Effects of the Cryptochrome CryB from *Rhodobacter sphaeroides* on Global Gene Expression in the Dark or Blue Light or in the Presence of Singlet Oxygen
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Abstract

Several regulators are controlling the formation of the photosynthetic apparatus in the facultatively photosynthetic bacterium *Rhodobacter sphaeroides*. Among the proteins affecting photosynthesis gene expression is the blue light photoreceptor cryptochrome CryB. This study addresses the effect of CryB on global gene expression. The data reveal that CryB does not only influence photosynthesis gene expression but also genes for the non-photosynthetic energy metabolism like citric acid cycle and oxidative phosphorylation. In addition several genes involved in RNA processing and in transcriptional regulation are affected by a cryB deletion. Although CryB was shown to undergo a photocycle it does not only affect gene expression in response to blue light illumination but also in response to singlet oxygen stress conditions. While there is a large overlap in these responses, some CryB-dependent effects are specific for blue-light or photooxidative stress. In addition to protein-coding genes some sRNAs show CryB-dependent expression. These findings give new insight into the function of bacterial cryptochromes and demonstrate for the first time a function in the oxidative stress response.

Introduction

For photosynthetic organisms light can be beneficial as energy source but also harmful through generation of highly reactive singlet oxygen and the damaging effect of UV [1,2]. In order to sense and to respond appropriately to changes in light quality or quantity microorganisms developed various regulatory mechanisms. Light-dependent responses can be mediated by signal pathways that depend on the photosynthetic electron transport or photoreceptor-mediated signaling (reviews: [3,4,5,6]).

*Rhodobacter sphaeroides* is a facultatively photosynthetic bacterium that forms photosynthetic complexes only at intermediate or low oxygen concentrations. Under aerobic conditions the PpsR protein represses transcription of photosynthesis genes and the bacteria perform aerobic respiration. When oxygen tension drops to intermediate levels (90 μM), the AppA protein binds to PpsR and allows transcription of photosynthesis genes in the dark. AppA can sense the redox status through a heme cofactor bound by its SCHIC domain [7,8]. Under semiaerobic conditions blue light represses photosynthesis gene expression [9,10]. Sensing of blue light by the BLUF domain of AppA (blue light sensing using FAD, [11]) releases PpsR that consequently represses photosynthesis genes [7]. At low oxygen tension (<3–4 μM) AppA is no longer binding to PpsR, no matter, whether light is present or not. Light even favors expression of photosynthesis genes under these conditions [10]. This stimulation depends on the photosynthetic electron transport, which through components of the respiratory chain signals to the PrrB-PrrA two component system [12]. PrrA is a major activator of photosynthesis genes in *R. sphaeroides*, when oxygen tension is low [13].

Homologs of the BLUF domain of AppA are found in many microorganisms and BLUF was established as a new class of photoreceptors [11,14]. However, at least a second blue light photoreceptor, the cryptochrome CryB, affects expression of photosynthesis genes in *R. sphaeroides* [15]. Cryptochromes usually differ from the structurally related photolyases by their function in signal transduction but not in DNA repair [16]. In plants, cryptochromes regulate for example the cell elongation, photoperiodic flowering and stomatal opening and function through COP1 interaction [17,18,19]. Furthermore the regulation of the circadian clock in plants and animals are well characterized [20]. However, some members of the DASH family of cryptochrome [21] were shown to be associated with RNA and to photorepair thymine dimers in ssDNA [22,23]. Therefore, Cry DASH proteins were supposed to be CPD (cyclobutane pyrimidine) -photolyases with specificity to CPD lesions in ssDNA [22].

The cryptochrome CryB of *R. sphaeroides* was shown to bind FAD and to undergo a typical photocycle [15]. The lack of CryB leads to some reduction of photoactivation suggesting photolase-like activity *in vivo* [24]. Recently the CryB crystal structure was solved and identified 6,7-dimethyl-8-ribityl-lumazine as antenna cofactor and a [4Fe-4S] cluster as third cofactor [25]. CryB is a member of a new class of Fe-S cluster containing proteins of the cryptochrome/photolyase family, which was...
recently predicted and named FeS-CPD (FeS Bacterial-Cryptochrome/Photolyases; [26]) and CryPro (proteobacterial cryptochromes), respectively [25]. In vitro a high affinity of CryB to single stranded DNA but no significant photorepair activity was observed. cryB is expressed under the control of an oxidative-stress dependent RpoHII promoter and there was a significant levels under microaerobic growth involve functional genes of the categories, which are differentially expressed in the two strains 0.6 or less).

In this study we have analyzed the effect of CryB on global gene expression by comparing the wild type strain to a mutant lacking CryB. This was performed for cultures grown at low oxygen tension (microaerobic conditions) and for cultures exposed to blue light under semiaerobic conditions or in the presence of methylene blue and light under aerobic conditions to generate high levels of singlet oxygen. The comparison of the different data sets allows to assess the specific role of CryB in the response to singlet oxygen and to blue light.

Results

Effect of CryB on the protein coding transcriptome at microaerobic, non-stress conditions

The only obvious phenotypic effect of a deletion of the cryB gene from the chromosome of R. sphaeroides 2.4.1ΔcryB, formerly named 2.4.1Δ3077, [15,24]) was a slightly lighter red color of the mutant compared to the wild type due to decreased amounts of pigment protein complexes. In a previous study we showed that CryB affects the puf and puc mRNA levels in R. sphaeroides [15]. The puf operon encodes proteins for the formation of the light-harvesting complex I and the reaction center. The puc operon encodes proteins for the formation of the light-harvesting complex II. The decreased levels of puf and puc mRNA could be due to decreased levels of transcription or to faster mRNA turn-over. To discriminate between these possibilities the half-lives of the 0.5 kb pucBA, the 0.5 kb pufBA and the 2.7 kb pufBALMX mRNAs in the wild type and in the mutant strain were determined under non-stressed, microaerobic conditions (Figure 1 A, B). Both short mRNA segments and the larger 2.7 kb transcript showed very similar half-lives of about 35 min and 14 min, respectively, in both strains implying that CryB rather affects the transcription of photosynthesis genes (Figure 1C).

