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Abstract
We study the stability of charged rotating black holes in a consistent truncation of type IIB supergravity on $\text{AdS}_5 \times S^5$ that degenerate to extremal black holes with zero entropy. These black holes have scaling properties between charge and angular momentum similar to those of Fermi surface-like operators in a subsector of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM. By solving the equation of motion for a massless scalar field in this background, using matched asymptotic expansion followed by a numerical solution scheme, we are able to compute its quasi-normal modes, and analyze its regime of (in)stability. We find that the black hole is unstable when its angular velocity with respect to the horizon exceeds $1$ (in units of $1/l_{\text{AdS}}$). A study of the relevant thermodynamic Hessian reveals a local thermodynamic instability which occurs at the same region of parameter space. We comment on the endpoints of this instability.

PACS numbers: 04.65.+e, 04.50.Gh

(Some figures may appear in colour only in the online journal)

1. Introduction
The AdS/CFT (conformal field theory) correspondence, first formulated by Maldacena [1], has been used to study the microscopic degrees of freedom of black holes, which are known to possess a large entropy. Indeed, significant progress was made in understanding the detailed properties of supersymmetric (SUSY) black holes, via their CFT duals. However, the case of little or no supersymmetry is much less understood, and it is not always possible to pinpoint the microscopic duals of black holes.

The most studied example of AdS/CFT correspondence is the duality between type IIB string theory on $\text{AdS}_5 \times S^5$ and $\mathcal{N} = 4 SU(N)$ super Yang–Mills (SYM), and it is also the one we have in mind in this paper. We will be interested in black holes that have angular momentum in a two-plane, which we denote by $J_\phi$, and two equal charges $Q_1 = Q_2 \equiv Q$. 


Both the angular momentum in the transverse two-plane and the third $U(1)$ charge are set to zero. The extremal limit of these black holes has zero entropy. For a specific one-dimensional set of $Q$ and $J_\phi$, the extremal limit has 1/16 of SUSY preserved, but other than this measured zero set, no SUSY is restored.

The fact that these black holes have zero entropy, and the details of the scaling relations between angular momentum and charge (in the large charges limit) makes them ideal candidates for Fermi surfaces in the dual $\mathcal{N} = 4$ superconformal field theory. Indeed, in [2] we made a conjecture regarding a specific CFT dual configuration, relying on the structure of closed subsectors—the $PSU(1, 1|2)$ sector—of the dual theory. In order to support the conjecture, we studied the spectrum of scalar perturbations, and it was shown to match our expectations when the black holes are fast rotating and close to extremality. In some more detail, the appropriate dual was conjectured to be an effectively 1+1 chiral Fermi surface, and the spectrum of the quasi-normal modes (QNMs) of the scalar above matched the expected dimension from the CFT.

Further understanding of the proposed duality requires a better understanding of the phase diagram on both sides. This paper presents an analysis of the stability, or more precisely instability, of these black hole backgrounds, and shows that they are prone to two types of instabilities—superradiant instability and thermodynamic instability—in part of the parameter space of $J_\phi$ and $Q$. We work in the double limit of large charge/angular momentum and vanishing temperature, taking first the charge to infinity and only then the temperature to zero. In addition, we discuss the near-horizon limit of these black holes. The extremal black hole has a pinching $AdS_3$ orbifold near horizon, as discussed in [3, 4], where it was shown to appear in the extreme Kerr black hole in $AdS_5$, when one of the angular momenta is set to zero.

To check for the existence of a superradiant instability, we add a massless scalar to the black hole background and perform a linear stability analysis. This scalar, which is the 10D (ten-dimensional) axion–dilaton, can be added within a consistent truncation of the type IIB theory on $S^5$. We compute the QNMs of the scalar in the black hole background numerically for different values of parameters in the above-mentioned limit.

To the best of our knowledge, linear stability analysis has not been performed for these black holes. However, there have been several studies aimed at computing the scalar field QNMs of rotating black holes in $AdS$. Analytical results have been obtained, but only for slow-rotating small black holes (as compared to the $AdS$ radius), where one can neglect the effect of the black hole far away from it. The analysis was carried out in $D = 4$ [5] and $D = 5$ [6]. The fast-rotating large black hole regime has proven more difficult to explore, even numerically [7].

