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Abstract

Recognition of viral infections by various pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) activates an inflammatory cytokine response that inhibits viral replication and orchestrates the activation of adaptive immune responses to control the viral infection. The broadly active innate immune response puts a strong selective pressure on viruses and drives the selection of variants with increased capabilities to subvert the induction and function of antiviral cytokines. This revolutionary process dynamically shapes the host ranges, cell tropism and pathogenesis of viruses. Recent studies on the innate immune responses to the infection of human coronaviruses (HCoV), particularly SARS-CoV-2, revealed that HCoV infections can be sensed by endosomal toll-like receptors and/or cytoplasmic RIG-I-like receptors in various cell types. However, the profiles of inflammatory cytokines and transcriptome response induced by a specific HCoV are usually cell type specific and determined by the virus-specific mechanisms of subverting the induction and function of interferons and inflammatory cytokines as well as the genetic trait of the host genes of innate immune pathways. We review herein the recent literatures on the innate immune responses and their roles in the pathogenesis of HCoV infections with emphasis on the pathobiological roles and therapeutic effects of type I interferons in HCoV infections and their antiviral mechanisms. The knowledge on the mechanism of innate immune control of HCoV infections and viral evasions should facilitate the development of therapeutics for induction of immune resolution of HCoV infections and vaccines for efficient control of COVID-19 pandemics and other HCoV infections.

© 2021 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

Introduction

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic caused by the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection has led to an unprecedented global public health crisis. SARS-CoV-2 belongs to the family of Coronaviridae, which is comprised of a diverse
group of enveloped positive-sense single-stranded RNA viruses with broad host ranges in vertebrates\(^1,2\) (Figure 1). While four human coronaviruses (HCoVs), including HCoV-229E, HCoV-OC43, HCoV-NL63 and HCoV-HKU1, cause mild upper respiratory tract infections, three coronaviruses (CoVs) have breached species barriers within the last 20 years to infect humans. These zoonotic CoVs have caused severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS), Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS) and COVID-19, with fatality rates of 10\%, 36\%, and 0.1 to 2\%, respectively.\(^3,4\) Despite the availability of several effective SARS-CoV-2 vaccines, SARS-CoV-2 has undergone rapid evolution with the selection of variants with increased infectivity and resistance to vaccine-induced immunity and thus, become the dominant lineages.\(^5–9\)

As illustrated in Figure 1, viral infections are promptly sensed by infected cells to mount an innate immune response leading to the transcriptional activation of genes for the production of intracellular antiviral proteins and secretion of cytokines, most prominently, the type I and type III interferons (IFN). While the antiviral proteins limit viral replication in infected cells, the IFNs induce hundreds of IFN-stimulated genes that establish an antiviral state to protect uninfected cells from oncoming infections.\(^10\) Clinical studies indicate that type I interferons (IFN-I) play critical roles in the control and pathogenesis of HCoVs, including SARS-CoV-2. This notion is supported by the findings that mutations rendering the gene products incapable of producing or responding to IFN-I are associated with life-threatening COVID-19 pneumonia.\(^11,12\) In addition, autoantibodies capable of neutralizing IFN-I were found to dampen ISG induction in patients and are associated with severe COVID-19.\(^13,14\) Here we review the recent progress on innate immune response in the control and pathogenesis of HCoV infections with emphasis on the pathobiological role and therapeutic efficacy of type I IFNs and their antiviral

**Figure 1.** Mechanism of innate immune activation and control of viral infection. Recognition of viral RNA by cytoplasmic RIG-I-like receptors or endosomal TLRs activates signaling cascades leading to the production of IFNs, inflammatory cytokines and chemokines. IFNs bind to their specific receptors to activate JAK-STAT signaling pathway to induce the expression of hundreds of ISGs. While many of ISGs encode proteins that regulate innate immune response, some of them directly inhibit distinct steps of viral replication. Genetic evidence of innate immunity genes affecting COVID-19 severity was revealed in a GWAS report with hits in IFNAR2, TYK2 and OAS1 (RAF, risk allele frequency in the UK Biobank) as well as in studies that discovered at least 3.5\% of patients with life-threatening COVID-19 carry rare loss-of-function (LOF) genetic variants in innate immunity genes (TLR3, TLR7, IRF7, IRF3, IFNAR1 and IFNAR2). These genes impair the type I and II IFN response to SARS-COV-2 and are marked with a red cross. See text for further interpretation.
mechanisms against HCoVs. Better understanding of HCoV interaction with the IFN-mediated innate immunity should facilitate the discovery and development of novel therapeutic agents for the treatment of COVID-19 and vaccines to control the COVID-19 pandemic.

**Role of IFN response in HCoV pathogenesis**

HCoVs induce innate immune response via activation of multiple intracellular pattern recognition receptors

Viral infection of cells is sensed by pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) that bind unique structural motifs of viral components, i.e., pathogen associated molecular patterns (PAMPs). Similar to other RNA viruses, HCoV genomic RNA and its replication intermediates can be detected by the cytoplasmic RNA receptors, such as retinoic acid-inducible gene 1 (RIG-I) and melanoma differentiation-associated gene 5 (MDA5), or endosomal RNA sensors, including toll-like receptor (TLR) 3, 7 and 8. (Figure 1). PRR recognition of viral RNA activates signaling cascades, leading to the activation of downstream transcription factors, including interferon regulator factor (IRFs) and nuclear factor xB (NF-xB). Transcriptional activation of IRF3/7 and NF-xB coordinately induces the expression of type I and type III IFNs to restrict viral replication as well as inflammatory cytokines and chemokines to recruit specific subsets of leukocytes and facilitate the activation of adaptive immune responses for the resolution of viral infections.

RIG-I and MDA5 are ubiquitously expressed in various cell types and have been shown to be responsible for SARS-CoV-2 induction of IFN response in airway epithelial cells among other cell types. Two viral RNA fragments spanning nt24001–25000 and nt27001–28000 of SARS-CoV-2 genome significantly stimulated type I IFN production upon transfection into HEK293 cells, suggesting the viral RNA structural motifs or PAMPs recognized by RIG-I and/or MDA5 are likely located in these regions. SARS-CoV-2 RNAs in infected cells have the methylated adenosine bases at the nitrogen 6 position (m6A). Recent studies revealed that depletion of host cell m6A methyltransferase METTL3 decreased levels of m6A in SARS-CoV-2 RNA, but increased RIG-I binding and induction of IFN-I and other inflammatory cytokines. In addition, Yamada et al. suggested RIG-I can also bind the 3’ non-translational region of SARS-CoV-2 RNA genome in human lung epithelial cells via its helicase domain to directly inhibit viral RNA replication independently of type I/III IFN production.

Unlike RIG-I-like receptors, TLR7 and TLR8 are primarily expressed in dendritic cells (DCs), B lymphocytes and monocytes/macrophages. DCs play a critical role in viral immunity as they connect the innate immune response to the adaptive immune response when presented with viral antigens. While plasmacytoid DCs (pDCs) are the primary producers of IFN-I in response to viral infections, conventional DCs (cDCs) recognize various pathogens to produce inflammatory cytokines and activate T lymphocytes. In an in vitro human peripheral blood mononuclear cell (PBMC)-based experimental system, SARS-CoV-2 activates a robust TLR7/8-dependent response leading to the production of type I and III IFNs as well as inflammatory cytokines in the absence of productive viral replication. Type I IFNs are produced by pDCs in response to SARS-CoV-2 infection via a neuropilin-1-dependent, but ACE-2 independent mechanism. Based on the structural features of known TLR7/8 ligands, a total of 491 GU-rich RNA fragments have been identified as putative immunostimulatory ligands in the SARS-CoV-2 genomic RNA and two of them were synthesized and validated as direct activators of endosomal TLR7/8 in a MyD88-dependent manner in DCs.

HCoVs subvert the induction of innate immune response and signal transduction of IFNs via multiple mechanisms

The broadly active antiviral response induced by PRR recognition of the viral pathogens puts strong selective pressure on viruses. This evolutionary process drives the selection of the variant viruses that have higher capabilities to evade or counteract the innate antiviral immune response. Similar to other viruses, HCoVs encode multiple proteins to subvert the innate immune response. Approximately 10 SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 proteins, including membrane protein (M), nucleocapsid protein (N), and non-structural protein (Nsp) 10, 14, 15 and 16, were found to play a role in counteracting the production of IFNs and induction of ISGs. While PAMPs have been extensively examined for their roles in innate immunity, ISGs and their regulatory proteins have been less studied. Such an ISG15 conjugation (ISGylation) of MDA5 at the CARD domain promotes its oligomerization and triggers the activation of IFN and inflammatory cytokine response to the infection of CoVs and other RNA viruses. This ISG15-dependent MDA5 activation can be antagonized by SARS-CoV-2 papain-like protease catalyzed de-ISGylation. SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV endonuclease (EndoU, Nsp15) efficiently prevents the early activation of double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) sensors, such as MDA5, 2′-5′-oligoadenylic acid synthesis (OAS) and double-stranded RNA-dependent protein kinase (PKR). EndoU localizes at the site of viral RNA synthesis within the RNA replication complex, suggesting that CoVs have evolved a viral RNA decay pathway to evade early innate and intrinsic antiviral host cell responses.
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SARS-CoV-2 infection also disrupts the function of IFNAR1, JAK and Tyk2 proteins to block IFN signal transduction and induction of ISGs (reviewed in 17,32). Through the unbiased screen of SARS-CoV-2 proteins for inhibition of IFN response, many were identified to inhibit IFN-I response through distinct mechanisms.37,38 For example, Nsp6 inhibits IRF3 phosphorylation by binding to TBK1; NSP13 interacts with and impedes TBK1 phosphorylation; and ORF6 inhibits IRF3 nuclear translocation via sequestration of karyopherin α2 (KPNA2). Interestingly, the Nsp1 and Nsp6 of SARS-CoV-2 interrupt IFN-I signaling more efficiently than those of SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV.37

The unique interactions between a specific virus and its host cells at various molecular levels lead to the virus- and cell type-specific transcriptome responses. This phenomenon is highlighted by the transcriptome analysis of SARS-CoV-2 infection. In comparison to other respiratory viruses, such as SARS-CoV, Influenza A virus (IAV), respiratory syncytial virus and parainfluenza virus 3, SARS-CoV-2 infection induces a low and delayed type I and type III IFN response, but increased levels of chemokines and inflammatory cytokines, such as IL-6 and IL-1RA.39 A single cell RNA sequencing analysis of SARS-CoV-2 tropism and antiviral response in primary human airway epithelium revealed that the virus predominantly infects ciliated cells and induction of IFN response within infected cells was low and heterogeneous, while heavily infected secretory cells expressed abundant IL-6.40 Furthermore, SARS-CoV-2 induction of IFN and inflammatory cytokines is tissue specific and associated with clinical conditions. For instance, high levels of IFN-III and modest levels of IFN-I were induced in the cells of the upper airways of patients with high viral burden and mild symptoms, whereas patients experiencing severe COVID-19 symptoms had elevated expression of IFN-I and IFN-III in the cells of the lower airway.41

Innate immune response plays critical roles in HCoV pathogenesis

The correlation between the severity of COVID-19 symptoms and the profiles of IFN and inflammatory cytokine responses indicates the important role of PRR-mediated innate immune response in the SARS-CoV-2 pathogenesis. Specifically, a clinical study with a cohort of COVID-19 patients with varying symptom
severities revealed that patients with severe clinical conditions were associated with highly impaired type I interferon responses. This impairment was characterized by undetectable IFN-β levels, low IFN-α production and persistent viral load in their blood, and strong inflammatory responses with increased TNFα and IL-6 productions. Additionally, a longitudinal analysis of the natural killer (NK) cells from 205 COVID-19 patients exhibited early elevation of IFN-I in plasma with increased NK cell expression of ISGs and genes involved in IFN-I signaling. This trend was associated with early severe disease, whereas upregulation of TNF-α-induced genes in NK cells was indicative of moderate symptoms. These findings suggest the persistent dysregulation of innate immune responses in NK cells are associated with the severity of COVID-19 manifestation.

Interestingly, genetic studies in mice argue the protective role of IFN response in CoV infection. For instance, genetic ablation of IFN-I receptor (IFNAR) protected mice from lethal infection by SARS-CoV. In addition, blockade of IFN signaling with an anti-IFNAR2 antibody attenuated the over-active inflammation in SARS-CoV-2 infected MISTRG6-hACE2 mice. However, accumulating evidence supports a protective role of IFN response in SARS-CoV-2 infection in humans. As illustrated in Figure 1, it was reported recently by several research groups that inborn genetic defects at the interferon receptor gene IFNAR1 and IFNAR2 results in severe type I interferon responses. This impairment was associated with early severe disease, whereas upregulation of TNF-α-induced genes in NK cells was indicative of moderate symptoms. These findings suggest the persistent dysregulation of innate immune responses in NK cells are associated with the severity of COVID-19 manifestation.

In comparison with the highly pathogenic CoVs, the innate immunity response and its role in the pathogenesis of the common cold HCoVs remains largely unknown. HCoV-229E was found to induce more potent IFN-I response than SARS-CoV does and double transgenic hAPN −/−Stat1 −/− mice gain susceptibility to HCoV-229E infection, suggesting that IFN-I and STAT1 pathway play an essential role in the control of HCoV-229E infection. Apparently, the pathobiological role of IFN response in the infection of common cold HCoVs deserves further investigation.

