CONTRIBUTION OF PIEZOCISION IN ORAL SURGERY: THE EXAMPLE OF THE ACCELERATION OF ORTHODONTIC MOVEMENTS
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ABSTRACT

Introduction Patients are more demanding of short or less invasive interventions. Piezocision responds well to this demand, particularly in the case of piezo-guided corticotomy to accelerate orthodontic movements. Different surgical approaches are described to shorten orthodontic procedures. Corticotomy is a surgical process where osteotomies are realized at the level of the cortical part of the bone.

Objective The aim of this work is to review the contribution of piezocision in oral surgery, using as example a review over the current results of piezocision on the acceleration of orthodontic movements.

Data sources The articles referenced and used in this article come from the PubMed database. The searched keywords were “piezocision” alone or in combination with “orthodontics”.

Study selection This search resulted in 44 available articles. Subsequently, 6 randomized controlled trials were selected based on relevance, journal, and publication date. Four Randomized Controlled Trials and two Controlled Clinical Trials were studied.

Data extraction The reviewer assessed each article for their relevance and methodology. The 6 studies compared the time savings between conventional orthodontic treatment (control group) and orthodontic treatment combined with piezocision surgery (test group).

Data synthesis Piezocision corticotomy reduces the operation and the postoperative period and increases the acceptance of corticotomies and their indications.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Originally, piezosurgery was developed to allow cutting hard structures without affecting soft structures, with an ultrasound instrumentation. Bone cutting is performed by high frequency micromovements generated by the piezoelectric handpiece, the piezotome. The back and forth motion transmitted to a cutting insert creates a cutting effect. Over the past decades, numerous scientific articles have illustrated the numerous indications of piezocision in oral surgery, implant surgery, cranio-maxillofacial surgery and other surgical disciplines. The main advantages and characteristics of piezocision are the selective cutting of the bone without damaging adjacent soft tissues such as vessels, nerves, mucous membranes, but also to reach difficult access areas via angled inserts compared to conventional instrumentation, and to cut without generating significant exothermic reaction. The use of angled inserts improves the visibility of the operating field. Therefore, piezoelectric surgery is a safe, precise and atraumatic technique.

In our oral and maxillofacial surgery practice, the piezotome is currently taking an important place. It is particularly used in our department in pre-implant surgery for maxillary ridge expansion, opening the bone windows during the sinus lift, during ramic, symphysisal, tuberosal or cranial parietal harvesting and during the lateralization surgery of the inferior alveolar nerve. The piezotome is also used in maxillofacial surgery for mandibulpectomy and costochondral harvesting (temporomandibular anklyosis treatment, rhinoplasties), and for free fibula flaps. It is also used for condylectomies and complicated mandibular sagittal osteotomies with malposition of the inferior alveolar nerve. In oral surgery, the piezotome is used in our practice for retrograde...
endodontic surgeries, cyst removal but also to accelerate orthodontic movements. Orthodontics is a dental discipline that aims to correct the dental occlusion and/or the positioning of the jaws and teeth, using various removable or fixed devices. The long duration of orthodontic treatments is one of the most common complaints of patients undergoing orthodontic treatment. In addition, longer treatments can increase the risk of complications such as caries, periodontal problems and root resorption. Various surgical approaches have been described to accelerate tooth movement. Invasive procedures such as corticotomies with periodontal flaps have shown good results in decreasing treatment times in orthodontics [1-2]. To reduce the invasiveness of corticotomies, a minimally invasive surgical procedure has been introduced. This consists of making transmucosal cortical incisions without flaps using a piezotome. This technique involves micro-incisions and small corticotomies. Localized decortication induces a demineralization–remineralization process described as regional acceleratory phenomenon (RAP). The induced corticotomy at the bone level is followed by an increase in bone turnover and a decrease in bone mineral.

The aim of this work is to explain the contribution of piezocision in oral surgery through piezocision corticotomy.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The articles referenced and used in this article come from the Pubmed database. The searched keywords were "piezocision" alone or in combination with "orthodontics." This search resulted in 44 available articles. Subsequently, 6 randomized controlled trials were selected based on relevance, journal, and publication date. Each study was conducted on healthy patients and analyzed the effectiveness of piezocision on accelerating orthodontic movements. The general information from the studies is summarized in Table 1. Of the 6 articles, 4 are RCT [3-4-6-8] and 2 are CCT [5-7]. All studies included 131 patients. Each study presented a group treated with electric piezocision and another "control" group with conventional orthodontic movement. One study [5] compared the efficacy of conventional corticotomy to piezocision. The studies by Uribe, Gibreal, and Charavet focus on tooth alignment, while the studies by Abbas and Aksakalli investigate canine distalization in premolar extraction.

