Constraints on high-J CO emission lines in \( z \sim 6 \) quasars
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ABSTRACT

We present Atacama Large Millimiter/submillimiter Array (ALMA) observations of eight highly excited CO (J\(_{\text{up}}\) > 8) lines and continuum emission in two \( z \sim 6 \) quasars: SDSS J231038.88+185519.7 (hereafter J2310), for which CO(8-7), CO(9-8), and CO(17-16) lines have been observed, and ULAS J131911.29+095951.4 (J1319), observed in the CO(14-13), CO(17-16) and CO(19-18) lines. The continuum emission of both quasars arises from a compact region (< 0.9 kpc). We estimate dust masses of \( \log(M_{\text{dust}}/M_\odot) = 9.08 \pm 0.06 \) and \( \log(M_{\text{dust}}/M_\odot) = 8.7 \pm 0.2 \) and dust temperatures of \( T_{\text{dust}} = 41^{+6}_{-4} \) K and \( T_{\text{dust}} = 55 \pm 15 \) K, respectively for J2310 and J1319. Only CO(8-7) and CO(9-8) in J2310 are detected, while 3\( \sigma \) upper limits on luminosities are reported for the other lines of both quasars. The CO line luminosities and upper limits measured in J2310 and J1319 are consistent with those observed in local AGN and starburst galaxies, and other \( z \sim 6 \) quasars, except for SDSS J1148+5251 (J1148), the only quasar at \( z = 6.4 \) with a previous CO(17-16) line detection. By computing the CO SLEDs normalised to the CO(6-5) line and FIR luminosities for J2310, J1319, and J1149, we conclude that different gas heating mechanisms (X-ray radiation and/or shocks) may explain the different CO luminosities observed in these \( z \sim 6 \) quasars. Future J\(_{\text{up}} > 8 \) CO observations will be crucial to understand the processes responsible for molecular gas excitation in luminous high-z quasars.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The presence of early super massive black holes (SMBH) represents a challenging problem in modern cosmology. In the last decades, more than 200 quasars have been discovered at \( z \sim 6 \) and beyond (e.g. Bañados et al. 2016, 2018; Jiang et al. 2016; Matsuoka et al. 2016, 2018; Mazzucchelli et al. 2017); for several of them, the mass of the hosted BH has been measured and found to be \( M_\text{BH} \sim (0.02 - 1.1) \times 10^{10} M_\odot \) (Kurk et al. 2007; Jiang et al. 2007; Willott et al. 2010; De Rosa et al. 2011; Wu et al. 2015; Feruglio et al. 2018). The presence of such supermassive BHs when the Universe was less than 1 Gyr old, is still an open problem (Li et al. 2007; Narayanan et al. 2008; Volonteri 2010; Di Matteo et al. 2012; Valiante et al. 2017), deeply connected both with the galaxy-BH co-evolution (e.g. Wang et al. 2010; Lamastra et al. 2010; Volonteri & Stark 2011; Valiante et al. 2014) and the contribution of quasars to...
the cosmic reionization process (Volonteri & Gnedin 2009; Giallongo et al. 2015; Madau & Haardt 2015; Man1 et al. 2017; Qin et al. 2017; Parsa et al. 2018; Mitra et al. 2018; Kulkarni et al. 2018).

In the last years, the advent of millimetre and submillimetre interferometers has given the possibility of studying the physical properties of the interstellar medium (ISM) in z ~ 6 galaxies hosting SMBHs. In fact, several important tracers of the ISM physical and chemical state, such as the [CII] line at 158\textmu m, CO rotational transitions, and dust continuum emission, are redshifted in the millimetre bands at high redshift and are observable from ground-based facilities (see Gall1eri et al. 2017a, for a review on this topic). The Atacama Large Millimetre/submillimetre Array (ALMA) is currently the most powerful interferometer for observing rest-frame far-infrared (FIR) emission in the distant Universe, as highlighted in several recent works showing ALMA capabilities on investigating ISM properties in z > 4 quasars (Gallerani et al. 2012; Carniani et al. 2013; Venemans et al. 2017a,b; Decarli et al. 2017, 2018; Bischetti et al. 2018; Feruglio et al. 2018).

In this work we focus on CO, the most abundant molecule after H₂ (Carilli & Walter 2013). CO has a finite dipole moment that allows transitions between energy levels with small energy gaps. Examining the CO spectral line energy distribution (SLED), which is the relative luminosity with small energy gaps. Examining the CO spectral line dipole moment that allows transitions between energy levels of the molecule after H₂ (Feruglio et al. 2018).

The strength of low-J and mid-J transitions (J₁p < 6) is mainly driven by physical properties such as gas density and temperature (e.g. Obersch1ow et al. 2009; Mashian et al. 2015). At high redshift (z ~ 6) the shape of the CO SLED also depends on the cosmic microwave background (CMB) radiation (da Cunha et al. 2013), since the CMB temperature (T_{CMB} ~ 20 K) becomes comparable to that of the cold/molecular gas (~ 10−50 K). The high T_{CMB} increases the gas excitation conditions and boosts the CO line intensities. At the same time, the CMB background against which the line is measured also increases too. This twofold effect shifts the peak of the CO SLED at higher transitions up to J₁p ~ 6 − 7 (Tunnard & Greve 2016; Vallini et al. 2018). Mid-J CO observations can be therefore used in the early Universe for investigating the molecular gas reservoir and ISM properties in both star-forming (e.g. Genzel et al. 2015; Aravena et al. 2016) and quasar host galaxies (e.g. Kakkad et al. 2017; Carniani et al. 2017b; Venemans et al. 2017a,b; Brusa et al. 2018).

High-J (J₁p ≥ 7) CO lines are only emitted from states with temperatures 150−7000 K above the ground and have critical densities of 10^5 − 10^8 cm⁻³. These lines trace molecular warm and dense gas and are often over-luminous in extreme environments, such as luminous AGN (e.g. Meijerink et al. 2007; Schleicher et al. 2010), extreme starbursts (SFR > 1000 M_☉ yr⁻¹, e.g. Narayanan et al. 2008), regions shocked by merging or outflows mechanisms (Panuzzo et al. 2010; Hailey-Dunsheath et al. 2012; Richings & Faucher-Giguère 2018). Identifying the dominant mechanism for molecular gas excitation is crucial for a proper interpretation of high-J CO line observations, and thus for a deeper understanding of the ISM properties.

