INTRODUCTION

Serum γ-glutamyltransferase (GGT) is a cell surface liver enzyme that participates in the extracellular catabolism of glutathione, which is a powerful antioxidant.1 Traditionally, GGT has been recognized as a biomarker of hepatobiliary disease and excessive alcohol consumption.2 However, serum levels of GGT have also been shown to be a biomarker for the development of cardiovascular risk factors, including hypertension and type 2 diabetes.3-5 Epidemiological studies have also noted an association between elevated GGT levels and increased risk for cardiovascular morbidity and mortality.6-8 Previous studies have reported that oxidative stress and chronic inflammation are involved in the pathogenesis of obesity-related metabolic disorders.9,10 Elevated GGT has been postulated to be a suitable marker of oxidative stress and the inflammatory response, which are key players in the pathogenesis of several metabolic diseases.11 Metabolic syndrome comprises a combination of several metabolic risk factors, including central obe-
sity, hypertriglyceridemia, high blood pressure, low high density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, and elevated blood glucose. The syndrome is a serious global public health concern, because it elevates the risks of developing type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease.12-13

A wide range of cross-sectional and prospective studies have investigated the relationship between baseline GGT and the development of metabolic syndrome.14-17 To our knowledge, only one study has evaluated the ability of longitudinal changes in GGT to predict metabolic syndrome in middle-aged Korean men.18 However, the study involved limitations of using body mass index (BMI) instead of waist circumference (WC). Indeed, WC has been shown to be more effective than BMI for predicting obesity-related health risks, including metabolic syndrome.19-21 Therefore, we used WC as a criterion to define obesity for diagnosing metabolic syndrome.

In this study, we hypothesized that both initial GGT concentration and further increases in GGT concentration over time might be associated with an increased risk of incident metabolic syndrome. We, thus, prospectively investigated associations between 1) baseline serum GGT concentrations, 2) changes in GGT concentrations over time, and 3) the combined effect of changes in GGT levels over time and baseline GGT with the development of incident metabolic syndrome over 2.6 years of follow-up, adjusting for key potential confounders.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects
This analysis used data from a prospective cohort study that was carried out from November 2005 to January 2008. This observation study, called “Korean Genome and Epidemiology Study on Atherosclerosis Risk of Rural Areas in the Korean General Population (KoGES-ARIRANG)”, mainly recruited participants who resided in the rural areas of Wonju and Pyeongchang in South Korea. The participants were middle-aged men and women between 40 to 70 years. Demographic shifts are rare in these areas; hence, long term follow-up can be performed on this population.

The baseline survey comprised 5178 adults (2127 men and 3051 women). A total of 3862 (74.6%) adults participated in the first follow-up survey (April 2008 to January 2011).15 In total, 1283 participants were excluded due to having metabolic syndrome at baseline. Thus, a total of 2579 participants without metabolic syndrome at baseline were included in the final analysis (Fig. 1).

Data collection of anthropometric and metabolic characteristics
At baseline and at the follow-up survey, participants underwent a comprehensive health examination that included patient medical history and completing a lifestyle questionnaire. Body weight and height were measured with the participants standing barefooted and wearing light indoor clothing. BMI was calculated as the ratio of weight (in kilograms) and the square of the height (in meters). WC was obtained with a tape measure (SECA-200; SECA, Hamburg, Germany) as described previously.15 Systolic (SBP) and diastolic blood pressures (DBP) were measured using a standard mercury sphygmomanometer. The mean of two readings of SBP and DBP was used for the analysis. Alcohol intake and smoking status were determined by the questionnaire and were represented in units of g/day and packs/day, respectively. Total alcohol consumption was calculated as the sum of the intakes of soju, beer, wine, liquor, and other alcoholic beverages per day. Participants who answered yes to the question, “Do your routinely perform physical exercise strenuous enough to make you sweat?” were categorized into the regular exercise group.

