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ABSTRACT

Heart failure is frequently associated with diabetes, and therapies which reduce mortality in people with heart failure and reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF) are often limited to drugs which modulate the renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system or heart rate control and occasionally to device therapy. Treatment is even more challenging in people with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF), with currently no approved therapy demonstrating a mortality-improving effect, limiting treatment to diuretics for the alleviation of the symptoms of fluid overload and risk factor management. Previous cardiovascular outcome trials for sodium-glucose co-transporter-2 (SGLT-2) inhibitors have demonstrated significant favourable outcomes for cardiovascular disease, heart failure hospitalisation and all-cause mortality. The aim of the nearly completed EMPEROR-preserved and EMPEROR-reduced trials is to determine the impact of empagliflozin on cardiovascular and heart failure outcomes in people with HFpEF or HFrEF with or without diabetes. The trials will add substantially to our understanding of SGLT-2 inhibitors in the treatment of HFrEF and may have major implications for the treatment of people with HFpEF. The study will also be powered to address the impact of empagliflozin on changes in renal function in people with and without diabetes and incident diabetes in the participants without diabetes at baseline. In this article we discuss the rationale for using SGLT-2 inhibitors in people with heart failure and explore the potential findings and importance of the ongoing EMPEROR-preserved and EMPEROR-reduced trials.
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Key Summary Points

Cardiovascular outcome trials have shown considerable improvement in heart failure hospitalisation and other cardiovascular outcomes in people using sodium-glucose co-transporter-2 (SGLT-2) inhibitors.

There are currently no treatments demonstrating mortality benefit for people with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF).

The EMPEROR trials will considerably add to our understanding of the future role for SGLT-2 inhibitors in people with heart failure, particularly HFpEF.

Planned sub-analyses of the EMPEROR trials, which include analyses of changes in renal function and incident diabetes, will also be of particular interest.

INTRODUCTION

The worldwide prevalence of heart failure is both substantial and increasing, with an estimated prevalence of more than 26 million people [1] and a substantial global economic burden estimated at US$108 billion [2]. Diabetes increases the risk of developing heart failure by more than twofold in men and fivefold in women, with an estimated 25% of people with diabetes suffering chronic heart failure [3]. Contrariwise, in one study 45.5% of people with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF) and 41.8% with heart failure and reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF) were diagnosed with diabetes, respectively [4]. People with diabetes and heart failure have poorer clinical outcomes, thought to be a consequence of greater cardiovascular risk factors and poor glycaemic control [5]. In people with diabetes, several mechanisms may explain the relatively high risk of HFpEF, including impaired cardiac metabolism and substrate utilisation, altered insulin signalling and cardiac deposition of advanced glycated end products [6]. Indeed, the CHARM programme investigators reported that diabetes was an independent predictor of morbidity and mortality in people with heart failure and that the relative risk of cardiovascular death or hospitalisation for heart failure (HHF) associated with diabetes was greater in participants with HFpEF than HFrEF [7]. Whilst pharmacological interventions improve outcomes in HFrEF, little evidence supports drug therapy for HFpEF [8]. As a result, superior treatments for heart failure, particularly in patients with HFpEF with underlying diabetes, are needed.

This article is based on previously conducted studies and does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

CARDIOVASCULAR OUTCOME TRIALS AND SODIUM-GLUCOSE CO-TRANSPORTER-2 INHIBITORS: KEY CARDIOVASCULAR AND HEART FAILURE OUTCOMES

A great deal has been learned about the non-glycaemic benefits associated with drug therapies for type 2 diabetes (T2D) since the undertaking of cardiovascular outcome trials (CVOTs). Indeed, CVOTs investigating sodium-glucose co-transporter-2 (SGLT-2) inhibitors have observed favourable cardiovascular outcomes associated with their use [9]. A recent meta-analysis observed that SGLT-2 inhibitor use in 38,723 participants from four CVOTs was associated with a significantly reduced rate of 3-point MACE (major adverse cardiovascular events) (hazard ratio [HR] 0.88), cardiovascular death (HR 0.88), HHF (HR 0.68) and all-cause mortality (HR 0.85) compared with placebo [10]. Similar findings had also been observed in a previous meta-analysis [11].

