Spectroscopic identification of a redshift 1.55 supernova host galaxy from the Subaru Deep Field Supernova Survey*(Research Note)
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ABSTRACT

Context. The Subaru Deep Field (SDF) Supernova Survey discovered ten Type Ia supernovae (SNe Ia) in the redshift range 1.5 < z < 2.0, determined solely from photometric redshifts of the host galaxies. However, photometric redshifts might be biased, and the SN sample could be contaminated by active galactic nuclei (AGNs).

Aims. We aim to obtain the first robust redshift measurement and classification of a z > 1.5 SDF SN Ia host galaxy candidate.

Methods. We use the X-shooter (U-to-K-band) spectrograph on the Very Large Telescope to allow the detection of different emission lines in a wide spectral range.

Results. We measure a spectroscopic redshift of 1.54563 ± 0.00027 of hSDF0705.25, consistent with its photometric redshift of 1.552 ± 0.018. From the strong emission-line spectrum we rule out AGN activity, thereby confirming the optical transient as a SN. The host galaxy follows the fundamental metallicity relation showing that the properties of this high-redshift SN Ia host galaxy is similar to other field galaxies.

Conclusions. Spectroscopic confirmation of additional SDF SN hosts would be required to confirm the cosmic SN rate evolution measured in the SDF.
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1. Introduction

The nature of the progenitor stellar systems of Type Ia supernovae (SNe Ia) remains a mystery (see Howell 2011; Maoz & Mannucci 2012; Maoz et al. 2013, for reviews). While both circumstantial and direct lines of evidence point to a carbon-oxygen white dwarf (WD; Nugent et al. 2011; Bloom et al. 2012) as the progenitor, the otherwise stable WD must be ignited (see Leibundgut 2000, for a review). The current consensus is that the carbon in the core of the WD is ignited as a result of the buildup of pressure, or temperature, resulting from mass accretion from a companion star in a binary system. The two leading scenarios for the nature of the progenitor binary system are the single degenerate scenario (SD; Whelan & Iben 1973; Nomoto 1982), which contends that the WD accretes mass from a main-sequence, helium, or giant star; and the double degenerate scenario (DD; Iben & Tutukov 1984; Webbink 1984), in which the WD merges with a second CO WD through loss of angular momentum and energy to gravitational waves.

Volumetric SN rates provide strong constraints on SN progenitor models. An important discriminator of explosion scenarios is the delay time distribution (DTD), which quantifies the distribution of times from progenitor formation to explosion. A SN survey in the Subaru Deep Field (SDF) was conducted with the Subaru 8.2 m Telescope. Graur et al. (2011, G11) discovered 150 candidate SNe, of which 28 (10) were classified as 1 < z < 1.5 (z > 1.5) SNe Ia. Each SN candidate in the SDF was observed in one of four independent epochs in the R, i', and z' bands. Consequently, variable active galactic nuclei (AGNs) can be mistaken for SNe. Graur et al. (2011, G11) identified interloping AGNs using a catalog of known variable AGNs in the SDF (provided by T. Morokuma) and by culling SN candidates that appeared in more than one of the four survey epochs (see their Sect. 3.1 for a detailed description). However, without the use of spectroscopy, the possibility that some of the z > 1.5 SDF SN Ia are in fact AGNs could not be ruled out.

Combining the measured SN rates with SN rates at other redshifts, and comparing to different realizations of the cosmic star-formation history, G11 set constraints on the SN Ia DTD, which in turn impacts on the progenitor question. However, the classification of the SNe discovered in the SDF is purely photometric and depends on the redshift of the host galaxy. The redshifts of most of the SDF SN host galaxies, including those at z > 1.5, are photometric redshifts (photo-z’s). These photo-z’s are based on photometry in 11 bands, from the far-ultraviolet (UV) to the near-infrared (IR), trained on hundreds of galaxies in the field with spectroscopy. Yet, because of the inherent difficulty in obtaining spectroscopic redshifts for early-type galaxies because of the lack of strong emission lines, training the photo-z method
used by G11 at high redshift is difficult. There could be systematic biases in the redshift estimates in that range, biases that are not accounted for in the formal uncertainty. Because of the small number of SN candidates, even a few catastrophic phot-z failures or contamination by unidentified AGNs could strongly distort the inferred DTD. In order to determine whether the G11 $z > 1.5$ rate suffers from such systematic biases, the host galaxies of the SN candidates must be observed spectroscopically.

