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Abstract The ROSAT All-Sky Survey (RASS) represents one of the most complete and sensitive soft X-ray all-sky surveys to date. However, the deficient positional accuracy of the RASS Bright Source Catalog (BSC) and subsequent lack of firm optical identifications affect multi-wavelength studies of X-ray sources. The widely used positional errors $\sigma_{\text{pos}}$ based on the Tycho Reference Catalog (Tycho-1) have previously been applied for identifying objects in the optical band. The considerably sharper Chandra view covers a fraction of RASS sources, whose $\sigma_{\text{pos}}$ could be improved by utilizing the sub-arcsec positional accuracy of Chandra observations. We cross-match X-ray objects between the BSC and Chandra sources extracted from the Advanced CCD Imaging Spectrometer (ACIS) archival observations. A combined list of counterparts (BSCxACIS) with Chandra spatial positions weighted by the X-ray flux of multiple counterparts is employed to evaluate and improve the former identifications of BSC when used with other surveys. Based on these identification evaluations, we suggest that the point-source likeness of BSC sources and INS (isolated neutron star) candidates should be carefully reconsidered.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The ROSAT All-Sky Survey (RASS), the vast majority of which was conducted during the first half-year (1990/1991) of the ROSAT mission (Truemper 1982), currently represents one of the most complete and sensitive soft X-ray all-sky surveys. RASS covers 92% of the sky and is 20-fold more sensitive than any previous X-ray survey, with a brightness limit of 0.1 cts s$^{-1}$ as measured by the ROSAT position-sensitive proportional counter (PSPC) (i.e., $\sim 10^{-12}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) in the 0.1 – 2.4 keV energy band. The Bright Source Catalog (BSC, Voges et al. 1999) contains the brightest 18 811 sources from RASS-BSC across the majority of the sky. At present, Chandra and XMM-Newton X-ray observatories have improved the sensitivity by several orders of magnitude and have detected substantial numbers of faint sources; however, they have covered only a few percent of the sky.

Voges et al. (1999) identified X-ray sources of RASS-BSC with the Tycho Reference Catalog (Tycho-1; Hog et al. 1998) and then obtained the positional errors ($\sigma_{\text{pos}}$) from 6$''$ to 75$''$ with an average of 12.5$''$ based on the spatial offsets between RASS-BSC sources and the Tycho catalog. A large search radius (> 30$''$) is employed to match the optical counterparts of Tycho-1 to the greatest extent possible. The matching radius is so large that contamination of the samples is inevitably introduced. However, because optically dim X-ray sources are invisible in optical catalogs, they could be assigned to incorrect counterparts. These imperfect identifications may lead to potentially exciting, previously unobserved candidates, such as isolated neutron stars (INSs).

X-ray sources with low optical luminosities, such as INSs (Neuhäuser & Trümper 1999; Treves et al. 2000; Rutledge et al. 2003), should be studied carefully. INSs are extremely optically dim with a relatively higher X-ray to optical flux ratio ($\log(f_X/f_{\text{opt}}) \sim 5.5$) (Treves et al. 2000). Considering the flux range of RASS, $B = 26 \sim 31$ mag for INSs is expected, which is below the detection limits for available large-scale optical sky surveys such as USNO (Monet et al. 2003) and the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS; York et al. 2000). Therefore, INS candidates hiding in RASS-BSC cannot be identified with optical counterparts in these large surveys. However, the $\sigma_{\text{pos}}$ of RASS-BSC requires such a large search radius that it almost certainly covers optical counterparts brighter than $B = 26$ mag. It is very difficult to identify INS candidates due to inadequate optical identifications. For example, the...
$\sigma_{\text{pos}}$ of INS candidate RX J0420.0-5022 given in BSC is 12″, but the spatial offset between the BSC position and its infrared counterpart observed by Herschel (Posselt et al. 2014) is larger than 16.5″. Only seven INSs have been discovered to date (Treves et al. 2001; van Kerkwijk & Kaplan 2007; Turolla 2009). The number of INSs in RASS-BSC that have been missed in previous studies due to poor positional accuracy and incorrect identifications should be investigated and evaluated.

