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The 2-dimensional transformation of the diamond (111) surface to graphene has been demonstrated using ultrathin Fe films that catalytically reduce the reaction temperature needed for the conversion of sp\textsuperscript{3} to sp\textsuperscript{2} carbon. An epitaxial system is formed which involves the re-crystallization of carbon at the Fe/vacuum interface and that enables the controlled growth of monolayer and multilayer graphene films. In order to study the initial stages of single and multilayer graphene growth, real time monitoring of the system was preformed within a photoemission and low energy electron microscope. It was found that initial graphene growth occurred at temperatures as low as 500 °C, whilst increasing the temperature to 560 °C was required to produce multi-layer graphene of high structural quality. Angle resolved photoelectron spectroscopy was used to study the electronic properties of the grown material where a graphene-like energy momentum dispersion was observed. The Dirac point for the first layer is located 2.5 eV below the Fermi level indicating a n-type doping of the graphene due to substrate interactions, while that of the second graphene layer lies close to the Fermi level.

Since its discovery,\textsuperscript{1, 2} graphene’s electronic properties have been applied in areas such as photovoltaic devices,\textsuperscript{3} quantum-electronics\textsuperscript{4} and gas sensing.\textsuperscript{5} The material quality required for these applications defines the fabrication method and these include exfoliation, reduction of graphene oxide,\textsuperscript{6} chemical vapour deposition (CVD),\textsuperscript{7} sublimation of Si from SiC substrates\textsuperscript{8} and solid-state transformation of amorphous carbon through catalytically active metals.\textsuperscript{9, 10} Where single crystal material is required, for example to minimise grain boundaries,\textsuperscript{11} the fabrication methods often require many substrate processing steps,\textsuperscript{12} or high temperatures.\textsuperscript{13} Here, we demonstrate that we are able to process monolayer graphene (MGL) and few layer graphene (FGL) films in the solid state directly on to substrates that have already shown potential for spin-based electronics. For example, graphene demonstrates very long spin-coherence lengths,\textsuperscript{14, 15} which when coupled to the long spin storage times available in diamond nitrogen-vacancy (NV) centers\textsuperscript{16} could lead to a potentially interesting platform for future applications such as quantum computing.
The process involves the catalytic detachment of carbon from a diamond (111) surface in the presence of a thin Fe film, without which temperatures in excess of 1000 °C would be required. The process differs, for example, from methods of metal-catalysed graphene growth from amorphous carbon on SiO₂ substrates, in that the carbon here is in the form of a highly crystalline hexagonal diamond (111) surface which provides the source of carbon and a structural template that is closely matched to the lattice of both the metal and the graphene. Although the catalytic effect of Fe in carbon chemistry has been widely studied in carbon-nanotube growth, it has received less attention in graphene production in comparison with other metals such as Cu or Ni, largely due to the formation of undesirable iron carbide phases. However, in a study of the low temperature CVD growth of graphene on Fe(110) substrates, Vinogradov et al. used a reduced temperature to inhibit carbide formation whilst still providing sufficient thermal energy to promote the catalytic action of the Fe layer. We have previously shown that interfacial carbide formation does not prevent the formation of graphene on the metalized surface of diamond and SiC, and we now confirm the high structural and electronic quality of the single and bilayer films using synchrotron-based photoelectron methods.

The chemical composition and morphology of the surface was investigated at MAXLab using X-ray photoemission electron microscopy (XPEEM) and low energy electron microscopy (LEEM). Selective area low energy electron diffraction (µLEED) was used to investigate the localised crystalline quality along with selective area core-level X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (µXPS) to probe the local chemical state. Additional low energy electron reflectivity (LEER) spectra provided an accurate method to detect the number of graphene layers grown. Using the optimised growth parameters determined from these methods, the electronic band structure of GML and FLG films was determined by angle resolved photoelectron spectroscopy (ARPES) at the centre for storage ring facilities, Aarhus.

