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**ABSTRACT:** Colloidal heteronanocrystals allow for the synergistic combination of properties of different materials. For example, spatial separation of the photogenerated electron and hole can be achieved by coupling different semiconductors with suitable band offsets in one single nanocrystal, which is beneficial for improving the efficiency of photocatalysts and photovoltaic devices. From this perspective, axially segmented semiconductor heteronanorods with a type-II band alignment are particularly attractive since they ensure the accessibility of both photogenerated charge carriers. Here, a two-step synthesis route to Cu\(_{2-x}\)S/CuInS\(_2\) Janus-type heteronanorods is presented. The heteronanorods are formed by injection of a solution of preformed Cu\(_{2-x}\)S seed nanocrystals in 1-dodecanethiol into a solution of indium oleate in oleic acid at 240 °C. By varying the reaction time, Janus-type heteronanocrystals with different sizes, shapes, and compositions are obtained. A mechanism for the formation of the heteronanocrystals is proposed. The first step of this mechanism consists of a thiolate-mediated topotactic, partial Cu\(^+\) for In\(^3+\) cation exchange that converts one of the facets of the seed nanocrystals into CuInS\(_2\). This is followed by homoepitaxial anisotropic growth of wurtzite CuInS\(_2\). The Cu\(_{2-x}\)S seed nanocrystals also act as sacrificial Cu\(^+\) sources, and therefore, single composition CuInS\(_2\) nanorods are eventually obtained if the reaction is allowed to proceed to completion. The two-stage seeded growth method developed in this work contributes to the rational synthesis of Cu\(_{2-x}\)S/CuInS\(_2\) heteronanocrystals with targeted architectures by allowing one to exploit the size and faceting of premade Cu\(_{2-x}\)S seed nanocrystals to direct the growth of the CuInS\(_2\) segment.
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crucial, as it determines the accessibility of the photogenerated charge carriers at the particle surface. For example, morphologies such as axially segmented Janus-type heteronanorods or dumbbell nanorods are most suitable for photovoltaic and photocatalytic applications since they make both photogenerated charge carriers accessible.

Several semiconductor HNCs with type-I and type-II band alignments, including CdSe/CdS and ZnSe/CdS dot-in-rod HNCs, have been shown to exhibit improved charge carrier separation. Moreover, type-II CdS/PbS heteronanorods have been shown to behave as nanoscale p-n heterojunctions. However, the applicability of HNCs based on Pb and Sb is severely limited by the toxicity of these elements, and hence, alternative compositions that are more environmentally benign are needed. Cu2S is an attractive candidate because of its relatively low cost and low toxicity. In addition, the bandgap of Cu2S, ranging from 1.1 eV for Cu2S to 2.0 eV for CuS, makes it an interesting material for photovoltaic and photocatalytic applications. CuInS2 is a suitable second component for Cu2S-based HNCs due to its similar crystal structure and bulk band offsets that can lead to type-II carrier localization (viz. band gap: 1.5 eV, valence band offset: 0.4 eV and negligible conduction band offset with respect to Cu2S). Additionally, Cu2S is a p-type semiconductor, while CuInS2 is often n-type doped due to native defects. Hence, an interchange p-n heterojunction can be formed between the two materials, thereby improving the exciton dissociation and spatial separation of the photogenerated electron and hole. However, to allow harnessing of the spatially separated charge carriers, strict control over the size, shape, heteroarchitecture, and heterointerface of the Cu2S/CuInS2 HNCs is crucial.

Copper chalcogenide-based HNCs with different compositions (viz. Cu2S/ZnS, Cu2S/MnS, Cu2S/CdS, Cu2S/PbS, Cu2S/In2S3, Cu2S/CuInS2) have been obtained by several synthetic strategies, but the degree of control over these nanomaterials is still lagging behind that achieved for the prototypical Cd- and Pb-containing HNCs, despite many important advances in recent years. Owing to the high mobility and low-charge of Cu+ postsynthetic cation exchange reactions have been extensively used to successfully obtain a variety of copper chalcogenide-based (H)HNCs. In particular, Cu2S/CuInS2 HNCs with various architectures have been synthesized, such as Cu2S@CuInS2 heteronanodisks and axially segmented Cu2S/CuInS2 heteronanorods. The recent work by Schaar and co-workers is particularly relevant, as the authors demonstrated a multistep sequential cation-exchange synthesis strategy through which 20 nm diameter Cu2S nanorods were converted into 113 distinct multicomponent axially segmented heteronanorods, with up to eight segments, made of up to six materials (Cu2S, ZnS, CuInS2, CuGaS2, CoS, CdS). Nevertheless, the synthesis of Janus-type Cu2S/CuInS2 heteronanorods by partial Cu+ for In3+ cation exchange is particularly challenging due to the low energy barriers for Cu+−In3+ interdiffusion, the high miscibility of Cu2S and InS2 phases, and the pronounced tolerance of nanoscale copper indium sulfide to stoichiometry deviations, which often favor the conversion of the Cu2S template NCs into homogeneous CuInS2 NCs rather than HNCs. Additionally, even when suitable conditions are identified to induce the formation of hetero-
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over the size, shape, and composition of product Janus-type Cu$_{2-\alpha}$S/CuInS$_2$ HNCs. The mechanistic insights gained in this work will enable further optimization of the synthesis strategy proposed here since they show that its outcome is determined by a competition between cation exchange and epitaxial growth. These two processes depend differently on physical–chemical variables (e.g., temperature, adjuvant ligands, etc.)$^{1,4,16,47,50–53}$ and can be independently modulated. The two-stage seeded-injection synthesis strategy developed here thus contributes toward the rational synthesis of Cu$_{2-\alpha}$S/CuInS$_2$ HNCs by allowing one to take advantage of the wealth of knowledge available on seeded-injection$^{13,14,16,47,46,53–58,61}$ and cation-exchange$^{16,30,47–53}$ synthesis protocols and the high degree of control already achieved over the size, shape, and crystal structure of Cu$_{2-\alpha}$S NCs$^{16,30,54,62–73}$ to tailor the CuInS$_2$ segment and the Cu$_{2-\alpha}$S/CuInS$_2$ heterointerface.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This section is organized as follows. In the first subsection, we address control experiments in which Cu$_{2-\alpha}$S/CuInS$_2$ HNCs were prepared by a one-pot approach under conditions that are fully comparable to the two-stage seeded-injection approach developed in our work. Subsequently, we focus on the synthesis and characterization of the Cu$_{2-\alpha}$S NCs that were used as seeds. We then proceed with a subsection on the Cu$_{2-\alpha}$S/CuInS$_2$ HNCs prepared by the two-stage seeded-injection approach. In the final subsection, we propose a formation mechanism for Cu$_{2-\alpha}$S/CuInS$_2$ HNCs by seeded-injection.