The launch of the Chandra Observatory in 1999 began a new era of X-ray astronomical research. This mission provides high-resolution X-ray spectra of various sources, X-ray stars and binaries, supernovae and their remnants, and interesting new populations (Paerels & Kahn 2003). Identifications in multi-wavelengths of X-ray sources have been a basis for extensive astrophysical investigations (Agüeros et al. 2009; Greiss et al. 2014; Parejko et al. 2008). Archival observations from Chandra Advanced CCD Imaging Spectrometer (ACIS, Nousek et al. 1987) provide an X-ray source catalog with sharp positional accuracy. After more than 10 years of operation, Chandra has observed a large number of RASS-BSC sources, which some specialists think might be repeated observations of the same sources. Utilizing the superb spatial resolution and sub-arcsec positional accuracy of Chandra observations, the $\sigma_{\text{pos}}$ of BSC can be assessed and improved.

This is the first in a series of articles in which we cross-match X-ray sources between BSC and the ACIS catalog to obtain new spatial offsets for RASS-BSC. The INS candidates should be reconsidered with a better $\sigma_{\text{pos}}$ or search radius. In this article, we describe the poor spatial resolution and positional accuracy of RASS-BSC that can be assessed by matching with Chandra ACIS sources. This paper emphasizes the direct assessment of the positional accuracy of BSC by comparison with their Chandra positions.

The remainder of this article is organized as follows. The data and method that we adopt and the results are described in Section 2. The discussion is presented in Section 3. A brief summary is presented in Section 4.

2 DATA AND ANALYSIS

2.1 ROSAT

Led by the German Aerospace Center and NASA, Röntgensatellit (ROSAT) observed soft X-ray sources over the whole sky during its mission from 1991 to 1999. With its PSPC, RASS is sensitive in the energy range of 0.1 to 2.4 keV (Truemper 1982). Vörges et al. (1999) released RASS-BSC from survey observations during the first half year (1990/1991) of the ROSAT mission.

The BSC contains the spatial positions, count rates, exposure times, hardness ratios (HRs) and likelihood of extent ($L_{\text{extent}}$) for 18 811 sources. The distribution of BSC count rates is shown as a dashed histogram in Figure 1. The signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) is derived as the ratio of the count rate to its uncertainty. The distribution of S/N values associated with the BSC source is shown as a dashed histogram in Figure 2. The catalog provides two fluxes, which are determined by energy spectral distribution of AGNs and stars for each source. Utilizing the scanning mode for the primary focus, RASS-BSC contains 18 811 X-ray sources with count rates that are larger than 0.05 s$^{-1}$ in the 0.1–2.4 keV energy band, equivalent to a flux of $7 \times 10^{-13}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ for AGNs or $3.75 \times 10^{-13}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ for stars because different assumptions about the spectral distribution suggest inconsistent absolute luminosities. The typical exposure time of each scanning observation is from 300 to 600 seconds. The field of view of ROSAT with the PSPC in the focal plane is about 2 degrees in diameter.

Fig. 1 The distributions of count rates of 18 806 BSC and 1004 BSCxACIS sources, which are both from the BSC database. The logarithmic x- and y-axes are the count rate (in units of c/s) and normalized probability distribution function (PDF), respectively. The dashed and solid histograms represent entire BSC and BSCxACIS samples.

Fig. 2 Distributions of the observed S/N in BSC and ACIS. The S/N is limited within the range from 0 to 30 for convenient display. A few sources in BSC and ACIS with higher S/N are not covered. The y-axis is the normalized count binned by an interval of 1. The dashed and solid histograms represent BSC and ACIS, respectively.
The $\sigma_{\text{pos}}$ of BSC sources is derived by considering the match between BSC and the other surveys, i.e. Tycho, the Infrared Astronomical Satellite (IRAS), NASA Extragalactic Database (NED), etc. The minimum $\sigma_{\text{pos}}$ is 6″ due to systematic error. The maximum and median values of $\sigma_{\text{pos}}$ are 75″ and 11″, respectively.

BSC only contains bright sources, which are distributed very uniformly over almost the entire sky.

### 2.2 Chandra

The Chandra ACIS is one of two focal plane instruments. This instrument is particularly useful because it can generate X-ray images while simultaneously measuring the energy of each incoming X-ray photon. The sizes of the sky as observed by its CCD and a pixel correspond to 8.4′ and 0.492″, respectively (Garmire et al. 2003).