### Antiviral effects and therapeutic efficacy of IFNs to HCoV infections

Despite the IFN evasion and antagonistic strategy utilized by CoVs, most HCoVs are sensitive to IFN-I and IFN-III in cultured cells (Table 1). However, HCoV-OC43 is enhanced by all three types of IFNs through the induction of IFITM2/3 to facilitate its cellular entry. Although replication levels of SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 are comparable in infected cells in culture, SARS-CoV-2 is more sensitive to IFN-I. IFN-α treatment was found to reduce viral load and ameliorate pathogenesis by SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV in cynomolgus macaques and rhesus macaques, respectively. Moreover, a type III IFN, IFN-λ/1a, effectively suppressed the replication of a mouse-adapted SARS-CoV-2 in mice. Hoagland et al. found when IFN-α was administered to hamsters intranasally prophylactically or at earlier times (one day) post infection, it reduced viral load and SARS-CoV-2 pathogenesis through the elevation of ISG expression. However, intranasal administration of IFN-α to hamsters after onset of symptoms did not improve clinical outcomes of SARS-CoV-2 infection, but was rather associated with signs of more severe disease.

Similar to the results obtained from animal models infected with SARS-CoV-2, Wang et al. found in a retrospective clinical study that IFN-α/β treatment of COVID-19 patients was only beneficial if the treatment started within 5 days of post-hospital admission, whereas IFN treatment administered later resulted in a slower recovery and extended hospital stay. Likewise, patients with MERS-CoV infection gained beneficial outcomes with earlier treatment regimens of IFN-β. However, the clinical efficacy of pegylated IFN-λ for COVID-19 remains controversial. Peg-IFN-λ/1a did not ameliorate symptoms or reduce SARS-CoV-2 viral shedding time in outpatients with mild to moderate COVID-19. However, another study showed peg-IFN-λ accelerated viral clearance in COVID-19 outpatients with a high baseline of viral load. Collectively, these results indicate that administration of IFN-α may not always be ben-
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| HCoV     | Type of Study     | IFN                        | Efficacy                                                                                              | References |
|----------|------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| SARS-CoV-2 | In vitro        | IFNβ, IFNα1               | Inhibition in primary human bronchial epithelial cells.                                                | 211,212    |
|          |                  | IFNγ                      | No effect in primary human bronchial epithelial cells.                                                 | 211        |
|          |                  | IFNβ, IFNγ, IFNα1         | Inhibition of viral replication in Calu-3 cells.                                                       | 211        |
|          |                  | IFN-α, IFN-β             | Inhibition of viral replication in human Calu-3 and simian Vero E6 cells.                              | 213        |
|          | Animal model of hamster | IFN-α (IFN-α A/D)      | Intranasal administration of IFN-α limits SARS-CoV-2 replication and inflammation in hamsters.        | 57         |
|          | Retrospective    | IFN-α2b                   | Early administration of IFN-α2b was associated with the reduction of in-hospital mortality in comparison to patients that did not receive IFN-α2b treatment. | 59         |
|          | Observational Study | IFN-β1b                   | Early treatment with triple combination of interferon IFN-β1b, lopinavir-ritonavir, and ribavirin was associated with reduced duration of viral shedding compared to the lopinavir-ritonavir treatment group. | 214        |
|          | Clinical trial (phase 2) | Peg IFN-λ1               | Acceleration of viral decline and clearance in COVID-19 outpatients.                                  | 62         |
|          | Randomized Controlled Trial | Peg IFN-λ1a               | Neither reduced duration of viral shedding nor improved symptoms.                                     | 61         |
| MERS-CoV | In vitro        | IFN-β                     | Treatment of MERS-CoV infected rhesus macaques with IFN-α2b and ribavirin reduces viral replication, moderates the host response, and improves clinical outcomes. | 215        |
|          |                  | IFN-β                     | Inhibition of viral replication in Vero E6 cells.                                                      | 216        |
|          | Animal models    | IFN-α2b                   | Treatment of MERS-CoV infected rhesus macaques with IFN-α2b and ribavirin reduces viral replication, moderates the host response, and improves clinical outcomes. | 55         |
|          |                  | IFN-β1b                   | IFN-β1b improved clinical, radiological, and pathological outcomes while reducing the viral load of the infected Common Marmoset. | 217        |
|          |                  | Combination of lopinavir, ritonavir and IFN-β (LPV/RTV-IFNb) | Therapeutic LPV/RTV-IFNβ improves pulmonary function but does not reduce viral replication or severe lung pathology in human DPP4 mouse model. | 215        |
|          | Observational Study | Ribavirin and/or rIFN-α2a, rIFN-α2b, or rIFN-β1a | RBV/rIFN (RBV and/or rIFN-α2a, rIFN-α2b, or rIFN-β1a) therapy was commonly used in critically ill MERS patients but was not associated with reduction in 90-day mortality or faster MERS-CoV RNA clearance. | 218        |
|          | Retrospective cohort study | Ribavirin and IFN-α2a | In patients with severe MERS-CoV infection, ribavirin and interferon alfa-2a therapy is associated with significantly improved survival at 14 days, but not at 28 days. | 219        |
|          | Randomized Controlled Trial | IFN-β1b                  | Early treatment of IFN-β1b and lopinavir-ritonavir led to lower mortality in comparison to the placebo treatment. | 60         |
| SARS-CoV | In vitro        | IFN-α                     | IFN lead to a modest reduction in viral titer in Calu-3 cells.                                         | 53         |
|          |                  | IFN alfacon 1             | Inhibit viral yield in Calu-3 cell line.                                                              | 220        |
|          |                  | IFN-α, IFN-β             | IFN-α and IFN-β protected cells from CPE and reduced viral replication in Vero, Caco2 and Frhk-4 cells. | 221–223    |
|          | Animal model    | IFN-α                     | IFN-α significantly reduces viral replication and excretion in cynomolgus macaques.                    | 54         |
eficial for all the patients, but may be useful for subsets of patients who bear a high viral load and receive it in the early stage of infection.

**Systematic identification of IFN-induced cellular proteins that restrict HCoV infection.**

IFNs control viral infections by inducing the expression of IFN-stimulated genes (ISGs) that coordinately restrict viral replication. The ISGs that modulate viral replication can be identified by systematic gain-of-function or loss-of-function genetic screens. Currently, four independent gain-of-function genetic screens were performed to identify ISGs inhibiting the infection of SARS-CoV-2 and HCoV-229E.

Martin-Sancho et al. screened 399 ISGs with sequence validated full-length cDNA clones in HEK293 cells through the co-transfection of V5-epitope tagged individual ISG in the lentiviral expression vector, pLX304, with plasmids expressing human ACE2 and TMPRSS2. A total of 65 ISGs were identified to significantly inhibit SARS-CoV-2 infection while 38 ISGs were further validated in HEK293-ACE2-derived cell lines stably expressing a V5-tagged individual ISG. Eight ISGs, including MyD88, STAT1, STAT2, DDX60, ELF1, REC8, TRIM21 and CLEC4D, were identified as upstream signaling components and regulators of PRR/IFN pathways which execute their antiviral activity through the transcriptional activation of other cellular proteins. The remaining ISGs were comprised of well characterized IFN-induced direct-acting antiviral effector proteins (IFITM2, IFITM3, BST2, Viperin and IFIT) as well as unfamiliar ISGs that inhibit cellular entry (UBD and FAM46C) and viral RNA synthesis (SPATS2L, DNAJC6, RGSS2, LOC152225, ZBP1, B4GALT5). A highly enriched cluster of endoplasmic reticulum (ER)/Golgi-resident ISGs inhibits virion assembly/egress (ERLIN1, APOL2, HSPA8, etc). While IFITM2, IFITM3, ZBP1 and IFIT1 were demonstrated to restrict multiple RNA viral infections, eight ISGs, including ER/Golgi-resident proteins NAPA, APOL2, and ERLIN1, were found to inhibit the replication of both SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2, but did not have this effect on the other twenty RNA viruses tested. These results imply that regulation of the membrane composition at sites relevant for viral replication or trafficking is a key target for IFN-induced innate immune control of SARS-CoV-2 infection (Figure 2).

However, ectopic expression of more than 500 arrayed individual ISGs in ACE2-expressing and interferon regulatory factor 3 (IRF3)–deficient A549 cells (A549-Npro-ACE2) identified only six ISGs that inhibit SARS-CoV-2 infection/replication without inducing substantial toxicity or inducing interferon-stimulated response element (ISRE) expression. While OAS1 efficiently inhibited...
SARS-CoV-2 infection of A549-ACE2 cells irrespective of serine protease transmembrane serine protease 2 (TMPRSS2) expression status, other five ISGs, including NCOA7, UNC93B1, CCAR8B2, ANKF1 and ZBTB42, only inhibited SARS-CoV-2 infection in the absence of TMPRSS2 expression, suggesting TMPRSS2-mediated SARS-CoV-2 entry evades the restriction of these antiviral factors.69,70

By inducible CRISPR activation (CRISPRa) screen of pooled 414 ISGs for identification of individual ISGs that confer resistance to the cytopathic effects of SARS-CoV-2 in A549 lung adenocarcinoma cells, Oded Danziger et al. identified several previously known SARS-CoV-2 restriction factors, such as LY6E, CD74, IFITM1 and OAS1, as well as new candidate ISGs with putative antiviral activity to SARS-CoV-2, such as ERLIN1, ADPRHL2 and CTSS.71 In comparison to a cDNA over-expression screen, CRISPRa activates the transcription of ISG gene from its endogenous promoter enabling expression of multiple gene isoforms in physiologically relevant levels. This approach offers clear advantage for the identification of ISGs with only one of its isoforms restricting SARS-CoV-2 infection, such as CD74.72

Through the screening of more than 350 human ISG cDNAs in human hepatoma cells (Huh7), Pfänder et al. identified 33 ISGs that inhibited HCoV-229E infection by more than 20% at 24 h post infection.73 Some broad-spectrum antiviral effectors aside from the PRR/IFN signaling modulators, such as IFITM2, IFITM3, BST2, IFIT1, OAS2, OAS3, and LY6E, were identified to inhibit HCoV-229E infection. Several ISGs that inhibit SARS-CoV-2, including APOL2, RAB27A, FAM46A and FAM46C, also inhibit HCoV-229E. However, ISGs that potently inhibit HCoV-229E, such as SQUE, SLC1A1, and STARD5, were not identified to inhibit SARS-CoV-2. This finding suggests that there are overlapping but distinct antiviral networks induced by IFNs to restrict different HCoVs.

**ISGs that inhibit distinct steps of HCoV replication**

As summarized in Table 2 and illustrated in Figure 3, many IFN-induced proteins have been identified to directly inhibit specific steps of HCoV replication. The antiviral properties and modes of action of well-studied ISGs as well as viral strategies to evade their actions will be further discussed.

**Multiple IFN-induced proteins inhibit HCoV entry into host cells**

*Interferon-induced transmembrane proteins (IFITMs).* The human IFITM family has five members, including IFITM1, IFITM2, IFITM3, IFITM5 and IFITM10. However, only IFITM1, IFITM2, and IFITM3 are IFN-inducible and inhibit the cellular entry of a broad spectrum of enveloped viruses.74,75 IFITMs inhibit the entry of multiple HCoVs, including HCoV-NL63, 229E, MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV, SARS-CoV-2.76–79 While IFITM2 and IFITM3 facilitate the entry of HCoV-OC43.52,78 As potent viral restriction factors, IFITM genes evolve in vertebrates under the selective pressure of microorganism infection.80 Thus, a dozen of single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) have been identified in the human population, some of which associate with severity and prognosis of viral infection (reviewed in 81). SNP rs12252 and rs34481144, two well-studied IFITM3 SNPs associated with severe outcomes of IAV infection, have also been reported to associate with COVID-19 mortality or increased risk of hospitalization.82–84