The i' = 24 mag host galaxy of SNSDF0705.25, denoted hSDF0705.25, was typed as a Sbc galaxy with a sharp redshift probability distribution function (z-PDF) that peaked at $zp = 1.552 \pm 0.018$. In Fig. 1 we show the photometry of hSDF0705.25, along with the best-fitting galaxy spectral-energy distribution (SED) and resultant z-PDF.

In this research note we present a VLT/X-shooter emission-line spectrum, derive the spectroscopic redshift and classify AGN vs. star-formation activity of the SN host galaxy hSDF0705.25. We also place constraints on the metallicity and star-formation rate of the host galaxy. From broad-band photometry we determine the stellar mass to place the host on the fundamental metallicity relation (FMR; Mannucci et al. 2010, 2011). Throughout this research note we assume a flat LCDM cosmology with $H_0 = 70$ km s$^{-1}$ and $\Omega_m = 0.3$.

2. Data

The spectrum of hSDF0705.25 was obtained on 2012 April 23 with the X-shooter spectrograph (D’Odorico et al. 2006; Vernet et al. 2011) at the Very Large Telescope (VLT) at Cerro Paranal, Chile. We used an ABBA on-source nodding template with an exposure time of 1.3 h (4 × 1200 s) and a 0''9 slit. The spectrum was obtained under clear conditions. For details on high-redshift emission-line spectroscopy with X-shooter, see Frederiksen et al. (2012).

The X-shooter spectra were reduced using the official X-shooter pipeline\footnote{1} v1.3.7. The extraction of the object spectrum was conducted with our own IDL script, and flux calibration was done using the flux standard star, LTT 3218\footnote{3}.

The broad-band photometry was taken from G11.

3. Analysis

The flux-calibrated spectrum is corrected for Galactic extinction and slit loss. The Galactic extinction along the line of sight to hSDF0705.25 is $A_V = 0.042$ mag\footnote{4} (Schlafly & Finkbeiner 2011) and we assume the Galactic extinction law of Fitzpatrick (1999). The slit loss correction assumes a $\lambda^{-0.2}$ variation in seeing\footnote{5}.

In the available atmospheric transmission windows, we detect a strong emission line at 1671.88 nm which we identify as Hα at $z = 1.54563 \pm 0.00027$. This identification is supported by the detection of Hβ, [N II] 6583, and [S II] 6716,6731 at the same redshift. We do not detect [O III] 4959,5007 in the spectrum as it is obscured by a broad complex of sky lines. We also detect [O I] 3726,3729 in the VIS arm of X-shooter, but the blue part of the doublet is obscured by a blue sky. Without the detection of [O III] 5007 we cannot derive a metallicity from the R23-ratio. We therefore only consider the emission lines present in the NIR arm as presented in Fig. 2.

The detected emission lines in the spectrum are fitted with a set of Gaussian line profiles with a common FWHM (in velocity units). The centroid and normalization of each line is left free to vary. The flux is calculated from the fit. The Hβ line is severely affected by noise and therefore not fitted. The Hα line seems to have a larger line width then other lines, which might be an artifact due to the masked skylines on either side of the line. The masked skylines allow for a wider fit and the central part of the line does not constrain the fit to a more narrow profile.

