Spectrum of the strange hidden charm molecular pentaquarks in chiral effective field theory
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We calculate the effective potentials of the $\Xi_c^+ D(1^+)$, $\Xi_c^0 D(1^+)$ and $\Xi_c^0 D(1^0)$ systems with the chiral effective field theory up to the next-to-leading order. We simultaneously consider the short-, intermediate- and long-range interactions. With the newly observed $P_c$ spectra as inputs, we construct the quark-level contact Lagrangians to relate the low energy constants to those of $\Sigma_c D(1^+)$ with the help of quark model. Our calculation indicates there are seven bound states in the $I = 0$ strange hidden charm $[\Xi'_c D(1^+)]_J (J = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2})$ and $[\Xi_c^0 D(1^+)]_J (J = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{2})$ systems. Our analyses also disfavor the $\Lambda_c D^{(*)}$ bound states. However, we obtain three new hadronic molecules in the isoscalar $[\Xi_c^0 D(1^0)]_J (J = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2})$ systems. The masses of $[\Xi_c D]_{1/2}$, $[\Xi_c D]_{1/2}$ and $[\Xi_c D]_{3/2}$ are predicted to be $4319.4_{-3.0}^{+2.8}$ MeV, $4456.9_{-4.3}^{+3.2}$ MeV and $4463.0_{-3.0}^{+2.8}$ MeV, respectively. We also notice the one-eta-exchange influence is rather feeble. Binding solutions in the $I = 1$ channels are nonexistent. We hope the future analyses at LHCb can seek for these new $P_{cs}$ states in the $J\psi \Lambda$ final states, especially near the thresholds of $\Xi_c^0 D(1^*)$.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In the past decades, the renaissance of hadron physics was witnessed. Mesons and baryons, which have the internal configurations $qq$ and $q\bar{q}$ respectively, have been extensively studied with lattice QCD and various QCD inspired models. The abundant conventional hadrons in the Reviews of Particle Physics [1] reflect the great victory of the quark model. Other more complicated quark configurations, such as $qqq$, $qqqq$, and $q\bar{q}qq$, etc., are not forbidden by QCD. Thus hunting for these type states is a long standing problem for theorists and experimenters. $X(3872)$ is the poster child that opened a new era for hadron physics [2]. After that, more and more $XYZ$ states were discovered. The multiquark matter becomes one of the hottest topics in recent years [3–8].

Very recently, the LHCb Collaboration reported the observation of three pentaquark states $P_c(4312)$, $P_c(4440)$ and $P_c(4557)$ [9]. Their masses lie several to tens MeV below the $\Sigma_c D$ and $\Sigma_c D^*$ thresholds, thus the molecular explanation is naturally proposed in many works [10–21]. The $J^P$ quantum numbers are undetermined yet, but the theoretically favored ones in the molecular scenario for these three $P_c$s are $\frac{1}{2}^-$, $\frac{1}{2}^-$ and $\frac{5}{2}^-$, respectively. Therefore, if the forthcoming measurements for the $J^P$s indeed meet the predictions from the molecular pictures, which would give more robust support for the molecular interpretations.

The $XYZ$ and $P_c$ states are the candidates of the hidden-charm multiquark states with inner quark components $Q\bar{q}q\bar{q}$ and $Q\bar{Q}qq$, respectively. As indicated in Refs. [22–24], it seems the heavy quark core plays an important role in stabilizing the exotic clusters. This is indeed the case in the atomic physics. For example, the hydrogen molecule consists of two protons and two electrons, which stably exists in the nature. In the hadronic molecular scenario, the interaction between two color singlets (e.g., $\Sigma_c$ and $D$) is very similar to that between electroweak neutral atoms (e.g., $H$ and $H$). The “covalent bond” in the former is attributed to the residual strong interactions, which is equivalently described by the pion-exchange in chiral effective theory or the meson-exchange (e.g., $\pi$, $\rho$, $\sigma$, ...) in one-boson-exchange model. Therefore, one could actually anticipate the existence of more hadronic molecules in the charmed baryon-anticharm meson systems when the flavor symmetry group is enlarged to SU(3).

Starting from the deuteron (an $I = 0$ loosely bound np molecule), one can notice that the interactions between two heavy matter fields tend to form the bound states in the lowest isospin channels. $X(3872)$ is another example, which is a good candidate of the $D^0 D^{*0}$ molecule with isospin $I = 0$. The newly reported $P_{cs}$ are widely accepted as the $\Sigma_c D^{(*)}$ bound states with isospins $I = \frac{1}{2}$. Some investigations on the $DD^*$ and $B^{(*)} \bar{B}^{(*)}$ systems also demonstrated the existence of bound states in $I = 0$ channels [25, 26].

In our previous work [11], we have systematically investigated the interactions of the $\Sigma_c D$, $\Sigma_c D^*$, $\Sigma_c^* D$ and $\Sigma_c^* D^*$ systems in chiral effective field theory. We simultaneously reproduced the newly observed three $P_{cs}$ as the $I = \frac{1}{2}$ hidden-charm $\Sigma_c D$ and $\Sigma_c D^*$ molecules by introducing the $\Lambda_c$ contribution in the two-pion-exchange loop diagrams. In this work, we extend our study to the $\Xi_c^0 D(1^+)$, $\Xi_c^0 D(1^0)$ and $\Xi_c^0 D^{(*)}$ systems to see whether there exist the bound states in the lowest isospin, i.e., $I = 0$ channels. Likewise, these strange hidden charm molecular states might be reconstructed in the $J/\psi \Lambda$ channel at the LHCb experiment. Some investigations suggest searching for these states in the decay modes $\Lambda_b(1671) \to J/\psi \Lambda K(\eta)$ [27–29].

