Optical superoscillatory waves without side lobes along a symmetric cut
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Abstract. Optical superoscillation refers to an intriguing phenomenon of a wave packet that can oscillate locally faster than its highest Fourier component, which potentially produces an extremely localized wave in the far field. It provides an alternative way to overcome the diffraction limit, hence improving the resolution of an optical microscopy system. However, the optical superoscillatory waves are inevitably accompanied by strong side lobes, which limits their fields of view and, hence, potential applications. Here, we report both experimentally and theoretically a new superoscillatory wave form, which not only produces significant feature size down to deep subwavelength, but also completely eliminates side lobes in a particular dimension. We demonstrate a new mechanism for achieving such a wave form based on a pair of moonlike sharp-edge apertures. The resultant superoscillatory wave exhibits Bessel-like forms, hence allowing long-distance propagation of subwavelength structures. The result facilitates the study of optical superoscillation and on a fundamental level eliminates the compromise between the superoscillatory feature size and the field of view.
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of superoscillation in optics and suggested potential applications of optical superoscillatory waves in far-field superresolution imaging without the evanescent waves.\textsuperscript{15,26} Subsequently, the predication was experimentally verified by designing nanostructured masks, named superoscillatory lenses (SOLs).\textsuperscript{16,22–26} However, these particular SOLs might encounter issues: first, the design of the SOLs relies excessively on optimization algorithms, which poses great challenges in mask fabrications; second, the generated superoscillatory light wave is accompanied by strong side lobe, which limits the field of view. These disadvantages of complex structural design and huge side lobe near the superoscillatory region affect its practical applications.\textsuperscript{16} Effort has been invested to constrain the side lobe using a set of rings placed at appropriate positions,\textsuperscript{27} but it is necessary to precisely tailor the dimension and the transmission of each ring, which poses many difficulties of a practical nature, or by designing complex type of planar supercritical lens,\textsuperscript{28} but it comes necessarily at the cost of enlarging the main lobe. Owing to the compromise, up till now, it has remained challenging to design an easy-to-perform structure that can produce superoscillatory waves with appreciable feature size while maintaining a large field of view.

In this article, we introduce both experimentally and theoretically a new form of optical superoscillatory wave, which eliminates the tradeoff between the superoscillatory feature size and the field of view. We note that the creation of the optical superoscillatory wave is inevitably accompanied by the side lobe around the main lobe.\textsuperscript{15,16,19,20,29–33} We present a mechanism based on a pair of moonlike apertures to overcome this issue. Such moonlike elements allow not only generating superoscillatory light waves in the far field but also eliminating completely their side lobes along a symmetric cut of the wave patterns. In comparison to the optimization-based generation,\textsuperscript{16,22–26} the proposed principle has remarkable advantages: easy-to-perform, optimization-free, and robust to the changes of light parameters such as wavelength and polarization. In addition, this work also addresses propagation of the generated superoscillatory waves, featured by their weakly diffracting property in free space. The generated superoscillatory light wave holds potential applications, e.g., it can be utilized to enhance light–matter interaction in the nanoscale, as well as in the field of superresolution imaging.

2 Principle

The superoscillatory wave is produced from constructive interference of many plane wave components having high spatial frequency. These components should be in phase, i.e., their wave vectors exhibit cylindrically symmetric distribution in reciprocal space. To achieve this, a basic circular disc $C_1$ is required, as shown by step 1 in Fig. 1(a), with diameter $d$. Mathematically, the basic circular disc can be written in a form of $C_1 = 1 - \text{circ}[(x^2 + y^2)/d^2]$, where $\text{circ}(\cdot)$ represents the circle function, and $x, y$ are the spatial coordinates. Inside the circle, $\text{circ}(\cdot) = 1$, whereas outside the circle $\text{circ}(\cdot) = 0$. A superoscillatory hotspot is expected behind the disc, accompanied by strong side waves that come from the zero-order diffraction. To eliminate the side waves, a destructive interference for them is considered. For this reason, we shift $C_1$ horizontally to the right (see the step 2) and to the left (see the step 3) by a distance of $\alpha$, to obtain the other two circular elements of $C_2$ and $C_3$, respectively. Unlike the circular disc function, $C_2$ and $C_3$ have a form of circular aperture function, expressed as $C_2 = \text{circ}[(x^2 + y^2)/d^2]$ and $C_3 = \text{circ}[(x^2 + y^2)/d^2]$, respectively. Clearly, $C_2$ and $C_3$ are symmetric with respect to the $y$ axis. To display the moonlike structure explicitly, we erase the parts of $C_2$ and $C_3$ that overlap with the basic circular disc $C_1$, as illustrated in step 4. Since $C_2$ and $C_3$ deviate a little bit from the original position of $C_1$ to opposite directions, we also remove the nonoverlapping curves of $C_1$ with $C_2$ and $C_3$; see the top and the bottom of the structure in step 5. Finally, we obtain two moonlike apertures having symmetric geometry with respect to the $y$ axis, as shown in the final step 6. It is seen that the symmetric cut of the structure leads to two disconnected apertures and creates a dark line along the $y$ coordinate, and the line width depends on the displacement $\alpha$. It is clear that the nanostructure is slightly symmetry breaking with respect to the cylindrical coordinate system, which leads to a destructive interference effect of diffractive waves along the line perpendicular to the apertures. We further describe such a pair of apertures with a general formula, given by $C = C_1(C_2 + C_3)$, i.e.,

