ABSTRACT

Introduction Relationships between endogenous female sex hormones and glycemic traits remain understudied, especially in men. We examined whether endogenous 17α-hydroxyprogesterone (17-OHP), progesterone, estradiol (E2), and free estradiol (fE2) were associated with glycemic traits and glycemic deterioration.

Research design and methods 921 mainly middle-aged and elderly men and 390 perimenopausal/postmenopausal women from the German population-based Cooperative Health Research in the Region of Augsburg (KORA) F4/FF4 cohort study were followed up for a median of 6.4 years. Sex hormones were measured at baseline using mass spectrometry. We calculated regression coefficients (β) and ORs with 95% CIs using multivariable-adjusted linear and logistic regression models for Z-standardized hormones and glycemic traits or glycemic deterioration (ie, worsening of categorized glucose tolerance status), respectively.

Results In the cross-sectional analysis (n=1222 men and n=594 women), in men, 17-OHP was inversely associated with 2h-glucose (2hG) (β=−0.067, 95% CI −0.120 to −0.013) and fasting insulin (β=−0.074, 95% CI −0.118 to −0.030), and positively associated with Quantitative Insulin Sensitivity Check Index (QUICKI) (β=0.061, 95% CI 0.018 to 0.105). Progesterone was inversely associated with fasting insulin (β=−0.047, 95% CI −0.088 to −0.006) and positively associated with QUICKI (β=0.041, 95% CI 0.001 to 0.082). E2 was inversely associated with fasting insulin (β=−0.068, 95% CI −0.116 to −0.020) and positively associated with QUICKI (β=0.059, 95% CI 0.012 to 0.107). fE2 was positively associated with glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) (β=0.079, 95% CI 0.027 to 0.132). In women, 17-OHP was positively associated with fasting glucose (FG) (β=0.068, 95% CI 0.014 to 0.123). E2 was positively associated with FG (β=0.080, 95% CI 0.020 to 0.141) and HbA1c (β=0.121, 95% CI 0.062 to 0.180). In the sensitivity analyses restricted to postmenopausal women, we observed a positive association between 17-OHP and glycemic deterioration (OR=1.518, 95% CI 1.033 to 2.264).

Conclusions Inter-relations exist between female sex hormones and glucose-related traits among men. Endogenous progesterogens and estrogens appear to be involved in glucose homeostasis not only in women but in men as well.

Significance of this study

What is already known about this subject?

- Endogenous progesterone and estradiol (E2) were associated with type 2 diabetes (T2D) and related glycemic traits in previous cross-sectional studies in postmenopausal women.

What are the new findings?

- We demonstrated that endogenous progesterone, 17α-hydroxyprogesterone (17-OHP), the product of progesterone hydrolysis, and E2 are independently associated with glycemic traits in men as well.

- Among postmenopausal women only, we demonstrated a positive association of endogenous 17-OHP with fasting glucose and glycemic deterioration.

How might these results change the focus of research or clinical practice?

- Although regarded as female sex hormones, endogenous progesterogens and estrogens appear to be involved in glucose homeostasis not only in women but in men as well.

INTRODUCTION

Extensive evidence from human and animal studies suggests that sex hormones are involved in modifying cardiometabolic risk, in particular diabetes development. These differences in risk may be explained by changes in body composition, alterations in glucose metabolism, and insulin sensitivity-related traits among men. Endogenous progesterogens and estrogens appear to be involved in glucose homeostasis not only in women but in men as well. Further well-powered studies assessing causal associations between endogenous female sex hormones and glycemic traits are warranted.
due to declining sex hormone concentrations associated with aging and menopause. However, whether glycemic traits specifically mediate the relationship between female sex hormones and glycemic deterioration remains controversial.

Estrogens and progestogens comprise female sex hormones. Estradiol (E2) is the most potent and abundant endogenous estrogen. Higher levels of endogenous E2 have been associated with increased type 2 diabetes (T2D) risks in several population-based settings. Conversely, when used in hormone replacement therapy (HRT), E2 confers beneficial effects on glycemic control by reducing glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) levels, fasting glucose (FG), and fasting insulin. Another endogenous hormone - progesterone, important especially during pregnancy, has been found to have positive associations with FG and HbA1c, and inverse associations with HOMA-β in both men and women. The product of progesterone hydrolysis, 17α-hydroxyprogesterone (17-OHP), has been observed to be elevated in patients with T2D. A study conducted in pregnant women showed that administration of 17-OHP caproate, a progestin-only contraceptive used to prevent preterm delivery, was associated with increased postchallenge glucose levels and increased risk of gestational diabetes (GD). Notably, women who develop GD are at higher risk of developing T2D later in life.

