Arthroscopic Focal Subspinal Decompression and Management of Pincer-Type Femoroacetabular Impingement
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Abstract: Femoroacetabular impingement syndrome is a common hip pathology significantly affecting not only the intra- and extra-articular structures but also the biomechanical function of the joint. Cam and pincer bony lesions have been extensively studied. However, during recent years, other types of extra-articular impingement between the pelvic and femoral bone have been investigated. When a prominent or morphologically abnormal anterior-inferior iliac spine (AIIS) impinges repetitively on the femoral side during motion, the subspinal acetabular region becomes prominent and extends toward the intra-articular part of the joint. This results in restriction of the range of motion of the hip and pain, especially with flexion. Therefore, during hip arthroscopy, it is necessary to evaluate the subspinal region (triangular area located at 1:30 to 2:30 o’clock using the acetalular clock face system). For the correction of the acetabular bone pathology to be complete, the surgeon should focus both on the pincer and subspinal impingement lesions. This article describes our preferred technique to successfully address subspinal and pincer acetabular impingement during hip arthroscopy. The pearls and pitfalls of this technique are discussed.

Pincer-type femoroacetabular impingement (FAI) is a source of significant hip pain in up to 8% of the general population. Pincer lesions can have numerous etiologies, including localized anterolateral overcoverage, anterior overcoverage from true retroversion of the acetabulum, or global overcoverage (present with coxa profunda and acetabular protrusio). Recently, anterior-inferior iliac spine (AIIS) subspinal FAI impingement has also been recognized as a cause of pincer-sided lesions. Additionally, the AIIS is an extracapsular bony prominence found superior to the anterolateral acetabular rim. It is composed of 2 facets (superior and inferior) separated by a ridge. Morphologic changes in this region were described by Hestroni et al. using computed tomography. Type I is represented by a smooth ilium wall between the AIIS and the acetabular rim, type II is when the AIIS extends to the level of the rim, and type III when the AIIS extends distally to the acetabular rim.

The subspinal region is essentially intra-articular, and morphological changes in this region may develop from excessive and recurrent tension of the iliofemoral ligament and the anterior hip capsule during repetitive forces in extension and external rotation of the hip—commonly observed in running and fields sports. A bony prominence on the subspinal region is an important component of the intra-articular hip impingement and can be considered a different pathologic entity from extra-articular AIIS abnormalities. Studies have shown the clinical benefit of arthroscopic and open AIIS resection.
Impingement constitutes an underaddressed pathology, because nonresected impinging AIIS has been reported in up to 46% of revision hip arthroscopy cases. Surgical management of FAI aims to address the soft-tissue and bony abnormalities that result in abnormal impingement during hip range of motion. Therefore, recontouring the subspinal region is critical for an adequate treatment. This article describes our preferred technique for focal subspinal decompression and rim trimming in the setting of arthroscopic treatment of femoroacetabular impingement.

**Surgical Technique**

Our preferred surgical technique for focal AIIS subspinal decompression and pincer rim trimming can be seen in detail in Video 1. Based on previous studies showing the relationship between nontreated AIIS deformities and revision hip arthroscopy, a focal subspinal decompression is performed during the rim acetabular trimming in all patients. Pearls and pitfalls of the procedure are noted in Table 1 and the advantages and disadvantages associated with it in Table 2.

### Patient Positioning

The patient is placed in a modified supine position on a traction-operating table (Steris/Amsco, Mentor, OH). A combined epidural with a lumbar plexus sciatic regional block is our preferred anesthetic modality. A bilateral lower extremity examination is performed to assess for hip range of motion. Traction is gently applied to the leg with 15° of internal rotation, 10° of lateral tilt, 10° of flexion, and neutral abduction. To prevent neurologic complications, an extrawide perineal post is used and lateralized toward the ipsilateral side in the perineal space to minimize pressure on the pudendal nerve and to force the femoral head laterally, shifting the vector of forces. Adequate traction is verified with the fluoroscope (confirmed with a “vacuum sign” and 1 cm of joint distraction).

