Need an ESCRT for autophagosomal maturation?
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Several reports in fly, nematode and mammalian cells have revealed that the inactivation of endosomal sorting complexes required for transport (ESCRT) blocks the endosomal maturation but also leads to the increased number of autophagosomes. In this review we compare these data and conclude that the way ESCRT mutations affect the relationships between autophagosomes and endosomes cannot be generalized but depends on the studied species. We propose that the effect of ESCRT mutations on autophagy is directly dependent of the level of interaction between autophagosomes and endosomes. In particular, the formation of amphisomes during autophagosomal maturation could be the key point to explain the differences observed between species. These observations highlight the importance of multiple model organisms to decipher the complexity of relationships between such dynamic vesicles.

Note About the Nomenclature

Because the nomenclature and sometimes the names of genes are different between species, we have chosen to use a double nomination with the yeast name preceding the name of the particular species homolog if they are different (e.g., Atg8p/LC3 in mammals, Atg8p/LGG-1 in the worm but Atg8a in drosophila).

Of Autophagosomes and Endosomes

Eukaryotic cells contain a highly dynamic vesicle-mediated transport system which selects and delivers proteins and lipids to different subcellular organelles. The degradation and recycling of the cellular material is essential for the cell to maintain its homeostasis. Autophagosomes and endosomes, involved in the process of autophagy and endocytosis respectively, are the main players for vesicular degradation within the cell, both have the fate to fuse with lysosomes to deliver their cargo for degradation (Fig. 1A). Autophagy, which usually refers to macroautophagy, allows the degradation of cytoplasmic constituents, long-lived proteins and organelles. Briefly, the autophagy activation relies on inducing stimuli, such as starvation, which triggers the nucleation and the elongation of a flat phagophore also called phagophore which could originate from various membrane reservoirs. The complete sequestration of cytoplasmic constituents is achieved by the closure of the phagophore resulting in the double-membrane autophagosome. In the next step, the autophagosomes fuse with lysosomes to form the autophagolysosomes, where the inner membrane and the cytoplasmic content are degraded. Elongation of autophagosomal membranes requires the recruitment of the ubiquitin-like protein Atg8p/LC3 (Fig. 1A). Atg8p/LC3 is present on autophagosome membranes as a phosphatidylethanolamine conjugated form (named LC3 II) and is widely used as a marker of autophagosomes.

The endosomal compartment functions as a central sorting site for both the endocytic and biosynthetic pathways and is therefore involved in the regulation of many signaling pathways. During receptor-mediated endocytosis, ubiquitylated ligand/receptor complexes are transported to early endosomes and are either delivered to lysosomes for degradation or recycled back to the membrane (Fig. 1A). Endosomal maturation is characterized by a Rab5/Rab7 GTPases switch and the formation of a late compartment called the multi-vesicular body (MVB), defined by the presence of intra-luminal vesicles (ILV). Electron microscopy and biochemical studies in mammals have documented that fusion events could occur between endosomes and autophagosomes, to generate intermediate vesicles named amphisomes which also finally fuse with the lysosome (Fig. 1A).

ESCRT, the Keystone of MVB Formation

The ESCRT machinery which is essential for the sorting of ubiquitylated membrane proteins and the formation of ILVs in the MVB compartment, is composed of four hetero-multimeric complexes, ESCRT-0 to III. In addition, a number of accessory proteins are associated to the ESCRT complexes. The current model for the coordinated function of the ESCRT complexes proposes that ESCRT-0, formed by Vps27p/HRS and Hse-1, is dedicated to the formation of cargoes of ubiquitylated proteins at the endosomal membrane. Subsequently, ESCRT-0 recruits ESCRT-I via a direct interaction between Vps27p/HRS and Vps23p/TSG101.16-18 and ESCRT-II interacts with ESCRT-I through the binding of Vps36p/EAP45 to Vps28p.9 Recent data, obtained using giant uni-lamellar vesicles, suggests that ESCRT-I and II are necessary to generate inward budding vesicles at the endosomal membrane.20
ubiquitin is removed by the deubiquitinase Doa4. Finally, the ESCRT machinery is dissociated from the endosomal membrane by the ATPase Vps4p.21,22 However, if the ESCRTs machinery is

