Inhibition of bacterial ammonia oxidation by organohydrazines in soil microcosms
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Hydroxylamine oxidation by hydroxylamine oxidoreductase (HAO) is a key step for energy-yielding in support of the growth of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria (AOB). Organohydrazines have been shown to inactivate HAO from Nitrosomonas europaea, and may serve as selective inhibitors to differentiate bacterial from archaeal ammonia oxidation due to the absence of bacterial HAO gene homolog in known ammonia-oxidizing archaea (AOA). In this study, the effects of three organohydrazines on activity, abundance, and composition of AOB and AOA were evaluated in soil microcosms. The results indicate that phenylhydrazine and methylhydrazine at the concentration of 100 μmol g⁻¹ dry weight soil completely suppressed the activity of soil nitrification. Denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis fingerprinting and sequencing analysis of bacterial ammonia monooxygenase subunit A gene (amoA) clearly demonstrated that nitrification activity change is well paralleled with the growth of Nitrosomonas europaea-like AOB in soil microcosms. No significant correlation between AOA community structure and nitrification activity was observed among all treatments during the incubation period, although incomplete inhibition of nitrification activity occurred in 2-hydroxyethylhydrazine-amended soil microcosms. These findings show that the HAO-targeted organohydrazines can effectively inhibit bacterial nitrification in soil, and the mechanism of organohydrazine affecting AOA remains unclear.
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INTRODUCTION

Nitrification, the consecutive oxidation of ammonia to nitrate via nitrite, is one of the central processes in the global nitrogen cycle (Gruber and Galloway, 2008). It has been long assumed that two groups of bacteria affiliated respectively with β- and γ-Proteobacteria contribute exclusively to the autotrophic ammonia oxidation, the first and rate-limiting step of nitrification. Until recently, however, several cultivation studies (Könneke et al., 2005; De La Torre et al., 2008; Hatzenpichler et al., 2008; Tourna et al., 2011) discovered that some members of archaea can oxidize ammonia autotrophically as well. The numerical dominance of ammonia-oxidizing archaea (AOA) over ammonia-oxidizing bacteria (AOB) appears to be a common feature in a wide variety of environments (Leininger et al., 2006; Agogué et al., 2008; Reed et al., 2010; Wu et al., 2010). The transcriptional activity and exceptionally high abundance of archaeal amoA gene in various habitats (Chen et al., 2008; Tourna et al., 2008; Church et al., 2010) have suggested AOA might play a key role in ammonia oxidation, although there are also some reports suggesting AOB dominate microbial ammonia oxidation in nitrogen-rich environment (Jia and Conrad, 2009; Xia et al., 2011). As such, the relative contributions of AOA and AOB to nitrification activity in natural environment have attracted considerable attentions in recent years (Prosser and Nicol, 2008).

The well-separated phylogeny indicates there are essential differences in physiology, biochemistry, and genetics between AOA and AOB, and results in the distinctly different responses to inhibitive agents (Schauss et al., 2009; Kleineidam et al., 2011). One of the key differences between AOB and AOA is the oxidation mechanism of hydroxylamine, the intermediate of ammonia oxidation. For AOB, ammonia is consecutively oxidized to hydroxylamine by ammonia monooxygenase and to nitrite by hydroxylamine oxidoreductase (HAO; Arp et al., 2007). HAO is the key enzyme for yielding energy to support the growth of AOB during active nitrification. Nevertheless, to our knowledge, there is no homolog of bacterial HAO gene (hao) in the genome of AOA isolates or enrichment cultures (Hallam et al., 2006; Walker et al., 2010; Blainey et al., 2011). If this is the general feature of AOA, ideally, application of compounds that can inactivate HAO will inhibit the bacterial nitrification without disturbing AOA activity.

