LncRNA BCAR4 expression predicts the clinical response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy in patients with locally advanced breast cancer
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Abstract

BACKGROUND: Neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NAC) is an important treatment for locally advanced breast cancer (LABC). However, there are no effective biomarkers to predict the efficacy. Therefore, there is an urgent need for new biomarkers to predict the response of LABC to NAC. LncRNA BCAR4 has been detected in a variety of malignant tumor tissues and used as a new biomarker for diagnosis and prognosis. However, LncRNA BCAR4 predicts the response of LABC to NAC is unclear.

OBJECTIVE: Explore the predictive effect of LncRNA BCAR4 on the efficacy of NAC for LABC in three different evaluation systems.

METHODS: First, the TCGA database was used to analyze the expression of LncRNA BCAR4 in 33 kinds of malignant tumors, and further explore its expression in breast cancer and its impact on the survival and prognosis of breast cancer. Furthermore, quantitative methods were used to measure the expression level of LncRNA BCAR4 in cancer tissues of 48 LABC patients, and the correlation between LncRNA BCAR4 and clinicopathological status and response to NAC under the evaluation system of 3, RECIST1.1, Miller-Payne (MP) score and whether it reaches pCR, was analyzed.

RESULTS: TCGA data analysis found that LncRNA is highly expressed in a variety of malignant tumor tissues, including breast cancer. And relatively low expression, the shorter the overall survival time of high expression patients. The high expression of LncRNA BCAR4 is related to the size of the tumor, and there are differences in expression between stage I and other stages, but there is no obvious correlation with the positive lymph node and hormone receptor status. Among the three evaluation systems, only in the RECIST 1.1 evaluation system LncRNA BCAR4 has a predictive effect on NAC for LABC. The expression of LncRNA BCAR4 has no significant correlation with clinical stage, Ki-67% and hormone receptor status, and has no significant correlation with whether patients with locally advanced breast cancer obtain pCR during neoadjuvant chemotherapy.

CONCLUSION: LncRNA BCAR4 is highly expressed in LABC tissues and may be an effective marker for predicting the efficacy of NAC for LABC.
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1. Introduction

Breast cancer is the most common malignant tumor in women worldwide [1]. About 8.5% of Americans and 4% of Europeans have been diagnosed with locally advanced breast cancer [2]. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NAC), as the first-line treatment for locally advanced breast cancer (LABC), and studies have confirmed that the pathological complete remission of breast cancer patients in NAC can significantly improve the prognosis, and longer disease-free survival and overall survival in advance [3]. This may be because some patients who fail to obtain pCR (pathological complete response) have developed drug resistance to NAC, which will not only fail to benefit from NAC, but also lead to further progress of the disease and miss the best opportunity for surgery [4,5]. Therefore, in order to make breast cancer patients better benefit from NAC, it is necessary to find biomarkers that can effectively predict patients’ sensitivity to NAC.

Long Non-coding RNA (LncRNA) is a type of non-coding RNA with a length greater than 200 base pairs. It is different from coding RNA and does not have a typical start codon, promoter conserved region and open reading region, and contains a large number of stop codons. According to their location on the genome, they can be divided into: intergenic LncRNA, intron LncRNA, antisense LncRNA, promoter-related LncRNA, enhancer LncRNA, and untranslated LncRNA [6]. According to their different modes of action, they can be divided into four types of molecules: Signal, Decoy, Guide and Scaffold [7]. LncRNA can participate in complex gene expression regulation through epigenetic modification, transcription and post-transcription [8]. LncRNA is involved in a variety of physiological and pathological processes, especially in malignant tumors [9–11]. Some LncRNA can promote the invasion and migration of malignant tumors [12], and can be used as a predictive marker for the prognosis of patients [13,14], or be used as a marker to predict the efficacy of chemotherapy for malignant tumors [15–17]. LncRNA H19 is highly expressed in the peripheral circulation of breast cancer patients, and compared with high-expressing patients, low-expression LncRNA H19 in the peripheral circulation can obtain pCR, suggesting that it may be a marker for predicting the efficacy of breast cancer on neoadjuvant chemotherapy [11]. The expression of LncRNA H19 and LncRNA UCA1 in rectal cancer cells and tissues before and after chemotherapy is significantly different, and can predict the efficacy of rectal cancer on 5FU neoadjuvant chemotherapy [18]. A prediction model constructed from 1 LncRNA and 2 coding genes can predict whether patients with triple-negative breast cancer will get pCR during neoadjuvant chemotherapy [19]. LncRNA HOTAIR in serum can predict the response of breast cancer patients to neoadjuvant chemotherapy [17]. A new signature composed of 36 LncRNAs can predict the efficacy of neoadjuvant chemotherapy and predict whether pCR can be obtained [16]. LncRNA H19 can be used as a marker for the efficacy of NAC for breast cancer [20].

