Resolving the structure of $V_3O_7\cdot H_2O$ and Mo-substituted $V_3O_7\cdot H_2O$
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Vanadate compounds, such as $V_3O_7\cdot H_2O$, are of high interest due to their versatile applications as electrode material for metal-ion batteries. In particular, $V_3O_7\cdot H_2O$ can insert different ions such as Li⁺, Na⁺, K⁺, Mg²⁺ and Zn²⁺. In that case, well resolved crystal structure data, such as crystal unit-cell parameters and atom positions, are needed in order to determine the structural information of the inserted ions in the $V_3O_7\cdot H_2O$ structure. In this work, fundamental crystallographic parameters, i.e. atomic displacement parameters, are determined for the atoms in the $V_3O_7\cdot H_2O$ structure. Furthermore, vanadium ions were substituted by molybdenum in the $V_3O_7\cdot H_2O$ structure [(V₂.₈₅Mo₀.₁₅)O₇\cdot C₁H₂O] and the crystallographic positions of the molybdenum ions and their oxidation state are elucidated.

1. Introduction

Vanadate-based compounds are under investigation as potential next-generation electrode materials due to their ability to electrochemically insert various ions (Zhu et al., 2014; Huang et al., 2015; Moretti & Passerini, 2016; Yan et al., 2016; Olszewski et al., 2018). Therefore, interest arose from the battery materials community for the compound $V_3O_7\cdot H_2O$ (also written as $H_2V_3O_8$, HVO), which can intercalate Li⁺, Na⁺, K⁺, Mg²⁺ or Zn²⁺ (Simões et al., 2014; Zhu et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2016; He et al., 2017; Tang et al., 2017; Rastgoo-Deylami et al., 2019). This insertion behaviour is of high interest since there are only a few compounds that have this ability. Furthermore, the stability during electrochemical cycling is better for $V_3O_7\cdot H_2O$ than for related materials, e.g. $V_2O_5$, due to hydrogen bonds in the structure (Gao et al., 2009). Fig. 1 shows the crystallographic structure of $V_3O_7\cdot H_2O$ determined by Oka et al. from powder X-ray diffraction (Oka et al., 1990). The structure provides three symmetry-independent vanadium positions highlighted as coloured spheres [Fig. 1(a)], in turquoise (V1) with an oxidation state of +5, orange (V2) with an oxidation state of +4 and green (V3) with an oxidation state of +5, and with two different oxygen coordination chemistries [Fig. 1(b)].

So far, it has been suggested that one position (V3) has a square pyramidal coordination geometry, while two positions have octahedral ones (V1 and V2) (Oka et al., 1990). However, the actual coordination geometries are still up for discussion. Considering bond valence sums, square pyramidal coordination rather than octahedral is present for the V2 position. This has also been stated by Rastgoo-Deylami et al.
The possible position of the hydrogen atoms was assigned to an oxygen atom (O6) bonded to the V2 vanadium centre (Mettan et al., 2015). This leads to the hydrogen-bonding scheme mentioned above, which interconnects the vanadium oxide layers. Very recently, a crystal data set for V3O7:H2O has been reported, including experimentally determined hydrogen-atom positions. However, it included fixed atomic displacement parameters for the various atom positions (Kuhn et al., 2022). As shown in Fig. 1(b), an open sheet-like topology is present, where the insertion of different ions can occur between the V-site layers (Simões et al., 2014; Zhu et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2016; He et al., 2017; Tang et al., 2017; Rastgoo-Deylami et al., 2019). However, possible positions in the HVO structure have been determined experimentally or calculated (by DFT) so far for Li+, Mg2+ and Zn2+ (Kuhn et al., 2022; Kundu et al., 2018; Rastgoo-Deylami et al., 2018). Li+ ions have a sixfold coordination, while Mg2+ ions seem to have square pyramidal coordination in the HVO structure, and tetrahedral and/or octahedral coordination was attributed to Zn2+ ions (Kuhn et al., 2022; Rastgoo-Deylami et al., 2018; Kundu et al., 2018). These differences in coordination geometries hint at different insertion positions between the layers in the V3O7:H2O structure. Still, some questions remain unanswered. For example, as mentioned before, the position of the hydrogen atom in the structure is still under discussion. Furthermore, recently synthesized Mo-substituted HVO has been described, but it remains unclear whether Mo substitutes V sites randomly or whether specific unit-cell positions are favoured (Söllinger et al., 2021). With this work, we are able to confirm the proposed position of the hydrogen atom in the V3O7:H2O structure from previous works and determine a complete crystallographic data set by correlating two diffraction data sets obtained by powder neutron and powder X-ray diffraction. In addition, the importance of atomic displacement parameters obtained for each atom on the different crystallographic positions is discussed in comparison to previously reported ones. Based on these results, we determined the Mo position in the V3O7:H2O structure for substituted materials and discussed the findings taking into account the oxidation state and the coordination chemistry of Mo.