Furthermore, it remained elusive, whether CryB specifically affects expression of photosynthesis genes or has a more global impact on gene expression. To investigate the impact of CryB on global gene expression we performed a comparative analysis of the transcriptomes of the wild type and the CryB mutant strain 2.4.1ΔcryB.

We applied a DNA microarray (GEO accession number GSE33556) based on the published genome sequence (NCBI project ID: 56) and including oligonucleotides directed against small RNAs (sRNAs), which were identified by (differential) RNA-Seq (27,28) and unpublished). Under non-stress conditions cultures were grown microaerobically (30 µM dissolved oxygen) in the dark. The complete set of results is displayed in Table S1. Within the set of appropriate A-values the expression level of 21% of these genes was higher in the mutant than in the wild type by a factor of 1.75 or more. Only 1.6% of all genes showed lower expression in the mutant compared to the wild type (by a factor of 0.6 or less).

Table 1 gives a brief overview on genes, grouped to functional categories, which are differentially expressed in the two strains under any of the tested conditions. Genes with different expression levels under microaerobic growth involve functional genes of the citric acid cycle, stress response, transcriptional regulators and other functions. However, the majority of differentially expressed genes are clustered in a wide group of transporters with various targets and in genes with unknown functions (Table S1).

The microarray data obtained under microaerobic conditions were validated for selected genes by real time RT-PCR for the groups of energy metabolism (photosynthesis, citric acid cycle and oxidative phosphorylation, Figure 2A, B), stress response (Figure 2C) and for various other genes of different functions (Figure 2G). The real time data confirmed the changed ratios as observed by microarray analysis.

Effect of CryB on the protein coding transcriptome after blue light illumination or in the presence of singlet oxygen

As shown previously [15] CryB binds FAD as a chromophore and undergoes a photocycle. Thus, it is likely to function as a
| Category and RSP gene annotation | Ratio of expression level mutant/wild type | Description |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------|
| **Photosynthesis**              |                                          |             |
| RSP_0256 pufM                   | 0.98 / 0.55                              | Photosynthesis reaction center M subunit |
| RSP_0257 pufL                   | 1.06 / 0.49                              | Photosynthesis reaction center L subunit |
| RSP_0291 puhA                   | 1.08 / 0.60                              | Reaction center H protein |
| RSP_0314 pucB                   | 1.40 / (0.52)                            | LHII light harvesting 8800/650 protein |
| RSP_1518 prrA                   | 1.04 / 0.61                              | PrR (RegA) response regulator |
| RSP_1520 prrB                   | 1.10 / (0.37) (0.39)                    | Sensor histidine kinase PrR (RegB) |
| RSP_6108 pufB                   | 0.68 / 0.68                              | LHII light harvesting 8800/650 subunit |
| **Citric acid cycle**           |                                          |             |
| RSP_4047 pdhAa                  | 0.62 / 0.42                              | Pyruvate dehydrogenase E1 component |
| RSP_4049 pdhAb                  | 0.58 / 0.36                              | Dihydrolipoamide acetyltransferase |
| RSP_4050 pdhB                   | 0.55 / 0.39                              | Dihydrolipoamide acetyltransferase |
| **Oxidative phosphorylation**  |                                          |             |
| RSP_0296 cycA                   | 0.90 / 0.70                              | Cytochrome c2 |
| RSP_0693 ccoP                   | 1.12 / 0.59                              | Cbb3-type cytochrome c oxidase CcoP subunit |
| RSP_0694 ccoQ                   | 1.09 / 0.56                              | Cbb3-type cytochrome c oxidase CcoQ subunit |
| RSP_0994 phaD                   | (1.00) / 0.39                            | NADH dehydrogenase subunit N |
| **Stress response**             |                                          |             |
| RSP_0601 rpoHs                  | (1.91) / (0.61)                          | RNA polymerase sigma factor |
| RSP_1092 rpoE                   | 1.21 / 0.62                              | RNA polymerase sigma-70 factor |
| RSP_1546 bfr                    | 1.23 / 0.68                              | Bacterioferritin |
| RSP_2293 clpA                   | (1.25) / (0.65)                          | Chaperonin clpA/B |
| RSP_2346                         | 0.57 / 0.35                              | Cold-shock DNA-binding domain protein |
| RSP_2389                         | (1.42) / (0.64)                          | Putative glutathione peroxidase |
| RSP_2410                         | 1.57 / 1.34                              | RNA polymerase sigma factor |
| **Transcriptional regulators**  |                                          |             |
| RSP_0402                         | (1.199) / (0.47)                         | Transcriptional regulator, TetR family |
| RSP_0847                         | 1.73 / 2.01                              | Two component transcriptional regulator |
| RSP_0927 lyrS                   | (1.09) / (0.37)                          | Transcriptional regulator, LyrR family |
| RSP_3667                         | (0.70) / 0.45                            | Transcriptional regulator, AraC family |
| **RNA processing/degradation**  |                                          |             |
| RSP_0224                         | (1.31) / (0.49)                          | ATP-dependent helicase |
| RSP_1112 pnp                    | 0.70 / 0.62                              | Polyribonucleotide nucleotidytransferase |
| RSP_1126 nrr                    | (1.17) / (0.46)                          | Exoribonuclease R |
| RSP_1971 rnd                    | (0.94) / 0.40                            | Ribonuclease D |
| RSP_2131 rne                    | 1.07 / 0.60                              | Ribonuclease E |
| RSP_2843 hfq                    | 1.20 / 0.59                              | RNA-binding protein Hfq |
| **Others**                      |                                          |             |
| RSP_0030                         | 1.05 / 0.39                              | PAS sensor GGDEF/EAL domain |
| RSP_0905 sitB                   | 1.20 / 0.75                              | ABC Mvn+2/Fes+2 transporter, ATPase subunit |
| RSP_2877 cosL                   | 1.11 / 0.71                              | Putative carbon monoxide dehydrogenase |
| RSP_3571 znuA                   | 0.72 / 0.78                              | ABC zinc transporter |
| RSP_3539                         | 0.47 / 0.35                              | Hemolysin-type calcium-binding region, RTX |
| RSP_3871 modA                   | 3.48 / (0.82) (1.10)                    | ABC molybdAte transporter |
| RSP_4157                         | 2.91 / (0.67) (0.53)                    | Radical SAM superfamily protein |
| RSP_4158                         | 2.30 / (0.67) (0.63)                    | Generic methyltransferase |

