Pickering emulsions with ethiodized oil and nanoparticles for slow release of intratumoral anti-CTLA4 immune checkpoint antibodies

ABSTRACT

Background Intratumorous immunotherapy for cancer is currently thriving. The aim of such local strategy is to improve the therapeutic index of these treatments, for higher on-target/on-tumor activity and less on-target/off-tumor adverse events. Strategies allowing for slow release of anti-CTLA4 in the tumor microenvironment could improve their clinical efficacy.

The purpose of the study was to develop a radiopaque delivery platform to improve the targeting and exposure of intratumoral anti-CTLA4 antibodies for cancer immunotherapy.

Methods Pickering emulsions of anti-CTLA4 antibodies were formulated with radiopaque ethiodized oil and poly-lactic-co-glycolic acid (PLGA) nanoparticles. We characterized the microscopic aspect and stability of such emulsions using Turbiscan. We monitored the release of anti-CTLA4 over time from these emulsions and evaluated their structure using mass spectrometry. We then tested the functionality of the released antibodies by preforming ex vivo competitive binding assays. Finally, we assessed the in vivo efficacy of intratumorous anti-CTLA4 Pickering emulsions.

Results Pickering emulsions of ethiodized oil and PLGA nanoparticles (PEEPs) resulted in a radiopaque water-in-oil emulsion with average internal droplet size of 42±5 µm at day 7. Confocal microscopy showed that anti-CTLA4 antibodies were effectively encapsulated by ethiodized oil with PLGA nanoparticles located at the interface between the aqueous and the oily phase. Turbiscan analysis showed that emulsions were stable with continuous and progressive release of anti-CTLA4 antibodies. Finally, intratumorous anti-CTLA4 PEEPs were able to eradicate tumors and cure mice in a syngeneic immunocompetent preclinical tumor model.

Conclusion Pickering emulsions of ethiodized oil and PLGA is an innovative radiopaque delivery platform that does not alter the functionality of anti-CTLA4 immune checkpoint antibodies. Beyond local anti-CTLA4 applications, these emulsions might be used with other therapeutic molecules for optimal intratumorous or intraarterial delivery of novel cancer immunotherapies.

INTRODUCTION

Over the past decade, immunotherapies have dramatically changed the landscape of cancer treatment. Ipilimumab, an IgG1, anti-CTLA4 antibody, has been the first immune checkpoint-targeted immunotherapy approved for the treatment of metastatic melanoma. It was shown to provide a significant improvement in the overall survival of this deadly disease, with even cure of metastatic disease, for about 20% of the patients. 

Anti-CTLA4 antibodies present with characteristics that justify further research on their delivery to improve their therapeutic index. As opposed to anti-PD(L)1 antibodies where no correlation between dose, efficacy, and toxicity has been observed, anti-CTLA4 antibodies have a significant dose–effect correlation on both efficacy and toxicity. Interestingly, in pharmacokinetic studies, the efficacy of systemic anti-CTLA4 therapy has also been shown to be dependent from the exposure to the treatment. Also systemic exposure to anti-CTLA4 antibodies can generate up to 28% of CTCAE grade 3–5 immune-related adverse events (irAEs) in mature clinical trials at a dose of 3 mg/kg every 3 weeks. Moreover grade 3–5 irAEs are increased to 59% when anti-CTLA4 is combined to anti-PD-1. Therefore, there is a call for alternative treatment modalities which could increase the anti-CTLA4 bioavailability inside the tumor while keeping systemic exposure as low as possible to improve efficacy and decrease toxicity.

A promising treatment strategy is ‘in situ immunization’ which consists in...
intratumoral injection of immunostimulatory products such as immunostimulatory monoclonal antibodies (ISmAbs) or viruses. ISmAbs or viruses are injected directly into a tumor to locally prime the antitumor immune response. Interestingly, low doses of monoclonal antibodies (ISmAbs) delivered directly into the tumor can be sufficient to generate a systemic antitumor immune response able to eradicate distant, not injected (aneesthetic), tumor sites. Hence, local delivery of immunotherapies is an appealing strategy to decrease the autoimmune and inflammatory toxicities observed on systemic delivery while improving the therapeutic index of such treatments. Accordingly, many ‘in situ immunization’ clinical trials are currently ongoing. Moreover, preclinical and clinical data have also recently provided the rationale to local anti-CTLA4 therapy, showing both local and abscohal efficacy results.

