Significant Down-Regulation of “Biological Adhesion” Genes in Porcine Oocytes after IVM
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Abstract: Proper maturation of the mammalian oocyte is a compound processes determining successful monospermic fertilization, however the number of fully mature porcine oocytes is still unsatisfactory. Since oocytes’ maturation and fertilization involve cellular adhesion and membranous contact, the aim was to investigate cell adhesion ontology group in porcine oocytes. The oocytes were collected from ovaries of 45 pubertal crossbred Landrace gilts and subjected to two BCB tests. After the first test, only granulosa cell-free BCB⁺ oocytes were directly exposed to microarray assays and RT-qPCR (“before IVM” group), or first in vitro matured and then if classified as BCB⁺ passed to molecular analyses (“after IVM” group). As a result, we have discovered substantial down-regulation of genes involved in adhesion processes, such as: organization of actin cytoskeleton, migration, proliferation, differentiation, apoptosis, survival or angiogenesis in porcine oocytes after IVM, compared to oocytes analyzed before IVM. In conclusion, we found that biological adhesion may be recognized as the process involved in porcine oocytes’ successful IVM. Down-regulation of genes included in this ontology group in immature oocytes after IVM points to their unique function in oocyte’s achievement of fully mature stages. Thus, results indicated new molecular markers involved in porcine oocyte IVM, displaying essential roles in biological adhesion processes.
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1. Introduction

During mammalian folliculogenesis and oogenesis the “ovarian follicle” differentiates from primordial, primary and secondary, into the fully organized Graafian follicle. These unique stages are accompanied by substantial growth and development of follicle [1], which is composed of differentiating theca cells (TCs) and granulosa cells (GCs). The TCs and GCs apart from forming follicular architecture, present endocrine activity, thus regulating proper function of follicular environment [2]. During each stage of oogenesis, the growing oocyte achieves the ability for
development and maturation. The oocyte maturation is divided into two crucial steps: nuclear, which is associated with achievement of proper chromosomal configuration by the oocyte, and cytoplasmic, which is accompanied by storage of RNA and proteins for further embryo growth [3,4]. It was well recognized in several species of mammals that only properly structuralized mature oocyte (MII) may be successfully fertilized by spermatozoon, and each stage of early folliculogenesis and oogenesis influences further embryo growth and development [5–7]. Our previous experiments indicated an increased expression of genes involved in “Bone development”, “Cellular components of morphogenesis”, “BMP signaling pathway”, and “Cell migration”, which were intensively regulated during in vitro maturation of porcine oocytes (IVM) [8–12].

Cellular adhesion belongs to one of the most important biological features of cells growing in vivo and/or in vitro, since it is a crucial step in cell life or death, proper cell-to-cell communication, maintaining of membrane balance and induction of signalling/metabolic pathways [13]. Cellular adhesion is guaranteed by three main types of junctions. The first one, allowing formation of more complex structures involving cytoskeleton, are called adherens junctions (AJs). These include integrins, cadherins and desmosomes [14]. The second type, tight junctions, control ion and molecule flow through adjacent cells thanks to their selectivity [15,16]. Occludins and claudins are the most common proteins in this group [15,16]. The last type, gap junctions, represented by connexins, allow transport of small molecules and inorganic ions directly between neighbouring cells [17,18].

Therefore, from thousands of differentially expressed transcripts in porcine oocytes during in vitro maturation, we have chosen those belonging to “Biological adhesion”. In case of oocyte maturation, gap junction connections (GJCs) are of great interest, since they permit transport of compounds and substrates between an oocyte and cumulus-granulosa cells (CCs-GCs) [18]. Additionally, AJs allow sperm–oocyte fusion during fertilization [19,20]. However, the type of contact that allows post-ovulatory journey of the oocyte as well as interaction between oocyte and oviductal epithelial cells (OECs) still needs further investigation.

In this article, we presented the differences in expression of genes involved in “Biological adhesion” in porcine oocytes before and after IVM and suggested potential markers of maturational capability.

2. Results

Whole transcriptome profiling by Affymetrix microarray allowed us to analyse the gene expression changes in freshly isolated oocytes, before in vitro procedures (“before IVM”) in relation to after in vitro maturation (“after IVM”). By Affymetrix® Porcine Gene 1.1 ST Array (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Gdansk, Poland), we have examined expression of 12,258 porcine transcripts. Genes with fold change higher than 121 and with corrected p values lower than 0.05 were considered as differentially expressed. This set of genes consisted of 419 different transcripts. Subsequently, the genes were used for identification of significantly enriched GO BP terms.

DAVID (Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery) software was used for extraction of the genes belonging to “Biological adhesion” gene ontology Biological Process term (GO BP). We found that 23 genes from “Biological adhesion” GO BP term were significantly represented in down-regulated gene set. This set of genes was subjected to hierarchical clustering and presented as heat map (Figure 1).

Table 1: Set of the differentially expressed genes belonging to “Biological adhesion” GO BP term with their official gene symbols, fold changes in expression, Entrez Gene IDs and corrected p values were shown (Table 1).
Figure 1. Heat map representation of differentially expressed genes belonging to the “Biological adhesion” functional category from DAVID GEOTERM BP database. Arbitrary signal intensity acquired from microarray analysis is represented by colours (green, higher; red, lower expression). Log$_2$ signal intensity values for any single gene were resized to Row Z-Score scale (from $-2$, the lowest expression to $+2$, the highest expression for single gene).

Table 1. Official genes symbols, fold changes, adjusted $p$ values and ENTREZ Gene ID of differentially expressed genes belonging to the “Biological adhesion” functional category from DAVID GEOTERM BP database.

| Name   | Fold     | adj.$p$.Value       | ENTREZ Gene ID |
|--------|----------|---------------------|----------------|
| ITGB8  | 3.240231 | $1.198584 \times 10^{-2}$ | 3696           |
| CNTN3  | 3.369908 | $3.018953 \times 10^{-3}$ | 5067           |
| ITGB1  | 2.730502 | $3.705215 \times 10^{-3}$ | 3688           |
| ADAM23 | 3.519362 | $7.243130 \times 10^{-3}$ | 8745           |
| CD9    | 3.036900 | $6.332387 \times 10^{-3}$ | 928            |
| CD58   | 3.625447 | $4.641977 \times 10^{-3}$ | 965            |
| LAMA2  | 4.552369 | $7.946265 \times 10^{-4}$ | 3908           |
| CD47   | 2.054594 | $9.288999 \times 10^{-3}$ | 961            |
| BMP1   | 3.586853 | $5.391380 \times 10^{-4}$ | 649            |
| CTNNA2 | 4.349240 | $5.121808 \times 10^{-3}$ | 1496           |
| RND3   | 4.413617 | $6.618426 \times 10^{-4}$ | 390            |
| ROBO2  | 3.524243 | $1.183495 \times 10^{-3}$ | 6092           |
| ENTPD1 | 4.796997 | $3.276430 \times 10^{-4}$ | 953            |
| PCDH7  | 2.382089 | $6.329369 \times 10^{-4}$ | 5099           |
| CYR61  | 12.398174| $7.535476 \times 10^{-5}$ | 3491           |
| SEMA5A | 2.829721 | $1.092396 \times 10^{-3}$ | 9037           |
| JUP    | 2.264561 | $3.461543 \times 10^{-2}$ | 3728           |
| RHOB   | 2.959342 | $2.898885 \times 10^{-2}$ | 388            |
| APP    | 3.085100 | $5.602323 \times 10^{-3}$ | 351            |
| LAMB2  | 3.586055 | $1.879115 \times 10^{-4}$ | 3913           |
| SCARB2 | 2.477578 | $1.415004 \times 10^{-3}$ | 950            |
| IGFBP7 | 2.476722 | $2.496043 \times 10^{-3}$ | 3490           |
| TGBF1  | 2.282538 | $1.912689 \times 10^{-3}$ | 7045           |
STRING-generated interaction network was created with differentially expressed genes belonging to the “Biological adhesion” ontology group. The intensity of the edges reflects the strength of interaction score. Applied prediction methods: text mining, co-expression, experimentally observed interactions.

