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We derive sum rules involving the spectral density of the stress-energy tensor in \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) super-Yang-Mills theory and pure Yang-Mills theory. The sum rules come from the hydrodynamic behavior at small momenta and the conformal (in the case of \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) SYM theory) or asymptotically free (as for the pure Yang-Mills theory) behavior at large momenta. These sum rules may help constrain QGP transport coefficients obtained from lattice QCD.

I. INTRODUCTION

Recently, much interest has been concentrated on the transport properties of the strongly coupled quark-gluon plasma (QGP) created at RHIC \([1, 2, 3, 4]\). Attempts have been made to extract these coefficients from the lattice \([5, 6, 7, 8, 9]\). These calculations rely on the reconstruction of the real-time spectral function from Euclidean (imaginary-time) correlation functions, which for numerical data is an ill-defined procedure unless extra assumptions are made. In practice, the reconstruction amounts to postulating a form of the spectral density, and then fitting the parameters of the ansatz using lattice data.

Clearly, it would be of great help if some constraints on the spectral density can be derived. For nonrelativistic fluids, there exist sum rules (for example, the \( f \)-sum rule) that constrain the spectral densities \([10]\). One may wonder if such sum rules exist in a relativistic theory. Some progress has been made in this direction: for instance, Kharzeev and Tuchin \([11]\) (and latter Karsch, Kharzeev, and Tuchin \([12]\)) wrote down a sum rule for the spectral density of the trace of the stress-energy tensor. The slope of this spectral density at zero frequency is the bulk viscosity \( \zeta \). Although the sum rule does not fix the form of the spectral density, with some assumptions the authors of Refs. \([11, 12]\) argued that the bulk viscosity becomes large near the QCD phase transition. (As we shall see below, the precise form of our sum rule in the bulk channel is slightly different from that of Kharzeev and Tuchin, but some features of the later remain intact. We point out that the difference stems from a subtle non-commutativity of limits.) Several sum rules are also argued to hold for weakly coupled relativistic theories by Teaney \([13]\).

In this paper, we derive certain sum rules for the spectral density in hot gauge theories. We start with the \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory, which is a prototype of the strongly coupled QGP, and derive the following spectral sum rule:

\[
\frac{2}{5} \epsilon = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\omega}{\omega} \left[ \rho(\omega) - \rho_{T=0}(\omega) \right],
\]

where \( \rho = -\text{Im} G_R(\omega) \), and \( G_R \) is the retarded propagator of the \( T^{xy} \) component of the...
stress-energy tensor. Here $\rho_{T=0}(\omega)$ is the spectral density at zero temperature, and $\epsilon$ is the finite-temperature energy density. Besides $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory at infinite ’t Hooft coupling, Eq. (1) is valid for any theory whose gravitational dual has purely Einstein gravity. It is also valid for $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory at any nonzero coupling.

Another sum rule relates a linear combination of second-order hydrodynamic coefficients with the spectral function,

$$\eta \tau_\pi - \frac{1}{2} \kappa = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\omega}{\omega^3} \left[ \rho(\omega) - \rho_{T=0}(\omega) - \eta \omega \right],$$

(2)

where $\eta$ is the shear viscosity, and $\tau_\pi$ (the relaxation time) and $\kappa$ are defined in Ref. [15].

We then move to the bulk sector of QCD and show the following sum rule

$$3(\epsilon + P)(1 - 3c_s^2) - 4(\epsilon - 3P) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int \frac{d\omega}{\omega} \left[ \rho^{\text{bulk}}(\omega) - \rho^{\text{bulk}}_{T=0}(\omega) \right],$$

(3)

where $c_s$ is the speed of sound and $\rho^{\text{bulk}}(\omega)$ is the spectral density for the trace of the energy-momentum tensor $T_\mu^\mu$. Equation (3) is similar, but different, from the sum rule suggested by Kharzeev and Tuchin, and by Karsch, Kharzeev, and Tuchin. We shall show that the sum rule is a consequence of the hydrodynamic behavior of the QGP at large distances, and of asymptotic freedom at small distances.

The structure of our paper is as follows. In Sec. II we remind the reader how spectral sum rules can be derived. In Sec. III we derive two sum rules for the spectral function in the shear channel. One sum rule relates the total energy density with the spectral density, and another sum rule relate a linear combination of second-order hydrodynamic coefficients with the same spectral density. We verify both sum rules by numerically computing the spectral integral. We also comment on the possible form of the shear sum rule for pure Yang-Mills theory. In Sec. IV we turn to pure Yang-Mills theory and derive a sum rule for the bulk channel.

II. KRAMERS-KRONIG RELATION

For definiteness, consider the retarded correlator of the $T^{xy}$ component of the energy-momentum tensor in, say, the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory. The spectral function is defined to coincide, up to a sign, to the imaginary part of the retarded Green function of $T^{xy}$,

$$\rho(\omega, \mathbf{q}) = -\text{Im} G_R(\omega, \mathbf{q}),$$

(4)

where we assume $\mathbf{q}$ to be along the $z$ direction. Since $G_R$ is the Fourier transform of a real function (recall that $G_R$ determines a linear response), we have

$$G_R(-\omega, \mathbf{q}) = G_R^*(\omega, -\mathbf{q}).$$

(5)
Let us consider the function $f_q(Z)$, defined so that $f_q(\omega^2) = G_R(\omega, q)$, which has a cut from $Z = 0$ to $Z = \infty$.

We can write down a Kramers-Kronig relation for $f_q(Z)$. Pretend for a moment that $f_q(Z) \to 0$ as $Z \to \infty$. Taking the integral of $f_q(Z)/(Z + \alpha^2)$ over the contour in Fig. 1, we find

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint dZ \frac{f_q(Z)}{Z + \alpha^2} = f_q(-\alpha^2),$$

if $f_q(Z)$ does not have singularities except for the positive real semi-axis. In a relativistic field theory, typically $G_R$ diverges as $\omega \to \infty$. For example, the $T^{xy}$ correlator $G_R(\omega)$ grows like $\omega^4 \ln \omega$ at large $\omega$ (see, e.g., [16]). One can subtract this zero-temperature piece $G_R^{T=0}(\omega)$ and we denote $\delta G_R = G_R - G_R^{T=0}$. But, as will be shown, there remains a constant piece which also needs to be subtracted. Therefore, we define

$$f_q(\omega^2) = \delta G_R(\omega, q) - \delta G_R^\infty, \quad \delta G_R^\infty = \lim_{\omega \to +1\infty} \delta G_R(\omega, q),$$

so that the Kramers-Kronig relation (6) for this function $f_q(Z)$ is valid.