Nevertheless, percutaneous intratumoral local injections of ISmAbs have some limitations. First, tumors might need to be punctured repetitively, for example every week or 2 weeks, because there is no sustained local release of the injected ISmAbs, and tumors that can be punctured safely in such a repetitive manner are mostly superficial. Second, the spatial distribution of ISmAbs inside of the targeted tumor may be inhomogeneous and inappropriate, with antibodies concentrating mostly within the low-pressure necrosis areas of the tumor. Third, there is no monitoring, during or after injection, of the distribution of the ISmAbs within the tumor or outside the tumor with potential leaks. To overcome some of these limitations, the use of radiopaque delivery platforms may be of interest as they allow for sustained release, delivery monitoring with X-ray-based imaging, and ideally can be administrated via various routes to the tumor (percutaneous, intra-arterial, intralymphatic).

Theoretically, the formulation process of an emulsion offers the possibility to encapsulate a large spectrum of hydrophilic drugs within an oily phase. Standard emulsions using radiopaque ethiodized oil have been used for years to deliver chemotherapeutic agents to liver tumors. For instance, hepatic arterial delivery is the most common administration route to take advantage of the arterial phase hyperenhancement of hepatic tumors. Recently, we successfully developed a biocompatible Pickering emulsion, using ethiodized oil and biodegradable nanoparticles (NPs) made of poly-lactic-co-glycolic acid (PLGA), and demonstrated in preclinical models a slower release of oxaliplatin and doxorubicin compared with standard emulsions.

The aim of this study was to evaluate such ethiodized oil and PLGA Pickering emulsions as a radiopaque drug delivery platform to improve the targeting and treatment exposure profile of anti-CTLA4 antibodies for cancer local immunotherapy.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

First, we formulated and compared standard and Pickering emulsions of anti-CTLA4. Then, we evaluated the physical characteristics of anti-CTLA4 antibodies released from the emulsion to assess any changes compared with ‘native’ antibodies. Finally, we assessed the functionality of the released anti-CTLA4 antibodies in an ex vivo competitive binding assay on a cell line and in vivo using transplantable colon cancer bearing mice.

**Emulsions of anti-CTLA4**

Based on previous publications, we aimed to formulate a radiopaque water-in-oil emulsion able to deliver the anti-CTLA4 antibody into the tumor in a progressive manner. For this purpose, we formulated anti-CTLA4 standard emulsions with ethiodized oil and Pickering emulsions using ethiodized oil and PLGA NPs (PEEP).

**Emulsion formulation**

For standard emulsion and PEEP, the oily phase was composed of ethiodized oil (Lipiodol, Guerbet, France) and the aqueous phase was composed of an anti-CTLA4 antibody (ipilimumab; Yervoy, BMS, USA), at a concentration of 5 mg/mL. The Pickering emulsion contained biocompatible and biodegradable PLGA NPs (online supplementary data figure 1).

**Location of anti-CTLA4 within the emulsions**

Emulsions were analyzed by optical microscopy (BX60F, Olympus, Japan) at 100× magnification. To evaluate the location of anti-CTLA4 antibodies within the emulsions, we used confocal microscopy (META LSM 510 confocal microscope; Zeiss, Germany). Ipilimumab was conjugated prior with Alexa Fluor 647 (AF647), then labeled antibody was further diluted 100 times with non-conjugated ipilimumab (1% AF647-conjugated ipilimumab). To label the NPs, we first linked covalently PLGA with rhodamine. PLGA–rhodamine was added during the formulation of PLGA NPs to form PLGA NPs (0.1% rhodamine).

