Alkaline electrolysis cells operating at 250°C and 40 bar are able to convert electrical energy into hydrogen at very high efficiencies and power densities. In the present work we demonstrate the application of a PTFE hydrophobic network and Ag nanowires as oxygen evolution electrocatalyst in the metal foam based gas diffusion electrodes. A novel cell production method, based on tape casting and hot pressing, was developed which allows to increase the cell size from lab scale (1 cm²) to areas of 25 cm² or larger. The thickness of the electrolyte matrix could be adjusted to only 200 μm, achieving a serial resistance and total area specific resistance of only 60 m²Ω cm² and 150 m²Ω cm², respectively, at 200°C and 20 bar, yielding a record high current density of 3.75 A cm⁻² at a cell voltage of 1.75 V. Encouraging long-term stability was obtained over 400 h of continuous electrolysis. This novel cell concept promises more than a 10-fold improvement in power density, compared to conventional alkaline electrolysis cells, and thereby equivalent reduction in stack size and cost.

In order to accommodate the rapidly increasing contribution of intermittent renewable energy sources to the energy supply system, efficient and large scale energy storage technologies are needed.1–3 Hydrogen production through electrolysis of water offers a promising technology for large scale grid storage, as well as a sustainable route for Increased Renewable Energy Penetration.4

Several projects have been successfully presented at the Cancun, Mexico, Meeting of the Society, October 5–9, 2014. This paper is part of the JES Focus Issue on Electrolysis for Increased Renewable Energy Penetration.

Experimental

Production of electrolyte matrix tape.—Yttria-stabilized zirconia (YSZ) tape was produced using tape casting. 300 g of YSZ powder (TZ-3Y, Tosoh) was mixed with 130 g Ethanol and 40.4 g Polyvinylpyrrolidone (PV) as binder. The slurry was treated by ball milling with 1000 g 3/8” balls for 72 h in a 2·1 container with 50 rpm before 145.6 g of an appropriate binder was added. Thereafter the slurry was mixed for another 24 h at 25 rpm. After filtering and de-airing in vacuum the slurry was filled in the tape caster and a tape was produced with a speed of 20 cm min⁻¹ and a doctoral blade height of 80 μm. After drying for another 24 h the tape was ready to use.

Assembly of the foam based alkaline electrolysis cells (FoBACEs).—Nickel-based metal foams of a thickness of 1.5 mm and a porosity of ca. 95% were pressed in a hot press at 200°C to reduce the thickness to ca. 0.5 mm. The used foams were either pure Nickel foam, or Nickel foam coated by Inconel 625 or NiFeCrAl alloy delivered by Alantum. The produced YSZ tape was placed between two metal foam layers (5 × 5 cm²) and pressed at 200°C for 30 s. The final cell thickness was chosen to be 100 μm less than the sum of the two foams and the tape in order to achieve partial penetration of the foams into the YSZ tape. The cells were sintered in air at 200°C,
420 °C and 600 °C for 4 h with a heating ramp of 15 K h$^{-1}$, followed by a second sintering step in 9% H$_2$ (Ar balance) at 1000 °C for 6 h with a heating and cooling ramp of 40 K h$^{-1}$. Fabricated square cells (5 × 5 cm$^2$) with Ni or NiFeCrAl alloy foam based oxygen electrode (OE) and an Inconel foam hydrogen electrode (HE) was laser-cut into circular cells (1 cm in diameter) for electrochemical testing in a high temperature and pressure electrochemical test station.$^{11} $

**Porosimetry.**—Mercury porosimetry was performed with an AutoPore IV 9500 analyzer, since the porosity and pore size distribution of the YSZ structure is of high interest for the immobilization of the aqueous electrolyte. The sample for porosimetry was produced similar to the FobAECs, but without the foams pressed on the two sides of the YSZ tape.

**Impregnation of the anode with PTFE and Ag nanowires.**—A dispersion with 100 mg PTFE powder with particle size of 1 μm (Sigma-Aldrich, 430935), 5 drops dispersant (X-100, Sigma-Aldrich) and 100 mg ammonium bicarbonate (Sigma-Aldrich, 11213) as pore-former were mixed with a Ag nanowire dispersion (115 nm × 20–50 μm (diameter × length), Sigma-Aldrich, 739448). 5 drops of the dispersion were dropped on the OE of the cell which was laser cut as described earlier. The cell was then calcined for 1 h at 300 °C with a heating and cooling ramp of 50 K h$^{-1}$. PTFE particles were preferred to Teflon AF to prevent hydrophobization of the porous electrolyte matrix of the cells.

