Comprehensive analyses of potential key genes in active tuberculosis
A systematic review

Jiarui Chen, MSa, Chong Liu, MDa, Tuo Liang, MDa, Guoyong Xu, MSa, Zide Zhang, MSa, Zhaojun Lu, MSa, Jie Jiang, MSa, Tianyou Chen, MSa, Hao Li, MSa, Shengsheng Huang, MDa, Liyi Chen, MDa, Xihua Sun, MDa, Jiemei Cen, MSb, Xinli Zhan, MDa,*

Abstract
Background: Tuberculosis (TB) is a global health problem that brings us numerous difficulties. Diverse genetic factors play a significant role in the progress of TB disease. However, still no key genes for TB susceptibility have been reported. This study aimed to identify the key genes of TB through comprehensive bioinformatics analysis.

Methods: The series microarray datasets from the gene expression omnibus (GEO) database were analyzed. We used the online tool GEO2R to filtrate differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between TB and health control. Database for annotation can complete gene ontology function analysis as well as Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes pathway enrichment analysis. Protein-protein interaction (PPI) networks of DEGs were established by STRING online tool and visualized by Cytoscape software. Molecular Complex Detection can complete the analysis of modules in the PPI networks. Finally, the significant hub genes were confirmed by plug-in Genemania of Cytoscape, and verified by the verification cohort and protein test.

Results: There are a total of 143 genes were confirmed as DEGs, containing 48 up-regulated genes and 50 down-regulated genes. The gene ontology and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes analysis show that upregulated DEGs were associated with cancer and phylogenetic, whereas downregulated DEGs mainly concentrate on inflammatory immunity. PPI networks show that signal transducer and activator of transcription 1 (STAT1), guanylate binding protein 5 (GBP5), 2′-5′-oligoadenylate synthetase 1 (OAS1), catenin beta 1 (CTNNB1), and guanylate binding protein 1 (GBP1) were identified as significantly different hub genes.

Conclusion: We conclude that these genes, including TAT1, GBP5, OAS1, CTNNB1, GBP1 are a candidate as potential core genes in TB and treatment of TB in the future.

Abbreviations: CTNNB1 = catenin beta 1, DEG = differentially expressed gene, GBP1 = guanylate binding protein 1, GBP5 = guanylate binding protein 5, GEO = gene expression omnibus, GO = gene ontology, IFI44 = interferon-induced protein 44 like, KEGG = Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes, MTB = Mycobacterium tuberculosis, OAS1 = 2′-5′-oligoadenylate synthetase 1, OAS2 = 2′-5′-oligoadenylate synthetase 2, OAS3 = 2′-5′-oligoadenylate synthetase 3, PARP9 = poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase family member 9, PPI = protein-protein interaction, SOCS3 = suppressor of cytokine signaling 3, STAT1 = signal transducer and activator of transcription 1, TB = tuberculosis, XAF1 = XIAP associated factor 1.
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1. Introduction

Tuberculosis (TB) is a disease caused by Mycobacterium tuberculosis (MTB) infection and is still a cause of global death. The World Health Organization reported that about 10.0 million people suffered from TB disease in 2017, including males and females, 5.8 million and 3.2 million, respectively. And about 1.3 million among human immunodeficiency virus-negative and 300,000 among human immunodeficiency virus-positive deaths were caused by TB in 2017.[1]

Only 1 in 10 people infected with TB will become infected, suggesting that TB links to some factors. We have recognized that TB is caused by infection with MTB, while it is still unclear why some people develop active TB after infection while others are latent. The incidence of TB after infection varies among individuals. Host genetic factors have been shown to contribute to MTB infection outcomes. With the development and spread of science and technology, some high-throughput technology platforms for gene expression analysis have rapidly developed. Microarray analysis, as an important tool in the field of tumor medicine, has important clinical application value in the key genes, molecular diagnosis of some diseases, molecular diagnosis, and the discovery of new drug targets.[2,3] There are already many disease databases, such as gene expression omnibus (GEO)[4] and the cancer genome atlas. Also, more and more researchers are using bioinformatics analysis. Expression profiling by array plays an important role in the field of molecular biology and it can simultaneously detect thousands of genes.[5] Nowadays, many researchers have studied the field of TB pathogenesis at the genetic level, such as single nucleotide polymorphisms.[6,7] However, key genes associated with TB have not been reported until now. Thus, our study uses online bioinformatics data to explore differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between TB and healthy controls. The significant DEGs were analyzed by gene ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway enrichment. The whole-genome analysis will reveal new genetic mutations related to TB. And after analyzing the biological functions and pathways of the DEGs, this study provides ideas for the molecular level research of TB and identifies core candidate genes for the future diagnosis, treatment as well as prognosis of patients infected by TB.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Data source

