A Generalized Allosteric Mechanism for cis-Regulated Cyclic Nucleotide Binding Domains
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Abstract

Cyclic nucleotides (cAMP and cGMP) regulate multiple intracellular processes and are thus of a great general interest for molecular and structural biologists. To study the allosteric mechanism of different cyclic nucleotide binding (CNB) domains, we compared cAMP-bound and cAMP-free structures (PKA, Epac, and two ionic channels) using a new bioinformatics method: local spatial pattern alignment. Our analysis highlights four major conserved structural motifs: 1) the phosphate binding cassette (PBC), which binds the cAMP ribose-phosphate, 2) the “hinge,” a flexible helix, which contacts the PBC, 3) the $\beta_{2,3}$ loop, which provides precise positioning of an invariant arginine from the PBC, and 4) a conserved structural element consisting of an N-terminal helix, an eight residue loop and the A-helix (N3A-motif). The PBC and the hinge were included in the previously reported allosteric model, whereas the definition of the $\beta_{2,3}$ loop and the N3A-motif as conserved elements is novel. The N3A-motif is found in all cis-regulated CNB domains, and we present a model for an allosteric mechanism in these domains. Catabolite gene activator protein (CAP) represents a trans-regulated CNB domain family: it does not contain the N3A-motif, and its long range allosteric interactions are substantially different from the cis-regulated CNB domains.
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Introduction

Cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) is an important second messenger, which regulates a large variety of cellular processes, including metabolism, cell shape transformation, gene transcription, photoreception and chemosensation [1–5]. All cAMP-binding proteins in both pro- and eukaryotes share a small module – the cyclic nucleotide binding domain (CNB domain), which is typically fused to another domain. The CNB domain contains a contiguous $\beta$-subdomain and a non-contiguous $\alpha$-subdomain (Figure 1). The $\alpha$-subdomain contains a highly conserved element, the c-phosphate binding cassette (PBC), which accepts the cyclic nucleotide molecule. The flexible helical $\alpha$-subdomain can accept substantially different configurations, which translates the allosteric signal [6]. Recent structure studies of cAMP-dependent protein kinase (PKA) demonstrated, that CNB domains toggle between two stable conformations: bound to cAMP (so called B-form [7]), or to catalytic subunit of PKA (H-form) [8,9]. The intermediate, non bound form (apo-form) is characterized by high backbone flexibility [10–12] and is apparently represented by a dynamic ensemble of multiple configurations.

The $\beta$-subdomain contains a highly conserved element, the Phosphate Binding Cassette (PBC), which is 14-residues long and contains a short flexible $\alpha$-helix (B’-helix). Ribose-phosphate of cAMP, protected by the $\beta$-sandwich from the outside solution, forms six strong hydrogen bonds to the PBC. Due to these interactions, the B’-helix moves towards the cAMP molecule and adopts a compact conformation (Figure 1). Such movement causes a substantial rearrangement of the $\alpha$-subdomain both in its N- and C-terminal parts. The latter contains the so called “hinge” [13], which consists of two consecutive $\alpha$-helices (B and C). These helices are remarkably flexible and due to a strong connection to the B’-helix perform a swing-like motion: moving towards cAMP in the B-form (Figure 1A). The N-terminal part of the $\alpha$-subdomain, which typically consists of two (short) helices and was called the “N-terminal helical bundle” [14], moves in an opposite way; in the B-form it moves away from the PBC, facilitating the hinge closure. In the H-form the N-terminal helices move towards the cAMP and make a contact to the B’-helix, filling the void space that results from the hinge opening (Figure 1B).

The other part of the cAMP molecule, the adenine ring, acts as a hydrophobic moiety, which sticks against a “capping residue” in all known CNB domain structures [15] (Figure 1A). Mutation studies have established the importance of this contact for stabilization of the B-form and cooperative cAMP-induced activation of the PKA holoenzyme [8].

