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Abstract

We give a reduction from clique to establish that sparse PCA is NP-hard. The reduction has a gap which we use to exclude an FPTAS for sparse PCA (unless P=NP). Under weaker complexity assumptions, we also exclude polynomial constant-factor approximation algorithms.

1 Introduction

The earliest reference to principal components analysis (PCA) is in [14]. Since then, PCA has evolved into a classic tool for data analysis. A challenge for the interpretation of the principal components (or factors) is that they can be linear combinations of all the original variables. When the original variables have direct physical significance (e.g. genes in biological applications or assets in financial applications) it is desirable to have factors which have loadings on only a small number of the original variables. These interpretable factors are sparse principal components (spca). There are many heuristics for obtaining sparse factors [3, 17, 18, 6, 5, 12, 16] as well as some approximation algorithms with provable guarantees [2]. Our goal in this short paper is to establish the NP-hardness and inapproximability of spca using a reduction from clique.

The traditional formulation of sparse PCA is as cardinality constrained variance maximization:

| Problem: spca (sparse PCA) |
|---------------------------|
| Input: Symmetric matrix $S \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$; sparsity $r \geq 0$; variance $M \geq 0$. |
| Question: Does there exist a unit vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with at most $r$ non-zero elements ($v^t v = 1$ and $\|v\|_0 \leq r$) for which $v^t S v \geq M$? |

In the machine learning context, $S$ is the covariance matrix for the data and, when there is no sparsity constraint, the solution $v^*$ is the top right singular vector of $S$. A generalization of spca is the generalized eigenvalue problem: maximize $v^t S v$ subject to $v^t Q v = 1$ and $\|v\|_0 \leq r$. This generalized eigenvalue problem is NP-hard [11] (via a reduction from sparse regression which is known to be NP-hard [13, 7]). It is deeply embeded folklore that spca is NP-hard. The importance of sparse factors in dimensionality reduction has been recognized in some early work (the varimax criterion [9] has been used to rotate the factors to encourage sparsity, and this has been used in multi-dimensional scaling approaches to dimensionality reduction [15, 10]).

Notation. $A, B, \ldots$ are matrices; $a, b, \ldots$ are vectors; and, $G, H, \ldots$ are graphs. The top eigenvalue of a matrix $A$ is $\lambda_1(A)$; $\|A\|_2$ is the spectral norm. For an undirected graph $G$, its adjacency matrix $A$ is a $(0,1)$-matrix with $A_{ij} = 1$ whenever edge $(i, j)$ is in $G$. The spectral radius of a graph is the spectral norm of its adjacency matrix (also the top eigenvalue $\lambda_1$). $0$ (resp. $1$) are vectors or matrices of only zeros (resp. ones); for example, $1_{2 \times 2}$ is a $2 \times 2$ matrix of ones.
2 Reduction from CLIQUE

**Problem:** CLIQUE

**Input:** Undirected graph $G = (V, E)$; clique size $K$.

**Question:** Does there exist a $K$-clique in $G$?

The reduction is fairly straightforward. Given the inputs $(G, K)$ for CLIQUE, we construct the inputs $(S, r, M)$ for SPCA as follows. Let $S$ be the adjacency matrix of $G$; let $r = K$; and, let $M = K - 1$. Clearly the reduction is polynomial. It only remains to prove that there is a $K$-clique in $G$ if and only if there is a $K$-sparse unit vector $v$ for which $v^T S v \geq K - 1$. We need the following lemma on the spectral radius (top eigenvalue) of an adjacency matrix.

**Lemma 1** ([4]). Let $A$ be the adjacency matrix of a graph $H$ of order $\ell$. If $H$ is an $\ell$-clique, then $\|A\|_2 = \lambda_1(A) = \ell - 1$; if $H$ is not an $\ell$-clique, then $\|A\|_2 = \lambda_1(A) < \ell - 1$.

We now prove the claim. Suppose $Q$ is a $K$-clique in $G$ and let $S_Q$ be the $K \times K$ principal submatrix of $S$ corresponding to the nodes in $Q$. Let $z$ be a unit-norm top eigenvector of $S_Q$, and let $v(z)$ be the vector with $K$ non-zeros induced by $z$: the non-zeros in $v$ are at the indices corresponding to the nodes in $Q$ and the values are the corresponding values in $z$. Then,

$$v^T S v = z^T S_Q z = \lambda_1(S_Q) = K - 1,$$

where the last equality follows from Lemma 1 because $S_Q$ is the adjacency matrix of a $K$-clique. So, $v(z)$ is a $K$-sparse unit vector for which $v^T S v \geq K - 1$. Now, suppose that there is a unit-norm $K$-sparse $v$ for which $v^T S v \geq K - 1$. Let $S_Q$ be the $K \times K$ principal submatrix of $S$ corresponding to the non-zero entries of $v$ and let $z(v)$ be the $K$-dimensional vector consisting only of the non-zeros of $v$. Let $Q$ be the subgraph induced by the nodes corresponding to the non-zero indices of $v$ ($S_Q$ is the adjacency matrix of $Q$). Then, $v^T S v = z^T S_Q z \geq K - 1$, and so $\lambda_1(S_Q) \geq K - 1$. By Lemma 1 if $Q$ is not a $K$-clique then $\lambda_1(S_Q) < K - 1$, so it follows that $Q$ is a $K$-clique. Clearly $SPCA$ is in NP and so it is NP-complete.

