THE MASLOV INDEX IN PDEs GEOMETRY

AGOSTINO PRÁSTARO

Abstract. It is proved that the Maslov index naturally arises in the framework of PDEs geometry. The characterization of PDE solutions by means of Maslov index is given. With this respect, Maslov index for Lagrangian submanifolds is given on the ground of PDEs geometry. New formulas to calculate bordism groups of \((n-1)\)-dimensional compact sub-manifolds bording via \(n\)-dimensional Lagrangian submanifolds of a fixed \(2n\)-dimensional symplectic manifold are obtained too. As a by-product it is given a new proof of global smooth solutions existence, defined on all \(\mathbb{R}^3\), for the Navier-Stokes PDE. Further, complementary results are given in Appendices concerning Navier-Stokes PDE and Legendrian submanifolds of contact manifolds.
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1. Introduction

In 1965 V. P. Maslov introduced some integer cohomology classes useful to calculate phase shifts in semiclassical expressions for wave functions and in quantization conditions [30].\(^1\) In the French translation, published in 1972, by the Gauthier-Villars, there is also a complementary article by V. I. Arnold, where new formulas for the calculation of these cohomology classes are given [4, 5, 8].\(^2\) These studies emphasized the great importance of such invariants, hence stimulated a lot of mathematical work focused on characterization of Lagrangian Grassmannian, namely the smooth manifold of Lagrangian subspaces of a symplectic space. After the suggestion by Floer to express the spectral flow of a curve of self-adjoint operators by the Maslov index of corresponding curves of Lagrangian subspaces (1988), interesting results have been obtained relating Maslov index and spectral flow. (See, e.g., Yoside (1991), Nicolaescu (1995), Cappell, Lee and Miller (1996).

\(^1\)The Maslov’s index is the index of a closed curve in a Lagrangian submanifold of a \(2n\)-dimensional symplectic space \(V\), (coordinates \((x, y)\)), calculated in a neighborhood of a caustic. (These are points of the Lagrangian manifold, where the projection on the \(x\)-plane has not constant rank \(n\). Caustics are also called the projection on the \(x\)-plane of the set \(\Sigma(V) \subset V\) of singular points of \(V\), with respect to this projection.) See also [25].

\(^2\)Further reformulations are given by L. Hormander [23], J. Leray [27], G. Lion and M. Vergue [28], M. Kashiwara [24] and T. Thomas [64].
In 1980 V. I. Arnold introduced also the notion of Lagrangian cobordism in symplectic topology [6, 7, 9]. This new notion has been also studied by Y. Eliashberg and M. Audin in the framework of the Algebraic Topology [10, 16]. Next this approach has been generalized to higher order PDEs by A. Prástaro [33].

In this paper we give a general method to recognize “Maslov index” in the framework of the PDE geometry. Furthermore we utilize our Algebraic Topology of PDEs to calculate suitable Lagrangian bordism groups in a 2n-dimensional symplectic manifold.

As a by-product of our geometric methods in PDEs, we get another proof of existence of global smooth solutions, defined on all \(\mathbb{R}^3\), for the Navier-Stokes PDE, \((NS)\). This proof confirms one on the existence of global smooth solutions for \((NS)\), given in some previous works [34, 35, 39, 40, 43, 49].

Finally remark that we have written this work in an expository style, in order to be accessible at the most large audience of mathematicians and mathematical physicists.\(^4\)

The main results are the following: Definition 4.2 and Definition 4.3 encoding Maslov cycles and Maslov indexes for solutions of PDEs that generalize usual ones. Theorem 4.3 giving a relation between Maslov cycles and Maslov indexes for solutions of PDEs. Theorem 4.4 recognizing Maslov index for any Lagrangian manifold, considered as solution of a suitable PDEs of first order. Theorem 4.5 giving \(G\)-Lagrangian bordism groups, and Theorem 4.6 characterizing closed weak Lagrangian bordism groups. In Appendix B are reproduced similar results for Legendrian submanifolds of a contact manifold. Theorem A1 in Appendix A supports the method, given in Example 4.5, to build smooth global solutions of the Navier-Stokes PDEs, defined on all \(\mathbb{R}^3\).

## 2. Maslov index overview

In this section we give an algebraic approach to Maslov index that is more useful to be recast in the framework of PDEs geometry. This approach essentially follows one given by V. I. Arnold [4, 5], M. Kashiwara [24] and T. Thomas [64].

**Definition 2.1.** Let \((V, \omega)\) be a symplectic \(\mathbb{K}\)-vector space over any field \(\mathbb{K}\) (with characteristic \(\neq 2\)), where \(\omega\) is a symplectic form. We denote by \(L_{agr}(V, \omega)\) the set of Lagrangian subspaces, defined in (1).

\[
L_{agr}(V, \omega) = \{ L < V \mid L = L^\perp \}
\]

with \(E^\perp = \{ v \in V \mid \omega(v, w) = 0, \forall w \in E \}\).

**Example 2.1.** Let us consider the simplest example of \(L_{agr}(V, \omega)\), with \(V = \mathbb{R}^2\) and \(\omega((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = x_1y_2 - y_1x_2\). Then we get \(L_{agr}(V, \omega) \cong \mathbb{G}_{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^2) \cong \mathbb{R}P^1\). \(^5\) Therefore, \(L_{agr}(V, \omega)\) is a compact analytical manifold of dimension 1. If we consider oriented Lagrangian spaces we get \(L_{agr}^+(V, \omega) \cong \mathbb{G}_{1,2}^+(\mathbb{R}^2) \cong S^1\). Since

\(^3\)See also [11] and references quoted there.

\(^4\)For general complementary information on Algebraic Topology and Differential Topology, see, e.g., [3, 15, 18, 22, 31, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 63, 65, 66, 67, 68].

\(^5\)We use notations and results reported in [37] about Grassmann manifolds.
\( \mathbb{R}P^1 \cong S^1 \), we get the commutative and exact diagram (2).

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\xymatrix{
L_{\text{agr}}(V,\omega) \ar[r]^\sim \ar[d] & S^1 \ar[d]^\theta \\
L_{\text{agr}}(V,\omega) \ar[r]_\sim & \mathbb{R}P^1
}
\end{array}
\]

In (2) \( \det^2 \) denotes the isomorphism \( L(\theta) \mapsto e^{i2\theta}, \theta \in [0, \pi) \). One has the following cell decomposition into Schubert cells:

\[
(3) \quad L_{\text{agr}}(V,\omega) \cong \mathbb{R} \sqcup \{ \infty \} = C_2 \sqcup C_1
\]

where \( C_2 \) is the cell of dimension 1 and \( C_1 \) is the cell of dimension 0. This allows us to calculate the (co)homology spaces of \( L_{\text{agr}}(V,\omega) \) as reported in (4).

\[
(4) \quad H^k(L_{\text{agr}}(V,\omega); \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong H_k(L_{\text{agr}}(V,\omega); \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \bigoplus_{N_k} \mathbb{Z}_2 = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_2, & 0 \leq k \leq 1 \\ 0, & k > 1 \end{cases}
\]

where \( N_k \) is the number of cells of dimension \( k \). We get also the following fundamental homotopy group for \( L_{\text{agr}}(V,\omega) \).

\[
(5) \quad \pi_1(L_{\text{agr}}(V,\omega)) \cong \pi_1(S^1) \cong \mathbb{Z}.
\]

- The inverse diffeomorphism of \( \det^2 \), is the map \( e^{i2\theta} \mapsto L(\theta) \) identifying the generator 1 of the isomorphism \( \pi_1(L_{\text{agr}}(V,\omega)) \cong \mathbb{Z} \).
- The degree of a loop \( \gamma : S^1 \to L_{\text{agr}}(V,\omega) \cong S^1 \), is the number of elements \( \gamma^{-1}(L) \) for a \( L \in L_{\text{agr}}(V,\omega) \).
- Let \( \{e_1, e_2\} = \{(1,0), (0,1)\} \) be the canonical basis in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \). Then we call real Lagrangian
  \[
  \mathbb{R} = \{xe_1 | \forall x \in \mathbb{R}\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2
  \]
  and imaginary Lagrangian
  \[
  \mathbb{iR} = \{ye_2 | \forall y \in \mathbb{R}\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2.
  \]
They are complementary: \( \mathbb{R}^2 \cong \mathbb{R} \bigoplus \mathbb{iR} \).

- Let \( \phi : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R} \) be a symmetric bilinear form. One defines graph of \((\mathbb{R}, \phi)\), the following set
  \[
  \Gamma_{(\mathbb{R}, \phi)} = \{(x, \phi_x(1)) \in \mathbb{R}^2\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2
  \]
where \( \phi_x : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) is the partial linear mapping, identified with a number via the canonical isomorphism \( \mathbb{R}^* \cong \mathbb{R} \). \( \Gamma_{(\mathbb{R}, \phi)} \) is a Lagrangian space of \((\mathbb{R}^2, \omega)\). In fact if \( x' = \lambda x \), we get \( \phi_{x'}(1) = \lambda \phi_x(1) \), for any \( \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \).

- One has the identification of \( L_{\text{agr}}(V,\omega) \) with a symmetric space (and Einstein manifold), via the grassmannian diffeomorphism
  \[
  L_{\text{agr}}(V,\omega) \cong G_{1,2}^+(\mathbb{R}^2) \cong SO(2)/SO(1) \times SO(1).
  \]

**Example 2.2.** Above considerations can be generalized to any dimension, namely considering the symplectic space \((V, \omega) = (\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega)\), with

\[
\omega((x,y),(x',y')) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} x'_j y_j - y'_j x_j.
\]
However, $L^+_\text{agr}(V,\omega)$ does not coincide with the grassmannian space $G^+_{n,2n}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \cong SO(2n)/SO(n) \times SO(n)$, but one has the isomorphism reported in (6).\footnote{To fix ideas and nomenclature, we have reported in Tab. 1 natural geometric structures that can be recognized on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$, besides their corresponding symmetry groups. The complex structure $i$ allows us to consider the isomorphism $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \cong \mathbb{C}^n$, $(x^j, y^j)_{1 \leq j \leq n} \mapsto (x^j + iy^j)_{1 \leq j \leq n} = (z^1, \ldots, z^n)$. Then the symmetry group of $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, i)$ is $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$. Moreover the symmetry group of $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, i, \omega)$ is $Sp(n) \cap GL(n, \mathbb{C}) = U(n)$. Therefore the matrix $A$ in (6) belongs to $U(n)$, hence $\det^2(A) \in \mathbb{C}$. Furthermore, taking into account that $A$ can be diagonalized with eigenvalues $\{e^{\pm i \theta}, \ldots, e^{\pm i \theta'}\}$, it follows that $\det^2(A) = e^{2 \pi i \lambda}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, $\det^2(A) \in S^1$.}

(6) \hspace{2cm} U(n)/O(n) \cong L_{\text{agr}}(V,\omega), \ A \mapsto A(i\mathbb{R}^n).

Therefore one has

(7) \hspace{2cm} \dim(L_{\text{agr}}(V,\omega)) = n^2 - \frac{n(n - 1)}{2} = \frac{n(n + 1)}{2}.

\begin{itemize}
\item The graph $\Gamma_{(\mathbb{R}^n,\phi)} = \{\phi^* = \phi \in M_\circ(\mathbb{R})\}$ defines a chart at $\mathbb{R}^n \in L_{\text{agr}}(V,\omega)$.
\item (Arnold 1967). The square of the determinant function $\det^2 : L_{\text{agr}}(V,\omega) \to S^1$, $L = A(i\mathbb{R}^n) \mapsto \det^2(A)$, induces the isomorphism

\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
\det^2 : & \pi_1(L_{\text{agr}}(V,\omega)) \cong \pi_1(S^1) \cong \mathbb{Z} \\
(\gamma : S^1 \to L_{\text{agr}}(V,\omega)) & \mapsto \text{deg}( S^1 \xrightarrow{\gamma} L_{\text{agr}}(V,\omega) \xrightarrow{\det^2} S^1 ).
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}

This is a consequence of the homotopy exact sequence of the exact commutative diagram (9) of fiber bundles.

\begin{equation}
\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & SO(n) & O(n) & \det & O(1) = S^0 & 0 \\
0 & SU(n) & U(n) & \det & U(1) = S^1 & 0 \\
0 & L^+_\text{agr}(V,\omega) & L_{\text{agr}}(V,\omega) & \det^2 & L_{\text{agr}}(\mathbb{R}^2,\omega') & S^1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}
\end{equation}

As a by product we get the first cohomology group of $L_{\text{agr}}(V,\omega)$, with coefficients on $\mathbb{Z}$:

(10) \hspace{2cm} \begin{cases}
H^1(L_{\text{agr}}(V,\omega);\mathbb{Z}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\pi_1(L_{\text{agr}}(V,\omega)), \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \\
\alpha(\gamma) = \text{deg}( S^1 \xrightarrow{\gamma} L_{\text{agr}}(V,\omega) \xrightarrow{\det^2} S^1 ) \in \mathbb{Z}.
\end{cases}

Example 2.3. Let $(V,\sigma)$ be a $2n$-dimensional real symplectic vector space, endowed with a complex structure $J : V \to V$, such that $g : V \times V \to \mathbb{R}$, $g(u,v) = \sigma(J(u),v)$, is an inner product. Then for any $L \in L_{\text{agr}}(V,\sigma)$, the following propositions hold.
Table 1. Natural geometric structures on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ and corresponding symmetry groups.

| Name | Structure | Symmetry group |
|------|-----------|---------------|
| Euclidean | $g(x, y) = x^T A^{-1} y$ | $O(2n) = \{ A \in M_{2n}(\mathbb{R}) \mid \det A \neq 0, A^T A = I_{2n} \}$ |
| Symplectic | $\omega(x, y) = \sum_{i<j} (y_i^2 - y_j^2)$ | $Sp(2n, \mathbb{R})$ |
| Hermitian | $\omega(x, y) = \sum_{i<j} (y_i^2 + y_j^2)$ | $O(2n)$ |

(i) One has the diffeomorphism $U(V)/O(L) \cong L_{agr}(V, \sigma)$, $A \mapsto A(L)$, where $O(L) = \{ A \in U(V) \mid A(L) = L \}$.

(ii) One has the isomorphism $f_L : (\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega, i) \cong (V, \sigma, J)$, $f_L(i\mathbb{R}^n) = L$.

(iii) One has the diffeomorphism $f_L : L_{agr}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega) \cong U(\omega)/O(n) \to L_{agr}(V, \sigma) \cong U(V)/O(L)$, $\lambda \mapsto f_L(\lambda)$.

(iv) If $L_1, L_2 \in L_{agr}(V, \sigma)$, there exists a difference element $\lambda[L_1, L_2] \in L_{agr}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega)$, such that $\lambda[L_1, L_2] \cong i\mathbb{R}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. Therefore $L_{agr}(V, \sigma)$ has a $L_{agr}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega)$-affine structure.

**Definition 2.2.** The Witt group of a field $\mathbb{K}$ is $W(\mathbb{K}) = \pi_0(O_\mathbb{K})$, where $O_\mathbb{K}$ is the category whose objects are quadratic spaces, namely $\mathbb{K}$-vector spaces with non-degenerate, symmetric bilinear forms. We say that two quadratic spaces $V_1, V_2 \in Ob(O_\mathbb{K})$, are Witt-equivalent if there exists a Lagrangian correspondence between them, more precisely a morphism $f \in Hom_{O_\mathbb{K}}(V_1, V_2) := L_{agr}(V_1^0 \oplus V_2)$, called the space of Lagrangian correspondences. There $(V, q)^o := (V, -q)$, with $q$ the quadratic structure. Composition of morphisms is meant in the sense of composition of general correspondences. (For example if $f : V_1 \to V_2$ is an isometry then the graph $\Gamma_f \subset V_1^0 \oplus V_2$ is Lagrangian. Think of composing functions $f : A \to B$ and $g : B \to C$ via the subsets of $A \times B$ and $B \times C$.)

**Proposition 2.1.** $W(\mathbb{K})$ is the group whose elements are Witt equivalence classes of quadratic spaces, with addition induced by direct sum, and the inverse $-(V, q)$ is given by $-(V, q) = (V, q)^o$.

**Example 2.4.** Let us consider $(V, q) = \left( \mathbb{K}^2, \begin{pmatrix} +1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right)$.

