GASP. I. Gas Stripping Phenomena in Galaxies with MUSE

Bianca M. Poggianti1, Alessia Moretti1, Marco Gullieuszik1, Jacopo Fritz2, Yara Jaffé3, Daniela Bettoni1, Giovanni Fasano1, Callum Bellhouse1,4, George Hau1, Benedetta Vulcani1,5, Andrea Biviano6, Alessandro Omizzolo7, Angela Paccagnella1,8, Mauro D’Onofrio8, Antonio Cava9, Y.-K. Sheen10, Warrick Couch11, and Matt Owers11,12

1 INAF—Astronomical Observatory of Padova, Vicolo dell’Osservatorio 5, I-35122 Padova, Italy
2 Instituto de Radioastronomía y Astrofísica, UNAM, Campus Morelia, A.P. 3-72, C.P. 58089, Mexico
3 European Southern Observatory, Alonso de Cordova 3107, Vitacura, Casilla 19001, Santiago de Chile, Chile
4 University of Birmingham School of Physics and Astronomy, Edgbaston, Birmingham, UK
5 School of Physics, University of Melbourne, Swanston St. & Tin Alley, Parkville, VIC 3010, Australia
6 INAF—Osservatorio Astronomico di Trieste, Via G.B. Tiepolo 11, I-34131 Trieste, Italy
7 Vatican Observatory, Vatican City, Vatican City State
8 Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Padova, Vicolo dell’Osservatorio 5, I-35122 Padova, Italy
9 Observatoire de Genève, University of Geneva, 51 Ch. des Maillettes, 1290 Versoix, Switzerland
10 Korea Astronomy and Space Science Institute, Daejeon, 305-348, Korea
11 Australian Astronomical Observatory, North Ryde, NSW 1670, Australia
12 Department of Physics and Astronomy, Macquarie University, NSW 2109, Australia

Received 2017 January 27; revised 2017 April 21; accepted 2017 April 23; published 2017 July 20

Abstract

GAs stripping Phenomena in galaxies with MUSE (GASP) is a new integral-field spectroscopic survey with MUSE at the VLT aimed at studying gas removal processes in galaxies. We present an overview of the survey and show a first example of a galaxy undergoing strong gas stripping. GASP is obtaining deep MUSE data for 114 galaxies at z = 0.04–0.07 with stellar masses in the range 10^{9.2}–10^{11.5} M_☉ in different environments (galaxy clusters and groups over more than four orders of magnitude in halo mass). GASP targets galaxies with optical signatures of unilateral debris or tails reminiscent of gas-stripping processes (“jellyfish galaxies”), as well as a control sample of disk galaxies with no morphological anomalies. GASP is the only existing integral field unit (IFU) survey covering both the main galaxy body and the outskirts and surroundings, where the IFU data can reveal the presence and origin of the outer gas. To demonstrate GASP’s ability to probe the physics of gas and stars, we show the complete analysis of a textbook case of a jellyfish galaxy, JO206. This is a massive galaxy (9 × 10^{10} M_☉) in a low-mass cluster (σ ∼ 500 km s⁻¹) at a small projected clustercentric radius and a high relative velocity, with ∼90 kpc long tentacles of ionized gas stripped away by ram pressure. We present the spatially resolved kinematics and physical properties of the gas and stars and depict the evolutionary history of this galaxy.
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1. Introduction

How gas flows in and out of galaxies is one of the central questions in galaxy formation and evolution. In the current hierarchical paradigm, the hot gas in dark matter halos cools, feeding the interstellar medium present in the galaxy disk and replenishing the cold gas stock that is needed to form new stars (White & Rees 1978; Efstathiou & Silk 1983). Any process that prevents the gas from cooling efficiently or removes gas either from the halo or from the disk has fundamental consequences for the subsequent galaxy history.

Gas-averse processes abound. Virial shock heating of circumgalactic gas is an obvious contender. According to hydrocosmological simulations, above a critical halo mass of ∼10^{12} M_☉, the radiative cooling rate is not sufficient to prevent a stable virial shock, while cold gas streams can sustain the gas inflow onto massive halos only at z > 1–2 (Birnboim & Dekel 2003; Kereš et al. 2005; Dekel & Birnboim 2006; Dekel et al. 2009). Thus, halos with M > 10^{12} M_☉ at z < 1 should be naturally deprived of their gas supply by virial shocks.

Circumgalactic gas might be prevented from cooling also by simply removing the hot gas halo in the so-called “strangulation” scenario (Larson et al. 1980; Balogh et al. 2000). Since the halo gas is more loosely bound to the galaxy than the disk gas, it can be more easily stripped either by ram-pressure stripping or by tidal effects once a galaxy is accreted onto a more massive halo.

Both processes mentioned above leave intact the gas that is already in the disk. Several other mechanisms can instead affect the disk gas in a direct way. Their origin can be internal to galaxies themselves, such as galactic winds due to star formation or an active galactic nucleus (AGN; Veilleux et al. 2005; Erb et al. 2015; King & Pounds 2015), or external (Boselli & Gavazzi 2006). Among the latter, there is ram-pressure stripping due to the pressure exerted by the intergalactic medium (Gunn & Gott 1972), thermal evaporation (Cowie & Songaila 1977), and turbulent/viscous stripping (Nulsen 1982). All of these affect the gas but not the stellar component of galaxies directly. Tidal mechanisms instead affect both gas and stars and include strong galaxy interactions and mergers (Barnes & Hernquist 1992), tidal effects of a cluster as a whole (Byrd & Valtonen 1990), and the so-called “harassment” that is the cumulative effect of several weak and fast tidal encounters (Moore et al. 1996).

While the cosmic web of gaseous filaments expected to feed galaxies can be observed (e.g., Cantalupo et al. 2012, 2014), pure-gas accretion onto galaxies is very difficult to probe observationally, and direct observational evidence is still rare (e.g., Sancisi et al. 2008; Bouché et al. 2013), except in specific cases such as X-ray cooling flows (Peterson & Fabian 2006).
Direct observations of gas flowing out of galaxies are relatively easier, though a complete picture of how and why galaxies lose gas is still far from being reached. Many studies lack the multiwavelength data required to know the fate of the different gas phases (molecular gas, neutral and ionized hydrogen, and X-ray gas), but detailed observations are beginning to accumulate for a few galaxies (e.g., Sun et al. 2010; Abramson et al. 2011, 2016; Vollmer et al. 2012; Jáchym et al. 2013; Yagi et al. 2013).

The survey presented in this paper focuses on those processes that affect the gas in the disk and not the stellar component. The most convincing body of evidence for gas-only removal comes from observations of internally driven outflows and ram-pressure stripping.

Quasar- and starburst-driven massive outflows of the cold and ionized phases are now observed both at low- and high-z, though what fraction of the outflowing gas rains back onto the galaxy is still an open question (Feruglio et al. 2010; Steidel et al. 2010; Fabian 2012; Bolatto et al. 2013; Cicone et al. 2014; Genzel et al. 2014; Wagg et al. 2014; Cresci et al. 2015).

H1 studies have convincingly shown the efficiency of ram-pressure stripping of the neutral gas in nearby galaxy clusters (e.g., Haynes et al. 1984; Cayatte et al. 1990; Kenney et al. 2004; Chung et al. 2009; Vollmer et al. 2010; Jaffe et al. 2015) and sometimes also in groups (e.g., Verdes-Montenegro et al. 2001; Rasmussen et al. 2006; Rasmussen et al. 2008; Hess & Wilcots 2013). Conclusions from molecular studies are more debated, but overall the molecular gas seems to be removed in clusters, though less efficiently than the atomic gas (cf. Kenney & Young 1989 and Boselli et al. 1997, 2014). Ionized gas studies based on Hα imaging are another excellent tracer of gas stripping in clusters (e.g., Gavazzi et al. 2002; Yagi et al. 2010; Fossati et al. 2012; Yoshida et al. 2012; Boselli et al. 2016). Even more powerful are integral field unit (IFU) studies that are able to reveal the gas that is stripped and ionized and also provide the kinematical and physical properties of the ionized gas as well as the stars (Merluzzi et al. 2013, 2016; Fumagalli et al. 2014; Fossati et al. 2016). Hydrodynamical simulations of ram-pressure stripping describe the formation of these gas tails and their evolution (Abadi et al. 1999; Quilis et al. 2000; Roediger & Brüggen 2007; Kapferer et al. 2008; Tonnesen & Bryan 2012; Roediger et al. 2014; Tonnesen & Stone 2014; see reviews by Roediger 2009 and Vollmer et al. 2013).

Notably, stars are often found in the stripped gas (e.g., Kenney & Koopmann 1999; Yoshida et al. 2008; Smith et al. 2010; Hester et al. 2010; Jáchym et al. 2014; Kenney et al. 2014). Galaxies in which stars are born within the stripped-gas tails can therefore be identified also from ultraviolet or blue images, in which the newly born stars produce a recognizable signature (Cortese et al. 2007; Smith et al. 2010; Owers et al. 2012). The most striking examples of this are the so-called “jellyfish galaxies” that exhibit tentacles of material that appear to be stripped from the galaxy body, making the galaxy resemble a jellyfish (Ebeling et al. 2014; Fumagalli et al. 2014; Rawle et al. 2014). In recent years, the first optical systematic searches for gas-stripping candidates have been conducted (McPartland et al. 2016; Poggianti et al. 2016).

GAs Stripping Phenomena (GASP) is a new integral-field spectroscopy survey with MUSE aimed at studying gas removal processes from galaxies. It is observing 114 disk galaxies at $z = 0.04–0.07$ comprising both a sample with optical signatures of unilateral debris/disturbed morphology, suggestive of gas-only removal processes, and a control sample lacking such signatures. Galaxies with obvious tidal features/mergers were purposely excluded. GASP is thus tailored for investigating those processes that can remove gas, and only gas, from the disk, though we cannot exclude that tidal effects are partly or fully responsible for the morphologies observed in some of the targets. The GASP data themselves will clarify the physical causes of the gas displacement. Being based on optical spectroscopy, this study can reveal the ionized gas component. Neutral and molecular studies of the GASP sample are ongoing, as described in Section 8.

