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Increased ozone pollution alongside reduced nitrogen dioxide concentrations during Vienna’s first COVID-19 lockdown: Significance for air quality management
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**Abstract**

Background: Lockdowns amid the COVID-19 pandemic have offered a real-world opportunity to better understand air quality responses to previously unseen anthropogenic emission reductions.

Methods and main objective: This work examines the impact of Vienna’s first lockdown on ground-level concentrations of nitrogen dioxide (NO2), ozone (O3) and total oxidant (Ox). The analysis runs over January to September 2020 and considers business as usual scenarios created with machine learning models to provide a baseline for robustly diagnosing lockdown-related air quality changes. Models were also developed to normalise the air pollutant time series, enabling facilitated intervention assessment.

Core findings: NO2 concentrations were on average \(-20.1\% \pm 13.7\%\) lower during the lockdown. However, this benefit was offset by amplified O3 pollution of \(+8.5\% \pm 3.7\%\) in the same period. The consistency in the direction of change indicates that the NO2 reductions and O3 increases were ubiquitous over Vienna. Ox concentrations increased slightly by \(+4.3\% \pm 1.8\%\), suggesting that a significant part of the drops in NO2 was compensated by gains in O3. Accordingly, 82% of lockdown days with lowered NO2 were accompanied by 81% of days with amplified O3. The recovery shapes of the pollutant concentrations were depicted and discussed. The business as usual-related outcomes were broadly consistent with the patterns outlined by the normalised time series. These findings allowed to argue further that the detected changes in air quality were of anthropogenic and not of meteorological reason.

Pollutant changes on the machine learning baseline revealed that the impact of the lockdown on urban air quality were lower than the raw measurements show. Besides, measured traffic drops in major Austrian roads were more significant for light-duty than for heavy-duty vehicles. It was also noted that the use of mobility reports based on cell phone movement as activity data can overestimate the reduction of emissions for the road transport sector, particularly for heavy-duty vehicles. As heavy-duty vehicles can make up a large fraction of the fleet emissions of nitrogen oxides, the change in the volume of these vehicles on the roads may be the main driver to explain the change in NO2 concentrations.

Interpretation and implications: A probable future with emissions of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) dropping slower than emissions of nitrogen oxides could risk worsened urban O3 pollution under a VOC-limited photochemical regime. More holistic policies will be needed to achieve improved air quality levels across different regions and criteria pollutants.

© 2021 The Author. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

The outbreak of the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic created unprecedented societal impacts worldwide. To lessen the virus spread, governments announced drastic non-pharmaceutical measures collectively referred to as lockdowns. In most European countries, the first series of lockdowns were imposed in early spring 2020. The restrictions led to an unseen drop in mobility and economic activities with associated sector-dependent reductions of anthropogenic air emissions (Evangelou et al., 2021; Forster et al., 2020; Guevara et al., 2021; Le Quéré et al., 2020). For instance, less road traffic in cities will decrease the emissions of nitrogen oxides (\(\text{NOx} = \text{NO} + \text{NO}_2\)), a by-product of incomplete combustion of fossil fuels. Given the sources and short
atmospheric lifetime of NOx (Laughner and Cohen, 2019), their ambient concentrations are often higher close to busy streets in populated urban centres. Guidelines and limit values are typically enforced for nitrogen dioxide (NO2), making it a relevant pollutant to study the impact of COVID-19 lockdowns on air quality. However, quantifying the air quality response from emission changes as a result of particular events such as COVID-19 lockdowns is not trivial due to the multifaceted nature of the atmosphere, and NO2 is one regulated air pollutant of many.

Ozone (O3) in the troposphere is a greenhouse gas, a potent oxidant, and a harmful air pollutant. As a secondary pollutant, O3 is produced or destructed in complex photochemical reactions of CO, CH4 and other volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in the presence of NOx (Archibald et al., 2020; Monks et al., 2015; Sillman, 1999). These precursors have anthropogenic and natural sources (Lu et al., 2020b), with O3 levels increasing on weekends resulting from less traffic and associated NOx emissions. This fundamental chemistry could thus clarify observed increases in urban O3 during lockdowns. However, the magnitude and sign of the O3 change are not that straightforward. Accordingly, previous studies have reported mainly gains in urban areas, but also declines and no distinguishable change in O3 levels during lockdowns (Adams et al., 2020; Dantas et al., 2020; Grange et al., 2021; Keller et al., 2021; Lovri et al., 2021; Luo et al., 2020; Miyazaki et al., 2020; Ordóñez et al., 2020; Sicard et al., 2020a; Siciliano et al., 2020; Singh et al., 2021; Soni et al., 2021). Besides NOx levels, this conclusion hinges on additional physical and chemical processes, such as the origin, ageing, amount and reactivity of the VOCs sources, the influence of biogenic VOCs, long-range transport of O3 oxidant levels, on top of local weather conditions (Archibald et al., 2020; Kroll et al., 2020; Monks et al., 2015; Sillman, 1999; Stohl and Kromp-Kolb, 1994; Targino et al., 2019).

Meteorological conditions and chemistry play a central role in dictating air quality levels. These factors can confound the quantification of pollutant changes during lockdowns. The influence of confounders has been acknowledged, but relatively fewer studies have included this issue in the analysis (Grange et al., 2021; Jephcote et al., 2021; Keller et al., 2021; Lovri et al., 2021; Venter et al., 2020). More research is needed to increase our knowledge of the impacts of COVID-19 lockdowns on air quality, particularly by dealing with confounders critically in this kind of analysis.

Based on an ensemble of methods linking measurements and modelling, this work demonstrates a thorough analysis of the impact of the first COVID-19 lockdown on the air quality in Vienna, Austria. The focus is on ambient NO2 and O3 at ground level. Also, O3 ≈ NO2 + O3 has been added to the analysis to gain insights into the atmospheric oxidative capacity during the lockdown. The analysis shows how air quality responded until the end of September 2020, thereby covering a more extended period than most previous studies.

This work has four specific objectives. First, changes in road transportation are explored based on three different datasets to better understand potential changes in air quality and to provide insights for mobility-based emission estimations. Second, the importance of taking meteorology into account for more reliable lockdown-related air quality changes is shown, particularly for the present case of an urban boundary layer. Third, to account for the influence of meteorological variability itself and other time features, machine learning models are built to produce business as usual (BAU) and normalised pollutant time series. Fourth, this work investigates the potential bias when air quality changes are directly quantified from the pollutant measurements.

2. Methods

2.1. Study setting

Cities are at the heart of air quality management. By 2050, about two-thirds of the world’s population is projected to be living in urban areas (United Nations, 2019). Vienna, the capital of Austria, had a population of 1,991,191 as of January 2020. The city is divided into 23 districts over a total area of 414.9 km² of which 50% are green spaces and water bodies, 36% built-up areas and 14% traffic areas. The highest elevation is Hermannskogel (543 m) and the lowest Lobau (151 m). The city does not accommodate major industries, except for an oil refinery in the South-east (SE). The total length of streets is 2,833 km with 714,960 private motor vehicles (including 3,853 electric cars). With a length of almost 18 km, the Viennese SE Tangent (A23 Südosttangente) is the shortest highway in Austria, but the busiest. The modal split share in Vienna is 38%, 30%, 25% and 7% for public transport, walking, driving and cycling, respectively. The car ownership rate is 37 cars/100 inhabitants, the lowest of the Austrian provincial capital cities (Statistics Vienna, 2020).

