Initial-to-Interface Maps for the Heat Equation on Composite Domains

By Natalie E. Sheils and Bernard Deconinck

A map from the initial conditions to the function and its first spatial derivative evaluated at the interface is constructed for the heat equation on finite and infinite domains with \( n \) interfaces. The existence of this map allows changing the problem at hand from an interface problem to a boundary value problem which allows for an alternative to the approach of finding a closed-form solution to the interface problem.

1. Introduction

Interface problems for partial differential equations (PDEs) are initial boundary value problems (BVPs) for which the solution of an equation in one domain prescribes boundary conditions for the equations in adjacent domains. In applications, conditions at the interface follow from conservation laws. Few interface problems allow for an explicit closed-form solution using classical solution methods. Using the Fokas method [1, 2] such solutions may be constructed. This has been done in the case of the heat equation with \( n \) interfaces in infinite, finite, and periodic domains as well as on graphs [3–7]. The method has also been extended to dispersive problems [8, 9], and higher order problems [10]. These works construct explicit solutions in terms of given initial and boundary conditions. The value of the function at the interface is not known.
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In this paper, we consider the heat equation with $n$ interfaces on domains of finite and infinite extent. The problem of heat conduction in a composite wall is a classical problem in design and construction discussed in many excellent texts, see, for instance, [11, 12]. It is usual to restrict to the case of walls whose constitutive parts are in perfect thermal contact and have physical properties that are constant throughout the material and that are considered to be of infinite extent in the directions parallel to the wall. Furthermore, we assume that temperature and heat flux do not vary in these directions. In that case, the mathematical model for heat conduction in each wall layer is given by [12, chapter 10]:

$$u^{(j)}(x, t) = \alpha_j u^{(j)}_{xx}, \quad x_{j-1} < x < x_j,$$

where $u^{(j)}(x, t)$ denotes the temperature in the wall layer indexed by $(j)$, $\alpha_j > 0$ is the scaled thermal diffusivity of the $j$th layer, $x = x_{j-1}$ is the left extent of the layer, and $x = x_j$ is its right extent. The subindices denote derivatives with respect to the one-dimensional spatial variable $x$ and the temporal variable $t$. The function $u_0^{(j)}(x)$ is the prescribed initial condition of the system. The continuity of the temperature $u^{(j)}$ and of its associated heat flux $\alpha_j u^{(j)}_x$ are imposed across the interface between layers. In what follows it is convenient to use the quantity $\sigma_j$, defined as the positive square root of $\alpha_j$: $\sigma_j = \sqrt{\alpha_j}$. For a derivation of this system, see [6, 13].

If the layer is either at the far left or far right of the wall, Dirichlet, Neumann, or Robin boundary conditions can be imposed on its far left or right boundary, respectively, corresponding to prescribing “outside” temperature, heat flux, or a combination of these. A derivation of the interface boundary conditions is found in [12, chapter 1]. It should be noted that the set-up presented in (1a) also applies to the case of one-dimensional rods in perfect thermal contact. Even for the simple problem of two finite walls in perfect thermal contact, the classical approach using separation of variables [12] can provide only an implicit answer. Indeed, the solution obtained in [12] depends on certain eigenvalues defined through a transcendental equation that can be solved only numerically. In contrast, the Fokas method produces an explicit solution formula involving only known quantities.

The construction of a Dirichlet-to-Neumann map, that is, determining the boundary values that are not prescribed in terms of the initial and boundary conditions, is important in the study of PDEs and particularly inverse problems [14, 15]. In what follows we construct a similar map between the initial values of the PDE and the function (and some number of spatial derivatives) evaluated at the interface. This map allows for an alternative
to the approach of finding solutions to interface problems as presented in earlier papers using the Fokas method by the authors and others. The new direct construction of the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map would be most useful in the case where one is interested only in the behavior of solutions at the interface. The method presented here can be extended in a straightforward way to many other interface problems. To our knowledge, no such maps currently exist.

Given the initial conditions, one could find the value of the function and its derivatives at the interface(s) using these maps. This changes the problem at hand from an interface problem to a collection of independent BVPs. At this point, the BVPs could be solved using any number of methods appropriate for the given problem. Each BVP would be overspecified, however, by construction it is clear that the corresponding spectral functions are admissible [16], i.e., the data are mutually compatible.

2. The heat equation on an infinite domain with $n$ interfaces

Consider

$$u_t = \sigma(x) u_{xx}$$

(2)

together with the initial condition $u_0(x) = u(x, 0)$ and the asymptotic conditions $\lim_{|x| \to \infty} u(x, t) = 0$, where $-\infty < x < \infty$, $0 < t < T$, and

$$\sigma(x) = \begin{cases} 
\sigma_1^2, & x < x_1, \\
\sigma_2^2, & x_1 < x < x_2, \\
\vdots \\
\sigma_n^2, & x_{n-1} < x < x_n, \\
\sigma_{n+1}^2, & x > x_n.
\end{cases}$$

The restriction $\lim_{|x| \to \infty} u(x, t) = 0$ can easily be made more general as in [4].

