Circulating Irisin and Myostatin as Markers of Muscle Strength and Physical Condition in Elderly Subjects
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Background and objective: Aging is a physiological process known to produce changes in body composition, affecting the musculature and leading to decreased muscle strength. Muscle in response to exercise acts as an endocrine organ, producing and releasing myokines such as irisin and myostatin that modulate muscular growth. Here, we aimed to evaluate the effects of low intensity resistance exercise, with or without protein supplementation, on body composition, anthropometric parameters and circulating irisin and myostatin in elderly subjects.

Methods: This is a prospective and controlled clinical trial in which subjects were randomized into 3 groups: (1) control group (n = 20), (2) low intensity resistance exercise group (RE) (n = 14), and (3) low intensity resistance exercise and nutritional support group (RENS) (n = 9). Participants, aged 60–75 years, were studied at baseline and 16 weeks thereafter. Body composition was evaluated through bioelectric impedance. Serum irisin and myostatin was measured using ELISA.

Results: At follow-up, RENS resulted in a significant increase in fat free mass (47.4 ± 7.4 vs. 46.5 ± 7.4, p = 0.046), the calf muscle circumference (36.4 ± 1.3 vs. 32.3 ± 4.3, p = 0.025), and circulating irisin (3 ± 1.1 vs. 2.6 ± 1.3, p = 0.030) compared to baseline. RE resulted in a significant increase in grip strength (17.2 ± 4.6 vs. 15.3 ± 4.6, p = 0.011) and irisin (3.1 ± 0.8 vs. 2.4 ± 0.3, p = 0.011) and decreased walking speed at different distance (p < 0.02). Opposite findings in these parameters were observed in control intervention. In line with these findings, the percent change of calf muscle circumference (p = 0.003) and fat free mass (p < 0.0001) were significantly increased in RENS compared to control, whereas fat mass (p = 0.033) was decreased. Interestingly, in this group, strength was positively correlated with fat free mass (r = 0.782, p = 0.008), and circulating irisin was significantly decreased in...
INTRODUCTION

Aging of population is rapidly accelerating, with dramatic increase of people older than 65 years and a change in the age structure of worldwide population (Christensen et al., 2009). Aging is a physiologic process characterized by a gradual impairment in many body functions and growing risk of disease (Holloszy, 2000), with a decrease in strength, flexibility, aerobic capacity, and force output due to progressive loss of muscle tissue and free fat mass whereas fat mass tend to increase (Vinciguerra et al., 2010).

Evidence from human studies supports the notion that regular, vigorous aerobic exercise is a useful tool, with a dose-response effect, to improve the overall health status and longevity (Teramoto and Bungum, 2010; Ruiz et al., 2011). Exercise stimulates the release of cytokines with autocrine, paracrine and endocrine functions produced in skeletal muscle, termed myokines. Thus, skeletal muscle can be classified as endocrine organ. Irisin and myostatin are myokines involved in adaptations to regular training such as increased muscle mass or muscle hypertrophy. Irisin seems a positive regulator whereas myostatin inhibits muscle growth (Kalinkovichs and Livshi, 2015).

Irisin is the result of proteolytic cleavage product of the fibronectin type III “domain-containing” protein 5 (FDNC5) and acts on skeletal muscle, resulting in increased energy expenditure and oxidative metabolism through the induction of metabolic genes (Li et al., 2017). Previous reports demonstrated anti-diabetic effect of irisin through increased energy expenditure in mice (Boström et al., 2012). Moreover, irisin plays a key role on the skeleton by increasing cortical bone mineral density, modifying its structure and improving bone strength (Colaianni et al., 2015). In humans, contradictory effects of exercise in irisin levels have been reported (Moreno-Navarrete et al., 2013; Lopez-Lagarrea et al., 2014; Shi et al., 2016). While higher irisin plasma levels after exercise in humans have been described, Timmons et al. (2012) using gene expression arrays, failed to detect a robust and consistent increase in FNDC5 mRNA in human muscle biopsies after exercise. The threshold of exercise required to produce these effects might be crucial, because acute exercise has been demonstrated to result in increased serum irisin concentrations in humans (Huh et al., 2012; Anastasilakis et al., 2014). Lower circulating irisin is a marker of muscle weakness and atrophy and is associated with total muscle mass (Huh et al., 2012; Kim et al., 2015; Chang et al., 2017). Taken together, these data suggest that resistance exercise may result in increased circulating irisin.

