Polymers with two-dimensional (2D) network topologies are currently gaining significant attention due to their unique properties that originate from their regulated conformations. However, in contrast to conventional 1D- and 3D-networked macromolecules, the synthesis of such 2D networks provides challenges for polymer chemists because of the nature of the networking polymerisation reaction, which occurs in a spatially random fashion when conventional solution-phase synthesis is performed. Here we report a versatile synthesis of polymeric monosheets with unimolecularly thick networking architectures by exploiting the 2D nanospaces of metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) as reaction templates. Crosslinking radical polymerisation in the 2D nanospaces of pillared-layer-type MOFs affords monosheets of typical vinyl polymers and can be carried out on the gram scale. Remarkably, the prepared polymer monosheets are highly soluble in organic solvents and show atypical thermal and rheological properties that result from their 2D-regulated conformations that cannot be adopted by their 1D or 3D analogues.
Polymer monosheets that are not manifested in the three-dimensional (3D) bulk state can result in higher $T_g$ values compared to those of their linear analogues, while crosslinking reactions generally have lower glass transition temperatures ($T_g$) compared to those of their linear analogues, while crosslinking reactions generally result in higher $T_g$ values. In addition, the polymer sheets exhibit atypical rheological behaviour as evidenced by the complete absence of a rubbery plateau during dynamic mechanical analysis (DMA), which is plausibly due to suppressed entanglements and fast relaxation processes ascribable to the distinct 2D network topology of its molecular shape.

**Results**

**Preparing the polymer monosheets.** The pillared-layer [Ni(Hbtc)(bpy)]$_n$ MOF (1) was used as the host for crosslinking polymerisation (Fig. 2)\(^{45,46}\). Since 1 has nanospaces that are comparable in size to conventional vinyl monomers, the chain-growth reaction is only allowed in the $ab$ planes of the 2D spaces of 1, thereby providing monosheets of the crosslinked polymer network. Styrene (St; molecular size $= 6.8 \times 4.4 \text{ Å}$) and ethylene glycol dimethacrylate (EDMA) were incorporated into the host as the guest monomer and crosslinker, respectively. The [Ni(Hbtc)$_2$] layers are linked by pillar bpy ligands to form the pillared-layer structure, in which each layer is separated from the next by ~0.8 nm (Fig. 2). Interlayer communication among the guest monomers is not possible because of the narrow aperture of the [Ni(Hbtc)$_2$]$_n$ layer in 1, which is ~3 Å in diameter; this impedes interlayer polymerisation reactions and leads to anisotropic networking in the 2D interstices of the host MOF. Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations of St placed in the interstices of 1 support the view that monomer hopping to adjacent 2D spaces cannot occur on a reasonable timescale at a reasonable temperature (Fig. 2c, d and Supplementary Fig. 1), which motivated us to carry out crosslinking reactions in 1 that would give unimolecularly thick monosheets of polymer networks.

The crosslinking radical polymerisation of St in 1 was performed as follows (Fig. 1). A weighed amount of activated 1 was immersed in a mixture of St, EDMA, and a radical initiator (azobisisobutyronitrile; AIBN) in order to incorporate these guests into 1 (see Methods). Excess St on the outsides of the MOF crystals were then removed at room temperature under reduced pressure (0.2 kPa) to give the host–monomer composite (1$\supset$St). The radical copolymerisation of St and EDMA was then induced by heating the composite at 70 °C for 48 h under nitrogen to give the host–crosslinked polystyrene (PSt) (1$\supset$St) expensive process.

