The MST4–MOB4 complex disrupts the MST1–MOB1 complex in the Hippo–YAP pathway and plays a pro-oncogenic role in pancreatic cancer
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The mammalian STE20-like protein kinase 1 (MST1)–MOB kinase activator 1 (MOB1) complex has been shown to suppress the oncogenic activity of Yes-associated protein (YAP) in the mammalian Hippo pathway, which is involved in the development of multiple tumors, including pancreatic cancer (PC). However, it remains unclear whether other MST–MOB complexes are also involved in regulating Hippo–YAP signaling and have potential roles in PC. Here, we report that mammalian STE20-like kinase 4 (MST4), a distantly related ortholog of the MST1 kinase, forms a complex with MOB4 in a phosphorylation-dependent manner. We found that the overall structure of the MST4–MOB4 complex resembles that of the MST1–MOB1 complex, even though the two complexes exhibited opposite biological functions in PC. In contrast to the tumor-suppressor effect of the MST1–MOB1 complex, the MST4–MOB4 complex promoted growth and migration of PANC-1 cells. Moreover, expression levels of MST4 and MOB4 were elevated in PC and were positively correlated with each other, whereas MST1 expression was down-regulated. Because of divergent evolution of key interface residues, MST4 and MOB4 could disrupt assembly of the MST1–MOB1 complex through alternative pairing and thereby increased YAP activity. Collectively, these findings identify the MST4–MOB4 complex as a noncanonical regulator of the Hippo–YAP pathway with an oncogenic role in PC. Our findings highlight that although MST–MOB complexes display some structural conservation, they functionally diverged during their evolution.

The Hippo–YAP signaling pathway plays a pivotal role in organ size control and tumorigenesis (1–6). In the mammalian Hippo–YAP pathway, MST1/2 kinases recruit and phosphorylate MOB1, which subsequently interacts with and fully activates LATS1/2 kinases, leading to the phosphorylation and cytoplasmic retention of the downstream transcription coactivator YAP/TAZ (7–10). Recent structural studies of MOB1 interaction with MST1/2 and LATS1/2 also highlight the importance of MOB proteins as kinase adaptors (8). In addition to MOB1A/B, the Mps one binder (MOB) family of proteins include other members like MOB2, MOB3A/B/C, and MOB4 (also known as phocein) in humans. Meanwhile, the mammalian STE20-like (MST) protein kinase family contains not only MST1/2, but also MST3, MST4, and STK25 that are relatively less investigated but sporadically associated with cell proliferation and apoptosis (Fig. 1A) (11–15). Furthermore, MOB3A/B/C can associate with and negatively regulate MST1-mediated apoptosis to support tumorigenesis in glioblastoma multiforme (16), indicative of distinct roles of MST–MOB pairing. Currently, however, the physical and functional interplay between MST kinases and MOB adaptors remains only partially understood.

A common feature of the MST kinases lies in their conserved topological structure containing an N-terminal kinase domain, a C-terminal dimerization domain, and a regulatory linker region in between (Fig. 1A) (17). Lately, multiple MST kinases, in particular MST1/2 and MST4, have been identified as components of the striatin (STRN)-interacting phosphatase and kinase (STRIPAK) complexes (18–20). Human MOB4, initially identified as an interacting protein of striatins, was also found in the STRIPAK complexes (21, 22). Moreover, a recent study revealed that the STRIPAK complex regulates breast cancer cell
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migration and metastasis by controlling the activity of MST kinases (23). Thus, MST kinases and MOB proteins, as individual molecules or part of the STRIPAK complexes, could be involved in the development of various tumors, including pancreatic cancer (PC) (24). Yet, the possible pairing between MSTs and MOBs, as well as their specific functions in PC, remains unknown.

MST4 was previously reported to act downstream of the tumor suppressor kinase LKB1 for cell polarity control in gut (25, 26). Emerging evidence points to an important role of MST4 in tumorigenesis, including breast cancer, prostate cancer, and glioblastoma (23, 27, 28). The activity and subcellular localization of MST4 are differentially regulated in various biological processes. For example, MST4 can localize at the Golgi apparatus through its association with the Golgi matrix protein GM130 to regulate Golgi morphology and control cell migration (29). CCM3 was also found to form a complex with MST4 and GM130 on the Golgi apparatus to stabilize MST4 (30). Meanwhile, it appears that STRN cannot only negatively regulate the activation of MST4 but also facilitate its Golgi localization (20, 31, 32). It is speculated that MST4 might be physically and functionally associated with other components of the STRIPAK complex such as MOBs and other MST kinases. Additionally, recent studies indicated that components of STRIPAK complex are emerging regulators of Hippo signaling pathway (33–35). To date, however, it remains unclear whether MST4 can also use MOBs to regulate MST1 and thus Hippo–YAP signaling.