Cu$_{2-\alpha}$S/CuInS$_2$ HNCs Prepared by One-Pot Direct Synthesis. Cu$_{2-\alpha}$S/CuInS$_2$ HNCs have been previously obtained by one-pot synthesis approaches.$^{36–38,40,41}$ In order to compare the two-stage seeded injection approach developed in our work to these previous reports, we performed a control one-pot direct synthesis in which a mixture of copper(I) acetate in 1-DDT was injected into a preheated mixture of indium oleate in oleic acid at 240 °C (see the Methods for details). These conditions are identical to those used in the two-stage seeded injection approach discussed below (see the Methods for details), except that Cu(I) is added as copper acetate instead of Cu$_{2-\alpha}$S seed NCs. The reaction temperature is the same previously used in one-pot synthesis approaches,$^{37,40}$ but the chemical complexity of the reaction system has been reduced by using only two coordinating solvents: 1-DDT (which acts also as sulfur-source) and oleic acid. Previous works used a combination of t-DDT and 1-DDT under the assumption that the lower thermal stability of t-DDT would make it the preferred S-source, while the more stable 1-DDT would act only as ligand.$^{37,40}$ However, this is an unnecessary kinetic complication since it is well-known that 1-DDT can act as solvent, S-source, and ligand in the synthesis of Cu$_{2-\alpha}$S and CuInS$_2$ NCs (see also the next section).$^{16,47,66,67}$ Further, mixtures of oleic acid, trioctylphosphine oxide, and oleylamine have been used as coordinating solvents (in addition to DDT).$^{37,40}$ As will be demonstrated below, high-quality anisotropic Cu$_{2-\alpha}$S/CuInS$_2$ HNCs can be obtained by the multistage seeded injection approach in the absence of the latter two ligands, which are thus left out for the sake of simplicity. Oleic acid was kept as the coordinating solvent since it is necessary to obtain a sufficiently stable In$^{3+}$ precursor (In oleate). Another relevant difference between the control one-pot synthesis carried out in the present work and those previously reported in the literature is that in the present case a hot-injection approach is used (i.e., Cu(I) acetate and 1-DDT are injected together in an indium oleate solution at 240 °C), while previous reports used a heat-up approach (i.e., a solution containing Cu(I) and In(III) is heated to 240 °C and DDT is injected into it before the final reaction temperature has been reached).$^{36–38,40}$

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of samples collected at different reaction times are shown in the Supporting Information (Figure S1). Cu$_{2-\alpha}$S NCs are formed at the early stages of the reaction (<2 min) due to their lower activation energy for nucleation and evolve into Cu$_{2-\alpha}$S/CuInS$_2$ HNCs as the reaction progresses. Bottle-shaped Cu$_{2-\alpha}$S/CuInS$_2$ HNCs are already present after 3 min of reaction, as evidenced by the interparticle contrast observed in the TEM image, and coexist with Cu$_{2-\alpha}$S NCs (Figure S1a). At the reaction proceeds, the fraction of HNCs becomes increasingly larger until single composition Cu$_{2-\alpha}$S NCs are no longer observed (Figure S1, 5 min). Concomitantly, the CuInS$_2$ segment of the HNCs grows increasingly longer, while the Cu$_{2-\alpha}$S segment shrinks (Figure S1, 5–30 min). At sufficiently long times (>60 min), the sharp interparticle contrast is no longer observed, indicating that the reaction product consists of homogeneous double-tapered anisotropic CuInS$_2$ NCs with a large polydispersity in size and shape (Figure S1, 90 min). These results are similar to those previously reported for one-pot heat-up synthesis approaches,$^{36–38,40,41}$ implying that the outcome of the reaction is primarily dictated by the nucleation and growth kinetics of the Cu$_{2-\alpha}$S NCs, which appear to be largely insensitive to the heating protocol used (i.e., heat-up or hot-injection). This is consistent with the formation mechanism of Cu$_{2-\alpha}$S NCs from Cu(I) thiolates in which the rate-limiting step is the thermally induced cleavage of the C–S bond of the DDT molecules coordinated to the Cu (I) ions,$^{74}$ leading to slow, reaction-limited nucleation rates. This explains the large size and shape polydispersity of the product Cu$_{2-\alpha}$S/CuInS$_2$ HNCs and CuInS$_2$ NCs since the formation of the Cu$_{2-\alpha}$S NCs that act as seeds is spread over a wide time interval and is followed by a fast growth process under changing physical–chemical conditions. As will be shown below, a two-stage seeded-injection approach provides a better control over the formation and growth of the CuInS$_2$ segment, thereby improving the quality of the Cu$_{2-\alpha}$S/CuInS$_2$ heterointerface and the size and shape dispersion of the HNCs. To this end, high-quality Cu$_{2-\alpha}$S seed NCs must first be prepared.