A recent review summarizes this information into a general model for the CNB domain allosteric mechanism for PKA, Rap guanine nucleotide-exchange factor (Epac) and hyperpolarization-activated cyclic-nucleotide-modulated channel (HCN) [14]. Although this model is in a good correspondence with much experimental data, two important issues remained unclear. First, as the authors mentioned, the N-terminal helical bundle is replaced by a single helix in the catabolite gene activator protein (CAP). This raises a question about the role of the helical bundle and its functional and structural conservation. Is it a universal part of the CNB domain or it is a part of protein-protein interface
between the CNB domain and the host protein? The second problem is related to the loop located between β2 and β3 strands. A series of publications demonstrated, that it is an important element of allosteric mechanism in the PKA R1α:A-domain [16–18], but it was not included in the model described by Rehmann et al [14] and was not considered to be a universal element.

To elucidate the cAMP induced allosteric mechanism that is conserved in different CNB domains, we used a recently developed method for protein structure comparison: Local Spatial Patterns (LSP) alignment that is capable of detecting similar patterns made up by amino acid residues in space. It is fast and does not require preliminary sequence or structural alignment of the compared proteins. Earlier we used it for comparison of protein surfaces of several CNB domains in the B-form and detected a conserved set of hydrophobic residues protecting the cAMP ribose-phosphate [15]. Here we considered both water accessible and buried residues of both B- and H-forms of four different CNB domains: PKA, HCN, Epac and bacterial cyclic nucleotide modulated potassium channel (MloK1) [19]. The recently reported structures of two PKA holoenzymes [8,20] have allowed us for the first time to analyze both conformational states of multiple CNB domains.

Our analysis has shown that there are four elements conserved in all known CNB domains, with the exception of the CAP: the PBC, the hinge, the β2,3-loop and the “N3A-motif”. The latter consists of the A-helix, a preceding eight residue loop and a short N-terminal helix. The loop contains a set of 310-turns, and is termed “the 310-loop”. Based on these results, we propose a general model for the allosteric mechanism in CNB domains, which we called cis-regulated domains. In CAP the N3A-motif is reduced to a single A-helix. The difference between CAP and other CNB domains is discussed.

Results

LSP Alignment Defines cAMP-Induced Conformational Changes in CNB Domains

The LSP alignment is a new method to compare protein molecules. It is based on a graph-theoretical representation of protein structure, and the result of this alignment is a pair of isomorphic graphs. Vertices of the graphs correspond to the residues which form similar spatial patterns in both proteins. Each vertex/residue is connected to the rest of the graph by several edges. They indicate the residue neighbors whose positions and orientation in space are conserved with respect to this residue. As we have shown earlier [15,21], functionally important residues of protein kinases have numerous connections on the similarity graphs. In the previous works we considered only surface exposed residues. Here we analyze all residues. This allows us to recognize conserved motifs that are buried in the protein core. We define a term “involvement score” (IS) of a particular residue, which is equal to the number of edges for the corresponding vertex on the graph provided by the LSP alignment procedure. It reflects the extent of participation of this residue in formation of invariant spatial patterns and corresponds to AA and AI scores used in the previous work [21], where we compared active and inactive protein kinases.

Earlier we used the LSP alignment for comparison of different proteins having similar functions. In this work we present an alternative way of using the LSP alignment program. Our purpose was to quantify cAMP-induced structural rearrangements in different CNB domains. This was made by aligning two different conformations of the same protein. As the IS reflects only local structural similarities (in our case within 10 Å range between Cα-
Figure 2. Study of the cAMP-induced conformational changes in PKA:Rlx by LSP-alignment. High involvement scores correspond to relatively rigid parts of the molecule. Low values of the score characterize elements, which are the most sensitive to the presence of cAMP. Secondary structure is shown by red rectangles (α-helix), magenta rectangles (310-helix), and yellow arrows (β-strands). Four allosteric “hot spots” for each domain are shown by arrows.
doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000056.g002

