Effects of Multi-pass Friction Stir Processing on Microstructures and Mechanical Properties of 1060Al/Q235 Explosive Composite Plate
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Abstract:

There always exist steel cuttings, holes and cracks at the interfaces in the explosive composite plate. Multi-pass friction stir processing (M-FSP) is proposed in this research to optimize the interface microstructure and the interface connection for 1060Al/Q235 explosive composite plate. Results show that the microstructures of 1060Al after M-FSP are fine and uniform owing to the strong stirring effect and recrystallization. Micro-defects formed by the explosive welding can be repaired by the M-FSP. However, M-FSP can also form tunnel defects in the aluminum, especially when the passes are one and two. The melting block and the melting lump in the composite plates are easy to become source of crack. The shear strengths and the bending properties for the 1060Al/Q235 explosive composite plate after M-FSP are the best when the passes are three, with the tool rotation speed of 1200rpm and the forward speed of 60mm/min.
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The optimized interfaces for the explosive composite plate after M-FSP are mainly by the metallurgical bondings, with a certain thickness and are discontinuous. Therefore, the crack extension stress is the largest and the mechanical properties are the best.
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1. Introduction

Aluminum/steel composite plate is well known as a kind of common lightweight composite materials and has been widely used in automobile, ship, aerospace and pressure vessel fields\(^1\)-\(^3\). Compared with the single metallic materials, aluminum/steel composite plate not only has superior properties, but also greatly reduces the weight of equipment. However, the different physical and chemical properties of aluminum and steel make them unable to form effective and strong joint by fusion welding.

Explosive welding is one of the joining methods belonging to the solid state welding process. The metal plates are joined together under a very high pressure. There exhibits local plastic deformation at the interface. The interfaces after explosive welding are almost metallurgical bondings and even stronger than the base metals. Similar and dissimilar materials can be joined by the explosive welding\(^4\). However, owing to the instantaneous high temperature and pressure of explosion, defects such as steel cuttings, holes, cracks at the interfaces restrict the application of composite plates\(^5\)-\(^7\).

Friction stir processing (FSP), which is developed on the basis of friction stir welding (FSW), has been used in improving mechanical properties of materials\(^8\)-\(^10\). Gupta et al. found that FSP can refine grain structures and eliminate defects like porosity in the matrix of AZ31 magnesium alloy\(^11\). Barmouz investigated that FSP can refine the second particles size and enhance the dispersion distribution of the second particles for Cu/SiC composites\(^12\). Nakata found that FSP can improve the hardness and tensile strength for ADC12 aluminum die casting alloy by grain refinement and
disappearance of cold flake\textsuperscript{13}. Pourali et al. investigated the different tool rotations and weld speeds for lap weld joint of 1100Al/St37 steel composite plate. They found that the tensile strength of composite with high tool rotation and low weld speed is superior since the interface of this joint formed a properly thick bonding layer compared with other parameters\textsuperscript{14}.

However, FSP can also reduce the mechanical properties when the parameters are inappropriate. Gupta et al. also found that tunnel defects are both formed in AZ31 magnesium alloy whether in the truncated conical tool or the cylindrical tool\textsuperscript{11}. Kima et al. found that tunnel defects are easily formed in ADC12 aluminum die casting alloy during welding\textsuperscript{15}.

In order to improve the mechanical properties of composite plate, obtaining the appropriate interface is the main method since the interface of composite plate is the main carrying structure during service. Thus, there are two main factors that affecting the microstructures and mechanical properties of composite plate after FSP with different passes, which include the interface connections and the tunnel defects.

In the first part, the bonding strength of composite plate is related to the interface connection mechanisms, which include the mechanical connection and the metallurgical bonding. Pourali et al. found that mechanical connections are the main connection methods of aluminum/steel composite plate under the condition of low welding speed\textsuperscript{14}. During explosive welding, the energies of explosion flow cause some of the steel and aluminum to soften or even melt, mix and finally form the morphologies of hooks or vortexes at the interface\textsuperscript{16-17}. Meanwhile, defects such as steel cuttings and microcracks caused by explosion weaken the strength of composite. Since FSP can refines the second particles size and reduces the cold flake of aluminum die casting alloy \textsuperscript{12-13}, the steel cuttings and microcracks could also be repaired by strong stirring of aluminum. Therefore, FSP is proposed to repair the defects formed by explosive welding.