$$C(x, y) = \left[1 - \text{circ}\left(\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}/d^2\right)\right] \times \left[\text{circ}\left(\sqrt{(x-\alpha)^2 + y^2}/d^2\right)\right] + \text{circ}\left(\sqrt{(x+\alpha)^2 + y^2}/d^2\right). \quad (1)$$

Obviously, the obtained moonlike apertures could be treated with two critical parameters, which are the diameter $d$ of the basic circular disc and the horizontal displacement $\alpha$, which determines the width of each moonlike aperture.

When an optical plane wave passes through the structure, its incident amplitude $E_0$ would be modulated in binary. Namely, the incident light field equals the emerging field at the output side of the apertures, while outside the apertures the amplitude becomes zero, which can be expressed as $E_0 \times C(x, y)$. In this scenario, the amplitude near the edge has a form of step function, which exhibits sharp-edged optical diffraction near the edge and hence generates significant high-spatial-frequency wavevectors in its reciprocal space.\textsuperscript{34,35} As a result, the incident light wave is diffracted seriously while propagating through the apertures. On the other hand, since the two symmetric moonlike apertures are separated by the basic circular disc, as shown in the final step in Fig. 1(a), there exhibits, in the vicinity of the circular disc, a circular step function that processes abundant high-order frequency components having cylindrical distribution in the reciprocal space. As a consequence, the in-phase high-spatial-frequency wavevectors point to identical central positions behind disc $C_1$, generating a constructive light wave (a phenomenon of the Poisson-Arago spot) with the transverse size expectantly beyond the diffraction limit.

To experimentally generate such a superoscillatory light wave, a critical issue is to realize binary amplitude modulation of the incident light waves with the designed moonlike apertures. Thus, it requires that the amplitude of the incident plane wave jumps sharply at the edge of each moonlike aperture. We achieve this goal using an extremely thin metallic film deposited on a glass substrate. Specifically, a gold film whose thickness is only 50 nm was initially deposited onto the 0.3-mm-thick substrate. A 10-nm-thick chromium film was deposited between an adhesion layer. We used UV lithography to form a mask, and finally we used the ion beam to etch the mask to obtain the final
moonlike patterns, as shown in Fig. 1(b). Two metallic moon-like structures with typical parameters $d = 8 \mu m$, $\omega = 2 \mu m$ and $d = 15 \mu m$, $\omega = 2 \mu m$ were simultaneously fabricated on the same substrate. Figure 1(c) shows an electron micrograph of one of the fabricated samples. Figure 1(d) is the experimental setup used to validate the binary amplitude modulation of the moonlike apertures as well as to measure the diffractive waves in the far field with an He-Ne laser working at a wavelength of $\lambda = 633 nm$. Figure 1(e) is the intensity distribution in the $x-y$ plane, and Fig. 1(f) shows a cross-section of the light field as marked with a white line in (e). The blue curve denotes the experiment, while the red curve shows the theory.

