A new device for bone cranial flap fixation: Technical note and surgical remarks. A multicentric experience
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INTRODUCTION

Bone flap fixation is an important technical routine in most intracranial neurosurgical procedures. Over the years a variety of methods have been proposed and nowadays several traditional methods as well as novel special devices are available.1–14 Any fixation system should include the following desirable features: (1) biologically inert materials, (2) rigid, long-lasting fixation with appropriate alignment of the bone flap, (3) intuitive and easy to use, (4) esthetically acceptable, (5) artifact free on postoperative imaging, and (6) inexpensive. Sutures and steel wire were the first method used in skull bone fixation but, from a mechanical point of view, they could not provide a safe and reliable system to prevent cranial flap dislocation.10 Titanium fixation systems (plates, screws, and clamplike devices) have good mechanical properties, but they present some drawbacks that should be taken into consideration: titanium implants have been associated with localized inflammation, chronic infection, and leaching of metal ions into local tissues after long-term skull implantation, which can lead to soft tissue erosion, irritation,
and pain and necessitate immediate removal.\cite{3,7,8,10,11} Furthermore, they introduce artifacts on postoperative neuroimaging and the costs are considerable high. Polymeric fixation devices, particularly those made of polyether ether ketone (PEEK), could offer an alternative to the titanium systems, as they present excellent mechanical properties and a long-term biocompatibility profile. NT cranial Small device is a PEEK-based cranial bone fixation system causing no CT or MRI artifacts.

The aim of this study is to evaluate the reliability and efficacy of this new cranial bone fixation device. An economic evaluation was also assessed.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study design and patient population**

This is an observational, prospective, and multicenter study of patients who underwent a craniotomy and were subject to bone flap fixation with the NT small cranial fixation system between January 2020 and April 2020 (Group B).

Patients in whom titanium miniplates and screws (Group A) were implanted were reviewed retrospectively and used as a control group. All patients underwent a CT scan of the head with 3D reconstruction at day 1 and day 90 postoperatively to evaluate bone flap position. Depression or protrusion was defined as a difference ≥3 mm, on bony windows, calculating the discrepancy of alignment between inner and outer cortical bone of both skull and flap along the craniotomy, using CT algorithm measurement. Fusion could be absent, partial, and complete as defined by the presence of bony trabeculation between the bone flap and the skull using always the same bony windows setting (level 600, width 4095). These scans were independently reviewed by a neuroradiologist.

The surgeon’s satisfaction about the two systems of bone flap fixation was evaluated in terms of simplicity of manipulation (score 0–3), precision of application (score 0–3), and time consumption (score 0–3) resulting in a sum score from 0 to 9 (being 0 the worst and 9 the best score).

Cosmesis was blindly evaluated as good or bad, clinically by all involved surgeons in the study, excepting for the personally operated cases.

The study was conducted according to the principles and rules laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki and its subsequent amendments.

**Device description and implantation procedure**

The NT cranial small is a postoperative long-term cranial bone flap fixation system, which fixes the bone flap to the skull after a craniotomy, without requiring any specific surgical instrument for its handling or implantation [Figure 1].

**RESULTS**

Fifty-six patients (30 M; 26 F) with a mean age of 44.2 years (range from 22 to 63 years) were included in the study (Group B). Patients in whom titanium miniplates and screws were implanted (Group A), used as control group, were 54. The patients in the two groups (A and B) were comparable.
and statistically similar regarding age, sex, pathology, and craniotomy size/location.

The patients in Group B underwent supratentorial craniotomies divided as follows: twenty were pterional (12 for cerebral aneurysms, four for meningiomas, two for low grade gliomas, and two for cavernomas), eight were frontal (two for cerebral aneurysm, four for meningiomas, and two for high grade gliomas), 22 were parietal craniotomies (12 for meningiomas, seven for low grade gliomas, and three for arteriovenous malformations), five were occipital craniotomy all made for cavernomas, and one temporal craniotomy for high grade glioma. No patient underwent radiotherapy and/or chemotherapy in the postoperative follow-up.

[Table 1] summarizes the main sociodemographic and baseline clinical characteristics of the population studied.