The metallurgical bondings mainly include intermetallic compounds (IMCs) and barely have few solid solutions. In the process of welding, the interface layer mainly consisting of IMCs is formed at the interface through diffusion and metallurgical
reaction due to the effect of temperature and pressure\textsuperscript{[18-19]}. Since the mutual solubility of aluminum and steel is few, the mechanical properties are poor even if forming the solid solution. Therefore, IMCs are interface connections basis for the composite plate. Fe\textsubscript{3}Al, FeAl, Fe\textsubscript{2}Al\textsubscript{5}, FeAl\textsubscript{2} and FeAl\textsubscript{3} (Fe\textsubscript{4}Al\textsubscript{13}) are the main IMCs formed in the process of welding. According to the content ratio of aluminum to steel, IMCs can be divided into two categories: IMCs rich in Fe which are rigid and IMCs rich in Al which are toughness\textsuperscript{[20-24]}. In addition, Bozzi et al. found that when the thickness of IMCs is 8\textmu m, mechanical properties of the composite plate are the best; While the thickness increases to 42\textmu m, mechanical properties of the composite plate are the worst\textsuperscript{[25]}. In other words, the thickness of IMCs for the composite plate is a key factor influencing its mechanical properties. When the thickness of IMCs is lower than a certain value, the mechanical properties of composite plate improve with the IMCs thickness increasing\textsuperscript{[26-27]}. With the increase of FSP passes, the accumulated heat input increases and finally the thickness of IMCs increases. However, too thick IMCs decrease the bonding strength of interface. Thus, it is necessary to use M-FSP for obtaining the appropriate thickness of IMCs.

In the second part, tunnel defects are usually found in the composite plate after the single-pass FSP\textsuperscript{[11,15,16,28]}. Kima investigated different tool plunge downforces of FSW for ADC12 aluminum die casting alloy. They found that tunnel defects are caused by the insufficient heat input\textsuperscript{[15]}. Javad et al. presented a mathematical model for the heat input generation during friction stir welding of 1060 aluminum alloy. They also found that the insufficient heat input can form tunnel defects in the matrix of aluminum during welding\textsuperscript{[29]}. Due to the insufficient heat input, the insufficient flow of material stirred causes the tunnel defects. Therefore, the heat input is increased by increasing the number of stirring passes to eliminate the tunnel defects. Meanwhile, the plastic flow of aluminum can also increase with the increase of passes. Thus, M-FSP is used to repair the tunnel defects in the composite plate.

In order to find the relationships between microstructures and mechanical properties of aluminum/steel composite plate after FSP with different passes, the 1060Al/Q235 explosive composite plates are applied for M-FSP due to its superior
plastic flow and widely usage. Meanwhile, compared with other alloys, pure aluminum and carbon steel contain fewer elements, which make it easier for us to research the failure mechanism of interface. The microstructures are observed by optical microscopy, scanning electron microscopy and transmission electron microscopy. The shear fracture morphologies are researched to analyze the fracture mechanism. The bending tests are carried out to investigate bending properties of the composite plate after FSP with different passes. The hardness distributions of the interface in the repair zone are measured by microhardness test. The experiments control a single variable, which can study the influence of different passes for FSP. The optimized pass of FSP is obtained by microstructures observation and mechanical properties investigation. The above researches provide a good data basis in the production of aluminum/steel composite plate in the future.

2. Experimental materials and methods

The initial material for the sample used in this experiment was 1060AI/Q235 explosive composite plate and its chemical compositions (wt.%) were measured by ICP direct reading spectrometer, as shown in Table 1. It conformed to 1060AI and Q235 standard specification. The thickness of aluminum/steel explosive composite plate was 6mm, and the thickness ratio of aluminum to steel was 1:1.

|       | Fe | Al | Si | Mn   | Ti  | Zn  | C   | S   | P   |
|-------|----|----|----|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 1060AI| 0.19 | Bal.| 0.15 | 0.03 | 0.017 | 0.012 | - | - | - |
| Q235  | Bal. | 0.029 | 0.12 | 0.32 | - | - | 0.13 | 0.009 | 0.022 |

M-FSP was performed using a FSW machine with a tool rotation speed of 1200rpm and forward speed of 60mm/min according to the previous study. The stirring head was inserted in the aluminum. The rotational orientation of the stirring head was counterclockwise. After each pass, the composite plate was cooled down about 7min to eliminate the effects of accumulative heating, and then the subsequent pass was performed. The overlap rate is 100%.

