Knee MR Using a Body Coil is Equivalent to CT in Measuring the TT-TG Distance: Removing the Systematic Bias
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Objective To compare magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) using a body coil with computed tomography (CT) in measuring the tibial tubercle-trochlear groove distance (TT-TG) and the patellar tendon-cartilaginous trochlear groove (PT-CTG) distances, and evaluate interrater reliability.

Methods The study group consisted of 34 knees from 17 asymptomatic subjects with no history of knee pathology, trauma or surgery. A low-dose CT scan and an axial T1-weighted MRI sequence of the knees were performed with rigorous standardization of the positioning with full extension of the knees and parallel feet. Two musculoskeletal radiologists performed the measurements independently. The reliability of the TT-TG and PT-CTG distances on CT (17.1 ± 4.2 mm and 17.3 ± 4.2 mm) and of MRI (16.2 ± 3.7 mm and 16.5 ± 4.1 mm) was assessed by intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC [2,1]) and Bland-Altman graphs, as well as the interrater reliability for both methods.

Results Good reliability and agreement was observed between CT and MRI measurements for TT-TG and PT-CTG, with an ICC of 0.774 (p < 0.001) and 0.743 (p < 0.001), respectively, and no systematic bias was observed. The interrater reliability was excellent for all measurements on both imaging methods.

Conclusion This was the first study that compared MRI using a body coil with CT in measuring the TT-TG distance, with the potential clinical implication that the CT in this clinical setting could be avoided.
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Introduction

Patellar instability represents a common and significant health condition that affects young subjects and can lead to early osteoarthritis, with an incidence as high as 12.98/100,000 person-years in males between 15 and 19 years old. Its etiology is multifactorial, requiring a precise diagnosis, since treatment options range from conservative therapies to different surgical interventions.

One of the most recognized risk factors for patellar instability is the increased tibial tubercle-troclear groove distance (TT-TG); when greater than 15 to 20 mm, it is generally considered pathologic and has been proposed as a threshold for considering a tibial tubercle osteotomy or distal realignment procedure. The gold-standard imaging method for performing this measurement is computed tomography (CT), that has proven to be reliable. Given the high soft-tissue contrast resolution of MRI, some authors used soft-tissue parameters instead of bony parameters to measure the lateralization of the tibial tubercle (TT); the nadir of the cartilaginous troclear groove (CTG) instead of the bony troclear groove and the tibial insertion of the patellar tendon (PT) instead of the TT.

The TT-TG distance is highly sensitive to changes in knee positioning and while CT is performed with the legs in full extension, the dedicated knee coil in MRI surrounds the knee in a way it assumes variable grades of flexion (~25°) and varus deviation. The literature is scarce and controversial about the influence of feet positioning in knee measurements.

Another controversial topic in the literature is which landmarks to use for the measurement of the TT-TG distance. Given the high soft-tissue contrast resolution of MRI, some authors used soft-tissue parameters instead of bony parameters to measure the lateralization of the tibial tubercle (TT); the nadir of the cartilaginous troclear groove (CTG) instead of the bony troclear groove and the tibial insertion of the patellar tendon (PT) instead of the TT.

To this date, no study has compared the TT-TG and PT-CTG measurement in MRI using a body coil and CT to test interchangeability. Therefore, the aims of the present study are to compare these measurement values between MRI and CT, the measurements would result similar.

Methods

Ethical committee approval was obtained (Plataforma Brasil number 3136833), as well as informed consent of all participants. The sample size was calculated according to Zou, considering an effect size of 0.65, a 2-tailed significance level
(α) of 5% and a power (β) of 80%. This calculation resulted in a minimum of 30 knees.

Volunteers without any clinical knee symptoms were enrolled. The study group consisted of 34 knees (17 subjects; 13 male and 4 female), with a mean age and standard deviation (SD) of 38.6 ± 6.4 years, range between 29 and 50 years old. The inclusion criterion was the absence of knee symptoms and the exclusion criteria were: previous knee surgery, previous knee trauma, history of patellar instability or any other known knee pathologies.

A low-dose CT-scan and an axial T1-weighted MRI sequence of the knees were performed in all subjects. Positioning was the same in both studies: the volunteers were scanned in the supine position with full extension of the knees, using an acrylic supporting device that kept the orientation of the medial faces of the feet parallel to each other, with a distance of 3 to 5 cm between them (Fig. 1).

