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Abstract. We compare two generalizations of Tate homology: stable homology and the J-completion of Tor, also known as complete homology. For finitely generated modules, we show that the two theories agree over Artin algebras and over commutative noetherian rings that are Gorenstein, or local and complete. There is a universal map from stable homology to complete homology, but we show by example that it may fail to detect this agreement.

INTRODUCTION

Tate introduced homology and cohomology theories over finite group algebras. In unpublished work from the 1980s—eventually given an exposition by Goichot [13]—P. Vogel generalized both theories to the realm of associative rings. These generalizations are now referred to as stable (co)homology. Tate’s theories achieved alternate generalizations through works of Triulzi and his adviser Mislin who introduced the J-completion of Tor [19] and the P-completion of covariant Ext [10]. An I-completion of contravariant Ext was studied by Nucinkis [17]. On the cohomological side, the P-completion of Ext agrees with stable cohomology; see Kropholler’s survey [15] and the Appendix to this paper.

In this paper, we investigate the homological side: Under what conditions does stable homology \( \tilde{\text{Tor}} \) agree with the J-completion \( \tilde{\text{Tor}} \) of Tor? Either theory enjoys properties that the other may not have: Complete homology has a universal property, and stable homology is a homological functor. The universal property of complete homology provides a comparison map from stable homology to complete homology. Our main theorem identifies conditions under which stable and complete homology agree, and yet we show in Example 2.7 that the comparison map may fail to detect this agreement.

Main Theorem. Let \( R \) be an Artin algebra, or a commutative Gorenstein ring, or a commutative complete local ring. For every finitely generated right \( R \)-module \( M \) and all \( i \in \mathbb{Z} \), there are isomorphisms

\[
\tilde{\text{Tor}}^R_i(M, -) \cong \tilde{\text{Tor}}^R_i(M, -)
\]

of functors on the category of finitely generated left \( R \)-modules.
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Complete homology and stable homology are defined for all modules over any associative ring, but we do not know if they agree in that generality. For a restricted class of modules, Iacob [14] has generalized Tate homology to the setting of associative rings. We do show that the necessary and sufficient conditions for stable homology to agree with Tate homology are also necessary and sufficient for complete homology to agree with Tate homology. However, complete and stable homology may agree even when Tate homology is not defined; see 3.7 and 3.8.

1. Injective completion of covariant functors

In this paper, rings are assumed to be associative algebras over a fixed commutative ring \( k \). Let \( R \) be a ring; we adopt the convention that an \( R \)-module is a left \( R \)-module, and we refer to right \( R \)-modules as modules over the opposite ring \( R^{\circ} \).

The functors we consider in this paper are tacitly assumed to be functors from the category of \( R \)-modules to the category of \( k \)-modules.

1.1. Let \( \mathcal{I} \) be a non-empty subset of \( \mathbb{Z} \). If \( U = \{ U_i \mid i \in \mathcal{I} \} \) and \( T = \{ T_i \mid i \in \mathcal{I} \} \) are families of covariant functors, then by a morphism \( v: U \to T \) we mean a family \( \{ v_i: U_i \to T_i \mid i \in \mathcal{I} \} \) of morphisms.

The next definition can be found in Triulzi’s thesis [19, 6.1.1]. It is similar to Mislin’s P-completion of a covariant cohomological functor [16, 2.1] and to Nucinkis’ I-completion of a contravariant cohomological functor [17, 2.4].

1.2 Definition. Let \( T = \{ T_i \mid i \in \mathcal{I} \} \) be a family of covariant functors. The \( J \)-completion of \( T \) is a family \( \tilde{T} = \{ \tilde{T}_i \mid i \in \mathcal{I} \} \) of covariant functors together with a morphism \( \tau: \tilde{T} \to T \) that have the following properties.

1. One has \( \tilde{T}_i(E) = 0 \) for every injective \( R \)-module \( E \) and every \( i \in \mathcal{I} \).
2. If \( U = \{ U_i \mid i \in \mathcal{I} \} \) is a family of covariant functors with \( U_i(E) = 0 \) for every injective \( R \)-module \( E \) and all \( i \in \mathcal{I} \), and if \( v: U \to T \) is a morphism, then there exists a unique morphism \( \sigma: U \to \tilde{T} \) such that \( \tau \sigma = v \).

It follows from part (2) above that the \( J \)-completion of \( T \) is, if it exists, unique up to unique isomorphism. To discuss existence we recall the notions of homological functors and satellites, the latter from Cartan and Eilenberg [3, chap. III].

1.3. A family \( T = \{ T_i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z} \} \) of covariant additive functors is called a homological functor if for every exact sequence \( 0 \to N' \to N \to N'' \to 0 \) of \( R \)-modules, there is an exact sequence

\[
\cdots \to T_i(N') \to T_i(N) \to T_i(N'') \xrightarrow{\delta_i} T_{i-1}(N') \to \cdots
\]

of \( k \)-modules with natural connecting homomorphisms \( \delta_i \). For example, the family \( \text{Tor}^R(M, -) = \{ \text{Tor}^R_i(M, -) \mid i \in \mathbb{Z} \} \) is such a functor for every \( R^{\circ} \)-module \( M \).
1.4. Let $N$ be an $R$-module and $N \xrightarrow{\sim} I$ be an injective resolution. For every $n \geq 0$ an $n$th *cosyzgy* of $N$ is $\text{Ker}(I^n \to I^{n+1})$; up to isomorphism and injective surconduits, it is independent of the choice of injective resolution. If the resolution is minimal, then we use the notation $\Omega^n N$ for the cosyzgy $\text{Ker}(I^n \to I^{n+1})$; these modules are unique up to isomorphism. Notice the isomorphism $\Omega^0 N \cong N$.

Let $T$ be a covariant functor. For $n \geq 0$ the $n$th right satellite of $T$ is a functor, denoted $S^n T$, whose value at an $R$-module $N$ is the cokernel of the homomorphism $T(I^{n-1}) \to T(\Omega^n N)$. Notice that one has $S^0 T \cong T$ and $S^{k+1} T(N) \cong S^1 T(\Omega^k N)$.