IFITM proteins are widely expressed in human tissues and cell types. IFITM1 primarily localizes in the cellular plasma membrane and early endosomes,85 whereas IFITM2 and IFITM3 mainly co-localize with Rab7, CD63, and lysosome-associated membrane protein 1 (LAMP1) in late endosomes and lysosomes.86,87 The cellular entry of HCoVs requires sequential protease processing of the viral spike glycoprotein to trigger membrane fusion. This processing takes place in either the plasma membrane or the endolysosomal membranes, depending on the structural property of the viral spike protein and the subcellular distribution of cellular protease that cleave viral spike protein. Accumulating evidence supports the notion that the presence of IFITM proteins at the site of viral membrane fusion is essential for their restriction of virus entry. Thus, the entry route of CoVs will largely determine their susceptibility to the different IFITMs. Although SARS-CoV-2 utilizes both early endosomes and lysosomes to fulfill infectious entry,88 its infection is mainly restricted by IFITM2 and the removal of the furin cleavage site in the spike protein renders SARS-CoV-2 entry predominant via late endosomes and makes the infection more sensitive to IFITM2 restriction.89 Moreover, the depletion of IFITM2 attenuates the antiviral effect of IFN-I to SARS-CoV-2 infection.89 Interestingly, SARS-CoV-2 utilizes S1/S2 furin cleavage sites and TMPRSS2 to escape the endosomal IFITM2 and IFITM3 restriction, which contribute to SARS-CoV-2 transmission in ferrets.70 However, a recent study reported that the endogenous expression but not artificial overexpression of IFITMs aids SARS-CoV-2 infection in human lung cells.90 The discrepancy of those studies is not clear and deserves further investigation. It is important to point out that IFITMs can be incorporated into the viral envelope and IFITM proteins on both viral and cellular membranes can restrict the infectious entry of various enveloped viruses. The restriction occurs through the blockade of viral fusion, but not the endocytosis of virions into the cells.91–94
| ISG | Activity                                                                 | Mechanism                                                                                           | References                  |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| ISGs inhibit the cellular entry of HCoVs |                                                                          |                                                                                                      |                             |
| IFITM1, IFITM2 and IFITM3 | Inhibits cellular entry of all the known HCoVs except for HCoV-OC43, which uses human IFITM2 and IFITM3 as entry factors to facilitate its infection of target cells. | Inhibition or promotion of the fusion of viral envelopes with cellular membranes.                     | 52,78,86,226–228            |
| LY6E | Inhibits cellular entry of all the known HCoVs.                           | Interrupts the fusion of the viral envelope and cellular membranes as well as impairs syncytia formation. | 73,76                       |
| CH25H | Inhibits cellular entry of SARS-CoV-1, SARS-CoV-2 and MERS-CoV.           | Inhibits the fusion of viral envelopes and cellular plasma membranes through the reduction of cholesterol in the plasma membrane. | 132,133                     |
| GILT | Inhibits cellular entry of SARS-CoV-1.                                   | Inhibition of SARS-CoV-1 entry in lysosomes via disruption of the stability and activity of cathepsin L. | 121                         |
| CD74 | Inhibits the cellular entry of SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2.                | Inhibition of cathepsin L-mediated cleavage of viral spike proteins.                                  | 72                          |
| FAM46C, UBD, CLEC4D | Inhibit viral entry mediated by spike protein of SARS-CoV-2.              | To be determined.                                                                                     | 67                          |

**ISGs that bind viral RNA and inhibit viral replication**

| ISG | Activity | Mechanism | References |
|-----|----------|-----------|------------|
| IFIT1, IFIT3 and IFIT5 | Inhibit SARS-CoV-2 RNA replication. | Prevention of active viral RNA replication by sequestering the single-stranded 5'-ppp or 2'-O-unmethylated RNA. | 67 |
| IFIT2 | Inhibits MHV RNA replication. | Binds CpG dinucleotides in viral RNA to mark it for degradation | 167,229 |
| ZAP | Restricts SARS-CoV-2 replication. | Synthesize 2'-5'oligo (A) that activates RNase L to degrade viral and cellular RNA. | 142–144 |
| OAS1-RNase L | Restricts SARS-CoV-2 replication. | To be determined.                                                                                   | 67 |
| SPATS2L, DNAJC6, RGSS2, LOC152225, ZBP1, B4GALT5 | Restricts SARS-CoV-2 replication. | To be determined.                                                                                   | 67 |

**ER-Golgi-associated proteins that inhibit virion assembly and egress**

| ISG | Activity | Mechanism | References |
|-----|----------|-----------|------------|
| Viperin | Inhibits PEDV replication. | Restricts viral replication and/or assembly via interactions with N proteins. | 230 |
| BST2 | Inhibits the release of HCoV-229E and SARS-CoV-2 virion particles. | Tethers virions on the cellular surfaces or intracellular membranes | 67,193,202 |
| HSPA8, CNP, Rab27a, ERLIN1 | Inhibits late-stage SARS-CoV-2 replication. | To be determined.                                                                                   | 67 |
IFITMs belong to the CD225 transmembrane protein superfamily which share the CD225 domain and play important roles in many cellular events through the regulation of membrane fusion, such as neurotransmission, immunity and metabolism.95,96 As illustrated in Figure 4, the CD225 domain is defined by two hydrophobic regions separated by a cytoplasmic intracellular loop. One of the hydrophobic regions is a transmembrane helix and the other is an intramembrane helix. Recent studies revealed that the intramembrane helix of IFITMs is amphipathic and required for antiviral activity.97 It was reported recently that the amphipathic helix spanning amino acid residues 59–68 partitions into lipid-disordered domains at the site of IAV fusion to block the fusion pore formation by inducing negative membrane curvature and increasing the membrane lipid order and stiffness.98 Furthermore, a GxGxxG motif in the CD225 domain of IFITM3 drives its oligomerization, which is essential for its antiviral functions.99 In addition to directly modulating membrane physical property at the site of membrane fusion, IFITMs may also inhibit viral entry through the regulation of endosomal trafficking. IFITM3 inhibition of the cellular entry of reovirus, a naked capsid virus, seems to support this notion.100 Moreover, site-specific fluorophore tagging and live-cell imaging studies revealed that IFITM3 on endocytic vesicles engages with and promotes the trafficking of incoming virus particles to lysosomes in a S-palmitoylation dependent manner.101 S-palmitoylation of IFITMs, a conserved post-translational modification for all the IFITM proteins, may assist IFITM conformation alteration, association with specific membrane domains or interaction with other proteins and is required for restriction of all the viruses examined.102,103 It is thus likely that the S-palmitoylation of IFITMs functions in both inhibition of membrane fusion and direction of incoming virions to lysosomes for degradation.104

**LY6E.** LY6E (Lymphocyte antigen 6 complex, locus E), also referred to as TSA-1 (Thymic Shared Antigen-1), SCA-2 (Stem Cell Antigen-2) or RIG-E (Retinoic Acid Inducible Gene E), is a
member of Ly-6/uPAR protein family (Lymphocyte antigen-6/urokinase-type plasminogen activator receptor).\textsuperscript{105} LY6E was initially found to be expressed in immature CD4\textsuperscript{+} CD8\textsuperscript{+} thymocytes\textsuperscript{106} and functions in T cell development and activation.\textsuperscript{107} However, LY6E is also found to be an IFN-inducible protein\textsuperscript{108} and undergoes active transcription in various organs such as the liver, uterus, spleen, ovary, lung, and brain.\textsuperscript{105} As a GPI-anchored protein, LY6E is enriched in the lipid-raft microdomain of the plasma membrane and endosomes\textsuperscript{109} and functions in modulating the infectious entry of multiple enveloped viruses.\textsuperscript{79,110} Specifically, LY6E differentially regulates HIV-1 entry in a CD4-dependant manner\textsuperscript{111,112} and enhances the infectivity of multiple enveloped RNA viruses, including Yellow Fever Virus (YFV), Dengue Virus (DENV), West Nile Virus (WNV), Chikungunya virus (CHIKV), OYANG virus (ONV) and influenza A virus (IAV/PR8).\textsuperscript{105} LY6E also serves as the receptor for the endogenous retroviral envelope in mice, Syncytin-A (SynA). This interaction is essential for syncytiotrophoblast formation during the development of the murine placenta.\textsuperscript{113}

LY6E potently restricts the cellular entry of multiple CoVs, including mouse hepatitis virus (MHV) and all the HCoVs examined,\textsuperscript{73,76} through inhibition of viral spike protein-induced membrane fusion.\textsuperscript{73} Its critical role in the control of CoVs infection is demonstrated by the observation that mice lacking LY6E in their immune cells were highly susceptible to MHV infection.\textsuperscript{73} Interestingly, unlike IFITMs, amphotericin B treatment does not attenuate the restriction of HCoVs by LY6E, suggesting LY6E inhibits CoV spike protein induced membrane fusion via a distinct mechanism.\textsuperscript{76}

**CD74.** CD74 (also designated as the invariant chain protein, Ii) is primarily expressed in antigen-presenting cells as an MHC class II chaperone. In macrophages, CD74 serves as a receptor for migration inhibitory factors (MIF) and is expressed on macrophages that participate in inflammatory responses.\textsuperscript{114} CD74 is a type II transmembrane protein and its intracellular domain (ICD) is essential for the activation of NF\textsubscript{x}B and other signaling pathways upon MIF binding. In humans, four CD74 isoforms (p31, p35, p41 and p43) are expressed due to alternative splicing. As shown in Figure 5, these isoforms differ in the presence or absence of N-terminal ER-retention signal and internal thyroglobulin domain.\textsuperscript{115}

CD74 is an IFN-inducible protein. The p41 isoform specifically inhibited the endosomal entry of Ebola virus (EBOV) and HCoVs, including SARS-CoV-2.\textsuperscript{72} This isoform contains the thyroglobulin domain, lacks ER retention signal, and normally accumulates in endosomes. The CD74 thyroglobulin domain inhibits cathepsins and when its cathepsin L binding site is mutated it completely abolishes its ability to restrict viral entry.\textsuperscript{72,116} Accordingly, apart from its roles in antigen presentation and inflammation, CD74 functions as a crucial restriction factor which inhibits endolysosomal entry of viruses through the inhibition of cathepsin cleavage of viral envelope proteins.

**GILT.** GILT (Gamma-interferon-inducible lysosomal thiol reductase, also referred to as IFI30) is a soluble thiol reductase responsible for the reduction of protein disulfide bonds in specific conditions. This enzyme is abundantly expressed in the lysosomes of professional antigen-presenting cells (APCs), such as macrophages, dendritic cells and B lymphocytes, but can be induced by IFN-\gamma in other cell types.\textsuperscript{117,118} The role of GILT in adaptive immunity has been well characterized. Specifically, GILT promotes the MHC class II-restricted presentation of exogenous antigens by CD8\textsuperscript{+} T cells via cross presentation.\textsuperscript{119,120} GILT is synthesized as a 35-kDa precursor and is directed to the endocytic pathway via the mannose-6 phosphate receptor (M6PR). The enzyme is transported into the lysosomes which will provide the optimal acidic pH for its thiol reductase activity.\textsuperscript{118,120} Mature GILT utilizes two cysteine residues in the \textsuperscript{72}CXXC\textsuperscript{75} reductase motif to reduce the disulfide bonds of endocytosed antigens, thus, restricting their presentation on MHC class II molecules.\textsuperscript{120}
facilitating antigen processing and presentation by MHC-II and MHC-I.\textsuperscript{119,120} Recent studies revealed that GILT only inhibited the cellular entry of SARS-CoV, EBOV, and LASV, all of which enter host cells through the lysosome.\textsuperscript{121} Furthermore, GILT mutations that impair the thiol reductase activity or disrupt N-linked glycosylation, an essential post-translational modification for lysosomal localization, largely compromised its restriction of viral entry. Additionally, the induction of GILT expression reduced the level and activity of cathepsin L, the lysosomal endopeptidase enzyme required for the lysosomal entry of susceptible RNA viruses. These results suggest that GILT is a novel antiviral ISG that specifically inhibits the entry of selected enveloped RNA viruses in lysosomes through the inhibition of cathepsin L activity.

**CH25H.** Cholesterol-25-hydroxylase (CH25H), a conserved antiviral ISG in mammalian species, contributes to the regulation of cholesterol biosynthesis. CH25H encodes an ER-associated enzyme that converts cholesterol to a soluble antiviral factor, 25-hydroxycholesterol (25HC).\textsuperscript{122} The expression of CH25H and 25HC treatment is known to broadly inhibit the multiple enveloped viral infections.\textsuperscript{79,123–126} Studies have shown that CH25H-knockout mice exhibited increased susceptibility to murine gamma herpesvirus 68 (MHV68) infection.\textsuperscript{124} Through the oxidation of cholesterol, 25HC becomes a soluble oxysterol capable of inhibiting the entry of many enveloped viruses through the disruption of membrane fusion, due to the alteration of the membrane’s hydrophobicity or cholesterol composition.\textsuperscript{125} In support of its antiviral effects, the significant restriction of HIV replication and rescued T-cell depletion upon the administration of 25HC has been demonstrated in mice models.\textsuperscript{124} Administration of 25HC also reduced viremia and protected mice and rhesus macaques from ZIKV infection.\textsuperscript{125}

In addition to directly interfering with membrane fusion, CH25H abrogates LASV G1 glycoprotein N-glycan maturation, thus suppressing the production of infectious virions.\textsuperscript{127} CH25H inhibited HCV infection at post entry stages by suppressing the maturation of SREBPs which are critical transcription factors for host lipid biosynthesis.\textsuperscript{128} Another study demonstrated that 25HC inhibited HCV infection through blocking the formation of the membranous web which serves as a viral RNA replication organelle.\textsuperscript{129} Interestingly, CH25H is involved in B cell migration through the generation of 7\(\alpha\),25-OHC, a chemokine that directs immune cell migration.\textsuperscript{130,131} CH25H and 25HC have demonstrated the ability to inhibit cellular entry of many CoVs, including SARS-CoV,\textsuperscript{132,133} SARS-CoV-2,\textsuperscript{132,133} MERS-CoV,\textsuperscript{132} porcine epidemic diarrhea virus (PEDV),\textsuperscript{134} and Porcine deltacoronavirus (PDCoV).\textsuperscript{135} Of note, a potent antiviral effect of 25HC was observed in mouse model by using a mice-adapted SARS-CoV-2 strain MASCp6.\textsuperscript{136} Mechanistically, CH25H inhibits CoV cellular entry by interfering with S protein-mediated membrane fusion.\textsuperscript{132,133} Particularly, internalized 25HC accumulates in the late endosomes and lysosomes to inhibit membrane fusion.

