A 4 and a half years old boy with mesenchymal hamartomas in the left lateral lobe of the liver: A case report and literature review
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Abstract

Rationale: Mesenchymal hamartomas of the liver (MHL) is rarely reported in the left lobe of the liver in children who are more than 2 years old. It is difficult to distinguish it from liver lesions such as hepatoblastoma in children, and hepatocellular carcinoma and focal nodular hyperplasia in adults. In addition, it is hard to correctly diagnose it without pathological examination.

Patient concerns: Mesenchymal hamartomas of the liver (MHL) is rarely reported in the left lobe of the liver in children who are more than 2 years old. It is difficult to distinguish it from liver lesions such as hepatoblastoma in children, and hepatocellular carcinoma and focal nodular hyperplasia in adults. In addition, it is hard to correctly diagnose it without pathological examination.

Diagnoses: Mesenchymal hamartomas of the liver.

Interventions: This patient underwent an operation assisted by the Da Vinci surgical system and the tumor was completely resected.

Outcomes: No tumor recurrence or metastasis was observed 14 months after operation.

Lessons: MHL is a benign tumor that is difficult to diagnose due to the lack of specific clinical symptoms and signs. The management of MHL remains controversial. To achieve a good long-term outcome, complete resection of MHL is recommended.

Abbreviations: AFP = alpha-fetoprotein, CT = computed tomography, DVSS = Da Vinci surgical system, FHN = focal nodular hyperplasia, HB = hepatoblastoma, HBV = hepatitis B virus, HCC = hepatocellular carcinoma, HCV = hepatitis C virus, MHL = mesenchymal hamartomas of the liver.
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1. Introduction

Mesenchymal hamartomas of the liver (MHL) was first reported in 1903 by Maresch.[1] Up to 2003, the number of all MHL cases reported in English was less than 200.[2] It is the second most common benign tumor in children within 2 years old.[3-4] However, the tumor is rare in children more than 2 years old and adults. Only 46 adult cases have been reported up to now.[5] About 75% of MHL was found in the right lobe of the liver.[4]

The clinical manifestations and radiological examinations of MHL are typically nonspecific. It is often misdiagnosed as other diseases, such as hepatoblastoma (HB) of children, hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) of adults, or focal nodular hyperplasia (FHN).[6]

Here, we report a male child (4 and a half years old) with MHL in the left lateral lobe of the liver. The tumor size was 14 cm × 13 cm × 7 cm and was removed by an operation through the Da Vinci surgical system (DVSS). Interestingly, the patient had an identical twin who was admitted to our hospital to take a magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) test of his liver. The result showed no positive findings. We reviewed and analyzed the literature to provide useful information for doctors to distinguish the disease.

2. Methods and results

A 4 and a half years old male child presented to our hospital for abdominal swelling. The child occasionally felt stomach ache that was relieved for no reason. The child showed no obvious tenderness and rebound. He was born as a premature baby in the 32nd week of his mother’s pregnancy. His medical history included inguinal hernia on 2 sides, but he had only received an operation for the left inguinal hernia. No family or genetic history was found. Physical examination of this child showed that the abdomen was obviously bulging. The mass could be touched below umbilicus about 3 fingers. There were no other positive signs. The results of laboratory tests were almost normal, including alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) level. The Child-Pugh score was less than 5. Abdominal ultrasonography showed an uneven lesion in the liver and a diagnosis of HB was considered. Enhancement computed tomography (CT) scanning showed a
large mass in the left upper abdomen and left lobe of the liver with an irregular edge. The size of the mass was 12.3 × 10.2 × 7.2 cm (Fig. 1). There was an uneven nodular shadow and the edge was obvious when the mass was enhanced. Calcification and an expanded bile duct were not observed. The left branch of the portal was pressed and the distant part was shown to be unclear. Abnormal was not observed in the right branch. According to the medical history, the diagnosis of HB was considered. We did not recommend a biopsy to the patient because it might cause bleeding and tumor diffusion. An operation was necessary.

