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The Prevalence and Clinical Correlates of an Auscultatory Gap in Systemic Sclerosis Patients
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Introduction. Accurate blood pressure (BP) measurement is essential to the diagnosis and management of hypertension in patients with systemic sclerosis (SSc) to help prevent renal and cardiovascular complications. The presence of an auscultatory gap during manual BP measurement—the temporary disappearance of the Korotkoff sounds during cuff deflation—leads to a potentially important underestimate of systolic BP if undetected.

Objectives. Since the presence of an auscultatory gap is frequently associated with increased vascular stiffness, we investigated its presence and correlates in 50 consecutive SSc patients.

Methods. For each patient, BP was measured sequentially using three different approaches performed in the same order.

Results. Sixteen of 50 patients (32%) had an auscultatory gap which if undetected would have resulted in clinically important underestimates of systolic BP in 4 patients. The presence of an auscultatory gap was statistically associated with the presence of antibodies to RNA polymerase III (P < 0.0068) and SSc diagnosis type (P < 0.01).

Conclusions. Our study demonstrates that auscultatory gaps are relatively common in SSc and correlate with markers for SSc vasculopathy. If undetected auscultatory gaps may result in clinically important underestimation of BP. Thus, electronic oscillometric BP may be preferred in SSc patients.

1. Introduction

Blood pressure (BP) has most commonly been measured manually using aneroid or mercury sphygmomanometers although electronic oscillometric BP measurement is increasingly used in many office settings [1, 2]. Accurate BP measurement is essential to the appropriate diagnosis and management of hypertension in patients with systemic sclerosis (SSc) to help prevent both renal and cardiovascular complications. Current BP monitoring guidelines in SSc are based on expert opinion. These guidelines have the goal of preventing not only cardiovascular complications, but also scleroderma renal crisis (SRC), which is characterized by increased vascular permeability, activation of the coagulation cascade, and increased renin secretion and which may lead to malignant hypertension, acute renal failure, and death.

While most SRC patients have frank hypertension with BP ≥140/90 mmHg, a subset has normotensive SRC heralded by a gradual rise in BP within the prehypertensive range of 120–139/80–89 mmHg [3, 4]. Whether performed manually or electronically, accurate measurement of BP in both office and home settings is of paramount importance to management of SSc [2].

One particular error in manual BP measurement, the failure to detect the presence of an auscultatory gap, results in a falsely low estimate of systolic BP [1, 2, 5]. With an auscultatory gap, the Korotkoff sounds temporarily become inaudible between phase 2 and phase 3 for a few to more than 20 mmHg prior to their reappearance. If a manual BP measurement is performed by auscultation alone, the auscultatory gap may not be detected, and the true systolic BP may be seriously underestimated. To avoid missing an
auscultatory gap, the radial artery should be palpated while
the cuff pressure is rapidly increased to a level of 30 mmHg
above the disappearance of the pulse, followed by auscul-
tation for the Korotkoff sounds during slow deflation of
cuff pressure at 2-3 mmHg/second [2]. Inadequately trained
medical personnel frequently do not use this technique
and may not detect important auscultatory gaps. In one
study, 21% of patients with hypertension in a primary
care clinic had an auscultatory gap on manual BP mea-
surement [5]. The auscultatory gap does not interfere with
BP measurements with electronic oscillometric equipment
which measures mean arterial BP with subsequent automatic
calculation of estimated systolic and diastolic BP.

The mechanism for an auscultatory gap is uncertain but
may in part relate to increased stiffness of the arterial wall [5].
Patients with connective tissue disease may have increased
arterial stiffness [6] and therefore may be at increased risk
to have an auscultatory gap which if undetected could result
in serious underestimation of systolic BP [6]. The presence
of an undetected auscultatory gap would be of special
concern to patients with impending SRC and could lead to
a dangerous delay in their management.

We designed a study to determine the prevalence and
clinical correlates of auscultatory gaps in patients with SSc
seen at the University of Utah SSc center.