Subsequently set of differentially expressed genes from “Biological adhesion” GO BP term category, were applied to STRING software version 10.5 (Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes/Proteins, STRING Consortium 2017) for interactions prediction. Using such prediction methods provided us with molecular interaction network formed between genes of interest. In obtained results the strongest interactions were observed between ITBG1, CTNNA2, JUP and RHOB (Figure 2).

Figure 2. STRING-generated interaction network among differentially expressed genes belonging to the “Biological adhesion” ontology group. The intensity of the edges reflects the strength of interaction score. Applied prediction methods: text mining, co-expression, experimentally observed interactions.

Specific gene interactions between those four genes were examined further using BioGraph web services. Results of such analysis were presented in Figure 3.
Figure 3. BioGraph gene interactions between (A) JUP and CTNNA2, (B) ITGB1 and RHOB, and (C) CTNNA2 and ITGB1, presenting their common processes and intermediating genes.

According to BioGraph software there are relations between JUP and CTNNA2, ITGB1 and RHOB and CTNNA2 and ITGB1, but not between RHOB and JUP. The adhesion-related ITGB1, CTNNA2, JUP and RHOB genes functions were further studied with BioGraph software and shown in Figure 4.
In Gene Ontology database genes that formed one particular GO group can also belong to other different GO term categories. For this reason, we have performed functional enrichments of GO terms based on previously uploaded gene set from “Biological adhesion” GO BP term. Down-regulated genes from “Biological adhesion” GO term belong also belong to two other GO terms from Biological Process domains. However, more GO terms from Cellular Component GO domain share the same genes with “Biological adhesion” term. Only “Movement of cell or subcellular component” and “Cell–cell junction assembly” biological process GO terms share significant amount of differently expressed genes with “Biological adhesion” GO term. The relations between these genes are shown in Figure 5.
Figure 5. The representation of relationship between down-regulated genes that belong to the “Biological adhesion”, “Movement of cell or subcellular component”, and “Cell–cell junction assembly” GO terms. The ribbons show which genes belong to which categories. The genes were sorted by logFC from the most, to the least changed.

After RT-qPCR, we validated the gene expression profile using quantitative methods (Figure 6). We found an increased expression of CYR61 mRNA in porcine oocytes analysed both before and after IVM, as compared to other genes ($p < 0.001$). The rest of the analysed genes displayed similar levels of expression profiles. We observed higher expression of CD9, CD58, CTNNA2, PCDH7, JUP, LAMB2 and TGFB1 after using RT-qPCR as compared to microarray quantification; however, the differences were not statistically significant. Only CYR61 mRNA manifested increased expression after microarray analysis compared to RT-qPCR, that was statistically relevant ($p < 0.01$). When comparing these two quantification methods, we found slightly higher expression of genes when analysed using RT-qPCR compared to microarray.
Figure 6. Validation of microarray data by RT-qPCR. Comparison of gene expression analysis of oocytes before in vivo and matured in vitro using microarray and RT-qPCR. RT-qPCR analysis was normalized to the expression of two housekeeping genes (PBGD and ACTB).

3. Discussion

The success of in vitro fertilization (IVF) is measured by offspring outcome, however, all previous stages starting from oocytes’ selection and maturation, through fertilization, ending in blastocyst formation and embryo development are essential for final success. Among them, we focused on in vitro maturation (IVM) process, since propriety of this step is a key to successful fertilization [21], and still the outcome of completely mature and fertilizable oocytes in many mammalian species, including pigs, is insufficient [21]. During maturation, the oocyte undergoes extensive morphological and biochemical changes, including RNA accumulation required for future protein synthesis during early embryogenesis [22]. These nuclear alterations, contributing to organelles reorganization in mammalian oocytes, both in vivo and in vitro, still need further investigation. As we showed previously [8–11,23], transcriptomic profile of gene expression differs significantly in porcine oocytes before and after IVM. Thus, to improve the quantity of mature and fertilizable oocytes, as well as IVF outcome, finding new molecular markers of maturation capability is highly recommended [24–27].

This study was aimed to analyse gene expression in porcine oocytes before and after IVM in order to define differentially expressed genes, potentially involved in oocyte maturation competence. We selected genes related to the “Biological adhesion” ontology group that were significantly down-regulated after IVM as compared to before IVM.

The novelty of this study was based on brilliant cresyl blue (BCB) test application. Only BCB+ oocytes were selected, before and after IVM, for transcriptomic profile analyses. Because of this, we measured influence of IVM process on transcriptomic profile of oocytes of the same developmental competence. Thus, we could assume that observed changes in genes expression were triggered solely by IVM, and not by varying competence of oocytes for development.

Usually, an organism, for its development and maintenance, requires various cell–cell and cell–extracellular matrix interactions, collectively called adhesion interactions. This phenomenon can promote multiple and even opposing cellular processes, depending on cell types and circumstances.
Among adherens junctions (AJs), desmosomes, tight junctions and gap junction connections (GJCs), the latter play an essential role in process of oocyte maturation and resumption of meiosis, since GJCs enable bidirectional transfer of small compounds and substrates between oocyte and somatic cumulus cells (CCs) [28,29]. During maturation, CCs undergo substantial expansion and loose tight contact with an oocyte [30]. Another essential interaction is sperm–mature oocyte fusion during fertilization, enabled by integrins, belonging to AJs [19,20].

Among all our analysed genes, the majority was related to biological adhesion processes in various systems. They were predominantly involved in organization of actin cytoskeleton and producing branching processes (RND3 [31], ITGB8 [32], CYR61 [33]), migration (TGFBI [34], RHOB [35]), proliferation (RND3 [36], IGFBP7 [37], CYR61 [33], TGFBI [38], JUP [39]), differentiation (RND3 [40], IGFBP7 [37], CYR61 [33], TGFBI [38]), apoptosis (RND3 [41], IGFBP7 [42], CYR61 [33]), survival (ITGB8 [32], CYR61 [33]), or angiogenesis (IGFBP7 [43], CYR61 [33]). Some were also related to mediation of bacterial adhesion and evasion (SCARB-2 [44]), and tumorigenesis (RHOB [45–47], IGFBP7 [48], JUP [39]). Despite being found in several organs, including the ovary, their role in oocyte maturation and susceptibility for fertilization has never been described before.

