Insecticide resistance profiles of *Anopheles gambiae* s.l. in Togo and genetic mechanisms involved, during 3-year survey: is there any need for resistance management?
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**Abstract**

**Background:** Malaria, one of the world’s greatest public health challenges, is an endemic disease with stable transmission in Togo. Combating malaria requires an effective vector control. This study provides temporal data on insecticide resistance status in the major malaria vector *Anopheles gambiae* sensu lato (s.l.) from Togo.

**Methods:** Two to 5 days old females of *An. gambiae* s.l., originating from three localities (Baguida, Kovié, Kolokopé) were subjected to insecticide-impregnated papers during 3 years (2012, 2013, 2016) as follows: organochlorides (4% DDT), pyrethroids (0.05% deltamethrin, 0.75% permethrin, 0.05% lambda-cyhalothrin), carbamates (0.4% bendiocarb and 0.1% propoxur), and organophosphates (5% malathion, 0.4% chlorpyrifos methyl, 1% fenitrothion) following the WHO standard protocol. Dead and surviving mosquitoes were stored separately in Eppendorf tubes containing silica gel for DNA extraction, species identification, and *kdr* and *ace-1* genotyping.

**Results:** Knockdown times (*KDT*₅₀ and *KDT*₉₅) were high in *An. gambiae* s.l. The lowest *KDT*s were recorded at Baguida in 2013 for deltamethrin (*KDT*₅₀ = 24.7, CI [22.4–27.12] and *KDT*₉₅ = 90.78, CI [76.35–113.49]). No *KDT*s were recorded for DDT and in some instances for permethrin. In general, *An. gambiae* s.l. was resistant to most of the four classes of insecticides during the survey periods regardless of locality and year, except to chlorpyrifos methyl. In some instances, mosquitoes were fully susceptible to fenitrothion (Kolokopé: 100% and Kovié: 98.05%, CI [95.82–100.26]) and malathion (100% at both Kolokopé and Kovié) in 2013, and malathion only (Kolokopé; 100%) in 2016. *Anopheles coluzzii*, *An. gambiae* and *Anopheles arabiensis* were the three sibling species identified at the three localities with some hybrids at Baguida (2013), and Kovié (2012 and 2016), respectively. *Anopheles gambiae s.s.* was relatively dominant (61.6%). The *kdr* 1014F allele frequency was > 0.9 in most of the cases, except at Kolokopé (*f* (1014F) = 0.63, CI [0.55–0.71]) in 2013. The *kdr* 1014S allele frequency was below 0.02. The highest *ace-1* frequencies were identified in *An. gambiae* at Baguida (2012: 0.52, CI [0.34–0.69] and 2013: 0.66, CI [0.46–0.86]).

**Conclusion:** The resistance status is worrying in Togo and should be considered in future malaria vector resistance management programmes by decision-makers.
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Background
Although the global response to malaria was considered one of the world’s greatest public health achievements, the overall decline in the global malaria burden has levelled off [1]. In 2017, there were an estimated 219 million cases of malaria worldwide, mostly occurring in the World Health Organization (WHO) African Region (92%) [2].

In Togo, malaria is endemic with a stable transmission [3]. The control of the disease is one of the Ministry of Health’s priorities [4]. Since 1998, Togo has adhered to the Roll Back Malaria (RBM) Initiative launched in October 1998, with the objective to reduce the malaria burden which represents an obstacle to development in endemic countries, by 2030. Malaria control in Togo focuses mainly on two strategies implemented by the National Malaria Control Programme (NMCP), including prevention and clinical management. Despite efforts to overcome the scourge, malaria remains a major public health concern. In 2016, it was reported by the NMCP that malaria morbidity was 38% of outpatient consultations and 17% of hospital admissions; children under 5 years of age were the most affected. Mortality in infants was 67.7% [5].

The primary tool for malaria vector control in Togo is long-lasting insecticide-treated bed nets (LLINs) [6]. Other means, including mosquito coils and aerosols, are also used by households [7]. However, insecticide resistance in malaria vector populations widespread in West Africa, could impede the success of malaria control programmes in general. In Togo, reports have indicated resistance of *Anopheles gambiae* sensu lato (s.l.), the major malaria vector, to the four classes of insecticides available for public health [5, 7–10].

Both enhanced detoxification [11] and mutations in the gene encoding the voltage-gated sodium channel knockdown resistance (*kdr*), and insensitive acetylcholinesterase (*ace-1*) [12] have been reported as the most important mechanisms involved in insecticide resistance. Two amino acid changes in the sodium channel gene at codon 1014 are involved in *kdr* in *An. gambiae* s.s.: a leucine to phenylalanine substitution (1014F) [13] and a leucine to serine substitution (1014S) [14]. Consequently, target site mutations (i.e., 1014F and 1014S) confer resistance to pyrethroids and DDT in *An. gambiae* sensu stricto (s.s.). Insensitive acetylcholinesterase gene confers resistance to both organophosphates and carbamates in *An. gambiae* s.s. through a single amino acid substitution of glycine to serine at position 119 [15].

