Soil nitrogen determines greenhouse gas emissions from northern peatlands under concurrent warming and vegetation shifting
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Boreal peatlands store an enormous pool of soil carbon that is dependent upon - and vulnerable to changes in - climate, as well as plant community composition. However, how nutrient availability affects the effects of climate and vegetation change on ecosystem processes in these nutrient-poor ecosystems remains unclear. Here we show that although warming promoted higher CH₄ emissions, the concurrent addition of N counteracted most (79%) of this effect. The regulation effects of the vegetation functional group, associated with the substrate quality, suggest that CH₄ emissions from peatlands under future warming will be less than expected with predicted shrub expansion. In contrast, N₂O flux will be enhanced under future warming with predicted shrub expansion. Our study suggests that changes in greenhouse gas emissions in response to future warming and shifts in plant community composition depend on N availability, which reveals the complex interactions that occur when N is not a limiting nutrient.
Northern peatlands store ~30% (~600 Gt) of the world’s terrestrial soil carbon (C), equivalent to half of the total atmospheric C. This enormous store of soil C results from persistently greater rates of plant production than decomposition, due to the high water content, poor nutrient, and recalcitrant litter such as Sphagnum moss, all of which reduce decomposition. However, the anoxic conditions of northern peatlands make them a global source of methane (CH₄), annually releasing 10–25 CH₄-C Tg (12.2% of the global total) into the atmosphere.

Carbon dioxide (CO₂), CH₄, and nitrous oxide (N₂O) are the three most important greenhouse gases (GHGs), after water vapor. Emission of GHGs by northern peatlands is tightly coupled to climate change through the impact of climate on peatland hydrology and plant community composition. Moreover, the climate is experiencing a greater rate of change than in the past, and climate warming is expected to increase nutrients mineralization from soil organic matter. Further, climate warming can alter the vegetation composition, via changes in temperature, soil water content, and soil nutrients. Further, climate warming can alter the vegetation composition, e.g., shrub expansion in tundra areas, or leading to the loss of selective plant species. Land use change also affects the plant community composition. For example, burning and grazing promoted fast-growing graminoids over slower-growing Ericaceae shrubs and mosses, while drainage reduced the coverage of Sphagnum moss on hummocks that facilitated the invasion of sedges on lawns in a poor fen. Previous studies have shown the rapid response of vegetation composition on GHG emissions under both N-ambient and N-additional conditions from a boreal peatland (Supplementary Figure 1).

Results

Open-top chambers enhance air temperature. Open-top chambers statistically significantly increased soil temperature, on average, by 1.2 °C (F_{1,384} = 18.2, P < 0.001) at 5 cm depth and 0.44 °C (F_{1,384} = 29.8, P < 0.001) at 20 cm depth (Supplementary Tables 1 and 2; Supplementary Figure 1). We found no evidence that either N (with addition of 6.4 g N m⁻² year⁻¹; F_{1,384} = 0.05, P = 0.83) or vegetation manipulation (graminoid present/absent: F_{1,384} = 1.06, P = 0.31; shrub present/absent: F_{1,384} = 0.07, P = 0.79) affected soil temperature. All treatments of our experiment had no significant effects on water table depth (Supplementary Tables 1 and 2).

N addition counteracts warming-induced CH₄ emission. Our analysis revealed significant treatment effects from both warming and plant community composition on CH₄ emission (Fig. 1b, Table 1). Specifically, the warming doubled the overall mean CH₄ emission from 1.39 ± 0.29 to 2.85 ± 0.39 mg m⁻² h⁻¹ regardless vegetation composition, and CH₄ emissions were larger in the presence of graminoids (2.24 ± 0.23 mg m⁻² h⁻¹) than without graminoids (1.46 ± 0.21 mg m⁻² h⁻¹), independent of other treatments. Although N addition alone did not influence CH₄ emission (F₁,374 = 1.51, P = 0.23), we observed a significant combined effect of N addition and warming on CH₄ emission (F₁,374 = 9.27, P = 0.004). More specifically, N addition counteracted most (~79%) of the warming-induced increase in CH₄ emissions (Fig. 1b) and led to an overall mean CH₄ emissions rate of only 1.69 ± 0.27 mg m⁻² h⁻¹ (a 0.30 mg m⁻² h⁻¹ increase) for combined treatments (compared with 2.85 ± 0.39 mg m⁻² h⁻¹ for non-manipulated control plots.)
On the other hand, we detected an interactive effect on CH4 emissions of warming with the removal of graminoid (F_{1,374} = 10.1, P = 0.003), with the lowest CH4 emission rates being measured in unwarmed plots without the graminoids (0.16 ± 0.47 mg m\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\), a greater than 0.8 factor decrease) and the highest CH4 emission rates in warmed plots with intact vegetation (3.73 ± 0.87 mg m\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\), Fig. 1b). Additionally, the presence of graminoids or shrubs interacted to affect CH4 flux (F_{1,374} = 4.71, P = 0.04). No interactions between N addition and vegetation manipulation were found to affect CH4 emissions (Table 1).