The first CVOT to report cardiovascular outcomes for SGLT-2 inhibitors was the EMPA-REG study investigating empagliflozin in people with T2D. The study investigators noted a significant reduction in 3-point composite MACE compared with placebo (10.5 vs. 12.1%) and...
significant relative risk reductions for cardiovascular death (38%), HHF (35%) and all-cause mortality (32%) [12]. Following the findings of the EMPA-REG study, canagliflozin was reported to demonstrate superiority for 3-point MACE (HR 0.86) in the CANVAS programme, with its use found to result in significant reductions in cardiovascular death (HR 0.87) and HHF (HR 0.67) [13]. Subsequently, similar observations were made in the CREDENCE trial, in which canagliflozin use was associated with a significantly reduced risk of cardiovascular death, myocardial infarction (MI) or stroke (HR 0.80) and HHF (HR 0.61) [14].

The DECLARE-TIMI 58 trial investigated the use of dapagliflozin and demonstrated a nonsignificant reduction in the rate of 3-point MACE (HR 0.93) and a significantly lower rate of HHF (HR 0.73) in people with T2D and previous or a high-risk of cardiovascular disease [15]. Subsequently, the DAPA-HF study explored the use of dapagliflozin in people with or without underlying T2D and a diagnosis of HFrEF. The study investigators observed a significant relative risk reduction versus placebo for cardiovascular death (9.6 vs. 11.5%) and worsening heart failure (10.0 vs. 13.7%) over 18 months in participants using dapagliflozin, with similar results in people with or without underlying diabetes [16]. The outcomes of the DAPA-HF study resulted in the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) recently approving dapagliflozin for use in people with HFrEF [17].

Most recently, the VERTIS-CV study investigating ertugliflozin use did not demonstrate superiority for 3-point MACE for cardiovascular death, nonfatal MI or stroke, although there was a significant reduction in the HHF rate compared with placebo (2.5 vs. 3.6%) [18]. In the absence of the forthcoming full publication and analysis of heart failure subtypes, one putative explanation for these different findings is variations in study populations between CVOTs. Indeed, 10% of the study population in the EMPA-REG trial had underlying heart failure [12] compared with 23.1% of participants in the VERTIS-CV study. Additionally, more than 80% of the participants in the VERTIS-CV study had HFrEF and just 19.4% had HFrEF [19], although the proportion of participants with HFpEF in the EMPA-REG study was not reported. Whilst the analysis is currently unpublished, it may be that the high proportion of participants with heart failure and HFpEF in the VERTIS-CV study may have affected the cardiovascular outcome results. Full publication of these results is awaited with great interest.

ESTABLISHED HEART FAILURE THERAPIES IMPROVE MORTALITY IN HFREF BUT NOT HFPEF

Heart failure practice guidelines advocate that all patients with HFrEF should be prescribed angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors and beta blockers in the absence of any contraindication. In those patients with a moderately to severely impaired left ventricular ejection fraction, mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists should be considered, to further improve prognosis [20–23]. Following initiation and dose up-titration of these medications, clinicians should consider the use of medications such as hydralazine, sacubitril/valsartan, nitrites and ivabradine or, in some cases, device therapy such as cardiac resynchronization therapy [20–23].

The use of ACE inhibitors reduces renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system (RAAS) activity by inhibiting the conversion of angiotensin I to angiotensin II, which reduces systemic vasoconstriction, cardiac and vascular hypertrophy and the adrenal release of aldosterone, thereby modulating sodium and water retention. Likewise, mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists impede the RAAS by directly inhibiting the effect of aldosterone in the kidney, reducing sodium and water retention and improving cardiac remodelling [24]. Thus, there is good rationale for RAAS inhibition in people with HFrEF, and evidence from trials consistently demonstrates improved clinical outcomes in these patients [25]. Additionally, overactivation of the sympathetic nervous system in people with HFrEF is responsible for systemic vasoconstriction, increased heart rate, increased left ventricular afterload, cardiac remodelling and fibrosis. Thus, beta blockers reduce the toxic effect of adrenergic excess by inhibiting the...
chronotropic and inotropic effect of adrenaline and noradrenaline on the heart, supporting a regression in myocardial mass and cardiac remodelling, again with favourable cardiovascular outcomes in people with HFrEF [26].

