Social anxiety disorder in genuine halitosis patients

Takashi Zaitsu¹*, Masayuki Ueno¹, Kayoko Shinada², Fredrick A Wright³ and Yoko Kawaguchi¹

Abstract

Background: There is a possibility that genuine halitosis patients‘ anxiety do not recover after oral malodor treatment due to their social anxiety disorder. The objective of this study was to investigate the influence of social anxiety disorder on the level of anxiety in genuine halitosis patients before and after treatment for oral malodor.

Methods: The subjects were 262 genuine halitosis patients who visited the Fresh Breath Clinic from March, 2008 to October, 2009. The subjects who had score 2 or higher by the organoleptic test were diagnosed as genuine halitosis patients. Gas chromatography (GC) was conducted before and after oral malodor treatment for the oral malodor measurement. Based on their risk of social anxiety disorder, subjects were divided into low- and high-risk groups using the Liebowitz Social Anxiety Scale (LSAS). The questions related to oral malodor and the clinical oral examination were both conducted before oral malodor treatment. The level of anxiety before and after oral malodor treatment was evaluated using the Visual Analogue Scale of Anxiety (VAAS).

Results: More than 20% of subjects had a score of 60 or more on the LSAS (high LSAS group). The mean age and the percentage of females were significantly higher in the high LSAS group compared to the low LSAS group. The high LSAS group was more likely to have problems associated with oral malodor and to adopt measures against oral malodor compared to the low LSAS group. The mean concentrations of H₂S and CH₃SH by GC significantly decreased after the oral malodor treatment in both LSAS groups. VAAS scores also significantly decreased after treatment in both LSAS groups. The logistic regression analysis indicated that the high LSAS group had a 2.28 times higher risk of having a post-VAAS score of 50 or more compared to the low LSAS group.

Conclusions: This study revealed that genuine halitosis patients with a strong trait of social anxiety disorder have difficulty overcoming their anxiety about oral malodor. Oral malodor treatment of genuine halitosis patients requires not only regular oral malodor treatment but also attention to social anxiety disorder.

Background
Several studies reveal that social anxiety disorder is the most common anxiety disorder. It usually has an early onset, and has serious effects on social interactions and quality of life [1,2]. The Diagnostic and Statistical Manual, Fourth Edition (DSM-IV) of the American Psychiatric Association defines social anxiety disorder as a persistent fear in one or more social or performance situations whenever the person is exposed to unfamiliar people or to possible scrutiny by others [3]. In the United States, social anxiety disorder has the third highest prevalence among psychological diseases after depression and alcohol dependence [4]. Excessive anxiety in social situations causes considerable distress and impairs carrying out daily activities [5].

Previous research revealed that pseudohalitosis patients have higher scores on some items of the Liebowitz Social Anxiety Scale (LSAS), a questionnaire to evaluate the social anxiety disorder, than genuine halitosis patients [6]. Moreover, generalized social anxiety disorder was observed in 19.5% of genuine halitosis patients, and 27.9% of the pseudohalitosis patients, for a combined 21.8% of all halitosis patients. These findings suggest that there is a relationship between the type of patient with oral malodor and social anxiety disorder.

According to the classification of halitosis by Yaegaki et al., genuine halitosis is defined as “obvious malodor with intensity beyond a socially acceptable level is
perceived”, and pseudohalitosis is defined as “obvious malodor is not perceived by others, although the patient stubbornly complains of its existence. [7,8]” Some research indicates that pseudohalitosis patients have a stronger tendency for depression compared with genuine halitosis patients [9,10]. It has also been suggested that pseudohalitosis is related to both their somatic and emotional status, and that psychological disorders are strongly associated with the halitosis classification. Thus, pseudohalitosis patients receive instruction, education and counseling as part of their treatment [11].

However, the counseling procedure for genuine halitosis patients has not been examined thoroughly. It is often the case that the treatment of the genuine halitosis patient ends when regular oral malodor treatment ends. Because their mental aspect is not fully addressed, some genuine halitosis patients do not improve their anxiety level and QOL despite amelioration of their oral malodor. Some research suggests that, like pseudohalitosis patients, genuine halitosis patients may also have associated psychological problems, such as social anxiety disorder [7,12], that may be overlooked. The hypothesis in this study is that some genuine halitosis patients may have social anxiety disorder that hinders their recovery from oral malodor related anxiety. If a relationship between social anxiety disorder and the worry or uneasiness of oral malodor patients is established, the necessity for oral malodor treatment to take social anxiety disorder into consideration will be clarified.

Therefore, the purposes of this study were to examine the influence of social anxiety disorder on oral malodor-related anxiety before and after the treatment of the oral malodor.