At the linear level, the equation of motion for the added scalar is that of a minimally coupled massless scalar field. The equation is separable, reducing it from a single PDE to a pair of coupled ODEs, a radial and an angular one. For a generic choice of the black hole’s parameters, these are both Heun equations, i.e., second-order regular differential equations having four regular singular points. In the fast-rotation limit, two of the singularities in the angular equation merge. Then, using a matched asymptotic analysis developed in [8, 2], with some modifications, we are able to solve this equation. The solution yields the separation constant in terms of the complex frequency of the scalar. Finally, we use the continued fraction method (CFM) to solve the radial equation numerically and find eigenfrequencies.

It was shown in [9] that for Kerr–$AdS$ black holes there can be no superradiance in $AdS_5$ if the angular velocity at the horizon, denoted $\Omega_\phi$, is smaller than 1. For $\Omega_\phi > 1$, on the other hand, one expects the appearance of superradiance, which in an $AdS$ background renders the black hole unstable. Our expectation is that this remains true in the large charge/angular momentum limit, so that the black hole becomes superradiant at $\Omega_\phi > 1$. However, in the
large charge/large angular momentum limit of our black holes, the angular momentum is parametrically larger than the charge and $\Omega \to 1$ for all black holes in this limit. We therefore need to examine more closely from which side it approaches 1, as a function of the subleading charge.

Parameterizing by $\alpha$ the ratio between the angular momentum and the charge squared, $\alpha = \frac{N^2 J}{Q^2}$, we have, close enough to extremality, $\Omega_\phi < 1$ when $\alpha < 2$ and $\Omega_\phi > 1$ when $\alpha > 2$. For $\alpha = 2$, $\Omega_\phi = 1$ and the extremal black hole satisfies an SUSY BPS bound, i.e., the SUSY BPS black holes divides the parameter space into two regions with very distinct features, with expected stability on one side and instability on the other. Indeed, our numerical analysis verifies this picture and we find no superradiant instability for $\alpha \leq 2$. For $\alpha > 2$, instability is possible only for modes with very large values of angular momentum, $m_\phi \approx \sqrt{J_\phi/N^2}$ of the scalar. For such values, the perturbative expansion we used to obtain the solution is under lesser control. However, the application of the solution in this case displays superradiance accompanied by an instability.

Next we turn to the issue of a thermodynamic instability. To determine whether the black hole is thermodynamically stable we have analyzed the eigenvalues of the thermodynamic Hessian. For $\Omega_\phi < 1$, we find that the black hole is thermodynamically stable, i.e. all eigenvalues of the Hessian are positive. For $\Omega_\phi > 1$, there is one negative eigenvalue corresponding to the unstable direction, characterized by an equal change in energy and angular momentum and no change in the charge. We thus find that both thermodynamic and superradiant instabilities are present for black holes with $\Omega_\phi > 1$, and no instability is observed for black holes with $\Omega_\phi \leq 1$. This is the reminiscent of the Gubser–Mitra conjecture, but for rotating black holes [10]. We will return to this point in the summary and discussion section.

The outline of this paper is as follows. In section 2, we briefly review the stability problem for black holes, specifically focusing on the phenomenon of superradiance and superradiant instability. Section 3 is dedicated to describing the black hole background and examining its extremal limit, followed by a discussion of the near-horizon limit of such geometries and a short description of the CFT dual. In section 4, we solve the angular equation using an asymptotic matching technique, and set up a numerical procedure for solving the radial equation using the CFM. The results of the computation are presented in section 5, where we compute several QNMs using the method described here. In section 6, we analyze the thermodynamic instabilities of the rapidly rotating black hole. Finally, the results, along with possible end-points for the instabilities, are discussed in section 7.

2. Black hole stability

The stability of black holes is the subject of extensive research. Usually, the question of stability is divided into two parts: classical stability and quantum stability. The former can be studied in general relativity, usually in linear perturbation theory with higher orders for zero modes. The latter can be understood using semiclassical methods such as black hole thermodynamics. In this paper, we discuss both types of (in)stability, with emphasis on classical stability.

2.1. Classical stability

One usually studies the classical stability of black hole backgrounds by using the linearized equations of motion, within the low-energy effective action, for whatever fields are present in the theory. While some works have attempted to solve the full nonlinear stability problem
and find the final stable configuration, this is usually a very difficult task which we shall not discuss here.