Warming and shrub expansion enhances N\(_2\)O flux. The N addition increased the N\(_2\)O flux by 44-fold, from an overall mean of 0.011 ± 0.026 mg m\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\) for the control treatment to 0.48 ± 0.11 mg m\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\) for the N addition treatment (F_{1,304} = 22.8, P = 0.001; Table 1 and Fig. 1c). We did not detect a significant effect of warming alone on N\(_2\)O flux (F_{1,304} = 0.17, P = 0.69). An interactive effect was observed between N addition and warming (F_{1,304} = 6.36, P = 0.03), wherein warming further enhanced the positive effect of N addition on N\(_2\)O flux by 67% (Fig. 1c). The interactive effect between N addition and warming varied according to plant functional groups (Table 1), with the largest N\(_2\)O flux being found at sites with the treatments of N

---

**Fig. 1** Ecosystem respiration (a), methane (b), and nitrous oxide (c) fluxes observed during the manipulation experiment year of 2015. Solid circles mean the ninety-fifth and fifth percentiles, the range of each column is from twenty-fifth to seventy-fifth percentile, the short dash in each column indicates the mean, the dash in each column is the median. G + S graminoids and shrubs both present, G graminoids only present, S shrubs only present, None no vascular vegetation present. Note: for all plots, the substrate layer of Sphagnum mosses remained intact.

---

**Table 1** Ecosystem respiration (mg CO\(_2\) m\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\)) CH4 flux (mg CH4 m\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\)) N\(_2\)O flux (mg N\(_2\)O m\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\))

| Treatment | G+S | G | S | None |
|-----------|-----|---|---|------|
| Control   |     |   |   |      |
| N addition|     |   |   |      |
| Warming   |     |   |   |      |
| N addition * Warming | | | |
addition and warming where only shrubs were present (1.85 mg N₂O m⁻² h⁻¹) and the smallest at sites with the treatments of N addition and warming where only graminoids were present (0.17 mg N₂O m⁻² h⁻¹, Fig. 1c). Significant interactions between warming and graminoids (F₁,304 = 11.4, P = 0.007) and between warming and shrubs (F₁,304 = 15.6, P = 0.003) were detected, suggesting the effect of warming on N₂O flux was regulated by the removal of different plant functional groups (Table 1, Fig. 1c). The presence of graminoids or shrubs, without N addition and warming, also interacted to affect N₂O flux (F₁,304 = 5.93, P = 0.035).

Vegetation regulates substrate quality altered by warming. Warming significantly increased pore water dissolved organic C (DOC) from 35.7 ± 1.40 to 37.3 ± 1.01 mg L⁻¹ (P = 0.04) (Table 2 and Fig. 2). N addition did not significantly change DOC (Table 2). A significantly higher DOC was observed in plots where shrubs were absent than in those where they were present (F₁,382 = 3.48, P = 0.07, Table 2). Significant interactions were observed among warming, N addition, and graminoid removal on DOC (Table 2). Warming slightly increased DOC aromaticity, where peatland derived DOC with high aromaticity is often linked to low bioavailability, indicated by specific UV