1.5. Following Mislin’s line of proof of [16 thm. 2.2], Trlitz [19 prop. 6.1.2] shows that every homological functor $T = \{T_i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ has a $\delta$-completion $\tau: \mathbb{T} \to T$ with $\mathbb{T}_i(N) = \lim_{k \geq 0} S^k T_{k+i}(N)$ for every $R$-module $N$ and every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

1.6 Remark. Let $T = \{T_i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ be a homological functor. Fix $i \in \mathbb{Z}$; every exact sequence $0 \to \Omega^{k-1} N \to I^{k-1} \to \Omega^k N \to 0$ yields an exact sequence

$$T_{k+i}(I^{k-1}) \to T_{k+i}(\Omega^k N) \xrightarrow{\delta_{k+i}} T_{k-1+i}(\Omega^{k-1} N) .$$

The connecting homomorphism $\delta_{k+i}$ induces a homomorphism from the cokernel $S^k T_{k+i}(N)$ to $T_{k-1+i}(\Omega^{k-1} N)$. Composed with the natural projection onto $S^{k-1} T_{k-1+i}(N)$ it yields a homomorphism $S^k T_{k+i}(N) \to S^{k-1} T_{k-1+i}(N)$. These homomorphisms provide the maps in the directed system from 1.5.

The next result shows how to compute the $\delta$-completion directly from cosyzgies.

1.7 Lemma. Let $T = \{T_i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ be a homological functor and let $N$ be an $R$-module. For every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ there is an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{T}_i(N) \cong \lim_{k \geq 0} T_{k+i}(\Omega^k N) .$$

Proof. Let $N \xrightarrow{\sim} I$ be a minimal injective resolution of $N$. For each $k \geq 1$ consider the exact sequence $0 \to \Omega^{k-1} N \to I^{k-1} \to \Omega^k N \to 0$. As $T$ is a homological functor, there is an exact sequence

$$\cdots \to T_{k+i}(I^{k-1}) \to T_{k+i}(\Omega^k N) \xrightarrow{\delta_{k+i}} T_{k+i-1}(\Omega^{k-1} N) \to T_{k+i-1}(I^{k-1}) \to \cdots$$

Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
T_{k+i}(\Omega^k N) & \xrightarrow{\delta_{k+i}} & T_{k+i-1}(\Omega^{k-1} N) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
S^k T_{k+i}(N) & \xrightarrow{k} & T_{k+i-1}(\Omega^{k-1} N) .
\end{array}$$

The connecting homomorphisms $\delta_{k+i}$ yield a directed system. They also make up a morphism between copies of this directed system, and its limit $\lim_{k \geq 0} \delta_{k+i} \Delta_{k}$ is, by the universal property of limits, the identity on $\lim_{k \geq 0} T_{k+i}(\Omega^k N)$. It follows that

$$\lim_{k \geq 0} \varphi^k: \lim_{k \geq 0} S^k T_{k+i}(N) \to \lim_{k \geq 0} S^k T_{k+i}(\Omega^k N)$$

is surjective, and it is injective as lim is left exact. Thus, one has

$$\mathbb{T}_i(N) = \lim_{k \geq 0} S^k T_{k+i}(N) \cong \lim_{k \geq 0} T_{k+i}(\Omega^k N) .$$

\[ \square \]
It is not given that the J-completion of a homological functor is itself a homological functor, but dimension shifting still works.

1.8 Lemma. Let $T = \{T_i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ be a homological functor and let $N$ be an $R$-module. For every $n \geq 0$, there is an isomorphism $\tilde{T}_i(\Omega^n N) \cong \tilde{T}_{i-n}(N)$.

Proof. For $n = 0$ the isomorphism is trivial, and by induction it is sufficient to prove it for $n = 1$. The isomorphisms in the next computation hold by Lemma 1.7:

$$\tilde{T}_i(\Omega N) \cong \lim_{k \geq 0} T_{k+i}(\Omega^k(\Omega N))$$

$$= \lim_{k \geq 0} T_{(k+1)+(i-1)}(\Omega^{k+1} N)$$

$$= \lim_{k \geq 1} T_{k+(i-1)}(\Omega^k N)$$

$$\cong \tilde{T}_{i-1}(N). \quad \Box$$

1.9 Lemma. Let $\nu: \{U_i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\} \longrightarrow \{T_i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ be a morphism of homological functors such that $\nu_i$ is an isomorphism for $i \gg 0$. If $U_i(E) = 0$ holds for each injective $R$-module $E$ and every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, then the unique morphism that exists by Definition 1.2 $\sigma: \{U_i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\} \longrightarrow \{\tilde{T_i} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, is an isomorphism.

Proof. Set $\mathcal{I} = \{i \in \mathbb{Z} \mid U_i \cong T_i\}$. By assumption $\mathcal{I}$ is a non-empty set, as $U_i \cong T_i$ holds for $i \gg 0$. Since $U$ vanishes on injective modules, it follows that $\{U_i \mid i \in \mathcal{I}\}$ is the J-completion of $\{T_i \mid i \in \mathcal{I}\}$, i.e., $U_i \cong \tilde{T}_i$ for all $i \in \mathcal{I}$; see Definition 1.2.

Now let $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, choose an $i \in \mathcal{I}$ with $i \geq j$, and set $n = i - j$. For every $R$-module $N$ there are isomorphisms

$$\tilde{T}_j(N) = \tilde{T}_{i-n}(N) \cong \tilde{T}_i(\Omega^n N)$$

$$\cong U_i(\Omega^n N)$$

$$\cong U_{i-n}(N) = U_j(N).$$

The first isomorphism holds by Lemma 1.8 and the second holds by the argument above as $i$ is in $\mathcal{I}$. The last isomorphism follows by dimension shifting, as $U$ is a homological functor that vanishes on injective modules. \qed

2. Comparison to stable homology

We now focus on the J-completion of the homological functor $\text{Tor}_R^*(M, -)$.

2.1 Definition. Let $M$ be an $R^\circ$-module; for the J-completion of the homological functor $\text{Tor}_R^*(M, -) = \{\text{Tor}_i^R(M, -) \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, see Definition 1.2, we use the notation $\tilde{\text{Tor}}_R^*(M, -) = \{\tilde{\text{Tor}}_i^R(M, -) \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. For every $R$-module $N$, the $\mathbb{Z}$-indexed family of $k$-modules $\tilde{\text{Tor}}_i^R(M, N)$ is called the complete homology of $M$ and $N$ over $R$.

2.2. If $M$ has finite flat dimension, or if $N$ has finite injective dimension, then the complete homology $\tilde{\text{Tor}}_R^*(M, N)$ vanishes: this follows straight from the isomorphisms $\tilde{\text{Tor}}_i^R(M, N) \cong \lim_{k \geq 0} \text{Tor}_{i+k}^R(M, \Omega^k N)$ from Lemma 1.7.