Myostatin, also known as growth differentiation factor 8, is a secreted TGF-β superfamily protein that is expressed in skeletal muscle, controlling myoblast proliferation, but being a potent negative regulator of skeletal muscle growth and development (Lee, 2004). Myostatin is synthesized as a 376 amino acid (aa) preprotein that consists of 24 aa signal peptide, a 243 aa propeptide, and a 109 aa mature protein (McPherron et al., 1997). The secreted proprotein is cleaved by BMP-1 family proteases to separate the propeptide from the bioactive mature protein (Lee and McPherron, 2001; Zimmers et al., 2002; Wolfaman et al., 2003). This cleavage results in a latent complex containing a disulfide-linked dimer of the mature protein and two associated propeptides (Lee and McPherron, 2001; Zimmers et al., 2002), in which the myostatin propeptide inhibits the active form.

In the current study, we aimed to investigate the association between resistance exercise and circulating levels of irisin and myostatin in elderly subjects (more than 60 years).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects’ Recruitment

This is a prospective and controlled clinical trial in which subjects were randomized into 3 groups: (1) control group (n = 15), (2) resistance exercise group (RE) (n = 14), and (3) resistance exercise nutritional support group (RENS) (n = 9) during 16 weeks. To increase the sample size of control group, five additional patients were recruited. Randomization was done with the EPIDAT 4.0 program. The subjects (men and women aged 60–75 years) residing in province of Girona, Spain; users of civic centers and primary health care center were invited to participate. Those who accepted were enrolled after giving written informed consent, being studied at baseline and after 16 weeks. This study excluded subjects who (i) were known to have associated chronic diseases (i.e., type 2 diabetes poorly controlled); (ii) were under treatment that could cause myopathy; and (iii) suffer from dementia, cognitive limitation, respiratory impairment or malnutrition (IMC <17 kg/m2, involuntary weight loss >10% and albumin levels <2.5 g/dl).

The night before the visit, all participants followed their usual diet. The post-intervention visit was performed a week after the last session of exercise. For each patient the following measurements at baseline and at post-intervention visit were carried out: (i) Analysis of the biochemical parameters at fasting state; (ii) Anthropometric measurements: brachial circumference, arm circumference, calf circumference, abdominal circumference, tricipital fold; (iii) Fragility test; (iv) Maximal voluntary contraction with handheld dynamometer; and (v) Muscle mass with bioimpedance analysis.

Those participants with strength loss at the end of the study (p = 0.002). No significant correlation between circulating irisin and myostatin in any group was observed.

Conclusion: Circulating irisin, but not myostatin, constitutes a marker for improved muscular performance in elderly subjects.
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Exercise Program

The exercise program lasted 16 weeks and followed the basic principles of the training: (1) loading principle, (2) progression, (3) specificity and individuality, and (4) recovery [American college of sport medicine position stand (1998)]. The subjects performed two weekly sessions of approximately 45 min, with a minimum day of rest between sessions. The session consisted of 3 parts: (i) Warm up with stretching and joint mobilization exercise; (ii) Main part where the resistance exercises will be worked out: 4 upper body exercises and 3 lower body exercises; (iii) Return to the calm with stretching and relaxation. The first weeks of the program were for the anatomical adaptation and the knowledge for the exercises execution; later and progressively increased the load and the volume. All subjects were directly supervised by the physical educator who was in charge.

Nutritional Intervention

The individuals in the control group (C) and the resistance exercise group (RE) followed their usual diet. Individuals in the resistance exercise and nutritional support group (RENS) followed a balanced and varied diet. A protein intake of 0.8–1 g of protein per kg of weight was guaranteed, distributed in 3 meals per day (breakfast/lunch/dinner). The protein intake was calculated from three 24-h reminders as previously reported (Wu et al., 2017). Dietary advice was given to follow a diet enriched with proteins and also was provided with powdered protein (calcium caseinate, T. Aliment – Espècies Teixidor, Barcelona, Spain).

