Quark matter symmetry energy effects on hadron-quark coexistence in neutron star matter
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We examine the effects of the isovector-vector coupling and hypercharge-vector coupling in quark matter on hadron-quark coexistence in neutron star matter. The relativistic mean field theory with the TM1 parameter set and an extended TM1 parameter set are used to describe hadronic matter, and the Nambu-Jona-Lasinio model with scalar, isoscalar-vector, isovector-vector and hypercharge-vector couplings is used to describe deconfined quark matter. The hadron-quark phase transition is constructed via the Gibbs conditions for phase equilibrium. The isovector-vector and hypercharge-vector couplings in quark matter enhance the symmetry energy and hypercharge symmetry energy in neutron star matter, while their effects are found to be suppressed at high densities by the strange quarks. As a result, the hadron-quark mixed phase shrinks with only isovector-vector coupling and moves to higher density with isovector-vector and hypercharge-vector couplings. The maximum mass of neutron star increases slightly with isovector-vector and hypercharge-vector couplings.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Existence of the first-order phase transition in dense neutron star matter is of primary interest in nuclear physics and compact star astrophysics. In the inner core region of massive neutron stars, the baryon density may reach $(5-10)\ n_0$ ($n_0 \simeq 0.15\ fm^{-3}$), and the chiral and deconfinement hadron-quark phase transition may occur $^1$$^2$$^3$. At present, the sign problem prevents us to predict the properties of cold dense matter in the first principles calculations such as the lattice QCD Monte-Carlo simulations, then phenomenological approaches as well as experimental and observational data are necessary to explore the inner part of neutron stars.

One of the theoretical approaches to study the phase transition is to apply chiral effective models such as the Nambu-Jona-Lasinio (NJL) model. The first-order phase transition can occur at the baryon chemical potential $\mu_b = (1000-1200)\ MeV$ using the chiral effective models. Unfortunately, the order of the transition depends on the parameters, and the equation of state (EOS) of nuclear matter at low densities is not well described by the NJL model. Thus it is more realistic to consider the coexistence of hadronic and quark matter in order to predict the transition density. The QCD phase transition signal during the core collapse supernovae was investigated by using a relativistic mean field (RMF) model for hadronic matter and a bag model for quark matter $^4$$^5$$^6$, and the early collapse $^7$ or the second shock $^8$ was found to signal the transition to quark matter. In this case, the transition is necessarily of the first order and the transition density strongly depends on the bag constant. The hadron-quark coexistence is investigated also by using RMF for hadronic matter and the NJL model for quark matter $^9$$^10$. In RMF, the isovector-vector meson ($\rho$) plays an important role to control the symmetry energy in hadronic matter, and the coupling has been carefully chosen to explain the properties of finite nuclei. By comparison, the isovector-vector coupling in quark matter has been considered less carefully. The isovector-vector coupling constant ($G_3$) was chosen to be $G_3 = 1.5G_0$ in Refs. $^3$$^4$$^5$ and $G_3 = G_0$ in Ref. $^9$, where $G_0$ is the isoscalar-vector coupling, while the isovector-vector coupling was ignored in Ref. $^4$. This difference comes from the two independent types of the chiral SU($N_f$) vector coupling terms, $(\bar{q}\gamma_\mu q)^2$ and $\sum_\lambda[(\bar{q}\gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \lambda q)^2 + (\bar{q}\gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \lambda q)^2]$. The second type includes the isovector-vector coupling terms and gives rise to symmetry energy in quark matter, the energy increase from the $u$- and $d$- quark imbalance. Since the symmetry energy in nuclear matter is known to affect the neutron star properties such as radii, it is expected to be important also in the hadron-quark coexistence.