The gene expression datasets profiling of TB and health control analyzed in our study were got from the GEO database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/). After a careful review, we select 2 series about TB as an observational cohort and 1 series as validation cohort from the database, all of them are from the Illumina GPL6947 platform (HumanHT-12 V3.0 expression bead chip). All of the data were freely available online, and we did not do any experiments on humans or animals.

2.2. Data processing of DEGs

The DEGs were conducted by a free online tool, GEO2R (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/geo2r/), between TB and health control, and the P value and |log FC| were calculated at the same time. We set the DEGs at a level with the P value <.05 and |log FC| > 1.0. After a statistical analysis of each dataset, the Venn diagram drawn by R was used to identify the intersecting parts.

2.3. GO and KEGG pathway analysis of DEGs

Database for Annotation, Visualization, and Integrated Discovery (DAVID)[8] tools (https://david.ncifcrf.gov/) will be used to completed GO analysis[9] and KEGG pathway enrichment[10] analysis of DEGs. GO analysis divided gene function into biological process (BP), molecular function (MF), and cellular component (CC). KEGG, storing large amounts of data on biological pathways and diseases, is a widely used database. We set the cutoff criterion at a level with the P value <.05.

2.4. Construction of PPI network and identification of key gene

We use the Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes database (http://string-db.org/) to complete protein-protein interaction (PPI) information analysis. To assess the potential PPI relationship, we uploaded all the identified DEGs to the STRING database. The PPI pairs were extracted using the combined score of 0.4. Then, the entire giant network was entered into the Cytoscape software[11] (version 3.7.1) and using the plug-in Molecular Complex Detection to evaluate the main modules of the PPI subnet. The standard is set to the default value. GeneMANIA[12] has collected hundreds of datasets and organism-specific functional genomics data. And we re-predict top hub genes with plug-in GeneMANIA of Cytoscape.

2.5. Variation of the key genes

GSE19439, containing 12 health people and 13 TB patients, acted as a validation cohort and was analyzed by GEO2R. And the volcanos of the datasets were drawn by a free online tool Sangerbox (http://sangerbox.com/AllTools?tool_id=9699133). Furthermore, TB with spinal TB (n=5) and degenerative intervertebral disc tissues (n=5) were collected for validation of the key genes using the label-free quantification proteomics technique. The informed consent of all participants was obtained, and it was approved by the Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Guangxi Medical University.

3. Results

3.1. Identification of DEGs

Our study contains 3 series of expression profiles, and the details can be seen in Table 1. We set the criteria at a level of P value <.05 and |log FC| > 1.0. A total of 1006 DEGs were identified from GSE19443, including 642 upregulated genes and 379 down-regulated genes. And 1125 DEGs were identified from GSE19444, including 564 upregulated genes and 570 down-regulated genes. All DEGs were determined by comparing active TB with healthy control samples. The intersection of the DEGs profiles was performed by Venn analysis (Fig. 1). A total of 143
Table 2

