Molecular regionalization in the compact brain of the meiofaunal annelid Dinophilus gyrociliatus (Dinophilidae)

Kerbl, Alexandra; Martín-Durán, José M.; Worsaae, Katrine; Hejnol, Andreas

Published in:
EvoDevo

DOI:
10.1186/s13227-016-0058-2

Publication date:
2016

Document version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Document license:
CC BY

Citation for published version (APA):
Kerbl, A., Martín-Durán, J. M., Worsaae, K., & Hejnol, A. (2016). Molecular regionalization in the compact brain of the meiofaunal annelid Dinophilus gyrociliatus (Dinophilidae). EvoDevo, 7, [20]. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13227-016-0058-2
Molecular regionalization in the compact brain of the meiofaunal annelid
*Dinophilus gyrociliatus* (Dinophilidae)

Alexandra Kerbl¹*, José M. Martín-Durán²*, Katrine Worsaae¹ and Andreas Hejnol²*

**Abstract**

**Background:** Annelida is a morphologically diverse animal group that exhibits a remarkable variety in nervous system architecture (e.g., number and location of longitudinal cords, architecture of the brain). Despite this heterogeneity of neural arrangements, the molecular profiles related to central nervous system patterning seem to be conserved even between distantly related annelids. In particular, comparative molecular studies on brain and anterior neural region patterning genes have focused so far mainly on indirect-developing macrofaunal taxa. Therefore, analyses on microscopic, direct-developing annelids are important to attain a general picture of the evolutionary events underlying the vast diversity of annelid neuroanatomy.

**Results:** We have analyzed the expression domains of 11 evolutionarily conserved genes involved in brain and anterior neural patterning in adult females of the direct-developing meiofaunal annelid *Dinophilus gyrociliatus*. The small, compact brain shows expression of *dimmed*, *foxg*, *goosecoid*, *homeobox*, *nk2.1*, *orthodenticle*, *orthopedia*, *pax6*, *six3/6* and *synaptotagmin*-1. Although most of the studied markers localize to specific brain areas, the genes *six3/6* and *synaptotagmin*-1 are expressed in nearly all perikarya of the brain. All genes except for *goosecoid*, *pax6* and *nk2.2* overlap in the anterior brain region, while the respective expression domains are more separated in the posterior brain.

**Conclusions:** Our findings reveal that the expression patterns of the genes *foxg*, *orthodenticle*, *orthopedia* and *six3/6* correlate with those described in *Platynereis dumerilii* larvae, and *homeobox*, *nk2.1*, *orthodenticle* and *synaptotagmin*-1 resemble the pattern of late larvae of *Capitella helcophora*. Although data on other annelids are limited, molecular similarities between adult *Dinophilus* and larval *Platynereis* and *Capitella* suggest an overall conservation of molecular mechanisms patterning the anterior neural regions, independent from developmental and ecological strategies, or of the size and configuration of the nervous system.
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**Background**

Several detailed studies on the nervous systems of various groups within Annelida (“segmented worms”) demonstrate that this organ system displays a remarkable variability in arrangement and structure of the brain and neuropil, number of ventral nerve cords and nerves, as well as layout of the stomatogastric nervous system and peripheral nerves (e.g., [18]). The position and configuration of the brain vary among annelids, most commonly consisting of a subepidermal dorsal neuropil with peripheral perikarya, subdivided into discrete clusters or lobes [1, 5, 6, 9, 10], but occasionally situated intraepidermally (e.g., [11, 12]) and ventrally (e.g., [13–15]), or anteriorly [13], or having a uniform compact morphology without apparent compartmentalization [11, 12, 16–26]. Despite this morphological diversity, the underlying molecular patterns of neural-related genes that are evolutionarily conserved in Protostomia (e.g., Mollusca, Platyhelminthes, Nematoda, Nematroad, Arthropoda and Brachiopoda [16–26]) and Deuterostomia (e.g.,...
Hemichordata and Chordata, e.g., [9, 27–30]) have been analyzed only in a handful of annelid taxa [23, 31–35]. For instance, the transcription factor *six3/6* and the gene *synaptotagmin-1* (coding for the eponymous membrane-trafficking protein) pattern the presumptive neuroectoderm and the larval brain in *Platyneris dumerilii* and *Capitella teleta* [23, 33, 34], while domains of the genes *foxg* and *orthodenticle* appear in close proximity to the locomotory cilia of the prototroch (see Table 1 for comparison [21–23, 36]). However, most of the annelid species investigated with regard to molecular patterning of the anterior neural region so far (e.g., *C. teleta* and *P. dumerilii*) are characterized by exhibiting an indirect development comprising a planktonic larval stage and a macroscopic adult [16, 22, 23, 37–40]. Information about these patterning mechanisms in Oligochaeta and Hirudinea is still limited (e.g., [35, 41–44], Table 1 and literature therein) and completely absent in direct-developing, microscopic interstitial groups such as Dinophilidae [45–48]. Neuroanatomical studies of developing and adult brains in these microscopic species are also very limited (e.g., [13, 45, 46, 49–51]). Therefore, investigations in members of annelid lineages with alternative life cycles, ecological strategies and neuroanatomies are essential to attain a better understanding of the diversification of the nervous system in Annelida (and Spiralia) and its underlying molecular patterning [52, 53].