In this work, we examine the role of the isovector-vector coupling in quark matter on the hadron-quark coexistence in neutron star matter. For this purpose, we apply RMF for hadronic matter and the three-flavor NJL model for quark matter, and we compare the coexistence density region with and without the isovector-vector coupling term in NJL. A finite isovector-vector coupling in quark matter enhances the symmetry energy in quark matter, which characterizes the increase of the energy per baryon from unbalanced $u$- and $d$- quark densities. In addition to this isospin symmetry energy, the hypercharge
symmetry energy appears with \( N_f = 3 \) and controls the s-quark contribution. We also examine the effects of nuclear matter symmetry energy slope \( L \). We use the TM1 parameter set (\( L = 110.8 \) MeV) \([11]\) and an extended TM1 parameter set (TM1e) \([12]\), where the symmetry energy at the density of \( n_b = 0.11 \) fm\(^{-3}\) is fixed and the symmetry energy slope is tuned to be \( L = 50 \) MeV. We find that the quark matter symmetry energy increases the starting density of the hadron-quark coexistence and enhances the maximum mass of neutron stars. The quark matter symmetry energy effects on the hadron-quark coexistence are suppressed by the s-quarks and are smaller than those of the nuclear matter symmetry energy.

This article is organised as follows. In Sec. III we introduce the RMF model and the NJL model with the isovector-vector coupling for hadronic and quark matter, and we briefly describe the Gibb's conditions used as the equilibrium conditions in the hadron-quark mixed phase. In Sec. IV we show the numerical results of the hadron-quark coexistence in neutron star matter and discuss the impact of the isovector-vector coupling. Section V is devoted to a summary.

II. HADRONIC MATTER, QUARK MATTER AND HADRON-QUARK COEXISTENCE

A. Hadronic matter

We adopt the RMF theory to describe the hadronic phase, in which baryons interact by exchanging the isoscalar scalar (\( \sigma \)), isoscalar vector (\( \omega \)) and isovector vector (\( \rho \)) mesons. These mesons are treated as classical field under the mean-field approximation. For neutron star matter, we use the Lagrangian given as

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{RMF}} = \sum_{i=p,n} \bar{\psi}_i \left\{ i\gamma_{\mu}\partial^{\mu} - (M + g_{\sigma}\sigma) \right. \\
- \gamma_{\mu} \left[ \frac{g_{\omega} \omega^{\mu} + \frac{g_{\rho}}{2} \gamma_{\lambda} \rho^{\lambda \mu}}{2} \right] \left. \right\} \psi_i \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \sigma \partial^{\mu} \sigma - \frac{1}{2} m_{\omega}^2 \sigma^2 - \frac{1}{3} g_{3} \sigma^3 - \frac{1}{4} g_{3} \sigma^4 \\
+ \frac{1}{4} W_{\mu \nu} W^{\mu \nu} + \frac{1}{2} m_{\omega}^2 \omega_{\mu} \omega^{\mu} + \frac{1}{4} c_{3} (\omega_{\mu} \omega^{\mu})^2 \\
+ \frac{1}{4} R^{a}_{\mu \nu} R^{a \mu \nu} + \frac{1}{2} m_{\rho}^2 \rho_{\mu} \rho^{\mu} \\
+ \lambda_{\nu} \left( g_{2}^2 \omega^{\nu} \right) (g_{2}^2 \rho^{\nu} \rho^{\nu}) \\
+ \sum_{i=e,\mu} \bar{\psi}_i (i\gamma_{\mu}\partial^{\mu} - m_i) \psi_i, 
\]

which contains the contributions of baryons (\( n \) and \( p \)) and leptons (\( e \) and \( \mu \)). \( W^{\mu \nu} \) and \( R^{a \mu \nu} \) are the antisymmetric field tensors for \( \omega^{\mu} \) and \( \rho^{\mu} \), respectively. The parameters in the Lagrangian are usually determined by fitting nuclear matter saturation properties and ground-state properties of finite nuclei. We use the TM1 parameter set \([11]\) and an extended TM1 parameter set \([12]\), referred to as the TM1e parameter set in later discussions. In TM1e, the symmetry energy slope parameter is tuned to be \( L = 50 \) MeV at saturation density, as listed in Table I. For the homogeneous matter system, the meson field equations have the form as following:

\[
m_{\omega}^2 \omega + c_{3} \omega^3 + 2 \lambda_{\nu} g_{2}^3 q_\nu^2 \omega = g_{\omega} (n_p + n_n), \\
m_{\rho}^2 \rho + 2 \lambda_{\nu} g_{2}^3 q_\nu^2 \rho = \frac{g_{\rho}}{2} (n_p - n_n),
\]