3 Inapproximability of SPGA

We now provide evidence that there is no efficient approximation algorithm for SPGA. First we rule out the possibility of a fully polynomial time approximation scheme (FPTAS). Given any instance $(S, r)$ of SPGA, define $OPT(S, r) = \max_v v^T S v$ over unit-norm $r$-sparse $v$. A $(1 - \epsilon)$-approximation algorithm for SPGA produces a unit-norm $r$-sparse solution $\tilde{v}$ for any given instance $(S, r)$ satisfying $\tilde{v}^T S \tilde{v} \geq (1 - \epsilon)OPT(S, r)$. An FPTAS is algorithm to compute a $(1 - \epsilon)$-approximation for $\epsilon > 0$ and every instance of SPGA that is polynomial in $n, r, \epsilon^{-1}$. The next theorem establishes that there is no polynomial $(1 - O(1/r^2))$-approximation algorithm and hence no FPTAS.

**Theorem 2** (No FPTAS). Unless $P = NP$, there is no polynomial time $(1 - \epsilon)$-approximation algorithm for SPGA with

$$\epsilon < \epsilon^*(r) = \frac{r + 1}{2(r - 1)} \left( 1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{8}{(r + 1)^2}} \right) = \frac{2}{r^2 - 1} + O(1/r^4).$$
Proof. The proof essentially amounts to strengthening Lemma 11 for the case that $H$ is not an $\ell$-clique. Specifically in Lemma 11, if adjacency matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell \times \ell}$ is not the adjacency matrix of an $\ell$-clique, then we will show that

$$\lambda_1(A) \leq \frac{\ell - 3}{2} + \frac{\ell + 1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{8}{(\ell + 1)^2} \right)^{1/2} = (\ell - 1)(1 - e^*(\ell)). \quad (\ast)$$

Suppose that (\ast) holds whenever $H$ is not an $\ell$-clique. For any spca instance $(S, r)$, suppose the polynomial algorithm $A$ gives a $(1 - \epsilon)$-approximation with $\epsilon < e^*(r)$. We show how to use $A$ to polynomially decide CLIQUE. Given $(G, K)$, the inputs to CLIQUE, use our reduction to construct $(S, K, K - 1)$, the inputs to spca. Now run algorithm $A$ on $(S, K)$ to obtain $\tilde{v}$ and compute $x = \tilde{v}S\tilde{v}$. If $x \geq (K - 1)(1 - e^*(K))$ then $\text{OPT}(S, K) = K - 1$ and so there is a $K$-clique in $G$; if $x < (K - 1)(1 - e^*(K))$ then $\text{OPT}(S, K) < K - 1$ (since we have a better than $(1 - e^*(K))$-approximation) and so there is no $K$-clique in $G$.

To prove (\ast), we first consider the adjacency matrix of a complete graph minus one edge,

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{2 \times 2} & 1_{2 \times (\ell - 2)} \\ 1_{(\ell - 2) \times 2} & 1_{(\ell - 2) \times (\ell - 2)} - I_{(\ell - 2) \times (\ell - 2)} \end{bmatrix}$$

By symmetry, the top eigenvector can be written $\begin{bmatrix} x1_2 \\ y1_{\ell - 2} \end{bmatrix}$. The eigenvalue equation is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0_{2 \times 2} & 1_{2 \times (\ell - 2)} \\ 1_{(\ell - 2) \times 2} & 1_{(\ell - 2) \times (\ell - 2)} - I_{(\ell - 2) \times (\ell - 2)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x1_2 \\ y1_{\ell - 2} \end{bmatrix} = \lambda \begin{bmatrix} x1_2 \\ y1_{\ell - 2} \end{bmatrix},$$

and we obtain the equations:

$$\begin{align*}
(\ell - 2)y &= \lambda x; \\
2x + (\ell - 3)y &= \lambda y.
\end{align*}$$

Solving for $\lambda$ gives the quadratic $\lambda^2 - (\ell - 3)\lambda - 2(\ell - 2) = 0$, and the positive root is

$$\lambda = \frac{\ell - 3}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(\ell + 1)^2 - 8},$$

which is the expression in (\ast). Since the spectral radius is strictly decreasing with edge-removal, we have proved the upper bound in (\ast).

