Direct observation of dijets in central Au+Au collisions at √s_{NN} = 200 GeV
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The STAR Collaboration at RHIC reports measurements of azimuthal correlations of high transverse momentum ($p_T$) charged hadrons in Au+Au collisions at higher $p_T$ than reported previously. As $p_T$ is increased, a narrow, back-to-back peak emerges above the decreasing background, providing a clear dijet signal for all collision centralities studied. Using these correlations, we perform a systematic study of dijet production and suppression in nuclear collisions, providing new constraints on the mechanisms underlying partonic energy loss in dense matter.

PACS numbers: 25.75.-q, 25.75.Gz
Nuclear collisions at high energy may produce conditions sufficient for the formation of a deconfined plasma of quarks and gluons. The high-density QCD matter generated in these collisions can be probed via propagation of hard scattered partons, which have been predicted to lose energy in the medium primarily through gluon bremsstrahlung. The medium alters the fragmentation of the parent partons, providing experimental observables that are sensitive to the properties of QCD matter at high density.

The study of high transverse momentum ($p_T$) hadron production in heavy ion collisions at RHIC has yielded several novel results, including the strong suppression relative to $p+p$ collisions of both inclusive hadron yields and back-to-back azimuthal ($\phi$) correlations. Azimuthal correlations of high $p_T$ hadrons reflect the fragmentation of outgoing partons produced dominantly in $2 \rightarrow 2$ hard scattering processes (“dijets”). The back-to-back correlation strength has shown sensitivity to the in-medium path length of the parton, while the distribution of low $p_T$ hadrons recoiling from a high $p_T$ particle is broadened azimuthally and softened in central collisions, qualitatively consistent with dissipation of jet energy to the medium. However, those correlation measurements required large background subtraction, and quantitative study of the properties of the away-side jet has been limited. Previous correlation measurements were constrained to a $p_T$ region in which the hadron flavor content and baryon fraction exhibit substantial differences from jet fragmentation in elementary collisions.

In this Letter we present measurements of azimuthal correlations of charged hadrons in Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV over a much broader transverse momentum range than previously reported. The $p_T$ range extends to the region where previous studies suggest that particle production is dominated by jet fragmentation. Increasing $p_T$ reduces the combinatoric background and, for all centralities, reveals narrow back-to-back peaks indicative of dijets. A quantitative study of the centrality and $p_T$ dependence of dijet fragmentation may provide new constraints on partonic energy loss and properties of the dense medium.

The measurements were carried out with the STAR Experiment, which is well-suited for azimuthal correlation studies due to the full azimuthal ($2\pi$) coverage of its Time Projection Chamber (TPC). This analysis is based on 30M minimum-bias and 18M central Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV, combining the 2001 dataset with the high statistics dataset collected during the 2004 run. 10M d+Au events collected in 2003 are also included in the analysis. Event and track selection are similar to previous STAR high $p_T$ studies. This analysis used charged tracks from the primary vertex with pseudo-rapidity $|\eta| < 1.0$.

As in our original studies of high $p_T$ azimuthal correlations, transverse momentum-ordered jet-like correlations are measured by selecting high $p_T$ trigger particles and studying the azimuthal distribution of associated particles ($p_T^{assoc} < p_T^{trig}$. The trigger-associated technique facilitates jet studies in the high-multiplicity environment of a heavy ion collision, where full jet reconstruction using standard methods is difficult. A particle may contribute to more than one hadron pair in an event, both as trigger and as associated particle, though for the high $p_T$ ranges considered here the rate of contribution to multiple pairs is small. The pair yield is corrected for associated particle tracking efficiency, with uncertainty of 5% that is highly correlated over the momentum range considered here. The effect of momentum resolution on the pair yield is estimated to be less than 1% and no correction for it was applied. A correction was also applied for non-uniform azimuthal acceptance, but not for the effects of the single-track cut $|\eta| < 1.0$. The single-track acceptance is independent of $p_T$ and uniform on $\eta$ for $p_T > 3$ GeV/c and $|\eta| < 1$. The near-side ($\Delta \phi \sim 0$) correlated yield at large $|\Delta \eta|$ is negligible.

Figure 1 shows dihadron azimuthal distributions normalized per trigger particle for central (0-5%) Au+Au collisions. $p_T^{trig}$ increases from left to right, and two $p_T^{assoc}$ ranges are shown. The height of the background away from the near- ($\Delta \phi \sim 0$) and away-side ($|\Delta \phi| \sim \pi$) peaks, which is related to the inclusive yield, is similar for different $p_T^{trig}$ in each $p_T^{assoc}$ interval. The background level decreases rapidly as $p_T^{assoc}$ is raised, e.g., by an order of magnitude between the two rows in Fig. 1.