The goal of this section is to compare complete homology to stable homology; we recall the definition of stable homology and refer to [4] sec. 2 or [13] for details.
2.3. Let $M$ be an $R^e$-module and $N$ be an $R$-module. Let $P \xrightarrow{i} M$ be a projective resolution and $N \xrightarrow{j} I$ be an injective resolution. The tensor product complex $P \otimes_R I$ has components $(P \otimes_R I)_n = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} P_{i+n} \otimes_R I^i$; it is a subcomplex of $P \otimes_R I$ with components $(P \otimes_R I)_n = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} P_{i+n} \otimes_R I^i$ and differential extended by linearity from $p \otimes i \mapsto \partial(p) \otimes i + (-1)^{|p|} p \otimes \partial(i)$, where $|p|$ is the (homological) degree of $p$. The quotient complex is denoted $P \xrightarrow{\varphi} I$, and the modules $\Tor^R_{k+i}(M, N) = H_{k+i}(P \xrightarrow{\varphi} I)$ make up the stable homology of $M$ and $N$ over $R$. They fit in a long exact sequence with homology modules $\Tor^R_{k+i}(M, N)$ and $\Tor^R_{k+i}(M, N) = H_i(P \xrightarrow{\varphi} I)$; see [3, 2.5].

Comparison via the universal property. Let $M$ be an $R^e$-module. Stable homology $\Tor^R(M, -)$ is a homological functor, and there is a morphism

$$\delta: \Tor^R(M, -) \rightarrow \Tor^R(M, -)$$

given by connecting maps in a long exact sequence; see [4, 2.5]. Stable homology $\Tor^R(M, E)$ vanishes for every injective $R$-module $E$; see [4, 2.3]. By the universal property of complete homology, there is thus a morphism

$$\sigma: \Tor^R(M, -) \rightarrow \Tor^R(M, -)$$

with $\sigma \delta = \delta$ where $\tau: \Tor^R(M, -) \rightarrow \Tor^R(M, -)$ is as in Definition [1.2].

We aim for an explicit description of the morphisms $\tau$, $\delta$, and $\sigma$. To that end we first reinterpret the computation of complete homology in Lemma [1.7].

2.4. Fix $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Recall from the proof of Lemma [1.7] that the maps in the directed system on the right-hand side of $\Tor^R_i(M, N) \cong \lim_{k \geq 0} \Tor^R_{k+i}(M, \Omega^k N)$ come from the connecting homomorphisms

$$\delta_{k+i}: \Tor^R_{k+i}(M, \Omega^k N) \rightarrow \Tor^R_{k+i-1}(M, \Omega^{k-1} N).$$

Let $P \xrightarrow{i} M$ be a projective resolution and let $N \xrightarrow{j} I$ be a minimal injective resolution. There is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow I^{\geq k} \rightarrow I^{\geq k-1} \rightarrow \Sigma^{-k} I^{k-1} \rightarrow 0$, for every $k \geq 1$, which induces an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow P \otimes_R \Sigma^k I^{\geq k} \rightarrow P \otimes_R \Sigma^k I^{\geq k-1} \rightarrow P \otimes_R \Sigma I^{k-1} \rightarrow 0.$$  

For every $n \geq 0$ the canonical map $\Omega^n N \rightarrow \Sigma^n I^{\geq n}$ is a minimal injective resolution. It follows that the exact sequence in homology associated to (2) yields homomorphisms from

$$H_{k+i}(P \otimes_R \Sigma^k I^{\geq k}) = \Tor^R_{k+i}(M, \Omega^k N)$$

to

$$H_{k+i}(P \otimes_R \Sigma^k I^{\geq k-1}) = H_{k+i-1}(P \otimes_R \Sigma I^{k-1}) = \Tor^R_{k+i-1}(M, \Omega^{k-1} N).$$

That these maps yield a directed system isomorphic to the one given by the maps (1) is due to a commutative diagram, the commutativity of which amounts to the statements that $P \otimes_R -$ is a triangulated functor on the derived category, and that $H(-)$ is a homological functor on the derived category. This explains the second isomorphism in the chain

$$\Tor^R_i(M, N) \cong \lim_{k \geq 0} \Tor^R_{k+i}(M, \Omega^k N)$$

$$\cong \lim_{k \geq 0} H_{k+i}(P \otimes_R \Sigma^k I^{\geq k}) \cong \lim_{k \geq 0} H_i(P \otimes_R I^{\geq k}).$$
where the limits in the last line are taken over the system given by the maps
\[(4) \quad \iota^k: H_i(P \otimes_R I^{\geq k}) \longrightarrow H_i(P \otimes_R I^{\geq k-1}) \]
induced by the embeddings \(I^{\geq k} \to I^{\geq k-1} \).

**2.5.** Adopt the notation from 2.4. In view of 2.4(3), an element in complete homology \(\text{Tor}^R_i(M, N)\) is a sequence of homology classes \([w^{\geq k}]_{k \geq 0}\) where each \(w^{\geq k}\) is a cycle in \((P \otimes_R I^{\geq k})_i\), and one has \(i^k([w^{\geq k}]) = [w^{\geq k-1}]\). With this notation,
\[
\tau_i: \text{Tor}^R_i(M, N) \longrightarrow \text{Tor}^R_i(M, N) \quad \text{is given by} \quad ([w^{\geq k}]_{k \geq 0} \mapsto [w^{\geq 0}] ;
\]
cf. [19] proof of 1.2.2 and text after 6.1.2.

A homogeneous cycle \(z\) in \(P \otimes_R I\) of homological degree \(|z|\) is a family \((z^j)_{j \geq 0}\) with \(z^j \in P_{j+|z|} \otimes_R I^j\), such that \(\partial(z) = (\partial(z^j))_{j \geq 0}\) belongs to \((P \otimes_R I)|_{j=1}\). That is, \(z\) may have infinite support and need not be a cycle in \(\overline{\text{Tor}}^R_i\), but \(\partial(z)\) has finite support, and by the definition of the differential, \(\partial(z)\) is a cycle in \(P \otimes_R I\).

