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Abstract

We consider departures from hamiltonian dynamics in the evolution of neutral kaons due to their interactions with environment that generate entanglement among them. We propose a phenomenological model of stochastic re-scattering and estimate the coefficients of the effective hamiltonian and decoherence terms. Finally we analyze the interplay between the weak interaction and matter effects and propose the observables suitable to measure the matter characteristics of CPT violation and decoherence.

1. Introduction

Since the fifties the system of neutral kaons has provided us with a unique laboratory to investigate the superposition principle, interference effects and CP violation [1], [2]. CP violation was first measured in [3] long ago, in the mixing of the neutral kaons, the so called indirect CP violation, whereas it was not until recently that direct violation, in the decay amplitudes to two pions, was experimentally established (see [4], [5], [6]). There are at present a few dedicated experiments in project to make precision measurements of the CP breaking parameters [3], [4], [5]. The analysis of their data may require to take properly into account the effects of decoherence due to entanglement of the neutral kaon system with the environment in which it evolves, which entails a pure kaon state to convert into a mixed one. We consider the evolution of neutral kaons in the presence of matter, in an environment that is not the perfect vacuum, which generates departures from hamiltonian dynamics in the kaon sub-system. These are effects that exist in addition to the weak interactions and are dominated by the strong interactions of the kaons with the environment: they lead to an effective breaking of charge conjugation, because the environment is made of matter, not of anti-matter; and to an effective breaking of time-reversal invariance associated to the large number of degrees of freedom in the environment that leads to irreversibility.

Similar analysis can be found in the literature [8], [9], [10], [11]. There, the motivation is to study decoherence effects that come from quantum gravity. Ours is the interaction with the environment that is not the vacuum [12].
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In section 2 we explain how the propagation in an environment being projected to the kaon system is described by a Lindblad master equation \[ H_w \] which characterizes the departure from hamiltonian evolution; we introduce a criterion to quantify decoherence and build a phenomenological model based on a stochastic re-scattering of kaons in a dense matter induced by strong interactions. In section 3 we restrict the general analysis to a two-component \( K^0 - \bar{K}^0 \) system and estimate coefficients of both effective hamiltonian and Lindblad interaction. In particular we conjecture that the decoherent part may be equally important for both hamiltonian and Lindblad piece. In section 4 we analyze the interplay between the weak interaction and matter effects and propose the observables suitable to disentangle the parameter responsible for CPT violation in matter \( \delta_K \), the characteristic of indirect CP violation in vacuum \( \epsilon \), and the decoherence parameter \( x_{33} \). In the appendix we propose a toy model of two level environment to explain how the Lindblad interaction comes about, in the Markovian approximation.

The neutral kaon evolution in the vacuum is analyzed with the Schrödinger equation

\[
i \dot{\rho}(t) = H_w \rho(t) - \rho(t) H_w^\dagger,
\]

where \( H_w \) is the LOY \[ 14 \] effective hamiltonian \( H_w = \sum_{I=S,L} \lambda_I \langle K I \rangle \langle \tilde{K} I \rangle \), which is not hermitean and its eigenstates \( \lambda_I = m_I - i \gamma_I \) possess a non-vanishing imaginary part of their decay rates. \( S, L \) stand for the short and long components, and \( \langle K I \rangle \langle \tilde{K} J \rangle \) are such that \( \langle K I \rangle \langle \tilde{K} J \rangle = \delta_{I J} \). Recall \[ 15 \] that \( m_S \approx m_L \approx 500 \text{ MeV}, \Delta m = m_L - m_S = 3.5 \times 10^{-12} \text{ MeV}, \gamma_S = 7.3 \times 10^{-12} \text{ MeV} \) and \( \gamma_L = 1.3 \times 10^{-14} \text{ MeV} \).

