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Abstract. We consider further on the problem of the analogue Hawking radiation. We propose a fourth order ordinary differential equation, which allows to discuss the problem of Hawking radiation in analogue gravity in a unified way, encompassing fluids and dielectric media. In a suitable approximation, involving weak dispersive effects, WKB solutions are obtained far from the horizon (turning point), and furthermore an equation governing the behaviour near the horizon is derived, and a complete set of analytical solutions is obtained also near the horizon. The subluminal case of the original fluid model introduced by Corley and Jacobson, the case of dielectric media are discussed. We show that in this approximation scheme there is a mode which is not directly involved in the pair-creation process. Thermality is verified and a framework for calculating the grey-body factor is provided.

1. Introduction

The analogue Hawking effect has been largely discussed in literature, and we are interested to focus our attention on the analytical side of calculations in presence of dispersion. As is well known, the problem is very hard and requires techniques borrowed from asymptotic analysis, see e.g. the following (non-exhaustive) list of papers [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21]. Even if the mathematics to be adopted is quite similar, still different systems seem to require different tools to be discussed, and what is done for fluids is not just the same as for dielectric media. Even if a strictly unified framework a priori is not mandatory, still it is interesting to point out that such a framework exists and allows to draw common conclusions for the various physical situations at hand, and to realize an universality for the analogous Hawking effect (see e.g. [6]).

In this paper, we propose a fourth order ordinary differential equation as a master equation allowing to deal with the analogous Hawking effect in condensed matter systems in a systematic way, in the approximation of weak dispersive effects. This is per se interesting, because (1) a single master equation is shown to be enough for describing different physical situations. In this paper we deal with the subluminal version of the fluid model introduced by Corley and Jacobson [22, 2], and also with the case of dielectric media. In the companion paper [23] we discuss also the case of the analogous Hawking effect in BEC (superluminal case), and in water.

As a second element of interest, (2) a single approximation is done, allowing to reduce the problem into a form which is analogous to the one described in a series of works by [24, 25, 26, 27, 28]. Furthermore, (3) a new kind of near-horizon expansion (expansion near the turning point) is adopted, allowing to get a completeness of states also in that physical region; (4) the nature of the horizon (turning point) is clearly emerging, and the role of both $v/c$ in the fluid models, and of the horizon equation $n = c/v$ (phase horizon) in the dielectric case are enhanced. Connection formulas allow to calculate the fundamental ratio $|J_+|^2/|J_-|^2$, where $J_\pm$ stays for the (conserved) current associated with the dispersive modes of wavenumbers $k_\pm$ ($k_-$ is associated with negative norm) (see sections 4.2, 4.3). As well known, this ratio qualifies thermality of the Hawking analogue radiation. Last, but non least, (5) one may also provide a general rule for the computation the grey-body factor, whose analytical expression is known only in a limited number of examples (see e.g. [16] in the dielectric case). As general assumptions, in agreement with the aforementioned previous literature, we consider the situation where dispersive effects are mild and the relevant background fields like $v(x)$, $c(x)$ in the fluid models and $n(x)$ in the dielectric models are asymptotically constant and bounded. In a remarkable correspondence with the standard black hole case, the grey-body factor is simply due to 'scattering on a barrier', provided by the geometry, of the Hawking modes created in the region of the horizon, and is not directly associated with the presence of the horizon itself. The fourth wavenumber mode, a short wavenumber mode distinct from the Hawking mode, is then actually decoupled at the horizon.
2. THE MASTER EQUATION: A Orr–Sommerfeld TYPE FOURTH ORDER EQUATION

We show that three significant cases of wave equations in dispersive analogue gravity can be reconduced to the equation
\[
\epsilon^2 \frac{d^4 \Phi}{dx^4} \pm \left[ p_3(x,\epsilon) \frac{d^2 \Phi}{dx^2} + p_2(x,\epsilon) \frac{d\Phi}{dx} + p_1(x,\epsilon)\Phi \right] = 0,
\] (2.1)
where the upper sign occurs in the case of subluminal dispersion and the lower one in the case of superluminal dispersion. The latter case is considered in Nishimoto’s works (see e.g. [25] and references therein). Furthermore,
\[
p_i(x, \epsilon) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_{in}(x) \epsilon^n,
\] (2.2)
is assumed. As \( \epsilon \to 0 \) one finds the so-called reduced equation
\[
p_{30}(x) \frac{d^2 \Phi}{dx^2} + p_{20}(x) \frac{d\Phi}{dx} + p_{10}(x)\Phi = 0.
\] (2.3)
Solutions of
\[
p_{30}(x) = 0\] (2.4)
define the turning points (TPs) of the equation, and in the analysis of the reduced equation the behaviour of solutions in the neighbourhood of the TPs is of utmost relevance for the scattering problem we mean to delve into. In the following, we limit ourselves to the case of a single TP, to be identified with \( x = 0 \) without loss of generality. In [25] it is assumed that the reduced equation displays a Fuchsian singularity at the TP (nothing actually prevents the general equation in itself to admit a regular behaviour). One may then expect two kinds of solutions:
\[
\Phi^{(1)} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n x^n,
\] (2.5)
\[
\Phi^{(2)} = x^{1-\lambda} \left( 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e_n x^n \right),
\] (2.6)
where \( \lambda \) is related to a root of the so-called indicial equation associated with the reduced equation in the neighbourhood of the TP. This kind of solution appears to be useful in the WKB approximation, which in our scheme, differently from the hypotheses in [24, 25], can be extended to hold also in the asymptotic region of unboundedly large values of \( x \). It is worth mentioning that the first solution above is regular at the turning point. This is relevant also in the following sections.

The great advantage of referring to the above equation is that sophisticated analytical calculations carried out mostly by [25] are just available, where a considerable effort has to be exploited in order to keep under control the asymptotic formulas and the associated connection formulas.

3. A SUMMARY OF THE APPROXIMATION METHOD NEAR THE TURNING POINT

We sketch for the sake of completeness the essentials of the approximation method near the TP as described in [25], of which we maintain the same notation. The starting point consists in rewriting equation (2.1) as the first order system
\[
\epsilon \frac{dY}{dx} = P(x, \epsilon)Y,
\] (3.1)
where
\[
Y = \begin{pmatrix} y \\ y' \\ y'' \\ \epsilon y^{(3)} \end{pmatrix},
\] (3.2)
and

\[ P(x, \epsilon) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \epsilon & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \epsilon & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ +p_1(x, \epsilon) & +p_2(x, \epsilon) & +p_3(x, \epsilon) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.3} \]

where, again, the upper sign is relative to the subluminal case. The ‘stretching and shearing transformations’

\[ x - a = \epsilon^{2/3} s, \tag{3.4} \]

\[ Y = \Omega(\epsilon) W, \tag{3.5} \]

\[ \Omega(\epsilon) := \text{diag} \{ \epsilon^{4/3}, \epsilon^{2/3}, 1, \epsilon^{1/3} \}, \tag{3.6} \]

where \( a \) is the turning point, allow to obtain

\[ \frac{dW}{ds} = A(s, \epsilon) W, \tag{3.7} \]

where

\[ A(s, \epsilon) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ +p_{10}(x(s), \epsilon) & +p_{20}(x(s), \epsilon) & +p_{30}(x(s), \epsilon) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.8} \]

and \( x(s) = a + \epsilon^{2/3} s \). The functions \( p_i(x, \epsilon) \) \( (i = 1, 2, 3) \) can be expanded in power series of \( \epsilon \) with coefficients which are polynomials of \( x - a \) in the neighborhood of the TP, and in turn the matrix \( A \) can be expanded in power series of \( \epsilon^{1/3} \) with polynomial coefficients of \( s \). Solutions are constructed in the form

\[ W(s, \epsilon) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} W_i(s) \epsilon^{i/3}; \tag{3.9} \]

at the lowest order, \( W_0(s) \) must satisfy

\[ \frac{dW_0}{ds} = A_0(s) W_0, \tag{3.10} \]

where

\[ A_0(s) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ +p_{20}(a) & +p'_{30}(a) s & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.11} \]

Equation (3.10) is equivalent to the fourth order differential equation

\[ \frac{d^4w}{dz^4} = \left( z \frac{d^2w}{dz^2} + \lambda \frac{dw}{dz} \right) = 0, \tag{3.12} \]

where

\[ z = (p_{30}'(a))^{1/3} s = (p_{30}'(a))^{1/3} \epsilon^{-2/3} (x - a), \tag{3.13} \]

and

\[ \lambda = \frac{p_{20}(a)}{p'_{30}(a)}. \tag{3.14} \]

A further corroboration of equation (3.12) is contained in Appendix A. Solutions to equation (3.12) are found by means of Laplace integrals

\[ w_j(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_j} dt \ t^{\lambda-2} \exp \left( zt \pm \frac{1}{3} t^3 \right), \tag{3.15} \]

with a suitable choice for the paths \( C_j \) in the complex \( t \)-plane. See e.g. [27, 25] for the superluminal case, where solutions of (3.15) are also known as generalized Airy functions. See figure 1 on the left side for paths \( C_j \) adopted in [25, 27], with \( j = 1, \ldots, 6 \).
It is interesting to deduce the solutions above directly, in order to point out the subtleties in solving (3.12). We first deduce solutions (3.15), by means of the Laplace-transform formalism: by putting

\[ w_j(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_j} dt \phi(t) \exp(zt), \]  

(3.16)

we find

\[ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_j} dt \left( t^4 + zt^2 + \lambda t \right) \phi(t) \exp(zt) = 0, \]  

(3.17)

and, as usual, thanks to an integration by parts

\[ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_j} dt \left( t^2 \phi(t) \exp(zt) \right) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \left( t^2 \phi(t) \right) \right|_{C_j} \exp(zt), \]  

(3.18)

where \( t^2 \phi(t) \exp(zt) \) is the variation of \( t^2 \phi(t) \) along \( C_j \), one obtains solutions by putting

\[ \frac{d}{dt} \left( t^2 \phi(t) \right) = \pm \left( t^2 + \frac{\lambda}{t} \right) t^2 \phi(t), \]  

(3.19)

which provides us (3.15), and imposing also

\[ t^4 \exp\left( zt + \frac{1}{3} t^3 \right) \bigg|_{C_j} = 0. \]  

(3.20)

The fourth solution, i.e. the constant solution, which is present in a trivial way as a solution of the original equation (3.12), seems to be quite ‘hidden’ in the Laplace-transform formalism. Naively, it would seem that one could find it by a suitable choice of the path \( C \) along which the complex integration is performed. For example, one might easily find the zero-solution as a integral along any closed path non-intersecting the cut. Still, this reasoning is too naive. What is a bit hard to realize, is that the equation obtained by Laplace transform admits also a distributional solution: indeed, it can be rewritten as

\[ t^2 \frac{d\phi(t)}{dt} + (2t \mp (t^4 + \lambda t)) \phi(t) = 0. \]  

(3.21)

As a consequence, it is easy to show that

\[ \phi(t) = \delta(t), \]  

(3.22)

is a distributional solution, where \( \delta(t) \) is the Dirac delta. By direct substitution, we get a first term which is \( t^2 \delta'(t) \), which is zero (cf. [29], equation (4), p. 256). At the same time, in a distributional sense, we get also \( (2t \mp (t^4 + \lambda t)) \delta(t) = 0 \). Then, the constant solution arises in this framework as

\[ w_C(z) = \int_C dt \delta(t) \exp(zt) = 1. \]  

(3.23)

Note that we are allowed to put (cf. [8])

\[ z = \text{sign}(z)|z|, \]  

(3.24)

as we are interested in real values of \( z \) (and \( x \)).

