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ABSTRACT

The temporary ponds at Ferlo constitute wetlands whose pastoral activity is of paramount importance in the economy of Senegal. These zones are characterized by a fairly specific microclimate (soft and humid), a shallow depth of the water table, alternating phases of submersion and exudation whose functioning is linked to the soil. Thus the restoration and conservation of these wetlands requires a good knowledge of the soil factors. The objective of this study is to compare the physico-chemical parameters of the soil around temporary pools in grazed and ungrazed areas and different topographical units in order to determine their relative similarities.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: mariama-dalanda.diallo@ugb.edu.sn;
The samples taken at different horizons from seven soil pits (P₁, P₂, P₃, P₄, P₅, P₆, and P₇) opened in the different morpho-pedological facies of two grazed ponds and one ungrazed pond were analyzed in the laboratory. The results obtained show that the soils of Ferlo have a sandy texture and low fertility in the slopes and plateaus. However, they have a balanced texture and average fertility in ponds and ungrazed areas. The factorial analysis made it possible to highlight three distinct soil classes. A class of sandy texture soil with very low chemical fertility comes from the plateau. A class of soil with a balanced texture which is rich in cation and calcium exchange capacity found in the low zones of strong mineralization. A last class rich in chemical element with a silty texture which is found in wooded areas. These results thus reveal the predominant influence of anthropic and topographic factors on the evolution and physico-chemical composition of the soil.
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1. **INTRODUCTION**

At the Ferlo, the temporary pools constitute wetlands where pastoral activity is of capital importance in the economy of Senegal. These areas are characterized by a rather particular microclimate (mild and humid), a shallow water table, alternating phases of submersion and exeronation among others. They provide livestock with water and food during the entire rainy season thanks to the possibilities of watering and the fragmentation of the pastoral load [1,2] due to the optimal exploitation of the resulting fodder resources [3,4,5]. These ponds, located at the interface between the aquatic and terrestrial environment, are exceptionally rich in ecological diversity and teeming with life [6]. They are thus living and breeding grounds for a diverse, abundant and often rare flora [7].

Given the importance of ponds for human activities and animal and plant biodiversity, several research projects have been carried out to date: Mapping the location of ponds [8], study of the role that ponds play in rangelands [9], their role in conserving biodiversity and the living environment of pastoral populations [10], a classification of ponds [11], analysis of the role of ponds in the management of Sahelian pastoral systems in the Ferlo [1] and a study of health risks in the Ferlo in relation to pond water [12] among others.

However, these ponds, which occupy the most forested areas in the Ferlo, have not been spared by the series of persistent droughts and increasing anthropisation. This has resulted in the disappearance of the ponds’ open water layer and its replacement by wet afforestation. Thus, it would be interesting, in order to better understand the functioning of these fragile ecosystems, to proceed with their ecological characterization. It is clear that good management of space or land can only be conceived on the basis of a good knowledge of soils and their properties.

While work on the edaphic factors of ponds is lacking, this study aims to characterize and evaluate the physico-chemical parameters of the soil under the influence of anthropogenic and topographic gradients (toposequence) in two areas, one grazed and one ungrazed. The work is based on the hypothesis that ponds remain the areas richest in mineral elements. The latter are also subject to topographic and anthropogenic factors.

2. **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

2.1 **Presentation of the Study Area**

The study was carried out in the center of Ferlo, in northern Senegal at the WIDOU THIENGOLY station (15°58’30” N and 15°17’90”W) over the period from August 2018 to September 2019. The climate is of the arid Sahelian type, characterized by the alternation of a long dry season which lasts nine months (from October to June) and a short rainy season of three months (from July to September) [13] With the current situation marked by a drop in rainfall, the station is between 300 and 400 mm isohyets. The rainfall varies from year to year with an average of 371.67 mm over the past fifty years (rainfall data from the weather station of the “Center for Zoo-technical Research in Dahra” [14]. The average temperature hovers around 27.73°C[15].

The relief of the study area is little uneven with tropical and brown-red subarid soils formed of sandy materials poor in clay [15]. The absence of uneven reliefs limits the development of the hydrographic network which shows no trace of organized flow. It is characterized in the rainy...
season by the presence of a multitude of small endorheic ponds.

The vegetation is in the form of a shrubby to treed steppe. It is a mixed formation of thick, usually thorny trees and shrubs and a discontinuous mat of annual species dominated by grasses during the rainy season [16]. The tree layer is marked by the strong presence of *Balanites aegyptiaca* L. Del. Which sometimes constitutes mono-specific stands by the presence of *Acacia tortilis* var. raddiana Forst. And *Acacia senegal* L. while the shrub layer consists of *Calotropis procera* Ait. And *Boscia senegalensis* Pers. As for the herbaceous layer, species such as *Alysicarpus ovalifolius* Schumach. & Thonn, *Aristida mutabilis* Trin. & Rupr, *Cenchrus bifloris* Roxb, *Digitaria horizontalis* Willd, *Eragrostis tremula* Lam. Hochst. Ex Steud, *Schoenefeldia gracilis* Kunth, *Tribulus terrestris* Auct and *Zornia glochidiata* Rchb. ex DC are the most frequent [17,18].

