Mineralization and Alteration of a Modern Seafloor Massive Sulfide Deposit Hosted in Mafic Volcaniclastic Rocks
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Abstract

Tinakula is the first seafloor massive sulfide deposit described in the Jean Charcot troughs and is the first such deposit described in the Solomon Islands—on land or the seabed. The deposit is hosted by mafic (basaltic-andesitic) volcaniclastic rocks within a series of cinder cones along a single eruptive fissure. Extensive mapping and sampling by remotely operated vehicle, together with shallow drilling, provide insights into deposit geology and especially hydrothermal processes operating in the shallow subsurface. On the seafloor, mostly inactive chimneys and mounds cover an area of ~77,000 m² and are partially buried by volcaniclastic sand. Mineralization is characterized by abundant barite- and sulfide-rich chimneys that formed by low-temperature (<250°C) venting over ~5,600 years. Barite-rich samples have high SiO₂, Pb, and Hg contents; the sulfide chimneys are dominated by low-Fe sphalerite and are high in Cd, Ge, Sb, and Ag. Few high-temperature chimneys, including zoned chalcopyrite-sphalerite samples and rare massive chalcopyrite, are rich in As, Mo, In, and Au (up to 9.58 ppm), locally as visible gold. Below the seafloor, the mineralization includes buried intervals of sulfide-rich talus with disseminated sulfides in volcaniclastic rocks consisting mainly of lapillistone with minor tuffaceous beds and autobreccias. The volcaniclastic rocks are intensely altered and variably cemented by anhydrite with crosscutting sulfate (~ minor sulfide) veins. Fluid inclusions in anhydrite and sphalerite from the footwall (to 19.3 m below seafloor; m b.s.f.) have trapping temperatures of up to 298°C with salinities close to, but slightly higher than, that of seawater (2.8–4.5 wt % NaCl equiv). These temperatures are 10° to 20°C lower than the minimum temperature of boiling at this depth (1,070–1,204 m below sea level; m b.s.l.), suggesting that the highest-temperature fluids boiled below the seafloor. The alteration is distributed in broadly conformable zones, expressed in order of increasing depth and temperature as (1) montmorillonite/nontronite, (2) nontronite + corrensite, (3) illite/smectite + pyrite, (4) illite/smectite + chamosite, and (5) chamosite + corrensite. Zones of argillic alteration are distinguished from chloritic alteration by large positive mass changes in K₂O (enriched in illite/smectite), MgO, and Fe₂O₃ (enriched in pyrite associated with illite/smectite alteration). The δ¹⁸O and δD values of clay minerals confirm increasing temperature with depth, from 124° to 256°C, and interaction with seawater-dominated hydrothermal fluids at high water/rock ratios. Leaching of the volcanic host rocks and thermochemical reduction of seawater sulfate are the primary sources of sulfur, with δ³⁴S values of sulfides, from –0.8 to 3.4‰, and those of sulfate minerals close to seawater sulfate, from 19.3 to 22.5‰.

The mineralization and alteration at Tinakula are typical of a class of ancient massive sulfide deposits hosted mainly by permeable volcaniclastic rocks with broad, semiconformable alteration zones. Processes by which these deposits form have never been documented in modern seafloor massive sulfide systems, because they mostly develop below the seafloor. Our study shows how hydrothermal fluids can become focused within permeable rocks by progressive, low-temperature fluid circulation, leading to a large area (>150,000 m²) of alteration with reduced permeability close to the seafloor. In our model, overpressuring and fracturing of the sulfate- and clay-cemented volcaniclastic rocks produced the pathways for higher-temperature fluids to reach the seafloor, present now as sulfate-sulfide veins within the footwall. In the geologic record, the sulfate (anhydrite) is not preserved, leaving a broad zone of intense alteration with disseminated and stringer sulfides typical of this class of deposits.

Introduction

Volcanogenic massive sulfide (VMS) deposits have been classified according to host-rock lithology, bulk composition, and tectonic setting (e.g., Barrie and Hannington, 1999; Franklin et al., 2005). In extensional volcanic arc and back-arc environments, VMS deposits are typically hosted by volcanic successions including both elastic and coherent rock types (cf. Franklin et al., 2005; Hannington et al., 2005; Galley et al., 2007). Volcanic facies play a first-order control on the sizes and shapes of the deposits, the flow of hydrothermal fluids, and the nature of the footwall alteration zones. Coherent lavas that formed by effusive eruptions are relatively impermeable,
and hydrothermal fluids tend to be focused along faults with minimal influx of cold seawater and form discordant pipe-like alteration zones (e.g., Ansel and Amulet deposits, Noranda district, Québec: Kerr and Gibson, 1993; Gibson and Galley, 2007). In contrast, volcaniclastic-dominated strata have high permeability and porosity, promoting lateral fluid flow, widespread mixing with seawater, and broadly stratiform alteration zones. There are numerous examples of ancient volcaniclastic-hosted VMS deposits, including the Mattabi deposit in the Sturgeon Lake Caldera Complex, Canada (cf. Franklin et al., 1975; Morton and Franklin, 1987; Holk et al., 2008), the Horne and Bouchard-Hebert deposits in the Noranda mining district, Canada (cf. Gibson et al., 1993; Galley et al., 1995), the Boundary deposit in the Newfoundland Appalachians, Canada (cf. Piercey et al., 2014), and the Rosebery deposit in the Mount Read Volcanics belt of western Tasmania (cf. Large et al., 2001). In these deposits, the massive sulfide orebodies occur as stratiform lenses that are interpreted to form in whole or in part below the seafloor. Underlying the massive sulfide lenses, stringer mineralization is vertically restricted, occurring as semiconformable zones of disseminated sulfide mineralization. In the immediate footwall of the VMS deposits, zones of diffuse pipe-like alteration occur along synvolcanic faults, consisting of extreme leaching, silica mobility, and Fe metasomatism (e.g., Morton and Franklin, 1987). Typical alteration assemblages are sericite and chlorite, occurring as matrix cement and pervasive replacement of glass-rich clasts (e.g., Gilkins and Allen, 2001). These diffuse pipe-like zones merge outward into more widespread semiconformable alteration zones (e.g., Franklin et al., 1975). The geometry of these zones can be distinguished using oxygen isotope mapping, where increasing δ18O values of altered rocks are associated with decreasing temperatures of hydrothermal activity (e.g., King et al., 2000; Holk et al., 2008). Importantly, silicified horizons tend to form near the seafloor, acting as impermeable caps that isolate high-temperature circulation from shallow, low-temperature hydrothermal regimes (e.g., Franklin et al., 1981; Galley, 1993). This highlights the importance of early hydrothermal processes, such as silicification, in controlling the pathways of subsequent fluid flow (cf. Gibson et al., 1983; Skirrow and Franklin, 1994). While hydrothermal fluid flow regimes and associated alteration processes are well studied in the ancient rock record, these processes are largely unknown in modern settings due a lack of seafloor drilling to investigate the third dimension of these systems.

The Tinakula seafloor massive sulfide (SMS) deposit is the first identified modern analog of a mafic volcaniclastic-dominated deposit of the type described by Morton and Franklin (1987). It is located in the Jean Charcot troughs in the northern New Hebrides back arc, centered at 167°36′50″E/12°12′10″S (Fig. 1). This part of the Jean Charcot troughs is characterized by enhanced magmatism where regional structures, including the EW-trending Tikopia fracture zone, extend into the back arc (Fig. 1A). The transition from the arc to the back arc is marked by an abrupt change from tectonic extension associated with normal faulting to magmatic-tectonic extension where dike-fed lavas have erupted along linear fissures (Fig. 1B). Unlike hydrothermal systems in many deep back-arc basins, which are localized along mid-ocean ridge-type spreading centers (e.g., Hannington et al., 2005), hydrothermal activity at Tinakula is focused along a row of young mafic cinder cones on the flank of the Starfish stratovolcano (Figs. 1B, 2). Cinder cones are common volcanic landforms but have not been widely described on the modern seafloor. These features form from the buildup of volcanic tephra associated with Strombolian-style eruptions (i.e., fire-fountaining; cf. Cashman, 2004). Submarine pyroclastic deposits associated with fire-fountaining eruptions have been described in the ancient rock record (e.g., Mueller and White, 1992). This style of eruption may be more common in arc-proximal settings where mafic magmas have higher water contents compared to those in other tectonic settings (e.g., Gill et al., 1990).

In this paper, we present a comprehensive three-dimensional study of an actively forming massive sulfide deposit, with seafloor and subseafloor components, in a nascent back-arc setting dominated by bimodal mafic volcaniclastic rocks. We investigate how features of the volcaniclastic substrate have influenced the mineralization and alteration at Tinakula, including the possible roles of boiling, fluid mixing, duration of hydrothermal activity, host-rock compositions, and magmatic contributions to the hydrothermal system.

Regional Geology and Exploration History

The Jean Charcot troughs in the northern New Hebrides (Vanuatu-Solomon Islands) constitute one of the youngest back arcs in the world, forming only ~4 m.y. ago in response to eastward subduction and rollback of the Indo-Australian plate beneath the Pacific plate (Fig. 1; Monjaret et al., 1991; Pelletier et al., 1998). Unlike the simple graben morphologies of other young back-arc rifts, such as the Coriolis troughs to the south (cf. Anderson et al., 2016), back-arc extension in the Jean Charcot troughs is characterized by a complex series of horst-and-graben structures that are widely spaced up to ~120 km from the arc front and have variable orientations (Fig. 1A; Pelletier et al., 1993; Maillet et al., 1995). Seafloor depths range from ~500 to 3,600 m below sea level (m b.s.l.), and individual grabens range from 20 to 65 km long and 5 to 15 km wide. The Jean Charcot troughs are bound to the south by the Hazel Holme fracture zone, a seismically active ridge that is considered to be an extensional zone related to opening of the North Fiji basin (Maillet et al., 1995). A second EW-trending extensional zone (Tikopia fracture zone; Fig. 1A) occurs north of the Hazel Holme fracture zone. Like the Hazel Holme fracture zone, the Tikopia fracture zone displays a slow-spreading trough morphology to depths of ~4,200 m b.s.l. east of Tikopia Island. To the west of this island, the presence of volcanic ridges and aligned seamounts suggests that the basement structure that controls the location of volcanic activity extends at least as far as the volcanic arc. Where the Tikopia fracture zone crosses the back-arc region, there is a notable bathymetric high, interpreted to be recent volcanic complexes that obscure the horst-and-graben morphology of the back arc (Fig. 1A; Récy et al., 1986). The Jean Charcot troughs are separated from the North Fiji basin by the West Tikopia Ridge and the Duff Ridge, which are interpreted to be part of the prerift fossil volcanic arc (Anzende et al., 1995). There is no consensus on the northern extent of the Jean Charcot troughs, although here we consider these to include the NW-SE-trending trough between the Duff Ridge and the Reef Islands. The current rate of extension of the
troughs increases northward from 36 to 55 mm yr\(^{-1}\) to 70 to 81 mm yr\(^{-1}\) (Bergeot et al., 2009); however, this extension may be distributed over the entire width of the back arc, in which case individual troughs could be opening much more slowly.

Research cruises in the late 1980s to early 1990s provided most of the geochemical data that we now have for the Jean Charcot troughs (summarized by Maillet et al., 1995), but high-resolution (50 m or better) regional bathymetric data are lacking, and most of the region is unexplored, particularly in the north. The first indication of hydrothermal venting in the Jean Charcot troughs was a methane anomaly detected in the water column near the Starfish seamount during the KAIYO 89 cruise (Urabe et al., 1990). This anomaly was investigated on the SOLAVENTS cruise, which recovered fresh and...
Fig. 2. Geology of the Tinakula deposit area interpreted from ROV dive videos and sampling overlain on hill- and slope-shaded RESON SeaBat 7125-ROV2 400-kHz multibeam bathymetry (inset), with locations of study sites and drill holes indicated.
altered rhyodacite samples by dredging and recorded a number of temperature anomalies in the water column near the seafloor (McConachy et al., 2002). Additional cruises in the area included the SEAPSO Leg 2 cruise (Récy et al., 1986), a GLORIA side-scan sonar survey (Johnson et al., 1992), and the SROPACMAPS cruise (Kroenke, 1995). More recent work has been aimed at exploration for SMS deposits. The Tinakula deposit was discovered by Neptune Minerals, Inc., in September 2011 during the ASHR Leg 2 cruise aboard the MV Dorado Discovery. It is located in the Temotu Province within the exclusive economic zone of the Solomon Islands, approximately 890 km east-southeast of Guadalcanal. The discovery was initially made by locating the source of a seafloor-hugging hydrothermal plume, followed by dives with a remotely operated vehicle (ROV), which located sulfide chimneys. Additional mapping, ROV grab sampling, and shallow drilling occurred during four subsequent (2011–2012) cruises.

**Host Rocks, Volcanology, and Structure**

The Tinakula SMS deposit is located at depths of 1,070 to 1,204 m b.s.l. on the flank of the Starfish stratovolcano (Fig. 1B). The geology of the Tinakula area has been interpreted from seafloor observations and sampling during ROV dives, drill core logging, and analysis of newly acquired high-resolution (20 cm) bathymetry. The bathymetry details reveal a strong structural control on volcanic and hydrothermal features (Fig. 2).

Volcanoes in the Tinakula area are composed of basaltic andesite (53.4–57.3 wt % SiO₂) and dacite (63.0–67.9 wt % SiO₂) (Anderson, 2018) and include composite cones, cinder cones, and the submarine equivalent of maars (craters without cones) (see App. Fig. A1). The morphologies of these edifices are broadly similar to those of subaerial volcanoes, but the edifices are consistently smaller than their subaerial equivalents. In the central part of the map area, the volcanic cones are aligned along a large (~1.6 km long) NS-trending fissure (Fig. 2, inset). The southern part of the fissure is dominated by a large composite cone with bimodal lava flows and blocky volcanic talus. This is followed northward by a 900-m-long row of cinder cones, on which the deposit is centered, and two small maar-like craters at the northern end of the fissure. The volcanic material making up the cinder cones consists of variably altered basaltic andesite with vertical and lateral facies variations over several meters, including changes in clast size, shape, composition, and degree of sorting (Figs. 3, 4; App. Figs. A2-A18). The breccia facies include the following: (1)
Fig. 4. Microscopic volcanic and hydrothermal features of the footwall of the Tinakula deposit, shown by transmitted-light photomicrograph in plane-polarized light (TL-PPL) or cross-polarized light (TL-XPL) or reflected-light photomicrograph in plane-polarized light (RL-PPL). (A) TL-PPL photomicrograph of polymict clast types, including glass-rich volcanic clasts and tube pumice, cemented by amorphous silica (sample MA13-023). (B) TL-XPL photomicrograph of clay-altered scoriaceous clasts with intense clay alteration of clasts and matrix (sample MA13-068). (C) TL-PPL photomicrograph of volcanic clasts and glass shards surrounded by gypsum after anhydrite (sample MA13-040). (D) TL-PPL photomicrograph of tube pumice with late chlorite infill (sample MA13-053). (E) TL-PPL photomicrograph of intensely clay altered clast with multiple generations of clay infilling vesicles (sample MA13-044). (F) TL-XPL photomicrograph of clay-altered clast, with clay lining of vesicles and late sphalerite (red) (sample MA13-072). (G) RL-PPL photomicrograph of fine-grained pyrite disseminated throughout altered clast and late sulfides (pyrite, sphalerite, chalcopyrite) surrounded by anhydrite (blades) (sample MA13-045). (H) TL-XPL photomicrograph of anhydrite altered to gypsum (sample MA13-040). Abbreviations: Am-Si = amorphous silica, Anh = anhydrite, Ccp = chalcopyrite, Chl = chlorite, Gp = gypsum, GS = glass shard, Py = pyrite, Sp = sphalerite.
monomict breccia characterized by clasts with glassy curviplanar margins, flow-banded phenocrysts, and local jigsaw-fit textures (Figs. 3A, B, 4B); (2) monomict breccia composed of vesicular volcanic clasts associated with variable amounts of juvenile clasts, glass shards, and crystal fragments and rare blocks or bombs (Figs. 3C-E, 4C); and (3) polymict breccia associated with mass-flow bedforms with rounded to subangular clasts, ranging from relatively unaltered to completely clay altered clasts (Fig. 3F). The predominant facies is massive to crudely bedded monomict breccia (lapilli/limestone) with clasts containing up to 20% vesicles (uniformly distributed) and no evidence of welding. Basaltic-andesitic lava flows were the last products to be erupted along the row of cinder cones at Tinakula. These facies are consistent with cinder cones on land, which form via Strombolian-type eruptions, progressing from cone-building activity to lava flows during the waning stages as the magma loses most of its gas (Vergnoile and Mangen, 2000). Cinder cones of the type at Tinakula are generally considered to be monogenetic, having formed during one eruptive cycle over a period of days to months (e.g., Wood, 1980).

Dark-colored mafic(? volcaniclastic sediment covers most of the map area around the Tinakula deposit, interpreted to be fallout from nearby eruptions. The material ranges from coarse sand- to pebble-sized, with a few larger volcanic fragments or bombs (with elongate vesicles and quenched margins) and no fine ash-sized fraction. The sediment ranges in thickness from 1.5 to >8 m and is massive to crudely bedded with weak grading. Abundant ripple marks are observed in the sediment, with large-scale dunes (up to 7 m wide and 124 m long) visible in the bathymetric data.

Two large (55–87 m tall and 327–512 m wide) composite cones with dacite lava flows in the northwest corner of the mapped area (Fig. 2) appear to be unrelated to the central fissure. Large dacitic lava flows in the southwest corner of the map have a distinctly different morphology, with sinuous ridges that are characteristic of channelized flow. The relative ages of the flows are interpreted from ROV dive observations of sediment thickness, with higher degrees of sediment associated with older relative ages. The ages of these flows relative to other eruptions in the area are unknown.

**Deposit Geology**

The Tinakula SMS deposit consists of a series of over 900 chimneys and mound complexes distributed over a strike length of ~1,050 m along the row of cinder cones and composite cones (Fig. 2). The chimneys and mounds are located mainly at the tops and along the flanks of the cinder cones, as well as inside the summit craters (Fig. 5). They also occur in the center of each of the maar-like craters in the north. West of the row of cinder cones, over a strike length of ~400 m, the chimneys and mounds are not spatially associated with observable volcanic features at the seafloor but instead appear to occur along two partially buried northeast-southwest and northwest-southeast structures (between sites F and D and between sites F and E; Fig. 2).