However, some of them have already been correlated with reproductive events. Christenson et al. (2013), using microarray assays in bovine, found significantly up-regulated expression of RND3 (Rho Family GTPase 3) in antral, membrane-associated granulosa, and theca cells (141-, 40- and three-fold change, respectively) after comparing to before GnRH-inducted LH surge [49]. Thus, we can speculate that immature bovine oocytes are surrounded by GCs with lower RND3 expression. This stays in accordance with previously described data, suggesting that lower RND3 expression can enable focal adhesion [36], which is required for oocyte–GCs contact before reaching maturity. Conversely, in our study, expression of RND3 was down-regulated after LH-supplemented IVM, however without proper estimation of total amount of that protein; it is hard to clearly explain this discrepancy.

Integrin Subunit Beta 1 (ITGB1) gene has been associated with embryonic processes, including implantation and trophoblastic function [50]. ITGB1 is constitutively expressed in all stages of bovine preimplantation embryo development [51]. He et al. (2012) found higher ITGB1 expression in biparous vs. single-bearing Mongolian sheep ovaries [52]. In the latter case, as well as in our study, describing the exact function of ITGB1 in folliculogenesis, oocytes’ maturation and ovulation requires further investigation.

The Ras Homolog Family Member B (RHOB) is a small protein, belonging to the Rho GTPases family. It localizes in adherens junctions between oocyte and granulosa cells, with E-cadherin assigned to oocytes, and N-cadherin more to GCs [53,54]. Studies of Vega et al. (2015) on prostate cancer cell lines indicated that RhOB influences cadherin level, as they observed RhOB depletion reduced cadherins level [55]. This could explain the decreased expression of RHOB gene in oocytes analysed after IVM, compared to those before IVM, since contact between those cells is much looser after maturation, when COCs present their dispersed form [56].

Although integrin beta 8 (ITGB8) was not previously found to be related to oocyte–cumulus cells interactions, nor fertilization capacity, it was associated with endometrial cells’ receptivity for embryo implantation, since high expression was observed in endometrial epithelial cells [57]. The ITGB8 can trigger focal adhesion kinase (FAK), which is essential for blood vessels formation during embryonic development [58]. ITGB8, in complex with α5 integrin (α5β8), was recognized as a receptor for the extracellular matrix protein fibronectin (FN) [59]. Among many splicing variants of FN, some are also present in cumulus cells [51]. The FN was shown to negatively influence fertilization and sperm penetration in bovine COCs by interaction with spermatozoa [60]. Thus, lowered expression of ITGB8 after IVM may reflect dispersed structure of COCs. On the other hand, it may also affect lower expression of α5β8 complex receptor, thus avoiding FN negative influence on fertilization capacity.

Insulin-like growth factor binding protein 7 (IGFBP7) is present in follicular fluid of rat follicles, supposedly suppressing estrogen production in GCs [61]. It is expressed in porcine GCs in the large...
antral follicles [62] and bovine corpus luteum [63]. It is supposed to act as an antagonist of activin, thus modulating development of follicles towards ovulation [61]. It was shown that IGFBP7 secreted into the corpus luteum tissue may inhibit VEGFA-stimulated angiogenesis in the luteinizing ovary after ovulation [43].

Cysteine rich angiogenic inducer 61 (CYR61) protein expression was also up-regulated in corpus luteum, associated with a switch to angiogenic phenotype. It was determined that luteal-derived endothelial cells, as well as luteal steroidogenic cells, are sources of CYR61 [64]. CYR61 was found to be up-regulated after stimulation with estrogens (17β-estradiol) [65,66], while the pre-ovulatory estrogen surge, does not stay in accordance with decreased CYR61 level in oocytes after IVM.

Another, large group of genes was related to processes associated with cell–cell recognition and adhesion, predominately in the nervous system, such as axonal growth, myelination, neurotransmission, formation and maintenance of neuron type-specific networks in the brain. The group included: APP (amyloid beta precursor protein) [67], ENTPD1 (Ectonucleoside triphosphate diphosphohydrolase 1) [68], PCDH7 (Protocadherin 7) [69], SEMA5A (Semaphorin A) [70], CTN3 (Contactin3) [71], ROBO2 (Roundabout guidance receptor 2) [72], CTNNA2 (Catenin alpha 2) [73], and ADAM23 (A member of disintegrin and metalloprotease domain family) [74,75]. The only gene indirectly linked to oocyte maturation was APP, responsible for amyloid plaques formation in the brain of patients with Alzheimer’s disease [67]. Khan et al. (2016) hypothesized APP could be a potential biomarker of follicle differentiation and predictor of oocyte competence in cows, since they found association between diverse FSH doses and APP expression levels in oocytes [76].

There were also two genes encoding proteins with roles associated mainly with the immune system. The first one was lymphocyte function-associated antigen 3 (CD58, LFA-3), which is the ligand for T lymphocyte CD2 protein, thus facilitating T lymphocyte adhesiveness [77]. CD58 expression was immunohistochemically recognized on human granulosa cells in secondary growing follicles and preovulatory follicles, with expression increasing after ovulation in large luteal cells in the midluteal phase [78]. However, there is no data concerning CD58 expression in oocytes, and we cannot correlate the mentioned results with GCs–oocyte adhesion, since we found CD58 expression down-regulated in oocytes after IVM. The second one, integrin-associated protein (CD47, IAP), increases intracellular calcium concentration upon cell adhesion to extracellular matrix and is involved in immune regulation, by preventing maturation of dendritic cells (DCs) and blocking cytokine production by matured DCs [79]. Moreover, CD47 is a receptor for the thrombospondin (THBS) family of proteins [80], which act as inhibitors of angiogenesis (anti-VEGF action) [81]. Previous studies showed increasing expression of CD47 in human granulosa and theca interna cells during final follicle maturation, corpus luteum (CL) formation and luteal function, suggesting that CD47 plays role in angiogenesis regulation, necessary for the formation and function of the follicle and CL [82].

Decreased expression was also determined for CD9 (member of the transmembrane-4 tetraspanin superfamily), BMP1 (Bone Morphogenetic Protein 1), LAMA2 (laminin subunit α-2) and LAMB2 (laminin β-2) genes belonging to “Biological adhesion” ontology group. This set of genes has also been previously identified in “Bone development”, “Cellular components of morphogenesis”, and “Cell migration” ontology groups. Among them, CD9 and BMP1 have the most relevant function to events in the reproductive tract, participating in oocyte–sperm fusion, and taking part in oocyte nuclear and cytoplasmic maturation, respectively. Wider descriptions of possible implications in oocyte maturation have already been done in our previous reports [8,9,11].

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Experimental Design

Oocytes were collected and subjected to two Brilliant Cresyl Blue (BCB) tests and divided into two groups. The first group (“before IVM”) included oocytes graded as BCB-positive (BCB+) and directly exposed to microarray assay and RT-qPCR. The second group (“after IVM”) included BCB+
oocytes which were then matured in vitro, and if classified as BCB+ in second BCB test passed to molecular analyses.

4.2. Animals

A total of 45 pubertal crossbred Landrace gilts bred on a commercial local farm were used in this study. They had a mean age of 155 days (range 140–170 days) and a mean weight of 100 kg (95–120 kg). All animals were bred under the same conditions and fed the same forage (depending on age and reproductive status). All experiments were approved by the Local Ethic Committee on 1 June 2012 with resolution number 32/2012.