- One has the isomorphism: $W(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ that is the index of $q$, namely the number of positive eigenvalues minus the number of negative eigenvalues.
- One has the isomorphism: $W(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}_2$ that is the dimension of $W(\mathbb{C})$.

---

7 If $f : V_1 \to V_2$ is an isomorphism, then the graph $\Gamma_f \subset V_1^0 \oplus V_2$ is Lagrangian. The quadratic space $(V, q)$ is equivalent to 0 iff it contains Lagrangian. (For more details on Witt group see the following link: Wikipedia-Witt-group and References therein.)

8 In this paper we denote $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ by $\mathbb{Z}_n$. 

---
Theorem 2.1. There exists a canonical mapping \( \tau : L_{agr}(V,\omega)^{2r} \to W(\mathbb{K}) \) that we call Maslov index and that factorizes as reported in the commutative diagram (11).

\[
L_{agr}(V,\omega)^{2r} \xrightarrow{\tau} Ob(Q_{+}) \xrightarrow{\circ} W(\mathbb{K})
\]

Proof. Given a \( r \)-tuple \( L = (L_1, \cdots , L_r) \) of Lagrangian subspaces of \((V,\omega)\), we can identify a cochain complex (12)

\[
C_L = \bigoplus (L_i \cap L_{i+1}) \xrightarrow{\partial} \bigoplus L_i \xrightarrow{\Sigma} V
\]

where \( \Sigma \) is the sum of the components, and \( \partial (a) = (a,-a) \in L_i \oplus L_{i+1}, \forall a \in L_i \cap L_{i+1} \). Then we get a quadratic space \((T_L,q_L)\), with \( T_L = \ker \sum/\text{im} \partial \) and \( q_L(a,b) = \sum_{i>j} \omega(a_i,b_j) \) (Maslov form), where \( a, b \in T_L \) are lifted to the representative \((a_i), (b_i) \in \oplus L_i\). Then the Maslov index is defined by (13).

\[
\tau(L) = \tau(L_1, \cdots , L_r) = (T_L,q_L) \in W(\mathbb{K}).
\]

One has the following properties:
(a) Isometries: \( T(L_1, \cdots , L_r) = T(L_r,L_1, \cdots , L_{r-1}) = T(L_1, \cdots , L_r)^o \).
(b) Lagrangian correspondences:
\( T(L_1, \cdots , L_r) \oplus T(L_1, L_k, \cdots , L_r) \to T(L_1, \cdots , L_r), k < r \).

By considering cell complex \( C_L = C(L_1, \cdots , L_r) \), as \( r \)-gon, with the face labelled by \( V \), edges labelled by \( L_i \), and vertices labelled by \( L_i \cap L_{i+1} \), property (b) allows us to reduce to the case of three Lagrangian subspaces. Furthermore, Lagrangian correspondences induce cobordism properties. For example \( C(L_1, L_2, L_3, L_4) \) cobords with \( C(L_1, L_2, L_3) \cup C(L_1, L_3, L_4) \).
(c) Cocycle property:
\( \tau(L_1, L_2, L_3) - \tau(L_1, L_2, L_4) + \tau(L_1, L_3, L_4) - \tau(L_2, L_3, L_4) = 0. \)

\[\Box\]

Theorem 2.2 (Leray’s function). \( \bullet \) (Case \( \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R} \)).

Let \( \pi : L_{agr}(V,\omega) \to L_{agr}(V,\omega) \) be the universal cover of the Lagrangian Grassmannian. Then there exists a function (Leray’s function)

\[
m : L_{agr}(V,\omega)^2 \to \mathbb{Z} \cong W(\mathbb{R}) \cong \pi_1(L_{agr}(V,\omega))
\]

such that

\[
\tau(\pi(L_1), \cdots , \pi(L_i)) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_r} m(\tilde{L}_i, \tilde{L}_{i+1}).
\]

\( \bullet \) (Case \( \mathbb{K} \) general ground field).

Let \( L_{agr}^+(V,\omega) \) be the set of oriented Lagrangians. There exists a function

\[
m : L_{agr}^+(V,\omega) \to W(\mathbb{K})
\]

such that

\[
\tau(L_1, \cdots , L_r) = \sum_i m(L_i, L_{i+1}) \mod I^2
\]
where $I = \ker(\dim : W(\mathbb{K}) \to \mathbb{Z}_2)$.  

**Theorem 2.3** (Metaplectic group). The Maslov index allows to identify a central extension $Mp(V)$ of the group $Sp(V)$ that when $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ is the unique double cover of $Sp(V)$. $(Mp(V)$ is called metaplectic group.)

**Proof.** The cocycle property allows to equip $Mp_1(V) = W(\mathbb{K}) \times Sp(V)$, with the multiplication:

$$ (q,g). (q',g') = (q + q' + \tau(L, gL, gg'L), gg') $$

Thus $Mp_1(V)$ is a group and gives a central extension

$$ 0 \longrightarrow W(\mathbb{K}) \longrightarrow Mp_1(V) \longrightarrow Sp(V) \longrightarrow 1 $$

Moreover set

$$ Mp_2(V) = \{ (m(g\tilde{L}, \tilde{L}) + q, g) \mid q \in I^2, g \in Sp(V) \} \subset Mp_1(V) $$

where $\tilde{L} \in \Lambda$ over $L \in L_{agr}(V)$. $Mp_2(V)$ is a subgroup, giving a central extension

$$ 0 \longrightarrow I^2 \longrightarrow Mp_2(V) \longrightarrow Sp(V) \longrightarrow 1 $$

By quotient $I^2$ by $I^3$ we define a central extension

$$ 0 \longrightarrow I^2/I^3 \longrightarrow Mp(V) \longrightarrow Sp(V) \longrightarrow 1 $$

defining $Mp(V)$, called metaplectic group.

When $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$, $I^2/I^3 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, so $Mp(V)$ is the unique double cover of $Sp(V)$. In this case $Mp(V)$ has four connected components, among which $Mp_2(V)$ is the identity. $Mp_2(V)$ is the universal covering group of $Sp(V)$. $\square$

**Example 2.5** (Arnold’s Maslov index). The cohomology class of the Arnold’s approach for Maslov index is $\alpha \in H^1(L_{agr}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega); \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, obtained as the pullback of the standard differential form $d\theta : S^1 \to T^*S^1$, via $\det^2 : L_{agr}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega) \to S^1$. In (19) are summarized the Arnold’s definitions of Maslov index for $L \in L_{agr}(\mathbb{R}^2, \omega)$.$^{11}$

$$ \begin{cases} 
\tau(L(\theta)) = \begin{cases} 
1 - \frac{2\theta}{\pi}, & 0 \leq \theta < \pi \\
0, & \theta = 0
\end{cases} \\
\tau(L_1, L_2) = -\tau(L_2, L_1) = \begin{cases} 
1 - \frac{2(\theta_1 - \theta_2)}{\pi}, & 0 \leq \theta_1 < \theta_2 < \pi \\
0, & \theta_1 = \theta_2
\end{cases} \\
\tau(L_1, L_2, L_3) = \tau(L_1, L_2) + \tau(L_2, L_3) + \tau(L_3, L_1) \in \{-1, 0, 1\} \subset \mathbb{Z}.
\end{cases} $$

- Any couple $(L_1, L_2)$ of Lagrangians in $L_{agr}(\mathbb{R}^2, \omega)$, determines a curve $\gamma_{12} : I = [0, 1] \to L_{agr}(\mathbb{R}^2, \omega)$, $\gamma_{12}(t) = L((1-t)\theta_1 + t\theta_2)$, connecting $L_1$ and $L_2$.
- A triple $(L_1, L_2, L_3)$ of Lagrangians in $L_{agr}(\mathbb{R}^2, \omega)$, determines a loop $\gamma_{123} = \gamma_{12}\gamma_{23}\gamma_{31} : S^1 \to L_{agr}(\mathbb{R}^2, \omega)$, with homotopy class the Maslov index of the triple:

$\gamma_{123} = \tau(L_1, L_2, L_3) \in \{-1, 0, 1\} \subset \pi_1(L_{agr}(\mathbb{R}^2, \omega)) \cong \mathbb{Z}$.  

---

$^9$Lagrangian $L_{agr}(V, \omega)$ has a unique double cover $L^{(2)}_{agr}(V, \omega)$. For any pair $(\widetilde{L_1}, \widetilde{L_2})$ with $\widetilde{L_1}, \widetilde{L_2} \in L^{(2)}_{agr}(V, \omega)$, the number $m(\widetilde{L_1}, \widetilde{L_2})$ is well-defined mod 4.  

$^{10}$One can construct $Mp(V)$ also by observing that $Sp(V)$ embeds into $L_{agr}(V^* \bigoplus V)$ by $g \mapsto \Gamma_g$, the graph of $g$. Then define multiplication on $Mp_2(V)$: $(q,g).(q',g') = (q + q' + \tau(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_g, \Gamma_{g'}), gg')$. Moreover, $\Gamma_3$ has a canonical orientation.  

$^{11}$In particular, if $0 \leq \theta_1 < \theta_2 < \theta_3 < \pi$, then $\tau(L_1, L_2, L_3) = 1$. 
In fact, for $0 \leq \theta_1 < \theta_2 < \theta_3 < \pi$, one has $\det^2 \gamma_{123} = 1 : S^1 \to S^1$, and degree$(\det^2 \gamma_{123}) = 1 = \tau(L_1, L_2, L_3) \in \mathbb{Z}$.

In (20) are summarized the Arnold’s definitions of Maslov index for $L \in L_{agr}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega)$, $n > 1$. There $\pm e^{i\theta_1}, \cdots, \pm e^{i\theta_n}$, denote the eigenvalues of the matrix $A \in U(n)$, such that $A(i\mathbb{R}^n) = L$.

\begin{equation}
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\tau(L(\theta)) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} (1 - \frac{2\theta_j}{\pi}) \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \leq \theta_j < \pi \\
\tau(L_1, L_2) = -\tau(L_2, L_1) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} (1 - \frac{2(\theta_j - \theta_{2j})}{\pi}), & 0 \leq \theta_{2j} = \theta_{3j} < \pi \\
0, & \theta_{1j} < \theta_{2j} < \pi
\end{array}\right\
\tau(L_1, L_2, L_3) = \tau(L_1, L_2) + \tau(L_2, L_3) + \tau(L_3, L_1) \in \{-1, 0, 1\} \subset \mathbb{Z}.
\end{array}\right.
\end{equation}

* (Arnold 1967). The Poincaré dual $D\alpha$ of $\alpha \in H^1(L_{agr}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega); \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, is called the Maslov cycle, and it results

\begin{equation}
D\alpha = \{L \in L_{agr}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega) | L \cap i\mathbb{R}^n \neq \{0\}\}
\end{equation}

with

\begin{equation}
[D\alpha] \in H_{(\omega + [a-1])}(L_{agr}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega); \mathbb{Z}).
\end{equation}

**Example 2.6** (The Wall non-additivity invariant as Maslov index). Let $(V, \omega)$ be a symplectic space and $(L_1, L_2, L_3)$ a triple of Lagrangian subspaces. The Wall non-additivity invariant $w(L_1, L_2, L_3) = \sigma(W, \psi)$, i.e., the signature of the non-singular symmetric form

$$
\psi : W \times W \to \mathbb{R}, \pi(x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2, y_3) = \omega(x_1, y_2)
$$

with

$$
W = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in L_1 \oplus L_2 \oplus L_3 | x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 0\}
$$

\begin{equation}
\text{im}(L_1 \cap L_2 + L_2 \cap L_3 + L_3 \cap L_1)
\end{equation}

* (Wall [67]) $w(L_1, L_2, L_3)$ can be identified with the defect of the Novikov additivity for the signature of the triple union of a 4k-dimensional manifold with boundary $(X, \partial X)$:

$$
w(L_1, L_2, L_3) = \sigma(X_1) + \sigma(X_1) + \sigma(X_2) + \sigma(X_3) - \sigma(X) \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

where $X = X_1 \cup X_2 \cup X_3$, and $X_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, are codimension 0 manifolds with boundary meeting transversely as pictured in (24). One has a nonsingular symplectic intersection form on $H^{2k-1}(X_1 \cap X_2 \cap X_3; \mathbb{R})$, \textsuperscript{12} and the following Lagrangian subspaces:

\begin{equation}
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
L_1 = \text{im}(H^{2k-1}(X_2 \cap X_3; \mathbb{R}) \to H^{2k-1}(X_1 \cap X_2 \cap X_3; \mathbb{R})) \\
L_2 = \text{im}(H^{2k-1}(X_1 \cap X_3; \mathbb{R}) \to H^{2k-1}(X_1 \cap X_2 \cap X_3; \mathbb{R})) \\
L_3 = \text{im}(H^{2k-1}(X_1 \cap X_2; \mathbb{R}) \to H^{2k-1}(X_1 \cap X_2 \cap X_3; \mathbb{R})).
\end{array}\right.
\end{equation}

* (Cappell, Lee and Miller [13]) The Maslov index of the triple $(L_1, L_2, L_3)$ coincides with the Wall non-additivity invariant of $(L_1, L_2, L_3)$,\textsuperscript{13}

$$
\tau(L_1, L_2, L_3) = w(L_1, L_2, L_3, g).
$$

\textsuperscript{12}The intersection form of a 2n-dimensional topological manifold with boundary $(M, \partial M)$, is $(-1)^n$-symmetric form $\lambda : H^n(M, \partial M; \mathbb{Z})/\text{Tor} \times H^n(M, \partial M; \mathbb{Z})/\text{Tor} \to \mathbb{Z}$, $\lambda(x, y) = < x \cup y, [M] > \in \mathbb{Z}$. The signature $\sigma(M)$ of 4k-dimensional manifold $(M, \partial M)$, is $\sigma(M) = \sigma(\lambda) \in \mathbb{Z}$.

\textsuperscript{13}A more recent different proof has been given by A. Ranicki (1997). (See in [55].)
The definition of Maslov index can be recast in the framework of the PDE’s geometry. In fact the *metasymplectic structure* of the Cartan distribution of k-jet-spaces $J_n^k(W)$ over a fiber bundle $\pi: W \to M$, $\dim W = n + m$, $\dim M = n$, allows us to recognize “Maslov index” associated to n-dimensional integral planes of the Cartan distribution of $J_n^k(W)$, and by restriction on any PDE $E_k \subset J_n^k(W)$. In the following we shall give a short panorama on the geometric theory of PDEs and on the metasymplectic structure of the Cartan distribution and its relations with (singular) solutions of PDEs. (For more information see also [29, 39]).

Let $W$ be a smooth manifold of dimension $m + n$. For any n-dimensional submanifold $N \subset W$ we denote by $[N]^k_a$ the k-jet of $N$ at the point $a \in N$, i.e., the set of n-dimensional submanifolds of $W$ that have in a a contact of order $k$. Set $J_n^k(W) \equiv \bigcup_{a \in W} J_n^k(W)_a$, $J_n^k(W)_a \equiv \{ [N]^k_a | a \in W \}$. We call $J_n^k(W)$ the space of all k-jets of submanifolds of dimension $n$ of $W$. $J_n^k(W)$ has the following natural structures of differential fiber bundles: $\pi_{k,s}: J_n^k(W) \to J_n^s(W)$, $s \leq k$, with affine fibers $J_n^k(W)_\bar{\eta}$, where $\bar{\eta} \equiv [N]^k_{a\bar{\eta}} \in J_n^{k-1}(W)$, $a \equiv \pi_{k,0}(\bar{\eta})$, with associated vector space $S^k(T^*_a N) \oplus \nu_\alpha, \nu_\alpha \equiv T_\alpha W/T_\alpha N$. For any n-dimensional submanifold $N \subset W$ one has the canonical embedding $j^k: N \to J_n^k(W)$, given by $j^k: a \mapsto j^k(a) \equiv [N]^k_a$. We call $j^k(N) \equiv N^{(k)}$ the k-prolongation of $N$. In the following we shall also assume that there is a fiber bundle structure on $W$, $\pi: W \to M$, where $\dim M = n$. Then there exists a canonical open bundle submanifold $J^k(W)$ of $J_n^k(W)$ of $J_n^k(W)$ that is called the k-jet space for sections of $\pi$. $J^k(W)$ is diffeomorphic to the k-jet-derivative space of sections of $\pi$, $JD^k(W)$ [32]. Then, for any section $s: M \to W$ one has the commutative diagram (25), where $D^k s$ is the k-derivative of $s$ and $j^k(s)$ is the k-jet-derivative of $s$. If $s(M)^{(k)} \subset J_n^k(W)$ is the k-prolongation of $s(M) \subset W$, then one has $j^k(s)(M) \cong s(M)^{(k)} \cong s(M) \cong M$. Of course there are also n-dimensional submanifolds $N \subset W$ that are not representable as image of sections of $\pi$. As a consequence, in these cases, $N^{(k)} \cong N$ is not representable in the form $j^k(s)(M)^{(k)}$ for some section $s$ of $\pi$. The condition that $N$ is image of some (local) section $s$ of $\pi$ is equivalent to the following local condition: $s^* \eta \equiv s^* dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n \neq 0$, where $(x^\alpha, y^j)_{1 \leq \alpha \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m}$, are fibered coordinates on $W$, with $y^j$ vertical coordinates. In other words $N \subset W$ is locally representable by equations $y^j = y^j(x^1, \ldots, x^n)$.

