Confocal analysis of cholinergic and dopaminergic inputs onto pyramidal cells in the prefrontal cortex of rodents
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Cholinergic and dopaminergic projections to the rat medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) are both involved in cognitive functions including attention. These neuronal systems modulate mPFC neuronal activity mainly through diffuse transmission. In order to better understand the anatomical level of influence of these systems, confocal microscopy with triple-fluorescent immunolabeling was used in three subregions of the mPFC of rats and Drd1a-tdTomato/Drd2-EGFP transgenic mice. The zone of interaction was defined as a reciprocal microproximity between dopaminergic and cholinergic axonal segments as well as pyramidal neurons. The density of varicosities, along these segments was considered as a possible activity-dependant morphological feature. The percentage of cholinergic and dopaminergic fibers in microproximity ranged from 12 to 40% depending on the layer and mPFC subregion. The cholinergic system appeared to have more influence on dopaminergic fibers since a larger proportion of the dopaminergic fibers were within microproximity to cholinergic fibers. The density of both cholinergic and dopaminergic varicosities was significantly elevated within microproximities. The main results indicate that the cholinergic and dopaminergic systems converge on pyramidal cells in mPFC particularly in the layer V. In transgenic mice 93% of the pyramidal cells expressed the transgenic marker for Drd2 expression, but only 22% expressed the maker for Drd1a expression. Data presented here suggest that the moduation of mPFC by dopaminergic fibers would be mostly inhibitory and localized at the output level whereas the cholinergic modulation would be exerted at the input and output level both through direct interaction with pyramidal cells and dopaminergic fibers.
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INTRODUCTION

The rat medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) is involved in a variety of cognitive functions including attentional processes, working memory, behavioral flexibility and inhibition (Heidbreder and Groenewegen, 2003; Gabbott et al., 2005; Briand et al., 2007). Its three main anatomical subdivisions, the anterior cingulate (Cg1), the prelimbic (PrL) and the infralimbic (IL) areas (Vertes, 2004, 2006; Tavares and Correa, 2006) receive long ascending projections from cholinergic neurons of the basal forebrain (BF) (Golmayo et al., 2003; Henny and Jones, 2008) and from dopaminergic neurons of the ventral tegmental area (VTA). Both neuronal systems modulate mPFC activity and attentional performance (Gill et al., 2000; Golmayo et al., 2003; Sarter et al., 2003; Chudasama et al., 2004; Dalley et al., 2004; Sarter et al., 2005; Goto et al., 2007; Del Arco and Mora, 2009). Particularly, acetylcholine (ACh) plays an essential role in the top-down control of attention (Sarter et al., 2006), orienting and retention in working memory tasks (Broersen et al., 1995; Steckler et al., 1998; Thienel et al., 2009). Dopamine (DA) plays a major role in motor control, selective attention, impulsivity, executive control (Robbins, 2005; Chen et al., 2007; Lapish et al., 2007; Li et al., 2007; Thienel et al., 2009) and has a “fine-tuning” effect for optimum cognitive performance (Granon et al., 2000; Tzschentke, 2001). Both ACh and DA systems are involved in pathologies such as Alzheimer’s disease, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and schizophrenia.

There is a growing body of pharmacological evidence supporting the interaction between ACh and DA in the rat prefrontal cortex. Increase of DA release by ACh in the prefrontal cortex seems to happen at a local level through nicotinic receptors (nAChR) (Rao et al., 2003; Cao et al., 2005; Rossi et al., 2005; Shearman et al., 2005). Inhibition of ACh esterase (Shearman et al., 2006) or stimulation of muscarinic receptors (Perry et al., 2001; Ichikawa et al., 2002) also induced the release of DA in the mPFC. Likewise, DA has been shown to influence ACh transmission (Laplante et al., 2004). Activation of D1 dopaminergic receptors (D1R) has been proposed to exert a tonic, facilitatory influence upon cholinergic transmission (Yang and Mogenson, 1990). Extracellular levels of ACh were robustly enhanced in the PFC following systemic administration of the D1 agonist SKF82958 (Di Cara et al., 2007) and the D1 antagonists (Lacroix et al., 2006). However, due to the systemic infusion of these dopamine agonists/antagonists, it is not clear if the modulation of ACh release by DA in these experiments occurred at a local level or is part of a larger neural circuitry modulation.
It has also been shown that both DA and ACh modulate the activity of pyramidal neurons and the functional output of the mPFC (Spruston, 2008). The excitatory post-synaptic potential (EPSP) of pyramidal neurons in layer V of the rat mPFC can be attenuated by the D₂R agonist SKF 81297 in vitro (Rotaru et al., 2007). DA has been shown to have an inhibitory effect on layer V pyramidal cells in the rat mPFC through D₂R activation in vitro (Gulledge and Jaffe, 1998; Steketee, 2003) and in vivo (Goto and Grace, 2005). In addition, mAChR stimulation in layer V pyramidal cells of the rodent PrL/IL cortex produces a strong depolarization and leads to tonic firing by dramatically enhancing the summation of EPSP (Carr and Surmeier, 2007). In slices from rat PrL, nicotinic and muscarinic agonists modulate excitatory synaptic transmission, indicating the ascending cholinergic systems might participate in information processing in the PrL via control of ongoing excitation to pyramidal cells (Vidal and Changeux, 1993).

