Interacting bosons in one dimension and Luttinger liquid theory
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Harmonically trapped ultra-cold atoms and $^4$He in nanopores provide new experimental realizations of bosons in one dimension, motivating the search for a more complete theoretical understanding of their low energy properties. Worm algorithm path integral quantum Monte Carlo results for interacting bosons restricted to the one dimensional continuum are compared to the finite temperature and system size predictions of Luttinger liquid theory. For large system sizes at low temperature, excellent agreement is obtained after including the leading irrelevant interactions in the Hamiltonian which are determined explicitly.

Luttinger liquid (LL) theory [1] provides a universal description of interacting fermions or bosons at sufficiently low energies in one dimension (1D). Recently, exciting new possibilities for experimental realizations of Luttinger liquids have appeared, involving ultra-cold atoms in cigar-shaped traps [2], screw dislocations in solid $^4$He [3] and helium-4 confined to flow in nanopores [4]. In these systems, a translationally invariant model of interacting bosons in free space may be a good starting point. While there have been numerous numerical studies of 1D fermion models on lattices using exact diagonalization, Monte Carlo and Density Matrix Renormalization Group methods, numerical results on free space interacting bosons at non-zero temperature, $T$, are much rarer. Exact studies in the continuum may provide new insights, specifically on issues of dimensional crossover in nanopores. Zero temperature variational Monte Carlo calculations for the 1D case were reported in Ref. [5] and finite $T$ worm algorithm path integral Monte Carlo (WA-PIMC) simulations for a screw dislocation by Boninsegni et al. [3] have claimed the observation of LL behavior.

In order to systematically explore the regime of energies and pore lengths where LL behavior may occur we have performed WA-PIMC simulations on the $N$-particle Hamiltonian:

$$H = -\frac{1}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \nabla_i^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} V(|\vec{r}_i - \vec{r}_j|)$$

in 1D with periodic boundary conditions on an interval of length $L$ in angstroms and we will work in units where $h = k_B = 1$. The WA-PIMC method, recently introduced by Boninsegni et al. [6] extends the original PIMC algorithm of Ceperley [7] to include configurations of the single particle Matsubara Green function, allowing for intermediate particle trajectories which are not periodic in imaginary time. The inclusion of such trajectories yields an efficient and robust grand canonical quantum Monte Carlo (QMC) technique that accurately incorporate complete quantum statistics and provides exact and unbiased estimations of many physical observables at finite temperature. In the WA-PIMC simulations performed here, the short range repulsive interaction $V(r) = (g/\sqrt{\pi a})e^{-r^2/4a^2}$ is chosen for convenience to be Gaussian, with integrated strength $2g$ and spatial extent $a$. The numerical values of all microscopic parameters were optimized to ensure an experimentally relevant and efficient grand canonical simulation at low energies where the temperature is much smaller than both the kinetic ($E_K/N$) and potential ($E_V/N$) energy per particle. To obtain $E_K/N \sim E_V/N \sim 5 \text{ K}$ we have fixed the chemical potential at $\mu = 24 \text{ K}$ with $2g = 20 \text{ K}$ and the interaction width $\alpha \approx 0.03 \text{ A}$ to be much less than the resulting inter-particle separation, $1/\rho_0 \approx 0.67 \text{ A}$ for particles of mass $m = 0.25 \text{ A}^{-2}\text{K}^{-1}$.

Luttinger liquid theory uses a low energy effective harmonic Hamiltonian to capture the quantum hydrodynamics of a microscopic 1D system. This is accomplished in terms of two bosonic fields, $\theta(x)$ and $\phi(x)$ representing the density and phase oscillations of a particle field operator

$$H_{LL} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^L dx \left[ v_J (\partial_x \phi)^2 + v_N (\partial_x \theta)^2 \right],$$

where the two velocities $v_J$ and $v_N$ are directly related to the microscopic details of the underlying high energy model. If the system of interest exhibits Galilean invariance, $v_J = \pi \rho_0 / m$ and $v_N = 1/(\pi \rho_0 \kappa)$ where $\rho_0 = N_0 / L$ is the mean number density and $\kappa$ is the adiabatic compressibility in the limit $L \rightarrow \infty$, $T \rightarrow 0$ [1].

In this study we find that that the mean number of particles at finite temperature $\langle N \rangle$ exhibits corrections to scaling that are not captured by Eq. (2). Instead, through a detailed analysis of the super and normal fluid components of the one dimensional repulsive Bose gas, we argue that the observed deviations from scaling result from higher order “irrelevant” operators that should be included in the low energy effective Hamiltonian.

