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I. INTRODUCTION

From the viewpoint of Classical physics, our spacetime is a four-dimensional differential manifold. In special
relativity, this manifold is Minkowskian spacetime $M_4$. In general relativity, the underlying spacetime is curved so as
to describe the effect of gravitation. Utiyama (1956) [1] was the first to propose that general relativity can be seen as a
gauge theory based on the local Lorentz group $SO(3, 1)$ in much the same manner Yang-Mills gauge theory (1954) [2]
was developed on the basis of the internal isospin gauge group $SU(2)$. In this formulation, the Riemannian connection
is obtained as the gravitational counterpart of the Yang-Mills gauge fields. While $SU(2)$ in the Yang-Mills theory
is an internal symmetry group, the Lorentz symmetry represents the local nature of spacetime rather than internal
degrees of freedom. The equivalence principle asserted by Einstein for general relativity requires local spacetime
structure be identified with Minkowski space possessing Lorentz symmetry. In order to relate local Lorentz symmetry
to curved spacetime, we need to solder the local (tangent) space to the external (curved) space. The soldering tools
are the so-called tetrad fields. Utiyama regarded the tetrads as objects given a priori. Soon after, Sciama (1962) [3]
recognized that spacetime should be endowed with torsion in order to accommodate spinor fields. In other words, the
gravitational interaction of spinning particles required a modification of the Riemannian geometry of general relativity
to be non-Riemannian; that is, curved space with torsion. Although Sciama used the tetrad formalism for his gauge-like
handling of gravitation, his theory fell short in treating tetrad fields as gauge fields. Kibble (1961) [4] made a
comprehensive extension of Utiyama’s gauge theory of gravitation by showing that local Poincaré symmetry $SO(3, 1) \rtimes T (3, 1)$ ($\rtimes$ represents the semi-direct product) can generate a space with torsion as well as curvature. The gauge
fields introduced by Kibble’s scheme include the tetrads as well as the local affine connection. There has been a variety
of gauge theories of gravitation based on different local symmetry groups [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16]. In this review,
mainly following Kibble’s approach, we demonstrate how gravitation can be formulated from the gauge theoretical point of view.

The article is organized as follows. In Section 2, the Euler-Lagrange equations are obtained by requiring invariance
of the action integral under variation of coordinates. In Section 3, the conservation laws of energy-momentum and angular momentum are obtained from the vanishing variation of the Lagrangian density under global Poincaré transformations. Invariance of the Lagrangian density under local Poincaré transformations is considered in Section 4, where it is found that invariance is preserved provided one introduces gauge fields with components $e^i_\mu$ and $\Gamma^i_{\alpha\beta}$, where the former is interpreted as tetrads (which set the local coordinate frame) and the latter as local affine connections defined with respect to the tetrad frame. In Section 5, with the aid of tetrads and local affine connections, the scheme for manipulating vectors and spinor valued fields is developed. The explicit form of the curvature and torsion of the underlying spacetime manifold is obtained in Section 6. In Section 7, the equation of motion for the spinor as well as the field equations for gravity is derived using a standard variational calculus. Our conclusions are presented in Section 8.
\(e_i^\mu\) is denoted by \(e^i_\mu\) and satisfies the following orthogonality relations
\[
e^i_\mu e^j_\nu = \delta^i_\nu, \quad e^i_\mu e^j_\mu = \delta^i_j. \tag{1}\]

The tetrads constitute transformation matrices that map from local Lorentz (with non-holonomic coordinates \(x^a\)) to world (with holonomic coordinates \(x^\mu\)) bases, i.e., \(v^a = e^\mu_\alpha v^\alpha\) with \(v^i = \eta^{\alpha\nu}e^\nu_\alpha\). The components \(e^i_\mu(x)\) and \(e^i_\nu(x)\) transform as covariant and contravariant vectors (under the Poincaré group) of the frame \(x^\mu\), if and only if the rotations \(\partial_{[\mu}e^a_{\nu]}\) vanish at all points (the square brackets denote anti-symmetrization). The equations \(\partial_{[\mu}e^a_{\nu]} = 0\) are the so-called integrability conditions [4]. If the integrability conditions are satisfied, then the tetrad takes the form \(e^i_\mu(x) = \partial x^i/\partial x^\mu\). The metrics \(\eta_{ij}\) and \(g_{\alpha\beta}\) are related via
\[
\eta_{ij} = e^\mu_i e^\nu_j \delta_{\mu\nu}, \quad g_{\alpha\beta} = \eta^{\alpha\nu}e^\nu_i e^\mu_j \delta_{ij}. \tag{2}\]

## II. INVARIANCE PRINCIPLE

As is well-known, field equations and conservation laws of a given theory can be obtained from the principle of least action. The same principle is the basis of gauge theories. Thus, we begin with the principle of the least action and Noether’s theorem. Let \(\chi(x)\) be a multiplet field defined at a spacetime point \(x\) and let \(\mathcal{L}\{\chi(x), \partial_j\chi(x); x\}\) be the Lagrangian density of the system. The action integral \(I\) of the system over a spacetime 4-volume \(\Omega\) is defined by
\[
I(\Omega) = \int_\Omega \mathcal{L}\{\chi(x), \partial_j\chi(x); x\} \, d^4x. \tag{3}\]

Now we consider the infinitesimal variations of the coordinates
\[
x^i \rightarrow x^i' = x^i + \delta x^i, \tag{4}\]
and the field variables
\[
\chi(x) \rightarrow \chi'(x') = \chi(x) + \delta \chi(x). \tag{5}\]

Correspondingly, the variation of the action integral is given by
\[
\delta I = \int_\Omega \delta \mathcal{L}'(x') d^4x' - \int_\Omega \mathcal{L}(x) d^4x = \int_\Omega [\mathcal{L}'(x')||\partial_j x'^j|| - \mathcal{L}(x)] \, d^4x. \tag{6}\]

Since the Jacobian for the infinitesimal variation of coordinates becomes
\[
||\partial_j x'^j|| = 1 + \partial_j(\delta x^j), \tag{7}\]
the variation of the action takes the form,
\[
\delta I = \int_\Omega [\delta \mathcal{L}(x) + \mathcal{L}(x) \partial_j(\delta x^j)] \, d^4x \tag{8}\]
where
\[
\delta \mathcal{L}(x) = \mathcal{L}'(x') - \mathcal{L}(x). \tag{9}\]

For any function \(\Phi(x)\) of \(x\), it is convenient to define the fixed point variation \(\delta_0\) by,
\[
\delta_0 \Phi(x) := \Phi'(x) - \Phi(x) = \Phi'(x') - \Phi(x'). \tag{10}\]

Expanding the function to first order in \(\delta x^j\) as
\[
\Phi(x') = \Phi(x) + \delta x^j \partial_j \Phi(x), \tag{11}\]
we obtain
\[
\delta \Phi(x) = \Phi'(x') - \Phi(x) = \Phi'(x') - \Phi(x') + \Phi(x') - \Phi(x) = \delta_0 \Phi(x) + \delta x^j \partial_j \Phi(x), \tag{12}\]
or equivalently,
\[ \delta_0 \Phi(x) = \delta \Phi(x) - \delta x^j \partial_j \Phi(x). \] (13)

An advantage of having the fixed point variation is that \( \delta_0 \) commutes with \( \partial_j \):
\[ \delta_0 \partial_j \Phi(x) = \partial_j \delta_0 \Phi(x). \] (14)

For \( \Phi(x) = \chi(x) \), we have
\[ \delta \chi(x) = \delta_0 \chi(x) + \delta x^i \partial_i \chi(x), \] (15)
and
\[ \delta \partial_i \chi(x) = \partial_i (\delta_0 \chi(x)) - \partial_j (\delta x^j) \partial_i \chi(x). \] (16)

Use of the fixed point variation in the integrand of (8) gives
\[ \delta I = \int_{\Omega} \left[ \delta_0 \mathcal{L}(x) + \partial_j (\delta x^j \mathcal{L}(x)) \right] d^4x. \] (17)

If we require the action integral defined over any arbitrary region \( \Omega \) to be invariant, that is, \( \delta I = 0 \), then we must have
\[ \delta \mathcal{L} + \mathcal{L} \partial_j (\delta x^j) = \delta_0 \mathcal{L} + \partial_j (\mathcal{L} \delta x^j) = 0. \] (18)

If \( \partial_j (\delta x^j) = 0 \), then \( \delta \mathcal{L} = 0 \) so that the Lagrangian density \( \mathcal{L} \) is invariant. In general however, \( \partial_j (\delta x^j) \neq 0 \), and \( \mathcal{L} \) transforms like a scalar density. In other words, \( \mathcal{L} \) is a Lagrangian density unless \( \partial_j (\delta x^j) = 0 \).

For convenience, let us introduce a function \( h(x) \) that behaves like a scalar density, namely
\[ \delta h(x) + h(x) \partial_j (\delta x^j) = 0. \] (19)

We further let \( \mathcal{L}(\chi(x), \partial_j \chi(x); x) = h(x) \mathcal{L}(\chi(x), \partial_j \chi(x); x) \) where the function \( \mathcal{L}(\chi(x), \partial_j \chi(x); x) \) is the scalar Lagrangian of the system. Then we see that
\[ \delta \mathcal{L} + \mathcal{L} \partial_j (\delta x^j) = h(x) \delta \mathcal{L}. \] (20)

Hence, the action integral remains invariant provided
\[ \delta \mathcal{L} = 0. \] (21)

Let us calculate the integrand of (17) explicitly. The fixed point variation of \( \mathcal{L}(x) \) is a consequence of a fixed point variation of the field \( \chi(x) \),
\[ \delta_0 \mathcal{L} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \chi(x)} \delta_0 \chi(x) + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_j \chi(x))} \delta_0 (\partial_j \chi(x)) \] (22)
which can be cast into the form,
\[ \delta_0 \mathcal{L} = [\mathcal{L}]_\chi \delta_0 \chi(x) + \partial_j \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_j \chi(x))} \delta_0 \chi(x) \right) \] (23)
where
\[ [\mathcal{L}]_\chi = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \chi(x)} - \partial_j \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_j \chi(x))} \right). \] (24)

Consequently, we have the action integral in the form
\[ \delta I = \int_{\Omega} \left\{ [\mathcal{L}]_\chi \delta_0 \chi(x) + \partial_j \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_j \chi(x))} \delta_0 \chi(x) - T^j_k \delta x^k \right) \right\} d^4x, \] (25)
where

\[ \mathcal{T}^j_k := \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \partial_j \chi(x)} \partial_k \chi(x) - \delta^j_k \mathcal{L} \]  

is the canonical energy-momentum tensor density. If the variations are chosen in such a way that \( \delta x^j = 0 \) over \( \Omega \) and \( \delta \chi = 0 \) on the boundary of \( \Omega \), then \( \delta I = 0 \) gives us the Euler-Lagrange equation,

\[ [\mathcal{L}]_\chi = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \chi(x)} - \partial_j \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \partial_j \chi(x)} \right) = 0. \]  

On the other hand, if the field variables obey the Euler-Lagrangian equation, \([\mathcal{L}]_\chi = 0\), then we have

\[ \partial_j \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \partial_j \chi(x)} \right) \delta \chi(x) - \mathcal{T}^j_k \delta x^k = 0, \]  

which gives rise to conservation laws.

