Genome sequence of the homoacetogenic bacterium *Holophaga foetida* type strain (TMBS4\(^T\))
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Abstract
*Holophaga foetida* Liesack et al. 1994 is a member to the genomically so far poorly characterized family *Holophagaceae* in the class *Holophagae* within the phylum Acidobacteria. *H. foetida* is of interest for its ability to anaerobically degrade aromatic compounds and for its production of volatile sulfur compounds through a unique pathway. The genome of *H. foetida* strain TMBS4\(^T\) is the first sequenced genome of a member of the class *Holophagae*. Here we describe the features of this organism, together with the complete genome sequence (improved high quality draft), and annotation. The 4,127,237 bp long chromosome with its 3,615 protein-coding and 57 RNA genes is a part of the Genomic Encyclopedia of Bacteria and Archaea project.

Introduction
Strain TMBS4\(^T\) (= DSM 6591) is the type strain of the species *Holophaga foetida* [1], which is the type species of the monotypic genus *Holophaga* [1,2]. The genus *Holophaga* is the type genus of the family *Holophagaceae* [3] in the order *Holophagales* [3] within the class *Holophagae* [3]. The genus name was derived from a combination of the Neo-Greek term *holos*, whole, and the Greek term *phagein*, to eat, meaning eating it all [1]; the species epithet was derived from the Latin adjective foetidus, smelling, stinking, referring to the production of ill-smelling methanethiol and dimethylsulfide [1]. Strain TMBS4\(^T\) was originally isolated from a black anoxic freshwater mud sample from a ditch near Konstanz, Germany [4]. It was found to transfer methyl groups from methoxylated aromatic compounds to sulfide, forming...
methanethiol and dimethylsulfide [4]. Dimethylsulfide plays an important role in atmospheric chemistry, and is produced mainly by marine bacteria from dimethylsulfoniopropionate (reviewed in [5]). The production of dimethylsulfide from methoxylated aromatic compounds represents a unique pathway for production of this important compound. Strain TMBS4\textsuperscript{T} anaerobically degrades several aromatic compounds to acetate [1,4]. The only other cultured species within the order Holophagales is Geothrix fermentans, which is also an anaerobe but degrades small organic acids and fatty acids using Fe(III) as electron acceptor [6]. Here we present a summary classification and a set of features for \textit{H. foetida} TMBS4\textsuperscript{T}, together with the description of the genomic sequencing and annotation.

**Classification and features**

A representative genomic 16S rRNA sequence of \textit{H. foetida} TMBS4\textsuperscript{T} was compared using NCBI BLAST [7,8] under default settings (e.g., considering only the high-scoring segment pairs (HSPs) from the best 250 hits) with the most recent release of the Greengenes database [9] and the relative frequencies of taxa and keywords (reduced to their stem [10]) were determined, weighted by BLAST scores. The most frequently occurring genera were \textit{Holophaga} (52.9%), \textit{Geothrix} (33.7%) and \textit{Acidobacterium} (13.4%) (5 hits in total). Regarding the two hits to sequences from members of the species, the average identity within HSPs was 99.7%, whereas the average coverage by HSPs was 100.0%. Among all other species, the one yielding the highest score was \textit{G. fermentans} (NR_036779), which corresponded to an identity of 91.6% and an HSP coverage of 97.8%. (Note that the Greengenes database uses the INSDC (= EMBL/NCBI/DDBJ) annotation, which is not an authoritative source for nomenclature or classification.) The highest-scoring environmental sequence was DQ676369 ('Archaeal sediment and plankton freshwater pond suboxic freshwater-pond clone MVP-105'), which showed an identity of 97.6% and an HSP coverage of 94.9%. The most frequently occurring keywords within the labels of all environmental samples which yielded hits were 'lake' (6.2%), 'aquat' (4.6%), 'gatun, rank' (4.3%), 'soil' (3.4%) and 'microbi' (2.1%) (245 hits in total). The most frequently occurring keywords within the labels of those environmental samples which yielded hits of a higher score than the highest scoring species were 'situ' (3.3%), 'microbi' (3.0%), 'groundwat' (2.8%), 'activ' (2.5%) and 'aquif' (2.5%) (42 hits in total). Keywords with biological meaning fit to the environment from which strain TMBS4\textsuperscript{T} was isolated.