Paths extending to infinity in the complex \( t \)-plane must be restricted to allowed regions. In the superluminal case (− sign in front of the cubic term in the exponential) we have the same regions as for the Airy functions, with \( \theta := \text{arg}(t) \):

\[ \theta \in \left( -\frac{\pi}{6}, \frac{\pi}{6} \right) \cup \left( \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{5\pi}{6} \right) \cup \left( \frac{7\pi}{6}, \frac{3\pi}{2} \right). \]  

(3.25)

In the subluminal case (+ sign in front of the cubic term in the exponential) we find the complementary regions:

\[ \theta \in \left( \frac{\pi}{6}, \frac{\pi}{2} \right) \cup \left( \frac{5\pi}{6}, \frac{7\pi}{6} \right) \cup \left( \frac{3\pi}{2}, \frac{11\pi}{6} \right). \]  

(3.26)
It is interesting to point out that one may select a basis of solutions. E.g., for the superluminal case, we list the approximations of the solutions in the asymptotic region (large \( z \)) as determined in [25]:

\[
\begin{align*}
  w_1(z) &= -\frac{e^{\lambda\pi i}}{2\sqrt{\pi}} z^{3/2} e^{-\frac{z}{\lambda}} (1 + O(z^{-\frac{3}{2}}))\quad |\arg(z)| < \pi, \\
  w_2(z) &= \frac{e^{-\lambda\pi i}}{2\sqrt{\pi}} z^{3/2} e^{-\frac{z}{\lambda}} (1 + O(z^{-\frac{3}{2}}))\quad \frac{\pi}{3} < \arg(z) < \frac{7\pi}{3}, \\
  w_3(z) &= -\frac{i}{2\sqrt{\pi}} z^{3/2} e^{-\frac{z}{\lambda}} (1 + O(z^{-\frac{3}{2}}))\quad -\frac{\pi}{3} < \arg(z) < \frac{5\pi}{3}, \\
  w_4(z) &= \frac{e^{\lambda\pi i} - e^{-\lambda\pi i}}{2\pi i} \Gamma(\lambda - 1) z^{1-\lambda} (1 + O(z^{-3}))\quad -\pi < \arg(z) < \frac{\pi}{3}, \\
  w_5(z) &= \frac{e^{\lambda\pi i} - e^{-\lambda\pi i}}{2\pi i} \Gamma(\lambda - 1) z^{1-\lambda} (1 + O(z^{-3}))\quad \frac{\pi}{3} < \arg(z) < \frac{5\pi}{3}, \\
  w_6(z) &= \frac{e^{\lambda\pi i} - e^{-\lambda\pi i}}{2\pi i} \Gamma(\lambda - 1) z^{1-\lambda} (1 + O(z^{-3}))\quad -\frac{\pi}{3} < \arg(z) < \pi.
\end{align*}
\]

A basis of solutions is obtained by considering one of the following sets:

\[
\begin{align*}
  W_0^{(1)} &:= \{1, w_6(z), w_3(z), w_1(z)\}, \\
  W_0^{(2)} &:= \{1, w_5(z), w_2(z), w_3(z)\}, \\
  W_0^{(3)} &:= \{1, w_4(z), w_1(z), w_2(z)\},
\end{align*}
\]

for

\[
\begin{align*}
  \arg(z) &\in \left(-\frac{\pi}{3}, \pi\right), \\
  \arg(z) &\in \left(\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{5\pi}{3}\right), \\
  \arg(z) &\in \left(-\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{3}\right),
\end{align*}
\]

respectively [27].

It is also easy to show that, both in the superluminal and in the subluminal case, by choosing suitably also the subluminal solutions, one finds

\[
\begin{align*}
  w_1(z) &= \psi^{\lambda-1} w_3(\psi z) = \psi^{2(\lambda-1)} w_2(\psi^2 z), \\
  w_4(z) &= \psi^{\lambda-1} w_6(\psi z) = \psi^{2(\lambda-1)} w_5(\psi^2 z),
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
\psi := e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}.
\]

One may also notice that, by considering

\[
\tilde{w}_j(z) := (e^{-i\frac{\pi}{3}})^{\lambda-1} w_j(e^{-i\frac{\pi}{3}} z),
\]

for \( j = 1, \ldots, 6 \), one may formally find basis sets also for the subluminal case. See figure [4] right side.

In the following sections, we shall exploit the aforementioned mathematical formalism in order to study two models for the analogous Hawking effect in condensed matter system. We shall consider two subluminal cases, represented by the Corley subluminal model and the Hopfield model for dielectric media. In the companion paper [24], we shall deal with the superluminal case represented by Bose–Einstein condensates, and also the further subluminal case represented by wave surfaces.
Figure 1. Paths for the superluminal case (left side, see [25, 27]), labeled with $C_j$, $j = 1, \ldots, 6$, and also for the subluminal case (obtained by a rotation of $-\pi/3$). An asterisk has been introduced for the paths in the latter case.

4. Corley model: subluminal case

We refer mainly to Corley in the subluminal case, deserving to the superluminal one part of the companion paper [23], where from the action
\[ S = \frac{1}{2} \int d^2x [(\partial_t + v \partial_x) \phi)^2 + \frac{1}{k_0} \partial_x^4 \phi] \]
displayed in [22, 2] one obtains by separation of variables the fourth order ordinary differential equation
\[ \frac{1}{k_0} \partial_x^4 \varphi + \left( 1 - \frac{v^2(x)}{c^2} \right) \partial_x^2 \varphi + 2 \frac{v(x)}{c^2} (i\omega - v'(x)) \partial_x \varphi - i \frac{\omega}{c^2} (i\omega - v'(x)) \varphi = 0, \]
where $v(x)$ is the velocity field and $v'(x)$ stands for its first derivative with respect to $x$, and we have restored the (constant) sound velocity $c$. In order to reproduce the features of the master equation above, one must consider the following choice of the scale parameter: we assume as a significant physical scale, as in [22, 2], the scale $k_0$ associated with the nonlinearity. By defining the dimensionless parameter $\epsilon$
\[ \epsilon := \frac{\omega}{ck_0}, \]
and the dimensionless coordinate $\xi = x\omega/c$, [4.2] becomes (with a small abuse of notation)
\[ \epsilon^2 \partial_\xi^4 \varphi + \left( 1 - \frac{v^2(\xi)}{c^2} \right) \partial_\xi^2 \varphi + 2 \frac{v(\xi)}{c} \left( i - \frac{v'(\xi)}{c} \right) \partial_\xi \varphi + \left( 1 + i \frac{v'(\xi)}{c} \right) \varphi = 0. \]
Assuming that $k_0 \gg \omega/c$, we get $0 < \epsilon^2 \ll 1$. Moreover, we have
\[ p_3(\xi, \epsilon) = 1 - \frac{v^2(\xi)}{c^2} = p_{30}(\xi), \]
\[ p_2(\xi, \epsilon) = 2 \frac{v(\xi)}{c} \left( i - \frac{v'(\xi)}{c} \right) = p_{20}(\xi), \]
\[ p_1(\xi, \epsilon) = 1 + i \frac{v'(\xi)}{c} = p_{10}(\xi). \]

\[ ^1 \text{It might be questioned such a choice of expansion parameter, as other choices could appear as more natural, e.g. one could consider } \kappa \text{ (cf. (4.9)) in place of } \omega. \text{ Still, it can be verified that in the error estimates like e.g. in (4.20) nothing substantial changes.} \]
There is no higher order contribution to the coefficients for this specific model (which is actually exceptional from this point of view).

4.1. The reduced equation. We notice that, in the limit $\epsilon \to 0$, one obtains the reduced equation, which we express in the original coordinates

\[
\left( 1 - \frac{v^2(x)}{c^2} \right) \partial_x^2 \varphi + 2 \frac{v(x)}{c^2} (i\omega - v'(x)) \partial_x \varphi - i \frac{\omega}{c^2} (i\omega - v'(x)) \varphi = 0,
\]

and, accordingly to [2], we assume $v(x) \leq 0$, so that the TP coincides with the solution of

\[
v(x) + c = 0.
\]

In the neighbourhood of the TP we have

\[
v(x) \simeq -c + \kappa x,
\]

where

\[
\kappa := v'(x = 0).
\]

The region where this approximation holds is called linear region henceforth.

The indicial equation for equation (4.6) provides a vanishing root $\alpha_1 = 0$ and a non-vanishing one $\alpha_2 = i \frac{\omega}{\kappa}$, so that, being $\lambda = 1 - \alpha_2$, one gets

\[
\lambda = 1 - i \frac{\omega}{\kappa},
\]

which is not an integer number for any $\omega > 0$.

4.2. WKB approximation. By now, we assume $x > 0$, i.e. $|v| < c$, which means that the external region is taken into account. We put

\[
\varphi(\xi) = \exp\left( \frac{\theta(\xi)}{\epsilon} \right) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^i y_i(\xi),
\]

and refer e.g. to the presentation given in [30]. To the lowest order, we obtain

\[
\theta'^4 + \left( 1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2} \right) \theta'^2 = 0,
\]

whose solutions are $\theta' = 0$ (multiplicity two), and

\[
\theta'_\pm = \pm i \sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}.
\]

Notice that, for $x < 0$, being $|v| > c$, we obtain an exponentially increasing solution (called growing mode in [8]), and a decaying solution.

We first take into account the latter solutions, and associate to them the so-called transport equation

\[
\theta'^2 (6\theta''y_0 + 4\theta'y'_0 + \theta'^2 y_1) + \left( 1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2} \right) (\theta''y_0 + 2\theta'y'_0 + \theta'^2 y_1) + 2 \frac{v}{c} \left( i - \frac{v'}{c} \right) \theta'y_0 = 0,
\]

and the next to leading order equation

\[
\theta'^2 (6\theta''y_1 + 4\theta'y'_1 + \theta'^2 y_2) + \left( 1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2} \right) (\theta''y_1 + 2\theta'y'_1 + \theta'^2 y_2) + 2 \frac{v}{c} \left( i - \frac{v'}{c} \right) \theta'y_1 + 5\theta'^2 y'_0' + \left( 12 \theta'^2 + 2 \frac{v}{c} \left( i - \frac{v'}{c} \right) \right) y'_0 + \left( 3\theta'^2 + 4 \theta'' + 1 + i \frac{v'}{c} \right) y_0 = 0.
\]

Going back to the original coordinates, we find the solutions

\[
\varphi_{\pm}(x) = C \left( \frac{1}{1 - \frac{v^2(x)}{c^2}} \right)^{3/4} \exp\left( \pm \frac{i \omega}{\epsilon c} \int_{-}\infty^{x} ds \sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2(s)}{c^2}} \right) \exp\left( \left. \frac{i \omega}{c} \int_{-}\infty^{x} ds \frac{v(s)}{c} \right|_{1 - \frac{v^2(s)}{c^2}} \right)
\times \left( 1 + \epsilon C \pm \frac{\epsilon c}{2} \int_{-}\infty^{x} ds \frac{1}{1 - \frac{v^2(s)}{c^2}} \right) \left( \frac{1}{1 - \frac{v^2(s)}{c^2}} \psi_1(s) + \psi_2(s) \right) + O(\epsilon^2),
\]
where
\[
\psi_1(s) = (i2\omega + 3v'(s))\frac{v^2(s)}{c^4} \left( \frac{15}{4} v'(s) + \frac{3}{4} i\omega \right) + \frac{v^2(s)v'^2(s)}{c^4},
\]
\[
\psi_2(s) = \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - 4i\frac{\omega v'(s)}{c^2} - \frac{7 v'^2(s) + v(s)v''(s)}{c^2}.
\]

Omitting the terms of order \(\epsilon\), the solutions correspond to the high wavenumber \(k\) solutions appearing in [2, 8]. 