For each ACIS observation, we apply the same procedures to detect and visually check point sources. The ACIS observations were downloaded from the Chandra Data Archive on 2014 December 4. This leads to 10029 ACIS observations, including 5883 ACIS-I observations and 4146 ACIS-S observations. For each observation, we use the on-axis chips, which include the S2 and S3 chips when the target is on S3 and all four I chips when the target is on an I chip. The ACIS-I and ACIS-S adopt four and two $1k \times 1k$ pixel CCDs that have a pixel size of 0.492″, corresponding to a field of view of about 280 and 140 arcmin$^2$, respectively. The exposure times cover a range from 50 s to 190 ks, with a typical exposure time of about 10 ks.

A wavelet detection algorithm, called wavdetect, was used for point source detection; this algorithm is available in the Chandra Interactive Analysis of Observations (CIAO, version 4.6) software package and is largely used for Chandra observations (Freeman et al. 2002). We ran wavdetect on each on-axis chip with scales of 1, 2, 4, and 8 pixels in the 0.3–8 keV band. The significance threshold was set to 10$^{-6}$, equivalent to one potentially spurious pixel in one CCD. For the remaining parameters, we used the default values given in CIAO. The data analysis procedures led to the detection of 365,530 point sources.

Simulations by Kim et al. (2007) showed the positional error is usually less than 1″ for a bright source, regardless of its off-axis angle (OAA); while for a weak source, it can increase to 4″ at a large OAA (OAA > 8′).

Each source record is then constructed by combining source detections in multiple observations (Wang et al. 2016), which leads to 217,828 distinct sources. For each source, when the individual detections are determined, the final position is computed by averaging the positions of individual detections with the detection significance as weights. The minimum positional error from individual detections is taken as the positional error.

### 2.3 Method

We employ the excellent positional accuracy of Chandra ACIS to correct the $\sigma_{\text{pos}}$ of BSC sources. The nominal $\sigma_{\text{pos}}$ of BSC is only used to set a large search radius for each X-ray source. Our method is based on the following assumptions:

- Most Chandra counterparts of BSC sources can be identified within the search radius of three times the nominal error ($3\sigma_{\text{pos}}$) of BSC sources if the footprint of BSC sources was covered by Chandra.
- Clustered multiple sources observed by the highly resolved Chandra view are perhaps regarded as single sources with extent in BSC (see likelihood of extent of BSC for details).
- During the time gap between ROSAT and Chandra observations, dramatic decreases in X-ray luminosities are rare. No source in BSC disappears in the same ACIS field of view.
- The astrometry is reasonably good for both ROSAT and Chandra observations so that there is no astrometric offset between observations.

To locate the BSC sources on Chandra ACIS images, for each BSC source (with observed position), we rank the ACIS sources within a $3\sigma_{\text{pos}}$ circle centered on a BSC position by X-ray fluxes. We consider the average position of ACIS sources weighted by their fluxes to be an equivalent BSC position. The offset between the claimed and derived equivalent position is the credible positional error $\sigma'_{\text{pos}}$. If the ranked ACIS sources are dominated by the strongest one, then the derived equivalent position is close to that.

The derived average position is located inside the clustering area ($a 3\sigma_{\text{pos}}$ circle). We do not replace the nominal BSC position with this average position because the average center does not represent a real ACIS source. ROSAT, with lower spatial resolution, mistakes multiple sources for single sources. Each ranked ACIS source should be utilized to investigate the optical counterparts of nominal BSC sources. Each position of an ACIS source is matched with optical catalogs.

We consider the corresponding ranked ACIS sources as our cross-matching sample, which is named BSCxACIS. This sample contains 1004 BSC sources and the corresponding 3487 Chandra sources, which is approximately 5% of the entire BSC records and does not show any preference in terms of the direction in the sky. The cumulative distribution function (CDF) of the number of corresponding ACIS sources for each BSCxACIS source is shown in Figure 3. For instance, two cases of 1004 results are shown in Figure 4. Further discussions and results are presented in Section 2.4.

### 2.4 Positional Error

Within the search radius of three times the nominal positional errors $3\sigma_{\text{pos}}$, ACIS sources are selected and are
considered to be potential counterparts of BSC. Compared with the observed positions of BSC, the average positions of these counterparts with weights of X-ray fluxes improve the positional accuracy of each BSC source in the BSCxACIS sample.

As shown in Figure 1, the count rate distribution of BSCxACIS is slightly flatter than that of the original entire BSC sample. BSCxACIS includes less faint sources and a higher fraction of strong sources. Possible reasons for this are that the detected limit of Chandra is brighter than BSC and a fraction of transient sources in BSC disappeared in ACIS.

