Induced sensorimotor brain plasticity controls pain in phantom limb patients
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The cause of pain in a phantom limb after partial or complete deafferentation is an important problem. A popular but increasingly controversial theory is that it results from maladaptive reorganization of the sensorimotor cortex, suggesting that experimental induction of further reorganization should affect the pain, especially if it results in functional restoration. Here we use a brain-machine interface (BMI) based on real-time magnetoencephalography signals to reconstruct affected hand movements with a robotic hand. BMI training induces significant plasticity in the sensorimotor cortex, manifested as improved discriminability of movement information and enhanced prosthetic control. Contrary to our expectation that functional restoration would reduce pain, the BMI training with the phantom hand intensifies the pain. In contrast, BMI training designed to dissociate the prosthetic and phantom hands actually reduces pain. These results reveal a functional relevance between sensorimotor cortical plasticity and pain, and may provide a novel treatment with BMI neurofeedback.
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Phantom limb pain describes the intractable chronic pain\(^1\) that frequently occurs in a partially or completely deafferented body part after severe peripheral nerve injury\(^2\) or amputation\(^3\). A prominent theory is that the underlying cause of the pain is maladaptive plasticity of the sensorimotor cortex\(^4–5\). The key evidence supporting this is the correlation between pain and topographic reorganization of sensorimotor cortical maps, as revealed by several methods\(^6–8\). Furthermore, rehabilitative therapies inspired by the theory, such as discrimination training, myoelectric prosthesis use, nerve reinnervation and mirror therapy, reduce pain and show a corresponding correlation with topographic reorganization\(^9–12\). This underlies a widely held guiding principle that restoration of function reduces pain\(^3\).

However, recent evidence has caused researchers to question the maladaptive sensorimotor reorganization model, especially in terms of the motor representation of the phantom limb. For example, it has been shown that when using ‘unfolded’ brain maps to study anatomical reorganization of the phantom hand, shifts in the peaks of lip representations are local, do not invade the hand area and are not correlated with pain\(^13\). Furthermore, functional brain activity in the area of missing hands of amputees does not increase during lip movements\(^14\). In the phantom symptoms associated with nerve loss in carpal tunnel syndrome, sensorimotor reorganization is correlated with paraesthesia, but not pain\(^15\). Even the efficacy of behavioural therapies designed to target reorganization has been questioned\(^11\). Critically, however, both sides of this argument lack any direct experimental manipulation of sensorimotor plasticity that, in principle, could be used to support or refute a causative link between sensorimotor cortical plasticity and pain.

Recently, it has been shown that brain–machine interfaces (BMIs) can not only reconstruct motor function in severely paralysed patients\(^16\) but also induce plastic changes in cortical activity\(^17–19\). A BMI works by first decoding neural activity of the mental action to move the affected hand, for example, and then by converting the decoded phantom hand movement into that of a robotic neuroprosthesis. BMIs based on magnetoencephalography (MEG) sensorimotor cortex signals have been shown to be sufficient to precisely decode hand movements in real time\(^20,21\), even in severely paralysed patients simply intending to move the affected hand\(^22,23\). Moreover, training to use BMIs induces plastic changes in cortical activity\(^24,25\) and, potentially, associated clinical symptoms\(^26\).

Here we apply the BMI training of a neuroprosthetic (robotic) hand using real-time MEG signals in phantom limb patients, whose symptoms are primarily caused by nerve avulsion, and evaluate the association between changes in their symptomatic pain and in cortical currents during phantom hand movements. We show that the patients partially restore the function of the affected hand by using the prosthetic hand in its place. According to the principle of restoration of function, it is initially hypothesized that successful BMI training using decoded phantom hand movements should reduce pain with concurrent plastic changes in cortical activity. However, our results show that, although BMI training leads to a significant increase of movement information in the sensorimotor cortex, the training significantly increases pain. On the other hand, BMI training to associate the prosthetic hand with the intact hand representation reduces pain with decreased information about phantom hand movements. These results suggest a causative relationship between sensorimotor cortical plasticity and pain.

### Results

**Experimental design of BMI training.** BMI training was applied to 10 patients with phantom limb due to brachial plexus root avulsion (\(n=9\)) and amputation of the right forearm (\(n=1;\) Table 1 and Supplementary Table 1)\(^20\). All patients had phantom limb symptoms, and the associated pain is consequently thought to share a common mechanism with phantom limb pain due to amputation\(^3\). All patients participated in BMI training to control the robotic hand (Fig. 1a). Cortical plasticity was evaluated by comparing the same offline task to move the phantom hand before and after each training session (Fig. 1b). At the same time, pain was evaluated with a visual analogue scale (VAS) and the Japanese version of the short-form McGill Pain Questionnaire 2 (SF-MPQ)\(^27\).