**Results**

**Preparing the polymer monosheets.** The pillared-layer [Ni(Hbtc)(bpy)]$_n$ MOF (1) was used as the host for crosslinking polymerisation (Fig. 2)\(^{45,46}\). Since 1 has nanospaces that are comparable in size to conventional vinyl monomers, the chain-growth reaction is only allowed in the $ab$ planes of the 2D spaces of 1, thereby providing monosheets of the crosslinked polymer network. Styrene (St; molecular size $= 6.8 \times 4.4 \text{ Å}$) and ethylene glycol dimethacrylate (EDMA) were incorporated into the host as the guest monomer and crosslinker, respectively. The [Ni(Hbtc)$_2$] layers are linked by pillar bpy ligands to form the pillared-layer structure, in which each layer is separated from the next by ~0.8 nm (Fig. 2). Interlayer communication among the guest monomers is not possible because of the narrow aperture of the [Ni(Hbtc)$_2$]$_n$ layer in 1, which is ~3 Å in diameter; this impedes interlayer polymerisation reactions and leads to anisotropic networking in the 2D interstices of the host MOF. Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations of St placed in the interstices of 1 support the view that monomer hopping to adjacent 2D spaces cannot occur on a reasonable timescale at a reasonable temperature (Fig. 2c, d and Supplementary Fig. 1), which motivated us to carry out crosslinking reactions in 1 that would give unimolecularly thick monosheets of polymer networks.

The crosslinking radical polymerisation of St in 1 was performed as follows (Fig. 1). A weighed amount of activated 1 was immersed in a mixture of St, EDMA, and a radical initiator (azobisisobutyronitrile; AIBN) in order to incorporate these guests into 1 (see Methods). Excess St on the outsides of the MOF crystals were then removed at room temperature under reduced pressure (0.2 kPa) to give the host–monomer composite (1$\supset$St). The radical copolymerisation of St and EDMA was then induced by heating the composite at 70 °C for 48 h under nitrogen to give the host–crosslinked polystyrene (PSt) (1$\supset$St) composite (1$\supset$PS), after which a HCl/MeOH solution was added to the composite to digest the MOF and leave the crosslinked PSt (PSt-I) as a white powder.

The conversions of St and EDMA were individually determined to be 66% and 100%, respectively, by $^1$H NMR spectroscopy of the composite before and after the reaction, where the composite was digested by a DCI/DMSO-$d_6$ mixture in situ to liberate unreacted monomers (see Methods). The degree of crosslinking (molar ratio of EDMA to St) of the resultant PSt-I was calculated to be ~1% (Supplementary Fig. 2). The crystal structure of the host MOF was retained even after polymerisation, as confirmed by X-ray powder diffractometry (XRPD) of the composite before and after polymerisation (Fig. 3a). In addition, scanning electron microscopy (SEM) revealed that the original hexagonal shape of crystalline 1 was maintained during the entire reaction process (Supplementary Fig. 3). After polymerisation, the MOF template was removed by treatment with a HCl/MeOH solution to leave PSt-I. The complete removal of the MOF host was confirmed by XRPD where the total absence of the XRPD pattern of 1 (Fig. 3a). This was also supported by SEM-energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy and X-ray fluorescence analysis (Supplementary Fig. 4). The isolated particles of PSt-I preserved the original hexagonal shape of the parent crystal of 1 even after the removal of the crystalline host.
These observations suggest that polymerisation proceeded only inside the host MOF. This MOF-templated polymerisation reaction is tolerant to large-scale operation and can afford grams of polymer monosheets simply through the use of the corresponding amount of the MOF host. Such reaction scalability is highly advantageous when compared to that of conventional thin-layer polymerisation techniques that use surface/interface-deposited monomers\textsuperscript{13–15,25,26}, and will accelerate 2D material sciences and characterisation that have been impeded to date due to a lack of production and supply of materials.