\footnote{1}{A 1''0 slit in the UVB arm.}
\footnote{2}{See, http://www.eso.org/sci/software/pipelines/}
\footnote{3}{See http://www.eso.org/sci/observing/tools/standards/spectra/ltt3218.html}
\footnote{4}{Quoted from the NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED) website: http://ned.ipac.caltech.edu/}
\footnote{5}{The standard star itself was observed with a 5'' slit, making slit losses negligible.}
For Hβ, we plot in Fig. 2 a scaled-down version of the Hα line assuming case-B recombination, central wavelength and FWHM (in velocity units) as for Hα, and intrinsic reddening in the host of \( E(B - V) \) of zero or 0.5 mag (Calzetti 2001) to illustrate the variation allowed by the spectrum.

The emission line ratios N2 = log([N ii] 6583/Hα) and O3 = log([O iii] 5007/Hβ) are among the main diagnostics for discriminating between star formation and AGN activity by way of the Baldwin, Phillips, & Terlevich (1981, BPT) diagram. The N2 diagnostic places the host in the star forming region of the BPT diagram. The lack of a broad-line component in the emission lines (see Table 1) and the absence of strong emission from C IV 1550 and Mg II 2799 also supports the conclusion that the line-flux is dominated by star formation and not AGN activity.

From the N2 line ratio we derive a metallicity of 8.53 ± 0.08 (Pettini & Pagel 2004, PP04). The intrinsic scatter of this calibration is ∼0.2 dex). In comparison, the calibration of Maiolino et al. (2008, M08) gives a metallicity of 8.79 ± 0.12.

We convert the rest-frame Hα luminosity into a star-formation rate (SFR) using the calibration of Kennicutt (1998), rescaled to a Chabrier (2003) initial mass function (IMF). The observed SFR, \( 4.0 \pm 0.7 \, M_\odot \, yr^{-1} \), represents a lower limit to the intrinsic SFR of the host galaxy, due to the unconstrained extinction in the host galaxy.

The photo-z fitter ZEBRA (Feldmann et al. 2006) is not suited for deriving stellar parameters like the mass of the galaxy. We therefore fit the Subaru+UKIRT (\( B, V, R, i', z', J \) and \( K \)) photometric metrics (corrected for foreground extinction in the same way as the spectrum) using the \textsc{fast} SED fitter (Kriek et al. 2009). We derive the intrinsic extinction, stellar mass, and stellar age of the host galaxy (see Table 2). The extinction in the host is not very well constrained (0 < \( A_V < 1.1 \)) so we assume \( A_V = 0 \).

### Table 1. Spectroscopic summary.

| Line      | Wavelength (nm) | Flux (10^{-19} \, erg \, s^{-1} \, cm^{-2}) |
|-----------|-----------------|---------------------------------------------|
| Hα        | 1671.91 ± 0.05  | 37.8 ± 4.3                                  |
| [N ii] 6583 | 1677.46 ± 0.12  | 8.3 ± 2.2                                   |
| [S ii] 6716 | 1711.18 ± 0.13  | 12.9 ± 2.9                                  |
| [S ii] 6731 | 1714.64 ± 0.09  | 8.5 ± 1.7                                   |
| [O iii] 5007 | …               | <23.2 ± 10.4                                |
| [O iii] 4959 | …               | <9.1 ± 10.4                                 |

**Notes.**  
(1) This is the 3σ upper limit on the flux, measured from the variance in the spectrum at the location of the lines.