The most salient characteristics of GASP are the following.

(1) Galaxy areal coverage. In addition to the galaxy main body, the IFU data cover the galaxy outskirts, surroundings, and eventual tails out to $\sim 50–100$ kpc away from the main galaxy component, corresponding to $>10 R_e$. The galaxy outskirts and surroundings are crucial for detecting the extraplanar gas and eventual stars. The combination of a large field of view ($1' \times 1'$) and the sensitivity of MUSE at the GASP redshifts allows us to observe galaxies out to large radii while maintaining a good spatial resolution ($\sim 1$ kpc). This is a unique feature of GASP, as other large IFU surveys typically reach out to 2.5–3 $R_e$ at most (see Table 3 in Bundy et al. 2015).

(2) Environment. One of the main goals of GASP is to study gas removal processes as a function of environment and understand in what environmental conditions such processes are efficient. GASP explores a wide range of environments, from galaxy clusters to groups and poor groups. Its targets are located in dark matter halos with masses spanning four orders of magnitude ($10^{11}–10^{15} M_\odot$).

(3) Galaxy mass range. GASP galaxies have a broad range of stellar masses ($10^{9.2}–10^{11.5}$), therefore it is possible to study the efficiency of gas removal processes and their effects on star formation activity as a function of galaxy mass and size.

In this first paper of the series, we present the GASP scientific goals (Section 2); describe the survey (Section 3), observations (Section 4), and analysis techniques (Section 6); and show the results for a strongly ram-pressure-stripped massive galaxy in a low-mass cluster (Section 7). The current status and data release policy are described in Section 5. For the first results of the GASP survey, readers are also referred to other papers of the first set (Bellhouse et al. Paper II; Fritz et al. Paper III; Moretti et al. Paper IV; and Gullieuszik et al. Paper V).

In all papers of this series, we adopt a standard concordance cosmology with $H_0 = 70$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$, $\Omega_M = 0.3$, and $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.7$ and a Chabrier (2003) Initial Mass Function (IMF).

2. Scientific Drivers

The key science questions to be addressed with GASP are the following.

1. Where, why, and how is gas removed from galaxies? (Section 2.1)
2. What are the effects of gas removal on star formation activity and galaxy quenching? (Section 2.2)
3. What is the interplay between the gas physical conditions and the activity of the galaxy central black hole? (Section 2.3)

4. What is the stellar and metallicity history of galaxies prior to and in absence of gas removal? (Section 2.4)

2.1. The Physics of Gas Removal

GASP seeks the physical mechanism responsible for the gas removal. For each GASP galaxy, we address the following questions: Is gas being removed? By which physical process (ram-pressure stripping, tidal effects, AGN, etc.)? What is the amount and fraction of gas that is being removed? GASP will evaluate this, comparing the morphology and kinematics of the stellar and gaseous components of each galaxy using the stellar continuum and the emission lines in the MUSE spectra, respectively, and measuring gas masses from Hα fluxes as described in Section 6.3.

Whatever the gas removal process at work, the GASP data can shed light on the rich physics involved, observing how the gas removal proceeds and what timescales are involved, how the kinematics and morphology of the gas are affected, whether there are large-scale outflows, what the metallicities and dust content of the gas are, and which is the cause of gas ionization (star formation, shocks, or AGNs). Most of these quantities can be directly measured from the MUSE spectra, either from individual lines (gas kinematics and morphology, outflows; see Section 6.2) or from emission-line ratios (metallicity, dust, ionization mechanism; see Section 6.3).

The general questions we wish to investigate with the complete GASP sample are meant to shed light on fundamental issues regarding the loss of gas from galaxies, such as: For which fraction of galaxies are gas-only removal processes relevant? For which types/masses of galaxies? In which environments? Only in clusters, or also in groups? Where in clusters (for which clustercentric distances/velocities/orbits etc.)? And, is the efficiency of gas removal enhanced during halo-halo merging?

Ram-pressure stripping calculations are obtained both with analytical methods and hydrodynamical simulations (e.g., Gunn & Gott 1972; Jaffé et al. 2015, and references above). They predict how the efficiency of gas stripping depends on the galaxy and environmental parameters under certain assumptions, which can be tested with the GASP sample. Moreover, there is some observational evidence that the efficiency of gas stripping is enhanced by shocks and strong gradients in the X-ray intracluster medium (ICM; Owers et al. 2012; Vijayaraghavan & Ricker 2013), but only a large sample such as GASP can unequivocally determine a correlation and the necessary physical conditions. Finally, the first cosmological hydrodynamical simulations including the effects of ram pressure, tidal stripping, and satellite–satellite encounters on the H1 gas in different environments make predictions on the relative roles of the various mechanisms as a function of halo mass and redshift (e.g., Marasco et al. 2016). Studies like GASP are the natural observational counterparts to corroborate or reject the theoretical predictions.

2.2. Gas, Star Formation, and Quenching

Overall, the star formation activity in galaxies has strongly declined since $z \sim 2$ due to the combination of two effects: a large number of previously star-forming galaxies have evolved into passive galaxies (i.e., have stopped forming stars), and the star formation rate in still star-forming galaxies has, on average, decreased (Guglielmo et al. 2015). Innumerable observational evidence points to this, including the evolution of the passive fraction with time and the evolution of the star formation rate–stellar mass relation (e.g., Bell et al. 2004; Noeske et al. 2007). On a cosmic scale, this leads to a drop in the star formation rate density of the universe (Madau & Dickinson 2014).

One of the most debated questions is what drives the star formation decline. The availability of gas is central for this problem. The simplest explanation is that galaxies “run out of gas”: they are deprived of gas replenishment due to virial shocks or strangulation and consume the disk gas for star formation. Alternatively, or in addition, they can have their star formation shut off by one or more of the internal or external physical processes acting on the disk gas, described in the previous section.

GASP provides the spatially resolved ongoing star formation activity and history. Thus, the GASP data allow us to link the gas removal process with its effects on the galaxy stellar history, determine whether star formation activity is globally enhanced or suppressed due to the mechanism at work, and see how the quenching of star formation proceeds within the galaxy and on what timescale. The goal is to understand how many stars are formed in the stripped gas, how the extraplanar star formation contributes to the intergalactic medium, and, more generally, what the impact of gas-only removal processes is for galaxy quenching.

2.3. Gas and the AGN

While supermassive black holes are thought to be ubiquitous at least in massive galaxies, an AGN powered by accretion of matter onto the black hole is much rarer (Brinchmann et al. 2004; Kormendy & Ho 2013). Several candidates have been proposed as “feeding mechanisms” able to trigger AGN activity. These include all those processes that can cause large-scale gas inflow in the galaxy central regions, such as gravitational torques due to galaxy mergers or interactions (Di Matteo et al. 2005; Hopkins et al. 2006) or disk instability due, for example, to high turbulent gas surface densities maintained by cold streams at high-$z$ (Bournaud et al. 2011).

The availability of gas, or lack thereof, is thus an essential ingredient for feeding the black hole, and mechanisms affecting the gas are also believed to influence the AGN (e.g., Sabater et al. 2015). Given that the gas content of galaxies is especially sensitive to environmental effects, AGN studies as a function of environment are of interest, though they often find contrasting results (Miller et al. 2003; Kaufmann et al. 2004; Martini et al. 2006; Popesso & Biviano 2006; von der Linden et al. 2010; Marziani et al. 2017).

GASP can investigate the link between gas availability, gas physical conditions, and AGN activity. The IFU data permit an investigation of the central regions of all galaxies, a detailed analysis of the gas ionization source (thanks to the large number of emission lines included in the spectra), and the detection of eventual AGN-driven large-scale outflows. Future GASP papers will present the occurrence of AGNs among ram-pressure-stripped galaxies (e.g., Poggianti et al. 2017). As an example, the galaxy presented in this paper hosts an AGN (Section 7.2).
2.4. Galaxy Evolution Without and Before Stripping

The GASP control sample consists of disk galaxies with a range of galaxy masses and in different environments, with no sign of disturbance/debris. At all effects, they can be considered a sample of “normal galaxies.” Moreover, the GASP stripping candidates undergoing gas-only removal processes have their stellar components undisturbed, retaining the memory of the galaxy history before stripping. Thus, from the stellar component of the MUSE data cube with our spectrophotometric code, we can recover the past galaxy history at times before the stripping occurred (see Section 6.2).

Thus, GASP can be used to derive the spatially resolved stellar and metallicity history in the absence of or prior to galaxy removal, as well as the ongoing star formation activity and ionized gas properties in normal galaxies.

Compared to other larger IFU surveys (Sánchez et al. 2012; Allen et al. 2015; Bundy et al. 2015), GASP has the disadvantage of a smaller number of galaxies but the advantage of covering many galactic effective radii. The outer regions of galaxies hold a unique set of clues about the way in which galaxies are assembled (Ferguson & Mackey 2016).

With GASP, it is possible to peer into galaxy outskirts, to study the stellar, gas, and dust content out to large radii in galaxies, enabling us to compare the star formation history and metallicity gradients with simulations of disk galaxy formation (Mayer et al. 2008; Vogelsberger et al. 2014; Christensen et al. 2016; Kauffmann et al. 2016). GASP observations are suitable for investigating how star formation occurs at low gas densities and metallicities and may hold clues about stellar migration and satellite accretion.

3. Survey Strategy

3.1. Parent Surveys: WINGS, OMEGAWINGS, and PM2GC

The GASP program is based on three surveys that, together, cover the whole range of environmental conditions at low redshift: WINGS, OMEGAWINGS, and PM2GC.