**Emulsion stability**

To evaluate the stability of the emulsions, we measured the size of the internal phase aqueous droplets over time and we measured the time for complete coalescence. Droplets size measurement was determined at day 1, 7, 14, and 35 after formulation, using a particle counter; shape, and size analysis apparatus (Flowcell FC200S+HR; Occhio, Belgium). Emulsions passed through a 400 µm spacer and diluted 20 times in ethiodized oil before analysis. Each emulsion was measured five times with at least 1000 droplets analyzed by measurement.

Time for complete coalescence of both emulsions was determined using a Turbiscan (Classic MA 2000 apparatus; Formulation, Toulouse, France). A near-infrared light to scan the emulsions and calculated the transmitted and scattered light. Measurements were repeated every hour for the first 24 hours, and at day 7 and day 14 after formulation at 25°C. Emulsion stability was defined as the absence of difference for the backscattering diffusion.
between two measurements, excepted for the creaming phenomenon that is reversible by hand shaking. Emulsions were stored in glass vials, away from light at a temperature at 25°C.

**Ex vivo evaluation of released anti-CTLA4 antibodies**

**Anti-CTLA4 antibody release**

The release of anti-CTLA4 antibodies was quantified using micro-bicinchoninic acid assay technique at days 1, 3, 6, 10, 15, 21, and 28 after formulation. A total of 0.8 mL of emulsion (corresponding to 1 mg of ipilimumab) was deposited into 20 mL of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) as release medium, and 1 mL of release medium was then withdrawn at each time point and replaced by fresh release medium.

To assess whether antibodies released from the emulsion were intact, we compared their physical characteristics with those of the same native ipilimumab antibody, not used for the emulsions.

Sizes of antibodies were compared by means of electrophoresis gel migration. We used Mini-PROTEAN TGX stain-free precast gels (BioRad, USA) and the size was determined with the PageRuler Plus prestained protein ladder (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). A total of 15 µL of the release samples collected at the different time points were compared with the same volume of native ipilimumab antibodies.

Capillary zone electrophoresis–mass spectrometry (CZE-MS) experiments were performed using a 7100 Agilent capillary electrophoresis, ESI-QTOF 6540 mass spectrometer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, California, USA) and Mass Hunter B.07.00 software. Analysis of ipilimumab were performed on the triple-layer coated capillary of Polybrene–dextran sulfate–Polybrene.

**Released anti-CTLA4 antibody functional evaluation**

To assess the functionality of the released anti-CTLA4 antibodies, we performed an ex vivo competitive binding assay on a cell line, and then an in vivo mouse experiment.

**Ex vivo competitive binding assay**

The CTLA4 Ig-24 (ATCC CRL-10762) (ATCC, USA) cell line has been generated from ovarian hamster cells transfected to express in their cytoplasm the soluble CTLA4-Ig fusion protein, which contains the extracellular domain of the CTLA4 human protein. These cells were cultured at 37°C in 5% CO₂ in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium completed with 10% of fetal bovine serum, 1% of penicillin and streptomycin, and 1% of non-essential amino acids at 37°C in 5% CO₂. 1% of non-essential amino acids at 37°C in 5% CO₂. Before the experience, we tagged native ipilimumab with AF647 fluorophore (Alexa Fluor 647 Antibody Labeling Kit; Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) for detection with flow cytometry (FACS). First, the cells were permeabilized and fixed thanks to BD Cytofix/Cytoperme solution. The cells were then treated either with native ipilimumab or with the PEEP-released ipilimumab during 30 min at 4°C. Then, the AF647-conjugated ipilimumab was added in all conditions. After this second incubation, fluorescence was measured using flow cytometry (LSR Fortessa X20, BD Biosciences, USA).

**In vivo anti-CTLA4 functional assessment**

The antitumorous activity of the anti-CTLA4 antibodies was assessed in vivo, on 6-week-old female BALB/c mice purchased from Charles River Laboratories (France). For this experiment, we used an anti-mouse IgG₂a anti-CTLA4 (Clone: 9H10, Bio X Cell, USA) as well as its isotype.