**Decoding of the phantom hand movement using MEG signals.** First, we performed an ‘offline’ task (pre-BMI) in which patients were instructed to try to move their phantom hands to be in the posture of grasping or opening according to given instructions (Fig. 2a, b)\(^16\). The patients could not see their actual hands in the posture of grasping or opening according to given instructions (Fig. 2a, b)\(^16\). The patients could not see their actual arms during the experiment. The MEG signals from 84 selected sensors were recorded during the task (Fig. 2c) and then time-averaged using windows of 500 ms slid by 100 ms, in the range from \(-500\) to \(1,000\) ms with respect to the timings of the execution cues. Then, the averaged signals were converted into \(z\)-scores using the mean and s.d. estimated from the initial 50 s of the offline task.

A nested 10-fold cross-validation\(^28\) was performed with a support vector machine (SVM) to evaluate the accuracy of classifying the performed movement types using the \(z\)-scores of the selected MEG sensors\(^20\). The accuracy was evaluated for each 500-ms window, and the maximum value of the accuracies was estimated as the classification accuracy. The classification accuracy of the phantom movement during the pre-BMI task was \(65.6\pm9.4\%\) (mean \(\pm95\%\) confidence interval, \(n=10\)), which was significantly greater than the accuracies for classifying

### Table 1 | Clinical profiles of patients.

| Patient ID | Age (y)/sex | Diagnosis | JART FSIQ/VIQ/PIQ | Disease duration (y) | Mirror therapy |
|------------|-------------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------|
| 1          | 50/M        | Right BPRA of C6–8 | 100/100/90 | 34                  | Effective only for a short period |
| 2          | 51/M        | Left BPRA of C5–Th1 | 96/96/96 | 6                   | Not effective |
| 3          | 58/M        | Right BPRA of C6–Th2 | 112/114/108 | 40                  | No experience |
| 4          | 48/M        | Amputation below right elbow | 104/105/102 | 1.5                 | Not effective |
| 5          | 49/M        | Right BPRA of C7–Th1 | 102/102/101 | 29                  | No experience |
| 6          | 56/M        | Right BPRA of C7–8 | 114/116/110 | 38                  | Not effective |
| 7          | 51/M        | Right BPRA of C6–Th1 | 110/112/107 | 11                  | No experience |
| 8          | 56/M        | Left BPRA of C7–Th1 | 83/82/87 | 13                   | Not effective |
| 9          | 38/M        | Right BPRA of C6–8 | 85/84/89 | 21                   | No experience |
| 10         | 60/M        | Right BPRA of C6–8 | 114/116/110 | 20                  | No experience |

BPRA, brachial plexus root avulsion; FSIQ: full-scale intelligence quotient; JART, Japanese Adult Reading Test; M, male; PIQ, performance intelligence quotient; VIQ, verbal intelligence quotient; y, year.
the same signals with randomly relabelled movement types (50.9 ± 4.3%, \(P = 0.0012\), Bonferroni-corrected, \(t(18) = 2.79,\) two-tailed Student’s \(t\)-test, \(n = 10\); Fig. 2d).

For comparison, the patients performed actual movements of their intact hands according to the same instructions during another experiment. The classification accuracy was evaluated by the same method. For the intact hand movements before the BMI training, the classification accuracy was 75.3 ± 7.5% (mean ± 95% confidence interval, \(n = 10\)), which exceeded the accuracies of randomized movement types (46.0 ± 6.3%, \(P = 0.000016\), Bonferroni-corrected, \(t(18) = 5.83,\) two-tailed Student’s \(t\)-test, \(n = 10\); Fig. 2d). Notably, the classification accuracies were comparable between the phantom movements and the intact hand movements (\(n = 10, P = 0.13\), Bonferroni-corrected, \(t(18) = 1.57,\) two-tailed Student’s \(t\)-test), although the electro-mygogram signals of the affected hands were not comparable to those of the intact hands (Supplementary Fig. 1).

**Estimated cortical currents.** We evaluated the cortical representation during the offline task using cortical current source estimation and decoding accuracy. First, the cortical currents were estimated from the obtained MEG signals using variational Bayesian multimodal encephalography (VBMEG).29. Next, the estimated cortical currents were averaged using a 500-ms time window from the execution cue and \(z\)-scored by the estimated cortical currents of the initial 50-s period of the offline session. The \(z\)-scored cortical currents were averaged for the 10 patients and colour-coded on the normalized brain surface. The right hand was designated as the affected hand; for those two patients with affected left hands, the cortical activation was switched. Figure 3a shows the cortical currents activated on the sensorimotor cortex contralateral to the phantom hand when the patients attempted to move their phantom hands.

The \(z\)-scored cortical currents were compared between two types of movements with a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) for each vertex. The \(F\)-value of the ANOVA was averaged for the 10 patients and colour-coded on the normalized brain surface. Figure 3b shows that cortical currents on the contralateral sensorimotor cortex varied between movement types with high \(F\)-values (see also Supplementary Fig. 2).

Similarly, for the intact hand movements, the cortical currents were activated on the sensorimotor cortex contralateral to the moved hand, depending on the movement types, with high \(F\)-values (Fig. 3c,d). The cortical currents on the contralateral sensorimotor cortex varied according to the movement types for both the real and the phantom hand.