Evidence for the physiological basis for the interaction between ACh, DA, and glutamatergic pyramidal cells is extensive, however the neuroanatomical correlate within the mPFC supporting this interaction has not been established. Diffuse transmission, rather than synaptic transmission, is a widely occurring and well-documented phenomenon for ACh and DA in mPFC (Descarries et al., 1997; Descarries, 1998; Descarries and Mecharaw, 2000; Yamasaki et al., 2010). The current study investigated the three-dimensional anatomical relationship among the cholinergic system, dopaminergic system, and pyramidal cells by quantifying the microproximity among these three neuronal elements in the mPFC of rats using confocal laser-scanning microscopy. Microproximity between dopaminergic and cholinergic fibers or pyramidal cells (defined as relationships with a gap less than 3 μm between two neuronal elements) was considered as a possible functional interaction zone. As the axonal varicosities are the site of neurotransmitters release, the number of en passant varicosities within the axonal segments sharing microproximity was quantified, as an index of possible functional activity. As well, the expression of D₁AR and D₂R by pyramidal cells and cholinergic fibers within the mPFC was examined since these receptors are implicated in the top-down modulation of subcortical areas via descending PFC (Onn et al., 2006; Del Arco and Mora, 2009). For this purpose we used Drd1a-tdTomato/Drd2-EGFP double BAC-transgenic mice (Gong et al., 2003; Shuen et al., 2008) expressing tdTomato as a reporter for D₁AR-expressing neurons and EGFP as a reporter for D₂R-expressing neurons. These mice enabled us to overcome limitations imposed by the lack of readily available antibodies that discriminate between these receptor subtypes. Anatomical relationships were investigated within different subregions of the mPFC (PrL, IL, Cg1) as well as in the layer receiving cortico-cortical input (II/III) and the output layer (V) of the mPFC to determine a possible regional specificity of the different neuronal systems.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**ANIMAL PREPARATION**

Six Male Long Evans rats (300–325 g, Université de Montréal obtained from Charles River Canada (St-Constant, Québec, Canada) and three Drd1a-tdTomato Drd2-EGFP double transgenic mice (Gong et al., 2003; Shuen et al., 2008) (Université Laval) were housed in a temperature-controlled room (21–25°C) under natural daylight, and had free access to food and water. Animals were deeply anesthetized with pentobarbital (54 mg/kg body weight i.p.) and then were perfused transcardially with 4% paraformaldehyde (30% glycerol, 30% ethylene glycol, 40% NaPB 0.24 M) solution at –20°C until further use. All procedures were approved by the local Animal Care Committee Université de Montréal (rats) and Université Laval (mice) and were conducted in accordance with the guidelines of the Canadian Council on Animal Care.

**IMMUNOCYTOCHEMISTRY**

Sections were thoroughly washed in 0.1 M PBS, and then incubated in 0.3% H₂O₂ in 0.1 M PBS. The sections were then washed and incubated in 1.5% donkey serum in PBS-T (0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH = 7.4), then stored in antifreeze (30% glycerol, 30% ethylene glycol, 40% NaPB 0.24 M) solution at –20°C until further use. All procedures were approved by the local Animal Care Committee Université de Montréal (rats) and Université Laval (mice) and were conducted in accordance with the guidelines of the Canadian Council on Animal Care.

**Table 1 | Combination of primary and secondary antibodies for triple-fluorescent staining procedures.**

| Series | Primary antibodies (48 h) | Secondary antibodies (2 h 30) |
|--------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| ChAT fibers/TH fibers/pyramidal cells in rats | ChAT Goat 1:200 | Anti-goat-FITC 1:200 |
| | TH Rabbit 1:1000 | Anti-rabbit-CY5 1:200 |
| | EAAC1 Mouse 1:1000 | Anti-mouse-CY3 1:200 |
| Drd1a/Drd2/pyramidal cells in mice | DsRed Rabbit 1:200 | Anti-rabbit-CY5 1:200 |
| | GFP Goat 1:100 | Anti-goat-FITC 1:200 |
| | EAAC1 Mouse 1:1000 | Anti-mouse-CY3 1:200 |
| Drd1a/Drd2/ChAT fibers in mice | DsRed Rabbit 1:200 | Anti-rabbit-CY5 1:200 |
| | GFP Mouse 1:100 | Anti-mouse-FITC 1:200 |
| | ChAT Goat 1:200 | Anti-goat-CY3 1:200 |

**Abbreviations:** ChAT, choline acetyltransferase; EAAC1, excitatory amino acid carrier 1 protein; TH, tyrosine hydroxylase.
To investigate the anatomical relationship between cholinergic and dopaminergic fibers, a randomly-chosen region of interest (ROI) of 12500.00 μm² on the maximum projection of three consecutive optical scanning sections in each image was examined using LCS Lite. Because the step size was set at 1 μm, the depth of the ROI on this projection was 3 μm, and the distance between two visible fibers did not span more than 3 μm in the z-axis. The total length of dopaminergic (TH) and cholinergic nerve fibers (ChAT) were evaluated in ROIs with ImagePro using manual tracing (Figure 2). The fiber density (μm/μm²) in each ROI was determined by dividing the total cholinergic or dopaminergic fiber density over the ROI volume. The volume of the ROI on each image was obtained by multiplying the area of the ROI (12500.00 μm²) with the depth of the ROI.

Cholinergic and dopaminergic fiber microproximity were quantified semi-manually using LCS lite (Figure 1). For convergence of dopaminergic and cholinergic fibers on mPFC pyramidal neurons, one pyramidal cell per image was analyzed (Figure 1). All single optical scanning sections containing that cell were examined to avoid overcounting. Microproximity for cholinergic, dopaminergic and pyramidal neurons was operationally defined as relationship between axonal segments or axonal segments/pyramidal cell perikaryon with a distance of 3 μm or less. This was considered a conservative within-limit distance for the possibility of occurrence of diffuse transmission given that extracellular ACh may diffuse over short distances and maintain a fast form of non-synaptic transmission, due to the ACh esterase activity and high sensitivity of nAChR (Lendvai and Vizi, 2008; Sarter et al., 2009). As well, DA transmission between elements distant from 2 to 10 μm has been shown. The median diffusion distance of dopamine was estimated as 4.8 μm in the striatum, and extracellular dopamine concentration was spatially heterogeneous on a dimensional scale commensurate with this diffusion distance of individual dopamine molecules (Peters and Michael, 2000).