Both estrogens and progestogens exist endogenously in men as well, but they are not considered as clinically relevant as they are in women—leading to the lack of studies regarding these sex hormones in men. There is evidence concerning detrimental effects of estrogen deficiency in men. However, evidence for progestogens is limited. Available studies involving endogenous estrogen are mainly cross-sectional, have limited sample sizes, and lack comprehensive glycemic outcomes. Additionally, we are not aware of any epidemiological study to date investigating endogenous 17-OHP as an exposure. Therefore, this study was conducted to explore the associations of endogenous 17-OHP, progesterone, E2, and free estradiol (IE2) with FG, 2h-glucose (2hG), HbA1c, fasting insulin, and Quantitative Insulin Sensitivity Check Index (QUICKI), separately in men and in perimenopausal/postmenopausal women. Furthermore, we examined prospective associations of these female sex hormones with glycemic deterioration defined as aberrant progressions from NGT or pre-diabetes to either pre-diabetes or diabetes during 6.4 years of follow-up.

### METHODS

#### Study population and selection criteria

The data for the study were obtained from the Cooperative Health Research in the Region of Augsburg (KORA) baseline (F4) (2006–2008) and follow-up (FF4) studies (2013–2014). Both studies are follow-up examinations of the KORA S4 study (1999–2001) conducted in Augsburg, Southern Germany, and two surrounding counties. The study design has been described previously in detail. The KORA F4 study included 3080 participants aged between 32 and 81 years, of whom 2161 also participated in KORA FF4. Three participants who withdrew consent were removed from the analyses. After further exclusions as described in figure 1, the final sample for the cross-sectional analysis comprised 1816 participants (1222 men and 594 women), while the prospective analysis sample comprised 1311 participants (921 men and 390 women). Participants taking antidiabetic medications were excluded from both cross-sectional and prospective analyses examining continuous glycemic traits as outcomes.

#### Assessment of the outcomes

Previously known T2D was a self-report that could be validated by a physician or medical chart review, or as self-reported current use of glucose-lowering medication. Participants without known T2D were given a standard 75g, oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT). Blood samples were taken without stasis after an overnight fast of 28 hours and 2 hours after glucose solution ingestion. Serum glucose was measured using hexokinase-G6PD (GLUFlex; Dade Behring, USA). In KORA FF4, glucose levels were quantified in serum either by using the glucose colorimetric assay (Dimension Vista 1500

---

**Figure 1** Flowchart showing sample sizes and exclusions. HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin; KORA, Cooperative Health Research in the Region of Augsburg; NGT, normoglycemia; OGTT, oral glucose tolerance test; QUICKI, Quantitative Insulin Sensitivity Check Index; T2D, type 2 diabetes.
Assessment of the exposures: sex hormone measurements

Progesterone, 17-OHP, and E2 were quantified in serum using liquid chromatography–electrospray ionization–tandem mass spectrometry and the Absolute IDQ Stero17 Kit (BIOCRATES Life Sciences, Austria) (online supplemental material 1). The calibration, imputation, and normalization of sex hormone measurements are described in detail in online supplemental material 2.