**Electrochemical measurements.**—Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) and cyclic voltammetry (CV), were performed in order to determine the cell characteristics during operation as an electrolysis cell. A Gamry Reference 3000 potentiostat was used to conduct the measurements. Cyclic voltammograms were recorded starting from open circuit voltage (OCV), increasing the cell voltage to 1.75 V, and then returning to OCV. EIS has been performed under a cell polarization of 1.5 V. The total area specific resistance (ASR) [mΩ cm$^2$] and the serial resistance (R$_S$) [mΩ cm$^2$] of the cells were determined from the impedance measurements under polarization without any corrections. The FobAECs were immersed in 45 wt% KOH prior to the measurements to fill the electrolyte matrix with aqueous KOH electrolyte. A high temperature and pressure electrochemical test station that enables electrochemical testing in the temperature range of 25–280 °C and pressure range of 1–100 bar was used for the cell testing. The entire system, including a sample holder for simultaneous measurements of four cells, has been described in detail elsewhere.$^{11} $ The measurements reported here were carried out at a pressure of 20 bar and temperature of 200 °C. The OE was constantly flushed with a gas mixture of 30% H$_2$O (g), 5% O$_2$ and 65% N$_2$ while the HE was flushed with pure H$_2$.

**Results**

**Physical structure of the produced cells.**—Figure 1 shows a SEM micrograph of the cross section of a FobAEC with Inconel foam electrodes and a porous YSZ electrolyte matrix. The thickness of each foam and of the electrolyte matrix was ca. 450 μm and 180 μm, respectively. The YSZ layer adapted to the shape of the foams, while the foams did not penetrate deep into the electrolyte matrix. The foams remained very porous despite being pressed prior to cell assembling.

**Porosimetry analysis.**—The pore size distribution of the YSZ electrolyte matrix used for immobilization of the aqueous KOH was characterized by Hg porosimetry, the results of which are shown in Figure 2. The mean pore size was found to be 70 nm with a porosity of 45.0%.

**Hydrophobic layer.**—A PTFE dispersion with Ag nanowires was used to impregnate the metal foam OE of the FobAEC, as described earlier. The PTFE particles adhered well to the metal surface of the foam as can be seen in Figure 3. The pore size of the foam was typically around 250 μm. The PTFE coating was almost completely covering the surface of the foam at the outer side of the cell, while the coverage was reduced toward the inner part of the foam neighboring the electrolyte matrix to ca. 5–20% (rough estimate based on SEM images). The pore size of the PTFE coating was typically around 1 μm, but also bigger pores (≈10 μm) were identified.
**Figure 4.** Impedance results of a foam based alkaline electrolysis cell at 200°C and 20 bar at a cell voltage of 1.5 V. a) Rs and ASR of the cell over a period of 10 h. b) Nyquist plot of the corresponding measurements at the beginning and at the end of the session. The OE was constantly flushed with a gas mixture of 30% H₂O(g), 5% O₂ and 65% N₂ while the HE was flushed with pure H₂.

**Electrolysis test at 200°C and 20 bar.**—A FobAEC with Ni foam based OE, impregnated with PTFE and Ag nanowires, and Inconel foam HE (without further catalysts or coating) was characterized electrochemically. The measured Rs and ASR are shown in Figure 4a. Rs (measured at 390 kHz) increased from 58 mΩ cm² to 78 mΩ cm² and the ASR (measured at 1 Hz) decreased from 162 mΩ cm² to 144 mΩ cm² during the 10 h lasting impedance measurements. Figure 4b displays the Nyquist plot of the corresponding measurements at the beginning and at the end of the 10 h long session. The data at the low frequency part (higher values of Z₇ₑ₉ₑ) are rather scattered.

Cyclic voltammetry (CV) was performed at 200°C and 20 bar with a sweep rate of 20 mV/s. Figure 5A shows a CV obtained before the impedance measurements described above, Figure 5B thereafter. The current density at a cell voltage U₉ₑ₉ₑ of 1.5 V and 1.75 V was 0.75 A cm⁻² and 3.0 A cm⁻², respectively, before the impedance measurements. After the impedance measurements the current density at 1.5 V was 0.9 A cm⁻² at the positive and 1.75 A cm⁻² at the negative loop of the CV. At 1.75 V it was 3.75 A cm⁻².

It should be pointed out here that current densities recorded during cyclic voltammetry may deviate from steady state values. Chronopotentiometry was thus performed in order to determine steady state performance values. As shown in Figure 6, the steady state cell voltage is similar to that recorded during the negative loop (decreasing cell voltage) of the CV shown in Figure 5b, for current densities up to 1 A cm⁻². It is therefore reasonable to assume that this part of the CV is representative of the steady state response of the cell.