Significant changes of the microarray data are in bold. Numbers in brackets failed to reach the set A-value criteria.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0033791.t001
Figure 2. Expression ratio of selected genes as determined by real time RT-PCR. Cells were treated and total RNA was isolated and prepared for real time RT-PCR as described. Categories are clustered as described in Table 1, including genes involved in photosynthesis (A), citric acid cycle and oxidative phosphorylation (B), stress response (C), transcriptional regulators (D), RNA degradation and processing (E) and others (F, G). White bars indicate the expression ratio, comparing the cryB deletion mutant to the wild type, after 60 minutes semiaerobic blue light treatment. Grey bars depict the expression in 2.4.1ΔcryB after 20 minutes aerobic singlet oxygen treatment compared to the wild type treated in the same manner. Black bars show the expression ratio of the two strains after non-stressed, microaerobic growth. Numbers correspond to R. sphaeroides gene annotations. If gene name is missing, descriptions can be found in Table 1. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0033791.g002

Figure 3. Venn diagram of all differentially expressed genes in 2.4.1ΔcryB compared to wild type. Depicted are genes with decreased (A) and increased expression (B) in 2AF1ΔcryB under different conditions. Numbers correspond to protein coding genes and putative small RNAs that were significantly differentially expressed in the cryB deletion mutant compared to wild type. A complete list of the microarray data is shown in Table S1. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0033791.g003

photoreceptor and to mediate responses in a blue light dependent manner. Photoreceptors can sense very low light quantities, which do not lead to the generation of high levels of singlet oxygen. In R. sphaeroides AppA senses fluence rates of blue light as low as 0.2 μmol m^-2 s^-1, leading to decreased expression of photosynthesis genes [29]. Interestingly, the cryB gene itself is under the control of an RpoHII dependent promoter [15]. RpoHII was shown to target many genes in response to singlet oxygen [30] implying a role of CryB in this stress response.

To analyze singlet oxygen-dependent and blue light-dependent specific functions of CryB, transcriptome studies were performed in cultures containing methylene blue as artificial photosensitizer and illuminated with white light at high intensities (~630 μmol m^-2 s^-1, contains about 7 μmol m^-2 s^-1 of blue light) for 20 min or in cultures illuminated with blue light of lower total fluence rate (~20 μmol m^-2 s^-1 blue light).

A Venn diagram summarizing differentially expressed genes in 2.4.1ΔcryB compared to the wild type under different conditions is depicted in Figure 3. Note that under blue light or singlet oxygen stress conditions most genes showed lower expression levels in the mutant (Figure 3A), while under non-stressed, microaerobic conditions most genes showed higher expression levels (Figure 3B, Table S1). Under blue light illumination the expression level of only 2.3% of all the genes that reached satisfying A-values was higher in the mutant compared to the wild type by a factor of 1.75 or more compared to the wild type. About 39.5% showed lower expression in the mutant than in the wild type by a factor of 0.6 or less. In the presence of singlet oxygen the expression level of only 0.4% of all the genes that reached satisfying A-values was higher in the mutant by a factor of 1.75 or more compared to the wild type. 26% of the genes showed lower expression in the mutant than in the wild type by a factor of at least 0.6. The latter result implicates a role of CryB in the singlet oxygen response. Therefore the sensitivity of the 2.4.1ΔcryB mutant to exposure to methylene blue in the light was compared to that of the wild type by zone inhibition assays. We repeatedly observed slightly smaller inhibition zones for the mutant indicating increased resistance to singlet oxygen (data not shown). The small differences for the two strains were however statistically not significant.

A main defense factor against hydrogen peroxide is catalase, and the catA gene of R. sphaeroides shows strong increase in response to this ROS [31,32]. CatA expression was not significantly affected by CryB. Thus not all genes, which are strongly regulated by ROS and have important functions in ROS protection, show CryB dependent expression.

