Intrauterine insemination (IUI) is a widely used and cost-effective therapy for the treatment of infertility. There have been many reports on the factors to affect successful IUI treatment. Women’s age, the length and cause of infertility, sperm quality such as motile sperm count, ovarian stimulation, number of mature follicles, estradiol (E2) concentration on the day of hCG administration, and the type of used catheter can influence the results of IUI.1-8

Intrauterine manipulation or instrumental application to the cervix during embryo transfer (ET) of in vitro fertilization (IVF) cycles is known to induce uterine contractility,9-11 which may reduce pregnancy rate.12 On the other hand, the uterine contractions at IUI may not affect clinical pregnancy rate since uterine contraction after insemination subsides before fertilization. However, to date, there are no studies available on the effects of tenaculum application to the cervix during IUI cycles. Therefore, we aimed to investigate whether the use of tenaculum affects the clinical outcomes of IUI and to evaluate the pregnancy outcomes according to the use of tenaculum during IUI.
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Purpose: The association between tenaculum application to the cervix just before embryo transfer and lower pregnancy rate has been reported. However, studies on the use of tenaculum in intrauterine insemination (IUI) cycles are not available. This study attempted to investigate whether the use of tenaculum affects the clinical outcomes of IUI. Materials and Methods: Two hundred and thirty three CC/hMG/IUI cycles of one hundred and forty three couples were recruited at Seoul National University Hospital from October 2006 to December 2008. Mock insemination and IUI with or without tenaculum application to the cervix were also performed, and clinical pregnancy rate was compared. Results: The incidence of difficult mock insemination at the beginning of cycle was higher in the tenaculum use group (p < 0.001). No significant statistical difference in the clinical pregnancy rate was observed between the groups with or without tenaculum application (12.1% vs. 18.5%; p = 0.175), which was not influenced by the difficulty of mock insemination. Conclusion: The use of tenaculum during IUI may not affect the pregnancy outcome. Our results need to be confirmed by a prospective study in a larger population.
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INTRODUCTION

Intrauterine insemination (IUI) is a widely used and cost-effective therapy for the treatment of infertility. There have been many reports on the factors to affect successful IUI treatment. Women’s age, the length and cause of infertility, sperm quality such as motile sperm count, ovarian stimulation, number of mature follicles, estradiol (E2) concentration on the day of hCG administration, and the type of used catheter can influence the results of IUI.1-4

Intrauterine manipulation or instrumental application to the cervix during embryo transfer (ET) of in vitro fertilization (IVF) cycles is known to induce uterine contractility,9-11 which may reduce pregnancy rate.12 On the other hand, the uterine contractions at IUI may not affect clinical pregnancy rate since uterine contraction after insemination subsides before fertilization. However, to date, there are no studies available on the effects of tenaculum application to the cervix during IUI cycles. Therefore, we aimed to investigate whether the use of tenaculum affects the clinical outcomes of IUI and to evaluate the pregnancy outcomes according to the use of tenaculum during IUI.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects
Before the collection of retrospective data, we hypothesized that the application of tenaculum during IUI would affect the clinical pregnancy rates by 10%, and calculated the sample size with 0.05 of alpha error and 0.80 of power. According to the calculation, at least the data of 164 subjects were needed. During the period of 26 months from October 2006 to December 2008, husband’s semen artificial insemination was used in 143 subfertile couples with unexplained infertility during 233 treatment cycles. This study was approved by the institutional review board.

Measurement of hormones
Blood samples for serum hormone assays (FSH, LH, estradiol-17β) were collected on the third day of natural or induced menstrual cycle. These hormones were measured by immunoassay kits (Biosource™, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, California, USA). Intra- and inter-assay coefficients of variation were 1.1-2.0% and 2.4-4.4% for FSH, 1.4-3.9% and 3.4-8.0% for LH, 4.9-5.9% and 6.1-6.2% for E₂, respectively.

