A SPECTRALLY ACCURATE METHOD FOR THE DIELECTRIC OBSTACLE SCATTERING PROBLEM AND APPLICATIONS TO THE INVERSE PROBLEM
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Abstract. We analyze the inverse problem to reconstruct the shape of a three dimensional homogeneous dielectric obstacle from the knowledge of noisy far field data. The forward problem is solved by a system of second kind boundary integral equations. For the numerical solution of these coupled integral equations we propose a fast spectral algorithm by transporting these equations onto the unit sphere. We review the differentiability properties of the boundary to far field operator and give a characterization of the adjoint operator of the first Fréchet derivative. Using these results we discuss the implementation of the iteratively regularized Gauss-Newton method for the numerical solution of the inverse problem and give numerical results for star-shaped obstacles.
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1. Introduction. The problem to reconstruct the shape of scatterers from noisy far field measurements of time-harmonic waves arises in many fields of applied physics, as for example sonar and radar applications, bio-medical imaging and non destructive testing. Such inverse problems are severely ill-posed. Often they are formulated as a nonlinear least squares problem, for which regularized iterative algorithm can be applied to recover an approximate solution.

The numerical treatment of the inverse problem requires the investigation of the forward problem. Here we consider the scattering of time-harmonic waves at a fixed frequency $\omega$ by a three-dimensional bounded and non-conducting homogeneous dielectric obstacle $\Omega$. The electric permittivity $\epsilon$ and the magnetic permeability $\mu$ are assumed to take constant values in the interior and in the exterior of the obstacle, but discontinuous across the interface $\Gamma$. The wavenumber is given by the formula $\kappa = \omega \sqrt{\epsilon \mu}$. In this case the forward problem is described by the system of Maxwell equations in the whole space $\mathbb{R}^3$, with natural transmission conditions expressing the continuity of the tangential components of the magnetic and electric fields across $\Gamma$. Let $\Omega^c$ denote the exterior domain $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ and $n$ denote the outer unit normal vector on the boundary $\Gamma$. We label the dielectric quantities related to the interior domain $\Omega$ by the index $i$ and to the exterior domain $\Omega^c$ by the index $e$. Eliminating the magnetic field in the Maxwell system we obtain the following transmission problem: Given an incident electric wave $E^{\text{inc}}$ which is assumed to solve the second order Maxwell equation in the absence of any dielectric scatterer, find the electric field solution $E = (E^i, E^e)$ that satisfies

\begin{align}
(1.1a) & \quad \text{curl} \text{ curl} \ E^e - \kappa_e^2 E^e = 0 \quad \text{in} \ \Omega^e, \\
(1.1b) & \quad \text{curl} \text{ curl} \ E^i - \kappa_i^2 E^i = 0 \quad \text{in} \ \Omega, \\
(1.1c) & \quad n \times E^i = n \times (E^i + E^{\text{inc}}) \\
(1.1d) & \quad \frac{1}{\mu_i} n \times \text{curl} \ E^i = \frac{1}{\mu_e} n \times \text{curl}(E^e + E^{\text{inc}}).
\end{align}

In addition the scattered field $E^s$ has to satisfy the Silver-Müller radiation condition

\begin{equation}
(1.1e) \quad \lim_{|x| \to +\infty} \frac{|x|}{|x|} \left| \text{curl} \ E^s(x) \times \frac{x}{|x|} - i\omega \mu_e E^e(x) \right| = 0.
\end{equation}

Institut für Numerische und Angewandte Mathematik, Georg-August Universität Göttingen, 37083 Göttingen, Germany, E-mail: hohage@math.uni-goettingen.de
uniformly in all directions $x/|x|$. Well-posedness of the dielectric obstacle scattering problem for any positive real values of the dielectric constants is well known, and this problem can be reduced in several different ways to coupled or single boundary integral equations on the dielectric interface $\Gamma$: For an overview of these formulations for smooth boundaries we refer to Harrington’s book [19] and the paper of Martin and Ola [27]. Some pairs of integral equations have irregular frequencies and others do not, as the so-called Müller’s system [29]. The occurrence of irregular frequencies can be avoided by the use of single combined-field integral equation method. This idea was first suggested by Mautz [28]. Existence of the solution was then proved by Ola and Martin via a regularization technique. For a Lipschitz boundary, Buffa, Hiptmair, von Petersdorff and Schwab [2] derived a uniquely solvable system of integral equations and Costabel and Le Louër [4, 26] constructed a family of four alternative single boundary integral equations extending a technique due to Kleinman and Martin [23] in acoustic scattering.

The radiation condition implies that the scattered field $E^s$ has an asymptotic behavior of the form

$$E^s(x) = \frac{e^{ix_d|x|}}{|x|} E^\infty(\hat{x}) + O\left(\frac{1}{|x|}\right), \quad |x| \to \infty,$$

uniformly in all directions $\hat{x} = \frac{x}{|x|}$. The far field pattern $E^\infty$ is a tangential vector function defined on the unit sphere $S^2$ of $\mathbb{R}^3$ and is always analytic.

The forward problem discussed in this paper is the scattering of $m$ incident plane waves of the form $E_k^{inc}(x) = p_k e^{ik_d x} d_k, k = 1, \ldots, m$ where $d_k, p_k \in S^2$ and $d_k \cdot p_k = 0$. We denote by $F_k$ the boundary to far field operator that maps a parametrization of the boundary $\Gamma$ onto the far field pattern $E_k^{\infty}$ of the scattered field $E_k^s$ of the solution $(E_k^1, E_k^2)$ to the problem (1.1a)-(1.1e) for the incident wave $E_k^{inc}$. For simplicity we do not distinguish between the boundary $\Gamma$ and its parametrization in this introduction. The inverse problem consists in reconstructing $\Gamma$ given noisy measured data described by

$$E_{k,\delta}^{\infty} = F_k(\Gamma) + \text{err}_k, \quad k = 1, \ldots, m \quad \sum_{k=1}^m \|\text{err}_k\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \delta^2.$$  

Here measurement errors are described by the functions $\text{err}_k$, and the error bound $\delta$, the incident fields $E_k^{inc}$, and the dielectric constants are assumed to be known. By straightforward modifications of the algorithms described in this paper, one could simultaneously invert for $\Gamma$ and a dielectric constant. In this situation Hähner [13] has shown a uniqueness result assuming knowledge of the far field patterns for all incoming plane waves. However, even with known dielectric constants it remains an open question whether or not $\Gamma$ is uniquely determined by only a finite number of incoming plane waves and known dielectric constants.

Over the last two decades, much attention has been devoted to the investigation of efficient iterative method, in particular regularized Newton-type for nonlinear ill-posed problem via first order linearization [1, 20, 19, 22]. Until now, it was successfully applied to inverse acoustic scattering problems [20, 14]. Indeed, the use of such iterative methods requires the analysis and an explicit form of the Fréchet derivatives of the boundary to far field operators $F_k$. The Fréchet derivative of the far field pattern is usually interpreted as a the far field pattern of a new scattering problem and these characterizations are well-known in acoustic scattering since the 90’s. Many different approaches were used: Fréchet differentiability of the far field (or of the solution away from the boundary) was established by Kirsch [22] and Hettlich [16, 17] via variational methods, by Potthast via boundary integral representations [35, 33], by Hohage [20] and Schormann [21] via the implicit function theorem and by Kress and Puigvàrinta via Green’s theorem and a far field identity [25].
In electromagnetism, Fréchet differentiability was first investigated by Potthast [34] for the perfect conductor problem extending the boundary integral equation approach. The characterization of the derivative was then improved by Kress [24]. More recently, Fréchet differentiability was analyzed by Haddar and Kress [12] for the Neumann-impedance type obstacle scattering problem via the use of a far field identity and by Costabel and Le Louër [5, 6, 26] and Hettlich [18] for the dielectric scattering problem via the boundary integral equation approach [4, 26] and variational methods, respectively.

It is the purpose of the present paper to apply the iteratively regularized Gauss-Newton method to the inverse dielectric obstacle scattering problem. In section 2, we describe the two different boundary integral equation methods that are used to solve the electromagnetic transmission problem via direct and indirect approaches. In section 3 we propose a new spectral method to solve these systems which ensures superalgebraic convergence of the discrete solution to the exact solution, in the case of simply connected closed surface. Ganesh and Hawkins proposed first two methods, in the context of the perfect conductor problem, by transforming the integral equation on the surface $\Gamma$ in an integral equation on the unit sphere using a change of variable and then by looking for a solution in terms of series (component-wise) of scalar spherical harmonics [9] or of series of vector spherical harmonics [10]. To decrease the number of unknowns, they introduce in [11] a normal transformation acting from the tangent plane to the boundary $\Gamma$ onto the tangent plane to the unit sphere, so that one only has to seek a solution in terms of tangential vector spherical harmonics. Here we use a different approach based on the Piola transform of a diffeomorphism from $S^2$ to $\Gamma$ that maps the energy space $H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)$ defined in the following section to the space $H^{-1/2}(S^2)$. The numerical implementation is discussed in section 4 and numerical results on the convergence rate of the method are presented. In section 5 we recall the main results on the Fréchet differentiability of the boundary to far field operator and give a characterization of the adjoint operator, following ideas of [20], which is needed in the implementation of the regularized Newton method. In section 6, we present the inverse scattering algorithm in the special case of star-shaped obstacles. Finally, in section 7 we show numerical experiments.

2. The solution of the dielectric obstacle scattering problem. In this paper, we will assume that $\Gamma$ is the boundary of a smooth domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, which is diffeomorphic to a ball, so in particular $\Gamma$ is connected and simply connected.

**Notation 2.1.** We denote by $H^s(\Omega)$, $H^s_0(\Omega)$ and $H^s(\Gamma)$ the standard (local in the case of the exterior domain) complex valued, Hilbertian Sobolev space of order $s \in \mathbb{R}$ defined on $\Omega$, $\overline{\Omega}$ and $\Gamma$ respectively (with the convention $H^0 = L^2$). Spaces of vector functions will be denoted by boldface letters, thus $\mathbf{H}^s = (H^s)^3$. Moreover, $\mathbf{H}^s_0(\Gamma) := \{ j \in \mathbf{H}^s(\Gamma); \ j \cdot n = 0 \}$ denotes the Sobolev space of tangential vector fields of order $s \in \mathbb{R}$. If $\Lambda$ is a differential operator, we write:

$$H^s(\Lambda, \Omega) = \{ v \in H^s(\Omega); \ \Lambda v \in H^s(\Omega) \},$$

$$H^s_0(\Lambda, \Omega) = \{ v \in H^s_0(\overline{\Omega}); \ \Lambda v \in H^s_0(\overline{\Omega}) \}.$$  

The space $\mathbf{H}^s(\Lambda, \Omega)$ is endowed with the natural graph norm $\| v \|_{H^s(\Lambda, \Omega)} := (\| \Lambda v \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \| \Lambda v \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2)^{1/2}$. This defines in particular the Hilbert spaces $\mathbf{H}^s(\mathbf{curl}, \Omega)$ and $\mathbf{H}^s(\mathbf{curl}, \Omega_c)$ and the Fréchet spaces $\mathbf{H}^s_0(\mathbf{curl}, \Omega)$ and $\mathbf{H}^s_0(\mathbf{curl}, \Omega_c)$. When $s = 0$ we omit the upper index 0.

Analogously, we introduce for $s \in \mathbb{R}$ the Hilbert space

$$H^s_{\text{div}}(\Gamma) = \{ j \in H^s(\Gamma); \ \text{div}_\Gamma j \in H^s(\Gamma) \}$$

endowed with the norm $\| \cdot \|_{H^s_{\text{div}}(\Gamma)} := (\| \text{div}_\Gamma \cdot \|_{H^s(\Gamma)}^2 + \| \text{div}_\Gamma \cdot \|_{H^s(\Gamma)}^2)^{1/2}$. Recall that for a vector function $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{curl}, \Omega) \cap \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{curl}, \Omega_c)$ the traces $\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{u}|_\Gamma$ and $\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{curl} \mathbf{u}|_\Gamma$ are in $H^{-1/2}_{\text{div}}(\Gamma)$ (see e.g. [30]).
It will be useful to simultaneously work with two different approaches to solve the dielectric scattering problems described in [27]. Both methods yield boundary integral equation systems of the second kind.