### 2.2 The Choice of Ponds

The choice of ponds is based on two essential criteria: the type of activity developed since the ponds are used differently [1] and the type of development. On this basis, three ponds were chosen: WENDOU MARODI or lions pond, BELEL SOW and BELEL LOUGAL ponds or hole pond (artisanal surface wells) (Fig. 1).

- **WENDOU MARODI** is a pond where the degree of anthropisation is very low. It has been closed for decades to house the activities of the German project (the Senegalese German Reforestation Project for the North Zone aimed to restore and enhance the environment through large-scale reforestation programs) [19]. In 2018 the fence was reinforced by the Great Green Wall project.
- **BELEL SOW** is a private pond belonging to an old man from the village of Belel Sow. It is very protected but anthropogenic action is very regular since the owner has a large livestock.
- **BELEL LOUGAL** was dug by the local population to exploit the water of a small alluvial water table. It is a grazed pond, of course, but the accessibility to animals is very limited by the holes.

![Fig. 1. Map showing the location of ponds and soil profile](image-url) Numerical data used to construct the map were 28 obtained from the centre of ecological monitoring, Dakar, Senegal
2.3 Field Data Collection

Soil pits have been dug in the different geomorphological units of the three ponds. The sections are wide enough and deep enough to identify the soil horizons and their lateral variations (Photo 1). These soil pits were dug along transverse transects at inter-dune depressions, at 3 easily identifiable points on the ground: 1) in the lower part of the paleo-dune, 2) in the interface zone between the paleo dune and the pond (edge of the pond) and 3) in the bottom of the pond.

To carry out our field studies, we proceeded as follows:

- Identification of the different geomorphological units and positioning of the soil profiles to be studied (these profiles are geo-referenced);
- Digging of soil pits and the identification of the different horizons of the soil (H₀, H₁, H₂, H₃ and H₄) and their lateral variations (Photo 1a);
- Description of the profiles by presenting for each delimited horizon, its color, its structure (presence or absence of aggregates, their shape, their dimensions and their sharpness), its consistency, its degree of humidity and the transition with the next layer. The immediate environment of the profile (Photo 1b) which are important in the description (the information around the profile) has been recorded on the soil description sheet;
- Soil sampling: representative samples were taken and served as a support for laboratory analyzes (texture, soil pH, soil mineral elements among others). They are made from the bottom horizon to the top to avoid mixing particles.

2.4 Physicochemical Analyses in the Laboratory

The study carried out in the laboratory consists of sample preparation operations for the measurement of physico-chemical parameters. The analyses were carried out at the Senegalese Institut of Agricultural Research (ISRA) at the Saint-Louis in February 2019. It involved 27 soil samples for the determination of granulometry, hydrogen potential (pH) and electrical conductivity (EC) and 36 soil samples for other chemical elements.

Particle size is obtained by the sieving method for coarse elements and by densimetry with a Robinson pipette for fine elements; pH and (EC) were obtained from soil suspensions with soil/water ratios of 1/2.5 and 1/10 respectively. Acidity and salinity were judged according to the standards of Ndiaye and Bocoum [14,20].

Photo 1. Soil profile and profile environment of the transition zone (Lions’ pond slope)
The carbon determination is done by the modified Black & Wakley method. The organic carbon is oxidized with a mixture of 1N potassium dichromate (K$_2$Cr$_2$O$_7$) and concentrated sulphuric acid (H$_2$SO$_4$) d=1.84.

Nitrogen mineralization was carried out in the presence of concentrated sulphuric acid (H$_2$SO$_4$ at 18N). Salicylic acid (C$_7$H$_6$O$_3$), hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$) and selenium powder as catalyst (the Kjeldhal method). Assimilable phosphorus is obtained by the original Olsen method modified according to Dabin. The soil is leached with an ammonium acetate solution to have the cation exchange capacity. The amount of ammonium retained by the soil after washing off the excess ammonium acetate is an estimate of the cation exchange capacity (CEC). The retained ammonium is released by percolation and is determined by Spectrophotometer.

The exchangeable bases (Na, K, Ca, and Mg) will be determined in the first percolate by atomic absorption. The organic matter (OM), the C/N ratio and the base saturation rate (T = sum of exchangeable bases/CEC) are deducted by calculation.

### 2.5 Data Processing

The results obtained after the laboratory analysis were entered and processed on R Markdown from R studio, an open source software [21] and Microsoft Excel. Principal component analysis and classification allowed for the grouping of physicochemical parameters that are more correlated since the variables are not independent and correlate with each other [22]. A row matrix of the 27 horizons of the 7 soil profiles described and a column matrix of the 14 physicochemical parameters measured were used for this analysis.