In a conformal, large-$N$ theory\footnote{Outside the large-$N$ limit, there can be non-analytic terms (such as $\omega^{3/2}$) present in $G_R(\omega)$ [14].}, the asymptotics of $G_R(\omega)$ at small $\omega$ and $k$ is known to second order from hydrodynamics [15],

$$G_R(\omega, k) = G_R(0) - i\eta \omega + (\eta \tau - \frac{1}{2}\kappa) \omega^2 - \frac{1}{2}\kappa q^2 + \ldots.$$  

The constant $G_R(0)$ may depend on the way the correlator is defined. When the correlator is defined through the response to metric perturbations, $G_R(0) = P$. For this particular correlator, $f_q(Z)$ does not have singularities outside the positive real semi-axis and the
contour integral may be deformed to give
\[ f_q(-\alpha^2) = \delta G_R(0) - \delta G_R^\infty + \eta \alpha + \left( \eta \tau_\pi - \frac{\pi}{2} \right) \alpha^2 - \frac{1}{2} \kappa q^2 + \ldots = -\frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty d\omega \frac{\omega}{\omega^2 + \alpha^2} \delta \rho(\omega, q), \quad (9) \]

where \( \delta \rho(\omega, q) = \rho(\omega, q) - \rho_{T=0}(\omega, q) \).

Setting \( \alpha = 0 \) in this formula, we find
\[ -f_q(0) = \delta G_R^\infty - \delta G_R(0) + \frac{1}{2} \kappa q^2 + O(q^4) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty d\omega \frac{\delta \rho(\omega, q)}{\omega}, \quad (10) \]

which for \( q = 0 \) will become Eq. (1). Subtracting the \( \alpha \)-independent part, the Kramers-Kronig relation becomes
\[ \eta \alpha + \left( \eta \tau_\pi - \frac{\pi}{2} \kappa \right) \alpha^2 + \ldots = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty d\omega \frac{\delta \rho(\omega, q)}{\omega^2 + \alpha^2}. \quad (11) \]

From this we derive another sum rule:
\[ \eta \tau_\pi - \frac{1}{2} \kappa + O(q^2) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\omega}{\omega^3} \left[ \delta \rho(\omega, q) - \eta \omega \right], \quad (12) \]

which for \( q = 0 \) is Eq. (2). Note that the coefficient \( \kappa \) enters this equation, which is interesting because in the hydrodynamic equations \( \kappa \) couples only to curvature tensors \[15\] and hence drops out for flat space. Equation (12) suggests that \( \kappa \) can be determined from flat-space physics. In fact, \( \kappa \) can already be obtained from Euclidean correlators at \( \omega = 0 \) and small \( q \). For weakly coupled SU\((N)\) gauge theory, we find a nonzero value for \( \kappa \) at the lowest order of perturbation theory (see Appendix A). Curiously, \( \kappa \) divided by the entropy density only differs by a factor of about two between strongly coupled \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) SYM and free SU\((N)\) gauge theory.

**A note on the definition of the correlators**

It is clear from the previous discussion that, in order to derive the sum rule in a particular theory, one should use the same definition for the correlation function in the UV (\( \omega \to \infty \)) and IR (\( \omega \to 0 \)). In this paper, we use define the correlators through the partition function \( Z \) in curve spacetime. The one- and two-point functions are given as the first and second derivatives of \( \ln Z \) with respect to the metric. In Euclidean signature, one has
\[ \delta \ln Z = \frac{1}{2} \int dx \langle T^{\mu \nu}(x) \rangle \delta g_{\mu \nu}(x) + \frac{1}{8} \int dx \, dy \langle T^{\mu \nu}(x) T^{\rho \sigma}(y) \rangle \delta g_{\mu \nu}(x) \delta g_{\rho \sigma}(y) + \cdots \quad (13) \]
In other words,
\[ \langle T^{\mu\nu}(x)T^{\rho\sigma}(y) \rangle = 4 \frac{\delta^2 \ln Z}{\delta g_{\rho\sigma}(y) \delta g_{\mu\nu}(x)} \bigg|_{g_{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}} = 2 \frac{\delta}{\delta g_{\rho\sigma}(y)} \langle \sqrt{g} T^{\mu\nu}(x) \rangle \bigg|_{g_{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}} . \] (14)

An alternative definition of the correlator is through the path integral in flat space,
\[ \langle T^{\mu\nu}(x)T^{\rho\sigma}(y) \rangle' = \frac{1}{Z} \int DA e^{-S_E} T^{\mu\nu}(x) T^{\rho\sigma}(y) , \] (15)
where \( A \) represents all fields in the theory and \( S_E \) is the Euclidean action. The two correlators differ by a contact term\(^2\)
\[ \langle T^{\mu\nu}(x)T^{\rho\sigma}(y) \rangle = \langle T^{\mu\nu}(x)T^{\rho\sigma}(y) \rangle' - 4 \frac{\delta^2 S_E}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}(x) \delta g_{\rho\sigma}(y)} . \] (16)

Analogously, one can define the Minkowski-space correlation functions. The retarded Green function is found from the linear response,
\[ \langle T^{\mu\nu}(x)T^{\rho\sigma}(y) \rangle_R = -2 \frac{\delta}{\delta g_{\rho\sigma}(y)} \langle \sqrt{-g} T^{\mu\nu}(x) \rangle \bigg|_{g_{\alpha\beta} = \eta_{\alpha\beta}} . \] (17)

The advantage of using the correlator defined through Eqs. (13) and (17) is that we know this correlator at low momenta through hydrodynamics. Indeed, using the hydrodynamic equations one can establish how a system responds to external gravitational perturbations, and then use Eq. (14) to find the correlation functions (see e.g. \[17, 18\]). In addition, this is the most natural definition that comes out of AdS/CFT correspondence. Note that on the lattice, so far what is normally measured is (15). However, this difference does not matter as far as the Kramers-Kronig relation \[9\] is concerned, because subtracting \( \delta G_R^\infty \) from \( \delta G_R(0) \) makes the contact term drop out from \( f_q(0) \).

### III. SHEAR SUM RULES IN \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) SYM AND PURE YANG-MILLS THEORY

#### A. AdS/CFT Calculation of \( f_q(0) \)

For the case of large 't Hooft coupling, properties of \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) SYM can be calculated using the AdS/CFT duality \[19, 20, 21\]. In particular, it is known how to calculate finite temperature correlators \( G_R(\omega, q) \) in AdS/CFT \[17\]. To find the \( \langle T^{xy}T^{xy} \rangle \) correlator, we solve the equation of motion for the \( xy \) component of the metric, which is essentially the equation for a minimally coupled scalar,
\[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_z \left( \sqrt{-g} g^{zz} \partial_z \phi \right) - g^{\mu\nu} k_\mu k_\nu \phi = 0 , \] (18)

\(^2\) A contact term is a term in \( \langle T^{\mu\nu}(x)T^{\rho\sigma}(y) \rangle \) that is proportional to \( \delta^4(x - y) \) or its derivatives (corresponding to a constant or polynomial in momentum space). For theories which do not have derivatives of the metric in the action (such as Yang-Mills theory), the contact terms can only be constants.
where \( \mu = 0 \ldots 3 \) indexes the usual four field theory dimensions and \( g \) is the determinant of the (five-dimensional) metric. We denote the fifth dimension by \( z \) (not to be confused with the spatial direction in the previous section), where \( z = 0 \) corresponds to the four dimensional boundary of \( \text{AdS}_5 \) space. Finite temperature correlators in \( \text{AdS}/\text{CFT} \) can be studied by considering the metric of a static black hole in the bulk. The location of the event horizon \( z_H \) of the black hole is related to its Hawking temperature, \( z_H = (\pi T)^{-1} \). Being interested in \( \delta G_R \) at large imaginary \( \omega \gg T \), we can restrict ourselves to the region of \( \text{AdS} \) space very close to the boundary.

