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Pre-clinical evaluation of advanced nerve guide conduits using a novel 3D in vitro testing model
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Abstract: Autografts are the current gold standard for large peripheral nerve defects in clinics despite the frequently occurring side effects like donor site morbidity. Hollow nerve guidance conduits (NGC) are proposed alternatives to autografts, but failed to bridge gaps exceeding 3 cm in humans. Internal NGC guidance cues like microfibres are believed to enhance hollow NGCs by giving additional physical support for directed regeneration of Schwann cells and axons. In this study, we report a new 3D in vitro model that allows the evaluation of different intraluminal fibre scaffolds inside a complete NGC. The performance of electrospun polycaprolactone (PCL) microfibres inside 5 mm long polyethylene glycol (PEG) conduits were investigated in neuronal cell and dorsal root ganglion (DRG) cultures in vitro. Z-stack confocal microscopy revealed the aligned orientation of neuronal cells along the fibres throughout the whole NGC length and depth. The number of living cells in the centre of the scaffold was not significantly different to the tissue culture plastic (TCP) control. For ex vivo analysis, DRGs were placed on top of fibre-filled NGCs to simulate the proximal nerve stump. In 21 days of culture, Schwann cells and axons infiltrated the conduits along the microfibres with 2.2 ± 0.37 mm and 2.1 ± 0.33 mm, respectively. We conclude that this in vitro model can help define internal NGC scaffolds in the future by comparing different fibre materials, composites and dimensions in one setup prior to animal testing.
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1. Introduction

Injuries to peripheral nerves can affect the general public in all age groups, mostly caused by domestic, industrial or traffic accidents. Severe transection injuries are often life-changing and may result in defects of motor and sensory function. These injuries can often be repaired through a self-regeneration mechanism after Wallerian degeneration takes place[1]. However, a major concern is the increasing risk of incomplete functional and motor recovery with increasing degree of injury[2]. Current clinical treatments of complete peripheral nerve transection injuries comprise of surgical end-to-end suturing, allografting or the use of nerve guidance conduits depending on nerve gap size and severity of injury. Despite its reputation as the gold standard, autografts suffer from several major drawbacks: the sacrifice of a healthy nerve, donor site morbidity, at least two surgical interventions on donor and injury site, potential size discrepancy between harvested nerve and injured nerve and possible functional mismatch when treating...
motor nerve lesions with commonly used sensory sural nerve grafts\cite{1,2,3}. In recent years, bioengineers have focused on the development of NGCs to provide an alternative treatment to autografts, where different materials and designs were explored resulting in a number of devices that have seen FDA approval (Neuroflex\textsuperscript{®}, NeuraGen\textsuperscript{®}, AxoGuard\textsuperscript{®}, Avance\textsuperscript{®}, Neu-rolac\textsuperscript{®} or SaluTunnel\textsuperscript{®}). However, these commercial NGCs lack internal guidance structures and cannot exceed injury gaps greater than 3 cm, which is shorter than the defined critical nerve gap of 4 cm in humans\cite{4,5}. To this date, no other commercial solution has been presented. Therefore, key challenges now focus on enhancement of NGCs by including internal conduit guidance structures for targeted axon regeneration through the nerve gap to maximise the number of regenerating axons and therefore the regeneration outcome. Aligned fibres of a natural or synthetic material can be one approach to improve hollow nerve guides\cite{6,7,8} by mimicking the natural regeneration guidance cues of bands of Büngner, where Schwann cells and fibroblasts form aligned bands between the proximal and distal nerve end to guide axons to their target effectors\cite{9}. With adjustment of the fibre density in the NGC lumen, specific regeneration needs can be addressed like varying axon numbers in nerves. In comparison, hollow nerve guides only provide outer guidance for the injured nerve to keep both nerve stumps connected but cannot provide relevant internal guidance support for regenerating cells and tissue. Furthermore, fibre containing conduits would not require an adaption of nerve fascicles and blood vessels between the proximal stump and the conduit which is necessary when using autografts\cite{10}.

