Readiness Assessment of Electronic Health Records Implementation
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1. INTRODUCTION

During the past 20 years, with huge advances in information technology and particularly, in the areas of health, various forms of electronic records have been discussed, designed or implemented (1). Electronic Health Record (EHR) technology is considered as a new standard for medicine performance in USA in the 21st century (2, 3). During the past ten years many researchers have confirmed to move toward Electronic Health Records and promoted the technology based on information (4, 5). Also, in many studies the benefits of using computers in clinical activities have been discussed (2, 6, 7, 8). World Health Organization believes that Electronic Health Record includes all individual health information that provides electronic access during the person’s life for health providers. It can cover all patients (individuals) referrals including outpatient, inpatient and emergency (1).

Although making health records automatically has many advantages but unfortunately in some cases creation of an Electronic Health Record (EHR) system seems to be complicated. E-health (Electronic health) readiness assessment, as a part of the assessment before implementation is considered essential and prior to implementation. Readiness assessment aims to evaluate preparedness of each organizational component. This process can lead to the correct decision making. Therefore, identifying areas and requirements for such an assessment is so essential. Using the results of this assessment can identify deficiencies in the existing electronic health records to plan their strategies. The aim of this study was first, to show the situation of readiness assessment in EHR implementation roadmap, second, to recognize requirements associated with electronic readiness assessment and main areas of EHR readiness assessment. Results and discussion: This study reviewed the literature on EHR readiness assessment with the help of library and also searches engines available at Google. For our searches, we employed the following keywords and their combinations: readiness, assessment, implementation, Electronic Health Record (EHR), Information Technology, roadmap in the searching areas of title, keywords, abstract, and full text. In this study, more than 100 articles and reports were collected and 45 of them were selected based on their relevancy.
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The aim of this study is:
• to show the situation of readiness assessment in EHR implementation roadmap;
• to recognize requirements associated with electronic readiness assessment and main areas of EHR readiness assessment.

3. RESULTS
The status of readiness assessment in EHR implementation roadmap

Electronic Health Record - EHR implementation roadmap shows the stages of the implementation and track of each stage. This map includes six stages (Figure 1) (24).

First and foremost stage of implementation of health information systems is readiness assessment for accepting and implementing of these systems. Preparation is the first important factor for staff enthusiasm to obtain the best and a better understanding of the usefulness of Electronic Health Records (15).

In fact, the first stage belongs to readiness assessment, but also is not unique for it and continues even to second and third stages. The planning stage (second stage) is performed to develop goals, opportunities and threats and generally to determine the missions. The selection stage (third stage) assesses the requirements of the organization and needs contained in Electronic Health Records (24).

Another study that was conducted by Ahlstrom, demonstrated that the first step in the life cycle of the EHR was readiness assessment, and organizational readiness must be assessed to develop a successful implementation (25).

Requirements associated with electronic readiness assessment

Comprehensive criteria must be followed in readiness assessment to show the preparation of the organization for planning (26). Generally, there are three types of basic requirements for e-readiness (electronic readiness) assessment in order to technical dimension (14):

Basic Requirements for Architecture Readiness

Several factors that judge the technical architecture readiness are important, including: a) Layered structure Judgment, based on operating systems and communication and information exchange standards, infrastructure accessibility, and availability of portal; b) Service orientation which can be judged through the share of services supported by IT, availability of fully automated services, share of data input by database queries, overall infrastructure service.

Basic Requirements for Infrastructure Readiness

• Basic hardware and software: desktops/employee, mainframe availability, server availability, desktop operating system, server operating system.
• External Data Exchange: data exchange with others, share of services with external data exchange.
• Internal connectivity: percentage of internal connectivity between departments and agencies on different locations.

Basic Requirements for Process Readiness

• Support processes: availability of major support systems.
• Process automation: existence of fully automated services, share of services with IT support.
• Data and information flow:
share of manual data input.

- Methods of customer notification.

The main areas of EHR readiness assessment

The four main areas of the EHR readiness assessment are organized as follow (26, 27):

Organizational culture that is an assessment of overall perception of EHR, physician, staff and patient involvement, procedures for patient EHR interaction as well as methods for correcting/editing patient information, project plan development: accountability, timeline, dependencies, and role assignment for all aspects of EHR deployment. Readiness indications in this section will provide a better understanding of organizational infrastructure and a guide for planning the EHR adoption.

