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Carlos Humberto Sepúlveda (✉ carloshumberto_facimar@uas.edu.mx)
Universidad Autónoma de Sinaloa: Universidad Autonoma de Sinaloa

Maria Isabel Sotelo-Gonzalez
Universidad Autónoma de Sinaloa: Universidad Autonoma de Sinaloa

Carmen Cristina Osuna-Martínez
Universidad Autónoma de Sinaloa: Universidad Autonoma de Sinaloa

Manuel García-Ulloa
Instituto Politécnico Nacional: Instituto Politecnico Nacional

Andrés Martín Góngora-Gómez
Instituto Politécnico Nacional: Instituto Politecnico Nacional

Martín Gabriel Frías-Espericueta
Universidad Autónoma de Sinaloa: Universidad Autonoma de Sinaloa

Rebeca Sánchez-Cárdenas
Universidad Autónoma de Sinaloa: Universidad Autonoma de Sinaloa

Laura Gabriela Espinosa-Alonso
Instituto Politécnico Nacional: Instituto Politecnico Nacional

Research Article

**Keywords:** Bivalves, Human health, Metal(loid)s, Molar ratio, Northwest Mexico, Spectrophotometry

**Posted Date:** November 30th, 2021

**DOI:** https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1062204/v1

**License:** This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. Read Full License
Abstract

Mercury (Hg) and selenium (Se) concentrations in the soft tissue of the oysters *Saccostrea palmula* and *Crassostrea corteziensis* from four coastal lagoons (Altata, Macapule, Navachiste, El Colorado) of Northwest Mexico were determined. A total of 2520 specimens of *S. palmula* and 3780 of *C. corteziensis* (72.15 ± 4.95 and 73.57 ± 5.31 mm, respectively) were sampled seasonally from summer 2019 to spring 2020. The higher Hg concentration (wet weight) for *S. palmula* (0.13 ± 0.03–0.11 ± 0.02 µg g\(^{-1}\)) and *C. corteziensis* (0.12 ± 0.02–0.11 ± 0.03 µg g\(^{-1}\)) were obtained in summer–autumn 2019; Hg level was similar among the coastal lagoons and did not exceed the limit established by Mexican legislation and by the Food and Drug Agency. The higher Se concentration occurred in spring 2020 for both oyster species in El Colorado lagoon (4.55 ± 0.02 and 4.08 ± 0.05 µg g\(^{-1}\), respectively). The Se/Hg molar ratio of *S. palmula* and *C. corteziensis* ranged from 48.76–149.59 and 31.72–155.37, respectively; while the Se health benefit value was 19.23–42.28 and 17.82–35.30, respectively. The hazard quotient for Hg - estimated as methylmercury- and Se in both species of oyster was below 1. The high molar ratio obtained (Se/Hg > 1) indicates that the concentration of Se was sufficient to neutralize the possible toxicity of the Hg, therefore, the consumption of *S. palmula* and *C. corteziensis* from the four coastal lagoons studied does not represent a risk.

Introduction

Metals and metalloids are pollutants that represent a serious environmental global concern; these elements are characterized by their toxicity, persistence, bioaccumulation, and biomagnification in the ecosystem (Santos et al. 2015; Burioli et al. 2017; Frías-Espericueta et al. 2018). The emission factors of these chemical in the coastal regions of Northwest (NW) Mexico are due to the combination of various natural sources (e.g., geological weathering, volcanic eruptions, forest fires, hydrothermal, and fossil deposits) and anthropogenic activities (e.g., gold mining, coal combustion, deforestation, agriculture, aquaculture, and manufacturing industry) (Páez-Osuna et al. 2017; Bergés-Tiznado et al. 2021). Due to their volatility, mercury (Hg) and selenium (Se) can be mobilized through continental runoff (Osuna-Martínez et al. 2010; Ruelas-Inzunza et al. 2011) and atmospheric deposition, which allows them to be even transported to areas far from their main source of origin (Apeti et al. 2012), and, therefore, many organisms are exposed to these elements to some extent (Azad et al. 2019).

In the environment, Hg is a highly toxic metal without any known biological function; in fact, it is the element responsible of various environmental and human health problems, even at low concentrations (Delgado-Alvarez et al. 2015; Ramos-Osuna et al. 2020), but its organic form (methylmercury, MeHg), is the most toxic (Clarkson et al. 2007; Kakimoto et al. 2019). Among several harmful effects on human health, Hg causes mitochondrial disorders, lung-kidney damage, myocardial infarction, effects on the central nervous system (mainly in children), cardiovascular atherosclerosis (Ramos-Osuna et al. 2020; Murillo-Cisneros et al. 2021), and can cross the maternal placentas and join the fetal tissues of humans (Ask et al. 2002).
Selenium is an essential metalloid that plays an important role in the formation of selenocysteine-rich proteins within the cells of most organisms (Stroud et al. 2010; Vega-Sánchez et al. 2020); it also intervenes in brain functions, growth, thyroid hormone metabolism, calcium regulation, and prevents/reverses oxidative stress in humans (Davy and Castellano 2018; Xu et al. 2018; Ralston et al. 2019). Selenium deficiency in human nutrition can lead to infertility (mainly in men) and diseases such as Keshán (heart muscle or myocardial involvement) and Kashin-Beck (osteoarticular involvement) (Yang et al. 2008; Shreenath et al. 2019), while at elevated levels, it can cause selenosis, which is characterized by hair loss, misshapen nails, rashes, swelling of the skin, and severe pain in the extremities (Raymond and Ralston 2004; Ahmad et al. 2021). Recently, it has been documented that, due to its high affinity to form stable non-toxic Hg⁻²⁻ Se compounds (mercury selenide), without specifically modulating the absorption or excretion of Hg (Ordiano-Flores et al. 2012; Arcagni et al. 2017; Bergés-Tiznado et al. 2021), the bioavailability of mercury toxicity can be reduced (Bergés-Tiznado et al. 2019; Acosta-Lizárraga et al. 2020; Delgado-Alvarez et al. 2020), when Se/Hg molar ratio is ≥ 1.

Diet (food and water) is considered the main absorption route of essential and toxic elements for humans (Mok et al. 2015). Due to their physicochemical properties, some metals and metalloids can accumulate to high levels through the consumption of certain food, such as shellfish (including oysters) (Zhang and Wong 2007; García-Rico and Tejeda-Valenzuela 2018; Azad et al. 2019). Bivalve mollusks are found in the second trophic level of aquatic ecosystems and represent an important input in cultures and communities that depend on their exploitation and consumption, mainly row (Muñoz-Sevilla et al. 2017). Furthermore, these invertebrates have been widely used as biomonitors in environmental monitoring programs to assess the bioavailability of metals and metalloids (Goldberg et al. 1978; Aguirre-Rubí et al. 2018; Otchere 2019).