2. Materials and Methods

The study was accepted by the Institutional Review Board
at the University of Utah (IRB number 00038705). Inclusion
criteria included adult patients (≥18 years) with a diagnosis
of SSc as accepted by the American College of Rheumatology
[7]. Fifty consecutive SSc patients from the University of
Utah SSc Center were recruited and consented at the time
of a routine clinic visit between January 2011 and September
2011. For each patient, BP was measured sequentially using
three different approaches performed in the same order.
Prior to the measurements, each patient emptied their
bladder and rested for five minutes seated in a chair with back
supported, legs uncrossed, and with their left arm supported
with the cuff at midsternal level. Cuff size was properly
selected according to midarm circumference with bladder
center directly over the brachial artery on a bared arm [2].
No talking was permitted by the patient or examiner during
the measurements.

First, the same clinic medical assistant performed a single
manual auscultatory measurement using a calibrated aneroid
manometer and a high-quality Littmann, Cardiology III
stethoscope with short tubing. Without palpating the radial
artery, the cuff was inflated to 160–180 mmHg and then
deflated at a rate of 2-3 mmHg/second. The systolic BP was
noted as the first of two discrete, tapping Korotkoff sounds
and the diastolic BP as the disappearance of the Korotkoff
sounds.

After a period of five minutes, the BP measurement was
repeated by the medical assistant using the Omron HEM-
907 device, a validated electronic oscillometric device, which
automatically performs three sequential BP measurements at
one-minute intervals and averages them. Following another
five-minute interval, a single manual BP measurement was
performed by the author (T. M. Frech) using the same
aneroid manometer and stethoscope as the medical assistant;
TF was blinded to the results of the two prior BP mea-
surement approaches. TF palpated the radial artery, inflated
the cuff 30 mmHg beyond the disappearance of the radial
pulse, and then deflated the cuff at a rate of 2 mmHg/second.
The systolic BP was again noted as the first of two discrete,
tapping Korotkoff sounds. The presence and magnitude of
any auscultatory gap were noted and recorded.

In order to assess for interobserver agreement, another
author (J. Penord) assessed ten of the SSc patients. JP was
blinded to the results of TF. TF and JP also assessed twelve
consecutive patients presenting to the Internal Medicine
clinic to assess a comparison of auscultatory gap prevalence.

The presence of the following clinical risk factors for
vascular stiffness for SSc patients was noted: age, BMI,
presence of proteinuria (trace or greater on urine dip-
stick), estimated glomerular filtration rate (MDRD formula),
tobacco use, and diabetes mellitus. Additionally, risk factors
for SRC and evidence of organ damage were documented,
including the presence of RNA polymerase III antibodies,
prednisone use, pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH), and
interstitial lung disease (ILD). Medications that can affect
BP, including current use of nonsteroidal antiinflammatory
drugs (NSAIDs), anti-hypertensive agents, and immunosup-
pressive medications were also recorded.

Nonparametric analysis using the Kruskal-Wallis test was
used to look for statistical relationships between categorical
data such as the presence of an auscultatory gap and possible
arterial stiffness variables: age, diabetes mellitus, tobacco
use, and BP medication use. Additionally, potential markers
for more severe SSc vasculopathy-immunosuppressant use,
nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory (NSAID) use, RNA poly-
merase III antibody, pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH),
and interstitial lung disease (ILD), were examined for a
statistical relationship with the presence of an auscultatory
gap. Correction using the Bonferroni method was used to
adjust the P values for the multiple comparisons performed.
Analyses of variance (ANOVA) were used to examine
the relationship of modified Rodnan skin score (mRSS) as a
continuous variable with the same arterial stiffness variables.
These analyses were performed using R [8].
Intraobserver agreement was used to calculate the num-
ber of patients needed for assessment of prevalence in the
Internal Medicine Clinic and SSc clinic.