Unfortunately, little clinical trial evidence supporting RAAS inhibition [25] or beta-blockade [27] exists for people with HFpEF, often limiting treatment to risk factor management and diuresis to improve symptoms of fluid overload [20–23]. Despite current management approaches, the natural history of the disease is to progress, often with significant morbidity and mortality. Indeed, people with heart failure have a projected 5-year mortality rate of 75%, and 82% require hospital readmission [28]. Therefore, new drug therapies for people with HFpEF are essential, and the use of SGLT-2 inhibitors is attractive due to the significant number of patients with co-morbid diabetes.

RATIONALE FOR SGLT-2 INHIBITORS IN HEART FAILURE

There is strong rationale for the use of SGLT-2 inhibitors in people with heart failure. These drugs inhibit the SGLT-2 protein in the proximal convoluted tubule of the nephron, reducing the reuptake of sodium and glucose to induce natriuresis and glucose-mediated osmotic diuresis, thereby improving glycaemia through enhanced urinary glucose excretion and improving blood pressure through urinary glucose and sodium excretion. However, the cardiovascular benefits of empagliflozin in the EMPA-REG study could not be explained by improved blood pressure, glycaemic control and lipids alone [29], implying other mechanisms must explain the cardiovascular benefit of this family of drugs. Indeed, several mechanisms have been speculated to explain the impact of SGLT-2 inhibitors in people with heart failure [30]:

1. **Reduced cardiac preload** Increased diuresis due to urinary sodium and glucose excretion reduces plasma and interstitial fluid volumes that in turn reduce cardiac preload and support cardiac remodelling [31]. This reduction in plasma volume is not associated with increased sympathetic nervous activity [32].

2. **Shift to ketone metabolism** SGLT-2 inhibitors cause a shift in body metabolism to produce ketones, a more energy-efficient substrate than fatty acids or glucose and thereby improve myocardial energy efficiency [33]. However, the ketone body levels generated from SGLT-2 inhibition are too modest to shift cardiac fuel metabolism, leading some to question whether other mechanisms better explain their impact in heart failure [34].

3. **Adaptive cellular reprogramming** By promoting urinary calorie loss by glycosuria, SGLT-2 inhibitors induce a state of ‘fasting mimicry’, thereby activating the enzymes sirtuin 1 (SIRT1) and adenosine monophosphate–activated protein kinase (AMPK). These enzymes have antioxidant and anti-inflammatory effects which may improve cardiac function [35, 36].

4. **Inhibition of sodium-hydrogen exchange** Heart failure is associated with increased activity of the cardiac Na–H exchanger, which is inhibited by the SGLT-2 inhibitor empagliflozin in rabbits and rats [37]. Inhibition of the Na–H exchanger may improve sensitivity to diuretics and endogenous natriuretic peptides, and reduce cardiac hypertrophy, fibrosis and remodelling [38]. Nevertheless, these findings are yet to be substantiated in humans.

5. **Improved endothelial function** In the DEFENCE study investigating dapagliflozin, use in patients with inadequately controlled glycated haemoglobin demonstrated significant improvements in flow-mediated dilation of the brachial artery and biochemical measures of oxidative stress over 16 weeks [39]. However, improved glycaemic control and other classes of diabetes medication have also been associated with improved measures of endothelial function [40], suggesting that the relationship between improved endothelial function and SGLT-2 inhibitors may be indirect rather than direct.
6. **Reduced cardiac fibrosis** In rats, SGLT-2 inhibitors have been observed to have anti-fibrotic effects by inhibiting collagen synthesis and myofibroblast differentiation which may have significant impact on cardiac remodelling and fibrosis [41]. Again, these findings have not yet been corroborated in human studies. Indeed, the REFORM study did not find any impact of dapagliflozin on left ventricular end-systolic volume or other parameters of left ventricular remodelling in people with T2D and HFrEF [42].