LncRNA BCAR4 (long non-coding RNA breast cancer anti-estrogen resistance 4) was first discovered in the screening of anti-estrogen resistance genes in breast cancer cells, and it was located on chromosome 16p13.13 [21]. LncRNA BCAR4 is highly expressed in a variety of malignant tumor cells or tissues, and because of its high expression, the survival prognosis of patients becomes worse [22–24], such as osteosarcoma [24], cervical cancer [25], colon cancer [26] and so on. Up-regulation of LncRNA BCAR4 expression promotes tumor cell proliferation, migration and apoptosis, as well as tumor cell resistance [27,28]. The ErbB2/ErbB3 signaling pathway leads to breast cancer cell resistance to tamoxifen [27], and the activation of Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway leads to gastric cancer cell resistance to cisplatin [28]. Numerous studies have shown that lncRNA BCAR4 overexpression is closely related to poor prognosis and drug resistance of tumor cells, and can be used as an unfavorable prognostic biomarker for cancer patients [24,26,28–31].

The overexpression of LncRNA BCAR4 in gastric cancer can lead to cisplatin resistance, and the abnormal expression of other LncRNA in breast cancer can be used as markers to predict the efficacy of NAC. However, it is not clear whether LncRNA BCAR4 expression is related to the efficacy of NAC and whether it can be used as a marker to predict the efficacy of NAC in LABC. In this study, we investigated the relative expression of LncRNA BCAR4 in LABC and its correlation with the efficacy of NAC under different efficacy evaluation systems, and verified its predictive role in the efficacy of NAC in LABC.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Bioinformation analysis

The clinical data and LncRNA sequencing expression data of breast cancer patients were down-
loaded from TCGA on July 28, 2020. (https://cancer-genome.nih.gov/). They covered raw data on LncRNA-seq of 1109 samples of BC tissues and 113 samples of paracancerous tissues as well as corresponding clinical information.

The expression levels of LncRNA BCAR4 in 33 cancers were analyzed based on TCGA data. LncRNA BCAR4 expression levels and overall survival data in the TCGA database were extracted from starBase (http://starbase.sysu.edu.cn/). Experiments data were divided into high and low groups based on the median level of LncRNA BCAR4 expression.

2.2. Patients

Breast cancer tissue specimens were collected from the Department of Pathology, Affiliated Hospital of Zunyi Medical University between January 1, 2018 and January 1, 2019. The inclusion criteria were as follows: 1) Female; 2) Biopsy proven primary invasive breast cancer without distant metastasis; 3) LABC, stage IIB-IIIB; 4) No history of other cancers; 5) Complete NAC with no any prior treatment; 6) Surgery followed by a pathologic examination performed after completion of NAC. The exclusion criteria were as follows:1) Male; 2) The biopsy was diagnosed as carcinoma in situ and early breast cancer; 3) Bilateral breast cancer; 4) Combined with a history of other cancers; 5) NAC treatment not completed; 6) surgery not performed at our hospital or no postoperative pathologic assessment. The included patients were divided into different subgroups according to the chemotherapy response.

