Prevalence of Hepatitis B Virus Infection and Factors Associated with Hepatitis B Virus Infection Among Pregnant Women Presented to Antenatal Care Clinics at Adigrat General Hospital in Northern Ethiopia
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Background: Hepatitis B infection is among the most common public health concerns globally, particularly in low- and middle-income countries. The prevalence of hepatitis B infection is more common among most vulnerable populations, including pregnant women. However, there are limited studies on hepatitis B virus infection among pregnant women in low-income countries, including Ethiopia, and the previous studies focused on the general population rather than the pregnant women. The purpose of this study was to estimate the prevalence and examine factors associated with hepatitis B virus infection among pregnant women in the Northern Ethiopia.

Methods: Institution-based cross-sectional study design and a structured face-to-face interview were used to collect data from the study participants. Simple random sampling method was used to select eligible study participants. Data were entered using EpiData version 3.1, and SPSS version 20 was used for the data analysis. We analyzed the data to examine factors associated with hepatitis B virus infection using binary and multivariable logistic regression models.

Results: The overall prevalence of hepatitis B virus infection among the study participants was 9.2%. The majority (46.7%) of the study participants infected by hepatitis B were in the age group 25–34 years. The study found that married study participants were more likely to be infected by hepatitis B when compared to the unmarried study participants. Having history of abortion [OR = 0.12 (95% CI: 0.03, 0.47), P < 0.01] and having history of tattooing [OR = 0.21 (95% CI: 0.07, 0.62), P < 0.01] were found to be statistically significantly associated with the prevalence of hepatitis B virus infection among pregnant women.

Conclusion: A significant number of pregnant women participating in the study were infected by the hepatitis B virus which needs efficient intervention to reduce the infection rate. Further, educational status, having history of surgery, dental procedure, ear piercing, abortion and tattooing were found statistically to be significant before controlling for confounders. But, after controlling for confounders, only having history of tattooing and having history of abortion were found to be independent factors affecting the prevalence of the infection.
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Background

Hepatitis B infection is among the leading public health problems globally.1–3 The disease is caused by hepatotropic deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) virus and...
occurs through the immune-mediated killing of infected liver cells.\(^2\)\(^,\)\(^4\) The virus is more potent compared to human immunodeficiency virus (HIV).\(^5\) According to the World Health Organization, an estimated 257 million people were living with chronic hepatitis B virus infection, including 2.7 million co-infected with HIV, in 2015,\(^1\)\(^,\)\(^6\) with a prevalence of hepatitis B virus infection in Africa of 6.1% and in Western Pacific countries of 6.2%; a total of 68% of infected people were in Africa and West Pacific Asia.\(^6\) Further, 5–15% of populations in developing and poor-income countries are chronic carriers of hepatitis B infection.\(^7\) Moreover, WHO reported that hepatitis is responsible for 1.34 million deaths, which is equivalent to deaths due to tuberculosis but higher than deaths due to HIV in 2015.\(^6\) In actual fact, currently, worldwide an estimated two billion people were exposed and/or currently living with the virus hepatitis B,\(^7\) and over 240 million were found to be in chronic state.\(^8\) Further, a high number (1.8 million) of children under five years are living with the hepatitis B virus.\(^9\) Although chronic carriers of the disease are more prevalent among males compared to females,\(^10\) neonates acquire the disease through vertical transmission from women infected with the disease,\(^11\) which may lead them to a chronic state in as many as 90%.\(^12\) In endemic areas, it has been established that the main route of acquisition of the disease is from mother to child (MTCT), especially when the mother is HBeAg-positive.\(^13\) Additionally, if they do not take any prophylaxis, women acutely infected with the disease or who are chronic carriers of the virus may transmit it to their newborn.\(^14\) Infants born to hepatitis B-infected mothers, but negative to HBeAg, have a risk of 10–40% of acquiring the infection, while those born to infected mothers and positive for HBeAg have a 90% risk of acquiring the infection.\(^15\)