![Figure 5. Domain structure and splicing variants of CD74.](https://example.com/CD74.png)

(A) Membrane topology of CD74 proteins. (B) The topogenic feature and key structure domains or motifs are indicated. The structural features of the four CD74 variants are highlighted.
fusion. Plasma membrane fusion is also restricted by 25HC through the activation of ER-resident acyl-CoA: cholesterol acyltransferase (ACAT) which depletes the accessible cholesterol from the plasma membrane.

**IFN-induced cellular proteins targeting HCoV RNA**

**Zinc finger antiviral protein (ZAP).** ZAP is an RNA binding protein containing an N-terminal ~227-aa RNA-binding domain (RBD) with 4 CCCH zinc fingers (ZnF1 to ZnF4), a WWE domain, and a C-terminal poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase domain which is present in the ZAP-L isoform but not in the ZAP-S isoform. ZAP broadly inhibits the infection of various RNA viruses through the detection of specific viral RNAs that have a greater frequency of CG dinucleotides than cellular RNAs. These dinucleotides promote viral RNA degradation via its co-factor, KHNYN, a presumed endonuclease that is important for ZAP antiviral activity against retroviruses or the RNA exosome. Crystal structural analysis of the protein-RNA complex containing the N-terminal 4-zinc finger human ZAP RNA-binding domain (RBD) and the CG dinucleotide-containing RNA revealed the formation of a CG-binding pocket from the four zinc fingers on the ZAP RBD surface specifically accommodating the CG dinucleotide. However, ZAP induces the pregenomic RNA degradation of hepatitis B virus through the recognition of a stem-loop (c) structure at the 5’ terminal region of the viral RNA in the 4-zinc finger-dependent manner.

Recent studies indicated that ZAP restricts SARS-CoV-2 by targeting CpG dinucleotides in viral RNA sequences. Interestingly, SARS-CoV-2 and two closely-related animal CoVs isolated from horseshoe bats, RaTG13 and RmYN02, exhibited remarkable CpG suppression in comparison to other human and bat CoVs. These findings imply the CG depression observed in SARS-CoV-2 genome may be a result of adaptive selection to evade ZAP restriction, which highlights the critical role of ZAP in the control of CoV infection, thus, shaping the evolution of viruses.

**2’5’-Oligoadenylate synthetases (OAS)/RNase L pathway.** The 2’5’-oligoadenylate (2-5A) synthetase (OAS)-RNase L system is a potent IFN-induced antiviral pathway that restricts the infection of a diverse group of viruses. Three human OAS species, OAS1, OAS2, and OAS3, can bind cytosolic dsRNA and be activated to synthesize 2’-5’ oligoadenylates (2-5A). Binding of 2-5A to RNase-L induces its dimerization and activation of nuclease activity. This results in the degradation of both the cellular and viral RNA as well as the consequential inhibition of viral replication and induction of infected cell death. Although OAS proteins are highly IFN-inducible, the basal levels of OAS expression can be detected in many cell types. Interestingly, although all three human OAS proteins synthesize 2-5A upon binding of dsRNA, it was demonstrated that only OAS3 is primarily responsible for the activation of RNase L in cells infected with a diverse group of viruses, including CoVs. The dominant role of OAS3 in viral activation of RNase L may be attributed to its higher binding affinity binding to dsRNA. However, it was reported recently that the induction or overexpression of OAS1, but not OAS2 or OAS3, by CRISPR activation or cDNA transfection significantly protects against SARS-CoV-2 induced cytopathic effects and reduces viral yield. Further mechanistic analysis demonstrated that OAS1 inhibition of SARS-CoV-2 is dependent on its oligoadenylate synthetase activity. A knockout of RNase L in A549ACE2 cells increased replication of SARS-CoV-2 and cytopathic effect. Moreover, OAS1 genetic variants were found to be associated with infection and morbidity of SARS-CoV while the single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the OAS loci are linked to COVID-19 mortality. (Figure 1). A Neanderthal isoform of OAS1 in individuals of European ancestry (rs4767027-T and rs10774671-G) was associated with reduced COVID-19 susceptibility and severity. OAS1 alternative splicing is regulated by the rs10774671-G allele, which increases the isoform p46. The p46 isoform has a higher enzymatic activity against viruses than the p42 isoform. Mechanistically, it was reported by two independent research groups that OAS1 p46 isoform is prenylated at the terminus, which targets the ER membrane to enhance its accessibility to viral RNA for more efficient activation thus increasing the antiviral activity to viruses that replicate their RNA genome in membranous organelles. These viruses include flaviviruses, piconaviruses and human coronaviruses, such as SARS-CoV-2.

As anticipated, CoVs have evolved multiple strategies to counteract OAS-RNase L pathway to facilitate their replication. For example, MHV employs Nsp2, an accessory protein that possesses 2’,5’-phosphodiesterase activity, to cleave 2’,5’-oligoadenylates and prevent RNase L activation. MERS-CoV as well as closely-related bat CoVs also encode accessory proteins Nsp4A and Nsp4B with phosphodiesterase activity to inhibit the activation of OAS-RNase L pathway. The critical role of OAS-RNase L system in defending CoV infection and pathogenesis is highlighted by the observation that Nsp2 antagonism of this intricate dsRNA sensor pathway is required for MHV replication in the liver and induction of hepatitis. However, the result that OAS1 restricts SARS-CoV-2 replication in A549 cells and protects SARS-CoV-2 infection and pathogenesis in humans suggests that SARS-CoV-2 may not evade this antiviral pathway. Indeed, a recent study.
on SARS-CoV-2 activation of innate immune response in the relevant respiratory tract derived cells shows that SARS-CoV-2 activates OAS–RNase L and PKR, while inducing minimal levels of interferon. These phenomena indicate viral SARS-CoV-2 evasion of RIG-I/MDA5 detection in contrast to MERS-CoV infection, which evokes the activation of all the three dsRNA detection pathways.\textsuperscript{19} It will be interesting to uncover why OAS1, but not OAS2 or OAS3, restricts SARS-CoV-2 infection and whether different CoVs activate distinct OAS species.

**IFIT1 inhibits viral protein translation**

There are 4 members of the interferon-induced proteins with tetratricopeptide repeats (IFITs) family found humans which include: IFIT1 (ISG56), IFIT2 (ISG54), IFIT3 (ISG60) and IFIT5 (ISG58).\textsuperscript{158,159} The expression of IFITs can be induced by the activation of a IFN regulatory factor (IRF) family of transcription factors in viral infection and by dsRNA recognition independently of IFN production. IFIT proteins contain multiple copies of tetratricopeptide repeats (TPR), a conserved motif composed of 34 amino acid residues, which adopt a helix–turn–helix structure.\textsuperscript{158,159} The TPRs of IFITs coalesce into distinct super helical subdomains that form clamp-shaped structures.\textsuperscript{160–162}

IFITs execute their antiviral function by specifically interacting with a range of cellular and viral RNAs and proteins. For instance, IFIT1 and IFIT2 bind to the multisubunit eukaryotic translation initiation factor 3 (eIF3) which inhibits the translation of viral mRNA.\textsuperscript{165–167} Infection with SARS-CoV-2 ORF7a selectively binds to unglycosylated BST2 glycosylation status.\textsuperscript{67,202} In addition, both SARS-CoV-2 Nsp10 and Nsp16 have been developed due to the observation that targeting 2'-O-MTase activity will reduce viral proliferation and virulence.\textsuperscript{178–180}

**BST-2 inhibits the release of HCoV virions**

Bone marrow stromal cell antigen 2 (BST-2), also referred to as CD317 or tetherin, is a type II transmembrane protein with a short intracellular N-terminal domain, an extracellular coil-coil domain and a glycosyl phosphatidyl inositol (GPI) membrane anchor at its C terminus. The GPI membrane anchor directs BST-2 association with cholesterol-enriched lipid rafts in the cellular membrane. BST2 traffics through the ER and Golgi and localizes in the plasma membrane and endosomes.\textsuperscript{161,162} BST2 blocks the release of many enveloped viruses from infected cells, including members of the following families: **Retroviridae** (HIV-1),\textsuperscript{183,184} ** Arenaviridae** (Lassa virus),\textsuperscript{185} **Paramyxoviridae** (Nipah and Hendra virus),\textsuperscript{186,187} **Orthomyxoviridae** (Influenza A virus),\textsuperscript{188,189} **Rhabdoviridae** (vesicular stomatitis virus),\textsuperscript{94} **Filoviridae** (Ebola and Marburg viruses),\textsuperscript{183} and **Hepadnaviridae** (Hepatitis B virus).\textsuperscript{190} Accumulating evidence suggests BST-2 is incorporated into budding viral particles and through coiled-domain mediated homo-oligomerization with other BST-2 molecules in the cellular membranes, viral particles become ‘tethered’ to the cell surface or intracellular membranes.\textsuperscript{191} Unlike HIV and many other viruses that bud from the plasma membrane, CoVs bud in the ER-Golgi apparatus intermediate compartment (ERGIC) and are transported to the plasma membrane inside vesicles.\textsuperscript{192} However, it has been shown that BST2 also restricts the replication of HCoV-229E and SARS-CoV-2 by tethering progeny virions on the cellular surface and intracellular membranes.\textsuperscript{67,193} This observation suggests that BST-2 can also restrict viruses that bud in the ERGIC and are then released from the cell via vesicle fusion.

Viruses have evolved many strategies to evade BST2 restriction by inducing its degradation or redirecting it away from its site of action on the cellular surface. For instance, primate lentiviruses employ at least three accessory proteins including Vpu, Nef, and Env, to counteract tetherin.\textsuperscript{184,192} HIV-1’s Vpu interacts with BST2 via its transmembrane domains and directs BST2 to the lysosome and/or the proteasome for degradation.\textsuperscript{196–198} HIV-2 and some SIV strains utilize their Env and Nef proteins, respectively, to downregulate BST2 expression on the cellular surface through sequestering it to endocytic compartments.\textsuperscript{199,201} SARS-CoV ORF7a selectively binds to unglycosylated BST2 to prevent its glycosylation, which is required for restriction of SARS-CoV release from infected cells. However, the interaction between SARS-CoV-2 ORF7a and BST2 does not depend on BST2 glycosylation status.\textsuperscript{87,202} In addition, both
SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 spike proteins down-regulate BST2 to facilitate viral spread. However, BST-2 can be hijacked by human cytomegalovirus to enhance cellular entry. In other studies, murine BST2 was found to promote measles virus infection in brains of permissive mice.

**Perspectives**

The activation and evasion of the innate immune response are the key determinants of viral host range and cell tropism and thus, effect cross-species transmission and pathogenesis. In addition to antiviral drugs that directly target viral proteins or RNA for specific inhibition of viral replication, drugs that disrupt the viral evasion of host innate immune response should facilitate immune control and resolution of viral infections. Furthermore, mutant viruses that fail to counteract the innate immune restriction could be candidates for attenuated vaccines, which could induce more efficient and durable protection from HCoV infection and/or diseases. Therefore, having a better understanding of the molecular mechanism of innate immune control and HCoV evasion should facilitate the discovery and development of novel antiviral drugs and vaccines for the treatment of CoV diseases and prevention of CoV infections. These developments will particularly be important for future zoonotic CoV epidemics in humans.

While recent intensive investigation on the innate immune response to SARS-CoV-2 infection uncovered important features of the virus-host cell interactions and provided many mechanistic insights, some important questions on the role and mechanisms of innate immune response in SARS-CoV-2 pathogenesis have yet to be answered. First, the innate immune response of specific cell types, such as immune cells (NK cells and pDCs) and distinct cell types in the lung epithelium, to CoV pathogenesis should be further investigated. Second, it appears that CoVs can activate all the three families of known cytoplasmic dsRNA sensors, i.e., RIG-I-like receptors, PKR, and OAS. The mechanisms underlying the activation of these dsRNA receptors and their contributions to CoV pathogenesis and control should be dissected. Third, it is rather surprising that OAS3 is primarily responsible for RNase L activation in the infection of CoVs and several other RNA viruses. However, forward genetic screen and population genetics studies demonstrated that OAS1 restricts SARS-CoV-2 infection and plays a critical role in SARS-CoV-2 pathogenesis. Therefore, further investigation on the mechanisms of activation and biological function of the distinct members of the OAS family in the infection and pathogenesis of CoVs and other viruses is warranted. Finally, it is important to apply our understanding on the innate immune restriction and viral evasion of PRR- and IFN-mediated antiviral immune responses in the discovery and development of therapeutic and prophylactic medicines to control the infection of CoVs and other viruses. Particularly, the development of small molecular antiviral agents disrupting the key interaction between the viral and cellular proteins for the evasion of IFN restriction of viral replication hold great promise in the treatment of coronavirus diseases.