2.2. Data consideration and key periods under scrutiny

This work was designed based on the immediacy and availability of data, implying that only publicly available datasets have been considered. The major attention period of the first COVID-19 lockdown in Austria was from March 16 to April 13, 2020, inclusively (Pollak et al., 2020). Hereafter the lockdown imposed in this period is referred to as LOCK-2020. The identical period in the past five years is referred to as HIST-2015-2019.

2.3. Road transport data

Changes in road transport were explored based on three mobility datasets. First, monthly average daily traffic (MADT) counts were obtained from the ASFINAG | Autobahnen-und Schnellstraßen-Finanzierungs-Aktiengesellschaft’s motorway and expressway network for January to September in 2019 and 2020. This network includes currently about 270 measuring locations over Austria based on overheard (ultrasonic and passive infrared sensors) and inductive-loop detectors (ASFINAG, 2021). MADT data representing the number of vehicles that travel past (in both directions) a measuring location on all weekdays (Monday–Sunday), including bank holidays, were selected. Percentage changes on 2019 MADT data were calculated for individual months and summarised by vehicle category for the city and national levels. Two vehicle categories were considered: heavy-duty vehicles (HDV) whose maximum authorised overall weight is more than 3,500 kg
and light-duty vehicles (LDV) whose weight is less than or equal to 3,500 kg. The HDV category includes buses, trucks and articulated vehicles. The LDV category embraces motorcycles, cars and delivery vans.

Second, Apple’s movement data were retrieved (Apple, 2021). Apple data originated from navigation requests for directions in Apple Maps. These data represent daily changes in the volume of people driving, walking or taking public transit corresponding to a baseline (January 13, 2020). Apple data did not consider data gaps over LOCK-2020. For the analysis of people driving, walking or taking public transit corresponding to a baseline day as the median value during the five-week period from January 3 to February 6, 2020 for the corresponding day of the week.

2.4. Air quality measurements

The analysis was built on validated and up-to-date hourly measurements of O3 and NO2 from seventeen monitors deployed across Vienna for the period between 2015 and September 30, 2020. Of these monitors, sixteen measure NO2 and five measure O3. A third pollutant was included as O3 = NO2 + O2. O3 levels were calculated for four monitors. Detailed information on the individual monitors and measurement methods can be found elsewhere (Umweltschutzabteilung der Stadt Wien, 2020). Briefly, chemiluminescence and ultraviolet absorption are the methods used for NO2 and O3 measurements, respectively. Municipal Department 22 (https://www.wien.gv.at/umwelt/luft/index.html) provided air quality data. Up-to-date air quality data are unvalidated and subject to occasional missing values of O3 and NO2 measurements, respectively. Municipal Department 22 (https://www.wien.gv.at/umwelt/luft/index.html) also provided air quality data. The period from January 3 to February 6, 2020 was considered. The temporal resolution of the meteorological data is hourly. After screen checks, four of those stations were kept. Three stations (known as Groß-Enzersdorf, Donaufeld and Mariabrunn) were eliminated because of data gaps over LOCK-2020. For the monitor located at Hermannskogel, co-located meteorological measurements (data made available by Municipal Department 22) were considered. This was due to its more isolated position (488 m high) and the absence of an adjacent station in the NOAA/ISD database. Finally, five stations were selected. Fig. 1 illustrates the positions of the five meteorological stations and the seventeen air quality monitors in Vienna.

A prerequisite for developing this work was to propose an easily generalisable modelling method. With this in mind, the following routinely available and directly measured surface variables were selected: wind speed w (m s−1), wind direction wd (°), air temperature Tair (°C), atmospheric pressure Patm (mb) and relative humidity RH (%). These variables were measured at all five meteorological stations. Based on representativeness, a meteorological station was designated for each air quality monitor (Table S1), and the meteorological and air pollutant datasets were paired under a mutual time frame (Coordinated Universal Time was used).

2.6. Business as usual modelling

Business as usual (BAU) scenarios in the form of pollutant time series were created using the random forest machine learning algorithm (Breiman, 2001). A total of 25 random forest models were grown to explain hourly-averaged concentrations per pollutant per monitor. This was done by feeding into the algorithm the meteorological variables previously described, besides time features which act as proxies for emissions and seasonality. The latter comprised Unix date trend (the trend term), Julian day tjd, day of week tweek, and hour of day thour. The models can be written in terms of their nine common explanatory variables as follows

\[
\left[ C \right] \sim w_s + w_d + T_{air} + P_{atm} + RH + t_{trend} + t_{jd} + t_{week} + t_{hour}.
\]

Eq. 1

where \([C]\) is the hourly-averaged concentration of the air pollutant of interest. When developing the models, the subsequent hyperparameters were kept constant: node splitting with three variables, minimum node size of five and 300 trees (Grange et al., 2018). A training-testing splitting proportion of 70:30 was used. Several tuning tests were conducted, but the overall performance was steady with respect to the hyper-parameters selection and splitting fraction. Missing values of w and pollutant concentrations were removed prior to training. The models were grown from January 1, 2015 to February 15, 2020. From February 16 to September 30, 2020, the models predicted air pollutant concentrations forced by the meteorological conditions that were actually measured during this period. This strategy was implemented to (i) investigate air quality changes prior to and long after LOCK-2020 and (ii) verify the models’ predictive skill to reproduce the pollutant measurements from February 16 to February 29, 2020. This second step is referred to as the verification phase. The duration of the verification phase was devised considering that the first two COVID-19 cases in Austria had been confirmed on February 25, 2020 (Pollak et al., 2020). Therefore, it was assumed no significant perturbations in anthropogenic emissions during the verification phase. The analysis of the road traffic patterns has proved valuable to support this assumption. Subsequently, the model-specific mean bias, MB = \( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_i - O_i \), for the verification phase was used to calibrate the predictions. In the MB equation, \( O_i \) is the \( n \) observed value and \( P_i \) is the \( n \) predicted value for a total of \( n \) data points. As the models were developed based on historical data prior to LOCK-2020, they are blind concerning the drastic perturbations in...
emissions caused by the COVID-19 crisis. As such, this approach considers that (local) emission sources would have remained operating normally under the observed meteorology. In other words, it simulates what would have been expected in the absence of COVID-19. BAU-related results were expressed as concentration deltas ($\Delta$): the difference between the measurements and the predictions.

The modelling was conducted using the rmweather R package (Grange et al., 2018; Grange and Carslaw, 2019), which has underlying it the ranger R package (Wright and Ziegler, 2017).