Nutritional Support

The 10 g of protein (calcium caseinate) powder diluted in water or juice was taken daily at breakfast and twice week additional 10 g of protein administration just after exercise performance.

Analytical Methods

Serum glucose, glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c), serum insulin, total cholesterol, LDL and HDL cholesterol, triglycerides, were determined as described elsewhere (Moreno-Navarrete et al., 2013). C-reactive protein (ultrasensitive assay; 110 Beckman, Fullerton, CA, United States) was determined by a routine laboratory test. Leucocytes, neutrophils and lymphocytes cell count and albumin and creatinine measurement was performed by routine laboratory analysis. Irisin were determined using Irisin ELISA Kit (Catalog Number RAG018R, BIOVENDOR, Brno, Czechia). According to manufacturer information, this ELISA is specific for the measurement of natural and recombinant irisin in human samples, and it does not cross-react with FNDC4, human adiponectin, human Nampt, human RBP4, human clusterin, human leptin, human vaspin, human GPX3, human resistin, human ACE2, human lipocalin-2, human ANGPTL3, human ANGPTL6, human DNER, human DLK1, human calreticulin, and human IL-33. Myostatin concentrations were measured by Quantikine®ELISA GDF-8 / Myostatin Immunoassay (Catalog Number DGDF80, R&D Systems, Inc., Minneapolis, MN, United States). In both irisin and myostatin ELISA, intra- and interassay coefficients of variation were lower than 10%. Irisin was analyzed in all participants from RE and RENS group, but only in first recruited control (n = 15) subjects. Otherwise myostatin, which was measured several months after the end of the study, was analyzed in all participants.

Statistical Analyses

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 12.0 software. Unless otherwise stated, descriptive results of continuous variables are expressed as mean and SD for Gaussian variables or median and interquartile range. The relation between variables was analyzed by simple correlation (Spearman’s test). One factor ANOVA with post hocBonferroni test and paired t-test were used to compare categorical parameters. Levels of statistical significance were set at p < 0.05.

RESULTS

The current study included 20 participants (18 women and 2 men) in C, 14 participants (12 women and 2 men) in RE and 9 participants (only women) in RENS, with an age range of 60–75 years-old (Table 1). Mean age was significantly higher in RENS compared to RE group (71.2 ± 3.3 vs. 64.9 ± 5.5, p = 0.02) (Table 1).

Changes in body composition, anthropometric and physical condition parameters at baseline and at the end of study in each group were shown in Table 1. At baseline, no significant differences were found between control and intervention groups (Table 1).

Compared to C, RENS resulted in increased percent change of fat free mass kg and calf circumference and in decreased percent change of fat mass kg (Figure 1A). RE also resulted in increased percent change of fat free mass kg compared to C (p = 0.027) (Figure 1A).

In C group, a significant decrease in waist and calf circumference, fat free mass, HbA1c, serum myostatin and speed at 3 m, but in the context of increased fat mass was found (Tables 1, 2). In RE group, a significant increase in strength and circulating irisin, and a decrease in speed at 2.44, 4.5, and 3 m was found (Tables 1, 2). In RENS group, a significant increase in muscle arm circumference, calf circumference, fat free mass and circulating irisin was observed (Tables 1, 2). Interestingly, in this group, strength was positively correlated with fat free mass [(r = 0.782, p = 0.008), Table 3].

Since mean age in RENS was higher than in RE, multivariate regression analysis was performed after adjusting for age. This analysis indicated that fat-free mass (β = 0.850, p = 0.004)
### Table 1 | Evolution of body composition, anthropometric parameters walking speed and strength.

