Adenomatoid Odontogenic Tumour – Case Series
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Abstract

Adenomatoid odontogenic tumor (AOT) was first described by Steinmann in 1905. It is a relatively rare benign odontogenic tumour accounting for 3% of all odontogenic tumours [1]. Philippsen coined the term – Adenomatoid odontogenic tumour. It has distinct clinicopathological appearance but often misdiagnosed as cyst. In this paper, we present a case series of 16 cases in different locations of maxilla and mandible.
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INTRODUCTION

AOT, is a relatively rare benign tumour originating from odontogenic epithelium, accounting for 3% of all odontogenic tumours¹. Steinmann in 1905 was the first to describe AOT as slow growing, benign odontogenic neoplasm. Later, in 1959, Philippsen and Brin coined the term Adenomatoid odontogenic tumour, were later adopted by the World Health Organisation and classified it as odontogenic tumor I 1971. AOT has definite female predilection (2:1), with age distribution ranging between 13-19 yrs with maxilla being almost twice as likely as the mandible. Most commonly, in anterior maxilla, AOT is associated with impacted canines (60% cases) [2]. It is also noted in the literature that AOT can also occur in posterior maxilla and mandible distal to premolar region (9% cases). AOT has 3 clinical presentation – Intra osseous (follicular) most common variant – 70% of cases – in association with impacted tooth; Intra osseous – extrafollicular – 25% of cases and the extraosseous variant – relatively rare accounting for 5% of cases. In general, AOT cases are mild expansile in nature with sizes varying from 1-3cms in diameter. Radiographically, AOT cases usually present as well – defined to corticated unicellular radiolucent lesion. Rarely, 10% of cases demonstrate calcifications. On histopathological examination, AOT has epithelial strands of spindle shaped cells, epithelial spheres/ whorls and cuboidal cells arranged in duct like structure with or without calcifications. The characteristics features of AOT is that the tumour is supported by a thick, fibrous connective tissue capsule which makes the delineation of tissue capsule from the tooth and surrounding bone easy.

The treatment of choice is conservative surgical approach i.e, curettage, enucleation or combination. The choice of surgical approach depends on – age of the patient, tooth involved, stage of tooth development, whether to retain or remove. Recurrence is exceedingly rare in these tumours, although noted as per review of literature.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This is a retrospective study in which 16 cases were retrieved from Dept. of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery and Dept, of Oral Pathology in Narayana Dental College and Hospital, Nellore. The clinical photographs and radiographic findings were reviewed. Also H&E stained glass slides were reviewed by an oral pathologist to confirm the diagnosis of AOT.

Case Report -1

A 13 year old male patient, reported to the department of oral and maxillofacial surgery with a complaint of swelling in left side of face since 1 year. The patient attenders revealed that initially the swelling was small in size and gradually it increased in size to reach its present size in 3 months duration. Also, patient gives history that the mass was neither associated pain, discharge nor history of trauma. No history of systemic diseases.

On examination, mild facial asymmetry noted in relation to left side of face. On palpation of 3x2 cm
in size and was firm in nature. On intra oral examination, solitary swelling obliterating nasolabial fold with well define demarcation, extending from 22 to 25 region; with roughly oval shape. There was obvious vestibular space obliteration. On dental examination, missing 23, 24 noted with retained 63. On palpation, swelling was firm in consistency and nontender. Egg shell cracking noted indicating resorption of anterior wall of maxilla. Palatally, there was no swelling and palatal mucosa is firm and normal. Provisionally, the lesion was diagnosed as odontogenic tumour.

Radiographic finding revealed well defined radiolucent lesion in left maxillary region with impacted 23 (with incomplete root formation) and 24 with completed root formation. Resorption of root noted irt retained 63; displacement of 25 noted. Enucleation was done along with impacted 23, 24. On 3 months follow up, patient was apparently normal. Histopathology report confirmed AOT.