4.3. Collection of Porcine Ovaries and Cumulus–Oocyte Complexes (COCs)

The ovaries and reproductive tracts were recovered at slaughter and transported to the laboratory within 40 min. at 38 °C in 0.9% NaCl. To provide optimal conditions for subsequent oocyte maturation and fertilization in vitro, the ovaries of each animal were placed in a 5% fetal bovine serum solution (FBS; Sigma-Aldrich Co., St. Louis, MO, USA) in PBS. Single large follicles (>5 mm) were opened by puncturing with a 5 mL syringe and 20-G needle in a sterile Petri dish, and COCs were recovered. The COCs were washed three times in modified PBS supplemented with 36 µg/mL pyruvate, 50 µg/mL gentamicin, and 0.5 mg/mL BSA (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). The COCs were selected under an inverted microscope Zeiss, Axiovert 35 (Lübeck, Germany), counted, and morphologically evaluated. Only COCs of grade I, possessing homogeneous ooplasm and uniform, compact cumulus cells, were considered for further use, resulting in a total of 300 grade I oocytes (3 × n = 50 “before IVM” group, 3 × n = 50 “after IVM” group).

4.4. Assessment of Oocyte Developmental Competence by BCB Test

Brilliant Cresyl Blue (BCB) test was used for assessment of porcine oocytes’ quality and maturity [83]. The glucose-6-phosphate (G6PDH) enzyme converts BCB stain from blue to colourless. In oocytes that completed the growth, activity of the enzyme decreases and the stain cannot be reduced, resulting in blue oocytes (BCB+). To perform the BCB staining test, oocytes were washed twice in modified Dulbecco’s Phosphate Buffered Saline (DPBS), commercially supplemented with 0.9 mM calcium, 0.49 mM magnesium, 0.33 mM pyruvate, and 5.5 mM glucose (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), and additionally with 50 IU/mL penicillin, 50 µg/mL streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), and 0.4% bovine serum albumin (BSA) [v/v] (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). They were then treated with 13 µM BCB (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) diluted in DPBS at 38.5 °C, 5% CO2 for 90 min. After treatment, the oocytes were transferred to DPBS and washed twice. During washing, the oocytes were examined under an inverted microscope and classified as stained blue (BCB+), or colourless (BCB−). Only the granulosa cell-free BCB+ oocytes were used for subsequent molecular analyses (“before IVM” group), or IVM, followed by second BCB test and molecular analyses (“after IVM” group).

4.5. In Vitro Maturation of Porcine Cumulus–Oocyte-Complexes (COCs)

After the first BCB test, the BCB+ COCs were subjected to IVM. The COCs were cultured in Nunclon™D 4-well dishes (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) in 500 µL standard porcine IVM culture medium: TCM-199 (tissue culture medium) with Earle’s salts and L-glutamine (Gibco BRL Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY, USA), supplemented with 2.2 mg/mL sodium bicarbonate (Nacalai Tesque, Inc., Kyoto, Japan), 0.1 mg/mL sodium pyruvate (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), 10 mg/mL BSA (Bovine Serum Albumin) (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), 0.1 mg/mL cysteine (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), 10% (v/v) filtered porcine follicular fluid, and gonadotropin supplements at final concentrations of 2.5 IU/mL hCG (human Chorionic Gonadotropin) (Ayerst Laboratories, Inc., Philadelphia, PA, USA) and 2.5 IU/mL eCG (equine Chorionic Gonadotropin) (Intervet, Whitby, ON, Canada). Wells were covered with mineral oil.
overlay and cultured at 38 °C under 5% CO₂ in air for 22 h, and then for additional 22 h in medium without hormones. After cultivation, the second BCB staining test was performed, and BCB⁺ oocytes were used for further molecular analyses.

4.6. RNA Extraction from Porcine Oocytes

Total RNA was extracted from samples using TRI Reagent (Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA) and RNeasy MinElute cleanup Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). The amount of total mRNA was determined using optical density at 260 nm, and the RNA purity was estimated using the 260/280 nm absorption ratio (higher than 1.8) (NanoDrop spectrophotometer, Thermo Scientific, ALAB, Poland). The RNA integrity and quality were checked on a Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent Technologies, Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA). The resulting RNA integrity numbers (RINs) were between 8.5 and 10 with an average of 9.2. The RNA in each sample was diluted to a concentration of 100 ng/µL with an OD260/OD280 ratio of 1.8/2.0. From each RNA sample, 500 ng of RNA were taken. The remaining amount of isolated RNA was used for RT-qPCR study.

4.7. Microarray Expression Analysis and Statistics

Experiments were performed in three replicates. Total RNA (100 ng) from each pooled sample was subjected to two round sense cDNA amplification (Ambion® WT Expression Kit, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Gdansk, Poland). The obtained cDNA was used for biotin labeling and fragmentation by Affymetrix GeneChip® WT Terminal Labeling and Hybridization (Affymetrix, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Gdansk, Poland). Biotin-labeled fragments of cDNA (5.5 µg) were hybridized to Affymetrix® Porcine Gene 1.1 ST Array Strip (48 °C/20 h). Then, microarrays were washed and stained according to the technical protocol, using Affymetrix GeneAtlas Fluidics Station. The array strips were scanned, employing Imaging Station of GeneAtlas System. The preliminary analysis of the scanned chips was performed, using Affymetrix GeneAtlas™ Operating Software. Quality of gene expression data was checked according to quality control criteria provided by the software. Obtained CEL files were imported into downstream data analysis software.

All analyses were performed using BioConductor software (Open Source Software For Bioinformatics), based on the statistical R programming language. For background correction, normalization and summation of raw data, the Robust Multiarray Averaging (RMA) algorithm, implemented in “affy” package of BioConductor, was applied. Biological annotation was taken from BioConductor “oligo” package, where annotated data frame object was merged with normalized data set, resulting in a complete gene data table. Statistical significance of analysed genes was performed by moderated t-statistics from the empirical Bayes method. Obtained p value was corrected, for multiple comparisons, using the Benjamini and Hochberg’s false discovery rate. The selection of significantly changed gene expression was based on p value beneath 0.05 and expression fold higher than |2|.

Functional annotation clustering of differentially expressed genes was performed using DAVID (Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery). Gene symbols for up- or down-regulated genes, from each of the compared groups were loaded to DAVID by “RDAVIDWebService” BioConductor package. In this analysis, we focused on one GO term group described as “Biological adhesion” that was separated from other GO groups and subjected to hierarchical clustering algorithm, and presented as a heat map graph. “Biological adhesion” GO term (GO:0022610) belongs to Biological Process GO domain. “Biological adhesion” is defined as “The attachment of a cell or organism to a substrate, another cell, or other organism. Biological adhesion includes intracellular attachment between membrane regions”. It contains 29,306 annotated genes, of which 1849 are annotated to Sus scrofa domestica.

Interactions between differentially expressed genes/proteins belonging to “Biological adhesion” ontology group were investigated by STRING10 software (Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes). List of gene names were used as query for interaction prediction. Searching criteria were based on co-occurrences of genes/proteins in scientific texts (text mining), co-expression and
experimentally observed interactions. The results of such analysis generated gene/protein interaction network where the intensity of the edges reflects the strength of interaction score. Besides interaction prediction, STRING also allowed us to perform functional enrichments of GO terms based on previously uploaded gene set from “Biological adhesion” GO BP term.

