Morphometry and Sexual Dimorphism of the Human Clavicle in South Indian Population
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ABSTRACT

Background: In forensic anthropology, determining an individual’s sex is the fundamental criteria of identification, but this is a tough task that gets considerably more difficult when only a single bone, such as the clavicle, is available. In physical anthropology, determining the sex of a deceased individual is a fundamental prerequisite. Physical anthropologists have gotten more concerned about the difficulties of human identity in recent years. Traditional techniques of sexing bone are subjective and ineffective when absolute sexing precision is desired, hence this study.

Methods: Measurement of clavicular length and circumference using an Osteometric board or sliding and Vernier Callipers product from 1128 dry clavicles of unknown sex and age procured from various medical institutions and departments of anthropology in south India. Length, inner angle, outer angle, the sum of angles, inner segment, middle segment, outside segment, width at the inner end at an inner angle, least width at conoid tubercle, at the outer end, and mid circumference have all been measured.

Results and Discussion: The male mid-shaft circumference is 38.0±0.5mm on the right side and 36.5±0.5mm on the left side, whereas the female mid-shaft circumference is 31.4±0.3mm on the right side and 31.4±0.6mm on the left side. The length of the left clavicle is greater than the length of the right collarbone. The curvature of the right collarbone is higher than that of the left, resulting in a shorter right bone than the left. The clavicle of males has a higher mean across all parameters than females. Male clavicle length is more than female clavicle length, midshaft circumference is less in females than males, and breadth at an inner angle is shorter in females than men, all of which are statistically significant. The Mid-shaft Circumference as a sex-determination metric is statistically significant in differentiating the clavicle’s sex. This delivers a better result than clavicle weight since clavicle weight fluctuates with age and the health state of the individual. Male clavicles have a larger Mid-shaft Circumference than female clavicles.
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INTRODUCTION

The determination of the sex is a crucial stage in the process of identification of an unknown individual from skeletal remains. In physical anthropology, determining the sex of a deceased individual correctly is a crucial necessity. While determining the person’s age, sex, height, and race are the major criteria for identification (bog fours). The adult clavicles were chosen for the investigation because the evident sex differences appear only after puberty. When a whole skeleton is available for inspection, determining the sex is not difficult. Precision in sex determination of sex of skeleton is based on the number of bones available [1].

Although DNA analysis has been helpful in identifying unknown victims, it is of limited use when there are no family members who can positively identify or claim the deceased [2,3]. In India, forensic pathologists frequently come across situations where traditional methods of identification, such as fingerprints, DNA, and antemortem dental records, are of little or no use. In these cases, forensic personnel routinely contact anatomists for their expert opinion on human identity in terms of sex, age, size, race, and likely cause of death for medico-legal considerations. Their conclusion is based on an examination of such skeletal remains [4].

The human clavicle is only a long bone present horizontally in the body, it has two ends and an intervening shaft. The shaft is gradually curved with convexity in the medial two-third, and concavity at the lateral one-third. The clavicle is heavier and has a more curved profile in manual workers, and the ridges that define the attachment sites for muscle tissue are more obvious [5,6]. Most (over 80%) clavicle fractures occur at the junction of two curvatures. In Primates, the shoulder complex has three bones known as the scapula, clavicle, and humerus, as well as around 20 muscles, but this number might vary based on the species. The presence of four joints adds to the complexity. The clavicle, in comparison to the humerus and scapula, has received less attention.

Despite the fact that numerous workers studied the morphometry data of the clavicles in different regions of the world, the existing data evidently exhibits that there is pinching of metrical data of the clavicle. As a result, the current study aims to investigate the sex difference in different measurements of human clavicles of known sex. Even for plate applications during surgeries, attention to the length and curvature is required, whereas, for intramedullary nail applications, width and diameter are important. The goal of such a study was to investigate length, width, angled, and circumference. It can study the main distinctions between clavicle geometries on the right and left.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

1128 clavicles were gathered from the medical institutions and anthropology departments. Based on basic anatomical differentiating features separated male and female clavicle [males 564 (282 Rt. & 282 Lt.) females (282 Rt. & 282 Lt.)]. Length, inner angle, outer angle, the sum of angles, inner segment, middle segment, outer segment, width at the inner end, at an inner angle, at conoid tubercle, at the outer end, and midshaft circumference were measured using a protractor, an Osteometric board or sliding, and Vernier Calipers.