**III. GLOBAL POINCARÉ INVARIANCE**

Recall our assertion that our spacetime in the absence of gravitation is Minkowski spacetime \( M_4 \). The isometry group of \( M_4 \) is the group of Poincaré transformation (PT) which consists of the Lorentz group \( SO(3, 1) \) and the group of translations \( T(3, 1) \). The Poincaré transformation of coordinates is given by

\[ x^i \xrightarrow{\text{PT}} x'^i = a^i_j x^j + b^i, \]  

where \( a^i_j \) and \( b^i \) are real constants and \( a^i_j \) satisfy the orthogonality conditions \( a^i_k a^k_j = \delta^i_j \). For infinitesimal variations,

\[ \delta x^i = \varepsilon^i_j x^j + \varepsilon^i, \]  

where \( \varepsilon_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ji} = 0 \). While the Lorentz transformation (LT) forms a six parameter group, the Poincaré group has ten parameters. The Lie algebra for the ten generators of the Poincaré group is given by

\[ [\Xi_{ij}, \Xi_{kl}] = \eta_{ik} \Xi_{jl} + \eta_{jl} \Xi_{ik} - \eta_{jk} \Xi_{il} - \eta_{il} \Xi_{jk}, \]

\[ [\Xi_{ij}, T_k] = \eta_{jk} T_i - \eta_{ik} T_j, \quad [T_i, T_j] = 0, \]

where \( \Xi_{ij} \) are the generators of Lorentz transformations, and \( T_i \) are the generators of four-dimensional translations. Obviously, \( \partial_i (\delta x^i) = 0 \) for the Poincaré transformation \([23] \). Therefore, our Lagrangian density \( \mathcal{L} \), which is the same as \( L \) with \( h(x) = 1 \) in this case, is invariant; that is to say, \( \delta \mathcal{L} = \delta L = 0 \) for \( \delta I = 0 \).

Suppose that the field \( \chi(x) \) transforms under infinitesimal Poincaré transformation as

\[ \delta \chi(x) = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{ij} S_{ij} \chi(x), \]

where the tensors \( S_{ij} \) are generators of the Lorentz group in some appropriate representation, satisfying

\[ S_{ij} = -S_{ji}, \quad [S_{ij}, S_{kl}] = \eta_{ik} S_{jl} + \eta_{jl} S_{ik} - \eta_{jk} S_{il} - \eta_{il} S_{jk}. \]  

Correspondingly, the derivative of \( \chi(x) \) transforms as

\[ \delta (\partial_k \chi(x)) = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{ij} S_{ij} \partial_k \chi(x) - \varepsilon^i_k \partial_j \chi(x). \]  

Since the choice of infinitesimal parameters \( \varepsilon^i \) and \( \varepsilon^{ij} \) is arbitrary, the vanishing variation of the Lagrangian density invariant \( \delta \mathcal{L} = 0 \) leads to the following identities,

\[ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \chi(x)} S_{ij} \chi(x) + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_k \chi(x))} (S_{ij} \partial_k \chi(x) + \eta_{ik} \partial_j \chi(x) - \eta_{kj} \partial_i \chi(x)) = 0. \]
We also obtain the following conservation laws

$$\partial_j T^j_k = 0, \quad \partial_k \left( S^k_{ij} - x_i T^k_{ij} + x_j T^k_{ji} \right) = 0,$$

where

$$S^k_{ij} := -\frac{\partial L}{\partial (\partial_k \chi(x))} S_{ij} \chi(x)$$

and $T^j_k$ was defined in \[26\]. These conservation laws imply that the energy-momentum $P_k$ and angular momentum $J_{ij}$, respectively

$$P_k = \int T^0_k \, d^3x, \quad J_{ij} = \int \left[ S^0_{ij} - (x_i T^0_j - x_j T^0_i) \right] \, d^3x,$$

are conserved. The system exhibiting invariance under the ten parameter symmetry group has ten conserved quantities. This is an example of Noether’s theorem. The first term $S^0_{ij}$ of the angular momentum integral corresponds to the spin angular momentum while the second term gives the orbital angular momentum. The global Poincaré invariance of a system defined over spacetime implies the latter is homogeneous (all spacetime points are equivalent) as dictated by translational invariance and is isotropic (all directions about a spacetime point are equivalent) as indicated by Lorentz invariance. It is interesting to observe that the fixed point variation of the field variables $\chi(x)$ takes the form

$$\delta_0 \chi(x) = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^j_k \Xi_j^k \chi(x) + \varepsilon^j T_j \chi(x),$$

with $\Xi_j^k = \eta^{ik} \Xi_{jk}$, where

$$\Xi_{jk} = S_{jk} + \delta (x_j \partial_k - x_k \partial_j), \quad T_j = -\partial_j.$$

We remark that $\Xi_j^k$ are the generators of the Lorentz transformation and $T_j$ are those of the translations.

\section*{IV. LOCAL POINCARÉ INVARIANCE}

In this Section we consider a modification of the infinitesimal Poincaré transformation \[30\] by assuming that the parameters $\varepsilon^j_k$ and $\varepsilon^j$ are functions of spacetime coordinates. We write the spacetime dependant infinitesimal Poincaré transformation as

$$\delta x^\mu = \varepsilon^\mu_\nu(x) x^\nu + \varepsilon^\mu(x) = \xi^\mu(x),$$

which we call a local Poincaré transformation (or the general coordinate transformation). To make a distinction between global (with holonomic coordinates) and local transformations (with non-holonomic coordinates), we use Greek indices ($\mu, \nu = 0, 1, 2, 3$) for the former and Latin indices ($j, k = 0, 1, 2, 3$) for the latter. The variation of the field variables $\chi(x)$ defined at a point $x$ is still the same as that of the global Poincaré transformation \[32\]. The corresponding fixed point variation of $\chi(x)$ takes the form,

$$\delta_0 \chi(x) = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{ij} S^{ij} \chi(x) - \xi^\nu \partial_\nu \chi(x).$$

Differentiating both sides of \[12\] with respect to $x^\mu$ we obtain

$$\delta_0 \partial_\mu \chi(x) = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{ij} S_{ij} \partial_\mu \chi(x) + \frac{1}{2} \left( \partial_\mu \varepsilon^{ij} \right) S_{ij} \chi(x) - \partial_\mu (\xi^\nu \partial_\nu \chi(x)).$$

Use of these variations leads to the variation of the Lagrangian $L$,

$$\delta L + \partial_\mu (\delta x^\mu) L = h(x) \delta L = \delta_0 \mathcal{L} + \partial_\nu (\mathcal{L} \delta x^\nu) = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \partial_\mu \varepsilon^{ij} \right) S^{\mu}_{\ ij} - (\partial_\mu \xi^\nu(x)) T^\mu_{\ 
u}$$

which is no longer zero unless the parameters $\varepsilon^{ij}$ and $\xi^\nu(x)$ become constants. Accordingly, the action integral for the given Lagrangian density $L$ is not invariant under local Poincaré transformation. We notice that while $\partial_j (dx^j) = 0$ for
the local Poincaré transformation, \( \partial _\mu \xi ^\mu (x) \) does not vanish under local Poincaré transformations. Hence, as expected \( \mathcal{L} \) is not a Lagrangian scalar but a Lagrangian density. As mentioned earlier, for defining the Lagrangian \( L \) we have to select an appropriate non-trivial scalar function \( h(x) \) satisfying

\[
\delta h(x) + h(x) \partial _\mu \xi ^\mu (x) = 0. \tag{45}
\]

Now we consider a minimal modification of the Lagrangian so as to make the action integral invariant under the local Poincaré transformation. It is rather obvious that if there is a covariant derivative \( \nabla _k \chi (x) \) which transforms as

\[
\delta (\nabla _k \chi (x)) = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon ^{ij} S _{ij} \nabla _k \chi (x) - \varepsilon ^i _k \partial _i \chi (x), \tag{46}
\]

then a modified Lagrangian \( L'(\chi (x), \partial _\mu \chi (x), x) = L(\chi (x), \nabla _k \chi (x), x) \), obtained by replacing \( \partial _k \chi (x) \) in \( L(\chi (x), \partial _k \chi (x), x) \) by \( \nabla _k \chi (x) \), remains invariant under the local Poincaré transformation, that is

\[
\delta L' = \frac{\partial L'}{\partial \chi (x)} \delta \chi (x) + \frac{\partial L'}{\partial (\nabla _k \chi (x))} \delta (\nabla _k \chi (x)) = 0. \tag{47}
\]