Alkyl- and aryl-hydrazine derivatives can irreversibly inactivate bacterial HAO by covalently modifying the active site of enzyme, which was initially observed in the cell-free enzyme extracts (Logan and Hooper, 1995). Activity of Nitrosomonas culture can be also inhibited in vivo by methylhydrazine (Kane and Williamson, 1983). However, the effects of organohydrazines on soil ammonia oxidizers remain unclear. In this study, we examined the effect of three kinds of organohydrazine (phenylhydrazine, PH; hydroxyethylhydrazine, HH; methylhydrazine, MH) on the activity, abundance, and community composition of AOA and AOB in soil microcosms. Our aims were to assess whether organohydrazines can inhibit ammonia oxidation in complex
soil, and the potential effects of them on soil AOA and AOB populations.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

SOIL MICROSCOMS

Soil (pH 8.0) was collected from the upper 10 cm from an agricultural field of State Key Experimental Station for Ecological Agriculture (35°00'N, 114°24'E) of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Fengqiu County, Henan province of China. The field information has been detailed previously (Meng et al., 2005). The concentrations of ammonium and nitrate in this soil were 1.13 μg NH₄⁺ – N and 20.2 μg NO₃⁻ – N per gram dry weight soil, respectively. The soil sample was sieved (2 mm mesh size), well mixed and stored at 4°C in dark until use. The microcosm consisted of 5 g fresh soil and 50 ml of 1 mM phosphate buffer (pH 8.0) in sterile serum bottles. The incubation of soil microcosm was performed at 30°C in dark for 3 days.

The incubation activity was calculated on the basis of the production of nitrite and nitrate and expressed on a dry soil weight basis.

S O I L I N OR G A N I C N IT RO G E N A N A L Y S I S

Soil slurry (5 ml) was centrifuged at 5000 × g for 10 min to separate soil pellet and supernatant. The soil pellets were kept under −20°C until DNA extraction within 1 month, and the supernatants were used for determination of nitrite and nitrate concentration by a SAN⁺⁺ continuous flow analyzer (Skalar, Breda, The Netherlands). The nitrification activity was calculated on the basis of the production of nitrite and nitrate and expressed on a dry soil weight basis as well.

S O I L D N A E X T R A C T I O N

Soil DNA was extracted following a CTAB-based bead-beating protocol as described previously with minor modification (Griffiths et al., 2000). Briefly, about 0.5 g of soil pellets was mixed with 0.5 ml modified CTAB buffer containing equal volumes of 10% CTAB in 0.7 M NaCl and 240 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 8.0), 0.5 g each of 0.5 mm and 0.1 mm silica beads, and 0.5 ml phenol:chloroform:isoamylalcohol (25:24:1). The mixture was then subjected to vigorous shaking on a FastPrep instrument (MP Biomedicals, Solon, OH, USA). After further purification with chloroform:isoamylalcohol (24:1), DNA was precipitated by PEG/NaCl solution and resuspended in 100 μl of TE buffer. The quantity and purity of DNA were determined using a NanoDrop® ND-1000 UV-Vis Spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies). No significant PCR inhibition was observed for 10× diluted extracts (data not shown).

REAL-TIME QUANTITATIVE PCR ANALYSIS OF AMOA GENE ABUNDANCE

Real-time PCR with three replicates for each sample was performed to quantify the copy number of bacterial and archaeal amoA genes using primer sets amoA-1F/amoA-2R-GG (Rotthauwe et al., 1997) and Arch-amoAF/Arch-amoAR (Francis et al., 2005) with a CFX96 Optical Real-Time Detection System (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc. Hercules, CA, USA), respectively. Real-time PCR standards were generated using plasmid DNA from representative clones containing bacterial or archaeal amoA gene, and a dilution series of standard template over five orders of magnitude per assay was used to optimize real-time PCR conditions. Blank was always run with water as template instead of soil DNA extract. The 25 μl reaction mixture contained 12.5 μl of SYBR Premix Ex Taq (TaKaRa Biotech, Dalian, China), 0.25 μM of each primer, and 1.0 μl 10× diluted template. Thermal profiles were the same as those described previously (Jia and Conrad, 2009). For bacterial amoA gene, PCR amplification efficiencies of 105.3–118.8% were obtained with R² values of 0.981–0.996. For archaeal amoA gene quantification, amplification efficiencies of 86.2–90.2% were obtained with R² values of 0.992–0.997. Specificity of PCR products was always checked by both melting curve analysis and gel electrophoresis.