For convenience, we shall use here the metric in Fefferman-Graham coordinates, which has the following form \([22]\) near the boundary at \( z = 0 \),

\[
ds^2 = R^2 \frac{dt^2 + d\vec{x}^2 + dz^2}{z^2} + \frac{R^2 z^2}{4z_H^2} (3dt^2 + d\vec{x}^2) + O(z^4), \tag{19}
\]

where \( R \) is the scale set by the \( \text{AdS} \) radius. In these coordinates, Eq. (18) becomes

\[
\phi'' - \frac{3}{z} \phi' + \left(1 + \frac{3z^4}{4z_H^4}\right) \omega^2 \phi - \left(1 - \frac{z^4}{4z_H^4}\right) q^2 \phi = 0. \tag{20}
\]

We will put \( q = 0 \) from now on, and consider Euclidean momentum \( \omega^2 = -Q^2 \). In the deep Euclidean region \( Q^2 \rightarrow +\infty \), most of the interesting dynamics happens near the boundary, so can solve Eq. (20) iteratively in inverse powers of \( z_H \). We expand the solution as

\[
\phi = \phi_0 + \phi_1 + \cdots, \tag{21}
\]

where \( \phi_0 = \frac{1}{2} (Qz)^2 K_2(Qz) \) is obtained by sending \( z_H \rightarrow \infty \) in Eq. (20) and demanding regularity at \( z \rightarrow \infty \) (see also \([13]\)). The first correction \( \phi_1 \) satisfies

\[
\phi_1'' - \frac{3}{z} \phi_1' - Q^2 \phi_1 = j \equiv 3Q^2 \frac{z^4}{4z_H^4} \phi_0. \tag{22}
\]

The solution to this equation is formally given by a Green’s function,

\[
\phi_1(z) = \int dz' G(z, z') j(z'), \tag{23}
\]

where \( G(z, z') \) can be constructed from the known solutions to the homogeneous Eq. (22),

\[
f_1(z) = (Qz)^2 K_2(Qz), \quad f_2(z) = (Qz)^2 I_2(Qz), \tag{24}
\]

as

\[
G(z, z') = -\frac{1}{W[f_1, f_2](z')} \left[ f_1(z) f_2(z') \theta(z - z') + f_2(z) f_1(z') \theta(z' - z) \right], \tag{25}
\]

where the Wronskian \( W[f_1, f_2] = f_1 f_2' - f_1' f_2 \) evaluates to \( W[f_1, f_2](z') = Q^4 z'^3 \).

Evaluating the integral (25) using

\[
\int_0^\infty dz z^5 K_2^2(z) = \frac{32}{5}, \tag{26}
\]
we then find the small $z$ asymptotics of $\phi_1$ to be given by

$$
\phi_1(z) = -\frac{3}{10} \frac{z^4}{z_H^4}. 
$$

(27)

Recalling that the correlation function is given by

$$
G_R(\omega) = -\frac{N^2}{8\pi^2} \lim_{z \to 0} \frac{\phi'(z)\phi(z)}{z^3},
$$

(28)

which at $T = 0$ reproduces the well known result,

$$
G_{T=0}^R(\omega) = -\frac{N^2}{8\pi^2} \frac{\phi_0'(z)}{z^3} \bigg|_{z \to 0} = \frac{N^2}{32\pi^2} Q^4 \ln Q.
$$

(29)

The first correction due to temperature is

$$
\lim_{\omega \to i\infty} \delta G_R(\omega)|_{\phi_1} = -\frac{N^2}{8\pi^2} \frac{\phi_1'(z)}{z^3} \bigg|_{z \to 0} = \frac{3\pi^2}{20\pi^2} \frac{N^2}{20} N^2 T^4 = \frac{2}{5} \epsilon.
$$

(30)

There are also contributions to the correlators from the boundary terms in the action (i.e., $\int d^4x \sqrt{-\gamma}$, cf. [23]) but one can check they contribute the same amount at any $\omega$, and they are the only contribution at $\omega = 0$ (the contact terms). Thus, in $N = 4$ SYM theory one has $f_{q=0}(0) = -\frac{2}{5} \epsilon$ and hence the sum rule (10) becomes

$$
\frac{2}{5} \epsilon = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} d\omega \left[ \rho(\omega) - \rho_{T=0}(\omega) \right].
$$

(31)

We will call this sum rule the “shear sum rule” as the slope of $\rho(\omega)$ at $\omega = 0$ is the shear viscosity. It is clear from our derivation that this shear sum rule holds in any theory with an Einstein gravitational dual.

### B. Rederivation of $f_q(0)$ in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM from OPE

Within $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory the sum rule can be derived without relying on gravity. We start with the operator product expansion (OPE) of the stress energy tensor [24],

$$
T_{\mu\nu}(x)T_{\rho\sigma}(0) \sim C_T \frac{T_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(x)}{x^8} + \hat{A}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\alpha\beta}(x)T_{\alpha\beta}(0) + \cdots
$$

(32)

Here $\hat{A}$ contains various Lorentz structures, all scaling as $x^{-4}$, and is given explicitly in Ref. [24] in terms of three constants $a$, $b$, and $c$. In a thermal ensemble the second term averages to a constant contribution to the correlator. Setting $\mu = \rho = x$, $\nu = \sigma = y$, and performing Fourier transform, we get\footnote{In Ref. [24] the correlator is defined as the second derivative of the partition function with respect to the upper components of the metric, while we differentiate $\ln Z$ with respect to the lower components. This difference, together with the sign change by going from Euclidean to retarded propagator, has been taken into account in Eq. (33).}

$$
\delta G_R(\omega)|_{\omega \to i\infty} = -\frac{18(a + b)}{14a - 2b - 5c} P.
$$

(33)
In $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory the coefficients $a$, $b$, and $c$ are given by

$$a = -\frac{16}{9\pi^6}(N_c^2 - 1), \quad b = -\frac{17}{9\pi^6}(N_c^2 - 1), \quad c = -\frac{92}{9\pi^6}(N_c^2 - 1),$$

(34)

and hence

$$\delta G_R(\omega)\big|_{\omega \to i\infty} = \frac{11}{5} P.$$  

(35)

On the other hand, the shift of $G_R$ when $\omega \to 0$ can be found from hydrodynamics, which predicts $\delta G_R(0) = P$ \cite{15, 17}. Therefore we find $f_{q=0}(0) = -\frac{6}{5} P$, which corresponds to (1) since for a conformal field theory $P = \frac{1}{3} \epsilon$.