Let \( \Phi(\kappa, x) = \frac{e^{i|\kappa|x}}{|4\pi|x|} \) denote the fundamental solution of the Helmholtz equation \( \Delta u + \kappa^2 u = 0 \). For any solution \( E^s \) to the Maxwell equation (1.1a) in \( \Omega^c \) that satisfies the radiation condition (1.1c) the Stratton-Shu representation formula

\[
E^s(x) = \frac{\mu_s}{\kappa^2} \int \mathbf{curl}^x \mathbf{curl}^x \left\{ \Phi(\kappa_c, x - y) \left( \frac{1}{\mu_c} \mathbf{n}(y) \times \mathbf{curl} E^s(y) \right) \right\} ds(y) \\
+ \int \mathbf{curl}^x \left\{ \Phi(\kappa_c, x - y)(\mathbf{n}(y) \times E^s(y)) \right\} ds(y).
\]

holds true. Analogously, for solutions \( E^i \) to Maxwell’s equations (1.1a) in the interior domain \( \Omega \) the Stratton-Shu representation formula reads

\[
E^i(x) = -\frac{\mu_i}{\kappa_i} \int \mathbf{curl}^x \mathbf{curl}^x \left\{ \Phi(\kappa_i, x - y) \left( \frac{1}{\mu_i} \mathbf{n}(y) \times \mathbf{curl} E^i(y) \right) \right\} ds(y) \\
- \int \mathbf{curl}^x \left\{ \Phi(\kappa_i, x - y)(\mathbf{n}(y) \times E^i(y)) \right\} ds(y), \quad x \in \Omega.
\]

By Green’s second formula in \( \Omega \) we have

\[
0 = \frac{\mu_s}{\kappa^2} \int \mathbf{curl}^x \mathbf{curl}^x \left\{ \Phi(\kappa_c, x - y) \left( \frac{1}{\mu_c} \mathbf{n}(y) \times \mathbf{curl} E^{inc}(y) \right) \right\} ds(y) \\
+ \int \mathbf{curl}^x \left\{ \Phi(\kappa_c, x - y)(\mathbf{n}(y) \times E^{inc}(y)) \right\} ds(y), \quad x \in \Omega^c.
\]

Adding (2.1) and (2.2) we obtain the following integral representation for the scattered wave

\[
E^s(x) = \frac{\mu_s}{\kappa^2} \int \mathbf{curl}^x \mathbf{curl}^x \left\{ \Phi(\kappa_c, x - y) \left( \frac{1}{\mu_c} \mathbf{n}(y) \times \mathbf{curl} (E^s + E^{inc})(y) \right) \right\} ds(y) \\
+ \int \mathbf{curl}^x \left\{ \Phi(\kappa_c, x - y)(\mathbf{n}(y) \times (E^s + E^{inc})(y)) \right\} ds(y), \quad x \in \Omega^c.
\]

By (2.1) and (2.3), one can see that the solution of the forward problem is uniquely determined by the knowledge of the interior boundary values \( \mathbf{n} \times E^i \) and \( \frac{1}{\mu_c} \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{curl} E^i \) and the exterior boundary values \( \mathbf{n} \times (E^s + E^{inc}) \) and \( \frac{1}{\mu_c} \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{curl}(E^s + E^{inc}) \). Thanks to the transmission conditions (1.1c) one can reduce in several different ways the dielectric scattering problem to a system of two equations for the unknowns \( \mathbf{n} \times (E^s + E^{inc}) \) and \( \frac{1}{\mu_c} \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{curl}(E^s + E^{inc}) \). The most attractive boundary integral formulation of the problem via a direct method is Müller’s one [29] since it yields a uniquely solvable system of boundary integral equations of the second kind for all positive values of the dielectric constant.

To derive the boundary integral formulation we introduce the single layer potential \( C_\kappa \) and the double layer potential \( M_\kappa \) in electromagnetic potential theory by

\[
(M_\kappa j)(x) = -\int \mathbf{n}(x) \times \mathbf{curl}^x \{2\Phi(\kappa, x - y)j(y)\} ds(y),
\]

\[
(C_\kappa j)(x) = -\frac{1}{\kappa} \int \mathbf{n}(x) \times \mathbf{curl}^x \mathbf{curl}^x \{2\Phi(\kappa, x - y)j(y)\} ds(y).
\]
The operator \( M_\kappa : \mathbf{H}^{1/2} \text{div} (\Gamma) \to \mathbf{H}^{1/2} \text{div} (\Gamma) \) is compact and the operator \( C_\kappa \) is of order \(+1\) but bounded on \( \mathbf{H}^{1/2} \text{div} (\Gamma) \). The Calderón projectors for the time-harmonic Maxwell equation

\[(2.4) \quad \text{curl curl } \mathbf{E} - \kappa^2 \mathbf{E} = 0\]

are \( P_\kappa = 1 + A_\kappa \) and \( P_\kappa^c = 1 - A_\kappa \) where

\[A_\kappa = \begin{pmatrix} M_\kappa & C_\kappa \\ C_\kappa & M_\kappa \end{pmatrix}.
\]

We have \( P_\kappa \circ P_\kappa^c = 0 \). This means that if \( \mathbf{E}|\Omega \in \mathbf{H}(\text{curl}, \Omega) \) solves (2.4) in \( \Omega \), then

\[(2.5) \quad P_\kappa \left( \frac{1}{\kappa} \mathbf{n} \times \text{curl } \mathbf{E} \right) = 2 \left( \frac{1}{\kappa} \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{E} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad P_\kappa^c \left( \frac{1}{\kappa} \mathbf{n} \times \text{curl } \mathbf{E} \right) = 0,
\]

and if \( \mathbf{E}|\Omega^c \in \mathbf{H}_{\text{loc}}(\text{curl}, \Omega^c) \) solves (2.4) in \( \Omega^c \) and satisfies the Silver-Müller radiation condition, then

\[(2.6) \quad P_\kappa \left( \frac{1}{\kappa} \mathbf{n} \times \text{curl } \mathbf{E} \right) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad P_\kappa^c \left( \frac{1}{\kappa} \mathbf{n} \times \text{curl } \mathbf{E} \right) = \left( \frac{2}{\kappa} \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{E} \right).
\]

Now we set

\[\mathbf{u}^s = \left( \frac{\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{E}^s}{\mu_s} \right), \quad \mathbf{u}^{\text{inc}} = \left( \frac{\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{E}^{\text{inc}}}{\mu_s} \right), \quad \mathbf{u}^i = \left( \frac{\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{E}^i}{\mu_s} \right),
\]

and

\[K_1 = \left( \begin{array}{cc} M_{\kappa_1} & \frac{\mu_{\kappa_1}}{\mu_s} \kappa_{\kappa_1} \\ \frac{\mu_{\kappa_1}}{\mu_s} \kappa_{\kappa_1} & M_{\kappa_1} \end{array} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad K_e = \left( \begin{array}{cc} M_{\kappa_e} & \frac{\mu_{\kappa_e}}{\mu_s} \kappa_{\kappa_e} \\ \frac{\mu_{\kappa_e}}{\mu_s} \kappa_{\kappa_e} & M_{\kappa_e} \end{array} \right).
\]

By virtue of (2.5) and (2.6) we have

\[(2.7) \quad 0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_s \end{pmatrix} P_\kappa^c \left( \frac{1}{\kappa_1} \mathbf{n} \times \text{curl } \mathbf{E}^i \right) = (I - K_1) \mathbf{u}^i,
\]

\[(2.8) \quad 2\mathbf{u}^{\text{inc}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_s \end{pmatrix} P_\kappa^c \left( \frac{1}{\kappa_s} \mathbf{n} \times \text{curl } (\mathbf{E}^s + \mathbf{E}^{\text{inc}}) \right) = (I + K_e) (\mathbf{u}^s + \mathbf{u}^{\text{inc}}),
\]

and the transmission conditions give

\[(2.9) \quad \mathbf{u}^i = \mathbf{u}^s + \mathbf{u}^{\text{inc}}.
\]

Müller’s boundary integral formulation has to be solved for the unknown \( \mathbf{u}^s + \mathbf{u}^{\text{inc}} \) and is obtained by plugging (2.9) into (2.7) and combining the equalities (2.7) and (2.8) as follows:

\[(2.10) \quad (I + K_e) (\mathbf{u}^s + \mathbf{u}^{\text{inc}}) + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\mu_{\kappa_1} \kappa_{\kappa_1}}{\mu_s} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\mu_{\kappa_s} \kappa_{\kappa_s}}{\mu_s} \end{pmatrix} (I - K_1) (\mathbf{u}^s + \mathbf{u}^{\text{inc}}) = 2\mathbf{u}^{\text{inc}}
\]

This can be rewritten as

\[(2.11) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} 1 + \frac{\mu_{\kappa_1} \kappa_{\kappa_1}}{\mu_s} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 + \frac{\mu_{\kappa_s} \kappa_{\kappa_s}}{\mu_s} \end{array} \right\} \begin{pmatrix} M_{\kappa_e} - \frac{\mu_{\kappa_1} \kappa_{\kappa_1}}{\mu_s} M_{\kappa_1} \\ \frac{\mu_{\kappa_s} \kappa_{\kappa_s}}{\mu_s} (\kappa_{\kappa_e} C_{\kappa_e} - \kappa_{\kappa_1} C_{\kappa_1}) \end{pmatrix} (\mathbf{u}^s + \mathbf{u}^{\text{inc}}) = 2\mathbf{u}^{\text{inc}}.
\]
Since $\kappa_i C_{\kappa_i} - \kappa_e C_{\kappa_e}$ is compact on $H^{-1/2}_\text{div}(\Gamma)$, the integral operator associated to the integral equation (2.10) is a Fredholm operator of index 0 on the Hilbert space $H^{-1/2}_\text{div}(\Gamma)$. The condition $u^{\text{inc}} \in (H^{-1/2}_\text{div}(\Gamma))^2$ guarantees that the solution to the integral equation is in $(H^{-1/2}_\text{div}(\Gamma))^2$ too.

We present now an alternative approach via an indirect method in order to derive an other second kind system of integral equations (see [27]). It can be used to solve electromagnetic transmission problem with general transmission conditions of the form

\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
&n \times E^s - n \times E^i = f, \\
&\frac{1}{\mu_e} n \times \text{curl} E^s - \frac{1}{\mu_i} n \times \text{curl} E^i = g,
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}

(2.12)

where $f, g \in H^{-1/2}_\text{div}(\Gamma)$ are given and is based on the layer ansatz

\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
E^s(x) &= \int_\Gamma \left( \frac{\mu_e}{\kappa_c} \text{curl} x \text{curl} \{ \Phi(\kappa_e, x - y)m^s(y) \} + \text{curl} \{ \Phi(\kappa_e, x - y)j^s(y) \} \right) ds(y) \\
E^i(x) &= \int_\Gamma \left( \frac{\mu_i}{\kappa_i} \text{curl} x \text{curl} \{ \Phi(\kappa_i, x - y)m^i(y) \} + \text{curl} \{ \Phi(\kappa_i, x - y)j^i(y) \} \right) ds(y)
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}

(2.13)

where $j^s, m^s, j^i, m^i$ are tangential densities in $H^{-1/2}_\text{div}(\Gamma)$. By virtue of (2.5) and (2.6) and the jump relations of the electromagnetic potentials we have

\[ P_{\kappa_i} \left( \frac{j^i}{\kappa_i} m^i \right) = \left( \frac{-2}{\kappa_i} n \times \text{curl} E^i \right) \quad \text{and} \quad P_{\kappa_e} \left( \frac{j^s}{\kappa_s} m^s \right) = \left( \frac{2}{\kappa_e} n \times \text{curl} E^s \right). \]

The transmission conditions yields

\[ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\mu_e}{\mu_i} \end{pmatrix} P_{\kappa_i} \left( \frac{j^i}{\kappa_i} m^i \right) + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\mu_e}{\mu_i} \end{pmatrix} P_{\kappa_e} \left( \frac{j^s}{\kappa_s} m^s \right) = 2 \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix}. \]

This equation is equivalent to

\[ (I + K_i) \left( \frac{j^i}{m^i} \right) + (I - K_e) \left( \frac{j^s}{m^s} \right) = 2 \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix}. \]

