Development and evaluation of a formula for predicting introduction of medication self-management in stroke patients in the Kaifukuki rehabilitation ward
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Abstract

Background: Medication self-management in stroke patients is important to prevent further progression of disease and incidence of side effects. The purpose of this study was to create a formula for predicting medication self-management introduction in stroke patients using functional independence measure items and patient data, including medication-related information.

Methods: This was a retrospective analysis of 104 patients (cerebral infarction, cerebral hemorrhage, subarachnoid hemorrhage) discharged from the Kaifukuki rehabilitation ward at Showa University Fujigaoka Rehabilitation Hospital from January to December 2012. Multivariate analysis was performed to develop a formula for predicting achievement of medication self-management.

Results: Of the 104 patients, 39 (37.5%) achieved medication self-management. In the logistic regression analysis, number of drugs, age, walk/wheelchair mobility FIM, and memory FIM were extracted as significant factors independently contributing to achievement of medication self-management (p < 0.05). The prediction formula was [4.404 – 0.229 × number of drugs at admission + 0.470 × walk/wheelchair mobility FIM at admission + 0.416 × memory FIM at admission – 0.112 × age].

Conclusions: In the future, this formula may be used as an index to predict success of medication self-management in stroke patients.
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Background

Stroke patients admitted to a Kaifukuki rehabilitation ward often develop cognitive impairment. Therefore, there is a pressing need to improve patients’ abilities to self-manage their social life and activities. Previous reports have shown that establishing goals during the early phase of hospitalization hastens effective rehabilitation [1–3].

However, the medication self-management has not been appropriately evaluated for stroke patients, although it is important to prevent further disease progression and incidence of side effects.

Pharmacists who work in a Kaifukuki rehabilitation ward must support introduction of safe medication self-management and prevent medication errors in stroke patients. In addition, the mission of hospital pharmacists is to help patients achieve optimal medication self-management during hospitalization. However, decisions regarding whether to introduce medication self-management for stroke patients should be based on optimal objective indicators.
There are many studies reporting timing of discharge for stroke patients using admission data [4–15]. These reports use the functional independence measure (FIM), which objectively quantifies activities of daily living and is widely used in the rehabilitation ward as an evaluation criterion. Moreover, many studies used FIM items at admission to predict achievement of medication self-management in stroke patients [16–18]. However, there is currently no objective index for determining the likelihood of achieving medication self-management, including those measuring medication-taking behavior, such as number of drugs or number of doses per day.

The purpose of this study was to create a formula to predict if medication self-management would be effective for stroke patients using FIM items and patient data, including medication-related information.

**Methods**

**Patients**

The subjects included 104 patients (cerebral infarction, cerebral hemorrhage, subarachnoid hemorrhage) discharged from the Kaifukuki rehabilitation ward in Showa University Fujigaoka Rehabilitation Hospital from January to December 2012. A retrospective cohort study was conducted using data from the medical charts of the subjects. Subjects were excluded if they had a medication error during hospitalization after achievement of medication self-management. This study was approved by the ethics committee of Showa University Fujigaoka Hospital (approval no. 2012105).

**Clinical parameters**

We collected data from the medical charts, including age, sex, post-onset rehabilitation hospital day, type of disease (cerebral infarction, cerebral hemorrhage, subarachnoid hemorrhage), number of drugs, number of doses per day, number of doses to be taken once only, one-dose packages, and FIM item score as scored by nurses at the inpatient ward.

**Standards to introduce medication self-management**

Patients need to achieve all eight items shown in Table 1, and medical staff (physicians, pharmacists, nurses and occupational therapists) discuss and judge if safe medication self-management is applicable.

**Endpoint**

The endpoint of this survey was achievement of medication self-management at discharge.

**Table 1** Eight items that are necessary to introduce drug action

| Item                                                                 | YES/NO |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| Do you know purpose of drugs?                                       | YES/NO |
| Can you count the number of drugs?                                  | YES/NO |
| Do you know when to take drugs?                                     | YES/NO |
| Can you remember when to took drugs?                                | YES/NO |
| Can you bring drugs to the mouth?                                   | YES/NO |
| Can you swallow drugs?                                               | YES/NO |
| Could you management daily medication by yourself?                  | YES/NO |
| Could you continue taking drugs?                                    | YES/NO |

**Univariate analysis**

We compared each variable between two groups: those achieving self-management and those who did not.

**Comparison of changes in the number of drugs and number of doses at admission, introduction of medication self-management, and discharge**

To exclude the influence of changes in medicine in the hospital, we compared the number of drugs and number of doses between admission and discharge. In addition, we compared the number of drugs and number of doses per day between admission and at the start of medication self-management.

**Multivariate analysis and creation of a prediction formula**

Parameters that were significantly different in the univariate analysis were entered in the multivariate analysis. Significant independent variables contributing to medication self-management were extracted using stepwise selection methods. In addition, we composed a formula to predict medication self-management by using extracted items along with the regression coefficient. The prediction formula was $y = aX_1 + bX_2 + cX_3$, where $y$ is the objective variable; $X_1$, $X_2$, and $X_3$ are the explanatory variables; and $a$, $b$, and $c$ are regression coefficients. We used backward stepwise multiple regression analysis to select the variables.