Next, the decoding method was applied for the \(z\)-scored cortical currents to quantify how accurately the cortical currents represent the two types of phantom movements. A total of 126 vertices were selected on the sensorimotor cortex of each hemisphere (Fig. 3e). The \(z\)-scored cortical currents were estimated at the selected vertices from \(-500\) to \(1,000\) ms for each 100 ms. Using the same method for the \(z\)-scored MEG sensor signals, the \(z\)-scored cortical currents were evaluated to determine the accuracy for classifying the movement types.

In all patients, the classification accuracies varied significantly between each side of the sensorimotor cortex for the movements of the real and phantom hands (\(n = 10\) each, \(P = 0.0032\), \(F(3, 36) = 5.52,\) one-way ANOVA; Fig. 3f). The accuracy for classifying the phantom movement was significantly higher using the currents on the sensorimotor cortex contralateral to the phantom hand compared with the currents on the ipsilateral cortex (\(n = 10, P = 0.007\), Bonferroni-corrected, \(t(18) = 3.06,\) two-tailed Student’s \(t\)-test; Fig. 3f). Thus, the classifier clearly distinguished the information on the ipsilateral and contralateral
BMI training with a neuroprosthetic hand. The BMI training to control the robotic hand was performed as a randomized crossover trial consisting of two training sessions on two different days (Fig. 1b). Each training session was performed with two different decoders to control the robotic hand: a phantom decoder and a random decoder. Using the z-scored MEG sensor signals of the offline tasks to move the phantom hand, we constructed the phantom decoder to infer phantom hand movements at an arbitrary time in order to control the robotic hand in real time\(^{20}\). In contrast, the random decoder was constructed from the MEG signals of the same task with randomly relabelled movement types.

For training with either decoder, the patients were instructed to freely control the neuroprosthetic hand for grasping and releasing a ball by trying to move their phantom hands while watching the movement of the prosthetic hand in closed-loop conditions (Supplementary Movie 1 and Fig. 1a). The neuroprosthetic hand was controlled according to the movements inferred by a selected decoder with the z-scored MEG sensor signals obtained online. The patients performed the experiments twice with each decoder selected randomly for the crossover portion of the trial without knowing the type of the decoder.

After each experiment we asked the patients about their feelings during controlling the neuroprosthesis. Nine patients reported improvement of their control after training with the phantom decoder (Supplementary Table 2).

Effects of BMI training on cortical currents and pain. For each offline task, the F-values of the z-scored cortical currents at the execution cue were averaged across the 10 patients (Fig. 4a-c). After BMI training with the phantom decoder, the F-values increased in the contralateral sensorimotor cortex (Fig. 4a). Surprisingly, the pain scores also significantly increased from 38.2 ± 18.5 (mean ± 95% confidence interval) to 45.8 ± 18.4 in VAS (1/100; \(n = 10\), \(P = 0.0066\), uncorrected, \(t(9) = 3.51\), paired Student’s t-test), whereas the total scores of the SF-MPQ-2 did not significantly increase, changing from 20.4 ± 15.2 to 23.8 ± 17.1 (\(n = 10\), \(P = 0.086\), uncorrected, Wilcoxon signed-rank test).

On a different day, the same patients were trained with a random decoder. Using this decoder, the classification accuracies of the phantom movements before BMI training were not significantly different in any experiment (\(n = 10\) each, \(P = 0.75\), \(F(2, 27) = 0.29\), one-way ANOVA; Supplementary Fig. 3). Thus, in summary, the representation of the hand’s movement was preserved in the contralateral sensorimotor cortex, even for phantom movements.

Moreover, the classification accuracies of the phantom movements before BMI training were not significantly different in any experiment (\(n = 10\) each, \(P = 0.75\), \(F(2, 27) = 0.29\), one-way ANOVA; Supplementary Fig. 3). Thus, in summary, the representation of the hand’s movement was preserved in the contralateral sensorimotor cortex, even for phantom movements.

Figure 3 | Estimated cortical currents and the decoding of movements. (a) The mean z-scored cortical currents during grasping and opening of the phantom hand were colour-coded on a normalized brain surface at the time of movement onset (the side of the phantom hand is shown on the right, \(n = 10\)). (b) The mean F-values of ANOVA of the z-scored cortical currents between the two movements were colour-coded on the normalized brain surface (the side of the phantom hand is shown on the right, \(n = 10\)). (c) The mean z-scored cortical currents during grasping and opening of the real hand (\(n = 10\)). (d) The mean F-values of ANOVA of the z-scored cortical currents between the two movements of the real hand (\(n = 10\)). (e) The 126 vertices were selected in the sensorimotor cortex contralateral (red) and ipsilateral (blue) to the phantom hand. (f) The classification accuracy of movement types using the z-scored cortical currents on the sensorimotor cortex is shown with the 95% confidence interval for each hemisphere of each hand (\(n = 10\); black, real hand; red, phantom hand). The asterisks denote significant differences (*\(P < 0.05\), **\(P < 0.01\), Bonferroni-corrected, two-tailed Student’s t-test). The dashed, grey line indicates the accuracy by chance (50%).

hemispheres. Moreover, the accuracy of the real hand movement with the contralateral sensorimotor cortex was significantly higher than the accuracy of the phantom movement with the same hemisphere, ipsilateral to the phantom hand (\(n = 10\), \(P = 0.00017\), Bonferroni-corrected, \(t(18) = 4.72\), two-tailed Student’s t-test; Fig. 3f). This indicates the specificity of motor information represented in the sensorimotor cortex.