The chimneys at Tinakula are <1 to 15 m high (typically 2–5 m) and include typical multispired sulfide structures with central vent orifices (Fig. 6A, B) and, more commonly, chimneys with irregular morphologies (bulbous, drooping, with smooth or popcorn-textured outer surfaces; Fig. 6C, D). The bulbous chimneys lack central orifices and have a morphology similar to those of beehives or flange-type chimneys (e.g., Koski et al., 1994; Zhu et al., 2007). The chimneys are commonly surrounded by sulfide talus and grow on top of low-relief hydrothermal mounds that typically rise ~2 to 3 m from the seafloor. The extent of these mounds is largely unknown due to burial by volcaniclastic sediments, but they appear to make up the bulk of the mineralization at the seafloor, accounting for about two-thirds of the total amount of identified massive sulfide. Individual chimney mound complexes can be traced for up to 70 m on the seafloor. Where exposed, the mound material is distinctly laminated with layers of barite and sulfide minerals up to 0.5 m thick, and they have unexpectedly smooth surfaces (Fig. 6C). The layered barite-sulfide mounds are most similar to features described by Hein et al. (2014) from the East Diamante caldera in the Mariana arc. The sulfide deposits are mostly inactive, and the surrounding volcaniclastic sediment is widely indurated and locally mineralized (Fig. 6D). Active venting is limited to a few sites with shimmering water at the base of chimneys or along fractures in the indurated sediments, associated with bacterial mats (Fig. 6A). Venting of white to gray smoke from chimney spires is rare (Fig. 6B). Fe-Mn oxides are widespread along fractures in the seafloor, extending up to 100 m away from the chimney complexes and mounds (Fig. 2). Abundant <1-m-tall Fe and Mn oxyhydroxide chimneys and silica-rich chimneys are associated with bacterial mats (Fig. 6E) and occur along the fractures (Fig. 6F).

The surface expression of hydrothermal precipitates covers ~77,000 m², including ~13,800 m² of active chimneys and mounds, 51,800 m² of inactive chimneys and mounds, and 9,300 m² of partially buried chimneys and mounds (Fig. 2). Areas of low-temperature venting, dominated by Fe and Mn oxides, occur over an additional 130,000 m² (91,000 m² of Fe oxides and 38,000 m² of Mn oxides), although these areas commonly overlap those of the chimneys and mounds. The area of subseafloor sulfide mineralization is not completely known, so the full size of the deposit could be much larger. The areal extent of this deposit is significant compared to others discovered along mid-ocean ridges and along arcs and back-arc basins; fewer than 10% have dimensions larger than 5,000 m² (Hannington et al., 2010). Modern sulfide deposits with comparable sizes (by area) include the Solvara 1 deposit in the Eastern Manus basin (90,000 m²) and the Mir zone, Ashadze-1, and Ashadze-2 deposits on the Mid-Atlantic Ridge (50,000 m² each) (Hannington et al., 2010, 2011). It should be emphasized, however, that the large areal footprint of the Tinakula deposit may not necessarily correlate with a large tonnage of massive sulfide.

**Methods**

Ship-based multibeam bathymetry operating at 50 kHz (RESON Seabat 8160) was collected over 685.6 km² in the Starfish-Tinakula area, and the data were gridded with a cell size of 0.2 m. Bottom observations and grab samples were collected during 13 ROV dives. Shallow seafloor drilling,
including push-coring and rotary diamond drilling, was carried out using the Benthic Geotech portable remotely operated drill PROD-3. Approximately 270 m of drill core was logged and sampled to establish between-hole correlations along the length of the NS-trending eruptive fissure (sites A-D; Fig. 2; App. Figs. A2-A18). Mineralogical and chemical data are reported here on 41 ROV grab samples and 21 drill core samples of chimney and mound material and on 61 drill core samples of clay-altered volcaniclastic rocks. Polished thin sections of the sulfide-sulfate chimney samples and epoxy-impregnated clay-altered volcaniclastic samples were prepared by Dettmar Dissection Technology (Bochum, Germany). Clay-altered samples were cut using an industry-standard water-free technique that employs kerosene in order to prevent clay swelling, slaking, and dissolution of anhydrite. Thin sections were analyzed by transmitted- and reflected-light microscopy.

Whole-rock geochemistry was determined on 45 bulk samples of sulfide-sulfate material and 47 samples of fine (<63 μm) clay-altered volcaniclastic material at Activation Laboratories (Ontario, Canada). For the sulfide samples, a sodium peroxide fusion was used; major elements (Al, Ca, K, Mg, P, Si, Ti) were analyzed by inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) and trace elements...
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(B, Ba, Be, Bi, Cd, Co, Cs, Cu, Ga, Ge, In, Li, Mn, Mo, Nb, Ni, Pb, Rb, Sn, Sr, Ta, Th, U, V, W, Y, Zn, and rare earth elements [REEs]) by inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS). For the clay-altered samples, a lithium metaborate/tetraborate fusion was used; the major elements (SiO₂, Al₂O₃, Fe₂O₃(T), MnO, MgO, CaO, Na₂O, K₂O, TiO₂, P₂O₅) were analyzed by ICP-OES, and trace elements (Ba, Be, Bi, Co, Cs, Ga, Ge, Hf, In, Mo, Nb, Rb, Sr, Ta, Th, Tl, U, V, W, Y, Zr, REEs) by ICP-MS, with additional elements (Ag, Cd, Cu, Ni, Pb, Zn) analyzed by ICP-OES following a total acid digestion technique. In both sample types, cold-vapor atomic absorption spectrometry (AAS) was used to determine Hg; infrared (IR) detection was used to determine total S. Instrumental neutron activation analysis (INAA) was used to determine Au, Ag, As, Ba, Br, Cr, Fe, Hf, Ir, Lu, Na, Sb, Sc, and Zn in the sulfides and Au, As, Br, Cr, Ir, Sc, Se, and Sb in the clay-altered samples. Duplicates and standard reference materials were analyzed after every 10 to 15 samples and indicated a precision of better than 10% and accuracy of better than 5% for most elements in samples where the analytical values are well above the limit of detection, with values degrading rapidly as the limits of detection are approached.

Sulfide mineral compositions were determined in 16 sulfide-sulfate samples using a JEOL-JXA 8200 electron probe microanalyzer (EPMA) equipped with five wavelength dispersive spectrometers and one energy dispersive detector at the GEOMAR Helmholtz Centre for Ocean Research in Kiel. A 15-keV accelerating potential and 50-nA probe (cup) current were used for the analyses. Standards used were chalcopyrite (FeK₂O, SK₂O, CuK₂O), rhodonite (MnK₂O) gallium arsenide.

Fig. 6. Chimney morphologies encountered during ROV dive operations. (A) Partly oxidized inactive spired chimneys with bacterial mat, field of view ~15 m. (B) Weakly venting spire with minor oxidation, field of view ~3 m. (C) Inactive bulbous chimney on top of layered barite-sulfide mound, field of view ~5 m. (D) Inactive bulbous chimney partially buried by volcaniclastic sediment (indurated), field of view ~3 m. (E) Siliceous iron oxide chimney with small worm casts, field of view ~1 m. (F) Iron and manganese oxyhydroxides forming dendritic oxide chimneys in fractures within indurated volcaniclastic sediment, field of view ~5 m.
of fine (<63 μm) and display of the XRD data. MacDiff v.4.2.6 software was used for mineral identification with a 0.01° step. Samples were then saturated with ethylene glycol for 24 h, followed by air drying and were measured on a Philips PW 1710 X-ray diffractometer, equipped with a Co tube and an automatic divergence slit and monochromator, located at GEOMAR. Oriented mounts were prepared by air drying and were measured with 2θ scanning angles of 2° to 40° at a scan rate of 1 s per degree.

Alteration mineralogy was determined by X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis of bulk samples of the altered footwall rocks. Fine (<63 μm) and coarse (>63 μm) fractions were separated by wet sieving, followed by separation into silt (2–63 μm) and clay (<2 μm) by settling in cylinders of standing water, following the technique of Moore and Reynolds (1997). The mineralogy of the <2-μm clay fraction from 58 samples was determined using a Philips PW 1710 X-ray diffractometer, equipped with a Co tube and an automatic divergence slit and monochromator, located at GEOMAR. Oriented mounts were prepared by air drying and were measured with a 2θ scanning angle of 3° to 40° at a scan rate of 1 s per 0.01° step. Samples were then saturated with ethylene glycol following a standard vaporization technique and reanalyzed.

Short-wave infrared (SWIR) reflectance spectroscopy was also used to identify alteration assemblages and compositional variations in the altered footwall rocks. Spectral measurements of fine (<63 μm) fractions were conducted using a FieldSpec® Pro spectroradiometer at the University of Ottawa, with a spectral bandwidth of 3 to 10 nm and a scan time of 100 ms. Duplicate measurements were made for every sample; white reference measurements were made every fifth sample. The data were normalized, and mineral phases were automatically identified using The Spectral Geologist (TSG) 7 software and a built-in reference library (e.g., Jinfeng et al., 2014). The spectra were then manually assessed to confirm mineral identifications based on the shape and wavelength minima of the absorption features.

Five samples consisting of hydrothermally altered and sulfate-cemented volcaniclastic material were selected from drill hole TKAD001 for fluid inclusion studies. Doubly polished thick sections (~130 μm) were prepared at Dettmar Dissection Technology using a water-free technique. Fluid inclusion petrography in barite, anhydrite, and sphalerite is described in detail by Wixen (2015). Microthermometric measurements were made on a Linkam™ THMS 600 heating and freezing stage at GEOMAR. Salinities were calculated from freezing-point depression values following Bodnar and Vityk (1994). Homogenization temperatures were corrected for pressure at the sample depth (1,107.61 m b.s.l.; 108.65 bar) following Zhang and Frantz (1987). Accuracy was determined by measuring phase transitions in synthetic fluid inclusions (SynFLInc®); replicate measurements of homogenization and freezing-point depression temperatures were performed every five to 10 analyses, resulting in estimated errors of less than ±0.2°C for salinity measurements and ±4°C for homogenization temperature measurements. The critical points, bulk molar volumes, densities, and isochores were calculated using the program FLINCOR (Brown, 1989).

Oxygen and hydrogen isotope analyses were performed on 14 samples of monomineralic clays (<2 μm), free from Fe and Mn oxides as determined by XRD. The analyses were carried out by the Queen’s Facility for Isotope Research (Queen’s University, Ontario, Canada). Oxygen was extracted from 5-mg samples at 550°C to 600°C using the BrF₅ technique of Clayton and Mayeda (1963). Samples were analyzed via dual inlet on a Thermo-Finnigan Delta+ XP isotope ratio mass spectrometer (IRMS). For hydrogen isotope measurements, samples were weighed into silver capsules, degassed for 1 h at 100°C, and then crushed and loaded into a zero blank autosampler. Hydrogen isotope compositions were measured using a Finnigan thermal-combustion elemental analyzer (EA) coupled to a Thermo-Finnigan Delta+ XP continuous-flow IRMS. All values are expressed in the conventional δ notation as per mil (‰) deviation from V-SMOW (Vienna-Standard Mean Ocean Water), with analytical precision and accuracy for δ¹⁸O values of ±0.1‰ (1σ) and for δD of ±3‰ (1σ) and ±1.5‰ (1). Sulfur isotope measurements were conducted on 57 hand-picked mineral separates, including anhydrite, gypsum, barite, chalcopyrite, and sphalerite, at the Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster, Germany (described in detail by Wixen, 2015). For 35 pure monomineralic samples (as defined optically), the sulfur isotope composition was determined directly without prior chemical treatment, using a Carlo Erba EA interfaced to a Thermo-Finnigan Delta Plus mass spectrometer (EA-IRMS). Repeat analyses showed a precision of better than ±0.3‰ (1σ), and standard measurements (IAEA-S1, S2, S3, NBS127, Ag-S-lab) gave an accuracy of better than ±0.2‰ (1σ). For 22 samples of intergrown sulfide-sulfate mineral assemblages, a mineral-specific, wet-chemical pretreatment protocol was applied, yielding four different sulfur phases. Briefly, anhydrite and gypsum were dissolved using a 10% NaCl solution for 24 h, and dissolved sulfate was precipitated as BaSO₄ using standard procedures (e.g., Dogramaci et al., 2001). BaSO₄ precipitates were then converted into silver sulfate using Thode solution (a mixture of HI, HCl, and H₃PO₄; Thode and Monster, 1961). Sphalerite sulfate was liberated as hydrogen sulfate (acid volatile sulfate [AVS]) with HCl (25%) for 1 h at 85°C. Subsequently, disulfides such as chalcopyrite and pyrite were extracted using chromous-chloride solution (chromium-reducible sulfide [CRS] fraction, cf. Canfield et al., 1986) and Sb telluride (AgL₃) and standard measurements (IAEA-S1, S2, S3, NBS127, Ag-S-lab) gave an accuracy of better than ±0.2‰ (1σ) and ±1.5‰ (1). Sulfur isotope measurements were conducted on 57 hand-picked mineral separates, including anhydrite, gypsum, barite, chalcopyrite, and sphalerite, at the Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster, Germany (described in detail by Wixen, 2015). For 35 pure monomineralic samples (as defined optically), the sulfur isotope composition was determined directly without prior chemical treatment, using a Carlo Erba EA interfaced to a Thermo-Finnigan Delta Plus mass spectrometer (EA-IRMS). Repeat analyses showed a precision of better than ±0.3‰ (1σ), and standard measurements (IAEA-S1, S2, S3, NBS127, Ag-S-lab) gave an accuracy of better than ±0.2‰ (1σ). For 22 samples of intergrown sulfide-sulfate mineral assemblages, a mineral-specific, wet-chemical pretreatment protocol was applied, yielding four different sulfur phases. Briefly, anhydrite and gypsum were dissolved using a 10% NaCl solution for 24 h, and dissolved sulfate was precipitated as BaSO₄ using standard procedures (e.g., Dogramaci et al., 2001). BaSO₄ precipitates were then converted into silver sulfate using Thode solution (a mixture of HI, HCl, and H₃PO₄; Thode and Monster, 1961). Sphalerite sulfate was liberated as hydrogen sulfate (acid volatile sulfate [AVS]) with HCl (25%) for 1 h at 85°C. Subsequently, disulfides such as chalcopyrite and pyrite were extracted using chromous-chloride solution (chromium-reducible sulfide [CRS] fraction, cf. Canfield et al., 1986) and Sb telluride (AgL₃) and standard measurements (IAEA-S1, S2, S3, NBS127, Ag-S-lab) gave an accuracy of better than ±0.2‰ (1σ) and ±1.5‰ (1).
to measurements, the sample tubes were sealed for 21 d to prevent the escape of $^{222}\text{Rn}$ gas and obtain secular equilibrium between $^{226}\text{Ra}$ and $^{214}\text{Pb}$. Measurements were made using an XLB-GWL-SV digital gamma spectrometer (DSPec, Ortec) containing a high-purity germanium crystal, $54.7 \times 66.2$ mm, with an active well depth of 40 mm. Counting times ranged from 2 to 12 h, depending on the activity of $^{226}\text{Ra}$. Prior to measurements, the sample tubes were sealed for 21 d to prevent the escape of $^{222}\text{Rn}$ and obtain secular equilibrium between $^{226}\text{Ra}$ and $^{214}\text{Pb}$. Measurements were made using an XLB-GWL-SV digital gamma spectrometer (DSPec, Ortec) containing a high-purity germanium crystal, $54.7 \times 66.2$ mm, with an active well depth of 40 mm. Counting times ranged from 2 to 12 h, depending on the activity of $^{226}\text{Ra}$ in each sample. Spectral signatures were calibrated using IAEA-312 reference material and analyzed using a custom program developed and provided by Peter Appleby (University of Liverpool) to correct for background, detector efficiency, sample volumes, and matrix effects. Ages were determined relative to a zero-age end member selected from one of the actively venting chimneys and assumed to represent the initial $^{226}\text{Ra}/\text{Ba}$ ratio ($1.022 \pm 0.06$ Bq/kg wt%; sample GMA13-024). All ages are considered minimum values, as incorporation of young barite in the samples cannot be ruled out (e.g., Ditchburn and de Ronde, 2017).

### Drill Sites

**Site A**

Site A is a local topographic high at the northern end of the row of craters. It rises ~10 m above background sediments over an area of $\sim 100 \times 80$ m (Figs. 2, 5A). Chimneys and mounds are distributed around what may be a buried crater, partially covered by volcaniclastic material. The volcaniclastic cover sequence (up to 13.8 m thick) is underlain by 0.7 to 2.6 m of chimney talus, followed by intensely clay altered monomictic lapillistone that is crudely bedded with both matrix-supported and clast-supported intervals, extending to the bottom of the drill holes. The northernmost drill hole, TKAD001, is located next to an active chimney complex and reaches a depth of 22.3 m below seafloor (b.s.f.; App. Fig. A2). The upper ~14 m consists of variably altered volcaniclastic cover material with three discrete oxidized intervals, followed by altered lapillistone. The upper part of the clay-altered lapillistone sequence is unconsolidated to poorly consolidated, with increasing cementation by anhydrite downhole, and is cut by a few anhydrite veins (2–5 mm wide). Disseminated sulfides (pyrite, sphalerite, and minor chalcopyrite, galena, covellite, and bornite) occur with anhydrite that has been partly hydrated to gypsum, both in the cement and in the vein fill. To the south of this drill hole, TKAD015 and TKAD027 were drilled in close proximity (~3 m apart) to a maximum depth of 7.3 m b.s.f., next to a partially buried chimney complex. The volcaniclastic cover is <1 m thick and contains fragments of chimney material, likely sourced by mass wasting from nearby (unknown) chimneys (App. Figs. A3, A4).

The mineralized interval is a ~1.5- to 2.6-m-thick layer of chimney talus. As in TKAD001, intensely clay altered and poorly sorted lapillistone occurs below the chimney talus in both drill holes. TKAD028, located ~20 m east of TKAD015/027, intersected 0.5 m of volcaniclastic cover material, followed at depth by a narrow (0.7 m) sulfide interval dominated by barite and sphalerite at the top and becoming pyrite rich downhole (App. Fig. A5). This mineralized interval appears to correlate between the drill holes. The clay-altered lapillistone at the bottom of the hole is similar but better sorted than that found in TKAD015/027. Approximately 30 m to the east, TKAD029 encountered only the variably altered volcaniclastic cover material to a depth of 7.8 m b.s.f. (App. Fig. A6). This drill hole is located at the edge of the low-relief cinder cone where the volcaniclastic cover is thickest.