Genes with the strongest interactions from STRING analysis were subjected to BioGraph web services. BioGraph is based on the integration of biomedical knowledge bases and yields intelligible and literature-supported indirect functional relations.

4.8. Validation of Microarray Results with Real-Time Quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction (RT-qPCR)

RT-qPCR analysis was performed in order to validate microarray results, using the same RNA samples as for microarray profiling experiments. Tests were performed in three replicates.

Total RNA was isolated from oocytes before and/or after IVM. The RNA samples were re-suspended in 20 µL of RNase-free water and stored in liquid nitrogen. Afterwards, they were treated with DNase I and reverse-transcribed (RT) into cDNA. RT-qPCR was conducted in a Light Cycler real-time PCR detection system (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany) using SYBR® Green I as a detection dye, and target cDNA was quantified using the relative quantification method. For amplification, 2 µL of cDNA solution was added to 18 µL of QuantiTect® SYBR® Green PCR (Master Mix Qiagen GmbH, Hilden, Germany) and primers (Table 2). One RNA sample of each preparation was processed without the RT-reaction to provide a negative control for subsequent PCR. The relative abundance of TGFBI, IGFBP7, SCARB2, LAMB2, APP, RHOB, JUP, SEMA5A, CYR61, PCDH7, ENTPD1, ROBO2, RND3, CTNNA2, BMP1, CD47, LAMA2, CD58, CD9, ADAM23, ITGB1, CNTN3, and ITGB8 transcripts in each sample was standardized to the glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (PBGD) and actin beta (ACTB) internal standards.

**Table 2.** Oligonucleotide sequences used for RT-qPCR analysis.

| Name   | Gene Accession Number | Primer Sequence (5′-3′) | Product Size (bp) |
|--------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| ITGB8  | NM_002214.2           | AAGGGCCAAGTGTTGAGTTGG  TCTGACATTGGTGCCGATA          | 233               |
| CNTN3  | XM_021069229          | GAATTTTCCTCGGAAAAGCAGC  GCAGCCCATCCTGCT          | 61                |
| ITGB1  | NM_214015.2           | ACCATGCCAATTTCCTGCT    EAACGCACGATCACTGTTGGA       | 208               |
| ADAM23 | XM_021076147          | GAATCACAGCAGAAAGCAGT   GCATGAGAAGAGGGACAC        | 179               |
| CD9    | NM_214006.1           | CAAAGGGACGTACTCTCAAGC  GCACCGGAAAGATGACCAA        | 249               |
| CD58   | NM_213795             | CAGTACTGCCAGCGGTGATA   TGGAGGCGATCCTAATAAAGG      | 202               |
| LAMA2  | XM_013992573.1        | GATACAAATGACCAGGTGTT   ATGCGAATAAAGAGGCGAAG       | 95                |
| CD47   | NM_213982.1           | TGGAGGCCCCATTCTTCATCC  AATCGAAGAAGACGGGCGATC       | 241               |
| BMP1   | XM_021072336.1        | AGCTCTTGACCGGTACGCA    ACAGAATCTCAGGGCCGAGT     | 93                |
| CTNNA2 | XM_013995995.1        | CCTACCCCTCAACGGATTGAC  CCTCTGATAACTTTGTTGAGGC     | 204               |
Table 2. Cont.

| Name   | Gene Accession Number | Primer Sequence (5′-3′)                        | Product Size (bp) |
|--------|-----------------------|------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| RND3   | NM_214296.1           | CCCAACACAAAAATGGTCTAAGTGCTGCTCCAAATCTGT        | 145               |
| ROBO2  | XM_013982523.1        | GGAACAGCTTCTCTCTAAGGGAATAAAGTAATGTTTGATGACT   | 238               |
| ENTPD1 | NM_214153.1           | GCTATGGGAAGGATCAAGGCTGCGAGGAGCCCTCATAAAG       | 139               |
| PCDH7  | NM_001244484.1        | TTCCACTCCAGAGGAACAGGTCAGGGCTACATCTGGAA         | 83                |
| CYR61  | XM_001927740.4        |CCAATGAAACCCCGACTGCCGTACCTTCAGCCTGG            | 176               |
| SEMA5A | XM_013984924.1        | AACACCAGATAATCAACCAACACACTGGGAATTACAAGAAGC    | 221               |
| JUP    | NM_214323.1           | ATCCCATGGACACCTACAGGGCTCAGGCACTTTGCTATC        | 148               |
| RHOB   | NM_001123189.1        | TATGTGTCTTCTCGTGACACACTGGAGGTAGGCTTCGCTGG      | 230               |
| APP    | NM_214372             | TGGGAAAAGACACAAACACCCTGATGACTAGTITTTGATAGCT   | 206               |
| LAMB2  | XM_013981664.1        | GCTGCCCAAGGATGACACACACTCCCTTGCTGCACATAGCTT    | 130               |
| SCARB2 | NM_001244155.1        | AGTGCAGCTGAAAGCTGTTGAGTTGAGCTGCTGAGTCTGTCG    | 236               |
| IGFBP7 | NM_001163801.1        | ATACCCCTGGACTACAGTGCTGTTGAGCTGCTGGAGTCTGTC    | 207               |
| TGFBI  | NM_214015.2           | ACCATGCAATTTTCTGCTGGAACGCACTGACATGTTTGAGTCTG  | 208               |
| PBGD   | NM_001097412.1        | GAGAGTGCCCCCATGATGCTATGCTAGTCTGCTGACATGCTC    | 214               |
| ACTB   | XM_003124280.3        | CCAATGCGCGACCTCCGCTCCATCCAGCAATATCGGTCATCCAT  | 69                |

5. Conclusions

All analysed genes presented decreased mRNA expression after IVM, compared to before IVM. However, the extent and how the mentioned genes affect oocyte morphology during the complex process of maturation are not yet known. Although only few of them were associated with reproductive events, including oocyte maturation and fertilization feasibility, they may be potential markers of oocyte developmental competence in pigs. To confirm their involvement in oogenesis and other regulatory processes such as fertilization and embryogenesis, further protein assays are required.

Acknowledgments: Publication of this article was made possible by grant number 2014/13/D/NZ9/04798 “SONATA” from Polish National Centre of Science.