2. Experimental

2.1. Hydrothermal synthesis of V3O7:H2O and (V1−xMo)xO7:H2O

**Materials.** Vanadium(V) oxide (V2O5, 99.2%), anhydrous oxalic acid (H2C2O4, 98%) and l(+)-ascorbic acid (99%+) were purchased from Alfa Aesar. Ammonium molybdate tetrahydrate [(NH4)6Mo7O24·4H2O, 99.98%] was purchased from Sigma Aldrich. All chemical reagents were used as received.

Compounds were synthesized according Söllinger et al. (2021).

V3O7:H2O. H2C2O4 (10.4 g) was dissolved in deionized water (100 ml) at room temperature. After complete dissolution of the oxalic acid, V2O5 (5 g) was added, followed by stirring for 3 h and further stirring at 80 °C for 5 h. The obtained solution was transferred into an evaporating dish and dried at 100 °C for 10 h followed by a calcination step at 400 °C for 10 h. The obtained as-synthesized V2O5 (VO) (2 g) was added to an aqueous ascorbic acid solution (20 ml, 0.025 M). The obtained suspension was stirred under reflux at 110 °C for 16 h in a round bottom flask. After this step, a hydrothermal process was initiated. The whole suspension was transferred into an autoclave with a 100 ml polytetrafluoroethylene inlet. Additionally, deionized water (30 ml) was added to the suspension and brought to reaction at 220 °C for 6 h. The obtained precipitate was collected, washed with deionized water and isopropanol, and dried at 80 °C for 3 h. Pristine V3O7:H2O without further modifications is denoted HVO in the following.

(V2xMo1−x)O7:H2O. Mo-substituted V3O7:H2O was synthesized similar to HVO. To incorporate Mo6+ into VO, the soft chemistry process leading to VO was modified by adding an aqueous solution containing the Mo6+ ion. Therefore, (NH4)6Mo7O24·4H2O (1.77 g) was dissolved in deionized water (100 ml) to obtain an aqueous (0.1 M) Mo stock solution. To obtain 5 at% Mo in the V3O7-H2O structure, 4.75 g instead of 5 g V2O5 with Mo stock solution (27.5 ml, 0.1 M) were added to the oxalic acid solution and 9.88 g oxalic acid instead of 10.4 g were introduced to the precursor solution, respectively. The obtained compound with the formula (V0.95Mo0.05)3O7·H2O is labelled as HVO-Mo-5.

2.2. X-ray and neutron diffraction

2.2.1. X-ray diffraction. The crystalline phases of the synthesized powders were studied by powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) on a Bruker D8 Advance diffractometer with a goniometer radius of 280 mm with a fast-solid state LynxEye detector and an automatic sample changer. The measurements were carried out with Cu Kα1,2 radiation in the 2θ range from...
5° to 95° with a step size of 0.015°. All samples were prepared on zero-background single-crystal silicon sample holders. Full pattern refinement (Rietveld method) was performed with FullProf (Rodríguez-Carvajal, 1993). The three-dimensional visualization of the crystal structure was constructed via VESTA (Momma & Izumi, 2011).

2.2.2. Neutron powder diffraction. The neutron diffraction (ND) experiment was performed at the Research Neutron Source Heinz Maier-Leibnitz (FRM II) Garching bei München, Germany, within the Rapid Access Program. Powder diffraction data were acquired in Debye–Scherrer geometry using the high-resolution powder diffractometer SPODI (Hoelzel et al., 2012). Monochromatic neutrons with wavelength $\lambda = 1.5482$ Å were chosen from 551 reflection of a vertically focused composite germanium monochromator. The sample was contained in a 14 mm-diameter vanadium container and measured with constant rotation at 300 K. The high-resolution neutron powder diffraction data were collected in a 2θ range of 3° ≤ 2θ ≤ 152°, with a resolution step of 0.05°.

2.2.3. Diffraction data evaluation. Data refinement was performed with the FullProf suite of programs. For $\text{V}_2\text{O}_5\text{H}_2\text{O}$: Final results were extracted from a joint refinement on neutron and X-ray diffraction data using equal weights. For $\text{V}_2\text{.85Mo}_{0.15}\text{O}_7\text{H}_2\text{O}$: Mo-ion positions and the amount of occupancy were determined using XRD data only. The determined HVO structure from this work served as the starting structure model.

