High frequency, cell type-specific visualization of fluorescent-tagged genomic sites in interphase and mitotic cells of living Arabidopsis plants
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Abstract

Background: Interphase chromosome organization and dynamics can be studied in living cells using fluorescent tagging techniques that exploit bacterial operator/repressor systems and auto-fluorescent proteins. A nuclear-localized Repressor Protein-Fluorescent Protein (RP-FP) fusion protein binds to operator repeats integrated as transgene arrays at defined locations in the genome. Under a fluorescence microscope, the tagged sites appear as bright fluorescent dots in living cells. This technique has been used successfully in plants, but it is often hampered by low expression of genes encoding RP-FP fusion proteins, perhaps owing to one or more gene silencing mechanisms that are prevalent in plant cells.

Results: We used two approaches to overcome this problem. First, we tested mutations in four factors involved in different types of gene silencing and/or epigenetic modifications for their effects on nuclear fluorescence. Only mutations in DDM1, a chromatin remodelling ATPase involved in repeat-induced heterochromatin formation and DNA methylation, released silencing of the RP-FP fusion protein. This result suggested that the operator repeats can trigger silencing of the adjacent gene encoding the RP-FP fusion protein. In the second approach, we transformed the tagged lines with a second T-DNA encoding the RP-FP fusion protein but lacking operator repeats. This strategy avoided operator repeat-induced gene silencing and increased the number of interphase nuclei displaying fluorescent dots. In a further extension of the technique, we show that green fluorescent-tagged sites can be visualized on moving mitotic chromosomes stained with red fluorescent-labelled histone H2B.

Conclusions: The results illustrate the propensity of operator repeat arrays to form heterochromatin that can silence the neighbouring gene encoding the RP-FP fusion protein. Supplying the RP-FP fusion protein in trans from a second T-DNA largely alleviates this problem. Depending on the promoter used to drive expression of the RP-FP fusion protein gene, the fluorescent tagged sites can be visualized at high frequency in different cell types. The ability to observe fluorescent dots on both interphase and mitotic chromosomes allows tagged sites to be tracked throughout the cell cycle. These improvements enhance the versatility of the fluorescent tagging technique for future studies of chromosome arrangement and dynamics in living plants.
dynamics in living plant cells [8-10]. However, weak and non-uniform nuclear fluorescence, possibly due to silencing of genes encoding RP-FP fusion proteins, often hinders the use of this technology in plants. The problem is particularly pronounced in root cells, which are otherwise well suited for this technique because of their low background fluorescence at commonly used excitation wavelengths [8,11]. Here we describe strategies to improve the expression of the RP-FP fusion protein and the consistency of the fluorescent signals in interphase nuclei of different cell types. In addition, we demonstrate that the fluorescent tagged sites can be visualized and tracked on mitotic chromosomes in living cells.

**Results and Discussion**

**Effects of mutations in epigenetic factors on expression of the RP-FP fusion protein**

For the tagged lines developed in our laboratory, a possible reason for low nuclear fluorescence is silencing of the gene encoding the RP-FP fusion protein, which is adjacent to the operator repeats and under the control of the 35S promoter on the original T-DNA constructs (Fig. 1A). To test the occurrence and basis of epigenetic silencing, we introgressed mutations in four epigenetic silencing factors into five tagged lines (Fig. 1B). The epigenetic factors were chosen because of their involvement in different types of gene silencing and/or epigenetic modifications: (1) DDM1 is a chromatin remodelling ATPase important for repeat-induced heterochromatin formation and DNA methylation [12]; (2) DRD1 is a chromatin remodelling ATPase required for RNA-directed DNA methylation and transcriptional gene silencing (TGS) [12]; (3) RDR6 is an RNA-dependent RNA polymerase required for post-transcriptional gene silencing (PTGS), which is often observed with 35S promoter-driven transgenes [13]; (4) MOM1 is an unusual putative chromatin remodelling factor needed for TGS that is independent of DNA methylation [14].

