The effect of probiotic administration on metabolomics and glucose metabolism in CF patients
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Abstract

**Background and objectives:** Cystic fibrosis (CF)-related diabetes (CFRD) affects 50% of CF adults. Gut microbial imbalance (dysbiosis) aggravates their inflammatory response and contributes to insulin resistance (IR). We hypothesized that probiotics may improve glucose tolerance by correcting dysbiosis.

**Methods:** A single-center prospective pilot study assessing the effect of Vivomixx® probiotic (450 billion/sachet) on clinical status, spirometry, lung clearance index (LCI), and quality of life (QOL) questionnaires; inflammatory parameters (urine and stool metabolomics, blood cytokines); and glucose metabolism (oral glucose tolerance test [OGTT]), continuous glucose monitoring [CGM], and homeostasis model assessment of IR (HOMA-IR) in CF patients.

**Results:** Twenty-three CF patients (six CFRD), mean age 17.7 ± 8.2 years. After 4 months of probiotic administration, urinary cysteine (p = 0.018), lactulose (p = 0.028), arabinose (p = 0.036), mannitol (p = 0.041), and indole 3-lactate (p = 0.046) significantly increased, while 3-methylhistidine (p = 0.046) and N-acetyl glutamine (p = 0.047) decreased. Stool 2-Hydroxyisobutyrate (p = 0.022) and 3-methyl-2-oxovalerate (p = 0.034) decreased. Principal component analysis, based on urine metabolites, found significant partitions between subjects at the end of treatment compared to baseline (p = 0.004). After 2 months of probiotics, the digestive symptoms domain of Cystic Fibrosis Questionnaire-Revised improved (p = 0.007). In the nondiabetic patients, a slight decrease in HOMA-IR, from 2.28 to 1.86, was observed. There was no significant change in spirometry results, LCI, blood cytokines and CGM.

**Conclusions:** Changes in urine and stool metabolic profiles, following the administration of probiotics, may suggest a positive effect on glucose metabolism in CF. Larger long-term studies are needed to confirm our findings.
the interplay between dysbiosis, inflammation, and glucose metabolism may help preventing CFRD.
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1  |  INTRODUCTION

The most common endocrine complication in cystic fibrosis (CF) is CF-related diabetes (CFRD). By the fourth decade of life, 50% of patients are affected, and another 35% have impaired glucose tolerance (IGT). CFRD is a specific form of diabetes, with some characteristics resembling type 1 (e.g., occurrence at a young age) and type 2 diabetes (e.g., preceded by a long period of glucose intolerance). The central cause is pancreatic endocrine dysfunction with reduced insulin secretion due to beta-cell destruction, but insulin resistance (IR) also contributes to the pathogenesis.

The Cystic Fibrosis Foundation (CFF) and the American Diabetes Association (ADA) recommend to perform annual diabetes screening, starting at the age 10 years, with an oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT). Continuous glucose monitoring (CGM) measures subcutaneous interstitial glucose levels every 5–10 min, and reflects physiologic real-life glucose fluctuations.

Disease-associated microbiome alterations are often referred to as a “dysbiosis,” the imbalance due to deficiency of beneficial function, or the presence of a detrimental microbial activity. CF is associated with profound dysbiosis, both pulmonary and intestinal, from a very young age. Prolonged antibiotic treatment may further disturb the microbial balance, and may contribute to pulmonary deterioration, as well as to IR.

The World Health Organization defines probiotics as “live microorganisms which, when administered in adequate amounts, confer a health benefit on the host.” In CF, studies have suggested that probiotics may help in restoring intestinal microbial balance. Probiotics may influence the “gut–lung axis,” a microbial link between the respiratory and gastrointestinal tracts; it involves the transfer of metabolites and immunomodulatory signals between the gut and lungs.

A few studies have assessed probiotics administration for the prevention and treatment of type 2 diabetes, all in non-CF patients. Kassaian et al. demonstrated beneficial effect on the glycemic control of prediabetic adults. Promising results on glycemic control were also demonstrated by Naito et al. in obese, prediabetic adults.

Vivomixx® is a high potency probiotic food supplement containing eight strains of live bacteria in concentrations of 450 billion per sachet. In a small pilot study of prediabetic adolescents, Vivomixx® supplementation resulted in lower fasting blood glucose concentrations after the first month compared to the control group. After 4 months, the glycemic control was similar in the two groups. This was attributed to suboptimal compliance with probiotics and the small sample size. However, intestinal bacteriome analysis after 4 months revealed a statistically significant decrease in bacterial lipopolysaccharides levels, which may lead to increased insulin sensitivity.