We can rewrite (2) as the set of equations

$$u^{(j)}_t = \sigma_j^2 u^{(j)}_{xx}, \quad x_{j-1} < x < x_j, \quad 0 < t < T,$$

(3)

for $1 \leq j \leq n + 1$ where $x_0 = -\infty$ and $x_{n+1} = \infty$. We impose the continuity interface conditions [4, 13]

$$u^{(j)}(x_j, t) = u^{(j+1)}(x_j, t), \quad t > 0,$$

$$\sigma_j^2 u^{(j)}_x(x_j, t) = \sigma_{j+1}^2 u^{(j+1)}_x(x_j, t), \quad t > 0.$$
These interface conditions follow from conservation laws and are fully derived [17, chapter 1]. Since $u^{(j)}(x, t)$ is defined on the open interval $x_{j-1} < x < x_j$, when we write $u^{(j)}(x_j, t)$ we mean $\lim_{x \to x_j^-} u^{(j)}(x, t)$. Similarly, we denote $\lim_{x \to x_j^+} u^{(j+1)}(x, t)$ by $u^{(j+1)}(x_j, t)$. Without loss of generality we shift the problem so $x_1 = 0$. Using the usual steps of the Fokas method [1, 2, 18], we have the local relations

$$
\left( e^{-ikx + \omega_j(k)t} u^{(j)}(x, t) \right)_t = \left( \sigma_j^2 e^{-ikx + \omega_j(k)t} \left( u_x^{(j)}(x, t) + iku^{(j)}(x, t) \right) \right)_x,
$$

where $\omega_j(k) = (\sigma_j k)^2$. These relations are a one-parameter family obtained by rewriting (3).

Integrating over the appropriate cells of the domain (see Fig. 1) and applying Green’s Theorem we find the global relations

$$
0 = \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} e^{-ikx} u_0^{(j)}(x) \, dx - \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} e^{-ikx + \omega_j(k)T} u^{(j)}(x, T) \, dx
$$

$$
+ \int_0^T \sigma_j^2 e^{-ikx_j + \omega_j(k)s} \left( u_x^{(j)}(x_j, s) + iku^{(j)}(x_j, s) \right) \, ds
$$

$$
- \int_0^T \sigma_j^2 e^{-ikx_{j-1} + \omega_j(k)s} \left( u_x^{(j)}(x_{j-1}, s) + iku^{(j)}(x_{j-1}, s) \right) \, ds
$$

for $1 \leq j \leq n$. Define $D = \{k \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Re}(\omega_j(k)) > 0\}$, $D_R = \{k \in D : |k| > R\}$, and $D_R^+ = \{k \in D_R : \text{Im}(k) > 0\}$ as in Fig. 2(a) where $R > 0$ is an arbitrary finite constant. Since $|x|$ can become arbitrarily large for $j = 1$ and $j = n + 1$, we require $k \in \mathbb{C}^+$ when $j = 1$ and $k \in \mathbb{C}^-$ when $j = n + 1$, in Eq. (5) to guarantee that the integrals are defined. For $2 \leq j \leq n$, (5) is valid for $k \in \mathbb{C}$. The dispersion relation $\omega_j(k) = (\sigma_j k)^2$ is invariant under the symmetry $k \to -k$. We supplement the $n + 1$ global
relations above with their evaluation at \(-k\), namely,

\[
0 = \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} e^{ikx} u_0^{(j)}(x) \, dx - \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} e^{ikx+\omega_j(k)T} u^{(j)}(x, T) \, dx \\
+ \int_0^T \sigma_j^2 e^{ikx_j+\omega_j(k)\kappa} \left( u^{(j)}_x(x_j, s) - i ku^{(j)}(x_j, s) \right) \, ds \\
- \int_0^T \sigma_j^2 e^{ikx_{j-1}+\omega_j(k)\kappa} \left( u^{(j)}_x(x_{j-1}, s) - i ku^{(j)}(x_{j-1}, s) \right) \, ds
\]  

(6)

for \(1 \leq j \leq n+1\). When \(j = 1\), (6) is valid for \(k \in \mathbb{C}^{-}\). Similarly, for \(j = n+1\), (6) is valid for \(k \in \mathbb{C}^{+}\). For \(2 \leq j \leq n\), (6) is valid for all \(k \in \mathbb{C}\).