3. RESULTS

Four Randomized Controlled Trials and two Controlled Clinical Trials were studied. The 6 studies compared the time savings between conventional orthodontic treatment (control group) and orthodontic treatment combined with piezocision surgery (test group).

3.1. Characteristics of the studies

The general information from the studies is summarized in Table 1. Of the 6 articles, 4 are RCT [3-4-6-8] and 2 are CCT [5-7]. All studies included 131 patients. Each study presented a group treated with electric piezocision and another "control" group with conventional orthodontic movement. One study [5] compared the efficacy of conventional corticotomy to piezocision. The studies by Uribe, Gibreal, and Charavet focus on tooth alignment, while the studies by Abbas and Aksakalli investigate canine distalization in premolar extraction.

3.2. Orthodontic movements

The study by Charavet et al [6] showed a decrease of 43% in orthodontic time in the piezocision group compared to the control group, compared to 59% in the study [4]. The differences between the two groups in study [6] increased in time until full alignment but decreased for final adjustments. Study [3] showed no significant difference between the two groups. The duration of canine distalization in study [7] is reduced in the piezocision group. In addition, the study by Abbas et al [5] found a higher rate of movement in the corticotomy group compared with the piezocision group during weeks 2,4,10,12 after surgery. The 2019 study by Charavet et al [8] shows a 36% acceleration of treatment for the piezocision group compared with the control group.

Table 3. Studies descriptions.
A summary table (Table 2) compares the time gains for the test groups versus the control groups.

| Studies               | N   | Saves time in orthodontic treatment (%) |
|-----------------------|-----|----------------------------------------|
| Uribe et al. 2017 [3] | 41  | Not significant                        |
| Gibreal et al. 2019 [4]| 36  | 59%                                    |
| Charavet et al. 2019 [8]| 24  | 43%                                    |
| Charavet et al. 2016 [6]| 24  | 36%                                    |
| Abbas et al. 2016 [5]   | 20  | /                                      |
| Abzacalli, et al 2016 [7]| 10  | 63%                                    |

Table 2. Comparison of time savings according to the analyzed studies.

3.3. Surgical approach
The surgical protocol is based on a flapless piezocision procedure. This technique consists in making vertical interproximal microincisions 4mm above each papilla. The length of the incisions varies according to the studies from 5 to 8mm [6-8] and 4mm [3]. After the incisions, the gingiva is elevated to visualize the bone and adjacent roots. A corticotomy line is then made with a piezoelectric instrument. The line also varies according to the studies, from 5mm long and 3mm deep for Charavet et al. [6-8] and 1mm deep for Uribe et al [3]. The incisions are sutured with absorbable suture.

3.4. Periodontal parameters
All periodontal parameters were comparable between the piezocision and test groups at the end of the treatment in the studies[5-6-7-8]. For 3 patients (2 in the control group and 1 in the test group) in the study [6], a non-significant increase in recession was observed between the interval at the beginning of the treatment and the end of the treatment.

The studies by Charavet et al [6-8] investigated the visibility of vertical scars in the piezocision groups. Study [6] observed persistent scarring in 50% of patients with piezocision. In 33% of cases, the scar was as a punt and in 17% of cases, in the form of a line. The 2019 study shows a visible scar in 66% of the patients treated with piezocision.

3.5. Root resorptions
Abbas et al [5] showed that there were no differences in root resorptions between the corticotomy group and the piezocision group. However, the contralateral control group shows greater root resorptions of the canine than the experimental groups (piezocision and corticotomy).

Studies [6-8] do not show an increase in root resorptions in each group.

3.6. Patient-centered outcomes
Study [6] did not show any significant differences between the two groups consumption of paracetamol. The level of satisfaction was significantly higher in the piezocision group compared to the control group.

4. DISCUSSION
The analysis of the results shows an acceleration of orthodontic treatment in 5 of the 6 clinical trials analyzed, ranging from 36 to 63% (Table 2). According to Uribe et al. [3], the results of his study do not show a significant difference in the time required to correct a mandibular anterior crowding between the two groups.

The lack of significant time savings in orthodontic treatment in the Uribe et al. [3] study is probably related to the surgical technique. Indeed, the corticotomy is only 1 mm deep and 3 mm high, compared to the 3 mm and 5 mm found in the studies of Charavet [6-8] and Gibreal [4].

In addition, the studies by Charavet et al. and Gibreal et al. perform 5 vertical incisions up to the canine-premolar interdental space while Uribe et al. perform 3 vertical incisions, stopping between the canine and lateral incisor. The difference between the two RCTs by Charavet et al. may be explained by the use of different Brackets system, CAD/CAM for the 2019 study.

