Safety monitoring of ROTAVAC vaccine and etiological investigation of intussusception in India: study protocol
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Abstract

Background: ROTAVAC, an indigenous rotavirus vaccine, was introduced in the universal immunization program of India in four states in 2016 and expanded to five more states in 2017. The clinical trial on efficacy of ROTAVAC did not detect an increased risk of intussusception, but the trial was not large enough to detect a small risk. This protocol paper describes the establishment and implementation of a surveillance system to monitor the safety of rotavirus vaccine and investigate the potential infectious etiologies of intussusception.

Methods: This is a multi-centric hospital-based active surveillance being conducted at 28 hospitals in nine states of India. Data gathered from surveillance will be used to assess the risk of intussusception after ROTAVAC administration and to determine the infectious etiologies of intussusception. For safety assessment of ROTAVAC vaccine, children aged less than two years with intussusception admitted at the sentinel hospitals are enrolled into surveillance, a case report form completed, and a copy of the vaccination card obtained. The risk of intussusception following rotavirus vaccination will be assessed using a self-controlled case-series design. The investigation for potential infectious etiologies of intussusception is through a matched case-control design. Children enrolled for the safety assessment serve as cases and for each case, an age, gender and location matched control is enrolled within 30 days of case enrollment. Stool specimens are obtained from cases and controls. All forms and specimens are sent to the referral laboratory for data entry, analysis, multiplexed molecular testing, and storage.

Discussion: Anticipated public health benefits of this surveillance include the generation of information useful to national government on safety of vaccine and to make future decisions on vaccine use through risk-benefit analysis. Investigating infectious agents may help to determine the potential infectious etiologies of intussusception.
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Background

Rotavirus (RV) is the commonest cause of severe gastroenteritis worldwide, accounting for 215,000 deaths annually among children under five years of age [1]. In India, based on the 2011 birth cohort, RV gastroenteritis causes an estimated 11.37 million illness episodes, 3.27 million outpatient visits and 872,000 inpatient admissions each year resulting in direct costs of USD 172.8 million each year [2]. RV caused approximately 39% of gastroenteritis hospitalizations and 78,000 deaths among Indian children under five years of age [2]. The World Health Organization (WHO) recommends the introduction of rotavirus vaccines in all countries and particularly, in countries with high child mortality due to gastroenteritis [3]. ROTAVAC (Bharat Biotech), an indigenously developed monovalent, live attenuated oral rotavirus vaccine containing the 116E strain (G9P[11]) [4], is being introduced in the Universal Immunization Program (UIP) of India in a phased manner with initial introduction in four states in 2016 and five additional states in 2017, with others states to follow [5, 6].
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A key issue for rotavirus vaccines is safety, especially with regard to intussusception, a severe but uncommon intestinal blockage [7]. An earlier rotavirus vaccine based on a different (rhesus) strain and introduced in the United States (US) in the late 1990s [8, 9] was associated with an increased risk of intussusception and hence withdrawn from the US market [10, 11]. A low-level risk of 1–6 excess cases per 100,000 vaccinated children has been identified with both monovalent Rotarix (RV1, GlaxoSmithKline) and pentavalent Rotateq (RV5, Merck) vaccines in several high- and middle-income countries [12, 13]. The clinical trial on the efficacy of ROTAVAC vaccine did not detect an increased risk of intussusception among vaccinated infants, however, the trial was not large enough to detect a small risk [4]. Additionally, while the risk to benefit ratio of rotavirus vaccines are in favour of the vaccine [8, 14], this rare adverse effect has been highly publicized, and it is important to generate data on intussusception [15, 16]. The WHO recommends data collection on intussusception for rotavirus vaccines using sentinel hospitals [17]. Therefore, establishing a network of health care facilities that recognize and monitor cases of pediatric intussusception will help in evaluating the association between intussusception and ROTAVAC vaccination after introduction of the vaccine into the universal immunization programme (UIP) of India.

Although the etiology of intussusception in infancy and early childhood is not very clear, infections are commonly hypothesized to be associated with intussusception in this age group [18, 19]. Certain viruses such as adenoviruses have been found at lead points in intussusception [18, 19]. Some clinical studies have reported high prevalence rates of certain viruses in stool samples from intussusception cases [20]. The evidence for the association of enteric pathogens with intussusception is inconclusive, as most of these studies on infectious etiology have failed to do a comparative analysis between pathogens found in intussusception stool samples versus those found in samples from age-matched healthy controls. Among the very few studies which have tried to evaluate multiple infectious etiologies using a case-control approach, a study conducted in Vietnam and Australia found a strong association with adenovirus, but not with other pathogens [21]. Similar data on infectious etiology of intussusception from Indian settings is currently lacking.