To find such a \( k \)-covariant derivative, we introduce the gauge fields \( A ^{ij} _\mu = - A ^{ji} _\mu \) and define the \( \mu \)-covariant derivative

\[
\nabla _\mu \chi (x) := \partial _\mu \chi (x) + \frac{1}{2} A ^{ij} _\mu S _{ij} \chi (x), \tag{48}
\]

in such a way that the covariant derivative transforms as

\[
\delta _k \nabla _\mu \chi (x) = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon ^{ij} S _{ij} \nabla _\mu \chi (x) - \partial _\mu \varepsilon ^i _k (x) \nabla _i \chi (x). \tag{49}
\]

The transformation properties of \( A ^{ab} _\mu \) are determined by \( \nabla _\mu \chi (x) \) and \( \delta \nabla _\mu \chi (x) \). Making use of

\[
\delta \nabla _\mu \chi (x) = \frac{1}{2} \partial _\mu (\varepsilon ^{ij} ) S _{ij} \chi (x) + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon ^{ij} S _{ij} \partial _\mu \chi (x) - (\partial _\mu \varepsilon ^i _k (x) ) \partial _i \chi (x) + \frac{1}{2} \delta A ^{ij} _\mu S _{ij} \chi (x) + \frac{1}{4} A ^{ij} _\mu S _{ij} \varepsilon ^{kl} S _{kl} \chi (x) \tag{50}
\]

and comparing with \( \delta L = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \chi (x)} \delta \chi (x) \) we obtain,

\[
\delta A ^{ij} _\mu S _{ij} \chi (x) + \partial _\mu (\varepsilon ^{ij} ) S _{ij} \chi (x) + \frac{1}{2} (A ^{ij} _\mu \varepsilon ^{kl} - \varepsilon ^{ij} A ^{kl} _\mu ) S _{ij} S _{kl} \chi (x) + (\partial _\mu \varepsilon ^i _k (x) ) A ^{ij} _\mu S _{ij} \chi (x) = 0. \tag{51}
\]

Using the antisymmetry in \( ij \) and \( kl \) to rewrite the term in parenthesis on the right hand side (RHS) of \( \delta L = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \chi (x)} \delta \chi (x) \) as \( [S _{ij}, S _{kl}] A ^{ij} _\mu \varepsilon ^{kl} \chi \), we see the explicit appearance of the commutator \( [S _{ij}, S _{kl}] \). Using the expression for the commutator of Lie algebra generators

\[
[S _{ij}, S _{kl}] = \frac{1}{2} c ^{[ef]} _{[ij][kl]} S _{ef}, \tag{52}
\]

where \( c ^{[ef]} _{[ij][kl]} \) (the square brackets denote anti-symmetrization) is the structure constants of the Lorentz group (deduced below), we have

\[
[S _{ij}, S _{kl}] A ^{ij} _\mu \varepsilon ^{kl} = \frac{1}{2} (A ^{ij} _\mu \varepsilon ^{kl} - A ^{kl} _\mu \varepsilon ^{ij} ) S _{ij}. \tag{53}
\]

Substitution of this equation and consideration of the antisymmetry of \( \varepsilon ^{b} _c = - \varepsilon ^{b} _c \) enables us to write

\[
\delta A ^{ij} _\mu = \varepsilon ^i _k A ^{kj} _\mu + \varepsilon ^j _k A ^{ik} _\mu - (\partial _\mu \varepsilon ^i _k (x) ) A ^{ij} _\nu - \partial _\mu \varepsilon ^{ij}. \tag{54}
\]

We require the \( k \)-derivative and \( \mu \)-derivative of \( \chi (x) \) to be linearly related as

\[
\nabla _k \chi (x) = e _k ^\mu (x) \nabla _\mu \chi (x), \tag{55}
\]

where the coefficients \( e _k ^\mu (x) \) are position-dependent and behave like a new set of field variables. From \( \delta \nabla _k \chi (x) \) it is evident that \( \nabla _k \chi (x) \) varies as

\[
\delta \nabla _k \chi (x) = \delta e _k ^\mu (x) \nabla _\mu \chi (x) + e _k ^\mu \delta \nabla _\mu \chi (x). \tag{56}
\]
Comparing (56) with $\delta \nabla_k \chi(x) = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{ab} S_{ab} \nabla_k \chi(x) - \varepsilon^j_k \nabla_j \chi(x)$ we obtain,

$$e^k_\alpha \delta e^\mu_k \nabla_\mu \chi(x) - (\partial_\alpha \xi^\nu(x)) \nabla_\nu \chi(x) + e^k_\alpha \varepsilon^j_k \nabla_j \chi(x) = 0. \quad (57)$$

Exploiting $\delta (e^k_\alpha e^\mu_k) = 0$ we find the quantity $e_k^\mu$ transforms according to

$$\delta e_k^\mu = e_k^{\nu} \partial_\nu \xi^\mu(x) - \epsilon_i^\mu e_i^k. \quad (58)$$

It is also important to recognize that the inverse of $\det(e_k^\mu)$ transforms like a scalar density as $h(x)$ does. For our minimal modification of the Lagrangian density, we utilize this available quantity for the scalar density $h$; namely, we let

$$h(x) := [\det(e_k^\mu)]^{-1}. \quad (59)$$

By replacing the Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}(\chi(x), \partial_k \chi(x), x)$, which is invariant under global Poincaré transformation, by the Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L}(\chi(x), \partial_\mu \chi(x); x) \rightarrow h(x) L(\chi(x), \nabla_k \chi(x)), \quad (60)$$

the action integral remains invariant under the local Poincaré transformation. We remark that in the limiting case when we consider Poincaré transformations which are not spacetime dependant, $e_k^\mu \rightarrow \delta_k^\mu$ so that $h(x) \rightarrow 1$. Since the local Poincaré transformation $\delta x^\mu = \xi^\mu(x)$ is nothing but a generalized coordinate transformation, the newly introduced gauge fields $e^j_k$ and $A^{ij} \mu$ can be interpreted, respectively, as the tetrad (vierbein) fields which set the local coordinate frame and as a local affine connection with respect to the tetrad frame.

**V. SPINORS AND VECTORS**

We may readily define tensors (and vectors) and various algebraic operations with tensors at a given point in the spacetime manifold. Comparison of tensors at different points however, requires use of the affine connection via the process of parallel transport. Introduction of spinors require use of tetrads. In analogy with the case of vectors, comparison of bilinear forms - constructed from spinors and their conjugates - at different spacetime points require use of the spin connection. First, consider the case where the multiplet field $\chi(x)$ is the Dirac field $\psi(x)$ which behaves like a four-component spinor under LTs and transforms as

$$\psi(x) \rightarrow \psi'(x') = S(\Lambda) \psi(x), \quad (61)$$

where $S(\Lambda)$ is an irreducible unitary representation of the Lorentz group. Since the bilinear form $\nu^k = i \bar{\psi} \gamma^k \psi$ is a vector (where $i \in \mathbb{C}$), it transforms according to

$$\nu^j = \Lambda^j_k \nu^k, \quad (62)$$

where $\Lambda^j_k$ is a LT matrix satisfying $\Lambda_{ij} + \Lambda_{ji} = 0$. Invariance of $\nu^i$ (or covariance of the Dirac equation) under the transformation $\psi(x) \rightarrow \psi'(x')$ leads to

$$S^{-1}(\Lambda) \gamma^i S(\Lambda) = \Lambda^j_i \gamma^j, \quad (63)$$

where the quantities $\gamma^i$ are the Dirac $\gamma$-matrices satisfying the anti-commutator relation,

$$\gamma_i \gamma_j + \gamma_j \gamma_i = \eta_{ij} 1, \quad i, j = 0...3. \quad (64)$$

Furthermore, we notice that the $\gamma$-matrices satisfy the following properties:

$$\begin{cases}
(\gamma_0)^\dagger = -\gamma_0, \quad (\gamma_0)^2 = (\gamma_0)^2 = -1, \quad \gamma_0 = -\gamma^0 \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_0 \gamma^0 = 1 \\
(\gamma_k)^\dagger = \gamma_k, \quad (\gamma_k)^2 = (\gamma_k)^2 = 1; \quad (k = 1, 2, 3) \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_k = \gamma_k \\
\gamma^5 := \gamma^0 \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3, \quad (\gamma_5)^\dagger = -\gamma_5, \quad (\gamma_5)^2 = -1 \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma^5 = \gamma_5.
\end{cases} \quad (65)$$
The dagger operator (†) implements the complex conjugation of the transpose of the quantity appearing to its left. We assume the transformation $S(\Lambda)$ can be put into the form $S(\Lambda) = e^{\Lambda_{ij} \gamma^{ij}}$. Expanding $S(\Lambda)$ about the identity, retaining terms to first order in infinitesimals and expanding $\Lambda_{ij}$ to first order in $\varepsilon_{ij}$,

$$\Lambda_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ij}, \varepsilon_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ji} = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (66)

we get

$$S(\Lambda) = 1 + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{ij} \gamma_{ij}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (67)

To determine the form of $\gamma_{ij}$ we substitute (66) and (67) into (63) to obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{ij} [\gamma^{ij}, \gamma^{k}] = \eta^{ki} \varepsilon_{ji} \gamma_{j}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (68)

Rewriting the RHS of (68) using the antisymmetry of $\varepsilon_{ij}$ as

$$\eta^{ki} \varepsilon_{ji} \gamma_{j} = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{ij} (\eta^{ki} \gamma^{j} - \eta^{kj} \gamma^{i}),$$  \hspace{1cm} (69)

yields

$$[\gamma^{k}, \gamma^{ij}] = \eta^{ki} \gamma^{j} - \eta^{kj} \gamma^{i}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (70)

Assuming the solution to have the form of an antisymmetric product of two matrices, we obtain the solution

$$\gamma^{ij} := \frac{1}{2} [\gamma^{i}, \gamma^{j}].$$  \hspace{1cm} (71)

If $\chi(x) = \psi(x)$, the group generator $S_{ij}$ appearing in (63) is identified with

$$S_{ij} \equiv \gamma_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} (\gamma_{i} \gamma_{j} - \gamma_{j} \gamma_{i}).$$  \hspace{1cm} (72)

To be explicit, the Dirac field transforms under LT as

$$\delta \psi(x) = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{ij} \gamma_{ij} \psi(x).$$  \hspace{1cm} (73)