Methods

Study subjects

Patients who visited or were referred to the Fresh Breath Clinic at the Dental Hospital of Tokyo Medical and Dental University between March, 2008 and October, 2009 were invited to join the study. After being told the nature of the research, two hundred and sixty-two patients (83 males and 179 females, mean age: 51.9 ± 14.3 years, age range 16-83 years), diagnosed with genuine halitosis, signed the informed consent form and participated in the study. The study protocol was approved by the Tokyo Medical and Dental University Ethics Committee.

Study Instruments

A self-administered questionnaire was administered to all subjects at the initial visit. The questionnaire consisted of 1) Questions related to oral malodor, 2) The Liebowitz Social Anxiety Scale (LSAS) [13] and 3) The Visual Analogue Scale of Anxiety (VAAS) [14,15].

Following the questionnaire, oral malodor and oral health status were assessed. Oral malodor was measured by specialist dentists at the clinic. The oral malodor treatment was based on the Treatment Need (TN) by classification of Halitosis by Yaegaki et al. [7,11,16]. After the completion of treatment for oral malodor, we conducted the VAAS again.

1. Questionnaire

(1) Questions related to oral malodor

The following five questions consisted of: 1) “How did you first notice your bad breath?”, 2) “What do you see as the problem with your having bad breath?”, 3) “Is there anything you do to decrease your bad breath?”, 4) “Are you anxious about others’ smells?”, 5) “Are you sensitive to the smell?”, and 6) “Are you anxious about body odor?”

(2) Liebowitz Social Anxiety Scale (LSAS)

The LSAS contains 24 situational questions (13 performance and 11 social interaction items), to which subjects respond on a 4-point scale for both fear/anxiety and avoidance sections [13,17]. The scale range of fear/anxiety was from 0 to 3 (0 = none, 1 = mild, 2 = moderate, and 3 = severe) and avoidance was from 0 to 3 (0 = never, 1 = occasionally, 2 = often, and 3 = usually). Total fear/anxiety and total avoidance scores are both 0-72, and thus, the total LSAS score falls between 0-144.

The Japanese version of the scale (LSAS-J) was used in this study [18]. The cutoff value of the LSAS to diagnose generalized social anxiety disorder is 60 [19,20]. Therefore, we placed subjects with a total LSAS score of 60 or higher in the high LSAS group and subjects with a total LSAS score of 59 or lower in the low LSAS group.

(3) Visual Analogue Scale of Anxiety (VAAS)

The VAAS was conducted to evaluate patients’ anxiety before treatment (pre-VAAS) and after treatment (post-VAAS). The VAAS is a 10 cm-long horizontal line, in which subjects mark their anxiety level with a ballpoint pen. The minimum score is 0 which means “no anxiety at all” and the maximum is 100 representing “worst anxiety imaginable [21].” We placed subjects with VAAS scores of less than 50 in the “low VAAS group”, and those with scores of 50 or more in the “high VAAS group”.

2. Oral malodor assessment

We conducted two methods, the Organoleptic test (OT) and Gas chromatography (GC) for the oral malodor measurement. Measurements were conducted between 9 and 11 o’clock in the morning because morning breath odor has been used as a standard mouth breath for oral malodor [22]. We advised patients not to have food or drink, and to refrain from their usual oral hygiene practice on the morning of the oral malodor assessment. To exclude confounding smells, we instructed patients to stop eating strong-smelling foods for at least 48 hours.
before the oral malodor assessment, stop using strong-scented perfumes for 24 hours, and stop smoking or drinking alcohol for 12 hours prior to the assessment.

(1) Organoleptic Test (OT)
We used the OT as the clinical measurement of oral malodor. The OT was conducted after subjects had closed their mouth for 3 minutes while breathing through their nose. The OT was performed by 7 dentists, who were calibrated with the T&T Olfactometer (Daiichi Yakuhin Sangyo Co. Tokyo, Japan), an odor solution kit for examining the olfactory sense [23,24]. Two judges rated the malodor on a 0-5 scale where a score of 0 = absence of odor, 1 = barely appreciable odor, 2 = slight malodor, 3 = moderate malodor, 4 = strong malodor, and 5 = severe malodor [25-27]. If the judges gave different scores, the mean score represented the score for the subject. Subjects who were scored 2 or higher by the OT were diagnosed as having genuine halitosis.