Newly developed NGC scaffold designs, which have seen in vivo implantation, have compared favourably to hollow conduits and autografts\cite{10,11,12,13}. However, new designs have, for the most part, not been directly compared to previous generation NGCs, or to currently used nerve conduits. Furthermore, with advanced NGC improvements more adequate evaluation techniques are required. More complex NGC structures, such as those that comprise of two components, like an additional internal scaffold, would greatly benefit from novel assessment techniques like in vitro evaluations in three dimensions, instead of relying on data retrieved from in vitro analysis on flat material films. We therefore hypothesized that the evaluation of intraluminal nerve guide scaffolds (e.g. microfibres) can be directly conducted in whole nerve conduits in order to provide a prospective platform for comparison of different internal NGC scaffolds. The effectiveness of the model was determined by neuronal cell culture and primary rat dorsal root ganglion explants in conjunction with cellular responses investigated using three-dimensional z-stack confocal and two-photon imaging.

2. Methods

2.1 Conduit Fabrication

Three-dimensional conduits were fabricated by microstereolithography. Polyethylene glycol diacrylate (Mn = 575 g/mol, Sigma, Poole, U.K.) was mixed with 4\% diphenyl-(2,4,6-trimethylbenzoyl)-Phosphineoxide/2-hydroxy-2-methylpropiophenone 50/50 photoinitiator (wt/wt, Sigma) to obtain a photocurable version of the target polymer. A cross sectional image of the required conduit size (1.2 mm internal diameter, 250 \(\mu\)m wall thickness) was uploaded to a digital micromirror device (Texas Instruments Incorporated, USA, associated software: ALP-3 Basic, ViALUX GmbH), which comprised of a range of mirrors, where each 20 \(\mu\)m size mirror represented a 1:1 object-to-image size ratio. The beam of a 10 mW 405 nm laser (Vortran Laser Technology Inc, USA) was expanded and aligned while running through a spatial filter and a mirror set. The beam then reached the digital micromirror device and was reflected in the shape of the uploaded cross section image. The liquid prepolymer was placed under a motorized z-axis translation stage (Thorlabs Ltd, UK, associated software: APT software), which was mounted at the liquid-air interface. Controlled by velocity and acceleration, the z-stage moved down into the bulk material where the irradiated regions were polymerized. Once the final length of the structure was reached, the laser power was turned off and the stage returned to its origin, carrying the complete 3D conduit structure. Unreacted prepolymer was washed off with isopropyl alcohol (IPA, Fisher Scientific UK). All fabricated structures were washed in IPA for 7 days to ensure full removal of unreacted prepolymer and added photoinitiator.

2.2 Microfibre Fabrication

The fabrication of 5 \(\mu\)m PCL fibres (referred to as microfibres) was conducted using electrospinning. A plastic syringe (Becton Dickinson) was filled with 10\% PCL solution (wt/wt, Sigma Aldrich Co, U.K.) in dichloromethane (Fisher Scientific U.K.) and topped with a 20G blunt stainless-steel needle. The needle...
was connected to a high voltage supply (Genvolt UK) and the polymer-loaded syringe placed in the mount of a programmable syringe pump (WPI Europe) and constantly pumped through with a flow rate of 4 ml/hr. Polymer jet formation was achieved by a voltage of 15 kV. The formed fibres were collected on an earthed rotating aluminium collector (IKA Works) wrapped in aluminium foil with a rotation speed of 2000 rpm.

2.3 Characterisation of Microfibres

Gold coated electrospun fibre samples were imaged using a XL-20 scanning electron microscope (SEM, Koninklijke Philips N.V.) operating at 15 kV. On each aluminium fibre sheet, three parallel arranged squares were analysed regarding fibre diameter and density. The fibre diameter was analysed using a ruler tool in the SEM related XL software. The amount of fibres per 50 µm were counted and averaged over all images.

2.4 Combination of Conduit and Microfibers

Approximately 6000–7000 PCL microfibres were threaded per PEG conduit. The fibre sheets were cut to the required width and 1–2 cm of the fibres were manually lifted off in the direction of the fibre alignment. These fibres were twisted between the fingers, where the twisted end was used in a similar manner to a needle. PEG NGCs were threaded onto PCL fibres (non-bunched end) like pearls on a chain and fibre excess was cut with scissors. A schematic of the workflow can be found in Figure 1.