WINGS is a multiwavelength survey of 76 clusters of galaxies at $z = 0.04–0.07$ in both the northern and the southern hemisphere (Fasano et al. 2006). The clusters were selected on the basis of their X-ray luminosity (Ebeling et al. 1996, 1998, 2000) and cover a wide range in halo mass ($10^{13.6}–10^{15.2} M_\odot$), with velocity dispersions $\sigma = 500–1300$ km s$^{-1}$ and X-ray luminosities $L_X = 10^{43.3–45}$ erg s$^{-1}$. The original WINGS data set comprises deep $B$ and $V$ photometry with a $34' \times 34'$ field of view with WFC@INT (Wide Field Camera, Isaac Newton Telescope) and WFC@2.2mMPG/ESO (Varela et al. 2009), spectroscopic follow-ups with 2dF@AAT (Anglo–Australian Telescope) and WYFFOS@WHT (William Herschel Telescope; Cava et al. 2009), $J$ and $K$ imaging with WFC@UKIRT (UK Infrared Telescope; Valentini et al. 2009), and $U$-band imaging (Omont et al. 2014). The WINGS database is presented in Moretti et al. (2014) and is publicly available through the Virtual Observatory.

OMEGAWINGS is a recent extension of the WINGS project that has quadrupled the area covered in each cluster ($1$ deg$^2$). For 46 WINGS clusters, Band $V$ deep imaging with OmegaCAM@VST (VLT Survey Telescope) was secured (Gullieuszik et al. 2015), a $u$-band program is ongoing with the same instrument (M. D’Onofrio et al. 2017, in preparation), and an AAOmega@AAT spectroscopic campaign yielded 18,000 new redshifts (Moretti et al. 2017) together with stellar population properties and star formation rates that have been used in Paccagnella et al. (2016).

As a comparison field sample we use the Padova Millennium Galaxy and Group Catalog (PM2GC; Calvi et al. 2011), which is drawn from the Millennium Galaxy Catalog (MGC; Liske et al. 2003). The MGC data consist of deep $B$-band imaging with WFC@INT over a 38 deg$^2$ equatorial area and a highly complete spectroscopic follow-up (96% at $B = 20$; Driver et al. 2005). The PM2GC galaxy sample is thus representative of the general field and as such contains galaxy groups (176 with at least three members at $z = 0.04–0.1$), binary systems, and single galaxies, as identified with a friends-of-friends algorithm by Calvi et al. (2011), covering a broad range in halo masses (A. Paccagnella et al. 2017, in preparation).

3.2. Selection of GASP Targets

GASP is planning to observe 114 galaxies, of which 94 are primary targets and 20 compose a control sample.

3.2.1. Primary Targets: The Poggianti et al. (2016) Atlas

GASP primary targets were taken from the atlas of Poggianti et al. (2016, hereafter P16), who provided a large sample of galaxies whose optical morphologies are suggestive of gas-only removal mechanisms. These authors visually inspected $B$-band WINGS, OMEGAWINGS, and PM2GC images searching for galaxies with (a) debris trails, tails, or surrounding debris located on one side of the galaxy; (b) asymmetric/disturbed morphologies suggestive of unilateral external forces; or (c) a distribution of star-forming regions and knots suggestive of triggered star formation on one side of the galaxy. Galaxies whose morphological disturbance was clearly induced by mergers or tidal interactions were deliberately excluded. The selection was based only on the images, therefore a subset of the candidates did not have a known spectroscopic redshift. For the PM2GC, only galaxies with a spectroscopic redshift in the range of WINGS clusters (z = 0.04–0.07) were considered.

P16 classified candidates according to the strength of the optical stripping signatures: JClass 5 and 4 are those with the strongest evidence and are the most secure candidates, including classical “jellyfish galaxies” with tentacles of stripped material; JClass 3 are probable cases of stripping; and JClass 2 and 1 are the weakest, tentative candidates.

After inspecting a total area of about 53 deg$^2$ in clusters (WINGS+OMEGAWINGS) and 38 deg$^2$ in the field (PM2GC), the total P16 sample consists of 344 stripping candidates in clusters (WINGS+OMEGAWINGS) and 75 candidates in the field (PM2GC), finding apparently convincing candidates for gas-only removal mechanisms in groups down to low halo masses. While for cluster galaxies the principal culprit is commonly assumed to be ram-pressure stripping, this is believed to be too inefficient in groups and low-mass halos, where other mechanisms, such as undetected minor or major mergers or tidal interactions, might give rise to similar optical features. The integral field spectroscopy obtained by GASP is the optimal method to identify the physical process at work because it probes both gas and stars and can discriminate processes affecting only the gas, such as ram pressure, from those affecting both gas and stars, such as tidal effects and mergers.

The P16 candidates are all disky galaxies with stellar masses in the range $10^9–10^{11.5} M_\odot$ and are mostly star-forming, with a
star formation rate that is enhanced on average by a factor of 2 compared to noncandidates of the same mass.

GASP will observe as primary targets 64 cluster and 30 field stripping candidates taken from the P16 atlas. They are selected according to the following criteria:

(a) being observable from Paranal (decl. < +15);
(b) including all the JClass = 5 objects;
(c) including galaxies of each JClass, from 5 to 1, in similar numbers;
(d) for WINGS + OMEGAWINGS, giving preference to spectroscopically confirmed cluster members and rejecting known nonmembers;
(e) covering the widest possible galaxy mass range.

Spatially resolved studies of gas in cluster galaxies have shown that the stripping signatures visible in the optical images are just the tip of the iceberg: the stripping is much more evident from the ionized gas observations than in the optical (Merluzzi et al. 2013; Fumagalli et al. 2014; Kenney et al. 2015). For this reason, we chose to include in our study galaxies with a wide range of degrees of evidence for stripping (all JClasses plus a control sample) to obtain a complete view of gas removal phenomena.

Figure 1 presents the galaxy stellar mass distributions of cluster (right; WINGS/OMEGAWINGS) and group/field (left; PM2GC) targets for the primary sample (solid black histograms) and the control sample (solid red histograms). Bottom: host halo mass distributions of WINGS/OMEGAWINGS (to the right of the dotted horizontal line) and PM2GC (to the left of the line) targets. All of these distributions are subject to change (see the text).

3.2.2. The Control Sample

Galaxies in the control sample are galaxies in clusters (12) and the field (8) with no optical sign of stripping, i.e., no signs of debris or unilaterally disturbed morphologies in the optical images. This sample will allow to contrast the properties of stripping candidates with those of galaxies that show no optical evidence of gas removal. Finding signs of gas stripping from the IFU data even in galaxies of the control sample would reveal that the stripping phenomenon is more widespread than is estimated from the optical images. Moreover, the control sample represents a valuable data set of “normal” disk galaxies that allows a spatially resolved study out to several galaxy effective radii.

Control sample galaxies were selected from WINGS, OMEGAWINGS, and PM2GC visually inspecting the same B-band images used for the primary targets and were chosen according to the following criteria: (a) being at decl. < +15; (b) for WINGS + OMEGAWINGS, being spectroscopically confirmed cluster members; (c) having a stellar mass estimate from the spectral fitting (Paccagnella et al. 2016 for WINGS + OMEGAWINGS; Calvi et al. 2011 for PM2GC) and covering a galaxy mass range as similar as possible to that of the
primary targets (Figure 1); (d) being spirals spanning the same morphological range of the primary targets (Sb to Sd), with the addition of a few lenticulars and early spirals for comparison (for both WINGS/OMEGAWINGS and PM2GC, the morphologies are derived with the MORPHOT automatic classification tool; Calvi et al. 2012; Fasano et al. 2012); and (e) including star-forming (emission-line), post-starburst \((k + a/a + k)\), and passive \((k)\) spectral types according to the definition in Fritz et al. (2014).

4. Observations and Data Reduction

Observations are currently ongoing and are carried out in service mode using the MUSE spectrograph located at the Nasmyth B focus of the Yepun (Unit Telescope 4) VLT in Paranal. The constraints demanded for the observations are clear conditions, moon illumination <30\%, moon distance >30\°, and image quality <0.9, corresponding to <1\° seeing at zenith.

MUSE (Bacon et al. 2010) is composed of 24 IFU modules equipped with \(24 \times 4 \times 4\) kCDs. We use the MUSE wide-field mode with natural seeing that covers approximately a 1’ × 1’ field of view with 0”2 × 0”2 pixels. The spectral range between 4800 and 9300 Å is sampled with a resolution FWHM \(\sim 2.6\) Å \((R = 1770\) at 4800 Å and 3590 at 9300 Å) and a sampling of 1.25 Å pixel\(^{-1}\). Thus, each data cube yields approximately 90,000 spectra.

4.1. Observing Strategy

The majority of GASP galaxies are observed with four exposures of 675 s each, each rotated by 90° and slightly offset with respect to the previous one to minimize the cosmetics. The minimum time on target is therefore 2700 s per galaxy. Some targets, however, show long tails in the optical images and require two or even three offset pointings to cover the galaxy body and the length of the tails. Each of these pointings is covered with 2700 s split into four exposures, as above.

The great majority of pointings have a significant fraction of sky coverage, while for a few galaxies it is necessary to do a 120 s sky offset after each 675 s exposure because the galaxy fills the MUSE field-of-view (FOV).

Standard calibration frames are taken for each observation according to the ESO MUSE Calibration Plan. In short, at least one spectrophotometric standard star is observed each night for flux and telluric correction purposes. An internal illumination correction flat is also taken near the beginning or end of the observations to minimize flat-fielding issues due to ambient temperature changes. Daytime calibrations such as arcs, biases, darks, and flats (both internal and sky) are also taken. Static calibrations such as astrometry, line-spread functions, etc. come as defaults in the pipeline supplied by ESO.

4.2. Data Reduction

The data are reduced with the most recent version of the MUSE pipeline at any time (Bacon et al. 2010; https://www.eso.org/sci/software/pipelines/muse/). This was version 3.12. For most observations, the pipeline can be run in a semi-automated fashion, since the observations are mostly identical in execution and calibration. Briefly, the pipeline is run with mainly default parameters. The data and the standard star frames are flat-fielded, wavelength-calibrated, and corrected for differential atmospheric refraction. Typical wavelength calibration has \(\approx 0.025\) Å rms in the fit, and the mean resolution \(R\) measured from the arcs is about 3000.

As explained above, most of the exposures have sufficient sky coverage within the MUSE field of view, leaving >50\% area for sky measurements. The sky is modeled directly from the individual frames using the 20% pixels with the lowest counts, thus there is no risk of accidentally subtracting any faint diffuse H\(_\alpha\) within the FOV. For spatially extended galaxies, the offset sky exposures of 120 s allow the sky to be modeled adequately.

