Research Article
Approximate Equilibrium Problems and Fixed Points

H. Mazaheri¹ and S. A. M. Mohsenalhosseini²

¹ Faculty of Mathematics, Yazd University, Yazd, Iran
² Faculty of Mathematics, Vali-e-Asr University, Rafsanjan, Iran

Correspondence should be addressed to H. Mazaheri, hmazaheri@yazduni.ac.ir

Received 16 September 2012; Revised 21 November 2012; Accepted 12 December 2012

Academic Editor: Malte Braack

Copyright © 2013 H. Mazaheri and S. A. M. Mohsenalhosseini. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We find a common element of the set of fixed points of a map and the set of solutions of an approximate equilibrium problem in a Hilbert space. Then, we show that one of the sequences weakly converges. Also we obtain some theorems about equilibrium problems and fixed points.

1. Introduction

Equilibrium is “everywhere”: in economics, physics, engineering, chemistry, biology, and so forth. From the mathematical modelling point of view equilibrium can be described in fixed point theorems, optimisation problems, variational inequalities, complementarity problems, and so forth. Equilibrium systems can be studied from several points of view: existence of solutions; existence of nontrivial solutions; number of solutions; properties of the solution set; and the numerical approximation of solutions. In the first a short description of what is a mathematical equilibrium system in general, we will present several particular classes of approximate equilibrium systems and the relations between then.

Throughout the paper, let \( H \) be a real Hilbert space; and let \( C \) be a nonempty, closed, bounded, and convex subset of \( H \).

Condition 1. The following condition appears implicitly in [1].

We assume that the map \( \varphi : C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) satisfies the following conditions:

(i) \( \varphi(x, x) = 0 \), for all \( x \in C \);

(ii) \( \varphi \) is monotone, that is, \( \varphi(x, y) + \varphi(y, x) \leq 0 \), for all \( x, y \in C \);

(iii) for all \( x, y \in C \),
\[
\lim_{t \to 0} \varphi(xt + (1 - t)x, y) \leq \varphi(x, y);
\]  
\[
(1)
\]

(iv) for each fixed \( x \in C \), the function \( y \mapsto \varphi(x, y) \) is convex and lower semicontinuous.

Condition 2. Assume that the map \( \varphi : C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) for \( \epsilon > 0 \) satisfies the following conditions.

(\( A_1 \)) \( \varphi(x, x) = \epsilon \), for all \( x \in C \).

(\( A_2 \)) \( \varphi \) is approximate monotone, that is, \( \varphi(x, y) + \varphi(y, x) \leq \epsilon \), for all \( x, y \in C \).

(\( A_3 \)) For all \( x, y \in C \),
\[
\lim_{t \to 0} \varphi(xt + (1 - t)x, y) \leq \varphi(x, y);
\]  
\[
(2)
\]

(\( A_4 \)) For each fixed \( x \in C \), the function \( y \mapsto \varphi(x, y) \) is convex and lower semicontinuous.

Definition 1 (see [1]). We say that \( x^* \in C \) is an equilibrium point of \( \varphi : C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) if there exists a \( x^* \in C \), such that
\[
\varphi(x^*, y) \geq 0 \quad \forall y \in C;
\]

the set of such \( x^* \in C \) is denoted by \( EP(\varphi) \); that is,
\[
EP(\varphi) = \{ x^* \in C : \varphi(x^*, y) \geq 0, \forall y \in C \}.
\]  
\[
(3)
\]  
\[
(4)
\]
Definition 2. Suppose $\epsilon > 0$, we say that $x^* \in C$ is an approximate equilibrium point of $\varphi : C \times C \to \mathbb{R}$ if there exists a $x^* \in C$, such that

$$\varphi(x^*, y) \geq \epsilon \quad \forall y \in C.$$ (5)

In this paper, the set of such an $x^* \in C$ is denoted by $\text{AEP}(\varphi)$, that is,

$$\text{AEP}(\varphi) = \{x^* \in C : \varphi(x^*, y) \geq \epsilon, \forall y \in C\},$$ (6)

and we set

$$\text{AF}(T) = \{x \in C : d(x, Tx) < \epsilon \text{ for some } \epsilon > 0\},$$ (7)

$$F(T) = \{x \in C : Tx = x\}.$$ (8)

2. Preliminaries

In the following we will present a known lemma which is needed in the proof of some results (see [2]).