2.5 Cell Culture in Whole Nerve Guides

NG108–15 neuronal cells (Public Health England, UK) were cultured in whole nerve guides with a cell concentration of $6 \times 10^5$ cells in proliferation medium, containing of Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM, Sigma), 10% foetal bovine serum (FBS, v/v, Biosera), 0.25 mg/mL amphotericin (Sigma), 200 mM L-glutamine (Sigma), 100 units/mL penicillin and 100 mg/mL streptomycin (Sigma) for 4 days. Cells were seeded with 15 µL of cell suspension by directly pipetting on top of the fibres in the conduits. The NGCs were transferred to the designed culturing setup in a 6-well plate and cells were allowed to attach at 37 °C for 30 minutes before wells were filled with proliferation medium. Neuronal NG108–15 cells were used between passage 14–20 and cultured at 37 °C in a humidified 95% air and 5% CO2 atmosphere.

![Figure 1](image)

2.6 F-actin Labelling of Neuronal Cells

NG108-15 neuronal cells were fixed with 3.7% paraformaldehyde (PFA, v/v in distilled water, Sigma) in whole NGCs for 3 h. For further staining procedures, the PCL microfibre filling was carefully removed from the NGC by using forceps and fixed on an objective slide. Cell membrane permeabilisation was conducted with 0.1% Triton X-100 (w/v, Sigma) in phosphate buffered saline (PBS, Thermo Scientific) for one hour. Cytoskeleton’s F-actin was visualised by using phalloidin conjugated to tetramethylrhodamine (TRITC) (v/v 1:1000 dilution in PBS) and cell nuclei were labelled with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI, 300 nM, Sigma) for 1 h at room temperature.

2.7 Live/Dead Cell Staining of Neuronal Cells

To distinguish live and dead neuronal cells visually, living cells were stained green by using 0.02% Syto 9 (v/v, Thermo Fisher Scientific) and dead cells red by using 0.03% propidium iodide (v/v, Thermo Fisher Scientific) in serum-free medium (proliferation medium deprived from serum). Cells were incubated...
in the staining solution for 60 min at 37 °C. Confocal imaging was conducted in PBS immediately after staining (details below).

2.8 Dorsal Root Ganglion Isolation and Culture

Male Wistar rats aged 10–12 weeks were sacrificed by cervical dislocation (schedule I procedure, UK Home Office). Rats were skinned and the spine was removed. DRGs were extracted after the spine was cut open, dorsal side facing up, and the spinal cord and meninges were removed. The nerve roots of each DRG were trimmed and explant DRG bodies placed on top of the nerve guides (one DRG body per conduit), which were held in place by the described setup. DRGs were incubated at 37 °C for 15 min to allow attachment. Afterwards, samples were fully covered with proliferation medium and incubated at 37 °C in a humidified 95% air and 5% CO₂ atmosphere for 21 days.

2.9 βIII-tubulin and S100β Labelling of Dorsal Root Ganglia

In order to reveal neuron-specific protein βIII-tubulin and Schwann cell-specific protein S100β immunolabelling was conducted. After fixation with 3.7% PFA for 3 h and permeabilising cell membranes with 0.1% Tween X-100 for 1 h, protein binding sites were blocked with 3% bovine serum albumin (BSA, w/v in PBS, Fluka) for 30 min and subsequently washed with PBS. Anti-βIII-tubulin primary mouse antibody (1:200 in 1% v/v BSA, Promega, G7121) and anti S100β primary rabbit antibody (1:600 in 1% v/v BSA, Abcam, Ab868) were added to the samples for 48 h at 4 °C, followed by three washes in PBS. The secondary antibodies, horse anti-mouse IgG conjugated to Texas Red (1:1000 in 1% BSA; Vector Laboratories, TI-200) and goat anti-rabbit IgG conjugated to fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) (1:1000 in 1% BSA; Vector Laboratories, F1-1000) were added to the samples and incubated for 120 min at room temperature. Before imaging, samples were washed, then resubmerged in PBS.