Under stronger (average-case) complexity assumptions we can also exclude polynomial constant factor approximations for spca. A natural optimization version of CLIQUE is the densest-$K$-subgraph (dKS): Given $(G, K)$ find a subgraph $Q$ on $K$ nodes with the maximum number of edges. There is evidence that dKS does not admit efficient approximation algorithms [1].

Let $G$ and $G'$ be two graphs on $n$ vertices. Suppose that one of the graphs has an $\ell$-clique and for the other graph, every subgraph on $\ell$ vertices has at most $\delta(\ell - 1)/2$ edges for $0 < \delta < 1$. If one has a polynomial $\delta$-approximation algorithm for dKS then one can determine which of $G, G'$ has the $\ell$-clique in polynomial time. We show that if one has an $\alpha$-approximation algorithm for spca, then one can determine which of $G, G'$ has the $\ell$-clique in polynomial time for $\delta \leq \alpha^2$. This means that if there are no polynomial algorithms to distinguish between graphs with $\ell$-cliques and graphs whose $\ell$ subsets are all below a density $\alpha^2$, then there are no polynomial $\alpha$-approximation algorithms for spca.
Suppose there is an $\alpha$-approximation algorithm for SPCA. So, given any instance $(S, r)$ of SPCA, in polynomial time one can construct a solution $\tilde{v}$ for which $\tilde{v}^T S \tilde{v} \geq \alpha \text{OPT}(S, r)$. Let $G, G'$ be the two graphs described above with $\delta = \alpha^2$. Note that
\[ \delta = \alpha^2 < \frac{\alpha^2 (\ell - 1)}{\ell} + \frac{1}{\ell}, \]
where the inequality is because $0 < \alpha < 1$. Now, let $A$ be the adjacency matrix of $G$ and run the $\alpha$-approximation algorithm for SPCA with inputs $(A, \ell)$ to produce a solution $\tilde{v}$. If $\tilde{v}^T A \tilde{v} \geq \alpha (\ell - 1)$, declare that $G$ contains the $\ell$-clique; otherwise declare that $G'$ contains the $\ell$-clique. We prove that our algorithm correctly identifies the graph with the $\ell$-clique.

If $G$ does contain the $\ell$-clique, then $\text{OPT}(A, \ell) = \ell - 1$ and the output $\tilde{v}$ will satisfy $\tilde{v}^T A \tilde{v} \geq \alpha (\ell - 1)$ (because it is an $\alpha$-approximation) and so we will correctly identify $G$ to have the $\ell$-clique. Now suppose that $G$ does not contain the $\ell$-clique. So, every $\ell$-node subgraph in $G$ has at most $e \leq \delta \ell (\ell - 1)/2$ edges. We now use the bound on the spectral radius of a graph with $e$ edges from [8]: $\|A\|_2 \leq \sqrt{2e - n + 1}$, and since $e \leq \delta \ell (\ell - 1)/2$, we have that
\[ \|A\|_2 \leq \sqrt{\delta \ell (\ell - 1) - \ell + 1} = \sqrt{\alpha^2 \ell (\ell - 1) - \ell + 1} < \sqrt{\frac{\alpha^2 (\ell - 1)}{\ell} + \frac{1}{\ell}} \ell (\ell - 1) - \ell + 1 = \alpha (\ell - 1). \]
Since $\|A\|_2 < \alpha (\ell - 1)$, we will correctly identify $G'$ to have the $\ell$-clique. The conclusion is summarised in the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.** A polynomial $\alpha$-approximation algorithm for SPCA gives a polynomial algorithm to distinguish between two graphs on $n$ vertices, one of which contains an $\ell$-clique and the other with every subset of $\ell$ nodes having at most $\alpha^2 \ell (\ell - 1)/2$ edges (for any $(n, \ell)$).

Under a variety of complexity assumptions it is known that one cannot efficiently distinguish between graphs with $\ell$-cliques and graphs in which all subsets of size $\ell$ are sparse (for varying degrees of sparseness).

**Theorem 4** (No constant factor approximation for DKS [1]). Let $1 > \delta > 0$ be any constant approximation factor. Let $G$ and $G'$ be two graphs on $\ell^2$ vertices. One of the graphs has an $\ell$-clique and for the other graph, every subgraph on $\ell$ vertices has at most $\delta \ell (\ell - 1)/2$ edges. Suppose there is no polynomial time algorithm for solving the hidden clique problem for a planted clique of size $n^{1/3}$. Then, there is no polynomial algorithm to determine which of $G, G'$ has the $\ell$-clique.

Using Theorem 3 with Theorem 4,

**Corollary 5** (No constant factor approximation for SPCA). Suppose there is no polynomial time algorithm for solving the hidden clique problem for a planted clique of size $n^{1/3}$. Then, for any constant $0 < \alpha < 1$, there is no polynomial time $\alpha$-approximation algorithm for SPCA.
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