2.3. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy analysis

The X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements were carried out at a PHI 5800 MultiTechnique ESCA System (Physical Electronics) using monochromated Al $K\alpha$ (1486.6 eV) radiation (250 W, 15 kV). The measurements were performed with a detection angle of 45° and a pass energy of 29.35 eV at the analyser to obtain detailed spectra. The samples were neutralized with electrons from a flood gun (current 3 μA) to compensate for charging effects at the surface. For binding energy calibration, the C(1s) peak was set to 284.8 eV. The peak fit of the detail spectrum in the Mo(3d) region was done with CasaXPS (Walton et al., 2010), using a Shirley-type background and a peak doublet (Gaussian–Lorentzian peak shape, intensity ratio 3:2, spin-orbit splitting 3.1 eV).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. The $\text{V}_3\text{O}_7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ structure

$\text{V}_3\text{O}_7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ was first described by Oka et al. (1990). Rastgoo-Deylam et al. (2018) published a resolved HVO structure, again, but still without any hydrogen positions, which had been proposed earlier by Mettan et al. (2015). Although Mettan et al. (2015) performed structure refinements on neutron diffraction data acquired at 4 K and 300 K. Moreover, no crystallographic data set was published in that work. Recently, Kuhn et al. (2022) evaluated lithium-inserted HVO and determined only one hydrogen position, bonded to the same oxygen stated by Mettan et al. (2015). Hence, our article aims to re-evaluate the work by combining ND and XRD powder patterns and providing a crystallographic data file (see supporting information).

Fig. 2 shows the obtained pattern from ND Rietveld refinement yielding an $R_{\text{Bragg}}$ value of 4.6% in space group $Pnam$, which was originally proposed by Oka et al. (1990). The refinement led to the structure presented in Fig. 3.

Fig. 3(a) shows the HVO structure determined by Oka et al. (1990) without the hydrogen-atom position determined. In this case, we highlighted the oxygen of the crystal water molecule in grey to symbolise the missing H bonds. In comparison, the structure we obtained from Rietveld analysis...
from combined ND and XRD results is shown in Fig. 3(b). Note that we kept the stated coordination chemistry from Oka et al. (1990) with V1 (turquoise) and V2 (orange) in octahedral and V3 (green) in square pyramidal coordination.

The basic unit of the structure is a band of V1 and V2 octahedra. The V1 octahedra are connected to each other by sharing two edges forming a non-planar zigzag chain along the c axis. The V2 octahedra share three edges with V1 octahedra and are laterally attached to this chain along the a direction. The V3 sites are described to have a square pyramidal oxygen coordination; by sharing two edges within the base-plane of the pyramid, they form a zigzag chain with the apex of the pyramids alternately pointing up and down, and which interconnects the V1–V2 band to a layer of V sites extending in the bc plane. The hydrogen atoms are bonded to the O6 oxygen atom of the V2 octahedron and interconnect these layers via hydrogen bonding to the O5 oxygen atom of a V2 octahedron of the next layer, stacked along the crystallographic a axis.

The positions of the three vanadium atoms in the present refinement of the HVO structure show less distorted octahedra than in the result from Oka et al. (1990), leading to a smaller variance of the different bond lengths (Table 1). In particular, the square pyramidal coordination of the V3 position, hence, the bond lengths between V3 and surrounding oxygen atoms, changes substantially compared to the initially proposed structure of Oka et al. (1990). The bond length between V3 and O7 changes from 1.8975 (3) to 1.82644 (5) Å, which is the bond in the square plane of the pyramidal geometry, while the axial bond between V3 and O8 changes from 1.5824 (13) to 1.86009 (6) Å. Comparing the positions of V1 and V2, bond lengths between O1 and V1/V2 and O2 and V1 (Table 1) show substantial changes, too. Additionally, the positions of the vanadium atoms V1 and V2 are less displaced from the centre of the coordination octahedron. Overall, the obtained bond lengths between the vanadium centres and the surrounding oxygens are typical for (hydrated) vanadates (Liu et al., 2010; Wu et al., 2009). The distance between V2 and O1 is in general the longest compared to all other bonds in the structure. It changed from 2.4731 (9) Å [structure from Oka et al. (1990)] to 2.20580 (5) Å (this work). This distance is at the limit of attributing the two atoms to a bond or not. As seen in Fig. 3, we decided to create awareness of the potential chemical interaction between these sites and present it as a bond and the coordination of the V2 atom as a distorted octahedron.