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1 Physicochemical Characteristics of Soils

##### 3.1.1 Hydrogen potential (pH) and electrical conductivity (EC)

Fig. 2 illustrates the variation in the Hydrogen Potential (pH) of the horizons in the different topographic units around the three ponds studied soil pH at the plateau level varies from 6.52 to 8.06. With the exception of the last horizon of the hole pond which is slightly acidic (6.52) and the H3 and H4 horizons of the Belel Sow pond which are neutral (between 6.7 and 7.2), the plateau horizons have a pH ranging from slightly alkaline (between 7.3 and 7.9) to alkaline (between 8 and 8.5). The pH of the slope soils ranges from 6.06 to 7.9; all the horizons of the lion pond have a slightly alkaline pH, while most of the horizons of the other ponds have a slightly acidic to neutral pH. At the level of the ponds, the pH of the soils varies from 6.28 to 7.55; almost all the horizons have a slightly acidic to neutral pH. The difference between water pH and KCl pH ranges from 1.45 to 2.31 for the plateaus, 1.28 to 2.01 for the slopes and 1.06 to 2 for the ponds.

Fig. 3 shows the change in electrical conductivity (EC) as a function of the horizons of the different profiles. The electrical conductivity of the soils of the different topographical units varies from 10 to 38.8 μS/cm on the plateaus; from 17.43 to 142.9 μS/cm on the slopes and from 15.5 to 70.1 μS/cm on the ponds. This translates that the soil of our study area is generally non-saline (Fig. 3). However, these soils present higher electrical conductivities at the level of the first horizons. The highest value is found on the slope of the lion pond.

##### 3.1.2 Granulometry

The soil on the plateau is 84% sand (Fig. 4). They have a sandy texture on all the horizons obtained, whereas the soil on the slopes is characterized by a clayey texture on the first horizons of the hole pond and the Belel Sow pond and a sandy-silt texture on the deep horizons. Similarly, the texture of the first horizons of the pond profiles is clayey and the texture of the deep horizons is sandy (Table 1). However, the ponds and slopes have a balanced texture, successively silty-clay-sandy and silty-sandy (Fig. 4).

Fig. 5 shows the difference in texture between grazed and ungrazed areas in our Study Area. For example, the texture of the surface horizons of the grazed areas is sandy while the texture of the surface horizons of the ungrazed areas is balanced.
3.2 Variation of Soil Chemical Parameters as a Function of the Different Topographical Units of the Ponds

Cation exchange capacity (CEC), carbon content (C), C/N ratio, organic matter (OM) content, nitrogen (N) content, assimilable phosphorus (Pass) and exchangeable bases (Sodium (Na+), Potassium (K+), Calcium (Ca2+) and Magnesium (Mg2+)) are essential mineral elements that provide information on soil chemical fertility. These elements all have a potential impact on soil evolution (Table 2). The average cation exchange capacity (CEC) varies between 11.04 to 11.41 meq/100 g in ponds; 6.14 to 10.01 meq/100 g on slopes (versus) and 5.11 to 7.28 meq/100 g on plateau. The CEC is low in grazed areas (ponds at hole and Belel Sow ponds) except for the bottom of the pond and average in ungrazed areas (Lion ponds). Carbon and organic matter contents vary according to the ponds and topographical units. The highest average carbon and organic matter contents were found in the lion pond (0.48% and 0.83%) and the lowest in the Belel Sow pond plateau (0.2% and 0.35%). The soils studied are very poor in nitrogen. Average contents vary between 0.02 and 0.04%. The highest nitrogen levels were observed on the surface horizons. Like the carbon and organic matter contents of the soils, the nitrogen rate decreases at depth and the highest rates were observed from the lion pond (0.04%). The average carbon to nitrogen (C/N) ratio varies between 11.02 and 16.19. Almost all the topographical units studied in the grazed area have a high average degree of mineralization, whereas that of the lion pond is normal.

Assimilable phosphorus contents are very low in the soil samples from the plateau. They are very high in the superficial horizons. They decrease with depth and are higher in the lion pond (ungrazed zone) (19.41 ppm).

The soils in our study area have low exchangeable base contents (less than 5.39 meq/100 g). The average calcium content dominates largely followed by those of magnesium and potassium and by far sodium. The proportions of exchangeable bases are very low on the plateaus and slopes, except for calcium and sodium, the highest average contents of which are observed on the slope of the lion pond (Ca2+: 5.39 meq/100 g) and on the plateau of the hole pond (Ca2+: 0.09 meq/100 g).

3.3 Spatial Variation of Soils

The results of the principal component analysis show that the first two axes (Dim1 and Dim2) account for 56.09% of the initial information. This is sufficient for a good interpretation and that most of the analysis can be done in the plan obtained by crossing these two axes (Table 3).