The oligomerisation of the coiled-coil proteins forming the ESCRT-III complex is then required for the membrane scission to release the ILVs. During the addressing of cargoes to the ILVs,
well conserved in all eukaryotes, its complexity has arisen during the evolution of multi-cellular organisms. The duplication of several genes in mammals\textsuperscript{23} raises the possibility of alternative roles for ESCRT components.\textsuperscript{24}

Several reports in nematode, fly and mammals showed that in addition to the characteristic endosomal maturation defect, mutations in ESCRT components lead to an increase in the number of autophagosomes (Table 1).\textsuperscript{25–29} Specific studies have tried to understand why autophagy is affected in ESCRT mutants according with two main hypotheses presented in Figure 1: the accumulation of autophagosomes is due to the lack of autophagosome-lysosome fusion (Fig. 1B) or there is an induction of autophagic flux (Fig. 1C).

Historically, the first observations linking autophagy and endosome maturation defects in ESCRT mutants came from models of neurodegenerative disorders in which autophagy is clearly involved for the clearance of toxic proteins and aggregates.

From Cell Culture…

Mutations in the ESCRT-III component VPS2/chmp2B has been found to be associated with neurodegenerative diseases, such as frontotemporal dementia (FTD) and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), which present proteins aggregates positive both for ubiquitin and the p62 autophagic adaptor protein.\textsuperscript{30–32} From these observations, Filimonenko and collaborators explored the link between ESCRT deletions and autophagy defects using mammalian cell culture experiments.\textsuperscript{30} By combining siRNA approaches against VPS23/tsg101 (ESCRT-I) or VPS24/chmp3 (ESCRT-III), colocalization experiments, western blot analyses and electron microscopy (EM), they characterized the nature of enlarged vesicular structures. They observed the apparition of large ubiquitin positive structures associated with endosomal markers but also with the autophagic proteins p62, Alfy (autophagy-linked FYVE protein) and GFP-Atg8p/LC3. By electron microscopy they observed the presence of autophagosomes, amphisomes but not autolysosomes in VPS23/tsg101 and VPS24 depleted cells. The analyses of both Atg8p/LC3II, the lipidated form associated to the autophagic membranes, and the elegant tandem fusion mCherry-GFP-Atg8p/LC3, support the idea that in ESCRT mutants the fusion with lysosomal acidic compartments was inhibited. Interestingly, similar results were obtained by overexpressing mutant forms of Vps2p/CHMP2B (ESCRT-III), previously identified in ALS or FTD patients. Together these data strongly suggest that the depletion of ESCRT subunits inhibit autophagic maturation, leading to accumulation of ubiquitin-positive aggregates in autophagosomes and amphisomes.

Tamai et al. reached a similar conclusion using a pathogenic group A Streptococcus (GAS) which infects cells through early endosomes but is nevertheless efficiently degraded by autophagosomes.\textsuperscript{33} Using HeLa cells and mouse embryonic fibroblasts in nutrient restriction condition, they observed a colocalization between endo-lysosomal and autophagic marker respectively Vps27p/HRS, Lamp-1 and GFP-Atg8p/LC3 (Fig. 2). In cells deficient for the ESCRT-0 protein VPS27/hrs, degradation of GAS by autophagy was strongly diminished whereas both Atg8p/LC3I and II were strongly increased. From these data the authors also concluded that the maturation of autophagosomes to form autolysosomes is impaired by VPS27/hrs depletion.