|                            | Control (n = 20) | RE (n = 14) | RENS (n = 9) | P inter** At baseline | P inter** At follow-up |
|---------------------------|-----------------|-------------|--------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
|                           | At baseline     | At follow-up | p intra *    |                        |                         |
| Age (years)               | 66.4 (±4.6)     | 64.9 (±5.5)  | 0.530        |                        |                         |
| Weight (kg)               | 71 (±14.3)      | 68.9 (±14.2) | 0.444        |                        |                         |
| BMI (kg/m²)               | 28.9 (±4.6)     | 29.1 (±4.8)  | 0.505        |                        |                         |
| Waist perimeter (cm)      | 93 (±11.9)      | 92.8 (±14.4) | 0.837        |                        |                         |
| Arm circumference (cm)    | 31.6 (±4)       | 31 (±2.3)    | 0.243        |                        |                         |
| Muscle arm circumference (cm) | 23.6 (±2.7) | 22.5 (±2)    | 0.088        |                        |                         |
| Abdominal circumference (cm) | 105 (±11)    | 92 (±10)     | 0.287        |                        |                         |
| Calf circumference (cm)   | 33.1 (±3)       | 33 (±2.4)    | 0.504        |                        |                         |
| Basal metabolism (kcal)   | 1372 (±218)     | 1307 (±254)  | 0.824        |                        |                         |
| Fat mass (kg)             | 25.1 (±8.6)     | 24.8 (±8.5)  | 0.763        |                        |                         |
| % Fat mass                | 34.9 (±5.2)     | 36.3 (±6.6)  | 0.665        |                        |                         |
| Fat free mass (kg)        | 46 (±7.5)       | 43.8 (±9.5)  | 0.733        |                        |                         |
| % Fat free mass           | 65.5 (±5.2)     | 63.1 (±6.8)  | 0.909        |                        |                         |
| Total water (%)           | 45.4 (±3.4)     | 45.3 (±4.6)  | 0.6781       |                        |                         |
| Total water (kg)          | 31.8 (±4.9)     | 30.5 (±6.6)  | 0.696        |                        |                         |
| Speed at 2.44 m           | 3.2 (±0.75)     | 3.5 (±0.7)   | 0.005        |                        |                         |
| Speed at 4.5 m            | 5.6 (±1.2)      | 5.9 (±0.9)   | 0.013        |                        |                         |
| Speed at 3 m              | 3.7 (±0.7)      | 3.89 (±0.7)  | 0.003        |                        |                         |
| Grip strength (kg)        | 16.7 (±4.9)     | 15.3 (±4.6)  | 0.011        |                        |                         |

*p-value applying T student for matched data. **p-value applying one-way anova. *p = 0.03 compared to C. †p = 0.02 compared to RE. Bolded values mean statistical significance (p < 0.05).
The study showed a percentage of change in force of 2.9% in C group, of 16% in RE and 4% in RENS \((p = 0.181)\). In RE group, the gain of strength expressed in kg significantly increased from an at baseline strength (from 15.3 to 17.2 kg, \(p = 0.011\)), and in RENS group also tended to increase (from 16.8 to 17.8 kg, \(p = 0.185\)). Moreover, in RENS group, the force was positively correlated with kg of fat-free mass (\(p = 0.008\)), kg of muscle mass (\(p = 0.008\)), kg of bone (\(p = 0.008\)) and kg protein mass (\(p = 0.009\)) (Tables 1, 3).

Of note, in RENS group, circulating irisin was significantly decreased in those participants with decreased arm strength at the end of the study (Figure 1B).

No significant correlation between circulating irisin and myostatin in any group was observed (Table 4).

At baseline, no significant correlation between circulating irisin and anthropometrical and clinical parameters were observed. Circulating myostatin was positively correlated with weight, muscle arm circumference, basal metabolism, fat-free mass, total water and negatively correlated with C-reactive protein (Table 5). In control group, circulating myostatin was positively correlated with speed at 2.44 m (Figure 2).

At follow up, no significant associations among irisin, anthropometrical and clinical parameters in control (Table 6), RE (Table 7) or RENS (Table 8) were found. In control group, circulating myostatin was positively correlated BMI, abdominal circumference, total water, HOMA, triglycerides, C-reactive protein (Table 6), but these associations were not observed in RE (Table 7) or RENS groups (Table 8).

The walking speed decreased significantly in C and RE group, moving from 3.75 to 3.4 m/s at the end \((p = 0.016)\) in C and from 3.9 m/s to a at follow-up speed of 3.2 m/s \((p = 0.003)\) in RE, but not in RENS, in which walking speed change from 3.9 m/s to a at follow-up speed of 3.8 m/s \((p = 0.791)\) (Table 2).