| Manipulated variables | Statistical parameters for dependent variables |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------------|
|                       | Ecosystem respiration | CH₄ | N₂O |
|                       | df | F | P | df | F | P | df | F | P |
| N added/no N added    | 1  | 0.16 | 0.70 | 1  | 1.51 | 0.23 | 1  | 22.8 | 0.001 |
| Warm/ambient temperature | 1  | 0.01 | 0.93 | 1  | 12.3 | 0.001 | 1  | 0.17 | 0.69 |
| Graminoid presence/absence | 1  | 0.00 | 0.99 | 1  | 5.50 | 0.02 | 1  | 0.92 | 0.36 |
| Shrub presence/absence | 1  | 0.43 | 0.53 | 1  | 3.65 | 0.06 | 1  | 1.63 | 0.23 |
| N added × warmed      | 1  | 0.35 | 0.57 | 1  | 9.27 | 0.004 | 1  | 6.36 | 0.03 |
| N added × graminoids  | 1  | 0.16 | 0.76 | 1  | 1.22 | 0.28 | 1  | 1.99 | 0.19 |
| N added × shrubs      | 1  | 0.08 | 0.79 | 1  | 0.05 | 0.82 | 1  | 0.45 | 0.52 |
| Warm × graminoids     | 1  | 0.10 | 0.76 | 1  | 10.1 | 0.003 | 1  | 11.4 | 0.007 |
| Warm × shrubs         | 1  | 0.15 | 0.71 | 1  | 3.57 | 0.06 | 1  | 15.6 | 0.003 |
| Graminoids × shrubs   | 1  | 0.21 | 0.66 | 1  | 4.71 | 0.04 | 1  | 5.93 | 0.035 |
| N added × warmed × graminoids | 1  | 0.10 | 0.76 | 1  | 2.53 | 0.12 | 1  | 5.39 | 0.043 |
| N added × warmed × shrubs | 1  | 0.13 | 0.73 | 1  | 0.15 | 0.70 | 1  | 5.75 | 0.037 |
| Warm × graminoids × shrubs | 1  | 1.92 | 0.20 | 1  | 3.83 | 0.058 | 1  | 0.76 | 0.403 |
| N added × graminoids × shrubs | 1  | 0.12 | 0.73 | 1  | 0.67 | 0.42 | -  | -    | -   |
| N added × warmed × graminoids × shrubs | 1  | 0.83 | 0.39 | 1  | 0.55 | 0.56 | -  | -    | -   |

Significant (P < 0.05) values are presented in bold.

df = degree of freedom, F = F-value, n = number of samples.

Table 2 Statistical analysis of the effects of, and interactions between N addition, warming, and present/absence of plant functional groups, i.e., shrubs and graminoids, on soil pore water dissolved organic carbon (DOC), total nitrogen (TN), C/N ratio, and SUVA₂⁵⁴ and a₂⁵⁴/a₃₆⁵ of soil pore water DOC

| Manipulated variables | Statistical parameters for dependent variables |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------------|
|                       | DOC | TN | C/N ratio | SUVA₂⁵⁴ | a₂⁵⁴/a₃₆⁵ |
|                       | df | F | P | df | F | P | df | F | P | df | F | P |
| N added/no N added    | 1  | 0.08 | 0.78 | 17.6 | <0.001 | 20.7 | <0.001 | 0.05 | 0.83 | 0.18 | 0.67 |
| Warm/ambient temperature | 1  | 4.50 | 0.04 | 0.31 | 0.58 | 0.14 | 0.71 | 3.23 | 0.07 | 1.76 | 0.19 |
| Graminoid presence/absence | 1  | 0.26 | 0.61 | 0.13 | 0.73 | 0.21 | 0.65 | 0.84 | 0.36 | 6.69 | 0.01 |
| Shrub presence/absence | 1  | 3.48 | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.76 | 0.28 | 0.60 | 2.81 | 0.10 | 3.05 | 0.09 |
| N added × warmed      | 1  | 0.39 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.47 | 0.34 | 0.56 | 1.54 | 0.22 | 0.31 | 0.58 |
| N added × graminoids  | 1  | 0.17 | 0.68 | 1.75 | 0.19 | 1.21 | 0.28 | 0.13 | 0.72 | 0.42 | 0.52 |
| N added × shrubs      | 1  | 1.04 | 0.31 | 0.01 | 0.91 | 0.34 | 0.57 | 0.08 | 0.78 | 0.04 | 0.85 |
| Warm × graminoids     | 1  | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.77 | 0.19 | 1.69 | 0.20 | 0.002 | 0.96 | 0.75 | 0.39 |
| Warm × shrubs         | 1  | 0.24 | 0.63 | 0.43 | 0.52 | 1.84 | 0.18 | 0.05 | 0.83 | 1.85 | 0.18 |
| Graminoids × shrubs   | 1  | 1.32 | 0.26 | 2.08 | 0.16 | 5.65 | 0.02 | 3.77 | 0.48 | 0.06 | 0.81 |
| N added × warmed × graminoids | 1  | 8.48 | 0.006 | 1.79 | 0.19 | 0.18 | 0.67 | 2.19 | 0.15 | 0.05 | 0.82 |
| N added × warmed × shrubs | 1  | 0.74 | 0.40 | 0.02 | 0.88 | 0.73 | 0.40 | 0.16 | 0.70 | 0.14 | 0.71 |
| Warm × graminoids × shrubs | 1  | 2.40 | 0.13 | 0.02 | 0.88 | 0.56 | 0.46 | 2.41 | 0.13 | 0.60 | 0.44 |
| N added × graminoids × shrubs | 1  | 0.00 | 0.10 | 1.02 | 0.32 | 0.68 | 0.41 | 0.12 | 0.73 | 0.08 | 0.78 |
| N added × warmed × graminoids × shrubs | 1  | 0.47 | 0.50 | 0.12 | 0.73 | 0.04 | 0.85 | 0.46 | 0.50 | 0.02 | 0.89 |

