Using of *Ceratophyllum demersum* L. for Lead and Cadmium Pollution Removal by Columns Technology

**Abstract**

Environmental exposure to toxic heavy metals is one of the main critical issues on environmental and public health. Heavy metals are common pollutants in aquatic ecosystems, which are particularly susceptible and often final receptor of heavy metals. Phytoremediation with aquatic plants is a new, effective and inexpensive method for improving water quality and wastewater. In this study, Lead and Cadmium (50ppm) of industrial water polluted were removed by the aquatic plant, *Ceratophyllum demersum* L., as grinded and crushed. Results showed that grinded plant was the best in removing Lead and Cadmium than crushed plant, so, removing concentration of Lead by *Ceratophyllum demersum* L. grinded and crushed were (38, 37.8, 37.5, 33.1 and 30.8%), (23, 22.5, 22.5, 18.4 and 12.2) ppm and removal percentage (76, 75.6, 75, 66.2 and 61.6), (46, 45, 45.6, 36.8 and 24.4) % at flow rate (5, 10, 15, 20 and 25) ml/min respectively. Whereas, removing concentration of Cadmium were (29.5, 29.5, 30, 22.5 and 28.8), (13, 12.5, 12.5, 8.4 and 2.2) ppm and removal percentage (59, 59, 60, 45 and 28.8), (26, 25, 25, 16.8 and 4.4) % at flow rate (5, 10, 15, 20 and 25) ml/min respectively.
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**Introduction**

Environmental pollutant and its harmful effect on ecology have been studied intensively during the last decades. The removing of pollutants from wastewater was increased with the fast industrial development. These wastewaters are produced in large amounts and must be treated before discharge [1].

Heavy metals are very harmful for humans, animals and plants. Global and local agencies have therefore established certain limits on the quantities of heavy metals being that discharged into the environment. The most widely used methods for removing heavy metals are chemical or electrochemical precipitation, both of which pose a significant problem in terms of disposal of the precipitated wastes [2].

When heavy metals are could be high accumulate in living tissues, Cadmium, lead and copper can become a sanitary and ecological threat to drinking water resources, even at very low concentrations. Cadmium and zinc are common industrial pollutants, as well as their harmful effect to plant at relatively low concentrations [3]. Thus, there was a need to use cleaner alternatives must be developed in order to remove heavy metals from effluents [4]. Occurrence of water polluted with toxic metals in plants and human being water bodies adversely affects the lives of local people since they utilize this water for daily requirements. The heavy metals can be incorporated into the food chain and their levels can increase through biological magnification [5].

Studies indicate that there are many plants that can drag and accumulate of heavy metals from contaminated areas, but the ideal plant for this process should be as specific features available, such as the pace of growth and roots, mass and ease of harvesting and cutting and accumulation of a wide range of elements, in addition to carry around high levels of these elements [6].

**Materials and Methods**

**Plant collection**

Samples of the plant *C. demersum* L. were collected from existing channels at Baghdad University, during April and May 2017. Then the plant dried by using oven at 65°C for three days, then breathing and grinding. The plant filled in a glass column prepared for this purpose in the laboratory.
Adsorption column preparation

Glass columns used with a diameter (7 cm) and (50 cm) length filled with grinded and crushed *C. demersum* plant. Filter paper was put at the end and the beginning of each column. The two columns are joined with container (5) liter capacity of each, in order to feed the column with polluted water with (Lead and Cadmium) at a flow rate (15ml/min) of first container while the 2nd for aggregation water after recycle in column at approximately (5.5hrs) impairment time.

The biological treatment system design(designed bioremediation system):

The form of (Figure 1), which were established in the laboratory for the treatment of water contaminated with heavy metals using a glass column container for each of them grinded and crushed *C. demersum* L separately. The system is composed of:

a. Glass column with a diameter (7cm) and filled with the flora *C. demersum* L. crushed or grinded (50cm length).

b. Iron holder to install column.

c. Heavy metals’ polluted water with heavy elements tank, (5L) capacity.

d. Water tank after treatment (5L) capacity.

e. Connecting pipelines; to connect the parts of the system.

f. Valves to regulate the flow rate of the contaminated water.