The standard star observation closest in time to the science observations is used for the flux calibration. After flux calibration and telluric correction, the final flux-calibrated data cube is generated by lining up the individual frames using sources in the white-light images to calculate the (small) offsets. Galaxies with multiple pointings use sources in the overlaps for alignment. In a few cases, we found no sources in the overlap; we therefore computed the offsets using custom scripts and OMEGAWINGS images as reference.

MUSE spectra in the red, where strong sky lines dominate, are known to have residuals of sky subtraction in the current pipeline implementation. As the most interesting absorption and emission lines for our galaxies lie blueward of 7200 Å, this issue does not pose a problem. When the red part of the spectrum is needed for analysis, we perform a further cleaning of the spectrum redward of 7200 Å using the software ZAP (Soto et al. 2016), which provides satisfactory results. However, experimentation with ZAP shows that it has to be used with caution, especially if there may be faint extended H\(_\alpha\) emission in the sky spaxels that it can aggressively “clean.”

5. Current Status and Data Products Release Policy

At the time of writing, in 2017 January, 55 out of the 114 targets had been observed. All data taken have been reduced. Based on the current rate of execution, we project completion by the end of 2018.

GASP is an ESO Large Program committed to release its products into the ESO Science Archive. These products will include input target catalogs, fully reduced and calibrated MUSE data cubes, catalogs with redshifts and emission-line fluxes for galaxy spaxels, and catalogs of outputs of our spectrophotometric model SINOPSIS (Fritz et al. submitted, Paper III) with stellar masses, stellar ages, and star formation histories.

6. Data Analysis

This section describes the procedure we use to analyze all galaxies of the survey. The chart in Figure 2 presents the work flow described below. In the following, we first describe the spectral analysis spaxel by spaxel, then we consider the integrated spectra of individual star-forming knots (Section 6.4) and of the galaxy main body (Section 6.5). Extraplanar knots of star formation turn out to be common in GASP galaxies and therefore are an important aspect of our analysis.
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First of all, the reduced data cube is corrected for extinction due to our own Galaxy, using the extinction value estimated at the galaxy position (Schlafly & Finkbeiner 2011) and assuming the extinction law from Cardelli et al. (1989). The corrected data cube (CUBE 2 in Figure 2) is used in all subsequent analysis.

6.1. Gas and Stellar Kinematics

To analyze the main emission lines in the spectrum, we use the IDL publicly available software KUBEVIZ (Fossati et al. 2016), written by Matteo Fossati and David Wilman. Starting from an initial redshift, KUBEVIZ uses the MPFit (Markwardt 2009) package to fit Gaussian line profiles, yielding gaseous velocities (with respect to given redshift), velocity dispersions, and total line fluxes. The list of emission lines fitted by KUBEVIZ is given in Table 1, together with the characteristic surface brightness 3σ limit for each line.

KUBEVIZ uses “linesets,” defined as groups of lines that are fitted simultaneously. Each lineset (e.g., Hα and [N II] 6548, 6583) is considered a combination of 1D Gaussian functions keeping the velocity separation of the lines fixed according to the line wavelengths. KUBEVIZ imposes a prior on the velocity and intrinsic line width of each lineset, which is fixed to that obtained by the fit of the Hα and [N II] lines. Moreover, the flux ratios of the two [N II] and [O III] lines are kept constant in the fit assuming the ratios given in Storey & Zeippen (2000).

Before carrying out the fits, the data cube is average filtered in the spatial direction with a 5 × 5 pixel kernel, corresponding to 1″ = 0.7–1.3 kpc depending on the galaxy redshift.

Moreover, as recommended by Fossati et al. (2016), the errors on the line fluxes are scaled to achieve a reduced $\chi^2 = 1$. KUBEVIZ can attempt a single- or double-component fit. A single fit was run for each galaxy, while another KUBEVIZ run with a double component was necessary in some cases, as described in Paper II. The continuum is calculated between 80 and 200 Å redward and blueward of each line, omitting regions with other emission lines and using only values between the 40th and 60th percentiles.

![Figure 2. Schematic chart of the GASP analysis work flow (see the text for details).](image)
Maps of H\textsubscript{o} intensity, velocity, and velocity dispersion are created at this stage using the KUBEVIZ output. The original data cube is visually and carefully inspected and contrasted with these maps for (a) finding foreground and background sources that are superimposed on the galaxy of interest along the line of sight (a mask of these sources is created in order to remove the contaminated regions from the stellar analysis described below); and (b) checking the output of KUBEVIZ and ensuring all lines are correctly identified.

To extract the stellar kinematics from the spectrum, we use the Penalized Pixel-Fitting (pPXF) code (Cappellari & Emsellem 2004), fitting the observed spectra with the stellar population templates by Vazdekis et al. (2010). We used the single stellar population (SSP) of six different metallicities (from \([M/H] = -1.71\) to \(=0.22\)) and 26 ages (from 1 to 17.78 Gyr) calculated with the Girardi et al. (2000) isochrones. Given the poor resolution of the theoretical stellar libraries in the red part of the spectra and the strong contamination from the sky lines in the observed spectra redward of the H\textsubscript{o} region, we run the code on the spectra cut at \(\sim7000\ \text{Å}\) rest frame. We first accurately mask spurious sources (stars, background galaxies) in the galaxy proximity that could enter one of the derived bins. After degrading the spectral library resolution to our MUSE resolution, we first find spaxels belonging to the galaxy, here defined as those with a signal median-averaged over all wavelengths larger than \(3 \times 10^{-20}\ \text{erg s}^{-1}\ \text{cm}^{-2}\ \text{Å}^{-1}\) spaxel\(^{-1}\). Then we perform the fit of spatially binned spectra based on signal-to-noise ratio (\(S/N = 10\) for most galaxies), as described in Cappellari & Copin (2003), with the weighted Voronoi tessellation modification proposed by Diehl & Statler (2006). This approach allows us to perform a better tessellation in cases of non-Poissonian noise, not optimally weighted pixels, and when the centroidal algorithm introduces significant gradients in the \(S/N\).

We derived maps of the rotational velocity, velocity dispersion, and two h3 and h4 moments using an additive Legendre polynomial fit of the 12th order to correct the template continuum shape during the fit. This allows us to derive for each Voronoi bin a redshift estimate that is then used as input for the stellar population analysis.

6.2. Emission-line Fluxes and Stellar Properties

To obtain the measurements of total emission-line fluxes corrected for underlying stellar absorption and for deriving spatially resolved stellar population properties, we run our spectrophotometric model SINOPSIS (Paper III). This code searches the combination of SSP spectra that best fits the equivalent widths of the main lines in absorption and emission and the continuum at various wavelengths, minimizing the \(\chi^2 = 1\) using an adaptive simulated annealing algorithm (Fritz et al. 2007, 2011). The star formation history is let free with no analytic priors.

The code, which has been employed to derive star formation histories and rates, stellar masses, and other stellar properties of various surveys (Dressler et al. 2009; Fritz et al. 2011; Guglielmo et al. 2015; Vulcani et al. 2015; Paccagnella et al. 2016), has been substantially updated and modified for the purposes of GASp. The GASP version of SINOPSIS is fully described in Paper III; here we only describe the main improvements with respect to Fritz et al. (2011). The code now uses the latest SSP model from S. Charlot & G. Bruzual (2017, in preparation) with a higher spectral and age resolution. They use a Chabrier (2003) IMF with stellar masses in the 0.1–100\(M_\odot\) limits, and they cover metallicity values from \(Z=0.0001\) to 0.04. These models use the latest evolutionary tracks from Bressan et al. (2012) and stellar atmosphere emission from a compilation of different authors, depending on the wavelength range, stellar luminosity, and effective temperature. In addition, nebular emission has been added for the youngest (i.e., age \(<2 \times 10^7\) yr) SSP by ingesting the original models into CLOUDY (Ferland 2013). In this way, the SSP spectra we use display the most common and most intense emission lines (e.g., hydrogen, oxygen, and nitrogen). Moreover, the code has been improved and optimized to deal efficiently with data cubes such as the products from MUSE. It is now possible to read the observed spectra directly from the cube fits, while the redshifts for each spaxel are taken from 2D redshift masks.

SINOPSIS requires the spectrum redshift as input; thus, the redshift at each location of the data cube was taken from pPXF (stellar) and KUBEVIZ (gaseous) as described above. Because the stellar and gas components might be kinematically decoupled, the observed wavelength of a given line in emission (gas) could differ from that of the same line in absorption (stellar photosphere). This might result in an erroneous measurement of the line, depending on which redshift is adopted. This introduces issues most of all for the H\beta line, where the emission and absorption components can be separately identified. Hence, we have introduced a further option in SINOPSIS to allow the use of the gas redshift, when available, to detect and measure the equivalent width of emission lines, while the stellar redshift is used to fit the continuum and measure absorption lines. The code is then run on the 5 \times 5 pixel average filtered observed cube.

SINOPSIS produces a best-fit model cube (stellar plus gaseous emission) and a stellar-only model cube (containing only the stellar component). The latter is subtracted from the observed data cube to provide an emission-only cube (CUBE 3 in Figure 1) that is used for the following analysis. KUBEVIZ is run a second time (KUBEVIZ\(_{\text{run2}}\)) on this emission-only cube to estimate the emission-line fluxes corrected for stellar absorption.

In addition, SINOPSIS gives spatially resolved estimates of the stellar population properties, and maps are produced for (a) stellar masses; (b) average star formation rate and total mass formed in four age bins: young (ongoing star formation) =\(<2 \times 10^7\) yr, recent = \(2 \times 10^7 < 5 \times 10^7\) yr, intermediate-age = \(5 \times 10^7 < 5 \times 10^8\) yr, and old =\(>5 \times 10^8\) yr; and (c) luminosity-weighted stellar ages.

6.3. Derived Quantities: Dust Extinction, Gas Metallicity, Diagnostic Diagrams, Star Formation Rates, and Gas Masses

The emission-line, absorption-corrected fluxes measured by KUBEVIZ\(_{\text{run2}}\) are corrected for extinction by dust internal to the galaxy. The correction is derived from the Balmer decrement at each spatial element location assuming an intrinsic H\alpha/H\beta ratio equal to 2.86 and adopting the Cardelli et al. (1989) extinction law. This yields dust- and absorption-corrected emission-line fluxes and a map of the dust extinction \(A_V\). These fluxes are then used to derive all the quantities discussed below.