**Site B**

Site B is another crater-like feature (~70 × 80 m) on a cone at the north end of the row of cinder cones (Figs. 2, 5B). Chimneys and mounds occur along the crater walls and on the outer flanks of the cone and are variably covered by volcaniclastic material. Here, massive sulfide chimneys occur on top of chimney talus. At the western edge of the crater, TKAD016 encountered thin (0.2 m) volcaniclastic cover, followed by a layer of pyritic chimney talus ~0.4 m thick and then poorly sorted and crudely bedded monomict, matrix-supported, and intensely clay altered lapillistone (App. Fig. A7). The upper part of the lapillistone is cemented mainly by gypsum with a few large crystals up to 7 mm long; the lower part is mostly unconsolidated with patchy anhydrite cement that has been partially hydrated to gypsum, a few crosscutting veinlets of anhydrite, weak silicification, and disseminated sulfide minerals (pyrite with minor sphalerite near the top and minor to trace chalcopyrite near the bottom). At the southern wall of the crater, TKAD026 intersected massive sphalerite-barite chimney material to a depth of 1.4 m b.s.f. (App. Fig. A8). The substrate of lapillistone contains minor patchy barite cement in the upper part and anhydrite cement in the lower part, with gray-white clay alteration that generally decreases downhole. At the center of the crater, the mineralized interval of chimney talus is thicker (up to ~3.9 m) in TKAD013, cemented by anhydrite-rich and clay-altered mud and fine-grained sulfide minerals (App. Fig. A9). This interval was not recovered in TKAD025 (~3.6 m away) owing to poor drilling conditions (App. Fig. A10). In the center of the crater, intensely clay altered lapilli with minor disseminated sulfides occur to ~4 m b.s.f., below which is a chaotic mixture of matrix-supported volcanic and chimney fragments. The volcanic clasts are polymict (altered and unaltered), subangular to angular, and variably infilled by late-stage silica. Several short intervals within the lapilli units contain gypsum crystals up to
3 cm long. On the upper rim of the volcanic cone in the east, a thin (0.6 m) cover of unconsolidated volcanioclastic material is directly underlain by altered monomict lapillistone, with increasing sulfate cement and decreasing alteration intensity downhole to a depth of 7.4 m b.s.f. (see TKAD012, App. Fig. A11). At this site, there are fewer disseminated sulfides compared to the other drill holes and decreasing sphalerite abundance downhole.

**Site C**

Site C is a large volcanic cinder cone, rising to ~70 m above the seafloor and with a diameter of ~120 × 90 m (Figs. 2, 5C, D). Chimney complexes and mounds occur on the flank of this cone in the vicinity of a circular slump feature. TKAD032 intercepted unconsolidated volcanioclastic cover material to 1.8 m, followed by intensely altered volcanioclastic breccia to 6.9 m b.s.f. (App. Fig. A12). The altered sequence consists of monomict lapillistone with subrounded to subangular vesicular clasts but variable clay alteration. The uppermost lapillistone is matrix supported with variable sulfate cement and veins and disseminated pyrite, sphalerite, and chalcopyrite (decreasing downhole). Several horizons are clast supported (up to 60% clasts), with angular monomict clasts, and appear to be autobrecillas. One gypsum-rich interval occurs at 6.4 to 6.6 m b.s.f. TKAD033 was drilled at the edge of a small crater or collapse feature near the summit of the cone. The recovered rock types are similar to those in TKAD032, with a transition between the volcanioclastic cover and the clay-altered footwall occurring at 1.1 m b.s.f. (App. Fig. A13). Variable alteration intensity gives this rock a polymict appearance.

**Site D**

Site D is an irregularly shaped topographic high, ~70 × 80 m in diameter, in the saddle between two cinder cones (Figs. 2, 5C, D). Chimneys occur on the north flank, where there is local venting of milky fluids from fractures near the base of the chimneys. On the northern side of the chimney cluster, TKAD017 intersected unconsolidated volcanioclastic cover material to ~1.4 m, separated from an interval of chimney talus by a layer of oxidized mud and chimney fragments (App. Fig. A14). The chimney talus extends to a depth of 5.2 m b.s.f. but is interrupted by a second layer of oxidized mud at depths of 2.6 to 3.3 m b.s.f. The altered footwall comprises subrounded, matrix-supported, monomict lapilli-sized clasts with minor disseminated pyrite and patchy anhydrite + gypsum cement that increases downhole to a depth of 7.4 m b.s.f. A few subrounded fragments of chimneys are also found in the footwall. The upper oxidized layer between the cover sequence and the mineralized interval can be traced ~20 m eastward to TKAD030 (App. Fig. A15). The lower oxidized layer was not recovered at TKAD030; instead, a buried sphalerite-rich chimney was intersected. The drilled sphalerite-rich chimney is underlain by chimney talus, which appears to be continuous with material in TKAD017. Altered lapillistone to 5.3 m b.s.f. consists of several beds that are distinguished by changes in grain size and proportion of clasts to matrix and by a higher proportion of sulfate cement. The two oxidized intervals encountered in TKAD017 were also traced 25 m to the south in TKAD031 (App. Fig. A16). The volcanioclastic cover sequence is absent in this drill hole, but a large chalcopyrite- and sphalerite-rich chimney block that likely came from a chimney complex located upslope to the south was drilled. The uppermost layer of chimney talus was similarly encountered here, extending to a similar depth (~5 m b.s.f.). The cover sequence is also absent in TKAD018 to the east. This hole started in oxidized chimney talus that is continuous with the uppermost oxidized interval in the other drill holes (App. Fig. A17). The chimney talus here is only ~0.6 m thick, grading to the clay-altered footwall at 1.2 m b.s.f. The clay-altered lapillistone at this location contains a higher proportion of fine-grained material (tuff). In the southeast, TKAD019 encountered only unconsolidated volcanioclastic cover material (to 6.1 m b.s.f.) that accumulated within a topographic low at the periphery of a chimney cluster (App. Fig. A18). Within the thick accumulation of cover material, there are several distinct intervals of intensely oxidized sediment, which may be continuations with the oxidized layers in the other holes.

**Site E**

Site E is on the southernmost cinder cone near several small craters (Figs. 2, 5E). TKAD005 is near an inactive chimney complex on the eastern margin of the northernmost crater; TKAD003 is on a small ridge south of TKAD005, near a scarp that may be a crater wall or slump and adjacent to a site of active venting. Both drill holes recovered moderately sorted, clast-supported, monomict lapillistone with weak grading and intense clay alteration. The matrix consists of anhydrite cement with minor disseminated sphalerite and pyrite that decrease in abundance downhole and are locally oxidized to hematite.

**Site F**

Site F is located west of the main NS-trending fissure, where chimneys and mounds are aligned in a circular pattern, but constructional volcanic features are absent (Figs. 2, 5F). TKAD007 was drilled next to a site of active venting in a sequence of clast-supported, clay-altered monomict lapillistone characterized by moderately sorted subangular clasts. The lapillistone is cemented by anhydrite, which increases in abundance downhole and is locally fracture controlled. The breccia also contains minor disseminated pyrite and fracture-controlled patchy iron oxide. A few centimeter-wide pyrite-chalcopyrite veinlets associated with the most intense clay alteration occur at the top of the recovered core. Inactive chimney complexes and mounds surround the area of active venting and appear to be aligned along structural corridors (Fig. 2). TKAD008 is located along one of these NW–SE–trending structures, 102 m south of TKAD007, on a low-relief ridge with numerous inactive chimney complexes and mounds. The upper part is matrix-supported lapillistone that grades downhole into a clast-supported lapillistone similar to that in TKAD008. Increasing clast size and angularity and decreasing alteration intensity downhole suggest that this drill hole may be at the periphery of the main hydrothermal upflow zone.

**Seafloor and Subseafloor Mineralization**

Isolated chimney complexes throughout the Tinakula area are most common on steep slopes that have not been completely buried by the volcanioclastic cover. Four main chimney types...
are recognized: (1) chalcopyrite rich, (2) zoned (with variable proportions of chalcopyrite and sphalerite), (3) sphalerite-rich chimneys, and (4) barite rich and/or silica altered (details in App. Table A1; App. Fig. A19). Unlike the subsurface breccia facies, the seafloor chimneys contain only minor anhydrite and gypsum. Different chimney types commonly occur together; however, higher-temperature Cu-rich chimneys are more abundant near sites A and D, and lower-temperature barite-rich chimneys are common at site B (App. Fig. A20). Mineralized volcanic breccia was recovered from the base of several chimney complexes, where the chimneys have overgrown volcaniclastic material.

The Cu-rich chimneys comprise abundant, massive, coarse-grained chalcopyrite with minor pyrite (Fig. 7A-C). Locally, minor Fe-poor sphalerite and barite line the chimney conduits. In one sample, trace amounts of microscopic gold are present as inclusions in the chalcopyrite (sample GMA13-048; Fig. 7C). Distinctly zoned chimneys have layers that are chalcopyrite, pyrite (± marcasite), and sphalerite rich (Fig. 7D-F). Both Fe-rich and Fe-poor sphalerite occur in different layers. The low-Fe sphalerite commonly has inclusions of fine-grained Pb-As-Sb sulfosalts (jordanite) and minor galena. Late-stage, coarse tabular barite, amorphous silica, and iron oxyhydroxides occupy open spaces.

The Zn-rich chimneys are most abundant and consist of dendritic sphalerite and barite with pyrite and marcasite (Fig. 7G-I). These chimneys lack clearly defined vent conduits but have alternating layers of low- and high-Fe sphalerite, similar to beehive chimneys that form by diffuse fluid venting (e.g., Koski et al., 1994). The low-Fe sphalerite commonly contains inclusions of jordanite and galena; fine-grained inclusions of chalcopyrite are present at grain boundaries but are rare. Late-stage tabular barite is followed paragenetically by minor amounts of amorphous silica and trace iron and manganese oxyhydroxides. The Ba-rich chimneys also form bulbous and beehive-like structures with variable amounts of amorphous silica filling or lining open spaces (Fig. 7J-L).

Chalcopyrite and pyrite show little compositional variation, although As is relatively enriched in both pyrite (up to 1.42 wt %) and marcasite (up to 2.71 wt %) (App. Table A2). Mercury is also present above detection limits in chalcopyrite (sample GMA13-048; Fig. 7C). Distinctly zoned chimneys have layers that are chalcopyrite, pyrite (± marcasite), and sphalerite rich (Fig. 7D-F). Both Fe-rich and Fe-poor sphalerite occur in different layers. The low-Fe sphalerite commonly has inclusions of fine-grained Pb-As-Sb sulfosalts (jordanite) and minor galena. Late-stage, coarse tabular barite, amorphous silica, and iron oxyhydroxides occupy open spaces.
Fig. 7. Features of chimney types at the Tinakula deposit with high porosities reflecting fluid channels. (A) Hand sample photo of chalcopyrite-rich chimney (sample GMA13-048). (B) Reflected-light in plane-polarized light (RL-PPL) photomicrograph of chalcopyrite-rich chimney with pyrite lining former vent conduit (sample GMA13-036). (C) RL-PPL photomicrograph of chalcopyrite-rich chimney with fine-grained gold inclusions within chalcopyrite (sample GMA13-048). (D) Hand sample photo of zoned chimney (sample GMA13-031). (E) RL-PPL photomicrograph of zoned chimney with chalcopyrite, marcasite (filling former vent conduit), and sphalerite (sample GMA13-050). (F) RL-PPL photomicrograph of zoned chimney with banded chalcopyrite and sphalerite (sample GMA13-031). (G) Hand sample photo of sphalerite-rich chimney (sample GMA13-012). (H) RL-PPL photomicrograph of dendritic to acicular barite, followed by sphalerite with minor late barite (sample GMA13-022). (I) RL-PPL photomicrograph of sphalerite-rich chimney, with early barite + sphalerite, followed by coarse-grained barite, and marcasite replaced by sphalerite (zone refining), with a late generation of globular sphalerite + galena (not shown) (sample GMA13-058). (J) Hand sample photo of barite-rich chimney (sample GMA13-045). (K) Transmitted-light in plane-polarized light (TL-PPL) photomicrograph of banded dendritic barite + pyrite, with minor sphalerite, and late tabular barite (sample GMA13-015B). (L) TL-PPL photomicrograph of dendritic to radial barite, tabular barite, and late amorphous silica with very fine grained inclusions of iron and manganese oxyhydroxides (sample GMA13-009B). Abbreviations: Am-Si = amorphous silica, Au = gold, Brt = barite, Ccp = chalcopyrite, Fe-MnOx = iron and manganese oxyhydroxides, Mrc = marcasite, Py = pyrite, Sp = sphalerite.
samples contain slightly higher Co and Se and lower Cd, Hg, Sb, and Ag than the seafloor chimneys, consistent with higher temperatures of mineralization (e.g., Monecke et al., 2016). The chimney talus and oxidized intervals appear continuous between drill holes at sites A, B, and D, but mineralization was not encountered in the two drill holes at site C (Figs. 11, 12). Since the drill platform required relatively flat slopes, drilling at this site was limited to the areas beside the known chimney mounds. Therefore, the occurrence of chimney talus below the mounds was not confirmed at the location. The buried sulfide mineralization is estimated to have an area at least three times larger than that of the seafloor chimneys and mounds, although more drilling is required to establish the full extent and continuity.

Subseafloor Alteration

Below the mineralized interval, the volcaniclastic breccias have been intensely hydrothermally altered, with clay minerals occurring as replacements of volcanic material and infills of pore spaces (e.g., Fig. 4D-F). The predominant

---

**Fig. 8.** Frequency histograms of FeS (mol %) concentrations in sphalerite (uncorrected for chalcopyrite inclusions) from different chimney types at the Tinakula deposit (n = 598), calculated following Scott and Barnes (1971). Abbreviations as in Figure 7.

**Fig. 9.** Ternary diagram of Cu, Pb, and Zn concentrations in bulk whole-rock chimney samples from the Tinakula deposit, classified according to sample type (see text for classification). Abbreviations: Anh = anhydrite, Gp = gypsum, Volc. = volcanic; others as in Figure 7.
Table 1. Average Whole-Rock Geochemistry of Sulfide-Sulfate Samples by Chimney Type at Tinakula Deposit

| Chimney Type                  | Ccp | Ccp + Py ± Mrc | Ccp + Mrc + Sp | Ccp + Sp | Sp + Brt | Sp + Brt + Py | Sp + Brt + Mrc | Sp + Mrc/Py | Brt + Mrc ± Py |
|-------------------------------|-----|----------------|----------------|----------|---------|--------------|--------------|-------------|---------------|
| **Chalcopyrite-rich**         |     |                |                |          |         |              |              |             |               |
| Mn wt %                       | 5.0 | 5.0            | 5.0            | 5.0      | 5.0     | 5.0          | 5.0          | 5.0         | 5.0           |
| Au ppb                        | 0.05| 0.05           | 0.05           | 0.05     | 0.05    | 0.05         | 0.05         | 0.05        | 0.05          |
| **Zoned chalcopyrite-rich**   |     |                |                |          |         |              |              |             |               |
| Mn wt %                       | 5.0 | 5.0            | 5.0            | 5.0      | 5.0     | 5.0          | 5.0          | 5.0         | 5.0           |
| Au ppb                        | 0.05| 0.05           | 0.05           | 0.05     | 0.05    | 0.05         | 0.05         | 0.05        | 0.05          |
| **Dendritic sphalerite-rich** |     |                |                |          |         |              |              |             |               |
| Mn wt %                       | 5.0 | 5.0            | 5.0            | 5.0      | 5.0     | 5.0          | 5.0          | 5.0         | 5.0           |
| Au ppb                        | 0.05| 0.05           | 0.05           | 0.05     | 0.05    | 0.05         | 0.05         | 0.05        | 0.05          |
| **Barite-rich**               |     |                |                |          |         |              |              |             |               |
| Mn wt %                       | 5.0 | 5.0            | 5.0            | 5.0      | 5.0     | 5.0          | 5.0          | 5.0         | 5.0           |
| Au ppb                        | 0.05| 0.05           | 0.05           | 0.05     | 0.05    | 0.05         | 0.05         | 0.05        | 0.05          |

**Silicified Mineralized barite-rich**

| Chimney Type                  | Am-Si + Bt + Mrc/Py | Sp + Bt + Volc. Clasts | Sp + Bt + Volc. Clasts | Py + Bt + Volc. Clasts | Ccp + Bt + Volc. Clasts | Sp + Mrc + Bt + Volc. Clasts |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Mn wt %                       | 5.0                 | 5.0                    | 5.0                    | 5.0                    | 5.0                      | 5.0                           |
| Au ppb                        | 0.05                | 0.05                   | 0.05                   | 0.05                   | 0.05                     | 0.05                          |
| Fe wt %                       | 2.35                | 2.35                   | 2.35                   | 2.35                   | 2.35                     | 2.35                          |
| Cu wt %                       | 0.02                | 0.02                   | 0.02                   | 0.02                   | 0.02                     | 0.02                          |
| Mo ppm                        | 9                   | 9                      | 9                      | 9                      | 9                         | 9                             |
| In ppm                        | 0.2                 | 0.2                    | 0.2                    | 0.2                    | 0.2                       | 0.2                           |
| Bi ppm                        | 0.2                 | 0.2                    | 0.2                    | 0.2                    | 0.2                       | 0.2                           |
| Co ppm                        | 0.2                 | 0.2                    | 0.2                    | 0.2                    | 0.2                       | 0.2                           |
| Zn wt %                       | 0.23                | 0.23                   | 0.23                   | 0.23                   | 0.23                      | 0.23                          |
| Cd ppm                        | 3                   | 3                      | 3                      | 3                      | 3                         | 3                             |
| Ga ppm                        | 4                   | 4                      | 4                      | 4                      | 4                         | 4                             |
| Ge ppm                        | 2                   | 2                      | 2                      | 2                      | 2                         | 2                             |
| Se ppm                        | 1                   | 1                      | 1                      | 1                      | 1                         | 1                             |
| Ti ppm                        | 12                  | 12                     | 12                     | 12                     | 12                        | 12                            |
| Pb ppm                        | 1,545               | 1,545                  | 1,545                  | 1,545                  | 1,545                     | 1,545                         |
| Hg ppm                        | 35,880              | 35,880                 | 35,880                 | 35,880                 | 35,880                    | 35,880                        |