The metabolome is the ensemble of the low weight metabolites of urine and feces; it has been found to be greatly informative about diseases involving inflammatory processes. Moreover, the concentration of specific molecules may be influenced by alterations of gut microbiota related to inflammation.

Taken together, dysbiosis in CF may increase inflammation, which may induce IR and affect clinical status. We aimed to assess the effect of Vivomixx® administration on clinical outcomes, metabolomics, inflammatory parameters, and glucose metabolism in CF patients.

2  |  METHODS

This was a prospective, single-center pilot study evaluating the effect of a probiotic formulation (Vivomixx®) administration on glucose metabolism and clinical outcome in CF patients. The study was reviewed and approved by the institutional board, and we obtained informed consent from subjects or their legal guardians before recruitment. The study population included patients with CF aged >8 years, who are treated at our tertiary center. Patients who had symptoms consistent with a pulmonary exacerbation during the visit or the preceding week were excluded.

2.1  |  Study intervention and evaluations

For 4 months, the patients received daily the probiotic product 450 billion/sachet (Vivomixx® in the European Union, Visbiome in the US; De Simone Formulation in Korea). The preparation contains eight live freeze-dried bacterial species (four strains of lactobacilli [Lactobacillus paracasei DSM24733®/NCIMB 30439, Lactobacillus plantarum DSM24730®/NCIMB 30437, Lactobacillus acidophilus DSM24735®/NCIMB 30442, and Lactobacillus helveticus DSM24734®/NCIMB 30440]; three strains of bifidobacteria [Bifidobacterium animals subsp.]}
lactis DSM24736®/NCIMB 30435, B. animalis subsp.lactis DSM24737®/NCIMB 30436, and Bifidobacterium breve DSM24732®/NCIMB 30441 and the Streptococcus salivarius subsp. thermophilus DSM24731®/NCIMB 30438). The patient’s age defined the dosage: <12 years to one sachet/day; 12–18 years to two sachets/day; and >18 years to two sachets twice daily.

The patients underwent evaluations at baseline and after 4 months of probiotic administration:

Clinical and demographics variables were extracted from patients’ files, including age, gender, pancreatic status, and CFRD.

Spirometry was performed in accordance with the American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society (ATS/ERS) Task Force, using a KoKo spirometer (n-Spire Healthcare, Inc.). Results are expressed as absolute values and percent predicted (mean ± SD) derived from Polgar and Quanjer.15

Lung clearance index (LCI): Multiple breath washout (MBW) measurements were performed using the Easy-One Pro, MBW Module (NDD Medical Technologies), as first described by Fuchs et al. in 2008.16 LCI is the number of functional residual capacity (FRC) turnovers required to washout the nitrogen, and was calculated as the total expired volume during the washout phase divided by the FRC.17 An increased LCI (>7) indicates more FRC turnovers required for the washout, reflecting inhomogeneous ventilation.18,19

Quality of life (QOL) questionnaire: The Cystic Fibrosis Questionnaire-Revised (CFQ-R) is a validated disease-specific instrument designed to measure the impact on overall health, daily life, perceived well-being, and symptoms. The questionnaire includes nine QoL domains (physical, role/school, vitality, emotion, social, body image, eating, treatment burden, health perceptions) and three symptom scales (weight, respiratory, and digestion). Each item is summed to generate a domain score and standardized. Scores range from 0 to 100, with higher scores indicating better health.20

Spirometry, LCI, and QOL were also evaluated after 2 months of probiotic administration.

Metabolomic analysis: Before and after treatment, urine and stool samples were collected for metabolomics analysis. Among the platforms employed for investigations on the fecal and urinary metabolome, proton nuclear magnetic resonance (1H-NMR) has been found to grant high reproducibility and minimal sample preparation.21 Analysis was performed starting from 350 µl of urine added to 350 µl of water and to 200 µl of a D2O solution of 3-(trimethylsilyl)-propionic-2,2,3,3-d4 acid sodium salt (TSP) 10 mM set to pH 7.0 using a 1 M phosphate buffer. The signals were assigned by comparing their multiplicity and chemical shift with Chenomx software data bank (ver 8.3, Chenomx Inc.). Water-soluble molecules were extracted from 80 mg of feces by vortex mixing with 1 ml of water followed by centrifugation. The supernatant (700 µl) was subjected to metabolomics analysis as described above for urine.

