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Abstract

The demand for rare earth elements (REEs) is growing and as a result, environmental exposure is a concern. The objective of this research was to evaluate the acute toxicity of Tm to *Hyalella azteca* and to understand the potential for toxicity modification by dissolved organic matter (DOM) and the cations Ca$^{2+}$, Mg$^{2+}$ and Na$^+$. Standard methods were followed for 96 h static exposures in a medium with a hardness of 60 mg CaCO$_3$/L, pH of 7.3 at 23°C. *H. azteca* neonates (2-9 d of age) were used and in unmodified media the LC50 concentration was 3.4 µM (95% CI 2.9-3.9 µM; 573 µg/L (482-663)) based on measured dissolved concentrations at the end of the test. Tests done with different concentrations of Ca (0.25, 0.5 and 1.5 mM) did not show consistent trends and there was no clear evidence of a protective effect from Ca. Variations in Na (0.26, 0.5 and 1.6 mM) resulted in no significant changes in toxicity. Similarly, Mg (0.07, 0.14 and 0.4 mM) did not result in significant changes in LC50 values, except for a reduction in toxicity for measured total Tm at the lowest Mg concentration. Our results indicate that Tm toxicity is not influence by cationic competition (Ca, Na and Mg). Dissolved organic matter (sourced from Luther Marsh ON) offered significant protection against Tm toxicity. Additions over 3 mg DOC/L resulted in significantly increased LC50 values. This study contributes toward understanding the toxicity of Tm and the importance of considering dissolved organic matter in estimating the potential for environmental risk of REEs.

Introduction

Global demand for REEs has increased dramatically in recent years and Canada is home to significant deposits potentially making it a leading global producer (Humphries 2013; Yin et al. 2021). There is limited information about the potential environmental impacts of REEs in aquatic systems (Gwenzi et al. 2018) and this is particularly the case for thulium (Tm). In a comparison of acute toxicities, Borgmann et al. (2005) found Tm to have the lowest LC50 value to *Hyalella azteca* with a measured dissolved concentration of 0.01 µg/L (0.00059 µM) in very soft water (12 mg CaCO$_3$/L). This dissolved concentration was associated with a nominal exposure concentration of 721 µg/L (4.3 µM) indicating that the majority of the Tm in test solutions had precipitated (Borgmann et al. 2005). In spite of the fact that Tm appears to have a higher toxicity compared to the other REEs tested by Borgmann et al. (2005) there have been few studies (if any) on the aquatic toxicity of this heavy REE.

It is well known that the aquatic toxicity of inorganic forms of metals can be influenced by its geochemical speciation. For many of the well studied metals, acute toxicity results from the uptake of free metal ions into the organism and the resulting disruption of essential ion balance (Niyogi and Wood, 2004; Mebane et al. 2020). Toxicity modifying factors (TMFs) for metals are grouped as either cations that compete for uptake, or negatively charged ligands that complex free ions and thereby reduce bioavailability (Di Toro et al. 2001; Santore et al. 2001). Toxicity reduction through cationic competition occurs because uptake of the toxic free metal cation occurs via mechanisms for uptake of essential ions, particularly Ca$^{2+}$, Mg$^{2+}$ or Na$^+$, and therefore is dependent on concentrations of the latter. This is
particularly the case for monovalent and divalent metals such as Cu$^{2+}$, Zn$^{2+}$, Pb$^{2+}$, Ag$^+$ and Co$^{2+}$ (Niyogi and Wood, 2004). Anions such as HCO$_3^-$ and Cl$^-$ along with negatively charged moieties within dissolved organic matter (DOM) form complexes with free metal ions and thereby reduced the concentration of the most toxic form of the metal without changing the overall total concentration in solution (Mebane et al. 2020). DOM is recognized as having an important role in the mitigation of metal toxicity (Wood et al. 2011). It is ubiquitous in natural aquatic systems where it arises from both autochthonous and terrigenous inputs with the latter representing a key input to food webs (Tanentzap et al. 2014). As a large, heterogeneous, complex molecule, metal ions bind to functional groups such as carboxylates and phenols (Al-Reasi et al., 2013).