Dinophilidae is a species-poor meiofaunal group, whose members occupy interstitial habitats and lives in the crevices of sandy sediment or in biofilms on macroalgae in tidal marine regions [54–56]. It comprises two clades, *Dinophilus* and *Trilobodrilus*, whose representatives share their diminutive body size and being only few segments long pseudocoelomates with protonephridia, lacking chaetae, parapodia and appendages, but having a dense ventral ciliary field and direct development [45, 57–59]. Within *Dinophilus*, two morphotypes can be distinguished: one strongly pigmented, monomorphic group with prolonged life cycle and encystment period [55, 60] and a smaller, transparent, strongly dimorphic group with rapid life cycle [58, 61, 62]. The latter is represented by *D. gyrociliatus*, whose females (Fig. 1a) resemble the adults of the other morphotype to a certain degree, while the males are extremely miniaturized and short lived [61, 63, 64]. The brain of *Dinophilus gyrociliatus* consists of a compact neuropil formed by a dense meshwork of nerve fibers (Fig. 1b, c, e–g) with condensed fiber bundles probably representing commissures surrounded by a dense layer of perikarya (Fig. 1d, [45, 46]). The circumsensophageal connective, which links the dorsal brain to the ventral nerve cords, bifurcates anteriorly at approximately the middle of the mouth opening into a ventral and a dorsal component (Fig. 1e). The ventral branch is connected to the stomatogastric nerve ring (a nervous loop dorsally lining the esophagus) and also gives rise to several nerve fibers innervating anterior compound cilia and at least the ventral pair of compound cilia at the anterior tip of the prostomium [2, 45, 46]. The dorsal branch gives rise to the paired dorsal, dorsolateral and lateral peripheral longitudinal nerves and the nerves innervating the nuchal organs [45, 46]. Approximately 750 densely packed, uniform perikarya surround the neuropil on the anterior, lateral, dorsal and posterior sides and very weak on the ventral side (Fig. 1d). The entire brain is located closely adjacent to the dorsal epidermis [45]. The eyes are sunken into the layer of brain perikarya (Fig. 1d) and seemingly directly connected to the neuropil without the formation of optic tracts [45, 58]. Since its nervous system and development are morphologically mapped in high detail [45, 46, 50, 58, 63, 64], *D. gyrociliatus* emerges as a suitable meiofaunal candidate next to the already established annelid models *P. dumerilii* and *C. teleta*.

In this study, we characterize the expression pattern of 11 genes with a putatively evolutionarily conserved role in patterning anterior neural regions in the brain of adult females of the microscopic *D. gyrociliatus*. These genes are generally associated with the anterior neurogenic domain (e.g., *six3/6*, *orthopedia*, *synaptotagmin-1*), ciliary bands (foxg) and sensory organs (pax6), or play a putative role in neurosecretory cell differentiation (dimmed) in previously investigated annelid and other invertebrate species (Table 1 and literature therein). We thus aim to investigate the possibility of a common molecular regionalization of the annelid brain, despite interspecific differences in the number of cells and morphological complexity, and provide a protocol for in situ hybridization approaches for adults in this microscopic annelid species.

