Case Report

Can a biologic mesh survive a *Candida krusei* infection? A case report of infection of a biologic mesh following repair of abdominal wall hernia
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**A B S T R A C T**

The use of biologic mesh, which is considered resistant to infection, has become common. It is preferred over synthetic mesh for use in contaminated fields. Fungal infection with infiltration of biologic mesh is rare and has not been reported. In this paper, we report a case of a patient who underwent multiple laparotomies and received multiple antibiotics and an azole antifungal. Biologic mesh was used, but it ultimately required removal because of chronic infection with *Candida krusei*. On biopsy, the yeast was found to have infiltrated the mesh.

© 2014 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/).

**Introduction**

Repair of abdominal wall hernias with mesh has become common since it was first introduced in the 1950s [1]. The main benefit of using mesh is reduced recurrence of the hernia [2,3]. Various artificial mesh materials, both absorbable and non-absorbable, have been associated with a small but significant infection risk [4]. Biologic mesh was recently introduced. Its advantage is that it can be used to close abdominal wall defects in contaminated or infected fields [5]. In this paper, we report a case of infection of a biologic mesh following repair of abdominal wall hernia. *Candida krusei* was repeatedly isolated despite administration of antifungals. We also discuss the literature regarding the optimal treatment for this case.

**Case report**

The patient is a 40-year-old male who presented on March 15th, 2011 with a strangulated epigastric hernia. The hernia had been present for six years and was increasing in size, but the patient did not seek immediate medical care. Comorbidities included morbid obesity (BMI = 45), diabetes, and nicotine abuse. He underwent emergency operation, in which small bowel resection and hernia repair were performed. The patient clinically deteriorated and was returned to the OR four days later, during which he underwent colectomy for dead bowel and fascial debridement of the abdominal wall for necrotizing fasciitis. The patient developed sepsis, respiratory distress, and acute renal injury. Cultures obtained intraoperatively showed polymicrobial flora, including *Gr. B Streptococcus*, *Clostridium perfringens*, *Prevotella* spp., *Enterobacter* spp., and *Candida albicans*. Management with antibiotics was started with meropenem, clindamycin, and fluconazole. The latter was given for 10 days and then extended for 10 more days because new wound cultures grew *C. albicans*. The abdominal fascia was left open, and a vacuum-assisted closure device was used. The patient had a planned return to the OR two days later during which more fascia was debrided, and another small bowel resection was performed for more necrotic bowel. Ileostomy was performed. Twenty days after admission, he was returned to the OR for an anastomotic leak. Twenty-four days after admission, the patient again went to the OR for tracheostomy, further abdominal wall debridement, and placement of non-crosslinked human dermic mesh (Allomax-Bard). The antibiotics, including fluconazole, were continued. The patient returned to the OR 48 h later for re-exploration, irrigation, and removal and replacement of the mesh. On subsequent cultures, yeast organisms were seen on the Gram stain of the wound. Fluconazole was discontinued, and the patient was started on micafungin 100 mg daily. Micafungin was kept for 2 weeks. The patient later underwent skin closure over the mesh with closed suction drainage. He made a slow recovery over the next six weeks but was left with a chronically draining wound from which *C. krusei* grew on repeated cultures for a period of two months despite two consecutive courses.
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The patient we presented had multiple laparotomies, bowel resections, and serious complications, including sepsis, fasciitis, and wound infection. He received multiple antibacterials and fluconazole. Biologic mesh was used because it is theoretically superior for contaminated wounds. Biologic mesh was first approved by the FDA in 1999 for use as an alternative to leaving the abdomen open in contaminated abdominal wounds [10]. Studies have shown that this practice is usually successful [11,12]. Unlike synthetic mesh, biologic mesh can be from an animal (porcine) or human source. The human dermis mesh is made from an extracellular dermal matrix stripped from cells (acellular dermal matrix). It provides a scaffold onto which the patient’s body sends blood vessels, a process that leads to remodeling and also allows blood supply and immunologic defenses; for this reason, it is theoretically resistant to infection [13,14]. An important drawback of biologic mesh, however, is its high cost [15]: the cost of human-derived mesh is higher than that of animal mesh [16]. Three recent reviews [17–19] have shed light on the issues of hernia recurrence and mesh infection with the use of biologic mesh. The data are insufficient to draw any solid conclusions on the infection risk of biologic mesh, and hernia recurrence is high.

In our case, the C. krusei infection persisted despite long-term surgical drainage and management with micafungin. The secretions of the wound tended to clear when the patient was receiving the antifungal, and they re-accumulated when it was stopped, with the repeat cultures growing the yeast. For this reason, a decision was made to remove the biologic mesh. In summary, biologic mesh can be used to close infected abdominal wounds. The case we presented demonstrates that such a mesh can become chronically infected with Candida spp. and thus require removal.
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