Complexation and competition have been shown to be relevant for the uptake and toxicity of some REEs but there is very little information for Tm. For example, the study of Vukov et al. (2016) showed that the addition of Ca$^{2+}$ and also Na$^+$ to Dy exposures with H$_{azteca}$ resulted in significant decreases in acute toxicity. In that same study, increased concentrations of DOM also resulted in decreased toxicity. La toxicity to Daphnia carinata was shown to decrease with increased hardness (Barry and Mehan 2000), indicating that cations such as Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$ may compete with La$^{3+}$ cations for uptake and/or binding to the site of toxic action. Borgmann et al. (2005) also saw decreases in the toxicity to H. azteca for some of the REEs with an increase in water hardness although in that study water chemistry was altered by dilution and therefore changes in hardness co-occurred with changes in other TMFs. However, in the case of Tm there were no differences in the 7 d LC50 values between hard and soft water but only nominal concentrations were reported (Borgman et al. 2005). Ca$^{2+}$ as well as dissolved organic matter were shown to reduce the uptake and toxicity of Ce to the algal species Chlamydomonas reinhardtii (El-Akl et al. 2015). Zhao and Wilkinson (2015) used the same species and tested the uptake of Tm in the presences of known complexing ligands, citric acid, malic acid, nitrilotriacetic acid. Internalization of Tm into algae was found to be correlated with Tm$^{3+}$ concentrations but there was also uptake of ligand bound Tm (Zhao and Wilkinson 2015). The authors were able to rule out direct uptake of Tm complexes and also uptake via anion channels at the algal surface and concluded that our current understanding metal bioavailability is insufficient in terms of describing the internalization of Tm.

The objective of this study was to develop an understanding of the acute toxicity of Tm and the potential for water chemistry to alter responses. H. azteca were used in this study to compare results to those of Borgmann et al. (2005). Additionally, this species was used because they are generally considered to be sensitive to contaminants, are commonly found in fresh waters across North America and there are standardized biological tests method for culturing and testing (Borgmann et al. 1996, Environment Canada 2013). To assess the influence of cationic competition on toxicity responses, tests were done with different concentrations of Ca$^{2+}$, Mg$^{2+}$, and Na$^+$. The potential for DOM to reduce bioavailability via complexation was also tested to determine if the impact of Tm in aquatic environments is related to its free ion.

**Material And Methods**
**H. azteca culture**

Culture and test procedures followed the Environment and Climate Change Canada method for *H. azteca* (Environment Canada 2013). *Hyalella* were originally collected from the shore of Eabamet Lake at Eabametoong ON and maintained in the lab for 2 y. Collected organisms were identified morphologically as *H. azteca* (Pennak 1978; Environment Canada 2013). Culture and testing followed the Environment and Climate Change Canada standardized Biological Test Method EPS 1/RM/33 2\(^{nd}\) edition (Environment Canada, 2013). A reconstituted aquatic medium (RM) was used as described by Vukov et al (2016) and based on a 50% dilution of the medium described by Borgmann (1996) for *Hyalella* growth and reproduction. RM was made with analytical grade CaCl\(_2\), NaHCO\(_3\), MgSO\(_4\), KCl and NaBr (Sigma-Aldrich, Mississauga, ON) at 500, 500, 125, 25 and 5µM respectively to give a hardness of 60 (mg CaCO\(_3\)/L) and pH of 7.3 ± 0.3. Cultures of 20-30 adults were kept in 2L beakers with 1600 ml of RM and held at 23°C ± 2 in an incubator (LTCB-19 BioChamber, BioChambers Inc., Winnipeg MN) with full spectrum lighting at 500 to 1,000 lux and a 16:8hr light: dark photoperiod. *H. azteca* were fed on Mon., Wed. and Fri. with 5 mg of finely ground tropical fish food (TetraMin, Tetra, Blacksburg, VA). Neonates between 0 and 7 d of age were separated from cultures at the weekly media renewal using 650 and 275 µm polyethylene mesh. At RM renewal, a fresh piece of cotton gauze (approx. 5 x 2.5cm) was added to the beakers (Borgmann 1996).