This protocol paper describes the methods for establishment and implementation of an intussusception surveillance system in India to monitor the safety of rotavirus vaccine following its introduction into the UIP and to investigate the potential infectious etiologies of intussusception.

## Methods

### Objectives

The objectives of intussusception surveillance are:

**Primary objective**

To assess the risk of intussusception following ROTAVAC administration using the self-controlled case-series method.

**Secondary objective**

To describe potential infectious etiologies of intussusception by testing for a wide range of enteric pathogens in stool samples of intussusception cases and matched controls using sensitive molecular methods.

### Project management

The project is managed by the Christian Medical College (CMC), Vellore, and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Atlanta, USA in collaboration with the Translational Health Science and Technology Institute (THSTI), Faridabad and the Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR). CMC, Vellore is responsible for all administrative arrangements, while monitoring the surveillance is jointly done by CMC, THSTI and ICMR.

### Site selection and surveillance initiation

The criteria for sentinel hospitals to participate in surveillance include the ability to diagnose and manage cases of intussusception (availability of pediatric surgeon, radiologist, equipment, and facilities to manage intussusception). We selected large tertiary care hospitals in states introducing the vaccine as part of the UIP, and requested their participation. A meeting was organized for site representatives of potential sentinel hospitals. Representative from each site were requested to provide details on the facilities available in their hospitals for managing intussusception cases and retrospective data on intussusception admissions among children aged less than two years for a period of one year (Additional file 1: Table S1). The project is managed by the Christian Medical College (CMC), Vellore, and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Atlanta, USA in collaboration with the Translational Health Science and Technology Institute (THSTI), Faridabad and the Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR). CMC, Vellore is responsible for all administrative arrangements, while monitoring the surveillance is jointly done by CMC, THSTI and ICMR.

### Site selection and surveillance initiation

The criteria for sentinel hospitals to participate in surveillance include the ability to diagnose and manage cases of intussusception (availability of pediatric surgeon, radiologist, equipment, and facilities to manage intussusception). We selected large tertiary care hospitals in states introducing the vaccine as part of the UIP, and requested their participation. A meeting was organized for site representatives of potential sentinel hospitals. Representative from each site were requested to provide details on the facilities available in their hospitals for managing intussusception cases and retrospective data on intussusception admissions among children aged less than two years for a period of one year (Additional file 1: Table S1). Sites with appropriate expertise and infrastructure to manage intussusception cases were selected to participate in the surveillance program. Active surveillance was initiated at 28 sentinel hospitals including two hospitals from states/union territories without rotavirus vaccine as part of the UIP, but which serve as referral centres admitting cases of intussusception from states with rotavirus vaccination (Table 1). A memorandum of understanding (MoU) was signed between each sentinel hospital and CMC, Vellore. During surveillance initiation, training sessions were conducted to the surveillance staff at each hospital, which includes one or more pediatric surgeons, radiologists, pediatricians/community health physicians, and a field research assistant.
Table 1 Sentinel hospitals in Intussusception surveillance

| S. No. | Surveillance Network Centers | Location          | State          |
|--------|-----------------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| 1      | Kurnool Medical College     | Kurnool           | Andhra Pradesh |
| 2      | Government General Hospital | Kakinada          | Andhra Pradesh |
| 3      | King George Hospital        | Vishakhapatnam    | Andhra Pradesh |
| 4      | Sri Venkateswara Medical College | Tirupati     | Andhra Pradesh |
| 5      | Sardar Vallabhai Patel Post Graduate Institute of Paediatrics | Cuttack | Odisha |
| 6      | Kalinga Institute of Medical Sciences | Bhubaneswar | Odisha |
| 7      | Institute of Medical Sciences and SUM Hospital | Bhubaneswar | Odisha |
| 8      | Hi-Tech Hospital            | Bhubaneswar       | Odisha         |
| 9      | Pandit Bhagwat Dayal Sharma Post Graduate Institute of Medical Sciences | Rohtak | Haryana |
| 10     | Shaheed Hasan Khan Mewati Government Medical College | Mewat | Haryana |
| 11     | Post Graduate Institute of Medical Education and Research | Chandigarh | Chandigarh |
| 12     | Sawai Man Singh Medical college | Jaipur | Rajasthan |
| 13     | Rabindranath Tagore medical college | Udaipur | Rajasthan |
| 14     | Dr. Sampumanand Medical college | Jodhpur | Rajasthan |
| 15     | Christian Medical College   | Vellore           | Tamil Nadu     |
| 16     | Government Vellore Medical college | Vellore | Tamil Nadu |
| 17     | Institute of Child health   | Chennai           | Tamil Nadu     |
| 18     | Kanchi Kama Koti Child Trust hospital | Chennai | Tamil Nadu |
| 19     | Government Medical College  | Madurai           | Tamil Nadu     |
| 20     | Government Medical College  | Coimbatore        | Tamil Nadu     |
| 21     | Jawaharlal Nehru Institute of Post-graduate Medical Education & Research (JIPMER) | Puducherry | Puducherry |
| 22     | Mahatma Gandhi Memorial Medical College | Indore | Madhya Pradesh |
| 23     | NSCB Medical college        | Jabalpur          | Madhya Pradesh |
| 24     | King George Medical College | Lucknow           | Uttar Pradesh  |
| 25     | Institute of Medical Sciences, Banaras Hindu University | Varanasi | Uttar Pradesh |
| 26     | BRD Medical College         | Gorakhpur         | Uttar Pradesh  |
| 27     | Baptist Christian Hospital  | Tezpur            | Assam          |
| 28     | Government Medical college  | Guwahati          | Assam          |