The Pauli conjugate of the Dirac field is denoted $\bar{\psi}(x)$ and defined by

$$\bar{\psi}(x) := i \psi^{\dagger}(x) \gamma_{0}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (74)

The conjugate field $\bar{\psi}(x)$ transforms under LTs as,

$$\delta \bar{\psi}(x) = - \bar{\psi}(x) \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{ij} \gamma_{ij}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (75)

Under local LTs, $\varepsilon_{ab}$ becomes a function of spacetime $\varepsilon_{ab} \rightarrow \varepsilon_{ab}(x)$. Now unlike $\partial_{\mu} \psi(x)$, the derivative of $\psi'(x')$ is no longer homogenous due to the occurrence of the term $\gamma^{ab} [\partial_{\mu} \varepsilon_{ab}(x)] \psi(x)$ in $\partial_{\mu} \psi'(x')$, which is non-vanishing unless $\varepsilon_{ab}(x)$ is constant. When going from locally flat to curved spacetime we must generalize $\partial_{\mu}$ to the covariant derivative $D_{\mu}$ to compensate for this extra term, allowing to gauge the group of LTs. Thus, by use of $D_{\mu}$ we can preserve the invariance of the Lagrangian for arbitrary local LTs at each spacetime point

$$D_{\mu} \psi'(x') = S(\Lambda(x)) D_{\mu} \psi(x).$$  \hspace{1cm} (76)

To determine the explicit form of the connection belonging to $D_{\mu}$, we study the derivative of $S(\Lambda(x))$. The transformation $S(\Lambda(x))$ is given by

$$S(\Lambda(x)) = 1 + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{ab}(x) \gamma^{ab}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (77)
Since \( \varepsilon_{ab}(x) \) is only a function of spacetime for local Lorentz coordinates, we express this infinitesimal LT in terms of general coordinates only by shifting all spacetime dependence of the local coordinates into tetrad fields as

\[
\varepsilon_{ab}(x) = e_\alpha^\lambda(x) e^{\nu}_b(x) \varepsilon_{\lambda\nu}.
\]  

(78)

Substituting this expression for \( \varepsilon_{ab}(x) \), we obtain

\[
\partial_\mu \varepsilon_{ab}(x) = \partial_\mu \left[ e_\alpha^\lambda(x) e^{\nu}_b(x) \varepsilon_{\lambda\nu} \right].
\]  

(79)

However, since \( \varepsilon_{\lambda\nu} \) has no spacetime dependence, this reduces to

\[
\partial_\mu \varepsilon_{ab}(x) = e_\alpha^\lambda(x) \partial_\mu e_\beta\lambda(x) - e_\beta^\nu(x) \partial_\mu e_{a\nu}(x),
\]  

(80)

enabling us to write

\[
\partial_\mu S(\Lambda(x)) = -\frac{1}{2} \gamma^{ab} \partial_\mu \varepsilon_{ab}(x).
\]  

(81)

According to (48), the covariant derivative of a Dirac spinor (and its conjugate) is given by an equation of form

\[
D_\mu \psi(x) = \partial_\mu \psi(x) + \frac{1}{2} \omega^{ij}_\mu \gamma_{ij} \psi(x) \quad \text{and} \quad D_\mu \bar{\psi}(x) = \partial_\mu \bar{\psi}(x) - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}(x) \omega^{ij}_\mu \gamma_{ij},
\]  

(82)

respectively, where \( \omega^{ij}_\mu \) are the components of the spin-connection. Using the covariant derivatives of \( \psi(x) \) and \( \bar{\psi}(x) \), we can show that

\[
D_\mu v_j = \partial_\mu v_j - \omega^j_{\phantom{j}j\mu} v_i.
\]  

(83)

The same covariant derivative should be used for any covariant vector \( v_k \) under LTs. Since \( \nabla_\mu (v_i v^i) = \partial_\mu (v_i v^i) \), the covariant derivative for a contravariant vector \( v^i \) must be

\[
D_\mu v^i = \partial_\mu v^i + \omega^j_{\phantom{j}j\mu} v^i.
\]  

(84)

Since the tetrad \( e_i^\mu \) is a covariant vector under LTs, its covariant derivative must transform according to the same rule.

Under local LTs, the covariant derivative itself should transform as a scalar since it does not carry a Lorentz (Latin) index. Thus \( D_\mu v^i \overset{\text{LT}}{\rightarrow} D'_\mu v'^i = \Lambda^i_j D_\mu v^j \) where \( \Lambda^i_j := \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial x'^j} \). Making use of the equation for \( D_\mu v^i \), \( D'_\mu v'^i \) and using \( \partial_\mu \eta_{ab} = 0 \) (since the Minkowski metric is constant) to write \( \Lambda^a_{\alpha} \eta_{nk} \partial_\mu \Lambda^b_{\beta k} = \Lambda^a_{\alpha} \partial_\mu \Lambda^b_{\beta k} \), we obtain the transformation property of the spin connection

\[
\omega^{ab}_{\mu} \rightarrow \omega^{ab}_{\mu} = \Lambda^a_{\alpha} \Lambda^b_{\beta} \omega^{ij}_{\mu} - (\partial_\mu \Lambda^i_{\alpha}) \Lambda^j_{\beta}.
\]  

(85)

Parallel transport is a unique geometric operation that is independent of the choice of frame. We emphasize that there is only one linear connection. It may be expressed in either holonomic or non-holonomic frames of reference. As will be shown, these two representations of the linear connection are related by (89). Moreover, the linear connection (expressed in either reference frame) is not a priori torsion free. Indeed, it will be shown that the linear connection does contain torsion, the latter being defined by (121).

The relative rotation of a coordinate (holonomic) basis vector \( e_\alpha \) is given by \( dx^\alpha \left( \partial_\alpha e_\gamma + \Gamma^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} e_\beta \right) e_\gamma = dx^\alpha \left( \nabla_\alpha e_\beta \right) e_\gamma \) with the affine connection \( \Gamma^\rho_{\mu\nu} = e_\rho^i (x) D_\nu e^i_\mu (x) = -e_\mu^i (x) D_\nu e^\rho_i (x) \) defining the covariant derivative operator \( \nabla_\alpha := \partial_\alpha + \Gamma^\gamma_{\alpha\gamma} \Xi_{\gamma} \). The matrices \( \Xi_{\alpha\beta} = -\Xi_{\beta\alpha} \) are generators of the Lorentz group satisfying the Lie algebra (31). To make the transition to curved spacetime, we take account of the general coordinates of objects that are covariant under local Poincaré transformations. The covariant derivative of a quantity \( v^\lambda (v_\lambda) \) which behaves like a contravariant (covariant) vector under the local Poincaré transformations is given by

\[
\nabla_\nu v^\lambda := \partial_\nu v^\lambda + \Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu} v^\mu \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_\nu v_\mu := \partial_\nu v_\mu - \Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu} v_\lambda,
\]  

(86)

respectively. The covariant derivative for a mixed tensor \( A^\lambda_{\nu} \) is given by,

\[
\nabla_\mu A^\lambda_{\nu} = \partial_\mu A^\lambda_{\nu} + \Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\sigma} A^\sigma_{\nu} - \Gamma^\sigma_{\mu\nu} A^\lambda_{\sigma}.
\]  

(87)
Since the basis vectors (in either holonomic or non-holonomic frames) change from one point in the spacetime manifold to another, the derivative of a vector must be given by

\[ \partial_{\nu} e^{\lambda}_i = \omega^{\lambda}_{\mu i} e_\mu + \Gamma^\lambda_{\mu \nu} e_i = 0, \]

This implies that \( \partial_{\mu} e_j = \omega^{j}_{\mu i} e_i \). For similar reasons, we conclude \( \partial_{\mu} e_{\nu} = \Gamma^\rho_{\nu \mu} e_\rho \). Thus, if we perform a transformation on (85) which leads from a non-holonomic to a holonomic frame, then we find

\[ \partial_{\nu} e^{\lambda}_i \lambda(x) - \omega^{k}_{\nu j} e_k + \Gamma^\mu_{\nu \lambda} e_\lambda = \partial_{\nu} e^{\lambda}_i \lambda(x) = 0, \]

since \( \partial_{\nu} e_{\nu} = \partial_{\mu} (e_{\nu} \cdot e_{\nu}) = \omega^{\mu}_{\nu i} e_i + \Gamma^\rho_{\nu \mu} e_\rho = \omega^{\mu}_{\nu i} e_i + \Gamma^\rho_{\nu \mu} e_\rho \). The operator \( \mathcal{D}_\nu \) defined in (88) is introduced for later convenience. From (88) we can deduce a relation that allows us to compute the affine connection in terms of the spin connection and tetrad or vice versa, namely (17),

\[ \Gamma^\sigma_{\mu \nu} = e^b_{\sigma} \left( \partial_{\mu} e^b_{\nu}(x) - \omega^{ab}_{\mu \nu} e_\sigma \right). \]

The linear connection may be decomposed into its symmetric and anti-symmetric components according to

\[ \Gamma^\lambda_{\mu \nu} \rightarrow \Gamma^\lambda_{\mu \nu} = \Lambda^\alpha_{\mu} \Lambda^\beta_{\nu} \Lambda^\gamma_{\lambda} \Gamma^\gamma_{\alpha \beta} + \Lambda^\alpha_{\mu} \Lambda^\lambda_{\nu} \Lambda^\rho_{\alpha \nu}, \]

where \( \Lambda^\alpha_{\mu} := \frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial x^\mu} \) is a holonomic transformation matrix. By use of (85) and (88), we obtain the following transformation law

\[ \Gamma^\lambda_{\mu \nu} \rightarrow \Gamma^\lambda_{\mu \nu} = \Lambda^\alpha_{\mu} \Lambda^\beta_{\nu} \Lambda^\gamma_{\lambda} \Gamma^\gamma_{\alpha \beta} + \Lambda^\alpha_{\mu} \Lambda^\lambda_{\nu} \Lambda^\rho_{\alpha \nu}, \]

where \( \Lambda^\alpha_{\mu} := \partial_{\alpha} \partial_{\mu} x^\sigma \).