Malaria vector resistance, which is widespread in Africa, particularly in Benin [16–18], Burkina Faso [19–21], Ghana [22, 23], Cameroon [24, 25], and Kenya [26], constitutes the first challenge to achieving a decline in malaria cases. Hence, knowledge of insecticide resistance is a basic requirement that will guide the use of insecticides in malaria control programmes. This study presented a 3-year survey of insecticide resistance profiles of *An. gambiae* s.l. in three agricultural localities of Togo. Dynamics of *An. gambiae* species and the frequency of *kdr* (L1014F and L1014S) and *ace-1* mutations were also investigated.

Methods

**Study area**

This study was conducted at three localities characterized by different agricultural ecosystems in Togo: Baguida, Kovié, and Kolokopé (see Fig. 1). Baguida (06°09’47”N–01°19’05”E) is a peri-urban area with vegetable production located at the coastal part of Togo. Kovié (06°34’38”N–01°11’47”E) is a rural area mainly characterized by rice cultivation. This locality is 30 km from Lomé. Baguida and Kovié are located at the southern part (Région Maritime) of the country. Kolokopé (06°34’38”N–01°11’47”E), a cotton-growing area is a rural locality 250 km from Lomé in the highlands (Région des Plateaux) of Togo.

The south of Togo is characterized by a sub-equatorial climate with two dry seasons (December to March and August to September) and two rainy seasons (April to July and October to November). The highlands are characterized by a microclimate commonly known as mountain climate.

**Mosquito collection**

Different instar larvae of *An. gambiae* s.l. were collected over a period of 3 years (i.e., 2012, 2013, 2016) at Kolokopé, Kovié and Baguida. Larvae were sampled between June and July of each year during the rainy season from permanent and semi-permanent water collection points and pooled together by locality. Samples were transported to the insectary for rearing under standard conditions (25 ± 2 °C, 80 ± 4% [relative humidity]).

**Insecticide susceptibility assays**

Two to 5 days old F₀ female mosquitoes were used for insecticide susceptibility tests. Insecticide bioassays were conducted following the WHO protocol [27, 28]. The following insecticides were tested: organochlorides (4% DDT), pyrethroids (0.05% deltamethrin, 0.75% permethrin, 0.05% lambdacyhalothrin), carbamates (0.4% bendiocarb and 0.1% propoxur), and organophosphates (5% malathion, 0.4% chlorpyrifos methyl, 1% fenitrothion).

Twenty to 25 unfed females were randomly selected and exposed to each impregnated paper for 1 h, except for fenitrothion which exposure time was 2 h. The knockdown effect of pyrethroids and DDT were observed.
every 10 min for 1 h and individuals knocked down were recorded. A susceptible Kismu strain of *An. gambiae* was used as a reference for the bioassays. Before their exposition, the mosquitoes were observed for 1 h in holding tubes. After exposure, the mosquitoes were transferred to the holding tubes and fed with 10% glucose solution [27, 28]. Dead individuals were recorded 24-h post-exposure. A total of 5888 F₀ female mosquitoes were used for the bioassays: Baguida (n = 2067), Kovié (n = 1932) and Kolokopé (n = 1889) (see Table 1). Dead and surviving mosquitoes were stored separately in Eppendorf tubes containing silica gel and transported to Institut de Recherche en Sciences de la Santé (IRSS, Bobo-Dioulasso, Burkina Faso) for species identification and resistance mechanism characterization.

**Anopheles gambiae** s.l. species identification

Each mosquito’s DNA was extracted from head and thorax with 2% cetyl trimethyl ammonium bromide (2% CTAB). *Anopheles gambiae* s.l. was identified to species by PCR according to the method of Santolamazza et al. [29]. Species identification was performed by PCR-SINE200 technique. The extracted DNA was amplified in 20 µl of a master mixture. Two specific primers were used: (5’-TCG-CCT TAG ACC TTG CGT TA-3’), (5’-CGC TTC AAG AAT TCG AGA TAC-3’). The amplification conditions were as follows: an initial step of activation of the DNA polymerase for 10 min at 94 °C followed by 35 cycles at 94 °C for 30 s, a hybridization at 54 °C for 30 s and at 72 °C for 1 min and a final elongation at 72 °C for 10 min followed by a decrease in temperature to 4 °C. The amplified products were analysed by electrophoresis on 2% agarose gel and stained with ethidium bromide and visualized under UV light. The following band sizes distinguish the species of the *An. gambiae* complex: 479 bp fragment for *An. coluzzii*, 249 bp for *An. gambiae* and 223 bp for *An. arabiensis*.