We set $j^i = \frac{\mu_e}{\mu_i} j$, $j^s = j$ and $m^i = \frac{\mu_e \kappa_s^2}{\mu_i \kappa_e^2} m$, $m^s = m$. Then we arrive at the following system of integral equations first obtained by Ola and Martin [27]:

\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
(I + K_i) \left( \frac{j}{m} \right) + (I - K_e) \left( \frac{j}{m} \right) = 2 \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}

(2.14)

Interchanging the order of the entries of the vectors $(\frac{j}{m})$ and $(\frac{j}{g})$ it can be rewritten as

\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
\begin{pmatrix} 1 + \frac{\mu_e \kappa_i^2}{\mu_i \kappa_e^2} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 + \frac{\mu_i}{\mu_e} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} M_{\kappa_e} - \frac{\mu_e \kappa_s^2}{\mu_i \kappa_e^2} M_{\kappa_e} & \frac{1}{\mu_e} (\kappa_e C_{\kappa_e} - \kappa_i C_{\kappa_i}) \\ \frac{1}{\mu_i} (\kappa_e C_{\kappa_e} - \kappa_i C_{\kappa_i}) & M_{\kappa_e} - \frac{\mu_i}{\mu_e} M_{\kappa_e} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} m \\ j \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}

(2.15)
Remark 2.2. Let us compare the system matrix $K_{DM}$ of the direct method in (2.11) and the system matrix $K_{IM}$ of the indirect method in (2.15). Let $K^T := \overline{K}^* f$ denote the adjoint of an operator $K$ with respect to the bilinear rather than the sesquilinear $L^2$ product and recall that $M^T = RM_R\Gamma$ and $C^T = RC_R\Gamma$ with $R\Gamma = n \times f$. Using this and the identity $R^2 = -I$ we find that

$$
(2.16) \quad K^T_{IM} = -(R 0) K_{DM} (R 0)^T.
$$

This relation is useful in the context of iterative regularization methods for the inverse problem where both systems with the operator $K_{DM}$ and with the operator $K_{IM}$ have to be solved in each iteration step. If these operators are essentially represented by transposed matrices, only one matrix has to be set up and only one LU decomposition has to be computed if the discrete linear systems are solved by Gaussian elimination.

It follows from the representation formula (2.3) and the ansatz (2.13) that the far field pattern can be computed via the integral representation formulas

$$
E^\infty = G(u^s + u^{inc}) \quad \text{if one solves the equation (2.10)} \quad \text{or}
$$

$$
E^\infty = G(J_m) \quad \text{if one solves the equation (2.15)},
$$

using the far field operator $G : \left( H_{div}^{-1/2}(\Gamma) \right)^2 \to L^2(S^2)$ defined for $\tilde{x} \in S^2$ by

$$
G \left( \begin{array}{c} j \\ m \end{array} \right) (\tilde{x}) = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{e^{-i\kappa_0 \tilde{x} \cdot y}}{r} \left( \tilde{x} \times m(y) \times \tilde{x} \right) ds(y) + \frac{i\kappa_0}{4\pi} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{e^{-i\kappa_0 \tilde{x} \cdot y}}{r} \left( \tilde{x} \times j(y) \right) ds(y).
$$

Notation 2.3. Although in general $a \times (b \times c) = (a \cdot c)b - (a \cdot b)c$ for $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}^3$, both expressions coincide for $a = c$. The vector $a \times (b \times a) = (a \times b) \times a$ is the orthogonal projection of $b$ onto the plane orthogonal to $a$ and is denoted by $a \times b \times a$.

3. A high-order spectrally accurate algorithm. The first step in the derivation of our algorithm is a transformation of the integral equations on $\Gamma$ derived above to integral equations on the unit sphere $S^2$ of $\mathbb{R}^3$. We denote by $\theta, \phi$ the spherical coordinates of any point $\tilde{x} \in S^2$, i.e.

$$
(3.1) \quad \tilde{x} = \psi(\theta, \phi) = \begin{pmatrix} \sin \theta \cos \phi \\ \sin \theta \sin \phi \\ \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\theta, \phi) \in [0; \pi] \times [0; 2\pi]\{(0, 0); (0, \pi)\}.
$$

The tangent and the cotangent planes at any point $\tilde{x} = \psi(\theta, \phi) \in S^2$ is generated by the unit vectors $e_\theta = \frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial \theta}(\theta, \phi)$ and $e_\phi = \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial \phi}(\theta, \phi)$. The triplet $(\tilde{x}, e_\theta, e_\phi)$ forms an orthonormal system.

The determinant of the Jacobian is $J_\psi(\theta, \phi) = \sin \theta$.

Let $q : S^2 \to \Gamma$ be a parametrization of class $C^1$ at least. We will use the notation of the appendix. The total derivative $[D \psi(q)]$ maps the tangent plane $T_{q(\tilde{x})}$ to $S^2$ at the point $q(\tilde{x})$ onto the tangent plane $T_{q(\tilde{x})}$ to $\Gamma$ at the point $q(\tilde{x})$. The latter is generated by the vectors

$$
t_1(\tilde{x}) = e_1(q(\tilde{x})) = \frac{\partial q}{\partial \theta}(\psi^{-1}(\tilde{x})) e_\theta, \quad t_2(\tilde{x}) = \frac{1}{J_\psi(\psi^{-1}(\tilde{x}))} e_2(q(\tilde{x})) = \frac{1}{J_\psi(\psi^{-1}(\tilde{x}))} \frac{\partial q}{\partial \phi}(\psi^{-1}(\tilde{x})) e_\phi.
$$

The determinant $J_q$ of the Jacobian of the change of variables $q : S^2 \to \Gamma$ and the normal vector $n \circ q$ can be computed via the formulas

$$
J_q = \frac{J_q \psi}{J_\psi(\psi^{-1})} = |t_1 \times t_2| \quad \text{and} \quad n \circ q = \frac{\left( e_1 \circ q \times e_2 \circ q \right)}{J_q \psi} = \frac{t_1 \times t_2}{J_q}.
$$
The parametrization \( q : S^2 \to \Gamma \) being a diffeomorphism, we set \( |Dq(\hat{x})|^{-1} = |Dq^{-1}| \circ q(\hat{x}) \).

The transposed matrix \( |Dq(\hat{x})|^* \) maps the cotangent plane \( T^*_{q(\hat{x})} \) to \( S^2 \) at the point \( \hat{x} \) onto the cotangent plane \( T^*_{q(\hat{x})} \) to \( \Gamma \) at the point \( q(\hat{x}) \). The latter is generated by the vectors

\[
t^1(\hat{x}) = e^1(q(\hat{x})) = \frac{1}{J_q \circ \psi^{-1}} e_2(q(\hat{x})) \times n(q(\hat{x})) = \frac{t_2(q(\hat{x})) \times n(q(\hat{x}))}{J_q(\hat{x})} = |Dq(\hat{x})|^{-1} e_\theta ,
\]

\[
t^2(\hat{x}) = J_\psi \circ \psi^{-1}(\hat{x}) e^2(q(\hat{x})) = \frac{n(q(\hat{x})) \times t_1(q(\hat{x}))}{J_q(\hat{x})} = |Dq(\hat{x})|^{-1} e_\phi .
\]

In view of the formulas (A.1)-(A.4), it is straightforward to deduce the following transformation formulas for the surface differential operators:

\[
\begin{align*}
(\text{grad}_\Gamma u) \circ q &= |Dq|^* \text{grad}_{S^2} (u \circ q), \\
(\text{curl}_\Gamma u) \circ q &= \frac{1}{J_q} |Dq| \text{curl}_{S^2} (u \circ q), \\
(\text{div}_\Gamma v) \circ q &= \frac{1}{J_q} \text{div}_{S^2} (J_q |Dq|^{-1} (v \circ q)), \\
(\text{curl}_\Gamma w) \circ q &= \frac{1}{J_q} \text{curl}_{S^2} (|Dq|^* (w \circ q)).
\end{align*}
\]

From this we can now introduce a boundedly invertible operator from \( H^{-1/2}_\text{div}(\Gamma) \) to \( H^{-1/2}_\text{div}(S^2) \).

We first recall that \( H^{-1/2}_\text{div}(\Gamma) \) admits the Hodge decomposition [7]

\[
H^{-1/2}_\text{div}(\Gamma) = \text{grad}_\Gamma H^\frac{1}{2}(\Gamma) \oplus \text{curl}_\Gamma H^\frac{1}{2}(\Gamma)
\]

provided that the surface \( \Gamma \) is smooth and simply connected, which we have assumed. A first transformation, which intertwines with the Hodge decomposition, is the following:

\[
H^\frac{1}{2}_\text{div}(\Gamma) \quad \longrightarrow \quad H^\frac{1}{2}_\text{div}(S^2) \\
J = \text{grad}_\Gamma p_1 + \text{curl}_\Gamma p_2 \quad \mapsto \quad J_s = |Dq|^* (\text{grad}_\Gamma p_1 \circ q + J_q |Dq|^{-1} (\text{curl}_\Gamma p_2) \circ q)
\]

This transformation was first considered by Costabel and Le Louër [6] in the context of the shape differentiability analysis of the boundary integral operators \( M_\kappa \) and \( C_\kappa \). However, for the numerical solution of boundary integral equations it is inconvenient as it requires explicit knowledge of the Hodge decomposition. Therefore, we will use a second transformation, the so-called Piola transform of \( q \), introduced in the following lemma:

**Lemma 3.1.** The linear mapping

\[
(3.4) \quad P_q : H^{-\frac{1}{2}}_\text{div}(\Gamma) \quad \longrightarrow \quad H^{-\frac{1}{2}}_\text{div}(S^2) \\
J \quad \mapsto \quad J_s = J_q |Dq|^{-1} (j \circ q).
\]

is well-defined and bounded and has a bounded inverse \( P_q^{-1} : H^{-1/2}_\text{div}(S^2) \to H^{-1/2}_\text{div}(\Gamma) \), \( P_q^{-1} J_s = (\frac{1}{J_q} |Dq|) J_s \circ q^{-1} \).

**Proof.** To see that \( J_s \) belongs to \( H^{-1/2}_\text{div}(S^2) \), write \( J = \text{grad}_\Gamma p_1 + \text{curl}_\Gamma p_2 \) with \( p_1 \in H^{3/2}(\Gamma) \) and \( p_2 \in H^{1/2}(\Gamma) \) according to (3.3) and note that

\[
\text{div}_{S^2} J_q |Dq|^{-1} (\text{curl}_\Gamma p_2) \circ q = \text{div}_{S^2} \text{curl}_{S^2} (p_2 \circ q) = 0
\]

using (A.7). As \( J_q |Dq|^{-1} (\text{grad}_\Gamma p_1) \circ q \in H^{1/2}(S^2) \) it follows that \( \text{div}_{S^2} J_s \in H^{-1/2}(\Gamma) \), and \( J \in H^{-1/2}(\Gamma) \) implies \( J_s \in H^{-1/2}(S^2) \). The boundedness of \( P_q \) is obvious. The proof for \( P_q^{-1} = P_{q^{-1}} \) is analogous. \( \qed \)
We construct our spectral method by replacing the boundary integral operators $M_\kappa$ and $C_\kappa$ in (2.10) and (2.14) by the operators

$$M_\kappa := \mathcal{P}_q M_\kappa \mathcal{P}_q^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad C_\kappa := \mathcal{P}_q C_\kappa \mathcal{P}_q^{-1}$$

which map $H^{-1/2}(\mathbb{S}^2)$ boundedly into itself and are given by

$$M_\kappa j_s = -J_q[Dq]^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} (n \circ q) \times \text{curl} \{2\Phi(\kappa, q(-) - q(\hat{y})) |Dq(\hat{y})| j_s(\hat{y})\} ds(\hat{y}),$$

$$C_\kappa j_s = -\kappa J_q[Dq]^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} (n \circ q) \times \{2\Phi(\kappa, q(-) - q(\hat{y})) |Dq(\hat{y})| j_s(\hat{y})\} ds(\hat{y})$$

$$- \frac{1}{\kappa} J_q[Dq]^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} (n \circ q) \times \text{grad} \{2\Phi(\kappa, q(-) - q(\hat{y})) |Dq(\hat{y})| j_s(\hat{y})\} ds(\hat{y}).$$

The new unknowns will be two tangential vector densities in $H^{-1/2}(\mathbb{S}^2)$ obtained by applying the operator (3.4) to the unknowns in (2.10) and (2.14).

