Electroporation-based delivery of cell-penetrating peptide conjugates of peptide nucleic acids for antisense inhibition of intracellular bacteria†
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Cell penetrating peptides (CPPs) have been used for a myriad of cellular delivery applications and were recently explored for delivery of antisense agents such as peptide nucleic acids (PNAs) for bacterial inhibition. Although these molecular systems (i.e. CPP–PNAs) have shown ability to inhibit growth of bacterial cultures in vitro, they show limited effectiveness in killing encapsulated intracellular bacteria in mammalian cells such as macrophages, presumably due to difficulty involved in the endosomal escape of the reagents. In this report, we show that electroporation delivery dramatically increases the bioavailability of CPP–PNAs to kill Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium LT2 inside macrophages. Electroporation delivers the molecules without involving endocytosis and greatly increases the antisense effect. The decrease in the average number of Salmonella per macrophage under a 1200 V cm⁻¹ and 5 ms pulse was a factor of 9 higher than that without electroporation (in an experiment with a multiplicity of infection of 2 : 1). Our results suggest that electroporation is an effective approach for a wide range of applications involving CPP-based delivery. The microfluidic format will allow convenient functional screening and testing of PNA-based reagents for antisense applications.

1. Introduction

Cell penetrating peptides (CPPs) are short (<30–35 amino acid residues), water-soluble peptides with a net positive charges at physiological pH.1,2 CPPs may serve as delivery vectors for large molecules and penetrate cell membranes at micromolar concentrations without causing serious damage to cells. There have been a number of CPPs discovered over the years, including TAT peptide derived from HIV-1,3–5 transportan and its analogue TP-10,6–10 penetratin11 and pVEC.8,12,13 The mechanisms for CPP entry into cells are still in debate. In general, it is believed that most CPPs enter cells via endocytosis while direct penetration may also be involved or even important in certain cases.2 These mechanisms also may vary based on the experimental conditions and the properties of the cargos.3

There has been growing interest in using CPPs for delivery of antisense reagents such as siRNAs (small interfering RNAs) and PNAs (peptide nucleic acids, gene-specific artificial oligonucleotide analogs with peptide backbone instead of sugar backbone having high binding strength, specificity, and resistance to protease and nuclease degradation).14,15 Antisense technology permits silencing a selected gene by binding to the mRNA produced by the gene and effectively inactivating the gene.16 Endosomal escape following endocytotic entry is a
critical step for these CPP conjugates to enter cytosol/nucleus.\textsuperscript{17,18} The low efficiency in this step also forms the most important roadblock for intracellular delivery of CPP conjugates.\textsuperscript{19} There have been demonstrations of improving the endosomal release by photodynamic treatment, Ca\textsuperscript{2+} treatment and chloroquine treatment.\textsuperscript{20–22} However, there has not been any quantitative assessment in the literature on the efficiency of the endosomal release of CPP conjugates and how much such process affects the eventual gene silencing result.

Antisense CPP–PNA conjugates have been used to inhibition of bacterial growth.\textsuperscript{23–26} Delivery of naked PNAs into bacteria was typically limited by their outer-membrane lipopolysaccharide (LPS) layer.\textsuperscript{27,28} However, CPPs such as (KFF)\textsubscript{3}K could effectively breach the LPS layer and enable delivery of PNAs that target gene with sequence specificity for essential mRNA or rRNA sequences, inhibiting gene expression and thereby cell growth.\textsuperscript{26,29,30}

In spite of the success with using CPP–PNA conjugates on bacterial culture, there are additional layers of complexity when such strategy is applied to infected humans and animals. Pathogens like \textit{Salmonella}, \textit{Brucella}, \textit{Listeria} and \textit{Mycobacterium} have the unusual ability to not only survive in a host, but also subvert host immune responses. They can invade and live inside macrophages that are normally programmed to kill them.\textsuperscript{31} Not surprisingly, CPP–PNAs such as (KFF)\textsubscript{3}K-O-PNA conjugates showed much lower activity against bacteria encapsulated in membrane vesicles inside mammalian cells (e.g. macrophages) compared to direct use on bacterial culture, presumably due to the additional barriers formed by the plasma membrane and more importantly the endosomal membrane.\textsuperscript{32–34} Thus novel delivery methods are in high demand for enhancing the anti-sense effects of CPP–PNAs toward bacteria that are encapsulated inside host cells. So far there has been no demonstration of physical delivery methods for this application.