Half (561 sources) of BSCxACIS refers to only one ACIS source, whereas the other 443 BSC sources are considered to be multiple targets of Chandra ACIS. The CDF of the number of corresponding ACIS sources is shown in Figure 3. The BSCxACIS sample is divided into three catalogs with different S/N intervals (S/N < 5, 5 ≤ S/N < 10 and S/N ≥ 10). The number of Chandra counterparts do not show any relationship with the S/N. For the source with a single ACIS counterpart, the spatial offset between it and the BSC position is the new and true positional error $\sigma_{\text{pos}}'$. For multiple Chandra ACIS ranked targets, the sum of the weights of ranked fluxes is normalized to 1. We define dominant sources as the sources with weights larger than 10%. In Figure 4, the left panel shows a case of a single target, and the right panel shows a case of multiple counterparts. The distributions of all $\sigma_{\text{pos}}$ and $\sigma_{\text{pos}}'$ are compared in Figure 5 and Figure 6 respectively. A considerably higher fraction of small $\sigma_{\text{pos}}'$ is collected. Half (508 sources) of the BSCxACIS cases obtained smaller positional errors. However, our method generates a number of
Fig. 5 The distributions of spatial positional errors of BSC (dashed histogram) and BSCxACIS (solid histogram). The x-axis is the positional errors $\sigma_{\text{pos}}$ in units of arcsec. Very few sources are located out of the range shown in the figure ($>60''$). The y-axis is the normalized PDF (upper panel) and CDF (lower panel). The vertical dashed line shows the position of $6''$ that is the lower limit of the original claimed positional error.

Fig. 6 The CDF distribution of spatial positional errors $\sigma'_{\text{pos}}$ of BSCxACIS in units of nominal errors $\sigma_{\text{pos}}$. The BSCxACIS sample is divided into three S/N intervals that are represented by different colors (the color code is the same as that in Fig. 3).

samples with relatively larger $\sigma'_{\text{pos}}$. The positional errors of 21 sources exceed $60''$, while only two sources have nominal $\sigma_{\text{pos}} > 60''$ that are not shown in the range of Figure 5. No sources have $\sigma_{\text{pos}} < 6''$ due to minimum systematic errors, which contrasts sharply with a very small peak in the $\sigma'_{\text{pos}}$ profile, i.e., $\sim 4''$. To provide a clearer comparison between the nominal $\sigma_{\text{pos}}$ and newly corrected $\sigma'_{\text{pos}}$, we define a ratio of $\sigma'_{\text{pos}}$ to $\sigma_{\text{pos}}$ and plot its distribution in Figure 6.

We observe that a fraction (49%) of the BSCxACIS sample has larger positional errors derived by their Chandra ACIS counterparts. We consider the relationship between the number of ACIS counterparts $N_{\text{ACIS}}$ and the ratio $\sigma'/\sigma$ of these sub-samples. However, no clear trend is found.

Because nominal positional errors cannot be smaller than $6''$, we count the fraction of sources with $\sigma'_{\text{pos}} < 6''$ and consider the relationship between the fraction and S/N of the BSC observation. In the lower panel of Figure 5, the left edge of the dashed histogram is the limit of $6''$, which does not bound the $\sigma'_{\text{pos}}$ of BSCxACIS (solid histogram). From the results shown in Figure 5, we learn that a higher S/N generates more accurate position measurements for true results.

We can analyze the lumped spatial distribution of BSCxACIS X-ray sources with the help of the ranked ACIS fluxes. The flux weights $w^{(i)} (i = 1, 2, \ldots, N_{\text{ACIS}}; \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text{ACIS}}} w^{(i)} = 1)$ of the counterparts of each BSCxACIS source are an important indicator for describing the domination of clustering X-ray sources. The count of $w^{(i)} > \%$ for each BSCxACIS source is listed in the second row of Table 1. The third row is the count of $w^{(i)} > 20\%$ and so on. The sum of each row in Table 1 is 1004. The empty elements correspond to zero.