Figure 1 shows the phylogenetic neighborhood of \textit{H. foetida} in a 16S rRNA based tree. The sequences of the two identical 16S rRNA gene copies in the genome differ by two nucleotides from the previously published 16S rRNA sequence (X77215), which contains one ambiguous base call.
Figure 1. Phylogenetic tree highlighting the position of *H. foetida* relative to the type strains of the other species within the phylum 'Acidobacteria'. The tree was inferred from 1,395 aligned characters [11,12] of the 16S rRNA gene sequence under the maximum likelihood (ML) criterion [13]. Rooting was done initially using the midpoint method [14] and then checked for its agreement with the current classification (Table 1). The branches are scaled in terms of the expected number of substitutions per site. Numbers adjacent to the branches are support values from 400 ML bootstrap replicates [15] (left) and from 1,000 maximum-parsimony bootstrap replicates [16] (right) if larger than 60%. Lineages with type strain genome sequencing projects registered in GOLD [17] are labeled with one asterisk, those also listed as 'Complete and Published' with two asterisks [18] (see CP002467 for *Terriglobus saanensis*).

*H. foetida* TMBS4\(^T\) is Gram-negative, and an electron micrograph shows an inner and outer membrane [1]. Cells are rod-shaped, 1-3 \(\mu m\) long and 0.5-0.7 \(\mu m\) wide [1,4] (Figure 2). No motility was observed [1,4], although the genome is rich in genes classified under 'cell motility' (152 genes, see below in Tab 4). Growth was observed between 10°C and 35°C with an optimum at 28-32°C [1,4]. The pH range for growth was 5.5-8.0 with 6.8-7.5 as the optimum [1,4]. The salinity range for growth was 1-15 g/l NaCl [4]. Aromatic compounds utilized by TMBS4\(^T\) include 3,4,5-trimethoxybenzoate, syringate, 5-hydroxyvanillate, phloroglucinol monomethyl ether, sinapate, ferulate, caffeate, gallate, 2,4,6-trihydroxybenzoate, pyrogallol, and phloroglucinol [1,4]. The fastest growth occurred with syringate [4]. When sulfide was present in the medium, methyl groups from aromatic compounds were used to form methanethiol and dimethylsulfide [1,4]. Strain TMBS4\(^T\) could also grow with CO or CO\(_2\) as methyl acceptors, and acetyl-CoA synthase activity was detected [19]. Growth was also observed on pyruvate [1,4].
Chemotaxonomy
No data are available regarding chemotaxonomy of H. foetida TMBS4T.

Table 1. Classification and general features of H. foetida TMBS4T in accordance with the MIGS recommendations [20].

| MIGS ID | Property                | Term                                          | Evidence code |
|---------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------|
|         | Domain                  | Bacteria                                      | TAS [21]      |
|         | Phylum                  | ‘Acidobacteria’                               | TAS [22]      |
|         | Class                   | Holophagae                                    | TAS [3]       |
|         | Current classification  | Order Holophagales                            | TAS [3]       |
|         |                         | Family Holophagaceae                          | TAS [3]       |
|         |                         | Genus Holophaga                               | TAS [1,23]    |
|         |                         | Species Holophaga foetida                     | TAS [1,23]    |
|         |                         | Type-strain TMBS4                             | TAS [1]       |
|         | Gram stain              | negative                                      | TAS [1]       |
|         | Cell shape              | rod-shaped                                     | TAS [1,4]     |
|         | Motility                | non-motile                                     | TAS [1,4]     |
|         | Sporulation             | non-sporulating                                | TAS [4]       |
|         | Temperature range       | mesophile, 10-35°C                            | TAS [1,4]     |
|         | Optimum temperature     | 28-32°C                                       | TAS [1,4]     |
|         | Salinity                | 1-15 g/l NaCl                                 | TAS [4]       |
| MIGS-22 | Oxygen requirement      | obligate anaerobe                              | TAS [1,4]     |
|         | Carbon source           | methoxylated and hydroxylated aromatic compounds, pyruvate | TAS [1]       |
|         | Energy metabolism       | chemoorganotroph                              | TAS [1]       |
| MIGS-6  | Habitat                 | freshwater mud                                | TAS [1]       |
| MIGS-15 | Biotic relationship     | free living                                   | TAS [1]       |
| MIGS-14 | Pathogenicity           | none                                          | NAS           |
|         | Biosafety level         | 1                                             | TAS [24]      |
| MIGS-23.1 | Isolation            | freshwater mud                                | TAS [1]       |
| MIGS-4  | Geographic location     | near Konstanz, Germany                         | TAS [1]       |
| MIGS-5  | Sample collection time  | not reported                                  |               |
MIGS-4.1 Latitude – Longitude 47.663 – 9.175 TAS [1]
MIGS-4.2 Depth not reported
MIGS-4.3 Altitude not reported