In the local Universe high-J CO lines have been detected by using the Photodetector Array Camera and Spectrometer (PACS, Poglitsch et al. 2010) on board of the Herschel Space Observatory (Pilbratt et al. 2010). Mashian et al. (2015) reported the high-J CO SLED (14 ≤ J₁p ≤ 50) of 5 starburst galaxies, 5 AGN, 22 ULIRGs and 2 interacting systems. They found that the extreme diversity in CO emission makes multiple lines essential to constrain the gas properties.

At high-z, J₁p > 7 CO transitions have been observed only in a quasar by Gallerani et al. (2014). The authors detected with the Plateau de Bure interferometer (PdBI) an exceptionally strong CO(17-16) line in the z = 6.4 quasar SDSS J114816.64+525150.3 (hereafter J1148), by combining previous CO observations (Bertoldi et al. 2003b; Walter et al. 2003; Riechers et al. 2009) with the detection of the CO(17-16), and by comparing the observed CO SLED with Photo-Dissociation Regions (PDR) and X-ray Dominated Region (XDR) models (Meijerink & Spaans 2005; Meijerink et al. 2007), the authors found that while PDR models can fairly reproduce the observed CO SLED for J₁p < 7, the CO(17-16) line can only be explained through the presence of a substantial X-ray radiation field. Indeed, strong X-ray emission (L_X > 10^45 erg s⁻¹) was recently detected in this source (Gallerani et al. 2017b), supporting the idea that high-J CO transitions may be used to infer the presence of X-ray faint or obscured SMBH progenitors in galaxies at z > 6. Since J1148 is the unique source where high-J CO lines have been detected so far at high redshift, these results motivated further observations of highly excited CO lines in z ~ 6 quasars.

In this work we present ALMA observations of six high-J CO lines and continuum emission in the z ~ 6 quasars SDSS J231038.88+185519.7 (hereafter J2310) at z = 6.00 and ULAS J131911.29+095951.4 (hereafter J1319) at z = 6.13. We re-analyse the FIR emission and CO SLED of SDSS J1148+5251, and compare the properties of these three quasars. The paper is organised as follows: the two targets are presented in Sec. 2, while ALMA observations are described in Sec. 3. In Sec. 4, we present continuum and CO emission properties of the three quasars. In Sec. 5, we compare our results with local and high-z observations. We discuss and summarise our findings in Sec. 6 and Sec. 7, respectively. We adopt the cosmological parameters from Planck Collaboration et al. (2015): H₀ = 67.7 km s⁻¹ Mpc⁻¹, Ω_m = 0.308 and Ω_Λ = 0.70, according to which 1” at z = 6 corresponds to a proper distance of 5.84 kpc.

2 TARGETS

2.1 J2310

With a magnitude m_{150} = 19.30, J2310 is one of the brightest z ~ 6 quasar in the Sloan Digital Sky Survey. By using the UV lines of CIV and MgII, Jiang et al. (2016) and Feruglio et al. (2018) estimate a BH mass M_{BH} = (1.8 ± 0.5) × 10^9 M_☉ that is 2.5% of the dynamical mass recently inferred from high-angular ALMA observations of
CO(6-5) line (Feruglio et al. 2018). The estimated dynamical and BH masses place J2310 above the local \(M_{\text{dyn}} - M_{\text{BH}}\) relation, similarly to most of the quasars studied at these redshifts (Wang et al. 2013; Decarli et al. 2018).

Over the last years J2310 has been also studied extensively in the millimetre bands. FIR line observations of [C\text{II}] at 158\,\mu m and [O\text{III}] at 88\,\mu m have been reported by Wang et al. (2013) and Hashimoto et al. (2018), respectively.

### 2.2 J1319

J1319 was initially discovered in the UKIRT Infrared Deep Sky Survey (UKIDSS) by Mortlock et al. (2009), who measured an optical magnitude of \(m_{1450} = 19.65\) and identified its redshift from the Ly\(\alpha\) and Mg\text{II} emission lines. Shao et al. (2017) estimate a black hole mass \(M_{\text{BH}} = (2.7 \pm 0.6) \times 10^9 M_\odot\) from the Mg\text{II} line, leading to a \(M_{\text{BH}}/M_{\text{dyn}}\) ratio of 0.02 that is four times larger than the average \(M_{\text{BH}}/M_{\text{dyn}}\) measured locally (Kormendy & Ho 2013).

Several millimetre observations have been carried out of this quasar covering the frequency range between 1.4 GHz and 300 GHz, revealing a high far-infrared emission (>\(10^{13}\) L\(_\odot\)) from the host galaxy (Wang et al. 2011, 2013). The quasar has also been detected in both CO(6-5) and [C\text{II}] at 158\,\mu m (Wang et al. 2011, 2013).

### 3 OBSERVATIONS

In ALMA Cycle 2 project 2013.1.00462.S (P.I. S. Gallerani) we proposed high-J CO observations of the \(z \sim 6\) quasars J2310 and J1319 that have been already observed in CO(6-5) (Wang et al. 2013; Feruglio et al. 2018). We requested ALMA time to observe the molecular line CO(17-16) at \(\nu_{\text{rest}} = 1956.02\) GHz (\(\nu_{\text{obs}} = 279.31\) GHz) for J2310, and three high-J CO transitions, CO(14-13) at \(\nu_{\text{rest}} = 1611.79\) GHz (\(\nu_{\text{obs}} = 225.96\) GHz), CO(17-16) (\(\nu_{\text{obs}} = 274.22\) GHz), and CO(19-18) at \(\nu_{\text{rest}} = 2185.13\) GHz (\(\nu_{\text{obs}} = 306.34\) GHz), for J1319. ALMA band 6 and 7 observations were obtained between January and June 2015, using 34-40 antennas with baselines from 15 m to 700 m. In each observations, one out of the four 1.8 GHz spectral windows was centred at the CO frequency and the other three were used to sample the rest-frame far-infrared (FIR) continuum emission. The spectral channel width was set up in time domain mode with a spectral resolution of 31.25 MHz, corresponding to \(\sim 30\) km s\(^{-1}\) velocity resolution at the line frequencies. For each CO observations we spent between 13 and 31 minutes on source, reaching a continuum sensitivity of \(\sim 42\) mJy beam\(^{-1}\) and a spectral sensitivity of 60-80 mJy beam\(^{-1}\) km s\(^{-1}\) per spectral channel.