Management and leadership that is an assessment of organization’s readiness to manage information, human and financial resources for current and future EHR requirements. This section details four readiness components including the executive team, financial, strategic plan, quality improvement and care management. Readiness indications in this section require increased management focus, potential process development, or planning before moving forward.

Operational readiness that includes workflow redesign, care management, EHR policies, procedures, and protocols, EHR vendor relationship management, staffing needs for EHR implementation, training plan, training programs for project managers and IT staff involved in EHR adoption. Readiness indications in this section will identify potential process or barriers to adopting EHR and provide you with tangible guidance to improve this factor.

Technical readiness that is an assessment of the organization’s technical environment and IT management capabilities. This section specified use of existing technology, hardware needs assessment, IT management, staffing for EHR training, implementation, maintenance, and infrastructure issues. Readiness indications in this section will identify potential areas for increased IT procurement, planning, or staff development prior to increase of EHR adoption.

4. CONCLUSION

Today is information age and the explosion of information technology has transformed every area of life and work (28). Information is so valuable and it must be well documented, maintained, retrieved and analyzed. In health management systems, information has a special role in planning, evaluation, training, legal aspects and research (29).

In fact, the first distinction between developed and developing countries, are the production, application and utilization of information (30). So, with advent of information technology in health care, moving toward a new paradigm such as electronic health record has also begun (28). In this paradigm, Information is immediately accessible and electronic health record can also provide medical alerts and reminders. At first, it may be so expensive but it will save costs in long term (31).

Despite broad agreement on the benefits of electronic health records and other forms of health information technology, health care providers have moved so slowly to adopt these technologies (32, 33).

Lack of readiness causes weakness of organization to undergo transformation during the implementation of EHR (34). While EHR adoption has been low in some developed countries, the success of EHR adoption has also been low for developing countries, and it can be due to constrained resources, lack of required information technology support and stake holders’ awareness for moving to adopt new system (35, 36). Sufficient preparation in an organizational perspective enables to custom the system and ensures minimal workflow disruptions and irregularity during and after implementation (37). Decision makers must accept that Electronic Health (E-Health) evaluation may serve different purposes for different stakeholders (38). It must be noted that Implementing or transition to a new information system and generally technology advents may cause to fear, anxiety, and computer phobia (39, 40) and so staff acceptance for using information systems have been found to be major determinants of system success (41).

Knowing about readiness assessment and its areas, indications and requirements can facilitate to implement any information system.

5. RECOMMENDATIONS

- IT capabilities and the innovative use of technology must be developed in health care organizations (28).
- Administrators must be encouraged to assess the impact of pre-implementation information systems on users, as well as the user’s degree of willingness to use them (42, 43).
- Implementing information systems faces staff with change that may lead to resistance. Understanding of attitudes and expectations of staff can provide information serve as a starting point for a sometimes frustrating project (44).
- It is required greater needs for education of staff before implementation of EHR (45).

Acknowledgement: We are grateful to Amir Hossein Siadat M.D. dermatologist and researcher of skin diseases and leishmaniasis research center for his to edit sentence structure aspects of this article.

Conflict of interest: non declared.