In order to analyze the linear stability of black holes, one first writes the linearized equations of motion around the black hole background for the different fields present in the (super)gravity background. Typically, this system is not tractable analytically or numerically (with any reasonable amount of effort). However, in some cases, the various equations decouple, so that the stability can be assessed for each field (or combination of fields) separately. As black holes are time independent, one can then look for the eigenmodes of time translation for each field on this background. Since we are dealing with a dissipative system, as all waves have to be incoming at the event horizon, these are not normal modes, and are rather called QNMs, having complex eigenvalues under the time translation symmetry (for a review of QNMs and their role in black hole physics see [11]). Stability follows directly from the sign of the imaginary part of these eigenvalues—in our convention, when the sign is positive, the perturbation decays, and when it is negative, the perturbation grows, signaling an instability.

One of the most well-studied instabilities of this type is the superradiant instability, occurring in charged or rotating black holes. The black holes discussed in this paper are both charged and rotating, and hence this phenomenon merits special attention.

2.1.1. Superradiant instability. The phenomenon of superradiance allows the extraction of energy from a black hole by scattering specific modes, whose reflection coefficient from the event horizon is larger than 1. It was first discovered by Zel’dovich [12], who noticed that a conducting cylinder rotating about its axis with angular velocity $\Omega_1$ can amplify electromagnetic modes impinging on it which satisfy $\omega - m \phi / \Omega_1 < 0$, with $m$ being the angular quantum number and $\omega$ the eigenmode’s frequency. This process thus extracts rotational energy from the cylinder. There have been many results identifying superradiance in different types of black holes.

- Bekenstein [13] has shown that if the area theorem holds then modes satisfying $\Re \omega < m_\phi \Omega_\phi$ will lead to the extraction of energy from the black hole. Here, $m_\phi$ is the conserved quantum number of the azimuthal angle $\phi$, with respect to a non-rotating frame at infinity. Also, we denote by $\Omega_\phi$ the angular velocity of the black hole at the event horizon, defined as the coefficient of $\partial_\phi$ in the Killing vector which becomes null on the horizon, $l = \partial_/ t + \Omega_\phi \partial_/ \phi$. It was then shown by direct computation that indeed scalar field modes satisfying $\Re \omega < m_\phi \Omega_\phi$ impinging on the black hole are superradiant [14]. The same can be shown for gravitational and electromagnetic perturbations [15].

- Consequentially, it can be shown that given boundary conditions that correspond to reflecting the superradiant modes back onto the black hole, say by placing it in a box, the black hole will grow unstable. This is referred to in the literature as the ‘Black Hole Bomb’ [16]. One thus expects black holes in an AdS background with large enough angular velocity to be unstable due to superradiance.

- Indeed, one can also show by explicit computation that in small slowly rotating Kerr–AdS black holes in AdS4, a scalar field mode is unstable iff it is superradiant [5]. This result has been obtained for gravitational perturbations as well [17]. These solutions have been obtained by solving the angular equation perturbatively about the flat-space solutions, using the slow rotation and small size of the black holes. Similar analysis has been performed for charged rotating AdS5 black holes [6]. When these conditions are not satisfied, an analytic solution is typically not available, yet several numerical methods have been used to find QNMs, displaying instabilities in some cases (e.g. [7]).
In this paper, we explore black holes in an asymptotically $\text{AdS}_5$ spacetime, which are rotating with angular momentum scaled to $\infty$ (around one of the rotation planes). The size of these black holes is comparable to $l_{\text{AdS}}$. For such black holes, there are no known analytical solutions for the QNMs. There has been an attempt to solve the linearized equation of motion numerically for the Kerr–$\text{AdS}$ black hole, and even in this case one encounters problems in the fast-rotation regime [7]. Here, we apply a technique introduced in [8] and refined in [2] to find semi-analytically the QNMs for fast-rotating black holes in $\text{AdS}_5$.

2.2. Semiclassical instabilities

Black hole thermodynamics goes back to Bekenstein’s and Hawking’s seminal works [18, 19]. The thermodynamic effects in black hole backgrounds can be studied using semiclassical calculations. There are two types of instabilities which are discussed in this context.

- A gravitational solution may not be a local minimum of the free energy, in which case it is thermodynamically unstable. The prime example of this is the Schwarzschild black hole. A generalization of this for multi-charged black holes in various dimensions has also been studied (e.g. [20]). Specifically, such thermodynamic instabilities manifest themselves as the non-positive modes of the thermodynamic Hessian

$$H_{mn} = -\frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial x^m \partial x^n},$$

(1)

where $x_m$ are the extensive variables describing the black holes, for example $E$, the total energy, $Q$, the electric charge and $J$, the angular momentum. While this computation is straightforward in simple backgrounds, such as Schwarzschild and Kerr, it becomes more complex as charges and angular momenta are added in more complicated gravity theories.