The sample was polished until the surface was smooth and scratch-free. Then, the microstructures of 1060AI after M-FSP were etched with Keller’s reagent to imaging.
The microstructures of Q235 were etched with 4% nitric acid alcohol prior to imaging. The microstructures were analyzed by an optical microscope (OM, Leica DM2700). A scanning electron microscope (SEM, Quanta 450) was used to observe the fracture surface of shear specimens. A transmission electron microscope (TEM, FEI Tecnai T12) was used to observe structures of the interface.

The shear specimens were designed on the basis of the national standard GB/T2651-2008. The bending specimens were designed on the basis of the national standard GB/T232-2010. The sizes of these specimens are shown in Fig.1.

![Fig.1. Dimensions of bending (a) and shear (b) specimen (unit: mm)](image)

The test was carried out using an electronic universal testing machine CSS-44100, at an initial strain rate with a loading speed of $1.2 \times 10^{-4}/s$. The microhardness tests were carried out on HX-1000TM/LCD semi-automatic micro-indentation hardness testing system according to the national standard GB/T 229-2007.

### 3 Results and Discussion

#### 3.1 Microstructures

Fig.2 shows low-magnification OM images of the repair zone for 1060Al/Q235 explosive composite plate after M-FSP. Holes can be observed in the repair zone. The repair zone presents an appearance of a “bowl”, with the advancing side (AS) on the right and the retreating side (RS) on the left. The AS presents an abrupt “zigzag line” boundary distinctly, and the RS is in a shape of a gradual change. This is because the temperature and flow fields on the AS are different from those on the RS\[^{30-33}\].
Fig. 2. Microstructures of the repair zone for 1060Al/Q235 explosive composite plate after M-FSP with different passes: (a) single pass; (b) two passes; (c) three passes; (d) four passes.

Table 2. Quantities and area of holes in the repair zone for 1060Al/Q235 explosive composite plate after FSP with different passes

| Pass | Quantities of holes | Total area/μm² |
|------|---------------------|----------------|
| 1    | 2                   | 13.2×10³       |
| 2    | 1                   | 12×10³         |
| 3    | 0                   | 0              |
| 4    | 1                   | 1.1×10³        |

Meanwhile, holes are clearly seen in Fig. 2. For the single-pass FSP (Fig. 2(a)), the area of large hole is 9.8×10³μm², and the smaller one is 3.4×10³μm². However, just one single hole is found in Fig. 2(b) with the area of 12×10³μm². Although the area of single hole increases, the total areas of holes decrease. It is confirmed that the numbers and areas of holes have been repaired to some extent by the plastic flow of aluminum after M-FSP. The hole disappears by the influence of repair for the plastic flow in aluminum when the passes of M-FSP are three, as shown in Fig. 2(c). However, when the passes of M-FSP are four, the hole appears again in the AS area (Fig. 2(d)). Since the distances of these holes from the interface are nearly the same, these holes are the tunnel defects resulted after FSP. The areas of tunnel defects in repair zone with different passes are shown in Table 2. Therefore, with the increase of passes, the tunnel defects decrease to some extent. It can be concluded that M-FSP can also repair tunnel defects created by...
single-pass M-FSP.

Fig.3 shows microstructures of 1060Al/Q235 explosive composite plate after FSP with different passes. It can be observed that microstructures of base metal (BM) for the 1060Al are α-Al (Fig.3(a)). The microstructures of BM for Q235 are ferrites and pearlites (Fig.3(b)). Compared with BM for 1060Al, the grains of repair zone for 1060Al are refined by the stirring effects of M-FSP (Fig.3(c)). Meanwhile, with the influence of temperature and stir, the microstructures of thermo-mechanical affected zone (TMAZ) are stretched (Fig.3(d)). Moreover, Fig.3(e) and (f) show TEM images of interface after M-FSP. The grain size of Q235 is about 600nm. Although just aluminum is stirred strongly, the grains of Q235 have been refined through the effects of temperature and plastic flows of aluminum. The microstructures of nanocrystals have a significant improvement to the bonding and properties of interface.