2.10 Confocal and 2-photon Laser Microscopy

For imaging samples, a Zeiss LSM 510 META confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss Ltd, UK) with a 543 nm and a 488 nm laser was used. DAPI stained samples were imaged using an additional 2-photon 780 nm laser (Chameleon Ultra III, Coherent Inc, USA). Samples were arranged in a 6-well plate or were fixed on a glass microscope slide and imaged in PBS using a 10× magnification Zeiss W Plan Achromat water-dipping objective lens. For imaging FITC- and SYTO 9-labelled samples incident and excitation wavelengths of λex = 488 nm/λem = 525 nm were used, and wavelengths of λex = 543 nm/λem = 576 nm to image Texas Red, TRITC and propidium iodide-labelled samples. Cell nuclei were visualized at λex = 780 nm/λem = 480 nm. Images were stitched together and analysed using Zeiss LSM Image Browser software and Image J 1.49 (National Institute of Health, USA).

Figure 2. (Left) Schematic of the designed 3D model setup to evaluate the internal nerve guide scaffolds in vitro and ex vivo. (Right) Photograph of the experimental setup. Cell cultivation was conducted directly inside the incorporated scaffolds. For ex vivo analysis, dorsal root ganglia (DRGs), isolated from rat spines, were placed on top of the scaffolds. The test NGC device (1) was fitted with an adapter. (2) To a perforated metal plate. (3) And secured in a well of a commercial 6-well plate (5). In order to perform cell culture experiments, wells were filled with culture medium. (4) Until NGCs were covered.

2.11 Statistics

Data are shown as mean ± SD of three independent experiments, where each experiment has been conducted in triplicate, except for the analysis of microfibres using DRGs, where each experiment had a sample size of four. Statistical differences were tested by ordinary one-way ANOVA Tukey’s multiple comparisons test and differences were considered significant when p ≤ 0.05.

3. Results

The aim of this study was to develop a 3D model to test microfibres as a potential intraluminal guide in nerve conduits in vitro with an imaging technique that advances beyond more traditional and time consuming approaches like sample sectioning and histology. The major finding of the study describes a model that
supported the culturing of primary and non-primary neuronal and Schwann cells by following standard cell culture protocols in a more complex, multilayered environment represented by the conduit scaffold architecture under investigation.

3.1 Design of the In Vitro Testing Setup and Example Device

The developed 3D model used a PEG nerve guide conduit filled with PCL microfibres, based on the procedures developed by Pateman et al.,[17] and Daud et al.[18] Figure 1A shows a detailed schematic of the production of PEG conduits with an internal PCL microfibre scaffold. PEG conduits, fabricated by microstereolithography, measured 5 mm in length and had an internal diameter of 1.2 mm with a wall thickness of 250 µm (Figure 1B). The internal lumen diameter was designed to fit a rat dorsal root ganglion for ex vivo conduit testing. PCL was electrospun to aligned fibres (Figure 1C), which had an average fibre diameter of 5 ± 0.2 µm. The example device was fabricated by combining the above to a PEG NGC with an internal aligned fibre scaffold to investigate cell’s behaviour in response to the introduced internal guide in a ready-to-implant device (Figure 1D). PCL microfibres inside example PEG conduits were tested using a developed testing setup that comprised of two components, a stainless steel perforated metal plate and a polystyrene adapter to match conduit size and the metal stage (Figure 2). Metal stages and polystyrene adapters were sterilised by autoclaving and 70% ethanol treatment respectively. The setup was compatible to a standard 6-well plate and could be used to investigate different conduit sizes, where conduit length analysis was only limited by the well height.

3.2 Cell Orientation Analysis in the 3D Nerve Guide Architecture

In order to successfully analyse the behaviour of neuronal cells on internal NGC scaffolds, key questions of cell attachment and distribution on the scaffolds needs to be answered. Neuronal NG108–15 cells were cultured for 4 days and their morphology investigated by revealing cell nuclei and cytoskeleton with DAPI and F-actin-binding phalloidin. Figure 3A shows an SEM image of the experimental NGC device comprising PCL microfibres inside the lumen of a tubular PEG conduit. Neuronal cells were seeded on internal fibre scaffolds in whole NGCs using the described setup (Figure 2) and followed standard cell seeding procedures by directly applying the cell suspension on the nerve guide scaffold without the need of a pump perfusion system for the supply of medium. As shown in Figures 3B and 3C, neuronal cell orientation on the internal fibre scaffold was compared to cells on TCP. In monolayer, cells are randomly arranged and evenly fibre scaffold was attached to the TCP substrate (Figure 3B).

