Abstract. [Purpose] The purpose of this study was to investigate the somatotype and physical characteristic differences among elite youth soccer players. [Subjects and Methods] In the present study, we evaluated twenty-two Korean youth soccer players in different playing positions. The playing positions were divided into forward (FW), midfielder (MF), defender (DF), and goalkeeper (GK). The participants’ lean body mass (LBM), fat free mass (FFM), fat mass (FM), and basal metabolic rate (BMR) were measured and their somatotype determined according to the Heath-Carter method. [Results] The youth soccer players had twelve ectomorphic, eight mesomorphic, and two central predominant types. The DFs were taller than, but otherwise similar in physical characteristics to the FWs and MFs. The GKs were taller and heavier than the other players; however, their somatotype components were not significantly different. LBM, FFM, and BMR were significantly higher in GKs than in FWs and MFs. Although LBM, FFM, and BMR values between GKs and DFs showed large differences, they were not statistically significant. [Conclusion] The present study may contribute to our understanding of the differences in somatotype and body composition of Korean youth soccer players involved in sports physiotherapy research. 
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INTRODUCTION

Soccer is one of the most popular sports in the world. A variety of age groups, from youth to senior, play it[1–2]. Soccer is a team sport played for at least 80–90 minutes in official games[3]. The game requires a high level of skill and stamina, and participants tend to show particular physical and physiological characteristics[4]. Soccer players are divided into four playing positions: forward (FW), midfielder (MF), defender (DF), and goalkeeper (GK). Activity distance and time in soccer competition are different among the playing positions. The GK, in particular, has the lowest activity in the game and the shortest activity distance[5]. Many studies have examined the different physical and physiological characteristics of soccer players based on playing position[3, 4, 6]. Somatotype is determined by the physical characteristics of the body. Heath and Carter determined somatotype by measuring body size, width of bone, and thickness of skin. The basic somatotypes can be further divided into 13 subtypes[7–9]. The somatotypes of athletes suggest the physical characteristics of their sports[10–13]. Heath-Carter’s classification can be applied to youth soccer players. By evaluating the somatotypes of youth soccer players, the effect of their sport can be seen. This is also true of body composition. Sports players and non-players have different body compositions[2, 14]. Further-
more, the body compositions of athletes of each sport are
different, depending on the characteristics of the sport. The
adaptation to physical effort, developed during training and
the process of selection, results in a decrease of somatotype
and body composition diversity among athletes in similar
sports or using similar skills\textsuperscript{15, 16}. Therefore, somatotype
and body composition is a meaningful characteristic of
sports events. A great deal of research is being done on the
physical characteristics of soccer players. However, the
study of youth soccer players, especially Korean, is limited.
Our study measured the physical characteristics of Korean
youth soccer players to establish a reference for the study
of training and injury rehabilitation of youth soccer players.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

The subjects were 22 Korean youth soccer players with
no physical or psychological conditions. All the volunteers
provided their informed consent prior to participation. Mea-
surements were performed in October 2013. The participants
also completed a questionnaire in an individual in-depth
interview, which took 20 to 30 minutes per person\textsuperscript{17}. The
characteristics of the participants are shown in Table 1.
The characteristics of the youth soccer players according to
playing position can be found in Fig. 1 and Table 2. The
subjects wore only shorts for the measurements, and the
measurements were taken by a single person. Before being
measured, all participants rested for thirty minutes. First,
height and weight were measured. Then the girths (flexed
and tensed) of the upper arm and thickest part of the calf were
determined with a tape measure. The breadths of the biepi-
condylar humerus and biepicondylar femur were measured
with a large anthropometer. Finally, skinfold thicknesses of
the triceps brachii, subscapular, superior iliac, and calf were
determined with a medical skinfold caliper (Jamar, USA).
Measurement results were used to calculate the somatotype
with the modified somatotype method\textsuperscript{7–9}. The somatotypes
were classified as endomorphic, mesomorphic, ectomorphic,
and balanced types, according to Heath-Carter’s modified
somatotype method, and further broken down into thirteen
subcategories. Balanced endomorphs have a dominant
endomorphic component, and the values the mesomorphic
and ectomorphic components do not differ by more than 0.5.
Mesomorphic endomorphs have a dominant endomorphic
component, and the mesomorphic component is greater
than the ectomorphic component. Mesomorph-endomorphs
mesomorphic and endomorphic components do not differ by
more than 0.5, and the ectomorphic component is lower than
the other values. Endomorphic mesomorphs have a dominant
mesomorphic component, and the endomorphic component
is higher than the ectomorphic component. Balanced meso-
morphs have a dominant mesomorphic component, and the values of the endomorphic and ectomorphic components
do not differ by more than 0.5. Ectomorphic mesomorphs have
a dominant mesomorphic component, and the ectomorphic
component is higher than the endomorphic component. Mesomorph-ectomorphs by between their mesomorphic and
ectomorphic components do not differ by more than 0.5, and
the mesomorphic component is lower than the other values.
Ectomorphic mesomorphs have a dominant mesomorphic
component, and the mesomorphic component is greater
than the endomorphic component. Balanced ectomorphs have
a dominant ectomorphic component, and the values of the
endomorphic and mesomorphic components do not differ by
more than 0.5. Endomorphic ectomorphs have a dominant