Plants that are homozygous for the respective mutations were crossed with the homozygous tagged lines and the resulting F1 progeny were allowed to self-fertilize to produce a segregating F2 population. F2 seedlings were genotyped to identify ones that are doubly homozygous for the tagged locus and the mutation in the desired epigenetic factor. Doubly homozygous F2 seedlings were screened for fluorescence in root cells at low magnification. Of the four mutations tested, only *ddm1* improved expression of the RP-FP fusion protein, which was easily visualized under a fluorescence microscope in extended roots of doubly homozygous F2 seedlings (Fig. 2A, Additional file 1). The release of silencing in the *ddm1* mutant was accompanied by a substantial loss of

**Figure 1** Constructs and chromosomal locations of fluorescent-tagged sites. A. T-DNA constructs for fluorescent tagging. Construct 16 is based on red fluorescent protein (R). Construct 25 is based on enhanced green fluorescence protein (G). Both constructs use the *lacOs* and the *lacL* (L) fused to *R* and *G*. Genes encoding RL and GL are under the control of the 35S promoter of CaMV (35S). B. Chromosomal positions of tagged sites. The insertion sites have been reported previously [8,11]. Lines are named according to the construct used (16 or 25) followed by the line number (26, 79, 101, 107, 112). C. Constructs containing the RPS5 promoter to drive expression of genes encoding the EGFP-LacI fusion protein (GL) and histone H2B fused to monomeric DsRed (H2BmR). D. Constructs containing the GC1 promoter to drive expression of GL. Details of construct assembly using the modules shown in C and D are in the Methods section. Gene units are boxed; heavy outlines indicate genes encoding fluorescent fusion proteins (red, green) and *lacOs* (black). Arrows indicate the directions of transcription. Additional abbreviations: lb, T-DNA left border; rb, T-DNA right border; Npt, NOS promoter; red ‘R’, DsRed2; green ‘G’, EGFP; t, transcriptional terminator from the 35S transcript of CaMV; nptIIb, neomycin phosphotransferase II for selection of bacteria on kanamycin; nt, NOS terminator; Mp, mannopine synthase promoter; ot, octopine synthase terminator; tag, 300 bp filler sequence; 19Sp, 19S promoter of CaMV; pDH51, pUC18 containing the 35S promoter of CaMV; pDH51, pUC18 containing the 35S promoter-35S terminator cassette [33]; pBC, Bluescript plasmid encoding chloramphenicol resistance.
DNA methylation from the operator repeats (Fig. 2B, Additional file 1). No improvement of fluorescence or loss of methylation was observed in *rdr6, drd1* or *mom1* mutants (Fig. 2A, B; Additional file 1).

Our findings indicate that silencing of the gene encoding the RP-FP fusion protein is correlated with DDM1-dependent DNA methylation of the adjacent operator repeats (Fig. 1A). However, expression of the RP-FP fusion protein is so high in the *ddm1* mutant that nuclei are filled with fluorescence and individual dots are not discernable (data not shown). Therefore, it is not feasible to perform experiments on interphase arrangement and dynamics of tagged loci in a *ddm1* mutant background.

In an independent study with another tagged line, named EL702C, *ddm1* and another DNA hypomethylation mutant, *met1*, were also found to decrease methylation of *lac* operator repeats. However, loss of
methylation did not alter the expression of operator repeat-associated genes, including the gene encoding the RP-FP fusion protein (GFP-LacI) [15]. Line EL702C may be similar to our line 26, which is exceptional in that methylation is lost from the operator repeats in the ddm1 mutant background but the expression of the RP-FP fusion protein, which is already quite high in wild type plants, does not increase (Additional file 1). It is not yet known why the gene encoding the RP-FP fusion protein is protected from silencing in line 26. One possibility is that there is a copy of the gene that is separated from the operator repeats owing to rearrangements within the integrated T-DNA copies. Operator repeats have been used in transgene constructs designed for chromatin charting of epigenetic regulators and gene expression at the T-DNA insertion site [16,17]. These constructs may not always be reliable indicators of expression at the insertion site if operator repeats can potentially form methylated chromatin that induces silencing of adjacent genes.

