Associations between Frailty and Delirium among Older Patients Admitted to an Emergency Department
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Abstract
\textbf{Background:} Switzerland’s demographic trends show, as elsewhere on the planet, increasing numbers of older and very old adults. This suggests that its healthcare system will suffer serious repercussions, including in the use of care and especially the use of emergency services. Significant numbers of older adults will be at risk of developing multiple chronic conditions including one or more geriatric syndromes, such as frailty and delirium. Few studies to date have documented associations between frailty and delirium. \textbf{Aim:} To explore the relationships between frailty and delirium in older adult patients consulting ($n = 114$) at an emergency department (ED) in Switzerland. \textbf{Method:} A cross-sectional study was conducted in a peripheral hospital ED in the French-speaking part of Switzerland. Frailty was assessed using the Tilburg Frailty Indicator (TFI). Delirium was assessed using the Confusion Assessment Method (CAM). Participants’ cognitive states were assessed using the 6-item Cognitive Impairment Test (6CIT) and the Informant Questionnaire on Cognitive Decline in the Elderly (IQ-CODE), completed by the participant’s most significant informal caregiver. \textbf{Results:} The mean participant age was 77.6 years (SD = 7.7); the majority of the subjects were women (54%). The participants took an average of 4.7 different medications a day (SD = 3.2, median = 4). More than half (62%) of the participants were frail; 2 and 14% presented signs and symptoms of delirium and subsyndro-
mal delirium, respectively. A weak but significant association between scores for frailty and delirium \( (p < 0.05) \) was demonstrated, and clinical observation confirmed this. A 4-h follow-up measurement of delirium in the ED revealed no significant or clinical difference. **Conclusion:** Although the literature describes strong associations between frailty and delirium in surgical units and community care settings, the present study only demonstrated a weak-to-moderate association between frailty and delirium in our ED. © 2019 The Author(s) Published by S. Karger AG, Basel

**Introduction**

The number of persons aged 65 years or older has grown significantly throughout Switzerland and in the canton of Valais [1, 2]. According to the canton’s Health Observatory, the number of persons aged 65 years or older quadrupled between 1950 and 2015 [3]. This demographic transition is having far-reaching but predictable repercussions on the healthcare system, including greater recourse to the canton’s emergency departments (ED), which are the 24-h entry points to Switzerland and Valais’ healthcare systems [4]. However, the inevitable aging process and the consequences of increasing frailty do not affect all older adults equally [5, 6]. Reactions to (endogenous and exogenous) psychological, physical, and environmental stressors can tip some older adults towards a functional decline accelerated by the geriatric syndromes of frailty and delirium [7–9].

Frailty is a condition characterized by a person’s increased vulnerability resulting from the decay of their physiological and cognitive reserves [10–13]. It evolves silently, causing a declining ability to resist stressors and thus increasing the risk of undesirable events such as falls, bedsores, repeated hospitalization and ED admissions, loss of autonomy, and premature death [14–17]. Both Santangelo et al. [18] and Clegg et al. [19] documented that frailty was associated with adverse effects, with the development and progression of numerous chronic illnesses, and with post-surgical complications [20].

Delirium is characterized by fluctuating disturbances in arousal, a sudden and rapid onset, trouble concentrating, and cognition secondary to an acute medical condition; it is common and affects 8–17% of older patients attending ED and 51% of patients in postacute care [21]. This syndrome has some important common consequences compared with frailty, such as a loss of executive function leading to falls, increased rehospitalizations, institutionalizations, and premature death [22–27]. Delirium in the ED among older patients has negative consequences and is an independent predictor of prolonged hospitalizations and early death [28, 29]. Older adults visiting ED often experience delirium, but this is rarely recognized [30].