Synthesis and Structural Characterization of Cu$_{2-\alpha}$S Seed NCs. Figure 1a shows a high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) image of the Cu$_{2-\alpha}$S NCs used as seeds in the multistage seeded-injection approach (see the Methods for details). The Cu$_{2-\alpha}$S NCs are hexagonal nanoplatelets and have a diameter of 24.1 ± 1.2 nm and a thickness of 17.4 ± 0.9 nm. Fourier transform (FT) analyses demonstrate that these NCs have the monoclinic low-chalcocite crystal structure (Figure 1a). In the seeded-injection synthesis approach developed in this work, the Cu$_{2-\alpha}$S seed NCs are injected into an indium oleate solution at 240 °C (see the Methods for details). For bulk Cu$_{2-\alpha}$S, it is known that the low-chalcocite structure can readily undergo a phase transition to the high-chalcocite at high temperatures.$^{77–79}$ The two phases have similar hexagonal close packing of sulfur ions but differ with respect to the distribution of the Cu atoms.$^{77}$ To verify whether phase transformation of the Cu$_{2-\alpha}$S seed NCs from low chalcocite to high chalcocite occurs after the
injection, the crystal structure of the Cu$_{2-x}$S seed NCs was studied by temperature-dependent X-ray diffraction (XRD) (Figure 1b).

Figure 1b shows the XRD patterns of the Cu$_{2-x}$S seed NCs at 28 and 240 °C (additional temperatures are shown in Figure S2). The diffractogram shows that at 28 °C the Cu$_{2-x}$S seed NCs have the monoclinic low-chalcocite phase (PDF Card 00-033-0490), in agreement with the HRTEM analysis (Figure 1a). The diffractogram of the Cu$_{2-x}$S seed NCs at 240 °C can be indexed to the hexagonal high-chalcocite crystal structure (PDF Card 00-046-1195). After being cooled to room temperature, the Cu$_{2-x}$S seed NCs return to the monoclinic low-chalcocite phase (Figure 1b and Figure S2), confirming that the reversible temperature-induced phase transition indeed occurs. This process most likely also happens upon injection of the Cu$_{2-x}$S seed NCs into the indium oleate solution at 240 °C, though the conditions in the reaction flask are different from those during the XRD measurements (i.e., NCs dispersed in a coordinating solvent instead of a dry powder).

**Cu$_{2-x}$S/CulnS$_2$ HNCs by Seeded Injection.** To prepare Cu$_{2-x}$/CulnS$_2$ HNCs, premade Cu$_{2-x}$S seed NCs were injected in a hot solution of indium oleate (see Methods for details). All reactions were performed at 240 °C. This temperature was selected for a number of reasons. First, it is the same used in the one-pot control experiment described above and in one-pot experiments reported in the literature. Second, this temperature leads to the formation of HNCs at a rate that is sufficiently slow to allow it to be followed in detail (Figure S3, see also discussion below). Lower injection temperatures (200 °C, Figure S3) lead to small tear-shaped NCs, while longer and thinner nanorods are obtained at higher temperatures (260 °C, Figure S3). Most importantly, interparticle contrast is not evident in the NCs obtained at 200 and 260 °C, suggesting that HNCs are not formed at these temperatures. The Cu$_{2-x}$S NCs discussed above were chosen as seeds because their size and shape are similar to those of the Cu$_{2-x}$S NCs formed in situ at the early stages of the control one-pot synthesis (see above), allowing for a reliable comparison between the two approaches since all other reaction parameters are the same (viz., reaction temperature, composition of the coordinating solvent, nature and concentration of the In$^{3+}$ precursor, total concentration of Cu$^+$ ions). The only significant difference between the two approaches is that the Cu$^+$ ions are injected as a solution of Cu(I) acetate in the one-pot approach and as premade Cu$_{2-x}$S seed NCs in the multistage seeded-injection approach. The chosen seed NCs are also advantageous to our purposes because they are sufficiently large to allow the temporal evolution of the reaction to be followed by TEM, high-angle annular dark field scanning TEM (HAADF-STEM) and energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX). It may be expected that the size, shape, and crystal structure of the seed NCs have a dramatic impact on the outcome of the seeded-injection synthesis.$^{1,4}$ However, for the sake of conciseness and clarity, we will focus on just one single type of Cu$_{2-x}$S seed NCs (viz., low-chalcocite Cu$_{2-x}$S hexagonal nanoplatelets with diameter of 24.1 ± 1.2 nm and thickness of 17.4 ± 0.9 nm). The exploration of the impact of the size, shape, and crystal structure of the Cu$_{2-x}$S seed NCs lies beyond the scope of the present work.

By varying the reaction time at 240 °C, heterostructures with different sizes, shapes, and compositions were formed. As will be clear below, the reaction can be divided into three partially overlapping stages: (i) early stage (t ≤ 4 min), (ii) intermediate stage (4 min < t ≤ 60 min), and (iii) final stage (t > 60 min). In the following, we will sequentially address each of these stages and subsequently proceed with the advanced compositional and structural characterization of HNCs collected at several time points in stage (ii). Figure 2 shows HAADF-STEM images and corresponding EDX elemental maps of samples collected during the first 3 min of reaction after the injection of the Cu$_{2-x}$S seed NCs (additional images and elemental quantification are provided in Figures S4 and S5, respectively). After 1 min of reaction, the size and shape of the NCs are very similar to those of the original Cu$_{2-x}$S seed NCs and no indium is observed in the particles (Figure 2a and Figure S4a). After 2 min of reaction, the particle size and shape are still the same, but almost all NCs have an indium-rich area (Figure 2b and Figure S4b). After an additional minute of reaction, the indium-rich area has clearly grown and a well-defined heterointerface is present in the NCs (Figure 2c and Figure S4c). Interestingly, despite these compositional changes, the shape and size of the NCs have not significantly changed. The preserved size and shape combined with the incorporation of indium in the Cu$_{2-x}$S seed...
NCs suggests that topotactic partial Cu+ for In3+ cation exchange occurs prior to the epitaxial growth of CuInS2 on the Cu2−xS seed NCs, similar to the observation of Cu1.94S−MnS HNCs in the initial stage of a reaction.31