**Structure of the polymer monosheet.** Despite the crosslinked structure, PSt-1 is very soluble in solvents that typically dissolve conventional PSt (e.g., chloroform, toluene, and 1,2-dioxane); in solution it exhibited a Tyndal effect, which is indicative of a colloidal dispersion of PSt-1 in the solvent (Fig. 3b). The size distribution of PSt-1 in the solution phase was determined by dynamic light scattering (DLS) experiments (Fig. 3c); PSt-1 in chloroform showed a monomodal DLS peak that corresponds to a diameter of 98 nm. In order to determine molecular weight information for PSt-1, we subjected both PSt-1 and its linear analogue to static light scattering (SLS) analysis. Linear PSt, hereafter referred to as PSt-L, was prepared by conventional solution-phase free-radical polymerisation (Supplementary Methods), which resulted in a polymer with $M_w = 238,000$ g mol\textsuperscript{-1} and $M_w/M_n = 2.0$ as determined by size-exclusion chromatography (SEC) calibrated using PSt standards. The SLS results for PSt-L
provided an $M_w$ of 233,000 g mol$^{-1}$ with an $R_g$ (radius of gyration) of 35.1 nm. The SLS-based $M_w$ is in good agreement with that determined by SEC. On the other hand, the SLS data for PST-1 provided an $M_w$ of 298,000 g mol$^{-1}$ and an $R_g$ of 59.3 nm (Supplementary Fig. 5); hence PST-1 exhibits a similar absolute $M_w$ to its linear analogue, but a 1.7-fold larger $R_g$. This observation presumably indicates that PST-1 possesses an anisotropically expanded conformation compared to a linear analogue with a comparable $M_w$ that theoretically adopts a random coil conformation with a spherical projection geometry.

As a necessary result of the narrow interlayer distance in 1 (~0.8 nm), chain growth and crosslinking reactions in 1 proceed in 2D directions in its interstices, resulting in the formation of a crosslinked polymer network that is only unimolecularly thick. The actual morphology of an individual PST-1 sheet was imaged by atomic force microscopy (AFM) (Fig. 4). Figure 4a, c shows the AFM images of PST-1 deposited on a highly oriented pyrolytic graphite (HOPG) substrate by spin casting from a dilute chloroform dispersion (0.1 µg mL$^{-1}$), and clearly reveals that PST-1 has a uniformly thick 2D sheet structure. Height profile analysis suggests that each PST-1 sheet is ~0.7 nm thick, which is in good agreement with the cross-sectional diameter of a single PST chain (i.e., the size of the longer axis of St). The network structure of individual PST-1 monosheets could be roughly estimated by numerical calculation based on NMR data and the crosslinking density. Each PST-1 monosheet has ~30 crosslinking points interconnected with PST chains with the molecular weight of ~5 kg mol$^{-1}$, forming the mesh-like 2D networking structure (Supplementary Fig. 6).

The high designability of the MOF host structure facilitates highly precise control of the thickness of the polymeric nanosheet. Use of a longer pillar ligand, namely 1,4-di(4-pyridyl)benzene (dpb), gave an analogous MOF, namely [Ni(Hbtc)(dbp)]$_n$ (2), which has a larger interlayer distance of 1.2 nm (Supplementary Fig. 7). Polymerisation of St in 2 also gave polymer 2D sheets (i.e., PST-2) using the same reaction protocol to that involving 1. AFM images of PST-2 deposited on the HOPG substrate show a 2D sheet structure (Supplementary Fig. 8) that is thicker than that of PST-1. The thickness PST-2 is comparable to the interlayer distance in 2, suggesting that the thickness of the crosslinked polymer sheet can be successfully controlled by tuning the host MOF structure.

In contrast to the 2D-expanded morphology observed on the HOPG substrate, PST-1 behaves differently on a mica substrate, where it adopts a much thicker spherical shape (Fig. 4e, f). This outcome can be explained with reference to the polarity of the polymer and its interactions with the substrate. PST is a low-polarity polymer with a high affinity for non-polar substrates, such as HOPG. On the other hand, interactions between PST and the mica substrate are expected to be poorer than those involving HOPG because a freshly cleaved mica surface is highly polar due to exposed oxygen atoms. Stronger binding at the polymer/substrate interface gives rise to an expanded polymer sheet conformation upon deposition, with better contact and surface coverage. However, this is not the case for the lower affinity polymer/mica system, resulting in the folded, and rather aggregated particle morphology observed on the mica substrate. This dynamic change in particle morphology is possibly the consequence of the distinct monolayer configuration of the PST network. The unimolecularly thick polymer monosheets have much higher degrees of freedom and, as a consequence, can adopt multiple possible conformations, while conventional crosslinked nanoparticles (e.g., microgels) can only adopt spherical conformations, as generally observed and reported in the literature.

