An extensive photometric study of the recently discovered intermediate polar V515 And (XSS J00564+4548)
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ABSTRACT
We report the results of photometry of the intermediate polar V515 And. Observations were obtained over 33 nights in 2008 and 2009. The total duration of the observations was 233 h. We clearly detected two oscillations with periods of 465.484 93 ± 0.000 07 and 488.618 22 ± 0.000 09 s, which may be the white dwarf spin period and the orbital sideband. The semi-amplitudes of the oscillations are accordingly 25 and 20 mmag. The oscillation with a period of 465.484 93 s has a stable smooth asymmetric pulse profile, whereas the pulse profile of the oscillation with a period of 488.618 22 s reveals significant changes from a quasi-sinusoidal shape to a shape somewhat resembling a light curve of an eclipsing binary. Two detected oscillations imply an orbital period of 2.73 h. V515 And is one of the most rapidly spinning intermediate polars with orbital periods less than 3 h and may not be in spin equilibrium. This could be proved by future observations. For this purpose, we obtained oscillation ephemerides with a formal shelf life of about 100 yr (a 1σ confidence level).
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1 INTRODUCTION
Intermediate polars (IPs) form a subclass of cataclysmic variables (CVs) in which a magnetic white dwarf accretes material from a late-type companion filling its Roche lobe. The rotation of the white dwarf is not phase-locked to the binary period of the system. Because the magnetic axis is offset from the spin axis of the white dwarf, this causes oscillations in the X-ray and optical wavelength bands. The X-ray oscillation period is usually identified as the spin period of the white dwarf. In addition to the spin and orbital periods, the reprocessing of X-rays in some part of the system that rotates with the orbital period gives rise to emission that varies with the beat period, where 1/\(P_{\text{beat}}\) = 1/\(P_{\text{spin}}\) – 1/\(P_{\text{orb}}\). This synodic counterpart is often called the orbital sideband. A comprehensive review of IPs is given in Patterson (1994).

Recently it was shown that IPs possess hard X-ray spectra with high and complex absorption, and this might be sufficient to recognize a CV as an IP (Ramsay et al. 2008). It was also suggested that some IPs should be termed ‘hidden IPs’, in cases when the spin period of the white dwarf is undetectable due to an unfavourable alignment of the magnetic dipole axis of the white dwarf (Bashkill & Wheatley 2006; Reimer et al. 2008). In fact, many X-ray sources detected by Chandra and INTEGRAL were classified as IPs on the basis of their hard X-ray spectra (Muno et al. 2004; Bird et al. 2007). None the less, it is considered that these IP classifications are suggested on the basis of data that cannot cleanly distinguish IPs from other types of CVs and therefore follow-up observations in the optical or softer X-ray bands are needed (Pretorius 2009). Because the spin period modulation is the defining characteristic of an IP, measurements of the spin period remain the necessary condition for accepting a CV as an IP (http://asd.gsfc.nasa.gov/Koji.Mukai/iphome). Long-term tracking of the spin period is equally important because it allows an observational test of spin equilibrium (Patterson 1994). Moreover, the white dwarf spin rates give insight into angular momentum flows within the binary (King & Wynn 1999).

The source XSS J00564+4548 was found in the RXTE all-sky survey and identified with the X-ray ROSAT source 1RXS J005528.0+461143. Lately it was renamed V515 And. Bikmaev et al. (2006) discovered its IP nature: they detected an optical oscillation with a period of about 480 s. Butters et al. (2008) detected an X-ray period of 465.68 ± 0.07 s and by that confirmed this discovery. Within the measurement errors, this period coincided with the period found by Bikmaev et al. and was attributed to the spin period of the white dwarf. In addition, Butters et al. found an X-ray oscillation with a period of 489.0 ± 0.7 s, which was interpreted as the orbital sideband. This implies that the orbital period must be 2.7 h and places V515 And in the 2–3 h CV period gap. Bonnet-Bidaud, de Martino & Mouchet (2009) found the orbital variability of V515 And both in X-rays and from optical spectra and confirmed this CV in the period gap. They found a more precise \(P_{\text{orb}}\) of 2.6244 ± 0.0007 h with possible aliases. However, the oscillation period found in X-rays by Bonnet-Bidaud et al. turned out to be
different, namely 469.75 ± 0.268 s. This period is incompatible with the $P_{\text{spin}}$ found by Butters et al. because the difference of the oscillation periods exceeds the measurement error by fifteen times. Although the IP nature of V515 And raises no doubts, obviously $P_{\text{spin}}$ cannot reveal such a large instability. To eliminate this uncertainty, in 2008 we performed photometric observations of V515 And. The analysis of these data showed that, due to a large oscillation amplitude and a relatively short oscillation period, V515 And is a good candidate to search for spin-up or spin-down. To obtain an oscillation ephemeris with the long shelf life necessary for this task, in 2009 we performed additional photometric observations. In this paper we present the results of all our observations, spanning a total duration of 233 h within 33 nights.