The rapid detection of geriatric syndromes in the ED, such as frailty and delirium, is related to awareness and risks of an increased length of stay, more adverse effects, increased admissions and readmissions, and increased mortality [21]. Frailty and delirium would appear to be two clinically distinct geriatric syndromes; however, their simultaneous onset has often been documented in the scientific literature [20, 24, 25]. Few studies have explored the associations between frailty and delirium on admission to Swiss ED [31–35]. Highlighting an association between frailty and delirium could lead to a better understanding of both these geriatric syndromes and their interdependence, to prevent and detect them more consequently in the ED [33, 35]. Nevertheless, few authors have sought to evidence any relationship between frailty and the initiation of other geriatric syndromes in the ED [20, 21].

We hypothesized that frailty underlies and predisposes older adults to delirium [22]. It is important to establish whether frailty and subsequent delirium are associated in order to develop further strategies and interventions [8, 36].
ED health care professionals carry out rapid, triage type, clinical evaluations and general, clinical, geriatric evaluations of older patients [37, 38]. A more profound understanding of the relationship between frailty and delirium could increase the detection of any acute changes in patients’ mental state. Healthcare providers such as nurses and physicians could play a major role in prevention and the implementation of strategies to reduce the risk factors for frailty and delirium [40, 41]. An ED is both a hub and an entry point to the healthcare system – one via which some older patients return home and others are hospitalized [39]. We can conclude that rapid or early detection of frailty by ED healthcare professionals would help to orient older adults towards appropriate departments or wards and to adapt patients’ management all along their clinical and care trajectories, whether in the hospital or at home. The objective is to identify and minimize the risk factors leading to adverse effects, complications, avoidable rehospitalizations, and early deaths [28, 29, 40].

The present study’s main objective was to explore the presence of frailty and delirium among older adults admitted to an ED. Its secondary objective was to analyze associations between frailty and delirium among those older adults during the 4 h following their admission to an ED. Finally, this study explored the relationships between frailty, delirium, and the sociodemographic characteristics of older adult patients consulting at the ED.

The study’s theoretical framework was based on the Neuman Systems Model developed by Neuman and Fawcett [42]. The emphasis is put on the healthcare professional’s role in preserving and maintaining health using primary, secondary, and tertiary preventative interventions.

**Materials and Methods**

**Study Design and Setting**

This cross-sectional study was conducted in the ED of a peripheral hospital in the French-speaking region of Switzerland. About 50,000 yearly admissions in the ED of the regional hospital, i.e., almost one third, are community-dwelling older adults aged 65 or older (n = 15,000).

**Recruitment**

All older adults, both male and female, who consulted at the ED during the recruitment period were invited to participate in this study. The study inclusion criteria were: (1) age 65 years or older, (2) capacity for discernment or a legal representative’s agreement to the patient’s participation, (3) ability to speak and understand French, and (4) medical assessment confirming discernment of the patient’s ability to participate. Each patient underwent an evaluation of their capacity for discernment carried out by a specialist physician, and a family caregiver was asked to agree to participation when patients were incapable of discernment. Oral and written consent were thus obtained from either the patient or their legal representative.

**Data Collection**

Data collection took place between October 2016 and February 2017 on Mondays and Tuesdays between 7:00 a.m. and 7:00 p.m. The entire multidisciplinary team of the ED was informed about the study’s objectives and how data would be collected. Two master’s degree study nurses underwent a training course on delirium and how to use the CAM with a recognized expert in the mental and physical evaluation of older adults [43]. At the first encounter with potential participating patients, the study nurses presented the study and distributed information sheets and informed consent forms. Patients were given an hour to make up their minds. If the patient was incapable of discernment, their legal representative was asked for consent. During the training period, interrater agreement between each of the two study nurses and the expert was acceptable, with a κ of 0.80 [44].
Measurement