With further extension of the reaction time, larger heterostructures are formed. As can be seen in Figure 3a, the size and shape of the NCs start to change 5 min after the injection of the Cu2−xS seed NCs, with the formation of acorn-shaped HNCs and subsequently elongated heteronanorods with sharp heterointerfaces. While the length of the HNCs clearly increases with increasing reaction time, their diameter remains essentially the same (Figure 3b). The pronounced evolution in size and shape of the HNCs shows that after 5 min epitaxial overgrowth of CuInS2 dominates over the initial Cu+ for In3+ cation exchange. The transition from the early stage to the intermediate stage of the reaction is thus marked by the onset of the epitaxial overgrowth of CuInS2. Moreover, the diameter of the Cu2−xS segments of the HNCs remains constant while their length continuously decreases during the reaction until they completely disappear (Figure 3c). In contrast, the length of the CuInS2 segments gradually increases with a nearly constant diameter (Figure 3d). These observations suggest that the growth of CuInS2 likely occurs at the expense of the Cu2−xS seed NCs. The size and shape of the particles do not significantly change after 60 min of reaction (Figure 3b), but the sharp heterointerfaces are no longer observed, indicating that the NCs have a uniform composition (Figure S6). The final stage of the reaction is thus marked by the disappearance of the Cu2−xS tips. Further changes to the product CuInS2 NCs will then only occur by slow growth (up to ~90 min, Figure 3c,d) and ripening.

To investigate the origin of the contrast observed in the TEM images discussed above, HAADF-STEM combined with EDX was employed. Figure 4 shows two-dimensional elemental maps of samples collected after reaction times of 10 and 30 min. The elemental maps show that the tips of the particles contain no indium, whereas copper and sulfur are present in the whole NC. The chemical composition of the HNCs obtained from the elemental maps and from bulk EDX spectra is summarized in Figures S7 and S8. Both samples consist of a short Cu2−xS tip attached to a CuInS2 segment, which grows longer with reaction time. The amount of In in the Cu2−xS tips is negligible, being similar to the background In concentration.

NWs suggest that topotactic partial Cu+ for In3+ cation exchange occurs prior to the epitaxial growth of CuInS2 on the Cu2−xS seed NCs, similar to the observation of Cu1.94S−MnS HNCs in the initial stage of a reaction.31

With further extension of the reaction time, larger heterostructures are formed. As can be seen in Figure 3a, the size and shape of the NCs start to change 5 min after the injection of the Cu2−xS seed NCs, with the formation of acorn-shaped HNCs and subsequently elongated heteronanorods with sharp heterointerfaces. While the length of the HNCs clearly increases with increasing reaction time, their diameter remains essentially the same (Figure 3b). The pronounced evolution in size and shape of the HNCs shows that after 5 min epitaxial overgrowth of CuInS2 dominates over the initial Cu+ for In3+ cation exchange. The transition from the early stage to the intermediate stage of the reaction is thus marked by the onset of the epitaxial overgrowth of CuInS2. Moreover, the diameter of the Cu2−xS segments of the HNCs remains constant while their length continuously decreases during the reaction until they completely disappear (Figure 3c). In contrast, the length of the CuInS2 segments gradually increases with a nearly constant diameter (Figure 3d). These observations suggest that the growth of CuInS2 likely occurs at the expense of the Cu2−xS seed NCs. The size and shape of the particles do not significantly change after 60 min of reaction (Figure 3b), but the sharp heterointerfaces are no longer observed, indicating that the NCs have a uniform composition (Figure S6). The final stage of the reaction is thus marked by the disappearance of the Cu2−xS tips. Further changes to the product CuInS2 NCs will then only occur by slow growth (up to ~90 min, Figure 3c,d) and ripening.

To investigate the origin of the contrast observed in the TEM images discussed above, HAADF-STEM combined with EDX was employed. Figure 4 shows two-dimensional elemental maps of samples collected after reaction times of 10 and 30 min. The elemental maps show that the tips of the particles contain no indium, whereas copper and sulfur are present in the whole NC. The chemical composition of the HNCs obtained from the elemental maps and from bulk EDX spectra is summarized in Figures S7 and S8. Both samples consist of a short Cu2−xS tip attached to a CuInS2 segment, which grows longer with reaction time. The amount of In in the Cu2−xS tips is negligible, being similar to the background In concentration.

Figure 2. (a−c) HAADF-STEM images and corresponding elemental maps of the Cu2−xS/CuInS2 HNCs formed by the injection of Cu2−xS seed NCs into a solution of indium oleate at 240 °C. Samples after reaction times of (a) 1, (b) 2, and (c) 3 min are shown. After 1 min of reaction, no significant amount of indium was present in the NCs, whereas after 2 and 3 min of reaction indium is clearly incorporated in the Cu2−xS seed NCs and heterointerfaces are formed, as indicated by the white arrows.