**Evaluation of the validity of the prediction formula**

We evaluated the validity of the formula by using the degrees of freedom adjusted $R^2$ statistic, lack of fit (LOF), and area under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve, which provides an index indicating the association of the sensitivity and the specificity.

**Statistical analysis**

To examine between-group differences, the $t$-test was used for continuous variables, Fisher’s exact test was used for categorical variables, and the Wilcoxon rank sum test was used for the FIM item score. A value of
Results
Patients characteristics
Table 2 shows the characteristics of all patients. The average age was 70.0 ± 12.3 years; 65 (62.5%) were men, and 39 (37.5%) were women. Of the 104 patients, 39 (37.5%) achieved medication self-management, and 65 (62.5%) patients did not.

Univariate analysis
Table 3 lists the results of the univariate analysis. Age, post-onset rehabilitation hospital day, number of drugs, and number of doses per day were statistically different between the self-management group and the non-self-management group (p < 0.05). All FIM items score were significantly different between the two groups (Table 3, p < 0.05).

Comparison of changes in the number of drugs and number of doses from admission to discharge
There was no significant difference between admission and discharge in the number of drugs and number of doses. In the medication self-management group, there was no significant difference between admission and the introduction of medication self-management (Table 4).

Multivariate analysis and formation of a prediction formula
In the logistic regression analysis, number of drugs, age, walk/wheelchair mobility FIM, and memory FIM were extracted as significant factors independently contributing to achievement of medication self-management in stroke patients (p < 0.05). Table 5 lists odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals. We created the prediction formula by extracting four factors and using the regression coefficient.

The formula was

\[
\begin{align*}
[4.404 &- 0.229 \times \text{number of drugs} + 0.470 \times \text{walk/wheelchair mobility FIM} + 0.416 \times \text{memory FIM} - 0.112 \times \text{age}].
\end{align*}
\]

Evaluation of the validity of prediction formula
In testing the validity of the prediction formula, the R² value was 0.49, and the P-value of LOF was 0.987. The area under the ROC curve was 0.926. Thus, our prediction model showed high accuracy.

Discussion
We created a formula to predict the likelihood of achievement of medication self-management for stroke patients using patient data, including items from the FIM item as well as drug-related information. It is often emphasized that pharmacists working in the Kaifukuki rehabilitation ward have an important role to support stroke patients to achieve medication self-management during hospitalization. In the current study, we confirmed the internal validity of a new prediction formula that may function as an appropriate index to predict whether patients will achieve medication self-management at discharge. Pharmacists will be able to use this formula to help provide appropriate instruction to stroke patients.

Moreover, to exclude the influence of changes in drugs occurring during hospitalization, we compared changes in the number of drugs and in the number of doses from admission to discharge. However, there were no differences. Previously, Sato et al. reported that the number of drugs during hospitalization decreased by 0.47 per patient with pharmacist intervention; thus, it may be difficult to further decrease the number of drugs during hospitalization in the Kaifukuki rehabilitation ward [19]. Regardless, based on the current data, it is possible to predict medication self-management using drug-related information data at admission.

This study suggests that the fewer drugs at admission, the more likely a patient is to achieve...
medication self-management. Some studies report the relation between the number of drugs and medication behavior [20, 21]. For example, Horne et al. reported that adherence is more influenced by the values that the patient places on their medicine than their characteristics [20]. Kamishima et al. reported that medication adherence of stroke patients decreased as the number of drugs increased [21] and that there are three characteristic in patients with poor adherence: 1) those who feel the number of medicines is too