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Zunyi Medical University.

2.3. Pathology prior to neoadjuvant chemotherapy

Breast cancer tissue is core-needle biopsies before NAC, and embedded in paraffin for preservation. Based on nuclear staining of estrogen receptor (ER) and progesterone receptor (PR), we defined < 1% positive tumor cells as ER/PR negative and ≥ 1% positive tumor cells as ER/PR positive [32]. The cutoffs for Ki67 level were < 30% and ≥ 30%. For HER2 status validation, immunohistochemistry (IHC) scored as 3+ was defined as HER2 positive; IHC scored as 0 or 1+ was defined as HER2 negative; and if IHC was scored as 2+, further confirmation using molecular tests (in situ hybridization [ISH]) was obtained.ISH non-amplified results were defined as HER2 negative, and ISH amplified results were considered HER2 positive.

| Table 1 Primer sequence |
|-------------------------|
| Gene | Bidirectional primer sequence |
| LncRNA | Forward: 5'-GATAAAAATGCCACACAACCAT-3' |
| BCAR4 | Reverse: 5'-CAGAACTCCATAGCCACCAA-3' |
| β-actin | Reverse: 5'-GTGGCCGGAGCCTTTGATTG-3' |

2.4. Evaluation of the efficacy of neoadjuvant chemotherapy

The pCR was defined as no residual invasive breast cancer in the histopathology specimen of the breast and axillary lymph nodes (ypT0/ypTisN0). Any remaining positive lymph nodes or residual disease in the breast due to partial tumor response was defined as pathological non-complete response (non-pCR).

The Miller-Payne (MP) grading system was used to evaluate the pathological response in the breast [33]: no change or some alteration in individual malignant cells but no reduction in overall cellularity was considered as score 1; up to a 30% reduction of tumor cells was considered as score 2; between an estimated 30% and 90% reduction of tumor cells was considered as score 3; more than a 90% reduction of tumor cells, such that only small clusters or widely dispersed individual cells remained, was considered as score 4; and no remaining invasive malignant cells was considered as score 5. MP score ≤ 3 points means chemotherapy is invalid; MP score > 3 points means chemotherapy is effective.

The clinical efficacy evaluation were evaluated according to the revised Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST) guidelines version 1.1. At least a 30% decrease in the sum of the diameters of the tumor was considered a partial response (PR). Progressive disease (PD) was defined as an increase of > 20% in the sum of the diameters. Stable disease (SD) was defined as neither sufficient shrinkage to qualify for PR nor sufficient increase to qualify for PD. CR and PR were defined as chemotherapy effective or sensitive; SD and PD were defined as chemotherapy ineffective or resistant.

2.5. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy regimen

All patients received 6–8 cycles of NAC with the ‘TAC/TAC(H)’ chemotherapy regimen (T: docetaxel, 75 mg/m²; A: doxorubicin, 50 mg/m²; C: cyclophosphamide, 500 mg/m²) before surgery. Patients with HER-2 positive were given the targeted drug herceptin (8 mg/kg body mass for the first time, followed by 6 mg/kg body mass). Drug treatment for 21 days was
Fig. 1. TCGA database pan cancer analysis results. A. The relative expression of LncRNA BCAR4 in 33 kinds of malignant tumor tissues and relative normal tissues. B. The relative expression of LncRNA BCAR4 in different stages of invasive breast cancer. C. MSI correlation of LncRNA BCAR4 in 33 malignant tumors. D. The TMB correlation of LncRNA BCAR4 in 33 malignant tumors.

2.6. RNA extraction and quantitative real-time PCR analysis (qPCR)

According to the standard guidance of TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen life technologies), total RNAs were extracted. Reverse Transcriptase Kit (SuperScriptTM III Reverse TranscriptaseInvitrogen) was obtained for synthesizing cDNA. qPCR was performed with 2X PCR master mix90 (Arraystar). β-actin acted as internal controls. And relative expressions were calculated in $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method. The primer sequence is shown in Table 1.

