Analytical approach to a bosonic ladder subject to a magnetic field
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We examine a bosonic two-leg ladder model subject to a magnetic flux, and especially focus on a regime where the lower energy band has two minima. By using a low-energy field theory approach, we study several issues discussed in the system: the existence of local patterns in density and current, chiral-current reversal, and the effect of a nearest-neighbor interaction along the rung direction. In our formalism, the local patterns are interpreted as a result of breaking of discrete symmetry. The chiral-current reversal occurs through a competition between a current component determined at a commensurate vortex density causing an enlargement of the unit cell, and another component, which is proportional to the magnetic field doping from the corresponding commensurate flux. The nearest-neighbor interaction along the rung direction available with the technique on a synthetic dimension is shown to favor a population-imbalance solution in an experimentally relevant regime.

PACS numbers: 67.85.-d,05.30.Jp

I. INTRODUCTION

Synthetic gauge fields in cold atoms provide a platform such that the effects of magnetic and electric fields and spin-orbit couplings are examined with atomic systems in which each atom is charge neutral [11][13]. Recently, there has been a great interest in the effects of synthetic gauge fields in quasi-one dimensional systems such as a ladder, which displays properties of both one and higher dimensions [4], in cooperation with experimental realizations on an optical superlattice [5] and on a synthetic dimension [9][7].

In the presence of a magnetic flux, vortices may penetrate into the system, which leads to an enlargement of the unit cell and causes nontrivial phenomena. The typical example is the Hofstadter butterfly originally considered in the non-interacting two dimensional system [8]. The ladder geometry may be an ideal testing ground to reveal roles of interactions in such a system since the powerful techniques used in one dimension are available [9].

The flux ladder has been analyzed in the context of the condensed matter, which is mostly on fermions [11][12]. Some theoretical studies on a bosonic ladder have been done in terms of a Josephson-junction ladder [13][16]. An advantage in cold atoms is that one can start with the Bose-Hubbard Hamiltonian without any ambiguity [17][32]. As the band structure evolves as a function of a magnetic flux, a weak-coupling regime in such a model is already nontrivial. In particular, the bosons are sensitive to a shape of the band, since they tend to occupy states around the bottom of the lowest band. Therefore, one can expect a drastic change of the low-energy properties due to the band evolution caused by a flux.

The purpose of this paper is to diagnose a weak-coupling regime of the bosonic flux ladder in the light of a formalism developed in Ref. [20]. By looking at a regime where Bose-Einstein condensation is absent due to quantum fluctuations, we discuss which properties predicted at the mean-field level should be retained or changed. It is shown that local patterns in density and current are obtained as a consequence of breaking of discrete symmetry, which is allowed in one dimensional systems. We also discuss the chiral-current reversal recently obtained with the density matrix renormalization group (DMRG) simulation [27]. We demonstrate with our formalism that such a chiral current reversal occurs through a competition between a constant current component determined at a commensurate vortex density and another current component that is proportional to the magnetic field doping from the corresponding magnetic flux and has the opposite sign to the former component. Motivated by the recent experimental realization of the synthetic dimension, we examine the role of an interchain interaction, which turns out to encourage a population-imbalance solution.

In Sec. II, we introduce the model discussed in this paper and review several analyses and properties in a weak-coupling regime. Section III explains our formalism and mentions a similarity to fermion systems. Results based on our formalism are shown in Sec. IV. Section V is devoted to the summary.

II. THE MODEL

The bosonic two-leg ladder system with a flux $\phi$ per plaquette is defined as

$$H = -J \sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{p=1,2} (e^{-iA_{l,p}}b_{l+1,p}^{\dagger}b_{l,p} + \text{H.c.})$$

$$-K \sum_{l=1}^{L} (e^{-iA_{l,1}}b_{l,1}^{\dagger}b_{l,2} + \text{H.c.}) + \frac{U}{2} \sum_{l,p} n_{l,p}(n_{l,p} - 1)$$

$$+ V \sum_{l} n_{1,l}n_{1,l},$$

(1)