ALMA visibilities have been calibrated using the CASA software (McMullin et al. 2007). The final continuum images and datacubes have been generated with the CASA task \texttt{tclean} using a natural weighting, which gives the optimum point-source sensitivity in the image plane. Final products have angular resolutions between 0.6\," and 1.5\," depending on the datasets (see Table 1). The uv-coverage of the observations results in a largest angular resolution between (LAS) 1.7\," and 5\," depending on the observed frequencies. Continuum images have been obtained from the line-free channels of the four spectral windows that have been also used in the task \texttt{uvcontsub} task to subtract the continuum emission in the uv-plane. The datacubes have been generated from the continuum-subtracted uv-datastes.

In addition to the observations of our programme, we have searched in the ALMA archive for further public datasets. We have thus used the ALMA observations of the project 2015.1.01265.S (P.I. R. Wang) targeting the CO(8-7) line at \(\nu_{\text{rest}} = 921.80\) GHz (\(\nu_{\text{obs}} = 131.63\) GHz) and the CO(9-8) line at \(\nu_{\text{rest}} = 1036.91\) GHz (\(\nu_{\text{obs}} = 148.06\) GHz) in J2310. The datasets will be presented in a forthcoming paper by Shao et al. (2019) and Li et al. (in prep.). In this work, we present the continuum emissions at 132 GHz and 141 GHz and the two CO lines with their relative luminosities. For J1319, we have benefited from continuum emission at 347 GHz observed in the ALMA project 2012.1.00391.S (P.I. J. Gracia-Carpio). All retrieved observations have been reduced by following the ALMA pipeline released with the datasets and final image have been generated by adopting a natural weight scheme.

In addition to the ALMA data we have collected from the literature all sub/millimetre measurements associated to these two quasars as well as to J1148 (see Table 1 and 2).

### 4 RESULTS

#### 4.1 Continuum emission

The continuum emission is detected with high level of significance (>\(10\sigma\)) in all ALMA datasets for both quasars (left panels of Figure 1 and 2). The ALMA coordinates of the two sources are RA=23:10:38.8994 DEC=+18.55:19.83716 (J2310) and RA=13:19:11.2879 DEC=+09.50:51.526 (J1319) and are in agreement with those reported by previous works (Wang et al. 2013; Shao et al. 2017; Feruglio et al. 2018). The centre coordinates of the continuum emission of both sources are consistent with those estimated from the infrared Y-band images of Hubble Space Telescope, once the astrometry of the two observations has been aligned.

We analyse the continuum emission directly in the Fourier plane (hereafter uv-plane) by fitting the interferometric visibilities. We adopt a Sérisc radial profile (with fixed index \(n = 1\)) as a model for the continuum brightness and we assume axisymmetry to produce a 2D model image. We use the publicly available GALARIO package (Tazzari et al. 2018) to compute the visibilities of the model image by sampling its Fourier transform in the same (u,v) points sampled by ALMA. The free parameters are the total flux density \(S_\nu\), the half-light radius \(r_\text{HL}\), the position angle (\(P.A.,\) rotation on the plane of sky, defined East of North), the ellipticity (or disc inclination along the line of sight) and the (\(\delta R.A.,\) \(\delta Dec\)) offsets on sky w.r.t. the observations’ phase centre. Since the (\(\delta R.A.,\) \(\delta Dec\)) are nuisance parameters, in the results we present here we marginalise over them. We perform the fit in a Bayesian framework, exploring the parameter space using the Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) algorithm implemented in the emcee package (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2013). We assume uniform priors on the free parameters and a Gaussian likelihood \(L \propto \exp(-\chi^2/2)\) where \(\chi^2 = \sum_{j=1}^N (\mathbf{V}_{\text{mod,j}} - \mathbf{V}_{\text{obs,j}})^2 / \sigma_{\mathbf{w},j}\), with \(\mathbf{V}_{\text{mod,j}}\) and \(\mathbf{V}_{\text{obs,j}}\) being the model and the
observed visibilities and $w_j$ the weight associated to the $j$-th visibility point. We use the GPU-accelerated GALARIO to compute $V_{\text{mod}}$ from the model image determined by the free parameter values.

An example of the fit result for J2310 (J1319) is given in Figure 3(A1), where we compare the model and the observed visibilities (real and imaginary part) as a function of the deprojected baseline (uv-distance). The drop in the real part of the visibilities with the uv-distance indicates that the continuum emission is spatially resolved in the current ALMA dataset. The Figure also shows that the observed continuum profile well matches the radial exponential model. We report the best-fit results of both the datasets in Table 1. More details on the fit procedure using GALARIO are given in Appendix A. We note that the results obtained with the visibility modelling are consistent with the flux densities and de-convolved size estimated measured both in the image plane by using the CASA task imfit and in the uv-plane by using the CASA task uvmodelfit.

By combining all measurements from this work and literature, we infer an half light radius of $r_{1/2} = 0.113'' \pm 0.008''$ (0.66 $\pm$ 0.05 kpc) for J2310 and of $r_{1/2} = 0.146 \pm 0.008''$ for J1319.
Figure 2. J1319. Left panels: continuum emission maps at 233 GHz (top), 267 GHz (middle) and 306 GHz (bottom). Contours levels are [-4,-2, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64, 128] times \( \sigma \). The 1\( \sigma \) is 45 \( \mu \)Jy beam\(^{-1} \), 43 \( \mu \)Jy beam\(^{-1} \), and 42 \( \mu \)Jy beam\(^{-1} \), respectively for the three maps. In each map, the ALMA beam is plotted on the bottom left corner. Central panels: continuum subtracted spectra extracted from a region of radius 1.5\( \arcsec \). From top to bottom we report the spectrum of CO(14-13), J1319 and J1319 CO(19-18). All spectra are rebinned at the same spectral resolution of 40 km s\(^{-1} \) and the horizontal dotted lines indicate the noise level as a function of frequency. Vertical dashed red lines represent the frequency/velocity range used to extract the flux map shown in the right panels. Right panels: flux maps of CO(14-13) (top), CO(17-16) (middle), and CO(19-18) (bottom). Emission is integrated in channels with |\( v \)\| < 200 km s\(^{-1} \) relative to the frequency of the CO lines. Contours are shown in step of 2 \( \sigma \) starting from \( \pm 2 \sigma \), where 1\( \sigma \) is 80 mJy beam\(^{-1} \) km s\(^{-1} \), 80 mJy beam\(^{-1} \) km s\(^{-1} \), and 60 mJy beam\(^{-1} \) km s\(^{-1} \), respectively for the three molecular lines.

\( 0.015'' \) (0.84 \( \pm \) 0.09 kpc) for J1319. This indicates that at least 50% of the dust mass is hosted in a compact region of radius < 0.9 kpc.

