Influence of molecular weight on molecular dynamics and dynamic rheology of polypropylene glycol filled with silica
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Molecular weight strongly influences the molecular dynamics and rheological responses of nanocomposites, which is far from being well understood. Herein molecular dynamics and rheological behaviors of hydrophilic fumed silica filled unentangled polypropylene glycol (PPG) were investigated as a function of weight averaged molecular weight ($M_w$) of PPG and volume fraction ($\Phi$) of silica. It is shown that $M_w$ does not affect the glassy layers surrounding the nanoparticles and the segmental dynamics of the mobile PPG phase. On the other hand, the mobile PPG phase in the highly filled nanocomposites exhibits an abnormal ‘more fragile’ to ‘stronger’ transition with increasing $M_w$. The reinforcement and thinning behaviors are stronger in lower-$M_w$ nanocomposites with the “more fragile” mobile PPG phase. The results suggest that reinforcement of nanocomposites affects the dynamic fragility of the mobile phase of the matrix.

Introduction

Interactions between nanoparticles and polymers significantly influence the relaxation of polymers adjacent to particles, which is usually ascribed to the main cause influencing rheological and mechanical behaviors of nanocomposites.1–4 The molecular weight, polarity and active groups of polymers strongly affect the interfacial interactions and relaxation behaviors.5–11 Differences of molecular dynamics are macroscopically reflected in changes of glass transition temperature as well as thermal, mechanical electrical, light and other properties,12–19 which allows quantifying the interfacial interactions by means of differential scanning calorimetry (DSC),20–23 broadband dielectric spectrum (BDS),24–29 low field nuclear magnetic resonance,30–34 small angle neutron scattering,35,36 and so on. Both BDS and DSC methods are extensively applied to investigate interfacial interactions in nanocomposites.31,32 Besides the usual segmental dynamics ($\alpha$ relaxation), an additional relaxation, namely, $\alpha'$ relaxation, being two orders of magnitude slower than the $\alpha$ relaxation, could be detected in some nanocomposites containing restricted chains fractions,4,5,33,34 whose dielectric strength can be used to evaluate interfacial interactions35 and estimate the fraction of restricted chains ($\varphi_{imm}$).12,15,16 By using temperature-modulated DSC, the fraction of glassy fractions with totally forbidden $\alpha$ relaxation might be detected according to $\varphi_{g} = 1 - \Delta C_p [1 - w] \Delta C_p^{\alpha}$.17 Here, $w$ is weight fraction of filler, and $\Delta C_p$ and $\Delta C_p^{\alpha}$ are heat capacity increments of the nanocomposites and neat polymer during glass transition, respectively.

Except for the significant influence of filler volume fraction ($\Phi$), the rheology of nanocomposites is highly dependent on weight-averaged molecular weight ($M_w$) of the matrix,27–40 nanocomposites with lower-$M_w$ matrices exhibiting greater reinforcement and stronger nonlinearity.31,41 However, influences of $\Phi$ and $M_w$ on the interfacial interactions25,31,32,42 and rheological responses33,41,42 are far from being understood. Pisis, et al.46 using DSC and BDS find that, in silica and titania filled polydimethylsiloxane, $\varphi_{imm}$ does not change while $\varphi_g$ increases with increasing $\Phi$. Klonos, et al.47,48 show that $\varphi_{imm}$ increases with specific surface area of filler and active sites of molecular chains. Zukoski, et al.49 using low field nuclear magnetic resonance method find that, in silica filled polyethylene glycol nanocomposites, $\varphi_g$ and $\varphi_{imm}$ increase with increasing $\Phi$ and $M_w$. Considering that the interfacial interactions strongly influence rheology of nanocomposites,45–47 the present article is focused on the effects of $M_w$ of unentangled, monodispersed polypropylene glycol (PPG) and $\Phi$ of silica on the chains immobilization and rheology of the nanocomposites. Untangled PPG, with $M_w$ below critical entanglement molecular weight 5300 g mol$^{-1}$ (ref. 48) or 7000 g mol$^{-1}$,49 is a kind of oligomer widely used in traditional industrial fields such as coatings50,51 and adhesives52 as well as emerging materials like shear thickening fluid and liquid body armor.53,54 Fumed silica is in practice used as rheological control agents to simultaneously modify the rheological,47,55,56 thermal and mechanical properties of PPG while the combined effects of $M_w$ of PPG and $\Phi$ of silica on the molecular dynamics have not been investigated thoroughly. Herein
dynamics and rheological behaviors of hydrophilic fumed silica (A200) filled unentangled PPG matrices ($M_w = 425, 1000, 2000, 3000$, and $4000$ g mol$^{-1}$) are investigated thoroughly.

