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Purpose: In photon radiotherapy, respiratory-induced target motion can be accounted for by internal target volumes (ITV) or mid-ventilation target volumes (midV) defined on the basis of four-dimensional computed tomography (4D-CT). Intrinsic limitations of these approaches can result in target volumes that are not representative for the gross tumor volume (GTV) motion over the course of treatment. To address these limitations, we propose a novel patient-specific ITV definition method based on real-time 4D magnetic resonance imaging (rt-4DMRI).

Methods: Three lung cancer patients underwent weekly rt-4DMRI scans. A total of 24 datasets were included in this retrospective study. The GTV was contoured on breath-hold MR images and propagated to all rt-4DMRI images by deformable image registration. Different targets were created for the first (reference) imaging sessions: ITVs encompassing all GTV positions over the complete (ITV80s) or partial acquisition time (ITV10s), ITVs including only voxels with a GTV probability-of-presence (POP) of at least 5% (ITV5%n) or 10% (ITV10%n), and the mid-ventilation GTV position. Reference planning target volumes (PTVr) were created by adding margins around the ITVs and midV target volumes. The geometrical overlap of the PTVr with ITV5%n from the six to eight subsequent imaging sessions on days n was quantified in terms of the Dice similarity coefficient (DSC), sensitivity [SE: (PTVr ∩ ITV5%n)/ITV5%n] and precision [PRE: (PTVr ∩ ITV5%n)/PTVr] as surrogates for target coverage and normal tissue sparing.

Results: Patient-specific analysis yielded a high variance of the overlap values of PTV10s, when different periods within the reference imaging session were sampled. The mid-ventilation-based
PTVs were smaller than the ITV-based PTVs. While the SE was high for patients with small breathing pattern variations, changes of the median breathing amplitudes in different imaging sessions led to inferior SE values for the mid-ventilation PTV for one patient. In contrast, PTV\(5_2\) and PTV\(10_2\) showed higher SE values with a higher robustness against interfractional changes, at the cost of larger target volumes.

**Conclusions:** The results indicate that rt-4DMRI could be valuable for the definition of target volumes based on the GTV POP to achieve a higher robustness against interfractional changes than feasible with today’s 4D-CT-based target definition concepts. © 2020 The Authors. Medical Physics published by Wiley Periodicals, Inc. on behalf of American Association of Physicists in Medicine. [https://doi.org/10.1002/mp.14023]
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**1. INTRODUCTION**

In high-precision radiotherapy (RT) of lung tumors, target motion due to respiration remains a predominant challenge. This motion can be substantial, is patient-specific, difficult to predict, can be irregular and change from one day to another. Intrafractional motion, defined as any motion induced by physiological processes occurring within a treatment session such as breathing, and interfractional changes occurring between treatment sessions need to be accounted for in the planning and delivery process.

To address intrafractional changes, passive and active motion management techniques have been developed over the last decades. Passive methods include motion-encompassing margins and abdominal compression. Active methods include active breathing control (ABC), breath-hold techniques, gating, and tracking. While active approaches have a higher potential in reducing the integral dose to the patient, they can be invasive when fiducial markers are implanted, complex, costly, time-consuming, require specialized equipment, or are still in the research phase. The clinical benefit of many of these methods remains to be proven. For these reasons, passive motion-management (PMM) techniques are still primarily used clinically, in particular for conventionally fractionated RT. The use of internal target volumes (ITV) as a motion-encompassing method is described in Report 83 of the International Commission on Radiation Units and Measurements (ICRU). Assessment of the range of motion by four-dimensional CBCT imaging can improve the accuracy of patient positioning in image-guided RT (IGRT) of lung cancer through which relevant interfractional anatomical changes can be detected. Four-dimensional CBCT imaging can improve the accuracy of patient positioning compared to 3D-CBCT and enables a motion assessment directly before treatment. This motion assessment is subject to random uncertainties related to the averaging of motion due to the reconstruction of a single breathing cycle from projections from several breathing cycles with potential inter-cyclic variations. Therefore, the question whether the 4D-CT-based ITV used for treatment planning is still adequately representing the target motion cannot be answered.

The introduction of MRI in the RT workflow has spurred research that could potentially contribute to the solution of this problem. The movement of lung tumors has been investigated with two-dimensional (2D) cine-MRI, which
enables the repeated acquisition of temporally resolved images of arbitrary duration with high soft tissue contrast without delivering dose to the patient.\textsuperscript{6,7} Several studies have investigated intrafractional and interfractional changes with 2D cine-MRI.\textsuperscript{6,30,35} Cai et al.\textsuperscript{36} showed that the characterization of lung tumor motion with temporally resolved MRI is more representative than with 4D-CT, mainly due to improved statistics through longer acquisition times.\textsuperscript{22} Hence, temporally resolved MRI can help to refine the ITV definition for treatment planning.

