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Abstract

We consider a random Schrödinger operator in an external magnetic field. The random potential consists of delta functions of random strengths situated on the sites of a regular two-dimensional lattice. We characterize the spectrum in the lowest $N$ Landau bands of this random Hamiltonian when the magnetic field is sufficiently strong, depending on $N$. We show that the spectrum in these bands is entirely pure point, that the energies coinciding with the Landau levels are infinitely degenerate and that the eigenfunctions corresponding to energies in the remainder of the spectrum are localized with a uniformly bounded localization length. By relating the Hamiltonian to a lattice operator we are able to use the Aizenman-Molchanov method to prove localization.
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1 Introduction

Recently there has been progress in the theory of Anderson localization for two dimensional continuous models of an electron moving in a random potential and a uniform magnetic field ([1], [2], [3], [4]). In these works it is established that the states at the edges of the Landau bands are exponentially localized and the corresponding energies form a pure point spectrum. However, the nature of the generalized eigenfunctions of the Schrödinger operator for energies near the centre of the Landau bands has not been established. A first step towards the resolution of this problem was made in [5] for a Hamiltonian restricted to the first Landau band with a random potential consisting of point impurities with random strength and located on the sites of a square lattice. There it was shown that, for a sufficiently strong magnetic field, all the eigenstates are localized except for a single energy at the centre of the band. This energy is an infinitely degenerate eigenvalue with probability one.

In the present paper we extend the results of [5] to a similar model where the restriction to the lowest Landau band is removed. The technique used here is different and yields much stronger results. Formally the Hamiltonian of the electron is given by

\[ H = H_0 + \sum_n v_n \delta(r - n), \]

where

\[ H_0 = \frac{1}{2} (-i \nabla - A(r))^2, \]

\[ A(r) = \frac{1}{2} (r \times B) \]

and \( v_n \), the strengths of the impurities which are located on the sites \( n \) of a two-dimensional square lattice, are i.i.d. random variables. It is well known that the definition of Hamiltonians with point scatterer in more than one dimension is delicate and requires a renormalization procedure. This is the subject of Section 2.

The main results of this paper are the following. Let \( E_n = (n + \frac{1}{2}) \frac{B}{2}, n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \), be the Landau levels corresponding to the kinetic part, \( H_0 \), of the Hamiltonian. Given an integer \( N \), there exists \( B_0(N) \) such that for \( B > B_0(N) \), the spectrum is completely characterized for energies \( E < E_N \). We show that for \( n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots N - 1 \), the Landau levels \( E_n \) are infinitely degenerate eigenvalues of \( H \) with probability one. All other energies in this part of the spectrum correspond to exponentially localized eigenfunctions with a localization length which is uniformly bounded as a function of the energy. Thus the localization length does not diverge at the centres of the bands when the magnetic field is strong enough, at least for the lower bands. Our analysis breaks down for energies greater than \( E_N \) and in fact we expect a different behaviour for high energies.

There is an extensive literature on the problem of point scatterers with a magnetic field, but it appears that little is known on the rigorous level for the two-dimensional random case considered here. For the periodic case, that is, when all the \( v_n \)'s are identical, we refer the reader to the review [6] and the references therein. The case when the potential is periodic in the \( x \)-direction and random in the \( y \)-direction has been discussed recently in [7]. Finally the density of states for models similar to ours
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with a restriction to the first Landau level has been computed analytically in [8] (see also [9] which deals with the existence of Lifshitz tails). The infinite degeneracy of the Landau levels had already been noticed in various ways in the past ([10], [8], [11]). For example in [8] it appears as a delta function in the density of states of the first level. The result suggests that it is in fact macroscopic, in other words, there is a positive density per unit volume. Our results characterize completely the rest of the spectrum and also give information about the localization length.

Let us say a few words about the method used to arrive at these results. The scatterers in (1.1) are similar to rank one perturbations of the kinetic energy so that by using the resolvent identity one can express the Green’s function corresponding to $H$ in terms of the Green’s function of the kinetic energy and a matrix which contains all the randomness. Thus the problem is reduced completely to the study of this random matrix which has random elements on the diagonal and rapidly decaying non-random off-diagonal elements. It turns out that the method invented by Aizenman and Molchanov [12] is very well suited to study the decay of eigenvectors of this matrix. These eigenvectors are related by an explicit formula to the eigenfunctions of $H$ in such a way that exponential decay of the former implies exponential decay of the latter. In fact it follows from the structure of the random matrix that, in the strong magnetic field regime, the off-diagonal elements are much smaller than the diagonal elements, and this is true even for energies near the band centres. Therefore our problem is analogous to the high disorder regime in the usual Anderson model and this is the reason why we have access to the whole spectrum.

It is instructive to discuss the physical implications of our results in the context of the quantum Hall effect. A basic ingredient used to explain the occurrence of plateaux in the Hall conductivity is the localization of electrons due to the random potential. This has been established in a mathematically precise way in [13] (see also [14]), by assuming the existence of localized states. Usually it is difficult to obtain quantitative results on the localization length. The Network Model of Chalker and Coddington [15] and numerical simulations [10] suggest that it is finite except at the band centres where it diverges like $|E - E_n|^{-\nu}$ with $\nu \approx 2 \cdot 35$ for the first few $n$’s. In the Network Model one must work with smooth equipotential lines of the random potential so that it is difficult to compare to our situation. The model in this paper has been treated numerically only in a regime where the magnetic length, which is of the order of $B^{-1/2}$, is much greater than the average spacing between impurities. The regime covered by our analysis is such that the magnetic length is smaller than the average spacing between impurities, and we prove that there is no divergence in the localization length at least for the first few bands. One might think that this means that there is no quantum Hall effect in this regime. However this is not the case because the energy at the band centre is an infinitely degenerate eigenvalue. One can compute explicitly the eigenprojector associated to each degenerate eigenvalue and check that the corresponding Chern number is equal to unity [16]. From this result and the equivalence between Hall conductivity and Chern number, when the Fermi level lies in the region of localized states or in a spectral gap, we conclude that the Hall conductivity takes a non-zero quantized value equal to the number of Landau levels below the Fermi energy. This has made mathematically precise in [13] (see also [14] and [17]).
The picture which emerges out of the combination of our analytical results with those of simulations is that in the present model one has to distinguish at least two regimes. In the first one, the magnetic length is much greater than the spacing between impurities: the localization length diverges and there is no degenerate eigenvalue at the band centres. In the second the magnetic length is much smaller than the spacing between impurities: the localization length does not diverge and there is a degenerate eigenvalue at the band centres. Whether there exists one or more intermediate regimes or not is an open question. It is instructive to note that in the model studied in [8], it turns out that, at the level of the density of states, one must also distinguish between various regimes, more than two in fact. Finally, we wish to stress that the quantized Hall plateaux exist in both regimes and that an interesting open question is whether the different behaviour of the localization length is reflected in the transition between two successive Hall plateaux.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give the precise definition of the model and the Hamiltonian and also collect useful Green’s function identities. Our main theorem (Theorem 2.2) is stated at the end of this section. The infinite degeneracy of the first \( N(B) \) Landau levels is proved in Section 3 and the spectrum is characterized as a set. The connection between generalized eigenfunctions of \( H \) and eigenvectors of the random matrix is established in Section 4. Finally, the Aizenman-Molchanov method is applied in Section 5 where the proof of our main theorem is completed. The appendices contain more technical material.

2 Definition of the Hamiltonian

In this section we define our Hamiltonian. It is well known that Hamiltonians with \( \delta \)-function potentials in dimensions greater than one require renormalization. This was first done rigorously in [11]. The magnetic field case was developed in [6]. We refer the reader also to [18] though this does not deal explicitly with the case of a magnetic field.

Let \( \omega_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}[i] \equiv \{ n_1 + in_2 : (n_1, n_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \} \), the Gaussian integers, be i.i.d. random variables. We shall assume that their distribution is given by an absolutely continuous probability measure \( \mu_0 \) whose support is an interval \( X = [-a, a] \) with \( 0 < a < \infty \). We require that \( \mu_0 \) is symmetric about the origin and that its density \( \rho_0 \) is differentiable on \( (-a, a) \) and satisfies the following condition

\[
\sup_{\zeta \in (0,a)} \frac{\rho'_0(\zeta)}{\rho_0(\zeta)} < \infty. \tag{2.1}
\]

These conditions on \( \mu_0 \) can be weakened, but we have chosen the above because they allow us to check the regularity of the distribution of \( 1/\omega_n \), in the sense of [12] very simply. We let \( \Omega = X^\mathbb{Z}[i] \) and \( \mathbb{P} = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}[i]} \mu_0 \). For \( m \in \mathbb{Z}[i] \) let \( \tau_m \) be the measure preserving automorphism of \( \Omega \) defined by

\[
(\tau_m \omega)_n = \omega_{n-m}. \tag{2.2}
\]

The group \( \{ \tau_m : m \in \mathbb{Z}[i] \} \) is ergodic for the probability measure \( \mathbb{P} \).
Let $H = L^2(\mathbb{C})$ and let $H_0$ be the operator on $H$ defined by

$$H_0 = (1/8\kappa)(-i\nabla - A(z))^2 - 1/2$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.3)

where $A(z) = (-2\kappa \mathcal{I}z, 2\kappa \mathcal{R}z)$. Here $\kappa = B/4$ and $H_0$ is the same as the Hamiltonian in (1.2) apart from the multiplicative constant $1/8\kappa$ and the shift by $1/2$ which are inserted for convenience so that the Landau levels coincide with the set of non-negative integers, $\mathbb{N}_0$. Let $H_m$ be the eigenspace corresponding to the $m$th Landau level of the Hamiltonian $H_0$ defined in (2.3) and let $P_m$ be the orthogonal projection onto $H_m$. The projection $P_m$ is an integral operator with kernel

$$P_m(z, z') = L_m(2\kappa |z - z'|^2)P_0(z, z'),$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.4)

where $L_m$ is the Laguerre polynomial of order $m$ and

$$P_0(z, z') = \frac{2\kappa}{\pi} \exp[-\kappa |z - z'|^2 - 2i\kappa z \wedge z'],$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.5)

with $z \wedge z' = \mathcal{R}z \mathcal{I}z' - \mathcal{I}z \mathcal{R}z'$, $\mathcal{R}z$ and $\mathcal{I}z$ being the real and imaginary parts of $z$ respectively.

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{N}_0$, let $G_0^\lambda = (H_0 - \lambda)^{-1}$, the resolvent of $H_0$ at $\lambda$. $G_0^\lambda$ has kernel (cf [3])

$$G_0^\lambda(z, z') = \Gamma(-\lambda)P_0(z, z')U(\lambda, 1, 2\kappa |z - z'|^2),$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.6)

where

$$U(a, 1, \rho) = -\frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \left[ M(a, 1, \rho) \ln \rho + \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_r}{r!} \rho^r \{ \psi(a + r) - 2\psi(1 + r) \} \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.7)

is the logarithmic solution of Kummer’s equation ([19] Chap. 13):

$$\rho \frac{d^2 U}{d\rho^2} + (1 - \rho) \frac{dU}{d\rho} - a\rho = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.8)

Here $\Gamma$ is the Gamma function, $\psi(a) = \Gamma'(a)/\Gamma(a)$ is the Digamma function,

$$(a)_r = a(a + 1)(a + 2) \ldots (a + r - 1), \hspace{1cm} (a)_0 = 1,$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.9)

and

$$M(a, 1, \rho) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_r}{r!} \rho^r$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.10)

is Kummer’s function.