Inflammatory cytokines: Venous blood was collected, processed, and stored at −80°C until use. IL-6, TNF-α, and α1AT were measured by commercial kits as follows: LEGEND MAX™ human IL-6 enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kit (BLG-430507), LEGEND MAX™ human TNF-α ELISA Kit (BLG-430207), and human alpha 1-antitrypsin ELISA kit (E-80A1T), respectively.

Evaluation of glucose metabolism: OGTT, with measurement of insulin and C-peptide levels, was performed before the initiation of probiotics and at the end of treatment; for the nontype II diabetes patients, HOMA-IR index was calculated from OGTT values (fasting insulin (pmol/L)/6 × fasting glucose(mg/dl)/405); CGM was performed using FreeStyle Libre sensors (Abbott Ltd.) for 2 weeks—before the initiation of probiotic supplementation and during the last 2 weeks of treatment.

2.2 Statistical methods

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 25. Descriptive statistics were used for the demographic and clinical variables. Results are expressed as absolute values, mean ± SD or median and interquartile range (IQR), as appropriate.

The primary outcome was metabolomics before and after probiotics. Secondary outcomes were parameters of glucose metabolism (CGM, OGTT, and HOMA-IR), pulmonary function tests (spirometry and LCI), inflammatory cytokines, and QoL (CFO-R).

The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to assess the normality of the quantitative parameters; parametric and nonparametric tests were used as appropriate. For metabolomics, both univariate and multivariate statistical approaches were used. The univariate Wilcoxon signed-rank test and the paired t-test were performed to compare differences before and after probiotics, for the not-normally and normally distributed continuous variables, respectively. The multivariate principal component analysis (PCA) was performed as ordination method to confirm the potential of metabolites to discriminate between the groups. The presence of statistically significant partitions between groups was evaluated by applying the analysis of similarities (ANOSIM) with 1000 permutations on the matrix of Euclidean distances between samples. Where necessary, calculated p value was adjusted by using the Benjamini–Hochberg procedure to take account of multiple comparisons.

In each case, a p ≤ 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3 RESULTS

Twenty-three CF patients (11 males, 48%), mean age 17.65 ± 8.2 years, participated in the study. Baseline patient characteristics are presented in Table 1. As can be seen, forced expiratory volume in 1 s was mildly reduced (mean 69.7 ± 18.5% predicted). Six patients (26%) had CFRD, treated with insulin. Patients with CFRD had lower FEF 2.5%–75% (30 ± 14.99 vs. 58.94 ± 29.91, p = 0.035) and higher LCI (16.14 ± 4.06 vs. 11.56 ± 3.1, p = 0.013). As expected, patients with CFRD also had higher hemoglobin A1C levels. For the nontype II diabetes patients (n = 17), the median HOMA-IR at baseline was 2.28 (IQR range 1.29–2.74). Cytokine levels before and after probiotic were available for the whole group. The levels of α-1-antitrypsin
(α1AT), interleukin-6 (IL-6), and tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α) did not change following the administration of probiotics (62.33 ± 143.45 vs. 66.56 ± 197.17, p = 0.57; 29.94 ± 25.95 vs. 26.94 ± 24.21, p = 0.33; and 3.36 ± 0.46 vs. 3.88 ± 5.41, p = 1.00, respectively).

No significant side effects, such as GI intolerance, were found. Some patients complained about the taste and were re instructed about the correct administration of the probiotics (mixture of the powder with cold food, yogurt, or ice cream). For 16 patients, urine samples were available at both the beginning and the end of probiotic administration. Figure 1 presents the significant results of urine metabolites. After 4 months of probiotic administration, there was a significant increase in urinary levels of cysteine (p = 0.018), lactulose (p = 0.028), arabinose (p = 0.036), mannitol (p = 0.041), and indole 3-lactate (p = 0.046), while a significant decrease was observed in 3-methylhistidine (p = 0.046) and N-acetyl glutamine (p = 0.047). Furthermore, significant decreases in stool levels of 2-hydroxyisobutyrate (p = 0.022) and 3-methyl-2-oxovalerate (p = 0.034) were found at the end of the 4 months of probiotic administration. The multivariate PCA analysis was based on the metabolic compounds that significantly changed at the end of the probiotic therapy. The urinary profile of specific metabolites was effective in determining a significant separation between groups of subjects, before and after the administration of Vivomixx® (ANOSIM R: 0.187, p = 0.033; nondiabetic before vs after, ANOSIM R: 0.101 p = 0.030).