3 Results and Discussion

To observe the superoscillatory light waves, we carefully moved the objective lens to measure the diffractive light fields at different propagation distances. In this case, the objective lens was mounted on an electrical-control stage (MMC-100/PPX-32) with spatial resolution in the $z$-axis being 20 nm. Figure 2 shows the measured intensity distributions of the light fields at distances of $z = 4.9 \mu m$, $z = 5.5 \mu m$, and $z = 6.2 \mu m$, corresponding to Figs. 2(a)–2(c), respectively. From the results, we observe that, in addition to the strong intensity inside the aperture region, the diffractive waves exhibit an extremely localized main lobe behind disc $C_1$. The observed super diffractive focusing light spot confirms the constructive superposition of the in-phase diffracted waves that have higher-spatial-frequency wavevectors than the original one, $k_0$, where $k_0 = 2\pi/\lambda$. Moreover, it is intriguing to observe that the generated superoscillatory main lobe has no side lobe accompanied along...
the symmetric cut. Such a no-side-lobe feature of the focusing light spot is caused by a destructive interference of diffracted waves along the line perpendicular to the apertures. To further characterize the superoscillatory behavior, their corresponding zoom-in intensity distributions are also presented, see Fig. 2, as well as their intensity profiles along the \( x \) and \( y \) coordinates, as shown in Figs. 3(a), 3(c), 3(e) and 3(b), 3(d), 3(f), respectively. The full-width at half-maximum (FWHM) of the main lobe along the \( y \) coordinate was measured as 214 nm (\( \sim \lambda / 3 \)), 230 nm (\( \sim \lambda / 2 \)), and 285 nm (\( \sim \lambda / 2.2 \)), respectively, all below the optical diffraction limit. Owing to the destructive interference effect, these superoscillatory spots at different distances exhibit almost no side waves, which breaks the compromise between the superoscillatory main lobes and the side lobes. It is further observed that the superoscillatory spot size slightly increases with the diffractive distance. On the other hand, the superoscillatory main lobes along \( x \) exhibit a similar feature size at the corresponding distance, which further confirms the cylindrical wave diffraction from the central disc \( C_1 \). Contrary to the intensity profile along the \( y \) axis, the generated light spot along the \( x \) axis is surrounded by significant side waves.

To confirm the experimental results, we investigate theoretically the diffractive property of the moonlike structure. Using the Rayleigh–Sommerfield theory, \(^{36} \) the diffractive light field beyond the structure (\( z > 0 \)) can be calculated as follows:

\[
E(x, y, z) = \frac{E_0}{ik} \int \int C(x', y') \frac{z}{p^2} \exp(ik_0 p) dx' dy',
\]

where \( E_0 \) denotes the amplitude of the incident plane wave, and

\[
p = [(x - x')^2 + (y - y')^2 + z^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{3}
\]

To achieve the optical superoscillatory waves with a symmetric cut, we adopted the same parameters as those used in the experiments. With these conditions, we produced the simulated outcomes shown in Fig. 2, as well as their corresponding intensity profiles; see the red curves in Fig. 3. They clearly show the no-side-lobe feature of the superoscillatory waves along the \( y \) axis. Both the intensity distributions and the cross sections at the selected region approximately reproduce the experimental results.

We further evaluate the focusing efficiency of the nanostructure. This can be done by using the ratio of peak intensity in the main lobe and the strongest side lobe. \(^{29,37,38} \) In theory, the peak intensity of the superoscillatory main lobe in Fig. 2(b) can reach 27.8% of that in the strongest side lobe, whereas in the experiment it is measured as 25.4%, further confirming the validity of the theoretical model in Eq. (2). Another way to characterize the focusing efficiency is by using the ratio of light power in the central hotspot and the input light field. \(^{39,40} \) In this scenario, the efficiency is usually much lower, since the integral for the light power is just over the superoscillatory area. For example, considering the result shown in Fig. 2(b), the resultant efficiency (in theory) is 0.74%. The focusing efficiency obtained here is comparable to previous superoscillatory techniques. \(^{29,37-40} \) The efficiency can be relatively enhanced (lowered) when increasing

Fig. 2 Experimental and numerical demonstrations of the generated superoscillatory light waves with the moonlike nanostructure (parameters: \( d = 15 \mu m \) and \( \omega = 2 \mu m \)). (a)–(c) The intensity distributions of the diffractive light waves in the transverse plane at different distances: (a) \( z = 4.9 \mu m \), (b) \( z = 5.5 \mu m \), and (c) \( z = 6.2 \mu m \). The panels in the second and the fourth columns are the zoom-in intensity distributions. Panels in each column share the same scale. The wavelength used here is \( \lambda = 633 nm \).
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The propagation distance, accompanied by a relatively larger (smaller) superoscillatory spot size. 