2.7. Statistical analysis

The edge R package in R was used to analyze the differential expression of LncRNA in the TCGA data obtained from breast cancer. Get relevant survival data through R’s survival package and draw a survival graph. The expression levels of potential LncRNAs were extracted from the downloaded LncRNA information. Statistical analysis between two groups was performed using Student’s t-test. Differences were considered significant with a $P$-value $< 0.05$. Data are presented as mean ± SD. The experimental data analysis was performed using SPSS 24.0 software (SPSS Inc, USA) and GraphPad software version 5.0 (GraphPad Software, CA, USA). The differences were considered to be statistically significant at $P < 0.05$. Student’s t test was used to distinguish significantly difference between groups.
Fig. 2. TCGA database analyzes lncRNA that has differences expressed (DE) in breast cancer tissues. A. Heat map of DE-lncRNA. B. Volcano map of DE-lncRNA. C. Survival curve of differential expression of lncRNA BCAR4 in breast cancer: there is a significant difference in survival between the expression level of lncRNA BCAR4, and the survival prognosis of those with high expression is worse ($p < 0.05$).

Fig. 3. The relative expression of lncRNA BCAR4. A. The relative expression of lncRNA BCAR4 in breast cancer tissues and normal breast tissues. B. The relative expression of lncRNA BCAR4 in different breast cancer subtypes.
Table 2: Demographic and clinicopathological characteristics of BC patients

| Characteristics          | N (%)  |
|--------------------------|--------|
| Age (years)              |        |
| < 50                     | 28(58.3) |
| ≥ 50                     | 20(41.7) |
| Grade                    |        |
| G1                       | 7(14.6)  |
| G2                       | 37(77.1) |
| G3                       | 4(8.3)   |
| Molecular classification  |        |
| HER2 positive and HR positive type | 10(20.8) |
| HER2 positive and HR negative type | 11(12.9) |
| Luminal type             | 17(35.4) |
| TNBC type                | 10(20.8) |
| ER status                |        |
| ER negative              | 24(50)  |
| ER positive              | 24(50)  |
| PR status                |        |
| PR negative              | 31(64.6) |
| PR positive              | 17(35.4) |
| HER2 status              |        |
| HER2 positive            | 27(56.3) |
| HER2 positive            | 21(43.8) |
| Ki67                     |        |
| > 30%                    | 25(52.1) |
| ≤ 30%                    | 23(47.9) |
| Tumor size               |        |
| < 5 cm                   | 29(60.4) |
| ≥ 5 cm                   | 19(39.6) |
| Clinical stage           |        |
| II                       | 33(68.8) |
| III                      | 15(31.3) |
| Clinical response        |        |
| cCR + cPR                | 24(50)  |
| cSD + cPD                | 24(50)  |
| Pathological response    |        |
| pCR                      | 20(41.7) |
| non-pCR                  | 28(58.3) |
| Miller-Payne (MP) score  |        |
| ≤ 3                      | 19(39.6) |
| > 3                      | 29(60.4) |

3. Results

3.1. Pan-cancer analysis based on 33 cancer types

Through the pan cancer analysis of TCGA database, it was found that LncRNA BCAR4 was significantly higher in various cancer tissues than in matched normal tissues: 7 of 33 tumor tissues (BRCA/COAD/HNSGC/KIRC/LUAD/LUSC/STAD) (Fig. 1A). And further study found that LncRNA BCAR4 is differentially expressed in different stages of breast cancer. Stage I and Stage II have differential expression, \( P = 0.015 < 0.05 \); Stage I and Stage III have expression difference, \( P = 0.021 < 0.05 \); But Stage I and Stage IV have no expression difference, \( P = 0.86 > 0.05 \). There is no expression difference between Stage II and Stage III, \( P = 0.81 > 0.05 \); There is no expression difference between Stage II and Stage IV, \( P = 0.46 > 0.05 \); And there is still no expression difference between Stage III and Stage IV, \( P = 0.46 > 0.05 \) (Fig. 1B).