where \( n_p \) and \( n_n \) represent the scalar and vector densities of the \( i \)-th baryon (\( i = n, p \)), respectively. The equations of motion for nucleons give the standard relations between the densities and chemical potentials,

\[
\mu_p = \sqrt{(k^p_F)^2 + M^2} + g_{\omega} + \frac{g_{\rho}}{2} \rho, \\
\mu_n = \sqrt{(k^p_F)^2 + M^2} + g_{\omega} - \frac{g_{\rho}}{2} \rho,
\]

where \( M^* = M + g_{\sigma} \sigma \) is the effective nucleon mass, and \( k^p_F \) is the Fermi momentum of species \( i \), which is related to the number density by \( n_i = (k^p_F)^3 / 3 \pi^2 \). For neutron star matter in \( \beta \) equilibrium, the chemical potentials satisfy the relations \( \mu_p = \mu_n - \mu_e \) and \( \mu_{\mu} = \mu_e \), where the chemical potentials of leptons are given by \( \mu_l = \sqrt{k^l_F + m_l^2} \). In neutron star matter, the total energy density and pressure are given by

\[
\varepsilon = \sum_{i=p,n} \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{0}^{k^p_F} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k^2 + M^*^2}} k^2 dk \\
+ \frac{1}{2} m_{\omega}^2 \sigma^2 + \frac{1}{3} g_{3} \sigma^3 + \frac{1}{4} g_{3} \sigma^4 + \frac{1}{2} m_{\omega}^2 \omega^2 + \frac{3}{4} c_{3} \omega^4 \\
+ \frac{1}{2} m_{\rho}^2 \rho^2 + 3 \lambda_{\nu} (g_{2}^2 \omega^2) (g_{2}^2 \rho^2) + \varepsilon_l, \\
P = \sum_{i=p,n} \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{0}^{k^p_F} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k^2 + M^*^2}} k^4 dk \\
- \frac{1}{2} m_{\omega}^2 \sigma^2 - \frac{1}{3} g_{3} \sigma^3 - \frac{1}{4} g_{3} \sigma^4 \\
+ \frac{1}{2} m_{\omega}^2 \omega^2 + \frac{1}{4} c_{3} \omega^4 + \frac{1}{2} m_{\rho}^2 \rho^2 \\
+ \lambda_{\nu} (g_{2}^2 \omega^2) (g_{2}^2 \rho^2) \varepsilon_l, 
\]

where \( \varepsilon_l \) and \( P_l \) (\( l = e, \mu \)) are the energy density and the pressure from leptons, respectively.

B. Quark matter

We adopt the three flavor NJL model to describe the deconfined quark phase. The Lagrangian is given by

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{NJL}} = \bar{q} \left( i\gamma_{\mu}\partial^{\mu} - m^0 \right) q + G_S \sum_{a=0}^{8} \left[ (\bar{q} \lambda_{a} q)^2 + (\bar{q} i\gamma_{5} \lambda_{a} q)^2 \right] \\
- K \left\{ \det [\bar{q} (1 + \gamma_5) q] + \det [\bar{q} (1 - \gamma_5) q] \right\} + L_{V},
\]
with

$$L_V = -G_0 (\bar{q} \gamma^\mu q)^2 - G_V \sum_{\alpha=1}^{8} \left[ (\bar{q} \gamma^\mu \lambda_\alpha q)^2 + (\bar{q} i \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 \lambda_\alpha q)^2 \right] \quad (10)$$

in which $q$ denotes the quark field with three flavors ($N_f = 3$) and three colors ($N_c = 3$). The determinant interaction is included in order to take account of the U(1)$_A$ anomaly. $G_S$, $G_0$ and $G_V$ are the scalar, flavor-singlet-vector and flavor-octet-vector coupling constants, respectively, and have dimensions of energy$^{-2}$. In the mean field approximation, only those terms with diagonal matrix elements in $\lambda_\alpha$ remain, then $L_V$ is reduced to

$$L_V = -G_0 (\bar{q} \gamma^\mu q)^2 - G_3 \left[ (\bar{q} \gamma^\mu \lambda_3 q)^2 + (\bar{q} i \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 \lambda_3 q)^2 \right] - G_8 \left[ (\bar{q} \gamma^\mu \lambda_8 q)^2 + (\bar{q} i \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 \lambda_8 q)^2 \right]. \quad (11)$$