| Gene ontology analysis of differentially expressed genes associated with tuberculosis. |
|---|
| **Sort** | Category | Term | Count | % | P value | Genes |
| Upregulate | GOTERM_BP_FAT | GO:0072006~ nephron development | 5 | 10.416667 | 2.97E-04 | MAGI2, LAMA5, HDX11, TEK, CTNNB1 |
| | | GO:0001822~ kidney development | 6 | 12.5 | 3.76E-04 | MAGI2, LAMA5, HDX11, TEK, ID3, CTNNB1 |
| | | GO:0001655~ urogenital system development | 6 | 12.5 | 8.56E-04 | MAGI2, LAMA5, HOXA11, TEK, ID3, CTNNB1 |
| | | GO:0001655~ nephron epithelium development | 4 | 8.333333 | 0.002213 | MAGI2, LAMA5, HOXA11, CTNNB1 |
| | | GOTERM_CC_FAT | GO:0045177~ apical part of cell | 4 | 8.333333 | 0.044370 | HPN, SORBS2, TEK, CTNNB1 |
| | | GOTERM_MF_FAT | GO:0005198~ structural molecule activity | 6 | 12.5 | 0.045208 | RPS26, MAGI2, LAMA5, SORBS2, RPS26P11, COL4A5 |
| | | | GO:0003712~ transcription cofactor activity | 5 | 10.416667 | 0.049207 | RBPMS, USP21, RUNX1T1, ID3, CTNNB1 |
| Downregulate | GOTERM_BP_FAT | GO:0045087~ innate immune response | 17 | 34.69388 | 4.48E-11 | GBP5, SOCS3, OAS3, OAS1, OAS2, SLAMF7, STAT1, C1QB, AIM2, C1QC, IFI27, PARP9, FCGR1B, OAS3, OAS1, OAS2, SLAMF7, STAT1, C1QB, IFI27, PAPR9, FCGR1B, XAF1, SLC50, GBP1 |
| | | GO:0006952~ defense response | 19 | 38.77551 | 4.50E-09 | GBP5, SOCS3, OAS3, OAS1, IFI44L, OAS2, SLAMF7, STAT1, C1QB, IFI44L, AIM2, GCH1, C1QB, IFI27, PAPR9, FCGR1B, XAF1, SLC50, GBP1 |
| | | GO:0006955~ response to interferon-gamma | 9 | 18.36735 | 4.68E-09 | GBP5, SOCS3, FCGR1B, OAS3, OAS1, OAS2, STAT1, GBP1, GCH1 |
| | | GO:0034097~ response to cytokine | 14 | 28.57143 | 3.43E-08 | IFI27, GBP5, PAPR9, SOCS3, FCGR1B, OAS3, OAS1, OAS2, XAF1, STAT1, GCH1, AIM2, GBP1, GCH1 |
| | | GO:0006955~ immune response | 18 | 36.73469 | 4.74E-08 | GBP5, SOCS3, OAS3, OAS1, OAS2, SLAMF7, STAT1, C1QB, AIM2, GCH1, C1QB, IFI27, PAPR9, FCGR1B, XAF1, SLC50, GBP1 |
| | | GOTERM_MF_FAT | GO:0001730~ 2'-5'-oligoadenylate synthetase activity | 3 | 6.122449 | 3.51E-05 | OAS3, OAS1, OAS2 |
| | | | GOTERM_MF_FAT | GO:0007056~ adenylyltransferase activity | 3 | 6.122449 | 0.001566 | OAS3, OAS1, OAS2 |
| | | | GOTERM_MF_FAT | GO:0072006~ double-stranded RNA binding | 3 | 6.122449 | 0.001107 | OAS3, OAS1, OAS2 |
| | | | GOTERM_MF_FAT | GO:0005522~ GTP binding | 5 | 10.20408 | 0.014158 | GBP5, IFI44L, GBP4, GBP1, GCH1 |
| | | | GOTERM_MF_FAT | GO:0002561~ guanylyl ribonucleotide binding | 5 | 10.20408 | 0.016200 | GBP5, IFI44L, GBP4, GBP1, GCH1 |

*CTNNB1 = catenin beta 1, GBP1 = guanylate binding protein 1, GBP5 = guanylate binding protein 5, GO = gene ontology, IFI44L = interferon-induced protein 44 like, OAS1 = 2'-5'-oligoadenylate synthetase 1, OAS2 = 2'-5'-oligoadenylate synthetase 2, PARP9 = poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase family member 9, SOCS3 = suppressor of cytokine signaling 3, STAT1 = signal transducer and activator of transcription 1.*
Table 3

KEGG pathway analysis of differentially expressed genes associated with tuberculosis.