**Kdr and ace-1 molecular genotyping**

The presence of kdr 1014F and 1014S alleles also known as kdr-west (kdr-w) and kdr-east (kdr-e), respectively, were detected, respectively, by the methods of Martinez-Torres et al. [13] and Ranson et al. [14]. Presence of G119S ace-1 mutation was also investigated using the method of Weill et al. [15]. The West African kdr L1014F mutation was detected using the common primers Agd1 (5’-ATA GAT TCC ACC ATG-3’) and Agd2 (5’-AGA CAA GGA TGA ACC-3’), and Agd3 (5’-AAT TTG CAT TAC TTA CGA CA-3’) the susceptible primer and Agd4 (5’-CTG TAG TGA TAG GAA ATT TA-3’) the resistance primer. Amplification was performed under the following temperature conditions: DNA polymerase activation step...
### Table 1  Susceptibility status of *An. gambiae* s.l. collected in Togo and Kisumu exposed to the four classes of insecticide

| Year | Insecticides | Strain   | N   | Mortality (%) | [95% CI]     | Susceptibility status |
|------|--------------|----------|-----|---------------|--------------|-----------------------|
| 2012 | 4% DDT       | Baguida  | 103 | 10.68         | [2.71–18.23] | Resistant             |
|      |              | Kolokopé | 95  | 0             | 0            | Resistant             |
|      |              | Kovié    | 98  | 1.02          | [0.15–3.22]  | Resistant             |
|      |              | Kisumu   | 103 | 100           | 100          | Susceptible           |
|      | 0.05% Deltamethrin | Baguida  | 99  | 32.32         | [23.23–41.62]| Resistant             |
|      |              | Kolokopé | 101 | 3.96          | [0.77–6.93]  | Resistant             |
|      |              | Kovié    | 95  | 33.68         | [20.2–46.4]  | Resistant             |
|      |              | Kisumu   | 98  | 100           | 100          | Susceptible           |
|      | 0.1% Bendiocarb | Baguida  | 96  | 7.29          | [1.19–13.8]  | Resistant             |
|      |              | Kolokopé | 99  | 89.89         | [82.32–97.42]| Resistant             |
|      |              | Kovié    | 94  | 60.63         | [45.77–76.48]| Resistant             |
|      |              | Kisumu   | 106 | 100           | 100          | Susceptible           |
|      | 0.4% Chlorpyriphos methyl | Baguida  | 90  | 20.22         | [5.15–37.39] | Resistant             |
|      |              | Kolokopé | 80  | 7.5           | [0.98–14.3]  | Resistant             |
|      |              | Kovié    | 90  | 26.26         | [14.58–39.68]| Resistant             |
|      |              | Kisumu   | 98  | 100           | 100          | Susceptible           |
| 2013 | 0.75% Permethrin | Baguida  | 89  | 20.22         | [5.15–37.39] | Resistant             |
|      |              | Kolokopé | 80  | 7.5           | [0.98–14.3]  | Resistant             |
|      |              | Kovié    | 90  | 26.26         | [14.58–39.68]| Resistant             |
|      |              | Kisumu   | 98  | 100           | 100          | Susceptible           |
|      | 0.05% Lambdacyhalothrin | Baguida  | 90  | 57.77         | [51.15–64.69]| Resistant             |
|      |              | Kolokopé | 85  | 0             | 0            | Resistant             |
|      |              | Kovié    | 81  | 14.81         | [1.65–28.21] | Resistant             |
|      |              | Kisumu   | 108 | 100           | 100          | Susceptible           |
|      | 0.1% Bendiocarb | Baguida  | 87  | 9.19          | [0.58–18.33] | Resistant             |
|      |              | Kolokopé | 88  | 98.86         | [96.92–101]  | Susceptible           |
|      |              | Kovié    | 93  | 68.81         | [59.84–77.1] | Resistant             |
|      |              | Kisumu   | 102 | 100           | 100          | Susceptible           |
|      | 0.1% Propoxur | Baguida  | 95  | 18.94         | [10.3–26.95] | Resistant             |
|      |              | Kolokopé | 97  | 97.93         | [95.65–100.27]| Suspected resistant   |
|      |              | Kovié    | 89  | 73.03         | [63.28–82.42]| Resistant             |
|      |              | Kisumu   | 98  | 100           | 100          | Susceptible           |
|      | 1% Fenitrothion | Baguida  | 94  | 20.21         | [5.64–34.69] | Resistant             |
|      |              | Kolokopé | 100 | 100           | 100          | Susceptible           |
|      |              | Kovié    | 103 | 98.05         | [95.82–100.26]| Susceptible           |
|      |              | Kisumu   | 96  | 100           | 100          | Susceptible           |
at 94 °C for 3 s followed by 35 cycles at 94 °C for 30 s, a hybridization phase of 30 s at 55 °C and 10 s at 72 °C with final elongation for 5 min at 72 °C. The expected band sizes to distinguish resistant and susceptible in sibling species are: 293 bp fragment for the common band, 195 bp for resistant allele and 137 bp for susceptible allele.