A remark is in order. It is known that the constants $a$, $b$, and $c$ are independent of the coupling in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory: in fact, their value can be found from one-loop calculations \cite{25}. Therefore, the sum rule is valid for any nonzero value of the coupling.

C. Calculation of $f_{q}(0)$ in pure Yang-Mills theory

In pure Yang-Mills theory, the UV behavior is that of a weakly coupled field theory. The leading terms in the OPE are the same as for free fields. The coefficients $a$, $b$, $c$ can be found from the general formulas \cite{24}

$$a = \frac{1}{27\pi^6} n_\phi - \frac{2}{\pi^6} n_v,$$

(36a)

$$b = -\frac{4}{27\pi^6} n_\phi - \frac{1}{2\pi^6} n_f,$$

(36b)

$$c = -\frac{1}{27\pi^6} n_\phi - \frac{1}{\pi^6} n_f - \frac{8}{\pi^6} n_v,$$

(36c)

where $n_s$, $n_f$, and $n_v$ are the number of real scalars, Dirac fermions, and gauge fields in the theory.

For pure Yang-Mills theory, by repeating the calculations in section \ref{C} we find $f_{q=0}(0) = -2P$ (this can be checked directly by computing the relevant Feynman diagram; see Appendix \ref{B}). However, there is an additional subtlety here that was not present in the previous section because the OPE of two components of the stress-energy tensor may involve terms like $\alpha_s F^2$, where $\alpha_s$ is the strong coupling constant. Though formally higher order in $\alpha_s$, these terms average to $\epsilon - 3P$ which is a constant independent of the scale $x$ in Eq. (32). Therefore, we can tentatively write a sum rule for pure Yang-Mills theory,

$$\frac{\epsilon + P}{2} + C(\epsilon - 3P) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\omega}{\omega} [\rho(\omega) - \rho_{\omega=0}(\omega)].$$

(37)

where the constant $C$ is left to be determined in by a more accurate calculation. (However, naively applying the results of Refs. \cite{26} about the absence of the leading order gluon condensate contribution in the tensor glueball channel would imply that $C = 0$).

In the large-$N_c$ limit, where second-order hydrodynamic coefficients are well defined, the sum rule (12) is valid (except that one has to use a proper definition of $\kappa$ for nonconformal theories \cite{27}).
D. Numerical verification of sum rules in AdS/CFT

In AdS/CFT, the spectral function $\rho(\omega)$ can be calculated numerically for arbitrary frequency/momenta from the solution to the mode equation (38). For convenience, we adopt a metric and coordinates such that Eq. (38) becomes

$$\phi'' - \frac{1 + u^2}{u f(u)} \phi' + \frac{w^2 - q^2 f(u)}{u f^2(u)} \phi = 0,$$

where $u = z^2/z_H^2$, $f(u) = 1 - u^2$, $w = \omega/(2\pi T)$, and $q = q/(2\pi T)$. Again, we set the spatial momentum $q = 0$ in the following, although the method described below can also handle non-vanishing momenta.

We follow the algorithm by Teaney [13], which is outlined here for completeness, fixing some typos in Ref. [13]. Equation (38) is recast in a system of coupled first-order equations by introducing $\pi = \phi'$. Discretizing derivatives as $\phi' = (\phi(u + \delta u) - \phi(u)) / \delta u$, Eq. (38) can be explicitly integrated forward from a point close to the boundary $u = u_0$. If $\pi$ is taken to be defined at half-integer stepsizes $\pi(u) = \pi((n + 1/2)\delta u)$ and $\phi$ at integer stepsizes $\phi(u) = \pi(n\delta u)$, then the resulting algorithm is second-order accurate in $\delta u$ (“leapfrog algorithm”), suggesting numerical stability. To start the algorithm, initial conditions for $\phi$ and $\pi$ at $u = u_0$, $u = u_0 + 1/2\delta u$, respectively, need to be specified. For $u_0$ sufficiently close to the

\footnote{A version of the C++ code will be made available at http://hep.itp.tuwien.ac.at/~paulrom}
boundary \( u = 0 \), Eq. (38) may be solved analytically, yielding the pair of solutions

\[
\Phi_1(u) = u^2 \left[ 1 - \frac{w^2}{3} u + \ldots \right],
\]

\[
\Phi_2(u) = -\frac{w^4}{2} \log(u) \Phi_1(u) + 1 + w^2 u - \frac{2}{9} w^6 u^3 + \ldots,
\]

(39)

where the \( u^2 \) term in \( \Phi_2(u) \) is arbitrary (it can be any multiple of \( \Phi_1(u) \)) and was set to zero in accordance with the convention by Kovtun and Starinets [28]. The analytic result for \( \Phi_1(u) \) (and its derivative) is used as initial conditions for \( \phi(u_0), \pi(u_0 + \frac{1}{2} \delta u) \), which can then be integrated forward to give a numerical solution \( \phi_1(u_1) \) with \( u_1 \) close to the horizon \( u = 1 \) (the same procedure for \( \Phi_2(u) \) gives \( \phi_2(u_1) \)). The physically interesting solution for \( \phi(u) \) is the one that corresponds to an incoming wave at the horizon, \( \phi(u) \sim (1 - u)^{-iw/2} \). Solving Eq. (38) analytically close to \( u = 1 \) one finds for the incoming wave solution

\[
\phi^{\text{inc}}(u) = (1-u)^{-iw/2} \left[ 1 - (1-u)^2 \frac{2iw^3 + 3w^2 - iw}{4(1+w^2)} - (1-u)^2 \frac{w(4w^3 + 7iw^2 - 2w + 4i)}{32(w^2 + 3iw - 2)} + \ldots \right].
\]

(40)

The real solutions \( \phi_1(u) \) and \( \phi_2(u) \) are linear combinations of the incoming and outgoing wave solutions,

\[
\begin{align*}
\phi_1(u) &= A(w) \phi^{\text{inc}}(u) + B(w) \bar{\phi}^{\text{inc}}(u), \\
\phi_2(u) &= C(w) \phi^{\text{inc}}(u) + D(w) \bar{\phi}^{\text{inc}}(u),
\end{align*}
\]

(41)

where \( \bar{\phi}^{\text{inc}} \) denotes the complex conjugate of \( \phi^{\text{inc}} \). For given \( w \), the complex constants \( A, B, C, D \) are, e.g., calculated from the numerical solution of \( \phi_1, \pi \) at \( u = u_1 \) and \( u = u_1 - \delta u \) and the analytic solution (41) for \( \phi^{\text{inc}} \) close to the boundary. As a consequence, one can construct a numerical solution to Eq. (38) with incoming wave boundary conditions by

\[
\phi^{\text{inc, num}}(u) = \frac{D}{AD - BC} \phi_1(u) - \frac{B}{AD - BC} \phi_2(u),
\]