**Acute Tm Toxicity Tests**

Testing procedures followed standard method EPS1/RM/33 for *H. azteca* (Environment and Climate Change Canada, 2013) with mortality as the endpoint. Acute tests were conducted using 2-9 day old neonates and consisted of 6 exposure concentrations (including unexposed controls). Tm exposure solutions were made using a neutralized (pH 7.3 ± 0.05) stock solution (30 mg/L) created from an analytical Tm standard (Inorganic Ventures Inc., Christiansburg, VA) in RM. Exposures were done in duplicate in 400 mL polyethylene beakers (Fischer Scientific, Mississauga ON) with 240 mL of solution made by appropriate dilution of the stock solution with RM. Test solutions were equilibrated for 24 h prior to test start (0 h) after which pH was measured prior to adding *Hyalella*. A 5 x 2.5 cm piece of cotton gauze was separately equilibrated for 24 h in a 40 mL plastic cup with 10 mL of the exposure solution and then added to the exposure beaker along with 10 neonates. Two 15 mL water samples were taken from each beaker, one was not filtered, and the other was (45µm, HT Tuffryn membrane, Pall, Sigma Aldrich, Mississauga, ON). Test duration was 96 h at 23°C ± 2 with a 16:8 light:dark photoperiod and without feeding. At 96 h dead and surviving neonates were counted and recorded. As described for test start, two water samples were collected from each exposure solution, the 0.45 µm filtered sample was for subsequent measurements of dissolved Tm (Tm\(_{\text{D}}\)) and unfiltered samples for total Tm (Tm\(_{\text{T}}\)). All water samples were acidified to 2% v/v with concentrated HNO\(_3\) (trace metals grade, Fischer Scientific, Nepean, ON).

To understand the influence of TMFs, acute toxicity tests were done in RM culture medium and then in medium with modifications of either Ca, Mg, Na or DOM concentrations. Ca concentrations were adjusted...
by varying the CaCl\textsubscript{2} content of RM to achieve either 0.25 or 1.5 mM Ca. Similarly, MgSO\textsubscript{4} additions to RM were varied to achieve Mg concentrations in test solutions of either 0.07 or 0.38 mM. NaCl concentrations of 0.25 or 1.5 mM. The effect of DOM on Tm toxicity was tested by addition to achieve nominal concentrations of 3, 7 or 12 mg DOC/L. DOM was collected from Luther Marsh, Grand Valley ON (43°54'17.2"N, 80°24'34.5"W) by reverse osmosis concentration and the resulting concentrate was kept on ice and returned to Wilfrid Laurier University, treated with an ion exchange resin, acidified to a pH <2.5 and stored in the dark at 4°C (Sun et al. 1995; De Schamphelaere et al. 2005). Test solutions with DOM were prepared by diluting appropriate volumes of concentrate in RM and adjusting pH to a pH value of 7.3. Additional 50 ml water samples for DOC characterization were collected at test end, filtered as previously described (0.45µm) and stored in the dark at 4°C until characterization.

**Additional Tm Exposure Characterizations**

To assess Tm precipitation in test solutions an independent bench test was conducted with five replicates of five Tm concentrations (nominally 2.2, 4.4, 8.9, 17.8 and 35.5 µM). Solutions were made with RM into polyethylene beakers and samples (filtered and unfiltered) were collected immediately (0 h), after 24 h and 120 h (the latter two times corresponding to test start and end following equilibration) and acidified as previously described. At 0 h one of the replicate beakers at each concentration was completely acidified to 2% (vol/vol) with trace metals grade HNO\textsubscript{3}, mixed thoroughly and after approximately an hour a sample was collected in order to assess recovery of Tm\textsubscript{T} (Tm\textsubscript{Rec}) relative to nominal concentrations. At 120 hours, after sampling, the remaining four beakers were similarly acidified and samples collected for Tm\textsubscript{Rec}. Care was taken not to disturb solutions before sample collection except in the case of the complete acidification of beaker contents where a thorough mixing was done after addition of acid.