The total length of ChAT fibers within microproximity of TH fibers was measured by manual tracing within each layer of each ROI and the percentage of microproximity per mm of axonal length was calculated. In cases where the microproximity between two fibers did not span more than 3 μm on the x-y axis, more than three consecutive optical sections composing the image were examined sequentially to ensure the apposition between the two fibers span more than 3 μm in z-axis. The same analysis was made for TH fibers in microproximity of ChAT fibers. Furthermore, in order to detect potential activity-related morphological structures of axons, the density and diameter of TH and ChAT varicosities within or outside the microproximity was evaluated using LCS lite. The varicosities were defined as ellipsoid swelling with a transverse diameter larger than 500 nm (Mechawar et al., 2000). Fiber segments were analyzed for TH varicosities within microproximity of ChAT fibers, TH varicosities outside microproximity of ChAT fibers, ChAT varicosities within microproximity of TH fibers, ChAT varicosities outside microproximity of TH fibers. Fiber segments were randomly analyzed in Layers V and II/III of PrL and Cg1 and IL. In total, 1208 fiber segments were randomly chosen and analyzed in the rat mPFC, with a total length of 10296.65 μm.

Two mouse brain sections at the level of IL, PrL and Cg1 were analyzed using 100× oil lens in sequential scanning mode and captured by LCS lite. Two ROIs were randomly chosen within each layer.
Zhang et al. ChAT and TH anatomical interaction projection to ensure validity of the measurements. Colocalization of Drd1a and Drd2 on the images of triple labeling of Drd1a, Drd2, and pyramidal cells, as well as Drd1a and ChAT, and Drd2 and ChAT on the images of triple immunostaining of Drd1a, Drd2, and ChAT were quantified using the plugin of Intensity Correlation Analysis (Li et al., 2004) in the ImageJ software (Collins, 2007). Mander’s Overlap coefficient ($R$) was used to indicate the colocalization of the two neuronal elements (Manders et al., 1992), with $R$ ranges between 1 and 0 with 1 being high-colocalization and 0 being low. Each single optical section was examined with ImageJ to avoid overcounting. Background was subtracted using areas that did not contain labeled cells or fibers on the same section.

FIGURE 1 | Methodology for quantification of micropoximities with the Leica confocal microscope. Images of the regions of interest (Cg1, PrL, IL) were randomly taken using 100x oil lens in sequential scanning mode on the coronal sections of rats (A, Bregma, +2.7 mm) and mice (B, Bregma, +1.8 mm). Microphotographs were taken every 200 μm, starting at 0.1 mm above the ventral border of the IL cortex to the dorsal border of Cg1 in each layer (A,B). Red squares indicate location of scanning in Layer V, and blue squares indicate location of scanning in Layer II/III. In the BAC Drd1a-tomato and GENSAT BAC Drd2-EGFP double transgenic mice, two images were taken in each layer in each subregion of the mPFC (B). The close appositions (within 3 μm in 3D) among cholinergic (green), dopaminergic (blue) fibers, and pyramidal (red) cells were examined on the projection image of three consecutive scanning sections (step size of 1 μm) as well as each single scanning section (C–E). Representative examples of ChAT and TH fibers microproximity are shown (squares in D). Arrows showed examples of pyramidal cells within microproximity of ChAT fibers and TH fibers (E). White scale bar: 25 μm; Yellow scale bar: 5 μm.

of each subregion. To ensure sufficient sampling through the z-axis, sections with triple immunolabeling for Drd1a, Drd2, and pyramidal cells were composed of five optical sections, and each section immunolabeled for Drd1a, Drd2, and ChAT fibers was composed of ten optical sections. The step size between any two consecutive optical sections was set at 1 μm. The images of triple staining of Drd1a, Drd2 and pyramidal cells were exported to Photoshop CS3 and the presence of Drd1a and Drd2 on pyramidal cells were quantified by manual counting within the ROI. A total of 1810 pyramidal cells were examined. Only pyramidal cells with a clear outline and complete shapes were examined. These pyramidal cells were examined both on the five single optical sections and the overlay projection to ensure validity of the measurements. Colocalization of Drd1a and Drd2 on the images of triple labeling of Drd1a, Drd2, and pyramidal cells, as well as Drd1a and ChAT, and Drd2 and ChAT on the images of triple immunostaining of Drd1a, Drd2, and ChAT were quantified using the plugin of Intensity Correlation Analysis (Li et al., 2004) in the ImageJ software (Collins, 2007). Mander’s Overlap coefficient ($R$) was used to indicate the colocalization of the two neuronal elements (Manders et al., 1992), with $R$ ranges between 1 and 0 with 1 being high-colocalization and 0 being low. Each single optical section was examined with ImageJ to avoid overcounting. Background was subtracted using areas that did not contain labeled cells or fibers on the same section.
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### Statistical Analysis

SPSS (17.0) Wilcoxon signed ranks test \( (p < 0.05, \text{two-tailed}) \) was used to compare the regional effects among IL, PrL and Cg1 and the laminar effects between layer V and layer II/III in the rats and mice. Pearson correlation \( (p < 0.05, \text{two-tailed}) \) was used to evaluate the correlation between the incidents of microproximity with fiber density in the rats. Paired student \( t \) test \( (p < 0.05, \text{two-tailed}) \) was used to compare the density and diameter of varicosities inside microproximity and those outside microproximity, as well as the laminar distribution. An ANOVA \( (p < 0.05, \text{two-tailed}) \) was used to evaluate the regional difference in the density and diameter of varicosities.