Computed tomography studies were performed on a 64-detector Siemens CT scanner (SOMATOM Definition Edge, Siemens Medical Solutions, Munich, Germany), and the CARE Dose control system was selected to achieve radiation dose reduction. For the ethics committee evaluation, we performed radiation dose calculation on standard phantoms and the effective dose resulted in ~ 0.01 mSv (half the dose of a posteroanterior chest X-ray). The images were reformatted to 3 mm thickness using soft-tissue and bone windows. Magnetic resonance imaging studies were performed on a GE/Optima 450w 1.5T MRI Scanner (GE, Boston, MA, USA) with a body coil and consisted in an axial T1-weighted sequence (TR: 375 ms/TE: 8.32 ms) of both knees, 5 mm thickness, 1 mm spacing, 320 × 256 matrix. Also, both examinations included the femoral trochlea and the tibial tuberosity to allow measurements.

After a training session, two board-certified musculoskeletal radiologists (5 and 2 years of experience) evaluated the CT and MRI images independently and chose these specific slices:

1. The most cranial slice that depicted complete cartilaginous coverage of the femoral trochlea in MRI and CT (soft-tissue and bone window), allowing the determination of the deepest point of the bony trochlea groove (TG) and the cartilaginous trochlea groove (CTG);
2. The slice that showed the complete attachment of the patellar tendon at the tibial tuberosity in MR and CT (soft-tissue window), and the midpoint of that enthesis was defined as the patellar tendon (PT) landmark;
3. The most anterior point of the tibial tuberosity in MRI and CT (bone window), which corresponded to the TT bony landmark.

After this first independent session, as our main interest was to study the relationship between the knee position and the measurements, any differences in the slices chosen were corrected by consensus. Then, they were superimposed and the TT-TG and PT-CTG distances were measured independently in both methods (Fig. 2). The TT-TG distance was assessed between two parallel lines drawn through the bony parameters: the most anterior point of the tibial tuberosity and the deepest point of the bony TG, perpendicular to a line drawn tangent to the posterior aspect of the femoral condyles. The PT-CTG distance was measured similarly, but
using the soft-tissue parameters: the PT attachment to the tibia and the deepest point of the CTG.\textsuperscript{14}

Statistical analysis was made using SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 20.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA), STATA 12 (Stata Software, College Station, TX, USA) and R software (R Foundation, Vienna, Austria). Normality distribution was assessed by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. The interrater reliability of slices chosen, TT-TG and PT-CTG measurements on CT and MRI were evaluated for all measures studied using intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC [2,1]) and Bland-Altman graphs. The type of ICC chosen was based on the Koo et al. guidelines,\textsuperscript{15} and the level of significance ($\alpha = 0.05$) was adopted.

Results

Normality assessed by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test being the null hypothesis a normal distribution resulted in a $p > 0.05$ for all variables. The ICC for all the slices chosen were excellent, except for PT on MRI, which was good (\textbullet Table 1).\textbullet Table 1 shows the percentage of knees in which the same or the next slice was chosen by both observers. Good reliability and agreement was observed between CT and MRI measurements for TT-TG and PT-CTG measurements with an ICC of 0.774 (0.659–0.854, $p < 0.001$) and 0.743 (0.615–0.833, $p < 0.001$), respectively. The distribution is shown in the Bland-Altman graphs (\textbullet Figs. 3 and 4). The presence of < 6% of the observations outside the limits of agreement can be observed (confidence interval [CI] of 95%). The TT-TG and PT-CTG measurements were randomly scattered near the zero value of the difference and no systematic bias was observed. The mean TT-TG on CT and MRI were 17.1 ± 4.2 mm and 16.2 ± 3.7 mm, respectively. The mean PT-CTG distance were respectively 17.3 ± 4.2 mm and 16.5 ± 4.1 mm. The interrater reliability was excellent for all measurements (\textbullet Table 2).

Discussion

Our most important finding was the good reliability and agreement of the TT-TG and PT-CTG measurements between MRI (using a body coil) and CT. Since the grade of knee flexion influences the tibiofemoral rotation and hence the distances,\textsuperscript{16} the rigorous standardization in the positioning of the knees was essential to achieve that result. When TT-TG measurement is necessary, the CT study can be substituted by an axial T1-weighted sequence of the knees using the body coil, removing the need of unnecessary radiation exposure in this setting and, most importantly, allowing the use of the same threshold (15–20 mm) classically used in CT. Our study also confirms the excellent interrater reliability of MRI measurements, which had already been shown in previous studies.\textsuperscript{14,17,18}

Schoettle et al.\textsuperscript{19} compared knee CT and MRI (with a routine knee protocol) and found an excellent intermethods reliability, stating that additional CT scans were not