Although the QMC performed here allows access to a large number of properties of the microscopic system, in order to study the applicability of the effective model in Eq. (2) it will be enough to focus on the probability distributions for number and phase fluctuations. Within the LL theory, these are most easily derived by performing a mode expansion of $\theta(x)$ and $\phi(x)$ for periodic boundary conditions indexed by wavevector $q = 2\pi n / L$ (see Ref. [1]). The grand partition function can then be writ-
ten as

\[ Z = e^{-\epsilon_0 L/T} e^{\pi v/6LT} \sum_N e^{-\pi v N^2/2LT + \mu N/T} \times \sum_J e^{-\pi v_J J^2/2LT} \prod_{n \neq 0} \left(1 - e^{-2\pi v|n|/LT}\right)^{-1} \]

where \( J \) is an even integer indexing topological excitations (winding) of the phase field \( \phi \), \( \epsilon_0 \) is the non-universal ground state energy per unit length and \( v \) is the phonon velocity given by the algebraic mean of \( v_J \) and \( v_N \): \( v = \sqrt{v_J v_N} \). By tracing out winding and phonon modes, which cannot affect the density, we immediately arrive at an expression for the particle number probability distribution

\[ P(N) = \frac{e^{-\frac{\pi v}{2L} (N - N_0)^2}}{\theta_3 (0, e^{-\pi v N^2/2LT})}. \]

where \( \theta_3(z,q) \) is a Jacobi Theta function of the third kind. An immediate consequence of this result is that LL theory predicts that the average number of particles exhibits no temperature dependence, \( \langle N - N_0 \rangle = 0 \) and it is on this red herring that we will focus our attention below. An equivalent expression for \( P(J) \) can be derived in the same manner. However, it will be more useful to work with a dual coordinate for \( J \) known as the winding number \( W \) which is easily measured in the QMC [8] and is related to the wrapping of imaginary time particle trajectories around the physical boundaries of the sample

\[ P(W) = \frac{e^{-\frac{\pi v}{2L} W^2}}{\theta_3 (0, e^{-\pi v W^2/2v_J})}. \]

The strange inverse Boltzmann form of this distribution can be understood by noting that in one dimension, the superfluid density is proportional to the second moment of the winding number distribution [8] and it is only when fluctuations of the phase field \( \phi \) are suppressed (phase coherence with \( \langle J \rangle \sim 0 \)) that the system will acquire a finite superfluid response. As a consequence of Eq. (5), the superfluid fraction will be a pure scaling function of \( v_J/LT \) given by [9]

\[ \frac{\rho_s}{\rho} = 1 - \frac{\pi v_J}{LT} \frac{\theta_3''(0, e^{-2\pi v_J/LT})}{\theta_3 (0, e^{-2\pi v_J/LT})} \]

where \( \theta_3''(z,q) \equiv \partial^2 \theta_3(z,q) \).

The above theoretical predictions can be verified by investigating the particle and winding number probability distributions measured in the QMC for a range of temperatures and system sizes. It is crucial to recognize that the parameters of LL theory, \( v_J \) and \( v_N \), have no temperature or finite size dependence and depend only on the microscopic details of the high energy theory in Eq. (1). Both \( P(N) \) and \( P(W) \) are scaling functions of \( LT/v_J, N \), and fits of numerical data for an individual system size at fixed temperature must produce values of \( v_J \) and \( v_N \) that work equally well at all \( L \) and \( T \) provided the system is in a regime where the LL theory of Eq. (2) is applicable. Figs. 1 and 2 present a summary of our QMC data for \( L = 25 - 202 \) Å and \( T = 0.2 - 0.5 \) K. The insets in the upper left hand corners of these figures show the result of fitting to Eqs. (4) and (5) yielding \( v_J = 18.88(2) \) ÅK and \( v_N = 7.7(3) \) ÅK which combine to give a Luttinger parameter of \( K = \sqrt{v_N/v_J} = 0.64(4) \) where the number in brackets gives the uncertainty in the final digit. As mentioned earlier, the presence of Galilean
invariance relates $v_J$ and $\rho_0$ and we find the zero temperature equilibrium density to be $\rho_0 = 1.5028(2) \, \text{Å}^{-1}$.