---

14For general information on PDE’s geometry see [12, 14, 19, 20, 26, 37].
This is equivalent to saying that $N$ is transversal to the fibers of $\pi$ or that the tangent space $TN$ identifies an horizontal distribution with respect to the vertical one $\nu TW|_N$ of the fiber bundle structure $\pi : W \to M$. Conversely, a completely integrable $n$-dimensional horizontal distribution on $W$ determines a foliation of $W$ by means of $n$-dimensional submanifolds that can be represented by images of sections of $\pi$. The Cartan distribution of $J_n^k(W)$ is the distribution $E^k_n(W) \subset TJ_n^k(W)$ generated by tangent spaces to the $k$-prolongation $N^{(k)}$ of $n$-dimensional submanifolds $N$ of $W$.

(25) \[ JD^k(W) \xrightarrow{\sim} J^k(W)^c \xrightarrow{\sim} J_n^k(W) \]

**Theorem 3.1** (Metasymplectic structure of the Cartan distribution). There exists a canonical vector-fiber-valued 2-form on the Cartan distribution $E^k_n(W)$, called metasymplectic structure of $J_n^k(W)$.

**Proof.** The metasymplectic structure of the Cartan distribution $E^k_n(W) \subset TJ_n^k(W)$ is a section

$\Omega_k : J_n^k(W) \to [S^{k-1}(\tau^*) \otimes \nu] \otimes \Lambda^2(E^k_n(W)^*)$,

where $S^{k-1}(\tau^*) \equiv \bigcup_{q \in J_n^k(W)} S^{k-1}(\tau^*)_q$, with $S^{k-1}(\tau^*)_q \equiv S^{k-1}(T_q N)$, $\nu \equiv \bigcup_{q \in J_n^k(W)} \nu_q$, with $\nu_q \equiv (T_q W/T_q N)$, $[N]^k_q = q$, such that the following diagram

$$
\begin{array}{c}
S^{k-1}(\tau^*)_q \otimes \nu_q \xrightarrow{\sim} T_q J_n^k(W)/E^k_n(W)_q \\
\downarrow \quad \downarrow
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{c}
T_q J_n^k(W)/L_q \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_{k,k-1}^{-1}(T_q J_n^k(W))/\pi_{k,k-1}^{-1}(L_q)
\end{array}
$$

is commutative, for all $q \in J_n^k(W)$, $\bar{q} \equiv \pi_{k,k-1}(q)$, $a \equiv \pi_{k,0}(q)$, where $L_q \subset T_q J_n^k(W)$ is the integral vector space canonically identified by $q$. Then, for the metasymplectic structure $\Omega_{E^k_n(W)}$ of $E^k_n(W)$ we have:

$$
\Omega_k(q) \equiv \Omega_{E^k_n(W)}(q) \in [T_q J_n^k(W)/E^k_n(W)_q] \otimes \Lambda^2(E^k_n(W)^*_q)
$$

(26)

$$
\cong [S^{k-1}(\tau^*)_q \otimes \nu_q] \otimes \Lambda^2(E^k_n(W)^*_q).
$$

More precisely $\Omega_k = d\omega_f|_{E^k_n(W)}$, where $\omega_f = \omega f = \omega f, (\phi^k)^* \in \Omega^1(J_n^k(W))$ are the Cartan forms corresponding to smooth functions

$$
f : J_n^k(W) \to \nu^k := \bigcup_{q \in J_n^k(W)} \nu^k_q, \nu^k_q = T_q J_n^k(W)/L_q,
$$

$\phi^k$ is a canonical morphism of vector bundles over $J_n^k(W)$, defined by the exact sequence (27).
For duality one has also the exact sequence (28).

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \rightarrow & E^k_n(W) & \rightarrow & T^* J^k_n(W) & \rightarrow & (\nu^k)^* & \rightarrow & 0 \\
& & & \downarrow & & & & & \\
& & & J^k_n(W) & & & & & \\
\end{array}
\]

Therefore we get also a smooth section

\[\omega : J^k_n(W) \rightarrow \nu^k \otimes T^* J^k_n(W),\]

given by \(\omega, f > =< f, (\nu^k)^* \circ \partial^k\), for any smooth section \(f \in C^\infty((\nu^k)^*)\).

It results

\[
E^k_n(W) = \bigcup_{f \in C^\infty((\nu^k)^*)} \ker(\omega_f).
\]

Furthermore, for any \(\bar{q} \in \pi_{k+1}^{-1}(q) \subset J^k_n(W)\), \(q = [N]_a \in J^k_n(W)\), one has the following splitting:

\[
E^k_n(W)_q \cong L_{\bar{q}} \bigoplus [S^k(T^*_a M) \otimes \nu_a] .
\]

The splitting (30) allows us to give the following evaluation of \(\Omega_k(q)(\lambda)\), for any \(q \in J^k_n(W)\) and \(\lambda \in S^{k-1}(T_a N) \otimes \nu_a^*\):

\[
\begin{align*}
\Omega_k(q)(\lambda)(X,Y) &= 0, \quad \forall X,Y \in L_{\bar{q}}, \pi_{k+1}(\bar{q}) = q; \\
\Omega_k(q)(\lambda)(\theta_1, \theta_2) &= 0, \quad \forall \theta_1, \theta_2 \in S^k(T^*_a N) \otimes \nu_a; \\
\Omega_k(q)(\lambda)(X,\theta) &= \lambda X(\delta \theta), \quad \forall X \in L_{\bar{q}}, \theta \in S^k(T^*_a N) \otimes \nu_a,
\end{align*}
\]

where \(\delta \) is the morphism in the exact sequence (32).

If there is a fiber bundle structure \(\alpha : W \rightarrow M, \dim M = n\), for the metasymplectic structure of \(JD^k(W)\) one has \(\Omega_k(q) \in \Lambda^2(E^k_n(W)^*_q) \otimes S^{k-1}(T^*_b M) \otimes \nu_a W\) with \(a = \pi_{k,0}(q) \in W, b = \pi_k(q) \in M\). If \(\alpha\) is a trivial bundle \(\alpha : W \equiv M \times F \rightarrow M\), then one has \(\Omega_k(q) \in \Lambda^2(E^k_n(W)^*_q) \otimes S^{k-1}(T^*_b M) \otimes T_F, \forall a \equiv (b, f)\).

\[\square\]

**Definition 3.1.** We say that vectors \(X, Y \in E^k_n(W)_q\) are in involution if

\[\Omega_k(q)(\lambda)(X,Y) = 0, \forall \lambda \in S^{k-1}(T_a N) \otimes \nu_a^*.
\]

- A subspace \(P \subset E^k_n(W)_q\) is called isotropic if any two vectors \(X, Y \in P\) are in involution.
- We say that a subspace \(P \subset E^k_n(W)_q\) is a maximal isotropic subspace if \(P\) is not a proper subspace of any other isotropic subspace.
Theorem 3.2 (Structure of maximal isotropic subspaces). Any maximal isotropic subspace \( P \subset \mathbb{E}_n^k(W) \) is one tangent at \( q = [N]^k_0 \) to a maximal integral manifold \( V \) of \( \mathbb{E}_n^k(W) \). These are of dimension \( m\binom{p+k-1}{k} + n - p \), such that \( n - p = \dim(\pi_{k,0*}(T_qV)) \leq \dim T_aN = n \). Then one says that \( V \) is of type \( n - p \). In particular if \( p = 0 \), then \( L_q \equiv T_qV \equiv T_aN \). In the exceptional case, i.e., \( m = n = 1 \), maximal integral manifolds are of dimension 1 having eventual subsets belonging to the fibers of \( \pi_{k,k-1} : J_n^k(W) \to J_n^{k-1}(W) \).

Proof. The degeneration subspace of \( \Omega_k(q)(\lambda) \), for any \( \lambda \in S^{k-1}(T_aN) \otimes \nu_a^* \), is the subspace \( P \subset \mathbb{E}_n^k(W) \) given in

\[
\begin{align*}
(32) & \quad 0 \\
& \quad [S^m(T_a^*N) \otimes \nu_a] \\
& \quad T_a^*N \otimes [S^{m-1}(T_a^*N) \otimes \nu_a] \\
& \quad \Lambda^2(T_a^*N) \otimes [S^{m-2}(T_a^*N) \otimes \nu_a] \\
& \quad \Lambda^n(T_a^*N) \otimes [S^{m-n}(T_a^*N) \otimes \nu_a] \\
& \quad 0
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \Xi \) is a \( p \)-dimensional subspace of \( T_a^*N \).

Let, now, \( N \subset W \) be a \( n \)-dimensional submanifold of \( W \) and let \( N_0 \subset N \) be a submanifold in \( N \). Set

\[
N_0^{(k)}(N) = \left\{ q \in J_n^k(W) \mid \pi_{k,k-1}(q) \in N_0^{(k-1)}(N), L_q \supset T_{\pi_{k,k-1}(q)}N_0^{(k-1)} \right\}
\]

where \( N_0^{(k-1)} = \{ [N]^{k-1}_a : a \in N_0 \} \subset J_n^{k-1}(W) \). Then the tangent planes to \( N_0^{(k)}(N) \) coincide with the maximal involutive subspaces described in (33). Therefore, \( N_0^{(k)}(N) \) is a maximal integral manifold of the Cartan distribution. \( \square \)
and π defined to be the following subspaces: g

Suppose that all prolongations (E˘k) at points manifolds and the projections π

We denote by Ek,k−1(q) = F̃q ⊂ Jk−1 n (W). Using the affine structure on the fibre F̃q, we can identify the symbol gk(q) with a subspace in Sk(T∗ a N) ⊗ νa: gk(q) ⊂ S k(T∗ a N) ⊗ νa. Suppose that all prolongations (Ek)l+1 are smooth manifolds, then their symbols at points q ∈ [N][k]l+1 are lth prolongations of the symbol gk(q), hence gk+l(q) = gk+l(˘q) ⊂ S k+l(T∗ a N) ⊗ νa and δ(gk+l(q)) ⊂ gk+l−1(q) ⊂ T∗ a N, l = 1, 2, . . . where by δ : S k+1(T∗ a N) ⊗ νa → T∗ a N ⊗ S k+1(T∗ a N) ⊗ νa we denote δ-Spencer operator. Therefore, at each point q ∈ Ek the δ-Spencer complex is defined, where m ≥ k. We denote by H m−j:J(Ek,q) the cohomologies of this complex at the term Λ:J(T∗ a N) ⊗ m−j(q). They are called δ-Spencer cohomologies of PDE at the point q ∈ Ek. We say that gk is involutive if the sequences (34) are exact and that gk is r-acyclic if H m−j:J(Ek,q) = 0 for m − j ≥ k, 0 ≤ j ≤ r. If Ek ⊂ Jk n (W) is a 2-acyclic PDE, i.e., H 2:J(Ek,q) = 0, ∀q ∈ Ek, 0 ≤ j ≤ 2, m − j ≥ k, and πk+1:Ek ∼ E (1) → Ek, πk,0 : Ek → W are smooth bundles, then Ek is formally integrable.

15In this paper, for sake of simplicity, we shall consider only smooth PDEs. For information on the geometry of singular PDEs, see the following references [2, 40, 46, 48, 49].
Definition 3.3. We say that $E_k \subset J_n^k(W)$ is completely integrable if for any point $q \in E_k$, passes a (local) solution of $E_k$, hence a $n$-dimensional manifold $V \subset E_k$, with $q \in V$ and $V = N^{(k)}$. This implies that the following sequence

$$(E_k)_r \rightarrow_{\pi_k+r,k+r-1} E_{k+r-1} \rightarrow 0$$

is exact for any $r \geq 1$. (This is equivalent to say that $\pi_{k+r,k+r-1}|_{(E_k)_+}$ is surjective.

Proposition 3.1. In the category of analytic manifolds, (i.e., manifolds of class $C^\infty$), the formal integrability implies the complete integrability.

Definition 3.4. A Cartan connection on $E_k$ is a $n$-dimensional subdistribution $H \subset E_k$ such that $T(\pi_{k,k-1})|H_q = L_q \equiv T_{\pi_{k,k-1}(q)}N^{(k-1)}$, $[N]_q^k \equiv q$, $\forall q \in E_k$.\footnote{As $\dim(L_q) = n = \dim H_q$ then there exists a $n$-dimensional submanifold $X \subset W$ such that $T_qX^{(k)} = H_q$, with $X|_a^k = q$, $X|_a^{k-1} = [N]_a^{k-1}$, $T_{[\pi_{k,k-1}(q)}X^{(k-1)} = L_q$.}

We call curvature of the Cartan connection $H$ on $E_k \subset J_n^k(W)$ the field of geometric objects on $E_k$:

$$\Omega_H : q \mapsto \Lambda^2(H^*_q) \otimes [S^{k-1}(T_aN) \otimes \nu_a^*/\text{Ann}(g_{k-1})]^*$$

$$\cong \Lambda^2(T_a^*N) \otimes [S^{k-1}(T_aN) \otimes \nu_a^*/\text{Ann}(g_{k-1})]^*$$

obtained by restriction of $H$ of the metasymplectic structure on the distribution $E_n^k$.

Proposition 3.2. In any flat Cartan connection $H \subset E_k$, i.e., a Cartan connection having zero curvature: $\Omega_H = 0$, any two vector $X, Y \in H_q$, $q \in E_k$ are in involution.

Definition 3.5. Let us assume that $(E_k)_+ \rightarrow E_k$ is a smooth subbundle of $J_n^{k+1}(W) \rightarrow J_n^k(W)$. Then any section $\gamma : E_k \rightarrow (E_k)_+$ is called a Bott connection.

Theorem 3.3. 1) A Cartan connection $H$ is a Bott connection iff $\Omega_H = 0$.\footnote{If $(E_k)_+ \rightarrow E_k$ is a smooth subbundle of $J_n^{k+1}(W) \rightarrow J_n^k(W)$ then a flat Cartan connection is also an involutive distribution. On the other hand a Bott connection identifies an involutive distribution iff it is a flat connection. (For more details on $(k+1)$-connections on $W$, see [39].)}

2) A Cartan connection $H$ gives a splitting of the Cartan distribution

$$E_n^k \cong g_k \bigoplus H.$$

Two Cartan connections $H, H'$ on $E_k$ identify a field of geometric objects $\lambda$ on $E_k$ called soldering form: $\lambda \equiv \lambda_{H,H'} : E_k \rightarrow H^* \otimes g_k$, $\lambda(q) \in T^*_aN \otimes g_k(q)$. One has:

- $\Omega_{H'} = \Omega_H + \delta \lambda$,
- (Bianchi identity) $\delta \Omega_H = 0$,
- $\Omega_H(q) \bmod \delta(T^*_aN \otimes g_k(q)) \in H^{k-1,2}(E_k)_q$.

We call such $\delta$-cohomology class of $\Omega_H$ the Weyl tensor of $E_k$ at $q \in E_k$: $W_k(q) \equiv [\Omega_H(q)]$. Then, there exists a point $u \in (E_k)_+$ over $q \in E_k$ iff $W_k(q) = 0$.