Let $\mathcal{M} = l^2(\mathbb{Z}[i])$ and for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{N}_0$, define $U_\lambda : H \to \mathcal{M}$ by

$$\langle n | U_\lambda \phi \rangle = (G_0^\lambda \phi)(n).$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.11)

From the bounds in Propositions 6.1 and 6.2 in Appendix A one can see that $U_\lambda$ is a bounded operator. Its adjoint $U_\lambda^* : \mathcal{M} \to H$ is given by

$$(U_\lambda^* \xi)(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}[i]} G_0^\lambda(z, n) \langle n | \xi \rangle.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.12)
For \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{N}_0 \) let
\[
c_n^\lambda = \frac{2\kappa}{\pi} \left( \psi(-\lambda) - \frac{2\pi}{\omega_n} \right)
\] (2.13)
and define the operators \( D^\lambda \), \( A^\lambda \) and \( M^\lambda \) on \( M \) as follows. \( D^\lambda \) is diagonal and
\[
\langle n | D^\lambda | n \rangle = c_n^\lambda ,
\] (2.14)
\[
\langle n | A^\lambda | n' \rangle = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n = n' \\ G_0^\lambda(n,n') & \text{if } n \neq n' \end{cases}
\] (2.15)
and
\[
M^\lambda = D^\lambda - A^\lambda .
\] (2.16)
Note that \( D^\lambda \) is a closed operator on the domain
\[
D(D^\lambda) = \{ \xi \in M : \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}[i]} |c_n^\lambda|^2 |\langle n | \xi \rangle|^2 < \infty \},
\] (2.17)
and \( A^\lambda \) is bounded, therefore \( M^\lambda \) is closed on \( D(M^\lambda) = D(D^\lambda) \). Note also that \( (M^\lambda)^* = M^\lambda \) and that for \( \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \), \( M^\lambda \) is self-adjoint. For \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{N}_0 \) such that \( 0 \notin \sigma(M^\lambda) \) let
\[
\Gamma^\lambda = (M^\lambda)^{-1} .
\] (2.18)
To define our Hamiltonian \( H \) we use the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1** For each \( \kappa > 0 \), there exists \( \lambda_\kappa \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R} \) such that \( 0 \notin \sigma(M^\lambda_\kappa) \) and
\[
|\langle n | \Gamma^\lambda_\kappa | n' \rangle| \leq K(\kappa) e^{-\kappa|n-n'|^2} .
\] (2.19)

**Proof:** Let \( \lambda = -r(1+i) \), with \( r > 0 \). By Proposition 6.1 in Appendix A, we have for \( n, n' \in \mathbb{Z}[i] \), \( n \neq n' \),
\[
|G_0^\lambda(n,n')| \leq C_{r,\kappa} e^{-\kappa|n-n'|^2} ,
\] (2.20)
where
\[
C_{r,\kappa} = C \kappa \left\{ \frac{1}{r} + e^{-(2\kappa r)^{1/2}} (1 + |\ln(2\kappa)|) \right\} ,
\] (2.21)
\( C < \infty \) being a constant. Therefore \( ||A^\lambda|| \leq C_{r,\kappa} ||S|| \) where \( S \) is the operator with matrix
\[
\langle n | S | n' \rangle = e^{-\kappa|n-n'|^2} .
\] (2.22)
Let \( \tilde{\Gamma}^\lambda = (D^\lambda)^{-1} \), then
\[
||A^\lambda \tilde{\Gamma}^\lambda|| \leq \frac{\pi}{2\kappa} \frac{C_{r,\kappa}}{|\mathcal{I}_\psi(-\lambda)|} ||S|| < 1/2 ,
\] (2.23)
if \( r \) is large enough. Note that by (6.3.18) in \[13\]
\[
\lim_{r \to \infty} \mathcal{I}_\psi(-\lambda) = \pi/4 .
\] (2.24)
Then \( \sum_{k=1}^\infty (A^\lambda \tilde{\Gamma}^\lambda)^k \) converges and consequently \( M^\lambda \) is invertible,
\[
\Gamma^\lambda = \tilde{\Gamma}^\lambda (I + \sum_{k=1}^\infty (A^\lambda \tilde{\Gamma}^\lambda)^k)
\] (2.25)
and \( ||I + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (A^{k}\tilde{\Gamma}^{\lambda})^k|| \leq 2 \). Clearly
\[
\langle n|A^{\lambda}\tilde{\Gamma}^{\lambda}|n'\rangle = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{for } n = n' \\
\frac{1}{c_k} G_0^{\lambda}(n,n') & \text{if } n \neq n'.
\end{cases}
\] (2.26)

Thus
\[
|\langle n|A^{\lambda}\tilde{\Gamma}^{\lambda}|n'\rangle| \leq B_{r,\kappa} e^{-\kappa|n-n'|^2} \leq B_{r,\kappa} e^{-\kappa|n-n'|^{\frac{1}{2}}}
\] (2.27)
where \( B_{r,\kappa} = \frac{\pi}{2\kappa |I\psi(-\lambda)|} \). Now, there exists a constant \( c_0 < \infty \) such that for \( \kappa > 1 \) (see Lemma 3.3 in [5]),
\[
\sum_{n'' \in \mathbb{Z}[i]} e^{-\kappa|n-n''|^2} e^{-\kappa|n''-n'|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq c_0 e^{-\kappa|n-n'|^{\frac{1}{2}}}.
\] (2.28)

This bound, together with (2.27), gives
\[
|\langle n|\sum_{k} (A^{k}\tilde{\Gamma}^{\lambda})^k|n'\rangle| \leq c_0^{-1} B_{r,\kappa} e^{-\kappa|n-n'|^{\frac{1}{2}}}
\] (2.29)
and thus from (2.25)
\[
|\langle n|\Gamma^{\lambda}|n'\rangle| \leq K e^{-\kappa|n-n'|^{\frac{1}{2}}}
\] (2.30)
if \( c_0 B_{r,\kappa} < \frac{1}{2} \).

For \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{N}_0 \), we have the formula ([19] 6.3.16)
\[
\psi(-\lambda) = -\gamma - \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda + 1)}{(m+1)(m-\lambda)}
\] (2.31)
where \( \gamma \) is Euler’s constant. Thus if \( \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{N}_0 \)
\[
\psi(-\lambda_1) - \psi(-\lambda_2) = (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m-\lambda_1)(m-\lambda_2)}
\] (2.32)

On the other hand we have
\[
G_0^{\lambda_1} G_0^{\lambda_2} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{P_m}{(m-\lambda_1)(m-\lambda_2)}
\] (2.33)
and thus
\[
(G_0^{\lambda_1} G_0^{\lambda_2})(n,n) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{P_m(n,n)}{(m-\lambda_1)(m-\lambda_2)} = \frac{2\kappa}{\pi} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m-\lambda_1)(m-\lambda_2)}.
\] (2.34)

Therefore
\[
\langle n|M^{\lambda_1} - M^{\lambda_2}|n\rangle = \frac{2\kappa}{\pi} \{\psi(-\lambda_1) - \psi(-\lambda_2)\} = (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) (G_0^{\lambda_1} G_0^{\lambda_2})(n,n).
\] (2.35)

On the other hand, for \( n \neq n' \), using the resolvent identity, we get
\[
\langle n|M^{\lambda_1} - M^{\lambda_2}|n'\rangle = G_0^{\lambda_2}(n,n') - G_0^{\lambda_1}(n,n') = (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) (G_0^{\lambda_1} G_0^{\lambda_2})(n,n').
\] (2.36)
Therefore combining the two identities (2.33) and (2.36) we obtain
\[ M^{λ_1} - M^{λ_2} = (λ_2 - λ_1)U_{λ_2}U_{λ_1}^*. \] (2.37)

It is clear from this equation that \( U_{λ_2}U_{λ_1}^* = U_{λ_1}U_{λ_2}^*. \)

Note that \( H_0 \) is essentially self-adjoint on \( S(ℂ) \) (20 Theorem X.34). Define \( V_κ : S(ℂ) \to ℋ \) by \( V_κ = U_{λ_κ}^* \Gamma^{λ_κ} \) where \( \langle n | Tψ \rangle = ψ(n) \). Let
\[ D(H) = \{ φ = ψ + V_κψ : ψ ∈ S(ℂ) \}, \] (2.38)
and for \( φ ∈ D(H) \)
\[ Hφ = H_0φ + λ_κV_κφ. \] (2.39)

This definition implies that \((H - λ_κ)φ = (H_0 - λ_κ)ψ \) and therefore since \( H_0 \) is essentially self-adjoint on \( S(ℂ) \), \( \text{Ran}(H - λ_κ) \) is dense in \( ℋ \). Let \( ψ' ∈ S(ℂ) \) and let \( ψ = ψ' + (λ_κ - λ_κ)G_{λ_κ}^0U_{λ_κ}^* \Gamma^{λ_κ}Tψ' \). Then \( ψ ∈ S(ℂ) \) and \( Tψ = M^{λ_κ}\Gamma^{λ_κ}Tψ' \). Note that \( 0 \notin \sigma(M^{λ_κ}) \) and \( |⟨n|Γ^{λ_κ}|n'⟩| = |⟨n'|Γ^{λ_κ}|n⟩| ≤ K(κ)e^{-κ|n-n'|^2}. \)

Then
\[(H - \bar{λ_κ})φ = (H_0 - \bar{λ_κ})ψ + (λ_κ - \bar{λ_κ})V_κψ = (H_0 - \bar{λ_κ})ψ' + (λ_κ - \bar{λ_κ})u_{λ_κ}^* \Gamma^{λ_κ}Tψ' + (λ_κ - \bar{λ_κ})V_κψ' + (λ_κ - \bar{λ_κ})u_{λ_κ}^* \Gamma^{λ_κ}Tψ' + (λ_κ - \bar{λ_κ})V_κψ'\]
\[= (H_0 - \bar{λ_κ})ψ' = (H_0 - \bar{λ_κ})u_{λ_κ}^* \Gamma^{λ_κ}Tψ' + (λ_κ - \bar{λ_κ})V_κψ'. \]

Therefore \( \text{Ran}(H - \bar{λ_κ}) \) is dense in \( ℋ \) and \( H \) is essentially self-adjoint on \( D(H) \).

For \( λ ∈ ℂ \setminus ℕ_0 \) such that \( 0 \notin \sigma(M^{λ_κ}) \), define
\[ G^{λ} = G_0^λ + U_{λ}^* \Gamma^{λ} U_{λ}. \] (2.40)

One can check using the resolvent identity and identity (2.37) that (3, see also [18])
\[ G^{λ}(H - λ)φ = φ, \] (2.41)
so that
\[ G^{λ} = (H - λ)^{-1}. \] (2.42)

We now state the main theorem of this paper. (a) is proved in Lemma 3.2, (c) in Lemma 3.1 and (b) and (d) in Theorem 5.8.

**Theorem 2.2**

(a) The spectrum of \( H \) contains bands around the Landau levels \( ℕ_0 \) and an interval extending from \( -∞ \) to a finite negative point.

For each \( N ∈ ℕ \) there exists \( κ_0 > 0 \) such that for \( κ > κ_0 \), with probability one,
(b) \( \sigma_{\text{cont}}(H) \cap (-∞, N) = \emptyset \),
(c) if \( m ∈ ℕ_0 \cap (-∞, N) \), then \( m \) is an eigenvalue of \( H \) with infinite multiplicity,
(d) if \( λ ∈ σ(H) \cap (-∞, N) \setminus ℕ_0 \), is an eigenvalue of \( H \) and the corresponding eigenfunction is \( φ_λ \), then for any compact subset \( B \) of \( ℂ \), \( \int_B |φ_λ(z - z')|^2 dz' \) decays exponentially in \( z \) with exponential length less than or equal to \( 2/κ \).
3 The Spectrum

In this section we study the spectrum of the Hamiltonian. We first show that the Landau levels are still infinitely degenerate eigenvalues. We then prove that the spectrum contains bands around the Landau levels and an infinite interval in the negative half-line.

Let \( \{ U_z : z \in \mathbb{C} \} \) be the family of unitary operators on \( \mathcal{H} \) corresponding to the magnetic translations:

\[
(U_z f)(z') = e^{2i\kappa z \wedge z'} f(z + z').
\]  

These satisfy \( U_z U_{z'} = e^{2i\kappa z \wedge z'} U_{z+z'} \). For \( n \in \mathbb{Z}[i] \)

\[
U_n G^\lambda(\omega) U_n^{-1} = G^\lambda(\tau_n \omega).
\]

The ergodicity of \( \{ \tau_m : m \in \mathbb{Z}[i] \} \) and equation (3.2) together imply that the spectrum of \( H(\omega) \) and its components are non-random (see for example [21], Theorem V.2.4). We shall first prove that almost surely the lower Landau levels are infinitely degenerate eigenvalues for large \( \kappa \). This lemma is a generalization of similar results in [3] and [22]. The main idea of the proof is to construct states in \( \mathcal{H}_m \) which vanish at all the impurity sites, so that they are also eigenfunctions of \( H \). These states involve the entire function in (3.4) which vanishes at all the points of \( \mathbb{Z}[i] \) and consequently grows like \( e^{A|z|^2} \) for large \( |z| \). The condition that the states are square integrable then requires that the magnetic field be sufficiently large in order to compensate this growth by the factor \( e^{-\kappa |z|^2} \).

Lemma 3.1 For each \( N \in \mathbb{N} \), there exists \( \kappa_0(N) > 0 \), such that for \( \kappa > \kappa_0 \), with probability one, each Landau level \( m \), with \( m \leq N \), is infinitely degenerate.