Lemma 3. Let $\varphi : C \times C \to \mathbb{R}$ be a map satisfies Condition 1. Let $r > 0$, and let $x \in H$. Then there exists a $z \in C$, such that

$$\varphi(z, y) + \frac{(y - z, z - x)}{r} \geq \epsilon \quad \forall y \in C.$$ (9)

Lemma 4. Let $\varphi : C \times C \to \mathbb{R}$ be a map satisfies Condition 2. Let $r > 0$, $\epsilon > 0$, and let $x \in H$. Then there exists a $z \in C$, such that

$$\varphi(z, y) + \frac{(y - z, z - x)}{r} \geq \epsilon \quad \forall y \in C.$$ (10)

Proof. Consider the map $\psi : C \times C \to \mathbb{R}$ by $\psi(x, y) = \varphi(x, y) - \epsilon$. The map $\psi$ satisfies Condition 1. Then by Lemma 3, there exists a $z \in C$, such that

$$\psi(z, y) + \frac{(y - z, z - x)}{r} \geq 0 \quad \forall y \in C.$$ (11)

Thus

$$\varphi(z, y) + \frac{(y - z, z - x)}{r} \geq \epsilon \quad \forall y \in C.$$ (12)

Lemma 5. Let $\varphi : C \times C \to \mathbb{R}$ be a map satisfies Condition 2. For $r > 0$, $x \in H$, $\epsilon > 0$ we defined $T_r : H \to C$, such that

$$T_r(x) = \left\{z \in C : \varphi(z, y) + \frac{(y - z, z - x)}{r} \geq \epsilon\right\}.$$ (13)

Then

(a) $T_r$ is single valued.

(b) $T_r$ is firmly nonexpansive; that is,

$$\|T_r(x) - T_r(y)\|^2 \leq \langle T_r(x) - T_r(y), x - y \rangle \quad \forall x, y \in H.$$ (14)

(c) $F(T_r) = \text{AEP}(\varphi)$.

(d) $\text{AEP}(\varphi)$ is nonempty, closed, and convex.

Proof. (a) For $x \in H$ and $r > 0$, let $z_1, z_2 \in T_r(x)$. Then,

$$\varphi(z_1, y) + \frac{(y - z_1, z_1 - x)}{r} \geq \epsilon \quad \forall y \in C,$$ (15)

$$\varphi(z_2, y) + \frac{(y - z_2, z_2 - x)}{r} \geq \epsilon \quad \forall y \in C.$$ (16)

Then

$$\varphi(z_1, z_2) \geq \frac{(z_2, z_1 - z_1)}{r} + \epsilon,$$ (17)

$$\varphi(z_1, z_1) \geq \frac{(z_1, z_2 - z_1)}{r} + \epsilon.$$ (18)

Since $\varphi$ is approximate monotone,

$$\epsilon \geq \varphi(z_1, z_2) + \varphi(z_2, z_1) \geq \frac{(z_2 - z_1, x - z_1)}{r} + \frac{(z_1 - z_2, x - z_2)}{r} + 2\epsilon \geq \frac{(z_2 - z_1, z_1 - z_2)}{r} + \epsilon.$$ (19)

Now, since $\epsilon > 0$ and $r > 0$, we have

$$\langle z_2 - z_1, z_1 - z_2 \rangle \leq 0.$$ (20)

So, we have $z_1 = z_2$.

(b) Now we claim that $T_r$ is a firmly nonexpansive. Indeed, if $x, y \in H$,

$$\varphi(T_r(x), T_r(y)) + \frac{\langle T_r(y) - T_r(x), T_r(y) - T_r(x) \rangle}{r} \geq \epsilon,$$ (21)

$$\varphi(T_r(y), T_r(x)) + \frac{\langle T_r(x) - T_r(y), T_r(x) - T_r(y) \rangle}{r} \geq \epsilon.$$ (22)

Adding the two inequalities, we have

$$\varphi(T_r(x), T_r(y)) + \varphi(T_r(y), T_r(x)) \geq 2\epsilon.$$ (23)

With $(A_2)$, we have

$$\langle T_r(y) - T_r(x), T_r(x) - T_r(y) \rangle \geq \epsilon.$$ (24)

Now since $\epsilon > 0$ and $r > 0$, then

$$\langle T_r(y) - T_r(x), T_r(y) - T_r(x) \rangle \geq 0$$ (25)

so

$$\|T_r(x) - T_r(y)\|^2 \leq \langle T_r(x) - T_r(y), x - y \rangle.$$ (26)
(c) Take $x \in K$. Then
\[
\begin{align*}
\forall x \in F(T_r) \rightarrow x &= T_r(x) \\
\rightarrow \varphi(x, y) &+ \frac{\langle x - x, y - x \rangle}{r} \geq \varepsilon \quad \forall y \in C \\
\rightarrow \varphi(x, y) &\geq \varepsilon \quad \forall y \in C \\
\rightarrow x &\in \text{AEP}(\varphi).
\end{align*}
\] (23)

(d) At last, we claim that AEP($\varphi$) is closed and convex. Indeed, since $T_r$ is firmly nonexpansive, $T_r$ is also nonexpansive, and since the fixed-point set of a nonexpansive operator is closed and convex [3, proposition 1.5.3]. Therefore follows from (b), (c).

In the following we will present a known theorem which is needed in the proof of some results (see [4]).

