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ABSTRACT

Introduction. Adolescent idiopathic scoliosis (AIS) is a three-dimensional deformity of the spine. Brace treatment is effective for eligible patients with AIS and the effectiveness is significantly correlated with the average brace-wear time per day. Three-dimensional (3D) printing technology is a recent advancement that offers unique opportunities for biomedical applications, and customisation of scoliosis braces might lead to greater patient satisfaction and improved compliance. We present here the design of a randomised controlled trial on the clinical effectiveness of 3D-printed braces versus thoracolumbosacral orthoses (TLSO) for patients with AIS.

Methods and analysis. Patients with AIS (age 10–16 years) with Risser sign 0-II, Cobb angle of main curve of 20°–40°, premenarchal or no more than 1-year postmenarchal (for women), and no history of treatment are eligible, unless they are unable to tolerate the treatment or refuse participation. A total of 88 patients will be randomised into either the 3D group or TLSO group on a 1:1 basis. Participants in the 3D group will choose between a 3D-printed brace and TLSO, according to the Zelen’s design of the trial. Primary outcome measures will include the average brace-wear time per day, health-related quality of life and Cobb angle progression of the primary curve. Secondary outcome measures will include immediate in-brace correction of Cobb angle of the primary curve, rate of conversion to surgery and incidence of any adverse events. This study is designed as a single-centre, two-arm, superiority and open-label randomised controlled trial. The sample size is calculated with reference to the preliminary study and based on the sample size calculation formula.

Ethics and dissemination. This study was approved by the Peking University Third Hospital Medicine Science Research Ethics Committee (No: 2019-017-02). Results of the trial will be submitted for publication in a peer-reviewed journal and as conference presentations.

Trial registration number. ChiCTR1900027379, pre-results.

INTRODUCTION

Adolescent idiopathic scoliosis (AIS) is a three-dimensional (3D) deformity of the spine and discovered at the age of 10 or later.
also demonstrated a lower rate of failure of treatment at Italian Scientific Spine Institute than Bracing in Adolescent Idiopathic Scoliosis Trial, primarily explained by longer average hours of brace wear.\(^9\) The reported failure rate (progression of AIS warranting surgery) varied widely, ranging from 0% to 79% after bracing.\(^6\) Many factors might have an impact on patient compliance with brace treatment, including a negative cosmetic appearance, physical and functional discomfort resulting from pressure points, humidity and restriction of movement. It is important to develop an ideal brace with biomechanical effectiveness, which will encourage better compliance for patients with AIS.

In recent years, many studies have focused on the computer-aided design and manufacturing system (CAD/CAM) for the design and manufacture of braces for scoliosis. It can achieve clinical outcomes similar to those with conventional methods.\(^{12-17}\) CAD/CAM system was introduced for better customisation of braces in 2004.\(^{18}\) Trunk surface acquisition can be performed using a surface topography system, which provides basic information for the brace design and production.\(^{19}\) However, incomplete consideration of all AIS curve types, and the increased cost and time required might still be the limitations in the clinical setting.\(^{15}\) 3D printing is a recent advancement that offers unique opportunities for biomedical applications, with the advantages of customisable results, speedy design and low cost.\(^{20}\) Its use in customisation of scoliosis braces might lead to greater patient satisfaction and improved compliance. In this randomised clinical trial, we aim to compare the 3D-printed brace with TLSO with respect to the compliance, health-related quality of life (HRQoL), Cobb angle progression of the primary curve, immediate in-brace correction of Cobb angle of primary curve, rate of conversion to surgery and incidence of any adverse events. Topography and CAD/CAM system will be used with 3D printing technology to design and produce a customised brace, which can be lighter, more aesthetic and comfortable.

**Aims and objectives**

The objective of this trial is to compare the clinical effectiveness between 3D-printed brace and conventional TLSO, and we aim to provide clinical evidence about the application of 3D printing technology in brace design and manufacture.