(42)

which can be normalized to \( \phi(0) = 1 \) by realizing \( \Phi_1(0) = 0, \Phi_2(0) = 1 \), so that close to the boundary

\[
\phi^{\text{inc, norm}}(u_0) = -\frac{D(w)}{B(w)} \Phi_1(u) + \Phi_2(u).
\]

(43)

In practice, we found the choices \( u_0 = 10^{-6} \), \( u_1 = 0.999 \) to give acceptable numerical accuracy. Once the normalized solution to the mode equation is known, the retarded correlator is obtained from (28)

\[
G_R(\omega, 0) = -\frac{\pi^2 N^2 T^4}{4} \lim_{u_0 \to 0} \frac{\partial_u \phi^{\text{inc, norm}}(u_0)}{u_0}.
\]

(44)

In particular, using the analytical results for \( \Phi_1, \Phi_2 \) one finds for the spectral function

\[
\delta \rho(\omega, 0) = -4P D(w) - 2\pi P w^4,
\]

(45)
where $P = \frac{\pi^2}{3} N^2 T^4$ in strongly coupled $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM. The numerical result for the spectral function is shown in Fig. 2. As can be seen from this figure, $\delta \rho(\omega, 0)$ first increases as a function of $\omega$, reaching a maximum at around $w = 0.45$, then decreases strongly and oscillates around zero with an amplitude that decays quasi-exponentially. Fig. 2(b) shows the spectral function where the leading hydrodynamic behavior $\eta \omega$ has been subtracted. As can be seen from this figure, for small frequencies the spectral function seems to behave as

$$\frac{\delta \rho(\omega, 0)}{\eta \omega} = 1 + a_0 w^2 + a_1 w^3 + \mathcal{O}(w^4),$$

where numerically we determine $a_0 \simeq 1.72$, $a_1 \simeq -3.0$.

With the value $\frac{1}{8\pi} f_{q=0}(0) = \frac{3}{20}$ calculated above, the first sum rule (1) would imply the identity

$$\frac{3}{20} = 0.15 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{dw}{w} \left( \frac{B(w)}{D(w)} - \frac{\pi}{2} w^4 \right) \approx \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{w_{\text{max}}} \frac{dw}{w} \left( \frac{B(w)}{D(w)} - \frac{\pi}{2} w^4 \right) = 0.1500008(44),$$

which we can confirm up to five digit accuracy when choosing $w_{\text{max}} = 6$ in practice. For the second sum rule (2), $\eta \tau - \frac{1}{2} \kappa = 2P \frac{1-\log(2)}{(2\pi T)^2}$ from Ref. [15] implies

$$1 - \log(2) \simeq 0.306853 \Rightarrow \frac{4}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{dw}{w^3} \left( \frac{D(w)}{B(w)} - \frac{\pi}{2} w^4 - w \right) \approx -\frac{2}{\pi w_{\text{max}}} + \frac{4}{\pi} \int_0^{w_{\text{max}}} \frac{dw}{w^3} \left( \frac{D(w)}{B(w)} - \frac{\pi}{2} w^4 - \frac{w}{2} \right) = 0.30686(2),$$

indicating that the numerical result matches with four digit accuracy. While it is possible to improve the numerical accuracy further, we take this agreement of at least one part in $10^{-4}$ between the analytical and numerical results as an indication that for $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM, the sum rules (1) and (2) are correct.

**IV. THE BULK SUM RULE IN QCD**

In this section we revisit the sum rule satisfied by the imaginary part of the correlation function of the trace of the stress-energy tensor $T_{\mu}$. As the spectral density in this channel is related to the bulk viscosity, this sum rule will be called the “bulk sum rule.” We show that this sum rule indeed exists, but its form is slightly different from the one given in Refs. [11, 12].

In this section we shall be concerned with metric perturbations of the following form

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} e^{2\Omega},$$

(49)
or \( \delta g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}(e^{-2\Omega} - 1) \), with \( \Omega \ll 1 \). For these perturbations, the partition function expansion defined in Eq. (13) can be explicitly given as

\[
\delta \ln Z = \int dx \eta_{\mu\nu}(x)\langle T^{\mu\nu}(x) \rangle \left[ -\Omega(x) + \Omega^2(x) \right] + \frac{1}{2} \int dx \, dy \eta_{\mu\nu}(x)\eta_{\rho\sigma}(y)\langle T^{\mu\nu}(x)T^{\rho\sigma}(y) \rangle \Omega(x)\Omega(y) .
\]

We then define the correlators of \( \theta(x) \) as follows:

\[
\langle \theta(x) \rangle \equiv - \frac{\delta \ln Z}{\delta \Omega(x)} \bigg|_{\Omega=0} = \sqrt{-g}g_{\mu\nu}\langle T^{\mu\nu}(x) \rangle \bigg|_{\Omega=0} = \eta_{\mu\nu}(x)\langle T^{\mu\nu}(x) \rangle , \quad (51)
\]

\[
\langle \theta(x)\theta(y) \rangle \equiv \frac{\delta^2 \ln Z}{\delta \Omega(x)\delta \Omega(y)} \bigg|_{\Omega=0} = \eta_{\mu\nu}(x)\eta_{\rho\sigma}(y)\langle T^{\mu\nu}(x)T^{\rho\sigma}(y) \rangle + 2\delta(x-y)\eta_{\mu\nu}(x)\langle T^{\mu\nu}(x) \rangle,
\]

\[
\equiv - \frac{\delta}{\delta \Omega(x)} \langle \theta(y) \rangle \bigg|_{\Omega=0} , \quad (52)
\]

where we recall that in conformal field theories \( \langle \theta(x) \rangle = \langle \theta(x)\theta(y) \rangle = 0 \). As a consequence of the definition (51), the correlator \( \langle \theta(x)\theta(y) \rangle \) differs from \( \eta_{\mu\nu}\eta_{\rho\sigma}\langle T^{\mu\nu}T^{\rho\sigma} \rangle \) by a contact term, which in its turn differs from \( \langle T^{\mu\nu}_{\nu}T^{\rho\sigma} \rangle \) by a contact term. Our subsequent calculations are simplest when using the correlator \( \langle \theta(x)\theta(y) \rangle \) defined in this fashion.