The patient underwent DVSS surgery with sufficient preparation. Exploration showed an extrahepatic 12 × 10 cm mass in the left lobe of the liver (Fig. 2). After the operation, the sample was split. The edge of the tumor was clear but no envelope. Tremelloid mesenchyme was seen on the section (Fig. 2C). The pathological examination showed that the sample was grey red and 14 × 13 × 7 cm in size. The color of the section was grey red and grey white. MHL was diagnosed (Fig. 3). Immunohistochemistry results (Fig. 4) were the following: mesenchymal CD34 (+), D2–40 (+), S-100 (+), SMA (+), CD7 (-), epithelium CK19 (+), AFP (+), HCG (-). After 9 days of recovery, the patient returned home without complications. A follow-up examination, which included blood routine, liver renal function, coagulation routine, and ultrasound scan at 30 days, did not show any abnormality. No adverse or unanticipated event was presented.

3. Discussion

MHL was first reported in 1903 by Maresch.[1] It also has other names such as cavernous lymph adenomatoid tumor, bile cell fibro adenoma, or benign mesenchymoma. Edmondson[7] provided the first definite description of MHL. The incidence of MHL is 0.7 per million population per year.[8] The precise pathogenesis of MHL is uncertain. Aberrant mesenchymal development in the portal tract, likely related to the bile ducts, is the most accepted theory.[9,10] Some reports suggest that MHL...
has the potential to become embryonal sarcoma or angiosarcoma, though there have been no reported cases. Some researches have shown that the C19MC gene on chromosome band 19q13.4 has some bugs. A cluster of 46 microRNAs is encoded by the gene. Those microRNAs are normally only expressed from the paternal allele and in the placenta. In 2010, for a 2 years old female child with MHL, Sugito et al reported a chromosome test that showed t(11;19)(q13;q13.4).

MHL often occurs in children within 2 years old, with an average age of 15 months old. A slight male predominance (3:2 male:female ratio) is observed for MHL. Here, some literature related to MHL is discussed (Table 1). Approximately 80% (14/18) of patients were less than 2 years old. All patients had neither brothers nor sisters. Approximately 80% (14/18) came to hospital for mass or abdominal distention. Only 23% (4/17) of patients had mass in the left lobe of the liver. Only 2 patients had a high level of AFP. Only 28% (5/18) of patients got correct diagnosis before operation. Almost all patients had no special medical history. Typical clinical manifestations included painless and progressive bloating and abdominal mass. However, they usually do not become emaciated, in contrast to HB. A small number of patients had a high level of α-fetoprotein. The size of MHL varied from a few millimeters to more than 15 cm, or even 30 cm. Seventy-five percent of cases occurred in the right lobe of the liver. Ultrasound scans often showed uneven lesions, maybe for reasons of unique cystic mass. There was no rich blood flow sign because of a lack of vessels. Clear blood flow could not be seen at the end of portal or hepatic vein, perhaps because of repression. MHL showed no specific characteristic under unenhanced CT, whereas it showed multiple small cystic lesions in a solid mass in enhanced CT scan. The MR image of MHL is also diverse, that the characteristic of MR image depends on cysts, protein content in the fluid, and stromal composition of the mass. Because of liver fibrosis, solid areas of MHL may show hypointense both on T1 and T2-weighted images. No doctor used the nuclear medicine method to confirm this. The pathological features are the following: the surface of tumor is smooth and soft, and cysts were found on the section. Those cysts contain mucus. Hematoxylin and eosin (HE) staining shows various kinds of mesenchymal components such as expand lymphatic, vessel,
irregular bile duct, myxoid matrix, and collagen. Some liver cells scatter but some cluster together. The tumor never becomes malignant and relapses after an operation. An immunohistochemical study showed spindle cells positive for vimentin and smooth muscle actin and negative for CD31, CD34, and S100 proteins, while the ducts stain positive for CK7 and negative for CK20.

The characteristic of this case was that, this patient was more than 2 years old, in contrast to most reported cases. Moreover, this patient had an identical twin, while all cases of MHL in the literature did not involve any twins. The tumor was in the left lobe of the liver, while for most cases (13/17) they were in the right lobe. He had no high-level AFP, which suggested that HB was not likely. The enhancement CT showed some characteristic of MHL. An uneven nodular shadow and edge was obvious when the mass was enhanced. The feature of enhanced CT images matched with Swiss cheese appearance. It pointed to the way to MHL.