Results and Discussion

Removal of lead by grinded and crushed of *C. demersum* L:

Removal of lead element with concentration (50 ppm) by grinded and crushed plant *C. demersum* L from polluted water by using adsorbent column with different flow rate ranged (5, 10, 15, 20 and 25) ml/min. Results shown that the best removal of a vital element for lead by using grinded *C. demersum* L which was obtained at flow rate (5, 10 and 15) ml/min, with percentage of removal (76, 75.5 and 75) %, respectively, whereas, the crushed plant was a decent efficiency in removal the Lead with (46, 45, 36.8 and 24.4) % at flow rate (5, 10, 15, 20 and 25) ml/min, respectively (Table 1) (Figure 2). Phytoremediation, a method to remove pollutants from the environment by using plants and algae, has been known as a promising cost-effective and environmentally sustainable technology for the remediation of water polluted by toxic trace elements.

Remove of cadmium by *C. demersum* L grinded and crushed column:

The polluted water with Cadmium element (50ppm) in adsorption experiments column of grinded and crushed *C. demersum* L plant was removed by grinded plant with (59, 59 and 60) % at flow rate (5, 10 and 15) ml/min respectively, whereas, the crushed plant was a decent efficiency in removal the Cadmium with (26, 25, 25, 16.8 and 4.4) % at flow rate (5, 10, 15, 20 and 25) ml/min, respectively, (Table 2) (Figure 3), and the best flow rate in removing Lead and Cadmium by grinded and crushed plant *C. demersum* L were (5, 10 and 15 ml/min).

![Figure 1: Diagram of immobile adsorption column.](image1.png)

![Figure 2: Removal percentage of Lead (50 ppm) by grinded and crushed of *C. demersum* L at different flow rate.](image2.png)

![Figure 3: Removal of Cadmium by *C. demersum* L grinded and crushed column.](image3.png)

**Table 1:** Removal of Lead (50ppm) using packaged treatment *C. demersum* L grinded and crushed at different flow rates.

| Con. of Lead (ppm) after Treating | Removing Con. of Lead (ppm) | Removal % | Flow Rate |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|-----------|
| Grinded                           | Crushed                     | Grinded    | Crushed   |           |
| 12                                | 27                          | 38         | 23        | 76        | 46        | 5         |
| 12.2                              | 27.5                        | 37.8       | 22.5      | 75.6      | 45        | 10        |
| 12.5                              | 27.5                        | 37.5       | 22.5      | 75        | 45        | 15        |
| 16.9                              | 31.6                        | 33.1       | 18.4      | 66.2      | 36.8      | 20        |
| 19.2                              | 37.8                        | 30.8       | 12.2      | 61.6      | 24.4      | 25        |
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Table 2: Removal of Cadmium (50 ppm) using packaged treatment C. demersum L. grinded and crushed at different flow rates.

| Con. of Cadmium (ppm) | Removing Con. of Cadmium | Removal % | Flow Rate |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|
|                       | Grinded | Crushed | Grinded | Crushed | Grinded | Crushed |     |
| 20.5                  | 37      | 29.5    | 13      | 59      | 26      | 5       |
| 20.5                  | 37.5    | 29.5    | 12.5    | 59      | 25      | 10      |
| 20                   | 37.5    | 30      | 12.5    | 60      | 25      | 15      |
| 27.5                  | 41.6    | 22.5    | 8.4     | 45      | 16.8    | 20      |
| 35.6                  | 47.8    | 14.4    | 2.2     | 28.8    | 4.4     | 25      |

Figure 3: Removal percentage of Cadmium (50 ppm) by grinded and crushed of C. demersum L. at different flow rate.

The C. demersum L. plant has the ability to absorb and accumulate a large amount of Lead and Cadmium, which makes it useful as indicators of biological weapons. It has proven its ability to remove cumulative Cadmium in contaminated water up to 1000 ppm [8]. Index bio indicator for this type of plant on the viability of reducing water pollution with heavy metals [9]. Some environmental scientists improve that this type of aquatic plants (C. demersum L.) have the ability to remove the bullets Lead, Nickel and Cadmium more than the rest of Iron, Manganese and Zinc [10]. Studies have shown that the greatest potential to alleviate damage metals from sewage that address activities by absorption and desorption of surface water plants [11,12]. Also, a dangerous element Cadmium is not limited to small organisms even on humans [13,14]. The plant water C. demersum L. has the ability to adsorption and carrying toxic heavy metals [15]. From these results observed that the percentage removal of Lead from the solution when used aquatic plants C. demersum are high. These results are in accordance with Majid and Siddique [16,17].

Conclusion

We conclude from this study on the ability of aquatic plants to remove some heavy elements from industrial water that cause environmental pollution. The grinded of C. demersum L. plant proved to be efficient in removal of Lead and Cadmium than crushed plant, when packed in a glass column with a flow rate of (5, 10 and 15) ml/min.
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