**Supplying the RP-FP fusion protein in trans: Use of cell type-specific promoters**

The correlation between loss of methylation from the operator repeats and derepression of the adjacent gene encoding the RP-FP fusion protein in the ddm1 mutant suggested that silencing is a local, cis-acting effect. This suggestion is substantiated by the failure of the rdr6 mutation to release silencing of the RP-FP fusion protein, which indicates the absence of diffusible, trans-acting siRNAs capable of triggering PTGS. We thus tried a second strategy to improve the fluorescence-tagging technique by introducing a second T-DNA that encodes the RP-FP fusion protein but lacks operator repeats. This step also permits the replacement of the 35S promoter, which was used to drive expression of the gene encoding the RP-FP fusion protein in the original constructs that contain operator repeats (Fig. 1A), with cell type-specific promoters. The efficacy of this strategy is illustrated here for two different promoters: the RPS5 promoter (Fig. 1C), which is active in cells of the division zone of the root tip [18,19], and the pGC1 promoter (Fig. 1D), which is active in guard cells [20]. With each promoter, we observed a high frequency of nuclei containing fluorescent dots in the respective cell type (root cells, Fig. 3; guard cells, Fig. 4). By contrast, when the 35S promoter is used to transcribe the gene encoding the RP-FP fusion protein, expression is highly variable and occurs mainly in a subset of cells in the upper part of the root, not in the division zone, and sporadically in leaves and in ovules [21].

High frequency and uniform expression of the RP-FP fusion protein through the use of cell type-specific promoters allows the measurement of significant numbers of inter-allelic 3D distances in neighbouring cells of homozygous tagged lines. In cells of the root division zone, inter-allelic distances comprise a continuum of values, with most ranging between 1 μm to 5 μm (Fig. 5). The rather wide range of inter-allelic distances is consistent with previous results on smaller sample sizes from other cell types and suggests an essentially random arrangement of interphase chromosomes [11,22].

If the inter-allelic distance is less than 1 μm, two distinct dots can be difficult to distinguish. This was observed in a fraction of the nuclei in the root division zone (Fig. 3, arrows), suggesting the inter-allelic 3D distance is less than 1 μm in these cells (Fig. 5). While these might represent cases of somatic pairing arising from associations between operator repeat arrays [15,23,24], other explanations are also possible. For example, one dot of a pair may be located outside of the imaged stack. Another possibility is that dots may be in close proximity if the tagged sites cluster at the metaphase plate in mitotic cells (see below). In an independent study, homologous pairing was reported to be higher for the lac operator arrays than for neighbouring euchromatic regions and was only partially reduced in
the DNA hypomethylation mutants *ddm1* and *met1* [15]. This finding suggested that methylated operator repeats tend to pair in a manner that perturbs the natural spatial arrangements of interphase chromosomes [23]. As described above, we were unable to assess the influence of the *ddm1* mutation and hypomethylation of the operator repeats on interallelic associations in our study because of the extremely high background fluorescence in *ddm1* mutant. In general, however, we observe two separate dots in the majority of homozygous nuclei in the cell types tested (Figs. 3 and 4). A recent fluorescence in situ hybridization study found that the frequencies of homologous pairing of transgene repeat arrays may differ with the construct, chromosomal integration site, cell type, and number and repetitiveness of inserts [24].

**Visualizing fluorescent-tagged sites on mitotic chromosomes**

Fluorescent-tagging of genomic sites has been useful for studying interphase chromosome dynamics ('single particle tracking') in living yeast and animal cells [25,26]. These studies have shown that chromatin generally diffuses through a restricted volume of the interphase nucleus but can undergo rapid directed movement in an
energy-dependent mechanism over brief periods [26]. Single particle tracking in plants has also indicated constrained movement of tagged sites over short time periods [10,11,27]. Whether energy-dependent directed movement is also observed in plant cells in response to developmental or environmental cues remains to be determined.

