Elongation and shape changes in organisms with cell walls: A dialogue between experiments and models
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ABSTRACT
The generation of anisotropic shapes occurs during morphogenesis of almost all organisms. With the recent renewal of the interest in mechanical aspects of morphogenesis, it has become clear that mechanics contributes to anisotropic forms in a subtle interaction with various molecular actors. Here, we consider plants, fungi, oomycetes, and bacteria, and we review the mechanisms by which elongated shapes are generated and maintained. We focus on theoretical models of the interplay between growth and mechanics, in relation with experimental data, and discuss how models may help us improve our understanding of the underlying biological mechanisms.

Introduction
Symmetry breaking is a fascinating feature of morphogenesis: How does a sphere-like organism become rod-like? How does a rod-like organism maintain its shape? Symmetry breaking occurs within cells, when cell polarity is established and proteins or organelles are asymmetrically distributed in the cytoplasm or at the plasma membrane (Bornens, 2008; Goehring and Grill, 2013). Cell polarity has been extensively investigated, notably using modelling approaches (Mogilner et al., 2012). In this review, we consider symmetry breaking when it is associated with shape changes, as exemplified by branching during hydra development (Mercker et al., 2015). More generally, symmetry breaking occurs when an initially symmetric shape—e.g. a sphere that is unchanged by rotations around its centre, or a cylinder that is unchanged by rotations around its axis—or an initially symmetric distribution of molecules (e.g. homogeneously distributed on a sphere) becomes asymmetric—a bump appears on the sphere or on the cylinder, or the molecules become more concentrated around a point of the sphere. Here we focus on walled cells and particularly consider plants, fungi, oomycetes, and bacteria. The cells of these organisms are characterised by a relatively high internal hydrostatic pressure known as turgor pressure, which results from the concentration of solutes in the cytosol, and drives growth (though this is debated, see e.g. Harold et al., 1996; Rojas et al., 2014). Turgor pressure is counterbalanced by a rigid shell, the extra-cellular matrix known as the cell wall, that prevents cells from bursting (Harold, 2002). As such, the cell wall is fundamental in determining cell shape. Although the cell walls of these organisms differ in their chemical composition (Lipke and Ovalle, 1998; Cosgrove, 2005; Silhavy et al., 2010), they have similar mechanical properties that are regulated so as to shape cells. In particular, pressure being a global and isotropic (non-directional) force, inhomogeneous or anisotropic distributions of mechanical or biochemical properties seem needed to establish and maintain asymmetric shapes.

Previous reviews addressed such questions for specific systems, see for instance (Davì and Minc, 2015, for fission yeast), (Chang and Huang, 2014, for bacteria and fission yeast), (Kroeger and Geitmann, 2012, for pollen tubes), or (Uyttewaal et al., 2010, for plants). In this review, we discuss the links between mechanics and growth of elongated cells across kingdoms. We pay specific attention to computational modelling, because it appears as a powerful tool to validate hypotheses by setting aside all but the fundamental actors of the phenomena investigated and to guide future experimental effort. We apologise to those whose work could not be included.

Systems of interest and their cell walls
We first present a few model systems; we give a brief introduction to their cell walls in terms of composition, structure, and mechanical properties, along with a presentation of available mechanical models of cell walls.
All kinds of shapes are found amongst bacteria. Actually, shape has long been an important criterion for classification (Cabeen and Jacobs-Wagner, 2005) and is fundamental for many bacterial functions (Young, 2006; Singh and Montgomery, 2011; Chang and Huang, 2014). The rod is a common shape, with Escherichia coli as a representative Gram-negative species, making bacteria good systems to study the establishment and maintenance of elongated shapes. Many rod-shaped bacteria expand diffusively, with new cell wall incorporated all along the rod (Fig. 1A), while other expand at a pole or within a restricted region (Cava et al., 2013).