Because the spatial resolution of ROSAT is lower than that of Chandra or most optical surveys, BSC perhaps
Table 1 Number of different flux weights. For one BSCxACIS source, each ACIS counterpart has a different flux weight of \( w^{(i)} \) and \( \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text{ACIS}}} w^{(i)} = 100\% \). The second row presents the distribution of \( w^{(i)} > 10\% \). Among the 1004 BSCxACIS sources, only one source has all counterparts with \( w^{(i)} \) less than 10%, while 739 BSCxACIS sources have one counterpart with \( w^{(i)} \) larger than 10%, 172 sources have two counterparts with weights larger than 10%, and so on. The remaining rows provide higher weight constraints. All empty elements are zero. The sum of any row is 1004.

| \( w^{(i)} > 10\% \) | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|-----------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| \( w^{(i)} > 20\% \) |   | 11 | 818 | 155 | 20 |   |
| \( w^{(i)} > 30\% \) |   | 22 | 877 | 102 | 3 |   |
| \( w^{(i)} > 40\% \) |   | 53 | 912 |   | 39 |   |
| \( w^{(i)} > 50\% \) |   | 85 | 919 |   |   |   |
| \( w^{(i)} > 60\% \) |   | 154 | 850 |   |   |   |
| \( w^{(i)} > 70\% \) |   | 203 | 801 |   |   |   |
| \( w^{(i)} > 80\% \) |   | 239 | 765 |   |   |   |
| \( w^{(i)} > 90\% \) |   | 280 | 724 |   |   |   |

Table 2 Number and accuracy rate of point sources. The right three columns list the results of different S/N intervals. The second row is the total number of BSCxACIS samples. The third row presents the number of nominal “point sources” defined by Lextent = 0 in BSC. The fourth row presents the true number of point counterparts among the nominal point sources. Finally, the last row lists the accuracy rate, i.e., \( N_{\text{point}} / N_{\text{Lextent}=0} \).

| S/N < 5 | 5 ≤ S/N < 10 | S/N ≥ 10 |
|---------|--------------|-----------|
| \( N_{\text{BSCxACIS}} \) | 281 | 440 | 283 |
| \( N_{\text{Lextent}=0} \) | 149 | 132 | 23 |
| true \( N_{\text{point}} \) | 81 | 71 | 11 |
| accuracy rate | 54.4% | 53.8% | 47.8% |

3 DISCUSSION

Due to the combined effects of positioning accuracy and spatial resolution, mis-identifications of dim optical counterparts lose several interesting objects in the Milky Way, such as INSs.

ACIS counterparts of five INSs are found within 3\( \sigma_{\text{pos}} \) circles (the first five rows of Table 3), and only one ACIS source exists for each INS in BSC. Their positional errors (the third and fourth columns of Table 3) are updated by ACIS sources. The other two INSs have not been covered by the footprint of Chandra ACIS.

The determination of INS candidates requires a series of multi-band observations and related detailed analysis, which depends on longer period observations or sky surveys. However, the first criterion of INSs is optical invisibility of the X-ray source for almost all imaging surveys. The inappropriate positioning and positional errors (e.g., a too large search radius) of BSC sources perhaps lead to INS candidates being assigned as a “match” to incorrect optical counterparts. According to the number density estimation at a moderate location (\( l = 28^\circ, b = 50^\circ \)), within a search radius of 30”, one can always find 6.7.
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Fig. 8 The logarithmic flux ratio distribution of BSCxACIS sources. The solid line is the distribution of sources with USNO B1.0 optical counterparts. The dashed line is the distribution of the lower limits of sources that cannot be identified with the USNO B1.0 catalog. The open squares are the flux ratios of a few known INSs.

Table 3 BSC and BSCxACIS properties of seven known INSs that were found in BSC previously. The first column lists the name of the ROSAT X-ray source. The second column presents the number of ACIS counterparts of each BSC source. The third and fourth columns list the nominal and newly derived positional errors in units of arcsec respectively. The fifth column provides the count rate (in units of s$^{-1}$) of X-rays observed by ROSAT and listed in BSC. The sixth column gives the optical photometric constraints of each INS. Finally, the last column lists references.

| Object          | $N_{ACIS}$ | $\sigma_{pos}$ $#^\prime$ | $\sigma_{\prime\prime}$ | Count Rate $\times 10^{-4}$ | Optical $\times 10^{-2}$ | Reference |
|-----------------|------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|
| RX J0420.0–5022 | 1          | 12                        | 16.13                    | 0.12                        | $B = 26.6$               | [1]       |
| RX J0720.4–3125 | 1          | 7                         | 4.05                     | 1.70                        | $B = 26.6$               | [2]       |
| RX J0806.4–4123 | 1          | 8                         | 4.98                     | 0.33                        | $B > 24$                 | [1]       |
| RBS 1223        | 1          | 7                         | 5.94                     | 0.29                        | $m_{50ccd} = 28.6$       | [3]       |
| RX J1605.3+3249 | 1          | 7                         | 10.43                    | 0.08                        | $B = 27.2$               | [4]       |
| RX J1856.5–3754 | 0          | 7                         | –                        | 3.60                        | $V = 25.7$               | [5]       |
| RBS 1774        | 0          | 9                         | –                        | 0.23                        | $R > 23$                 | [6]       |