| Characteristics of the patients | SM (n = 39) | Non-SM (n = 65) | P value |
|---------------------------------|------------|----------------|---------|
| Age (years)                     | 62.2 ± 11.6| 74.7 ± 10.1    | <0.001  |
| Sex Male                        | 26         | 39             | 0.536   |
| Female                          | 13         | 26             |         |
| Post-onset rehabilitation hospital day (days) | 23.5 ± 9.4 | 29.7 ± 20.5 | 0.040   |
| Medication-related item         |            |                |         |
| number of drug                  | 4.4 ± 2.3  | 6.5 ± 3.3      | <0.001  |
| number of doses per day         | 2.3 ± 1.4  | 3.0 ± 1.2      | 0.008   |
| number of dose of medicine to be taken only once | 0.5 ± 1.1 | 0.7 ± 0.8 | 0.759 |
| one-dose packages/not one-dose packages | 33/6 | 61/4 | 0.170 |
| FIM item                        |            |                |         |
| Eating                          | 6.2 ± 1.3  | 4.8 ± 2.0      | <0.001  |
| Grooming                        | 5.7 ± 1.3  | 3.8 ± 2.0      | <0.001  |
| Bathing                         | 4.7 ± 1.7  | 2.9 ± 1.9      | <0.001  |
| Dressing upper body             | 4.9 ± 1.6  | 3.2 ± 1.9      | <0.001  |
| Dressing under body             | 4.8 ± 1.7  | 2.9 ± 1.9      | <0.001  |
| Toileting                       | 5.6 ± 1.7  | 3.3 ± 2.3      | <0.001  |
| Bladder                         | 6.0 ± 1.8  | 4.0 ± 2.6      | <0.001  |
| Bowel                           | 5.7 ± 2.1  | 4.0 ± 2.5      | <0.001  |
| Bed chair transfer              | 5.7 ± 1.4  | 3.7 ± 1.7      | <0.001  |
| Toilet transfer                 | 5.6 ± 1.5  | 3.5 ± 1.8      | <0.001  |
| Tub shower transfer             | 4.7 ± 1.5  | 3.1 ± 1.7      | <0.001  |
| Walk/wheelchair mobility        | 5.3 ± 1.9  | 2.7 ± 1.9      | <0.001  |
| Stairs                          | 2.3 ± 2.3  | 1.2 ± 0.9      | 0.002   |
| Comprehension                   | 6.1 ± 1.2  | 4.6 ± 2.0      | <0.001  |
| Expression                      | 6.0 ± 1.5  | 4.8 ± 2.1      | 0.003   |
| Social interaction              | 6.7 ± 1.0  | 5.2 ± 2.2      | <0.001  |
| Problem solving                 | 5.7 ± 1.4  | 3.5 ± 2.1      | <0.001  |
| Memory                          | 5.9 ± 1.4  | 3.8 ± 2.0      | <0.001  |

**Table 4** Comparison of changes in the number of drugs and number of doses from admission to discharge

|                      | Admission | Self-management introduced taking the drug | P value | Discharge | P value |
|----------------------|-----------|-------------------------------------------|---------|-----------|---------|
| SM (n = 39)          | Number of drugs | 4.4 ± 2.3 | 4.8 ± 2.4 | 0.147 | 5.0 ± 2.6 | 0.068 |
| non-SM (n = 65)      | Number of doses per day | 2.3 ± 1.4 | 2.3 ± 1.2 | 0.744 | 2.2 ± 1.1 | 0.680 |
|                      | Number of drugs | 6.4 ± 3.3 | -         | -     | 6.9 ± 2.7 | 0.084 |
|                      | Number of doses per day | 3.0 ± 1.2 | -         | -     | 3.1 ± 1.2 | 0.494 |
much, 2) those who have not received instruction by pharmacists and 3) those who feel anxiety taking medication for a long time [21]. When pharmacists introduce medication self-management for stroke patients, poor adherence is an important problem. Considering the above discussion, decreasing the number of drugs before hospitalization improves medicine self-management. This previous study supports the current results and increases the validity of the prediction formula.

In the current study, age was significantly lower in the self-management group than in the non-self-management group. Aging is associated with poor medication adherence caused by factors such as declining cognitive function and dysphagia [22, 23]. Accordingly, age is an important factor in considering introduction of medication self-management [22, 23].

In addition, the memory FIM item was associated with medicine self-management. This is similar to a previous study on achievement of medication self-management using the FIM [16–18]. The memory FIM item evaluates ability to memorize and reproduce linguistic and visual information in everyday life, a skill which is required to take medications correctly. In addition, it is also important to correctly understand pharmacist’s instructions, such as how and when to take a medicine. Accordingly, the FIM score is also an important factor in considering introduction of medication self-management.

This study has some limitations. The prediction formula was created for the patients in our hospital, but it was not subjected to external validation using data from other facilities. Therefore, there is a need to validate the formula if it is to be useful as a benchmark in other facilities. Furthermore, we did not examine the influence of the endpoint based on the rehabilitation program or that of patient education provided by pharmacists. Nonetheless, despite the limitations, the current prediction formula can be an effective tool to determine the likelihood of medication self-management in stroke patients at admission. Additionally, the formula may also help to prevent medication error.

### Table 5 Results of stepwise multiple regression analyses

| Factor                        | Regression coefficient | Odds ratio 95% confidence interval | P value |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|---------|
| Number of drugs               | −0.229                 | 0.795                              | 0.0629−0.969 | 0.035 |
| Walk/wheelchair mobility FIM | 0.470                  | 1.600                              | 1.186−2.251 | 0.004 |
| Memory FIM                    | 0.416                  | 1.517                              | 1.073−2.225 | 0.023 |
| Age                           | −0.112                 | 0.894                              | 0.837−0.944 | 0.0002 |
| Intercept                     | 4.404                  | 0.045                              |         |

### Conclusion

The number of drugs at admission greatly influenced achievement of medication self-management in stroke patients. In addition, the prediction formula developed herein may be useful to predict whether to introduce medication self-management for stroke patients.

### Abbreviations

FIM: Functional independence measure; LOF: Lack of fit; ROC: Area under the receiver operating characteristic.
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