2 OBSERVATIONS

In observations of CVs we use a multi-channel photometer with photomultiplier tubes that allows us to make continuous brightness measurements of two stars and the sky background. Such observations make it possible to obtain evenly spaced data. Then, in cases of smooth signals, classical methods of analysis such as the Fourier transform turn out optimal in comparison with numerous methods appropriate to unevenly spaced data (e.g. the Lomb–Scargle periodogram: Schwarzenberg-Czerny 1998).

V515 And was observed in 2008 October–December over 15 nights and in 2009 September–December over 18 nights using the 70-cm telescope at Kourova observatory, Ural Federal University. A journal of the observations is given in Table 1. The programme and comparison stars were observed through 16-arcsec diaphragms and the sky background was observed through a 30-arcsec diaphragm. The comparison star is USNO-A2.0 1350−00933560. It has $\alpha = 0^h55^m51^s98$, $\delta = +46^\circ 14'4''4$ and $B = 14.1$ mag. Data were collected at 8-s sampling intervals in white light (approximately 300–800 nm), employing a PC-based data-acquisition system. We used the CCD guiding system, which enables precise centring of the two stars in the diaphragms to be maintained automatically. This improves the accuracy of brightness measurements and facilitates the acquisition of long continuous light curves. The design of the photometer is described in Kozhevnikov & Zakharova (2000).

We obtained differences of magnitudes of the programme and comparison stars taking into account the differences in light sensitivity between the various channels. Because the angular separation between the programme and comparison stars is small, the differential magnitudes were corrected for first-order atmospheric extinction and for other unfavourable atmospheric effects (unstable atmospheric transparency, light absorption by thin clouds etc.). According to the mean counts, the photon noise (rms) of the differential light curves is equal to 28 mmag (a time resolution of 8 s). The actual rms noise also includes atmospheric scintillations and the motion of the star images in the diaphragms. We estimate that these noise components equal approximately 5 mmag each. The total white-noise component of the light curves (rms) is then 30 mmag. Fig. 1 presents the longest differential light curves of V515 And, with magnitudes averaged over 32-s time intervals. The white-noise component of these light curves is 15 mmag.

3 ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

As seen in Fig. 1, the light curves of V515 And are fairly typical of cataclysmic variables in showing rapid flickering. In addition, periodic oscillations are appreciable in the light curves. The light curves create the impression that these oscillations are unstable because they sometimes disappear. However, this might be due to the interaction of two oscillations with close frequencies. By using amplitude spectra calculated with the aid of a fast Fourier transform (FFT) algorithm, we revealed that this is exactly the case. These amplitude spectra calculated for the longest light curves are shown in Fig. 2. Previously, low-frequency trends were removed from the light curves by subtraction of a second-order polynomial fit. Two peaks are visible in these power spectra, with periods $P_1 = 466$ s and $P_2 = 488$ s.

Note that the first harmonic of the oscillation with $P_1$ is absent whereas the first harmonic of the oscillation with $P_2$ sometimes appears. This is also confirmed by the average power spectrum. Moreover, we found that the first harmonic of $P_2$ appears only in the data of 2009. The average power spectrum of the data of 2008 reveals no high-frequency harmonics. On the contrary, the average power spectrum of the data of 2009 reveals a distinct peak at the frequency of the first harmonic of $P_2$, which exceeds the surrounding noise peaks by 3.2 times. However, again at the frequency of the first harmonic of $P_1$ this power spectrum does not reveal any prominent peak. The occurrence of the high-frequency harmonic of $P_2$ means that in 2009 the pulse profile of the oscillation with $P_2$ became complicated. Accordingly, the persistent absence of the high-frequency harmonic of $P_1$ means that the pulse profile of this oscillation remained smooth and stable.