Detection of the East African kdr allele, L1014S followed the same procedure as described above. The following primers were used for amplification: Agd1 (5′-ATA GAT TCC CCG ACC ATG-3′), Agd2 (5′-AGA CAA GGA TGA TGA ACC-3′), Agd4 (5′-CTG TAG TGA TAG GAA ATT TA-3′), and Agd5 (5′-ATT TGC ATT ACT TAC GAC TG-3′). Agd1, Agd2 were the common

| Year | Insecticides | Strain | N  | Mortality (%) | [95% CI] | Susceptibility status |
|------|--------------|--------|----|---------------|----------|-----------------------|
| 2013 | 5% Malathion | Baguida | 99 | 73.73         | [59.79–88.33] | Resistant             |
|      |              | Kolokopé | 90 | 100           | 100      | Susceptible           |
|      |              | Kovié   | 98 | 100           | 100      | Susceptible           |
|      |              | Kisumu  | 95 | 100           | 100      | Susceptible           |

0.4% Chlorpyrifos methyl

| Year | Insecticides | Strain | N  | Mortality (%) | [95% CI] | Susceptibility status |
|------|--------------|--------|----|---------------|----------|-----------------------|
| 2013 |              | Baguida | 90 | 100           | 100      | Susceptible           |
|      |              | Kolokopé | 95 | 100           | 100      | Susceptible           |
|      |              | Kovié   | 89 | 100           | 100      | Susceptible           |
|      |              | Kisumu  | 102| 100           | 100      | Susceptible           |

2016 4% DDT

| Year | Insecticides | Strain | N  | Mortality (%) | [95% CI] | Susceptibility status |
|------|--------------|--------|----|---------------|----------|-----------------------|
|      |              | Baguida | 121| 1.61          | [0.25–3.56] | Resistant             |
|      |              | Kolokopé | 69 | 0             | 0        | Resistant             |
|      |              | Kovié   | 98 | 0             | 0        | Resistant             |
|      |              | Kisumu  | 101| 100           | 100      | Susceptible           |

0.05% Deltamethrin

| Year | Insecticides | Strain | N  | Mortality (%) | [95% CI] | Susceptibility status |
|------|--------------|--------|----|---------------|----------|-----------------------|
|      |              | Baguida | 123| 17.03         | [10.39–23.21] | Resistant            |
|      |              | Kolokopé | 67 | 8.97          | [0.66–16.01] | Resistant            |
|      |              | Kovié   | 91 | 4.4           | [1.53–8.27]  | Resistant            |
|      |              | Kisumu  | 92 | 100           | 100      | Susceptible           |

0.75% Permethrin

| Year | Insecticides | Strain | N  | Mortality (%) | [95% CI] | Susceptibility status |
|------|--------------|--------|----|---------------|----------|-----------------------|
|      |              | Baguida | 72 | 0             | 0        | Resistant             |
|      |              | Kolokopé | 93 | 10.75         | [5.13–25.69] | Resistant            |
|      |              | Kovié   | 73 | 6.06          | [1.81–14.58] | Resistant            |
|      |              | Kisumu  | 96 | 98.96         | [96.48–101.14] | Susceptible         |

0.05% Lambdacyhalothrin

| Year | Insecticides | Strain | N  | Mortality (%) | [95% CI] | Susceptibility status |
|------|--------------|--------|----|---------------|----------|-----------------------|
|      |              | Baguida | 121| 16.53         | [4.7–26.24]  | Resistant            |
|      |              | Kolokopé | 97 | 16.49         | [7.22–26.04] | Resistant            |
|      |              | Kovié   | 88 | 2.27          | [1.66–5.1]   | Resistant            |
|      |              | Kisumu  | 90 | 98.89         | [97.15–100.92] | Susceptible         |

0.1% Bendiocarb

| Year | Insecticides | Strain | N  | Mortality (%) | [95% CI] | Susceptibility status |
|------|--------------|--------|----|---------------|----------|-----------------------|
|      |              | Baguida | 111| 2.7           | [0.95–4.55]  | Resistant            |
|      |              | Kolokopé | 82 | 68.29         | [55.5–80.42] | Resistant            |
|      |              | Kovié   | 81 | 1.23          | [0.92–2.85]  | Resistant            |
|      |              | Kisumu  | 87 | 98.85         | [95.65–101.41] | Susceptible         |

0.1% Propoxur

| Year | Insecticides | Strain | N  | Mortality (%) | [95% CI] | Susceptibility status |
|------|--------------|--------|----|---------------|----------|-----------------------|
|      |              | Baguida | 75 | 6.67          | [1.37–11.46] | Resistant            |
|      |              | Kolokopé | 89 | 71.91         | [64.86–80.37] | Resistant            |
|      |              | Kovié   | 99 | 8.08          | [4.58–10.67] | Resistant            |
|      |              | Kisumu  | 83 | 98.80         | [95.38–101.5] | Susceptible         |

1% Fenitrothion

| Year | Insecticides | Strain | N  | Mortality (%) | [95% CI] | Susceptibility status |
|------|--------------|--------|----|---------------|----------|-----------------------|
|      |              | Baguida | 101| 47.52         | [25.39–69.81] | Resistant            |
|      |              | Kolokopé | 89 | 100           | 100      | Resistant             |
|      |              | Kovié   | 87 | 82.76         | [77.56–87.89] | Résistant           |
|      |              | Kisumu  | 95 | 100           | 100      | Susceptible           |

5% Malathion

| Year | Insecticides | Strain | N  | Mortality (%) | [95% CI] | Susceptibility status |
|------|--------------|--------|----|---------------|----------|-----------------------|
|      |              | Baguida | 117| 30.77         | [28.18–33.08] | Resistant            |
|      |              | Kolokopé | 89 | 100           | 100      | Susceptible           |
|      |              | Kovié   | 99 | 92.93         | [84.66–98.9]  | Suspected resistant  |
|      |              | Kisumu  | 83 | 98.80         | [96.48–101.14] | Susceptible         |

N, number of mosquitoes; CI, confidence interval
primers, Agd4 was the susceptible primer, and Agd5 the resistant primer.