**Sample Measurements and Calculation and Statistics**

Measured concentrations were determined for both Tm\textsubscript{T} and Tm\textsubscript{D} using the inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES, Optima 8000, Perkin-Elmer Inc. Woodbridge, ON) as well as all solution cations (Ca, Na, Mg). Analysis parameters and wavelengths were selected using manufacturer guidelines and recommendations. Samples, particularly RM without addition of Tm were sent for Tm characterization by ICP-MS at the GRIL (Groupe de Recherche Interuniversitaire en Limnologie) Labs at the Université de Montréal. Measured background for Tm in the RM medium was 0.005 µg/L for Tm\textsubscript{T} and 0.001 µg/L for Tm\textsubscript{D}. DOC concentrations were measured by combustion catalytic oxidation using a total organic carbon analyzer (TOC-LCPH, Shimadzu Corporation, Mandel Scientific, Guelph, ON). Concentrations associated with 50% lethality after 96 h of exposure (LC\textsubscript{50}) were calculated for measured concentrations of both Tm\textsubscript{T} and Tm\textsubscript{D} measured concentrations at 96 h using probit analysis in SPSS (IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows). Significant differences between LC\textsubscript{50} values were established based on overlap of confidence intervals as described by Litchfield and Wilcoxon (1949, cited in Environment Canada, 2005).


## Results And Discussion

### Tm Water Chemistry and Characterization

In the trial to characterize Tm concentrations in RM only (*H. azteca* not exposed), the Tm$_{\text{Rec}}$ concentrations were generally less than the planned nominal concentrations (Table 1). As nominal concentrations increase the proportion of recovered Tm was reduced (except at the highest concentration, Table 1). Measured Tm$_{\text{T}}$ and Tm$_{\text{D}}$ concentrations generally showed increasing amounts of precipitation as the nominal and Tm$_{\text{Rec}}$ concentrations increased. Immediately after solutions were created (0 h time) there were differences between Tm$_{\text{T}}$ and Tm$_{\text{D}}$ concentrations but by 24 h the solution Tm concentrations appeared to stabilize and there were no differences between Tm$_{\text{T}}$ and Tm$_{\text{D}}$ concentrations over the next 96 h (Table 1). Following equilibration there was a clear pattern of increased precipitation of Tm at higher concentrations with an apparent solubility threshold of approximate 3-4 µM Tm$_{\text{Rec}}$. At the highest of the prepared concentrations 84% (24 h) and 87% (120 h) of the Tm that had been added to the beaker (as measured by Tm$_{\text{Rec}}$) was in an insoluble form.

Overall, the bench test results illustrated that there was likely precipitation occurring in the stock solution used to prepare exposure solutions (nominal vs Tm$_{\text{Rec}}$ concentration differences in Table 1). This was anticipated because the stock solution was adjusted to pH 7.3. From experience, the use of an acidified stock solution results in recovered concentrations being much closer to nominal however it also produces significant differences in solution pH across the concentration range and the process of solution-by-solution pH adjustment can be exceptionally time-consuming. By using a stock solution with a pH that matched that of the RM we reduced the need to adjust the pH of each test solution but the trade-off was variation in Tm concentrations. Of key importance is measurement of Tm$_{\text{T}}$ and Tm$_{\text{D}}$ at the beginning and the end of the test. Data from the bench test indicated that 24 h was sufficient for test solution equilibration as there were few differences between 120 h and 24 h concentrations (Table 1). There were indications of significant precipitation and at the highest concentrations with only 13-17% of the Tm was in the dissolved form (Table 1).

Precipitation is recognized as a complicating factor in REE toxicity tests (Gonzalez et al. 2014). Borgmann et al. (2005) reported a nominal LC50 of 721 ug Tm/L with a measured LC50 of 0.01 ug Tm/L. In aquatic toxicity tests La readily precipitated out of solution and measured values were always less than 30% of the nominal concentrations (Barry and Meehan 2000). Ce, Gd and Nd precipitated from solution as concentration increased and also over time (up to 72 h, Blinova et al. 2019). Vukov et al. (2016) found that precipitation of Dy correlated with increased exposure concentration. It was reported that at high exposure concentrations of Dy, dissolved concentrations were less than 34% of total concentrations (Vukov et al. 2016). Precipitation can likely be accounted for by the pH and the carbonate content of RM and the formation of insoluble salts (Jiang and Ji 2012; Janssen and Verweij 2003). The study of Gonzalez et al. (2015) also reported the formation of “insoluble species” in REE test
solutions and used geochemical modelling to show that hydroxide and carbonate precipitation was expected.