Figure 3. (a) TEM images of Cu2−xS/CuInS2 HNCs formed by the injection of Cu2−xS seed NCs into a solution of indium oleate at 240 °C after reaction times from 3 to 30 min. Scale bars are 50 nm. (b) Average length and diameter of the HNCs obtained at different times after the injection of the Cu2−xS seed NCs. During the first 3 min of reaction, the length of the HNCs remains similar to that of the Cu2−xS seed NCs (shown at time = 0 min), while after 5 min the HNC length starts to increase. The HNC diameter shows no large variation with reaction time. The inset in b is the zoomed-in plot of HNC sizes in the first 10 min. (c, d) The average length (blue spheres) and diameter (red squares) of the Cu2−xS (c) and CuInS2 (d) segments of the product HNCs obtained at different times after the Cu2−xS seed NC injection.
which likely originates from unwashed In oleate and/or In thiolate (Figure S7). As discussed above (Figure 3c,d), the volume of the CuInS₂ segment significantly increases from 10 to 30 min, while that of the Cu₂₋₋S tip remains essentially constant. After another 10 min of reaction, the aspect ratio of the HNCs has increased to ~4, while the volume of the Cu₂₋₋S tips is reduced by ~20%. This corroborates the observations discussed above based on the overview TEM images (Figure 3c,d). The elongated segments remain stochiometric CuInS₂, while the Cu₂₋₋S tips exhibit a Cu:S ratio of ~1.6 (Figure S9), which is comparable to that of the Cu₂₋₋S tips of the HNCs after 10 min of reaction (viz., 1.8 ± 0.1, Figure S7). It should be noted that the HNCs obtained after 30 min of reaction are apparently sulfur-rich (Figure S7), yielding unrealistically high S/In (viz., 3.1, Figure S7) and S/Cu ratios (viz., 3.3 and 1.0 in the copper indium sulfide and copper sulfide segments, respectively, Figure S7). The excess sulfur is also evident in the bulk EDX analysis of these samples (Figure S8) and can be attributed to residual 1-DDT and unreacted Cu and In thioclates, which are sometimes difficult to completely wash away due to their gel-forming propensity.72 This is consistent with the higher background signal of S in the 30 min sample (Figure 4b). After a reaction time of 90 min, the HNCs are fully converted into homogeneous stoichiometric CuInS₂ nanorods (Figure S10).

The Cu₂₋₋₋/CuInS₂ HNCs obtained after reaction times of 20 and 40 min were further analyzed by HRTEM (Figure S5a,b). In both cases, the FT patterns of the CuInS₂ segments can be indexed to the hexagonal wurtzite crystal structure, while those of the Cu₂₋₋₋ tips are consistent with the monoclinic low-chalcocite Cu₂₋₋S. Similar analysis on other single Cu₂₋₋₋/CuInS₂ HNCs is presented in Figure S11. In all cases, the Cu₂₋₋₋ tips have the monoclinic low-chalcocite crystal structure. Figure 5c shows an atomic model of a single HNC reconstructed from Figure 5b. The sharp heterointerface connects the (−204) plane of low-chalcocite Cu₂₋₋₋ and the (002) plane of wurtzite CuInS₂, which have a small bulk lattice mismatch of ~2.6%. The same analysis was performed on other HNCs. It was found that the CuInS₂ segment typically attaches to the Cu₂₋₋₋ segment through its (002) plane and grows along the c axis. However, the plane of low-chalcocite Cu₂₋₋₋ that connects to the CuInS₂ segment varies from particle to particle. For instance, the monoclinic low-chalcocite Cu₂₋₋₋ can also be connected through its (~−2 −4 0) plane with the (0 0 −2) plane of hexagonal wurtzite CuInS₂, as exemplified in Figure S11a. The XRD pattern of the product Cu₂₋₋₋/CuInS₂ HNCs after 40 min of reaction is dominated by the diffraction peaks of the hexagonal wurtzite CuInS₂, without significant contributions from the Cu₂₋₋₋ tips (Figure S12), which is due to the small volume of the Cu₂₋₋₋ tips with respect to that of CuInS₂ (the volume of the CuInS₂ segments is over 7 times larger than that of Cu₂₋₋₋ tips).

The TEM images discussed above (Figure 3b) show that the diameter of the Cu₂₋₋₋/CuInS₂ HNCs is similar to the diameter of Cu₂₋₋₋ seed NCs. This observation, combined with the EDS maps (Figure 4a,b), suggests that the CuInS₂ segments grow on either the top or bottom facets of the Cu₂₋₋₋ nanoplatelets used as seeds. It is, however, possible that the Cu₂₋₋₋ seed NCs undergo a structural reconstruction after the cation-exchange step and prior to the onset of the epitaxial growth of CuInS₂, similar to previous observations on the heteroepitaxial growth of wurtzite ZnS on high-chalcocite Cu₂₋₋₋ seed NCs.80 Therefore, the unambiguous identification of the crystallographic nature of the facets where the CuInS₂ formation starts (i.e., those where the initial Cu⁺ for In³⁺ cation exchange occurs) would require advanced high-resolution TEM studies of the early stage samples (such as those shown in Figure 2). This is, however, precluded by the high electron beam sensitivity of these samples, which contain higher amounts of unreacted precursors that were not completely eliminated by the washing procedures (see discussion above). It should also be noted that the crystal structure of the Cu₂₋₋₋ seed NCs during the reaction at 240 °C is most likely hexagonal high chalcocite, since this phase is more stable at high temperatures (see Figure 1 and discussion above). The growth of wurtzite CuInS₂ on the seed NCs would thus be favored since the hexagonal sulfide sublattices of high chalcocite and wurtzite are very similar.52 Due to the reversibility of the low- to high-chalcocite phase transition,75,76 the Cu₂₋₋₋ tips of the HNCs adopt the low-chalcocite crystal structure when the reaction system is cooled to room temperature. This phase transition is fully compatible with the preservation of the high-chalcocite Cu₂₋₋₋/wurtzite CuInS₂ heterointerface formed at 240 °C because the sulfide sublattices of high chalcocite and low chalcocite are very similar, since the two structures differ primarily regarding the distribution of the Cu⁺ atoms through the available sites.78 The adoption of the low-chalcocite crystal structure for copper-
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fore Cu + sources), Cu$_{2-x}$ S nanosheets are formed (Figure S13g–i) due to halide stabilization of Cu-thiolate 2D templates.72,73