REFERENCES

1. WHO. Regional Office for the Western Pacific. Electronic Health Records: Manual for Developing Countries. WHO Library Cataloguing in Publication Data. 2006: 9.
2. Bodenheimer T, Grumbach K. Electronic technology: a spark to revitalize primary care? JAMA 2003; 290: 259-264.
3. Martin JC, Avant RF, Bowman MA, et al. The future of family medicine: a collaborative project of the family medicine community. Ann Fam Med. 2004; 2 Suppl 1: S1-S32.
4. Institute of Medicine. The Computer-Based Patient Record: An Essential Technology for Health Care. Washington, DC: National Academy Press, 2002.
5. Frist WH. Shattuck Lecture: health care in the 21st century. N Engl J Med. 2005; 352.
6. Hersh WR. Medical informatics: improving health care through information. JAMA. 2002; 288: 1955-1958.
7. Bates DW, Ellb e M, Gotlieb E, Zapp J, Mullins HC. A proposal for electronic medical records in U.S. primary care. J Am Med Inform Assoc. 2003; 10: 140.
8. Mitchell E, Sullivan F. A descriptive feast but an evaluative famine: systematic review of published articles on primary care computing during 1980-97. BMJ. 2001; 322: 279-282.
9. Braa J, Monteir e S, Sari. Networks of Action: Sustainable health information systems across developing countries. MIS Quarterly. 2004; 28(3): 337-362.
10. Eric WF, Menachemi N, Phillips MT. Predicting the Adoption of Electronic Health Records by Physicians: When Will Health Care be Paperless? Journal of American Medical Informatics Association. 2006; 13(1): 806-812.
11. Jennett P, Jackson A, Healy T, Ho K, Kaza b RH, Dykstra R. Types of unintended consequences related to computerized physician order entry (CPOE). J Am Med Inform Assoc. 2008; 15(1): 114-119.
12. Demiris G, Oliver DRP, Porock D, Courtney K. Home telehealth: The Missouri telehealthspace project: Background and next steps. Home Health Care Technology Report. 2004; 1:49,55-7.
13. Kaufman JM. How can I ensure successful implementation of my EHR? April 2009. Available: http://www.mdps.com/documents/How_can_I_Ensure_success_of_.EHR.pdf. Accessed: Aug 4, 2010.
14. The Saudi e-Government Program-Yesser. IT Readiness Assessment for Government Organizations. Available: http://www.yesser.gov.sa/english/documents/IT_Readyness_Assessment_booklet_en.pdf. Accessed: Aug 17, 2010.
15. Lorenti NM, Kouroubali A, Detmer DE, Bloomrosen M. How to successfully select and implement electronic health records (EHR) in small ambulatory practice settings. BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making. 2009; 9:15.
16. Ash J, Berg M, Coiera EW. Some unintended consequences of information technology in health care: The nature of patient care information system-related errors. J Am Med Inform Assoc. 2004; 11(2): 104–12.
17. Koppel R, Metlay JP, Cohen A, et al. Role of computerized physician order entry systems in facilitating medication errors. J Am Med Assoc. 2005; 293(10): 1997–203.
18. Campbell E, Sittig D, Ash J, Guappone K, Dykstra R. Types of unintended consequences related to computerized provider order entry. J Am Med Inform Assoc. 2006; 13(5): 547–56.
19. Wetter T. To decay is system: The challeng es of keeping a health information system alive. Int J Med Inf. 2007; 76(2):S252–S60.
20. Harrison MI, Koppel R, Bar-Lev S. Unintended consequences of information technology in health care - An interactive sociotechnical analysis. J Am Med Inform Assoc. 2007; 14(5): 542–49.
21. Silverstein S. Sociotechnologic issues in clinical computing: Common examples of healthcare IT difficulties. Available at: http://www.ischool.drexel.edu/faculty/silverstein/failurecases/loc_home.Accessed: Aug 4, 2010.
22. Vogelsmeier AA, Halbelsheen JRB, Scott-Cawiezell JR. Technology implementation and workarounds in the nursing home. J Am Med Inform Assoc. 2008; 15(1): 114-19.
23. Koppel R, Wettergreen T, Telles JH, Karsh BT. Workarounds to barcode medication administration systems: Their occurrences, causes, and threats to patient safety. J Am Med Inform Assoc. 2008; 15(4): 408-23.
24. EHR Implementation Roadmap 2005 pilot. DOQ IT. Available at: http://www.echca.com/pre sentations/hitsummary3/3_03_2 hitl.pdf. Accessed: Feb 4, 2010.