Consider the pure Yang-Mills theory. We need to know how to couple Yang-Mills to an external metric perturbation of the form (49). This is done through changing the bare action of pure Yang-Mills becomes

\[
S_E = \int dx \frac{1}{4g_s^2(\Lambda^4)}F_{\mu\nu}^2 , \quad (53)
\]

where we have rescaled the gauge fields so that the field strength tensor is given by

\[
F_{\mu\nu}^a = \partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a + f^{abc}A_\mu^bA_\nu^c ,
\]

and \( f^{abc} \) are the \( SU(N) \) structure constants. From this we find

\[
\frac{\delta S_E}{\delta \Omega} = \beta(g_s)\frac{\partial S}{\partial g_s} = -\frac{\beta(g_s)}{2g_s^2}F_{\mu\nu}^2 ,
\]

where \( \beta(g_s) = \Lambda\partial_\Lambda g_s \) is the beta function. As a consequence, one has

\[
\langle \theta(x) \rangle = -\frac{\beta(g_s)}{2g_s^2}\langle F_{\mu\nu}^2(x) \rangle ,
\]

\[
\langle \theta(x)\theta(y) \rangle = \left( \frac{\beta(g_s)}{2g_s^2} \right)^2 \langle F^2(x)F^2(y) \rangle + \beta(g_s)\frac{\partial}{\partial g_s} \left( \frac{\beta(g_s)}{2g_s^2} \right) \langle F^2 \rangle \delta(x-y) .
\]

\[
\langle \theta(x)\theta(y) \rangle = \left( \frac{\beta(g_s)}{2g_s^2} \right)^2 \langle F^2(x)F^2(y) \rangle + \beta(g_s)\frac{\partial}{\partial g_s} \left( \frac{\beta(g_s)}{2g_s^2} \right) \langle F^2 \rangle \delta(x-y) .
\]

\[
\langle \theta(x)\theta(y) \rangle = \left( \frac{\beta(g_s)}{2g_s^2} \right)^2 \langle F^2(x)F^2(y) \rangle + \beta(g_s)\frac{\partial}{\partial g_s} \left( \frac{\beta(g_s)}{2g_s^2} \right) \langle F^2 \rangle \delta(x-y) .
\]
If we are interested in computing $G_R(\omega)$, it is most convenient to choose $\Lambda \sim \omega$, so the two terms in Eq. (57) can be evaluated perturbatively without large logarithms. In the weak coupling regime

$$\beta(g_s) = -b_0 g_s^3 - b_1 g_s^5 + \cdots,$$

so the first term in Eq. (57) is proportional to $g_s^4(\omega) T^4$, while the second term is proportional to $g_s^4(\omega)(\epsilon - 3P)$. When $\omega \to \infty$, the correlation function vanishes because of asymptotic freedom.

Now let us compute $\langle \theta \theta \rangle_R$ at small frequencies. For that we need to find the response of the system of an external metric perturbation with $\Omega = \Omega(t)$ varying slowly with $t$. Since the perturbation is spatially homogeneous, we expect the fluid to remain at rest ($u^0 = e^\Omega$, $u^i = 0$), but the temperature will have time dependence: $T = T(t)$. It is more convenient to work with the entropy density $s$ instead of $T$. When metric perturbations are slow, entropy is conserved. The solution to the equation for entropy conservation, $\nabla \mu (su^\mu) = 0$, is

$$s = e^{3\Omega} s_0,$$

and therefore

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \Omega} = 3s \frac{\partial}{\partial s}.$$  

This result may now be directly applied to the definition of the correlation function, and hence we find

$$\langle \theta \theta \rangle_R(\omega \to 0, q = 0) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \Omega} (\sqrt{-g} T^\mu_\mu) = - \left( 3s \frac{\partial}{\partial s} - 4 \right) (\epsilon - 3P).$$

Now let us derive the spectral sum rule. Introducing the spectral function $\rho_{\text{bulk}}(\omega)$ in the bulk channel, we find

$$\left( 3s \frac{\partial}{\partial s} - 4 \right) (\epsilon - 3P) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty d\omega \frac{\delta \rho_{\text{bulk}}(\omega)}{\omega},$$

where $\epsilon$ and $P$ are now the thermal parts of the energy and pressure (with the divergent vacuum contributions subtracted out). This, we argue, is the correct version of the sum rule by Karsch, Kharzeev and Tuchin [11, 12]. The right hand side can be transformed into Eq. (3) by using the thermodynamic relations $de = Tds$ and $dP/de = c_s^2$. Note that Eq. (62) does not coincide with Refs. [11, 12], which had $T \partial/\partial T$ instead of $3s \partial/\partial s$. The issue is the non-commutativity of the $q \to 0$ and $\omega \to 0$ limits in the bulk channel (see Appendix C). The correct expression for the right hand side follows directly from entropy conservation in hydrodynamics.

In the weak coupling limit of high-temperature gauge theory, the pressure is given by

$$P = T^4 \left( A + g_s^2 B + O(g_s^3) \right),$$
where \( A, B \) are constant that are unimportant for the following discussion. Calculating the trace anomaly from \( \epsilon = T \frac{dP}{dT} - P \) one finds

\[
\epsilon - 3P = 2BT^4 g_s \beta(g_s) \sim \mathcal{O}(g_s^4 T^4),
\]

which implies \(^{[31]}\)

\[
\left( 3s \frac{\partial}{\partial s} - 4 \right) (\epsilon - 3P) \sim \mathcal{O}(g_s^6 T^4),
\]

where \( e_s^{-2} = 3 + \frac{2P}{A} g \beta(g_s) \) was used. On the other hand, the integral over the spectral function gives a contribution \( \mathcal{O}(g_s^4 T^4) \) at low frequency \(^{[31]}\). In order for our sum rule \(^{(62)}\) to hold for weakly coupled QCD, the \( \mathcal{O}(g_s^4 T^4) \) contribution must be canceled to leading-order by the high frequency tail in the spectral function. There are indications that this is indeed what is happening in weakly coupled QCD \(^{[36]}\).

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper we have written down several sum rules involving the spectral functions in hot gauge theories. The sum rules can be checked in \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) SYM theory using gauge/gravity duality. The bulk sum rule for QCD was also derived. We still have some uncertainty in the shear sum rule in QCD, but hopefully this will be resolved in the future.

Some conclusions may be drawn from our work. First, note that the left-hand side (LHS) of the sum rule \(^{(2)}\) is positive in strongly coupled \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) SYM because \( \eta \tau > \frac{1}{2} \kappa \). This implies that the spectral function \( \delta \rho \) in the shear channel must be larger than \( \eta \omega \) for some frequencies, or otherwise the integral would not be positive. This feature of the spectral function is clearly seen on Fig. 2. This requirement is not satisfied by the simplest Lorentzian ansatz for the spectral function, \( \delta \rho(\omega) \sim \frac{\eta \omega}{\omega^2 + \Gamma^2} \). A similar argument can be made for weakly coupled QCD in the large \( N_c \) limit, because there \( \tau \sim 6\eta/(sT) \) \(^{[32]}\) and \( \eta/s \sim \alpha_s^{-2} \ln \alpha_s^{-1/2} \) \(^{[33]}\), while \( \kappa \sim T^2 \) (see appendix A)\(^{5}\), so one expects \( \eta \tau > \frac{1}{2} \kappa \). Therefore, to satisfy both shear sum rules in QCD, an ansatz more sophisticated than the simplest Lorentzian ansatz \( \delta \rho(\omega) \sim \frac{\eta \omega}{\omega^2 + \Gamma^2} \) is needed.