Table 1 gives an overview on the genes that are differentially expressed in the CryB mutant compared to the wild type under the selected growth conditions. Strong differences could be seen in the category of photosynthesis, where functional regulators such as PprB/PrrA [13,33] but also genes encoding structural parts of the photosynthetic apparatus such as puc and puf showed lower expression in 2.4.1ΔcryB compared to the wild type under blue light exposure. Under 1O2 exposure within the category photosynthesis only the PprB/PrrA regulator system seemed to be affected by the deletion of cryB. Similar expression ratios between the two strains in blue light and singlet oxygen treated cells were observed for genes of the citric acid cycle and oxidative phosphorylation, for stress responses, and for some transcriptional regulators. Here, most genes showed similarly lower expression in the CryB mutant compared to wild type under both conditions. However, slight differences between blue light and singlet oxygen conditions were observed in the group of RNA processing and degradation, where most genes showed far lower expression ratios under blue light exposure and for some of the transcriptional regulators, first and foremost ompR (RSP_0847) (Table 1 and Figure 2).

As illustrated by the Venn diagrams (Figure 3) there was a big overlap of singlet oxygen and blue light effects on CryB-dependent expression. Nevertheless, most of the CryB-dependent genes showed lower expression in the mutant under blue light illumination. Genes, which showed higher expression in the mutant, were mostly affected under non-stressed, microaerobic growth. These data demonstrate that the effect of blue light on
CryB-dependent expression is specific and not just a consequence of low levels of singlet oxygen, which are produced during illumination.

Effect of CryB on the expression of selected genes in response to blue light illumination or \(^{1}O_2\) treatment

To validate the microarray data we performed real time RT-PCR for some selected genes. Figure 2 shows the expression of these genes in the cryB mutant compared to the wild type. Blue light treated experiments (white bars) were compared to singlet oxygen stress (grey bars) and non-stressed, microaerobic experiments (black bars) in the different groups as classified in Table 1. As predicted by the microarray data, all genes of the photosynthesis group showed less expression in the CryB mutant compared to the wild type under blue light exposure (Figure 2A, white bars), while this was only the case for prrA (RSP_1518) and prrB (RSP_1520) for singlet oxygen treated cells (Figure 2A, grey bars). In the case of other energy metabolism pathways (citric acid cycle, oxidative phosphorylation, Figure 2B) and the stress response (Figure 2C) all tested genes showed a similar expression ratio when comparing the CryB mutant to the wild type as they were predicted by the microarray (Table 1). Note that in the real time RT-PCR data, rpoHII (RSP_0601), rpoE (RSP_1092) and clpA (RSP_2293) showed significantly lower expression ratios under blue light exposure (Figure 2C, white bars) compared to \(^{1}O_2\) treatment (grey bars), while it was vice versa for rpoHI (RSP_2410).

To follow the expression change of selected genes for stress responses in response to external stimuli, RNA was isolated at 0 and 20 min of photooxidative stress or 0 and 60 min blue light illumination and real time RT-PCR was performed (Figure 4A). The results reveal that these genes show only very weak response to blue light (white and grey bars) but strong response to singlet oxygen in both strains (white, striped and grey, striped bars). With

Figure 4. Relative expression levels of selected genes as determined by real time RT-PCR. Real time RT-PCR was performed for selected genes of the stress response (A) and genes of RNA processing and degradation (B). Cells were treated by blue light illumination or \(^{1}O_2\) exposure and RNA was isolated as described. To visualize gene induction or repression RNA was also isolated of untreated cells. White bars depict the R. sphaeroides wild type after 60 min blue light treatment compared to untreated cells. Grey bars show the results for the cryB deletion mutant under the same conditions. White, striped bars correspond to wild type treated by 20 min \(^{1}O_2\) compared to unstressed cells. Grey, striped bars show the results for the cryB deletion under photooxidative stress. Gene annotations are the same as listed in Table 1 or Figure 2.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0033791.g004
the exception of RSP_2410 encoding *rpoH*II and *clpA* (RSP_2293) all selected genes showed significantly stronger responses in the wild type than in the CryB mutant.

By real time RT-PCR the microarray data could also be validated for the group of transcriptional regulators (Figure 2D) and RNA processing and degradation (Figure 2E). Strikingly, a significant difference in the expression ratios between blue light and \(^{1}\text{O}_2\) treated cells was confirmed for two component transcriptional regulator RSP_0847. The synthesis rate of the RSP_0847 gene product, a putative OmpR homologue, was strongly increased upon \(^{1}\text{O}_2\) exposure in the wild type [34]. Its levels were reduced in the *rpoH*II and the *rpoH*II mutants and not detectable in the *rpoH*II double mutant [30]. Therefore, it seems likely that the lower expression levels of *rpoH*II in the cryB mutant under \(^{1}\text{O}_2\) conditions (Figure 4A) consequently lead to a lower expression ratio of *ompR* when comparing the CryB mutant to wild type (Figure 2D). However, the expression of *ompR* is higher in 2.4.1∆cryB compared to the wild type upon blue light illumination (Figure 2D), although *rpoH*II showed lower expression in the mutant compared to wild type under these conditions (Figure 2C).

To better understand the effect of CryB on *ompR* expression, real time RT-PCR was performed at 0 and 20 min after onset of singlet oxygen stress. As expected, *ompR* transcript levels increased in the wild type (factors of about 6, Figure 4A, white, striped bar). An increase of the *ompR* transcript level in response to singlet oxygen was also observed in 2.4.1∆cryB, but this increase (factor of about 3) was less pronounced compared to the wild type (Figure 4A, grey, striped bar). After 60 min of blue light illumination *ompR* expression was repressed in the wild type (Figure 4A, white bar) while it is induced in the CryB mutant (grey bar).