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|}
\hline
Variable & Korean youth soccer players \\
\hline
Age (yrs) & 16.3 ± 0.1 \\
Gender & \\
Male (%) & 22 (100.0) \\
Female (%) & - \\
Height (cm) & 176.5 ± 1.0 \\
Weight (kg) & 68.3 ± 1.3 \\
BMI (kg/m\textsuperscript{2}) & 21.9 ± 0.3 \\
Career (yrs) & 7.1 ± 0.4 \\
Training Time & 3.8 ± 0.3 h/day \\
& 20.8 ± 1.6 h/week \\

\hline
Position & \\
Forward (%) & 6 (27.3) \\
Midfielder (%) & 6 (27.3) \\
Defender (%) & 8 (36.4) \\
Goalkeeper (%) & 2 (9.1) \\
Somatotype (Dominant type) & \\
Endomorphy (%) & - \\
Mesomorphy (%) & 8 (36.4) \\
Ectomorphy (%) & 12 (54.5) \\
Central (%) & 2 (9.1) \\
Endomorphic Component & 2.0 ± 0.1 \\
Mesomorphic Component & 2.6 ± 0.2 \\
Ectomorphic Component & 3.1 ± 0.1 \\

\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Characteristics of Korean youth soccer players}
\end{table}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{somatotype.png}
\caption{Somatcharts of the playing positions of the elite
Korean youth soccer players}
Endo, endomorphy; Meso, mesomorphy; Ecto, ectomorphy
ectomorphic component, and the endomorphic component is higher than the mesomorphic component. Endomorph-ectomorphs and endomorphic mesomorphs do not differ by more than 0.5, and the mesomorphic component is lower than the other values. Ectomorphic endomorphs have a dominant endomorphic component, and the ectomorphic component is higher than the mesomorphic component.

Lastly, central types do not differ by more than 1 among the components values7–9).

The Heath-Carter formula using our study is as follows:

1) Endomorphic component

\[ X = Ectomorphic \ component - Endomorphic \ component \]

2) Mesomorphic component

\[ Y = 2 \times \text{Mesomorphic component} - (Endomorphic \ component + Ectomorphic \ component) \]

3) Ectomorphic component

\[ Z = \text{Ectomorphic component} - \text{Endomorphic component} \]

Table 2. Differences in somatotype among the positions of the Korean youth soccer players