A limitation of the study is that we did not take MHL as a possible preoperative diagnosis because we lack experience. We propose the diagram for diagnosis of tumor in the liver of children (Fig. 5). If we find a mass in the liver of a child, we firstly

---

**Table 1. Literature review of reported MHL cases.**

| Case            | Sex | Month | Brother | Clinical manifestations | Location | AFP | Size     | Primary diagnosis |
|-----------------|-----|-------|---------|-------------------------|----------|-----|----------|------------------|
| Abrahao et al[15] | m   | 5     | n       | Mass                     | N        | +   | 9.5      | HB               |
| Bahador et al[16] | m   | 13    | n       | Mass                     | R        | —   | 12 × 10  | HB               |
| Patel et al[17] | m   | 12    | n       | Mass                     | R        | N   | 20 × 18  | MHL              |
| Rosado et al[14] | m   | 24    | n       | Abdominal distention     | R        | N   | 13       | HB               |
| Martinez-Mier et al[18] | m   | 30    | n       | Abdominal pain           | L        | N   | N        | HB               |
| Chandra et al[19] | f   | 12    | n       | Abdominal distention     | R        | N   | 10 × 9   | HB               |
| Orlovski et al[19] | m   | 13    | n       | Mass                     | R        | —   | 18 × 15  | HB               |
| Sugito et al[20] | f   | 24    | n       | Abdominal swelling       | R        | N   | 6.6 × 5.7 | N                |
| Cajaiba et al[21] | m   | 35    | n       | Abdominal distention     | L        | —   | 15 × 10  | HB               |
| Patel et al[17] | m   | 144   | n       | Bulging abdomen          | R        | —   | 38 × 27  | MHL              |
| Fukuhori et al[22] | f   | 14    | n       | Abdomen tumor            | R        | —   | 6        | FHN              |
| Cajaiba et al[23] | f   | 5     | n       | BWS                      | R        | +   | 1.9      | HB               |
| Ayadi-Kaddour et al[24] | m   | 15    | n       | Abdominal distention     | R        | N   | 9 × 8    | MHL              |
| Smith et al[25] | f   | 84    | n       | Echinococcus granulosus  | R        | —   | 18 × 13  | Hepatic hydatid   |
| Kamata et al[26] | f   | 0     | n       | Abdomen mass             | L        | N   | 8 × 7    | MHL              |
| Antoniou et al[27] | f   | 0     | n       | Diaphragmatic hernia     | L        | N   | 2 × 2.5  | Diaphragmatic hernia |
| Konez et al[28] | m   | 10    | n       | Abdomen mass             | R        | —   | —        | MHL              |
| Baboiu et al[29] | f   | 14    | n       | Abdominal distention     | R        | N   | 13.5 × 10.5 | N      |

AFP = alpha-fetoprotein, MHL = mesenchymal hamartomas of the liver.

---

**Figure 5. Diagram for diagnosis of tumor in the liver of children.**
consider it to be HB because this is the most common and harmful malignant liver tumor in children. The management of MHL remains controversial. MHL has the potential involute spontaneously, especially those with a component of prominent. Percutaneous aspiration may be helpful for large cysts that threaten life and give a chance to take an operation. Till now, complete resection is still the recommended way to treat with MHL. The method includes liver lobectomy and trisegmentectomy. The method should be determined by the location of the tumor and the technical skills of doctors in liver resection. For very large MHL, a large-scale liver resection may cause liver failure, and liver transplantation may be a good alternative. For very large MHL, a large-scale liver resection may cause liver failure, and liver transplantation may be a good alternative. It is the same to the unresectable tumors.[28,31]

HB is the most common malignant liver disease in children. It often occurs in children within 3 years old, and it composed 50% to 62% of malignant liver lesions in children. HB patient often becomes emaciated, anemic, and anorexic. Liver function is usually not affected. AFP is often positive and the hepatitis B virus surface antigen is negative (Fig. 5). The process is rapid. AFP is positive for about 70% of patients. The general condition is bad for most patients (Table 2).