![Fig.3. Microstructures of composite plate after FSP with different passes: (a) BM of aluminum; (b) BM of steel; (c) the repair zone; (d) TMAZ; (e) and (f) TEM image of interface](image)

3.2 Interface characterization

Fig.4 shows comparisons between the unrepaired interface and the repaired interface. The steel cuttings are clearly seen at the interface of composite plate without FSP (Fig.4(a)). The sizes of steel cuttings are about 45μm (Fig.4(b)). Such a large steel cutting has a severely disadvantage effect on the strength of the interface. Non-uniform and instantaneous temperatures caused by explosive welding are responsible for these steel cuttings. However, these steel cuttings disappear in the interface with FSP.
(Fig.4(c)). Through the temperature and plastic flows of aluminum stirred after FSP, these residual steel cuttings react with aluminum and other materials to form new IMCs at the interface. Fig.4(d) and (e) show the SEM and EDS analysis of the interface for the BM. The black particles are the oxides of aluminum and steel by melting and reacting with oxygen in the air during explosive welding. They are a kind of brittle and hard phase which will reduce the bonding strength for the composite plate. While in Fig.4(f) and (g), there are no steel cuttings and black particles at the interface. The IMCs at the interface are a kind of Al-rich phase\cite{21}. This is to say that FSP can repair the defects remained by explosive welding and optimize microstructures and properties of composite plate.

![Fig.4. Comparisons between the unrepaired interface and the repaired interface: (a) and (b) interface of BM; (c) interface of repair zone; (d) and (e) SEM and EDS analysis of BM; (f) and (g) SEM and EDS analysis of repair zone](image)

The interface connections mechanism of composite plate is shown in Fig.5. The mechanical connections consist of hook connections and vortex connections formed by multiple hooks (Fig.5(a) and (b)). These mechanical connections are the main connections of interface. Meanwhile, the IMCs formed by reaction of aluminum and steel are the main components of interface during explosive welding. The IMCs on the
interface are the basis for the metallurgical bondings, as shown in Fig.5(c). Actually, metallurgical bondings are made up of IMCs layers. However, these IMCs separated from the interface are disadvantageous to the properties of composite plate. IMCs show the appearance of melting block if the areas of IMCs are small. Conversely, IMCs show the appearance of melting lump if the areas of IMCs are large. Both the melting block and the melting lump are easy to become originals of crack. The IMCs layer with a certain thickness has a superior effect on the properties of composite plate. Since explosive welding is an instantaneous welding process, the composite plate could not obtain enough thickness IMCs layer. Therefore, M-FSP is used to repair the bonding interface and obtain a proper thickness IMCs layer. With the increase of passes, the proportions of metallurgical bondings increase in the interface (Fig.5 (d)). The increase of passes brings the increase of heat input, which will aggravate the diffusion and metallurgical reaction at the interface. The IMCs layer becomes thicker due to this. Ultimately, the thick IMCs layer leads to the increase of metallurgical bondings.

![Fig.5. Interface connection mechanism of composite plate: (a) hook connections; (b) vortex connections; (c) metallurgical bondings; (d) The fraction for mechanical connections and metallurgical bondings to the interface of composite plate after FSP with different passes](image)

Fig.6 shows the interface of composite plate after FSP with different passes. With the increase of passes, the thickness of IMCs layers grows. The interface is flat and
straight with just single-pass FSP (Fig.6(a)). In other words, FSP can not repair the interface of composite plate with the single-pass FSP effectively. Compared with single-pass FSP, there is a little more thickness of IMCs in the interface with two passes. However, the effect of repair with two passes is still insufficient in contrast to the whole interface. That is to say, the effect of repair is not obvious (Fig.6(b)). The thickness of IMCs clearly increases with three passes. There is a superior effect of repair with the discontinuous mechanical connections (Fig.6(c)). The interface is so thick that the bonding strength of the interface is low. Too many passes make the heat input too large, which will make the interface too thick. Due to the existence of too thick interface, the original discontinuous mechanical connections have been connected together. The properties of composite plate must be affected with the too thick interface and continuous connections (Fig.6(d)). It is clear that too many passes will cause the deterioration of properties for the interface.

![Fig.6](image)

**Fig.6.** The interfaces of composite plate after FSP with different passes: (a) single pass; (b) two passes; (c) three passes; (d) four passes

In summary, FSP can reduce or even eliminate the defects caused by explosive welding through the plastic flow of aluminum. However, the repair of single-pass FSP
is nothing like enough. The M-FSP can effectively increase the heat input and make the IMCs layer grow and thicken effectively. Meanwhile, too many passes also have a negative effect on the properties of composite plate.

