Meson spectroscopy with unitary coupled-channels model for heavy-meson decay into three mesons
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We develop a model for describing excited mesons decay into three mesons. The properties of the excited mesons can be extracted with this model. The model maintains the three-body unitarity that has been missed in previous data analyses based on the conventional isobar models. We study an importance of the three-body unitarity in extracting hadron properties from data. For this purpose, we use the unitary and isobar models to analyze the same pseudo data of $\gamma p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- n$, and extract the properties of excited mesons. We find a significant difference between the unitary and isobar models in the extracted properties of excited mesons, such as the mass, width and coupling strength to decay channels.
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1. Introduction

Hadron properties such as quantum numbers (spin, parity, etc.), mass and (partial) width have been long studied as a subject called hadron spectroscopy. The hadron properties provide important information for understanding internal structure of the hadron and dynamics which governs it. The dynamics here is of course QCD in its nonperturbative regime. The hadron properties can be extracted from data through a careful analysis, in many cases, partial wave analysis (PWA). Thus it is essential for hadron spectroscopy to have a reliable theoretical analysis tool.

Here, we are interested in analyzing data in which excited mesons decay into three mesons. Such an analysis has been done, for example, in Ref. [1] in which \( \pi N \rightarrow M^* N \rightarrow \pi \pi \pi N \) (\( M^* \): an intermediate excited meson) is analyzed to extract the properties of \( M^* \). The analysis tool which has been conventionally used for this kind of analysis is the so-called isobar model. In the isobar model, it is assumed that two mesons form a resonance (\( f_0, \rho, f_2 \), etc.), and the third meson is treated as a spectator, as illustrated in Fig. 1 (a). A naive question that arises here is how significant the missing three-body unitarity and coupled-channels effect are. In order to take care of the three-body unitarity, we need to consider the Z-diagrams [Fig. 1 (b)]. Resumming all-order multiple scattering diagrams due to the Z-diagrams, as shown in Fig. 1, we obtain a \( M^* \) decay amplitude that maintains the three-body unitarity, and also coupled-channels effects as a consequence.

In this contribution, we would like to address a question how the three-body unitarity makes a difference in extracting hadron properties from data [2]. To address this question, we construct both unitary and isobar models, and fit them to the same data. Then we extract and compare \( M^* \) properties from the two models. We will conduct this analysis for the \( \gamma p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- n \) reaction. The CLAS Collaboration tried to find an exotic meson in this reaction using an isobar model [1], and the GlueX Collaboration plans to do a more elaborate study on this [3]. To pin down the existence of exotic states, a reliable analysis tool is essential. Therefore, it is interesting to study differences between the unitary and isobar models for this reaction.

The organization of the rest of this report is as follows: We discuss our unitary model in Sec. 2. We also define an isobar model in the same section. In Sec. 3, we determine parameters contained in the unitary model using a result from a \( ^3H_0 \) model calculation [3]. Then we use the unitary model to generate pseudo data (Dalitz plots) for \( \gamma p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- n \), and fit the data with the isobar model. \( M^* \) properties are extracted from the two models, and are compared.

2. Unitary coupled-channels model

We assume that the \( \gamma p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- n \) reaction proceeds through \( \gamma p \rightarrow M^* n \) and \( M^* \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \), schematically shown in Fig. 2 (left). There are some other mechanisms, such as an excitation of

![Figure 1: \( M^* \)-decay amplitude.](image)
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Figure 2: (Left) Schematic $\gamma p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^+\pi^- n$ mechanism considered in this work; (Middle, Right) $M^*$ decay amplitude calculated with the unitary model. The label “$t$” is the $\pi R$ amplitude, and the gray circles near $R$ stand for intermediate loops of $\pi\pi$ and $K\bar{K}$. The isobar model considers only the middle diagram.

nucleon resonances and/or the Deck effect, that lead to the same final state. We do not consider these backgrounds because we do not deal with actual experimental data as we mentioned. For our limited purpose of investigating effects of three-body unitarity, we expect that these backgrounds would not play an important role. Thus in the following, we give a discussion on the $M^* \rightarrow 3\pi$ amplitude described with our unitary model (a detailed discussion is given in Ref. [7]), and then discuss $M^*$ production mechanism and its propagation. The $\gamma p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^+\pi^- n$ amplitudes are product of these ingredients, and are used to calculate Dalitz plots distributions of the three pions.