Primary Outcomes

Assessment of Frailty. Frailty was evaluated using the Tilburg Frailty Indicator (TFI) of Gobbens et al. [45]. Although there is as yet no psychometrically validated French version of the TFI, the tool was translated using the scientific method described by Le May et al. [46] and an ulterior psychometric validation is planned. The TFI is divided into 2 parts. Part A contains 10 items which identify the determinants of frailty, such as age and sex. Part B contains 15 questions to evaluate the physical, psychological, and social domains of frailty, and its final score ranges between 0 and 15. Each questionnaire item is represented by a variable: 11 items are dichotomized (yes/no) and 4 are categorical (yes/no/perhaps). Each question results in a score of 1 or 0. A total score superior or equal to 5 suggests that the person is frail. The greater the score, the more the degree of frailty is considered to be significant. Internal coherence demonstrated a satisfactory Cronbach’s α coefficient of 0.73. Construct validity between the different domains revealed significant Pearson's correlation coefficients ($p \leq 0.05$). These were $r = 0.42$ between the physical and psychological domains, $r = 0.19$ between the physical and social domains, and $r = 0.18$ between the psychological and social domains. Convergent validity was judged to be good. Divergent validity was also tested and considered good. The TFI demonstrated good temporal-fidelity stability, with a frailty score of 0.79; after 1 year and 2 weeks, scores were 0.90 [45].

Assessment of Delirium. The signs and symptoms of delirium were evaluated using the French version of the Confusion Assessment Method (CAM), as developed by Inouye et al. [47] and Laplante et al. [48]. The CAM is made up of 9 items, and items 1, 2, and 3 or 4 must be present for the result to be positive. The psychometric values of the CAM have been documented as being excellent, with 94% sensitivity, 89% specificity, and an interrater reliability of 0.70 and 1.00 (Cohen’s κ). In the present study, the interrater reliability was 0.89, which is considered excellent [44].

Despite the absence of a clear definition and a validated tool, this study took incomplete delirium signs and symptoms into consideration (also named subsyndromal delirium; SSD). SSD has been documented as an acute confusion syndrome and shares characteristic core domain symptoms with full-blown delirium which distinguish each of them from the nondelirium groups, although the severity was intermediate in the subsyndromal group. Milder disturbances of delirium core domain symptoms are highly suggestive of SSD [49]. Based on the criteria of Meagher et al. [50] for the nondelirium cases to delineate the SSD group, the following criteria were included: (1) absence of full-blown delirium, (2) acute or subacute onset, (3) at least one symptom documented using the CAM in a dimensional approach (disturbed attention was the most significant), and (4) evidence of other cognitive and/or neuropsychiatric disturbances which could not be better accounted for by another neuropsychiatric condition.

Secondary Outcomes

Cognitive State. The patients’ cognitive state was evaluated using the Informant Questionnaire on Cognitive Decline in the Elderly (IQ-CODE) of Jorm and Jacomb [51] and the 6-Item Cognitive Impairment Test (6CIT) developed by Katzman et al. [52]. The 6CIT evaluates the patient’s orientation in time and space, memory, and concentration, with a maximum total score of 28. A score from 0–7 is considered “normal,” one of 8–9 is considered to indicate a “slight cognitive deficit,” and one \( \geq 10 \) is considered to represent a “significant cognitive deficit.” For overall data, the 6CIT shows 90% sensitivity and 100% specificity; for light dementia it demonstrates 78% sensitivity and 100% specificity [52].

The IQ-CODE is aimed a participants’ close family caregivers, and it allows us to differentiate existing, long-term neurocognitive disorders from recent ones. The questionnaire included 16 questions using a 5-point Likert type scale ranging from 1 (much better) to 5
The mean score for the 16 items (or the mean of the number of items answered) is calculated. The threshold score for suspected dementia is 3.36 [51]. The IQ-CODE demonstrates a high reliability, with a Cronbach α from 0.93 to 0.97 over 3 days and of 0.75 over a year; it shows 79% sensitivity and 82% specificity.

 Participant Characteristics
Participants’ sociodemographic data were collected using a questionnaire and included sex, age, marital status, level of education, income data (revenue from the Valais cantonal pension), and the number of medications kept at home (including contingency treatments). A pretest was carried out on a sample of 5 people with the same characteristics as the population aimed for.