Setting and design
The multi-centric evaluation for intussusception is at 28 sentinel hospitals in nine states of India for four years (Fig. 1). Based on the recent figures, the sentinel hospitals should be able to admit 40–50 cases per state per year (Additional file 1: Table S1). For the primary objective, the self-controlled case-series methodology will investigate the temporal association between the transient exposure and outcome, in which the individual with the outcome of interest act as his/her own control [22]. The secondary objective of evaluating potential infectious etiologies will be performed through a matched case-control design.

Subjects
Primary objective
All children less than two years of age with intussusception presenting to sentinel hospitals are eligible for recruitment. The inclusion criteria for recruiting cases into surveillance program are; i) age less than 2 years, and ii) meeting level 1 diagnostic certainty for intussusception as per Brighton collaboration criteria. Diagnostic certainty as per level 1 Brighton collaboration criteria are the confirmation of intussusception during surgery and/or by specific radiologic findings (if reduced by pneumatic/hydrostatic/contrast enema) or at autopsy [23].

Secondary objective
All children with intussusception enrolled into surveillance for primary objective will serve as cases. For each case identified in the surveillance, a matched control is enrolled. The criteria for matching are; i) age (within ± one month of the case-patient’s age), ii) gender and iii) location (district/region), and iv) control should have a diagnosis unrelated to any gastrointestinal illness and v) control should be from the hospital where the case was enrolled. Each control is to be enrolled within 30 days of the case-patient’s enrollment.
Sample size

**Primary objective**
Intussusception cases presenting to sentinel hospitals are to be enrolled throughout the surveillance period. To detect a relative incidence of 2, with a 21-day risk period after any dose, with 80% power and 5% level of significance, we require 160 intussusception cases vaccinated with ROTAVAC.

**Secondary objective**
To demonstrate a 10% difference in pathogen prevalence between case-patients and controls with a
power of 80% [21], an estimated 140 case-patients and 140 controls are required.

**Surveillance activities**

**Primary objective**
Surveillance staff identify intussusception cases admitted to the hospital by surveying pediatric inpatient wards, surgical theatre logs, and admission logs, in close coordination with the hospital pediatric surgeons and radiologists. On identification of a possible case, the surveillance physician ascertains the eligibility and enrolls the child. The surveillance staff complete a case report form (CRF) and obtains a copy of ultrasound report along with image, hospital procedure/treatment notes and a copy of the vaccination record.

**Secondary objective**
A control is enrolled for each case by screening the hospital admission logs of pediatrics, pediatric surgery, and pediatric orthopaedics departments. After identifying a potential control, the surveillance physician checks all the criteria before enrollment. Once the physician ascertains the eligibility, the surveillance staff completes a CRF for the control. A stool specimen is collected from all cases and controls.

Written informed consent is obtained from parents/legal guardians of both cases and controls prior to the enrolment. Data collection forms contain unique identifiers to permit identification of participants. At each sentinel hospital, a link between the unique identifier, name of the participant, and laboratory specimen numbers is maintained. This link between name and unique identifier and laboratory specimen will be destroyed after data collection and analysis.

**Specimen collection**
For the secondary objective, stool specimens are collected from both cases and controls. A bulk stool specimen (~5 ml) is obtained from each enrolled child, preferably on the day of presentation to hospital. In case of any delay, attempts are made to obtain a stool specimen within 48 h of hospital admission to rule out nosocomial infection. The stool specimen is collected in a sterile screw-top container labelled with a unique identification number and date of collection. At the sentinel hospitals, stool specimens are stored at −20°C until shipment to referral laboratory at CMC, Vellore. Once in a month, stool specimens are sent to the referral laboratory in a vaccine carrier with frozen gel packs.