Figure 3. The conserved N3A-motif in the N-terminal part of the α-subdomain. (A) Stereo picture of N3A-motifs from 9 different CNB domains: A and B domains of PKA types Rlx, Rlx, and Rlxl; Epac2; ionic channel HCN; and potassium channel MloK1. (B) Sequence alignment of the 9 N3A-motifs. α-helical regions are shaded magenta. Residues with negative chirality are shaded yellow. Hydrophobic residues or residues with large aliphatic segments are shown in bold. Colored circles correspond to the coloring on the stereo picture. (C) Hydrophobic interactions between residues of the N3A-motif provide integrity of the structural element. N3A-motif of PKA:Rlx A-domain is colored tan. Interacting residues are colored yellow. Connolly surfaces around their aliphatic parts are shown. (D) Residues on the tip of the 310-loop are involved in protein-protein interactions in the PKA holoenzyme. The PBC is colored cyan. C-subunit is colored grey.
doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000056.g003
atoms) any large scale rearrangements in the protein do not change the score significantly. Therefore, residues which form rigid structures inside the protein and maintain their relative positions will have a high level of IS. In contrast, those residues located in points of protein flexibility will have low IS, reflecting the loss of similarity between the two protein structures. One can speculate that the residues with the lowest IS can play an important role in the allosteric mechanism, as such elements like “hinges” or “switches” have to accept two distinctive “on” and “off” conformations.

Recognition of a Conserved Novel Allosteric “N3A-Motif”

Figure 2 presents the results of LSP alignment of PKA (RIα)H- and B-forms. As expected, residues from the rigid β-sandwich had the highest IS values, reflecting the rigidity of the β subdomain. In contrast, four regions showed a significant decrease in IS: 1) B’-helix of the PBC; 2) the hinge region; 3) the β2,3-loop and 4) N-terminal part of the α-subdomain. These results are in good correspondence with the NMR studies of the RIα A-domain [16]. Our analyses show that both A- and B-domains have similar IS profiles, although the drop in the β2,3-loop in B-domain was less pronounced.

Figure 4. Accumulated involvement scores obtained by LSP alignment different CNB domains. B-form of RIα:A was compared to B-forms of RIα:B, RIIα:A, RIIβ:B, HCN, and MloK1 (red bars); and H-forms of RIα:B, RIIα:A, RIIβ:B, Epac, and MloK1 (blue bars). Colored circles indicate the “three-shell” model[16] residues: 1st shell, red; 2nd shell, yellow; and 3rd shell, green. Dark grey circles indicate the residues, which were found to be conserved previously [15].

doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000056.g004

Figure 5. Sequence alignment of β2,3-loops for different CNB domains. Contacts formed by the residues are shown either on the upper left side (side chain) or lower right side (main chain). Contacts to the R209 are indicated by capital R: green, hydrophobic; red, polar. Also contacts to the PBC, B-helix, β4,5-loop, and β7-strand are indicated. Question mark signifies that the β4,5-loop is not resolved in the HCN structure. Dashed arrows show important hydrogen bonds: above the residue letters, between side chains; under the letters, between their main chains. The last row presents main chain chirality sign for the residues.

doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000056.g005
prominent. Similar results were obtained for the A-domain of PKA (RIIβ) and the potassium channel MloK1 (Figure S1). The decrease of IS in the β_{2,3}-loop in the potassium channel CNB domain was not as striking as in A-domains of PKA (both RIz and RIIβ), but similar to the B-domain of RIz. This is in a good agreement with the earlier observation that B-domains of PKA are more similar to the rest of CNB domains, than the A-domains [15].

The N-terminal helical structure which earlier was called an “N-terminal helical bundle” has not been considered as a conserved element [14]. It is not a part of the current CNB domain nomenclature for two reasons: first – it is not present in CAP, and second – in the different CNB domains it forms slightly different secondary structures. For example in the B-form of RIz, RIIβ, MloK1 and Epac2 it contains a short 3_{10}-helix. In the H-form of RIz:A it contains a set of 3-turns, which do not form the classical 3_{10}-helix and is considered to be a loop, while the B-domain retains its 3_{10}-helix configuration. In H-form of PKA RIIz:A and RIIβ:A this element qualifies as an α-helix, but in the B-form of RIz:A and RIIβ:B it is a 3_{10}-helix. However, a close look at the middle part of the bundle shows that the geometry of its backbone in different CNB domains (both H- and B-forms), is rather conserved (Figure 3A). Moreover, this element, which we will define as a “3_{10}-loop”, has a distinctive pattern of phi/psi angles: Figure 3B shows a sequence alignment of different N-terminal helical bundles. One can see that α-helix of the presented CNB domains is preceded by an eight residue long loop. Its first conserved feature is that both ends of the loop contain residues with negative chirality (a characteristic of β-strands); one at the N-terminus and two at the C-terminus. There is also a large conserved hydrophobic residue (phenylalanine or leucine) in the middle of the loop (F136 Figure 3C), which plays a central role in the hydrophobic cluster formed by the A-helix and the preceding α-helix, which did not have an established name. As in the PKA-RIz A-domain, it was called “αX_{N}-helix” [16] or “N,X-α-helix” [6], here we call it “N-helix”, and the combination of the N-helix, the 3_{10}-loop and A-helix structure – the N3A-motif. The characteristic feature of this motif is the presence of multiple X-X pairs in its sequence (where X represents a hydrophobic residue or a residue with large hydrophobic segment such as arginine or asparagine) (Figure 3B). Such residues are closely positioned on one side of the helix and provide a secure connection between the N3A-motif elements (Figure 3C), a feature similar to the tetratricopeptide repeat [22] or the leucine-zipper [23] motifs.