\(C\) is a normalization constant which, as in [2], we can put equal to one. The \(O(\epsilon)\) terms allow us to determine the conditions under which our approximations remains good. Since the integral diverges when \(x \to 0\), this approximation fails at the TP. Still, it is assumed to hold in the linear region. When \(x \to \infty\), the integral part of the order \(\epsilon\) terms goes like
\[
\sim \mp i \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\left(1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \left(1 - \frac{3}{2} \frac{v^2}{c^2} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}\right) \frac{\omega^2}{c^4} x,
\]
where \(-c < v_r < 0\) is the value assumed by \(v\) far from the TP. We observe that the validity of the approximation requires
\[
x \ll k_0 c^2 \left(1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{c}{\omega} \left(1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}.
\]

Since, as in [2], we are interested in very low frequencies, \(\omega \sim 0\), this is not a strong restriction at all, at least if the asymptotic velocity is not too close to \(-c\).

It is also interesting to write the leading terms of \(\varphi_{\pm}(x)\) in the linear region:
\[
\varphi_{\pm}(x) \simeq \left(\frac{2\epsilon}{c}\right)^{-3/4} x^{-\varphi_s} \exp \left( \pm i \frac{2}{\epsilon} \sqrt{\frac{2k}{c} x^2} \right).
\]

Two further solutions occurring when \(\vartheta' = 0\) can be obtained from the reduced equation. The corresponding momenta are indicated, for a better comparison with [2], as \(k_{\pm s}\) (in literature one finds also the following correspondence: \(k_{+s} \rightarrow k_u\), \(k_{-s} \rightarrow k_r\)). In order to maintain the same order of approximation in our WKB expansion, one would need exact solutions, in order to avoid the introduction of a further expansion parameter. Nevertheless, we can appeal to the general features of the equation itself. Indeed, we obtain near the regular singular point \(x = 0\) (our TP) the following series expansions
\[
\varphi_{-s}(x) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n x^n,
\]
\[
\varphi_{+s}(x) = x^{i\frac{\varphi_s}{2}} \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n x^n\right).
\]

By comparing, as in [2, 8], the behaviour of the above four solutions in the linear region where (4.8) holds, with the solutions near the TP (to be discussed in the following subsection), one finds both thermality and the grey body factor.

It is useful to provide approximate solutions of the reduced equation even for large \(x\) (in the external region with respect to the black hole). It is easy to show that for large \(x\) in the above sense we have \(v(x) \sim \text{const}\), and then \(v' = 0\). As a consequence, e.g. under the conditions of theorem 1.9.1 of [31], we get as \(x \to \infty\)
\[
\varphi_{-s}(x) \sim \exp \left( -i\omega \frac{1}{c - v_r} x \right),
\]
\[
\varphi_{+s}(x) \sim \exp \left( i\omega \frac{1}{c + v_r} x \right),
\]
and this completes our asymptotic basis of solutions together with \(\varphi_{-}(x)\) and \(\varphi_{+}(x)\). As useful interpolating formulas (WKB-like, but they cannot be rigorously obtained by using the \(\epsilon\)-expansion as in the above framework)
we could also use
\[
\varphi_{-s}^{\text{int}}(x) \sim \exp \left( -i \omega \int_{-\infty}^{x} dy \frac{1}{c - v(y)} \right),
\]
\[
\varphi_{+s}^{\text{int}}(x) \sim \exp \left( i \omega \int_{x}^{\infty} dy \frac{1}{c + v(y)} \right),
\]

which still display the correct behaviour both in the linear region and in the asymptotic one.

For \( x < 0 \), the reduced equation provides us two further solutions
\[
\varphi_d(x) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e_n x^n,
\]
\[
\varphi_l(x) = x^{3/2} \left( 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n x^n \right),
\]
with the asymptotic behaviour
\[
\varphi_d(x) \sim \exp \left( -i \omega \frac{1}{c - v_l} x \right),
\]
\[
\varphi_l(x) \sim \exp \left( i \omega \frac{1}{c + v_l} x \right),
\]
with \( \lim_{x \to -\infty} v(x) =: v_l < -c < 0 \). These solutions correspond to left-moving modes in the superluminal region, and they are the only propagating modes in that region. We notice that the mode \( \varphi_l(x) \) is a negative-norm mode.

4.3. Approximation near the turning point. We first point out that, for the present case, we have
\[
2z = \left( \frac{2k}{c} \right)^{1/3} \epsilon^{-2/3} x,
\]
and we choose to construct directly the relevant physical states by exploiting the method of the steepest descents [32, 33, 34]. The analysis proceeds as in the original paper by Corley [2], with the relevant difference that a different parameter of the asymptotic expansion is proposed (e.g. in [2] the non-linearity scale \( k_0 \), which plays a fundamental role in our analysis, is put equal to one); furthermore, the near horizon equation allows to take into account the \( -s \)-mode, albeit in the form of a constant solution, which still matches the WKB behaviour in the matching region. A different but rigorous tool for evaluating the branch cut contribution (see below) is exploited. In previous literature, starting from [2], the so-called boundary condition for the subluminal case required a decaying mode beyond the horizon \( (x < 0) \), described by a path in the complex plane that can be deformed into the ones in the external region. The mathematical root of this condition will be discussed below. From a physical point of view, this condition fixes the relative amplitudes of the involved modes near the turning point. Strictly speaking, it is not a boundary condition in itself, but it indicates how the modes involved in the process at hand actually participate to the process itself. Figure 2 amounts to the diagram introduced by Corley [2], in which the homotopic deformation of the decaying mode for \( x < 0 \) gives the modes with momenta \( k_+, k_-, k_{+s} \) appearing in the external region \( (x > 0) \). They represent the high momentum incoming modes \( k_{\pm} \), one of which having negative norm \( (k_-) \), and the outgoing Hawking mode \( k_{+s} \). Since these modes must implement such a diagram near the TP, they participate with the same relative amplitude to the scattering process. The fourth mode, i.e. the short momentum regular mode, \( k_{-s} \), corresponds to a solution in the Laplace space (or, equivalently, in the Fourier space) that is of different nature. Therefore, it cannot be included in the diagram as a mode resulting from the homotopic deformation of the decaying mode. At the very least, this leaves the relative amplitude of the fourth mode undetermined with respect to the former ones. In order to match the WKB solutions, we are interested in an asymptotic expansion for large \( z \) (notice that this can be obtained also by leaving \( x \) suitably small in order to allow the linear approximation hold true).

\[\text{We use } 3.13 \text{ working with the coordinate } x \text{ in place of } \xi.\]
Figure 2. Paths used in the subluminal case in Corley’s work \[2\]. \(C_\pm\) correspond to the dispersive modes, \(C_{cut}\) to the Hawking mode, and \(C_d\) to the decaying mode. The last mode is the one in the inner region \(x < 0\). As remarked by Corley \[2\], \(C_d\) can be deformed in the paths \(C_+\), \(C_-\), \(C_{cut}\).

The \(k_\pm\) contribution can be evaluated by means of the saddle point approximation, as well as the aforementioned decaying mode. We need the following formal expression

\[
|z|^{-\frac{1}{2}} I_j(z),
\]

where

\[
I_j(z) = \int_{C_j} du g(u) \exp(|z|^{3/2} h_\pm(u)),
\]

and

\[
g(u) := u^{\lambda-2}, \quad h_\pm(u) := \pm u + \frac{u^3}{3};
\]

here \(\pm = \text{sign}(x)\) and \(C_j\) are the patches defined in \[2\]. We have for \(x < 0\) the decaying mode passing through the saddle point \(u = 1\)

\[
w_{\text{decaying}}(z) \simeq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} |z|^{-\frac{\omega}{\pi}-\frac{3}{4}} e^{-\frac{2}{3}|z|^{3/2}}.
\]

The other saddle point \(u = -1\) corresponds to the growing mode (which diverges at infinity), whose coefficient in the scattering matrix is zero (cf. e.g. \[3, 21\]).

For \(x > 0\) we have the modes \(k_\pm\) in correspondence of the steepest descents passing through the saddle points \(u_\pm = \pm i\), and we get

\[
w_+(z) \simeq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3} - \frac{2}{3} e^{-\frac{2}{3}|z|^{3/2}}}, \quad w_-(z) \simeq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3} - \frac{2}{3} e^{-\frac{2}{3}|z|^{3/2}}}. \]

It is nice to notice that, thanks to relation \[4.32\], the amplitude of the decaying mode and of the \(k_\pm\) modes above are proportional to \(\sqrt{\epsilon}\) and then vanish as \(\epsilon \to 0\), as expected. We can also provide a bound on the error...
occurring in neglecting higher order contributions to the saddle point approximation. Following e.g. [32] we find
\[ x^{3/2} \gg \frac{1}{k_0} \sqrt{\frac{c}{2k_8}} \left( \frac{1681}{36} + \frac{\omega^4}{k^4} + \frac{110 \omega^2}{3 k^2} \right)^{1/2}. \] (4.41)

As to the ratio \( \omega/\kappa \), it is known that the Hawking effect is mostly peaked for \( \omega \approx \kappa \). As well known, there is also a maximal value of \( \omega \) beyond which no Hawking effect occurs. See the following subsection for more details.

As to the cut contribution, it represents the Hawking mode, as well known. It is remarkable that the branch cut lies along a steepest descent. Indeed, we have that for the subluminal case the imaginary part of \( a + u^3/3 \) is \( b(1 + a^2 - b^2/3) \), where \( a = a + ib \). As a consequence, \( b = 0 \) is a steepest descent line. This allows us to compute the cut contribution along the lines suggested in [34], chapter 4, section 4.8, finding thus
\[ w_{\text{cut}}(z) \approx -\frac{1}{i\pi} |z|^{\frac{3}{2}} \Gamma \left( -\frac{\omega}{\kappa} \right) \sinh \left( \frac{\pi \omega}{\kappa} \right), \] (4.42)
which coincides (apart from the factor \( 2\pi i \) we introduced) with the approximation given in [2], but on more rigorous grounds.