3) Suppose that $g_{k+l}$ is a vector bundle over $E_k \subset J_n^k(W)$. Then if the Weyl tensor $W_k$ vanishes the projection $\pi_{k+1,k} : (E_k)_+ \rightarrow E_k$ is a smooth affine bundle.

4) If $g_{k+l}$ are vector bundles over $E_k$ and $W_{k+l} = 0$, $l \geq 0$, then $E_k$ is formally integrable.

5) If the system $E_k$ is of finite type, i.e., $g_{k+l}(q) = 0$, $\forall q \in E_k$, $l \geq l_0$, then $W_{k+l} = 0$, $0 \leq l \leq l_0$, is a sufficient condition for integrability.
Theorem 3.4. Given a Cartan connection $H$ on $E_k$, for any regular solution $N^{(k)} \subset E_k$ we identify a section $H \nabla \in C^\infty(T^*N \otimes g_k)$ called covariant differential of $H$ of the solution $N$. Furthermore, for any vector field $\zeta : N \to TN$ we get a section $H \nabla \zeta \in C^\infty(g_k|_{N^{(k)}})$.

Theorem 3.5 (Characteristic distribution of PDE). Let $E_k \subset J^k(W)$ be a PDE such that $(E_k)_{n+1} \to E_k$ is a smooth subbundle of $J^k(W) \to J^{k-1}(W)$. Then for any $\bar{q} \in (E_k)_{n+1}$ the set $\text{Char}(E_k)_{\bar{q}}$ of vectors in the splitting $(E_k)_{\bar{q}} \cong L_{\bar{q}} \bigoplus (g_k)_{\bar{q}}$, $\zeta = v + \theta$, such that $v|\delta(\theta) = 0$, for any $\theta \in (g_k)_{\bar{q}}$ is called the space of characteristic vectors at $q \in E_k$. $\text{Char}(E_k)$ is an involutive subdistribution of the Cartan distribution $E_k$.

- $\text{Char}(E_k) = E_k \cap \mathfrak{s}(E_k)$, where $\mathfrak{s}(E_k)$ is the space of infinitesimal symmetries of $E_k$, namely the set of vector field on $E_k$ whose flows preserve the Cartan distribution.

Proof. See [39].

Definition 3.6. We call a PDE $E_k \subset J^k_n(W)$ degenerate at the point $q \in E_k$ if there is a $p$-dimensional $(0 < p \leq n)$, subspace $E_q \subset TN$, such that

$$(g_k)_{\bar{q}} \subset [S^k(E_q) \otimes \nu_a].$$

Theorem 3.6. $\text{Char}(E_k)_{\bar{q}} \neq 0$ iff $E_k$ is a degenerate PDE at the point $q \in E_k$. The subspace

$$E_q = \text{Ann}((\pi_{k,0})_* (\text{Char}(E_k)_{\bar{q}}))$$

is the subspace of degeneration of $E_k$ at the point $q \in E_k$.

- Let $E_k \subset J^k_n(W)$ be a PDE such that the following conditions hold:
  (i) $\pi_{k+1,n}, (E_k)_{n+1} \to E_k$ and $\pi_{k,k-1} : E_k \to J^{k-1}_n(W)$ are smooth bundles;
  (ii) $\Xi = \bigcup_{q \in E_k} \Xi_q$ is a smooth vector bundle, where $\Xi_q$ is a space of degeneration of $E_k$ at the point $q \in E_k$. Then, $\text{Char}(E_k)$ is a smooth distribution on $E_k$, and solutions of $E_k$ can be formulated by the method of characteristics.18

In this section we shall classify global singular solutions of PDEs by means of suitable bordism groups.

Definition 3.7 (Generalized singular solutions of PDE). Let $E_k \subset J^k_n(W)$ be a PDE. We call bar singular chain complex, with coefficients into an abelian group $G$, of $E_k$ the chain complex:

$$\{ \mathcal{C}_p(E_k;G), \bar{\partial} \},$$

where $\mathcal{C}_p(E_k;G)$ is the $G$-module of formal linear combinations, with coefficients in $G$, $\sum \lambda_i c_i$, where $c_i$ is a singular $p$-chain $f : \Delta^p \to E_k$ that extends on a neighborhood $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{p+1}$, such that $f$ on $U$ is differentiable and $Tf(\Delta^p) \subset E_k$. Denote by $\bar{H}_p(E_k;G)$ the corresponding homology (bar singular homology with coefficients in $G$) of $E_k$.

A $G$-singular $p$-dimensional integral manifold of $E_k \subset J^k_n(W)$, is a bar singular $p$-chain $V$ with $p \leq n$, and coefficients into an abelian group $G$, such that $V \subset E_k$.

18In other words the method of characteristics allows us to solve Cauchy problems in $E_k$, namely to build a solution $V$ containing a fixed $(n-1)$-dimensional integral manifold $N_0$: $N_0 \subset V$.

In fact if $\zeta : E_k \to TE_k$ is a characteristic vector field of $E_k$, transverse to $N_0$, then $V = \bigcup \phi_i(N_0)$ is a solution of $E_k$, if $\partial \phi = \zeta$.
Definition 3.8. A $G$-singular $p$-dimensional quantum manifold of $E_k$ is a bar singular $p$-chain $V \subset J_k^p(W)$, with $p \leq n$, and coefficients into an abelian group $G$, such that $\partial V \subset E_k$. Let us denote by $^{G}\Omega_{p,s}(E_k)$ the corresponding (closed) bordism
groups in the singular case. Let us denote also by $G[N]_{x_0}$ the equivalence classes of quantum singular bordisms respectively.\(^\text{19}\)

**Remark 3.2.** Let us emphasize that a $G$-singular solution $V \subset E_k$ can be written as a $n$-chain $V = \sum q_i a_i u_i$, where $a_i \in G$ and $u_i : \Delta^n \to E_k$, such that $u_i(\Delta^n)$ is an integral manifold of $E_k$.\(^\text{20}\) In particular a $G$-singular solution $V$ of $E_k$ can have tangent spaces $T_q V$ is some points $q \in V$ such that $T_q V$ is a $n$-dimensional integral plane, i.e., an $n$-dimensional subspace of $(E_k)_q \subset T_q E_k$ of the type $L_q$, for some $q \in (E_k)_{+1}$, or admitting the splitting

$$T_q V = V^k_q \bigoplus V_q^0$$

where $V^k_q = T_q V \cap (g_k)_q \subset V_q \cap [S^k(T^*_a N) \otimes \nu_0]$ and $V^0_q \subset L_q$, $V^0_q \simeq (\pi_{k,0}(V_q)) \subset T_a N$, $\dim V^0_q = \text{type}(V) = n - p$. $(g_k)_q$ is the unique maximal isotropic subspace of dimension equal to $m(p^k + 1)$ (and type 0). Therefore, under the condition (38).

$$m \binom{p+k-1}{k} \geq n$$

a singular solution of $E_k$ can contain pieces of type 0. We say that a singular solution is completely degenerate if it is an integral $n$-chain of type 0, namely completely contained in the symbol $(g_k)_q$, for some $q \in E_k$. In general a singular solution can contain completely degenerate pieces. When the set $\Sigma(V) \subset V$ of singular points of a singular solution $V \subset E_k$, is nowhere dense in $V$, therefore $\dim \Sigma(V) < n$, then we say that in $V$ there are Thom-Boardman singularities. In such points $q \in V$ one has $\dim[T_q V \cap (g_k)_q] = p$, with $0 < p < n$. This is equivalent to state that $\dim[(\pi_{k,0})_*(T_q V)] = n - p$, or that $q$ is a point of Thom-Boardman-degeneration. Finally when $\Sigma(V) = \emptyset$, and there are not completely degenerate points in $V$, we say that $V$ is a regular solution. In such a case $V$ is diffeomorphic to its projection $X = \pi_{k,0}(V) \subset W$, or equivalently $\pi_{k,0} | V : V \to W$ is an embedding.

**Theorem 3.8** (Cauchy problems in PDE). If $E_k$ is a completely integrable PDE, and $\dim(g_k)_{+1} \geq n$, given a $(n - 1)$-dimensional regular integral manifold $N$, contained in $E_k$, there exists a solution $V \subset E_k$, such that $V \supset N$.

**Proof.** In fact, since $N$ is regular, it identifies a $(n - 1)$-manifold in $W$, say $N_0 \subset W$. Let $Y \subset W$ be a $n$-dimensional manifold containing $N_0$. Then taking into account that $E_k$ is completely integrable, we can assume that the $(k + 1)$-prolongation $Y^{(k+1)}_n \subset J_n^{k+1} W$ of $Y$ is such that $Y^{(k+1)}_n \cap (E_k)_{+1} = N_0^{(1)}$, namely it coincides with an $(n - 1)$-dimensional integral manifold that projects on $E_k$. We call $N_0^{(1)}$ the first prolongation of $N_0$. Now taking into account that $(E_k)_{+1}$ is the strong retract of $J_n^{k+1}(W)$, we can retract map $Y^{(k+1)}_n$ into $(E_k)_{+1}$, via the retraction, obtaining a solution $V' \subset (E_k)_{+1}$ of $(E_k)_{+1}$ passing for $N_0^{(1)}$. By projecting $V'$ into $E_k$, we

\(^{19}\)These bordism groups can be called also $G$-singular $p$-dimensional integral bordism groups relative to $E_k \subset J_n^k(W)$. They play an important role in PDE algebraic topology. For more details see Refs. [38, 39, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48].

\(^{20}\)In such a category can be considered also so-called *neck-pinching singular solutions* that are very important whether from a theoretical point of view as well in applications. (See, e.g., [50, 51].)
obtain a solution $V$ containing $N$. Since $\dim(q_k)+1 \geq n$, the solution $V'$ does not necessitate to be regular, but can have singular points. 

\[ \square \]

**Example 3.1.** Let $E_2 \subset J^{D^2}(W)$, be an analytic dynamic equation of a rigid system with $n$-degree of freedoms. Let $\{t, q^i, \dot{q}^i, \ddot{q}^i\}$ be local coordinates on $J^{D^2}(W)$. Such an equation is completely integrable. A Cauchy problem there is encoded by a point $q_0 \in E_2$, hence for that point pass an unique solution $V$, i.e., an integral curve contained into $E_2$. Let us, however, try to apply the proceeding of the proof of Theorem 3.8. This is strictly impossible ! In fact the symbol of such an equation is necessarily zero: $\dim(q_2)q = 0$, for any $q \in E_2$.\[ ^{21} \] On the other hand we can consider a point $\tilde{q}_0$ belonging to $(E_2)_{+1}$ and such that $\pi_{3,2}(\tilde{q}_0) = q_0$, and $\pi_{2,0}(\tilde{q}_0) = a \in W$, and we can assume that there exists an integral curve $V \subset J^{D^2}(W)$ passing for $\tilde{q}_0$, but when we retract such a curve into $(E_2)_{+1}$, we get the unique curve $\tilde{V}$ passing for $q_0$ contained into $(E_2)_{+1}$. This curve does not necessarily pass for the point $q_0 = V^{(1)}_1 \cap \pi_{3,2}^{-1}(q_0)$, since the first prolongation $V^{(1)}$ of $V$ does not necessarily coincide with $\tilde{V}$. Thus the proceeding considered in the proof of Theorem 3.8 does not apply to PDEs (or ODEs), having zero symbols $g_2 = 0$. In other words, for such PDEs, despite $\pi_{2,0}(q_0) = \pi_{2,0}(\tilde{q}_0) = a \in W$, we cannot connect two regular solutions corresponding to two different initial conditions $q_0$ and $\tilde{q}_0$, with a completely degenerate piece, or a Thom-Boardman-singular piece. However, a more general concept of solutions can be considered also when $g_k = 0$. In fact weak solutions allow include solutions with discontinuity points.\[ ^{22} \]

**Remark 3.3.** Weak solutions are of great importance and must be included in a geometric theory of PDE’s too.

**Definition 3.9.** Let $\Omega^{E_k}_{n-1}$, (resp. $\Omega^{E_k}_{n-1,s}$, resp. $\Omega^{E_k}_{n-1,w}$), be the integral bordism group for $(n-1)$-dimensional smooth admissible regular integral manifolds contained in $E_k$, bounding smooth regular integral manifold-solutions,\[ ^{23} \] (resp. piecewise-smooth or singular solutions, resp. singular-weak solutions), of $E_k$.

**Theorem 3.9.** Let $\pi : W \rightarrow M$ be a fiber bundle with $W$ and $M$ smooth manifolds, respectively of dimension $m+n$ and $n$. Let $E_k \subset J^k(W)$ be a PDE for $n$-dimensional submanifolds of $W$. One has the following exact commutative diagram relating the groups $\Omega^{E_k}_{n-1}$, $\Omega^{E_k}_{n-1,s}$ and $\Omega^{E_k}_{n-1,w}$.

---

\[ ^{21} \]In general such dynamical equations have zero symbol since they are encoded by $n$ analytic differential equations of the second order, where $n$ is the degree of freedoms.

\[ ^{22} \]It is worth to emphasize that weak solutions can be considered equivalent to solutions having completely degenerated pieces, in fact their projections on the configuration space $W$ are the same. However weak solution can exist also with trivial symbol $y_k = 0$, instead solutions with completely degenerated pieces can exist only if $\dim(g_k) \geq n$. Furthermore, under this circumstance, namely under condition (38), a continuous weak solution, i.e., a weak solution having completely degenerate pieces, can be deformed into solutions with Thom-Boardman singular points.

\[ ^{23} \]This means that $N_1 \in [N_2] \in \Omega^{E_k}_{n-1}$, iff $N_1^{(\infty)} \in [N_2^{(\infty)}] \in \Omega^{E_k}_{n-1}$. (See Refs.[41, 49] for notations.)
In particular, for \( \Omega \), \( E \),

\[
\begin{align*}
& 0 \quad K_{n-1,w}^{E_k} \quad 0 \quad K_{n-1,s,w}^{E_k} \quad 0 \\
& 0 \quad K_{n-1,s}^{E_k} \quad \Omega_{n-1}^{E_k} \quad \Omega_{n-1,s}^{E_k} \quad 0 \\
& 0 \quad \Omega_{n-1,w}^{E_k} \quad \Omega_{n-1,w}^{E_k} \quad 0 \\
& 0 \quad 0 \\
\end{align*}
\]

and the canonical isomorphisms reported in (40).

\[
\begin{align*}
& K_{n-1,w}^{E_k} \cong K_{n-1,s}^{E_k} \\
& \Omega_{n-1}^{E_k} / K_{n-1,s}^{E_k} \cong \Omega_{n-1,s}^{E_k} \\
& \Omega_{n-1,s}^{E_k} / K_{n-1,s,w}^{E_k} \cong \Omega_{n-1,w}^{E_k} \\
& \Omega_{n-1,w}^{E_k} / K_{n-1,w}^{E_k} \cong \Omega_{n-1,w}^{E_k}.
\end{align*}
\]

- In particular, for \( k = \infty \), one has the canonical isomorphisms reported in (41).

\[
\begin{align*}
& K_{n-1,w}^{E_\infty} \cong K_{n-1,s,w}^{E_\infty} \\
& K_{n-1,w}^{E_\infty} / K_{n-1,s,w}^{E_\infty} \cong 0 \\
& \Omega_{n-1}^{E_\infty} \cong \Omega_{n-1,s}^{E_\infty} \\
& \Omega_{n-1,s}^{E_\infty} / K_{n-1,s,w}^{E_\infty} \cong \Omega_{n-1,w}^{E_\infty} \\
& \Omega_{n-1,w}^{E_\infty} / K_{n-1,w}^{E_\infty} \cong \Omega_{n-1,w}^{E_\infty}.
\end{align*}
\]

- If \( E_k \) is formally integrable then one has the isomorphisms reported in (42).

\[
\Omega_{n-1}^{E_k} \cong \Omega_{n-1,s}^{E_\infty} \cong \Omega_{n-1,w}^{E_\infty}.
\]

**Proof.** The proof follows directly from the definitions and standard results of algebra. (For more details see Refs. [39, 43].)