Analysis of the recently discovered holoenzyme structures of PKA shows that the 3_{10}-loop residues positioned between the X-X pairs are usually involved in important interactions. For example, in RIz:A-domain V134, L135 and H138 stack against a large hydrophobic cluster formed by the C-subunit and the PBC (Figure 3D). In the RIz:A-domain S252 forms five hydrogen bonds to the PBC, the C-terminal helical structure of the domain and the β-sandwich.

The suggested conservation of the N3A-motif in different CNB domains raises a question about the definition of A and B domains in PKA-R. Until now, the beginning of the B domain was associated with the first residue in it’s α-helix (e.g. W260 in RIz or V209 in RIIβ). Here we suggest a new boundary between the A and B domains, which will reflect the conservation of the N3A-motif. It is known that the RIz-(94-244) construct retains its functionality and is capable of both binding to cAMP and regulating PKA [24]. In addition, the C-helix of cAMP-bound RIz has a kink between Y244 and E245. An identical kink exists in the cAMP-bound RIIβ (between Y246 and E247). It seems logical to suggest that this kink indicates the border between the A and B domains, therefore defining E245 as the beginning of N3A-motif for the B-domain of RIz. Such a definition supports the observation made earlier by Huang and Taylor that RIz “residues 245–260 at the end of cAMP binding domain A are structurally more a part of domain B than domain A” [24].

**LSP Alignment of Different CNB Domains Emphasizes the Allosteric Role of the β_{2,3}-Loop**

After the new definition of CNB domains, we used the LSP alignment to detect residues involved in formation of conserved spatial patterns as we did previously for PKA-C [21]. The A-domain of the RIz holoenzyme was taken as a reference structure. It was compared to five cAMP-bound CNB domains: RIz:B, RIIβ:A, RIIβ:B, HCN and MloK1. To detect the regions, which respond to the cAMP presence, we also compared our reference structure to five cAMP-free CNB domains: six domains of PKA-R taken from the corresponding holoenzyme complexes: RIz:B, RIIz:A, RIIz:B, and two apo-structures: Epac and MloK1. Involvement scores were accumulated and presented in Figure 4. 
The highest involvement scores were detected in the PBC and neighboring $\beta_6$ and $\beta_7$ strands. This area also had the largest cAMP-induced changes of IS, reflecting the leading role of the PBC in the allosteric mechanism. Reduced scores in the middle of PBC agree with the sequence variability profiles obtained earlier for PKA-R [25].