The connection may be decomposed into its symmetric and anti-symmetric components according to

\[ \Gamma^\sigma_{\mu \nu} = \hat{\Gamma}^\sigma_{\mu \nu} + T^\sigma_{\mu \nu}, \]

where \( \hat{\Gamma}^\sigma_{\mu \nu} = \hat{\Gamma}^\sigma_{\nu \mu} \) and \( T^\sigma_{\mu \nu} \) is the torsion tensor defined as the anti-symmetric part of the affine connection,

\[ T^\sigma_{\beta \gamma} := \Gamma^\sigma_{\beta \gamma} - \Gamma^\sigma_{\gamma \beta}. \]

Recalling (2) and using (88), we may derive the so-called metricity condition

\[ \nabla_{\lambda} g_{\mu \nu} = \mathcal{D}_{\lambda} (e_{\mu}^{i} (x) e_{\nu}^{j} (x) \eta_{ij}) = 0. \]

By use of the metricity condition and the symmetry of \( \Gamma^\sigma_{\mu \nu} \) in \( \mu \nu \) we can write,

\[ \hat{\Gamma}^\rho_{\mu \nu} + \hat{\Gamma}^\rho_{\nu \mu} = -\rho^c_{\mu \nu} \left[ (\partial_{\mu} e^c_{\nu}(x)) e^c_{\nu} + (\partial_{\mu} e^c_{\nu}(x)) e^c_{\nu} \right], \]

We know however, that

\[ \partial_{\mu} [e^\nu_{b}(x) e_{\nu c}(x)] = e_{\nu c}(x) \partial_{\mu} e^\nu_{b}(x) + e_{\nu b}(x) \partial_{\mu} e^\nu_{c}(x) + e^\nu_{b}(x) e^\lambda_{c}(x) \partial_{\mu} g_{\lambda \rho}. \]

Letting \( \lambda \rightarrow \nu \) and exchanging \( b \) and \( c \), we obtain

\[ \partial_{\mu} [e^\nu_{b}(x) e_{\nu c}(x)] = -e^\nu_{b}(x) e^\nu_{c}(x) \partial_{\mu} g_{\nu \lambda}, \]

so that,

\[ \hat{\Gamma}^\mu_{\lambda \nu} + \hat{\Gamma}^\mu_{\nu \lambda} = \partial_{\mu} g_{\nu \lambda}. \]

By cyclic permutation of indices in (88), we obtain the Christoffel connection coefficient of a Riemannian manifold,

\[ \hat{\Gamma}^\sigma_{\rho \mu} := \frac{1}{2} g^{\kappa \sigma} (\partial_{\kappa} g_{\rho \mu} + \partial_{\rho} g_{\kappa \mu} - \partial_{\mu} g_{\kappa \rho}). \]

For completeness we determine the transformation law of the Christoffel connection. Making use of \( \hat{\Gamma}^\lambda_{\mu \nu} e_\lambda = \partial_{\mu} e_\nu \), where

\[ \partial_{\mu} e_\nu = \Lambda^\alpha_{\mu} \Lambda^\beta_{\nu} \partial_{\alpha} e_\beta + \Lambda^\alpha_{\mu} (\partial_{\alpha} \Lambda^\beta_{\nu}) e_\beta, \]
we can show that
\[
\Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu} - \Gamma^\lambda_{\nu\mu} = \Lambda^\alpha_\mu \Lambda^\beta_\nu \gamma^\lambda_{\alpha\beta} + \Lambda^\alpha_\mu \Lambda^\beta_\nu \Lambda^\gamma_{\alpha\beta\nu}.
\] (99)

In light of the above considerations, we may regard infinitesimal local gauge transformations as local rotations of basis vectors belonging to the tangent space of the manifold. For this reason, given a local frame on a tangent plane to the point \( x \) on the base manifold, we can obtain all other frames on the same tangent plane by means of local rotations of the original basis vectors. Reversing this argument, we observe that by knowing all frames residing in the horizontal tangent space to a point \( x \) on the base manifold enables us to deduce the corresponding gauge group of symmetry transformations.

VI. CURVATURE AND TORSION

The parallel transport of a vector around an infinitesimal closed path is proportional to the curvature of the manifold and can be obtained from the commutator \([D_\mu, D_\nu] \psi(x)\) [20]. By direct computation we find the second order covariant derivative
\[
D_\nu D_\mu \psi(x) = \partial_\nu \partial_\mu \psi(x) + \frac{1}{2} S_{cd} \left[ \psi(x) \partial_\nu \omega^c_\mu + \omega^c_\mu \partial_\nu \psi(x) \right] + \Gamma^\rho_{\mu\nu} D_\rho \psi(x) + \frac{1}{2} S_{ef} \omega^e_\nu \omega^f_\mu \partial_\mu \psi(x) + \frac{1}{4} S_{ef} S_{cd} \omega^e_\nu \omega^f_\mu \psi(x).
\] (100)

Using (100) and a similar expression with \( \mu \) and \( \nu \) interchanged and noting that partial derivatives commute, we find
\[
[D_\mu, D_\nu] \psi(x) = \frac{1}{2} S_{cd} \left[ (\partial_\nu \omega^c_\mu - \partial_\mu \omega^c_\nu) \psi(x) \right] + \frac{1}{4} S_{ef} S_{cd} \left[ (\omega^f_\nu \omega^c_\mu - \omega^c_\mu \omega^f_\nu) \psi(x) \right].
\] (101)

Relabeling running indices we can write,
\[
\frac{1}{4} S_{ef} S_{cd} \left( \omega^f_\nu \omega^c_\mu - \omega^c_\mu \omega^f_\nu \right) \psi(x) = \frac{1}{4} [S_{cd}, S_{ef}] \omega^c_\mu \omega^d_\nu \psi(x).
\] (102)

Using \( \{\gamma_a, \gamma_b\} = 2\eta_{ab} \) to obtain
\[
\{\gamma_a, \gamma_b\} \gamma_c \gamma_d = 2\eta_{ab} \gamma_c \gamma_d,
\] (103)

we find the commutator of \( S_{ab} \) is given by
\[
[S_{cd}, S_{ef}] = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \eta_{ce} \delta_d^a \delta_f^b - \eta_{de} \delta_c^a \delta_f^b + \eta_{cf} \delta_e^a \delta_d^b - \eta_{df} \delta_e^a \delta_c^b \right] S_{ab}.
\] (104)

It is clear that the term in brackets on the RHS of (104) is antisymmetric in \( cd \) and \( ef \) and is also antisymmetric under exchange of pairs of indices \( cd \) and \( ef \). Since \( S_{ab} \) is antisymmetric in \( ab \), so too must be the terms in brackets, so that the commutator does not vanish. Hence, the term in brackets is totally antisymmetric under interchange of indices \( ab, cd \) and \( ef \) and exchange of these pairs of indices. We identify this quantity as the structure constant of the Lorentz group
\[
\left[ \eta_{ce} \delta_d^a \delta_f^b - \eta_{de} \delta_c^a \delta_f^b + \eta_{cf} \delta_e^a \delta_d^b - \eta_{df} \delta_e^a \delta_c^b \right] = c_{[cd][ef]}^{[ab]} = c_{[ab]}^{[cd][ef]},
\] (105)

with the aid of which we can write
\[
\frac{1}{4} [S_{cd}, S_{ef}] \omega^c_\mu \omega^d_\nu \psi(x) = \frac{1}{2} S_{ab} \left[ \omega^a_{ce} \omega^b_{df} - \omega^b_{ce} \omega^a_{df} \right] \psi(x).
\] (106)

Combining these results, the commutator \([D_\mu, D_\nu] \psi(x)\) gives
\[
[D_\mu, D_\nu] \psi(x) = -\frac{1}{2} R^{ij}_{\mu\nu} S_{ij} \psi(x),
\] (107)

where
\[
R^{i}_{jm\nu} := \partial_\nu \omega^i_{jm} - \partial_\mu \omega^i_{j\nu} + \omega^k_{m\nu} \omega^i_{kj} - \omega^k_{k\mu} \omega^i_{j\nu}.
\] (108)
Using the Jacobi identities for the commutator of covariant derivatives, it follows that the curvature $R^i_{j\mu
u}$ the Bianchi identity

$$D_\lambda R^i_{j\mu\nu} + D_\mu R^i_{j\nu\lambda} + D_\nu R^i_{j\lambda\mu} = 0. \quad (109)$$

Permuting indices, this can be put into the cyclic form

$$\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} D_\beta R_{\rho\sigma}^{ij} = 0,$$  

where $\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma}$ is the Levi-Civita alternating symbol. Furthermore, it can be shown that $R_{ij}^{\mu\nu} = \eta^{ik} R_{k\mu\nu}^{ij}$ is antisymmetric with respect to both pairs of indices,

$$R_{ij}^{\mu\nu} = -R_{ji}^{\mu\nu} = R_{ji}^{\nu\mu} = -R_{ij}^{\nu\mu}. \quad (111)$$

This condition is known as the first curvature tensor identity.