**Theorem 3.10.** Let us assume that \( E_k \) is formally integrable and completely integrable, and such that \( \dim E_k \geq 2n + 1 \). Then, one has the canonical isomorphisms reported in (43).

\[
\begin{align*}
& \Omega_{n-1,w}^{E_k} \cong \bigoplus_{r+s=n-1} H_r(W; \mathbb{Z}_2) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \Omega_s \cong \Omega_{n-1}^{E_k} / K_{n-1,w}^{E_k} \cong \Omega_{n-1,s}^{E_k} / K_{n-1,s,w}^{E_k}.
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \Omega_s \) denotes the \( s \)-dimensional un-oriented smooth bordism group.

- Furthermore, if \( E_k \subset J_k^n(W) \), has non zero symbols: \( g_{k+s} \neq 0 \), \( s \geq 0 \), (this excludes that can be \( k = \infty \)), then \( K_{n-1,w}^{E_k} = 0 \), hence \( \Omega_{n-1,w}^{E_k} \cong \Omega_{n-1,w}^{E_k} \).

**Proof.** It follows from above theorem and results in [41]. Furthermore, if \( g_{k+s} \neq 0 \), \( s \geq 0 \), we can always connect two branches of a weak solution with a singular solution of \( E_k \). (For more details see [41].)
4. Maslov index in PDEs and Lagrangian bordism groups

In order to consider “Maslov index” canonically associated to PDEs, we follow a strategy to recast Arnold-Kashiwara-Thomas algebraic approach, resumed in section 2, by substituting the Grassmannian of Lagrangian subspaces with the Grassmannian of \( n \)-dimensional integral planes, namely \( n \)-dimensional isotropic subspaces of the Cartan distribution of a PDE. These are tangent to solutions of PDEs. In this way we are able to generalize “Maslov index” for Lagrangian submanifolds as introduced by V. I. Arnold, to any solution of PDEs. Really Lagrangian submanifolds of symplectic manifolds, can be encoded as solutions of suitable first order PDEs.

As a by-product we get also a new proof for existence of the Navier-Stokes PDEs global smooth solutions, defined on all \( \mathbb{R}^3 \). (Example 4.5.)

In this section we shall calculate also Lagrangian bordism groups in a 2\( n \)-dimensional symplectic manifold \((W, \omega)\), where \( \omega \) is a non-degenerate, close, differentiable 2-differential form on \( W \). In [33] we have calculated the Lagrangian bordism groups in the case that \( \omega \) is exact. This has been made by generalizing to higher order PDE, a previous approach given by V. I. Arnold [4, 5], Y. Eliashberg [16] and A. Prástaro [32]. Now we give completely new formulas, without assuming any restriction on \( \omega \), and following our Algebraic Topology of PDEs. (See References [32, 33, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53]. See also [1, 2, 29, 54]).

In this section our main results are Theorem 4.3, Theorem 4.4, Theorem 4.5 and Theorem 4.6. The first is devoted to relation between Maslov indexes and Maslov cycles for solutions of PDEs. The second characterizes such invariants for Lagrangian submanifolds of symplectic manifolds, by means of suitable formally integrable and completely integrable first order PDEs. The other two theorems characterize Lagrangian bordism groups in such PDEs.

**Theorem 4.1** (Grassmannian of \( n \)-dimensional integral planes of \( J^n_k(W) \)). Let \( I_k(W)_q \) be the Grassmannian of \( n \)-dimensional integral planes at \( q \in J^n_k(W) \), namely the set of isotropic \( n \)-dimensional subspaces of the Cartan distribution \( E_k(W)_q \).

One has the following properties.

(i) One has the natural fiber bundle structure \( I_k(W) = \bigcup_{q \in J^n_k(W)} I_k(W)_q \to J^n_k(W) \).

(ii) In general an integral \( n \)-plane \( L \in I_k(W)_q \), is projected, via \((\pi_{k,0})_*\), onto an \((n-1)\)-dimensional subspace of \( T_a N \), \( q = [N]_{a}^{k} \).

(iii) The set of \( n \)-integral planes such that \( \dim(\pi_{k,0})_\ast(L) = n = \dim(T_a N) \), (namely with \( l = 0 \)), is identified with the affine fiber \( \pi_{k+1}^{-1}(q) \subset J^{k+1}_n(W) \). These integral planes are called regular integral planes.

(iv) In general an \( n \)-integral plane \( L \in I_k(W)_q \), admits the following splitting

\[
L \cong L_o \bigoplus L_v
\]

where \( L_o \) (horizontal component), is contained in some regular plane \( L_{\bar{q}} \), for some \( \bar{q} \in \pi_{k+1}^{-1}(q) \subset J^{k+1}_n(W) \). Furthermore \( L_v \), (vertical component), is contained in the vector space \( T_q \pi_{k-1}^{-1}(\bar{q}) \cong S^k(T_a N) \otimes \nu_a \), with \( \bar{q} = \pi_{k,k-1}(q) \in J^{k-1}_n(W) \).

(v) Two different splittings \( L \cong L_o \bigoplus L_v \), and \( L \cong L'_o \bigoplus L'_v \), of a \( n \)-integral plane \( L \subset E_k(W)_q \), \( q = [N]_{a}^{k} \in J^{k}_n(W) \), are related by a fixed subspace \( V \subset S^k(T_a N) \otimes \nu_a \).
More precisely one has:

\[(45) \quad L_o = L'_o \bigoplus V; \quad L'_o = L_v \bigoplus V.\]

- (Cohomology ring \(H^\bullet(I_k(W))\)). One has the following isomorphisms:

\[
\begin{aligned}
&H^\bullet(I_k(W); \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong H^\bullet(J^k_n(W); \mathbb{Z}_2) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} H^\bullet(F_k(W); \mathbb{Z}_2) \\
&\cong H^\bullet(W; \mathbb{Z}_2) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} H^\bullet(F_k(W); \mathbb{Z}_2)
\end{aligned}
\]

where \(F_k(W)\) is the fiber of \(I_k(W)\) over \(J^k_n(W)\). One has the following ring isomorphism:

\[
H^\bullet(F_k(W); \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2[w_1^{(k)}, \cdots, w_n^{(k)}],
\]

where \(\deg(w_i^{(k)}) = i\). Such generators coincide with Stiefel-Whitney classes of the tautological bundle \(E(\eta) \rightarrow I_k(W)\).

**Proof.** Let us only explicitly consider that the first part of the formula (46) follows from a direct application of some results about spectral sequences an their relations with fibration (Leray-Hirsh theorem). For more details see Theorem 3 in [36]. \(\square\)

**Theorem 4.2** (Grassmannian of \(n\)-dimensional integral planes of PDE). Let

\[
I(E_k) = \bigcup_{q \in E_n} I(E_k)_q
\]

be the Grassmanian of \(n\)-dimensional integral planes of \(E_k\). One has a natural fiber bundle structure \(I(E_k) \rightarrow E_k\). Then each singular solution \(V \subset E_k\) identifies a mapping \(iv : V \rightarrow I(E_k)\), given by \(iv(q) = T_qV \in I(E_k)_q\). Then one has an induced morphism

\[(47) \quad i_v^* : H^i(I(E_k); \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H^i(V; \mathbb{Z}_2), \quad \omega \mapsto i_v^* \omega.\]

\(i_v^* \omega\) is the characteristic class of \(V\) corresponding to \(\omega\).

- If \(E_k\) is a strong retract of \(J^k_n(W)\) then \(H^\bullet(I(E_k); \mathbb{Z}_2)\) is an algebra over \(H^\bullet(E_k; \mathbb{Z}_2)\). More precisely one has

\[
H^r(I(E_k); \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \bigoplus_{r+s=i} H^r(E_k; \mathbb{Z}_2) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} H^s(F_k; \mathbb{Z}_2),
\]

where \(F_k\) is the fibre of \(I(E_k)\) over \(E_k\).

- Furthermore, the ring \(H^\bullet(F_k; \mathbb{Z}_2)\) is isomorphic up to \(n\) to the ring \(\mathbb{Z}_2[\omega_1^{(k)}, \cdots, \omega_n^{(k)}]\) of polynomials in the generator \(\omega_i^{(k)}\), degree\((\omega_i^{(k)}) = i\). These generators can be identified with the Stiefel-Whitney classes of the tautological bundle \(E(\eta) \rightarrow I(E_k)\).

- If \(E_k\) is a formally integrable PDE then

\[
\begin{aligned}
&H^\bullet(I(E_k+1); \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong H^\bullet(I_{k+1}(W); \mathbb{Z}_2) \\
&\cong H^\bullet(W; \mathbb{Z}_2) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} H^\bullet(F_{k+1}(W); \mathbb{Z}_2) \\
&\cong H^\bullet(W; \mathbb{Z}_2) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_2[\omega_1^{(k+1)}, \cdots, \omega_n^{(k+1)}].
\end{aligned}
\]

- If \(V\) is a non-singular solution of \(E_k\), then all its characteristic classes are zero in dimension \(\geq 1\).

**Proof.** After Theorem 4.1, let us only explicitly consider when \(E_k\) is a strong retract of \(J^k_n(W)\). This fact implies the homotopy equivalence \(E_k \simeq J^k_n(W)\). Then we can state also the homotopy equivalence between the corresponding integral planes fiber-bundles \(I(E_k) \simeq I_k(W)\). In fact we use the following lemmas.
Lemma 4.1. If $A \subset X$ is a strong retract, then the inclusion $i : (A, x_0) \hookrightarrow (X, x_0)$ is an homotopy equivalence and hence $i_* : \pi_n(A, x_0) \to \pi_n(X, x_0)$ is an isomorphism for all $n \geq 0$.

Proof. This is a standard result. See e.g., [40]. (This lemma is the inverse of the Whitehead's theorem.)

Lemma 4.2. For a space $B$ let $\mathcal{F}(B)$ be the set of fiber homotopy equivalence classes of fibrations $E \to B$. A map $f : B_1 \to B_2$ induces $f^* : \mathcal{F}(B_2) \to \mathcal{F}(B_1)$, depending only on the homotopy class of $f$. If $f$ is a homotopy equivalence, then $f^*$ becomes a bijection: $f^* : \mathcal{F}(B_2) \leftrightarrow \mathcal{F}(B_1)$.

Proof. This is a standard result. See, e.g., [21].

From above two lemmas, we can state that also $I(E_k)$ is a strong retract of $I_k(W)$, therefore one has the following exact commutative diagram of homotopy equivalences:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & I(E_k) & \sim & I_k(W) & \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & E_k & \sim & J^k_n(W) & \\
\end{array}
\]

This induces the following commutative diagram of isomorphic cohomologies:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
H^\bullet(I(E_k); \mathbb{Z}_2) & \longrightarrow & H^\bullet(I_k(W); \mathbb{Z}_2) & \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
H^\bullet(E_k; \mathbb{Z}_2) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} H^\bullet(F_k; \mathbb{Z}_2) & \longrightarrow & H^\bullet(J^k_n(W); \mathbb{Z}_2) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} H^\bullet(F_k(W); \mathbb{Z}_2)
\end{array}
\]

Since

\[H^\bullet(E_k; \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong H^\bullet(J^k_n(W); \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong H^\bullet(W; \mathbb{Z}_2)\]

and

\[H^\bullet(F_k; \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong H^\bullet(F_k(W); \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2[\omega^{(k)}_1, \ldots, \omega^{(k)}_n],\]

we get

\[H^\bullet(I(E_k); \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong H^\bullet(W; \mathbb{Z}_2) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_2[\omega^{(k)}_1, \ldots, \omega^{(k)}_n].\]

Therefore, $H^\bullet(I(E_k); \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is an algebra over $H^\bullet(W; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2[\omega^{(k)}_1, \ldots, \omega^{(k)}_n]$. Finally if $E_k$ is formally integrable, then its $r$-prolongations $(E_k)_{+r}$ are strong retract of $J^{k+r}_n(W)$, for $r \geq 1$. Thus we can repeat above considerations by working on each $(E_k)_{+r}$ and obtain

\[H^\bullet(I((E_k)_{+r}); \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong H^\bullet(W; \mathbb{Z}_2) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_2[\omega^{(k+r)}_1, \ldots, \omega^{(k+r)}_n],\]

for $r \geq 1$. □
Table 3. Comparison between metasymplectic structure of \( \mathcal{J}_n^k(W) \) and symplectic structure of symplectic space \((V, \omega)\).

| \((E_k(W), \Omega_k(\lambda))\) | \((V, \omega)\) |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| dim\(E_k(W, \lambda)\) = \(m^{k+1}+n\), dim\(W = n + m\) | dim\(V = 2n\) |
| \(P \cap E_k(W, \lambda)\) | \(E \cap V\) |
| \(P^\perp = \{ \zeta \in E_k(W, \lambda) | \Omega_k(\lambda)(\zeta, \xi) = 0, \forall \xi \in P, \forall \lambda \in S^{k-1}T_aN \otimes v^*_a \}\) | \(E^\perp = \{ v \in V | \omega(v, u) = 0, \forall u \in E \}\) |
| \(P\) metasymplectic-isotropic iff \(P \subset P^\perp\). | \(E\) is symplectic-isotropic iff \(E \subset E^\perp\). |
| \(\dim P \leq \dim P^\perp\). | \(\dim E \leq n\). |
| \(P\) is metasymplectic-Lagrangian iff \(P = P^\perp\). | \(E\) is symplectic-Lagrangian iff \(E = E^\perp\). |
| \(\dim P = \dim P^\perp\). | \(\dim E = n\). |
| \(P\) is maximal metasymplectic-isotropic iff \(P \subset Q \subset Q^\perp\). | \(E\) is symplectic-co-isotropic iff \(E \supset Q^\perp\). |
| \([\dim P = m^{k+1}+n - p, 0 \leq p \leq n]\) | \([\dim E \geq n]\). |
| \([\text{type}(P) = n - p]\) | \(\in\) |

A metasymplectic-isotropic space is metasymplectic-involutive.
A symplectic-Lagrangian space is metasymplectic-involutive.
A symplectic structure considered, is not a trivial extension of the canonical symplectic structure on \(E\), of dimension \(n\). In fact, it is well known that \(V = E \bigoplus E^*\), has the canonical symplectic structure \(\sigma((v, \alpha), (v', \alpha')) = \langle \alpha, v' \rangle - <\alpha', v \rangle\), called the natural symplectic form on \(E\). Instead the metasymplectic structure arises from differential of Cartan forms.

Remark 4.1. It is worthy to emphasize the comparison between metasymplectic structure on \(\mathcal{J}_n^k(W)\), and the symplectic structure in a symplectic vector space \((V, \omega)\). According to the definition given in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we can define metasymplectic orthogonal of a subspace \(P \subset E_k(W, \lambda)\), the set

\[
(51) \quad P^\perp = \{ \zeta \in E_k(W, \lambda) | \Omega_k(\lambda)(\zeta, \xi) = 0, \forall \xi \in P, \forall \lambda \in S^{k-1}T_aN \otimes v^*_a \} = \bigcap_{\lambda \in S^{k-1}T_aN \otimes v^*_a} \ker(\Omega_k(\lambda)(\zeta, P)).
\]

One has the following properties:
(a) \((P^\perp)^\perp = P\);
(b) \(P_1^\perp \bigcap P_2^\perp = (P_1 + P_2)^\perp\);
(c) \((P_1 \bigcap P_2)^\perp = (P_1)^\perp + (P_2)^\perp\).