In addition, the infection can be prevented by means of the vaccine launched by the World Health Organization in 1992 to be incorporated into the national immunization program for those countries whose prevalence of the infection is greater than two percent.\(^16\) There are two main strategies in preventing the infection: I) behavioral modification: changing sexual practice and strict screening of blood donors, which is more effective for developed countries than developing countries, as in developing countries the riskiest groups are neonates and newborns; II) developing passive disease protective antibody (passive immunization) through vaccinating by 0.13 mL/kg HBIG for newborns of infected mothers in combination with recombinant vaccine\(^16\),\(^17\), adhering to these two strategies is among the best ways of preventing the transmission of the virus from an infected and/or carrier individual to a healthy individual. Non-compliance with the preventive method results in transmission of the disease from infected to uninfected individuals, especially to the newborn neonates\(^11\),\(^13\), which hinders the meeting of the World Health Organization goal to eliminate viral hepatitis by 2030.\(^3\) The problem is notoriously more prevalent in Africa and Western Pacific countries.\(^4\) As an African country, Ethiopia is ranked from medium to high in terms of endemicity of the disease.\(^18\)

In Ethiopia, even if there is lack of data representing the prevalence of hepatitis B infection nationally, according to the findings of some individual studies, the country is regarded as having a high burden of the disease.\(^18\) As evidence, two recent systematic reviews and meta-analysis revealed that the overall prevalence of hepatitis B infection among the total population ranged from 6% to 7.4%,\(^19\),\(^20\) while among pregnant women the range was 5% to 7%,\(^20\),\(^21\) a primary source of infection for the newborn. This finding is supported by a number of other studies conducted in the country, which found that the prevalence of hepatitis B infection among pregnant women ranged from 4.3% to 7.9%.\(^12\),\(^22\),\(^24\)

This endemic level of the infection in the country is; in the presence of effective potential preventive strategies, even if technical guidance and financial support from the international community are low in the country.\(^25\) Although the disease is found at endemic level among all populations and/or pregnant women in the country, there is no study conducted in the northern part of Ethiopia. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to estimate the prevalence and factors associated with hepatitis B virus infection among pregnant women attending antenatal care clinic of Adigrat General Hospital, Tigray, Ethiopia.

**Methods**

**Study Setting and Period**

The study was conducted at Adigrat general hospital, which is found in Tigray regional state in the northern part of Ethiopia. According to the 2007 national census, Adigrat town has 57,572 total population, and more than half are women.\(^26\) The hospital provides inpatient services like admission and treatment of patients with medical and/or surgical cases, obstetric and/or gynecologic care services, outpatient services like antenatal care service, mother and child health care services, family planning,
ART clinic services etc. This study was conducted from February 1 to March 30, 2019.

**Study Design and Sample Size Determination**

Institutional-based cross-sectional study design was used to examine the prevalence and factors associated with hepatitis B infection among pregnant women attending the ANC clinic at Adigrat General Hospital. The sample size was calculated based on a single population proportion formula: 
\[ n = \left( \frac{z}{d} \right)^2 \frac{P(1-P)}{d^2} \]

- \( n \) = final sample size calculated
- \( d \) = desired precision
- \( z \) = confidence interval
- \( P \) = previous estimated prevalence of hepatitis B infection among pregnant women

by taking 8.1% prevalence of HBV infection from a previous study,\(^{27}\) desired precision of 3%, a 95% confidence level and 10% non-response rate, then, the final sample size was 350. Since our source population is less than 10,000, namely 650, we used the correction formula: \( n_f = \frac{n}{1 + \frac{n}{N}} \) = 240 including 10% non-response rate, where, \( n_f \) = final sample size. Accordingly, the final sample size was 240 pregnant women attending the ANC clinic at Adigrat General Hospital.

**Study Subjects and Sampling Procedure**

In the normal maternal care procedure of the hospital, pregnant women were triaged and assigned to the clinic as a routine service delivery procedure in the antenatal care clinic of the hospital. They were then permitted to enter into the ANC room one by one for routine follow-up care. All pregnant women with confirmed pregnancy were eligible to be enrolled in this study; those who met the inclusion criteria were interviewed after we promptly explained the purpose of the study. Pregnant women who were critically sick and unable to respond to the interview and those who did not volunteer to participate in the study were excluded. Systematic random sampling method was used to select the eligible study participants. The determined number of pregnant women was recruited within four weeks' working days.

**Study Variables**

**Dependent Variable:** HBsAg Serostatus

**Independent Variables**

Socio-demographic and other background and/or clinical characteristics were recorded, which included age, blood transfusion, history of dental procedure, ear/nose piercing, educational level, risky sexual behavior, tattooing, occupation, marital status, income level, gravidity, history of dental procedure, history of surgical procedure and abortion.