2.7. Intervention assessment

The intrinsic variability in air pollutant time series complicates the detection of interventions and trends. In order to detach the contribution of influencing factors on measured pollutant concentrations, a normalisation technique was used (Grange and Carslaw, 2019). In addition to work in a predictive mode (for pollutant concentration forecasting), random forest models can also be used to diminish the effect of the explanatory variables on the dependent variable [C]. This is done through sampling and predicting. To this end, another 25 random forest models were grown per pollutant per monitor following the previously described procedure (Sec. 2.6). The only difference was that these models were developed from 2015 to September 30, 2020 so that the MB calibration was not applicable here. The explanatory variables, excluding $t_{\text{trend}}$, were randomly sampled with replacement 300 times from the whole dataset for every time step. A model then predicts [C] based on these inputs and returns the 300 predictions for each time step (hourly basis). The mean of the predictions for each hour was computed, and this forms the normalised pollutant time series that can be used for further exploration.

2.8. Data analysis

Hourly air pollutant concentrations were used to estimate mean changes (absolute and relative percentage). Changes in air quality were calculated for pollutants and monitors individually and by performing aggregations. A left-centred 7-day moving average was applied to highlight prevalent trends (longer-term) by smoothing out potentially large day-to-day fluctuations (shorter-term). The Mann-Whitney U test, a non-parametric method for equality of population medians of two independent samples, was used at a significance level of 0.01. Data analysis was performed in R using the openair (Carslaw and Ropkins, 2012) and tidyverse (Wickham et al., 2019) packages.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Road traffic patterns

The month-by-month analysis of the MADT data indicates that the maximum reduction in road transport of $-43\%$ was reached in April 2020 in Vienna. Broken down by vehicle category, drops of $-44\%$ in LDV and $-21\%$ in HDV counts were found (Fig. 2, left panel). At the Austrian level, a maximum reduction in MADT of about $-57\%$ was also found in April 2020, with drops of $-61\%$ in LDV and $-25\%$ in HDV counts. In February 2020, an increase in MADT counts of $+1\%$ was observed for Vienna, with a $+2\%$ increase in LDV and a $-2\%$ drop in HDV. For Austria in February 2020, a decline in MADT counts of $-1\%$ was detected, with $-0.8\%$ and $-3\%$ drops in LDV and HDV, respectively. Thus, road traffic in Austria and Vienna until February 2020 did not undergo significant disruptions.
on major roads. These outcomes support calibrating the predictions of the BAU models based on the verification phase (February 16 to February 29, 2020). Furthermore, air traffic in Austrian airports showed akin trends to those of the road transport sector. An increase in passenger numbers of +7% was reported for February 2020, followed by drops of −65%, −100% and −99% in March, April and May 2020, respectively, compared with the same months in 2019 (STATISTIK AUSTRIA, 2020). Over the 9 months in 2020 (January–September 2020), MADT counts were down by −16% for Vienna and −21% for Austria relative to the same period in the previous year. These figures break down to −17% and −22% along with −5% and −8% drops in LDV and HDV counts for Vienna and Austria, respectively (Fig. 2, left panel). In view of these results, the Viennese MADT patterns were equivalent to those at the national level on major roads, but higher mean reductions in road traffic were generally observed for the country.

The changes in MADT counts also pinpoint that HDV were much less affected by the pandemic than LDV. This agrees with a previous study that has considered the same MADT metric for major roads in England (Jephcote et al., 2021). The difference between the two vehicle categories can be explained mainly because the HDV supported the shipment of goods and products during the lockdown (Guevara et al., 2021). After the peak in road traffic reductions in April 2020, a recovery in road traffic flows were observed in May and June 2020 for both vehicle categories. However, normal levels were not observed by the summer of 2020 compared with previous years’ baseline. In particular for HDV, the levels were close to normal in June 2020 and were in fact found to occur first in September 2020 (Fig. 2, left panel).

Both Google transit and Apple driving data (Fig. 2, right panel) did not reflect well the HDV’s activity on major Austrian roads over January–September 2020 (Fig. 2, left panel). Overall, the Google transit data appears to reflect the LDV’s activity more closely. A similar outcome for a shorter period (2 months over February–April 2020) has been found for Spain/Barcelona (Guevara et al., 2021). Using Google transit data to estimate emission reductions, one assumes that mobility trends in public transport hubs can be taken as a proxy for trends in road traffic emissions (Guevara et al., 2021). Taken together, the present results support that this assumption is more appropriate for lighter vehicles than for heavier vehicles. Still, for both vehicle categories, the use of both Google transit and Apple driving data can potentially overestimate the impact of the COVID-19 restrictions on road traffic emissions when compared with the changes in MADT counts. Dedicated studies will be required to resolve the identified issues to achieve improved emission estimates based on cell phone movement data. Anticipated gaps include differences in methodologies to derive the changes in mobility based on movement trends (e.g., different baseline periods) and representativeness of such trends. Here, Pearson’s correlations (r) between the Apple driving data and Google transit data were 0.80 (p < 0.01) and 0.79 (p < 0.01) for Vienna and Austria, respectively. Such high correlations have also been noted during February–June 2020 for worldwide cities (Forster et al., 2020). However, it is clear from Fig. 2 (right panel) that there were marked divergences between Apple driving data with Google transit data over the summer months for both Vienna and Austria. The reason for this discrepancy is uncertain, but it may be that the Apple driving data is reflecting the behaviour of a particular type of travel pattern.

For the road traffic sector, emission reduction factors have been derived by using bottom-up approaches based on activity indicators such as cell phone movement data (Guevara et al., 2021; Menut et al., 2020). The problem of quantifying primary emissions as a result of COVID-19 lockdowns has serious implications for atmospheric modelling. The here-obtained insights by comparing mobility changes in road traffic using three distinct datasets have the potential to contribute to making progress in this regard.

3.2. Meteorological situation

Considering the meteorological situation is crucial to better understand air quality changes due to COVID-19 lockdowns. Accordingly, wind roses were produced for the LOCK-2020 and HIST-2015–2019 periods, besides the remaining data outside these periods from 2015 to September 30, 2020 (Fig. 3). Exposed are plots for the five selected meteorological stations, covering a good geographical area across Vienna. Wind roses were also produced for complete years in the 2015–2019 period (Fig. S4). First, there are some differences between the wind roses, which are due to the location of the stations. Vienna is mostly flat from South (S) to East (E) areas. However, the city is circumscribed from South-west (SW) to North-west (NW) by the moderate slopes of the Alpine foothills...
Fig. 3. Wind roses for the five selected meteorological stations and different periods (see text for the definition of the periods). The annotations in green show mean wind speeds and calm wind frequencies of each period. Calm winds were defined as having hourly speeds <0.5 m s⁻¹. The radial scale denotes the frequency of counts by wind direction sector. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article)
(Wienerwald). Hence, the terrain becomes hilly at these parts, influencing the wind field. The longer-term wind roses demonstrate the city's typical airflow patterns. These are manifested by two dominant directions which are accompanied by higher speeds (Fig. 3, right panels and Fig. S4). The prevailing winds blow from West (W) to NW and from SE. The former has on average higher $w_\text{s}$ than the latter. SE winds are more recurrently observed with fair weather (anticyclonic conditions). Contrary, W and NW winds are more connected to cloudy or rainy periods (Brancher et al., 2019a; 2019b). Moreover, SE winds on hilltops have a higher frequency than elsewhere, and there the highest $w_\text{s}$ are observed. In the city centre, the $w_\text{s}$ is reduced because of enhanced drag caused by a higher surface roughness length of the urban canopy layer. Also, not only $T_\text{air}$ is increased (urban heat island), but RH (urban dry island) is typically reduced in the city centre.