The second major region with highly scored residues was in the strands $\beta_3$, $\beta_5$, and the loop between them. The result was rather unexpected as, until recently, this area was not considered as an important part of CNB domains. The scores, in general, did not depend on the presence of cAMP, which indicates overall conservation of the loop geometry. This suggests, that the $\beta_3$-$\beta_5$ loop is an important element not only in PKA-R, as it was pointed by Das et. al [16,26], but in all CNB domains. Figure 5 shows a comparison between $\beta_3$-$\beta_5$-loops of different CNB domains. As was detected by the DSSP program [27], all loops have the same pattern of their main chain chirality, indicating a high level of their geometry conservation. The most distinctive common feature for all of them is a 3-turn between residues $\#2$ (D$^{164}$) and $\#5$ (D$^{167}$) (Figure 6A). It contains an invariant glycine residue $\#4$ (G$^{166}$) which makes a conserved hydrogen bond to the PBC-arginine carbonyl. The reason for strict conservation of the glycine is evident as its dihedral angles are ruled out for any other type of residue ($\phi \approx 89.4^\circ$ and $\psi \approx -26.8^\circ$ for R1xz:A). Another conserved hydrogen bond is formed between the PBC-arginine amide and carbonyl of the residue $\#5$. The third polar contact, which can be found in all CNB structures, is formed between the PBC-arginine guanidinium group and the carbonyl of residue $\#9$ (N$^{171}$). The hydrogen bond between the arginine and the side chain of residue $\#8$ (D$^{170}$), which was found to be important for R1xz:A, is not conserved: it can be seen only in the A domains of R1xz and R1JB. This residue, however, often binds to the side chain of residue $\#9$ and provides communication between the $\beta_3$-$\beta_5$-loop and the “hinge” as its carbonyl is always bound to the amide group of the first residue in the B-helix. Besides polar interactions with the PBC-arginine, residue $\#1$ (I$^{169}$) makes a conserved hydrophobic contact to the arginine side chain. This residue is a member of a conserved hydrophobic core formed by the highly scored residues: V$^{162}$, I$^{163}$, V$^{172}$, L$^{198}$ and V$^{213}$ (Figure 6B). The important detail is that another member of that cluster: Y$^{173}$ (one of the three highest scores obtained) - makes a conserved hydrogen bond to the residue $\#9$ (N$^{171}$), thus closing the circle around the PBC arginine.

Glycine is the predominant residue in position $\#7$, except for the B domains of RIIx and RIIJh, where it is substituted by alanine. Any increase of the side chain would lead to a steric clash with highly conserved alanine residues from the PBC (A$^{202}$), which in its turn also has a hydrophobic contact to the PBC-arginine in the cyclic nucleotide bound configurations.

The hinge, which is a well known element of the allosteric mechanism, demonstrated medium levels of IS and a strong dependence on the presence of cAMP. The preceding $\beta_6$-strand received almost the same level of scores. It contains a set of conserved hydrophobic residues, which face the N3A-motif and provide a secure connection of this element to the $\beta$-sandwich. The NMR-study of R1xz showed that two residues from the $\beta_6$-strand (W$^{222}$ and I$^{224}$) had a substantial chemical shift, in response to cAMP binding and are a part of the allosteric mechanism. Our results support this conclusion and demonstrate conservation of the hydrophobic interface through different CNB domains. Another highly scored residue positioned between B-helix and $\beta_8$-strand (M$^{151}$) is also a part of this interface.

As we showed earlier the N3A-motifs of different CNB domains have very similar geometry, and conserved sequence motifs. However, this region, except for the C-terminus of A-helix, demonstrated low levels of IS. This indicates that the residues, conserved in the sequence, do not form a rigid spatial motif. This conclusion is supported by the fact that the N-helix and 310-loop in R1xz:A-domain have an elevated level of hydrogen-deuterium exchange [10,16]. Apparently, the N3A-motif is a rather flexible element, which can adopt slightly different conformations accommodating the PBC and the hinge movements.

In all comparisons the loop between $\beta_4$ and $\beta_5$-strands received zero level of IS (Figure 4). It is consistent with the fact that this part of the CNB domain is the least conserved in terms of sequence and structure [28]. Our data show that in many CNB domains the N-terminus of the $\beta_4$-$\beta_5$-loop involved in the conserved anchoring of the $\beta_3$-$\beta_5$-loop via its $\#3$ residue (Q$^{165}$) (Figures 5 and 6A). The $\beta_4$-$\beta_5$ loop spatially comes close to the C-terminus of the CNB domain and in the R1xz:B-domain may provide a docking site for another protein.
CNB Domains in CAP Are trans-Regulated

We deliberately excluded the CAP CNB domain from the current analysis as it does not contain the classic N3A-motif. There are several distinctive features that distinguish the CAP CNB domain from those discussed above. The major difference is that in functionally active CAP the two identical CNB domains form a homodimer with the interface being formed mainly by their C-helices. Regulatory subunits of PKA also contain two CNB domains, but their mutual interaction is rather limited: e.g. A-helix of B-domain contacts cAMP and the hinge from the A-domain. In contrast, in CAP the interaction between the two monomers is the most important allosteric contact between the PBC and the hinge. Figure 7 shows that the major binding partner for each PBC is not the hinge from its own CNB domain but the hinge from the opposite monomer. Such interactions separate the CAP CNB domain from the other CNB domains studied in this work, which we propose to call cis-regulated CNB domains while defining CAP CNB domain as a trans-regulated CNB domain.