For \( x < 0 \), it is easy to realize that the constant solution still appears. And one may also simply consider the contribution [1,42] by choosing a suitable analytical continuation for \( x < 0 \). It turns out that, by choosing the branch where \( -1 = e^{-i\pi} \), the further solution one obtains
\[ w_{\text{cut}-}(z) :\approx -\frac{1}{i\pi} e^{\frac{x\pi}{\kappa}} z^{\frac{3}{2}} \Gamma \left( -\frac{\omega}{\kappa} \right) \sinh \left( \frac{\pi \omega}{\kappa} \right), \] (4.43)
is such that it corresponds to the Hawking partner, living on a different branch (cf. also [2]); furthermore, one is enabled to obtain the so-called mode which straddles the horizon [35]. See the following subsection.

4.4. Matching: complete solutions. A careful comparison with the WKB expansion displayed in the previous section provides us the connection formulas (cf. the so-called central connections in [25]). It has to be remarked, as a consequence of the Corley’s black hole boundary condition, in the external region near the turning point we have
\[ \phi(x, t) = \phi_1(x, t) + \phi_2(x, t) + \phi_3(x, t) + h \phi_4(x, t), \] (4.44)
with \( \phi_1 \mapsto w_+, \phi_2 \mapsto w_, \phi_3 \mapsto w_{\text{cut}}, \phi_4 \mapsto 1 \) and where \( h \) remains undetermined by adopting the diagram of figure [2]. Therefore, the modes corresponding to \( w_+, w_{\text{cut}} \) enter with the same amplitude in the scattering matrix. Instead, for the fourth constant mode, room is left for a different amplitude, as indicated by the factor \( h \) in front of it. Eventually, \( h \) might even be set equal to zero, see also the discussion below equation (4.46). From a mathematical point of view, the solutions \( w_+, w_{\text{cut}}, w_{\text{decay}}, \) for \( z = 0 \), where they are regular, as a consequence of Cauchy’s Theorem, satisfy
\[ w_+(0) + w_-(0) + w_{\text{cut}}(0) = w_{\text{decay}}(0). \] (4.45)
For what concerns strictly the problem of fixing the relative amplitudes of the respective modes, this amounts to the above boundary condition stated by considering modes on different sides of the real turning point. Condition [4.45] works as well as the original condition by Corley.

A complete description of the matching is described in Appendix [I]. By comparing with the WKB solutions again in the matching region we find
\begin{align*}
\phi(x, t) &= e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{\kappa} \frac{2k}{c}} \left( \frac{2k}{c} \right)^{-i\frac{\omega}{\kappa} + \frac{1}{2}} e^{i\frac{x\pi}{\kappa}} \varphi_+(x, t) + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\kappa} \frac{2k}{c}} \left( \frac{2k}{c} \right)^{-i\frac{\omega}{\kappa} + \frac{1}{2}} e^{-i\frac{x\pi}{\kappa}} \varphi_-(x, t) - \\
&\quad \sinh \left( \frac{\pi \omega}{\kappa} \right) \Gamma \left( -\frac{i\omega}{\kappa} \right) \left( \frac{2k}{c} \right)^{\frac{2k}{c}} e^{-\frac{2i\omega}{\kappa}} \varphi_{+s}(x, t) + h \varphi_{-s}(x, t). \tag{4.46}
\end{align*}
\( h \) is still undetermined. The fact that the fourth mode \( \varphi_{-s}(x, t) \) is not involved in the Corley’s black hole boundary condition, suggests the following interpretation: it does not participate the process of Hawking particle production very near the TP, but it still might participate at a subsequent stage when scattering on
the geometry depletes the flux of Hawking particles by ‘barrier reflection’. This is what consistently appears to hold true for the model at hand, as also a direct calculation of the emitted flux confirms.

In literature, there exist two models where the fourth mode appear in the Corley diagram, see [10] and [18], where the fourth mode solution near the horizon has the same functional dependence of the other three solutions, and $h = 1$ occurs. Homotopic deformation from the decaying mode involves also the fourth mode, and its direct contribution to the grey-body factor appears [16]. On the grounds of the comparison with these models, being the fourth mode not present in the Corley’s diagram, Occam’s razor suggests that $h = 0$ is the most economic hypothesis for the value of $h$, for what strictly concerns the pair-creation process at least at the leading order, and that the grey-body factor $\Gamma$ (cf. (4.53)) is such that $\Gamma = 1$, but the latter conclusion is neither so straightforward nor so mandatory and we shall discuss it further in the following. By now, in view of further discussions to be carried out in the following, we write

$$h = h_{\text{haw}} + h_{\text{geom}},$$ \hspace{1cm} (4.47) 

where the first contribution is to be associated directly with the Hawking process, and the second one is instead related to a process of scattering on the geometry.

As to the modes $d, l$ in the black hole region, their matching is analogous to the one described above. The mode $d$, as discussed above, may be considered, together with its counterpart $-s$ on the external side of the horizon, a single mode representing the particle entering the hole, and, as such, it passes without any relevant effect. Of course, it can also participate to the whole scattering process for Hawking particles as the backward mode originated from scattering on the geometry of Hawking particles. The other mode $l$ can be again straightforwardly matched with its WKB part, and together with the $+s$ mode one may define the so-called straddle mode:

$$\phi_{\text{straddle}}(x, t) := \phi_{+s}(x, t)\theta(x) + \phi_l(x, t)\theta(-x),$$ \hspace{1cm} (4.48) 

where $\theta(x)$ is the Heaviside function. This mode, starting from the matching regions on both sides of the turning point, is composed by the Hawking mode on the external side, and of the Hawking partner on the black hole side. It contains a Planckian distribution of Hawking modes in the external region [35]. With respect to the standard case, there is of course a near-horizon regular part of the mode which is missing in the standard black hole case.

It may be noticed that, due to the transformation defined in (3.5), each solution in the near horizon approximation should be multiplied by an overall factor $e^{\eta/3}$. We can reabsorb this factor in the normalization. We shall adopt this convention henceforth in all the models we take into consideration.

4.5. **Thermality.** As usual, for thermality one may verify that

$$\frac{|J_x^+|}{|J_x^-|} = e^{-\beta \omega},$$ \hspace{1cm} (4.49) 

where

$$\beta := \frac{2\pi}{\kappa}$$ \hspace{1cm} (4.50) 

is the inverse Hawking temperature. We stress that, in this sense, thermality is unaffected by the still undetermined value of $h$. The current conservation provides

$$|J_x^{+s}| = |J_x^+| - |J_x^-| + |J_x^{-s}|,$$ \hspace{1cm} (4.51) 

which amounts to the usual relation between the Bogoliubov coefficients involved in the process. If we separate each contribution by $|J_x^{+s}|$ we obtain the square modulus of the amplitudes in (B.16).

We note that there is also the contribution of the regular mode $-s$, which is missing in the near-horizon diagram [2]. The subtle point is that *a priori*, the flux at infinity of the Hawking mode $+s$ can be depleted because of scattering (reflection) on a potential barrier emerging as an effect of the geometry. It has nothing to do with the horizon itself, as in the well-known astrophysical case: in four dimensions, e.g. a scalar particle on the Schwarzschild background is affected by the presence of a centrifugal barrier in the external region of the black hole (apart for $l = 0$ modes), which can reflect back to the horizon the Hawking quanta. Of course, in 2D Schwarzschild case this phenomenon is absent (no centrifugal contribution). In this sense, we stress that there is a very interesting further correspondence between black hole analogues and standard black holes, with a possible role of the reduced equation, i.e. the equation one would study in absence of dispersion. In general,
the reduced equation has a quite involved form, and it is not easy to solve exactly, except for particular cases. As in the astrophysical case, it allows also further approximations with respect to the weak dispersion scheme we propose herein. Indeed, even a limit of low frequency can be adopted, as in the astrophysical case, without making difficult to ascertain if thermality is present, as thermality is anyway granted by the calculations above.

4.6. Grey-body factor. In order to get also the grey-body factor one must evaluate the ratio

\[ R := \frac{|J_x^{-s}|}{|J_x^{+s}|}, \]  

which indicates the fraction of particles reflected back, and then obtain the grey-body factor as

\[ \Gamma = 1 - R = 1 - \frac{|J_x^{-s}|}{|J_x^{+s}|}. \]  

In line of principle, one might deduce the grey-body factor from the direct calculation of

\[ |\beta_\omega|^2 := \frac{|J_x^-|}{|J_x^{+s}|} = |\tilde{C}_-|^2, \]  

which represents the number of created particles, as known (for the second equality cf. (4.53)). In the case of the present model, one would obtain a perfectly Planckian spectrum with \( \Gamma = 1 \), which implies \( h = 0 \). Still, even if this route is viable, there is the risk of a poor approximation (as in the standard Hawking effect calculations).

We notice that fluxes in (4.53) are both calculated at \( x = \infty \), which is the only asymptotic region available to both the modes at hand. The actual absence of the mode \( -s \) in the Hawking process suggests that it cannot directly come into the play and affect the particle production rate, i.e. \( h_{haw} = 0 \) (cf. eq. (4.47)). But for the actual grey-body factor, including a further scattering beyond the Hawking effect, this can also be not all the story. The point is that the further scattering from the geometry as discussed above is a further contribution to the grey-body factor, as we can write with self-evident notation

\[ R = R_{haw} + R_{geom} + R_{int}, \]  

where for completeness also a possible interference term \( R_{int} \) is written, and when \( h_{haw} = 0 \) only \( R_{geom} \) survives, as it arises from an independent process where still the Hawking mode \( +s \) is involved, so that

\[ R = R_{geom}. \]  

Our suggestion consists simply in studying the reduced equation for the \( \pm s \) modes, reducing it in the form of a Schrödinger equation. This might be obtained by means of a suitable variable transformation on the geometry associated with the reduced equation, which is the geometry of the analogue black hole, allowing to switch to Schwarzschild-like coordinates where the metric is diagonal and only a second order term in spatial derivatives appears. Indeed, the reduced equation, which valid in the WKB approximation, couples the short wavenumber modes \( \pm s \) each other. Given a \( +s \)-mode entering from the part of the linear region, where the WKB approximation is valid, we are enabled to calculate

\[ R_{reduced} := \left( \frac{|J_x^{-s}|}{|J_x^{+s}|} \right)_{reduced}, \]  

with the fluxes computed asymptotically, using e.g. \( \rho \) tortoise-like coordinate (see (4.64) below), and with \( |J_x^{-s}| \) measured at \( \rho = -\infty \) (i.e. near the horizon, but still in a region where the WKB works well). That value would give a mechanism of interplay between the two short wavenumber modes, which should be taken properly into account. Then, for consistency of the picture, one should also verify that

\[ R_{reduced} = R_{geom}. \]  

This contribution to the scattering is calculated from the point of view of the static observer sitting at \( \infty \) in the geometrical setting discussed below. There is also a further possible interpretation, indeed one may also choose to measure the flux of particles entering the horizon by measuring the flux of modes \( d \) at \( x = -\infty \), as the flux

\[^3\text{Notice that this is not mandatory, cf. e.g. } \text{[36]} \text{ for the BEC case.}\]
of entering particles generated by the back-scattering and measured by the static observer must coincide with
the one of modes $d$ arriving at $x = -\infty$:

$$R_{\text{geom}} = \left| \frac{J_{x}^{(d)}}{J_{x}^{(sx)}} \right|. \quad (4.59)$$

To be more explicit, (4.6) is of course equivalent to the Klein–Gordon equation

$$\Box \phi(x, t) = 0, \quad (4.60)$$
on the curved background metric

$$ds^2 = c^2 dt^2 - (v(x) dt - dx)^2, \quad (4.61)$$
when static solutions $\phi(x, t) = e^{-i\omega t} \varphi(x)$ are considered. A standard coordinate transformation

$$dt = d\tau - \frac{g_{01}(x)}{g_{00}(x)} dx, \quad (4.62)$$
carries the metric in the diagonal Schwarzschild-like form

$$ds^2 = \left(1 - \frac{v(x)^2}{c^2}\right) c^2 d\tau^2 - \frac{1}{1 - \frac{v(x)^2}{c^2}} dx^2, \quad (4.63)$$
so that, by choosing the tortoise-like coordinate

$$\rho := \int \frac{dx}{1 - \frac{v(x)^2}{c^2}}, \quad (4.64)$$
one obtains the following Schrödinger-like equation

$$\frac{1}{1 - \frac{v(x)^2}{c^2}} \left( \frac{d^2 \varphi(\rho)}{d\rho^2} + \omega^2 \varphi(\rho) \right) = 0, \quad (4.65)$$
which amounts to a free equation in the external region. Therefore, there is no barrier, i.e. no reflection, and
the grey body factor is trivially

$$\Gamma = 1. \quad (4.66)$$
As a consequence, $h = 0$ and then, in this framework the model at hand is purely thermal, at least at the
leading order in $\epsilon$.