### 3.3 Mechanical properties

Fig.7 shows the fracture morphologies and mechanical property curves of shear specimens, and the shear strength is shown in Table 3. The fracture mode of shear specimens exhibits typical brittle fracture (Fig.7(a)). The curve of single-pass shows the phenomenon of yielding caused by the tunnel defects under load. While the curve of two passes and three passes exhibit the more obviously brittle fracture (Fig.7(b)). Compared with the single-pass FSP, M-FSP has a uniform refinement effect on the aluminum and finally improves the strength of aluminum. When subjected to load, cracks preferentially appear at the interface, and the samples break due to the fracture of the IMCs layer. The shear strengths of composite plate after FSP with different passes are shown in Table 3. The yield strength of 1060Al is about 35MPa according to the standard requirement. The shear strengths of specimens range from 28.87MPa to 33.37MPa, which show that the shear properties of composite plate after FSP satisfy the application requirements. From the shear strength and curves of different passes, it can be seen that when the passes are three and four, the shear strength is higher than those are one and two. Although the strength increase is not obvious, the shear properties of composite plate are still improved by M-FSP.

![Fig.7. Fracture morphologies and strength of shear specimens: (a) fracture morphologies of shear specimens; (b) mechanical property curves of shear specimens](image-url)
Table 3. Shear strength of samples after FSP with different passes

| Pass | S (mm²) | Force (N) | τ (MPa) |
|------|---------|-----------|---------|
| 1    | 25.10   | 793.6     | 31.62   |
| 2    | 25.05   | 723.4     | 28.87   |
| 3    | 24.90   | 829.5     | 33.31   |
| 4    | 25.05   | 835.7     | 33.37   |

The SEM and EDS analysis of shear specimens are shown in Fig.8. There are some obvious strippings in the fracture surface (Fig.8(a), (b) and (c)). It is analyzed that these strippings are formed by the failure of the original mechanical connections at the interface under load. The second phase particles are also obviously seen in the SEM. The EDS result shows that Si element is contained in these particles, which indicates that the second phase particles are Al-Si compounds (Fig.8(d)). A small amount of dispersed Al-Si compounds can improve mechanical properties of composite plate.

![Fig.8. SEM analysis of shear specimens: (a) one pass; (b) two passes; (c) three passes; (d) and (e) SEM and EDS analysis of second phase particles](image)

The shear mechanical properties of BM are also carried out, as shown in Fig.9. The results show that the phenomenon of yield occurs on the aluminum of BM. The maximum failure tensile force is 1777N. However, the failure strength is not the shear
strength, while is the yield strength of 1060Al. The failure strength is 118.47MPa, which is conformed to 1060Al standard specification. The fracture position of BM without FSP is in the aluminum side rather than at the interface. This is due to the refining effect of FSP in aluminum, which makes the aluminum get grain refining strength after FSP. Therefore, FSP has an obvious repairing effect on the interface of composite plate, which can improve the shear strength to some extent.

![Shear specimens of BM](image)

The results of bending test are shown in Table 4. The typical bending specimens are shown in Fig.10. Whether repaired or not, the bending strength is superior. Mainly due to the excellent plasticity of 1060Al, there are almost no cracks during the process of bending. However, cracks can be seen in aluminum after FSP with four passes, as shown in Fig.11. The failure angle of bending is 137°. Excessive reduction of the shoulder leads to the thinning of BM with the cracking phenomenon caused by the reduction of the bearing area in bending. Therefore, the reduction of the shoulder should be well controlled in the M-FSP to ensure the properties of bending.

| Pass | Crack in steel (tensile face) | Crack in aluminum (compressed face) | Crack in steel (compressed face) | Crack in aluminum (tensile face) |
|------|------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 0    | No                           | No                                 | No                              | No                              |
| 1    | No                           | No                                 | No                              | No                              |
| 2    | No                           | No                                 | No                              | No                              |
| 3    | No                           | No                                 | No                              | No                              |
| 4    | No                           | No                                 | No                              | Yes                             |
Fig. 10 Bending specimens of composite plate after FSP with two passes: (a) compressed face; (b) tensile face

Fig. 11. Bending specimens of composite plate after FSP with four passes: (a) tensile face; (b) bending diagram of angle measurement