Several genes of the RNA processing and degradation group showed low expression ratios under blue light exposure (Figure 2E, white bars). After singlet oxygen treatment only the ATP-dependent helicase (RSP_0924) and *rad* (RSP_1971) showed significantly reduced expression ratios when comparing the *cryB* mutant to wild type (Figure 2E, grey bars). The change in expression levels in response to singlet oxygen or blue light was quantified by real time RT-PCR for these genes. As seen in Figure 4B singlet oxygen caused no significant change in the expression level for most genes (white, striped and grey, striped bars). However, with the exception of *me* (RSP_2131) all these selected genes were slightly induced by blue light illumination in the wild type (Figure 4B, white bars). In the *cryB* mutant all genes, except of the ATP-dependent helicase (RSP_0924), were significantly repressed under blue light illumination (Figure 4B, grey bars).

Gene expression changes in the group of unclassified, other genes were also confirmed by real time RT-PCR (Figure 2E). The altered expression ratios under \(^{1}\text{O}_2\) exposure but not under blue light illumination were validated for *catD* (RSP_2077) and *znuA* (RSP_3571) but not for *sitB* (RSP_0903).

**Effect of CryB on the expression of sRNAs under different conditions**

As shown previously [27] the levels of several sRNAs of *R. sphaeroides* were affected under various stress conditions. The microarray used in this study contained 144 oligonucleotides derived from intergenic regions, including the sRNAs RSs0680a, 0019, and 2461. All these sRNAs are either induced or processed in response to oxidative stress [27]. For the latter three a reduced expression level in 2.4.1∆cryB compared to wild type was detected under blue light illumination and \(^{1}\text{O}_2\) conditions in this study (Table 2). For RSs0680a a significantly increased ratio of expression levels was observed when comparing the CryB mutant to the wild type after \(^{1}\text{O}_2\) treatment but not after blue light illumination. When cultures were grown under non-stress conditions a higher expression level of RSs0680a was also observed for the mutant. Note that here the cells were grown to a higher OD\(_{660}\) of 0.8 and that the oxygen tension further decreased to 30 \(\mu\text{M}\) compared to blue light illumination experiments (90 \(\mu\text{M}\)). Surprisingly, RSs2461, which is a processing product of a co-transcript with RSP_0847 [putative *ompR* homologue, [27]], showed lower expression levels in 2.4.1∆cryB under blue light and \(^{1}\text{O}_2\) conditions (Table 2). For *ompR* higher expression levels in the mutant were observed for blue light illumination and lower levels under singlet oxygen stress in the microarrays as well as by real time RT-PCR (Table 1).

The microarray data revealed that the sRNA RSs0680a was higher expressed in 2.4.1∆cryB compared to wild type under singlet oxygen and microaerobic growth, while expression under blue light was similar. To validate these data and to follow the expression change of RSs0680a in response to \(^{1}\text{O}_2\) and decreased oxygen tension Northern blot analysis was performed. Figure 5A shows the expression levels of RSs0680a after 0 and 20 minutes of \(^{1}\text{O}_2\) treatment. Clearly, a higher initial level was detected in the CryB mutant already before the onset of \(^{1}\text{O}_2\) stress. The sRNA level in 2.4.1∆cryB was approximately 4 times higher at time point 0 minutes compared to the wild type and 5 times higher after 20 minutes of \(^{1}\text{O}_2\). The wild type showed an increase of the RSs0680a level of approximately 2.2 when comparing the signal after 20 minutes \(^{1}\text{O}_2\) to time point 0 minutes. The RSs0680a level in the CryB mutant was increased to approximately 2.7 after 20 minutes \(^{1}\text{O}_2\) treatment. As predicted by the microarray data,
no significant difference could be detected for RSs0680a levels under blue light conditions between the mutant and the wild type (Figure 5B). After aerobic, non-stressed growth a higher expression of RSs0680a was determined for the cryB mutant compared to the wild type (Figure 5C). However, a significant increase of the small RNA in the mutant compared to wild type was not observed when shifting the cultures to non-stressed, microaerobic conditions (Figure 5C). RSs0680a is co-transcribed with the proteincoding gene RSP_6037 from an RpoHII promoter [27]. Surprisingly, the gene RSP_6037 itself did not show altered expression under 1O2 exposure but showed slightly higher expression ratios under non-stress, microaerobic conditions in the microarray data (Table S1).

The expression levels of RSs0019, which is induced under 1O2 exposure, did not change when comparing the cryB deletion to wild type cultures under these conditions (data not shown), although this was predicted by the microarray data.

Discussion

While an effect of the cryptochrome CryB on photosynthesis gene expression was already demonstrated [15] it was not known, whether this protein also affects other genes of R. sphaeroides and whether the effect on gene expression is influenced by external stimuli. The data presented in this study reveal that CryB also affects many other genes besides photosynthesis genes and that both blue light and singlet oxygen influence this effect. Thus, it rather functions as a global regulator than as specific regulator for photosynthesis. In the past a transcriptome analysis was performed for a mutant of Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803 lacking the hllH29 gene, which was suggested to function as cryochrome [35]. About two-fold lower expression of eight genes in the hllH29 mutant compared to the wild type was observed. This difference was, however, statistically significant only for two genes of unknown function [21]. Thus the hllH29 gene product of Synechocystis has much less effect on global gene expression than CryB of R. sphaeroides.

There is a large overlap of the CryB-dependent effects of blue light and singlet oxygen. This is expected since the generation of singlet oxygen requires illumination of the cultures, which will induce the CryB photocycle. However, for some genes specific effects are observed. For example photosynthesis genes and genes for RNA metabolism show stronger CryB-dependent effects in response to blue light than in response to singlet oxygen. This is in agreement with the expected function of CryB as a photoreceptor and excludes the possibility that the response to blue light is caused by low levels of singlet oxygen under blue light illumination. This also implies that these blue-light specific effects need a higher fluence rate of blue light than present in the white light used to generate singlet oxygen. However, only very few genes are affected through CryB by singlet oxygen but not by blue light (Figure 2F). Our data also reveal a CryB-dependent effect on gene expression in the dark. Remarkably, different sets of genes are affected in the dark or under blue light or stress conditions. Light-independent effects were also described for other cryptochromes. E. g. mammalian CRY1 and CRY2 were shown to act as light-independent components of the circadian clock [36,37]. Blue light-independent functions were also observed for Arabidopsis cryptochromes [38,39].