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
Slice & ICC(2,1) (95%CI) & Same slice & The same or the next slice \\
\hline
1 on CT & 0.993 (0.985–0.997) & 73.5% & 97.1% \\
2 on CT & 0.996 (0.989–0.998) & 64.7% & 100% \\
3 on CT & 0.989 (0.928–0.996) & 82.4% & 76.5% \\
1 on MRI & 0.967 (0.934–0.984) & 70.6% & 100% \\
2 on MRI & 0.896 (0.796–0.947) & 64.7% & 94.1% \\
3 on MRI & 0.961 (0.882–0.984) & 52.9% & 91.2% \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Interrater reliability for each slice}
\end{table}

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; CT, computed tomography; ICC, intraclass correlation coefficient; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging.
necessary. However, many later studies have not been able to reproduce these results, concluding that CT and MRI TT-TG measurements are not equivalent, and that MRI measurements are systematically underestimated,\textsuperscript{3,4,7–9} which suggests it would be inaccurate to use the same threshold in MRI and CT in diagnosis and surgical planning.

The TT-TG distance is highly sensitive to changes in knee positioning,\textsuperscript{4,10} and while CT is performed with the legs in full extension, the dedicated knee coil in MRI surrounds the knee in a way it assumes variable grades of flexion (\textasciitilde 25°\textsuperscript{7}) and varus deviation.\textsuperscript{4} A partially flexed position of the knee reduces the TT-TG measurements\textsuperscript{7} due to the progressive

---

Fig. 3 Bland-Altman graph shows the TT-TG measurements randomly scattered inside the CI. Only 4.4% (3/68) of the cases are outside the limits of agreement.

Fig. 4 Bland-Altman graph shows the PT-CTG measurements randomly scattered inside the CI. Only 5.9% (4/68) of the cases are outside the limits of agreement.
internal rotation of the tibia in relation to the femur during flexion. Seitlinger et al.\textsuperscript{16} studied the TT-TG distance in extension and in different grades of flexion in MRI and found that the TT-TG distance decreased significantly during flexion in knees with patellofemoral instability and in healthy volunteers. Aarvold et al.\textsuperscript{7} compared the TT-TG distance in asymptomatic patients measured in MRI studies using a body coil to guarantee full extension of the knees and in MRI using a dedicated knee coil, finding that the latter underestimates the measurements (mean difference: 8.6 mm).

In none of these studies, the positioning of the feet was mentioned. Galland et al.\textsuperscript{20} performed the CT studies using a planar support device to avoid quadriceps contraction, and although they mention a recommendation of placing the feet in the angle of step, they considered feet positioning would not affect patellofemoral measurements (but unfortunately did not present data to support it). We decided to standardize the positioning of the feet for two theoretical reasons. One is the possibility of an undesired oblique alignment of the examined lower extremity in relation to the longitudinal axis of the machine, and the second is that the gravity acting on the feet of a lying supine patient could produce a torque on the knee and rotation of the tibia in relation to the femur.

The slice selection might be a source of a disagreement of the final TT-TG or PT-CTG on both methods. Even though the ICC was excellent or good for all the slices, the agreement over the same slice may be considered poor for slice 3 on CT (32.4%) and MRI (52.9%). We believe the long TT cranio-caudal diameter may cause trouble to decide which slice to choose. Regarding the use of bony or soft-tissue parameters, although both were reliable between CT and MRI, there was a tendency for higher correlation coefficients when using soft-tissue parameters, in accordance to what was observed in MRI measurements by Wilcox et al.\textsuperscript{14} These findings point us to recommend the use of the PT as the distal landmark instead of the TT.

The only systematic review and meta-analysis on the topic\textsuperscript{5} suggests the use of different thresholds for CT and MRI (15.5 ± 1.5 mm for TT–TG distance measured on CT and 12.5 ± 2 mm for MRI), with the limitation that there was no standardization of the positioning and flexion of the knees and the landmarks used.

Ho et al.\textsuperscript{4} concluded that establishing a controlled, reproducible positioning of the patient would be vital to allow the interchangeability of the use of CT and MRI in measuring the TT-TG distance, and that was the main goal of our study.

Precluding the CT use in this setting would avoid radiation exposure in a mostly young population, thus reducing its potential risks throughout life, and also reduce overall costs, though adding a sequence to the knee MRI would increase the MRI study time.

The main limitations of our study include a small sample and the exclusive evaluation of asymptomatic volunteers. Future research should assess the interchangeability in patients with patellar instability.

Another limitation would be that we could not assess the isolated importance of the feet positioning, given that we chose to rigorously standardize positioning of both the knees and feet and did not test different positioning of the feet.

In conclusion, this was the first study that compared MRI using a body coil with the gold-standard CT in measuring the TT-TG and PT-CTG distances, with a good agreement between those methods and an excellent interrater reliability, with the potential clinical implication that the knee CT could be substituted by MRI using the body coil in this clinical setting.
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