### Results

**Cholinergic and Dopaminergic Innervation of IL, PrL, and Cg1 Cortical Areas in Rats**

Prominent innervations of IL, PrL, and Cg1 by both ChAT and TH fibers were observed (Figure 2). The density of TH fibers ranged from 0.008 μm/μm^3 in Cg1 to 0.020 μm/μm^3 in IL, and the density of ChAT fibers ranged from 0.021 μm/μm^3 in Cg1 to 0.044 μm/μm^3 in PrL (Table 2). ChAT fibers were significantly denser than TH fibers in most subregions in both layers of mPFC, except in layer V of IL, where significant level was not reached \( (p < 0.05) \). Cg1 had significantly smaller TH and ChAT fiber density compared to IL and PrL in both layers \( (p < 0.05) \). Part of the ChAT fibers could be attributed to the intracortical cholinergic neurons but this did not represent more than 10% of the fibers (the ChAT staining was almost absent following BF quisqualic acid lesion; data not shown, but see Vaucher and Hamel, 1995).

### Table 2 | Dopaminergic and cholinergic fiber density in the mPFC of rats.

|                  | Infrahilimic Ctx | Prelimbic Ctx | Cingulate Ctx |
|------------------|------------------|---------------|---------------|
| LAYER II/III     |                  |               |               |
| TH fiber density | $1.56 \pm 0.32^{*\text{**}}$ | $1.35 \pm 0.30^{*\text{**}}$ | $0.79 \pm 0.20^{*\text{**}}$ |
| ChAT fiber density | $3.53 \pm 1.03^{*\text{**}}$ | $4.44 \pm 1.00^{*\text{**}}$ | $2.52 \pm 0.43^{*\text{**}}$ |
| LAYER V          |                  |               |               |
| TH fiber density | $1.95 \pm 0.39^{*\text{**}}$ | $1.79 \pm 0.48^{*\text{**}}$ | $0.79 \pm 0.10^{*\text{**}}$ |
| ChAT fiber density | $2.50 \pm 0.79^{*\text{**}}$ | $3.24 \pm 0.63^{*\text{**}}$ | $2.12 \pm 0.37^{*\text{**}}$ |

All values are means ± SEM expressed in $10^{-2} \mu$m/μm^3 from six animals. Abbreviations: ChAT, choline acetyltransferase; Ctx, cortex; TH, tyrosine hydroxylase.

*Wilcoxon test, \( p < 0.05, \text{two-tailed} \), ChAT vs TH fibers.

\(^{\text{**}}\)Wilcoxon test, \( p < 0.05, \text{two-tailed} \), Region-specific difference.

\(^{\text{***}}\)Wilcoxon test, \( p < 0.05, \text{two-tailed} \), Laminar-specific difference.

---

FIGURE 2 | Density of the ChAT (green) fibers (A,B,E,F,J) and TH (blue) fibers (C,D,G,H,K,L) in Layer II/III and Layer V of cingulate cortex (Cg1, A–D), prelimbic (PrL, E–H) and infralimbic (IL, I–L) subregions of the medial prefrontal cortex in rats. The density of ChAT fibers was greater than those of TH fibers and showed regional differences. Scale bar: 50 μm.
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The proportion of the ChAT fibers within the microproximity of TH fibers (Figure 3, Table 3), ranged from 12–18% in layer V of the rat mPFC and 8–13% in layer II/III. Layer V of each subregion in mPFC had a significantly larger proportion of ChAT fibers close to TH fibers compared to layer II/III (p < 0.05), with the exception of IL. In addition, both Cg1 and IL had a significantly larger proportion of ChAT fibers in microproximity to TH fibers than PrL in both layers V and II/III. In contrast, 21–40% of the TH fibers in layer V as well as II/III shared relationship with ChAT fibers (Figure 3; Table 3). Cg1 had a significantly larger proportion of TH fibers in microproximity with ChAT fibers compared to IL or PrL in both layers V and II/III (p < 0.05). In each subregion of the rat mPFC, the proportion of TH fibers in microproximity with ChAT fibers was significantly higher than the proportion of ChAT fibers in microproximity to TH fibers, with the exception of Layer V of IL (p < 0.05). Because the tortuosity and density of TH and ChAT fibers were different as well as the fiber density for each neuronal system, the length of TH and ChAT microproximities was not symmetrical.

The density of both TH and ChAT varicosities (Figure 4) was significantly elevated within microproximity of the other fiber system in each subregion of bothLayer V (ChAT: 0.59 ± 0.03 per μm of ChAT fiber length; TH: 0.53 ± 0.04 per μm of TH fiber length) and Layer II/III (ChAT: 0.56 ± 0.03, TH: 0.52 ± 0.02) in the rat mPFC compared to those outside microproximity (ChAT: 0.43 ± 0.06, TH: 0.36 ± 0.03; p < 0.05; Table 4; Figure 4). The TH varicosity diameter within microproximity (664 ± 21 nm) compared to that outside microproximity (646 ± 25 nm) was significantly larger (p = 0.001). The TH varicosity diameter (677 ± 23 nm) within microproximity compared to that outside microproximity was also significantly larger (p = 0.016). TH varicosity diameter within microproximity in layer V (685 ± 34 nm) was significantly larger than that in layer II/III (670 ± 17 nm; p = 0.001, Table 5).