Evidence codes - TAS: Traceable Author Statement (i.e., a direct report exists in the literature); NAS: Non-traceable Author Statement (i.e., not directly observed for the living, isolated sample, but based on a generally accepted property for the species, or anecdotal evidence). These evidence codes are from of the Gene Ontology project [25].

### Genome sequencing and annotation

#### Genome project history

This organism was selected for sequencing on the basis of its phylogenetic position [26], and is part of the *Genomic Encyclopedia of Bacteria and Archaea* project [27]. The genome project is deposited in the Genomes On Line Database [17] and the complete genome sequence is deposited in GenBank. Sequencing, finishing and annotation were performed by the DOE Joint Genome Institute (JGI). A summary of the project information is shown in Table 2.

**Table 2.** Genome sequencing project information

| MIGS ID | Property                  | Term                                      |
|---------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| MIGS-31 | Finishing quality         | Improved high quality draft               |
| MIGS-28 | Libraries used            | Four genomic libraries: two 454 pyrosequence standard libraries, one 454 PE library (11.5 kb insert sizes), one Illumina library |
| MIGS-29 | Sequencing platforms      | Illumina GAii, 454 GS FLX Titanium        |
| MIGS-31.2| Sequencing coverage      | 2,172.4 x Illumina; 20.0 x pyrosequence |
| MIGS-30 | Assemblers                | Newbler version 2.3, Velvet version 1.0.13, phrap version SPS - 4.24 |
| MIGS-32 | Gene calling method       | Prodigal                                   |
|         | INSDC ID                  | AGSB00000000                              |
|         | GenBank Date of Release   | January 12, 2012                           |
|         | GOLD ID                   | Gi05348                                    |
|         | NCBI project ID           | 53485                                      |
|         | Database: IMG             | 2509601028                                 |
| MIGS-13 | Source material identifier| DSM 6591                                   |
|         | Project relevance         | Bioremediation and phylogenetic diversity |

#### Growth conditions and DNA isolation

*H. foetida* strain TMBS4<sup>2</sup>, DSM 6591, was grown anaerobically in DSMZ medium 559 (TMBS4 medium) [28] at 30°C. DNA was isolated from 0.5-1 g of cell paste using MasterPure Gram-positive DNA purification kit (Epicentre MGP04100) following the standard protocol as recommended by the manufacturer with modification st/DL for cell lysis as described in Wu *et al.* 2009 [27]. DNA is available through the DNA Bank Network [29].

#### Genome sequencing and assembly

The genome was sequenced using a combination of Illumina and 454 sequencing platforms. All general aspects of library construction and sequencing can be found at the JGI website.
Pyrosequencing reads were assembled using the Newbler assembler (Roche). The initial Newbler assembly consisting of 186 contigs in two scaffolds was converted into a phrap [31] assembly by making fake reads from the consensus, to collect the read pairs in the 454 paired end library. Illumina GAii sequencing data (9,124.2 Mb) was assembled with Velvet [32] and the consensus sequences were shredded into 1.5 kb overlapped fake reads and assembled together with the 454 data. The 454 draft assembly was based on 135.9 Mb of 454 draft data and all of the 454 paired end data. Newbler parameters are -consed -a 50 -l 350 -g -m -ml 20. The Phred/Phrap/Consed software package [31] was used for sequence assembly and quality assessment in the subsequent finishing process. After the shotgun stage, reads were assembled with parallel phrap (High Performance Software, LLC). Possible mis-assemblies were corrected with gapResolution (C. Han, unpublished), Dupfinisher [33], or sequencing cloned bridging PCR fragments with subcloning. Gaps between contigs were closed by editing in Consed, by PCR and by Bubble PCR primer walks (J.-F. Chang, unpublished). A total of 192 additional reactions were necessary to close some gaps and to raise the quality of the assembled sequence. Illumina reads were also used to correct potential base errors and increase consensus quality using a software Polisher developed at JGI [34]. The error rate of the assembled genome sequence is less than 1 in 100,000. Together, the combination of the Illumina and 454 sequencing platforms provided 2,192.4 × coverage of the genome. The final assembly contained 461,984 pyrosequence and 120,055,671 Illumina reads and consists of 39 contigs organised in three scaffolds.