**Data Collection Procedure and Quality Control**

Socio-demographic and other pertinent data were collected by trained data collectors using a pre-tested standard questionnaire adapted from study conducted in Ethiopia.\(^ {28} \) Socio-demographic information was collected (maternal age, marital status, educational level, occupation, income and gravidity), while other pertinent data included risky socio-cultural and behavioral practice (tattoo, ear/nose piercing, abortion and multiple sexual partners) and hospital-associated factors (history of hospitalization, surgery and dental procedure). To ensure its consistency, the English version of the questionnaire was translated into local language (Tigrigna) and then back to English by language experts. After a brief explanation of the aim of the study, the participants were invited to take part in the study voluntarily. Due to the reason that, the national guideline of the country, every pregnant woman should be tested for both HIV/AIDS and HBsAg in the hospital before going take other pregnancy-related cares, the outcome variable; seroprevalence of the study participants were determined by reviewing chart of the study participants for recent information about the result of the HBsAg test. Further, based on the national guideline, the hospital laboratory used rapid diagnostic test and/or laboratory immunoassay method and followed all standards of safety, quality and performance expected. The standards of safety, quality and performance of the laboratories were regularly evaluated by national/regional/ zonal laboratory quality assurance teams of the country.

**Ethical Considerations**

The study was conducted based on the human research ethics declaration of Helsinki 2008.\(^{29}\) Therefore, to all pass through the obligations of the declaration, initially, the study proposal was submitted to Institutional Health Research Ethics Review Committee (IHRERC) of the College of Health Science of Addis Ababa University. Then, the review board assessed, commented and approved it, by confirming the study does not have any ethical issue that may harm the study participants. Therefore, the study obtained an official ethical
clearance letter from the Institutional Health Research Ethics Review Committee (IHRERC) of the university. Additionally, official permission was received from Adigrat Zonal Health Bureau and Adigrat General Hospital Administration. Moreover, all the study participants were informed about the study and assured about the confidentiality, protection and anonymity of data. Written consent was obtained from each study participant before the data collection and participation in the study. Moreover, assent was taken in written form from the husband of the pregnant women participating in the study for those whose age was less than 18 years.

Data Analysis
After checking for completeness and consistency of the collected information, the data were entered into EpiData version 3.1, and then the data were transferred to SPSS version 20 for further analysis. Descriptive statistical analysis was used to determine the socio-demographic background characteristics of study participants and prevalence of HBV. Association between the possible risk factors with prevalence of HBV infection was determined using bivariate and multivariate logistic regression analysis. Odds ratio (OR) and 95% CI were used as a measure of strength of association. In all cases p-value less than 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Results
Socio-Demographic Characteristics of the Study Participants
The majority of the study participants were in the age category of 15–34 years. The mean age of the study participants was 26.07±5.2. About three-fourths (70.4%) of the study participants were married. About two-thirds (64.2%) of the study participants had income per month of <116$. The majority (81.3%) of the study participants were primigravid in their pregnancy history. The majority (83.3%) of the study participants had less than or equal to two live births. About one-fourth (25.8%) of the study participants had history of admission in the hospital and/ or health center. The majority (90.8%) of the study participants had experienced ear piercing, while 14.6% of the study participants had history of tattooing. About 7% of the study participants had history of abortion. Almost 22% of the study participants’ husbands were polygamous (Table 1).

Prevalence of Hepatitis B Infection Among the Study Participants
About 9% of the study participants were positive for hepatitis B surface antigen, while the rest were negative (Figure 1). Among those positive for hepatitis B surface antigen, the majority (8.8%, 7.9%, 7.5%, 7.1%) of them had history of ear piercing in their traditional practice, younger age group (15–34) years of age, para-gravida in their pregnancy history, unemployed in their occupation, respectively. Further, equivalent numbers of the pregnant women positive for hepatitis B surface antigen were married and unmarried, had higher income and lesser income, and had history of tattooing or not, (Table 2).