The \( \langle K I \rangle \) have diagonal evolution \( \langle K I(t) \rangle = e^{-i\lambda_I t} \langle K I(0) \rangle \). In terms of the CP eigenstates

\[
\langle K_S \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\epsilon_S|^2}} (|K_1 \rangle + \epsilon_S |K_2 \rangle), \quad \langle K_L \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\epsilon_L|^2}} (|K_1 \rangle + \epsilon_L |K_2 \rangle);
\]

they reduce to the CP eigenstates \( |K_1 \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left( |K^0 \rangle + |\bar{K}^0 \rangle \right), \ |K_2 \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left( |K^0 \rangle - |\bar{K}^0 \rangle \right) \), if CP is conserved.

At this point we recall that CPT conservation requires \( \epsilon_S = \epsilon_L \); T invariance, \( \epsilon_S + \epsilon_L = 0 \); and CP, \( \epsilon_S = \epsilon_L = 0 \).

Indirect CP violation has been measured \[ 3, 13 \]

\[
\epsilon \simeq 2.3 \times 10^{-3} e^{i \pi/4},
\]

(CPT conservation is assumed, \( \epsilon_S = \epsilon_L \equiv \epsilon \).) Direct CP violation has also been measured \[ 3, 13 \]

\[
\epsilon' / \epsilon \sim 2 \times 10^{-3},
\]

where

\[
\frac{A(K_L \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)}{A(K_S \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)} \equiv \epsilon_L + \epsilon', \quad \frac{A(K_L \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0)}{A(K_S \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0)} \equiv \epsilon_L - 2\epsilon', \quad \epsilon_L = \epsilon.
\]

2. Propagation in environment

The propagation in an environment is dominated by the strong interactions, and we postpone to the next section the inclusion of weak interactions. The evolution of the \textit{total} system
[Kaon + (Large) Environment] is unitary, with the time evolution operator given by $U(t) = \exp(-iH_{\text{Total}}t)$; whereas the dynamics of the kaon sub-system alone is obtained by tracing out the environment degrees of freedom:

$$\rho_K(t) = \text{Tr}_{\text{ENV}} \left( U(t) \rho_{K+\text{ENV}}(0) U^\dagger(t) \right).$$

(5)

This gives a complicated evolution for $\rho_K$, even if the initial conditions are a direct product $\rho_{K+\text{ENV}}(0) = \rho_K(0) \otimes \rho_{\text{ENV}}(0)$. However, when the interaction is tiny (e.g., as in the case of a diluted environment) the dynamics of $\rho_K$ becomes approximately free from memory effects\footnote{Markovian}, and it is dictated by a Lindblad master equation\footnote{Lindblad} of the form

$$\dot{\rho} = -i \left( H_{\text{eff}} \rho(t) - \rho(t) H_{\text{eff}}^\dagger \right) + L[\rho],$$

(6)

where

$$L[\rho] = \sum_j \left( A_j \rho A_j^\dagger - \frac{1}{2} \rho A_j^\dagger A_j - \frac{1}{2} A_j A_j^\dagger \rho \right),$$

(7)

with the effective Hamiltonian being in general non-hermitian. (Henceforth $\rho$ stands for $\rho_K$). Memory effects are being neglected. (see a toy model in the appendix). Notice that the new piece is linear in $\rho$ but quadratic in the unspecified operators $A_j$; the Lindblad equation encodes a new dynamics that is not purely Hamiltonian. The new term may entail decoherence by making pure states evolve into mixed states. Since the opposite process does not occur, i.e., no mixed state evolves to a pure one, we demand that the von Neumann entropy $-\rho \log \rho$ should not increase, under evolution with the new piece only.

