Proton Bunch Self-Modulation in Plasma with Density Gradient
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We study experimentally the effect of linear plasma density gradients on the self-modulation of a 400 GeV proton bunch. Results show that a positive or negative gradient increases or decreases the number of microbunches and the relative charge per microbunch observed after 10 m of plasma. The measured modulation frequency also increases or decreases. With the largest positive gradient we observe two frequencies in the modulation power spectrum. Results are consistent with changes in wakefields’ phase velocity due to plasma density gradients adding to the slow wakefields’ phase velocity during self-modulation growth predicted by linear theory.
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Self-modulation (SM) of long, relativistic charged particle bunches was proposed in order to take advantage of bunches that carry large amounts of energy (kilojoules) to drive large amplitude wakefields (>1 GV/m) in long plasma [1]. In the SM process the transverse component of noise wakefields from the plasma or bunch, or a transverse component externally imposed as seed, grows and transforms the long bunch into a train of microbunches through periodic focusing and defocusing. In a neutral plasma with electron density \( n_{e0} \) the periodicity of the microbunches \( \Delta t \) is given by the plasma electron angular frequency: \( \Delta t = 2\pi / \omega_{pe} \), where \( \omega_{pe} = (n_{e0} e^2 / e\mu m_e)^{1/2} \) [2]. Microbunches are shorter than \( \Delta t \). The incoming bunch is considered as long when its duration is \( \gg \Delta t \). The SM of a 400 GeV, 230 ps rms duration proton bunch in a 10 m-long plasma with density in the \( 10^{14} - 10^{15} \) cm\(^{-3} \) range (\( 11 \geq \Delta t \geq 3.5 \) ps) was recently demonstrated experimentally [3,4]. Results show that the modulation frequency observed after the plasma is equal to the plasma electron frequency inferred from the singly ionized rubidium (Rb) vapor density [3], and that the SM process grows both along the bunch and plasma [4], all as expected from theory. Transition between the instability regime and the seeded regime was also observed [5], demonstrating the ability to control the SM process, a necessary condition for practical accelerators.

It was recognized in two simultaneous theory-simulation papers [6,7] that during growth of the SM process the wakefields’ phase velocity is slower than the speed of the 400 GeV proton bunch. The wakefields’ phase velocity reaches essentially the velocity of the bunch only after saturation of the SM process. Both papers focused on the effect of slow wakefields’ phase velocity on electron acceleration during wakefields’ growth. Both also refer to a possible solution that was devised for the case of a long laser pulse self-modulating in a plasma: a plasma density gradient to manipulate the effective wakefields’ phase velocity [8]. Plasma density gradients were proposed to overcome dephasing limitations on energy gain in laser wakefield accelerators [9]. One can expect a plasma density gradient to also affect the SM process of a particle bunch, perhaps leading to larger amplitude wakefields after saturation.

In this Letter we focus on the effect of plasma density gradients on the bunch that drives wakefields. In the experiment, we measure the effect of linear density gradients on the parameters of a 400 GeV proton bunch after 10 m of propagation in plasma. We show that the time-resolved train of microbunches resulting from the SM process becomes longer or shorter and that the relative charge per microbunch exiting the plasma increases or decreases as the gradient is made more positive or negative, in practice with density larger or smaller at the plasma exit than at its entrance. An independent time integrated charge diagnostic confirms the increase in charge remaining near the bunch axis with increasing density gradient value \( g \). We show with two diagnostics that the proton bunch modulation frequency, equal to the plasma frequency without gradient [3], increases or decreases with increasing or decreasing gradient values. At large gradient values (\( |g| > 1/\mu \) m) we observe saturation and for \( g = \pm 1.99/\mu \) m two frequencies appearing in the spectrum of the modulated bunch.

Changes in charge and modulation frequency are consistent with change in phase velocity of the wakefields. The larger or smaller charge measured with positive or negative gradient is consistent with the wakefields’ phase velocity being slower than that of protons, or negative in the protons’ reference frame in a constant density plasma. Results presented here have been obtained in the AWAKE experiment [10].