…To Mouse and Drosophila

Lee et al. focused on the interaction between ESCRT-III mutant and autophagy in mouse.\textsuperscript{27} The authors generated a knockout mouse for VPS32/mSnf7-7-2 which died on embryonic day 7.5–8.5. Because VPS32/mSnf7-2 is highly expressed in several neuronal populations they then analyzed its function in cultured cortical neurons and showed that it is required for viability. They also demonstrated that expression of the mutant Vps2p/CHMP2B protein responsible of FTD is sufficient to cause neurodegeneration of cortical neurons. Finally, the authors showed that either the expression of the mutated VPS21/chmp2B or the depletion of VPS32/mSnf7-2 causes an accumulation of GFP-Atg8p/LC3 autophagosomes and an increase in Atg8p/LC3II and II. Electron microscopy confirmed the accumulation of multilamellar bodies and autophagosomes. Interestingly, the authors were able to reproduce a similar increase
of autophagosomes in two other systems, HEK293 cells and the fly eye photoreceptor. They concluded that ESCRT-III dysfunction is likely to interfere with the fusion between autophagosomes and MVB.

Concomitantly, Rusten and colleagues have used a genetic approach to analyze in the fly D. melanogaster the relationships between endosomal maturation and autophagy in ESCRT mutants.33 Using the FLP recombinase technology they generated somatic clones of cells homozygous for null alleles of vps28 (ESCRT-I), vps25 (ESCRT-II) and vps32 (ESCRT-III). They then analyzed the autophagy induction process either in tissues where the basal level of autophagy is almost undetectable (e.g. ovarian follicular cells) or in the fat body, an adipose tissue where autophagy is rapidly induced in starvation (aminoacids) conditions. In each case, they observed that GFP::Atg8a positive structures accumulate in ESCRT mutant cells. They demonstrated a similar effect by overexpressing a dominant negative form of vps28 in the fat body.

To analyze the type of structures that accumulate, they then performed colocalization experiments between the autophagosome marker GFP::Atg8a and either the endosomal protein Vps27p/HRS or the lysosomal marker Lamp1. While in wild-type fat body, Atg8a and Vps27p/HRS positive amphisomes can be easily detected (Fig. 2), this common compartment, was absent in ESCRT mutant cells. Moreover, the formation of autolysosomes (positive for Atg8a and Lamp1) was also affected in vps25 mutant cells. These data indicate that in ESCRT mutants, autophagosomes but neither amphisome nor autolysosome accumulate, suggesting a defect of fusion between endosomes and autophagosomes. This hypothesis was confirmed by electron microscopy analyses of ESCRT mutant larvae which allows the authors to conclude that ESCRT and Vps4 are necessary for autophagosome-endolysosome fusion. Finally, using a fly model of Huntington’s disease, the authors demonstrated that ESCRT depletion affects the autophagic clearance of protein aggregates.

**C. elegans, the Exception that Proves the Rule?**

Since several years, our group has used the nematode C. elegans to study the interactions between autophagosomes and endosomes.25,34-37 C. elegans only possess one homolog for each ESCRT component and using knockdown and mutants in both the ESCRT machinery (ESCRT-0, I, II, III and the ATPase VPS-4) and the autophagic pathway, we analyzed in vivo, the functional links between endosomal maturation and autophagy. To investigate the autophagic pathway in wild-type or ESCRT mutant context, we first performed EM analyses37 and observed numerous abnormal vesicular structures of variable sizes containing an accumulation of membranous material and presenting similarities to late autophagic vacuoles observed in mammals.38 We then used GFP fusion proteins of Atg8p/LGG-1 and Atg8p/LGG-2, the worm homologs of the LC3 human autophagic marker, to visualize the autophagic process. We observed a strong increase of the number of autophagosomes in all ESCRT mutants analyzed and then monitored the autophagic flux by western blotting. When the autophagosome fuses with the lysosome, GFP::Atg8p/LGG-1 protein is degraded releasing a GFP fragment. The detection of this GFP fragment in ESCRT mutant indicates that autophagosomes are formed and still able to complete fusion with the lysosome. Our study also revealed that in C. elegans embryo, amphisomes can be detected (Fig. 2) but are very infrequent either in basal autophagic conditions or in ESCRT mutants.

