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Abstract

In this preliminary report, we present a simple but very effective technique to stabilize the training of CNN based GANs. Motivated by recently published methods using frequency decomposition of convolutions (e.g. Octave Convolutions), we propose a novel convolution scheme to stabilize the training and reduce the likelihood of a mode collapse. The basic idea of our approach is to split convolutional filters into additive high and low frequency parts, while shifting weight updates from low to high during the training. Intuitively, this method forces GANs to learn low frequency coarse image structures before descending into fine (high frequency) details. Our approach is orthogonal and complementary to existing stabilization methods and can simply plugged into any CNN based GAN architecture. First experiments on the CelebA dataset show the effectiveness of the proposed method.

1. Introduction

Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) have achieved remarkable success in many computer vision domains such as classification \cite{19, 18, 32}, semantic segmentation \cite{23, 31, 4}, object detection \cite{9, 30, 29} and image generation \cite{17, 10, 27}. The basic architectural design of a CNN starts processing on a high resolution input, where filters examine small local pieces. Through stacking many different types of layers, especially convolutional ones, the receptive fields slowly grow with depth, and eventually encompasses the entire input. Recent efforts have focus on improving the convolutional layers by reducing their inherent redundancy in both dense model parameters and in the channel dimension of feature maps \cite{12, 24, 6, 13, 15, 5}. Standard convolutional layers are the key element on such an architecture. They are designed to detect local conjunctions of features from the previous layer and mapping their appearance to a feature map, which have always the same spatial resolution. However, natural images can be factorized into a low frequency signal that captures the global layout and coarse structure, and a high frequency part that captures fine details. Attracted by the idea of having feature maps with different resolutions and breaking with standard convolutional layers, some works \cite{15, 5} have built schemes, on top of standard CNNs architecture, that have access to different frequency content within the same feature map.

In recent years, unsupervised learning with CNNs has received a lot of attention in computer vision applications. In particular, learning reusable feature representations from large unlabeled datasets has been a very active area of research. In the context of computer vision, it is possible to leverage the huge amount of unlabeled data (images and videos) to learn good intermediate representations, which can then be used on a wide variety of supervised learning tasks. One successful way to build good image representations is by training Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) \cite{10}. GANs have achieved state-of-the-art results at generating realistic and crispy sharp looking images.

Unlike other generative techniques \cite{17, 27} that model explicitly maximum likelihood, GANs provide an attractive alternative that allows to model implicitly the density. One can even argue that their learning process and the lack of a heuristic cost function are attractive to representation learning. Nonetheless, despite their success, GANs have a challenging unstable training and there is little to no theory explaining this behaviour. This makes it extremely hard to experiment with new variants, or to employ them in new domains, which drastically limits their applicability. In the literature, we encounter many current papers dedicated to finding heuristically stable architectures \cite{28, 14, 2, 20}, loss functions \cite{25, 1, 11} or regularization strategies \cite{26}.

In this paper, we propose to replace standard convolution with octave convolution \cite{5}. This replacement will have almost no impact on the architecture since octave convolution are orthogonal and complementary to existing methods that also focus on building better CNN topology. We apply our model to the CelebA \cite{22} dataset, and we demonstrate that by simply substituting the convolutional layers, we can consistently improve the performances leading to a more stable
training, reducing the mode collapse. Overall, our contributions are summarized as follows:

- We propose OC-GAN, a novel and generalizable scheme for generative adversarial network that leads to more stable trainings.
- We achieve to reduce spatial redundancy by using octave convolution, and therefore, the training experiments a speedup.
- By employing a set of different scheme baselines, we assess the stability of our proposal providing both quantitative and qualitative results on CelebA dataset.

2. Related Work

Most of the deep learning approaches in computer vision are based on standard CNNs. They have heavily contributed in semantic image understanding tasks including the aforementioned works and references therein. In this work, we look at image generation techniques and we briefly review the seminal work in that direction. In particular, we focus our attention on a set of well-known GANs and the impact of alternative convolutional layers on these models.

2.1. Generative Adversarial Networks

The goal of generative models is to match real data distribution $p_{data}$ with generated data distribution $p_{G}$.