Author Contributions: Joanna Budna designed the study and wrote the paper; Piotr Celichowski performed the study; Artur Bryja performed the study; Marta Dyszkiewicz-Konwińska performed the study; Michal Jeseta prepared the figures and statistics; Dorota Bukowska collected material; Paweł Antosik collected material; Klaus Peter Brüssow supervised the work, Małgorzata Bruska supervised the work, Michał Nowicki supervised the work, Maciej Zabel supervised the paper writing, Bartosz Kempisty designed and financed the study.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.
References

1. Uyar, A.; Torrealday, S.; Seli, E. Cumulus and granulosa cell markers of oocyte and embryo quality. *Fertil. Steril.* 2013, 99, 979–997. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

2. Orisaka, M.; Tajima, K.; Tsang, B.K.; Kotsuji, F. Oocyte-granulosa-theca cell interactions during preantral follicular development. *J. Ovarian Res.* 2009, 2. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

3. Eppig, J.J. Coordination of nuclear and cytoplasmic oocyte maturation in eutherian mammals. *Reprod. Fertil. Dev.* 1996, 8, 485–489. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

4. Kranc, W.; Celichowski, P.; Budna, J.; Khozmi, R.; Bryja, A.; Ciesiółka, S.; Rybska, M.; Borys, S.; Jeseta, M.; Bukowska, D.; et al. Positive regulation of macromolecule metabolic process belongs to the main mechanisms crucial for porcine oocytes maturation. *Adv. Cell Biol.* 2017, 5, 15–32. [CrossRef]

5. Jin, J.X.; Lee, S.; Khoirinaya, C.; Oh, A.; Kim, G.A.; Lee, B.C. Supplementation with spermine during in vitro maturation of porcine oocytes improves early embryonic development after parthenogenetic activation and somatic cell nuclear transfer. *J. Anim. Sci.* 2016, 94, 963–970. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

6. Galeati, G.; Giaretta, E.; Zannoni, A.; Bucci, D.; Tamanini, C.; Forni, M.; Spinaci, M. Embelin supplementation of in vitro maturation medium does not influence nuclear and cytoplasmic maturation of pig oocytes. *J. Physiol. Pharmacol.* 2016, 67, 513–519. [PubMed]

7. Wu, J.; Emery, B.R.; Carrell, D.T. In vitro growth, maturation, fertilization, and embryonic development of oocytes from porcine preantral follicles. *Biol. Reprod.* 2001, 64, 375–381. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

8. Budna, J.; Bryja, A.; Celichowski, P.; Kranc, W.; Ciesiółka, S.; Rybska, M.; Koleczka-Bednarczyk, A.; Jeseta, M.; Bukowska, D.; et al. “Bone Development” Is an Ontology Group Upregulated in Porcine Oocytes before In Vitro Maturation: A Microarray Approach. *DNA Cell Biol.* 2017, 36, 638–646. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

9. Budna, J.; Bryja, A.; Celichowski, P.; Kahan, R.; Kranc, W.; Ciesiółka, S.; Rybska, M.; Borys, S.; Jeseta, M.; Bukowska, D.; et al. Genes of cellular components of morphogenesis in porcine oocytes before and after IVM. *Reproduction* 2017, 154, 535–545. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

10. Budna, J.; Rybska, M.; Ciesiółka, S.; Bryja, A.; Borys, S.; Kranc, W.; Wojtanowicz-Markiewicz, K.; Jeseta, M.; Sumelka, E.; Bukowska, D.; et al. Expression of genes associated with BMP signaling pathway in porcine oocytes before and after IVM—A microarray approach. *Reprod. Biol. Endocrinol.* 2017, 15. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

11. Kranc, W.; Budna, J.; Chachula, A.; Borys, S.; Bryja, A.; Rybska, M.; Ciesiółka, S.; Sumelka, E.; Jeseta, M.; Brussow, K.P.; et al. Does Porcine oocytes maturation in vitro is regulated by genes involved in transforming growth factor beta receptor signaling pathway? *Adv. Cell Biol.* 2017, 5, 1–14. [CrossRef]

12. Tsanou, E.; Peschos, D.; Batistatou, A.; Charalabopoulos, A.; Charalabopoulos, K. The E-cadherin adhesion molecule and colorectal cancer. A global literature approach. *Anticancer Res.* 2008, 28, 3815–3826. [PubMed]

13. Wang, X.; Tully, O.; Ngo, B.; Zitin, M.; Mullin, J.M. Epithelial tight junctional changes in colorectal cancer tissues. *Sci. World J.* 2011, 11, 826–841. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

14. Turksen, K.; Troy, T.-C. Junctions gone bad: Claudins and loss of the barrier in cancer. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 2011, 1816, 73–79. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

15. Kanczuga-Koda, L. Gap junctions and their role in physiology and pathology of the digestive tract. *Postepy Hig. Med. Dosw.* 2004, 58, 158–165.

16. Kempiesty, B.; Ziolkowska, A.; Ciesiółka, S.; Piotrowska, H.; Antosik, P.; Bukowska, D.; Nowicki, M.; Brussow, K.P.; Zabel, M. Study on connexin gene and protein expression and cellular distribution in relation to real-time proliferation of porcine granulosa cells. *J. Biol. Regul. Homeost. Agents* 2014, 28, 625–635. [PubMed]
19. Tatone, C.; Carbone, M.C. Possible involvement of integrin-mediated signalling in oocyte activation: Evidence that a cyclic RGD-containing peptide can stimulate protein kinase C and cortical granule exocytosis in mouse oocytes. Reprod. Biol. Endocrinol. 2006, 4. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
20. Evans, J.P.; Schultz, R.M.; Kopf, G.S. Identification and localization of integrin subunits in oocytes and eggs of the mouse. Mol. Reprod. Dev. 1995, 40, 211–220. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
21. Yuan, Y.; Krisher, R.L. In vitro maturation (IVM) of porcine oocytes. Methods Mol. Biol. 2012, 825, 183–198. [PubMed]
22. Dunning, K.R.; Lane, M.; Brown, H.M.; Yeo, C.; Robker, R.L.; Russell, D.L. Altered composition of the cumulus-oocyte complex matrix during in vitro maturation of oocytes. Hum. Reprod. 2007, 22, 2842–2850. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
23. Budna, J.; Chachula, A.; Kazmierczak, D.; Rybska, M.; Ciesiolka, S.; Bryja, A.; Kranc, W.; Borys, S.; Zok, A.; Bukowska, D.; et al. Morphogenesis-related gene-expression profile in porcine oocytes before and after in vitro maturation. Zygote 2017, 25, 331–340. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
24. Arias-Alvarez, M.; Garcia-Garcia, R.M.; Lopez-Tello, J.; Rebollar, P.G.; Gutierrez-Adan, A.; Lorenzo, P.L. In vivo and in vitro maturation of rabbit oocytes differently affects the gene expression profile, mitochondrial distribution, apoptosis and early embryo development. Reprod. Fertil. Dev. 2017, 29, 1667–1679. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
25. Yerushalmi, G.M.; Maman, E.; Yung, Y.; Kedem, A.; Hourvitz, A. Molecular characterization of the human ovulatory cascade—lesson from the IVF/IVM model. J. Assist. Reprod. Genet. 2011, 28, 509–515. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
26. Huang, X.; Hao, C.; Shen, X.; Zhang, Y.; Liu, X. RUNX2, GPX3 and PTX3 gene expression profiling in cumulus cells are reflective oocyte/embryo competence and potentially reliable predictors of embryo developmental competence in PCOS patients. Reprod. Biol. Endocrinol. 2013, 11. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
27. Dieci, C.; Lodde, V.; Labreque, R.; Duflot, I.; Tessaro, I.; Sirard, M.-A.; Luciano, A.M. Differences in cumulus cell gene expression indicate the benefit of a pre-maturation step to improve in-vitro bovine embryo production. Mol. Hum. Reprod. 2016, 22, 882–897. [PubMed]
28. Kempisty, B.; Ziołkowska, A.; Piotrowska, H.; Ciesiolka, S.; Antosik, P.; Bukowska, D.; Zawieruchaa, P.; Wozna, M.; Jaskowski, J.M.; Brussow, K.P.; et al. Short-term cultivation of porcine cumulus cells influences the cyclin-dependent kinase 4 (Cdk4) and connexin 43 (Cx43) protein expression—A real-time cell proliferation approach. J. Reprod. Dev. 2013, 59, 339–345. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
29. Kempisty, B.; Ziołkowska, A.; Piotrowska, H.; Zawieruchaa, P.; Antosik, P.; Bukowska, D.; Ciesiolka, S.; Jaskowski, J.M.; Brussow, K.P.; Nowicki, M.; et al. Real-time proliferation of porcine cumulus cells is related to the protein levels and cellular distribution of Cdk4 and Cx43. Theriogenology 2013, 80, 411–420. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
30. Anderson, E.; Albertini, D.F. Gap junctions between the oocyte and companion follicle cells in the mammalian ovary. J. Cell Biol. 1976, 71, 680–686. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
31. Guasch, R.M.; Scambler, P.; Jones, G.E.; Ridley, A.J. RhoE regulates actin cytoskeleton organization and cell migration. Mol. Cell Biol. 1998, 18, 4761–4771. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
32. Cox, D.; Brennan, M.; Moran, N. Integrins as therapeutic targets: Lessons and opportunities. Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. 2010, 9, 804–820. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
38. Park, S.-Y.; Jung, M.-Y.; Kim, I.-S. Stabilin-2 mediates homophilic cell–cell interactions via its FAS1 domains. *FEBS Lett.* **2009**, *583*, 1375–1380. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