To determine the analogue of $[D_\mu, D_\nu] \psi(x)$ in local coordinates we depart from $D_k \psi(x) = e^\mu_k D_\mu \psi(x)$. From $D_k \psi(x)$ we obtain,

$$D_l D_k \psi(x) = e^\nu_l [D_\nu e^\mu_k(x)] D_\mu \psi(x) + e^\nu_l e^\mu_k D_\nu D_\mu \psi(x). \quad (112)$$

Permuting indices and recognizing

$$e_\mu^a D_\nu e^\mu_k(x) = -e_k^\mu D_\nu e^a_\mu(x), \quad (113)$$

(which follows from $D_\nu (e_\mu^a e^\mu_k) = 0$) which leads to,

$$e^\nu_l [D_\nu e^\mu_k(x)] D_\mu \psi(x) - e^\nu_l [D_\nu e^\nu_k(x)] D_\mu \psi(x) = (e^\mu_l e^\nu_k - e^\nu_k e^\nu_l) [D_\nu e^a_\mu(x)] D_a \psi(x). \quad (114)$$

Defining

$$C^a_{kl} := (e^\mu_k e^\nu_l - e^\nu_l e^\nu_k) D_\nu e^a_\mu(x), \quad (115)$$

the commutator of the $k$-covariant derivatives takes the final form.

$$[D_k, D_l] \psi(x) = -\frac{1}{2} R_{ijkl} S_{ijkl} \psi(x) + C^i_{kl} D_i \psi(x). \quad (116)$$

The central charge $R_{ijkl}^i$ and structure functions $C^i_{jk}$ of the deformed algebra (110) are given (in non-holonomic coordinates) by the first Cartan structure equations

$$R_{ijkl}^i(\omega) := e^k_l e^\nu_i e^\mu_j R_{ij\mu\nu}, \quad C^i_{jk} = (e^\mu_j e^\nu_k - e^\nu_k e^\nu_j) D_\nu e^a_\mu(x). \quad (117)$$

With (117) and (108) in hand, the quantity $R^k_{ijl}$ in (117) can be expressed in terms of its torsion-free $\tilde{R}^k_{ijl}$ and torsion dependant contributions as (106)

$$R_{ijkl}^i = e^k_l e^\nu_i e^\mu_j \tilde{R}_{ij\mu\nu} + 2\tilde{\nabla}_l T^{\alpha}_{ij\lambda} + 2T^\alpha_{[ij]l} T_{[ij][\lambda]}^{\beta}, \quad (118)$$

where $\tilde{\nabla}_l A^\alpha := \partial_l A^\alpha + \Gamma^\alpha_{\mu\beta} A^\beta, \tilde{\nabla}_l A_\alpha := \partial_l A_\alpha - \Gamma^\beta_{\alpha\beta} A_\beta$, the square brackets in $T^\alpha_{[ij]l} T_{[ij][\lambda]}^{\beta}$ represent anti-symmetrization with respect to $ij, \beta$ being fixed. As was done for $R_{ij\mu\nu}$ using the Jacobi identities for the commutator of covariant derivatives, we find the Bianchi identity in Einstein-Cartan spacetime (22),

$$\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} D_\beta R_{\rho\sigma}^{\mu\nu} = \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} C^\lambda_{\beta\rho} R_{\mu\nu,\sigma}^\lambda. \quad (119)$$

It is interesting to observe the similarity in structure of the curvature tensors in (129) and the first equation in (117). Indeed, there is only one curvature tensor since these two quantities can be transformed into each other via appropriate tetrad index saturation, $R^i_{jkl}(\omega) = e^i_\alpha e^j_\beta e^k_\delta R^\alpha_{\gamma\rho\lambda}(\Gamma)$. We can therefore view $R^\alpha_{\gamma\rho\lambda}(\Gamma)$ in (129) and $R_{ijkl}^i(\omega)$ in (117) as holonomic and non-holonomic representations, respectively, of the same spacetime curvature.

The second curvature identity

$$R^k_{[\rho\sigma\lambda]} = 2D_{[\rho} C_{\sigma]\lambda}^k - 4C_{[\rho\sigma}^b C_{\lambda]b}^k \quad (120)$$
leads to,

\[ \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} D_\beta C_{\rho\sigma}^k = \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} R^k_{j\rho\sigma} e^j_\beta. \]  

(121)

Notice that if \( \Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu} = e_i^\lambda(x) D_\nu e^i_\mu(x) = -e_i^\mu(x) D_\nu e^\lambda_i(x) \), then

\[ \Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu} - \Gamma^\lambda_{\nu\mu} = e_i^\lambda \left[ D_\nu e^i_\mu(x) - D_\mu e^i_\nu(x) \right]. \]  

(122)

Contracting with \( e^\mu_k e^\nu_l \), we obtain

\[ C^a_{kl} = e_k^\mu e_l^\nu e^a_\lambda \left( \Gamma^\mu_{\nu\lambda} - \Gamma^\lambda_{\nu\mu} \right). \]  

(123)

We therefore conclude that \( C^a_{kl} \) is related to the antisymmetric part of the affine connection

\[ \Gamma^\lambda_{[\mu\nu]} = e_k^\mu e_l^\nu e^a_\lambda C^a_{kl} \equiv T^\lambda_{\mu\nu}, \]  

(124)

which is interpreted as spacetime torsion \( T^\lambda_{\mu\nu} \). Equation (124) establishes a means to transform between the holonomic torsion tensor \( T^\gamma_{\beta\gamma} \) in (92) and the non-holonomic structure functions \( C^j_{jk} \) in (117) (and vice-versa) in terms of appropriate tetrad index saturation. This situation is entirely analogous to the transformation from \( R^i_{j\kappa\lambda} \) to \( R^i_{\gamma\rho\lambda} (\Gamma) \) (and vice-versa) via tetrad index saturation. From (113), the torsion tensor can be viewed as a sort of field strength associated with the tetrad coefficients that describes a twist of the tetrad under parallel transport (relative to a given basis) that is independent of the effect of curvature (i.e., a twist in a plane perpendicular to the plane of parallel transport). This is to be compared with the interpretation of torsion as the asymmetric part of the affine connection. Equation (124) can be solved with the aid of the metricity condition for the spin connection, yielding

\[ \omega_{\alpha\mu} := \frac{1}{2} (\Omega_{cab} + \Omega_{bca} - \Omega_{abc}) e^c_\mu + T_{\alpha\mu}, \]  

(125)

where

\[ \Omega_{cab} := e_{\nu c} \left[ e^\mu_a \partial_\nu e^\nu_b(x) - e^\mu_b \partial_\nu e^\nu_a(x) \right], \]  

(126)

are the so-called objects of non-holonomicity. If the integrability conditions \( \partial_\alpha e^\mu_\beta \) \( \equiv 0 \) are not satisfied, the reference frame formed by \( e^\mu_i \) and \( e^\mu_\lambda \) is said to be non-holonomic. The objects of non-holonomicity measures the non-commutativity of the tetrad basis \( \{18\} \). The quantities \( T_{\alpha\beta\mu} \) are related to the spacetime torsion tensor \( T^\lambda_{\alpha\beta\mu} \) according to \( T_{\alpha\beta\mu} := e^\mu_a e^\beta_b T^a_{\alpha\beta\mu} \).

The most general connection in the Poincaré gauge approach to gravitation is given by

\[ A_{\alpha\mu} = \omega_{\alpha\mu} - K_{\alpha\mu} + \tilde{\Gamma}^\lambda_{\nu\mu} e_a e^a_\lambda, \]  

(127)

where \( \omega_{\alpha\mu} := \frac{1}{2} (\Omega_{cab} + \Omega_{bca} - \Omega_{abc}) e^c_\mu \) is the torsion-free spin connection and

\[ K_{abc} := - \left( T^\lambda_{\nu\mu} - T^\lambda_{\nu\mu} + T^\nu_{\lambda\mu} \right) e_a e^a_\lambda e^\nu_\mu \]  

(128)

is the contortion tensor. Now, the quantity \( R^\rho_{\sigma\mu\nu} = e_i^\rho R^i_{\sigma\mu\nu} \) (expressed in holonomic coordinates) may be written as

\[ R^\rho_{\sigma\mu\nu} = \partial_\nu \Gamma^\rho_{\sigma\mu} - \partial_\mu \Gamma^\rho_{\sigma\nu} + \Gamma^\rho_\lambda \Gamma^\lambda_{\sigma\mu} - \Gamma^\rho_\lambda \Gamma^\lambda_{\sigma\nu}. \]  

(129)

Therefore, we can regard \( R^\rho_{\sigma\mu\nu} \) as the curvature tensor with respect the affine connection \( \Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu} \). We remark that \( R^\alpha_{\gamma\rho\lambda} \) in (118) is given by \( R^\alpha_{\gamma\rho\lambda} = R^\alpha_{\gamma\rho\lambda} \left( \Gamma \rightarrow \tilde{\Gamma} \right) \). For completeness, we note that the Ricci tensor \( R_{\mu\lambda} = R^\alpha_{\mu\alpha\lambda} \) takes the form

\[ R_{\mu\lambda} = \tilde{R}_{\mu\lambda} + \nabla_\alpha T^\alpha_{\mu\lambda} - \nabla_\mu T^\alpha_{\alpha\lambda} + T_{\alpha\beta} \alpha^\beta T_{\alpha\lambda}^\beta - T_{\mu\beta} \alpha^\beta T_{\alpha\lambda}^\beta, \]  

(130)

where the torsion-free contribution \( \tilde{R}_{\mu\lambda} \) is defined as

\[ \tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\gamma \tilde{\Gamma}^\gamma_{\mu\nu} - \partial_\nu \tilde{\Gamma}^\gamma_{\mu\gamma} + \tilde{\Gamma}^\gamma_{\mu\nu} \tilde{\Gamma}^\gamma_{\nu\lambda} - \tilde{\Gamma}^\gamma_{\mu\lambda} \tilde{\Gamma}^k_{\nu\gamma}. \]  

(131)

It is not difficult to show that

\[ \sqrt{-g} = \left| \det e^\mu_i \right| = \left| \det e^\mu_i \right|^{-1}, \]  

(132)

where \( g := \det g_{\mu\nu} \). Hence we may take \( \sqrt{-g} \) for the density function \( h(x) \).
VII. FIELD EQUATIONS FOR GRAVITATION