CT26 ATCC CRL-2638 (ATCC, USA) cells, a tumor cell line of colorectal cancer responding to anti-CTLA4 therapy, were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium with GlutaMAX completed with 10% of fetal bovine serum, 1% of penicillin and streptomycin, and 1% of non-essential amino acids at 37°C in 5% CO₂.

Just before injection, the tumor cells were collected and suspended at a concentration of 1.10⁶ cells/100 µL in PBS at 4°C. The tumor cells (± 1.10⁶) were injected subcutaneously under isoflurane 2% sedation.
Treatment was performed at day 6 after inoculation, in 32 mice when tumors where palpable and measure more than 50 mm³. In 10 mice, a constant volume of 50 µL of Pickering emulsion was injected directly into the tumor at a dosage of 100 µg of anti-CTLA4. As a positive control, 10 mice received intratumoral injection of 100 µg of native (unemulsified) anti-CTLA4 diluted in 50 µL of PBS. Negative control groups of 12 mice were injected either with a Pickering emulsion containing the anti-CTLA4 isotype (n=5) or the isotype only (n=7). In case of durable or complete response lasting at 6 weeks, a rechallenge was performed on the opposite flank with 1.10⁶ CT26 tumor cells to assess if a systemic specific antitumoral immunization was achieved. For the rechallenge experiment, five additional mice were inoculated as a positive control to confirm the capacity of the cell line to develop tumors.

For all in vivo experiments, mice were monitored three times a week, and sacrificed either when the tumor reached 1500 mm³ or 3 months after initial injection. Usual additional endpoints such as tumor ulceration, weight loss >10% or behavioral alteration were also used to guaranty animal well-being. X-ray three-dimensional imaging was performed before sacrifice using an IVIS Spectrum CT (Perkin Elmer, USA). Histopathological analysis was performed by a pathologist on formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tumor tissue, using H&E staining after sacrifice.

Statistics
Categorical variables were expressed as count and frequency. Qualitative analyses of ex vivo experiments were only descriptive. Continuous variables were expressed using medians and IQR and comparisons were done using the non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test. In the in vivo experiment, survival rates were estimated using the Kaplan-Meier method, and HRs and 95% CI were determined by Cox proportional hazards regression. All tests were two sided. A p-value lower than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Analysis and graphs were done using Prism v8 (La Jolla, California, USA).

Results

Pickering Emulsion Formulation
All standard anti-CTLA4 emulsions with ethiodized oil demonstrated immediate coalescence with a flocculation process, without stable droplet formation on microscopic analysis. Conversely, all PEEP (ethiodized oil+PLGA NPs+anti-CTLA4 antibody) resulted in water-in-oil emulsions (Figure 1).

Confocal microscopy showed the therapeutic agent being contained inside the droplets (AF647-conjugated ipilimumab), the NPs (labeled with rhodamine) being located at the interface between the aqueous and the oily phases and acting as a stabilizer (Figure 1).

Turbiscan analysis confirmed that all Pickering emulsions remained stable at last time point, day 7. The mean size of droplets was 37±3 µm on day 1. The size of droplets showed no significant variation over time (42±5 µm at day 7 and 40±5 µm at day 35). No coalescence process was observed macroscopically and microscopically immediately after emulsion formulation, and when morphological analysis was repeated 1 week and 1 month later (Figure 2).

Ex Vivo Evaluation of Released Anti-CTLA4 Antibodies
Because of immediate coalescence, the release of ipilimumab from standard ethiodized oil emulsion was not evaluated. The release profile of ipilimumab from PEEP is shown on Figure 3. The percentage of ipilimumab released from Pickering emulsions were 5.3±0.93, 14.0±4.8, 25.6±5.6, 38.1±5.7, 51.3±7.0, 64.1±16.7, and 66.6±11.5 at day 1, 3, 6, 10, 15, 21, and 28, respectively. The protein migration profile of the released antibodies was similar to that of the native ipilimumab, with a main protein band at 150 KDa (online supplementary data Figure 2).