39. Zhurinsky, J.; Shutman, M.; Ben-Ze’ev, A. Plakoglobin and beta-catenin: Protein interactions, regulation and biological roles. *J. Cell Sci.* **2000**, *113*, 3127–3139. [PubMed]

40. Liebig, T.; Erasmus, J.; Kalaji, R.; Davies, D.; Loirand, G.; Ridley, A.; Braga, V.M.M. RhoE is required for keratinocyte differentiation and stratification. *Mol. Biol. Cell* **2009**, *20*, 452–463. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

41. Bektic, J.; Pfeil, K.; Berger, A.P.; Ramoner, R.; Pelzer, A.; Schafer, G.; Kofer, K.; Bartsch, G.; Klocker, H. Small G-protein RhoE is underexpressed in prostate cancer and induces cell cycle arrest and apoptosis. *Prostate* **2005**, *64*, 332–340. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

42. Wajapeyee, N.; Serra, R.W.; Zhu, X.; Mahalingam, M.; Green, M.R. Oncogenic BRAF induces senescence and apoptosis through pathways mediated by the secreted protein IGFBP7. *Cell* **2008**, *132*, 363–374. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

43. Tamura, K.; Yoshie, M.; Hashimoto, K.; Tachikawa, E. Inhibitory effect of insulin-like growth factor-binding protein-7 (IGFBP7) on in vitro angiogenesis of vascular endothelial cells in the rat corpus luteum. *J. Reprod. Dev.* **2014**, *60*, 447–453. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

44. Vishnyakova, T.G.; Kurlander, R.; Bocharov, A.V.; Baranova, I.N.; Chen, Z.; Abu-Asab, M.S.; Tsokos, M.; Malide, D.; Basso, F.; Remaley, A.; et al. Cla-1 and its splicing variant Cla-2 mediate bacterial adhesion and cytotoxic bacterial invasion in mammalian cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2006**, *103*, 16888–16893. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

45. Fritz, G.; Brachetti, C.; Bahlmann, F.; Schmidt, M.; Kaina, B. Rho GTPases in human breast tumours: Expression and mutation analyses and correlation with clinical parameters. *Br. J. Cancer* **2002**, *87*, 635–644. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

46. Adnane, J.; Muro-Cacho, C.; Mathews, L.; Sehti, S.M.; Munoz-Antonio, T. Suppression of rho B expression in invasive carcinoma from head and neck cancer patients. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **2002**, *8*, 2225–2232. [PubMed]

47. Mazieres, J.; Antonia, T.; Daste, G.; Muro-Cacho, C.; Berchery, D.; Tillement, V.; Pradines, A.; Sehti, S.; Favre, G. Loss of RhoB expression in human lung cancer progression. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **2004**, *10*, 2742–2750. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

48. Gambaro, K.; Quinn, M.C.J.; Caceres-Gorriti, K.Y.; Shapiro, R.S.; Provencher, D.; Rahimi, K.; Mes-Masson, A.-M.; Tonin, P.N. Low levels of IGFBP7 expression in high-grade serous ovarian carcinoma is associated with patient outcome. *BMC Cancer* **2015**, *15*. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

49. Christenson, L.K.; Gunawardena, S.; Hong, X.; Spitschak, M.; Baufeld, A.; Vanselow, J. Research resource: Preovulatory LH surge effects on follicular theca and granulosa transcriptomes. *Mol. Endocrinol.* **2013**, *27*, 1153–1171. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

50. Burghardt, R.C.; Johnson, G.A.; Jaeger, L.A.; Ka, H.; Garlow, J.E.; Spencer, T.E.; Bazer, F.W. Integrins and extracellular matrix proteins at the maternal-fetal interface in domestic animals. *Cells Tissues Organs* **2002**, *172*, 202–217. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

51. Goossens, K.; van Soom, A.; van Zeveren, A.; Favoreel, H.; Peelman, L.J. Quantification of fibronectin 1 (FN1) splice variants, including two novel ones, and analysis of integrins as candidate FN1 receptors in bovine preimplantation embryos. *BMC Dev. Biol.* **2009**, *9*. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

52. He, X.; Li, B.; Wang, F.; Tian, C.; Rong, W.; Liu, Y. Identification of differentially expressed genes in Mongolian sheep ovaries by suppression subtractive hybridization. *Anim. Reprod. Sci.* **2012**, *133*, 86–92. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

53. Smith, S.R.; Fulton, N.; Collins, C.S.; Walsh, M.; Bayne, R.A.L.; Coutts, S.M.; Childs, A.J.; Anderson, R.A. N- and E-cadherin expression in human ovarian and urogenital duct development. *Fertil. Steril.* **2010**, *93*, 2348–2353. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

54. Wang, C.; Roy, S.K. Expression of E-cadherin and N-cadherin in perinatal hamster ovary: Possible involvement in primordial follicle formation and regulation by follicle-stimulating hormone. *Endocrinology* **2010**, *151*, 2319–2330. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

55. Kodama, T.; Matsukado, Y.; Suzuki, T.; Tanaka, S.; Shimizu, H. Stimulated formation of adenosine 3′,5′-monophosphate by desipramine in brain slices. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **1971**, *252*, 165–170. [CrossRef]

56. Mora, J.M.; Fenwick, M.A.; Castle, L.; Baithun, M.; Ryder, T.A.; Mobberley, M.; Carzaniga, R.; Franks, S.; Hardy, K. Characterization and significance of adhesion and junction-related proteins in mouse ovarian follicles. *Biol. Reprod.* **2012**, *86*, 1–14. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
57. Kumar, V.; Maurya, V.K.; Joshi, A.; Meeran, S.M.; Jha, R.K. Integrin beta 8 (ITGB8) regulates embryo implantation potentially via controlling the activity of TGF-B1 in mice. *Biol. Reprod.* **2015**, *92*. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