Note that a slight deviation between the experimental side lobes and the simulated outcomes is shown in Fig. 3. This difference stems from the approximate fulfillment of the binary modulation at the edge of the sample. As shown in Fig. 1(f), the imperfect binary amplitude modulation together with the nonuniform incident amplitude [see slight intensity oscillations in Fig. 1(f)] might contribute to generating uncertain side lobes in the experiments. These imperfect experimental conditions increase difficulties in observing the minor asymmetric distribution of the superoscillatory main lobe, as shown in the simulations in Fig. 2. Moreover, the detection sensitivity of the CCD camera used here is insufficient to capture the weak intensity of the superoscillatory side lobes, leading to low signal-to-noise ratio, as shown in Figs. 3(e) and 3(f), respectively.
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superoscillatory effect is observed, as shown in Fig. 3(d). These advantages of no side lobe and controllable working distance associated with the superoscillatory waves might be beneficial to far-field superresolution microscopy.\textsuperscript{2,16,19,28}

Finally, we investigate free-space propagation of the generated superoscillatory light waves. We note that the propagation dynamics of the superoscillatory waves was considered previously.\textsuperscript{33,41–43} In this work, the generated superoscillatory wave results from superposition of the in-phase diffraction waves. Hence, the generated wave form has a particularly Bessel-like function that exhibits weakly diffracting property during propagation.\textsuperscript{43,45} To this end, we performed experiments and recorded the intensity distributions of the light fields along the distances for both of the sample sizes: $d = 8 \mu m$ and $d = 15 \mu m$. To illustrate the propagation of the no-side-lobes superoscillatory waves along the symmetric cut, each cross section of the measured light fields along the $y$ coordinate is aligned in the $z$ axis, with the results illustrated in Figs. 5(b) and 5(d) for both cases of moonlike samples. Clearly, we observe that the superoscillatory light wave, indeed, does not change significantly its shape and travels along the distance in space for a long distance. The slight diffraction of the superoscillatory main lobe indicates that the delicate subwavelength feature can be maintained up to a critical distance $z_c$, where superoscillation disappears. For instance, for a small sample ($d = 8 \mu m$), it can be calculated as $z_c = 6.0 \mu m$; whereas for a larger one ($d = 15 \mu m$), the critical distance increases to $z_c = 9.6 \mu m$. The corresponding simulations in Figs. 5(a) and 5(c) show good agreement with the experiments. We note that the weakly diffracting property of the light beams leads to a slight increase of the transverse size of the main lobes with distance. This can be explained by the resultant Bessel-like profile, which shows a function of distance $z_c$, different from the Bessel beams that show an invariant profile within the non-diffracting range.\textsuperscript{44,46} As a result, the superoscillatory phenomenon eventually disappears when increasing the distance. We also point out that the symmetric cut of the moonlike element would slightly break the cylindrical symmetry of the high-spatial-frequency wavevectors in the reciprocal space, which leads to slight intensity oscillation along the propagation distance, as shown in Fig. 5, both numerically and experimentally.

4 Conclusions

For achieving high spatial resolution, the intriguing features of superoscillatory waves provide promising advantages over other technologies.\textsuperscript{2,17,18} However, a critical issue when using the optical superoscillation is to eliminate the side lobes. To this end, we have implemented the concept of destructive interference of waves. Specifically, a new optical superoscillatory wave form without side lobes accompanied along a symmetric cut of the light pattern has been investigated both experimentally and theoretically. We realized it with a pair of moonlike apertures having sharp edges. Such an element permits generating an extremely localized wave packet with a feature size that can be far beyond the diffraction limit. In addition, the presented superoscillatory waves have Bessel-like forms, which allows for maintaining their deep-subwavelength structure to a farther distance than the evanescent waves. In comparison to the complex optimization-based SOLs,\textsuperscript{16,22–26} the proposed structure has advantages of no optimization and simplicity, i.e., the nanostructure can be achieved without any skill-based techniques. Therefore, our demonstrations may open new avenues to explore the concept of no-side-lobes superoscillation as well as relevant applications in various potential fields, e.g., it could be utilized for high-resolution optical microscopy, as significant improvement of spatial resolution in optical microscopic systems could be achieved using structured light beams such as Bessel beams,\textsuperscript{19} vector beams,\textsuperscript{6} and superoscillatory beams.\textsuperscript{21,26,28} It is worth mentioning that we can obtain the no-side-lobes superoscillatory light spot along a symmetric cut that, in principle, has arbitrary direction in the transverse plane. This can be achieved by rotating the moonlike apertures.
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