Near-side peaks are seen in all panels and indicate larger yields for higher $p_T^{trig}$ at fixed $p_T^{assoc}$. Such an in-
crease in correlated yield is expected if the correlation is dominated by jet fragmentation, with higher $p_T^{\text{trig}}$ biasing towards higher $E_T$ jets. An away-side peak is not apparent at the lowest $p_T^{\text{trig}}$, consistent with previous studies of $\Delta \phi$ correlations in central Au+Au collisions in similar $p_T^{\text{trig}}$ and $p_T^{\text{assoc}}$ ranges [12]. However, an away-side peak emerges clearly above the background as $p_T^{\text{trig}}$ is increased. The narrow, back-to-back peaks are indicative of the azimuthally back-to-back nature of dijets observed in elementary collisions.

Figure 2 shows the $\Delta \phi$ distributions for the highest $p_T^{\text{trig}}$ range in Fig. 1 ($8 < p_T^{\text{trig}} < 15 \text{ GeV/c}$) for mid-central (20-40%) and central Au+Au collisions, as well as for d+Au collisions. $p_T^{\text{assoc}}$ increases from top to bottom; for the highest $p_T^{\text{assoc}}$ (lower panels) the combinatorial background is negligible. We observe narrow correlation peaks in all $p_T^{\text{assoc}}$ ranges. For each $p_T^{\text{assoc}}$, the near-side peak shows similar correlation strength above background for the three systems, while the away-side correlation strength decreases from d+Au to central Au+Au.

For $8 < p_T^{\text{trig}} < 15 \text{ GeV/c}$ and $p_T^{\text{assoc}} > 6 \text{ GeV/c}$, a Gaussian fit to the away-side peak finds a width of $\sigma_{\Delta \phi} = 0.24 \pm 0.07$ for d+Au and $0.20 \pm 0.02$ and $0.22 \pm 0.02$ for 20-40% and 0-5% Au+Au collisions, respectively. No significant dependence of the widths on system or centrality is observed.

To quantify the correlated near- and away-side yields we integrate the area under the peaks (near-side $|\Delta \phi| < 0.63$; away-side $|\Delta \phi - \pi| < 0.63$) and subtract the non-jetlike background. In previous analyses at lower $p_T$ anisotropic (“elliptic”) flow contributed significantly to the measured two-particle correlation, leading to large uncertainties in the extraction of jet-like yields [14, 15]. In this analysis, the background contribution due to elliptic flow is estimated using a function $B : \{1 + v_2(p_T^{\text{assoc}}), v_2(p_T^{\text{trig}}) \cdot \cos(2 \Delta \phi)\}$, where the $v_2$ are extracted from standard elliptic flow analysis [14] and $B$ is fitted to the region between the peaks ($0.63 < |\Delta \phi| < 2.51$), and is appreciable only for the lowest $p_T^{\text{assoc}}$ range in Fig. 2. The uncertainty in the magnitude of elliptic flow introduces a small systematic uncertainty less than 5% on the extracted associated yields (Figure 3).

Figure 3 shows the centrality dependence of the near- and away-side yields for the $p_T^{\text{trig}}$ and $p_T^{\text{assoc}}$ ranges of Figure 2. The leftmost points in each panel correspond to d+Au collisions, which we assume provide the reference distribution for jet fragmentation in vacuum. The near-side yields (left panel) show little centrality dependence, while the away-side yields (right panel) decrease with increasing centrality. The away-side centrality dependence is similar to our previous studies of dihadron azimuthal correlations for lower $p_T$ ranges [12]. Note that the yields in different $p_T^{\text{assoc}}$ bins for a given centrality may exhibit correlations due to their common trigger population.

The effect of the medium on dijet fragmentation can be explored in more detail using the $p_T$ distributions of near- and away-side associated hadrons. Fig. 4 shows the trigger-normalized fragmentation function $D(z_T)$, where $z_T = p_T^{\text{assoc}}/p_T^{\text{trig}}$. $D(z_T)$ is measurable without direct knowledge of the parton energy. The $z_T$ range shown in Fig. 4 corresponds to the $p_T^{\text{assoc}}$ range for which dijets are observed above background (see Fig. 2). The near-side
distributions (left panels) are similar over a broad range of $z_T$ for all three systems, consistent with fragmentation in vacuum.