In this work, we delivered (KFF)\textsubscript{3}K-O-PNA conjugates into murine macrophages J774.A cells that were infected with \textit{Salmonella enterica} serovar Typhimurium LT2 (\textit{S. typhimurium} LT2). Such infected systems are typical in animals and humans.\textsuperscript{35–41} We found that the application of electroporation dramatically improved the bioavailability of (KFF)\textsubscript{3}K-O-PNA conjugates inside the macrophages and produced substantially more inactivation of the encapsulated bacteria (up to a factor of 9) than the experiments without electroporation. Since electroporation is a technique that allows both \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo} applications,\textsuperscript{42} we believe that the use of electroporation for CPP–PNA conjugates delivery will be an effective strategy for a wide range of applications. Our microfluidic electroporation device will permit functional screening of CPP–PNA systems based on their effectiveness for inhibition of intracellular bacteria.

2. Experimental section

2.1 Microfluidic channel fabrication

The \textit{Salmonella} infected macrophages were electroporated in a microfluidic channel, which permitted counting of the viable macrophages before and after the treatment. The devices were made by standard soft lithography.\textsuperscript{43,44} Photomasks were designed by Freehand MX (Macromedia, San Francisco, CA) and printed on 4000 dpi film. SU-8 2025 (MicroChem Corp., Newton, MA) was spincoated at 500 rpm for 10 s and 1500 rpm for 30 seconds in sequence on a 3 inch silicon wafer. The thickness of SU-8 was around 55 μm. SU-8 layer on the silicon wafer was patterned under UV light and then developed.

Once the SU-8/silicon wafer master was fabricated, a two component silicone elastomer polydimethylsiloxane mixture (PDMS, GE RTV 615) with 10 : 1 ratio was poured on the master in a petri dish. The PDMS was vacuumed for 1 h and cured in an oven for 1 h at 80 °C. Then the PDMS structure was peeled off from the master and access holes were punched. The PDMS structure and a pre-cleaned glass slide were then oxidized in a plasma cleaner (Harrick Plasma, Ithaca, NY) and brought into contact immediately after oxidation for irreversible bonding to form closed channels. After fabrication, the channels were immediately filled by 20 μl PBS buffer containing fibronectin (10 μg ml\textsuperscript{-1}) in order to keep the channel hydrophilic and facilitate cell adherence.

2.2 CPP–PNA (KFF)\textsubscript{3}K-O-PNA (H-KFFKFFKFF-o-cataagacggt-NH\textsubscript{2}, PNA Bio Inc, CA) targeting an RNA polymerase sigma 70 (sigma D) factor gene (\textit{rpod}) demonstrated enhanced inhibition of \textit{Salmonella} growth \textit{in vitro} and insufficient inhibition in infected macrophages.\textsuperscript{32} The PNA is conjugated with the CPP, (KFF)\textsubscript{3}K, via O linker which enhances the solubility. (KFF)\textsubscript{3}K-O-PNA is heated at 60 °C for 10 min before each use.

2.3 \textit{In vitro} CPP–PNA inhibition assay

\textit{S. typhimurium} LT2 (ATCC 700720) was cultured in Tryptic Soy Broth (TSB) overnight at 37 °C. The CPP–PNAs (0, 10, 20 and 30 μM) were incubated with 10\textsuperscript{6} cfu ml\textsuperscript{-1} \textit{Salmonella} in TSB for 8 h in a 96-well plate in triplicate. Samples were serially diluted and the colony forming units (CFUs) number of the surviving bacteria after each treatment were determined by subsequent plating onto Tryptic Soy Agar (TSA) plates.

2.4 Macrophage culture, infection and CPP–PNA treatment

A murine macrophage cell line (J774A.1) was cultured in DMEM medium supplemented with 10% Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS) and 1% Penicillin Streptomycin (PS). Macrophages were seeded at a density of 5 × 10\textsuperscript{5} cells per ml in a 6-well plate in 2 ml. J774A.1 cells were infected with \textit{S. typhimurium} LT2 following the previously reported procedures.\textsuperscript{14,32} Briefly, overnight broth culture of \textit{Salmonella} was centrifuged, resuspended in DMEM medium. Macrophages were infected at the multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 1 : 1 to 10 : 1 for 20 min. After 20 min infection, infected macrophages were washed with fresh DMEM containing 30 μg ml\textsuperscript{-1} gentamicin to kill extracellular \textit{Salmonella}. The medium was replaced with fresh DMEM containing (KFF)\textsubscript{3}K-O-PNA and 10 μg ml\textsuperscript{-1} gentamicin at 1 h post infection (h.p.i.). Infected macrophages were incubated at 37 °C, under 5% CO\textsubscript{2}. Macrophages were counted and lysed with PBS containing sodium deoxycholate (0.5%) at 4 h.p.i. The sample was then
electric pulses of various durations and field intensities were applied across a PDMS microfluidic channel via a pair of platinum (Pt) electrodes inserted in the reservoirs at both ends of the channel. The pulse duration was set by LabVIEW through DAQ and relays supported by a 5 V power supply. The field intensity of the pulse was determined by the voltage output from the high voltage power supply and the channel dimensions. The dimensions of each channel were 3.8 mm × 0.6 mm × 55 μm. Each channel contained 500–700 macrophages. The scale bar in the phase contrast image is 200 μm.