---

**Table 1. Journal of the observations.**

| Date (UT) | BJD start ($-2454000$) | Length (h) |
|----------|-------------------------|------------|
| 2008 Oct 3 | 743.145 179 | 3.6 |
| 2008 Oct 4 | 744.144 054 | 1.8 |
| 2008 Oct 5 | 745.141 074 | 9.1 |
| 2008 Oct 6 | 746.139 669 | 4.6 |
| 2008 Oct 7 | 747.140 847 | 9.5 |
| 2008 Oct 28 | 768.100 726 | 10.8 |
| 2008 Oct 30 | 770.390 577 | 4.1 |
| 2008 Oct 31 | 771.399 696 | 3.9 |
| 2008 Nov 23 | 794.294 931 | 6.3 |
| 2008 Dec 20 | 821.059 534 | 11.4 |
| 2008 Dec 21 | 822.057 118 | 8.6 |
| 2008 Dec 22 | 823.057 138 | 10.6 |
| 2008 Dec 23 | 824.057 358 | 8.0 |
| 2008 Dec 24 | 825.131 344 | 8.7 |
| 2008 Dec 25 | 826.057 337 | 9.0 |
| 2009 Sep 15 | 1090.183 068 | 3.3 |
| 2009 Sep 17 | 1092.174 982 | 3.4 |
| 2009 Sep 26 | 1101.150 683 | 9.0 |
| 2009 Oct 11 | 1116.138 945 | 4.8 |
| 2009 Oct 14 | 1119.124 073 | 7.6 |
| 2009 Oct 22 | 1127.107 144 | 7.2 |
| 2009 Nov 23 | 1130.109 062 | 10.4 |
| 2009 Nov 26 | 1131.266 053 | 7.0 |
| 2009 Nov 13 | 1149.081 793 | 8.3 |
| 2009 Nov 14 | 1150.310 147 | 4.0 |
| 2009 Nov 15 | 1151.105 588 | 6.8 |
| 2009 Nov 16 | 1152.242 422 | 8.1 |
| 2009 Nov 17 | 1153.072 647 | 2.9 |
| 2009 Dec 10 | 1176.069 215 | 10.2 |
| 2009 Dec 15 | 1181.070 064 | 7.5 |
| 2009 Dec 16 | 1182.060 185 | 9.4 |
| 2009 Dec 17 | 1183.064 453 | 7.5 |
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A distinctive feature of the periodic oscillations seen in IPs is their coherence, because the spin period of the white dwarf is extremely stable. To establish the coherence of oscillations during large time intervals, one can analyse data incorporated into common time series. Then the coherence can be demonstrated due to coincidence of the structure of the power spectrum in the vicinity of the oscillation frequency and the window function. We begin with three groups of consecutive nights, because their window functions are simplest and characterized by the presence of one-day aliases that directly prove the coherence. Fig. 3 presents the power spectra of the common time series calculated with the FFT algorithm for three groups of data from V515 And consisting of five and six consecutive nights (see Table 1). The gaps due to daylight and poor weather in these time series were filled with zeroes. To improve the sampling of the power spectra, at the end these time series were supplemented with a considerable number of zeroes. Previously, low-frequency trends were removed from the individual light curves by subtraction of a first- or second-order polynomial fit. As seen in Fig. 3, both oscillations reveal distinct pictures closely resembling the window functions obtained from artificial time series consisting of sine waves and gaps according to the observations. This proves the coherence of the observed oscillations during the corresponding time intervals.

It is well known that the frequency resolution of data depends on the observational coverage. Obviously, the highest accuracy of oscillation periods can be achieved from all data incorporated into common time series. However, in such a case the window function may be very complicated due to randomly distributed large gaps, and identification of principal peaks may be difficult. Therefore, we calculated power spectra both for all the data and for the data of 2008 and 2009 separately. These power spectra in the vicinity of $P_1$ are presented in Fig. 4. The comparison of these power spectra with each other and with the corresponding window functions shows that there are no difficulties in identification of the principal peaks and different aliases and that the oscillation with $P_1$ is coherent during 14 months of observations. A similar picture regarding the power spectra is also found for the oscillation with $P_2$.

A half-width of the peak at half maximum (HWHM) in the power spectrum is often accepted as the error of the period, because this conforms to the frequency resolution. However, such a method does not allow for noise in the data and in most cases gives an overestimated error. Schwarzenberg-Czerny (1991) showed that the $1\sigma$ confidence interval of the oscillation period is the width of the peak at the $p - N^2$ level, where $p$ is the peak height and $N^2$ is the mean noise power level. Accordingly, the rms error (or $\sigma$) is half of this confidence interval. We used this method to evaluate the precision of the oscillation periods. As the mean noise level we took an average of two levels of the power spectrum in wide frequency
Figure 3. Power spectra calculated for three groups of consecutive nights of data from V515 And. They reveal two coherent oscillations with periods \( P_1 \) and \( P_2 \). Inserted frames show the window functions. The principal peaks are labelled with ‘F1’ and ‘F2’ and the one-day aliases are labelled with ‘A1’ and ‘A2’.

