Case Study

Alleviation of neck pain by the non-surgical rehabilitation of a pathologic cervical kyphosis to a normal lordosis: a CBP® case report
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Abstract. [Purpose] To present a case of the therapeutic reversal of a cervical kyphosis into a lordosis in a patient who presented with neck pain and headaches. [Subject and Methods] A 24-year-old male irritated his neck while dancing. Upon examination it was revealed he had an excessive, 45 mm forward head translation and a 15° cervical kyphosis from C3–C6. The patient was treated with Chiropractic BioPhysics® methods aimed at restoring the cervical lordosis by mirror image®, neck extension exercises, cervical extension traction, and spinal manipulative therapy. [Results] After two weeks of treatments the patient reported a complete resolution of neck pain. After 24 treatments over 10-weeks, a lateral radiograph demonstrated the restoration of a cervical lordosis and a complete reduction of forward head translation. [Conclusion] This case demonstrates that a cervical kyphosis may be reversed into a lordosis in as little as 10-weeks by specific care incorporating cervical extension protocols. This case also supports the biomechanical literature that suggests those with cervical kyphosis may be predisposed to spinal injury. We suggest that correcting even asymptomatic patients with obvious cervical spine deformity should be accomplished prior to future injury and/or degenerative changes.
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INTRODUCTION

The presentation of neck pain is common in clinical practice¹,² however, the treatment for neck pain remains controversial³. Although treatment approaches may vary widely between manual therapists, the structural alignment of the cervical spine is becoming a well recognized pathognomonic feature of neck pain⁴,⁵.

The alignment of the cervical spine influences its load-carrying capacity, and therefore, abnormal curves, such as hypolordosis or kyphosis will affect injury mechanics⁶,⁷. This occurs as abnormal cervical spine alignments exert non-physiologic loads onto the associated soft tissues; injuries would be sustained during biomechanically traumatic insults (i.e. whiplash-type event) in non-neutral head and neck positions⁸.

A recent non-surgical method for increasing the cervical lordosis has emerged and involves extension traction as a part of Chiropractic BioPhysics® (CBP®) methods. Evidence includes recent case reports⁹–¹¹, non-randomized clinical trials¹²–¹⁴ and randomized clinical trials¹⁵–¹⁹. In general, the evidence suggests an average increase in cervical lordosis of up to 18° with 30–40 treatments over 10–14 weeks with use of cervical extension traction as part of a multimodal rehabilitation program.

We present a case showing a reversal of pathologic cervical kyphosis to a lordosis over a 10-week period in a patient who presented with acute neck pain and headaches following a self-induced injury.
A 24-year-old male presented on July 3rd, 2017 complaining of neck pains and stated he had “messed his neck up” while dancing at a wedding. He reported severe and constant neck and upper back pain for 3 days. He also reported to suffer from headaches, difficulty sleeping and had a ‘sensitive stomach.’

Upon assessment, it was determined the patient had a generalized decrease neck range of motion with pain, positive shoulder compression and cervical compression tests, and cervical muscle weakness in flexion, extension and bilateral bending motions. The patient scored a 66% on the neck disability index (NDI)\(^20\), which correlates to ‘complete disability.’ The NDI has ten sections scored out of five points (0=no difficulty; 5=worst disability), specifically the patient scored a 4/5 pain intensity, 1/5 personal care, 4/5 lifting, 4/5 reading, 0/5 headaches, 5/5 concentration, 3/5 work, 3/5 driving, 5/5 sleeping, and 4/5 recreation.

Cervical radiographs were taken and digitized using the PostureRay system (Trinity, FL, USA) that incorporates the Harrison posterior tangent method to measure intersegmental and global lateral spine angles of the cervical lordosis\(^21\). It also measures forward head translation as the horizontal distance between the superior-posterior C2 body to a vertical line drawn from the inferior-posterior C7 body corner\(^4\). These methods are repeatable and reliable as is standing posture\(^21–23\) (Fig. 1).

The patient demonstrated severe forward head translation (44.9 mm vs. 0–15 mm normal\(^4\)), and had a global kyphosis (C2–C7 ARA=+3.0° vs. −31–42° normal\(^4, 5, 24\)) with a severe kyphosis between C3–C6 (+14.8°) as seen on the lateral view. The antero-posterior cervical view demonstrated near perfect vertical alignment and was unremarkable.

The corrective exercises were performed using the Pro-lordotic neck exerciser (Circular Traction Supply Inc., Huntington Beach, CA, USA). The patient would perform 100 repetitions positioning the band low on the neck and first posteriorly translating their head and then extending their head and upper thoracic spine.

Spinial manipulative therapy was also performed for the cervical, thoracic, and lumbar spine each session. The patient gave verbal and provided written consent to the publication of these results including the radiographic images.

**RESULTS**

On September 19, 2017 a re-assessment was performed. The patient reported to be completely pain free within two weeks after receiving treatment, and remained so thereafter. All orthopaedic tests were unremarkable and he scored a 0% on the NDI. A lateral cervical radiograph demonstrated a dramatic reduction of forward head translation (−5.6 mm vs. +44.9 mm)
and a restoration of the cervical lordosis (C2–C7 ARA = −18.6° vs. +3.0°). Of note, the excessive kyphosis between C3–C6 had become lordotic (−3.0° vs. +14.8°).

**DISCUSSION**

This case illustrates the complete resolution of neck pain and restoration of cervical lordosis in a patient with mid-cervical kyphosis in 10-weeks.

Although the current patient had only had neck pain for 3 days, the cervical spine radiograph revealed a cervical kyphosis located at C3–C6. The patient reported ‘messing his neck up’ at a wedding while dancing. Since it is known that those with cervical misalignment who are subjected to trauma, for example, as in motor vehicle collisions are subject to more cervical spine damage,6, it is assumed this patient was predisposed to injuring his neck. Although not a typical injury, dancing can be very vigorous, and a kyphotic cervical spine rapidly moving in various directions could easily exert stress and strains onto the soft tissues (i.e. facet joints, discs, muscles) causing sufficient micro-trauma to initiate an acute neck pain episode.

Despite a particular treatment, the course of acute neck pain has traditionally been assumed to be self-limiting, however, there is evidence that acute neck pain often leads to chronic neck pain27. So despite our patient reporting neck pain relief after 2-weeks of treatment, care was continued to address the underlying cervical kyphotic deformity. Unfortunately, cervical kyphosis is often under-diagnosed, first, because many manual therapists do not take radiographs, and second, because medical radiologists erroneously state loss of lordosis is normal or completely omit cervical alignment information on radiology reports28.

Another long-term consequence of cervical kyphosis deformity is osteoarthritis. It has been demonstrated that a cervical kyphosis exerts 6–10 times the stresses onto the vertebral bodies versus when in the normal lordotic position29. This becomes the source of how degenerative changes may occur over the long-term via Wolff’s Law30, 31. It becomes obvious that the correction of a cervical kyphosis deformity should be a goal of treatment if diagnosed, regardless of initial complaint.

Limitations to this case include this being only a single patient, as well as there is no long-term follow-up. Multiple treatments were performed to rehabilitate the cervical lordosis, thus it is not known exactly which of the treatments (manipulations, exercises, or traction procedures) contributed to the correction of the cervical lordosis. However, other studies have substantiated that although exercises and manipulation have their benefits, the extension traction to the cervical spine results in the correction of the lordosis15–19. Future studies should seek to ascertain differences between correcting versus monitoring long-term follow-up of patients with cervical kyphosis.
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