How Jupiter’s unusual magnetospheric topology structures its aurora
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Jupiter’s bright persistent polar aurora and Earth’s dark polar region indicate that the planets’ magnetospheric topologies are very different. High-resolution global simulations show that the reconnection rate at the interface between the interplanetary and jovian magnetic fields is too slow to generate a magnetically open, Earth-like polar cap on the time scale of planetary rotation, resulting in only a small crescent-shaped region of magnetic flux interconnected with the interplanetary magnetic field. Most of the jovian polar cap is threaded by helical magnetic flux that closes within the planetary interior, extends into the outer magnetosphere, and piles up near its dayside flank where fast differential plasma rotation pulls the field lines sunward. This unusual magnetic topology provides new insights into Jupiter’s distinctive auroral morphology.

INTRODUCTION
Impressive auroral displays are seen at every magnetized planet with an atmosphere, but not all aurora are created equal. Earth’s aurora is episodic with a usually well-defined oval of bright ultraviolet (UV) luminosity surrounding a dark polar region above 70 to 75° magnetic latitude (MLAT). Jupiter also has an auroral oval encircling the magnetic poles (Fig. 1), but unlike Earth’s, it is persistent, and its polar cap—the region poleward of the auroral oval—contains bright, dynamic auroras that account for about half of the emitted UV power (1, 2). Jupiter’s polar aurora is often grouped into at least three structures, including a “swirl region” in the center, peppered with dim, intermittent, and chaotic bursts of emissions; an “active region” of flares, bright spots, and arc-like structures on the duskside (1, 3, 4); and a “dark region” located on the dayside (5, 6).

Auroras are produced by energetic charged particles that excite emissions when precipitating into the atmosphere. Most of these particles are repelled and trapped in space by the mirror force of the planetary magnetic field, except the few that are forced or scattered into the so-called loss cone and precipitate (7). Observed morphological differences in aurora are thus signatures of the different magnetic topologies that define the planetary space environment (the magnetosphere) and the different processes that enable auroral precipitation (8). The connection between Earth’s aurora and its magnetospheric topology has been explored extensively and is reasonably well understood (1, 2). The jury is still out on the magnetic structure of Jupiter’s magnetosphere and what exactly its aurora is telling us about its topology.

The magnetic flux threading Earth’s polar cap is typically “open” and interconnected with the interplanetary magnetic field (IMF), a consequence of dayside magnetic reconnection wherein dissipative merging between the IMF and geomagnetic field breaks the frozen-in condition of ideal magnetohydrodynamics (9). The polar cap is dark because electrons precipitating into the atmosphere from the extremely low-density plasma populating open field lines have insufficient energy flux to excite intense auroral emissions (10). Earth’s auroral oval occurs on closed magnetic field lines, meaning the magnetic flux in geospace traces poloidal paths between hemispheres (11). The auroral oval is the dominant source of the terrestrial UV auroral emissions, with >70% of the emitted power typically coming from the so-called diffuse aura and the remainder in the more structured and bright discrete and wave-induced aura (12).

The electron precipitation responsible for Jupiter’s auroral oval resembles the discrete and wave-induced aura at Earth. The causative downward electron flux carries upward-directed magnetic field-aligned currents (FACs), largely generated by the breakdown of plasma corotation on closed magnetic field lines extending (beyond ~20 Rj) (13, 14), a consequence of the fast rotation of the jovian magnetosphere. In analogy with Earth, open field lines are

Fig. 1. Polar projections of Northern UV aurora at Jupiter and Earth. (A) Juno-UVS, image was acquired on 19 May 2017 at 04:21:56; UVS, ultraviolet spectrograph. (B) WIC image was acquired on 14 January 2001 at 05:00:55UT, LT, local time; WIC, wide-field imaging camera.
thought to thread most of the region poleward of Jupiter’s auroral oval, but this open-flux model is difficult to reconcile with observed precipitation of energetic electrons (15) over the polar region, along with ions released by the volcanic moon Io (16–19).

Thus, Jupiter’s bright polar aurora presents quandaries. If it opens magnetic field lines, why is the precipitating particle energy flux along Jupiter’s open field lines so much greater than expected, and how does heavy-ion precipitation access open field lines? A polar cap threaded in part by closed magnetic field lines eliminates these quandaries, but raises questions about the origins of the polar magnetic topology, especially in light of observed reconnection signatures on the dayside and nightside magnetopause (MP) (magnetospheric interface with the IMF) resembling those at Earth (20, 21). Theoretical studies suggest that the rate of large-scale reconnection at Jupiter may not be fast enough to produce a fully open polar cap (22, 23) with very few polar field lines interconnected with the IMF (24, 25). But it is not clear how or if the polar-region open and closed magnetic field lines are linked and distributed in the magnetosphere.