The classification accuracy using the cortical currents contralateral to the moved hand was not significantly different between the phantom hand and real hand (\(n = 10\), \(P = 0.37\), Bonferroni-corrected, \(t(18) = 0.93\), two-tailed Student’s t-test).
obtained while moving the intact hand. During training with the real hand decoder, the patients were similarly instructed to freely control the neuroprosthetic hand by trying to move their phantom hand, not the intact hand. Therefore, the patients intended to associate their phantom hand movements with the movements of the prosthetic hand, which was actually controlled by a decoder to classify the MEG signals based on the intact hand’s movement. As a result, the patients were expected to unknowingly associate the phantom movements with the cortical representation of the intact hand's movements, which were different from the cortical representation of the phantom movements in pre-BMI training. We expected that BMI training with the real hand decoder would accelerate the dissociation of the link between the phantom hand and the original cortical representation by creating a new link to the real hand. The association of the different neural representation might dissociate the prosthetic hand and the original neural representation of phantom movements even more so than the association of the randomly moved prosthetic hand and the neural representation.

Consistent with this prediction, after BMI training with the real hand decoder, the \( F \)-values of the phantom hand movements decreased for the sensorimotor cortex contralateral to the phantom hand (Fig. 4c). Moreover, the pain scores decreased significantly from 38.3 ± 15.5 (mean ± 95% confidence interval) to 34.6 ± 14.8 in VAS (1/100; \( n = 10 \), \( P = 0.029 \), uncorrected, \( t(9) = 2.60 \), paired Student’s \( t \)-test). Similarly, the total scores of the SF-MPQ2 significantly decreased from 26.0 ± 21.0 to 20.7 ± 16.3 (\( n = 10 \), \( P = 0.016 \), uncorrected, Wilcoxon signed-rank test). The \( F \)-values of the intact hand movements decreased for the sensorimotor cortex contralateral to the intact hand (Supplementary Fig. 4).

To statistically evaluate plastic changes of the discriminability in cortical currents, the differences in the \( F \)-values before and after training (post–pre) were compared among the training sessions (also see Supplementary Fig. 5 for the alteration in cortical currents). The increases in the \( F \)-values on the contralateral sensorimotor cortex were significantly larger for the phantom hand decoder than the random decoder (Fig. 4d). In contrast, the decreases in the \( F \)-values were significantly larger for the real hand decoder than the random decoder (Fig. 4e). Thus, the \( F \)-values on the contralateral sensorimotor cortex varied significantly after BMI training depending on the decoders.

According to their reports, patients noticed a difference in their ability to control the robotic hand. They attributed the ability to use the robotic hand to themselves; however, they were not aware of the experimental manipulations (Supplementary Table 2). Moreover, no patients reported any subjective feelings of being in control of the prosthetic hand as a part of their body.

**Pain and discriminability of cortical currents.** The above analyses showed that the increases in the pain VAS scores were significantly changed depending on the decoder type (\( n = 10 \) each, \( P = 0.0002 \), \( F(2, 27) = 11.5 \), one-way ANOVA; Fig. 5a). After training with the real hand decoder, the VAS scores decreased significantly compared with those of the random decoder and the phantom decoder (\( n = 10 \), \( P = 0.0025 \) and 0.0003, uncorrected, \( t(18) = 2.45 \) and 4.36, respectively, two-tailed Student’s \( t \)-test). In contrast, the VAS scores increased significantly after training with the phantom decoder compared with the random decoder (\( n = 10 \), \( P = 0.017 \), uncorrected, \( t(18) = 2.62 \), two-tailed Student’s \( t \)-test). Notably, these increased scores from the phantom decoder spontaneously returned to the previous state after more than 2 weeks and were not significantly different from the scores before the training (\( n = 10 \), \( P = 0.55 \), uncorrected, \( t(9) = 0.63 \), paired Student’s \( t \)-test).

The alteration in the \( F \)-value was evaluated with respect to pain. For all three experiments, the increase in the \( F \)-value after BMI training (\( \Delta F \)) was compared with the increase in the VAS scores (AVAS). The correlation coefficients between \( \Delta F \) and AVAS at each of the vertices were colour-coded on the normalized brain (\( n = 30 \), Pearson correlation coefficient; Fig. 5b). Interestingly, \( \Delta VAS \) was positively correlated with \( \Delta F \) on the sensorimotor cortex contralateral to the phantom hand. That is, pain increased as the discriminability in cortical currents representing phantom movements increased.