**CRediT authorship contribution statement**

Xuesen Zhao: Conceptualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing, Funding acquisition, Supervision.
Danying Chen: Writing – original draft, Visualization.
Xinglin Li: Writing – original draft, Visualization.
Lauren Griffith: Writing – review & editing.
Jinhong Chang: Writing – review & editing, Funding acquisition.
Ping An: Writing – review & editing, Visualization.
Ju-Tao Guo: Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing, Visualization, Funding acquisition, Supervision.

**DATA AVAILABILITY**

No data was used for the research described in the article.

**Acknowledgements**

This work was partially supported by grants from the National Institute of Health, USA (AI134732) and the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania through the Hepatitis B Foundation. This work was also generously supported by grants from National Science Foundation of China (81772173 and 81971916) and National Science and Technology Mega-Project of China (2018ZX10301-408-002) to X.Z.

**Declaration of Competing Interest**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Received 3 December 2021; Accepted 29 December 2021; Available online 3 January 2022

**Keywords:**
COVID-19; SARS-CoV-2; coronavirus; innate immune response; interferon stimulated genes
References

1. Zhou, P., Yang, X.L., Wang, X.G., Hu, B., Zhang, L., Zhang, W., et al., (2020). A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin. Nature 579, 270–273.

2. Coronavirus Study Group of the International Committee on Taxonomy of V, (2020). The species Severe acute respiratory syndrome-related coronavirus: classifying 2019-nCoV and naming it SARS-CoV-2. Nature Microbiol 5, 536–544.

3. Zhu, N., Zhang, D., Wang, W., Li, X., Yang, B., Song, J., et al., (2020). A Novel Coronavirus from Patients with Pneumonia in China, 2019. N. Engl. J. Med. 382, 727–733.

4. Lu, R., Zhao, X., Li, J., Niu, P., Yang, B., Wu, H., et al., (2020). Genomic characterisation and epidemiology of 2019 novel coronavirus: implications for virus origins and receptor binding. Lancet 395, 565–574.

5. Garcia-Beltran, W.F., Lam, E.C., St Denis, K., Hu, K.H., Ray, A., et al., (2021). Multiple SARS-CoV-2 variants escape neutralization by vaccine-induced humoral immunity. Cell 184, 2372e9–2383e9.

6. Mlcochova, P., Kemp, S., Dhar, M.S., Papa, G., Meng, B., Ferreira, I., et al., (2021). SARS-CoV-2 B.1.617.2 Delta variant replication and immune evasion. Nature.

7. Liu, C., Ginn, H.M., Dejnirattisai, W., Supasa, P., Wang, B., Tuekprakhon, A., et al., (2021). Reduced neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 B.1.617 by vaccine and convalescent serum. Cell 184, 4220e13–4236e13.

8. Liu, J., Li, Y., Xia, H., Zou, J., Weaver, S.C., Swanson, K. A., et al., (2021). BNT162b2-elicted neutralization of B.1.617 and other SARS-CoV-2 variants. Nature 596, 273–275.

9. Kozlov, M., (2021). Waning COVID super-immunity raises questions about Omicron. Nature.

10. Sadler, A.J., Williams, B.R., (2008). Interferon-inducible antiviral effectors. Nature Rev. Immunol. 8, 559–568.

11. Zhang, Q., Bastard, P., Liu, Z., Le Pen, J., Moncada-Velez, M., Chen, J., et al., (2020). Inborn errors of type I IFN immunity in patients with life-threatening COVID-19. Science 370

12. Stertz, S., Hale, B.G., (2021). Interferon system deficiencies exacerbating severe pandemic virus infections. Trends Microbiol. 29, 973–982.

13. Bastard, P., Rosen, L.B., Zhang, Q., Michaillidis, E., Hoffmann, H.H., Zhang, Y., et al., (2020). Autoantibodies against type I IFNs in patients with life-threatening COVID-19. Science 370

14. Combes, A.J., Courau, T., Kuhn, N.F., Hu, K.H., Ray, A., Chen, W.S., et al., (2021). Global absence and targeting of protective immune states in severe COVID-19. Nature 591, 124–130.

15. Takeuchi, O., Akira, S., (2010). Pattern recognition receptors and inflammation. Cell 140, 805–820.

16. Park, A., Iwasaki, A., (2020). Type I and Type III Interferons - Induction, Signaling, Evasion, and Application to Combat COVID-19. Cell Host Microbe 27, 870–878.

17. Lowery, S.A., Sariol, A., Perlman, S., (2021). Innate immune and inflammatory responses to SARS-CoV-2: Implications for COVID-19. Cell Host Microbe 29, 1052–1062.

18. Schultze, J.L., Aschenbrenner, A.C., (2021). COVID-19 and the human innate immune system. Cell 184, 1671–1692.

19. Li, Y., Renner, D.M., Comar, C.E., Whelan, J.N., Reyes, H.M., Cardenas-Diaz, F.L., et al., (2021). SARS-CoV-2 induces double-stranded RNA-mediated innate immune responses in respiratory epithelial-derived cells and cardiomyocytes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 118

20. Yin, X., Riva, L., Pu, Y., Martin-Sancho, L., Kanamune, J., Yamamoto, Y., et al., (2021). MDA5 Governs the Innate Immune Response to SARS-CoV-2 in Lung Epithelial Cells. Cell Rep. 34, 108628

21. Thorne, L.G., Reuschli, A.K., Zuliani-Alvarez, L., Whelan, M.V.X., Turner, J., Noursadeghi, M., et al., (2021). SARS-CoV-2 sensing by RIG-I and MDA5 links epithelial infection to macrophage inflammation. EMBO J. 40, e107826

22. Kouvaki, T., Nishimura, T., Wang, G., Oshima, H., (2021). RIG-I-Like Receptor-Mediated Recognition of Viral Genomic RNA of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus-2 and Viral Escape From the Host Innate Immune Responses. Front. Immunol. 12, 700926

23. Li, N., Hui, H., Bray, B., Gonzalez, G.M., Zeller, M., Anderson, K.G., et al., (2021). METTL3 regulates viral m6A RNA modification and host cell innate immune responses during SARS-CoV-2 infection. Cell Rep. 35, 109091

24. Yamada, T., Sato, S., Sotoyama, Y., Orba, Y., Sawa, H., Yamauchi, H., et al., (2021). RIG-I triggers a signaling-abortive anti-SARS-CoV-2 defense in human lung cells. Nature Immunol. 22, 820–828.

25. Cabeza-Cabreroiz, M., Cardoso, A., Minutti, C.M., Pereira da Costa, M., (2021). Dendritic Cells Revisited. Annu. Rev. Immunol. 39, 131–166.

26. Greene, T.T., Zuniga, E.I., (2021). Type I Interferon Induction and Exhaustion during Viral Infection: Plasmacytoid Dendritic Cells and Emerging COVID-19 Findings. Viruses 13

27. Sweick, M., Colonna, M., (2015). The multifaceted biology of plasmacytoid dendritic cells. Nature Rev. Immunol. 15, 471–485.

28. Severa, M., Diotti, R.A., Etna, M.P., Rizzo, F., Fiore, S., Ricci, D., et al., (2021). Differential plasmacytoid dendritic cell phenotype and type I Interferon response in asymptomatic and severe COVID-19 infection. PLoS Pathog. 17, e1009878

29. Shimizu, T., (2017). Structural insights into ligand recognition and regulation of nucleic acid-sensing Toll-like receptors. Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol. 47, 52–59.

30. Diebold, S.S., Massacrier, C., Akira, S., Morel, Y., Reis e Sousa, C., (2006). Nucleic acid agonists for Toll-like receptors. Eur. J. Immunol. 36, 3256–3267.

31. Salvi, V., Nguyen, H.O., Sozio, F., Spiotta, T., Gaudenzi, C., Laffranchi, M., et al., (2021). SARS-CoV-2-associated ssRNAs activate inflammation and immunity via TLR7/8. JCI Insight 6

32. Beyer, D.K., Forero, A., (2021). Mechanisms of Antiviral Immune Evasion of SARS-CoV-2. J. Mol. Biol., 167265.

33. Sa Ribero, M., Jouvenet, N., Dreux, M., Nisole, S., (2020). Interplay between SARS-CoV-2 and the type I interferon response. PLoS Pathog. 16, e1008737
36. Kindler, E., Gil-Cruz, C., Spanier, J., Li, Y., Wilhelm, J., Liu, Y., Qin, C., Rao, Y., Ngo, C., Feng, J.J., Zhao, J., Xia, H., Cao, Z., Xie, X., Zhang, X., Chen, J.Y., Wang, H., et al. (2020). Activation and evasion of type I interferon responses by SARS-CoV-2. Cell Rep. 33, 108234

37. Lei, X., Dong, X., Ma, R., Wang, W., Xiao, X., Tian, Z., et al. (2020). Transmembrane proteins that are interacting with SARS-CoV-2 are upregulated in infected macrophages. Cell Host Microbe 19, 181–193.

38. Hadjadj, J., Yatim, N., Barnabei, L., Corneau, A., Boussier, J., Smith, N., et al. (2020). Impaired type I interferon activity and inflammatory responses in severe COVID-19 patients. Science 369, 718–724.

39. Kramer, B., Knoll, R., Bonaguro, L., ToVinh, M., Raabe, J., Astaburuaga-Garcia, R., et al. (2021). Early IFN-alpha signatures and persistent dysfunction are distinguishing features of NK cells in severe COVID-19. Immunity.

40. Safek, E., Qu, R., Kaffel, E., Zhao, J., Juncqueira, C., Mirza, H., et al. (2021). Viral replication in human macrophages enhances an inflammatory cascade and interferon driven chronic COVID-19 in humanized mice. bioRxiv. 2021.09.27.461948.

41. van der Made, C.I., Simons, A., Schuur-Sloot, N., van den Heuvel, G., Maneure, T., Kersten, S., et al. (2020). Presence of Genetic Variants Among Young Men With Severe COVID-19. JAMA 324, 663–673.

42. Asano, T., Boisson, B., Onod, F., Matsuoka, D., Moncada-Velez, M., Magliorisi Renkilaraj, M.R.L., et al. (2021). X-linked recessive TLR7 deficiency in ~1% of men under 60 years old with life-threatening COVID-19. Sci. Immunol.

43. Abolhassani, H., Vosaghinmotlagh, A., Asano, T., Landegren, N., Boisson, B., Delavari, S., et al. (2021). X-Linked TLR7 Deficiency Underlies Critical COVID-19 Pneumonia in a Male Patient with Ataxia-Telangiectasia. J. Clin. Immunol.
62. Feld, J.J., Kandel, C., Biondi, M.J., Kozak, R.A., Zahoor, M.A., Lemieux, C., et al., (2021). Peginterferon lambda for the treatment of outpatients with COVID-19: a phase 2, placebo-controlled randomised trial. *Lancet Respir Med.*, 9, 498–510.

63. Schneider, W.M., Chevillotte, M.D., Rice, C.M., (2014).

64. Li, J., Ding, S.C., Cho, H., Chung, B.C., Gale Jr., M., et al., (2014). Pan-viral specificity of IFN-induced genes reveals new roles for cGAS in innate immunity. *Nature*, 505, 691–695.

65. Martin-Sancho, J.W., MacDuff, D.A., Imanaka, N., Gainey, M.D., Shrestha, B., Edson, J.L., et al., (2014). A hairpin RNA screen of interferon-stimulated genes identifies a novel negative regulator of the cellular antiviral response. *mBio*, 4, e00385–e00413.

66. Wickenhagen, A., Sugrue, E., Lytras, S., Kuchi, S., Noerenberg, M., Turnbull, M.L., et al., (2021). A prenylated dsRNA sensor protects against severe COVID-19. *Science*, 369, eabj3624.

67. Khan, H., Winstone, H., Jimenez-Guardenero, J., Graham, C., Dooros, K., Guojon, C., et al., (2021). TMPRSS2 promotes SARS-CoV-2 evasion from NCOA7-mediated restriction. *bioRxiv*. 2021.07.23.453488.

68. Peacock, T.P., Goldhill, D.H., Zhou, J., Baillon, L., Frise, R., Swann, O.C., et al., (2021). The furin cleavage site in the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein is required for transmission in ferrets. *Nature Microbiol.*, 6, 899–909.

69. Danziger, O., Patel, R.S., DeGrace, E.J., Rosen, M.R., Rosenberg, B.R., (2021). Inducible CRISPR activation screen for interferon-stimulated genes identifies OAS1 as a SARS-CoV-2 restriction factor. *bioRxiv* 2021.09.22.461286.

70. Bruchez, A., Sha, K., Johnson, J., Chen, L., Stefani, C., McConnell, H., et al., (2020). MHC class II transactivator CIITA induces cell resistance to Ebola virus and SARS-like coronaviruses. *Science*, 370, 241–247.

71. Pflaender, S., Mar, K.B., Michailidis, E., Kratzel, A., Boys, I.N., V'Kovski, P., et al., (2020). LY6E impairs coronavirus fusion and confers immune control of viral disease. *Nature Microbiol.*, 5, 1330–1339.

72. Siegrist, F., Ebeling, M., Certa, U., (2011). The small interferon-induced transmembrane genes and proteins. *J. Interferon Cytokine Res.*, 31, 183–197.