This MOF-templated crosslinking polymerisation technique facilitates the synthesis of poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) monosheets in the same manner as observed for PST. The
molecular size of methyl methacrylate (MMA: 5.9 × 4.1 Å) suggests that MMA monomers will be successfully confined in 1 and not undergo undesired interlayer crosslinking (Supplementary Fig. 9). The crosslinking polymerisations of MMA was performed in 1 in the presence of EDMA and AIBN, to afford the respective polymer networks, namely PMMA-1. The morphologies of PMMA-1 were analysed by AFM; the PMMA networks exhibit flat sheet structures with only single-chain thicknesses (Supplementary Fig. 10), as expected. Interestingly, the sheet-like morphology of PMMA-1 was observed only when deposited on the mica substrate, while large aggregated particles were observed when HOPG was used as the substrate (Supplementary Fig. 10). These experimental observations are reflective of the high polarity of PMMA which has polar carbonyl-group-containing side chains. These observations are in stark contrast to that of apolar PST-1, which highlights that polymer–substrate affinity plays a crucial role in controlling the morphology of a flexible polymer monosheet.

It should be noted that the size of polymer monosheets obtained was generally much smaller (~100 nm) than the size of host MOF crystals (~50 µm). This is typically observed in the confined polymerisation system using MOFs. AIBN loaded in the MOF generates free radicals to start polymerisation at random locations in the crystals. Volume shrinkage during polymerisation (e.g., volume of dimer < volume of two monomers) results in the formation of many polymer domains isolated in the MOF crystals. In addition, diffusion of the polymer domains is highly restricted because of the confined feature of this reaction system. This situation leads to depletion of monomers, which ends up with smaller size of product compared to the size of host MOF crystals. As also evidenced by the AFM-based analysis of PST-1, which showed size distribution of the monosheets (Supplementary Fig. 11), the size control is the next challenge for this system.