Thus, an element in \(\overline{\text{Tor}}^R_i(M, N)\) is the class \([z]\) of a cycle in \((P \otimes_R I)_{i+1}\) with \(\partial(z)\) a cycle in \((P \otimes_R I)_i\), and with this notation the connecting homomorphism
\[
\partial_i: \overline{\text{Tor}}^R_i(M, N) \longrightarrow \text{Tor}^R_i(M, N) \quad \text{is given by} \quad [z] \mapsto [\partial(z)].
\]

Let \(z = (z^j)_{j \geq 0}\) be a cycle in \((P \otimes_R I)_{i+1}\); for every \(k \geq 0\) set \(z^{\geq k} = (z^j)_{j \geq k}\). We just saw that \(\partial(z)\) has finite support, so for every \(k \geq 0\) the boundary \(\partial(z^{\geq k})\) is a cycle in \((P \otimes_R I^{\geq k})_i\). In \(P \otimes_R I^{\geq k-1}\) the difference \(\partial(z^{\geq k}) - \partial(z^{\geq k-1}) = \partial(z^{<k})\) is a boundary, so the sequence of homology classes \([\partial(z^{\geq k})]_{k \geq 0}\) is compatible with the morphisms \(i^k\) from 2.4(4). To see that the homomorphism
\[
\sigma_i: \overline{\text{Tor}}^R_i(M, N) \longrightarrow \overline{\text{Tor}}^R_i(M, N) \quad \text{given by} \quad [z] \mapsto ([\partial(z^{\geq k})]_{k \geq 0})
\]
provides the desired factorization, note that for \([z]\) in stable homology \(\overline{\text{Tor}}^R_i(M, N)\) one has \(\tau_i \sigma_i([z]) = \tau_i([\partial(z^{\geq k})]_{k \geq 0}) = [\partial(z^{\geq 0})] = [\partial(z)] = \partial_i([z])\).

It can be checked that \(\sigma_i\) is always surjective; however, it is not always injective, and we prepare for an example to show that much.

**2.6.** Adopt the notation from 2.5. Let \(z = (z^j)_{j \geq 0}\) be a cycle in \((P \otimes_R I)_{i+1}\). For every \(k \geq 0\) one has \(z = z^{\geq k} + z^{<k}\) and
\[
0 = \partial(z) = \partial(z^{\geq k}) + \partial(z^{<k}).
\]

The equivalence class of \(z\) in the quotient complex \(P \otimes_R I\) is also a cycle, so the boundary \(\partial(z^{\geq k})\) is already by 2.5 known to have finite support; that is, it is an element in \(P \otimes_R I\). The display shows that \(\partial(z^{\geq k}) = -\partial(z^{<k})\) is even a boundary in \(P \otimes_R I\). The upshot is that for every \(i \in \mathbb{Z}\) the restriction of \(\sigma_i\) to the image in \(\overline{\text{Tor}}^R_i(M, N) = H_{i+1}(P \otimes_R I)\) of \(H_{i+1}(P \otimes_R I) = \overline{\text{Tor}}^R_{i+1}(M, N)\) is zero; see [3] 2.5.

One now has a complex
\[
\text{Tor}^R_{i+1}(M, N) \longrightarrow \overline{\text{Tor}}^R_{i+1}(M, N) \longrightarrow \overline{\text{Tor}}^R_i(M, N) \overset{\sigma_i}{\longrightarrow} \overline{\text{Tor}}^R_i(M, N) ,
\]
exact at \(\overline{\text{Tor}}^R_i(M, N)\). To show that \(\sigma_i\) is not injective, it suffices to identify an element in \(\overline{\text{Tor}}^R_{i+1}(M, N)\) that is not in the image of \(\text{Tor}^R_{i+1}(M, N)\).
2.7 Example. Let \( R \) be a commutative local ring that is not Gorenstein and let \( k \) be its residue field; an example is \( R = k[x,y]/(x^2, xy, y^2) \). For every \( i \neq 0 \) one has \( \text{Tor}^R_i(k, R) = 0 \), and \( \text{Tor}^R_0(k, R) = k \) has rank 1, but \( \text{Tor}^R_i(k, R) \) is a \( k \)-vector space of infinite rank for every \( i \in \mathbb{Z} \); see [4, 2.5, 5.3–5.5]. By (2.6) the homomorphism \( \sigma_i : \text{Tor}^R_i(k, R) \to \text{Tor}^R_i(k, R) \) is injective for no \( i \in \mathbb{Z} \).

One should contrast this example with the Main Theorem, by which complete homology \( \text{Tor}^R(k, R) \) does agree with stable homology \( \text{Tor}^R(k, R) \) for every commutative complete local ring \( R \). Alas, the universal map \( \sigma \) fails to recognize this.

2.8. An \( R^e \)-module \( M \) is said to have **finite copure flat dimension** if there exists an integer \( n \) such that \( \text{Tor}_i^R(M, E) = 0 \) for every injective \( R \)-module \( E \) and all \( i \geq n \); see Enochs and Jenda [11]. Prominent examples of such modules are those of finite Gorenstein flat dimension; see [5, thm. 4.14].

2.9 Proposition. For an \( R^e \)-module \( M \) of finite copure flat dimension and for all \( i \in \mathbb{Z} \) there are isomorphisms of functors on the category of \( R \)-modules

\[
\text{Tor}^R_i(M, -) \cong \text{Tor}^R_i(M, -).
\]

Proof. By assumption there is an integer \( n \) such that \( \text{Tor}_i^R(M, E) = 0 \) for every injective \( R \)-module \( E \) and all \( i \geq n \). Therefore [4] prop. 2.9 yields \( \text{Tor}^R_i(M, -) \cong \text{Tor}^R_i(M, -) \) for all \( i \geq n \). Now [4] 2.3 and Lemma 2.9 finish the proof. \( \square \)

Stable homology \( \text{Tor}^R(M, -) \) is a homological functor; see [4] (2.4.2). Thus, for an \( R^e \)-module \( M \) of finite copure flat dimension, the Proposition establishes \( \text{Tor}^R(M, -) \) as a homological functor. In the proof, the isomorphisms one gets from [4] prop. 2.9] are \( \sigma_i \) for \( i \geq n \), hence the isomorphisms in the statement of Proposition 2.9 are, in fact, the maps \( \sigma_i \) discussed in 2.5–2.7; cf. Definition 1.2.

2.10. Finitely generated modules over commutative Gorenstein rings have finite Gorenstein flat dimension: this is a result due to Goto; see [5] thm. 1.18, prop. 4.24]. Thus Proposition 2.9 establishes the Main Theorem in the case of Gorenstein rings.

2.11. The invariant \( \text{sfli} R \) is the supremum of the flat dimensions of all injective \( R \)-modules. Iwanga-Gorenstein rings have finite \( \text{sfli} \) by [12] thm. 9.1.7.