Previously, we have identified the MST4 kinase as a dynamic inhibitor of the Toll-like receptor pathway that directly phosphorylates the signaling adaptor TRAF6 during inflammation (36), and we revealed the regulatory mechanisms of MST4 by MO25 (12) and CCM3 (37). Here, in dissecting the potential interplay between MST kinases and MOB adapters, we identified an MST4–MOB4 complex structurally reminiscent of the MST1–MOB1 complex but with opposite biological function in PC. MST4 interacts with MOB4 in a phosphorylation–dependent fashion to form a complex that synergistically promotes PC cell proliferation and migration. The MST4–MOB4 complex interferes with the assembly of the MST1–MOB1 complex to promote YAP activity in PC. Importantly, the MST4–MOB4 complex is up-regulated in PC and negatively associated with patient survival. Hence the MST4–MOB4 complex represents a new regulator of the Hippo–YAP pathway that coevolved with the MST1–MOB1 complex.

Results

MST4 directly interacts with MOB4

Given the phylogenetic classification of MST kinases and the fact that MST1/2 kinases form a complex with MOB1 in the Hippo–YAP pathway, we hypothesized that MST4 kinase may also utilize the MOB family of proteins as adaptor or partner. Because both MST4 and MOB4 have been identified as components of the STRIPAK complex, we reasoned that MST4 and MOB4 could form a complex analogous to the MST1/2–MOB1 complex. To test this possibility, we first performed coimmunoprecipitation (co-IP) assay in HEK293FT cells overexpressing MST4 and MOB4. FLAG-tagged MST4 can readily pull down HA-tagged MOB4 (Fig. 1A). Moreover, endogenous MST4 is also associated with endogenous MOB4 (Fig. 1C). Next, we examined the cellular localization of MST4 and MOB4. Our confocal microscopy experiment detected a significant signal for colocalization of MST4 and MOB4 in PANC-1 cells (Fig. 1D). Together, these results indicate that MST4 indeed physically interacts with MOB4 in cells.

To further characterize the interaction between MST4 and MOB4, we overexpressed and purified the proteins of MST4 and MOB4 in *Escherichia coli*. Pulldown assay using the purified proteins showed that MBP-tagged MST4, but not the MBP control, can directly interact with MOB4 (Fig. 1E). Consistent with this observation, gel-filtration chromatography revealed that MST4 and MOB4 are coeluted in a single peak with a fixed molar ratio (Fig. 1F and Fig. S1). Furthermore, bio-layer interferometry (BLI) experiment detected a dose-dependent binding between MST4 and MOB4 with a dissociation constant ($K_d$) of 1.67 μM (Fig. 1G). Taken together, these results indicate that MST4 directly binds MOB4 to form a stable complex.

MST4 auto-phosphorylation at Thr-327/328 is critical for binding MOB4

Because MOB1 is known to bind MST1/2 kinases in a phosphorylation-dependent manner (8), we then asked whether assembly of the MST4–MOB4 complex is also dependent on phosphorylation. To this end, we performed an *in vitro* pull-down assay using purified recombinant proteins of MST4 and MOB4. MST4 can directly bind to either of the two MOB4 isoforms although treatment with λ protein phosphatase (APP) markedly reduced such interaction (Fig. 2A). However, incubation of MST4 with ATP and MgCl₂ did not significantly affect its interaction with MOB4 (Fig. 2A), suggesting that the recombinant MST4 kinase is already autophosphorylated. Consistent with this notion, treatment with APP but not ATP clearly shifted the electrophoretic band of MST4 toward the direction of lower molecular weight (Fig. 2A). To further verify the importance of MST4 auto-phosphorylation in binding MOB4, we treated MBP–MST4 with APP, followed by treating the dephosphorylated MBP–MST4 with ATP. As shown in Fig. S2A, APP-treated MST4 did not bind MOB4 but recovered the ability to bind MOB4 after treatment with ATP to induce auto-phosphorylation. Moreover, the kinase-inactive form of MST4 (MST4–K53R) was not able to bind MOB4 (Fig. S2B). Together, these results indicate that MST4 interacts with MOB4 in a phosphorylation-dependent manner, a feature previously observed for the assembly of the MST1/2–MOB1 complex.

To identify the specific region and site(s) of MST4 important for binding MOB4, we created a series of MBP-fused truncation mutants of MST4 and subjected the purified proteins to phosphorylation-dependent binding between MST4 and MOB4 after treatment with ATP to induce auto-phosphorylation. Moreover, the kinase-inactive form of MST4 (MST4–K53R) was not able to bind MOB4 (Fig. 2C). Sequence analysis of MST4 revealed that...
only four serine/threonine residues (possible auto-phosphorylation sites) exist in this region, i.e., Thr-320, Ser-325, Thr-327, and Thr-328. Next, we substituted each of these four amino acids with an alanine, and we measured their interactions with MOB4. Pulldown assay using purified proteins showed that none of the single mutations could abolish the binding of MST4 with MOB4 (Fig. S2C), indicative of multiple sites involved in the interaction.