A total of 224 NT cranial small were implanted. An average of four devices (1 Hole 3 GAPs) per patients was placed. In Group A an average of four devices (three miniplates and one burr hole) per patients were placed too. A total of 210 miniplates with 420 screws were implanted [Table 2].

The mean time of bone flap fixation was 6 min ± 10 s for Group A and 1 min ± 5 s for Group B (P = 0.001).

The surgeon’s satisfaction had a mean score of 7.2/9 in Group A and 8.6/9 in Group B (P = 0.1).

Patients in Group A showed a complete bone fusion in ten cases, a partial bone fusion in 25 cases and absence of fusion in the other 19. Patients in Group B showed a complete fusion in 18 cases, a partial bone fusion in 28 cases and absence of fusion in the other ten (P = 0.03) [Table 3].

In Group A, a bone flap protrusion (≥3 mm) was detected in six cases and a bone flap depression (≥3 mm) in two; in contrast in Group B CT scans documented the stable and lasting fixation of the bone flap as well as the correct alignment [Figure 2]. Neither protrusion nor depression was detected. Cosmesis was evaluated in Group A as good in 46 cases and as bad in 8, and it was evaluated as good in all 56 cases in Group B although this was not statistically significant (P = 0.5).

The mean postoperative hospitalization time was of 4 days in both groups. From an economic point of view, the cost of

Table 1: Demographic, clinical and surgical characteristics of patients included in the study.

| Clinical variables                              | Group A (%) | Group B (%) |
|------------------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Age (years)                                    |             |             |
| Mean                                           | 45.7        | 44.2        |
| Range                                          | 25–68       | 22–63       |
| Sex                                            |             |             |
| Male                                           | 33 (61.11)  | 30 (53.57)  |
| Female                                         | 21 (38.89)  | 26 (46.43)  |
| Craniotomy indication                          |             |             |
| Tumor resection                                | 32 (59.26)  | 32 (57.14)  |
| Aneurysm clipping                              | 15 (27.78)  | 14 (25.00)  |
| Arteriovenous malformation resection           | 1 (1.85)    | 3 (5.36)    |
| Cavernoma resection                            | 6 (11.11)   | 7 (12.50)   |
| Craniotomy location                            |             |             |
| Frontal                                        | 11 (20.37)  | 8 (14.28)   |
| Temporal                                       | 2 (3.70)    | 1 (1.78)    |
| Parietal                                       | 20 (37.04)  | 22 (39.29)  |
| Occipital                                      | 3 (5.56)    | 5 (8.93)    |
| Frontoparietal                                 | 18 (33.33)  | 20 (35.72)  |
| Craniotomy laterality                          |             |             |
| Left                                           | 25 (46.30)  | 24 (42.86)  |
| Right                                          | 29 (53.70)  | 32 (57.14)  |
| Craniotomy shape                              |             |             |
| Oval                                           | 16 (29.63)  | 20 (35.71)  |
| Square/rectangle                               | 35 (64.82)  | 32 (57.15)  |
| Irregular                                      | 3 (5.55)    | 4 (7.14)    |

Table 2: Total number of devices implanted.

| Devices implanted                           | No |
|---------------------------------------------|----|
| NT                                          |    |
| Hole                                         | 66 |
| GAP                                          | 158|
| Titanium miniplates and screw                |    |
| Miniplates                                   | 210|
| Screw                                        | 420|

Table 3: Bone flap fixation features in the Group A and Group B.

| Variables                              | Group A | Group B |
|-----------------------------------------|---------|---------|
| Time of bone flap fixation (min)        |         |         |
| Mean                                    | 6±10 s  | 1±5 s   |
| Range                                   | 3–9     | 1–2     |
| Surgeon’s satisfaction                   | 7.2     | 8.6     |
| Bone fusion (%)                         |         |         |
| Complete                                | 10 (18.52) | 20 (35.71) |
| Partial                                 | 25 (46.30) | 28 (50.00) |
| Absent                                  | 19 (35.18) | 8 (14.29) |
three GAP devices and a hole is around 200 €, while three titanium plates and a burr hole with respective screws are around 250 €.

