The most common time of onset for psychotic disorders is adolescence and early adulthood.1 The onset of psychotic disorders is usually preceded by a prodromal period characterized by non-specific psychiatric and attenuated psychotic symptoms accompanied by functional decline.2 “Ultra-high risk” (UHR) for psychosis is defined by the presence of attenuated positive psychotic symptoms without full impairment of reality testing. Recently, the UHR concept was included in “attenuated psychosis syndrome” (APS), a new diagnosis contained in Section 3 of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition (DSM-5), the section reserved for conditions requiring further research.3 Among adolescents who reported psychotic-like experiences, those of 80% remitted, and the symptoms of 20% persisted.4 The early detection and treatment of people at risk for psychosis is currently regarded as a promising strategy for preventing the devastating consequences of full-blown psychosis.5

As children and adolescents spend a large portion of their time at school, mental health problems are commonly identified in this setting. School-based detection of those at UHR for psychosis has the benefit of improving access to mental health treatment for students who have psychotic-like experiences. In Korea, mandatory school based mental health screening test for mental health problems of students has been conducted every year. Due to limited school mental health resources, school counselors perform non-counseling related activities beyond their training such as clinical expertise regarding to assessment and referral of clinical high risk students. Given increasing recognition of the need to identify individuals with UHR risk status, school counselors are facing the challenge of how to understand and deal with these symptoms. Indeed, in view of the essential contribution of the identification of symptoms to the provision of effective mental health services, it is essential to recognize the potential role played by school counselors.

Thus, the current study examined how school counselors diagnose and define the appropriate treatment for those at UHR for psychosis. We assessed respondents’ recognition of UHR for psychosis based their responses to a brief vignette depicting a hypothetical student reporting relevant symptoms.

INTRODUCTION

The ability to identify students at ultra-high risk (UHR) for psychosis in school settings is crucial for enabling appropriate referral to a clinician and positive therapeutic results. The aim of this study was to examine school counselors’ recognition of the diagnosis and appropriate treatment recommendations for students at UHR for psychosis. In total, 132 school counselors completed surveys, including questions relating to a vignette about a student at UHR for psychosis. In total, 12.4% of the sample provided the correct diagnosis, much lower than that for other schizophrenia spectrum disorders and non-psychotic disorders, including depressive disorder. Although most school counselors preferred psychiatrists as the first-line treatment for students at UHR for psychosis, counseling centers were also mentioned as potential treatment options. In terms of medication, antipsychotics were preferred over other medication classes. It is necessary to design appropriate educational and training programs for school counselors to promote identification and effective referral of those at UHR for psychosis.
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METHODS

Participants
The sample for this anonymous cross-sectional survey, which was conducted in October 2015, consisted of school counselors. In total, 132 school counselors from 125 (81.7%) of the 153 schools and all of three Wee centers in Gwangju metropolitan city participated in the survey and completed the questionnaire. Data on sociodemographic characteristics were collected. The survey was approved by the Chonnam National University Hospital Institutional Review Board (CNUH-2015-171).

Vignette
The vignette used in this study was developed by the corresponding author (KSW) (Table 1) based on the vignette developed by Jacobs et al.6 and the manual of Personal Assessment and Crisis Evaluation (PACE) clinic of Orygen Youth Health in Melbourne, Australia.7 The vignette described a fictional individual at UHR for psychosis according to the Comprehensive Assessment of At-Risk Mental States (CAARMS) criteria.8,9 The protagonist in the vignette met criteria for subthreshold non-bizarre ideation and perceptual abnormality with functional decline. She also met criteria of the DSM-5 criteria for APS. Two authors (LJY and CYC) with extensive experience in this area discussed and further revised the vignette.

First, we asked participants whether the vignette depicted a psychiatric disorder (yes/no/don’t know). Participants were asked to identify the most appropriate diagnosis for the hypothetical student from a list of 10 diagnoses. We also asked participants to recommend an appropriate referral and treatment approach for the student by asking them to rate 11 treatment options on the following scale: first choice, second-or-later choice, would not recommend, and no opinion. Participants who diagnosed the protagonist with schizophrenia, delusional disorder, or at UHR for psychosis were considered the “schizophrenia spectrum disorders group,” and others were considered the “non-psychotic disorders group.”