Based on Ref. [11], we further study the effective potentials of six systems, i.e., $\Xi_c^0 D^{(*)}$, $\Xi_c^0 D^{(*)}$ and $\Xi_c^0 D^{(*)}$. They all contain one strange quark. The short-range contact interaction, long-range one-pion-exchange contribution and intermediate-range two-pion-exchange diagrams are all included in the framework of chiral effective field theory (For
the reviews of chiral effective field theory, we refer to [30–34]). Considering the hadronic molecules are shallowly bound states, the strange quark dynamics are freezeed in the present calculations, which contribution is partially involved in the contact terms. We ignore the \(\eta\) and \(K\) meson contributions in the loops. The low energy constants (LECs) are well determined by fitting the \(P_c\) spectra. In this way, we predict the possible strange hidden charm molecular pentaquarks.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we give the effective Lagrangians constructed with the chiral and heavy quark symmetries. In Sec. III, we show the expressions of effective potentials. In Sec. IV, we give the numerical results and discussions. In Sec. V, we conclude this work with a short summary. In Appendix A, we bridge the LECs to those of \(\Sigma_0^{(*)} \tilde{B}^{(*)}\) with quark model.

### II. EFFECTIVE LAGRANGIANS WITH THE CHIRAL AND HEAVY QUARK SYMMETRIES

The effective Lagrangians can be classified as the pion and contact interactions, respectively. We first show the Lagrangians of the charmed baryon (anticharmed mesons) and light pseudoscalar meson interaction. For the charmed baryons, the matrix forms of the spin-\(\frac{1}{2}\) antitriplet, spin-\(\frac{1}{2}\) and spin-\(\frac{3}{2}\) sextets in the SU(3) flavor space are expressed as

\[
\begin{align*}
B_3 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Lambda_+^\pm & \Xi_+^\pm \\ -\Lambda_+^\pm & 0 & \Xi_0^\pm \\ -\Xi_+^\pm & -\Xi_0^\pm & 0 \end{bmatrix}, & B_6 &= \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_+^{++} & \Sigma_+^{*+} & \Xi_+^* \\ \Sigma_+^{*+} & \Sigma_0^{*0} & \Xi_0^* \\ \Xi_+^* & \Xi_0^* & 0 \end{bmatrix}, & B_6^{*\mu} &= \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_+^{*++} & \Sigma_+^{**+} & \Xi_+^{**} \\ \Sigma_+^{**+} & \Sigma_0^{*0} & \Xi_0^{**} \\ \Xi_+^{**} & \Xi_0^{**} & 0 \end{bmatrix}.
\end{align*}
\]

The leading order chiral Lagrangians for the charmed baryons and light pseudoscalar mesons in the super-field notation read [35–38]

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\varphi} = -\text{Tr} \left[ \bar{\psi}^\mu \imath v \cdot D \psi \right] + i g_\alpha \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \text{Tr} \left[ \bar{\psi}^\mu \sigma^\nu \psi^\rho \right] + \frac{i}{2} \frac{\delta_a}{\delta_b} \text{Tr} \left[ \bar{\psi}^\mu \gamma^\nu \psi^\sigma \right] + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left[ \bar{\mathcal{B}}_3 (i \nu \cdot D) \mathcal{B}_3 \right] + g_b \text{Tr} \left[ \bar{\psi}^\mu u^\nu \mathcal{B}_3 + \text{H.c.} \right],
\]

where Tr[⋯] denotes the trace in flavor space. The covariant derivative \(D_\mu \psi = \partial_\mu \psi + \Gamma_\mu \psi + \psi \Gamma_\mu^T \). \(g_a \simeq -1.47\) and \(g_b \simeq 1.04\) are the axial couplings [39–41]. \(\delta_a = m_{\Xi_+} - m_{\Xi_0}\) is the mass splitting between \(\Xi_+\) and \(\Xi_0\) in this calculation. \(u^\mu = (1, 0)\)

\[
\psi^\mu = B_6^{*\mu} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left( \gamma^\mu + \gamma^5 \right) B_6,
\]

\[
\tilde{\psi}^\mu = B_6^{*\mu} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left( \gamma^\mu + \gamma^5 \right).\]

In addition, the chiral connection \(\Gamma_\mu\) and axial-vector current \(u^\mu\) read respectively

\[
\Gamma_\mu \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left[ \xi^\dagger, \partial_\mu \xi \right], \quad u^\mu \equiv \frac{i}{2} \{ \xi^\dagger, \partial_\mu \xi \},
\]

where

\[
\xi^2 = U = \exp \left( \frac{i \xi}{f_p} \right), \quad \varphi = \begin{bmatrix} \pi^0 + \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{3}} & \sqrt{2} \pi^+ & \sqrt{2} K^+ \\ \sqrt{2} \pi^- & -\pi^0 + \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{3}} & \sqrt{2} K^0 \\ \sqrt{2} K^0 & \sqrt{2} K^0 & -\frac{2 \eta}{\sqrt{3}} \end{bmatrix},
\]

and \(f_p = 92.4\) MeV is the pion decay constant. Expanding Eq. (2) one can get the coupling terms among \(B_3, B_6\) and \(B_6^{*\mu}\). The detailed forms and the corresponding axial couplings can be found in Refs. [11, 39–41].