Bold values indicate the effect is statistically significant.
absorbance at 254 nm, SUVA_{254} (P = 0.07), and graminoid removal significantly increased DOC weight-averaged molecular weight (indicated by a UV absorbance ratio between 250 and 365 nm, a_{250}/a_{365}, F_{1,317} = 6.69, P = 0.01, Table 2, Fig. 3). Our data indicated that N addition and warming interactively affected DOC, TN, C/N ratio, and the chemical composition of DOC with the effects being regulated by vegetation composition.

**Discussion**
In our study, we manipulated both abiotic (temperature, N level) and biotic (presence or absence of vascular plant functional groups, i.e., shrubs and graminoids) factors to investigate the complex interactions regulating ecosystem processes, i.e., ecosystem respiration (R_{eco}), CH_{4}, and N_{2}O fluxes. Our findings agree with our hypothesis that soil N condition regulates the independent, or the combined effects of warming and vegetation shifting on ecosystem processes. Based on our findings, we generated a conceptual model of GHG emission by northern peatlands (Fig. 4), which presents the complex regulating effects of soil temperature, soil moisture or water table depth, N conditions, and vegetation composition on GHG emissions. Particularly, this model emphasizes the interactive effects between warming and N
deposition on CH$_4$ and N$_2$O fluxes, and between vegetation composition and N deposition on N$_2$O flux, which were revealed for the first time through this comprehensively manipulative experiment that considered changes in climate, N availability, and vegetation at the same time.

The insignificant change of $R_{\text{eco}}$ could be attributed to the slow stabilization of plant community composition to vegetation removal because we observed that warming slightly increased $R_{\text{eco}}$ at the plots without vegetation removal, but this increase was not statistically significant ($P = 0.06$). Since our experiments have only run for 2 years, our findings must be viewed with caution. We still need to see if this insignificant change in $R_{\text{eco}}$ would persist after a long-term period of warming and vegetation shifting (i.e., after 5 years’ treatment).

In contrast, the two potent greenhouse gases, CH$_4$ and N$_2$O, showed strong responses to the manipulations. Considering first CH$_4$, warming unsurprisingly overall increased CH$_4$ emissions by 105% regardless of vegetation treatment, which was consistent with previous research on peatlands.$^{25,38}$ The increased CH$_4$ emissions resulted from warming may be due to increased methanogen activity, and substrate quantity (Table 2 and Figs. 2, 3) as a consequence of stimulated vegetation growth.$^{39}$ Previous studies have been contradictory regarding the impact of N addition on CH$_4$ emissions, which have been shown as either a significant increase$^{40}$ or a statistically insignificant change.$^{41,42}$ This has led to conflicting reports on the net impacts of N fertilizers on CH$_4$ emissions.$^{43,44}$ Therefore, the insignificant effect of N addition on CH$_4$ flux (Table 1) was not unexpected. However, N addition strikingly countered most (79%) of the warming-induced CH$_4$ emissions (Fig. 1b), implying that future warming may not trigger as large a release of CH$_4$ emissions from peatlands as has been anticipated$^{38,45}$ in the presence of increasing N deposition from the atmosphere. Note must be made that the counteracting effect in our experiments could largely depend on the non-limited N supply in our plots as we used 10 times higher N than reported N deposition values in the N addition plots.