Second, lower $w_\text{s}$ were experienced for all five stations during LOCK-2020 relative to their preceding 5-year means for the same period, i.e. HIST-2015–2019. Alterations in $w_\text{s}$ can be seen as well, such as more frequent flows from the North–northwest (NNW) and North (N) sectors (Fig. 3, central panels). The Austrian Weather Service (ZAMG) has reported a mild, sunny and mostly dry March 2020 in Austria. The reported $T_\text{air}$, precipitation and sunshine duration anomalies (1981–2010 climatology) were respectively $-1.5 ^\circ\text{C}$, $-53\%$ and $+39\%$ for Vienna. However, only the first two thirds of March 2020 were warmer than average. The LOCK-2020 period fits within the last third, and this was slightly colder due to the advance of polar cold air (ZAMG, 2020a).

Furthermore, ZAMG has reported a truly warm, dry and sunny April 2020. The $T_\text{air}$, precipitation and sunshine duration anomalies were respectively $+1.7 ^\circ\text{C}$, $+83\%$ and $+61\%$ for Vienna. However, the cold front persisted until the first days of April 2020. The above-average $T_\text{air}$ began from around April 5, 2020 (ZAMG, 2020b). When comparing LOCK-2020 against HIST-2015-2019 for the five selected stations, average differences of $-0.7 ^\circ\text{C}$, $-0.7$ m s$^{-1}$ and +16% for $T_\text{air}$, $w_\text{s}$ and RH were detected, respectively. This shows that whereas the LOCK-2020 period shares common features with March and April 2020, it had its own meteorological conditions.

Thus, care is called for when comparing identical lockdown periods. Even after reducing the variability by averaging over five previous years, the meteorological conditions between them can be dissimilar. It should be now straightforward to picture that meteorology is an exponentially growing issue for COVID-19 studies that affect pollutant concentrations. For example, during the training/development phase (January 1, 2015—February 29, 2020), the random forest models demonstrated the random forest models’ interpretability nature. It is a universal observational feature that elevated $O_3$ concentrations are strongly correlated with $T_\text{air}$ in polluted regions (Jacob and Winner, 2009). This is expected to be the case here too; however, the $O_3$ models suggest that RH is, on average, more important than $T_\text{air}$. Gaining insights into the processes that drive pollutant concentrations is crucial, particularly under a changing climate with regional differences (Von Schneidemesser et al., 2015). Consequently, these results on their own could be valuable to help better understand the sensitivity of $O_3$ pollution to meteorology in future studies.

### 3.3. Business as usual scenarios

#### 3.3.1. Predictive performance

The random forest models’ skill was evaluated for hourly data during the training/development phase (January 1, 2015—February 15, 2020) and verification phase (February 16—February 29, 2020). Tables S2—S4 dissect the performance summaries. The models were found to explain an adequate amount of the variation in the pollutant concentrations. For example, during the training/development phase, the models had $r$ ranging from 0.82 to 0.91 for $NO_2$, from 0.93 to 0.95 for $O_3$, and from 0.95 to 0.96 for $O_3$. During the verification phase, there was a general reduction in performance, with the models showing $r$ from 0.68–0.87 for $NO_2$, 0.54–0.82 for $O_3$, and 0.56–0.81 for $O_3$. Overall, the models’ performance can be considered very satisfactory for hourly predictions, similar to up-to-date works (Font et al., 2020; Grange and Carslaw, 2019; Vu et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2020). Compared with deterministic process-driven atmospheric chemistry models, the random forest models showed equivalent performance for the present application at a relatively lower computational cost (Menut et al., 2020).

It should be noted that the models struggled to reproduce the tails of the distributions. This is not a concern because herein mean air quality changes were examined, and the mean is known to be more robust than high or lower percentiles. Furthermore, the modelling workflow entails meteorological data. This work implemented a simple procedure to achieve models with adequate performance without the need for more sophisticated predictors. As such, the models are a good compromise between simplicity and predictive power. Instead of a long list of predictors, we paid more attention to selecting meteorological data with the potential to better describe smaller-scale air pollution variations in the urban area. Many studies select a ‘regional’ meteorological dataset (usually taken from a nearby airport station) to match air quality monitors in cities. The selection of representative surface meteorological stations is anticipated to be even more influential for complex terrain applications, as recently shown for a case in the Italian Alps (Falocchi et al., 2021).

The most important explanatory variables to predict hourly concentrations of $NO_2$, $O_3$ and $O_3$ in Vienna were monitor dependent. On average, the importance for the $NO_2$ models was given in the following ascending order: $w_\text{s}$, $w_\text{d}$, $t\text{hour}$, $t\text{jd}$, $T_\text{air}$, RH, $t\text{week}$, $t\text{trend}$ and $P_\text{atm}$, for the $O_3$ models as: $RH$, $T_\text{air}$, $t\text{jd}$, $w_\text{s}$, $t\text{hour}$, $P_\text{atm}$ and $t\text{week}$; and for the $O_3$ models in this monitor: $RH$, $t\text{jd}$, $t\text{trend}$, $w_\text{s}$, $w_\text{d}$, $P_\text{atm}$ and $t\text{week}$. The variables’ importance agrees well with the chemical and physical processes expected to drive the dynamics of these pollutants (Jacob and Winner, 2009), thereby demonstrating the random forest models’ interpretability nature. It is a universal observational feature that elevated $O_3$ concentrations are strongly correlated with $T_\text{air}$ in polluted regions (Jacob and Winner, 2009). This is expected to be the case here too; however, the $O_3$ models suggest that RH is, on average, more important than $T_\text{air}$. Gaining insights into the processes that drive pollutant concentrations is crucial, particularly under a changing climate with regional differences (Von Schneidemesser et al., 2015). Consequently, these results on their own could be valuable to help better understand the sensitivity of $O_3$ pollution to meteorology in future studies.

#### 3.3.2. Mean air quality changes

The $NO_2$ observations were consistently lower than the BAU predictions (Fig. 4). A mean decrease in $NO_2$ concentrations of $-4.9$ $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ during the 29 days of LOCK-2020 was found at the city level. This equated to a mean percentage change of $-20.1\%$. Keller et al. (2021) estimated monthly mean $NO_2$ changes for worldwide cities using machine learning (gradient boosting) coupled with the GEOS-CF model and mentioned some results for Vienna. They have reported drops in $NO_2$ concentrations in March and April 2020 of $-20.6\%$ and $-26.2\%$, respectively. These monthly figures are not based on the specific lockdown period considered herein (LOCK-2020), but they align well with the present results.