Subsequently, we made a four-point mutation T320A/S325A/T327A/T328A (4A) and a three-point mutation S325A/T327A/T328A (3A) of MST4. As shown by the pulldown assay, either 4A or 3A mutation disabled the interaction of MST4 with MOB4 (Fig. S2D), suggesting that residues among Ser-325, Thr-327, and Thr-328 are essential for MOB4 binding. Further detailed mutational analysis targeting these three amino acids identified Thr-327 and Thr-328 of MST4 as the primary phosphorylation sites critical for binding MOB4 (Fig. 2D). Therefore, we synthesized a phospho-Thr-327/Thr-328 MST4 linker peptide (amino acids 320–335, “THPEWSFRpTVRKpDP”) for further study. Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) confirmed that the phosphopeptide could readily bind to MOB4 with a dissociation constant ($K_d$) of 22.9 nM (Fig. 2E). Taken together, these results indicate that upon auto-phosphorylation at Thr-327 and Thr-328, the linker region of MST4 binds to MOB4.

MST4–MOB4 complex structurally resembles the MST1/2–MOB1 complex

To understand at an atomic level the interaction between MST4 and MOB4, we determined to a 1.9-Å resolution the crystal structure of MOB4 (amino acids 53–210) in complex with the synthetic phospho-Thr-327/Thr-328 MST4 linker peptide (Table 1 and Fig. 3A). The electron density is well defined for most residues of MOB4 (except its N-terminal 14 residues and residues 139–153) and the MST4 peptide (residues 324–333) (Fig. S3). There is one MOB4 molecule and one
pMST4 peptide in each asymmetric unit of the crystal, burying a total molecular interface of 550.9 Å². Moreover, the MOB4 molecule not only interacts with the MST4 peptide in the same asymmetric unit, but it also contacts a symmetry-related MST4 peptide (Fig. 3A). Although this second interface is smaller (261.9 Å²), it is likely for MST4 and MOB4 to form a 2:2 heterotetramer under physiological conditions given that the C-terminal domain of MST4 forms a homodimer (Fig. 1A).

The overall structure of the MST4–MOB4 complex resembles the previously determined MST2–MOB1 structure (PDB code 5BRM) (8, 38). In both cases, the phosphorylated MST peptides formed an intermolecular anti-parallel β-sheet with the L1 loop of MOBs (site 1), despite the lack of hydrophobic packing (site 2) in the MST4–MOB4 complex (Fig. 3B and C, and Fig. S4A). The structure of MOB4 consists of six helices (α1–5 and η1) and a long loop region (L1) between helices α1 and α2, which folds into a four-helical bundle similar to MOB1 (Fig. 3A and Fig. S4, B and C) (8, 38). The conserved zinc ion (Zn1) is coordinated by the side chains of residues Cys-92, Cys-97, His-169, and His-174 (Fig. 3C and Fig. S4C). When compared with MOB1, however, MOB4 contains an additional zinc ion (Zn2), which is coordinated by the side chains of residues Cys-110, His-113, Cys-119, and His-127 (Fig. 3C).

At the phosphorylation site, the interactions between MSTs and MOBs are mainly mediated by salt bridges between the phosphate groups on the phosphorylated MST linker region and the basic amino acids on MOBs (Fig. 3, D–F, and Fig. S4A). In the MST4–MOB4 complex, phosphorylated Thr-328 and Thr-327 of MST4 form several ion pairs and hydrogen bonds with a cluster of positively charged residues Arg-161, Arg-162, and Arg-165 of MOB4, explaining the phosphorylation-dependent nature of the complex assembly (Fig. 3, D and E). Corresponding to pThr-328 of MST4, pThr-378 of MST2 similarly
contacts a patch of positively charged residues Lys-153, Arg-154, and Arg-157 of MOB1 (Fig. 3F and Fig. S4A). Moreover, sequence analysis revealed that the interface residues of the MST4–MOB4 complex are evolutionarily conserved (Fig. S4D).

Notably, the assembly of the MST4–MOB4 complex requires two phosphorylated threonines (Thr-327 and Thr-328). In a dimeric context, one molecule of MST4 interacts via pThr-327 and pThr-328, respectively, with two copies of MOB4 (Fig. 3D), whereas the arginine cluster of each MOB4 molecule binds pThr-327 from one MST4 and pThr-328 from the other (Fig. 3E). Interestingly, MST2 also contains multiple phospho-Thr-Met motifs in the linker region, including Thr-349, Thr-356, and Thr-364, which function redundantly for MOB1 binding (8). Thus, it appears that homodimerized MST kinases could use multiple phosphorylation sites to efficiently recruit MOB proteins. Taken together, these results demonstrate the structural similarity between the MST4–MOB4 and MST1/2–MOB1 complexes, raising the possibility of promiscuous pairing between MSTs and MOBs.