Assessment of covariates

In KORA F4, total cholesterol and high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol were measured in fresh serum by enzymatic methods (CHOL Flex and AHDL Flex, Dade Behring). Triglycerides were measured in fresh serum enzymatically (glycerine phosphate oxidase peroxidase method) (TGL Flex, Dade Behring). C reactive protein (CRP) was quantified from frozen plasma using a high-sensitivity latex-enhanced nephelometric assay (BN II Analyzer, Dade Behring). Thyroid-stimulating hormone (TSH) was measured using electrochemiluminescent methods (Dimension Vista Systems; Siemens, Germany). Serum creatinine was measured in fresh serum with a modified Jaffe test (KREA Flex, Dade Behring) according to IDMS standards. The estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) was calculated using the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration (CKD-EPI) formula. Information on age, sex, statin medication, hypertension, smoking status, alcohol consumption, physical activity, and history of parental diabetes was assessed using a standardized interview, performed by trained medical staff. Hypertension was defined as having a blood pressure of ≥140/90 mm Hg or taking antihypertensive medication, given that the participants were aware of having hypertension. Information on medication use within 7 days before examination was obtained from a database. Smoking status was categorized as never smoked, former smokers, and current smokers (smoking≥1 cigarette a day). Alcohol consumption was categorized into three groups: no consumption (0 g/day), moderate consumption (men 0.1–29.9 g/day and women 0.1–19.9 g/day), and high consumption (men≥20 g/day and women≥20 g/day). Physical activity was estimated through two separate four-category interview questions regarding the time spent per week on sports activities in summer and winter. Possible answers were (1)>2 hours, (2) 1–2 hours, (3) <1 hour, and (4) none. Participants who had a total score of <3, obtained by summing the numbers (1)–(4) relating to winter and summer, were classified to be ‘physically active’. Parental diabetes was categorized as no parental diabetes history, unknown parental diabetes history, or ≥1 parent with diabetes history.

Statistical analyses

Baseline characteristics of normally distributed continuous covariates are expressed as means with corresponding SD. Non-normally distributed continuous covariates were expressed as medians with the corresponding 25th and 75th percentiles. Proportions are expressed as percentages. Differences between participants with and without glycemic deterioration were calculated using Mann-Whitney U tests, while differences in categorical variables were compared using Kruskal-Wallis tests. Skewed variables were natural log (ln)-transformed to improve normalization. Z-standardization was performed sex-specifically for exposures, respectively, to achieve comparability despite their different scales. Due to significant differences between participants with and without glycemic deterioration, we performed analyses with and without glycemic deterioration as covariates.
interactions between sex and some hormones regarding
glycemic traits (online supplemental table 1), sex-
stratified analyses were employed throughout this study.

Linear regression was performed to explore the cross-
sectional and prospective relationships between progesto-
gen and estrogen with glycemic traits, such as FG, 2hG, 
HbA1c, fasting insulin, and QUICKI. β-estimates with 95% 
CIs for Z-scores of sex hormones are given as per one 
sex-specific SD increase in ln-transformed progestogens 
and estrogens, respectively. Association analyses focusing 
on pathophysiological mechanisms were adjusted for F4 
T2D risk factors such as age, waist circumference, height, 
ln(triglycerides), total cholesterol:HDL cholesterol ratio, 
actual hypertension (yes/no), and use of statins (yes/no) 
(model 1). Additional adjustments included lifestyle risk 
factors such as smoking status (never/former/current), 
alcohol consumption (no/low/high), and physical 
activity (active/inactive), and additionally, ln(CRP) 
(continuous), ln(TSH) (continuous), eGFR (contin-
uous), and history of parental diabetes (no history/ 
unknown history/≥1 parent with diabetes) (model 2). In 
the prospective analyses, there were further adjustments 
for F4 values of respective glycemic traits.

We calculated ORs with 95% CIs using logistic regres-
sion to investigate associations between female sex 
hormones and glycemic deterioration. These associa-
tions were additionally investigated for non-linearity by 
testing whether the introduction of a restricted cubic 
spline, with three knots placed at the 30th, 60th, and 90th 
percentiles, would improve the model fit where medians 
were set as the reference values for each exposure.

The confounders that constitute our models are 
common T2D risk factors, along with variables that 
affect T2D pathophysiology and circulating sex hormone 
levels. We adjusted for statin usage as they can increase

triglyceride levels and total cholesterol:HDL cholesterol ratio; were more likely to be hypertensive; had elevated
CRP; and were more likely to have ≥1 parent with diabetes compared with those without glycemic deterioration (ie, non-cases). Among women, cases had lower TSH levels. In men, cases had higher 17-OHP, E2, and fE2 levels compared with non-cases. In women, sex hormone levels were not significantly different between cases and non-cases. At F4 and FF4, cases had higher FG, 2hG, HbA1c, fasting insulin, and lower QUICKI values compared with non-cases in men and women (table 1).