After fasting for more than 12 h or overnight, venous blood samples were collected from the participants. Blood glucose, serum HDL-cholesterol (HDL-C), triglyceride (TG), low density lipoprotein-cholesterol, aspartate transaminase, alanine transaminase (ALT), and GGT concentrations were measured by enzymatic methods (ADVIA 1650, Siemens, Tarrytown, NY, USA). The intra-assay and interassay coefficient of variation for GGT ranged from 1.8% to 5.3%. Glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) was measured using a high-performance liquid chromatography Variant II Turbo machine (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). Fasting insulin was determined by a double-antibody radioimmunoassay using a commercial kit (Biosource Europe SA, Nivelles, Belgium). Insulin resistance was calculated by the homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) model.22

Endpoint definition
The study endpoint was to estimate the new onset of metabolic syndrome, as defined by the harmonizing definition.21 According to this definition, metabolic syndrome is diagnosed by the presence of at least three of the following criteria: 1) abdominal obesity, defined by a WC of ≥90 cm for men or ≥85 cm for women (followed Korean Society of Obesity);22 2) hypertriglyceridemia, defined as a serum TG concentration ≥150 mg/dL; 3) low HDL-C, defined as a serum HDL-C concentration <40 mg/dL for men or <50 mg/dL for women; 4) high blood pressure, defined as a SBP ≥130 mm Hg, a DBP ≥85 mm Hg, or participants treated with antihypertensive agents; and 5) high fasting glucose, characterized by fasting serum glucose ≥100 mg/dL or previously known type 2 diabetes.

Ethics statement
All participants provided written consent before the outset of the study. The protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB; CR105024-026) of Wonju Severance Christian Hospital.
Statistical methods
Data are expressed as means±SDs. Our study evaluated whether GGT levels at baseline are associated with any of the anthropometric and/or metabolic variables. Univariate analysis was performed to compare the characteristics of the participants based on quartiles of GGT using one-way ANOVA. The study participants were stratified into quartile categories according to baseline GGT concentrations using the following cut-offs: 11, 12 to 16, 17 to 27, and >28 IU/L. A multivariable logistic regression model was used to analyze the association between GGT at baseline and new onset of metabolic syndrome after adjustment for various conventional risk factors. The odds ratio (OR) of incident metabolic syndrome was calculated by comparing participants in the first quartile to successive quartiles of GGT using a logistic model.

To evaluate the relationship of longitudinal changes in GGT and the risk of metabolic syndrome, the linear trend across GGT change was calculated, taking the first quartile as a reference. The associations between changes in GGT (decrease <-5, decrease -4 to -1, increase 0 to 3, increase >4) and the risk of incident metabolic syndrome over 2.6 years were analyzed using multivariable logistic regression analysis. The overall adjusted models used in this study included model 1, model 2, and model 3. The first model included age (continuous variable) and sex. The second model included all of the variables of model 1, in addition to smoking, alcohol intake (continuous variable), and regular exercise (yes/no). The third model included the aforementioned confounding factors (models 1 and 2) plus total cholesterol (continuous variable) and BMI (continuous variable).

Lastly, we analyzed whether the relationship between GGT change and incident metabolic syndrome was modified by baseline serum GGT levels. To this end, we used the median levels of GGT as the cut-off point in stratified analysis and calculated the OR for incident metabolic syndrome according to change in GGT over the 2.6 years in relation to the subgroups of baseline GGT level (baseline GGT levels less than the median and greater than the median). The OR and 95% confidence interval (CI) were calculated and represented the results of logistic regression analysis. All analyses were performed using SAS, version 9.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). p values<0.05 were considered to indicate statistical significance.

**Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of Participant by GGT Quartiles**

| Q1 (<11 IU/L) | Q2 (12–16 IU/L) | Q3 (17–27 IU/L) | Q4 (>28 IU/L) | p value |
|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|---------|
| Age (yr)      | 52.58±8.23      | 54.77±8.21      | 55.57±8.24    | 55.32±7.93 | <0.001 |
| WC (cm)       | 76.96±7.39      | 79.14±7.38      | 81.77±7.68    | 83.90±7.01 | <0.001 |
| BMI (kg/m²)   | 23.11±2.66      | 23.58±2.80      | 24.09±2.83    | 24.12±2.79 | <0.001 |
| Alcohol (g/day)| 1.53±5.61       | 2.94±10.00      | 8.37±21.51    | 24.58±40.39 | <0.001 |
| Smoking (pack/day)| 1.21±6.74 | 2.89±9.29       | 4.94±11.85    | 9.85±14.85  | <0.001 |
| Total cholesterol (mg/dL) | 186.17±30.60 | 197.98±33.32    | 200.05±34.36  | 203.45±36.92 | <0.001 |
| HDL-C (mg/dL) | 46.87±10.50     | 47.01±10.13     | 46.57±9.92    | 45.48±12.09 | <0.001 |
| LDL-C (mg/dL) | 108.11±26.55    | 118.03±29.06    | 120.57±29.73  | 117.01±33.28 | <0.001 |
| TG (mg/dL)    | 99.03±48.73     | 111.79±50.85    | 118.63±61.41  | 142.90±82.42 | <0.001 |
| AST (IU/L)    | 22.96±8.31      | 23.75±5.74      | 25.77±7.25    | 34.12±22.46 | <0.001 |
| ALT (IU/L)    | 16.77±5.89      | 18.97±6.65      | 22.85±10.36   | 32.97±23.88 | <0.001 |
| Glucose (mg/dL)| 88.92±10.16    | 90.22±12.10     | 92.45±17.98   | 93.91±18.60 | <0.001 |
| Insulin (µU/mL)| 8.00±4.54      | 7.70±3.27       | 8.18±3.62     | 8.24±4.64  | 0.09 |
| HOMA-IR (units) | 1.74±1.05      | 1.71±1.01       | 1.84±0.91     | 1.87±1.09  | 0.01 |
| HbA1c (%)     | 5.34±0.49       | 5.40±0.47       | 5.47±0.59     | 5.53±0.67  | <0.001 |
| SBP (mm Hg)   | 124.05±16.11    | 124.75±18.18    | 127.49±17.34  | 128.45±17.78 | <0.001 |
| DBP (mm Hg)   | 78.37±10.98     | 79.51±11.10     | 80.74±11.28   | 82.52±11.52 | <0.001 |

WC, waist circumference; BMI, body mass index; HDL-C, high density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL-C, low density lipoprotein cholesterol; TG, triglyceride; AST, aspartate transaminase; ALT, alanine transaminase; HOMA-IR, homeostasis assessment method for insulin resistance; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; GGT, γ-glutamyltransferase.

Data are expressed as mean±standard deviation.
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RESULTS

Patient characteristics
After a mean follow-up of 2.6 years, 558 participants (21.6%) developed metabolic syndrome (Fig. 1). Baseline age, BMI, WC, alcohol intake, smoking, total cholesterol, SBP and DBP, TG, HOMA-IR, ALT and HbA1c were progressively higher and HDL-C was significantly lower with increasing quartiles of baseline GGT (all \( p \)-value<0.01) (Table 1).

New onset metabolic syndrome and its components stratified by GGT quartile
A stepwise increase in the incidence of metabolic syndrome was observed as GGT quartiles progressed from the lowest (13.7%) to highest GGT quartile (27.6%). The incidence of each component of metabolic syndrome was also significantly increased with increasing quartiles of baseline GGT (all \( p \) for trend <0.001) (Fig. 2).

Association between incident metabolic syndrome and GGT at baseline
The association between incident metabolic syndrome and baseline GGT level is shown in Table 2. In multivariable adjusted models (model III) (Table 2), the OR for new onset of metabolic syndrome, comparing the highest to the lowest quartile of baseline GGT, was 2.07 (95% CI 1.52–2.80; \( p \) for trend <0.001).

Association between incident metabolic syndrome and change in GGT
The association between incident metabolic syndrome and

Fig. 2. Incidence of each component of metabolic syndrome and metabolic syndrome per se by GGT quartile. GGT, \( \gamma \)-glutamyltransferase; BP, blood pressure; HDL, high density lipoprotein.
The OR for the highest GGT changes (>4 IU/L increase) with the lowest GGT changes (<-5 IU/L decrease) were 1.75 (95% CI, 1.32–2.33), with statistically significant tests for trend (p<0.001).

Adjusted odds ratio for incident metabolic syndrome by change in GGT during 2.6 years and GGT at baseline
Among participants with baseline GGT concentrations <the median, the fully adjusted OR for incident metabolic syndrome, comparing participants with the highest GGT changes with the lowest GGT changes, was 1.52 (95% CI, 1.01–2.31). Among participants with baseline GGT concentrations ≥the median, the corresponding OR was 2.75 (95% CI, 1.84–4.10). The full adjusted ORs were significantly higher in participants with GGT changes over time above the median, compared with those with GGT changes below the median (all p<0.01), and demonstrated a combined effect for changes in GGT levels over time and baseline GGT (model III) (Table 4).