MICROPROXIMITY BETWEEN PYRAMIDAL CELLS AND CHOLINERGIC AND DOPAMINERGIC FIBERS IN IL, PRl AND Cg1 OF RATS

The majority of pyramidal cells were found in close proximity with cholinergic fibers both in layer V and II/III of the three regions examined (Figure 5). In layer V, 78% of the pyramidal cells examined in IL, 90% in PrL, and 89% in Cg1 were in close microproximity with cholinergic fibers (Table 5). In layer II/III these percentages reached 81% in IL, 87% in PrL, and 90% in Cg1. PrL and Cg1 of layer V had significantly more pyramidal cells innervated by ChAT fibers than layer V of IL (p < 0.05). As
well, the majority of pyramidal cells were found in close proximity with dopaminergic fibers both in layer V and II/III of the three regions examined. In layer V, 86% of pyramidal cells examined in IL, 80% in PrL, and 87% in Cg1 were in microproximity with dopaminergic fibers. In layer II/III, these numbers were 69% in IL, 65% in PrL, and 61% in Cg1 (Table 5). There were no regional differences but there was significantly more pyramidal cells innervated by TH fibers in layer V of Cg1 than in Layer II/III of Cg1 (p < 0.05).

Most pyramidal cells examined were within the microproximity of both cholinergic and dopaminergic fibers. In layer V, 72% of pyramidal cells examined in IL, 70% in PrL, and 80% in Cg1 showed microproximity with both cholinergic and dopaminergic fibers. In layer II/III these numbers were 64% in IL, 57% in PrL, and 56% in Cg1 (Table 5). There were no regional differences, but there were significantly more pyramidal cells innervated by both ChAT and TH fibers in layer V of Cg1 than in Layer II/III of Cg1 (p < 0.05). In layer V, microproximity of cholinergic or dopaminergic fibers with pyramidal cells were observed mainly at the level of the cell soma, less frequently at their apical dendrites and only scarcely at their basal dendrites (Table 6). There was no difference in the preferred localization of the zone of contact of the pyramidal cell by cholinergic or dopaminergic fibers.

The proportion of pyramidal cells innervated by TH and ChAT fibers was not positively correlated with the fiber density in most regions in either layer, indicating that the innervations were not random (p < 0.05, r ranged from −0.636 to +0.636). The only exception was between the proportion of pyramidal cells innervated by ChAT fibers and ChAT fiber density in Cg1 of layer II/III (Pearson correlation, p = 0.031, r = 0.852). Moreover, a negative correlation was found between the proportion of pyramidal cells innervated by both fiber systems and TH fiber density in IL of Layer V (Pearson correlation, p = 0.036, r = −0.840).

**FIGURE 4** | Representative examples of ChAT (green) and TH (blue) varicosities on fiber segments within (A,B) or outside (C-F) the microproximity of the other fiber system in rat mPFC. Note that an increased density of varicosities was seen in segments in microproximity. The number of varicosities counted on microproximity segments are illustrated by indentations on drawing of ChAT (green lines) and TH (blue lines) fibers (A’–F’). Scale bar: 10 μm.

The presence of D₁a and D₂ receptors (D₁aR and D₂R) on pyramidal cells and ChAT fibers in IL, PrL, and Cg1 of mice

Most pyramidal cells (~92% to 95%) in the mPFC of mice were stained for GFP, i.e. expressing D₂R. However, only a small proportion of pyramidal cells (~16% to 26%) were stained for TdTomato, i.e. expressing D₁aR (Figure 6). About 15% to 25% of pyramidal cells expressed both EGFP and TdTomato (Table 7). No significant region-specific or layer-specific difference was found (p > 0.05). The expression of either D₁aR or D₂R by cholinergic fibers in the sub-regions of mPFC of mice was low, with the Mander’s coefficient of colocalization of TdTomato and ChAT ranging from −0.10 to 0.13 and of GFP and ChAT ranging from 0.22 to 0.27 (Figure 7). No significant region-specific or layer-specific difference was found (p > 0.05).
Table 4 | Number and diameter of TH and ChAT varicosities within or outside microproximity in the mPFC of rats.

| Layer   | TH varicosities within | TH varicosities outside | ChAT varicosities within | ChAT varicosities outside |
|---------|------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
|         | Infilarimbic Ctx       | Prelimbic Ctx           | Cingulate Ctx            |                           |
|         | (666 ± 28)             | (689 ± 44***)           | (656 ± 44)               |                           |
| TH varicosities within | 0.52 ± 0.03*           | 0.53 ± 0.04**           | 0.50 ± 0.04*             |                           |
|         | (672 ± 62††)           | (608 ± 37††)            | (601 ± 121††)            |                           |
| TH varicosities outside | 0.26 ± 0.03††          | 0.33 ± 0.04††           | 0.31 ± 0.04††            |                           |
|         | (664 ± 45*)            | (641 ± 09***)           | (641 ± 23)               |                           |
| ChAT varicosities within | 0.53 ± 0.01†           | 0.59 ± 0.03*            | 0.54 ± 0.04*             |                           |
|         | (654 ± 29*)            | (620 ± 12***)           | (626 ± 24)               |                           |
| ChAT varicosities outside | 0.38 ± 0.13†           | 0.38 ± 0.02‡           | 0.35 ± 0.03‡             |                           |
|         | (690 ± 42‡‡)           | (697 ± 40‡‡)            | (697 ± 40‡‡)             |                           |
| All values are means ± SEM expressed in number of varicosities/μm (or diameter) expressed in mm from six animals. Abbreviations: ChAT, choline acetyltransferase; Ctx, cortex; TH, tyrosine hydroxylase.  
*Paired student t test, p < 0.05, two-tailed, ChAT vs TH varicosities.  
†Paired student t test, p < 0.05, two-tailed, within compared outside microproximity.  
‡One-Way Anova, p < 0.05, two-tailed, Region-specific difference.  
§Paired student t test, p < 0.05, two-tailed, Layer-specific difference.