In contrast, the alteration in the mean cortical currents was not significantly correlated with the alteration in pain. The z-scored cortical currents were averaged among all trials of grasping and opening for each patient. The increase in the mean cortical currents after BMI training (\( \Delta \text{Current} \)) was compared with the increase in VAS scores (AVAS). No significant correlation was found between \( \Delta VAS \) and \( \Delta \text{Current} \) for the sensorimotor cortex (\( n = 30 \), Pearson’s correlation coefficient; Fig. 5c, also see Supplementary Fig. 6).
Among the three conditions using the currents contralateral to the phantom hand. The differences in accuracies varied significantly in the sensorimotor cortex contralateral and ipsilateral to the phantom hand. The Pearson correlation coefficient between ∆current and ∆VAS at each vertex was colour-coded on the normalized brain (n = 30). (c) The mean difference in accuracy using the currents for the sensorimotor cortex of the contralateral or ipsilateral cortex contralateral to the phantom hand did not significantly change among the three types of decoders (n = 10 each, P = 0.25, F(2, 27) = 1.45, one-way ANOVA; Fig. 6b). In addition, the accuracy in classifying the intact hand movements was not significantly changed after the BMI training with the real hand decoder for the cortical currents of the contralateral or ipsilateral sensorimotor cortex (n = 10, P = 0.05 for each, Bonferroni-corrected, two-tailed Student’s t-test; Supplementary Fig. 4).

Moreover, the total scores of the SF-MPQ2 varied significantly depending on the decoders (n = 10, P = 0.0077, F(2, 27) = 5.86, one-way ANOVA; Fig. 5d). These scores decreased significantly after training with the real hand decoder compared with the random decoder and phantom decoder (n = 10, P = 0.001 and 0.0034, uncorrected, respectively, Mann–Whitney U-test). However, the alterations of scores were not significantly different between the phantom decoder and random decoder (n = 10, P = 0.59, uncorrected, Mann–Whitney U-test). Among the four types of subscores in the SF-MPQ2, only the continuous pain scores varied significantly among the decoders (n = 10, continuous, P = 0.0033, F(2, 27) = 7.12; intermittent, P = 0.15, F(2, 27) = 2.07; neuropathic, P = 0.090, F(2, 27) = 2.63; affective, P = 0.064, F(2, 27) = 3.04, one-way ANOVA; Fig. 5e). This score decreased significantly after training with the real hand decoder compared with the random decoder and phantom decoder (n = 10, P = 0.001 and 0.045, uncorrected, respectively, Mann–Whitney U-test). The alterations of the continuous scores were not significantly different between the phantom decoder and random decoder (n = 10, P = 0.12, uncorrected, Mann–Whitney U-test).

BMI training altered decoding accuracy of phantom movements. In addition to the univariate analyses, we evaluated the alteration in the cortical currents using the decoding method (multivariate analysis). For all patients, we compared the accuracies for classifying the phantom movements using the estimated currents in the sensorimotor cortex contralateral and ipsilateral to the phantom hand. The differences in accuracies varied significantly among the three conditions using the currents contralateral to the phantom hand (n = 10 each, P = 0.00001, F(2, 27) = 17.52, one-way ANOVA; Fig. 6a). The accuracy decreased significantly after training with the real hand decoder compared with the

Pain changes with accuracy of classifying phantom movements. The changes in the VAS scores were significantly correlated with the changes in classification accuracy using the currents for the sensorimotor cortex contralateral to the phantom hand (n = 30, R = 0.66, P = 0.0001, Pearson’s correlation coefficient; Fig. 6c), but not with the changes in accuracy using the currents ipsilateral to the phantom hand (n = 30, R = 0.037, P = 0.76, Pearson’s correlation coefficient; Fig. 6d). In addition, the changes in the total SF-MPQ2 scores were significantly correlated with the changes in accuracy using the contralateral currents (n = 30, R = 0.51, P = 0.0044, Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient), but not with accuracy using ipsilateral currents (n = 30, R = −0.14, P = 0.47, Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient).
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Figure 6 | Alterations in classification accuracies among the three experiments were correlated with alterations in pain. (a,b) The accuracies for 

classifying two types of phantom movements were evaluated using the currents on the motor cortex contralateral (a) and ipsilateral (b) to the phantom 

hand. Each bar shows the average difference in the accuracy with 95% confidence intervals for each experiment. The asterisks denote significant 
differences (n = 10, **P < 0.01, Bonferroni-corrected, two-tailed Student’s t-test). (c,d) The increase in VAS scores was significantly correlated with the 
increase in the accuracy for classifying the phantom movement types using the z-scored cortical currents on the sensorimotor cortex contralateral to the 

phantom hand (n = 30; c), although the increase in VAS scores was not correlated with that for the cortex ipsilateral to the phantom hand (d).
Other potential aspects of BMI neuroprosthetic control, such as a nonspecific training effect or emotional pain modulation by perceived task difficulty\textsuperscript{3,32}, are not likely confounders because these were broadly equivalent across task conditions (Supplementary Table 2). In addition, although mismatches between expected and actual movements of the robotic hand were different among task conditions, the mismatches did not explain the alterations among the task conditions. The random decoder should be the most difficult and cause the largest mismatches; however, this did not change pain. Therefore, our results strongly suggest that the induced plasticity on the phantom limb representation could be the cause of the alteration in pain. It should be noted, however, that the training with the real hand decoder was performed as the last experiment. One limitation of this study is that the order of three experiments was not counterbalanced.