Case 1

Fig-1: (a-c) Pre operative photographs, (d-f) intra op photographs

Case Report – 2

A 11yr old female patient reported with chief complaint of painless, slow growing swelling in lower anterior jaw region since 6 months. Patient was asymptomatic 6 months ago and swelling gradually increased and attained present size. Patient denies history of trauma, discharge or pain in the region of swelling. On examination, there was mild facial asymmetry with an obvious enlargement of the lower one third of the face. Swelling was roughly oval in shape measuring 4x5 cm approximately. Intraorally a well defined nodular, alveolar enlargement was noticed extending from 41 to 45 region, inferiorly extending to the depth of the vestibule. The gingival over the swelling was normal but stretched. On dental examination, there was retained 83 and missing 43. The teeth involved in the lesion were mobile and severely displaced. There was an obvious bicornical expansion involving both buccal and lingual cortices. On palpation, the lesion was firm in consistency with areas of perforation on the buccal cortex. Lingual cortex was intact. Radiographically, OPG revealed a well defined circumscribed radiolucency with a sharp sclerotic border. A differential diagnosis of radicular cyst, ameloblastoma, dentigerous cyst and AOT were considered and surgical enucleation was planned under general anaesthesia. A crevicular incision was placed from 33 to 44. Healthy and sound bone was identified and mucoperiosteal flap was raised off the lesion on the buccal aspect. The buccal cortex was almost thinned off leaving only wafer thin bone covering the mass. This thinned out bone was nibbled and removed all along the mass. The mass was easily separable from the lingual cortex and was enucleated into along with the associated teeth. The bed of the cavity was examined for any remnants of the lesion. Hemostasis achieved. Mucoperiosteal flap closure done. The bony defect healed well in post op follow up of 6 months. The patient was then referred to department of prosthodontics for dental rehabilitation. Histopathology report confirmed the diagnosis of Adenomatoid odontogenic tumor.
RESULTS
Table-1 summarizes the main clinical, radiographic and various treatment modalities executed in a total of 16 cases operated at Narayana Dental College and Hospital, Nellore, Andhra Pradesh.

All the cases were intra bony. Of the 16 cases, 12 were female and 4 were male with an age range of 10-30yrs. Maxilla was most commonly affected in 12 cases (75%) and rest were in mandible (25%). Out of 16 cases, 9 had impacted canine 6 in maxilla and 3 in the mandible) within the lesion and Majority of the cases had swelling and vestibular space obliteration. Two cases were discussed in detail in this paper - one in the maxilla and the other in the mandible.

### Table-1

| CASE | AGE/SEX | SITE                  | CLINICAL                        | RADIOGRAPH                        |
|------|---------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1    | 13/M    | LEFT ANTERIOR MAXILLA | SWELLING WITH VESTIBULAR        | UNILOCULAR RADIOLUCENCY WITH       |
|      |         |                       | OBLITERATION                    | IMPACTED 23, 24                   |
| 2    | 30/F    | LEFT ANTERIOR MAXILLA | SWELLING                        | UNILOCULAR RADIOLUCENCY WITH       |
|      |         |                       |                                 | IMPACTED 23                        |
| 3    | 11/F    | ANTERIOR MANDIBLE     | SWELLING WITH VESTIBULAR         | UNILOCULAR RADIOLUCENCY WITH       |
|      |         |                       | OBLITERATION                    | IMPACTED 43                        |
| 4    | 16/F    | RIGHT MAXILLA (IMPACTED 14) | SWELLING                        | UNILOCULAR RADIOLUCENCY WITH       |
|      |         |                       |                                 | IMPACTED 14                        |
| 5    | 20/F    | ANTERIOR MAXILLA      | SWELLING                        | UNILOCULAR RADIOLUCENCY WITH       |
|      |         |                       |                                 | IMPACTED 23                        |
| 6    | 11/M    | ANTERIOR MANDIBLE     | SWELLING WITH VESTIBULAR         | UNILOCULAR RADIOLUCENCY WITH       |
|      |         |                       | OBLITERATION                    | IMPACTED 42                        |
| 7    | 12/F    | RIGHT MAXILLA         | SWELLING                        | UNILOCULAR RADIOLUCENCY WITH       |
|      |         |                       |                                 | IMPACTED 12                        |
| 8    | 9/F     | LEFT MAXILLA          | SWELLING                        | UNILOCULAR RADIOLUCENCY WITH       |
|      |         |                       |                                 | IMPACTED 22, 23, 24                |
| 9    | 10/M    | RIGHT MAXILLA         | SWELLING WITH VESTIBULAR         | UNILOCULAR RADIOLUCENCY WITH       |
|      |         |                       | OBLITERATION                    | IMPACTED 15                        |
| 10   | 14/M    | RIGHT MANDIBLE        | SWELLING                        | UNILOCULAR RADIOLUCENCY WITH       |
|      |         |                       |                                 | IMPACTED 44                        |
| 11   | 18/F    | ANTERIOR MANDIBLE     | SWELLING WITH VESTIBULAR         | UNILOCULAR RADIOLUCENCY WITH       |
|      |         |                       | OBLITERATION                    | IMPACTED 33                        |
| 12   | 15/F    | ANTERIOR MAXILLA      | SWELLING                        | UNILOCULAR RADIOLUCENCY WITH       |
|      |         |                       |                                 | IMPACTED 13                        |
| 13   | 22/F    | RIGHT MAXILLA         | SWELLING                        | UNILOCULAR RADIOLUCENCY WITH       |
|      |         |                       |                                 | IMPACTED 15                        |
| 14   | 20/F    | LEFT MAXILLA          | SWELLING                        | UNILOCULAR RADIOLUCENCY WITH       |
|      |         |                       |                                 | IMPACTED 24                        |
| 15   | 26/F    | ANTERIOR MAXILLA      | SWELLING WITH VESTIBULAR         | UNILOCULAR RADIOLUCENCY WITH       |
|      |         |                       | OBLITERATION                    | IMPACTED 13                        |
| 16   | 14/F    | ANTERIOR MAXILLA      | SWELLING                        | UNILOCULAR RADIOLUCENCY WITH       |
|      |         |                       |                                 | IMPACTED 23                        |
DISCUSSION
AOT is relatively uncommon benign odontogenic tumor of comprising of 3% of all odontogenic tumors [1, 2]. It has characteristic clinical features that make the diagnosis more obvious. The tumor is largely confined to young adults with 2/3rds of the cases occurring in the age group between 10 – 19yrs. Its occurrence in most of the times confined to anterior region with 2/3rd cases in maxilla. Cases were also noted in mandible and paranasal sinus regions. There is definite predilection for females and always associated with an unerupted tooth, most commonly canine [4]. Marx [6] described it as 2/3rds tumor due to these characteristic features. In our study we also noted that cases were having predilection for female gender, anterior maxilla with most of the cases having impacted canine.