Proof: The elements of the space \( \mathcal{H}_0 \) are of the form

\[
\phi(z) = \psi(z)e^{-\kappa|z|^2},
\]  

where \( \psi \) is an entire function and, of course, \( \phi \in L^2(\mathbb{C}) \). Let

\[
\psi_0(z) = z \prod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}[i] \setminus \{0\}} \left(1 - \frac{z}{n}\right)e^{\frac{z}{2n} + \frac{2}{2n^2}}.
\]  

Then \( \psi_0 \) is an entire function with zeros at all the points of \( \mathbb{Z}[i] \). It follows from the theory of entire functions (see [23] 2.10.1) that there exists \( A > 0 \) such that \( |\psi_0(z)| \leq e^{A|z|^2} \). For \( k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \), let

\[
\phi_{0,k}(z) = z^k \psi_0(z)e^{-\kappa|z|^2},
\]  

then, if \( \kappa > A \), \( \phi_{0,k} \in \mathcal{H}_0 \) and since \( V_{\kappa} \phi_{0,k} = 0 \), \( H \phi_{0,k} = 0 \). Also if for \( M \in \mathbb{N}_0 \), \( \sum_{k=0}^M b_k \phi_{0,k} = 0 \), then \( \sum_{k=0}^M b_k z^k = 0 \) for \( z \notin \mathbb{Z}[i] \). Therefore \( \sum_{k=0}^M b_k z^k \equiv 0 \) and thus the \( b_k \)'s are zero implying that the \( \phi_{0,k} \)'s are linearly independent. So the \( \phi_{0,k} \)'s form an infinite linearly independent set of eigenfunctions of \( H \) with eigenvalue 0. For the higher levels we modify this argument with the use of the creation and annihilation...
operators for the Hamiltonian $H_0$, $a^*$ and $a$, defined by $a^* = (1/\sqrt{2\kappa}) \left( -\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \kappa \bar{z} \right)$ and $a = (1/\sqrt{2\kappa}) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} + \kappa z \right)$.

These operators satisfy the commutation relation $[a, a^*] = 1$. Also if $\phi \in \mathcal{H}_m$ then $a^* \phi \in \mathcal{H}_{m+1}$ and $a \phi \in \mathcal{H}_{m-1}$ except when $m = 0$, in which case $a \phi = 0$. For $m \leq N$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, let

$$\tilde{\phi}_{m,k}(z) = z^k (\psi_0(z))^{m+1} e^{-\kappa |z|^2}, \quad (3.6)$$

then, if $\kappa > A(N + 1)$, $\tilde{\phi}_{m,k} \in \mathcal{H}_0$. Now let $\phi_{m,k} = (a^*)^m \tilde{\phi}_{m,k}$. Then $\phi_{m,k} \in \mathcal{H}_m$ and $\phi_{m,k}(n) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ since $\tilde{\phi}_{m,k}$ has a zero of order greater then $m$ at each point of $\mathbb{Z}[i]$. Therefore since $V_\kappa \phi_{m,k} = 0$, $H \phi_{m,k} = m \phi_{m,k}$. Moreover since $[a, a^*] = 1$ and $a \phi_{m,k} = 0$, $a^m \phi_{m,k} = m! \phi_{m,k}$. So, if for $M \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\sum_{k=0}^M b_k \phi_{m,k} = 0$, then

$$\sum_{k=0}^M b_k \phi_{m,k} = (m!)^{-1} a^m \left( \sum_{k=0}^M b_k \phi_{m,k} \right) = 0. \quad (3.7)$$

This means that $\sum_{k=0}^M b_k z^k = 0$ for $z \notin \mathbb{Z}[i]$ and as for $m = 0$ it follows that the $\phi_{m,k}$’s form an infinite linearly independent set of eigenfunctions of $H$ with eigenvalue $m$.

In the case of one impurity of strength $\omega$ at the origin, the Green’s function is given by

$$G^\lambda = G_0^\lambda + \frac{1}{c^\lambda} G_0^\lambda (\cdot, 0) G_0^\lambda (0, \cdot), \quad (3.8)$$

where

$$c^\lambda = \frac{2\kappa}{\pi} \left( \psi(-\lambda) - \frac{2\pi}{\omega} \right). \quad (3.9)$$

It is clear that in this case the spectrum consists of Landau levels and the values of $\lambda$ for which $c^\lambda = 0$. For small $\omega$ the latter correspond to points close to the Landau levels and in the case of $\omega > 0$, there is another point which is negative and of the order of $\exp(2\pi/|\omega|)$. In the next lemma we shall show that in our case these points are also in the spectrum in the sense that the spectrum of our Hamiltonian

![Figure 1: $\lambda \mapsto \psi(-\lambda)$](image)
contains bands around the Landau levels and an interval extending from \(-\infty\) to a finite negative point.

Let \(Y = \{2\pi/x : x \in X \setminus \{0\}\}\).

**Lemma 3.2**  With probability one

\[-\psi^{-1}(Y) \subset \sigma(H(\omega)).\quad (3.10)\]

**Proof:** It is sufficient to prove that for each \(\lambda \in -\psi^{-1}(Y)\) and for all \(\epsilon > 0\), there exists \(\Omega'\) with \(\mathbb{P}(\Omega') > 0\) and \(\psi \in \mathcal{H}\) with \(\|\psi\| = 1\) such that for all \(\omega \in \Omega'\),

\[\|(G^\lambda(\omega) - (\lambda - \lambda_n)^{-1}) \psi\| < \epsilon.\]

Let \(\langle v|n \rangle = \delta_{n0}\) and let \(\psi = CU^*_\lambda v\), where \(C^{-2} = (2\kappa/\pi) \sum_{m=0}^\infty (m - \lambda)^{-2}\). Note that \(\psi(z) = CG^\lambda_0(z,0)\) and \(\|\psi\| = 1\) by (2.34). Then

\[
(G^\lambda_n - (\lambda - \lambda_n)^{-1})\psi \\
= (G^\lambda_0 + U^*_\lambda \Gamma^\lambda_\delta U_{\lambda_n} + (\lambda_n - \lambda)^{-1}) \psi \\
= (\lambda_n - \lambda)^{-1} C \left( (\lambda_n - \lambda)G^\lambda_0 U^*_\lambda - U^*_\lambda \Gamma^\lambda_\delta (M^\lambda_\delta - M^\lambda) + U^*_\lambda \right) v \\
= (\lambda_n - \lambda)^{-1} C \left( U^*_\lambda \Gamma^\lambda_\delta U_{\lambda_n} + U^*_\lambda \Gamma^\lambda_\delta M^\lambda + U^*_\lambda \right) v \\
= (\lambda_n - \lambda)^{-1} C U^*_\lambda \Gamma^\lambda_\delta M^\lambda v.
\]

By using (2.37) we get

\[
\|(G^\lambda_n(\omega) - (\lambda - \lambda_n)^{-1}) \psi\|^2 = C^2|\lambda - \lambda_n|^{-2} (I\lambda_n)^{-1} I\langle M^\lambda v, \Gamma^\lambda_\delta M^\lambda v \rangle \\
\leq 2C^2|\lambda - \lambda_n|^{-2} (I\lambda_n)^{-1} \|M^\lambda v\| \|\Gamma^\lambda_\delta M^\lambda v\|
\]

by (2.25). Choose \(R\) such that \(\sum_{|n| > R} |G^\lambda_0(n,0)|^2 < \delta\) and let

\[
\Omega' = \{\omega : |c^\lambda_0| < \delta, \min_{|n| \leq R, n \neq 0} |c^\lambda_n| > 1/\delta\}. \quad (3.11)
\]

Since \(\psi(-\lambda) \in Y\) and 0 is in the support of \(\mu\), \(\mathbb{P}(\Omega') > 0\). We have

\[
\langle n|M^\lambda v \rangle = \begin{cases} c^\lambda_0, & \text{if } n = 0 \\
-G^\lambda_0(n,0), & \text{if } n \neq 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.12)
\]

Therefore

\[
\|M^\lambda v\|^2 \leq \delta^2 + \sum_{n \neq 0} |G^\lambda_0(n,0)|^2 \quad (3.13)
\]

and

\[
\|\Gamma^\lambda_\delta M^\lambda v\|^2 = |c^\lambda_0|^2 |c^\lambda_n|^{-2} + \sum_{n \neq 0} |c^\lambda_n|^{-2} |G^\lambda_0(n,0)|^2 \\
\leq \delta^2 (\pi/2\kappa)^2 |\mathcal{I}\psi(-\lambda_n)|^{-2} + \delta^2 \sum_{|n| \leq R} |G^\lambda_0(n,0)|^2 \\
+ (\pi/2\kappa)^2 |\mathcal{I}\psi(-\lambda_n)|^{-2} \sum_{|n| > R} |G^\lambda_0(n,0)|^2 \\
\leq \delta^2 (\pi/2\kappa)^2 |\mathcal{I}\psi(-\lambda_n)|^{-2} + \delta^2 \sum_{n \neq 0} |G^\lambda_0(n,0)|^2 \\
+ \delta (\pi/2\kappa)^2 |\mathcal{I}\psi(-\lambda_n)|^{-2}.
\]
Thus \( \| \left( G^\lambda \omega - (\lambda - \lambda_\kappa)^{-1} \right) \psi \| < \epsilon \) if \( \delta \) is small enough.

In the next section we relate the generalized eigenvectors of \( H \) with those of \( M^\lambda \).

## 4 Generalized eigenfunctions of \( H \)

In this section we show that a generalized eigenfunction of \( H \) with eigenvalue \( \lambda \), say, which is not a Landau level, is related in a simple way to an eigenvector \( v \) of \( M^\lambda \) with eigenvalue zero. Furthermore if \( v \) decays then so does the corresponding eigenfunction. Since this reduces the problem to a lattice problem, it makes it possible for us to use the Aizenman-Molchanov method.

**Proposition 4.1** If \( \phi \) is a generalized eigenfunction of \( H \) with eigenvalue \( \lambda \notin \mathbb{N}_0 \), then \( v = \Gamma^\lambda \lambda U_{\lambda_\kappa} \phi \) is a generalized eigenvector of \( M^\lambda \) with eigenvalue zero and \( \phi = (\lambda - \lambda_\kappa)U_{\lambda_\kappa}^* v \). Moreover if \( v \) decays exponentially, then for any compact subset \( B \) of \( \mathbb{C} \), \( \int_B |\phi(z - z')|^2 dz' \) decays exponentially in \( z \).

**Proof:** Suppose \( \phi \) is a generalized eigenvector of \( H \) with eigenvalue \( \lambda \). Then

\[
G^\lambda \phi = (\lambda - \lambda_\kappa)^{-1} \phi \tag{4.1}
\]

or

\[
G_0^\lambda \phi + U_{\lambda_\kappa}^* \Gamma^\lambda \lambda U_{\lambda_\kappa} \phi = (\lambda - \lambda_\kappa)^{-1} \phi. \tag{4.2}
\]

Thus

\[
U_{\lambda} G_0^\lambda \phi + U_{\lambda} U_{\lambda_\kappa}^* \Gamma^\lambda \lambda U_{\lambda_\kappa} \phi = (\lambda - \lambda_\kappa)^{-1} U_{\lambda} \phi. \tag{4.3}
\]

Using \( U_{\lambda} G_0^\lambda = (\lambda - \lambda_\kappa)^{-1}(U_{\lambda} - U_{\lambda_\kappa}) \), we get

\[
U_{\lambda} U_{\lambda_\kappa}^* \Gamma^\lambda \lambda U_{\lambda_\kappa} \phi = (\lambda - \lambda_\kappa)^{-1} U_{\lambda_\kappa} \phi \tag{4.4}
\]

which by (2.37) can be written in the form

\[
M^\lambda \Gamma^\lambda \lambda U_{\lambda_\kappa} \phi = 0. \tag{4.5}
\]

Therefore if \( v = \Gamma^\lambda \lambda U_{\lambda_\kappa} \phi \),

\[
M^\lambda v = 0. \tag{4.6}
\]

From (4.2) we get

\[
(\lambda - \lambda_\kappa) G_0^\lambda \phi + (\lambda - \lambda_\kappa) U_{\lambda_\kappa}^* v = \phi. \tag{4.7}
\]

Thus

\[
(\lambda - \lambda_\kappa) G_0^\lambda \phi + (\lambda - \lambda_\kappa) G_0^\lambda U_{\lambda_\kappa}^* v = G_0^\lambda \phi. \tag{4.8}
\]

By using the resolvent identity we can write this as

\[
U_{\lambda_\kappa}^* v = G_0^\lambda \phi + U_{\lambda_\kappa}^* v \tag{4.9}
\]

and therefore \( \phi = (\lambda - \lambda_\kappa) U_{\lambda_\kappa}^* v \) by (4.7). From Propositions 6.1 and 6.2 in Appendix A we get for \( \lambda \notin \mathbb{N}_0 \)

\[
|G_0^\lambda(z, z')| < Ce^{-\frac{\delta}{2}|z-z'|^2}(1 + 1_{B(0,1/\sqrt{2\kappa})}(|z - z'|)|\ln |z - z'||) \tag{4.10}
\]
where $C$ depends on $\lambda$ and $\kappa$. From the equation
\begin{equation}
\phi(z) = (\lambda - \lambda_n) \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}[i]} G_0^\lambda(z, n) (n|v),
\end{equation}
we get, assuming $\langle n|v \rangle \leq C' e^{-\alpha|n|}$, that
\begin{align*}
|\phi(z)| &\leq |\lambda - \lambda_n| C' \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}[i]} |G_0^\lambda(z, n)| e^{-\alpha|n|} \\
&\leq C'' \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}[i]} e^{-\frac{\beta}{2} |z-n|^2} e^{-\alpha|n|} \\
&\quad + C'' \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}[i]} e^{-\frac{\beta}{2} |z-n|^2} e^{-\alpha|n|} 1_{B(0,1/\sqrt{2\kappa})}(|z-n|) \ln |z-n| \\
&= S_1 + S_2.
\end{align*}