Without loss of generality, we choose to work with the equations valid in the upper half plane. Define

\[
g_0^{(j)}(\omega, t) = \int_0^t e^{\omega s} u^{(j)}(x_j, s) \, ds = \int_0^t e^{\omega s} u^{(j+1)}(x_j, s) \, ds, \\
g_1^{(j)}(\omega, t) = \int_0^t e^{\omega s} u^{(j)}_x(x_j, s) \, ds = \frac{\sigma_j^2}{\sigma_{j+1}^2} \int_0^t e^{\omega s} u^{(j+1)}_x(x_j, s) \, ds, \\
\hat{u}^{(j)}(k, t) = \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} e^{-ikx} u^{(j)}(x, t) \, dx, \\
\hat{u}_0^{(j)}(k) = \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} e^{-ikx} u_0^{(j)}(x) \, dx
\]

for \(1 \leq j \leq n\). Using the change of variables \(k = \kappa/\sigma_j\) on the \(j\)th equation, the global relations valid in the upper half plane are

---

**Figure 2.** (a) The domain \(D_R^+\) for the heat equation. (b) The contour \(\mathcal{L}_C^+\) is shown as a red dashed line. An application of Cauchy’s Integral Theorem using this contour allows elimination of the contribution of terms involving the Fourier transform of the solution.
\[ e^{\kappa^2 T} \hat{u}^{(1)} \left( \frac{\kappa}{\sigma_1}, T \right) - \hat{u}_0^{(1)} \left( \frac{\kappa}{\sigma_1} \right) = e^{-i \kappa \frac{x}{\sigma_1}} \left( i \kappa \sigma_1 g_0^{(1)}(\kappa^2, T) + \sigma_1^2 g_1^{(1)}(\kappa^2, T) \right), \]  
\begin{equation} \tag{7a} \end{equation}

\[ e^{\kappa^2 T} \hat{u}^{(j)} \left( \frac{\kappa}{\sigma_j}, T \right) - \hat{u}_0^{(j)} \left( \frac{\kappa}{\sigma_j} \right) = e^{-i \kappa \frac{x}{\sigma_j}} \left( i \kappa \sigma_j g_0^{(j)}(\kappa^2, T) + \sigma_j^2 g_1^{(j)}(\kappa^2, T) \right) - e^{-i \kappa \frac{x}{\sigma_{j-1}}} \left( i \kappa \sigma_{j-1} g_0^{(j-1)}(\kappa^2, T) + \sigma_{j-1}^2 g_1^{(j-1)}(\kappa^2, T) \right), \]  
\begin{equation} \tag{7b} \end{equation}

\[ e^{\kappa^2 T} \hat{u}^{(j)} \left( \frac{-\kappa}{\sigma_j}, T \right) - \hat{u}_0^{(j)} \left( \frac{-\kappa}{\sigma_j} \right) = e^{i \kappa \frac{x}{\sigma_j}} \left( -i \kappa \sigma_j g_0^{(j)}(\kappa^2, T) + \sigma_j^2 g_1^{(j)}(\kappa^2, T) \right) + e^{i \kappa \frac{x}{\sigma_{j-1}}} \left( i \kappa \sigma_{j-1} g_0^{(j-1)}(\kappa^2, T) - \sigma_{j-1}^2 g_1^{(j-1)}(\kappa^2, T) \right), \]  
\begin{equation} \tag{7c} \end{equation}

\[ e^{\kappa^2 T} \hat{u}^{(n+1)} \left( \frac{-\kappa}{\sigma_{n+1}}, T \right) - \hat{u}_0^{(n+1)} \left( \frac{-\kappa}{\sigma_{n+1}} \right) = e^{i \kappa \frac{x}{\sigma_{n+1}}} \left( i \kappa \sigma_{n+1} g_0^{(n)}(\kappa^2, T) - \sigma_{n+1}^2 g_1^{(n)}(\kappa^2, T) \right), \]  
\begin{equation} \tag{7d} \end{equation}

for \( 2 \leq j \leq n \). Equation (7) can be written as a linear system for the interface values:

\[ \mathcal{A}(\kappa) X(\kappa^2, T) = Y(\kappa) + \mathcal{Y}(\kappa, T), \]
where

\[ X(\kappa^2, T) = \left( g_0^{(1)}, g_0^{(2)}, \ldots, g_0^{(n)}, g_1^{(1)}, g_1^{(2)}, \ldots, g_1^{(n)} \right)^\top, \]

\[ Y(\kappa) = -\left( \hat{u}_0^{(1)} \left( \frac{\kappa}{\sigma_1} \right), \ldots, \hat{u}_0^{(n)} \left( \frac{\kappa}{\sigma_n} \right), \hat{u}_0^{(2)} \left( -\frac{\kappa}{\sigma_2} \right), \ldots, \hat{u}_0^{(n+1)} \left( -\frac{\kappa}{\sigma_{n+1}} \right) \right)^\top, \]