**Methods**

**Specimen rearing and fixation**

Adult specimens of *Dinophilus gyrociliatus* Schmidt, 1848, are kept in culture in plastic boxes with seawater (28 per mille salinity) at 18 °C in the dark; water was exchanged twice per month. Spinach and fish food (Tetramin flakes for aquarium fish) were added to the cultures every 14 days (protocol modified from [65]). Mature animals were separated from the main cultures in small petri dishes and starved for 24–96 h. The specimens were fixed with 4 % paraformaldehyde in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.4) for one hour at room temperature directly after anesthetization with isotonic MgCl₂. The fixative was removed by several rinses in 0.1 % PTw (PBS + 0.1 % Tween-20) before storing the specimens in 100 % methanol at −20 °C for later use.
| Gene     | Species                        | Expression pattern                                                                 | Proposed function                                                                 | References |
|----------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Goosecoid (gsc) | *Platynereis dumerilii* (trochophore larva) | Around the stomodeum, in the anterior foregut, parts of the stomodeal nervous system | Patterns roof of the foregut, marker for the anterior foregut and oral ectoderm | [75]       |
|          | *Capitella teleta* or sp. 1 (late larval stages) | Multiple cells at circumesophageal connectives (extend from each side of the brain in ventral–posterior direction laterally toward ventral nerve cord), bilateral pair in anterior ectoderm (several cells with distinctive elongate morphology) | Marks subset of anterior neurons associated with stomodeum and foregut, maybe involved in development and differentiation of circumesophageal connectives and neuronal subtype identity | [74]       |
| Homeobrain (hbn) | *Capitella teleta* or sp. 1 (late larval stages) | Cells associated with epithelio–optic nerves and more medial cell clusters in the brain, in lateral epithelium clearly connected to eyes, between stages 6 and 8 medial brain cells expressing hbn move closer together toward midline, later, two small clusters appear in anterior-most segment lateral to mouth. Expression levels appear to lower/in fewer cells/clusters in late stages and not present in juvenile stages | Differentiates larval eye and brain, confers identity of neuronal subsets, more restricted to brain in Capitella than in Drosophila (there also in the VNC) | [16]       |
| Orthopedia (otp) | *Platynereis dumerilii* (trochophore larva) | Limited number of cells in the developing medial forebrain region comprising vasotocinergic neurons adjacent to large photoreceptor cilia and the RFamideergic neurons; peripherally, expression demarcates prototroch; also expressed in nerve cells in the apical organ | Demarcates the neuropeptidergic sensory-neurosecretory cells in apical organ | [18, 23]   |
| Orthodenticle (otx) | *Platynereis dumerilii* (trochophore larva) | Most prominent in the oral region (stomodeum), along pre- and postoral ciliary bands, but also in cells of apical organ and few cells in the apical hemisphere, defining head region | Patterning pre- and postoral ciliary bands/loops | [75]       |
|          | *Capitella teleta* or sp. 1 (late larval stages) | Expressed in bilateral lobes of the brain, developing foregut, within the posterior growth zone of the segmented trunk (lateral–posterior ectoderm), within a few cells of the ventral nerve cord along midline; later (stage 9) expressed in the brain, foregut, ventral nerve cord and posterior growth zone | Involved in patterning/specifying oral ectoderm, endoderm, foregut and central nervous system in larval stages | [74]       |
|          | *Helobdella* sp. | At least three paralogs identified, limited to unsegmented head domain, expressed in central nervous system and foregut/surrounding the mouth opening at larval stage 8/9 and scattered cells in the epidermis, but not in the posterior trunk region | | [41, 84]   |
| Gene                        | Species                                      | Expression pattern                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Proposed function                                                                                                                                                                                                 | References |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| paired box homeobox gene 6 (pax6) | *Platynereis dumerilii* (trochophore larva) | Bilateral patches of cells laterally around the prototroch in developing hemisphere and in ventral episphere; latter domains extend more dorsal than larval eyes—cells of the optic commissure; later also at the base of the differentiating eye; along the developing central nervous system of the body segments; neuroectoderm in trochophore larva | Patterns ectopic eyes and ventral neurogenic domain                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | [35, 40]   |
| Forkhead box gene G (foxG)—brain factor 1 (bf1) | *Platynereis dumerilii* (trochophore larva) | Horseshoe-shaped domain in the brain; more lateral part represents the eye anlage during early development, in the brain expression retained during larval development | Coordinates activity of two opposing signaling centers patterning the telencephalon anlage: downstream of the ventral signal, Hh, to induce ventral (subpallial) identities and inhibits dorsal Wnt/b-catenin signaling through direct transcriptional repression of Wnt8 which induces dorsal (pallial) identities in vertebrates | [9]        |
| Six class gene 3/6 (six3/6) | *Platynereis dumerilii* (trochophore larva) | Almost the entire episphere, includes anlagen of antennae and palps, surrounded by ring-like peristomial expression of *Pdu-otx* (covering equatorial/peristomial larval regions and overlapping with *six3* in the periphery of the episphere) | Covers the medial brain anlagen, includes a large part of the early differentiating neurosecretory cells                                                                                                                                                              | [34]       |
| Gene                              | Species                        | Expression pattern                                                                 | Proposed function                                                      | References |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| NK homeobox gene 2.1 (nk2.1)     | *Platynereis dumerilii* (trochophore larva) | Median/anterior expression of *Pdu-nk2.1* laterally demarcated by *Pdu-pax6*        | Subdividing the anterior body regions mediolaterally                    | [9, 18, 34]|
|                                  | *Capitella teleta* or sp. 1 (late larval stages) | Two paralogs in stage 6/7: *nk2.1a*—subset of brain cells, dorsal-anterior foregut tissue, within the midgut and in rectum at posterior terminus of midgut; *nk2.1b*—two brain lobes, in subsurface cells of presumptive foregut, broad ventrolateral domain in trunk ectoderm and mesoderm, extending from posterior of the mouth to the telotroch | Involved in endoderm patterning and differentiation; patterns anterior ectoderm | [74]       |
| NK homeobox gene 2.2 (nk2.2)     | *Platynereis dumerilii* (trochophore larva) | Y-shaped pattern comprising slender domain of midline cells demarcating medial edges of fusing neuroectoderm, strictly complementary to *pax6* | Patterns endoderm, together with other genes differentiating central nervous system | [35]       |
| Neurosecretory differentiation factor dimmed (dim) | *Platynereis dumerilii* (trochophore larva) | Colocalized broadly with *otp* in apical organ neurons | Neuroendocrine transcription factor; differentiates neuroendocrine neurons; coexpressed with MIP in the median brain | [70]       |
| Membrane-trafficking protein synaptotagmin-1 (syt) | *Platynereis dumerilii* (trochophore larva) | Demarcating specific differentiating neurons; restricted to basal cells; neuroectoderm comprises a progenitor zone containing postmitotic, nondifferentiated neuronal precursors and differentiation zone | Neuronal differentiation | [35]       |
|                                  | *Capitella teleta* or sp. 1 (late larval stages) | Most terminally differentiated neurons; expression pattern progresses from anterior to posterior and begins in the central nervous system; few cells on either side of the mouth; most, but not all of the forming ganglia in the ventral nerve cord; small number of cells along the dorsal midline; stomatogastric ganglia; single and small clusters of cells in the epidermis | Exocytosis of synaptic vesicles, neuronal differentiation | [33]       |
|                                  | *Lamellibrachia satsuma* | Brain and in the ventral nerve cord, laterally and dorsally encapsulated neuropsis of the brain, neural cell bodies located lateral to giant axon, distinct tubular structure in vestimentiferan nervous system | Patterns nervous system | [15]       |

The majority of the genes tested in this study are located in the brain and/or the apical organ of adults and larval stages of the listed Annelida. The entire series of genes used in this study has not been assessed in any other annelid species.
Gene cloning and orthology assignment