**Mineralized volcanic breccia**

| Chimney Type                  | Sp + Bt + Volc. Clasts | Py + Bt + Volc. Clasts | Ccp + Bt + Volc. Clasts |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| Mn wt %                       | 5.0                    | 5.0                    | 5.0                      |
| Au ppb                        | 0.05                   | 0.05                   | 0.05                     |
| Fe wt %                       | 2.35                   | 2.35                   | 2.35                     |
| Cu wt %                       | 0.02                   | 0.02                   | 0.02                     |
| Mo ppm                        | 9                      | 9                      | 9                        |
| In ppm                        | 0.2                    | 0.2                    | 0.2                      |
| Bi ppm                        | 0.2                    | 0.2                    | 0.2                      |
| Co ppm                        | 0.2                    | 0.2                    | 0.2                      |
| Zn wt %                       | 0.23                   | 0.23                   | 0.23                     |
| Cd ppm                        | 3                      | 3                      | 3                        |
| Ga ppm                        | 4                      | 4                      | 4                        |
| Ge ppm                        | 2                      | 2                      | 2                        |
| Se ppm                        | 1                      | 1                      | 1                        |
| Ti ppm                        | 12                     | 12                     | 12                       |
| Pb ppm                        | 1,545                  | 1,545                  | 1,545                    |
| Hg ppm                        | 35,880                 | 35,880                 | 35,880                   |

**Mineralized volcanic breccia-stringer**

| Chimney Type                  | Sp + Mrc + Bt + Volc. Clasts |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Mn wt %                       | 5.0                          |
| Au ppb                        | 0.05                         |
| Fe wt %                       | 2.35                         |
| Cu wt %                       | 0.02                         |
| Mo ppm                        | 9                             |
| In ppm                        | 0.2                           |
| Bi ppm                        | 0.2                           |
| Co ppm                        | 0.2                           |
| Zn wt %                       | 0.23                          |
| Cd ppm                        | 3                             |
| Ga ppm                        | 4                             |
| Ge ppm                        | 2                             |
| Se ppm                        | 1                             |
| Ti ppm                        | 12                            |
| Pb ppm                        | 1,545                         |
| Hg ppm                        | 35,880                        |

**Mixed chimney talus**

| Chimney Type                  | Sp + Mrc + Bt + Volc. Clasts |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Mn wt %                       | 5.0                          |
| Au ppb                        | 0.05                         |
| Fe wt %                       | 2.35                         |
| Cu wt %                       | 0.02                         |
| Mo ppm                        | 9                             |
| In ppm                        | 0.2                           |
| Bi ppm                        | 0.2                           |
| Co ppm                        | 0.2                           |
| Zn wt %                       | 0.23                          |
| Cd ppm                        | 3                             |
| Ga ppm                        | 4                             |
| Ge ppm                        | 2                             |
| Se ppm                        | 1                             |
| Ti ppm                        | 12                            |
| Pb ppm                        | 1,545                         |
| Hg ppm                        | 35,880                        |
### Table 1. (Cont.)

| Silicified barite-rich | Mineralized volcanic breccia | Mineralized volcanic breccia-stringer | Mixed chimney talus |
|------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Am-Si + Brt + Mrc/Py   | Sp + Brt + Volc. Clasts      | Sp + Brt + Volc. Clasts              | Sp + Py + Ccp + Brt + Py + Brt + Volc. Clasts |
| n = 2                  | n = 1                         | n = 3                                | n = 1              |
| Ba wt %                | 15.18                         | 15.40                                | 6.02               |
| Ca wt %                | 0.11                          | 0.64                                 | 0.13               |
| Sr ppm                 | 3,137                         | 5,060                                | 2,038              |
| Mg wt %                | 0.03                          | 0.27                                 | 0.01               |
| Mn ppm                 | 63                            | 272                                  | 58                 |
| K wt %                 | <0.1                          | <0.1                                 | <0.1               |
| Na wt %                | 0.23                          | 0.43                                 | 0.19               |
| Si wt %                | 27.0                          | 12.2                                 | 15.6              |
| Al wt %                | 0.14                          | 1.79                                 | 0.35               |
| Ti wt %                | <0.01                         | 0.11                                 | 0.05               |
| V ppm                  | 27                            | 109                                  | 44                 |
| P wt %                 | <0.005                        | 0.019                                | <0.005             |

Abbreviations: Am-Si = amorphous silica, Anh = anhydrite, bdl = below detection limit, Brt = barite, Ccp = chalcopyrite, Gp = gypsum, Mrc = marcasite, Py = pyrite, Sp = sphalerite, Volc. = volcanic

---

**Fig. 10.** Subseafloor mineralization at the Tinakula deposit. (A) Fragment of barite- and sphalerite-rich chimney with a 3-cm-wide barite rim preserved in drill core (sample MA13-011). (B) Chimney talus with clasts of various sizes, shapes, and mineralogy, cemented by fine-grained sulfides and minor sulfates (sample MA13-030). (C) Clastic massive sulfides with sphalerite, chalcopyrite, and pyrite, and altered volcanic clasts, hydrothermally cemented by barite and fine-grained amorphous silica (sample MA13-032). (D) Oxidized unit characterized by abundant orange iron oxides and chimney fragments (bottom right: fragment of barite- and silica-rich chimney), with variable rounding from transport (sample MA13-022). (E) Barite-rich silicified volcaniclastic breccia with abundant chalcopyrite + pyrite (sample P011). (F) Lapilli-rich volcaniclastic tuff intensely altered to white clay, with disseminated pyrite, chalcopyrite, and minor sphalerite (sample MA13-013). Abbreviations: Si = amorphous silicon; others as in Figure 7.
The lithology of the footwall is monomict to polymict lapillistone that is crudely bedded with both matrix- and clast-supported horizons. Alteration and late infill by patchy sulfate cement obscures many of the primary volcanic textures, resulting in a high degree of uncertainty regarding facies interpretations. The altered volcaniclastic material extends to maximum drilled depths of 22.32 m b.s.f.; however, the full depth and areal extent of the alteration is unknown, with most of the drilling having been focused along the central row of cinder cones (sites A-E; Fig. 2). Alteration minerals in the footwall breccias identified by XRD include dioctahedral smectite (montmorillonite and nontronite), chlorite (mainly chamosite, with minor clinohlore), minor chloritoid, illite, and mixed-layer clays: illite/smectite, muscovite/illite (rectorite), and chlorite/smectite (corrensite) (Table 2; App. Fig. A23). These minerals constitute five alteration facies that are distinguished in drill core by different colors (Table 2): (1) montmorillonite/nontronite, with yellow-orange, yellow-gray, and red-brown hues; (2) nontronite + corrensite, with greenish-yellow to greenish-gray hues; (3) illite/smectite + pyrite, with yellowish-gray to olive-gray hues; (4) illite/smectite + chamosite, with bluish-white, olive, olive-gray, and greenish-yellow hues; and (5) chamosite + corrensite, with greenish-yellow to pale olive, with minor brown to reddish-brown hues.
The alteration assemblages vary with location and depth, generally progressing downward from the seafloor in the order montmorillonite/nontronite to nontronite + corrensite, to illite/smectite + pyrite, to illite/smectite + chamosite, to chamosite + corrensite. Footwall breccias from site A are dominated by chamosite + corrensite alteration, with localized illite/smectite + chamosite alteration that varies in abundance over short distances and is interpreted to reflect proximity to inferred synvolcanic faults (Fig. 11A). Alteration at site B is semiconformable, varying downhole from illite/smectite + pyrite, to illite/smectite + chamosite, to chamosite + corrensite (Fig. 11B). Site C is characterized by nontronite near the surface, followed by nontronite + corrensite and chamosite + corrensite at depth (Fig. 12A). Alteration at site D varies from illite/smectite + pyrite near the surface, to illite/smectite + chamosite at depth (Fig. 12B). End-member illite and rectorite is present in all samples from site D, reflecting high temperatures (>220°C) and a progression from argillic to phyllic alteration at depth (e.g., Inoue et al., 1990). The variations in alteration assemblages identified at different sites along the NS-trending fissure appear to reflect variable thermal gradients and permeability structure.

Smectite is ubiquitous throughout the footwall in every alteration assemblage as discrete montmorillonite/nontronite replacing volcanic glass and/or mixed-layer clays. Mixed-layer illite/smectite clays range in composition from 50% illite to ~91% illite, with an average of 74% illite (n = 30) (App. Table 7).
Table 2. Clay Minerals Identified by XRD from Samples of Altered Volcaniclastic Footwall from Tinakula Deposit, with Drill Holes Arranged According to Site from North to South (locations shown on Fig. 2)

| Location (North) | Location | Drill hole | Sample | Depth (m b.s.f.) | Munsell clay color | Smectite | Chlorite | Mixed-layer clays | Illite | Felspar | Nonclay minerals | Alteration assemblage |
|------------------|----------|------------|--------|-----------------|---------------------|----------|----------|------------------|--------|---------|-----------------|---------------------|
| Site A (North)   | TKAD001  | MA13-078   | 4.54–4.68 | Moderate yellowish brown | ++                 | ++       | ++       | ++               | ++     | ++      | ++              | Mnt/Non + Pl          |
|                  |          | MA13-082   | 7.00–7.10 | Light yellowish orange | ++                 | ++       | ++       | ++               | ++     | ++      | ++              | Mnt/Non + Pl          |
|                  | P002     | 10.39–10.50 | Dusky yellow | ++       | ++       | ++       | ++               | ++     | ++      | ++              | Mnt/Non + Pl          |
|                  | P002     | 12.90–13.00 | Dark yellowish orange | ++       | ++       | ++       | ++               | ++     | ++      | ++              | Mnt/Non + Pl          |
|                  | MA13-086 | 14.00–14.12 | Moderate reddish brown | ++       | ++       | ++                   | Tr     | ++      | ++              | Mnt/Non + Pl          |
| Site B           | TKAD015  | MA13-050   | 3.49–3.63 | Pale olive | ++       | ++       | ++               | ++     | ++      | ++              | I/S + Cham           |
|                  | TKAD015  | MA13-051   | 4.34–4.43 | Pale greenish yellow | ++       | ++       | ++               | ++     | ++      | ++              | I/S + Cham           |
|                  | TKAD015  | MA13-052   | 6.42–6.52 | Grayish yellow green | ++       | ++       | ++               | ++     | ++      | ++              | I/S + Cham           |
|                  | TKAD025  | MA13-053   | 7.25–7.34 | Grayish yellow green | ++       | ++       | ++               | ++     | ++      | ++              | I/S + Cham           |
|                  | TKAD027  | MA13-056   | 2.50–2.60 | Light olive | ++       | ++       | ++               | ++     | ++      | ++              | I/S + Cham           |
|                  | TKAD027  | MA13-057   | 6.00–6.70 | Light greenish gray | ++       | ++       | ++               | Tr     | ++      | ++              | I/S + Cham           |
|                  | TKAD027  | MA13-058   | 6.50–6.59 | Grayish yellow green | ++       | ++       | ++               | ++     | ++      | ++              | I/S + Cham           |
| Site C           | TKAD012  | MA13-062   | 2.81–2.90 | Pale olive | ++       | ++       | ++               | ++     | ++      | ++              | I/S + Cham           |
|                  | TKAD012  | MA13-063   | 4.25–4.36 | Light greenish yellow | ++       | ++       | ++               | Tr     | ++      | ++              | I/S + Cham           |
|                  | TKAD012  | MA13-064   | 6.49–6.60 | Greenish gray | ++       | ++       | ++               | Tr     | ++      | ++              | I/S + Cham           |
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### Table 2. (Cont.)

| Location (Drill hole) | Sample | Depth (m b.s.f.) | Munsell clay color | Smectite | Chlorite | Mixed-layer clays | Illite | Feldspar | Nonclay minerals | Alteration assemblage |
|-----------------------|--------|-----------------|--------------------|----------|----------|--------------------|--------|----------|------------------|-----------------------|
| **Site C (Cont.)**    | MA13-025 | 3.00–3.10 | Dusky yellow        | ++       | (?)      | ++                 | Tr     | (?)      | Mnt/Non + Pl     | Mnt/Non + Pl           |
|                       | MA13-026 | 4.76–4.88 | Moderate brown      | ++       | (?)      | ++                 | Tr     | (?)      | Mnt/Non + Pl     | Mnt/Non + Pl           |
|                       | MA13-027 | 7.30–7.40 | Moderate brown      | Tr       | ++       | Tr                 | (?)    | Mnt/Non + Pl | Mnt/Non + Pl     | Mnt/Non + Pl           |
| **Site D**            | MA13-020 | 6.90–7.00 | Yellowish gray      | Tr       | ++       | ++                 | + Tr   | +        | Tr               | I/S + Py               |
|                       | MA13-005 | 5.06–5.21 | Grayish yellow      | ++       | +        | Tr                 | Tr     | Tr       | Tr               | I/S + Py               |
|                       | MA13-006 | 6.45–6.60 | Yellowish gray      | ++       |  + Tr    | +                 | + Tr   | + Tr     | Tr               | I/S + Py               |
|                       | MA13-014 | 3.00–3.10 | Light grayish yellow | ++       | ++ Tr    | +                 | Tr     | Tr       | Tr               | I/S + Py               |
|                       | MA13-015 | 5.00–5.10 | Yellowish gray      | Tr       | ++ Tr    | Tr                 | Tr     | Tr       | Tr               | I/S + Py               |
|                       | MA13-016 | 6.60–6.71 | Light grayish yellow | + Tr     | +        | +                 | Tr     | Tr       | Tr               | I/S + Py               |
|                       | MA13-044 | 5.64–5.69 | Grayish Yellow      | Tr       | ++ Tr    | (?)               | Tr     | Tr       | Tr               | I/S + Py               |
|                       | MA13-045 | 6.60–6.67 | Grayish Yellow      | Tr       | ++ Tr    | (?)               | Tr     | Tr       | Tr               | I/S + Py               |
| **Site E (South)**    | P020    | 20.55–20.67 | Moderate greenish gray | (?)      | ++       | ++                 | (?)    | I/S + Cham |
|                       | P012    | 11.11–11.20 | Light greenish gray | (?)      | ++       | ++                 | I/S + Cham |
|                       | P013    | 13.40–13.50 | Bluish white        | ++       | ++       | ++                 | I/S + Cham |
|                       | P014    | 18.41–18.50 | Bluish white        | ++       | ++       | ++                 | I/S + Cham |
| **Site F (West)**     | P021    | 3.50–3.60 | Light olive gray    | ++       | (?)      | Tr                 | (?)    | I/S + Py       |
|                       | P022    | 11.23–11.34 | Bluish white        | ++       | (?) Tr   | Tr                 | I/S + Cham |
|                       | P023    | 22.35–22.45 | Pale greenish yellow | ++       | Tr       | (?)               | I/S + Cham |
|                       | P025    | 7.50–7.55 | Moderate reddish brown | +       | ++       | (?)               | Cham + Corr |
|                       | P024    | 18.13–18.20 | Moderate reddish brown | ++       | (?)   | Mnt/Non + Pl |

Amounts indicated are major (++, minor (+), and trace (Tr); uncertain identifications are indicated by (?)

Mineral abbreviations: Alie = aliettite, Anh = anhydrite, Ata = paratacamite(?), Brt = barite, Cel = celestine, Cham = chamosite, Clk = chlorite, Cld = chloritoid, Corr = corrensite, Hem = hematite, Ill = illite, I/S = illite/smectite, Mnt = montmorillonite, Mrc = marcasite, Non = nontronite, Pg = paragonite, Ph = phillipsite, Pl = plagioclase, Py = pyrite, Qz = quartz, Rect = rectorite
The proportion of illite increases progressively downhole, indicating a process of continuous transformation of smectite to illite (e.g., Inoue et al., 1990). Chlorite may form directly from smectite or through an intermediate corrensite step (e.g., Shau et al., 1990). At Tinakula, chamosite appears before corrensite in the downhole progression of alteration assemblages, suggesting that corrensite is not an intermediate phase. Corrensite appears after illite/smectite, following a transition from illite/smectite + chamosite to chamosite + corrensite. This suggests that corrensite forms as an alteration product of the mixed-layer illite/smectite clays.

Alteration minerals were also characterized by SWIR spectroscopy (Table 3; App. Fig. A24). The white micas are identified by the distinct Al-OH absorption feature between 2,180 and 2,228 nm, with wavelengths of 2,189 to 2,195 nm for paragonite and 2,200 to 2,213 nm for muscovite and illite (this study; Thompson et al., 1999). In addition, small absorption features are present at 2,350 and 2,450 nm for the white micas. Montmorillonite is distinguished by a narrow and symmetric 2,200-nm feature with a small shoulder on the H2O + OH absorption feature at 1,450 to 1,460 nm. Chlorite is identified by the strong absorption feature at 2,340 nm, the wavelength of which increases from 2,324 nm for Mg-rich compositions to >2,350 nm for Fe-rich compositions. Gypsum is identified by the OH + H2O feature at 1,400 nm, together with the OH feature at 1,800 nm. The alteration mineral assemblages observed in the SWIR analysis progress downhole from smectite (montmorillonite/nontronite), to white mica (paragonite

### Table 3. Clay Minerals Identified by SWIR Spectroscopy from Samples of Altered Volcaniclastic Footwall from Tinakula Deposit, with Drill Holes Arranged from North to South (see Fig. 2)

| Location | Drill hole | Sample | Depth (m b.s.f.) | Smectite | Chlorite | White mica | Sulfate | Al(OH) |
|----------|------------|--------|----------------|----------|----------|------------|---------|--------|
| Site A (North) | TKAD001 | MA13-086-C | 14.00–14.12 | +++ | + | | | |
| | | MA13-087-C | 16.05–16.22 | +++ | ++ | | | |
| | | MA13-088-C | 17.40–17.50 | (?) | +++ | | | |
| | | P005-C | 19.11–19.30 | (?) | +++ | | | |
| | | MA13-091-C | 20.77–21.00 | ++ | ++ | | | |
| | TKAD015 | MA13-052-C | 6.42–6.52 | (?) | +++ | | | |
| | | MA13-053-C | 7.23–7.34 | (?) | +++ | | | |
| | TKAD028 | MA13-099-C | 4.50–4.60 | +++ | +++* | | | |
| Site B | TKAD016 | MA13-034-C | 2.02–2.13 | ++ | +++ | | | |
| | | MA13-036-C | 7.00–7.05 | (?) | +++ | | | |
| | TKAD025 | MA13-039-C | 5.50–5.60 | (?) | +++ | | | |
| | | TKAD026 | 2.90–3.00 | +++ | +++ | | | |
| | | MA13-068-C | 3.60–3.68 | (?) | +++ | | | |
| | | MA13-069-C | 5.64–5.75 | (?) | +++ | | | |
| | TKAD012 | MA13-062-C | 2.81–2.90 | ++ | (?) | | | |
| Site C | TKAD032 | MA13-072-C | 2.05–2.17 | +++ | | | | |
| | | MA13-073-C | 3.90–4.00 | +++ | | | | |
| | | MA13-074-C | 5.31–5.40 | +++ | | | | |
| | | MA13-075-C | 6.42–6.54 | +++ | | | | |
| | TKAD033 | MA13-026-C | 4.76–4.88 | +++ | | | | |
| | | MA13-026-M | 4.76–4.88 | +++ | | | | |
| | | MA13-027-C | 7.30–7.40 | +++ | | | | |
| | | MA13-027-M | 7.30–7.40 | +++ | | | | |
| Site D | TKAD030 | MA13-005-C | 5.06–5.21 | (?) | +++ | | | |
| | | MA13-006-C | 6.45–6.60 | (?) | +++ | | | |
| | TKAD018 | MA13-015-C | 5.00–5.10 | ++ | +++ | | | |
| | TKAD031 | MA13-044-C | 5.64–5.69 | ++ | +++ | | | |
| Site E (South) | TKAD003 | P013-C | 13.40–13.50 | ++ | (?) | | | |
| | | P014-C | 16.41–16.50 | (?) | ++ | | | |
| Site F (West) | TKAD007 | P022-C | 11.23–11.34 | (?) | ++ | | | |

Amounts indicated are >50% (+++), 25–50% (++), <25% (+); * = unreliable results

(?) indicates manual search of the spectral library; all others by Spectral Assist automated identification using TSG 7 software

Abbreviations: C = clast separate, Chl = chlorite, CM = clast + matrix, Dsp = diaspore, Gp = gypsum, M = matrix separate, Mnt = montmorillonite, Ms = muscovite, MsI = muscovitic illite, Pg = paragonite, Pgl = paragonitic illite
paragonitic illite → muscovitic illite → illite), to chlorite (e.g., App. Fig. A25), consistent with the XRD results. However, SWIR analyses with both montmorillonite and white mica reflect the presence of mixed-layer illite/smectite clays rather than discrete end members, with progressive increases downhole in aluminum content that shift the Al-OH absorption feature to lower wavelengths (e.g., Velde, 1980).