\[ \mathcal{J}(\kappa, T) = e^{\kappa^2 T} \left( \hat{u}^{(1)} \left( \frac{\kappa}{\sigma_1}, T \right), \ldots, \hat{u}^{(n)} \left( \frac{\kappa}{\sigma_n}, T \right), \hat{u}^{(2)} \left( -\frac{\kappa}{\sigma_2}, T \right), \ldots, \hat{u}^{(n+1)} \left( -\frac{\kappa}{\sigma_{n+1}}, T \right) \right)^\top, \]

and

\[
\mathcal{A}(\kappa) = \begin{pmatrix}
  i\kappa \sigma_1 e^{-\frac{i \kappa}{\sigma_1}} & -i\kappa \sigma_2 e^{-\frac{i \kappa}{\sigma_2}} & \cdots & -i\kappa \sigma_n e^{-\frac{i \kappa}{\sigma_n}} & -i\kappa \sigma_{n+1} e^{-\frac{i \kappa}{\sigma_{n+1}}} \\
  -i\kappa \sigma_1 e^{-\frac{i \kappa}{\sigma_1}} & i\kappa \sigma_2 e^{-\frac{i \kappa}{\sigma_2}} & \cdots & i\kappa \sigma_n e^{-\frac{i \kappa}{\sigma_n}} & i\kappa \sigma_{n+1} e^{-\frac{i \kappa}{\sigma_{n+1}}} \\
  \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
  -i\kappa \sigma_1 e^{-\frac{i \kappa}{\sigma_1}} & -i\kappa \sigma_2 e^{-\frac{i \kappa}{\sigma_2}} & \cdots & i\kappa \sigma_n e^{-\frac{i \kappa}{\sigma_n}} & i\kappa \sigma_{n+1} e^{-\frac{i \kappa}{\sigma_{n+1}}} \\
  i\kappa \sigma_1 e^{-\frac{i \kappa}{\sigma_1}} & i\kappa \sigma_2 e^{-\frac{i \kappa}{\sigma_2}} & \cdots & -i\kappa \sigma_n e^{-\frac{i \kappa}{\sigma_n}} & -i\kappa \sigma_{n+1} e^{-\frac{i \kappa}{\sigma_{n+1}}} \\
  \end{pmatrix}
\]

The matrix \( \mathcal{A}(\kappa) \) consists of four \( n \times n \) blocks as indicated by the dashed lines. The two blocks in the upper half of \( \mathcal{A}(\kappa) \) are zero except for entries on the main and \(-1\) diagonals. The lower two blocks of \( \mathcal{A}(\kappa) \) only have nonzero entries on the main and \(+1\) diagonals. The matrix \( \mathcal{A}(\kappa) \) is singular for isolated values of \( \kappa \). Asymptotically, for large \( |\kappa| \), the zeros of \( \det(\mathcal{A}(\kappa)) \) lie within a strip parallel to the real line \([19] \). Since asymptotically there are no zeros in \( D_R^+ \), a sufficiently large \( R \) may be chosen such that \( \mathcal{A}(\kappa) \) is nonsingular for every \( \kappa \in D_R^+ \) and \( \det(\mathcal{A}(\kappa)) \neq 0 \).

Using Cramer’s Rule to solve this system, we have

\[
g_0^{(j)}(\kappa^2, T) = \frac{\det(A_j(\kappa, T))}{\det(\mathcal{A}(\kappa))}, \quad (8a)
\]

\[
g_1^{(j)}(\kappa^2, T) = \frac{\det(A_{j+n}(\kappa, T))}{\det(\mathcal{A}(\kappa))}, \quad (8b)
\]

where \( 1 \leq j \leq n \) and \( A_j(\kappa, T) \) is the matrix \( \mathcal{A}(\kappa) \) with the \( j \)th column replaced by \( Y + \mathcal{J} \). This does not give an effective initial-to-interface map.
because (8) depends on the solutions \( \hat{u}^{(j)}(\cdot, T) \). To eliminate this dependence we multiply (8) by \( \kappa e^{-\kappa^2 t} \) and integrate around \( D_K^+ \). Switching the order of integration we have

\[
\int_0^T u^{(j)}(x_j, s) \kappa e^{\kappa^2(s-t)} \, ds = \int_{\partial D_K^+} e^{-\kappa^2 t} \kappa \frac{\det(A_j(\kappa, T))}{\det(A(\kappa))} \, d\kappa. \tag{9a}
\]

The preceding steps are typical in the construction of Dirichlet-to-Neumann maps [1].