### Arthroscopic Technique

After routine preparation and draping of the affected hip, the arthroscopic procedure is performed with the patient in the supine position. Standard anterolateral and midanterior portals are established to allow access to the central compartment (Figs 1 and 2). A diagnostic arthroscopy is performed using a 70° arthroscope (Smith & Nephew, Andover, MA) to evaluate for intra-articular pathology. An interportal capsulotomy is performed with a beaver blade (BVI, Waltham, MA) approximately 10 to 15 mm distal to the labrum to improve both visualization and intervention within the hip joint. Also, to allow proper capsular closure at the end of the procedure, the capsule is incised parallel to the acetabular rim from the 12- to 3-o’clock position, connecting the midanterior and anterolateral portals. The camera is placed in the midanterior portal and an arthroscopic shaver (Smith & Nephew) in the anterolateral portal to open the supra-acetabular and AIIS subspinal capsulolabral space in the anterior-superior portion of the acetabulum (Fig 3). Considering 3 o’clock as the psoas “U,” the subspinal space has a triangular shape and is located from the 1:30 to 2:30 position on the acetabular clock face. It is critical to avoid over-resection of the proximal capsule to allow capsular closure at the end of the procedure. Next, the interval between the proximal capsule and labrum is developed using a series of mechanical shavers and a radiofrequency probe (Fig 4).

After cleaning the capsulolabral space, rim trimming and focal subspine decompression are performed with a 4.5-mm round prebent polishing bur (Linvatec, Largo, FL), positioned in the anterolateral portal (Fig 5) and the camera in the midanterior portal without labral detachment. Based on the false profile view radiograph,

### Table 1. Pearls and Pitfalls

| Pearls | Pitfalls |
|--------|----------|
| Position patient with 15° of internal rotation, 10° of lateral tilt, 10° of flexion, and neutral abduction while in traction. | Over-resection of the proximal capsule (impedes subsequent capsular closure) |
| The camera is positioned in the midanterior portal while a shaver is placed in the anterolateral portal to allow for adequate exposure of the supra-acetabular and subspinal capsulolabral space. | It is important to avoid excessive proximal bone resection to preserve the direct head of the rectus and the superior capsular insertion. |
| Use of a 4.5-mm round burr, attempting to shape the subspinal space as a flat surface. | Change portals to assess the whole surface from different angles. |
| Repair the labrum after the acetabular trimming in all patients. | |

### Table 2. Advantages and Limitations

| Advantages | Limitations |
|------------|-------------|
| Technically simple | Limited outcome data |
| Addressed the subspinal and pincer-type impingement | Over-resection can lead to rectus femoris avulsion (direct head) |
| The resection amount can be estimated using the false profile radiographs. | |
| Intraoperative dynamic examination to assess impingement | |
| Improves the bone surface and angles for anchor placement during the labral repair | |
| Fluoroscopy is not necessary during the course of the technique. | |
the focal subspinal decompression is performed intending to make the subspinal area a flat surface without bony prominences (Fig 6). It is important to avoid excessive proximal bone resection to preserve the direct head of the rectus femoris and the superior capsular insertion. (A possible way to prevent this is to avoid going proximally more than 2 diameters of the Burr, or a distance no more than 9-10 mm.)

Typically, the pincer resection is performed up to the end of rim chondrosis (3-5 mm). The preoperative center-edge angle should be considered at this stage to avoid over-resection. The estimated relationship is defined as follows: change in center-edge angle = 1.8 + (0.64 × rim reduction [in millimeters]). If the pincer lesion extends near the rectus indirect head, the excess bone should be removed with an osteotome (Smith & Nephew) to avoid tendon injury (Fig 7). Unstable acetabular rim fragments should also be removed.

After completing the focal subspinal decompression and rim trimming, labral repair is achieved with sutures looped around the torn segments or placed through the torn labrum. Suture anchors are used to reattach the labrum; the type of anchor used varies around the acetabular clock face based on the anatomy. Because of reduced bone thickness and to avoid postoperative psoas irritation, a 1.5-mm Jugger-Knot anchor (Biomet, Warsaw, IN) is preferred from the 2 to 4 o’clock position. From the 9 to 1 o’clock position, a 2.3-mm Osteoraptor suture anchor (Smith & Nephew) with blue suture is indicated.

When the labral repair is completed, the traction is released, the hip is flexed 45°, and the impingement area is identified. Correction of cam lesion is challenging, and the amount of bone that should be resected is a point of disagreement. The goal is to achieve a smooth head-neck offset that prevents elevation of the labrum during flexion and achieves a perfect...
anatomic relationship between the femoral head and acetabular labrum restoring the hip joint seal. The dynamic intraoperative hip examination is the most important tool in determining if adequate resection on the femoral head-neck junction, acetabulum, and subspinal area have been completed.12

Capsular Closure

Once the desired intra-articular result has been achieved, the hip is brought into flexion and the foot in internal rotation to relax the anterior capsule and facilitate the closure. An intra-articular cannula (Arthrex) is inserted through the anterolateral portal. A suture-shuttling device (SutureLasso; Arthrex) is used to approximate the proximal leaf of the capsule to the distal leaf passing a no. 2 Vicryl in a suture relay technique. The Arthro-Pierce (Smith & Nephew) is then used to retrieve the lasso through the capsule on the distal side of the capsulotomy. The lasso is used to pass a permanent suture, achieving a side-to-side anastomosis. This is tied arthroscopically with the Quebec City Slider knot followed by racking half-hitch knots outside of the capsule. A total of 2 to 3 side-to-side sutures are placed to complete the capsular closure.13