$NO_2$ concentrations were reduced at all monitors, ranging from $-1.4$ to $-12.7$ $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ or from $-13.7$ to $-30.4\%$. The urban traffic Hietzing-Karl monitor showed the largest mean decrease ($-12.7$ $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ or $-30.4\%$) followed by other two urban traffic monitors, Gaudenzdorf ($-7.2$ $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ or $-22.8\%$) and A23-Welhistrasse ($-7.0$ $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ or $-21.8\%$). Across the network, the lowest $NO_2$ concentrations typically occur at Hermannskogel, a suburban background monitor located on the hilltop of a wooded area (Wienerwald). The mean reduction in $NO_2$ concentrations was $-1.8$ $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ or $-21.4\%$ at Hermannskogel. This result shows that the drops in $NO_2$ emissions from local sources in the urban core was strong enough to influence $NO_2$ concentrations at this monitor. Regarding $NO_2$ aggregations by environment, the influence of land use characteristics on the local spatial variations in $NO_2$.
Fig. 4. Daily mean of NO₂ concentration deltas $\Delta$. The study period runs between February 16 and September 30, 2020. The deltas represent the differences between the measured pollutant concentrations and the business as usual predictions. Solid grey lines are 7-day rolling means of the concentration deltas. Dashed vertical lines indicate the LOCK-2020 period.
concentrations has been detected. For instance, higher reductions in NO2 concentrations were seen at urban traffic (−6.4 μg m⁻³ or −21.1%, n = 8) than at urban background (−4.1 μg m⁻³ or −18.5%, n = 3) and suburban background monitors (−1.7 μg m⁻³ or −15.9%, n = 3). These results were expected because of two main factors related to NO2 pollution. First, NO2 is a locally sensitive gas as it has a short atmospheric lifetime (Laughner and Cohen, 2019), meaning that it is not transported to regions far downwind of the emission sources. Second, NO2 emissions mainly come from fossil fuel combustion, especially in urban areas. Thus, NO2 concentrations responded to declines in local NO2 emissions promptly as road transportation is the major emission source. By providing a quantitative assessment of the magnitude of this response, this work demonstrates how sensitive NO2 pollution can be to future policy changes. To contextualise this point further, we can refer to the work of Grange et al. (2021). This work reported mean trends of NO2 between 2010 and 2019 for major European urban areas of −1.44 μg m⁻³ yr⁻¹ at urban traffic and −0.72 μg m⁻³ yr⁻¹ at urban background environments. Assuming these concentration trends as a reference point for Vienna, the here-reported mean reductions due to the LOCK-2020 restrictions would indicate 4.4 and 5.7 years of sustained efforts to reduce NO2 concentrations at those environments, respectively. For Hietzinger Kai, the mean reduction in NO2 found during the lockdown would be equivalent to 8.8 years of continuous decline in ambient concentrations.

Negative daily NO2 concentration Δ were found for 82% of the lockdown days. Two of the monitors (Hietzinger Kai and Gaudenzdorf) had only one day with positive daily NO2 concentration Δ, stressing the clear signature of the COVID-19 pandemic on the NO2 concentrations. The AKH monitor showed the lowest occurrence frequency of negative daily concentration Δ (69%) and one of the lowest reductions (−14.2%) in NO2. This monitor is located nearby one of the largest hospitals in Europe, so it is reasonable to assume fewer reductions in road traffic around this hospital during LOCK-2020.

The largest departures of the NO2 observed concentrations from the BAU forecasts generally occurred in early April 2020 (the exception was Hietzinger Kai, see Fig. 4). The return to normality (RTN) shapes of the NO2 concentrations were more site-specific with some monitors recovering to BAU levels immediately after the lockdown (e.g., Hermannskogel, Lobau), in early May (e.g., Belgradplatz, Gaudenzdorf, Stephansplatz) or in late September 2020 (e.g., Hietzinger Kai, Hohe Warte). Altogether, the NO2 RTN shapes, in particular at urban traffic environments, have strong similarities with the road transportation patterns shown in Fig. 2. In addition, decreases in NO2 concentrations were found since early March 2020. This result indicates alterations in emissions effectively before LOCK-2020, which is again supported by the road traffic patterns for this period (Sec. 3.1). This is of significance for determining emission reduction factors in future studies. In follow-up research, it could also justify the definition of lockdown periods based on a statistical framework (Ropkins and Tate, 2021), contrary to static periods defined by official lockdown dates.

For O3, the opposite behaviour to NO2 has been found. There were clear increases in O3 concentrations during LOCK-2020, as indicated by the observations being mostly greater than the counterfactual predictions during this period (Fig. 5). At the city level, O3 concentrations increased by +5.2 μg m⁻³ or +8.5% on average. This relative change is greater than the monthly mean changes in March and April 2020 of respectively +5.6% and +3.9% given for Vienna (Keller et al., 2021). However, estimates of O3 changes are very sensitive to the lockdown window (see Fig. 5).

O3 pollution was amplified at all monitors, with gains ranging from +2.1–7.6 μg m⁻³ or +3.7–11.0%. In absolute terms, both the Hohe Warte and Hermannskogel monitors showed the largest increase of +7.6 μg m⁻³. In relative terms, the largest increase of +11.0% occurred at Hohe Warte. The lowest increase occurred at Lobau, both in absolute and relative terms (+2.1 μg m⁻³ or +3.6%). The mean change in O3 concentrations during LOCK-2020 was slightly greater at urban background monitors (+5.5 μg m⁻³ or +8.3%, n = 3) than at suburban background monitors (+5.3 μg m⁻³ or +7.7%, n = 2). During the lockdown in the United Kingdom (UK) for instance, mean O3 increases of +7.2 μg m⁻³ or 11% across the UK’s urban background network have been reported (Lee et al., 2020).

The maximum occurrence frequency with daily O3 concentrations above BAU levels was calculated for Hohe Warte (90% of the LOCK-2020 days). On average, positive daily O3 concentration Δ were estimated for 81% of the days during the lockdown. By matching the monitors (n = 4) with concomitant NO2 and O3 observations, the occurrence frequency of negative and positive daily concentration Δ for NO2 and O3 were respectively 77% and 83% during the lockdown. In addition, whilst the NO2 RTN shapes reflected the road traffic patterns (Fig. 2), the O3 RTN shapes, in particular over the LOCK-2020 period, mirrored the NO2 RTN shapes (direct comparisons can be made for Figs. 4 and 5 between the monitors where NO2 and O3 are measured concurrently).