Further analysis of the MSI and TMB analysis of LncRNA BCAR4 in 33 kinds of malignant tumors found that LncRNA BCAR4 was significantly correlated with MSI in HNSC, KIRC, KIRP, LAML and BLCA, but there was no significant correlation in BRCA (Fig. 1C). In BRCA, BLCA, LGG and other malignant tumors, LncRNA BCAR4 has a significant
correlation with TMB (Fig. 1D) (For the abbreviations of 33 types of malignant tumors, see Appendix 1).

3.2. lncRNA BCAR4 is highly expressed in locally advanced breast cancer tissues, and it is correlated with poor prognosis in breast cancer patients treated with neoadjuvant chemotherapy

LncRNAs data comes from the TCGA database. A total of 1109 breast cancer tissues and 113 unmatched normal breast tissues were obtained. Through Biotype labeling, 14447 LncRNAs were screened out. Using \[|\log_2 \text{fold change}| \geq 2, P < 0.01\] as the gene expression difference standard, a total of 1028 differentially expressed genes were screened, including 777 up-regulated genes and 251 down-regulated genes. We found that LncRNA BCAR4 is highly expressed in breast cancer. And in further survival analysis, it is found that its expression level is related to survival: the higher the expression level, the shorter the survival time, and the lower the expression level, the longer the survival time (Fig. 2).

3.3. The association between LncRNA BCAR4 expression and the clinicopathological characteristics of 48 breast cancer patients treated with neoadjuvant chemotherapy

In order to verify the expression of LncRNA BCAR4 in breast cancer, we used the inclusion and exclusion criteria to include a total of 48 NAC punctured tissues of breast cancer patients before chemotherapy and 10 unpaired normal breast tissues for quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) (Demographic and clinicopathological characteristics of BC patients shown in Table 2). It was confirmed that LncRNA BCAR4 level was significantly increased in breast cancer patients compared with control group \( \chi^2 = 10.243, p = 0.001 \) (Fig. 3A). In addition, LncRNA BCAR4 level had obvious differences in different breast cancer subtypes, highly expressed in Luminal subtype breast cancer \( \chi^2 = 3.632, p = 0.001 \) (Fig. 3A). The expression levels of LncRNA BCAR4 were categorized into high level group (above the cut-off value) and low level group (below the cut-off value) using the median value as the cut-off value, and the cut-off value is 1.28. Next, we will analyze the correlation between the expression level of LncRNA BCAR4 and the clinicopathological conditions of 48 breast cancer patients. The expression levels of LncRNA BCAR4 were associated with larger tumor size \( \chi^2 = 10.243, p = 0.001 \) (Table 3), HER-2 positive \( \chi^2 = 10.243, p = 0.001 \) (Table 3), and molecular typing

| Characteristic          | LncRNA BCAR4 level | \( \chi^2 \) | \( p \) |
|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------|--------|
| Clinical Response       |                     |             |        |
| cCR + cPR               | 5(20.8)             | 19(79.2)    |        |
| cSD + cPD               | 16(66.7)            | 8(33.3)     |        |
| Pathological response   |                     |             |        |
| PCR                     | 8(40.0)             | 12(60.0)    |        |
| non-PCR                 | 13(46.4)            | 15(53.6)    |        |
| Miller-Payne (MP) score |                     |             |        |
| \( < 3 \)               | 9(47.4)             | 10(52.6)    |        |
| \( > 3 \)               | 12(41.4)            | 17(58.6)    |        |

\( * \) Fisher’s exact test.

(p = 0.036; Table 3). However, it has no significant correlation with patient age, clinical stage (II vs III), lymph node metastasis status, hormone receptor status as well as grad (Table 3).