FIG. 1. A schematic representation of the system under investigation. Carbon atoms are catalytically detached from the diamond surface and transported through the Fe film to the Fe-vacuum interface where they recrystallize to form graphene. Following the completion of the 1st graphene layer, subsequent growth occurs from underneath. In this particular microscope, the illumination electrons and X-rays both impinge on the surface at normal incidence.
The substrate used in all studies was a natural type-IIb single crystal diamond with a low miscut angle to the (111) plane. In order to prepare a surface free of contamination and to remove Fe between investigations a sulphuric acid and potassium nitrate etch was performed, leaving the surface oxygen terminated as a result. The chemical composition was confirmed by XPS and the root-mean squared surface roughness was found to be < 1 nm across the diamond surface by non-contact mode atomic force microscopy (AFM) (see supplementary material). A clean, oxygen-free surface was required before Fe deposition, therefore the sample was annealed in the ultrahigh vacuum environment to 1000 °C. XPS measurements confirmed the lack of oxygen and a 2×1 surface reconstruction was observed in LEED. For Fe growth a 1×1 surface is desired, therefore the sample was exposed to an atomic hydrogen environment (plasma or hot filament cracking) at ~400 °C until the 1×1 LEED pattern was recovered. The diamond surface prepared in this way provides the substrate for all further graphene growth studies.

A schematic of the system under investigation is presented in Figure 1. Thin and homogenous Fe films were grown at room temperature at vacuum pressures < 1×10⁻⁹ mbar using an e-beam evaporator (Omicron EFM 3). Calibrated growth rates of 0.02 - 0.1 nm min⁻¹ were typically used. A 2 nm Fe film was found to completely suppress the C1s core level emission signal from the diamond when irradiated by synchrotron radiation of energy 330 eV, indicating a uniform 2-d layer is formed.

![LEEM images](image)

**FIG. 2.** LEEM images (a) to (c) were recorded during a 90 minute anneal of a metalized diamond (111) surface at 500 °C for 1, 15 and 90 minutes respectively following prior annealing to 490 °C. The microscope field of view was 20 μm with electron energy 10.3 – 11.2 eV. The θ XPS spectra shown in (d) are C 1s and Fe 3p photoelectron peaks recorded at the onset of graphene formation. The LEED pattern (e) for an incident electron energy, E_{kin} = 50 eV, was typical for the entire surface. LEER spectrum (f) of the final surface suggests a single layer of graphene.

Carbon emerging at the Fe-vacuum interface was initially detected by XPS at a temperature of 490 °C as shown in figure 2(d). This promotion of carbon to the metal surface was accompanied by an increased surface roughening as shown LEEM image Figure 2(a). Further annealing of this sample at 500 °C resulted in smoothing the
surface as shown in the sequence of LEEM images presented in Figure 2(a) to 2(c). The homogeneous image of Figure 2(c) suggests that a complete layer of graphene was formed after a 90 minute anneal at this temperature. This layer extended across the entire measurable crystal surface and had few small defects (<1 μm). The resultant LEED pattern of this surface (Figure 2(e)) is the bright hexagonal 1x1 LEED pattern of single-domain, epitaxial graphene. There is a weak Moiré pattern around the diffraction spots which extends along the [110] direction indicating some long-range order between the graphene and underlying Fe-film. The LEED pattern of the surface (Figure 2(f)) shows a strong energy dependence with a minimum at ~ 0.5 eV. LEED simulations of graphene on copper25 suggest that the energy position of this minimum depends on the substrate-graphene separation with values of < 1 eV corresponding to > 4.5 Å.

At temperatures above 500 °C, small FLG islands were found to grow on the first graphene layer. The occurrence of these FLG islands appears to be correlated with defects in the 1st complete graphene layer. Increasing the substrate temperature to 540 °C in 20 °C steps with measurements performed at room temperature following each step, resulted in the growth of FLG islands (~10 μm) as illustrated by the regions of different contrast in the LEEM image of Figure 3(a). The number of minima in the LEER spectra for selected areas of different LEEM contrast corresponds to the number of graphene layers in these FLG islands25, 26 (Figure 3(b)). The small, darker regions (green and red circles) in Figure 3(a) therefore correspond to 3 and 5 graphene layers respectively in this example, although islands of a range of thicknesses were observed across the sample surface.

**FIG. 3.** LEEM study of the surface following further annealing steps, (a) LEEM image (E_r= 4.7eV) for a metalized diamond (111) surface following numerous anneal cycles at temperatures up to 560 iam (b) LEER reflectivity spectra for selected areas of the annealed surface with different graphene layers. (c) C 1s core level photoelectron spectrum for the 2 ML region. (d,e and f) show LEED patterns for selected graphene islands of 3ML (green) 5ML (red) and 2ML (blue) respectively. Colours throughout the figure correspond to the coloured circles in panel (a).
The characteristic asymmetric C 1s core level line-shape for sp³-bonded carbon (Figure 3(c)) was measured at all points on the surface with the narrow FWHM indicating a well-ordered crystal.²⁷