A schematic of the AWAKE experimental setup is shown in Fig. 1. The 400 GeV proton bunch from the CERN Super Proton Synchrotron with a population of \((2.98 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{11} \) particles is focused to a 200 \( \mu \)m rms transverse size near the entrance of the plasma. It has a rms duration \( \sigma_t = 230 \) ps. A 120 fs-long laser pulse with an energy of \( \sim 110 \) mJ creates the plasma by singly ionizing a Rb vapor to form a cylinder of plasma, \( \sim 1 \) mm in radius, over the 10 m-long vapor column. The sharp (\( \ll 2\pi / \omega_{pe} \approx 8.3 \) ps for the plasma density used here), relativistic ionization front created by the laser pulse provides seeding for the SM process [3,5]. The SM process and its phase are reproducible from event to event, i.e., in the seeded self-modulation (SSM) regime [5,10]. For this purpose the laser pulse is copropagating within the proton bunch, at a time \( t_{\text{seed}} = 128 \) ps (0.56\( \sigma_t \)) ahead of the bunch center (see Fig. 1, inset). Experiments were performed with a fixed vapor density of \( n_{\text{Rb}} = (1.804 \pm 0.004) \times 10^{14} \) cm\(^{-3} \) at the plasma entrance, varying density at its exit. The vapor density gradient is controlled by the temperature of two reservoirs evaporating Rb into the source [11,12]. We precisely
measure the vapor density (<0.5%) at both ends with white-light interferometry [13]. For small density differences between the two ends (|g| ≤ 1.99%/m over 10 m at densities ≤ 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-3}), vapor flow is small enough so that the density gradient can be considered as linear along the source (z) [11]. The density gradient \( n_e(z) = n_{e0}(1 + (g/100)z) \) is therefore calculated by dividing the difference in density measured at both ends by the length of the vapor column (10 m). We demonstrated in Ref. [3] that laser ionization yields a plasma density equal to the Rb vapor density within 0.1%. Therefore, though we measure Rb vapor densities, hereafter we quote the corresponding plasma density and gradient values (\( n_e = n_{\text{Rb}} \)).

Three diagnostics provide information about the self-modulated proton bunch after the plasma (see Fig. 1). A scintillating screen located ∼2 m downstream from the plasma exit yields the time-integrated transverse population density distribution of protons [14]. One meter downstream, the bunch train enters a metallic screen where it produces coherent transition radiation (CTR). We send the CTR to a heterodyne frequency measurement system that yields modulation frequency along the bunch (spectrogram [15]). Protons then produce backward (incoherent) optical transition radiation (OTR) when entering another nearby metallic screen [3,16]. We image the OTR onto the entrance slit of a streak camera that yields a time-resolved image of the proton bunch transverse density distribution in a ∼74 μm-wide slice about the bunch propagation axis (bunch rms width \( \sigma_t \approx 540 \mu \text{m} \) without plasma).

Figure 2 shows time-resolved images of the bunch density with three of eight different plasma density gradient values for which data was acquired (see below). To obtain these ≈ 620 ps-long images, several images with an acquisition time of 209 ps and time resolution of ∼3 ps were stitched together using a marker laser pulse as time reference, as described in Ref. [17]. Marker laser pulses are visible at the top of Fig. 2 images. To evidence modulation, we plot for each image a time profile of the bunch train, obtained by summing the counts on the image over a rms transverse range \( \pm \sigma_{t0} \) about the bunch axis. Only the part of the bunch propagating in the plasma (\( t > 0 \) with respect to the seed point) exhibits modulation. Images clearly show that with the positive density gradient [\( g = +1.99%/m \) Fig. 2(a)] there are more microbunches along the train. This is confirmed by the corresponding time profiles. In the negative gradient value case [Fig. 2(c)] there are no visible microbunches at times later than ∼130 ps (\( \approx 0.6\sigma_t \)), while they are visible up to ∼250 ps (\( \approx 1.1\sigma_t \)) in the case of...
(essentially) constant plasma density [Fig. 2(b)], and up to \( \sim 350 \text{ ps} (\approx 1.5\sigma_t) \) with the positive gradient value. With the largest negative gradient value (\( g = -1.93\% / \text{m} \)), there is no charge visible along the bunch axis for \( t > 130 \text{ ps} \). The defocused protons are clearly visible away from the axis [Fig. 2(c), \( t > 150 \text{ ps} \)] with a modulation pattern with the wakefields’ periodicity. Images with gradient values near \( g = 0\% / \text{m} \) [e.g., Fig. 2(b)] appear to have very symmetric and regular charge distribution. With the largest positive gradient [\( g = +1.99\% / \text{m} \), Fig. 2(a)] the charge density distribution exhibits significant irregularities.

In order to quantify the charge remaining along the self-modulated bunch, Fig. 3(a) shows the relative charge contained in microbunches number 5, 10, 20, 30, and 40 behind the seed point, as a function of \( g \), and calculated according to the procedure detailed in Ref. [18]. One can clearly observe that for all these microbunches, the charge is increasing or decreasing with increasing or decreasing gradient values. Further along the bunch, microbunches and charge are present on axis only with large positive gradient values, e.g., bunches number 30 and 40 and \( g > +1\% / \text{m} \).

