5G and EMF Exposure: Misinformation, Open Questions, and Potential Solutions
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The massive deployment of advanced wireless networks is essential to support broadband connectivity, low latency communication, and Internet of Things applications. Nevertheless, in the time of coronavirus disease (COVID-19) there is a massive amount of misinformation and uncertainty about the impact of fifth-generation cellular network (5G) networks on human health. In this paper, we investigate the main categories of misinformation regarding 5G, i.e., fake theories, the misconception of 5G features, and open questions that require further research. Then, we propose two novel approaches for the design of electromagnetic field (EMF)-aware cellular networks that can reduce human exposure to radio frequency radiation.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The fifth-generation cellular network (5G) is endorsed by its support of high data rate communications for an increasing number of users. One solution to approach the key performance indicators of 5G is to adopt millimeter-waves (mm-waves) and install a massive number of base stations (BSs) and small cells, i.e., network densification. Recently, a large portion of the population is concerned about potential health impacts from being exposed to 5G radio frequency radiation (RFR) (Nyberg and Hardel, 2017). The arisen doubts about 5G can delay the deployment of 5G in some countries, leading to unfavorable economic impacts (Jones, 2020).

RFR is non-ionizing radiation that involves waves with smaller energy that cannot ionize the cells, thus preventing severe impacts on the exposed cells. Nevertheless, the electromagnetic field (EMF) can cause the molecules to vibrate, leading to a possible heating effect on the exposed tissues, i.e., thermal effect (Foster et al., 2017). In this regard, regulatory authorities such as International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP) and Federal Communications Commission (FCC) quantify the maximum allowable radio frequency (RF) exposure to limit the temperature rising of the exposed tissues (Robert Cleveland and Sylvan, 1997; ICNIRP, 1998; IEEE, 2005; ICNIRP, 2020). Regarding the non-thermal long-term exposure to 5G, there is a debate in the community about whether it has adverse health impacts. However, no adverse effects have been proven for exposure that is coherent with the regulatory limits, as shown in Section 2.3.1.

The doubt about 5G is induced by fake theories and hoaxes that are not based on any scientific evidence. Also, the misconception of 5G operational principles and the open questions, always associated with new technologies, can drive the population to overly perceive the health risks from...
5G exposure. One of these fake theories attribute coronavirus disease (COVID-19) spread to the EMF exposure from 5G. The massive number of hoaxes trigger the World Health Organization (WHO) to use the expression “infodemic” to describe the vast amount of misinformation regarding Covid-19, which can have severe impacts on the community (WHO, 2020a). For example, several sabotage actions have been committed toward 5G towers in some countries (BBC, 2020; Liverpool Echo, 2020). In the next section, we investigate some arguments that drive the fake theories from 5G networks.

### 2 MISINFORMATION AND OPEN QUESTIONS

In this section, we discuss the misinformation regarding 5G, which can be classified into two main groups: 1) fake theories and hoaxes and 2) misconception of 5G features. Also, some open questions requiring further research are investigated.

#### 2.1 Fake Theories and Hoaxes

Many fake and conspiracy theories have been circulating in the media regarding alleged adverse health impacts due to the EMF exposure from 5G. Several fake theories allege that human exposure to radiations from 5G BSs can spread the Covid-19 (Cellan-Jones, 2020; Temperton, 2020). Some examples of those fake theories are as follows.

- Cities that have started the deployment of 5G networks, e.g., Wuhan and Milan, have a wider spread of the virus compared to those employing legacy networks (Dailystar, 2020);
- The RFR from 5G severely interacts with the DeoxyriboNucleic Acid (DNA) of the cells in the lungs, leading to a deadly inflammation of lungs;
- The RFR from 5G weaken our immunity system, allowing the Covid19 to attack our bodies easily (Temperton, 2020);
- The Covid19 is a cover to embed microchips within the coronavirus vaccine to control humans via 5G (Goodman and Carmichael, 2020).

The fake theories and hoaxes reported above do not rely on any scientific evidence, and most of them are biologically impossible. For example, Covid19 has spread worldwide, mostly in cities that have not deployed 5G networks yet. Also, there is no proven interaction between the RFR and DNA of the cells. Moreover, 5G radiations do not affect the immune system because the radiation level is below the heating thresholds, defined by the well-established guidelines (Tuschl et al., 2006).

#### 2.2 Misconception of 5G Principal Concepts

In this part, we investigate the potential health impacts of 5G from a communication theory viewpoint. We focus on the novel features in 5G that trigger health concerns among the community, i.e., massive multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) and network densification.