| Sort       | Category          | Term                              | Count | %    | P value        | Genes                                                                 |
|------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|-------|------|----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Upregulate | KEGG_PATHWAY      | hsa05200:Pathways in cancer       | 6     | 12.5 | 0.006960693    | LAMA5, RUNX1T1, PRKACB, MAPK10, CTNNB1, COL4A5                         |
|            | KEGG_PATHWAY      | hsa04510:Focal adhesion           | 4     | 8.33 | 0.026794599    | LAMA5, MAPK10, CTNNB1, COL4A5                                        |
|            | KEGG_PATHWAY      | hsa04014:Ras signaling pathway    | 4     | 8.33 | 0.033997769    | KSR2, TEK, PRKACB, MAPK10                                             |
|            | KEGG_PATHWAY      | hsa05146:Amoebiasis               | 3     | 6.25 | 0.044473305    | LAMA5, PRKACB, COL4A5                                                 |
| Downregulate| KEGG_PATHWAY      | hsa05160:Hepatitis C              | 5     | 10   | 2.61E-04       | SOCS3, OAS3, OAS1, OAS2, STAT1                                       |
|            | KEGG_PATHWAY      | hsa05164:Influenza A              | 5     | 10   | 7.27E-04       | SOCS3, OAS3, OAS1, OAS2, STAT1                                       |
|            | KEGG_PATHWAY      | hsa05168:Herpes simplex infection| 5     | 10   | 8.79E-04       | SOCS3, OAS3, OAS1, OAS2, STAT1                                       |
|            | KEGG_PATHWAY      | hsa05162:Measles                  | 4     | 8.16 | 0.003939807    | OAS3, OAS1, OAS2, STAT1                                              |

CTNNB1 = catenin beta 1, KEGG = Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes, OAS1 = 2' 5'-oligoadenylate synthetase 1, OAS2 = 2' 5'-oligoadenylate synthetase 2, OAS3 = 2' 5'-oligoadenylate synthetase 3, SOCS3 = suppressor of cytokine signaling 3, STAT1 = signal transducer and activator of transcription 1, XAF1 = XIAP associated factor 1.

Figure 2. Protein-protein interaction network constructed by the differentially expressed genes. Red nodes belong to upregulated genes and blue nodes belong to downregulated genes.
DEGs were significantly differentially expressed between 2 groups, including 48 upregulated and 50 downregulated genes.

### 3.2. GO term enrichment analysis

To search the functions of the DEGs, we use the online tool Database for Annotation, Visualization, and Integrated Discovery to identify GO categories and KEGG pathways. We uploaded all the DEGs to the gene list separately. After GO analysis we know that the upregulated DEGs were mainly relevant to BP, CC, and MF. BP includes nephron development, kidney development, renal system development, urogenital system development, and nephron epithelium development. CC only includes the apical part of the cell. MF includes structural molecule activity and transcription cofactor activity with significance. And GO analysis showed that the downregulated DEGs were mainly enriched in BP and MF, while there is no significance in CC. BPs contain an innate immune response, defense response, response to interferon-gamma, response to cytokine, immune response, and so on. And MF includes oligoadenylate synthetase activity, adenylyltransferase activity, double-stranded RNA binding, GTP binding,

| Gene symbol | Gene description | Degree | Up/down |
|-------------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| STAT1       | Signal transducer and activator of transcription 1 | 35     | Downregulated |
| GBP5        | Guanylate binding protein 5 | 26     | Downregulated |
| OAS1        | 2'-5'-Oligoadenylate synthetase 1 | 25     | Downregulated |
| CTNNB1      | Catenin beta 1 | 25     | Downregulated |
| GBP1        | guanylate binding protein 1 | 25     | Downregulated |
| OAS2        | 2'-5'-Oligoadenylate synthetase 2 | 23     | Downregulated |
| PARP9       | Poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase family member 9 | 21     | Downregulated |
| IRF4        | Interferon induced protein 44 like | 20     | Downregulated |
| XAF1        | XIAP associated factor 1 | 19     | Downregulated |
| Socs3       | Suppressor of cytokine signaling 3 | 19     | Downregulated |
| GBP4        | Guanylate binding protein 4 | 19     | Downregulated |
| EPSTI1      | Epithelial stromal interaction 1 (breast) | 19     | Downregulated |

* GBP4 and EPSTI1 have the same degree, so we showed them in the table too.

Figure 3. GeneMANIA analysis protein-protein interaction network of top 12 hub genes with high value of degree and betweenness. Black notes belong to the top 12 differentially expressed genes, and others belong to the GeneMania predicted genes.
guanyl ribonucleotide binding, and so on. There is no significance in CC (Table 2).

### 3.3. KEGG pathway analysis

The enrichment of all upregulated DEGs and downregulated DEGs was identified separately with KEGG analysis. From the result, we found that upregulated DEGs enrich pathways in cancer, focal adhesion, Ras signaling pathway, and amoebiasis. And 5 of 50 downregulated DEGs were enriched in the same 3 pathways. Genes include suppressor of cytokine signaling 3 (SOCS3), OAS3, 2'-5'-oligoadenylate synthetase 1 (OAS1), 2'-5'-oligoadenylate synthetase 2 (OAS2), and signal transducer and activator of transcription 1 (STAT1). And OAS3, OAS1, OAS2, and STAT1 also enrich in Measles pathway (Table 3).