In our case we have to implement the compact operators $M_\kappa$, $M_{\kappa_1}$ and $\kappa_2 C_{\kappa_2} - \kappa_1 C_{\kappa_1}$. To this end, we first split their kernels into a smooth and a weakly singular part. We introduce the functions

$$S_1(q; \kappa, \hat{x}, \hat{y}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \cos(\kappa |q(\hat{x}) - q(\hat{y})|),$$

$$S_2(q; \kappa, \hat{x}, \hat{y}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \begin{cases} \sin(\kappa |q(\hat{x}) - q(\hat{y})|) \hat{x} \neq \hat{y}, \\ \kappa |q(\hat{x}) - q(\hat{y})| \hat{x} = \hat{y} \end{cases}$$

$$R(q; \hat{x}, \hat{y}) = \frac{\hat{x} - \hat{y}}{|q(\hat{x}) - q(\hat{y})|}. $$

Then $M_\kappa$ can be rewritten as

$$M_\kappa j_s(\hat{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \frac{R(q; \hat{x}, \hat{y})}{|\hat{x} - \hat{y}|} M_1(q; \kappa, \hat{x}, \hat{y}) j_s(\hat{y}) ds(\hat{y}) + i \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} M_2(q; \kappa, \hat{x}, \hat{y}) j_s(\hat{y}) ds(\hat{y})$$

where $M_1(q; \kappa, \hat{x}, \hat{y})$ and $M_2(q; \kappa, \hat{x}, \hat{y})$ are $3 \times 3$ matrices given by

$$M_1(q; \kappa, \hat{x}, \hat{y}) = \left( \frac{S_1(q; \kappa, \hat{x}, \hat{y})}{|q(\hat{x}) - q(\hat{y})|^2} + \kappa S_2(q; \kappa, \hat{x}, \hat{y}) \right) V(q; \hat{x}, \hat{y}),$$

$$M_2(q; \kappa, \hat{x}, \hat{y}) = \frac{S_2(q; \kappa, \hat{x}, \hat{y}) - \kappa S_1(q; \kappa, \hat{x}, \hat{y})}{|q(\hat{x}) - q(\hat{y})|^2} V(q; \hat{x}, \hat{y})$$

with

$$V(q; \hat{x}, \hat{y}) = t_2(\hat{x}) \cdot \left( t_1(\hat{y}) \times (q(\hat{x}) - q(\hat{y})) \right) e_\theta(\hat{x}) \otimes e_\theta(\hat{y})$$

$$+ t_2(\hat{x}) \cdot \left( t_2(\hat{y}) \times (q(\hat{x}) - q(\hat{y})) \right) e_\theta(\hat{x}) \otimes e_\theta(\hat{y})$$

$$- t_1(\hat{x}) \cdot \left( t_1(\hat{y}) \times (q(\hat{x}) - q(\hat{y})) \right) e_\theta(\hat{x}) \otimes e_\theta(\hat{y})$$

$$- t_1(\hat{x}) \cdot \left( t_2(\hat{y}) \times (q(\hat{x}) - q(\hat{y})) \right) e_\theta(\hat{x}) \otimes e_\theta(\hat{y}),$$

The operator $\kappa_2 C_{\kappa_2} - \kappa_1 C_{\kappa_1}$ can be rewritten as

$$\kappa_2 C_{\kappa_2} - \kappa_1 C_{\kappa_1} j_s(\hat{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \frac{R(q; \hat{x}, \hat{y})}{|\hat{x} - \hat{y}|} (C_1(q; \kappa_1, \hat{x}, \hat{y}) - C_1(q; \kappa_1, \hat{x}, \hat{y})) j_s(\hat{y}) ds(\hat{y})$$

$$+ i \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \left( C_2(q; \kappa_1, \hat{x}, \hat{y}) - C_2(q; \kappa_1, \hat{x}, \hat{y}) \right) j_s(\hat{y}) ds(\hat{y})$$
where $C_1(q; \kappa, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y})$ and $C_2(q; \kappa, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y})$ are $3 \times 3$ matrices given by
\[
C_1(q; \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) = \left( \kappa^2 S_1(q; \kappa, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) - \frac{S_1(q; \kappa, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y})}{|q(\tilde{x}) - q(\tilde{y})|^2} - \kappa S_2(q; \kappa, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \right) V_1(q; \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) + \left( \frac{S_1(q; \kappa, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y})}{|q(\tilde{x}) - q(\tilde{y})|^2} \right) (-\kappa^2 + \frac{3}{|q(\tilde{x}) - q(\tilde{y})|^2}) + 3\kappa \frac{S_2(q; \kappa, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y})}{|q(\tilde{x}) - q(\tilde{y})|^2} V_2(q; \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}),
\]
\[
C_2(q; \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) = \left( \kappa^2 S_2(q; \kappa, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) - \frac{S_2(q; \kappa, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y})}{|q(\tilde{x}) - q(\tilde{y})|^2} - \kappa S_1(q; \kappa, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \right) V_1(q; \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) - \left( \frac{3\kappa S_1(q; \kappa, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y})}{|q(\tilde{x}) - q(\tilde{y})|^4} - \frac{S_2(q; \kappa, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y})}{|q(\tilde{x}) - q(\tilde{y})|^2} \right) \left( -\kappa^2 + \frac{3}{|q(\tilde{x}) - q(\tilde{y})|^2} \right) V_2(q; \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}),
\]
with
\[
V_1(q; \kappa, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) = \left( t_2(\tilde{x}) \cdot t_1(\tilde{y}) \right) e_\theta(\tilde{x}) \otimes e_\theta(\tilde{y}) + \left( t_1(\tilde{x}) \cdot t_2(\tilde{y}) \right) e_\theta(\tilde{x}) \otimes e_\theta(\tilde{y}),
\]
\[
V_2(q; \kappa, \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) = \left( t_2(\tilde{x}) \cdot (q(\tilde{x}) - q(\tilde{y})) \right) \left( t_2(\tilde{y}) \cdot (q(\tilde{x}) - q(\tilde{y})) \right) e_\theta(\tilde{x}) \otimes e_\theta(\tilde{y}) + \left( t_1(\tilde{x}) \cdot (q(\tilde{x}) - q(\tilde{y})) \right) \left( t_1(\tilde{y}) \cdot (q(\tilde{x}) - q(\tilde{y})) \right) e_\theta(\tilde{x}) \otimes e_\theta(\tilde{y}) - \left( t_1(\tilde{x}) \cdot (q(\tilde{x}) - q(\tilde{y})) \right) \left( t_2(\tilde{y}) \cdot (q(\tilde{x}) - q(\tilde{y})) \right) e_\theta(\tilde{x}) \otimes e_\theta(\tilde{y}) - \left( t_1(\tilde{x}) \cdot (q(\tilde{x}) - q(\tilde{y})) \right) \left( t_2(\tilde{y}) \cdot (q(\tilde{x}) - q(\tilde{y})) \right) e_\theta(\tilde{x}) \otimes e_\theta(\tilde{y}).
\]

Next, we introduce a change of coordinate system in order to move all the singularities in the weakly singular integrals to only one point that is chosen to be the North pole. For $\tilde{x} \in S^2$ we consider an orthogonal transformation $T_{\tilde{x}}$ which maps $\tilde{x}$ onto the North pole denoted by $\tilde{\eta}$. If $\tilde{x} = \tilde{x}(\theta, \phi)$ then $T_{\tilde{x}} := P(\phi)Q(-\theta)P(-\phi)$ where $P$ and $Q$ are defined by
\[
P(\phi) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \phi & -\sin \phi & 0 \\ \sin \phi & \cos \phi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad Q(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & 0 & \sin \theta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sin \theta & 0 & \cos \phi \end{pmatrix}.
\]

We also introduce an induced linear transformation $T_{\tilde{x}}$ defined by $T_{\tilde{x}}u(\tilde{y}) = u(T_{\tilde{x}}^{-1}\tilde{y})$ and we still denote by $T_{\tilde{x}}$ its bivariate analogue $T_{\tilde{x}}v(\tilde{y}_1, \tilde{y}_2) = v(T_{\tilde{x}}^{-1}\tilde{y}_1, T_{\tilde{x}}^{-1}\tilde{y}_2)$. If we write $\tilde{z} = T_{\tilde{x}}\tilde{y}$ then we have the identity
\[
|\tilde{x} - \tilde{y}| = |T_{\tilde{x}}^{-1}(\tilde{\eta} - \tilde{z})| = |\tilde{\eta} - \tilde{z}|.
\]

The boundary integral operator $M_\kappa$ can be rewritten in the form:
\[
(3.5) \quad M_\kappa \tilde{J}_s(\tilde{x}) = \int_{S^2} \left( \frac{T_{\tilde{x}}R(q; \tilde{\eta}, \tilde{z}) T_{\tilde{x}}M_1(q; \kappa, \tilde{\eta}, \tilde{z}) T_{\tilde{x}} \tilde{J}_s(\tilde{z}) + i T_{\tilde{x}}M_2(q; \kappa, \tilde{\eta}, \tilde{z}) T_{\tilde{x}} \tilde{J}_s(\tilde{z})}{|\tilde{\eta} - \tilde{z}|^2} \right) d\tilde{z}(\tilde{z}),
\]
and it can be shown that $(\theta', \phi') \mapsto T_{\tilde{x}}R(q; \tilde{\eta}, \tilde{z}(\theta', \phi')) T_{\tilde{x}}M_1(q; \kappa, \tilde{\eta}, \tilde{z}(\theta', \phi'))$ is smooth. An important point is that the singularity $(\tilde{\eta} - \tilde{z}(\theta', \phi')) = \frac{1}{2 \sin \frac{\theta}{2}}$ is cancelled out by the surface element $d\tilde{z}(\tilde{z}) = \sin \theta' d\theta' d\phi'$. We proceed in the same way for the operator $(\kappa_i C_{\kappa_i} - \kappa_i C_{\kappa_i})$.

To solve the parametrized boundary integral equation systems we extend the spectral algorithm of Ganesh and Graham [8] to the vector case, which ensures spectrally accurate convergence of the discrete solution for second kind scalar integral equations. With an alternative method
this was done by Ganesh and Hawkins [11] for the perfect conductor problem. For both of the boundary integral equation systems, it consists in the approximation of the (two) equations in the subspace \( \mathbb{H}_n \subset H^{1/2}(\mathbb{S}^2) \) of finite dimension \( 2(n+1)^2 - 2 \) generated by the orthonormal basis of tangential vector spherical harmonics (see Appendix 7) of degree \( \leq n \in \mathbb{N} \).

The numerical scheme is based on a quadrature formula over the unit sphere of the form

\[
\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} u(\mathbf{x}) ds(\mathbf{x}) \approx \sum_{\rho=0}^{2n+1} \sum_{\tau=1}^{n+1} \mu_\rho \nu_\tau n(\mathbf{x}(\theta_\tau, \phi_\rho)).
\]

Here \( \theta_\tau = \arccos \zeta_\tau \) where \( \zeta_\tau \), for \( \tau = 1, \ldots, n+1 \), are the zeros of the Legendre polynomial \( P_{n+1}^0 \) of degree \( n + 1 \) and \( \nu_\tau \), for \( \tau = 1, \ldots, n+1 \), are the corresponding Gauss-Legendre weights and

\[
\mu_\rho = \frac{\pi}{n+1}, \quad \phi_\rho = \frac{\rho \pi}{n+1} \quad \text{for } \rho = 0, \ldots, 2n+1.
\]

The formula (3.6) is exact for the spherical polynomials of order \( \leq 2n+1 \) (see [32]). Here and in the following we use the notation \( \mathbf{x}_{\rho\tau} = \mathbf{x}(\theta_\tau, \phi_\rho) \).