**Key interface residues determine alternative pairing of MSTs with MOBs**

To verify our structural analysis and define key residues for MST4 interaction with MOB4, we performed mutational analysis. Substitution of any single interface residues of MST4 with alanine (S325A, F326A, T327A, T328A, V329A, and R330A) mildly reduced the binding of MOB4, whereas a combined mutation of T327A and T328A (2TA) abolished its interaction with MOB4 (Fig. 4A and Fig. S5A). Mutations W106A, I107A, and L109A of MOB4 decreased its association with MST4, whereas mutations R161A, R162A, R165A, and R161A/R162A/R165A (3RA) abolished the complex assembly (Fig. 4B and Fig. S5B). Further BLI analyses also revealed residues Thr-327/328 of MST4 and Arg-161/162/165 of MOB4 as the most critical interface residues (Fig. 4, C–F, and Fig. S5, C and D). The co-IP experiments further confirmed in cells the importance of these interface residues (Fig. 4, G and H).

With these key interface residues in mind, we analyzed the amino acid sequences of MSTs and MOBs based on available structure information (Fig. S5E), attempting to find the preferential pairing between MSTs and MOBs. As described earlier, MST1/2 kinases have multiple phosphorylation sites corresponding to Thr-327 and Thr-328 of MST4. However, MST3 only contains one threonine (Thr-340) with an adjacent residue being Glu-343 in the region corresponding to Thr-327/328 of MST4, which is disadvantageous to form hydrophobic interaction and cation–π bond with MOB4 (Fig. S5E). Similarly, the two critical threonines are replaced by prolines (Pro-322 and Pro-323) in STK25, making it unlikely to bind MOB4. Meanwhile, residues Arg-161/162/165 in MOB4 are highly conserved in MOB1 but not in other MOBs. These observations hint at alternative pairing between MSTs and MOBs, i.e. MST4 could pair with either MOB4 or MOB1, and MOB4 could pair with either MST4 or MST1/2. To verify this possibility, we performed pulldown assays using purified recombinant proteins of MSTs, including MST1, MST2, MST3, MST4, and STK25, and MOBs, including MOB1A, MOB2, MOB3A, and MOB4. Indeed, MST4 cannot only bind to MOB4 but can also readily interact with MOB1 (Fig. 4I and Fig. S5F). In addition to MST4, MOB4 can also bind MST1 to a certain extent (Fig. 4J and Fig. S5G). Taken together, these results define Thr-327/328 of MST4 and Arg-161/162/165 of MOB4 as primary sites for complex assembly, which confers alternative pairing of MST4 with MOB4 or MOB1 and that of MOB4 with MST4 or MST1.

**MST4–MOB4 and YAP are up-regulated but MST1 is down-regulated in PC**

Previously the MST1 kinase has been implicated in pancreatic cancer (24). To further characterize the biological functions of MST–MOB complexes in a context of tumorigenesis, we assessed the clinical significance of the MST4–MOB4 complex in PC. Analysis of the microarray datasets publicly available revealed that the mRNA levels of both MST4 and MOB4 are significantly up-regulated in the clinical specimens of patients with PC (Fig. 5A and Fig. S6A). Moreover, the mRNA levels of MST4 positively correlate with that of MOB4 in PC patients (Fig. 5B and Fig. S6B). Furthermore, elevated expression levels of MST4 and MOB4 negatively correlate with the survival rates of PC patients (Fig. 5C and Fig. S6, C and D).

Similar to MST4 and MOB4, the expression of YAP, as well as its target genes BIRC5 and BCL2L1, is also up-regulated in PC (Fig. 5D). Moreover, the mRNA levels of MST4 and MOB4 positively correlate with those of YAP in PC (Fig. 5E). Consistent with these observations, our immunohistochemical (IHC) analysis revealed that the positive rates of MST4 and MOB4 in PC samples are significantly higher when compared with those of the healthy ones (Fig. 5F). Meanwhile, the expression of YAP is also highly increased in PC, although the staining of MST1 is

**Table 1**

| Data collection and refinement statistics | MST4-MOB4 |
|------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Wavelength (Å)                           | 0.9785    |
| Space group                              | C 1 2 1   |
| Cell dimensions                          |           |
| a, b, c (Å)                              | 88.5, 34.0, 61.0 |
| a, b, c (Å)                              | 90.0, 122.7, 90.0 |
| Resolution (Å)                           | 50.00–1.90 (1.93–1.90) |
| No. of reflections                       | 77,777    |
| Rmerge (%)                               | 0.109 (0.798) |
| Rmerge (%)                               | 15.5 (2.5) |
| Completeness (%)                         | 98.9 (99.0) |
| Redundancy                               | 6.4 (5.5) |
| Wilson B-factor (Å²)                     | 18.9      |

**Data collection and refinement statistics** Statistics for the highest-resolution shell are shown in parentheses.
significantly decreased (Fig. 5G). Taken together, these results indicate that the MST4–MOB4 complex is up-regulated in PC and negatively correlated with patient survival.