These questions are not easily addressed with the limited in situ observations available for Jupiter. Physics-based global simulations of the jovian magnetosphere system offer an interpretative framework for the observations (26–30), particularly the recent in situ measurements from the Juno spacecraft. We investigated the magnetic topology of Jupiter’s polar cap using a newly developed global magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) model of the jovian magnetosphere, including its interactions with the interplanetary medium, the effect of mass loading from the volcanic moon Io, and ionosphere-magnetosphere coupling (31, 32). The new results reported here offer a testable model of Jupiter’s polar magnetic topology and its magnetic connectivity to the jovian outer magnetosphere and interplanetary medium.

**RESULTS**

We specified time-stationary, idealized upstream conditions corresponding to a typical “non-compressed” magnetosphere, formed by a Mach 10 solar wind (SW) with a number density of 0.2 cm−3, a speed of 400 km/s, a dynamic pressure of 0.03 nPa, and an east-west IMF component of 0.5 nT. The heavy-ion mass loading from Io is set to 1000 kg/s, which is within the range of empirical estimates (33), introduced through the low-altitude boundary of the simulation (6 RJ jovian-centric). The simulation was run for 230 hours (23 jovian days) until the average radial profile of the O+ density is settled into a quasi-steady state, which is inconsistent with the empirical density profile in (33) (section A of the Supplementary Materials). The results reported here are derived from the last two planetary spins. We determined the amount of open magnetic flux in the simulated jovian magnetosphere, the connection and linkages of magnetic field lines emanating from the polar and auroral regions, and implications for jovian auroral features.

The reconnection potential \( E_{\text{reconn}} \) and open magnetic flux \( \Phi \) are related through Faraday’s law

\[
\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r} = -\int_{\text{MP}} E \cdot dl = E_{\text{reconn}}
\]

\( E \) is the electric field along the MP separatrix—the locus of points separating open and closed magnetic field lines (Fig. 2A) (34). Integration of the electric potential \( E \cdot dl \) projected along the MP separatrix (black curve in Fig. 2B) yields a reconnection potential of \( E_{\text{reconn}} \approx 508 \text{ kv} \). This reconnection potential is within the range of estimates based on SW/IMF measurements near Jupiter (35).

In quasi-steady state, the transit time \( \Delta t \) for SW advection of a newly reconnected and open field line at the dayside MP to the nightside, where it undergoes reconnection again to become a closed field line, is determined from the simulated spatial extent of open flux in the SW (blue lines in Fig. 2) divided by the SW speed:

\[
\Delta t = \frac{279 \text{ RJ}}{400 \text{ km/s}} \approx 48,000 \text{ s} (13.3 \text{ hours})
\]

This transit time with the above-calculated \( E_{\text{reconn}} \) in Faraday’s law then determines the open flux created by MP reconnection as

\[
\Delta \Phi \approx \Delta t \cdot E_{\text{reconn}} \approx 24.4 \text{ GWb}
\]

This open flux is approximately 9% of the total dipole magnetic flux [259 Giga Weber (GWb)] threading the simulated jovian polar cap (PC), taken to be the area in Fig. 3A above \( \approx 82^\circ \) MLAT. Flux-equivalence mappings of the low-altitude footpoints of magnetic fields measured in the jovian magnetosphere (36) suggest an 11° symmetric-circle equivalent of the observationally ill-defined, asymmetric PC. The mapped equivalent flux within the PC, assumed to be fully open in (36), is estimated at 700 to 730 GWb and is about 50% larger than the dipole equivalent flux of 488 GWb for a PC within 11° of the pole. The generation of such large open flux, given the simulation value for \( E_{\text{reconn}} \), requires an MP merging distance of order 4 astronomical unit (AU), wherein the field lines most likely become Alfvénically disconnected from Jupiter. Therefore, the spatial distribution of Jupiter’s open PC flux must be very different from that of Earth’s PC. Note that due to the use of an azimuthally symmetric, point dipole field as the intrinsic jovian magnetic field in the simulation, the low-altitude mapping of the FACs in the simulated jovian magnetosphere does not exhibit local time (LT) asymmetry as the observed auroral emission, especially in the Northern Hemisphere. The use of a non-dipolar magnetic field will influence the amount and spatial distribution of the open flux including hemispheric asymmetries, but it is unlikely a dominant effect since the open magnetic flux is mostly generated by the merging of the upstream IMF with the dipole component of the jovian magnetic field.