Whereas fluorescence-tagged sites appear to be rather static in plant interphase nuclei, the situation is different in mitotic cells. Observations of the fluorescence-tagged sites in these cells should be possible provided the RP-FP fusion protein can bind to operator repeats on highly condensed mitotic chromosomes. To test this possibility, we introduced into the tagged lines a second transgene construct encoding EGFP-LacI fusion protein and histone H2B fused with red fluorescent protein (Fig. 1C, construct 5), which uniformly stains Arabidopsis chromatin [28]. When the RPS5 promoter is used to drive high frequency expression of both of these genes in cells of the root division zone, green fluorescent dots can be seen superimposed on red fluorescent mitotic chromosomes (Fig. 6A-G). Dual fluorescence labelling allows tracking of tagged sites on mitotic chromosomes as they separate and decondense in daughter cells (Additional files, 2, 3, 4). In principle, it should be possible to follow complete mitotic cycles and analyze whether fluorescent tagged sites are repositioned in daughter cell nuclei, a process that may provide opportunities for alterations in gene expression [1].

**Conclusions**

We have tested successfully several strategies for improving the chromosome fluorescence tagging technique in plants. Supplying the RP-FP fusion protein in trans avoids the problem of gene silencing associated with the operator repeats, which are targets of DDM1-dependent DNA methylation. Despite heavy methylation of the operator repeats, the RP-FP fusion protein is able to recognize and bind to these sequences in interphase nuclei. Moreover, the RP-FP fusion protein can also bind to operator repeats on highly condensed mitotic chromosomes. This robust and penetrant binding will allow the spatial organization and dynamics of tagged sites to be studied during the cell cycle and may provide a model for epigenetic inheritance of chromosomal proteins through mitosis [29]. Optimal visualization of fluorescent-tagged sites requires a suitable promoter to drive expression of the gene encoding the RP-FP fusion protein. Expression should be uniform within an organ or cell type and should not be too high, otherwise fluorescent dots will be obscured by high background fluorescence. Whereas the 35S promoter does not always fulfil these criteria, cell type-specific promoters often provide the desired level and consistency of expression.
We see little evidence for frequent pairing of fluorescent tagged alleles in interphase nuclei despite high levels of DNA methylation at the operator repeats. Thus, fluorescent tagged sites should generally provide accurate indicators of natural spatial relationships of interphase and mitotic chromosomes in living cells. The improvements we have described will allow the fluorescence tagging technology to be more fully exploited in plants to study chromosome organization and dynamics during growth and development and under various environmental conditions.

**Methods**

**Plant material**

The fluorescence tagged lines (*Arabidopsis thaliana* ecotype Col-0) used in this study were described in previous publications [8,11]. Transformation of *A. thaliana* was carried out using the floral dip method [30].

---

**Figure 6 Visualization of fluorescent tagged sites on mitotic chromosomes.** In the division zone of the root, chromosomes are dual labelled with H2B-mRed and EGFP-LacI (both genes are under control of the RPS5 promoter). (A) Mitotic chromosomes at the metaphase plate are viewed as bright red bars (white arrow; shown enlarged in B) with EGFP-tagged sites visible as two clustered pairs of green fluorescent dots (C; merged image in D). (E) Mitotic chromosomes at anaphase with two pairs of EGFP-tagged sites (F; merged image in G). Interphase nuclei are dull red ovals (A, E, G). In the merged image (G), three neighbouring interphase nuclei with superimposed green fluorescent dots can be seen. All bars indicate 5 μm. Homozygous tagged line 112 was used for A-D; homozygous tagged line 26 was used for E-G. In both cases, the EGFP-LacI fusion protein was supplied in trans.
Transformed seedlings were selected on solid Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium containing 500 mg/l claforan and 20 mg/l phosphoroticin (Fig. 1C, constructs 1, 3 and 5) or 20 mg/l hygromycin (Fig. 1D, constructs 1 and 3).