### 3.4. PPI network construction and identification of hub gene

After uploading the DEGs into the STRING database, we got a PPI network with 90 nodes and 391 edges (Fig. 2). The top genes for PPI network connectivity assessment were identified (Table 4). The results showed that STAT1 was the most prominent gene with connectivity degree = 35, followed by guanylate binding protein 5 (GBP5; degree = 26), OAS1 (degree = 25), catenin beta 1 (CTNNB1; degree = 25), guanylate binding protein 1 (GBP1; degree = 25), OAS2 (degree = 23), poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase family member 9 (PARP9; degree = 21), interferon-induced protein 44 like (IFI44; degree=20), XIAP associated factor 1 (XAF1; degree=19), and SOCS3 (degree=19). All of the hub genes are downregulated. The GeneMANIA Cytoscape plugin can bring fast gene function prediction. GeneMANIA uses the intrinsic association method to identify the most relevant genes in the query gene set. The plugin uses a large database of functional interaction networks from multiple organisms, and each related gene can be traced back to the source network used for prediction. After GeneMania analysis of top 12 hub genes (Fig. 3), we found that the functions of top 12 mainly enriched in the cellular response to type I interferon, type I interferon signaling pathway, response to type I interferon, response to virus, and response to interferon-gamma (Table 5).

### 3.5. The verify of hub genes

To further evaluate the key genes, the GSE19439 was analyzed by GEO2R, and the volcano draw by the Sangerbox showed that the key genes were all lower expressed with a significance in 3 datasets (Fig. 4). Additionally, STAT1, GBP1, GBP4, and OAS1 were randomly selected to detect their protein expression in spinal TB and degenerative intervertebral disc tissues. The result showed that all of them were lower expressed in spinal TB than degenerative intervertebral disc tissues. Though, there was no significant difference in the GBP4 (Fig. 5).

### 4. Discussion

As a lung infection disease caused by MTB, TB has been a health threat for hundreds of years and is 1 of the top 10 causes of death around the world. MTB is a kind of bacteria, while its pathogenesis differs from ordinary bacteria, and it has very strong resistance to the outside environment. It is not sensitive to commonly used sulfonamides and various antibiotics. It is sensitive to streptomycin,isoniazid, rifampicin, and ethambutol. With the long-term use of these drugs, the treatment of TB is easy to produce drug-resistant strains. It is significant to identify key genes and new TB-specific targeted therapies.

In our study, public databases were used for gene expression and protein expression analysis to identify potential key genes associated with TB. Finally, 143 differentially expressed genes were identified in TB compared to healthy controls, including 48 upregulated DEGs and 50 downregulated DEGs. GO analysis shows that both upregulated DEGs and downregulated DEGs were mainly enriched in BP, while downregulated DEGs were

| Table 5 |
| --- |
| Gene functional enrichment in GeneMANIA analysis. |
| --- |
| Function | FDR | Genes in network |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Cellular response to type I interferon | 5.02E-17 | 17 |
| Type I interferon signaling pathway | 5.02E-17 | 17 |
| Response to type I interferon | 5.02E-17 | 17 |
| Response to virus | 1.56E-09 | 17 |
| Response to interferon-gamma | 5.74E-09 | 13 |

FDR = false discovery rate.
also enriched in MF. And all DEGs were almost no enrichment enriched in CC with significance. BP indicated that upregulated DEGs were mainly related to system development and cancer, while downregulated DEGs were mainly related to terms of inflammation and immunity. And KEGG analysis reveals that downregulated DEGs were mainly concentrated in the Virus pathway, including Hepatitis C, Influenza A, Herpes simplex infection, and Measles. Up-regulated DEGs were enriched in pathways in cancer, and other pathways related to the term of cell movement, adhesion, transfer, were also closely associated with the development of tumors. Maybe that is why MTB, with different pathogenesis, is different from ordinary bacteria. The PPI network was constructed to better study the interrelationship of DEGs and the top central genes were determined by connectivity, including STAT1, GBP5, OAS1, CTNNB1, GBP1, OA52, PAP9, IFI44, XAF1, and SOCS3. All these genes were down-regulated.

