Predictive Distribution Modelling of *Calamus andamanicus* Kurz, an Endemic Rattan from Andaman and Nicobar Islands, India
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Abstract

*Calamus andamanicus* Kurz is one of the commercially important solitary rattans endemic to Andaman and Nicobar islands. The habitat suitability modeling program, MaxEnt, was used to predict the potential ecological niches of this species, based on bioclimatic variables. The study revealed high potential distribution of *C. andamanicus* across both Andaman and Nicobar islands. Of the 33 spatially unique points, 21 points were recorded from South and North Andamans and 12 from Great Nicobar Islands. The islands like Little Andaman, North Sentinel, Little Nicobar, Tllangchong, Teresa were also predicted positive even though this rattan is not recorded from these islands. Mean diurnal range, higher precipitation in the wettest month of the year, annual precipitation and precipitation in the driest month are the main predictors of this species distribution.
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Introduction

The systematic conservation planning and management of biodiversity requires the knowledge on the spatial distribution of resources (Margules and Pressey 2000). The information on the conservation aspects such as distribution, abundance and habitat quality are crucial (Baillie et al. 2004) to identify threats and derive suitable management strategy to prevent species extinctions. Methods involving ground surveys covering entire study region are either not possible or time consuming in most of the cases. Even the conventional approaches fail to synthesize the minuscule distribution details of a given species. New Geographical Information System (GIS) approach, has been explored under the rubric of “ecological niche modelling” [ENM; (Soberón and Peterson 2005)] which produces potential distribution maps by establishing a relation between the known presence localities and background information. ENM can provide diverse insights into the ecological and geographic extents of species distributions (Soberón and Peterson 2004), invasive species biology (Peterson 2003), conservation priority setting (Aráujo and Williams 2000), and ecology and evolutionary biology (Kozak and Wiens 2006).

*Calamus andamanicus* Kurz is one of the important commercial rattans endemic to Andaman and Nicobar Islands (Fig. 1). This species was enlisted as Vulnerable (Walter and Gillet 1998) in 1997 IUCN Red List of Threatened plants. Its radical leaves are widely used as thatching material by the tribal communities and cane is used for the furni-
ture industry. The Jarwa tribes of Andaman Islands used to cut the stem of this species as a source of soft drinking water during dry season (Sangal 1971). Its durable stem is also used for making huts, handles of household items, making bows and fences. This species represents Sixty-one percent of the total rattan trade in the islands and around 200 tonnes of this cane per year is exported to mainland as well as other European countries (Senthilkumar et al. 2014). Since C. andamanicus is a solitary rattan the over extraction may results in population trends and regeneration capabilities. ENM technique was used to construct the potential distribution of C. andamanicus in Andaman and Nicobar Islands and maxEnt has been known to produce accurate distribution predictions for numerous rare and threatened species in a restricted study region (Elith et al. 2006; Pearson et al. 2007). The attempt we make here is to produce accurate distribution map of this rattan using ecological niche modelling framework, for understanding local distribution pattern and habitat requirements which is a fundamental goal of modern biogeography.

Materials and Methods

A total of 33 spatially unique points of C. andamanicus were available from our field surveys from Andaman and Nicobar Islands (Sreekumar et al. 2002; Renuka and Sreekumar 2012). The points recorded during field surveys with Global Positioning System (GPS) were plotted in GIS. The points were further geo-rectified with the Survey of India topographic sheets and Google Earth (Google, Mountain View, CA, USA) to obtain accurate coordinates to be used in the modelling. The background environmental data is given in the form of 7 bioclimatic and five topographic variables. The bioclimatic variables are from the Worldclim dataset developed by Hijmans et al. (2005) available at a resolution of 1 km² (http://www.worldclim.org). These variables were derived annual and monthly values of mean temperature, precipitation and seasonality (Table 1). The topographic variables include elevation, aspect, slope, compound topographic index (CTI) and Terrain ruggedness index (TPI). The topographic variables were derived from Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) Digital Elevation Model (http://topex.ucsd.edu/WWW_html/srtm30plus.html) available at 30 meter resolution. All the geodata processing was done with the software ArcGIS. The maximum entropy modelling (MaxEnt) was chosen to predict the potential distribution of the species. MaxEnt is a presence only environmental niche modelling technique (Franklin 2009) which uses only species distribution presence records in space to generate potential prediction maps. It is a machine learning method which produces target distribution predictions from incomplete information i.e. with available few species distribution records, the algorithm generates full potential of its probable distribution in the environmental space where a species may survive (Phillips et al. 2006). MaxEnt has shown to produce competitive results when compared with other general purpose modelling methods in predicting the potential geographical distribution of a species (Elith et al. 2006; Wisz et al. 2008). MaxEnt version
Table 1. Bioclimatic and topographic variables used in the modelling