When 2D cine-MR images are used, the information about the target motion is limited to 2D planes and only indirect inferences of the out-of-plane motion of tumor and surrounding tissues can be drawn.\textsuperscript{37} The extension to four-dimensional MRI (4DMRI) is therefore an active field of research,\textsuperscript{38,39} through which the 3D motion of the target and surrounding tissues including translations, rotations and deformations can be directly captured. As pointed out in a recent review on 4DMRI,\textsuperscript{39} research is mainly focused on respiratory-correlated 4DMRI (rc-4DMRI) opposed to real-time 4DMRI (rt-4DMRI).\textsuperscript{38–42} In contrast to 4D-CT imaging and rc-4DMRI, no retrospective sorting of projections or 2D images from different breathing cycles based on a surrogate is needed for rt-4DMRI. Fast 3D gradient echo (GRE) or steady-state free precession (SSFP) sequences using parallel imaging techniques and echo sharing are usually used for rt-4DMRI.\textsuperscript{42} The in-plane resolution is 3-4 mm and temporal resolution is typically limited to 2 volumes per second, depending on the spatial resolution.\textsuperscript{39} rt-4DMRI is not routinely used clinically today, but a more widespread use due to the technological advances in this area is expected in the near future.\textsuperscript{39}

The purpose of this proof-of-concept study is to demonstrate how rt-4DMRI could be used to reduce the random and systematic uncertainties associated with today’s 4D-CT-based ITV definition approach. We describe how a 4DMRI-based ITV can be defined based on the probability-of-presence (POP) of the GTV to reduce random uncertainties. Additional PTV margins are added to reduce systematic uncertainties and prospectively account for potential interfractional changes. The new ITV definition concept is evaluated by analyzing the geometrical overlap of the obtained PTV with ITVs based on the GTV motion on different days. The method is compared to today’s PMM concepts including PTVs that mimic a 4D-CT-based ITV definition and the mid-ventilation approach. We show how systematic errors due to interfractional changes could be quantified with regular 4D-MR imaging by metrics that are correlated to dosimetric quantities such as target coverage and normal tissue sparing. The potential integration of the proposed novel ITV definition into clinical practice is outlined and its limitations are discussed.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.A. Patient data and imaging protocols

Three patients with tumors in the right lung were included in this retrospective proof-of-concept study. The patients underwent regular MR imaging in treatment position with a 1.5 T scanner (Siemens Avanto) with 7-9 imaging sessions distributed over 11-12 weeks. A total of 24 datasets were acquired for all patients accumulated. A 3D image in breath-hold (3DMRI) was acquired with a balanced steady-state free precession (bSSFP) sequence (TrueFISP; axial slices; slice thickness: 4-5 mm; in-plane resolution: 0.88 × 0.88 mm²; TR/TE: 380/1.16 ms; flip angle: 63°; field-of-view (FOV): 45 × 45 × 24 cm³; receiver bandwidth: 1030 Hz/px) using parallel imaging (GRAPPA) at the beginning of each session. The acquisition time for each axial slice was 400 ms, resulting in a total acquisition time of 20-24 s for the whole 3D volume. An rt-4DMRI dataset (4DMRI) was subsequently acquired. A total of 157 3D volumes were collected over a period of 80 s with a temporal resolution of 500 ms and the patient breathing freely (TWIST; coronal slices; slice thickness: 10 mm; in-plane resolution: 3.91 × 3.91 mm²; TR/TE: 1.47/0.61 ms; flip angle: 5°; FOV: 50 × 50 × 36 cm³; receiver bandwidth: 1565 Hz/px). TWIST (Time-resolved angiography With Interleaved Stochastic Trajectories)\textsuperscript{44} is a dynamic 3D GRE sequence using view-sharing, where the center of k-space is sampled more frequently than the periphery in a semi-randomized fashion. Parallel imaging (GRAPPA) and partial Fourier imaging (sampling of 78% in frequency-encoding direction) were used as additional acceleration techniques to further shorten the image acquisition time. To account for geometrical distortions, the manufacturer’s correction methods were applied to 3DMRI and 4DMRI. The GTV was contoured on 3DMRI and approved by a trained radiation oncologist.

The first imaging session for each patient was defined as the reference imaging session and taken as a surrogate for the imaging that would be acquired for RT planning. The consecutive imaging sessions \( n \in [2, \ldots, N] \), where \( N \) is the total number of MRI sessions for the patient, were taken as surrogates for the treatment sessions over the course of therapy.

