Seven-year retrospective study understanding the latent TB infection treatment cascade of care among adults in a low incidence country
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Abstract

Background: Prevention of TB is paramount to achieving elimination targets as recommended by the World Health Organization’s action framework for low incidence countries striving to eliminate TB. Although the rates of TB in Canada are low, understanding the latent TB infection (LTBI) cascade is paramount to identifying gaps in care and treatment barriers, thereby increasing the effectiveness of preventive strategies. The purpose of this study was to examine the LTBI cascade of care and identify barriers to treatment completion in adults referred from primary care to a regional tertiary care TB clinic in Ottawa, Canada.

Methods: Electronic medical records between January 2010 and December 2016 were reviewed retrospectively and an LTBI cascade of care was constructed from The Ottawa Hospital TB clinic and surrounding primary care clinics. A cohort of 2207 patients with untreated LTBI was used to ascertain the associations between demographic and clinical factors for both treatment non-initiation and non-completion using log-binomial univariable and multivariable regression models.

Results: Of 2207 patients with untreated LTBI who were seen in the clinic during the study period, 1771 (80.2%) were offered treatment, 1203 (67.9% of those offered) started treatment, and 795 (66.1% of those started) completed treatment. In multivariable analysis, non-initiation of treatment was associated with older age (adjusted risk ratio [aRR] 1.06 per 5-year increase, 95% CI: 1.03–1.08) and female gender (aRR 1.28, 95% CI: 1.11–1.47). Non completion of treatment was associated with referral from the TB Clinic back to the primary care team following initial consult (aRR 1.62, 95% CI: 1.35–1.94) and treatment with the standard of 9 months of Isoniazid (9H) compared to 4 months of Rifampin (4R) (aRR 1.45, 95% CI:1.20–1.74).

(Continued on next page)
Background

Tuberculosis (TB) remains one of the top 10 causes of death and the leading cause of death from a single infectious agent worldwide [1]. In 2018, the United Nations and World Health Organization (WHO) set forth a goal to end the TB epidemic by 2030 [2]. Despite efforts to reduce the burden of TB, 14.1 million individuals were treated for TB in 2018 and 2019 [1]. Perhaps more notably, the WHO estimates that 1.7 billion people have latent tuberculosis infection (LTBI) [3]. Individuals with LTBI have a 5 to 10% risk of developing active TB, furthering the spread of infection [4]. Prevention of TB is needed to reduce the disease burden and to achieve the WHO targets to end the TB epidemic by 2030 [2]. Interventions for TB prevention include infection control practices in health care facilities and congregate settings, vaccination of children with Bacille Calmette-Guérin (BCG) vaccine and, treatment of people with latent TB infection [2]. At the most recent United Nations high level meeting on TB in September of 2018 a target of 30 million people to be treated for LTBI in the next 5 years was set. Since the meeting, 6.3 million or 21% of the 5 year target of 30 million people have started on TB preventive treatment in 2018 and 2019 [1]. In Canada, the incidence of LTBI is largely unknown; unlike active TB, there is no mandatory reporting of individuals with LTBI [5]. Although the incidence of TB in Canada is low, the rates of tuberculosis remain high in Canadian born Indigenous and foreign born individuals [5]. If Canada is to achieve TB elimination, more effort is required in the prevention of TB in these specific populations.

Current treatments available for the prevention of TB include isoniazid and/or shorter rifamycin based regimens [6]. These regimens have been shown to decrease progression from LTBI to active disease by 69–93% [7–9]. Many challenges exist for health care practitioners supporting their patients in completing LTBI treatment such as patients not wanting to take treatment because they do not feel sick; duration and adverse events of treatments, contra-indications to treatment and gaps in patient and provider knowledge about the importance of LTBI treatment. Furthermore, fewer physicians are managing TB cases on a regular basis because TB incidence remains low in Canada and many other developed countries, which may result in a decline in clinical expertise and quality of care [10]. In Québec, primary care physicians initiated only 26% of LTBI treatments between 1998 and 2007 [11]. One way to understand the reasons behind either not starting or not completing preventive treatment is to measure patient retention across sequential stages of care, known as a cascade of care analysis [12–14]. The present study aimed to document the LTBI cascade of care to identify treatment initiation and completion rates and the corresponding barriers to treatment completion in adults being referred to a regional referral outpatient TB clinic over a seven-year period in Ottawa, Canada.

