Abstract

Word segmentation is the first step in Chinese information processing, and the performance of the segmenter, therefore, has a direct and great influence on the processing steps that follow. Different segmenters will give different results when handling issues like word boundary. And we will present in this paper that there is no need for an absolute definition of word boundary for all segmenters, and that different results of segmentation shall be acceptable if they can help to reach a correct syntactic analysis in the end.
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1. Introduction

On behalf of the Institute of Computational Linguistics, Peking University, we would like to thank ACL-SIGHAN for sponsoring the First International Chinese Word Segmentation Bakeoff, which provides us an opportunity to present our achievement of the past decade.

We know for sure that it is very difficult to settle on a scientific and appropriate method of evaluation, and it might be even more difficult than word segmentation itself. We are also clear that each step in Chinese information processing requires great efforts, and a satisfactory result in word segmentation, though critical, does not necessarily guarantee good results in the following steps.

From the test results of this evaluation, we are very gratified to see that we have done a good job both as a test corpus provider and as a participant. According to the rule, we did not test on the corpus we provided, but it is quite encouraging that our supply tops the test corpus list to be elected by other participants.

Section 2 and Section 3 describes our work in the Bakeoff as the test corpus provider and the participant respectively.

2. The test corpus provider

2.1 Corpus

The corpus we provided to the sponsor includes:
- A training set from People’s Daily (January, 1998)
- A test set from People’s Daily (Page 4 of January 1, 1998)

Data from People’s Daily features standard Chinese, little language error, a wide coverage of linguistic phenomenon and topics, which are required for statistic training. Meanwhile, the corpus we provided is a latest version manually validated, hence a high level of correctness and consistency.

2.2 Specification

When processing a corpus, we need a detailed and carefully designed specification for guidance. And when using the corpus for NLP evaluation, we also need such a specification to ensure a fair contest for different systems within a common framework.
We provided the latest version of our specification, which has been published in the *Journal of Chinese Information Processing*. Based on our experience of large-scale corpus processing in recent years, the current version gave us different perspectives in a consistent way, and we hope it will also help others in this field know better of our segmented and POS-tagged corpus.

### 3. The participant

**3.1 Training and testing**

Our research on word segmentation has been focusing on People’s Daily. As we are one of the two providers of Chinese corpora in GB code in this Bakeoff, we had to test on the Penn Chinese treebank.

Not all the training and test corpus we got came from the Mainland China. Some were GB data converted from BIG5 texts of Taiwan. It is commonly known that in the Mainland, Hong Kong and Taiwai, the Chinese language is used diversely not only in the sense of different coding systems, but in respect of different wordings as well.

While training our segmenter, we studied the guidelines and training corpus of Penn Chinese treebank, tracing the differences and working on them. The main difference between the work of U. Penn and that of ours is notion of “word”. For instance:

| Differences of “Word”                  | U. Penn                      | PKU                      |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Chinese name                          | 刘卫东, 彭少阳                | 刘卫东, 彭少阳            |
| Number + “多|余……”                   | 11.6 万余，八千七百多万      | 11.6 万 余，八千七百 多 万 |
| Monosyllabic verb + complement        | 砌出，填上，读完              | 砌出，填上，读完          |
| Time word                             | 十时三十分，90年代             | 十时三十分，90年代         |
| Noun + suffix “们”                    | 大学生们，企业家们            | 大学生 们，企业家 们      |
| Disyllabic verb + “于”                | 领先于，受命于                | 领先于，受命于            |
|                                      |                              |                          |

These are different combinations in regard of words which follow certain patterns, and can therefore be handled easily by applying rules to the program. The real difficulty for us, however, is the following items:

| U. Penn               | PKU           |
|-----------------------|---------------|
| 有线 电视             | 有线电视      |
| 中央 军委             | 中央军委      |
| 中华 民族             | 中华民族      |
| 人民 日报             | 人民日报      |
| 知识 产权             | 知识产权      |
| 一条 龙              | 一条龙        |
| ... ...               | ... ...       |

The Open Track allows us to use our own recourses, so we had to find the lexical correspondence to reduce the negative effect caused by the difference between Penn Chinese treebank and our own corpus. However, as the training corpus is small, we could not remove all the negative effect, and the untackled problems remained to affect our test result.

Further, as we have been working on language data from the Mainland China, the lexicon of our segmenter does not contain words used in Taiwan. Such being the case, we added into our lexicon the entries that were not known (i.e., not found in the training set) and could not be handled by the rule-based makeshift either. But because we are not very familiar with the Chinese language used in Taiwan, we could not make a complete patch due to the limit of time.

**3.2 Result analysis**

From the test result that the sponsor provided, we can see our segmenter failed to score when the notion of “word” and the recognition of
unknown words are involved.

Example 1:
[U. Penn] 实施初期将以除罪化与小额贸易合法化为主，在观察小三通对金马地区治安与产业影响后，才会考虑在第二阶段开放商业性行为，至于大三通的实施，尚没有明确的时间表。

[PKU] 实施初期将以除罪化与小额贸易合法化为主，在观察小三通对金马地区治安与产业影响后，才会考虑在第二阶段开放商业性行为，至于大三通的实施，尚没有明确的时间表。

Example 2:
[U. Penn] 一家宽频公司负责管理两个大型鱼缸的赖小姐更表示，公司受景气影响，不免人人节衣缩食，但每个月五、六千元的鱼缸清理费可不曾少过，更别提不时得补充鱼、饲料等费用。

[PKU] 一家宽频公司负责管理两个大型鱼缸的赖小姐更表示，公司受景气影响，不免人人节衣缩食，但每个月五、六千元的鱼缸清理费可不曾少过，更别提不时得补充鱼、饲料等费用。

In addition, there are also cognitive differences concerning the objective world, which did come up to influence our fine score.

Example 3:
[U. Penn] 吴思华则以英特尔为例，说明知识「点砂成金」的威力：一张名片大小的CPU，说穿了就是一块烧有复杂电路图的砂晶板。

[PKU] 吴思华则以英特尔为例，说明知识「点砂成金」的威力：一张名片大小的CPU，说穿了就是一块烧有复杂电路图的砂晶板。

Example 4:
[U. Penn] 啊，外太空人入侵？别紧张，这是新车大展中，观众戴着特制的「虚拟实境」头盔，体会一下自己驾驶新型车的超炫快感。

[PKU] 啊，外太空人入侵？别紧张，这是新车大展中，观众戴着特制的「虚拟实境」头盔，体会一下自己驾驶新型车的超炫快感。

The recognition of unknown words has long been a bottleneck for word segmentation technique. So far we have not found a good solution, but we are confident about a progress in this respect in the near future.

4. Conclusion

Word segmentation is the first step yet a key step in Chinese information processing, but we have not found a perfect solution up till now. From an engineering perspective, we think there is no need for a unique result of segmentation. All roads lead to Rome. The approach you take, technical or non-technical, will be a good one if the expected result is achieved. And it would be more desirable if the processing program in each step can tolerate or even correct the errors made in the previous step.

We learn from our experience that the computer processing of natural language is a complex issue, which requires a solid fundamental research (on the language itself) to ensure a higher accuracy of automation. It is definitely hard to achieve an increase of one percent or even less in the accuracy of word segmentation, but we are still confident and will keep working in this respect.
Finally, we would like to thank Dr. Li Baoli and Dr. Bing SWEN for their great efforts on the maintenance of our segmentation program.
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