Assessment of age of majority by measurement of open apices of the third molars using Cameriere’s third molar maturity index
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Abstract

Aims and Objectives: Evaluation of biological age of a living subject around the legal cutoff age for adulthood has become a grave concern for forensic experts in India, mainly due to the consequences of criminal obligations in judicial proceedings. Thus, this study was planned to examine the open apices of third molars in discriminating between individuals who are aged 18 years or older and who are not 18 years or older and to assign a cutoff for estimation of the age of 18 years. Materials and Methods: Orthopantomographs of 1062 individuals (14 and 23 years) were assessed, to verify Cameriere’s third molar maturity index (I₃M). The apical ends of the roots of the left mandibular third molar were analyzed. If the apical ends of the roots are completely closed, then I₃M is zero; otherwise, it is calculated as the sum of the distances between the inner sides of the two open apices divided by the tooth length. Results and Conclusion: The sensitivity of the test for 0.08 value was 74.7% for males and 66% for females. Specificity was 83.6% for males and 79.6% for females. The probability that an Indian individual with an I₃M <0.08 in 18 years or older is 78% for males and 70.3% for females. I₃M is efficacious to determine age in Indian population.
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Introduction

Estimation of chronological age is extremely valuable in forensic dentistry, human anthropology, and bioarcheology, and it may be accomplished using morphological and radiological characteristics of teeth.[1]

It has been observed that the accuracy of dental aging is not consistent from birth to maturity. Assessment of age in younger population is more accurate because more teeth are forming and the intervals between morphologic stages are brief, and thus, more reliable.[2]
One of the most intimidating challenges for the forensic scientists is that the suspect in the court of law is an adult or a minor. In India, age estimation of the legal adult age of 18 years is a fundamental question in forensic medicine, adult franchise, driving license, minimum age of government service employment, legally permissible marriageable age for females in India and in undocumented minors in child labor cases. When an individual reaches the age of legal majority, their treatment within the criminal and civil legal systems is changed dramatically in India. Forensic odontologists often assist government agencies in estimating the ages of persons who may or may not have reached that legally important age. In the age span of 15.7–23.3 years of age, the third molar represents the only tooth still in development and is thereby very important for age calculation. Third molars are in many respects the most variable teeth in the dentition. However, the only tooth usually visible from crypt appearance to apex completion on radiographs of children and young adults is the third permanent molar.\(^{[3]}\) Mincer et al.\(^{[2]}\) evaluated the radiographic reliability of the third molar as an age indicator by studying 823 American children; mineralization stages were estimated using Demirjian et al.’s tables.\(^{[4]}\) Various research papers\(^{[5-8]}\) have tested the accuracy and precision of third molar development as an estimator of chronological age. A new concept of analysis of chronological age in children by measuring the open apices in seven mandibular teeth on orthopantomographs (OPGs) of Italian children was proposed by Cameriere et al.\(^{[9]}\) where regression analysis helped in arriving at a general formula.

Later, Cameriere et al., in 2008,\(^{[10]}\) developed a method for assessing adult age based on the relationship between age and the third molar maturity index (I\(_{3M}\)), according to measurement of the open apices of the third molar to identify a threshold (cutoff) which could be used to discriminate between individuals who are aged 18 years or older and who are not 18 years or older. There always has been a contemplation on the existence of population differences in tooth formation globally. Therefore, the main objectives of this study were to examine the open apices of third molars in discriminating between individuals who are aged 18 years or older and who are not 18 years or older, to verify the validity of Cameriere’s cutoff value of I\(_{3M}\) and to estimate its sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy in Indian population.

**Materials and Methods**

Digitalized orthopantomographs (OPGs) of 1142 healthy living Indian individuals aged between 14 and 23 years were retrieved from the Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, of which 1062 OPGs (597 boys, 465 girls) were selected for analysis of age estimation [Table 1]. Radiographs showing developmental abnormalities, any pathoses, severely rotated teeth, or those which were unclear were excluded from the analysis. Chronological age of the subject was calculated by subtracting the date of the X-ray from the date of birth. The Ethics Committee for Research involving Human Subjects of the Subharti University (India) had approved the required protocols to collect radiographs for human subjects.

