands; 2 patients from Brazil (courtesy of R.G. and C.F.M.D.); and 1 patient from the United States who has been previously described. The clinical diagnosis of MPS IH was confirmed with genotyping, which has been previously reported in all patients, except for the two patients from Brazil, one whose genotype was W402X/W402X and the other whose genotype was R621X/IVS9-12_-4delCAGGCCCCG. All patients received the standard dose of ERT except for the one previously reported, whose dose was increased. No other patients were known to meet the three inclusion criteria for this ERT group: (i) a genotypic diagnosis of MPS IH, (ii) ERT initiated before age 5 years, and (iii) no history of HCT.

The outcomes of the ERT group were compared with those of two additional groups. The HCT group comprised 54 sequential MPS IH patients transplanted at the University of Minnesota since 2002. Because transplant is a complex treatment, a single-institution and recent cohort (reflecting modern HCT practice) was chosen. In the HCT group, 42 of the 54 patients received ERT in the peritransplant period, generally through 8 weeks posttransplant, but not long term. The remaining 12 did not receive ERT. The second comparison group (Untreated group) comprised 21 historical patients who did not receive any treatment due to lack of available HCT donors in the pre-ERT era. In addition, one patient in each of the treatment groups received treatment after age 5; thus they were censored at date of treatment initiation and added to the Untreated group to reflect their untreated clinical course during their first 5 years of life. One patient in the ERT group eventually underwent HCT at one of the international sites at age 3 and was censored at that time point and maintained in the ERT group. Institutional review board and parallel human subjects protection boards at international sites provided approval for review of medical files.

Study design and endpoints
This observational study involved retrospective chart review of endpoints that relate to the lethality and neurologic pathology of MPS IH: survival as well as emergence of hydrocephalus and cervical spinal cord compression. These endpoints were reported from the international centers. Long-term IQ data were not available, as IQ was not measured in most of the ERT group over time. Age at the emergence of hydrocephalus and cervical cord compression was chosen because these two, commonly assessed, cardinal CNS manifestations of MPS IH significantly impact functioning and quality of life. Both outcomes were defined according to standard clinical guidelines at each institution, including neuroimaging, and all patients proceeded to surgical intervention, except for two (both in the ERT group) for whom a procedure was thought to be ill-advised based on the status of the patient. Specifically, all patients who developed hydrocephalus were treated with shunt placement except for one whose hydrocephalus was discovered by magnetic resonance imaging and neuro-ophthalmologic exam at end-of-life; therefore a ventriculoperitoneal shunt was not placed. All patients who developed cervical cord compression underwent decompression surgery, except for one who was diagnosed via magnetic resonance imaging, abnormal somatosensory evoked potentials, and pyramidal tract signs, but this child was receiving palliative care and the risk of anesthesia was felt to be higher than expected benefits of the surgery. Records on these CNS outcomes were available on all patients in the HCT group and most patients in the ERT group, but they were not for the untreated historical controls. Some ERT patients did not undergo routine neuroimaging, and thus due to missing exams, one patient was excluded from outcome analysis of hydrocephalus, and five patients were excluded from outcome analysis of cervical cord compression. Patients who developed hydrocephalus or cervical cord compression prior to initiation of treatment were also excluded from those analyses (hydrocephalus N = 2; cervical cord compression N = 0).

Statistics
Descriptive statistics were tabulated per treatment group. Continuous variables were summarized with mean and range while categorical variables were summarized with frequency and percentage. Survival curves were evaluated using Kaplan–Meier estimates while comparisons between groups
were based on hazard ratios as estimated by unadjusted Cox proportional hazards models and robust variance estimation. Hydrocephalus and cervical cord compression were evaluated separately based on cumulative incidence functions due to the competing risk of death with comparisons based on the subdistribution between groups.21

R v3.2.4 was used for all analyses (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

RESULTS

Patient characteristics are summarized in Table 1. Mean age at treatment was younger in the HCT group than the ERT group (1.5 and 2.6 years, respectively), although the ranges of treatment age were similar between the two groups. A sensitivity analysis was conducted in which age at treatment was restricted to younger than 3 years, to make the groups more comparable in that regard; median age at treatment was identical for the ERT and HCT groups in this analysis (1.3 years).

