Optimization of Cellulose-Based Hydrogel Synthesis Using Response Surface Methodology
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Abstract: Synthesis parameters have a significant effect on the properties of cellulose hydrogel. This study aimed to investigate the effects of synthesis parameters, e.g., the cellulose and crosslinkers concentration, on the hydrogels’ swelling ratio under both heating and freezing gelation conditions, respectively. Cellulose hydrogels were prepared from wastepaper by the chemical crosslinking method by using epichlorohydrin ECH as a crosslinker. The effects of the synthesis parameters were compared and optimized by response surface methodology (RSM). Synthesized cellulose-based hydrogels under optimized conditions demonstrated an excellent swelling ratio of around 2800%. The optimum swelling ratio of 2467.72% was achieved from the experiment under the heating gelation condition. Thus the synthesized cellulose hydrogels are promising water-saving materials or controlled-release fertilizer carriers for sustainable horticultural and agricultural applications.
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1. Introduction

Hydrogels are the 3-dimensional crosslinked network structure of hydrophilic polymers with abundant hydrophilic functional groups that can be easily linked with a large number of water molecules. They can retain a large amount of water and swell without dissolving in the water; as a result, hydrogels have high water absorbency [1-4]. Cellulose is one of the favorable precursor materials for the preparation of hydrogel due to its biocompatibility, low cost, renewability, abundance, biodegradability, and non-toxicity [5-7]. Cellulose has been explored by researchers for the fabrication of cellulose-based hydrogel, nanoparticles, and aerogel for various biomedical and technological applications [8-10]. Cellulose-based hydrogels can be prepared from various cellulose-containing agricultural wastes and wastepaper. The utilization of these agricultural cellulosic wastes as the precursor materials for the synthesis of hydrogels enables the conversion of cellulosic wastes into value-added products as an alternative to generating wealth from wastes [11].

Due to the ease of manipulation of their properties and chemical compositions, hydrogels have been extensively studied for application in various fields. One of the most studied applications of hydrogels is in biomedical applications, such as drug delivery [12], wound dressings [13,14], and tissue engineering[15]. Recently, studies reported evaluating cellulose-based hydrogels as a water reservoir and controlled release fertilizer carriers in horticulture and agriculture[16,17].
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Macroscopic properties of the hydrogels can be tailored for targeted applications by manipulating the synthesis parameters [18]. However, proper and precise control of the hydrogel's macroscopic properties only can be achieved by understanding the relationship of the synthesis parameters with the macroscopic properties such as swelling properties. Among the synthesis parameters that can affect the swelling properties of the cellulose-based hydrogel include the concentration of crosslinker, cellulose concentrations, and gelation conditions. In this study, the effects of the concentration of cellulose and the crosslinker (epichlorohydrin, ECH) and gelation conditions (freezing and heating) on the swelling ratio were evaluated and optimized by both experimental and Response Surface Methodology (RSM).

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials and reagents.

The wastepaper was collected from the Faculty of Resource and Science Technology office, Universiti Malaysia Sarawak. Urea was procured from J. T. Baker (Philipsburg, United States of America). Absolute ethanol was supplied by HmbG® Chemicals (Germany). Sodium hydroxide (NaOH) was purchased from QREC (ASIA) (Selangor, Malaysia). Thiourea was supplied by Bendosen. Sodium chlorite (NaClO₂) was procured from ACROS ORGANICS (New Jersey, United States of America) and epichlorohydrin (ECH) from Aldrich (Wisconsin, United States of America). All chemicals were used without further purification. All the chemicals were used without further purification. Ultrapure water (~ 18.2 MΩ.cm, 25°C) was formed from the Water Purifying System (ELGA, Model Ultra Genetic)

2.2. Extraction of the cellulose fibers.

The extraction of the cellulose fibers from the wastepaper was based on the methods previously reported [19, 20]. The wastepaper was cut into small pieces and ground to powder. Then, the sample with a mass of 10 g was soaked in 12 wt.% NaOH solution for 24 hours to remove the ink particles and hemicelluloses [21]. After the cellulose fiber had been pretreated, HCl solution (3 wt%) was added to it to remove the lignin residuals at 80°C for 2 hours, and the cellulose fibers were rinsed with the ultrapure water and dried in an oven until a constant weight of cellulose fibers was obtained [19-21].

2.3. Dissolution and regeneration of cellulose fibers.

Three different masses of isolated cellulose fibers were dissolved in 8:6.5:8 (% w/v) NaOH/thiourea/urea (NTU) and stirred regularly for 15 minutes to obtain cellulose solution with concentrations of 3%, 4%, and 5% (w/v). Then, all the samples were frozen in a freezer at -20°C for 24 hours and after the solid frozen mass had formed, and later the frozen mass was thawed at room temperature to obtain clear cellulose solutions [19].