In the flavor SU(3) limit, the isovector-vector coupling ($G_3$) and hypercharge-vector coupling ($G_8$) constants should be the same, $G_3 = G_8 = G_V$. In order to discuss the (isospin) symmetry energy and the hypercharge symmetry energy effects separately, we consider the cases with $G_3 \neq G_8$ as well.

In the mean-field approximation, quarks get constituent quark masses by spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking,

$$m_i^* = m_i^0 - 4G_S \langle \bar{q} i q_i \rangle + 2K \langle \bar{q} i j q_j \rangle \langle \bar{q} j i q_j \rangle, \quad (12)$$

where $\langle \bar{q} i q_i \rangle \equiv C_i$ denotes the quark scalar density, and $(i, j, k)$ is a permutation of $(u, d, s)$. For charge neutral quark matter containing quarks $(u, d, s)$ and leptons ($e$ and $\mu$) in $\beta$ equilibrium, the chemical potentials satisfy the relations $\mu_s = \mu_d = \mu_u + \mu_e$ and $\mu_\mu = \mu_e$, where the chemical potentials of $u, d, s$ and $\mu$ are given by

$$\mu_u = \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial m_u} = \sqrt{k_F^u + m_u^2} + 2G_0 (n_u + n_d + n_s), \quad (13)$$

$$\mu_d = \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial m_d} = \sqrt{k_F^d + m_d^2} + 2G_0 (n_u + n_d + n_s), \quad (14)$$

$$\mu_s = \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial m_s} = \sqrt{k_F^s + m_s^2} + 2G_0 (n_u + n_d + n_s) - \frac{4}{3} G_8 (n_u + n_d + 2n_s). \quad (15)$$

The energy density of quark matter is given by

$$\varepsilon_{NJL} = \sum_{i=u,d,s} \left[ \frac{3}{\pi^2} \int_{k_F^i}^{\Lambda} \sqrt{k^2 + m_i^2}^2 \, dk \right] + 2G_S (C_u^2 + C_d^2 + C_s^2) - 4K C_u C_d C_s + G_0 (n_u + n_d + n_s)^2 + G_3 (n_u - n_d)^2 \quad + \frac{1}{3} G_8 (n_u + n_d - 2n_s)^2 - \varepsilon_0, \quad (16)$$

where $\varepsilon_0$ is subtracted to ensure $\varepsilon_{NJL} = 0$ in the vacuum. The total energy density and pressure for quark matter are given by

$$\varepsilon_{QP} = \varepsilon_{NJL} + \varepsilon_l, \quad (17)$$

$$P_{QP} = \sum_{\mu=u,d,s,e,\mu} n_i \mu_i - \varepsilon_{QP}, \quad (18)$$

We employ the parameter set given in Ref. [R], $m_0^u = m_0^d = 5.5$ MeV, $m_0^s = 140.7$ MeV, $\Lambda = 602.3$ MeV, $G_S^2 = 1.835$, and $K\Lambda^2 = 12.36$. The vector couplings ($G_0, G_3, G_8$) are considered as free parameters, and we use $G_0 = 0.25 G_S, G_3, G_8 = (0, 1.5, 10) G_0$. For larger $G_0$ values ($G_0 > 0.27 G_S$), the energy in quark matter is found to be always larger than that in hadronic matter at high densities, and there is no phase transition. The parameter choice of $G_3 = G_8 = 1.5 G_0$ corresponds to the Lagrangian adopted in Refs. [R, R]. The larger isovector-vector coupling, $G_3 = G_8 = 10 G_0$, roughly gives the symmetry energy slope of $L_Q \approx 50$ MeV. The vector couplings increase the energy per baryon as

$$\frac{\Delta \varepsilon_V}{n_b} = 9G_0 n_b + G_3 n_b \delta^2 + 3G_8 n_b \delta_\delta^2, \quad (19)$$

$$\delta = \frac{n_d - n_u}{n_b}, \quad \delta_\delta = \frac{n_b - n_s}{n_b} = \frac{B + S}{B}. \quad (20)$$