**Experimental section**

**Materials and sample preparation**

Hydroxyl-terminated polypropylene glycol (PPG) samples (dispersity index less than 1.02, and weight-averaged molecular weight, $M_w$, ranging from 425 to 4000 g mol$^{-1}$) were supplied by Shandong Dongda Chem. Ind. Co., Zibo, China. Hydrophilic fumed silica (A200) of 12 nm in diameter of primary spherical particles (according to the provider) was provided by Evonik Degussa Co., Akron, OH, USA. A planetary mixer was used to mix A200 dehydrated at 110 °C for at least 24 h with PPG. Mixing was performed at 300 rpm for 60 min under vacuum to ensure a homogeneous dispersion quality.$^{35}$ The resultant dispersions were extruded under pressure from the mixer to plastic cylinders (300 mL) and the cylinders were sealed and stored at room temperature.

**Characterization**

Rheological measurements were carried out on a stress-controlled rheometer (AR-G2, TA Instruments, US) with a cone-and-plate geometry (diameter 60 mm and cone angle 1°, diameter 40 mm and cone angle 2°, or diameter 20 mm and cone angle 2° depending on viscosity of the dispersions). A steady pre-shear at a shear rate of 0.1 s$^{-1}$ for 180 s was applied to the samples to release extra normal force, following by a rest of 600 s before test.$^{41,42}$ Dynamic frequency ($\omega$)-sweeps were performed at a strain amplitude 0.01% located in the linearity regime at 25 °C.

Reversing heat capacities $C_p$ of the nanocomposites during glass transition of PPG were detected by a differential scanning calorimeter (Q100, TA Instruments, US) under modulated mode. Samples (10–12 mg) cooled to −90 °C were tested at a heating rate of 1 °C min$^{-1}$ with a superimposed temperature modulation of ±1 °C in amplitude and 120 s in period.$^{56}$ A sapphire sample was used to calibrate the signal of capacity mode. Samples ($10\text{ mg}$) cooled to −14 °C were tested at a heating rate of 1 °C min$^{-1}$ and held at 600 s before test.$^{60}$ Dynamic frequency ($\omega$)-sweeps were performed at a strain amplitude 0.01% located in the linearity regime at 25 °C.

To reveal the influence of silica on the glass transition of the PPG fraction in the nanocomposites, heat capacity of the nanocomposites ($C_p^*$) is normalized by weight fraction of PPG ($1 - w$). Fig. 1 shows normalized specific reversing heat capacity, $C_p^*(1 - w)$, as a function of temperature ($T$) for the nanocomposites with various $\varnothing$ and $M_w$ and the inset shows $T_g$ as a function of $\varnothing$. While $T_g$ increases with $M_w$, it is nearly independent of $\varnothing$. It means that the nanoparticles do not necessarily affect the glass transition of the free PPG phase associated with a much small characteristic length scale typically covering only 3–5 monomers.$^{62}$ Furthermore, the deviation of $T_g$ ($\Delta T_g \approx 0$) is not affected by $M_w$.$^{63}$ On the other hand, $C_p^*$ decreases with $M_w$ and the increment of $C_p^*(1 - w)$ during the glass transition, $\Delta C_p^*(1 - w)$, decreases with $\varnothing$, proving that a fraction of PPG immobilized by silica does not undergo glass transition and forms glassy layers surrounding the nanoparticles. On the contrary, the chains beyond the glassy layer are as mobile as those in pure PPG,$^{44}$ indicating that the confinement effects are thus mostly dominated by interfacial phenomena.$^{62}$