| Variable               | Forward (FW) | Midfielder (MF) | Defender (DF) | Goalkeeper (GK) |
|------------------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Number (%)             | 6 (27.3)     | 6 (27.3)        | 8 (36.4)      | 2 (0.9)         |
| Height (cm)            | 173.7 ± 0.5  | 174.2 ± 1.1     | 177.6 ± 1.6   | 187.0 ± 1.0**   |
| Weight (kg)            | 64.1 ± 1.8   | 67.3 ± 0.9      | 68.9 ± 1.5    | 81.4 ± 3.5##    |
| BMI (kg/cm²)           | 21.3 ± 0.5   | 22.2 ± 0.5      | 21.9 ± 0.5    | 23.3 ± 0.8      |
| Endomorphic (%)        | -            | -               | -             | -               |
| Meso (%)               | 2 (33.3/9.1) | 3 (50.0/13.6)   | 2 (25.0/9.1)  | 1 (50.0/4.5)    |
| Ecto (%)               | 4 (66.7/18.2)| 2 (33.3/9.1)    | 5 (62.5/22.7) | 1 (50.0/4.5)    |
| Central (%)            | -            | 1 (16.7/4.5)    | 1 (12.5/4.5)  | -               |
| Endo C.                | 1.8 ± 0.2    | 2.2 ± 0.2       | 1.9 ± 0.2     | 2.3 ± 0.3       |
| Meso C.                | 2.4 ± 0.3    | 2.9 ± 0.2       | 2.5 ± 0.3     | 2.9 ± 0.5       |
| Ecto C.                | 3.2 ± 0.2    | 2.8 ± 0.3       | 3.2 ± 0.3     | 3.0 ± 0.3       |
| 13 Somatotype Types    | BEn 2 (33.9/1)| BM 2 (33.9/1)  | BEn 3 (37.5/13.6)| BEn 1 (50.0/4.5)|
|                        | EcM 2 (33.9/1)| BEc 1 (16.7/4.5)| MEn 2 (25.0/9.1)| BM 1 (50.0/4.5)|
|                        | MEn 1 (16.7/4.5)| MEn 1 (16.7/4.5)| MEn 1 (12.5/4.5)| MEn 1 (12.5/4.5)|
|                        | Cen 1 (16.7/4.5)| Cen 1 (16.7/4.5)| Cen 1 (12.5/4.5)| Cen 1 (12.5/4.5)|

Data are presented as the mean ± SE. *Percentage of athletes in playing position. **Percentage of all participants. BMI, body mass index; Endo C, endomorphic component; Meso C, mesomorphic component; Ecto C, ectomorphic component; BEn, balanced ectomorph; EcM, ectomorphic mesomorph; MEn, mesomorphic ectomorph; BEn, balanced mesomorph; MEn, mesomorphic ectomorph; BM, balanced mesomorphic; Cen, central type; M-En, mesomorphic-endomorphic.

*17: \( p < 0.05 \).

to the value of the height-weight ratio (HWR, HWR = height / \( \sqrt{weight} \)).

\[ \text{HWR} \geq 40.75 = 0.732 \times \text{HWR} - 28.58 \]

\[ 38.25 < \text{HWR} < 40.75 = 0.463 \times \text{HWR} - 17.63 \]

\[ \text{HWR} \leq 38.25 = 0.1 \]

The formula marked on the somatotype chart is as follows:

\[ X = \text{Ectomorphic component} - \text{Endomorphic component} \]

\[ Y = 2 \times \text{Mesomorphic component} - (\text{Endomorphic component} + \text{Ectomorphic component}) \]

To confirm body composition, a 4-pole-8-pole contact electrical method was used2, 14). The lean body mass, fat free mass, fat mass, and basal metabolic rate of the participants were measured using a precision body composition analyzer (InBody 520, Biospace, Korea). Participants were measured in a standing position with both arms abducted.

Statistical analyses were conducted using the SAS software (version 6.12) to calculate averages and standard deviations. The data were expressed as mean ± standard error (SE) of the measurements. A significance level of \( \alpha = 0.05 \) was chosen when performing the independent t-test for group comparisons. The protocol for this study was approved by
the Committee of Ethics in Research of the University of Yongin, in accordance with the terms of Resolution 5-1-20, December 2006.

RESULTS

The general characteristics of the twenty-two youth soccer players are noted in Table 1. Some variation in the characteristics of somatotype was observed among the playing positions (Table 2). The DFs were taller than the FWs and MFs, but had physical characteristics similar to the other field players. While GKs were taller and heavier than the other players their somatotype components were not significantly different from those of the other players (Table 2). On the somatotype chart, the subjects are all located slightly to the left side (the ectomorphic side), regardless of the players’ positions (Fig. 1). The participants consisted of twelve ectomorphic, eight mesomorphic, and two central predominant types. Subdividing the youth soccer player’s somatypes resulted in seven balanced ectomorphs, four mesomorphic ectomorphs, three ectomorphic mesomorphs, three balanced mesomorphs, two central types, one endomorphic ectomorphic, one endomorphic mesomorphic, and one mesomorphic-endomorphic (Table 2). Body composition results were not different in terms of somatypes. LBM, FFM, FM, BF, and BMR were not significantly different among the field players. However, LBM, FFM, and BMR were significantly higher in GKs than in FWs and MFs (Table 3). LBM, FFM, and BMR values among GKs and DFs showed large differences but they were not statistically significant (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