25. Ahlstrom J. Electronic Health Records (EHR) – Assessing Organizational Readiness. WIPFIL CAP, and consultants. Available at: http://www.wipifil.com/resources/images/1935.pdf. Accessed: Feb 5, 2010.
26. Community Clinic EHR Readiness Assessment Tool.xls, Introduction & Instructions 2008/03. Available: http://www. norc.org/6275/Module2/Community%20Clinic%20EHR%20Readiness%20Assessment%20 Tool.pdf. Accessed: Feb 10, 2010.
27. EHR Readiness Assessment. DOQ IT. Available at: http://www.bcmsonline.org/main/files/ehr-readiness-assessment DOQ-IT.pdf. Accessed: Sep 21, 2010.
28. Nilforoushzadeh MA, Heidari A, Siadat AH, Mordadi S, Habibi M. Development of Information Technology in the field of dermatology. Iranian journal of dermatology. 2008; 11(3): 118-122.
29. Ajami S, Kalbasi F, Mahnaz K. Application of Medical Records in Research from the Viewpoint of Isfahan Educational Hospitals’ Researchers. Health Information Management. 2007; 4(3): 79-79 (in Persian).
30. Ajami S, Tavakoli Moghadam, O. A Comparative Study on Health Information Management System with Standards in Ayatolah Kashani Hospital in Isfahan, Iran. Health Information Management. 2006; 13(5): 637-637 (in Persian).
31. Schoen C, Osborn R, Huynh PT, Doty M, Peugh J, Zapert K. On the front lines of care: primary care doctors’ office systems, experiences, and views in seven countries. Health Aff (Millwood). 2006; 25: w555-w571.
32. Jha A, DesRoches CM, Campbell EG, Donelan K, Rao SR, Ferris T, Shields A, Rosenbaum S, Blumenthal D. Use of Electronic Health Records in U.S. Hospitals. N Engl J Med. 2009; 360: 1628-38.
33. Brender J. Evaluation Methods for Health Informatics. London, UK. Elsevier Inc; 2006.
34. Watts C, Ibegbulam I. Access to electronic healthcare information resources in developing countries: experiences from the Medical Library, College of Medicine, University of Nigeria, 2005; Available at: http://72.14.213.304/ search?q=cache:W53fzH_TXEJ:jww7iila.org/1/Fililha7/papers/164e-Watts_Ibegb u1am.pdf+"2%2C1T+infrastructure+2%22+healthcare%22+developing+countries%22+& h tmlc=clnk&cd=8kg:l. Accessed : 19 Sep, 2008.
35. Diere L, Rotsch JK, Bi M, Mamlhin BW, Einterz RM, Kalamai IZ, Tierney WM. A computer-based medical record system and personal digital assistants to assess and follow patients with respiratory tract infections visiting a rural Kenyan health centre. BMC Med Inform Decis Mak. 2006; 10(6): 21.
36. Fullerton C, Aponte P, Hopkins K, Bragg D, Ballard DJ. Lessons learned from pilot site implementation of an ambulatory electronic health record. Baylor University Medical Center Proceedings (Baylor Univ Med Cent Proc) 2006 Oct; 9(4): 303-9.
37. Gagnon MP, Scott RE. Striving for evidence in e-health evaluation: lessons from health technology assessment Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare. 2005; II: 34-36.
38. Jacobson SF, Holder ME, Dearner JF. Computer anxiety among nursing students, educators, staff and administrators. Computers in Nursing. 1989; 7(6): 266-272.
39. Wolfe K. Getting a grip on “computer phobia”. AAOHN Journal. 1999; 39(7): 352-353.
40. Goddard BL. Termination of a contract to implement an enterprise electronic medical record system. Journal of American Medical Information Association. 2000; 7(6): 564-568.
41. Whitehouse C. Preparing to introduce a computer into a health centre. British Medical journal. 1981; 283: 807-810.
42. Gluck J. The computerized medical record system: meeting the challenge for nursing. Journal of Nursing Administration. 1979; 12: 17-24.
43. MacLane S. Designing an EMR planning process based on staff attitudes toward and opinions about computers in healthcare, CIN: Computers, Informatics, Nursing, 2005; 23(2): 85-92.
44. Moody LE, Sloumb C, Berg B, Jackson D. Electronic health records documentation in nursing: nurses’ perceptions, attitudes and preferences. CIN: Computers, Informatics, Nursing, 2004; 22(6): 337-344.
45. Ajami S. e Health; Strengths and Weakness. Journal of Iranian Medical Records Assoc. 2005, 4(2): 23-24 (in Persian).