In the silica filled PPG nanocomposites, the interfacial hydrogen bonding interaction facilitates the immobilization of PPG chains, which strongly reduces the chains mobility and forbids their segmental dynamics.$^{47,55,56}$ Microscopic structures of dispersions may be described by a three-phase model that

\[\varepsilon^*(\omega) = \varepsilon_\infty + \sum_j \frac{\Delta \varepsilon_j}{1 + (i\omega\tau_{\text{HN}})^{\alpha_j}} + \frac{\sigma}{\varepsilon_0\omega}\]  

(1)

\[\tau_{\text{HN}} = \frac{\sin \frac{\alpha \beta}{\beta + 1} \pi^\frac{1}{2}}{\sin \frac{\alpha}{\beta + 1} \pi^\frac{1}{2}}\]

(2)

**Results and discussion**

To reveal the influence of silica on the glass transition of the PPG fraction in the nanocomposites, heat capacity of the nanocomposites ($C_p^*$) is normalized by weight fraction of PPG ($1 - w$). Fig. 1 shows normalized specific reversing heat capacity, $C_p^*(1 - w)$, as a function of temperature ($T$) for the nanocomposites with various $\varnothing$ and $M_w$ and the inset shows $T_g$ as a function of $\varnothing$. While $T_g$ increases with $M_w$, it is nearly independent of $\varnothing$. It means that the nanoparticles do not necessarily affect the glass transition of the free PPG phase associated with a much small characteristic length scale typically covering only 3–5 monomers.$^{62}$ Furthermore, the deviation of $T_g$ ($\Delta T_g \approx 0$) is not affected by $M_w$.$^{63}$ On the other hand, $C_p^*$ decreases with $M_w$ and the increment of $C_p^*(1 - w)$ during the glass transition, $\Delta C_p^*(1 - w)$, decreases with $\varnothing$, proving that a fraction of PPG immobilized by silica does not undergo glass transition and forms glassy layers surrounding the nanoparticles. On the contrary, the chains beyond the glassy layer are as mobile as those in pure PPG,$^{44}$ indicating that the confinement effects are thus mostly dominated by interfacial phenomena.$^{62}$

In the silica filled PPG nanocomposites, the interfacial hydrogen bonding interaction facilitates the immobilization of PPG chains, which strongly reduces the chains mobility and forbids their segmental dynamics.$^{47,55,56}$ Microscopic structures of dispersions may be described by a three-phase model that
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assumes that, beside the free phase, the polymer forms an inner glassy layer surrounding the hard nanoparticles.\textsuperscript{4,23,32,65,66} Assuming that density of PPG in the nanocomposites is constant everywhere, the fraction of the glassy layers ($\phi_g$) is estimated according to $\phi_g = 1 - \Delta G_p [(1 - \omega) \Delta C_{p}\text{mol}^{-1} g]$,\textsuperscript{37} from which the geometrical thickness of the glassy layers ($a_g$) surrounding geometric ideal spheres of diameter $r$ (6.8 nm) is determined by $a_g/r = [\phi_g (1 - \varnothing)(\varnothing + 1)]^{1/3} - 1$.\textsuperscript{35,56} Fig. 2 shows $\phi_g$ and $a_g$ as a function of $\varnothing$. $\phi_g$ increases linearly against $\varnothing$ and the increment becomes faster at $\varnothing > 0.085$. Concomitantly, $a_g$ keeps constant at about 0.4 nm and 1.2 nm at $\varnothing < 0.085$ and $\varnothing > 0.085$, respectively, which corresponds to about 5 and 15 monomer units being independent of $M_w$.\textsuperscript{20,31,12} The formed glassy layers are important for providing volume repulsive force for dispersing the nanoparticles stably.\textsuperscript{9,10,67}