Alteration Geochemistry

Least altered volcanic rocks from the Tinakula deposit range from basaltic andesite and andesite to dacite, with basaltic andesite most abundant within and near the volcanic cones. Representational whole-rock geochemical analyses of altered volcaniclastic rocks from drill core are given in Table 4, with the associated alteration assemblages indicated. The least altered host rocks, described by Anderson (2018), were identified petrographically by a lack of alteration minerals, loss on ignition (LOI) <1.2 wt%, low base metal values (<183 ppm Cu + Zn + Pb), and no obvious Na₂O depletion (>4.0 wt%). Data for the three least altered basaltic andesite samples lie on linear trends, with those for the altered samples in plots of TiO₂ versus Zr and TiO₂ versus Al₂O₃ (Fig. 13). Excursions on linear trends, with those for the altered samples in plots of TiO₂ versus Zr and TiO₂ versus Al₂O₃ (Fig. 13). Excursions on linear trends with those for the altered samples in plots of TiO₂ versus Zr and TiO₂ versus Al₂O₃ (Fig. 13A) may reflect variability in the parental rock compositions. However, SWIR analyses with both montmorillonite and white mica indicate a shift in aluminum content that increases downhole that shifts the Al-OH absorption feature to lower wavelengths (e.g., Velde, 1980).

Fig. 13. Immobile element ratios of altered volcanic rocks and least altered precursor (average of three samples, from Anderson, 2018) showing linear trends of relative mass gains and losses, with increasing alteration intensity reflected by increasing distance from average least altered precursor composition: (A) Zr versus TiO₂ and (B) Al₂O₃ versus TiO₂.

The chondrite-normalized REE profiles for the altered volcaniclastic rocks and the least altered basaltic andesite samples (Fig. 16) show pronounced positive Eu anomalies, as Eu becomes concentrated in hydrothermal fluids after it is released from plagioclase during water-rock interactions. Smectite-rich assemblages (montmorillonite and nontronite + corrensite) show slight REE depletion and have flat profiles. The illite/smectite + pyrite assemblage displays significant light REE (LREE) enrichment and steeper profiles, whereas the chamosite-rich assemblages (illite/smectite + chamosite and chamosite + corrensite) show moderate LREE enrichment and flat profiles. The change in slope of the LREEs during the main stage of alteration (illite/smectite + pyrite) relative to the least altered rock indicates strong mobility. In contrast, the heavy REEs (HREEs) have slopes similar to those of the least altered rocks, which indicates that they are immobile during hydrothermal alteration. The HREEs are simply moved up or down relative to the least altered values due to the total mass gain or loss of the rock during alteration.

Major element mass changes were calculated for all alteration assemblages following the single precursor method of MacLean and Barrett (1990; MacLean and Barrett, 1993; Barrett and MacLean, 1999) using the average concentration of the three least altered samples as the precursor. In this method, the ratio of the concentration of an immobile element in an altered rock to the concentration of that element in the least altered precursor is proportional to the total mass change in the rock due to hydrothermal alteration. We used the proportion of the least mobile element, Zr, in the precursor sample relative to the altered sample to calculate...
Table 4. Whole-Rock Geochemical Analyses of Select Altered Volcaniclastic Rocks from Tinakula Deposit with Associated Alteration Assemblages, Normalized to 100% LOI-Free, and of Average Least Altered Basaltic-Andesite Composition (average of three samples: GMA13-057, P0016, and P0040; Anderson, 2018)

| Element | Detection limit | Method | MA13-086-C | MA13-073-C | MA13-006-C | MA13-088-C | MA13-036-C | Average least altered |
|---------|----------------|--------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|---------------------|
| SiO₂ (%) | 0.01 | FUS-ICP | 47.03 | 51.72 | 41.65 | 52.34 | 35.27 | 54.39 |
| TiO₂ | 0.001 | FUS-ICP | 1.086 | 1.718 | 2.467 | 1.938 | 1.804 | 1.30 |
| Al₂O₃ | 0.01 | FUS-ICP | 19.28 | 21.93 | 27.00 | 23.80 | 23.18 | 17.27 |
| Fe₂O₃(T) | 0.01 | FUS-ICP | 11.59 | 13.69 | 24.9 | 8.90 | 23.18 | 9.98 |
| MnO | 0.01 | FUS-ICP | 0.075 | 0.045 | 0.010 | 0.253 | 0.079 | 0.189 |
| MgO | 0.01 | FUS-ICP | 13.38 | 7.65 | 0.63 | 6.42 | 9.11 | 3.57 |
| CaO | 0.01 | FUS-ICP | 4.20 | 0.65 | 0.69 | 1.16 | 3.43 | 8.50 |
| Na₂O | 0.01 | FUS-ICP | 1.58 | 2.34 | 1.50 | 1.05 | 1.33 | 4.09 |
| K₂O | 0.01 | FUS-ICP | 0.55 | 0.24 | 5.72 | 3.94 | 2.55 | 0.55 |
| P₂O₅ | 0.01 | FUS-ICP | 0.14 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.11 | 0.14 |
| S(T) | 0.01 | CS | 1.34 | 7.00 | 13.30 | 2.73 | 12.70 | 0.01 |

Cu (ppm) 1 1 TD-ICP 1 11 | 237 | 128 | 141 | 2,250 | 73 |
Zn 1 1 TD-ICP 1 625 | 13 | 7,194 | 2,228 | 1,669 | 108 |
Ag 0.3 | TD-ICP | <0.3 | 3.1 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.3 |
As 0.5 | INAA | 8.8 | 56.3 | 226.0 | 24.5 | 28.4 | 1.75 |
Bi 0.1 | FUS-MS | <0.1 | <0.1 | <0.1 | <0.1 | <0.1 | 0.1 |
Cd 0.5 | TD-ICP | <0.5 | 1.4 | <0.5 | 2.1 | <0.5 | 0.5 |
Ga 1 | FUS-MS | 17 | 17 | 28 | 23 | 22 | 17 |
Ge 0.5 | FUS-MS | 1.7 | 0.9 | <0.5 | 1.0 | <0.5 | 1.3 |
Au (ppb) 2 | INAA | <2 | 42 | 94 | 82 | 51 | <2 |
Hg 5 | IG | 5 | 902 | 14 | 298 | 0.6 | 9 |
In (ppm) 0.1 | FUS-MS | <0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | <0.2 | 0.1 |
Sn 0.2 | FUS-MS | 11.5 | 10.4 | 3.4 | 1.3 | <3 | 0.5 |
Se 3 | INAA | <3 | <3 | <3 | <3 | <3 | <3 |
Sb 0.1 | INAA | 11.3 | 24.8 | 32.6 | 28.8 | 21.2 | 24.8 |
Sb 0.1 | INAA | 11.3 | 24.8 | 32.6 | 28.8 | 21.2 | 24.8 |
V 5 | FUS-ICP | 90 | 316 | 350 | 360 | 283 | 351 |
Ba 2 | FUS-ICP | 130 | 7,194 | 2,228 | 1,669 | 108 |
Pb 3 | TD-ICP | 67 | 522 | 40 | 30 | <3 | 3 |
Rb 1 | FUS-MS | 14 | 4 | 64 | 56 | 28 | 6 |
Sr 2 | FUS-ICP | 90 | 316 | 330 | 360 | 283 | 351 |
Zr 1 | FUS-ICP | 101 | 91 | 163 | 156 | 139 | 89 |
Hf 0.1 | FUS-MS | 2.4 | 2.1 | 3.6 | 3.9 | 3.3 | 2.0 |
Sn 0.2 | FUS-MS | 4.6 | 3.8 | 6.6 | 6.7 | 5.8 | 2.9 |
Ta 0.01 | FUS-MS | 0.22 | 0.18 | 0.43 | 0.36 | 0.22 | 0.18 |
Th 0.01 | FUS-MS | 0.34 | 0.67 | 1.25 | 1.33 | 1.03 | 0.68 |
U 0.01 | FUS-MS | 6.29 | 13.20 | 16.6 | 12.90 | 8.15 | 0.38 |
Be 1 | FUS-ICP | 2 | 2 | <1 | 1 | <1 | <1 |
W 0.5 | FUS-MS | 1.0 | 0.6 | 178.0 | 2.8 | 124.0 | 0.6 |
Y 0.5 | FUS-MS | 27.8 | 20.8 | 38.4 | 33.3 | 37.1 | 29.2 |
La 0.05 | FUS-MS | 6.16 | 4.98 | 75.50 | 14.90 | 15.20 | 6.89 |
Ce 0.05 | FUS-MS | 14.90 | 12.10 | 157.00 | 31.50 | 33.90 | 16.10 |
P 0.05 | FUS-MS | 2.19 | 1.76 | 18.80 | 4.37 | 4.45 | 2.42 |
Nd 0.05 | FUS-MS | 11.00 | 8.86 | 74.00 | 19.20 | 20.10 | 11.0 |
Sm 0.01 | FUS-MS | 3.04 | 2.89 | 13.60 | 4.89 | 5.23 | 3.32 |
Eu 0.005 | FUS-MS | 1.32 | 1.41 | 7.58 | 2.53 | 2.67 | 1.27 |
Gd 0.01 | FUS-MS | 3.90 | 3.25 | 9.24 | 5.58 | 5.90 | 4.15 |
Tb 0.01 | FUS-MS | 0.69 | 0.6 | 1.13 | 0.95 | 1.01 | 0.73 |
Dy 0.01 | FUS-MS | 4.71 | 3.91 | 7.48 | 6.12 | 6.61 | 4.80 |
Ho 0.01 | FUS-MS | 1.04 | 0.77 | 1.45 | 1.31 | 1.37 | 1.00 |
Er 0.01 | FUS-MS | 2.98 | 2.25 | 4.50 | 3.77 | 4.11 | 2.85 |
Tm 0.005 | FUS-MS | 0.408 | 0.333 | 0.656 | 0.545 | 0.608 | 0.427 |
Yb 0.01 | FUS-MS | 3.10 | 2.27 | 4.39 | 3.39 | 4.12 | 3.72 |
Lu 0.002 | FUS-MS | 0.485 | 0.365 | 0.726 | 0.521 | 0.655 | 0.596 |

Method abbreviations refer to laboratory methods used by ActLabs: IG = aqua regia Hg cold vapor flow injection technique, CS = total sulfur by IR, FUS = fusion, TD = total digestion, ICP = inductively coupled plasma, INAA = instrumental neutron activation analysis, MS = mass spectrometry

Mineral abbreviations: B-A = basaltic-andesite, Cham = chamosite, Corr = corrensite, I/S = illite/smectite, Mnt = montmorillonite, Non = nontronite, Pl = plagioclase, Py = pyrite

1In the original data, several analyses of Cu and Zn that were over limits were reanalyzed with a different method; averages shown here include both the TD-ICP and 4 acid ICP-OES data
the enrichment factor (EF). The reconstructed composition (RC) of the altered sample was calculated for each element by multiplying its concentration in the altered sample (normalized to 100% volatile free) by the EF. Finally, the mass change for each element in the sample was calculated by subtracting the precursor concentration of that element in the least altered sample from the RC. The largest mass changes are associated with moderate to intense alteration, generally increasing with depth (App. Figs. A26, A27). Mass changes for each assemblage are outlined below (Fig. 17; Table 5):

1. Montmorillonite/nontronite alteration is associated with Si and Na losses in smectite-rich samples, Ca loss in all samples, no gains in Al or Fe (either conserved or lost), and no change in K.

2. Nontronite + corrensite alteration is associated with Si, Ca, and Na losses, Mg gains, no losses of Al or Fe (either conserved or gained), and no change in K.

3. Illite/smectite + pyrite alteration is associated with losses in Si, Mg, Na, and Ca and gains in both Fe (due to pyrite) and K.

4. Illite/smectite + chamosite alteration is associated with Si and Na losses, Mg gains, variable gains and losses in Fe and Mg (Mg losses where illite/smectite is more abundant than chamosite; Fe losses where illite/smectite and chamosite are equally abundant).

5. Chamosite + corrensite alteration is associated with Si and Na losses, Mg gains, Fe gains when chamosite is more abundant than corrensite and Fe losses when corrensite is more abundant, K gains when minor illite/smectite is
Fig. 15. Ternary plots of whole-rock geochemistry distinguishing alteration types. (A) MgO-Na2O-K2O distinguishing chlorite + smectite from illite alteration. (B) Al2O3-MgO-Na2O+K2O distinguishing chlorite from illite alteration (modified from MacDonald et al., 1996). General trends associated with increasing depth are shown. Abbreviations: B-A = basaltic andesite, Mnt = montmorillonite; others as in Figure 11.
Fig. 16. Chondrite-normalized REE plots of different alteration assemblages compared to least altered basalts/andesites (Anderson, 2018). (A) Least altered basalt/andesite samples. (B) Nontronite/montmorillonite-altered samples with REE depletion and flat profiles. (C) Nontronite + corrensite-altered samples with REE depletion and flat profiles. (D) Illite/smectite + pyrite-altered samples with LREE enrichment in some samples and downward-sloping REE profiles. (E) Illite/smectite + chamosite-altered samples with moderate LREE enrichment in some samples and flat to downward-sloping profiles. (F) Chamosite + corrensite-altered samples with slight LREE enrichment in most samples and slightly downward-sloping profiles. All alteration types are associated with pronounced positive Eu anomalies. Chondrite data from Boynton (1984).
Table 5. Mass Changes Associated with Alteration Mineral Assemblages in Altered Volcaniclastic Rocks Relative to at Least Altered Basaltic Andesite Precursor

| Alteration Sample | SiO₂ | TiO₂ | Al₂O₃ | Fe₂O₃ | MnO | MgO | CaO | Na₂O | K₂O | Comment |
|-------------------|------|------|-------|-------|-----|-----|-----|------|------|----------|
| Mnt/Non + Pl      |      |      |       |       |     |     |     |      |      |          |
| MA13-086-C        | L    | 0    | 0     | 0     | 0   | G   | L   | L    | 0    | Non-rich |
| MA13-025-C        | G    | 0    | 0     | 0     | 0   | L   | L   | L    | 0    | Pl-rich  |
| MA13-026-C        | L    | 0    | L     | L     | 0   | L   | L   | L    | 0    | Non = Pl |
| MA13-072-C        | G    | 0    | L     | L     | 0   | L   | L   | L    | 0    | Non-rich |
| Non + Corr        |      |      |       |       |     |     |     |      |      |          |
| MA13-073-C        | L    | 0    | G     | G     | 0   | G   | L   | L    | 0    | Corr > Non |
| MA13-074-C        | L    | 0    | G     | G     | 0   | G   | L   | L    | 0    | Corr > Non |
| MA13-075-C        | L    | 0    | 0     | 0     | 0   | G   | L   | L    | 0    | Corr > Non |
| I/S + Py          |      |      |       |       |     |     |     |      |      |          |
| MA13-087-C        | L    | 0    | L     | L     | 0   | L   | L   | L    | G    | Minor Corr |
| MA13-034-C        | L    | 0    | 0     | G     | 0   | L   | G   | L    | G    | Rect      |
| MA13-019-CM       | L    | 0    | G     | G     | 0   | G   | L   | L    | G    |           |
| MA13-020-CM       | L    | 0    | G     | G     | 0   | L   | L   | G    | I/S, Mnt, Rect |
| MA13-044-C        | L    | 0    | L     | G     | 0   | L   | L   | L    | G    | I/S       |
| MA13-045-C        | L    | 0    | G     | G     | 0   | L   | L   | G    | I/S, Mnt, Pf |
| MA13-005-M        | L    | 0    | L     | G     | 0   | L   | L   | L    | G    | Non, Rect |
| MA13-006-C        | L    | 0    | L     | G     | 0   | L   | L   | G    | Rect, I/S |
| MA13-014-C        | L    | 0    | G     | G     | 0   | L   | L   | G    | Mont, I/S |
| MA13-015-C        | L    | 0    | G     | G     | 0   | L   | L   | G    | I/S, Mont, Rect |
| MA13-088-C        | L    | 0    | L     | L     | 0   | L   | L   | G    | I/S = Cham |
| MA13-090-C        | L    | 0    | L     | G     | 0   | L   | L   | L    | G    | Cham > I/S |
| MA13-091-CM       | G    | 0    | G     | G     | 0   | L   | L   | L    | G    | Cham > I/S |
| MA13-050-C        | G    | 0    | G     | G     | 0   | L   | L   | L    | G    | Cham > I/S |
| MA13-056-CM       | L    | 0    | G     | G     | 0   | L   | L   | G    | Cham > I/S |
| MA13-057-C        | L    | 0    | G     | G     | 0   | L   | L   | G    | Cham > I/S |
| MA13-058-C        | L    | 0    | G     | G     | 0   | L   | L   | G    | Cham > I/S |
| MA13-035-C        | L    | 0    | L     | G     | 0   | L   | L   | G    | Rect = Cham |
| MA13-039-C        | L    | 0    | L     | G     | 0   | G   | L   | G    | Cham > I/S |
| MA13-067-C        | L    | 0    | G     | G     | 0   | L   | L   | G    | I/S > Cham |
| MA13-068-C        | L    | 0    | L     | G     | 0   | G   | L   | G    | I/S = Cham |
| MA13-069-C        | L    | 0    | L     | L     | 0   | G   | L   | G    | I/S = Cham |
| MA13-016-C        | L    | 0    | G     | G     | 0   | L   | L   | G    | I/S > Cham |
| Cham + Corr        |      |      |       |       |     |     |     |      |      |          |
| MA13-051-CM       | L    | 0    | L     | G     | 0   | G   | L   | L    | G    | Minor I/S |
| MA13-052-C        | L    | 0    | G     | G     | 0   | G   | L   | G    | Minor I/S |
| MA13-053-C        | L    | 0    | G     | G     | 0   | G   | L   | G    | Minor I/S |
| MA13-036-C        | L    | 0    | L     | G     | 0   | G   | L   | G    | Minor I/S(?) |
| MA13-040-C        | L    | 0    | L     | G     | 0   | G   | L   | L    | 0    | Cham = Corr |
| MA13-062-C        | L    | 0    | L     | G     | 0   | G   | L   | L    | 0    | Corr = Cham |
| MA13-063-C        | L    | 0    | L     | L     | 0   | G   | L   | L    | 0    | Corr > Cham |
| MA13-064-C        | L    | 0    | G     | G     | 0   | G   | G   | L    | 0    | Cham > Corr |
| MA13-027-CM       | L    | 0    | G     | L     | 0   | 0   | L   | L    | 0    | Cham > Corr |

Notes: G = gain, L = loss, 0 = no change
Mineral abbreviations: Cham = chamosite, Corr = corrensite, I/S = illite/smectite, Mnt = montmorillonite, Non = nontronite, Pl = plagioclase, Py = pyrite, Rect = rectorite

Fig. 17. Average mass changes for each alteration mineral assemblage. Abbreviation: Mnt = montmorillonite; others as in Figure 11.
present, and no changes in K where no illite/smectite is present.