4.2 FIR luminosities

We estimate the FIR luminosities and dust masses of the two quasars by combining our continuum data with previous ALMA, PdBI, Max-Planck-Millimeter-Bolometer (MAMBO) and Very-Large-Telescope (VLA) observations (Wang et al. 2011, 2013; Feruglio et al. 2018; Hashimoto et al. 2018), whose continuum flux densities are reported in Table 1.
In the optically thin assumption\(^1\), the spectral-energy-distribution (SED) of dust emission can be represented with a modified black-body function,

\[^1\] This is a reasonable assumption given the sizes of the dust continuum emitting regions (~ 0.9 kpc) and the inferred dust masses of these quasars (~ 10\(^9\) M\(_\odot\); see also Wang et al. 2013; Feruglio et al. 2018). These properties yield a dust optical depth of \(\tau = \frac{M_{\text{dust}}}{\pi A^2} \sim 0.05 - 0.1\) by assuming \(k_\nu = 0.45 \times (\nu/250 \text{ GHz})^{\beta}\) cm\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\) (Beelen et al. 2006).

\[S_{\nu} = \frac{S_{\nu_0}}{\nu/(1+z)} = \frac{1 + z}{D_L^2} M_{\text{dust}} k_\nu B_\nu(T_{\text{dust}}),\]  

where \(S_{\nu_0}\) is the flux density measured at the observed frequency \(\nu_0 = \nu/(1+z)\), \(D_L\) is the luminosity distance of the source, \(M_{\text{dust}}\) is the dust mass, \(B_\nu(T_{\text{dust}})\) is the black-body emission at the dust temperature \(T_{\text{dust}}\) and \(k_\nu\) is the opacity coefficient per unit dust mass. In the Rayleigh-Jeans regime \((\nu < 10^3 \text{ GHz at } T_{\text{dust}} \sim 50 \text{ K})\), the dust opacity (Draine & Lee 1984) can be expressed as:

\[k_\nu = k_0 \left( \frac{\nu}{\nu_0} \right)^\beta,\]  

where \(\beta\) is the emissivity index and the parameters \(k_0\) and \(\nu_0\) are usually assumed from either observations (e.g. Alton et al. 2004) or dust models (e.g. Bianchi & Schneider 2007).

In equation 1 we must also consider the contribution of the CMB emission since, at \(z = 6\), the CMB temperature may be comparable (or even higher) to that of dust, thus affecting observations and measurements. An extensive discussion on the effect of CMB on the dust emission has been already presented by da Cunha et al. (2013), in this section we only provide a quick review of their main results.

In addition to the galaxy radiation, CMB photons contribute to increase the dust temperature and boost the observed dust emission. The effect on the dust temperature is:

\[T_{\text{dust}}(z) = (T_{\text{dust}})_0^{4+\beta} + T_0^{4+\beta} [(1+z)^{4+\beta} - 1])^{1/4}\]  

where \(T_0\) is the CMB temperature at \(z = 0\), i.e. \(T_0 = 2.73 \text{ K}\) (Fixsen et al. 1996). On the other hand, the CMB emission at high-\(z\) is a strong background against the dust continuum emission thus reducing its detectability. The observed SED

\[\text{Figure 3. Comparison between the model and the observed visibilities (real and imaginary part) of the J2310 continuum emission at 280 GHz as a function of deprojected baseline (uv-distance). For the deprojection we used the inferred inclination and P.A. The black dots are the observations, the red line shows the best-fitting model.}\]  

\[\text{Table 1. Description of the continuum observations and derived characteristics}\]  

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
\text{Source} & \text{z} & \nu_{\text{cont}} & \sigma_{\text{cont}} & S_{\text{cont}} & \text{Angular} & \text{Half-light} & \text{Ell.} & \text{P.A} & \text{Reference} \\
\text{(a)} & \text{(b)} & \text{[GHz]} & \text{[\mu Jy beam\(^{-1}\)]} & \text{[mJy]} & \text{resolution} & \text{radius} & \text{Ell.} & \text{P.A} & \text{Reference} \\
\text{J2310} & 6.0031 & 91.5 & 5 & 0.416 \pm 0.033 & 0.5^\circ \pm 0.3^\circ & 0.12^\circ \pm 0.02^\circ & 0.5 \pm 0.3 & 138^\circ \pm 24^\circ & [1] \\
 & 90 & 50 & 0.40 \pm 0.05 & 5.4^\circ \pm 3.9^\circ & - & - & - & - & [3] \\
 & 132 & 15 & 1.39 \pm 0.03 & 0.75^\circ \pm 0.71^\circ & 0.10^\circ \pm 0.02^\circ & < 0.9 & 100^\circ \pm 60^\circ & \text{this work} & [2] \\
 & 141 & 15 & 1.42 \pm 0.02 & 0.81^\circ \pm 0.66 & 0.09^\circ \pm 0.02 & < 0.2 & 100^\circ \pm 60^\circ & \text{this work} & [3] \\
 & 250 & 630 & 8.29 \pm 0.63 & 11^\circ & - & - & - & - & [3] \\
 & 263 & 80 & 8.91 \pm 0.08 & 0.7^\circ & 0.12^\circ \pm 0.02^\circ & 0.2 \pm 0.1 & 162^\circ \pm 18^\circ & \text{this work} & [2] \\
 & 280 & 42 & 12.0 \pm 0.2 & 0.51^\circ \times 0.39^\circ & 0.10^\circ \pm 0.016^\circ & < 0.12 & 160^\circ \pm 40^\circ & \text{this work} & [4] \\
 & 484 & 362 & 21.9 \pm 0.7 & 0.69^\circ \times 0.60^\circ & 0.15^\circ \pm 0.02^\circ & 0.3 \pm 0.2 & 154^\circ \pm 32^\circ & \text{this work} & [4] \\
\end{array}
\]
shape of dust emission can thus be expressed as:

\[
S_{\nu}^{\text{obs}}(z) = \frac{1 + z}{d_L} M_{\text{dust}} \nu B_\nu(T_{\text{dust}}(z)) - B_\nu(T_\text{CMB}(z)).
\]  

(4)

where \( T_{\text{dust}}(z) \) is given by equation 3 and \( B_\nu(T_\text{CMB}(z)) \) is the black-body emission of CMB at the temperature \( T_\text{CMB}(z) = T_0(1 + z) \).