**DISCUSSION**

In this clinical trial, the impact of low-intensity resistance exercise and nutritional assistance program on body composition, anthropometric parameters, strength, speed of motion and myokines were examined in elderly people.

**Body Composition and Anthropometric Parameters**

Current data confirmed that low intensity exercising program and nutritional assistance prevented muscle deterioration in people aged 60–75 years old. Exercise improves muscle mass and contributes to the maintenance of daily life activities (Löllgen et al., 2009). Both aerobic and resistance exercise delay the deterioration of the muscles, but resistance exercise seems the most effective (Visvanathan and Chapman, 2010). Here, we
### TABLE 2 | Clinical and biochemical parameters in study cohort.

|                     | Control (n = 20) | RE (n = 14) | RENS (n = 9) | P inter** At baseline | P inter** At follow-up |
|---------------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
|                     | At baseline      | At follow-up| p intra*    | At baseline           | At follow-up          | p intra*                |
| Glucose (mg/dl)     | 110 (±33)        | 109 (±19)   | 0.878       | 104 (±26)             | 102 (±22)             | 0.613                   |
| Insulin (µIU/ml)    | 7.2 (±15)        | 7.1 (±4)    | 0.954       | 14.1 (±23)            | 7.6 (±4.8)            | 0.295                   |
| HbA1c (%)           | 5.8 (±0.5)       | 5.7 (±0.5)  | 0.008       | 5.8 (±0.8)            | 6 (±0.5)              | 0.088                   |
| HOMA                | 2.8 (±2.5)       | 2 (±1.4)    | 0.243       | 5.3 (±10.7)           | 2 (±1.6)              | 0.297                   |
| Albumin (g/dl)      | 4.5 (±0.2)       | 4.4 (±0.2)  | 0.043       | 4.5 (±0.2)            | 4.4 (±0.2)            | 0.497                   |
| Protein (g/dl)      | 7.1 (±0.3)       | 7.1 (±0.3)  | 0.523       | 7.1 (±0.3)            | 7 (±0.3)              | 0.088                   |
| Total cholesterol (mg/dl) | 210 (±30)   | 203 (±30)   | 0.073       | 224 (±56)             | 227 (±68)             | 0.728                   |
| HDL-cholesterol (mg/dl) | 65 (±14)   | 61 (±14)    | 0.110       | 61 (±14)              | 62 (±15)              | 0.805                   |
| LDL-cholesterol (mg/dl) | 125 (±28)  | 120 (±128)  | 0.192       | 141 (±52)             | 144 (±60)             | 0.755                   |
| Triglycerides (mg/dl) | 101 (±36)   | 105 (±38)   | 0.570       | 117 (±76)             | 122 (±94)             | 0.453                   |
| C-reactive protein (mg/dl) | 2.2 (±3)  | 1.5 (±3)    | 0.642       | 0.7 (±1.3)            | 0.8 (±2.4)            | 0.858                   |
| Leucocytes          | 6.8 (±2.3)       | 6.1 (±1.4)  | 0.128       | 5.7 (±1.7)            | 6 (±2)               | 0.141                   |
| Neutrophils         | 3825 (±1910)    | 3310 (±1042)| 0.134       | 3100 (±1204)          | 3240 (±1382)          | 0.348                   |
| Lymphocytes         | 2059 (±608)     | 2047 (±587) | 0.482       | 2000 (±633)           | 1866 (±588)           | 0.191                   |
| Irisin (µg/ml)³     | 3.1 (±0.9)       | 3.5 (±1.1)  | 0.127       | 2.4 (±0.3)            | 3.1 (±0.8)            | 0.011                   |
| Myostatin (ng/dl)   | 2.5 (1.8–3.1)   | 1.9 (1.5–2.3)| 0.003      | 1.37 (1.2–2.2)        | 2 (1.4–2.5)           | 0.203                   |