Ox concentrations (O3 and NO2) were found, respectively, at +3.1% and +4.1% during the lockdown (see Fig. 4). The return to normality of Ox concentrations can be better visualized by visual inspection that the levels of Ox follow similar temporal patterns to those of O3 (discussed further later). Ox concentrations increased by +3.8 μg m⁻³ at the city level during LOCK-2020, which equates to +4.3%. The changes in Ox concentrations at urban background (+4.3 μg m⁻³ or +5.2%, n = 2) were slightly greater than at suburban background monitors (+3.4 μg m⁻³ or +4.1%, n = 2). Comparatively, the highest increase in Ox was seen at Hohe Warte (+5.4 μg m⁻³ or +6.4%) and the smallest at Lobau (+1.1 μg m⁻³ or +1.8%). All in all, lower NOx and higher O3 went side by side so that Ox levels increased only slightly.

After applying the Mann-Whitney U test, only two cases did not show statistically significant differences between the observations and BAU predictions. These were for O3 and Ox at the Lobau monitor. All other pair of cases showed statistically significant differences (p < 0.01). Figs. S5–S7 depict the observations and BAU predictions themselves.

### 3.3.3. Further interpretation of the changes

To go further with the interpretation of the changes in air quality, Fig. 7 abridges the previously shown results by pollutant. The general impact of LOCK-2020 on the evaluated pollutants is depicted, besides their general RTN shapes over the study period. It is of interest first to contrast the mean NO2 RTN shapes (Fig. 7, top panel) against Vienna’s traffic patterns (Fig. 2, left panel). Mean NO2 concentrations reached BAU levels during May 2020 for the first time. However, MADT counts for LDV were lower during the whole study period. MADT counts for HDV, which make up a smaller proportion of the vehicle fleet, did not fall so expressively, and almost normal levels were observed in June 2020 relative to the same month in 2019. This suggests the potential role played by HDV emissions to the surface NO2 concentrations. Additional research will be required to understand this qualitative insight further; nevertheless, the present results are clear in noting the implications for air quality management. In this regard, a COVID-19-related study has emphasised that urban NOx emissions are dominated by road traffic. However, this dominance could be much greater than currently reported in emission inventories (Lamprecht et al., 2021). Previous works also show that HDV dominate vehicular NOx emissions (Schafferspasand et al., 2020; Song et al., 2018). Based on emission factors calculated from real-world observations of low-cost sensors, it has been shown that high emitters contribute disproportionately to fleet emissions of not only NOx but also CO...
and PM$_{2.5}$ (Liu and Zimmerman, 2021). Similarly, by developing a city-level high-resolution vehicular emission inventory, Maes et al. (2019) reported that most NO$_x$ emissions come from HDV. Hence, an important point to note here is that the changes in HDV counts could be the main driver of the changes in surface NO$_2$ concentrations during lockdowns. If so, control measures could be targeted at HDV with the potential to reduce total pollutant emissions from road traffic significantly and more effectively. It is recommended that such measures be integrated in the broader context of other emission sources and air pollutants. As the Viennese LOCK-2020 experience has shown for urban O$_3$, a key aspect of air quality management is to achieve a balanced strategy of emission reductions.

Moreover, the response of surface NO$_2$ to a change in NO$_x$ emissions was estimated to have a mean sensitivity of 0.8 (Keller et al., 2021). This means that, on average, NO$_2$ concentrations at ground level reduce by roughly 80% of the fractional cut in anthropogenic NO$_x$ emissions. A diminished effect was stated to occur for emission reductions larger than 50% because of atmospheric chemistry and background NO$_2$ influences (Keller et al., 2021).

Fig. 7 also illustrates the chemical coupling between O$_3$ and NO$_2$ and, in turn, O$_x$. During the whole study period (2015 to September 30, 2020), hourly O$_x$ concentrations are driven mainly by O$_3$ ($r = 0.90$, $p < 0.01$). This positive correlation has not changed its strength during LOCK-2020.

It is essential to understand if the elevated concentrations of secondary pollutants like O$_3$ seen during strict lockdowns are due to chemistry (e.g., weakened titration, photochemical production and heterogeneous chemistry owing to decreased PM$_{2.5}$) or meteorological effects. As shown, the frequency of days with amplified O$_3$ concentrations was equivalent to the frequency of days with reduced NO$_2$ during the LOCK-2020 period in Vienna. After controlling for confounders, declines in NO$_2$ and gains in O$_3$ went hand in hand, so that O$_x$ levels increased only slightly. This reflects a propensity of photochemical repartitioning of NO$_2$ to O$_3$, which is consistent with previous findings for European urban areas, and is not restricted to Vienna (Grange et al., 2021; Lee et al., 2020; Wyche et al., 2021). The present results therefore suggest the lower O$_3$ titration by NO as the dominant cause for explaining the O$_3$ increases in Vienna. In other words, the lockdown measures resulted in less ozone being depleted locally by NO due to the unprecedented reduction in NO$_x$ emissions mainly from the road transport sector. Importantly, the outcome of gains in O$_3$ levels agrees with previous works for urban areas (Dantas et al., 2020; Grange et al., 2021; Le et al., 2020; Lee et al., 2020; Sicard et al., 2020a; Wyche et al., 2021).

The RO$_2$ (organic peroxy), OH (hydroxyl) and HO$_2$ (hydroperoxyl) radical species are central to tropospheric photochemistry (Levy, 1971; Monks et al., 2015; Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006; Sillman, 1999). The principal sources of these radicals in urban areas are the ultraviolet photolysis of O$_3$ itself, nitrous acid, and some carbonyls such as formaldehyde (Li et al., 2021 and references therein). The role of chlorine atoms as relevant tropospheric oxidants has been...
increasingly acknowledged too (Sommariva et al., 2021). The OH radical is particularly important because it initiates the removal of primary emissions, forming peroxo radicals (HO₂ and RO₂). Then, peroxo radicals form, in the presence of NO, secondary pollutants such as NO₂, O₃ and particulates (Whalley et al., 2021). If O₅ remains preserved, the reduction of fresh NO emissions will increase O₃ concentrations because a lesser amount of O₅ consists of NO₂ (Clapp and Jenkin, 2001). In this sense, changes in O₅ can be understood as changes in the abundance of oxidants (Lee et al., 2020). As a consequence, the slight mean O₅ increase during LOCK-2020 points to net photochemical production, which means that some part of the increase in O₃ is not solely attributable to weakened titration. It is premature to remark on the change in radical species without measurements or model calculations. However, as O₅ is frequently used as an indicator of the atmospheric oxidative capacity (Chen et al., 2020; Grange et al., 2021), its small positive mean change is also suggesting some increase in overall reactivity of the urban boundary layer during LOCK-2020. With validated emission inventories, this premise can be tested with atmospheric chemistry models. For example, for China/Yangtze River Delta, a model study has reasoned that the dramatic reductions in NOₓ during the lockdown were responsible for gains of the OH, HO₂ and NO₃ reactive radical species (Wang et al., 2021). Similarly, model simulations for the South East of the UK suggested increased radical levels, and indicated that the dominance of radical cycling over termination routes increased as a result of the lockdown (Wyche et al., 2021).