The oysters *Saccostrea palmula* (Carpenter 1857) and *Crassostrea corteziensis* (Hertlein 1951) are distributed along the Pacific coast from Mexico to Peru (Lodeiros et al. 2020). These ostreids have been used to monitor the Hg concentration on the NW coasts of Mexico (Frias-Espericueta et al. 2009; Páez-Osuna and Osuna-Martínez 2015; Ruiz-Fernández et al. 2018), from where they are extracted by local fishermen (Delgado-Alvarez et al. 2015). However, this area is also recognized for its intensive anthropogenic activity (agriculture, mining and aquaculture) (García-Rico and Tejeda-Valenzuela 2018; Jara-Marini et al. 2020), whose wastes are dumped in coastal reservoirs where oysters inhabit; consequently, it leads to a situation of potential risk to human health (Goyer 1997). On the other hand, knowledge on the Se dynamic in both oyster species is scarce. There is only one report that evaluates the presence of Se in the oysters *C. gigas* and *C. virginica* from the San Francisco Bay (California, USA) (Okazaki and Panietz 1981), and no information on the Se/Hg molar ratio in oysters (Scopus 2021) is available. Thus, research related to Se in *S. palmula* and *C. corteziensis* is necessary to determine its protective effect against mercury toxicity and to establish reference information for comparative studies.

The aim of this study was to determine the total concentrations of mercury and selenium of *S. palmula* and *C. corteziensis* in four coastal lagoons (Altata, Macapule, Navachiste and El Colorado) of Northwest Mexico, to estimate the human health benefit and risk through the Se/Hg molar ratios, the selenium
health benefit value (HBV$_{\text{Se}}$), and the hazard quotient (HQ). In addition, the Hg contamination status of oysters from other lagoons in NW Mexico and other regions of the world was compared. It is hypothesized that the Hg and Se concentrations of the oysters in this study do not represent a risk for the environment or for human health.

**Materials And Methods**

**Field sampling**

Oyster samplings were carried out seasonally (summer 2019 to spring 2020) in ten sites distributed within four coastal lagoons of NW Mexico: Altata (AL, three sites), Macapule (ML, two sites), Navachiste (NL, three sites) and El Colorado (ECL, two sites) (Fig. 1). However, oyster species did not coexist at all sampling sites; therefore, *S. palmula* was collected in six sites and *C. corteziensis* in nine. From each site and by species, 105 oysters were selected (75 for Hg and Se analysis and 30 for condition index determination, CI; Muñoz-Sevilla et al. 2017) with a length interval of 60 to 90 mm, to avoid variability in metal content due to differences in specimen size (Sepúlveda et al. 2020).

The oysters were detached from the mangrove roots by hand, placed in metal-free polyethylene bags and transported on ice to the laboratory, where they were cleaned, measured (mm), weighed (g), and chipped (Frías-Espericueta et al. 2018). The CI was determined using the equation (1) proposed by Walne and Mann (1975).

\[
\text{CI} = \left( \frac{\text{Soft tissue dry weight}}{\text{Shell dry weight}} \right) \times 1000 \quad (1)
\]

**Chemical analysis**

Oyster soft tissue samples were lyophilized (Labconco, Kansas City, MO, USA) at low temperature (-85 °C) and high vacuum (0.035 mBar) for 96 h; then, they were ground in a Teflon mortar, homogenized by quartering, and the water content (%) was determined. Two ~ 1.0 g aliquots of each sample were predigested (~ 12 h) at room temperature with 10 mL of concentrated nitric acid (HNO$_3$, trace metal grade) in sealed Teflon vials (Savillex, 60 mL). Digestion was performed on a heating plate (Barnstead) for 4 h at 120 °C; subsequently, each sample was diluted with deionized water to a final volume of 50 mL and stored in polyethylene containers (Delgado-Alvarez et al. 2015; Frías-Espericueta et al. 2018). Total Hg was determined by atomic absorption spectrophotometry (AAS) coupled to a cold vapor generator (Perkin-Elmer, Analyst 100, coupled MHS 15) and a 253.5 nm cathode lamp (Muñoz-Sevilla et al. 2017), whereas total Se quantification was analyzed by AAS in a graphite furnace, using a Perkin-Elmer AAnalyst 800 instrument with Zeeman correction effect and a 196 nm cathode lamp (Acosta-Lizárraga et al. 2020).

**Quality assurance/quality control**
The precision of the analytical method and the results were validated by using certified reference material (DOLT-5®, National Research Council Canada, NRCC, USA), with recovery percentages of 116.76 ± 4.46 and 90.29 ± 1.90% for Hg and Se \((n = 10 \text{ for both elements})\), respectively. Analytical blanks were used to check samples for possible contamination, and all materials used in the sampling and in the laboratory were acid washed (Moody and Lindstrom 1977). The detection limits were 0.002 and 0.013 µg g\(^{-1}\) for Hg and Se, respectively. The coefficient of variation for duplicate samples was < 7.67%. Mercury and Se concentrations are expressed as µg g\(^{-1}\) wet weight (ww, 80.74 ± 1.60 % moisture content was used for all samples).

**Se/Hg molar ratio and health benefit**

The Se/Hg molar ratio in the oysters was calculated according to Burger and Gochfeld (2013), dividing the concentration of the element by its molecular weight (78.96 and 200.59 g mol\(^{-1}\) for Se and Hg, respectively). The selenium health benefit value (\(\text{HBV}_\text{Se}\)) was calculated using the equation (2) proposed by Ralston et al. (2016).

\[
\text{HBV}_\text{Se} = \left( \frac{\text{Se} - \text{Hg}}{\text{Se}} \right) \times (\text{Se} + \text{Hg}) \quad (2)
\]

For the estimation of \(\text{HBV}_\text{Se}\), the element concentration is presented as µmol kg\(^{-1}\) ww. A positive \(\text{HBV}_\text{Se}\) value is considered healthy, while a negative value indicates health risks associated with Hg exposure; the magnitude of the value means the degree of surplus or deficit of selenium, related to the consumption of oysters.

**Health risk assessment**

The hazard quotient (HQ) was used to estimate the potential risk to human health due to the level of exposure and/or ingestion of any contaminant. It was calculated for Hg and Se with the equation (3) proposed by Newman and Unger (2002).

\[
\text{HQ} = \frac{C \times \left[ \frac{\text{Weekly intake}}{\text{Body weight}} \right]}{\text{RfD}} \quad (3)
\]

where: \(C = \text{mean concentration of the contaminant (µg g}^{-1}\) in the oysters; \(\text{RfD} = \text{reference dose of the contaminant (µg g}^{-1} \text{ body weight day}^{-1}\); \(\text{RfD}_{\text{Hg}} = 0.0005 \text{ (FDA 2006); RfD}_{\text{MeHg}} = 0.0001 \text{ (EPA 2001); RfD}_{\text{Se}} = 0.005 \text{ (EPA 1991). The weekly intake of oysters in Mexico is approximately 7.47 g (CONAPESCA 2017). For the analysis, persons with an mean body weight of 70 kg (adult men), 60 kg (adult women), and 16 kg (children) were included (Alemán-Mateo et al. 2006). Considering that the contribution of MeHg to total Hg is commonly found between 16.20% and 62.10% in oysters (Claisse et al. 2001; Pan and Wang...}
a conversion of total Hg to MeHg was made by adjusting to 39.15%, as proposed by Delgado-Alvarez et al. (2015).