The ace-1 G119S mutation was performed with the primers Ex3AGdir (5’-GAT CGT GGA CAC CGT GTT CG-3’), Ex3AGrev 5’-AGG ATG GCC CGC TGG AAC AG-3’ which were amplified in the following conditions: DNA polymerase activation step at 94 °C for 3 s followed by 35 cycles at 94 °C for 30 s, a hybridization phase for 30 s at 62 °C and 30 s at 72 °C with a final elongation at 72 °C for 5 min. After amplification, the products (5 µl) were analysed by electrophoresis on 2% agarose gel to verify the appearance of the expected first bands (541 bp). Fifteen microlitre of PCR product was digested with 5U of Alu1 restriction enzyme in a final volume of 25 µl at 37 °C overnight.

Final products resulting from digestion were also analysed by electrophoresis to differentiate a 403 bp fragment for susceptible homozygous mosquitoes (SS), and two fragments of 253 bp and 150 bp for homozygous resistant (RR).

Samples from the localities accounting for the 3 years were analysed for the presence of kdr-w and ace-1 (except those from Kolokopé 2013). Regarding kdr-e, only samples from Baguida (2012 and 2013), Kovié (2013) and Kolokopé (2012) were analysed.

Data analysis
Knockdown times (KDT$_{50}$ and KDT$_{95}$) along with 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated using Polo Plus version 1.0 software. Mortality rates were calculated as the ratio between dead individuals and the total number of mosquitoes tested; 95% CI of the mortality rates were calculated using Excel software (Microsoft Corp). The resistance status was determined using the WHO criteria [28]. The dynamics of the kdr 1014F frequency of each sibling species were compared between years using Fisher Exact test. The genotype frequencies of each sibling species were compared to Hardy–Weinberg expectations using Fisher exact test implemented in GenePop (version 4) software.

Results
Knockdown times and mortality rates of Anopheles gambiae populations
No knockdown times (TKD$_{50}$ and TKD$_{95}$) were observed for DDT in none of the localities during the 3 years. For deltamethrin, the TKD$_{50}$ and TKD$_{95}$ were all high. They were recorded in individuals from Baguida regardless the year. They were 42.56 (CI [38.18–48.28]) and 131.73 (CI [101.36–198.77]) in 2012, 24.71 (CI [22.4–27.12]) and 90.78 (CI [76.35–113.49]) in 2013, and 56.92 (CI [51.55–64.68]) and 203.99 (CI [154.86–304.06]), respectively. The KDTs of permethrin were also high and obtained in only Baguida and Kovié in 2016 (see Additional file 1: Table S1).

Mortality rates of An. gambiae s.l. are shown in Table 1. Mosquitoes were resistant to DDT in all the localities with the highest mortality (15.55%, CI [7.16–22.96]) at Kovié; no mortality was recorded at Kolokopé (2012, 2013, 2016) and Kovié (2016). Resistance to pyrethroids was also observed in the three localities. The highest mortalities were recorded in 2013: deltamethrin (52%, CI [46.71–56.64]) and lambdacyhalothrin (57.57%, CI [51.15–64.69]) at Baguida, and permethrin (26.26%, CI [14.5–39.68]) at Kovié. Regarding carbamates, mosquitoes were only susceptible to bendiocarb (98.86%, CI [96.9–101]) and suspected resistant to propoxur (97.93%, CI [95.6–100.27]), both in 2013. Concerning organophosphates, mosquitoes were fully susceptible to chlorpyrifos methyl in all the localities during the 3-year survey, fully susceptible at Kolokopé (2013 and 2016) and suspected resistant (92.93%, CI [84.66–98.9]) in 2016 at Kovié, both to malathion. Susceptibility to fenitrothion was observed at Kolokopé and Kovié in 2013, and at Kovié (98.05%, CI [95.82–100.26]) in 2016. Kisumu, the laboratory strain was susceptible to all insecticides.

Sibling species of Anopheles gambiae complex identified
Species identification was carried in 914 individuals of An. gambiae complex (see Table 2). Overall, An. gambiae was the most common species (61.6%) followed by An. coluzzii (35.45%). Anopheles arabiensis was less common (0.87%). Hybrids (i.e., An. coluzzii/An. gambiae) were also identified (2.51%).

In 2012, An. gambiae was more common at Baguida (99.44%) and Kolokopé (98.77%); An. arabiensis was less common (0.56% at Baguida and 1.23% at Kolokopé). No An. coluzzii was identified at both localities. At Kovié, the most common species was the hybrid (57.17%); An. coluzzii and An. gambiae were 37.93 and 6.9%, respectively.