Putative orthologs of the genes of interest (dimmed, foxg, goosecoid, homebrain, nk2.1, nk2.2, orthodenticle, orthopedia, pax6, six3/6, synaptotagmin-1) were identified by BLAST searches in the transcriptome of D. gyrociiliatus using known sequences from other species as query. D. gyrociiliatus transcriptome was constructed from approximately 300 specimens of mixed sexes and life stages, sequenced with Illumina technology and assembled with Trinity v.r20140717 using default settings [66]. The raw reads have been deposited at SRA: SRA Experiment: SRX2030658. The orthology of the genes was assigned by Bayesian phylogenetic analyses: amino acid sequences of D. gyrociiliatus genes (GenBank Accession Numbers KX555473-KX555483) and orthologous proteins from other animals (Additional file 1, [22]) were aligned with MUSCLE [67], and the Bayesian phylogenetic analyses were performed on each dataset using MrBayes 3.2.6 [68], with settings described in [22]. Each analysis was run for 30,000,000 generations in four runs. A consensus tree and posterior probabilities for each branch were calculated and visualized in FigTree (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/). Tracer (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/tracer/) was used to test whether the trees of the four runs converged. A pair of gene-specific primers (Additional file 2) was designed for each gene to clone full-length fragments of each of the candidate genes (ranging between 700 and 1400 bp, Additional file 1) except for Dg-gsc (partial transcript). The cDNA library used was created using a SuperScript™ III First-Strand Synthesis System for RT-PCR kit following RNA extraction with a RiboPure™ Kit and approximately 300 animals (mixed stages and sexes, but mainly adult females). The transcripts were subsequently used to synthesize antisense digoxigenin-labeled RNA probes using an Ambion MEGAscript T7/SP6 Transcription Kit in combination with a TaKaRa RNA in vitro transcription system (Fig. 1) were also incubated with polyclonal rabbit anti-serotonin and anti-FMRFamide in a final concentration of 1:200. After several washes in PBS and 1 % PBT, specimens were incubated with the appropriate secondary antibodies conjugated with fluorophores (goat antimouse labeled with CY5, goat antirabbit labeled with TRITC; in a final concentration of 1:400) for up to 48 h at RT. Thereafter, specimens were washed several times in PBS and mounted in fluoromount with DAPI.

Whole-mount in situ hybridization (modified from [69])

Fixed, adult female D. gyrociiliatus were permeabilized with proteinase K (0.005 mg/ml in PTw for 10 min at room temperature) and subsequently treated with glycine (2 mg/ml) and triethanolamine (TEA, 0.1 M pH 7.6; 2 x 5 min; after the first change adding 3 µl/ml acetic anhydride, after five minutes another 6 µl/ml acetic anhydride without changing the TEA solution) to block positive charges. Following washes with 0.1 % PTw, specimens were postfixed in 3.7 % formaldehyde in 0.1 % PTw for 60 min at room temperature, followed by several washes in 0.1 % PTw (5 min each) prior to pre-hybridization and hybridization. These steps were carried out at 62 °C in a hybridization oven. Antisense digoxigenin-labeled riboprobes were diluted to a final concentration of 1 ng/µl in hybridization solution. After hybridization (for 12–36 h at 62 °C), probes were recovered and samples were washed at hybridization temperature with graded series of Hybe buffer to SSC and following to 0.1 % PTw and 0.1 % PBT (PBS + 0.1 % Triton X-100 + 0.1 % BSA (bovine serum albumin)). After two washes in maleic buffer (MAB; 100 mM maleic acid, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1 % Tween-20, pH to 7.5 with NaOH) at room temperature, specimens were blocked for 1 h at room temperature in blocking solution (1 % blocking reagent (Roche)). Antidigoxigenin AP-conjugated antibody (Roche) at a final 1:5000 dilution in blocking solution was incubated overnight at 4 °C. After several washes on 0.1 % PBT followed by 0.1 % PTw at room temperature, samples were transferred to AP buffer and developed with 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate 4 toluidine salt (BCIP) and 4-nitro blue tetrazolium (NBT) until the signal was visible. The reaction was stopped in AP buffer without MgCl2. Specimens were stored in 70 % glycerol in 0.1 % PTw at 4 °C until further examination.

Immunohistochemistry after whole-mount in situ hybridization

After the in situ hybridization protocol, adult females of D. gyrociiliatus were transferred to 0.1 % PTw prior to pre-incubation with 1 % PTA (PBS + 1 % Triton X-100, 0.05 % NaN3, 0.25 % BSA and 5 % sucrose). Afterward, samples were incubated for up to 24 h at RT in the primary antibodies monoclonal mouse anti-acetylated α-tubulin in a final concentration of 1:400 in 1 % PBT. Sample specimens for a better description of the nervous system (Fig. 1) were also incubated with polyclonal rabbit anti-serotonin and anti-FMRFamide in a final concentration of 1:200. After several washes in PBS and 1 % PBT, specimens were incubated with the appropriate secondary antibodies conjugated with fluorophores (goat antimouse labeled with CY5, goat antirabbit labeled with TRITC; in a final concentration of 1:400) for up to 48 h at RT. Thereafter, specimens were washed several times in PBS and mounted in fluoromount with DAPI.

Imaging

The specimens were mounted in 70 % glycerol in 0.1 % PTw or in Vectashield with DAPI. Images of whole-mount specimens with NBT/BCIP precipitation were taken using a Zeiss Axio Imager 2 Microscope, with mounted Zeiss Axioscan MRc5 camera and the software package Zeiss ZEN 2 or an Olympus IX 70 and a mounted Olympus DP73 microscope camera in combination with the software cellSens (Figs. 2, 3, 4).
Immunohistochemically treated samples (Figs. 1, 5, 6, 7) were examined using an Olympus IX 81 inverted microscope with a Fluoview FV-1000 confocal unit. Acquired z-stacks were exported to the Imaris 7.0 software package to conduct further three-dimensional investigations and prepare representative images. Brightness and contrast were adjusted in Adobe Photoshop CC 2015 and plates assembled in Adobe Illustrator CC 2015. The latter also was used to create the schematic drawings.