DENATURING GRADIENT GEL ELECTROPHORESIS ANALYSIS OF AMOA GENES

For denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) analysis, PCR amplification of bacterial and archaeal amoA genes was carried out

---

| Table 1 | Experimental treatments. |
|---|---|
| Treatment | Abbreviation | Treatment | NH₄⁺ addition | Inhibitor (μmol g⁻¹) |
| Positive control | CK-N | + | 0 |
| Negative control | CK-0 | − | 0 |
| PHENYLHYDRAZINE (PH) | Low | PH-L | + | 1 |
| Medium | PH-M | + | 10 |
| High | PH-H | + | 100 |
| METHYLHYDRAZINE (MH) | Low | MH-L | + | 1 |
| Medium | MH-M | + | 10 |
| High | MH-H | + | 100 |
| 2-HYDROXYETHYLHYDRAZINE (HH) | Low | HH-L | + | 1 |
| Medium | HH-M | + | 10 |
| High | HH-H | + | 100 |

*The final concentration of ammonium was 1.4 mg NH₄⁺ – N g⁻¹ soil in NH₄⁺- amended microcosms.*
using the same primers as above, except that the forward primer of bacterial amoA was attached with a 27bp GC-clamp (Nicolaisen and Ramsing, 2002). The PCR reaction was performed in a 25 μl mixture containing 2.5 μl 10× PCR buffer, 0.25 μM of each primer, 200 μM of each deoxyribonucleoside triphosphate, 1.5 U of Taq DNA polymerase, and 0.5 μl of soil DNA. The PCR was run in a Thermal Cycler Dice (Takara Bio, Shiga, Japan) following the thermal profiles described previously (Nicolaisen and Ramsing, 2002; Francis et al., 2005). PCR products were run on 1.5% agarose gel to check their specificity, and the concentrations were spectrophotometrically measured.

About 150 ng PCR products from each sample was subjected to DGGE analysis as described previously (Wu et al., 2010). Dominant bands in the DGGE fingerprints were excised and re-amplified. For AOA, the purified PCR products were directly sequenced (Invitrogen, Shanghai, China), while sequences of some AOB DGGE bands were obtained by standard cloning technique, as previously described (Jia and Conrad, 2009). The sequences of DGGE bands, as well as their closest relatives obtained by BLAST analysis (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST.cgi), were aligned using CLUSTAL X 1.83 (Thompson et al., 1997). Phylogenetic trees were constructed using the neighbor-joining method based on the Jukes–Cantor correction with MEGA (Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis) version 4 (Tamura et al., 2007). Bootstrap support was calculated (999 replications). The sequences generated in this study were deposited in the GenBank database under the accession numbers JN408200–JN408210.

RESULTS

NITRIFICATION ACTIVITY

The concentrations of nitrite and nitrate (NO$_3^-$) in soil microcosms were determined at day 0, 1, 7, and 14 to assess the kinetics changes of nitrification activity (Figure 1). In the absence of organohydrazines, near-linear accumulation of NO$_3^-$ indicated that active ammonia oxidation occurred in soil microcosms upon ammonium fertilization (CK-N), whereas in soil microcosms without ammonium amendment (CK-0), there was only slight increase in NO$_3^-$ after incubation for 14 days. Therefore, the seemingly high concentration of nitrite and nitrate in CK-N could have resulted from the consumption of ammonium added to soil microcosms rather than released from soil mineralization.

Nitrification rates varied greatly among soil microcosms in the presence or absence of organohydrazines (Figure 1). When compared to CK-N treatment, organohydrazines at low concentration of 1 μmol g$^{-1}$ soil showed no inhibition on nitrification activity, although it seemed that 31 and 16% of nitrification activity was eliminated under elevated concentration of 10 μmol organohydrazines g$^{-1}$ soil for PH-M and MH-M microcosms, respectively.
Complete inhibition was observed at only high concentration of 100 \( \mu \text{mol PH or MH g}^{-1}\) soil, whereas a 7.2% of nitrification activity remained in HH-H microcosm. In microcosms with 1 and 10 \( \mu \text{mol HH g}^{-1}\) soil nitrification was not significantly higher (21.3 and 19.2%, respectively, \( P > 0.05 \) with Student’s \( t \)-test) than that in CK-N treatment.