Thus, minimizing differences between two distributions is a crucial point for training generative models. Goodfellow et al. introduced an adversarial framework (GAN) \[10\] which is capable of learning deep generative models by minimizing the Jensen-Shannon Divergence between $p_{data}$ and $p_{G}$.

This optimization problem can be described as a minmax game between the generator $G$, which learns how to generate samples which resemble real data, and a discriminator $D$, which learns to discriminate between real and fake data.

Throughout this process, $G$ indirectly learns how to model $p_{data}$ by taking samples $z$ from a fixed distribution $p_z$ (e.g. Gaussian) and forcing the generated samples $G(z)$ to match $p_{G}$. The objective loss function is defined as

$$\min_{G}\max_{D} \mathcal{L}(D, G) = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}} \left[ \log (D(x)) \right] + \mathbb{E}_{z \sim p_z} \left[ \log (1 - D(G(z))) \right]. \quad (1)$$

**Least-Squares GAN.** Least-Squares GAN (LSGAN) \[25\] also tries to minimize Pearson $X^2$ divergence between the real and the generated distribution. The standard GAN uses a sigmoid cross entropy loss for the discriminator to determine whether its input comes from $p_{data}$ and $p_{G}$. Nonetheless, this loss has an important drawback. Given a generated sample is classified as real by the discriminator, there would be no apparent reason for the generator to be updated even though the generated sample is located far from the real data distribution. In other words, sigmoid cross entropy loss can barely push such generated samples towards real data distribution since its classification role has been achieved. Motivated by this phenomenon, LSGAN replaces a sigmoid cross entropy loss with a least square loss, which directly penalizes fake samples by moving them close to the real data distribution.

**Wasserstein GAN.** Wasserstein GAN (WGAN) \[11\] suggests the Earth-Mover (EM) distance which is also called the Wasserstein distance, as a measure of the discrepancy between the two distributions. The benefit of the EM distance over other metrics is that it is a more sensible objective function when learning distributions with the support of a low-dimensional manifold. EM distance is continuous and differentiable almost everywhere under Lipschitz condition, which standard feed-forward neural networks satisfy. In order to enforce such a condition, weight clipping is used on each neural network layer. Its main idea is to clamp the weight to a small range, so that the Lipschitz continuity is guaranteed. Finally, since EM distance is intractable, it is converted in to a tractable equation via Kantorovich-Rubinstein duality with the Lipschitz function.

2.2. Convolutional Layers

Standard convolutional layers are designed to detect local conjunctions of features from the previous layer and mapping their appearance to a feature map which does not vary its spatial resolution at no time. Nevertheless, in ac-
Natural images can be factorized into a low frequency signal that captures the global layout and coarse structure, and a high frequency signal that captures fine details. Attracted by the idea of having feature maps with different resolution, recent works based on deep learning approaches [15, 5], have built on top of standard CNNs, architecture schemes that have access to different frequency content. A multigrid architecture is the idea suggested by [15] that has the intention of wiring cross-scale connections into network structure at the lowest level. In order to create such a topology, every convolutional filter extends spatially within grids \((h, w)\), across grids multiple scales \((s)\) within a pyramid, and over corresponding feature channels \((c)\). Building in this fashion, a combination of pyramids across the architecture \((h, w, s, c)\).

In a similar manner, given the input feature tensor of a convolutional layer \(X \in \mathbb{R}^{c \times h \times w}\), [5] suggest to factorize it along channel dimension into two groups, one for low frequencies and one for high frequencies \(X = \{X^H, X^L\}\) arguing that the subset of the feature maps that capture spatially low frequency changes, contains spatially redundant information. In order to reduce the spatial redundancy, they introduce the octave feature representation, which corresponds to a division of the spatial dimensions by 2.

### 3. Method

In the following section, we describe OC-GAN approach which addresses the integration of octave convolution in GANs and the employed architecture for our proposed method.