**Results**

**Gene identification and orthology analyses**
To gain a better understanding of the molecular regionalization of the brain of the adult female *D. gyrociliatus*, we isolated the transcription factors *dimmed* (*dim*), *foxg*, *goosecoid* (*gsc*), *homebrain* (*hb*), *nk2.1, nk2.2, orthodenticle* (*otx*), *orthopedia* (*otp*), *pax6* and *six3/6*, as well as the membrane-trafficking protein *synaptotagmin-1* (*syt*) by gene-specific PCR (Additional file 1). We performed...
Bayesian analysis to confirm their orthology (Additional files 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8) and referred to *D. gyroci liatus* orthologous genes as Dg-dim, Dg-foxg, Dg-gsc, Dg-hbn, Dg-nk2.1, Dg-nk2.2, Dg-otx, Dg-otp, Dg-pax6, Dg-six3/6 and Dg-syt.

Expression of the neural PRD class genes Dg-gsc, Dg-hbn, Dg-otp, Dg-otx and Dg-pax6

The transcription factor *goosecoid* (Dg-gsc) was expressed in a narrow region in the posteroverontal brain (Fig. 2a–e) and between this region and the anterior region of the pharyngeal epithelium (Figs. 2a–c, 6d, e, 8b, c). Additionally, four distinct cells (or small groups of cells) were labeled anteriorly to this broad domain in the brain (Figs. 2a–c, 8c). Reflective microscopy further suggested that this gene is also expressed lateral in the prostomium, adjacent to the second ciliary band, where these domains extend further ventrally and dorsally than the expression region of the brain (Figs. 6d, e, 8b).

The gene homeobrain (Dg-hbn) showed a much weaker expression in the anterodorsal region of the brain (Figs. 2d–f, 6f, g, 8b, c) and two lateral spots with stronger expression, where the ventral root of the circumesophageal connective extends posteriorly from the neuropil and brain (Figs. 2d, f, 7f, g, 8a).

The transcription factor orthopedia (Dg-otp) had a very narrow expression pattern (Figs. 2g, i, 7b, i, 8a–c), which consisted of a strongly labeled spot in the anterodorsal region of the brain (Figs. 2g–i, 7i, 8b, c), between the nerves extending to the prostomial compound cilia, and a pair of less prominent, but more extended patches ventral to the eyes, dorsal to the neuropil (Figs. 2g–i, 7h, i, 8a). This pattern superficially resembled the one found with orthodenticle (Dg-otx). However, Dg-otx was expressed more ventrally in the posterior part of the brain (Figs. 2j–l, 6i, 8b, c), in one pair of patches at the base of the ventral root of the circumesophageal connective (Figs. 2j–l, 6i, 8b). The anterior expression pattern of Dg-otx resembles the anterior domain of Dg-hbn, although it is located more ventrally and was weaker than the other studied domains (Figs. 2j–l, 6i, 8c).

Finally, the gene *pax6* (Dg-pax6) was detected in one pair of lateral elongated domains, roughly ventral to the eyes (Figs. 2m, o, 7j, k, 8a). However, the expression was deeper within the brain and not only on the dorsal surface, where the eyes locate (Figs. 2n, o, 7j).

Expression of the Fox class gene Dg-foxg

The forkhead transcription factor G (Dg-foxg) was expressed in one pair of strongly labeled posteromedial patches close to the ventral root of the circumesophageal connective (Figs. 3a–c, 7d, e, 8a). Additionally, a series of small dot-like patches formed a sickle-shaped, narrow line in the anteromedian region of the brain, approximately spanning between the nerves innervating the anterior prostomial ciliary band (Figs. 3a–c, 7d, e).

Expression of the six class gene Dg-six3/6

The six class gene *six3/6* (Dg-six3/6) had a rather broad expression pattern (Figs. 3d–f, 5f, g, 8a–c) when compared to the genes described above (Figs. 2, 6, 7, 8a–c). Dg-six3/6 showed a nearly continuous dorsoventral pattern anterior and posterior to the neuropil, which was connected by strongly labeled lateral regions, forming a dorsolateral clasp around the neuropil (Figs. 3e, f, 5f, g, 8a–c). On the ventral side, only the transverse patches anterior and posterior to the neuropil were detected (Figs. 3d, f, 5g). Additionally, a pair of elongated, bean-shaped expression domains localized at the anterolateral edge of the mouth (Figs. 3d, f, 5f, g, 8c).

Expression of the neurosecretory differentiation factor Dg-dim

The gene dimmed is a neurosecretory differentiation factor present in the apical organ of *P. dumerilii* [70] and in specific neural populations in the brain and ventral nerve cord in the larvae and adult of *D. melanogaster* [71–73]. In adult *D. gyroci liatus* females, Dg-dim showed a mainly dorsal pattern in the brain, which is broad in the anterior and posterior region of the brain, but more narrow on the dorsal side than, e.g., the domains formed by Dg-six3/6 (Figs. 3g–i, 5d, e).

(See figure on next page.)