Then one can define \(P\) metasymplectic-isotropic if \(P \subset P^\perp\). Furthermore, we say that \(P\) is metasymplectic-Lagrangian if \(P = P^\perp\). Maximal metasymplectic-isotropic spaces are metasymplectic-isotropic spaces that are not contained into larger ones. There any two vectors are an involutive couple. With respect to above remarks, in Tab. 3 we have made a comparison between definitions related to the metasymplectic structure and symplectic structure. Let us underline that the metasymplectic structure considered, is not a trivial extension of the canonical symplectic structure that can be recognized on any vector space \(E\), of dimension \(n\).
Definition 4.1 (Lagrangian submanifolds of symplectic manifold). Let \((W, \omega)\) be a symplectic manifold, that is \(W\) is a 2\(n\)-dimensional manifold with symplectic 2-form \(\omega : W \to \Lambda^2_0(W)\), (hence \(\omega\) is closed: \(d\omega = 0\)). We call Lagrangian manifold a \(n\)-dimensional submanifold \(V \subset W\), such that \(\omega|_V = 0\).²⁴

Example 4.1. (Arnold 1967) A Lagrangian submanifold of the symplectic space \((\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega)\) is a \(n\)-dimensional submanifold \(V \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}\), such that for any \(p \in V\), \(T_pV \subset T_p\mathbb{R}^{2n} \cong \mathbb{R}^{2n}\), is a Lagrangian subspace of \(\mathbb{R}^{2n}\). This is equivalent to say that the symplectic 2-form \(\sigma = \sum_{1 \leq r < s \leq 2n} \sigma_{rs} dx^r \wedge dx^s\), with \(\sigma_{rs} = \omega_{rs}\), and \((\xi^1)_{1 \leq r \leq 2n} = (x^1, y^1)_{1 \leq j \leq n}\), annihilates on \(V\): \(\sigma|_V = 0\). The tangent space \(TV\), classified by the first classifying mapping \(f : V \to BO(n)\), is the pullback of the tautological bundle \(E(\eta)\) over \(Lag(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega)\), or equivalently the pullback of \(E(\eta)\) via the second classifying mapping \(\zeta : V \to Lag(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega)\), \(\zeta(p) = T_pV \cong \mathbb{R}^{2n}\). In fact one has the exact commutative diagram (52).

\[
\begin{align*}
TM & \cong f^*E(\eta) \cong \zeta^*E(\eta) \\
V & \cong Lag(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega) \\
0 & \cong BO(n)
\end{align*}
\]

- The Maslov index class of \(V\) is defined by \(\tau(V) = \zeta^*(\alpha) \in H^1(V; \mathbb{Z})\), where \(\alpha \in H^1(Lag(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega); \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}\) is the generator.
- The Maslov cycle of \(V\) is defined by \(\Sigma(V) = \{p \in V \mid \dim(\ker(T(\pi)|_{T_pV})) > 0\}\).

where \(\pi : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \cong \mathbb{R}^n \oplus i\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n\). Therefore \(\Sigma(V) \cong T_pV \cap i\mathbb{R}^n \neq \{0\}\). The homology class \([\Sigma(V)] \in H_{n-1}(V; \mathbb{Z})\) is the Poincaré dual of the Maslov index class \(\tau(V) \in H^1(V; \mathbb{Z})\): \([\Sigma(V)] = D\tau(V)\).

Therefore, one can state that \(\tau(V)\) measures the failure of the morphism \(\pi|_V : V \to \mathbb{R}^n\) to be a local diffeomorphism.

Example 4.2. \(\mathbb{C}^n\) is a symplectic manifold. Any \(n\)-dimensional subspace is a Lagrangian submanifold.

Example 4.3. Any 1-dimensional submanifold of a 2-dimensional symplectic manifold is Lagrangian.²⁵

Example 4.4. The cotangent space \(T^*M\) of a \(n\)-dimensional manifold \(M\) is a symplectic manifold, and each fiber \(T^*_pM\) of the fiber bundle \(\pi : T^*M \to M\), is a Lagrangian submanifold.

²⁴The tangent space \(T_pW\), \(\forall p \in W\), identifies a symplectic space via the 2-form \(\omega(p) \in \Lambda^2(T_pW)\). Therefore a \(n\)-dimensional sub-manifold \(V\) of a \(2n\)-symplectic manifold \(W\) is Lagrangian iff \(T_pV\) is a Lagrangian subspace of \(T_pW\), \(\forall p \in V\).

²⁵For example any curve in \(S^2\) is a Lagrangian submanifold.
• Let \( V \subset T^*M \) be a Lagrangian submanifold of \( T^*M \). Let us consider the fiber bundle
\[
\mathcal{L}_{ag}(T^*M) = \bigcup_{q \in T^*M} \mathcal{L}_{ag}(T^*M)_q
\]
where \( \mathcal{L}_{ag}(T^*M)_q \) is the set of Lagrangian subspaces of \( T_q(T^*M) \). One has a canonical mapping
\[
\zeta : V \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{ag}(T^*M), q \mapsto T_qV.
\]
Then if \( \alpha \in H^1(T^*M; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \) is the generator, we get \( \zeta^*\alpha \in H^1(V; \mathbb{Z}) \) is the Maslov index class of \( V \). The Maslov cycle of \( V \) is defined as
\[
\Sigma(V) = \{ q \in V \mid \dim(\ker(T(\pi)|_{\tau_qV}) > 0, \pi : T^*M \rightarrow M \}. 
\]

Therefore \( \Sigma(V) \cong \{ q \in V \mid T_qV \cap vT_q(T^*M) \neq \{0\} \}. \) Here \( vT_q(T^*M) \) denotes the vertical tangent space at \( q \in T^*M \), with respect to the projection \( \pi : T^*M \rightarrow M \). The homology class \( [\Sigma(V)] \in H_{n-1}(V; \mathbb{Z}) \) is the Poincaré dual of the Maslov index class \( \tau(V) \in H^1(V; \mathbb{Z}) \). \( [\Sigma(V)] = D\tau(V) \). Therefore \( \tau(V) \) measures the failure of the mapping \( \pi|_V : V \rightarrow M \) to be a local diffeomorphism.

**Definition 4.2** (Maslov cycles of PDE solution). We call \( i \)-Maslov cycle, \( 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \), of a solution \( V \subset E_k \subset J_k(W) \), the set \( \Sigma_i(V) \) of singular points \( q \in V \), such that \( \dim(\ker((\pi_k,0)_*)(\tau_qV))) = n - i \).

**Definition 4.3** (Maslov index classes of PDE solution). We call \( i \)-Maslov index class, \( 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \), of a solution \( V \subset E_k \subset J_k(W) \),
\[
\tau_i(V) = (iv)^*\omega_i^{(k)} \in H^i(V; \mathbb{Z}_2),
\]
where \( \omega_i^{(k)} \) is the \( i \)-th generators of the ring \( \mathbb{Z}_2[\omega_1^{(k)}, \cdots, \omega_n^{(k)}] \cong H^*(F_k, \mathbb{Z}_2) \) and \( iv : V \rightarrow I(E_k) \) is the canonical mapping, \( iv : q \mapsto T_qV \).

**Theorem 4.3** (Maslov indexes and Maslov cycles relations for solution of PDE).
• Let \( E_k \subset J_k(W) \) be a strong retract of \( J_k(W) \), then the homology class \( [\Sigma_i(V)] \in H_{n-i}(V; \mathbb{Z}) \), \( 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \), is the Poincaré dual of the Maslov index class \( \tau_i(V) \in H^i(V; \mathbb{Z}) \). Formula (54) holds.
\[
[\Sigma_i(V)] = D\tau_i(V), 1 \leq i \leq n-1.
\]
Therefore, \( \{\tau_i(V)\}_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} \) is a measure of the failure of the mapping \( \pi_{k,0} : V \rightarrow W \) to be a local embedding.

• Let \( E_k \subset J_k(W) \) be a formally integrable PDE. Then one can characterize each solution \( V \) on the first prolongations \( (E_k)_{+1} \subset J_k^{+1}(W) \), by means of \( i \)-Maslov indexes and \( i \)-Maslov cycles, as made in above point.

**Proof.** Let us consider \( E_k \) a strong retract of \( J_k(W) \). Then we can apply Theorem 4.2 In particular we get the following isomorphisms:
\[
\begin{align*}
H^*(E_k) & \cong H^*(J_k(W)) \\
H^*(I(E_k)) & \cong H^*(I_k(W)).
\end{align*}
\]
Let us more explicitly calculate these cohomologies. Start with the case $i = 1$. One has the following isomorphisms:

\begin{equation}
    H^1(I(E_k); Z_2) \cong H^1(E_k; Z_2) \otimes Z_2 H^0(F_k; Z_2) \bigoplus H^0(E_k; Z) \otimes Z_2 H^1(F_k; Z_2)
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
    \cong H^1(E_k; Z_2) \otimes Z_2 \bigoplus Z_2 \otimes Z_2 Z_2[\omega_1^{(k)}]
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
    \cong H^1(E_k; Z_2) \bigoplus Z_2[\omega_1^{(k)}].
\end{equation}

Therefore one has the following exact commutative diagram:

\begin{equation}
    \begin{array}{cccccc}
        0 & \to & Z_2[\omega_1^{(k)}] & \to & H^1(I(E_k); Z_2) & \to & H^1(E_k; Z_2) & \to & 0 \\
        & & (iv)_* & \downarrow (iv)^* & & \downarrow & & \\
        & & & & H^1(V; Z_2) & & & & 0
    \end{array}
\end{equation}

Then the mapping $i_V : V \to I(E_k)$, induces the following morphism

\begin{equation}
    (iv)_* = (iv)^*|_{Z_2[\omega_1^{(k)}]} : Z_2[\omega_1^{(k)}] \to H^1(V; Z_2).
\end{equation}

Set $\beta_1(V) = (iv)_* (\omega_1^{(k)})$. Here we suppose that $V$ is compact, (otherwise we shall consider cohomology with compact support). Now we get

\begin{equation}
    (iv)_* \big|_{Z_2[\omega_1^{(k)}]} \big|_{Z_2[\omega_1^{(k)}]} = [\Sigma_1(V)].
\end{equation}

In (61) $[V]$ denotes the fundamental class of $V$ that there exists also whether $V$ is non-orientable. (For details see, e.g. [40].)

We can pass to any degree, $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$, by considering the following isomorphisms:

\begin{equation}
    H^i(I(E_k); Z_2) \cong H^i(E_k; Z_2) \bigoplus \bigoplus_{1 \leq p \leq i - 1} H^{i-p}(E_k; Z_2) \otimes Z_2 H^p(F_k; Z_2)
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
    \bigoplus Z_2[\omega_1^{(k)}, \cdots, \omega_i^{(k)}].
\end{equation}

One has the following exact commutative diagram:

\begin{equation}
    \begin{array}{cccccc}
        0 & \to & Z_2[\omega_1^{(k)}, \cdots, \omega_i^{(k)}] & \to & H^i(I(E_k); Z_2) & \to & H^i(E_k; Z_2)/Z_2[\omega_1^{(k)}, \cdots, \omega_i^{(k)}] & \to & 0 \\
        & & (iv)_* & \downarrow (iv)^* & & \downarrow & & \\
        & & & & H^i(V; Z_2) & & & & 0
    \end{array}
\end{equation}

Then the map $i_V : V \to I(E_k)$ induces the following morphism:

\begin{equation}
    (iv)_* : Z_2[\omega_1^{(k)}, \cdots, \omega_i^{(k)}] \to H^i(V; Z_2), 1 \leq i \leq n - 1.
\end{equation}

Set $\beta_i(V) = (iv)_* (\omega_i^{(k)})$. We get

\begin{equation}
    \beta_i(V) \big|_{[V]} = [\Sigma_i(V)].
\end{equation}
For the case where $E_k$ is formally integrable, we can repeat the above proceeding applied to the first prolongation $(E_k)_{+1}$ of $E_k$, that is a strong retract of $J^{n+1}_k(W)$. In this way we complete the proof. \hfill \Box

**Example 4.5** (Navier-Stokes PDEs and global space-time smooth solutions). The non-isothermal Navier-Stokes equation can be encoded in a geometric way as a second-order PDE $(NS) \subset J^2_2(W)$, where $\pi : W = JD(M) \times_M T^0_1 M \times_M T^0_1 M \equiv M \times I \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow M$ is an affine bundle over the 4-dimensional affine Galilean space-time $M$. There $I \subset M$ represents a 3-dimensional affine subspace of the 4-dimensional vector space $M$ of free vectors of $M$. A section $s : M \rightarrow W$ is a triplet $s = (v, p, \theta)$ representing the velocity field $v$, the isotropic pressure $p$, and the temperature $\theta$. In [39] it is reported the explicit expression of $(NS)$, formulated just in this geometric way. Then one can see there that $(NS)$ is not formally integrable, but one can canonically recognize a sub-equation $(\hat{NS}) \subset (NS) \subset J^2_2(W)$, that is so and also completely integrable. Furthermore, $(NS)$ is a strong deformed retract of $J^2_1(W)$, over a strong deformed retract $(C)$ of $J^1_1(W)$. In other words one has the following commutative diagram of homotopy equivalences:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
(NS) & \sim & J^2_2(W) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
(C) & \sim & J^1_1(W) \\
0 & & 0
\end{array}
\]

Since $J^2_2(W)$ and $J^1_1(W)$ are affine spaces, they are topologically contractible to a point, hence from (62) we are able to calculate the cohomology properties of $(NS)$, as reported in (63).

\[
\begin{cases}
H^0((NS);\mathbb{Z}_2) = \mathbb{Z}_2 \\
H^r((NS);\mathbb{Z}_2) = 0, \ r > 0.
\end{cases}
\]

We get the cohomologies of $I(NS)$, as reported in (64).

\[
\begin{cases}
H^r(I(NS);\mathbb{Z}_2) = \bigoplus_{p+q=r} H^p((NS);\mathbb{Z}_2) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} H^q(F_2;\mathbb{Z}_2) \\
= H^p((NS);\mathbb{Z}_2) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} H^q(F_2;\mathbb{Z}_2) \\
= \mathbb{Z}_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{Z}_2[\omega^{(2)}_1, \ldots, \omega^{(2)}_i], \ 1 \leq r \leq 4.
\end{cases}
\]

Therefore (65) are the conditions that $V \subset (\hat{NS}) \subset (NS)$ must satisfy in order to be without singular points.

\[
0 = i^*_V \omega_i^{(2)} \in H^i(V;\mathbb{Z}_2), \ 1 \leq i \leq 4.
\]

In particular, if

\[
V = D^2s(M) \subset (\hat{NS}) \subset (NS) \subset JD^2(W) \subset J^2_1(W)
\]

where $s : M \rightarrow W$ is a smooth global section, since $H^i(V;\mathbb{Z}_2) = 0, \forall i > 0$, we get that all its characteristic classes $i^*_V \omega_i^{(2)}$ are zero. Therefore, $V$ cannot have singular points on $V$, namely it is a global smooth solution on all the space-time.
Existence of such global solutions, certainly exist for \((NS)\). In fact, a constant section \(s : M \to W\), is surely a solution for \((NS)\), localized on a equipotential space region. In fact such solution satisfies \((NS)\) iff equations \((66)\) are satisfied.

\[
\begin{align*}
\nu^k G^j_{jk} &= 0 \\
\nu^k (\partial x_{\alpha}, G^j_{jk}) &= 0 \\
\nu^s R^s_i + \rho(\partial x_{k} f) g^{ij} &= 0 \\
\nu^k v^p W_{kp} &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

(66)

We have adopted the same symbols used in \([39]\). Then by using global cartesian coordinates (this is possible for the affine structure of \(J^2_4(W)\)), we get that \(g^{ij} = \delta^{ij}\), \(R^s_i = 0\) and \(W_{kp} = 0\). Therefore equations \((66)\) reduce to \(\rho(\partial x_{k} f) = 0\). This means that such constant solutions exist iff they are localized in a equipotential space-region.

Such constant global smooth solutions, even if very simple, can be used to build more complex ones, by using the linearized Navier-Stokes equation at such solutions. Let us denote by \((NS)[s] \subset JD^2(s^* vTW)\) such a linearized PDE at the constant solution \(s\). Similarly to the nonlinear case, we can associate to \((NS)[s]\) a linear sub-PDE \((NS)[s] \subset (NS)[s]\) that is formally integrable and completely integrable. Then in a space-time neighbourhood of a point \(q \in (NS)[s]\) we can build a smooth solution, say \(\nu : M \to s^* vTW\). Since solutions of \((NS)[s]\) locally transform solutions of \((NS)\) into other solutions of this last equation, we get that the original constant solution \(s\) can be transformed by means of the perturbation \(\nu\) into another global solution \(s' : M \to W\); the perturbation being only localized into a local space-time region. In this way we are able to obtain global space-time smooth solutions \(V' \subset (NS)\). (See Fig. 1.) Since \(V\) and \(V'\) are both diffeomorphic to \(M\), via the canonical projection \(\pi_2 : J^2_4(W) \to M\), their characteristic classes are all zero: \(i^1_\nu \omega^{(2)}_\nu = i^1_\nu \omega^{(2)} = 0\), \(i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}\). Really \(H^i(V^3; \mathbb{Z}_2) = 0 = H^i(V^3; \mathbb{Z}_2), \forall i > 0\). In the words of Theorem 4.3 we can say that in these global solutions \(V\) one has,

\[
\Sigma_i(V)_K = \emptyset, \forall i \in \{1, 2, 3\}
\]

for any compact domain \(K \subset V\). In \((67)\) \(\Sigma_i(V)_K\) denotes the \(i\)-Maslov cycle of \(V\) inside the compact domain \(K \subset V\). (For more details on the existence of such smooth solutions, built by means of perturbations of constant ones, see Appendix A.\)

\footnote{It is clear that whether we work with the constant solution with zero flow, we get a non-constant global solutions \(V\) necessarily satisfying the following Clay-Navier-Stokes conditions:

1. \(v(x, t) \in \left[C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, \infty))\right]^3, \quad p(x, t) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, \infty))\)
2. There exists a constant \(E \in (0, \infty)\) such that \(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v(x, t)|^2 dx < E\).