(2) Gas chromatography (GC)
A GC-8A gas chromatograph (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) equipped with a flame photometric detector was used for the GC analysis. It has an auto-injection system with a 10 ml Teflon (Du Pont, Tokyo, Japan) sample loop and a column packed with 25% 1, 2, 3-tris (2-cyanoethoxy) propane on an 80/100 mesh Shimalite AW-DMCS-ST support system at 60°C. The Teflon tube was directly inserted into the oral cavity of a patient through the lips and teeth for the malodor measurement, and 20 mL of mouth air was aspirated with a syringe connected to the outlet of the auto-injector. Following the aspiration, a 10 mL sample of air was transferred to the column and chromatographed by a sulfur chemiluminescence detector that specifically responded to sulfur. The volatile sulfur compounds (VSCs) gases, H₂S and CH₃SH, were determined by their characteristic retention times, and quantities were calculated by comparing their peak areas with those of dilutions of standard gases of H₂S and CH₃SH that were prepared by a sulfur chemiluminescence detector that specifically responded to sulfur. The concentrations of H₂S and CH₃SH were dichotomized having less than 0.1 mL/min and 0.1 mL/min or more.

Statistical analysis
Student t-tests and Chi-squared tests were used to compare the means or distributional differences of age, gender, oral health status, questions related to oral malodor and VAAS between the low LSAS and high LSAS groups. Paired t-tests were conducted to detect differences of mean concentrations of VSC gases and VAAS scores before and after treatment. Values of oral health status were recorded for multivariate statistical analysis. We categorized patients as having either 19 or fewer teeth present or 20 or more teeth present, and separately categorized as having either 0 decayed teeth or 1 or more decayed teeth. For PPD, BOP, and PI, patients were categorized into low and high groups by the median. For salivary flow rate, patients were categorized as having less than 0.1 mL/min and 0.1 mL/min or more. The concentrations of H₂S and CH₃SH were dichotomized using the threshold levels.

ANCOVA was performed with the post-VAAS score as the dependent variable, and the two LSAS groups as independent variables after adjusting for age, gender, pre-VAAS score and the concentration of VSC gases after the treatment. We conducted logistic regression analysis with the post-VAAS score as the dependent variable, and age, gender, LSAS, oral health status, pre-VAAS score, and the concentration of VSC gases after treatment as independent variables.

All tests were conducted at the 5% significance level. SPSS statistical software package was used for all analyses (SPSS 18.0); SPSS Japan, Tokyo, Japan).

Results
Characteristics of the two LSAS groups
The mean LSAS score was 38.9 ± 28.3. The proportion of subjects in the high LSAS group was 22.9% (N = 60),
and in the low LSAS group was 77.1% (N = 202). The mean age and the percentage of females were significantly higher in the high LSAS group (55.5 ± 14.5, 85.0%, respectively) compared to the low LSAS group (50.8 ± 14.0, 63.4%, respectively) (P = 0.031, P = 0.001, respectively).

Oral malodor
The mean concentrations of H\textsubscript{2}S before the oral malodor treatment were 6.44 ± 5.29 in the low LSAS group and 6.98 ± 5.39 in the high LSAS group, and after treatment the concentrations were 0.54 ± 0.81 in the low LSAS group and 0.42 ± 0.79 in the high LSAS group. Both groups had significantly decreased concentrations of H\textsubscript{2}S after treatment (P < 0.001). The mean concentrations of CH\textsubscript{3}SH before the oral malodor treatment were 2.31 ± 2.21 in the low LSAS group and 2.37 ± 2.18 in high LSAS group, and after the treatment the concentrations were 0.17 ± 0.26 in the low LSAS group and 0.11 ± 0.23 in the the high LSAS group. Both groups had significantly decreased concentrations of CH\textsubscript{3}SH after treatment (P < 0.001).

Oral health status
As shown in Table 1 the high LSAS group had a significantly lower number of teeth present and a significantly lower plaque index score compared with the low LSAS group. There were no significant differences in the number of decayed teeth, PPD, BOP or volume of resting saliva between the two groups.

Questions related to oral malodor
There was no significant distributional difference of responses to the question “How did you first notice your bad breath?” between the two LSAS groups (Table 2). For the question “What do you see as the problem with your having bad breath?”, the percentages of subjects who answered “Cannot talk with people”, “Cannot act with people”, “Cannot be active and become negative about everything”, “Cannot concentrate” or “Cannot make close friends” were significantly higher in the high LSAS group compared with the low LSAS group. The percentages of subjects who answered “Brush teeth many times” and “Cover my mouth while talking with people” to the question “Is there anything you do to decrease your bad breath?” were significantly higher in the high LSAS group than in the low LSAS group. The proportion of subjects who answered “yes” to the question “Are you anxious about body odor?” was significantly higher in the high LSAS group than in the low LSAS group.