Mass dilution effects caused by anhydrite and pyrite precipitation were partially mitigated through handpicking of individual altered clasts, avoiding veins and cement/infill. Despite this procedure, not all of these materials could be omitted, thus contributing CaO, Fe₂O₃, and S and accounting for some apparent loss of SiO₂. The main difference between the low-temperature alteration stage (dominated by illite/smectite + pyrite) and the higher-temperature alteration stage (dominated by chamosite + corrensite) is the mass change of MgO (higher in chamosite) and K₂O (higher in illite/smectite), typical of VMS-style alteration in permeable volcaniclastic rocks (e.g., Shikazono et al., 1995; Franklin et al., 2005).

Conditions of Alteration and Mineralization
The high permeability of the volcanic substrate at Tinakula has had a large impact on the composition, size, and morphology of the seafloor mineralization and subseafloor alteration. The conditions of alteration and mineralization have been constrained in this study by fluid inclusion microthermometry, stable isotope data, and dating of the hydrothermal barite.

Fluid inclusion microthermometry
Fluid inclusions were investigated in five samples of hydrothermally altered volcaniclastic rocks recovered in drill hole TKAD001 between 14.8 and 19.3 m b.s.f. Details of the petrography and microthermometry are provided in Appendix Table A4. Fluid inclusions occur in three distinct paragenetic stages: early anhydrite in veins and rimming altered volcanic clasts, sphalerite at the margins of the early anhydrite veins in the deepest samples (MA13-090 and P005), and later infills of anhydrite and barite.

Primary and secondary inclusions in large (up to 1.4 mm), tabular anhydrite crystals are generally 10 to 20 μm, reaching maximum diameters of 60 μm (Fig. 18A). All inclusions...
are liquid dominated with 1 to 10 vol % vapor (Fig. 18B). Large crystals of barite (up to 1 mm), after anhydrite, contain mostly secondary inclusions, between 4 and 40 μm in size and with a maximum of 10 vol % vapor (Fig. 18C). The inclusions in sphalerite (after anhydrite) include both primary liquid-vapor inclusions up to 50 μm in diameter with 5 to 30 vol % vapor (Fig. 18E) and groups of smaller secondary inclusions (<10 μm) distributed along healed cracks (Fig. 18F). Two inclusions in sphalerite contained a dark solid phase (3–5 vol %) with a tabular habit, likely a sulfide mineral.

Estimated trapping temperatures of primary fluid inclusions in anhydrite reach 295°C with salinities close to that of seawater (2.8–3.8 wt % NaCl equiv). Inclusions in barite have slightly lower trapping temperatures (median 218°C) with a bimodal distribution from 202°C to 220°C and 256°C to 266°C (Fig. 19A) and salinities from 2.8 to 3.4 wt % NaCl equiv (Fig. 19B). However, it is known that barite can stretch during heating (e.g., Ulrich and Bodnar, 1988), so these homogenization temperatures may be biased toward higher values. Trapping temperatures of primary fluid inclusions in sphalerite are up to 298°C (Fig. 19C) with salinities of 2.6 to 4.5 wt % NaCl equiv (Fig. 19D).

The highest inclusion trapping temperatures are only ~10°C to 20°C lower than the minimum temperature of boiling for Tinakula fluids (308°C–311°C at 1,070–1,204 m water depth; Fig. 20), and the highest salinities clearly exceed that of seawater. Although coexisting vapor-rich and brine fluid inclusions associated with boiling were not encountered in the investigated minerals, elevated salinities in several inclusions likely reflect mixing between a brine (derived from boiling) and seawater. This strongly suggests that the highest-temperature fluids have boiled at depth and cooled by mixing on ascent to the seafloor. Cooling of deep fluids from a higher temperature at depth (e.g., 350°C for typical end-member hydrothermal fluids) would have resulted in the deposition of most of the Cu-rich sulfides below the seafloor, which could account for the predominance of Zn-rich sulfide in the chimneys (e.g., Hannington et al., 2005).

**Oxygen and hydrogen isotopes in clay minerals**

Clean separates of monomineralic nontronite (n = 2), chamossite (n = 9), corrensite (n = 2), and rectorite (n = 1) were analyzed for oxygen and hydrogen isotopes, spanning sample depths of 2.8 to 22.5 m b.s.f. (Table 6, Fig. 19E, F). Values of δ18O (‰ V-SMOW) range from 12.3 to 12.5‰ for nontronite, 2.2 to 8.3‰ for chamossite, 9.6 to 11.1‰ for corrensite, and 5.9‰ for one sample of rectorite. The large range and high δ18O values reflect substantial shifts relative to those of hydrothermally modified seawater (e.g., Huston, 1999). Temperatures were calculated following Savin and Lee (1988) assuming δ18O of the hydrothermal fluid is close to that of typical mid-ocean ridge hydrothermal vent fluids (δ18O = 1.5‰; Shanks et al., 1995; Jean-Baptiste et al., 1997). The calculated temperatures range from 124°C to 251°C, with an error of ±10% (Fig. 19F), showing a systematic increase in δ18O of the hydrothermal fluid in equilibrium with the analyzed phase (3–5 vol %) with a tabular habit, likely a sulfide mineral. The δ18O value of the hydrothermal fluid in equilibrium with the analyzed

---

**Table 6. Oxygen and Hydrogen Isotope Measurements and Calculated Temperatures of Formation of Clay Minerals from Footwall of Tinakula Deposit**

| Site     | Drill hole | Sample  | δ18O (‰ V-SMOW) | δD (‰ V-SMOW) | Temperature (°C) | ΔD (Fractionation factor) | Δδ18O (‰) |
|----------|------------|---------|-----------------|----------------|------------------|------------------------|-----------|
| A        | TKAD001    | MA13_087| 86              | 12.5           | 121              | 2.55                   | 4.05      |
|          |            |         | 53              | 136            | 6.9              | 2.55                   | 136       |
|          | TKAD027    | MA13_057| 86              | 12.6            | 136              | 2.55                   | 136       |
|          |            |         | 53              | 136            | 6.9              | 2.55                   | 136       |
|          | TKAD005    | MA13_059| 86              | 12.6            | 136              | 2.55                   | 136       |
|          |            |         | 53              | 136            | 6.9              | 2.55                   | 136       |
|          | TKAD007    | MA13_061| 86              | 12.6            | 136              | 2.55                   | 136       |
|          |            |         | 53              | 136            | 6.9              | 2.55                   | 136       |
|          | TKAD026    | MA13_067| 86              | 12.6            | 136              | 2.55                   | 136       |
|          |            |         | 53              | 136            | 6.9              | 2.55                   | 136       |
|          | TKAD007    | MA13_075| 86              | 12.6            | 136              | 2.55                   | 136       |
|          |            |         | 53              | 136            | 6.9              | 2.55                   | 136       |

---

**Fractionation Factors**

| Sample | ΔD (Fractionation factor) |
|--------|--------------------------|
| A      | 2.55                     |
| B      | 2.55                     |
| C      | 2.55                     |
| D      | 2.55                     |
| E      | 2.55                     |

---

**Notes:** Fractionation factors after (1) Sheppard and Gilg (1996), (2) Savin and Lee (1988), and (3) Buatier et al. (1995); initial δ18Owater and δDwater, calculated for equilibration temperature of 240°C, hydrogen fractionation factor for chlorite-water (αH2O= 0.954) after Marumo et al. (1980).
clay minerals could have been closer to 5.0‰, if we assume a temperature of formation of 240°C (Table 6), following Sheppard and Gilg (1996). The large range of calculated $\delta^{18}O_{H_2O}$ values at the inferred temperatures of alteration is consistent with boiling of the hydrothermal fluids (e.g., Hustron, 1999; see Fig. 21).

Values of $\delta^{18}O_{VSMOW}$ range from –92 to –86‰ for nontronite, –65 to –52‰ for chamosite, –85 to –80‰ for corrensite,
and −69‰ in the single sample of rectorite. There is a general increase in \( \Delta D_{\text{VS-MOW}} \) with depth, consistent with the downhole increase in calculated temperature. Importantly, no accepted hydrogen isotope fractionation factors exist for 2:1 phyllosilicate minerals in order to calculate initial \( \Delta D_{\text{water}} \) values. Gilg and Sheppard (1996) proposed approximating the fractionation factors of Al-rich and Fe- and Mg-free smectites using kaolinite factors; however, the smectites in this study are Fe-rich nontronite. Initial \( \Delta D_{\text{water}} \) values in equilibrium with chlorite, using a chlorite-water fractionation factor of \( \alpha = 0.954 \) from Marumo et al. (1980), are −18.7 to −9.8‰ (Table 6). However, hydrogen isotope fractionation in chlorite is strongly dependent on mineral chemistry (e.g., Marumo et al., 1980; Savin and Lee, 1988), thus adding uncertainty to the initial \( \Delta D_{\text{water}} \) values.

High \( \delta^{18}O_{\text{water}} \) values have been reported for Kuroko-type hydrothermal fluids (up to 10.8‰; Ohmoto, 1983, 1996). The shifts in \( \delta^{18}O_{\text{water}} \) to lower values at higher temperatures (e.g., minimum of 0.8‰ in equilibrium with rectorite during chalcopyrite deposition) are typical of the stringer zones of ancient VMS deposits (e.g., Cathles, 1993). The \( ^{18}O \)-rich fluids at Tinakula may be derived from isotopic exchange with \( ^{18}O \)-rich rocks, boiling, or direct contributions from magmatic fluids. At high temperatures, water-rock interactions can shift \( \delta^{18}O_{\text{water}} \) but not \( \Delta D_{\text{water}} \) (Huston, 1999). We interpret the combination of higher \( \delta^{18}O_{\text{water}} \) and lower \( \Delta D_{\text{water}} \) at Tinakula as a result of subseafloor boiling, which is likely because of the shallow water depths there and the fluid inclusion trapping temperatures, which are close to the boiling curve (Fig. 22). The lack of low-pH mineral assemblages and the seawater-dominated S isotope signature (see below) suggest that there was no magmatic contribution to the hydrothermal fluids or only a limited one.

**Sulfur isotopes**

The \( \delta^{34}S \) values of chalcopyrite range from −0.8 to 2.0‰ and are, on average, slightly lower in the Cu-rich chimneys than in the Zn-rich chimneys (Fig. 23A). Pyrite has \( \delta^{34}S \) values of −0.4 to 3.1‰ (Fig. 23B), and sphalerite has \( \delta^{34}S \) of 0.3 to 3.4‰ (Fig. 23C), both minerals having higher values in Zn-rich chimneys. Fe-poor (light-colored) sphalerite has slightly higher \( \delta^{34}S \) values than Fe-rich (dark-colored) sphalerite from the same sample. However, there is no systematic spatial variation in \( \delta^{34}S \) of the sulfides along the main NS-trending fissure at Tinakula, suggesting that the sulfur source was uniform. Barite from chimney samples yielded \( \delta^{34}S \) of 19.3 to 22.5‰ (Fig. 23D). Anhydrite from the altered volcanioclastic rocks has \( \delta^{34}S \) of 20.6 to 22.2‰, whereas gypsum has \( \delta^{34}S \) of 21.3 to 21.8‰ (Fig. 23D), all close to that of seawater sulfate (\( \delta^{34}S = 21.24\%e \); Tostevin et al., 2014), with a slight decrease with depth (App. Table A5).

The isotopic composition of the sulfate minerals records predominantly seawater sulfate in pore spaces of the volcanioclastic rocks. Sulfide values are generally close to mid-ocean ridge basalt (MORB) values but lower than those of typical arc lavas (e.g., Alt et al., 1993; Labidi et al., 2012, 2014). This pattern may result from degassing of magmatic volatiles, which can produce \( H_2 S \) with low \( \delta^{34} S \) values from the disproportionation of \( \text{SO}_2 \) (Gamo et al., 1997; Kusakabe et al., 2000). However, there is no evidence at Tinakula of significant volatile contributions that elsewhere produce advanced argillic alteration and very light sulfide sulfur with negative \( \delta^{34}S \) values and no evidence of bacterial sulfate reduction that would also produce negative \( \delta^{34}S \) values (cf. Hannington et al., 2005).

**Timing of alteration and mineralization**

Results of \( ^{226}\text{Ra}/\text{Ba} \) dating of hydrothermal barite are shown in Table 7 and Figure 24. The calculated ages indicate that hydrothermal venting has been ongoing for at least 5,600 ± 240 years. Approximately 60% of the data yield ages between 792 and 2,369 years. Although there is no obvious spatial variation in the ages (App. Fig. A28), the two oldest samples (4,000 yr, MA13-011 and MA13-030) were collected from drill core, whereas younger samples were collected primarily from chimneys. Drilling at site D intersected two discrete oxidized intervals that are interpreted as paleoseafloor horizons. The upper paleoseafloor horizon displays lateral continuity over ~20 m east-west and ~25 m north-south, but the lower horizon was not recovered in drill hole TKAD030 (App
Fig. A15); instead, an intact, buried sphalerite-rich chimney was cored. This shows that different parts of the mound were actively venting at different times. The ages of the talus samples confirm that the paleoseafloor horizon on which the talus was deposited is at least 1,000 years old and gives a minimum age for the volcaniclastic cover sequence, which is variably altered and mineralized throughout the Tinakula deposit.

Because of the low solubility (Blount, 1977) and high preservation potential of barite, even the oldest barite chimneys are well preserved. One fully intact (inactive) chimney has a calculated age of 3,450 ± 229 years (Table 7). Currently, the system appears to be in a waning phase of hydrothermal activity, with widespread late-stage precipitation of low-temperature amorphous silica and Fe and Mn oxyhydroxides.

Discussion and Conclusions

Drilling of the Tinakula deposits has revealed widespread hydrothermal alteration within ~10 m of the seafloor in the highly permeable volcaniclastic substrate. The alteration assemblages reported here are consistent with a near-neutral or slightly acidic pH (cf. Reyes, 1990; Corbett and Leach, 1998). Salinities of primary fluid inclusions (2.8–4.5 wt %
Fig. 23. Variations in δ^{34}S (V-CDT) values in minerals from chimneys at the Tinakula deposit: (A) chalcopyrite, (B) pyrite, (C) sphalerite (dark and light), and (D) anhydrite, gypsum, and barite. Rock types are shown on left. Composition of MORB sulfur (MS: δ^{34}S = −0.9 to −0.6‰; Labidi et al., 2012, 2014), island-arc andesite sulfur (ARC: δ^{34}S = 6.00‰; Alt et al., 1993), and seawater sulfate (SS: δ^{34}S = 21.24‰; Tostevin et al., 2014) are shown by dashed lines. Complete S isotope data are in Appendix Table A5. Abbreviations: Brt = barite, Ccp = chalcopyrite, Sp = sphalerite.
### Table 7. Results of $^{226}$Ra Dating of Hydrothermal Barite from the Tinakula Deposit