At this point we introduce a criterion to quantify decoherence. In its time evolution, there are two distinct effects that will affect the density matrix, namely the loss of quantum coherence, due to entanglement with the medium, and probability depletion, due to decay of the initial states which decreases $\text{tr}(\rho)$. It is natural to introduce a new variable $\bar{\rho}$ through the relation $\rho = \bar{\rho} \text{tr}(\rho)$, so that $\text{tr}(\bar{\rho}) = 1$ remains normalized to unity. Notice that this conditional $\bar{\rho}$ matrix verifies the following closed evolution equation

$$\dot{\bar{\rho}} = -i \left( H_{\text{eff}} \bar{\rho} - \bar{\rho} H_{\text{eff}}^\dagger \right) + L[\bar{\rho}] - 2 \text{tr}(\bar{\rho} \text{Im} H_{\text{eff}}) \bar{\rho}. $$

In terms of $\bar{\rho}$ we propose the quantity $d \equiv 2 \det \bar{\rho} = (1 - \text{tr}(\bar{\rho}^2)) \geq 0$ as an order parameter for decoherence: only pure states have $d = 0$. This parameter represents a linear entropy for the conditional $\bar{\rho}$ matrix\footnote{Linear entropy}.

Let us consider the particle scattering in a dense matter and derive an average description of its propagation for a relatively large, macroscopic time of flight. First we introduce the elementary evolution of the density matrix $\rho$ induced by the scattering matrices $S_j$ and assume a stochastic property of the medium, effectively described by the classical probabilities $r_j$:

$$\rho(\tau + \Delta \tau) = \sum_j r_j S_j(\tau) S_j^\dagger. $$

(8)

We suppose that all possible scattering processes are included and the description is complete so that

$$\sum_j r_j = 1.$$ 

(9)
Thus for the unitary (elastic) evolution, \( S_j^{-1} = S_j^\dagger \) one obtains the conservation of probability:
\[
\text{tr} (\rho (\tau + \Delta \tau)) = \text{tr} (\rho (\tau)) = 1. \tag{10}
\]
Further on a certain inelasticity of scattering can be taken into account by \( S_j^{-1} \neq S_j^\dagger \) and \( \|S_j S_j^\dagger\| < 1 \).

In order to implement the smooth average evolution the time intervals \( \Delta \tau \) should be chosen of macroscopic size \( l \) which is much larger than the size of a crystal link or a distance between molecules in a gas or a liquid. Therefore \( \Delta \tau \sim l/v \gg R_\text{int}/v \) for a given particle velocity \( v \) and a radius of strong interaction \( R_\text{int} \).

Let us introduce the scattering amplitudes \( S_j = I + iT_j \). Then the one-step evolution can be characterized by \( \Delta \rho \equiv \rho (\tau + \Delta \tau) - \rho (\tau) \) in a differential form:
\[
\frac{\Delta \rho}{\Delta \tau} = -i \left( H_{\text{eff}} \rho - \rho H_{\text{eff}}^\dagger \right) + \sum_j \frac{r_j}{\Delta \tau} \left( T_j \rho T_j^\dagger - \frac{1}{2} (T_j T_j^\dagger \rho + \rho T_j T_j^\dagger) \right) \tag{11}
\]
which represents a Lindblad equation for a coarse-grained time evolution. Herein the effective hamiltonian is given by
\[
H_{\text{eff}} = \sum_j \frac{r_j}{\Delta \tau} \left( -T_j + \frac{i}{2} T_j T_j^\dagger \right). \tag{12}
\]
This definition leads to the hermitian hamiltonian in the elastic case \( (T_j T_j^\dagger = 2\text{Im}T_j \), a relation from unitarity)
\[
H_{\text{eff}} = -\sum_j \frac{r_j}{\Delta \tau} \text{Re} T_j = H_{\text{eff}}^\dagger. \tag{13}
\]
Respectively in (10) the Lindblad matrices are \( A_j = \sqrt{\frac{r_j}{\Delta \tau}} T_j \).

We see that the unitary evolution in a time-slicing approximation is generated not only by a hermitian hamiltonian \( H_{\text{eff}} \) but also by the unavoidable Lindblad interaction which does not necessarily entail the decoherence effects. The latter ones arise only if the medium is stochastic with \( r_j \neq 1 \).