The estimation of cortical currents from the MEG signals and the decoding method applied to the estimated cortical currents revealed that the information about hand movements was evaluated differentially in both hemispheres. The information of the phantom hand was significantly lateralized to the sensorimotor cortex contralateral to the affected hand. In addition, the alteration of information due to the BMI training was significant only in the contralateral hemisphere. Moreover, for the same contralateral hemisphere, the information of the hand movements was significantly different among the phantom hand and intact hand. The information of intact hand movement was not significantly different after training with the real hand decoder. These results strongly suggest that the BMI training in this study altered the information of the phantom hand movement in the contralateral hemisphere significantly enough to change the pain. However, a recent study suggested that the ipsilateral hemisphere may affect the pain\textsuperscript{33}. In addition, the real hand decoder might affect the contralateral hemisphere through some plastic changes in the ipsilateral hemisphere. Thus, further investigation is necessary to reveal the relationship between both hemispheres to control the pain.

Researchers have proposed an incongruence hypothesis of pain\textsuperscript{34} based on prediction errors between actual and predicted somatosensory feedback estimated by an internal model in the brain\textsuperscript{35–37}. A mismatch between the actual experience and the predicted experience might be a causative component of chronic pain\textsuperscript{38}. This hypothesis was proposed as a mechanism for the noted success of mirror therapy to reduce pain (that is, by restoring consistency between motor intention and visual input).

In our experiment, BMI training with a phantom decoder might have increased pain by enhancing the cortical representation that was associated with the internal model generating inconsistency in sensorimotor functions. However, BMI training with the real hand decoder might have dissociated the intention to move the phantom hand and the cortical representation, resulting in deteriorated information of phantom representation and reducing pain.

Our results show that BMI training may be useful as a novel interventional tool to study functional anatomy in the brain. It induces functionally specific plastic changes in the targeted cortex based on the information represented in the activity. Moreover, this method can be easily applied to patients in non-invasive, randomized, and blinded studies. These features distinguish this method from other non-invasive interventional tools, such as transcranial direct current stimulation\textsuperscript{39} and transcranial magnetic stimulation\textsuperscript{40}. Although these modalities change cortical activity and excitability to relieve pain\textsuperscript{41}, it is difficult to control the information represented in the patterns of cortical activity. Therefore, if the information of the phantom hand is the cause of the phantom limb pain, then the simple application of the transcranial direct current stimulation and transcranial magnetic stimulation might not be enough for full recovery. However, cortical stimulations have been demonstrated to modulate cortical activities to relieve pain\textsuperscript{41}. With this in mind, it is potentially interesting to combine cortical stimulation and online neural decoding\textsuperscript{42}. The novel neurofeedback of cortical stimulation based on the neural information might reveal the cause of phantom limb pain and allow a full recovery.

BMI neurofeedback might be a potential novel therapy for phantom limb pain. A previous study showed that the effective rate of mirror therapy was limited\textsuperscript{43}. Five patients in this study had some experience with mirror therapy (Table 1), but the treatment was effective for only one patient during the limited period. However, we observed that appropriate BMI training reduced pain even in these patients (Supplementary Table 3), suggesting that it could be an alternative to mirror therapy. Moreover, some patients in this study had also undergone lesioning of the dorsal root entry zone (DREZotomy)\textsuperscript{44} and reported residual pain after surgery. The BMI training significantly reduced the scores for continuous pain in the residual limb. By combining decoding\textsuperscript{45} and neurofeedback, BMI could be applied to other chronic pain conditions.

In addition, the results clearly indicate that we should consider pain as a potential complication when using BMIs for paralysed patients. That is, we must consider the fact that true decoding that increases pain creates a problem for those patients using BMI-controlled robotic prostheses. One possible solution, according to the incongruence hypothesis, would be BMI training accompanied by sensory feedback (for example, artificial–real nerve coupling\textsuperscript{46}), which might mitigate pain by providing an intact sensorimotor loop.

In summary, neurofeedback training using MEG-based BMI provides a novel method to directly change the information content of motor representations by induced plasticity in the sensorimotor cortex. Here we showed that BMI training to enhance phantom limb representation was associated with increased pain, and that BMI training to deteriorate the representation reduced pain. This suggests a direct and causative link between sensorimotor cortical plasticity and pain in phantom limb patients, and that BMI training may be a novel and clinically useful treatment.