The leading order Lagrangians that delineate the interactions between the anticharmed mesons and light Goldstones read [42, 43]

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\varphi} = -i \langle \tilde{\mathcal{H}} v \cdot D \mathcal{H} \rangle - \frac{1}{8} \delta_b (\tilde{\mathcal{H}} \sigma^\mu \nu \tilde{\mathcal{H}} \sigma_{\mu\nu}) + g (\tilde{\mathcal{H}} \gamma_5 \mathcal{H}),
\]

where \(\langle \cdots \rangle\) represents the trace in spinor space. The covariant derivative \(D_\mu = \partial_\mu + \Gamma_\mu\). \(\delta_b\) is defined as \(\delta_b = m_{\tilde{D}} - m_{\tilde{D}}\), \(g \simeq -0.59\) stands for the axial coupling, which can be extracted from the partial decay width of \(D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+\). [1]. The \(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}\) is the super-field for the anticharmed mesons, which reads

\[
\tilde{\mathcal{H}} = \left[ \tilde{P}^{\ast \gamma} \gamma^\mu + i \tilde{P} \gamma_5 \right] \frac{1 + \gamma^5}{2}, \tilde{\mathcal{H}} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \tilde{P}^{\ast \gamma} \gamma^\mu + i \tilde{P} \gamma_5 \right],
\]

with \(\tilde{P} = (\tilde{D}^0, D^-, D^-)\). The \(\tilde{P}^{\ast \gamma}\) and \(\tilde{P}^{\ast \gamma}\) are obtained by \(\tilde{P} = (\tilde{D}^0, D^-, D^-)\) and \(\tilde{P}^{\ast \gamma} = (\tilde{D}^0, D^{*0}, D^{*0})\), respectively.
The contact Lagrangians that describe the short distance interactions between the charmed baryon sextets and anticharmed mesons. They can be analogously constructed as follows,

\[ \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}B_5} = D_a \langle \mathcal{H} \mathcal{H} \rangle \text{Tr} \left[ \gamma^\mu \gamma^\rho \gamma_5 \mathcal{H} \right] + i D_b \sigma_{\mu\nu} \gamma^\rho \gamma_5 \mathcal{H} \text{Tr} \left[ \gamma^\mu \psi \gamma^\nu \right] + E_a \langle \mathcal{H} \lambda^i \mathcal{H} \rangle \text{Tr} \left[ \gamma^\mu \lambda_i \psi \gamma_5 \right] + i E_b \sigma_{\mu\nu} \gamma^\rho \gamma_5 \mathcal{H} \text{Tr} \left[ \gamma^\mu \lambda_i \gamma^\nu \right], \]

(7)

where \( D_a, D_b, E_a \) and \( E_b \) are the LECs. They can be determined by fitting the \( P_c \) spectra. \( \lambda_i \) denotes the Gell-Mann matrices.

Besides, we also need the Lagrangians to depict the contact interactions of the charmed baryon antitriplet and anticharmed mesons. They can be analogously constructed as follows,

\[ \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}B_3} = \tilde{D}_a \langle \mathcal{H} \mathcal{H} \rangle \text{Tr} \left[ \bar{B}_3 B_3 \right] + \tilde{D}_b \langle \mathcal{H} \gamma^\rho \gamma_5 \mathcal{H} \rangle \text{Tr} \left[ B_3 \gamma_\rho \gamma_5 B_3 \right] + \tilde{E}_a \langle \mathcal{H} \lambda^i \mathcal{H} \rangle \text{Tr} \left[ \bar{B}_3 \lambda_i B_3 \right] + \tilde{E}_b \langle \mathcal{H} \gamma^\rho \gamma_5 \lambda^i \mathcal{H} \rangle \text{Tr} \left[ \bar{B}_3 \gamma_\rho \gamma_5 \lambda_i B_3 \right], \]

(8)

where \( \tilde{D}_a, \tilde{D}_b, \tilde{E}_a \) and \( \tilde{E}_b \) are another sets of the LECs. These LECs are different from the ones in Eq. (7), since the \( B_3 \) and \( B_5^{(*)} \) are not the partner states under heavy quark spin symmetry. But we can establish the corresponding relationship with the \( D_a, D_b, E_a \) and \( E_b \) with the help of quark model. We show this operation in the Appendix A.

III. EXPRESSIONS OF THE EFFECTIVE POTENTIALS

There exists a simple relation between the effective potential and scattering amplitude in momentum space under the Breit approximation,

\[ \mathcal{V}(q) = -\frac{\mathcal{M}(q)}{\sqrt{2m_i m_f}} , \]

(9)

where \( m_i \) and \( m_f \) are the masses of the initial and final states. \( q \) denotes the transferred momentum between two scattering particles. Then the effective potential in coordinate space can be easily obtained by Fourier transformation, which yields

\[ \mathcal{V}(r) = \int \frac{d^3 q}{(2\pi)^3} e^{iq \cdot r} \mathcal{V}(q) \mathcal{F}(q), \]

(10)

where a Gauss regulator \( \mathcal{F}(q) = \exp(-q^{2n}/\Lambda^{2n}) \) is introduced to suppress the high momentum contribution [44, 45]. \( n = 2 \) is used in this work [46, 47]. Considering the \( \rho \) meson mass \( m_\rho \) is treated as the typical hard scale in chiral effective theory, thus the cutoff \( \Lambda \) should be smaller than \( m_\rho \) (A detailed discussion on the range of \( \Lambda \) can be found in Ref. [11]). The \( \Lambda \) is chosen to be around 0.5 GeV to perform fittings and give predictions [10, 11, 32, 46].