| Table 1 Clinical characteristics of subjects by LSAS score | LSAS | Low (-59) | Mean | SD | High (60-) | Mean | SD | P value |
|-----------------|-------|----------|------|----|------------|------|----|---------|
| Number of teeth present | 26.1  | 4.0      | 24.2 | 5.4| 25.4      | 5.4  | 0.014* |
| Number of decayed teeth | 0.4   | 1.5      | 0.1  | 0.5| 0.55      | 0.5  | 0.053 |
| PPD (mm)         | 2.4   | 0.5      | 2.5  | 0.4| 0.604     | 0.6  | 0.0604 |
| BOP (teeth)      | 4.3   | 5.2      | 4.1  | 4.1| 0.765     | 0.7  | 0.042* |
| Plaque Index     | 0.5   | 0.4      | 0.4  | 0.3| 0.3       | 0.3  | 0.295  |
| Salivary flow rate (mL/min) | 0.3   | 0.3      | 0.3  | 0.3| 0.295     | 0.2  | 0.295  |

* Significant at p < 0.05

VAAS
Pre-VAAS scores were significantly higher in the high LSAS group (72.0 ± 22.5) compared to the low LSAS group (60.2 ± 28.5; P = 0.001). Post-VAAS scores were also significantly higher in the high LSAS group (52.0 ± 25.8) compared to the low LSAS group (25.0 ± 20.8; P = 0.005). Moreover, VAAS scores significantly decreased after treatment in both groups (P < 0.001). The proportion of subjects with a pre-VAAS score of 50 or higher was significantly higher in the high LSAS group (88.0%) compared to the low LSAS group (70.8%; P = 0.006), and after treatment the proportion was still significantly higher in the high LSAS group (30.0%) compared to the low LSAS group (15.8%; P = 0.023).

ANCOVA showed that the high LSAS group had a higher post-VAAS score (30.8 ± 2.7SE) compared to the low LSAS group (23.2 ± 1.4SE, P = 0.016). The logistic regression analysis showed that the high LSAS group had a 2.28 times higher risk of having a post-VAAS score of 50 or more compared to the low LSAS group (P = 0.037). Moreover, the high pre-VAAS group had a 7.09 times higher risk of having a post-VAAS score of 50 or more compared to the low pre-VAAS group (P = 0.002) (Table 3).

Discussion
This research indicates that those genuine halitosis patients with a strong trait of social anxiety disorder have difficulty overcoming their anxiety about oral malodor. The relationship between social anxiety disorder and some other diseases or disorders, such as alcohol dependency or strabismus, has been investigated [17,31]. Our previous study showed a close relationship between social anxiety disorder and the classification of halitosis [6]. However, the current study is the first to investigate the relationship between the level of social anxiety disorder and the amount of improvement of anxiety contingent on oral malodor.

The LSAS is recognized by the International Consensus Group on Depression and Anxiety as the gold standard for evaluating the clinical impact of social anxiety disorder in an individual [32]. The LSAS has been translated into many languages besides English [33,34], and its reliability and validity have been confirmed. The
Japanese version of the LSAS also shows high reliability and verified validity [18]. The VAAS has been used in several studies to measure the degree of anxiety in both anxiety-disorder and healthy subjects. It has been proven to be a valid method for the measurement of anxiety and is highly sensitive to changes [14,15].

This study revealed that 22.9% of genuine halitosis patients had a tendency for general social anxiety disorder. This percentage is almost the same as the 19.5% reported in our previous study [6]. The high LSAS group was predominantly female. According to Turk et al., females tend to have a higher risk for social anxiety disorder [35]. Social anxiety disorder is reported to be more likely to develop in younger people [36,37]. However, in this study the mean age of subjects in the high LSAS group was higher than that in the low LSAS. There is the fact that female subjects who were more dominant in the high LSAS group were older, and it might have influenced the results.

Regarding oral health status, there was a significant difference in the number of teeth present; the high LSAS group had less teeth present compared to the low LSAS group. This also reflects the age difference between the two LSAS groups, the high LSAS group being older. The excellent plaque control in the high LSAS group may be attributed to their high motivation for brushing to prevent oral malodor.

The high LSAS group was more likely to have problems associated with oral malodor and to adopt countermeasures against oral malodor compared to the low LSAS group. Moreover, the high LSAS group felt anxious not only about oral malodor but also about body malodor.