where $p$ and $l$ respectively denote chain and site indices, $b_{l,p}$ ($b_{l,p}^{\dagger}$) represents the annihilation (creation) operator of a boson at chain $p$ and site $l$, and $n_{l,p} = b_{l,p}^{\dagger}b_{l,p}$. The
intrachain and interchain hoppings are denoted by $J$ and $K$, respectively, and $U$ represents the on-site Hubbard interaction, which is assumed to be positive in order to ensure the stability of the large system size limit. In the case of the synthetic dimension, an interchain interaction shows up due to collisions between different internal states. This coupling is denoted by $V$, and has a value similar to $U$ for the $^{87}\text{Rb}$ case [21, 33].

In accord with the above Hamiltonian, the chiral and rung currents are defined as

$$
J^c(l) = iJ(e^{-iA_{\parallel,1}}b_{l+1,1}^\dagger b_{l,1} - e^{-iA_{\parallel,2}}b_{l+1,2}^\dagger b_{l,2}) + \text{H.c.} \quad (2)
$$

$$
J^\perp(l) = iKe^{-iA_{\parallel,1}}b_{l,1}^\dagger b_{l,2} + \text{H.c.} \quad (3)
$$

which are important to characterize different phases in the system. In order to ensure that a magnetic flux is applied in the system, below, we adopt the following gauge:

$$
A_{\parallel,1} = -A_{\parallel,2} = \frac{\phi}{2}, \quad A_{\perp} = 0.
$$

A. Phases in the absence of $V$

The phase structure in the present model was first examined in studies of the Josephson-junction array [13, 16]. By assuming $J \gg K, U$ and using the bosonization method, the Meissner and vortex phases are obtained. In the former phase realized in a low-field regime, the rung current vanishes while the chiral current increases with increasing flux. In the latter phase realized in a high-field regime, on the other hand, the chiral current decreases with increasing flux due to the penetration of vortices. An implicit assumption used in Refs. [13, 16] is that the mean density in each chain takes the same value, $n_1 = n_2$. However, it will turn out that this is the nontrivial assumption in the presence of a flux.

Tokuno and Georges introduced another approach where the two hoppings $J$ and $K$ are treated in a non-perturbative manner [20]. By diagonalizing the single particle Hamiltonian and keeping degrees of freedom near the bottoms of the lower band, the low-energy effective particle Hamiltonian and keeping degrees of freedom near the bottoms of the lower band are considered. An essential difference is that they explicitly consider the following form of a many-body ground state [22]:

$$
|\text{GS}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}}(e^{i\theta_+} \cos \gamma \beta_{Q}^\dagger + e^{i\theta_-} \sin \gamma \beta_{-Q}^\dagger)^N |0\rangle, \quad (4)
$$

where $\beta_{\pm Q}^\dagger$ is the creation operator of a boson at the bottoms of the lower band $k = \pm Q$. $\theta_\pm$ is the phase factor, and $\gamma$ describes a population rate between the minima. Notice also that through a unitary transformation, $\beta_k$ is related to $b_{k,p}$, which is the Fourier transformation of $b_{l,p}$. Thus, it is natural to expect that the optimal ground state affects the density profile in each chain. Indeed, it has been shown that at a certain parameter regime, $\gamma$ can be 0 or $\pi/2$, where all the bosons occupy one of the minima and spontaneous population imbalance between the legs occurs.

More recently, an extensive analysis based on the bosonization method has was done in Ref. [26]. In this analysis, as in Refs. [20, 22], the effect of $J, K$ is incorporated in a non-perturbative manner by diagonalizing the single particle Hamiltonian. Then, the effect of the higher energy band is neglected by considering the limit $J, K \gg U$. A peculiar point in this analysis is that the bosonization based on the GP approach of Eq. (4) is considered.