Figure 3A shows the spatial distribution of open flux and FACs derived from the magnetic field averaged over two jovian days and traced to the low-altitude boundary of the simulation. Instead of forming a single circular-shaped open PC as at Earth, the simulated average open flux at Jupiter threads two disjoint polar regions: (i) a crescent-shaped region spanning \( \approx 82 \) to \( 83^\circ \) MLAT and extending from dusk to noon in LT between regions of upward and downward FAC and (ii) a duskside patch region above \( 85^\circ \) MLAT. The crescent-shaped open flux is magnetically connected to the dawnside IMF (Fig. 2A) with an average magnetic flux of 23.4 GWb in excellent agreement with the above estimate from Faraday’s law. The average spatial distribution of the crescent-shaped open flux is similar to the measured crescent-shaped polar region devoid of auroral emissions (37, 38). A similar narrow region in the measured ion wind with little velocity in the inertial frame is also seen in Saturn’s magnetosphere, which is likely under SW control (39). The simulated crescent exhibits less MLAT distortion than the void in Hubble Space Telescope images, probably due to the use of an axisymmetric dipole magnetic field; however, the simulated and observed crescents are both more or less fixed in LT, in contrast with other polar features (40). The high-latitude patch region above \( 85^\circ \) MLAT contains about 19% (5.5 GWb) of the total open flux. If the simulated PC in
Fig. 3A is taken to be the area above 82° MLAT, then 89% of the PC flux is closed in the simulation.

Both the crescent and patch regions of the jovian open flux exhibit dynamic variations. Figure 3 (B to E) shows snapshots of the distributions of open flux together with FACs derived at different phases of planetary spin 21. The open flux of the instantaneous crescent region near 82° to 83° MLAT varies from 18.6 to 25.2 GWb. The patch region is more intermittent with a highly variable spatial distribution; e.g., its open flux is 3.7 to 11.0 GWb during the first half of spin 21 and almost disappears during the second half of spin 21 (0.3 to 3.7 GWb). What exactly controls the patch region deserves further investigation. It is likely generated via complicated interactions between magnetotail reconnection and ionospheric electrodynamics, regulated by the strength of the dipole, angular speed of planetary rotation, orientation of the upstream IMF, and spatial gradients in ionospheric conductivity (41).

Figure 4 shows the two-day average topology of simulated jovian magnetic field lines traced from four different sets of footpoints at the northern low-altitude simulation boundary. The green open field lines have footpoints in the crescent region and are connected...
to the IMF. They are created by MP reconnection and are mostly under SW control, which may be related to the “zero inertial velocity” region in line-of-sight ion wind measurements in the jovian polar ionosphere (40, 42). The blue field lines originate from the duskside patch region and map to the distant tail beyond 600 R_J. The downward FACs flowing along these helical open field lines (winding counterclockwise when looking upward) are generated by differential rotation of the polar ionosphere due to the frozen-in condition of the flux tubes in ideal MHD (43, 44). Their long extent is consistent with observations of Jupiter’s very elongated magnetotail (45). This transient region could be possibly related to the “old core” open field lines predicted based on observations of the UV emission (38, 46). The red closed field lines have footpoints at 80° MLAT. They map outward to 35 to 40 R_J in the middle magnetosphere and return to the southern boundary (ionosphere). The black field lines emerging from Jupiter’s polar region illustrate one of the more unusual features of jovian magnetic topology. These helical, closed field lines connect the two polar regions through the duskside outer magnetosphere and have no counterpart in the terrestrial magnetosphere. This topological feature occurs because the time scale for reconnection to retain open flux, estimated above as 48,100 s, is too slow to generate a complete open PC in a single jovian rotation of a period of 36,540 s. Thus, the black polar field lines in Fig. 4 cannot access the IMF at the MP and remain closed. These magnetic flux pile-up on the duskside flank are bent sunward by Jupiter’s differentially rotating plasma and develop a low-latitude boundary layer through viscous stresses at the MP boundary (25).

FIGURE 4. Different topological classes of jovian magnetic field lines averaged over simulation days 21 to 23. Illustrative field lines emerge from four low-altitude sets in the Northern Hemisphere (lower left). Red: 78° MLAT. Green: crescent region. Blue: patch region. Black: closed polar cap.