2.B. Study workflow

To generate 4DMRI-based target volumes, the GTV position at every point in time of 4DMRI is needed. An overview of the overall workflow performed for this purpose and the subsequent evaluation is given in Fig. 1. The main steps (indicated by the corresponding numbers in Fig. 1) are:

1. Determination of the 3D dataset within 4DMRI that closest resembles the breathing phase of 3DMRI, labeled 4DMRI(\( t' \)),
2. warping of the GTV from 3DMRI to 4DMRI(\( t' \)) by deformable image registration (DIR),
3. propagation of the GTV to all 3D volumes within 4DMRI using DIR,
4. definition of 4DMRI-based ITVs and the midV,
5. creation of PTVs by expansion of the ITVs and midV,
6. creation of a time-averaged 4DMRI,
7. rigid registration (RR) focused on the tumor of time-averaged MR images acquired on day \( n \) to the dataset from the reference MRI session.
2. C.2. Deformable image registration

3DMRI and 4DMRI were resampled to a grid with an isotropic voxel size of $2 \times 2 \times 2$ mm$^3$ using linear 3D interpolation for all subsequent registration steps. To obtain the GTV on 4DMRI at $t'$ (GTV($t'$)), 3DMRI was registered to 4DMRI($t'$) (step 2 in Fig. 1) in a multi-level b-spline DIR with mutual information as similarity metric using the software Plastimatch. 46 The DIR was focused on the GTV, expanded by isotropic margins of a few centimeters. Lower uncertainties were expected for the registration of images acquired with the same sequence and therefore a similar contrast (4DMRI($t'$) and 4DMRI($t$)) compared to a registration of images acquired with two different sequences (3DMRI and 4DMRI($t$)). Therefore, to determine the GTV at each point in time $t_i$ in 4DMRI, GTV($t_i$), with $i \in [1, \ldots, 157]$, 4DMRI($t'$) was registered to 4DMRI($t_i$), again using a multi-level b-spline DIR focused on the GTV with mutual information as similarity metric (step 3 in Fig. 1). The centroid positions of GTV($t_i$) at all time steps $t_i$ were measured to evaluate the motion amplitudes of the tumor in each breathing cycle. The amplitudes were calculated relative to the median exhale position of the GTV, since this position is expected to have a lower variance than the inhale position. 4, 23

2. C.3. Probability-of-presence ITV

The binary images GTV($t_i$) were summed over all $t_i$ and divided by the total number of time steps for normalization. The resulting 3D image has the same spatial resolution as the resampled 4DMRI ($2 \times 2 \times 2$ mm$^3$ voxel size). The voxel values correspond to the percentage of time in which the GTV was present at the voxels’ positions over the whole acquisition time. If the acquisition time is long enough so that the respiratory-induced motion during 4DMRI is representative for the motion on the given day, this percentage becomes a POP. This 3D POP distribution was used to determine 4DMRI-based POP ITVs (step 4 in Fig. 1) for cutoffs of 5% and 10% (ITV5% and ITV10%). All voxel values equal to or greater than the cutoff were set to 1, while all other voxel values were set to 0.

2. C.4. Conventional ITV and midV

To compare ITV5% and ITV10% with conventional PMM concepts, further target volumes were defined based on the rt-4DMRI dataset (step 4 in Fig. 1). This step was only performed for the reference imaging sessions:
1. An ITV encompassing all GTV positions over the whole acquisition time of 80 s (ITV$_{80}^{\text{gtv}}$), which corresponds to a POP cutoff of 0%.
2. To mimic today’s standard 4D-CT-based ITV definition workflow, the whole acquisition time was subdivided in eight 10 s periods. For each period, resembling a single 4D-CT scan, an ITV was created by including all GTV position within this period (ie, at 20 consecutive time points) to create eight ITV$_{10s}^{\text{gtv}}$. A similar approach was previously used by Thomas et al.$^{33}$
3. The midV was defined by determining the centroid of the centroids of all 157 GTV positions and then selecting the GTV position at the point in time with the smallest distance of its centroid to this point. This method is equivalent to the approaches previously applied by Ehrbar et al.$^{47}$ and Thomas et al.$^{48}$

### 2.C.5. PTV formation

For each patient, the ITV$_{5}$s and the midV of the reference imaging session were expanded by margins to create PTV$_{\text{r}}$ (step 5 in Fig. 1). An isotropic margin of 5 mm was used for the expansion of the ITV$_{5}$s based on current clinical practice.$^{27}$ For the midV, the van Herk formula$^{43}$ was used to calculate the margins $M_d$ in directions $d$ (with $d \in \{\text{RL,SI,AP}\}$):

$$M_d = \alpha \sqrt{\Sigma^2_{\text{setup}} + \Sigma^2_{\text{BL}} + \Sigma^2_{\text{del}}} + \beta \left( \sqrt{\sigma^2_{\text{setup}} + \sigma^2_{\text{BL}} + \sigma^2_{\text{br.d}} + \sigma^2_{p} - \sigma_{p}} \right),$$