**Statistical analyses**

Normality and homoscedasticity of data were analyzed with the Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Bartlett tests, respectively. Comparisons of the biometric data of oysters and average concentrations of Hg and Se among the coastal lagoons, sampling sites, and seasons of the year, were assessed by ANOVA's test. Correlations between the concentrations of mercury, selenium, and the molar ratios (Se/Hg) with the size, total weight, and condition index of oysters were estimated through a Pearson correlation analysis \( r_p \). The differences between the content of Hg and Se in *S. palmula* and *C. corteziensis* were detected with the Student’s t test for independent variables. The level of significance was \( a = 0.05 \) for all statistical analyzes (Zar 2010), which were performed using the STATISTICA 7 software package (StatSoft, Tulsa, OK, USA).

**Results**

**Size, total weight, and condition index of oysters**

Size, total weight, and condition index (CI) of *S. palmula* \( (n = 2520) \) and *C. corteziensis* \( (n = 3780) \) collected in the four coastal lagoons (NW Mexico), are presented in Table 1. The annual mean value of the shell size in both species did not present significant differences \( (p > 0.05) \) among the sampling sites. Total weight of *S. palmula* \( (35.19 \pm 7.64 \text{ g}) \) and *C. corteziensis* \( (49.11 \pm 7.97 \text{ g}) \) were significantly higher at the ECL9 and NL7 sites, respectively; while the CI in both species of oysters was higher in Macapule lagoon \( (50.23 \pm 17.05 \text{ and } 51.04 \pm 16.61 \text{ for } S. palmula \text{ and } C. corteziensis, \text{ respectively}) (p < 0.05, Table 1).
Table 1
Annual mean and standard deviation of size (mm), total weight (g) and condition index of oysters collected in four coastal lagoons in NW Mexico

| Site   | Size          | Total weight | Condition index |
|--------|---------------|--------------|-----------------|
|        | Min–Max       | Mean ± SD    | Min–Max         | Mean ± SD | Min–Max | Mean ± SD |
| **Saccostrea palmula** |               |              |                 |           |         |           |
| AL1    | 62.63–79.05   | 70.50 ± 2.10 | 21.44–58.74     | 30.41 ± 4.24a | 17.07–87.76 | 37.78 ± 16.25a |
| AL2    | 61.59–81.74   | 70.45 ± 3.24 | 21.36–59.20     | 31.72 ± 7.64ab | 17.14–86.96 | 43.43 ± 17.18ab |
| ML5    | 60.61–80.08   | 72.80 ± 3.41 | 21.60–62.66     | 34.89 ± 7.85c | 17.24–85.37 | 50.23 ± 17.05c |
| NL6    | 60.14–78.73   | 71.19 ± 4.57 | 21.00–50.05     | 33.38 ± 6.66bc | 17.54–77.72 | 42.95 ± 17.85ab |
| NL8    | 60.80–84.59   | 72.99 ± 4.24 | 21.20–42.80     | 31.21 ± 6.29ab | 19.51–87.53 | 46.21 ± 17.03b |
| ECL9   | 61.21–82.92   | 72.59 ± 2.52 | 21.55–54.70     | 35.19 ± 7.64c | 19.35–88.57 | 43.31 ± 14.67ab |
| **Total** | **NS**       | **71.75 ± 3.58** | **NS**         | **32.80 ± 7.05** | **NS** | **43.32 ± 16.63** |
| **Crassostrea corteziensis** |               |              |                 |           |         |           |
| AL2    | 60.17–90.31   | 74.17 ± 4.95 | 27.10–65.10     | 46.08 ± 8.10bc | 19.34–84.58 | 41.48 ± 16.97ab |
| AL3    | 62.40–85.74   | 74.24 ± 3.48 | 26.70–66.50     | 47.18 ± 7.63cd | 20.56–86.54 | 47.54 ± 15.43bc |
| ML4    | 60.63–82.10   | 74.77 ± 3.60 | 32.00–58.60     | 43.16 ± 6.40ab | 24.79–87.56 | 51.04 ± 16.61c |
| ML5    | 60.54–88.09   | 72.02 ± 4.51 | 26.90–60.20     | 43.11 ± 7.81a  | 17.09–88.24 | 43.46 ± 18.61ab |
| NL6    | 63.76–89.87   | 71.86 ± 3.78 | 31.80–63.40     | 42.71 ± 6.01a  | 17.94–80.65 | 42.03 ± 15.68ab |

*Min* minimum, *Max* maximum, *SD* standard deviation, *NS* not specified, *AL* Altata lagoon, *ML* Macapule lagoon, *NL* Navachiste lagoon, *ECL* El Colorado lagoon

Column with different superscript letters denotes significant differences (*p* < 0.05) between the sampling sites and oyster species
| Site  | Size      | Total weight | Condition index |
|-------|-----------|--------------|-----------------|
| NL7   | 60.70–87.20 | 72.81 ± 3.78 | 29.90–68.20     | 49.11 ± 7.97d | 22.15–76.92 | 43.25 ± 11.85ab |
| NL8   | 63.68–81.02 | 72.13 ± 3.41 | 31.00–57.90     | 43.25 ± 6.01ab | 21.05–88.50 | 44.84 ± 16.22abc |
| ECL9  | 62.70–91.11 | 72.85 ± 4.39 | 32.10–66.10     | 48.06 ± 8.19cd | 17.65–86.42 | 40.68 ± 14.37a  |
| ECL10 | 64.69–80.83 | 73.15 ± 2.44 | 31.20–58.40     | 48.07 ± 7.10cd | 22.90–88.04 | 46.00 ± 16.66abc |
| Total | NS        | 73.10 ± 3.95 | NS              | 45.64 ± 7.67  | NS          | 44.48 ± 16.17   |

Min minimum, Max maximum, SD standard deviation, NS not specified, AL Altata lagoon, ML Macapule lagoon, NL Navachiste lagoon, ECL El Colorado lagoon

Column with different superscript letters denotes significant differences \((p < 0.05)\) between the sampling sites and oyster species