The topological diagrams are shown in Fig. 1. There are three types of Feynman diagrams in our calculations, i.e., the leading order contact interaction, one-pion-exchange diagram, and the next-to-leading order two-pion-exchange diagrams. The Feynman diagrams for the \( \Xi_c \bar{D}^{(*)} \) (\( \Xi^*_c \bar{D}^{(*)} \)) and \( \Xi^*_c \bar{D}^{(*)} \) systems are totally the same as the \( \Sigma_c \bar{D}^{(*)} \) and \( \Sigma^*_c \bar{D}^{(*)} \), respectively. In other words, one can build the following correspondence,

\[ \Xi'_c \bar{D}^{(*)} \leftrightarrow \Sigma_c \bar{D}^{(*)}, \quad \Xi^*_c \bar{D}^{(*)} \leftrightarrow \Sigma^*_c \bar{D}^{(*)}. \]

(11)

So we do not explicitly show the detailed graphs for each systems at each order. One can find them in figures 2–7 of Ref. [11].

In the following, we write down the leading order contact potential of each system, which can be easily obtained by expanding the Lagrangians in Eqs. (7) and (8), respectively.

\[ \mathcal{V}_{\Xi'_{c}}{^i}j(D_a - 2G E_a), \]

(12)

\[ \mathcal{V}_{\Xi^*_{c}}{^i}j(D_a - 2G E_a - \frac{2}{3}(D_b + 2G E_b) \sigma \cdot T), \]

(13)

\[ \mathcal{V}_{\Xi'_{c}}{^i}j(D_a - 2G E_a), \]

(14)

\[ \mathcal{V}_{\Xi^*_{c}}{^i}j(D_a - 2G E_a - (D_b + 2G E_b) \sigma_{rs} \cdot T), \]

(15)

\[ \mathcal{V}_{\Xi'_{c}}{^i}j = 2\tilde{D}_a + 4G \tilde{E}_a, \]

(16)

\[ \mathcal{V}_{\Xi^*_{c}}{^i}j = 2\tilde{D}_a + 4G \tilde{E}_a + (2\tilde{D}_b + 4G \tilde{E}_b) \sigma \cdot T, \]

(17)

where \( \mathcal{G} = I_1 \cdot I_2 - 1/12 [I_1 \times I_2] \) are the isospin operators of \( \Xi'_c \) (\( \Xi^*_c \)) and \( \tilde{D}^{(*)} \), respectively. The operators \( \sigma, \sigma_{rs} \) and \( T \) are related to the spin operators of the spin-\( \frac{1}{2} \) baryon, spin-\( \frac{3}{2} \) baryon and spin-1 meson as \( \frac{1}{2} \sigma_i, \frac{3}{2} \sigma_{rs} \) and \( -T \), respectively (see Ref. [11] for details).

The expressions of the one-pion-exchange diagrams for \( \Xi'_c \bar{D}^* \) and \( \Xi^*_c \bar{D}^* \) are the same as the \( \Sigma_c \bar{D}^* \) and \( \Sigma^*_c \bar{D}^* \) in Ref. [11] up to different matrix elements of \( I_1 \times I_2 \) operator. For \( \Xi_{c} \bar{D}^* \), the coupling between \( \Xi_{c} \) and \( \pi \) vanishes since the pion does not couple to the scalar isoscalar light diquark within \( \Xi_{c} \) because of the parity and angular momentum conservation. Thus the one-pion-exchange does not contribute to the effective potential of the \( \Xi_{c} \bar{D}^* \) system.

For the two-pion-exchange diagrams, the graph (\( F_{i,j} \)) is governed by the chiral connection term, thus all the systems share one single expression, i.e.,

\[ \mathcal{V}_{\text{Sys.}}^{F_{i,j}} = (I_1 \cdot I_2) \frac{1}{f_\pi^4} f_{22}(m_\pi, q). \]

(18)

For graphs (\( T_{i,j} \)), (\( B_{i,j} \)) and (\( R_{i,j} \)), their analytical expressions generally have the following structures,
TABLE I. The coefficients \( c^T_{i,j} \) and \( c^{B_{i,j}} \) for the systems \( \Xi_i \bar{D}(x) \), \( \Xi_i \bar{D} \) and \( \Xi_i \bar{D} \bar{D} \), respectively. \( i = 1 \) for \( \Xi_i \bar{D}(x) \) and \( i = 3 \) for \( \Xi_i \bar{D} \) (\( i \) is the value in the subscript of the diagram label), respectively. The unlisted coefficients \( c^{B_{i,j}} \) can be obtained with \( c^{B_{i,j}} = c^{B_{i,j}} \). Some expressions for \( c^{\text{label}} \) are denoted as \( X/Y \), where \( X \) and \( Y \) represent the intermediate baryon in the loop among and beyond the heavy quark spin multiplet, respectively.