##### 2.2.1 Massive MIMO

In 5G, the BS adopts MIMO and beamforming techniques, which have also been considered in 4G networks. However, there are two substantial differences compared to previous networks, i.e., higher maximum output power and dynamic pencil beamforming with a larger number of antenna elements. The maximum transmitted power by a 5G BS can reach up to 200 W, almost double the corresponding value for a 4G BS (Ericsson, 2018, 2013). This increase in power can trigger the population’s concern about potential health risks.

Before judging the EMF exposure, we recall that the radiation pattern of BS is different from the previous generation, where it is more dynamic and adaptable to the spatial and temporal traffic distribution. On the contrary, the radiation pattern of MIMO 4G BSs is almost static with fixed beams over the area. Since the radiation pattern with massive MIMO varies over time and space, traditional assessment of compliance procedures to quantify the exposure can be misleading. These classical methods rely on conservative assumptions, e.g., all the users are in the same location that coincides with the testing point. These assumptions overly estimate the exposure from 5G BSs, leading to a lower maximum allowable power and a larger exclusion zone (Baracca et al., 2018; Chiaraviglio et al., 2020c).

To accurately quantify the exposure from 5G BSs, we should adopt statistical assessment of compliance procedures to account for the traffic and users’ spatial distribution. In this regard, a statistical method to assess the exposure from 5G BSs is proposed in (Keller, 2019). Although the maximum radiated power in 5G is higher than that of 4G, the radiation intensity is 25% of the maximum exposure for 95% of the cases. Also, the size of the exclusion zone is estimated to be less than half the value predicted by non-statistical methods (Thors et al., 2017). Therefore, we should consider statistical models to provide a realistic estimate of the exposure levels instead of the pessimistic conventional approaches that can wrongly trigger dispensable fear among the population.

##### 2.2.2 5G Network Densification

In order to support an increasing number of users with higher data rates, installing additional BSs over the territory is essential, i.e., network densification. Therefore, the distance between the users and the nearest cell shrinks, increasing the perceived health impacts.

Unlike the common opinion, network densification can significantly reduce the average EMF exposure for a given required rate (Chiaraviglio et al., 2020a; Chiaraviglio et al., 2019). In fact, the cell coverage area is smaller for networks with higher BS density, requiring lower transmitted power. On the contrary, networks with fewer BSs exhibit a larger coverage area and a higher radiated power for each cell. Furthermore, dense networks are endowed with a homogeneous distribution of power over the territory; hence, the EMF exposure is distributed more uniformly among the population. For networks with smaller densities, users close to BSs are exposed to higher radiation than those at the edge. In other words, network densification reduces both the average and the variance of the exposure over the area compared to legacy networks (Chiaraviglio et al., 2020a, Chiaraviglio et al., 2020d).
2.3 Open Questions
One of the controversial aspects in the scientific community is the long-term non-thermal health impacts due to the exposure to non-ionizing RFR from cellular networks. The main reason is that there is not an established mechanism for inducing non-thermal severe health impacts. Nevertheless, some studies observe biological effects due to non-ionizing EMF exposure (Szmigielski et al., 1982; Chou et al., 1992; INTERPHONE Study Group, 2011; Larjavaara et al., 2011). In this regard, the International Agency on Research on Cancer (IARC) classified RFR as "Possibly carcinogenic to humans" (Group 2B) (IARC, 2011; IARC, 2013). Most of the studies investigating the biological impacts of RFR are animal-based experiments, where rats are exposed to RFRs to resemble the radiation from cellular networks. A massive number of animal-based experiments have been conducted to investigate the carcinogenic impacts of RFR (Wiktor-Jedrzejczak et al., 1980; Magras and Xenos, 1997; Lee et al., 2009; Hussein et al., 2016; Recordati et al., 2019; Yinhui et al., 2019). However, most of the studies have some methodological issues regarding the adequacy to investigate carcinogenic agents. For example, the duration of the studies, the number of animals, and the number of power intensity levels usually do not achieve the minimum requirements set in National Toxicology Program (NTP) and WHO to accurately judge the carcinogenicity of an agent (NTP, 2011; Giff et al., 2013). In the following, we investigate the potential health impacts of the exposure to RFR for frequencies below 6 GHz and in the mm-Wave band.