Mercury And Selenium In The Soft Tissue Of Oysters

The total Hg concentration in *S. palmula* and *C. cortesiensis* presented a range between 0.03–0.16 and 0.02–0.17 µg g\(^{-1}\), respectively. In most of the sampling sites, the higher and lower seasonal mean concentrations of Hg were recorded in summer–autumn 2019 and winter–spring 2020 \((p < 0.05, \text{Table 2})\), respectively. In both oyster species, the level of Se presented an order of magnitude higher than those values determined for Hg. The Se content in *S. palmula* and *C. cortesiensis* showed a seasonal variation, with intervals of 0.99–4.55 and 0.81–4.08 µg g\(^{-1}\), respectively. In both species, the Se showed a tendency to increase seasonally; the lowest concentrations were recorded in summer 2019 and increased in autumn 2019; subsequently, the level of this metalloid increased in winter 2020, and its maximum level was reached in spring 2020 \((p < 0.05, \text{Table 2})\). No relationship \((p \geq 0.05)\) was observed between Hg and Se with the shell height and total weight of *S. palmula* and *C. cortesiensis*. CI showed correlation with Hg \((r_p = –0.29, p < 0.05)\), but no correlation was found between Se and CI \((p \geq 0.05)\).
Table 2
Seasonal mean concentrations and standard deviation of total mercury and selenium (µg g\(^{-1}\) ww) in the soft tissue of *Saccostrea palmula* and *Crassostrea corteziensis* at the sampling sites (NW Mexico)

| Site | **Total Hg** | **Total Se** |
|------|--------------|--------------|
|      | Summer | Autumn | Winter | Spring | Summer | Autumn | Winter | Spring |
| **Saccostrea palmula** | | | | | | | | |
| AL1  | 0.15 ± 0.03\(^{c}\) | 0.13 ± 0.02\(^{c}\) | 0.09 ± 0.00\(^{b}\) | 0.07 ± 0.00\(^a\) | 1.34 ± 0.03\(^a\) | 1.96 ± 0.06\(^b\) | 2.87 ± 0.02\(^b\) | 1.70 ± 0.03\(^b\) |
| AL2  | 0.16 ± 0.05\(^{c}\) | 0.14 ± 0.04\(^{c}\) | 0.08 ± 0.00\(^{b}\) | 0.04 ± 0.00\(^a\) | 3.03 ± 0.02\(^b\) | 2.33 ± 0.02\(^a\) | 2.06 ± 0.01\(^a\) | 2.94 ± 0.04\(^b\) |
| ML5  | 0.12 ± 0.03\(^{b}\) | 0.11 ± 0.02\(^{b}\) | 0.04 ± 0.00\(^{a}\) | 0.04 ± 0.00\(^a\) | 1.17 ± 0.03\(^a\) | 1.71 ± 0.03\(^b\) | 2.16 ± 0.02\(^c\) | 1.80 ± 0.03\(^b\) |
| NL6  | 0.07 ± 0.02\(^{c}\) | 0.08 ± 0.01\(^{c}\) | 0.05 ± 0.00\(^{a}\) | 0.03 ± 0.00\(^a\) | 1.17 ± 0.03\(^a\) | 1.71 ± 0.03\(^b\) | 2.16 ± 0.02\(^c\) | 2.86 ± 0.13\(^c\) |
| NL8  | 0.15 ± 0.01\(^{d}\) | 0.13 ± 0.01\(^{c}\) | 0.04 ± 0.00\(^{a}\) | 0.06 ± 0.01\(^b\) | 2.33 ± 0.03\(^c\) | 2.28 ± 0.01\(^b\) | 3.60 ± 0.02\(^c\) | 4.55 ± 0.02\(^d\) |
| ECL9 | 0.13 ± 0.02\(^{d}\) | 0.10 ± 0.01\(^{c}\) | 0.03 ± 0.00\(^{a}\) | 0.05 ± 0.01\(^b\) | 2.33 ± 0.03\(^c\) | 2.28 ± 0.01\(^b\) | 3.60 ± 0.02\(^c\) | 4.55 ± 0.02\(^d\) |
| **Crassostrea corteziensis** | | | | | | | | |
| AL2  | 0.16 ± 0.01\(^{d}\) | 0.14 ± 0.03\(^{c}\) | 0.06 ± 0.00\(^{b}\) | 0.02 ± 0.00\(^a\) | 1.69 ± 0.02\(^a\) | 2.97 ± 0.04\(^b\) | 3.05 ± 0.02\(^b\) | 3.29 ± 0.04\(^c\) |
| AL3  | 0.11 ± 0.06\(^{c}\) | 0.10 ± 0.04\(^{c}\) | 0.07 ± 0.00\(^{b}\) | 0.03 ± 0.00\(^a\) | 1.86 ± 0.03\(^b\) | 1.35 ± 0.01\(^a\) | 2.33 ± 0.02\(^c\) | 3.05 ± 0.02\(^d\) |
| ML4  | 0.10 ± 0.01\(^{c}\) | 0.10 ± 0.00\(^{c}\) | 0.08 ± 0.00\(^{a}\) | 0.03 ± 0.00\(^a\) | 0.81 ± 0.00\(^a\) | 2.42 ± 0.13\(^c\) | 2.11 ± 0.07\(^c\) | 1.28 ± 0.08\(^b\) |
| ML5  | 0.09 ± 0.01\(^{c}\) | 0.07 ± 0.01\(^{b}\) | 0.04 ± 0.00\(^{a}\) | 0.02 ± 0.00\(^a\) | 1.60 ± 0.02\(^a\) | 1.62 ± 0.02\(^a\) | 1.53 ± 0.11\(^a\) | 2.88 ± 0.04\(^b\) |
| NL6  | 0.06 ± 0.01\(^{c}\) | 0.09 ± 0.01\(^{b}\) | 0.06 ± 0.00\(^{a}\) | 0.05 ± 0.00\(^b\) | 1.86 ± 0.03\(^b\) | 1.51 ± 0.04\(^a\) | 2.87 ± 0.02\(^c\) | 3.33 ± 0.02\(^d\) |
| NL7  | 0.10 ± 0.01\(^{c}\) | 0.11 ± 0.01\(^{c}\) | 0.03 ± 0.00\(^{a}\) | 0.04 ± 0.00\(^b\) | 2.94 ± 0.07\(^c\) | 2.41 ± 0.08\(^b\) | 2.43 ± 0.06\(^b\) | 1.17 ± 0.04\(^a\) |

*AL* Altata lagoon, *ML* Macapule lagoon, *NL* Navachiste lagoon, *ECL* El Colorado lagoon

For Hg and Se, rows with different superscript letters denote significant differences (\(p < 0.05\)) between sampling stations and oyster species.
## Site Total Hg Total Se

| Site  | Total Hg | Total Se |
|-------|----------|----------|
| NL8   | 0.14 ± 0.01<sup>d</sup> | 0.15 ± 0.02<sup>c</sup> | 0.11 ± 0.01<sup>a</sup> | 0.09 ± 0.02<sup>b</sup> | 2.21 ± 0.02<sup>d</sup> | 0.90 ± 0.02<sup>a</sup> | 1.08 ± 0.01<sup>b</sup> | 1.69 ± 0.04<sup>c</sup> |
| ECL9  | 0.12 ± 0.03<sup>b</sup> | 0.10 ± 0.02<sup>b</sup> | 0.05 ± 0.00<sup>a</sup> | 0.05 ± 0.01<sup>a</sup> | 2.05 ± 0.08<sup>a</sup> | 2.43 ± 0.12<sup>b</sup> | 2.59 ± 0.06<sup>b</sup> | 4.08 ± 0.05<sup>c</sup> |
| ECL10 | 0.17 ± 0.04<sup>d</sup> | 0.11 ± 0.01<sup>c</sup> | 0.06 ± 0.01<sup>b</sup> | 0.03 ± 0.00<sup>a</sup> | 2.10 ± 0.04<sup>a</sup> | 2.96 ± 0.05<sup>b</sup> | 1.93 ± 0.05<sup>a</sup> | 3.27 ± 0.03<sup>c</sup> |

*AL Altata lagoon, ML Macapule lagoon, NL Navachiste lagoon, ECL El Colorado lagoon*

For Hg and Se, rows with different superscript letters denote significant differences ($p < 0.05$) between sampling stations and oyster species.