Discussion

The CNB domain, highly conserved throughout biology, is comprised of a set of motifs that both define the docking site for cAMP as well as an allosteric switch that allows it to assume a dramatically different structure when binding to another protein element. Using our new computational method we have analyzed both the allosteric mechanism and the structural motifs conserved in different CNB domains. LSP-alignment proved to be an effective tool for detection of the allosteric “hot spots” – residues with the most significant rearrangement of their side chains. The comparison of H- and B-forms of PKA-R1B pointed to four major elements of the allosteric mechanism: the PBC, the hinge, the β2,3-loop and the N-terminal helical structure. Based on our analysis the latter is now recognized as a new conserved element of cis-regulated CNB domains – the N3A-motif. It is not present in at least one trans-regulated CNB domain – CAP; however, it can be found in another transcriptional regulator, Crp/Fnr family from Porphyromonas gingivalis [29] (PDB ID: 2GAU). The possible role of the N3A-motif in trans-regulated CNB domains needs to be elucidated, but the fact that the allosteric interface between α and β-subdomains is significantly different (Figure 7) indicates a possible difference in allosteric mechanisms.

The detection of four allosteric hot spots in PKA is consistent with NMR studies of the R1β:A-domain [16]. Our analysis shows that the N3A-motif contains two types of residues: hydrophobic X-X repeats, which provide structural stability to the element as well as its interactions within the CNB domain (Figure 3B and 3C). The other set of residues is positioned on the tip of the 3_10-loop and is often involved in functionally important protein-protein interactions (Figure 3D).

The results of different cis-regulated CNB domains LSP-alignment were in good correspondence with our earlier analysis of CNB domain surface: all eleven residues that were found to be conserved [15], received very high IS values. Our results also support the proposed the “three shells” model of allosterity [16]: high levels of IS were detected in the sites of all three shells localization: β2, β3 and β4 strands (Figure 4).

The most unexpected result was a very high scoring in the β2,3-loop region, which was not included previously in the general model of CNB domain allosterity [14]. This brings up a question of the general role of the β2,3-loop in different CNB domains. As we showed, its residues always participate in multiple, highly conserved, polar and hydrophobic interactions with the PBC arginine (R209) Figure 6). The guanidinium group of this residue makes a very important hydrogen bond to the equatorial oxygen of the cAMP ribose phosphate. Perturbation of the bond, with an arginine-to-lysine mutation [30], or with substitution of the oxygen by sulfur [31], significantly disrupts the cAMP-related allosterity. A close look at the β2,3-loop interactions with the PBC arginine,

Figure 8. General allosteric mechanism for different CNB domains (R1α:A case). (A) Major interactions between cAMP, the PBC (red) and the β2,3-loop (black) in cAMP-bound state. Red circles represent residues forming polar bonds (red arrows); yellow circles show residues making hydrophobic contacts (green arrows). The most important bond between cAMP and R209 is shown by a double red arrow. Residues and structure elements changing their positions upon cAMP binding are shaded grey. (B) cAMP-free configuration. R209 becomes much less restricted. (C) General diagram of major interactions in the CNB domain. The PBC controls cAMP, the 3_10-loop controls R209, and their interaction provides correct orientation of the hinge region and the N3A motif, which form a protein-protein interface.

doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000056.g008
shows that it “surrounds” the residue, immobilizing its bulky side chain. The position and total geometry of the loop is remarkably conserved in all CNB domains. The central element of the loop is a universally conserved 3-turn between the positions #2 (D164) and #3 (D167). Spatial orientation of the turn is secured by another conserved interaction of residue #4 (G166) with the β2,3-loop. The apparent reason for such rigidity is a correct positioning of the PBC arginine main chain, which is locked by two hydrogen bonds to residues #4 (G166) and #5 (G167). The tip of the arginine side chain is always bound to the #9 residue main chain (N171). A-domains of PKA regulatory subunits RIβ and RIββ have an additional hydrogen bond to the side chain of residue #8 (D170).