58. Shen, T.-L.; Park, A.Y.-J.; Alcaraz, A.; Peng, X.; Jang, I.; Koni, P.; Flavell, R.A.; Gu, H.; Guan, J.-L. Conditional knockout of focal adhesion kinase in endothelial cells reveals its role in angiogenesis and vascular development in late embryogenesis. *J. Cell Biol.* **2005**, *169*, 941–952. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

59. Ozawa, A.; Sato, Y.; Imabayashi, T.; Uemura, T.; Takagi, J.; Sekiguchi, K. Molecular Basis of the Ligand Binding Specificity of alphavbeta8 Integrin. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2016**, *291*, 11551–11565. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

60. Thys, M.; Nauwync, H.; Maes, D.; Hoogewijs, M.; Vercauteren, D.; Rijsselaere, T.; Favoreel, H.; van Soom, A. Expression and putative function of fibronectin and its receptor (integrin alpha5beta1) in male and female gametes during bovine fertilization in vitro. *Reproduction* **2009**, *138*, 471–482. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

61. Tamura, K.; Matushita, M.; Endo, A.; Kutsukake, M.; Kogo, H. Effect of insulin-like growth factor-binding protein 7 on steroidogenesis in granulosa cells derived from equine chorionic gonadotropin-primed immature rat ovaries. *Biol. Reprod.* **2007**, *77*, 485–491. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

62. Wandji, S.A.; Gadsby, J.E.; Barber, J.A.; Hammond, J.M. Messenger ribonucleic acids for MAC25 and connective tissue growth factor (CTGF) are inversely regulated during folliculogenesis and early luteogenesis. *Endocrinology* **2000**, *141*, 2648–2657. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

63. Casey, O.M.; Fitzpatrick, R.; McInerney, J.O.; Morris, D.G.; Powell, R.; Sreenan, J.M. Analysis of protocadherin gene repertoires. *Am. J. Pathol.* **2017**, *189*, 93–98. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

64. Zhang, B.; Tsang, P.C.W.; Pate, J.L.; Moses, M.A. A role for cysteine-rich 61 in the angiogenic switch during proliferation: Regulation by 17beta-estradiol and overexpression in human breast cancer. *Biol. Reprod.* **2011**, *85*, 261–268. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

65. Sampath, D.; Winneker, R.C.; Zhang, Z. Cyr61, a member of the CCN family, is required for MCF-7 cell proliferation: Regulation by 17beta-estradiol and overexpression in human breast cancer. *Endocrinology* **2001**, *142*, 2540–2548. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

66. MacLaughlan, S.D.; Palomino, W.A.; Mo, B.; Lewis, T.D.; Lininger, R.A.; Lessey, B.A. Endometrial expression of Cyr61: A marker of estrogenic activity in normal and abnormal endometrium. *Obstet. Gynecol.* **2007**, *110*, 146–154. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

67. Borel, F.; Marzocca, F.; Delcros, J.-G.; Rama, N.; Mehlen, P.; Ferrer, J.-L. Molecular characterization of Netrin-1 and APP receptor binding: New leads to block the progression of senile plaques in Alzheimer’s disease. *Biochim. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **2017**, *488*, 466–470. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

68. Jackson, S.W.; Hoshi, T.; Wu, Y.; Sun, X.; Enjoji, Y.; Cszimadia, E.; Sundberg, C.; Robson, S.C. Disordered purinergic signaling inhibits pathological angiogenesis in cd39/Entpd1-null mice. *Am. J. Pathol.* **2007**, *171*, 1395–1404. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

69. Zou, C.; Huang, W.; Ying, G.; Wu, Q. Sequence analysis and expression mapping of the rat clustered proteoglycan gene repertoires. *Neuroscience* **2007**, *144*, 579–603. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

70. Purohit, A.; Sadanandam, A.; Myneni, P.; Singh, R.K. Semaphorin 5A mediated cellular navigation: Connecting nervous system and cancer. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **2014**, *1846*, 485–493. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

71. Shimoda, Y.; Watanabe, K. Contactins: Emerging key roles in the development and function of the nervous system. *Cell Adhes. Migr.* **2009**, *3*, 64–70. [CrossRef]

72. Kidd, T.; Brose, K.; Mitchell, K.J.; Fetter, R.D.; Tessier-Lavigne, M.; Goodman, C.S.; Tear, G. Roundabout controls axon crossing of the CNS midline and defines a novel subfamily of evolutionarily conserved guidance receptors. *Cell* **1998**, *92*, 205–215. [CrossRef]

73. Vite, A.; Li, J.; Radice, G.L. New functions for alpha-catenins in health and disease: From cancer to heart regeneration. *Cell Tissue Res.* **2015**, *360*, 773–783. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

74. Sagane, K.; Ohyya, Y.; Hasegawa, Y.; Tanaka, I. Metalloproteinase-like, disintegrin-like, cysteine-rich proteins MDC2 and MDC3: Novel human cellular disintegrins highly expressed in the brain. *Biochim. J.* **1998**, *334*, 93–98. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

75. Goldsmith, A.P.; Gossage, S.J.; ffrench-Constant, C. ADAM23 is a cell-surface glycoprotein expressed by central nervous system neurons. *J. Neurosci. Res.* **2004**, *78*, 647–658. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
76. Khan, D.R.; Landry, D.A.; Fournier, E.; Vigneault, C.; Blondin, P.; Sirard, M.-A. Transcriptome meta-analysis of three follicular compartments and its correlation with ovarian follicle maturity and oocyte developmental competence in cows. Physiol. Genom. 2016, 48, 633–643. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

77. Yang, J.J.; Ye, Y.; Carroll, A.; Yang, W.; Lee, H.W. Structural biology of the cell adhesion protein CD2: Alternatively folded states and structure-function relation. Curr. Protein Pept. Sci. 2001, 2, 1–17. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

78. Hattori, N.; Ueda, M.; Fujiwara, H.; Fukuoka, M.; Maeda, M.; Mori, T. Human luteal cells express leukocyte functional antigen (LFA)-3. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 1995, 80, 78–84. [PubMed]

79. Soto-Pantoja, D.R.; Kaur, S.; Roberts, D.D. CD47 signaling pathways controlling cellular differentiation and responses to stress. Crit. Rev. Biochem. Mol. Biol. 2015, 50, 212–230. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

80. Gao, A.G.; Lindberg, F.P.; Finn, M.B.; Blystone, S.D.; Brown, E.J.; Frazier, W.A. Integrin-associated protein is a receptor for the C-terminal domain of thrombospondin. J. Biol. Chem. 1996, 271, 21–24. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

81. Bornstein, P. Thrombospondins function as regulators of angiogenesis. J. Cell Commun. Signal. 2009, 3, 189–200. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

82. Berisha, B.; Schams, D.; Rodler, D.; Sinowatz, F.; Pfaffl, M.W. Expression and localization of members of the thrombospondin family during final follicle maturation and corpus luteum formation and function in the bovine ovary. J. Reprod. Dev. 2016, 62, 501–510. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

83. Roca, J.; Martinez, E.; Vazquez, J.M.; Lucas, X. Selection of immature pig oocytes for homologous in vitro penetration assays with the brilliant cresyl blue test. Reprod. Fertil. Dev. 1998, 10, 479–485. [CrossRef] [PubMed]