## Se/hg Molar Ratio And Health Benefit (Dup: 9 ?)

No significant differences ($p \geq 0.05$) in the mean Hg level between the sampling sites, were detected in any of studied oyster species (Table 3). On the other hand, Se in *S. palmula* was significantly ($p < 0.05$) higher in ECL9 (3.34 ± 0.96 µg g$^{-1}$) while for *C. corteziensis*, in AL2 (2.75 ± 0.72 µg g$^{-1}$) and ECL9 (2.79 ± 0.89 µg g$^{-1}$) (Table 3).
Table 3
Annual mean concentrations and standard deviation of total mercury and selenium (µg g\(^{-1}\) ww), molar ratio (Se/Hg) and selenium health benefit value (HBV\(_{Se}\)) in the soft tissue of *Saccostrea palmula* and *Crassostrea corteziensis* in NW Mexico

| Site    | Total Hg | µmol Hg | Total Se | µmol Se | Se/Hg | HBV\(_{Se}\) |
|---------|----------|---------|----------|---------|-------|--------------|
| *Saccostrea palmula* |          |         |          |        |       |              |
| AL1     | 0.11 ± 0.04 | 0.55 ± 0.19 | 1.97 ± 0.65\(^{ab}\) | 24.92 ± 8.25 | 51.30 | 24.90        |
| AL2     | 0.11 ± 0.06 | 0.52 ± 0.28 | 2.59 ± 0.47\(^{b}\) | 32.81 ± 5.94 | 84.94 | 32.80        |
| ML5     | 0.08 ± 0.04 | 0.39 ± 0.22 | 1.71 ± 0.41\(^{ab}\) | 21.63 ± 5.18 | 79.56 | 21.62        |
| NL6     | 0.06 ± 0.02 | 0.29 ± 0.10 | 2.41 ± 0.62\(^{b}\) | 30.56 ± 7.89 | 126.66 | 30.56        |
| NL8     | 0.09 ± 0.05 | 0.47 ± 0.26 | 1.52 ± 0.41\(^{a}\) | 19.24 ± 5.13 | 48.76 | 19.23        |
| ECL9    | 0.08 ± 0.04 | 0.40 ± 0.21 | 3.34 ± 0.96\(^{c}\) | 42.29 ± 12.14 | 149.59 | 42.28        |
| *Crassostrea corteziensis* |          |         |          |        |       |              |
| AL2     | 0.09 ± 0.07 | 0.47 ± 0.33 | 2.75 ± 0.72\(^{c}\) | 34.82 ± 9.10 | 155.37 | 34.81        |
| AL3     | 0.08 ± 0.04 | 0.38 ± 0.19 | 2.15 ± 0.72\(^{b}\) | 27.21 ± 9.17 | 117.69 | 27.20        |
| ML4     | 0.08 ± 0.03 | 0.38 ± 0.17 | 1.66 ± 0.74\(^{ab}\) | 20.97 ± 9.41 | 67.38 | 20.96        |
| ML5     | 0.05 ± 0.03 | 0.27 ± 0.14 | 1.41 ± 0.35\(^{a}\) | 17.83 ± 4.45 | 74.95 | 17.82        |
| NL6     | 0.06 ± 0.02 | 0.31 ± 0.08 | 2.39 ± 0.85\(^{bc}\) | 30.29 ± 10.78 | 107.36 | 30.29        |
| NL7     | 0.07 ± 0.04 | 0.35 ± 0.20 | 2.24 ± 0.75\(^{b}\) | 28.33 ± 9.54 | 103.03 | 28.32        |
| NL8     | 0.12 ± 0.03 | 0.61 ± 0.13 | 1.47 ± 0.60\(^{a}\) | 18.62 ± 7.62 | 31.72 | 18.59        |
| ECL9    | 0.08 ± 0.04 | 0.39 ± 0.19 | 2.79 ± 0.89\(^{c}\) | 35.31 ± 11.27 | 116.74 | 35.30        |
| ECL10   | 0.09 ± 0.06 | 0.46 ± 0.31 | 2.57 ± 0.65\(^{bc}\) | 32.50 ± 8.27 | 124.03 | 32.49        |

* AL Altata lagoon, *ML* Macapule lagoon, *NL* Navachiste lagoon, *ECL* El Colorado lagoon

Column with different superscript letters denotes significant differences (\(p < 0.05\)) between the sampling sites and oyster species

The Se/Hg molar ratio in the oysters varied among the sampling sites; the lowest value in *S. palmula* (48.76) and *C. corteziensis* (31.72) were observed in NL8, while the highest values (149.59 for *S. palmula* and 155.37 for *C. corteziensis*) were recorded in ECL9 and AL2, respectively (Table 3). No correlations of
Se/Hg were observed between length and total weight in none of the ostreid species ($p > 0.05$). The Se/Hg molar ratio of *S. palmula* was correlated with CI ($r_p = 0.30, p \leq 0.05$). The HBV$_{Se}$ obtained for *S. palmula* and *C. corteziensis* indicates that Se concentration found in the two oyster species represents a benefit for human health (Table 3).

**Health Risk Assessment**

The HQ value in oysters did not exceed the safe level (HQ = 1) for people with mean body weights of 70 kg (adult men), 60 kg (adult women) and 16 kg (five year-old children) (Table 4).
Table 4
Hazard quotient (HQ) for Hg, MeHg and Se (annual mean concentration; µg g\(^{-1}\) ww) of oysters collected in four coastal lagoons of NW Mexico

| Site          | Hg M | Hg W | Hg C | MeHg\(^a\) M | MeHg\(^a\) W | MeHg\(^a\) C | Se M | Se W | Se C |
|---------------|------|------|------|--------------|--------------|--------------|------|------|------|
| **Saccostrea palmula** |      |      |      |              |              |              |      |      |      |
| AL1           | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.10 | 0.04         | 0.05         | 0.20         | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.18 |
| AL2           | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.04         | 0.05         | 0.19         | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.24 |
| ML5           | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.03         | 0.03         | 0.14         | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.15 |
| NL6           | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.02         | 0.02         | 0.10         | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.22 |
| NL8           | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.03         | 0.04         | 0.17         | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.14 |
| ECL9          | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.03         | 0.03         | 0.14         | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.31 |
| **Crassostrea cortesiensis** |      |      |      |              |              |              |      |      |      |
| AL2           | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.03         | 0.04         | 0.17         | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.25 |
| AL3           | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.03         | 0.03         | 0.14         | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.20 |
| ML4           | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.03         | 0.03         | 0.13         | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.15 |
| ML5           | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.02         | 0.02         | 0.10         | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.13 |
| NL6           | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.02         | 0.03         | 0.11         | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.22 |
| NL7           | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.02         | 0.03         | 0.12         | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.20 |
| NL8           | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.11 | 0.05         | 0.05         | 0.22         | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.13 |
| ECL9          | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.03         | 0.03         | 0.14         | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.26 |
| ECL10         | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.03         | 0.04         | 0.16         | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.23 |