The μ LEED patterns of the 3 and 5 layer regions that formed during the annealing steps to 540 °C (Figures 3(d), 3(e)) show rotational domain variations within the layers. However, the large regions of 2 ML graphene (blue circle) that were formed following a further anneal step to 560 °C grew in a single-domain structure as shown in the μ LEED pattern of Figure 3(f). This 2-d layer originated at a large number of small (< 100 nm) areas that merged laterally to form a second epitaxial graphene layer above the first graphene layer, described in Figure 2. This is interpreted to be the result of a new graphene layer forming at the Fe/Graphene interface, promoting regions of the 1st complete layer to the surface. The lightest regions in Figure 3(a) correspond to graphene identical to that shown in figure 2(c).

Having confirmed the structural and compositional quality of the graphene films and defining the parameters for 2-d growth, this method was used to prepare high quality graphene layers for further study of the electronic band structure. A 2ML graphene film grown by prolonged annealing of a Fe–diamond(111) interface at 500 °C, followed by a rapid increase to 560 °C was prepared for ARPES measurements.

The ARPES measurement in figure 4(a) shows the measured photoelectron intensity in the graphene π-bands nearest the Fermi level along the \( \overline{R} - \overline{M} - \overline{K}' \) direction of the Brillouin zone of a 2 ML graphene film grown on a metalized diamond(111) surface. (b) Schematic representation of the peak positions of the EDCs extracted at \( \overline{K}' \) (green) and \( \overline{M} \) (pink) in the adjacent panel. \( h\nu = 45 \text{ eV} \), 0 eV is referenced to the Fermi-level of a clean Au crystal.
and 0 Å⁻¹ respectively. The EDCs are shown adjacent to the schematic of figure 4(b). Two clear graphene-like \( \pi \)-bands can be seen, illustrated schematically (red and blue curves) along with two Fe 3d bands (orange) in Figure 4(b).

The higher binding energy band in Figure 4(a) is related to the first graphene layer.\(^{24}\) The Dirac-point and the saddle point of the \( \pi \)-band lie at 2.5 eV and 4.7 eV below the Fermi level (\( E_f \)) respectively. This band belongs to graphene located at the Fe/Graphene interface, and suggests a very strong n-type doping of the graphene due to interaction with the Fe film. The \( \pi \)-bands of this monolayer are seen to intersect with the Fe 3d states at around 1.5 eV and are not observed at lower binding energies. Hybridisation of the metal 3d-states and the \( \pi \)-states of graphene has been reported to induce a band-gap opening in the conduction band in ARPES studies of graphene on other transition metals such as Ni, Co\(^{28}\) and other Fe-graphene systems.\(^{19}\) This points to a possible reason as to why the \( \pi \)-states of the first graphene layer are not observed following their intersection with the metal 3d-states.

The \( \pi \)-band of the graphene that has been promoted to the surface intersects with \( E_f \) near the Dirac point, characteristic of the electron dispersion of pristine graphene.\(^{29}\) Interactions between graphene layers in FLG films have been shown to result in a change in the electronic dispersion of the \( \pi \)-band close to the Dirac point,\(^{30,31}\) resulting in a non-linearity. In our measurements the electronic dispersion of the \( \pi \)-band near the Dirac point of each graphene layer still exhibits a linear relation, indicating that the interaction between the two layers is weak.

In summary, a controllable method for the epitaxial growth of large area monolayer and bilayer graphene, using catalytic thermal decomposition of a diamond-Fe interface has been demonstrated. Graphene growth was found to initiate at temperatures as low as 500 °C although it was self-limiting at one monolayer at this temperature. As with most methods of graphene fabrication the electronic structure of the graphene layer in closest proximity to the substrate is influenced by substrate interactions,\(^{32,33}\) which is indeed the case here.

Rapidly increasing the temperature from 500°C to 560 °C promotes the 2-d growth of a second epitaxial graphene layer forming below the first, promoting the 1\(^{st}\) complete layer to the surface. The electron dispersion of this layer now shows the characteristic band structure of quasi-freestanding graphene, indicating only small or negligible interaction with the newly formed layer at the graphene/Fe interface.

Commercially produced diamond of extremely high quality is now manufactured routinely. Control over the number of NV defects is also attainable\(^{34}\) and is the key reason behind diamond’s quick emergence as an exciting spintronic material. When coupled to other already distinguished spin transport and injection materials such as graphene and ferromagnetic Fe the possibility for further fundamental investigation and potential applications is apparent.
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