Statistical Analysis
For treating descriptive data, proportion testing was carried out for categorical variables. For numerical variables, we carried out measures of dispersion and central tendency. Measures of correlation were used to answer our main study questions examining the degrees of association between participants’ scores for frailty and delirium, their health status, and their sociodemographic data: the point biserial correlation coefficient ($r_{pb}$) was used for dichotomous variables and Kendall’s τ was used for polytomous variables. Data were stored on and statistical analyses were carried out using IBM-SPSS version 22.0 [53]. $p = 0.05$ was considered statistically significant. Less than 5% of the data was missing and this was not treated.

Results
Participants
A total of 136 older patients who arrived at the ED during the recruitment days between 7:00 a.m. and 7:00 p.m. were eligible for this study; 14 refused to participate for
various reasons such as fatigue, pain, and disinterest. The sample at the baseline measurement included 122 participants. At the second measurement, 4 h later, 8 participants had left the ED, reducing our sample to 114 patients analyzed (84% response rate) (Fig. 1).

**Sociodemographic Data**

Participants were mainly women aged over 77 years (SD = 7.7), and most were married. Most participants were Swiss (88%), 45% had been educated to apprenticeship level, and 81% received a Valais cantonal pension of over CHF 2,500 per month (Table 1).

**Table 1.** Participants’ sociodemographic characteristics in the ED

| Characteristic     | Value |
|--------------------|-------|
| Sex                |       |
| Female             | 65 (54) |
| Male               | 56 (46) |
| Marital status     |       |
| Married            | 68 (56) |
| Widowed            | 39 (32) |
| Divorced           | 13 (11) |
| Single             | 1 (1) |
| Nationality        |       |
| Swiss              | 106 (88) |
| Other              | 15 (12) |
| Level of education |       |
| Obligatory schooling | 20 (16) |
| Secondary school   | 27 (22) |
| Apprenticeship     | 55 (45) |
| Further education or university | 19 (16) |
| Monthly household income* |       |
| Below CHF 1,500    | 0 (0) |
| Between CHF 1,500 and 2,500 | 23 (19) |
| Above CHF 2,500    | 97 (81) |

The total number of patients was 122. Values are presented as numbers (%). *Pension revenue from canton Valais.

**Table 2.** Participants’ health statuses at ED admission

| Variable                      | Value |
|-------------------------------|-------|
| 6CIT scale (M1)               |       |
| Mean±SD                       | 4.6±6.8 |
| Median (IQR)                  | 2 (8.2) |
| Range                         | 0–28   |
| IQ-CODE (n = 9)               |       |
| Mean±SD                       | 4.6±4.3 |
| Median (IQR)                  | 4.8 (4.4) |
| Range                         | 4.2–5  |
| Medications taken, n          |       |
| Mean±SD                       | 4.7±3.2 |
| Median (IQR)                  | 5.0 (6.0) |
| Range                         | 0–16   |

The total number of patients is 122.
Health Status
The mean 6CIT score was 4.6 (median = 2). The participants were taking an average of 4.7 medications a day (SD = 3.2). Only 9 participants were diagnosed with a prior major neurocognitive disorder, including clinical manifestations of memory problems (mean = 4.6), as evaluated by their legal representatives using the IQ-CODE (Table 2).

Frailty
Our findings showed an overall mean TFI score of 5.3, which is above the indicator’s threshold nonfrail/frail score of 5. With regard to these TFI findings, nearly two thirds (62%) of the participants were considered frail on their arrival at the ED (Table 3).

Delirium
Table 4 presents the evolution in the signs and symptoms of full-blown and SSD as measured using the CAM algorithm for full-blown delirium and the dimensional approach for SSD at ED admission (baseline) and 4 hours after ED admission. Two participants presented with full-blown delirium (2%) at admission, and 15 (12%) showed clinical features of SSD. The figures for full-blown delirium hardly changed between the baseline measurements (M1) and measurements taken 4 h later (M2). However, presentation with SSD increased to 18 participants (16%). No significant differences were found between the measurements of M1 and M2 (d.f. = 114), with a mean difference of –0.08 (SD = 0.05; 95% CI –0.18 to –0.02; p = 0.9).