A similar trend for the bunch relative charge along its axis as a function of gradient is obtained from time-integrated images of the transverse charge distribution [14]. Figure 3(b) shows the bunch relative charge measured in one rms radius around the bunch center, i.e., its core. The charge contained in the core consists of the charge ahead of the seed point (\( \approx 29\% \)), therefore unaffected by the SM process and of that of the microbunches, and thus remaining near the axis. The change not in the core is found in the halo surrounding the core [4]. The charge in the core generally increases or decreases as a function of increasing or decreasing gradient, except for large negative values (\( g < -1.0\% / \text{m} \)). This measurement is consistent with the results from time-resolved images, i.e., more charge in microbunches or core with more positive \( g \) values.

We note here that an estimate for the expected charge near the bunch is that a maximum of 50\% could remain after the seed point, assuming linear theory fields [19] and half of the wakefields’ period focusing for protons. With a Gaussian longitudinal profile and a seed point at time \( t_{\text{seed}} = +128 \text{ ps} \) along the bunch a fraction of \( \approx 64\% \) would remain in the core. An optimum modulation would be with charge remaining only in the decelerating and focusing phase of the wakefields. That is only a quarter period in linear plasma wakefields’ theory and thus a fraction of 47\% would remain. Figure 3(b) shows that without gradient the charge fraction remaining is \( \sim 57\% \). Measured values (\( \approx 52 \) to \( \approx 77\% \)) are a bit larger than expected ones, possibly because the ideal bunching is not reached and because charge from the defocused regions remains within the rms radius of the bunch.

We determine the bunch modulation frequency \( f_{\text{CTR}} \) with the CTR diagnostic [15]. The CTR spectrum of a periodic bunch train consists of peaks at the modulation frequency and at its harmonics. We use heterodyne systems operating near the fundamental (\( \sim 120 \text{ GHz} \)) and the second harmonic (\( \sim 240 \text{ GHz} \)) frequencies of the modulation. Bench measurements show that these systems measure frequencies with \(< 1 \text{ GHz} \) accuracy [15]. The presence of harmonics in the signal indicates deep modulation of the charge density along the bunch, an indication of the nonlinear stage reached by the SM process, as can be seen in the profiles of Fig. 2. These time profiles are shallower than in reality due to the limited streak camera time resolution (\( \sim 3 \text{ ps} \)).

The discrete Fourier transform (DFT) frequency analysis used in Ref. [3] is also applied to the time-resolved images (Fig. 2 and others) to obtain the modulation frequency \( f_{\text{Streak}} \) [20].

Figure 4 shows these two sets of measured modulation frequencies as a function of \( g \). There is very good agreement between the two independent measurement results. The modulation frequencies generally increase or decrease with increasing or decreasing \( g \) values and saturate at the largest absolute values. Measured frequencies are between the
plasma frequencies determined from the entrance and exit plasma densities \( f = f_{\text{pe}} = \omega_{\text{pe}}/2\pi \propto n_e^{1/2} \). With the largest \( g \) values, the mean measured frequencies \( f_{\text{CTR}} = 119.2 \) GHz, \( f_{\text{Streak}} = 120.0 \) GHz for \( g = -1.93 \% / \text{m} \), \( f_{\text{CTR}} = 122.4 \) GHz, \( f_{\text{Streak}} = 123.0 \) GHz for \( g = +1.99 \% / \text{m} \) are significantly different from plasma frequencies at the end of the plasma, 108.2 and 131.9 GHz, respectively.

With large positive or negative gradient values \((|g| > 1\% / \text{m})\), we observe two distinct frequency peaks in the CTR power spectrum, as shown for a single event in the spectrogram inset of Fig. 4 [21]. This frequency measurement was performed at the second harmonic of \( f_{\text{CTR}} \). The corresponding frequencies at the fundamental are \( \approx 121.4 \) and \( \approx 123.8 \) GHz. The density gradient constantly detunes the resonance between the bunch modulation frequency and the local plasma frequency. This detuning generates an amplitude beating that has been observed in simulation results with plasma density gradients [22]. For excitation over a finite amount of time, this beating of the two frequencies becomes detectable when their separation is at least on the order of the inverse of the beating duration, here, \( \Delta f \approx 1/(\sigma_t \cdot t_{\text{seed}}) = 2.8 \) GHz. In this case \( \Delta f \approx 2.4 \) GHz satisfies this condition.

A higher or lower modulation frequency at the end of the plasma than at the entrance for positive or negative density gradient is direct evidence of the expected effect: the change in wakefields’ phase velocity. Indeed, a higher or lower frequency corresponds to wakefields contracting or stretching along the bunch and plasma, with respect to the fixed seed point. This effect adds to the stretching away from the seed point occurring in a uniform density plasma where the wakefields’ phase velocity is slower than the protons’ velocity. A larger positive or negative phase velocity of wakefields along the bunch (in the bunch frame) means that protons spend less or more time in the passing defocusing fields and fewer or more of them are thus lost from the bunch train. This effect is stronger further along the bunch and explains the lengthening or shortening of the bunch train and gain or loss of charge observed with positive or negative \( g \) values.