Methods

Study population and design

A retrospective study of patients with untreated LTBI who attended the Ottawa Hospital TB clinic between January 1, 2010 and November 30, 2016 was undertaken. Individuals were determined to have LTBI based on a positive tuberculin skin test (TST) or interferon gamma release assay (IGRA), as per the Canadian TB Standards [15]. In the province of Ontario, the TST remains the standard of care for diagnosing LTBI primarily because it is free to patients. The IGRA is available but requires patients to pay $95.00 (CAN) out of pocket and thus is not frequently used. In certain cases, patients had both a TST and an IGRA. This was an individualized decision made with the patient and the physician. Individuals were excluded from the study if they had active TB, non-tuberculous mycobacteria disease (NTM) or were previously treated for active TB or LTBI. Electronic medical records of individual patients were reviewed to determine if a patient was offered treatment, started treatment and completed treatment. Treatment completion was defined as the proportion of individuals who completed LTBI treatment among those who initiated treatment. Additional definitions used in the study can be found in the Appendix. Ethics approval was obtained from the Ottawa Health Sciences Network Research Ethics Board. Informed consent was waived by the Ottawa Health Science Network Research Ethics Board.
Study setting
The Ottawa TB clinic is located in a tertiary care center run by physicians that are specialists in Respirology, Infectious Diseases or Medical Microbiology. Individuals were referred to the TB clinic from primary care clinics throughout Eastern Ontario. If LTBI treatment was recommended, a monthly follow up visit was scheduled at the TB clinic until treatment completion. Patients obtained their medication from the pharmacy located at the hospital. In 2010, in order to shorten wait times for active TB cases, the TB clinic began sending patients back to the referring primary care team following the initial consultation with a detailed LTBI treatment plan for the primary care team (Appendix).

Analysis
Descriptive statistics were used to summarize patient characteristics, the proportion of patients completing each step of the LTBI cascade and the reasons for losses at each step. The primary outcome was the proportion of patients that completed LTBI therapy among those who initiated treatment.

Log-binomial univariable and multivariable regression models were used to estimate risk ratios (RR) to assess associations between demographic and clinical risk factors and both treatment non-initiation and non-completion. Predefined clinically important variables included in the treatment non-initiation model were age, sex, reason for referral to the TB clinic, and year of consultation. Variables included in the treatment non-completion model were age, sex, reason for referral to the TB clinic, year of consultation, treatment regimen, and referral back to primary care team for treatment completion. Chi-square analysis was performed to assess the adverse events that resulted in treatment stoppage between regimens. Statistical analysis was carried out using SAS software, version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA, 2017).

Results
Between January 1, 2010 and November 30, 2016, data was collected on 2207 individuals who were referred to the TB Clinic at the Ottawa Hospital for LTBI assessment. Table 1 shows that 56.9% were female with a median age of 42 (IQR 30–53). The main reason for referral to the TB Clinic was for employment screening (735/2207). Overall, Fig. 1 shows that among those who started treatment, 66.1% (795/1203) completed treatment. Table 2 describes the main reasons for cascade attrition. Of those who were not offered treatment, 54.1% had discordant results between the TST and IGRA and 27.5% were lost to follow up. Of those who did not accept treatment, 48.4% did not specify a reason and 29.0% were lost to follow up. Of those who did not complete treatment, 50.7% were lost to follow up and 22.8% had an adverse event to the medication. Of those that started treatment 7.7% had an adverse event that led to treatment being stopped. Figure 2 shows that of

Table 1 Demographic characteristics of patients (n = 2207 individuals) with consultation at the TB clinic between January 1, 2010 and November 30, 2016 by treatment location