The mechanisms underlying the above counteracting effects may be two-fold. Given that the balance of methane production and consumption determines the net flux of CH$_4$,$^{46}$ the suppression of methane production by nitrate$^{47,48}$ could be enhanced by warming. Further, the methane oxidation by nitrogenous fertilizers$^{43,49}$ may be stimulated under warmer conditions, which is less likely because CH$_4$ oxidation rates in soils are theoretically less temperature dependent than CH$_4$ production.$^{50}$ We also speculate that an increased supply of nitrite (NO$_2^-$) produced by nitrification or denitrification processes combined with a warmer climate would accelerate the process of anaerobic methane oxidation driven by oxygenic bacteria.$^{51}$ No matter which mechanism (if any) underlies the counteractive effect (Fig. 4), the negligence or unawareness on this issue$^{52}$ leads to an overestimation of future CH$_4$ emission in response to warming based on our current understanding.$^{53}$ Caution has to be made that this
is under the consumption of an unchanged water table since the influence of temperature on CH₄ emissions is hydrologically dependent. The regulating mechanism urgently needs to be disentangled through investigation of soil microbial dynamics under the conditions of warming and N addition.

The presence of graminoids (sedge-dominated in this case) has previously been demonstrated to positively impact CH₄ emission, either by facilitated transportation due to the presence of aerenchymatous tissues or by increased supply of available substrates. Consistently, graminoid removal that increased high molecular weight DOC and aromaticity suggests that the direction of shifting in plant community composition induced by climate change determines CH₄ emissions. Here we observed that warming enhanced the facilitation effect of graminoids, suggesting that the direction of shifting in plant community composition induced by climate change determines CH₄ emissions. For example, the predicted shrub expansion in peatlands due to warming may interactively retard the warming-induced CH₄ emissions. The regulation of substrate quality by a plant functional group may contribute to the interactive effect of warming and vegetation removal on CH₄ emissions, since the sensitivity of organic matter decomposition to warming varies with substrate quality.

N₂O flux in our study was relatively low (0.011 ± 0.026 mg m⁻² h⁻¹), as would be expected in this nutrient-poor ecosystem, within the range (0.0004–0.034 mg N₂O m⁻² h⁻¹) of previous reports from boreal peatlands. Interestingly, warming alone did not affect the N₂O flux, while interactively enhanced the effects of either N addition or functional group removal on N₂O flux. In contrast with CH₄, boreal peatlands would act as a stronger N₂O source under future warming conditions with predicted shrub expansion, while the N₂O sink of sedge-dominated peatlands may be enhanced under future warming. These interactions (Fig. 4) have rarely been examined by field experiments or process-based modeling, which could lead to an over or underestimate of N cycling for northern peatlands that are being or will be dominated by specific plant functional groups under the condition of elevated N deposition. Although seasonal variations were observed for GHG fluxes (Supplementary Figure 2), no interactive effects of sampling time with treatments (Supplementary Table 3) were found in our data, which suggests that our treatment effects on GHG fluxes are independent of the measurement time.

In summary, our findings provide direct evidence that interactions occur among warming, N addition, and plant community composition to modify the GHG emissions from boreal peatlands. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time that a counteractive effect of N addition on warming-induced CH₄ emissions has been observed. If such a damping effect of N-related suppression of methane production was to occur globally, the increase in CH₄ emissions from northern peatlands in response to climate warming may be significantly smaller than previously predicted, and thus may not cause as much positive climate feedback as anticipated. However, the universality and the experimental results need to be verified in different locations before the findings can be extrapolated to other peatlands. Moreover, the projected shift to increased shrub cover leads to a less pronounced response of CH₄ emissions to climate change than expected by the decrease in substrate quality. In contrast, the projected shift to shrub cover may lead to stronger positive responses in terms of N₂O exchange between the atmosphere and peatland ecosystems under predicted warming along with increasing atmospheric N inputs. We emphasize an urgent need to unravel the underlying mechanisms before incorporating the interactions between biotic and abiotic drivers into future modeling work. Our findings, for the first time, show a decisive control of soil N condition on the independent and interactive effects of warming and plant community composition.
on ecosystem processes. Moreover, our experiment can serve as a possible direction to ecosystem management in terms of mitigating climate change.