In general, the bosonization requires a mean-field solution as a basis to consider quantum fluctuations. Since the GP approach provides a correct basis in the weak-coupling regime including the biased ladder phase, the bosonization based on the GP approach can examine quantum fluctuation effects in each phase with propriety. For instance, the biased ladder phase is shown to be stable even in the presence of quantum fluctuations [26] while Bose-Einstein condensation predicted with the GP analysis is replaced by the quasi-long range order described by the Tomonaga-Luttinger liquid (TLL). In terms of the symmetry, in the biased ladder phase, the $Z_2$ symmetry breaking occurring at the mean-field level is kept even at the quantum level, while the $U(1)$ symmetry broken at the mean-field level is recovered. These properties in the biased ladder phase are also confirmed by the recent DMRG simulation [27].

In the analysis in Ref. [26], the effect of the umklapp scattering between $k = \pm Q$ neglected in Ref. [22] was also incorporated. When $Q$ takes commensurate values as $Q = np/q$ with coprime numbers $p, q$, such an effect is particularly important and has an impact on the phase structure. At the GP level, the ground-state energy starts to depend on the relative phase $\theta_+ - \theta_-$ due to the umklapp scattering [18, 26]. The relative phase acts as an additional parameter and therefore the phase boundary turns out to be shifted. In addition, commensurability in $Q$ also affects the nature of the vortex phase. The vortex phase realized at incommensurate $Q$, which is called an incommensurate vortex or vortex fluid phase, can be described by two-independent TLLs. On the other hand, in the vortex phase realized at commensurate $Q$,
which is called a commensurate vortex or vortex lattice phase, one of two TLLs becomes massive due to the umklapp scattering. Thus, by changing a flux, which causes a shift of \( Q \), a transition between the commensurate vortex and incommensurate vortex phases emerges. Then, such a transition in which the GP analysis cannot access the nature, can be naturally explained by the bosonization method in combination with the renormalization group treatment \([9]\).

In total, the analysis in Ref. \([26]\) is useful to discuss low-energy properties of the flux ladder system with quantum fluctuations in an analytic manner. In terms of this analysis, below, we wish to discuss some issues of the bosonic flux ladder by focusing on points not discussed in Ref. \([26]\).

### III. FORMALISM

As mentioned above, as far as the weak-coupling regime \((K, J \gg U, V)\) is concerned, bosons tend to populate the neighborhood of the bottom of the lower band, and therefore, we may neglect the effect of the upper band. The present model shows that the lower band evolves as one with a single minimum to one with two minima as the magnitude of the flux is increased.

In the case of a single minimum that corresponds to the Meissner phase, the elimination of the upper band leads to the relation \( b_{l,p} \sim \sqrt{\beta_l} \), where \( \beta_l \) is the boson operator defined around the bottom of the lower band at a site \( l \) \([20][26]\). Thus, the effective Hamiltonian can be represented as the single boson operator \( \beta_l \), and therefore the problem is almost reduced to a single chain one without a flux. The only difference from the case without a flux is the emergence of a nonzero chiral current. In addition, the bosonization method in the Meissner phase can be straightforwardly performed as in the case of the single chain problem \([20][26]\). It is also shown that there is no local density or current pattern in the Meissner phase.

In the case of the two minima where the vortex and biased ladder phases can be obtained, on the other hand, more involved but rich situations appear. There, two independent boson operators defined around the two minima of the lower band play important roles in the low-energy physics. To see this, we note that by means of the long-wave length approximation, we obtain the following relations in real space \([20][26]\):

\[
\begin{align*}
\beta_{l,1} &= -e^{-iQl} \sin \frac{\xi Q}{2} \beta_{l,-} + e^{iQl} \cos \frac{\xi Q}{2} \beta_{l,+}, \\
\beta_{l,2} &= e^{-iQl} \cos \frac{\xi Q}{2} \beta_{l,-} - e^{iQl} \sin \frac{\xi Q}{2} \beta_{l,+},
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
\pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \left( 1 \pm \frac{\sin Q \sin (\phi/2)}{\sqrt{(K/2)^2 + \sin^2 Q \sin^2 (\phi/2)}} \right)} = \sin \frac{\xi Q}{2} \sin \frac{\xi Q}{2}
\]

are the weight factors by which the single particle Hamiltonian can be diagonalized. In the above, \( \beta_{l,\pm} \) is the bosonic operator at a site \( l \) defined around the right (left) bottom of the lower band. In the formulation in \([20][26]\), the bosonization is performed not in \( b_{l,p} \) but in \( \beta_{l,\pm} \) as