| Site | Sample | Comment | Latitude (deg) | Longitude (deg) | Seafloor depth (m b.s.l.) | Drill hole depth (m b.s.l.) | Ba (wt %) | $^{226}$Ra Activity (Bq/kg) | $^{226}$Ra/Ba (Bq/kg x wt %) | Age (years) |
|------|--------|---------|----------------|----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|
| ROV grab samples | | | | | | | | | | |
| A | GMA13-040 | Inactive chimney | –12.193992 | 167.614811 | 1,123.4 | - | 9.15 | 2,828 ± 162 | 309 | 2,760 ± 233 |
| B | GMA13-019A | Tals | –12.195040 | 167.615151 | 1,100.6 | - | 7.34 | 3,102 ± 157 | 423 | 2,038 ± 224 |
| B | GMA13-021 | Inactive chimney | –12.195794 | 167.615009 | 1,087.5 | - | 0.04 | 24 ± 4 | 666 | 988 ± 390 |
| B | GMA13-022 | Tals | –12.195428 | 167.615430 | 1,090.3 | - | 14.10 | 5,162 ± 252 | 366 | 2,369 ± 222 |
| B | GMA13-045 | Tals | –12.195059 | 167.615924 | 1,089.7 | - | 13.50 | 5,153 ± 247 | 392 | 2,273 ± 221 |
| C | GMA13-032 | Inactive chimney | –12.197044 | 167.616683 | 1,074.3 | - | 0.46 | 24 ± 4 | 666 | 2,038 ± 224 |
| C | GMA13-061 | Tals | –12.196961 | 167.616188 | 1,081.6 | - | 8.48 | 3,319 ± 170 | 391 | 2,101 ± 225 |
| D | GMA13-010 | Active chimney | –12.197393 | 167.616495 | 1,074.5 | - | 2.72 | 2,593 ± 209 | 953 | 160 ± 267 |
| D | GMA13-024 | Active chimney | –12.197836 | 167.616620 | 1,094.1 | - | 3.65 | 3,729 ± 162 | 1,022 | 0 |
| D | GMA13-029 | Inactive | –12.198487 | 167.616818 | 1,109.7 | - | 16.90 | 9,187 ± 407 | 544 | 1,437 ± 217 |
| D | GMA13-031 | Tals | –12.196567 | 167.616119 | 1,103.3 | - | 9.04 | 5,322 ± 248 | 559 | 1,273 ± 220 |
| D | GMA13-034 | Active chimney | –12.198043 | 167.616941 | 1,108.3 | - | 1.85 | 1,333 ± 71 | 720 | 807 ± 227 |
| D | GMA13-062 | Tals | –12.197878 | 167.616395 | 1,099.7 | - | 6.36 | 1,728 ± 100 | 272 | 3,057 ± 234 |
| E | GMA13-002 | Inactive chimney | –12.198648 | 167.616513 | 1,109.4 | - | 14.50 | 3,323 ± 181 | 229 | 3,450 ± 229 |
| Drill core samples | | | | | | | | | | |
| B | MA13-028 | Drill hole TKAD013 | –12.196126 | 167.615324 | 1,090.8 | 0.6–0.7 | 0.07 | - | - | - |
| B | MA13-029 | Drill hole TKAD013 | –12.196126 | 167.615324 | 1,090.8 | 2.2–2.3 | 4.07 | 2,905 ± 149 | 725 | 792 ± 224 |
| B | MA13-030 | Drill hole TKAD013 | –12.196126 | 167.615324 | 1,090.8 | 3.6–3.7 | 9.42 | 1,337 ± 87 | 142 | 4,556 ± 244 |
| B | MA13-031 | Drill hole TKAD013 | –12.196126 | 167.615324 | 1,090.8 | 4.9–5.0 | 0.64 | 420 ± 36 | 659 | 1,014 ± 274 |
| B | MA13-065 | Drill hole TKAD026 | –12.196357 | 167.615349 | 1,089.0 | 0.5–0.7 | 8.19 | 3,691 ± 187 | 451 | 1,891 ± 224 |
| D | MA13-011 | Drill hole TKAD018 | –12.196291 | 167.616920 | 1,110.2 | 1.1–1.2 | 12.80 | 1,136 ± 73 | 90 | 5,597 ± 240 |
| D | P0043 | Drill hole TKAD031 | –12.198549 | 167.616643 | 1,110.3 | 2.9–3.0 | 1.21 | 963 ± 56 | 795 | 578 ± 234 |
| E | P0010 | Drill hole TKAD003 | –12.201212 | 167.617034 | 1,113.8 | 1.0–1.1 | 0.97 | 583 ± 40 | 599 | 1,234 ± 248 |
| E | P0011 | Drill hole TKAD003 | –12.201212 | 167.617034 | 1,113.8 | 5.8–5.9 | 0.78 | 699 ± 47 | 896 | 304 ± 247 |

Bold values indicate the initial $^{226}$Ra/Ba ratio of the zero-age chimney; - = not applicable/not determined; sample MA13-028 contained insufficient Ba for analyses.
NaCl equiv) and isotopic data on the sulfide, sulfate, and silicate minerals indicate seawater-dominated fluids, possibly with a component of condensed vapor-phase liquid, and abundant fluid mixing between hydrothermal fluids and ambient seawater. The calculated temperatures of clay formation range from 124° to 256°C, lower than the calculated trapping temperatures of primary fluid inclusions in anhydrite and sphalerite, suggesting that the late sulfate-sulfide veins were likely transporting hotter fluids. The highest-temperature fluids likely boiled at a depth just below the deepest drill holes. Several lines of evidence indicate increasing temperature with depth: (1) the transition from barite near the surface to anhydrite at depth, (2) the progressive transition from smectite to mixed-layer illite/smectite (with increasing proportions of illite) to corrensite to chamosite (e.g., Fig. 11A, B), and (3) decreasing δ18O and increasing δD values of monomineralic clays. Calculated temperatures of formation increase by ~130°C from nontronite → chamosite → corrensite → recto-rite. Downhole temperature gradients are as high as 80°C in just over 1 m (e.g., TKAD026 at site B). However, several drill holes show reverse temperature gradients. In particular, data for TKAD026 suggests decreasing temperatures from 256°C at 2.9 m b.s.f. to 161°C at 5.6 m b.s.f., reflecting the control of variable subsurface permeability on lateral fluid flow and variable subsurface mixing with entrained seawater.

The alteration mineralogy at Tinakula is similar to proximal footwall alteration associated with Kuroko-type VMS deposits (e.g., Shirozu, 1974; Date et al., 1983; Shikazono et al., 1995). In these deposits, the shallow subsediment strata surrounding the fossil upflow zones is dominated by low-temperature montmorillonite/nontronite, with higher-temperature illite/smectite + pyrite present in the immediate footwall of the massive sulfide and illite/smectite + chamosite and chamosite + corrensite at depth, similar to sites A, C, and D at Tinakula. Sites A and D are interpreted to be at the center of the highest-temperature upflow, where there is active venting, chalcopyrite-rich chimneys, and extensive formation of illite. The alteration mineralogy at sites B, C, E, and F reflects relatively lower temperatures, consistent with the dominant sphalerite- and barite-rich chimneys.

The alteration assemblages are all associated with Si loss (Fig. 17; Table 5), which may be partially related to dilution associated with anhydrite precipitation at depth, with net mass changes of as much as 49%. Similar loss of Si has been documented in association with phyllosilicate alteration at the PACMANUS hydrothermal system in the Manus back-arc basin (Giorgetti et al., 2006; Paulick and Bach, 2006; Monecke et al., 2007). In contrast, at Tinakula Si gains occur at the tops of drill holes TKAD015, TKAD032, and TKAD033 (App. Figs. A26, A27), representing local silicification near the seafloor in the form of opaline silica filling void spaces. Large gains in Ca near the bottom of drill holes TKAD015, TKAD012, and TKAD018 likely record the deposition of anhydrite at the higher subseafloor temperatures at depth, which could reflect incomplete removal of the anhydrite-rich matrix material during clast separations. Large mass gains in K2O (and LREEs) in the illite/smectite + pyrite assemblages are similar to the observed mass changes in the feeder zones of VMS deposits hosted by felsic volcaniclastic rocks (e.g., Mattabi-type alteration; Morton and Franklin, 1987). Alteration in the immediate upflow zone at Tinakula might also be enhanced by the pyrite precipitation, which generates acid. In the surrounding permeable rocks, drawdown of seawater has added MgO. Intuitively, this process should be associated with cooling, but the higher temperatures of chloride formation at depth in the Tinakula drill holes, based on δ18O data (Fig. 22), may also have increased the drawdown, resulting in the observed Mg addition.
In permeable volcaniclastic rocks, an important question is how the permeability becomes sealed enough to allow focusing of the hydrothermal fluids to the seafloor. Here, we propose that the pervasive alteration of the volcaniclastic units close to the seafloor coincided with a progressive downward increase in subseaflow temperatures, from initial low temperatures during precipitation of nontronite (136°–138°C), increasing to chamosite (124°–205°C), corrensite (207°–227°C), and eventually rectorite (256°C). At 150°C, the temperatures were high enough to form anhydrite at depths of only a few meters below the seafloor, sealing off some permeability and focusing the fluids into channelized pathways to the seafloor. Continued hydrothermal circulation resulted in overpressuring and fracturing of this relatively impermeable layer, precipitating late sulfate veins with minor sulfide minerals (Fig. 3E), including sphalerite, at temperatures up to 298°C. A similar model has been proposed for the anhydrite-cemented breccias in the Paemunus deposit in the Manus basin (Binnis and Scott, 1993; Binnis et al., 2007) and the Suiyo seamount and Iheya North Knoll field in the Izu-Bonin-Mariana arc (Ishibashi et al., 2013, 2015). This process has been investigated using numerical modeling (Kawada and Yoshida, 2010); in ancient deposits, anhydrite is rarely preserved because of its retrograde solubility; the only evidence remaining of an anhydrite seal would be the presence of alteration minerals formed at temperatures that would have caused anhydrite deposition. Chlorite-rich alteration pipes in otherwise permeable volcaniclastic sequences may have been shielded from infiltrating seawater by anhydrite that is no longer present in the rocks. Although rarely preserved in ancient VMS deposits, anhydrite and gypsum are locally abundant in the Kuroko ores (e.g., Shikazono et al., 1983) and may have played an important role in the focusing of hydrothermal upflow in those deposits, as at Tinakula.

Barite and silica, which form close to the seafloor at much lower temperatures than anhydrite, may also have been important in forming an initial cap on the permeable units, promoting lateral flow of fluids and allowing subseaflow temperatures to reach the point of anhydrite precipitation (>150°C; Bischoff and Seyfried, 1978). Early cooling of the fluids and mixing near the seafloor was likely, given the highly permeable volcaniclastic cover. The style of hydrothermal upflow also plays an important role in the nature of the seafloor mineralization. Jamieson et al. (2016) showed a high proportion of seawater mixing in chimneys with predominant dendritic barite; similar diffuse venting is likely responsible for the formation of porous beehive-like structures and unusual bulbous chimneys observed at Tinakula (cf. Koski et al., 1994). This style of venting, which has been inferred for the origin of layered barite-sulfide mounds in the East Diamante caldera (Hein et al., 2014) and at Clark seamount (de Ronde et al., 2014), may be common where seafloor venting occurs through permeable volcaniclastic substrates. Diffuse discharge over a large area is also consistent with the bottom-hugging plume signal originally detected at Tinakula (McConnelly et al., 2002).

The Tinakula SMS deposit is the first documented example of a modern SMS deposit developed mainly in bimodal-mafic volcaniclastic rocks. The particular mineralization and alteration styles recognized here are a direct result of the volcanic setting, which is dominated by a permeable substrate rather than the coherent lava flows typical of mid-ocean ridges. Morphologies of the volcanic cones at Tinakula and the volcaniclastic facies successions are consistent with extensive Strombolian-type eruptions, forming a row of cinder cones along a NS-trending fissure. The eruptions likely occurred over days to months (cf. Sigurdsson, 2000), while geothermal activity at Tinakula may have lasted thousands of years. The massive sulfide mineralization may not be closely related temporally to formation of the cinder cones, but instead the hydrothermal systems most likely exploited the same structural corridor. Lateral fluid flow and semiconformable alteration at Tinakula are similar to those described in a class of ancient VMS deposits hosted by volcaniclastic rocks (e.g., Franklin et al., 2003; Galley et al., 2007), such as the Sturgeon Lake VMS district (e.g., Morton and Franklin, 1987; Morton et al., 1991; Hudak et al., 2004; Mueller et al., 2004; Holk et al., 2008). In ancient VMS deposits of this type, semiconformable alteration is commonly observed at depths of several hundred meters below the paleoseafloor, associated with subseaflow deposition of massive sulfide (e.g., Allen et al. 2002; Doyle and Allen, 2003). What is unusual at Tinakula is that hydrothermal fluids affected such a large area so close to the seafloor: ~150,000 m² at the seafloor and possibly three times as large below the seafloor. The shallow alteration and anhydrite likely acted as an insulating layer for hydrothermal circulation and mineralization at depth, as seen in ancient VMS deposits.

Acknowledgments
The authors gratefully acknowledge Neptune Minerals, Inc., for providing samples, data, and other in-kind contributions that made this study possible. In particular, K. Allen, J. Carpenter, and R. Sharpe are thanked for assistance with sample and data collection. We would also like to thank the following people from GEOMAR: N. Augustin, A. Jegen, and S. Lange for assistance in preparing and analyzing clays, M. Thorner for help with EPMA, and J. Heineze for assistance with XRD. Funding for this project was provided by a Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) Alexander Graham Bell Canada Graduate Scholarship (Doctoral) (CGS-D) award to M. Anderson and an NSERC Discovery Grant to M. Hunnington. This manuscript was greatly improved by reviews provided by J.F. Slack, R. Allen, G.J. Hudak, and S. Piercey. This is contribution MERC-ME-2019-169 to the modern-ancient crust project of the Canadian Metal Earth program.

REFERENCES
Allen, R.L., Weighed, P., and The Global VMS Research Project Team, 2002, Global comparisons of volcanic-associated massive sulfide deposits, in Blundell, D.J., Neubauer, F., and von Quadt, A., eds., The timing and location of major ore deposits in an evolving orogen: Geological Society of London, Special Publication 204, p. 13–38.
Alt, J.C., Shanks, W.C. III., and Jackson, M.C., 1993, Cycling of sulfur in subduction zones: The geochemistry of sulfur in the Mariana island arc and back-arc trough: Earth and Planetary Science Letters, v. 110, p. 477–494.
Anders, M. 2016. Fluid inclusions and mineralogy at the seafloor massive sulfide deposit, New Hebrides arc/backarc, Solomon Islands: Unpublished M.Sc. thesis. Kiel, Germany, Christian Albrechts University of Kiel, 96 p.
Anderson, M.O., 2018, Relationships between tectonics, volcanism, and hydrothermal venting in the New Hebrides and Mariana back-arc basins,
western Pacific: Unpublished Ph.D. thesis, Ottawa, Canada, University of Ottawa, 1030 p.

Anderson, M.O., Hannington, M.D., Haase, K.M., Schwarz-Schampera, U., Augustin, N., McConachy, T.F., and Allen, K., 2016, Tectonic focusing of volcanic-hosted massive sulfide deposits in magmatic backarc rifts: Earth and Planetary Science Letters, v. 440, p. 43–55.

Auzende, J.-M., Peletier, B., and Eissen, J.-P., 1995, The Northe Fiji basin: Geology, structure, and geodynamic evolution, in Taylor, B., ed., Backarc basins: Tectonics and magnatism: New York: Plenum Press, p. 139–175.

Barrett, T.J., and MacLean, W.H., 1999, Volcanic sequences, litho-geochemical and hydrothermal alteration in some bimodal volcanic-associated massive sulfide systems: Reviews in Economic Geology, v. 8, p. 101–131.

Barrie, C.T., and Hannington, M.D., 1999, Classification of volcanic-associated massive sulfide deposits based on host-rock composition: Reviews in Economic Geology, v. 8, p. 1–11.

Beanieu, S.E., and Szafranski, K., 2018, InterRidge Global database of active submarine hydrothermal vent fields: Prepared for InterRidge, Version 3.4, http://vents-data.interridge.org.

Bergerot, N., Bouin, M.N., Diamant, M., Peletier, B., Réguier, M., Calmant, S., and Ballu, V., 2009, Horizontal and vertical interseismic velocity fields in the Vanuatu subduction zone from GPS measurements: Evidence for a central Vanuatu locked zone: Journal of Geophysical Research, v. 114, p. 1–20.

Biagioli, C., Dini, A., Orlandi, P., Moelo, Y., Pasero, M., and Zaccarini, F., 2010, Lead-antimony sulfosalts from Tuscany (Italy). XX. Members of the jordanite-gonierite series from the Pollone mine, Valdicastello Carducci: Occurrence and crystal structures: Minerals, v. 6, p. 1–15.

Binns, R.A., and Scott, S.D., 1993, Actively forming polymineralic sulfide deposits associated with felsic volcanic rocks in the eastern Manus back-arc basin, Papua New Guinea: Economic Geology, v. 88, p. 2226–2236.

Binns, R.A., Barriga, F.J.A.S., and Miller, D.J., 2007, Leg 193 synthesis: Anatomy of an active felsic-hosted hydrothermal system, eastern Manus basin, Papua New Guinea, in Barriga, F.J.A.S., Binns, R.A., Miller, D.J., and Herzig, F.M., eds., Proceedings of the Ocean Drilling Program, scientific results, v. 193: College Station, Texas, Ocean Drilling Program, p. 1–17.

Bischoff, J.L., and Seyfried, W.E., Jr., 1978, Hydrothermal chemistry of seawater from 25°C to 350°C. American Journal of Science, v. 278, p. 838–860.

Blount, C.W., 1977, Barite solubilities and thermodynamic quantities up to 300°C and 1400 bars: American Mineralogist, v. 62, p. 942–957.

Bodnar, R., and Ivy-Kotyl, M., 1994, Interpretation of microthermometric data for H2O-NaCl fluid inclusions, in De Vivo, B., and Frezzotti, M., eds., Fluid inclusions in minerals: Methods and applications: Blacksburg, Virginia, Virginia Polytechnic and State University, p. 117–130.

Boltovoy, W.V., 1984, Cosmochemistry of the rare earth elements: Meteorite studies, in Henderson, P., ed., Rare earth element geochemistry: Amsterdam, Elsevier, p. 63–114.

Brown, P.E., 1989, FLINCOR: A computer program for the reduction of and investigation of fluid inclusion data: American Mineralogist, v. 74, p. 1390–1393.

Buatier, M.D., Früh-Green, G.L., and Karpoff, A.M., 1995, Mechanism of Mg-phyllosilicate formation in a hydrothermal system at a sedimented ridge (Middle Valley, Juan de Fuca): Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, v. 122, p. 134–151.

Canfield, D.E., Raiswell, R., Westrich, J.T., Reaves, C.M., and Berner, R.A., 1986, The use of chromium reduction in the analysis of reduced inorganic sulfur in sediments and shales: Chemical Geology, v. 54, p. 149–155.

Cashman, K.V., 2004, Volatile controls on magma ascent and eruption, in Sparks, R.S.J., and Hawkesworth, C.J., eds., The state of the planet: Frontiers and challenges in geophysics: Washington, D.C., American Geophysical Union, p. 109–124.

Cathles, L.M., 1993, Oxygen isotope alteration in the Noranda mining district, Quebec, in A synthesis of major deposit-types, district metallogeny, the evolution of geological provinces, and exploration methods: Mineral Deposits Division, Geological Association of Canada, Special Publication 5, p. 141–161.

Date, J., Watanabe, Y. and Saeki, Y., 1983, Zonal alteration around the Fukazawa Kuroko deposits, Akita Prefecture, northern Japan: Economic Geology Monograph 3, p. 365–386.

de Ronde, C.-E.J., Walker, S.L., Ditchburn, R.G., Caratori Tontini, F., Frese Reviews, v. 61, p. 54–56.

Ditchburn, R.G., and de Ronde, C.-E.J., 2004, Uranium series disequilibrium dating of black smoker chimneys: New Zealand Science Reviews, v. 61, p. 139–142.

Ditchburn, R.G., and de Ronde, C.-E.J., 2017, Evidence for remobilization of barite affecting radiometric dating using 226Ra, 226Th, and 228Ra/232Th values: Implications for the evolution of sea-floor volcanic massive sulfides: Economic Geology, v. 112, p. 1231–1245.

