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BACKGROUND: The Predicting Bleeding Complications in Patients Undergoing Stent Implantation and Subsequent Dual Antiplatelet Therapy (PRECISE-DAPT) score has been shown to predict out-of-hospital major bleeding after myocardial infarction treated with percutaneous coronary intervention and dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT). However, large validation studies have been scarce and the discriminative ability for patients with a preexisting bleeding risk factor (elderly, underweight, women, anemia, kidney dysfunction, or cancer) in a real-world setting is unknown.

METHODS AND RESULTS: Patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention for myocardial infarction between 2008 and 2017 were included from the SWEDHEART (Swedish Web System for Enhancement of Evidence-Based Care in Heart Disease Evaluated According to Recommended Therapies) registry (n=66,295). The predictive value of the PRECISE-DAPT score for rehospitalization with major bleeding during dual antiplatelet therapy was evaluated using receiver operating characteristic analyses. A high PRECISE-DAPT score (≥25; n=13,894) was associated with increased risk of major bleeding (3.9% versus 1.8%; hazard ratio [HR], 2.2; 95% CI, 2.0–2.5; P<0.001) compared with a non-high score (<25; n=52,401). The score demonstrated a c-statistic of 0.64 (95% CI, 0.63–0.66). The discriminative ability of the score to further stratify bleeding risk in patients with preexisting bleeding risk factors was poor, especially in patients who are elderly (c-statistic=0.57; 95% CI, 0.55–0.60) or underweight (c-statistic=0.56; 95% CI, 0.51–0.61), for whom a non-high PRECISE-DAPT score was associated with similar bleeding risk as a high PRECISE-DAPT score in the general myocardial infarction population.

CONCLUSIONS: In this nationwide population-based study, the PRECISE-DAPT score performed moderately in the general myocardial infarction population and poorly in patients with preexisting bleeding risk factors, where its usefulness seems limited.
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Dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT) with aspirin and potent P2Y12 inhibition has improved ischemic outcomes for patients with myocardial infarction (MI) undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) with stent implantation.1,2 However, this treatment strategy increases the risk of major bleeding, which has a substantial impact on mortality and is related to the DAPT duration.3,4 An extended course of DAPT beyond the standard 12 months has been shown to further reduce ischemic events at the expense of major bleeding5,6 and is considered an option for patients at high ischemic risk and low bleeding risk.7 Inversely, in patients with MI at high risk of bleeding, discontinuation of DAPT after 3 or 6 months might be preferable.5–10 To determine the optimal DAPT duration, a patient-tailored approach based on ischemic versus bleeding risk is recommended.7 Existing risk scores to calculate bleeding risk are designed for separate time windows, such as in hospital,11–14 within 1 month,15 or beyond 12 months after the index event.16 The Predicting Bleeding Complications in Patients Undergoing Stent Implantation and Subsequent Dual Antiplatelet Therapy (PRECISE-DAPT) score, on the other hand, was developed to predict bleeding rates at 12 months and has demonstrated a potential to identify patients suitable for a short DAPT strategy.17 The
original score consists of 5 variables (age, creatinine clearance, hemoglobin, previous bleeding, and white blood cell count), but a simplified version with 4 variables (excluding white blood cell count) has shown similar qualities. The 5-item score has been validated in several studies but not the 4-item version, and neither in a large nationwide population of real-world patients with MI. Furthermore, we hypothesized that the use of the PRECISE-DAPT score would provide little or no additional value in patients with preexisting risk factors for bleeding. Therefore, the aim of this study was to investigate the applicability of the 4-item PRECISE-DAPT score in a large national registry of patients with MI undergoing PCI with following DAPT treatment as well as in patients with preexisting risk factors for bleeding (advanced age, underweight, women, anemia, kidney dysfunction, or cancer).

**CLINICAL PERSPECTIVE**

**What Is New?**
- In this nationwide population-based study, the Predicting Bleeding Complications in Patients Undergoing Stent Implantation and Subsequent Dual Antiplatelet Therapy (PRECISE-DAPT) score showed a moderate ability of predictingrehospitalization with major bleeding in the general population with myocardial infarction and performed poorly in patients with preexisting bleeding risk factors, especially in patients who are elderly or underweight.
- In patients with preexisting bleeding risk factors, those with a non-high PRECISE-DAPT score (<25) had a risk of major bleeding that was comparable to that of patients with a high PRECISE-DAPT score (≥25) in the general population with myocardial infarction.

**What Are the Clinical Implications?**
- The usefulness of the PRECISE-DAPT score seems to be low in patients with myocardial infarction and preexisting risk factors for bleeding.

**METHODS**

**Study Population**
The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request. Data were obtained from the SWEDHEART (Swedish Web System for Enhancement of Evidence-Based Care in Heart Disease Evaluated According to Recommended Therapies) registry on patients (≥18 years) undergoing PCI for ST-segment–elevation MI or non-ST-segment–elevation MI between January 2008 and December 2017 at 1 of the 30 PCI centers in Sweden (n=93,613; Figure 1). We linked the SWEDHEART registry to the Swedish National Patient Registry and the Swedish Prescribed Drugs Registry, to retrieve data on International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision (ICD-10), codes from electronic healthcare records and on prescribed drugs dispensed from any pharmacy in Sweden. Patients who were on treatment with oral anticoagulants at any time during the past 6 months before or 12 months after the index event were excluded (n=11,016). Furthermore, we excluded patients who were not prescribed DAPT (n=3,108), consisting of aspirin in addition to a P2Y12 inhibitor (clopidogrel, prasugrel, or ticagrelor) post-PCI, or who had missing values for DAPT prescription status (n=3,991). Patients with missing values for any of the components of the 4-item PRECISE-DAPT score (age, creatinine clearance, hemoglobin, and previous bleeding) were also excluded (n=4,481). Data on prescribed DAPT at discharge were collected from SWEDHEART, but only patients with a recorded dispensation in the Swedish Prescribed Drugs Registry within 3 months after the index event were included in further analyses (n=66,295), to take patient adherence into account. Furthermore, as data on drug dispensations were available for predefined time intervals (the first 3 months, between 3 and 6 months, and between 6 and 12 months), patients were considered to have continued DAPT for a total of 3, 6, or 12 months or longer. It was assumed that patients who received dispensations of aspirin and P2Y12 inhibitors at pharmacy were compliant to prescribed medications. The ethical
committee of Lund University approved the study (2015/297).