| Sl. No | Environmental Variables                                      | Type                | Source         |
|--------|--------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|
| 1.     | Bio 01 Annual Mean Temperature                               | Bioclimatic-        | Worldclim      |
| 2.     | Bio 02 Mean Diurnal Range                                    | Bioclimatic-        | Worldclim      |
| 3.     | Bio 03 Maximum Temperature of Warmest Month                 | Bioclimatic-        | Worldclim      |
| 4.     | Bio 06 Minimum Temperature of Coldest Month                 | Bioclimatic-        | Worldclim      |
| 5.     | Bio 12 Annual Precipitation                                 | Bioclimatic-        | Worldclim      |
| 6.     | Bio 13 Precipitation of Wettest Month                       | Bioclimatic-        | Worldclim      |
| 7.     | Bio 14 Precipitation of Driest Month                        | Bioclimatic-        | Worldclim      |
| 8.     | Elevation                                                   | Topographic         | SRTM           |
| 9.     | Slope                                                       | Topographic         | SRTM           |
| 10.    | Aspect                                                      | Topographic         | SRTM           |
| 11.    | CTI Compound Topographic Index                               | Topographic         | SRTM           |
| 12.    | TRI Terrain Ruggedness Index                                 | Topographic         | SRTM           |

Worldclim: http://www.worldclim.org; SRTM, Shuttle Radar Topographic Mission; http://topex.ucsd.edu/WWW_html/srtm30_plus.html

3.3.2e (http://www.cs.princeton.edu/~schapire/maxent/) was used to run the models. In the program, 500 iterations were ran with a convergence threshold of 0.00001 and a maximum of 10,000 background points and algorithm parameters were set to auto features (Phillips and Dudik 2008). Only the random test percentage in the settings was turned to 20% in order to test the model robustness through the Area under Curve (AUC). A simple jackknife test was done to test the accuracy. It was done by leaving randomly one presence point removed (n-1) and the model was ran. The predicted map is tested for the successful prediction of the left out point. The procedure is repeated for n times and the accuracy of prediction was done by a simple probability test. MaxEnt produces predictions in the form of real numbers between 0 and 100 representing the cumulative probability of occurrence. The cumulative output format is chosen and the values were imported into ArcGIS as integer grids for further analysis and comparison.

Results and Discussion

The simple probability test conducted from the jackknife test confirmed that the prediction is significantly better than at random (p < 0.05). The test and training Area under Curve (AUC) values were also higher (above 0.9) which implies the model accuracy and justifies the construction of final niche model with all the available points. The prediction was good because the final niche model includes all the 33 occurrence points from both Andaman and Nicobar Islands (Fig. 2). The predicted potential distribution is higher in the evergreen forests of both island groups and
Table 2. *C. andamanicus*: Variable contribution of bioclimatic and topographic data used in modelling

| Variable Contribution % | Bio 01 | Bio 02 | Bio 05 | Bio 06 | Bio 12 | Bio 13 | Bio 14 | Elevation | Slope | Aspect | CTI | TRI |
|-------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|----------|-------|-------|-----|-----|
|                         | 1.6   | 46.8  | 9     | 2.2   | 12    | 17.4  | 6.2   | -        | 3.7   | 0.1   | -   | 1.1 |

more in the northern half of the Andaman Islands. Mean diurnal range, higher precipitation in the wettest month of the year, annual precipitation and precipitation in the driest month are the main predictors of this species distribution. The contribution of environmental variables that influences the potential distribution of *C. andamanicus* in Andaman and Nicobar Islands is provided in Table 2. Of the 33 reported localities of *C. andamanicus*, 21 were from Andaman group of Islands and 12 were from Nicobar group. Though there were no reported records from islands like North Sentinel and Little Andaman in Andaman group and Teressa and Little Nicobar in the Nicobar group, the species was predicted to be present in these islands also. This indicates the possibility of wider scattered distribution of *C. andamanicus* in all these islands. The islands have humid, tropical, and coastal climate and receives heavy rainfall from both South-west and north-east monsoons, with the average annual rainfall ranging from 3000 to 3500 mm. The maximum precipitation is between May and December. The mean relative humidity is 80%. Thus, the climate of Andaman and Nicobar Islands is highly favorable for the evergreen forest. However, habitat fragmentation, over exploitation of resources and rapidly warming climate appears to be threatening plant biodiversity in these islands. According to the Action plan on climate change prepared by UNDP (2013), there will be a gradual shift in changes of forest types especially shrinking of evergreen forests giving way to moist deciduous forests and increasing the proportion of open forests in the islands. Moreover the erratic and more intense rainfall during a short period of time will lead to increased soil erosion and reduced water availability during drought. The International Plant Genetic Resources Institute (IPGRI) has identified *C. andamanicus* as one of the priority species for research and development programme (Rao et al. 1998). There are two distinct phenotypes observed with respect to stem diameter, leaf sheath characteristics and the length of infructescence (Renuka et al. 1998). Some of the specimens especially from Nicobar and North Andaman Islands have long flagellate infructescence, while others have short non-flagellate infructescence. Since, this is a solitary species the extraction of cane before flowering ages drastically affects the natural regeneration and hence for the *in-situ* conservation measures priority sites should be identified. The potential predicted distribution information of *C. andamanicus* species will be helpful in planning, conservation areas encompassing its existing populations. Even though the available information on biology of this species is scarce, our approach provides an opportunity to identify top-priority survey sites, and to set priorities to restore its natural habitat for more effective conservation. A detailed study on population structure, spatial ecology, reproductive phenology and seed dispersal and predation are recommended further to develop species specific conservation programmes.
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