**Laboratory methods**
For the secondary objective, testing for the presence of multiple enteropathogens in stool samples from intussusception cases and their matched controls is by using custom made Taqman array card (TAC) assays [24]. Briefly, total nucleic acid is extracted from stool samples and tested for enteropathogen targets including enteric viruses, bacteria and parasites using arrayed singleplex real-time polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) assays. Figure 2 shows all the enteropathogen targets included on these assays for this evaluation.

**Data management**
Once a month, completed CRFs of all the children enrolled into surveillance (cases and controls) along with supportive documents and stool specimens are sent to the referral laboratory at CMC, Vellore. On receipt of CRFs and stool samples, an acknowledgement e-mail communication along with any issues found with the quality and quantity of the samples is sent to the sentinel hospitals. At the central data processing centre, all CRFs are stored in a secure, locked cabinet. Trained personnel do data entry into an electronic database using structured query language. Forms with missing data are reviewed and if the program coordinator determines that it is possible to recover the data, the site investigator will attempt to obtain the missing information. Data quality for the surveillance is checked through on-going assessment of sentinel hospital performance.

**Monitoring surveillance**
After initiation of surveillance, sentinel sites are visited once in 3 months. At each visit, the sites are evaluated using a monitoring checklist (Additional file 2: Table S2), which recorded performance in terms of enrollment of children with intussusception, collection of ultrasound reports along with images, collection of procedure/treatment notes, enrolling the matched controls, collection of adequate stool samples and obtaining copies of the vaccination cards. Every year, a collaborators’ meeting is organized to discuss the work done by each site and to enable collaborators to provide feedback to individual sites. The hospitals not meeting performance criteria are excluded from subsequent surveillance based on monitoring reports.

**Analysis plan**

**Primary objective**
Descriptive analyses of demographic, clinical, and treatment information will be performed for cases identified in the intussusception surveillance. The self-controlled case-series method will be used to assess the intussusception risk after ROTAVAC administration [25, 26]. The relative incidence of intussusception during the risk periods of 1–7 days, 8–21 days and 1–21 days post ROTAVAC vaccination for dose 1, 2 and 3 will be estimated.
Secondary objective

Matched case-control analysis for intussusception etiologies will include comparison of demographic information, preceding clinical symptoms, and feeding patterns between case-patients and controls using the chi-square or Fisher’s exact tests. Conditional logistic regression will be used to estimate adjusted population attributable fraction of intussusception due to different pathogens. Attributable cases will be calculated for each pathogen [27, 28].

Discussion

Challenges in surveillance

Initial reluctance in participation was overcome by site investigators’ meetings before initiation, which helped to explain the project design, surveillance activities and significance of the project. During the surveillance, determining the vaccination status of the child and obtaining a photocopy of the vaccine card is challenging, as parents do not always carry the vaccine cards. In such cases, parents are asked to send a copy of the vaccination card by post or by email. In certain cases, the surveillance staff travels to the child’s home to obtain copies. For subjects with no immunization cards available, the surveillance staff contact the auxiliary nurse midwife at health sub-centers [29] who maintain government immunization records to verify receipt of rotavirus vaccine. For children vaccinated at private hospitals, attempts are made to identify the manufacturer by contacting the health facility where the child received the rotavirus vaccine. For the etiology evaluation, enrolling controls satisfying all the matching criteria was challenging, especially at big referral hospitals having cases from two or more states. Surveillance physicians had to carefully scrutinize all the potential controls before enrolling a matched control.

Monitoring and demonstrating the safety of the vaccine in terms of intussusception after introduction of the vaccine into the routine immunization programme is important to ensure continued support and commitment for the rotavirus vaccination program. Anticipated public health benefits from this surveillance include the generation of information useful to public health officials and to national governments in making decisions through future risk-benefit analysis of the vaccine. This evaluation will also generate evidence for other low- and middle- income countries (LMIC) planning to introduce rotavirus vaccine.
The knowledge on the etiology of intussusception is limited worldwide [30]. Evaluating the infectious agents present in stool samples from intussusception cases and matched controls may help to determine potential infectious etiologies of intussusception, and will assist in further understanding the association, if any, between rotavirus vaccination and intussusception.
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**Additional file 1:** Table S1. Baseline data from sentinel hospitals included in the intussusception surveillance. (DOCX 15 kb)
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