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Abstract: Efficient shielding materials are extremely important to minimize the effect of electromagnetic interference. Currently, various composite materials are being investigated with different shielding efficiencies reported. In this paper, a flexible and free-standing sodium-based chitosan (CH/Na) polymer with copper selenide (CuSe) filler was prepared for electromagnetic shielding. The CH/Na/CuSe polymer matrix was prepared via the direct casting technique at different wt% of CuSe, varying from 2 to 20 wt%. The polymer matrix was then characterised by using Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy to confirm the interaction between the CH/Na and CuSe. The XRD results revealed that the CH/Na/CuSe polymer was successfully formed. Improvement in the electrical conductivity was confirmed by an impedance spectroscopy measurement. The highest electrical conduction recorded was at 3.69 × 10⁻³ S/cm for CH/Na/CuSe polymer matrix with 20 wt% CuSe. An increase in total electromagnetic interference (EMI) shielding efficiency (SE) of up to 20 dB (99% EM power shield) was achieved, and it can be increased up to 34 dB (99.9% EM power shield) with the thickness of the polymer increased.
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1. Introduction

Electromagnetic interference (EMI) is an electromagnetic signal that interferes with other electronic equipment regular operations. Interference is one of the many challenges in the rapid growth of smart electronic devices which operate at a high frequency [1]. Many of these electronic devices are placed in plastic cases that provide no shielding, making them susceptible to incoming/outgoing interference that can cause device malfunctions. Conventional methods of using a solid or mesh metal gasket for EMI shielding are effective. However, the metal gasket is expensive, has a high mass density, is inflexible, and is easily exposed to corrosion [2]. Lightweight and flexible materials are useful, especially in personal electronic devices and the aerospace industry, in which weight, size, and high EMI shielding are important.
In recent years, works on the use of conductive polymers to replace pure metal for EMI shielding have been on the rise [3,4]. Conductive polymers have high conduction and offer higher shielding efficiency compared to standard, nonconducting polymers. Works that utilised either ionic conductivity, electron conductivity, or both have been reported [5,6]. Works improving polymer conductivity by chemical modification of the polymer chain or by a mechanical process of inserting highly conductive material as filler into the polymer matrix have also been included [7].

Copper Selenide (CuSe) is a type of binary metal chalcogenide compound with a semiconductor structure. CuSe has received extensive attention due to its unique electrical and optical properties [8–10]. This material can be formed into various types of crystallographic structures, such as orthorhombic, monoclinic [11], cubic [12,13], tetragonal, and hexagonal [14,15]. Various crystallographic structures make them essential for specific applications, such as solar cells, superionic conductors, photodetectors, Shottky diodes [16–19], sensors, polarisers, and thermoelectric devices [15,20]. The reported value of CuSe electrical conductivity in the range of 8.24 × 10^2 to 1.03 × 10^3 S/cm [20] seemed to have a high potential for EMI shielding purposes. However, CuSe is a nonferrous material with zero magnetic moments detected in an undoped CuSe sample [21]. Hence, the shielding will depend solely on the electrical properties of the CuSe sample.

Chitosan’s properties, such as nontoxicity, biodegradability, and antimicrobial properties, have made it attractive for many applications, such as in biotechnology, pharmacies, drugs, food, and biosensors [22]. Chitosan is a nonconducting polymer in its initial state with hydroxyl (-OH) and amino (-NH₂) groups available as binding sites for metal ions. Adsorption of metal ions into the binding sites of chitosan cause the resistivity of the polymer to decrease and overall conductivity to increase [23]. Works on chitosan for polymer electrolytes show an increase in conduction from 1.91 × 10⁻⁴ µS/cm for pure chitosan to 2.41 × 10⁻⁴ S/cm with the addition of 40 wt% of NaTf salt, and for works on a CS AgNO₃ system doped with 1 wt% alumina, the conduction increased to 2.3 × 10⁻⁶ S/cm [24,25]. In a work using Li⁺ ions at a different percentage glycerolized in chitosan film, a 5.19 × 10⁻⁴ S/cm conduction was shown to be obtained [26]. The presence of ions changes the chitosan polymer from pure dielectric to a dielectric–conductor composite, having overall conductivity in the conducting polymer region.

This work focused on producing a polymer matrix using sodium-based chitosan embedded with CuSe as a conductive filler in an EMI shielding application. The addition of a conductive filler will enhance the polymer’s electrical properties with lower resistance and better conductivity, which will increase its total EMI shielding effectiveness.