### Table 2. Derived properties of the SN host galaxy hSDF0705.25.

| Property                 | Value                      |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|
| Redshift                 | \( z = 1.54768 \pm 0.00008 \) |
| Star-formation rate\( ^{a,b} \) | S FR = \( 3.2 \pm 0.5 \, M_\odot \, yr^{-1} \) |
| Metallicity (PP04)       | 12 + log(O/H) = 8.5 ± 0.2 |
| Metallicity (M08)        | 12 + log(O/H) = 8.8 ± 0.2 |
| Stellar mass\( ^c \)     | log\( (M/M_\odot) \) = 9.46^{+0.23}_{-0.08} |
| Specific SFR\( ^d \)     | log\( (sSFR)/(yr^{-1}) \) = 8.96^{+0.10}_{-0.24} |
| Host extinction\( ^e \)  | \( A_{V,host} = 0.4^{+0.2}_{-0.1} \) mag |
| Stellar age\( ^e \)      | log\( (t/yr) \) = 7.9^{+0.3}_{-0.6} |

**Notes.**  
(1) Assuming a Chabrier (2003) IMF. (2) S FR is a lower limit as extinction in the host galaxy would make the intrinsic SFR higher by 34% (\( A_V = 0.4 \)). (3) Using an exponentially declining star-formation history, redshift fixed to the spectroscopic redshift, and metallicity fixed to \( Z = 0.008 \) (i.e., 12 + log(O/H) ~ 8.4). (4) Assuming \( A_V = 0.4 \) corresponds to a shift of \( \Delta \log(sSFR) = +0.127 \).
Fig. 3. The Fundamental Metallicity Relation (FMR). The parameter $\mu_{0.32} = \log(M_*) - 0.32 \log(SFR)$ is the projection in the stellar mass, SFR plane. The two circles (red) are the two high-redshift SN Ia host galaxies with measured gas-phase metallicity, hSDF0705.25 and SN Primo (Frederiksen et al. 2012). The crosses are the binned data from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (York et al. 2000, SDSS) used in Mannucci et al. (Frederiksen et al. 2012). The diamonds (blue) are GRB host galaxies used to calibrate Digital Sky Survey (York et al. 2000, SDSS) used in Mannucci et al. (Frederiksen et al. 2012). The squares are lensed galaxies from Christensen et al. (2012, yellow, z > 1) and Richard et al. (2011, green, z > 1.5). The dark (blue) line is the parameterization of Mannucci et al. (2011) (solid in the range of validity, extrapolation in dashed blue, high metallicity as constant, low metallicity as straight line). The two SN Ia host galaxies (z = 1.5) are fully consistent with the FMR of star-forming field galaxies.

in our further analysis. We calculate the specific SFR (sSFR, see Table 2) using the H$\alpha$ SFR and the stellar mass from FAST.

4. Discussion

We find a highly star-forming (i.e., high sSFR), low-metallicity SN host galaxy (see Frederiksen et al. 2012, for the discussion of another high SF low metallicity SN host at similar redshift). The derived SFR may be affected by extinction in the host galaxy, but because of the low signal-to-noise ratio of the H$\beta$ line we are not able to place any strong constraints on it. Likewise, the extinction derived from SED fitting does not provide a strong constraint. If we use the best fit SED value of $A_V = 0.4$ mag the SFR would increase by 34%.

The stellar mass and SFR of hSDF0705.25 places it midway between the $z = 1$ and $z = 2$ main-sequence of star-forming galaxies defined in Daddi et al. (2007) and Elbaz et al. (2007). As hSDF0705.25 falls on the main sequence at its redshift, it can be classified as an average star-forming galaxy at its redshift. Alternatively, the sSFR can be used to define whether a galaxy is passive, star forming or a starburst galaxy. Using the definition of Sullivan et al. (2006, see their Fig. 6), the high sSFR of hSDF0705.25 makes it a starburst galaxy. Such galaxies are representative of the “prompt” population of the DTD of SNe Ia (Mannucci et al. 2005; Scannapieco & Bildsten 2005; Maoz & Mannucci 2012).