There are two types of asymmetry parameter, $\delta$ and $\delta_\delta$, which are the isospin asymmetry and the hypercharge ($Y = B + S$) fraction. The symmetry energy is defined as the coefficient of $\delta^2$, then the vector coupling contribution to the symmetry energy is given as

$$\Delta S_V(n_b) = G_3 n_b + G_3 n_0 + 3 G_3 n_0 \left( \frac{n_b - n_0}{3n_0} \right). \quad (21)$$

The vector coupling contribution to the slope parameter is $\Delta L_V = 3 G_3 n_0 = 6.6$ and $44$ MeV for $G_3 = 1.5 G_0$ and $10 G_0$, respectively.
C. Hadron-quark phase transition

For neutron star matter, the β equilibrium and the charge neutrality are satisfied. We adopt simple Gibbs conditions for the mixed phase connecting the pure hadronic phase and the pure quark phase. The mixed phase may appear in the inner core of the neutron stars.

In the Gibbs conditions, the global charge neutrality condition is given by

\[ u n_{c}^{QP} + (1 - u) n_{c}^{HP} = 0, \]  

(22)

in which \( u = V_{QP}/(V_{QP} + V_{HP}) \) represents the volume fraction of quark matter in the mixed phase. The mechanical equilibrium requires

\[ P_{HP}(\mu_{n}, \mu_{e}) = P_{QP}(\mu_{n}, \mu_{e}). \]  

(23)

There are two independent chemical potentials, \( \mu_{n} \) and \( \mu_{e} \); the hadronic and quark phases satisfy the chemical equilibrium condition,

\[ \mu_{u} + \mu_{e} = \mu_{d} = \mu_{s} = \frac{\mu_{n}}{3} + \frac{\mu_{e}}{3}. \]  

(24)

With these equilibrium constraints, we can solve the mixed phase self-consistently and obtain the properties of the hadron-quark mixed phase.

III. ISOVECTOR-VECTORE COUPLING DEPENDENCE OF HADRON-QUARK COEXISTENCE IN NEUTRON STAR MATTER

We shall now investigate the effects of isovector-vector coupling on the equation of state, the density range of hadron-quark coexistence and the properties of neutron stars. For this intent, we use the RMF and NJL models to describe hadronic and quark matter, respectively. For RMF, the TM1 and TM1e parameter sets are used. These parameter sets show different symmetry energy slopes (\( L \)), which show significant effects on the neutron star radius. We include isovector-vector coupling in NJL, which modifies the quark matter symmetry energy. In the following discussions, we fix the isoscalar-vector coupling as \( G_{0} = 0.25 G_{b} \), and we compare the results of \( (G_{3}/G_{0}, G_{8}/G_{0}) = (0, 0), (1.5, 0), (1.5, 1.5), (10, 0) \) and \( (10, 10) \), referred to as NJL-V, NJL-VR1, NJL-VRY1, NJL-VR2 and NJL-VRY2, respectively. NJL-V and NJL-VRY1 correspond to models in Ref. [6] and Refs. [7], [8], respectively.

We define \( n_{b}^{(1)} \) and \( n_{b}^{(2)} \) as the starting and the ending baryon densities of the mixed phase. At the density \( n_{b}^{(1)} \), the energy per baryon in pure hadronic phase becomes lower than that of the mixed phase. The volume fraction of quark matter \( u \) increases with the baryon number density \( n_{b} \), and it transforms into pure quark phase at the density \( n_{b}^{(2)} \) under the condition that the mixed phase has larger energy density than the pure quark phase. The model dependence of the phase transition densities \( n_{b}^{(1)} \) and \( n_{b}^{(2)} \) are summarized in Table [11].