Table 5 | Percentage of pyramidal cells within the microproximity of ChAT and/or TH fibers in the mPFC of rats.

| Layer   | ChAT fibers | TH fibers | ChAT and TH fibers |
|---------|-------------|-----------|--------------------|
|         | Infilarimbic Ctx | Prelimbic Ctx | Cingulate Ctx     |
|         | 80.6        | 86.7      | 90.3               |
| TH fibers | 69.4        | 65.0      | 61.1†              |
| ChAT and TH fibers | 63.9        | 56.7      | 55.6†              |
| LAYER V  |             |           |                    |
| ChAT fibers | 778*        | 90.0*     | 88.9*              |
| TH fibers | 86.1        | 80.0      | 87.5†              |
| ChAT and TH fibers | 72.2        | 70.0      | 80.6†              |

All values are mean percentage of pyramidal cells within the microproximity of fibers from six animals.  
*Wilcoxon test, p < 0.05, two-tailed, Region-specific difference in fiber density.  
†Wilcoxon test, p < 0.05, two-tailed, Layer-specific difference in fiber density.

**DISCUSSION**

The goal of this study was to determine the anatomical potential for a modulatory interaction between cholinergic and TH afferents in the rodent mPFC and with the output pyramidal cells. The results indicate that the cholinergic and dopaminergic systems converge on pyramidal cells in mPFC to possibly modulate their activity. The level of dopaminergic fibers relationship with pyramidal cells is higher in the output layer compared to the cortico-cortical connections layer (layer II/III), whereas cholinergic fibers have the same extent of interaction in layers II/III and V. The mutual axo-axonal microproximity between the two neuronal systems was poor in mPFC. However, the cholinergic system appears to have more influence on the dopaminergic fibers since a larger proportion of the TH fibers are within microproximity to cholinergic fibers and the cholinergic fibers did not express markers of either d1ar or d2r expression. Moreover, ChAT fiber segments bore a higher density of varicosities compared to those outside the vicinity of TH fibers, which could account for an index of improved ACh transmission in these axonal segments. Both ChAT and TH fiber segments bore a higher density of varicosities within microproximity compared to those outside microproximity indicating a potential for elevated transmission at these sites. In addition, in the mPFC of Drd1a-tdTomato/Drd1a-EGFP mice, pyramidal cells preferentially expressed markers of the inhibitory D_R over D_aR. The result of the present study suggests an important role in mPFC output via co-modulation of pyramidal cells by both cholinergic and dopaminergic fibers, and the heterogeneity of the three subregions in mPFC regarding the neuroanatomical relationship among these three neuronal elements.

**ENRICHMENT OF THE MICROPROXIMITIES**

Confocal microscopy offers a means in which to examine the neuroanatomical relationships among individual optical sections at high resolution in sequence through the specimen (Wouterlood et al., 2007; Ichinohe et al., 2008). Here we examined the frequency of microproximities between fibers and pyramidal cells to determine the possible functional interaction between the cholinergic and dopaminergic system in the PFC in the context of diffuse transmission. The primary interest of the current study was to investigate the extracellular microenvironment, where the output of mPFC is modulated by ACh and DA via diffuse transmission. Synaptic incidents were not counted since ACh terminals rarely form junctional complexes in the neocortex, and only a small proportion of DA varicosities in the neostriatum are synaptic (Descarries et al., 1997, 2008). Rather, the density of varicosities in segments in microproximity were counted and compared to segments outside of microproximity. We hypothesized that the density of varicosities in an axonal segment could be related to the level of activity, like the experience-induced increase of the number of dendritic spines and axonal synaptic boutons (Stettler et al., 2006). This is in agreement with the hypothesis of the “fast form of non-synaptic transmission” of ACh in the rat mPFC. The criterion definition for the sphere of influence of ACh and DA poses a challenge due to the scarcity of data describing the temporal and spatial parameters of diffuse transmission. The real sphere of influence for a neurotransmitter is limited by a number of factors including the initial number of molecules released, the sensitivity and location of specific receptors, the extracellular volume, the tortuosity of diffusion, the diffusion coefficient of the neurotransmitter, and the regionally distinct uptake mechanisms (Nicholson and Sykova, 1998). To our knowledge diffusion properties of DA and ACh in the extracellular space of mPFC are unknown. Based on ultrastructural studies (Chedotal et al., 1994; Vaucher and Hamel, 1995) a maximal distance of 3 μm between two fibers was used as a conservative
Zhang et al. ChAT and TH anatomical interaction devoid of D_1R and D_2R. The lack of clear labeling for markers of dopaminergic receptors might be due to a weak transport of the reporter protein, although the nigrostriatal pathway is well labeled (Shuen et al., 2008). However, the lack of clear labeling of dopaminergic receptors within cholinergic fibers indicates that the pharmacological influence of DA over ACh transmission would take place at the level of pyramidal cells or interneurons rather than in presynaptic modulation of ChAT afferents. It has been shown that DA has an influence on ACh release, which leaves open the potential for a local effect. However, the effect of DA pharmacological agents on ACh transmission might also be exerted through indirect mechanisms when administered systemically, like the involvement of other neurotransmitters systems, instead of local mechanisms. For example, dopaminergic fibers might interact with cholinergic cell bodies in the BF (Smiley et al., 1999) or on glutamatergic input from the nucleus accumbens (Brooks et al., 2007) to exert its influence on projecting cholinergic fibers to the mPFC. Finally, it cannot be excluded that immunodetection of GFP or tdTomato is weaker in the axon compared to the cell body because the gene is expressed in the cell body. While it is possible that the lack of dopaminergic receptors on cholinergic fibers within-limit number for efficient diffuse transmission, i.e. in which neurotransmitter concentration would be sufficient to induce a significant effect, especially taking into consideration the rapid hydrolysis of ACh by AChE (Descarries et al., 1997; Descarries, 1998; Lendvai and Vizi, 2008).