**METHODS AND ANALYSIS**

**Study design**

This study is planned to be a prospective, two arm, superiority and open-label randomised controlled trial (RCT) (figure 1).

Zelen’s design\(^{21}\) will be used for allocation and randomisation. There will be two groups, TLSO group (control group) and 3D group (experimental group). The participants enrolled in the TLSO group will be prescribed a conventional TLSO after obtaining informed consent for the observational study. Participants in the 3D group will be allowed to choose between a TLSO and a 3D-printed brace, after obtaining informed consent for the clinical trial according to the Zelen’s design. Patients will be assessed at admission, immediately after wearing the brace, 2 weeks later, and every 6 months until bone maturity or failure of brace treatment. Statistical analysis will be performed between the outcomes of 3D and TLSO groups. Risser sign of 4 (Risser 5 for boys) will be regarded as endpoint for bone maturity. Main curve >45° or need for surgery will be defined as endpoint of failure.

**Recruitment and informed consent**

This single-centre study will be conducted in Peking University Third Hospital (PUTH). Eligible patients with AIS will be recruited from the outpatient unit of PUTH. The researchers will obtain informed consent for the clinical trial from the 3D group and for an observational study from the TLSO group after randomisation. According to Zelen’s design, participants in the 3D group can choose between a 3D-printed brace and a TLSO. After enrolment, participants will be coded as a unique number and general information will be collected.

**Eligibility**

Inclusion criteria:
a. Diagnosis of AIS.
b. Age between 10 and 16 years.
c. Risser sign 0-II.
d. Cobb angle of main curve between 20° and 40°.
e. No prior treatment.
f. Premenarchal or no more than 1-year postmenarchal for female patients.

Exclusion criteria:
a. Secondary scoliosis.
b. Unable to tolerate the brace.
c. Patients or guardians refuse to participate in the study for any reason.

Randomisation
Participants recruited from the outpatient clinic in PUTH will be randomised to either the 3D group or the TLSO group via a random number system. All patients in the 3D group will be considered as participants in the experimental group even if they opt for TLSO, according to the Zelen’s design. Randomisation to the experimental group (3D) and control group (TLSO) will be on a 1:1 basis.

Blinding
Participants and treating physicians will not be blinded to the interventions. According to Zelen’s design of the trial, patients randomised to the 3D group will choose between a 3D-printed brace and TLSO. Therefore, a patient receiving TLSO might either be from the 3D group who has opted for a TLSO or from the TLSO group. Thus, the orthotist will be blinded to the result of randomisation even when he or she is customising the TLSO for the patient. The data manager and radiologists will be blinded to the trial.

Interventions
Braces
This trial will involve two braces: TLSO and 3D-printed brace. These two types of brace will be made by the same brace room in PUTH. Trunk surface acquisition (Captevia) and CAD/CAM system (Rodin4d) will be used for the 3D-printed brace (figure 2). Parameters and radiograph for the 3D-printed brace will be obtained at admission. Participants will be asked to come back for the fitting of 3D-printed brace 1 week later, after completion of the design and manufacturing. CAD/CAM technology will be applied with the preparation of a positive and then thermoforming for TLSO manufacture. Temperature data logger (HOBO 64K Pendant-UA-001-64, Onset Computer) will be implanted in both, 3D-printed brace and TLSO to log the date, time and temperature every 15 min. The raw data will be downloaded at follow-up and the battery will be replaced every 6 months. It will then be maintained for continuing the data collection. Participants will be informed about the logger for monitoring the temperature of the skin so that we can evaluate the fitness of brace; however, they will not be informed about the time logger. To reduce the chances of patient’s awareness about the presence of time logger, self-reported compliance will also be required from the patients or guardians via a time record software (Brace Former) on the mobile phone, which can record the exact brace-wearing time every day and create a time curve automatically. Compliance outcome from the data logger will be statistically analysed. This approach was approved by our ethics committee. Moreover, the temperature data logger (2.3 in (5.8 cm)×1.3 in (3.3 cm)×0.9 in (2.3 cm)) is small and would not be obstructive to the patient.