For more details on the Navier-Stokes Clay-problem see the following reference: [17]. Therefore this is another way to prove existence of global smooth solutions when one aims to obtain solutions defined on all the space \(\mathbb{R}^3\). Really by varying the localized perturbation one can obtain different initial conditions, and as a by-product global smooth solutions. Such global solutions do not necessitate to be stable at short times, since the symbol of the Navier-Stokes equation is not zero. However by working on the infinity prolongation \((NS)_{+\infty} \subset J^\infty_4(W)\) all smooth solutions can be stabilized at finite times, since for \((NS)_{+\infty}\) one has \((g_2)_{+\infty} = 0\). Their average-stability can be studied with the geometric methods given by A. Prástaro, also for global solutions defined on all the space, assuming perturbations with compact support. (See Refs. [43, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49].)
Fig. 1. Global space-time smooth solution representation \( V' \subset (\hat{NS}) \), obtained by means of a localized, space-time smooth perturbation of a constant global smooth solution \( V \subset (\hat{NS}) \). The perturbation, localized in the compact space-time region \( D \subset V \) of the smooth global constant solution \( V \), is a smooth solution of the linearized equation \( \hat{(NS)}[s] \). The vertical arrow denotes the local perturbation of the solution \( V \), generating the non-constant global smooth solution \( V' \subset J^2_1(W) \).

**Theorem 4.4 (Maslov index for Lagrangian manifolds).** For \( n \)-Lagrangian submanifolds of a \( 2n \)-dimensional symplectic manifold \( (W, \omega) \), we recognize \( i \)-Maslov indexes \( \beta_i(V) \) and \( i \)-Maslov cycles \( \Sigma_i(V) \), \( 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \). For \( i = 1 \), we recover the Maslov index defined by Arnold.

**Proof.** After recognized Maslov index for solutions of PDEs (Theorem 4.3), the first step to follow is to show that Lagrangian submanifolds of \( W \) are encoded by a suitable PDE. Let \( \{x^\alpha, y^j\}_{1 \leq \alpha, j \leq n} \) be local coordinates in a neighborhood of a point \( a \in W \). In this way a \( n \)-dimensional submanifold \( N \subset W \), passing for \( a \), can be endowed with local coordinates \( \{x^\alpha\}_{1 \leq \alpha \leq n} \). Let us represent \( \omega \) in such a coordinate system:

\[
\omega = \sum_{1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq n} \omega_{\alpha\beta} dx^\alpha \wedge dx^\beta + \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, j \leq n} \bar{\omega}_{\alpha j} dx^\alpha \wedge dy^j + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \hat{\omega}_{ij} dy^i \wedge dy^j.
\]

Then the restriction of \( \omega \) on a \( n \)-dimensional submanifold \( N \subset W \), with local coordinate \( \{x^{\alpha}\}_{1 \leq \alpha \leq n} \), gives the formula (69).

\[
\omega|_N = \sum_{1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq n} [\omega_{\alpha\beta} + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} (\bar{\omega}_{\alpha j} y^j - \bar{\omega}_{\beta j} y^j) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \hat{\omega}_{ij} (y^i y^j - y^j y^i)] dx^\alpha \wedge dx^\beta.
\]

Therefore, by imposing that must be \( \omega|_N = 0 \), we see that we can encode \( n \)-dimensional Lagrangian submanifolds \( N \subset W \) by means of solutions, of the PDE \( \mathcal{L}_1 \) reported in (70).

\[
\mathcal{L}_1 \subset J^2_1(W) : \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\omega_{rs}(x) + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} (\bar{\omega}_{rj}(x) y^j - \bar{\omega}_{sj}(x) y^j) + \\
+ \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (y^i y^j - y^j y^i) \hat{\omega}_{ij}(x) = 0
\end{array} \right\}_{1 \leq r < s \leq n}.
\]
There $\omega_{rs}$ and $\tilde{\omega}_{ij}$ are non-degenerate skew-symmetric $n \times n$ matrices and $\bar{\omega}_{rs}$ is a $n \times n$ matrix, all being analytic functions of $\{x^a\}$.\footnote{Let us emphasize that the coefficients $\omega_{rs}$, $\tilde{\omega}_{ij}$ and $\bar{\omega}_{rs}$, are related by some first order constraints coming from the condition that $d\omega = 0$. However, for the formal integrability of equation (70) these constraints can be ruled-out.} One can prove that $E_1$ is a formally integrable and completely integrable PDE. In fact one can see that $\pi_{r-1,r} : (E_1)_{+r} \to (E_1)_{+(r-1)}$ are sub-bundles of $\pi_{r+1,r} : J^{r+1}_n(W) \to J^r_n(W)$, $\forall r \geq 1$. Really, for $r = 1$ we get

\[
\begin{align*}
\dim(L_1)_{+1} &= n + n \frac{(n+2)(n+1)}{2} - \frac{n(n-1)}{2} - \frac{n^2(n-1)}{2} \\
\dim(L_1) &= n + n(n+1) - \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \\
\dim(g_1)_{+1} &= \frac{n^2(n+1)}{2} - \frac{n^2(n-1)}{2} \\
\dim(L_1)_{+1} &= \dim(L_1) + \dim(g_1)_{+1}.
\end{align*}
\]

This is enough to state that the short sequence

\[
(L_1)_{+r} \xrightarrow{\pi_{r+1,r}} (L_1)_{+(r-1)} \xrightarrow{0}
\]

is exact for $r = 1$. Since this process can be iterated for any $r > 1$, we can state that one can arrive to a certain prolongation where the symbol is involutive. hence the PDE $L_1$ is formally integrable. Since it is analytic it is also completely integrable. Then we can apply Theorem 4.3 to $L_1 \subset J^1_n(W)$, to state that there exists Maslov cycles and Maslov indexes for any solution $V \subset (L_1)_{+1}$, on the first prolongation of $L_1$. One has the following commutative diagram where all the vertical line are surjectives.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
(L_1)_{+1} & \xrightarrow{\pi_{2,0}} & J^2_n(W) \\
\pi_{2,0}|L_1 & \pi_{2,1} & \pi_{2,1}
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
J^1_n(W) & \pi_{2,0} & J^2_n(W) \\
\pi_{1,0}|L_1 & \pi_{1,0} & \pi_{1,0}
\end{array}
\]

\[
L_1 \\
W
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0
\end{array}
\]

$(L_1)_{+1}$ is a strong retract of $J^2_n(W)$, hence one has the homotopy equivalence:

$(L_1)_{+1} \simeq J^2_n(W),$

that induces isomorphisms on the corresponding cohomology spaces. Therefore, we can recognize $i$-Maslov index classes and $i$-Maslov cycle classes on each solution $V \subset (L_1)_{+1}$.

As a by-product we can apply these results to the symplectic space $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega)$, to recover the same results given by V.I Arnold. (See Example 4.1.) This justifies our Definition 4.2 and Definition 4.3 that can be recognized suitable generalizations, in PDE geometry, of analogous definitions given by V. I. Arnold.\footnote{Let us emphasize that the coefficients $\omega_{rs}$, $\tilde{\omega}_{ij}$ and $\bar{\omega}_{rs}$, are related by some first order constraints coming from the condition that $d\omega = 0$. However, for the formal integrability of equation (70) these constraints can be ruled-out.}
Theorem 4.5 (G-singular Lagrangian bordism groups). Let $W$ be a symplectic $2n$-dimensional manifold. Let $G$ be an abelian group. Then the $G$-singular bordism group of $(n-1)$-dimensional compact submanifolds of $W$, bording by means of $n$-dimensional Lagrangian submanifolds of $W$, is given in (74).

\begin{equation}
G\Omega_{n-1,s}^L \cong \tilde{H}_s(L_1;G).
\end{equation}

- If $G\Omega_{n-1,s}^L = 0$ one has: $\tilde{\text{Bor}}_s(L_1;G) \cong \tilde{\text{Cy}}_s(L_1;G).
- If $\tilde{\text{Cy}}_s(L_1;G)$ is a free $G$-module, one has the isomorphism:

\begin{equation}
\tilde{\text{Bor}}_s(L_1;G) \cong G\Omega(L_1)_s \bigoplus \tilde{\text{Cy}}_s(L_1;G).
\end{equation}

Proof. It is enough to apply Theorem 3.7 and Theorem 4.4 to get formula (74). \hfill \Box

Theorem 4.6 (Closed weak Lagrangian bordism groups). Let $W$ be a symplectic $2n$-dimensional manifold. Let $G$ be an abelian group. Then the weak $(n-1)$-bordism group of closed compact $(n-1)$-dimensional submanifolds of $W$, bording by means of $n$-dimensional Lagrangian submanifolds of $W$, is given in (75).

\begin{equation}
\Omega_{n-1,w}^L \cong \bigoplus_{r+s=n-1} H_r(W;\mathbb{Z}_2) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \Omega_s \cong \Omega_{n-1}^L/K_{n-1,1,s}^L \cong \Omega_{n-1,s}^L/K_{n-1,s,w}^L.
\end{equation}

Furthermore, since $L_1 \subset J_n^s(W)$, has non zero symbols: $g_{1+s} \neq 0$, $s \geq 0$, then $K_{n-1,s,w}^L = 0$, hence $\Omega_{n-1,s}^L \cong \Omega_{n-1}^L$.

Proof. From the proof of Theorem 4.5 and by using Theorem 3.10 we get directly the proof. \hfill \Box

Warning. Lagrangian bordism considered in this paper, namely Theorem 4.5 and Theorem 4.6, adopts a point of view that is directly related to one where compact (closed) manifolds bording by means of Lagrangian submanifolds must be Lagrangian manifolds too. This is, for example the Lagrangian bordism considered in [11]. Really these authors work on a manifold $W = \mathbb{R}^2 \times M$, where $M$ is a (compact) $2m$-dimensional symplectic manifold $(M,\tilde{\omega})$, and $\mathbb{R}^2$ is endowed with the canonical symplectic form $\omega_{\mathbb{R}^2} = dx \wedge dy$. Thus $W$ is a $2(m+1)$-dimensional symplectic manifold with symplectic form $\omega = \tilde{\omega} \oplus \omega_{\mathbb{R}^2}$. Therefore, one has a natural trivial fiber bundle structure $\pi : W \to \mathbb{R}^2$, with fiber the symplectic manifold $M$. Then one considers bordisms of (closed) compact Lagrangian $m$-dimensional submanifolds of $M$, bording by means of $(m+1)$-dimensional Lagrangian submanifolds of $W$. In such a situation, with respect to the framework considered in Theorem 4.5 and Theorem 4.6 one should specify that $n = m + 1$, and that the $n-1 = m$ compact manifolds bording with $(n = m + 1)$-Lagrangian submanifolds of $W$ must be Lagrangian submanifolds of $M$. In other words the Lagrangian bordism groups considered in [11] are relative Lagrangian bordism groups, with respect to the submanifold $M \subset W$, in our formulation. However, since $(m+1)$-dimensional Lagrangian submanifolds $V$ of $W$, must necessarily be transverse to the fibers of $\pi : W \to \mathbb{R}^2$, except in the singular points, it follows that the compact (closed) $m$-dimensional manifolds $N_1$ and $N_2$ that they bord, namely $\partial V = N_1 \sqcup N_2$, must necessarily be submanifolds of $M$: $N_1, N_2 \subset M$. Furthermore, by considering that $\omega|_V = 0$, it follows that $\tilde{\omega}|_{N_1} = \tilde{\omega}|_{N_2} = 0$, hence $N_1$ and $N_2$ must necessarily be Lagrangian submanifolds of $(M,\tilde{\omega})$, as considered in [11]. Therefore, our point of view is more general than...
one adopted in [11] and recovers this last one when the structure of the symplectic manifold \((W, \omega)\) is of the type \((M \times \mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{D} \oplus \omega_{\mathbb{R}^2})\).

### Appendices

#### Appendix A: On global smooth solutions of the Navier-Stokes PDEs

In this appendix we shall explicitly prove a theorem that one has implicitly used in Example 4.5.

**Theorem A1.** Any constant smooth solution \(s\) of the Navier-Stokes equation \((NS)\) \(\subset JD^2(W) \subset J_2^3(W)\), admits perturbations that identify smooth non-constant solutions of \((NS)\) \(\subset JD^2(W) \subset J_3^2(W)\).

**Proof.** We shall use a surgery technique in order to prove this theorem. Let us divide the proof in some lemmas.

**Lemma A1.** Given a smooth constant solution \(s\) of \((NS)\) \(\subset JD^2(W)\) we can identify a smooth solution with boundary diffeomorphic to \(S^3\) and a compact smooth solution with boundary diffeomorphic to \(S^3\) again, such that their canonical projections on \(M\) identify an annular domain in \(M\).

**Proof.** Let us consider a compact domain \(D \subset M\) identified with a 4-dimensional disk \(D^4\). Set \(\partial D^4 = S^3\). By fixing a constant solution \(s\) of \((NS)\) \(\subset JD^2(W)\), let us denote by \(N\) the image into \(\hat{(NS)}\) of \(S^3\) by means of \(D: N = D^2s(S^3) \subset \hat{(NS)} \subset J_2^3(W)\). Set \(V = D^2s(M) \subset \hat{(NS)}\) and set

\[(A.1) \quad \tilde{V} = (V \setminus D^2s(D^4)) \cup N \subset \hat{(NS)}.\]

Then \(\tilde{V}\) is a smooth solution of \(\hat{(NS)}\) with boundary \(\partial \tilde{V} = N \cong S^3\).

Let \(p_0 \in D^4\) be the center of the disk. Since \(\hat{(NS)} \subset JD^2(W)\) is completely integrable, we can build a smooth (analytic) solution \(s_0\) in a neighborhood \(U_0 \subset D^4\) of \(p_0\), such that \(\hat{V} = D^2s_0(U) \subset \hat{(NS)}\). We can assume that \(s_0\) does not coincide with \(s\). (Otherwise we could take a different constant value from \(s\).) Let us consider in \(U_0\) a disk \(D_0^4\) centered on \(p_0\). Set

\[(A.2) \quad N_0 = D^2s_0(\partial D_0^4) \subset \tilde{V} \subset \hat{(NS)}.\]

Let us consider

\[(A.3) \quad \tilde{V} = (\tilde{V} \setminus D^2s_0(D_0^4)) \cup N_0 \subset \hat{(NS)}.\]

Then \(\tilde{V}\) is a smooth solution of \(\hat{(NS)}\) with boundary \(\partial \tilde{V} = N_0 \cong S^3\). Of course the projections of \(N\) and \(N_0\) on \(M\) via the canonical projection \(\pi_2 : JD^2(W) \to M\), identify an annular domain in \(M\). \(\square\)

**Lemma A2.** The solutions \(\hat{V}\) and \(\tilde{V}\) considered in Lemma 1 identify a connected smooth solution of \((NS)\) \(\subset J_3^2(W)\).

**Proof.** Since both solutions \(\hat{V}\) and \(\tilde{V}\) are smooth solutions we can consider their \(\infty\)-prolongations and look to them inside \((\hat{NS})_{+\infty}\). Now their boundary are both diffeomorphic to \(S^3\), therefore must exist a smooth solution \(\hat{V}^\infty \subset (\hat{NS})_{+\infty} \subset J_3^\infty(W)\)
such that $\partial \hat{V} = N_{+\infty} \cup (N_0)_{+\infty}$. In fact, from the commutative diagram 39 and Theorem 3.10 we get the exact commutative diagram (A.4).