Most halitosis patients greatly improved after receiving the oral malodor treatment that includes plaque control instruction, tongue cleaning, and mouth rinses. The concentrations of VSCs were also significantly reduced in both LSAS groups. However, in the short-term, anxiety remained significantly higher in the high LSAS group even after the treatment compared with the low LSAS group. About 30% of the high LSAS group still had a VAAS score of 50 or higher after treatment, a percentage almost twice as high as that of the low LSAS group. Moreover, after controlling for age, gender, oral health status, pre-VAAS, and the concentration of VSC gases, the presence of social anxiety disorder greatly influenced the anxiety after the oral malodor treatment. Thus, social anxiety disorder should be considered in regular oral malodor treatment.

| Table 2 Oral malodar related worries and problems by LSAS score |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| LSAS | Low (-59) (N = 202) | High (60-) (N = 60) | P value |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|
| 1. How did you first notice your bad breath? (multiple answers) |
| You noticed it by yourself | 24.8% | 21.7% | 0.732 |
| You have ever been told by others you have bad breath | 42.6% | 56.7% | 0.057 |
| You suspect that you have bad breath based upon the actions of other persons | 67.3% | 65.0% | 0.716 |
| 2. What do you see as the problem in having bad breath? (multiple answers) |
| Nothing in particular | 14.4% | 6.7% | 0.127 |
| Cannot talk with people | 48.0% | 68.3% | 0.008* |
| Cannot act with people | 14.4% | 46.7% | < 0.001* |
| People avoid me | 6.9% | 10.0% | 0.415 |
| Cannot be active and become negative about everything | 37.6% | 71.7% | < 0.001* |
| Cannot concentrate | 10.4% | 23.3% | 0.016* |
| Cannot make close friends | 1.5% | 11.7% | 0.002* |
| 3. Is there anything you do to decrease your bad breath? (multiple answers) |
| Nothing in particular | 5.0% | 3.3% | 0.739 |
| Brush my teeth many times | 66.3% | 81.7% | 0.025* |
| Use mouth-rinsing solution/chewing gum/mouth drops | 69.8% | 68.3% | 0.874 |
| Decrease the frequency of meals or snacks | 1.0% | 5.0% | 0.081 |
| Cover my mouth while talking with people | 31.7% | 48.3% | 0.021* |
| Try to find out the cause of bad breath by visiting many hospitals and undergoing examinations | 13.9% | 21.7% | 0.158 |
| Clean my tongue | 63.9% | 65.0% | 0.619 |
| 4. Are you anxious about others’ smells? | 75.7% | 66.7% | 0.183 |
| 5. Are you sensitive to the smell? | 59.4% | 60.0% | > 0.999 |
| 6. Are you anxious about the body odor? | 59.4% | 80.0% | 0.003* |

* Significant at p < 0.05
There is the study of Rosenberg et al. which revealed that the people with complaint of oral malodor were not capable of sensing reductions in oral malodor 1 year following original assessment, even though, from a clinical standpoint, improvements have taken place [38]. This result was similar to our study that anxiety did not improve in the high LSAS group after the treatment.

It is of great significance that improvement of anxiety about oral malodor will be insufficient in genuine halitosis patients if they have a social anxiety disorder. This finding suggests that anxiety about oral malodor in genuine halitosis patients will only be improved by the treatment of the social anxiety disorder in addition to the oral malodor treatment. Some treatment regimens for social anxiety disorder such as cognitive-behavioral therapy [39,40] or medical treatment [41-44], have been introduced. Dentists in oral malodor clinics must cooperate with staff from other departments and test for social anxiety disorder in addition to performing regular oral malodor treatment. And for further research and treatment, we have to consider the anxiety traits in Japanese social anxiety disorder patients. The previous study revealed that Japanese psychiatric patients diagnosed with social anxiety disorder tend to have “relationship fears” unique to the Japanese [45]. This result corresponded to the result in this study that the high LSAS group worried about communications. It is important for the treatment and research which take cultures and societies in Japan into consideration.

The limitation of this research is that the VAAS was not conducted in the long term. It was conducted only before and after the treatment. We should follow the VAAS in long term treatment. And this study targeted only genuine halitosis patients, despite almost 30% of the patients who visit oral malodor clinics are pseudohalitosis patients [6]. Therefore, it remains necessary to evaluate how social anxiety disorder and anxiety of pseudohalitosis patients changes after oral malodor treatment. Moreover, it will be essential to assess whether any change in anxiety for oral malodor occurs when the social anxiety disorder is treated.

### Conclusions

Our study revealed that those genuine halitosis patients with a strong trait of social anxiety disorder have difficulty overcoming their anxiety about oral malodor. Oral malodor treatment of genuine halitosis patients requires not only regular oral malodor treatment but also attention to social anxiety disorder. The implication of this research is that this is the first study to investigate the relationship between social anxiety disorder and improvement of anxiety by oral malodor treatment. And this result will contribute to construct new system for oral malodor treatment.
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