### 3. Kaons in a medium

The operators \( A_j \) are governed by the strong interactions and the properties of the medium. As to the hamiltonian we have to sum up the contributions that come from the original evolution in the vacuum (weak interaction (14)) plus the rescattering effects already contained in (12) \( H = H_w + H_{\text{eff}} \): therefore the constants \( \epsilon_L, \epsilon_S \) are called \( \tilde{\epsilon}_L, \tilde{\epsilon}_S \) and contain the effects due to evolution in a medium of matter. Since strong interactions conserve strangeness, which in the absence of the weak interactions would become a superselection quantum number, we further demand that any density matrix, at large times, should end up as a mixture of \( |K^0\rangle \) and \( |\bar{K}^0\rangle \). The most general parametrization that satisfies the above considerations leads, for the two state neutral kaon system, to a non-hamiltonian contribution in (13)

\[
\tilde{L}(\rho) = - \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & s_1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & s_{22} & s_{23} \\
0 & 0 & s_{23} & s_{33}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\rho^0 \\
\rho^1 \\
\rho^2 \\
\rho^3
\end{pmatrix}. \tag{14}
\]
where in the CP eigenbasis $\rho \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\rho^0 I + \vec{\rho} \cdot \vec{\sigma})$ (see (3)). We have included a piece in $\tilde{H}_{eff}$ from $L[\rho]$ which is of hamiltonian form and left in $\tilde{L}[\rho]$ the genuine non-hamiltonian part.

The matrix $s_{ij}$ is symmetric, $s_1, s_{22}, s_{33} > 0$. They also verify the relations [11], providing the complete positivity,

\[
\begin{align*}
& s_1 \leq s_{22} + s_{33}, \quad s_{33}^2 \geq (s_1 - s_{22})^2, \\
& s_{22} \leq s_1 + s_{33}, \quad s_{22}^2 \geq (s_1 - s_{33})^2, \\
& s_{33} \leq s_1 + s_{22}, \quad s_{1}^2 \geq (s_{22} - s_{33})^2.
\end{align*}
\]

Now let us find the structure of the evolution equations for the two-level system of kaons ($K_0, \bar{K}_0$). The conservation of strangeness implies that the $S$-matrices take the following form

\[
S_j = \begin{pmatrix} s_j & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{s}_j \end{pmatrix} = s_j^{(+)I} + s_j^{(-)}\sigma_3, \tag{15}
\]

where $s_j^{(\pm)} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(s_j \pm \bar{s}_j)$. Respectively,

\[
t_j^{(\pm)} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(t_j \pm \bar{t}_j); \quad T_j = t_j^{(+)I} + t_j^{(-)}\sigma_3. \tag{16}
\]

Accordingly the effective hamiltonian and the Lindblad interaction look as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{H}_{eff} &= -t_+ + \frac{i}{2}(t_{++} + t_{--}) + \sigma_3(-t_- + it_{+-}), \\
\tilde{L}[\rho] &= t_{--}(\sigma_3 \rho \sigma_3 - \rho), \tag{17}
\end{align*}
\]

we used the following notations,

\[
\begin{align*}
& t_\pm \equiv \sum_j \frac{r_j}{\Delta\tau} t_j^{(\pm)}, \\
& t_{\pm,\pm} \equiv \sum_j \frac{r_j}{\Delta\tau} (t_j^{(\pm)})^*, \quad t_{+,--} = t^*_{--,--}. \tag{18}
\end{align*}
\]

Thus, in our approach $s_{22} = s_{33} = -2t_{--}, s_1 = s_{23} = 0$. There are other [8], [18] models where these coefficients do not obey this constraints, when the effects are induced by quantum gravity.