Factors Associated with Hepatitis B Virus Infection
In order to measure association of variables with dependent variable prevalence of hepatitis B virus infection, we used both bivariable and multivariable logistic regression analysis with significance level of less than 0.05. Thus, bivariable logistic regression analysis revealed the following: the younger pregnant women, and those who were married were found more likely to acquire the infection, compared to the older pregnant women and pregnant unmarried women, respectively. However, both variables were not statistically significantly associated at P < 0.05. Further, the bivariable logistic regression analysis revealed that educational status, having history of admission to the hospital, dental procedure, surgery, abortion and tattooing were found to be statistically significantly associated with the prevalence of hepatitis B virus infection among the pregnant women participating in the study (Table 2). However, after controlling for some confounders with multivariable logistic regression analysis, only variables like having history of abortion [OR = 0.12 (95% CI: 0.03, 0.47), P < 0.01] and history of tattooing [OR = 0.21 (95% CI: 0.07, 0.62), P < 0.01] retained their significance at P < 0.05 (Table 3).

Discussion
Indisputably, infection secondary to hepatitis B virus is the major public health problem globally, and is a leading cause of chronic hepatitis in low- and middle-income countries.7,8 Regarding the endemicity of the disease, the world has been classified into three sub-regions; high for >8%, intermediate for 2–8% and low for <2% prevalence of hepatitis B infection among the populations.7,8,30,31 It is
known that the main route of transmission for the virus is from mother to child during pregnancy and/or labor and delivery, and 90% of infants who acquired the infection during labor and delivery will become chronic carriers of the disease. The World Health Organization recommends to screen pregnant women for hepatitis B virus infection at the first prenatal visit in order to prevent perinatal transmission with the help of the main strategies: active

| Table 1 Socio-Demographic Characteristics of the Study Participants |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Variables** | **Frequency** | **Percent** | **Mean with SD** |
|----------------|---------------|-------------|-----------------|
| Age            |               |             |                 |
| 15–24          | 106           | 44.2        |                 |
| 25–34          | 112           | 46.7        |                 |
| 35–49          | 22            | 9.1         |                 |
| Marital status |               |             |                 |
| Married        | 169           | 70.4        |                 |
| Unmarried      | 71            | 29.6        |                 |
| Occupational status |         |             |                 |
| Employed       | 52            | 21.7        |                 |
| Unemployed     | 188           | 78.3        |                 |
| Monthly income in $ |         |             |                 |
| <116           | 154           | 64.2        |                 |
| ≥116           | 86            | 35.8        |                 |
| Educational status |         |             |                 |
| Not read and write | 12       | 5           |                 |
| Read and write  | 18            | 7.5         |                 |
| Attended formal education | 210        | 87.5        |                 |
| History of tattooing |        |             |                 |
| Had            | 35            | 14.6        |                 |
| Not            | 205           | 85.4        |                 |
| Number of pregnancy |        |             |                 |
| Primi-gravida   | 45            | 18.8        |                 |
| Para-gravida    | 195           | 81.3        |                 |
| Number of live birth |        |             |                 |
| ≤2             | 200           | 83.3        |                 |
| ≥3             | 40            | 16.7        |                 |
| History of admission |        |             |                 |
| Had            | 62            | 25.8        |                 |
| Not            | 178           | 74.2        |                 |
| History of dental procedure |        |             |                 |
| Had            | 32            | 13.3        |                 |
| Not            | 208           | 86.7        |                 |
| History of surgery |        |             |                 |
| Had            | 15            | 6.3         |                 |
| Not            | 225           | 93.8        |                 |
| History of abortion |        |             |                 |

(Continued)

| Table 1 (Continued). |
|-----------------------|
| **Variables** | **Frequency** | **Percent** | **Mean with SD** |
| Had             | 16            | 6.7         |                 |
| Not             | 224           | 93.3        |                 |
| History of ear piercing |        |             |                 |
| Had             | 218           | 90.8        |                 |
| Not             | 22            | 9.2         |                 |
| Husbands’ marriage condition |        |             |                 |
| Have extra wife | 52            | 21.7        |                 |
| Not have extra wife | 188        | 78.3        |                 |
| Husbands’ marriage history |        |             |                 |
| Married before  | 47            | 19.6        |                 |
| Not married before | 193        | 80.4        |                 |

Notes: Unemployed includes petty trader, homemade, house wife; Unmarried includes single, and divorced.