### Bleeding Definition

Major bleeding events were defined as bleeding requiring hospitalization with an ICD-10 code of cerebral, gastrointestinal, genitourinary, airway, eye, or ear bleeding, anemia after acute bleeding or iron deficiency anemia secondary to blood loss, or bleeding related to surgical or medical intervention (Table S1). To maximize the sensitivity of our analysis, bleeding events were included regardless of whether they were recorded as the main diagnosis or a secondary diagnosis during rehospitalization. Maximum follow-up was 12 months after the index event. Bleeding events happening before day 7 after the PCI procedure were censored, as early bleedings are likely to be a result of the invasive procedure rather than DAPT. We also censored bleedings occurring after discontinuation of DAPT.

### Statistical Analysis

Patient characteristics were compared using the chi-square test or the independent samples t test. To compute the 4-item PRECISE-DAPT score, hazard ratios (HR) for its variables, as reported in the appendix of the PRECISE-DAPT derivation study, were used to calculate the corresponding beta coefficients. The sums of truncated predictor variables times their respective beta coefficient were scaled and rounded to an integer between 0 and 100. The variable for creatinine clearance, used to determine the PRECISE-DAPT score, was computed using the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration formula. Kaplan-Meier event rates for major bleeding were estimated for patients with high (≥25) or non-high PRECISE-DAPT score (<25) and compared with the log-rank test as well as with univariable Cox regression. The performance of the PRECISE-DAPT score to predict major bleeding was tested in receiver operating characteristic analyses with 95% CI for the c-statistic. As a sensitivity analysis, patients with missing values for creatinine clearance and hemoglobin were included in the study population and multiple imputation was performed for missing values using 10 imputations and 10 iterations for every imputation. The score was further analyzed among several traditional high bleeding risk subgroups: elderly (≥75 years), underweight (<60 kg), women, patients with anemia (hemoglobin <120 g/L for women, <130 g/L for men), patients with kidney dysfunction (creatinine clearance <60 mL/min per 1.73 m²), and patients with cancer (within 3 years). Furthermore, univariable and multivariable Cox regression was used to identify baseline patient characteristics that were associated with major bleeding or stent thrombosis. The risk of stent thrombosis was assessed during the first year after DAPT discontinuation using DAPT discontinuation as time point zero. Variables with a \( P < 0.2 \) in the univariable analyses were included in the multivariable models. Analyses were done using IBM SPSS (version 25) or Stata (version 15.1). A 2-tailed \( P < 0.05 \) was considered to indicate statistical significance.

### RESULTS

#### Patient Characteristics

In the 66,295 patients included in the study, the median PRECISE-DAPT score was 14 (interquartile range 7–23; Figure 2A). Patients with a high PRECISE-DAPT score (≥25; n=13,894) were considerably older (mean...
78 versus 63 years), had lower body weight, were more often women, and had higher frequencies of relevant comorbidities, compared with patients with non-high PRECISE-DAPT score (<25; n=52,401; all P<0.001; Table 1). Patients with a high PRECISE-DAPT score were more often treated with cardiovascular medications on admission and were less frequently prescribed ticagrelor or prasugrel as well as other evidence-based secondary preventive medications on discharge, compared with patients with a non-high PRECISE-DAPT score (Table 1). Furthermore, radial artery access and drug-eluting stents were less commonly used in patients with a high PRECISE-DAPT score.

Score Performance and Outcomes in the Whole Study Population

The median follow-up was 365 days and the median time to major bleeding was 77 days (interquartile range 34–188). Patients with a high PRECISE-DAPT score (≥25; n=13,894) were at higher absolute risk of major bleeding during follow-up (3.9% versus 1.8%, absolute risk difference [ARD]=2.1 percentage points; HR, 2.2; 95% CI, 2.0–2.5; P<0.001) compared with patients with a non-high PRECISE-DAPT score (<25; n=52,401; Table 2; Figure 2D). Patients were consistently separated according to their absolute bleeding risk also when stratified into 4 risk categories: very low (1.2%), low (1.9%), moderate (2.7%), or high (3.9%) risk (P<0.001; Figure 2B). The comparison between high and non-high PRECISE-DAPT score showed coherent results when examining different bleeding sites, including cerebral (0.3% versus 0.1%), gastrointestinal (2.0% versus 0.8%), genitourinary (0.8% versus 0.4%), or other (1.2% versus 0.6%; all P<0.001). Furthermore, a receiver operating characteristic analysis yielded a c-statistic of 0.64 (95% CI, 0.63–0.66; Figure 2C). Results were unchanged when major bleeding events before
### Table 1. Patient Characteristics Stratified by Non-High (<25) or High (≥25) PRECISE-DAPT Score in 66,295 Patients With Myocardial Infarction Treated With PCI and Subsequent Dual Antiplatelet Therapy