Evaluating the position of the hydrogen atoms in the structure by residual electron/nuclear density analysis, we determined from experimental data the same crystallographic position for the hydrogen atom at the oxygen atom O6 as Mettan et al. (2015). However, Mettan et al. (2015) discussed a second position of the H atom attached to the same O6 atom, since a value of 0.6 was found for hydrogen occupancy, indicating that slightly more than a half of the position was occupied by hydrogen atoms. We obtained similar results. In the work of Mettan et al. (2015), no values for atomic displacement parameters (U) were mentioned but set to be equal for all atoms. In this work, we determined isotropic displacement parameters (Uiso) by combining ND and XRD patterns during the Rietveld refinement for all independent atomic positions present. With that, we obtained varying Uiso values, listed in Table 2, for the vanadium, oxygen and hydrogen positions. By doing so, the occupancy value of the hydrogen atom changed to 1 after evaluating reasonable Uiso values (see Table 2). In particular, oxygen atoms with multifold bond situations (three to four) have lower Uiso values than those with fewer bonds. These values are in good agreement with the condition that strongly bonded oxygen atoms, e.g. O1, O3 or O4, are locked into their particular crystallographic positions. In contrast, less connected oxygens, i.e. O2, O5 and O8, will vibrate more strongly around the given position. These results are in contrast to the previous results of Kuhn et al. (2022), who kept Uiso values constant for each atom species. Hence, the obtained values for isotropic displacement parameters give new insight into the oxygen positions in the HVO structure and show the dependence on the multifold bond situation.

Interestingly, the H atom shows a very high Uiso value. Since Mettan et al. described that there are two possible positions for the hydrogen atom, as mentioned above, a high Uiso value

---

**Table 1**

| Bond lengths (in Å) | V2O7·H2O | (V3·Mo1x)O2·H2O |
|---------------------|----------|------------------|
| Vx Oy               | Oka et al. (1990)† | Rastgoo-Deylami et al. (2018)† | This work | This work |
| V1 O1               | 1.9470 (3) | 1.9425 (16) | 1.84854 (5) | 1.82951 (10) |
| O2                  | 1.5900 (10) | 1.581 (5) | 1.81928 (5) | 1.55687 (10) |
| O3 ax‡              | 2.0718 (8) | 2.067 (5) | 2.02833 (5) | 2.350014 (12) |
| O4 ax               | 1.9101 (11) | 1.897 (5) | 1.95870 (5) | 1.95840 (11) |
| V2 O1               | 2.4731 (9) | 2.460 (5) | 2.20580 (5) | 2.38819 (11) |
| O3                  | 1.9068 (3) | 1.8904 (13) | 1.85642 (5) | 1.82911 (10) |
| O4                  | 2.0288 (11) | 2.019 (5) | 2.01154 (5) | 1.96378 (9) |
| O5 ax               | 1.6058 (10) | 1.597 (5) | 1.67657 (4) | 1.62805 (10) |
| O6                  | 2.0557 (9) | 2.044 (5) | 2.01658 (5) | 2.00464 (9) |
| V3 O4               | 1.8159 (9) | 1.806 (4) | 2.05096 (7) | 1.97249 (11) |
| O7                  | 1.8975 (3) | 1.8793 (14) | 1.82644 (5) | 1.83821 (10) |
| O8 ax               | 1.5824 (13) | 1.573 (5) | 1.60009 (6) | 1.53280 (10) |

† Results obtained from available cif-file from the ICSD. ‡ ax means the oxygen atom is in axial coordination to the vanadium central atom.

---

**Table 2**

| Atom | No. of bonds | Uiso value (Å²) |
|------|--------------|-----------------|
| H1   | 1            | 0.09780         |
| V1   | 6            | 0.02398         |
| V2   | 6            | 0.01384         |
| V3   | 5            | 0.01281         |
| O1   | 4            | 0.00820         |
| O2   | 1            | 0.01289         |
| O3   | 3            | 0.01182         |
| O4   | 3            | 0.00924         |
| O5   | 1            | 0.01412         |
| O6   | 3            | 0.01238         |
| O7   | 3            | 0.0065          |
| O8   | 1            | 0.01731         |
might also indicate that the hydrogen atoms of the water molecule cannot be precisely located and shows some positional disorder, possibly induced by the hydrogen-bond configuration. Hence, while a full occupancy of the hydrogen atom at the determined position was obtained, an exact position cannot be stated because of the high isotropic atom displacement parameter.

3.2. The Mo-substituted V₃O₇·H₂O structure

In order to carry out Rietveld analysis, an excellent starting crystal structure data set is necessary if structurally analogous, chemically modified compounds, or crystal structure changes during (electro)chemical processes are investigated. We demonstrate the necessity and potential of using the obtained HVO crystallographic data set in that context.