Figure 4. Surroundings of the principal peak of the oscillation with period \( P_1 \) in the power spectra calculated for the data obtained in 2008 and 2009 and for all data from V515 And. The dotted lines mark the one-month aliases.

Table 2. The values and precisions of the period \( P_1 \).

| Time interval | Period (s) | HWHM (s) | rms error (s) | Deviation |
|---------------|------------|----------|---------------|-----------|
| 2008 Oct      | 465.469    | 0.27     | 0.031         | \(0.5\sigma\) |
| 2008 Dec      | 465.494    | 0.19     | 0.013         | \(0.7\sigma\) |
| 2009 Nov      | 465.491    | 0.22     | 0.026         | \(0.2\sigma\) |
| 2008 all      | 465.4846   | 0.011    | 0.0006        | \(2.4\sigma\) |
| 2009 all      | 465.4835   | 0.011    | 0.0006        | \(0.5\sigma\) |
| Total         | 465.48493  | 0.0018   | 0.00007       | –          |

Table 3. The values and precisions of the period \( P_2 \).

| Time interval | Period (s) | HWHM (s) | rms error (s) | Deviation |
|---------------|------------|----------|---------------|-----------|
| 2008 Oct      | 488.772    | 0.33     | 0.045         | \(3.4\sigma\) |
| 2008 Dec      | 488.598    | 0.20     | 0.019         | \(1.1\sigma\) |
| 2009 Nov      | 488.634    | 0.26     | 0.037         | \(0.4\sigma\) |
| 2008 all      | 488.6196   | 0.013    | 0.0008        | \(1.7\sigma\) |
| 2009 all      | 488.6186   | 0.011    | 0.0007        | \(0.5\sigma\) |
| Total         | 488.61822  | 0.0020   | 0.00009       | –          |
However in one case, namely in the data of 2008 October (Table 3), the rms error seems to depart somewhat from the rule of 3σ because the deviation is 3.4σ.

Although this excess of deviation seems small, it is necessary to find plausible reasons for it. This might be caused by the interaction of two oscillations. To find this out, we carried out numerous experiments with artificial time series. These time series were composed of two sine waves with periods and amplitudes similar to the periods and amplitudes of the real oscillations, and with gaps according to the observations. It turned out that the deviations of the periods obtained from the power spectra revealed smooth changes from negative to positive values depending on the initial phase difference between the two sine waves. Because the initial phase difference of the real oscillations is random, we can find total rms errors using the rms errors found from the peak widths and taking into account additions from the variations of the periods from the artificial time series. On average, these additions are small and increase the rms errors only by 4 per cent for the oscillation with $P_1$ and by 6 per cent for the oscillation with $P_2$. The maximal addition was found for the oscillation with $P_1$ obtained from the data of 2008 October, namely 13 per cent. Obviously, this is due to unfavourable observational coverage. Taking into account this addition, in this case also the deviation of the period does not exceed 3σ.

As mentioned, to obtain high sampling of the power spectra, we added a considerable number of zeroes at the end of the common time series. For the power spectrum of all the data, the sampling that might allow us to measure the peak width turned out to be extremely high, and this power spectrum consisted of $2^{25}$ points. To exclude any gross errors that might be related to this giant number of points, we also calculated power spectra with the aid of a sine-wave fit to the light curves folded with trial frequencies and found precisely the same oscillation periods. In addition, we used the analysis-of-variance method (Schwarzenberg-Czerny 1989) and this also gave similar results.

Fig. 5 presents the light curves of V515 And folded with the periods $P_1 = 465.484 \pm 0.93$ s (on the right) and $P_2 = 488.618 \pm 0.22$ s (on the left) and obtained using the data of 2008 and 2009 separately. The oscillation with $P_1$ has a stable smooth asymmetric pulse profile with a slow increase to maximum and a fast decline to minimum. It is interesting that the increase is nearly linear whereas the decline is sinuous. The oscillation with $P_2$ reveals a substantially unstable pulse profile, which varies from a quasi-sinusoidal shape to a shapesomewhat resembling a light curve of an eclipsing binary with flat maxima and relatively sharp minima. As follows from the folded light curves and also from the power spectra, the semi-amplitudes of the oscillations with periods $P_1$ and $P_2$ are equal to approximately 25 and 20 mmag, accordingly.