As well known since former studies on the dispersive models, there exists a maximal frequency $\omega_{\text{max}}$ such
that, for $\omega > \omega_{\text{max}}$, only two modes participates to the scattering process and the Hawking effect is no more present [22]. It is also known that $\omega_{\text{max}}$ is proportional to the dispersive scale $k_0$ both in the subluminal and in
the superluminal cases [37, 38], and then it goes to infinity in the limit as $k_0 \to \infty$ (i.e. as $\epsilon \to 0$). One has to
expect that the spectrum is truncated at $\omega_{\text{max}}$ for non-zero values of $\epsilon$. For completeness, in Appendix C the
S-matrix formalism is also sketched.

5. The dielectric case

This case is just more tricky, since one has to deal with a system of differential equations instead of a single
equation. Indeed, in the so-called $\phi-\psi$ model [39], one has

$$\mathcal{L}_{\phi\psi} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu} \phi)(\partial^{\mu} \phi) + \frac{1}{2\chi \omega_0^2} [(v^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} \psi)^2 - \omega_0^2 \psi^2] + \frac{g}{c} (v^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} \psi) \phi, \quad (5.1)$$
where $\phi, \psi$ play the role of electromagnetic field and polarization field respectively, $\chi$ plays the role of the
dielectric susceptibility, $v^{\mu}$ is the usual four-velocity vector of the dielectric, $\omega_0$ is the proper frequency of the
medium, and $g$ is the coupling constant between the fields. We get the system

$$\Box \phi - \frac{g}{c} (v^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \psi) = 0, \quad (5.2)$$
$$\left( \frac{1}{\chi \omega_0^2} (v^{\mu} \partial_{\mu})^2 + \frac{1}{\chi} \right) \psi + \frac{g}{c} (v^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \phi) = 0. \quad (5.3)$$

Therefore, the grey body factor is trivially

$$\Gamma = 1. \quad (4.66)$$
As a consequence, $h = 0$ and then, in this framework the model at hand is purely thermal, at least at the
leading order in $\epsilon$.

As well known since former studies on the dispersive models, there exists a maximal frequency $\omega_{\text{max}}$ such
that, for $\omega > \omega_{\text{max}}$, only two modes participates to the scattering process and the Hawking effect is no more present [22]. It is also known that $\omega_{\text{max}}$ is proportional to the dispersive scale $k_0$ both in the subluminal and in
the superluminal cases [37, 38], and then it goes to infinity in the limit as $k_0 \to \infty$ (i.e. as $\epsilon \to 0$). One has to
expect that the spectrum is truncated at $\omega_{\text{max}}$ for non-zero values of $\epsilon$. For completeness, in Appendix C the
S-matrix formalism is also sketched.
For simplicity, we put $g = 1$ in what follows (as this parameter, introduced in [15], is no more necessary herein). We proceed as in [18], by considering that the spatial dependence appears in $\chi$ and in $\omega_0$ in such a way that $\chi \omega_0^2 = \text{const.}$ Cf. also [39], chapter 10. In this case, we identify

$$\epsilon^2 := \frac{1}{\chi \omega_0^2}, \quad (5.4)$$

as the small parameter occurring in the problem.

5.1. A separated equation for $\psi$. We apply the operator $\Box$ on the left of equation (5.3) (cf. [16]), and by taking into account the stationary case, where $\phi = \varphi(x)e^{i\omega t}, \psi = f(x)e^{i\omega t}$ are in the kernel of the operator $[\Box, v^\mu \partial_\mu]$, one obtains the following fourth order ordinary differential equation:

$$-\epsilon^2 \partial_x^4 f - 2i\epsilon \frac{\omega}{v} \partial_x^3 f + \frac{1}{\chi \gamma^2 v^2} \left[-\left(1 - \chi \gamma^2 \frac{\nu^2}{c^2}\right) + \epsilon^2 \chi \omega^2 \right] \partial_x^2 f + 2 \left(i \frac{\omega}{v} \frac{1}{c^2} (1 - \epsilon^2 \omega^2) - \frac{1}{\gamma^2 v^2} \left(\partial_x \frac{1}{\chi}\right)\right) \partial_x f$$

$$+ \left(\epsilon \frac{\omega^4}{v^2 c^2} - \frac{1}{\gamma^2 v^2} \left(\partial_x^2 \frac{1}{\chi}\right) - \frac{\omega^2}{\chi \gamma^2 v^2 c^2} - \frac{\omega^2}{\epsilon^2 v^2}\right) f = 0. \quad (5.5)$$

In order to obtain a form reproducing the original master equation (2.1), we need a further step: we define $f(x) = h(x)\zeta(x)$, with

$$h(x) = A \exp\left(-i \frac{\omega}{2v} x\right), \quad (5.6)$$

where $A$ is a constant. $h(x)$ is chosen such that the third order term vanishes, and the procedure is analogous to the Liouville transformation which eliminates the first order term in a second order linear ordinary differential equation. This leads to the following quartic equation, which is just of the type ‘Orr–Sommerfeld’ in the sense described in the previous sections

$$-\epsilon^2 \partial_x^4 \zeta + \left[-\frac{1}{\chi \gamma^2 v^2} \left(1 - \chi \gamma^2 \frac{\nu^2}{c^2}\right) + \epsilon^2 \frac{1}{\gamma^2 v^2} \left(1 - \frac{3}{2} \gamma^2\right) \omega^2\right] \partial_x^2 \zeta$$

$$+ \left[i \frac{\omega}{v} \frac{1}{\chi \gamma^2 v^2} \left(1 + \chi \gamma^2 \frac{\nu^2}{c^2}\right) - 2 \frac{1}{\gamma^2 v^2} \left(\partial_x \frac{1}{\chi}\right) - i \epsilon \frac{\omega^3}{v^2 c^2}\right] \partial_x \zeta$$

$$+ \left[\frac{1}{\gamma^2 v^2} \left(i \frac{\omega}{v} \left(\partial_x \frac{1}{\chi}\right) - \partial_x^2 \frac{1}{\chi}\right)\right] + \frac{1}{\gamma^2 v^2} \left(\frac{\omega^2}{4 \chi v^2} \left(1 - \chi \gamma^2 \frac{\nu^2}{c^2}\right) - \frac{\omega^2}{\chi c^2}\right)$$

$$+ \epsilon^2 \left(\frac{\omega^4}{v^2} \left(-\frac{1}{16} + \frac{\nu^2}{4 c^2}\right)\right) \zeta = 0. \quad (5.7)$$

The TPs occur for

$$1 - \chi(x) \gamma^2 \frac{\nu^2}{c^2} = 0, \quad (5.8)$$

and we consider only the black hole solution.

The reduced equation is

$$\frac{1}{\chi \gamma^2 v^2} \left(1 - \chi \gamma^2 \frac{\nu^2}{c^2}\right) \partial_x^2 \zeta - \frac{\omega}{v} \frac{1}{\chi} \left(1 + \chi \gamma^2 \frac{\nu^2}{c^2}\right) - 2 \left(\partial_x \frac{1}{\chi}\right) \partial_x \zeta + \cdots \zeta = 0, \quad (5.9)$$

where the limit $\epsilon \to 0$ is taken and $\cdots$ is a contribution readable just from (5.7), and which does not participate to the indicial equation, whose roots are

$$\alpha_1 = 0, \quad \alpha_2 = -i \frac{\omega c}{\gamma^2 v^2 n}, \quad (5.10)$$

$n$ is the refractive index, which is defined such that

$$n^2 = 1 + \chi; \quad (5.11)$$

then we find

$$\lambda = 2 - i \frac{\omega c}{\gamma^2 v^2 n}, \quad (5.12)$$
Thanks to such a knowledge, one is able to find out the behaviour of $\psi$ in all regions of interest, and in particular in the matching region.

5.1.1. **WKB approximation.** As in subsection 4.2 we put

$$\zeta(x) = \exp\left(\frac{\theta(x)}{\epsilon}\right) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^i y_i(x),$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.13)

and obtain

$$\theta' + \frac{1}{\chi^2} \frac{1}{v^2} \left(1 - \chi \gamma^2 \frac{v^2}{c^2}\right) \theta' = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.14)

whose solutions are $\theta' = 0$ (multiplicity two), and for $x > 0$

$$\theta' = \pm i \frac{1}{\sqrt{\chi \gamma v}} \sqrt{1 - \chi \gamma^2 \frac{v^2}{c^2}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.15)

The latter solutions are associated with the transport equation

$$y_0' + \frac{1}{1 - \chi \gamma^2 \frac{v^2}{c^2}} \left[-\frac{y_0'}{4 \chi} + i \frac{\omega}{2v} \left(1 + \chi \gamma^2 \frac{v^2}{c^2}\right)\right] y_0 = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.16)

and the next to leading order equation

$$y_0' + \frac{1}{1 - a} \left[-\frac{y_0'}{4 \chi} + i \frac{\omega}{2v} (1 + a)\right] y_1 = \mp i \frac{\sqrt{\chi \gamma}}{v} \left[\frac{9 \chi''(s)}{4 \chi} - \frac{1}{1 - a} \frac{37 \chi^2(s)}{16 \chi^2(s)} + i \frac{\omega \gamma'(s) + 7a}{\chi \gamma v} \frac{1}{1 - a}\right] \left[\frac{\chi(s)}{a(s)}(1 - a)\right] y_0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.17)

where we have defined

$$a(x) := \chi(x) \gamma^2 \frac{v^2}{c^2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.18)

Solutions are of the form

$$y_0(x) = B \chi(x)^{1/4} (1 - a(s))^{-1/4} e^{-i \frac{\pi}{4} f^r ds \frac{4 + a(s)}{-8a(s)}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.19)

$$y_1(x) = y_0(x) \left\{ D \mp i \int_x^\infty ds \frac{\sqrt{\chi \gamma}}{v} \left[\frac{9 \chi''(s)}{4 \chi} - \frac{1}{1 - a(s)} \frac{37 \chi^2(s)}{16 \chi^2(s)} + i \frac{\omega \gamma'(s) + 7a}{\chi \gamma v} \frac{1}{1 - a(s)}\right] \left[\frac{\chi(s)}{a(s)}(1 - a(s))\right] y_0(x) + \epsilon y_1(x) + O(\epsilon^2)\right\},$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.20)

where $B$ and $D$ are constants. Then we obtain the high momentum modes

$$\zeta_{\pm}(x) = e^{\pm \frac{1}{2} i \frac{\pi}{4} f^r ds \frac{4 + a(s)}{-8a(s)}} (y_0(x) + \epsilon y_1(x) + O(\epsilon^2)).$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.21)

If we require $\epsilon y_1 < y_0$ in the region where $\chi$ is essentially constant, we get the restriction

$$x < \frac{\omega y_0}{\omega^2} (1 - a_{as})^{5},$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.22)

where $a_{as}$ is the asymptotic value of $a(x)$. Since typically $1 - a_{as} \sim 10^{-2}$, by $v/\omega = \lambda/(2\pi)$ we can also write

$$x < \frac{\omega y_0}{\omega^2} \frac{\lambda}{2\pi} 10^{-5}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.23)

This implies that the approximation is valid for frequencies such that $\omega < \omega_0$.