Over rings with finite \( \text{sfli} \), all modules have finite copure flat dimension. Hence the next result is immediate from Proposition 2.9.

2.12 Corollary. Let \( R \) be a ring with \( \text{sfli} R \) finite. For every \( R^e \)-module \( M \) and all \( i \in \mathbb{Z} \), there are isomorphisms \( \text{Tor}^R_i(M, -) \cong \text{Tor}^R_i(M, -) \) of functors on the category of \( R \)-modules. \( \square \)

2.13 Corollary. Let \( R \) be a right Noetherian ring and let \( M \) be a finitely generated \( R^e \)-module. If \( \text{Ext}^R_i(M, R) = 0 \) holds for all \( i \gg 0 \), then for all \( i \in \mathbb{Z} \) there are isomorphisms \( \text{Tor}^R_i(M, -) \cong \text{Tor}^R_i(M, -) \) of functors on the category of \( R \)-modules.

Proof. Let \( E \) be an injective \( R \)-module. Since \( \text{Ext}^R_i(M, R) = 0 \) holds for all \( i \gg 0 \), the isomorphisms \( \text{Tor}^R_i(M, E) \cong \text{Hom}_R(\text{Ext}^R_i(M, R), E) \) show that \( M \) has finite copure flat dimension; see 2.8. Thus the claim follows from Proposition 2.9. \( \square \)
**Comparison via duality.** With an exact functor $\text{Hom}(-, E)$ one can, loosely speaking, toggle between $\text{Ext}$ and $\text{Tor}$; the proof of Corollary 2.13 exemplifies this. To establish the Main Theorem for Artin algebras and commutative complete local rings, we employ a duality $\text{Hom}(-, E)$ and use that the $P$-completion of covariant $\text{Ext}$ agrees with stable cohomology; see the Appendix for details.

**2.14 Theorem.** Let $M$ be an $R^e$-module with a degree-wise finitely generated projective resolution and let $E$ be an injective $\mathbb{k}$-module. For all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ there are isomorphism of functors on the category of $R^e$-modules
\[
\text{Tor}^R_i(M, \text{Hom}_R(-, E)) \cong \text{Tor}^R_i(M, \text{Hom}_R(-, E)).
\]

*Proof.* As the $P$-completion of covariant $\text{Ext}$ agrees with stable cohomology, [4, thm. A.7] yields isomorphisms,
\[
\text{Tor}^R_i(M, \text{Hom}_R(-, E)) \cong \text{Hom}_R(\text{Ext}_{R^e}^i(M, -), E).
\]
Fix a degree-wise finitely generated projective resolution $P \xrightarrow{\sim} M$. Let $N$ be a an $R$-module and $Q \xrightarrow{\sim} N$ be a projective resolution. The quasi-isomorphism $\text{Hom}_R(N, E) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_R(Q, E)$ is an injective resolution over $R$, and $\text{Hom}_R(N, E)$ splits as a direct sum $I \oplus J$, where $I$ provides a minimal injective resolution of $\text{Hom}_R(N, E)$ and $J$ is acyclic. Now one has:
\[
\text{Hom}_R(\text{Ext}_{R^e}^i(M, N), E) \cong \text{Hom}_R(\text{colim}_{k \geq 0} \text{Hom}_R(P, Q_{\oplus k}), E)
\]
\[
\cong \lim_{k \geq 0} \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(P, Q_{\oplus k}), E)
\]
\[
\cong \lim_{k \geq 0} \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(P, Q_{\oplus k}), E)
\]
\[
\cong \lim_{k \geq 0} \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(P, \Sigma^k \Omega_k N), E)
\]
\[
\cong \lim_{k \geq 0} \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(P, \Sigma^k \Omega_k N), E)
\]
\[
\cong \lim_{k \geq 0} \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(P_{\oplus k}, E))
\]
\[
\cong \lim_{k \geq 0} \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(P_{\oplus k}, E))
\]
\[
\cong \lim_{k \geq 0} \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(I \oplus J_{\oplus k}))
\]
\[
\cong \lim_{k \geq 0} \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(I \oplus J_{\oplus k})^k)
\]
\[
\cong \text{Hom}_R(\text{Ext}_{R^e}^i(M, N), E).
\]
The first isomorphism follows from Lemma [A.3]. The fourth and the sixth isomorphism hold since $Q_{\oplus k} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Sigma^k \Omega_k N$ is a projective resolution. The fifth isomorphism holds because $P$ is degree-wise finitely generated; see [3, prop. VI.5.2]. The last isomorphism follows from [2.4(3)] because the complex $P_{\oplus k} J$ is acyclic. As the first isomorphism is natural in the $(N/Q)$ variable, and the subsequent isomorphisms are natural in $Q$, it follows that the total isomorphism is natural in $N$. \qed

The next corollary accounts for the case of Artin algebras in the Main Theorem.

**2.15 Corollary.** Let $R$ be an Artin algebra. For every finitely generated $R^e$-module $M$ and all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, there are isomorphisms $\text{Tor}^R_i(M, -) \cong \text{Tor}^R_i(M, -)$ of functors on the category of finitely generated $R$-modules.\footnote{The argument for functoriality in the “$N$ variable” will be added in arxiv:1409.3605v2.}
Proof. Let \( k \) be artinian and \( R \) be finitely generated as a \( k \)-module. Let \( E \) denote the injective hull of \( k / \text{Jac} k \) and let \( D(-) = \text{Hom}_R(-, E) \) be the duality functor for \( R \). By Theorem 2.14 there are isomorphisms of functors on the category of finitely generated \( R \)-modules,

\[
\widetilde{\text{Tor}}^R_i(M, -) \cong \widetilde{\text{Tor}}^R_i(M, D(\text{D}(-))) \cong \widetilde{\text{Tor}}^R_i(M, D(\text{D}(-))) \cong \widetilde{\text{Tor}}^R_i(M, -).
\]

\( \square \)

2.16. Let \( R \) be a commutative local ring and let \( E \) denote the injective hull of its residue field. An \( R \)-module \( N \) is called Matlis reflexive if the canonical map \( N \to \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(N, E), E) \) is an isomorphism. For example, finitely generated complete modules, in particular modules of finite length, are Matlis reflexive. With \( D(-) = \text{Hom}_R(-, E) \) the isomorphisms in the proof of Corollary 2.15 remain valid and yield, for a finitely generated \( R \)-module \( M \), isomorphisms

\[
\widetilde{\text{Tor}}^R_i(M, -) \cong \widetilde{\text{Tor}}^R_i(M, -)
\]

of functors on the subcategory of Matlis reflexive \( R \)-modules.