The high accuracy of the oscillation periods allows us to obtain oscillation ephemerides with a long shelf life. The rather large noise level in the individual light curves does not allow us to find oscillation phases directly. Therefore, we found the oscillation phases from the folded light curves. We decided to obtain the initial phases from the data of 2008 and utilize the data of 2009 for verification. We used 20 phase bins for each folded light curve; therefore the time interval between adjacent points is 0.05 phases and is equal to 1/20 of the corresponding period. Obviously, the first points of the folded light curves are at a distance of 0.025 phases from the very first observational point. Having the initial time of the observations (Table 1) and taking into account that the data were previously averaged over 24-s time intervals, we can find the initial time for the folded light curves.

As seen in the folded light curves (Fig. 5), the shape of the maximum of the oscillation with $P_1$ is unstable, therefore in the ephemeris of this oscillation we used the time of the minimum. In contrast, for the oscillation with $P_2$ we used the time of the maximum because its shape is more symmetric. To find these times precisely, we used a Gaussian function fitted to seven points for the minimum and to nine points for the maximum. Finally we obtained the following ephemerides, in which the initial times of maximum and minimum were obtained from the observations of 2008:

$$BD(max) = 245 \, 474.43 \, 146 \, 425(23) + 0.005 \, 387 \, 5571(8)E,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

$$BD(min) = 245 \, 474.43 \, 146 \, 425(23) + 0.005 \, 387 \, 5571(8) – E.$$
Table 4. Verification of the oscillation ephemerides.

| Time interval | Total duration (h) | O–C, maximum (s) | O–C, minimum (s) |
|---------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 2008 Oct      | 28.5               | +5.6 ± 4.7       | +3.4 ± 5.3       |
| 2008 Dec      | 56.4               | −0.7 ± 2.2       | 0.0 ± 3.1        |
| 2009 Nov      | 30.0               | +6.7 ± 3.1       | +1.1 ± 3.8       |
| 2009 all      | 123.0              | +4.3 ± 2.2       | −8.6 ± 2.4       |

$$B J D(\text{min}) = 245 4743.150 323(20) + 0.005 655 3035(10) E.$$  \(2\)

Using the observations of 2009 and the observations of the three groups of consecutive nights, we can verify the ephemerides. Table 4 gives observed minus calculated (O–C) values for the maxima and minima obtained from the folded light curves. As seen, the O–C values are small and do not exceed a few per cent of the oscillation periods. As the rms errors in Table 4, we give the summary rms errors of the initial and final maxima or minima without accumulated errors from the oscillation periods. The reason is that we might correct these periods in the case in which O–C values significantly exceed their errors. However, we find no reason for such a correction. Only in the case of the minimum of 2009 does the O–C value exceed 3\(\sigma\) a little, and this deviation is caused by the instability of the oscillation profile (see the left part of Fig. 5). In addition, in this case the accumulated error of the oscillation period is 5.3 s. Taking into account this error, we find that the O–C value of the minimum does not exceed 1.5\(\sigma\). For the maximum of 2009 the accumulated error of the oscillation period is 4.4 s and the O–C value does not exceed 0.9\(\sigma\).

It is considered that a formal shelf life of an ephemeris is the time during which the accumulated error runs up to one oscillation cycle. The accumulated errors from the ephemerides are equal to 4.7 s per year and 5.1 s per year for the oscillations with \(P_1\) and \(P_2\), accordingly. This corresponds to formal shelf lives of 99 and 84 yr. Here we imply a 1\(\sigma\) confidence level. Note that the definition of the formal life is rather liberal. For a real shelf life, it seems a reasonable choice to decrease these numbers by four times (http://asd.gsfc.nasa.gov/Koji.Mukai/iphome).

Two observed oscillations are most probably the white dwarf spin period and orbital sideband. This implies \(P_{\text{orb}}\) is equal to 2.731 086 ± 0.000 013 h. In many cases it may be difficult to find the orbital variability of a CV due to rising of the noise level at low frequencies due to flickering. Here, however, this task is facilitated somewhat because we know the precise value of a possible orbital period. Fig. 6 presents the low-frequency part of the power spectrum of the V515 And data incorporated into the common time series, in which the peak coinciding with the calculated period can be revealed although this peak is not prominent among noise peaks. It corresponds to a period of 2.731 18 ± 0.000 10 h. The precision of the coincidence of the calculated and detected periods is high, where the difference between them is less than 1\(\sigma\).