Now
\begin{align*}
S_1 &\leq C'' \sum_{|z-n| \geq 1} e^{-\frac{\beta}{2} |z-n|^2} e^{-\alpha|n|} + C'' e^\alpha e^{-\alpha|z|} \\
&\leq C'' e^{-\beta|z|} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}[i]} e^{-\beta|n|} + C'' e^\alpha e^{-\alpha|z|}
\end{align*}
where $\beta = \frac{1}{4} \min(\kappa, 2\alpha)$. Thus, $S_1 \leq C'' e^{-\beta|z|}$. Similarly
\begin{equation}
S_2 \leq C'' e^{-\beta|z|} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}[i]} e^{-\beta|n|} 1_{B(0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\kappa}})}(|z-n|) \ln |z-n|.
\end{equation}

Therefore
\begin{equation}
|\phi(z)|^2 \leq C e^{-2\beta|z|}(1 + 3 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}[i]} e^{-\beta|n|} 1_{B(0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\kappa}})}(|z-n|) \ln |z-n|^2).
\end{equation}

Let $B \subset \mathbb{C}$ be compact and let $R = \sup\{|z| : z \in B\}$. Then for $z' \in B$
\begin{equation}
|\phi(z - z')|^2 \leq C e^{2\beta R} e^{-2\beta|z|}(1 + 3 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}[i]} e^{-\beta|n|} 1_{B(0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\kappa}})}(|z - z' - n|) \ln |z - z' - n|^2).
\end{equation}

Therefore
\begin{equation}
\int_B |\phi(z - z')|^2 dz' \leq C e^{2\beta R} e^{-2\beta|z|}(1 + 3 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}[i]} e^{-\beta|n|} \int_{|z'| < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\kappa}}} |\ln |z'||^2).
\end{equation}

We do not dwell on the existence of the generalized eigenfunctions. It suffices to say that the arguments of Theorem II.4.5 in [21] can be used with $e^{-tH}$ replaced by $G_0^\lambda$ since from the bound in Lemma 2.1 and the bounds in Appendix A for $|G_0^\lambda(z, z')|$ it follows that
\begin{equation}
\sup_z \int_{\mathbb{C}} |G_0^\lambda(z, z')|^2 dz' < \infty.
\end{equation}

The same bounds guarantee also that $v$ is a generalized eigenvector of $M^\lambda$. In the next section we apply the Aizenman-Molchanov method to the lattice operator $M^\lambda$. 

5 An Application of the Aizenman-Molchanov Method

In this section we apply the Aizenman-Molchanov method to $M^\lambda$, where $\lambda$ is not a Landau level. The main ingredient in this method is the Decoupling Principle for $\tau$-regular measures. We start by stating this principle, not in its full generality but in the form in which it will be used here.

**Definition** A measure $\mu$ on $\mathbb{R}$ is said to be $\tau$-regular, with $\tau \in (0,1]$, if there exists $\nu > 0$ and $C < \infty$ such that

$$
\mu([x - \delta, x + \delta]) \leq C\delta^\tau \mu([x - \nu, x + \nu])
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 < \delta < 1$.

**Lemma 5.1 (A Decoupling Principle)** Let $\mu$ be a $\tau$-regular measure and let $\int |u|^\epsilon \mu(du) < \infty$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. Then for all $0 < s < \min(\tau, \epsilon)$ there exists $\xi_s$, a positive, increasing function on $\mathbb{R}_+$ with $\xi_s(0) > 0$ satisfying

$$
\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{\xi_s(x)}{x} = 1,
$$

such that for all $\eta$, $a$ and $b \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$
\int |u - \eta|^s |au + b|^{-s} \mu(du) \geq (\xi_s(|\eta|))^s \int |au + b|^{-s} \mu(du).
$$

Let $\mu(A) = \mu_0(\{\omega : 1/\omega \in A\})$. In Appendix B we shall show that $\mu$ is 1-regular and $\int |u|^\epsilon \mu(du) < \infty$ for all $\epsilon < 1$. Thus the inequality (5.3) is valid for all $s \in (0, 1)$. As in [12] we use this lemma to obtain an exponential bound on $\langle n | \Gamma^\lambda(z) | 0 \rangle$ where $\Gamma^\lambda(z) = (M^\lambda - z)^{-1}$. This bound then allows us to apply the results of [24] to deduce that the spectrum of $M^\lambda$ in a neighbourhood of the origin consists of eigenvalues and that the corresponding eigenvectors decay exponentially. We then combine this result with Proposition 4.1 to translate it into a statement about the properties of the spectrum of $H$.

It is convenient here to introduce a notation for the intervals between the Landau levels. We let $I_0 = (-\infty, 0)$ and $I_N = (N - 1, N)$ for $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

**Lemma 5.2** For all $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$, for all $s \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ and for all $\gamma < s$ there exists $\kappa_0(N, s) < \infty$ such that for all $\kappa > \kappa_0(N, s)$, for all $\lambda \in (-\infty, N) \setminus \mathbb{N}_0$ and for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\mathcal{I}z \neq 0$ and $|\mathcal{R}z| \leq 1$,

$$
\mathbb{E} \left\{ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} | i} |\langle n | \Gamma^\lambda(z) | 0 \rangle|^s e^{\gamma |n|} \right\} \leq 1/\{2\kappa(\xi_s(0))^s\}.
$$

**Proof:** The starting point is the following equation: For $z \notin \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\sum_{n' \in \mathbb{Z} | i} \langle n | M^\lambda - z | n' \rangle \langle n' | \Gamma^\lambda(z) | n'' \rangle = \delta_{nn''}.
$$

This becomes using (2.10)

$$
\langle c_n^* - z | n | \Gamma^\lambda(z) | n'' \rangle = \sum_{n' \neq n} G_0^\lambda(n, n') \langle n' | \Gamma^\lambda(z) | n'' \rangle = \delta_{nn''}.
$$
Now we take \( n \neq n' \) and \( 0 < s < 1 \) to get
\[
|c_n^\lambda - z|^s |\langle n|\Gamma^\lambda(z)|n''\rangle|^s = \left| \sum_{n' \neq n} G_0^n(n, n') |\langle n'|\Gamma^\lambda(z)|n''\rangle|^s \right|
\[
\leq \sum_{n' \neq n} |G_0^n(n, n')|^s |\langle n'|\Gamma^\lambda(z)|n''\rangle|^s.
\]
Thus
\[
\mathbb{E}\{ |c_n^\lambda - z|^s |\langle n|\Gamma^\lambda(z)|n''\rangle|^s \} \leq \sum_{n' \neq n} |G_0^n(n, n')|^s \mathbb{E}\{ |\langle n'|\Gamma^\lambda(z)|n''\rangle|^s \}. \tag{5.7}
\]
Now
\[
\mathbb{E}\{ |c_n^\lambda - z|^s |\langle n|\Gamma^\lambda(z)|n''\rangle|^s \} = \bar{\mathbb{E}}_n \mathbb{E}_n \{ |c_n^\lambda - z|^s |\langle n|\Gamma^\lambda(z)|n''\rangle|^s \} \tag{5.8}
\]
where \( \mathbb{E}_n \) is the expectation with respect to \( \omega_n \) and \( \bar{\mathbb{E}}_n \) is with respect to all other \( \omega_n \)'s. Let
\[
\langle n'|M_n^\lambda|n''\rangle = \langle n'|M_n^\lambda|n''\rangle - (4\kappa/\omega_n) \delta_{nn'} \delta_{nn''}. \tag{5.9}
\]
Then \( M_n^\lambda \) is independent of \( \omega_n \) and using the resolvent identity
\[
\langle n|\Gamma^\lambda(z)|0\rangle = \frac{A}{1 + (4\kappa/\omega_n)B} \tag{5.10}
\]
where \( A = \langle n|(M_n^\lambda - z)^{-1}|0\rangle \) and \( B = \langle n|(M_n^\lambda - z)^{-1}|n\rangle \). Then
\[
\mathbb{E}_n \{ |c_n^\lambda - z|^s |\langle n|\Gamma^\lambda(z)|0\rangle|^s \}
\[
= \mathbb{E}_n \left\{ \frac{|c_n^\lambda - z|^s}{|1 + (4\kappa/\omega_n)B|^s} \right\} |A|^s
\[
\geq (4\kappa)^s \int \frac{|u - \eta|^s}{|1 + 4\kappa u B|^s} \mu(du)|A|^s
\]
where \( u = 1/\omega_n \) and \( 2\pi \eta = \psi(-\lambda) - \pi \kappa E \), \( E \) being the real part of \( z \). Thus by Lemma 5.1
\[
\mathbb{E}_n \{ |c_n^\lambda - z|^s |\langle n|\Gamma^\lambda(z)|0\rangle|^s \}
\[
\geq (4\kappa)^s (\xi_s(|\eta|))^s \mathbb{E}_n \left\{ \frac{|A|^s}{1 + c_n^\lambda B|^s} \right\}
\[
= (4\kappa)^s (\xi_s(|\eta|))^s \mathbb{E}_n \{ |\langle n|\Gamma^\lambda(z)|0\rangle|^s \}
\]
Using (5.7) this gives
\[
(4\kappa)^s (\xi_s(|\eta|))^s \mathbb{E}\{ |\langle n|\Gamma^\lambda(z)|0\rangle|^s \} \leq \sum_{n' \neq n} |G_0^n(n, n')|^s \mathbb{E}\{ |\langle n'|\Gamma^\lambda(z)|0\rangle|^s \}. \tag{5.11}
\]
or
\[
\mathbb{E}\{ |\langle n|\Gamma^\lambda(z)|0\rangle|^s \} \leq (1/4\kappa)^s (\xi_s(|\eta|))^{-s} \sum_{n' \neq n} |G_0^n(n, n')|^s \mathbb{E}\{ |\langle n'|\Gamma^\lambda(z)|0\rangle|^s \}. \tag{5.12}
\]
Let \( \gamma > 0 \) and define
\[
\Xi(s) = \mathbb{E}\left\{ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}[i]} e^{\gamma |n|} |\langle n|\Gamma^\lambda(z)|0\rangle|^s \right\}. \tag{5.13}
\]
Then
\[ \Xi(s) = \mathbb{E}\{|\langle 0|\Gamma^\lambda(z)|0\rangle|^s\} + \sum_{n \neq 0} e^{\gamma|n|}\mathbb{E}\{|\langle n|\Gamma^\lambda(z)|0\rangle|^s\} \]
\[ \leq \mathbb{E}\{|\langle 0|\Gamma^\lambda(z)|0\rangle|^s\} + \frac{1}{4}\sum_{n \neq 0} \sum_{n' \neq n} e^{\gamma|n-n'|}\mathbb{E}\{|\langle n'|\Gamma^\lambda(z)|0\rangle|^s\}. \]
Thus
\[ \Xi(s) \leq \mathbb{E}\{|\langle 0|\Gamma^\lambda(z)|0\rangle|^s\} + \frac{1}{4}\sum_{n \neq 0} \sum_{n' \neq n} e^{\gamma|n-n'|}\mathbb{E}\{|\langle n'|\Gamma^\lambda(z)|0\rangle|^s\}, \]
so that
\[ \Xi(s) \leq \mathbb{E}\{|\langle 0|\Gamma^\lambda(z)|0\rangle|^s\} + \frac{1}{4}\sum_{n \neq 0} \sum_{n' \neq n} e^{\gamma|n-n'|}\mathbb{E}\{|\langle n'|\Gamma^\lambda(z)|0\rangle|^s\} \]
(5.14)
Let
\[ F(s, \lambda) = \frac{1}{4}\sum_{n \neq 0} \sum_{n' \neq n} e^{\gamma|n-n'|}\mathbb{E}\{|\langle n'|\Gamma^\lambda(z)|0\rangle|^s\}. \]
If \( F(s, \lambda) < 1/2 \) then
\[ \Xi(s) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}\{|\langle 0|\Gamma^\lambda(z)|0\rangle|^s\}}{1 - F(s, \lambda)} \leq 2\mathbb{E}\{|\langle 0|\Gamma^\lambda(z)|0\rangle|^s\}. \]
(5.16)
But
\[ \langle 0|\Gamma^\lambda(z)|0\rangle = \frac{\langle 0|(M^\lambda_0 - z)^{-1}|0\rangle}{1 + c_0^\lambda\langle 0|(M^\lambda_0 - z)^{-1}|0\rangle} \]
(5.17)
so that
\[ |\langle 0|\Gamma^\lambda(z)|0\rangle| = \frac{1}{4\kappa|b + 1/\omega_0|} \]
(5.18)
where \( b \) is independent of \( \omega_0 \). Using this and Lemma 5.1 with \( a = 1 \) and \( \eta = -b \), we get
\[ \mathbb{E}_0\{|\langle 0|\Gamma^\lambda(z)|0\rangle|^s\} \leq 1/(4\kappa\xi_0(0))^s \]
(5.19)
and therefore
\[ \mathbb{E}\{|\langle 0|\Gamma^\lambda(z)|0\rangle|^s\} \leq 1/(4\kappa\xi_0(0))^s. \]
(5.20)
This proves (5.4). To prove that \( F(s, \lambda) < 1/2 \), First assume that \( \lambda \in I_N \) with \( N \in \mathbb{N} \). By Proposition 6.2, we have
\[ |G^\lambda_0(n, n')| \leq (C\kappa)^{N+1}N^N |\Gamma(-\lambda)||n - n'|^{2N}e^{-\kappa|n-n'|^2} \]
(5.21)
for \( n \neq n' \), and \( \lambda \in I_N, N \in \mathbb{N} \). Therefore
\[ \sum_{n \neq n'} e^{\gamma|n-n'|}|G^\lambda_0(n, n')|^s \leq (C\kappa)^{(N+1)s}N^{Ns}|\Gamma(-\lambda)|^s \sum_{n \neq 0} e^{\gamma|n|}|n|^{2Ns}e^{-\kappa|n|^2}. \]
(5.22)
Let \( \gamma < \alpha < s \). Using the bounds \( e^{\gamma|x|}e^{-\alpha x^2} \leq e^{-(\alpha-\gamma)x} \) for \( x \geq 1 \),
\[ x^{2Ns}e^{-\kappa(s-\alpha)x^2/2} < (2sN/(s-\alpha)\kappa)^{Ns} \] for \( x \geq 1 \) and
\[ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}[t]} e^{-t|n|^2} \leq K(t) \]
(5.23)
where $K(t) = \left(1 + e^{-t/4} + (\pi/t)^{1/2}\right)^2$ for $t > 0$ (see Lemma 2.1 in [24]), we get
\[
\sum_{n \neq n'} e^{\gamma |n-n'|} |G_0^\lambda(n, n')|^s \leq (K(\kappa(s-\alpha)/2) - 1) (C^{N+1}\kappa)^s (2sN^2/(s-\alpha)) \sum N^s |\Gamma(-\lambda)|^s e^{-(\alpha-\gamma)\kappa}.
\]