The scalar curvature $R$ is obtained from the generalized Ricci tensor (130) as follows,

$$R = R^\nu_\nu = \bar{R} + \partial_\alpha K^a_{\alpha} - T_b^a K_{bca}$$  \hspace{1cm} (133)

where $\bar{R}$ denotes the usual Ricci scalar of general relativity. Using this scalar curvature $R$, we choose the Lagrangian density for free Einstein-Cartan gravity with cosmological constant

$$\mathcal{L}_G = \frac{1}{2k_0} \sqrt{-g} \left( \bar{R} + \partial_\alpha K^a_{\alpha} - T_b^a K_{bca} - 2\Lambda \right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (134)

where $k_0 = \frac{8\pi G}{c^2}$ is a gravitational coupling constant, and $\Lambda$ is the cosmological constant. Observe that the second term is a total divergence and may be ignored. The field equation can be obtained from the total action,

$$S = \int \left( \mathcal{L}_{\text{field}}(x, \partial_\mu \chi(x), \epsilon_i^\mu, A_{ij}^\mu) + \mathcal{L}_G \right) \, dx,$$  \hspace{1cm} (135)

where the Lagrangian density for a fermion field $\psi(x)$ in curved spacetime \cite{24, 25} with torsion is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{field}} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \bar{\psi} \gamma^\alpha \bar{D}_\alpha \psi(x) - \left( D_\alpha \bar{\psi}(x) \right) \gamma^\alpha \psi \right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (136)

Modifying the connection to include spin connection and contortion contributions, the gauge covariant derivative for a spinor and adjoint spinor is then given by,

$$D_\mu \psi(x) = \left\{ \partial_\mu + \frac{1}{4} g_{\lambda\sigma} \left( \omega^{\sigma}_{\mu\rho} - K^{\sigma}_{\rho\mu} \right) \gamma^{\lambda\rho} \right\} \psi(x), \quad D_\mu \bar{\psi}(x) = \partial_\mu \bar{\psi}(x) - \bar{\psi}(x) \left[ \frac{1}{4} g_{\lambda\sigma} \left( \omega^{\sigma}_{\mu\rho} - K^{\sigma}_{\rho\mu} \right) \gamma^{\lambda\rho} \right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (137)

The variation of the field Lagrangian reads,

$$\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{field}} = \bar{\psi} \left( \delta \gamma^\mu D_\mu + \gamma^\mu \delta \Gamma_{\mu} \right) \psi(x).$$  \hspace{1cm} (138)

The field Lagrangian defined in Einstein-Cartan spacetime can be written \cite{18, 22, 23, 26, 27} explicitly in terms of its Lorentzian and contortion components as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{field}} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( \bar{D}_\mu \psi(x) \right) \gamma^\mu \psi - \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \bar{D}_\mu \psi(x) \right] - \frac{hc}{8} K_{\mu\alpha\beta} \bar{\psi} \left\{ \gamma^{\mu}, \gamma^{\alpha\beta} \right\} \psi,$$  \hspace{1cm} (139)

with $\bar{D}_\alpha \psi(x) := \partial_\alpha \psi(x) - \frac{1}{4} \omega_{\alpha\beta}^{\gammaij} \psi^{\gammaij}(x)$ and $\bar{D}_\alpha \bar{\psi}(x) := \partial_\alpha \bar{\psi}(x) + \frac{1}{4} \bar{\psi}(x) \omega_{\alpha\beta}^{\gammaij} \psi^{\gammaij}$. Using the following relations

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
-\frac{1}{4} K_{\mu\alpha\beta} \bar{\psi} \left\{ \gamma^\mu, \gamma^{\alpha\beta} \right\} \psi = \frac{1}{4} K_{\mu\alpha\beta} \bar{\psi} \gamma^{\alpha\beta} \gamma^\mu \psi - \frac{1}{4} K_{\mu\alpha\beta} \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \gamma^{\alpha\beta} \psi,

\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\lambda \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} = \left\{ \gamma^\mu, \gamma^{\nu\lambda} \right\} \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} = 3 \gamma^\nu \delta_{\sigma5},

\left\{ \gamma^\mu, \gamma^{\nu\lambda} \right\} = \gamma^{[\nu} \gamma^\lambda_{\mu]},
\end{array} \right.$$  \hspace{1cm} (140)

we obtain

$$K_{\mu\alpha\beta} \bar{\psi} \left\{ \gamma^\mu, \gamma^{\alpha\beta} \right\} \psi = \frac{1}{2i} K_{\mu\alpha\beta} \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} \left( \bar{\psi} \gamma^5 \gamma_{\nu} \psi \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (141)

We define the contortion axial vector

$$K_{\nu} := \frac{1}{3!} \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} K_{\alpha\beta\mu}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (142)

Multiplying through by the axial current $j^5_{\nu} = \bar{\psi} \gamma_5 \gamma_{\nu} \psi$ we obtain,

$$\left( \bar{\psi} \gamma_5 \gamma_{\nu} \psi \right) \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} K_{\alpha\beta\mu} = -6i j^5_{\nu} K^\nu.$$  \hspace{1cm} (143)

The interaction between the Dirac field and torsion has been reduced to a coupling of the fermion axial current to a torsion axial-vector $K_{\mu}$. Thus, the field Lagrangian density in curved spacetime with torsion \cite{20} becomes

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{field}} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( \bar{D}_\mu \bar{\psi}(x) \right) \gamma^\mu \psi - \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \bar{D}_\mu \psi(x) \right] + \frac{3ihc}{8} K_{\mu} j^5_{\mu}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (144)
The total action reads,
\[ \delta I = \delta \int L_G \sqrt{-g} \, dt + \delta \int L_{\text{field}} \sqrt{-g} \, dt = \int (\delta L_G + \delta L_{\text{field}}) \sqrt{-g} \, dt. \] (145)

In order to obtain the explicit form of the dynamical equations for the fermions we recall that the Dirac \( \gamma \)-matrices are covariantly constant,
\[ \nabla_\kappa \gamma_i = \partial_\kappa \gamma_i - \Gamma^\mu_{\kappa \lambda} \gamma_\mu + \left[ \gamma_i, \Gamma_\kappa \right] = 0. \] (146)
The \( 4 \times 4 \) matrices \( \hat{\Gamma}_\kappa \) are real matrices used to induce similarity transformations on quantities with spinor transformation properties [28], that is \( \gamma_i \to \gamma'_i = \hat{\Gamma}_1 \gamma_i \). Solving for \( \hat{\Gamma}_\kappa \) leads to,
\[ \hat{\Gamma}_\kappa = \frac{1}{8} \left[ (\partial_\kappa \gamma_i), \gamma^i - (\delta \Gamma^\mu_{\kappa \lambda}), \gamma_\mu \gamma^i \right]. \] (147)

Variation of \( \hat{\Gamma}_\kappa \) gives \( \delta \hat{\Gamma}_\kappa = \frac{1}{8} \left[ (\partial_\kappa \delta \gamma_i), \gamma^i - (\delta \Gamma^\mu_{\kappa \lambda}), \gamma_\mu \gamma^i \right] \). Since we require the anti-commutator condition on the gamma matrices \( \gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu + \gamma_\nu \gamma_\mu = g_{\mu \nu} 1 \) (Dirac algebra) to hold, the variation of the metric yields,
\[ 2 \delta g^{\mu \nu} = \{ \delta \gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu \} + \{ \gamma^\mu, \delta \gamma^\nu \}. \] (148)

One solution to (148) is \( \delta \gamma^\nu = \frac{1}{2} \gamma^i \delta \gamma^{\mu \nu} \). With the aid of this result, we can write \( (\partial_\kappa \delta \gamma_i), \gamma^i - (\delta \Gamma^\mu_{\kappa \lambda}), \gamma_\mu \gamma^i = \frac{1}{2} \partial_\kappa (\gamma^\nu \delta g_{\mu \nu} \gamma^i) \). Finally, exploiting the anti-symmetry in \( \gamma^{\mu \nu} \) we obtain
\[ \delta \hat{\Gamma}_\kappa = \frac{1}{8} \left[ g_{\nu \sigma} \delta \Gamma^\nu_{\mu \kappa} - g_{\mu \sigma} \delta \Gamma^\nu_{\kappa \nu} \right] \gamma^{\mu \nu}. \] (149)

With the above variational relations, it is straightforward to show that the equation of motion obtained from variation of the action with respect to \( \bar{\psi}(x) \) is given by [18][22],
\[ \gamma^\mu D_\mu \psi(x) + \frac{3}{8} \Gamma^\mu_{\nu \sigma} \gamma^{[\nu} \gamma^{\sigma]} \psi(x) = 0. \] (150)

It is interesting to observe that this generalized, curved spacetime Dirac equation can be recasted into a nonlinear equation of the Heisenberg-Pauli type [22],
\[ \gamma^\mu \bar{D}_\mu \psi(x) + \frac{3}{8} \left( \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 \psi \right) \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \psi(x) = 0. \] (151)

The following calculations involving the metric tensor \( g_{\mu \nu} \) and its determinant \( g = \det (g_{\mu \nu}) \) are useful. Recall that \( gg^{\mu \nu} = \frac{\partial g}{\partial g^{\mu \nu}} \) and \( gg^{\mu \nu} = -\frac{\partial g}{\partial g^{\mu \nu}} \). Since
\[ \delta \sqrt{-g} = \frac{\partial \sqrt{-g}}{\partial g} \delta g = -\frac{\delta g}{2 \sqrt{-g}}, \] (152)
where \( \frac{\delta g}{\delta g^{\mu \nu}} = gg^{\mu \nu} \), we can write
\[ \delta g = gg^{\mu \nu} \delta g_{\mu \nu}. \] (153)

Upon substituting (152) into (153), we obtain \( \delta \sqrt{-g} = -g^{\mu \nu} \delta g_{\mu \nu} = 2 \sqrt{-g} \). However, since \( gg^{\mu \nu} \delta g_{\mu \nu} = gg_{\mu \nu} \delta g^{\mu \nu} = \sqrt{-g} \sqrt{-gg_{\mu \nu} \delta g^{\mu \nu}} \), we conclude \( gg^{\mu \nu} \delta g_{\mu \nu} = -g_{\mu \nu} \delta g^{\mu \nu} \). Hence, \( \delta \sqrt{-g} = -\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-gg_{\mu \nu} \delta g^{\mu \nu}} \) (154).