*M men, W Women, C Children, AL Altata lagoon, ML Macapule lagoon, NL Navachiste lagoon, ECL El Colorado lagoon

\(^a\)Calculated using 0.3915 as mean MeHg/Hg ratio, estimated from the ranges reported for oysters by Claisse et al. (2001), Pan and Wang (2011), and Apeti et al. (2012). Total range of MeHg/Hg ratios 0.156–0.621

**Discussion**

**Mercury**
The total Hg in the soft tissue of *S. palmula* and *C. corteziensis* ranged from 0.03–0.16 and 0.02–0.17 µg g\(^{-1}\) (wet weight), respectively. In relation to other studies in Mexico, the Hg concentrations are similar to those obtained in this study (Table 5), but lower than those found for *C. virginica* in the Términos lagoon (Gulf of Mexico), mainly affected by oil, agricultural and urban activities (Aguilar et al. 2012).
Table 5
Total mercury range concentrations (µg g⁻¹ ww) in different oyster species from some coastal lagoons of NW Mexico and other worldwide regions

| Species       | Area (sampling year)                          | Total Hg       | Author                      |
|---------------|----------------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|
| **Coastal lagoons of NW Mexico** |                                             |                |                             |
| C. gigas      | Coastal waters, Sonora (1997)                | 0.01–0.06      | García-Rico et al. (2001)   |
| C. gigas*     | Guaymas Bay, Sonora (NA)                    | 0.04**         | Green-Ruiz et al. (2005)    |
| C. cortesiensis* | Urías lagoon, Sinaloa (2006)               | 0.006–0.01     | Jara-Marini et al. (2008)   |
| C. cortesiensis | Bacochibampo Bay, Sonora (2004–2005)       | 0.03–0.04      | García-Rico et al. (2010)   |
| C. cortesiensis* | Coastal lagoons, Sinaloa (NA)              | 0.03–0.11      | Osuna-Martínez et al. (2010)|
| C. gigas*     | Coastal lagoons, Sinaloa (NA)               | 0.01–0.18      | Osuna-Martínez et al. (2010)|
| C. virginica* | Términos lagoon, Campeche (NA)              | 0.08–0.40      | Aguilar et al. (2012)       |
| C. virginica* | Northern Gulf of Mexico, USA (NA)           | 0.006–0.10     | Apeti et al. (2012)         |
| C. cortesiensis* | Tobari lagoon, Sonora (2009)                | 0.07–0.10      | Jara-Marini et al. (2013)   |
| Crassostrea spp.* | Coastal lagoons, Sinaloa-Nayarit (2010–2011)| 0.02–0.05      | Delgado-Alvarez et al. (2015)|
| S. palmula*   | Coastal lagoons, Sinaloa (2008–2009)        | 0.04–0.15      | Páez-Osuna and Osuna-Martínez (2015) |
| C. cortesiensis* | Coastal lagoons, Sinaloa (2008–2009)      | 0.03–0.12      | Páez-Osuna and Osuna-Martínez (2015) |
| C. gigas      | La Pitahaya estuary, Sinaloa (2011)         | 0.003–0.04     | Góngora-Gómez et al. (2017) |
| C. gigas      | Navachiste lagoon, Sinaloa (2011–2012)      | 0.003–0.03     | Jonathan et al. (2017)      |
| C. gigas*     | Coastal lagoons, Sinaloa (2013–2014)        | 0.03–0.14      | Muñoz-Sevilla et al. (2017) |

*Calculated from dry weight, assuming 80% moisture

**Only the mean value was available. NA not available
| Species        | Area (sampling year)                      | Total Hg      | Author                                      |
|---------------|------------------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------------------|
| *C. corteziensis* | Urías lagoon, Sinaloa (2012–2013)        | 0.01–0.05     | Frías-Esparigueta et al. (2018)             |
| *C. gigas*    | Coastal waters, Sonora (2010)             | 0.01–0.13     | García-Rico and Tejeda-Valenzuela (2018)    |
| *S. palmula*  | Coastal lagoons, Sinaloa (2019–2020)     | 0.03–0.16     | This study                                  |
| *C. corteziensis* | Coastal lagoons, Sinaloa (2019–2020)    | 0.02–0.17     | This study                                  |
| Other worldwide regions |                                      |               |                                             |
| *C. gigas*    | Minamata Bay, Japan (NA)                  | 2.00**        | Eisler (1987)                               |
| *C. rhizophorae* | Channel of Santa Cruz, Brazil (1993–1994) | 0.05–0.44     | Meyer et al. (1998)                         |
| *C. tulipa*   | Benya, Ningo lagoons, Ghana (NA)          | 0.02–0.04     | Otchere et al. (2003)                       |
| *C. rhizophorae* | Gulf of Paria, Venezuela and Trinidad (NA) | 0.002–0.01    | Rojas de Astudillo et al. (2005)           |
| *C. virginica* | Gulf of Paria, Venezuela and Trinidad (NA) | 0.002–0.01    | Rojas de Astudillo et al. (2005)           |
| *C. rhizophorae* | Estuarine systems, Northeast Brazil (NA)  | 0.004–0.06    | Vaisman et al. (2005)                       |
| *C. gigas*    | Oualidia lagoon, Morocco (2004–2005)      | 0.02–0.21     | Maanan (2008)                               |
| *C. rhizophorae* | Villa Clara, Cuba (NA)                    | 0.03–0.13     | Olives-Rieumont et al. (2012)               |
| *C. rhizophorae* | Santos and Paranaguá estuaries, Brazil (2008–2009) | 0.02–0.07 | Torres et al. (2012)                       |
| *C. gigas*    | Ebro Delta in Catalonia, Spain (2008–2009) | 0.02–0.05     | Ochoa et al. (2013)                         |
| *C. gigas*    | Coastal waters, Korea (2009–2013)         | 0.006–0.02    | Mok et al. (2015)                           |
| *C. gigas*    | Coastal marine ecosystems, Italy (NA)      | 0.01–0.34     | Burioli et al. (2017)                       |

*Calculated from dry weight, assuming 80% moisture

**Only the mean value was available. NA not available
Compared with other regions of the world, the level of Hg obtained in *S. palmula* and *C. corteziensis* is similar to those reported for *C. gigas* and *C. rhizophorae* in Morocco (Maanan 2008) and Cuba (Olivares-Rieumont et al. 2012), but higher than those determined for *C. rhizophorae* in the Santos and Paranaguá estuary, Brazil (Torres et al. 2012), for *C. gigas* in the Ebro Delta in Catalonia, Spain (Ochoa et al. 2013) and for *C. virginica* and *C. rhizophorae* in the Gulf of Paria, Venezuela and Trinidad (Rojas de Astudillo et al. 2005). However, the Hg concentration in this study was lower than those recorded for *C. gigas* in Minamata Bay, Japan (Eisler 1987) and in some coastal and marine regions of Italy where the Japanese oyster is cultivated (Burioli et al. 2017) (Table 5). These differences could be due to the abundance of Hg in the continental crust (on mean it is higher than 0.056 µg g$^{-1}$), since the level of Hg in the world is not homogeneous and some regions are more enriched than others (Wedepohl 1995; Rytuba 2003). Also, Hg concentration can vary among oyster species due to biological aspects, related to their metabolic activity, differences between sizes, and reproductive period (Páez-Osuna et al. 1995; Frías-Espericueta et al. 2009).