* p-value applying T student for matched data. ** p-value applying one-way anova. ³ In control group was analyzed only in 15 participants (as explained in methods), whereas in RE and RENS was analyzed in all participants. Bolded values mean statistical significance (p < 0.05).
showed that 16-week low intensity resistance program produced significant changes on body composition (fat mass, percent fat mass, fat-free mass, muscle mass kg and the calf circumference). These results were expected and are in agreement with previous studies (Liao et al., 2017), and indicated that low intensity resistance training promotes musculature improvement, is a useful and simple therapeutic approach to prevent sarcopenia, and when was combined with protein supplementation these beneficial effects were enhanced (Liao et al., 2017). However, resistance training presents some limitations (Morgan, 2012; Malafarina et al., 2013; Yu, 2015). For instance, one important limitation was the rapid loss of beneficial effects, such as the gain of muscle mass (in the study the improvement is 0.7% \( p < 0.001 \)) and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises aims to inform to the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises informs the subject and adherence to exercise. The study’s approach of performing low-intensity resistance exercises inform...
TABLE 5 | Bivariate correlations between anthropometrical and clinical parameters and circulating irisin and myostatin in all participants at baseline.

|                      | Irisin  | Myostatin |
|----------------------|---------|-----------|
|                      | r       | p         | r       | p         |
| Weight (kg)          | -0.039  | 0.809     | 0.316   | 0.039     |
| BMI (kg/m²)          | 0.009   | 0.955     | 0.198   | 0.203     |
| Arm circumference (cm)| -0.201  | 0.207     | 0.285   | 0.064     |
| Muscle arm circumference (cm)| -0.174  | 0.276     | 0.396   | 0.009     |
| Waist perimeter (cm) | 0.113   | 0.506     | 0.040   | 0.806     |
| Abdominal circumference (cm) | 0.033  | 0.878     | 0.255   | 0.191     |
| Calf circumference (cm) | 0.094  | 0.621     | 0.180   | 0.316     |
| Basal metabolism (kcal) | -0.019  | 0.909     | 0.365   | 0.016     |
| Fat mass (kg)        | -0.070  | 0.622     | 0.144   | 0.357     |
| %Fat mass            | -0.128  | 0.430     | 0.053   | 0.741     |
| Fat free mass (kg)   | 0.044   | 0.785     | 0.358   | 0.018     |
| %Fat free mass       | 0.194   | 0.224     | -0.028  | 0.858     |
| Total water (kg)     | 0.042   | 0.794     | 0.364   | 0.017     |
| %Total water         | 0.154   | 0.336     | -0.077  | 0.623     |
| Speed at 2.44 m (seconds) | -0.018  | 0.912     | 0.258   | 0.095     |
| Speed at 4.55 m (seconds) | 0.165  | 0.310     | -0.086  | 0.588     |
| Speed at 3 m (seconds) | -0.144  | 0.370     | 0.106   | 0.498     |
| Grip strength (kg)   | 0.264   | 0.096     | -0.048  | 0.761     |
| Glucose (mg/dl)      | -0.036  | 0.829     | -0.071  | 0.665     |
| Insulina (µIU/ml)    | -0.164  | 0.339     | -0.192  | 0.218     |
| HbA1c (%)            | 0.146   | 0.368     | -0.181  | 0.249     |
| HOMA                  | -0.070  | 0.698     | -0.163  | 0.316     |
| Albumin (g/dl)       | 0.153   | 0.358     | 0.023   | 0.890     |
| Protein (g/dl)       | -0.102  | 0.535     | -0.197  | 0.212     |
| Total cholesterol (mg/dl) | -0.044  | 0.784     | -0.107  | 0.494     |
| HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) | -0.058  | 0.718     | 0.008   | 0.968     |
| LDL cholesterol (mg/dl) | -0.107  | 0.513     | -0.008  | 0.580     |
| Tryglicerides (mg/dl) | 0.144   | 0.369     | -0.086  | 0.582     |
| C-reactive protein (mg/dl) | 0.249  | 0.126     | -0.388  | 0.012     |
| Leucocytes            | -0.187  | 0.254     | -0.039  | 0.808     |
| Neutrophils           | 0.079   | 0.635     | -0.066  | 0.681     |
| Lymphocytes           | -0.159  | 0.335     | -0.200  | 0.209     |

Bold values mean statistical significance (p < 0.05).