3. Results

In our SSc study population, 92% of subjects were female,
87% were white, and 13% were Hispanic. The age range was
27 to 80 years. The mRSS ranged from 4 to 28, with 85%
of patients being in the limited cutaneous SSc subset. There
was evidence of end-organ damage due to SSc (PAH and/or
ILD) in 65% of patients. In this study 89% of patients were
taking one or more antihypertensive agents, most commonly
a calcium channel blocker used to treat their Raynaud’s
phenomenon. An auscultatory gap was heard in 16 patients
for a prevalence of 32% in this study population. The mean
auscultatory gap in these 16 patients was 6.1 mmHg and ranged from 4 mmHg to 12 mmHg. In two patients the recognition of this gap reclassified them into the hypertensive range (SBP ≥ 140 mmHg). One of these two patients subsequently required hospitalization for scleroderma renal crisis. In two other patients, the auscultatory gap reclassified the patients into the upper portion of the prehypertensive range (systolic BP of 130–139 mmHg). Thus, four patients would have required medication adjustment based on the more accurate recognition of their true BP.

As presented in Table 1, the presence of an auscultatory gap was statistically associated with the presence of antibodies to RNA polymerase III antibody (P < 0.0068) and diagnosis type, as categorized by limited or diffuse cutaneous disease (P < 0.01). Other potential arterial stiffness or SSc vasculopathy variables—age, tobacco use, diabetes mellitus, BP medication use, NSAID use, immunosuppression use, PAH, and ILD—were not associated with an auscultatory gap. No patients had proteinuria.

When ANOVA was used to evaluate the relationship of arterial stiffness variables to skin thickness (mRSS), we found age (P < 0.02), ILD (P < 0.0018), presence of a RNA polymerase III antibody (P < 0.00016), and diagnosis type (P < 0.000003) were significantly associated. This suggests that looking for an auscultatory gap may be particularly important in older SSc patients with increasing skin score or where RNA polymerase III antibody is present (Figure 1).

When the presence of an auscultatory gap was assessed in 10 of the SSc patients by a second physician, the interobserver agreement was 0.8. The gap was 4–6 mmHg in the patients in which there was discordance between investigators. Additionally, when the presence of an auscultatory gap was assessed in twelve internal medicine patients, it was found 10–25% of the time. The clinical correlates of auscultatory gap in the Internal Medicine patients were not recorded and are a limitation of this study. The interobserver agreement was 0.83. The gap was 4 mmHg in the patients in which there was discordance between investigators.

4. Conclusions

We measured systolic BP by palpation of the radial pulse and then by auscultation at the brachial artery and detected an auscultatory gap in 16 out of 50 consecutively examined SSc patients (32%) in our clinic. In four of the sixteen patients, the magnitude of the auscultatory gap would have resulted in a clinically important underestimate of systolic BP had it remained undetected. Detection of the auscultatory gap reclassified two patients as hypertensive with systolic BPs of 144 mmHg and 148 mmHg, and two other patients were reclassified into the upper portion of the prehypertensive range with systolic BPs of 132 mmHg and 136 mmHg (Table 2). Failure to detect these auscultatory gaps could have seriously underestimated the risk of these patients to develop SRC [9]. Unless health care personnel who measure BP manually in SSc patients are trained to measure systolic BP first by palpation and then by auscultation, clinically important auscultatory gaps may not be detected and systolic BP may be seriously underestimated in a significant minority of SSc patients. Since training medical personnel to accurately measure manual BP is labor intensive and not always successful, we would recommend that BP in SSc patients should be routinely measured using validated electronic oscillometric equipment since estimates of systolic BP are not affected by the presence of an auscultatory gap.

The presence of an auscultatory gap in our SSc patients was statistically associated with the presence of RNA polymerase III antibody, a known marker for increased risk of SRC. It has previously been postulated that the auscultatory gap is a marker of increased vascular stiffness [5]. If our findings are confirmed in another, larger population of SSc patients, the auscultatory gap could be evaluated as a potential readily available clinical marker of risk for SRC.