Over a commutative complete local ring, Matlis duality establishes that all finitely generated modules and all artinian modules are Matlis reflexive. The next corollary accounts for the case of complete local rings in the Main Theorem.

2.17 Corollary. Let \( R \) be a commutative noetherian complete local ring. For all finitely generated \( R \)-modules \( M \) and all \( i \in \mathbb{Z} \), there are isomorphisms of functors on the category of finitely generated \( R \)-modules

\[
\widetilde{\text{Tor}}^R_i(M, -) \cong \widetilde{\text{Tor}}^R_i(M, -).
\]

\( \square \)

2.18 Remark. For non-negative integers \( i \), the isomorphisms in Corollaries 2.13 and 2.16 were proved by Yoshino [21, thms. 5.3 and 5.5]. Indeed, Yoshino introduces and studies in \( \text{loc. cit.} \) the Tate–Vogel completion of covariant half exact functors. For such a functor \( T \)—our interest is in \( \text{Tor}^R_i(M, -) \) for an \( R^2 \)-module \( M \)—the Tate–Vogel completion \( T^\wedge \) is given by \( T^\wedge(N) = \lim_{k \geq 0} S_k T(N) \), for every \( R \)-module \( N \). Here \( S_k T \) denotes the \( k \)-th left satellite of the functor \( T \); see [3, chap. III].

For \( i \in \mathbb{Z} \) set \( T_i = \text{Tor}^R_i(M, -) \). As \( T_i \) is 0 for \( i < 0 \), Yoshino only considers the Tate–Vogel completion \( T^\wedge_i \) for \( i \geq 0 \). However, for \( i \geq 0 \) dimension shifting yields \( S_k T_i \cong T_{k+i} \). Thus, in the definition of the Tate–Vogel completion of \( T_i \) we may replace \( S_k T_i \) by \( T_{k+i} \), so that in the limit one gets a Tate–Vogel completion \( T^\wedge_i \) of \( T_i \) for all \( i \in \mathbb{Z} \). By 1.3 and Definition 2.1 this is complete homology \( \widetilde{\text{Tor}}^R_i(M, -) \).

3. Comparison to Tate homology

Tate (co)homology was originally defined for modules over finite group algebras. Through works of Iacob [14] and Veliche [24] the theories have been generalized to the extent that one can talk about Tate homology \( \text{Tor}^R(M, N) \) and Tate cohomology \( \text{Ext}_R(M, N) \) for modules over any ring, provided that the first argument, \( M \), has a complete projective resolution; see 3.1.

Stable cohomology and the \( P \)-completion of covariant Ext always agree, and they coincide with Tate cohomology whenever the latter is defined; see the Appendix. The questions of when stable homology and complete homology (the \( J \)-completion of \( \text{Tor} \)) agree, and when they coincide with Tate homology, do not yet have satisfactory answers. In [4] we prove that stable homology, \( \text{Tor} \), agrees with Tate homology over a ring \( R \) if and only if every Gorenstein projective \( R^2 \)-module is Gorenstein flat; see 3.1 and 3.4. In this section we prove that complete homology \( \text{Tor} \) agrees with
Tate homology under the exact same condition. We give two proofs of this fact; the first one uses the result for stable homology, and the second is independent thereof.

3.1. An acyclic complex $T$ of projective $R^e$-modules is called totally acyclic if $\text{Hom}_{R^e}(T, P)$ is acyclic for every projective $R^e$-module $P$. An $R^e$-module $G$ is called Gorenstein projective if there exists a totally acyclic complex $T$ of projective $R^e$-modules with $\text{Coker}(T_1 \to T_0) \cong G$. A complete projective resolution of an $R^e$-module $M$ is a diagram $T \xrightarrow{\sim} P \xrightarrow{\sim} M$, where $T$ is a totally acyclic complex of projective $R^e$-modules, $P \xrightarrow{\sim} M$ is a projective resolution, and $\pi_i$ is an isomorphism for $i \gg 0$; see [20, sec. 2]. A module has a complete projective resolution if and only if it has finite Gorenstein projective dimension; see [20, thm. 3.4].

Let $M$ be an $R^e$-module with a complete projective resolution $T \to P \to M$, and let $N$ be an $R$-module. The Tate homology of $M$ and $N$ over $R$ are the $\mathbb{k}$-modules $\widetilde{\text{Tor}}^R(M, N) = H_i(T \otimes \mathbb{k} N)$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$; see [14, sec. 2].

If $R$ is noetherian and $M$ is a finitely generated $R^e$-module that has a complete projective resolution, then one has $\widetilde{\text{Tor}}^R(M, -) \cong \widetilde{\text{Tor}}^R(M, -)$; see [4, thm. 6.4]. Next comes the analogous statement for complete homology.

3.2 Proposition. Let $R$ be a noetherian ring and let $M$ be a finitely generated $R^e$-module that has a complete projective resolution. For all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, there are isomorphisms of functors on the category of $R$-modules,

$$\widetilde{\text{Tor}}^R_i(M, -) \cong \widetilde{\text{Tor}}^R(M, -).$$

Proof. It follows from [20, 2.4.1, 3.4] that $\text{Ext}^i_R(M, R) = 0$ holds for all $i \gg 0$. Therefore, by Corollary [20, 3.13] there are isomorphisms $\widetilde{\text{Tor}}^R_i(M, -) \cong \widetilde{\text{Tor}}^R_i(M, -)$ of functors for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Now [4, thm. 6.4] completes the proof. \hfill \Box

With the next observation we can give an alternate proof of Proposition 3.2, one that does not rely upon knowing how stable homology compares to Tate homology.

3.3. Let $M$ be an $R^e$-module with a complete projective resolution $T \to P \to M$. The morphism $T \to P$ induces a morphism $v$ of homological functors, whose components $v_i : \widetilde{\text{Tor}}^R_i(M, -) \to \text{Tor}^R_i(M, -)$ are isomorphisms for all $i \gg 0$. To prove that Tate homology $\widetilde{\text{Tor}}^R(M, -)$ agrees with complete homology $\text{Tor}^R(M, -)$, it suffices by Lemma 1.9 to show that $\widetilde{\text{Tor}}^R(M, E)$ vanishes for all injective $R$-modules $E$.