Figure 3. Confocal microscopy images of neuronal cells in nerve guides filled with PCL microfibres. (A) Neuronal NG108–15 cells were cultured in 5 mm long PEG NGCs with an internal aligned PCL microfibre scaffold in proliferation medium for 4 days. Neurons were labelled with F-actin-binding phalloidin-TRITC (red) and DAPI (blue) to reveal cytoskeletons and nuclei respectively. (B) TCP was used as a flat substrate control, on which neurons were randomly orientated. (C) Neurons cultured in whole NGCs orientated with the PCL fibre alignment inside whole PEG nerve guides. Arrows indicate the direction of microfibres in the NGCs. Scale bars = 20 µm.

In Figure 3C, it is clearly demonstrated how neuronal cells changed their orientation when introduced to an aligned scaffold inside the conduit. Due to the restricted growth area, neurons attached along the fibre direction and appeared as cellular bands. Additionally, culture complexity was reached through several microfibre layers inside the NGC, where neurons could attach and elongate in two dimensions on the microfibre scaffold with cell-cell chemical communication in a three-dimensional environment. The distribution of neuronal cells inside the experimental NGC device was evaluated by z-stack confocal microscopy to capture the complete multilayered internal conduit architecture. Microscope images were taken from three different positions of the fibre scaffolds (Figure 4A) and stacked together to 3D projections for analysis. From the data in Figure 4B, it is apparent that neuronal cells attached along the microfibres on all three positions imaged. Despite the presence of neurons in the entire fibre scaffold, attachment was also found on the different fibre layers illustrated in the 3D projections (Figure 4B). Aligned neuronal attachment was detected both in fibre depth.
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(illustrated by single images) and along the whole tube (illustrated by images taken on three different positions) (Figure 4B). Together, these results provide insight into neuronal attachment, alignment and distribution on PCL microfibres incorporated into NGCs when using the developed culture model, where neurons orientated like they would do as bands of Büngner in peripheral nerve regeneration in vivo.

Figure 4. 3D confocal microscopy images of neuronal cell distribution on aligned PCL fibres in whole nerve guides. The incidence of neuronal NG108–15 cells were evaluated on three different positions throughout the NGC and neurons revealed by phalloidin-TRITC and DAPI. For confocal microscopy, the fibres were taken out of the tube and mounted on a glass microscope slide. (A) Graphical representation of an NGC with incorporated aligned fibres. The arrow indicates the position where cells were seeded in the tubes. Imaging was conducted in three different positions on the fibres (illustrated by numbers). (B) 3D z-projection confocal images of neuronal cell distribution on microfibres inside NGCs. Z-stack images (depth: ~400 µm) were taken at three different positions as illustrated in A. Arrow indicates the direction of microfibres in the NGCs.

3.3 Cell Survival Inside Microfibre-filled NGCs

Cell viability not only plays an important role for the in vitro analysis and evaluation of cell behaviour and properties but is also crucial in the injury site to maintain as many viable cells as possible to increase effectiveness of tissue regeneration. In the described model, neuronal cells were seeded with greater numbers per area than on flat monolayer substrates and were surrounded by the conduit walls structurally mimicking a peripheral nerve whereas in contrast, monolayer cultured cells are exposed to an open system with unrestricted medium supply. Based on these culturing differences, a comparative overview staining of live and dead neuronal cells was conducted between cells on monolayer TCP substrates or PCL respectively, and cells, which were cultured on PCL microfibre scaffolds inside NGCs (Figure 5A–C). Neuronal cells attached and expanded in different patterns on the three different substrates. On TCP, neurons distributed evenly over the entire monolayer substrate (Figure 5A), where cells on PCL films showed a clumped arrangement (Figure 5B). Neurons cultured on microfibres inside the NGC device formed aligned cellular bands in the direction of the fibres (Figure 5C).