2. Methodology

2.1. Material

Chitosan with medium molecular weight (75–85% deacetylation, Mw 190,000–310,000 Da) and acetic acid (99% purity) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Burlington, VT, USA). Sodium ion standard solution (1000 mg/L) was purchased from Fischer Scientific (Hampston, NH, USA). The working solutions of sodium ion concentrations (100 mg/L) was obtained by diluting the 1000 mg/L standard solution with deionised water. All chemical reagents employed during chemical coprecipitation to make the CuSe nanoparticles powder, such as CuCl₂·2H₂O (Fisher Scientific), Se metal powder (HmbG Chemicals), and NaOH (Fisher Scientific), were of analytical grade and were used without further purification.

2.2. Cuse Synthesis

The CuSe powder was synthesised via the chemical coprecipitation method [20]. Elemental selenium was completely dissolved in 12 Mol/L NaOH solution and mixed with Cu²⁺ solution obtained from 0.03 mol CuCl₂·2H₂O to form black precipitates. The reaction mixture was stirred for another 24 h to ensure complete reaction. The black precipitates were centrifuged and washed several times with distilled water to remove the unreacted,
unabsorbed, or excess selenium ions. The powdered precipitate was oven dried at 70 °C for 24 h.

2.3. Film Fabrication

The Na-based chitosan polymer solution was prepared by dissolving 2 g of medium molecular weight chitosan in 50 mL of Na solution at 100 mg/L concentration. Then, acetic acid (1 v/v%) was added to the solution and stirred until a homogeneous solution was obtained. Once the homogeneous solution was obtained, 1% of glycerol was added as plasticizer to the chitosan solution and stirred for an additional 4 h.

The ready CuSe powder was dispersed into deionised water at the required weight percentage, ranging from 2 wt% to 20 wt% and sonicated for 2 h. Then, the dispersed CuSe filler was mixed with prepared Na-based chitosan solution and further sonicated to promote the interaction between chitosan and CuSe nanoparticles. The final solution was directly cast and oven dried at 60 °C for 24 h.

3. Characterization

The impedance measurement was conducted by using an electrochemical workstation (ZIVE SP1, WonA Tech, Seoul, Korea) with frequency between 10 Hz and 1 MHz at room temperature. The polymer films were placed between two stainless steel electrodes with a diameter of 2 cm. The bulk resistance ($R_B$) obtained from the Nyquist plot was used to calculate the conductivity ($\sigma$) by using Equation (1):

$$\sigma = \frac{t}{R_B A}$$

where $t$ is the polymer film thickness, and $A$ is the electrode area.

The dielectric permittivity of the polymer film, which provided information on the polarisation effect, ability to store charge, and loss, was also investigated. Dielectric permittivity is a complex value, whereby the real part consists of dielectric constant ($\varepsilon_r$), while the imaginary part consists of dielectric loss ($\varepsilon_i$). These values can be deduced for the dielectric constant and dielectric loss values from the complex impedance, as shown in Equations (2) and (3) [27]. The $C_0$ is capacitance of the empty cell electrode, and $Z_r$ is the real part of impedance, while $Z_i$ is the imaginary part, and $\omega$ is the angular frequency:

$$\varepsilon_r = \frac{Z_r}{\omega C_0(Z_r^2 + Z_i^2)}$$

$$\varepsilon_i = \frac{Z_i}{\omega C_0(Z_r^2 + Z_i^2)}$$

The shielding efficiency measurement was performed using two X band waveguides connected to the vector network analyser (8510C, Agilent). All samples were cut into 23 mm × 10 mm and were ensured to be flat during measurement. Full two-port calibration was done before the measurement to eliminate system error. The measurement was conducted at a frequency between 8 GHz and 12 GHz.

The effectiveness of any material in shielding against EM waves is measured by its shielding efficiency (SE). The total shielding efficiency (SE$_T$) is calculated based on the reflection shielding (SE$_R$) and absorption shielding (SE$_A$) of the polymer film. The amount of EM radiation that is absorbed depends on the electric and magnetic dipoles, while the amount reflected depends on the polymer film conductivity. Multiple reflections are neglected when the polymer thickness is more than the skin depth at the measuring frequency. For thin material, the EM energy becomes trapped and reflected between the boundaries of the material. For a thicker material (multiple skin depths thick), the multiple reflection can be neglected due to the attenuation in the material that will reduce the signal strength as it travels between the boundaries.
The $S_{ET}$, $S_{EA}$, and $S_{ER}$ values can be calculated from Equations (4)–(6), whereby $S_{11}$ is the forward reflection coefficient, and $S_{12}$ is the forward transmission coefficient [28]:

\[
S_{ET}(\text{dB}) = S_{ER} + S_{EA} \tag{4}
\]

\[
S_{ER}(\text{dB}) = -10 \log \left( 1 - S_{11}^2 \right) \tag{5}
\]

\[
S_{EA}(\text{dB}) = -10 \log \left( \frac{S_{12}^2}{1 - S_{11}^2} \right) \tag{6}
\]

The $S_{ER}$ equation can be written as a function of the shielding material conductivity as given by [29]:

\[
S_{ER} = -10 \log_{10} \left( \frac{\sigma}{16 \omega \mu \varepsilon_0} \right) \tag{7}
\]