The typical bacterial cell wall is mostly made of peptidoglycans and glycan strands (Beeby et al., 2013). A detailed mechanical model of the cell wall of Gram-negative bacteria was proposed by Huang et al. (2008), with the peptidoglycan network simulated like a network of springs; by adding defects in the network and modulating the type of defects and their density, this model reproduced several bacterial cell morphologies: curved, helical, snake-like, and lemon shapes. Whereas this model did not take growth into account, it was the starting point for many models of wall expansion, which are discussed in the next section.

### Fungi and oomycetes

Many fungi have elongated cells that grow from their tips: hyphae and yeasts. Hyphae are very long filamentous cells (Fig. 1B), that can be collectively organised into a mycelium. Yeasts are unicellular fungi. In particular, fission yeast (Schizosaccharomycyces pombe) is a good system to study cell polarity and the maintenance of rod shapes (Chang et al., 2009). Indeed, it grows as a capped cylinder, maintaining a constant diameter (except for spores, which are roughly spherical).

The cell wall of fungi is mostly made of glucans (excluding cellulose), mannoproteins, and chitin (Lipke and Ovalle, 1998). Although many of these components are fibrous, it is believed that the fungal cell wall does not have anisotropic mechanical properties because of the lack of preferential orientation of the fibres (Chang and Huang, 2014). (Strictly speaking, the fibres are mostly tangential, and the cell wall is transversely isotropic, being softer across the thickness than in the directions tangential to the wall.) Despite a rather well-known composition, fungal cell walls have not been modelled in detail.

Although oomycetes grow in mycelial forms like fungi, they belong to a different taxonomic group, Stramenopiles. Their cell walls are mostly made of glucans and, unlike fungi, they contain some cellulose and tiny amounts of chitin (Mélida et al., 2013).

### Plants: Pollen tubes and root hairs

Plants provide two other systems of interest, pollen tubes and root hairs, that elongate through tip growth (Fig. 1C). The pollen tube is a long protuberance that grows out from the pollen grain until it reaches the ovule for fertilisation (Geitmann, 2010; Kroeger and Geitmann, 2012). Accordingly, its growth is fast and highly directional. Its growing tip is formed of a single cell. Root hairs are long tubular outgrowths from specialised epidermal cells of the root. They are important for absorption of nutrients and anchorage to the soil (Carol and Dolan, 2002; Grierson et al., 2014).

The plant cell wall is mostly made of polysaccharides: cellulose microfibrils embedded in a matrix of hemicelluloses and pectins (Varner and Lin, 1989). Cellulose microfibrils can be much longer than the cell diameter and their organisation differs according to cell type and to developmental stage, ranging from highly directional circumferential alignment to random orientations (Cosgrove, 2005). As cellulose is the stiffer component of the wall, a preferential orientation of microfibrils can give anisotropic properties to the plant cell wall: It is stiffer in the direction of the fibres (Kerstens et al., 2001), which may lead to less expansion in this direction, and so drive anisotropic cell growth. In pollen tubes and root hairs, cellulose usually displays a helical arrangement that could help resist bending forces and penetrate external medium (Aouar et al., 2010); this arrangement could also reinforce the transition region between the tip and the cylindrical part, which bears the highest tension (Geitmann, 2010). An early model of the cell wall focused on the self-organisation of cellulose due to cell geometry (Emons and Mulder, 1998; Mulder and Emons, 2001); the condition of optimal packing of cellulose microfibrils restrains their direction and the movement of their synthesising complexes along the axis of the cell can generate various types of organisations with locally aligned fibres. More recently, models attempted to define realistic geometries for the arrangement of polysaccharides in the cell wall and to predict the corresponding elastic properties for small deformations (Qian et al.,
Some of the relevant results that we will discuss were obtained in a multicus cellular context. The shoot apical meristem (SAM) is the tissue located at the tip of any above-ground branch in a plant (Fig. 1C); it contains a stem cell pool and is the site of organogenesis (Ha et al., 2010; Murray et al., 2012; Gailllochet et al., 2014). Organs are initiated around the tip and emerge as protruberances from the apical dome, breaking the symmetry around the axis of the dome. As discussed above, the deposition of cellulose microfibrils in a preferential direction can provide anisotropic mechanical properties to the SAM.

