Where have all the diseases gone during the COVID-19 pandemic?
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Abstract

This article focuses on a marked drop in volumes in the lockdown period during the COVID-19 pandemic across all modalities X-ray, sonography, CT scan and MRI scans and compares the volumes of data between a private and public hospital in Mumbai. This trend has been witnessed globally also. Even with easing of lockdown this has not reflected in an increase in numbers. Imaging volumes of a 1900-bed public hospital and a 220-bed private hospital in Mumbai were collated for all modalities, i.e., X-ray, sonography, CT and MRI for the months January, February 2020- Prelockdown, March 2020 Peri-lockdown, April, May 2020- Lockdown, June Unlock 1.0, July Unlock 2.0. The imaging volumes during lockdown, Unlock, were compared with prelockdown values. It was initially felt that this was due to a fear of visiting hospitals that are considered hotbeds of SARS-CoV-2. However, the same status has persisted over the 2 months of lockdown and the 2 months of unlocking. What is the cause of this huge drop in imaging volumes?
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Introduction

Do we prepare for a surge of non-COVID diseases postpandemic?

The key to controlling the COVID-19 pandemic is social distancing, mask and sanitization. This has been publicly advocated through every possible medium. In Mumbai, every billboard was acquired by the municipal corporation from early March with educational messages regarding COVID 19. Every mobile call is preceded by an educational message about COVID-19. In view of this extensive education on social distancing as well as outbreaks of COVID-19 in healthcare establishments, patients are distancing themselves from healthcare establishments. In addition, healthcare establishments were encouraging only essential/emergency examinations in the early part of lockdown. A study was undertaken to evaluate the impact on imaging volumes at a prominent public and private hospital in Mumbai. Essentially two ends of the economic spectrum to avoid any bias.

Materials and Methods

Imaging volumes of a 1900 bed public hospital and a 220-bed private hospital in Mumbai were collated for all modalities, i.e., X-ray, sonography, CT and MRI for the months January, February 2020- Prelockdown, March 2020 Peri-lockdown, April, May 2020- Lockdown, June Unlock 1.0, July Unlock 2.0.
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1.0, July Unlock 2.0. The imaging volumes during lockdown, Unlock, were compared with prelockdown values.

In addition, volumes for emergency investigations, stroke – CT/MRI, Acute fractures – X-Ray, Acute abdomen X-ray/sonography/CT/MRI were collated during prelockdown, lockdown unlock 1.0/2.0 periods and compared with prelockdown values.

Results

Chi-square test analysis was performed and proved to be significant with a value \( P < 0.05 \).

Tables 1-3 reveal that imaging volume of each modality like X-ray, USG, CT scan, and MRI had significantly dropped during lockdown in both Public and Private Hospitals as compared to prelockdown. Drop is ranging from 50.0 to 90.0%.

During the unlocked period imaging volume has started increasing trend in all the modalities but will take some more periods to reach to pre lockdown numbers.

Discussion

In the lockdown period there has been a marked drop in volumes, even with easing of lockdown this has not reflected in an increase in numbers. This drop has been seen across all modalities. X-ray and CT scan especially in a private setting showed some recovery as they were being used mainly for COVID pneumonia evaluation.

This trend has been witnessed globally also.[1-3]

It was initially felt that this was due to a fear of visiting hospitals that are considered hotbeds of SARS-CoV-2. However, the same status has persisted over the two months of lockdown and the two months of unlocking.

What is the cause for this huge drop in imaging volumes?

At first, it was considered that all non-emergent and non-essential imaging was being postponed by patients, clinicians, and healthcare facilities. However, drops of 80-90% cannot be explained only on this basis.

This prompted a study of emergency imaging, X-rays for fractures, CT/MRI for stroke, X-ray/sonography/CT/MRI for acute abdomen. This covers broadly the emergency spectrum across organs and modalities. All these emergency investigations also showed a significant drop as compared to pre-lockdown. This naturally sparked off a debate where have all the disease or patients gone?

---

**Table 1: Comparison of volume of each modality during prelockdown, lockdown and unlock**

|                | X-ray | USG | CT | MRI |
|----------------|-------|-----|----|-----|
|                | Public | Private | Public | Private | Public | Private | Public | Private |
| Pre-lockdown   | 14575  | 4019 | 6379 | 1422 | 2904 | 852 | 628 | 822  |
| Lockdown       | 6722   | 1087 | 2243 | 315  | 789  | 185 | 59  | 93   |
| Unlock         | 7986   | 2065 | 2823 | 306  | 1125 | 433 | 148 | 170  |

**Table 2: Percentage of volume during lockdown and unlock as compared to prelockdown**

|                | X-Ray | USG | CT | MRI |
|----------------|-------|-----|----|-----|
|                | Public | Private | Public | Private | Public | Private | Public | Private |
| Pre-lockdown   | 100   | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Lockdown       | 46    | 27  | 35  | 22  | 27  | 22  | 9   | 11   |
| Unlock         | 55    | 52  | 44  | 22  | 39  | 51  | 24  | 21   |
**Table 3: Percentage of emergency investigations for stroke, acute fracture and acute abdomen**

| Test       | Acute fracture | Acute abdomen | Strokes |
|------------|----------------|---------------|---------|
|            | Public (%)     | Private (%)   | Public (%) | Private (%) | Public (%) | Private (%) |
| Pre-lockdown | 100            | 100           | 100      | 100       | 100      | 100        |
| Lockdown    | 25             | 36            | 45       | 33        | 49       | 22         |
| Unlock      | 34             | 31            | 28       | 27        | 65       | 25         |

By Chi-square test; *Significant, P < 0.05.

These data reveal that imaging volume of each modality like x-ray, USG, CT scan and MRI had significantly dropped during lockdown in both Public and Private Hospitals as compared to pre-lockdown. The drop was ranging from 50.0 to 90.0%.

During unlock period imaging volume has started an increasing trend in all the modalities but will take some more periods to reach to pre lock down numbers.

**Conclusion**

It seems that all this may be a combination of all the four thought processes.

The main shocker is the reduction in disease process requiring emergency imaging, admission and therapy. These findings are definitely going to stimulate activists against health check, screening programs, those in favour of holistic lifestyles to point out the industry medicine has become. However, the role of the health check screening programs have been proven beyond doubt. Hopefully, individuals will find the perfect balance of a healthier lifestyle, less stress, judicious utilisation of preventive and therapeutic healthcare.
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