STAT1, belonging to the STAT family,[13] mediates the effects of many cytokines involved in the innate and adaptive immune responses to viruses and intracellular bacteria.[14,15] It transduces activities of many cytokines, including type I-III interferons (IFNs), interleukin (IL)-21, IL-27 as well as IL-35.[16–18] The phosphorylated STAT1 has been known as a pathogen suppressor because its function serves as an immunosurveillance mediator.[17,19] STAT1 expression is associated with a good prognosis in several types of cancer, such as colon cancer,[20,21] hepatocellular carcinoma,[22] esophageal cancer,[23] pancreatic cancer,[24] soft tissue sarcoma,[25] and metastatic melanomas.[26] It has been proved that STAT1 gene mutations on autosomes are associated with susceptibility to TB.[16] It means that STAT1 plays a very important role in TB. In our study, STAT1 was downregulated in TB compared to healthy control. Therefore, STAT1 may be a key gene in TB.

Guanylate-binding proteins (GBP), including 7 isoforms (GBP1–GBP7), are GTPases induced by IFN, which have central roles in cell-autonomous immunity, regulates antibacterial immunity and intrinsic antiviral, and predicts the progress of several infectious diseases.[31] Among the top 10 key genes we studied, GBP1[32] and GBP5[33,34] are among the family members. Qiu et al had confirmed that the relationship between mitochondrial dysfunction and macrophage cell senescence is associated with the down-regulation of GBP1.[35] GBP5 splice variant is associated with the tumor.[36] In our study, GBP5 was downregulated in TB, while upregulated in other diseases.[37] 2’-5’-oligoadenylate synthetases (OASs), contained OAS1, OAS2, and OAS3, are enzymes induced by IFN that play significant roles in the innate immune response when against viruses.[38,39] Some researchers believe that polymorphisms of OAS1 affect the expression of IFN-γ, the elimination of MTB, and ultimately affect the development of TB.[40,41] OAS1 mainly affects susceptibility to viral infections.[42,43] All the OASs family members are downregulated in TB, while some researchers observe OASs upregulation in some genes expression traits that distinguish active from latent TB infection.[44–47] This is different from the findings of most people, probably because of differences in expressions at different stages after TB infection.

The CTNNB1 gene, also known as the β-catenin gene, is activated in the WNT signaling pathway of cancer cells and acts to inhibit the activity of GSK-3β, thereby stabilizing the CTNNB1 protein.[48,49] In recent years, many literature have reported that the increase of CTNNB1 gene expression plays an important role in the formation of tumors such as colon cancer[50] and ovarian cancer.[51] Once the activity of CTNNB1 is restricted in ovarian cancer, the cell cycle will soon stop in the G1 phase and cells will begin to apoptosis.[52,53] Recent evidence has indicated that the WNT pathway has an etiological role in TB disease.[54–56] One study showed that Wnt/β-catenin acts as a negative feedback loop, inhibiting inflammation stimulated y M.bovis BCG (BCG). Thereby, attenuating toll-like receptor-induced proinflammatory responses in alveolar epithelial cells.[55] Chinese researchers reported that the distribution of CTNNB1 gene polymorphism differs between Chinese Tibetan and Han populations with TB.[57]

From the PPI network, we know that the downregulated genes are more aggregated and interact more strongly, meaning that these genes play a more important role in the pathogenesis of TB. The top 10 genes were identified by evaluating with connectivity degree in the PPI network. After re-predicted the hub genes by GeneMania, we found that the functions of them mainly enriched in the immune aspect, for example, cellular response to interferon. The verification cohort of GSE19439 supported that the key genes were down-regulated in TB. Furthermore, the proteins expression of the randomly selected gene also supported our conclusion. Though, it was not distinctively different in GBP4. The top 12 genes we analyzed were mainly downregulated genes, and the reduction of their expression levels may lead to more susceptibility to TB and got TB.

5. Conclusion

After compared TB and heath control, we identified 143 DEGs, including 48 upregulated DEGs and 50 downregulated DEGs. Top 12 hub genes were selected, and they were mainly enriched in the immune aspect. The reduction of their expression maybe is the main cause of TB. Top 5 genes, including STAT1, GBP5, OAS1, CTNNB1, and GBP1, are a candidate as potential core genes in TB. The verification cohort and the detection of proteins supported the results. Further study is needed to invest how the key genes perform and how to use these hub genes to help us prevent, early diagnose, and targeted treatment of TB.
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