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ABSTRACT

While the costs of human violence have attracted a great deal of attention from the research community, the effects of the network-on-network (NoN) violence popularised by Generative Adversarial Networks have yet to be addressed. In this work, we quantify the financial, social, spiritual, cultural, grammatical and dermatological impact of this aggression and address the issue by proposing a more peaceful approach which we term Generative Unadversarial Networks (GUNs). Under this framework, we simultaneously train two models: a generator $G$ that does its best to capture whichever data distribution it feels it can manage, and a motivator $M$ that helps $G$ to achieve its dream. Fighting is strictly verboten and both models evolve by learning to respect their differences. The framework is both theoretically and electrically grounded in game theory, and can be viewed as a winner-shares-all two-player game in which both players work as a team to achieve the best score. Experiments show that by working in harmony, the proposed model is able to claim both the moral and log-likelihood high ground. Our work builds on a rich history of carefully argued position-papers, published as anonymous YouTube comments, which prove that the optimal solution to NoN violence is more GUNs.

Takes skill to be real, time to heal each other

*Tupac Shakur, Changes, 1998

1 INTRODUCTION

Deep generative modelling is probably important (see e.g. [Bengio et al., 2013a], [Bengio et al. (2013b), Bengio et al. (2007a), Bengio et al. (2015)](Bengio et al. (2007b)) and (Schmidhuber et al., circa 3114 BC)). Justifications recently overheard in the nightclubs of Cowley include the ability to accurately approximate data distributions without prohibitively expensive label acquisition, and computationally feasible approaches to beating human infants at chess. Deep generative modelling

*Authors are listed according to the degree to which their home nation underperformed at the 2016 European football championships

1The nightclubs of Cowley are renowned for their longstanding philosophical support for Dubstep, Grime and Connectionism, and should not be confused with the central Oxford nightclub collective which leans more towards Dubstep, Grime and Computationalism - speak to Old Man Bridge at 3am on a Friday morning under the stairs of the smoking area for a more nuanced clarification of the metaphysical differences of opinion.

2Infants of other species (fox cubs, for example) remain an adorable open question in the field.
was broadly considered intractorable, until recent groundbreaking research by Goodfellow et al. (2014) employed machiavellian adversarial tactics to demonstrate that metaphorical tractors could in fact be driven directly through the goddamn centre of this previously unploughed research field (subject to EU agricultural safety and set-aside regulations).

The key insight behind Generative Adversarial Networks (commonly referred to as GANs, GANGs or CAPONEs depending on sources of counterfeit currency) is to pit one model against another in a gladiatorial quest for dominance. However, as ably illustrated by respected human actor and philanthropist Russell Crowe in the documentary *Gladiator*, being an actual gladiator isn’t all sunshine and rainbows—although it’s possible to get a great tan, one still has to wear sandals.

Even though we are only in the introduction, we now bravely leap into a series of back-of-the-envelope calculations to compute a lower bound on the cost of that violence for the case of middle aged, median-income Generative Adversarial Networks living in comfortable, but affordable accommodation in the leafy suburbs of an appropriate class of functions.

Following the literature, we define the adversaries as two models, a discriminator $D$ and a generator $G$. However, since we don’t agree with the literature or wish to condone its violent actions in any form, we immediately redefine the models as follows:

$$D, G := G, D$$

Note that the equation above is valid and above board, since the current version of mathematics (v42.1 at the time of writing) supports simultaneous assignment. Therefore, in the following exposition, $D$ represents the generator and $G$ represents the discriminator. Next, we define a cost function, $C : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$, mapping the space of model violence $\mathcal{V}$ into the space $\mathbb{S}$ spanned by all mattresses stuffed with U.S. dollars, as follows:

$$C(\mathcal{V}) = \alpha \int \beta_\mathcal{V}(G)$$

in which $\beta_\mathcal{V}$ is a violent and discriminatory mapping from the discriminator $G$ to the closest mathematical structure which appears to be a human brain and $\alpha$ is a constant representing the cost of human violence, to be determined by trawling through posts on social media. Note that $\beta_\mathcal{V}$ may be a violent function, but not crazy-violent (i.e. it must be *Khinchin-integrable*).