Cross-sectional associations of endogenous progestogens 
and estrogens with glycemic traits

Cross-sectional associations are summarized in figure 2. 
In men, 17-OHP was inversely associated with 2hG 
(β=−0.074, 95% CI −0.130 to −0.019), fasting insulin 
(β=−0.093, 95% CI −0.140 to −0.046), and positively 
asociated with QUICKI (β=0.079, 95% CI 0.032 to 0.126) 
after adjustment using model 1. On further adjust-
ment (model 2), the significance persisted for all three 
outcomes: 2hG (β=−0.067, 95% CI −0.120 to −0.013), 
fasting insulin (β=−0.074, 95% CI −0.118 to −0.030), and 
QUICKI (β=0.061, 95% CI 0.018 to 0.105). Inverse asso-
ciations were detected between progesterone and fasting 
inulin in model 1 (β=−0.052, 95% CI −0.096 to −0.008).
The association remained significant after further adjust-
ment for T2D risk factors (model 2: β=−0.045, 95% CI 
−0.086 to −0.004) and additional adjustment for albumin 
(β=−0.047, 95% CI −0.088 to −0.006). Also, progesterone 
was initially associated with QUICKI in model 1 (β=0.045, 
95% CI 0.001 to 0.088), but the association became non-
significant after further adjustment (model 2, β=0.040, 
95% CI −0.001 to 0.080) (online supplemental table 2). In women, 17-OHP was positively associated with fasting 
glucose (β=0.071, 95% CI 0.015 to 0.127) in model 1. The 
significance persisted after further adjustment in model 
2 (β=0.068, 95% CI 0.014 to 0.123). No further associations 
were found between 17-OHP and progesterone and glycemic 
traits in women (online supplemental table 3).

In men, after adjustment using model 1, E2 was inversely 
associated with 2hG (β=−0.059, 95% CI −0.118 to −0.001), 
fasting insulin (β=−0.113, 95% CI −0.163 to −0.062), and 
positively associated with QUICKI (β=0.105, 95% CI 0.054 
to 0.155). After further adjustment in model 2, significant 
associations ceased for 2hG (β=−0.024, 95% CI −0.081 to 
0.033), while it persisted for fasting insulin (β=−0.068, 
95% CI −0.116 to −0.020) and QUICKI (β=0.059, 95% 
CI 0.012 to 0.107). On further adjustment with SHBG, 
the associations of E2 with 2hG (β=−0.013, 95% CI −0.073 
to 0.046) and fasting insulin (β=−0.055, 95% CI −0.105 
to −0.005) did not change significantly. However, the 
association between E2 and QUICKI ceased (β=0.044, 
95% CI −0.005 to 0.093). fE2 was found to be positively 
associated with HbA1c, after adjustment in models 1 
(β=0.012, 95% CI 0.004 to 0.021) and 2 (β=0.079, 95% 
CI 0.027 to 0.132). No further associations were found 
between fE2 and glycemic traits in men. In women, no

RESULTS

Baseline characteristics

Men and women with glycemic deterioration (ie, cases) 
were older; had larger waist circumference and higher
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Table 1  Descriptive characteristics of men and perimenopausal/postmenopausal women in KORA F4/FF4*