**Tm acute toxicity in RM**

*H. azteca* mortality increased with increasing concentrations of Tm (Table 2). Based on the results from the bench test, we expected measured Tm concentrations at test beginning and at test end to be relatively similar however, this was not always the case (Table 2). An equilibration time of 24 h may not have been sufficient and it is unknown if the addition of organisms influenced the geochemistry of test solutions. Calculations of the standard acute toxicity endpoint (96 h LC50) were done with measured concentrations and this was possible for both Tm$_T$ or Tm$_D$ and either at the beginning of the test or at the end (Table 2). In general, the measured concentrations were lowest at the end of the tests and therefore, as a conservative approach, calculations were based on samples collected at 96 h.

In unaltered RM the 96 h LC50 value for measured dissolved was 573 µg/L (3.4 µM) with 95% confidence interval from 482 to 663 µg/L (2.9-3.9 µM; Fig 1, light gray bar at 0.5 mM Ca). This concentration is much higher than the 7 d LC50 value of 0.01 µg/L previously reported (Borgmann et al. 2005) for measured Tm$_D$ in soft water. Compared to our study, Borgmann et al. (2005) conducted static tests for a longer duration (4 vs 7 d) in softer water (hardness value 60 vs 12 mg CaCO$_3$/L) and with feeding part way through (our tests were without food). While exposure duration, geochemistry and the provision of food are recognized as potential influences on the bioavailability and toxicity of metals, it is unknown if these can explain the dramatically different results (57,000 fold difference in LC50 values). Interestingly, the nominal 7 d LC50 values reported by Borgmann et al. (2005) were 721 µg/L in soft water and 739 µg/L in hard water and this compares well to our estimate of 1062 µg/L for the 96 h LC50 on a nominal basis (using the Tm$_D$ to nominal Tm relationship in the test to estimate). The very low Tm$_D$ value previously reported by Borgmann et al. (2005) remains unexplained and it is worth noting that the authors of the work do not offer any discussion of it what-so-ever. Their analysis and discussion of Tm toxicity used the nominal based toxicity endpoints exclusively.

**Influence of Cations on Tm toxicity**

The results for effects of Ca on Tm toxicity (Fig. 1) were somewhat difficult to interpret. On the basis of Tm$_T$ concentrations the lowest LC50 was at the 0.5 mM Ca treatment and toxicity was significantly reduced at both lower and higher concentrations (0.25 and 1.5 respectively). However, for Tm$_D$ there was no trend evident for the low Ca exposure because the LC50 values at 0.25 and 0.5 Ca were not significantly different. At the higher Ca treatment Tm$_D$ showed higher toxicity (Fig 1). There was no protective effect with increasing Na concentrations (Fig. 2). Similarly, we did not see a protective effect with Mg as there were no significant differences in LC50 values across the range of Mg tested (Fig. 3).
We hypothesized that increases in Ca would have a protective effect to Tm toxicity but there was no consistent trend across the range of Ca tested (Fig 1). Other studies have shown that Ca provides significant protection against the toxicity of REEs. For example, Vukov et al. (2016) showed a 1.8-fold decrease in Dy toxicity to *H. azteca* over a 3-fold increase in Ca concentration. Barry and Meehan (2000) showed that La toxicity to *Daphnia carinata* was reduced as hardness increased. Cardon et al. 2019 and Ma et al. 2016, with Y and Ce (respectively) similarly demonstrated reduced toxicity to *D. magna* with increased hardness. In these studies the changes in toxicity are linked to hardness and so cannot be exclusively attributed to Ca. As discussed above, changes in exposure hardness did not result in changes of Tm toxicity (Borgmann et al 2005) and this is consistent with our results but only nominal LC50 values are available. It may be that Tm uptake and toxicity is not influenced by competitive interaction with Ca and therefore it is unlike other REEs (e.g. Dy, La, Ce and Y) that are. There was no protective effect with increasing Na concentrations (Fig. 2) and this result is different to the Vukov et al., (2016) study where a 3 fold increase of Na significantly decreased Dy toxicity by a factor of 1.4 times. However, these results were based on total Dy concentrations and the study states that LC50s for dissolved Dy concentrations were much less clear (Vukov et al. 2016). Out results with Mg align with those of Vukov et al. (2016) where Mg additions did not show a protective effect on Dy toxicity.