To examine the right-hand side of (10), we factor the matrix \( A(\kappa) \) as \( A^L(\kappa) A^M(\kappa) \), where

\[
A^L(\kappa) = \begin{pmatrix}
e^{-i \frac{x_1}{\sigma_1}} & e^{-i \frac{x_2}{\sigma_2}} & \cdots & e^{-i \frac{x_n}{\sigma_n}} \\
e^{i \frac{x_1}{\sigma_1}} & e^{i \frac{x_2}{\sigma_2}} & \cdots & e^{i \frac{x_n}{\sigma_n}} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
e^{i \frac{x_1}{\sigma_1}} & e^{i \frac{x_2}{\sigma_2}} & \cdots & e^{i \frac{x_n}{\sigma_n}}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

is a diagonal matrix. The elements of \( A^M(\kappa) \) are either 0, \( O(\kappa) \), or decaying exponentially fast for \( \kappa \in D_K^+ \). Hence,

\[
\det(A^M(\kappa)) = c(\kappa) = O(\kappa^{2n})
\]

for large \( \kappa \) in \( D_K^+ \). Now, \( \det(A(\kappa)) = c(\kappa) \det(A^L(\kappa)) \) as \( \kappa \to \infty \) for \( \kappa \in D_K^+ \). Similarly, factor \( A_j(\kappa, T) = A^L(\kappa) A^M_j(\kappa, T), A^R_j(\kappa, T) \), where \( A^R_j(\kappa, T) \) is the \( 2n \times 2n \) identity matrix with the \( (j, j) \) component replaced by \( e^{\kappa^2 T} \).
Then \( \det(A_j(\kappa, T)) = e^{\kappa^2 T} \det(A^L(\kappa)) \det(A^M_j(\kappa, T)) \). Thus, the integrand we are considering in (10) is

\[
\int_{\partial D_R^+} e^{-\kappa^2 t} \frac{\kappa \det(A_j(\kappa, T))}{\det(A)} \, d\kappa = \int_{\partial D_R^+} e^{\kappa^2 (T-t)} \frac{\kappa \det(A^M_j(\kappa, T))}{c(\kappa)} \, d\kappa.
\]

The elements of \( A^M_j(\kappa, T) \) are the same as those in \( A^M(\kappa) \) except in the \( j \)th column. Expanding the determinant of \( A^M_j(\kappa, T) \) along the \( j \)th column we see that

\[
e^{\kappa^2 (T-t)} \frac{\kappa \det(A^M_j(\kappa, T))}{c(\kappa)} = \sum_{\ell=1}^n \left( c_v(\ell) \left( \frac{i \kappa x_\ell}{\sigma_\ell} + \kappa^2 (T-t) \frac{\kappa}{\sigma_\ell} \hat{u}^{(\ell)} \left( \frac{\kappa}{\sigma_\ell}, T \right) \right.ight.
\]

\[
- e^{-\kappa^2 t + \frac{i \kappa x_\ell}{\sigma_\ell}} \frac{\kappa}{\sigma_\ell} \hat{u}_0^{(\ell)} \left( \frac{\kappa}{\sigma_\ell} \right)
\]

\[
+ c_{\ell+n}(\kappa) \left( \frac{-i \kappa x_\ell}{\sigma_{\ell+1}} + \kappa^2 (T-t) \frac{-\kappa}{\sigma_{\ell+1}} \hat{u}^{(\ell+1)} \left( \frac{-\kappa}{\sigma_{\ell+1}}, T \right) - e^{-\kappa^2 t - \frac{i \kappa x_\ell}{\sigma_{\ell+1}}} \hat{u}_0^{(\ell+1)} \left( \frac{-\kappa}{\sigma_{\ell+1}} \right) \right),
\]

where \( c_\ell = O(\kappa^0) \) and \( c_{\ell+n} = O(\kappa) \) for \( 1 \leq \ell \leq n \). The terms involving \( \hat{u}^{(\ell)}(\cdot, T) \), the solutions of our equation, are decaying exponentially for \( \kappa \in D_R^+ \). Thus, by Jordan’s lemma [20], the integral of this term along a closed, bounded curve in \( \mathbb{C}^+ \) vanishes. In particular, we consider the closed curve \( \mathcal{L}^+ = \mathcal{L}_{D_R^+} \cup \mathcal{L}_C^+ \) where \( \mathcal{L}_{D_R^+} = \partial D_R^+ \cap \{ k : |k| < C \} \) and \( \mathcal{L}_C^+ = \{ k \in D_R^+ : |k| = C \} \), see Fig. 2(b). Since the integral along \( \mathcal{L}_{C}^+ \) vanishes for large \( C \), (11) must vanish since the contour \( \mathcal{L}_{D_R^+} \) becomes \( \partial D_R^+ \) as \( C \to \infty \).