Methods

Study site. Our research site is located in an oligotrophic ombrotrophic blanket bog, in Robinsons, western Newfoundland, Canada (48°15'N, 58°39'W). The climate is oceanic temperate, with an annual rainfall of 1340 mm and annual average temperature of 5 °C (1981–2010)37. The mean pH (1:5 soil/water) at the site was 4.5 ± 0.01, and the mean peat depth of 3 m was derived from three random peat depth measurements at the site before the experiment was established. The site is representative of the typical type of peatland found on the island of Newfound-land, where the vegetation consists of an approximately equal biomass of graminoids (Trichophorum cespitosum, Carex chordorrhiza) and dwarf shrubs (Gaylussacia baccata, Rhododendron groenlandicum, Andromeda glaucophylla, Ledum palustre spp.), with Sphagnum mosses (Sphagnum spp., Hylocomium splendens, Aulacomnium turgidum) providing the main matrix38,39.

Experimental design. A factorial design comprising manipulation of temperature (warmed vs. ambient temperature), nitrogen (N; N addition vs. no N addition), and plant community composition (removal of graminoids only vs. removal of shrubs only vs. removal of both graminoids and shrubs vs. no vegetation removed) was established in the spring of 2014. The layout was 2 (Warming and ambient temperature) × 2 (N addition and no N addition) × 2 (shrubs present or absent) × 16 treatments. The experimental site comprised four blocks with 6 m apart from each other, and each block contained one each of all 16 treatments, randomly distributed (n = 64) (See Supplementary Figure 1 for the layout of the experiment design) (2 m × 2 m plot, with a buffer zone of intact vegetation of at least 2 m between adjoining plots).

Warming was achieved passively using hexagonal open-top chambers based on the ITEX design40. Each transparent section making up the hexagonal open-top chambers measured 80 cm along the bottom edge, 62.5 cm along the top edge, and 40 cm in height, to give an internal diameter of 1 m². The chambers were constructed from 3-mm thick clear acrylic sheeting (Ridout Plastics, San Diego, U.S.), which allows 92% light transmission. The open-top chambers method offers a robust means to examine the effects of warming in remote environments without the need for a power supply39, and has been used frequently in arctic and peatland ecosystems39,41,42. The open-top chambers were fixed in place in early May of 2014 (in the center of each 2 m × 2 m plot), and the measurements ended in November 2015.

Air temperatures at vegetation canopy height were recorded continually at a 30-min time step using temperature loggers (Lascar Electronics, Salisbury, UK) and soil temperature at 5 cm and 20 cm depth was continuously recorded at a 30-min time step by soil temperature sensors (LI7900, LI-COR Inc., Lincoln, Nebraska, U.S.) connected to a Campbell data logger (CR1000, Campbell Scientific, Utah, USA) at two randomly chosen plots (one for the plot with warming and one for the plot with ambient temperature), and was also measured manually with a temperature probe in each plot during every gas sampling campaign. Water table for the plot with ambient temperature), and was also measured manually with a water table probe in each plot during every gas sampling campaign. Water table levels were measured from dip-wells made of 1-m long perforated PVC pipe, installed in each of the experimental plots. The water table level was defined as the level at which every gas sample measurement was taken. Annual background inorganic nitrate deposition in the region is 0.5–0.6 g N m⁻². For the N addition treatment, from the start of the study we annually applied 6.4 g N m⁻²,−10 times the annual ambient nitrate N deposition. The rationale behind this quantity was to establish N-limited conditions for this nutrient-poor ecosystem, which is equivalent to the amount of high N addition level treatments used in another study in a northern peatland43. Nutrients were applied in soluble form as NH₄NO₃ in 2 L of water taken from an open pool close to the 2 × 2 m plots bimonthly from May to September each year. The same volume of open pool water was applied to the control sites.

Plant functional group manipulations were made only for the two dominant vascular vegetation types present at our sites: dwarf shrubs and graminoids. To avoid any soil disturbance, we did not manipulate the bryophyte/lichen functional type because of its substrate nature in this ecosystem. Vegetation removal was undertaken by hand from an area of 2 × 2 m. The shoots of shrubs and graminoids were cut back to litter layer level in early May 2014. Plots were left to settle for a year before sampling to minimize the effects of decomposition from roots. We did not include the first year’s data in our data analysis, since high variabilities of GHGs were observed for the first year (Supplementary Figure 3), and no significant treatment effect was statistically detected. Maintenance, such as removal of new shoots of removed vegetation types, was conducted regularly during each sampling campaign.

