Asymmetric synthesis of biaryl atropisomers by dynamic resolution on condensation of biaryl aldehydes with (−)-ephedrine or a proline-derived diamine
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Abstract
Atropisomeric biaryl aldehydes undergo diastereoselective condensation with (−)-ephedrine and with a proline-derived diamine, with selectivity highly dependent on solvent, temperature and reaction conditions. Levels of thermodynamic control up to 5:1 may be obtained by heating the diamine with the aldehyde in a sealed tube. Alternatively, crystallisation-induced dynamic transformation allows isolation of a single diastereoisomer in up to 85% yield. Hydrolysis and reduction of the major diastereoisomeric product of the reaction yields atropisomeric biaryls in >99:1 enantiomeric ratios.

Introduction
Atropisomeric biaryl compounds have proved to be among the most successful of all chiral ligands for metal-catalysed asymmetric transformations [1,2]. Many biaryl ligands have been obtained in enantiomerically pure form by means of resolution [3], but there are also a number of important enantioselective methods for the synthesis of biaryls [4-9].

In view of the thermal instability inherent in a stereogenic bond (rather than a centre) dynamic methods appear particularly suited to the stereoselective synthesis of atropisomers [10,11]. In connection with our work on non-biaryl atropisomers such as amides [12-16], ethers [17] and ureas [18-20], we have explored the opportunities offered by dynamic kinetic [21-23] and dynamic thermodynamic [24] resolution [11,16,25-30]. We reported methods for the latter based on resolving “auxiliaries” which include silyl ethyl groups [28], proline-derived imidazolidines [25,27], ephedrine-derived oxazolidines [26,27], and, most extensively, sulfoxides [16,29-31]. These perform well when a powerful electronic or steric bias is evident about the atropisomeric bond over which control is applied [32], and in the case of atropisomeric amides have offered levels of conformational control up to 200:1 [33].
In this paper we present our extension of this work to the more conventional family of biphenyl compounds, which present a more challenging group of substrates because of the lack of steric or electronic contrast between the two conformers about an Ar–Ar bond. We find that a thermodynamic resolution is possible in certain cases and under rather precisely defined conditions. We propose a rationale for the selectivities observed which invoke thermodynamic resolution enabled by the presence of water.

Results and Discussion

Synthesis of the racemic substrates

Previous success with stereocontrol employing ephedrine-derived oxazolidines [15,26,27,34,35] and proline-derived imidazolidines [25,27] prompted us to investigate the thermal stability and conformational preferences of similar products arising from condensation reactions of 2-formylbiaryls. A family of starting aldehydes 6a–f was made by the method of Meyers [36]. 2,3-Dimethoxybenzoic acid (1) was converted via its acyl chloride to oxazoline 3, from which the 2-methoxy group was displaced with a series of aryl Grignard reagents 4, yielding biaryloxazolines 5a–f (Scheme 1 and Table 1). Removal of the oxazoline by methylation, reduction and hydrolysis returned the aldehydes 6a–f.

Screening for selectivity by NMR

In order to investigate the ratios of diastereoisomers formed as these aldehydes condensed with the resolving agents, 6a was mixed with 1 equiv of either 7 or 8 in toluene-d₈ or benzene-d₆ in an NMR tube (Scheme 2). The temperature of the tube was raised stepwise from rt to 110 °C as indicated in Table 2, allowing 30 min at each temperature and monitoring the changing ratio of diastereoisomers by ¹H NMR. We assume that the condensations are diastereoselective at the new stereogenic centre, in accordance with literature precedent [15,25-27,34,35].

At temperatures below 70 °C, mixtures of diastereoisomeric imidazolidines 9 and oxazolidines 10 were observed with rather poor and somewhat variable selectivity (1:1–1.5:1). However, as the temperature rose above 80 °C in toluene-d₈, the ratio of diastereoisomers of imidazolidines 9 also rose as high as 5:1. The ratio of oxazolidines 10 reached 2:1 under the same conditions. The ratios in benzene-d₆ were somewhat lower in each case.