*Fig. 2* Expression pattern of paired class genes Dg-gsc (a–c), Dg-hbn (d–f), Dg-otp (g–i), Dg-otx (j–l) and Dg-pax6 (m–o) in adult females of *Dinophi lius gyroci liatus*. The expression pattern of the respective gene is shown in ventral (a, d, g, j, m) and lateral (ventral side to the left, b, e, h, k, n) views as well as in schematic drawings in ventral view (c, f, i, l, o). Dg-gsc is expressed in the posteroverontal region of the brain, the anterior pharyngeal epithelium and two small cell populations close to the second prostomial ciliary band (a–c). Dg-hbn shows a spot-like expression, though the individual patches are linked to form a continuous band in the anterior region and two separate posteroverontal spots (d–f). Dg-otp (g–i) and Dg-otx (j–l) are also expressed in the anterior and posterior region of the brain. Dg-otx shows a narrow region of expression in the anterior region of the brain (g–i), while the posterior spots are more clearly demarcated in Dg-otx (j–l). Dg-pax6 is found to not only pattern the area of the eyes, but also extend further along the brain surface as well as into the brain (m–o). br brain, ctb ciliary band gland, ey eye, fg frontal gland, mo mouth opening, np neuropil, pcb prostomial ciliary band, phb pharyngeal bulb, vrcv ventral root of the circumesophageal connective.
Expression of the NK homeobox genes Dg-nk2.1 and Dg-nk2.2

Different to other brain markers, such as Dg-six3/6 and Dg-dim, the transcription factor Dg-nk2.1 exhibited a stronger expression on the anterodorsal side of the neuropil (Figs. 3j–l, 7f, g, 8b, c). Additionally, a pair of spot-like domains was located laterally to the eyes and medioventrally to the neuropil (Figs. 3j, l). Dg-nk2.1 is expressed strongest in the anterior region of the brain and only weakly in the posterior part. However, Dg-nk2.1 also labels the foregut in adult females (j–l) as well as the hindgut, which is complemented by Dg-nk2.2, which does not label the brain (m–o), but the midgut. br brain, cbg ciliary band gland, fg frontal gland, mo mouth opening, np neuropil, pcb prostomial ciliary band, phb pharyngeal bulb, vrc ventral root of the circumesophageal connective.

Expression of Dg-syt

The gene synaptotagmin-1 (Dg-syt) got recognized recently as a broad nervous system marker [33]. In accordance with other annelids such as C. teleta [33].
and *P. dumerilii* [35], which express synaptotagmin-1 in both the brain and the ventral nerve cords, we detected expression of *Dg-syt* in the majority of perikarya of the brain in females of *D. gyrociliatus* (Figs. 4a–d, 5h, 8a–c) and in ganglionic accumulations along the anterior ventral nerve cord in about 20 % of all investigated specimens (Fig. 4c), which is possibly due to the small amount and weak concentration of cell nuclei at the commissures, in particular when compared to, e.g., *C. teleta* [33]. It was observed that these cellular accumulations associated with the commissures are strongest in young females and get more dilated in older/pregnant specimens.

**Discussion**

**Molecular patterning of the brain in adult females of *D. gyrociliatus***

Nine out of the eleven evolutionarily conserved neural genes analyzed in this study show domains mainly within
or closely adjacent to the brain (Dg-dim, Dg-foxe, Dg-gsc, Dg-hbn, Dg-otp, Dg-otx, Dg-six3/6 and Dg-syt, Figs. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8; Additional file 9). Exceptions are transcription factors Dg-nk2.1, expressed in the fore- and hindgut in addition to the domains in the brain (Figs. 3j–l, 8a–c; Additional file 9), and Dg-nk2.2, not detected in the brain, but in the mid- and hindgut (Fig. 3m–o; Additional file 9).

Dg-gsc is not exclusively expressed in the brain, but also in two condensed domains close to the second prostomial ciliary band (Figs. 2a–c, 6d, e, 8c), which are associated with neither the brain nor the digestive...
tract. Clearly demarcated domains resembling this pattern cannot be found in either *C. teleta* or *P. dumerilii* [74, 75]. Although goosecoid domains in the stomodeum and foregut region are present in the trochophore larva of *P. dumerilii* (Fig. 8e, [75]) as well as the early larva of *C. teleta* (Fig. 8d, [74]), they are limited to the anterior portion of the pharyngeal epithelium in adult female *D. gyrociliatus* (Figs. 2a–c, 6d, e, 8b, c; Additional file 9). The gsc-positive domains within the brain vary between the investigated annelids. They are restricted to the foregut in trochophore larvae of *P. dumerilii* (Fig. 8e, [75]), but localized in the posterior part of the brain, lateral to the...
brain and in the region of the circumesophageal connectives in late larvae of *C. teleta* (larval stage 7–8, Fig. 8d, [74]) and adult *D. gyrociiliatus* (Figs. 2a–c, 8b, c; Additional file 9). Our findings thereby suggest that *goosecoid* can vary in its expression in the brain and stomatogastric system in Annelida.

*Dg*-hbn is expressed in distinctive parts of the brain in adult females of *D. gyrociiliatus* (Figs. 2d–f, 6f, g, 8a–d), as also observed in *C. teleta* [16], with several patches in the posterior part of the brain extending to the anterior (mainly in the latter). Additionally, segmentally arranged domains of *Capl-hbml* in *C. teleta* were detected in association with chaetal sacs lateral along the body length [16], which were not found in *D. gyrociiliatus* females. *Homeobrain* is therefore probably involved in neuronal differentiation in the cerebral ganglia and brain in annelids.