\[
\beta_{l,\pm} = \sqrt{n_\pm - \frac{\nabla \varphi_\pm}{\pi}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{2im\pi n_\pm l - \varphi_\pm} e^{i\theta_\pm},
\]

where \( \varphi_\pm \) and \( \theta_\pm \) are real fields describing the density and phase fluctuations, respectively \([9]\). In our formalism, the mean density \( n_\pm \) can be determined by the GP solution. In total, the fields \( b_{l,p} \) are decomposed into the slow fields \( \varphi_\pm \) and \( \theta_\pm \), and rapidly oscillating factors \( e^{\pm iQl} \).

The situation above is similar to the bosonization of fermions in the sense that in one dimensional systems, the fermion operators can be decomposed into similar bosonic slow fields describing the density and phase fluctuations, and oscillating factors \( e^{\pm ikr^l} \) with the Fermi momentum \( k_F \) \([9]\). Then, we naively obtain the TLL as the low-energy effective theory. However, since \( k_F \) is related to the density, commensurability of \( k_F \) may lead to the instability of the TLL and the famous Mott insulator. In the bosonization language, this commensurability leads to a cosine term on the density fluctuation field in the Hamiltonian, and the low-energy effective theory reduces to the famous sine-Gordon model. Then, the Mott transition at half filling occurs if the so-called TLL parameter is less than 1, where the cosine term is relevant and the Mott gap is generated \([9]\). When the situation in which the Mott insulator is realized is concerned, by changing the chemical potential, which can be represented as \( \nabla \varphi \) in the bosonization, the commensurate-incommensurate (C-IC) transition is allowed. There, an infinitesimal change in chemical potential does not give rise to this transition. The C-IC transition is allowed if the chemical potential added is of the order of the Mott gap \([9]\).

Based on the above observations, we come back to Eqs. \([5][6][7]\) to see fundamental rules in each parameter. In the presence of the double minima in the lower band, we can discuss the commensurability of \( Q \).

In this case, a cosine term on the (relative) phase fluctuation field \( \theta_+ - \theta_- \) in the Hamiltonian emerges through the terms containing \( \beta_{l,+}^\dagger \beta_{l,-} - \beta_{l,-}^\dagger \beta_{l,+} \). Since such a cosine term is relevant for a large TLL parameter \([9]\), which is indeed the case for the weakly-interacting bosons, an instability of the TLL in this sector emerges. Due to this mechanism, the commensurate vortex phase also called vortex lattice phase is obtained. As in the case of the Mott insulator, we can also discuss the C-IC transition in the vortex phase. There, the magnetic flux \( \phi \) plays a similar role as the chemical potential in the Mott insulator. Namely, an infinitesimal change of \( \phi \) does not invoke a change of \( Q \) (or vortex density) and the C-IC transition. The change of \( Q \) and C-IC transition is allowed if the change of \( \phi \) exceeds the gap.
IV. RESULTS

Below, we discuss several properties in terms of our formalism especially by focusing on the case of the band between the two minima, that is, \( n \). In our formalism, this can be done by the GP analysis \[22, 26\]. As mentioned above, there are two solutions: population balance and imbalance ones. Sections IV A, B, C discuss the \( V = 0 \) case, and the \( V \neq 0 \) case is discussed in Sec. IV D.

A. Population-imbalance solution

The biased ladder phase belongs to the population-imbalance solution where \( n_+ = n, n_- = 0 \) or \( n_+ = 0, n_- = n \) is selected spontaneously. While there are rapidly oscillating factors in Eqs. \[5\] and \[6\], these factors do not play any role in the density and current expression since \( \beta_+ \) or \( \beta_- \) is dropped in the biased ladder phase. Thus, the local patterns on the density and current are not expected. As first pointed out in Ref. \[22\], the peculiarity of this phase is that \( n_{l,1} \neq n_{l,2} \) originating from \( \sin \frac{\xi_Q}{2} \neq \cos \frac{\xi_Q}{2} \).