![Scheme 1: Synthesis of racemic aldehydes.](image)

![Scheme 2: Diastereoisomeric imidazolidines and oxazolidines from biaryl aldehydes.](image)

| entry | R¹, R²   | Oxazoline 5, yield | Aldehyde 6, yield |
|-------|---------|-------------------|-----------------|
| 1     | MeO, H  | 5a, 82            | 6a, 70          |
| 2     | EtO, H  | 5b, 84            | 6b, 71          |
| 3     | i-PrO, H| 5c, 79            | 6c, 45          |
| 4     | Me, H   | 5d, 80            | 6d, 71          |
| 5     | Et, H   | 5e, 81            | 6e, 48          |
| 6     | i-Pr, H | 5f, 80            | 6f, 44          |
| 7     | benzo⁴  | 5g, 91            | 6g, 31          |

⁴g = 1-naphthylmagnesium bromide.
The increase in selectivity as the temperature rises is presumably the result of a thermodynamically controlled interconversion of the atropisomeric diastereoisomers of 9 and 10. It seems probable however that the varying selectivities at lower temperatures are the result of a complex interplay between the kinetic and thermodynamic factors.

### Preparative dynamic resolutions

We decided to pursue this lead, and repeated the synthesis of 9 and 10 from 6a and also the other aldehydes 6d and 6g. Equimolar quantities of 6 and either 7 or 8 were heated under a Dean-Stark condenser at reflux in either benzene, toluene or xylene. The results are shown in Table 3.

Disappointingly, a ratio no greater than 3:1 was achieved, and this only when the reaction was conducted with 6a. Moreover, the selectivity at both lower and higher temperatures was diminished to 1:1, a feature which suggests that toluene has some special feature as a solvent irrespective of its boiling temperature. Nonetheless, when solutions of 9a and 10a were cooled to room temperature, the major diastereoisomer in each case crystallised from the solution in good yield. The X-ray crystal structures of these two compounds are shown in Figure 1 and Figure 2. 

The preparative results were therefore repeated by heating equimolar amounts of the diamine 7 and the aldehydes 6 in toluene in a sealed tube at 110 °C for 16 h. Pleasingly, in three cases, the same 5:1 selectivity was observed as in the NMR experiments. Isolated yields of the three major diastereoisomers were moderate due to the challenging nature of the chromatographic purification.

In order to exploit the asymmetric transformation of (+)-6 into diastereosomically enriched P-9, a method for removal of the auxiliary was required. Previous experience [25,27] had shown that hydrolysis and in situ reduction allows isolation of related compounds bearing hydroxymethyl groups, whose barriers to racemisation are somewhat higher than those of the corresponding aldehydes [37] obtained by hydrolysis alone.

| T/°C | P-9a : M-9a | P-9a : M-9a | P-10a : M-10a | P-10a : M-10a |
|------|--------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|
| 25   | 1:1          | –            | –              | –            |
| 40   | 1.5:1        | 1:1          | –              | –            |
| 50   | 1.5:1        | 1:1          | 1.7:1          | 1:1          |
| 60   | 1.5:1        | 1:1          | 1.5:1          | 1:1          |
| 70   | 1.5:1        | –            | 1.2:1          | 1.2:1        |
| 80   | 1.8:1        | 1.6:1        | –              | 1.2:1        |
| 90   | 5:1          | 1.8:1        | –              | 1:1          |
| 100  | 5:1          | –            | 2:1            | –            |
| 110  | 5:1          | –            | 2:1            | –            |

*a*Stereochemistry assigned by X-ray crystallography: see below. 
*b*Solvent = toluene-d$_6$. 
*c*Solvent = benzene-d$_6$. 

### Table 3: Preparative scale synthesis of imidazolidines 9 and oxazolidines 10 using (a) a Dean-Stark trap (DS) and (b) a sealed tube.

| Starting aldehyde | 9$^b$ | 9$^c$ | 9$^d$ | 10$^b$ | 10$^c$ | 10$^d$ | 9$^e$ | P-9$^g$% |
|-------------------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|-------|---------|
| 6a                | 1:1   | 3:19$^h$ | 1:1   | 1:1    | 3:19$^i$ | 1:1    | 5:19$^g$ | 27      |
| 6b                | –     | –     | –     | –      | –      | –      | 5:1$^l$ | 37      |
| 6c                | –     | –     | –     | –      | –      | –      | 5:1$^l$ | 34      |
| 6d                | 1:1   | 1:1   | 1:1   | 1:1    | 1:1    | 1:1    | 1:1    | 32      |
| 6e                | –     | –     | –     | –      | –      | –      | 1:1    | 24      |
| 6f                | –     | –     | –     | –      | –      | –      | 1:1    | 24      |
| 6g                | 1:1   | 1:1   | 1:1   | 1:1    | 1:1    | 1:1    | 1:1    | 27      |