Moreover, the LHS of our sum rule \(^{(3)}\) for the bulk sector can be evaluated using lattice results for the thermodynamics \(^{[35]}\). The result turns out to be negative for all temperatures above the deconfinement transition (see Fig. 3). Fig. 3 demonstrates that the sum rule \(^{(3)}\), cannot be directly used to extract information about the value of the bulk viscosity in QCD, unless additional phenomenological assumptions are made, for example as in Refs. \(^{11, 12}\). In our language, Refs. \(^{11, 12}\) assume \( \rho_T(\omega) \) to contain a “non-perturbative” part (associated with the phenomenological gluon condensate), that —once subtracted— is offsetting the negative LHS of the bulk sum rule \(^{(3)}\).

\(^{5}\) For non-conformal theories, corrections to the definition of \( \kappa \) should be suppressed by an additional power of \( \alpha_s \) \(^{34}\).
FIG. 3: The LHS of the bulk sum rule [Eqs. (3) and (62)] (full line), as evaluated from SU(3) lattice data [35]. The result is negative for all temperatures shown, and should not be associated with the value of the bulk viscosity in SU(3). For comparison, the result from evaluating Eq. (C7) (corresponding to the sum rule by Kharzeev and Tuchin [11]) is shown (dashed lines). The horizontal line is a visual aid to the eye.
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APPENDIX A: THE COEFFICIENT $\kappa$

Here we calculate directly the Euclidean correlator

$$ G(x_1, x_2) = \langle T_{xy}(x_1) T_{xy}(x_2) \rangle_T $$

(A1)

for a free SU(N) gauge theory at finite temperature $T$. We have

$$ G(x_1, x_2) = \left\langle (\partial_x A^a_\alpha - \partial_\alpha A^x_a) (\partial_\alpha A^y_a - \partial_y A^0_a) \left(\partial_x A^\beta_b - \partial_\beta A^x_b\right) \left(\partial_\beta A^y_b - \partial_y A^0_b\right) \right\rangle_T, $$

(A2)

where each of the building blocks is a correlator of the form

$$ C_{i_1i_2j_1j_2l_1l_2m_1m_2}(x_1, x_2) = \langle \partial_{i_1} A^i_a \partial_{j_1} A^j_a \partial_{l_1} A^{l_2} A^{m_2}_b \partial_{m_1} A^m_b \rangle_T $$

$$ \times \int^4 \prod_{a,b=1}^{A} \prod_{P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4} e^{i(P_1 + P_2) \cdot x_1 + i(P_3 + P_4) \cdot x_2} P_1^{i_1} P_2^{j_1} P_3^{l_2} P_4^{m_2} $$

(A3)
and \( P = (p, p_4) = (p, 2\pi T n) \), \( \nabla_P = T \sum_n \int \frac{d^4 P}{(2\pi)^4} \). We will be interested in the Fourier transform of these correlators,

\[
C_{1i2j1l21m1m2}(q, q_4) = \int_0^\beta d\tau \int d^3 x e^{-iQ \cdot x} C'_{1i2j1l21m1m2}(x, 0)
\]

\[
= \sum_{P_3, P_4} (Q - P_2)^{i_1} P_2^{j_1} P_3^{k_1} P_4^{m_1} \times
\]

\[
\left[ \langle A^a_i (Q - P_2) A^{m_2}_{j_2} (P_4) \rangle_T \langle A^a_2 (P_2) A^{m_2}_b (P_3) \rangle_T + \langle A^a_2 (Q - P_2) A^{m_2}_b (P_3) \rangle_T \langle A^2_b (P_2) A^{m_2}_a (P_4) \rangle_T + \langle A^a_2 (Q - P_2) A^{m_2}_a (P_2) \rangle_T \langle A^2_b (P_3) A^{m_2}_b (P_4) \rangle_T \right], \quad (A4)
\]

where

\[
\langle A^a_i (P_1) A^b_j (P_2) \rangle_T = \frac{1}{T} \delta_{n_1+n_2,0} (2\pi)^3 \delta(p_1 + p_2) \Delta_{ab}^\mu\nu (P_1), \quad (A5)
\]

and \( \Delta_{ab}^\mu\nu (P_1) \) is the gluon propagator. Note that the last term in Eq. (A4) corresponds to a disconnected diagram; we are only interested in the connected Green’s function, so this term will be dropped in the following. Using Feynman gauge \( \Delta_{ab}^\mu\nu (P_1) = \delta_{ab} \delta^{\mu\nu} P_1^{-2} \), Eq. (A4) simplifies to

\[
C_{1i2j1l21m1m2}(q, q_4) = (N_c^2 - 1) \int_K (Q + K)^{-2} K^{-2}(Q + K)^{i_1} K^{m_1} \times
\]

\[
\left[ (Q + K)^{j_1} \delta^{j_2 l_2} K^{j_1} \delta^{j_2 m_2} + K^{i_1} \delta^{i_2 m_2} (Q + K)^{j_1} \delta^{j_2 l_2} \right], \quad (A6)
\]

and hence the Fourier transform of the energy momentum correlator becomes

\[
G(Q) = (N_c^2 - 1) \int_K (Q + K)^{-2} K^{-2} \times
\]

\[
\left[ 4k_x^2 k_y^2 - 2 K \cdot (Q + K)(k_x^2 + k_y^2) + K^2 k_y^2 + (Q + K)^2 k_y^2 + (K \cdot (Q + K))^2 \right]. \quad (A7)
\]

Since we are interested here in the case for vanishing external frequency \( (p_4 = 0) \), the thermal sums are readily evaluated. Dropping the vacuum part and using the substitution \( k \to k - q \) in part of the integrand one finds

\[
T \sum_n (Q + K)^{-2} = \frac{n(k)}{k},
\]

\[
T \sum_n (Q + K)^{-2} K^{-2} = \frac{n(k)}{k} \left[ \frac{1}{|k + q|^2 - k^2} + \frac{1}{|k - q|^2 - k^2} \right],
\]

\[
T \sum_n K \cdot (Q + K) \frac{K \cdot (Q + K)}{(Q + K)^2 K^2} = \frac{n(k)}{k} \left[ \frac{k \cdot q}{|k + q|^2 - k^2} - \frac{k \cdot q}{|k - q|^2 - k^2} \right],
\]

\[
T \sum_n \frac{(K \cdot (Q + K))^2}{(Q + K)^2 K^2} = \frac{n(k)}{k} \left[ \frac{(k \cdot q)^2}{|k + q|^2 - k^2} + \frac{(k \cdot q)^2}{|k - q|^2 - k^2} \right]. \quad (A8)
\]

Expanding the integrand to \( \mathcal{O}(q^2) \), all the remaining integrals can be done analytically and one finds

\[
\delta G(0, q) = \frac{N_c^2 - 1}{36} T^2 q^2 + \mathcal{O}(q^4), \quad (A9)
\]
so that

$$\kappa = \frac{N^2 - 1}{18} T^2.$$  \hfill (A10)