All digitalized OPGs were recorded as computer files in JPG format, which were examined by the computer-aided drafting program (Adobe Photoshop\(^{®}\) CS4, Adobe Systems Inc. San Jose, CA). Only the left permanent third lower molar, tooth No. 38, according to classification by Federation Dentaire Internationale, was evaluated [Figure 1]. Dental age estimation was completed according to the Cameriere et al.\(^{[10]}\) method. The apical ends of the roots of the left lower third molar of each individual were analyzed and I\(_{3M}\) was defined as follows: I\(_{3M}\) = 0, if the root development of the third molar is complete, i.e., the apical ends of the roots are completely closed. Maturity index I\(_{3M}\) was calculated in a similar way to the ratio Ai to Li, when i = 6 or 7, as analyzed for the other two teeth with two roots in Cameriere et al.\(^{[9]}\) Therefore, I\(_{3M}\) was evaluated as the sum of the widths of the inner margins of the two open apices than divided by tooth length.

**Table 1: Sample of orthopantomographs in Indian population according to sex and age categories**

| Age  | Male | Female | Total |
|------|------|--------|-------|
| 14   | 18   | 13     | 31    |
| 15   | 44   | 18     | 62    |
| 16   | 77   | 54     | 131   |
| 17   | 75   | 62     | 137   |
| 18   | 78   | 24     | 102   |
| 19   | 35   | 55     | 90    |
| 20   | 84   | 83     | 167   |
| 21   | 80   | 82     | 162   |
| 22   | 57   | 54     | 111   |
| 23   | 49   | 20     | 69    |
| Total| 597  | 465    | 1062  |

**Figure 1: Measuring open apices of third molar**
SPSS Statistics 17.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and MS Excel 2003 (Microsoft Office 2003, Microsoft, Redmond, WA, USA) were used for all statistical analysis. The names of all individuals, gender, date of birth, and date of X-rays were recorded on the orthopantomogram. According to the results reported by Cameriere et al.\(^\text{[10]}\) and De Luca et al.\(^\text{[11]}\) a cutoff value of 0.08 was used for consideration if a person was a minor of 18 years of age or older. This means that an individual is considered to be 18 years of age or older if the \(I_{3M}\) is lower than 0.08.

The sensitivity of the test, \(p_s\) (i.e., proportion of individuals older than or equal to 18 years of age who have \(I_{3M} < 0.08\)) as well as the specificity, \(p_f\) (i.e., proportion of individuals younger than 18 who have \(I_{3M} \geq 0.08\)), were evaluated. The efficacy and accuracy of the \(I_{3M}\) have proved helpful in discriminating between individuals who are aged 18 years and are not aged 18 years by the posttest probability of being 18 years of age or more (i.e., the proportion of individuals with \(I_{3M} < 0.08\) who are older than or equal to 18 years).

According to Bayes' theorem, posttest probability may be written as:

\[
p = \frac{p_sp_f}{p_sp_f + (1-p_f)(1-p_s)}
\]

Where \(P\) is posttest probability and \(p_s\) is the probability that the individual in questioning is 18 or older, presuming that individual is aged between 14 and 23 years, which represents the designated population. Probability \(p_f\) was evaluated with the data retrieved from the statistical office of India (http://www.indiastat.com/).

**Results**

Real age gradually decreased as \(I_{3M}\) increased for both males and females [Figure 2]. The mean age for both genders varied across \(I_{3M}\) classes and the differences were statistically significant for the third age group [Table 2]. Noteworthily, the mean age of males was higher than that of females when \(I_{3M}\) was between 0.08 and 0.3 \((P<0.001)\). No significant difference was observed in the maturation of males and females.

The contingency tables for males [Table 3] and females [Table 4] reveal the numbers of individuals with \(I_{3M} \leq 0.08\), i.e., who are older than 18 years and those who have \(I_{3M} \geq 0.08\) and are younger than 18 years; those with \(I_{3M} < 0.08\) who are older than 18 years and the individuals with \(I_{3M} < 0.08\) who are younger than 18 years.

Tables 3 and 4 reveal the close association between adult age and positivity on the test, i.e., \(I_{3M} < 0.08\) both in males and females. Majority of male subjects (89.1%) were accurately classified. These results demonstrate that the test for sensitivity \((p_s)\) for males, the percentage of individuals being 18 years of age or older whose test was positive, was 74.7% and test for specificity \((p_f)\), the percentage of subjects younger than 18 years whose test was negative, was 83.6%. The estimated accuracy \((p)\), that a subject positive on the test \((i.e., I_{3M} < 0.08)\) was 18 years of age or older, was 77.9%.

The results of Table 4 show that the test for sensitivity \((p_s)\) for females was 66% and the test for specificity was 79.6%, while 87.5% individuals were correctly classified. The estimated accuracy or posttest probability was 70.3%.