The $S_{EA}$ value mainly contributed to the ohmic loss of the shielding material. The increase in $S_{EA}$ can be related to the properties of the shielding material, as shown in Equation (8) [30,31]:

\[
S_{EA} = -20 \frac{t}{\delta} \log e \tag{8}
\]

where $t$ is the thickness, and $\delta$ is the skin depth and represented by

\[
\delta = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\omega \sigma \mu}} \tag{9}
\]

Therefore, $S_{EA}$ can be written as

\[
S_{EA} = -8.86t \sqrt{\frac{\mu \sigma}{2}} \tag{10}
\]

From Equation (10), it was noted that the $S_{EA}$ was also the function of material conductivity ($\sigma$) and permeability ($\mu$).

4. Results and Discussion

The resulting film from the drying process was a free-standing, opaque, and flexible polymer with thickness varying from 0.119 mm to 0.152 mm. All the samples were then characterized by XRD and FTIR to observe the present of CuSe filler in the chitosan polymer, while impedance spectroscopy and a network analyser were used to investigate the electrical and shielding properties of the CH/Na/CuSe polymer matrix.

4.1. XRD

The XRD patterns for the CH/Na/CuSe polymer matrix with different CuSe concentrations (2 wt%, 11 wt%, and 20 wt%) are presented in Figure 1, as well as pure chitosan and chitosan with sodium. The peaks observed at $2\theta = 26.6^\circ$, $28.1^\circ$, $31.0^\circ$, $46.0^\circ$, $50.0^\circ$, and $56.6^\circ$ for 2 wt%, 11 wt%, and 20 wt% of CuSe concentrations matched well with the JCPDS 96-900-0064 pattern, which corresponded to the hexagonal phase of klockmannite [20]. For pure chitosan, there was a characteristic peak found at a broad peak position of $\sim 20^\circ$, which matched the chitosan intermolecular chains alignment [32]. When a sodium ion is introduced to the chitosan matrix (denoted in black line in Figure 1), the intensity of the pure chitosan crystalline peaks decreases, and the peak at $\sim 25^\circ$ broadens. Chitosan becomes protonated when dissolved in dilute acetic acid, producing an electrostatic repulsion force that results in molecular swelling. The salt’s ions lowered the electrostatic attraction between the amine group of chitosan, thus decreasing the swelling effect. This resulted in a reduction in the peak intensity of the chitosan, which broadened [33]. Some studies obtained a similar finding, implying that the presence of a sodium ion resulting in a lower
intensity of the diffraction peaks indicates a reduction in crystallinity and an increase in amorphisity [34,35].

![X-ray diffraction pattern](image)

**Figure 1.** X-ray diffraction pattern for CH polymer, CH/Na polymer, and CH/Na/CuSe polymer matrix at different concentrations of CuSe: 2 wt%, 11 wt%, and 20 wt%.

The broad line shown by the chitosan peak at smaller diffraction angles suggested that long-range disorder was found in the CH/Na/CuSe polymer matrix samples. However, the presence of a broad hump became less prominent as the concentration of CuSe filler was added beyond 2 wt%. It was observed that when the CuSe filler content increased up to 20 wt%, the characteristic chitosan peak decreased. The intensity of the chitosan XRD peak may be approximated by the number of chitosan chains packed together. The interaction between the CuSe filler and the chitosan made the molecular interaction force inside the chitosan weaker, resulting in a reduced crystalline degree in the chitosan matrix.

4.2. FTIR

The FTIR spectra of pure CH and the CH/Na/CuSe polymer matrix with 2 wt%, 11 wt%, and 20 wt% of CuSe filler are presented in Figure 2. In the spectrum, the broadbands in the 3277–3288 cm\(^{-1}\) region were the overlapping peaks of –NH\(_2\) and –OH stretching vibrations. [36]. The band located between 2935 cm\(^{-1}\) and 2884 cm\(^{-1}\) was attributed to the C-H stretching vibrations in the groups of –CH\(_3\) and –CH\(_2\), respectively [37]. The peaks that appeared at 1623–1640 cm\(^{-1}\) were related to the amide I. Meanwhile, the band at 1528 cm\(^{-1}\) corresponded to the –NH\(_2\) bending vibrations [38]. The C–N stretching vibrations also occurred in the 1316 cm\(^{-1}\) region [37]. The absorption peaks at 1151 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1022 cm\(^{-1}\) indicated the characteristic of the C–O–C stretching vibrations [36,37]. The weak bands near 555 cm\(^{-1}\) corresponded to the Cu-Se bending vibration, as reported in the previous literature [39].
4.3. Impedance Spectroscopy Analysis