Skin thickening as measured by the mRSS was correlated to other possible factors that may contribute to arterial stiffness in this SSc population including age, ILD, presence

| Arterial stiffness variable | Kruskal-Wallis chi-squared | P value |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------|
| Diagnosis type              | 8.37                      | 0.01*   |
| Age                         | 26.43                     | 0.43    |
| Tobacco use                 | 0.12                      | 0.73    |
| Diabetes mellitus           | 0.06                      | 0.81    |
| BP medication               | 16.32                     | 0.43    |
| NSAID use                   | 0.06                      | 0.81    |
| Immunosuppression           | 3.00                      | 0.56    |
| RNA polymerase III          | 7.31                      | 0.01*   |
| PAH                         | 3.43                      | 0.33    |
| ILD                         | 4.29                      | 0.12    |

SSc: systemic sclerosis; BP: blood pressure; NSAID: nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory use; PAH: pulmonary arterial hypertension; ILD: interstitial lung disease; * P < 0.05.
of an RNA polymerase III antibody, and diagnosis type. This suggests that severity of vasculopathy and fibrosis may be a second important reason to detect an auscultatory gap in this patient population. Additionally, if an auscultatory gap is found, a more extensive look for internal organ disease may be warranted.

Our study has some limitations. It is a small study population, and some of the arterial stiffness and SSc vasculopathy variables studied did not have adequate power to exclude their association. For example, only three tobacco users and eight patients with diabetes mellitus were included in the study. Additionally, the use of BP medication at low doses for Raynaud’s phenomenon rather than for BP control challenges our use of BP medication as an arterial stiffness variable. Our study population is primarily Caucasian; thus our data may not be able to be generalized to other races and ethnicities.

Nonetheless, our study provides important information on measurement of BP in SSc. An auscultatory gap appears to be common occurring in up to 32% of SSc patients, and failure to detect it may result in clinically important underestimation of systolic BP and missed opportunities to intervene early in hypertensive patients. Future studies should validate whether the auscultatory gap in SSc patients can predict associated internal organ involvement.

**Conflict of Interests**

The authors declare they have no conflict of interests.

**References**

[1] R. H. Baker and J. Ende, “Confounders of auscultatory blood pressure measurement,” *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 223–231, 1995.

[2] T. G. Pickering, J. E. Hall, L. J. Appel et al., “Recommendations for blood pressure measurement in humans and experimental animals: part 1: blood pressure measurement in humans—a statement for professionals from the Subcommittee of Professional and Public Education of the American Heart Association Council on high blood pressure research,” *Circulation*, vol. 111, no. 5, pp. 697–716, 2005.

[3] L. Mouthon, A. Bérezné, G. Bussone, L. H. Noél, P. M. Villiger, and L. Guillemin, “Scleroderma renal crisis: a rare but severe complication of systemic sclerosis,” *Clinical Reviews in Allergy and Immunology*, vol. 40, no. 2, pp. 84–91, 2011.

[4] D. Khanna and C. P. Denton, “Evidence-based management of rapidly progressing systemic sclerosis,” *Best Practice and Research*, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 387–400, 2010.

[5] M. C. Cavallini, M. J. Roman, S. G. Blank, R. Pini, T. G. Pickering, and R. B. Devereux, “Association of the auscultatory gap with vascular disease in hypertensive patients,” *Annals of Internal Medicine*, vol. 124, no. 10, pp. 877–883, 1996.

[6] E. Alexánder Rosas, J. M. Ochoa, R. Calleja et al., “Endothelial dysfunction in systemic lupus erythematosus: evaluation with 13N-ammonia PET,” *Journal of Nuclear Medicine*, vol. 51, no. 12, pp. 1927–1931, 2010.

[7] A. T. Masi, G. P. Rodman, and T. A. Medsger, “Preliminary criteria for the classification of systemic sclerosis (scleroderma). Subcommittee for scleroderma criteria of the American Rheumatism Association diagnostic and therapeutic criteria committee,” *Arthritis and Rheumatism*, vol. 23, no. 5, pp. 581–590, 1980.

[8] R. Fox JaA, “Using the r statistical computing environment to teach social statistics courses,” University M, ed., 1995, http://www.unt.edu/rss/Teaching-with-R.pdf.

[9] G. Bussone, A. Bérezné, V. Pestre, L. Guillemin, and L. Mouthon, “The scleroderma kidney: progress in risk factors, therapy, and prevention,” *Current Rheumatology Reports*, pp. 1–7, 2010.