| Characteristic                                      | Non-high PRECISE-DAPT (<25) | High PRECISE-DAPT (≥25) | P value | Missing or unknown n (%) |
|-----------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|---------|--------------------------|
| Age, y, mean±SD                                     | 63±10                      | 78±9                   | <0.001  | 0 (0.0)                  |
| Age ≥75 y n (%)                                     | 7150 (13.6)                | 10,304 (74.2)          | <0.001  | 11,705 (17.7)            |
| Body mass index, mean±SD                           | 27.5±4.4                   | 26.4±4.4               | <0.001  | 8647 (13.0)              |
| Body weight <60 kg, n (%)                           | 1921 (4.2)                 | 1378 (11.3)            | <0.001  | 6166 (4.0)               |
| Women, n (%)                                        | 12,397 (23.7)              | 6116 (4.0)             | <0.001  | 0 (0.0)                  |
| ST-segment–elevation myocardial infarction, n (%)   | 24,528 (46.8)              | 6315 (45.5)            | 0.006   | 0 (0.0)                  |
| Hypertension, n (%)                                 | 22,782 (43.5)              | 9235 (66.5)            | <0.001  | 909 (1.4)                |
| Hyperlipidemia, n (%)                               | 15,010 (28.6)              | 5574 (40.1)            | <0.001  | 1217 (1.8)               |
| Current smoking, n (%)                              | 15,487 (29.6)              | 1645 (11.8)            | <0.001  | 3410 (5.1)               |
| Diabetes, n (%)                                     | 7532 (14.4)                | 3164 (22.8)            | <0.001  | 373 (0.6)                |
| Diabetes with insulin therapy, n (%)                | 3024 (5.8)                 | 1643 (11.8)            | <0.001  | 451 (0.7)                |
| Peripheral artery disease, n (%)                   | 976 (1.9)                  | 963 (6.9)              | <0.001  | 0 (0.0)                  |
| Heart failure, n (%)                                | 878 (1.7)                  | 1329 (8.6)             | <0.001  | 0 (0.0)                  |
| Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, n (%)        | 2243 (4.3)                 | 1145 (8.2)             | <0.001  | 0 (0.0)                  |
| Cancer within 3 y, n (%)                            | 694 (1.3)                  | 636 (4.6)              | <0.001  | 0 (0.0)                  |
| Dementia, n (%)                                     | 93 (0.2)                   | 98 (0.7)               | <0.001  | 0 (0.0)                  |
| Previous myocardial infarction, n (%)               | 6050 (11.5)                | 3268 (23.5)            | <0.001  | 1086 (1.6)               |
| Previous PCI, n (%)                                 | 4650 (8.9)                 | 1964 (14.1)            | <0.001  | 21 (0.0)                 |
| Previous coronary artery bypass graft, n (%)        | 2000 (3.8)                 | 1152 (8.3)             | <0.001  | 17 (0.0)                 |
| Previous stroke, n (%)                              | 1361 (2.6)                 | 1756 (12.6)            | <0.001  | 0 (0.0)                  |
| Previous bleeding, n (%)                            | 0 (0.0)                    | 2468 (17.8)            | <0.001  | 0 (0.0)                  |
| Hemoglobin, mean±SD                                 | 144.2±13.4                 | 129.5±18.0             | <0.001  | 0 (0.0)                  |
| Anemia, n (%)                                       | 4579 (8.7)                 | 5268 (37.9)            | <0.001  | 0 (0.0)                  |
| Creatinine clearance, mean±SD                       | 86.3±14.6                  | 56.9±19.4              | <0.001  | 0 (0.0)                  |
| Kidney dysfunction, n (%)                           | 2354 (4.5)                 | 8245 (59.3)            | <0.001  | 0 (0.0)                  |
| Radial access, n (%)                                | 38,468 (73.4)              | 9242 (66.5)            | <0.001  | 0 (0.0)                  |
| Bifurcation lesion, n (%)                           | 6030 (11.5)                | 1483 (10.7)            | 0.006   | 0 (0.0)                  |
| Complex lesion, n (%)                               | 9203 (17.6)                | 2852 (20.5)            | <0.001  | 0 (0.0)                  |
| Drug-eluting stent                                  | 33,383 (60.9)              | 7850 (63.8)            | <0.001  | 5624 (8.5)               |
| Stent length (mm), mean±SD                          | 19.9±7.0                   | 19.8±7.0               | <0.001  | 5624 (8.5)               |
| Admission medications, n (%)                       | Aspirin 10,645 (20.3)      | 5956 (42.9)            | <0.001  | 695 (1.0)                |
|                                                    | P2Y12 inhibitors           |                        | <0.001  | 679 (1.0)                |
|                                                    | Clopidogrel 12,31 (2.4)     | 822 (5.9)              | <0.001  | 0 (0.0)                  |
|                                                    | Ticagrelor 237 (0.5)        | 125 (0.9)              | <0.001  | 652 (0.9)                |
|                                                    | Prasugrel 12 (0.0)          | 7 (0.0)                | <0.001  | 652 (0.9)                |
|                                                    | ACEi/ARB 13,718 (26.2)     | 5727 (41.3)            | <0.001  | 887 (1.3)                |
|                                                    | β-blockers 11,113 (21.2)    | 5751 (41.4)            | <0.001  | 913 (1.4)                |
|                                                    | Statins 10,654 (20.4)       | 4238 (30.5)            | <0.001  | 707 (1.1)                |
| Periprocedural medications, n (%)                  | Aspirin 51,726 (98.7)       | 13,609 (98.4)          | 0.002   | 3 (0.0)                  |
|                                                    | Clopidogrel 25,494 (48.7)   | 7262 (52.3)            | <0.001  | 3 (0.0)                  |
|                                                    | Ticagrelor 25,784 (49.2)    | 6088 (43.8)            | <0.001  | 0 (0.0)                  |
|                                                    | Prasugrel 2178 (4.2)        | 431 (3.1)              | <0.001  | 0 (0.0)                  |

(Continued)
day 7 after the PCI procedure also were taken into account (c-statistic=0.64; 95% CI, 0.62–0.65), as well as when analyzing multiply imputed data (Table S2). Using a cutoff at 25 score points to categorize the PRECISE-DAPT score into a high (≥25) or non-high (<25) score represents a positive predictive value for major bleeding of 3.8% and a negative predictive value of 98.3%. Furthermore, the positive likelihood ratio at this cutoff was 1.8, and the negative likelihood ratio 0.8. Applying this to the pretest probability of major bleeding (2.1%; probability of major bleeding in the whole study population), produces a positive (PRECISE-DAPT ≥25) post-test probability of 3.8% and a negative (PRECISE-DAPT <25) posttest probability of 1.7%.