Seven (30.4%) of our patients were treated with CFTR modulators at the time of probiotics administration. Of them, urine metabolites were available for four. When stratifying the population of samples according to the administration of CFTR modulators, no statistically significant differences nor partition were observed at both time points. In the multivariate PCA analysis, significant partitions before and after probiotic administration were found only in subjects not taking CFTR modulators (ANOSIM R: 0.101 p = 0.030).

Paired stool samples were available for seven patients. Figure 3 presents the significant results. The metabolomics analysis of stool samples found a significant decrease in the concentration of 2-hydroxyisobutyrate (p = 0.022) and 3-methyl-2-oxovalerate (p = 0.034) compared to baseline.

After 2 months of probiotic therapy, the digestive symptoms domain of CFQ-R improved (78.74 ± 21.95 at baseline vs. 85.51 ± 18.78 after 2 months, p = 0.007); while at the end of the 4 months of probiotic administration, the score returned to baseline values (78.26 ± 22.09). Additionally, there was a slight decrease in HOMA-IR in the nondiabetic patients at the end of the study, from a median of 2.42 to 1.86 (p = 0.86). Table 2 presents the OGTT results before and after probiotics. As can be seen, no significant differences were found in glucose, insulin, and C-peptide levels at the different time points. In the CGM measurements, there was no significant change in mean glucose levels (93.58 ± 16.91 vs. 98.16 ± 24.67, p = 0.48) or number of events with glucose >200 mg/dl (6.29 ± 3.15 vs. 4.71 ± 4.309, p = 0.15). Similarly, there was no significant change in spirometry results, LCI, and other domains of QOL.

| TABLE 1 Baseline patient characteristics |
|----------------------------------------|
| Total (n = 23) | CFRD (n = 6) | Nondiabetic (n = 17) | p value |
|---------------|-------------|----------------------|---------|
| Age (years)   | 17.65 ± 8.2 | 23.1 ± 10.99         | 16.2 ± 5.8 | 0.16 |
| Male (%)      | 11 (48%)    | 3 (50%)              | 8 (47%)  | 1.00 |
| PI            | 15 (65%)    | 4 (66.7%)            | 11 (64/7%) | 0.37 |
| BMI (kg/m²)   | 19.6 ± 3.4  | 19.3 ± 3.98          | 19.7 ± 3.3 | 0.83 |
| FVC (%)       | 85.3 ± 14.02| 81.33 ± 12.2         | 85.3 ± 14.02 | 0.43 |
| FEV₁ (%)      | 69.7 ± 18.5 | 58.3 ± 17.6          | 73.7 ± 17.6 | 0.08 |
| FEF25–75 (%)  | 51.4 ± 29.5 | 30 ± 14.99           | 58.9 ± 29.9 | 0.035 |
| LCI           | 12.6 ± 3.8  | 16.1 ± 4.1           | 11.6 ± 3.1 | 0.013 |
| HbA1C         | 5.61 ± 0.59 | 6.2 ± 0.6            | 5.4 ± 0.5  | 0.003 |
| HOMA-IR*      |             |                      | 2.28 (1.29–2.74) |

Note: Values are presented as mean ± SD.
Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; CFRD, cystic fibrosis-related diabetes; FEF25–75, forced expiratory flow between 25% and 75% of FVC; FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FVC, forced vital capacity; HbA1C, hemoglobin A1C; HOMA-IR, Homeostatic Model Assessment for Insulin Resistance; IQR, interquartile range; LCI, lung clearance index; PI, pancreatic insufficient.

*Median (IQR).
FIGURE 1  Box and Whisker plots showing levels of urinary metabolites before and after probiotic therapy (only compounds with significant changes are presented, with $p$ values). [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

FIGURE 2  Principal component analysis plot—multivariate analysis of urinary metabolomics. For each group, the 95% confidence interval was drawn. Numbers in parenthesis represent the percentage of the total variance explained by the principal components. [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
In this single-center prospective pilot study, probiotic administration in CF patients resulted in significant changes in stool and urine metabolomics. We also evaluated the effect of probiotics on clinical, inflammatory parameters and glucose metabolism, and found a slight, nonstatistically significant decrease in the HOMA-IR index.