---

3We caution readers not to rely on this assumption in future versions. Mathematics has not supported backwards compatibility since Kurt “Tab-Liebehaber” Gödel re-implemented the entire axiomatic foundations of the language rather than be constrained to four-space equation indentation (see Gödel (1931) for the details).

4Since Neuroscience tells us that human brains are AlexVGGIncepResNets almost-everywhere, in practice we found that these functions need not be overly belligerent.
To evaluate this cost, we first compute $\alpha$ with a melancholy search of Twitter, uniquely determining the cost of violence globally as $1876$ for every person in the world (Twitter, 2016). Integrating over all discriminators and cases of probable discrimination, we arrive at a conservative value of $3.2$ gigamattresses of cost. By any reasonable measure of humanity (financial, social, spiritual, cultural, grammatical or indeed dermatological), this is too many gigamattresses.

Having made the compelling case for GUNs, we now turn to the highly anticipated related work section, in which we adopt a petty approach to resolving disagreements with other researchers by purposefully avoiding references to their relevant work.

2 RELATED WORK

These violent delights have violent ends

*Geoff Hinton, date unknown*

Our work is connected to a range of adversarial work in both the machine learning and the machine forgetting communities. To the best of our knowledge Smith & Wesson (1852) were the first to apply GUNs to the problem of generative modelling, although similar ideas have been explored in the context of discriminative modelling as far back as the sixteenth century by Fabbrica d’Armi Pietro Beretta in an early demonstration of one-shot learning. Unfortunately, since neither work evaluated their approach on public benchmarks (not even on MNIST), the significance of their ideas remains under appreciated by the machine learning community.

Building on the approach of Fouhey & Maturana (2012), we next summarise the adversarial literature most closely related to ours, ordered by Levenshtein edit distance: GAN (Goodfellow et al., 2014), WGAN (Arjovsky et al., 2017), DCGAN (Radford et al., 2015), LAPGAN (Denton et al., 2015), InfoGAN (Chen et al., 2016), StackedGAN (Huang et al., 2016) and UnrolledGAN (Metz et al., 2016).

Unadversarial approaches to training have also received some attention, primarily for models used in other domains such as fashion (Crawford, 1992) and bodybuilding (Schwarzenegger, 2012). Some promising results have also been demonstrated in the generative modelling domain, most notably through the use of Variational Generative Stochastic Networks with Collaborative Shaping (Bachman & Precup, 2015). Our work makes a fundamental contribution in this area by dramatically reducing the complexity of the paper title.

3 GENERATIVE UNADVERSARIAL NETWORKS

Under the Generative Unadversarial Network framework, we simultaneously train two models: a generator $G$ that does its best to capture whichever data distribution it feels it can manage and a motivator $M$ that helps $G$ to achieve its dream. The generator is trained by learning a function $G(\varepsilon; \theta_g)$ which transforms samples from a uniform prior distribution $p_z(\varepsilon)$ into the space graciously accommodating the data. The motivator is defined as a function $M(x; \theta_M)$ which uses gentle gradients and persuasive language to encourage $G$ to improve its game. In particular, we train $G$ to maximise $\log M(G(\varepsilon))$ and we simultaneously train $M$ to maximise $\log M(G(\varepsilon))$. Thus, we see that the objectives of both parties are aligned, reducing conflict and promoting teamwork.

The core components of our framework are illustrated in Figure 1. The GUN training scheme was inspired largely by Clint Eastwood’s memorable performance in *Dirty Harry* but also in part by the Transmission Control Protocol (TCP) three-way handshake (Postel et al., 1981), which was among the first protocols to build harmony through synergy, acknowledgements and the simple act of

---

3This innovative work was the first to introduce the concept of an alphabetically-related, rather than scientifically-related literature review.

4In the interest of an unadversarial literature review, we note that Bishop (2006) and Murphy (2012) make equally good (up to $\epsilon = 10^{-6}$) references for further exploration of this area.

5The choice of the uniform prior prevents discrimination against prior samples that lie far from the mean. It’s a small thing, but it speaks volumes about our inclusive approach.
Figure 2: (a) GUNs are trained by updating the generator distribution $G$ (yellow line) with the help and support of the motivator (red line) to reach its dream of the data distribution (blue dashed). (b) With a concerted effort, the generator reaches its goal. (c) Unlike previous generators which were content with simply reaching this goal, our generator is more motivated and gives it ‘110%', moving it a further 10% past the data distribution. While this isn’t terribly helpful from a modelling perspective, we think it shows the right kind of attitude.