Since the terms involving the elements of \( \mathcal{Y}(\kappa, T) \) evaluate to zero in the solution expression we have the solution

\[
u^{(j)}(x_j, t) = \frac{1}{i \pi} \int_{\partial D_R^+} e^{-\kappa^2 t} \frac{\kappa \det(A_j(\kappa))}{\det(A(\kappa))} \, d\kappa,
\]

\[
\nu_x^{(j)}(x_j, t) = \frac{1}{i \pi} \int_{\partial D_R^+} e^{-\kappa^2 t} \frac{\kappa \det(A_{j+n}(\kappa))}{\det(A(\kappa))} \, d\kappa
\]

for \( 1 \leq j \leq n+1 \), where \( A_j(\kappa) \) is the matrix \( A(\kappa) \) with the \( j \)th column replaced by \( Y(\kappa) \). Equation (12) is an effective map between the values of the function at the interface and the given initial conditions.

**Remark.** Note that since the problem is linear, one could have assumed the initial condition was zero for \( x \) outside the region \( x_{\ell-1} < x < x_\ell \). Then, the map would be in terms of just \( \hat{u}_0^{(\ell)}(\cdot) \). Summing over \( 1 \leq \ell \leq n+1 \) would give the complete map for a general initial condition.
As an example of a specific initial-to-interface map, we consider Eq. (2) with \( n = 1 \). Using (12), we have

\[
\sigma_1^2 u_x^{(1)}(0, t) = \frac{i}{\pi(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2)} \int_{\partial D_R^+} \kappa e^{-\kappa^2 t} \left( \sigma_2 \hat{\sigma}_0^{(1)} \left( \frac{\kappa}{\sigma_1} \right) - \sigma_1 \hat{\sigma}_0^{(2)} \left( \frac{-\kappa}{\sigma_2} \right) \right) d\kappa,
\]

\[
u^{(1)}(0, t) = \frac{1}{\pi(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2)} \int_{\partial D_R^+} e^{-\kappa^2 t} \left( \hat{\sigma}_0^{(1)} \left( \frac{\kappa}{\sigma_1} \right) + \hat{\sigma}_0^{(2)} \left( \frac{-\kappa}{\sigma_2} \right) \right) d\kappa.
\]

In this case, we can deform \( D_R^+ \) back to the real line with no pole contributions. Switching the order of integration and evaluating the \( \kappa \) integral we have

\[
\sigma_1^2 u_x^{(1)}(0, t) = \frac{1}{2t^{3/2} \sqrt{\pi(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2)}} \left( \frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1} \int_{-\infty}^{0} ye^{-y^2/4t} u_0^{(1)}(y) dy + \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2} \int_{0}^{\infty} ye^{-y^2/4t} u_0^{(2)}(y) dy \right),
\]

(13a)

\[
u^{(1)}(0, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi t(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2)}} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{-y^2/4t} u_0^{(1)}(y) dy + \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-y^2/4t} u_0^{(2)}(y) dy \right),
\]

(13b)

which is an explicit map from the initial data to the value of the temperature and its associated flux at the interface, \( x = 0 \). If one allows \( \sigma_1 = \sigma_2 \), the problem is simply that of the heat equation on the whole line. Equation (13) with \( \sigma_1 = \sigma_2 \) is exactly the Green’s Function solution of the whole line problem evaluated at \( x = 0 \) [13].

3. The heat equation on a finite domain with \( n \) interfaces

Consider (2) on a finite domain, \( x_0 \leq x \leq x_{n+1} \), with the boundary conditions

\[
\beta_1 u^{(1)}(x_0, t) + \beta_2 u_x^{(1)}(x_0, t) = f_1(t), \quad t > 0,
\]

(14a)

\[
\beta_3 u^{(n+1)}(x_{n+1}, t) + \beta_4 u_x^{(n+1)}(x_{n+1}, t) = f_2(t), \quad t > 0.
\]

(14b)

As before, we rewrite (2) as the set of equations

\[
u^{(j)}(x, t) = \sigma_j^2 u_x^{(j)}, \quad x_{j-1} < x < x_j, \quad 0 < t < T
\]

for \( 1 \leq j \leq n + 1 \), subject to the continuity interface conditions

\[
u^{(j)}(x_j, t) = \nu^{(j+1)}(x_j, t), \quad t > 0,
\]

\[
\sigma_j^2 u_x^{(j)}(x_j, t) = \sigma_{j+1}^2 u_x^{(j+1)}(x_j, t), \quad t > 0
\]

for \( 1 \leq j \leq n \). Without loss of generality, we shift the problem so that \( x_0 = 0 \).
The following steps are very similar to those presented in the previous section. In what follows, we give a brief outline of the changes needed to solve on a finite domain.