High Bleeding Risk Subgroups

A high PRECISE-DAPT score was associated with a statistically significant higher absolute risk of major bleeding for elderly patients (3.8% versus 3.0%, ARD, 0.8 percentage points; \(P=0.003\)), women (3.3% versus 1.8%, ARD, 1.5 percentage points; \(P<0.001\)), patients with anemia (5.3% versus 3.2%, ARD, 2.1 percentage points; \(P<0.001\)), patients with kidney dysfunction (3.6% versus 2.4%, ARD, 1.2 percentage points; \(P=0.005\)), and patients with cancer (6.8% versus 4.3%; ARD, 2.5 percentage points; \(P=0.050\)), but not for patients who were underweight (4.1% versus 3.3%, ARD, 0.8 percentage points; \(P=0.236\)), compared with a non-high PRECISE-DAPT score (Table 2). The PRECISE-DAPT score was able to predict major bleeding for all high bleeding risk subgroups, but with only poor to moderate discriminative capability, including elderly (c-statistic=0.57; 95% CI, 0.55–0.60), underweight (c-statistic=0.56; 95% CI, 0.51–0.61), women (c-statistic=0.62; 95% CI, 0.60–0.65), patients with anemia (c-statistic=0.60; 95% CI, 0.58–0.63), patients with kidney dysfunction (c-statistic=0.61; 95% CI, 0.58–0.64), and patients with cancer (c-statistic 0.59; 95% CI, 0.53–0.66; Table 2; Figure 3).

### Table 1. Continued

| Discharge medications, n (%) | Non-high PRECISE-DAPT (<25) n=52 401 | High PRECISE-DAPT (≥25) n=13 894 | \(P\) value | Missing or unknown n (%) |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|
| Glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitor | 8493 (16.2)                          | 1548 (11.1)                      | <0.001      | 0 (0.0)                 |
| Aspirin                     | 52 401 (100.0)                        | 13 894 (100.0)                   | 0 (0.0)     |                         |
| Clopidogrel                 | 24 735 (47.2)                         | 7972 (57.4)                      | <0.001      | 0 (0.0)                 |
| Ticagrelor                  | 26 324 (50.2)                         | 5762 (41.5)                      | <0.001      | 0 (0.0)                 |
| Prasugrel                   | 1210 (2.3)                            | 132 (1.0)                        | <0.001      | 0 (0.0)                 |
| ACEi/ARB                    | 44 170 (84.3)                         | 11 258 (81.0)                    | <0.001      | 30 (0.0)                |
| \(\beta\)-blockers          | 47 893 (91.4)                         | 12 451 (89.6)                    | <0.001      | 7 (0.0)                 |
| Statins                     | 51 378 (98.0)                         | 12 818 (92.3)                    | <0.001      | 10 (0.0)                |

ACEi/ARB indicates angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor/angiotensin II receptor blocker; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; and PRECISE-DAPT indicates Predicting Bleeding Complications in Patients Undergoing Stent Implantation and Subsequent Dual Antiplatelet Therapy.

*Anemia is hemoglobin <120 g/L for women and <130 g/L for men.
†Kidney dysfunction is creatinine clearance <60 mL/min per 1.73 m².

### Table 2. Major Bleeding Outcomes at 12 Months Stratified by Non-High (<25) or High (≥25) PRECISE-DAPT Score and Receiver Operating Characteristic Analyses Assessing the Performance of the Score

| Kaplan-Meier event rate, n/total n (%) | HR (95% CI) | \(P\) value | C-statistic (95% CI) | \(P\) value |
|----------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------|
| All patients, n=66 295                 |             |             |                     |             |
| Non-high PRECISE-DAPT score (<25)      | 891/52 401 (1.8) | 526/13 894 (3.9) | 2.2 (2.0–2.5) | <0.001 | 0.64 (0.63–0.66) | <0.001 |
| High PRECISE-DAPT score (≥25)          | 207/7150 (3.0) | 383/10 304 (3.8) | 1.3 (1.1–1.5) | 0.003 | 0.57 (0.55–0.60) | <0.001 |
| Body weight <60 kg, n=3299             | 62/1921 (3.3) | 55/1378 (4.1) | 1.2 (0.9–1.8) | 0.236 | 0.56 (0.51–0.61) | 0.033 |
| Women, n=18 513                        | 217/12 397 (1.8) | 198/6118 (3.3) | 1.9 (1.5–2.3) | <0.001 | 0.62 (0.60–0.65) | <0.001 |
| Anemia, n=9847*                        | 143/4579 (3.2) | 270/5268 (5.3) | 1.7 (1.4–2.0) | <0.001 | 0.60 (0.58–0.63) | <0.001 |
| Kidney dysfunction, n=10 599†          | 55/2354 (2.4) | 290/8245 (3.6) | 1.5 (1.1–2.0) | 0.005 | 0.61 (0.58–0.64) | <0.001 |
| Cancer within 3 y, n=1330              | 29/694 (4.3) | 42/636 (6.8) | 1.6 (1.0–2.6) | 0.050 | 0.59 (0.53–0.66) | 0.009 |

Results are shown for the whole study population of patients with myocardial infarction treated with percutaneous coronary intervention and dual antiplatelet therapy as well as for subgroups. PRECISE-DAPT indicates Predicting Bleeding Complications in Patients Undergoing Stent Implantation and Subsequent Dual Antiplatelet Therapy. HR indicates hazard ratio.