Fig. 4(a) shows the obtained refinement plot of (V₂.₈₅Mo₀.₁₅)O₇·H₂O using starting values from our HVO structure file. We obtained a fit with an $R_{\text{Bragg}}$ of 7.07% and a reliable structure [Fig. 4(b)]. Unit-cell parameters of refined HVO and Mo-substituted HVO, as well as from literature, are summarized in Table 3. The obtained parameters for HVO are between the previously reported ones; e.g., we obtained a value of 16.865 Å for unit-cell parameter $a$, while Oka et al. (1990) and Rastgoo-Deylami et al. (2018) reported 16.930 and 16.844 Å, respectively. Comparing the unit-cell parameters of Mo-substituted HVO with unsubstituted HVO, an increase of the unit-cell parameters is observed. This increase corroborates the results of a recent study (Söllinger et al., 2021).

Our attempts to refine the Mo-substituted structure starting with values obtained from Oka et al. (1990) or Rastgoo-Deylami et al. (2018) failed as soon as atomic positions were refined. Specifically, the position O2 shifted towards the V1 position, resulting in a V1–O2 distance below 1 Å, which is unreasonable. This shift is observed in the refinement with the novel HVO data set, too. Still, a reasonable bond distance was obtained compared to refinements with starting data sets from Oka et al. (1990) or Rastgoo-Deylami et al. (2018) (see Table 1). Hence, the interaction between these two positions became more robust with the substitution of Mo, leading to a shorter bond distance.

Additionally, with the previously published HVO structures from Oka et al. or Rastgoo-Deylami et al. (2018), no reliable model for the Mo occupation at any of the three possible sites could be determined. However, with our set of parameters, Mo ions were detected at the V1 and V2 sites. An all-over Mo-substitution of 5.2 at% was derived, resulting in the chemical composition of (V₂.₈₄₂Mo₀.₁₅₈)O₇·H₂O. This Mo atomic concentration is close to the target value of the synthesis strategy, that is 5 at% substitution of vanadium with molybdenum. Furthermore, the result indicates that the combined refinement of X-ray and neutron diffraction data yields reliable results. Around two-thirds of the Mo content are present at the V1 site, while the other third is located at the V2 site. No Mo-content could be detected at the V3 site. Furthermore, the
hydrogen bond broke as the oxygen atom from the O5 position shifted away from the coordinated water molecule’s hydrogen atoms.

Since mild reductive synthesis procedures were applied, we also checked for the possibility that, in addition to the reduction of V\(^{5+}\) to V\(^{4+}\) species, Mo\(^{6+}\) was reduced to Mo\(^{5+}\) or lower. Hence, the oxidation state of Mo in the HVO structure was determined by XPS analysis. The detail of the spectrum in Figure 5 shows a single peak doublet at 233.0/236.1 eV, which we assign to Mo\(^{6+}\) (Moulder et al., 1992).

Hence, no reduction of Mo\(^{6+}\) occurs during the synthesis. Mo\(^{6+}\) occupies preferentially the octahedrally coordinated V1 (V\(^{5+}\)) and V2 (V\(^{4+}\)) sites (Shannon, 1976) instead of the pyramidally coordinated V3 (V\(^{5+}\)) site. This preference for octahedral coordination cannot be explained based on simple steric arguments alone, since the ionic radius difference between V\(^{5+}\) and Mo\(^{6+}\) is similar for octahedral and pyramidal coordination (Table 4). We believe that quantum chemical calculations are necessary to elucidate this preference.

4. Conclusion
Phase pure V\(_2\)O\(_5\)-H\(_2\)O and (V\(_{2.38}\)Mo\(_{0.15}\))O\(_7\)-H\(_2\)O compounds were synthesized via a hydrothermal synthesis route. The pristine vanadate compound was investigated by powder ND and XRD techniques. We resolved the hydrogen position in the crystal structure, leading to an parameter set which has the potential to be used for further Rietveld analysis of modified compounds. We demonstrated this by investigating (V\(_{2.38}\)Mo\(_{0.15}\))O\(_7\)-H\(_2\)O by XRD. Our data set led to reasonable structural refinements. This allowed us to determine the Mo content in the structure and evaluate the preferred site occupancy of the substituting ion. Furthermore, we could evaluate the oxidation state of molybdenum, namely +6. As an outcome of this work, we provide a high-quality structure file that can be used to analyse further V\(_2\)O\(_5\)-H\(_2\)O-related mechanisms or compounds, such as ion-insertion mechanisms or vanadium substitution.
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