| Characteristics                      | All patients (n = 2207) | Patients followed by TB Clinic (n = 1935) | Patients followed by Primary Care Team (n = 272) |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Males                                | 951 (43.1%)            | 826 (42.7%)                              | 125 (46.0%)                                   |
| Females                              | 1256 (56.9%)           | 1109 (57.3%)                             | 147 (54.0%)                                   |
| Age (years)a                         | 42 (IQR 30–53)         | 42.6 (15–90)                             | 42.1 (17–76)                                  |
| Median                               |                        |                                         |                                               |
| Mean (range)                         | 42.5 (15–90)           | 42.6 (15–90)                             | 42.1 (17–76)                                  |
| <18                                  | 16 (0.7%)              | 15 (0.8%)                                | 1 (0.4%)                                      |
| 18–65                                | 2002 (90.7%)           | 1751 (90.5%)                             | 251 (92.3%)                                   |
| >65                                  | 189 (8.6%)             | 169 (8.7%)                               | 20 (7.4%)                                     |
| Reason for referral to TB Clinic     |                        |                                         |                                               |
| Employment screening b               | 735 (33.3%)            | 634 (32.8%)                              | 101 (37.1%)                                   |
| Rule out active TB disease c         | 576 (26.1%)            | 516 (26.7%)                              | 60 (22.1%)                                    |
| Contact tracing d                    | 441 (20.0%)            | 388 (20.0%)                              | 53 (19.5%)                                    |
| Immunosuppressive therapy e          | 310 (14.0%)            | 255 (13.2%)                              | 55 (20.2%)                                    |
| Immigration screening f              | 145 (6.6%)             | 142 (7.3%)                               | 3 (1.1%)                                      |

aAge of the individual at the initial consultation. bRoutine TB screening required for employment or Health Science students who required LTBI screening for their program (i.e. medical students and nursing students). cIndividuals referred by another physician to rule out active TB disease. dPatient in contact with an active TB patient. eReferred before starting immunosuppressive therapy including (i.e. prior to biologics, dialysis, chemotherapy or transplant). fReferred following routine immigration screening
the 955 patients who started treatment at the TB Clinic, 683 patients completed treatment (71.5%) at the TB Clinic. In comparison, of the 248 patients who started treatment with the TB clinic, 112 completed treatment (45.2%) with the primary care team. Figure 3 shows the cascade attrition from the initial consultation appointment, of those screened for LTBI treatment 36% completed treatment. Table 3 shows, of those prescribed isoniazid, 407/685 (59.4%) completed treatment and of those prescribed rifampin, 384/511 (75.1%) completed treatment. The proportion of adverse events which resulted in treatment being stopped with rifampin (4R, 27/511 = 5.3%) compared with isoniazid (9H, 64/685 = 9.3%) was significantly lower (p-value 0.012). Figure 4 shows significant attrition within the primary care LTBI treatment cascade with many patients not coming for follow up visits (n = 32) or completing treatment (n = 47).

Table 4 shows that older age (adjusted risk ratio [aRR] 1.06 per 5-year increase, 95% CI: 1.03–1.08) and female sex (aRR 1.28, 95% CI: 1.11–1.47) were associated with treatment non-initiation in the adjusted multivariable model. Referral for contact tracing (aRR 0.71, 95% CI: 0.59–0.86) and referral prior to immunosuppressive therapy (aRR 0.30 95% CI (0.22–0.41)) compared to referral to rule out active tuberculosis were associated with increased initiation. When compared to the last year of consultation, several of the earlier years were associated with increased initiation. Table 5 shows that non
completion of treatment was associated with referral from the TB Clinic back to the primary care team (aRR 1.62, 95% CI: 1.35–1.94) and with treatment with 9H compared to 4R (aRR 1.45, 95% CI: 1.20–1.74).

**Discussion**

Our study shows that the transfer of patients on LTBI treatment back to primary care clinics was associated with a gap in the cascade that resulted in fewer patients
Fig. 2 LTBI cascade of care at the Ottawa Hospital TB Clinic – January 1, 2010 to November 30, 2016 for the TB clinic and primary care clinics. Details about reasons for losses within the cascade of care are outlined in Table 2. Percentages represent the percentage of patients remaining in the cascade compared to the previous step in the cascade. The patient and the primary care team decided against treatment recommendations that were offered at the TB Clinic.