Formation Mechanism of Cu$_{2-x}$S/CuInS$_2$ HNCs by Two-Stage Seeded Injection. The injection of Cu$_{2-x}$ S seed NCs and 1-DDT in a hot In oleate solution starts a series of coupled reactions that ultimately lead to the formation of Janus-type Cu$_{2-x}$S/CuInS$_2$ HNCs. The outcome of this chain of coupled reactions depends on a delicate kinetic balance between all the elementary steps involved, which is in turn determined by the changing reaction conditions, such as the concentrations of the different precursors. Based on the observations discussed above, we propose a mechanism for the formation of Cu$_{2-x}$S/CuInS$_2$ HNCs via seeded-injection, which is schematically represented in Figure 6 and discussed in detail below.

In the first step of the reaction, the as-prepared Cu$_{2-x}$ S seed NCs dispersed in 1-DDT are quickly injected in a solution of In oleate in oleic acid at 240 °C. As evidenced by the EDX maps (Figure 2a), 1 min after the injection of the Cu$_{2-x}$ S/DDT mixture, no indium is incorporated in the Cu$_{2-x}$ S seed NCs. The formation of the HNCs is thus not directly initiated upon injecting the Cu$_{2-x}$ S seed NCs, suggesting that In oleate must first be converted to more reactive In$^{3+}$-species. It is well-known that In carboxylates (such as In acetate or In oleate) are converted to reactive In thiolate complexes if heated to sufficiently high temperatures (≥100 °C) in the presence of thiols.78 We thus propose that the first step of the formation of Cu$_{2-x}$S/CuInS$_2$ HNCs by injection of Cu$_{2-x}$ S NC seeds is the
I. Formation of In-thiolate

\[
\text{In-olate + DDT} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \text{In-DDT + Oleic acid}
\]

II Partial Cu\(^+\) for In\(^{3+}\) cation exchange in Cu\(_{2-x}\)S seed NCs

\[
\text{In-DDT} \quad \text{Cu-DDT}
\]

III. Seed-mediated growth of CuInS\(_2\) on preformed CuInS\(_2\)

\[
\text{CuInS}_2 \quad \text{CuInS}_2
\]

IV. Full conversion to CuInS\(_2\)

\[
\text{CuInS}_2 \quad \text{pure CuInS}_2
\]

Figure 6. Schematic representation of the proposed formation mechanism for Cu\(_{2-x}\)S/CuInS\(_2\) HNCs by injection of Cu\(_{2-x}\)S seed NCs and 1-DDT in a hot solution of In oleate. In the first step, Cu\(_{2-x}\)S seed NCs dispersed in DDT are injected, leading to the formation of In-thiolate complexes. Subsequently, the formation of the HNCs is initiated by a single-step, thiolate-mediated Cu\(^+\) for In\(^{3+}\) cation exchange (step II). The cation-exchange reaction is overtaken by the homoepitaxial growth of wurtzite CuInS\(_2\) on the preformed CuInS\(_2\) surface (step III). Eventually, the Cu\(_{2-x}\)S tips disappear and pure CuInS\(_2\) NCs are formed (step IV).

conversion of In oleate into In thiolate complexes by reaction with the injected DDT (step I, Figure 6).

Two minutes after the injection of the Cu\(_{2-x}\)S seed NCs, a small corner of CuInS\(_2\) is visible in most Cu\(_{2-x}\)S seed NCs (Figure 2b), indicating the onset of the formation of HNCs (step II, Figure 6). The size and shape of the NCs is, however, not significantly changed (Figure 3b), indicating that the formation of the heterostructures is initiated by a topotactic cation-exchange reaction where Cu\(^+\) is extracted from the NCs and In\(^{3+}\) is incorporated into the crystal, while the size and shape of the NC is preserved. Cation exchange requires a delicate balance of all reaction steps, viz., cleavage of the bond between the incoming cation (In\(^{3+}\)) and the thiolate ligand, formation of an In−S bond, followed by In\(^{3+}\) diffusion into the NC, formation of a bond between the host-cation (Cu\(^+\)) and the thiolate ligand, cleavage of a Cu−S bond, and diffusion of the Cu thiolate complex from the NC into solution, leaving a Cu\(^+\) vacancy that must be filled by Cu\(^+\) diffusing to the surface to allow the reaction to proceed. Ultimately, the driving force for the cation-exchange reaction is determined by the reactivities and stabilities of both the parent and product NCs, as well as of the cation complexes in solution.\(^{50,52}\)

The delay prior to the start of the cation-exchange reaction can thus be explained by the requirement that first suitable precursors for the cation-exchange reaction must be formed. Prior to the injection, indium is present as In oleate, a stable complex of the hard Lewis acid In\(^{3+}\) (\(\eta = −13\) eV)\(^{79}\) with the hard Lewis base oleate. In addition, Cu\(^+\) is a soft Lewis acid (\(\eta = 6.28\) eV),\(^{79}\) and hence, oleate is not a suitable extracting ligand for Cu\(^+\), making the cation exchange unfavorable when only In oleate is present. As proposed above, when the suspension of Cu\(_{2-x}\)S NCs in 1-DDT is injected into the hot In oleate solution, In thiolate complexes are formed by reaction between DDT and In oleate. According to the HSAB theory, In thiolate is a less stable complex than In oleate, while the formation of Cu(1) thiolate is favored since thiolate is a soft Lewis base (absolute hardness thiolate \(\eta = 6\) eV).\(^{61}\) This makes the thiolate-mediated single step Cu\(^+\) for In\(^{3+}\) exchange favorable, provided a sufficiently high concentration of In thiolate is available. Once one Cu\(^+\) ion is exchanged for an In\(^{3+}\) ion, the resulting charge imbalance in the NC will force two more Cu\(^+\) ions out of the NC to balance the overall charge. This Cu\(^+\) extraction leads to the formation of more Cu\(^+\) vacancies in the NC, which in turn favors the diffusion of cations within the NC. The sufficiently high concentration of In thiolate complexes will then lead to the incorporation of more In\(^{3+}\), which in turn will force more Cu\(^+\) ions to diffuse out of the NC, and so a cascade of reactions is started. This can also explain the observation that the cation exchange always starts from one side of the NC: once the cation exchange is initiated at a certain point, it is most likely to proceed there because of the cascade of reactions.\(^{50,60,61}\) It should be noted that topotactic partial Cu\(^+\) for In\(^{3+}\) cation exchange in Cu\(_{2-x}\)S NCs with crystal structures containing hcp sulfide sublattices (such as low and high chalcocite or roxbyite) has been shown to lead to the formation of wurtzite CuInS\(_2\), NCs.\(^{42−44,50−54}\)