We also point out that at the transition point between the biased ladder and vortex phases, an arbitrary imbalance between \( n_+ \) and \( n_- \) is allowed due to the emergent SU(2) symmetry in the low-energy effective Hamiltonian \[20\]. This point is interpreted as the special case of the population-imbalance solution.

B. Population-balance solution

The mean density of the vortex phase is the same between the two minima, that is, \( n_+ = n_- = \frac{n}{2} \). In this case, both of the rapidly oscillating terms, \( e^{iQl} \) and \( e^{-iQl} \) in Eqs. \[5\] and \[6\] play an important role.

Let us now look at the local density profile following an analysis in Ref. \[22\]. Within the GP analysis, one obtains

\[
\langle n_{l,1} \rangle = \langle n_{l,2} \rangle = \frac{n}{2} - n \sin \frac{\xi_Q}{2} \cos \frac{\xi_Q}{2} \cos (2Ql - \theta_+ + \theta_-),
\]

where we note that in Ref. \[22\], \( \theta_+ = \theta_- = 0 \) is implicitly assumed. The above expression means that except for \( 2Ql - \theta_+ + \theta_- = \frac{2m+1}{2} \pi \) \((m \in \mathbb{Z})\), the density in each leg is oscillating. However, we point out that the above prediction requires considerable attention and one must separately discuss the incommensurate and commensurate \( Q \) cases.

In the incommensurate flux case, fixing \( \theta_+ - \theta_- \) at some constant is directly related to the presence of an axial U(1) symmetry in the low-energy Hamiltonian and its breaking at the mean-field level. The axial U(1) symmetry represents that the low-energy Hamiltonian is invariant under the transformation \( \beta_{l,\pm} \rightarrow e^{\pm i\phi} \beta_{l,\pm} \) with a phase \( \theta \) \[26\]. Then, the GP analysis predicts that the axial U(1) symmetry is spontaneously broken. However, as far as the thermodynamic limit is concerned, such a fixing does not occur since the U(1) symmetry breaking in 1D system is not allowed \[34\]. To see this directly, let us examine quantum fluctuation effects by means of the bosonization. In such a prescription, the oscillating term in Eq. \[8\] is bosonized as \( \cos (2Ql - \sqrt{2} \theta_a) \), where \( \theta_a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\theta_+ - \theta_-) \) is not the constant but the field operator. Since the low-energy effective Hamiltonian on \( \theta_a \) is shown to be the TLL one \[26\], we found that the oscillation in local density predicted by the mean-field theory disappears by quantum fluctuations, that is, \( \langle \cos (2Ql - \sqrt{2} \theta_a) \rangle = 0 \). On the other hand, the recent DMRG simulation has rather confirmed local patterns in the incommensurate vortex phase \[27\]. Such a difference between the bosonization and DMRG may be related to the fact that the DMRG simulation is performed at an open boundary condition while the bosonization is done at the periodic boundary condition.

On the other hand, in the commensurate flux case, the situation is different. This is due to the fact that in the presence of the umklapp terms, the axial U(1) symmetry is explicitly broken \[26\]. Below, we show that this explicit breaking of the continuous symmetry rather supports the fixing of the relative phase.

We first consider the case of \( Q = \pi/2 \) \((\phi \approx \pi)\). In this case, due to the umklapp scattering, the following term in the Hamiltonian is induced at the mean-field level \[26\]: \( g \cos 2(\theta_+ - \theta_-) \) with a positive \( g \). Thus, \( \theta_+ - \theta_- = \frac{2m+1}{2} \pi \) in the ground state, meaning that even at the mean-field level, we obtain

\[
\langle n_{l,1} \rangle = \langle n_{l,2} \rangle = \frac{n}{2}, \tag{9}
\]

We point out that the above relation holds at the bosonization level since the umklapp scattering introduces \( \cos \sqrt{2} \theta_a \) which is always relevant in a weak coupling and locks \( \theta_a \) \[26\]. This behavior around \( \phi \approx \pi \) is consistent with the DMRG simulation \[27\].