Algorithm 1 Training algorithm for Generative Unadversarial Networks

1: procedure TRAIN
2: for #iterations do
3:   Sample $n$ noise samples from prior $p_z(z)$ and compute $G(z^{(1)}; \theta_g), \ldots G(z^{(n)}; \theta_g)$.
4:   Sample $n$ data samples $\tilde{x}^{(1)}, \ldots \tilde{x}^{(n)}$, from the data distribution.
5:   Let $G$ show pairs $(\tilde{x}^{(i)}, G(z^{(i)}; \theta_g))$ to $M$ as slides of a powerpoint presentation.
6:   Sample constructive criticism and motivational comments from $M$.
7:   Update the powerpoint slides and incorporate suggestions into $\theta_G$.

shaking hands. A description of the training procedure used to train $G$ and $M$ is given in Algorithm 1.

Algorithm 1 can be efficiently implemented by combining a spare meeting room (which must have a working projector) and a top notch deep learning framework such as MatConvNet (Vedaldi & Lenc, 2015) or Soumith Chintala (Chintala, 2012-present). We note that we can further improve training efficiency by trivially rewriting our motivator objective as follows:

$$\theta^*_M = \min_{\theta_M} \int_{S(G)} \log(R) + \log(1 - \zeta) \quad (3)$$

Equation 3 describes the flow of reward and personal well-being on the generator network surface. $\zeta$ is a constant which improves the appearance of the equation. In all our experiments, we fixed the value of $\zeta$ to zero.

---

8To guarantee polynomial runtime, it is important to ensure that the generator is equipped with the appropriate dongle and works through any issues with the projector before the presentation begins.

9If this result does not jump out at you immediately, read the odd numbered pages of (Amari & Nagaoka, 2000). This book should be read in Japanese. The even-numbered pages can be ripped out to construct beautiful orizuru.
4 Experiments

Give the people what they want (MNIST)

Yann LeCun, date unknown

In this section we subject the GUN framework to a rigorous qualitative experimental evaluation by training unadversarial networks on MNIST. Rather than evaluating the model error-rate or probability on withheld test data, we adopt a less confrontational metric, opportunities for improvement. We also assess samples generated by the trained model by gut feeling, enabling a direct comparison with a range of competing generative approaches. Following academic best practices, key implementation details can be found in our private code repository.

We warm-start the network with toy data taken from the latest Lego catalog. To nurture the right kind of learning environment, we let the network find its own learning rate and proceed by making \( \epsilon \)-greedy updates with an \( \epsilon \) value of 1. We consider hard-negative mining to be a gratuitously harsh training procedure, and instead perform easy-positive mining for gentler data digestion.

We now turn to the results of the experiment. Inspired by the Finnish education system, we do not test our models during the first formative epochs of development. A quantitative comparison with two other popular generative approaches has been withheld from publication to respect the privacy of the models involved. However, we are able to reveal that GUN had by far the most opportunities for improvement. We observed a sharp increase in performance once we all agreed that the network was doing well. By constrast, the adversarial nature of standard GAN methodologies usually elicits a fight-or-flight behavior, which can result in vanishing gradients and runaway losses. Samples drawn from the trained network are shown in Figure.

5 Conclusion

In this work, we have shown that network-on-network violence is not only unethical, it is also unnecessary. Our experiments demonstrate that happy networks are productive networks, laying the groundwork for advances in motivational machine learning. Indeed, unadversarial learning is an area rife with opportunities for further development. In future work, we plan to give an expanded treatment of important related subjects including nurtural gradients and k-dearest neighbours.

---

\(^{10}\) We also make available a public copy of this repository which almost compiles. For the sake of brevity, all code comments, variables and function calls have been helpfully removed and replaced cross-platform, universally compatible ascii art. The code can be found at [http://github.com/albanie/SIGBOVIK17-GUNs](http://github.com/albanie/SIGBOVIK17-GUNs).

\(^{11}\) For ease of visualisation, the GUN samples were lightly post-processed with \LaTeX{}.

\(^{12}\) While we have exhaustively explored the topic of machine learning GUNs, we leave the more controversial topic of machine GUN learning to braver researchers.
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