Fig. 3 LTBI cascade of care at the Ottawa Hospital TB Clinic – January 1, 2010 to November 30, 2016. Percentages represent the percentage of patients remaining in the cascade compared to the number of individuals screened for LTBI treatment.
completing treatment. In keeping with a large randomized controlled trial (RCT) [16], the study also confirmed that in practice completion of LTBI treatment is dependent on the regimen used and favours using shorter regimens such as 4R when compared to 9H in the Canadian setting. The treatment completion rate in our cascade of care of 66% of all patients that started treatment (1203/2207) is comparable to a meta-analysis which demonstrated that among those that started treatment 61% completed it (all regimens combined) [13].

| Gender       | Attended LTBI Consult (n = 2207) | Started Treatment (n = 1203) | Completed Treatment (n = 795) | % completed that started treatment |
|--------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Male         | 951                              | 576                           | 395                          | 68.6%                             |
| Female       | 1256                             | 627                           | 400                          | 63.8%                             |

| Reason for referral | Attended LTBI Consult (n = 2207) | Started Treatment (n = 1203) | Completed Treatment (n = 795) | % completed that started treatment |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Employment and Health Science School Screen | 735                              | 351                           | 224                          | 63.8%                             |
| Rule out active TB  | 576                              | 266                           | 176                          | 66.1%                             |
| Contact            | 441                              | 277                           | 178                          | 64.3%                             |
| Immunosuppressive therapy | 310                              | 227                           | 156                          | 68.7%                             |
| Immigration Surveillance | 145                              | 82                            | 61                           | 74.4%                             |

| LTBI Treatment | Attended LTBI Consult (n = 2207) | Started Treatment (n = 1203) | Completed Treatment (n = 795) | % completed that started treatment |
|----------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Isoniazid      | -                                | 685                           | 407                          | 59.4%                             |
| Rifampin       | -                                | 511                           | 384                          | 75.1%                             |
| Moxifloxacin   | -                                | 5                             | 3                            | 60.0%                             |
| Isoniazid and rifampin | -                                | 1                             | 1                            | 100%                             |
| Unknown        | -                                | 1                             | 0                            | 0%                                |

Table 3 Latent tuberculosis treatment completion rates stratified on reason for consultation at the TB clinic, gender and TB treatment selected for all individuals (n = 2207)

Fig. 4 Information obtained from the primary care team on LTBI Treatment (n = 272). Information from the primary care team on individuals who were offered latent tuberculosis treatment at the Ottawa TB Clinic and subsequently followed for the duration of the treatment by their primary care team. Reasons treatment was not offered, not accepted, not started and not completed is captured in the Fig. 1.
addition, factors associated with lower rates of treatment initiation were female sex and older age which are consistent with prior studies [17–20]. Older age is associated with increased adverse events with 9H which might explain the reluctance to initiate treatment.

Barriers to non-initiation of LTBI treatment were identified in our study. Strategies to address treatment non-initiation could include providing patients with educational resources prior to the initial consultation to increase understanding of LTBI and treatment. In addition, phone call reminders, expanding clinic hours, and offering telephone or virtual appointments may facilitate follow up and subsequent treatment for patients who cannot attend clinics in person.

The major barrier to completion of treatment identified in this study was the patient transfer back to the primary care team following TB clinic recommendations. The TB clinic had implemented a transfer policy to improve wait times to assess patients with active TB disease. Despite a detailed letter (Appendix) sent to primary care clinics to facilitate the transfer of care, completion rates in this group were poor compared to the TB clinic (45.2% compared to 71.5%, aRR 1.62, 95% CI: 1.35–1.94). Many patients were lost to follow up before ever seeing their primary care provider to continue LTBI treatment. Losses occurring at this level of the cascade point to the need for programmatic changes such as reminder emails or phone calls from the clinic to the patient, reminder emails to the primary care teams to arrange follow up, and possibly expanded clinic times or virtual appointments to accommodate for patient follow up appointments [21]. As TB rates continue to decrease across Canada and other low TB burden countries, there is less TB expertise among clinicians. A Canadian study done in Ontario demonstrated that physician experience was associated with a decrease in all-cause mortality among patients being treated for active TB disease [10]. Furthermore, a study between 1998 and 2007, showed that TB patients that started treatment with a primary care physician were less likely to complete treatment when compared to specialist clinics in the province of Québec [11]. TB education sessions to refresh primary care providers’ knowledge about newer, shorter regimens may assist in improving completion rates. In addition, mandatory reporting of the diagnosis of LTBI to local public health departments could improve the completion rates by allowing public health teams to follow up with primary care clinics and patients. As a result of this study, our clinic has stopped referring patients back to primary care during the treatment course until we can develop a better system to ensure completion however this will inevitably drive up wait times for active TB cases. Further