Altogether our observations suggest that CryB can affect gene expression by different signal chains. While its interaction to some downstream partners may require the light dependent excitation of the FAD, other interactions may be influenced by the redox changes of the CryB iron-sulfur cluster or by both. Future experiments with variants of CryB lacking one of these cofactors will elucidate the role of the CryB cofactors in different responses. CryB may be the second protein of R. sphaeroides, besides AppA, which can sense light and redox signals through different cofactors and integrate these signals.

Overlap of the CryB and other regulons

Among the genes affected by CryB are genes for structural components of the photosynthetic apparatus and regulators of photosynthesis genes (Hendrich et al., 2009 and this study). Since we did not detect direct binding of CryB to promoter regions of photosynthesis genes [15], it is conceivable that CryB affects gene expression through interaction with other regulatory proteins. A main role in the regulation of photosynthesis genes has the PpsR/AppA system. The PpsR regulon comprises mostly photosynthesis genes [40,41]. Furthermore, photosynthesis genes are controlled by the PrrB/PrmA two component system, which activates transcription under low oxygen tension [13]. The PrmA regulon comprises also genes with no function in photosynthesis and its regulation [40].

The microarray data predict very slight but consistent changes of all PpsR-regulated genes in the CryB mutant compared to wild type (Table S1). This was validated for some genes by real time

![Figure 5. Northern blot analysis of the small RNA RSs0680a under different conditions. RSs0680a expression is increased in 2.4.1ΔcryB under singlet oxygen (A) but not under blue light conditions (B) or microaerobic growth (C). Experiments were performed as described and 12 μg of total RNA separated on 10% polyacrylamid gels containing 7 M urea. S1-labeled oligodeoxynucleotide probes were used for detection of RSs0680a and 5S rRNA, which served as internal loading control. RSs0680a expression is induced approximately 2–3 times after 20 minutes of 1O2 exposure in Rhodobacter sphaeroides wild type (WT) and the cryB deletion mutant compared to unstressed cells (0 min). The levels of the sRNA after 0 and 20 minutes 1O2 treatment, respectively, are increased approximately 5–6 times in 2.4.1ΔcryB compared to WT. No significant differences in expression can be detected under blue light treatment. Oxygen shift experiments show that RSs0680a is more abundant in 2.4.1ΔcryB under aerobic conditions (time point 0 min). After shifting cultures to non-stressed, microaerobic conditions no significant difference in RSs0680a expression is detected for both strains. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0033791.g005]
RT-PCR. This implies that the AppA/PpsR system may be involved in CryB-dependent signaling, but that CryB has only a small, modulating effect on AppA/PpsR.

PrrA binding motifs were found upstream of several photosynthesis genes (pufBALMX, cpoA, pucBAC, [40]). As the expression ratio of pufA between mutant and wild type is reduced under blue light and also slightly under 1O2 conditions (Table 1 and Figure 2A) this could contribute to the reduced expression of the photosynthesis genes in the cryB deletion mutant compared to the wild type. Furthermore, genes of a CO dehydrogenase operon (RSP_2879-76) harbor a PrrA binding site and are differentially expressed in 2.4.1ΔcryB compared to wild type (Table S1). Interestingly, this operon shows a lower expression ratio under 1O2 conditions. This could be validated for RSP_2879 and RSP_2877 by real time RT-PCR. On protein level a reduced abundance of Coxl (RSP_2877) and CoxM (RSP_2876) was detected in an spoHΔ deletion mutant [30].

Although there is a partial overlap between some photosynthesis genes that possess a PrrA binding motif and the CryB regulon, Figure 6 clearly shows that only very few tested genes of the PrrA regulon are significantly altered in expression by a deletion of cryB. Others show insignificant tendencies or are clearly not affected by the deletion under blue light or 1O2 conditions, respectively. We conclude that CryB does not influence gene expression through the PrrA/PrrB system.

Since expression of catA is not significantly affected by CryB and catA expression strongly depends on OxyR [31], we can also exclude signaling of CryB through the OxyR transcriptional regulator.

CryB, a general regulator of the energy metabolism and stress adaptation

The largest set of differentially expressed genes in 2.4.1ΔcryB compared to wild type is clustered in the functional groups photosynthesis, citric acid cycle and oxidative phosphorylation (Table 1). According to COG categories approximately 60% of all genes belonging to the category oxidative phosphorylation were affected by CryB under blue light or singlet oxygen conditions. Together with genes of the citric acid cycle, approximately 20% of the total energy metabolism genes were affected. Slight effects for those genes were also visible under dark, non-stress conditions. It is striking that the expression ratio of several cytochromes is reduced as they play major roles in respiration and photosynthesis. An effect of a cryptochrome on energy and stress-related gene products was also described in tomato plants [42].

Compared to other functional categories genes affected by CryB are over-represented in the category energy metabolism. CryB affected only 8% of all photosynthesis genes, 4% of the transcriptional regulators, and 9% of genes of the category RNA processing/degradation, respectively. It is conceivable that CryB has an effect on the general energy metabolism, antagonizing the down-regulation of genes for energy metabolism under stress conditions. To avoid formation of (photo) oxidative stress, a limitation of the photosynthetis apparatus, or reactive oxygen species forming components of the respiratory chain, seems reasonable [1]. CryB could counterbalance this repression. Growth curves show that the CryB mutant exhibit delayed growth and also does not reach as high cell densities as the wild type (Figure S1). This would be in agreement with a function of CryB in maintaining the energy production on reasonable levels.