**Construction of recombinant plasmids**

**Binary vectors**

BV-MpPA Tot Sall (Fig. 1C, construct 1) is a binary vector modified to contain a SauI site [31]. BV-ntHYG19Sp Sall (Fig. 1D, construct 1) is a binary vector modified to contain a Sall site [32]. These vectors allow selection of transformed plants on phosphoroticin and hygromycin, respectively.

**RP-FP constructs under the control of the RPS5 or GC1 promoters**

The RPS5 promoter (At3g11940) [18] was isolated in two fragments by PCR from genomic DNA of *A. thaliana* ecotype Col-0: (1) Xhol-420-EcoRI and (2) Xhol-EcoRI-1230-Nhel. The Xhol 420-EcoRI fragment was amplified using the following primers and cloned into pBluescript (Stratagene, La Jolla, USA):  

5’-GGG GCT TAC GCC ATA ATC GTG AGT AGA TAT ATT ACT CAA C-3’

5’-CGC GAA TTC ACC TCA GGC ATG TAG AAA GCT AGT GAT ATG G-3’

The Xhol-EcoRI-1230-Nhel fragment was amplified using the following primers and cloned into pBluescript (Stratagene, La Jolla, USA) modified to contain an additional Nhel site:

5’-GGG GCT TAC GCC GAA TAC ATC GTG AGT AGA TAT ATT ACT CAA C-3’

5’-CGC GAA TTC ACC TCA GGC ATG TAG AAA GCT AGT GAT ATG G-3’

These fragments were combined by inserting the Xhol-420-EcoRI fragment between the Xhol-EcoRI sites of the Xhol-EcoRI-1230-Nhel fragment in Bluescript (Stratagene, La Jolla).

To obtain the GC1 promoter (At1g22690) [20], a Xhol GC1p-Nhel fragment was synthesized by Mr.Gene (Regensburg, Germany). The -1140/+23 fragment was chosen because it was reported to give the strongest expression levels [20].

To make the final constructs, pBC-Xhol-35Sp Nhel -GL t -Sall (see Fig. 16.4, part b in reference [8]) was cut with Xhol and Nhel releasing the 35Sp. The 35Sp was replaced with a fragment containing the RPS5 promoter (Xhol RPS5p Nhel) leading to the construct Xhol RPS5S Nhel - GL t - Sall (Fig. 1C, construct 2) or with a fragment containing the GC1 promoter (Xhol GC1p Nhel) leading to Xhol GC1p-Nhel -GL t Sall (Fig. 1D, construct 2). Then these constructs were cut with Xhol and SalI and ligated into the SalI-cut binary vector BV-MpPA Tot-Sall (Fig. 1C, construct 1) or SalI-cut binary vector BV-ntHYG19Sp-Sall (Fig. 1D, construct 1), respectively, leading to the constructs shown in Fig. 1C, construct 3 and Fig. 1D, construct 3. The construct shown in Fig. 1C, construct 5 has been introduced into all five tagged lines (Fig. 1B); the construct shown in Fig. 1D, construct 3 has only been introduced into line 112.