Generating elongated shapes

Tip growth

We first consider geometrical models built in the context of hyphal growth. In hyphae of many fungi, an intriguing structure localised close to the tip and known as the spatzenkörper (SPK) concentrates vesicles around the tip and emerges as protuberances from the apical dome, breaking the symmetry around the axis of the dome. As discussed above, the deposition of cellulose microfibrils in a preferential direction can provide anisotropic mechanical properties to the SAM.

Plants: A multicellular case, the shoot apical meristem

Some of the relevant results that we will discuss were obtained in a multicellular context. The shoot apical meristem (SAM) is the tissue located at the tip of any above-ground branch in a plant (Fig. 1C); it contains a stem cell pool and is the site of organogenesis (Ha et al., 2010; Murray et al., 2012; Gailllochet et al., 2014). Organs are initiated around the tip and emerge as protruberances from the apical dome, breaking the symmetry around the axis of the dome. As discussed above, the deposition of cellulose microfibrils in a preferential direction can provide anisotropic mechanical properties to the SAM.

Generating elongated shapes

Tip growth

We first consider geometrical models built in the context of hyphal growth. In hyphae of many fungi, an intriguing structure localised close to the tip and known as the spatzenkörper (SPK) concentrates vesicles around the tip and emerges as protuberances from the apical dome, breaking the symmetry around the axis of the dome. As discussed above, the deposition of cellulose microfibrils in a preferential direction can provide anisotropic mechanical properties to the SAM.

Plants: A multicellular case, the shoot apical meristem

Some of the relevant results that we will discuss were obtained in a multicellular context. The shoot apical meristem (SAM) is the tissue located at the tip of any above-ground branch in a plant (Fig. 1C); it contains a stem cell pool and is the site of organogenesis (Ha et al., 2010; Murray et al., 2012; Gailllochet et al., 2014). Organs are initiated around the tip and emerge as protruberances from the apical dome, breaking the symmetry around the axis of the dome. As discussed above, the deposition of cellulose microfibrils in a preferential direction can provide anisotropic mechanical properties to the SAM.
with an incremental elastic model that included a sharp gradient of stiffness at the tip (Fayant et al., 2010). The best fitting of observed shapes was achieved assuming the cell wall transversely isotropic. Interestingly, the gradient of stiffness used in the simulation is consistent with the gradients of density observed for various cell wall components, such as pectins, cellulose, and callose, that determine its mechanical properties.

In fungi, the local delivery of new cell wall is driven by microtubules and actin filaments. The cytoskeleton could be directly required for growth or only define the location where wall expansion takes place. Chemical treatments and mutants have demonstrated that disruption of microtubules leads to major geometrical defects in fission yeast (Hagan, 1998). Application of actin inhibitors can modify the dynamics of growth or completely arrest it depending on the concentrations used. Consequently, the microtubules and actin filaments must efficiently target the cell tips (Savin and Nurse, 1998; Terenna et al., 2008) to deliver the new material to the proper location. Drake and Vavylonis (2013) modelled the coupling between microtubule dynamics, a remodelling signal – a protein required for cell wall remodelling, and cell wall mechanics. As in many previous studies, they considered the cell wall as effectively viscous (Campàs and Mahadevan, 2009) and they assumed in addition that effective viscosity is reduced by the remodelling signal. They considered microtubules as growing and shrinking flexible rods attached to the nucleus. They first assumed that the level of the remodelling signal is imposed by the likelihood of contact between microtubules and cell wall, but they found that this did not enable the maintenance of cell width over many generations (as observed in living cells). Maintenance of cell width was achieved with additional assumptions: microtubules control the deposition of landmark proteins that in turn attract the remodelling signal; the remodelling signal has an intrinsic dynamics (such as reaction-diffusion) that leads to its localisation over a region of well-defined size. To summarise, Drake and Vavylonis (2013) built one of the first successful models for cell morphogenesis that integrates cell polarity and cell wall mechanics. It would be interesting to further probe this model by, for instance, investigating the recovery from spheroplasts (cells that became round following wall digestion) to rod-like shapes.