Fig. 2. Variation of the pH of the different profiles according to horizons and ponds
Fig. 3. Variation of the electrical conductivity of the different profiles as a function of horizons and ponds

Fig. 4. Variation in the rate of mineral particles (sands, clays and silts) in the soil of different topographic units

Fig. 5. Variation in the level of mineral particles (sands, clays and silts) in the soil of grazed and ungrazed areas
Table 1. Soil texture of the different horizons according to geomorphological units and ponds

| Pond with hole | Versus Plateau | Lions’ pond Versus Plateau | Belel SOW pond Versus Plateau |
|----------------|----------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| H1 Sable-Limoneous | Sandy clay Sableuse | Sandy clay Sable-Limoneous Sableuse | Sandy clay Sableuse |
| H2 Sable-Limoneous | Sable-Limoneous Sableuse | Sable-Limoneous Sableuse Sable-Limoneous Sableuse |
| H3 Sable-Limoneous | Sable-Limoneous Sableuse | Sandy clay Clayeysand Sable-Limoneous Sableuse |
| H4 Sableuse | - Sableuse | Clayeysand Clayeysand Sable-Limoneous Sableuse |

Table 2. Some statistical parameters according to the geomorphological units of the different ponds

| Ponds | Relief units | Statistics | Organic elements | Exchangeable bases(meq/100g of soil) |
|-------|--------------|------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|
|       |              |            | CEC  | C     | C/N  | MO   | N    | Pass | Na⁺  | K⁺   | Ca²⁺ | Mg²⁺ |
| Pond athole Plateau | Minimum | 5.44 | 0.210 | 11.12 | 0.360 | 0.01 | 1.05 | 0.038 | 0.05 | 1.60 | 0.87 |
|       | Maximum     | 8.90 | 0.38 | 21.89 | 0.63 | 0.03 | 2.89 | 0.16 | 0.97 | 2.12 | 2.12 |
|       | Average     | 7.28 | 0.26 | 16.19 | 0.45 | 0.02 | 2.12 | 0.09 | 0.29 | 1.93 | 1.26 |
|       | Difference Average | 1.31 | 0.05 | 3.90 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.58 | 0.03 | 0.27 | 0.18 | 0.39 |
| Versus (slopes) | Minimum | 4.36 | 0.20 | 12.25 | 0.35 | 0.02 | 1.66 | 0.03 | 0.11 | 1.44 | 1.04 |
|       | Maximum     | 11.68 | 0.81 | 18.76 | 1.39 | 0.06 | 12.78 | 0.12 | 0.24 | 2.62 | 2.51 |
|       | Average     | 6.64 | 0.30 | 14.84 | 0.52 | 0.03 | 7.03 | 0.07 | 0.19 | 2.23 | 1.95 |
|       | Difference Average | 1.59 | 0.12 | 2.16 | 0.20 | 0.01 | 3.22 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.32 | 0.40 |
| Pond | Minimum | 6.80 | 0.18 | 9.14 | 0.31 | 0.02 | 0.80 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 1.73 | 0.66 |
|       | Maximum     | 15.14 | 1.01 | 17.51 | 1.74 | 0.08 | 12.96 | 0.12 | 0.37 | 4.29 | 4.84 |
|       | Average     | 11.04 | 0.36 | 11.80 | 0.62 | 0.03 | 2.90 | 0.06 | 0.11 | 3.12 | 2.69 |
|       | Difference Average | 2.09 | 0.15 | 1.32 | 0.26 | 0.01 | 1.87 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.64 | 1.10 |
| Belel Sow Plateau | Minimum | 4.12 | 0.180 | 9.730 | 0.310 | 0.020 | 0.440 | 0.038 | 0.004 | 1.372 | 1.540 |
| Ponds | Maximum     | 5.84 | 0.33 | 14.13 | 0.57 | 0.03 | 3.70 | 0.11 | 0.19 | 2.00 | 2.95 |
|       | Average     | 5.11 | 0.20 | 11.02 | 0.35 | 0.02 | 1.52 | 0.07 | 0.09 | 1.71 | 2.38 |
|       | Difference Average | 0.67 | 0.02 | 0.96 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.53 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.17 | 0.44 |
| Versus (slopes) | Minimum | 4.17 | 0.24 | 9.10 | 0.42 | 0.02 | 0.70 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 2.21 | 0.16 |
|       | Maximum     | 8.19 | 0.48 | 14.17 | 0.82 | 0.05 | 6.57 | 0.11 | 0.74 | 3.09 | 1.29 |
|       | Average     | 6.14 | 0.28 | 12.26 | 0.48 | 0.02 | 2.24 | 0.04 | 0.21 | 2.71 | 0.74 |
|       | Difference Average | 1.05 | 0.04 | 0.98 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 1.45 | 0.03 | 0.21 | 0.20 | 0.41 |
| Ponds             | Relief units | Statistics | Organic elements | Exchangeable bases (meq/100g of soil) |
|-------------------|--------------|------------|------------------|---------------------------------------|
|                   |              |            | CEC | C   | C/N | MO | N  | Pass | Na | K  | Ca²⁺ | Mg²⁺ |
| Lions Versus (slopes) | Minimum   | 7.45 | 0.27 | 8.32 | 0.46 | 0.02 | 1.23 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 4.34 | 0.05   |
|                   | Maximum     | 11.02 | 0.76 | 12.75 | 1.31 | 0.09 | 14.10 | 0.12 | 0.33 | 7.01 | 1.12   |
|                   | Average     | 10.01 | 0.32 | 11.14 | 0.55 | 0.03 | 2.37 | 0.07 | 0.12 | 5.39 | 0.70   |
|                   | Difference Average | 0.79 | 0.07 | 1.24 | 0.12 | 0.01 | 1.81 | 0.03 | 0.11 | 0.81 | 0.33   |
| Lions Pond        | Minimum     | 9.09 | 0.31 | 8.50 | 0.53 | 0.02 | 14.10 | 0.04 | 0.23 | 4.04 | 0.12   |
|                   | Maximum     | 12.51 | 0.80 | 15.53 | 1.37 | 0.08 | 37.56 | 0.16 | 0.52 | 6.95 | 2.30   |
|                   | Average     | 11.41 | 0.48 | 12.38 | 0.83 | 0.04 | 19.41 | 0.08 | 0.34 | 5.21 | 0.97   |
|                   | Difference Average | 1.20 | 0.10 | 2.96 | 0.17 | 0.01 | 5.76 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.78 | 0.70   |
Table 3. Eigen value and percentage variance of the most important factor axes