(1)

including the systematic and random setup errors for patient positioning with CBCT ($\Sigma_{\text{setup}} = \sigma_{\text{setup}} = 0.8 \text{ mm}^{49}$), uncertainties due to baseline shifts over the course of treatment ($\Sigma_{\text{BL}} = 0.99 \text{ mm}$ and $\sigma_{\text{BL}} = 1.08 \text{ mm}^{50}$), delineation uncertainties ($\Sigma_{\text{del}} = 1.7 \text{ mm}^{47}$), the standard deviation of the breathing motion of the GTV in direction $d$ ($\sigma_{\text{br.d}}$) and the Gaussian beam penumbra width in lung ($\sigma_{p} = 6.4 \text{ mm}^{16}$). The approximation $\sigma_{\text{br.d}} = A_{\text{cen}}^{d}/3$ was used, where $A_{\text{cen}}$ is the median motion amplitude of the GTV centroid on the reference day in SI, AP, and LR direction.$^{47}$ The values $\alpha=2.5$ and $\beta=1.64$ were chosen to ensure a minimum of 95% of the prescribed dose to the target for 90% of the patients.$^{43}$ The margins $M_d$ were rounded up to integer millimeter values. The ITV$_{5}$s and the midV were expanded by the margins on a $1 \times 1 \times 1 \text{ mm}^3$ isoxvdeal grid and then resampled to the original $2 \times 2 \times 2 \text{ mm}^3$ isoxvdeal grid. As a result of steps 1-5, 12 PTV$_{\text{r}}$ were created for the reference imaging session of each patient: PTV$_{5\%}^{\text{gtv}}$, PTV$_{10\%}^{\text{gtv}}$, PTV$_{80\%}^{\text{gtv}}$, eight PTV$_{10s}^{\text{gtv}}$ and PTV$_{\text{midV}}^{\text{gtv}}$.

### 2.C.6. Rigid registration (RR)

Time-averaged 4DMRI images (4DMRI$_{\text{avg}}$) were calculated by averaging over the 3D volumes of all time steps $t_i$ (step 6 in Fig. 1). To mimic patient positioning focused on the moving target during IGRT treatment, which is inevitably blurred on 3D-CBCT images,$^{3}$ 4DMRI$_{\text{avg}}$ from day $n$ was rigidly registered to the reference image 4DMRI$_{\text{r}}$ (step 7 in Fig. 1) also focused on the target region. The RR ITK implementation in Plastimatch with mutual information as similarity metric was used.

### 2.D. Quantification of target volume overlaps

To evaluate the ability of the different PTVs to correctly predict the GTV positions during the course of treatment, a geometrical volume overlap analysis was performed. The reference PTVs of the first MRI sessions (PTV$_{\text{r}}$) were used as surrogates for the target volumes that would be used for RT treatment planning and the ITV of day $n$ with a POP of 5% (ITV$_{5\%}^{n}$) as a surrogate for the real target position during treatment on day $n$. A cutoff POP of 5% was chosen with the goal to ensure a minimum dose of 95% to the GTV.$^{43}$

#### 2.D.1. Geometrical volume overlap analysis

The binary structures PTV$_{r}$ and ITV$_{5\%}^{n}$ were compared on a voxel-by-voxel basis. A PTV$_{r}$ voxel with a value of 1 is a prediction that parts of the GTV will be present during RT delivery at its position with a nonnegligible probability. An ITV$_{5\%}^{n}$ voxel with a value of 1 indicates that on day $n$, parts of the GTV are present at its position during at least 5% of the time. The total number of voxels that:

1. have a value of 1 in PTV$_{r}$ and ITV$_{5\%}^{n}$ was labeled True Positive (TP),
2. have a value of 1 in PTV$_{r}$ and a value of 0 in ITV$_{5\%}^{n}$ was labeled False Positive (FP) and
3. have a value of 0 in PTV$_{r}$ and a value of 1 in ITV$_{5\%}^{n}$ was labeled False Negative (FN).

This is illustrated in Fig. 2. The geometrical volume overlap of PTV$_{r}$ with ITV$_{5\%}^{n}$ was quantified in terms of sensitivity and precision, which are defined as following:

Sensitivity (SE; true positive rate):

$$\text{SE} = \frac{\text{TP}}{\text{TP} + \text{FN}} = \frac{\text{PTV}_r \cap \text{ITV}_{5\%}^{n}}{\text{ITV}_{5\%}^{n}}$$

(2)

Precision (PRE; positive predictive value):

$$\text{PRE} = \frac{\text{TP}}{\text{TP} + \text{FP}} = \frac{\text{PTV}_r \cap \text{ITV}_{5\%}^{n}}{\text{PTV}_r}$$

(3)