Figure 5. Overview of live and dead neuronal cells in the proposed 3D model. NG108–15 neuronal cells were cultured on TCP (A), PCL films (B) and on PCL microfibres inside the NGC test device (C) for 4 days. Live cells were identified with Syto 9 (green) and dead cells with propidium iodide (red). Cell imaging on fibres was conducted in the centre of the scaffold in z-stacks (depth 400 µm) and was illustrated as maximum intensity images. Arrow indicates the fibre orientation in NGCs. (D) shows the percentile distribution of living (dark bars) and dead cells (light bars) in the three different conditions (A–C). Living cells on TCP accounted 98.9 ± 0.6 %, on PCL films 85.6 ± 3.9 % and 91 ± 4.6 % on microfibres in NGCs in relation to the total cell population. No significant (ns) differences between data points of living cells on TCP and microfibres inside NGCs could be found, where significance was seen between TCP and PCL films. Data points of living cells were analysed by one-way ANOVA (**p < 0.01). Scale bar = 150 µm."

Additionally, the ratio of viable neuronal cells varied between 85% and 99%, where the highest numbers of living cells could be seen, with more than 90% viability, on microfibres in NGCs and on the flat TCP control. No significant differences could be seen between living or dead neuronal cells cultured on microfibres inside the NGC device and the TCP control. However, a significant decrease in living
neuronal cells was observed between PCL films and TCP. Taken together, microfibres supported the alignment of neuronal cells, yielded in living cell numbers greater than 90% and yielded in higher numbers of living cells compared to the flat PCL control.

3.4 Ex vivo dorsal root ganglion culture

Schwann cell proliferation and migration from the proximal to the distal nerve stump is one major key event in peripheral nerve regeneration to provide guidance for re-growing axons in order to successfully reinnervate target effectors on distal site. Simulating the proximal injury site in vitro, a rat dorsal root ganglion was placed on top of the example NGC device for investigations on internal scaffold performance by analysing Schwann cell proliferation and axon outgrowth along the microfibre scaffold from the explant towards the tube end (illustrated in Figure 6A). Dorsal root ganglia have been chosen as they accommodate sensory neuronal cell bodies, Schwann cells and fibroblastic cells, and represent a more in vivo like environment with primary cells in a co-culture arrangement. In order to analyse the success of the dissection procedure and the ability of microfibres to support DRG outgrowth, DRGs survival and attachment to the NGC device were analysed. The number of attached DRGs to the conduit scaffolds was determined visually and was normalised to the total number of isolated DRGs. In addition, the number of DRGs were counted, where microfibres supported the outgrowth of cells from the DRG body and numbers expressed in relation to all dissected DRGs. More than 90% of all isolated DRGs from male Wistar rats, in the age of 10–12 weeks, attached to the nerve guides using the described setup where more than 80% of the explants showed positive labelling for S100β and βIII tubulin away from the ganglion body (Figure 6C). In order to analyse the cell outgrowth distance from the DRG body towards the tube end, the incorporated PCL microfibre scaffold was removed from the conduit after 21 days of culture and Schwann cells labelled for S100β (green fluorescent signal) and axons for βIII tubulin (red fluorescent signal) (Figure 6B).
Green fluorescence from axons βIII tubulin could be seen simultaneously where Schwann cells were located. The co-localization could be identified by an overlay of green and red fluorescent signals appearing in a yellow-orange colour (Figure 6B). The distance that neuronal and Schwann cells infiltrated into the conduit was defined as the last detectable signal seen distant from the DRG body. The distance of outgrown cells was of particular importance as demonstrating the microfibres efficiency to support cell infiltration in a simulated nerve lesion in vitro. Schwann cells migrated and proliferated 2.2 ± 0.37 mm along the aligned microfibre scaffold inside the NGCs, where neuronal cells showed the extension of axons by 2.1 ± 0.33 mm (Figure 6D). Together, Schwann cells and axons infiltrated 43 % of the 5 mm long conduit in vitro in 21 days.