|                | Dim1 | Dim2 | Dim3 | Dim4 | Dim5 |
|----------------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Eigen value    | 5.62 | 2.23 | 1.67 | 1.29 | 1    |
| Inertie (%)    | 40.14| 15.95| 9.2  | 7.02 | 5.37 |
| % cumulative   | 40.14| 56.09| 67.99| 77.19| 84.21|

For the definition of the Dim1*Dim2 plan, the physicochemical parameters whose contribution in relation to these two axes is higher than the average are: carbon (95.49%), organic matter (95.21%), nitrogen (89.16%), sand (76.68%), clay (74.2%), calcium (66.06%), assimilable phosphorus (59.52%) and cation exchange capacity (51.45%). The other parameters have a contribution higher than 25% except for sodium (1.56%) which has a good contribution on the third dimension (45.89%).

The horizons whose contribution to the plan is above average are P1H2 (75%), P1H3 (70%), P1H4 (50%), P2H1 (58%), P2H3 (85%), P3H1 (85%), P3H2 (50%), P4H0 (58%), P4H1 (62%), P4H2 (57%), P4H3 (76%), P6H1 (63%), P6H3 (52%), P6H4 (54%), P7H1 (76%), P7H2B (82%). The horizon with a low contribution (<25%) are P3H3H (3%), P5H1 (15%), P7H3 (17%) and P7H2H (20%) (Fig. 6).

The horizons on the positive side of axis 1 are rich in organic matter, assimilable phosphorus, nitrogen, carbon, cation exchange capacity, electrical conductivity among others. On the other hand, those on the negative side of this axis are devoid of these elements, with a basic pH and rich in sand.

The horizons on the positive side of axis 2 are rich in magnesium and sand and devoid of clay, while those on the negative side of the axis are rich in clay (Fig. 6).

The following classification allows us to group the physico-chemical parameters that are correlated and the horizons that compose them into three classes. The results obtained show three (03) groups in blue class1, black class2 and yellow class3 (Fig. 7).

The tables below present the characteristic variables of each class and the horizons. They serve as a basis for interpreting the classes (Table 4).

V. test or test value is expressed as the number of standard deviations of a normal distribution. It is interpreted by evaluating the distance between the general mean and the mean and the mean in the class. This common unit allows a comparison between the variables and sorts in order of importance. If the term is positive, the group is characterized by strong values of the variable on the other hand if the term is negative, the group is characterized by weak values of the variable.

The physico-chemical parameters are grouped into three (03) distinct classes. They are presented as follows:

1st class is composed of eight (08) horizons coming from the vicinity of the pond at Trou, including four (04) on the plateaus, two (02) on the slopes and two (02) at the level of the pond; seven (07) in the vicinity of the Belel Sow pond, including (04) on the plateaus and three (03) on the slopes and one (01) from the lion pond, including horizon 2 of the slope. These horizons contain on average 78.8% sand and are poor in certain physico-chemical elements such as electrical conductivity, clay, assimilable phosphorus, nitrogen, organic matter, carbon, cation exchange capacity (CEC) and calcium. These are the poorest areas.

2nd class consists of horizons whose determining parameters are calcium, clay, cation exchange capacity and an average proportion of sand. This class includes five (05) horizons in the surroundings of the lion pond (two at the level of the slope and three at the level of the pond) and horizon 2 of the hole pond.

3rd class consists of two (02) horizons from the lion pond and two (02) horizons from the hole pond. It includes the superficial horizons of ponds and slopes. These are horizons rich in organic matter, carbon, nitrogen. assimilable phosphorus, silt and potassium. The pH is slightly acid and the electric conductivity is around 84.6 μS/cm. These are the fertile areas.