The microhardness of 1060Al/Q235 explosive composite plate after FSP is shown in Fig. 12. The microhardness is measured in the positive direction along the aluminum with the interface as the origin. The hardness of IMCs on the interface without FSP is 44.54HV₀.₀₅. While the maximum hardness of the interface after FSP can be achieved to 53.83HV₀.₀₅. It is believed that FSP can improve the mechanical properties of composite plate and has a certain repairing effect to some extent. Meanwhile, FSP also has an effect of improvement on the properties of metal near the interface. The hardness of Q235 without FSP is 198.5HV₀.₀₅ with the distance of 30μm from the interface, while the hardness can reach to 270.9HV₀.₀₅ with the same distance after FSP. Although just repairing in aluminum, FSP can still strengthen steel through the plastic flows of aluminum.
Fig. 12 Microhardness of composite plate after FSP with different passes: (a) hardness of whole specimens; (b) hardness of microstructures near the interface (partial enlargement of Fig. 12(a))

4 Discussion

The relationships between microstructures and mechanical properties of aluminum/steel composite plate with different passes are analyzed above. The results show that M-FSP can not only repair the interface to some extent, but can also repair the tunnel defects in the aluminum. However, too many passes also have negative effects on the interface and metal stirred. Therefore, the fracture mechanism of interface for 1060Al/Q235 is obtained by studying the relationship between structures and properties of aluminum/steel composite plate after FSP with different passes. The fracture mechanism can be divided into the following three categories:

Firstly, when the interface of aluminum/steel composite plate is thin and flat, the crack extension stress of interface is small due to the flat interface. Meanwhile, the aluminum is so soft that it is easy to occur the phenomenon of yield. Several voids will preferentially be formed in the aluminum. Cracks are formed by the accumulation and growth of voids with the gradually increasing load. Eventually, cracks extend to the aluminum, and lead to the failure of composite plate, as shown in Fig. 13. This failure mostly occurs in the aluminum/steel composite plate without FSP. It is basically agreed with the yield fracture of BM in the shear test.
Secondly, when the interface of aluminum/steel composite plate has a certain thickness and is discontinuous, the crack extension stress of the interface is large. Several micro-cracks will preferentially be formed at the interface under load. If the composite plate has been inadequately repaired by less passes, there could be some defects at the interface. The phenomenon of stress concentration caused by defects would accelerate the development of micro-cracks. Therefore, those micro-cracks would coalesce each other rapidly, and ultimately lead to the fracture of the whole interface. However, if the composite plate has been adequately repaired by enough passes, the interface would have a certain thickness. The propagation of micro-cracks needs a path. In addition, those discontinuous mechanical connections improve the bonding strength of interface, which make the cracks propagate slowly. Thus, a larger
load is needed to make the composite plate break. This failure occurs mostly in the aluminum/steel composite plate after repairing by FSP, which is basically consistent with the case of single pass, two passes and three passes, as shown in Fig.14.

Thirdly, when the interface is too thick, although there are discontinuous mechanical connections at the interface, the overly thick interface connects the discontinuous mechanical connections to each other, which results in a bending and continuous interface. The crack extension stress of the interface is also large due to the bending interface. Micro-cracks will preferentially be formed at the interface under load. Due to the thicker interface, those micro-cracks could easily bypass the mechanical connections. Therefore, the micro-cracks grow rapidly with the increasing load. Finally, the composite plate will break due to the fracture of the interface. This failure occurs
more often in the composite plate after FSP with too many passes. The fracture of the aluminum/steel composite plate is basically the same as that of four passes, as shown in Fig15.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, microstructures and properties for the interface of 1060Al/Q235 composite plate are studied. By analyzing the internal relationship between microstructures and mechanical properties of the interface, the fracture mechanisms of aluminum/steel composite plate are summarized. The main conclusions are concluded:

1. FSP can repair defects of the interface for aluminum/steel composite plate and improve the bonding strength of composite plate.

2. M-FSP can increase the thickness of interface for composite plate. Meanwhile,
it can also repair the tunnel defects remained by single-pass FSP.

3. The melting block and the melting lump in the composite plates are easy to become originals of crack. Therefore, when the interfaces of composite plate are mainly by the metallurgical bondings, with a certain thickness and are discontinuous, its bonding strength is superior.
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