**Polymer monosheet properties.** The thermal properties of PST-1 are expected to differ from those of conventional linear PST because of its unique 2D topology. In general, crosslinking reactions increase the $T_g$ of a bulk polymer compared to its pristine linear counterpart due to its 3D networking structure with fixed local and global chain mobilities. However, we found that the $T_g$ of PST-1 is significantly lower ($T_g = 95^\circ\text{C}$) than that of the non-crosslinked linear PST-L ($T_g = 103^\circ\text{C}$) synthesised.
by solution-phase free-radical polymerisation. For further comparison purposes, we prepared a 3D-crosslinked (microgel) PSt, referred to as PSt-C, by the microemulsion polymerisation method (Supplementary Methods)\(^4\). PSt-C showed a higher \(T_g\) of 107 °C as expected, meanwhile its DLS-based particle size (~150 nm diameter in chloroform) and crosslinking degree (~1%) are comparable to those of PSt-1 (Supplementary Figs. 13 and 14). In the case of PSt-1, individual polymer sheets can adopt many possible conformations, with entanglements not permitted even at a very-high molecular weight of \(\sim 3 \times 10^6\) g mol\(^{-1}\), which is likely to engender the polymer with higher chain mobility on the molecular scale, resulting in a lower \(T_g\) compared to PSt-C. DMA revealed that PSt-1 exhibits atypical mechanical behaviour. Figure 5 shows the temperature dependences of the storage \((\sigma')\) and loss \((\sigma'')\) moduli of PSt-1, PSt-L, and PSt-C. DMA was carried out under oscillatory shearing at a frequency \(\omega\) of 1 s\(^{-1}\). Interestingly, PSt-1 showed a continuous decrease in \(\sigma'\) above its \(T_g\) and eventually exhibited flowing melt character, with a \(\sigma'\) four orders of magnitude lower than those of PSt-L and PSt-C at 150 °C (Fig. 5 and Supplementary Movie 1). This is also evidenced by the temperature dependence of the loss factor (\(\tan \delta = \sigma''/\sigma'\)) of PSt-1 that becomes higher than unity above its \(T_g\), indicating that the material is more fluid-like than solid-like at this temperature and given frequency. On the other hand, PSt-L and PSt-C displayed typical viscoelastic behaviour commonly observed for bulk PSt. Master curves for these samples were constructed by shifting and superimposing multiple temperature–frequency data at a reference temperature \(T_{ref} = 160\) °C (Supplementary Fig. 15). PSt-L exhibited a rubbery plateau, as is commonly observed for ordinary PSt with a molecular weight higher than the critical entanglement molecular weight \(M_c\) (typically 36 kg mol\(^{-1}\) for PSt)\(^3^2\). In contrast, PSt-1 did not reveal any rubbery plateau region in the master curve, irrespective of its high molecular weight, which supports our hypothesis that PSt-1 is less inter-molecularly entangled due to its unique 2D network topology. The absence of such a rubbery plateau is rarely observed for a polymeric system with such a high \(M_c\). Ring polymers and dendritic macromolecules are limited representatives that show fast relaxation processes and unentangled behaviour because of their unique terminal-free or spherical molecular configurations, respectively\(^3^3-3^6\). On the other hand, PSt-C showed a lack of chain relaxation in the high temperature region because of its fixed internal conformation with permanent crosslinks (Supplementary Fig. 15). These observations indicate that polymer 2D monosheets have very different MD and relaxation mechanism from conventional polymeric materials. Of note, PSt-1 exhibited a large change in the magnitude of \(\sigma'\) over a small range of temperatures (~50 °C), which potentially offers high processability for common manufacturing processes, including coating, hot-pressing, and the extrusion moulding of plastics. In addition, owing to its high solubility in organic media, PSt-1 also allows solution-based processing. The film of PSt-1 fabricated by solution casting method was rather brittle at room temperature because of suppressed chain entanglements, but showed smooth surface morphology on the SEM micrographs, indicating good processability due to the high dispersibility (Supplementary Fig. 16). These observations could show a useful aspect of the polymeric monosheets for their practical use.

**Discussion**

We synthesised ultrathin polymeric 2D sheets of vinyl polymers by exploiting the nanospaces in pillared-layer MOFs as reaction platforms. Unlike conventional topochemical and exfoliation-based syntheses of polymeric nanosheets, the dimensionality and thickness of the nanosheet can be programmed by the structure of the host MOF. In addition, this 2D MOF-templated reaction system renders 2D sheets with enriched productivities and scalabilities that facilitate the determination of many properties that require large amounts of sample. The unimolecularly thick polymer 2D sheets exhibit exceptional thermal and mechanical behaviour that originate from their unique molecular topologies. The present study provides a universal method for the dimensional control of polymeric materials that have not been accessible to date by general solution and bulk-phase polymerisation processes.

**Methods**

**Synthesis of MOF 1 and 2.** MOF 1 was prepared according to a literature procedure\(^3^7-3^9\), while 2 was newly synthesised in a similar way to 1. The synthesis of 2 is described in detail. A mixture of Ni(NO\(_3\))\(_2\)·6H\(_2\)O (0.145 g), H\(_3\)btc (0.105 g), and dbp (0.116 g) in DMF (10 mL) was heated at 130 °C for 2 days. The product was washed with DMF and isolated by filtration to give green crystals of 2 with DMF as the guest solvent (0.34 g). For single-crystal X-ray diffraction (SXRD), a single crystal of 2 was selected from the mother liquor and mounted in the single-crystal X-ray diffractometer. The crystal was then subjected to SXRD at ~170 °C under a flow of nitrogen gas. Further details are provided in the Supplementary Methods.