3.4. Discussion

The physico-chemical parameters of the soils of the different zones studied in the village of WIDOU THIENGOLY show a significant spatial variability. The different horizons of the plateau have a slightly alkaline to alkaline hydrometric potential (pH). Considering the slopes, the
The horizons of the ungrazed pond have a slightly alkaline pH while the horizons of the other grazed ponds have a slightly acidic to neutral pH. In the same way at the level of the ponds, the different horizons have a slightly acidic to neutral pH. These results do not agree with those of Ndiaye [23], which prove that the Ferlo soils are characterized by high acidity. The soils of our study area like those of the Ferlo in general are non-saline (10 to 142.9 μS/cm) whatever the topographic unit and the pond. It should be noted that in the central Ferlo, there is the inexistence of a marine intrusion and the absence of irrigation by salt water.

Fig. 6. Plane of horizons and physico-chemical properties formed by Dim1 and Dim3

Fig. 7. Dendrogram obtained from the classification of the analysis into major components
### Table 4. Determinant variables of class

| Class 1 | V. test | Variable mean | Overall average of the variable | Components of the class |
|---------|---------|---------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Sandy (S) | 3.1     | 78.8          | 74.4                            | P1H1 - P1H2 –           |
| Electrical conductivity (EC) | -2.4     | 25.4          | 37.2                            | P1H3 - P1H4 –           |
| Clay(A) | -2.5     | 12.8          | 16.1                            | P2H2 - P2H3 –           |
| Assimilable phosphorus (Pass) | -3.2     | 2.2           | 6.5                             | P3H3H -P3H3B –          |
| Nitrogen (N) | -3.4     | 0.02          | 0.03                            | P4H0 - P4H1 –           |
| Organic matter (MO) | -3.5     | 0.4           | 0.6                             | P4H2 - P4H3 –           |
| Carbone (C) | -3.5     | 0.3           | 0.4                             | P5H1 - P5H2 –           |
| Cation exchange capacity (CEC) | -3.7     | 6.6           | 8.2                             | P5H3 - P6H2             |
| Calcium (Ca++) | -3.9     | 2.3           | 3.3                             |                         |

| Class 2 | V. test | Variable mean | Overall average of the variable | Components of the class |
|---------|---------|---------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Calcium (Ca++) | 3.9     | 5.4           | 3.3                             | P3H2 - P6H3 –           |
| Clay (A) | 3.00    | 25.1          | 16.1                            | P6H4 - P7H2H –          |
| Cation exchange capacity (CEC) | 2.9     | 11            | 8.2                             | P7H2B - P7H3 –          |
| Sandy (S) | -2.3    | 67.2          | 74.4                            | P5H0                    |

| Class 3 | V. test | Variable mean | Overall average of the variable | Components of the class |
|---------|---------|---------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Organic matter (OM) | 4.28    | 1.26          | 0.64                            |                         |
| Carbone (C) | 4.27    | 0.73          | 0.37                            |                         |
| Nitrogen (N) | 4.25    | 0.07          | 0.03                            |                         |
| Electrical conductivity (EC) | 3.81    | 84.6          | 37.22                           |                         |
| Assimilable phosphorus (Pass) | 3.07    | 16.79         | 6.47                            |                         |
| Silt (L) | 3.06    | 14.9          | 9.44                            |                         |
| Potassium (K+) | 2.04    | 0.4           | 0.22                            |                         |
| pH soil | -2.2    | 6.68          | 7.18                            |                         |
Soil texture in this area is characterized by a dominance of the sandy fraction over clays and silts, especially on the uplands. In fact, a sandy texture is found in all the horizons of the plateau and the deep horizons of the slope. The first horizons of the slope and ponds have a balanced texture. This can be explained by the nature of the original material or source rock and the process of erosion that carries the fine particles into the lower areas. On the other hand, the sandy texture of the surface layer of the puddle pond is due to the fact that the holes are dug. Indeed, the coarse particles come from the deep layers, and this profile is within 2 m of a hole. In addition, the texture of the surface horizons of the grazed areas is sandy while the texture of the surface horizons of the non-grazed areas is balanced. Grazing plays a role in the evolution of soil textures.

Moreover, the cation exchange capacity is greater in soils with a balanced texture such as ponds and ungrazed areas (lion ponds) with average values exceeding 9 meq/100 g.

The soils in our study area have low exchangeable base contents (less than 5.39 meq/100 g). The proportions of exchangeable bases are very low in the plateau and slopes (versus), except for calcium and sodium, the highest average levels of which are observed on the slopes of the ungrazed pond for calcium (5.39 meq/100 g) and on the plateau of the grazed pond for sodium (0.09 meq/100 g).