Rehabilitation

Immediately after surgery, a postoperative hip brace (Bledsoe Post-Op Hip Brace) and antirotational boots are applied to protect the operative site and reduce pain. Crutches are used for 4 to 8 weeks depending on each patient’s specific needs and the procedures performed. For example, when performing microfracture, the patient is kept with 20 lb of weight bearing for at least 7 weeks. We protect the capsular sutures, by limiting abduction to 0° to 45° and hip flexion 0° to 90°, while external rotation and extension is totally prohibited for the first 3 weeks. This is achieved using the brace.

The rehabilitation period consists of 3 phases that should be adjusted appropriately per each patient’s needs. The first phase lasts 4 to 6 weeks, where mainly passive exercises are performed. Passive rotational movement is initiated immediately after surgery to prevent adhesion formation. Stationary bike exercise and continuous passive motion machine are useful for that purpose. The “strengthening phase” follows during the next 6 to 12 weeks until we finally proceed to the final phase where sport-specific exercises are emphasized. These 3 phases usually overlap and vary in duration because of the patient-specific approach. Pain management and patient’s compliance are important factors to consider before proceeding to the next phase.

Progressive functional and sport-specific rehabilitation help the patient return faster to daily and exercise routine. However, the final “return to sport” decision is
based on objective (functional tests) and subjective (physician and patient codecision) factors.

Discussion

This study describes our preferred technique of focal arthroscopic subspinal decompression during rim trimming of the pincer impingement, which provides appropriate protection of the hip capsule, and is followed by capsular closure. Two arthroscopic techniques have been previously described for the treatment of type 3 AIIS. Although they were successful at decompressing the subspinal region, no capsular closure was performed in addition to this. Sharfman et al. described a technique for AIIS decompression. In their technique, a capsular stripping of the anterior acetabular rim was essential for AIIS exposure, and they pointed out wide capsulotomy as one of the pitfalls.

The aims of surgical treatment of pincer-type FAI are 2-fold: to eliminate the cause of the contact and to repair the joint damage it has caused. Surgical treatment of pincer lesions by preservation of the chondrolabral junction and acetabular rim resection is an integral part of the surgical treatment of FAI. Meanwhile, AIIS is a relatively common form of extra-articular FAI. An understanding of the variable morphology and anatomy of AIIS is critical when performing arthroscopic focal subspinal decompression. Computed tomographic studies in asymptomatic patients have shown that mean distances from the AIIS to the acetabular rim are 13.5 mm in male and 11.4 mm in female patients. Typically, each morphologic variant of AIIS correlates with a specific range of motion of the hip joint. We often observe the labral bruising or acetabular cartilage wave sign facing the caudally prominent AIIS in the typical cases of subspinal impingement.

The amount of subspinal decompression is still controversial. It is well described in a previous article that the direct head of rectus femoris originates from its surface area. During the focal subspinal decompression, however, over-resection of the subspinal area should be avoided, because the rectus femoris could be detached from its origin, leading to a potential hip flexion deficit. To minimize this risk, we carefully conduct a dynamic impingement test during hip arthroscopy.

Protecting the soft tissue, especially anterior capsule, is also important in this surgery. Cadaver studies showed a distance of 19.2 mm between the rectus femoris footprint and the acetabular rim and 12.5 mm between the iliofemoralis and the rim. The hip capsule originated at a mean of 5.1 mm proximal and medial to the bony rim of the acetabulum, creating a small intracapsular recess. This recess was smallest anterosuperiorly and largest posteriorly. We believe that it is very important to avoid the complete capsular detachment and to minimize the capsulotomy size during subspinal decompression, to maintain the native biomechanics of the capsule. As a result, the mid-anterior portal presents as the ideal viewing portal given its ability to provide adequate visualization of the subspinal area whereas the anterolateral portal is useful as the working portal.

In this Technical Note, we describe a focal subspinal decompression that is performed as part of the pincer rim trimming, thus eliminating both the AIIS and the pincer impingement concomitantly. The amount of subspinal bony resection is determined using the false profile radiograph and the dynamic examination. The focal rim resection can be accomplished either with or without labral detachment, followed by either labral refixation, augmentation, or reconstruction. However, biomechanical and subjective and objective patient outcome studies are needed to validate the efficacy of this technique.
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