Genome annotation
Genes were identified using Prodigal [35] as part of the Oak Ridge National Laboratory genome annotation pipeline, followed by a round of manual curation using the JGI GenePRIMP pipeline [36]. The predicted CDSs were translated and used to search the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) non-redundant database, UniProt, TIGRFam, Pfam, PRIAM, KEGG, COG, and InterPro databases. These data sources were combined to assert a product description for each predicted protein. Non-coding genes and miscellaneous features were predicted using tRNAscan-SE [37], RNAMMer [38], Rfam [39], TMHMM [40], and signalP [41].

Genome properties
The genome in its current assembly consists of three scaffolds of 3,443,192 bp, 677,300 bp and 6,745 bp length with a 63.0% G+C content (Table 3 and Figure 3). Of the 3,672 genes predicted, 3,615 were protein-coding genes, and 57 RNAs; 76 pseudogenes were also identified. The majority of the protein-coding genes (74.3%) were assigned a putative function while the remaining ones were annotated as hypothetical proteins. The distribution of genes into COGs functional categories is presented in Table 4.

Table 3. Genome Statistics

| Attribute               | Value  | % of Total |
|-------------------------|--------|------------|
| Genome size (bp)        | 4,127,237 | 100.00%    |
| DNA coding region (bp)  | 3,689,184 | 89.39%     |
| DNA G+C content (bp)    | 2,595,845 | 62.95%     |
| Number of scaffolds     | 3      |            |
| Total genes             | 3,672  | 100.00%    |
| RNA genes               | 57     | 1.55%      |
| rRNA operons            | 2      |            |
| tRNA genes                        |        |   |
|----------------------------------|--------|---|
| Protein-coding genes             | 3,615  | 98.45% |
| Pseudo genes                     | 76     | 2.07%  |
| Genes with function prediction (proteins) | 2,728  | 74.29% |
| Genes in paralog clusters        | 1,916  | 52.18% |
| Genes assigned to COGs           | 2,788  | 75.93% |
| Genes assigned Pfam domains      | 2,729  | 74.32% |
| Genes with signal peptides       | 746    | 20.32% |
| Genes with transmembrane helices | 703    | 19.14% |
| CRISPR repeats                   | 1      |     |

**Figure 3.** Graphical map of the largest scaffold. From bottom to the top: Genes on forward strand (color by COG categories), Genes on reverse strand (color by COG categories), RNA genes (tRNAs green, rRNAs red, other RNAs black), GC content, GC skew.

**Table 4.** Number of genes associated with the general COG functional categories

| Code | value | Percentage | Description                                                                 |
|------|-------|------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| J    | 159   | 5.1%       | Translation, ribosomal structure and biogenesis                             |
| A    | 2     | 0.1%       | RNA processing and modification                                              |
| K    | 254   | 8.1%       | Transcription                                                                |
| L    | 191   | 6.1%       | Replication, recombination and repair                                        |
| B    | 0     | 0.0%       | Chromatin structure and dynamics                                             |
| D    | 35    | 1.1%       | Cell cycle control, cell division, chromosome partitioning                   |
| Y    | 0     | 0.0%       | Nuclear structure                                                            |
| V    | 54    | 1.7%       | Defense mechanisms                                                           |
| T    | 267   | 8.5%       | Signal transduction mechanisms                                               |
| M    | 194   | 6.2%       | Cell wall/membrane biogenesis                                                |
| N    | 152   | 4.8%       | Cell motility                                                                |
| Z    | 1     | 0.0%       | Cytoskeleton                                                                 |
| W    | 0     | 0.0%       | Extracellular structures                                                     |
| U    | 112   | 3.6%       | Intracellular trafficking and secretion, and vesicular transport              |
| O    | 94    | 3.0%       | Posttranslational modification, protein turnover, chaperones                  |
| C    | 245   | 7.8%       | Energy production and conversion                                             |
| G    | 120   | 3.8%       | Carbohydrate transport and metabolism                                         |
Insights into the genome sequence