| \( \Xi \bar{D} \) | \( c^{T_{i,j}} \) | \( \Xi \bar{D} \) | \( c^{B_{i,j}} \) | \( \Xi \bar{D} \bar{D} \) | \( c^{B_{i,j}} \) |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| \( \Xi_1 \bar{D} \) | \( g^2 \) | \( \frac{1}{3} g^2 \) | \( \frac{1}{3} g^2 \) | \( \frac{1}{3} g^2 \) | \( \frac{1}{3} g^2 \) |
| \( \Xi_3 \bar{D} \) | \( g^2 \) | \( \frac{1}{3} g^2 \) | \( \frac{1}{3} g^2 \) | \( \frac{1}{3} g^2 \) | \( \frac{1}{3} g^2 \) |
| \( \Xi_3 \bar{D} \bar{D} \) | \( g^2 \) | \( \frac{1}{3} g^2 \) | \( \frac{1}{3} g^2 \) | \( \frac{1}{3} g^2 \) | \( \frac{1}{3} g^2 \) |

\[ V^{T_{i,j}}_{\text{sys}} = (I_1 \cdot I_2) c^{T_{i,j}}_{\text{sys}} \left[ C^T_{i,j} J^T_{34} - q^2 C^T_{i,j} (J^T_{21} + J^T_{34}) \right] (m_\pi, \omega, q), \]

\[ V^{R_{i,j}}_{\text{sys}} = (1 - \frac{1}{4} I_1 \cdot I_2) \left( C^{R_{i,j}}_{\text{sys}} \right) \left[ C^{R_{i,j}}_{\text{sys}} J^R_{34} - q^2 C^{R_{i,j}}_{\text{sys}} (J^R_{21} + J^R_{34}) \right] (m_\pi, \omega, q), \]

\[ V^{R_{i,j}}_{\text{sys}} = (1 + \frac{1}{3} I_1 \cdot I_2) \left( C^{R_{i,j}}_{\text{sys}} \right) \left[ C^{R_{i,j}}_{\text{sys}} J^R_{34} - q^2 C^{R_{i,j}}_{\text{sys}} (J^R_{21} + J^R_{34}) \right] (m_\pi, \omega, q), \]

where the subscript “Sys.” denotes the corresponding system, such as \( \Xi_i \bar{D}(x) \) and so on. The superscript “\( T_{i,j} \)” and “\( R_{i,j} \)” represent the labels of the Feynman diagrams. Various \( J \) functions, such as \( J^T_{21} \) and \( J^R_{21} \) are the square loop functions, which are defined and given in Refs. \([10, 11, 26]\). The coefficients \( C^T_{i,j} \) and \( C^{R_{i,j}} \) can be obtained with \( c^{B_{i,j}} = c^{B_{i,j}} \). Some expressions for \( c^{\text{label}} \) are denoted as \( X/Y \), where \( X \) and \( Y \) represent the intermediate baryon in the loop among and beyond the heavy quark spin multiplet, respectively.

IV. POSSIBLE MOLECULAR PENTAQUARKS IN \( \Xi_i \bar{D}(x) \), \( \Xi_i \bar{D} \) AND \( \Xi_i \bar{D} \bar{D} \) SYSTEMS

In order to get the numerical results, we have to determine the eight LECs in Eqs. (7) and (8). As in Ref. \([49]\), we also propose a SU(3) quark model to estimate the LECs. One can find the detailed derivations in Appendix A.

The effective potentials of some representative \( I = 0 \) channels are given in Fig. 2. We notice that the leading order contact interaction supplies a strong attractive potential for all the considered channels. This situation is the same as those of the \( \Sigma_c (x) \bar{D}(x) \) systems \([11]\). For the \( \Xi_i \bar{D} \) and \( \Xi_i \bar{D}^* \) systems, one can find the two-pion-exchange contributions are also significant because of the accidental degeneration between \( \Xi_i \bar{D}^* \) and \( \Xi_i \bar{D}^* \) in the loops. However, the behavior of the two-pion-exchange potentials for \( \Xi_i \bar{D} \) and \( \Sigma_c \bar{D} \bar{D} \) are totally different due to the opposite sign of the mass differences. For example, the mass difference between \( \Sigma_c \bar{D} \) and \( \Lambda_c \bar{D}^* \) is about 28 MeV, while that for \( \Xi_i \bar{D} \) and \( \Xi_i \bar{D}^* \) is about –32 MeV. By solving the Schrödinger equation, we find the bound states in the \( \Xi_i \bar{D}(x) \) and \( \Xi_i \bar{D}^* \) systems, likewise. The predicted binding energies and masses are given in Table III.

Theoretically, the existence of the bound states in the \( \Xi_i \bar{D}(x) \) and \( \Xi_i \bar{D}^* \) systems is not a surprise, because \( \Xi_i \bar{D} \) and \( \Xi_i \bar{D} \) belong to the same flavor multiplets with the \( \Sigma_c \) and \( \Sigma_c^* \), respectively in the SU(3) flavor symmetry \([48]\). Nevertheless, things become interesting when we go to the \( \Xi_i \bar{D}(x) \) systems. On the one hand, no bound states are experimentally observed near the \( \Lambda_c \bar{D}(x) \) thresholds up to now \([9]\). On the other hand, some theoretical calculations also do not support the existence...
of bound states in \( \Lambda_c \bar{D}(s) \) systems \([50, 51]\). As the SU(3) partner of \( \Lambda_c \), the interactions of the \( \Xi_c \bar{D}(s) \) systems show totally different behavior at the short range \([e.g., \text{see figures} 2(e) \text{ and } 2(f)]\). The attractive contact interaction is strong enough to form bound states.

For the \( \Lambda_c \bar{D}(s) \) and \( \Xi_c \bar{D}(s) \) systems, the long-range one-pion-exchange vanishes, thus only the contact term and two-pion-exchange contribute to their potentials. The isospin I and spin \( J^F \) \( (J^F) \) denotes the total spin of the light degrees of freedom in \( \Lambda_c \) of the \( \Xi_c \) are both zero, so there are no isospin-isospin and spin-spin interactions for the \( \Lambda_c \bar{D}(s) \) systems\(^1\). Ignoring the \( \eta \) and \( K \) meson contributions in the loops, one can roughly get the two-pion-exchange potential of the \( \Lambda_c \bar{D}(s) \) from the \( \Xi_c \bar{D}(s) \) expressions by setting the matrix element \( (1 \times 1)_2 \) to be zero. Although the two-pion-exchange potential of the \( \Lambda_c \bar{D}(s) \) (or say the contribution from coupling-channel effect) is attractive, the one from the leading order \( \bar{D}_s \) term \([e.g., \text{see Eq. (8)}] \) is repulsive. Their contributions almost cancel each other, thus there are no binding solutions for the \( \Lambda_c \bar{D}(s) \) systems.