The main panel in both plots shows data collapse over all system sizes and temperatures measured that appears to be consistent with the scaling predictions of LL theory. However, a closer look at the average number of particles as a function of temperature for $L = 202 \, \text{Å}$ (Fig. 1 upper right inset) clearly shows that as the temperature is increased at fixed chemical potential, the mean particle number is decreasing, in stark contradiction with the prediction of Eq. (4). Conversely, scaling in the winding number sector shows no such deviations and the computed superfluid fraction $\rho_s/\rho$ plotted as a function of the dimensionless scaling variable $LT/v_J$ (Fig. 2 upper right inset) is indistinguishable from the LL prediction of Eq. (6).

At sufficiently low $T$ and large $L$, corrections to scaling should be described by the leading irrelevant interactions added to the Hamiltonian of Eq. (2). Recently, such corrections were shown to lead to qualitative modifications of the spectral function for fermions [10]. Assuming that all interactions are short range, correcting terms come from an expansion of the kinetic energy in Eq. (1) and are related to band curvature effects. The lowest order correction to the LL Hamiltonian containing three derivatives, consists of two operators

$$H' = \frac{1}{2\pi^2 \rho_0} \int_0^L dx \left[ v_J (\partial_x \phi)^2 \partial_x \theta + \lambda v_N (\partial_x \theta)^3 \right]$$

where the coefficient of the first term is constrained by Galilean invariance. The form of $H'$ could also have been inferred on phenomenological grounds alone, as these two terms are the only dimension three operators that are allowed by parity under which $\theta \to -\theta$, $\phi \to \phi$ and $x \to -x$. The dimensionless factor $\lambda$ in the second coefficient can be determined [11] by noting that in the ground state, if we shift the chemical potential by an infinitesimal amount $\mu \to \mu + \delta \mu$ there will be a corresponding shift in the density $\rho_0 \to \rho_0 + \delta \rho_0$ governed by the thermodynamic relation $\delta \rho_0 = \rho_0^2 \delta \mu$. Keeping terms to $O(\delta \mu)$ in an expansion of the ground state Gibbs free energy for $H_{LL} + H'$ we find $\lambda = (\pi \rho_0 / 3) \delta \rho_N = (\rho_0 / 3) \delta \mu (\rho_0^2 \kappa)^{-1}$.

The influence of the corrections on thermodynamic quantities can be most easily understood by again performing a mode expansion of the bosonic field $\theta$ and $\phi$. Gradients of $\theta$ are related to fluctuations of the particle number away from its mean value $\partial_x \theta \sim N - N_0$ and thus the addition of linear and cubic terms will cause both a shift and skew in the particle number probability distribution $P(N)$ in Eq. (4) without changing its width. On the other hand, the only corrections at this order to the winding number distribution $P(W)$ would have to come from the first term in Eq. (7), but due to the linear power of $\partial_x \theta$ which accompanies it as a multiplicative factor, any trace over the number of particles when computing the grand partition function would cause its effects to average to zero. This is exactly the qualitative behavior we observed from the analysis of our numerical results.

In order to quantify these arguments, we may calculate the deviation in the mean number of particles $N - N_0$ in a perturbative expansion of $H_{LL} + H'$ in the inverse system size $1/L$ [12]. The resulting corrected deviation in the mean number of particles is given by

$$\langle N - N_0 \rangle = -\frac{1}{N_0} \Phi_N \left( \frac{LT}{v_N}, \frac{LT}{v_J}, \lambda \right)$$

where

$$\Phi_N (x, y, \lambda) = \frac{2x}{\pi} \left\{ \lambda \left[ (x \partial_x \ln \theta_3 \left( 0, e^{-\pi/2x} \right))^2 ight. 
+ x^2 \partial_x^2 \ln \theta_3 \left( 0, e^{-\pi/2x} \right) + 2x \partial_x \ln \theta_3 \left( 0, e^{-\pi/2x} \right) \right] 
- \frac{1}{2} (3\lambda + 1) (y \partial_x + x \partial_y) \ln \eta (ixy) 
- y \partial_y \ln \theta_3 \left( 0, e^{-\pi/2y} \right) x \partial_x \ln \theta_3 \left( 0, e^{-\pi/2x} \right) \right\}$$

is a universal scaling function with $\eta(ix)$ being the Dedekind eta function. This rather complicated looking expression has a simple asymptotic form in the thermodynamic limit where $LT/v_J, N \to \infty$

$$\Phi_N \left( \frac{LT}{v_N}, \frac{LT}{v_J}, \lambda \right) \to \frac{K}{12} (3\lambda + 1) \left( \frac{LT}{v_N} \right)^2$$

and $K = \sqrt{v_N/v_J}$ is the Luttinger liquid parameter. It is now immediately clear that when Eq. (10) is combined with Eq. (8) a temperature dependent correction to the mean density of particles will persist, even in the thermodynamic limit

$$\langle \rho - \rho_0 \rangle \to -\frac{K}{12\rho_0 v_N} (3\lambda + 1) T^2.$$  