Thus
\[
F(s, \lambda) \leq \left(K \left(\frac{\kappa(s-\alpha)}{2}\right) - 1\right) (C^{N+1}/4)^s (2sN^2/(s-\alpha)) \sum N^s e^{-(\alpha-\gamma)\kappa} \left|\frac{\Gamma(-\lambda)}{\xi_s(|\eta|)}\right|^s.
\]

Now since for $N \in \mathbb{N}$, the limits $\lim_{\lambda \to N} |\Gamma(-\lambda)/\psi(-\lambda)|$ and $\lim_{\lambda \to N-1} |\Gamma(-\lambda)/\psi(-\lambda)|$ are finite, we have for $\lambda \in I_N$,
\[
|\Gamma(-\lambda)| \leq C_N (1 + |\psi(-\lambda)|) \leq C_N (1 + (\pi/2\kappa) + 2\pi|\eta|).
\]

Therefore
\[
\left|\frac{\Gamma(-\lambda)}{\xi_s(|\eta|)}\right| \leq C_N \left(\left\{1 + (\pi/2\kappa)\right\} / \xi_s(0) + 2\pi \sup_{x \in R_0} \{x / \xi_s(x)\}\right).
\]

Thus there exists $\kappa(N_1, s) < \infty$ such that for all $\kappa > \kappa_0(N_1, s)$, $F(s, \lambda) < 1/2$ for all $\lambda \in (0, N_1) \setminus N$.

For $\lambda \in I_0$ we have by Proposition 6.1
\[
|G_0^\lambda(n, n')| \leq (C\kappa) \frac{1}{|\lambda|} e^{-\kappa |n-n'|^2}
\]
and therefore
\[
\sum_{n \neq n'} e^{\gamma |n-n'|} |G_0^\lambda(n, n')|^s \leq (K(\kappa(s-\alpha)) - 1) (C\kappa)^s \left(\frac{1}{|\lambda|}\right)^s e^{-(\alpha-\gamma)\kappa}
\]
and thus
\[
F(s, \lambda) \leq (K(\kappa(s-\alpha)) - 1) (C/4)^s e^{-(\alpha-\gamma)\kappa} \left(\frac{1}{|\lambda|\xi_s(|\eta|)}\right)^s.
\]

Therefore by the same argument $F(s, \lambda) < 1/2$ for all $\lambda \in I_0$ if $\kappa$ is large enough.

\[\square\]

We have from Theorems 8 and 9 in [24] that if for all $E \in (-1, 1)$ and a.e. $\omega$,
\[
\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}[i]} |\langle n|\Gamma^\lambda(E + i\epsilon)|0\rangle|^2 < \infty,
\]
then $\sigma_{\text{cont}}(M^\lambda) \cap (-1, 1) = \emptyset$ for a.e. $\omega$. If, furthermore, for a.e. pair $(\omega, E)$, $\omega \in \Omega$ and $E \in (-1, 1)$,
\[
\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} |\langle n|\Gamma^\lambda(E + i\epsilon)|0\rangle| < C_{\omega,E} e^{-m(E)|n|},
\]

then $\sigma_{\text{cont}}(M^\lambda) \cap (-1, 1) = \emptyset$ for a.e. $\omega$. If, furthermore, for a.e. pair $(\omega, E)$, $\omega \in \Omega$ and $E \in (-1, 1)$,
then with probability one, the eigenvectors $v_E^\lambda$ of $M^\lambda$ with eigenvalue $E \in (-1, 1)$ obey
\[ |\langle v_E^\lambda | n \rangle| < D_{\omega, E} e^{-m(E)|n|}. \tag{5.32} \]

We shall use the results of [24], Lemma 5.2 and Proposition 4.1 to prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.3** For each $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $\kappa_0 > 0$ such that for $\kappa > \kappa_0$, for each $\lambda \in (-\infty, N) \setminus \mathbb{N}_0$ with probability one, if $\lambda$ is a generalized eigenvalue of $H$ with corresponding generalized eigenfunction $\phi_\lambda$, then for any compact subset $B$ of $\mathbb{C}$, $\int_B |\phi_\lambda(z - z')|^2 dz'$ decays exponentially in $z$ with exponential length less than or equal to $2/\kappa$.

**Proof:** From Lemma 5.2 we have for all $\lambda \in (-\infty, N) \setminus \mathbb{N}_0$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\mathcal{I}z \neq 0$ and $|\mathcal{R}z| \leq 1$,
\[
\mathbb{E}\left\{ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}[i]} |\langle n | \Gamma^\lambda(z) | 0 \rangle|^2 e^{2\gamma|n|/\kappa} \right\} \leq \mathbb{E}\left\{ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}[i]} |\langle n | \Gamma^\lambda(z) | 0 \rangle|^2 e^{\gamma|n|} \right\} 
\leq 1/(2\kappa)(\xi_s(0)^4). \tag{5.33} \]

Now for a.e. pair $(\omega, E)$, $\omega \in \Omega$ and $E \in (-1, 1)$, $\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} |\langle n | \Gamma^\lambda(E + i\epsilon) | 0 \rangle|$ exists. Therefore by Fatou’s Lemma,
\[
\mathbb{E}\left\{ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}[i]} \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} |\langle n | \Gamma^\lambda(E + i\epsilon) | 0 \rangle|^2 e^{2\gamma|n|/\kappa} \right\} 
\leq \mathbb{E}\left\{ \liminf_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \left[ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}[i]} |\langle n | \Gamma^\lambda(E + i\epsilon) | 0 \rangle|^2 e^{2\gamma|n|/\kappa} \right]^{s/2} \right\} 
\leq \liminf_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \mathbb{E}\left\{ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}[i]} |\langle n | \Gamma^\lambda(E + i\epsilon) | 0 \rangle|^2 e^{2\gamma|n|/\kappa} \right\}^{s/2} 
\leq 1/(2\kappa)(\xi_s(0)^4). \tag{5.34} \]

Thus (5.30) and (5.31) are satisfied. Therefore if $\lambda$ is a generalized eigenvalue of $H$ with corresponding generalized eigenfunction $\phi_\lambda$, then by Proposition 4.1, $v_\lambda = \Gamma^\lambda U_{\lambda_n} \phi_\lambda$ is a generalized eigenvector of $M^\lambda$ with eigenvalue 0 and must satisfy
\[ |\langle v_\lambda | n \rangle| < D_\omega e^{-2\gamma|n|/\kappa}. \tag{5.35} \]

Then again by Proposition 4.1, for any compact subset $B$ of $\mathbb{C}$, $\int_B |\phi_\lambda(z - z')|^2 dz'$ decays exponentially in $z$ with exponential length less than or equal to $\max(s/(2\gamma\kappa), 2/\kappa)$. If we choose $\gamma = s/2$, then $\max(s/(2\gamma\kappa), 2/\kappa) = 2/\kappa$.

\[ \square \]

By Fubini’s Theorem, we can deduce from Lemma 3.5 the result about the decay of eigenfunctions with probability one and a.e. $\lambda$ with respect to Lebesgue measure and therefore with probability one $\sigma_{\text{ac}}(H) \cap (-\infty, N) = \emptyset$. However to be able to make a statement about $\sigma_{\text{conf}}(H)$ we have to replace a.e. $\lambda$ with respect to Lebesgue measure with a.e. $\lambda$ with respect to the spectral measure of $H(\omega)$. We do this in the Lemma 5.7 by using the ideas of [25] and the following four lemmas.

We state the first lemma without proof.
Lemma 5.4 Let \( \{f_n\} \) be a total countable subset of normalized vectors of a Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H} \) and \( H \) a self-adjoint operator on \( \mathcal{H} \) with spectral projections \( E(\cdot) \). Let \( c_n > 0, \sum_n c_n < \infty \) and \( \nu = \sum_n c_n \mu_n \), where \( \mu_n = (f_n, E(\cdot)f_n) \). Then \( \nu(A) = 0 \) implies that \( E(A) = 0 \).

Lemma 5.5 For each \( N \in \mathbb{N}_0 \), there exists an open set \( J_N \subset \mathbb{C} \), containing \( I_N \), such that for \( \kappa \) sufficiently large with probability one, \( M^\lambda \) is invertible for all \( \lambda \in J_N \setminus I_N \).

Proof Let \( \lambda \in I_N \) and \( |\epsilon| < 1, \epsilon \neq 0 \). Let
\[
\langle n|X|n' \rangle = \begin{cases} c_n^{i\epsilon} & \text{if } n = n' \\ -G_0^\lambda(n, n') & \text{if } n \neq n' \end{cases}
\]
(5.36)
Then \( ||X\xi|| \geq \frac{2\pi}{\kappa} ||\mathcal{I}\psi(-(\lambda + i\epsilon)))||\xi|| \). Therefore \( X \) is invertible and
\[
||X^{-1}|| \leq \frac{1}{2\kappa ||\mathcal{I}(\psi(-(\lambda + i\epsilon)))||}
\]
(5.37)
Let
\[
\langle n|Y|n' \rangle = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n = n' \\ -i\epsilon(G_0^\lambda G_0^{\lambda+i\epsilon})(n, n') & \text{if } n \neq n' \end{cases}
\]
(5.38)
so that
\[
M^\lambda = X + Y = X(1 + X^{-1}Y)
\]
(5.39)
From Proposition 6.2 in Appendix A we have for \( \lambda \) with \( R\lambda \in I_N \), \( N \in \mathbb{N} \), and \( ||\mathcal{I}\lambda|| \leq 1 \),
\[
|G_0^\lambda(z, z')| \leq C^N\kappa N^N|\Gamma(-R\lambda)|(1 + \ln(2\kappa|z - z'|^2))
\]
(5.40)
for \( 2\kappa|z - z'|^2 < 1 \) and
\[
|G_0^\lambda(z, z')| \leq C^N\kappa N^{-2N}|\Gamma(-R\lambda)|e^{-\frac{2}{\kappa}|z - z'|^2}
\]
(5.41)
for \( 2\kappa|z - z'|^2 \geq 1 \).