The \( J^F \) of \( \Xi_c \) is also zero, thus there are no spin-spin interactions for the \( \Xi_c \bar{D}(s) \) systems as well. But \( I = \frac{1}{2} \) for \( \Xi_c \), i.e., the isospin-isospin interaction in the \( \Xi_c \bar{D}(s) \) systems is the main reason that leads to the different scenarios for the \( \Lambda_c \bar{D}(s) \) and \( \Xi_c \bar{D}(s) \) systems. The leading order isospin related \( \bar{E}_s \) term provides a very strong attractive potential, as well as the attractive two-pion-exchange potential. Their contributions together yield three bound states in the \( \Xi_c \bar{D}(s) \) systems \((\text{see Table III})\). A recent study based on the local hidden gauge approach also gives a similar conclusion \([52]\).

We also tried to include the one-eta-exchange contribution for the \( \Xi_c \bar{D}(s) \) and \( \Xi_c \bar{D}(s) \) systems at the leading order, where we use the experimental values of \( f_\eta \) and \( m_\eta \) as inputs \([39–41, 53]\). We notice the one-eta-exchange contribution is marginal, which only introduces about \( 1\% \) and even much smaller than \( 1\% \) corrections to the \( \Xi_c \bar{D}(s) \) and \( \Xi_c \bar{D}(s) \) binding energies, respectively.

Additionally, we also calculate the potentials of these six systems in the \( I = 1 \) channel. However, the total potentials are all repulsive, i.e., no bound states exist for the \( \Xi_c \bar{D}(s) \), \( \Xi_c \bar{D}(s) \) and \( \Xi_c \bar{D}(s) \) systems in the isovector channels.

These \( I = 0 \) molecular pentaquarks with a strange quark can be reconstructed at the \( J/\psi \Lambda \) final states. We hope LHCb collaborations may search for these new \( P_{c\Lambda} \) near the \( \Xi_c \bar{D}(s) \) thresholds. Some discussions on the \( \Lambda_c \bar{D}(s) \), \( \Sigma_c \bar{D}(s) \), \( \Xi_c \bar{D}(s) \) and \( \Omega_c \bar{D}(s) \) systems are given in Appendix B.

### V. SUMMARY

In this work, we have systematically calculated the effective potentials of \( \Xi_c \bar{D}(s) \) and \( \Xi_c \bar{D}(s) \) systems with the chiral effective field theory up to the next-to-leading order. The contact interaction, one-pion-exchange contribution and two-pion-exchange diagrams are considered. By fitting the newly observed \( \bar{P}_c \) spectra, we relate the LECs to those of the \( \Sigma_c \bar{D}(s) \) systems with the quark model \((\text{see Appendix A})\).

As the partners of \( \Sigma_c \bar{D}(s) \) and \( \Sigma_c \bar{D}(s) \), we also find seven bound states in the isoscalar \( \Xi_c \bar{D}(s) \) and \( \Xi_c \bar{D}(s) \) systems. The contact terms provide the attractive potentials, which are dominant for these systems. The two-pion-exchange interactions are important to the \( \Xi_c \bar{D}(s) \) and \( \Xi_c \bar{D}(s) \) systems due to the accidental degeneration of the intermediate states in the loops.

With the estimated LECs, we also obtain three bound states in the isoscalar \( \Xi_c \bar{D}(s) \) systems. This is very different from their partners \( \Lambda_c \bar{D}(s) \). Our analyses do not support the existence of any molecular pentaquarks in the \( \Lambda_c \bar{D}(s) \) systems. The difference between \( \Lambda_c \bar{D}(s) \) and \( \Xi_c \bar{D}(s) \) arises from the isospin-isospin interaction, which vanishes for the \( \Lambda_c \bar{D}(s) \).

We considered the influence of one-eta-exchange interaction. Its contribution only gives rise to \( 1\% \) corrections to the magnitude of the binding energies. Thus the tiny effect is neglected in our numerical results. Our calculation indicates that the potentials of the \( I = 1 \) channels are all strongly repulsive and no bound states exist in these \( I = 1 \) channels.

In summary, we obtain ten molecular pentaquarks \( P_{c\Lambda} \) in the isoscalar \( \Xi_c \bar{D}(s) \), \( \Xi_c \bar{D}(s) \) and \( \Xi_c \bar{D}(s) \) systems. Their signals can be reconstructed in the \( J/\psi \Lambda \) final states at LHCb experiment.