Figure 3 shows the impedance plots for the CH/Na/CuSe polymer matrix with 2 wt% to 20 wt% of CuSe fillers measured at a frequency between 10 Hz and 1 MHz at room temperature. For samples with 2 wt% to 11 wt% of CuSe filler, a complete semicircle plot was observed. For samples with 15 wt% and 20 wt% of CuSe, a semicircle with a residual spike was observed. The spike was attributed to the interface between electrode and sample [40]. As the CuSe filler concentration increased, the filler started to agglomerate and caused higher interfacial impedance. The $R_B$ value, obtained from the extrapolation of impedance plots, showed a reduction in the polymer bulk resistance of the matrix as a higher weight percentage of CuSe filler was introduced. This followed the percolation theory, suggesting that a higher concentration filler will cause the polymer to become more conductive. Furthermore, the network connection was formed by the CuSe filler, which improved the conduction path for the charge carrier [41].
The $R_B$ value obtained from the Nyquist plot was used to calculate the conductivity of the polymer matrix. Table 1 shows that the conductivity of the polymer matrix increases as the concentration of CuSe filler increases. The incorporation of CuSe filler in the CH/Na/CuSe polymer matrix showed an increment in conductivity from the pure chitosan polymer conductivity of $4.2 \times 10^{-9}$ S/cm to $3.74 \times 10^{-7}$ S/cm, with a concentration of 2 wt% of CuSe filler. Na$^+$ and CuSe increased the number of charge carriers in the film and greatly improved conductivity. An increase in the weight percentage of CuSe filler further increased the conductivity value. The highest conductivity value was $4.377 \times 10^{-5}$ S/cm for the sample with 20 wt% of CuSe filler. An increase in conductivity changed the chitosan polymer from an insulator to an electroactive polymeric composite.

### Table 1. Electrical properties of CH/Na/CuSe polymer matrix with different wt% of CuSe fillers.

| CuSe (wt%) | Film Thickness (mm) | Bulk Resistance, $R_B$ (Ω) | Conductivity, $\sigma$ (S/cm) |
|------------|---------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| 2          | 0.119               | 10,123.0                   | $3.74 \times 10^{-7}$      |
| 5          | 0.125               | 6575.1                     | $6.05 \times 10^{-7}$      |
| 11         | 0.132               | 1265.8                     | $3.32 \times 10^{-6}$      |
| 15         | 0.142               | 816.61                     | $5.54 \times 10^{-6}$      |
| 20         | 0.152               | 442.18                     | $3.69 \times 10^{-5}$      |

#### 4.4. Dielectric Properties

Figure 4a shows the dielectric constant as a function of frequency for the CH/Na/CuSe polymer matrix with different weight percentages of CuSe filler. All measured samples showed dependency on frequency with a decreasing value as the frequency increased. This corresponded to the electrode polarisation effects, indicating space charge effects that occurred at low frequency [42]. At high frequency, the material experienced a higher reversal of electric field that caused no excess ions to diffuse in the electric field direction. This caused a decrease in polarisation and contributed to the decreased value for $\varepsilon_r$ and $\varepsilon_i$ as the frequency increased [43]. This phenomenon was confined to non-Derby behaviour in the polymer matrix film. The dielectric loss of the CH/Na/CuSe polymer matrix with a different weight percentage of CuSe filler is shown in Figure 4b. The dielectric loss in samples decreased as frequency increased. The dielectric loss was found to be higher in a polymer matrix with a higher weight percentage of CuSe filler. The higher dielectric loss was due to more free electrons in samples with higher CuSe filler concentrations, making the energy dissipation through the movement of charge easier in the alternating field. The $\varepsilon_r$ and $\varepsilon_i$ followed the same trend as conductivity, whereby the film with the highest conductivity had the highest $\varepsilon_r$ and $\varepsilon_i$. Other works also reported that a higher number of charge carriers would contribute to a higher conductivity and dielectric constant [44,45].

#### 4.5. EMI Shielding Property

The EMI shielding efficiency (SE) values of the CH/Na/CuSe polymer matrix with different wt% of CuSe filler were determined at a frequency range of 8 GHz to 12 GHz. Figure 5 shows the SE results due to reflection (SE$_R$) of samples. The measured SE$_R$ showed low dependency with frequency within the measurement region, with scattering observed in samples with 11 wt% and higher. For samples of 2 wt%, 5 wt%, and 11 wt%, an average of less than 1 dB was observed in all samples. The CH/Na/CuSe polymer matrix with 15 wt% produced higher SE$_R$, with an average of 1.5 dB measured. The highest SE$_R$ was measured for the CH/Na/CuSe polymer matrix with 20 wt% CuSe filler with an average of 3.12 dB, which was equivalent to 50% of the EM energy being shielded due to the reflection.
Figure 4. (a) Dielectric constant and (b) dielectric loss for CH/Na/CuSe polymer matrix with different wt% of CuSe filler measured at room temperature.