In MHL, lack of typical clinical manifestations and characteristic image appearances make doctors difficult of diagnose it. Some patients may show portal hypertension for the pressure of large MHL tumors. We summarized a distinguish table, which may help us to recognize it. The misdiagnosis of MHL usually shows a negative influence on patient’s management. Most patients went to hospital for clinical manifestations induced by large tumors. Operations are necessary for such patients. If MHL patient was not able to or not willing to undergo liver resection, those clinical manifestations will be still or even worse. The tumor never becomes malignant. It does not relapse when it is removed totally.

4. Conclusion
This case suggests that surgeons should consider MHL as a possible diagnosis, although it is rare in more than 2 years old children, especially for those whose AFP is negative. MHL is a benign tumor that is hard to diagnose due to nonspecific clinical

| Imaging differential diagnosis between MHL and other types of liver mass. |
|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| **Ultrasonography** | **Computed tomography** | **MR imaging** |
| Mesenchymal hamartoma | Large, intraportal mass. Solid component and multiple cysts of variable sizes. | Heterogeneous appearance. Central water attenuation areas. Peripheral thick, heterogeneous area, which enhances after contrast injection. | Solid areas are hypointense both on T1 and T2. Cystic areas have water signal intensity on T2 and variable signal on T1. |
| of the liver | Califications and hemorrhage are rare but may occur. | Enhancement is mild and limited to the septa and stromal components. |
| Hydatid cyst of the liver | Variable findings according to the evolution phase: (1) Simple cyst. (2) Cysts with internal membranes. (3) Cysts with internal daughter cysts and debris. (4) Densely calcified masses. | The pericyst is seen as a hypointense rim on both T1 and T2. The hydatid matrix appears hypointense on T1 and markedly hyperintense on T2. Daughter cysts are hypointense on both T1 and T2. |
| Enteric duplication cyst | Unilocular cysts. Thick double-layered wall. Intracystic hemorrhage may occur. | Water attenuation masses with tick wall. | Water signal intensity on T2. Thick wall, which enhances after gadolinium administration. |
| Lymphangioma | Large cystic masses. Thin walled. Multilocular. Anechoic or containing internal echoes and debris. | Variable density. Water attenuation lesions (serous content) to fat attenuation lesions (chylous content). | Cyst content intensity similar to water on T1 and T2-weighted images. |
| Cystic teratoma | Heterogeneous mass. Pure cystic components. Califications. | Heterogeneity, with areas of water and fat attenuation. Califications. | Signal intensity characteristics of fat (hyperintense on T1) and water (hyperintense on T1 and hypointense on T2). |
| Hepatoblastoma | Well circumscribed solid hyperechoic mass. | Slightly hypoeattenuating. Califications and hemorrhage are common. Enhancement after contrast administration but less than normal liver. | Slightly hypointense on T1 and hypointense on T2. Fibrotic septa are hypointense on both T1 and T2-weighted images. |
| Hemangioendothelioma | Hypoechoic or of mixed echogenicity. Califications, hemorrhage, necrosis, and fibrosis in larger lesions. Color Doppler shows enlarged vessels. | Well-defined hypoeattenuating mass. Calification and hemorrhage. After contrast administration, the enhancement pattern is similar to that of the hemangiomias. | Hypoointense on T2-weighted images. After gadolinium administration, enhancing pattern is similar to that seen on CT. |
| Fibrolamellar HCC | Heterogeneous, hypoechoic, or isoechoic. The central scar is hyperechoic, with or without califications. | Hypoechoic mass. Contrast enhancement in arterial phase. Variable wash-out in portal and venous phases. The central scar is hypoeattenuating and it may have some enhancement on delayed phase. | Typically hypoointense on T1 and hypointense on T2. Fibrous central scar and septa hypoechoic on both T1 and T2-weighted images. |
| Metastases | Multiple solid lesions or diffuse infiltration of the liver. Cystic lesions or solitary solid masses are rare. | Often hypoeattenuating masses. Variable appearance and patterns of contrast enhancement. | Most metastases are hypo- to isointense on T1 and iso- to hypointense on T2. Variable enhancement. |

HCC = hepatocellular carcinoma; MR = magnetic resonance.
manifestations or examinations. The management of MHL remains controversial. Complete resection is recommended to treat MHL for good long-term outcomes. It is also suggested that parents take their children to hospital for examinations at least once a year.
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