The CZE-MS analysis of native ipilimumab at a concentration of 5 mg/mL showed a peak at 8.4 min at which MS spectrum displayed the envelopes with a maximum mass-to-charge (m/z) at 4303.24 m/z (Figure 4B). For concentrated aliquot of release samples, the analysis also displayed a peak at 8.4 min. In addition, the MS spectrum
Figure 3. Time-dependent release of ipilimumab from Pickering emulsions using ethiodized oil and poly-lactic-co-glycolic acid (PLGA) nanoparticles (PEEP). (A) Titration of ipilimumab release over time from ethiodized oil and PLGA Pickering emulsions (oil/water ratio: 3:1) using bicinchoninic acid (BCA) assay. (B) Percentage (left y-axis) and mass (right y-axis) of ipilimumab released over time by ethiodized oil and PLGA ipilimumab Pickering emulsions.

at this peak exhibited a charge state distribution centering at 35+ (4197.93 m/z) that matches to the mass spectrum of native ipilimumab (figure 4C,D).

Released anti-CTLA4 antibody functional evaluation

The ex vivo competitive binding assay confirmed that both native anti-CTLA4 (ipilimumab) and the released antibodies (from PEEP) were able to bind to CTLA4 as shown in figure 5. Furthermore, the fluorescence shift was similar for native and PEEP-released anti-CTLA4.

We next tested these two local therapies in transplantable colon cancer syngeneic of BALB/c mice (CT26) after subcutaneous inoculation. In vivo anti-CTLA4 functionality assessment demonstrates a beneficial effect of local anti-CTLA4 antibodies with both modalities, with no significant difference in terms of survival between injection of PEEP and direct injection of anti-CTLA4. At the end of the experiment (after 6 weeks of follow-up), 8/10 (80%) and 7/9 (77.7%) mice were alive in the PEEP and direct injection arms respectively, p=0.95. Median overall survival was not reached for both groups (HR=1.71; 95% CI 0.29 to 9.99, p=0.54). One mouse died at day 2 after treatment in the direct injection group. Three and two mice had to be sacrificed before the end of the experiment in the group direct injection and PEEP group, respectively (p=0.6). However, the median tumor volume was significantly higher in the PEEP group (125 mm³ vs 0 mm³ (p<0.001)). Among responders in the direct injection group, all mice showed complete response. In the Pickering emulsion group, there was an initial tumor volume increase and then a decrease until a long lasting ‘plateau’, with no tumor regrowth during the 6 weeks of follow-up. Figure 6 summarizes the results in terms of tumor growths and survival (online supplementary data figure 3).

Figure 4. Mass spectrum comparison between ipilimumab and ipilimumab released from Pickering emulsions using ethiodized oil and poly-lactic-co-glycolic acid nanoparticles. (A) Mass spectrum comparison of ipilimumab and PEEPs–ipilimumab obtained by capillary zone electrophoresis–mass spectrometry. Both commercial and PEEPs-released ipilimumab share the same migration time. (B and C) Focus on mass-to-charge (m/z) spectrum from ipilimumab and PEEPs-released ipilimumab. Both share a close m/z value for the main peak and the same charge state distribution.
Figure 5. Functional assessment of CTLA4 binding by ipilimumab released from Pickering emulsions using ethiodized oil and poly-lactic-co-glycolic acid nanoparticles (PEEP). (A) Design of competitive binding assay of ipilimumab and PEEP–ipilimumab on CTLA4-Ig transgenic cell line. PEEP–ipilimumab was collected after 21 days of release by the PEEP. Medium: non-treated cell; ipilimumab: addition of native ipilimumab and then Alexa Fluor 647 (AF647)-conjugated ipilimumab; PEEP–ipilimumab 21D: addition of release sample of ipilimumab at 21 days and then AF647-conjugated ipilimumab; IPIAF647: addition of AF647-conjugated ipilimumab. Median fluorescence intensity (MFI) of binding assay experiment is shown on bottom table. (B) Both ipilimumab and PEEP–ipilimumab induced a decrease of fluorescence suggesting effective binding to its ligand.