**AOA AND AOB ABUNDANCE IN SOIL MICRO COSMS**

Abundance of soil AOB and AOA was assessed by enumerating the copy number of bacterial and archaeal \( \text{amoA} \) genes in soil microcosms (Figure 2). For CK-N treatment, the bacterial \( \text{amoA} \) gene copy number increased from \( 3.51 \times 10^7 \) to \( 6.32 \times 10^8 \) \( \text{g}^{-1} \), while the archaeal \( \text{amoA} \) gene copy number decreased from \( 9.41 \times 10^7 \) to \( 3.13 \times 10^7 \) \( \text{g}^{-1} \) after incubation for 14 days. Consequently, the ratio of bacterial to archaeal \( \text{amoA} \) gene copy number increased from 0.3 at zero time to 17.9 after 14 day incubation. Similar results were obtained from soil microcosms in the presence of HAO-targeted inhibitors at low (1 \( \mu \text{mol g}^{-1}\)) or medium (10 \( \mu \text{mol g}^{-1}\)) concentrations. However, high concentration of PH and MH at 100 \( \mu \text{mol g}^{-1}\) soil appeared to suppress the population sizes of both AOB and AOA. For example, the copy number of bacterial \( \text{amoA} \) gene in PH-H microcosm was 22 times lower after 14 day incubation than at zero time, while a 5.9-fold decline was observed for archaeal \( \text{amoA} \) gene abundance in MH-H microcosms. In contrast, the population sizes of AOB and AOA remained relatively stable in soil microcosms with 100 \( \mu \text{mol HH g}^{-1}\) soil.

**CHANGES IN THE COMPOSITION OF AOB AND AOA IN SOIL MICRO COSMS**

The variation of AOB and AOA community was assessed by DGGE fingerprinting of respective \( \text{amoA} \) gene in soil microcosms without organohydrazine addition (CK-N) and with high concentration of organohydrazines treatments (Figures 3 and 4). Dominant DGGE bands were excised and subjected to sequencing analysis to infer the phylogeny of AOB and AOA. Compared to zero time samples, AOB community in HH-H and MH-H microcosms remained largely unchanged, while bacterial \( \text{amoA} \) gene in PH-H microcosms was not analyzed due to the extremely low PCR amplification efficiency (Figure 3A). By contrast, DGGE fingerprints in CK-N microcosms after incubation for 14 days were dominated by bands B4–B6 within the \text{Nitrosospira} cluster 3 and the newly emerged band B2 within the \text{Nitrosomonas europaea} lineage (Figure 3B). The DGGE band B2 indeed appeared after incubation for 7 days (data not shown), suggesting that the rapid growth of this \text{N. europaea}-like AOB might be responsible for the strong nitrification activity in CK-N microcosms (Figure 1). This was further supported by the absence of DGGE band B2 in MH-H and HH-H microcosms in which nitrification activity was deeply inhibited.

DGGE fingerprints of archaeal \( \text{amoA} \) gene remained largely unchanged in soil microcosms after incubation for 14 days regardless of organohydrazine treatment (Figure 4A). Phylogenetic analysis indicated that the \( \text{amoA} \) gene sequences of dominant
DGGE bands exclusively clustered with the soil Group 1.1b (Figure 4B). It is noteworthy that the intensities of several bands were apparently higher at the bottom of DGGE gel for HH-H microcosms (denoted by asterisks in Figure 4A), in which nitrification activity was not completely inhibited (Figure 1). However, these sequences could not be retrieved despite the huge efforts for PCR amplification of the excised DGGE bands.

**DISCUSSION**

HAO purified from *N. europaea* could be inactivated by organohydrazines (Logan and Hooper, 1995). Our results demonstrate that organohydrazines could inhibit bacterial ammonia oxidation in complex soil environment as well. When applied at 100 μmol g⁻¹ soil, the three organohydrazines tested in this study hindered the growth of a *N. europaea*-like species (band B2 in Figure 3) as well as the rapid accumulation of NO₃⁻ in respective soil microcosms (Figure 1), suggesting the inhibition by organohydrazines of bacterial ammonia oxidation in soil microcosms.