Therefore if \( \lambda \in I_N \), \( N \in \mathbb{N} \), \( |\epsilon| < 1 \), \( \kappa > 2 \) and \( n, n' \in \mathbb{Z}[i] \) with \( n \neq n' \),
\[
|(G_0^\lambda G_0^{\lambda+i\epsilon})(n, n')| \leq C^{2N}\kappa^2|\Gamma(-\lambda)|^2N^{3N}
\]
\[
\times \left\{ \int_{2\kappa|z-n|^2<1} dz(1 + \ln(2\kappa|z - n|^2))e^{-\frac{2}{\kappa}|z-n'|^2} + \int_{2\kappa|z-n'|^2<1} dz(1 + \ln(2\kappa|z - n'|^2))e^{-\frac{2}{\kappa}|z-n|^2} + N^N \int dz e^{-\frac{2}{\kappa}|z-n|^2}e^{-\frac{2}{\kappa}|z-n'|^2} \right\}
\]
\[
\leq 2\pi C^{2N}\kappa |\Gamma(-\lambda)|^2N^{3N}e^{-\frac{2}{\kappa}|n-n'|^2}\left\{ \int_{0}^{1}(1 + \ln r^2)rdr + N^N e^{-\frac{2}{\kappa}} \right\}
\]
\[
\leq 2C^{2N}\kappa^2|\Gamma(-\lambda)|^2N^{4N}e^{-\frac{2}{\kappa}|n-n'|^2}
\]
\[
\leq e^{-\frac{2}{\kappa}}C^{2N}|\Gamma(-\lambda)|^2N^{4N}e^{-\frac{2}{\kappa}|n-n'|^2}
\]
(5.42)
if \( \kappa \) is large enough. Therefore
\[
||Y|| \leq \epsilon e^{-\frac{2}{\kappa}}C^{2N}|\Gamma(-\lambda)|^2||T||
\]
(5.43)
where $T$ is the operator with matrix $\langle n | T | n' \rangle = e^{-1/8|n-n'|^2}$. Now take $\lambda \in (N - 1, N - \frac{1}{2}]$ and $|\epsilon| < \lambda - N + 1$. In this interval

$$|\Gamma(-\lambda)| \leq \frac{a_N}{(\lambda - N + 1)}$$

(5.44)

On the other hand by [19] 6.3.16

$$\mathcal{I} \psi(- (\lambda + i\epsilon)) = -\epsilon \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\lambda - k)^2 + \epsilon^2}$$

(5.45)

Therefore

$$|\mathcal{I} \psi(- (\lambda + i\epsilon))| = |\epsilon| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\lambda - k)^2 + \epsilon^2} > \frac{|\epsilon|}{(\lambda - N + 1)^2 + \epsilon^2}$$

$$> \frac{|\epsilon|}{2(\lambda - N + 1)^2}. \tag{5.46}$$

Thus

$$||X^{-1}Y|| \leq ||X^{-1}|| ||Y|| \leq \frac{\pi}{4k} a_N^2 C^{2N} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2\lambda}} ||T|| < 1 \tag{5.47}$$

if $\kappa$ is sufficiently large. Thus $M^{\lambda + i\epsilon}$ is invertible. We can use the same argument if $\lambda \in [N - \frac{1}{2}, N)$ and $|\epsilon| < N - \lambda$.

Using the bounds in Proposition 6.1, a similar calculation to the above gives for $\lambda \in I_0$,

$$||Y|| \leq e e^{-\frac{\pi}{2\lambda}} C^{2N} |\lambda|^{-2} ||T||. \tag{5.48}$$

Then using the inequality

$$|\mathcal{I} \psi(- (\lambda + i\epsilon))| > \frac{|\epsilon|}{|\lambda|^2 + \epsilon^2}, \tag{5.49}$$

we can show that $M^{\lambda + i\epsilon}$ is invertible if $|\epsilon| < |\lambda|$.

\[ \square \]

**Lemma 5.6** For $n \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ and $\lambda \in J_N \setminus I_N$, let $\phi_n^\lambda = c_{\lambda,n} U_\lambda^* \Gamma^\lambda |n\rangle$ where $c_{\lambda,n} = ||U_\lambda^* \Gamma^\lambda |n\rangle||^{-1}$ so that $||\phi_n^\lambda|| = 1$. Then if $[a, b] \subset I_N$, the set $\{ \phi_n^\lambda : n \in \mathbb{Z}[i], \lambda \in (J_N \setminus I_N) \cap \mathbb{Q}[i] \}$ is total in $\mathbf{E}([a, b]) \mathcal{H}$.

**Proof:** For $n \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ and $\lambda \in J_N$ let $\tilde{\phi}_n^\lambda = U_\lambda^* |n\rangle$. Then if $\lambda \in J_N \setminus I_N$,

$$\tilde{\phi}_n^\lambda = \sum_{n' \in \mathbb{Z}[i]} c_{\lambda,n'}^{-1} \langle n' | M^\lambda |n\rangle \phi_n^{\lambda'}. \tag{5.50}$$

Also if $\lambda \to \lambda'$ then $\tilde{\phi}_n^\lambda \to \tilde{\phi}_n^{\lambda'}$. Therefore it is sufficient to prove that the set $\{ \tilde{\phi}_n^\lambda : n \in \mathbb{Z}[i], \lambda \in I_n \}$ is total. We do this by showing that the orthogonal complement of this set is in the orthogonal complement of $\mathbf{E}([a, b]) \mathcal{H}$.

Let $f \in \mathcal{H}$ and suppose that $\langle \tilde{\phi}_n^\lambda, f \rangle = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ and all $\lambda \in [a, b]$. Then since $(G^\lambda f)(n) = \langle \tilde{\phi}_n^\lambda, f \rangle$, $G^\lambda f = G^b_0 f$. Therefore $\mathbf{E}([a, b]) G^\lambda f = \mathbf{E}([a, b]) G^b_0 f$ and thus

$$\sup_{\lambda \in [a, b]} ||\mathbf{E}([a, b]) G^\lambda f|| \leq \sup_{\lambda \in [a, b]} ||G^b_0 f|| < \infty. \tag{5.51}$$
Let $\mu_1(A) = (f, E([a, b] \cap A) f)$. Then

$$||E([a, b])G^\lambda f||^2 = \int_{[a, b]} \frac{\mu_1(d\lambda')}{|\lambda - \lambda'|^2}. \quad (5.52)$$

Let $x_i = a + (b - a)i/M$, $i = 0, \ldots, M$ and $\lambda_i = \frac{1}{2}(x_i + x_{i+1})$. Then

$$\int_{[a, b]} \frac{\mu_1(d\lambda')}{|\lambda' - \lambda|^2} \geq \int_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]} \frac{\mu_1(d\lambda')}{|\lambda' - \lambda|^2} \geq \frac{4M^2}{(b - a)^2} \mu_1([x_i, x_{i+1}]) \quad (5.53)$$

Therefore

$$\sup_{\lambda \in [a, b]} \int_{[a, b]} \frac{\mu_1(d\lambda')}{|\lambda' - \lambda|^2} \geq \frac{4M^2}{(b - a)^2} \mu_1([a, b]). \quad (5.54)$$

for all $i$, and so

$$\sup_{\lambda \in [a, b]} \int_{[a, b]} \frac{\mu_1(d\lambda')}{|\lambda - \lambda'|^2} \geq \frac{4M^2}{(b - a)^2} \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=0}^{M} \mu_1([x_i, x_{i+1}]) \geq \frac{4M}{(b - a)^2} \mu_1([a, b]). \quad (5.55)$$

Since $M$ is arbitrary $\sup_{\lambda \in [a, b]} ||E([a, b])G^\lambda f|| = \infty$ unless $\mu_1([a, b]) = 0$. But $\mu_1([a, b]) = ||E([a, b])f||^2$.

\[ \square \]

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the $\sigma$-algebra generated by $\{\omega_{n'} : n' \in \mathbb{Z}[i]\}$ and let $\mathcal{F}_n$ be the sub $\sigma$-algebra generated by $\{\omega_{n'} : n' \neq n\}$. Let $\mathcal{B}_N$ be the Borel sets of $I_N$.

**Lemma 5.7** Let $B \mapsto E(B)$ be the spectral measure of $H$ and $A \in \cap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}[i]} (\mathcal{F}_n \otimes \mathcal{B}_N)$. If for a.e $\lambda \in I_N$ with respect to Lebesgue measure $E \{1_{A}(\cdot, \lambda)\} = 0$, then $E \{E(\{\lambda : (\cdot, \lambda) \in A\})\} = 0$.

**Proof:** If for a.e $\lambda$ with respect to Lebesgue measure $E \{1_{A}(\cdot, \lambda)\} = 0$, then by Fubini’s Theorem $E \left\{ \int_{I_N} d\lambda 1_{A}(\cdot, \lambda) \right\} = 0$.

Let $\Lambda$ be a bounded subset of $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ and let $H_{\Lambda}$ be defined in the same way as $H$ with $\mathcal{M}$ replaced by $\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda} = l^2(\Lambda)$. By the same argument as in Proposition 4.1 $\lambda \notin \mathbb{N}_0$ is an eigenvalue of $H_{\Lambda}$ if and only if there exists $v \in \mathcal{M}_{\Lambda}$ such that $M_{\Lambda}^\lambda v = 0$, where $M_{\Lambda}^\lambda$ is the restriction of $M^\lambda$ to $\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda}$. Then the corresponding eigenfunction is $U_{\lambda}^* v$. Since in the interval $I_N$, $\psi$ is bijective it is clear that there are $|\Lambda|$ eigenvalues in $I_N$.

Let $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{|\Lambda|}$ be the eigenvalues in $I_N$, say, and let $v_1, \ldots, v_{|\Lambda|}$ be the corresponding vectors such that $M_{\Lambda}^\lambda v_k = 0$. Let $u_n = 1/\omega_n$. Then for $n \in \Lambda$ we get

$$\frac{d\lambda_k}{du_n} = -\frac{|\langle v_k | n \rangle|^2}{||U_{\lambda_k}^* v_k||} \quad (5.56)$$

If $M_{\Lambda}^\lambda v_k = 0$ and $\langle v_k | n \rangle = 0$ for a particular value of $u_n$ then $M_{\Lambda}^\lambda v_k = 0$ for all values of $u_n$. We shall see later that we can ignore these eigenvalues.

We see from equation (5.56) that each $\lambda_k$ is a monotonic decreasing function of $u_n$. Moreover as $u_n \to \pm \infty$, the $\lambda_k$’s become identical, except the value of $\lambda_k$
corresponding to the \( v_k \) which tends to \( |n| \) and this latter value of \( \lambda_k \) decreases from \( N \) to \( N - 1 \) (respectively \(-\infty \) if \( N = 0 \)) as \( u_n \) increases from \(-\infty \) to \(+\infty \). Therefore

\[
\sum_k \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\lambda_k) \frac{d\lambda_k}{du_n} = -\int_{I_N} f(\lambda) d\lambda.
\] (5.57)

Let \( \psi_k = \frac{U^*_k v_k}{||U^*_k v_k||} \) so that \( H_{\lambda_k} \psi_k = \lambda_k \psi_k \). Let \( \lambda \in J_N \setminus I_N \) and \( n \in \mathbb{Z}[i] \). For \( B \subset I_N \) let \( \mu_{\lambda}^{n,B}(B) = \langle \phi_n^\lambda, E_{\lambda}(B) \phi_n^\lambda \rangle \) where \( E_{\lambda} \) is the spectral measure of \( H_{\lambda} \). Then for \( \lambda \) sufficiently large

\[
\mu_{\lambda}^{n,B}(B) = \sum_{\lambda_k \in B} |\langle \phi_n^\lambda, \psi_k \rangle|^2
\]

\[
= ||\Gamma_{\lambda}^{d}|n\rangle||^{-2} \sum_{\lambda_k \in B} \frac{1}{|\lambda - \lambda_k|^2} |\langle n | v_k \rangle|^2
\]

\[
= -||\Gamma_{\lambda}^{d}|n\rangle||^{-2} \sum_{\lambda_k \in B} \frac{1}{|\lambda - \lambda_k|^2} d\lambda_k.
\] (5.58)

Note that if \( \langle n | v_k \rangle = 0 \) then the corresponding term in (5.58) is absent. Also if \( \lambda_k \) is degenerate, we can choose the corresponding orthogonal set of eigenvectors so that only one satisfies \( \langle n | v_k \rangle \neq 0 \). Therefore there is only one term corresponding to such \( \lambda_k \) in the sum (5.58). From (5.58) and (5.57) we get

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du_n \mu_{\lambda}^{n,B}(B) = ||\Gamma_{\lambda}^{d}|n\rangle||^{-2} \int_B \frac{d\lambda'}{|\lambda' - \lambda|^2}.
\] (5.59)

and thus

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du_n \rho(u_n) \mu_{\lambda}^{n,B}(B) \leq ||\Gamma_{\lambda}^{d}|n\rangle||^{-2} ||\rho||_{\infty} \int_B \frac{d\lambda'}{|\lambda' - \lambda|^2}.
\] (5.60)

If \( \mu^{n,\lambda}(B) = \langle \phi_n^\lambda, E(B) \phi_n^\lambda \rangle \) then by the weak convergence of \( \mu_{\lambda}^{n,B} \) to \( \mu^{n,\lambda} \) we have the bound (5.60) for \( \mu^{n,\lambda} \). By Kotani’s argument [23], we have that

\[
\mathbb{E} \left\{ \int_n \mu^{n,\lambda}(\lambda') \mathbb{1}_A(\cdot, \lambda') \right\} = 0. \]

By Lemmas 5.4 and 5.6 we get that

\[
\mathbb{E} \left\{ \mathbb{E}(\{ \lambda : (\cdot, \lambda) \in A \}) \right\} = 0.
\]

By combining Lemmas 5.3 and 5.7 we obtain our final theorem.