|                          | Men (n=796) | Perimenopausal/postmenopausal women† (n=331) |
|--------------------------|------------|---------------------------------------------|
|                          | Non-cases‡ (n=604) | Cases‡ (n=192) | P value | Non-cases‡ (n=247) | Cases‡ (n=84) | P value |
| Age (years)              | 51.6 (12.2) | 58.5 (10.9) | <0.001 | 59.7 (8.5) | 62.4 (8.5) | 0.005 |
| Height (cm)              | 177 (6.9)  | 175 (6.9)  | <0.001 | 161 (6.1)  | 160 (6.4)  | 0.068 |
| Waist circumference (cm) | 95 (89,103)| 101 (95,109)| <0.001 | 85 (78,93) | 93 (88,102)| <0.001 |
| Triglycerides (mmol/L)   | 1.26 (0.87,1.74)| 1.56 (1.07, 2.4) | <0.001 | 1.03 (0.75,1.39)| 1.24 (0.99,1.78)| <0.001 |
| Total cholesterol/HDL cholesterol | 4.17 (3.51,5.00)| 4.54 (3.85,5.47) | <0.001 | 3.60 (3.02,4.19)| 4.10 (3.47,4.78)| <0.001 |
| Hypertension (%)         | 28.6       | 50.0       | <0.001 | 28.3       | 52.3       | <0.001 |
| Statin use (%)           | 6.9        | 17.7       | <0.001 | 10.5       | 9.5        | 0.957 |
| Smoking status           |            |            |        |            |            |        |
| Never (%)                | 32.9       | 37.5       | 0.081  | 54.3       | 63.1       | 0.306 |
| Former (%)               | 46.4       | 49.0       |        | 31.2       | 27.4       |        |
| Current (%)              | 20.7       | 13.5       |        | 14.6       | 9.5        |        |
| Alcohol consumption      |            |            |        |            |            |        |
| None (%)                 | 16.4       | 20.3       | 0.435  | 35.2       | 45.2       | 0.258 |
| Moderate (%)             | 66.2       | 64.1       |        | 49.8       | 42.9       |        |
| High (%)                 | 17.4       | 15.6       |        | 14.9       | 11.9       |        |
| Physically active (%)    | 59.6       | 57.3       | 0.629  | 63.9       | 51.2       | 0.052 |
| CRP (mg/L)               | 0.85 (0.44,1.78)| 1.28 (0.67, 2.28)| <0.001 | 1.05 (0.53,2.05)| 1.96 (1.02,4.06)| <0.001 |
| eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m²)    | 92.1 (14.9)| 86.3 (13.3)| <0.001 | 86.2 (14.5)| 84.5 (14.7)| 0.354 |
| TSH (mIU/L)              | 1.25 (0.87,1.85)| 1.36 (0.91, 1.99)| 0.085  | 1.32 (0.87,1.88)| 1.18 (0.75,1.65)| 0.038 |
| Parental history of diabetes (%) |            |            |        |            |            |        |
| Both parents without diabetes | 65.9       | 48.9       | <0.001 | 60.3       | 47.6       | 0.091 |
| Unknown parental history | 14.1       | 26.6       |        | 16.2       | 17.8       |        |
| ≥1 parent with diabetes  | 20.0       | 24.5       |        | 23.5       | 34.5       |        |
| 17-OHP (nmol/L)          | 2.88 (2.12,3.94)| 2.51 (1.93,3.52)| 0.002  | 0.77 (0.52,1.21)| 0.87 (0.52,1.34)| 0.267 |
| Progesterone (nmol/L)    | 0.20 (0.12,0.32)| 0.17 (0.10,0.31)| 0.063  | 0.12 (0.04,0.23)| 0.12 (0.06,0.19)| 0.762 |
| E2 (nmol/L)              | 0.49 (0.36,0.68)| 0.42 (0.29,0.54)| <0.001 | 0.17 (0.09,0.28)| 0.17 (0.10,0.27)| 0.970 |
| fE2 (nmol/L)             | 0.010 (0.007,0.016)| 0.008 (0.006, 0.013)| <0.001 | 0.003 (0.001,0.004)| 0.003 (0.001, .005)| 0.406 |
| F4                       |            |            |        |            |            |        |
| FG (mmol/L)              | 5.22 (4.94,5.50)| 5.61 (5.33,5.89)| <0.001 | 5.00 (4.78,5.33)| 5.42 (5.17,5.94)| <0.001 |
| 2hG (mmol/L)             | 5.50 (4.67,6.33)| 6.61 (5.71,7.40)| <0.001 | 5.39 (4.56,6.47)| 6.72 (5.77,7.40)| <0.001 |
| HbA1c (%)                | 5.3 (5.1,5.5) | 5.5 (5.4,5.8) | <0.001 | 5.4 (5.3,5.6) | 5.6 (5.4, 5.9) | <0.001 |

Continued
significant associations were observed between E2 and glycemic traits after adjustment in models 1 and 2, and after further adjustment for SHBG. However, fE2 was positively associated with fasting glucose after adjusting with models 1 and 2, respectively (model 2: \( \beta=0.080, 95\% \text{ CI} 0.020 \text{ to } 0.141 \) and HbA1c (model 2: \( \beta=0.121, 95\% \text{ CI} 0.062 \text{ to } 0.180 \)) (online supplemental table 3). Substitution of waist circumference and height with body mass index did not significantly change the results (data not shown).