73. Bailey, C.C., Zhong, G., Huang, I.C., Farzan, M., (2014). IFITM-Family Proteins: The Cell’s First Line of Antiviral Defense. *Annu. Rev. Virol.*, 1, 261–283.

74. Zheng, M., Zhao, X., Zheng, S., Chen, D., Du, P., Li, X., et al., (2020). Bat SARS-Like Wu1 coronavirus uses the ACE2 of multiple animal species as receptor and evades IFITM3 restriction via TMPRSS2 activation of membrane fusion. *Emerging Microbes Infect.*, 9, 1567–1579.

75. Zhao, X., Sehgal, M., Hou, Z., Cheng, J., Shu, S., Wu, S., et al., (2018). Identification of Residues Controlling Restriction versus Enhancing Activities of IFITM Proteins on Entry of Human Coronaviruses. *J. Virol.* 92

76. Majdoul, S., Compton, A.A., (2021). Lessons in self-defence: inhibition of virus entry by intrinsic immunity. *Nature Rev. Immunol.*

77. Zhao, X., Li, J., Winkler, C.A., An, P., Guo, J.T., et al., (2018). IFITM Genes, Variants, and Their Roles in the Control and Pathogenesis of Viral Infections. *Front. Microbiol.*, 9, 3228.

78. Huang, I.C., Bailey, C.C., Weyer, J.L., Radoshitzky, S.R., et al., (2021). The interferon-induced transmembrane protein 3 gene (IFITM3) rs12252 C variant is associated with COVID-19 mortality. *Genomics*, 113, 1733–1741.

79. Gomcz, J., Albiceta, G.M., Cuesta-Llavona, E., Garcia-Clemente, M., Lopez-Larrea, C., Amado-Rodriguez, L., et al., (2021). Association between the interferon-induced transmembrane protein 3 gene (IFITM3) rs34481144 / rs12252 haplotypes and COVID-19. *Cytokine*, 137, 155354.

80. Danziger, O., Patel, R.S., DeGrace, E.J., Rosen, M.R., Rosenberg, B.R., et al., (2011). Distinct patterns of IFITM-mediated restriction of filoviruses, SARS coronavirus, and influenza A virus. *PLoS Pathog.*, 7, e1001258.

81. Jia, R., Xu, F., Qian, J., Yao, Y., Miao, C., Zheng, Y.M., et al., (2014). Identification of an endocytic signal essential for the antiviral action of IFITM3. *Cell Microbiol.*, 16, 1080–1093.

82. Shang, J., Wan, Y., Luo, C., Ye, G., Geng, Q., Auerbach, A., et al., (2020). Cell entry mechanisms of SARS-CoV-2. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 117, 11727–11734.

83. Winstone, H., List, M.J., Reid, A.C., Bouton, C., Pickering, S., Galao, R.P., et al., (2021). The Polybasic Cleavage Site in SARS-CoV-2 Spike Modulates Viral Sensitivity to Type I Interferon and IFITM2. *J. Virol.*, 95

84. Bungart, B., Li, M., et al., (2013). IFITM proteins restrict viral entry by intrinsic immunity. *Nature Microbiol.*, 5, 1080–1093.

85. Prelli Bozzo, C., Nchioua, R., Volcic, M., Koepke, L., Kruger, J., Schultz, D., et al., (2021). IFITM proteins promote SARS-CoV-2 infection and are targets for virus inhibition in vitro. *Nature Commun.*, 12, 4584.

86. Li, K., Markosyan, R.M., Zheng, Y.M., Golletto, O., Bungart, B., Li, M., et al., (2013). IFITM proteins restrict viral membrane hemifusion. *PLoS Pathog.*, 9, e1003124.

87. Compton, A.A., Bruel, T., Porrot, F., Mallet, A., Sachse, M., Euvrard, M., et al., (2014). IFITM proteins incorporated into HIV-1 virions impair viral fusion and spread. *Cell Host Microbe*, 16, 736–747.
93. Desai, T.M., Marin, M., Chin, C.R., Savidis, G., Brass, A. L., Melikyan, G.B., (2014). IFITM3 restricts influenza A virus entry by blocking the formation of fusion pores following virus-endosome hemifusion. *PLoS Pathog.* **10**, e1004048.

94. Weidner, J.M., Jiang, D., Pan, X.B., Chang, J., Block, T. M., Guo, J.T., (2010). Interferon-induced cell membrane proteins, IFITM3 and tetherin, inhibit vesicular stomatitis virus infection via distinct mechanisms. *J. Virol.* **84**, 12646–12657.

95. Coomer, C.A., Rahman, K., Compton, A.A., (2021). CD225 Proteins: A Family Portrait of Fusion Regulators. *Trends Genet.* **37**, 406–410.

96. John, S.P., Chin, C.R., Perreira, J.M., Feeley, E.M., Aker, J.M., et al., (2020). Homology-enhanced IFITM3 membrane interactions and antiviral activity. *Nature Chem. Biol.* **16**, 855–868.

97. Chesarino, N.M., Compton, A.A., McMichael, T.M., Kenney, A.D., Zhang, L., Soewarna, V., et al., (2017). IFITM3 requires an amphipathic helix for antiviral activity. *EMBO Rep.* **18**, 1740–1751.

98. Guo, X., Steinikuhler, J., Marin, M., Li, X., Lu, W., Dimova, R., et al., (2021). Interferon-Induced Transmembrane Protein 3 Blocks Fusion of Diverse Enveloped Viruses by Altering Mechanical Properties of Cell Membranes. *ACS Nano* **15**, 8155–8170.

99. Rahman, K., Coomer, C.A., Majdoul, S., Ding, S.Y., Kenney, A.D., Zhang, L., Soewarna, V., et al., (2021). IFITM3 requires an amphipathic helix for antiviral activity. *EMBO Rep.* **18**, 12646–12657.

100. Anafu, A.A., Bowen, C.H., Chin, C.R., Brass, A.L., Holm, G.H., (2013). Interferon-inducible transmembrane protein 3 (IFITM3) restricts reovirus cell entry. *J. Biol. Chem.* **288**, 17261–17271.

101. Spence, J.S., He, R., Hoffmann, H.H., Das, T., Thinh, E., Rice, C.M., et al., (2019). IFITM3 directly engages and shuts off incoming virus particles to lysosomes. *Nature Chem. Biol.* **15**, 259–268.

102. Yount, J.S., Moltebo, B., Yang, Y.Y., Charron, G., Moran, T.M., Lopez, C.B., et al., (2010). Palmitoylome profiling reveals S-palmitoylation-dependent antiviral activity of IFITM3. *Nature Chem. Biol.* **6**, 610–614.

103. Blaskovic, S., Blanc, M., van der Goot, F.G., (2013). What does S-palmitoylation do to membrane proteins? *FEBS J.* **280**, 2766–2774.

104. Garst, E.H., Lee, H., Das, T., Bhattacharya, S., Percher, A., Wiewiora, R., et al., (2021). Site-Specific Lipidation Enhances IFITM3 Membrane Interactions and Antiviral Activity. *ACS Chem. Biol.* **16**, 844–856.

105. Mao, M., Yu, M., Tong, J.H., Ye, J., Zhu, J., Huang, Q.H., et al., (1996). RIG-E, a human homolog of the murine Ly-6 family, is induced by retinoic acid during the differentiation of acute promyelocytic leukemia cell. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **93**, 5910–5914.

106. Godfrey, D.I., Masciantonio, M., Tucek, C.L., Malin, M.A., Boyd, R.L., Hugo, P., (1992). Thymic shared antigen-6 Family of Genes in Cancer and Immune Cells. *Front. Immunol.* **10**, 819.

107. Upadhyay, G., (2019). Emerging Role of Lymphocyte Antigen-6 Family of Genes in Cancer and Immune Cells. *Front. Immunol.* **10**, 819.

108. Schoggins, J.W., Wilson, S.J., Panis, M., Murphy, M.Y., Jones, C.T., Bieniasz, P., et al., (2011). A diverse range of gene products are effectors of the type I interferon antiviral response. *Nature* **472**, 481–485.

109. Mar, K.B., Rinkenberger, N.R., Boys, I.N., Elston, J.L., McDougal, M.B., Richardson, R.B., et al., (2018). LY6E mediates an evolutionarily conserved enhancement of virus infection by targeting a late entry step. *Nature Commun.* **9**, 3603.

110. Yu, J., Liu, S.L., (2019). Emerging Role of LY6E in Virus-Host Interactions. *Viruses* **11**.

111. Yu, J., Liang, C., Liu, S.L., (2019). CD4-Dependent Modulation of HIV-1 Entry by LY6E. *J. Virol.* **93**.

112. Yu, J., Liang, C., Liu, S.L., (2017). Interferon-inducible LY6E Protein Promotes HIV-1 Infection. *J. Biol. Chem.* **292**, 4674–4685.

113. Baccarin, A., Biere, C., Tanguy, M., Romanet, C., Vernochet, C., Depressoir, A., et al., (2017). A Cell Fusion-Based Screening Method Identifies Glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored Protein Ly6e as the Receptor for Mouse Endogenous Retroviral Envelope Syncytin-A. *J. Virol.* **91**.

114. Leng, L., Metz, C.N., Fang, Y., Xu, J., Donnelly, S., Baugh, J., et al., (2009). MIF signal transduction initiated by binding to CD74. *J. Exp. Med.* **197**, 1467–1476.

115. Strubin, M., Berte, C., Mach, B., (1986). Alternative splicing and alternative initiation of translation explain the four forms of the la antigen-associated invariant chain. *EMBO J.* **5**, 3483–3488.

116. Mihelic, M., Dobarsek, A., Guncar, G., Turk, D., (2008). Inhibitory fragment from the p41 form of invariant chain can regulate activity of cysteine cathepsins in antigen presentation. *J. Biol. Chem.* **283**, 14453–14460.

117. Luster, A.D., Weinshank, R.L., Feinman, R., Ravetch, J. V., (1988). Molecular and biochemical characterization of a novel gamma-interferon-inducible protein. *J. Biol. Chem.* **263**, 12036–12043.

118. Arunachalam, B., Phan, U.T., Geuze, H.J., Cresswell, P., (2000). Enzymatic reduction of disulfide bonds in lysosomes: characterization of a gamma-interferon-inducible lysosomal thiol reductase (GILT). *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **97**, 745–750.

119. Hastings, K.T., Lackman, R.L., Cresswell, P., (2006). Functional requirements for the lysosomal thiol reductase GILT in MHC class II-restricted antigen processing. *J. Immunol.* **177**, 8569–8577.

120. Singh, R., Cresswell, P., (2010). Defective cross-presentation of viral antigens in GILT-free mice. *Science* **328**, 1394–1398.

121. Chen, D., Hou, Z., Jiang, D., Zheng, M., Li, G., Zhang, Y., et al., (2008). Hybridoma cell line producing murine IFITM1 restricts vesicular stomatitis virus by inhibiting its late entry step. *Cell. Res.* **18**, 1511–1523.

122. Zhao, J., Chen, J., Li, M., Chen, M., Sun, C., (2020). Multifaceted Functions of CH25H and 25HC to Modulate the Lipid Metabolism, Immune Responses, and Broadly Antiviral Activities. *Viruses* **12**.

123. Blanc, M., Hsieg, W.Y., Robertson, K.A., Kropp, K.A., Forster, T., Shui, G., et al., (2013). The transcription factor STAT-1 couples macrophage synthesis of 25-hydroxycholesterol to the interferon antiviral response. *Immunity* **38**, 106–118.

124. Liu, S.Y., Aliyari, R., Chikere, K., Li, G., Marsden, M.D., Smith, J.K., et al., (2013). Interferon-inducible cholesterol-
25-hydroxylase broadly inhibits viral entry by production of 25-hydroxycholesterol. *Immunity* **38**, 92–105.

125. Li, C., Deng, Y.Q., Wang, S., Ma, F., Aliyari, R., Huang, X. Y., et al., (2017). 25-Hydroxycholesterol Protects Host against Zika Virus Infection and Its Associated Microcephaly in a Mouse Model. *Immunity* **46**, 446–456.

126. Saulle, I., Ibba, S.V., Vittori, C., Fenizia, C., Mercurio, V., Vichi, F., et al., (2020). Sterol metabolism modulates susceptibility to HIV-1 Infection. *AIDS* **34**, 1593–1602.

127. Shrivastava-Ranjan, P., Bergeron, E., Chakrabarti, A.K., Albarino, C.G., Flint, M., Nichol, S.T., et al., (2016). 25-Hydroxycholesterol Inhibition of Lassa Virus Infection through Aberrant GP1 Glycosylation. *mBio* **7**.

128. Xiang, Y., Tang, J.J., Tao, W., Cao, X., Song, B.L., Zhong, J., (2015). Identification of Cholesterol 25-Hydroxylase as a Novel Host Restriction Factor and a Part of the Primary Innate Immune Responses against Hepatitis C Virus Infection. *J. Virol.* **89**, 6805–6816.

129. Anggakusuma, R.-B., Berger, C., Colpitts, C.C., Boldanova, T., Engelmann, M., et al., (2015). Interferon-inducible cholesterol-25-hydroxylase restricts hepatitis C virus replication through blockage of membranous web formation. *Hepatology* **62**, 702–714.