Abenza et al. (2015) combined experiments and mechanical models to explore which cellular processes among polarity, exocytosis, or wall synthesis determine the pattern of cell wall expansion in fission yeast. They used an incremental elastic model and assumed the elastic modulus to be a function of either of the cell-end localised factors involved in the three previous cellular processes. They found that exocytosis factors better predicted the observed pattern of wall expansion. The pattern of supply of wall materials thus appears to be essential for shape, making the connection between the concepts behind mechanical models and those behind VSC-like models.

Overall, the qualitative agreement with experiments of a range of mechanical models strongly supports the notion that a softer/more compliant tip is required for tip growth. However it is yet difficult to ascertain which models are more relevant to actual cells. Further quantitative measurements of wall expansion and wall mechanics are required to make further progress.

It is generally believed that the epidermis of aerial plant tissues is under tension (see Peters et al., 1996, for a review), which would occur for instance if the epidermis is much stiffer than internal tissues (as inferred in Beauzamy et al., 2015). Consequently, a tissue like the shoot apical meristem (SAM) behaves mechanically like a pressurised shell, in which the epidermis plays the role of the shell, while inner layers corresponds to a liquid under pressure. The SAM is therefore comparable mechanically to the uncellular systems considered so far. Quantification of cell wall expansion in an Arabidopsis mutant that does not produce organs (pin-formed 1, defective in a protein that enables efflux from cells of the phytohormone auxin) revealed higher expansion rate in an annulus that surrounds the tip (Kwitowska, 2004), consistent with stiffer cell walls at the tip (Milani et al., 2011, 2014) and reminiscent of expansion patterns in root hairs. However, this analogy is only partial because the mechanical properties of cell walls are likely anisotropic in the shoot apex (see following section). Nevertheless, spatial variations in the mechanical properties of cell walls seem to be required to establish the patterns of growth that underlie morphogenesis. For instance, the appearance of a new growth axis – the primordium of a lateral organ such as a leaf or a flower – on the side of the meristem is associated with a locally softer cell wall (Peaucelle et al., 2011; Kierzkowski et al., 2012). This outgrowth requires an increase in pectin demethylsterification (Peaucelle et al., 2011), which occurs in internal cell walls before in surface walls, and is dependent on the accumulation of auxin (Braybrook and Peaucelle, 2013). Note that this constitutes an important difference with pollen tubes, where pectin demethylsterification rigidifies the cell wall and therefore inhibits growth (see Bosch et al., 2006, for more details). The three-dimensional patterns in cell wall properties prompted Boudon et al. (2015) to develop realistic mechanical models of tissues. Each cell wall is considered as a thin surface with elastic, plastic and viscous properties. By fine tuning the stiffness and/or viscosity of walls, Boudon et al. (2015) were able to make one or several organs emerge. This work shows how heterogeneity in stiffness may generate complex shapes. Interestingly, several solutions are sometimes possible for creating a given shape. For this reason, the comparison of computational outputs with real tissues cannot be limited to shape and requires other experimental observations.

**Anisotropic diffuse growth**

The stiffness of a material is not just a number. The material can be anisotropic, i.e. it can have different values of stiffness in different directions, like for instance a fibre-reinforced material, which is harder to stretch in the direction of the fibres. In many cases, elongation of wall cells requires such anisotropy.