We had hypothesized that Tm toxicity would be influenced by cationic competition, particularly Ca$^{2+}$. Previous studies on the toxicity of inorganic forms of metals attribute the toxicity reduction achieved by cations to direct competition at the site of uptake of essential ions such as Ca$^{2+}$ and Na$^+$ (Niyogi and Wood 2004). Toxic metals have similar characteristics (e.g. ionic radius and charge) compared to essential ions and via ionic mimicry (Bridges and Zalups 2005) divalent metal cations such as Cd$^{2+}$, Zn$^{2+}$ and Pb$^{2+}$ inhibit Ca$^{2+}$ uptake while monovalent metals such as Ag$^+$ disrupting Na$^+$ uptake (Niyogi and Wood 2004). From this perspective the mechanism by which a trivalent REE free ion would interact (compete) with an essential divalent (Ca or Mg) or monovalent (Na) cation is not clear. However, studies have highlighted the similar properties of trivalent REEs, particularly in relation to Ca$^{2+}$ (Evans 1983) and it is well known that La$^{3+}$ is an effective analogue. There is some evidence of direct competition between Ca$^{2+}$ and trivalent REEs in algae. Ca$^{2+}$ competitively inhibit La$^{3+}$ and Ce$^{3+}$ uptake and protect against toxicity in *Chlorella fusca* (Aharchaou et al. 2020). A 1000 fold increase in Ca concentration (1 µM - 1 mM) resulted in a 2 fold (La exposure) and 3 fold (Ce exposure) increase in cell density over 120 h of exposure (Aharchaou et al. 2020). Tests with *C reinhardtii* demonstrated competition with reduced Nd$^{3+}$ (Yang and Wilkinson 2018) and Sm$^{3+}$ (Tan et al. 2017) uptake as either Ca$^{2+}$ or Mg$^{2+}$ increased. In our experiments neither Ca, Mg nor Na influenced Tm toxicity to *Hyalella*. Understanding the mechanisms of uptake of Tm in aquatic invertebrates would also be valuable in understanding the potential for toxicity mitigation in natural waters.

**Influence of DOM on Tm toxicity**
In solutions with added Luther Marsh DOM toxicity was significantly reduced above concentrations of 3 mg DOC/L (Fig 4). The addition of DOM also altered the relative concentrations of Tm$_{T}$ and Tm$_{D}$ and it appeared that the precipitation threshold may have been increased with elevated DOC content (Table 2). DOM has been shown to reduce the toxicity of numerous metals in a concentration dependent manner (Wood et al. 2011). It is a complex heterogeneous molecule with a variety of negatively charged moieties that are capable of interacting with cationic metals. Complexation of the free ion form of the metal reduces the availability for uptake thereby reducing toxicity. This was evident in our study for Tm (Fig 4) and we assumed that mitigation of toxicity was due to reduced Tm$^{3+}$ concentrations. However, this is only an assumption as the bioavailable forms of Tm associated with toxicity and the mechanism of uptake at the biotic surface are unknown.

While we did not measure free ion concentrations of Tm$^{3+}$ in solution, we did use the geochemical equilibrium modeling software WHAM (Windermere Humic Aqueous Model, Ver 7.02; Tipping et al. 2011) to estimate Tm$^{3+}$. Using the Tm$_{D}$ and measured DOC concentrations at the end of the test as model inputs as described by Stockdale et al (2015), WHAM predicted virtually complete complexation of Tm. The predicted Tm$^{3+}$ concentrations in test solutions with added DOM were at least 140 fold lower (highest Tm$_{D}$ with lowest DOC) and ranged up to 2.5x10$^6$ fold lower (lowest Tm$_{D}$ with highest DOC) than the corresponding Tm$^{3+}$ concentrations in solutions with no added DOM. Clearly the predicted Tm$^{3+}$ estimates were not linked to the acute toxicity of Tm. One possible conclusion is that Tm$^{3+}$ is not associated with toxicity in *Hyalella* and that other (or additional) geochemical forms are. It is also possible that WHAM is predicting a much higher level of complexation of Tm$^{3+}$ than is actually occurring in our test solutions. Either way, DOM significantly reduces Tm toxicity and an improved understanding of the geochemical speciation of Tm in relation to acute toxicity is required.