Let’s adopt the model of two-component evolution with $r_1 = 1 - r_2, \quad r_2 = O(\Delta\tau) \ll 1$. Respectively we suppose that $|t_1| \ll |t_2|$. Then the coherent part is dominantly described by $t_1$ and its nontrivial contribution to the non-hamiltonian evolution (17) can be estimated as follows:

\[
L_1 \equiv \frac{r_1}{\Delta\tau} |t_1^{(-)}|^2 \sim |\text{tr}(\sigma_3 \tilde{H}_{eff})|^2 \Delta\tau \leq (\Delta m)^2 10^{-2} \Delta\tau, \tag{19}
\]

where we have used the Good-Kabir theory for the coherent regeneration [19] in which the forward scattering is dominating:

\[
t_\pm \sim \frac{2\pi\nu}{m_K} f_j^{(\pm)}, \quad |t_\pm| \leq \Delta m \cdot 10^{-1} \tag{20}
\]

with the forward scattering amplitudes $f_j^{(\pm)} \equiv f_K \pm \bar{f}_K, \nu$ standing for the particle density per unit volume and $m_K$ being a kaon mass.
One can further estimate $\Delta \tau$ taking into account that the optical picture arises at macroscopic distances, say $l \sim 10^{-4} \text{cm}$ as a collective effect of many scatterers. Then $\Delta \tau = l/v \simeq l$, for relativistic kaons ($v \simeq 1$) which entails $\Delta m \Delta \tau \sim 10^{-5}$ and therefore $L_1 \sim \Delta m \cdot 10^{-7}$. This estimate can be considered as an upper bound for the deviation from a Hamiltonian evolution due to averaging in time. It proves it to be difficult to observe these coherent effects.

On the other hand, the second, decoherent part may equally contribute both in the effective Hamiltonian and in the Lindblad coefficients. Indeed, if $t_2 = O(\Delta \tau) \ll 1$ but $|t_1| \ll |t_2|$ (impurities of heavy nuclei or density clusters) one may expect that $|t_1(-)| \sim r_2 |t_2(-)|$ and further on

$$L_2 \equiv \frac{r_2}{\Delta \tau} |t_2(-)|^2 \sim \frac{r_2}{\Delta \tau} |t_2(-)|^2 \gg L_1$$

Thus the decoherent part of the Lindblad may be well observable. We nevertheless take here a conservative estimation for the Lindblad coefficients to be of order $\Delta m \hat{e}_L^2$.

### 4. Decay probabilities

The complete Hamiltonian of (17) contains two pieces: the first, proportional to the identity, affects the mass and the decay rates by a shift of the same amount; the second piece, proportional to $\sigma_3$, modifies the mixing parameters to $\hat{\epsilon}_L = \epsilon_{vac} + \delta_L$, $\hat{\epsilon}_S = \epsilon_{vac} - \delta_L$, leaving the masses and decay rates unaffected to leading order in the perturbation. Here $\delta_L$ is the parameter that measures the CPT breaking due to matter effects, which is $O(10^{-2})$ in a dense matter [14]. In principle, $\delta$ can be measured (see [21]).

The decoherence effects encoded in the Lindblad interaction modify the evolution parameters as follows

$$\bar{\gamma}_L = \gamma_L + \frac{s_{33}}{2}, \quad \bar{\gamma}_S = \gamma_S + \frac{s_{33}}{2}, \quad \gamma = \gamma_S + \gamma_L + s_{22} = \bar{\gamma}_L + \bar{\gamma}_S$$

$$x_{33} = \frac{s_{33}}{2 \gamma_S}, \quad x = \frac{s_{22}}{2 \Delta m} = 2 x_{33},$$

$$\Delta \lambda \equiv \lambda_L - \lambda_S = (m_L - m_S) + \frac{i}{2} (\gamma_S - \gamma_L),$$

where both $m_L - m_S$, $\gamma_S - \gamma_L \geq 0$. Herein the masses $m_{L,S}$ and decay constants $\gamma_{L,S}$ take their values in the medium.