Near the TP one obtains

$$|f_{\pm}(x)| \propto x^{-1/4},$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.24)

as found in [18] for the electromagnetic case and in the $\phi - \psi$ model, see [39], chapter 10. For $x < 0$, the solutions with $\theta' \neq 0$ are exponentially decaying (decaying mode) and growing (growing mode) respectively.
Two further solutions occur from the reduced equation, when \( \theta' = 0 \). We find near the regular singular point \( x = 0 \) (our TP) the following series expansions for \( x > 0 \):

\[
\zeta_-(x) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n x^n, \quad \text{(5.25)}
\]

\[
\zeta_+(x) = x^{-1-i\frac{\omega c}{v c n}} \left( 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n x^n \right). \quad \text{(5.26)}
\]

Still, we get the same behaviour near the TP as calculated in \([13]\) for the electromagnetic case and in \([39]\), chapter 10, for the simpler \( \phi - \psi \) model

\[
|f_{-s}(x)| \propto \text{const}, \quad \text{(5.27)}
\]

\[
|f_{+s}(x)| \propto x^{-1}. \quad \text{(5.28)}
\]

As in the Corley model discussed in the previous section, we can also obtain for \( x < 0 \) two further modes \( d, l \) which asymptotically propagate towards \( x = -\infty \). We don’t provide details, as they are straightforward.

5.1.2. Approximation near the turning point. Solutions near the TP have the following behaviour in the matching region, and we recall that \( \lambda = 2 + i \frac{\omega c}{v c n} := 2 - i \frac{\omega c}{\kappa} \), where

\[
\kappa := \gamma^2 |u'| \quad \text{(5.29)}
\]

amounts to the surface gravity of the dielectric black hole (see e.g. \([41]\)). Being

\[
z = \left( \frac{2\kappa}{\sqrt{\gamma}} \right)^{1/3} e^{-2/3} x, \quad \text{(5.30)}
\]

we can exploit the solutions we found in the previous section, as formally we have the same equation and then the same solutions (with different explicit values of \( p'_{\theta 0}(0) \) and of \( \epsilon \)). As a consequence, we obtain for \( x < 0 \) the decaying mode in an analogous way as for \([43]\), and it provides us the black hole boundary condition for the present model (which is subluminal, too). For \( x > 0 \) we have the modes \( k_{\pm} \) in correspondence of the steepest descents passing through the saddle points \( u_{\pm} = \pm i \), i.e.

\[
w_{+}(z) \simeq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\omega c}{\kappa} |z| - \frac{\omega c}{\kappa} e^{\frac{3}{2}|z|^{3/2}}}, \quad \text{(5.31)}
\]

\[
w_{-}(z) \simeq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} e^{\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\omega c}{\kappa} |z| - \frac{\omega c}{\kappa} e^{-\frac{3}{2}|z|^{3/2}}} \quad \text{(5.32)}
\]

As to the cut contribution, we find

\[
|w_{\text{cut}}(z)| \simeq \left| \frac{1}{i\pi} \Gamma \left( 1 - i \frac{\omega c}{\kappa} \right) \sinh \left( \frac{\pi \omega c}{\kappa} \right) \right|. \quad \text{(5.33)}
\]

It is easy to show that a matching is possible in the linear region, and thermality can be easily verified. Still, as the polarization field is substantially an ‘ancillary field’ in the model, the really propagating field being the electromagnetic one, we prefer to calculate the matching and thermality of the spectrum by following a different route.

5.2. A separated equation for \( \phi \). One might get an equation for \( \phi \) as in \([16]\), with the drawback of a tricky complication for dealing the limit as \( \omega \to 0 \). Hence we prefer to proceed in a different way, and obtain a fourth order equation for \( \phi \) from the original system of differential equations \( (5.2) \) and \( (5.3) \).

Our trick is again to separate the variables in the comoving frame, with \( \phi = \varphi(x)e^{i\omega t}, \psi = f(x)e^{i\omega t} \). A quartic equation is obtained as follows: we apply the operator \( (i\omega + v\partial_x) \) to both the members of \( (5.2) \)

\[
(i\omega + v\partial_x) \left( -\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - \partial_x^2 \right) \varphi = \frac{1}{c} \gamma (i\omega + v\partial_x)^2 f; \quad \text{(5.34)}
\]

from \( (5.3) \) one can isolate the term \( f/\chi \) on the left side, and by finding \( (i\omega + v\partial_x)^2 f \) from \( (5.34) \) one obtains

\[
f = -\frac{1}{c} \chi \gamma (i\omega + v\partial_x) \varphi - \chi^2 \gamma c (i\omega + v\partial_x) \left( -\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - \partial_x^2 \right) \varphi. \quad \text{(5.35)}
\]
Then one can exploit equation (5.2) on the separated variables

\[
\left(- \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - \partial_x^2\right)\varphi = \frac{1}{c} \gamma (i\omega + v\partial_x) f,
\]

together with the above expression for \( f \), and get the fourth order equation

\[
- \epsilon^2 \gamma^2 v^2 \partial_x^4 \varphi - \epsilon^2 \gamma^2 (2i\omega v + v^2 (\partial_x \chi)) \partial_x^3 \varphi \\
- \left(1 - \chi^2 \frac{v^2}{c^2} + \epsilon^2 \left(\frac{\omega}{v} \gamma^2 v^2 (\partial_x \chi) - \chi \omega^2\right)\right) \partial_x^2 \varphi \\
+ \left[\frac{1}{c^2} \chi \gamma^2 v^2 \left(2i \omega v + \frac{1}{\chi} (\partial_x \chi)\right) - \epsilon^2 \gamma^2 \left(2i \omega^3 c^2 \chi v + v^2 (\partial_x \chi)\right) \frac{\omega}{c^2}\right] \partial_x \varphi \\
+ \left[- \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - \frac{1}{c^2} \chi \gamma^2 \omega^2 + iv \frac{1}{c^2} \gamma \omega (\partial_x \chi) + \epsilon^2 \gamma^2 \omega^4 \right] \varphi = 0.
\]

In order to eliminate the third order term, we put \( \varphi = h(x)\eta(x) \), and in this case the function \( h(x) \) must satisfy the differential equation

\[
4h' + \left(2i \frac{\omega}{v} + \frac{1}{\chi} (\partial_x \chi)\right) h = 0,
\]

whose solution is

\[
h = A \chi^{-1/4} e^{-i \frac{\pi}{2} x}.
\]

Then one obtains the fourth order differential equation for \( \eta \) in the desired form:

\[
- \epsilon^2 \gamma^2 v^2 \partial_x^4 \eta - \left(1 - \chi^2 \frac{v^2}{c^2} + O(\epsilon^2)\right) \partial_x^2 \eta \\
+ \left[i \frac{\omega}{v} \left(1 + \chi \gamma^2 \frac{v^2}{c^2}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\gamma^2 v^2}{c^2} (\partial_x \chi) + \frac{1}{2\chi} (\partial_x \chi) + O(\epsilon^2)\right] \eta' + (\cdots) \eta = 0,
\]

where we have not written explicitly the \( O(\epsilon^2) \) terms and the last contribution because they are not useful herein. In particular, the last contribution does not affect the indicial equation for the reduced equation

\[
- \left(1 - \chi^2 \frac{v^2}{c^2}\right) \partial_x^2 \eta + \left[i \frac{\omega}{v} \left(1 + \chi \gamma^2 \frac{v^2}{c^2}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \gamma^2 \frac{v^2}{c^2} (\partial_x \chi) + \frac{1}{2\chi} (\partial_x \chi)\right] \eta' + (\cdots) \eta = 0.
\]

We find

\[
\alpha_1 = 0, \quad \alpha_2 = -i \frac{\omega c}{\gamma^2 v^2 \eta'},
\]

from which

\[
\lambda = 1 + i \frac{\omega c}{\gamma^2 v^2 \eta'}.
\]

5.2.1. WKB approximation. In this case we put

\[
\eta(x) = \exp\left(\frac{\theta(x)}{\epsilon}\right) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^i y_i(x),
\]

and obtain again

\[
\theta'^4 + \frac{1}{\chi \gamma^2 v^2} \left(1 - \chi \gamma^2 \frac{v^2}{c^2}\right) \theta'^2 = 0.
\]

By now, we consider just the case \( x > 0 \), as the case \( x < 0 \) is analogous to the one of the Corley model. Coming back to (5.46), its solutions are \( \theta' = 0 \) (multiplicity two), and

\[
\theta_{\pm} = \frac{\pm i}{\sqrt{1 - \chi \gamma^2 v^2/c^2}}.
\]

The latter solutions are associated with the transport equation

\[
y_0' + \frac{1}{\left(1 - \chi \gamma^2 \frac{v^2}{c^2}\right)} \left[\frac{3}{\chi} + i \frac{\omega}{2 \chi^2} \left(1 + \chi \gamma^2 \frac{v^2}{c^2}\right) - \frac{1}{4} \left(1 - \chi \gamma^2 \frac{v^2}{c^2}\right) \frac{\lambda}{\chi} \right] y_0 = 0.
\]
Solutions are of the form

$$y_0(x) = A \chi(1 - \alpha)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-i \frac{\phi_0}{2} f^x ds \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \alpha(s)}}},$$

(5.48)

and the high momentum modes are

$$\eta_{\pm}(x) = e^{\pm i \frac{\phi_0}{2} f^x ds \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \alpha(s)}}} y_0(x).$$

(5.49)

Near the TP one obtains

$$|\eta_{\pm}(x)| \propto x^{-3/4}.$$  

(5.50)

Two further solutions occurring when \( \theta' = 0 \) are obtained from the reduced equation. We find near the regular singular point \( x = 0 \) (our TP) the series expansions

$$\eta_{\pm}(x) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n x^n,$$

(5.51)

$$\eta_{\pm}(x) = x^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n x^n.$$  

(5.52)

Near the TP we get

$$|\eta_{-s}(x)| \propto \text{const},$$

(5.53)

$$|\eta_{s}(x)| \propto \text{const},$$

(5.54)

and all the aforementioned asymptotics have the same behaviour as calculated in [18] for the electromagnetic case and for the simpler \( \phi - \psi \) model in [39], chapter 10.