Associations between Sociodemographic Data, Health Status, Frailty, and Delirium
Due to the low number of patients identified as CAM+ (i.e., with full-blown delirium), patients with SSD (1–3 symptoms) were grouped together with them. With regard to sociodemographic data and the TFI score, there was a weak, nonsignificant, negative association with sex (–0.17; p = 0.06) and marital status (–0.10; p = 0.3) and a weak, nonsignificant, positive association with age (0.16; p = 0.07) and monthly pension revenue (0.05; p = 0.6). Nationality showed a weak but significant positive association (0.25; p ≤ 0.01) with the TFI score and no significant association with the participants’ level of education (–0.13; p = 0.08). A weak,
nonsignificant, positive association was observed between the baseline (0.13; \( p = 0.17 \)) and 4-h (0.08; \( p = 0.3 \)) evaluations of cognitive deficiency. A mean significant positive association (0.44; \( p \leq 0.01 \)) was found between the TFI score and polypharmacy. The TFI score also presented a weak but significant positive association between the signs and symptoms of delirium at admission (0.23; \( p \leq 0.01 \)) and 4 h later (0.20; \( p = 0.03 \)) (Table 5).

### Discussion

The sociodemographic data describing the population recruited to the present study corroborate those in other research concerning geriatric syndromes in ED [54–56]. Women made up 54% of the present study’s participants, which is similar to the percentage described by Salvi et al. [57], and the mean age and the distribution of marital status were also similar. A high percentage of study participants had completed apprenticeships, showing levels of education similar to those in the study by Guessous et al. [58]. Although most participants’ monthly income from the Valais cantonal pension scheme was above CHF 2,500, this was significantly less than the figure found by Guessous et al. [58], whose study was carried out in another Swiss canton, which probably explains the discrepancy. Associations between sociodemographic data and frailty scores showed no significant associations linked to participants’ age or sex, contradicting the studies by Fried et al. [59], Rockwood et al. [60], and Guessous et al. [58]. Indeed, these results were surprising because the literature suggests that age and female sex are substantial risk factors for the development of frailty [61–63]. This may be explained by the higher mean ages in these studies, but cultural influences on the particular prevention strategies and healthcare systems chosen by different regions and countries cannot be ignored either. In opposition to the findings of van Assen et al. [64], the present study found no differences between mean frailty scores and the level of education. Household income was not significantly associated with scores for frailty, and this finding was also at odds with the studies by Fried et al. [59], van Assen et al. [64], and Guessous et al. [58]. One hypothesis is that these results are considerably influenced by national differences in healthcare systems and the financial situation of the retired older adults. Nationality was only weakly associated with frailty scores, but the studies by Guessous et al. [58] and Santos-Eggimann et al. [65] do not corroborate our results. The present study only examined nationality – not ethnic origin or

### Table 5. Associations between sociodemographic data, health status, frailty, and delirium

| Variable                                      | TFI score | Pearson’s \( r_{pb} \) | \( p \) value |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------|------------------------|--------------|
| CAM – M1 (n = 121)                            | 0.23      | <0.01*                 |              |
| CAM – M2 (n = 114)                            | 0.20      | 0.03*                  |              |
| Cognitive deficit (6CIT) M1 (n = 121)         | 0.13      | 0.17                   |              |
| Cognitive deficit (6CIT) M2 (n = 114)         | 0.08      | 0.3                    |              |
| Medicationa (n = 121)                         | 0.44      | <0.01*                 |              |
| Sex (n = 121)                                 | –0.17     | 0.06                   |              |
| Age (n = 121) (65–80 vs. >80 years)           | 0.16      | 0.07                   |              |
| Nationality (n = 121)                         | 0.25      | <0.01*                 |              |
| Monthly pension income (n = 120)              | 0.05      | 0.6                    |              |
| Marital status (n = 121) (single vs. couple)  | –0.10     | 0.3                    |              |
| Level of education (n = 121)                  | –0.13     | 0.08b                  |              |