**MST4–MOB4 and MST1–MOB1 complexes have opposite functions in PC**

Because MST4 and MST1 were found to be differentially expressed in PC, we suspected that MST4–MOB4 may play a role different from that of MST1–MOB1 in the regulation of tumor cell proliferation and migration. Thus, we examined the potential effect of the MST4–MOB4 and MST1–MOB1 complexes on the proliferation and migration of PANC-1 cells. Our MTT assay showed that knockdown of either MST4 or MOB4 with short hairpin RNA (shRNA) markedly decreased the proliferation of PANC-1 cells, although depletion of both MST4 and MOB4 almost blocked the cell growth (Fig. 6A). Meanwhile, transwell assay showed that knockdown of either MST4 or MOB4 reduced PANC-1 cell migration; knockdown of both MST4 and MOB4 elicited a stronger inhibitory effect (Fig. 6B).

On the contrary, overexpression of either MST4 or MOB4 increased the proliferation of PANC-1 cells; coexpression of MST4 and MOB4 had an even larger promoting effect toward cell proliferation (Fig. 6C). However, the interface mutations (MST4–2TA and MOB4–3RA) disrupting the MST4–MOB4 complex abrogated its regulatory function (Fig. 6C). Similarly, overexpression of WT MST4 and/or MOB4, but not their 2TA and/or 3RA mutants, significantly enhanced the migration of PANC-1 cells (Fig. 6D). Together, these results indicate that MST4 and MOB4 cooperate with each other to promote the proliferation and migration of PANC-1 cells.

In contrast to the oncogenic role of the MST4–MOB4 complex, the MST1–MOB1 complex is expected to act as a tumor suppressor. Indeed, knockdown of MST1 and/or MOB1 significantly promoted the proliferation and migration of PANC-1 cells (Fig. 6E and F); overexpression of WT MST1 and/or MOB1, but not their mutants disabled for the complex formation (8), inhibited cell proliferation and migration (Fig. 6, G and H). Taken together, these results indicate that the MST4–MOB4 and MST1–MOB1 complexes have opposite biological functions despite their structural similarity.
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Considering the importance of MST1 in the Hippo–YAP pathway and PC progression (39–41), we postulated that MST4 might have a functional interplay with MST1 and thus regulate YAP activity in PC. To test this possibility, we first examined the transcription of the YAP target gene CTGF in PANC-1 cells with MST1/MST4 knockdown. As expected, knockdown of MST1 relieved its inhibition of YAP and therefore resulted in elevated mRNA level of CTGF; and knockdown of MST4 significantly decreased the expression of CTGF when compared with the control group (Fig. 7A). Moreover, the mRNA levels of the Hippo–YAP target genes (CTGF and BIRC5) are significantly increased in PANC-1 cells overexpressing MST4 or MOB4; coexpression of MST4 and MOB4 further enhanced such an effect (Fig. 7B). However, the mutants of MST4 and MOB4 impaired for complex formation failed to promote the transcription of CTGF and BIRC5 (Fig. 7B). These results suggest that the MST4–MOB4 complex indeed regulates the Hippo–YAP signaling.
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Figure 5. Clinical significance of MST4 and MOB4 in PC. A, MST4 and MOB4 mRNA levels in human healthy and PC tissue samples using previously published microarray database (GEO: GSE16515, n = 52). The horizontal lines in the scatter plot represent group medians. p values were determined by Student’s t test. B, GEPIA (53) correlation analysis of MST4 and MOB4 mRNA levels in PC patients. Correlation was determined by Pearson’s correlation based on the TCGA and GTEx datasets. C, survival curves of PC patients with high or low MST4/MOB4 expression based on the TCGA data. Grouped data obtained from http://www.proteinatlas.org/ (Please note that the JBC is not responsible for the long-term archiving and maintenance of this site or any other third party hosted site.) and survival curves were calculated using GraphPad Prism 7.0 according to the Kaplan-Meier method; survival analysis was performed using the log rank test. D, heat map for the expression levels of MST4, MOB4, and YAP, and YAP target genes BIRC5 and BCL2L1 in human healthy and PC tissue samples using previously published microarray database (GEO: GSE16515). E, correlation analysis between YAP and MST4 or MOB4 in patients with PC was determined by Pearson’s correlation based on the previously published microarray database (GEO: GSE16515). F, representative images of MST4 and MOB4 protein levels in human PC and their paired adjacent healthy tissues by IHC experiments. Scale bars, 20 μm. MST4 and MOB4 staining levels in healthy and PC tissues are indicated as negative (−), weak (+), moderate (++) and strong (+++), respectively. G, representative images of YAP and MST1 protein levels in human PC and their paired adjacent healthy tissues by IHC experiments. Scale bars, 20 μm. YAP and MST1 staining levels in healthy and PC tissues are indicated as negative (−), weak (+), moderate (++) and strong (+++), respectively. See also Fig. S6.
Given the structural similarity of the MST–MOB complexes and the alternative pairing of MST4–MOB4/MOB1 and MOB4–MST4/MST1 (Fig. 4, I and J), we reasoned that the MST4–MOB4 complex might dynamically assemble and disassemble to interfere the MST1–MOB1 complex, leading to YAP activation (Fig. 7C, model). To test this hypothesis, we assessed the potential effect of MST4 and MOB4 on the interaction between MST1 and MOB1. Our IP assay showed that...
WT MST4, but not its mutant disabled for complex formation, dose-dependently inhibits the binding of MST1 with MOB1 (Fig. 7D). Similarly, expression of WT MOB4, but not its mutant, also impairs the interaction between MST1 and MOB1 (Fig. 7E). Moreover, in vitro BLI experiments revealed the binding affinity between MST4 and MOB1A, as well as the affinity between MST1 and MOB4 to be comparable with that between MST1 and MOB1A (Fig. 7F and Fig. S7). Interestingly, the kinetics of the MST–MOB binding analyses indicated MOB4 associated and dissociated with MST1/4 kinases with a much higher rate (quicker) than did MOB1 with these kinases. Considering their increased abundances in PC, MST4 and MOB4 may readily disrupt the association between MST1 and MOB1 in tumor cells. Consistent with these observations, overexpression of MST4 or MOB4 rescues, in a dose-dependent manner, MST1-mediated inhibition of YAP-induced target genes' transcription (Fig. 7, G and H). Taken together, these results indicate that the MST4–MOB4 complex can disturb...
the assembly of the MST1–MOB1 complex, promoting YAP activity in PC.