It was observed that the CH/Na/CuSe polymer matrix with a higher concentration of CuSe filler produced a higher \( SE_R \). The \( SE_R \) value can be associated with the mismatch between the shielding material surface impedance and electromagnetic wave. From Equation (7), it was noticed that the conductivity of the shielding material dominated the \( SE_R \) value. A shielding material with higher conductivity produced a higher \( SE_R \). Therefore, the CH/Na/CuSe polymer matrix with a higher concentration of CuSe filler produced a higher \( SE_R \), as it had higher conductivity.
Figure 5. Shielding efficiency due to the reflection of CH/Na/CuSe polymer matrix with 2 wt% to 20 wt% of CuSe filler.

Figure 6 shows the SE results due to absorption (SE$_A$) of the sample. For the CH/Na/CuSe polymer matrix with 2 wt% of CuSe filler, nearly 0 dB of SE$_A$ was measured. This was equivalent to almost no EM energy being shielded from absorption. The SE$_A$ value was observed to increase as the concentration of CuSe was increased. At 5 wt% of CuSe filler, an average of 2 dB was measured, while at 11 wt%, an average of 4.5 dB was measured. The highest SE$_A$ was measured for 20 wt% of CuSe filler, whereby an average of 16.4 dB was measured. It was also observed from Figures 5 and 6 that a higher SE$_A$ value was observed in all CH/Na/CuSe polymer matrices as compared to the SE$_R$ value.

The SE$_A$ value mainly contributed through the ohmic loss of shielding material. The increase in SE$_A$ as the concentration of CuSe filler increased can be related to the conductivity of the material. From Equation (10), it was noted that the SE$_A$ was also the function of material conductivity ($\sigma$). Therefore, the material with the highest conductivity will produce a higher SE$_A$. This was in agreement with the results obtained. The SE$_A$ was also a function of material permeability ($\mu$). For a nonmagnetic material, the $\mu$ is equal to...
The $\mu_r$ can be considered as equal to 1 in all samples because the CuSe filler was a nonmagnetic material; hence, the $\mu$ is equal to $\mu_0$ ($4\pi \times 10^{-7}$ Hm$^{-1}$).

Boundary conditions between two materials with different properties also govern the electromagnetic reaction. At the boundary between two different materials, some of the incident waves will be transmitted, and some will be reflected. The amplitudes and directions of the reflected waves will depend on the types of material at the boundary and the incidence angle. For a normal incident in the air to a perfect electrical conductor boundary, a high surface charge ($\rho_s$) in the perfect electrical conductor causes the tangential electric field to cancel ($E_t = 0$). The normal electric field ($E_n$), however, still exists. The $E_n$ is related to surface charge and the permittivity of the perfect electrical conductor by

$$E_n = \frac{\rho_s}{\varepsilon}$$

Equation (11) is also valid for other types of materials. In the case of semiconducting materials, a lower $\rho_s$ will cause $E_t$ not to equal zero, and the $E_n$ value will be lower. This will contribute to higher transmitted and lower reflected waves at the boundary as compared to a perfect electrical conductor.

Higher scattering was observed in the SE$_A$ and SE$_R$ plots for the CH/Na/CuSe polymer matrix with a higher CuSe filler concentration. This can be contributed to the surface condition of the polymer sample that causes scattering of electromagnetic waves upon incident on to the surface [46]. From the AFM image in Figure 7, it is shown that the sample with 2 wt% of the CuSe filler had a smoother and uniform surface with a variation of roughness between 2 nm and 15 nm compared to the 20 wt% CuSe filler with a higher surface roughness of up to 200 nm due to the agglomeration of CuSe filler in the sample. This contributes to the irregular reflection of the EM waves and higher scattering in the sample with 20 wt% of CuSe. The scattering, however, did not affect the polymer overall shielding performance.

Figure 7. Cont.
The total shielding effectiveness for the CH/Na/CuSe polymer matrix with CuSe filler is shown in Figure 8. The CH/Na/CuSe polymer matrix with 2 wt% CuSe filler was almost transparent to the electromagnetic wave, with an average total shielding of 0.54 dB, or only 11.7% of the EM power being shielded. The CH/Na/CuSe polymer matrix with 5 wt% CuSe filler produced a slightly better total shielding with an average value of 2.44 dB, which corresponded to 42.9% shielded EM energy. A good total shielding efficiency was observed in the CH/Na/CuSe polymer matrix with 11 wt% and 15 wt% of CuSe filler, whereby these samples shielded more than half of the EM energy. The CH/Na/CuSe polymer matrix with 11 wt% of CuSe filler showed an average of 5.08 dB total shielding, corresponding to 70% EM energy reduction. Meanwhile, the polymer matrix with 15 wt% CuSe filler produced 9.75 dB of average efficiency, consistent with 89.4% EM of energy shielded. An average of 19.55 dB total shielding was measured for the CH/Na/CuSe polymer matrix with 20 wt% CuSe filler, which produced 98.88% EM energy shielding.