**STUDY OVERVIEW: THE EMPEROR-REDUCED AND EMPEROR-PRESERVED TRIALS**

The EMPEROR-reduced and EMPEROR-preserved trials are phase III international, multi-centre, randomized, double-blind, parallel-group, placebo-controlled trials investigating the effect of empagliflozin 10 mg daily in addition to standard medical therapy in participants with either HFrEF or HFpEF, respectively, followed up over a median of approximately 20–24 months [43, 44]. The EMPEROR-reduced trial commenced in March 2017 and is due to complete in July 2020, with over 3700 participants enrolled [45]. The EMPEROR-preserved trial enrolled almost 6000 participants, starting in March 2017, and is due to finish in November 2020 [46]. The study design and key inclusion/exclusion criteria are presented in Fig. 1 and Table 1, respectively. For both trials, the primary outcome is time to first event of the combined risk for cardiovascular death or HHF. Prespecified secondary outcomes include HHF events, changes in estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) from baseline, time to chronic dialysis, renal transplant or sustained reduction of eGFR, cardiovascular death, all-cause mortality, all-cause hospitalisation, time to onset of diabetes and changes in clinical summary score of the Kansas City Cardiomyopathy Questionnaire [43–46].

**WHAT WILL THE EMPEROR TRIALS ADD TO CURRENT KNOWLEDGE?**

The EMPEROR-preserved trial is the first randomised placebo-controlled trial to explore the impact of SGLT-2 inhibitors in the treatment of HFpEF [44]. Given the current lack of specific drug therapies for people with HFpEF, the results from this study may have a major impact.
| Inclusion/ exclusion criteria | EMPEROR-reduced trial | EMPEROR-preserved trial |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| **Inclusion criteria**        |                       |                         |
| • Aged ≥ 18 years             |                       | • Aged ≥ 18 years       |
| • Patients with chronic heart failure (≥ 3 months), NYHA class II-IV and reduced LVEF ≤ 40% with elevated NT-proBNP: |                       | • Patients with chronic heart failure (≥ 3 months), NYHA class II-IV and preserved LVEF > 40% with elevated NT-proBNP: |
|   - If EF = 36–40%; NT-proBNP ≥ 2500 pg/ml for patients without AF; NT-proBNP ≥ 5000 pg/ml for patients with AF |                       |   - NT-proBNP > 300 pg/ml for patients without AF |
|   - If EF = 31–35%; NT-proBNP ≥ 1000 pg/ml for patients without AF; NT-proBNP ≥ 2000 pg/ml for patients with AF |                       |   - NT-proBNP > 900 pg/ml for patients with AF |
|   - If EF ≤ 30%; NT-proBNP ≥ 600 pg/ml for patients without AF; NT-proBNP ≥ 1200 pg/ml for patients with AF |                       | • Structural heart disease within 6 months prior, or documented HHF within 12 months prior to Visit 1 |
|   - If EF ≤ 40% and HHF < 12 months: |                       | • Stable dose of oral diuretics, if prescribed |
|     NT-proBNP ≥ 600 pg/ml for patients without AF; NT-proBNP ≥ 1200 pg/ml with AF |                       |                         |
| • Appropriate medical therapy for HF, stable for at least 1 week |                       |                         |
| • Appropriate use of medical devices, such as ICD or CRT |                       |                         |

| Exclusion criteria (same in both trials) |                       |                         |
|------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| • Myocardial infarction, CABG or other major cardiovascular surgery, stroke or TIA in past 90 days prior to Visit 1 |                       |                         |
| • Heart transplant recipient, or listed for heart transplant |                       |                         |
| • Acute decompensated HF |                       |                         |
| • Systolic blood pressure (SBP) ≥ 180 mmHg, symptomatic hypotension and/or SBP ≤ 100 mmHg |                       |                         |
| • Indication of liver disease |                       |                         |
| • eGFR < 20 ml/min/1.73 m² or requiring dialysis |                       |                         |
| • History of ketoacidosis |                       |                         |
| • Current use or prior use of a SGLT-2 inhibitor |                       |                         |
| • Currently enrolled in an investigational device or drug study |                       |                         |
| • Known hypersensitivity to empagliflozin or SGLT-2 inhibitor |                       |                         |
| • Women who are pregnant, or who plan to become pregnant |                       |                         |