The response of AOA to organohydrazines is especially interesting because AOA presumably lack the bacterial *hao* homolog (Hallam et al., 2006; Walker et al., 2010; Blainey et al., 2011). Organohydrazine inhibition of microbial ammonia oxidation may thus provide clues to the physiological features of this recently discovered archaean ammonia oxidizer. The AOA population remained highly stable in terms of size and composition (Figures 2 and 4) in the presence of 1 or 10 μmol g⁻¹ soil organohydrazines. Upon the addition of high concentration of PH and MH, however, drastic decline in archaeal *amoA* abundance rather than minor compositional changes was observed, suggesting the general inhibition of both organohydrazines on AOA. The AOA species presented in this soil mostly fall within Group 1.1b (Figure 4), of which the cultured *Candidatus Nitrososphaera viennensis* and *Ca. N. gargensis*...
have been reported to lack the hao gene (personal communication with Professor Christa Schleper at University of Vienna), similar to those representative cultures in Group 1.1a. As such, assuming that there is no homolog of hao in genome of AOA, we speculate that unknown mechanism might have been involved in the inhibition of AOA by PH and MH. For example, some organohydrazines have shown the toxic effect on bacteria that lack hao (Reinhartz et al., 1987), and their mechanism of action on AOA merits further study.

A minor nitrification activity was observed in HH-H microcosms, accounting for 7.2% of the observed activity in CK-microcosms without HH (Figure 1). The abundance and composition of both AOB and AOA provided no evidence about the role of these two guilds. However, in HH-H microcosms, the cell-specific nitrification rates (CNR) of AOB (Table A1 in Appendix), which are inferred from nitrification activity and the copy number of amoA gene, fall well within the ranges reported for pure cultures (Belser, 1979; Ward et al., 1989) and environmental samples (0.2 – 15.6 fmol NO\textsubscript{3}^-\textsubscript{−}cell\textsuperscript{−1}h\textsuperscript{−1}; Okano et al., 2004). In contrast, the cell-specific rate of AOA during 7–14 day (1.63 fmol NO\textsubscript{2}^-\textsubscript{−}cell\textsuperscript{−1}h\textsuperscript{−1}; Table A1 in Appendix) was 4.2-fold higher than that of Nitrosopumilus viennensis (0.39 fmol NO\textsubscript{2}^-\textsubscript{−}cell\textsuperscript{−1}h\textsuperscript{−1}, see Appendix) obtained under optimal conditions (Tourney et al., 2011). This implies that AOA alone cannot account for the nitrification presented in HH-H microcosms. It is therefore plausible that AOB were not completely inhibited and likely contributed to the nitrification activity, potentially along with AOA in HH-H microcosms.

Nitrification activity is correlated well with AOB rather than AOA community structure in this study, suggesting that AOB dominate nitrification process in soil microcosms tested. This finding is consistent with previous observations on a German agricultural soil microcosm (Jia and Conrad, 2009) and a Chinese paddy soil (Wu et al., 2011). In this study, ammonium was supplied at 10 mM NH\textsubscript{4}+ – N. This relatively high concentration significantly stimulated nitrification activity (Figure 1) and growth of AOB (Figure 2). In the meantime, the abundance and composition of AOA stayed largely unchanged (Figures 2 and 4). The increasing lines of evidence suggest that AOB are favored in high ammonium environment, while AOA may play an important role in ammonium-poor environment (Gubry-Rangin et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2010). However, there is also evidence that AOA pure culture may grow at the concentration up to 15 mM ammonium (Tourney et al., 2011). Thus we cannot exclude the possibility that AOA actively catalyze ammonia oxidation in this study. In combination with inhibition techniques, stable isotope probing (SIP) would provide more robust evidence for relative contributions of AOA and AOB to nitrification activity in complex environments. For example, recent study has demonstrated active transcription of archaeal amoA gene in a German agricultural soil by mRNA–SIP (Pratscher et al., 2011), while the chemolithoautotrophic growth of AOA was shown by DNA–SIP in combination with pyrosequencing (Xia et al., 2011). In addition, the recently cultured Ca. Nitrososphaera viennensis might provide an ideal model for understanding the inhibition mechanism of archaeal nitrifiers by organohydrazine (Tourney et al., 2011).