DISCUSSION
Several methods have been used over the years for the fixation of bone flaps removed during craniotomies. For more than a century, bone flaps have been tied in place with sutures – silk, cotton, or chromic catgut that are passed through pairs of small holes drilled in the flap and adjacent calvarium.[8,9] Obviously soft sutures could not provide a stable attachment of the bone flap to the skull as there is only a weak connection by friction. The most common problems encountered are either bone flap settling or dislocation.[2,3]
Therefore, for a long time, bone flap fixation was performed with steel wires, which were subsequently abandoned following the introduction of CT scans due to the extensive metal artifacts.[2,4]

More recently, advancing technology in the manufacture of titanium miniplate fixation systems has provided alternative methods of bone flap fixation.[8,9] Many surgeons now prefer this method because it provides more readily for optimal positioning of the bone flap with substantial rigidity. Plate and screw methodology is reliable but expensive and requires special tools, which have not been standardized for products from different manufacturers, and introduce artifacts on neuroimages, and occasionally cause undesirable esthetic effects and complications.[10]

NT cranial small represents the evolution of the previous NT cranial, which has been improved by making it smaller and less invasive, while preserving the ability to tightly fix the cranial bone flap.

According to our experience, there are many advantages that can be attributed to the NT cranial small compared to other systems of cranial bone flap fixation. It is safe, easy, fast to apply, due to its screwless design, and adaptable to every supratentorial bone flap. The average positioning time for bone flap fixation reported in the literature is 10 min for traditional sutures,[1] with the stainless steel wire is 11 min,[2] while the average time for fixation with miniplates is 8 min.[2]

According to our experience, the average placement time of the NT cranial small (3 GAP and 1 hole devices) is about 1 min. Moreover, it is radiolucent, just because it is made in PEEK, and it does not cause radiological artifacts. Furthermore, it is MRI compatible. Its spring design, which absorbs load energy, allows the flap to recover the original position and provides the same stability as titanium miniplates, all made easier by a Young's Module[6] closest to the approximation to the cortical bone. The main feature that differentiates the NT cranial small from other bone flap fixation techniques is the elastic reserve. In the event of collisions or abnormal compressions that could cause a drop in the operculum, NT cranial small responded with elastic behavior that brought the operculum back to its original position when the load ends. In the case of the titanium miniplates and other rigid systems this does not happen, and once reached the maximum bearable load, plastic deformation or failure of the device occurs, which prevents to the operculum to summarize the correct position. For this reason, our study has not revealed any case of bone protrusion or depression in the patients of Group B, in which bone fixation was obtained by NT cranial small. Obviously, we are absolutely convinced that this greater stability guaranteed by NT cranial small can explain the higher fusion rate of the bone flap highlighted in Group B compared to Group A in the follow-up. Moreover, the NT cranial small higher capacity to guarantee a stable bone flap fixing associated with its low profile of the plate (1.15 mm) is the basis of the excellent esthetic results reported by the neurosurgeons involved in this study.

An important aspect of positioning the NT cranial small is to consider where to allocate them depending on the positioning of the lateral dural suspensions. It is mandatory to calculate the positioning of the lateral dural suspension if possible to position the system correctly to prevent the risk of epidural bleeding. With this shrewdness, we have not had episodes of epidural bleeding.

Another point worth noting of NT cranial small is the economic aspect; in fact, it is cheaper than the titanium miniplates.

We must acknowledge that the study presents some limitations, mainly due to the small sample and the short-term follow-up. However, it should be emphasized that thanks to the accurate collection of data of the medical records and the revision of all available medical records and medical images, little data are missing in the study.

Future prospective studies comparing different cranial fixation systems would be useful to discern which cranial fixation system offers better clinical results in different clinical situations and to facilitate the decision-making process of implant selection.

CONCLUSION
NT cranial small is a safe, reliable, and easily applied postoperative cranial bone flap fixation system. The devices can adequately fix the bone flap after a wide range of craniotomy procedures, performed in multiple locations, and provide a good bone flap alignment, both immediately after the surgery and at follow-up. No surgical or relevant postsurgical follow-up complications have been associated with the device.
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