**Theorem 5.8** For each \( N \in \mathbb{N} \) there exists \( \kappa_0 > 0 \) such that for \( \kappa > \kappa_0 \), with probability one, \( \sigma_{\text{cont}}(H) \cap (-\infty, N) = \emptyset \), and if \( \lambda \in \sigma(H) \cap (-\infty, N) \setminus \mathbb{N}_0 \), is an eigenvalue of \( H \) and the corresponding eigenfunction is \( \phi_{\lambda} \), then for any compact subset \( B \) of \( \mathbb{C} \), \( \int_B |\phi_{\lambda}(z - z')|^2 dz' \) decays exponentially in \( z \) with exponential length less than or equal to \( 2/\kappa \).
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6 Appendix A. Bounds for the Green’s Function

In this appendix we shall obtain bounds on the Green’s function $G_0^\lambda(z, z')$. Our basic tools are the integral representation (13.2.5)

$$\Gamma(a)U(a, b, \rho) = \int_0^\infty dt e^{-\rho t}t^{a-1}(1 + t)^{b-a-1}, \quad (6.1)$$

which is valid for $Ra > 0$ and $\rho > 0$ and the recurrence relation (13.4.18)

$$U(a, b, \rho) = \rho U(a + 1, b + 1, \rho) - (b - a - 1)U(a + 1, b, \rho). \quad (6.2)$$

We first obtain bounds for $|G_0^\lambda(z, z')|$ when $\Re \lambda < 0$.

**Proposition 6.1** There exists a constant $C < \infty$, such that for $\Re \lambda \in I_0$,

$$|G_0^\lambda(z, z')| \leq C\kappa e^{-\kappa |z - z'|^2} \left\{ \frac{1}{|\Re \lambda|} + e^{-\sqrt{2\kappa |\Re \lambda|}|z - z'|} \left( 1 + \ln \left( 2\kappa |z - z'| \right) \right) \right\}, \quad (6.3)$$

if $2\kappa |z - z'|^2 \leq 1$, and

$$|G_0^\lambda(z, z')| \leq \frac{C\kappa}{|\Re \lambda|} e^{-\kappa |z - z'|^2}, \quad (6.4)$$

if $2\kappa |z - z'|^2 > 1$.

**Proof:** Let $\lambda = x + iy$ with $x < 0$. Then from (6.1) we get

$$|\Gamma(-\lambda)U(-\lambda, 1, \rho)| \leq \int_0^\infty dt e^{-\rho t}|x|^{-1}(1 + t)^{-|x|}$$

$$= \int_0^1 dt e^{-\rho t}|x|^{-1}(1 + t)^{-|x|} + \int_1^\infty dt e^{-\rho t}|x|^{-1}(1 + t)^{-|x|}$$

$$\leq \int_0^1 dt \ t^{-|x|} + \int_1^\infty \ dt \left( \frac{t}{1 + t} \right)^{-|x|}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{|x|} + \int_1^\infty \ dt \ e^{-\left( \frac{\rho + |x|}{2t} \right) t}. \quad (6.5)$$

If $\rho \leq 1$, we have

$$|\Gamma(-\lambda)U(-\lambda, 1, \rho)| \leq \frac{1}{|x|} + \int_1^\infty dt \ e^{-\frac{\rho t}{2} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\rho + |x|}{t} \right)}}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{|x|} + e^{-\left( \rho |x| \right) \frac{1}{2}} \int_1^\infty dt \ e^{-\frac{\rho t}{t}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{|x|} + e^{-\left( \rho |x| \right) \frac{1}{2}} \int_{\frac{1}{\rho}}^\infty dt \ e^{-t}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{|x|} - e^{-\left( \rho |x| \right) \frac{1}{2}} \ln(\rho/2) + e^{-\left( \rho |x| \right) \frac{1}{2}} \int_{1/\rho}^\infty dt \ e^{-t}$$

$$= \frac{1}{|x|} + e^{-\left( \rho |x| \right) \frac{1}{2}} |\ln(\rho/2)| + \frac{e^{-\left( \rho |x| \right) \frac{1}{2}}}{e}.$$
Thus,

\[
|\Gamma(-\lambda)U(-\lambda, 1, \rho)| \leq C \left\{ \frac{1}{|x|} + (1 + |\ln \rho|) e^{-|\rho| |x|^{1/2}} \right\}. \tag{6.6}
\]

If \(\rho > 1\), we have

\[
|\Gamma(-\lambda)U(-\lambda, 1, \rho)| \leq \frac{1}{|x|} + \int_{1}^{\infty} dt \frac{e^{-(t + |x|/2\pi)} t^{-2\rho}}{t}
\leq \frac{1}{|x|} + \int_{1}^{\infty} dt \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2} t} e^{-\frac{1}{2} (t + |x|)}}{t}
\leq \frac{1}{|x|} + e^{-|x|^{1/2}} \int_{1}^{\infty} dt e^{-\frac{1}{2} t}
\leq \frac{1}{|x|} + 2e^{-|x|^{1/2}}
\]

Therefore,

\[
|\Gamma(-\lambda)U(-\lambda, 1, \rho)| \leq \frac{C}{|x|}. \tag{6.7}
\]

Inserting the inequalities \((6.6)\) and \((6.7)\) into \((2.6)\) we get Proposition 6.1.

\[\square\]

Now we shall obtain bounds for \(R\lambda > 0\).

**Proposition 6.2** There exists a constant \(C < \infty\), such that for \(R\lambda \in I_{N}\), \(N \in \mathbb{N}, |Z\lambda| \leq 1\),

\[
|G_{0}^\prime(z, z')| \leq \kappa C^{N} N^{N} |\Gamma(-R\lambda)|(1 + |\ln(2\kappa |z - z'|^{2})|) e^{-\kappa |z - z'|^{2}}, \tag{6.8}
\]

if \(2\kappa |z - z'|^{2} \leq 1\), and

\[
|G_{0}^\prime(z, z')| \leq (C\kappa)^{N+1} N^{N} |\Gamma(-R\lambda)||z - z'|^{2N} e^{-\kappa |z - z'|^{2}}, \tag{6.9}
\]

if \(2\kappa |z - z'|^{2} > 1\).

Let \(\lambda = x + iy\). We shall prove that if \(N - 1 < x < N\), \(N \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, b \in \mathbb{N}\) and \(\rho > 1\), then

\[
|U(-\lambda, b, \rho)| \leq 2^{b+N-1} \rho^{x}(b + N + |y|)^{N} \left| \frac{\Gamma(-x)}{\Gamma(-\lambda)} \right| e^{-(\rho-2)(\rho + |y| + 1)} N^{N} \frac{(b + N)!}{\Gamma(N - \lambda)}. \tag{6.10}
\]

We shall do this by induction on \(N\). We first prove \((6.10)\) for \(N = 0\) by using \((5.1)\) which gives

\[
|\Gamma(-\lambda)U(-\lambda, b, \rho)| \leq \int_{0}^{1} dt e^{-\rho t} (1 + t)^{b+x-1} \int_{1}^{\infty} dt e^{-\rho t} (1 + t)^{b+x-1} = I_{1} + I_{2}.
\]

We now take \(\rho > 1\), \(-1 < x < 0\) and \(b \geq 1\). For \(I_{1}\), since \(t < 1\), we get

\[
I_{1} \leq 2^{b-1} \int_{0}^{1} dt e^{-\rho t} (1 + t)^{b+x-1} = 2^{b-1} \rho^{x} \int_{0}^{\rho} dt e^{-t} (1 + t)^{b+x-1}
\leq 2^{b-1} \rho^{x} \int_{0}^{\infty} dt e^{-t} (1 + t)^{b+x-1} = 2^{b-1} \rho^{x} \Gamma(-x).
\]
On the other hand, using $t > 1$, we get

$$I_2 = \int_1^\infty dt e^{-(\rho-1)t}e^{-t(x+1)}(1+t)^{b+x-1} \leq e^{-(\rho-1)} \int_1^\infty dt e^{-t(x+1)}(1+t)^{b+x-1} \leq e^{-(\rho-1)} \int_1^\infty dt e^{-t(1+t)^{b-1}}.$$

Therefore

$$I_2 \leq e^{-(\rho-1)} \int_2^\infty ds e^{-s+1}s^{b-1} \leq e^{-(\rho-2)} \int_0^\infty ds e^{-s}s^{b-1} = e^{-(\rho-2)}\Gamma(b) \leq e^{-(\rho-2)}b!. \quad (6.11)$$

Thus we have

$$|\Gamma(-\lambda)|U(-\lambda, b, \rho)| \leq 2^{b-1}\rho^{x}\Gamma(-x) + e^{-(\rho-2)}b! \quad (6.12)$$

for $\rho > 1$ and $-1 < x < 0$, or

$$|U(-\lambda, b, \rho)| \leq 2^{b-1}\rho^{x}\left|\frac{\Gamma(-x)}{\Gamma(-\lambda)}\right| + e^{-(\rho-2)}\frac{b!}{\Gamma(-\lambda)}. \quad (6.13)$$

Suppose that (5.10) is true for $N - 1 < x < N$. Then by using the recurrence relation (5.2), we get for $N < x < N + 1$

$$|U(-\lambda, b, \rho)| \leq \rho\left\{2^{b+N}\rho^{(x-1)}(b+N+|y|+1)^N\left[\frac{\Gamma(-x+1)}{\Gamma(-\lambda+1)}\right] + e^{-(\rho-2)}(\rho + |y| + 1)^N \frac{(b+N+1)!}{\Gamma(N+\lambda+1)} \right\}$$

$$+ |b+\lambda-1|\left\{2^{b+N-1}\rho^{(x-1)}(b+N+|y|)^N\left[\frac{\Gamma(-x+1)}{\Gamma(-\lambda+1)}\right] + e^{-(\rho-2)}(\rho + 1 + |y|)^N \frac{(b+N)!}{\Gamma(N+\lambda+1)} \right\}. \quad (6.14)$$

The identity $\Gamma(x+1) = x\Gamma(x)$ gives

$$\left|\frac{\Gamma(-x+1)}{\Gamma(-\lambda+1)}\right| = \left|\frac{x}{\lambda}\right|\left|\frac{\Gamma(-x)}{\Gamma(-\lambda)}\right| \leq \left|\frac{\Gamma(-x)}{\Gamma(-\lambda)}\right|.$$

Therefore

$$|U(-\lambda, b, \rho)| \leq 2^{b+N}\rho^{x}\left\{(b+N+|y|+1)^N + \left|\frac{b+\lambda-1}{2\rho}(b+N+|y|)\right|^N\right\}\left|\frac{\Gamma(-x)}{\Gamma(-\lambda)}\right|$$

$$+ e^{-(\rho-2)}(\rho + |y| + 1)^N \frac{(b+N+1)!}{\Gamma(N+\lambda+1)} \left\{\rho + \left|\frac{b+\lambda-1}{b+N+1}\right| \right\} \leq 2^{b+N}(b+N+|y|+1)^N \rho^{x}\left\{1 + \left|\frac{b+\lambda-1}{2\rho}\right|^N\right\}\left|\frac{\Gamma(-x)}{\Gamma(-\lambda)}\right|$$

$$+ e^{-(\rho-2)}(\rho + |y| + 1)^{N+1} \frac{(b+N+1)!}{\Gamma(N+\lambda+1)}.$$ 

Therefore since $2 \leq b+N+|y|+1$ and $|b+\lambda-1| \leq b+N+|y|$ we get the required bound

$$|U(-\lambda, b, \rho)| \leq 2^{b+N}\rho^{x}(b+N+|y|+1)^{N+1}\left|\frac{\Gamma(-x)}{\Gamma(-\lambda)}\right| + e^{-(\rho-2)}(\rho + 1)^{N+1} \frac{(b+N+1)!}{\Gamma(N+\lambda+1)}.$$
This gives the following bound on the Green’s function for $2\kappa |z - z'|^2 \geq 1$ and $N - 1 < x < N$ and $|y| \leq 1$

$$|G_0^\lambda(z, z')| \leq \frac{2\kappa}{\pi} e^{-\kappa|z-z'|^2} |\Gamma(-\lambda)|$$

$$\times \left\{ (2\kappa)^7 (2(2 + N))^N |z - z'|^{2x} \frac{|\Gamma(-x)|}{\Gamma(-\lambda)} + e^2 (2 + 2\kappa |z - z'|^2)^N \frac{(N + 1)!}{\Gamma(N - \lambda)} e^{-2\kappa|z-z'|^2} \right\}. \quad (6.14)$$

From (6.14) we get for $N - 1 < x < N$ with $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$|G_0^\lambda(z, z')| \leq (C\kappa)^{N+1} N^N |\Gamma(-x)||z - z'|^{2N} e^{-\kappa|z-z'|^2} \quad (6.15)$$

since $|\Gamma(-\lambda)| \leq |\Gamma(-x)|$ and $\Gamma(N - \lambda)$ is bounded below.