serially diluted, and the number of Salmonella was determined by plating onto TSA for cfu counting.

2.5 Electroporation-assisted CPP–PNA treatment of infected macrophage cells

Electric pulses with a defined duration were generated by a relay (5501-05-1, Coto Technology, Kingstown, RI) controlled by a LabVIEW program via a data acquisition card (NI USB Digital I/O Device USB 6501) and a power supply (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) that provided a constant dc voltage (Fig. 1). The pulse duration was measured by an oscilloscope (B&K precision 2530, IL).

J774A.1 cells were infected with Salmonella as described above. Infected macrophages were harvested and suspended in fresh DMEM containing 30 μg ml⁻¹ gentamicin at a density of 10⁷ cell per ml. This cell density ensured high seeding density in the microfluidic channel, at about 500–700 cells in each channel. 20 μl macrophage cell suspension was loaded into each microfluidic channel that was pre-treated with fibronectin. The microfluidic chip was then incubated at 37 °C, 5% CO₂ for 1 h in an incubator to allow attachment of macrophages to the glass substrate. Image were taken to count macrophages in the microfluidic channel. The number of seeded macrophages in each channel was counted under a microscope. At 1 h.p.i., the infected cells were washed using 20 μl of electroporation buffer (1 mM MgSO₄, 8 mM Na₂HPO₄, 2 mM KH₂PO₄, 250 mM sucrose). Then the channel was filled with the electroporation buffer containing (KFF)₃K-O-PNA at a concentration of 30 μM. Two platinum electrodes were inserted into the two reservoirs at the end of the channel (Fig. 1) and electric pulses of various durations and field intensities were applied to infected macrophages. After electroporation, the medium inside the channel was replaced with fresh DMEM containing 10 μg ml⁻¹ gentamicin and CPP–PNA. The reservoirs were sealed using microseal adhesive for PCR plates (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) to avoid evaporation. The chip was incubated at 37 °C, 5% CO₂ for 4 h. PBS buffer was then flowed gently under gravity through the channel to flush dead cells out. Images were taken again to count the viable macrophages that had healthy appearance and adherence to the substrate. The number of Salmonella cells was determined by lysing macrophages and conducting cfu plate count.

3. Results and discussion

Electroporation (i.e. the exposure of cells to an external electric field with a higher-than-threshold intensity for a short duration) breaches cell membranes by creating nanoscale pores and destabilizing the membrane structures. Macromolecules in the solution such as nucleic acids or proteins become bound to the breached membrane during electroporation process and they move into the cytosol or nucleus driven by a combination of electrophoresis and diffusion. Electroporation process does not involve formation of endosomes and endocytosis as a part of delivery. In comparison, although the mechanism for (KFF)₃K-O-PNA conjugates delivery is not completely understood, such processes are believed to involve endocytosis which encapsulates the molecules in vesicles. The release of the conjugates from the endosomes is critical for the bioavailability and antisense efficiency for bacterial inhibition. The comparison of the two mechanisms is shown in Fig. 2.

We used (KFF)₃K-O-PNA ([H-KFFFFFKFKK-o-cataagcggt-NH₂, PNA Bio Inc, CA) targeting an RNA polymerase sigma 70 (sigma D) factor gene (rpoD) in our experiments. KFFFFFKFKK, (KFF)₃K, is a synthetic peptide rich in cationic lysine and hydrophobic phenylalanine residues. It sensitizes gram-negative bacteria by creating disorganization of the outer membrane and weak damage to the cytoplasmic membrane. RpoD gene is an initiation factor that promotes attachment of RNA polymerase. The designed sequence of the PNA is complementary to a region of the rpoD gene's mRNA that is accessible to ribosome assembly. This conjugate shows effective inhibition on Salmonella growth.