Writing the metric in terms of the tetrads \( g^{\mu \nu} = e^{\mu}_i e^{\nu}_j \), we observe \( \delta \sqrt{-g} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-g} \left( \delta e^{\mu}_i e^{\nu}_j + e^{\nu}_i \delta e^{\mu}_j \right) \). By using \( \delta e^{\nu}_i = \delta (\eta^{ij} e^{\nu}_j) = \eta^{ij} \delta e^{\nu}_j \), we are able to deduce
\[ \delta \sqrt{-g} = -\sqrt{g} \delta e^{\mu}_i. \] (155)
For the variation of the Ricci tensor $R_{\mu \nu} = e_i^{\mu} R_{\mu \nu}$ we have $\delta R_{\mu \nu} = \delta e_i^{\mu} \hat{R}_{\mu \nu} + e_i^{\mu} \delta \hat{R}_{\mu \nu}$. In an inertial frame the Ricci tensor reduces to $\hat{R}_{\mu \nu} = \partial_\mu \Gamma^\beta_{\nu \beta} - \partial_\beta \Gamma^\beta_{\mu \nu}$, so that

$$
\delta \hat{R}_{\mu \nu} = \delta e_i^{\mu} \hat{R}_{\mu \nu} + e_i^{\mu} \left( \partial_\mu \Gamma^\beta_{\nu \beta} - \partial_\beta \Gamma^\beta_{\mu \nu} \right).
$$

(156)

The second term can be converted into a surface term, so it may be ignored. Collecting our results, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta g^{\mu \nu} &= -g^{\mu \rho} g^{\nu \sigma} \delta g_{\rho \sigma}, \\
\delta \sqrt{-g} &= -\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-g} g_{\mu \rho} \delta g^{\mu \sigma} = -\sqrt{-g} e_i^{\mu} \delta e_i^{\mu}, \\
\delta R_{\mu \nu} &= g_{\rho \mu} \left( \hat{D}_\lambda \delta \hat{\Gamma}^{\lambda}_{\nu \rho} - \hat{D}_\nu \delta \hat{\Gamma}^{\lambda}_{\rho \lambda} \right) + T_{\lambda \mu \nu}^{\rho \lambda} \delta \hat{\Gamma}^{\rho \lambda}_{\mu \nu}, \\
\delta \hat{R}_{\mu \nu} &= \delta e_i^{\mu} \hat{R}_{\mu \nu}.
\end{align*}
$$

(157)

$$
\delta R = \hat{R}^{\mu \nu} \delta g_{\mu \nu} + g^{\mu \nu} \left( \hat{D}_\lambda \delta \hat{\Gamma}^{\lambda}_{\mu \nu} - \hat{D}_\nu \delta \hat{\Gamma}^{\lambda}_{\rho \lambda} \right) - T_{a \nu \rho \sigma} \delta K_{a \nu \rho \sigma}.
$$

From the above results we obtain,

$$
\delta I_G = \frac{1}{16\pi} \int \left[ \left( R_{\mu \nu} - \frac{1}{2} e_i^{\mu \nu} R - e_i^{\mu \nu} \Lambda \right) \delta e_i^{\mu} + 2 g^{\rho \lambda} T_{\rho \lambda \mu \nu} \delta \hat{\Gamma}^{\rho \lambda}_{\mu \nu} + g^{\mu \nu} \left( \hat{D}_\lambda \delta \hat{\Gamma}^{\lambda}_{\mu \nu} - \hat{D}_\nu \delta \hat{\Gamma}^{\lambda}_{\rho \lambda} \right) \right] \sqrt{-g} d^4 x.
$$

(158)

The last term in the action can be converted into a surface term, so it may be ignored. Using the four-current $v^\mu$ introduced earlier, the action for the matter fields read

$$
\delta I_{\text{field}} = \int \left[ \left( \hat{D}_\mu \psi (x) + \hat{D}_\mu \bar{\psi} (x) \right) \psi (x) \right] \sqrt{-g} d^4 x
$$

(159)

$$
= \int \left\{ \left[ \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu \nu} \bar{\psi} (x) \gamma_i \left( \hat{D}_\mu \psi (x) \right) + T^{\mu \nu}_{\rho \sigma} \delta T^{\rho \sigma}_{\mu \nu} - \delta T^{\mu \nu}_{\rho \sigma} T^{\lambda \rho \sigma} \right] \delta e_i^{\mu} + \frac{1}{2} \left( g^{\rho \sigma} v^\mu - g^{\mu \nu} v^\nu \right) \left( g_{\mu \sigma} \delta \hat{\Gamma}^{\sigma}_{\nu \rho} - g_{\nu \sigma} \delta \hat{\Gamma}^{\rho}_{\mu \sigma} \right) \right\} \sqrt{-g} d^4 x.
$$

Removing the derivatives of variations of the metric appearing in $\delta \Gamma_{\nu \rho}^\sigma$ via partial integration, and equating to zero the coefficients of $\delta g^{\mu \nu}$ and $\delta T_{\nu \rho}^{\sigma}$ in the variation of the action integral, we obtain

$$
0 = \frac{1}{16\pi} \left( R_{\mu \nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu \nu} R - g_{\mu \nu} \Lambda \right) + \left( \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi} (x) \gamma_\mu \hat{D}_\mu \psi (x) - \frac{1}{4} \bar{D}_\mu v_\nu \right) + \hat{D}_\sigma T_{\mu \sigma}^{\nu} + T_{\mu \rho \sigma} T^{\rho \sigma \nu} - g_{\mu \nu} T_{\lambda \rho \sigma} T^{\lambda \rho \sigma}
$$

(160)

and

$$
T_{\rho \sigma \lambda} = k_0 \tau_{\rho \sigma \lambda},
$$

(161)

where $k_0 = \frac{8\pi G}{m}$. Equation (160) has the form of Einstein equations

$$
G_{\mu \nu} - g_{\mu \nu} \Lambda = k_0 \Sigma_{\mu \nu},
$$

(162)

where the Einstein tensor is given by its standard definition,

$$
G_{\mu \nu} = R_{\mu \nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu \nu} R
$$

(163)

and $\Sigma_{\mu \nu} = \Theta_{\mu \nu} + \Sigma_{\mu \nu}$ is the generalized energy-momentum tensor. We identify $\Theta_{\mu \nu}$ as the canonical energy-momentum tensor

$$
\Theta^{\mu}_{\nu} = \frac{\partial L_{\text{field}}}{\partial (\hat{D}_\mu \psi (x))} \hat{D}_\nu \psi (x) - \delta^{\mu}_{\nu} L_{\text{field}},
$$

(164)
while $\Sigma_{\mu\nu}$ is the stress form of the non-Riemannian manifold. For the case of spinor fields being considered here, the explicit form of the energy-momentum components [29] is (after symmetrization of corresponding canonical source terms in the Einstein equation),

$$
\Theta_{\mu\nu} = - \left( \bar{\psi}(x) \gamma(\mu \bar{D}_\nu) \psi(x) - \bar{D}_{(\mu} \psi(x) \gamma_{\nu)} \psi(x) \right)
$$

(165)

and by use of the second field equation (161), we determine

$$
T_{\mu\nu} = \bar{D}_\sigma T^{\sigma}_{\mu\nu} + T_{\mu\rho\sigma} T^{\rho\sigma}_{\nu} - g_{\mu\nu} T^{\lambda\rho\sigma}_{\lambda\rho\sigma},
$$

(166)

where $\tau_{\mu\nu}$ is the spin angular momentum [18, 22],

$$
\tau_{\mu\nu} := \frac{\partial L_{\text{field}}}{\partial (\bar{D}_\sigma \psi(x))} \gamma_{\mu\nu} \psi(x).
$$

(167)

Explicitly, the spin angular momentum reads $\tau^{\mu\nu} = \bar{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\nu} \gamma^{\lambda} \psi$.

Although the gravitational field equation is similar in form to the Einstein field equation, it differs from the original Einstein equations because the present curvature tensor, containing spacetime torsion is non-Riemannian. In particular, the Einstein tensor [163] has a non-vanishing asymmetric component and is not divergenceless, i.e., $\bar{D}_\lambda G^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu} \neq 0$ as can readily be verified by use of (119) and (163). Assuming the Euler-Lagrange equations for the matter fields are satisfied, we obtain the following conservation laws for angular momentum and energy-momentum

$$
\bar{D}_\nu \tau_{\nu} = e^\mu_i e^\nu_j \Sigma_{[\mu\nu]},
$$

(168)

$$
e_\mu^k \bar{D}_\nu \Sigma_{\nu}^\nu_{\kappa} = 2 \Sigma_{\nu}^\nu_{\kappa} T_{\mu\nu} + \tau_{\nu}^\nu R^\nu_{ij}.
$$

VIII. CONCLUSION

In the present work, we have demonstrated how all the necessary ingredients for a theory of gravitation can be obtained from a gauge theory of local Poincaré symmetry. Gauge fields were obtained by requiring invariance of the Lagrangian density under local Poincaré transformations. The resulting Einstein-Cartan theory describes a spacetime endowed with nonvanishing curvature and torsion. The lowest order gravitational action is one that is linear in the curvature scalar while being quadratic in torsion. Dirac spinors were introduced as matter sources and it was found that they couple to gravity via the torsion stress form $\Sigma_{\mu\nu}$ as well as through the canonical energy-momentum $\Sigma_{\mu\nu}$ tensor. The stress form contains a torsion divergence term as well as a term similar to an external non-spinor source to gravity. The field equations obtained from the action by means of standard variational calculus describe a nonlinear equation of the Heisenberg-Pauli type in the matter sector, a gravitational field equation similar in form to the Einstein equation as well as a constraint equation relating torsion to the spin energy potential of matter. The Bianchi identities of Einstein-Cartan theory differ from that of general relativity since the Riemann curvature tensor characterizing the non-Riemannian geometry does not exhibit the symmetry properties of the latter. In the limit of vanishing torsion however, the Bianchi identities reduce to their usual form. The conservation laws for angular momentum and energy-momentum were obtained. From the former, it was found that the generalized energy-momentum tensor contains a nonvanishing anti-symmetric component proportional to the divergence of the spin angular–momentum. For the latter, it was found that the generalized energy-momentum tensor is divergenceless only in the limit of vanishing torsion.
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