In addition to the coincidence of the calculated and detected periods, we found several signs that the variability with \(P_{\text{orb}}\) is real. In the power spectrum (Fig. 6) we revealed the one-day alias, which reveals the peak corresponding to the orbital period. The one-day alias is also presents (labelled with ‘A’).

4 DISCUSSION

We performed extensive photometric observations of V515 And and clearly found two highly coherent oscillations with periods \(P_1 = 465.48493 ± 0.00007\) s and \(P_2 = 488.61822 ± 0.00009\) s. We detected these two optical oscillations for the first time. Although the optical oscillation that certifies V515 And as an IP was found earlier by Bikmaev et al. (2006), the quality of their observations was such that they were not able to detect two separate oscillations due to a low frequency resolution. The full width at half-maximum of the peak in their Lomb–Scargle plot is ±20 s (Butters et al. 2008). Obviously, this peak covers both oscillations found by us.

Because the period \(P_1\) coincides with the lesser of the two periods found by Butters et al. in X-rays (465.68 ± 0.07 s), we can definitely confirms the reality of the orbital variability, because it not only shows the phase coherence but also reveals the orbital variability within two independent data sets.
conclude that $P_1$ is the spin period of the white dwarf. We believe that Butters et al. give rms errors. Our observations eliminate an ambiguity that arose from the analysis of X-ray observations made by Bonnet-Bidaud et al. (2009), who found a different spin period, namely $469.75 \pm 0.268\,s$. Obviously, their measurement of the spin period is incorrect. The period $P_2$ is most probably the orbital sidereal band. It also coincides with the second period found by Butters et al. in X-rays, namely $489.0 \pm 0.7\,s$. Butters et al. also interpreted the second period as the orbital sidereal.

The precise knowledge of the spin and sidereal band periods allow us to calculate the precise value of $P_{\text{orb}}$, which is equal to $2.731\,086 \pm 0.000\,013\,h$. This $P_{\text{orb}}$ agrees with a rough evaluation of $P_{\text{orb}}$ made by Butters et al. from the spin and sidereal band periods observed in X-rays. According to Butters et al., $P_{\text{orb}}$ must be $2.7\,h$. Our evaluation of $P_{\text{orb}}$ also agrees with $P_{\text{orb}}$ evaluated by Bonnet-Bidaud et al. from X-ray intensity variations ($2.57 \pm 0.06\,h$) and from radial velocities of two observations taken separately ($2.62 \pm 0.09$ and $2.82 \pm 0.21\,h$). We also believe that Bonnet-Bidaud et al. give rms errors. However, the combined observations of the radial velocities obtained by Bonnet-Bidaud et al. give a contradictory value of $P_{\text{orb}}$, namely $2.6244 \pm 0.0007\,h$. As Bonnet-Bidaud et al. themselves recognize, the combined observations suffer from aliases and therefore this value of $P_{\text{orb}}$ may be incorrect. Thus, our observations confirm that V515 And is an IP inside the 2–3 h period gap.

Although the precise coincidence of the peak in the power spectrum with the calculated $P_{\text{orb}}$, along with the signs of coherence of the corresponding oscillation, gives evidence of the reality of the orbital variability, this variability is difficultly discoverable. This fact allows us to constrain the orbital inclination of the system. The orbital inclination has to be less than about $50^\circ$ because otherwise it might be easily detected due to changes of the visibility of the hot spot or eclipses (e.g. la Dous 1994).

V515 And reveals interesting features of the pulse profiles of the detected short-period oscillations. The oscillation with the spin period ($P_s$) shows a stable smooth asymmetric pulse profile, whereas the sideband oscillation ($P_2$) reveals significant changes of the pulse profile from a quasi-sinusoidal shape to a shape somewhat resembling a light curve of an eclipsing binary. We attempt to find plausible explanations for the observed features of the pulse profiles.

In IPs, the optical spin pulses can arise from the hot pole caps, through reprocessing of X-rays in the axisymmetric parts of the accretion disc and from accretion curtains between the inner disc and the white dwarf (Hellier 1995). The first possibility can be realized only in a very fast rotator, such as AE Aqr, in which the size of the accretion curtains is thought to be small and gives a small contribution to the flux compared with the white dwarf (Hellier 1995). The second possibility can be excluded due to the low inclination of V515 And. The reprocessing requires a sufficient degree of asymmetry, e.g. between the front and the back of the disc. Such asymmetry can occur only in a highly inclined system, which shows eclipses (e.g. DQ Her: Petterson 1980; Patterson & Steiner 1983).