The bone was positioned on a lump of plasticine so that its anterior and posterior margins were in the same horizontal plane. A dioptograph was used to trace the outline of the bone as seen from above on a sheet of paper. The midpoints at the sternal and acromial ends of a clavicle were acquired and indicated as points ‘a’ and ‘b’ met by a straight line on the contour of a clavicle. The bone’s axis was drawn as a curving line halfway between the anterior and posterior borders along the length of the clavicle. The medial third of this curving line was convex anteriorly, whereas the lateral third was convex posteriorly. The deepest points on two curves of the bones where convexities were greatest are denoted by the letters ‘c’ and ‘d’ connected by a straight line. Finally, these locations are connected with midpoints ‘a’ and
“b” at the endpoints of lines ca and db. As a result, two angles are formed: an inner or medial angle a, c, d with a curvature of 2/3rds, and an outer or lateral angle c, d, b with a curvature of 1/3rd. A protractor is used to measure these angles were seen in figure 1 and table 1. The total curvature of the bone was calculated by adding the two angles. All measurements were taken by using Vernier caliper, sliding caliper, and protractor.

**Statistical analysis:** The data were evaluated by using SPSS software (IBM, USA, ver. 21). The results were expressed as the Mean ± Standard error (S.E). A value of p<0.05 was considered significant.

![Fig. 1: Human Clavicle morphometry. A: Contour of the right clavicle (a-Midpoint of sternal end, b-Midpoint of the acromial end, c-Deepest point of medial curvature, d-Deepest point of lateral curvature, a c d – Medial angle and c d b – Lateral angle; B: Measuring the length of the clavicle with the help of Osteometric board; C: Measuring the length of the clavicle with the help of sliding caliper; D: Measuring the inner angle of the clavicle with the help of a protractor.](image)

**RESULTS**

![Fig. 2: Morphometric measurements of the human clavicle. A: Length of the clavicle; B: Angles of the clavicle; C: Segments of the clavicle; D: Widths of the clavicle; E: Depths of the clavicle; F: Midshaft circumference of the clavicle. (***) denotes p value<0.0001, (*) denotes p value<0.05 and ‘NS’ denotes non-significant.](image)
Widths of the clavicle; E: Depths of the clavicle; F: Midshaft circumference of the clavicle. (***) denotes p value<0.0001, (*) denotes p value<0.05 and ‘NS’ denotes non-significant).