In the sensitivity analyses, among men, the inverse association between progesterone and fasting insulin remained significant in model 2 after additional adjustment for albumin. As for the association between progesterone and QUICKI, additional adjustment for albumin in model 2 reinstated the significance (\( \beta=0.041, 95\% \text{ CI} 0.001 \text{ to } 0.082 \)), which was previously made insignificant after adjustment in model 2 (\( \beta=0.040, 95\% \text{ CI} -0.001 \text{ to } 0.082 \)) (online supplemental table 2). The positive association between E2 and QUICKI remained significant after additional adjustment with SHBG in model 2. In women, additional adjustments with albumin and SHBG did not significantly change the results (online supplemental table 3). After perimenopausal women were excluded, associations between sex hormones and fasting glucose, as well as HbA1c, generally became stronger. Specifically, progesterone (\( \beta=0.071, 95\% \text{ CI} 0.007 \text{ to } 0.136 \)) and E2 (\( \beta=0.076, 95\% \text{ CI} 0.014 \text{ to } 0.137 \)) became significantly associated with fasting glucose and progesterone with HbA1c (\( \beta=0.071, 95\% \text{ CI} 0.008 \text{ to } 0.133 \)) (online supplemental table 3).

In men, there were interactions between 17-OHP and progesterone (online supplemental table 6). Selected results are shown in figure 3. Lower fasting insulin levels were observed when both 17-OHP and progesterone levels were at the lowest or highest (figure 3A). Higher QUICKI values were observed in men when both 17-OHP and progesterone concentrations were at the lowest or highest. Lower QUICKI values were observed in men with the highest progesterone and lowest 17-OHP levels and also with the highest 17-OHP and lowest progesterone levels (figure 3B). In women, no interactions were detected between 17-OHP and progesterone on fasting glucose (online supplemental table 6).

**Glycemic deterioration**

No significant associations between progestogens and estrogens with glycemic deterioration were observed in men and women (figure 4). After removal of perimenopausal women in the sensitivity analysis, 17-OHP was significantly associated with glycemic deterioration in postmenopausal women (OR=1.518, 95% CI 1.033 to 2.264) (online supplemental table 4). We also assessed for non-linear relationships across different progestogen and estrogen concentrations (online supplemental figure 2). However, there were no indications for significant non-linear relationships (online supplemental table 5).
Prospective associations of endogenous progestogens and estrogens with glycemic traits

In men, progesterone was positively associated with fasting insulin ($\beta=0.052$, 95% CI 0.005 to 0.098) and inversely associated with QUICKI ($\beta=-0.048$, 95% CI −0.095 to −0.001).

Figure 2  Cross-sectional associations of endogenous progestogens and estrogens with glycemic traits in men and women of the KORA F4 cohort*. Results are expressed as the change in 1 log unit of the continuous outcome (standardized Z-score $\beta$-estimate with 95% CI) per 1 sex-specific SD increase in the respective progestogens and estrogens adjusted for baseline age, waist circumference, height, triglycerides, total cholesterol:high-density lipoprotein cholesterol ratio, hypertension, statin use, smoking status, alcohol consumption, physical activity, CRP, eGFR, TSH, and parental history of diabetes (model 2). *Men and perimenopausal/postmenopausal women who did not take antidiabetic medication. CRP, C reactive protein; E2, Estradiol; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; F4, baseline; FG, fasting glucose; FI, fasting insulin; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin; 2hG, 2h-glucose; KORA, Cooperative Health Research in the Region of Augsburg; 17-OHP, 17α-hydroxyprogesterone; QUICKI, Quantitative Insulin Sensitivity Check Index; TSH, thyroid-stimulating hormone.