![Figure 7. The 3-dimensional AFM image for (a) 2 wt% and (b) 20 wt% of CuSe filler in CH/Na/CuSe polymer matrix.](image)

![Figure 8. Total shielding efficiency of CH/Na/CuSe polymer matrix with 2 wt% to 20 wt% of CuSe filler.](image)
An improvement in the total EMI SE of the CH/Na/CuSe polymer matrix with CuSe filler for shielding purposes can be made by increasing the sample thickness. Figure 9 shows the measured total shielding efficiency for the CH/Na/CuSe polymer matrix with 20 wt% CuSe filler as the thickness was increased. When the thickness was doubled from initial thickness, the average total shielding increased from 19.52 dB to 24.93 dB, this corresponding to an increase from 98.88% to 99.67% of EM energy shielded. At a thickness of 0.513 mm, the average recorded SE$_T$ was 28.15 dB, with total shielding of 99.84% of EM energy. The highest total shielding of above 34.44 dB was measured at a thickness of 0.608 mm. The 34.44 dB shielding was equivalent to 99.96% of EM power and was shielded by the CH/Na/CuSe polymer matrix. No resonance was observed as the thickness of CH/Na/CuSe polymer matrix was increased.

![Figure 9. Effect of thickness on total shielding efficiency of CH/Na/CuSe polymer matrix with 20 wt% of CuSe filler.](image)

The EMI shielding performance of the CH/Na/CuSe presented in this work was compared with other similar works reported in the literature, focusing on works having polymer matrix thicknesses less than 1 mm [47–60]. From Figure 10, it can be seen that the SE value obtained from this work is comparable and within the range of SE reported in other works. The SE obtained for the CH/Na/CuSe can also be further increased by increasing the wt% of CuSe.

![Figure 10. Comparison with reported SE in the literature for polymer composites with thickness less than 1 mm at frequency between 8 to 12 GHz.](image)
5. Conclusions

The CH/Na/CuSe polymer matrix with different wt% CuSe fillers was successfully prepared and showed good conductivity and total shielding efficiency. Improvement in electrical conductivity was from $3.74 \times 10^{-7}$ to $3.69 \times 10^{-5} \text{ S/cm}$ as CuSe concentration increased from 2 wt% to 20 wt%. The conductivity that was increased by adding the Na and CuSe changed the CH polymer from a pure dielectric to a conducting polymer. The polymer exhibited a non-Derby behaviour, with higher $\varepsilon_r$ and $\varepsilon_i$ observed in samples with higher CuSe concentrations. The $\varepsilon_r$ and $\varepsilon_i$ also showed dependency on frequency with a decreasing value as frequency was increased. The total electromagnetic interference (EMI) shielding efficiency ($SE_T$) showed an increment with the increment of CuSe in the polymer matrix. The $SE_T$ increased from 0.54 dB to 19.55 dB for the sample between 2 wt% and 20 wt%. This corresponded to an 87.1% increment in the EM power shield. It was observed that absorption ($SE_A$) was the primary mechanism as compared to reflection ($SE_R$). $SE_T$ can be further increased up to 34 dB (99.9% power shield) when the thickness of the 20 wt% polymers is increased to 0.608 mm. The results showed that the CH/Na/CuSe polymer was suitable for EMI shielding applications.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, N.H.O.; methodology, N.H.O., N.N.M., M.A.H.M.A.M., J.Y.C.L. and H.I.M.; validation, N.H.O., J.Y.C.L. and M.M.R.; formal analysis, N.H.O., N.N.M., J.Y.C.L. and M.M.R.; writing—original draft preparation, N.H.O. and J.Y.C.L. and M.M.R.; writing—review and editing, N.H.O., M.N., A.V.S. and N.N.M. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by Ministry of Education under Fundamental Research Grant Scheme (FRGS/1/2018/STG07/UPM/02/6).

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.

**Acknowledgments:** This work was supported by the Ministry of Education and Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Universiti Putra Malaysia, under the Fundamental Research Grant Scheme (FRGS/1/2018/STG07/UPM/02/6). The authors would like to extend their gratitude to the Department of Physics, Czestochowa University of Technology, Czestochowa, Poland.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