Theorem 6. Let $\varphi : C \times C \rightarrow R$ be a map satisfies Condition 1, and let $S : C \rightarrow C$ be a nonexpansive mapping such that $F(S) \cap \text{EP}(\varphi) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\{x_n\}$ and $\{u_n\}$ be sequences generated initially by an arbitrary element $x_1 \in H$ and then by
\[
\begin{align*}
\varphi(u_n, y) + \frac{\langle y - u_n, u_n - x_n \rangle}{r_n} &\geq 0 \quad \forall y \in C, \\
x_{n+1} = \alpha_n u_n + \beta_n = (1 - \alpha_n) u_n + 1, \quad \forall n \geq 1,
\end{align*}
\] (24)

where $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{r_n\}$ satisfy the following conditions:

(i) $\{\alpha_n\} \subset [\alpha, \beta]$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$;

(ii) $\{r_n\} \subset (0, \infty)$ and $\lim \inf r_n > 0$.

Then, the sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{u_n\}$ converge weakly to an element of $F(S) \cap \text{EP}(\varphi) \neq \emptyset$.

Lemma 7. Let $\psi : C \times C \rightarrow R$ be a map by $\psi(x, y) = \varphi(x, y) - \varepsilon$ that satisfies Condition 1, and let $S : C \rightarrow C$ be a nonexpansive mapping, then $F(S) \cap \text{EP}(\varphi) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $F(S) \cap \text{EP}(\varphi) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Suppose the map $\psi : C \times C \rightarrow R$ by $\psi(x, y) = \varphi(x, y) - \varepsilon$ satisfies Condition 1. Since by $F(S) \cap \text{EP}(\varphi) \neq \emptyset$, Lemma 9 implies that $F(S) \cap \text{EP}(\varphi) \neq \emptyset$ and holds conditions theorem (2.8), therefore the sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{u_n\}$ converge weakly to an element of $z \in F(S) \cap \text{EP}(\varphi)$. By Lemma 9 the sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{u_n\}$ converge weakly to $z \in F(S) \cap \text{EP}(\varphi)$.

Lemma 9. Let $\varphi : C \times C \rightarrow R$ be a map satisfies Condition 1. For $x \in C$, define a mapping $T : C \rightarrow C$ such that is contraction. If $\varphi(Tx, y) \geq 0$ for all $y \in C$, then there exists $x_0 \in \text{EP}(T)$.

Proof. Since $C$ is a nonempty closed, bounded, and convex subset of $H$, and $T$ is contraction, now by Theorem 2.1 of [4], since $\{T^n x\}$ converges to fixed point $T$, then there exists $x_0 \in C : T^n x_0 = x_0$. Since for all $y \in C$, $\varphi(T^n x_0, y) \geq 0$, thus $\varphi(x_0, y) \geq 0$ for all $y \in C$. So there exists $x_0 \in C$, such that $x_0 \in \text{EP}(T)$.

Lemma 10. Let $\varphi : C \times C \rightarrow R$ be a map satisfies Condition 1. For $x \in C$, define a mapping $T : C \rightarrow C$ such that is contraction. If $\varphi(T^n x, y) \geq 0$, for all $y \in C$, then $x_0 \in \text{EP}(T)$.

Proof. Since $C$ is a nonempty closed, bounded, and convex subset of $H$, and $T$ is contraction, now by Theorem 2.1 of [4], since $\{T^n x\}$ converges to fixed point $T$, then there exists $x_0 \in C : T^n x_0 = x_0$. Since for all $y \in C$, $\varphi(T^n x_0, y) \geq 0$, thus $\varphi(x_0, y) \geq 0$ for all $y \in C$. So there exists $x_0 \in C$, such that $x_0 \in \text{EP}(T)$.

Lemma 11. Let $\varphi : C \rightarrow R$ be a real-valued function, let $\varphi : C \times C \rightarrow R$ be a map satisfies Condition 2, and let $T : C \rightarrow C$ be a nonlinear onto mapping and satisfying
\[
\|x - T x\| + \varphi(x, T x) < \varepsilon \quad \forall x \in C.
\] (26)

If $\text{EP}(\varphi) \neq \emptyset$, then $A\text{F}(T) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. If $z \in \text{EP}(\varphi)$, then
\[
0 \leq \varphi(z, y) \quad \forall y \in C.
\] (27)

Since $z \in C$, there exists $x \in C$, such that $T x = z$. Therefore $\varphi(T x, x) \geq 0$, and so
\[
d(x, T x) + \varphi(x, T x) < \varepsilon.
\] (28)

It follows that $d(x, T x) < \varepsilon < x \in \text{AF}(T)$.

Lemma 12. Let the map $\varphi : C \times C \rightarrow R$ satisfy Condition 2. For $x \in C$, define a mapping $T : C \rightarrow C$ such that is contraction. If $\varphi(x, T x) < d(x, T x)$, for all $x \in C$, then there exists $x_0 \in \text{AEP}(T)$.

Proof. Since $T$ is contraction by Theorem 2.1. of [1] $\text{AF}(T) \neq \emptyset$, for all $\varepsilon > 0$, and since for all $x \in C \varphi(x, T x) < \|x - T x\|$, therefore for all $x \in C$ and for some $\varepsilon > 0$, $\varphi(x, T x) < \varepsilon$, for all $x \in C$. Thus $x_0 \in \text{AEP}(T)$.
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