The main ingredient of the method is the fact that the scalar spherical harmonics (see Appendix 7) are eigenfunctions of the single layer potential on the sphere [3]:

\[
\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|} Y_{l,j}(\mathbf{y}) ds(\mathbf{y}) = \frac{4\pi}{2l+1} Y_{l,j}(\mathbf{x}), \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{S}^2,
\]

and that we have for \( l \geq 1 \)

\[
\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} Y_{l,j}(\mathbf{y}) ds(\mathbf{y}) = 0.
\]

Let \( P_n \) denotes the space of all scalar spherical polynomials of degree \( \leq n \). We introduce a projection operator \( \mathcal{L}_n \) onto \( P_n \) defined by

\[
\mathcal{L}_n u = \sum_{l=0}^{n} \sum_{j=-l}^{l} \binom{n}{l, j} Y_{l,j} \text{ where } (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)_n = \sum_{\rho=0}^{2n+1} \sum_{\tau=1}^{n+1} \mu_\rho \nu_\tau \varphi_1(\mathbf{x}_{\rho\tau}) \varphi_2(\mathbf{x}_{\rho\tau})
\]

and \( u = (u_1, u_2, u_3)^T \). Moreover, we introduce a projection operator \( \mathcal{L}_n \) onto \( \mathbb{H}_n \) by

\[
\mathcal{L}_n v = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \sum_{j=-l}^{l} \binom{n}{l, j} Y_{l,j} \text{ where } (v_1, v_2)_n = \sum_{\rho=0}^{2n+1} \sum_{\tau=1}^{n+1} \mu_\rho \nu_\tau v_1(\mathbf{x}_{\rho\tau}) \cdot v_2(\mathbf{x}_{\rho\tau}).
\]

In a first step, the operator \( \mathcal{M}_{k}^n \) is approximated by

\[
\mathcal{M}_{n, n'} \hat{j}_s(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \frac{1}{|\eta - \mathbf{z}|} \mathcal{L}_{n'} \{ T_{k}\mathcal{R}(q; \hat{\eta}, \cdot)T_{k}M_1(q; \kappa, \hat{\eta}, \cdot)T_{k}j_s(\cdot) \} (\mathbf{z}) ds(\mathbf{z})
\]

\[
+ \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \mathcal{L}_{n'} \{ T_{k}M_2(q; \kappa, \hat{\eta}, \cdot)T_{k}j_s(\cdot) \} (\mathbf{z}) ds(\mathbf{z}),
\]

for some \( n' = an + 1 \) with fixed \( a > 1 \) and \( n' - n > 3 \) (see [11, Theorem 3]). By the use of (3.7) and an additional identity for spherical harmonics [3] we obtain

\[
\mathcal{M}_{n, n'} \hat{j}_s(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\rho'=0}^{2n'+1} \sum_{\tau'=1}^{n'+1} \mu_{\rho'} \nu_{\tau'} T_{k} \mathcal{R}(q; \hat{\eta}, \hat{z}_{\rho'\tau'}) T_{k}M_1(q; \kappa, \hat{\eta}, \hat{z}_{\rho'\tau'}) T_{k}j_s(\hat{z}_{\rho'\tau'})
\]

\[
+ \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \sum_{\rho'=0}^{2n'+1} \sum_{\tau'=1}^{n'+1} \mu_{\rho'} \nu_{\tau'} T_{k}M_2(q; \kappa, \hat{\eta}, \hat{z}_{\rho'\tau'}) T_{k}j_s(\hat{z}_{\rho'\tau'}) ds(\mathbf{z}),
\]

(3.8)
where \( \alpha_{\tau'} = \sum_{l = 0}^{n_{\tau'}} P_l(z_{\tau'}). \) We proceed in the same way for the operator \( (\kappa_n C_{\kappa_n} - \kappa_n C_{\kappa_n}) |_{\tau'}. \)

In a second step, the boundary integral equations are projected onto the space \( \mathbb{H}_n \) by applying \( \mathcal{L}_n \). Finally, the systems \((2.10)\) and \((2.14)\) are discretized into \( 4 \times ((n + 1)^2 - 1) \) equations for the \( 4 \times ((n + 1)^2 - 1) \) unknown coefficients by applying the scalar product \( \langle :Y_{lj}^{(1)}|_n \rangle \) and \( \langle :Y_{lj}^{(2)}|_n \rangle \), for \( l = 0, \ldots, n \) and \( j = -l, \ldots, l \) to each equation.

4. Numerical implementation and examples. In this section we discuss the implementation of the numerical scheme described above and present some results to show the accuracy of the method.

In view of (3.8), we need the following formula for \( k = 1, 2 \)

\[ T_{\mathcal{L}_n}Y_{l,j}^{(k)}(\tilde{\psi}_{\rho';\tau'}) = \sum_{j' = -l}^{l} F_{\rho'\rho} e^{i(j-j')\rho} T_{\mathcal{L}_n}^{-1}Y_{l,j}^{(k)}(\tilde{\psi}_{\rho';\tau'}) \]

with \( F_{\rho'\rho} = e^{i(j-j')\tau} \sum_{|l| \leq 1} \rho_{|l|} \rho_{|l|-1} e^{i\theta} \) and \( \rho_{|l|-1} = 2 \sqrt{\frac{(l+|l|)!}{l! |l|!}} \rho_{|l|-1} \rho_{|l|} \rho_{|l|-1} \).

Here \( \rho_{a,b} \) for \( a, b \geq 0 \) is the normalized Jacobi polynomial evaluated at zero given by \( \rho_{n,b}(0) = 2^{-n} \sum_{l=0}^{n} \binom{n}{l} \binom{n+b}{l} \).

For \( l - j < 0 \) or \( l - j > 0 \), we can compute \( d_{j,l}^{-}\) using the symmetry relations

\[ d_{j,l}^{-} = (-1)^{j-l} d_{l,j}^{+} = d_{l-j}^{+} = d_{l-j}^{-} \]

The discrete approximation of the operator \( M_{\kappa} \) of \( \mathcal{M}_{\kappa} \) is of the form

\[ M_{\kappa} = \begin{pmatrix} M_{1,1} & M_{1,2} \\ M_{2,1} & M_{2,2} \end{pmatrix} \]

where \( M_{a,b} \) for \( a = b = 1, 2 \) is a \( ((n + 1)^2 - 1) \times ((n + 1)^2 - 1) \) matrix. The coefficients of \( M_{a,b} \), for \( 1 \leq l, l' \leq n, |j| \leq l \) and \( |j'| \leq l' \) are given by

\[ M_{l,j}^{l',j'} = (\mathcal{L}_n M_{\kappa,n}) Y_{l,j}^{(a)}(\tilde{\psi}_{l,j}^{(b)}) \]

We denote \( \tilde{\psi}_{\rho';\tau'} = T_{\mathcal{L}_n}^{-1}(\tilde{\psi}_{\rho';\tau'}) \). The coefficient \( M_{l,j}^{l',j'} \) is computed via the following procedure:

(i) step 1: we set \( \Theta_{\rho';\tau'} = T_{\mathcal{L}_n}^{-1}\varepsilon_{\theta,\tau} \) and \( \phi_{\rho';\tau'} = T_{\mathcal{L}_n}^{-1}\phi_{\rho,\tau} \) and if \( a = b = 1 \) we compute for \( \tau = 1, \ldots, n + 1, \rho = 0, \ldots, 2n + 1, \tau' = 1, \ldots, n' + 1 \) and \( j = -n, \ldots, n \):

\[ E_{1}^{\rho'' \rho' \tau' \tau} = \sum_{\rho''=1}^{2n''+1} \mu_{\rho''}(e_{\theta,\tau} \cdot A_{n''}^{\rho''} e_{\phi,\tau'}) e_{j'' \rho''} \]  
\[ E_{2}^{\rho'' \rho' \tau' \tau} = \sum_{\rho''=1}^{2n''+1} \mu_{\rho''}(e_{\theta,\tau} \cdot A_{n''}^{\rho''} e_{\phi,\tau'}) e_{j'' \rho''} \]  
\[ E_{3}^{\rho'' \rho' \tau' \tau} = \sum_{\rho''=1}^{2n''+1} \mu_{\rho''}(e_{\phi,\tau} \cdot A_{n''}^{\rho''} e_{\phi,\tau'}) e_{j'' \rho''} \]  
\[ E_{4}^{\rho'' \rho' \tau' \tau} = \sum_{\rho''=1}^{2n''+1} \mu_{\rho''}(e_{\phi,\tau} \cdot A_{n''}^{\rho''} e_{\phi,\tau'}) e_{j'' \rho''} \]

where \( A_{n''}^{\rho''} \) is the matrix given by

\[ A_{n''}^{\rho''} = [\alpha_{n''}^{\rho''} R(q; \tilde{x}_{\rho''}, \tilde{\psi}_{\rho''}; \mathcal{M}_{1}(\tilde{x}_{\rho''}, \tilde{\psi}_{\rho''}) + \mathcal{M}_{2}(\tilde{x}_{\rho''}, \tilde{\psi}_{\rho''})] \]

If \( a = 2 \) we replace, here above, \( e_{\theta,\tau} \) by \(-e_{\phi,\tau} \) and \( e_{\phi,\tau} \) by \( e_{\theta,\tau} \) and when \( b = 2 \) we replace \( e_{\theta,\tau} \) by \(-e_{\phi,\tau} \) and \( e_{\phi,\tau} \) by \( e_{\theta,\tau} \).
We compute for $\tau = 1, \ldots, n + 1, l = 1, \ldots, n, \rho = 0, \ldots, 2n + 1$ and $j' = -n, \ldots, n$

$$D_{1}^{p\tau j} = \sum_{\tau' = 1}^{n' + 1} \nu_{\tau' j'} \gamma_{\tau' j} \left[ \frac{\partial P_{1}^{p j}}{\partial \theta_{\tau'}} E_{1}^{p \tau' j} + P_{1}^{p j}(\cos(\theta_{\tau'})) E_{1}^{p \tau' j} \right],$$

$$D_{2}^{p\tau j} = \sum_{\tau' = 1}^{n' + 1} \nu_{\tau' j'} \gamma_{\tau' j} \left[ \frac{\partial P_{2}^{p j}}{\partial \theta_{\tau'}} E_{2}^{p \tau' j} + P_{1}^{p j}(\cos(\theta_{\tau'})) E_{2}^{p \tau' j} \right],$$

where $\gamma_{\tau' j} = (-1)^{l + j} \sqrt{\frac{2l + 1}{2\pi(l + 1)} \frac{(l - j)}{(l + j)}}$.

We use the same procedure to implement the discrete approximation $(\kappa_{\nu}C_{\nu} - \kappa_{i}C_{\nu})$ of the operator $(\kappa_{\nu}C_{\nu} - \kappa_{i}C_{\nu})$.

The discrete approximations of the operators $K_{\text{DM}}$ and $K_{\text{IM}}$ in (2.11) and (2.15) are given by

$$K_{\text{DM}} = \left( \begin{array}{c c}
(1 + \frac{\mu_{\nu} \kappa_{\nu}^{2}}{\mu_{\kappa} \kappa_{\nu}^{2}}) & 0 \\
0 & (1 + \frac{\mu_{\nu}}{\mu_{\kappa}})
\end{array} \right) + \left( \begin{array}{c c}
\frac{\kappa_{\nu} - \mu_{\nu} \kappa_{i} \mu_{\kappa}}{\mu_{\nu}} - \frac{\mu_{\nu} \kappa_{i} \mu_{\kappa}}{\mu_{\nu}} (\kappa_{\nu}C_{\nu} - \kappa_{i}C_{\nu}) \\
\frac{\kappa_{\nu} - \mu_{\nu} \kappa_{i} \mu_{\kappa}}{\mu_{\nu}} - \frac{\mu_{\nu} \kappa_{i} \mu_{\kappa}}{\mu_{\nu}} (\kappa_{\nu}C_{\nu} - \kappa_{i}C_{\nu})
\end{array} \right),$$

$$K_{\text{IM}} = \left( \begin{array}{c c}
(1 + \frac{\mu_{\nu} \kappa_{\nu}^{2}}{\mu_{\kappa} \kappa_{\nu}^{2}}) & 0 \\
0 & (1 + \frac{\mu_{\nu}}{\mu_{\kappa}})
\end{array} \right) - \left( \begin{array}{c c}
\frac{\kappa_{\nu} - \mu_{\nu} \kappa_{i} \mu_{\kappa}}{\mu_{\nu}} - \frac{\mu_{\nu} \kappa_{i} \mu_{\kappa}}{\mu_{\nu}} (\kappa_{\nu}C_{\nu} - \kappa_{i}C_{\nu}) \\
\frac{\kappa_{\nu} - \mu_{\nu} \kappa_{i} \mu_{\kappa}}{\mu_{\nu}} - \frac{\mu_{\nu} \kappa_{i} \mu_{\kappa}}{\mu_{\nu}} (\kappa_{\nu}C_{\nu} - \kappa_{i}C_{\nu})
\end{array} \right).$$

The discrete approximation of the right-hand side $2\langle g, f \rangle^{T}$ of one the boundary integral equation system is the vector $2(\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{f})^{T} = 2(g_{1}, g_{2}, f_{1}, f_{2})^{T}$ whose coefficients are given by

$$g_{k}^{ij} = (\mathcal{L}_{n}(P_{q}g)|\mathcal{Y}|_{ij}^{(k)}), \quad \text{and} \quad f_{k}^{ij} = (\mathcal{L}_{n}(P_{q}f)|\mathcal{Y}|_{ij}^{(k)}).$$

for $k = 1, 2, l = 1, \ldots, n$ and $j = -l, \ldots, l$.