* \( p \leq 0.05 \). a Polypharmacy (>5 medications/day). b Kendall’s \( \tau \).
country of birth – and this may have influenced our results. Furthermore, the instruments used were not the same, and they measured physical frailty only. Study participants took an average of 4.7 medications a day, whereas the study by Herr et al. [66] found a mean of 6.1 and associated polypharmacy with the frailty score. Considering these results, we could hypothesize that the rate of frailty was influenced by polypharmacy and comorbidities. However, a more detailed analysis of the types of medication and comorbidities would contribute to a better understanding of the links between polypharmacy, medication categories, comorbidities, and frailty scores. Our population’s mean TFI score was higher than that of the designer’s original study carried out on a general population sample in 2010 [45]. Two thirds of our participants showed signs of frailty, which is close to the prevalence recorded in an ED by Salvi et al. [57]. Nevertheless, the prevalence in the general population of older adults is lower, as in the studies by van Assen et al. [64] and Santos-Eggimann et al. [65]. These studies used different measurement instruments, however, and thus other classifications in other settings. The hypothesis is that the population consulting at an ED presents with more health difficulties than the population in general. It would have been interesting to analyze why patients consulted at the ED and to compare those data with levels of frailty. No significant associations were found between the two measurements for cognitive deficiency and the frailty score, and these findings do not correspond to those in the studies by Verloo et al. [8] and Leung et al. [67]. However, the reasons for hospitalizations and the study settings are quite heterogeneous and hard to compare [8, 67]. On admission to the ED, 12% of the participants had developed an SSD and 2% were suffering from full-blown delirium. Four hours later, these levels were, respectively, 16 and 2%, demonstrating the fluctuating component of delirium, as previously described by Inouye et al. [68] and Meagher and Trzepacz [69]. However, these rates were lower than those in the study of Marcantonio et al. [72], and other observational studies [70, 71], using the same measurement instrument, found a quiet higher delirium rate for their sample population [72]. Their study, however, was carried out in acute hospital units, which is a significant risk factor for delirium. We could hypothesize that the reasons for admission and participants’ pathologies were confounding factors in our study. The present study demonstrated that frailty was weakly but significantly associated with the signs and symptoms of delirium. This is in opposition to the study by Verloo et al. [8], in which 9 out of 10 participants with delirium were frail and the association was strong. Leung et al. [67] and Jung et al. [73] also revealed a strong association, with patients who were frail before surgery at a greater risk of developing delirium after surgery. It is important to note, however, that these studies used different measurement instruments and that their settings and populations were dissimilar. Indeed, the population in an ED is often very heterogeneous, and the reasons for their consultations and the health conditions involved are numerous.

ED face the significant challenge of providing comprehensive, thoughtful evaluations of older patients presenting with delirium combined with frailty. One issue is that dementia and mild cognitive impairment are common in geriatric ED patients and their signs often go undetected [30]. Routine cognitive screening by ED nurses provides a formal assessment of the patient’s mental status before their evaluation using another instrument, but the routine comprehensive geriatric assessment also provides a baseline for future ED visits. Since frailty is supposed to be a marker for vulnerability, it seems congruent that frail patients are at risk of higher rates of delirium and SSD. The cause of delirium is often multifactorial, including an acute medical illness overlaying a baseline cognitive dysfunction, medication effects and interactions, and decompensating comorbidities. The appropriate evaluation and management of frailty and delirium are critical to a positive outcome.