These results do not corroborate with those of Ndiaye, 2013 [23] who found low calcium content in the plateau. Indeed, variations in the concentration of exchangeable calcium are linked to pH since they occupy two thirds of the exchangeable cations when the pH is higher than 7 [24], which is in line with our results. On the other hand, the highest average levels were found in ponds and especially in non-grazed areas. These areas have a high clay content which endows the soils with a high cation-fixing capacity by forming the adsorbent complex of the soil with the organic matter that captures positively charged nutrients [25] and gives the soil chemical fertility [26].

In addition, the highest organic matter content was noted in pond soils with an average of 0.72% followed by the slopes with 0.52% despite its low content in our study area.

The highest average carbon and organic matter contents were found in the lion pond (0.48% and 0.83%) and the lowest in the Belel Sow pond plateau (0.2% and 0.35%). These levels decrease with depth, regardless of the geomorphological unit and the pond. This could be explained by the presence of plant cover [27] and the favorable microclimatic conditions for the accumulation of organic matter around the ponds.

As for the C/N ratios, almost all the topographical units studied in the grazed area have a strong average degree of mineralization, whereas that of the lion pond is normal. The latter, being fenced off, favors biological activity which leads to the mineralization of organic matter.

Like the organic matter content, the nitrogen content is very low. They are proportional. Thus the surface layers of ponds and slopes have the highest rates. These are the wooded areas, so the organic matter input supplies the soil with nitrogen after its mineralization [28].

Assimilable phosphorus levels are very low in soil samples from the plateau. In slopes and ponds, they are low to medium. Ferlo soils are generally tropical ferruginous soils with low assimilable phosphorus content [29,30]. These contents are very high in the superficial horizons decrease with depth and are higher in the ungrazed pond. Phosphorus is an element that is not very mobile and migrates at depth in very small quantities [31], which is the reason for the low grades noted at depth.

The multivariate analysis shows three classes whose horizons are more or less similar with respect to certain physicochemical parameters. The first class is composed of soils from the different horizons of the plateaus and the slopes of the grazed ponds. These soils have a sandy texture and are poor in certain physicochemical elements such as electrical conductivity, clay, assimilable phosphorus, nitrogen, organic matter, carbon, cation exchange capacity (CEC) and calcium. These are the poorest areas (plateau). Class two is characterized by physicochemical parameters such as calcium, clay, cation exchange capacity and an average proportion of sand. This class contains five (05) horizons of the surroundings of the lion pond and horizon 2 of the hole pond, areas, where there is strong mineralization. Class three consists of three (03) horizons from the lion pond and two (02) horizons from the pond to hole. It includes the superficial horizons of ponds and slopes. These are horizons rich in organic matter, carbon,
nitrogen, assimilable phosphorus, silt and potassium. The pH is slightly acid and the electric conductivity is around 84.6 μS/cm. These are the fertile areas where there is a strong presence of trees and a low exposure to the sun.

4. CONCLUSION

This study reveals a differentiation in the evolution of physico-chemical parameters according to the topographic unit and environmental conditions. Indeed, the analyses show that the soil texture of the plateau and pastured areas is sandy, while the texture of ponds, slopes and non-pastured areas is balanced.

Similarly, the chemical element contents are much higher in low areas (ponds and slopes) and non-grazed areas.

Three classes are obtained with the multivariate treatment. A coarser-textured soil class with very low chemical fertility found on the plateaus, a soil class with a balanced texture that is rich in cation exchange capacity and calcium found in low areas with high mineralization, and a last class rich in chemical elements with a silty texture found in wooded areas.

These physical and chemical properties may be responsible for the diversity and distribution of herbaceous and woody vegetation around temporary pools.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank the UMI 3189 "Environment, Health, Societies" (UCAD, CNRS, CNRST, USTTB, UGB), and the Labex DRIIHM, programme "Investments for the future" ANR-11-LABX-0010, for funding our work.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Sy O. Role of the pond in the management of Sahelian pastoral systems in Ferlo (Senegal). European Journal of Geography. 2009;440:1-11. Available: https://doi.org/10.4000/cybergeo.22057
2. Ndiaye I, Camara B, Ngom D. Specific diversity and ethno-botanical uses of woody plants following a North-South rainfall gradient in the Senegalese groundnut basin. J. Appl. Biosci. 2017;113:11123–11137.
3. Akpo LE. Dynamics of Sahelian ecological systems: Specific structure productivity and quality of grasslands: Widdu Thiengoly drilling (IRD Center in Bondy) [ORSTOM]. 1990;65.
4. Diop AT. Ecological dynamics and evolution of practices in the Sylvo-pastoral zone of Senegal: Perspectives for a sustainable development [Doct. Sc. Nat]. FST / UCAD. 2007;296.
5. Ngom D. Biodiversity and ecosystem services in biosphere reserves: Ferlo Biosphere Reverse in West Africa. Academic Press. 2014;184.
6. Allem M, Hamel T, Tahraoui C, Boulemfes A, Ouslama Z. Floristic diversity of temporary ponds in the region of Annaba (North-East Algeria). International Journal of Environmental Studies. 2018;75(3):405–424.
7. Jourdas A. Understanding the pond through its biodiversity. [Valmares: A Project around ponds], 2013;20.
8. Diop AT. Inventory and monitoring of the water resources of Ferlo Nord. [Confirmatory memorandum]. 1984;46.
9. Diallo M. Study of Senegalese rangelands by the combined approach of the conventional method and geomatics. [Philosophy doctor (PH.D) in Water Sciences]. University du Québec. 1996;141.
10. Diop AT, Diaw OT, Thiam M, Dieme I, Toure I, Dieme G, Traore M. The ponds of the Sylvo-pastoral Zone of Senegal: Role in the conservation of biodiversity and the living environment of pastoral populations. 2004;8.
11. Lacaux JP, Tourre YM, Vignolles C, Ndione JA, Lafaye M. Classification of ponds from high-spatial resolution remote sensing: Application to Rift Valley Fever epidemics in Senegal. Remote Sensing of Environment. 2007;106(1):66–74.
12. Ndione JA, Lacaux JP, Tourre Y, Vignolles C, Fontanaz D, Lafaye M. Temporary pools and health risks at Ferlo: Contribution of remote sensing to the study of Rift Valley Fever between August 2003 and January 2004. Drought. 2009;1:153–160.
13. Niang K, Ndiaye O, Diallo A, Guisse A. Flora and structure of woody vegetation along the Great Green Wall in Ferlo North Senegal. Journal of Applied Biosciences. 2014;79(1):6938–6946.