**Table 1:** Morphometry measurements of human clavicles.

| Measurements       | Male (Mean±SE) | Total | Female (Mean±SE) | Total |
|--------------------|---------------|-------|------------------|-------|
| No. of bones       | Right 282     | Left 282 | Right 564       | Left 564 |
| Length             | 141.7±0.1     | 143.2±0.1 | 142.5±1.1       | 128.9±0.1 |
| p-value            | 0.0001***     | 0.0001*** | 0.0001***       | 0.0001*** |
| Angles             | Medial 151.0±0.0 | 157.0±0.0 | 154±4.2        | 154±0.1 |
| p-value            | 0.0001***     | 0.0001*** | 0.0001***       | 0.0001*** |
| Lateral            | 142.4±0.0     | 143.7±0.1 | 143.05±0.9     | 151.3±0.1 |
| p-value            | 0.0001***     | 0.0001*** | 0.0001***       | 0.0001*** |
| Total curvature    | 290.8±0.0     | 300.4±0.1 | 295.6±6.8      | 304.3±0.1 |
| p-value            | 0.0001***     | 0.0001*** | 0.0001***       | 0.0001*** |
| Segments           | Inner 56.3±0.0 | 55.8±0.0 | 56.1±0.4       | 51.5±0.0 |
| p-value            | 0.0001***     | 0.0001*** | 0.0001***       | 0.0001*** |
| Middle             | 50.1±0.1      | 56.9±0.1 | 53.5±4.8       | 48.6±0.0 |
| p-value            | 0.0001***     | 0.0001*** | 0.0001***       | 0.0001*** |
| Outer              | 38.2±0.0      | 35.7±0.0 | 37.0±0.0       | 31.4±0.0 |
| p-value            | 0.0001***     | 0.0001*** | 0.0001***       | 0.0001*** |
| Widths             | Inner end 21.5±0.0 | 22.7±0.0 | 22.1±0.8       | 21.6±0.0 |
| p-value            | 0.0375        | 0.0001*** | 0.0001***       | 0.0001*** |
| Inner angle        | 12.6±0.0      | 12.1±0.0 | 12.4±0.4       | 10.0±0.0 |
| p-value            | 0.0001***     | 0.0001*** | 0.0001***       | 0.0001*** |
| Least Width        | 11.8±0.0      | 10.9±0.0 | 11.45±0.6     | 9.14±0.0 |
| p-value            | 0.0001***     | 0.0001*** | 0.0001***       | 0.0001*** |
| Conoid tubercle    | 17±0.0        | 11.5±0.0 | 14.3±3.9      | 14.4±0.1 |
| p-value            | 0.0001***     | 0.0001*** | 0.0001***       | 0.0001*** |
| Outer end          | 18.9±0.0      | 20.4±0.0 | 19.7±1.1      | 17.8±0.0 |
| p-value            | 0.0001***     | 0.0001*** | 0.0001***       | 0.0001*** |
| Depths             | Inner end 21.7±2.2 | 22.9±1.0 | 22.3±0.8       | 21.0±1.0 |
| p-value            | 0.0001***     | 0.0001*** | 0.0001***       | 0.0001*** |
| Middle             | 9.9±5.3       | 10±5.2 | 9.9±5.0       | 9.2±5.0 |
| p-value            | 0.0001***     | 0.0001*** | 0.0001***       | 0.0001*** |
| Conoid tubercle    | 10.9±5.8      | 10.3±5.2 | 10.6±0.4     | 9.6±3.3 |
| p-value            | 0.0001***     | 0.0001*** | 0.0001***       | 0.0001*** |
| Outer end          | 10.0±3.8      | 11.1±1.9 | 10.6±0.8      | 8.6±3.6 |
| p-value            | 0.0001***     | 0.0001*** | 0.0001***       | 0.0001*** |
| Circumference      | Mid shaft 38   | 36.5 | 37.25±1.1   | 31.4 |
| p-value            | 0.0001***     | 0.0001*** | 0.0001***       | 0.0001*** |
**Table 2:** Comparison of morphometric measurements of human clavicle between male and female.

| Measurements          | Male (Mean±SE) | Female (Mean±SE) | p-value |
|-----------------------|----------------|------------------|---------|
| No. of bones          | 564            | 564              | 0.0001  |
| Length                | 142.5±1.6      | 128.5±1.5        |         |
| Angles                |                |                  |         |
| Medial                | 154±1.1        | 154±0.5          | 1       |
| Lateral               | 143.1±1.4      | 148.6±1.4        | 0.0001  |
| Total curvature       | 295.6±0.0      | 302.4±0.0        | 0.0001  |
| Segments              |                |                  |         |
| Inner                 | 56.1±0.0       | 49.6±0.0         | 0.0001  |
| Middle                | 53.5±0.0       | 50.5±0.0         | 0.0001  |
| Outer                 | 37.0±0.0       | 31.5±0.0         | 0.0001  |
| Widths                |                |                  |         |
| Inner end             | 22.1±0.0       | 20.3±0.1         | 0.0001  |
| Inner angle           | 12.4±0.0       | 9.9±0.0          | 0.0001  |
| Least Width           | 11.3±0.0       | 9.3±0.0          | 0.0001  |
| Conoid tubercle       | 14.3±0.1       | 14.4±0.0         | 0.0001  |
| Outer end             | 19.7±0.0       | 17.4±0.1         | 0.0001  |
| Depths                |                |                  |         |
| Inner end             | 22.3±0.0       | 19.8±0.0         | 0.0001  |
| Middle                | 10.0±0.0       | 9.2±0.0          | 0.0001  |
| Conoid tubercle       | 10.6±0.0       | 9.9±0.0          | 0.0001  |
| Outer end             | 10.5±0.0       | 8.2±0.0          | 0.0001  |
| Circumference         |                |                  |         |
| Mid shaft             | 37.3±0.0       | 31.4±0.0         | 0.0001  |

**Length of the clavicle:** Although there are statistically significant relationships between sex and collarbone length (p-value=0.0001), the mean clavicle length (R=141.7±0.1 and L=143.2±0.1) was higher than the female clavicles (R=128.9±0.1 and L=128.0±0.1) on either side (Table 1, figure 2A).