130. Liu, C., Yang, X.Y., Wu, J., Kuei, C., Mani, N.S., Zhang, L., et al., (2011). Oxysterols direct B-cell migration through EB1. *Nature* **475**, 519–523.

131. Hannerdouche, S., Zhang, J., Yi, T., Shen, W., Nguyen, D., Pereira, J.P., et al., (2011). Oxysterols direct immune cell migration via EB1. *Nature* **475**, 524–527.

132. Wang, S., Li, W., Hui, H., Tiwari, S.K., Zhang, Q., Croker, B.A., et al., (2020). Cholesterol 25-Hydroxylase inhibits SARS-CoV-2 and other coronaviruses by depleting membrane cholesterol. *EMBO J.* **39**, e106057.

133. Zang, R., Case, J.B., Yutuc, E., Ma, X., Shen, S., Gomez Castro, M.F., et al., (2020). Cholesterol 25-hydroxylase suppresses SARS-CoV-2 replication by blocking membrane fusion. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **117**, 32105–32113.

134. Zhang, Y., Song, Z., Wang, M., Lan, M., Zhang, K., Jiang, P., et al., (2019). Cholesterol 25-hydroxylase negatively regulates porcine intestinal coronavirus replication by the production of 25-hydroxycholesterol. *Vet. Microbiol.* **231**, 129–138.

135. Ke, W., Wu, X., Fang, P., Zhou, Y., Fang, L., Xiao, S., (2021). Cholesterol 25-hydroxylase suppresses porcine deltacoronavirus infection by inhibiting viral entry. *Virus Res.* **295**, 198306.

136. Zu, S., Deng, Y.Q., Zhou, C., Li, J., Li, L., Chen, Q., et al., (2020). 25-Hydroxycholesterol is a potent SARS-CoV-2 inhibitor. *Cell Res.* **30**, 1043–1045.

137. Li, M.M.H., Aguilar, E.G., Michailidis, E., Pabon, J., Park, P., Wu, X., et al., (2019). Characterization of Novel Splice Variants of Zinc Finger Antiviral Protein (ZAP). *J. Virol.* **93**.

138. Ficarelli, M., Wilson, H., Pedro Galao, R., Mazzon, M., Antzin-Anduetza, I., Marsh, M., et al., (2019). KHNYN is essential for the zinc finger antiviral protein (ZAP) to restrict HIV-1 containing clustered Cpg dinucleotides. *Elife* **8**.

139. Zhu, Y., Chen, G., Lv, F., Wang, X., Ji, X., Xu, Y., et al., (2011). Zinc-finger antiviral protein inhibits HIV-1 infection by selectively targeting multiply spliced viral mRNAs for degradation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **108**, 15834–15839.

140. Meagher, J.L., Takata, M., Goncalves-Carneiro, D., Keane, S.C., Reuben, A., Ong, H., et al., (2019). Structure of the zinc-finger antiviral protein in complex with RNA reveals a mechanism for selective targeting of CG-rich viral sequences. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **116**, 24303–24309.

141. Mao, R., Nie, H., Cai, D., Zhang, J., Liu, H., Yan, R., et al., (2013). Inhibition of hepatitis B virus replication by the host zinc finger antiviral protein. *PLoS Pathog.* **9**, e1003494.

142. Nchioua, R., Kmiec, D., Muller, J.A., Conzelmann, C., Gross, R., Swanson, C.M., et al., (2020). SARS-CoV-2 is Restricted by Zinc Finger Antiviral Protein despite Preadaptation to the Low-CpG Environment in Humans. *mBio* **11**.

143. Zhang, Y., Song, W., Chen, S., Yuan, Z., Yi, Z., (2021). A bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC)-vectored noninfectious replicon of SARS-CoV-2. *Antiviral Res.* **185**, 104974.

144. Kmiec, D., Lista, M.J., Ficarelli, M., Swanson, C.M., Neil, S.J., (2021). S-farnesylation is essential for antiviral activity of the long ZAP isoform against RNA viruses with diverse replication strategies. *PLoS Pathog.* **17**, e1009726.

145. Xia, X., (2020). Extreme Genomic CpG Deficiency in SARS-CoV-2 and Evasion of Host Antiviral Defense. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **37**, 2699–2705.

146. Wang, Y., Mao, J.M., Wang, G.D., Luo, Z.P., Yang, L., Yao, Q., et al., (2020). Human SARS-CoV-2 has evolved to reduce CG dinucleotide in its open reading frames. *Sci. Rep.* **10**, 12331.

147. Hornung, V., Hartmann, R., Ablasser, A., Hopfner, K.P., (2014). OAS proteins and cGAS: unifying concepts in sensing and responding to cytosolic nucleic acids. *Nature Rev. Immunol.* **14**, 521–528.

148. Li, Y., Banerjee, S., Wang, Y., Goldstein, S.A., Dong, B., Gaughan, C., et al., (2016). Activation of RNase L is dependent on OAS3 expression during infection with diverse human viruses. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **113**, 2241–2246.

149. He, J., Feng, D., de Vlas, S.J., Wang, H., Fontanet, A., Zhang, P., et al., (2006). Association of SARS susceptibility with single nucleic acid polymorphisms of OAS1 and MxA genes: a case-control study. *BMC Infect. Dis.* **6**, 106.

150. Anisul, M., Shills, J., Schwartzentruber, J., Hayhurst, J., Buniello, A., Shaikh El Hajh Mohammad, E., et al., (2021). A proteome-wide genetic investigation identifies several SARS-CoV-2-exploited host targets of clinical relevance. *Elife* **10**.

151. Zhou, S., Butler-Laporte, G., Nakanishi, T., Morrison, D. R., Affilalo, J., Affilalo, M., et al., (2021). A Neanderthal OAS1 isoform protects individuals of European ancestry against COVID-19 susceptibility and severity. *Nature Med.* **27**, 659–667.

152. Bonnevie-Nielsen, V., Field, L.L., Lu, S., Zheng, D.J., Li, B., (2021). Extreme Genomic CpG Deficiency in SARS-CoV-2 and Evasion of Host Antiviral Defense. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **37**, 2699–2705.

153. Soveg, F.W., Schwerk, J., Gokhale, N.S., Ceresaletti, K., Smith, J.R., Pairo-Castineira, E., et al., (2021).
EndOMEMBRANE TARGETING OF HUMAN OAS1 P46 AUGMENTS ANTIVIRAL ACTIVITY. *eLife* 10

154. Zhao, L., Jha, B.K., Wu, A., Elliott, R., Ziebuhr, J., Gorbalenya, A.E., et al., (2012). Antagonism of the interferon-induced OAS-RNase L pathway by murine coronavirus ns2 protein is required for virus replication and liver pathology. *Cell Host Microbe* 11, 607–616.

155. Zhao, L., Birdwell, L.D., Wu, A., Elliott, R., Rose, K.M., Phillips, J.M., et al., (2013). Cell-type-specific activation of the oligoadenylate synthetase-RNase L pathway by a murine coronavirus. *J. Virol.* 87, 8408–8418.

156. Thombrough, J.M., Jha, B.K., Yount, B., Goldstein, S.A., Li, Y., Elliott, R., et al., (2016). Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus NS4b Protein Inhibits Host RNase L Activation. *mBio* 7, e00258.

157. Comar, C.E., Goldstein, S.A., Li, Y., Yount, B., Baric, R. S., Weiss, S.R., (2019). Antagonism of dsRNA-Induced Innate Immune Pathways by NS4a and NS4b Accessory Proteins during MERS Coronavirus Infection. *mBio* 10

158. Diamond, M.S., Farzan, M., (2013). The broad-spectrum antiviral functions of IFIT and IFITM proteins. *Nature Rev. Immunol.* 13, 46–57.

159. Fensterle, V., Sen, G.C., (2015). Interferon-induced Ifit proteins: their role in viral pathogenesis. *J. Virol.* 89, 2462–2468.

160. Abbas, Y.M., Pichlmair, A., Gorna, M.W., Rose, K.M., Phillips, J.M., et al., (2013). Cell-type-specific activation of the oligoadenylate synthetase-RNase L pathway by a murine coronavirus. *J. Virol.* 87, 8408–8418.

161. Zhao, L., Jha, B.K., Yount, B., Goldstein, S.A., Li, Y., Elliott, R., et al., (2016). Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus NS4b Protein Inhibits Host RNase L Activation. *mBio* 7, e00258.

162. Yang, Z., Liang, H., Zhou, Q., Li, Y., Chen, H., Ye, W., et al., (2012). Crystal structure of ISG54 reveals a novel RNA binding structure and potential functional mechanisms. *Cell Res.* 22, 1328–1338.

163. Hui, D.J., Bhasker, C.R., Merrick, W.C., Sen, G.C., (2003). Viral stress-inducible protein p56 inhibits translation by blocking the interaction of eIF3 with the ternary complex eIF2.GTP.Met-tRNAi. *J. Biol. Chem.* 278, 39477–39482.

164. Guo, J., Hui, D.J., Merrick, W.C., Sen, G.C., (2000). A new pathway of translational regulation mediated by eukaryotic initiation factor 3. *EMBO J.* 19, 6891–6899.

165. Habjan, M., Hubel, P., Lacerda, L., Benda, C., Holze, C., Eberl, C.H., et al., (2013). Sequestration by IFIT1 impairs translation of 2′O-unmethylated capped RNA. *PLoS Pathog.* 9, e1003663

166. Kimura, T., Katoh, H., Kayama, H., Saiga, H., Okuyama, M., Okamoto, T., et al., (2013). Ifit1 inhibits Japanese encephalitis virus replication through binding to 5′ capped 2′-O-unmethylated RNA. *J. Virol.* 87, 9997–10003.

167. Abbas, Y.M., Lauenbach, B.T., Martinez-Montero, S., Cencic, R., Habjan, M., Pichlmair, A., et al., (2017). Structure of human IFIT1 with capped RNA reveals adaptable mRNA binding and mechanisms for sensing N1 and N2 ribose 2′-O methylations. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 114, E2106–E2115.

168. Pichlmair, A., Lassnig, C., Eberle, C.A., Gorna, M.W., Baumann, C.L., Burkard, T.R., et al., (2011). IFIT1 is an antiviral protein that recognizes 5′-triphosphate RNA. *Nature Immunol.* 12, 624–630.

169. Diamond, M.S., (2014). IFIT1: A dual sensor and effector molecule that detects non-2′-O methylated viral RNA and inhibits its translation. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev.* 25, 543–550.

170. Kumar, P., Sweeney, T.R., Skabkin, M.A., Skabkina, O. V., Hellen, C.U., Pestov, T.V., (2014). Inhibition of translation by IFIT family members is determined by their ability to interact selectively with the 5′-terminal regions of cap0-, cap1- and 5′ppp-mRNAs. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 42, 3228–3245.

171. Raychoudhuri, A., Shrivastava, S., Steele, R., Kim, H., Ray, R., Ray, R.B., (2011). ISG56 and IFITM1 proteins inhibit hepatitis C virus replication. *J. Virol.* 85, 12881–12889.

172. Andrejeva, J., Norsted, H., Habjan, M., Thiel, V., Goodbourn, S., Randall, R.E., (2013). ISG56/IFIT1 is primarily responsible for interferon-induced changes to patterns of parainfluenza virus type 5 transcription and protein synthesis. *J. Gen. Virol.* 94, 59–68.

173. Rabbani, M.A., Ribaudo, M., Guo, J.T., Barik, S., (2016). Identification of Interferon-Stimulated Gene Proteins That Inhibit Human Parainfluenza Virus Type 3. *J. Virol.* 90, 11145–11156.

174. Hyde, J.L., Diamond, M.S., (2015). Innate immune restriction and antagonism of viral RNA lacking 2′-O methylation. *Virology* 479–480, 66–74.

175. Viswanathan, T., Arja, S., Chanh, S.H., Qi, S., Dai, N., Misra, A., et al., (2020). Structural basis of RNA cap modification by SARS-CoV-2. *Nature Commun.* 11, 3718.

176. Chen, Y., Su, C., Ke, M., Jin, X., Xu, L., Zhang, Z., et al., (2011). Biochemical and structural insights into the mechanisms of SARS coronavirus RNA ribose 2′-O-methylation by nsp16/nsp10 protein complex. *PLoS Pathog.* 7, e1002294

177. Zust, R., Cervantes-Barragan, L., Habjan, M., Maier, R., Neuman, B.W., Ziebuhr, J., et al., (2011). Ribose 2′-O-methylation provides a molecular signature for the distinction of self and non-self mRNA dependent on the RNA sensor Mda5. *Nature Immunol.* 12, 137–143.

178. Menachery, V.D., Yount Jr, B.L., Josset, L., Gralinski, L. E., Scobery, T., Agnihothram, S., et al., (2014). Attenuation and restoration of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus mutant lacking 2′-O-methyltransferase activity. *J. Virol.* 88, 4251–4264.