In 2013, An. gambiae was dominant in Baguida (89.29%) and Kolokopé (50.36%); An. arabiensis was 2.68% at Baguida and absent at Kolokopé. At Kovié, An. gambiae was 7.78% whereas An. coluzzii dominated (92.22%); An. arabiensis was absent (Table 3).

In 2016, the proportion of An. gambiae was higher at Kolokopé (73.44%) than at Baguida (50%). Anopheles coluzzii was 50% at Baguida as well. Anopheles arabiensis was less common at Kolokopé and Kovié (3.13 and 1.45%, respectively).

During the 3 years, hybrids were exclusively identified at Baguida (2013), Kovié (2012) and Kolokopé (2016) in proportions of 0.89, 57.17 and 8.70%, respectively.
### Table 2 Distribution of the sibling species of *An. gambiae* s.l. populations from Togo

| Study sites | Geographic references | Social environment | Climatic areas | Agricultural practices | An. *gambiae* s.l. | An. *gambiae* | An. *coluzzii* | Hybrids | An. *arabiensis* |
|-------------|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------|------------------------|--------------------|--------------|---------------|---------|----------------|------------------|
|             |                       |                    |                |                        | N                 | N %          | N %           | N %     | N %            |
| Baguida 2012| 06°09’47”N–01°19’05”E| Peri-urban         | Sub-equatorial | Vegetables             | 177               | 176 99.44    | 0 –           | –       | 1 0.56         |
| Baguida 2013|                       |                    |                |                        | 112               | 100 89.29    | 8 7.14        | 1 0.89  | 3 2.68         |
| Baguida 2016|                       |                    |                |                        | 18                | 9 50.00      | 9 50.00       | –       | –              |
| Kolokopé 2012| 07°47’59”N–01°18’00”E| Rural              | Sub-equatorial | Cotton                | 81                | 80 98.77     | 0 –           | –       | 1 1.23         |
| Kolokopé 2013|                       |                    |                |                        | 274               | 138 50.36    | 136 49.64     | –       | –              |
| Kolokopé 2016|                       |                    |                |                        | 64                | 47 73.44     | 15 23.44      | –       | 2 3.13         |
| Kovié 2012 (06º34’38”N-01º11’47”E) | Rural              | Sub-equatorial | Rice, vegetables |                        | 29                | 2 6.90       | 11 37.93      | 16 57.17 | 0 –            |
| Kovié 2013  |                       |                    |                |                        | 90                | 7 7.78       | 83 92.22      | –       | –              |
| Kovié 2016  |                       |                    |                |                        | 69                | 0 –          | 62 89.86      | 6 8.70   | 1 1.45         |
| Total       |                       |                    |                |                        | 914               | 559 (61.61%)  | 324 (35.45%)  | 23 (2.51%) | 8 (0.87%)      |
The \textit{kdr} 1014F (kdr-w) mutation frequency is shown in Table 3. In any case, the \textit{kdr} 1014F frequency was >0.9 in \textit{An. gambiae} and \textit{An. arabiensis} regardless of year and locality. It was 0.98 at Kolokopé and Baguida in both species and 0.96 in \textit{An. gambiae} at Kolokopé in 2013. In \textit{An. coluzzii}, the frequency was also >0.9 at all localities and in all years except at Kolokopé where it was 0.68 (CI [0.55–0.71]) in 2013. When kdr-w frequencies of all the localities were pooled together and sorted by year, the temporal distribution showed an increase of the frequency from 0.68 to 0.98 in \textit{An. coluzzii} (see Table 4). This increase was especially observed at Kolokopé, which is a cotton-growing area (Table 3).

The frequency of \textit{kdr} 1014S (kdr-e) was 0.043 in \textit{An. gambiae} from Kolokopé (2012) (Table 5). This mutation was not detected in samples from other localities.

The frequency of \textit{ace 1} 119S was low in \textit{An. coluzzii} at Kovié in 2013 (0.14, CI [0.03–0.24] and 2016 (0.05, CI [0.00–0.19]) and in hybrids (0.063, CI [0.00–0.18])

### Table 3 Distribution and frequency of the L1014F knockdown resistance (\textit{kdr}) alleles of \textit{An. gambiae} s.l. populations from Togo

| Species         | Agricultural practices | Sites | N | Genotypes | f (L1014F) | [95% CI] | p(HW) |
|-----------------|------------------------|-------|---|-----------|------------|----------|-------|
|                 |                        |       |   | 1014L 1014L 1014F 1014L 1014F 1014F |          |          |       |
| \textit{An. arabiensis} | Cotton | KOL 2012 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.98 | [0.71–1.25] | – |
|                  |            | KOL 2016 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0.98 | [0.82–1.14] | – |
|                  | Vegetables  | BAG 2012 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.98 | [0.71–1.25] | – |
|                  |            | BAG 2013 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.98 | [0.79–1.17] | – |
| \textit{An. coluzzii} | Rice       | KOV 2013 | 26 | 1 | 2 | 23 | 0.923 | [0.82–1.03] | 0.1167 |
|                  |            | KOV 2016 | 61 | 0 | 1 | 60 | 0.992 | [0.97–1.01] | – |
|                  | Cotton      | KOL 2013 | 133 | 49 | 1 | 83 | 0.628 | [0.55–0.71] | 0.0000 |
|                  |            | KOL 2016 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 0.933 | [0.80–1.06] | – |
| \textit{An. gambiae} | Cotton     | KOV 2013 | 137 | 5 | 1 | 131 | 0.96 | [0.93–0.99] | 0.0000 |
|                  |            | KOV 2016 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0.98 | [0.93–1.03] | – |
|                  | Vegetables  | BAG 2012 | 142 | 0 | 0 | 142 | 0.98 | [0.96–1.00] | – |