Myostatin increases with age, although differences in total vs. active form have not been well investigated (Yarasheski et al., 2002). The current study, that analyzed serum myostatin concentrations before and after the resistance exercise program, found results that are contradictory with those reported in the literature. In the control group, circulating myostatin concentration decreased significantly after 16 weeks, in parallel to decreased fat free mass, whereas, in RE and RENS group, myostatin remained unchanged after the exercise, and fat free mass increased. These findings were in hard contrast to previous studies that demonstrated negative effects of myostatin in muscle mass development (McPherron et al., 1997; Lee and McPherron, 2001; Zimmers et al., 2002; Wolfaman et al., 2003; Lee, 2004). Possibly, in control group, a reduction of myostatin causes significant decrease in primary fibers of skeletal muscle, which is associated with increased fatigue susceptibility (Hennebry et al., 2009), indicating physical condition decline. In line with current data, in a recent study low serum myostatin levels have been associated with low skeletal muscle (Peng et al., 2018). Muscular force exercise is known to inhibit local myostatin (Brotto and Abreu, 2012). However, Laksmi et al. (2017) observed increased secretion of myostatin in blood in response to exercise. As, the antibodies used to detect myostatin (current ELISA) did not distinguish between the active or latent form, further research is needed. Irisin is a myokine produced by the proteolytic cleavage of the membrane-protein, fibronectin type III “domain-containing” protein-5 (FNDC5) (Boström et al., 2012). It is regulated by peroxisome proliferator activated receptor (PPAR) and peroxisome proliferator activated receptor γ co-activator-1-α (PGC1α). It is suggested that irisin may act in some of the beneficial effects of exercise.

Myokinés. Myostatin is a hormone that is mainly expressed in the muscle and inhibits the formation of muscle mass. Myostatin is generated as a precursor protein that requires the proteolytic division to release the N-terminal and the C-terminal propeptide. The active form of myostatin is a disulfide dimer attached to the C-terminal fragment (White and LeBrasseur, 2014).
by inducing the uncoupling protein one (UCP-1), that afterward increases the energy expenditure of white adipocytes, process called “browning.” This process is characterized by a switch of white to beige adipose tissue in response to β-adrenergic stimuli (exposure to cold and physical activity) (Novelle et al., 2013). In humans, the effect of exercise on irisin production has provided contradictory findings (Huh et al., 2012; Lecker et al., 2012; Timmons et al., 2012). Huh et al. (2012) correlated the decrease in the circulating irisin concentration with the loss of muscle mass associated to aging. A recent study by Chang et al. (2017) concluded that a low blood concentration of irisin was a sensitive molecular marker for muscle weakness and atrophy. In postmenopausal women, decreased serum irisin concentration is an independent predictor of sarcopenia (Park et al., 2018). In fact, irisin has been proposed as a molecule that combines beneficial effects for treating osteoporosis and muscular atrophy through its effects restoring bone and preventing muscle wasting (Kim et al., 2015; Colaianni et al., 2017).

In agreement with these studies, current findings indicated that RE and RENS resulted in increased circulating irisin in parallel to strength and walking speed in elderly subjects. In fact, decreased circulating irisin was significantly associated with strength loss at the end of the study (Figure 1B). Thus, irisin could be a potential biomarker of muscle dysfunction (Chang et al., 2017).

In relation to protein supplementation, several studies indicated that protein supplementation improved the adaptations of resistance exercise (Burke et al., 2001; Cadow et al., 2006; Cribb et al., 2006; Hulmi et al., 2009; Volek et al., 2013;
The effects of protein supplementation do not have the same effects as isolated supplementation with amino acids. Morton et al. (2017) suggest that one of the mechanisms responsible for the enhancement of skeletal muscle could be explained for the improvement of the anabolism of skeletal muscle. These positive effects of protein supplementation do not have the same effects as isolated supplementation with amino acids (Bird et al., 2006; Vieillevoye et al., 2010; Aguiar et al., 2017). In line with all these studies, current findings confirmed an improvement in fat-free mass in the exercise group with cow milk protein supplementation. Even though, this improvement was not so marked as that described in the literature, probably due to relative low protein supplementation (10 g of protein every day and 20 g during exercise days) did not reach the threshold to promote anabolism of muscle protein in older people (Traylor et al., 2018). An important consideration is that the attachment to nutritional support was not homogeneous in all participants.