$M^*$ decay amplitude We assume that an $M^*$ decays through $M^* \rightarrow \pi R \rightarrow \pi\pi\pi$ (Fig. [1]) where $R$ stands for a bare state formed by two pions. Two pions from $R$-decay can form $R$ again. Through this type of rescatterings, $R$ is dressed by the pion cloud to develops a resonance pole corresponding to, for example, $f_0(600), \rho(770)$, etc. Therefore, we include these resonances in our model as poles in the $\pi\pi$ scattering amplitude; we do not use Breit-Wigner functions that has been often used in previous isobar-model analyses. Meanwhile, one of two pions from $R$-decay can also interact with the other pion to form $R$. We call this mechanism the $Z$-diagram (Fig. [1(b)]. Thus a basic ingredient in our model for describing the final state interaction of $M^*$-decay is the $R \leftrightarrow \pi\pi$ interaction. This interaction can be fixed by analyzing $\pi\pi$ scattering data. We developed a simple coupled-channels ($\pi\pi, K\bar{K}$) model for the $\pi\pi$ scattering [7]. For each partial wave, $\pi\pi$ (or $K\bar{K}$) $\rightarrow \pi\pi$ (or $K\bar{K}$) potentials are given by $s$-channel exchange of bare $R$ states, and the partial wave amplitude is obtained by solving three-dimensional Lippmann-Schwinger equation. We obtained a reasonable description of empirical $\pi\pi$ phase shifts and inelasticities for $(L,I) = (0,0), (1,1), (2,0)$ partial waves ($L$: orbital angular momentum; $I$: total isospin) from the threshold to 2 GeV. We extracted pole positions from the partial wave amplitudes, and found that they are in good agreement with the listing in the Particle Data Group.

Having fixed the $R \leftrightarrow \pi\pi$ vertices, we now have a coupled-channels scattering equation for $\pi R$ amplitudes, and the $Z$-diagrams work as the driving force. Different $\pi R$ channels are coupled via the $Z$-diagrams. The $R$ Green function contains self energies from the $\pi\pi$ and $K\bar{K}$ loops. Both $Z$-diagrams and $\pi R$ Green functions contain three-pion unitarity cut, and the resultant three-pion amplitude (from the $\pi R$ amplitude) satisfies the three-body unitarity. The three-pion unitarity cut in the $Z$-diagrams makes it difficult to solve the $\pi R$ scattering equation with the standard subtraction technique. We handle the problem with the Spline method which is discussed in detail in Ref. [5].

The $\pi R$ amplitudes are used to calculate the $M^*$ decay amplitudes, as seen in Fig. [2](middle, right),
by the convolution with \( M^* \rightarrow \pi R \) vertices. By retaining only the middle diagram of Fig. [2], the \( M^* \) decay amplitude is similar to those used in the isobar models. Thus we define our isobar model by considering only this mechanism.

**Green function and production mechanism of \( M^* \)**

The \( M^* \) Green function for the unitary model is given by \( G^{-1}(W) = W - m_{M^*}^0 - \Sigma(W) \), where \( W \) is the total energy of the three pions in their CM frame, \( m_{M^*}^0 \) is the bare mass of \( M^* \), and \( \Sigma(W) \) is the self energy. The self energy contains the \( \pi R \) amplitudes, so that the self energy has a consistency with the \( M^* \) decay amplitude (see Ref. [1] for expressions). The three-body unitarity requires this consistency. Pole positions corresponding to \( M^* \) resonances are a quantity of interest. We search for pole positions (\( M_R \)) that satisfies \( G^{-1}(M_R) = 0 \). The pole search needs an analytic continuation of the amplitudes to the complex energy plane. A method of the analytic continuation suitable for our unitary model has been developed in Ref. [8]. For the isobar model, on the other hand, we use a Breit-Wigner function, as has been done in most isobar-model analyses.

For the \( M^* \) production mechanism, we assume a \( t \)-channel pion exchange, and the pion interacts with a \( \rho \)-meson from the incident photon, invoking the vector-meson dominance. Although this is a rather simple assumption and often not very realistic, this model still works enough for our purpose of studying effects of the three-body unitarity. We use this \( M^* \) production mechanism for both of the unitary and isobar models.

