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ABSTRACT

Vertical Cylinder Tank is used as a storage area or as a measuring tool. Liquids are used in the form of Fuel oil, Liquid Natural Gas, vegetable liquids, and other chemical liquids. The tank calibration results are in the form of a volume per height table that is used as a reference by Automatic Tank Gauging. There are two tank calibration methods, namely: the strapping method and the optical method. The process of measuring the inside or outside diameter of the tank becomes very important in the tank calibration process—the measurement of tank diameter by the strapping method using steel meters manually. At the same time, the optical method uses theodolite or total station. For tank diameters ≤ 5 m, the strapping method has smaller diameter measurement results with a difference of 0.7\% compared to the optical method. In further research, a prototype can be developed that can accelerate the calibration process using the strapping method (for example, a vertical track measuring robot) or a low-cost prototype theodolite.
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INTRODUCTION

The vertical cylinder tank is a cylindrical measuring tool. It is generally used as a storage or as a measurement tool at the time of delivery—liquids used in the form of fuel oil, LNG, vegetable liquids, and other chemical liquids. The final results of the calibration of vertical cylindrical are the table volume per altitude, where the table is used as a reference for other tank volume monitoring devices (an example is automatic tank gauging or automatic level gauging)[1]. Thus, the accuracy of the test results of a vertical cylinder becomes very important.

Testing vertical cylindrical tanks are generally divided into two parts, namely: volumetric methods and geometric methods. The volumetric method is used for vertical cylindrical tank volumes of less than 50 kl, with the standard being a standard measuring vessel and a flow meter [2] that is calibrated by the volumetric method [3]. At the same time, the geometric method is divided into two, namely: the strapping method and optical method. The strapping method uses steel meter standards [4-6] and optical methods that use theodolite or total station standards [7-10] that are located in outside of the tank. For the inside of the tank, a comparison of the two methods above has been produced, but it is not stated which method is better [11]. Strapping method testing requires more time and more personnel compared to the optical method. Geometric methods are used for capacities greater than 50 kl. Some even test 300,000 kl [12].

The latest developments on vertical cylindrical tank testing methods are using 3D scanning [13], which provides many advantages: saving time on testing, not using water fluid to calculate swamps, can be used at low temperatures, and only requires one person. The results of the vertical cylindrical tank testing method with 3D scanning are around ± 0.2% (for the middle to the top) [14] and enlarged with a limit of ± 0.5% (for the middle to the bottom). So, this 3D scanning method cannot be used as a reference as a vertical cylindrical tank testing technique because it is still beyond the provisions limit of 0.2% [2,14].

The results of this study provide an option to make a prototype that can shorten the calibration time using the strapping method—for example, making a wall-climbing robot [15-17] equipped with a distance gauge. Alternatively, make a prototype that has a working system such as theodolite at a low cost.

METHOD OF GEOMETRIC

The method of geometric testing measures tank cylinder vertical, namely: the measurement of the circumference, measuring ΔR (the difference between the radius of the ring-n to the radius ring-1), height measurement, measurement of plate thickness ring, the measurement object correction, and measuring the contents of the swamp [2,4]. The difference between a strapping method and an optical method is the circumference measurement process and measurement ΔR. Before testing, the tank cylinder vertical divided into several rings (FIGURE 1) and several segments (FIGURE 2a).
The ring is part of a cylindrical tank bottom edge, and the top edge is limited by weld or rivet connection with the circular, in the method of measuring the diameter of a reference strapping using steel ruler corrected by a correction value on the certificate and correction of material elongation steel ruler at the time of measurement. Reference diameter measurement results must also be corrected by an expansion of the tank wall itself, for strapping method and optical methods. The strapping method measures the diameter of the ring-n that is by measuring the difference in the radius of the ring-n to the radius reference (ring-1) for every segment.

The entire segment on the following strapping provisions: minimum 12 segments, a segment closest up to 4 m, and have an even number of segments [2]. In optical methods, as well as a segment called a theodolite position. The position is set based around a vertical cylindrical tank that will be tested, as an example to around ≤ 50 m at least five positions. To measure the radius of each ring can use the EQUATION (1).
Where: \( n \) is the number of rings, \( R \) is the radius, \( TA \) is the distance between the theodolite with the midpoint tank cylinder vertical and \( \beta \) is the angle formed between the two sides tank vertical cylinder. In reference radius \( \cos \alpha \) equal to 1. If the circumference of the ring of steel then reference \((K_{\text{ref}})\) using the meter to calculate the radius of the ring-n can use the EQUATION (2) [8].

\[
R_n = \frac{K_{\text{ref}} \sin \left( \frac{\beta_n}{2} \right)}{2\pi \sin \left( \frac{\beta_1}{2} \right)}
\]  

(2)

Where: \( \beta_1 \) is the angle formed on the reference ring, and \( \beta_n \) is the angle formed at the ring-n.

**RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

The data used in this paper is the tank’s vertical cylinder in the PPSDK Ministry of Trade. A vertical cylinder tank is used for the needs of the learning lab for Metrology Training and to support one practicum class at the Academy of Metrology and Instrumentation Ministry of the Trade. Tank cylinder vertical in PPSDK has a capacity of 78,494 liters, the tank wall expansion coefficient material 1.12e-5 /°C, and roving reference \((K_{\text{ref}})\) amounting to 12,720 mm at a temperature of 28 °C.

**FIGURE 3.** (a) Tanks Vertical Cylinder in PPSDK (b). Strapping Tools and Process Measurement difference Radius.
The vertical cylinder tank has four rings, for the strapping method is divided into twelve pie and for the optical method is divided into four positions adapted to the conditions in FIGURE 3.a. Data differences in radii for each segment can be seen in TABLE 1.

**TABLE 1.** Difference Radius Ring every Segment Against Method Reference Strapping.

| Segment | Ring-1 (mm) | Ring-2 (mm) | Ring-3 (mm) | Ring-4 (mm) |
|---------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
|         | B | T | A | B | T | A | B | T | A |
| 1       | 271 | 274 | 273 | 274 | 271 | 274 | 276 | 279 | 280 | 279 | 284 | 285 |
| 2       | 271 | 265 | 256 | 250 | 271 | 265 | 252 | 255 | 257 | 256 | 258 | 264 |
| 3       | 268 | 270 | 271 | 275 | 268 | 270 | 279 | 279 | 275 | 274 | 262 | 261 |
| 4       | 270 | 272 | 273 | 266 | 270 | 272 | 256 | 260 | 250 | 254 | 255 | 260 |
| 5       | 270 | 273 | 266 | 257 | 270 | 273 | 240 | 240 | 230 | 240 | 235 | 245 |
| 6       | 269 | 273 | 272 | 275 | 269 | 273 | 279 | 283 | 280 | 285 | 291 | 292 |
| 7       | 265 | 270 | 271 | 276 | 265 | 270 | 280 | 291 | 288 | 298 | 299 | 305 |
| 8       | 271 | 278 | 279 | 256 | 271 | 278 | 256 | 264 | 262 | 260 | 257 | 258 |
| 9       | 271 | 264 | 261 | 260 | 271 | 264 | 248 | 246 | 237 | 232 | 230 | 229 |
| 10      | 265 | 266 | 267 | 255 | 265 | 266 | 250 | 243 | 230 | 240 | 242 | 242 |
| 11      | 255 | 259 | 257 | 245 | 255 | 259 | 240 | 235 | 235 | 230 | 230 | 235 |
| 12      | 277 | 282 | 284 | 290 | 277 | 282 | 292 | 297 | 301 | 304 | 295 | 297 |

\[ \sum \Delta R \]

\[ \sum \Delta R_{\text{ref}} \]

\[ \frac{\sum \Delta R - \sum \Delta R_{\text{ref}}}{\sum \Delta R_{\text{ref}}} \]

平均 (ring): 3.00  -14.00  -81.67  -76.33

Each ring is made of three measurements, namely: the position B (bottom), the position T (middle) and the position of A (top). The position of the ring T-1 as a reference calculation of the difference in the radius to all positions on each ring. In TABLE 2 is the result of the acquisition of the data in the form theodolite angle and distance to the mean cross-sectional tank vertical cylinder on one of the notch (segment-1) and a ring-1.

**TABLE 2.** Theodolite Data Acquisition on a Segment-1 and Ring-1 Optical Methods.

| Position | Right Side | | | Left Side | | | Difference | TA (mm) | Radius (mm) |
|----------|------------|---|---|------------|---|---|------------|--------|------------|
| B        | 177 47 0 | 158 1 | 27 | 19.76 | 4613.92 | 2026.84 |
| T        | 177 47 2 | 158 1 | 30 | 19.76 | 4613.92 | 2026.26 |
| A        | 177 47 0 | 158 1 | 33 | 19.76 | 4613.92 | 2023.38 |
TABLE 3 represents the data of all the radius for each segment and rings on optical methods.