The Dice similarity coefficient (DSC) can be expressed as a function of SE and PRE:

$$\text{DSC} = \frac{2 \cdot \text{TP}}{2 \cdot \text{TP} + \text{FP} + \text{FN}} = \frac{2 \cdot (\text{PTV}_r \cap \text{ITV}_{5\%}^{n})}{\text{PTV}_r + \text{ITV}_{5\%}^{n}} = \frac{2 \cdot \text{SE} \cdot \text{PRE}}{\text{SE} + \text{PRE}}$$

(4)

In the context of RT, FN can be interpreted as the extent of target miss, FP as the normal tissue damage and SE and
PRE as the scalar measures of target coverage and normal tissue sparing with respect to the target volume. In an ideal RT treatment, SE and PRE would both be 100%. The general goal of RT is to maximize SE (i.e., the tumor coverage) while keeping PRE (i.e., the normal tissue sparing) at an acceptable level. The margins around the ITVs and midV to create the PTV increase the SE while PRE decreases. The \(N−1\) volume overlaps of each PTV\(_r\) and ITV\(_{n}\) were evaluated in terms of SE, PRE and DSC (step 8 in Fig. 1). For the PTV\(_{10s}\), the “best” PTV\(_{10s}\) (highest SE) and “worst” PTV\(_{10s}\) (lowest SE) were determined for each patient.

3. RESULTS

3.A. Motion amplitudes

The median number of breathing cycles recorded in the 4DMRI sessions was 15.5 (range: [11.5, 24]) over an acquisition time of 80 s. This corresponds to a median breathing rate of approximately 12 cycles per minute (range: [9, 18]). MRI sessions with stable breathing amplitudes and frequency, as well as sessions with irregular breathing, were observed for all patients. Figure 3(a) shows the GTV centroid motion split up into the SI, RL and AP components for one exemplary MRI session. Figures 3(b)-3(d) depict the motion amplitudes with respect to the median exhale position for all MRI sessions for each patient. The median motion amplitudes are reported in Table I. For all patients and MRI sessions combined, the largest GTV centroid motion was observed in SI direction with a median motion amplitude of 8.8 mm, followed by RL direction (2.7 mm) and AP direction (2.2 mm).

3.B. Probability-of-presence ITV and midV

An exemplary POP distribution is shown in Fig. 4. The gradient of the POP distribution is steep in directions with small motion amplitudes (RL and AP) and shallow in the direction of large motion amplitudes (SI). The median volume ratio of ITV\(_{80s}\) to GTV is reported in Table I. Since this value correlates with the motion amplitude, the largest median value of ITV\(_{80s}\)/GTV was obtained for Patient 2 (3.25), the lowest for Patient 1 (1.29). Averaged over all patients, the mean 3D distance between the centroid of the centroids of all 157 GTV positions and the midV centroid was 0.5 mm.

3.C. PTV formation

All reference ITVs were expanded by isotropic 5 mm margins. For the reference midV, the direction-dependent term \(\sigma_{n,d}\) in the van Herk formula yielded anisotropic margins. The median motion amplitudes during the reference imaging session were 3.5, 0.7, and 2.5 mm in RL direction and 1.8, 0.8, and 3.2 mm in AP direction for Patients 1, 2, and 3, respectively (cf. Fig. 3), which yielded 6 mm margins in both directions. The median SI motion amplitudes of 5.5, 13.2, and 15.7 mm for Patients 1, 2, and 3, respectively, yielded PTV margins of 6, 8, and 9 mm in SI direction.

3.D. Geometrical volume overlap analysis

The results of the geometrical overlap analysis of the different PTV\(_r\) with the ITV\(_{80}\) are depicted in Fig. 5. Table II summarizes the results and includes the volumes of the PTV\(_r\) relative to the volume of PTV\(_{80}\).

By definition, the volume of PTV\(_{80s}\) was the largest, representing the most conservative motion management approach of the analyzed PTV\(_r\), where also single extreme GTV positions are included in the ITV. Consequently, the SE values were the largest (median SE between 98-100% for all patients) and the PRE values the lowest of all analyzed PTV\(_r\).

The variance of the overlap values for the eight PTV\(_{10s}\) of the different 10 s periods depends on the regularity of the breathing pattern during the reference imaging session. Since regular breathing patterns were measured for Patients 1 and 3 [cf. Fig. 3(a)], the differences between the overlap values of the best (highest SE) and worst (lowest SE) PTV\(_{10s}\) were small (≤2%). As the breathing pattern of Patient 2 was irregular during the reference imaging session, the variance of the different PTV\(_{10s}\) was larger. A difference of 19% between the SE of the best and worst PTV\(_{10s}\) was measured (99% vs 80%). Compared to PTV\(_{80s}\), the same median SE values (differences <1%) at higher PRE (+4-6%) due to the PTVs, volume reduction of up to 11% could be achieved for the best PTV\(_{10s}\) for all patients. The differences in SE between the PTV\(_{80s}\) and the worst PTV\(_{10s}\) ranged from 0% (Patient 1) to 19% (Patient 2).