**DISCUSSION**

Complex duskside magnetic structures have also been found in other global simulations of the jovian magnetosphere (47, 48). Their geodesic curvature is produced by field-perpendicular currents that flow toward the planet, balance the inertia of differential plasma rotation via a tailward MHD Lorentz force, and are diverted into downward PC FACs (Fig. 3), in a manner similar to what occurs in Earth’s low-latitude boundary layer (49). This giant volume of trapped jovian magnetospheric plasma connects the dynamics of the two polar hemispheres. In the geospace, these variabilities stimulate Alfvénic perturbations, field line resonant oscillations, and associated particle acceleration to power aurora (50). In the jovian magnetosphere, the observed 2- to 3-min oscillations of jovian polar auroral emissions (3) arise naturally on these closed field lines, which should also support hemispherically conjugate aurora. Note that the magnetic topology shown in Fig. 4 exhibits the average direction of the magnetic field vector corresponding to steady state, east-west IMF conditions. Numerical experiments have shown that the closed polar cap flux tube is a robust feature under a variety of IMF orientations driven by nominal SW conditions at Jupiter, as well as ionospheric conductance and mass loading rate from the Io plasma.

Jupiter’s unusual magnetospheric topology requires care when interpreting the sources of the planet’s polar aura. For example, some or all of the dayside “active region” (51) maps along closed polar field lines (Fig. 4) into the dawn-to-midnight equatorial magnetosphere. Auroral activity in this region might then be attributable to flankside boundary layer and/or nightside magnetotail dynamics rather than dayside reconnection, as is typical at Earth.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

We use the Grid Agnostic MHD for Extended Research Applications (GAMERA) global model (32) to simulate the interaction of the SW and IMF with the jovian space environment—the volume of space where the jovian magnetic field dominates the IMF. The model is based on equations of multi-fluid MHD (52, 53), including (i) a dipole magnetic field embedded at the center of Jupiter to represent the planet’s intrinsic magnetic field; (ii) supersonic upwind conditions representing the SW and embedded IMF powering the interaction; (iii) low-altitude boundary conditions representing the closure of magnetospheric FACs in the jovian ionosphere; (iv) rotation of the planet at the low-altitude boundary imposed through an electrostatic potential; (v) a plasma source representing heavy-ion mass loading from the Io plasma torus; and (vi) numerical resistivity that enables magnetic reconnection in ideal MHD.

The computational volume of the simulation is a stretched sphere of length 1200 R_J along the x axis of the solar magnetic (SM) coordinate system of Jupiter and 400 R_J in the directions perpendicular to the x axis. A spherical volume of radius 6 R_J is cut out inside the distorted spherical computational domain. The sphere is centered on Jupiter, 100 R_J downstream from the upwind surface where the SW/IMF conditions are imposed. The three-dimensional view of the computational grid is shown in section A of the Supplementary Materials (fig. S1).

---
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The primary computational technique is explicit, finite volume MHD. The code uses Adams-Bashforth time marching with an upwind, seventh-order spatial reconstruction. In addition, nonlinear numerical switches based on the Partial Donor Method are used to maintain the total variation diminishing (TVD) property. The constrained transport technique is used to achieve zero numerical divergence of the magnetic field while fulfilling this TVD condition for the system of equations (54). The finite volume technique allows the code to complete its calculation on a non-orthogonal numerical grid with cells adapted to the configuration of the jovian magnetosphere, e.g., cells that are smaller in the inner magnetosphere and across the nominal MP than parallel to it. The computational grid used for the runs in this paper has 256 × 256 × 256 cells. The grid is across the nominal MP than parallel to it. The computational grid is localized area or by using averaging error of oppositely directed effects at the grid scale either positing a very large resistivity in a model, one standard way around this is to depend on numerical models is the same as fine-scaled Hall or PIC results, which is approximately 0.3° × 0.15° in MLT and MLAT.

A numerical resistivity enables magnetic reconnection in the auroral emissions. D. Grodent, J. T. Clarke, J. H. Waite Jr., S. W. H. Cowley, J. C. Gérard, J. Kim, Jupiter’s polar auroral emissions. J. Geophys. Res. Space Physics 108, 1366 (2003).

The finite volume technique allows the code to complete its calculation on a non-orthogonal numerical grid with cells adapted to the configuration of the jovian magnetosphere, e.g., cells that are smaller in the inner magnetosphere and across the nominal MP than parallel to it. The computational grid used for the runs in this paper has 256 × 256 × 256 cells. The grid is across the nominal MP than parallel to it. The computational grid is localized area or by using averaging error of oppositely directed effects at the grid scale either positing a very large resistivity in a model, one standard way around this is to depend on numerical models is the same as fine-scaled Hall or PIC results, which is approximately 0.3° × 0.15° in MLT and MLAT.
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