We use equation 4 to fit the flux continuum densities measured in J2310 and J1319. Assuming \( k_\nu = 0.45\chi(\nu/250\ \text{GHz})^\beta \text{cm}^2 \text{g}^{-1} \) (Beelen et al. 2006), the free parameters are \( M_{\text{dust}}, T_{\text{dust}}, \) and \( \beta \), which are explored using a MCMC algorithm to estimate the posterior probability distribution for the 3-dimensional parameter space that defines our SED model. We employ uniform distributions for the priors, but we force 30 K < \( T_{\text{dust}} < 90 \) K and 1.0 < \( \beta < 2.0 \) since these are the typical ranges observed in star-forming galaxies and quasars (Beelen et al. 2006).

Figure 4 shows the results of the SED model fitting. Table 2 reports the best-fitting results, and Figure 5 shows the confidence contours for the three free parameters. The vertical shaded green region shows the best-fitting models. While the shaded regions shrink at lower frequencies (< 400 GHz), the uncertainties enlarge close to the peak of the curve. This indicates that high frequency observations, as that in band 8 for J2319, are fundamental to constrain the properties of the dust in the distant Universe. Observations in ALMA band 8, 9, and 10, which are the highest frequency bands in the baseline ALMA project, are thus crucial to compute the dust temperature in the first billion years of the Universe.

We perform the SED fitting of J1148 as well by using the same assumptions made for the other two quasars and taking into account the CMB contribution. We retrieve from the literature all flux continuum densities (Bertoldi et al. 2003a; Walter et al. 2003; Riechers et al. 2009; Cicone et al. 2015) at the wavelength \( \lambda_{\text{rest}} > 50 \mu \text{m} \) where the emission is powered mainly by star-formation activity in the host galaxy and the contribution from the AGN is negligible (Leipski et al. 2013). Given the presence of a serendipity source at 10.5″, we give less weight to those continuum measurements of J1148 that may be contaminated by the emission of a serendipity source (Cicone et al. 2015). The results of the Bayesian fit is reported in Figure 4, Figure 5, and Table 2.

We estimate the FIR luminosities by integrating the best-fit models from 8 \mu\text{m} to 1000 \mu\text{m} rest-frame for the three quasars. Despite the comparable FIR luminosities (\( L_{\text{FIR}} \sim 10^{13} \text{L}_\odot \)), from the SED fitting it results that the dust temperature of J1148 is 2σ higher than that inferred for J2310, possibly due to a stronger radiation field in the former. For all quasars we turn the FIR luminosities into SFR by using the relations from Kennicutt & Evans (2012). All quasars have a SFR > 1000 M_\odot yr^{-1} (see Table 3).

---

**Table 2.** Results of the SED fitting (assuming \( k_\nu = 0.45 \text{cm}^2 \text{g}^{-1} \) and \( \nu_0 = 250 \text{GHz} \)) and CO observations.

|                | J2310  | J1319  | J1148 |
|----------------|--------|--------|--------|
| \( \log(M_{\text{dust}}/M_\odot) \) | 9.08±0.06 | 8.9±0.2 | 8.6±0.1 |
| \( T_{\text{dust}} \) [K] | 41^{+4}_{-3} | 55±15 | 63^{+12}_{-10} |
| \( \beta \) | 1.7 ± 0.1 | 1.3^{+0.3}_{-0.2} | 1.4 ± 0.3 |
| \( L_{\text{FIR}} \) \( [10^{13} \text{L}_\odot] \) | 1.6^{+0.4}_{-0.3} | 1.7^{+0.6}_{-0.5} | 2.3^{+0.8}_{-0.6} |
| \( \text{CO emission} \) \( [10^{8} \text{L}_\odot] \) | | | |
| CO(1-0) | - | - | <0.72 |
| CO(2-1) | - | - | 0.125±0.010 |
| CO(3-2) | - | - | 0.39±0.04 |
| CO(6-5) | 5.5±0.5 | 1.6±0.3 | 2.5±0.3 |
| CO(7-6) | - | - | 2.9±0.3 |
| CO(8-7) | 7.5±0.9 | - | - |
| CO(9-8) | 7.1±0.6 | - | - |
| CO(14-13) | - | <1.8 | - |
| CO(17-16) | <8.1 | <2.6 | 4.9±1.1 |
| CO(19-18) | - | <3.2 | - |

---

**4.3 High-J CO emission**

**4.3.1 CO measurements in J2310**

While the continuum emission is detected in all ALMA datasets of J2310, the detection of \( J_{\text{pp}} > 7 \text{ CO lines} \) is limited only to the two lower transitions, CO(8-7) and CO(9-8) (Figure 1; see also Li et al. in prep.). Both CO lines have a line width of 380 km s^{-1} and a beam-deconvolved size of 0.50″ ± 0.12″ (∼ 3 kpc at \( z = 6 \)). We measure integrated flux
Figure 5. Corner plots showing the three dimensional posterior probability distributions of $M_{\text{dust}}$, $T_{\text{dust}}$, and $\beta$ for J2310 (top panel), J1319 (middle panel), and J1148 (bottom panel). Blue lines indicate the best-fit parameter, while the dashed lines show the 16% and 84% percentiles for each parameter. The lack of high-frequency observations does not allow us to constrain the $T_{\text{dust}}$ and $\beta$ of J1319.

5 CO SLED

In this section, we compute the CO SLED resulting from the ALMA data presented in this work and from the other CO measurements in literature. We then compare our findings with the CO SLEDs observed in low-$z$ starburst galaxies and AGN, and the CO SLEDs measured in other $z \sim 6$ quasars.

5.1 CO(6-5)-normalised CO SLED

In the left panel of Fig. 6, we show the CO SLED (normalised to the CO(6-5) line) of J2310, J1319, J1148 (Bertoldi et al. 2003b; Riechers et al. 2009; Gallerani et al. 2014; Stefan et al. 2015), and the three $z \sim 6$ quasars by Venemans et al. (2017b). The blue and red shaded regions represent the averaged CO(6-5)-normalised CO SLEDs from low-$z$ starburst galaxies and AGN, respectively (Obreschkow et al. 2009; Mashian et al. 2015; Mingozzi et al. 2018). The average CO SLED of starbursts is obtained from local CO observations of NGC253, Arp220, M83, M82, and IC694. For the AGN sample, we use the CO measurements of Circinus, Mrk231, NGC1068, NGC4945, NGC3240, NGC3690, and NG34. Despite the large uncertainties, the CO SLED of the AGN population is characterised by a slightly different shape with respect to the one of starburst galaxies. At high-$J$ ($J_{\text{upp}} \geq 13$) the starburst CO SLED is less excited and the CO emission steadily declines at $J_{\text{upp}} > 8$, while the AGN CO SLED seems to reach the peak at $8 \leq J_{\text{upp}} \leq 10$ and declines afterwards.