![Figure 2: Boxplot of relationship between chronological age and Cameriere’s third molar index of open apices of mandibular left third molar in females and males. Boxplot shows median and inter‑quartile ranges while whiskers are lines extending from box to maximum and minimum ages, excluding outliers](image)

Table 2: Summary statistics of chronological age according to gender and third molar maturity index: Number of individuals, mean, standard deviation, minimum value, 1\(^{st}\) quartile, median, 3\(^{rd}\) quartile and maximum value of age distribution for each third molar maturity index class

| \(I_{3M}\) | \(n\) | Mean±SD | Minimum | Q1 | Median | Q3 | Maximum | \(n\) | Mean±SD | Minimum | Q1 | Median | Q3 | Maximum | \(P\) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0.00-0.04 | 248 | 20.63±1.71 | 16.00 | 20.00 | 21.00 | 22.00 | 23.00 | 194 | 20.75±1.64 | 16.00 | 20.00 | 21.00 | 22.00 | 23.00 | 0.587 |
| 0.04-0.08 | 73 | 18.66±1.73 | 16.00 | 17.00 | 19.00 | 20.00 | 22.00 | 46 | 18.28±1.83 | 15.00 | 17.00 | 18.50 | 20.00 | 22.00 | 0.262 |
| 0.08-0.30 | 146 | 18.08±1.93 | 15.00 | 16.75 | 18.00 | 19.25 | 22.00 | 141 | 18.65±1.81 | 15.00 | 17.00 | 19.00 | 20.00 | 22.00 | 0.009* |
| 0.30-0.50 | 74 | 16.23±1.36 | 14.00 | 15.00 | 16.00 | 17.00 | 18.00 | 23 | 16.35±0.49 | 16.00 | 16.00 | 16.00 | 17.00 | 17.00 | 0.685 |
| 0.50-0.70 | 11 | 15.82±1.66 | 14.00 | 14.00 | 16.00 | 18.00 | 18.00 | 52 | 16.73±2.10 | 14.00 | 15.00 | 16.00 | 18.00 | 20.00 | 0.181 |
| 0.70-0.90 | 32 | 15.34±0.55 | 14.00 | 15.00 | 15.00 | 16.00 | 16.00 | 5 | 15.80±2.05 | 14.00 | 14.00 | 15.00 | 18.00 | 18.00 | 0.279 |
| ≥0.90 | 13 | 15.00±0.00 | 15.00 | 15.00 | 15.00 | 15.00 | 15.00 | 4 | 14.00±0.00 | 14.00 | 14.00 | 14.00 | 14.00 | 14.00 | - |

*\(p<0.05\); significant. \(I_{3M}\): Third molar maturity index, Q1: 1\(^{st}\) quartile, Q3: 3\(^{rd}\) quartile, SD: Standard deviation
Table 3: Contingency table describing discrimination performance of the test for males

| Test  | Age   | Total |
|-------|-------|-------|
|       | ≥18   | <18   |
| $I_{3M}$ |       |       |
| <0.08 | 286   | 35    | 321   |
| ≥0.08 | 97    | 179   | 276   |
| Total | 383   | 214   | 597   |

Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | Positive predictive value (%) | Negative predictive value (%) | Accuracy (%)

74.67 | 83.64 | 89.10 | 64.06 | 77.89

$I_{3M}$: Third molar maturity index

Table 4: Contingency table describing discrimination performance of the test for females

| Test  | Age   | Total |
|-------|-------|-------|
|       | ≥18   | <18   |
| $I_{3M}$ |       |       |
| <0.08 | 210   | 30    | 240   |
| ≥0.08 | 108   | 117   | 225   |
| Total | 318   | 147   | 465   |

Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | Positive predictive value (%) | Negative predictive value (%) | Accuracy (%)

66.04 | 79.59 | 87.50 | 52.00 | 70.32

$I_{3M}$: Third molar maturity index

Discussion

Numerous body changes accompany the adolescent period, many of which may be used as age indicators, for example, signs of sexual maturation, anthropometric data, and mineralization of bones. In case of bone mineralization, the growth of several parts of the body, the appearance of ossification centers, their morphology, size, and fusion can also be used for age assessment.[12] Schmeling et al.[13] emphasized that to increase the diagnostic accuracy, a physical examination, radiographic examination of the left hand as well as a thorough dental examination including the determination of the dental status and an orthopantomographic examination of the dentition should be performed in each case. Ascertainment of dental age using tooth mineralization stages to appraise a subject’s degree of maturity is also one of the methods for judging physiologic development.[2,13,14] The third molar is the most inconsistent tooth of the human dentition, in terms of its time of formation and eruption, size, being congenitally absent frequently, malformed, or impacted. Therefore, the association between chronological age and formation of the third molar is considered to be moderate.[2] Still, third molars are sometimes used to judge the juvenile versus adult status of subjects who lack age documentation.