In many rod-shaped bacteria, growth occurs on the cylindrical region of the cell (Cava et al., 2013). New material is inserted as small patches on the cylindrical part of the cell, a process coordinated by MreB filaments (Chang and Huang, 2014). Despite the growth being distributed on their cylindrical part, bacteria grow as elongated cells. This is often thought to be caused by anisotropic reinforcement of the cell wall, either directly through a mechanical anisotropy of their material (Yao et al., 1999) or indirectly through the bacterial cytoskeleton itself. Jiang et al. (2011) focused on the effect of MreB and built a model of elongation that reproduced shapes, divisions, and bulging in wild-type and mutant strains of *E. coli*. The cell wall was considered as a continuous transversely isotropic material whose growth is driven by the transformation of intracellular energy into a mecanochemical energy, that combines the elastic energy of the cell wall and the chemical energy of new bonds. Growth is much slower than MreB dynamics, thus the mechanical effect of helical MreB filaments is averaged over time and modelled as a radial force resisting turgor pressure and yielding a preferred radius for the cell. Without this force, cells grew spherically. Whereas MreB may bend liposomes (Hussain et al., 2018), it is unknown whether MreB is strong enough to induce curvature of the cell wall as it is synthesised. Banerjee et al. (2016) extended this model to also reproduce vibrio shape, where the rod-shaped bacterium is slightly bent (e.g. *Caulobacter crescentus*), by incorporating a preferred curvature of Crescentin-like proteins (with the same caveat as for MreB). It would be interesting to know whether observed cell shapes would still be retrieved by these models if forces from the cytoskeleton were replaced by anisotropy in elastic energy or in bond energy.

Starting from a previous static model of the cell wall in Gram-negative bacteria (Huang et al., 2008, see above), Furchgott et al. (2011) modelled wall expansion by considering the insertion of new short glycan strands into existing peptide cross-links, according to one of 3 scenarios: the first scenario—random choice of the peptides—leads to
bulging and loss of straightness; the two other scenarios—uniform insertion by choosing peptides inversely proportionally to their density or helical insertion according to the motion of synthase—enables the maintenance of rod shapes. In order to study the molecular details of cell wall remodelling, Nguyen et al. (2015) later built a model on a similar, coarse-grained scale; they used more realistic mechanical parameters for the peptidoglycan network, which they inferred from molecular dynamics simulations. They achieved maintenance of rod shapes by accounting for the spatiotemporal dynamics of enzymes involved in cell wall remodelling and assuming coordination between enzyme activities. Thus, the use of different microscopic hypotheses (Purc'hgott et al., 2011; Nguyen et al., 2015) yields different macroscopic outcomes, which calls for further comparison with experimental data. In a more abstract model based on continuum mechanics, Amir and Nelson (2012) represented the insertion of new material as the creation and movement of defects (dislocations in this case) along the peptidoglycan lattice. Using biological relevant values of parameters, they retrieved exponential growth in length, with a rate that is sensitive to turgor pressure. Altogether, these studies show that circumferential insertion of glycans is a key ingredient for maintenance of shape.

In bacteria, it is unclear whether the mechanical anisotropy of the cell wall is required for rod shapes, as direct experimental evidence is lacking while models with defects moving in isotropic walls (Amir and Nelson, 2012) may produce rod-shaped cells. In contrast, in plants, reduced cellulose content induces more isotropic growth (Baskin, 2001), supporting the idea that mechanical anisotropy is required for anisotropic diffuse growth. In the multicellular context of the shoot apex, it is likely that stiffness anisotropy combines with the local softening discussed earlier. Indeed, oriented deposition of cellulose may lead to strongly anisotropic cell walls (Cosgrove, 2005).

In trichomes—elongated hair cells found in the aerial part of plants—because they appear to differ from pollen tubes. Yanagisawa et al. (2015) used a viscoelastic thin-shell model of the cell wall, expanding due to turgor-generated tension. They needed to combine softer tip and mechanical anisotropy—wall stiffer in the circumferential direction than along the hair axis—on the sides to better match experimental data. Similar ideas would apply to the boundary between an emerging organ and the meristem, around the tip of the shoot, and around the tip of an organ primordium (Hamant et al., 2008), where oriented cellulose deposition is predicted based on the orientation of microtubules. The associated mechanical anisotropy would provide an additional mechanism for the elongation of the shoot or of the organ.