Figure 1 Serology test status of the pregnant women, N = 240. Abbreviation: HBsAG, Hepatitis B surface antigen.
Table 2 Association of Variables Under Bivariate Logistic Regression Analysis, N = 240

| Variables                          | Sero-Status for HBsAg | OR (95% CI)     | P value |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|---------|
|                                    | Positive 22 (9.2%)     | Negative: 218 (90.8) |         |
| Age                                |                        |                 |         |
| 15–24                              | 9 (3.7)                | 97 (40.4)       | 1.70 (0.42, 6.87) | 0.75 |
| 25–34                              | 10 (4.2)               | 102 (42.5)      | 1.61 (0.41, 6.40) | 1    |
| 35–49                              | 3 (1.3)                | 19 (7.9)        | 1       | 1    |
| Marital status                     |                        |                 |         |
| Married                            | 12 (5.00)              | 157 (65.4)      | 2.15 (0.88, 5.22) | 0.09 |
| Unmarried                          | 10 (4.2)               | 61 (25.8)       | 1       | 1    |
| Occupational status                |                        |                 |         |
| Employed                           | 5 (2.1)                | 47 (19.6)       | 0.94 (0.33, 2.67) | 0.90 |
| Unemployed                         | 17 (7.1)               | 171 (71.2)      | 1       | 1    |
| Monthly income in EB               |                        |                 |         |
| <3500                              | 11 (4.6)               | 143 (59.6)      | 1.91 (0.79, 4.60) | 0.15 |
| ≥3500                              | 11 (4.6)               | 75 (31.2)       | 1       | 1    |
| Educational status                 |                        |                 |         |
| Not read and write                 | 0 (0.0)                | 12 (5.0)        | -       | 0.04***|
| Read and write                     | 5 (2.1)                | 13 (5.4)        | 0.23 (0.07, 0.72) | 1    |
| Formally educated                  | 17 (7.1)               | 193 (80.4)      | 1       | 1    |
| Number of pregnancy                |                        |                 |         |
| Primi-gravida                      | 4 (1.7)                | 41 (17.1)       | 1.04 (0.34, 3.24) | 0.94 |
| Para-gravida                       | 18 (7.5)               | 177 (73.7)      | 1       | 1    |
| Number of live birth               |                        |                 |         |
| ≤2                                 | 19 (7.9)               | 181 (75.4)      | 0.77 (0.22, 2.75) | 0.69 |
| ≥3                                 | 3 (1.3)                | 37 (15.4)       | 1       | 1    |
| History of admission               |                        |                 |         |
| Had                                | 8 (3.3)                | 48 (20.0)       | 0.16 (0.06, 0.41) | 0.00***|
| Not                                | 14 (5.9)               | 170 (70.8)      | 1       | 1    |
| History of dental procedure        |                        |                 |         |
| Had                                | 7 (2.9)                | 25 (10.4)       | 0.28 (0.10, 0.75) | 0.01***|
| Not                                | 15 (6.3)               | 193 (80.4)      | 1       | 1    |
| History of surgery                 |                        |                 |         |
| Had                                | 6 (2.5)                | 9 (3.7)         | 0.12 (0.04, 0.36) | 0.00***|
| Not                                | 16 (6.7)               | 209 (87.1)      | 1       | 1    |
| History of abortion                |                        |                 |         |
| Had                                | 8 (3.3)                | 8 (3.3)         | 0.07 (0.02, 0.20) | 0.00***|
| Not                                | 14 (5.9)               | 210 (87.5)      | 1       | 1    |
| History of ear piercing            |                        |                 |         |
| Had                                | 21 (8.8)               | 197 (82.1)      | 0.45 (0.06, 3.50) | 0.44 |
| Not                                | 1 (0.4)                | 21 (8.7)        | 1       | 1    |
| History of tattooing              |                        |                 |         |
| Had                                | 11 (4.6)               | 24 (10.0)       | 8.08 (3.20, 20.64) | 0.00***|
| Not                                | 11 (4.6)               | 194 (80.8)      | 1       | 1    |

Notes: Unemployed includes petty trader, homemade, house wife; Unmarried includes single, cohabited and divorced. 1 = reference, ***Significant.
Abbreviations: HBsAg, Hepatitis B surface antigen; EB, Ethiopian Birr.
Table 3 Association of Variables Under Multivariate Logistic Regression Analysis, N = 240

| Variables            | β   | SE  | Wald χ² | OR (95% CI) | P-value |
|----------------------|-----|-----|---------|-------------|---------|
| History of abortion  | −2.13 | 0.70 | 9.3     | 0.12 (0.03, 0.47) | 0.002*** |
| History of tattooing | −1.56 | 0.56 | 7.85    | 0.21 (0.07, 0.62) | 0.002*** |