The bioaccumulation of Hg in *S. palmula* and *C. corteziensis* could be due to their benthic and feeding habits, since they filter large volumes of seawater (around 10 to 20 L min$^{-1}$) through the cilia, mantle and gills (Mazón-Suástegui et al. 2009; Shenai-Tirodkar et al. 2016), where the metal is suspended (Aguilar et al. 2012; Jonathan et al. 2017). Mercury is one of the most persistent chemical elements and can be distributed and accumulated in various tissues and organs of aquatic organisms; eventually, it can be biomagnified from lower trophic levels to humans (Burioli et al. 2017; Murillo-Cisneros et al. 2021). Oysters live mainly attached to the roots of mangroves (*i.e.* *Rhizophora* spp.) and on rocky surfaces, exposed and/or buried in muddy sediments (Lodeiros et al. 2020) with a high content of organic matter, which favors retention of metals, such as Hg (Aguilar et al. 2012; Rangel-Peraza et al. 2015; Navarrete-Rodríguez et al. 2020). Therefore, it is possible to infer that the sediments in the study area could be one of the most important routes of Hg accumulation in these bivalves (Sepúlveda et al. 2020).

The annual mean concentration of Hg in both oyster species did not show significant differences ($p \geq 0.05$) among the sampling sites (Table 3), which could be partially explained by the natural and continuous contribution of leaching from the soils-rocks and by waste from the mining industry (key source of Hg). It has been reported that such wastes are transported along rivers and streams that originate in the Sierra Madre Occidental (an area rich in minerals) and, eventually, end up in the coastal lagoons of NW Mexico at low concentration (Murray and Busty 2015; Gamboa-García et al. 2020). During the colonial period until the beginning of the 20th century, the mining industry used approximately 200,000 t of Hg in the silver and gold extraction process, and it is estimated that just under half of that used Hg is still present in mining tailings (Delgado-Alvarez et al. 2015; Niane et al. 2019). The Hg used by the mining activity in the state of Sonora (the main gold producer in Mexico), is transported atmospherically to the coastal lagoons of NW Mexico and accumulated in the sediments and different trophic levels (Ruelas-Inzunza et al. 2008); in this way, its impact could reach areas with a low contamination level far from its sources of origin (Apeti et al. 2012; Kakimoto et al. 2019). In addition, Hg levels in coastal lagoons may increase due to upwelling events and the continuous renewal of seawater.
Another important source of this metal is the effluents from intensive agriculture in NW Mexico, since Hg-based fungicides are still used to control pests of various crops (Osuna-Martínez et al. 2010). Mercury can also reach lagoons due to various causes such as: continental runoff, untreated wastewater treatment systems, paint waste, batteries, cement plant waste, and dental objects (Frías-Espericueta et al. 2014).

Regarding the seasonal influence, Osuna-Martínez et al. (2010), Páez-Osuna and Osuna-Martínez (2015) and Muñoz-Sevilla et al. (2017) reported higher concentrations of Hg in *C. gigas*, *C. corteziensis*, and *S. palmula* during the rainy season (summer–autumn), which were related to continental runoff from the vast agricultural areas that reach the coastal lagoons with increased wet deposition. Frías-Espericueta et al. (2018) reported significantly higher concentrations of Hg in *C. corteziensis* collected in the Urías lagoon (NW Mexico) in November 2012 (autumn). In this study, a similar trend occurs, since the Hg level in both oyster species was significantly higher (*p* < 0.05) during summer–autumn 2019 (rainy season) (Table 2). On the other hand, García-Rico et al. (2010) did not observe significant differences in the seasonal Hg concentration in *C. gigas*, probably due to the continuous contribution of anthropogenic activities in Bacochibampo Bay, Sonora.

Despite the natural sources and anthropogenic activities that contribute with Hg deposition in the coastal lagoons of NW Mexico, the Hg concentration in *S. palmula* and *C. corteziensis* was below the maximum permissible limit of 1.0 (µg g⁻¹, wet weight) established by the Mexican legislation (Norma Oficial Mexicana NOM-031-SSA1-1993), the World Health Organization (WHO 1982), and the United States Food and Drug Agency (FDA 2006), which indicates that the consumption of these species from the sampled lagoons does not represent a risk to human health with respect to Hg poisoning.

**Selenium**

Information about the effect of Se on oysters is scarce. Okazaki and Panietz (1981) reported that the level of this metalloid was higher than that of Hg, in different tissues (mantle, gill and digestive gland) of *C. gigas* and *C. virginica*, from San Francisco Bay (California, USA), which agrees with the present study. However, the concentration of Se reported by these authors is lower than those determined in *S. palmula* and *C. corteziensis* (Table 2). This could be due to factors such as the bioavailability of this element in coastal lagoons, as well as the sizes and stages of the organisms (Jara-Marini et al. 2008; Ochoa et al. 2013). The annual mean concentration of Se for *S. palmula* (3.34 ± 0.96 µg g⁻¹) and *C. corteziensis* (2.79 ± 0.89 µg g⁻¹) was significantly (*p* < 0.05) higher in ECL9 (Table 3). This site is located in one of the most contaminated areas by metals and metalloids within the El Colorado lagoon (Páez-Osuna and Osuna-Martínez 2015), which is part of the Agiabampo-Bacorehuis-Río Fuerte Antiguo lagoon system and, which, receives the contributions of the Fuerte River and the runoff from the highly technical agricultural activities of the municipality of Ahome (~ 189,064 ha; SIAP 2019), municipal waste (~ 459,310 inhabitants; INEGI 2020) and shrimp farms (~ 12,639 ha; CESASIN 2019) (Cárdenas 2007). Navarro-Alarcón and Cabrera-Vique (2008) and Santos et al. (2015) mention that the aquatic environment can be
contaminated by Se due to agriculture, urban drainage, mining waste, thermoelectric plants, oil refineries and metallic minerals.

In the case of *S. palmula* and *C. corteziensis* collected from the same mangrove roots in sites AL2, ML5, NL6, NL8 and ECL9 (Fig. 1), we hypothesized that the total Hg and Se concentrations were similar between both species, probably because the oysters were under the same water conditions and exposure levels. As expected, there was no significant difference with the concentrations of the study elements between the oysters (*p* ≥ 0.05). These results may be useful in the intercomparison of Hg and Se levels among different sites involving *S. palmula* and *C. corteziensis*, as mentioned by Páez-Osuna and Osuna-Martínez (2015) for the same species and lagoons of sampling. Similar observations were recorded by Osuna-Martínez et al. (2010) for *C. gigas* and *C. corteziensis* grown in the Ceuta lagoon, Sinaloa.