After all the cellulose fibers had fully dissolved in NTU solvent, the cellulose fibers were regenerated to break down the intermolecular bonds formed during the dissolution process and reform the hydrogen bonds [22]. The cellulose solution was added dropwise into the absolute ethanol, which was stirred continuously at a constant rate with the ratio of 1:2 of cellulose: ethanol. The mixtures were further stirred for another 30 minutes after the cellulose solution was added completely. The mixtures were filtered and washed by the absolute ethanol
and distilled water three times respectively before the regenerated cellulose was dried in the oven with the temperature of 60 °C for 12 hours.

2.4. Preparation of the cellulose hydrogels.

The cellulose hydrogels were prepared using two different methods, namely the freezing and heating methods. (2010) [23]. First, 8:6.5:8 (% w/v) NaOH/thiourea/urea (NTU) were mixed to produce 100 mL of NTU aqueous solution. Then, the different weight of the regenerated cellulose was added into the NTU solution to produce the cellulose with various concentrations. Each of the cellulose solutions was stirred for 15 minutes. The ECH crosslinker with a volume range from 100 µL (2%) to 500µL (10%) was added dropwise into each cellulose solution.

The mixtures were frozen for 20 hours with a constant temperature of -20 °C and thawed at room temperature until the mixtures were turned into solid form in the freezing method to form hydrogels. For the heating gelation method, the mixtures were heated in the water bath at 50°C for 20 hours, and the hydrogels formed were washed with the excess water to remove the NTU solutions.

2.5. Characterization of the cellulose hydrogels.

2.5.1. Scanning Electron Microscope.

The morphology of cellulose hydrogel was observed using a scanning electron microscope (SEM) (JEOL JSM 6390LA). The samples were dropped on stainless steel plates, dried at room temperature, and then coated with a layer of platinum using an Auto Fine Coater (JEOL JFC-1600).

2.5.2. Swelling ratio.

For measuring the swelling ratio, the hydrogel samples were soaked in ultrapure water for a minimum of 24 hours at room temperature. Then, the swollen hydrogel was taken out and gently swabbed with tissue paper before it was weighted. The swollen hydrogel was placed into the oven, dried at 105 °C for at least 12 hours, and was taken out after constant weight was achieved. The swelling ratio was evaluated by using equation (1).

\[
Swelling\ ratio\ (SR\%) = \frac{W_S}{W_D} \times 100\% \tag{1}
\]

in which the symbol of Ws is the weight of the swollen hydrogels, and the symbol of WD is the weight of dried hydrogels.

2.6. Response surface methodology (RSM).

MINITAB software (version 19) of the Response surface methodology (RSM) method was applied to evaluate the effects of cellulose concentration and ECH used on the swelling ratio and the reswelling kinetic of the hydrogels. The cellulose solution and ECH concentration were selected as effective factors, and the swelling ratio was selected as the response.
3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Surface morphology.

Figure 1 (a)-(f) showed the SEM images of cellulose hydrogel synthesized from various concentrations of cellulose solution formed under both freezing and heating methods. All the cellulose hydrogels were observed to be highly porous. For cellulose hydrogel prepared from the highest concentration (5 w/%) of the cellulose solution, the morphology of the resultant cellulose hydrogels was observed to be more compacted and less porous for both heating and freezing gelation methods. Whereas cellulose hydrogel prepared from the lowest cellulose concentration (3 w/v %) exhibited a more porous structure. The morphology of cellulose hydrogels synthesized under the heating gelation method was observed to be more porous.
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3.2. Statistical optimization of the swelling ratio by Response Surface Methodology (RSM).

3.2.1. The comparison of the swelling ratio based on the freezing method and the heating method.

There were 48 runs, and 33 runs were by Minitab 19 software to investigate the effects of the two variables (concentration of cellulose and ECH) under both freezing and heating gelation conditions on the swelling ratio of the cellulose hydrogel. The Pareto plots and the coded coefficient tables in Figure 3 and Table 1 predicted that the concentration of the cellulose solutions and the concentration of ECH have a significant effect on the swelling ratio by showing the p-value of less than 0.05. On the other hand, the p-values of the analysis of variance of the model under both gelation methods were also less than 0.05, which indicated that it is statistically significant. The $R^2$ under both the freezing and heating methods are 88.61% and 90.19%, respectively, and both values are close to each of their adjusted $R^2$ which are 87.25% and 88.38%, respectively. The high $R^2$ value demonstrated that data fitted well in the model. The comparison of the concentration effects of the cellulose and ECH on the swelling ratio of hydrogels under both freezing and heating gelation conditions were shown in contour plots in Figure 2(a) and (b) and surface plots in Figure 4 (a) and (b), respectively.
Both the contour plots in Figure 2(a) and (b) and the surface plots in Figure 4(a) and (b) predicted that the highest swelling ratio of 2250 % could be achieved with 3 (w/v %) of the cellulose and 2.95 % of the ECH under the freezing method and 2800 % with 2.75 (w/v %) of cellulose and 4.5 % of ECH under the heating method.