Indeed, using a cell-based mechanical model of tissue growth, Boudon and Davidson (2013) used two fitting parameters to reproduce the geometry of cells of many organisms (plants, fungi, actinobacteria), though the biological relevance of these parameters is unclear. Such models show that curvature-based expansion is sufficient to account for the stable form of tip-growing cells.

There is evidence for curvature-sensing in bacteria. Ursell et al. (2014) and Billings et al. (2014) found that MreB localises preferentially to regions where the wall has negative curvature; the spatiotemporal correlation of expansion and MreB indicates that MreB precedes expansion. This causal link between curvature and MreB was confirmed by perturbation experiments. Altogether, experimental data suggest that MreB relocalises to regions of negative curvature (Fig. 2A), inducing more expansion and reverting the local geometry to cylindrical. Ursell et al. (2014) used the model for glycan strand insertion.
(Furchtgott et al., 2011, see above) to test this mechanism in *E. coli*. They assumed that insertion occurs preferentially at regions of low curvature and found that this stabilised growth of a rod-shaped cell and enabled the recovery from an initially bent shape. Hussain et al. (2018) gave further experimental support to these conclusions by manipulating shapes of *Bacillus subtilis* by chemical treatments or by confinement in channels. However, Wong et al. (2017) combined experimental and theoretical approaches to show that the observed local enrichment in MreB in deformed bacteria is not sufficient to explain the recovery of a straight shape.

**Sensing forces**

Bacteria are known to sense their mechanical state through channels that are sensitive to membrane tension. In *E. coli*, Wong et al. (2017) proposed that strain-activated growth could qualitatively explain the response and recovery of experimentally bent bacteria. Interestingly, although the biochemical implementation of this mechanism is unclear, it was suggested to work jointly with MreB-mediated regulation (as discussed above).

In fission yeast, spores grow roughly spherically, until an outgrowth initiates the rod-like shape of vegetative cells. The associated transition from unstable to stable polarity is triggered mechanically by the rupture of the outer wall of the spore, which is a very stiff thin layer that surrounds the vegetative-like wall (Bonazzi et al., 2014). Interestingly, the ratio between the volume at the transition and the initial volume of the spore is constant, despite a large variability in initial volume. Bonazzi et al. (2014) modelled the outer wall as an elastic stiff shell (that may rupture) and considered the vegetative wall either as elastic or as viscoplastic—the viscoplastic region is where growth occurs and it corresponds to the location of the polarisome (the ensemble of polarly localised proteins). The polarisome was assumed to move randomly, mimicking experimental observations. When it is intact, the outer shell prevents outgrowth and keeps the spore roughly spherical. The tension in the shell increases, up to a threshold over which its local rupture initiates the outgrowth. The theoretical results thus show that stress-sensing via mechanical rupture enables the outgrowth (Fig. 2B) to occur when the ratio of spore volume to initial volume has reached a well-defined threshold. Similarly, Davi et al. (2018) combined experiments and models to propose that sensing forces is required for the maintenance of tip growth in vegetative yeast cells.

As mentioned above, morphogenesis at the shoot apex relies both on local softening and mechanical anisotropy. The two mechanisms are tuned by a feedback from mechanics. The local softening that initiates organ emergence is triggered by the local accumulation of the phytohormone auxin (Sassi and Vernoux, 2013). Auxin patterns are determined by the polarity of PIN FORMED1 (PIN1), a membrane-addressed protein that facilitates auxin efflux. Three hypotheses have been proposed for the determination of auxin polarity based on experimental observations (Abley et al., 2013; Sassi and Vernoux, 2013): flux of auxin, gradient of auxin, and intrinsic property of the cell that may be oriented by external cues. We here focus on the second hypothesis, because it has been related to mechanical signals. According to this hypothesis, PIN1 polarity in a cell is determined by auxin concentration in neighbouring cells so that PIN1 is polarised towards the cell with higher concentration. This so-called “up the gradient” model can reproduce observed auxin patterns (Jönsson et al., 2006; Smith et al., 2006), though it raises questions about how cells might sense auxin concentration in neighbouring cells. More recent experimental evidence suggests that PIN1 proteins indirectly sense the mechanical status of cell walls (Heisler et al., 2010; Nakayama et al., 2012; Braybrook and Peaucelle, 2013). More precisely, PIN1 in a cell would be polarised towards the cell wall with the highest mechanical stress/strain (which of strain or stress is sensed is still unclear), which is shared with the cell with highest auxin concentration due to the induced softening of its walls (Fig. 2C). This chemomechanical model has been implemented using the finite element method for the mechanics coupled with a system of differential equation for the auxin dynamics (Heisler et al., 2010). It is able to generate patterns of auxin accumulation and to reproduce the radial PIN1 reorientation observed around a cell ablation.