We compared the somatypes and body compositions of Korean youth soccer players according to their playing positions. The DFs were taller than the other field players, but they were similar in other physical characteristics. The GKs had higher values of height, weight, LBM, FFM, and BMR than the other players; however, the BMI and somatotype components were not significantly different among the positions. Although the GKs were taller and more muscular than the other players, the ratio of their height to muscle was similar to that of the other positions. As a result, their somatotype components were not different from those of the other players. The subjects had predominantly ectomorphic and mesomorphic somatotypes. According to our data, the somatotype components were not different among the playing positions, but FWs and DFs had a greater difference between the ectomorphic and mesomorphic components. Thus, FWs and DFs were more likely to have a thin body type than MFs and GKs. Soccer players rely heavily on aerobic endurance due to the game’s intermittent high intensity activity9. As a result, soccer players tend to have low body fat. Our study showed that all the subjects had low body fat, and it was very low in comparison with non-players. According to a study of obesity in male Asian college students, their body mass averaged 14.26 kg, and their percentage of body fat had a mean of 18.86%18. While obesity rates are increasing, the typical Korean youth soccer player has very lean body characteristics. Studies of somatotype and physical characteristics of adult soccer players have reported that soccer players have a mesomorphic predominant somatotype3, 4, 19). More than half the participants in our study had an ectomorphic predominant somatotype, and the remaining players had mostly mesomorphic body types, likely because youth soccer players have not reached their full growth. Another study of young soccer players showed that they had a higher ectomorphic component value than older age groups20). It has also been reported that adult soccer players have obviously different physical characteristics according to playing position6, 21). This is also seen in other ball games. Handball and basketball players have different physical characteristics for each playing position22, 23). However, the physical characteristics of youth soccer players in this study had no significant differences, except for the GKs. Thus, young field players should be able to change their position more easily than adult players. In fact, players frequently change position in youth soccer teams. Similar results were reported for the somatotypes and physical characteristics of Zimbabwean youth soccer players24). However, the subjects in the current study were taller than those in the Zimbabwean study. Thus, the Zimbabwean youth soccer players had a higher mesomorphic component than the Korean youth soccer players, despite the Korean youths having a higher FFM value than the Zimbabwean youths. The Korean youth soccer players had ectomorphic and mesomorphic predominant somatotypes and very low body fat for their athletic performance. According to a study of minimizing injury rate in soccer players by somatotype, the injury rate of mesomorphic play-

Table 3. Differences in body composition among the positions of the Korean youth soccer players

| Variable | Forward (FW) | Midfielder (MF) | Defender (DF) | Goalkeeper (GK) |
|----------|--------------|----------------|--------------|-----------------|
| LBM (kg) | 35.5 ± 1.3   | 36.7 ± 0.5     | 38.2 ± 0.9   | 45.6 ± 2.3*†#   |
| FFM (kg) | 61.5 ± 1.9   | 63.6 ± 0.7     | 65.9 ± 1.5   | 78.5 ± 3.7*     |
| FM (kg)  | 2.6 ± 0.4    | 3.7 ± 0.6      | 3.0 ± 0.5    | 3.0 ± 0.2       |
| BF (%)   | 4.0 ± 0.6    | 5.5 ± 0.9      | 4.4 ± 0.7    | 3.7 ± 0.4       |
| BMR (Kcal)| 1,698.8 ± 42.1| 1,743.0 ± 16.3 | 1,793.8 ± 33.2| 2,064.0 ± 79.0*#|

Data are presented as the mean ± SE. LBM, lean body mass; FFM, fat-free mass; FM, fat mass; BF, body fat; BMR, basal metabolism rate. *†#: p < 0.05.
ers is less than that of ectomorphic players\(^2^5\). Therefore, fat reduction and increased skeletal muscle mass would be beneficial for the safety of the young soccer players. In summary, Korean youth soccer players tend to have lean builds with predominantly ectomorphic and mesomorphic somatotypes while adult soccer players have a predominantly mesomorphic somatotype. This allows younger soccer players more flexibility over the position they play than adult players. In addition, the injury rate of mesomorphic players is less than that of ectomorphic players, so the somatotype of the players should be considered during training. According to our data, we suggest that youth soccer players focus on reducing fat and building up skeletal muscle to prevent injury and aid in recovery. This study provides reference data of the physical characteristics of youth soccer players, but further research is needed to assist in the proper training of athletes returning from injury, and to support sports physiotherapy research.
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