Alternate proof of 3.2. It follows from [20, 2.4.1] and [1, thm. 3.1] that $M$ has a complete projective resolution $T \to P \to M$ with $T$ and $P$ degree-wise finitely generated. Let $E$ be an injective $R$-module; the complex

$$T \otimes_R E \cong T \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(R, E) \cong \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_{R^e}(T, R), E)$$

is acyclic; the last isomorphism is [3, prop. VI.5.2]. Thus one has $\widetilde{\text{Tor}}^R_i(M, E) = H_i(T \otimes_R E) = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, and now 3.3 finishes the argument. \hfill \Box

3.4. An $R^e$-module $G$ is called Gorenstein flat if there exists an acyclic complex $T$ of flat $R^e$-modules with $\text{Coker}(T_1 \to T_0) \cong G$ and such that $T \otimes_R E$ is acyclic for every injective $R$-module $E$. In general, it is not known whether or not Gorenstein projective modules are Gorenstein flat; see also Emmanouil [9, thm. 2.2].
We show in [4] thm. 6.7 that one has $\widehat{\text{Tor}}^R_i(M, -) \cong \hat{\text{Tor}}^R_i(M, -)$ for every $R^e$-module $M$ with a complete projective resolution if and only if every Gorenstein projective $R^e$-module is Gorenstein flat. Here is the result for complete homology.

3.5 Proposition. The following conditions on $R$ are equivalent.

(i) Every Gorenstein projective $R^e$-module is Gorenstein flat.

(ii) For every $R^e$-module $M$ that has a complete projective resolution and for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ there are isomorphisms of functors on the category of $R$-modules,

$$\hat{\text{Tor}}^R_i(M, -) \cong \hat{\text{Tor}}^R_i(M, -).$$

Proof. (i) $\implies$ (ii): Let $M$ be an $R^e$-module that has a complete projective resolution. By assumption, $M$ has finite Gorenstein flat dimension, so there is an $n \geq 0$ such that $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, E) = 0$ holds for every injective $R$-module $E$ and all $i > n$; see [4] thm. 4.14. The desired isomorphisms of functors now follow from Proposition 2.9 and [4] thm. 6.7.

(ii) $\implies$ (i): Let $M$ be a Gorenstein projective $R^e$-module and let $T$ be a totally acyclic complex of projective $R^e$-modules with $M \cong \text{Coker}(T_1 \to T_0)$. By assumption there are isomorphisms

$$H_i(T \otimes_R E) \cong \hat{\text{Tor}}^R_i(M, E) = 0$$

for every injective $R$-module $E$ and all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$; see 2.2 and 3.1. Thus $T \otimes_R E$ is acyclic for every injective $R$-module $E$, and hence $M$ is Gorenstein flat.

Again, there is an alternate proof that does not require any knowledge of how stable homology compares to Tate homology.

Alternate proof of 3.5. In the proof above, only the implication (i) $\implies$ (ii) references stable homology. Let $M$ be an $R^e$-module that has a complete projective resolution $T \to P \to M$. Assuming that every Gorenstein projective $R^e$-module is Gorenstein flat, it follows that the complex $T \otimes_R E$ is acyclic for every injective $R$-module $E$; see [3] thm. 2.2. Thus one has $\hat{\text{Tor}}_i^R(M, E) = H_i(T \otimes_R E) = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, and 3.5 finishes the argument.

3.6 Corollary. Let $R$ be a ring and let $M$ be an $R^e$-module. Assume that Tate homology $\hat{\text{Tor}}^R_i(M, -)$ is defined, i.e., $M$ has a complete projective resolution. The the following conditions are equivalent.

(i) $\hat{\text{Tor}}^R_i(M, -) \cong \hat{\text{Tor}}^R_i(M, -)$ holds for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(ii) $\hat{\text{Tor}}^R_i(M, -) \cong \hat{\text{Tor}}^R_i(M, -)$ holds for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. This is immediate from Proposition 3.5 and [4] thm. 6.7.

As a contrast to Corollary 3.6, we make two remarks to clarify that stable homology agrees with complete homology in cases where Tate homology is not defined.

3.7 Remark. Over a commutative artinian ring $R$ that is not Gorenstein, there exist finitely generated modules that do not have a complete projective resolution. For example, let $m$ be a maximal ideal of $R$ such that the local ring $R_m$ is not Gorenstein, then $R/m$ is such a module; see [5] prop. 2.17 and thm. 2.19. In this case, Tate homology “$\hat{\text{Tor}}^R(R/m, -)$” is not defined, but by the Main Theorem,
complete homology \( \widetilde{\text{Tor}}^R(R/m, N) \) still agrees with stable homology \( \widehat{\text{Tor}}^R(R/m, N) \) for all finitely generated \( R \)-modules \( N \).

The same phenomenon can occur over a ring with finite sfli; cf. Corollary 2.12.

**3.8 Remark.** Let \( R \) be von Neumann regular, then every \( R^e \)-module is flat. It follows that stable homology \( \widehat{\text{Tor}}^R(M, -) \) and complete homology \( \widetilde{\text{Tor}}^R(M, -) \) agree and vanishes for every \( R^e \)-module \( M \); see 2.2 and Corollary 2.12. It is possible that Tate homology \( \hat{\text{Tor}}^R(M, -) \) is not defined; that is, there may exist \( R \)-modules that do not have a complete projective resolution. To see this, let \( T \to P \to M \) be a complete projective resolution. It follows from [6, prop. 7.6] that \( T \) is contractible. Thus, \( M \) has a projective syzygy and hence it has finite projective dimension. However, Osofsky [18, 3.1] has shown that there do exist von Neumann regular rings over which there are modules of infinite projective dimension.

Over commutative artinian rings and over rings with finite sfli, every Gorenstein projective module is Gorenstein flat. The general question of whether or not Gorenstein projective modules are Gorenstein flat remains open. We have no example of a ring over which complete homology agrees with stable homology, and over which Gorenstein projective modules are not known to be Gorenstein flat.

**Appendix**

Kropholler states in [15, sec. 3.3] that the three generalizations of Tate cohomology due to Benson and Carlson [2], Mislin [16], and P. Vogel [13] are isomorphic. A proof of this claim can be engineered by mimicking arguments in Nucinkis’s paper [17]. The purpose of this appendix is to sketch how this would work.

First, a word on notation.