*Anemia is hemoglobin <120 g/L for women and <130 g/L for men.
†Kidney dysfunction is creatinine clearance <60 mL/min per 1.73 m².
The strongest associations with major bleeding during DAPT in univariable analysis among the 66,295 patients included in the present study were found for advanced age (≥75 years), peripheral artery disease, heart failure, cancer, dementia, previous bleeding, and baseline anemia (Table 3). This was consistent in multivariable analysis (Table 4). For patients who ended their DAPT at 12 months or earlier (n=60,227), risk factors for subsequent stent thrombosis during the first year after DAPT

Figure 3. Receiver operating characteristic curves for the PRECISE-DAPT score in several subgroups of patients with myocardial infarction treated with percutaneous coronary intervention and subsequent dual antiplatelet therapy. PRECISE-DAPT indicates Predicting Bleeding Complications in Patients Undergoing Stent Implantation and Subsequent Dual Antiplatelet Therapy. Elderly (≥75 years; n=17,454) (A), underweight (<60 kg; n=3,299) (B), women (n=18,513) (C), patients with anemia (hemoglobin <120 g/L for women, <130 g/L for men; n=9,847) (D), patients with kidney dysfunction (creatinine clearance <60 mL/min per 1.73 m²; n=10,599) (E), and patients with cancer (n=1,330) (F).
discontinuation were found to be hyperlipidemia; peripheral artery disease; previous MI, PCI, or coronary artery bypass grafting before index hospitalization; and the presence of complex lesions in the univariable analysis (Table 5). In the multivariable model, previous PCI and complex lesions remained as independent risk factors for stent thrombosis (Table 6). The use of drug-eluting stents as compared with bare-metal stents was found to be a strong protective factor against stent thrombosis.

**DISCUSSION**

The key findings of this large nationwide population-based validation study of the PRECISE-DAPT score for real-world patients with MI treated with PCI and subsequent DAPT were as follows. The PRECISE-DAPT score identified a subset of patients with a higher morbidity burden and a more than doubled absolute risk for major bleeding. The score demonstrated a moderate discriminative capability for major bleeding within 12 months. In patients with existing risk factors for bleeding, its ability to predict major bleeding was poor, especially for patients with advanced age, low body weight, anemia, or cancer.

---

**Table 3. Univariable Cox Regression Analysis for Rehospitalization With Major Bleeding in 66 295 Patients With Myocardial Infarction Treated With PCI and Subsequent Dual Antiplatelet Therapy**

|                                    | HR (95% CI) | P value |
|------------------------------------|-------------|---------|
| Age (for each 10 y increase)*      | 1.5 (1.4–1.5) | <0.001  |
| Age ≥75 y                          | 2.0 (1.8–2.2) | <0.001  |
| Body weight <60 kg                 | 1.8 (1.5–2.1) | <0.001  |
| Women                              | 1.1 (1.0–1.2) | 0.239   |
| ST-segment–elevation myocardial infarction | 1.1 (1.0–1.2) | 0.048   |
| Hypertension                       | 1.3 (1.2–1.4) | <0.001  |
| Hyperlipidemia                     | 1.0 (0.9–1.1) | 0.619   |
| Current smoking                    | 1.0 (0.9–1.2) | 0.545   |
| Diabetes                           | 1.2 (1.1–1.4) | 0.004   |
| Peripheral artery disease          | 2.1 (1.7–2.6) | <0.001  |
| Heart failure                      | 2.0 (1.8–2.5) | <0.001  |
| Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease | 1.7 (1.4–2.1) | <0.001  |
| Cancer within 3 y                  | 2.6 (2.1–3.3) | <0.001  |
| Dementia                           | 2.5 (1.4–4.7) | 0.003   |
| Previous myocardial infarction     | 1.1 (0.9–1.2) | 0.386   |
| Previous PCI                       | 1.0 (0.8–1.2) | 0.943   |
| Previous coronary artery bypass graft | 1.0 (0.8–1.3) | 0.935   |
| Previous stroke                    | 1.5 (1.2–1.8) | <0.001  |
| Previous bleeding                  | 2.6 (2.2–3.1) | <0.001  |
| Hemoglobin (for each 10 g/L increase) | 0.5 (0.4–0.5) | <0.001  |
| Anemia                             | 2.4 (2.1–2.7) | <0.001  |
| Creatinine clearance (for each 10 mL/min per 1.73 m² increase) | 0.9 (0.8–0.9) | <0.001  |
| Kidney dysfunction                 | 1.7 (1.5–1.9) | <0.001  |
| Drug-eluting stent                 | 1.3 (1.1–1.5) | <0.001  |
| Radial access                      | 1.0 (0.9–1.1) | 0.970   |

**Discharge medications**

|                                    | HR (95% CI) | P value |
|------------------------------------|-------------|---------|
| Ticagrelor*                         | 1.4 (1.2–1.5) | <0.001  |
| Prasugrel                           | 1.1 (0.7–1.6) | 0.722   |
| Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor/angiotensin II receptor blocker | 0.9 (0.8–1.0) | 0.146   |
| β-blockers                          | 0.8 (0.7–1.0) | 0.053   |
| Statins                             | 0.8 (0.6–1.0) | 0.064   |