We analyzed long-term memory response by challenging the mice with the tumorigenic dose of CT26 on the contralateral flank. No tumor growth was observed in mice that showed an objective response in the ipsilateral flank subjected to direct injection of native anti-CTLA4 (n=7) or with injection of anti-CTLA4 PEEP (n=8), while the five naive mice (with no previous treatment) showed a rapid tumor growth in all cases.

Before sacrifice, X-ray imaging showed remnant ethiodized oil uptake in the tumors injected with Pickering emulsion. In these tumors, histopathological analysis confirmed the absence of tumor cell. We observed either a fully necrotic tumor or a pseudocystic lesion. Both contained areas with optically empty, round structures measuring 30 to 60 µm, consistent with lipidic bodies and associated with macrophagic reaction and focal neutrophilic and lymphocytic infiltration (figure 7).

DISCUSSION

This study demonstrates that ethiodized oil and PLGA Pickering emulsions allow the loading of both murine and human anti-CTLA4 antibodies, in the internal phase of a stable water in oil radiopaque emulsion. The release of the antibodies was progressive over time, offering an opportunity to increase the local exposure to anti-CTLA4. Also, the anti-CTLA4 antibodies released by such Pickering emulsion were not altered in their structure, they kept their functional binding to CTLA4 in vitro, and their antitumor activity in vivo.

Local delivery is probably of interest when using anti-CTLA4 antibodies because of their dose-efficacy-toxicity correlation thus limiting their systemic administration. Local delivery of therapeutic agents to a tumor usually allows for high tumorous and peritumorous concentrations while keeping the systemic exposure low. Our platform has the advantage of its versatility, with delivery being possible, percutaneously into the tumor, intra-arterially or through the lymphatic vessels. Percutaneous intratumor delivery with such drug delivery formulation will allow for longer exposure locally to the drug, but raises questions about the diffusion of the drug throughout the tumor microenvironment. Injection within the arterial tumor supply can target the entire tumor and the tumor microenvironment, which is known to be immunosuppressive. Also, this ethiodized oil PLGA Pickering emulsion drug delivery platform allows for a progressive release of monoclonal antibodies over several days. This could offer an opportunity to prevent the rapid washout of ISmAbs.
from the tumor. Ethiodized oil has been demonstrated to have a temporary embolic effect related to emulsion viscosity. However, such intra-arterial delivery can only be done for a selected number of tumor locations (eg, hepatic, renal...), and specific studies are awaited.

We demonstrated that ethiodized oil emulsion with anti-CTLA4 is not possible without adjunct of Pickering technology and that it protects the antibody from being altered by ethiodized oil. In addition, as demonstrated previously Pickering allows for prolonged stability of the emulsion. A recent study has demonstrated that ipilimumab remains active for at least 4 weeks after opening of the vial, hence making it suitable for progressive release. Others have reported an increased therapeutic index of intratumoral immunotherapies and decreased toxicities when mixing therapeutic antibody with an oily phase too. In this report, the oil used was Montanide (Seppic, USA), which has also been reported to increase vaccinal effect, but its use might be limited as it contains surfactants. Our delivery platform is based on ethiodized oil, which is already approved for a long time in clinical practice with various delivery routes such as intra-arterial, intralymphatic and remains used for hysterosalpingography.