**FIGURE 5** | Representative overlay projection images (A–D) of triple immunolabeling of ChAT (green), TH (blue) fibers, and pyramidal cells (red) from three consecutive optic sections in rat mPFC. Arrows show examples of microproximities. Most pyramidal cells were within microproximity of both ChAT and TH fibers. Scale bar: 5 μm.

**PROPORTION OF ChAT FIBERS WITHIN MICROPlexITY OF TH FIBERS**

Mutual interaction between cholinergic and dopaminergic axons characterized by proximity of axons within 3 μm could be observed in the mPFC. The frequency of microproximity was moderate for the ChAT fibers (9–18%) which suggests a weak resultant influence of DA on the cholinergic fibers if any, but quite consistent for the TH fibers (20–40%). However, the higher density of TH varicosities within the microproximity of ChAT fibers (ranging from 0.49 to 0.56 per μm), compared to those outside microproximity of ChAT fibers (ranging from 0.26 to 0.39 per μm) raises the possibility of a DA release site which could modulate ChAT fibers. The value of Mander’s coefficient of colocalization of tdTomato linked to the Drd1a promoter or EGFP associated with the Drd2 promoter with ChAT was however low (ranging from 0.10 to 0.25), suggesting that the cholinergic fibers were
fibers might be due to low axonal levels of the reporter protein, axonal tdTomato protein is readily detectable in the striatonigral pathway (Shuen et al., 2008).

**HIGHER PROPORTION OF TH FIBERS WITHIN MICROPROXIMITY OF ChAT FIBERS**

The higher proportion of TH fibers in close proximity with ChAT fibers (22–40%), especially within layer V would predict a stronger influence of ACh upon dopaminergic transmission. The higher density and size of ChAT varicosities on the fiber segments that are within microproximity to TH fibers supports the possibility that ACh release modulates TH fibers in the rat mPFC. Pharmacological evidence also supports the notion that the microproximity of DA and ACh is functional since local injections of nicotine or increased level of ACh have been shown to increase DA release in mPFC (Shearman et al., 2005, 2006). The highest proportion of TH and ChAT microproximity occurs in layer V, which suggests that cholinergic fibers modulate the output of mPFC through direct contact to pyramidal cells as well as modulation of DA activity. However, it should be noted that densities and diameters of the varicosities examined under confocal microscope might be slightly overestimated compared to results obtained under electron microscope due to the airy distribution (i.e., a gradient of intensity) of edge of the biological object in bitmapped images (Wouterlood et al., 2007).

**Table 6 | Percentage of pyramidal cells innervated at their soma/apical dendrites/basal dendrites in Layer V of the mPFC of rats.**

|              | Infralimbic Ctx | Prelimbic Ctx | Cingulate Ctx |
|--------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|
| **INNERRVATION BY CHAT FIBERS** |                |               |               |
| Soma         | 39.3           | 51.9          | 51.6          |
| Apical dendrites | 28.6         | 35.2          | 37.5          |
| Basal dendrites  | 0.0            | 7.4           | 3.1           |
| **INNERRVATION BY TH FIBERS** |                |               |               |
| Soma         | 54.8           | 64.6          | 54.0          |
| Apical dendrites | 22.6          | 31.3          | 31.8          |
| Basal dendrites  | 0.0            | 0.0           | 6.4           |

*All values are means percentage of pyramidal cells innervated at submorphological compartment from six animals.*

**COMBINED EFFECTS OF ACh AND DA ON PYRAMIDAL CELLS**

Most pyramidal cells investigated were within the proximity of both cholinergic and dopaminergic fibers. This is consistent with the findings that dopaminergic afferents to the mPFC primarily target the cortical pyramidal cells (Verney et al., 1990). This spatial closeness makes it likely that dopaminergic and cholinergic fibers can modulate mPFC output mostly by innervating the same pyramidal neurons and have a combined effect on pyramidal cell output. In layer V, microproximities between ChAT fibers and TH fibers and pyramidal cells were localized on the soma and apical dendrite. This is consistent with the findings that the majority of the D₁R and D₂R are located on cell bodies and dendrites of cells.
intrinsic to the mPFC (Choi et al., 1995; Tzschentke, 2001). There were relatively few microproximities localized on the basal dendrites which is of importance in spike generation (Shu et al., 2007), but the EAAC1 staining was not sensitive enough to label the extent of the dendritic tree. The observed convergence of cholinergic and dopaminergic fibers on pyramidal cells is consistent with various pharmacological evidence supporting the modulation of mPFC pyramidal cells by ACh and DA (Gulledge and Jaffe, 1998; Carr and Surmeier, 2007; Lopez-Gil et al., 2009). Pyramidal cells may integrate inputs from both cholinergic and dopaminergic systems that in turn transmit and modulate local activity to subcortical target regions. In addition, cholinergic and dopaminergic systems may shape the excitatory output of the mPFC, since neonatal ACh or DA depletion results in layer V neurons having smaller apical tufts, reduced apical dendrites number/density and reduced basilar spine density. Furthermore, combined ACh/DA depletion produced morphological effects that were additive compared to changes produced by cholinergic and dopaminergic denervation alone (Sherren and Pappas, 2005).