Dugmore, S.S., Herczeg, A.L., Schiff, S.L., and Bone, Y., 2001, Controls on δ18O and δD of dissolved SO4 in aquifers of the Murray basin, Australia, and their use as indicators of flow processes: Applied Geochemistry, v. 16, p. 475–488.

Doye, M.G., and Allen, R.L., 2003, Subsea-floor replacement in volcanic-hosted massive sulfide deposits: Ore Geology Reviews, v. 23, p. 183–222.

Franklin, J.M., Kasarda, J., and Poulsen, K.H., 1975, Petrology and chemistry of the alteration zone of the Mattabi massive sulfide deposit: Economic Geology, v. 70, p. 63–79.

Galley, A.G., 1983, Lydon, J.W., and Sangster, D.F., 1981, Volcanic-associated massive sulfide deposits: Economic Geology 75th Anniversary Volume, p. 455–627.

Franklin, J.M., Gibson, H.L., Jonasson, I.R., and Galley, A.G., 2005, Volcanogenic massive sulfide deposits: Economic Geology 100th Anniversary Volume, p. 523–560.

Galley, A.G., 1993, Characteristics of semi-conformable alteration zones associated with volcanicogenic massive sulphide districts: Journal of Geochemical Exploration, v. 48, p. 175–199.

Galley, A.G.,Watkinson, D.H., Jonasson, I.R., and Riverin, G., 1995, The subsea-floor formation of volcanic-hosted massive sulfide: evidence from the Anvil deposit, Rouyn-Noranda, Canada: Economic Geology, v. 90, p. 2006–2017.

Galley, A.G., Hannington, M.D., and Jonasson, I., 2007, Volcanogenic massive sulfide deposits, in Goodfellow, W.D., ed., Mineral deposits of Canada: A synthesis of major deposit-types, district metallogeny, the evolution of geological provinces, and exploration methods: Mineral Deposits Division, Geological Association of Canada, Special Publication 5, p. 533–552.

Gibson, H.L., Galley, A.G., 2007, Volcanogenic massive sulfide deposits of the Ansil deposit, Rouyn-Noranda, Canada: Economic Geology, v. 102, p. 175–199.

Gibson, H.L., Watkinson, D.H., and Conna, C.D.A., 1983, Silicification; hydrothermal alteration in an Archean geothermal system within the Annilue Rhyolite Formation, Noranda, Canada: Exploration, v. 48, p. 954–971.

Gibson, J.L., Watkinson, D.H., Labrie, M., and Doiron, G., 1983, Barite affecting radiometric dating using 228Ra, 228Th, and 226Ra/Ba values: Implications for the evolution of sea-floor volcanic massive sulfides: Economic Geology, v. 88, p. 1390–1393.

Giffords, C.G., and Allen, R.L., 2001, Textural and chemical characteristics of diagenetic and hydrothermal alteration in glassy volcanic rocks: Examples from the Mount Read Volcanics, Tasmania: Economic Geology, v. 96, p. 973–1002.

Gigl, H.A., and Sheppard, S.M.F., 1996, Hydrogen isotope fractionation between kaolinite and water revisited: Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, v. 60, p. 529–533.

Gill, J., Torsvander, P., Lapierre, H., Taylor, R., Kalio, K., Kovama, M., Kuusk, M., Atchinson, J., Cisowski, S., Dadey, K., Fujikawa, K., Klausa, A., Lovell, M., Marsaglia, K., Pezard, P., Taylor, B., and Tazaki, K., 1990, Explosive deep water basalt in the Sunnisa backarc rift: Science, v. 248, p. 1214–1217.

Goto, S., ed., Rare earth element geochemistry: Amsterdam, Elsevier, 1030 p.
MINERALIZATION AND ALTERATION OF THE TINAKULA SMS DEPOSIT

Giogetti, G., Monecke, T., Kleeberg, R., and Hannington, M.D., 2006, Low-temperature hydrothermal alteration of silicic glass at the Pacmanus hydrothermal vent field, Manus basin, an XRD, SEM, and AEM-TEM study: Clays and Clay Minerals, v. 54, p. 240–251.

Hannington, M.D., de Ronde, C.E.J., and Petersen, S., 2005, Sea-floor tec-tonics and submarine hydrothermal systems: Economic Geology 100th Anniversary Volume, p. 111–141.

Hannington, M.D., Jamieson, J., Monecke, T., and Petersen, S., 2010, Modern sea-floor massive sulfides and base metal resources: Toward an estimate of global sea-floor massive sulfide potential: Society of Economic Geologists Special Publication 15, v. 2, p. 317–338.

Hannington, M.D., Jamieson, J., Monecke, T., Petersen, S., and Beaudieu, S., 2011, The abundance of seafloor massive sulfide deposits: Geology, v. 39, p. 1155–1158.

Hein, J.R., de Ronde, C.E.J., Koski, R.A., Ditchburn, R.G., Mizell, K., Tamura, Y., Stern, R.J., Conrad, T.A., Ishizuka, O., and Leybourne, M.J., 2014, Layered hydrothermal barite-sulfide mound field, East Daintree caldera, Mariana volcanic arc: Economic Geology, v. 109, p. 2179–2206.

Holl, C.J., Taylor, B.E., and Galley, A.G., 2008, Oxygen isotope mapping of the Archean Sturgeon Lake caldera complex and VMS-related hydrothermal system, northwestern Ontario, Canada: Mineralium Deposita, v. 43, p. 623–640.

Hudak, G.J., Morton, R.L., Franklin, J.M., and Peterson, D.M., 2004, Morphology, distribution, and estimated eruption volumes for intracaldera tuffs associated with volcanic-hosted massive sulfide deposits in the Archean Sturgeon Lake complex, northwestern Ontario: American Geophysical Union, Geophysical Monograph 140, p. 345–360.

Huston, D.L., 1999, Stable isotopes and their significance for understanding the genesis of volcanic-hosted massive sulfide deposits: A review: Reviews in Economic Geology, v. 5, p. 157–179.

Inoue, A., Watanabe, T., Kolyama, N., and Bruzewitz, A.M., 1990, Characterization of illitization of smectite in bentonite beds at Kinnekulle, Sweden, and Central and South America: Clays and Clay Minerals, v. 38, p. 241–249.

Ishibashi, J.-I., Miyoshi, Y., Inoue, H., Yeats, C., Hollis, S.P., Corona, J.C., Bowden, S., Yang, S., Southam, G., Masaki, Y., Hartnert, H., and IODP Expedition 331 Scientists, 2013, Subseafloor structure of a submarine hydrothermal system within volcaniclastic sediments: A modern analogue for “Kuroko-type” VMS deposits [ext. abs.]: Society for Geology Applied to Mineral Deposits (SGA) Biennial Meeting, 12th, Uppsala, Sweden, 2013, Extended Abstracts, p. 542–544.

Ishibashi, J.-I., Riegami, F., Tanii, T., and Urabe, T., 2015, Hydrothermal activity in the Okinawa trough back-arc basin: Geological background and hydrothermal mineralization, in Ishibashi, J.-I., Okino, K., and Sunamura, M., eds., Subseafloor biosphere linked to hydrothermal systems: Tokyo, Springer, p. 337–359.

Jamieson, J.W., Hannington, M.D., Clague, D.A., Kelley, D.S., Delaney, J.R., Holland, T.A., Bowden, S., and Krevor, E.L., 2013, Sulfide geochemistry along the Endeavour segment of the Juan de Fuca Ridge: Geochemistry, Geosystems, Geophysics, v. 14, p. 2084–2099.

Jamieson, J.W., Hannington, M.D., Tivey, M.K., Hansteen, T., Williamson, N.M.-B., Stewart, M., Fietzke, J., Butterfield, D., Frische, M., Allen, L., Cousins, B., and Langer, J., 2016, Precipitation and growth of barite within hydrothermal vent deposits from the Endeavour segment, Juan de Fuca Ridge: Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, v. 173, p. 64–85.

Jean-Baptiste P., Charlo, J.L., and Stevenard, M., 1997, Oxygen isotope study of mid-ocean ridge hydrothermal fluids: Implication for the oxygen-18 budget of the oceans: Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, v. 61, p. 2669–2677.

Jinfeng, Y., Xing, L., Liang, L., Pan, J., and Meng, T., 2014, Application of short-wave infrared (SWIR) spectroscopy in quantitative estimation of clay mineral contents: IOP Conference Series, Earth and Environmental Science, v. 17, p. 6.

Johnson, D.P., Mallet, P.C., and Rice, R.C., 1992, The sealed morphology of the Hazel Holme fracture zone and the New Hebrides arc, northern Vanuatu-Solomon Islands region: South Pacific Applied Geoscience Commission, Technical Report 135, 25 p.

Kawada, Y., and Yoshida, S., 2010, Formation of a hydrothermal reservoir due to anhydrite precipitation in an arc volcanic hydrothermal system: Journal of Geophysical Research, v. 115, B11106.

Kerr, D.J., and Gibson, H.L., 1993, A comparison of the Horne volcanogenic massive sulfide deposit and intracaldera deposits of the Mine sequence, Noranda, Quebec: Economic Geology, v. 58, p. 1419–1442.

King, E.M., Valley, J.W., and Davis, D.W., 2000, Oxygen isotope evolution of volcanic rocks at the Sturgeon Lake volcanic complex, Ontario: Canadian Journal of Earth Science, v. 37, p. 39–50.

Kuroda, Y., Ishibashi, J.-I., Nakada, S., and Price, R.C., 1995, Tectonics, magmatism, and evolution of the New Hebrides back-arc troughs (southwest Pacific), in Taylor, B., ed., Backarc basins: Tectonics and magnatism: New York, Plenum, p. 177–235.

Marumo, K., Nagasawa, K., and Kuroda, Y., 1980, Mineralogy and hydrogen isotope geochemistry of clay minerals in the Ohnuma geothermal area, northeastern Japan: Earth and Planetary Science Letters, v. 47, p. 253–262.

Maclean, W.H., 1990, Mass change calculation in altered rock series: Mineralium Deposita, v. 25, p. 44–49.

Maclean, W.H., and Barrett, T.J., 1993, Lithogeochemical techniques using immobile elements: Journal of Geochemical Exploration, v. 48, p. 109–133.

Maitl, P., Quellet, E., Gérard, M., Person, A., Bellon, H., Cotton, J., Joron, J.-L., Nakada, S., and Price, R.C., 1995, Tectonics, magmatism, and evolution of the New Hebrides back-arc troughs (southwest Pacific), in Taylor, B., ed., Backarc basins: Tectonics and magnatism: New York, Plenum, p. 177–235.

Mining and alteration of the TINAKULA SMS Deposit

Monecke, T., Petersen, S., and Grant, H., 2016, The minor element endowment of modern sea-floor massive sulfides and base metal resources: Toward an estimate of global sea-floor massive sulfides and base metal resources: Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, v. 160, p. 23–41.

Monecke, T., Petersen, S., Hannington, M.D., Grant, H., and Samson, I., 2016, The minor element endowment of modern sea-floor massive sulfides and base metal resources: Toward an estimate of global sea-floor massive sulfides and base metal resources: Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, v. 160, p. 23–41.

Monecke, T., Petersen, S., Hannington, M.D., Grant, H., and Samson, I., 2016, The minor element endowment of modern sea-floor massive sulfides and base metal resources: Toward an estimate of global sea-floor massive sulfides and base metal resources: Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, v. 160, p. 23–41.

Monecke, T., Petersen, S., Hannington, M.D., Grant, H., and Samson, I., 2016, The minor element endowment of modern sea-floor massive sulfides and base metal resources: Toward an estimate of global sea-floor massive sulfides and base metal resources: Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, v. 160, p. 23–41.
Mueller, W., and White, J.D.L., 1992. Felsic fire-fountaining beneath Archean seas: Pyroclastic deposits of the 2730 Ma Hunter mine group, Quebec, Canada: Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, v. 54, p. 117–134.

Mueller, W., White, J.D.L., Stix, J., and Hudak, G.J., 2004. Archean calderas, in Erickson, P., Altermann, W., Nebon, D., Mueller, W., Catuneanu, O., and Strand, K., eds., The Precambrian Earth: Developments in Precambrian geology, v. 12: Elsevier, p. 345–356.

Ohmoto, H., 1983. Geochemical setting of the Kuroko deposits, Japan: Part I. Geologic history of the Green Tuff region: Economic Geology Monograph 5, p. 9–24.

— 1996. Formation of volcanicogenic massive sulfide deposits: The Kuroko perspective: Ore Geology Reviews, v. 10, p. 135–177.

Ono, Š., Wing, B.A., Johnston, D., Farquhar, J., and Rumble, D., 2006. Mass-dependent fractionation of quadruple sulfur isotope system as a new tracer of sulfur biogeochemical cycles: Geochemica et Cosmochimica Acta, v. 70, p. 223–252.

Paulick, H., and Bach, W., 2006. Phyllosilicate alteration mineral assemblages in the active subsea-floor Pacmanus hydrothermal system, Papua New Guinea, ODP Leg 193: Economic Geology, v. 101, p. 633–650.

Pelletier, B., Lafoy, Y., and Missegue, F., 1993. Morphostructure and magnetic fabric of the northwestern North Fiji basin: Geophysical Research Letters, v. 20, p. 1151–1154.

Pelletier, B., Cailmont, S., and Pillet, R., 1998. Current tectonics of the Tonga-New Hebrides region: Earth and Planetary Science Letters, v. 164, p. 263–276.

Piercey, S.J., Squires, G.C., and Brace, T.D., 2014. Lithostratigraphic, hydrothermal, and tectonic setting of the Boundary volcanicogenic massive sulfide deposit, Newfoundland Appalachians, Canada: Formation by subsea-floor replacement in a Cambrian rifted arc: Economic Geology, v. 109, p. 661–687.

Récy, J., Charvis, P., Monjaret, M.-C., Gérard, M., Auclair, G., Pelletier, B., Lafoy, Y., and Missegue, F., 1986, Tectonique et volcanologie, v. 105, p. 123–142.

Rice, C.A., Tuttle, M.L., and Reynolds, R.L., 1993, The analysis of forms of sulfur in ancient sediments and sedimentary rocks: Comments and caution of alteration mineralogy to their assessment: Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, v. 42, p. 117–124.

Shirozu, H., 1974. Clay minerals in altered wall rocks of the Kuroko-type deposits: Society of Mining Geology Special Issue, v. 6, p. 303–311.

Sigurdsson, H., 2000. Volcanic episodes and rates of volcanism, in Sigurdsson, H., Houghton, B., Rymer, J., Stix, J., and McNutt, S., eds., Encyclopedia of volcanoes: San Diego, California: Academic Press, p. 271–275.

Skirrow, R.G., and Franklin, J.M., 1994. Silification and metal leaching in semiconformable alteration beneath the Chisel Lake massive sulfide deposit, Snow Lake, Manitoba: Economic Geology, v. 89, p. 51–58.

Stoffers, P., Worthington, T.J., Schwarz-Schampera, U., Hanington, M.D., Massoth, G.J., Heinkin, R., Schmidt, M., Limdast, L.J., Evans, L.J., Váňová, R., and Kerby, T., 2006. Submarine volcanoes and high-temperature hydrothermal venting on the Tonga arc, southwest Pacific: Geology, v. 34, p. 453–456.

Taylor, B.E., 1992. Degassing of H2O from rhyolite magma during eruption and shallow intrusion, and the isotopic composition of magmatic water in hydrothermal systems, in Hedenquist, J.W., ed., Magnatic contributions to hydrothermal systems: Geological Survey of Japan Reports, v. 279, p. 190–194.

Thode, H.G., and Monster, J., 1961, Sulphur isotope geochemistry: Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, v. 25, p. 159–174.

Thompson, A.J.B., Hauff, P.L., and Roblatille, J.A., 1999. Alteration mapping in exploration: Application of short-wave infrared (SWIR) spectroscopy: Society of Economic Geologists Newsletter, no. 39, p. 1–27.

Tostevin, B., Turchyn, A.V., Farquhar, J., Johnston, D.T., Eldridge, D.L., Bishop, J.K., and McIlvin, M., 2014. Multiple sulfur isotope constraints on the modern sulfur cycle: Earth and Planetary Science Letters, v. 396, p. 14–21.

Ulrich, M.R., and Bodnar, R.J., 1988, Systematics of stretching of fluid inclusions: II. Barite at 1 atm confining pressure: Economic Geology, v. 83, p. 1037–1046.

Urabe, T., Auzende, J.-M., Dephus, C., Eisen, J.-P., Grimaud, D., Huchon, P., Ishibashi, J., Joshima, M., LaGabrielle, Y., Mevel, C., Naka, J., Tanaka, T., and Tanahashi, M., 1999. Hydrothermal deposits at the central spreading axis of the North Fiji basin (SW Pacific): Preliminary report of the Au- tile dives of the STARMER cruise: Mining Geology, v. 40, p. 117–124 (in Japanese with English als).

Veld, B., 1980, Cell dimensions, polymorph type, and infrared spectra of synthetic white micas: The importance of ordering: American Mineralogist, v. 65, p. 1277–1292.

Vergnoolle, S., and Mangan, M.T., 2000, Hawaiian and Strombolian eruptions, in Sigurdsson, H., ed., Encyclopedia of volcanoes: San Diego, Academy Press, p. 447–461.

Wienkenjohann, H., 2015. A sulfur isotope study of the Tinakula deposit, New Hebrides island arc, Solomon Islands: Unpublished B.Sc. thesis, Münster, Germany, Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster, 67 p.

Wood, C.A., 1980, Morphometric evolution of cinder cones: Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research, v. 7, p. 387–413.

Zhang, Y., and Frantz, J.D., 1987, Determination of the homogenization temperatures and densities of supercritical fluids in the system NaCl-KCl-CaCl2-H2O using synthetic fluid inclusions: Chemical Geology, v. 64, p. 335–350.

Zhu, W., Tivey, M.K., Gittings, H., and Craddock, P.R., 2007, Permeability-porosity relationships in seafloor vent deposits: Dependence on pore evolution processes: Journal of Geophysical Research, v. 112, B05208.

Melissa O. Anderson is a newly appointed assistant professor of economic geology at the University of Toronto, Canada. She obtained her B.Sc. (Hon.) degree at Brandon University, Canada, and an M.Sc. degree at the University of New Brunswick, Canada, under the supervision of Drs. Dave Lentz and Chris McFarlane. She completed her Ph.D. degree in 2018 at the University of Ottawa, Canada, under the supervision of Dr. Mark Hanington and spent time as a visiting researcher at GEO-MAR Helmholtz Centre for Ocean Research in Kiel, Germany. Her research focuses on understanding the relationships between geodynamics and ore formation in modern seafloor environments.