There are relatively few studies on the effects of REEs on aquatic biota and even fewer investigating the potential influence of DOM on toxicity. DOM has been highlighted as an important factor to include in water quality derivations for La (Hermann et al 2016). Vukov et al (2016) used Suwannee River DOM to show 3-4 fold reductions of Dy toxicity to *Hyalella* at a DOC concentration of 13 mg/L. The biouptake of Sm$^{3+}$ (as measured directly by ion exchange technique) into the unicellular green algae *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* was significantly reduced by DOM in a DOC concentration dependent manner (Rowell et al 2018). In that study four different sources of DOM were tested, including Luther Marsh DOM, and even very small additions of 0.5 mg DOC/L dramatically reduced uptake by 10 fold (Rowell et al 2018). In tests with the synthetic organic ligands malic acid, diglycolic acid and citric acid, Sm uptake to *C. reinhardtii* was reduced but the possibility of complexed Sm also being taken up could not be ruled out (Tan et al 2016). Similar reduced uptake results into *Chlorella vulgarize* were shown for La, Gd and Y using the organic ligands citrate, nitriloacetic acid and ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid (Sun et al 1997). In natural waters the important role that DOM has in complexing REEs is well recognized (Moermond et al 2001; Tang and Johannesson 2003, Tipping and Filella 2020) and it is generally assumed that complexation will reduce toxicity (Herrmann et al 2016). Given the ubiquitous nature of DOM in natural waters and the significant reduction in toxicity we observed, further study on DOM-Tm interactions would
contribute to the development of water quality thresholds for assessing the environmental risk of this REE.

Conclusions

This study provided data on the role of water chemistry in the toxicity of Tm to aquatic invertebrates. As observed in other studies, the formation of insoluble species can predominate at elevated concentrations. While we equilibrated our test solutions for 24 h before beginning tests, this may not have been sufficient, and we observed Tm$_T$ and Tm$_D$ changes between the beginning and end of tests. LC50 determinations based on measured concentrations at the end of the test provided a conservative approach to characterizing effects. In tests with different concentrations of Ca or Na or Mg we found no consistent toxicity modification and conclude that cationic competition does not influence Tm toxicity. This would appear to be unlike some of the other REEs where cations, or more commonly, water hardness has been shown to reduce toxicity. Acute toxicity was influenced by DOM and concentrations above 3 mg DOC/L resulted in significantly increased 96 h LC50 values. In estimating the potential of Tm effects in natural water it appears that complexation is an important consideration.
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Figures

Figure 1

The 96 h LC50 values (with upper 95% confidence intervals) for Hyalella azteca exposure to Tm at different Ca concentrations. The LC50 values are based on measured total (Tm-T black bars) and measured dissolved (Tm-D grey bars) concentrations at the end of the test. The asterisks indicate LC50 values significantly different for either Tm-T or Tm-D from the corresponding acute test in RM at 0.5 mM Ca.
Figure 2

The 96 h LC50 values (with upper 95% confidence intervals) for Hyalella azteca exposure to Tm at different Na concentrations. The LC50 values are based on measured total (Tm-T black bars) and measured dissolved (Tm-D grey bars) concentrations at the end of the test. There were no significant differences for either Tm-T or Tm-D compared to the corresponding acute test in RM at 0.5 mM Na.
Figure 3

The 96 h LC50 values (with upper 95% confidence intervals) for Hyalella azteca exposure to Tm at different Mg concentrations. The LC50 values are based on measured total (Tm-T black bars) and measured dissolved (Tm-D grey bars) concentrations at the end of the test. The asterisks indicate LC50 values significantly different for either Tm-T or Tm-D from the corresponding acute test in RM at 0.5 mM Mg.
The 96 h LC50 values (with upper 95% confidence intervals) for Hyalella azteca exposed to Tm with added Luther Marsh DOM (measured as mg DOC/L). LC50 values are based concentrations measured at the end of the tests for Tm-T (black bars) and Tm-D (grey bars). An * indicates a LC50 value significantly different for either Tm-T or Tm-D compared to the corresponding test in RM with no added DOM (0 mg DOC/L).
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