Resistance exercise and nutritional support resulted in improved anthropometric parameters (muscle arm circumference, calf circumference, basal metabolism and fat free mass) compared with RE intervention. The improvement in walking speed and grip strength was not so marked in the RENS group compared to RE intervention. These differences could be due to significant increased mean age in RENS compared to RE subjects.

**CONCLUSION**

A program of physical exercise of low intensity resistance, together with a nutritional support, improved the deterioration of the musculature in people aged 60–75. Circulating irisin, but not myostatin, constituted a marker for improved muscle strength after resistance exercise in elderly subjects.
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**TABLE 8** Bivariate correlations between anthropometrical and clinical parameters and circulating irisin and myostatin in resistance exercise and nutritional support group at follow-up.

|                | Irisin          | Myostatin       |
|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                | r   | p    | r   | p    |
| Weight (kg)    | -0.233 | 0.546 | 0.517 | 0.154 |
| BMI (kg/m²)    | -0.267 | 0.488 | 0.150 | 0.700 |
| Arm circumference (cm) | -0.400 | 0.286 | 0.033 | 0.932 |
| Muscle arm circumference (cm) | -0.517 | 0.154 | 0.717 | 0.030 |
| Waist perimeter (cm) | 0.133 | 0.732 | 0.467 | 0.205 |
| Abdominal circumference (cm) | -0.143 | 0.736 | 0.238 | 0.570 |
| Calf circumference (cm) | 0.554 | 0.154 | -0.289 | 0.487 |
| Basal metabolism (kcal) | 0.193 | 0.637 | 0.350 | 0.356 |
| Fat mass (kg)  | -0.250 | 0.516 | 0.133 | 0.722 |
| %Fat mass      | -0.350 | 0.356 | -0.150 | 0.700 |
| Fat free mass (kg) | 0.193 | 0.637 | 0.350 | 0.356 |
| % Fat free mass | 0.350 | 0.356 | 0.150 | 0.700 |
| Total water (kg) | 0.083 | 0.831 | -0.117 | 0.765 |
| %Total water   | 0.343 | 0.366 | 0.142 | 0.715 |
| Speed at 2.44 m (seconds) | -0.550 | 0.125 | 0.267 | 0.488 |
| Speed at 4.55 m (seconds) | -0.483 | 0.187 | 0.300 | 0.433 |
| Speed at 3 m (seconds) | -0.583 | 0.099 | 0.183 | 0.637 |
| Grip strength (kg) | 0.467 | 0.205 | 0.250 | 0.516 |
| Glucose (mg/dl) | 0.633 | 0.067 | -0.133 | 0.732 |
| Insulina (µIU/ml) | 0.450 | 0.224 | -0.150 | 0.700 |
| HbA1c (%)      | 0.611 | 0.081 | -0.569 | 0.110 |
| HOMA           | 0.450 | 0.224 | -0.150 | 0.700 |
| Albumin (g/dl) | 0.061 | 0.877 | 0.087 | 0.325 |
| Protein (g/dl) | -0.042 | 0.915 | 0.244 | 0.527 |
| Total cholesterol (mg/dl) | 0.276 | 0.472 | -0.377 | 0.318 |
| HDL cholesterol (mg/dl) | -0.159 | 0.683 | -0.460 | 0.213 |
| LDL cholesterol (mg/dl) | 0.200 | 0.606 | -0.150 | 0.700 |
| Tryglicerides (mg/dl) | 0.483 | 0.187 | -0.233 | 0.546 |
| C-reactive protein (mg/dl) | 0.310 | 0.417 | -0.870 | 0.002 |
| Leucocytes      | 0.500 | 0.207 | -0.381 | 0.352 |
| Neutrophils     | 0.790 | 0.020 | -0.323 | 0.435 |
| Lymphocytes     | -0.211 | 0.586 | -0.270 | 0.482 |

Bold values mean statistical significance (p < 0.05).
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