Survival

Survival was significantly worse in the Untreated group compared with the ERT group (hazard ratio = 2.3; \( p = 0.008; \) Figure 1). Survival was also worse in the ERT group, compared with the HCT group (hazard ratio = 2.6; \( P = 0.033 \)). Survival differences when age at treatment was restricted to <3 (\( N = 53 \) and 10 in the HCT and ERT groups, respectively) remained qualitatively similar for the Untreated group compared with the ERT group and for the ERT group compared with the HCT group (hazard ratio = 2.4, \( P = 0.046 \) and 2.50, \( P = 0.089 \)) respectively, wherein point estimates were nearly identical and the \( P \) value was slightly higher as expected due to a smaller sample size (Supplementary Figure S1 online). In this study the HCT group had the longest survival, with an estimated survival beyond 10 years of age of 83% (95% confidence interval: 21%, 70%) and 10% (95% confidence interval: 0%, 23%) respectively. The Untreated group had a median age of death of 6.4 years, while that of the ERT group was 9.0 years. This finding does not change significantly if ERT is initiated prior to age 3 (8.9 years).

CNS outcomes

At 14-year follow-up, the cumulative incidence of hydrocephalus in the ERT group was 27%, compared with 0% in the HCT group (\( P = 0.036 \); Figure 2). The cumulative incidence of cervical cord compression in the ERT group was 51%, compared with 16% in the HCT group (\( P = 0.039 \); Figure 3). Restricting age at treatment to <3 years did not significantly change results; the cumulative incidence of hydrocephalus in the ERT group was 40%, compared with 0% in the HCT group (\( P = 0.010 \)), while the cumulative incidence of cord compression in the ERT group was 67%, compared with 16% in the HCT group (\( P = 0.013 \)).

DISCUSSION

This is the first clinical study to quantify long-term outcomes for patients with Hurler syndrome comparing ERT, HCT, or no systemic therapy. Until now, comparison of relative clinical efficacy of the two systemic therapies for MPS IH has not been approached, as ethics precludes a prospective trial comparing HCT with an intravenous drug that is thought to be largely excluded from the central nervous system by the blood–brain barrier,4,22,23 and few patients with this relatively less common treatment course were followed long term. With animal model evidence of improved efficacy of ERT when initiated at birth, combined with the shifting window of treatment opportunity owing to newborn screening, there is more pressing need for data-driven comparison of all systemic therapeutic choices for the severe form of MPS I. Therefore, this study evaluated 10-year follow-up data on a group of patients whose 1-year treatment response to early initiated ERT was previously published,14 and added data from three additional ERT patients, including one who was previously described.13 By comparing this ERT group to those who received HCT, as well as to those who were untreated, this study reveals an alteration in the natural history of Hurler syndrome with use of ERT, provides clinical data further supporting presumptions about the impermeability of the BBB to intravenously delivered enzyme, and also presents evidence of superior HCT outcomes with respect to survival and disease-related neurologic pathology, even when ERT is initiated early.

The ERT group had a substantially greater cumulative incidence of hydrocephalus than the HCT group, where no hydrocephalus was observed. The ERT group also had a much greater cumulative incidence of cervical spinal cord compression. Consistent with previous findings,9,24 cervical cord compression was not completely absent in the HCT group. Given the importance of early treatment in this disease, a sensitivity analysis was conducted to minimize differences in age at initiation of therapy in the two groups and results remained nearly the same, suggesting these poorer outcomes are not explained by differential delay to treatment. These
findings of greater cumulative incidences of negative CNS outcomes in the ERT group, versus the HCT group, support the presumption that intravenous ERT does not penetrate the BBB to benefit the CNS structure to a measurable extent.\textsuperscript{9,22,23}

The natural history of Hurler syndrome is defined not just by the progressive involvement of the CNS but also by worsening somatic complications beginning in infancy\textsuperscript{5} and significantly shortened lifespan including death within the first decade of life.\textsuperscript{2} The Untreated group had a median age of death of 6.4 years, while that of the ERT group was 9 years. This finding does not change significantly if ERT is started prior to age 3 (8.9 years). Thus, initiation of ERT early in life appears to extend survival in Hurler syndrome, aligning with evidence that early ERT may delay or reduce disease burden in attenuated forms of MPS I.\textsuperscript{25–27} Of note, the Untreated group was living at a time when medical care of MPS was not as advanced nor were multidisciplinary interventions as refined, and thus the comparison of ERT to Untreated must

Figure 1 Survival in Hurler syndrome. Survival curves depict differences in clinical course for patients with Hurler syndrome who received hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT), only enzyme replacement therapy (ERT), or no systemic therapy (Untreated).