5.2.2. Approximation near the turning point. We recall that \( \lambda = 1 + i \frac{\omega_0}{\gamma v c u} := 1 - i \frac{\omega_0}{\pi} \), being \( z = \left( \frac{2\pi}{v c u} \right)^{1/3} e^{-2/3} x \), we find in the external region \( x > 0 \)

$$w_+(z) \approx \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\frac{3}{4} i \pi} e^{\frac{\omega_0}{\gamma v c u}} |z|^{-\frac{\omega_0}{2\pi} - \frac{3}{4} i} e^{i \frac{3}{2} |z|^{3/2}},$$

(5.55)

$$w_-(z) \approx \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} e^{\frac{3}{4} i \pi} e^{-\frac{\omega_0}{\gamma v c u}} |z|^{\frac{\omega_0}{2\pi} - \frac{3}{2} i} e^{-i \frac{3}{2} |z|^{3/2}}.$$  

(5.56)

As to the cut contribution, we find

$$w_{\text{cut}}(z) \approx \frac{1}{i\pi} \Gamma \left(-i \frac{\omega_0}{\kappa} \right) \sinh \left( \frac{\pi \omega_0}{\kappa} \right) |z|^{1/2}. $$

(5.57)

Also in this case, we obtain for \( x < 0 \) the decaying mode in an analogous way as for (4.38).

Near the turning point we obtain from the black hole boundary condition and in the external region

$$\phi(x, t) = \phi_1(x, t) + \phi_2(x, t) + \phi_3(x, t) + h \phi_4(x, t),$$

(5.58)

where \( \phi_1 \to w_+, \phi_2 \to w_-, \phi_3 \to w_{\text{cut}} \) and \( \phi_4 \to 1 \). By comparing with the WKB solutions again in the matching region, we find

$$\phi(x, t) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} e^{\frac{\omega_0}{\gamma v c u}} e^{-i \frac{3}{4} \pi} e^{i \frac{\omega_0}{\gamma v c u} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{2\pi}{v c u} \right)^{-i \frac{\omega_0}{\gamma v c u}} \varphi_+(x, t)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\frac{\omega_0}{\gamma v c u}} e^{i \frac{3}{4} \pi} e^{i \frac{\omega_0}{\gamma v c u} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{2\pi}{v c u} \right)^{-i \frac{\omega_0}{\gamma v c u}} \varphi_-(x, t)$$

$$- \frac{\sinh \left( \frac{\omega_0}{\kappa} \right)}{\pi i} \Gamma \left(-i \frac{\omega_0}{\kappa} \right) \left( \frac{2\pi}{v c u} \right)^{i \frac{\omega_0}{\gamma v c u}} e^{-i \frac{\omega_0}{\gamma v c u}} \varphi_{s}(x, t) + h \varphi_{-s}(x, t).$$

(5.59)

A trivial matching involves also the fourth mode \( \varphi_{-s}(x, t) \), which is regular everywhere.
5.3. Thermality. We can identify the aforementioned solutions as corresponding to the backward state $B \mapsto \phi_{-\epsilon}(x,t)$, the positive high-momentum state $P \mapsto \phi_{+}(x,t)$, the negative norm high-momentum state $N \mapsto \phi_{-}(x,t)$ and the Hawking state $H \mapsto \phi_{+(x,t)}$, respectively. We obtain

$$\left|\frac{N}{P}\right|^2 := \left|\frac{J^{-}}{J^{+}}\right| = e^{-2\frac{2\epsilon v}{c}}(\epsilon)(5.60)$$

which corresponds to the standard signal of the thermal character of the black hole horizon. The current density has the following structure [15]:

$$J^\mu := i\left[\phi^*\partial^\mu \phi - (\partial^\mu \phi^*)\phi + \frac{1}{\chi \omega_0} v^\mu \psi^* v^\alpha \partial_{\alpha} \psi - \frac{1}{\chi \omega_0} v^\mu \psi v^\alpha \partial_{\alpha} \psi^* + \frac{1}{c} v^\mu (\psi^* \phi - \psi \phi^*)\right].(5.61)$$

One considers the fields in the asymptotic (homogeneous) region in the comoving frame, where they are normalized as in [40]. Furthermore, the term quadratic in $\psi$ in the present expansion at the leading order is suppressed, as is $O(\epsilon^2)$. One obtains

$$|J_x| = \left|\left(-k_x - \frac{1}{c^2} \chi \gamma v \left(\frac{k_n v^\alpha}{1 - \frac{k_n v^2}{\omega_0}} + O(\epsilon^2)\right)\right) \varphi^* \varphi\right| = \left|\left(-k_x - \frac{1}{c^2} \chi \gamma v(k_n v^\alpha)\right) \varphi^* \varphi\right|.(5.62)$$

In particular, in the asymptotic region $x \to \infty$ we have

$$k^{+x} = \frac{\omega n - v}{v^2 - v n}, \quad \quad k^{-x} = -\frac{\omega n + v}{v^2 - v n} \quad \quad (5.63) \quad \quad (5.64)$$

5.4. The grey-body factor. Of course, one may study the problem of determining $h_{\omega}$ directly by considering the reduced equation and its solutions. This might be a nontrivial route, as the equation is quite involved. Alternatively, in order to calculate the grey-body factor at least in an approximate way, we could first identify the metric associated with the model at hand. From equations (5.2), (5.3), in the approximation where the term $\propto \epsilon^2$ is neglected and in the eikonal approximation, we get the metric deduced in [41]

$$ds^2 = c^2 \gamma^2 \frac{1}{n^2} \left(1 + \frac{nv}{c}\right) \left(1 - \frac{nv}{c}\right) dt^2 + 2 \gamma^2 \frac{v}{n^2} (1 - n^2) dt dx - \gamma^2 \left(1 + \frac{v}{nc}\right) \left(1 - \frac{v}{nc}\right) dx^2, \quad \quad (5.65)$$

where we are in the comoving frame of the pulse generating a propagating dielectric perturbation and the refractive index depends on $x$: $n = n(x)$. Differently form the Corley model, the metric is not exact but approximated, and holds only in the eikonal approximation. The above metric is conformally related to the one deduced in [10]. There exists a coordinate transformation carrying the metric into a static form; even if they are singular, we carry out the relative transformation because it allows a direct computation of the grey-body coefficient. The following coordinate change

$$dt = d\tau - \alpha(x) dx, \quad \quad (5.66)$$

where

$$\alpha(x) = \frac{g_{01}(x)}{g_{00}(x)}. \quad \quad (5.67)$$

carries the metric takes into the static form [11]

$$ds^2 = \frac{c^2}{n^2(x)} g_{\tau\tau}(x) d\tau^2 - \frac{1}{g_{\tau\tau}(x)} dx^2, \quad \quad (5.68)$$

where

$$g_{\tau\tau}(x) := \gamma^2 \left(1 + n(x) \frac{v}{c}\right) \left(1 - n(x) \frac{v}{c}\right). \quad \quad (5.69)$$

We do not delve into the explicit calculation, as is the same displayed in [41], which confirms in the present two-dimensional model that $\Gamma = 1$, and then, in this approximation, $\hbar = 0$ once more, and that there is a divergence as $\omega \to 0$ in the number of created particles, as numerically tested in [42] and then also found in [10] in a different approximation scheme (see also [13]). This approximation might be too crude, and $\Gamma < 1$ could
also be allowed by a better approximation. Still, again, the leading contribution to \( h \) as arising from the pair creation process is vanishing.

Also in this case, a maximal frequency \( \omega_{\text{max}} \) exists \[42\] (see also \[15\]) beyond which no Hawking effect is expected, and then a truncation of the spectrum for \( \omega > \omega_{\text{max}} \) is to be taken into account. One may wonder which differences occur with respect to the calculation in \[16\]. Therein, the fourth backward mode participates to the Corley’s diagram near the TP, as it appears as a further cut integral in the Fourier space. It is remarkable that this diagram was calculated in the approximation where the square of the resonance frequency is a linear function in \( x \), which is of course different from the case at hand. But this is not the only source of differences, as it is the approximation we perform herein in itself which is able to leave just only a cut-integral (in the Laplace dual space), with the other short wavenumber mode (the backward one) absent from the diagram. Analogous considerations can be made in a comparison with the calculations developed for the Hopfield model discussed in \[18\], where the grey-body factor was not available.

6. Conclusions

We have explored a further way to approach analytical calculations for the Hawking effect in analogue gravity. A fourth order equation, which is of the Orr–Sommerfeld type, has been shown to play the role of master equation in analogue gravity, with reference to the analogous Hawking effect. The approximation adopted is the one of weak dispersive effects, where the suitable coupling of the fourth order term is associated with the parameter \( \epsilon \) entering the equation. Nishimoto’s analysis \[24\] for equations of the Orr–Sommerfeld type provides us the tools for achieving a suitable approximation near the turning point (horizon), and we are enabled to provide a complete study of thermality for both the subluminal fluid model of \[22\] \[2\] and for the dielectric one. Indeed, we can provide a scheme for the calculation of an analytic expression of the grey-body factor, which is a difficult task (to our best knowledge, it was calculated only in the model studied in \[16\] with reference to the two aforementioned cases). Then a more complete study of the Hawking emission in condensed matter systems is achieved when dispersion is weak, which provides the most direct correspondence with the standard Hawking effect, with an enhanced role of the reduced equation (i.e. the equation one obtains in absence of dispersion). It is remarkable that the geometrical setting of the analogous Hawking effect in this scheme arises in the WKB approximation which holds near but not too near the horizon. The model of course leaves open the possibility to explore more sophisticated situations where dispersive effects are strong, which would provide regimes for Hawking-like radiation which are more far from the standard case.

The perspective is open also for a more sophisticated analogue black hole spectroscopy, allowing a more precise comparison between experimental measurements and theoretical computations. We can also claim that the same calculational scheme can be adopted successfully also in the case of BEC and of surface waves \[23\].
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Appendix A. A further justification of the near horizon approximation

We provide a further justification of the near horizon approximation, which allows us also to show that the Orr–Sommerfeld form of the equation is not mandatory, in the sense that one can allow also for third order terms in the derivative, with the only restriction that they are at least of the same order of the fourth order one in the suitable coupling and that they do not vanish at the TP.

We start by a slight generalization of \[2.1\]

\[
\delta^2 \frac{d^4 \Phi}{dx^4} \pm \left[ \delta^2 p_q(x, \delta) \frac{d^3 \Phi}{dx^3} + p_3(x, \delta) \frac{d^2 \Phi}{dx^2} + p_2(x, \delta) \frac{d \Phi}{dx} + p_1(x, \delta) \Phi \right] = 0,
\]

where we have changed the power of the expansion parameter with respect to \[13\] \[14\], in order to allow a direct comparison with the framework discussed in the paper. The new term in the third order derivative has been
added. We first introduce for simplicity of notation
\[ f(x) := \frac{p_{30}(x)}{p'_{30}(0)}, \tag{A.2} \]
where we have shifted the turning point (where \( p_{30}(x) = 0 \)) at \( x = 0 \).