179. Menachery, V.D., Gralinski, L.E., Mitchell, H.D., Dinnon 3rd, K.H., Leist, S.R., Yount Jr, B.L., et al., (2017). Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus Nonstructural Protein 16 Is Necessary for Interferon Resistance and Viral Pathogenesis. *mSphere* 2

180. Chang, L.J., Chen, T.H., (2021). NSP16 2′-OMTase in Coronavirus Pathogenesis: Possible Prevention and Treatments Strategies. *Viruses* 13

181. Hinz, A., Niguet, N., Natrajan, G., Usami, Y., Yamanaka, H., Renesto, P., et al., (2010). Structural basis of HIV-1 tethering to membranes by the BST-2/tetherin ectodomain. *Cell Host Microbe* 7, 314–323.

182. Schubert, H.L., Zhai, Q., Sandrin, V., Eckert, D.M., Garcia-Mayà, M., Saul, L., et al., (2010). Structural and functional studies on the extracellular domain of BST2/ tetherin in reduced and oxidized conformations. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 107, 17951–17956.

183. Jouvenet, N., Neil, S.J., Zhadinia, M., Zang, T., Kratovac, Z., Lee, Y., et al., (2009). Broad-spectrum inhibition of retroviral and filoviral particle release by tetherin. *J. Virol.* 83, 1837–1844.
184. Neil, S.J., Zang, T., Bieniasz, P.D., (2008). Tetherin inhibits retrovirus release and is antagonized by HIV-1 Vpu. Nature 451, 425–430.
185. Sakuma, T., Noda, T., Urata, S., Kawaoka, Y., Yasuda, J., (2009). Inhibition of Lassa and Marburg virus production by tetherin. J. Virol. 83, 2382–2385.
186. Hoffmann, M., Nehmeier, I., Brinkmann, C., Krahling, V., Behner, L., Moldenhauer, A.S., et al., (2019). Tetherin Inhibits Nipah Virus but Not Ebola Virus Replication in Fruit Bat Cells. J. Virol. 93
187. Kong, W.S., Irie, T., Yoshida, A., Kawaiata, R., Kadoi, T., Sakaguchi, T., (2012). Inhibition of virus-like particle release of Sendai virus and Nipah virus, but not that of mumps virus, by tetherin/CD317/BST-2. Hiroshima J. Med. Sci. 61, 59–67.
188. Hu, S., Yin, L., Mei, S., Li, J., Xu, F., Sun, H., et al., (2017). BST-2 restricts HIV-1 and is counted by the viral M2 protein. Biochem. J. 474, 715–730.
189. Gnirss, K., Zmora, P., Blazejewska, P., Winkler, M., Lins, A., Nehmeier, I., et al., (2015). Tetherin Sensitivity of Influenza A Viruses Is Strain Specific: Role of Hemagglutinin and Neuraminidase. J. Virol. 89, 9178–9188.
190. Yan, R., Zhao, X., Cai, D., Liu, Y., Block, T.M., Guo, J.T., et al., (2015). The Interferon-Inducible Protein Tetherin Inhibits Hepatitis B Virus Virion Secretion. J. Virol. 89, 9200–9212.
191. Gustin, J.K., Douglas, J.L., (2013). BST-2/tetherin: viral tether, viral sensor or both? Future Virol. 9
192. Stertz, S., Reichelt, M., Spiegel, M., Kuri, T., Martinez-Sobrido, L., Garcia-Sastre, A., et al., (2007). The intracellular sites of early replication and budding of SARS-coronavirus. Virology 361, 304–315.
193. Wang, S.M., Huang, K.J., Wang, C.T., (2014). BST2/CD317 restricts human coronavirus 229E productive infection by tethering virions at the cell surface. Virology 449, 287–296.
194. Neil, S.J., (2013). The antiviral activities of tetherin. Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol. 371, 67–104.
195. Le Tortorec, A., Willey, S., Neil, S.J., (2011). Antiviral inhibition of enveloped virus release by tetherin/BST-2: action and counteraction. Viruses 3, 520–540.
196. Douglas, J.L., Viswanathan, K., McCord, M.N., Gustin, J.K., Fruh, K., Moses, A.V., (2009). Vpu directs the degradation of the human immunodeficiency virus restriction factor BST-2/Tetherin via a {beta}TrCP-dependent mechanism. J. Virol. 83, 7931–7947.
197. Mitchell, R.S., Katsura, C., Skasko, M.A., Fitzpatrick, K., Lau, D., Ruiz, A., et al., (2009). Vpu antagonizes BST-2-mediated restriction of HIV-1 release via beta-TrCP and endo-lysosomal trafficking. PLoS Pathog. 5, e1000450
198. Goffinet, C., Allespach, I., Homann, S., Tervo, H.M., Habermann, A., Rupp, D., et al., (2009). HIV-1 antagonism of CD317 is species specific and involves Vpu-mediated proteasomal degradation of the restriction factor. Cell Host Microbe 5, 285–297.
199. Sauter, D., Schindler, M., Specht, A., Landford, W.N., Munch, J., Kim, K.A., et al., (2009). Tetherin-driven adaptation of Vpu and Nef function and the evolution of pandemic and nonpandemic HIV-1 strains. Cell Host Microbe 6, 408–421.
200. Le Tortorec, A., Neil, S.J., (2009). Antagonism to and intracellular sequestration of human tetherin by the human immunodeficiency virus type 2 envelope glycoprotein. J. Virol. 83, 11966–11978.
201. Hauser, H., Lopez, L.A., Yang, S.J., Oldenburg, J.E., Exline, C.M., Guattelli, J.C., et al., (2010). HIV-1 Vpu and HIV-2 Env counteract BST-2/tetherin by sequestration in a perinuclear compartment. Retrovirology 7, 51.
202. Taylor, J.K., Coleman, C.M., Postel, S., Sisk, J.M., Berbaum, J.G., Venkataraman, T., et al., (2015). Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus ORF7a Inhibits Bone Marrow Stromal Antigen 2 Virion Tethering through a Novel Mechanism of Glycosylation Interference. J. Virol. 89, 11820–11833.
203. Stewart, H., Johansen, K., McGovern, N., Palmulli, R., Carnell, G., Heeney, J., et al., (2021). SARS-CoV-2 spike downregulates tetherin to enhance viral spread. bioRxiv. 2021.01.06.425396.
204. Wang, S.M., Huang, K.J., Wang, C.T., (2019). Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus spike protein counteracts BST2-mediated restriction of virus-like particle release. J. Med. Virol. 91, 1743–1750.
205. Viswanathan, K., Smith, M.S., Malouli, D., Mansouri, M., Nelson, J.A., Fruh, K., (2011). BST2/Tetherin enhances entry of human cytomegalovirus. PLoS Pathog. 7, e1002332.
206. Miller, K.D., Matullo, C., Williams, R., Jones, C.B., Rall, G.F., (2021). Murine BST2/tetherin promotes measles virus infection of neurons. Virology 563, 38–43.
207. Kim, H.J., Jeong, M.S., Jang, S.B., (2021). Structure and Activities of the NS1 Influenza Protein and Progress in the Development of Small-Molecule Drugs. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 22
208. Deng, X., Chen, Y., Mielech, A.M., Hackbart, M., Kesely, K. R., Mettelman, R.C., et al., (2020). Structure-Guided Mutagenesis Alters Deubiquitinating Activity and Attenuates Pathogenesis of a Murine Coronavirus. J. Virol. 94
209. Deng, X., Buckley, A.C., Pillatzki, A., Lager, K.M., Faaberg, K.S., Baker, S.C., (2020). Inactivating Three Interferon Antagonists Attenuates Pathogenesis of an Enteric Coronavirus. J. Virol. 94
210. Szemiel, A.M., Merits, A., Orton, R.J., MacLean, O.A., Pinto, R.M., Wickenhagen, A., et al., (2021). In vitro selection of Remdesivir resistance suggests evolutionary predictability of SARS-CoV-2. PLoS Pathog. 17, e1009929
211. Busnadiego, I., Fernbach, S., Pohl, M.O., Karakus, U., Huber, M., Trkola, A., et al., (2020). Antiviral Activity of Type I, II, and III Interferons Counterbalances ACE2 Inducibility and Restricts SARS-CoV-2. mBio 11
212. Vanderheiden, A., Ralfs, P., Chirkova, T., Upadhyay, A. A., Zimmerman, M.G., Bedoya, S., et al., (2020). Type I and Type III Interferons Restrict SARS-CoV-2 Infection of Human Airway Epithelial Cultures. J. Virol. 94
213. Felgenhauer, U., Schoen, A., Gad, H.H., Hartmann, R., Schaubmier, A.R., Failing, K., et al., (2020). Inhibition of SARS-CoV-2 by type I and type III interferons. J. Biol. Chem. 295, 13958–13964.
214. Hung, I.F., Lung, K.C., Tso, E.Y., Liu, R., Chung, T.W., Chu, M.Y., et al., (2020). Triple combination of interferon beta-1b, lopinavir-ritonavir, and ribavirin in the treatment of patients admitted to hospital with COVID-19: an open-label, randomised, phase 2 trial. Lancet 395, 1695–1704.
215. Sheahan, T.P., Sims, A.C., Leist, S.R., Schafer, A., Won, J., Brown, A.J., et al., (2020). Comparative therapeutic efficacy of remdesivir and combination lopinavir, ritonavir,
and interferon beta against MERS-CoV. Nature Commun. 11, 222.

216. Hart, B.J., Dyall, J., Postnikova, E., Zhou, H., Kindrachuk, J., Johnson, R.F., et al., (2014). Interferon-beta and mycophenolic acid are potent inhibitors of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus in cell-based assays. J. Gen. Virol. 95, 571–577.

217. Chan, J.F., Yao, Y., Yeung, M.L., Deng, W., Bao, L., Jia, L., et al., (2015). Treatment With Lopinavir/Ritonavir or Interferon-beta1b Improves Outcome of MERS-CoV Infection in a Nonhuman Primate Model of Common Marmoset. J. Infect. Dis. 212, 1904–1913.

218. Arabi, Y.M., Shalhoub, S., Mandourah, Y., Al-Hameed, F., Al-Omari, A., Al Qasim, E., et al., (2020). Ribavirin and Interferon Therapy for Critically Ill Patients With Middle East Respiratory Syndrome: A Multicenter Observational Study. Clin. Infect. Dis. 70, 1837–1844.

219. Omrani, A.S., Saad, M.M., Baig, K., Bahloul, A., Abdul-Matin, M., Alaidaroos, A.Y., et al., (2014). Ribavirin and interferon alfa-2a for severe Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection: a retrospective cohort study. Lancet Infect. Dis. 14, 1090–1095.

220. Kumaki, Y., Day, C.W., Wandersee, M.K., Schow, B.P., Madsen, J.S., Grant, D., et al., (2008). Interferon alfacon 1 inhibits SARS-CoV infection in human bronchial epithelial Calu-3 cells. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 371, 110–113.

221. Zheng, B., He, M.L., Wong, K.L., Lum, C.T., Poon, L.L., Peng, Y., et al., (2004). Potent inhibition of SARS-associated coronavirus (SCOV) infection and replication by type I interferons (IFN-alpha/beta) but not by type II interferon (IFN-gamma). J. Interferon Cytokine Res. 24, 388–390.

222. Tan, E.L., Ooi, E.E., Lin, C.Y., Tan, H.C., Ling, A.E., Lim, B., et al., (2004). Inhibition of SARS coronavirus infection in vitro with clinically approved antiviral drugs. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 10, 581–586.

223. Cinatl, J., Morgenstern, B., Bauer, G., Chandra, P., Rabenau, H., Doerr, H.W., (2003). Treatment of SARS with human interferons. Lancet 362, 293–294.

224. Loutfy, M.R., Blatt, L.M., Siminovitch, K.A., Ward, S., Wolff, B., Lho, H., et al., (2003). Interferon alfacon-1 plus corticosteroids in severe acute respiratory syndrome: a preliminary study. JAMA 290, 3222–3228.

225. Mayer, K., Nellessen, C., Hahn-Ast, C., Schumacher, M., Pietzonka, S., Eis-Hubinger, A.M., et al., (2016). Fatal outcome of human coronavirus NL63 infection despite successful viral elimination by IFN-alpha in a patient with newly diagnosed ALL. Eur. J. Haematol. 97, 208–210.

226. Shi, G., Kenney, A.D., Kudryashova, E., Zani, A., Zhang, L., Lai, K.K., et al., (2021). Opposing activities of IFITM proteins in SARS-CoV-2 infection. EMBO J. 40, e106501.

227. Buchrieser, J., Duflo, J., Hubert, M., Monel, B., Planas, D., Rajah, M.M., et al., (2020). Syncytia formation by SARS-CoV-2-infected cells. EMBO J. 39, e106267.

228. Shi, G., Chiramel, A.I., Majdoul, S., Lai, K.K., Das, S., Beare, P.A., et al., (2021). Rapalogs downmodulate intrinsic immunity and promote cell entry of SARS-CoV-2. bioRxiv.

229. Daffis, S., Szretter, K.J., Schriewer, J., Li, J., Youn, S., Errett, J., et al., (2010). 2'-O methylation of the viral mRNA cap evades host restriction by IFIT family members. Nature 468, 452–456.

230. Wu, J., Chi, H., Fu, Y., Cao, A., Shi, J., Zhu, M., et al., (2020). The antiviral protein viperin interacts with the viral N protein to inhibit proliferation of porcine epidemic diarrhea virus. Arch. Virol. 165, 2279–2289.