### Table 4 Temporal distribution of 1014F kdr frequency in \textit{An. gambiae} s.l. populations from Togo

| Species         | Year | N | Genotypes | f (L1014F) | [95% CI] |
|-----------------|------|---|-----------|------------|----------|
|                 |      |   | 1014L 1014L 1014F 1014L 1014F 1014F |          |          |       |
| \textit{An. arabiensis} | 2012 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.98 | [0.79–1.17] |
|                  | 2013 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.98 | [0.79–1.17] |
|                  | 2016 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0.98 | [0.82–1.14] |
| \textit{An. coluzzii} | 2013 | 161 | 50 | 3 | 108 | 0.680 | [0.61–0.75] |
|                  | 2016 | 85 | 1 | 1 | 83 | 0.982 | [0.95–1.01] |
| \textit{An. gambiae} | 2012 | 202 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 202 | 0.98 | [0.96–1.00] |
|                  | 2013 | 199 | 5 | 1 | 193 | 0.972 | [0.95–0.99] |
|                  | 2016 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0.98 | [0.93–1.03] |

KOV, Kovié; KOL, Kolokopé; BAG, Baguida; N, number of mosquitoes; f (L1014F), frequency of the L1014F resistant allele; CI, confidence interval; p(HW), probability of the exact test for goodness of fit Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium
in 2012, and relatively higher in *An. gambiae* at Baguida in 2012 (0.52, CI [0.34–0.69]) and 2013 (0.66, CI [0.46–0.86]) (Table 6). No *An. arabiensis* in the samples was found with ace-1 allele.

**Discussion**

This study showed that levels of insecticide resistance in *Anopheles* species are high in Togo, given the high knockdown times, the low mortality rates recorded, and the high allele frequencies. Mosquitoes were especially resistant to DDT and pyrethroids, which target the voltage-gate sodium channel in *Anopheles* populations. Findings in this study outline the presence of three sibling species of the malaria vector *An. gambiae* s.l. In those species, *kdr* allele frequencies were high over the 3-year survey (*An. gambiae* and *An. arabiensis*) and increased significantly from 2013 to 2016 in *An. coluzzii*. This is the first time *kdr* 1014F mutation was observed in *An. arabiensis* at such a high frequency (i.e., \( f = 0.98 \)). Knockdown resistance mutation was previously reported in *An. gambiae* (former S form) and *An. coluzzii* (former M form) in Togo [30]. This situation is evidence of the spread of *kdr* resistance within *Anopheles* populations. This study focuses on three different agricultural areas: vegetable production (Baguida), rice cultivation (Kovié) and cotton production (Kolokopé). The development and spread of the resistance in malaria vectors has been attributed to intensive use of insecticides in agriculture, particularly in cotton cultivation [31–33]. The development of illegal insecticide-selling markets in rural areas also plays a key role in the resistance [9]. Agricultural practices create numerous trenches that retain rain and water from irrigation systems. In Cameroon, *An. gambiae* s.l. females were reported to frequently lay their eggs in breeding sites located around agricultural settings.

### Table 5 Frequency of the L1014S knock down resistance (*kdr*) alleles of *An. gambiae* s.l. populations from Togo

| Species          | Agricultural practices | Sites  | N       | Genotypes | \( f \) (L1014S) | [95% CI]  | \( p \)(HW) |
|------------------|------------------------|--------|---------|-----------|----------------|-----------|-----------|
| *An. arabiensis* | Vegetables             | BAG 2012 | 1       | 1 1 0 0 0 | 0.000          | – –       |           |
| *An. coluzzii*   | Rice                   | KOV 2013 | 13      | 13 0 0 0 | 0.000          | – –       |           |
| *An. gambiae*    | Rice                   | KOV 2013 | 3       | 3 3 0 0 | 0.000          | – –       |           |
|                  | Cotton                 | KOL 2012 | 23      | 22 1 0 0 | 0.043 [0.00–0.13] | 0.024     |           |
|                  | Vegetables             | BAG 2012 | 36      | 36 0 0 0 | 0.000          | – –       |           |
|                  |                        | BAG 2013 | 16      | 16 0 0 0 | 0.000          | – –       |           |

KOV, Kovié; KOL, Kolokopé; BAG, Baguida; N, number of mosquitoes; \( f \)(L1014S), frequency of the L1014S resistant allele; CI, confidence interval; \( p \)(HW), probability of the exact test for goodness of fit Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium

### Table 6 Allelic and genotypic frequencies at the ace-1 locus in *An. gambiae* s.l. populations from Togo

| Species    | Agricultural practices | Sites  | N       | Genotypes | \( f \) (119S) | [95% CI]  | \( p \)(HW) |
|------------|------------------------|--------|---------|-----------|----------------|-----------|-----------|
| *An. coluzzii* | Rice                  | KOV 2012 | 11      | 11 0 0 0 | 0.000          | – –       |           |
|            |                        | KOV 2013 | 40      | 29 11 0 0 | 0.138 [0.03–0.24] | 1.0000   |           |
|            |                        | KOV 2016 | 10      | 10 1 0 0 | 0.050 [0.00–0.19] | – –       |           |
|            | Cotton                 | KOL 2016 | 9       | 9 0 0 0 | 0.000          | – –       |           |
|            | Vegetables             | BAG 2016 | 1       | 1 0 0 0 | 0.000          | – –       |           |
| *An. gambiae* | Rice                  | KOV 2012 | 2       | 2 0 0 0 | 0.000          | – –       |           |
|            | Cotton                 | KOL 2012 | 18      | 18 0 0 0 | 0.000          | – –       |           |
|            |                        | KOL 2016 | 8       | 8 0 0 0 | 0.000          | – –       |           |
|            | Vegetables             | BAG 2012 | 31      | 18 18 7 | 0.516 [0.34–0.69] | 0.4850   |           |
|            |                        | BAG 2013 | 22      | 11 9 0 0 | 0.659 [0.46–0.86] | 1.0000   |           |
| Hybrid     | Rice                   | KOV 2012 | 16      | 15 0 1 0 | 0.063 [0.00–0.18] | 0.0341   |           |

KOV, Kovié; KOL, Kolokopé; BAG, Baguida; N, number of mosquitoes; \( f \) (119S), frequency of the 119S resistant allele; CI, confidence interval; \( p \)(HW), probability of the exact test for goodness of fit Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium
suggesting that larvae may undergo a selection pressure from agricultural pesticides that promotes the emergence of resistance [34]. Evidence for this assumption was recently reported in Burkina-Faso [35]. Agriculture is the main source of income for most of the population of Togo (70%) [6] and to date agricultural practices are expanding in rural areas and in city outskirts. Indeed, many studies reported that in Togo crop protection practices are primarily based on the excessive use of synthetic pesticides, which in most cases includes pyrethroids and organophosphates [36, 37]. Agboyi et al. [37] reported that farmers still use organochlorides, although they are obsolete. In cotton and vegetable fields, insecticide residues are accumulated in the soil and during rainy seasons, breeding sites are permanently contaminated; larvae are then constantly under insecticide pressure.

Pyrethroid resistance in Anopheles population is worrying and it can compromise vector control strategies, such as the use of pyrethroid-only LLINs. In addition to the kdr 1014S and 1014F alleles identified in this study, Djègbè et al. [38] previously reported the presence of the 1575Y allele in An. coluzzii and An. gambiae from Kovié and Nangbéto, suggesting the situation is worsening. Furthermore, recent study conducted at Kolokopé showed high levels of resistance in An. gambiae s.l. (Ahadji-Dabla et al. pers. commun.). The frequency of kdr-east found at Kolokopé (2012) was 0.043, which is very low but not insignificant. This mutation was found for the first time at Nangbéto in 2015 [38], a locality pertaining to the same region as Kolokopé; suggesting that kdr-east mutation appeared in Anoph eles populations of this region before being reported in 2018. Kdr-east allele was found in West Africa first in Benin in An. arabiensis [39] and then in Burkina Faso in An. coluzzii, An. gambiae, and An. arabiensis [40]. Recently, it was reported in both An. coluzzii and An. gambiae in Togo [38]. In this study, it was identified only in An. gambiae. It could probably be present in other species.

Insensitive acetylcholinesterase was found in both An. coluzzii and An. gambiae, and in the hybrid form as well. The presence of this mutation in Anopheles population suggests resistance to organophosphates and/or carbamates, which are also used by farmers [37]. Ace-1 was found in An. coluzzii at Kovié (2013 and 2016) and the frequencies were lower than those of Baguida (2012 and 2013). The allele was also previously reported at Lomé [41] and Kovié and Nangbéto [39]. Unfortunately, the duplication of the copy number of ace-1 allele was reported for the first time at Kovié and was associated to carbamate resistance [42] and Baguida [43], suggesting the reinforcement and spread of this resistance. The situation is worrying because this could represent a serious threat for resistance management strategies [43].

Based on the results of this study and the previous ones, the alarm is being sounded, to draw the attention of decision-makers, especially the NMCP, to the urgent need of resistance management programme implementation.

Conclusion

The Anopheles gambiae s.l. population in Togo was resistant to almost all the insecticides during the 3-year survey except to some organophosphates. Three types of resistance alleles were identified such as 1014F, 1014S and 119S. These types of resistance, coupled with those reported previously, represent a serious concern and as such a strategy should be devised to successfully control malaria vectors in Togo.
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