Experiments were conducted to compare the results obtained with the results predicted using RSM. From the experimental result as presented in Figure 5(a) and (b), the maximum swelling ratios for cellulose hydrogels by the freezing method and the heating method were 2420.93% and 2467.72%, respectively achieved by using cellulose concentration of 2.5 w/v % and 4 % (freezing method); 7% (heating method) of ECH. These experimental results were
observed to be in good agreement with the results predicted from RSM of 2250 % and 2800 %, respectively.
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**Figure 3.** The Parto plots of the swelling ratio for (a) the freezing method for (b) the heating method.

**Table 1.** The coded coefficient tables for (a) the freezing method and (b) the heating method.

| Term                              | Coef  | SE Coef | T-Value | P-Value |
|-----------------------------------|-------|---------|---------|---------|
| a) Constant                       | 14.328| 0.285   | 50.26   | 0.000   |
| Concentration of cellulose        | -2.840| 0.244   | -11.63  | 0.000   |
| Concentration of ECH              | -4.517| 0.298   | -15.14  | 0.000   |
| Concentration of cellulose*Concentration of cellulose | 0.263 | 0.386 | 0.68 | 0.499 |
| Concentration of ECH*Concentration of ECH | 1.628 | 0.500 | 3.26 | 0.002 |
| Concentration of cellulose*Concentration of ECH | 0.976 | 0.421 | 2.32 | 0.025 |

| Term                              | VIF   |
|-----------------------------------|-------|
| a) Constant                       | 1.19  |
| Concentration of cellulose        | 1.34  |
| Concentration of ECH              | 1.02  |
| Concentration of cellulose*Concentration of cellulose | 1.27 |
| Concentration of ECH*Concentration of ECH | 1.30 |
### b) Regression Analysis

| Term                                      | Coef | SE Coef | T-Value | P-Value |
|-------------------------------------------|------|---------|---------|---------|
| Constant                                  | 15.743 | 0.334 | 47.20 | 0.000 |
| Concentration of cellulose                | -4.139 | 0.378 | -10.94 | 0.000 |
| Concentration of ECH                      | -4.755 | 0.508 | -9.37 | 0.000 |
| Concentration of cellulose*Concentration of cellulose | 2.433 | 0.491 | -9.37 | 0.000 |
| Concentration of ECH*Concentration of ECH | 1.610 | 0.683 | 2.36 | 0.026 |
| Concentration of cellulose*Concentration of ECH | 0.959 | 0.624 | 1.54 | 0.136 |

### Term VIF

| Term                                      | VIF |
|-------------------------------------------|-----|
| Constant                                  | 1.89 |
| Concentration of cellulose                | 2.40 |
| Concentration of ECH                      | 1.12 |
| Concentration of cellulose*Concentration of cellulose | 1.80 |
| Concentration of ECH*Concentration of ECH | 2.05 |

---

**Figure 4.** The surface plots of the swelling ratio versus the concentration of cellulose and ECH (a) by the freezing method and (b) by the heating method.
Both the data optimized by RSM and experimental results showed that the swelling ratios were observed to decrease with the increased concentrations of both cellulose solutions under freezing and heating gelation conditions. As the cellulose concentration increased, the hydrogel networks became more compact, thus having limited expansion or stretching as the water molecules diffused into the networks [24,25]. The swelling capacity of the cellulose hydrogels was also observed to be restricted as a higher amount of ECH crosslinker was used. This was due to the cellulose polymer networks becoming more rigid due to a higher degree of crosslinking, and thus the hydrogel networks have limited expansion [26-28].
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**Figure 5.** The experimental data of swelling ratio of cellulose hydrogel (a) by the freezing method and (b) by the heating method.

However, both the optimization by RSM (Figure 2 and Figure 4) and experimental results (Figure 5) showed that the heating gelation condition had produced cellulose hydrogel
with a higher swelling property as compared to the freezing method. This is because the relatively denser and more rigid hydrogel structure prepared by the freezing method, as shown in SEM images (Figure 1 (d,e and f)), has limited penetration or absorbance of water into its network. Meanwhile, large pores observed in the hydrogel by the heating gelation method provided flexibility for the cellulose molecules to expand and allowed more penetration of water molecules [27, 29, 31]. At elevated temperatures, the heat provided kinetic energy to increase the mobility of cellulose polymer chains and caused the chains to entangle into a disordered structure randomly. Whereas for the freezing gelation method, low temperature caused slow molecular movement of polymer chains and resulted in forming a more ordered and denser structure [30, 32]. So, the heating gelation method is more favorable for producing cellulose hydrogels with more porous morphology and a better swelling ratio.

4. Conclusions

Under optimum conditions, cellulose hydrogels with excellent swelling ratios of 2800% have been successfully prepared from the cellulose fibers isolated from wastepapers. The optimum conditions predicted from RSM were 2.75 (w/v%) of cellulose solution, 4.5% of ECH under heating gelation conditions. Both the concentrations of the cellulose and the crosslinkers (ECH) and the gelation conditions have profound effects on the swelling ratios of the cellulose hydrogels. As these cellulose hydrogels demonstrate great water absorbency and are eco-friendly, biodegradable in nature, thus they hold great promise to be used as water reservoirs or controlled-release fertilizer carriers in sustainable horticulture and agriculture applications.
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