The following combinations of probabilities are of interest to us, because if the time dependence could be measured and the different time behaviours disentangled, they would provide information on the effects that we are trying to uncover. To first order in perturbation theory we find

$$P(K^0 \rightarrow K^0) - P(\bar{K}^0 \rightarrow \bar{K}^0) = 2 \text{Re} \delta_K e^{-\bar{\gamma}_Lt} - 2 \text{Re} \delta_K e^{-\gamma_S t} + 4 \text{Im} \delta_K \sin(\Delta m t) e^{-\frac{1}{2} \gamma t}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (21)

(21) does not depend on $x_{33}$. It allows to measure both $\text{Re} \delta_K$ and $\text{Im} \delta_K$ CPT breaking parameters.

$$P(K^0 \rightarrow \bar{K}^0) - P(\bar{K}^0 \rightarrow K^0) = -2 \text{Re} e^{-\bar{\gamma}_Lt} - 2 \text{Re} e^{-\gamma_S t} + 4 \text{Re} \cos(\Delta m t) e^{-\frac{1}{2} \gamma t}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (22)
The coefficient of this combination of probabilities does not depend on the medium and would allow to extract $\text{Re} \epsilon$.

The following sum singles out the parameters $x_{33}$ and $x$ and washes out the vacuum effects,

$$P(K^0 \rightarrow K^0) + P(\bar{K}^0 \rightarrow \bar{K}^0) = \left( \frac{1}{2} + x_{33} \right) e^{-\gamma_L t} + \left( \frac{1}{2} - x_{33} \right) e^{-\gamma_S t}$$

$$+ \left( \cos(\Delta m t) + x \sin(\Delta m t) \right) e^{-\frac{1}{2} \gamma t}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)

Thus we see that from observation of modulated oscillations we could in principle extract both the CPT violating and the decoherence parameters from the experiment.

Finally, we consider the decays into two pion states from which $\epsilon'/\epsilon$ has been recently measured through the double ratio

$$\frac{\Gamma(K_L \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma(K_S \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)}{\Gamma(K_L' \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0)/\Gamma(K_S' \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0)}.$$

In presence of matter, in the large time regime where only the long component survives, we obtain for it (compare with [21] for the case of quantum gravity).

$$1 + 6 \text{Re} \left( \frac{\epsilon'}{\bar{\epsilon}_L} \right) \frac{|\bar{\epsilon}_L|^2}{|\bar{\epsilon}_L|^2 + x_{33}}.$$

Here we have used the definitions in (4) replacing $K_S, K_L$ and $\epsilon_L$ by $K'_S, K'_L$ and $\bar{\epsilon}_L; K'_L, K'_S$ stand for the short and long components inside matter. Since $x_{33}$ is positive, we see that the effect of entanglement with the environment would decrease the signal for $\epsilon'$, i.e., it would be bigger than measured. $\epsilon'$ has been measured in the vacuum whereas $\bar{\epsilon}_L$ can be measured as well in the experiment. These decay modes thus provide also a way to determine $x_{33}$.

5. **Conclusions**

We draw the attention to effects of interaction with the environment in neutral kaon evolution, that maybe of relevance in the analysis of the CP breaking parameters, made from more complete and precise data that will be available soon, in the future [7]. The Lindblad master equation provides a universal form that incorporates the tiny corrections of non-hamiltonian evolution. On the other hand, decoherence represents a remarkable quantum phenomenon by itself. Thus we propose to share the experimental time for measurements of the decoherence parameter $x_{33}$ in the medium, which we believe can be done by observation of time evolution.
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7. Appendix

In order to interpret the Lindblad piece of the evolution equation let us consider a simplified model of environment which consists only of two levels $|E\rangle, |E'\rangle$, with energies $E' > E$.