Thus, as in most other IPs, in V515 And the optical emission with $P_{\text{spin}}$ most probably comes from the accretion curtains.

Two-pole disc-fed accretion is believed to be the normal mode of behaviour in IPs. Depending on the sizes and shapes of the accretion curtains, both single-peaked and double-peaked spin pulse profiles can be produced. Because these sizes and shapes depend on the magnetic field, in IPs with relatively strong magnetic fields two accreting poles can act in phase so that single-picked, roughly sinusoidal pulse profiles can be produced, whereas in IPs with weak magnetic fields two accreting poles can act in anti-phase and produce double-peaked spin pulse profiles (Norton et al. 1999). It is considered that rapidly spinning IPs with $P_{\text{spin}} < 700\,s$ have weak magnetic fields and therefore usually produce double-peaked pulse profiles (Norton et al. 1999). The latter case occurs when the geometry allows the two opposite poles to come into view to the observer (e.g. V405 Aur: Evans & Hellier 2004). However, the secondary pole can be continuously hidden by the white dwarf. Then the pulse profile also appears quasi-sinusoidal. This is seen in NY Lyp, where emission from one pole only is confirmed by the narrow optical emission lines (Haberl, Motch & Zickgraf 2002). V515 And has $P_{\text{spin}}$ much less than 700’s and in spite of this shows a quasi-sinusoidal pulse profile. Hence, we must conclude that the secondary pole is continuously hidden by the white dwarf, like NY Lyp. The absence of the first harmonic of the oscillation with $P_{\text{spin}}$ also suggests that the contribution of the secondary pole acting in anti-phase is negligible.

V515 And clearly reveals significant asymmetry of the quasi-sinusoidal spin pulse profile (the right-hand part of Fig. 5). This asymmetry seems most difficult to explain and may be caused by the asymmetry of the accretion curtains. Such an asymmetry can arise due to the interaction of the accretion flow and the magnetic field of the white dwarf when the white dwarf is not in spin equilibrium (Evans et al. 2004; Vrielmann, Ness & Schmitt 2005; Evans, Hellier & Ramsay 2006). Thus, in V515 And the asymmetric spin pulse profile can be produced in one asymmetric accretion curtain, where this asymmetry may be caused by non-equilibrium spinning.

In the case of disc-fed accretion, the optical orbital sidereal band arises due to reprocessing of X-rays by structures rotating in the reference frame connected with the orbital motion. Pulse profiles of the sidereal oscillation often reveal significant variability. Changes of the structure of the accretion disc are considered to be plausible reasons for such variability. However, these changes are not accompanied by noticeable variability of the star brightness (van der Woerd, de Kool & van Paradijs 1984). In V515 And we also did

Figure 8. Light curves of V515 And folded with the orbital period using the data of 2008 and 2009 separately.
not find appreciable brightness changes between 2008 and 2009, when a significant change of the pulse profile of the sideband oscillation occurred (the left-hand part of Fig. 5). In IPs, the accretion disc is much brighter than the secondary star and white dwarf (e.g. Bonnet-Bidaud et al. 2001). Therefore, it seems strange that the accretion disc can change its structure without appreciable brightness changes.

Another way to produce the orbital sideband is alternation of the accretion flow between two poles of the white dwarf with the sideband frequency. This process occurs in cases of stream-fed and disc-overflow accretion and is the only way to produce the orbital sideband in X-rays (Wynn & King 1992). Because the disc-overflow accretion and disc-fed accretion are compatible and can coexist in an IP simultaneously, the change of the contribution between them can probably produce significant variations in the pulse profile of a sideband oscillation. Butters et al. (2008) observed the orbital sideband of V515 And in X-rays and this was the sign of disc-overflow accretion (Hellier 1993). However, Bonnet-Bidaud et al. (2009) observed no X-ray sideband. This is an indication that in V515 And the relative proportions of disc-fed and disc-overflow accretion can change. Therefore, we can account for the significant change in the pulse profile of the sideband oscillation observed in V515 And as a change of the contribution between the two accretion modes. It is interesting that a similar change of the pulse profile of the sideband oscillation, namely a variation from a quasi-sinusoidal pulse profile to a pulse profile resembling a light curve of an eclipsing binary, was observed in MU Cam (see fig. 6 of Kozhevnikov, Zakharova & Nikiforova 2006), where the change between the two accretion modes was also observed (Staude et al. 2008). This change in MU Cam was accompanied by a large change in optical brightness. Although we observed no appreciable brightness changes of V515 And between 2008 and 2009, a change of the contribution between the two accretion modes in this CV still seems possible because the disc-overflow accretion can amount to only a small part of the full accretion. Note that an X-ray sideband signal is detected in FO Aqr, for which a 2 per cent disc overflow fraction has been estimated (Mukai, Ishida & Osborne 1994). Obviously, the change of the full accretion at a 2 per cent level cannot produce appreciable brightness changes.