**Table 4. Multivariable Cox Regression Analysis for Rehospitalization With Major Bleeding in 51 713 Patients With Myocardial Infarction Treated With PCI and Subsequent Dual Antiplatelet Therapy**

|                                    | HR (95% CI) | P value |
|------------------------------------|-------------|---------|
| Age (for each 10 y increase)*      | 1.3 (1.2–1.4) | <0.001  |
| Body weight <60 kg                 | 1.3 (1.1–1.6) | 0.013   |
| ST-segment–elevation myocardial infarction | 1.1 (1.0–1.2) | 0.140   |
| Hypertension                       | 0.9 (0.8–1.1) | 0.237   |
| Diabetes                           | 1.0 (0.9–1.2) | 0.744   |
| Peripheral artery disease          | 1.4 (1.1–1.8) | 0.019   |
| Heart failure                      | 1.3 (1.0–1.7) | 0.024   |
| Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease | 1.3 (1.1–1.7) | 0.010   |
| Cancer within 3 y                  | 1.6 (1.2–2.1) | 0.004   |
| Dementia                           | 2.1 (1.1–4.1) | 0.028   |
| Previous stroke                    | 0.8 (0.6–1.1) | 0.175   |
| Previous bleeding                  | 2.1 (1.7–2.6) | <0.001  |
| Hemoglobin (for each 10 g/L increase) | 0.6 (0.5–0.7) | <0.001  |
| Creatinine clearance (for each 10 mL/min per 1.73 m² increase) | 1.0 (0.9–1.0) | 0.036   |
| Drug-eluting stent                 | 1.1 (1.0–1.3) | 0.099   |

**Discharge medications**

|                                    | HR (95% CI) | P value |
|------------------------------------|-------------|---------|
| Ticagrelor*                         | 1.4 (1.2–1.6) | <0.001  |
| Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor/angiotensin II receptor blocker | 0.9 (0.8–1.1) | 0.429   |
| β-blockers                          | 0.9 (0.7–1.0) | 0.094   |
| Statins                             | 1.2 (0.8–1.6) | 0.373   |

HR indicates hazard ratio; and PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention.
*Age was truncated below 50 years.
†Hemoglobin was truncated above 120 g/L and below 100 g/L.
‡Anemia is hemoglobin <120 g/L for women and <130 g/L for men.
§Creatinine clearance was truncated above 100 mL/min per 1.73 m².
‖Kidney dysfunction is creatinine clearance <60 mL/min per 1.73 m².
¶Clopidogrel was used as reference.

---

HR indicates hazard ratio; and PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention.
*Age was truncated below 50 years.
†Hemoglobin was truncated above 120 g/L and below 100 g/L.
‡Creatinine clearance was truncated above 100 mL/min per 1.73 m².
§Clopidogrel was used as reference.
In these patient subgroups, those with a non-high PRECISE-DAPT score (<25) had a similar risk of major bleeding as patients with a high PRECISE-DAPT score (≥25) in the general MI population.

Score Validation

The PRECISE-DAPT score was initially validated for Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction major or minor bleeding in PLATO (The Study of Platelet Inhibition and Patient Outcomes) (c-statistic=0.70) and the Bern PCI registry (c-statistic=0.63). Successively, the PRECISE-DAPT score has been evaluated for different bleeding definitions and compared with other bleeding risk scores, demonstrating moderate to good predictive capability.\textsuperscript{19–21} International guidelines have suggested using the score as a way of identifying patients at high risk of bleeding who might benefit from a shorter DAPT period.\textsuperscript{7,10,28} In consistency with most previous validation studies,\textsuperscript{17,20,21} this large nationwide registry study showed that the PRECISE-DAPT score had a discriminative ability for major bleeding that was moderate but, on the other hand, was better than chance and comparable to other widely used risk scores within the field of cardiology.\textsuperscript{29} However, although the negative predictive value of the PRECISE-DAPT score was high (98.3%), a non-high PRECISE-DAPT score represents a mere drop in risk of major bleeding from 2.1% to 1.7%. Furthermore, although a non-high PRECISE-DAPT score was associated with better bleeding outcomes than a high PRECISE-DAPT score, the vast majority of patients with a high score do not experience a major bleeding during DAPT (96.2%).

### The Optimal DAPT Duration

The PRECISE-DAPT score derivation study used data from randomized trials comparing different DAPT durations and showed that patients with a high PRECISE-DAPT score (≥25) experienced a decreased bleeding risk, without an increased risk of ischemic events, when DAPT was discontinued after 3 to 6 months.\textsuperscript{17,18} On the contrary, patients with a non-high PRECISE-DAPT score (<25) did not benefit from a shorter DAPT course regarding bleeding, whereas the risk of ischemic complications was higher.\textsuperscript{17,22} Based on these findings, it

### Table 5. Univariable Cox Regression Analysis for Stent Thrombosis Within 12 Months After Discontinuation of Dual Antiplatelet Therapy in 60 227 Patients With Myocardial Infarction Treated With PCI Who Did Not Continue With Dual Antiplatelet Therapy Beyond 12 Months From Their Index Myocardial Infarction