2.3.1 Non-thermal Health Impacts of RFR Below 6 GHz
Two recent studies by the NTP and Ramazzini Institute investigate potential biological impacts for the exposure to RFR with frequencies below 6 GHz. Both the experiments follow the adequacy guidelines in (National Toxicology Program, 2018a; National Toxicology Program, 2018b; Falciatori et al., 2018). In the NTP study, Sprague-Dawley rats were put in special chambers and exposed to RFR for several hours per day till nature death. The radio source generates modulated signals that mimic the signals produced by 2G and 3G networks. The considered center frequencies of the modulated signals are 900 and 1800MHz in (National Toxicology Program, 2018b) and (National Toxicology Program, 2018a), respectively. In (National Toxicology Program, 2018b), a malignant Schwannoma of the heart and a glioma of the brain were noticed in male rats exposed to high RFR intensity. Nevertheless, no statistically significant evidence for malignant tumors has been found in female rats in (National Toxicology Program, 2018b) and (National Toxicology Program, 2018a). Similarly, in (Falciatori et al., 2018), an increase in the number of male rats diagnosed with Schwannomas in the heart is noticed only for the highest EMF level.

Although the NTP and Ramazzini institute experiments follow the guidelines for investigating carcinogenicity (National Toxicology Program, 2018a; National Toxicology Program, 2018b; Falciatori et al., 2018), they have several issues in miming the radiation of 5G networks in realistic environments (Chiaraviglio et al., 2020b). In particular, the animals are exposed to significantly higher power intensities compared to the exposure from a real BS and a user equipment (UE). Also, the signal format, exposure distance, average transmitted power per day, and maximum radiated power are not well configured to simulate the actual EMF exposure from 5G equipment.

In summary, more experiments are needed to investigate the biological effects, if any, of RFR from 5G. The studies should consider a sufficient number of animals and a duration longer than two years. Moreover, the RF sources should generate signal formats and modulation techniques adopted in 5G with maximum and average transmitted power that results in EMF exposure miming that of 5G BSs and UE.

2.3.2 Communications in the Millimeter-Wave Band
Some of the population is concerned that human exposure to EMF with higher frequencies such as mm-Waves in 5G can have severe health impacts compared to lower frequencies, adopted in legacy networks. However, the penetration depth of mm-Waves inside the biological tissues is small, so the heating effect is mainly for the skin and eyes. In fact, the biological effects of mm-Waves have been investigated in several studies (Erwin and Hurt, 1981; Gandhi and Riazi, 1986; Riu et al., 1997; Kues et al., 1999; Ryan et al., 2000; Walters et al., 2000), albeit not in the context of 5G communications. The studies did not find adverse health impacts for mm-Wave exposure with levels below the guidelines (WHO, 2020).

Nevertheless, since 5G BSs operating in mm-Wave bands have not been widely deployed yet, more measurement studies and trials are needed to quantify the resulting exposure. Also, more bioassays should be conducted to investigate the non-thermal biological effects for the exposure to mm-Waves with configurations, e.g., the power intensity and frequency, that simulate the radiation from a real BS and/or UE.

3 PROPOSED EMF PRECAUTIONARY MEASURES
As aforementioned, there are no proven adversary health impacts due to the exposure to the radiation from 5G BSs, provided that the RFR adheres to the exposure guidelines. However, EMF-aware cellular system design is beneficial as a precautionary measure. In particular, cellular systems can be designed to preserve a given quality of service (QoS) in terms of the data rate and coverage while having minimal radiation. In this regard, two EMF-aware architectures for beyond 5G networks by exploiting emerging communication technologies are proposed. The first scheme considers the use of tethered unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs), while the second exploits a reconfigurable intelligent surface (RIS) in smart environments.

3.1 EMF-Aware Design With Tethered UAVs
The exposure to EMF is usually dominated by the uplink (UL) exposure, as the UE is close to the users (Chiaraviglio et al., 2020b). Hence, one possible solution to reduce the UL exposure is considering green antennas, which operate in receiving-only
mode. In this case, since the antennas are close to the user, the power transmitted from the UE and the UL exposure can be decreased. This is achieved by decoupling the downlink (DL) and UL, where all DL can be served by the macro cell BSs, while UL is fulfilled through green small cells (Ezri and Shilo, 2009). One possible issue is that once the green antennas are installed, they are fixed. Hence, these small cells cannot adapt to different users’ spatial distribution and traffic. UAVs carrying small cells can offer a solution for the non-flexible deployment of green antennas. Nevertheless, there are some possible issues associated with UAVs: 1) they have limited battery capacity, limiting the flight time, payload, and number of serving users; 2) they need a wireless backhaul, which can increase the EMF exposure; 3) there are safety and privacy concerns for the free navigation of UAVs, especially in restricted areas near airports.