Effect of CryB on RNA processing and sRNA expression

It was shown previously that the deletion of cryB resulted in some reduced abundance of puc and puf mRNA [15]. To discriminate between a CryB effect on transcription or on mRNA turn-over we determined the puc and puf mRNA half-lives by Northern blot analyses (Figure 1). No significant differences in mRNA turn-over were detected for the CryB mutant or R. sphaeroides wild type. Nevertheless, several RNases showed reduced expression in 2.4.1ΔcryB compared to wild type (Table 1, Figure 2E, 4B and Table S1). RNase E and RNase III are the major endonucleases in gram-negative bacteria and initiate the decay of many mRNAs [43]. PNPase is involved in polyadenylation and like RNase F functions as 3’ to 5’exoribonuclease. Unlike the situation in E. coli, in R. sphaeroides PNPase is not a main component of the RNA degrading degradosome complex, which is organized by RNase E [44]. Due to the important functions of these proteins it can be expected that changes in expression of the corresponding genes can affect stability of other mRNAs and thus indirectly transcript levels. However, we did not observe any significant change in the half-life for the 2.7 kb pufBHLAIX transcript, which is known to be degraded in an RNase E dependent manner [45,46].

This study reveals that CryB does not only affect protein-coding genes but also genes for sRNAs. The binding of sRNAs to their targets often leads to faster or slower degradation of both RNAs [47]. Since several genes for RNases (Table 1) and also the gene for Hfq, a RNA chaperone, involved in mRNA degradation [48,49] and sRNA-mRNA interaction [48,50], are reduced in their expression in strain 2.4.1ΔcryB it is conceivable that CryB affects sRNA expression levels post-transcriptionally. Such post-transcriptional effects can also explain the different effects CryB has on certain sRNAs and the protein-coding part of their precursors as observed for the sRNA RSs2461, which is co-transcribed together with the ompR gene and for RSs0680a, which is co-transcribed together with the protein-coding gene RSP_6037. In the case of the small regulatory RNA RSs0680a higher expression levels can be seen in the cryB deletion mutant under

Figure 6. Effects of CryB on PrrA-regulated genes. Real time RT-PCR experiments of selected genes with predicted PrrA binding sites show that CryB has no general effect on genes regulated by the PrrA/PrrB system under the tested conditions. White bars correspond to the ratio of expression of the CryB mutant compared to wild type after blue light illumination. Grey bars depict the expression ratios after 1O2 treatment. Numbers correspond to R. sphaeroides gene annotations. RSP_2879, hypothetical protein, cox operon; RSP_2234, predicted DNA-binding protein; RSP_2395, BCCP, cytochrome c peroxidase; RSP_3496, zinc carboxypeptidase A metalloprotease; RSP_3706, hypothetical protein.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0033791.g006
1O2 exposure (Figure 5A). So far a regulatory role cannot be addressed to RSs0680a, although it is clearly co-transcribed together with RSP_6037 from an RpoH1/II promoter [27].

Conclusions
This study reveals that CryB of R. sphaeroides affects expression of numerous genes with different biological functions. While the expression level of some genes is increased in a CryB mutant, the expression level of others is decreased. For most genes CryB only affects blue light-dependent expression but very few are also regulated in a 1O2-dependent manner. This suggests that CryB affects expression of individual genes by different downstream signaling pathway. Considering the large number of CryB-dependent genes it is likely that the effect on some genes is indirect. Some of the CryB-dependently expressed genes are transcriptional regulators or affect RNA stability and can therefore indirectly influence expression levels of other genes.

Materials and Methods
Bacterial strains, growth conditions and blue light or 1O2 stress experiments
Rhodobacter sphaeroides was grown at 32°C in malate minimal salt medium [51]. For non-stressed, microaerobic growth experiments, cells were allowed to grow in Erlenmeyer flasks under continuous shaking at 140 r.p.m. (dissolved oxygen concentration of approximately 30 μM). Samples for RNA isolation were collected when the cultures reached an OD600 nm of 0.8, exactly. Blue light experiments were performed as described elsewhere [10, 52]. Cultures inoculated to an OD660 nm of 0.15 were kept under semiaerobic conditions (approximately 90 μM dissolved oxygen) by varying the rotation speed of the shaker. After one doubling time blue light (I<sub>max</sub> = 400 nm with 20 μmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> at the culture level) was passed through a band pass filter (BG12 Schott, light transmitted from 300 nm to 500 nm, [10]). Samples for RNA isolation were collected 60 min after the onset of blue light irradiation. For singlet oxygen experiments cultures were grown under aerobic conditions by gassing with air (dissolved oxygen of approximately 180 μM) in flat glass bottles. Cultures were grown in the dark to an OD600 nm of 0.4. Generation of 1O2 was induced by adding methylene blue (final concentration of 0.2 μM) and illuminating the cultures with high intensities of white light (800 W m<sup>-2</sup>, 630 μmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> [1]). Samples for RNA isolation were taken 20 min after the onset of white light illumination.

RNA preparation
Total RNA for microarray and Northern blot analysis was isolated using the hot phenol method [53]. After DNase I treatment the RNA was purified by using mixtures of phenol-chloroform-isomylalcohol (25:24:1) and chloroform-isomylalcohol (24:1). For microarray analysis the RNA was further purified with RNeasy<sup>®</sup> MinElute<sup>™</sup> spin columns (Qiagen) following the manufacturer’s instructions. For real time RT-PCR experiments total RNA was isolated by Total RNA isolation reagent (TRIR, ABGene) according to the manufacturer’s specifications.