3.4. The correlation of LncRNA BCAR4 with the response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy

According to breast cancer diagnosis and treatment guidelines, most of the current NAC regimens for breast cancer are composed of anthracyclines, paclitaxel combined with cyclophosphamide. To evaluate the relationship between LncRNA BCAR4 expression level and chemotherapy efficacy, we analyze through 3 different evaluation systems. The clinical responses were evaluated according to the RECIST1.1. In the present study, patients with CR and PR were categorized as responders, and patients with SD and PD as non-responders. The study found that the expression of LncRNA BCAR4 was correlated with the efficacy of RECIST1.1 criteria \( \chi^2 = 6.588, p = 0.001 \), but there was no significant correlation with whether to obtain pCR \( \chi^2 = 0.658, p = 0.476 \) and Miller-Payne (MP) score \( \chi^2 = 0.658, p = 0.476 \) (Shown in Table 4 and Fig. 4).

3.5. Univariate analysis of the correlation between clinical indicators and neoadjuvant chemotherapy efficacy

In order to verify the expression of LncRNA BCAR4 in breast cancer tissues, this study conducted further in-depth studies in human breast cancer tissues. A total of 48 patients with breast cancer who received NAC were enrolled in this study. According to RECIST1.1, 24 (50%) patients achieved clinical effective (cCR + cPR), HER-2 status \( \chi^2 = 10.243, p = 0.001 \), LncRNA BCAR4 expression level \( \chi^2 = 10.243, p = 0.001 \), tumor
size ($P = 0.039 < 0.05$) and molecular typing ($P = 0.027 < 0.05$) were significantly correlated with the efficacy. HER-2 positive (vs HER-2 negative), LncRNA BCAR4 low expression (vs LncRNA BCAR4 high expression), tumor size $< 5$ cm (tumor size $\geq 5$ cm), and HER-2 positive/HR positive (vs others) subtypes were more likely to achieve remission during NAC. However, other clinical features are not significantly related to the efficacy of NAC, such as age, hormone receptor status, and Ki-67 expression (Shown in Table 5).

According to the evaluation of pathological efficacy, 20 of the 48 patients obtained pCR, accounting for 41.7%. Among the many indicators, only HER-2 status has a significant correlation with whether to obtain pCR, $p = 0.012 < 0.05$, that is, HER-2 positive can obtain pCR more than HER-2 negative (Shown in Table 5).

According to the MP score, the score of 0–3 represents the failure of NAC, and the score of 4–5 represents the effectiveness of chemotherapy. Among 48 patients, 29 patients were effective in NAC, accounting for 60.4%. The clinical stage ($P = 0.01 < 0.05$) and PR status ($P = 0.044 < 0.05$) were significantly correlated with MP score. Stage II (vs stage III) is more effective in NAC. PR negative patients get higher MP scores than PR positive patients (Shown in Table 5).

The logistic multivariate analysis of clinical parameters related to the clinical efficacy of NAC for breast cancer obtained from the univariate analysis and other literature supports found that HER-2 negative ($p = 0.043; \text{OR} = 4.756; 95\% \text{CI}, 1.049–21.657$) and LncRNA BCAR4 expression high level ($p = 0.008; \text{OR} = 8.091; 95\% \text{CI}, 1.729–37.856$) are independent risk
factors for patients to obtain clinically effective NAC (Shown in Table 6).

4. Discussion

NAC has a very important position in the overall management of breast cancer patients, especially for LABC. Due to the lack of sensitive and specific biomarkers, we cannot predict at an early stage whether patients will benefit the most from NAC. Therefore, it is urgent to find an effective molecular marker to predict the efficacy of NAC for breast cancer. Some biomarkers in tumor tissue or body fluid may help to predict the response of breast cancer patients to NAC. For example, the expression of circulating LncRNA H19 is related to the pCR of breast cancer [20]. A study [19] developed a “response score” for NAC for triple negative breast cancer through Gene Expression Omnibus database, and the prediction model consisting of 1 LncRNA and 2 coding genes showed good predictive ability, AUC = 0.931. Another study [17] found that LncRNA HO-TAIR was highly expressed in the peripheral circulation of breast cancer, and high circulating HOTAIR level was associated with poor response to NAC and poor prognosis in breast cancer patients.