This interaction, however, is not conserved in other CNB domains. Alternatively, a polar bond between the eighth residue main chain and N-terminus of the B-helix was found in all studied structures. Thus, the possible role of the residue is to communicate between the PBC arginine and the hinge. The direct binding of residue #8 to the arginine, which is present in the PKA-R A-domains can substantially reinforce such communication.

These finding lead us to a suggestion that immobilization of the PBC arginine side chain has to be an important feature of the allosteric mechanism. Definitely, without a stable guanidinium group, one hardly can expect a stable bond with the ribose phosphate moiety of cAMP. Here we propose a general model describing the cyclic nucleotide related allostery (Figure 8). The model is built around interactions between the cyclic nucleotide phosphate and the PBC-arginine guanidinium group (red arrow in Figure 8C). The main suggestion of the model is that the guanidinium group is very mobile and can not form a stable bond unless it is restricted by numerous interactions of the arginine with the PBC and β2,3-loop. This model is supported by the observation that the PBC-arginine side chain is not totally buried in the CNB domain, but partially exposed to the outer solution. According to the DSSP calculation [27], its water accessible area in the cAMP-free structures vary between 16 Å2 in the potassium channel to 30 Å2 in R1εA.

According to the proposed model stable cyclic nucleotide binding can be achieved only by interaction between all the major elements of the CNB domain: the PBC, the PBC-arginine, the β2,3-loop, the hinge and the N3A-motif. Almost all elements interact with each other, leading to a rather complex allosteric mechanism. The primary function of the β2,3-loop is to position all parts of the arginine including the backbone, the hydrophobic part of the side chain and the guanidinium moiety. It also interacts with the hinge (through the residue #8) and the PBC (via hydrophobic contact between residue #7 and A165). The PBC serves, first of all, as a cyclic nucleotide molecule stabilizer, but it also plays an important role in immobilization of the arginine side chain (via A165). In addition, it interacts with the hinge and the N3A-motif, which usually forms protein-protein interface, providing the transition of the allosteric signal to the molecule, which contains the CNB domain.

Conclusions

The LSP-alignment of H- and B-forms of different CNB domains revealed four conserved structural motifs: the PBC, the Hinge, the N3A-motif and the β2,3-loop. These elements were found in all studied cis-regulated CNB domains. The N3A motif is not present in CAP, which represents a trans-regulated CNB domain family. We propose a generalized allosteric mechanism for cis-regulated domains as follows: a) The PBC is a primary element, which binds sugar-phosphate moiety of cAMP. b) The β2,3-loop regulates the cAMP binding to the PBC via the conserved PBC-arginine. c) Both the PBC and the β2,3-loop communicate with the Hinge, which transfers the allosteric signal further to the N3A motif. d) The N3A-motif is the most malleable element of a CNB domain as it provides communication to the host protein.

Methods

The following structures were used in the current work: PKA:RIβ B-form [32] (PDBID – 1RGB); PKA:RIβ H-form [8]; PKA:RIβ H-form [20]; PKA:RIββ B-form [13] (1CX4); PKA:RIββ H-form (Brown et al., unpublished results); HCN B-form [33] (1Q43); MloK1 B-form [19] (1VP6); MloK1 H-form [19] (1U12); Epac2 H-form [34] (2BVY). LSP-alignment was made by previously reported algorithm for surface matching [21]. All residues (both water accessible and buried inside protein) were included in the analysis. For that reason, the water accessibility cut-off was taken equal to zero. Residues were represented by their Cα-Cβ vectors. The maximum distance between Cα atoms was 12 Å. Tolerance for Cα-Cα distance was 0.4 Å. Tolerance for Cα-Cβ distance was 0.75 Å. Tolerance for the dihedral angle between the vectors was 30°. Residues with the BLOSUM62 score greater than or equal to 1 were considered to be similar. Calculations were made on a personal computer (Pentium 4; 1.8 GHz; 1 Gb RAM) under Linux OS. Molecular graphics were prepared using PyMOL (DeLano Scientific, San Carlos, CA).

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Study of the cAMP-induced conformational changes. Changes in (A) PKA:RIββ (A-domain) and (B) potassium channel (MloK1) by LSP-alignment. Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000056.s001 (0.09 MB DOC)
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