The gas metallicity and q ionization parameter are calculated at each spatial location using the pPyqz code (Dopita et al. 2013)\(^\text{15}\) version 0.8.2. The q parameter is defined by Dopita et al. (2013) as the ratio between the number of ionizing

\(^{15}\) http://fpavchg.github.io/pPyqz
photons per unit area per second and the gas particle number density.\textsuperscript{16} The code interpolates a finite set of diagnostic line-ratio grids computed with the MAPPINGS code to compute $\log(Q)$ and $12 + \log(O/H)$. The MAPPINGS V grids in \texttt{pyga} version 0.8.2 cover a limited range in abundances (8.11 \leq 12 + \log(O/H) \leq 8.985); we therefore use a modified version of the code to implement the MAPPINGS IV grid tested in the range $7.39 \leq 12 + \log(O/H) \leq 9.39$ (F. Vogt, private communication). We used the calibration based on the strong emission lines, namely, [S II] 6583/6716, 6731 versus [O III] 5007/6716, 6731.\textsuperscript{17} Using only one diagnostic could in principle lead to systematic effects both on the ionization parameter and on the metallicity values, as shown in Dopita et al. (2013). However, conclusions based on differential analyses of metallicity variations/gradients within a galaxy are not affected by this problem.

The line fluxes are also used to create line-ratio diagnostic diagrams (Baldwin et al. 1981, BPT) to investigate the cause of the gas ionization and distinguished regions photoionized by hot stars from regions ionized by shocks, LINERs (Low ionization nuclear emission-line regions), and AGNs. Only spaxels with an S/N > 3 in all emission lines involved are considered. For each galaxy, we inspect and compare the conclusions based on three diagrams: [O III] 5007/H$\beta$ versus [N II] 6583/H$\alpha$, [O III] 5007/H$\beta$ versus [O I] 6300/H$\alpha$, and [O III] 5007/H$\beta$ versus [S II] 6717, 6731/H$\alpha$.

The star formation rate (SFR) of each spatial element is computed from the H$\alpha$ luminosity corrected for dust and stellar absorption adopting Kennicutt (1998)’s relation,

$$\text{SFR} = 4.6 \times 10^{-42} L_{H\alpha},$$

where SFR is in solar masses per year and H$\alpha$ luminosity is in erg per second for a Chabrier IMF.\textsuperscript{18}

The total SFR is computed from the sum of the dust-corrected H$\alpha$ fluxes in each spaxel after removing hot pixels and adopting an S/N cut (between 3 and 7). The same method is used to compute the SFR within the “galaxy body” (i.e., the stellar outer isophotes described in Section 6.5). The latter can also be computed with SINOPSIS from the integrated spectrum, but only without removing the AGN contribution.

The H$\alpha$ luminosity can be employed to estimate the mass of ionized gas (e.g., Boselli et al. 2016). From Osterbrock & Ferland (2016, Equation (13.7)),

$$L_{H\alpha} = n_e n_p V f \alpha_{H\alpha} h \nu_{H\alpha},$$

where $V$ is the volume, $f$ is the filling factor, $n_e$ and $n_p$ are the density of electrons and protons, $\alpha_{H\alpha}$ is the effective H$\alpha$ recombination coefficient ($1.17 \times 10^{-13} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$), $h \nu_{H\alpha}$ is the energy of the H$\alpha$ photon (0.3028 $\times 10^{-11} \text{erg}$) for a case B recombination, $n = 10,000 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, and $T = 10,000 \text{ K}$. It is commonly assumed that $n_e = n_p = n$ (the gas is fully ionized; e.g., Boselli et al. 2016; Fossati et al. 2016).

The mass of ionized gas is the number of hydrogen atoms (=number of protons) times the mass of the hydrogen atom $m_p = 1.6735 \times 10^{-25} \text{ gr}$. The number of protons is equal to the density of protons times the volume times the filling factor $N_{\text{protons}} = n_p V f$. Using Equation (2), we compute the ionized gas mass as

$$M_{\text{gas}} = N_{\text{protons}} \times m_p = \frac{L_{H\alpha} \times m_p}{n_o \alpha_{H\alpha} h \nu_{H\alpha}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

The density $n$ can be derived from the ratio of the [S II] 6716 and [S II] 6732 lines. We use the calibration from Proxauf et al. (2014) for $T = 10,000 \text{ K}$, obtained with modern atomic data using CLOUDY, which is valid for the interval $R = [\text{S II}]6716/[\text{S II}]6732 = 0.4–1.435$.

6.4. Star-forming Knots

The majority of GASP galaxies present bright star-forming knots in the gaseous tails and/or galaxy disk.

The location and radius of these knots are found through a purposely devised shell script that includes IRAF and FORTRAN calls. In the first step, the centers of knot candidates are identified as local minima onto the laplace + median filtered H$\alpha$ image derived from the MUSE data cube (IRAF-laplace and IRAF-median tools). A “robustness index” is then associated with each local minimum, based on the gradient concordance toward the knot center for pixels around the minimum. The final catalog of knot positions includes the local minima whose robustness index exceeds a given value. In the second step, the knot radii are estimated from the original H$\alpha$ image, and their photometry is performed by a purposely devised FORTRAN code. In particular, the blob’s radii are estimated through a recursive (outward) analysis of three at a time, consecutive circular shells (thickness: one pixel) around each knot center. The iteration stops and the knot’s radius is recorded when at least one of the following circumstances occurs for the current outermost shell: (a) the counts of at least one pixel exceed those of the central pixel; (b) the fraction of pixels with counts greater than the average counts of the preceding shell (“bad” pixels) exceeds a given maximum value (usually 1/3); (c) the average counts of “good” (not “bad”) pixels are lower than a given threshold value, previously set for the diffuse emission; and (d) the image edges are reached by at least one pixel.

The knot radii provided in this way are used to obtain, for each knot, the following photometric quantities: (1) total counts inside the circle defining the knot, both including and excluding the counts below the threshold previously set for the diffuse emission (counts of pixels belonging to different knots are equally shared among them); and (2) total counts from integration of the growth curve. The average shell counts tracing the growth curve are obtained using only the good pixels in each shell, and the growth curve is extrapolated down to the diffuse emission threshold.

Besides the centers and the abovementioned measures for each knot, the final catalog associated with each H$\alpha$ image provides a number of useful global photometric quantities of the H$\alpha$ image, including: (a) total counts coming from the knots according to the three measures described above; (b) total counts attributable to the diffuse emission, both including and excluding the pixels inside the knot’s circles; and (c) total counts of pixels with counts above the diffuse emission threshold but lying outside the knot’s circles.

KUBEVIZ (run3) is then run on a mask identifying all the knots, producing emission-line fits for the integrated, emission-only spectrum of each knot. The line fluxes are corrected for dust extinction and used to derive for each knot diagnostic diagrams, gas metallicity estimates, star formation...
rate measurements, and ionized gas mass estimates with the methods described in Section 6.3.

6.5. Integrated Galaxy Spectrum

Finally, we integrate the spectrum over the galaxy main body to obtain a sort of “total galaxy body spectrum.” To this aim, KUBEVIZ is used to obtain a 2D image of the near-Hα continuum. The spaxels belonging to the galaxy main body are identified by slicing this image at two different count levels with a surface brightness difference of ~2–2.5 mag. The outer isophote encloses essentially all of the galaxy body, down to ~1σ above the background level. Since the S/N is not the same for all galaxies, this implies that the corresponding surface brightness is different for different galaxies. The inner isophote contains the bright part of the galaxy, usually 70%–75% of the total counts within the outer isophote. It is worth noting that, due to the different morphological features of galaxies, the inner cut has been visually chosen interactively varying the color map of the galaxy image. This also means that the surface brightness of the inner isophote is not the same for all galaxies.

SINOPSIS is run on the galaxy integrated spectrum obtained within each of the two isophotal contours to derive the global stellar population properties.

7. A Textbook-case Jellyfish Galaxy: JO206

The quality and characteristics of the GASP data, which are very homogeneous for all targets, are best illustrated by showing the results for one galaxy of the sample, JO206.18 (z = 0.0513, WINGS J211347.41+022834.9), which was selected as a JClass = 5 stripping candidate in the poor cluster IIZW108 (z = 0.0486; Moretti et al. 2017). JO206 is present in both the OMEGAWINGS (Gullieuszik et al. 2015) and WINGS (Varela et al. 2009) images at R.A. = 21:13:47.4, decl. = +02:28:35.5 (J2000). An RGB (u, B, and V bands) image of the central region of this cluster is shown in Figure 3, with JO206 and the brightest cluster galaxy (BCG) highlighted. No spectroscopic redshift was available before it was observed by GASP.

JO206 was observed with two MUSE pointings (2700 s each) on 2016 August 7, with 1″ seeing during the first pointing and 1.2″ during the second pointing.19 From the GASP integrated disk galaxy spectrum, defined as described in Section 6.5, SINOPSIS yields a total galaxy stellar mass $8.5 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$, within the outer isophote, a total ongoing SFR $\sim 7 M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}$, and a luminosity weighted age of $\sim 1 \text{Gyr}$.

The MUSE white image (Figure 4; 4750–9350 Å) displays faint traces of tails with knots to the west of the galaxy body:

18 The naming of JO206 and all other GASP targets is taken from Poggianti et al. (2016).

19 In service mode at ESO, the seeing is allowed to exceed the required value only for an OB (observing block) longer than 1 hr, as is the case for our double pointings. Therefore, the second pointing of JO206 has a seeing > 1″, and this galaxy is in a sense a “worst case” for GASP observing conditions.
they are the reason this galaxy was selected as a stripping candidate in the first place. The extent of the stripped gas becomes much more striking in the MUSE Hα map (Figure 5, left). Hα emission is observed in the galaxy disk in a projected 40 kpc wide extraplanar region to the southwest of the disk and in tentacles extending 90 kpc to the west, giving this galaxy the classical jellyfish shape. Additional MUSE pointings would be needed to investigate how far beyond the edge of the image the tails extend. Both in the galaxy disk and in the stripped gas, the Hα image is characterized by regions of diffuse emission and brighter emission knots, which will be studied individually in Section 7.4. Moreover, in the northwest region of the disk, the enhancement of Hα emission could be related to gas compression due to ram-pressure stripping, as in Merluzzi et al. (2013).