In many plant tissues, mechanical signals also regulate the orientation of cellulose microfibrils (Castle, 1937; Green and King, 1966; Preston, 1988; Wasteneys and Williamson, 1987; Wasteneys et al., 1989; Williamson, 1990; Fischer and Schofer, 1997; Hejnolwicz et al., 2000; Hamant et al., 2008; Jacques et al., 2013; Sampathkumar et al., 2014). Indeed, cellulose is synthesised following the orientation of cortical microtubules (Baskin, 2001; Bringmann et al., 2012). Additionally, microtubules orient along the direction of maximal mechanical tension (Wasteneys and Williamson, 1987; Wasteneys et al., 1989; Williamson, 1990; Fischer and Schofer, 1997; Hejnolwicz et al., 2000; Hamant et al., 2008; Jacques et al., 2013; Sampathkumar et al., 2014). Consequently, the preferential orientation of cellulose microfibrils reinforces the cell wall in the direction of mechanical tension (Landrein and Hamant, 2013). Several theoretical studies investigated the consequences of this feedback loop between mechanical stress and cell wall anisotropy (Fig. 2C). Hamant et al. (2008) modelled the shoot apical meristem as an elastic surface in 3D, thus only accounting for the epidermal cell layer. They used a vertex model, meaning that they only considered cell walls orthogonal to the surface of epidermis, represented as 1-dimensional springs. The stiffnesses of these springs increase as they are more parallel to the local mechanical tension, mimicking the orientation of the microtubules and their feedback on the mechanical properties of cell walls. Growth is driven by the turgor pressure of internal tissues. Above a stress threshold, the cell walls yield and thus deform plastically. By initiating the emergence of an organ via the local softening of a group of cells, the cellulose reorientation leads to a circumferential pattern around the organ, reinforcing the boundary with the apical dome and thus making the symmetry breaking more effective. Bozorg et al. (2014) obtained similar results using a continuous model for the epidermal layer. They further showed that using mechanical strain instead of stress as a directional cue is not sufficient to account for experimental observations.

**Sensing growth rate**

In fission yeast, an additional result from the mechanical model discussed above (Bonazzi et al., 2014) is that a positive feedback between growth and polarity can explain the stabilisation during spore outgrowth. Bonazzi et al. (2014) considered three possible cues that bias the random motion of the polarisome (polarly localised proteins): curvature of the cell surface, mechanical stress in the surface, and expansion rate. Only the last cue led to stable cylindrical shapes (Fig. 2B), which was supported by further experiments in which wall expansion was manipulated.