Statistical analyses
Basic demographic differences among respondents according to the diagnosis chosen were analyzed using the chi-square test. The percentage of respondents choosing each answer was calculated and is presented. SPSS for Windows software (ver. 21.0; IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) was used to perform the statistical tests. All statistical tests were two-tailed, and p-values <0.05 were deemed to indicate statistical significance.

RESULTS

Demographic characteristics of participants
The sample consisted primarily of females (94.7%), and there were no significant differences in the demographic characteristics of respondents according to the diagnosis they selected (Table 2).

Diagnosis
In total, 123 (94.6%) respondents recognized that the vignette depicted someone with a mental disorder. The data regarding diagnoses are summarized in Figure 1, which shows the percentage of respondents choosing each diagnosis. The most common diagnosis was schizophrenia (n=34, 28.1%), followed by delusional disorder (n=32, 26.4%), and depressive disorder (n=23, 19.0%). The diagnosis of UHR for psychosis was selected by 15 (12.4%) subjects.

Referral and treatment
Figure 2 shows the referral recommendations according to the diagnosis chosen by the school counselors. Most participants who diagnosed the protagonist with schizophrenia spectrum disorder selected psychiatric clinics as the optimal referral. Additionally, an average of 58.1% of respondents would refer to Wee center, which is school counseling services, and an average of 37.2% would refer to a private counseling center.

Figure 3 shows treatment preferences according to the diagnosis chosen by school counselors. School counselors who diagnosed the student depicted in the vignette with schizophrenia were likely to recommend antipsychotics (35.3%) and family therapy (35.3%) as the first-line treatment. School coun-

Table 1. Vignette
A 17-year-old female high school junior (A) came to a counseling appointment with her mother, who was concerned about A’s recent abnormal behavior, which began when her father harshly criticized her for her poor academic performance 7 months ago. A reported hearing whispering voices for few seconds 2 or 3 times per week when she was alone. The voices usually called her name, and A reported feeling embarrassed upon discovering there was no one near her. Her mother expressed concern that A rarely contacted her previously close friends. A reported feeling uncomfortable with her classmates because of concerns that they were talking behind her back, but she was not sure what they would be saying. A reported feeling that people on public transportation were laughing her for being obese, but she was not certain about this. Her academic performance had dropped from a high-middle range to a middle range. Coincident with the behavioral changes described above, she reported periodically feeling depressed for 1 or 2 months. A also reported occasional insomnia but no change in her appetite.
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**Table 2. Sociodemographic characteristics of school counselors according to diagnostic category endorsed**

| Characteristics          | N (%)                      | Schizophrenia spectrum disorders [N=81 (61.4%)] | Non-psychotic disorders [N=51 (38.6%)] | χ²   | P     |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|------|-------|
| Gender                   |                            |                                              |                                        |      |       |
| Male                     | 7 (5.3)                    | 4 (57.1)                                     | 3 (42.9)                               | 0.056| 0.553 |
| Female                   | 125 (94.7)                 | 77 (61.6)                                    | 48 (38.4)                              |      |       |
| Age, years               |                            |                                              |                                        | 1.121| 0.195 |
| ≤40                      | 38 (28.0)                  | 26 (68.4)                                    | 12 (31.6)                              |      |       |
| >40                      | 94 (72.0)                  | 55 (38.5)                                    | 39 (41.5)                              |      |       |
| Marital status           |                            |                                              |                                        |      |       |
| Married                  | 105 (79.5)                 | 63 (60.0)                                    | 42 (40.0)                              | 0.403| 0.343 |
| Not-married              | 27 (20.5)                  | 18 (66.7)                                    | 9 (33.3)                               |      |       |
| Religion                 |                            |                                              |                                        |      |       |
| No                       | 37 (28.0)                  | 23 (62.2)                                    | 14 (37.8)                              | 0.014| 0.535 |
| Yes                      | 95 (72.0)                  | 58 (61.1)                                    | 37 (38.9)                              |      |       |
| School level             |                            |                                              |                                        |      |       |
| Elementary               | 19 (14.4)                  | 12 (63.2)                                    | 7 (36.8)                               | 5.266| 0.072 |
| Middle                   | 71 (53.8)                  | 48 (67.6)                                    | 23 (32.4)                              |      |       |
| High                     | 30 (22.7)                  | 13 (43.3)                                    | 17 (56.7)                              |      |       |
| Wee center*              | 12 (9.1)                   | 8 (66.7)                                     | 4 (33.3)                               |      |       |
| Career, year             |                            |                                              |                                        | 0.000| 0.564 |
| ≤5                       | 70 (53.0)                  | 43 (61.4)                                    | 27 (38.6)                              |      |       |
| >5                       | 62 (47.0)                  | 38 (61.3)                                    | 24 (38.7)                              |      |       |