In this study, we first performed pan cancer data analysis and single cancer analysis using TCGA database, and found that LncRNA BCAR4 expression was abnormal in a variety of malignant tumor tissues. This has also been confirmed by other studies, such as high expression in breast [34], gastric [35], colon [36], and cervical cancer [25], and its expression is also related to the pCR of breast cancer [20]. A study [19] developed a “response score” for NAC for triple negative breast cancer through Gene Expression Omnibus database, and the prediction model consisting of 1 LncRNA and 2 coding genes showed good predictive ability, AUC = 0.931. Another study [17] found that LncRNA HO-TAIR was highly expressed in the peripheral circulation of breast cancer, and high circulating HOTAIR level was associated with poor response to NAC and poor prognosis in breast cancer patients.
to the degree of malignancy of the tumor and has independent prognostic value [37]. Further analysis found that the expression of LncRNA BCAR4 has significant differences between breast cancer stage I and stage II \((p = 0.015 < 0.05)\), and between stage I and stage III \((p = 0.021 < 0.05)\), but there is no significant difference in expression in other clinical stages. Whether this indicates that the expression of LncRNA BCAR4 has a significant expression difference between early breast cancer and advanced breast cancer, further confirming that it can promote the development of breast cancer. Studies have found that the expression of LncRNA BCAR4 is positively correlated with tumor size (tumors with a diameter greater than 5 cm and less than 5 cm have higher expression) and are related to the status of HER-2: HER-2 positive expression is higher than HER-2 negative. It is worth further exploring the relationship between the difference in the expression of LncRNA BCAR4 and the efficacy of neoadjuvant chemotherapy for locally advanced breast cancer.

Chemotherapy response evaluation can be assessed through a variety of evaluation systems, mainly the RISSI1.1 system and the Miller-Payne score system, as well as whether the pCR is achieved after chemotherapy is frequently used. At present, the most commonly used is whether to achieve pCR after chemotherapy to determine the efficacy of breast cancer chemotherapy, and most studies have found that compared with breast cancer patients who have not obtained pCR, breast cancer patients who have obtained pCR have a longer OS. Compared with the pathological evaluation method of pCR, the Miller-Payne score system can more accurately judge the residual status of breast cancer cells after NAC, and more intuitively reflect the response of breast cancer patients to NAC. Although the clinical evaluation of tumors is subjective, and physicians may not be accurate enough in evaluating tumor size changes, related studies and this study have found that there is a certain correlation between clinical evaluation and pathological evaluation. The most important point is that clinical evaluation can predict the patient’s response to chemotherapy in the first few cycles of chemotherapy for breast cancer patients, and does not need to wait until the entire chemotherapy cycle is completed before surgery to evaluate the efficacy of chemotherapy. This reminds us that the value of the simplest and most intuitive clinical evaluation cannot be ignored. In order to more comprehensively evaluate whether LncRNA BCAR4 can be used as a biomarker of NAC for breast cancer, research and analysis were conducted under these three evaluation systems. This study found that LncRNA BCAR4 has only significant expression differences in the RISSI1.1 evaluation system: low expression levels can obtain effective clinical responses \((cCR + cPR) (p < 0.05)\). Relevant studies have found that breast cancer patients who obtain cCR during NAC have a higher breast-conserving rate and better OS than non-cCR patients [38].