The Hα S/N map is shown in Figure 5 (right) for all spaxels with S/N > 4. The data reach a surface brightness detection limit of V ∼ 27 mag arcsec−2 and log Hα ∼ −17.6 erg s−1 cm−2 arcsec−2 at the 3σ confidence level.

7.1. Gas and Stellar Kinematics

The Hα velocity map (Figure 6, left) shows that the gas is rotating in the same direction of the stars (Figure 7, left) and that the stripped gas maintains a coherent rotation for almost 60 kpc downstream. This is similar to what is observed in ESO 137-001, a jellyfish galaxy in the Norma cluster, in which the stripped gas retains the imprint of the disk rotational velocity 20 kpc downstream along a 30 kpc tail of ionized gas (Fumagalli et al. 2014). This signature indicates the galaxy is moving fast in the plane of the sky. The velocity structure of the tentacles reveals that the longest tail (darkest tail between 10″ and 60″ in the top left panel of Figure 6) is separated in velocity from the other tails and is probably trailing behind at a higher negative speed than the rest of the gas (thus the galaxy velocity vector points away from the observer), likely having been stripped earlier than the other gas. This corresponds to a region of higher velocity dispersion (σ) of the gas (Figure 6, right), which might indicate either that turbulent motion is setting in or that there is gas at
slightly different velocities along the line of sight. Inspecting the spectra, it is hard to distinguish between these two possibilities given the faintness of the emission in this region. Another region of high $\sigma$ is found at the southern edge of the gas south of the disk. A visual inspection of the spectra shows that here we are probably seeing the superposition along the line of sight of gas at different locations and velocities: a foreground higher-velocity and a background lower-velocity component that was likely stripped sooner.

Most of the other gaseous regions and knots outside of the disk have very low $\sigma$ (0–20/50 km s$^{-1}$), indicative of a dynamically cold medium. The high velocity dispersion at the center is due to the presence of an AGN that will be discussed in more detail below.

In contrast with the complicated velocity structure of the gas, the stellar component has very regular kinematics showing that the disk is rotating unperturbed (Figure 7, left) with a rather low velocity dispersion (mostly between 40 and 80 km s$^{-1}$; Figure 7, right), as is typical of galaxy disks. The ordered stellar rotation, together with the regular isophotes, demonstrates that the process responsible for the gas stripping is affecting only the galaxy gas and not the stars, as expected for ram-pressure stripping due to the ICM.

The difference between the stellar and gaseous velocities would suggest a different direction of motion of the galaxy than the one suggested by the extended tail (cf. Figures 6 and 8). However, simulations indicate that the stripped gas in the vicinity of the galaxy might not always be a reliable indicator of the direction of motion (Roediger & Brüggen 2006). The long tails indicate that the galaxy also has a significant velocity component in the tangential direction on the plane of the sky.

### 7.2. Gas Ionization Mechanism

The line-ratio diagrams reveal that the emission in the central region is dominated by an AGN (Figure 9). All three diagnostic diagrams inspected are concordant on this.

The presence of an AGN in this galaxy was previously unknown. A posteriori, we find that JO206 coincides within the position error with a ROSAT bright source (EXSS 2111.2+0217; Voges et al. 1999); hence, we conclude that it is an X-ray-emitting AGN. The presence of an X-ray point source at the location of JO206 is also hinted at by the map presented in Shang & Scharf (2009). Moreover, there is a 1.4 GHz NRAO VLA Sky Survey (NVSS) detection within 8" from the position of JO206 (Condon et al. 1998). Using the calibration from Hopkins et al. (2003), the 1.4 GHz flux would yield an SFR of about 12 $M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$, which is a factor of 2 higher than the SFR measured from H$\alpha$ (see Section 7.5). The properties of the AGN in JO206 and in other GASP jellyfish galaxies will be discussed in a separate paper (Poggianti et al. 2017).

Apart from the center, the [O iii] 5007/H$\beta$ versus [N ii] 6583/H$\alpha$ and versus [S ii] 6717, 6731/H$\alpha$ diagrams show that, in the rest of the disk and all the extraplanar gas (including the tentacles), the emission-line ratios are consistent with gas being photoionized by young stars (“star-forming,” according to Kauffmann et al. 2003 and Kewley et al. 2006) or a combination of star-forming and H II-AGN composite, the latter around the central region and in a stripe of intense H$\alpha$ brightness running almost north–south to the northwest of the galaxy. The [O iii] 5007/H$\beta$ versus [O i] 6300/H$\alpha$ diagram classifies the ionization source in these regions as LINERs due to the significant [O i] emission, which supports the hypothesis that some contribution from shocks might be present here.

What stars are responsible for the majority of the ionizing radiation? We know that, in order to produce a significant number of ionizing photons, they must be massive stars formed during the past $\leq 10^7$ yr. They can either be new stars formed in situ within the stripped gas or stars formed in the galaxy disk whose ionizing radiation is able to escape to large distances. The first hypothesis is much more likely than the second one for several reasons. (a) The tails and knots are faint but visible in the observed B-band light, which at the galaxy redshift should originate from stars with no significant contribution from line emission. In fact, the MUSE spectra at the location of H$\alpha$ emission in the tentacles usually have a faint but detectable continuum. (b) As we show in Section 7.5, the MUSE spectra in the tails can be fitted with our spectrophotometric code with a third hypothesis, that the gas is ionized while still in the disk and is then stripped, is unrealistic. For a density $n = 10$ cm$^{-3}$, the recombination time is about $10^7$ yr (once recombined, the decay time is negligible; Osterbrock & Ferland 2006). Even assuming a timescale 1000 times longer ($10^9$ yr, $n = 0.01$ cm$^{-3}$), this would imply that the ionized gas had to travel 90 kpc in this time, thus at a speed of almost 9000 km s$^{-1}$. The latter is $\sim$15 times the cluster velocity dispersion.
an amount of young stars that can account for the required ionizing photons and, at the same time, is consistent with the observed continuum level. If ionizing photons could travel for 90 kpc without encountering any medium to ionize, the ionized gas we see would be the only gas there is in this area, and this is very unlikely.

Therefore, we conclude that, except for the central region powered by the AGN, the ionization source in JO206 is mostly photoionization by young stars. The most likely explanation is that new massive stars are born in situ in the stripped gas tentacles and ionize the gas we observe. Our findings resemble the conclusions from Smith et al. (2010) regarding star formation taking place within the stripped gas in a sample of

Figure 9. BPT line-ratio diagrams (left) and maps (right) for [O III] 5007/\(\lambda\beta\) vs. [N II] 6583/\(\text{H}\alpha\) (top), vs. [O I] 6300/\(\text{H}\alpha\) (middle), and vs. [S II] 6717/\(\text{H}\alpha\) (bottom). Lines in the left panels are from Kaufmann et al. (2003, K03), Kewley et al. (2001, K01; 2006, K06), and Sharp & Bland-Hawthorn (2010, SB10) to separate star-forming, composite, the AGN, and LINERs. Contours are stellar isophotes, as in Figure 4. The Astrophysical Journal, 844:48 (21pp), 2017 July 20 Poggianti et al.
13 jellyfish galaxies in the Coma cluster and support their hypothesis that this is a widespread phenomenon in clusters, though JO206 demonstrates that this does not occur only in rich, massive clusters such as Coma (see Section 7.6).

7.3. Dust Extinction and Metallicity

The extinction map of Figure 10 shows that the dust is not uniformly distributed but concentrated in knots of rather high extinction (up to $A_V \sim 1.7$ mag) and inter-knot regions of lower extinction values (typically 0.5–0.6 mag), with edges of virtually no extinction. Knots of high extinction are found in the disk but also in the tentacles, far away from the galaxy disk. Interestingly, most of the high-extinction regions coincide with the knots of the most intense H$_\alpha$ emission that will be discussed in Section 7.4, identified in Figure 10 by small circles. Thus, dust appears to be concentrated in the regions with higher H$_\alpha$ brightness, hence higher SFR density, as is the case in H II regions in normal galaxies.

The gas metallicity varies over almost 1 dex in $12+\log(O/H)$, with the highest metallicity regions located in the galaxy disk (Figure 11). Interestingly, the most metal-rich gas is observed $\sim10$ kpc from the center, to the northwest of the disk. This is a star-forming region of particularly high H$_\alpha$ brightness (Figure 5, left), where the SFR per unit area is very high and with rather high dust extinction (Figure 10).

The metallicity in the tentacles is intermediate to low, reaching values as low as $12+\log(O/H) = 7.7–8$ in the furthest regions of the western tail and throughout the southern tail. Overall, the gas at the end of the tentacles, likely to be the first that was stripped (as indicated by its projected distance from the galaxy body and/or its velocity/σ), has a lower metallicity. This is coherent with a scenario in which the gas in the outer regions of the disk, which is the most metal-poor, is stripped first, being the least bound.

Finally, the right panel of Figure 11 shows that the ionization parameter is very low (generally $\log q < 7$) compared to the distribution measured in Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) emission-line galaxies of all masses (always $>7$, typically 7.3; Dopita et al. 2006). As the ionization parameter depends on several quantities (metallicity, IMF, age of the H II region, ISM density distribution, geometry, etc.), attempting an interpretation of the low values observed will require an in-depth analysis that is beyond the scope of this paper but will be carried out on the whole stripped+control GASP sample.
7.4. Star-forming Knots

We identify 139 individual knots in the JO206 Hα image, as described in Section 6.4 and shown in Figure 12. These are regions of high Hα surface brightness, typically log(Hα[erg s⁻¹ cm⁻² arcsec⁻²]) ~ −15.5. Figure 13 shows that, except for the central Hα knot dominated by the AGN and a few small surrounding knots powered by a composite source, the spectra of all of the other knots are consistent with photoionization from young stars.