Frailty and delirium share several commonalities but also have specific differences. Both should be considered multifactorial health conditions as they are characterized by multiple risk factors and their causation is not necessarily specific to a given organ system failure. In
particular, both are predictive of several negative health-related outcomes, most of which could be prevented by applying adapted and personalized interventions. Bellelli et al. [20] showed that frailty and delirium differ in many respects. Frailty is a long-term condition of decline that disturbs an individual’s homeostasis and their capacities across multiple physiological systems; it is usually considered the endpoint in the progression of chronic diseases during the aging process [20]. On the contrary, delirium is an acute condition that occurs in response to a stressor; it may resolve relatively rapidly, though it can sometimes persist for weeks, months, or even years [23]. SSD is a condition in which one or more symptoms of delirium do not progress to full-blown delirium. SSD has been explained as an “incomplete delirium syndrome” [74]. Based upon our findings in the ED, we suggest that SSD should be considered to encompass both categorical and dimensional components, as recent studies have stated [49, 75]. Applying these criteria could allow the identification of SSD in ED patients, appropriate follow-up of the prognosis, and exploration of how SSD is temporally connected to full-blown delirium. SSD could be a stage of an evolving or resolving illness, but we do not understand to what extent it may be a distinct disorder whereby ED patients can experience it without progressing to full-blown delirium [76]. Frailty may thus represent the physiological condition for the development of delirium, and delirium may represent the clinical manifestation of the underlying frailty of an older adult in acute decompensation [20]. From a clinical perspective, cognitive frailty describes the heterogeneous cognitive conditions characterized by the simultaneous presence of both physical frailty and cognitive impairment [77]. Similar to frailty, delirium cannot be regarded as an isolated mental disorder, and there is some evidence that it affects motor function as well [26]. The impaired mobility occurring during delirium may be the sign of a complex system being close to failure, as has been demonstrated in many acute care units for older hospitalized inpatients [78–80]. Frailty reflects the life-long accumulation of physical and cognitive deficits, thus defining the greater or lesser extent of an individual’s vulnerability [81]. Indeed, the appearance of delirium might be the condition which finally provides clinical evidence of a previously overlooked frailty syndrome [20].

This study contains certain methodological weaknesses, and its findings should be treated carefully. Firstly, in addition to the relatively small sample group, data were collected from the unplanned (urgent) admissions to the ED of a single-site, secondary care hospital serving a population of 350,000 people – results should be generalized with caution. Secondly, despite a standardized protocol and training procedure, more than one rater performed the psychiatric evaluations, possibly introducing interrater variability. Thirdly, patients were only assessed for delirium at admission (immediately upon their arrival) and 4 h later. Any patients developing delirium after this time were not included in the analysis, possibly underestimating the onset of delirium. Finally, using the TFI imposed some methodological limitations on this study, as the most widely used screening tool for assessing frailty is that proposed by Fried et al. [59], which requires the fulfilment of at least 3 of the 5 following criteria: weight loss, exhaustion, weak grip strength, slow walking speed, and low physical activity. However, exhausted and severely ill older adults admitted to an ED are often unable to complete these performance-based tests, and thus many of the present study’s participants could not have been assessed using such physical testing. The TFI, however, is a user-friendly questionnaire based on a multidimensional approach to frailty, assessing the physical, psychological, and social aspects of human functioning. A systematic review by Sutton et al. [82] concluded that out of 38 frailty assessment instruments the TFI provided the most extensive examination of frailty’s psychometric properties. The authors concluded that the TFI was a robust, reliable, and valid screening instrument for frailty and that it was easy and quick to administer. The psychometric validation of the French version of the TFI is ongoing.
Conclusion

This descriptive correlational study’s goals were to identify the participants state of frailty, to transversally and temporally evaluate the signs and symptoms of delirium, and to find associations between those geriatric syndromes among older adults consulting at an ED. This study revealed a weak but statistically significant association between frailty and delirium in an ED setting. In view of Switzerland’s and the world’s inevitable demographic transition, the role of the interdisciplinary teams staffing ED will become ever more important. Indeed, systematic screening for these two geriatric syndromes among persons at risk would help to optimize coordination between different professional groups and thus to put in place preventive interventions and actions to reduce rates of complications. Our findings are consistent with the growing body of literature that supports the existence of a long-lasting functional relationship between frailty and delirium. Further research is needed to determine whether interventions aimed at delirium and/or frailty could also help to reduce longer-term functional decline.
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