---

1. At the leading order of the heavy quark expansion, the spin-spin interaction between \( \Lambda_c \) and \( \bar{D}(s) \) are represented by their light degrees of freedom, i.e., \( J^F_{\Lambda_c} \cdot J^F_{\bar{D}(s)} \). Its matrix element vanishes for the \( \Lambda_c \bar{D}(s) \) system.
the contact potential at the hadron level, we construct the scheme, because their contributions are partially mimicked by the two-pion-exchange diagrams. Rather than calculating the contact potential at the hadron level, we construct the quark-level Lagrangians to depict the short-range interaction.
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Appendix A: Estimating the LECs with quark model

In this part, we estimate the eight LECs in Eqs. (7) and (8) from the viewpoints of quark model. We assume the short-range contact interaction stems from some heavy particle exchanges, which is analogous to the resonance saturation model [54]. However, we do not specify the exchange particles (such as $\rho$, $\omega$, $f_0$, etc.) as in the one-boson-exchange scheme, because their contributions are partially mimicked by borrowing some concepts from the quark-hadron duality and quark model. Generally, one can formulate the quark-level Lagrangians as follows,

$$L = g_q\bar{q}S\gamma^5 q + g_A\bar{q}\gamma_\mu\gamma_5 A^\mu q,$$

(A1)

where $q = (u, d, s)$, $g_q$ and $g_A$ are two independent coupling constants. The $S$ and $A^\mu$ are two fictitious fields, which create (annihilate) the scalar and axial-vector spurious respectively. We assume the $S$ and $A^\mu$ are flavor octets and have the similar matrix form as that in the second term of Eq. (5). They are introduced to produce the central potential and spin-spin interaction between two quarks, respectively.

With the quark-level Lagrangians, the $\Sigma_q\bar{D}^*$ effective potential can be expressed as

$$V^Q_{\Sigma_q\bar{D}^*} = \langle \Sigma_q\bar{D}^* | \mathcal{L} | \Sigma_q\bar{D}^* \rangle = -\frac{g_q^2}{6m_S^2} - \frac{g_A^2}{m_S^2} (\mathbf{I}_1 \cdot \mathbf{I}_2)$$

+ \frac{g_q^2}{9m_d^2} \mathbf{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{T} + \frac{2g_q^2}{3m_d^2} (\mathbf{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{T}) (\mathbf{I}_1 \cdot \mathbf{I}_2),$$

(A2)

where the superscript “Q.L.” is the abbreviation of quark level.
We take the SU(3) flavor symmetry and ignore the mass differences in the $S$ and $A^\mu$ multiplets, respectively. In addition, the assumption that $q^2 \ll m_S^2$ ($m_A^2$) is used. Thus if we know the square of the “charge-to-mass ratios” $g_q^2/m_S^2$ and $g_q^2/m_A^2$, we could obtain the potentials of the other systems that contain the light quarks. The contact potential of the $\Sigma_c \bar{D}^*$ in the $I = \frac{3}{2}$ channel is given as [11] 
\[ V_{\Sigma_c \bar{D}^*} = -\mathbb{D}_1 - \frac{2}{3}\mathbb{D}_2 (\sigma \cdot T). \] (A3)

By fitting the $P_c$ spectra with the cutoff $\Lambda = 0.4$ GeV, we get $\mathbb{D}_1 = 63.1$ GeV$^{-2}$, $\mathbb{D}_2 = 6.5$ GeV$^{-2}$. Defining $C_1 = g_q^2/m_S^2$, $C_2 = g_q^2/m_A^2$, and comparing Eq. (A2) and Eq. (A3), one easily gets
\[ C_1 = -\frac{6}{5}\mathbb{D}_1, \quad C_2 = \frac{6}{5}\mathbb{D}_2. \] (A4)

Following the same procedure, we can also calculate the $\Xi'_c \bar{D}^*$ contact potential with the quark-level Lagrangians, which yield
\[ V_{\Xi'_c \bar{D}^*} = \left[ \frac{1}{12} - (I_1 \cdot I_2) \right] \left( C_1 - \frac{3}{2} C_2 \sigma \cdot T \right). \] (A5)

Matching Eq. (13) and Eq. (A5), one can obtain the LECs in Eq. (7) under the SU(3) symmetry, which read
\[ D_a = 0, \quad D_b = 0, \quad E_a = \frac{1}{2} C_1, \quad E_b = -\frac{1}{2} C_2. \] (A6)

Similarly, one can also calculate the contact potential of $\Xi_c \bar{D}^*$ at the quark level with the Lagrangians in Eq. (A1),
\[ V_{\Xi_c \bar{D}^*} = \left[ \frac{1}{12} - (I_1 \cdot I_2) \right] C_1. \] (A7)

A match between Eq. (17) and Eq. (A7) gives
\[ \hat{D}_a = 0, \quad \hat{D}_b = 0, \quad \hat{E}_a = -\frac{1}{4} C_1, \quad \hat{E}_b = 0, \] (A8)

where $\hat{D}_a = \hat{E}_b = 0$ is the consequence of $J^\ell = 0$ for the light diquark in $\Xi_c$, i.e., the spin-spin interaction vanishes for the $B_c$ baryons.

Because the exchange particles we considered in Eq. (A1) are only octets, thus the final results show that the LECs $D_a$, $D_b$ and $\tilde{D}_a$ are all zero. Their values should be contributed by the singlets exchange. We could estimate the $D_a$, $D_b$ and $\tilde{D}_a$ by replacing the octets in $S$ and $A$ with the nonet. An alternative way is to expand the Gell-Mann matrices in Eqs. (7) and (8) with $\lambda_0 = \sqrt{2/3} \text{ diag}[1,1,1]$. The extra $\lambda_0$ terms can be matched to the $D_a$, $D_b$ and $\tilde{D}_a$ terms. The relations read
\[ D_a = \frac{2}{3} E_a, \quad D_b = \frac{2}{3} E_b, \quad \tilde{D}_a = \frac{2}{3} \tilde{D}_a. \] (A9)

We attempt to include the influences of $D_a$, $D_b$ and $\tilde{D}_a$ on the numerical results in the SU(3) case. Considering the masses of the singlets are heavier than those of the octets, we adopt the half values in Eq. (A9) as their limits to give a conservative estimation. Finally, the values of the these LECs are given in Table IV.