How does LncRNA BCAR4 affect the efficacy of chemotherapy? The expression of LncRNA BCAR4 in gastric cancer tissues is higher than that in adjacent tissues [28], and it regulates Wnt signaling pathway to make gastric cancer cells resistant to cisplatin. And LncRNA BCAR4 also highly expresses in breast cancer tissues, and promotes the aggressiveness of tumor cells, and drives resistance to tamoxifen through the RBB2/ERBB3 signaling pathway [27]. The above research shows that LncRNA BCAR4 is highly expressed in malignant tumors and mediates drug resistance through different signaling pathways. The above research shows that LncRNA BCAR4 is highly expressed in malignant tumors and mediates drug resistance through different signaling pathways. This provides a new research idea for this study to explain the correlation between the expression of LncRNA BCAR4 and the clinical efficacy of NAC for breast cancer: perhaps by changing the sensitivity of cells to drugs, breast cancer cells are resistant to chemotherapy. Therefore, the effect of chemotherapy is different. TMB is an important indicator of the prognosis of immunotherapy. In the CheckMate-026 [39] clinical study, the researchers used Nivolumab and platinum-based chemotherapy respectively for the first-line treatment of advanced NSCLC. At the same time, WES was used to measure TMB, and the patients were divided into three groups \(< 100 \text{mut/Mb}, 100–242 \text{mut/Mb}, ≥ 242 \text{mut/Mb}\).
243 mut/Mb), the results showed that compared with the chemotherapy group, patients in the high TMB subgroup (≥ 243 mut/Mb) had longer PFS and higher ORR after Nivolumab treatment, and TMB could be used as a biomarker for the treatment of breast cancer. The authors declare that they have no competing interests.
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In conclusion, this study confirmed that lncRNA BCAR4 is significantly higher expressed in locally advanced breast cancer tissues than in normal breast tissues, and its expression status has a significant correlation with tumor size, molecular typing, and HER-2 status. And further research and analysis found that the level of its expression has a significant correlation with the clinical efficacy of neoadjuvant chemotherapy for locally advanced breast cancer, which can be used as a targeted predictor.
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Appendix

| Abbreviation | Full name | Abbreviation | Full name | Abbreviation | Full name |
|--------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|
| ACC          | Adrenocortical Carcinoma | KIRC       | Kidney Renal Clear Cell Carcinoma | PD         | Progressive Disease |
| BCAR4        | Breast Cancer | KIRP       | Kidney Renal Papillary Cell Carcinoma | PR         | Partial Response |
| BLCA         | Bladder Urothelial Carcinoma | LABC       | Locally Advanced Breast Cancer | PRAD       | Prostate Adenocarcinoma |
| BRCA         | Breast Invasive Carcinoma | LAML       | Acute Myeloid Leukemia | READ       | Rectum Adenocarcinoma |
| CESC         | Cervical Squamous Cell Carcinoma And Endocervical Adenocarcinoma | LGG        | Brain Lower Grade Glioma | SARC       | Sarcoma |
| CHOL         | Cholangiocarcinoma | LIHC       | Liver Hepatocellular Carcinoma | SD         | Progressive Disease |
| COAD         | Colon Adenocarcinoma | LUAD       | Lung Adenocarcinoma | SKCM       | Skin Cutaneous Melanoma |
| CR           | Complete Response | LUSC       | Lung Squamous Cell Carcinoma | STAD       | Stomach Adenocarcinoma |
| DLBC         | Diffuse Large B-Cell Lymphoma | MESO       | Mesothelioma | TGCT       | Testicular Germ Cell Tumors |
| ESCA         | Esophageal Carcinoma | MP         | Miller-Payne | THCA       | Thyroid Carcinoma |
| GBM          | Glioblastoma Multiforme | MSI        | Microsatellite Instability | THYM       | Thymoma |
| HNSC         | Head And Neck Squamous Cell Carcinoma | NAC       | Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy | TMB        | Tumor Mutational Burden |
| IHC          | Immunohistochemistry | OV         | Ovarian Serous Cystadenocarcinoma | UCEC       | Uterine Corpus Endometrial Carcinoma |
| ISH          | In Situ Hybridization | PAAD       | Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma | UCS        | Uterine Carcinosarcoma |
| KICH         | Kidney Chromophobe | PCPG       | Pheochromocytoma And Paraganglioma | UVM        | Uveal Melanoma |