A. Equation of state

We first discuss the equation of state. In Fig. 10, we show the pressure as a function of the baryon number density for hadronic matter, quark matter, and the mixed phase obtained under Gibbs conditions. The top and bottom panels show the results from the TM1 \((L = 110.8 \text{ MeV})\) and TM1e \((L = 50 \text{ MeV})\) parameter sets, respectively. The left, middle and right panels show the results of NJL-V \((G_{3} = G_{8} = 0)\), NJL-VRY1 \((G_{3} = G_{8} = 1.5 G_{0})\) and NJL-VRY2 \((G_{3} = G_{8} = 10 G_{0})\), respectively.

In Fig. 2, we compare the energy per baryon, \( E/A - M \), as a function of baryon number density \( n_{b} \) for the hadronic, mixed, and quark phases. Open circles (squares, triangles) show the transition densities of NJL-V (NJL-VRY1, NJL-VRY2). Although the energy difference between NJL-V, NJL-VR1 and NJL-VR2 is small in quark matter, the \( n_{b}^{(1)} \) difference is very visible, whereas the \( n_{b}^{(2)} \) difference is rather small. The reason comes from the difference of the isospin and hypercharge asymmetry of quarks, \( \delta_{Q} = 3(n_{d} - n_{u})/(n_{d} + n_{u} + n_{s}) \) and \( \delta_{Q}^{u} = (n_{u} + n_{d} - 2n_{s})/(n_{d} + n_{u} + n_{s}) \), in the pure quark phase and in the mixed phase. In the mixed phase, the quark matter part is negatively charged and the number density difference between u- quark and d- quark (so as hypercharge difference) is bigger than that in the charge neutral pure quark phase as can be found from the electron chemical potential discussed below.

We show the electron chemical potential as a function of the neutron chemical potential (the baryon density) in the left (right) panel of Fig. 9. The electron chemical potential \( \mu_{e} \) in the mixed phase is significantly larger than that in the pure quark matter at the same baryon number density \( n_{b} \). The electron chemical potential \( \mu_{e} \) reflects the chemical potential difference of the u- and d- quarks \( \mu_{d} - \mu_{u} = \mu_{e} \). It can be seen as a signal of the imbalance between u- and d- quarks. The system becomes more symmetric with decreasing \( \mu_{e} \). The behavior of \( \mu_{e} \) can explain why the effect of isovector-vector coupling is more significant at lower densities. For pure quark matter, there exists a maximum value of \( \mu_{e} \), which corresponds to the appearance of s quark. This trend expresses the imbalance between u- and d- quarks is getting smaller. These trends are the same as those found in Fig. 2.

It would be interesting to discuss the reason why the electron chemical potential is small in pure quark matter. In Fig. 9, we find that \( \mu_{e} \) in pure quark matter increases at low densities, reaches \( \mu_{e} \approx 100 \text{ MeV} \), and turns to decrease at around \( \mu_{n} = 1300 \text{ MeV} \). At this density, the quark chemical potentials are evaluated as \( \mu_{u} \approx 370 \text{ MeV} \) and \( \mu_{d} = \mu_{s} \approx 470 \text{ MeV} \). Since the chemical potential of s- quark is close to its threshold value for appearance, then we expect that the appearance of s- quarks would be the mechanism to suppress the electron chemical potential.
TABLE II: Model dependence of the coexistence densities and neutron star properties, $G_0 = 0.25 G_S$.