Then we define a Langer-like variable, adapting the definition assumed in [23, 24]:
\[ \eta(x) := \left[ \frac{3}{2} \int_0^x dy \sqrt{f(y)} \right]^{2/3}. \tag{A.3} \]
For definiteness, we consider the subluminal case (the superluminal one is obtained in a straightforward way).

We shall indicate with \( \Phi^{(i)}, i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \) the derivatives with respect to the new variable, and by \( \Phi', \Phi'', \Phi''', \Phi'''' \) the derivatives with respect to \( x \). We can notice that
\[ \eta'(x) = \sqrt{\frac{f(x)}{\eta(x)}}, \tag{A.4} \]
which is regular as \( x \to 0 \). Furthermore, due to (A.2), also \( \eta' \to 1 \) as \( x \to 0 \) holds true.

As to (A.1), considering only the leading order terms, we obtain
\[ \delta^2 \Phi^{(4)} + \delta^2 \left( 6 \frac{\eta''}{(\eta')^2} + \frac{1}{\eta'} + O(\delta) \right) \Phi^{(3)} + \left( p'_{30}(0) \eta + O(\delta) \right) \Phi^{(2)} \]
\[ + \left( p_{20} \frac{1}{(\eta')^3} + p_{30} \frac{\eta''}{(\eta')^2} + O(\delta) \right) \Phi^{(1)} + \left( p_{10} \frac{1}{(\eta')^4} + O(\delta) \right) \Phi = 0. \tag{A.5} \]

We now define
\[ \epsilon_R := \frac{\delta}{(p'_{30}(0))^{1/2}}, \tag{A.6} \]
in order to mimic the behavior occurring in [23, 24]. An equation holding in the near horizon approximation is obtained by means of the following definition (with some abuse of notation)
\[ \Phi(\zeta, \epsilon_R) := \Phi\left( \frac{\eta}{(\epsilon_R)^{2/3}}, \epsilon_R \right), \tag{A.7} \]
and also the new variable
\[ \zeta := \frac{\eta}{(\epsilon_R)^{2/3}} = (p'_{30}(0))^{1/3} \delta^{-2/3} \eta. \tag{A.8} \]
Furthermore, one has to take into account that
\[ p_{30} = (\eta')^2 p'_{30}(0) \eta. \tag{A.9} \]

Then one finds the following equation
\[ \left( p'_{30}(0) \right)^{1/3} \Phi^{(4)} + \delta^{2/3} \left( 6 \frac{\eta''}{(\eta')^2} + \frac{1}{\eta'} + O(\delta) \right) \left( p_{30}(0) \right) \Phi^{(3)} + \left( p'_{30}(0) \right)^{4/3} \zeta + O(\delta^{1/3}) \Phi^{(2)} \]
\[ + \left( p_{20} \left( p'_{30}(0) \right)^{1/3} \Phi^{(4)} \frac{1}{(\eta')^3} + O(\delta^{2/3}) \right) \Phi^{(1)} + O(\delta^{2/3}) \Phi = 0. \tag{A.10} \]

At the leading order and assuming that \( p_2 \) (and then also \( p_{20} \)) is analytic in a neighbourhood of the TP we obtain
\[ \Phi^{(4)} + \zeta \Phi^{(2)} + \frac{p_{20}(0)}{p'_{30}(0)} \Phi^{(1)} = 0, \tag{A.11} \]
which by taking into account that
\[ \lambda := \frac{p_{20}(0)}{p'_{30}(0)}, \tag{A.12} \]
coincides with the equation obtained by means of the method borrowed from [23]. The relation between \( \epsilon \) in the previous sections and \( \epsilon_R \) is simply
\[ \epsilon = \epsilon_R \sqrt{p'_{30}(0)} = \delta, \tag{A.13} \]
and also \( \zeta = z \) holds.
APPENDIX B. MATCHING CONDITIONS

Let us now further discuss the matching conditions underlying the scattering process at hand. We take into consideration states living on the right side of the turning point, directly involved in the Hawking effect. We have to match in a single solution the WKB part and the near horizon part of the modes introduced above, in such a way to obtain basis functions which are defined in the whole domain. For the WKB part, we have to consider the basis
\[
\{ \varphi_{WKB}^+, \varphi_{WKB}^-, \varphi_{WKB}^+_s, \varphi_{WKB}^-_s \},
\]
(B.1)
whereas for the near horizon (NH) region we get the further basis
\[
\{ \varphi_{NH}^+, \varphi_{NH}^-, \varphi_{NH}^+_s, \varphi_{NH}^-_s \}.
\]
(B.2)

Let us denote \( \varphi_{WKB}^i(x) \) and \( \varphi_{NH}^i(x) \) the parts to be joined for the \( i \)-mode, with \( i = \pm, \pm_s \). The general WKB solution has the form
\[
\varphi_{WKB}(x) = \sum_i C_i \varphi_{WKB}^i(x),
\]
(B.3)
where \( C_i \) are constant (i.e. independent from \( x \)), and the general NH solution is
\[
\varphi_{NH}(x) = \sum_i D_i \varphi_{NH}^i(x),
\]
(B.4)
where also \( D_i \) are constant. In the matching region, where the two approximation co-exist, we have
\[
\varphi_{WKB}^i(x) \sim a_i h_i(x),
\]
(B.5)
and also
\[
\varphi_{NH}^i(x) \sim b_i h_i(x),
\]
(B.6)
with the same functional dependence \( h_i(x) \). Then, matching in the linear region requires
\[
C_i = b_i a_i D_i.
\]
(B.7)

Notice that, compared to the standard matching of the WKB solutions with Airy functions for the Schrödinger equation in quantum mechanics, in place of fixing the constant for the near turning point solutions as functions of the ones in the WKB-allowed regions, in agreement with Corley’s ideas, we proceed in the complementary direction, as an indication that part of the amplitudes arises from what happens at the turning point. Moreover, for \( x \to \infty \) the propagating modes participating to the Hawking process behave as plane waves:
\[
\varphi_{WKB}^i(x) \sim a_i e^{ik_i(\omega)x},
\]
(B.8)
so that
\[
\varphi_{WKB}(x) = \sum_i C_i a_i e^{ik_i(\omega)x},
\]
(B.9)
and we may define
\[
c_i := \frac{b_i}{a_i} D_i \tilde{a}_i,
\]
(B.10)
in order to compare with the amplitudes defined in [2]. In order to get scattering amplitudes, let us write
\[
C_i = \tilde{C}_i N_i,
\]
(B.11)
where \( N_i \) are the normalizations of the modes in the asymptotic region, which are consistent with the quantization of the field in the \( \omega \)-representation [38]. In particular, we have
\[
N_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi |v_g(k_i(\omega))(\omega - vk_i(\omega))|}},
\]
(B.12)
where \( v_g(k_i(\omega)) \) is the group velocity of the \( i \)-th mode. \( \tilde{C}_j \) represent the actual amplitudes:
\[
\tilde{C}_i = \frac{b_i}{N_i a_i} D_i \tilde{a}_i.
\]
(B.13)
Because of the black hole boundary condition, we have
\[ D_+ = D_- = D_{+s} := D, \] (B.14)
whereas the fourth mode has a different amplitude, that we put equal to
\[ D_{-s} := hD. \] (B.15)
Therefore, by comparison with the asymptotic behavior of the field, one obtains
\[ \bar{C}_+ = \frac{b_+ \bar{a}_+ N_{+s} a_{+s}}{N_{a_+} b_{+s} a_{+s}}, \] (B.16)
\[ \bar{C}_- = \frac{b_- \bar{a}_- N_{+s} a_{+s}}{N_{a_-} b_{+s} a_{+s}}, \] (B.17)
\[ \bar{C}_{+s} = 1, \] (B.18)
\[ \bar{C}_{-s} = \frac{b_{-s} \bar{a}_{-s} N_{+s} a_{+s}}{N_{a_{-s}} b_{+s} a_{+s}}. \] (B.19)
See also the comment below equation (4.51). As regards the complete solution, we have a basis
\[ \{ \varphi_+(x), \varphi_-(x), \varphi_{+s}(x), \varphi_{-s}(x) \}, \] (B.21)
which reduces to the aforementioned bases in the different regions: of course
\[ \varphi_i(x) \sim \varphi_i^{WKB}(x) \] (B.22)
asymptotically, and also
\[ \varphi_i(x) \sim \varphi_i^{NH}(x) \] (B.23)
near the turning point. In the matching region it holds
\[ \varphi_i(x) \sim \bar{C}_i N_i a_i h_i(x) = D_i b_i h_i(x). \] (B.24)
For the process at hand, the general solution
\[ \varphi(x) = \sum_i A_i \phi_i(x) \] (B.25)
must be such that, asymptotically, one gets again
\[ A_i = \bar{C}_i = \frac{b_i}{N_{a_i}} D_i \bar{a}_i. \] (B.26)
It is worthwhile mentioning that, in more rigorous mathematical terms, we have been discussing the topic of central connections in terms of the language adopted in [25]. Therein, one considers a fundamental matrix \( \Phi^{NH} \) of solutions near the TP, a fundamental matrix \( \Phi^{WKB} \) of solutions in the WKB region, and then matches through a matrix \( \Lambda \) according to
\[ \Phi^{WKB} = \Phi^{NH} \Lambda, \] (B.27)
where \( \Lambda \) is asymptotically diagonal [25]. It is easily verified that this condition is equivalent to the one we discussed above.

**Appendix C. The complete S-matrix**

The following scattering operator is taken into account in literature (see e.g. [20] for the subluminal case): if one considers as \( IN \)-modes the ones moving towards the TP and as \( OUT \)-modes the ones moving towards infinity (i.e. \( x \to \pm \infty \)), one may write
\[ \Phi^{OUT} = S \Phi^{IN} \] (C.1)
where
\[ \Phi^{IN} := \begin{pmatrix} \phi_+ \\ \phi_- \end{pmatrix} \] (C.2)
and

$$\Phi_{\text{OUT}} := \begin{pmatrix} \phi_u \\ \phi_d \end{pmatrix}.$$ (C.3)

One has

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{uu} & \sigma_{ul} & \sigma_{ud} & \sigma_{dl} \\ \sigma_{dv} & \sigma_{ul} & \sigma_{d+} & \sigma_{dl} \\ \sigma_{tv} & \sigma_{l+} & \sigma_{dl} & \sigma_{dl} \\ \sigma_{lv} & \sigma_{l+} & \sigma_{dl} & \sigma_{dl} \end{pmatrix}. $$ (C.4)

One obtains from $SS^{-1} = 1$, and by taking into account that $S \in U(2,1)$,

$$|\sigma_{uv}|^2 + |\sigma_{u+}|^2 - |\sigma_{u-}|^2 = 1, $$ (C.5)

$$|\sigma_{dv}|^2 + |\sigma_{d+}|^2 - |\sigma_{d-}|^2 = 1, $$ (C.6)

$$|\sigma_{l-}|^2 - |\sigma_{l+}|^2 - |\sigma_{l+}|^2 = 1. $$ (C.7)

The first line amounts to current conservation (4.51) for the Hawking process. For $h = 0$ one obtains a trivial decoupling of the S-matrix:

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_{u+} & \sigma_{u-} \\ 0 & \sigma_{d+} & \sigma_{d-} \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. $$ (C.9)
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