Discussion

As the upstream core of the Hippo–YAP signaling pathway, MST1/2 kinases in complex with MOB1 suppress multiple cancer progression by inhibiting the activity of YAP (7, 8, 42–45). Here, we identified an MST4–MOB4 complex structurally analogous to the MST1/2–MOB1 complex. Despite their structural similarities, the MST4–MOB4 complex exerts an oncogenic role in PC, whereas the MST1–MOB1 complex shows a tumor suppressor effect. Up-regulated in PC, the MST4–MOB4 complex can interfere with the assembly of the MST1–MOB1 complex, and therefore relieve its inhibition of YAP.

The interaction between MST4 and MOB4 depends on the auto-phosphorylation of MST4 Thr-327 and Thr-328. Previously, a peptide library screening identified the optimal MOB1-binding sequence, which displayed a preference for hydrophobic residues in the +1 position and hydrophobic or basic residues in the +2 to +4 positions (46). The sequence of MST4 for MOB4 binding also matches this feature, which together with our structural and biochemical studies indicate a conserved assembly pattern between the MST and MOB proteins. Thus, the MST4–MOB4 and MST1–MOB1 complexes are likely evolved from the same origin with conserved structural assembly but divergent biological functions. In other words, the evolutionarily conserved MSTs–MOBs assembly can function differently or even in opposite directions.

Because of the structural similarity and conservation of key interface residues, MST4 can alternatively pair with either MOB4 or MOB1, and so can MOB4 with either MST4 or MST1. For example, structural and sequence analyses revealed that the three basic residues Arg-161, Arg-162, and Arg-165 of MOB4 critical for binding MST4 are highly conserved in MOB1 (Lys-153, Arg-154, and Arg-157). Therefore, MOB1 may utilize a similar manner to interact with MST4, although MOB4 could also bind to MST1 in a similar fashion. In contrast to the tumor suppressor effect of the MST1–MOB1 complex, the MST4–MOB4 complex promotes the proliferation and migration of PANc-1 cells. Moreover, expressions of both MST4 and MOB4 are significantly increased in PC and negatively correlated with patient survival, whereas MST1 is significantly down-regulated. In such context, the excessive MST4 and MOB4 can disturb the MST1–MOB1 complex by competitively pairing with MST1/MOB1. Consistent with this notion, MOB3A/B/C was reported to negatively regulate MST1 in glioblastoma multiforme cells through direct MOB3A/B/C-MST1 tethering (16).