We also look at the other commensurate cases where \( Q = \frac{p}{q} \pi \) with two mutually prime integers \( p, q \). As discussed in Refs. \[15, 20\], by considering perturbation theory to order \( q \), the seemingly irrelevant term \( \cos (\sqrt{2} \theta_a - 2Ql) \) induces a term,

\[
\cos (q \sqrt{2} \theta_a), \tag{10}
\]

which is relevant perturbation. Thus, \( \theta_a \) is fixed, which leads to a periodic pattern of the local density. An interesting point here is that the local periodic pattern in density is induced as a consequence of the breaking of the discrete symmetry.

We also note that the similar argument is possible for the local current. Namely, in the incommensurate vortex (or vortex fluid) phase, a local current pattern
that emerged in the mean-field theory disappears in the bosonization treatment. In the commensurate vortex (or vortex lattice) phase, such a local current pattern is allowed within the bosonization due to the breaking of the discrete symmetry.

C. Chiral-current reversal

Recently, Greschner et al. revealed that by means of the DMRG, the sign of the chiral current can be changed in the vicinity of the commensurate vortex (or vortex lattice) phase $[27]$. Here, we interpret such a chiral-current reversal in terms of our formalism $[35]$.

We first consider the case in the vicinity of $\phi = \pi$ ($Q = \frac{\pi}{2}$). When $\phi$ is identical to $\pi$, the chiral current is given by $j_c(l) = 2nJ \sin \xi/2(-1)^l$, the net chiral current vanishes $[20]$,

$$j_c = \frac{1}{N} \sum_l j_c(l) = 0. \quad (11)$$

We now look at a regime where the flux value is given by $\phi = \pi + \delta$ and $\delta$ is small in such a way that the system is in the commensurate vortex phase. The intrachain hopping is then bosonized as

$$-J \sum_l \left[ e^{i(\frac{\pi}{4})} b_{l+1,1}^\dagger b_{l,1} + e^{-i(\frac{\pi}{4})} b_{l+1,2}^\dagger b_{l,2} \right]$$

$$\sim -4nJ \sin^2 \frac{\xi}{2} \cos \frac{\theta_s}{\sqrt{2}} \cos \left( \frac{2\pi + \delta}{2} - \frac{\nabla \theta_a}{\sqrt{2}} \right)$$

$$-4nJ \cos^2 \frac{\xi}{2} \cos \frac{\theta_s}{\sqrt{2}} \cos \left( \frac{\delta}{2} + \frac{\nabla \theta_a}{\sqrt{2}} \right), \quad (12)$$

where we neglect the terms containing $e^{\pm iQl}$, since they do not contribute to the net chiral current. Thus, the net chiral current is given by

$$j_c = J \left[ \cos^2 \frac{\xi}{2} - \sin^2 \frac{\xi}{2} \right] \delta + O(\delta^2), \quad (13)$$

where we used the fact that $\theta_a$ is locked in the commensurate vortex phase. Thus, it turns out that the chiral-current reversal occurs around $\phi \approx \pi$ since $j_c$ is negative for $\delta < 0$, which has the opposite sign compared to the chiral current in the incommensurate vortex phase.

We next consider the other commensurate cases. Then, it turns out that in such a case, in addition to $\delta$ dependent terms, there is a $\delta$ independent term $[20]$. Thus, to discuss the chiral current reversal in the $Q \neq \frac{\pi}{2}$ case, we have to compare the magnitudes of the different terms, each of which has a different sign. Within the bosonization method, however, it is difficult to obtain a solid answer in $Q \neq \frac{\pi}{2}$.

D. Long-range interaction along rung direction: synthetic dimension

From the perspective of the synthetic dimension, we introduce the interchain interaction, $V$. As mentioned in Sec. II, the coupling $V$ takes a value similar to the onsite coupling $U$. Therefore, we first look at the interaction terms in the limit $U = V$, which is given by

$$\frac{U}{2} \sum_l \left[ (n_{l,1} + n_{l,2})^2 - (n_{l,1} + n_{l,2}) \right]. \quad (14)$$

Apparently, the above term has SU(2) symmetry, and therefore one may expect that the ferromagnetic ground state is due to the spontaneous symmetry breaking of SU(2) as in the case of the two-component bosons $[36]$. While the above argument may give some insight into what happens in the presence of $V$, one must care about the fact that the kinetic term in the Hamiltonian explicitly breaks the SU(2) symmetry.