As mentioned previously, CFRD is one of the most common complications in CF and shares characteristics of type 1 and type 2 diabetes. The central cause is pancreatic endocrine dysfunction with reduced insulin secretion due to beta-cell destruction, but IR also contributes to the pathogenesis. A phase of glucose intolerance with periods of repeated postprandial hyperglycemia, accompanied by worsening pulmonary status and nutritional decline, commonly precedes CFRD.1,2

Microbial dysbiosis in CF enhances the inflammatory state, which in turn contributes to IR. Treatment with periodic acute courses of antimicrobials, as well as chronic therapy with antibiotics to treat airway infections, facilitate dysbiosis. Even when administered by inhalation, significant amounts of antibiotics are ingested and thus also affect the GI tract.22 A systematic review found a reduction in pulmonary exacerbations in CF patients receiving probiotics. There was an improvement in subjective GI symptoms, without significant differences in fat absorption.6 Probiotic supplementation did not change changes fecal calprotectin, clinical status, or microbiome profile, in a pilot study from the ESPGHAN Working Group. However, they found normalization of gut permeability in 13% of patients.23 Notably, our study showed a transient improvement in the digestive symptom domain in CFQ-R after 2 months of probiotic therapy, with return to baseline values at the end of the treatment period. This may be attributed to better compliance at the beginning of the study, but further conclusions cannot be made. Interestingly, the digestive symptom domain improved by 6.77 points. In a study examining the effect of pulmozyme on QOL, a year of treatment resulted in a significant improvement in several CFQ-R domains, with the improvement of 5.5 points in caregivers’ CFQ-R digestive symptoms.24 It should be noted that four patients required antibiotic therapy for pulmonary exacerbations during the study period; as mentioned earlier, antibiotics have been shown to have a detrimental effect on the gut microbiota.25

Several studies examined the ability of Vivomixx® to restore the normal microbiome. In dogs with hemorrhagic diarrhea, Vivomixx® accelerated the normalization of the intestinal microbiome. Bacteria belonging to the Blautia and Faecalibacterium genera increased, and Clostridium decreased.26 The ESPEN guidelines recommended using Vivomixx® in patients with mild to moderate ulcerative colitis (UC), confirming its efficacy in protecting the gut barrier and normalizing gut flora.27 Vivomixx® was found to decrease the gut microbial Firmicutes to Bacteroidetes (F/B) ratio (representing dysbiosis

---

**TABLE 2** OGTT results before and after probiotic administration.

| Glucose (mg/dl) | p value | Insulin (pmol/L) | p value | C-peptide (pmol/L) | p value |
|----------------|---------|-----------------|---------|-------------------|---------|
| 0' before      | 87.76 ± 13.4 | 0.14 | 58.96 ± 34.53 | 0.084 | 571.89 ± 330.32 | 0.55 |
| 0' after       | 92.48 ± 10.72 | 45.5 ± 30.66 | 498.04 ± 208.31 |
| 30' before     | 156.52 ± 32.63 | 0.11 | 323.81 ± 349.15 | 1.00 | 1751.05 ± 1200.72 | 0.58 |
| 30' after      | 168.86 ± 46.73 | 368.2 ± 597.58 | 1515.98 ± 782.77 |
| 60' before     | 152.29 ± 47.49 | 0.29 | 395.03 ± 393.77 | 0.22 | 2121.17 ± 1165.25 | 0.88 |
| 60' after      | 248.43 ± 378.05 | 257.99 ± 191.75 | 2004.38 ± 1006.1 |
| 90' before     | 142.9 ± 61.86 | 0.61 | 282.40 ± 261.29 | 0.15 | 2147.71 ± 1085.06 | 0.6 |
| 90' after      | 142.95 ± 64.29 | 230.73 ± 174.19 | 1993.66 ± 973.84 |
| 120' before    | 119.00 ± 53.76 | 0.35 | 232.56 ± 167.11 | 0.22 | 1897.07 ± 925.64 | 0.58 |
| 120' after     | 126.33 ± 69.83 | 191.25 ± 190.99 | 1761.22 ± 927.09 |

Abbreviations: OGTT, oral glucose tolerance test; ′, minutes.

---

**FIGURE 3** Box and Whisker plots showing levels of stool metabolites before and after probiotic therapy (only compounds with significant changes are presented, with p values). [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
markers) in obese adolescents and as well as in mice. In CF patients, decreased levels of Bacteroidetes and Firmicutes were found compared to healthy controls, while reduced microbial richness correlated with intestinal inflammation. Postprobiotics, there was a significant increase in the proportion of Bacteroidetes (3.6%–16.9%) and Firmicutes (18.0%–38.2%). We are not aware of studies assessing the effect of probiotics on glycemic control in CF.