The study by Gibreal et al. shows a greater time gain than the 2 studies by Charavet et al. The improvement in time gain for orthodontic tooth movements observed is probably related to the severity of the anterior crowding. In the Gibreal et al. study, severe anterior crowding required prior extraction, which probably increased the RAP effect.

Based on the results of the studies above and the literature cited above, the effectiveness of bone decortication in accelerating tooth movement is progressive and effective for 3 months after surgery, with greater effectiveness in the maxilla. This effectiveness of piezocision is observed when the first arch is placed 1 to 2 weeks before surgery and when the corticotomy is at least 3mm deep and 5 to 8mm long. In addition, the patient should be recalled every 2 weeks to activate the fixed appliance if possible because of the limited effect of the RAP [4].

A review of the literature by Mertens et al. [9] shows interesting results of corticotomies depending on the indications. All of them seem to go in the direction of an acceleration of dental movements. However, few studies have been performed with a control group treated with fixed orthodontics, without surgery. Therefore, the number of reviews supporting that the use of corticotomies reduces orthodontic treatment time is limited.

According to Charavet et al. [6-8], there is a visible scar (either punctiform or linear) in 50 to 66% of the subjects who have undergone piezocision. Therefore, upper jaw piezocision is not recommended for patients with a gummy smile. Furthermore, an interradicular proximity of less than 2 mm is a contraindication to corticotomy6. Means such as CBCT, surgical guides [10] or retroalveolar radiographs with opaque interradicular wires can be used to avoid root effraction. These tools can also be
used to prevent damage to anatomical structures such as the maxillary sinus or the mental foramen. All periodontal parameters appeared to be stable in the different studies. However, 3 patients with pre-existing oral recessions showed an increase in recessions [8]. Therefore, mucogingival techniques combined or not with piezocision may be interesting to prevent gingival recession. A bone grafting technique associated with piezocision was performed in a study by Charavet and Lecloux [11]. This technique has allowed a thickening of the vestibular bone in a class II patient and thus prevented the aggravation of bone dehiscence and the appearance of gingival recession.

According to the study by Angelo Troedban [12], the use of surgical ultrasound devices in reduction rhinoplasty has shown a decrease in postsurgical morbidity and significantly increases overall patient satisfaction. Piezotome rhinoplasty could reduce the need for secondary corrective rhinoplasties, and piezotomes could be the surgical instrument of choice in facial hard tissue cosmetic surgery as they already are in oral surgery. Relating to preimplant sinus surgery, numerous studies [13-14] have shown a lower rate of Schneider’s sinus membrane perforation using piezoelectric instruments compared with conventional rotary instrumentation.

In orthognathic surgery, most surgical trauma occurs especially during osteotomy. Therefore, any surgical tool such as the piezotome that can provide a safe and precise cut is an excellent option. It has several disadvantages: reduced ability to cut dense bone, increased time to perform an osteotomy [15] and additional cost [16]. The neurosensory effect of the piezotome is the main factor studied by several authors [16], especially on the inferior alveolar nerve during sagittal osteotomy of the lower jaw. Most studies report little or no nerve damage using piezocision surgery. Only one comparative study finds less nerve disruption after inferior sagittal osteotomy using conventional rotary handpiece instrumentation [16].

5. CONCLUSION

Piezocision corticotomy seems to be an attractive technique to reduce the time of orthodontic treatments. Compared to corticotomies, it reduces the operation and the postoperative period and increases the acceptance of corticotomies and their indications.

However, given the presence of vertical scars in more than 50% of cases, it remains contraindicated in patients with a gummy smile. These examples confirm the interest of piezocision in oral and maxillofacial surgery, at a time when the demand for less invasive treatments is growing. The indications for the piezotome are becoming more and more extensive, which makes it an instrument of choice, indispensable in our practice.
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Questions
1. The effectiveness of piezocision is observed when:
   a. The first arch is placed 3 weeks after the surgery;
   b. The corticotomy is 3-4mm long;
   c. The corticotomy is minimum 3mm deep;
   d. The corticotomy is 1-2mm deep.
2. In Charavet’s study, there is a visible scar after piezocision in:
   a. 50 to 66%;
   b. 35 to 45%;
   c. 0 to 10%;
   d. 95 to 100%.
3. The use of surgical ultrasound devices has shown:
   a. A higher rate of Schneider's sinus membrane perforation;
   b. No increase in patient satisfaction for rhinoplasty;
   c. A decrease in postsurgical morbidity;
   d. It can increase the need for secondary corrective rhinoplasties.
4. Piezotome:
   a. Is not able to cut dense bone;
   b. Reduces the risk of nerve damage;
   c. Decreases the time to perform an osteotomy;
   d. Increases the time of orthodontic treatments.