**Crosslinking copolymerisation in the host frameworks.** Crosslinking polymerisation of St in 1 is described in detail. Dried host 1 (6.2 g) was prepared by heating at 130 °C for 12 h in vacuo (~0.2 kPa) in a Pyrex reaction tube. A mixture of St (20 mL), EDMA (32.1 mg), and AIBN (125 mg) was then added to the flask at room temperature. The mixture was left to stand for 30 min to allow the monomer and the initiator to diffuse into 1, after which excess St was removed in vacuo (0.2 kPa) for 1.5 h at room temperature. The total amount of the above ingredients (St, EDMA, and AIBN) impregnated into 1 was 1.9 g, where all AIBN and EDMA added were incorporated into the pore. Full incorporation of AIBN and EDMA was confirmed by XRPD profile of the composite (1:2St) that showed no diffraction peak originating from bulk AIBN and EDMA existing outside of 1 crystals. The reaction tube was then purged with nitrogen and a small portion of 1:2St was

---

**Fig. 5 DMA data for PSt-1, PSt-L, and PSt-C.** Temperature sweeps of: **a** storage modulus \((\sigma')\), **b** loss modulus \((\sigma'')\), and **c** loss factor \((\tan \delta = \sigma''/\sigma')\) for PSt-1 (red), PSt-L (blue), and PSt-C (green) at a frequency \(\omega\) of 1 s\(^{-1}\).
removed from the tube and used to determine the actual amount of St and EDMA incorporated in it by NMR spectroscopy. Prior to NMR analysis, the composite was digested to a 15% (v/v) mixture of DCI/DMSO-\(d_6\). The mixture was then subjected to \(^{1}H\) NMR spectroscopy (Supplementary Fig. 17). The reaction tube was purged and refilled with nitrogen, and then heated to 70 °C for 48 h for polymerisation. A small portion of the resultant composite was removed to determine the monomer conversion by NMR spectroscopy. The conversion of St was found to be 66%, while EDMA was completely consumed during the reaction. The composite prepared in this manner was washed with methanol to remove unreacted monomer inside the pores, and then stirred overnight in a 1:1 (v/v) mixture of 1 M aqueous HCl and methanol (200 mL) to decompose the host MOF. PSt-I was collected by filtration and then dried under reduced pressure at room temperature (1.1 g, 57% yield based on impregnated St). The \(^{1}H\) NMR spectrum of PSt-I was acquired in CDC\(_3\) as the solvent and showed typical broad peaks corresponding to the PSt backbone (Supplementary Fig. 2), while the Fourier-transform infrared spectrum of PSt-I showed a carbonyl-vibration peak at 1730 cm\(^{-1}\) corresponding to the crosslinker (EDMA), confirming successful crosslinking and the formation of the networking architecture (Supplementary Fig. 18). An averaged crystal size of the host framework, \(I\), was estimated to be ~80 nm by means of peak-shape analysis on the XRDP data of 1\(\times\)PSt (Fig. 3a) using Scherrer’s equation. PMMA-I was synthesised in identical fashions from MMA in 57% yield. Likewise, PSt-2 was prepared in 25% yield using 2 as the host framework.

Data availability

Crystallographic data for 2 have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, under deposition number CCDC 1905001. A copy of the data can be obtained free of charge at www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif. All other data supporting the findings of this study are available within the Article and its Supplementary Information, or from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Received: 31 March 2020; Accepted: 26 June 2020; Published online: 17 July 2020