The similarity of the near-side fragmentation patterns could arise from small near-side energy loss due to a geometrical bias toward shorter in-medium path lengths (“surface bias”), as generated in several model calculations \[23, 24, 25, 26\]. However, this similarity could also result from energy-independent energy loss generating a *partonic* energy distribution that is suppressed in Au+Au but similar in shape to that in p+p collisions, with the lost energy carried dominantly by low $p_T$ hadrons. A leading-twist calculation of medium-modified dihadron fragmentation functions in similar $p_T^{\text{trig}}$ and $p_T^{\text{assoc}}$ intervals to those studied here \[23\] predicts a strong increase in near-side associated yield for more central collisions, though no such increase is observed in Figs. 3 and 4.

The lower right panel of Figure 4 shows the ratio of away-side $D(z_T)$ for 0-5% and 20-40% Au+Au collisions. The away-side suppression for central collisions at $z_T > 0.4$ is approximately independent of $z_T$, with yield suppressed by a factor $0.25 \pm 0.06$ for 0-5% Au+Au and $0.57 \pm 0.06$ for 20-40% Au+Au collisions. The away-side suppression for central collisions has similar magnitude to that for inclusive spectra \[10\], though such similarity is not expected *a priori* due to the different nature of the observable. A model calculation based on BDMPS energy loss predicts a universal ratio between away-side and inclusive suppression, with the away-side yield more suppressed \[26\].

The solid line in Figure 4 upper right panel, is an exponential function fit to the d+Au distribution, with the dashed lines having the same exponential slope but magnitude scaled by factors 0.57 and 0.25. This illustrates the similarity in shape of $D(z_T)$ for different systems. As discussed for Figure 2, the width of the away-side azimuthal distribution for high $p_T$ pairs is also independent of centrality. To summarize our observations: strong away-side high $p_T$ hadron suppression is not accompanied by significant angular broadening or modification of the momentum distribution for $z_T > 0.4$.

A calculation incorporating partonic energy loss through modification of the fragmentation function \[22\] predicts the away-side trigger-normalized fragmentation function to be suppressed uniformly for $z_T > 0.4$ in central Au+Au relative to p+p collisions, in agreement with our measurement. However, the predicted magnitude of the suppression is $\sim 0.4$, weaker than the measured value $0.25 \pm 0.06$.

Energy loss in matter could be accompanied by away-side azimuthal broadening, due either to medium-induced acoplanarity of the parent parton \[28\] or to dominance of the away-side yield by medium-induced gluon radiation at large angle. An opacity expansion calculation \[20\] predicts that the away-side yield for large energy loss is dominated by fragments of the induced radiation, with a strongly broadened azimuthal distribution up to $p_T \sim 10 \text{ GeV}/c$. No azimuthal broadening of the away-side parent parton is predicted, though its fragments are obscured by the greater hadron yield from induced radiation. In contrast, we observe strong away-side suppression without large azimuthal broadening. However, measurements at $p_T^{\text{assoc}} < 1 \text{ GeV}/c$ do show an enhancement of the yield and significant azimuthal broadening of the away-side peak \[17\].

Large energy loss is thought to bias the jet population generating the high $p_T$ inclusive hadron distribution towards jets produced near the surface and directed outward \[23, 24, 25, 26\], which minimizes the path length in the medium. For back-to-back dihadrons the total in-medium path length is minimized by a different geometrical bias, towards jets produced near the surface but directed tangentially. A model calculation incorporating quenching weights finds dihadron production dominated by such tangential pairs, with yield suppression consistent with our measurements. Another calculation based on quenching weights, which explicitly takes into account the dynamical expansion of the medium \[31\], also reproduces the measured suppression but finds a significant contribution from non-tangential jet pairs, due to the finite probability to emit zero medium-induced gluons in finite path length \[22, 32\] and to the rapid expansion and dilution of the medium. In this model, the rela-
tive contribution from the interior of the collision zone is larger for back-to-back dihadrons than for inclusive hadron production.

In summary, we have measured new fragmentation properties of jets and back-to-back dijets via high $p_T$ hadron correlations in $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV $d+Au$ and $Au+Au$ collisions. We observe the emergence at suppressed rate of a narrow back-to-back dijet peak in central $Au+Au$ collisions, which may enable the first differential measurement of partonic energy loss. The observation at high $p_T$ of strong suppression without modification of the away-side azimuthal and $p_T^{assoc}$ distributions is in disagreement with several theoretical calculations. Other calculations reproduce aspects of these measurements but with somewhat different underlying mechanisms. New calculations are required to reconcile these differences and to clarify the physics underlying our observations. We expect that comparison of theory with the measurements reported here will provide new insights into both the nature of partonic energy loss and the properties of the medium generated in high energy nuclear collisions.
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