**Angles of clavicle:** The average medial and lateral angles of the right clavicle was 151.0±0.0 and 142.4±0.0 in males, while in the female was 154±0.1 and 151.3±0.1, whereas the left male and female clavicle was recorded to be 157.0±0.0, 143.7±0.1 and 154.0±0.0, 145.9±0.1, respectively (Table I). Although the difference between the male and female clavicle was recorded, a highly significant correlation was found between the medial and lateral angle of the clavicle (p-value=0.0001) (Table 1, figure 2B).

**Mid-shaft circumference of the clavicle:** The mid-shaft perimeter of the mid-shaft of the right and left male clavicle was more than their female clavicle, with a mean mid-shaft perimeter of 37.3 mm and 31.4 mm in males and females, respectively (Table 1, figure 2F). The mid-shaft perimeter was statistically significant in sex determination (p-value=0.0001).

**Segments, depth, and width of the clavicle:** Gender-specific highly significant statistical analysis (‘p’<0.001) was found to exist in the case of segment, depth, and width of the clavicle. Side-specific significant statistical analysis was also found in male clavicles (p<0.0001) for all parameters as well as in females. In general, the values of segment, depth, and width of clavicle were found to be higher for males than for females. Table 2 shows in all metrics, the male has a higher mean value than the female.

**DISCUSSION**

The human clavicle has a greater degree of variations (Grant, 1971) among people of various ages, sexes, races, and occupations [7-11]. Clinical treatments [8-12] and forensic anthropological identifications [7,13-23] have both benefited from the anatomical knowledge of the diversity of the clavicle. Moreover, the clavicle’s anatomical and biomechanical features impact the design of clavicle fixative devices. The use of pre-designed devices for the stabilization of collarbone fractures may be limited in clinical practice due to a lack of awareness of such differences. Clinicians are required to have a thorough understanding of the bone’s pre-fracture anatomical structure and function, but they are not expected to be experts in population-specific variances in these characteristics. In a forensic context, differences in clavicular osteological features may be used to identify an unknown skeleton. The clavicle’s mid-shaft circumference has been measured in multiple races by various researchers, and it is the most often used clavicular metric for sex identification. Oliver G [16,24-39] has compiled a table that summarises the findings of numerous researchers in this area.

Furthermore, determining a person’s sex using human skeletal remains is an essential part of forensic identification and the beginning point for anthropological study. The clavicle bone measurements were analyzed in this study. Men have larger clavicle bones than
women. Haque MK et al stated that midclavicular circumference is the most precise single indicator of sex, which is similar to our findings [30]. In addition, a highly significant gender-specific statistical difference was found in the midshaft circumference of the clavicle which is in consonance with studies done by all authors mentioned in (Table 3). The findings show that the clavicle’s midshaft circumference has a statistically significant dimorphic relevance. There is a side-specific significant difference found in the present study for midshaft circumference in males which is in accordance with the studies done by all authors in Table 3.

When the values for midshaft circumference for right and left sides as measured for the male and females were compared they were found to be statistically significant. This could be attributable to right/left-handedness or preference of limb use by particular individuals. This correlated with Standring et al., as they observed that the mid-shaft circumference of the clavicle is the most reliable single indicator of sex, and when this is combined with weight and length it produces better results [38].

**CONCLUSION**

The right clavicle is longer than the left clavicle, the mean medial angle of curvature of the left clavicle is greater than the mean medial angle of curvature of the right clavicle, the average lateral angle of curvature of the left clavicle is