References

1. Payamary, P., King, B. T., Ottinger, H. C. & Schlüter, A. D. Two-dimensional polymers: concepts and perspectives. Chem. Commun. 52, 18–34 (2016).
2. Colson, J. W. & Dichtel, W. R. Rationally synthesized two-dimensional polymers. Nat. Chem. 5, 453–465 (2013).
3. Abraham, F. F. & Nelson, D. R. Diffraction from polymerized membranes. Langmuir 23, 10323–10327 (2007).
4. Kory, M. J. et al. Gram-scale synthesis of two-dimensional polymer crystals. Science 336, 1208–1211 (2012).
5. Bieri, M. et al. Porous graphenes: two-dimensional polymer synthesis with molecular Tinkertoys. Chem. Sci. 4, 2653–2666 (2013).
6. Wang, L. et al. A highly soluble, crystalline core-shell organic framework compatible with device implementation. Chem. Sci. 10, 1001–1007 (2019).
7. Dong, J. et al. Ultrathin two-dimensional porous organic nanosheets with high Li storage capacities for electrochemical energy storage. Nano Lett. 15, 779–785 (2015).
8. Colson, J. W. et al. Oriented 2D covalent organic framework thin films on single-layer graphite. Science 332, 228–231 (2011).
9. Wang, L. et al. A highly soluble, crystalline organic framework for energy storage and conversion devices. Science 352, 1034–1037 (2016).
10. Ge, G. & Radial, E. K. R. Reaction in monolayers of drying oils I - the oxidation of the maleic anhydride compound of \(\beta\)-laeoestearin. Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A 153, 116–128 (1935).
11. Dubault, A., Casagrande, C. & Veyssie, M. Two-dimensional polymerization processes in mono- and diacylic esters. J. Phys. Chem. 79, 2254–2259 (1975).
12. Laschewsky, A., Ringsdorf, H. & Schmidt, G. Polymerization of hydrocarbon and fluorocarbon amphiphiles in Langmuir-Blodgett multilayers. Thin Solid Films 134, 153–172 (1985).
13. Wu, J., Harwell, J. H. & O’Rear, E. A. Two-dimensional reaction solvents: surfactant bilayers in the formation of ultrathin films. Langmuir 3, 531–537 (1987).
14. Asakuma, S., Okada, H. & Kunitake, T. Template synthesis of two-dimensional networked of crosslinked acrylate polymer in a cast multilayer material. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 30, 1749–1755 (1991).
15. Stupp, S. I., Son, S., Lin, H. C. & Li, L. S. Synthesis of two-dimensional polymers. Science 259, 59–63 (1993).
16. Li, Z. et al. Preparation of covalent pseudo-two-dimensional polymers in water by free radical polymerization. Macromolecules 52, 4292–4297 (2019).
17. Blumstein, A. Polymerization of adsorbed monolayers. I. Preparation of the clay–polymer complex. J. Polym. Sci. A 8, 263–259 (1970).
18. Kitagawa, S., Kitaura, R. & Noro, S.-I. Functional porous coordination polymers. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 43, 2334–2375 (2004).
19. Furukawa, H., Cordova, K. E., O’Keefe, M. & Yaghi, O. M. The chemistry and applications of metal–organic frameworks. Science 341, 120444 (2014).
20. Ma, L., Abney, C. & Lin, W. Enantioselective catalysis with homochiral metal–organic frameworks. Chem. Soc. Rev. 43, 1248–1250 (2014).
21. Li, J.-R., Kupper, R. J. & Zhou, H.-C. Selective gas adsorption and separation in metal–organic frameworks. Chem. Soc. Rev. 38, 1477 (2009).
22. Le Ouay, B. et al. Selective sorting of polymers with different terminal groups using metal–organic frameworks. Nat. Commun. 9, 3635 (2018).
23. Slater, A. G. & Cooper, A. J. Function-led design of new porous materials. Science 348, aaaa0755 (2015).
24. Kobayashi, Y., Horie, Y., Honjo, K., Uemura, T. & Kitagawa, S. The controlled synthesis of polyglucose in one-dimensional coordination nanochannels. Chem. Commun. 52, 5156–5159 (2016).
25. Yanai, N. et al. Fabrication of two-dimensional polymer arrays: template synthesis of polysulphone based redox-active coordination nanocalysts. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 47, 9883–9886 (2008).
26. Li, M. et al. Synthesis of a 2D phosphorus material in a MOF-based 2D nano-reactor. Chem. Sci. 9, 5912–5918 (2018).