Methods

Subjects. Nine brachial plexus root avulsion (BPRA) patients and one amputee (all males; mean age, 51.7 years; range, 38–60 years) all of whom had pain in their phantom limb participated in this study (Table 1 and Supplementary Table 1). We had 12 patients with pain in their phantom limb at the Department of Neurosurgery at Osaka University Hospital from January 2012 to July 2015. Among these patients, we selected 10 who met all of the following inclusion criteria: (1) pain and phantom sensation in the upper limb; (2) no hand or no sensation in the residual hand; (3) severe paresis with manual muscle testing score 0–1; and (4) normal comprehension and intellectual capacity according to the Japanese Adult Reading Test\textsuperscript{25}. The total number of patients was chosen to be comparable to that of previous study and was based on our preliminary results for healthy controls trained by the same BMI prosthesis\textsuperscript{6,10}. Notably, 9 of the 10 were the same patients who also took part in our previous study\textsuperscript{23}. The study adhered to the Declaration of Helsinki and was performed in accordance with protocols approved by the Ethics Committee of Osaka University Clinical Trial Center (no. 12107, UMIN000010180). All patients were informed of the purpose and possible consequences of this study, and written informed consent was obtained. In figures, the photo of the patient was used with the patient’s permission for publication.

Our patient group included one amputee and nine BPRA patients with complete avulsion of roots from C5 to Th2. According to Flor et al.,\textsuperscript{3} phantom limb pain belongs to a group of neuropathic pain syndromes that is characterized by pain in the amputated limb or pain that follows partial or complete deafferentation. Although the amputation and the BPRA were largely different regarding the existence of the residual arms, we included them as phantom limb pain when the patient met all the aforementioned criteria. Notably, the affected hands of all the BPRA patients had no sensation and were plagic because of the complete avulsion of their roots (as definitively confirmed through magnetic resonance imaging...
(MRI) and computed tomography with myelogram). Although some of them were able to slightly contract the muscles in their upper arms because of intercostal nerve transplantation, they were not aware of the contraction without observing the arm. Moreover, without observing the physical hand, they complained of the existence of their hand, which they felt was slightly movable in their mind, and suffered from intractable pain in the insensible hand. These properties are the same as those described for the phantom limb due to amputation. However, it should be also noted that the pain after BPRA may not be precisely the same as the phantom limb pain after amputation. Pain after BPRA is caused by several factors, as is the pain after amputation.27 In particular, the paroxysmal pain after BPRA is limb pain after amputation. Pain after BPRA is caused by several factors, as is the phantom limb pain. We hypothesized that a part of the pain in the insensible and plegic hand of BPRA patient originated from the same mechanism causing a part of the pain in the amputee, which was affected by the cortical plasticity in the sensorimotor cortex representing the phantom hand movements. To characterize the properties of the pain, we analysed the subscres of SF-MPQ2.

MEG recording. For the MEG recording, subjects were in the supine position with the head centered in the gantry. A projection screen in front of the face presented visual stimuli using a visual stimulus presentation system (Presentation; Neurobehavioral Systems, Albany, CA, USA) and a liquid crystal projector (LVP-HC6800; Mitsubishi Electric, Tokyo, Japan; Fig. 1a). MEG signals were measured by a 160-channel whole-head MEG equipped with coaxial-type gradiometers housed in a magnetically shielded room (MEGvision NEO; Yokogawa Electric Corporation, Kanazawa, Japan).

The MEG signals were sampled at 1,000 Hz with an online low-pass filter at 200 Hz and acquired online by FPGA DAQ boards (PXI-7854R; National Instruments, Austin, TX, USA) after passing through an optical isolation circuit. The signals from the 84 selected channels were used for offline analysis and online control of the prosthesis. Subjects were instructed not to move their head to avoid motion artifacts. A cushion was placed under the intact elbows to reduce motion artefacts.

Five head marker coils were attached to the subject’s face before beginning the MEG recording to provide the position and orientation of MEG sensors relative to the head. The positions of the five marker coils were measured to evaluate the differences in the head position before and after each MEG recording. The maximum acceptable difference was 5 mm.

We also recorded electromyograms of the face and forearm to monitor muscle activities (Supplementary Fig. 1). Subjects were monitored by two video cameras to confirm their arousal.

Experimental design. All patients participated in a crossover trial consisting of three experiments on different days. Each experiment consisted of three tasks: offline task (pre-BMI), BMI training and offline task (post-BMI; Fig. 1b). In the offline task, the patients attempted to move their phantom hands or their intact hands (grasping and opening) at the presented times16 while the MEG signals of selected channels were recorded. The acquired MEG signals were used to construct the decoder to control the robotic hand. Then, the subjects were instructed to control the prosthetic hand in real time using the trained decoder.