The combination of SFR, stellar mass, and metallicity of hSDF0705.25 is fully consistent with the FMR defined in Mannucci et al. (2010, 2011). In Fig. 3, we plot the metallicity against the projection parameter $\mu_{0.32} = \log(M_*) - 0.32 \log(SFR)$ defined in Mannucci et al. (2010). The SFR is not corrected for host extinction. For $A_V = 0.4$ mag, the correction would amount to a difference of 0.04 dex in $\mu_{0.32}$. The metallicity in Fig. 3 is derived from the [NII]/H$\alpha$ ratio and using the M08-calibration. The hSDF0705.25 and the host of SN Primo at $z = 1.55$ (Frederiksen et al. 2012) are the two SNe Ia above $z > 1$ for which a measurement of gas-phase metallicity has been obtained. For comparison we also plot the sample of gamma-ray burst (GRB) host galaxies at 0.01 < z < 1 from Mannucci et al. (2011) and a sample of lensed galaxies presented in Richard et al. (2011, 1.5 < z < 3.5) and Christensen et al. (2012, 1.5 < z < 3.5). The fact that high-redshift SN Ia host galaxies follow the FMR relation suggests that they follow the same evolutionary path as regular field galaxies.

The connection between the Hubble residuals of SNe Ia and their hosts has been well documented recently (Lampeitl et al. 2010; Sullivan et al. 2010, 2011; Childress et al. 2013; Kim et al. 2014). The correlation between the Hubble residuals of SNe Ia and the stellar masses of their hosts is proposed to be proxy for a more fundamental connection between metallicities of the SNe and the metallicities of their hosts (Kasen et al. 2009; Timmes et al. 2003). A study by Hayden et al. (2013) showed that the scatter in the Hubble residual decreased when using the $\mu_{0.32}$ parameter of the FMR (i.e., metallicity) to correct for the host correlation. This supports the argument that metallicity is the underlying driver of the correlation between the SN host stellar mass and the Hubble residual.

5. Conclusions

Our derived spectroscopic redshift, $z = 1.54563 \pm 0.00027$, is in full agreement with the G11 photometric redshift of $z = 1.552 \pm 0.018$ (Fig. 1). We also exclude AGN activity as the source of the emission-line flux. From the flux of the $H\alpha$ line we derive an observed SFR and from the emission line ratios we constrain the host-galaxy metallicity.

The SN Ia rates at high redshift are dominated by small-number statistics. The three $z > 1.4$ SNe Ia found with the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) in the HST/GOODS survey (Dahlen et al. 2008) belong to host galaxies with measured spectroscopic redshifts and no AGN activity. On the other hand, the larger Subaru/SDF sample includes ten SNe Ia at $z > 1.5$, but their classification as SNe Ia relies on photometric redshifts which, at high redshifts, might be systematically offset. Two ongoing HST Multi-Cycle Treasury programs, the Cluster Lensing and Supernova survey with Hubble (Postman et al. 2012) and the Cosmic Assembly Near-IR Deep Extragalactic Legacy Survey (Grogin et al. 2011; Koekemoer et al. 2011) and the upcoming Frontier Fields will find new SNe out to $z = 2.5$ (Rodney et al. 2012; Jones et al. 2013; Graur et al. 2014), but their samples will still be small and will suffer from the same classification challenges faced by the GOODS and SDF surveys. For example, in the HST/CLASH survey, 2 of 4 $z > 1.2$ SN Ia with light curves in multiple filters have spectroscopic redshifts (Graur et al. 2014). It is thus important to test the robustness of the SDF SN Ia rate measurements by spectroscopically measuring the redshifts of the SN host galaxies, and ascertaining whether the SN sample was contaminated by unclassified AGNs. The confirmation of the photometric redshift of hSDF0705.25, and its classification as a star-forming, non-AGN-hosting galaxy, represents the first step in this endeavour. hSDF0705.25 is the brightest of the high-redshift SDF SN Ia host galaxies, so to investigate other high-redshift SDF SNe hosts will require longer exposure times on ground based near-IR spectrographs (like X-shooter). The study of early-type galaxies at these redshifts is currently beyond the capabilities of single object, ground based IR spectrographs, and...
will require observations from the future generation of ground based and space based instruments.
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