*a*Carried out in a Dean Stark apparatus. 
*b*Solvent = benzene. 
*c*Solvent = toluene. 
*d*Solvent = xylene. 
*f*Carried out in a sealed tube. 
*Yield after chromatographical purification. 
*Stereochemistry assigned by X-ray crystallography: see below. 
*81% isolated on crystallisation. 
*85% isolated on crystallisation. 
*Stereochemistry assigned by analogy with 10a.
After trial reactions to establish optimal conditions, purified imidazolidines \(9a\) were treated with aqueous HCl in THF at \(-5\) °C. After 35 min, a mixture of NaBH\(_4\) and NaOMe in methanol was added to neutralise the reaction mixture and to reduce the aldehyde to the atropisomeric alcohols \(11\) (Scheme 3). Table 4 shows the isolated yields of the essentially enantiomerically pure alcohols \(P\)-11 obtained. Enantiomeric ratios were determined by \(^1\)H NMR in the presence of (+)-trifluoro-9-anthrylethanol, (+)-TFAE [38], comparing with authentic racemic samples of the alcohols made by simple reduction of (+)-6.

Rationalisations of results
The improved selectivity observed on use of a sealed tube suggests that the presence of water plays an important role in the determining the selectivity of the reaction (Scheme 4). The ratio of isomers of \(9\) observed in the NMR tube slowly improved on raising the temperature, and we propose that this observation is consistent with selectivity being under thermodynamic control, with \(P\)-9 being more stable than \(M\)-9. However it seems that the attainment of the favourable equilibrium mixture of diastereoisomers requires both heat (to allow rotation about the hindered Ar–Ar bond in 6 or one of its derivatives) and water. Water would allow the imidazolidines 9 to hydrolyse back via iminiums 13 to hemiaminals 12 or the starting aldehydes 6, which presumably have a lower barrier to bond rotation [37]. These observations are therefore consistent with the following rationalisation: in toluene, \(P\)-6 and \(M\)-6 may interconvert, and both react with diamine 7 to yield hemiaminals 12 and hence imidazolidines 9. Kinetic selectivity (such as that observed at lower temperatures, for example in benzene) is low. Moreover, \(P\)-9 and \(M\)-9 do not interconvert directly by bond rotation even in refluxing xylenes (we have confirmed this by heating \(P\)-9a under these conditions). Nonetheless, the two diastereoisomers may attain thermodynamic equilibrium if an alternative mechanism for their interconversion presents itself, namely hydrolysis back to the aldehyde 6 (or maybe the hemiaminal 12). \(P\)-9 is more stable than \(M\)-9, and equilibration allows the ratio of \(P:\ M\)-9 to build up to about 5:1. High selectivity is disrupted if (a) the temperature is too low (presumably the case in reactions carried out in benzene: see Table 2) or
Scheme 4: Mechanistic rationalisation of the dynamic resolution in the formation of 9.

(b) water is driven out of the reaction either by high temperatures or by the use of a Dean-Stark apparatus. Toluene at 110 °C provides the right balance of boiling point with ability to retain in solution a sufficient concentration of water to allow equilibration via the starting aldehydes.

Conclusion

Biaryl aldehydes may be resolved in a dynamic fashion by condensation with (−)-ephedrine, or, more effectively, a proline-derived diamine. The selectivity of the dynamic resolution depends significantly on conditions of the reaction, and is the result of a complex interplay of kinetic and thermodynamic effects. The best selectivities, of up to 5:1, were obtained on reaction of alkoxy-substituted biaryls with the diamine in a sealed tube, presumably because water plays a role in assisting interconversion of the atropisomeric products and allows thermodynamic equilibrium to be attained. The imidazolidine products may be hydrolysed and reduced to atropisomeric alcohols in moderate yield and with excellent enantioselectivity.

Experimental

For general experimental procedures, see Supporting Information File 1.

General procedure for formation of imidazolidines 9

The aldehyde 6 (1 mmol) was heated at 110 °C with (S)-(+)-2-(anilinomethyl)pyrrolidine [39] (1 mmol) in toluene (25 mL) in a sealed tube overnight. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure, and the product was purified by flash chromatography to yield the corresponding imidazolidine.

\[
\begin{align*}
(3R,7aS)-3-(6,2′-Dimethoxybiphenyl-2-yl)-2-phenylhexahydropyrrrole[1,2-c]imidazole (9a) \\
\end{align*}
\]