Mock insemination and ovarian stimulation
Mock insemination was performed at the beginning of cycle. Difficulty was assessed as easy, moderate or difficult. Clomiphene citrate (CC) (Clomiphene®, Young Poong Pharmaceutical Company, Seoul, Korea) + hMG (IVF-M®, LG Life Sciences, Seoul, Korea) were used for stimulation. Vaginal ultrasound examination to evaluate the number and size of follicles and endometrial maturation was repeated everyday or every other day. One hundred milligrams of CC were administered on the third day of menstrual cycle and administered for 5 days. Treatment with 75 to 150 IU of gonadotropins, depending on the patient’s previous or anticipated responses, was added from the last previous IUI cycles using Mantel-Haenszel Chi-square test. The results did not differ even after correction of the previous IUI cycles using Mantel-Haenszel Chi-square test. The incidence of ‘easy’ or ‘moderate or difficult’ at mock insemination was higher in the tenaculum use group (12.1% vs. 18.5%; p = 0.0175) (Table 2). The significance of the results did not differ even after correction of the previous IUI cycles using Mantel-Haenszel Chi-square test. When ‘easy’ and ‘moderate or difficult’ subgroups were compared, the clinical pregnancy rate was not different

RESULTS

Sperm preparation and insemination
One and half hours before insemination and after 48-72 hours of abstinence, semen was collected at the laboratory. After motility determination, the spermatozoa were washed free from seminal liquid and prepared for insemination. We recorded post-wash total motile sperm count. Wallace catheter was used for the insemination process. The end of the catheter was placed in the center of the uterine cavity, and the sperm preparation (0.3 mL) was injected slowly. Tenaculum was used when insemination was difficult.

Statistical analysis
Clinical pregnancies were defined as those with a fetal heart beat on ultrasound. Outcome variables were compared between the tenaculum use and non-use groups. Data were analyzed with SPSS 12.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Pearson’s χ² test or Fisher’s exact test was used to compare proportions and Student’s t-test to compare means. A p value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Calculation of expected number of ovulating follicles and E₂

Expected number of ovulating follicles was calculated as

\[
\text{Expected number of ovulating follicles} = \text{Number of follicles} \times \text{Expected ovulation rate}
\]

Expected ovulation rate is dependent on the follicles size at the time of hCG administration, we calculated the expected number of ovulating follicles and E₂ by using diameters of stimulated follicles observed on the day of hCG administration.

\[
\text{Expected number of ovulating follicles} = \text{Number of follicles} \times \text{Expected ovulation rate}
\]

were calculated by using diameters of stimulated follicles observed on the day of hCG administration as follows:

Expected number of ovulating follicles was calculated as

\[
0.005 \times (\text{total follicle number of } 6-14 \text{ mm in diameter}) + 0.37 \times (\text{total follicle number of } 15-16 \text{ mm in diameter}) + 0.73 \times (\text{total follicle number of } 17-18 \text{ mm in diameter}) + 0.81 \times (\text{total follicle number of } 19-20 \text{ mm in diameter}) + 0.96 \times (\text{total follicle number of } > 20 \text{ mm in diameter})
\]

The expected number of ovulating follicles and E₂ for LH, FSH, and E₂ were calculated by using diameters of stimulated follicles as follows:

\[
\text{Expected number of ovulating follicles} = \text{Number of follicles} \times \text{Expected ovulation rate}
\]

Expected E₂ (pg/mL) was calculated as

\[
291 + 180 \times (\text{total follicle number of } 6-14 \text{ mm in diameter}) + 64 \times (\text{total follicle number of } 15-16 \text{ mm in diameter}) + 18.7 \times (\text{total follicle number of } 17 \text{ mm in diameter})
\]

were calculated by using diameters of stimulated follicles observed on the day of hCG administration as follows:

Expected number of ovulating follicles was calculated as

\[
0.005 \times (\text{total follicle number of } 6-14 \text{ mm in diameter}) + 0.37 \times (\text{total follicle number of } 15-16 \text{ mm in diameter}) + 0.73 \times (\text{total follicle number of } 17-18 \text{ mm in diameter}) + 0.81 \times (\text{total follicle number of } 19-20 \text{ mm in diameter}) + 0.96 \times (\text{total follicle number of } > 20 \text{ mm in diameter})
\]

were calculated by using diameters of stimulated follicles observed on the day of hCG administration as follows:

Expected number of ovulating follicles was calculated as

\[
0.005 \times (\text{total follicle number of } 6-14 \text{ mm in diameter}) + 0.37 \times (\text{total follicle number of } 15-16 \text{ mm in diameter}) + 0.73 \times (\text{total follicle number of } 17-18 \text{ mm in diameter}) + 0.81 \times (\text{total follicle number of } 19-20 \text{ mm in diameter}) + 0.96 \times (\text{total follicle number of } > 20 \text{ mm in diameter})
\]

were calculated by using diameters of stimulated follicles observed on the day of hCG administration as follows:

Expected number of ovulating follicles was calculated as

\[
0.005 \times (\text{total follicle number of } 6-14 \text{ mm in diameter}) + 0.37 \times (\text{total follicle number of } 15-16 \text{ mm in diameter}) + 0.73 \times (\text{total follicle number of } 17-18 \text{ mm in diameter}) + 0.81 \times (\text{total follicle number of } 19-20 \text{ mm in diameter}) + 0.96 \times (\text{total follicle number of } > 20 \text{ mm in diameter})
\]

were calculated by using diameters of stimulated follicles observed on the day of hCG administration as follows:

Expected number of ovulating follicles was calculated as

\[
0.005 \times (\text{total follicle number of } 6-14 \text{ mm in diameter}) + 0.37 \times (\text{total follicle number of } 15-16 \text{ mm in diameter}) + 0.73 \times (\text{total follicle number of } 17-18 \text{ mm in diameter}) + 0.81 \times (\text{total follicle number of } 19-20 \text{ mm in diameter}) + 0.96 \times (\text{total follicle number of } > 20 \text{ mm in diameter})
\]
between the tenaculum use and non-use groups (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

There are very few studies that investigated whether the use of tenaculum during IUI affects the pregnancy outcome. In IVF cycles, this issue has long been addressed by many studies. Instrumental application to the cervix immediately before ET can cause uterine contractions,9-11 which may affect the pregnancy outcomes.12,14

Our present data showed that clinical pregnancy rate per cycle was 14.6%, which is comparable to a recent large scale study from ESHRE registration data which involved

| Table 1. Patient Characteristics and Sperm Parameters | Tenaculum (+) | Tenaculum (-) | p value |
|------------------------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------|
| No. of cycles                                        | 141           | 92            | NS      |
| Patient age (yrs)                                    | 32.6 ± 3.6    | 32.8 ± 4.1    | NS      |
| Husband age (yrs)                                    | 35.1 ± 3.7    | 35.5 ± 4.1    | NS      |
| Duration of infertility (months)                     | 37.5 ± 23.2   | 40.7 ± 32.7   | NS      |
| Body mass index (kg/m²)                              | 21.1 ± 2.9    | 21.3 ± 2.9    | NS      |
| No. of attempts                                      | 1.6 ± 1.0     | 1.9 ± 1.2     | NS      |
| Basal LH (mIU/mL)                                    | 4.2 ± 2.1     | 4.3 ± 1.9     | NS      |
| Basal FSH (mIU/mL)                                   | 4.9 ± 2.5     | 5.5 ± 3.2     | NS      |
| Basal E₂ (pg/mL)                                     | 36.8 ± 19.9   | 41.3 ± 27.9   | NS      |
| Sperm number (× 10⁹/mL)                              | 219.8 ± 55.3  | 221.6 ± 53.2  | NS      |
| Progressive motility (%)                            | 79.3 ± 23.7   | 77.8 ± 26.8   | NS      |
| Total motile sperm count (× 10⁶/mL)                  | 53.7 ± 21.6   | 53.4 ± 22.8   | NS      |

LH, luteinizing hormone; FSH, follicle stimulating hormone; NS, not significant.
Data are presented as mean ± SD.