The volumes of PTV\(_{midV}\) were the smallest of all PTV\(_r\) with a volume reduction between 16% and 56% compared to PTV\(_{80s}\) which led to the highest observed median PRE values (≈67% for all patients) that were 10-25% higher than for PTV\(_{80s}\). The median SE values for PTV\(_{midV}\) were the smallest of all PTV\(_r\) for the three patients, with the largest difference for Patient 2, where a median SE of 68% was measured (compared to 99% for PTV\(_{80s}\)).

For PTV\(_{95s}\), a median PTV volume reduction with respect to PTV\(_{80s}\) of 9-31% was achieved at a similar median SE for Patients 1 and 3 (differences below 2%) but a reduced median
SE for Patient 2 (90% compared to 99%). The SE values for PTV$_{5\%}$ were higher than for PTV$_{10\%}$ by up to 22% at the cost of reduced PRE (ie, larger PTV volumes) by up to 11%. The use of PTV$_{10\%}$ instead of PTV$_{5\%}$ showed only small improvements of the PRE ($\leq$ 4%) while the SE dropped by up to 7%.

The behavior of SE and PRE over time is mainly influenced by interfractional changes such as tumor shrinkage and different breathing patterns. The absolute variance of the median motion amplitudes for the different days $n$ was low for Patient 1 (standard deviation of median 3D centroid motion amplitude was 1.3 mm) and the median motion amplitudes were decreasing over time for Patient 3. In combination with the regression or stagnation of the GTV size over time that was observed for these two patients, this led to a stable SE for all days $n$ and all PTV$_r$ (standard deviation of SE values $<4\%$ for both patients and all PTV$_r$).

In contrast, the interfractional variance of the SE of the different PTV$_r$ for Patient 2 was considerably larger (up to 10% difference for PTV$_{midV}$; cf. Fig. 6). This is a consequence of the high absolute variance of the median motion amplitudes for different days $n$ [cf. Fig. 3(c)]. While the median GTV centroid motion amplitudes in the first and last MR imaging session were equivalent (13 mm), the amplitudes in the remaining imaging sessions were substantially larger (16-20 mm). This led to markedly higher SE values for the last compared to the other imaging sessions for most of the PTV$_r$ (cf. Fig. 6). The PRE for Patient 2 was gradually decreasing over time for all PTV$_r$, which can be explained by the tumor shrinkage that was observed for this patient.

4. DISCUSSION

The largest motion amplitudes were observed in SI direction. Considerably larger motion amplitudes were measured for GTVs in the lower lobe (Patients 2 and 3) than in the middle lobe (Patient 1). These findings are consistent with observations described in literature $^{4,9,51,52}$
The PTV$_{80\%}$, as the most conservative PMM approach investigated in this study, yielded the highest SE values at the largest PTV volumes that might be unacceptably large for clinical application.

The large difference of the SE between the best and worst PTV$_{10\%}$ that was observed for Patient 2 demonstrates the risk of sampling the breathing motion over only a short time period. When an ITV is defined based on this motion sample—as is done routinely today in the 4D-CT-based ITV workflow—intrafractional uncertainties would directly translate to systematic errors impacting the whole RT treatment.

The SE values for Patient 1 were high for all PTV$_r$ and all imaging sessions. This patient would likely benefit from being treated with the mid-ventilation approach, as the PTV$_{\text{midV}}$ was smaller and hence the PRE was larger than for the ITV-based PTV$_r$. The low SE values of most PTV$_r$ for Patient 2 indicate that the respiratory-induced GTV motion captured on the reference day was not representative for the motion of the GTV in the remaining imaging sessions. In particular, the SE of PTV$_{\text{midV}}$ and ITV$_{5\%}$ was as low as 68%. A plausible explanation for this result can be inferred from Fig. 3(c). The variance of the SI GTV centroid motion amplitudes during the reference imaging session was high. The breathing motion was considered as a random uncertainty in the calculation of the PTV margin for the midV. However, since only the median motion amplitude was used for the margin determination, the rich information about the complex GTV motion trajectory was lost in this simplification step. Although large SI amplitudes of about twice the median value were observed during the reference imaging session, this was not appropriately accounted for by the PTV margins which in turn led to the poor SE. The SE values for Patient 3 were higher than 95% for all PTV$_r$ with the exception of PTV$_{\text{midV}}$ for which a SE of 90% was measured at a volume reduction of 23% relative to PTV$_{80\%}$. Without a dosimetric analysis it cannot be concluded whether a SE value of 90% would be clinically acceptable.