**Post mortem analysis of the O₂ electrode.**—The OE of a FobAEC, similar to the one shown in Figure 3, has been analyzed by SEM and EDS after 140 h exposure at 200°C and 20 bar, including the electrochemical measurements described above. Figure 7 shows the SEM analysis of the OE. The Ni foam in Figure 7a appears to retain its original structure. Higher magnification views reveal that the PTFE coating still covers most of the outer metal surface, but its microstructure has become somewhat rougher and more irregular. The Ag nanowires...
were only found at limited areas (approx. 1%) of the foam’s outer surface. Figure 8 shows (a) a SEM micrograph of the OE area analyzed by EDS, and the corresponding (b) fluorine (indicative of PTFE) and (c) Ag distribution. Fluorine is well distributed over the foam verifying that the PTFE layer is still in place in line with the SEM observations. The EDS mapping of Ag also points toward a homogeneous distribution of the Ag nanowires over the foam’s surface.

Long-term electrolysis test at 200 °C and 20 bar.—The long-term performance of a FobAEC, comprising a NiFeCrAl alloy foam OE (impregnated with PTFE and Ag nanowires) and an Inconel foam HE was examined over a 400 h duration continuous electrolysis experiment at 200 °C and 20 bar at a current density of 500 mA cm⁻². As can be seen in Figure 9, the starting performance of the cell was far inferior to that of the cell presented earlier in Figures 4 and 5. The Rₛ was 3–4 times larger, despite the similar thickness and microstructural properties of the electrolyte supporting matrix, whereas the Rₚ of the cell was ca. 3 times larger. Nevertheless, the long-term performance of the cell appears rather stable, with a fluctuation of the order of 20% around a value of 0.2 Ω cm² for the Rₛ and 0.5 Ω cm² for the ASR. The cell voltage also remained rather stable at 1.5 ± 0.1 V.

Discussion

Cell production.—Fabrication of FobAECs by tape casting, hot pressing and sintering was successfully demonstrated at a cell size of 5 × 5 cm², using metal foam based electrodes and a porous YSZ matrix. Up-scaling to significantly larger cells (>20 × 20 cm²) is in principle possible using this cost-effective production method. The electrode surface was rendered hydrophobic by applying a PTFE coating using a PTFE dispersion. The distribution of PTFE ranged from almost complete coverage at the outer parts of the metal foam to a limited coverage (estimated to ca. 5–20%) near the electrolyte support matrix. This reduced hydrophobicity at the active electrode part is expected to be beneficial for the electrode performance. Ag nanowires which were applied along with the PTFE dispersion showed a similar distribution; therefore the catalyst loading is lower at the active electrode part, which is contra-productive. Better cell performance may be expected if the coating method can be modified to increase the catalyst concentration at the inner parts of the foam-based electrode, while improving the cost/performance ratio.

Electrochemical performance.—The impedance measurements showed that Rₛ increased by 20 mΩ cm² while the ASR decreased...
by 18 mΩ cm² during the first 10 h of polarization at a cell voltage of 1.5 V. Therefore the \( R_P \) improved (decreased) from 104 mΩ cm² to 66 mΩ cm² from the beginning to the end of the measurement. The SEM analysis (Figure 7) showed that the microstructure of the coating layer at the surface of the foam became rougher after the measurements. This microstructural modification has likely increased the active surface area (area of the foam wetted by the electrolyte), thereby improving the cell performance and causing the significantly improved \( R_P \).

Furthermore, it is possible that the cell heated locally during the tests, reaching a temperature above 200°C. A thermocouple situated ca. 1 cm away from the cell measured a temperature increase of only 2 K during the impedance measurements, but it is most likely that the temperature increased to higher values at the active part of the electrode and in the electrolyte. This could be the reason for the pronounced hysteresis observed in Figure 5b, where the performance was significantly improved on the falling curve than on the rising curve. The current density of 3.75 A cm⁻² at 1.75 V results in a power density of 6.6 W cm⁻² and a cell power \( P_{Cell} \) of 5.2 W. The thermo-neutral voltage \( E_{n0} \), at 200°C for steam electrolysis is 1.26 V. The surplus power that will be transformed to heat can be calculated by Equation 1, where \( I_{Cell,tot} \) is the total (not area normalized) cell current. The corresponding \( P_{loss} \) at a cell voltage \( U_{Cell} = 1.75 \) V and \( I_{Cell,tot} = 2.95 \) A is 1.45 W. Assuming a heat transfer resistance \( R_H \) of 10 K W⁻¹ for the cell and the sample holder (based on heat sinks of comparable size and form), a temperature difference \( \Delta T = 14.5 \) K is estimated from the ambient to the surface of the sample holder using Equation 2. It should be stressed out though that the estimation of the heat transfer resistance is rather uncertain; experimental data to determine the exact value are currently missing.

\[
P_{loss} = (U_{Cell} - E_{n0}) \times I_{Cell,tot}
\]

\[
\Delta T = R_H \times P_{loss}
\]

The achieved performance is substantially superior to that achieved previously⁶ at even higher temperatures (2.3 A cm⁻² at 1.75 V, 250°C and 40 bar). The improved performance is largely due to the reduced \( R_P \), which was rate determining in Ref. 8, achieved by reducing the electrolyte matrix thickness from 1300 μm to 200 μm. The PTFE hydrophobic coating and Ag nanowires used in the anode have also caused a 3-fold decrease in the cell \( R_P \), corresponding to a more than 3-fold decrease of the \( R_P \) of the OE, in comparison to that in Ref. 8. The decreased \( R_P \) is likely associated with the increased electroactive surface area achieved by the introduction of the Ag nanowires.