The interaction kaon-environment is dominantly strong; it is dim, though, if the medium is diluted and the kaons are not too energetic. (We neglect the weak interaction of the kaons with the medium). The total hamiltonian has several terms: $H = H_0 + R + V$. $H_0 = M_0 I \otimes I_{env}$, $R = I \otimes (E|E\rangle\langle E| + E'|E'\rangle\langle E'|)$. $V$ contains the interaction of the kaons with the environment; we do not include matrix elements that induce transitions like $|K_0, E\rangle \rightarrow |K_0, E\rangle$, etc, diagonal in the kaons and in the medium. Having ordered the basis as $|K_0, E\rangle, |\bar{K}_0, E\rangle, |K_0, E'\rangle, |\bar{K}_0, E'\rangle$, $V$ reads

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Delta^\dagger \\ \Delta & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\Delta$ is a $2 \times 2$ matrix. The evolution equation

$$i\dot{\rho}(t) = [H, \rho(t)],$$

reads

$$i\dot{\rho}_I(t) = [V_I(t), \rho_I(t)],$$

where

$$\rho_I(t) = e^{i(H_0+R)t}\rho(t)e^{-i(H_0+R)t},$$

$$V_I(t) = e^{i(H_0+R)t}Ve^{-i(H_0+R)t} = e^{iRt}Ve^{-iRt}.$$ 

The differential equation is equivalent to

$$\rho_I(t) = \rho_I(0) - i \int_0^t du[V_I(u), \rho_I(u)],$$

which leads to

$$i\dot{\rho}_I(t) = [V_I(t), \rho_I(0)] - i \int_0^t du[V_I(t), [V_I(u), \rho_I(u)]]].$$

Now we make the Markovian approximation, valid when the domain of integration that gives the dominant contribution is $u \rightarrow t$, so that the replacement $\rho_I(u) \rightarrow \rho_I(t)$ is allowed. It amounts to neglecting memory effects. Furthermore we assume that the initial conditions factorize $\rho_I(0) = \sigma(0) \otimes \rho_{env}$, with a static environment $\rho_{env} = p|E\rangle\langle E| + p'|E'\rangle\langle E'|$.

We want to obtain an equation that takes into account the effects of the environment effectively, after having traced over the environment degrees of freedom: $\text{tr}_{env}\rho_I(t) = \sigma(t)$.

Given that if $V = 0$, $\rho_I(t) = \sigma(t) \otimes \rho_{env}$, we expect $\rho_I(t) = \sigma(t) \otimes \rho_{env} + O(V)$. Also, $\text{tr}_{env}(V_I(t)\rho_I(0)) = \text{tr}_{env}(\rho_I(0)V_I(t)) = 0$.

Finally, we obtain

$$i\dot{\sigma}(t) \approx \text{Re} f(t)[p'\Delta\Delta^\dagger + p\Delta^\dagger\Delta, \sigma(t)] +$$

$$+ i\text{Im} f(t) \left(p(2\Delta\sigma\Delta^\dagger - \sigma\Delta^\dagger\Delta - \Delta^\dagger\Delta\sigma) + p'(2\Delta^\dagger\sigma\Delta - \sigma\Delta\Delta^\dagger - \Delta\Delta^\dagger\sigma)\right),$$

where

$$f(t) = \frac{e^{-i(E'-E)t} - 1}{E' - E},$$
and we have only kept terms up to $O(V^2)$ included. The first term gives a correction to hamiltonian evolution and the second is a Lindblad like piece. Since our model contains only two levels for the environment these contributions depend on time, through the function $f(t)$. It is only in the situation that the environment has a continuum spectrum of energies that the limit of large $t$ gives, in the sense of distributions

$$\text{Im } f(t) \to \pi \delta(E' - E);$$

it only contributes when there is a nonzero density of environment states, degenerate with the initial state.

The Lindblad operators are the matrix elements $\Delta$ and their conjugates that, under evolution, would produce entanglement of the kaons with the environment. It also depends on the energy distribution in the environment.
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