Taken together, the results of this study demonstrate that bacterial ammonia oxidation in soil can be effectively inhibited by organohydrazines as previously shown in cell extracts. Organohydrazines seem to affect the population sizes of AOA, suggesting their inhibition on archaeal nitrification. Further study is needed to elucidate the HAO-targeted inhibition mechanism of soil nitrification, and to develop new assays for a better understanding of nitrification kinetics in complex soil.
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APPENDIX

Table A1 | Estimated cell-specific nitrification rates of AOA and AOB in soil.

| Group | Nitrification rate (μg NO$_x^−$ N g$^−$1h$^−$1) | Cell-specific nitrification rate (fmol NO$_x^−$ cell$^−$1h$^−$1) |
|-------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
|       | 0–1 day | 1–7 days | 7–14 days | 0–1 day | 1–7 days | 7–14 days | 0–1 day | 1–7 days | 7–14 days |
| CK-N  | 0.22     | 3.10     | 3.13      | 0.19     | 3.42     | 4.76      | 0.56     | 1.3      | 0.81      |
| CK-0  | 0.12     | NA       | 0.05      | 0.11     | NA       | 0.06      | 0.63     | NA       | 0.48      |
| PH-L  | 0.18     | 3.16     | 2.83      | 0.21     | 3.61     | 3.16      | 0.61     | 1.2      | 0.43      |
| PH-M  | NA       | 1.16     | 3.00      | NA       | 2.03     | 8.30      | NA       | 1.88     | 3.06      |
| PH-H  | 0.03     | NA       | 0.00      | 0.05     | NA       | 0.00      | 0.2      | NA       | 0.01      |
| MH-L  | 0.60     | 2.83     | 3.37      | 0.59     | 3.27     | 5.33      | 1.36     | 1.73     | 1.02      |
| MH-M  | 0.02     | 2.68     | 2.55      | 0.03     | 6.33     | 8.45      | 0.05     | 2.86     | 0.75      |
| MH-H  | NA       | NA       | NA        | NA       | NA       | NA        | NA       | NA       | NA        |
| HH-L  | 0.88     | 3.71     | 3.74      | 0.88     | 5.89     | 15.31     | 1.86     | 3.77     | 3.49      |
| HH-M  | 0.36     | 3.41     | 3.95      | 0.46     | 7.04     | 19.98     | 0.77     | 2.92     | 2.72      |
| HH-H  | NA       | NA       | 0.46      | NA       | NA       | 1.63      | NA       | NA       | 2.08      |

*The cell-specific nitrification rate of AOA and AOB was calculated by dividing the production of nitrite and nitrate by the mean population size between two sampling points assuming each AOA and AOB cell contains 1 and 2 amoA gene copy, respectively. Cell-specific rate of AOB has a wide range for pure cultures (0.9 fmol NO$_x^−$ cell$^−$1h$^−$1; Belser, 1979; Ward et al., 1989) and for environmental samples (0.2 – 15.6 fmol NO$_x^−$ cell$^−$1h$^−$1; Okano et al., 2004). AOA cell-specific rate of Nitrosopumilus viennensis was estimated to be 0.39 fmol NO$_x^−$ cell$^−$1h$^−$1 (Tourna et al., 2011). NA means cell-specific nitrification rate not applicable due to the absence of nitrification activity.

**Calculation of the Cell-Specific Nitrification Rate for Nitrosopumilus viennensis Under Optimal Growth Conditions (Tourna et al., 2011)**

Tourna et al. (2011) reports that the fastest growth of *Nitrosopumilus viennensis* through day 6–10 coupled well with significant accumulation of nitrite and depletion of ammonia, suggesting the exponential growth phase of *Nitrosopumilus viennensis* under optimal conditions. Thus *Nitrosopumilus viennensis* may show the highest CNR in this period.

Approximately, the net accumulation of nitrate during day 6–10 was:
\[ \Delta \text{Nitrate} = 890 - 330 = 560 \mu\text{M} \]
Approximately, the mean cell abundance of *Nitrosopumilus viennensis* was:
\[ \text{Abundance} = (3.4 - 0.4) \times 10^7/2 = 1.5 \times 10^7 \text{ cells ml}^{-1} \]

The cell-specific nitrification rate in this period was estimated by:
\[ \text{CNR}_{\text{Nitrosopumilus viennensis}} = \Delta \text{nitrate/abundance/time} = 0.39 \text{ fmol NO}_x^- \text{ cell}^{-1}\text{h}^{-1} \]