AF Atrial fibrillation/atrial flutter, CABG coronary artery bypass graft, CRT cardiac resynchronisation device, EF ejection fraction, eGFR estimated glomerular filtration rate, HF heart failure, HHF hospitalisation for heart failure, ICD implantable cardiac defibrillator, LVEF left ventricular ejection fraction, NT-proBNP N-terminal of the prohormone brain natriuretic peptide, NYHA New York Heart Association Classification, SGLT-2 sodium-glucose co-transporter-2, TIA transient ischaemic attack,
on clinical practice for these patients. Current treatments are unfortunately limited to risk factor management and the use of diuretics to improve symptoms, and a poor quality of life, morbidity and mortality are associated with the disease [28]. The study has enrolled a substantial number of participants, with 5988 participants included and an expected follow-up of around 24 months which should give the study sufficient statistical power.

The EMPEROR-reduced trial will add to the DAPA-HF study to determine the impact of SGLT-2 inhibitors in the management of people with HFrEF [43]. The DAPA-HF study included 4744 participants followed up for a median 18.2 months [16], while the EMPEROR-reduced trial includes 3733 participants over about 20 months, making the trials comparable in terms of study design and size [43]. Importantly, the results from the latter study will provide information that will support decision-making by clinicians on the choice of SGLT-2 inhibitor for people with HFrEF, allowing them to make informed choices for these patients.

Of additional interest is the potential for sub-analyses of changes in eGFR associated with empagliflozin use in those with or without underlying diabetes. Combining the two trials would include eGFR data for almost 10,000 participants with an eGFR > 20 ml/min/1.73 m², providing substantial insight into the impact of empagliflozin on eGFR for up to 24 months. We recently reviewed CVOTs reporting secondary renal outcomes and observed that use of SGLT-2 inhibitors reduced progression to macroalbuminuria and delayed the decline in eGFR associated with diabetes [47]. Renal co-morbidity frequently co-exists in people with heart failure as a result of chronic fluid overload and the association of many treatments for heart failure with acute kidney injury [48]. Treatments which reduce the decline in eGFR in this particularly at-risk population would be welcomed.

Additionally, sub-analysis of incident diabetes in participants without pre-existing diabetes associated with empagliflozin use will be possible. Indeed, in the DAPA-HF study 157 of the 2605 participants who did not have T2D at baseline developed diabetes. Of these, 93 of 1307 (7.1%) participants receiving placebo and 64 of 1298 (4.9%) participants using dapagliflozin developed diabetes over the trial period, representing a relative risk reduction of 32% in those receiving dapagliflozin [49]. Importantly, participants with prediabetes, higher body mass index and lower eGFR were more likely to develop diabetes, suggesting the potential for targeted diabetes prevention therapies with SGLT-2 inhibitors [49]. Again, comparison with the combined cohort of almost 10,000 participants across the two EMPEROR trials will be interesting and add significantly to the findings from the DAPA-HF study.

CONCLUSIONS

The EMPEROR-preserved and EMPEROR-reduced trials will provide novel insight into the use of empagliflozin for people with HFrEF or HFrEF. Additionally, they will improve our understanding of the impact of SGLT-2 inhibitors on changes in renal function and the use of SGLT-2 inhibitors to prevent diabetes. Therefore, the results of these trials will add significantly to information on this subject gained from previous trials and hopefully provide novel therapies for people with heart failure to improve current clinical outcomes which are all too often poor.
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