14. Ndiaye O, Diop A, Akpo LE, Diene M. Dynamics of carbon and nitrogen content of soils in Ferlo farming systems: Case of Dahra CRZ. Journal of Applied Biosciences, 2014;83:7554–7569.

15. Ndiaye O, Aly D, Bassimbe SM, Aliou G. Floristic diversity of woody stands in Ferlo, Senegal. Vertigo. 2013;13(3):1–12.

16. Niang K. The tree in the community paths of Ferlo-Nord (Senegal). Mémoire d'étude Approfondie (DEA) in Plant Biology. Plant ecology and ecohydrology laboratory. 2009:68.

17. Bakhoum A. Dynamics of fodder resources: Indicator of resilience of community téssékéré routes in Ferlo, (North Senegal) [Biology, Productions and Animal Pathologies]. Cheikh Anta Diop University of Dakar. 2013:118.

18. Diallo A, Faye M, Ndiaye O, Guisse A. Variations in the composition of the herbaceous vegetation of Acacia senegal (L.) Willd plantations in the Dahra (Ferlo) area. International Journal of Biological and Chemical Sciences, 2011;5(3):1250–1264.

19. Ka A. Eat at Widou Thiengoly (North Senegal): From abundance remembered to dependence on the market [doctoral thesis: Specialty: Anthropology of food]. Cheikh Anta Diop University of Dakar. 2016:330.

20. Bocoum M. Methods of soil analysis. Work document. INP. The Minister of Agriculture: 2004.

21. Xie Y, Allaire J, Grolemund G, R Markdown: The Definitive Guide. Chapman and. 411 Hall / CRC. Boca Raton. Florida.

22. Diallo MD, Ngamb T, Tine AK, Guisse M, Ndiaye O, Saleh MM, Diallo A, Seck S, Diop A, Guisse A. Agro-pedological characterization of MBOLTIME soils in the NIAYES area (Senegal). African Agronomy. 2015;27(1):57–67.

23. Ndiaye O. Soil characteristics of the flora and vegetation of Ferlo. Senegal [Biology, Plant Physiology & Pathology]. Cheikh Anta Diop University of Dakar. 2013:130.

24. Pernes-debuys A, Tessier D. Influence of pH on soil properties: The long-term test of 42 plots at Versailles. Water science journal. 2002;15:27–39. Available: https://doi.org/10.7202/705484

25. Mareaux M. Understanding a soil analysis. Chamber of Agriculture of the Atlantic Pyrenees. 2010:4.

26. Calvet G, Villemin P. Interpretation of soil analyzes. Ed. SCPA; 1986.

27. Arrouays D, Antoni V, Bardy M, Bispo A, Brossard M, Jolivet C, Le Bas C, Martin M, Saby N, Schnebelen N, Villanneau E, Stengel P. Soil fertility: conclusions of the report on the state of France's soils. Innovations Agronomiques. 2012;21:1–11.

28. Heller R, Esnault, R, Lance C. Plant Physiology: Vol. Tome I Nutrition (6th Edition of the Abstract). 1998;323.

29. Duchaufour P. Pedology: soil vegetation environment. (VII. Including 2 p. Of pl. In color) (4th ed. Rev.). Paris; Milan; Barcelona: Masson. 1997:1:324.

30. Laurent JY, Brossard M. Comparative study of the determination of total phosphorus in tropical soils. Cah. ORSTOM. Sir. Pedol. 1991;26(3):281-285.

31. Huguet JG. Practice of mineral fertilization of fruit trees (Editions INVUFLEC); 1978.