The CO luminosities and upper limits measured for J2310 and J1319 are consistent with both AGN and starburst CO SLEDs. Although the $L_{\text{CO(8-7)}}/L_{\text{CO(6-5)}}$ and $L_{\text{CO(9-8)}}/L_{\text{CO(6-5)}}$ ratios measured in J2310 are slightly higher relative to the typical ratios observed in starburst galaxies, they are still consistent (within 1σ) with the CO SLED shape of both populations (AGN and starburst galaxies). The same is true for the three $z \sim 6$ quasars by Venemans et al. (2017b) that have

4.3.2 CO measurements in J1319

At the location of the quasar J1319 all three ALMA observations do not reveal any signature of $J_{\text{upp}} > 7$ CO emission. The right panels of Figure 2 show the flux maps obtained by collapsing the datacubes over a channel width of 500 km s$^{-1}$ that is comparable to the line widths observed in the CO(6-5) and [C\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{ii}}}}})}}] lines (Wang et al. 2013; Shao et al. 2017). In Table 2 we report the 3σ upper limit for each CO transition analysed in this work.

The flux map of the CO(17-16) emission, which has been obtained by integrating the datacube within ±200 km s$^{-1}$ from the expected frequency of the $J_{\text{upp}} = 17$ CO line, shows a marginal detection of 2σ at the location of the quasar. To be conservative we estimate a 3σ upper limit on its flux density, yielding $L_{\text{CO(17-16)}} < 8.1 \times 10^{8}$ L$_{\odot}$.

The CO(6-5) luminosities measured for J2310 are consistent with previous CO(6-5) detections in high-$z$ quasars (van der Werf et al. 2010; Riechers et al. 2011; De Breuck et al. 2013; Jannuzi et al. 2014; Loiacono et al. 2014). The CO(6-5) upper limits measured for J1319 are consistent with previous CO(6-5) upper limits in high-$z$ quasars (van der Werf et al. 2010; Riechers et al. 2011; De Breuck et al. 2013; Jannuzi et al. 2014; Loiacono et al. 2014). The CO(6-5) upper limits measured for J1148 are consistent with previous CO(6-5) upper limits in high-$z$ quasars (van der Werf et al. 2010; Riechers et al. 2011; De Breuck et al. 2013; Jannuzi et al. 2014; Loiacono et al. 2014).
1 \lesssim L_{\text{CO(7-6)}}/L_{\text{CO(6-5)}} \lesssim 4$, consistently with low-$z$ observations.

For what concerns J1148, at lower $J_{\text{up}}$ (< 7), the CO(6-5)-normalised SLED is also similar to that observed in local AGN and starburst populations. However, this quasar is characterised by an exceptionally strong CO(17-16) emission line that is > 3σ larger than the averaged CO SLED of both populations. The CO ratio is also ~ 1σ higher than the upper limits on the CO(17-16)/CO(6-5) ratios estimated for J2310 and J1319.

We further discuss the origin of the discrepancy between J1148 and other $z \sim 6$ quasars in Sec. 6. Here, we mention that the CO(17-16) emission detected in J1148 may be contaminated by the presence of several flanking OH$^+$ lines falling within few hundreds km s$^{-1}$ of the CO line. These lines have been observed in local AGN host galaxies (Hailey-Dunsheath et al. 2012; González-Alfonso et al. 2013) and seem to be more luminous in presence of fast outflowing gas and XDRs (González-Alfonso et al. 2013, 2018). By fitting with a double Gaussian profile the emission detected in J1148, Gallarani et al. (2014) estimate that ~ 40% of the measured luminosity can be contaminated by OH$^+$ line emission. We thus perform, both in J2310 and J1319, a blind line search (see Carniani et al. 2017b,a, for details) to look for possible OH$^+$+CO emissions at the location and redshift of the two quasars, but we do not find any emission with an intensity > 2σ.

5.2 $L_{\text{FIR}}$-normalised CO SLED

Tight $L_{\text{CO}}$-$L_{\text{FIR}}$ correlations have been found over several orders of magnitude from low- to high-J CO lines ($4 < J_{\text{up}} < 13$; Greve et al. 2014; Liu et al. 2015; Kamenetzky et al. 2016; Lu et al. 2017) suggests that the average CO gas excitation conditions are mainly associated with star-formation activity, while AGN seem to have negligible impact on the CO SLED shape, at least for $J_{\text{up}} \leq 10 – 13$. We therefore investigate the CO excitation conditions in our quasars by normalising their CO SLED to the FIR luminosity and by comparing the results with $z < 1$ star-forming galaxies and AGN hosts (Kamenetzky et al. 2016). The right panel of Fig. 6 shows the FIR-normalised CO SLED for J2310, J1319, J1148, the three $z \sim 6$ quasars by Venemans et al. (2017b), and for local starbursts and AGN host galaxies.

We find that the $L_{\text{CO}}$/$L_{\text{FIR}}$ ratios in J2310 are consistent with the FIR-normalised SLEDs observed both locally and in the three $z \sim 6$ quasars by Venemans et al. (2017b). By combining CO, [C$^+$], and [C$^+$] observations Venemans et al. (2017b) conclude that CO emission in their three quasars predominantly arises in PDR regions heated by young stars, and exclude substantial contribution from XDRs. Given the similarity between the $L_{\text{CO}}$/$L_{\text{FIR}}$ ratios measured in J2310 and both in local sources and in the three $z \sim 6$ quasars by Venemans et al. (2017b) we conclude that also the CO emission in J2310 is dominated by the star-formation activity in the host galaxies.

From the right panel of Fig. 6, it is instead evident that the CO(6-5)/$L_{\text{FIR}}$ ratio in J1319 and all the CO-to-FIR line ratios of J1148, up to $J_{\text{up}} = 7$, are below the typical line ratios observed in local AGN and starbursts. Low CO-to-FIR line ratios can be explained both by an over-estimation of the FIR luminosity and/or a low molecular gas content. For what concerns the first hypothesis, both AGN emission in the rest-frame mid-IR wavelengths (8 μm and 40 μm) and the presence of PAH features could affect the FIR luminosity estimates (e.g. Greve et al. 2014). However, at least for J1148, our $L_{\text{FIR}}$ estimate is consistent with the results by Leipski et al. (2013) who include a clumpy torus model in the SED fitting to account for a possible AGN contamination on FIR wavelengths. On the other hand a deficiency in the...
molecular gas content is expected if a substantial gas fraction has been consumed during the star formation process or is removed from the host galaxy by AGN winds, as observed in other lower-z quasars characterised by extended ionised outflows (Carniani et al. 2015, 2017b; Brusa et al. 2018). In the case of J1148 this scenario is supported by the detection of broad wings in the [C\II] line profile, suggesting the presence of fast outflowing gas in the host galaxy (Maiolino et al. 2012; Cicone et al. 2015). In particular, Cicone et al. (2015) found that J1148 hosts a powerful outflows with a mass outflow rate $> 1000 \ M_\odot \ yr^{-1}$ large enough to clear out all the gas content of the galaxy in less than $\sim 6 \ Myr$. We further note that, for this quasar, Stefan et al. (2015) infer a molecular gas mass from the CO(2-1) emission of $M_{\text{H}_2} = 2.6 \times 10^{10} \ M_\odot$ that is 44(3) times smaller than those expected in star-forming galaxies (starbursts) with similar SFR (Sargent et al. 2014).