Integrating the local relations (4) around the appropriate domain (see Fig. 3) and applying Green’s Theorem, we find the global relations (5) and their evaluation at \( -k \) (6). In contrast to Section 2, these \( 2n + 2 \) global relations are all valid for \( k \in \mathbb{C} \). In addition to the definitions in Section 2, we define

\[
\begin{align*}
g_0^{(0)}(\omega, t) &= \int_0^t e^{\omega s} u^{(1)}(x_0, s) \, ds, \\
g_0^{(n+1)}(\omega, t) &= \int_0^t e^{\omega s} u^{(n+1)}(x_{n+1}, s) \, ds, \\
g_1^{(0)}(\omega, t) &= \int_0^t e^{\omega s} u_x^{(1)}(x_0, s) \, ds, \\
g_1^{(n+1)}(\omega, t) &= \int_0^t e^{\omega s} u_x^{(n+1)}(x_{n+1}, s) \, ds, \\
\tilde{f}_m(\omega, t) &= \int_0^t e^{\omega s} f_m(s) \, ds 
\end{align*}
\]

for \( m = 1, 2 \). Using the change of variables \( k = \kappa / \sigma_j \), the \( n + 1 \) global relations are

\[
\begin{align*}
e^{\kappa^2 t} \hat{u}^{(j)} \left( \frac{\kappa}{\sigma_j}, T \right) - \hat{u}^{(j)}_0 \left( \frac{\kappa}{\sigma_j} \right) &= e^{\frac{-i\kappa \sigma_j}{\sigma_j}} \left( i \kappa \sigma_j g_0^{(j)}(\kappa^2, T) + \sigma_j^2 g_1^{(j)}(\kappa^2, T) \right) \\
&- e^{\frac{-i\kappa \sigma_j}{\sigma_j}} \left( i \kappa \sigma_j g_0^{(j-1)}(\kappa^2, T) + \sigma_j^2 g_1^{(j-1)}(\kappa^2, T) \right), \\
&\text{(15a)}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
e^{\kappa^2 t} \hat{u}^{(j)} \left( \frac{-\kappa}{\sigma_j}, T \right) - \hat{u}^{(j)}_0 \left( \frac{-\kappa}{\sigma_j} \right) &= e^{\frac{i\kappa \sigma_j}{\sigma_j}} \left( -i \kappa \sigma_j g_0^{(j)}(\kappa^2, T) + \sigma_j^2 g_1^{(j)}(\kappa^2, T) \right) \\
&+ e^{\frac{i\kappa \sigma_j}{\sigma_j}} \left( i \kappa \sigma_j g_0^{(j-1)}(\kappa^2, T) - \sigma_j^2 g_1^{(j-1)}(\kappa^2, T) \right), \\
&\text{(15b)}
\end{align*}
\]

for \( 1 \leq j \leq n + 1 \), where we define \( \sigma_0 = \sigma_1 \) for convenience. These equations, together with the boundary values (14), can be written as a linear system for the interface values

\[
A^F X^F = Y^F + Y^F,
\]
where

\[
X^F(\kappa^2, T) = \left( g_0^{(0)}, g_0^{(1)}, \ldots, g_0^{(n+1)}, g_1^{(0)}, g_1^{(1)}, \ldots, g_1^{(n+1)} \right) ^\top,
\]

\[
Y^F(\kappa, T) = -\left( -\tilde{f}_1(\kappa^2, T), \tilde{y}_0^{(1)}, \ldots, \tilde{y}_0^{(n+1)}, \tilde{y}_1^{(1)}, \ldots, \tilde{y}_1^{(n+1)} \right) ^\top,
\]

\[
\gamma^F(\kappa, T) = e^{i\tau_T} \left( 0, \tilde{y}_0^{(1)}, \ldots, \tilde{y}_0^{(n+1)}, \tilde{y}_1^{(1)}, \ldots, \tilde{y}_1^{(n+1)} \right) ^\top,
\]

and

\[
A^F(\kappa) = 
\begin{pmatrix}
\beta_1 & -i\kappa \sigma_1 e^{-i\frac{\kappa \sigma_1}{\sigma_1}} & \ldots & -i\kappa \sigma_1 e^{-i\frac{\kappa \sigma_1}{\sigma_1}} \\
-i\kappa \sigma_1 e^{-i\frac{\kappa \sigma_1}{\sigma_1}} & \beta_2 & \ldots & -i\kappa \sigma_1 e^{-i\frac{\kappa \sigma_1}{\sigma_1}} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
-i\kappa \sigma_{n+1} e^{-i\frac{\kappa \sigma_{n+1}}{\sigma_{n+1}}} & -i\kappa \sigma_{n+1} e^{-i\frac{\kappa \sigma_{n+1}}{\sigma_{n+1}}} & \ldots & \beta_3 \\
i\kappa \sigma_{n+1} e^{i\frac{\kappa \sigma_{n+1}}{\sigma_{n+1}}} & -i\kappa \sigma_{n+1} e^{i\frac{\kappa \sigma_{n+1}}{\sigma_{n+1}}} & \ldots & \beta_4 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