Norton, Wynn & Somerscales (2004) used a model of magnetic accretion to investigate the spin equilibria of magnetic CVs. This allowed them to infer approximate values for the magnetic moments of most known IPs. Many authors used their fig. 2 to evaluate the magnetic moments of newly discovered IPs (e.g. Gänsicke et al. 2005; Rodríguez-Gil et al. 2005; Katajainen et al. 2010). Our attempts, however, turned out unsuccessful due to the fact that the \( P_{\text{spin}}/P_{\text{orb}} \) ratio for V515 And, which is equal to 0.047, is below the ratio for IPs spin\(-\)orbit \( > \pm 0.000 \) s, which may be the spin period

Among them V515 And is one of the most rapidly spinning IPs. Only WZ Sge and V455 And have shorter oscillation periods of 27.87 and 67.2 s, accordingly. These two stars, however, show additional oscillations with close periods (Robinson, Nather & Patterson 1978; Araujo-Betancor et al. 2005), and therefore their extremely short periods may be caused by white dwarf pulsations rather than spin of a magnetic white dwarf. In addition, these short periods were not confirmed by X-ray observations. If we exclude WZ Sge and V455 And from the group of IPs with \( P_{\text{orb}} < 3 \) h then it turns out that V515 And is the most rapidly spinning IP with the shortest \( P_{\text{spin}}/P_{\text{orb}} \) ratio in this group. Obviously, this peculiarity does not allow us to estimate the magnetic moment of the white dwarf by using fig. 2 of Norton et al. (2004). Thus, we can conclude that either V515 And has an unusually low magnetic moment of less than \( 10^{25} \) G cm\(^{-3} \), which is not embraced by the model calculations, or it deviates substantially from spin equilibrium.

Substantial deviations from spin equilibrium can be detected by measuring spin-up or spin-down from long-term photometric observations involving an ephemeris with a long shelf life. Our oscillation ephemerides are good for this task. Let us estimate the observational coverage that might result in measurable values of \( P_{\text{spin}} \) for V515 And. From table 1 of Warner (1996) we learned that for IPs spinning with moderate speed (i.e. excluding AE Aqr and DQ Her) the detection threshold of \( P_{\text{spin}} \) is about \( 10^{-11} \). If we suppose that V515 And possesses such \( P_{\text{spin}} \), then this leads to changes in oscillation phases of about 10 s per year. In Table 4 one can see that the random deviations of the oscillation phases from the ephemeris of the oscillation with \( P_{1} \), which has a stable pulse profile, do not exceed 7 s when the phases are measured from folded light curves obtained over a few long nights. Thus, it seems possible to detect spin-up or spin-down in V515 And by observing it over a few long nights per year and by performing these observations over several years.

5 CONCLUSIONS

We performed extensive photometric observations of V515 And over 33 nights in 2008 and 2009.

(i) The analysis of these data allowed us to detect clearly two coherent oscillations with periods \( P_{1} = 465.48493 \pm 0.00007 \) s and \( P_{2} = 488.61822 \pm 0.00009 \) s, which may be the spin period and orbital sideband.

(ii) These two oscillations imply that the orbital period of the system is equal to \( 2.731 \) 086 \pm 0.00013 h. This confirms that V515 And is in the period gap.

(iii) The oscillation with \( P_{1} \) has a stable smooth asymmetric pulse profile with a nearly linear slow increase to maximum and a sinusous fast decline to minimum, whereas the pulse profile of the oscillation with \( P_{2} \) reveals significant changes from a quasi-sinusoidal shape to a shape somewhat resembling a light curve of an eclipsing binary with flat maxima and relatively sharp minima.

(iv) V515 And is one of the most rapidly spinning intermediate polars with \( P_{\text{orb}} < 3 \) h and may not be in spin equilibrium.

(v) The high accuracy of the oscillation periods, which was achieved due to the large observation coverage and the low noise level in the power spectra, allowed us to obtain oscillation ephemerides with a formal shelf life of about 100 yr. These ephemerides may be useful for investigations of spin-up or spin-down in V515 And.
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