Fig. 3 shows the significant difference in the antisense activity of (KFF)₃K-O-PNA toward Salmonella culture in vitro and Salmonella after infection of macrophages. To prove CPP–PNA can enter and inhibit Salmonella effectively, off-chip inhibition assay was applied. In vitro Salmonella culture and infected macrophages were treated with (KFF)₃K-O-PNA targeting rpoD. For in vitro bacterium, various concentrations of the conjugate were applied for 8 h in 96-well plates. The CPP–PNA conjugate had efficient antisense effect. Compared to the control (without application of the antisense reagent), the bacterial concentration was lower by 7 log reduction at 20 μM CPP–PNA concentration. In comparison, we applied the same antisense reagent to Salmonella infected J774A.1 macrophages and then examined the change in the bacterium/macrophage ratio at 4 h.p.i. (i.e. the number of Salmonella cells per macrophage cell). Fig. 3 shows that the...
increase in the CPP–PNA concentration from 0 to 45 μM decreased the *Salmonella/macrophage* (a metric for evaluating the infection level of mammalian cells) ratio from 3.7 to 1.3. The antisense effect of CPP–PNA (anti- *rpoD*) matches that in the literature very well under similar conditions. The increase in the concentration beyond 30 μM did not appear to decrease the ratio further. This result suggests that the dependence on the endocytic pathways puts a limit to the maximum delivery efficiency. Our results confirm that the encapsulation of *Salmonella* with endosomal membrane inside macrophages greatly decreases the bioavailability of CPP–PNA toward bacterial inhibition.

We applied single-pulse electroporation of various field intensities to macrophages infected with various amounts of *Salmonella*, while having a CPP–PNA concentration of 30 μM. Fig. 4 shows that electroporation significantly improves the bioavailability of CPP–PNA inside macrophages. Fig. 4 shows that under electroporation (one single pulse of 800 V cm⁻¹ and 5 ms), the average number of *Salmonella* per macrophage cell decreased consistently and drastically (from 1.7 at 0 μM to 0.2 at 30 μM) while CPP–PNA concentration increased. The data indicates that the CPP–PNA delivery was not saturated at the concentrations 20–30 μM under the electroporation condition. In comparison, when there was no electroporation applied, there was only a modest decrease (~21%) in the *Salmonella/macrophage* ratio when the CPP–PNA concentration increased up to 30 μM. Fig. 5 shows that the increase in the field intensity of the pulse (from 0 to 1200 V cm⁻¹) also created decrease in the *Salmonella/macrophage* ratio when CPP–PNA was delivered, due to increased delivery efficiency. In comparison, there was increase in the *Salmonella/macrophage* ratio with higher field intensity when CPP–PNA was not present. This was due to increased macrophage death under more intensive electroporation conditions (as shown in ESI, Fig. S1) while the majority of *Salmonella* released by dead macrophages survived in the medium. The comparison between Fig. 5 and ESI, Fig. S1 reveals...
that *Salmonella* was not killed by the electric field at 0–800 V cm⁻¹ because the death rates of the macrophages (shown in ESI,† Fig. S1a) solely contributed to the increase in the *Salmonella/macrophage* ratio (shown in Fig. 5, without CPP–PNA). However, roughly 23% of the total *Salmonella* died due to electroporation under 1200 V cm⁻¹ pulse. This threshold for electric lysis of *Salmonella* is similar to the one we previously reported for *E. coli.*³⁷

In Fig. 6, we examine the decrease in the average *Salmonella* per macrophage due to the antisense inhibition (i.e. the difference in the *Salmonella/macrophage* ratio between the cases of with and without CPP–PNA) under various infection and electroporation conditions. Electroporation is effective for the antisense inhibition in macrophage infected by various amounts of *Salmonella*. The antisense inhibition increased with higher field intensity used in the electroporation. Furthermore, the more heavily infected macrophages experienced more significant reduction in the average bacterial cell number per macrophage cell.

### 4. Conclusions

To summarize, we found that electroporation dramatically increases the bioavailability of (KFF)₃K-O-PNA for inhibiting intracellular *Salmonella* inside macrophages. More intense electroporation (i.e. higher field intensity and longer duration) leads to more effective antisense activity but also higher macrophage death rate. We postulate that the improvement in the antisense effect of CPP-PNAs by electroporation was due to elimination of endocytic delivery that involves cargo encapsulation inside endosomes. We believe that electroporation can be widely applied to improve CPP-PNA delivery into cells, especially in complex cellular systems involving multiple barriers. Microfluidic electroporation permits the screening of these antisense reagents on a convenient platform.
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