A case of infra-nodal Wenckebach conduction block with alternating bundle branch block
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Abstract
Background: Atrioventricular (AV) node normally has decremental conduction property and a longer refractory period than His-Purkinje system (HPS). This results in AV conduction delay or block at the level of AV node in response to short-coupled atrial premature beats. Prolonged refractoriness in HPS can produce unusual physiological patterns of AV conduction such as conduction delay or infra-nodal block in the distal elements of HPS.

Case presentation: We present a case in which atrial premature stimulation produces infra-nodal Wenckebach conduction block pattern which initiates long-short cycle sequence within the bundle branches resulted in alternating bundle branch block and atypical pattern of Ashman phenomenon.

Conclusions: This case highlights the importance of recognizing the unusual physiological AV conduction patterns of HPS. The long-short cycle sequence in the bundle branches of distal HPS and linking phenomenon can result in alternating bundle branch block without the presence of HPS disease.
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Introduction
Atrioventricular conduction patterns and refractoriness within His-Purkinje system (HPS) in human were initially reported in the early 1970s [1, 2]. Normally, atrioventricular (AV) node has a longer refractory period than HPS and prolonged refractoriness in HPS can produce unusual patterns of AV conduction. We present a case in which atrial premature stimulation produced infra-nodal Wenckebach conduction block pattern which initiates long-short cycle sequence within the bundle branches resulted in alternating bundle branch block and atypical pattern of Ashman phenomenon.

Case
The patient is a 28-year-old female, who experienced recurrent palpitations with narrow QRS tachycardia, was referred for electrophysiologic study. At baseline, the sinus cycle length (CL), AH, and HV interval were 695 ms, 45 ms, and 50 ms, respectively. Concentric retrograde atrial activation with decremental property was noted during programmed ventricular stimulation. By straight atrial pacing of CL 280 ms, infra-nodal Wenckebach conduction block pattern was noted and followed by alternating left bundle branch block (LBBB) and right bundle branch block (RBBB) QRS morphology with a resumption of 1:1 AV conduction (Fig. 1a). Single atrial extra-stimulus (AES) progressively prolonged both AH and HV intervals, and LBBB pattern was observed at S2 240 ms (Fig. 2a and b). Decrease of 10 ms of AES to 230 ms demonstrated AH jump with a shortening HV interval (Fig. 2c). Atrial effective refractory period (ERP) was reached at 500/200 ms before that of the AV conduction system. At the drive train of S1...
600 ms with double atrial extra-stimuli at S2S3 300/290 ms showed HV block (Fig. 2d).

Tachycardia was not inducible despite programmed stimulation protocol on the baseline state and under infusion of Isoproterenol. Catheter ablation was performed due to documented paroxysmal supraventricular tachycardia (PSVT) with dual AV nodal physiology and nodal echo beats. It is noteworthy that programmed electrical stimulation reproducibly demonstrated the same pattern of alternating bundle branch blocks and infra-nodal block as above mentioned after successful modification of AV nodal slow pathway.

Discussion

Wit et al. classified 3 types of AV conduction patterns in human [2]. In type 1 response, conduction delay and block were limited in the AV node while type 2 response was characterized by progressive conduction delay in both the AV node and specialized conduction system with block occurring in several instances in the latter. Type 3 response, the least common pattern, was characterized by a progressive delay in the AV node and a sudden marked delay in HPS. The manifestation of type 3 response is linked to a longer refractory period of HPS comparing to the AV node and/or relatively rapid conduction over the AV node. In this case, atrial premature beats with short coupling interval conducted through the AV node and encounters relative refractory period (RRP) of right bundle branch (RBB) while found ERP of left bundle branch (LBB) resulted in pronounced HV prolongation with LBBB pattern (Fig. 2b upper right panel). An alternative potential explanation might be LBB was blocked by the concealed retrograde activation from RBB (Fig. 2b lower right panel). The abrupt increase in AH
interval shortened HV interval due to important delay of conduction in the AV node allowed for recovery from the refractoriness in distal elements in the HPS (Fig. 2c). Although the HV interval was shortened, LBB aberrancy was remained suggesting the mechanism of asynchronous recovery from refractoriness in HPS [3].

An alternating pattern of bundle branch block straddling a normal QRS complex with a resumption of 1:1 AV conduction after infra-nodal Wenckebach block can be explained by functional bundle branch block due to a long-short cycle sequence of the HPS and gap phenomenon (Fig. 1b). In 1947, Gouaux and Ashman described the aberrancy of conduction usually follows a long-short ventricular cycle sequence [4]. In Fig. 1, the 3rd paced beat was blocked at infra-nodal level proximal to the bundle branches and produced a long cycle sequence in the bundle branches for the next beat. Following a preceding long cycle, the 5th paced beat was conducted with pronounced prolongation of HV interval with LBBB because the impulse encountered RRP of RBB while was blocked at the proximal LBB which warranted concealed trans-septal retrograde activation from the RBB. This concealed retrograde invasion the so-called linking phenomenon shortens refractoriness in the LBB for the subsequent beat [5]. As a result of the linking phenomenon, the 8th paced beat was able to conduct normally through the LBB while the block occurred in the RBB which remained in the refractory period resulted in RBB aberrancy with normalization of HV interval. Note that from the 8th atrial paced beat showed decremental conduction through the AV node which facilitated the conduction through the distal His bundle. This kind of gap phenomenon produced a resumption of 1:1 AV conduction (Fig. 1b). The maintenance of RBB aberrancy in the following beats can be repetitive concealment by trans-septal retrograde activation from the LBB. Furthermore, there was no typical pattern of long-short R-R sequence on the surface ECG in the alternating bundle branch block because the short cycle sequence produced a pronounced HV interval prolongation.

Conclusion
The present case highlights the importance of recognizing the unusual physiological AV conduction patterns of HPS. The long-short cycle sequence in the bundle
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**Fig. 2** a. Atrial programmed stimulation S1S2 500/300 ms showed HV interval prolongation (H2V2 80 ms) with conducted narrow QRS complex. b. Left panel: AES S1S2 500/240 ms showed pronounced HV interval prolongation (H2V2 130 ms) with LBBB pattern. Right panel: Diagrammatic representation of 2 possible mechanisms in pronounced HV interval prolongation with LBB aberrancy in response to atrial premature impulses. c. Decrement of 10 ms of S1S2 500/230 ms resulted in sudden increasing AH interval (A2H2 137 ms) with shortening HV interval (H2V2 58 ms). d. Atrial programmed stimulation S1S2S3 600/300/290 ms demonstrated a conduction with infra-nodal block. The duration intervals are in milliseconds. AES indicates atrial extra-stimulus.
branches of distal HPS and linking phenomenon can result in alternating bundle branch block without the presence of HPS disease.
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LBB: Left bundle branch; LBBB: Left bundle branch block; PSVT: Paroxysmal supraventricular tachycardia; RBB: Right bundle branch; RBBB: Right bundle branch block; RRP: Relative refractory period.
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