Figure 3  Interaction effects between 17-OHP and progesterone regarding fasting serum insulin and QUICKI. Contour plots estimated by linear regression models demonstrate the changes in fasting insulin and QUICKI for different concentrations of 17-OHP and progesterone. The predicted fasting serum insulin and QUICKI values were presented with gradients, ranging from black (low fasting insulin and QUICKI values) to white (high fasting insulin and QUICKI values). (A) P value for interaction=0.002. (B) P value for interaction=0.011. Linear predictions were adjusted for baseline age, waist circumference, height, triglycerides, total cholesterol:high-density lipoprotein cholesterol ratio, hypertension, statin use, smoking status, alcohol consumption, physical activity, CRP, eGFR, TSH, and parental diabetes history. 17-OHP, 17α-hydroxyprogesterone; CRP, C reactive protein; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; QUICKI, Quantitative Insulin Sensitivity Check Index; TSH, thyroid-stimulating hormone.
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−0.000) after adjustment in model 1. However, associations between progesterone and fasting insulin (β=0.044, 95% CI −0.002 to 0.091) and QUICKI (β=−0.040, 95% CI −0.088 to 0.007) ceased after adjustment in model 2 (online supplemental table 7). In women, no associations were found between progestogens and estrogens and glycemic traits regardless of adjustments in models 1 and 2 and further adjustments for SHBG and albumin (online supplemental table 8).

**DISCUSSION**

In this population-based study of mainly middle-aged and elderly participants, we found that progestogens and estrogens were associated with glucose and insulin traits in men, whereas in women, associations were found only with glucose traits. Specifically, in the cross-sectional analyses in men, we found that higher levels of 17-OHP, progesterone, and E2 were associated with lower fasting insulin, whereas higher 17-OHP and E2 were associated with higher QUICKI values. Concerning glucose traits among men, higher 17-OHP levels were associated with lower 2hG concentrations whereas higher fE2 levels were associated with higher HbA1c concentrations. Among women, positive associations were observed between 17-OHP and fasting glucose and between fE2 and fasting glucose as well as HbA1c. After exclusion of perimenopausal women, we observed significant associations of progesterone, 17-OHP and E2 with fasting glucose and of progesterone with HbA1c. Furthermore, we found significant interactions between 17-OHP and progesterone on fasting insulin levels and QUICKI in men. In the prospective analyses, we found no associations in both men and women after multivariable adjustment in the main analyses. However, in the sensitivity analysis, the exclusion of perimenopausal women revealed that postmenopausal women with elevated baseline 17-OHP levels had an increased risk of glycemic deterioration.

Congruent to our results, a cross-sectional study conducted in a rural Chinese population found positive associations of progesterone with fasting glucose, HbA1c, and an increased risk of prevalent pre-diabetes and T2D in men and women. Furthermore, in the study of Jiang et al in men and women, progesterone was inversely associated with HOMA-2β, an index of β-cell function, but not with fasting insulin as seen among men in the present study. The slightly diverging observations could be due to differences in ethnicity, lifestyle factors, socioeconomic status, and sample size between the populations. A recent study in men and women by Lu et al reported positive correlations between 17-OHP and fasting glucose, 2hG, and HbA1c. This was consistent with our observations of a positive association between fasting glucose and 17-OHP among women. However, the study by Lu et al performed correlation analyses without appropriate
confounder adjustments, therefore limiting its interpretability. A Swedish longitudinal study (n=240) conducted among opposite-sex twins found no association between progesterone and diabetes risk. This corresponds to our null findings regarding the association of progestogens with glycemic deterioration. In the present study, the cross-sectional and prospective effect estimates of progesterone on fasting insulin and QUICKI show a change of direction in men. This could be due to the presence of (negative) confounding or random chance (given the insignificant results of model 2). However, our cross-sectional results are in line with current experimental evidence as described further.