The overall variance of the SE for all patients accumulated was second lowest for PTV$_{5\%}$ (after PTV$_{80\%}$) at a high median SE value, indicating a higher robustness of this approach compared to PTV$_{10\%}$ and PTV$_{\text{midV}}$ (cf. Fig. 5). While the SE for PTV$_{5\%}$ was reduced for Patient 2, it was not as low as for PTV$_{\text{midV}}$. By using the full information of the GTV motion over the whole duration of the reference imaging session, random uncertainties in the PTV definition could be reduced through the use of ITV$_{5\%}$.
FIG. 5. Geometrical overlap analysis for different passive motion-management concepts. The overlap values are plotted for each of the three patients separately and for all patients accumulated. Each boxplot contains the values for all follow-up imaging sessions. PTV[10]% (best/worst) are defined as the PTV[10]% with the highest/lowest SE value. The whiskers of the boxplots indicate the 5th and 95th percentiles. [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

TABLE II. Summary of geometrical overlap analysis. The median overlap values over all follow-up imaging sessions are given in percent for the different PTVr and patients. Vref describes the volume of the different PTVr relative to the volume of PTV[80]% in percent.

|                   | Patient 1 | Patient 2 | Patient 3 |
|-------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
|                   | DSC  | SE   | PRE |  Vref | DSC  | SE   | PRE |  Vref | DSC  | SE   | PRE |  Vref |
| PTV[80]%          | 72   | 100  | 57  | 100  | 58   | 99   | 41  | 100  | 72   | 98   | 56  | 100  |
| PTV[10]% (best)   | 76   | 100  | 63  | 90   | 63   | 99   | 46  | 89   | 75   | 97   | 60  | 93   |
| PTV[10]% (worst)  | 75   | 100  | 61  | 94   | 60   | 80   | 49  | 67   | 74   | 95   | 60  | 92   |
| PTVmidV           | 78   | 99   | 67  | 84   | 66   | 68   | 66  | 44   | 78   | 90   | 68  | 77   |
| PTV%              | 75   | 100  | 62  | 91   | 68   | 90   | 55  | 69   | 75   | 96   | 61  | 91   |
| PTV10%            | 77   | 100  | 64  | 88   | 68   | 83   | 59  | 60   | 76   | 95   | 63  | 87   |

DSC, Dice similarity coefficient; SE, sensitivity; PRE, precision.
Studies by Ehrbar et al.\textsuperscript{47} and Thomas et al.\textsuperscript{48} concluded in their dosimetric analyses that the mid-ventilation approach can reduce the dose to the lung with no or only slightly reduced tumor coverage with respect to the ITV approach. Both studies however, recalculated the dose on the same 4D-CT images that were used for definition of the target volumes. The results of the present study, however, suggest that the SE could be strongly affected by interfractional changes and the mid-ventilation concept could be less robust than the more conservative ITV\textsubscript{5\%r} concept in these cases.

Keeping the volumetric effect in mind, setting a reasonable POP cutoff can lead to an over-proportionate improvement of PRE while the SE decreases less. However, setting this cutoff higher bears the risk of creating underdosed (“cold”) spots at the edges of the GTV. Finding an ideal POP cutoff without an extensive dosimetric evaluation is not a trivial task and the optimum is expected to be patient-specific. For the patients included in this study, the use of a POP cutoff of 10% (PTV\textsubscript{10\%r}) instead of 5% resulted in only a slight improvement of PRE at a reduced SE. As a high SE (surrogate for target coverage) is of higher importance than a high PRE (surrogate for normal tissue sparing), the use of PTV\textsubscript{10\%r} instead of PTV\textsubscript{5\%r} to improve the PRE would not be justified in these cases.

For all patients, the differences of SE and PRE between the analyzed PTV\textsubscript{r} were larger than for the DSC. Due to the different importances of SE and PRE, the interpretation of the overlap results based on the DSC alone was found not to be sufficient to evaluate which PTV\textsubscript{r} represented the optimal target volume.

Different gradients of the POP distribution in different directions were observed depending on the motion amplitudes in the respective directions. This information could be used to create anisotropic safety margins around ITV\textsubscript{5\%r} in a similar way as done for the margin expansion of the midV. Under the assumption that adequate image guidance techniques are used, this patient-specific margin could potentially increase the PRE while keeping the SE and hence the risk of underdosage of the GTV at a constant level.

A potential extension of the concepts presented in this study could be to directly incorporate the POP information in the treatment planning process. Following the work published by Shusharina et al.,\textsuperscript{53} an “internal target distribution” based on the voxel-individual POP values could be defined instead of a binary ITV as input for a probabilistic treatment planning approach.