In our in vivo experiment study, responses and survival rates offered by the intratumorally injected of the antibody alone and intratumoral injection of the Pickering emulsion were no significantly different. Beyond local efficacy on injected tumors, both anti-CTLA4 and PEEP-anti-CTLA4 conferred an in situ vaccine protection, demonstrated by the absence of tumor regrowth in mice rechallenged with the same tumor cell line. Interestingly, the Pickering emulsion group showed an initial postinjection increase of the tumor volumes and no complete clinical tumor responses. However, the survival of these mice was equivalent to regular intratumorl anti-CTLA4 and these mice seemed to be cured. The slow degradation of the Pickering emulsion in the injected tumor was responsible for this paradoxical phenomenon. Indeed, the volume of injected ethiodized oil was still visible on X-ray imaging, and we found complete pathological responses on resection of these tumors.

We have shown that this local immunotherapy can have local efficacy against established tumors and systemic protection against tumor rechallenge. In the clinic, such efficacy could be of therapeutic interest for patients with focal relapse on anti-PD(L)1 monotherapy. It could also be of great interest in a neoadjuvant setting for patients bearing a localized cancer to prime the antitumor response before the surgery, to facilitate the resection of the injected lesion if some shrinkage can be obtained, and also to prevent subsequent relapses.

Radio-opacity of PEEPs is obviously of significant value when it comes to the monitoring of intratumoral and intra-arterial local injections. Indeed, this feature allows for real-time assessment during the procedure, but also after the procedure with a postoperative imaging to control what has been obtained in terms of local

Figure 6  In vivo antitumorous activity of intratumorous anti-CTLA4 and anti-CTLA4 released from Pickering emulsions using ethiodized oil and poly-lactic-co-glycolic acid nanoparticles (PEEP). (A) In vivo experiment design with a single intratumorous injection (day 6) of anti-CTLA4 or PEEP-anti-CTLA4. (B and C) Kaplan-Meier and tumor growth curves (median and IQR of tumor sizes at different time points) according to the groups showing significant efficacy of both directly injected anti-CTLA4 and PEEP-anti-CTLA4.
Further research is needed to translate this work into a clinical trial, including the stability of the Pickering emulsion after passing through a catheter or on the arterial shear stress. Another limitation of our study is that no pharmacokinetic analysis was done to provide proof of longer local exposure with PEEP administration and no survival benefit over direct intratumorous injection was shown in this specific tumor model, which responds very well to anti-CTLA4 antibodies. It will be important to assess several delivery protocols, volume and frequency of injections in relationship with pharmacokinetic studies of the drug loaded in the Pickering emulsion. Also, other immunotherapies than anti-CTLA4 and other tumor models need to be evaluated to explore the potential clinical benefit of this platform for cancer immunotherapy.

In conclusion, radiopaque anti-CTLA4 ethiodized oil PLGA Pickering emulsion allows for the loading and effective release of active antibody to prime the local antitumorous immune response and generate a protective systemic immunity against the tumor. This therapeutic platform offers promising clinical applications of local immunotherapies for oncologists, radiologists, and interventional radiologists.

**Figure 7** Radiological and pathological aspect of CT26 tumors after intratumorous injection of anti-CTLA4 Pickering emulsions using ethiodized oil and poly-lactic-co-glycolic acid nanoparticles (PEEP). (A) X-ray assessment of PEEP–anti-CTLA4 deposition in the treated tumor before mouse sacrifice, showing remnant uptake. (B, C, D and E) Histopathological assessment of CT26 tumors after injection of intratumorous injection of PEEP–anti-CTLA4 (H&E-saffron staining). Example of a necrotic lesion surrounded by a fibrous capsule and containing a necrotic and focally inflammatory material without viable tumor cells (B scale bar: 200 μm and C scale bar: 50 μm). All tumors contained areas with large, optically empty vacuoles without nuclei (D scale bar: 50 μm), associated with large, reactive, macrophages (E scale bar: 50 μm) (arrows), and focal neutrophilic and lymphocytic infiltration (star).

distribution of the emulsion. This radio-opacity allows for a better understanding of the drug delivery pattern and possible spatial correlation with response patterns. Indeed, recently radiopaque microsphere were released for intra-arterial therapy with the same aim, but today only a few chemotherapeutic agents can be loaded on these microspheres contrarily to Pickering technology that allows for delivery of various drugs.
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