#### 3.1. Octave Convolution

The octave convolutional layers [5] split their feature maps into low frequency maps and high frequency maps \(X = \{X^H, X^L\}\). In this fashion, those parts of the image that change rapidly from one color to another (e.g. sharp edges) and contain fine details, are captured by \(X^H\). And those, who have parts that change gradually in the spatial dimensions (e.g. large surfaces with solid colors), are captured by the low frequency maps \(X^L\). In practice, to produce the two frequency blocks, the spatial dimensions of the \(X^L\) feature representation are divided by a factor of 2. This helps each convolutional layer to capture more contextual information from distant locations and can potentially improve recognition performances.

The price for having such a octave convolutional architecture is an additional hyper-parameter \(\alpha \in [0, 1]\) which denotes the ratio of low frequency part. Accordingly, the feature maps can be written as

\[
X^L \in \mathbb{R}^{\alpha c \times \frac{h}{2} \times \frac{w}{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad X^H \in \mathbb{R}^{(1-\alpha)c \times h \times w}. \tag{2}
\]

One of the benefits of the new feature representation is the reduction of the spatial redundancy and the compactness compared with the original representation. Furthermore, octave convolution enable efficient communication between the high and the low frequency component of the feature representation.

#### 3.2. Model Architecture

In our work, we have replaced in the architecture all the standard convolutional with the octave convolutional layers as in [5]. Such a change has almost no consequences on the architecture elements since it has been designed in a generic way making it a plug-and-play component. However, octave convolution has some impact on batch normalization layers. This regularization technique expects to have as input the same amount of activations from the feature maps. Because of the octave convolution nature, the size of feature maps will diverge between low and high frequency maps. To cope with this issue, two independent batch normalizations will be deployed, one for the low and one for the high frequency feature maps.

The new hyper-parameter \(\alpha\) plays an important role in our architecture since the variation of it involves a change of topology. Motivated by having a similar effect without altering the topology, we define a new set of two hyper-parameters \(\beta_L\) and \(\beta_H\). These \(\beta\)s allow also to control the influence of low and high frequency feature maps at epoch.
during training, without modifying the amount of feature maps. Indeed, they can be seen as an extension or substitution of \( \alpha \) by a weighting technique on the feature maps (see Figure 7). It can be written in the following manner

\[
\beta_L X_L^L \text{ and } \beta_H X_H^H.
\] (3)

4. Experiments

In this section, we present results for a series of experiments evaluating the effectiveness and efficiency of proposed OC-GAN. We first give a detailed introduction of the experimental setup. Then, we discuss the results on several variations of GAN, and finally we explore different configurations modifying the weight of low and high frequency feature maps accordingly. Code is available on Github: [https://github.com/cc-hpc-itwm/Stabilizing-GANs-with-Octave-Convolutions](https://github.com/cc-hpc-itwm/Stabilizing-GANs-with-Octave-Convolutions).

4.1. Experimental Settings

We train OC-GAN on the CelebFaces Attributes (CelebA) dataset [22]. It consists of 202,599 celebrity face images with variations in facial attributes. In training, we crop and resize the initially 178x218 pixel image to 128x128 pixels. All experiments presented in this paper have been conducted on a single NVIDIA GeForce GTX 1080 GPU, without applying any post-processing. Our evaluation metric is Fréchet Inception Distance (FID) [13], which uses the Inception-v3 network pre-trained on ImageNet to extract features from an intermediate layer. Then, we model the distribution of these features using a multivariate Gaussian distribution with mean \( \mu \) and covariance \( \Sigma \). This procedure is conducted for both real images \( x \) and generated images \( z \), and it can be written as

\[
FID(x, z) = \|\mu_x - \mu_z\|^2 + \text{Tr}(\Sigma_x + \Sigma_z - 2(\Sigma_x \Sigma_z)^{\frac{1}{2}}).
\] (4)

Lower FID is better, corresponding to more similar real and generated samples as measured by the distance between their feature distributions.
4.2. Training

In this subsection, we investigate the impact of replacing the standard convolution with octave convolution. We conduct a series of studies using well-known GAN baselines which we have not optimized towards the dataset since the main objective here is to verify the impact of the new convolutional scheme and not to defeat state-of-the-art score results. In particular, we constrain our experiments to three types of GANs: DCGAN, LSGAN and WGAN. All comparisons between the baseline methods and the proposals have the same training and testing setting. We use an Adam optimizer \([16]\) with \(\beta_1 = 0.5, \quad \beta_2 = 0.999\) during training in all the cases. We set the batch size to 64 and run the experiments for 50 epochs. We update the generator after every discriminator update, and the learning rate used in the implementation is 0.0002.