Assessment and management
All participants will be assessed at admission, including physical examination and general condition. X-rays will be taken for all participants at admission, immediately after in-brace, and every 6 months until bone maturity or failure. We set a 6-month interval to minimise radiation exposure. Compliance and HRQoL data will be maintained at 2 weeks after in-brace and every 6 months until bone maturity or failure (table 1). We will check any potential issues with the brace and make necessary adjustments at 2 weeks after in-brace.

A form for clinical evaluation including height, and chest, shoulder and back asymmetry will be completed by the clinicians at admission and follow-up. Full-time rigid bracing (20–24 hours per day) will be applied and prescribed for the participants. Physiotherapeutic scoliosis-specific exercise will be taught to all the patients. X-rays including lateral and posteroanterior radiographs of the spine (including both full iliac crests) will be taken at the first in-brace and out of the brace at admission and follow-up. Generally, participants would not take off the brace until radiographic examination and will wear it immediately after.

Outcome measurements
Primary outcomes
Compliance
Except for the data logger monitoring, self-reported compliance will be required from the patients or guardians using a time record software (Brace Former) on the mobile phone. Compliance from the data logger will be statistically compared between the 3D and TLSO groups as primary outcome.
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Table 1  Time schedule

| Interventions   | Admission | In-brace | 2 weeks | half-yearly |
|-----------------|-----------|----------|---------|-------------|
| Clinical evaluation | ✓         | ✓        | ✓       | ✓           |
| Brace prescription | ×         |          |         |             |
| Compliance and HRQoL | ✓         | ✓        | ✓       |             |
| Radiographs     | ×         | ×        | ✓       |             |

HRQoL, health-related quality of life.

Health-related quality of life
Scoliosis Research Society (SRS) HRQoL outcome assessment tool (SRS-22 Questionnaire) will be used. SRS-22 Questionnaire includes not only the physical health, but also the emotional and psychosocial factors. Chinese version of the SRS-22 has been verified for clinical use. Moreover, Brace Questionnaire (BrQ) has demonstrated good clinical validity for patients with AIS. In this study, the Chinese version of BrQ will also be used for additional comparison with SRS-22. HRQoL including SRS-22 and BrQ will be compared between the groups as the primary outcome.

Cobb angle progression
Curve progression above 5° will be measured as the binary outcome. Cobb angle progression of the primary curve will be statistically analysed as the primary outcome.

Secondary outcomes
Immediate correction
All participants will undergo radiographic examination immediately after in-brace (figure 3). Immediate in-brace correction has been reported to be correlated with long-term effectiveness of brace treatment. The immediate in-brace correction of Cobb angle of the primary curve will be measured as the secondary outcome.

Rate of conversion to surgery
Main curve over 45° will be recommended for surgery in our study. Rate of conversion to surgery in two groups will be analysed as a secondary outcome.

Incidence of adverse events
All adverse events resulting from use of the brace will be monitored, including any skin problem, intolerable pain, pressure ulcer, dyspnoea, drop-out from our study and so on. Necessary adjustments for the brace will be made by the orthotists immediately. Incidence of any adverse events will be compared between the two groups as a secondary outcome.

Data management
Each participant will receive a unique number and all data will be associated with this number. Data entry, transfer and subsequent maintenance will be performed by a data manager, who is blinded to the allocation. All data including baseline information, type of brace, radiographic outcome and follow-up will be secured in PUTH and the access to the research team will be restricted.

Patient and public involvement
Patients or public were not involved in the design, conduct, reporting, or dissemination plans of our research.