4. Discussion

Most cell-based research is acquired via experiments in monolayer cultures and largely assumes that these monolayer cultures reflect the physiology of tissue. To this day, cell cultivation in a monolayer is the traditional way of culturing cells. Cultivation in monolayers has proved to be simple, convenient and lead to relatively fast results. However, for some time, only in vitro 2D cultivation models and in vivo animal models were available and used for early stage medical device evaluation[19–21]. Between both models there is a large gap in relation to the physiological relevance. Monolayer cultures are far away from the biological behaviour in the human body, especially when cell lines were chosen over primary cells, and animal studies, whilst representing a whole organism and metabolism, are not human. Though animal studies can mirror human biological responses, they do not always reproduce human physiology[22]. Especially the dominant use of rat sciatic nerve injury models to study NGCs, which have limitations when translating results to humans[23]. Rats are too small in size to enable the study of human critical nerve gap lengths of > 4 cm and homogenous in-bred strains reduce the variability between results. Another concern that may result in mistranslation is the attempt to compare experiments of healthy animals versus sick patients, who normally suffer from multiple injuries besides the injury to a peripheral nerve due to traffic, industrial or domestic accidents. Additionally, there is a regulatory and ethically driven effort to reduce the number of animals used in medical research.

3D in vitro models are of increasing interest because of their “closer-to-in-vivo” behaviour with higher physiological relevance. In 3D models, cells can be cultivated in three dimensions like in their natural environment in tissues and organs. In neurosciences, 3D models are mostly devoted to the central nervous system to study the neuronal network and signalling pathways, brain barriers, disorders and the effects of neurotoxins (reviewed by[24,25]). Studies on peripheral nerve injuries, and nerve guides in particular, would benefit from in vitro 3D models due to the discussed limitations of animal injury models, 2D in vitro cultures and the lack of published data. Today there is an increased need for 3D models on internal NGC scaffolds. In particular, hollow NGCs have shown their limitations in repairing nerve gaps exceeding 3 cm and improvement on this matter is urgently needed. Different attempts have been made to study internal scaffolds for NGCs in a three-dimensional environment but documentation on a 3D in vitro model to test different scaffolds in one setup prior to animal implantation is still missing. In support of this notion, we developed an in vitro 3D DRG model that allows the investigation of different internal fibre scaffolds inside an NGC. In order to ensure that the microfibre scaffold supported cell growth and viability throughout the entire conduit length and depth, cell culture was conducted prior to DRG cultures. The developed system showed an in vitro 3D environment, which was based on the final implantable product and therefore more likely mimicked physiological relevant conditions. The morphology and viability of neuronal cells on microfibres inside a tubular nerve guide using the proposed setup was examined, where neuronal morphology remained unchanged throughout the entire nerve guide and where cells showed similar and not significantly different viability rates compared to the flat TCP control. This is of particular interest as it was previously mentioned that even thin 3D scaffolds showed a much lower cell growth in the centre of those structures due to the lack of nutrient and oxygen diffusion to the scaffold core[26–29]. Herein, the number of living cells inside the centre of the microfibre scaffold measured more than 90%.

DRGs are an effective tool for the evaluation of internal scaffolds in NGCs as described in this study. They are easy to harvest, demonstrate a primary
multi-cell type source and their anatomical morphology is suitable to simulate the proximal nerve stump after nerve injury when placed on top of an NGC. Additionally, DRGs contain three main cell types: sensory neurons, which are surrounded by satellite glial cells, Schwann cells and fibroblasts, which are arranged in a collagen-dominant extracellular matrix [30]. Evaluating NGC scaffolds using DRGs therefore allows axon-glial communication, approximates the native peripheral nerve environment and contains the major cell components, which take part in peripheral nerve regeneration. During Wallerian degeneration, Schwann cells and fibroblasts proliferate and migrate from both the proximal and the distal nerve stump into the nerve lesion and provide a chemical and physical guide for regenerating axons [31]. The close association of Schwann cells and axons was also observed in this study (yellow fluorescent signal in Figure 6B). In addition, Schwann cells proliferated further than the axons regenerated (Figure 6D), suggesting Schwann cells direct extending axons. The use of DRGs as an evaluation tool for peripheral nerve repair studies is not a new idea and was presented by several research groups in the past [18,23–34]. However, the described in vitro assessment methods are mainly confined to the evaluation of a single internal NGC scaffold. The current study suggests using DRGs to evaluate multiple scaffold structures inside the NGC, where the model is not restricted to the evaluation of a single conduit design. Additionally, this study showed the use of DRGs to simulate the proximal nerve stump when placed on top of the NGC device. It should also be mentioned that several NGCs can be tested at once by only sacrificing one animal compared to the direct in vivo implantation of a single NGC in a single animal. The presented model may therefore act in terms of the refinement and the reduction of the 3Rs in animal testing [33], showing an advantage from an ethical point of view, but is also advantageous in terms of time and resources.