*Dg-otp* is expressed both in a median, demarcated domain on the ventroanterior side and one pair of lateral patches in the ventroposterior region of the brain in *D. gyrociiliatus* (Figs. 2g–i, 7h, i, 8a–c; Additional File 9). The anterior domain seems to occur within a *Dg-six3/6*-positive region of the brain, which resembles the situation found in invertebrate apical organs and especially in the apical organ of *P. dumerilii*, where *orthopedia* is present in presumptive serotonergic cells [22, 23]. In adult females of *D. gyrociiliatus*, however, *Dg-otp* is not related to any serotonergic component, since these are restricted...
to the dorsoposterior region of the brain (pers. obs., Fig. 1g, [45]). More posteriorly, otp is expressed in cells that might be involved in the multiciliated cells of the prototroch in the trochophore larvae of *P. dumerilii* [23]. Although information about its expression in *C. teleta* is missing, the expression of otp in cells related to several cells of the second prostomial ciliary band in *D. gyrociatus* (Figs. 2g–i, 8a–c) is thus probably an indication for the remnants of the prototroch in the later.

*Dg-otx* is expressed broadly as continuous band in the ventroanterior region of the brain as well as in one pair of lateral domains in the posterior region of the brain. It is therefore overlapping with *Dg-six3/6* in female *D. gyrociatus* in both the anterior and the posterior part of the brain (Figs. 2g–i, 8a–c). This is in contrast to the expression detected in *P. dumerilii* trochophore larvae (Fig. 8e), where *otx* demarcates the ventral expression range of *six3/6* posterior to the cells expressing the PRD class gene *otp*, tracing the prototroch [21, 23, 34]. It is only partly in concordance with the pattern of the transcription factor *otx* in *C. teleta*, which develops domains anterolateral and posteromedian in the brain, but also in the foregut, posterior region of the midgut, hindgut and the medioventral ectoderm [74]. The expression domains of the PRD class gene *otx* are thus little conserved among the anterior neural regions in the annelid species analyzed so far. Further studies have to ascertain whether the exclusiveness of *six3/6* and *otx* as observed in the apical organ and the posterior region of the brain in *P. dumerilii* trochophore larvae [21, 34] or the broad expression in the brain and digestive system as detected in *C. teleta* [74] are also present in the meiofaunal annelid during earlier developmental stages. The differences among the three annelids thereby might be the result of temporal variability of this gene’s activation rather than an expression in different domains.

*Dg-pax6* is expressed in extended patches in the regions of the eyes dorsal to the neuropil in the brain of *D. gyrociatus* (Figs. 2m–o, 8a–c). This matches the transcription factor’s proposed role in eye development across Bilateria [40, 76], based on its bilateral brain domains, being the most common location of photoreceptors [9, 35]. The lateral patterns found in the median coronal plane of the brain of *D. gyrociatus* might also indicate that it is involved in specifying the mediolateral axis of the central nervous system as it has been suggested for the bilaterian ancestor [35].

*Dg-foxg* is expressed in domains in the anterior and the posterior region of the brain, which resembles the pattern detected with *Dg-otp* and *Dg-otx* (Figs. 2g–l, 3a–c, 8a–c). The anterior domain is constituted by an interconnected series of spots, while there is a pair of dorsolateral demarcated domains in the posterior part of the brain (Figs. 3a–c, 8a–c). This seems to be contradicting the finding in the trochophore larvae of *P. dumerilii*, where this gene is related to the developing cerebral ganglia and especially the mushroom bodies [9], and thereby labels more central neural structures (Fig. 8e). The different patterns in the annelids studied so far might either be related to the developmental stage the animals are in (trochophore larvae in *P. dumerilii* vs. adults in *D. gyrociatus*) or to the different organization of the nervous system (compartmentalized in *P. dumerilii* vs. compact and seemingly unstructured in *D. gyrociatus*). Further information in *C. teleta* (adult and developmental stages), which has an unstructured brain, but indirect development, will aid the reconstruction of a general annelid pattern.

All perikarya of the brain with the exception of the ventrolateral sides also express *Dg-six3/6* (Figs. 3d–f, 5f, g, 8a–c; Additional file 9), which therefore shows broad overlap with the other genes used in this study (Fig. 8a–c). This supports previous findings about *six3/6* playing a major role in demarcating the anterior or anteromedian region of the brain in several invertebrate groups [22, 34, 77], and especially in patterning the larval apical organ and cerebral ganglia in the annelids *C. teleta* and *P. dumerilii* (Fig. 8d, e, [23]).

The most elaborate dorsal pattern is formed by *Dg-dim*, which is strongest along the dorsal midline while expanding antero- and posteromedially in the brain (Figs. 3g–i, 5d, e, 8a–c; Additional file 9). The transcription factor *dimmed*, which is suggested to be involved in patterning neurosecretory cells during early and larval development, is detected in several cells of both the brain and the ventral nerve cord in the ecdysozoan *D. melanogaster* [71, 72], and in few cells in the apical organ of the trochophore larva of the annelid *P. dumerilii* [70]. In *Drosophila*, the protein DIMMED directly activates the neuropeptide-amidating enzyme PHM [72]. Since amidated neuropeptides are generally conserved between related animal lineages, e.g., spiralian groups [78], further work in more annelid species is essential to better understand the evolution of *dimmed*-positive neurons.