| Model          | $L$ (MeV) | $G_3/G_0$ | $G_8/G_0$ | $n_b^{(1)}$ (fm$^{-3}$) | $n_b^{(2)}$ (fm$^{-3}$) | $M_{max}/M_\odot$ (fm$^{-3}$) | $n_c^{max}$ (fm$^{-3}$) | $R(1.4 M_\odot)$ (km) |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| TM1/NJL-V      | 110.8     | 0         | 0         | 0.508                  | 2.209                  | 2.098                         | 0.769                  | 14.3                   |
| TM1/NJL-VR1    | 110.8     | 1.5       | 1.5       | 0.565                  | 2.168                  | 2.125                         | 0.816                  | 14.3                   |
| TM1/NJL-VRY1   | 110.8     | 1.5       | 1.5       | 0.565                  | 2.225                  | 2.125                         | 0.815                  | 14.3                   |
| TM1/NJL-VR2    | 110.8     | 10        | 0         | 0.687                  | 2.067                  | 2.163                         | 0.850                  | 14.3                   |
| TM1/NJL-VRY2   | 110.8     | 10        | 10        | 0.718                  | 2.240                  | 2.170                         | 0.830                  | 14.3                   |
| TM1e/NJL-V     | 50        | 0         | 0         | 0.681                  | 2.210                  | 2.103                         | 0.900                  | 13.0                   |
| TM1e/NJL-VR1   | 50        | 1.5       | 1.5       | 0.753                  | 2.170                  | 2.114                         | 0.881                  | 13.0                   |
| TM1e/NJL-VRY1  | 50        | 1.5       | 1.5       | 0.757                  | 2.226                  | 2.115                         | 0.879                  | 13.0                   |
| TM1e/NJL-VR2   | 50        | 10        | 0         | 0.890                  | 2.076                  | 2.122                         | 0.888                  | 13.0                   |
| TM1e/NJL-VRY2  | 50        | 10        | 10        | 0.938                  | 2.241                  | 2.122                         | 0.888                  | 13.0                   |

Constraints 40~60 [13] - - - - 2.01 ± 0.04 [16] - 9.4 ± 1.2 [18] > 14 [19]

FIG. 1: (Color online) Pressures as a function of the baryon number density for the pure hadronic phase (dash-dotted lines), the mixed phase (dashed lines) and the pure quark phase (solid lines).

We find that the mixed phase shrinks when the isovector-vector coupling ($G_3$) and hypercharge-vector coupling ($G_8$) are switched on. Two couplings, $G_3$ and $G_8$, modify both of the transition densities, and the shift of $n_b^{(1)}$ is larger than that of $n_b^{(2)}$. This difference comes from the density dependence of the isospin asymmetry, $\delta \equiv ((1-u)(n_u - n_p) + u(n_d - n_u))/n_b$. In the hadron-quark mixed phase, the matter tends to be more symmetric with increasing baryon number density $n_b$. At $n_b \approx n_b^{(1)} < 0.9$ fm$^{-3}$, the isospin asymmetry $\delta$ is still significant, while $\delta$ is almost zero at $n_b \approx n_b^{(2)} > 2.3$ fm$^{-3}$. Since the energy from the isovector-vector coupling is proportional to $\delta^2$, it becomes small at high baryon densities. This mechanism also applies to the effect of the symmetry energy slope $L$.

B. Particle fraction and asymmetry

We now discuss the isovector-vector coupling ($G_3$) and hypercharge-vector ($G_8$) coupling effects in terms of the isospin asymmetry ($\delta$) and hypercharge fraction ($\delta_h$). We show $\delta$ ($\delta_h$) as a function of the baryon number density in the top (bottom) two rows in Fig. 1. We first find that $G_3$ and $G_8$ obviously suppress $\delta$ and $\delta_h$ in the pure quark phase and the mixed phase. We also note that $\delta$ decreases...
rapidly when $s$-quark appears and $\delta_b$ becomes smaller than unity. With increasing $n_b$, the effect from different symmetry energy slope $L$ becomes smaller. Finite $G_3$ and $G_8$ increase the energy and delay the appearance of the mixed phase, i.e. two couplings push up $n_b^{(1)}$. By comparison, $n_b^{(2)}$ is determined by both the energy increase and the asymmetry decrease in quark matter. The increase of the energy in quark matter pushes up $n_b^{(2)}$. The decrease of $\delta$ and thus $\mu_e$ tends to make coexisting hadronic matter isospin symmetric and positively charged, disfavors the mixed phase, and pushes down $n_b^{(2)}$. When both $G_3$ and $G_8$ are switched on, effects from the energy increase and the asymmetry decrease seem to cancel, and $n_b^{(2)}$ is almost the same as that without $G_3$ and $G_8$. When only $G_3$ is switched on, effects from the asymmetry decrease are larger than those from the energy increase, then $n_b^{(2)}$ decreases slightly.