To determine the phase structure, we now use the GP approach $[37]$. In the case of an incommensurate $Q$, the mean-field energy is obtained as

$$E_- (Q) + \frac{nU}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \sin^2 \xi_Q \sin^2 2\gamma + 1 \right]$$

$$+ \frac{n\Delta}{2} \left[ \left( -\frac{1}{4} \sin^2 \xi_Q + \frac{1}{2} \right) \sin^2 2\gamma + \sin^2 \xi_Q \right], \quad (15)$$

where $E_- (Q)$ is the single particle spectrum in the lower band at $k = Q$, $\Delta = V - U$ describes the mismatch from the isotropic limit. Notice that as in the case of $V = 0$ $[26]$, the energy does not depend on the phase factor $\theta_{\pm}$, which is a direct consequence of the absence of the umklapp scattering between $k = \pm Q$. As far as a weak-coupling regime is concerned, the number of minima in the lower band plays a crucial role in determining the phase structure. In the single minimum case, as in $V = 0$, the Meissner phase is obtained. To discuss the two minimum case, we consider the regime where the mismatch is small $|\Delta/U| \ll 1$, considering the experiment with $^{87}$Rb $[7]$. We then find through Eq. (15) that in contrast to the $V = 0$ case, the biased ladder phase is favored $[38]$. In accord with the analysis in Ref. $[26]$, one can also discuss the quantum fluctuation effects from the above mean-field analysis. Then, it is straightforward to show that the phase structure does not change by the fluctuations while a Bose-Einstein condensate predicted at the mean-field level is substituted by the TLL in both the Meissner and biased-ladder phases.

We next consider the $Q = \frac{\pi}{2}$ case, which is dominant commensurability in the weak-coupling limit. By using the GP ansatz, we obtain

$$E_- (Q) + \frac{nU}{8} \left[ 1 + \cos 2(\theta_+ - \theta_-) \sin^2 \xi_Q \sin^2 2\gamma + 1 \right]$$

$$+ \frac{n\Delta}{2} \left[ \left( -\frac{1}{4} + \cos 2(\theta_+ - \theta_-) \sin^2 \xi_Q + \frac{1}{2} \right) \sin^2 2\gamma + \frac{1}{2} \sin^2 \xi_Q \right], \quad (16)$$

where the relative phase dependence comes out via the umklapp scattering between $k = \pm Q$. In the ground
state, \( \cos 2(\bar{\theta}_+ - \bar{\theta}_-) = -1 \) if \( |\Delta/U| \) is small. We then find that the vortex phase is stabilized if \( \Delta < 0 \), and the population-imbalance phase is stabilized if \( \Delta \geq 0 \). Notice also that the net chiral current at \( \phi = \pi \) is zero regardless of the phases realized since it is proportional to \( \sin \left( \frac{Q}{2} + Q \right) \) [26]. A difference between the vortex and population-imbalance phases is that the vortex phase shows the local-current pattern while the population-imbalance phase does not.

In total, through the analysis with the nonzero \( V \), it has been found that the population-imbalance solution is widely favored. In the presence of the umklapp scattering, which favors the population-balance solution, the transition between the population imbalance and balance solutions is also allowed as a function of \( \Delta \).

V. SUMMARY

In this paper, we considered the two-leg bosonic ladder model in the presence of a magnetic flux especially in the weak-coupling regime where a Bose-Einstein condensate is absent. Commensurability on a flux plays an important role in determining the low-energy properties, which is in sharp contrast to the Bose system with the spin-orbit coupling in a continuum space, where the C-IC transition is absent [39]. As long as the interaction strength is larger than the temperature, the C-IC transition emerges even in a weak-coupling limit unlike the Mott insulator in the Bose-Hubbard model. Our formalism may be useful to discuss the three (or more) leg ladder case [24, 29, 81, 82].
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