Figure 2 Cumulative incidence of hydrocephalus in Hurler syndrome. Cumulative incidence functions show differences in development of hydrocephalus for patients with Hurler syndrome who received hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT) or only enzyme replacement therapy (ERT). Because no patients who underwent HCT developed this symptom, the function is flat.
be interpreted within the context of differential quality of supportive, coordinating therapies. Survival was significantly worse in the ERT group as compared with the HCT group, and this finding was re-demonstrated on the sensitivity analysis, where median age at treatment was identical. Thus poorer survival in the ERT group does not appear confounded by differential delay to therapy.

Beyond questions related to survival and CNS pathology, HCT and ERT are not otherwise equivalent therapies for this complicated, multisystem disease. While ERT has been found to result in reductions in hepatosplenomegaly, urinary GAG excretion, and sleep apnea, as well as improvements in growth, physical endurance, and joint range of motion,7 HCT has resulted in better GAG clearance and metabolic correction than with ERT.11 Outcome of successful HCT includes improved multisystem functioning,3,9,10,24,28 such that GAGs are reduced in soft tissue such as the liver, spleen, lungs, airway, marrow, facies, and the vascular system. Although guidelines recommend HCT for MPS IH, often ERT is used as an adjunctive therapy to improve the health status of patients prior to HCT, and ERT in the peritransplant period has been used with the intent of decreasing morbidity and mortality associated with HCT.18,29 Further, these combined therapies have been associated with less severe cognitive decline following HCT.31

This study revealed superior outcomes for survival and classic MPS-related CNS pathology with HCT, a treatment for which advances have led to considerable improvements in engraftment and survival in MPS IH the past decade.32 There is overwhelming and long-standing evidence that younger age at HCT predicts better outcomes with respect to survival, engraftment, and residual disease burden.1,3,18,24,32–34 With more favorable HCT outcomes being made possible by newborn screening, there are still challenges associated with transplant. First, it is recognized that metabolic correction is not uniformly distributed among organ systems, as differential delivery of enzyme to the target organs is difficult to measure, and problems remain, particularly in the skeletal, nervous, and cardiac systems, despite full engraftment of enzyme competent donor hematopoietic cells following transplantation.3,10,24,35,36 Thus many transplanted children show continued cognitive and physical impairments, with severity related to age at HCT.3,9,24,34 Second, there is a lack of availability of transplant in many nations worldwide. There may be considerable practical or clinical obstacles for some patients to undergo HCT beyond the United States, such that families may decide not to pursue it.37 Third, while HCT is readily available in the United States and European Union, it is quite costly.38 On the other hand, the high cost of a single HCT is actually less than the accumulated cost of life-long ERT for these patients.

Limitations
A common problem in rare disease research, small sample size limits this study by restricting the number of factors that can be controlled when predicting outcomes. Differences in genotypes, existing disease burden, failure of first treatment (e.g., need for second transplant or change in ERT dosing), and access to supportive therapies (e.g., occupational therapy, physical therapy, special education, etc.) could have explained

Figure 3 Cumulative incidence of cervical cord compression in Hurler syndrome. Cumulative incidence functions show differences in development of cervical cord compression for patients with Hurler syndrome who received hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT) or only enzyme replacement therapy (ERT).
some of the findings. Further, the use of ERT as adjunctive therapy in the majority of the HCT sample creates some therapeutic overlap in comparing ERT versus HCT; however the duration of this adjunctive ERT was comparatively quite brief in a study of long-term outcomes. More detailed information on causes of death in the ERT group may have provided additional insights into whether certain organ systems are differentially improved or burdened in the long term. As monitoring antidrug antibody responses is an increasingly recognized factor in ERT success,15,39,40 this study cannot account for the degree to which it affected outcomes of the ERT group. However, a recent meta-analysis demonstrated that antibody titers generally decrease over time during treatment with ERT,40 and while this may not be true for every patient, a more comprehensive examination of one of the patients from this group revealed that even though anti-ERT antibodies doubled in a 6-year span, there was no apparent impact on efficacy for her.13

Conclusion
By addressing the lack of long-term outcome comparison between ERT monotherapy and HCT in Hurler syndrome, this study reveals superior outcomes for survival and CNS pathology with HCT. It also provides clinical data to suggest benefit of ERT and to support existing presumptions that the blood–brain barrier is impermeable to standard doses of ERT. Given improved early detection of MPS I thanks to newborn screening, geneticists, pediatricians, and other health practitioners will be faced with new questions, and treatment decisions, for the increasing number of infants with this condition who start appearing in their practices. These findings on suboptimal long-term outcomes of ERT monotherapy in MPS IH, even when begun early in life, are considered in the context of problems of access to HCT worldwide, and highlight the need for better, more accessible, and less expensive somatic and CNS therapy.
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