Supporting the neuromodulation of pyramidal cells by DA, are findings in the Drd1a-td Tomato Drd2-EGFP mice which indicate that more than 90% of the pyramidal cells express Drd2 and only about 20% of the pyramidal cells contain Drd1a. Our findings are consistent with previous findings showing that D1R were primarily found on non-pyramidal cells, and that D1R and D2R showed only a partial overlap (Vincent et al., 1993, 1995). About 20% of the pyramidal cells express markers for both Drd1a and Drd2, suggesting a possibility of direct D1R–D2R association on the same cell (Dziedzicka-Wasylewska et al., 2008). This indicates that dopaminergic transmission on output pyramidal cells might be inhibitory. This is consistent with previous studies showing an excitatory action of DA on GABAergic cells and an inhibitory action on pyramidal cells (Stekete, 2003). In contrast, cholinergic fibers have the same extent of innervation in layers II/III and V. This indicates that ACh might modulate both the excitatory output and input of the mPFC. As ACh enhances neuronal activity, an

Table 7 | Percentage of pyramidal cells containing Drd1a-tdTomato and Drd2-EGFP in the mPFC of mice.

| Layer I/III          | Infralimbic Ctx | Prelimbic Ctx | Cingulate Ctx |
|----------------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|
| Drd1a                | 21.1            | 24.2          | 16.5          |
| Drd2                 | 92.6            | 94.4          | 93.3          |
| Drd1a and Drd2       | 21.1            | 23.0          | 15.2          |

| Layer V              |                  |               |               |
|----------------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|
| Drd1a                | 19.3            | 25.6          | 21.5          |
| Drd2                 | 94.6            | 92.5          | 92.7          |
| Drd1a and Drd2       | 18.4            | 24.5          | 20.8          |

All values are mean percentage of pyramidal cells from three Drd1a-TdTomato/Drd2-EGFP transgenic mice. Abbreviations: Ctx, cortex.

FIGURE 7 | Colocalization of markers of D1aR (A) or D2R (D) expression with ChAT (B,E) in Drd1a-tdTomato/Drd2-EGFP mice (overlay images, C,F). The colocalization of Drd1a or Drd2 markers and cholinergic fibers was very scarce. Drd1a and Drd2 markers were detected in cortical cell soma but not ChAT fibers. Scale bar: 50 μm.
increased cholinergic transmission would enhance the excitatory output of mPFC. However, the concomitant stimulation of TH fibers, which are inhibitory in layer V, would balance the level of output. According to our anatomical data, it can be suggested that the cholinergic afferents exert an influence on the excitability of the mPFC at both the level of input from associational areas and of output, which can justify an involvement of ACh in retention and orienting, whereas DA would act on impulsivity and selectivity by decreasing the output of layer V neurons (Steckler et al., 1998).

REGIONAL HETEROGENEITY IN mPFC

In this study, region-specific differences were found among the three sub-areas in the rat mPFC in terms of the length of ChAT and TH fibers, the incidents of close appositions between cholinergic and dopaminergic fibers, as well as the proportion of pyramidal cells innervated by cholinergic fibers. Regional heterogeneity in rat mPFC has been a widely-accepted view, and it was shown that IL, PrL and Cg1 each have different extrinsic connections and functions (Broersen et al., 1995; Steckler et al., 1998; Tzschenkite, 2001; Heidbreder and Groenewegen, 2003; Thielsel et al., 2009). The dense interaction of pyramidal cells with both ChAT and TH fibers in the mPFC did not show any significant differences among the three subregions, implicating the importance of this output mode via co-modulation of pyramidal cells by both ChAT and TH fibers throughout the mPFC. However, more appositions between cholinergic and dopaminergic fibers were found in Cg1 despite the smallest fiber density in this area, suggesting a stronger interaction between these systems in Cg1. This is consistent with a lower concentration gradient of extracellular DA in Cg1 compared to IL and dorsal PrL (Seasack et al., 1998; Heidbreder and Groenewegen, 2003). The results also put an emphasis on the relationship of pyramidal cells with cholinergic fibers in layer V of PrL and Cg1, suggesting a greater control of the output of these regions by ACh. Pharmacological evidence suggests that an increase in tonic levels of extracellular ACh mediated enhancement of arousal or readiness for input processing in operant tasks testing attention (Briand et al., 2007; Kozak et al., 2007). Since Cg1 is involved in executive functions (Thielsel et al., 2009), ACh potentially plays a role in executive function by modulating DA neurotransmission in this area. Finally, the regional difference in function of the PFC could be executed via differences in their connectivity to other brain regions (Steckler et al., 1998; Gabbott et al., 2005; Vertes, 2006; Thielsel et al., 2009).

CONCLUSION

This study has shown that the anatomical positioning between the dopaminergic and cholinergic systems in the mPFC seems to be primarily located at the level of the intracortical cells. Contrary to dopaminergic fibers, cholinergic fibers are positioned to modulate both the input and output of mPFC and influence layer V pyramidal cells through direct microproximity and influence on dopaminergic fibers. This finding might contribute to improved pharmacological therapies for the treatment of a series of diseases such as schizophrenia, depression, drug abuse, and attention deficit disorders that are associated with improper function of the PFC.
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