In the metabolomic analysis, our study found a significant increase in urinary cysteine, lactulose, arabinose, mannitol, and indole 3-lactate after 4 months of probiotic administration, as opposed to decreased levels of 3-methylhistidine and N-acetyl glutamine. In stool, 2-hydroxyisobutyrate and 3-methyl-2-oxovalerate decreased postprobiotics. The significant differences remained also when stratifying the group according to diabetic status. Several studies conducted in animals and humans considered metabolomics to identify and highlight modifications of molecules involved in inflammatory processes and blood glucose control. S-ethyl cysteine (SEC) and s-methyl cysteine (SMC) provided antioxidative, anti-inflammatory, and protective effects on the kidneys of diabetic mice. SCP-80-l (composed of arabinose, mannose, glucose, and galactose) exerted a potential hypoglycemic and hypolipidemic effect in streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats. The excretion of 3-methyl histidine was significantly higher in patients with poor diabetic control. 13 metabolites (including N-acetyl glutamine) exhibited a strong association with low GFR in nonproteinuric type 2 diabetes. In a large cohort (859 subjects), a metabolic triplet containing 2-hydroxybutyric acid was sensitive for the detection of prediabetes and diabetes; 2-hydroxybutyrate and 3-methyl-2-oxovalerate were higher in serum and plasma of patients with inflammatory bowel disease. Moreover, 2-hydroxybutyrate and 2-hydroxyisobutyrate increased in the plasma of undernourished mice. Taken together, our results imply an anti-inflammatory and a possible favorable effect on glucose metabolism after probiotic administration.

CFTR modulators may affect the intestinal microbiome. Ooi et al. found a favorable effect of Ivacaftor on gut flora and intestinal inflammation. When performing subanalysis of our results according to treatment with CFTR modulators, we were not able to determine the significant effect of probiotic administration for subject taking CFTR modulators. This lack of significance could be due to the effect of CFTR modulators on the intestinal microbiome or by the reduced sample size determined by the adopted stratification.

In our study, there was a slight decrease in HOMA-IR after probiotic administration, from 2.28 to 1.86. Although IR is defined as a value greater than the 75th percentile for nondiabetic subjects, the reported cut-off values vary widely in the literature. In a large cohort of nondiabetic individuals (2459 Spanish adults) the best HOMA-IR cut-off for IR ranged from 1.85 in men to 2.07 in women. In a cross-sectional study with 79 CF patients, HOMA-IR was positively associated with fat-free mass index and fat mass index. Our small sample size may have resulted in a type II error; a larger group of patients may have resulted in more significant results. Our study has several limitations. The main limitation is the small number of patients and the lack of a control group. In addition, as with other CF therapies, the adherence to probiotic administration may have been suboptimal. Some patients complained about the taste of the powder and admitted that they were not fully compliant (e.g., took two sachets instead four a day for several days), but we did not have objective measures of compliance. The lack of effect of probiotics on CGM, OGTT, inflammatory cytokines, and pulmonary functions may reflect the small sample size and suboptimal compliance. We did assess the effect of probiotics on the intestinal microbiome. As it was a real-life study, four patients experienced a respiratory exacerbation while on probiotics, necessitating antibiotic treatment which may have affected the results. As metabolomics were available only for two of them, subanalysis could not be performed. Given the small sample size, other confounding variables such as proton pump inhibitors, enteral nutrition, transplant status, and steroid administration were not controlled for or analyzed. Urine and stool samples were not available for all patients. Patients were instructed to bring urine and stool samples for the study visits. Most of the patients agreed to give urine samples during the study visits, even if forgotten at home. Eventually, paired urine samples were available for 16/23 (69.6%) of patients. However, patients were more reluctant with the stool samples, and only 7/23 (30.4%) paired samples were available. We assessed one type of probiotics and the results of this pilot study should not be generalized to other probiotic formulations with different numbers/strains of bacteria.

However, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to examine the effect of probiotics on glucose metabolism and metabolomics in CF patients. The change in metabolites profile suggests a positive effect of Vivomix® on glucose metabolism in these patients. Larger, randomized double-blind placebo-controlled studies using different probiotics products, will help in extending our knowledge. Understanding the interplay between microbial imbalance, inflammation, and glucose metabolism in CF may be beneficial in preventing CFRD.
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