| Sl. No. | Year | Author | Region | Side | Male Mean | Female Mean | P-value |
|---------|------|--------|--------|------|-----------|-------------|--------|
| 1       | 1932 | Terry RJ [9] | USA Negroes | Rt   | 36.02     | 35.26       | <0.001 |
|         |      |         |        | Lt   | 38.58     | 32.42       |        |
| 2       | 1932 | Terry RJ [9] | USA whites | Rt   | 36.04     | 35.16       | <0.001 |
|         |      |         |        | Lt   | 38.42     |             |        |
| 3       | 1951 | Oliver G [23] | French (France) | Rt   | 38.4     | 31.06       | <0.001 |
|         |      |         |        | Lt   | 31.6     |             |        |
| 4       | 1963 | Doengen RV [24] | Australia | Rt   | 36.2     | 29.5        | <0.001 |
|         |      |         |        | Lt   | 36.2     | 29.5        |        |
| 5       | 1966 | Jit I & Singh S [15] | Amritsar Zone | Rt   | 36.17    | 27.11-45.23 | <0.001 |
|         |      |         |        | Lt   | 35.7     | 26.22-45.18 |        |
| 6       | 1968 | Singh S & Gangrade KC [25] | Varanasi Zone | Rt   | 35.09    | 25-28       | <0.001 |
|         |      |         |        | Lt   | 34.64    | 25.12-44.16 |        |
| 7       | 1969 | Singh S [26] | American Negroes | Rt   | 39.96    | 33.06       | <0.001 |
|         |      |         |        | Lt   | 39.04    | 32.66       |        |
| 8       | 1969 | Singh S [26] | American whites | Rt   | 38.47    | 31.61       | <0.001 |
|         |      |         |        | Lt   | 37.61    | 30.72       |        |
| 9       | 1983 | Jit I & Sahni D [27] | Chandigarh Zone | Rt   | 36.2     | 31.45       | <0.001 |
|         |      |         |        | Lt   | 35.9     | 27.85-45.8  |        |
| 10      | 1992 | Sayee R et al [28] | Bangalore zone | Rt   | 37       | 32          | <0.001 |
|         |      |         |        | Lt   | 37       | 35          |        |
| 11      | 2009 | Padeyappanavar et al [29] | North & Interior Karnataka | Rt   | 38.34    | 30.0-54.0   | <0.001 |
|         |      |         |        | Lt   | 37.96    | 28.0-45.0   |        |
| 12      | 2011 | Haque et al [30] | Nepalese Population | Rt   | 37.14    | 30.0-46.0   | <0.001 |
|         |      |         |        | Lt   | 37       | 30.48-40.0  |        |
| 13      | 2014 | Kralki et al [31] | Modern Greek | Rt   | 41.18    | 34.79       | <0.001 |
|         |      |         |        | Lt   | 40.37    | 34.31       |        |
| 14      | 2015 | Alicina et al [32] | Spanish | Rt   | 38       | 31.36       | <0.001 |
|         |      |         |        | Lt   | 37.26    | 30.3        |        |
| 15      | 2016 | Ishwarkumar et al [33] | KwaZulu-Natal Population | Rt   | 38.6     | 38.68       | <0.001 |
|         |      |         |        | Lt   | 33.58    | 34.93       |        |
| 16      | 2016 | Rajitha et. Al [34] | Tamilnadu population | Rt   | 38.34    | 34.35       | <0.001 |
|         |      |         |        | Lt   | 39.48    | 33.68       |        |
| 17      | 2016 | Sehrawat & Pathak [35] | - | Rt   | 38.52    | 32.66       | <0.001 |
|         |      |         |        | Lt   | 37.24    | 31.76       |        |
| 18      | 2016 | Shoba et al [36] | North Karnataka population | Rt   | 37.14    | 30.5        | <0.001 |
|         |      |         |        | Lt   | 37.04    | 30.3        |        |
| 19      | 2016 | Dehiya et al [37] | - | Rt   | 37.95    | 32.14       | <0.001 |
|         |      |         |        | Lt   | 36.59    | 29.74       |        |
| 20      | 2021 | Present study | South India population | Rt   | 38       | 31.4        | <0.001 |
|         |      |         |        | Lt   | 36.5     | 31.4        |        |
greater than the average lateral angle of curvature of the right clavicle, the sum of the two angles of curvature is greater on the left than on the right side, and this variance may be attributable to ethnic, genetic, or mechanical causes. These differences should be considered by orthopedic surgeons since they may be valuable during surgical corrective treatments for clavicle fractures. Further research with large sample sizes should be conducted, and it will be most useful for forensic and anthropological professionals. The midclavicular circumference was the single most relevant variable in the direct analysis.
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