We shall prove, again by induction, that for $N - 1 < x < N$, $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $b \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\rho \leq 1$

$$|U(-\lambda, b, \rho)| \leq 2^{N+4} (b + N)! \frac{|\Gamma(-x)|}{\Gamma(-\lambda)} \frac{1 + |\ln \rho|}{\rho^{b-1}}. \quad (6.16)$$

We first prove (6.16) for $N = 0$. From (5.7) we have for $\rho \leq 1$, and $x < 0$,

$$|\Gamma(-\lambda)U(-\lambda, 1, \rho)| \leq \frac{1}{|x|} + \frac{1}{e} + |\ln \rho|. \quad (6.17)$$

Thus

$$|U(-\lambda, 1, \rho)| \leq \frac{|\Gamma(-x)|}{\Gamma(-\lambda)} \left\{ \frac{1}{|x|\Gamma(-\lambda)} + \frac{1}{e\Gamma(-x)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\lambda)} |\ln \rho| \right\}. \quad (6.18)$$

Since $-1 < x < 0$, $\Gamma(-x) > 1$ and $|x\Gamma(-x) = \Gamma(-x + 1) > (e - 1)/e$, this gives

$$|U(-\lambda, 1, \rho)| \leq \frac{|\Gamma(-x)|}{\Gamma(-\lambda)} \left\{ \frac{e^2 + e - 1}{e^2 - e} + |\ln \rho| \right\} \leq (2 + |\ln \rho|) \frac{|\Gamma(-x)|}{\Gamma(-\lambda)} \leq 2\frac{|\Gamma(-x)|}{\Gamma(-\lambda)} (1 + |\ln \rho|). \quad (6.19)$$

Now we take $b \geq 2$.

$$|\Gamma(-\lambda)U(-\lambda, b, \rho)| \leq \int_0^\infty dt e^{-\rho t} t^{-(x+1)} (1 + t)^{b+x-1}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\rho^{b-1}} \int_0^\infty dt e^{-t} t^{-(x+1)} (\rho + t)^{b+x-1}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\rho^{b-1}} \int_0^\infty dt e^{-t} t^{-(x+1)} (1 + t)^{b+x-1}$$

since $b + x - 1 \geq 0$. Thus we have for $b \geq 2$, and $-1 < x < 0$

$$|\Gamma(-\lambda)U(-\lambda, b, \rho)| \leq \frac{1}{\rho^{b-1}} |\Gamma(-x)U(-x, b, 1)|. \quad (6.20)$$
By inserting the bound obtained from (6.12) by letting \( \rho \) tend to 1,

\[
\Gamma(-x)U(-x, b, 1) \leq 2^{b-1}\Gamma(-x) + eb!,
\]

(6.21)

into this inequality we get

\[
|U(-\lambda, b, \rho)| \leq \frac{1}{\rho^{b-1}} \left\{ 2^{b-1} + \frac{eb!}{\Gamma(-x)} \right\} \left| \frac{\Gamma(-x)}{\Gamma(-\lambda)} \right| \leq 2^{b+4}! \left( \frac{1 + |\ln \rho|}{\rho^b} \right).
\]

(6.22)

We can combine this with the inequality (5.13) to get for \( b \in \mathbb{N}, -1 < \lambda < 0 \) and \( \rho < 1 \),

\[
|U(-\lambda, b, \rho)| \leq 2^b! \left( \frac{1 + |\ln \rho|}{\rho^b} \right).
\]

(6.23)

Using the recurrence relation (6.2) and the induction hypothesis we get for \( N < \lambda < N + 1 \),

\[
|U(-\lambda, b, \rho)| \leq \rho \left\{ \leq 2^{N+4}(b + N + 1)! \left| \frac{\Gamma(-x + 1)}{\Gamma(-\lambda + 1)} \right| \frac{(1 + |\ln \rho|)}{\rho^b} \right\}
\]

\[
+ |b + \lambda - 1| 2^{N+4}(b + N)! \left| \frac{\Gamma(-x + 1)}{\Gamma(-\lambda + 1)} \right| \frac{(1 + |\ln \rho|)}{\rho^b} (b + N + 1 + |b + \lambda - 1|)
\]

\[
\leq 2^{N+4}(b + N)! \left| \frac{\Gamma(-x + 1)}{\Gamma(-\lambda + 1)} \right| \frac{(1 + |\ln \rho|)}{\rho^b} (b + N + 1 + |b + \lambda - 1|)
\]

\[
\leq 2^{N+5}(b + N + 1)! \left| \frac{\Gamma(-x)}{\Gamma(-\lambda)} \right| \frac{(1 + |\ln \rho|)}{\rho^b}.
\]

(6.24)

In particular with \( b = 1 \), (6.16) gives for \( N - 1 < x < N \) and \( \rho \leq 1 \)

\[
|U(-\lambda, 1, \rho)| \leq 2^{N+4}(N + 1)! \left| \frac{\Gamma(-x)}{\Gamma(-\lambda)} \right| (1 + |\ln \rho|).
\]

(6.25)

This gives us the required bound on the Green's function for \( N - 1 < x < N \), and \( 2\kappa|z - z'|^2 \leq 1 \)

\[
|G_0^\delta(z, z')| \leq \frac{2\kappa}{\pi} |\Gamma(-x)|2^{N+4}(N + 1)! (1 + |\ln(2\kappa|z - z'|^2)|) e^{-\kappa |z - z'|^2}
\]

\[
\leq \kappa C N |\Gamma(-x)| (1 + |\ln(2\kappa|z - z'|^2)|) e^{-\kappa |z - z'|^2}.
\]

(6.26)

7 Appendix B. Regularity of \( \mu \)

**Definition** A probability measure \( \mu \) on \( \mathbb{R} \) is said to be \( \tau \)-regular, with \( \tau \in (0, 1] \), if there exists \( \nu > 0 \) and \( C < \infty \) such that

\[
\mu([x - \delta, x + \delta]) \leq C\delta^\tau \mu([x - \nu, x + \nu])
\]

(7.1)

for all \( x \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( 0 < \delta < 1 \).

Note that it is equivalent to requiring that there exists \( \nu > 0 \) and \( C < \infty \) such that

\[
\mu([x - \delta, x + \delta]) \leq C\delta^\tau \mu([x - \nu, x + \nu])
\]

(7.2)
for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 < \delta < \nu$. We shall prove this with $\tau = 1$. Recall that the probability measure $\mu_0$ has support is an interval $[-a, a]$ with $a < \infty$. $\mu_0$ is symmetric about the origin and that its density $\rho_0$ is differentiable on $(-a, a)$ and satisfies the following condition

$$A \equiv \sup_{\zeta \in (0, a)} \frac{\rho_0'(\zeta)}{\rho_0(\zeta)} < \infty. \quad (7.3)$$

If $B \subset \mathbb{R}$, $\mu(B) = \mu_0(\{ \omega : 1/\omega \in B \})$ and the density of $\mu$, $\rho$, is given by

$$\rho(x) = \frac{\rho_0(1/x)}{x^2}. \quad (7.4)$$

Since in our case $\mu$ is symmetric about the origin, it is sufficient to prove (7.2) for $x \geq 0$. Also it is easy to see that the following condition is sufficient for (7.2) with $\tau = 1$.

There exists $\nu > 0$ and $C < 0$ such that

$$\rho(x + t') \leq C \rho(x + t) \quad (7.5)$$

for all $x \in R_+, -\nu \leq t' \leq t \leq \nu$.

Then

$$\mu([x - \delta, x + \delta]) = \frac{\delta}{\nu} \int_{-\nu}^{\nu} \rho(x + \frac{\delta}{\nu} t) dt \leq \frac{\delta(C + 1)}{\nu} \int_{0}^{\nu} \rho(x + \frac{\delta}{\nu} t) dt \leq \frac{\delta(C + 1)C}{\nu} \int_{0}^{\nu} \rho(x + t) dt = \frac{\delta(C + 1)C}{\nu} \mu([x, x + \nu]) \quad (7.6)$$

Let $b = 1/a$. If $0 \leq x \leq b - t'$, then $\rho(x + t') = 0$. If $x > b - t'$, then

$$\ln \rho_0(1/(x + t')) - \ln \rho_0(1/(x + t)) = \frac{t - t'}{(x + t)(x + t')} \rho_0'(\zeta), \quad (7.7)$$

where $\zeta \in (1/(x + t), 1/(x + t'))$. Thus

$$\ln \rho_0(1/(x + t')) - \ln \rho_0(1/(x + t)) \leq \max(0, \frac{2A\nu}{b^2}). \quad (7.8)$$

Therefore, with $C' = \exp(\max(0, \frac{2A\nu}{b^2}))$,

$$\rho_0(1/(x + t')) \leq C' \rho_0(1/(x + t)). \quad (7.9)$$

But

$$\left(\frac{x + t}{x + t'}\right)^2 \leq \left(\frac{b + 2\nu}{b}\right)^2. \quad (7.10)$$

Thus the inequality (7.5) is satisfied with $C = C' \left(\frac{b + 2\nu}{b}\right)^2$. Finally note that

$$\int |x|^\epsilon \mu(dx) = \int |x|^{-\epsilon} \rho_0(x) dx < \infty \quad (7.11)$$

for all $\epsilon < 1$ since $\rho_0$ is continuous at the origin.
References

[1] T.C. Dorlas, N. Macris and J.V. Pulé: *Helv. Phys. Acta.* **68**, 330 (1995).
[2] T.C. Dorlas, N. Macris and J.V. Pulé: *J. Math. Phys.* **37**, 1574 (1996).
[3] J.M. Combes and P.D. Hislop: *Comm. Math. Phys.* **177**, 603 (1996).
[4] W-M. Wang: *J. Funct. Anal.* **146**, 1 (1997).
[5] T.C. Dorlas, N. Macris and J.V. Pulé: *J. Stat. Phys.* **87**, 847 (1997).
[6] V. Geiler: *St. Petersburg. Math. J.* **3**, 489 (1992).
[7] S.A. Gredeskul, M. Zusman, Y. Avishai and M.Ya. Azbel': *Phys. Rep.* **288**, 223 (1997).
[8] E. Brezin, D.J. Gross and C. Itzykson: *Nucl. Phys. B* **235[FS11]**, 24 (1984).
[9] L. Erdős: *Probability and Related Fields* **112**, 321 (1998).
[10] B. Huckestein: *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **67**, 357, (1995).
[11] F.A. Berezin and L.D. Fadeev: *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **2**, 372 (1961).
[12] M. Aizenman and S. Molchanov: *Commun. Math. Phys.* **157**, 245 (1993).
[13] H. Kunz: *Comm. Math. Phys.* **112**, 121 (1987).
[14] J. Bellisard, A. Van Elst and H. Schulz-Baldes: *J. Math. Phys.* **35**, 5373 (1994).
[15] J.T. Chalker and P.D. Coddington: *J. Phys. C* **21**, 2665, (1988).
[16] T.C. Dorlas, N. Macris and J.V. Pulé: *Quantum Hall effect without divergence of the localization length*, prprint (1998).
[17] M. Aizenman and G.M. Graf: *J. Phys. A* **31**, 6783 (1998).
[18] S. Albeverio, F. Gesztesy, R. Hoegh-Krohn and H. Holden: *Solvable Models in Quantum Mechanics*, Springer-Verlag Heidelberg - (1988).
[19] M. Abramowitz and I.A. Stegun: *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, Dover Publications - New York (1965).
[20] M. Reed and B. Simon: *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics Vol II* Academic Press -New York (1975).
[21] R. Carmona and J. Lacroix: *Spectral Theory of Random Schrödinger Operators*, Birkhäuser - Boston (1990).
[22] Y. Avishai, R. M. Redheffer and Y. B. Band: *J. Phys. A* **25**, 3883 (1992).
[23] R. Ph. Boas: *Entire Functions*, Academic Press - New York (1954).

[24] B. Simon and T. Wolff: *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **39**, 75 (1986).

[25] F. Delyon, Y. Lévy and B. Souillard: *Commun. Math. Phys.* **100**, 463 (1985).

[26] S. Kotani: in Proceedings of the 1984 AMS conference on Random Matrices and their Applications, *Contemp. Math.* **50** Providence RI (1986).