**Table 4** Risk ratios for non-initiation of treatment by demographic and clinical characteristics among individuals seen at the TB Clinic between January 2010 to December 2016. Risk ratios marked with an asterisk (*) are statistically significant. CI= confidence interval

| Potential Risk Factors                  | Non-initiators/category total (%) | Unadjusted risk ratio (95% CI) | Adjusted risk ratio (95% CI) |
|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Age, years (per 5-year increase)       | -                                | 1.03 (1.00-1.05)               | 1.06 (1.03-1.09)*           |
| Sex                                    |                                  |                                |                            |
| Male                                   | 213/789 (27.0%)                  | Reference                      | Reference                   |
| Female                                 | 355/982 (36.2%)                  | 1.34 (1.16-1.54)*              | 1.28 (1.11-1.47)*           |
| Reason for referral                    |                                  |                                |                            |
| Rule out active TB                     | 180/446 (40.4%)                  | Reference                      | Reference                   |
| Employment screen                      | 162/430 (37.7%)                  | 0.93 (0.79-1.10)               | 0.90 (0.76-1.06)            |
| Health science school screen           | 42/125 (33.6%)                   | 0.83 (0.63-1.09)               | 0.84 (0.64-1.11)            |
| Contact                                | 106/383 (27.7%)                  | 0.68 (0.56-0.85)*              | 0.71 (0.59-0.86)*           |
| Immunosuppressive therapy              | 36/263 (13.7%)                   | 0.34 (0.24-0.47)*              | 0.30 (0.22-0.41)*           |
| Immigration surveillance               | 42/124 (33.9%)                   | 0.84 (0.64-1.10)               | 0.88 (0.68-1.15)            |
| Year of consultation                   |                                  |                                |                            |
| 2010                                   | 68/259 (26.3%)                   | 0.64 (0.49-0.83)*              | 0.66 (0.52-0.85)*           |
| 2011                                   | 70/269 (26.0%)                   | 0.64 (0.49-0.82)*              | 0.65 (0.51-0.84)*           |
| 2012                                   | 76/235 (32.3%)                   | 0.79 (0.62-1.01)               | 0.80 (0.63-1.01)            |
| 2013                                   | 80/252 (31.7%)                   | 0.78 (0.61-0.99)*              | 0.78 (0.62-0.98)*           |
| 2014                                   | 101/289 (34.9%)                  | 0.85 (0.68-1.07)               | 0.86 (0.69-1.05)            |
| 2015                                   | 81/242 (33.5%)                   | 0.82 (0.65-1.04)               | 0.88 (0.71-1.11)            |
| 2016                                   | 92/225 (40.9%)                   | Reference                      | Reference                   |

*Model included age, sex, reason for referral to the TB clinic, and year of consultation
Another major barrier to treatment completion identified in this study was the choice of treatment regimen. The two main regimens offered at this clinic during the study period were rifampin for 4 months (4R) and isoniazid for 9 months (9H). An open-label non-inferiority RCT comparing 4R and 9H showed increased completion rates in individuals prescribed 4R (78.8%) compared to those prescribed 9H (63.2%) [16]. Our study demonstrated comparable completion rates (75% completion with 4R). Similarly to this RCT, our study showed that adverse events that resulted in treatment non-completion were significantly less with 4R compared to 9H. Although, the baseline proportion of adverse events leading to non-completion in our study were higher than in the published RCT (4R, 27/511, 5.3% vs 9H, 64/685, 9.3%, p value = 0.012 compared to 4R, 2.6% vs 9H, 5.4% in the RCT) [16]. This finding likely reflects clinical practice before the publication of the 2018 study by Menzies et al. and may have occurred because the clinicians using 4R did not have as much experience using the regimen. Overall, shorter regimens have been shown to increase completion rates [8], which highlights an opportunity to implement even shorter rifamycin based regimens including once-weekly isoniazid-rifapentine 3 months regimen (3HP) or daily isoniazid-rifapentine for 1 month (1HP) to further increase completion rates [22].

Of note, 33.3% of LTBI patients were referred following employment screening likely due to referral of healthcare workers following routine screening undertaken for all employees at our large tertiary care centre during the study period. Recent data suggest a low risk of reactivation amongst TST positive healthcare workers [23, 24]. Given this, there has since been a shift in our hospital policy such that annual LTBI screening is reserved for high-risk individuals. However, this policy change occurred after the study period and so is not reflected in our data.