The MST4 kinase may promote cell growth and modulate multiple cancer progression, yet the behind mechanisms is unclear (11, 23, 27, 28). At this stage, it remains to be addressed whether the MST4–MOB4 and MST1–MOB1 complexes have similar interplay in cancers other than PC. Moreover, besides MOB1 and MOB4, MST4 can also bind to MOB2 to a certain extent. In addition to MOB1, the MST1 kinase also binds MOB3A/B/C (8, 16). Thus, the selective pairing of MSTs–MOBs and their specific functions are perplexing and could be context-dependent. In this regard, the potential interplay among different MSTs and MOBs clearly warrants further investigation.

In summary, this work identified an MST4–MOB4 complex that structurally resembles but functionally antagonizes the MST1–MOB1 complex to positively regulate YAP activity. The divergent evolution of MST–MOB complexes highlights the intricate yet balanced regulation of the Hippo–YAP signaling.

Experimental procedures

Plasmids and antibodies

Full-length or truncated human MOB1A, MOB3A, and MOB4 were cloned into a modified pET-28a vector that includes an N-terminal tobacco etch virus (TEV) protease-cleavable His$_6$-SUMO tag. The coding region of MST1, MST2, MST3, MST4, STK25, and MOB2 was cloned into another modified pET-28a vector that includes an N-terminal TEV protease-cleavable maltose-binding protein (MBP) tag. For mammalian expression vectors, FLAG–MST4 has been described previously (12); HA–MOB1A was a kind gift from Professor Lei Zhang (Shanghai Institute of Biochemistry and Cell Biology, Shanghai, China). MST1 and YAP were cloned into pCDNA-3.1–3*FLAG vector, and MOB4 was cloned into pCDNA-3.0-HA vector. All lentivirus-mediated knockdown plasmids were constructed in a modified pLKO.1 vector. For lentivirus-mediated overexpression, MST1, MST4, MOB1A, and MOB4 were constructed into a pCDH1-MCS-CoGFP vector. All mutants were generated by site-directed mutagenesis. All constructs were verified by DNA sequencing.

Antibody specific for human MST4 was produced by Shanghai Immune Biotech Co., Ltd., and has been described previously (36). Antibody to FLAG (F3165) was from Sigma. Antibody to HA (rabbit, catalog no. 3724), MOB1 (catalog no. 13730), and MST1 (rabbit, catalog no. 3682, used in immunoblot analysis) was from Cell Signaling Technology. Antibody to MOB4 (sc-165980), HA (mouse, sc-7392), and MST1 (mouse, sc-515051, used for immunoprecipitation), and YAP (sc-271134) was from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. Goat anti-rabbit secondary antibody (catalog no. 31460) and goat anti-mouse secondary antibody (catalog no. 31430) were from ThermoFisher Scientific.

Cells

HEK293FT cells was obtained from Shanghai Life Academy of Sciences cell library (Shanghai, China), and PANc-1 cells were the kind gift from Professor Mofang Liu (Shanghai Institute of Biochemistry and Cell Biology, Shanghai, China) (47). All cells were maintained in DMEM supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS), 100 μg/ml penicillin, and 100 μg/ml streptomycin at 37 °C with 5% CO$_2$ in a humidified incubator (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA).

Protein expression and purification

All prokaryotic constructs were expressed in *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) CodonPlus cells by the induction of 0.5 mM isopropyl β-d-thiogalactopyranoside in Terrific Broth medium at 16 °C. For MOB4 proteins, cells were harvested by centrifugation 16 h post-induction and then resuspended with lysis buffer (20 mM...
**Coot** (CCP4 i2, and the structure was refined using phenix.refine and MicroCal Software).

**Bio-layer interferometer (BLI) analysis**

Interaction analysis was performed using an Octet Red 96 instrument at 25 °C (ForteBio) as described previously (12). WT MST4/MOB4(53–210) protein was labeled by biotin in 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, and biotinylated proteins were immobilized on streptavidin (SA) biosensors and incubated with varying concentrations of WT or mutated MOB4(53–210)/MST4 proteins in 1× kinetics buffer (1× PBS containing 0.01% BSA and 0.002% Tween 20). Data were analyzed using Octet Data Analysis Software 7.0 (ForteBio).

**ITC**

ITC experiments were conducted using an iTC200 instrument from Microcal at 25 °C. For calorimetric measurements, purified MOB4(33–215) was loaded into the ITC cell at a concentration of 100 μM, and synthetic pMST4 peptides in concentrations of 1 μM were auto-loaded into the syringe. All samples were in the same buffer containing 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl. Each titration included a single 0.4-μl injection followed by 19 sequential injections of 2-μl aliquots, with a spacing of 300 s between the injections, and stirring at 1000 rpm. Data were analyzed using the ORIGIN data analysis software (MicroCal Software).