**DISCUSSION**
In this prospective cohort of apparently healthy between 40 to 70 years subjects, high baseline GGT concentration and increases in GGT concentration over time were associated with an increased risk of incident metabolic syndrome, independent of age, sex, smoking status, alcohol, exercise, BMI, and total cholesterol. The combined increase in the risk was particularly evident in subjects with high baseline GGT concentrations and increasing GGT concentrations between the initial and follow-up visits. Our findings support the hypothesis that high and increasing GGT concentrations over time should be considered as combined predictors for incident metabolic syndrome.

The association between serum GGT levels and incident metabolic syndrome has been controversial. A few previous studies have concluded that serum GGT level, even when it is within the reference range, can independently predict the onset of metabolic syndrome.
of metabolic syndrome.18,25 Our study clearly showed that a stepwise increase in baseline GGT levels significantly increases the risk of incident metabolic syndrome (from 13.7 to 27.6%) and the incidence of each component of metabolic syndrome.

There are very limited data in the literature as to whether changes in serum GGT levels over time influence the risk of incident metabolic syndrome. Ryu, et al.16 postulated an association between longitudinal change in GGT and metabolic syndrome in middle-aged Korean men. However, this study was limited in that it used the modified National Cholesterol Education Program definition of metabolic syndrome with BMI instead of WC. Our data clearly support the notion that increasing serum concentrations over time are associated with an increased risk of developing metabolic syndrome.

Our data also showed that the OR of incident metabolic syndrome is significantly increased when we stratified participants according to the median baseline GGT concentration. These data imply that baseline GGT values are an effect modifier of changes in serum GGT levels over time. The robust association between GGT changes and incident metabolic syndrome may imply that GGT changes, as well as initial GGT, play a key role in the development of metabolic syndrome.

Although this prospective study clearly demonstrated an association between an increase in GGT levels over time and incident metabolic syndrome, the exact mechanism for this association was not fully elucidated. Several possible explanations can be proposed: Serum levels of GGT have been recommended as a marker of oxidative stress.15,26 Increases in serum GGT activity are responsible for the transport of excess glutathione into cells and for glutathione metabolism, which leads to oxidative stress.27 Accumulating evidence has suggested that oxidative stress plays a paramount role in the pathogenesis of metabolic syndrome.38,39 Therefore, we hypothesized that elevated GGT levels may be associated with the development of metabolic syndrome. However, further studies are needed to examine other oxidative stress markers in participants with metabolic syndrome and to ascertain the precise mechanism underlying this phenomenon. Subjects with high GGT, even within the normal reference level, often exhibit nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, which is closely related to the accumulation of visceral fat and obesity.4 Excess fat in the liver contributes to the development of systemic insulin resistance and hyperinsulinemia.30,31 Additionally, the inflammatory reaction that is activated by elevated GGT impairs normal insulin metabolism in the liver and other organs.32,33 Thus, GGT is a potential predictive marker in the pathogenesis of metabolic syndrome. We speculate that insulin resistance, inflammation, and oxidative stress may play substantial roles in the noted association between increased GGT and metabolic syndrome development.

Our study had several limitations. First, the study included middle-aged and elderly Koreans residing in rural settings with a higher prevalence and incidence of metabolic syndrome. The higher prevalence of metabolic syndrome in these regions may be explained, at least in part, by increased adoption of a Western lifestyle.34 Therefore, our study results may not be broadly applicable to non-Korean populations. Secondly, we could not assess risk factors in 25% of the population due to patient loss during follow-up, which may have influenced our results and led to an underestimated risk of metabolic syndrome. Thirdly, our follow-up period was only 2.6 years, meaning that we could not assess if the association between GGT changes and incident metabolic syndrome persisted over a long period. Finally, increase in GGT level during the study period might not be directly associated with incident metabolic syndrome, because this study did not examine the exact date of incident metabolic syndrome. Despite these limitations, the main strength of our study was its prospective design. Using this design, we observed a stepwise increase in the relationship between GGT and metabolic syndrome. Moreover, the robust association between GGT changes and incident metabolic syndrome may imply that GGT changes play a pivotal role in the development of metabolic syndrome.

In conclusion, our study demonstrates that a longitudinal increase in GGT, even when GGT remains within the normal range, is an independent predictor of metabolic syndrome. Therefore, careful observation of temporal trends in GGT is necessary to reduce the risk of metabolic syndrome.
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