| Variable                                           | HR (95% CI) | P value |
|----------------------------------------------------|-------------|---------|
| Age (for each 10 y increase)*                       | 0.9 (0.8–1.1) | 0.274   |
| Age ≥75 y                                           | 0.8 (0.5–1.3) | 0.315   |
| Body weight <60 kg                                  | 0.5 (0.2–1.7) | 0.298   |
| Women                                              | 0.7 (0.4–1.1) | 0.111   |
| ST-segment–elevation myocardial infarction         | 1.1 (0.7–1.6) | 0.722   |
| Hypertension                                        | 0.9 (0.6–1.3) | 0.485   |
| Hyperlipidemia                                      | 2.0 (1.4–3.0) | <0.001  |
| Current smoking                                     | 1.1 (0.7–1.8) | 0.574   |
| Diabetes                                            | 1.1 (0.6–1.8) | 0.754   |
| Diabetes with insulin therapy                       | 1.2 (0.6–2.5) | 0.634   |
| Peripheral artery disease                          | 2.6 (1.2–5.7) | 0.013   |
| Heart failure                                       | 1.3 (0.5–3.5) | 0.638   |
| Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease               | 0.6 (0.2–1.8) | 0.577   |
| Cancer within 3 y                                   | 0.5 (0.1–3.5) | 0.471   |
| Previous myocardial infarction                      | 2.1 (1.4–3.4) | 0.001   |
| Previous PCI                                        | 2.9 (1.8–4.6) | <0.001  |
| Previous coronary artery bypass graft               | 3.5 (2.0–6.2) | <0.001  |
| Previous stroke                                     | 1.6 (0.7–3.5) | 0.228   |
| Previous bleeding                                   | 0.8 (0.3–2.6) | 0.723   |
| Hemoglobin (for each 10 g/L increase)\textsuperscript{1} | 0.9 (0.5–1.5) | 0.578   |
| Anemia\textsuperscript{2}                           | 1.2 (0.7–2.0) | 0.544   |
| Creatinine clearance (for each 10 mL/min per 1.73 m\textsuperscript{2} increase)\textsuperscript{3} | 1.0 (0.9–1.1) | 0.986   |
| Kidney dysfunction\textsuperscript{4}               | 1.5 (0.9–2.4) | 0.101   |
| Bifurcation lesion\textsuperscript{5}               | 0.8 (0.4–1.5) | 0.455   |
| Complex lesion                                      | 2.0 (1.3–3.1) | 0.001   |
| Drug–eluting stent                                  | 0.4 (0.3–0.6) | <0.001  |
| Stent length (for each mm increase)                 | 1.0 (1.0–1.0) | 0.297   |

HR indicates hazard ratio; and PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention.
*Age was truncated below 50 years.
\textsuperscript{1}Hemoglobin was truncated above 120 g/L and below 100 g/L.
\textsuperscript{2}Anemia is hemoglobin <120 g/L for women and <100 g/L for men.
\textsuperscript{3}Creatinine clearance was truncated above 100 mL/min per 1.73 m\textsuperscript{2}.
\textsuperscript{4}Kidney dysfunction is creatinine clearance <60 mL/min per 1.73 m\textsuperscript{2}.

### Table 6. Multivariable Cox Regression Analysis for Stent Thrombosis Within 12 Months After Discontinuation of Dual Antiplatelet Therapy in 53 513 Patients With Myocardial Infarction Treated With PCI Who Did Not Continue With Dual Antiplatelet Therapy Beyond 12 Months From Their Index Myocardial Infarction

| Variable                                           | HR (95% CI) | P value |
|----------------------------------------------------|-------------|---------|
| Women                                              | 0.7 (0.4–1.2) | 0.232   |
| Hyperlipidemia                                      | 1.4 (0.9–2.4) | 0.162   |
| Peripheral artery disease                          | 1.2 (0.4–3.4) | 0.717   |
| Previous myocardial infarction                      | 1.1 (0.5–2.1) | 0.855   |
| Previous PCI                                        | 2.1 (1.1–4.2) | 0.035   |
| Previous coronary artery bypass graft               | 1.9 (0.9–4.0) | 0.074   |
| Kidney dysfunction\textsuperscript{4}               | 1.1 (0.6–1.9) | 0.817   |
| Complex lesion                                      | 2.1 (1.3–3.3) | 0.002   |
| Drug–eluting stent                                  | 0.4 (0.2–0.6) | <0.001  |

HR indicates hazard ratio; and PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention.
*Kidney dysfunction is creatinine clearance <60 mL/min per 1.73 m\textsuperscript{2}.
would be reasonable to use the score to guide clinicians in deciding the optimal DAPT duration that balances ischemic protection with the risk of bleeding.\textsuperscript{7} However, in our study, the median time to major bleeding was 77 days, which is comparable with results from the PLATO and TRITON-TIMI-38 (Trial to Assess Improvement in Therapeutic Outcomes by Optimizing Platelet Inhibition with Prasugrel–Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction 38) studies but lower than the 158 days in the PRECISE-DAPT derivation study.\textsuperscript{12,17} Taking into account these findings that a majority of patients experienced bleeding within 6 months, or within 3 months in our study, a shortened DAPT duration of 3 or 6 months would not help these early bleeders, unless one would use even shorter treatment regimens.\textsuperscript{30} Importantly, however, the use of the PRECISE-DAPT score to shorten the DAPT duration to 3 or 6 months would be valuable for patients with later bleeding events.

**High Bleeding Risk Subgroups**

A small previous study evaluated the PRECISE-DAPT score in elderly patients and found that the majority of patients had a score ≥25 and would therefore be considered at high bleeding risk.\textsuperscript{23} We hypothesized that the score would have little or no extra value in patients with preexisting risk factors for bleeding, including advanced age,\textsuperscript{31} underweight,\textsuperscript{1} women,\textsuperscript{32} patients with anemia,\textsuperscript{33} kidney dysfunction,\textsuperscript{34} or cancer.\textsuperscript{35} In the present study, we found a poor discriminative ability of the score for both patients who are elderly or underweight. In these patient subgroups, the absolute risk of major bleeding in patients considered to be at non-high risk according to the score (PRECISE-DAPT <25) was comparable to that of patients in the general population with MI considered at high bleeding risk (PRECISE-DAPT ≥25). Furthermore, patients with anemia or cancer with a non-high PRECISE-DAPT score had an absolute risk of major bleeding comparable to, or higher, than that of patients with high PRECISE-DAPT scores in the general MI population, indicating that these comorbidities are high-risk features regardless of PRECISE-DAPT score status. Our results suggest that the use of the PRECISE-DAPT score adds limited value in patients with preexisting risk factors for bleeding, who should be deemed a priori at high risk of bleeding.