Indeed, from simple thermodynamic arguments one expects $\rho = -(1/L) \partial_L G$ where $G$, the Gibbs free energy, can be easily calculated for a harmonic Luttinger liquid from Eq. (3) to be $G = e_0 L - \mu \rho_0 L - (\pi L / 6v) T^2$ in the limit $LT/v \to \infty$. When performing the partial derivative of $G$ with respect to the chemical potential we recover the asymptotic value in Eq. (11).

We are now in a position to test how well the extended Luttinger liquid Hamiltonian $H_{LL} + H'$ captures these effects by comparing the scaling function $\Phi_N$ with our numerical data. The result is shown in Fig. 3 where we have extracted the value of $\lambda = 0.19(4)$ by re-fitting a perturbatively corrected number probability distribution to the QMC results and used the previously extracted values of $v_{J,N}$. The agreement between the numerical QMC data (symbols) and the prediction of Eq. (9) (solid line) is found to be statistically significant with a reduced maximum likelihood estimator of $\chi^2 \simeq 0.9$. Large uncertainties are unavoidable as there are inherent stochastic
we find the Monte Carlo are fully consistent with the predictions of the single body density matrix computed in Ansatz [5]. Unbiased measurements of the pair correlation functions that are not accessible via Bethe Ansatz [14] where the density dependence of the superfluid density can be fully understood in terms of the low energy effective harmonic Luttinger liquid theory provided the temperature is sufficiently small when compared to both the kinetic and potential energy per particle and the system is large enough to overcome the effects of any finite size gaps. However, we have argued the temperature dependence of the mean particle density, a quantity that can be easily measured in experiments on low dimensional bosonic systems, exhibits corrections to scaling that can only be adequately accounted for by extending the theory to include leading order irrelevant operators.
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In conclusion, we have performed large scale grand canonical worm algorithm path integral Monte Carlo simulations of one dimensional repulsive soft-core bosons in the continuum at fixed chemical potential. We have shown that the finite size and temperature scaling behavior of the superfluid density can be fully understood in terms of the low energy effective harmonic Luttinger liquid theory provided the temperature is sufficiently small when compared to both the kinetic and potential energy per particle and the system is large enough to overcome the effects of any finite size gaps. However, we have argued the temperature dependence of the mean particle density, a quantity that can be easily measured in experiments on low dimensional bosonic systems, exhibits corrections to scaling that can only be adequately accounted for by extending the theory to include leading order irrelevant operators.
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FIG. 3: (Color online) QMC data (symbols) combined with predictions from the harmonic (dotted line) and corrected anharmonic (solid line) Luttinger liquid theory for the universal scaling function $\phi_N$. The dashed line shows the asymptotic result in the thermodynamic limit from Eq. (10).

---

errors in the average density of particles measured in the Monte Carlo that are magnified on this scale. Poorer agreement at low temperatures is a reflection of the computational difficulty of performing ergodic numerical simulations for nearly integrable systems [13].

It is well known that an analytical solution of the delta-function interacting Bose gas can be obtained via Bethe Ansatz (BA) [14] where the density dependence of the phonon velocity $v$ can be extracted from an analysis of the linear coefficient of the long wavelength dispersion relation [15]. It seems prudent to place our numerical data in this context and for the mass, interaction strength and chemical potential used in the simulations, the $T \to 0$, $L \to \infty$ solution gives a Luttinger parameter of $K_{BA} \cong 0.6299$ and cubic operator coefficient $\lambda_{BA} \cong 0.1272$. The numbers extracted here of $K = 0.64(4)$ and $\lambda = 0.19(4)$ agree relatively well within error-bars, but their systematically larger values are related to the finite interaction width $a$ employed in the QMC. This trend can be quantified by performing the simulation for increasingly long-range interactions (although still requiring that $a\rho a \ll 1$) and for $a\rho a \approx 0.06$ we find $K = 0.75(2)$ pushing us towards a regime with enhanced charge density wave type order.

In addition to allowing for the study of finite range interactions, Monte Carlo methods can also provide details on correlation functions that are not accessible via Bethe Ansatz [5]. Unbiased measurements of the pair correlation function and single body density matrix computed in the Monte Carlo are fully consistent with the predictions of LL theory and will be reported on elsewhere [9].
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