References: [1] Haberl et al. (2004); [2] Haberl et al. (1997); [3] Haberl et al. (2003); [4] Motch et al. (2005); [5] Burwitz et al. (2003); [6] Zane et al. (2005).

stars at the limiting magnitude $g = 25$ for SDSS and even 0.7 star within a search radius of 10$\prime$. Considering the energy band of ROSAT, Treves et al. (2000) estimated $\log \left( f_X/f_V \right) \sim 5.5$ for INSs, which suggests that the optical photometry of INSs should not be brighter than 26 mag. The almost certain presence of contaminating objects brighter than $B = 26$ mag is due to the too large search radius and the low spatial resolution of ROSAT, which makes it extremely difficult to identify INS candidates.

The positions from BSC and ACIS are used to match with the USNO B2.0 survey data. Among the 1004 BSCxACIS sources, even though we use the new positional errors, 217 sources cannot be matched with optical counterparts from the USNO B2.0 catalog by their BSC positions and search radius. The remaining 787 sources can match their optical counterparts of the USNO B2.0 catalog by their BSC positions within a 3$\sigma$ error circle. We match these 787 sources with the USNO B2.0 catalog with considerably more accurate ACIS positions. Among them, the new matching process reports that 303 sources cannot obtain optical matching by ACIS positions.

If we correct the positions of BSC with ACIS, we will obtain 303 more sources without optical counterparts (see the illustration in Fig. 7). The 303 sources cannot be identified by apparent USNO optical counterparts with corrected positions and errors, but they have sufficiently strong X-ray emission, whereas only 217 candidates are collected by using the original positions and errors. The improvement in positions increases almost 1.5 times compared to non-identified sources. Of course, true INSs are only a subset of these non-identified sources, but the increased sample size helps identify sources that are missed by incorrect positions. For the entire BSC sample, the original positions finally provide seven INSs. Among this fraction, if the sample distribution does not depend on any a priori trend, the new positioning result would reveal $\sim 10$ more potential INS candidates, although these candidates still need to be verified by more detailed criteria and observations.
4 SUMMARY

The RASS conducted during the first half year of the mission represents by far the most complete soft X-ray sky survey. Chandra ACIS data provided superb supplementary position information and better spatial resolution, which can be used to review and correct the positions of bright sources observed by ROSAT. For this goal, we assessed the positional errors for BSC sources by direct comparison with their Chandra positions. We evaluated the nominal positional errors quoted in BSC based on the correlation between Tycho stars and BSC sources. We corrected the positions and positional errors of a fraction of ROSAT BSC X-ray sources by directly utilizing the excellent positioning of Chandra ACIS observations. An ACIS catalog has been compiled from images of Chandra ACIS, which contains multiple observations of the same sources.

We discussed the new positional errors of BSCxACIS samples with S/N intervals and number of ACIS counterparts. The accuracy rate of nominal “point sources” was estimated by comparison with weights of multiple counterparts within the search radius of BSC sources. The count rates, S/N, clustering and point-source likeness of BSC sources were analyzed using the newly derived positional errors and S/N intervals. Many investigators have achieved excellent results with the ROSAT mission and its BSC release, such as seven INSs. Based on this great and important survey of X-rays, a slight improvement may provide the opportunity to find more interesting results.

To evaluate the missing INS candidates, we matched BSCxACIS with the USNO B1.0 catalog by Chandra positions. Ten additional INS candidates might be revealed in the future due to improvement in positional error and follow-up observations of RASS X-ray sources.

In the near future, the RASS faint source catalog (RASS-FSC) will be used to compare with the other optical surveys and ACIS. New results can be expected. Additionally, we expect to investigate the source variability both in the flux and in the HR through our subsequent articles. The temporal baseline from less than 1 year to more than 10 years during the gap between ROSAT and Chandra, between BSC and ACIS observations, is sufficient for comparing variability behaviors for different types of sources. In the second article of this series, we will discuss the variability of BSCxACIS sources during ROSAT to Chandra observations. The combined time baseline of BSCxACIS observations allows us to probe a statistically significant number of X-ray sources.
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