**Mercury and selenium: influence of the size, weight and condition index in oysters**

According to Trudel and Rasmussen (2006), there is a direct correlation between the Hg content and the age or body size of aquatic organisms, due to the greater exposure of the metal, which is excreted more slowly as it is ingested. Acosta and Lodeiros (2004) reported that the highest concentrations of metals were proportionally related to the shell size of the clam *Tivela mactroides*. However, Collaguazo-Collaguazo et al. (2017) reported that small clams *Anadara tuberculosa* have the ability to bioaccumulate a higher concentration of metals (including Hg) than large clams. In addition to the species and the level of exposure, other physiological factors such as spawning and body size of bivalves, can influence the accumulation and variability of metals and metalloids in their tissues (Aguilar et al. 2012; Muñoz-Sevilla et al. 2017). In this study, specimens of *S. palmula* and *C. corteziensis* of similar sizes (72.15 ± 4.95 and 73.57 ± 5.31 mm, respectively; Table 1) were collected to reduce possible variations in the level of metals due to the size of the organisms. However, their body weight varied significantly with the seasons, which suggests that these values could be associated with reproductive cycle, as reported by Rodríguez-Jaramillo et al. (2008) and Góngora-Gómez et al. (2020) for *C. corteziensis*. This pattern has also been observed in other ostreids such as *C. rhizophorae* (Rebelo et al. 2005), *C. gigas* and *C. corteziensis* (Osuna-Martínez et al. 2010), *C. virginica* (Aguilar et al. 2012), *C. corteziensis* and *S. palmula* (= *Crassostrea palmula*) (Páez-Osuna and Osuna-Martínez 2015). In fact, the Hg concentration in *S. palmula* was slightly correlated with CI (*r_p* = −0.29, *p* < 0.05), which agrees with that reported by Osuna-Martínez et al. (2010) for *C. gigas* cultivated in the coastal lagoons of NW Mexico.

**Se/hg Molar Ratio And Health Benefit**

It has been documented that Se can neutralize the toxic effect of Hg, as long as it's Se/Hg molar ratio is > 1 (Burger and Gochfeld 2013). Selenium intervenes in the Hg demethylation process through the selenocysteine protein, transforming the metal to its inorganic and less toxic form (Escobar-Sánchez et al. 2010; Vega-Sánchez et al. 2020); this way, Hg can be excreted more easily through pseudofeces and spawning (Rodríguez de la Rua et al. 2005; Havelková et al. 2008). The present study reports for the first time the Se/Hg molar ratio in *S. palmula* and *C. corteziensis* in NW Mexico, inclusive, in the distribution
area of these two species (Pacific coast from Mexico to Peru; Lodeiros et al. 2020). The Se/Hg ratio was always greater than 1 in both species of oyster, which can be explained by the low level of Hg found (Burger and Gochfeld 2013). These results are similar to the Se/Hg ≥ 1 molar ratios found in different groups of organisms, as in shrimps *Farfantepenaeus californiensis* and *Litopenaeus stylirostris* (NW of Mexico) (Frías-Espericueta et al. 2016), the mahi mahi fish *Coryphaena hippurus* (Gulf of California) (Bergés-Tiznado et al. 2019), Pacific hake *Merluccius productus* (Gulf of California) (Acosta-Lizárraga et al. 2020), crustaceans like the crab *Callinectes arcuatus* (NW of Mexico) (Delgado-Alvarez et al. 2020), and elasmobranchs like the shark *Mustelus henlei* (Mexican Pacific Ocean) (Medina-Morales et al. 2020). Regarding the value of the health benefit by Se, calculated through the HBV$_{Se}$ index obtained for *S. palmula* and *C. corteziensis* among the sampling sites (Table 3), it indicates a benefit provided by a higher concentration of Se in comparison to Hg in the soft tissue of oysters. Similar observations were recorded for fishes *Thunnus albacares*, *Vinciguerra lucetia*, *Lagocephalus lagocephalus*, squids *Dosidicus gigas*, *Thysanoteuthis thombus*, *Stenotheuthis oualaniensis* and the crab *Pleuroncodes planipes* (HBV$_{Se}$ = 64.54, 19.41, 298.40, 12.82, 30.30, 63.52 and 13.26, respectively; Ordiano-Flores et al. 2012); as well as for sharks *Carcharhinus falciformis* (HBV$_{Se}$ = 52.30; Bodin et al. 2017), and fish *C. hippurus* (HBV$_{Se}$ = 1.77; Vega-Sánchez et al. 2020).

**Health Risk Assessment**

Recent studies highlight the importance of evaluating the potential risk to human health from the ingestion of metals and metalloids contained in commercially important fishery products (including oysters). This is done to identify populations at risk, especially in cities and coastal communities dedicated to the capture and production of seafood, where specifically, the annual consumption of oysters is double or more (Delgado-Alvarez 2015) than established as national apparent consumption (0.39 kg/year; CONAPESCA 2017). In the case of the present study, the hazard quotient (HQ) values remained below the risk level (HQ < 1); therefore, the ingestion of Hg, MeHg and Se due to the consumption of *S. palmula* and *C. corteziensis* does not represent a risk to human health. These results are similar to the studies by Delgado-Alvarez et al. (2015), Frías-Espericueta et al. (2018), and García-Rico and Tejeda-Valenzuela (2018), who reported HQ values below 1, in *Crassostrea* spp. (Sonora-Nayarit lagoons), *C. corteziensis* (Urías lagoon, Sinaloa), and *C. gigas* (Sonora lagoons), respectively. However, as a precautionary measure, it is important to consider both the frequency of consumption of oysters and the amount of organisms consumed, since Hg levels (above the limits of established legislation) can involve health risks, mainly to vulnerable people (children, pregnant women and/or malnourished people) (Taylor et al. 2014).

**Conclusions**

Obtained results indicate that Hg concentrations in *S. palmula* and *C. corteziensis* were similar among the four coastal lagoons (NW of Mexico) and the highest concentrations of this metal occurred in
summer–autumn 2019, without exceeding the maximum permissible limit of 1.0 µg g\(^{-1}\) established by national and international regulations. On the other hand, the total concentrations of Se in both species of oysters were significantly higher in the El Colorado lagoon during spring 2020. No significant differences (\(p \geq 0.05\)) were detected in the levels of Hg and Se between \(S.\) palmula and \(C.\) corteziensis, which is explained by sharing the same habitat, implying being exposed to the same water conditions, exposure levels of the elements and feeding on the same resources. The negative correlation observed between Hg and Cl in \(S.\) palmula, is probably related to its reproductive cycle. The Se/Hg molar ratio is reported for the first time in \(S.\) palmula and \(C.\) corteziensis; Hg toxicity was neutralized by Se, indicating that consumption of raw oysters does not represent a risk.
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Figures

Figure 1
Location of sampling sites in the coastal lagoons of Northwest Mexico. Saccostrea palmula ( ), Crassostrea cortesiensis ( ), both species of oysters ( ). AL Altata lagoon, ML Macapule lagoon, NL Navachiste lagoon, ECL El Colorado lagoon