The parametrized form of the far field operator $G$ is $G(\tilde{x}, \mathbf{m}_{a}) = G_{1}(\tilde{x}) + G_{2}(\tilde{x})$ with

$$G_{1}(\tilde{x}) = \frac{\mu_{\nu}}{4\pi} \int_{s^{2}} e^{-i\kappa_{\nu} \tilde{x} \cdot \mathbf{q}(\tilde{y})} \times \left[ (e_{\theta}(\tilde{y}) \cdot \mathbf{j}_{s}(\tilde{y})) t_{1}(\tilde{y}) + (e_{\phi}(\tilde{y}) \cdot \mathbf{j}_{s}(\tilde{y})) t_{2}(\tilde{y}) \right] ds(\tilde{y}),$$

$$G_{2}(\tilde{x}) = \frac{\mu_{\nu}}{4\pi} \int_{s^{2}} e^{-i\kappa_{\nu} \tilde{x} \cdot \mathbf{q}(\tilde{y})} \times \left[ (e_{\theta}(\tilde{y}) \cdot \mathbf{m}_{s}(\tilde{y})) t_{1}(\tilde{y}) + (e_{\phi}(\tilde{y}) \cdot \mathbf{m}_{s}(\tilde{y})) t_{2}(\tilde{y}) \right] \times \tilde{x} ds(\tilde{y}).$$
The discrete approximation of $G$ evaluated at the $2(n_\infty + 1)^2$ Gauss-quadrature points on the unit far sphere is

$$G = (G_1 \ G_2) \quad \text{with} \quad G_a = (G_{a,1} \ G_{a,2}), \quad a \in \{1, 2\}$$

where $G_{a,b}$, for $a, b = 1, 2$ is a $6(n_\infty + 1)^2 \times ((n + 1)^2 - 1)$ matrix. The coefficients of $G_{a,b}$, for $a, b = 1, 2$, $1 \leq l' \leq n$, $|j'| \leq l'$ and $\rho = 0, \ldots, 2n_\infty + 1$ and $\tau = 1, \ldots, n_\infty + 1$ are given by

$$G_{a,b}^{l,l'}^{j,j'} = \left( g_2 \mathcal{Y}^{(b)}_{l,j'} (\hat{x}_{\rho\tau}) \right) \times \left( \sum_{l'=0}^{2n_\infty + 1} \sum_{\tau'=1}^{n_\infty + 1} \mu_{\rho\tau} e^{-i\kappa_\rho \hat{x}_{\rho\tau} \cdot q(S_{\rho\tau})} \right) \cdot \left( \sum_{l'=0}^{2n_\infty + 1} \sum_{\tau'=1}^{n_\infty + 1} \mu_{\rho\tau} e^{-i\kappa_\rho \hat{x}_{\rho\tau} \cdot q(S_{\rho\tau})} \right)$$

for analytic dielectric boundaries with parametric representations given in Table 4.1. In each of the following examples we take $\kappa_1 = 2\kappa_e$ and $\mu_1 = 2\mu_e$. As a first test we compute the electric far field denoted, $E^{\infty}_{\text{pw}}$, created by an off-center point source located inside the dielectric:

$$E^{\infty}_{\text{pw}} (x) = \text{grad} \Phi (k_e x - s) \times p, \quad s \in \Omega \text{ and } p \in S^2.$$

In this case the total exterior wave has to vanish so that the far field pattern of the scattered wave $E^s$ is the opposite of the far field pattern of the incident wave:

$$E^s_{\text{exact}} (\hat{x}) = -\frac{i k_e}{4\pi} e^{-i\kappa_\rho \hat{x} \cdot s} (\hat{x} \times p).$$

We choose $s = \left( 0, 0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^T$ and $p = (1, 0, 0)^T$. In Table 4.2 we list the $L^\infty$ error (by taking the maximum of errors obtained over 1300 observed directions, i.e. $n_\infty = 25$)

As a second example we compute the electric far field denoted, $E^{\infty}_{\text{pw}}$, created by the scattering of an incident plane wave:

$$E^{\infty}_{\text{inc}} (x) = p e^{i\kappa_\rho \hat{x} \cdot d}, \quad \text{where } d, p \in S^2 \text{ and } d \cdot p = 0.$$

In the tabulated results we show the real part and the imaginary part of the polarization component of the electric far field evaluated at the incident direction: $[E^{\infty}_{\text{pw}} (d)]_n \cdot p$. We chose $s = (0, 0, 1)^T$ and $p = (1, 0, 0)^T$.

5. Operator formulations and IRGNM. To make the operator formulation (1.2) in the introduction precise, we first have to introduce a set of admissible parametrizations $\mathcal{V}$ which form an open subset of a Hilbert space $\mathcal{X}$. As in the introduction, let $F_k : \mathcal{V} \to L^2 (S^2)^m$, $k = 1, \ldots, m$ denote the operator which maps a parametrization $q \in \mathcal{V}$ of a boundary $\Gamma$ to the far field pattern $E^{\infty}_k$ corresponding to the incident field $E^{\infty}_{\text{inc}}$. These operators may be combined into one operator $F : \mathcal{V} \to L^2 (S^2)^m$, $F(q) := (F_1(q), \ldots, F_m(q))^T$. We also combine the measured far field patterns into a vector $E^\infty_\delta := (E^\infty_{1,\delta}, \ldots, E^\infty_{m,\delta})^T \in L^2 (S^2)^m$ such that the inverse problem can be written as

\begin{equation}
F(q) = E^\infty_\delta.
\end{equation}
To compute an approximate solution to (5.1) we use the Iteratively Regularized Gauss-Newton Method (IRGNM). To apply this method we show in section 5.2 how to choose some initial guess (in our numerical experiments we always chose the unit sphere), and the regularization parameters are chosen of the form \(q = e_0 + \sum \kappa_q^{\frac{1}{2}}\). The objective functional in (5.3) is quadratic and strictly convex, the first order optimality conditions are necessary and sufficient, and the updates (\(\partial q\)) := \(q^{\delta+1}_N - q^\delta_N\) are the unique solutions to the linear equations

\[
\alpha_N I + \sum_{k=1}^m F_k[q^{\delta}_N] F_k[q^{\delta}_N] (\partial q)^\delta_N = \sum_{k=1}^m F_k[q^{\delta}_N] (E_{k,\delta}^\infty - F_k[q^{\delta}_N]) + \alpha_N (q^\delta_0 - q^\delta_N).
\]

It remains to describe the choice of the set of admissible parametrizations \(\mathcal{V}\) and the underlying Hilbert space \(\mathcal{X}\). A rather general way to parametrize a boundary \(\Gamma\) is to choose some reference domain \(\Omega_{ref}\) with boundary \(\Gamma_{ref}\) and consider mappings \(q : \Gamma_{ref} \rightarrow \Gamma\) belonging to

\[
Q := \{q \in H^s(\Gamma_{ref}, \mathbb{R}^3) : q \text{ injective, } \det(Dq(\tilde{x})) \neq 0 \text{ for all } \tilde{x} \in \Gamma_{ref}\}.
\]
This will be convenient for describing Fréchet derivatives of \( F \) in section 6. For \( s > 2 \) the set \( Q \) is open in \( \mathcal{X} := H^s(\Gamma_{\text{ref}}, \mathbb{R}^3) \) and \( \mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{C}^1(\Gamma_{\text{ref}}, \mathbb{R}^3) \). If \( \Gamma \) and \( \Gamma_{\text{ref}} \) are sufficiently smooth, \( \Gamma \) has a parametrization in \( Q \) if and only if \( \Gamma \) and \( \Gamma_{\text{ref}} \) have the same genus.

A disadvantage of the choice (5.4) is that a given interface \( \Gamma \) has many parametrizations in \( Q \). In the important special case that \( \Gamma \) is star-shaped with respect to the origin, we can choose \( \Gamma_{\text{ref}} = S^2 \) and consider special parametrizations of the form

\[
q = Rr \quad \text{with} \quad (Rr)(\hat{x}) := r(\hat{x})\hat{x}, \quad \hat{x} \in S^2
\]

with a function \( r : S^2 \rightarrow (0, \infty) \). Then the function \( r \) is uniquely determined by \( \Gamma \). In this case we choose the underlying Hilbert space \( \mathcal{X}_{\text{star}} := H^s(S^2, \mathbb{R}) \) with \( s > 2 \) and the set of admissible parametrizations by

\[
Q_{\text{star}} := \{ r \in \mathcal{X}_{\text{star}} : r > 0 \}.
\]

As \( R(Q_{\text{star}}) \subset Q \), we can define \( F_{\text{star}} : Q_{\text{star}} \rightarrow L_1^2(S^2)^m \) by

\[
F_{\text{star}} := F \circ R.
\]

Then \( F_{\text{star}} \) is injective if a star-shaped interface \( \Gamma \) is uniquely determined by the far field data \( E_1^\infty, \ldots, E_m^\infty \).

6. The Fréchet derivative and its adjoint. In this section we assume that the set \( Q \) of admissible parametrizations in chosen by (5.4) with some reference boundary \( \Gamma_{\text{ref}} \). For \( q \in Q \) we define \( \Gamma_q := q(\Gamma_{\text{ref}}) \) and denote by \( n_q \) the exterior unit normal vector to \( \Gamma_q \). More generally we will label all quantities and operators related to the dielectric scattering problem for the interface \( \Gamma_q \) by the index \( q \). We restrict our discussion to the case \( m = 1 \) since the general case can be reduced to this special case by the obvious formulas \( F'\xi_q h = \sum_{k=1}^m F'_{k\xi_q} h \) and \( F'\xi_q h = \sum_{k=1}^m F'_{k\xi_q} h \).

The following theorem was established in [6]. An alternative proof can be found in [18].