Measurements of gas exchange. In each sampling plot, a PVC (polyvinyl chloride) collar with an inner diameter of 26 cm was permanently inserted into the peat to a depth of 10 cm in the spring of 2014. The upper part of the collar features a groove to accommodate the water seal needed for the chamber measurements. Care was taken during insertion to minimize disturbance and to avoid severance of large plant roots. Boardwalks were installed to prevent any damage to the vegetation, disturbance to peat gas storage, or emissions during site visits. We had in total six sampling campaigns at ~3-week intervals from June to October 2015. During each sampling campaign, measurements were done for all the plots and conducted between 10:00–15:00 local time during 2–4 days to avoid rainfall.

For ecosystem respiration (Rₑₑₑ), CH₄ and N₂O fluxes, gas samples were collected using opaque chambers 50 cm in height and 26.3 cm in diameter, fitted to the groove of the PVC collar, covered with aluminum foil to reduce any solar heating effect, and equipped with a capillary tube to maintain atmospheric pressure inside the chamber when sampling. Samples were taken immediately upon closure of the chambers and at 10 min., 20 min. and 30 min. after closure. Flux was calculated by linear regression using all four measurements sampled during the 30 min.37,50. Because the light was blocked by the opaque chamber, no photosynthetic flux occurred. Thus, the flux calculated based on the change of CO₂ concentration inside the opaque chamber can be considered to be the ecosystem respiration. Gas samples (25 mL) were taken from the chamber headspace using a gas syringe and injected into pre-evacuated 12 mL Extetainer vials (Labco, Lampeter, UK) for storage prior to analysis. Concentrations of CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O were analyzed by gas chromatography using a Scion 456-GC (gas chromatograph; Bruker, Milton, Canada) equipped with a thermal conductivity detector for CO₂, a flame ionization detector for CH₄ and an electron capture detector for N₂O. For each sample, 5 mL of gas was injected into the chromatograph using an Autosampler (Combi PAL, Milton, Canada). The gas concentration was calculated using a calibration curve based on two certified standard gases, comprising 378 ppm and 0.303% CO₂ (i.e., 3030 ppm), 2.52 and 17.7 ppm CH₄, and 0.770 and 7.63 ppm N₂O (Air Liquide, Canada). All fluxes were adjusted for field sampling temperature, headspace volume and chamber area42.

Soil pore water chemical composition. Soil pore water samples were collected using a Macrorhizones sampler (Rhizosphere, The Netherlands) installed at ~10 cm depth in each plot. The sampler has a porous tip with an outer diameter of 4.5 mm and a pore size of 0.15 μm. Macrorhizones have a female luer lock fitting, which is suitable for creating a vacuum with a male luer lock syringe44. Samples were collected during each gas sampling campaign. DOC and dissolved total nitrogen (TN) analysis was conducted on a Shimadzu TOC-LCPH/TN analyzer (Shimadzu, Japan). Three injections of each sample were applied to calculate the average DOC and TN concentration for each sample.

The composition of DOC was assessed using three indices: specific UV absorbance (SUVA₂₅₄), defined as UV absorbance at 254 nm normalized for the DOC concentration (1 mg⁻¹ C m⁻¹), which increases linearly with measured DOC aromaticity43. Reported values of SUVA₂₅₄ in natural waters usually range from 5 to 61 mg⁻¹ C m⁻¹, equivalent to a range of percent aromaticity between 5 and 45%45. UV absorbance ratio, between 250 and 365 nm (a₂₅₀/a₃₆₅), which is inversely related to the DOC weight-averaged molecular weight46 with reported values in the range three to eight; reported C/N ratio, assumed equal to the measured DOC/TN ratio.

Statistical analysis. The effects of experimental warming, N addition, vegetation manipulation, and their interactions were analyzed by repeated measures ANOVA using IBM SPSS Statistics 20, with sampling date nested within sampling block as random effects. Vegetation manipulation effects were determined according to whether or not each of the two plant functional groups (shrubs or graminoids) was present. Data were checked for normality using the residual plots method and log-transformed where necessary before analysis.

Reporting summary. Further information on experimental design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data availability. The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.
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