**A.1 Notation.** For \( R \)-modules \( M \) and \( N \) we use, like in Definition 2.1, the symbols \( \breve{\text{Ext}}^i_R(M, N) \) for \( i \in \mathbb{Z} \) to denote the \( P \)-completion of the cohomological functor \( \text{Ext}^i_R(M, -) \) evaluated at \( N \). This is the standard notation for Tate cohomology, but no ambiguity arises as complete and Tate cohomology agree whenever the latter is defined; see 3.1 and Cornick and Kropholler [8, thm. 1.2].

**A.2.** With extra notation to distinguish them, the three families of cohomology modules are defined as follows,

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(complete, Mislin)} & \quad \breve{\text{Ext}}^i_{\text{comp}}(M, N) = \operatorname{colim}_{k \geq 0} S_k \text{Ext}^{k+i}_R(M, N), \\
\text{(stable, Vogel)} & \quad \breve{\text{Ext}}^i_{\text{stable}}(M, N) = H_{-i}(\text{Hom}_R(P, Q)/\text{Hom}(P, Q)), \quad \text{and} \\
\text{(Benson and Carlson)} & \quad \breve{\text{Ext}}^i_{\text{B&C}}(M, N) = \operatorname{colim}_{k \geq 0} \text{Hom}(\Omega_k M, \Omega_{k+i} N).
\end{align*}
\]

In the first line, \( S_k \) denotes the \( k \)-th left satellite; see [3, chap. III]. In the second line, \( P \to M \) and \( Q \to N \) are projective resolutions, and \( \text{Hom}(P, Q) \) is the subcomplex of \( \text{Hom}_R(P, Q) \) with modules \( \text{Hom}(P, Q)_i = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_R(P_n, Q_{n+i}) \); here the coproduct replaces the product used for the \( \text{Hom} \) functor. In the third line, \( \text{Hom} \) is notation for stable \( \text{Hom} \): the \( k \)-module of homomorphisms modulo those that factor through a projective \( R \)-module. Finally, \( \Omega_n M \) is the \( n \)-th syzygy, \( \text{Coker}(P_{n+1} \to P_n) \), in a projective resolution, \( P \to M \); by Schanuel’s lemma it is unique up to a projective summand.
Mislin [16, sec. 4] proved that his complete cohomology theory is isomorphic to Benson and Carlson’s $\hat{\text{Ext}}$. Thus it is sufficient to show that stable cohomology is isomorphic to $\hat{\text{Ext}}_{B&C}$. Our goal is to construct a morphism

$$\hat{\text{Ext}}_{\text{stable}}(M, -) \longrightarrow \hat{\text{Ext}}_{B&C}(M, -)$$

of cohomological functors, such that the maps $\mu_i^N : \hat{\text{Ext}}^i(M, N) \rightarrow \hat{\text{Ext}}^i(M, N)$ are isomorphisms and compatible with the connecting homomorphisms.

**Construction of $\mu$.** Fix an $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and an $R$-module $N$. An element

$$\widehat{\varphi} \in \hat{\text{Ext}}^i(M, N) = H_{-i}(\text{Hom}_R(P, Q)/\text{Hom}(P, Q))$$

is represented by a homomorphism $\varphi$ of homological degree $-i$, which is a chain map in high degrees, i.e., for $k \gg 0$ the diagram

$$\cdots \longrightarrow P_{k+1} \longrightarrow P_k \longrightarrow \Omega_k M$$

$$\downarrow \varphi_{k+1} \quad \downarrow \varphi_k \quad \downarrow \varphi_k$$

$$\cdots \longrightarrow Q_{k+1-i} \longrightarrow Q_{k-i} \longrightarrow \Omega_{k-i} N$$

is commutative up to a sign $(-1)^i$. The right-hand square defines $\widetilde{\varphi}_k$. In this way, $\varphi$ defines element $\varphi$ in $\hat{\text{Ext}}^i(M, N)$. To see that this yields a homomorphism

$$\mu_i^N : \hat{\text{Ext}}^i(M, N) \longrightarrow \hat{\text{Ext}}^i(M, N),$$

it must be verified that $\varphi$ is independent of the choice of representative $\varphi$ of $\widehat{\varphi}$ in $\hat{\text{Ext}}^i(M, N)$. If $\varphi = \varphi$ in $\hat{\text{Ext}}^i(M, N)$, then $\varphi - \psi$ is 0-homotopic in high degrees, so for every $k \gg 0$ the induced homomorphism $\varphi_k : \Omega_k M \rightarrow \Omega_{k-i} N$ factors through the projective module $Q_{k+1-i}$, whence it is zero in $\text{Hom}(\Omega_k M, \Omega_{k-i} N)$.

It is straightforward to verify that $\mu_i^N$ as defined above is natural in $N$ and that the family $\mu_i^N$ is compatible with the connecting homomorphisms.

**Injectivity.** Let $\varphi$ be an element in the kernel of $\mu_i^N$. For every $k \gg 0$ the induced morphism $\varphi_k : \Omega_k M \rightarrow \Omega_{k-i} N$ factors through a projective $R$-module $L$ and further through the surjection $Q_{k+1-i} \twoheadrightarrow \Omega_{k-i} N$,

$$\cdots \longrightarrow P_{k+1} \longrightarrow P_k \longrightarrow \Omega_k M$$

$$\downarrow \varphi_{k+1} \quad \downarrow \varphi_k \quad \downarrow \varphi_k$$

$$\cdots \longrightarrow Q_{k+1-i} \longrightarrow Q_{k-i} \longrightarrow \Omega_{k-i} N.$$
homomorphism $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_R(\Omega_k M, \Omega_{k-1} N)$. Lifting $\varphi$ to a morphism of projective resolutions $P_{\geq k} \to \Sigma Q_{\geq k-1}$ yields an element $\tilde{\varphi}$ in $\text{Ext}^i_{B&C}(M, N)$ with $\mu^i_N(\tilde{\varphi}) = \tilde{\varphi}$.

The next result is used in the proof of Theorem 2.14. It is dual to 2.4(3) and has a similar proof, which can also be extracted from [16, sec. 4].

A.3 Lemma. Let $M$ and $N$ be $R$-modules with projective resolutions $P \xrightarrow{\cong} M$ and $Q \xrightarrow{\cong} N$. For every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ there is an isomorphism of $k$-modules

$$\widehat{\text{Ext}}^i_R(M, N) \cong \text{colim}_{k \geq 0} H^i(\text{Hom}_R(P, Q_{\geq k}))$$

which is natural in the second argument.
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