The performance of the FobAEC over a 400 h duration continuous electrolysis experiment at 200°C and 20 bar was rather stable, albeit approx. 3 times inferior to the short-term tested cell. The 3–4 times larger \( R_P \), despite the similar thickness and microstructure of the electrolyte supporting layer, suggests a substantially larger contacting resistance (associated with the set-up of the test) as the main reason for the inferior performance. This has likely also influenced the \( R_P \) of the cell, which was ca. 3 times larger. In any case, the replacement of the Ni foam with a NiFeCrAl alloy coated Ni foam at the OE proved to be very effective in terms of improving the long-term stability of this electrode by limiting the rate of oxidation of the metal foam surface, as a comparison with the results presented in Ref. 10 reveals. Furthermore, the PTFE hydrophobic coating developed here proved successful in overcoming flooding of the active electrode region and trapping of O₂ bubbles that was observed in Ref. 10.

The substantially enhanced performance of FobAECs versus conventional AECs enables drastic downsizing of the electrolysis stack. The weight and space demand of a classical, well proven and commercially available alkaline electrolysis system, comprising 150 NEL A 485 electrolyzers, was compared to a FobAEC-based system vision for the future. The power density of a conventional alkaline electrolysis stack is 135 mW cm⁻² at 4.2 kWh Nm⁻³ H₂, the corresponding value for a FobAEC-based stack being 2280 mW cm⁻². The required space for the electrolysis stacks can be reduced by a factor of 20 and the total weight can also be reduced by an order of magnitude by replacing the classical system with a FobAEC-based system. Naturally this would result in a significant reduction in investment cost. It should be emphasized though that the FobAEC-based system has not been proven in large scale; comprehensive research and development is necessary to demonstrate such systems in the coming decades.

More important than the potential to decrease the investment cost is the potential to decrease the hydrogen production cost by operating the FobAEC-based system at higher efficiency, i.e. closer to the thermonutral voltage, while still achieving higher production rates than with conventional AEC systems. Not only will this operating strategy lead to substantially reduced \( H_2 \) production cost, it will also overcome the need for cooling. This can lead to substantial system simplification, by avoiding the need for electrolyte recirculation, degassing and cooling circuits. Furthermore, the production of \( H_2 \) at 50 bar pressure, offers the possibility for reduced size and cost (capital and operating cost) of the compressor that may be used downstream if pressurized storage of \( H_2 \) at ca. 700 bar is required (e.g. for \( H_2 \) refueling stations).¹³ All in all, this novel cell concept holds great promise for drastic reduction in system size, capital cost and complexity, while at the same time reducing operating costs.

Conclusions

Low-cost, large-scale production methods were successfully demonstrated for the first time for the fabrication of a novel type of alkaline electrolysis cells, comprising metal foam based gas diffusion electrodes and a mesoporous ceramic separator. The ceramic separator was produced by tape casting and the assembling of the cells was realized by hot pressing at 200°C and sintering at 1000°C. A record high current density of 3.75 A cm⁻² was realized at a cell voltage of 1.75 V, at 200°C and 20 bar, using a Ni foam based oxygen electrode impregnated with PTFE and Ag nanowires and an Inconel coated Ni foam hydrogen electrode. Although this current density was recorded during cyclic voltammetry at a sweep rate of 20 mVs⁻¹, chronopotentiometry measurements suggest minor deviations from steady state values at least for current densities up to 1 A cm⁻². Tape casting allowed production of the mesoporous separator at a thickness of only 200 μm, yielding a serial resistance of only 60 mΩ cm², when filled with 45 wt% aqueous KOH. The total cell area specific resistance was as low as 148 mΩ cm² in the best case at 200°C. Replacement of the Ni foam with a NiFeCrAl alloy coated Ni foam for the oxygen electrode yielded very encouraging long-term stability over 400 h of continuous electrolysis at 500 mA cm⁻² at 200°C and 20 bar. The very high power density of the cells can result in more than a 10-fold reduction in stack size, compared to conventional AECs, and equivalent investment cost reduction. More importantly, this novel type of cells allows operation at a much higher electrical efficiency than conventional AECs, thereby promising great reduction in the production cost of \( H_2 \) by electrolysis.
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