**References**

1. Sicard, E.; Dienot, J.M. Issues in electromagnetic compatibility of integrated circuits: Emission and susceptibility. *Microelectron. Reliab.* **2005**, *45*, 1277–1284. [CrossRef]
2. Geetha, S.; Kumar, K.K.S.; Rao, C.R.K.; Vijayan, M.; Trivedi, D.C. EMI shielding: Methods and materials-A review. *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.* **2009**, *112*, 2073–2086. [CrossRef]
3. Wang, K.; Ma, Q.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, S.; Han, G. Ag NPs-Assisted Synthesis of Stable Cu NPs on PET Fabrics for Antibacterial and Electromagnetic Shielding Performance. *Polymers* **2020**, *12*, 783. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
4. Yang, K.; Periyasamy, A.P.; Venkataraman, M.; Militky, J.; Kremenakova, D.; Vecernik, J.; Puliček, R. Resistance against Penetration of Electromagnetic Radiation for Ultra-light Cu/Ni-Coated Polyester Fibrous Materials. *Polymers* **2020**, *12*, 2029. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
5. Kim, J.; Lee, S.; Kim, C.; Park, Y.; Kim, M.-H.; Seol, J.H. Electromagnetic Interference Shield of Highly Thermal-Conducting, Light-Weight, and Flexible Electrospun Nylon 66 Nanofiber-Silver Multi-Layer Film. *Polymers* **2020**, *12*, 1805. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
6. Vyas, M.K.; Chandra, A. Ion-Electron-Conducting Polymer Composites: Promising Electromagnetic Interference Shielding Material. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2016**, *8*, 18450–18461. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
7. Jiang, D.; Murugadoss, V.; Wang, Y.; Lin, J.; Ding, T.; Wang, Z.; Shao, Q.; Wang, C.; Liu, H.; Lu, N.; et al. Electromagnetic Interference Shielding Polymers and Nanocomposites—A Review. *Polymers* **2019**, *11*, 280–337. [CrossRef]
8. Thirumavalavan, S.; Mani, K.; Sagadevan, S. A study of structural, morphological, optical and electrical properties of Zinc Selenide (ZnSe) thin film. *Mater. Today Proc.* **2016**, *3*, 2305–2314. [CrossRef]
9. Bari, H.R.; Ganesan, V.; Potadar, S.; Patil, L.A. Structural, Optical and Electrical Properties of Chemically Deposited Copper Selenide Films. *Bull. Mater. Sci.* **2009**, *32*, 37. [CrossRef]
10. Xiao, G.; Ning, J.; Liu, Z.; Sui, Y.; Wang, Y.; Dong, Q.; Tian, W.; Liu, B.; Zou, G.; Zou, B. Solution synthesis of copper selenide nanocrystals and their electrical transport properties. *CrystEngComm* **2012**, *14*, 2139–2144. [CrossRef]
11. Heyding, R.D. The Copper/Selenium System. *Can. J. Chem.* **1966**, *44*, 1233–1236. [CrossRef]
12. Al-Mamun; Islam, A.B.M.O.; Bhuiyan, A.H. Structural, electrical and optical properties of copper selenide thin films deposited by chemical bath deposition technique. *J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Electron.* 2003, 16, 263–268. [CrossRef]

13. Pejova, B.; Grozdanov, I. Chemical Deposition and Characterization of Cu2Se2 and CuSe Thin Films. *J. Solid State Chem.* 2001, 158, 49–54. [CrossRef]

14. Nelson, P.I.; Arthi, R.; Kannan, R.R.; Selvan, T.P.; Ajitha, E.; Ashina, A.; Vidhya, B. Influence of heat treatment on the properties of thermally evaporated copper selenide thin films. *Mater. Lett.* 2018, 223, 14–16. [CrossRef]

15. Zhang, A.Y.; Ma, Q.A.; Wang, Z.G.; Luì, M.K.; Yang, P.; Zhou, G. Controllable synthesis of copper selenide nanocrystals through a green paraffin-acetate method. *Mater. Chem. Phys.* 2010, 124, 916–921. [CrossRef]

16. Wu, Y.; Korolkov, I.; Qiao, X.; Zhang, X.; Wan, J.; Fan, X. Facile synthesis of CuSe nanoparticles and high-quality single-crystal two-dimensional hexagonal nanoplatelets with tunable near-infrared optical absorption. *J. Solid State Chem.* 2016, 238, 279–283. [CrossRef]

17. Kaur, H.; Kaur, J.; Singh, L. Influence of different deposition potential on the structural and optical properties of copper selenide nanowires. *Superlattices Microstruct.* 2016, 96, 85–93. [CrossRef]

18. Mansour, B.; Zawawi, I.; Elsayed-Ali, H.E.; Hameed, T.A. Preparation and characterization of optical and electrical properties of copper selenide sulfide polycrystalline thin films. *J. Alloy. Compd.* 2017, 740, 1125–1132. [CrossRef]

19. Wang, W.; Geng, Y.; Qian, Y.; Wang, C.; Liu, X. A convenient, low temperature route to nanocrystalline SnSe. *Mater. Res. Bull.* 1999, 34, 403–406. [CrossRef]