*Dg-nk2.1* and *Dg-nk2.2* do not exclusively pattern the brain, since *Dg-nk2.1* is also expressed in the roof of the foregut and the entire hindgut (Figs. 3j–l, 7f, g, 8a–c; Additional file 9), and *Dg-nk2.2* labels the midgut and is completely absent from the adult brain of the female *D. gyrociatus* (Fig. 3m–o; Additional file 9). *Capitella teleta* shows similar anterolateral (and posteromedian) expression domains of *nk2.1* in the brain, which are supplemented by strongly labeled regions in the fore- and hindgut, as well as spot-like expression in the remaining digestive tract (Fig. 8d (domain in the foregut shadowed by broader region of *otx*), [74]). In *P. dumerilii*, *nk2.1*
was found associated with the mouth opening and the ventral side of the trophophore larva, where it extends from approximately the apical organ (where it has a broad expression domain) toward the mouth opening, overlapping with six3/6 (Fig. 8e, [18, 22, 23]). This latter somewhat discrepant pattern emphasizes the need for developmental studies of D. gyrociatus for proper comparison, but the overall resemblance among all hitherto studied annelid species supports a probable function of the transcription factor nk2.1 in differentiating the ventral region of the brain as well as the digestive system in annelids.

The transcription factor nk2.2 strictly complements the pattern of pax6 in the developing nervous system in the trophophore larva of P. dumerilii (Fig. 8e, [35]). It does not have domains anterior to the prototroch, but is expressed in a slender region of midline cells, thereby labeling the medial edges of the fusing neuroectoderm and possibly lining the prototroch lateral to the stomodaeum (Fig. 8e, [35]). In later stages, the domain is limited to the midventral region between the prominent nerve cords [35]. Although we did not observe expression of Dg-nk2.2 in the brain in adult D. gyrociatus, further expression analyses in juveniles and embryonic stages will help uncover possible neural-related expression of nk2.2 in this annelid species.

Adult female D. gyrociatus show expression of Dg-syt in the brain, but also in the ganglionic accumulations along the ventral nerve cord (associated with the commissural sets, Figs. 4c, d, 8a–c), which is similar to previous findings in other annelids such as C. teleta and P. dumerilii [33, 35]. Synaptotagmin-I is expressed in individual cells of the apical organ or early adult brain and along the ventral nerve cords, seemingly involved in the formation of the ganglionic cord of C. teleta and P. dumerilii [33, 35]. We therefore suggest that Dg-syt plays a similar role in neural specification in D. gyrociatus. [29, 31].

Altogether, our findings indicate that the overall patterning of the brain in adult females of D. gyrociatus resembles the molecular regionalization observed in larval stages of previously examined annelids (e.g., [16, 21, 23, 37, 40, 79, 80]). We furthermore identified that (1) Dg-dim, Dg-six3/6 and Dg-syt pattern a mediodorsal, probably neurosecretory region, which also extends ventrally anterior and posterior to the neuropil (Fig. 5), (2) Dg-foxg, Dg-gsc and Dg-otx demarcate a population of cells underneath the second ciliary band of the protostomium (Fig. 6), and (3) Dg-foxg, Dg-hbn, Dg-otx, Dg-nk2.1 and Dg-pax6 specify sensory regions in the brain such as the anterior neural region (probably related to the prostomial compound cilia), the eyes and the base of the nerves extending from the nuchal organs to the neuropil (Fig. 7). However, more detailed investigations of the embryonic and juvenile stages in D. gyrociatus will provide a better insight into the role of these genes during neural development.

**Miniaturization and the molecular patterning of the brain in Annelida**

Meiofaunal species and their organ systems have not been included in previous studies of annelid and spiralian diversity although their inclusion will likely provide additional insight into how conserved molecular networks are modified to give rise to differently organized tissues. In this context, it is still unclear whether neural genes, which are broadly conserved in macroscopic representatives of Protostomia and Deuterostomia [52, 53, 81, 82, 83], maintain their relative expression domains in brains of microscopic size and limited cell number, as those found in interstitial animals. Our findings demonstrate that the relative position of genes such as foxg, orthopeedia, homeobrain, six3/6 and synaptotagmin-I seems to be similar between D. gyrociatus and other annelids and—to some extent—Spiralia (Figs. 1, 2, 3, 4, [16, 23, 33, 35]), although the approximate number of cells in the respective domains is lower. Importantly, these observations uncover underlying substructures in the small, compact brain of D. gyrociatus. The fact that we observed similar molecular domains in D. gyrociatus and other annelid taxa suggests that the relative extent of the expression areas is maintained regardless of the life stage of the animal. This furthermore suggests that the cells in the brain of D. gyrociatus do not show a higher degree of multifunctionality, but that in these microscopic brains probably fewer cells are assigned to certain functions. Further studies unraveling the earlier developmental stages of D. gyrociatus as well as the dwarf male with an even smaller brain consisting of only 42 cells [63, 64] are therefore highly warranted. They will not only help to generate a broader base for comparisons between annelid and/or spiralian taxa, but also deepen our understanding of the conservation of genetic patterning of the brain in species with different neuroanatomies adapted to particular ecological niches and possibly varying requirements in different life stages (encapsulated embryos, free-swimming larvae, adults).

**Conclusions**

Adult females of D. gyrociatus express dim, foxg, gsc, hbn, otx, nk2.1, pax6, six3/6 and syt almost exclusively in the brain, and their pattern is consistent with the domains described in larval stages in the macrobenthic annelid species P. dumerilii and C. teleta (e.g., [16, 21, 23]). Although the brain does not show elaborate morphological substructures (e.g., mushroom bodies, optic lobes/
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