Theoretical calculations show that, during the growth process, the wakefields’ phase velocity at position \( \xi = z - ct \) along the bunch and \( z = ct \) along the plasma with electron density \( n_e \) differs from the particles or bunch velocity \( v_b \) by [6]

\[
\Delta v \xi \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\xi}{ct} \right)^{1/3} \left( \frac{n_e m_e}{m_p n_p} \right)^{1/3} v_b. \tag{1}
\]

The wakefields’ phase velocity is slower than that of the bunch during the growth of the SM process. This difference increases along the bunch.

In a plasma with a density gradient, a point of constant phase \( \phi(\xi) = (\omega_{\text{pe}}/c)\xi \), initially at position \( \xi \) along the bunch behind the seed point, moves closer to \((g > 0)\), or further \((g < 0)\) from the seed point. In the case of a linear plasma density gradient this corresponds to a velocity

\[
\Delta v \xi = -\frac{1}{2} g \left( \frac{1}{1 + g/100} \right)^{1/2} v_b. \tag{2}
\]

It also increases along the bunch. Possible compensation of the two opposite phase velocities with \( g > 0 \) strictly occurs only for a given plasma length (at \( z \)) and position along the bunch (at \( \xi \)). Also, the first effect [Eq. (1)] only occurs over the growth length of the SM process, while the second one occurs all along the plasma. The overall effect is still to decrease the difference between the bunch and wakefields’ velocities all along the bunch, as shown in Fig. 4 of Ref. [6] for increasing the density along the plasma. One can thus expect it to lead to less overall dephasing, to more charge and microbunches to be preserved and, possibly, for some parameters to larger amplitude wakefields to be driven. However, this can occur only over a distance on the order of the growth length of the SM process. At longer distances the positive velocity of Eq. (2) has the same deleterious effect on the SM process as that a positive gradient can counter. The effect of the negative gradient and negative wakefields’ velocities has a compounding deleterious effect on the bunch train, as seen in the experimental data. The asymmetry of the effect of the plasma density gradient...
confirms that the phase velocity of the wakefields is slower than that of the protons [6,7] in a constant density plasma ($g = 0$).

Globally, the effect of a density gradient is to suppress the SM process growth [23]. According to Ref. [23] and within the assumptions of the model, the reduction in the final relative radial modulation (assumed to be small) would be of about a factor 3 with respect to the constant density case, with a plasma density of $10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and $g = \pm 2\% / \text{m}$. Modification of the growth rate occurs because of relative dephasing between the wakefields and the microbunches. The wakefields’ development depends dynamically on the number of focused protons that remain in the forming train and on their number in the decelerating (losing energy) and accelerating phase (gaining energy) of the wakefields, and therefore on the wakefields’ phase velocity. In order to determine the effect of the number of microbunches and of their charge on wakefields’ amplitude, one must determine the longitudinal and transverse distribution of the protons in the wakefields. This is not possible without simultaneous measurement of the electron plasma density perturbation driven by the train. However, Fig. 2 of Ref. [23] does show a slight increase in SM growth for small gradient values, similar to those for which electron energy gain increase was observed in Refs. [24,25]. This increase in growth could result in shorter saturation length and longer acceleration length and/or larger wakefields’ amplitude. In Refs. [24,25] the density gradient may also have affected the electrons’ capture process.

We presented experimental results on the effect of linear plasma density gradients on the self-modulation of a 400 GeV proton bunch. Results show that, when compared to the no or negative gradient cases, positive linear density gradients up to 2%/m along the beam path increase the number of microbunches observed, as well as their charge. Density gradients also lead to modulation frequency shifts with saturation at large values (positive or negative). Two frequencies appear in the frequency spectra at high gradients ($g \sim \pm 2\% / \text{m}$). These observations are consistent with modification of the wakefields’ phase velocity, leading to fewer or more protons being defocused for $g > 0\% / \text{m}$ or $g < 0\% / \text{m}$. Energy gain measurements [24] suggest that modest gradient values (up to $g = \pm 0.2\% / \text{m}$) lead to larger energy gain than with a plasma with constant density ($g = 0\% / \text{m}$). Capture of electrons at larger gradient values decreased with this injection scheme, thus preventing observation of possible decrease in energy gain.

It is clear that a density gradient cannot be sustained over very long plasma lengths and could be beneficial only over the saturation length of the self-modulation process [26] (as far as this process and not the acceleration one is concerned). A positive density step, sharp [27] or continuous [28], has so far been chosen for Run 2 of AWAKE to maintain wakefields’ amplitudes at large values over longer distances, for larger energy gain than in a constant density plasma [29].
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