The hollow nerve conduits in this study were fabricated by microstereolithography from PEG. Microstereolithography is a micro-structuring technique for complex 3D structuring with advantages of high resolution and fast manufacturing times for nerve regeneration [17,36]. Herein, PEG conduits were used as a non-degradable test-conduit device for the analysis of the intraluminal microfibre scaffold. This model can be used with any other kind of hollow nerve guide and is not restricted to the use of PEG NGCs. Combinations of different hollow NGCs and internal scaffolds can also be studied. The size of the conduits studied were directly relevant to a common fibular critical gap injury in a mouse model [17] and provide a basis for longer injury gaps of rat sciatic (>10 mm), and human trials thereafter. The microfibres used in this study were fabricated by electrospinning. Electrospinning is a controlled and fast fibre fabrication method, which allows the spinning of highly aligned or random oriented nano and microfibres, which have seen different applications in tissue engineering [37–39]. A range of fibre diameters (1, 5 and 8 µm) were extensively studied by Daud et al., where NG108–15 neuronal cells formed the longest neurites in co-culture experiments together with primary Schwann cells when grown on 5 µm fibres [18]. Additionally, PCL was chosen in respect to its bioresorbable properties and FDA approval [40] as well as its great suitability for peripheral nerve repair [18,41–43]. Besides PCL microfibres, a range of other internal NGC scaffolds have been suggested as being suitable candidates to enhance hollow nerve conduits in nerve repair (summarised by [44,45]). The comparison of different NGC scaffold candidates would be beneficial for the broad research community to identify an optimal internal guide for hollow NGCs. In this context, the developed 3D DRG model is of value in the process of identifying internal NGC scaffolds. It is of note that more than 90% of all DRG explants attached to NGCs in the developed model. In our experience, the attachment of DRGs to scaffolds is normally poor, typically around 20%. Furthermore, the proposed removal step of the microfibres from the conduit is an easy and fast method for sample imaging and is to our knowledge a new technique to image fibre scaffolds in NGCs. With this technique common confocal microscopy is still feasible without the need of time consuming sectioning processes like cryosectioning or paraffin embedding. The advantage of this technique is the maintenance of the intraluminal guide complexity where z-stack microscopy can reveal Schwann cell migration and axon sprouting through the whole depth of the internal scaffold, together with simple but accurate assessment of cell health using live/dead analysis along the full length of a scaffold to be investigated. Therefore, not only can internal fibre scaffolds of different materials and diameter be investigated but also different fibre densities inside NGCs. Scaffolds, which are too highly packed can result in cell necrosis in the scaffold core which can lead to direct failure of cell ingrowth [26–29]. In this model, such packing densities could easily be identified prior to in vivo implantation and might therefore also reduce the number of animals.
5. Conclusion
This study presented a novel DRG in vitro model that allows the testing of intraluminal fibre scaffolds inside nerve guides for the use in peripheral nerve repair. This model can be used to assess the performance of different fibre scaffold candidates in one experimental setup. Fibres of different dimensions like nano or microfibres, different diameter, materials and packing densities can be studied. The migration and proliferation of Schwann cells as well as the extensions of axons from the DRG body into the NGC serve as evaluation tools. Additionally, cell infiltration into the scaffold architecture can be studied, looking particularly on cell outgrowth length and cell viability in the scaffold core. Therefore, the current model has a major advantage of evaluating biomaterial chemistry and medical device design prototypes, and consequently may result in the refinement of leading candidate designs prior to further, more detailed, in vivo analysis.
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