**Prediction of Bleeding and Stent Thrombosis**

In addition to the 4 variables included in the PRECISE-DAPT score (age, creatinine clearance, hemoglobin, and previous bleeding), we found independent associations with the occurrence of major bleeding for low body weight, peripheral artery disease, heart failure, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, cancer, dementia, and DAPT with ticagrelor as compared with clopidogrel. Perhaps these additional risk factors could help to improve the discriminatory power of the PRECISE-DAPT score. Furthermore, we found that complex lesions and a history of PCI before index hospitalization were associated with a 2-fold increased risk of stent thrombosis after DAPT cessation and that the use of drug-eluting stents was protective against stent thrombosis. In many risk scores like HAS-BLED and CHA\textsubscript{2}DS\textsubscript{2}VASC, there are multiple risk factors that are similar for both bleeding and ischemic outcomes.\textsuperscript{29} However, our findings show interestingly that the 3 strongest risk factors for stent thrombosis are not associated with increased risk of bleeding. This opens several possibilities for patient-tailored medicine where patients with high stent thrombosis risk (complex lesions, a history of previous PCI before index hospitalization, and use of bare-metal stents) should be treated with long-term DAPT to a higher degree, taking concomitant bleeding risk into account.

**Strengths and Limitations**

This large observational study was limited by the lack of data on some important variables. We did not have information on intended or randomized DAPT duration and could therefore not test the ability of the score to select patients suitable for a shortened treatment regimen. We did, however, have data on DAPT dispensations from the pharmacy and were able to censor patients when their treatment was terminated in an attempt to exclude bleeding events unrelated to DAPT. Furthermore, the SWEDHEART registry does not hold data on white blood cell count. It was therefore not possible for us to validate the 5-item score, although the 4-item version has demonstrated similar qualities.\textsuperscript{18} Additionally, the 4-item score might be more practical, as white blood cell count is not routinely measured in many hospitals. Lastly, we did not have access to any standardized bleeding definition, as was used in the derivation study.\textsuperscript{17} A strength of the present study is that it relies on the use of a nationwide and validated registry with data on all-comer patients undergoing PCI in Sweden.\textsuperscript{24}

**CONCLUSIONS**

In this large population-based study of patients with MI treated with PCI and DAPT, the PRECISE-DAPT score was moderate at predicting risk of major bleeding. Furthermore, the score performed poorly in patients with a preexisting risk factor for bleeding, especially in patients with advanced age, low body weight, anemia, or cancer for whom a non-high PRECISE-DAPT score...
(<25) was associated with a similar or higher absolute risk of major bleeding compared with that of patients with a high PRECISE-DAPT score (≥25) in the general population with MI. For these patients, who should perhaps be considered at high risk of bleeding, the score seems to be of limited use.
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SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL
Table S1. Bleeding definition according to International Classification of Disease 10 (ICD-10) codes.

| ICD-10 codes | Bleeding diagnosis                                      |
|--------------|---------------------------------------------------------|
| I60, I61, I62| Subarachnoid, intracerebral, subdural, or epidural bleeding |
| K260, K262, K264, K266, K270, K274, K286, K290, K625, K920, K921, K922, I850 | Gastrointestinal bleeding |
| N421, N938, N939, N950, N501A, R319 | Genitourinary bleeding |
| R041, R042, R048, R049 | Airway bleeding |
| H356, H431, H450 | Retinal or vitreous bleeding |
| H922 | Ear bleeding |
| D629 | Anemia after acute bleeding |
| D500 | Iron deficiency anemia secondary to blood loss |
| T810 | Bleeding due to surgical or medical intervention not classified elsewhere |
Table S2. Univariable Cox regression for major bleeding at 12 months and receiver operating characteristic analyses of the PRECISE-DAPT score shown for multiply imputed data on 70405 myocardial infarction patients treated with percutaneous coronary intervention and dual antiplatelet therapy.

| Imputation number | HR (95% CI) | p-value | C-statistic (95% CI) | p-value |
|-------------------|-------------|---------|----------------------|---------|
| 1                 | 2.2(2.0-2.5) | <0.001  | 0.64(0.63-0.65)      | <0.001  |
| 2                 | 2.2(2.0-2.4) | <0.001  | 0.64(0.63-0.65)      | <0.001  |
| 3                 | 2.2(2.0-2.5) | <0.001  | 0.64(0.62-0.65)      | <0.001  |
| 4                 | 2.2(2.0-2.4) | <0.001  | 0.64(0.63-0.65)      | <0.001  |
| 5                 | 2.2(2.0-2.4) | <0.001  | 0.64(0.63-0.65)      | <0.001  |
| 6                 | 2.2(2.0-2.4) | <0.001  | 0.64(0.63-0.65)      | <0.001  |
| 7                 | 2.2(2.0-2.4) | <0.001  | 0.64(0.63-0.65)      | <0.001  |
| 8                 | 2.2(2.0-2.4) | <0.001  | 0.64(0.63-0.65)      | <0.001  |
| 9                 | 2.2(2.0-2.4) | <0.001  | 0.64(0.63-0.65)      | <0.001  |
| 10                | 2.2(1.9-2.4) | <0.001  | 0.64(0.62-0.65)      | <0.001  |
| Pooled            | 2.2(2.0-2.4) | <0.001  |                      |         |

Hazard ratios refer to the risk of major bleeding for patients with a high (≥25) PRECISE-DAPT score as compared to a low PRECISE-DAPT score.

CI=confidence interval, HR=hazard ratio.