The matrix \( A^F(\kappa) \) is made up of four \((n+2) \times (n+2)\) blocks as indicated by the dashed lines. The two blocks in the upper half of \( A^F(\kappa) \) are zero except for entries on the main and \(-1\) diagonals. The lower two blocks of \( A^F(\kappa) \) only have entries on the main and \(+1\) diagonals.

As before we use Cramer’s Rule to solve this system. After multiplying the solutions by \( \kappa e^{-\kappa^2 T} \), integrating around \( D_R^+ \), and simplifying as in the previous section we follow a similar process to show the terms from \( \gamma^F(\kappa, T) \) do not contribute to our solution formula using Jordan’s Lemma and Cauchy’s Theorem. One can show that \( A^F_j(\kappa, T) \) can be replaced by \( A^F_j(\kappa, t) \) by writing \( \int_0^T ds \) as \( \int_0^t ds + \int_t^T ds \) and noticing where the function in analytic and decaying. If the boundary conditions (14) are time-independent then so is \( A^F_j \).
In general, the initial-to-interface map for the heat equation on a finite domain with \( n \) interfaces is given by

\[
\begin{align*}
    u^{(1)}(x_0, t) &= \int_{\partial D_0^+} e^{-\kappa^2 t} \kappa \det \left( \frac{A_F^1(\kappa, t)}{i \pi \det(A_F(\kappa))} \right) d\kappa, \\
    u^{(n+1)}_x(x_2, t) &= \int_{\partial D_0^+} e^{-\kappa^2 t} \kappa \det \left( \frac{A_F^{n+3}(\kappa, t)}{i \pi \det(A_F(\kappa))} \right) d\kappa,
\end{align*}
\]

for \( 1 \leq j \leq n+1 \), where \( A_F^j(\kappa, t) \) is the matrix \( A_F(\kappa, t) \) with the \( j \)th column replaced by \( Y_F^j(\kappa, t) \).

As an example of a specific initial-to-interface map, we consider (2) on a finite domain with \( n = 1 \) with boundary conditions

\[
\begin{align*}
    u^{(1)}_x(0, t) &= f_1(t), \quad t > 0, \\
    u^{(n+1)}_x(x_2, t) &= f_2(t), \quad t > 0
\end{align*}
\]

and zero initial conditions

\[
\begin{align*}
    u^{(j)}(x, 0) &= 0, \quad x_{j-1} < x < x_j
\end{align*}
\]

for \( 1 \leq j \leq n+1 \). Using (16), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
    \sigma_1^2 u^{(1)}_x(x_1, t) &= \frac{-i \sigma_1 \sigma_2}{\pi} \int_{\partial D_0^+} \kappa e^{-\kappa^2 t} \left( \frac{\sigma_1 f_1(\kappa^2, t) \sin \left( \frac{\kappa(x_1 - x_2)}{\sigma_2} \right) - \sigma_2 f_2(\kappa^2, t) \sin \left( \frac{\kappa x_1}{\sigma_1} \right)}{\sigma_1 \cos \left( \frac{\kappa(x_1 - x_2)}{\sigma_2} \right) \sin \left( \frac{\kappa x_1}{\sigma_1} \right) - \sigma_2 \cos \left( \frac{\kappa x_1}{\sigma_1} \right) \sin \left( \frac{\kappa(x_1 - x_2)}{\sigma_2} \right)} \right) d\kappa, \\
    u^{(1)}(x_1, t) &= \frac{-i}{\pi} \int_{\partial D_0^+} \kappa e^{-\kappa^2 t} \left( \frac{\sigma_1^2 f_1(\kappa^2, t) \cos \left( \frac{\kappa(x_1 - x_2)}{\sigma_2} \right) - \sigma_2^2 f_2(\kappa^2, t) \cos \left( \frac{\kappa x_1}{\sigma_1} \right)}{\sigma_1 \cos \left( \frac{\kappa(x_1 - x_2)}{\sigma_2} \right) \sin \left( \frac{\kappa x_1}{\sigma_1} \right) - \sigma_2 \cos \left( \frac{\kappa x_1}{\sigma_1} \right) \sin \left( \frac{\kappa(x_1 - x_2)}{\sigma_2} \right)} \right) d\kappa.
\end{align*}
\]
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