To reveal the influence of silica on the glass transition of the PPG fraction in the nanocomposites, dielectric loss of the nanocomposites ($\varepsilon''$) is normalized by volume fraction of PPG (1 $-$ $\varnothing$). Fig. 3 shows normalized dielectric loss $\varepsilon''/(1 - \varnothing)$ as a function of frequency $f = \omega/2\pi$ of the nanocomposites at $-40 \degree$ C. Except for the DC conduction process in the low-$f$ region, a strong $\varepsilon''$ peak in the high-$f$ region is related to $\alpha$ relaxation; another relaxation related to normal mode of molecular chains appears in the medium-$f$ region for $M_w =$ 1000 g mol$^{-1}$. The introduction of silica slightly alters symmetry of the $\alpha$ relaxation, suggesting that there is possible a small fraction of chains at the interface with dynamics differing from the mobile phase. The HN function is used for distinguishing different relaxations by using the WinFIT Curve Fitting Software developed by NOVOCONTROL. However, due to the interference of the normal mode, it is hard to distinguish a fraction of dynamically retarded interfacial phase. Thus the fitting is performed using one HN function describing the segmental dynamics of the mobile polymer. The HN parameters are listed in Table 1. The nanocomposites of $M_w = 1000$, 2000 and 3000 g mol$^{-1}$ have different shape factors $\alpha_j$ and $\beta_j$ at different $\varnothing$, revealing the possibility of the dynamics modification in the close vicinity of silica nanoparticles. Especially, the presence of silica slightly influences the shape factors of the normal mode and $\alpha$ relaxation of the nanocomposites with $M_w =$ 4000 g mol$^{-1}$ and the $\alpha$ relaxation of those with $M_w =$ 425 g mol$^{-1}$. Nevertheless, the position and normalized dielectric strength of the $\alpha$ relaxation are independent of $\varnothing$, suggesting that the presence of silica does not significantly influence the segmental dynamics of the mobile PPG phase.\textsuperscript{28}

The maximum relaxation times ($\tau_{\text{max}}$) of the $\alpha$ relaxation and normal mode are determined, as plotted in Fig. 4 a as a function of $1/T$ for pure PPG. It is found that $\tau_{\text{max}}$ of these two relaxations obeys Vogel–Fulcher–Tammann (VFT) equation

$$
\tau_{\text{max}} \sim \exp \left( \frac{D T_0}{T - T_0} \right)
$$

| $M_w$ | $\varepsilon''$ | $\alpha_j$ | $\beta_j$ |
|------|----------|--------|--------|
| 425  | 0.11     | 0.10   | 0.44   |
| 1000 | 0.11     | 0.10   | 0.53   |
| 2000 | 0.11     | 0.10   | 0.48   |
| 4000 | 0.11     | 0.10   | 0.48   |

Fig. 2 (a) Fraction of glassy layer $\phi_g$ and (b) its thicknesses $a_g$ as a function of $\varnothing$. The lines are for guide of the eyes.

Fig. 3 $\varepsilon''/(1 - \varnothing)$ as a function of $f$ for silica filled PPG of $M_w =$ 425 (a), 1000 (b), 2000 (c), 3000 (d), and 4000 g mol$^{-1}$ (e) at $-40 \degree$ C. The dielectric spectra of PPG are fitted by HN equation (thick curves) composed by $\alpha$ relaxation (1), normal mode (2) and DC conduction processes (3). The distinguished individual processes are expressed as thin curves which are shifted downwards by a factor of 2.