In this regard, an architecture is proposed in (Lou et al., 2021) to alleviate some of the problems associated with traditional UAVs, mainly the limited flight time and high power consumption. Regarding the flight time, tethered UAVs that can be connected to a pre-installed ground station at the top of some buildings are proposed, as shown in Figure 1. The tethering cable provides both power and data required for the UAV operation and their backhauling with the core cellular network, respectively. The proposed scheme can simultaneously solve limited battery capacity, safety and privacy concerns, and escalation of exposure due to wireless backhauling via tethering the UAVs. Also, green (i.e., receiving only) antennas are considered for the UAVs to support users’ UL. Therefore, only the RF chain elements responsible for the UL are kept, reducing the power consumption. For instance, the RF chain does not necessitate having high power amplifiers, which are usually adopted for the DL to deliver a high amount of power. Those amplifiers have low power efficiency and consume considerable power, especially for higher frequency bands such as mm-Waves. For the EMF exposure and power consumption of the UE, the UAV locations are selected among the possible rooftops to minimize the exposure, and consequently the users’ transmitted power along with their power consumption, while preserving a minimum target data rate for the users. Also, the tethered length and position of UAVs within their hovering area over the buildings can be optimized to minimize the exposure. The proposed scheme allows reducing the exposure by more than 50% compared to legacy networks with only macro cells while achieving a target data rate for the users, as shown in the initial results obtained in (Lou et al., 2021).

### 3.2 EMF-Aware Design in Smart Environments

In order to support a high data rate, the adoption of mm-Wave communication is vital. Nevertheless, the Line-of-Sight (LoS) path can be easily obstructed by blockages for mm-Waves, leading to severe performance deterioration. Hence, the UE has to increase its transmission power to cope with the path loss, escalating their EMF exposure. A possible solution to this problem is considering a relay to divide the link into two LoS propagation channels. The first is between the BS and relay, while the second is from the relay to UE. Nevertheless, relays are active devices with RFR that can increase the EMF exposure. On the other hand, RISs themselves are semi-passive elements, as they do not have RF chains, but work as reflectors, reducing the power consumption. The only power needed for them is just to run the circuits that control the phase, which is negligible compared to the power needed for transmission, as in relays.

The EMF exposure can be minimized by exploiting a passive controllable scatterer, i.e., RIS (Ibraiwish et al., 2021), as in Figure 2. RISs are reflecting surfaces that can improve the wireless channel by focusing the signal toward the receiver to establish a LoS link for the UE, especially when the direct LoS paths between the devices and BS are blocked. In this regard, RISs have been considered to maximize the communication and localization performance, e.g., the rate, coverage, and energy
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**Figure 1** | The proposed EMF-aware cellular system design using tethered green UAVs for beyond 5G networks.
efficiency (Basar et al., 2019; Huang et al., 2019; Renzo et al., 2019; Elzanaty et al., 2020; Kishk and Alouini, 2020). In the proposed scheme in (Ibraiwish et al., 2021), the RIS phase profile and the beamformer at the BS are designed to minimize the population exposure to EMF quantified by an exposure index. The exposure index is a function of the transmitted power from the device and specific absorption rate (SAR) reference per unit transmission power, which depends on how the user grabs the UE among other factors. The scheme also necessitates achieving a target QoS in terms of required data rates for the users. The proposed architecture with optimized RIS phases can significantly reduce the users’ exposure, transmitted power, and power consumption. For instance, compared to schemes without RISs, a 60% reduction in the exposure index and a 30% increase in the data rate can be achieved, as shown in the initial work (Ibraiwish et al., 2021).

4 CONCLUSION

The fair from 5G among the population is mainly due to fake theories and misconceptions of novel 5G features. There are no scientifically proven adverse health impacts from the exposure to RFR with levels below those suggested by the guidelines. Nevertheless, more research is required on long-term non-thermal impacts for EMF exposure, especially for mm-Waves. The experiments should consider RF sources that mimic the radiation from BSs and UEs with realistic signal formats, exposure distance, carrier frequency, and radiation power. As a precautionary measure, EMF-aware architectures for cellular systems can be considered to minimize the RFR. In this regard, emerging techniques in communications for beyond 5G systems, e.g., UAVs and RISs, can reduce the EMF exposure and increase the QoS.
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