### Table 5

Risk ratios for non-completion of treatment among individuals who started treatment for latent tuberculosis infection at the TB Clinic between January 2010 to December 2016. Risk ratios marked with an asterisk (*) are statistically significant. CI= confidence interval

| Potential Risk Factors | Non-completion / category total (%) | Unadjusted risk ratio (95% CI) | Adjusted risk ratio (95% CI) |
|------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Age, years (per 5-year increase) | - | 0.99 (0.97-1.02) | 1.00 (0.97-1.03) |
| Sex | | | |
| Male | 181/576 (31.4%) | Reference | Reference |
| Female | 227/627 (36.2%) | 1.15 (0.98-1.35) | 1.13 (0.97-1.32) |
| Reason for referral | | | |
| Rule out active TB | 90/266 (33.8%) | Reference | Reference |
| Employment screen | 100/268 (37.3%) | 1.10 (0.88-1.39) | 1.01 (0.81-1.26) |
| Health science school screen | 27/83 (32.5%) | 0.96 (0.67-1.37) | 0.87 (0.62-1.22) |
| Contact | 99/277 (35.7%) | 1.06 (0.84-1.33) | 1.00 (0.80-1.24) |
| Immunosuppressive therapy | 71/227 (31.3%) | 0.92 (0.71-1.19) | 0.90 (0.70-1.16) |
| Immigration surveillance | 21/82 (25.6%) | 0.76 (0.50-1.13) | 0.90 (0.60-1.35) |
| Year of consultation | | | |
| 2010 | 49/191 (25.7%) | 0.71 (0.51-0.99)* | 0.84 (0.60-1.18) |
| 2011 | 57/199 (28.6%) | 0.79 (0.58-1.09) | 0.91 (0.66-1.26) |
| 2012 | 60/159 (37.7%) | 1.04 (0.77-1.41) | 1.08 (0.81-1.44) |
| 2013 | 56/172 (32.6%) | 0.90 (0.66-1.23) | 0.90 (0.67-1.22) |
| 2014 | 78/188 (41.5%) | 1.15 (0.87-1.52) | 1.10 (0.84-1.44) |
| 2015 | 60/161 (37.2%) | 1.03 (0.76-1.40) | 1.02 (0.77-1.36) |
| 2016 | 48/133 (36.1%) | Reference | Reference |
| Treatment regimen | | | |
| Isoniazid (9INH) | 278/685 (40.6%) | Reference | Reference |
| Rifampin (4R) | 127/511 (24.9%) | 0.61 (0.51-0.73)* | 0.69 (0.58-0.84)* |
| Moxifloxacin | 2/5 (40.0%) | 0.98 (0.33-2.89) | 1.15 (0.38-3.45) |
| Referral back to primary care team | 136/248 (54.8%) | 1.92 (1.65-2.24)* | 1.59 (1.33-1.91)* |

*Model included age, sex, reason for referral to the TB clinic, year of consultation, treatment regimen, and referral back to primary care team for treatment completion
Strengths of this study include that the Ottawa TB clinic reflects the real-world experience of many other specialized TB clinics in large, urban centers. A long timeframe for analysis (seven years) among a large population of individuals at a specialized tertiary care clinic in a low burden country allowed for detailed analysis of the LTBI treatment cascade of care. The retrospective design of this study limits the ability to understand the reasons the decisions made by clinicians and patients, especially when lost to follow up. Data collection was limited to electronic chart review based on clinician documentation. We did not have consistent information on other possible confounders such as socioeconomic status, comorbidities and also the experience of the primary care teams in treating LTBI. Treatment adherence was assessed based on patient follow up at the TB Clinic and not by number of doses ingested however this does represent standard practice in a low burden TB setting. Information on treatment course for patients referred to their primary care team after being offered treatment at the TB Clinic was available for 74% of patients.

Conclusions
Effective treatment of LTBI is a central pillar in lowering the rates of TB worldwide as we move towards TB elimination. In low incidence countries like Canada examining the LTBI cascade to improve completion of TB prevention treatments can assist with this goal. In this study, transfer of patients on LTBI treatment back to the primary care clinics was identified as leading to a major gap in the cascade that resulted in decreased rates of treatment completion. Primary care has a central role to play in the prevention of TB and further research is needed to look at ways of expanding its role in the context of specialty TB clinics, particularly in urban centers in Canada. Use of shorter rifamycin based regimens like 4R improved the number of people who completed LTBI treatment.
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