Fig. 4 (a) VFT fits to normal mode (solid symbols) and $\alpha$ relaxation (hollow symbols) of PPG of different $M_w$ and (b) relative fragility $m/ m_{\text{PPG}}$ as a function of $M_w$ at $\varnothing = 0.10$ and 0.15.
Table 2  VFT parameters for the dispersions

| Nanocomposites | $\alpha$ relaxation | Normal mode |
|-----------------|----------------------|-------------|
| $M_w$ | $T_{g(BDS)}$ (K) | $T_g$ (K) | $D$ | $m$ | $T_0$ (K) | $D$ |
| 425 | 0 | 195.3 | 160.1 | 7.7 | 84.4 | — | — |
| | 0.10 | 196.2 | 160.4 | 7.8 | 83.2 | — | — |
| | 0.15 | 195.7 | 163.9 | 7.8 | 107.4 | — | — |
| 1000 | 0 | 198.3 | 164.9 | 7.1 | 90.4 | 162.8 | 6.8 |
| | 0.10 | 198.7 | 165.6 | 6.9 | 90.0 | 163.9 | 6.9 |
| | 0.15 | 198.6 | 166.8 | 7.0 | 99.6 | 163.6 | 6.6 |
| 2000 | 0 | 200.3 | 167.3 | 6.9 | 92.2 | 162.7 | 7.7 |
| | 0.10 | 200.2 | 167.1 | 6.9 | 91.5 | 161.8 | 7.3 |
| | 0.15 | 200.5 | 168.3 | 6.7 | 94.7 | 161.9 | 7.4 |
| 3000 | 0 | 200.6 | 168.7 | 6.6 | 95.3 | 161.3 | 7.9 |
| | 0.10 | 200.3 | 167.9 | 6.5 | 90.4 | 161.9 | 8.0 |
| | 0.15 | 200.4 | 168.9 | 6.6 | 97.8 | 160.7 | 8.3 |
| 4000 | 0 | 200.9 | 168.6 | 6.5 | 91.6 | 167.8 | 8.1 |
| | 0.10 | 201.1 | 168.4 | 6.5 | 89.4 | 166.5 | 8.2 |
| | 0.15 | 201.5 | 166.3 | 6.7 | 78.7 | 168.4 | 8.2 |

where $T_0$ is Vogel temperature, $\tau_0$ is a characteristic time associated with vibration lifetimes, and $D$ is fragility parameter. $T_{g(BDS)}$ of PPG and its nanocomposites is estimated as the temperature at $\tau_{max} = 10^2$ s for the $\alpha$ relaxation (as shown in Table 2),76,77 which is about 3–4 °C lower than that determined by DSC at 1 °C min $^{-1}$. The dynamic fragility ($m$), referring to deviations from Arrhenius $T$-dependence of $\alpha$ relaxation74,75 is determined according to75

$$m = \frac{DT_0}{T_g} \ln(10) \left(1 - \frac{T}{T_g}\right)^2$$ (4)

The parameter $m$ governing breadth of glass formation is related to chain packing ability and interactions.76,77 The values of $m$ are listed in Table 2, the variation of the pure polymers with $M_w$, being in consistent with previous observations.78 Filling at $\varnothing = 0.10$ does not alter $m$ and $T_g$ (Table 2 and Fig. 4b), suggesting that the formed glassy layers totally shield the interactions between silica nanoparticles and the free PPG phase. At $\varnothing = 0.15$, however, relative fragility ($m_{PPG}$) decreases linearly with $M_w$ in a semi-logarithmic plot (Fig. 4b), which tends to indicate a filling induced “more fragile” to “stronger” transition without interfering the glass transition. The behaviour at $\varnothing = 0.15$ is different from the general trend that $m$ and $T_g$ vary proportionally in a variety of glass forming polymers and hydrogen bonding organic liquids,79 as well as some nanocomposites like C60 filled polystyrene.79 Many experiments80–81 and simulation investigations73,82 report an increase or decrease in $m$ when attractive or repulsive nanoparticles are uniformly distributed in the polymer matrix. A filling induced fragile to strong transition under nanoconfinement83 in the absence of strong interfacial interactions, accompanying with reduction or nonvariation of $T_g$84–86 has been generally rationalized as emerging from a finite-size truncation of the cooperative rearrangements that are posited to drive fragile (non-Arrhenius) relaxation dynamics.87–90 However, the role of fragility in nanoconfinement effects remains poorly understood in general. Nonproportional variations of $m$ and $T_g$ are frequently met, for examples, in ultrathin polymer films where interfacial effects dominate,91,92 or in nanocomposites with either plasticizing or antiplasticizing fillers.93 In the silica filled PPG nanocomposites at $\varnothing = 0.15$, the breakdown of $m$ and $T_g$ proportionality for $M_w = 4000$ g mol $^{-1}$ is similar to the behaviour of ultrathin polymer films and might be ascribed to the release of packing frustration. On the other hand, the abnormal $m$ and $T_g$ proportionality in the low-$M_w$ nanocomposites might be related to elimination of chain ends by nanoparticles and their glassy layers. Unlike some other nanocomposites, for example, silica filled polyvinyl acetate4 and poly(2-vinylpyridine),32,86 the $\alpha'$ relaxation assigned to restrained segmental dynamics of chains absorbed on the nanoparticles does not seem to be significant.