Zone inhibition assays
For the measurement of sensitivity to 1O2 exponential phase grown cultures were diluted to an OD<sub>660</sub> nm of 0.05. 0.5 ml were diluted into 5 ml prewarmed top agar (0.8%) and layered on minimal salt medium plates. Filter paper discs were placed on top of the plates and 5 μl of 10 mM methylene blue (Sigma-Aldrich) applied to the discs. Plates were incubated for 48 h at 32°C under a fluorescent tube (Spectralux Plus, NL36 W/860 daylight) or wrapped in aluminum foil as dark control.

Northern blot analysis and half-life experiments
Northern blot analysis of small RNAs was performed as described by Berghoff et al. [27]. Probes for detection of RSs_0680a and RSs_2430 are listed in Table S3, published by Berghoff et al. [27]. For half-life experiments microaerobically grown over night cultures of Rhodobacter sphaeroides were diluted to an OD<sub>660</sub> of 0.8. A control sample was taken before adding 0.2 mg/ml final concentration of rifampicin. RNA was isolated as described above. Northern blot analysis for determination of puc and puf mRNA half-lives was performed as described by Braatsch et al. [10]. mRNA half-lives were calculated out of three independent repeats from corresponding graphical analyses.

Oxygen shift experiments
400 ml of R. sphaeroides culture were grown aerobically in a 2 l beaker flask at 32°C over night. Exponential phase grown cultures were diluted to an OD<sub>660</sub> nm of 0.2 and allowed to grow aerobically to an OD<sub>660</sub> nm of 0.4. Aerobic samples were taken for RNA isolation and the culture was shifted to a 500 ml flask for microaerobic growth, immediately. Microaerobic samples were taken at indicated time-points.

Real time RT-PCR
Primers used for analyzing the expression of different target genes are listed in Table S2. Real time RT-PCR was performed following the specifications of the one-step RT-PCR kit (Qiagen) with a final concentration of 4 ng/μl total RNA. Sybr green I (Sigma-Aldrich) was added in a final dilution of 1:50,000 to the master mixture. Real time RT-PCR data were normalized against rpoZ (omega subunit of RNA polymerase). Further conditions were followed as described previously [1, 34].

Microarray analysis
The microarray contains probes against 4,304 protein-coding genes, 79 rRNA and tRNA genes, and 144 intergenic regions. Three antisense probes with a length of 60 nt were designed for each gene or intergenic region, when possible. Microarray construction was performed following the instructions of Agilent (www.chem.agilent.com). The ULS<sup>™</sup> Fluorescent Labeling Kit for Agilent arrays (Kreatech) was used for RNA labeling and fragmentation. The RNA of three independent experiments of Rhodobacter sphaeroides wild type and the cryB deletion mutant were pooled and hybridized to one array. A total of three arrays (non-stressed, microaerobic growth) or two arrays (blue light and singlet oxygen experiments) including nine or six biological repeats, respectively, were used. Gene chip hybridization and scanning were performed according to the specifications from Agilent. Multicellular analysis was performed with the Bioconductor package Limma for R [54,55]. Background correction and normalization (locally weighted scatterplot smoothing) were performed as described previously [56,57]. A-values were calculated to express the reliability of gene expression. An A-value of ≥9.5 (non-stressed, microaerobic growth) or 12 (blue light and singlet oxygen experiments) was considered satisfying. Cut-off values of 1.75 for increased expression and 0.6 for reduced expression were used to show significant changes in expression levels of the cryB deletion mutant compared to the control treatment (Rhodobacter sphaeroides wild type 2.4.1). Microarray data was published on Gene Expression Omnibus [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/], GEO accession number GSE33556.
Supporting Information

Figure S1 Growth curves of Rhodobacter sphaeroides wild type, 24.1cryB and the complementing mutant 24.1cryB. Fresh overnight cultures were diluted to an OD600 of 0.2 with a total volume of 75 ml in a 100 ml flask. For OD measurements, samples of 1 ml were taken and the flasks refilled with 32°C pre-warmed malate minimal salt medium, immediately. OD600 was measured in 1 h time points and plotted in logarithmic scale. R. sphaeroides 24.1 wild type is shown as black curve, the cryB deletion mutant is depicted as grey curve and the cryB mutant complementing the defect from the plasmid pKcryB is shown as grey, dashed line.

Table S1 Rhodobacter sphaeroides transcriptome data under various conditions. The whole transcriptome dataset is added in the supporting material. Genes are sorted by their corresponding gene annotations (RSP number). A-values in green reached the internally set A-value criteria, following MA blots, normalized by loess. Ratios were calculated between the cryB deletion mutant and R. sphaeroides wild type. Arrows indicate an increased or reduced gene expression in the cryB deletion mutant compared to the wild type (under corresponding conditions). Mean values were calculated from two (blue light, singlet oxygen) or three arrays (non-stressed) including each RNA pools of three independent, biological repeats. More than one value for the same gene indicates different probes on the chip (see probe name). Singlet oxygen, blue light and non-stress experiments were performed as described in material and methods. Microarray data is published on Gene Expression Omnibus [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/], GEO accession number GSE35536.

Table S2 Real time RT-PCR primer sequences. The primer list contains the corresponding R. sphaeroides gene annotations and gene names. Primers are listed including their annealing temperature, primer efficiency and oligonucleotide sequences (5’–3’).
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