At longer reaction times (>5 min), the shape and size of the NCs start to change (Figure 3), indicating that the reaction is no longer occurring via topotactic cation exchange (step III, Figure 6). Instead, the Cu\(_{2-x}\)S/CuInS\(_2\) HNCs grow further via a seeded growth mechanism from Cu, In, and S monomers in solution. The cation exchange is thus terminated before the whole Cu\(_{2-x}\)S seed NC is converted into CuInS\(_2\). The homoepitaxial growth of CuInS\(_2\) directly on the Cu\(_{2-x}\)S seed NCs is unfavorable due to the dynamic nature of the Cu\(_{2-x}\)S NC surface, in which the Cu\(^+\) ions are very mobile.\(^{75}\) The in growth of a wurtzite CuInS\(_2\) domain by topotactic partial Cu\(^+\) for In\(^{3+}\) cation exchange in the first 5 min of reaction provides a stable CuInS\(_2\) surface, onto which CuInS\(_2\) can readily grow through homoepitaxy from Cu, In, and S precursors from solution. The observation that the cation exchange stops when the homoepitaxial growth of CuInS\(_2\) starts implies that the latter has a lower energy barrier than the former under the conditions prevalent in our experiments (i.e., absence of strong Cu\(^+\)-extracting agents). Therefore, homoepitaxial growth outcompetes Cu\(^+\) for In\(^{3+}\) cation exchange for the limited supply of In−DDT complexes and the formation of the Cu\(_{2-x}\)/CuInS\(_2\) HNCs proceeds via seeded growth of wurtzite CuInS\(_2\) from precursors in solution.

As discussed above, the Cu\(_{2-x}\)S seed NCs are the only source of Cu\(^+\) ions for the formation of CuInS\(_2\). In the first phase of the reaction (cation exchange), Cu\(^+\) is extracted from the NCs into solution, providing Cu\(^+\) for the subsequent
growth of CuInS₂ in the second phase. However, the volume of the CuInS₂ segment after a reaction time of 30 min is much larger than the volume of the original Cu₂−S seed NCs, implying that, besides the Cu⁺ originating from the cation-exchange reactions, additional Cu⁺ must be present in solution. This additional Cu⁺ likely originates from dissolution of part of the Cu₂−S seed NCs prior to the onset of the cation exchange and homoepitaxial growth phases. A control experiment, in which a suspension of Cu₂−S seed NCs in 1-DDT was injected into neat oleic acid at 240 °C (Figure S14), demonstrated that the Cu₂−S seed NCs are indeed susceptible to dissolution under these reaction conditions. However, we note that the dissolution in the presence of In oleate should be much less pronounced after the first minute of reaction due to the onset of the cation-exchange reactions and subsequent growth of CuInS₂.

At even longer reaction times (>30 min), the Cu₂−S tips start to shrink and eventually disappear (<60 min), leaving single-component wurtzite CuInS₂ nanorods as the final reaction product (step IV, Figures 6, S6, and S10). Further changes to the CuInS₂ nanorods will then only occur by slow growth until the Cu and In thiolates remaining in solution are fully depleted. This slow growth phase is accompanied by pronounced internal ripening, resulting in icicle-shaped nanorods (Figure S6). The reduction of the volume of the Cu₂−S tips indicates that Cu⁺ ions for the growth of CuInS₂ originate not only from the dissolution of Cu₂−S seed NCs prior to the onset of the formation of CuInS₂ but also from consumption of Cu₂−S tips during the epitaxial growth. The disappearance of Cu₂−S tips and the formation of single-component CuInS₂ nanorods can be rationalized by two possible pathways: (1) the depletion of Cu⁺ ions by the growth of CuInS₂ leads to a low concentration of Cu⁺ in solution, thereby eventually driving complete dissolution of the Cu₂−S tips, which are then used to further grow the CuInS₂; (2) the Cu⁺ ions in the Cu₂−S lattice are highly mobile at high temperatures75–77 and therefore diffuse to the heterointerface to form CuInS₂. These pathways imply that the fate of the Cu₂−S segment strongly depends on the available Cu⁺ ions in the solution (see control experiments above, Figure S13) or in the Cu₂−S seed NCs. Lastly, prolonged reaction times do not induce the conversion of CuInS₂ NCs into In₅S₃ (Figure 6), because the Cu⁺ for In₅⁺ cation exchange in Cu₂−S NCs is self-limited, as demonstrated in previous reports52,54,61.