27. Lee, H.-C. et al. Toward ultimate control of radical polymerization: functionalized metal–organic frameworks as a robust environment for metal-catalyzed polymerizations. Mater. Horiz. 5, 1329–1339 (2018).
28. Distefano, G. et al. Highly ordered alignment of a vinyl polymer by host–guest cross-polymerization. Nat. Chem. 5, 335–341 (2013).
29. Mochizuki, S., Kitao, T. & Uemura, T. Controlled polymerizations using metal–organic frameworks. Chem. Commun. 54, 11843–11856 (2018).
30. Gao, C. et al. Design and construction of a microporous metal–organic framework based on the pillared-layer motif. ChemEngComm 9, 545–547 (2007).
31. Wu, Y.-Q. et al. Continuous crystalline membranes of a Ni(II)-based pillared-layer metal–organic framework in situ grown on nickel foam with two orientations. Crystals 8, 383–10 (2018).
32. Glans, J. H. & Turner, D. T. Glass transition elevation of poly(styrene-b-ethylene oxide) block copolymers by crosslinks. Polymer 22, 11843–11847 (1981).
33. Antonietti, M. et al. Synthesis and size control of polystyrene latices via polymerization in microemulsion. Macromolecules 24, 6636–6641 (1993).
34. Antonietti, M., Pakula, T. & Bremer, W. Rheology of small spherical polystyrene microgels: a direct proof for a new transport mechanism in bulk polymers besides reptation. Macromolecules 28, 4227–4233 (1995).
35. Keddie, J. L., Jones, R. A. L. & Cory, R. A. Interface and surface effects on the glass-transition temperature in thin polymer films. Faraday Discov. 98, 219–12 (1994).
36. Jin, K. & Torkelson, J. M. Enhanced \(T_c\) confinement effect in cross-linked polystyrene compared to its linear precursor: roles of fragility and chain architecture. Macromolecules 49, 5092–5103 (2016).
37. Ferry, J. D. Viscoelastic Properties of Polymers 3rd edn, Vol. 374 (Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, NY, 1980).
53. Habuchi, S., Satoh, N., Yamamoto, T., Tezuka, Y. & Vacha, M. Multimode diffusion of ring polymer molecules revealed by a single-molecule study. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 49, 1418–1421 (2010).
54. Doi, Y. et al. Melt Rheology of ring polystyrenes with ultrahigh purity. Macromolecules 48, 3140–3147 (2015).
55. Uppuluri, S., Morrison, F. A. & Dvornic, P. R. Rheology of dendrimers. 2. Bulk polyamidoamine dendrimers under steady shear, creep, and dynamic oscillatory shear. Macromolecules 33, 2551–2560 (2000).
56. Dvornic, P. R. & Uppuluri, S. in Dendrimers and Other Dendritic Polymers (eds Fréchet, J. M. J. & Tomalia, D. A.) 331–358 (John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 2002).

Acknowledgements
We thank Prof. K. Mayumi (The University of Tokyo) for technical support and useful DMA-analysis discussions. Profs. K. Ito (The University of Tokyo) and S. Kitagawa (Kyoto University) are acknowledged for providing access to DMA and AFM instrumentation, respectively. This work was supported by a JST-CREST programme (JPMJCR1321) and a Grant-in-Aid for Science Research on Innovative Area “Coordination Asymmetry” (JP16H06517) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Government of Japan.

Author contributions
N.H., S.M., and Y.H. performed experiments associated with polymer synthesis, crystal growth, crystal structure determination, calorimetry, and mechanical tests. T.U. conceived the project and directed the research. All authors contributed to the writing and editing of the manuscript.

Competing interests
The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information
Supplementary information is available for this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-17392-1.
Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to T.U.
Peer review information Nature Communications thanks Ming Li and the other, anonymous, reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work. Peer reviewer reports are available.
Reprints and permission information is available at http://www.nature.com/reprints
Publisher’s note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.
© The Author(s) 2020