Note: ***Significant.
Abbreviations: β, beta; SE, standard error; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

and passive vaccination to the mother and the newborn given within the appropriate period. Therefore, this study investigated the prevalence of hepatitis B virus infection and its associated factors among pregnant women in the northern part of Ethiopia. The study revealed that more than 9% of the study participants were positive for hepatitis B surface antigen. This finding is in line with worldwide cut-off points for higher endemicity level in studies from developing countries. This indicates that the prevention and vaccination status of pregnant women towards hepatitis B is low, which leads to the higher rate of chronic hepatitis B infection for babies born to hepatitis B infection-positive mothers. The sero-prevalence of hepatitis B infection among pregnant women found by this study is higher than study findings of four studies from Ethiopia. Similarly, it is higher than study findings from developing countries such as India and Vietnam. However, it is lower than study findings from Nigeria. This difference may be due to difference in awareness level of the study participants towards the infection, difference in socioeconomic level, sample size and/or study season, study design, methods used for investigation of the virus or government attention level for the infection. The reasons stated here above for the difference in prevalence of the infection among pregnant women in developing countries are cited by some studies as reasons for the difference of the prevalence in their studies.

Among many possible factors affecting prevalence of hepatitis B infection among pregnant women tested for the association in this study, history of abortion and history of tattooing were statistically significant both under bivariate and multivariate logistic regression analysis. This indicates having history of tattooing and abortion makes the women most susceptible for the infection. However, this finding contradicts a study from the northern part of Ethiopia. This may be due to abortion and/or tattooing procedure done at the northeastern part of Ethiopia being relatively safe and not facilitating the transmission of the virus, while this is not the case in the northern part of Ethiopia. Further, according to the findings of this study women having history of tattooing and abortion were more likely to be infected by hepatitis B among the pregnant women participating in the study. This may be due to the tattooing procedure and abortion which may be done by unskilled and/or traditional personnel. Additionally, they may use unclean materials for the procedure. Further, in regard to the history of abortion, this finding is in line with a study from the southern part of Ethiopia. However, the findings of that study contradicts our findings with the history of tattooing.

According to the findings of this study, para-gravid women in respect to their pregnancy number were more likely to be infected by hepatitis B compared to the primigravid pregnant women. This may be due to the higher number of visits to the hospital making them susceptible for hospital-acquired infection, lowering of immunity as compared to the younger pregnant women. The findings of this study with regard to the infection level among para-gravid pregnant women contradicts those of a study from the southern part of Ethiopia.

This may be due to the difference in awareness level of the infection among the study participants, difference in sample size of the study, season of the study, method used to investigate the sero-prevalence of the study.

Further, according to our findings, those study participants who had “employed” as their occupational status were less likely to be infected by the hepatitis B virus compared to unemployed pregnant women participating in the study. This may be due to the employed study participants having good awareness about the transmission and prevention route of the disease compared to the unemployed pregnant women participating in the study. The most probable reason for good awareness of the transmission and prevention method of the disease among employed pregnant women participating in the study was their higher educational level compared to unemployed pregnant women participating in the study. This finding is in line with the study from the southern part of Ethiopia. This may be due to the population of the two study areas having the same socioeconomic status and educational status. Therefore, it is important to regularly monitor the infection level among pregnant women and employ influencing factors that help prevent and
control the infection among these most vulnerable populations.

Although the study was conducted in a single institution, the study contributes significant information for policy makers, government officers, researchers and nongovernmental stakeholders participating in the control and prevention of the disease.

Limitation of the Study
This cross-sectional study cannot determine cause and effect. Timing of the study period is also a limitation of the study as results are limited by the cross-sectional time period. The information obtained from mothers could be subject to recall bias, social acceptability bias, interviewer bias, and responder bias.

Conclusion
Maternal health is a basic concern for the global community. Therefore, the global community including the World Health Organization is striving to consistently maintain health for women; however, it still needs strong attention. This study concluded that the prevalence of hepatitis B infection among pregnant women participating in this study is at the cut-off point of higher-level hepatitis endemicity. The independent factors related to the prevalence of hepatitis B virus infection were having history of tattooing and/or history of abortion.
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