6 DISCUSSION

The nature of the discrepancy between the high CO(17-16) line luminosity observed in J1148 and local sources/high-z quasars is unclear, both because of the complexity of the physical processes involved in the molecular gas excitation and the paucity of observational data. From the theoretical point of view, the emergence of bright high-J ($J_{\text{HH}} > 13$) CO lines can be associated both to extreme star formation, and AGN activity, and shocks induced by merging/outflows/SS-driven winds. In Table 3, we report the properties of J2310, J1319, and J1148 that are more relevant in this context.

- **Star formation:** The properties of J1148, J2310, and J1310 are quite similar in terms of FIR emission (Figure 4). Assuming that dust heating is dominated by stars, the resulting SFRs among the three quasars are comparable (Table 2). If high-J CO lines are predominantly excited by star-formation activity, we should have observed in J2310 and J1319 CO(17-16) lines as luminous as in J1148.
- **AGN activity:** Strong high-J CO lines can be excited in X-ray dominated regions (Meijerink et al. 2007; Spaans & Meijerink 2008; van der Werf et al. 2010; Schleicher et al. 2010). Given the similarity among black hole masses ($M_{\text{BH}} \sim 2 - 3 \times 10^9 \ M_\odot$) and AGN luminosities ($\sim 27.80 < M_{\text{CO}} < 27.12$) of the three quasars, we do not expect their X-ray luminosities to differ much. Still, J1148 is the only quasar among the three for which X-ray observations are available (Gallerani et al. 2017b). Thus, we cannot exclude that the high luminosity of the CO(17-16) detected in J1148 can be associated with its X-ray radiation (Gallerani et al. 2014). This interpretation is favoured by the fact that the inferred dust temperature ($T_{\text{dust}} = 63 \ K$) in J1148 is higher than that in J2310 ($T_{\text{dust}} = 41 \ K$) suggesting the presence of a harder UV stellar and/or stronger X-ray radiation in J1148.
- **Shocks:** High temperatures associated with shock dominated regions can also be responsible for boosting the luminosity of high-J CO lines (Panuzzo et al. 2010; Hailey-Dunstan et al. 2012; Meijerink et al. 2013). In this context, it is remarkable that, while J1148 exhibits a massive, powerful outflow with a mass outflow rate $> 1000 \ M_\odot \ yr^{-1}$ (Maiolino et al. 2012; Cicone et al. 2015), in the other sources no such strong outflows have been found (Carniani et al. in preparation). High resolution numerical simulations show that AGN feedback can trigger outflows as powerful as the one revealed in J1148 (Barai et al. 2018) and shock heat large quantity of gas to the high temperatures required for the excitation of high-J CO lines (Costa et al. 2014, 2015, 2018; Barai et al. 2018). Nevertheless, these simulations lack both the chemistry of molecular hydrogen (e.g., Pallottini et al. 2017a,b) and radiative transfer of X-ray photons (e.g., Kakiichi et al. 2017), thus hampering a realistic comparison with observations.

To summarise, our analysis disfavours a scenario in which the high CO(17-16) luminosity observed in J1148 is driven by photodissociation regions, even accounting for the possible contamination by OH$^+$ emission. In the case of CO SLEDS excited by star formation, even in the case of high SFRs, the CO(6-5)- and FIR-normalised CO SLEDS are expected to decrease at high-J with a peak at $6 < J_{\text{HH}} < 8$, as observed in the low-z starburst sample and in J2310. Other mechanism associated with AGN (X-ray dominated regions, AGN-driven outflows) are more likely responsible for the excitation of high-J transitions. However, the lack of CO(17-16) detections in J2310 and J1319 suggests that AGN activity is not always associated with strong high-J CO lines.

7 CONCLUSIONS

We have presented ALMA observations of dust continuum and molecular CO line emission in two quasars at $z \sim 6$, J1319 and J2310. We have also retrieved the CO and dust measurements for J1148 in order to compare the properties of these three quasars and understand the origin of their CO emission. Our main findings are:

(i) By fitting the continuum emission directly in the uv-plane, we have found that the bulk of dust emission arises from a compact ($< 0.9 \ kpc$) region of the host galaxies. We have estimated a half-light radius of $r_{1/2} = (0.66 \pm 0.05) \ kpc$ for J2310 and $r_{1/2} = (0.84 \pm 0.09) \ kpc$ for J1319.

(ii) We have performed a SED fitting on our two quasars as well as on J1148 using millimetre observations from literature and from this work and taking into account the impact of CMB on dust emission at high redshift. The dust properties, such as FIR luminosity, dust mass, temperature

| Table 3. Quasar properties |
|---------------------------|
|                         | J2310 | J1319 | J1148 |
| $M_{\text{1450}}^{(1)}$  | -27.61 | -27.12 | -27.80 |
| Log(SFR$_{\text{FIR}}$/M$_\odot \ yr^{-1}$)$^{(2)}$ | 3.4±0.11 | 3.6±0.3 | 3.51±0.14 |
| $L_{\text{CII}}$ $^{(3)}$ [10$^5$ L$_\odot$] | 8.7 ± 1.4 | 4.4 ± 0.9 | 37 ± 9 |
| L$_{\text{X-ray}}$ $^{(4)}$ [10$^{29}$ erg s$^{-1}$] | -1.4±0.3 | -1.4±0.3 |
| M$_{\text{dust}}^{(5)}$ [10$^5$ M$_\odot$] | 1.8 | 2.7 | 3 |