Philipsen and Reichert [5] have described three clinico-pathological variants namely – follicular, extrafollicular and peripheral. The follicular variety is associated with an impacted tooth where as extrafollicular variety is not associated with an impacted tooth. In our study we noted that all cases were of follicular variant.

Radiographically the lesion may mimic other odontogenic lesions such as dentigerous cysts, globulomaxillarycyst, and odontogenic kertocyst and hence histopathological diagnosis is the ultimate deciding factor for the final diagnosis.

Displacement of teeth involved in the lesion, the characteristic feature of AOT rather than root resorption, was noted in our cases. Peripheral lesions show cortical erosion. Calcifications were noted in 78% of the AOT cases. Immunohistochemistry features show cytokeratin (CK5, CK17, CK19) positive similar to that seen in follicular cyst [6, 7].

CONCLUSION
This relatively uncommon lesion can be easily mistaken for a dentigerous cyst and hence it must be considered in the differential diagnosis of anterior jaw swellings. Although it is originally classified under benign tumors, the clinical behavior is very much similar to cyst. The recurrence rate is also low with good prognosis and hence conservative management in the form of enucleation should be the gold standard treatment of choice.

REFERENCES
1. Kundoor VK, Maloth KN, Guguloth NN, Kesidi S. Extrafollicular adenomatoid odontogenic tumor: An unusual case presentation. Journal of Dentistry. 2016 Dec;17(4):370.
2. Vasudevan K, Kumar S, Vijayasamundeeswari SV. Adenomatoid odontogenic tumor, an uncommon tumor. Contemporary clinical dentistry. 2012 Apr;3(2):245.
3. Al-Shimari F, Chandra S, Oda D. Adenomatoidodontogenic tumor: Case series of 14 with wide range of clinical presentation. Journal of clinical and experimental dentistry. 2017 Nov;9(11):e1315.
4. Philipsen HP, Reichart PA. Adenomatoid odontogenic tumour: facts and figures. Oral oncology. 1999 Mar 1;35(2):125-31.
5. Marx RE, Stern D. Oral and maxillofacial pathology: a rationale for diagnosis and treatment. Hanover Park, IL: Quintessence Pub. Co., 2012.
6. Baskaran P, Misra S, Kumar MS, Mithra R. Adenomatoid odontogenic tumor–A report of two cases with histopathology correlation. Journal of clinical imaging science. 2011;1.
7. Sharma N, Passi S, Kumar VV. Adenomatoid odontogenic tumor: As an unusual mandibular manifestation. Contemporary clinical dentistry. 2012 Apr;3(Suppl1):S29.