We note that MUSE also revealed Hα knots in the tails of ESO 137-001 (Fossati et al. 2016), and these authors concluded that they are H II regions formed in situ, as we find for JO206. In situ condensation of stripped gas is also found by Yagi et al. (2013) in the star-forming regions around NGC 4388 in Virgo, and other extragalactic H II regions are known in Virgo (Gerhard et al. 2002; Cortese et al. 2004). In contrast, Boselli et al. (2016) concluded that NGC 4569, a spectacular jellyfish in Virgo studied with narrowband Hα+[N II] imaging, lacks star-forming regions in the tail and therefore suggested that the gas is excited by mechanisms other than photoionization (e.g., shocks, heat conductions, etc.). It will be interesting to understand how common H II regions are in jellyfish tails once the whole GASP sample is available; see, for example, the galaxies JO201 in Paper II and JO204 in Paper V.

In our MUSE data, the metallicity of the gas in the knots varies with knot location (Figure 14) and traces the spatially resolved metallicity shown in Figure 11. The knots south of the disk on the southern side of the main tail and those at the highest distances in the tail to the west are mostly metal-poor (12+log(O/H) = 8.0–8.2). The majority of the rest of the knots have intermediate metallicities (8.3–8.4), while the most metal-rich significant knots are located along the disk northwest of the galaxy center.

Thus, the numerous knots we observe in JO206 appear to be giant H II regions and complexes. We estimate the ongoing SFR in each knot as described in Section 6.3. The sum of the SFR in all knots is 5.2 M⊙ yr⁻¹. The Hα luminosity of the only knot powered by the AGN corresponds to ~1 M⊙ yr⁻¹, thus the total SFR in all blobs excluding the AGN is ~4.2 M⊙ yr⁻¹. The SFR distribution of the knots is shown in Figure 15. It ranges from a minimum of ~10⁻⁴ to a maximum of 0.8 M⊙ yr⁻¹ per individual knot, and the average is about 0.01 M⊙ yr⁻¹. The SFR determination has several important caveats. These values are derived assuming a Chabrier IMF, but the true shape of the IMF in the knots is unconstrained. Even assuming a known IMF, at such low values of SFR for individual knots, the stochasticity of the IMF sampling can be important and will be the subject of a subsequent study.

The distributions of the ionized gas densities of the individual knots are shown in Figure 15. Of the 138 knots with no AGN, 91 have a [S II] 6716/[S II] 6732 ratio in the range where the density calibration applies (see Section 6.3). The remaining knots have ratio values larger than 1.44, which suggests that their density is below 10 cm⁻³. Figure 15 shows that most of the measured densities are between 10 and 100 cm⁻³, with a median of 28 cm⁻³. For these, we derive

---

22 We have checked the spatial distributions of the knots with a density estimate (not shown), and they are distributed both in the disk and in the tails, tracing all the regions with Hα emission. A detailed study of the physical properties of the individual H II regions in GASP galaxies will be the subject of a forthcoming paper.
the ionized gas mass following Equation (3) to derive the knot gas mass distribution also shown in Figure 15. Most of these knots have masses in the range $10^4-10^5 M_\odot$, with a median of $\sim 1.5 \times 10^5 M_\odot$. Summing up the gas mass in these knots, we obtain $1.7 \times 10^8 M_\odot$, which represents a hard lower limit to the total ionized gas mass, given that the contributions of the knots with no density estimate and of the diffuse line emission are not taken into account. However, considering that the knots contributing to this mass estimate already account for about half of the total $L_{H\alpha}$, the total mass value given above is probably of the order of the true value.

Once star formation is exhausted in the JO206 blobs, they will probably resemble the UV “fireballs” in the tail of IC 3418, a dIrr galaxy in Virgo with a tail of young stellar blobs (<400 Myr; Hester et al. 2010; Fumagalli et al. 2011; Kenney et al. 2014).

7.5. Stellar History and Star Formation

The total SFR computed from the dust- and absorption-corrected Hα luminosity is $6.7 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$, of which $4.3/5.9 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ is within the inner/outer continuum isophotes. The SFR outside of the galaxy main body is therefore $\sim 1-2.5 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$. Subtracting the contribution from the regions that are classified as the AGN or LINERs from the BPT diagram, the total SFR remains $5.6 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$.

The spatially resolved stellar history is reconstructed from SINOPSIS, which allows us to investigate how many stars were formed at each location during four logarithmically spaced periods of time (Figure 16).

The ongoing star formation activity (stars formed during the last $2 \times 10^7$ yr; top left panel in Figure 16) is very intense along the eastern side of the disk but is essentially absent in the easternmost stellar arm where the gas has already been totally stripped (cf. Figure 5). Ongoing star formation is also present throughout the stripped gas, including the tails far out of the galaxy, with knots of higher-than-average SFR spread at different locations.

The recent star formation activity (between $2 \times 10^7$ yr and $5.7 \times 10^8$ yr; top right panel) has a slightly different spatial distribution compared to the youngest stars: recent star formation was also present in the easternmost galaxy arm, a wide region of SF activity was present to the west of the galaxy body (X coordinates = -20 to 0), and the SFR in the tentacles was more rarefied. In agreement with this, inspecting the spectra in the easternmost galaxy arm shows typical post-starburst ($k+a$; Dressler et al. 1999; Poggianti et al. 1999) features, with no emission lines and extremely strong Balmer lines in absorption (rest frame $H\beta \sim 10$ Å).

The distribution of older stars (>~$6 \times 10^8$ yr) is drastically different, being mostly confined to the main galaxy body (two bottom panels of Figure 16).

The different spatial distributions of stars of different ages indicate that star formation in the stripped gas was ignited sometime during the last $5 \times 10^8$ yr.

As a consequence of the spatially varying star formation history, the stellar luminosity-weighted age varies with position (Figure 17). Overall, there is a clear age gradient from older to younger ages going from east to west$^{24}$, with a few noticeable exceptions. On the galaxy disk, there are some regions of very young ages, corresponding to the high SFR density regions in Figure 16, that coincide with very intense Hα surface brightness (cf. Figure 5) and high metallicity (Figure 10) whose emission is powered by star formation (Figure 9). The tails have low luminosity-weighted ages, as is expected given the star formation history of Figure 16.

While the distribution of recent star formation is driven by the stripping of the gas, the stellar mass density distribution is dominated by the old stellar generations (Figure 17, right). The mass density in the tails and in the stripped gas in general is very low, more than two orders of magnitude lower than in the galaxy disk (where the density is highest) and about one order of magnitude lower than in the outer regions of the disk.

7.6. JO206 Environment

IZW108 is a poor galaxy cluster with an X-ray luminosity $L_X = 1.09 \times 10^{44}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (ROSAT 0.1–2.4 keV; Smith et al. 2004). In the literature (e.g., in SIMBAD and NED), it is commonly refereed to as a galaxy group for its modest X-ray

$^{24}$ Again, the reader should remember that the central region is contaminated by the AGN.
luminosity and temperature \( (T_X = 3.93 \pm 0.1 \text{ keV}; \text{ Shang} \& \text{ Scharf 2009}) \) and optical richness. According to previous studies, IIZW108 has little intracluster light and is undergoing major merging in its central regions, with four galaxies now in the process of building up the BCG (Edwards et al. 2016; see also Figure 3). The only velocity dispersion estimates for this cluster are from WINGS and OMEGAINGS: 549 ± 42 km s\(^{-1}\) (Cava et al. 2009) and revised values of 611 ± 38 km s\(^{-1}\) based on 171 spectroscopic members (Moretti et al. 2017) and 545/513\(^{\pm 35}\) km s\(^{-1}\) based on 179 spectroscopic members including/excluding galaxies in substructures (A. Biviano et al. 2017, in preparation). Cluster mass and radius are estimated from the dynamical analysis of A. Biviano et al. (2017, in preparation). Cluster mass and radius are estimated from the dynamical analysis of A. Biviano et al. (2017, in preparation) with the MAMPOSSt technique (Mamon et al. 2013) as \( M_{200} = 1.91^{+0.96}_{-0.45} \times 10^{14} M_{\odot} \) and \( R_{200} = 1.17^{+0.17}_{-0.10} \) Mpc.\(^{25}\)

\(^{25}\) Here \( R_{200} \) is defined as the projected radius delimiting a sphere with an interior mean density 200 times the critical density of the universe, and it is a good approximation of the cluster virial radius.

The dynamical analysis of IIZW108 confirms that it has a highly significant substructure in the central region and shows evidence for a few additional, less significant substructures distributed from the northeast to the southwest of the cluster, as shown in Figure 18 (see also A. Biviano et al. 2017, in preparation). However, there is no evidence for JO206 residing in any of these substructures. On the contrary, this galaxy appears to have recently fallen into the cluster as an isolated galaxy.

JO206 is located in the most favorable conditions for ram-pressure stripping within the cluster: it is at a small projected clustercentric radius \( (r_{c3} \sim 0.3 R_{200} \text{ from the BCG}) \), and it has a high differential velocity with respect to the cluster redshift \( (\Delta v_{c3} \sim 800 \text{ km s}^{-1} \sim 1.5 c_{3}; \text{ Figure 18}) \). We can compute the expected ram pressure on JO206 by the ICM as \( P_{\text{ram}} = \rho_{\text{ICM}} \times v_{c3}^2 \) (Gunn & Gott 1972), where \( \rho_{\text{ICM}}(r_{c3}) \) is the radial density profile of the ICM. As we do not have a good estimate of \( \rho_{\text{ICM}}(r_{c3}) \) for IIZW108, we used the well-studied Virgo cluster as a close analog (the clusters have very similar mass),

\[ t < 2 \cdot 10^7 \text{ yr} \]
\[ 2 \cdot 10^7 < t < 5.7 \cdot 10^8 \text{ yr} \]
\[ 5.7 \cdot 10^8 < t < 5.7 \cdot 10^9 \text{ yr} \]
\[ > 5.7 \cdot 10^9 \text{ yr} \]

Figure 16. Stellar maps of different ages, illustrating the average star formation rate per kpc\(^2\) during the last \( 2 \times 10^7 \) yr (top left), between \( 2 \times 10^7 \) yr and \( 5.7 \times 10^8 \) yr (top right), between \( 5.7 \times 10^8 \) yr and \( 5.7 \times 10^9 \) yr (bottom left), and \( > 5.7 \times 10^9 \) yr ago (bottom right). Contours in all panels are continuum isophotes, as in Figure 4.