Standard Octave Convolution. First, we conduct a set of experiments to validate the effect of the octave convolution. Therefore, we set the \(\alpha\) to 0.5. We begin with using the baseline models and compute the FID after each iteration. Then, we repeat the same procedure but this time we train using the octave convolution on the models. Our results in Figure 2 show that in all three baselines, the octave model generates images of better or similar quality compared to the previous training. Moreover, we can observe the improvement of stability during training for the octave implementation.

Figure 3 depicts again the comparison between the vanilla DCGAN with the octave version. However, this time the plot includes an arbitrary set of samples which clearly show that these curves correlate well with the visual quality of the generated samples. Even more detailed and extended qualitative evaluations are presented in Figure 4, where numerous samples from all the baselines are displayed. Note that vanilla DCGAN and LSGAN start to suffer from mode collapse from epoch 25 forward. Thus, we choose epoch 20 to do a fair qualitative comparison as
Figure 6: The figures show the FID evolution along the training using different GAN implementation and their octave variants. The suffixes stand for the following: OCT octave convolution with \( \alpha = 0.5 \) (vanilla configuration), LOW octave convolution with \( \alpha = 0.99 \), RAMP octave convolution with \( \alpha = 0.5 \) and \( \beta \)s as in Figure 7a, and COMBI octave convolution with \( \alpha = 0.5 \) and \( \beta \)s as in Figure 7b.

It seems to be the optimal training epoch. We also show the final results (epoch 50), which support the stability claim held in this work.

**Feature Maps Weight Search.** In this second part of the experiments, we conduct an analysis of the impact of the low and high frequency feature maps. In order to verify how sensitive GANs are to these modifications, we start running a test for the three baselines, where we set \( \alpha \) to 0.99 (see Figure 5). By doing so, we get rid of all the high frequency maps, and as it is expected, the training shows constant stability since low frequencies do not contain big jumps or variations. On the other hand, surprisingly the score results are not dramatically worse than vanilla baselines (see Figure 6). Indeed, it is interesting to notice that both share a similar FID score evolution.

From the previous results, we notice the importance of hyper-parameter \( \alpha \). However, it is a well-known NP-hard problem to find the best topology in deep neural networks and in fact, it is an area of active research by itself [8, 21, 34]. As a consequence, we avoid to modify directly the topology by changing \( \alpha \). Driven by these observations, finally, we conduct a new series of experiments based on two new hyper-parameters \( \beta_L \) and \( \beta_H \). Indeed, they can be seen as an extension of \( \alpha \) because they will modify the feature maps too. Nonetheless, \( \beta \)s do not modify the amount of feature maps, but their weight. In Figure 7 are plotted two different strategies followed in the work. On the one hand, we implement a ramp scheme (see Figure 7a). The intuition behind is that low frequency signals that capture the global layout and coarse structure are learnt at the beginning, and after a certain time the high frequency parts that capture fine details, start to appear and gain more importance. Trying to capture such a behaviour, we deploy the ramp evolution. Nonetheless, this strategy might be too harsh as the role played by the low and high frequencies is too insignificant at certain training stages (see Figure 6). As a result, on the other hand, we implement a second weighting strategy called combination (see Figure 7b), which tries to be a trade-off between frequency components offering an optimized combination. In Figure 6 are shown the three baselines and their octave variants.

5. Conclusions

In this work, we tackle the problem of stability during GANs training. We propose a novel and simple framework coined as OC-GAN because of its octave convolution implementation. We show how this method is orthogonal and complementary to existing methods and leads to generate images of better or equal quality suppressing the mode collapse problem. We see many interesting avenues of future work including exploring Bayesian optimizations.

\[ \text{We cannot set } \alpha \text{ to 1 because of implementation issues. Nevertheless, the difference should be negligible.} \]
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