Mechanisms by which progestogens alter glucose and insulin metabolism are nebulous, but there are some possible explanations. Elevated 17-OHOP can induce hyperglycemia in female mice, and CYP17A1 is suggested to play a role in modulating this effect. CYP17A1 converts progesterone to 17-OHOP, and Lu et al proposed that increased 17-OHOP levels due to aberrant expression of CYP17A1 in obese mice increase blood glucose via the glucocorticoid (GC) receptor. GCs can confer hyperglycemia and gluconeogenesis and could explain the positive association between 17-OHOP and fasting glucose in women. However, in men, we saw that 17-OHOP levels were negatively associated with 2hG levels. Among men, higher 17-OHOP levels could improve insulin sensitivity, thus lowering glucose levels. Specific variants in genes coding for CYP17A1 were suggestive of T2D susceptibility. Wang et al showed that polymorphism rs12413409, corresponding to CYP17A1 under-expression, was associated with increased fasting glucose only in men. Hence, the role of the polymorphism in glucose metabolism specific to men could explain our observations. We also observed interactions between 17-OHOP and progesterone on fasting insulin in men. Imbalanced progestogen concentrations can cause aberrant GC receptor signaling due to competitive binding and may thereby contribute to suboptimal insulin levels. Consequently, perturbations in glucose homeostasis may arise. Until now, 17-OHOP and diabetes risk have been implicated only in pregnant women. However, we showed that increased endogenous 17-OHOP could also impact glucose homeostasis later in life among postmenopausal women. Fluctuating sex hormones during the cycle in perimenopausal women could have confounded our results when perimenopausal and postmenopausal women were analyzed together.

In men, E2 was negatively associated with fasting insulin levels and positively with insulin sensitivity in our study. Our observations are consistent with a study by Yan et al where they found that treatment with E2 improves insulin sensitivity in hepatocytes. A Mendelian randomization study by Wang et al found a causative protective role of SHBG against T2D. However, weaker causal estimates of the causative protective role of SHBG compared with those observed from meta-analyses of prospective studies suggest that the observed protective role of SHBG could be confounded, as opposed to direct SHBG action. This is consistent with our results as we saw that the positive associations between E2 and insulin sensitivity were independent of SHBG and typical T2D risk factors. Our results showed persistent positive associations between fE2 and HbA1c in both men and women. fE2 is the portion of E2 that is not bound to SHBG and is free to activate estrogen receptors (ERs). Under normal circumstances, E2 suppresses hepatic gluconeogenesis, potentially mediated through the activation of ERα-phosphoinositide 3-kinase-Akt-Foxo1 signaling. Due to the age-related E2 decline in both men and postmenopausal women, we hypothesize that hepatic gluconeogenesis increases, thereby causing elevated blood glucose and hence increased HbA1c levels over time. Prolonged hyperglycemia can cause oxidative stress in β cells. E2 can prevent acute oxidative injury in β-cells in a hyperglycemic state by suppressing the β-cell translocation gene 2 (BTG2)-p53-Bax pathway. ERα localization in pancreatic β cells shows that E2 can confer protective effects against oxidative stress directly on β cells and additionally in hepatocytes to prevent insulin-deficient diabetes. A meta-analysis showed women undergoing HRT had alterations in metabolic syndrome components, thereby supporting that perturbations in sex hormone levels can impair glucose homeostasis. These observations, together with mechanistic evidence, are consistent and support our results.

Strengths and limitations
To our knowledge, this study is the first population-based study to evaluate the relations between endogenous 17-OHOP and glucose metabolism in both men and women. We have a relatively large sample size for the cross-sectional analyses from a well-characterized population-based study in men and women. This allowed us to adjust for numerous potential confounders. Another strength of this study is the prospective design with OGTT data available at both baseline and follow-up, allowing us to investigate not only the development of clinically diagnosed T2D but also of early derangements in glucose metabolism and newly OGTT-diagnosed T2D. However, this study also has limitations. While we adjusted our results for many established T2D risk factors, we did not have detailed dietary information, and the possibility of residual confounding cannot be precluded. Additionally, in the cross-sectional analyses, we cannot clearly distinguish cause and effect. Also, we could not identify women with polycystic ovarian syndrome (PCOS) in our dataset as the information is unavailable. PCOS symptoms persist even in postmenopausal women and could cause perturbations in sex hormone concentrations and, thus, metabolic processes. Lastly, we could not account for the effects of change in endogenous progestogens and estrogens, as the sex hormones were measured only at baseline.

CONCLUSIONS
Our findings support an inter-relation between endogenous female sex hormones and altered glycemic
metabolism not only in middle-aged and elderly women but also in men. However, future studies should corroborate our findings in both men and women, in well-powered settings, with sufficient follow-up, and investigate directional associations through Mendelian randomization.
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