We then performed a genetic approach to modify the level of autophagy and analyze whether it modifies the endosomal phenotype of ESCRT mutants. The impairment of autophagy (ATG8/Atg8, ATG8/LGG-2, atg-7) leads to an increase of the endosomal defect while the increase of autophagic basal level (TOR) improves it. The use of spermidine to pharmacologically induce autophagy confirmed this result.25 Altogether our results led us to conclude that in C. elegans ESCRT mutants, the induction of autophagy is an adaptive response trying to promote cell survival and maintain homeostasis. However, it is surprising that in C. elegans, conversely to fly and mammals phenotype, the increase in the number of autophagosomes is not due to a blockage of fusion.

**To Form or Not to Form an Amphisome, That is the Question**

Depending on the species and possibly the cell type, it appears that ESCRT mutations could differentially affect the interaction between the endosomal and autophagic pathways. A blockage of autophagosomal maturation was described in ESCRT mutants in flies and mammals whereas we showed an induction of the autophagy in C. elegans. Specificities in the mechanisms of autophagosomal maturation and fusion are one possible
Box 1. A novel link between ESCRT and autophagy in yeast. ESCRT mutants have been first described and intensively analyzed in yeast, but conversely to metazoan data, deficiency of autophagy in an ESCRT mutant has not been reported. However, there is no evidence of amphisome in yeast in which the autophagosomes can only directly fuse with the vacuole, the lysosome counterpart. However, two papers have recently described the existence of vesicular compartments positive for both autophagosomal proteins and ESCRT components. In both cases these structures are only detected in nitrogen starvation conditions. In one case, this autophagosomal structure is positive for ESCRT-I Vps33p but not ESCRT-III Vps37p and is involved in a non conventional secretion pathway.48 This compartment has been called CUPS (compartment for autophagosome-mediated unconventional protein secretion) by the authors. Mutations of ESCRT components affect differently the formation of CUPS. Similarly, the second report describes autophagosomal structure which formation is affected in ESCRT-I Vps23p and ESCRT-0 Vps27p but no ESCRTIII Vps37 mutants.49 This compartment is involved in a vacuolar degradative pathway (more similar to macroautophagy) but colocalization between ESCRT and autophagosomal marker has not been documented for this particular vesicle. These two studies are the first evidences that interactions between autophagosomal compartment and ESCRT machinery occur in yeast, even so, these compartments are only observed in particular growth conditions.

One can notice that in mammals and fly no experimental data formally excludes that in addition to the fusion blockage ESCRT depletion could also trigger an upregulation of proautophagic signaling. The autophagic flux has not been quantified in these studies due to technical limitations in the context of ESCRT mutants.

Conclusion

Albeit the limited number of studies on the role of ESCRT complexes on the autophagosomal maturation process, they revealed the high level of versatility and variability of interactions between autophagosomes and endosomes. More studies will be necessary first to describe the formation of amphisomes in various cell types and species and then to characterize the mechanistic aspects. Several data on autophagosome formation have identified some SNARE as important factors31,43,44 and one can postulate that they are also good candidates for amphisome formation but additional data are needed to identify the molecular partner involved in the specific fusion that gives rise to amphisome.

In the light of recent results, a higher level of complexity concerning the functions of ESCRT proteins in autophagy could be anticipated. A recent study performed with dendritic cells45 has reported that MVB could mediate a microautophagic process which is impaired when ESCRT components are depleted. Finally, a number of observations have indicated that ESCRT proteins could be involved in non-endosomal functions (for review see refs. 24 and 36) and present MVBs as "a signalling organelle."46 Moreover, two recent papers raise the possibility that some ESCRT components could interact with autophagic process independently of endosomal maturation in yeast (see Box 1).

Altogether these data raise new questions on the role of ESCRT regarding autophagic processes, and highlight the need of various models to better understand the mechanistic of autophagosomal maturation and the interaction between the endosomal and the autophagic pathway. Endocytosis and autophagy are highly dynamics processes, essential for cellular homeostasis, both involved in the responses to the variations of environmental conditions. One can anticipate that regulation of multiple cellular functions could be the result of yet unknown combinations of complexes and organelles involved in these two processes.
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