The results for Patient 2 indicate that most PTV\textsubscript{r} were not representative for the GTV motion in the following imaging sessions, resulting in a reduced SE for sessions 2-7. With regard to the use of SE and PRE in adaptive RT,\textsuperscript{5,6} if the overlap parameters showed a clear deviation or decrease over time, this would indicate that the patient would benefit from replanning. These findings are supported by the conclusions drawn by St. James et al.\textsuperscript{19,24} who demonstrated that a low SE (called “ITV coverage” in their study) could potentially lead to substantial underdosage of the target.

The presented method could be adapted for the use of retrospectively sorted rc-4DMRI instead of rt-4DMRI. rc-4DMRI provides higher spatial resolution than rt-4DMRI, but since only a single breathing cycle is reconstructed in rc-4DMRI, weighting factors for the probability of occurrence of the different breathing phases would have to be determined to reconstruct a 3D POP distribution. Uncertainties associated with the retrospective sorting would have to be accounted for. When 2D images are acquired in rc-4DMRI, instead of 3D images as in rt-4DMRI, less motion information per time is sampled. To achieve the same statistical uncertainty level compared to rt-4DMRI, longer acquisition times would be necessary.

A future workflow for the integration of the proposed 4DMRI-based POP ITV concept into clinical practice could be based on the following steps:

1. Acquisition of a 4DMRI scan instead or in addition to the 4D-CT scan at the planning stage,
2. definition of a 4DMRI-based ITV based on the POP of the GTV by choosing a suitable cutoff probability to overcome current limitations of a 4D-CT based ITV,
3. definition of additional margins around the ITV to prospectively account for potential interfractional changes,
4. use of image-guidance techniques for patient positioning (focused on the target region) and to detect relevant interfractional changes during RT treatment.
5. regular repetition of the 4DMRI scan and subsequent target volume overlap analysis with the parameters SE and PRE over the course of the RT treatment to assess interfractional changes in breathing motion and to verify the applied margin concept.

6. decision whether the original plan is still appropriate or replanning is necessary based on the overlap analysis, ideally in conjunction with a dosimetric evaluation.

This workflow would not necessarily replace the current 4D-CT-based workflow, but could be an enhancement for increased treatment accuracy and quality assurance, especially for patients who experience substantial interfractional changes.

In this proof-of-concept study, the patient cohort was limited to three patients, but compared to other studies that have evaluated lung tumor motion with MRI (cf. Table I in the publication by Thomas et al.33), the number of MRI sessions per patient is higher by a factor of 2–4. The acquisition time of the 4DMRI was limited to 80 s due to technical reasons, which is shorter than 2D cine-MR imaging studies reported in literature.33–35 However, the proposed method could be easily extended to longer acquisition times in the future to improve statistics to assure that the representative target motion of the day is captured.22 The DIR steps introduce uncertainties that are difficult to quantify but that are expected to be counterbalanced by the higher representativity of the target motion description compared to a 4D-CT-based ITV definition. Potential geometrical image distortions of the MR images have to be accounted for. The proposed workflow is more labor-intensive than today’s clinical routine workflow but has the potential to reduce the integral dose to the patient and enhance target coverage. A dosimetric evaluation of the effect of the changing overlap values of the ITVs of different days was beyond the scope of this study. The spatial and temporal resolution of today’s rt-4DMRI sequences is limited, including the sequence used in this study. A limited spatial resolution affects the accuracy of the image registration and the target delineation. To account for this, related errors could be estimated and absorbed in the PTV margin calculation.47 Cai et al.22 showed that a frame rate of fewer than two images per second could affect the reproducibility of the POP. A limited temporal resolution can furthermore lead to an apparent enlargement of moving structures and an underestimation of the inhale and exhale phases.42 The former effect would lead to an overly conservative estimation of the GTV POP and therefore decrease the PRE. The latter effect could potentially lead to an underdosage of the GTV edges (lower SE). Since on average ten 3D images were acquired per breathing cycle, the underestimation of the range of motion is estimated to be similar or less pronounced compared to a 4D-CT scan that consists of ten phases. However, this effect has to be considered for patients with high breathing frequencies (>12 cycles/min). Improvements of the spatial and temporal resolution of rt-4DMRI are expected in the near future.39

5. CONCLUSIONS

We proposed and investigated a novel concept for ITV definition based on rt-4DMRI and pointed out its potentials and limitations. This ITV definition is based on the POP of the target in 3D, which is expanded by a PTV margin to account for interfractional anatomical and motion changes. In combination with image guidance techniques, the proposed method has the potential to reduce the statistical and systematic uncertainties associated with today’s clinical standard-of-care workflow based on ITVs or midV defined on 4D-CT scans. Hereby, an improved target coverage, balanced against the dose to adjacent normal tissues, could be achieved. While this study was focused on the motion of lung tumors, the methods could be translated to other tumor sites which are strongly affected by intrafractional and interfractional motion, such as the liver or pancreas.
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