**APPENDIX B: THE COEFFICIENT $f_q(0)$ IN WEAKLY COUPLED SU($N$)**

Calculation of $G_R^N = \lim_{q_4 \to \infty} G_R(Q)$ starts similar to the calculation for $\kappa$ in the previous section, leading to Eq. (A7) for $G_R(Q)$. For $q = 0$ the sum-integrals become

$$T \sum_n (Q + K)^{-2} = \frac{1 + 2n(k)}{2k},$$

$$T \sum_n (Q + K)^{-2} K_2 = \frac{1 + 2n(k)}{2k} \left[ \frac{1}{q_4^2 + 2i k q_4} + \frac{1}{q_4^2 - 2i k q_4} \right],$$

$$T \sum_n \frac{K \cdot (Q + K)}{(Q + K)^2 K^2} = \frac{1 + 2n(k)}{2k} \left[ \frac{i k q_4}{q_4^2 + 2i k q_4} - \frac{i k q_4}{q_4^2 - 2i k q_4} \right],$$

$$T \sum_n \frac{(K \cdot (Q + K))^2}{(Q + K)^2 K^2} = -\frac{1 + 2n(k)}{2k} \left[ \frac{k^2 q_4^2}{q_4^2 + 2i k q_4} + \frac{k^2 q_4^2}{q_4^2 - 2i k q_4} \right], \hfill (B1)$$

where we used $n(i q_4) = n(2\pi i T n) = 1$. Evaluation of the remaining integrals is straightforward and we find

$$\lim_{q_4 \to \infty} G(q_4,0) - G(q_4,0)_{T=0} = f_{q=0}(0) = -2P, \hfill (B2)$$

where $P = \frac{2}{90}(N_c^2 - 1)\pi^2 T^4$. This result can also be obtained by integrating the result for the spectral function from Ref. [37].

**APPENDIX C: NON-COMMUTATIVITY OF THE $\omega \to 0$ AND $q \to 0$ LIMITS OF THE $\langle \theta \theta \rangle$ CORRELATOR**

In Sec. IV we have shown that

$$\lim_{\omega \to 0, q \to 0} \langle \theta \theta \rangle_R(\omega, q) = -\left( 3s \frac{\partial}{\partial s} - 4 \right) (\epsilon - 3P). \hfill (C1)$$

Using the same method, we now show that

$$\lim_{q \to 0, \omega \to 0} \langle \theta \theta \rangle_R(\omega, q) = -\left( T \frac{\partial}{\partial T} - 4 \right) (\epsilon - 3P). \hfill (C2)$$

This result is consistent with previous results [38] derived through the Euclidean path integral following the method of Ref. [39]. It should be expected: Euclidean correlators are defined with discrete Matsubara frequencies $\omega_E = 2\pi n T$, and the only sensible zero momentum limit in the Matsubara formalism is to set $\omega_E = 0$ first, and then take $q \to 0$.

We turn on a static metric perturbation,

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} e^{-2\Omega(x)}. \hfill (C3)$$
When $\Omega(\mathbf{x})$ varies smoothly, one can use hydrodynamics to find out the response. For static perturbations we expect the response will be static. The velocity field is $u^0 = \epsilon \Omega$, $u^i = 0$, and the temperature depends on space, $T = T(\mathbf{x})$. Substituting $T^{\mu\nu} = (\epsilon + P)u^\mu u^\nu + Pg^{\mu\nu}$ into the equation $\nabla_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0$, we find
\[
\partial_i P - (\epsilon + P)\partial_i \Omega = 0. \tag{C4}
\]
Using $dP = s dT$ and $\epsilon + P = Ts$, the solution to this equation is
\[
T = T_0 e^{\Omega}. \tag{C5}
\]
The correlator is found from
\[
\langle \theta \theta \rangle(\omega = 0, q \to 0) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \Omega} \left( \sqrt{-gT_{\mu\nu}} \right) = - \left( T \frac{\partial}{\partial T} - 4 \right) (\epsilon - 3P). \tag{C6}
\]
One apparent paradox is that if one writes down the bulk sum rule for any spatial momentum $q \neq 0$, the integral should be equal to $-\langle \theta \theta \rangle(0, q)$ which is given by (C2) but not (C1):
\[
\left( T \frac{\partial}{\partial T} - 4 \right) (\epsilon - 3P) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty d\omega \delta \rho_{\text{bulk}}(\omega, q), \quad q \neq 0. \tag{C7}
\]
There is no contradiction, however, as the integral in Eq. (C7) is expected to receive a finite contribution from the region $\omega \sim q$, in particular from the sound-wave peak at $\omega = c_s q$, as the $\langle \theta \theta \rangle$ correlator has a sound-wave pole. When $q \to 0$, this region shrinks to zero size, but its contribution remains finite. The contribution from the sound-wave peak can be calculated as follows: to leading order in hydrodynamic fluctuations, $T^{xx} = T^{yy} = T^{zz} = c_s^2 T^{00}$, so
\[
G_R(\omega, q)^{\text{bulk}} = (1 - 3c_s^2) c_s^2 (\epsilon + P). \tag{C8}
\]
Defining $\langle T^{00} T^{00} \rangle_R = \frac{q^2}{c_s^2} \chi_L(\omega, q) \simeq \frac{\chi_L(\omega, q)}{c_s^2}$ and using Teaney’s result for the spectral density corresponding to $\chi_L$ [13],
\[
\frac{\delta \rho_L(\omega, q)}{\omega} = \frac{\epsilon + p}{2} \left[ \frac{\Gamma_s q^2/2}{(\omega - c_s q)^2 + (\Gamma_s q^2/2)^2} + (\omega \to -\omega) \right], \tag{C9}
\]
where $\Gamma_s = (\epsilon + P)^{-1} \left( \frac{4}{3} \eta + \zeta \right)$, the integral over the sound-wave peak at positive frequency gives $\lim_{q \to 0} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty d\omega \delta \rho_L(\omega, q) = \epsilon + P$. Therefore, the contribution for the integral over $\delta \rho^{\text{bulk}}$ is precisely the difference between the LHS of Kharzeev–Tuchin’s and our sum rule,
\[
\left( T \frac{\partial}{\partial T} - 3s \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \right) (\epsilon - 3P) = \frac{(1 - 3c_s^2)^2}{c_s^2} (\epsilon + P). \tag{C10}
\]
On the other hand, in the bulk sum rule (62), we first compute the spectral function $\rho(\omega)$ at any finite, nonzero $\omega$ by setting $q = 0$ in $\rho(\omega, q)$, and then take the spectral integral. The
sound-wave contribution does not appear in this integral, which means that our Eq. (62), but not the Kharzeev and Tuchin’s version, applies. Note that at zero temperature the two limits $\omega \to 0$ and $q \to 0$ commute.
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