20. Liew, J.Y.; Talib, Z.A.; Zainal, Z.; Kamarudin, M.A.; Osman, N.H.; Lee, H.K. Structural and transport mechanism studies of copper selenide nanoparticles. *Semicond. Sci. Technol.* 2019, 34, 125017. [CrossRef]

21. Wang, C.-Y.; He, H.-X. Tunable optical and magnetic properties of Ni-doped CuSe nanowires using an anodic aluminum oxide template assisted hydraulic method. *Nanotechnology* 2019, 30, 315704. [CrossRef]

22. Shukla, S.K.; Mishra, A.K.; Arotiba, O.A.; Mamba, B.B. Chitosan-based nanomaterials: A state-of-the-art review. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 2013, 59, 46–58. [CrossRef]

23. Osman, N.H.; Mazu, N.N.; Chyi, J.L.Y.; Ramli, M.M.; Majid, M.A.H.M.A.; Mazlan, H.I. Chitosan-Bentonite Crosslinked Film As Indicator for Copper (II) Ions Adsorption. *Eur. Phys. J. Appl. Phys.* 2021. [CrossRef]

24. Aziz, S.B.; Abdullah, O.G.; Rasheed, M.A.; Ahmed, H.M. Effect of High Salt Concentration (HSC) on Structural, Morphological, and Electrical Characteristics of Chitosan Based Solid Polymer Electrolytes. *Polymers* 2017, 9, 187. [CrossRef]

25. Aziz, S.B.; Brza, M.; Mohamed, P.A.; Kadir, M.; Hamsan, M.H.; Abdulwahid, R.; Woo, H. Increase of metallic silver nanoparticles in Chitosan: AgNt based polymer electrolytes incorporated with alumina filler. *Results Phys.* 2019, 13, 102326. [CrossRef]

26. Asnawi, A.S.F.M.; Aziz, S.B.; Nofal, M.M.; Hamsan, M.H.; Brza, M.A.; Yusof, Y.M.; Abdulwahid, R.T.; Kadir, M.F.Z. GlyceroLized Li+ Ion Conducting Chitosan-Based Polymer Electrolyte for Energy Storage EDLC Device Applications with Relatively High Energy Density. *Polymers* 2020, 12, 1433. [CrossRef]

27. Bandara, T.M.W.J.; Mellander, B.E. Evaluation of Mobility, Diffusion Coefficient and Density of Charge Carriers in Ionic Liquids and Novel Electrolytes Based on a New Model for Dielectric Response. In *Ionic Liquids: Theory, Properties, New Approaches*; IntechOpen: London, UK, 2011; pp. 383–406.

28. Sebastian, M.T.; Ubic, R.; Jantunen, H. *Microwave Materials and Applications*, 1st ed.; John Wiley & Sons: New York, NY, USA, 2017; pp. 575–672.

29. Yahya, M.Z.A.; Arof, A.K. Studies on lithium acetate doped chitosan conducting polymer system. *Eur. Polym. J.* 2002, 38, 1191–1197. [CrossRef]

30. Raagulan, K.; Braveenth, R.; Kim, B.M.; Lim, K.J.; Lee, S.B.; Kim, M.; Chai, K.Y. An effective utilization of MXene and its effect on electromagnetic interference shielding: Flexible, free-standing and thermally conductive composite from MXene–PAT–poly(p-aminophenol)–polyaniline co-polymer. *RSC Adv.* 2020, 10, 1613–1633. [CrossRef]

31. Sankaran, S.; Shemshahi, K.; Ahamed, M.B.; Pasha, S.K. Recent advances in electromagnetic interference shielding properties of metal and carbon fiber reinforced polymer composites: A review. *Compos. Part A Appl. Sci. Manuf.* 2018, 114, 49–71. [CrossRef]

32. Yamaguchi, I.; Tokuchi, K.; Fukuzaki, H.; Koyama, Y.; Takakuda, K.; Monma, H. Preparation and Microstructure Analysis of Chitosan/Hydroxyapatite Nanocomposites. *J. Biomed. Mater. Res.* 2001, 55, 20–27. [CrossRef]

33. Nugrahreni, P.S.; Soeriyanadi, A.H.; Sediawan, W.B.; Budhijanto, W. Influence of Salt Addition and Freezing-Thawing on Particle Size and Zeta Potential of Nano-Chitosan. In *Proceedings of the IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, Bogor, Indonesia, 9–10 October 2018; Volume 278.

34. Nainggolan, I.; Ikhsan, T.; Derman, N. Ammonia Gas Sensor Based on Chitosan Biopolymer. *Mater. Sci. Forum* 2015, 819, 429–434. [CrossRef]

35. Yadi, M.G.; Benguella, B.; Gauuar-Benyles, N.; Tizaoui, K. Adsorption of ammonia from wastewater using low-cost bentonite/chitosan beads. *Desalination Water Treat.* 2016, 57, 2144–2154. [CrossRef]