Figure 17. Left: map of luminosity-weighted stellar age. Right: stellar mass density map. Contours in both panels are continuum isophotes, as in Figure 4.
assuming a smooth static ICM,

$$\rho_{\text{ICM}}(r_{\text{cl}}) = \rho_0 \left[1 + \left(\frac{r_{\text{cl}}}{r_\text{c}}\right)^2\right]^{-3/2}, \quad (4)$$

with core radius $r_\text{c} = 13.4$ kpc, slope parameter $\beta = 0.5$, and central density $\rho_0 = 4 \times 10^2$ cm$^{-3}$ (the same values used in Vollmer et al. 2001). At the projected $r_{\text{cl}}$ and line-of-sight velocity of JO206, we get a lower limit to the pressure:

$$P_{\text{ram}} = 6 \times 10^{-14} \text{Nm}^{-2}. \quad (5)$$

We then compare the ram pressure of IIZW108 with the anchoring force of an idealized disk galaxy with the properties of JO206. The anchoring force of a disk galaxy $\Pi_{\text{gal}} = 2\pi G\Sigma_g \Sigma_s$ is a function of the density profiles of the stars and the gas components ($\Sigma_g$ and $\Sigma_s$, respectively) that can be expressed as exponential functions,

$$\Sigma = \left(\frac{M_d}{2\pi r_d}\right) e^{-r/r_d}, \quad (6)$$

where $M_d$ is the disk mass, $r_d$ is the disk scale length, and $r$ is the radial distance from the center of the galaxy. For the stellar component of JO206, we adopt a disk mass $M_{d,\text{stars}} = 8.5 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$ and a disk scale length $r_{d,\text{stars}} = 5.73$ kpc, obtained by fitting the light profile of the galaxy with GASPHOT (D’Onofrio et al. 2014). For the gas component, we assumed a total mass $M_{d,\text{gas}} = 0.1 \times M_{d,\text{stars}}$ and scale length $r_{d,\text{gas}} = 1.7 \times r_{d,\text{stars}}$ (Boselli & Gavazzi 2006).

At the center of the galaxy ($r = 0$), the anchoring force is too high for stripping to happen ($\Pi_{\text{gal}}(r = 0) \approx 10^{-11} \text{Nm}^{-2}$). The condition for stripping ($P_{\text{ram}}/\Pi_{\text{gal}} > 1$) is only met at a radial distance of $r \approx 20$ kpc $\sim 2 \times r_{d,\text{gas}}$ from the center of the disk, which coincides very well with the “truncation radius” ($r_t$) measured from the extent of H$\alpha$ emission (see, e.g., Figure 5). At $r = r_t$, the anchoring force drops to

$$\Pi_{\text{gal}}(r = r_t) \simeq 4 \times 10^{-14} \text{Nm}^{-2} \sim 0.7 \times P_{\text{ram}}, \quad (7)$$

and the fraction of remaining gas mass can be computed as

$$f = 1 + \left[e^{-r/r_d} \left(-\frac{r_t}{r_d} - 1\right)\right]. \quad (8)$$

This simplified calculation yields a gas mass fraction lost to the ICM wind of 15%. This amount of stripping happens at the combination of clustercentric distances and velocities shown by the blue lines in the right panel of Figure 18, where the condition $P_{\text{ram}} \simeq \Pi_{\text{gal}}(r = r_t)$ is met. The infalling galaxy has been approaching the cluster core, moving from the right side of the plot to the left and gaining absolute velocity (see, e.g., Figure 6 in Yoon et al. 2017). At the time of observation, the galaxy is crossing the 15% stripping line, and it will continue to get stripped if it reaches lower $r_{\text{cl}}$.

We note that, although our calculation for JO206 supports ongoing ram-pressure stripping, there are several caveats to be considered (see discussion in, e.g., Kenney et al. 2004 and Jaffé et al. 2015). First, the three-dimensional position and velocity of the galaxy are unknown; we use projected values. Second, in our calculations, we assume an idealized exponential disk interacting face-on with a static and homogeneous ICM. Simulations have shown, however, that the intensity of ram-pressure stripping can be enhanced in cluster mergers (Vijayaraghavan & Ricker 2013) due to the presence of...
higher density clumps or shock waves and that its efficiency varies with galaxy inclination (Abadi et al. 1999; Quilis et al. 2000; Vollmer et al. 2001). Finally, given the current lack of a direct measurement of the cold gas component of JO206, we use the extent of H α to estimate the amount of gas stripped. It is yet to be tested with approved Jansky Very Large Array (JVLA) observations whether H I is more truncated than H α in this jellyfish galaxy.

JO206 is therefore an example of a high-mass galaxy undergoing strong ram-pressure stripping in a poor, low-mass cluster. JO206 is not the only known jellyfish with these characteristics: NGC 4569 is also a quite massive (10^{10.5} M_\odot) galaxy in a ~10^{14} M_\odot cluster (Virgo; Boselli et al. 2016).

8. Summary

GASP is an ongoing ESO Large Program with the MUSE spectrograph on the VLT. This program started on 2015 October 1 and was allocated 120 hr over four semesters to observe 114 galaxies to study the causes and effects of gas removal processes in galaxies. GASP galaxies were homogeneously selected in clusters and the field from the WINGS, OMEGAWINGS, and PM2GC surveys and belong to dark matter halos with masses covering over four orders of magnitude.

The main scientific drivers of GASP are a study of gas removal processes in galaxies in different environments, their effects on star formation activity and quenching, the interplay between the gas conditions and AGN activity, and the stellar and metallicity history of galaxies out to large radii prior to and in the absence of gas removal.

The combination of the large field of view, high sensitivity, large wavelength coverage, and good spatial and spectral resolution of MUSE allow us to peer into the outskirts and surroundings of a large sample of galaxies with different masses and environments. The MUSE data are capable of revealing the rich physics of the ionized gas external to galaxies and the stars that formed within it.

In this paper, we have described the survey strategy and illustrated the main steps of the scientific analysis. We have shown their application to JO206, a rather rare example of a massive (9 \times 10^{10} M_\odot) galaxy undergoing strong ram-pressure stripping in a low-mass cluster and forming \sim 7 M_\odot yr^{-1}. Tails of stripped gas are visible out to 90 kpc from the galaxy disk. The gas is ionized mostly by in situ star formation, as new stars are formed in the tails. The gas tails are characterized by both regions of diffuse emission and bright knots, appearing to be giant H II regions and complexes, that retain a coherent rotation with the stars in the disk. The metallicity of the gas varies over an order of magnitude, from metal-rich regions on one side of the disk to very metal-poor in some of the tails. The galaxy hosts an AGN that is responsible for \sim 15% of the H α ionization.

The MUSE data reveal how the stripping and star formation activity and quenching have proceeded. The gas was stripped first from the easternmost arm, where star formation stopped during the last few 10^8 yr. Star formation is still taking place in the disk, but above one-third of the total SFR (having excluded the AGN) takes place outside of the main galaxy body, in the extrapolar gas and tails. Assuming a Chabrier IMF, 1–2 M_\odot yr^{-1} are formed outside of the galaxy disk and go to increase the intracluster light.

The first results shown in this and other papers of the series illustrate the power of the MUSE data to provide an exquisite view of the physical phenomena affecting the gas content of galaxies. GASP follow-up programs are ongoing to probe the other gas phases and obtain a multiewavelength view of this sample. Ongoing APEX programs are yielding the CO amount in the disk and tails. Approved JVLA observations will provide the precious neutral gas information. Near-UV and far-UV data, as well as complementary X-ray data, are being obtained for a subset of GASP clusters with ASTROSAT (Grawal 2006), while James Webb Space Telescope (JWST) will allow the possibility of obtaining an unprecedented view of the H_2 gas.

We are grateful to the anonymous referee for his/her comments that significantly improved the presentation and accuracy of the paper. This work is based on observations collected at the European Organisation for Astronomical Research in the Southern Hemisphere under ESO program 196.B-0578. This work is also based on observations taken with the AAOmega spectrograph on the AAT and the OmegaCAM camera on the VLT. This work made use of the KUBEVIZ software, which is publicly available at http://www.mpe.mpg.de/~dwilman/kubeviz/. We acknowledge financial support from PRIN-INAF 2014, B.V. acknowledges support from an Australian Research Council Discovery Early Career Researcher Award (PD0028506). J.F. acknowledges financial support from a UNAM-DGAPA-PAPIIT IA104015 grant, México. This work was conducted under the Marie Curie Actions of the European Commission (FP7-COFUND).
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We found a bug in the procedure we used to calculate the metallicity and ionization parameter that led to an underestimation of these quantities.

While none of the conclusions and trends are affected, the absolute values in Figures 1 and 2 (Figures 11 and 14 in the original paper) change as illustrated in the figures below. Values in the text should be updated accordingly in a few sentences as follows:

In Section 7.3. The metallicity in the tentacles is high to intermediate, reaching lowest values of ∼12 + log([O/H]) = 8.5 in the furthest regions of the west tail and throughout the southern tail.

Finally, the right panel of Figure 1 shows that the ionization parameter is overall rather low (typically between log(q) = 6.7 and 7.5) compared to the distribution measured in SDSS emission-line galaxies of all masses (always >7, typically 7.3,…).

In Section 7.4. The knots south of the disk, on the southern side of the main tail, and those at the highest distances in the tail to the west are the most metal-poor (12 + log(O/H) = 8.5–8.7). The majority of the rest of the knots have higher metallicities (8.8–9.0), while the most metal-rich significant knots are located along the disk northwest of the galaxy center.

In Section 8. The metallicity of the gas varies significantly from some very metal-rich regions in the disk to intermediate metallicities in some of the tails.

We refer the reader to the figures below for a visual representation of these changes.