**Note:** (1) Absolute magnitude at 1450Å from Fan et al. (2003), Mortlock et al. (2009) and Jiang et al. (2016); (2) SFR from FIR luminosity; (3) [C\II] luminosities from Wang et al. (2013) and Cicone et al. (2015); (4) X-ray luminosity between 2 keV and 10 keV (Gallerani et al. 2017b); (5) Black hole mass by Willott et al. (2003), Shao et al. (2017), and Feruglio et al. (2018).
and emissivity index, of the J2310 and J1148 have been estimated with an uncertainly of less than 20%. We note that J1148 has a dust temperature ($T_{\text{dust}} = 63_{-10}^{+10}$ K) more than 2σ higher than that of J2310 ($T_{\text{dust}} = 41_{-4}^{+3}$ K) suggesting the former is characterised by a harder UV radiation (from either star-formation or AGN activity) than the latter. The lack of high frequency ($\nu > 400$ GHz) observations for J1319 have led to large uncertainties on dust temperature, which spans over a range $50 \, \text{K} < T_{\text{dust}} < 80 \, \text{K}$. With a SFR $> 1000 \, M_{\odot} \, \text{yr}^{-1}$ and $L_{\text{FIR}} > 10^{13} \, L_{\odot}$, the host galaxies of the analysed quasars are in a starburst phase of their evolution.

(iii) In addition to the dust continuum emission we have discussed the observations of CO(9-8), CO(8-7), and CO(17-16) for J2310, in which we have found a clear detection only for the first two lines. For what concerns J1319, we have presented ALMA observations of the CO(14-13), CO(17-16), and CO(19-18) lines and reported no detection in all the CO transitions observed.

(iv) We have computed the CO SLEDs normalised to the CO(6-5) line and FIR luminosity for J2310, J1148, and J1314 and compared our results both with local starburst galaxies and AGN, and with other $z \sim 6$ quasars. For $J_{\text{up}} < 9$, the CO(6-5)-normalised CO SLED of $z \sim 6$ quasars is consistent with low-$\nu$ sources; vice-versa, for J1319 and J1148 the CO/FIR ratios measured are lower than local sources and other $z \sim 6$ quasars. We have suggested that this result can be explained by a lower molecular gas content in J1319 and J1148. This scenario is supported by the presence of fast outflowing gas that can remove molecular gas from the host galaxies of these quasars.

(v) The upper limits on the CO(17-16) transitions for J2310 and J1319 are consistent with those observed in local AGN and starburst galaxies; vice-versa the $3 \sigma$ upper limits on the CO(17-16)/CO(6-5) ratio measured in these sources is $1 \sigma$ lower than that measured in J1148. Mechanisms associated with AGN (X-ray dominated regions, AGN-driven outflows) are likely responsible for the excitation of this high-J CO transition and for the higher CO(17-16) luminosity measured in J1148.

In summary the no detection of high-J ($J_{\text{up}} \geq 14$) CO transitions in the quasars J2310 and J1319 reveals that AGN activity is not always associated with luminous ($> 10^8 \, L_{\odot}$) highly excited CO emission lines. The detection of $J_{\text{up}} > 8$ lines in $z \sim 6$ quasars, complemented by X-ray observations and supported by dedicated high resolution numerical simulations (including AGN-driven feedback, molecular hydrogen chemistry, radiative transfer of X-ray photons), represent the best strategy to progress in the field and provide the optimal chances to understand the processes responsible for molecular gas excitation.
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In Section 4.1 we presented the analysis of the continuum emission. Here we report more details of the fit procedure. To perform the fits we follow the quick start example reported in the documentation of GALARIO:

(i) we extract the channel-averaged continuum visibilities from the ALMA Measurement set using the function export_uvtable included in the the publicly available uvplot package;

(ii) we define our brightness model as the Sersic profile:

\[ I(R) = I_e \exp \left( -b_n \left( \frac{R}{R_e} \right)^{(1/n)} - 1 \right) \]  

where \( R_e \) is the effective (half-light) radius, \( I_e \) is the surface brightness at \( R = R_e \), and \( b_n \) is such that \( \Gamma(2n) = 2y(2n, b_n) \) and we fix the index \( n = 1 \) (i.e. exponential profile). We compute the brightness profile \( I(R) \) on a radial grid ranging from 0.0001\('' \) to 10\('' \), with spacing 0.001\('' \).

(iii) we define the parameter ranges explored by the 40 walkers in the MCMC ensemble sampler. We use log-uniform priors for \( I_e \) and \( R_e \), \( p(\log I_e) = 1/(5 - 5) \), \( p(\log R_e) = 1/(2 - 0) \) and uniform priors for the other free parameters, \( p(i) = 1/(0.90^\circ) \), \( p(P.A.) = 1/(180, 360)^\circ \).
High-J CO lines in $z \sim 6$ quasars

Figure A1. Comparison between the model and the observed visibilities (real and imaginary part) of the J1319 continuum emission at 267 GHz as a function of deprojected baseline (uv-distance). For the deprojection we used the inferred inclination and P.A. The black dots are the observations, the red line shows the best-fit model.

After the MCMC chain has converged, we assess the goodness of the fit by comparing the best-fitting model and the observations, directly in the plane of the measurements. An immediate way of checking whether a model fits the interferometric data is to produce a so called uv-plot, namely the azimuthal average of the visibilities as a function of deprojected baseline (uv-distance). Figure 3 in Section 4.1 and A1 here show the uvplot comparing the best-fitting models and the observed visibilities of J2310 and J1319. Although the complex visibilities are defined on the uv-plane $V(u,v)$, it is often convenient to represent them in bins of deprojected uv-distance $\rho = \sqrt{u^2 + v^2}$. It is worth noting that the fit performed with GALARIO allowed us to fit each single observed $(u_j,v_j)$ visibility point by computing the corresponding $V_{\text{mod}}(u_j,v_j)$ of a given model image; the azimuthally averaged view of the best-fit model and of the data given in the uv-plot serves as a benchmark of the goodness of the fit and is inevitably showing less data than it was actually used for the fit.

To produce the uv-plot we used the uvplot package following the instructions in the GALARIO quick start example (see link in the footnotes). To deproject the visibilities we assume inclination and position angle inferred from the fit.

Another way to assess the goodness of the fit is to produce synthesised images of the residual visibilities, namely to compute $V_{\text{res}}(u_j,v_j) = V_{\text{obs}}(u_j,v_j) - V_{\text{mod}}(u_j,v_j)$ for the bestfit model and then obtaining the CLEANed image corresponding to $V_{\text{res}}$. Figures A2 and A3 show the synthesised images of the model and of the residual visibilities. In both cases, the extremely low levels of the residuals (within the $3\sigma$ noise levels) indicate that the models closely match the measurements.
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