Regarding heterogeneous pathways, it has been suggested based on model simulations that the PM₂.₅ decrease in the North China Plain since 2013 is the main driver for the O₃ increase due to reduced scavenging of HO₂ radicals to aerosol surfaces (Li et al., 2019). There is though continued debated from field studies on the general validity of the proposed mechanism (Tan et al., 2020). In order to make a simple comparison for Vienna, additional measurements were also taken for fine inhalable particles (PM₂.₅). Directly from the observations, PM₂.₅ decreased by −10.0% or −1.7 µg m⁻³ on average during LOCK-2020 compared with the same period in 2019. However, the finding by Li et al. is largely restricted to summer when PM₂.₅ and O₃ had an overall positive correlation (Li et al., 2019, 2021). Observational data also showed that daily PM₂.₅ and O₃ were negative correlated (r = −0.34, p < 0.01) during the LOCK-2020 period in Vienna. Thus, it is conjectured that this heterogenous
chemical pathway cannot explain the increases in O$_3$ during the lockdown. Despite such apparent mean decrease in PM$_{2.5}$ mass concentrations, a resultant oxidising environment may have facilitated secondary formation processes so that certain secondary aerosol components could show no changes or even increases (Sun et al., 2020).

Atmospheric chemistry models can separate local factors and regional transport to elucidate locally observed O$_3$ levels. It is acknowledged that the methods used here cannot rule out a potential contribution of regional transport of O$_3$. By assuming PM$_{2.5}$ a marker for transported pollution, we can make an educated guess of the potential influence of regional O$_3$ at the site location (Wyche et al., 2021). By comparing the daily mean concentrations of O$_3$ with PM$_{2.5}$ (not shown), correlated peaks in daily O$_3$ with respect to PM$_{2.5}$ were not detected during LOCK-2020 at two of the monitors with concomitant measurements of these pollutants (Lobau and Laer Berg). As seen, a negative correlation between daily O$_3$ and PM$_{2.5}$ was calculated during the lockdown. This suggests that the increases in O$_3$ concentrations cannot merely be explained by transported pollution. Furthermore, according to Wyche et al. (2021), the existence of isolated peaks in the time series of daily O$_3$ concentration $\Delta$ indicates substantial contributions to local O$_3$ concentrations due to lockdown-induced perturbations in the O$_3$−NO$_x$−VOC boundary layer chemistry.

### 3.4. Normalised pollutant time series

Assessing the impact of interventions on air quality can be difficult for several reasons. The most problematic of these is perhaps meteorology. Meteorological effects can hide or even
accentuate the underlying changes in pollutant concentrations actually coming from perturbations in chemistry and emissions. Controlling for meteorology and other effects such as seasonality is thus essential when examining interventions on the basis of changes in air pollutant concentrations over time. Doing so allows intervention assessment and trend analysis to be explored robustly (Falocchi et al., 2021; Font et al., 2020; Grange et al., 2018; Grange and Carslaw, 2019; Ma et al., 2021; Vu et al., 2019). Here the normalisation technique was applied for intervention assessment by considering LOCK-2020 as the intervention, so its timing is known. The technique’s main idea is to use statistical models to reduce variability in the air quality time series.

Fig. 8 shows the normalised pollutant concentration time series derived from the monitor-specific random forest models developed specifically for this purpose (Sec. 2.7). The predictive skill of these models was highly consistent with the performance of the models grown for the BAU scenarios during the model training and development phase (Tables S2—S4). The main intention of this quantitative analysis was to substantiate the previous results qualitatively. The overall NO2 trend is a more gradual decline in concentrations going into the LOCK-2020 period. The normalised NO2 time series did not show localised changeability during LOCK-2020 as they have broadly similar patterns. In addition, the normalised time series captured the recovery of the NO2 concentrations following LOCK-2020. This again indicates widespread changes in the city rather than isolated changes, which is related to the intervention’s spatial scale. However, the magnitude of the decline in NO2 concentrations depends on the monitors’ environment, as expected. We see again that the most noticeable drops in NO2 concentrations occurred at the urban traffic monitors (e.g., Hietzinger Kai, A23-Wehlistraße). In this sense, even with a significant intervention, this analysis also shows the challenge of clearly distinguishing changes when air pollutant concentrations are around background levels. The normalised O3 time series were able to depict the increases in concentrations of this pollutant during LOCK-2020. The increases in O3 concentrations were apparent at the urban background monitors after the lockdown began (Laeser Berg, Hohe Warte, Stephansplatz), while more subtle increases in O3 concentrations going into the LOCK-2020 period. The normalised NO2 trend is a more gradual decline in concentrations during LOCK-2020. The increases in O3 concentrations were apparent at the urban background monitors after the lockdown began (Laeser Berg, Hohe Warte, Stephansplatz), while more subtle

Collectively the results shown in Fig. 8 agree well with the BAU-related outcomes, adding a converging layer of evidence of how the first COVID-19 lockdown has impacted NO2 and O3 air pollution in Vienna. Besides, the normalised time series indicate further that the detected changes, or lack thereof, in the pollutant concentrations are of anthropogenic and not meteorological origin. More generally, the suitability of the normalisation technique based on the random forest algorithm was shown for assessing the impact of a significant but relatively short-term intervention (Vienna’s first COVID-19 lockdown) at the site location for different pollutants. This was achieved using existing, routine air quality monitoring and meteorological measurements. In light of contextual information, the normalisation technique facilitated explaining lockdown-associated characteristics in the pollutant time series to a great extent. These characteristics are not always evident in the raw data. It is also clear-cut from Fig. 8 that interventions taking place in a short period of time and on a small spatial scale could be very challenging to detect and quantify, or even go unnoticed, by using statistical approaches such as the normalisation technique applied in this work. This limitation should be considered for assessing the effectiveness of small spatio-temporal scale interventions. It could frustrate even those measurement programs carefully designed to collect data to feed the methods. Examples of policy interventions intentionally implemented to improve air pollution more locally include low emission zones, expansions of public transport service, fuel changes, traffic bans and modifications of bus routes.

3.5. The importance of accounting for confounders

A wide range of approaches has been applied to assess the impact of COVID-19 lockdowns on air quality (Grange et al., 2021; Hörmann et al., 2021; Krecl et al., 2020; Le et al., 2020; Ordóñez et al., 2020; Petetin et al., 2020; Ropkins and Tate, 2021; Sharma et al., 2021; Viennamobile, 2019). The breakdown of traffic and public transport services has been a core component of lockdown interventions to contain the pandemic. However, in order to properly assess the effectiveness of lockdown interventions, it is essential to account for the presence of confounders. Confounders are variables that are associated with both the exposure and the outcome of interest and may bias the observed association. In the context of COVID-19 lockdowns, confounders include factors such as changes in economic activity, population mobility, and weather conditions. For example, decreases in traffic emissions during lockdowns may be due to reduced vehicle use rather than the lockdown itself. To address these confounders, statistical models such as multivariable regression can be used to adjust for the effects of potential confounders. The normalisation technique applied in this work facilitates explaining the detected changes in the pollutant time series qualitatively. This allows us to interpret the results in the context of the lockdowns and their associated characteristics.
et al., 2020; Tobías et al., 2020). This work contrasts a statistical approach (machine learning-based counterfactual predictions) against the ‘historical approach’. The latter directly assesses air quality changes by comparing pollutant measurements during lockdowns with the same period in previous years. Due to its simplicity, it has been one of the most commonly used approaches. Here, the historical approach is based on the mean of the past five years (HIST-2015-2019) during the same period as the LOCK-2020.