The experiment was performed three times with different decoders. Each experiment was performed after more than 2 weeks had passed since the previous experiment. For the experiments with the phantom decoder and random decoder, the order of the experiments was randomly assigned to the patients to balance group sizes. The experimenter was not blinded to the group allocation. After two experiments, the experiment with the real hand decoder was performed; that is, the real hand decoder experiment was always performed last. At first, we designed the experiments to compare the phantom decoder and random decoder according to the naive hypothesis. The third experiment with the real hand decoder was added to decrease the possible confounding factors. We expected this design study for ethical considerations of not increasing the patient’s pain.

At the time of enrolment in this trial, we instructed the patient to use their brain activity to control the robotic hand; however, they were not informed of changes in the decoders throughout the experiments.

Offline task. In the offline task, the patients were instructed to attempt to move their phantom hand or to move their intact hand (Fig. 2b). The patients were visually instructed regarding the movement type to perform with the Japanese word for ‘grasp’ or ‘open’. After the movement-type instruction, four execution cues were given to the subject every 5.5 s. The execution cue was given both visually and aurally, and was presented 40 times for each movement type. The order of the requested movement type was random. Before the phantom hand task, we instructed the patients to attempt to slightly move the phantom hand once at the cued time, without moving other parts of their bodies. The subjective confidence in moving the phantom hand was different among patients (Supplementary Table 1). Although the speed with which the phantom hand was moved differs among patients27, all patients in this study were able to grasp and open the phantom hand slightly within 2.3 s, which was sufficient to complete the instructed task before the cue for the next trial.

BMI training. During BMI training, a monitor in front of each subject showed a picture of the prosthesis hand in real time for visual feedback (Fig. 1a). Patients were instructed to control the prosthetic hand freely for 10 min to improve their ability to control it by intending to grasp or open the phantom hand. At the beginning of the training, the experimenter changed the threshold to detect the onset. Because the optimal threshold estimated from the offline task was sometimes lower than the estimated values of the onset detector during resting in the online task, we changed the threshold values to not detect the onset during the resting state in the online task, although the other parameters estimated from the offline task were not changed.23 The selected parameters were fixed for the 10 min of training.

Decoder to control the prosthetic hand. MATLAB R2013a (Mathworks, Natick, MA, USA) was adopted to calculate the decoding parameters and for online prosthetic hand control. MEG signals from the 84 selected channels and the EEG signals in the offline session were averaged in a 500-ms time window and converted to the z-score using the mean and s.d. estimated from the initial 50 s of data during the offline session. The time-averaged MEG signals were calculated for the period from −2,000 to 1,000 ms, at 100-ms intervals according to the execution cue. The z-scored signals in the offline session were used to train the online decoder, which consisted of an onset detector and class decoder to control the prosthetic hand online in the following BMI training session. The class decoder was trained at the peak classification accuracy of the offline task. The onset detector was trained using the time-averaged signals of 500-ms windows slid by 100 ms from −500 to 1,000 ms, with respect to the timing of the instruction to move. The details of the construction of the decoder are available in our previous report96. Here we constructed three types of online decoders depending on the data used to train the decoder. The phantom decoder was trained by the MEG signals of the offline task to move the phantom hand. The random decoder was trained by the MEG signals with the same offline task and random labels of movement types. The real hand decoder was trained by the MEG signals of the offline task to move the intact hand.

Classification of movement types in the offline task. Classification accuracy of the movement type was estimated by 10-fold nested cross-validation, which was adopted so that hyperparameters for the SVM and time window were always selected independently from the testing data set (also see our previous report96).

To optimize the hyperparameters and the time window, training data sets were classified by 10-fold cross-validation 10 times, and the parameters with the highest average classification accuracy of the repeated cross-validations were selected. The classification accuracy was calculated from the classification result of each testing data set, which was tested by the decoder trained with the optimized hyperparameters and time window. All decoding analyses were performed with MATLAB R2013a using radial basis function kernel SVM.

Cortical current estimation by VBMEG. A polygonal model of the cortical surface was constructed based on structural MRI (T1-weighted; Signa HDt Excite 3.0 T; GE Healthcare UK Ltd, Buckinghamshire, UK) using the Freesurfer software (Martinos Center Software)96. To align MEG data with individual MRI data, we scanned the three-dimensional facial surface and 20 points on the scalp of each participant (FastSCAN Cobra; Polhemus, Colchester, VT, USA).

Three-dimensional facial surface data were superimposed on the anatomical facial surface provided by the MRI data. The positions of five marker coils before each recording were used to estimate cortical current with VBMEG. VBMEG is a free software for estimating cortical currents from MEG data (ATR Neural Information Analysis Laboratories, Kyoto, Japan)35,52. VBMEG estimated 4,004 single-current dipoles that were equidistantly distributed on and perpendicular to the cortical surface. An inverse filter was calculated to estimate the cortical current of each dipole from the selected MEG sensor signals. The hyperparameters m0 and √0 were set to 100 and 10, respectively. The inverse filter was estimated by using MEG signals in all trials from 0 to 1 s in the online task, with the baseline of the current variance estimated from the signals from −1.5 to −0.5 s. The filter was then applied to sensor signals in each trial to calculate cortical currents.
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