| Table 2. Ovulation Induction Parameters and Cycle Outcome of Intrauterine Insemination | Tenaculum (+) | Tenaculum (-) | p value |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------|
| Gonadotropin dose used (amp)                                                       | 6.9 ± 6.9     | 7.1 ± 10.1    | NS      |
| Number of follicles > 14 mm                                                        |               |               |         |
| Rt.                                                                                 | 1.3 ± 0.9     | 1.3 ± 0.9     | NS      |
| Lt.                                                                                 | 1.0 ± 0.9     | 0.9 ± 0.9     | NS      |
| Diameter of leading follicle (mm)                                                  | 19.3 ± 1.5    | 19.1 ± 1.6    | NS      |
| Expected No. of ovulation                                                         | 1.3 ± 0.6     | 1.3 ± 0.6     | NS      |
| Expected E₂ (pg/mL)                                                               | 625.9 ± 130.6 | 607.5 ± 130.9 | NS      |
| Endometrial thickness (mm)                                                         | 9.2 ± 2.3     | 8.7 ± 2.0     | NS      |
| Difficulty at mock insemination                                                  |               |               | < 0.001 |
| Easy                                                                               | 106           | 87            |         |
| Moderate                                                                           | 12            | 3             |         |
| Difficult                                                                          | 23            | 2             |         |
| Clinical pregnancy rate (%)                                                       | 12.1% (17/141) | 18.5% (17/92) | NS      |

NS, not significant.
Data are presented as mean ± SD. 1 amp = 75 IU.

| Table 3. Pregnancy Outcome According to Difficulty of Mock Insemination | Tenaculum application | Pregnancy rate | p value* |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|----------|
| Easy                                                                   | Tenaculum (+)         | 12.3% (13/106) | NS       |
|                                                                       | Tenaculum (-)         | 19.5% (17/87)  | NS       |
| Moderate & difficult                                                   | Tenaculum (+)         | 11.4% (4/35)   | NS       |
|                                                                       | Tenaculum (-)         | 0% (0/5)       | NS       |

NS, not significant.
*Fisher’s exact test.
120,613 insemination cycles and revealed that the pregnancy rate per cycle was 12.6% for women receiving IUI. The present study showed no statistical difference in clinical pregnancy rates between tenaculum use and non-use groups (12.1% vs. 18.5%). For two by two cross-analysis, we compared between ‘easy’ and ‘moderate or difficult’ mock insemination groups, and no difference was observed between the two groups [15.5% (30/193) vs. 10.0% (4/40), \( p = 0.263 \) by Fisher’s exact test]. All subgroup data were also comparable to the pregnancy rates in other reports. There was no pregnancy in tenaculum non-use group were also comparable to the pregnancy rates in other reports. There was no pregnancy in tenaculum non-use group with moderate or difficult mock insemination (Table 3), which might have resulted from the small number of cycles.

Although the incidence of difficult mock insemination at the beginning of cycle was higher in the tenaculum use group (Table 2), we observed a discrepancy between the ease of mock insemination and the use of tenaculum at IUI. Tenaculum was used in many cases even with easy mock insemination and vice versa. Tenaculum application after easy mock insemination may result from the change of uterine axis caused by the enlarged ovaries, although this can not explain all the causes of discrepancy. In contrast, tenaculum was not used at IUI in just a few cycles with moderate or difficult mock insemination.

It is hard to conclude on the role of mock insemination from our data. As mentioned above, many cycles showed discrepancies between the ease of mock insemination and the use of tenaculum at IUI. Tenaculum was used in many cases even with easy mock insemination and vice versa. Tenaculum application after easy mock insemination may result from the change of uterine axis caused by the enlarged ovaries, although this can not explain all the causes of discrepancy. In contrast, tenaculum was not used at IUI in just a few cycles with moderate or difficult mock insemination.

Another limitation of our present study is that we did not assess the uterine contractility by any measure, therefore, the cause of possibly altered results due to tenaculum application cannot be evaluated. We can discuss only with caution that the easiness at the time of mock insemination may not affect the pregnancy outcome, considering the fact that there was similar pregnancy rate between ‘easy’ and ‘moderate or difficult’ mock insemination groups (12.3% vs. 11.4%) in the cycles with tenaculum application.

In conclusion, we investigated whether the use of tenaculum affects the clinical outcomes of IUI, and concluded that the use of tenaculum during IUI may not affect the pregnancy outcome. However, our results need to be confirmed by a prospective study in a larger population.
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