The NO2, O3, and Ox changes on the random forest baseline reveal that the impact of Vienna’s first COVID-19 lockdown on air quality was not as large as the raw pollutant measurements indicate (Fig. 9). Note that at the time of writing, a study also found that changes in pollutant concentrations due to lockdowns were more limited than previously reported (Shi et al., 2021). Bigger differences (up to a factor of ~2.3) between the two approaches were detected at the monitor scale compared with city-level aggregations. The present analysis further shows that the amount of bias differs not only by environment but also by pollutant. The bias is higher for O3 and urban monitors. As shown in Sec. 3.2, a significant cause for the differences in the magnitude of changes stems from variations in meteorological conditions. Quantification of air quality changes relative to multi-year baseline values partially controls for the problem, but it cannot fully solve it (Venter et al., 2020). Moreover, meteorological conditions influence air pollutants differently. This goes back to the random forest models for NO2 returning wind data as the most important explanatory variables. Similarly, the highest importance of the RH and Tair variables was given by the models developed for O3 and Ox. Besides, potential pre-existing air pollutant trends due to reductions in primary emissions over the years also play a role in the historical approach. Hence, analyses of changes in air quality directly based on measured data resonate such confounding issues.

The direction of change was largely consistent between the two approaches, which holds for the LOCK-2020 duration (29 days). However, if shorter periods are considered, a previous study has shown that the historical approach can further exaggerate air quality changes (Petetin et al., 2020). This adds to the present results to conclude that the bias of the historical approach can increase at shorter temporal and finer spatial scales. In short, estimated changes in air quality from the historical approach should be interpreted with care for diurnal cycles at the site location.

3.6. Implications

Extensive epidemiological evidence shows the adverse health effects of exposure to air pollution (Cohen et al., 2017). Despite the dynamic interchange of NO2 and O3, relatively few studies have assessed the associations between health endpoints and exposure to these pollutants jointly. However, evidence is growing to indicate that the health effects of the simple sum of the two, \( O_x = NO_2 + O_3 \), is greater than for either NO2 or O3 alone (Hvidtfeldt et al., 2019; Williams et al., 2014; Yang et al., 2016). This knowledge could lead to a growing interest in investigating and controlling Ox concentrations. Thus, the photochemical repartitioning of NO2 to O3 suggested by the first COVID-19 lockdown experience in Vienna may have consequences for public health and health impact assessments.

Successful management of O3 pollution is challenging (Archibald et al., 2020; Monks et al., 2015). A central aspect of science-based policies is the O3 formation regime (Wang et al., 2017). Conclusively stating the Viennese O3 regime is hampered...
because of the lack of routine VOC measurements concurrently with other pollutants. However, the present results indicate that the regime across Vienna is VOC-limited, which is typically seen for urban areas. Assuming that during the LOCK-2020 period, the supply of NOx emissions reduced equivalently more than VOCs, this scenario would lead to higher VOC/NOx ratios, resulting in an increase in O3 pollution. This premise has been uncovered for example for Brazil/Rio de Janeiro: levels of O3 increased more during the lockdown when air masses arrived at the monitors from industrial areas. This was due to the highest VOC/NOx ratios and also the likely increase in reactivity of VOC mixtures rich in aromatic compounds (Siciliano et al., 2020). Fig. S8 shows the total anthropogenic NOx and non-methane VOC emissions (NMVOC) from Austria’s most recent inventory (Anderl et al., 2021) as well as the latest available projections to date in a scenario with existing measures (Anderl et al., 2019). If confirmed, projected total NMVOC emissions will exceed total NOx emissions in the near future in Austria. The emission scenario associated with the first lockdown in Vienna gave us a clue of this probable future, signalling the risk of an increase in urban O3. Therefore, to avoid potentially higher O3 concentrations under these future projections, a control strategy should consider an equilibrium between the emission reductions of the different pollutants, especially focusing on heavier cuts in VOC emissions.

4. Conclusions

The present study focused on how NO2 and O3 air pollution responded to the strict government measures enforced in early spring 2020 to slow the spread of the SARS-CoV-2 virus in Vienna, Austria. Through an in-depth analysis of Vienna’s first COVID-19 lockdown, this work shows that for a secondary pollutant like O3, its mitigation will remain complex and challenging. A projected future with VOC emissions falling slower than NOx emissions could risk an increase in urban ozone pollution under the VOC-limited conditions. Potential solutions include tailor-made, multi-pollutant strategies seeking to balance the emission reductions of O3 precursors. In that sense, a recommendation is, in addition to reducing NOx emissions, to instigate more aggressive cuts in VOC emissions. A deeper understanding of the effects posed by meteorology and chemistry when approached by scientific questions can be valuable so as to develop more efficacious policy responses.

We saw on the machine learning-based business as usual (BAU) baseline an improvement in air quality for NO2 but not for O3 during the LOCK-2020 period. The BAU scenarios showed that NO2 concentrations reduced on average by –20.1% [13.7–30.4%] at the city level. However, O3 concentrations increased by +8.5% [3.7–11.0%] across the city. O3 levels increased by +4.3% [1.8–6.4%], which is important in the context of partitioning of NO2 to O3 and from a human health perspective. The slight increases in O3 levels also suggest an augmented oxidative capacity of the urban boundary layer owing to the imbalance in the reductions of primary anthropogenic emissions during LOCK-2020. The dominant cause of the increase in ambient O3 during the lockdown was likely the lower O3 titration by NO due to the large reductions in NOx emissions. Accordingly, this works found that 82% of lockdown days with reduced ambient NO2 concentrations were accompanied by 81% of days with increased O3 pollution.

The recent access to global mobility data from big data providers offers a unique prospect for examining mobility changes from different standpoints. However, the use of Google transit data and Apple driving data can overestimate actual traffic reductions and associated emissions, especially for heavy-duty vehicles. Larger drops in road traffic volumes were observed for light-duty vehicles, while heavy-duty vehicles were much less affected by the COVID-19 pandemic. As heavy-duty vehicles are high NOx emitters, the change in the volume of these vehicles on the roads may be the main driver behind the NO2 reductions.

The impact of the lockdown on air quality was complex and significant, and its quantification is non-trivial. Nevertheless, this work suggests that air quality changes were probably not as large as previously reported. The present analysis demonstrated that accounting for confounders is crucial to appreciating air quality changes more robustly.
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