*Wee center is the organization’s name of the office of education in Korea, which locate within each city and has been offering program and counseling services to students who need more attention in mental health problems

**DISCUSSION**

This is the first study to explore the recognition of Korean school counselors regarding students at UHR for psychosis. Participants were presented with a vignette depicting the case of a student who initially presents with recent-onset subsyndromal psychotic symptoms with functional decline. We found that most participants believed that the student was suffering from a psychiatric disorder. However, only 12.4% of the respondents correctly diagnosed her as at UHR for psychosis, whereas over half of respondents described her as suffering from a psychiatric disorder.
from a full-blown psychotic disorder. This reflects the fact that school counselors may be unfamiliar with the concept of high risk for psychosis, and lack confidence in treating this concept as a potential precursor of psychotic symptoms.

About 40% of school counselors did not consider the protagonist in the vignette to be suffering from schizophrenia spectrum disorder but, instead, believed she was dealing with a less severe condition, including depressive disorder (19.0%). Although the vignette did not portray severe depression, many school counselors nonetheless identified the problem in question as depressive disorder, which is a common psychiatric disorder among students. While most school counselors recommended psychiatric treatment for the protagonist in the vignette, a majority also considered a counseling center, which might not offer psychiatric services and might not operate according to the medical model, as would be appropriate for the treatment of a psychotic disorder. Early detection and intervention have an important role in reducing the duration of untreated psychosis (DUP) and ameliorating the presenting symptoms of UHR subjects. However, appropriate psychiatric intervention is commonly delayed within mental health services, including school counseling systems. Therefore, early referral and the timely delivery of transfer information by school counselors may play an important role in improving the diagnostic and treatment approach of subsequent practitioners.

Our findings reflected great variability in the treatment recommendations offered for the protagonist of the vignette. In particular, school counselors who diagnosed her with schizophrenia or at UHR for psychosis recommended both antipsychotics and family therapy. These results may be attributable to recognition on the part of school counselors that antipsychotics have been established as a standard treatment for persons with psychotic symptoms. Additionally, as school counselors are more frequently exposed to information related to familial and environmental problems, they may consider family therapy to be an important resource for treatment. Although low doses of antipsychotics appear to be effective for reducing the severity of the presenting symptoms of UHR subjects, premature recommendations for medication by school counselors may exacerbate a student’s experience of being odd and stigmatized, especially if they are adolescents. Furthermore, there is growing evidence that psychological interventions, such as cognitive-behavioral therapy, and newly emerging treatments, such as omega-3 fatty acids, may be effective in reducing the severity of the symptoms experienced by individuals at UHR for psychosis. These results suggest that Korean school counselors were not familiar with preventive approaches to the development of psychotic disorders or with the importance of early intervention. Thus, we should develop educational materials to raise the awareness of school-based gatekeepers of access to mental health treatment regarding psychosis prevention and help school counselors expand their knowledge about treatment options for those at UHR.

This study has several limitations. First, the use of only one vignette to assess school counselors’ recognition of UHR for psychosis may have led to difficulties in distinguishing this condition from other psychotic disorders. Second, we asked only two categorical questions regarding the diagnosis of, and treatment for, individuals at UHR for psychosis; that is, we did not assess such additional factors as respondents’ experiences with, goals for, and opinions regarding treatment. Future research may benefit from adding vignettes that depict different levels of psychotic symptoms and elicit more information from respondents. Moreover, as there is no “golden standard” treatment for UHR, the range of recommended treatments in our study should be tested for relevance in futures.

In conclusion, this study suggests that Korean school counselors are not sufficiently familiar with the condition known as UHR for psychosis, although they generally recognize the vignette has mental health problems and needs help. To prevent delays in the implementation of appropriate school-based interventions for attenuated psychotic symptoms, school counselors should be provided with proper education and training.
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