In this way, but on a 2 mmol scale, aldehyde 6a gave, after purification by flash chromatography on alumina (elucent 5:1 v/v petroleum ether/EtOAc), the title compound 9a as a white solid (680 mg, 81%). Mp 161–164 °C; \(^1H\) NMR spectra indicated a mixture of conformers at a ratio of 5:1. Extensive purification by flash chromatography on alumina (elucent 5:1 v/v petroleum ether/EtOAc) resulted in the separation of one diastereoisomer (227 mg, 33% of initial yield). Mp 170–175 °C; \(^1H\) NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ: 7.44–7.38 (1H, m, biaryl-H), 7.38–7.33 (1H, m, biaryl-H), 7.28 (1H, t, J 8, biaryl-H), 7.19 (2H, dt, J 7 and 1, biaryl-H), 7.12 (1H, dt, J 6 and 1, biaryl-H), 7.04 (1H, d, J 8, Ph-H), 6.96 (1H, J 8, Ph-H), 6.68 (1H, t, J 7, Ph-H), 6.58 (2H, d, J 8, Ph-H), 5.02 (1H, s, C-HN), 3.92 (3H, s, OC$_3$H$_3$), 3.85–3.72 (1H, m, PhNCH$_2$C$_2$H$_2$), 3.78 (3H, s, OCH$_3$), 3.17 (1H, t, J 9, PhNCH$_2$), 2.55–2.46 (1H, m, PhNCH$_2$), 2.28–2.17 (1H, m, NCH$_2$), 2.15–2.02 (1H, m, NCH$_2$), 1.87–1.65 (4H, m, NCH$_2$CH$_2$CH$_2$); \(^{13C}\) NMR (75 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ: 157.5 (MeOC), 156.2 (MeOC), 146.0 (NCC$_3$H$_2$), 142.5 (C-CN), 133.1, 128.8, 128.5, 128.4 (aromatics), 126.2, 125.2 (Cq), 120.3, 117.2, 115.8, 112.4, 110.4, 110.2 (aromatics), 81.5 (CHN), 60.3 (NCH$_2$H$_2$), 56.0 (OMe), 55.2 (OMe), 53.2 (CH$_2$), 53.2 (CH$_2$); m/z (CI) 401 (M+1, 100%). Mass measurement 400.2143, C$_{26}$H$_{28}$N$_2$O$_2$ requires 400.2150. \([\alpha]_D^{25} = +213.2 (c = 0.201, ethanol)\).

Also obtained was a mixture of both diastereoisomers (453 mg, 67% of initial yield).
In another experiment, the crude mixture was recrystallised from IPA to yield 9a as a crystalline solid (81%).

General procedure for formation of oxazolidinones 10

The aldehyde 6 (1 mmol) was heated with (1R,2S)-(-)-ephedrine (1 mmol) in toluene (25 mL) for 24 h. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure, and the product 10 was purified by flash chromatography to yield the corresponding oxazolidinone.

(2S,4S,5R)-2-(6,2'-Dimethoxybiphenyl-2-yl)-3,4-dimethyl-5-phenyloxazolidine (10a)

Aldehyde 6a (608 mg, 2.51 mmol) gave, after flash chromatography on alumina (eluent 5:1 v/v petroleum ether/EtOAc), the title compound 10a as a white solid (731 mg, 75%). Mp 131–135 °C; 1H NMR spectra indicated a mixture of conformers at a ratio of 3:1.

In another experiment, recrystallisation of the crude material from IPA gave (P)-10a as a crystalline solid (85%). Rf 0.40 (5:1 v/v petroleum ether/EtOAc); IR v\text{max} (thin film) (DCM) 3124, 2954, 1582, 1250, 801 cm\(^{-1}\); 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) δ; 7.80–7.02 (12H, m, ArH), 5.05 (1H, d, J = 8, PhCH), 4.40 (1H, s, NCHO), 3.84 (6H, s, OCH\(_3\)), 2.85 (1H, q, J = 7, CH\(_3\)CH), 2.15 (3H, s, NCH\(_3\)), 0.80 (3H, d, J = 7, CH(CH\(_3\)); 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) 164.8, 164.2, 139.3, 136.2, 129.8, 128.5, 128.2, 128.1, 127.6, 127.1, 126.5, 126.2, 125.9, 124.3, 122.3, 122.1, 114.3, 113.9, 93.6, 82.1, 65.4, 57.2, 56.5, 37.6, 14.5; m/z (CI) 390 (M\(^+\), 100%). Mass measurement 389.1985, C\(_{22}\)H\(_{27}\)NO\(_2\) requires 389.1991. Anal. Calcd for C\(_{22}\)H\(_{27}\)NO\(_2\): C, 77.09; H, 6.99; N, 3.60; Found C, 76.79; H, 7.13; N, 3.66. [α]D\(^{25}\) –134.9 (c = 0.512, chloroform).

Supporting Information

Procedures for the synthesis, and characterisation data, of the remaining compounds reported in this paper.

Supporting Information File 1

Full experimental data for all new compounds reported in the paper.
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