(A.4) 0 \rightarrow R_3^{(NS)} \rightarrow 0

\hspace{1cm} 0 \rightarrow K_3^{(NS)} \rightarrow \Omega_3^{(NS)} \rightarrow \Omega_3^{(NS)} \rightarrow 0

\hspace{1cm} 0 \rightarrow K_{3,s}^{(NS)} \rightarrow \Omega_{3,s}^{(NS)} \rightarrow \Omega_{3,s}^{(NS)} \rightarrow 0

\hspace{1cm} 0 \rightarrow 0

where $\overline{R}_3^{(NS)} \cong K_{3,s}^{(NS)}$ distinguishes between non-diffeomorphic closed 3-dimensional integral smooth submanifolds of $(NS)$. In fact $\Omega_{3,s}^{(NS)} \cong \Omega_3 = 0$. Since the Cartan distribution $E_\infty \subset T(NS)_{+\infty}$ is 4-dimensional, it follows that $\hat{V}$ smoothly solders with the solutions $\hat{V}, \tilde{V}$ and $\tilde{\hat{V}}$. In this way

(A.5) $X = \hat{V}_{+\infty} \bigcup_{N_{+\infty}} \hat{V} \bigcup_{(N_0)_{+\infty}} \hat{V}_{+\infty}$

is a smooth solution of $(NS)_{+\infty}$, hence of $(NS)$. \qed

To conclude the proof let us assume that $\hat{V}$ can be realized by means of a section $s_{\infty}$ of $\pi : W \rightarrow M$, namely $\hat{V} = D_{+\infty}(s_{\infty})(A)$, where $A$ is the annular domain above considered. Thus we can say that the solution $X = D_{+\infty}\tilde{s}(M)$ for some smooth global section $\tilde{s}$ of $\pi : W \rightarrow M$. Then taking into account of the affine structure of $W$ we can state that $\tilde{s} = s + \nu$, where $\nu$ is a smooth perturbation of $s$ on the disk $D^3$, such that $\nu|_{S^3} = 0$ and

(A.6) $\lim_{p \rightarrow S^3 \text{ (from inside)}} \nu(p) = 0, \nu|_{\partial D^2} = 0$.

In other words the perturbation is of the type pictured in Fig. 1.

So we have proved the following lemma.

**Lemma A3.** When perturbations of $(NS)$ are realized by means of smooth solutions of the corresponding linearized Navier-Stokes PDE, $(NS)[s] \subset JD^2(s^*vTW)$, the

---

28 This is related to the fact that the Navier-Stokes equation is an extended 0-crystal PDE. (See [45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 51, 53].) In Tab. 4 are reported some un-oriented smooth bordism groups $\Omega_n$, $0 \leq n \leq 3$, useful to calculate $\Omega_{3,s}^{(NS)}$, according to Theorem 3.10.
Table 4. Un-oriented smooth bordism groups $\Omega_n$, $0 \leq n \leq 3$.

| $n$ | $\Omega_n$ |
|-----|-----------|
| 0   | $\mathbb{Z}_2$ |
| 1   | 0 |
| 2   | $\mathbb{Z}_2$ |
| 3   | 0 |

completely integrable part of $\langle NS \rangle [s]$, then the identified solutions of $(NS) \subset J_2^2(W)$ are also smooth solutions of $(NS) \subset JD^2(W) \subset J_2^2(W)$, namely they are identified with smooth sections of $\pi : W \to M$.

Whether, instead, $\tilde{V}$ is a smooth solution that cannot be globally represented by means of a section of $\pi : W \to M$, then it means that there are in $\tilde{V}$, and hence in its projection into $W$, some pieces that climb on the fibers of $\pi : W \to M$. In such a case we can continue to state that $X$ is obtained by a perturbation of $V$ inside the compact domain $D$, but the perturbation is a singular solution of the linearized Navier-Stokes PDE at the constant section $s$. Therefore in such a case it should not be possible represent $X$ as a smooth section of $\pi : W \to M$. This shows the necessity to realize the perturbation of $s$ by means of a smooth solution $\nu$ of the linearized equation $(\nu |_{S^2} = 0$ and $\nu |_{\partial D^4} = 0$, in order the perturbed solution $X$ should be identified with a global non-constant section of $\pi : W \to M$.

On the other hand since $\tilde{V}$ and $\tilde{V}$ are both regular solutions with respect to the canonical projection $\pi : JD^\infty(W) \to M$, and $(NS) \subset JD^2(W)$ is an affine fiber bundle over its projection at the first order, with non-zero symbol, it follows that we can deform any eventual piece climbing on the fibers in such a way to obtain a regular solution with respect to the projection $\pi : W \to M$. Therefore, the projection $Y \subset (NS)$ of $\tilde{V}$ into $(NS)$, can be eventually deformed into a regular solution, $\tilde{Y}$, with respect to the projection $\pi$. (See Fig. 2.) In this way the projection of $\tilde{Y}$ into $W$, smoothly relates regular smooth submanifolds that project on two domains of $M$ that are outside the annular domain $A$, but that are disconnected each other. Thus $\tilde{Y}$ identifies a smooth 4-dimensional manifold transverse to the fibers of $\pi : W \to M$. By conclusion $\tilde{Y}^+ \in \tilde{V}$ is necessarily a regular solution of $(NS)_{+\infty} \subset JD^\infty(W)$. Therefore it can be obtained by a perturbation of the constant solution $s$, by means of a smooth solution of $(NS)[s] \subset JD^2(s^*\nu TW)$.

Appendix B: On the Legendrian bordism

Similarly to the way we considered Lagrangian bordism in this paper, we can also formulate Legendrian bordism. Let us in this appendix recall some basic definitions and sketch only some steps on. Really on a $(2n+1)$-dimensional manifold $W$, endowed with a contact structure, namely a 1-differential form $\chi$, such that $\chi(p) \wedge d\chi(p)^n \neq 0$, $\forall p \in W$, there exists a characteristic vector field $v : W \to TW$, i.e., the generator of the 1-dimensional annihilator of $d\chi$: $v|d\chi = 0$ and $v|\chi = 1$. Furthermore on $W$ there exists also a contact distribution, namely a $2n$-dimensional
Fig. 2. Deformation of a smooth solution \((Y)\) of \((NS) \subset J^2_4(W)\), climbing along the fibers of \(\pi_2 : (NS) \to M\), into a smooth solution \((\tilde{Y})\) of \((\tilde{NS}) \subset J^D_4(W) \subset J^2_4(W)\). This is possible since the Navier-Stokes PDE is an affine fiber bundle over \((C)\), and its symbol is not zero: \(\dim(g_2)_q = 46, \forall q \in (NS)\), \(\dim(\tilde{g}_2)_q = 42, \forall q \in (\tilde{NS})\). In the picture \(Z = \pi_2, 0(\tilde{V}) \subset W\).

distribution \(B = \bigcup_{p \in W} B_p, B_p = \ker(\chi(p)) \subset T_pW\). One has the following properties.

**Proposition B1.** The following propositions hold.

(i) \(d\chi(p)|_{B_p}, \forall p \in W,\) is nondegenerate, i.e., if \(d\chi(\zeta, \xi) = 0, \forall \zeta \in B_p,\) and \(\forall \xi \in B_p,\) then \(\zeta = 0\).

(ii) \(TW = B \bigoplus <v>\).

(iii) (Darboux’s theorem) \(B \to W\) is a symplectic vector bundle with symplectic form \(d\chi|_B\).

(iv) With respect to local coordinates \(\{x^\alpha, y_\alpha, z\}\) on \(W, \chi\) assumes the following form: \(^{29}\)

\[
\chi = dz - y_\alpha dx^\alpha.
\]

**Proposition B2.** Integral manifold of a contact structure \((W, \chi)\), is a submanifold \(N \subset W\), such that \(\chi|_N = 0\), (or equivalently \(T_pN \subset B_p, \forall p \in N\)). One has

\[
\dim N < \frac{1}{2}(2n + 1).
\]

- Legendrian submanifolds of \((W, \chi)\) are integral submanifolds \(N\) of maximal dimension: \(\dim N = n\).

**Definition B1.** A Legendrian bundle \(\pi : W \to M\), is a fiber bundle with \(\dim W = 2n + 1, \dim M = n + 1,\) and endowed with a contact structure \((W, \chi)\), such that each fiber \(W_p\) is a Legendrian submanifold, namely \(\chi|_{W_p} = 0, \forall p \in M\).

- If \(L \subset W\) is a Legendrian submanifold of \(W, (\chi|_L = 0, \dim L = n)\), its front is \(\pi(L) = X \subset M\). Singularities of \(\pi|_L : L \to M\) are called Legendrian singularities. The front \(X\) of a Legendrian submanifold is a \(n\)-dimensional submanifold of \(M\), with eventual singularities.

\(^{29}\) All contact structure forms on \(W\) are locally diffeomorphic.
Similarly to the Lagrangian submanifolds of symplectic manifolds, we can characterize Legendrian submanifolds of a contact manifold by means of suitable PDEs. In fact we have the following.

**Theorem B1.** Given a contact structure on a \((2n + 1)\)-dimensional manifold \((W, \chi)\), its Legendrian submanifolds are solutions of a first order, involutive, formally integrable and completely integrable PDE. 

\(i\)-Maslov indexes and \(i\)-Maslov cycles, \(1 \leq i \leq n - 1\), can be recognized for such solutions.

**Proof.** Let \(\{x^\alpha, y_\alpha, z\}_{1 \leq \alpha \leq n}\) be local coordinates on \(W\). Then Legendrian submanifolds of \(W\) are the \(n\)-dimensional submanifolds of \(W\) that satisfy the PDE reported in (B.3).

\[
\text{Leg} \subset J^1_n(W) : \{z_\beta - y_\beta = 0\}
\]

where \(\{x^\alpha, y_\alpha, z, y_\alpha \beta, z_\beta\}_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n}\) are local coordinates on \(J^1_n(W)\). The first prolongation of \(\text{Leg}\) is given in (B.4).

\[
\text{Leg}_{+1} \subset J^2_n(W) : \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
z_\beta - y_\beta = 0 \\
z_\beta \gamma - y_\beta \gamma = 0
\end{array} \right\}.
\]

Then one can see that

\[
\dim(\text{Leg}_{+1}) = \frac{n+1}{2} (n^2 + 2n + 2) = \dim(\text{Leg}) = (n+1)^2
\]

Therefore, one has the surjectivity \(\text{Leg}_{+1} \to \text{Leg}\). Furthermore, one can see that the symbol \(g_1\) is involutive. In fact one has

\[
\dim((g_1)_{+1}) = \frac{n^2(n+1)}{2} = \dim(g_1) = n^2 + \dim(g_1^{(1)}) = n^2 - n + \dim(g_1^{(2)}) = n^2 - 2n + \cdots + \dim(g_1^{(n-1)}) = n^2 - n(n-1) = \frac{n^2(n+1)}{2}
\]

We have used the formula \(1 + 2 + 3 + \cdots + (n-1) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}\). This is enough to state that \(\text{Leg}\) is formally integrable and being analytic it is also completely integrable. Let us also remark that \(\text{Leg}\) is a strong retract of \(J^1_n(W)\), therefore one has the homotopic equivalence \(J^1_n(W) \simeq \text{Leg}\) that induces isomorphisms between the corresponding cohomology groups. Then by using Theorem 4.3 we can state that on each solution of \(\text{Leg}\) we are able to recognize \(i\)-Maslov indexes and \(i\)-Maslov cycles. □

**Definition B2.** Let \(W\) be a \((2n + 1)\)-dimensional contact manifold \((W, \chi)\). A Legendrian bordism is a \(n\)-dimensional Legendrian submanifold bording compact \((n-1)\)-dimensional integral submanifolds of \(W\).
Example B1. Let $M$ be a $n$-dimensional manifold. The derivative space

$$JD(M, \mathbb{R}) \cong T^* M \times \mathbb{R}$$

has a canonical contact form $\chi = dy - y_\alpha dx^\alpha$, where $x^\alpha$ are local coordinates on $M$ and $y$ is a coordinate on $\mathbb{R}$. This is just the Cartan form on the derivative space $JD(E)$, $E = M \times \mathbb{R}$, with respect to the fibration $\pi : E \to M$. The corresponding contact distribution coincides with the Cartan distribution $E_1(E) \subset TJD(E)$. Every solution is a Legendrian submanifold. Therefore in such a case Legendrian bordism are identified with solutions bording $(n-1)$-dimensional integral submanifolds.

Remark B1. From above results we can directly reproduce results similar to Theorem 4.5 and Theorem 4.6 also for singular Legendrian bordism groups. More precisely one has the exact commutative diagram reported in (B.7, where the top horizontal line is an homotopy equivalence.

\[ \begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Leg} & \sim & J^1_n(W) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
W & \sim & W \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & = & 0
\end{array} \]

We get the following isomorphisms:

\[ \begin{align*}
H^1(I(\text{Leg}); \mathbb{Z}_2) & \cong H^1(W; \mathbb{Z}_2) \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2[\omega_1^{(1)}] \\
H^i(I(\text{Leg}); \mathbb{Z}_2) & \cong H^i(W; \mathbb{Z}_2) \oplus \bigoplus_{1 \leq p \leq i-1} H^{i-p}(W; \mathbb{Z}_2) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} HP(F_1; \mathbb{Z}_2) \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2[\omega_1^{(1)}, \cdots, \omega_i^{(1)}].
\end{align*} \]

Then the map $i_V : V \to I(\text{Leg})$ induces the following morphism

\[ (i_V)_* : \mathbb{Z}_2[\omega_1^{(1)}, \cdots, \omega_i^{(1)}] \to H^i(V; \mathbb{Z}_2), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-1. \]

Set $\beta_i(V) = (i_V)_*(\omega_1^{(1)}, \cdots, \omega_i^{(1)})$ that is the $i$-Maslov index of the Legendrian manifold $V$. We get $\beta_i(V) \cap [V] = [\Sigma_i(V)]$ that relates the $i$-Maslov index of $V$ with its $i$-Maslov cycle.

Theorem B2 ($G$-singular Legendrian bordism groups). Let $W$ be a contact $(2n+1)$-dimensional manifold. Let $G$ be an abelian group. Then the $G$-singular bordism group of $(n-1)$-dimensional compact submanifolds of $W$, bording by means of $n$-dimensional Legendrian submanifolds of $W$, is given in (B.10).

\[ G\Omega_{\bullet, \text{Leg}}^{\text{Leg}} \cong \tilde{H}_\bullet(\text{Leg}; G). \]

1. If $G\Omega_{\bullet, \text{Leg}}^{\text{Leg}} = 0$ one has: $\tilde{\text{Bor}}_\bullet(\text{Leg}; G) \cong \tilde{\text{Cyc}}_\bullet(\text{Leg}; G)$.
2. If $\tilde{\text{Cyc}}_\bullet(\text{Leg}; G)$ is a free $G$-module, one has the isomorphism:

\[ \tilde{\text{Bor}}_\bullet(\text{Leg}; G) \cong G\Omega(\text{Leg})_{\bullet, \text{Leg}} \oplus \tilde{\text{Cyc}}_\bullet(\text{Leg}; G). \]
Theorem B3 (Closed weak Legendrian bordism groups). Let $W$ be a contact $(2n + 1)$-dimensional manifold. Let $G$ be an abelian group. Then the weak $(n - 1)$-bordism group of closed compact $(n - 1)$-dimensional submanifolds of $W$, bording by means of $n$-dimensional Legendrian submanifolds of $W$, is given in (B.11).

$$\Omega_{n-1,w}^{\text{Leg}} \cong \bigoplus_{r+s=n-1} H_r(W; \mathbb{Z}_2) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \Omega_s \cong \Omega_{n-1}^{\text{Leg}}/K_{n-1,w}^{\text{Leg}} \cong \Omega_{n-1,s}^{\text{Leg}}/K_{n-1,s,w}^{\text{Leg}}.$$  

Furthermore, since $\mathcal{L}eg \subset J_1^n(W)$ has non zero symbols then $K_{n-1,s,w}^{\text{Leg}} = 0$, hence $\Omega_{n-1,s}^{\text{Leg}} \cong \Omega_{n-1,w}^{\text{Leg}}$.
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