Rojas et al. (2011) developed a model coupling the deposition of new material and the mechanics of the cell wall. They reproduced the morphologies of pollen tubes and were able to explain growth oscillations that are observed in rapidly growing tubes. In this model, the rate of deposition of wall material decreases with the velocity of the cell tip, making a link between exocytosis and growth rate. Consequently, the cell may either grow at constant rate or oscillate between phases of high deposition and slow elongation and phases of low deposition and fast elongation. This results in either cylindrical or pearled pollen tubes, respectively. At least two hypotheses could account for such negative feedback. A ‘passive’ hypothesis is that when growth velocity increases, exocytosis becomes relatively too slow to provide materials to the growing tip. An ‘active’ hypothesis is that a high rate of cell wall expansion may lead to higher membrane tension (due to limited membrane supply), leading to the opening of mechanosensitive channels and the entry of cytosolic calcium that would downregulate the polymerisation of the actin cytoskeleton and thus the delivery of cell wall...
Overall, the three studies discussed above use models to suggest that to know whether this mechanism is involved in regulating cell shape. Prevent over-expansion of the wall by reducing the supply of wall growth of pollen tubes could explain the stabilisation of polarity by negative curvature and MreB localisation could also be driven by a common signal such as proteins involved in cell wall synthesis (Billings et al., 2014). In the study of perturbations in experiments and in models. Rod-shaped bacteria grow diffusely, requiring the mechanical reinforcement of their sides. This reinforcement is likely achieved thanks to the circumferential insertion of glycan strands (Huang et al., 2008; Parchgott et al., 2011). On a multicellular scale, plants combine those tip growth and anisotropic diffuse growth to initiate organs morphogenesis. Theoretical models indicate that the two mechanisms are necessary to induce the massive shape changes required for organogenesis. The major actors of this mechanical control of morphogenesis, each corresponding to one of the strategies discussed here, are the phytohormone auxin, which is involved in the softening of the plant cell wall (Reinhardt et al., 2000), and cellulose, a stiff polymer whose oriented deposition leads to stiffness anisotropy (Baskin, 2001).

Several feedbacks have been identified that may contribute to the maintenance of rod shapes. Bacteria, thanks to a simple mechanism of curvature-sensing based on the MreB protein, are able to maintain and even to generate de novo cylindrical shapes (Billings et al., 2014; UrSELL et al., 2014; Wong et al., 2017). In fission yeast, a precise volume doubling between the germination and the outgrowth is granted by the mechanical role of crystalline cellulose in growing pollen tubes. Sex. Plant Reprod. 23 (11), 15–27. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00497-009-0110-7. Barnicki-Garcia, S., Hergert, F., Gierz, G., 1989. Computer simulation of fungal morphogenesis and the mathematical basis for hyphal tip growth. Protoplasma 153 (1–2), 46–57. URL http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF01226464.

The molecular actors behind many of these feedbacks are unknown. Curvature-sensing in bacteria could be due to a membrane curvature-dependent binding energy of the protein complex that includes MreB. Negative curvature and MreB localisation could also be driven by a common signal such as proteins involved in cell wall synthesis (Billings et al., 2014). In fission yeast, mechanisms similar to the oscillatory growth of pollen tubes could explain the stabilisation of polarity by surface expansion (YAN et al., 2009; Rojas et al., 2011). Alternatively, polarity could be diluted and destabilised in the absence of sufficient growth (Layton et al., 2011). Finally, growth could be involved in the monitoring of cellular dimensions by intracellular gradients (Howard, 2012). In the context of the shoot apex, feedback mechanisms are still poorly understood and we may only speculate. Stretching of the cell membrane or of the cell wall could activate ion channels or modify the conformation of wall-bound proteins, triggering pathways that impact on microtubules or on PIN1 (Landrein and Hamant, 2013). In the case of auxin transport, an alternative hypothesis is the activation of exocytosis and inhibition of endocytosis by the tension in the cell membrane (Hamill and Martinac, 2001). All these hypotheses lack evidence, but new insights can be expected with progress in cellular and developmental biology, together with physically-based models of the associated processes.

We tried here to highlight concepts and generic mechanisms that hold across kingdoms. Future directions might stem from enhanced cross-fertilisation between approaches and concepts developed in the context of specific systems. For instance, detailed mechanical models of the growing bacterial cell wall could provide inspiration for the more complex and less organised cell walls of fungi, oomycetes, and plants. Conversely, continuous models developed for plants, fungi, and oomycetes could be used to test coarse hypotheses in bacterial morphogenesis, before dealing with more intricate molecular details. More generally, modelling morphogenesis at multiple scales, with models assembled as a Russian doll to make links between successive scales or levels, would allow to deal with a suite of simple models than can be falsified separately and assembled to address more elaborate questions.
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