| Segment | Ring-1 (mm) | Ring-2 (mm) | Ring-3 (mm) | Ring-4 (mm) |
|---------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
|         | B | T | A | B | T | A | B | T | A | B | T | A | B | T | A | B | T | A | B | T | A | B | T | A | B | T | A | B | T | A | B | T | A | B | T | A |
| 1       | 2026.84 | 2026.26 | 2023.38 | 2030.87 | 2063.03 | 2064.17 | 2050.41 | 2041.79 | 2045.81 | 2055.57 | 2052.13 | 2014.16 | 2030.00 | 2033.70 | 2047.09 | 2023.79 |
| 2       | 2064.35 | 2060.79 | 2023.38 | 2042.40 | 2016.24 | 2017.43 | 2037.06 | 2077.96 | 2062.57 | 2013.26 | 2031.11 | 2009.68 | 2030.00 | 2033.70 | 2047.09 | 2023.79 |
| 3       | 2023.38 | 2024.35 | 2023.38 | 2022.90 | 2023.87 | 2022.90 | 2022.41 | 2023.87 | 2022.90 | 2022.41 | 2023.87 | 2022.41 | 2023.87 | 2022.90 | 2022.41 | 2023.87 |
| 4       | 2032.15 | 2008.36 | 2023.38 | 2034.33 | 2031.78 | 2033.97 | 2051.42 | 2096.78 | 2032.15 | 2017.16 | 2012.40 | 2011.30 | 2030.00 | 2033.70 | 2047.09 | 2023.79 |
| Average | 2036.68 | 2029.94 | 2023.38 | 2032.75 | 2033.49 | 2034.86 | 2040.44 | 2059.74 | 2041.10 | 2027.22 | 2029.51 | 2014.63 |

In the strapping method of data displayed in the form of the difference between the radius TABLE 1, for the retrieval of data using the aid of a measuring wheel, FIGURE 3b. While the optical method of data displayed in the form of fingers TABLE 3 because the theodolite measurement results in the form of angles and distances, TABLE 2 is applied to the equation (1) or equation (2). TABLE 4 is the diameter of the test results strapping method. TABLE 5 is an internal diameter of an optical method test results. TABLE 6 Represents diameter ratio in the test results strapping method and optical methods.

| Description                              | Ring-1 (mm) | Ring-2 (mm) | Ring-3 (mm) | Ring-4 (mm) |
|------------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Average (/ring)                          | 3.00        | -14.00      | -81.67      | -76.33      |
| Total Segment                            | 12          | 12          | 12          | 12          |
| Difference in the center line to the center line of certain | -0.39      | -5.00       | -16.28      | -15.39      |
| Correction thick walls and thick paint    | 4.70        | 3.90        | 3.90        | 4.70        |
| Difference midline                       | -5.089      | -8.90       | -20.18      | -20.09      |
| Internal Diameter (Reference)            | 4048.90     | 4048.90     | 4048.90     | 4048.90     |
| Inside Diameter Test Results             | 4043.81     | 4040.00     | 4028.72     | 4028.81     |
### TABLE 5. An Internal Diameter of An Optical Method Test Results.

| Description                                | Ring-1 (mm) | Ring-2 (mm) | Ring-3 (mm) | Ring-4 (mm) |
|--------------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Average (/ring)                            | 2030.00     | 2033.70     | 2047.09     | 2023.79     |
| Internal Diameter                          | 4060.00     | 4067.40     | 4094.18     | 4037.58     |
| Correction thick walls and thick paint     | 4.70        | 3.90        | 3.90        | 4.70        |
| Inside Diameter Test Results               | 4055.30     | 4063.50     | 4090.29     | 4042.87     |

### TABLE 6. Represents diameter ratio in the test results strapping method and optical methods.

| Ring- | Inside Diameter Strapping Method (mm) | Inside Diameter Optical Method (mm) | Difference (mm) |
|-------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|
| 1     | 4043.81                               | 4055.30                            | 11.49           |
| 2     | 4040.00                               | 4063.50                            | 23.50           |
| 3     | 4028.72                               | 4090.29                            | 61.57           |
| 4     | 4028.81                               | 4042.87                            | 14.06           |
| Average | 4035.33                               | 4062.99                            | 27.65           |

It can be seen in TABLE 6 that the test results tank vertical cylinder strapping method has a smaller yield than the optical method for each ring. It also indicates that the method of strapping has a smaller yield by a margin of 0.7% compared with optical methods. Although the method of the strapping result is smaller than the optical method, but has the disadvantage of testing time longer and requires more personnel than by optical methods. The optical method has the disadvantage that the measuring instrument used is highly sensitive, so if there is a very small shock could alter the readings. In addition, theodolite has fairly expensive [18] and requires special skills to operate the tool.

### CONCLUSION

In cylinder vertical tank testing using the strapping method and optical method, the overall results of measurements for each ring diameter in the strapping method showed a smaller yield compared with optical methods. The average difference between the overall diameter ring strapping method and optical methods of 27.65 mm or 0.7%.
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