**Theorem 6.1** (characterization of \( F'\xi_q \)). The mapping \( F : Q \rightarrow L_1^2(S^2) \) with \( s > 2 \) is Fréchet differentiable at all \( q \in Q \) for which \( \Gamma_q \) is of class \( \mathcal{C}^2 \), and the first derivative at \( q \) in the direction \( \xi \in \mathcal{X} \) is given by

\[
F'\xi_q \xi = E_{\infty q, \xi},
\]

where \( E_{\infty q, \xi} \) is the far field pattern of the solution \( (E_1^q, E_2^q) \) to the Maxwell equations (1.1a) in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Gamma_q \) that satisfies the Silver-Müller radiation condition and the transmissions condition

\[
\begin{align*}
\{ n_q \times E_{\infty q, \xi} - n_q \times E_1^q &= f'_{q, \xi}, \\
\frac{1}{\mu_q} n_q \times \text{curl} E_{\infty q, \xi} - \frac{1}{\mu_q} n_q \times \text{curl} E_1^q &= g'_{q, \xi},
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
f'_{q, \xi} = - (\xi \circ q^{-1} \cdot n_q) \left\{ n_q \times \text{curl}(E_1^q + E_{\text{inc}}) \times n_q - n_q \times \text{curl} E_1^q \times n_q \right\}
\]

\[
+ \text{curl}_{\Gamma_q} \left( (\xi \circ q^{-1} \cdot n_q)(n_q \cdot (E_2^q + E_{\text{inc}}) - n_q \cdot E_2^q) \right),
\]

\[
g'_{q, \xi} = - (\xi \circ q^{-1} \cdot n_q) \left\{ \frac{\kappa_q^2}{\mu_q} n_q \times (E_2^q + E_{\text{inc}}) \times n_q - \frac{\kappa_q^2}{\mu_q} (n_q \times E_2^q) \times n_q \right\}
\]

\[
+ \text{curl}_{\Gamma_q} \left( (\xi \circ q^{-1} \cdot n_q) \left\{ \frac{1}{\mu_q} n_q \cdot \text{curl}(E_2^q + E_{\text{inc}}) - \frac{1}{\mu_q} n_q \cdot \text{curl} E_2^q \right\} \right)
\]

on \( \Gamma_q \) where \( (E_1^q, E_2^q) \) is the solution of the dielectric scattering problem (1.1a)-(1.1e) with the interface \( \Gamma_q \) and we have used Notation 2.3.
Remark 6.2 (alternative form of boundary values). By straightforward calculations and the use of the transmission conditions, one can express the boundary values of the Fréchet derivative in terms of the solution to the system of integral equations (2.11) of the direct approach, i.e.

\[(6.1) \quad \begin{pmatrix} u_q^t \\ u_q^s \end{pmatrix} = u_q^\text{inc} + \begin{pmatrix} n_q \times (E^s_q + E^\text{inc}_q) \\ -\frac{1}{\mu_e} n_q \times \text{curl}(E^s_q + E^\text{inc}_q) \end{pmatrix}.\]

First we note that \((E^s_q + E^\text{inc}_q) = \frac{1}{\kappa_2} \text{curl} (E^s_q + E^\text{inc}_q)\) and \(E^t_q = \frac{1}{\kappa_1} \text{curl} E^t_q\). Moreover, using the identity (see (A.5), (A.6))

\[(6.2) \quad n_q \cdot \text{curl} E = \text{curl}_q (n_q \times E \times n_q) = -\text{div}_q (n_q \times E) \quad \text{on } \Gamma_q,
\]

which holds for any smooth vector function \(E\) defined on a neighborhood of \(\Gamma_q\), we obtain

\[(6.3a) \quad f_{q,\xi}' = - (\xi \circ q^{-1} \cdot n_q) (\mu_e - \mu_i) u_q^{(2)} \times n_q - \left(\frac{\mu_e}{\kappa^e_e} - \frac{\mu_i}{\kappa^i_i}\right) \text{curl}_q \left( (\xi \circ q^{-1} \cdot n_q) \text{div}_q u_q^{(2)} \right),
\]

\[(6.3b) \quad g_{q,\xi}' = - (\xi \circ q^{-1} \cdot n_q) \left(\frac{\kappa^e_e}{\mu_e} - \frac{\kappa^i_i}{\mu_i}\right) u_q^{(1)} \times n_q - \left(\frac{1}{\mu_e} - \frac{1}{\mu_i}\right) \text{curl}_q \left( (\xi \circ q^{-1} \cdot n_q) \text{div}_q u_q^{(1)} \right).
\]

An interesting feature of these formulas is that they make appear the contrasts between the interior and exterior values of the dielectric constants.

To define the adjoint of \(F'[q]: \mathcal{X} = H^s(\Gamma_{\text{ref}}, \mathbb{R}^3) \to L^2_q(\mathbb{S}^2)\), we interpret the naturally complex Hilbert space \(L^2_q(\mathbb{S}^2)\) as a real Hilbert space with the real-valued inner product \(\text{Re}(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)\). For bounded linear operator between complex Hilbert spaces such a reinterpretation of the spaces as real Hilbert spaces does not change the adjoint.

Proposition 6.3 (characterization of the adjoint \(F'[q]^*\)). Let

\[E^\text{inc}_h(y) := \frac{\mu_e}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} e^{-i\kappa_e \cdot x} y h(\hat{x}) \, ds(\hat{x}), \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^3\]

denote the vector Herglotz function with kernel \(h \in L^2_q(\mathbb{S}^2)\) and \(E_{q,h}\) the total wave solution to the scattering problem for the dielectric interface \(\Gamma_q\) and the incident wave \(E^\text{inc}_h\). Moreover, let \(j_{\mathcal{X} \to L^2}^*\) denote the embedding operator from \(\mathcal{X} = H^s(\Gamma_{\text{ref}}, \mathbb{R}^3)\) to \(L^2(\Gamma_{\text{ref}}, \mathbb{R}^3)\). Then

\[F'[q]^* h = j_{\mathcal{X} \to L^2}^* \left( j_q \left( n_q \text{Re} \left\{ - (\mu_e - \mu_i) \left( \frac{1}{\mu_e} n_q \times \text{curl} E_{q,h} \right) \cdot u_q^{(2)} \right.ight.ight. \right.

\[\left. \left. + \left(\frac{\mu_e}{\kappa^e_e} - \frac{\mu_i}{\kappa^i_i}\right) \text{div}_q \left( \frac{1}{\mu_e} n_q \times \text{curl} E_{q,h} \right) \cdot \text{div}_q u_q^{(2)} \right)

\[\left. - \left(\frac{\kappa^e_e}{\mu_e} - \frac{\kappa^i_i}{\mu_i}\right) \left( n_q \times E_{q,h} \right) \cdot u_q^{(1)} \right)

\[\left. + \left(\frac{1}{\mu_e} - \frac{1}{\mu_i}\right) \left( n_q \times E_{q,h} \right) \cdot \text{div}_q u_q^{(1)} \right) \circ q \right\}.
\]

Proof. The proof consists of three steps:

1. factorization of \(F'[q]\) and \(F'[q]^*\): Due to Theorem 6.1 and Remark 6.2 \(F'[q]\) has a factorization

\[F'[q] = A^q B^q \xi \quad \text{where} \quad B^q \xi := \begin{pmatrix} B^q_1 \xi \\ B^q_2 \xi \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} g_{q,\xi}' \\ f_{q,\xi}' \end{pmatrix}.
\]
with \( f_q, \xi \) and \( g'_q, \xi \) defined in (6.3) and \( A^q \) maps the boundary values \( \left( \frac{g_q}{f_q} \right) \) onto the far field pattern of the transmission problem (1.1a)-(2.12)-(1.1e) at the interface \( \Gamma_q \), i.e. \( A^q := 2G^q \langle K_{\text{IM}}^q \rangle^{-1} \). Let us denote by \( (A^q)^*_L^2 \) and \( (B^q)^*_L^2 \) the adjoints of \( A^q \) and \( B^q \) with respect to the \( L^2 \) inner products. \( (B^q) \) is obviously unbounded and not everywhere defined from \( L^2(\Gamma_{\text{ref}}, \mathbb{R}^3) \) to \( L^2(\Gamma_q)^2 \), but well-defined on \( H^1(\Gamma_{\text{ref}}, \mathbb{R}^3) \). Moreover, \( B^q(H^s(\Gamma_{\text{ref}}, \mathbb{R}^3)) \subset H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)^2 \cap L^2(\Gamma)^2 \) for \( s > 2 \). Therefore, the adjoint of \( F^q \) has the factorization

\[ F^q[q]_L^2 = j_{\chi_{\text{star}} \rightarrow L^2} (B^q)_L^2 (A^q)_L^2 h, \]

and it remains to characterize \( (A^q)^*_L^2 \) and \( (B^q)_L^2 \).

2. characterization of \( (A^q)^*_L^2 \): Let us introduce the operator \( G^q_0 : L^2(\Gamma_q) \rightarrow L^2(\mathcal{S}^2) \) by \( (G^q_0 m)(\hat{x}) := \frac{\mu}{4\pi} \hat{\times} \times \int_{\mathcal{S}^2} e^{-i\kappa \cdot \hat{x}} y m(y) ds(y) \times \hat{x} \). Then

\[ ((G^q_0)^* h)(y) = \frac{\mu_e}{4\pi} n_q(y) \times \int_{\mathcal{S}^2} e^{-i\kappa \cdot \hat{x}} y \hat{h}(\hat{x}) ds(\hat{x}) \times n_q(y) = n_q(y) \times \frac{\partial E^\text{inc}}{\partial n} \times n_q(y). \]

As \( (G^q(j/m))(\hat{x}) = \frac{\mu_e}{\mu_n} \hat{\times} \times (G^q_0(j))(\hat{x}) + (G^q_0 m)(\hat{x}) \) we obtain

\[ (G^q)^*_L^2 h = \left( n_q \times \frac{\mu_e}{\mu_n} \text{curl} \frac{E^\text{inc}}{\hat{n}} \times n_q \right). \]

Therefore, using Remark 2.2 to pass from \( K_{\text{IM}} \) to \( K_{\text{DM}} \), it follows that

\[ 2(A^q)^*_L^2 h = 2((K_{\text{DM}}^q)^{-1})^*(G^q)^*_L^2 h = 2((K_{\text{IM}}^q)^{-1})^*(G^q)^*_L^2 h \]

\[ = \left( n_q \times 0 \right) (K_{\text{IM}}^q)^{-1} \left( \frac{2E^\text{inc}}{\hat{n}} \times n_q \right) \times \frac{\mu_e}{\mu_n} \text{curl} \frac{E^\text{inc}}{\hat{n}} \times n_q \right). \]

where we have used (2.10) in the last line.

3. characterization of \( (B^q)^*_L^2 \): It will be convenient to compute \( (B^q)_L^2 (g_1 \times n_q) + (B^q_2)_L^2 (g_2 \times n_q) \). For \( B^q_1 \) using the integration by part formula (A.9) we obtain

\[ \text{Re} \left( g_1 \times n_q, (B^q_1)^*_L^2 \right)_{\Gamma_q} = \int_{\Gamma_q} (\xi \circ q^{-1}) \cdot n_q \text{Re} \left\{ -\left( \frac{\mu_e}{\mu_n} - \frac{\mu_n}{\mu_e} \right) \left( g_1 \times n_q \right) \cdot \left( \frac{u_1^{(1)}}{\hat{n}} \times n_q \right) \right\} \text{ds} \]

Together with the transformation formula \( \int_{\Gamma_q} f \text{ds} = \int_{\Gamma_{\text{ref}}} (f \circ q) J_q \text{ds} \) and the identities \( (a \times n) \cdot (b \times n) = (n \times a \times n) \cdot b \) and (6.2) this yields

\[ (B^q_1)_L^2 (g_1 \times n_q) = J_q \cdot \left( n_q \text{Re} \left\{ -\left( \frac{\mu_e}{\mu_n} - \frac{\mu_n}{\mu_e} \right) \left( n_q \times g_1 \times n_q \right) \cdot \left( u_1^{(1)} \times n_q \right) \right\} \,ight. \]

Together with the analogous formula for \( (B^q_2)_L^2 \) and parts 1 and 2 we obtain the assertion. \( \Box \)

Remark 6.4. Recall from the transformation formulas (3.2) that \( \text{div}_g v \circ q = \frac{1}{\gamma} \text{div}_{\mathcal{S}^2}(P_q v) \) and \( \text{curl}_g v \circ q = \text{curl}_{\mathcal{S}^2}(v \circ q) \). As both \( \text{div}_{\mathcal{S}^2} \) and \( \text{curl}_{\mathcal{S}^2} \) are diagonal with respect to the chosen bases of spherical harmonics and vector spherical harmonics, the implementation of the formulas in Remark 6.2 and Proposition 6.3 is straightforward using our discretization.