### Table IV. The values of the LECs in Eqs. (7) and (8) estimated with quark model (in units of GeV$^{-2}$).

|       | $D_a$ | $D_b$ | $E_a$ | $E_b$ |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| $\pm 12.6$ | $0 \pm 1.3$ | $-37.9$ | $-3.9$ |
| $\pm 3.2$ | $0$ | $18.9$ | $0$ |

### Appendix B: Some discussions on the $\Lambda_c \bar{D}^{(*)}, \Sigma_c \bar{D}^{(*)}, \Sigma^*_c \bar{D}^{(*)}$ and $\Omega^{(*)}_c / \bar{D}^{(*)}$ systems

In addition to the $\Xi'_c \bar{D}^{(*)}, \Xi'_c \bar{D}^{(*)}$ and $\Xi'_c \bar{D}^{(*)}$ systems we presented above, the strange hidden charm configuration can also be the $\Lambda_c \bar{D}^{(*)}, \Sigma_c \bar{D}^{(*)}$ and $\Sigma^*_c \bar{D}^{(*)}$ systems, even the three strange systems $\Omega^{(*)}_c \bar{D}^{(*)}$. In this appendix, we discuss the possibility of the existence of bound states in these systems. There are ten systems by unfolding the different combinations. We first list their leading order contact potentials in the following.

\[ V_{\Lambda_c \bar{D}^*} = 2\hat{D}_a - \frac{4}{3}\hat{E}_a, \] (B1)

\[ V_{\Lambda_c \bar{D}^*} = 2\hat{D}_a - \frac{4}{3}\hat{E}_a + \left[ 2\hat{D}_b - \frac{4}{3}\hat{E}_b \right] \sigma \cdot T, \] (B2)

\[ V_{\Sigma_c \bar{D}^*} = -D_a + \frac{2}{3} E_a, \] (B3)

\[ V_{\Sigma'_c \bar{D}^*} = -D_a + \frac{2}{3} E_a + \left[ -D_b + \frac{2}{3} E_b \right] \sigma \cdot T, \] (B4)

\[ V_{\Sigma^*_c \bar{D}^*} = -D_a + \frac{2}{3} E_a, \] (B5)

\[ V_{\Omega_c \bar{D}^*} = -D_a - \frac{4}{3} E_a, \] (B7)

\[ V_{\Omega'_c \bar{D}^*} = -D_a - \frac{4}{3} E_a + \left[ -D_b - \frac{4}{3} E_b \right] \sigma \cdot T, \] (B8)

\[ V_{\Omega^*_c \bar{D}^*} = -D_a - \frac{4}{3} E_a, \] (B9)

\[ V_{\Omega''_c \bar{D}^*} = -D_a - \frac{4}{3} E_a + \left[ -D_b - \frac{4}{3} E_b \right] \sigma \cdot T. \] (B10)

Yet, there does not exist the one-pion-exchange potential for these systems at the leading order. The exchange particle can only be the $\eta$ meson for the $\Sigma_c \bar{D}^{(*)}$, $\Sigma_c \bar{D}^{(*)}$ and $\Omega^{(*)}_c \bar{D}^{(*)}$ systems. In the previous sections, we notice that the influence of one-eta-exchange is rather feeble. So the leading order contribution is dominantly from the contact terms.

The situation becomes intractable to the next-to-leading order. On the one hand, since the exchanged particles in the loops are the kaon and $\eta$ meson, their masses are about four times larger than the pion mass. The large mass of kaon and $\eta$ meson would make the loop diagram contribution being moderately enhanced, which breaks the convergence of chiral expansion. In other words, the prediction is not stable any
more in this case. This is why we did not consider the kaon and $\eta$ meson exchange for the $\Xi_c D^{(*)}$, $\Xi_c^{'} D^{(*)}$ and $\Xi_c^{**} D^{(*)}$ systems in the loop diagrams. On the other hand, we obtained the cutoff $\Lambda \simeq 0.4$ GeV by fitting the newly observed $P_c$ states. The kaon and $\eta$ meson masses are obviously larger than the cutoff, thus the kaon and $\eta$ meson contributions can be regarded as being integrated out and packaged into the LECs. Therefore, we do not try to explicitly calculate the two-kaon- and two-eta-exchange contributions for these systems. Alternatively, we focus on the contact interaction to conservatively investigate the behavior of their potentials.

Using the estimated LECs in Table IV, the potentials of the $\Lambda_c D^{(*)}$, $\Sigma_c D^{(*)}$ and $\Sigma_c^{*} D^{(*)}$ systems are attractive, but the attractions are too weak to form the bound states. We also tried to add half value to the LECs, but no binding solutions are found yet. The potentials of the $\Omega_c^{(*)} D^{(*)}$ systems are all repulsive, thus there also are no bound states in the $\Omega_c^{(*)} D^{(*)}$ systems. The investigation on the $\Xi_c D^{(*)}$, $\Xi_c^{'} D^{(*)}$ and $\Xi_c^{**} D^{(*)}$ systems shows that the strong attractive potentials are provided by the isospin-isospin interactions in the leading contact terms. However, for the $\Lambda_c D^{(*)}$, $\Sigma_c D^{(*)}$, $\Sigma_c^{*} D^{(*)}$ and $\Omega_c^{(*)} D^{(*)}$ systems, there does not exist the isospin-isospin interactions, thus our study indicates it is hard to form the bound states in these systems.
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