**3. Numerical results**

Having described our unitary and isobar models, we now use them for a numerical analysis. As mentioned in the introduction, we want to learn how three-body unitarity makes a difference in extracting \( M^* \) properties from Dalitz plot analysis. For this purpose, we take the following procedure: (i) We determine \( M^* \rightarrow \pi R \) couplings for the unitary model using a prediction from the \( ^3P_0 \) model for partial width for \( M^* \rightarrow \pi R \) decays [3]; (ii) We generate pseudo data with the unitary model; (iii) We fit the data with the isobar model; (iv) We extract the \( M^* \) properties from the two models. Details are discussed in the following paragraphs.

We consider partial waves and \( M^* \)’s that have been included in the CLAS analysis of \( \gamma p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- n \) [4]. They are \( J^{PC} = 1^{++} [a_1(1230), a_1(1700)], 2^{++} [a_2(1320), a_2(1700)], 2^{-+} [\pi_2(1670), \pi_2(1800)], \) and \( 1^{-+} [\pi_1(1600)] \). The \( ^3P_0 \) model, a quark model, can predict partial widths for \( M^* \rightarrow \pi R \) decays [3]. We use the prediction to fix \( M^* \rightarrow \pi R \) coupling constants, assuming that the phases are \(+1\). With the parameters fixed in this way, we run the unitary model to generate Dalitz plots. We chose the following kinematics: \( E_\gamma = 5 \text{ GeV} \) (\( E_\gamma \): incident photon energy in the laboratory frame); \( t = -0.4 \text{ GeV}^2 \) (\( t \): squared four-momentum transfer of the nucleon); 0.8 GeV \( \leq W \leq 2 \text{ GeV} \). Finally, three-pion orientation is chosen so that the plane formed by the three pions is perpendicular to the final nucleon momentum in the three-pion CM frame. In Fig. [3], we show a spectrum obtained by integrating the three pion distribution within the specified kinematics. As seen in the figure, different \( M^* \)’s play major roles at different \( W \) regions, depending on their masses and widths. At each \( W \), the final three pions are distributed in a pattern characteristic to the associated \( M^* \). For example, in Fig. [3] (left), we show a Dalitz plot at \( W = 1 \text{ GeV} \) where the main contribution is from the \( a_1(1230) \) decay. A three-dimensional view of the same Dalitz plot is also
The properties extracted from the unitary and isobar models. The mass (width) of an $M^*$ of the unitary model is defined with the pole position, $M_R$, by $\text{Re}[M_R] (-2 \text{Im}[M_R])$. These are compared with Breit-Wigner masses and widths from the isobar model in Table 1. While we can see a good agreement for narrow $M^*$ [$a_2(1320), \pi_1(1600)$], there are also significant differences for broader $M^*$ [$a_1(1230), \pi_2(1670)$]. Another interesting quantity is the coupling strength of $M^*$ to each decay channel. Our result for $a_1(1230) \rightarrow \pi R$ vertices are shown in Fig. 5 as a function of the pion momentum, and the unitary and isobar models are compared. The difference is significant in both the strength and the phase. These results indicate the importance of considering the three-body unitarity in extracting the $M^*$ properties.

Figure 3: $W$-dependence of integrated Dalitz plots from the unitary model. The $M^* \rightarrow \pi R$ couplings in the model have been fixed using the $^3P_0$ model prediction. $M$'s contributing to the peaks are indicated.
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Figure 4: (Left) Dalitz plot distribution of $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+$ in the $\gamma p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^- n$ reaction at $W = 1$ GeV. (Right) 3-dimensional view of the Dalitz plot distribution shown on the left.

Figure 5: The strength (phase) of $M^* \rightarrow \pi R$ vertices on the upper (lower) half. The unitary (isobar) model is displayed by the solid (dashed) lines. (Left) $a_1 (1230) \rightarrow \pi f_0$ vertex in $l = 1$ (l: orbital angular momentum between $\pi$ and $R$). (Right) $a_1 (1230) \rightarrow \pi p$ vertex in $l = 0$.
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