Using [14, Corollary 4] we obtain that \( F^q_{\text{star}}[r]_L^2 h = j_{\chi_{\text{star}} \rightarrow L^2} \text{Re}\{\ldots\} \circ q \) where the expression in the curly brackets coincides with that in Proposition 6.3.
7. Implementation of the Newton method. Let us summarize the numerical implementation of the $N$th regularized Newton step for the operator equation $F(q) = E_q^\infty$ (see 5.1):
1. For the parametrization $q_N^i : \Gamma_{ref} \to \mathbb{R}^3$ of the current reconstruction $\Gamma_N^i = q_N^i(\Gamma_{ref})$ of the interface, evaluate the forward operator $F$ by solving the discretized approximation $K_{DM} u^{(k)} = 2u^{inc,k}$ of the integral equation (2.11) of the direct method for all incident waves $k = 1, \ldots, m$ using an LU decomposition of the matrix $K_{DM}$. Save the Fourier coefficients of $u^{(k)}$ of the total exterior fields $(n \times (E^{\infty,k} + E^{inc,k}), n \times \text{curl}(E^{s,k} + E^{inc,k}))^\top$ on $\Gamma_N$. Finally compute the discrete far field patterns $E^{\infty,k} = Gu^{(k)}$ for the $k$th incident wave and the interface $\Gamma_N^i$.
2. Now $F'[q_N^i]\xi$ can be evaluated for any $\xi$ by solving discretized versions $K_{IM}(m^{(k)}, j^{(k)})^\top = 2(q_N^i, \xi)^\top$ of the integral equation (2.15) for $k = 1, \ldots, m$. The right hand sides can easily be evaluated using the solutions $u^{(k)}$ from point 1 (see Remark 6.4). For the inversion of the matrix $K_{IM}$ the LU-decomposition of $K_{DM}$ can be reused (see Remark 2.2). Finally, $F'[q_N^i]\xi$ is approximated by the concatenation of the vectors $G(j^{(k)}, m^{(k)})^\top$ for $k = 1, \ldots, m$.
3. Compute the next iterate $q_N^{i+1}$ by minimizing the quadratic Tikhonov functional (5.2) (or solving the equivalent linear equation (5.3)) by the conjugate gradient method. In each CG step $F'[q_N^i]\xi$ and $F'[q_N^i]^*\xi$ are applied to some vectors as described in point 2.

In the CG algorithm we only compute $L^2$ adjoint $F'[q_N^i]_{L^2}$ and evaluate norms in $\mathcal{X} = H^s(S^2)$ using Proposition B.1 and norms in $\mathcal{Y} = L^2(O)^m$ using a quadrature formula.

Using the discrepancy principle the Newton iteration is stopped at the first index $N$ for which

$$\|F(q_N^i) - E_\delta\| \leq \tau \delta$$

where the constant is chosen as $\tau = 4$.

Appendix A: surface differential operators. First we briefly recall the definitions and some properties of surface differential operators following [30]. Assuming that $\Gamma$ admits an atlas $(\Gamma_i, \mathcal{O}_i, \psi_i)_{1 \leq i \leq p}$, where $(\Gamma_i)_{1 \leq i \leq p}$ is a covering of open subset of $\Gamma$ and for $i = 1, \ldots, p$, the function $\psi_i$ is a diffeomorphism (of class $C^1$ at least) such that $\psi_i^{-1}(\Gamma_i) = \mathcal{O}_i \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, then when $\mathbf{x} \in \Gamma_i$ we can write $\mathbf{x} = \psi_i(\xi_1^i, \xi_2^i)$ where $(\xi_1^i, \xi_2^i) \in \mathcal{O}_i$. The tangent plane to $\Gamma$ at $\mathbf{x}$ is generated by the vectors

$$e_1(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\partial \psi_i}{\partial \xi_1}(\xi_1^i, \xi_2^i) \quad \text{and} \quad e_2(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\partial \psi_i}{\partial \xi_2}(\xi_1^i, \xi_2^i).$$

The unit outer normal vector to $\Gamma$ and the surface area element are given by

$$\mathbf{n} = \frac{e_1 \times e_2}{|e_1 \times e_2|} \quad \text{and} \quad ds(\mathbf{y}) = |e_1(\mathbf{y}) \times e_2(\mathbf{y})|d\xi_1 d\xi_2 = J_{\psi_i}(\mathbf{y}) d\xi_1 d\xi_2,$$

where $J_{\psi_i}$ denotes the determinant of the Jacobian matrix of $\psi_i : \mathcal{O}_i \to \Gamma_i$. The cotangent plane to $\Gamma$ at $\mathbf{x}$ is generated by the vectors

$$e^i(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{e_2(\mathbf{x}) \times \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x})}{J_{\psi_i}(\mathbf{x})} \quad \text{and} \quad e^2(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) \times e_1(\mathbf{x})}{J_{\psi_i}(\mathbf{x})}. $$

For $i = 1, 2$, we have that $e_i \cdot e^i = \delta_i^i$ where $\delta_i^i$ represents the Kronecker symbol.
The tangential gradient and the tangential vector curl of any scalar function \( u \in \mathcal{C}^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{C}) \) are defined for \( x = \psi_i(\xi^1_i, \xi^2_i) \in \Gamma \) by

\[
\text{grad}_\Gamma u(x) = \frac{\partial (u \circ \psi_i)}{\partial \xi^1} \circ \psi_i^{-1}(x) e^1(x) + \frac{\partial (u \circ \psi_i)}{\partial \xi^2} \circ \psi_i^{-1}(x) e^2(x),
\]

\[
\text{curl}_\Gamma u(x) = \frac{1}{J_{\psi_i}(x)} \left( \frac{\partial (u \circ \psi_i)}{\partial \xi^1} \circ \psi_i^{-1}(x) e_1(x) - \frac{\partial (u \circ \psi_i)}{\partial \xi^2} \circ \psi_i^{-1}(x) e_2(x) \right).
\]

such that \( \text{grad}_\Gamma u = (\text{grad} \, \hat{u})|_\Gamma \) and \( \text{curl}_\Gamma u = \text{curl}(\hat{u} \mathbf{n})|_\Gamma \) for any smooth extension \( \hat{u} \) of \( u \) to a neighborhood of \( \Gamma \) and a smooth extension \( \hat{\mathbf{n}} \) of \( \mathbf{n} \) as gradient of a distance function. Moreover define the surface divergence of any vector function \( v = v^1 e_1 + v^2 e_2 \in \mathcal{C}^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{C}^2) \) in the tangent plane to \( \Gamma \) and the surface scalar curl of any vector function \( w = w_1 e^1 + w_2 e^2 \in \mathcal{C}^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{C}^3) \) in the cotangent plane to \( \Gamma \) or \( x = \psi_i(\xi^1_i, \xi^2_i) \in \Gamma \) by

\[
\text{div}_\Gamma v(x) = \frac{1}{J_{\psi_i}(x)} \left( \frac{\partial (J_{\psi_i} v^1)}{\partial \xi^1} \circ \psi_i^{-1}(x) + \frac{\partial (J_{\psi_i} v^2)}{\partial \xi^2} \circ \psi_i^{-1}(x) \right) \circ \psi_i^{-1}(x),
\]

\[
\text{curl}_\Gamma w(x) = \frac{1}{J_{\psi_i}(x)} \left( \frac{\partial (w_2 \circ \psi_i)}{\partial \xi^1} - \frac{\partial (w_1 \circ \psi_i)}{\partial \xi^2} \right) \circ \psi_i^{-1}(x).
\]

These definitions are independent of the choice of the coordinate system, and the identities

\[
\textbf{n} \cdot (\text{curl} \, \mathbf{E})|_\Gamma = \text{curl}_\Gamma (\textbf{n} \times \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{n}),
\]

\[
\text{curl}_\Gamma u = (\text{grad}_\Gamma u) \times \mathbf{n}, \quad \text{curl}_\Gamma (w \times \mathbf{n}) = \text{div}_\Gamma (w \times \mathbf{n}),
\]

\[
\text{curl}_\Gamma \text{grad}_\Gamma u = 0, \quad \text{div}_\Gamma \text{curl}_\Gamma u = 0
\]

hold true for \( u \) and \( w \) and any smooth vector function \( \mathbf{E} \) defined on a neighborhood of \( \Gamma \). By density arguments, the surface differential operators can be extended to Sobolev spaces. For \( s \in \mathbb{R}, j \in H^s_t(\Gamma) \) and \( \varphi \in H^{-s}(\Gamma) \) we have the dualities

\[
\int_{\Gamma} \text{div}_\Gamma \varphi \, ds = - \int_{\Gamma} \varphi \, ds,
\]

\[
\int_{\Gamma} \text{curl}_\Gamma \varphi \, ds = \int_{\Gamma} \varphi \, ds.
\]

**Appendix B: spherical harmonics and Sobolev spaces on \( S^2 \).** In this appendix we recall the characterizations of Sobolev spaces on \( S^2 \) by scalar and vector spherical harmonics following [30]. For \( l \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( 0 \leq j \leq l \), let \( P^j_l \) denote the \( j \)-th associated Legendre function of order \( l \). Using the notation (3.1), the spherical harmonics defined by

\[
Y_{l,j}(\hat{x}) = (-1)^{l+j+1} \frac{2l+1}{4\pi} \frac{(l-j)!}{(l+j)!} P^j_l(\cos \hat{\theta}) e^{ij\phi}
\]

for \( j = -l, \ldots, l \) and \( l = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \).

**Proposition B.1.** \( \{Y_{l,j} : l, j \in \mathbb{Z}, l \geq 0, |j| \leq l\} \) is a complete orthonormal system in \( L^2(S^2) \).

The complex Hilbert spaces \( H^s(S^2) \) for \( s \in \mathbb{R} \) can be characterized by

\[
H^s(S^2) = \left\{ q = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=-l}^{l} c_{l,j} Y_{l,j}; c_{l,j} \in \mathbb{C} \right. \text{ and } \left. \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=-l}^{l} (1 + l^2)^{s} |c_{l,j}|^2 < +\infty \right\},
\]

with (equivalent) norm \( ||q||_{H^s} = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=-l}^{l} (1 + l^2)^s |c_{l,j}|^2 = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=-l}^{l} (1 + l^2)^s \left| \int_{S^2} q \cdot Y_{l,j} \, ds \right|^2 \). A function \( q \in H^s(S^2, \mathbb{C}) \) is real valued if and only if \( c_{l,j} = c_{l,-j} \) for all \( l = 0, 1, \ldots \) and \( j = -l, \ldots, l \).
inverse dielectric scattering problem

The tangential gradient of the spherical harmonics is given by

\[
\text{grad}_{S^2} Y_{l,j}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} 
(1)^{\frac{j-1}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{2l+1}{l(l+1)}} \left( \frac{\partial P_l^{|j|} \cos \theta}{\partial \theta} e_\theta + i \frac{\partial P_l^{|j|} \cos \theta}{\sin \theta} e_\phi \right), & \sin \theta \neq 0, \\
\frac{l(l+1)^{\frac{j}{2}}}{4 \pi} \left( \frac{\cos \theta}{2} e_\theta + i \frac{\cos \theta}{2} e_\phi \right), & \sin \theta = 0, |j| = 1, \\
(0,0,0)^T, & \sin \theta = 0, |j| \neq 1
\end{cases}
\]

for \( l \in \mathbb{N}^* \) and \( j \in \mathbb{N} \) with \( |j| \leq l \) with

\[
\frac{\partial P_l^{|j|} \cos \theta}{\partial \theta} = \begin{cases} 
-(l+|j|)(l-|j|)P_l^{|j|-1} \cos \theta - |j| \frac{\cos \theta}{\sin \theta} P_l^{|j|} \cos \theta, & (|j| \neq 0), \\
P_l^{|j|} \cos \theta, & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]

The tangential vector spherical harmonics are defined by

\[
\mathbf{Y}^{(1)}_{l,j} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{l(l+1)}} \text{grad}_{S^2} Y_{l,j} \text{ and } \mathbf{Y}^{(2)}_{l,j} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{l(l+1)}} \text{curl}_{S^2} Y_{l,j}
\]

for \( j = -l, \ldots, l \) and \( l = 1, 2, \ldots \).

**Proposition B.2.** The vector spherical harmonics form a complete orthonormal system in \( L^2_v(S^2) \). The complex Hilbert spaces \( H^{-\frac{1}{2}}_{\text{div}}(S^2) \) can be characterized by

\[
H^{-\frac{1}{2}}_{\text{div}}(S^2) = \left\{ \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=-l}^{l} \alpha_{l,j} \mathbf{Y}^{(1)}_{l,j} + \beta_{l,j} \mathbf{Y}^{(2)}_{l,j} : \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=-l}^{l} (l(l+1))^{\frac{1}{2}} |\alpha_{l,j}|^2 + \frac{|\beta_{l,j}|^2}{(l(l+1))^{\frac{1}{2}}} < \infty \right\}
\]

with (equivalent) norm \( ||j||^2_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}}_{\text{div}}(S^2)} = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=-l}^{l} (l(l+1))^{\frac{1}{2}} \left| \int_{S^2} j \cdot \mathbf{Y}^{(1)}_{l,j} ds \right|^2 + (l(l+1))^{\frac{1}{2}} \left| \int_{S^2} j \cdot \mathbf{Y}^{(2)}_{l,j} ds \right|^2 \).
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