**Immunoprecipitation and immunoblot analysis**

For immunoprecipitation experiments, whole-cell extracts of HEK293FT cells were collected 24 h after transfection or stimulation, and cells were lysed with RIPA buffer (150 mM NaCl, 100 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 1% Triton X-100, 5 mM EDTA, and 10 mM NaF) supplemented with 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride and the protease inhibitor mixture. After centrifugation at 12,000 rpm for 20 min at 4 °C, supernatants were collected and incubated overnight with the indicated antibodies together with protein A/G beads (Santa Cruz Biotechnology). After incubation, beads were washed and then eluted with SDS loading buffer and boiled. For immunoblot analysis, immunoprecipitates or whole-cell extracts were subjected to SDS-PAGE, transferred onto polyvinylidene difluoride membranes, and then detected with the indicated antibodies.

**MTT assay**

Cell proliferation rate was measured using the MTT assay according to the manufacturer’s instructions (BOSTER, Wuhan, China). Briefly, PANC-1 cells were first infected with lentivirus expressing the indicated proteins for 24 h and then re-seeded in triplicate in 96-well plates at a density of 3 × 10^3 cells per well in 100 μl of complete media. Every 24 h after re-seeding, MTT solution was added, and cells were cultured for an additional 4 h. Formazan dye was then solubilized by dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), and the absorbance was measured.

**Transwell migration assay**

PANC-1 cells were subjected to lentivirus-mediated infection for 24 h. For transwell migration assay, 2 × 10^4 cells were placed on the upper layer of a cell-permeable membrane. Following an incubation of 24 h, the cells that had migrated through the membrane were stained with crystal violet and
visualized by microscope. All fields were selected in a blind manner. Cells were further eluted by a buffer containing 50% ethanol and 0.1% acetic acid, and the absorptions were detected by spectrophotometer with a wavelength at 570 nm.

**Real-time PCR**

Real-time PCR was performed on a Step Two Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems) using the comparative Cq quantization method. Real-time PCR Master Mix (Toyobo) was used to detect and quantify the expression level of the target gene. GAPDH was used as an internal control. The primers used were as follows: CTGF, 5’-AAAAAGTGCATCGTACTCCCA-3’ (F), and 5’-CCGTCGGTACATCTCCACAG-3’ (R); BIRC5, 5’-AGGACCACCCGATCTCTACAT-3’ (F), and 5’-AAGTCTGGCTGTCTCGAGT-3’ (R); and GAPDH, 5’-GGGCTCACTGA-3’ (F), and 5’-GAGTTGGGTGTCGC-TGTTGAA-3’ (R), where F is forward; R is reverse.

**Tissue microarray and IHC staining**

PC and healthy tissue microarray (TMA) sections were prepared by Shanghai Outdo Biotech Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). This tissue array contains tissues from 30 human patients containing healthy pancreas and 30 patients with PC to examine the expression profiles of MST4, MOB4, MST1, or YAP by IHC. For IHC, TMA sections were incubated with anti-MST4 (1:100 dilution), anti-MOB4 (1:50 dilution), anti-MST1 (1:20 dilution), or anti-YAP (1:50 dilution) antibody. MST4, MOB4, MST1, and YAP staining were scored by two independent pathologists, blinded to the clinical characteristics of the patients. The scoring system was based on the staining intensity and extent. Staining intensity was classified as 0 (negative), 1 (weak), 2 (moderate), and 3 (strong). Staining extent depended on the percentage of positive cells and was divided into 0 (<5%), 1 (5–25%), 2 (26–50%), 3 (51–75%), and 4 (>75%). According to the staining intensity and the staining extent scores, the IHC result was classified as 0–1, negative (−); 2–4, weakly positive (+); 5–8, moderately positive (++); and 9–12, strongly positive (+++).

**Immunofluorescence assay**

Cells were grown on coverslips, washed once with PBS, and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde. After permeabilization, cells were blocked with 5% BSA and then incubated with primary antibodies. After three separate washes, cells were incubated with Alexa Fluor-conjugated secondary antibodies and then stained with DAPI. The coverslips were washed extensively and fixed on slides. Images were captured using a Leica laser-scanning confocal microscope (Leica TCS SP2 AOBS).

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed with the SAS statistical software package (9.1.3) and GraphPad Prism 7.0. Data are presented as means ± S.D. Student’s t test was used for continuous variables. Pearson’s coefficient test was used for correlation analysis. p values of less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

**Accession codes**

The structural coordinate of the pMST4–MOB4 complex was deposited in the PDB under the code 5YF4.
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