*H. foetida* is known to utilize aromatic compounds through the phloroglucinol pathway, producing three molecules of acetate from the benzene ring. It is also capable of growing on methoxylated aromatic compounds, transferring methyl groups to sulfide or CO$_2$ to produce dimethylsulfide or acetyl-CoA. Genes have not been identified for the enzymes of the phloroglucinol pathway with one exception: the transhydroxylase that converts pyrogallol to phloroglucinol, which has been identified in *Pelobacter acidigallici* [42]. This enzyme has two subunits, and genes with high similarity to these subunits are found in *H. foetida*. HolfoDRAFT_0037 and HolfoDRAFT_0041 have 74% and 88% similarity to the large subunit, while HolfoDRAFT_0036, HolfoDRAFT_0040, and HolfoDRAFT_0058 have 63%, 73%, and 65% similarity to the small subunit. *H. foetida* likely gains energy from the conversion of acetyl-CoA produced from aromatic compounds, pyruvate, and methyl groups from methoxylated aromatic compounds to acetate. Within the genome there are two phosphotransacetylase genes (HolfoDRAFT_0402, HolfoDRAFT_1130) and two acetate kinase genes (HolfoDRAFT_1418, HolfoDRAFT_3547). Several candidates for pyruvate:ferredoxin oxidoreductase were found in the genome. This enzyme would produce acetyl-CoA that can be used to produce ATP and acetate. *H. foetida* can combine methyl groups with CO or CO$_2$ to form acetyl-CoA, and acetyl-CoA synthase activity was detected [19]. Within the genome there are genes for a *Moorella* type CO dehydrogenase/acetyl-CoA synthase (HolfoDRAFT_1152 and HolfoDRAFT_1153) and the two subunits of the corrinoid Fe-S protein (HolfoDRAFT_1154 and HolfoDRAFT_1157).

*H. foetida* has potential symporters and ABC transporters for aromatic compounds. Four genes (HolfoDRAFT_0048, HolfoDRAFT_0224, HolfoDRAFT_0791, HolfoDRAFT_0858) belonging to the major facilitator superfamily have strong similarity to aromatic compound transporters of family 2.A.1.15. *H. foetida* has few ABC transporters for organic compounds, but it has 3 full transporters and 8 additional substrate binding proteins from family 4. Some members of this family are amino acid transporters, but one member has been found to transport protocatechuate [43].

Systems for demethylation of methoxyaromatic compounds have been identified in *Acetobacterium dehalogenans* [44] and *Moorella thermoacetica* [45]. Methyl groups are transferred first to a corrinoid protein, then to tetrahydrofolate, by two methyltransferases. The genes for two sets of enzymes from *A. dehalogenans* have been sequenced [46]. The corrinoid proteins belong to COG5012, the first methyltransferases belong to COG0407, and the second methyltransferases belong to COG1410. *H. foetida* likely uses the same type of process. It has six proteins belonging to COG5012 and 29 proteins belonging to COG0407. The only genome with more members of COG0407 is *Mahella australiensis* with 33. Some of the COG0407 proteins are found close to corrinoid proteins in the genome sequence. *H.
*foetida* has two members of COG1410. One is adjacent to the CO dehydrogenase/acetyl-CoA synthase genes and has 61% identity to the *acsE* gene of *M. thermoacetica*. It probably transfers methyl groups from tetrahydrofolate to the corrinoid iron-sulfur protein of CO dehydrogenase/acetyl-CoA synthase. The other COG1410 gene is adjacent to a corrinoid protein and may transfer methyl groups from corrinoid proteins to tetrahydrofolate in the methoxyaromatic demethylation pathway.
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