**H2B constructs**

A construct encoding a histone H2B-DsRed monomer fusion protein under the control of the RPS5 promoter (Fig. 1C, construct 4: pDH51 Ncol Xhol RPS5 Nhel BamHI - H2B - BamHI mRed Xhol t -HindIII Xhol tag Xhol HindIII) was produced as follows:

First, the Xhol-RP55-Nhel promoter fragment (as described above in RP-FP constructs section) was adjusted for insertion into a modified pDH51 (see below) to a Ncol-Xhol-RPS5-Nhel-BamHI fragment by adding a Xhol-Ncol-tag-Ncol-Xhol tag into the Xhol site and a Nhel-BamHI tag-BamHI-Nhel into the Nhel site.

pDH51 [33], which contains Ncol-35Sp promoter-BamHI-Xhol-35Sp terminator HindIII (only restriction enzymes necessary for the construct are mentioned), was modified by inserting Xhol tags into the Ncol and HindIII sites of this plasmid. A gene encoding DsRed-monomer (Clontech, Palo Alto, USA) was inserted as BamHI-Xhol fragment. Then the Ncol-35Sp promoter-BamHI fragment was replaced by the Ncol-Xhol-RPS5-Nhel-BamHI fragment described above. Finally, a fragment containing the gene encoding histone H2B (At5g22880) [28], BamHI - H2B - BamHI, was isolated by PCR from genomic DNA of *A. thaliana* ecotype Col-0 using the following primers:

5’-GGG GCA TCC ATG GCG AAG GCA GAT AGA AAA CCA GCG GAG-3’

5’-GGG GCA TCC CCA GAA CTC GTA AAC TCC GTA ACC GAC TTA G-3’

This fragment was inserted in the right orientation into the BamHI site before the gene encoding the DsRed-monomer. This construct was released with Xhol and cloned into the Sall site of the binary vector shown in Fig. 1C, construct 3, resulting in Fig. 1C, construct 5. The inverted orientation of the 35S terminator regions (t) was stabilized by insertion of two copies of the Xhol tag of the construct in Fig. 1C, construct 4. All constructs were confirmed by sequencing.

**Epigenetic mutants**

The mutant alleles used in this study are: *mom1-1, drd1-6, rdr6 (sgs-2) and add1-5 (som8)* [http://www.epigenome-noe.net/resources/scilinks.php]. Mutations were genotyped using the following primers:

**mom1-1:**

CD 34: 5’ AAG AGC TGT TAC ACC TGC TGA ATG C 3’

CD 38: 5’ CAG TTG TAA CCG GTG GAT CTC CTG T 3’
SALK LBa1: 5′ TGG TTC ACG TAG TGG GCC ATC G 3′

Use of CD 34/CD 38 yields a fragment of 486 bp for wild type plants. Use of CD 38/LBa1 yields a fragment of 461 bp for the mom1 mutant.

drd1-6:

drd1-6 F: 5′ AGC TAA GGG ATG GAA ACT AGG 3′
rd1-6 R: 5′ CGA GAT GCT CCA ACA AGC GAG 3′

Digestion with NdeI yields a 168 bp fragment for wild type and two fragments (73 and 95 bp) for drd1-6.

29IIF7: 5′ GCA GGG ATA CTT GAA CAT GGC C 3′
29IIFR: 5′ CAA ACA TTT GTG ACC CCA TGC C 3′

Digestion with BstN I yields three fragments (150, 285 and 850 bp) for wild type and two fragments (400 and 850 bp) for rdr6/sgs2-1.

ddm1-5:

F5′ AAG CGA CGG AGA CGA CTG TTG G 3′
R5′ TTT CAT AAA GCA ACC ACA CTA CG 3′

The fragment produced in the ddm1-5 mutant is approximately 0.5 kb; in wild type fragment is approximately 0.35 kb.

In all cases, the following PCR conditions were used: 1 min 95°C; [30 sec 95°C; 30 sec 55°C; 1 min 72°C; 50×]; 6 min 72°C. The products were run on a 2.5% agarose gel.

Epi-fluorescence image acquisition

A. thaliana seedlings were germinated and grown on solid MS medium under a 16 hour light-8 hour dark cycle at 23°C. For pre-screening under low magnification for fluorescence in roots and leaves, untreated plantlets growing on solid MS medium in Petri dishes were examined on a Leica MZ16FA, fluorescence stereo microscope (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany) equipped with the following filters: Leica 10447227 (DsRed) and GFP2 Leica (10447221) (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany). Photographs were taken using a DFC300FX colour camera (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany).