Safety monitoring and adverse events
Participants in this study will receive either a TLSO or 3D-printed brace. Professional physicians will assist in the design, production and wear process. All participants receiving the 3D-printed brace will be evaluated 40 min after in-brace for the skin condition, to check for a likely pressure ulcer. Moreover, all expected or unexpected adverse events from this study will be recorded and monitored. Patients suffered from any adverse events related to brace will also receive free treatment. Regular telephone contact with all participants will be maintained by the assistants once a month. There will be a fast channel in PUTH for the emergency or abnormal sensations requiring the clinician’s intervention.

Sample size calculation
The sample size is calculated with reference to the preliminary study and based on the sample size calculation formula. According to the semistructured interviews of eight patients in the preliminary study, the compliance associated with 3D-printed brace was 50% higher than that with conventional TLSO. The success rate of brace treatment can reach 90% in patients who strictly follow recommendation and less than 70% in patients who do not. We will recruit a total of 88 patients for two groups. The sample size is based on a two-sided t-test, a type I error at 0.05 and type II error at 0.2 considering a 1:1 allocation rate and a drop-out rate of 20%.

Statistical analysis
The baseline characteristics of all participants will be summarised by groups, and presented as means (SD)
for continuous variables, and count (%) for categorical variables. Statistical analyses in this study will be performed following the intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis. ITT analysis is usually required for Zelen’s design. We will also perform a per-protocol analysis including all the patients and consider the worst-case scenario by including drop-out as failures. Patients in the 3D group will be considered as participants in experimental group even when they choose TLSO. Curve progression, rate of conversion to surgery and any adverse events will be measured as binary outcomes. Compliance and immediate correction of Cobb angle of the primary curve will be measured as continuous outcomes in our design. Non-parametric test will be used for analysing the HRQoL outcomes including SRS-22 and BrQ. Non-parametric test or t-test will be performed for continuous outcomes according to the distribution. X² test and logistical regression model will be used for analysing the binary outcomes. A value of p<0.05 will be considered as statistically significant. All analyses will be performed using the SPSS V.20.0 software, by a researcher blinded to the recruitment and data collection.

**Ethics and dissemination**

This trial has been approved by PUTH Medical Science Research Ethics Committee (No: 2019-017-02) and registered on chictr.org. Informed consent will be obtained differently between two groups in this study, observational study for TLSO group and clinical trial for 3D group according to Zelen’s design. Results of the trial will be submitted for publication in a peer-reviewed journal and as conference presentations.

**DISCUSSION**

We have presented the rationale and design of a prospective RCT to compare the outcomes of 3D-printed brace with conventional TLSO in patients with AIS. The planned RCT will focus on the impact on patients and radiographic outcomes of two braces to explore the clinical effectiveness of using the 3D printing technology in brace treatment for patients with AIS.

In most previous studies of brace for AIS, the outcome was evaluated on radiography, which cannot provide adequate information about the impact of brace on patients. Adolescence is a transitional period involving both physical growth and psychological instability. An externally visible brace might further challenge the compliance of patients with AIS. A literature review by Negrini et al mentioned that classical RCTs for brace treatment are limited currently due to the difficulty in randomisation and the lack of attention towards the problems associated with wearing the brace including QoL, disability, back pain and others. In this trial, we will measure compliance (average time of brace wear per day), HRQoL (SRS-22 and BrQ) and Cobb angle progression of primary curve as the primary outcomes. This trial, following the Zelen’s design, will include no non-interventional group, to increase the acceptability of randomisation among the participants. SRS criteria for AIS brace studies recommended that the assessment of effectiveness of brace should include the percentage of curve progression, the percentage of curves exceeding 45° and patients who have been recommended or have undergone surgery. We will measure curve progression above 5° as the primary outcome and the rate of conversion to surgery (usually recommended for main curve above 45°) as the secondary outcome in this study. Additionally, it is worth mentioning that the team approach, doctors, physios and certified prosthetist/orthotist can also play an important role in patient compliance, which has been already reported.
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