The need for effective and reliable scientific methods to determine age, particularly adult and over age of 18-year-old, within a specific population has become increasingly important in resolving court cases. We used Cameriere’s cutoff value of $I_{3M}$[10] to assess the age in young Indian population.

Our study observed the similar maturation of third molars in males when compared with females. Males were slightly more accurately classified (89.1%) than females (87.5%) into adults or minors. Specificity was better for males (83.6%) compared to females (79.6%). Sensitivity was also better for males (74.7%) than females (66%). Both results were reasonably good in estimating the accuracy which was found to be 77.9% in males and 70.3% in females. These are important findings because the method was verified on a larger population of Indian origin than for previously tested population samples from Italy.[10] For the medicolegal purposes, it is important to minimize the proportion of false negative results, i.e., individuals who are >18 years of age but identified as minors.

Previous studies have observed no significant gender difference in average timing of maturation of permanent third molar[15] though not a consistent finding, most studies have observed mean age earlier in boys compared to girls for all or most mineralization stages.[16,17] Thevissen et al.[18] found that no important differences existed in the degree of third molar development among the 14 examined countries implying that ethnic variations in third molar development are of clinically minor validity. Liversidge[13] showed that the third molars in South African children mature earlier when compared to sample of Caucasian and Bangladeshi children from London.

A review of the population-specific third molar studies conducted on the United States population to estimate age in relation to whether an individual has reached the legal age of majority was done. This study suggested that ancestral population specificity plays a significant role in accurate and reliable age estimation.[19] However, larger population-specific data from the Asian population is scarce.

There is increased need of forensic experts to use all available methods for age estimation for different purposes, especially forensic and medicolegal. Several age limits are applied for penal and criminal responsibility, where 18 years of age is the most common. It was emphasized by De Luca et al.[11] that some people could deliberately remove third molars to prevent their assessment of age. Noteworthily, there are other reliable indicators for assessing skeletal age with applicable confidence intervals. Cameriere et al.[20] applied the ratio between the total area of carpal bones and epiphyses of the ulna and radius and carpals as age indicators in Italian population and later in Slovenian sample[22] and found the method acceptable as age estimator.
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Most studies\[^{22,21}\] have used Demirjian staging system (DSS)\[^{4}\] where dental development of the tooth was divided into eight specific stages of mineralization. Acharya\[^{23}\] applied Demirjian’s grading to the third mandibular molar in an Indian sample and observed that this tooth correctly predicted juvenile/adult status in 73.2% of cases. However, Mincer et al.\[^{20}\] showed the low accuracy of DSS approach. They pointed that a small number of the developmental stages, which cover a specific age range of target population, are not distributed uniformly.

Cameriere et al.\[^{10}\] showed that small numbers of mineralization stages of DSS considerably effect on the sensitivity and specificity of the test to discriminate subjects between adults or minor. The same study showed that specific cutoff value 0.08 for I\(_{3M}\) for both genders better discriminated subjects between adults and minor when compared to DSS approach. Later, in 2014, Cameriere et al.\[^{23}\] tested the accuracy of cutoff value of the I\(_{3M}\) on the Albanian sample and found the method reliable in discriminating between Albanian adults and juveniles, with 87.5% correctly classified females and 92.5% correctly classified males and recommended it for determining the adult age in individuals from other populations.

A study by De Luca et al.\[^{11}\] on another Italian sample of 397 subjects, aged between 13 and 22, emphasized the usefulness of I\(_{3M}\) in assessing 18 years of age, nevertheless, cautioned that using a combination of all available methods will improve the accuracy of age estimates.

Another study highlighted the efficacy of I\(_{3M}\) in estimating 18 years cutoff age in Croatian population and revealed 91.5% females and 88.8% males as accurately classified.\[^{24}\]

Up-to-date, insufficient knowledge has been obtained about how and does really ethnic origin can influence on tooth mineralization. However, this constitutes a limitation on the reliability of the age estimation method on third molars and hence on the value of the specific information of estimated age stated by a forensic expert.

**Conclusion**

Population-specific standards would enhance the accuracy of forensic age estimates based on wisdom tooth mineralization in living subjects. In conclusion, the results of this paper encourage us also to test the cutoff value of I\(_{3M}\) = 0.08 on other Indian subjects and to investigate any possible ethnic variability. In the forensic field, as what intrigues judges is whether the individuals in question have reached a specific threshold age of majority, to give reliable judgement in the Court of law.
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