The mechanism proposed in the present work for the formation of Cu₂−S/CuInS₂ HNCs by seeded growth differs from mechanisms previously proposed based on one-pot synthesis protocols in two important ways: (i) the first step consists of a topotactic partial Cu⁺ for In₅⁺ cation-exchange reaction, rather than a “catalyst-assisted” reaction as proposed in refs 37, 40, and 41. The “catalyst-assisted” mechanism assumes that In₅⁺ dissolves into the (in situ formed) Cu₂−S seed NCs until the solubility limit of the CuInS₂ phase is exceeded, causing its phase separation. This assumption is inconsistent with the observations discussed above (the CuInS₂ domain is directly formed, and the remaining Cu₂−S domains are In free). (ii) The CuInS₂ growth proceeds by homoepitaxy, rather than heteroepitaxy as proposed in e.g., ref 36.

CONCLUSIONS

In this work, a two-step synthesis route to Janus-type Cu₂−S/CuInS₂ HNCs is developed. The Cu₂−S/CuInS₂ HNCs were obtained by injecting preformed Cu₂−S seed NCs dispersed in 1-DDT, into a hot indium oleate solution. By making use of preformed Cu₂−S seed NCs, the two stages of the Cu₂−S/CuInS₂ HNC formation were separated. This allowed for the synthesis of anisotropic HNCs with tunable size, shape, and composition, and small polydispersity. Interestingly, elemental mapping of the product NCs obtained in the first few minutes of reaction suggests that the formation of the Cu₂−S/CuInS₂ HNCs is initiated by a single-step, thiolate-mediated topotactic Cu⁺ for In³⁺ exchange which results in the formation of a wurzite CuInS₂ domain on one side of the Cu₂−S seed NCs. At longer reaction times, the cation-exchange reaction is overtaken by homoepitaxial growth of wurtzite CuInS₂ on the preformed CuInS₂ surface. The good control over size, shape, and composition of the HNCs offered by this method allowed for the formation of well-defined Janus-type Cu₂−S/CuInS₂ HNCs that are of potential interest for applications such as photovoltaics and photocatalysis. The two-stage seeded-injection synthesis strategy developed here contributes toward the rational synthesis of Cu₂−S/CuInS₂ HNCs by allowing one to leverage on the knowledge available on both seeded-injection1,4,30,47,48,55 and cation-exchange16,30,47–53 synthesis protocols and the high degree of control already achieved over the size, shape, and crystal structure of Cu₂−S NCs15,30,54,62 to tailor the Cu₂−S/CuInS₂ HNCs. Follow-up work should thus be directed toward exploring the impact of using Cu₂−S seed NCs with different sizes, shapes and crystal structures and on modulating the balance between cation exchange and epitaxial growth.

METHODS

Materials. Indium nitrate hydrate (In(NO₃)₃·H₂O, 99.9%), copper(I) acetate (CuOAc, 97%), copper acetylacetonate (Cu(acac)₂, 97%), copper(I) iodide (CuI, 98%), copper(I) bromide (CuBr₂, 98%), copper(I) chloride (CuCl, 99%), 1-dodecanethiol (1-DDT, ≥98%), oleic acid (OA, 90%), oleylamine (OLAM, 70%), anhydrous toluene, methanol, butanol, and ethanol were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used as received.

One-Pot Direct Synthesis of Cu₂−S/CuInS₂ HNCs. As a control experiment, Cu₂−S/CuInS₂ HNCs were also prepared without using preformed Cu₂−S seed NCs. Briefly, In(NO₃)₃·H₂O (0.06 g, 0.2 mmol) was mixed with 4 mL of OA in a three-neck flask. The In(NO₃)₃·H₂O/OA mixture was degassed for 30 min at 120 °C and then heated to 240 °C at a rate of ~20 °C/min under nitrogen protection. At 240 °C, a solution of CuOAc (0.025 g, 0.2 mmol) in 5 mL of 1-DDT was rapidly injected into the hot solution and the mixture was allowed to react for various times. To stop the reaction, the heating was turned off and the mixture was naturally cooled down and washed with an excess of ethanol followed by centrifugation at 2750 rpm for 10 min. The Cu₂−S/CuInS₂ HNCs were dispersed in toluene and stored in a glovebox.

Two-Stage Seeded-Injection Synthesis of Cu₂−S/CuInS₂ HNCs. Prior to the seeded-injection synthesis, Cu₀.₅S seed NCs were prepared according to a previously reported method57 (Cu(acac)₂, 0.79 g, 3 mmol), 15 mL of OLAM, and 15 mL of 1-DDT were mixed and gradually heated to 240 °C under N₂ protection using a standard Schlenk line. The mixture was kept at this temperature for 2 h before naturally cooling to room temperature. The products were washed three times by addition of an excess of isometric methanol and butanol solution, followed by centrifugation at 2750 rpm for 10 min. The yield of Cu₂−S NC precipitates were dispersed in 12 mL of anhydrous toluene and stored in a glovebox for further use.

For a typical seeded-injection reaction, 1 mL of the Cu₂−S seed NC stock solution was precipitated using an excess of isometric methanol and butanol solution, followed by centrifugation at 2750
rpm for 10 min. The NCs were redispersed in 5 mL of 1-DDT. Meanwhile, In(NO3)3⋅x H2O (0.06 g, 0.2 mmol) was mixed with 4 mL of OA and degassed for 30 min at 120 °C. The In(NO3)3−OA mixture was then heated to 240 °C under nitrogen protection. At 240 °C, the as-prepared Cu2−x S seed NC solution was rapidly injected into the hot solution and the mixture was allowed to react for various times (1−90 min). The reaction mixture was then naturally cooled down and washed with an excess of ethanol using the same washing procedures described above. The product Cu2−x/CuInS2 HNCs were finally redispersed in 5 mL of toluene and stored in a glovebox.

Control Experiments. To investigate the influence of extra Cu(I) precursors on the reaction, different amounts of CuOAc or Cu halides were first dissolved into 5 mL of 1-DDT. The effect of the Cu oxidation state and of the anion was studied by dissolving either precursors on the reaction, di
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