For viewing fluorescent dots at high magnification, seedlings were removed from MS medium approximately 10 to 30 days after germination and mounted on a microscope slide in tap water. For roots, a slide with an indentation was used (Assistant, Sondheim, Germany, Cat. Nr. 2410). Leaves were mounted in a Series 20 Chamber (Model RC-26G, Warner Instruments, Hamden, USA) [34]. Roots were viewed using a Zeiss Axioplan 2 fluorescence microscope (Zeiss, Jena, Germany) with a Spot Pursuit charge coupled device (CCD) camera (Visitron, Puchheim, Germany) or a Zeiss Axioplan 200 M with Photometrix CCD camera Quantix (Visitron, Puchheim, Germany) [8]. Leaves were viewed with the latter microscope, which is an inverted microscope that can accommodate the Series 20 chamber.

To make optical sections (stacks) using both microscope set-ups, the multidimensional acquisition tool from Metamorph software (Molecular Devices, MDS Analytical Technologies, Toronto) was used. AutoDeblur (Autoquant, purchased from Bitplane, Zürich) was used for deconvolution. Imaris software Version 6.1.3 was used for point detection and point to point distance measurements (Imaris MATLAB extensions, purchased from Bitplane, Zürich). The dot diameter was set to 0.5 μm. One stack consists of approximately 40 acquisitions each separated by a distance of 0.2 μm. With an exposure time of one second per picture, one stack takes approximately 2 minutes for one color and four minutes with two colors.

Analysis of DNA methylation

DNA methylation at the lac operator repeats was analyzed using a methylation-sensitive restriction enzyme (Narl) and Southern blotting. DNA was isolated from rosette leaves of adult plants as described previously [8]. Digestion with NarI and EcoRI (methylation-insensitive) was carried out according to the manufacturer’s instructions (New England Biolabs, Massachusetts, USA). Protocols for Southern blotting and hybridization as well as the probe used to detect lac operator repeats were described previously [8].

Additional file 1: Release of silencing of the RP-FP fusion protein and loss of DNA methylation from lac operator repeats in a ddm1 mutant. For two lines, 26 and 79, we were not able to successfully introgress all four epigenetic mutations (rdr6 for line 26, and for mom1 and drd1-6 for line 79). For both lines, however, we did successfully introgress the ddm1 mutation, which released silencing of the RP-FP fusion protein and reduced DNA methylation of the operator repeats in lines 101, 107, 112 (Fig. 2A, B). The bars indicate 2 mm. (A) Line 79 displayed strong derepression of the DsRed-LacI gene in a ddm1 mutant background (right). Line 26 was exceptional in that the EGFP-LacI gene was not strongly silenced in wild type plants and no improved expression was observed in the ddm1 mutant (left). (B) In both 79 and 26 lines, the operator repeats lost methylation. Click here for file

Additional file 2: Tracking of fluorescent tagged sites on mitotic chromosomes. A 3D stack with 33 planes at intervals of 0.2 μm was taken over a period of approximately 4 minutes starting on a metaphase plate in homoyzgous line 107. Chromosome movement commenced at the beginning of the 4 minute period. Four still shots from a movie (Additional file 3: Movie Metamorph) are shown at the top. Left to right plane 4, 10, 18, 24. The optical sections can be analyzed in ImarisTrack (Bitplane, Zürich) by changing the Z stack into a time stack and then the moving dots can be tracked and displayed in a rendered computer simulation (Additional file 4: Movie Imaris). Representative frames are shown at the bottom. Click here for file

Additional file 3: Movie Metamorph. Movie of fluorescent tagged sites on mitotic chromosomes. Click here for file

Additional file 4: Movie Imaris. Rendered computer simulation of fluorescent tagged sites on mitotic chromosomes. Click here for file

Click here for file
Abbreviations
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