Let us further discuss the effects of quark matter symmetry energy on particle fractions. In Fig. 3 we show the particle number fractions in hadron matter, quark matter and the mixed phase, as functions of the baryon number density. As already mentioned, the electron chemi-
FIG. 4: (Color online) The isospin asymmetry and hypercharge fraction for \((G_s/G_0, G_s/G_0) = (0, 0), (1.5, 0), (1.5, 1.5), (10, 0)
and (10, 10)).
FIG. 5: (Color online) Particle number fractions for the pure hadronic phase (dash-dotted lines), the mixed phase (dashed lines) and the pure quark phase (solid lines).

cal potential in the mixed phase is larger than that in pure quark matter, and therefore the fractions of $d$- and $s$-quarks in the mixed phase are larger than those in quark matter. When the isovector-vector coupling $G_3$ and hypercharge-vector coupling $G_8$ are taken into account, the differences between quark fractions become smaller and the quark matter tends to be more SU(3) symmetric. When $s$-quark appears, the differences between $u$-quark and $d$-quark is suppressed furthermore.

C. Neutron stars

Using the EOS of pure hadronic matter, the TM1 parameter set predicts a maximum neutron-star mass of $2.18 \, M_\odot$, with $M_\odot$ being the solar mass. The neutron star observations of PSR J1614-2230 \cite{14, 15} and PSR J0348+0432 \cite{16} constrain that the neutron star maximum mass needs to be larger than $2 \, M_\odot$. To examine the effect of isovector-vector coupling $G_3$ and hypercharge-vector coupling $G_8$ on the properties of neutron stars, we solve the Tolman-Oppenheimer-Volkoff (TOV) equation by using models listed in Table 1. The mass-radius relation is presented in the left panel of Fig. 6. The right panel shows the neutron star mass as a function of the neutron star central density $n_c$. We find that the isovector-vector coupling enhances the maximum mass of neutron stars slightly, but the effect is inconspicuous. For the results of TM1e ($L = 50$ MeV), it shows smaller radius than that of TM1 ($L = 110.8$ MeV). The influence of $G_3$ on neutron star maximum mass becomes even smaller with TM1e. This is because the onset of the mixed phase in TM1e is later than that in TM1. In the right panel of Fig. 6, we can see that when the transition density $n_{b}^{(1)}$ is close to the maximum neutron star central density $n_{c}^{MAX}$ (for TM1e case), the hadron-quark coexistence has little effect for the maximum mass neutron stars.

IV. SUMMARY

Effects of the isovector-vector and hypercharge-vector couplings in quark matter on hadron-quark phase transition and neutron star properties are investigated. In this work, we have used the RMF theory to describe hadronic matter, and the three flavor NJL model including the isovector-vector coupling has been used for the quark matter. The Gibbs conditions are applied to describe the hadron-quark mixed phase. We have found that the mixed phase shrinks with the isovector-vector coupling in quark matter, while the mixed phase moves to higher density with both isovector-vector and hypercharge-vector couplings included. If only isovector-vector coupling is included in quark matter, the transition density to the mixed phase $(n_{b}^{(1)})$ increases by $(0.06 - 0.25)$ fm$^{-3}$, and the transition density to the pure quark matter $(n_{b}^{(2)})$ decreases by $(0.04 - 0.14)$ fm$^{-3}$. The hypercharge-vector coupling delays both $n_{b}^{(1)}$ and $n_{b}^{(2)}$. The inclusion of the isovector-vector and hypercharge-vector couplings in quark matter has similar effects as decreasing the symmetry energy slope $L$ in hadronic matter. Both of them can affect the asymmetry of the system. We have found that the isovector-vector and hypercharge-vector couplings suppress the asymmetry of the nuclear-quark matter system. Meanwhile, the softening of the EOS due to the hadron-quark phase transition becomes weaker and the neutron star maximum mass increases without modifying the neutron star radius around
$M \sim 1.4 M_\odot$, where the central density is $n_b \sim (2-3) n_0$.
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