Fig. 5 shows influences of $\varnothing$ and $M_w$ on storage and loss moduli ($G'$ and $G''$) as a function of $\omega$ for the nanocomposites in the linear viscoelastic region. Unentangled PPG exhibits linear rheological response characterized by the classic terminal flow law ($G' \sim \omega^2$, $G'' \sim \omega^4$) in the $\omega$ range achieved. As $\varnothing$ and $M_w$ increase, both $G'$ and $G''$ increase and their $\omega$-dependences become gradually lessened, implying the formation of stress-bearing filler backbones connected by adsorbed chains. All the nanocomposites at $\varnothing \leq 0.15$ are sol-like ($G' < G''$) except for that of $M_w = 425$ g mol $^{-1}$ and $\varnothing = 0.15$ that behaves gel-like ($G' > G''$). The highly filled PPG of higher-$M_w$, for example, the nanocomposite of $\varnothing = 0.16$ and $M_w = 3000$ g mol $^{-1}$, would also behave gel-like.

Fig. 6 shows influences of $\varnothing$ and $M_w$ on $\omega$-dependence of relative complex viscosity ($\eta^*_r$) defined as ratio of complex viscosity of the nanocomposites over the Newtonian viscosity of unentangled PPG. While $\eta^*_r$ increases with $\varnothing$, it decreases markedly with increasing $M_w$. Furthermore, the gel-like nanocomposite with $\varnothing = 0.15$ and $M_w = 425$ g mol $^{-1}$ demonstrates a shear-thinning behaviour being much more significant than that of the sol-like nanocomposites. It is suggested that
are drawn according to classic terminal polygons), 0.15 (pentagons), 0.08 (down-triangles), 0.06 (left-triangles), 0.12 (right-triangles), 0.14 (spheres), and 0.15 (stars). The straight lines are drawn according to classic terminal flow law.

nanoparticles reinforce the nanocomposites, enhance their nonlinearity and alter the dynamic fragility of the mobile PPG phase. The reinforcement and thinning behaviours are stronger in the lower-$M_w$ nanocomposites with the “more fragile” mobile PPG phase.

Conclusion

In silica filled PPG nanocomposites, $M_w$ significantly influences the reinforcement without interfering the thickness of glassy layer and $T_g$ and segmental dynamics of the mobile PPG phase. However, the mobile phase in the highly filled nanocomposite ($\Theta \leq 0.15$) exhibits an abnormal “more fragile” to “stronger” transition with increasing $M_w$. Except for $M_w = 425$ g mol$^{-1}$, the nanocomposites at $\Theta \leq 0.15$ are sol-like. On the other hand, the one with $M_w = 425$ g mol$^{-1}$ and $\Theta = 0.15$ are gel-like and exhibits a shear-thinning behaviour being much more significant than that of the sol-like ones.
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