- **Global instabilities**: these occur when at least two gravitational backgrounds with the same charges exist, and are local minima of the free energy. In this case, the relevant free energy may be lower for one of them compared to the other, rendering it the stable configuration, with the other being metastable. If, in some region of the parameter space, these roles are reversed, then a phase transition will occur. The most well-known example of this phenomenon is the Hawking–Page phase transition [21] which has been given a beautiful interpenetration within the framework of $\text{AdS}/\text{CFT}$, relating it to the confining/deconfining phase transition in the dual gauge theory [22].

3. The black hole

3.1. Description of the black hole solution

The notations in this paper follow closely those of [23]. We work within the consistent truncation of type IIB supergravity on $\text{AdS}_5 \times S^5$ described in [24]. The field content comprises of the metric, two neutral scalars and three Abelian $U(1)$ vector fields. It can also be consistently coupled to a dilaton and an axion, which are massless, as was discussed in [24] and later used in [2]. The bosonic part of the supergravity Lagrangian is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = \sqrt{-g} \left[ R - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=1}^{2} (\partial \varphi_a)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left( 4g^2 X_i^{-1} - \frac{1}{4} X_i^{-2} \mathcal{F}_{ij}^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}_{ij} \right) + \frac{1}{24} |\epsilon_{ijk}| \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^{ij} \mathcal{F}_{\rho\sigma}^{ij} A^k \right]$$

(2)
The event horizon is now located at $r = r_0$, the largest root of
\[
\Delta(r) = \frac{x^2X}{r^2}.
\] (13)

The angular velocity around the $\phi$ direction at the event horizon, with respect to the asymptotically non-rotating AdS space, is given by
\[
\Omega_\phi = \frac{a(1 + g^2 (r_0^2 + \frac{2m^2}{r_0^2})))}{a^2 + r_0^2 + \frac{2m^2}{r_0^2}}.
\] (14)

Conversion to natural field-theoretic units, where $Q_1 = Q_2 = Q$ and $Q_3$ are the cartans of $SO(6)$ and $J_\phi$, $J_\psi$ are half-integer quantized, is performed using the relation [26]
\[
\pi l_{\text{AdS}}^2 = \frac{N^2}{4G_5}.
\] (15)
In terms of these units, the black hole’s charges are [23]

\[ J_0 = \frac{\pi}{4G} \frac{2ma(1 + s_1^2)}{E_a^3} = N^2 g^3 \frac{ma(1 + s_1^2)}{E_a^3} \] (16)

\[ Q_1 = Q_2 \equiv Q = \frac{\pi}{4G} \frac{2ms_1c_1}{E_a} = N^2 g^3 \frac{ms_1c_1}{E_a} \] (17)

\[ E = \frac{\pi}{4G} \frac{m[(2(g^4a^4 + \Sigma_a + 1) + g^2a^2(\Sigma_a - 2))x_1^2 + \Sigma_a + 2]}{\Sigma_a} \] (18)

\[ S = \frac{2}{4G} \frac{\sqrt{r_0^2 - \frac{4}{3} ms_1^2 (a^2 + \frac{3}{2} ms_1^2 + r_0^2)}}{\Sigma_a} \]

\[ = N^2 g^3 \frac{\sqrt{r_0^2 - \frac{4}{3} ms_1^2 (a^2 + \frac{3}{2} ms_1^2 + r_0^2)}}{\Sigma_a} \] (19)

\[ T = \frac{r_0 (\partial_\Delta) \sqrt{r_0^2 - \frac{4}{3} ms_1^2}}{4\pi (a^2 + r_0^2 + \frac{3}{2} ms_1^2) (r_0^2 - \frac{4}{3} ms_1^2)} \] (20)

Here, \( r_0 \) is again the radius at which the event horizon is located. In the rest of this paper, we set \( l = 1/g = 1 \). One can restore these factors using dimensional analysis.

### 3.2. The near extreme and the fast-rotation limits

First, we briefly analyze the extremal limit of the black hole. In order to find the location of the horizon, we solve \( \Delta(r) = 0 \) in (13). There are two solutions for \( \Delta = 0 \), given by \( X = 0 \) or \( x^2 = 0 \):

\[ x^2 = 0: \quad r_1^2 = \frac{4ms_1^2}{3} \] (21)

\[ X = 0: \quad r_2^2 = \frac{-1 - a^2 - \frac{4mz_1}{3} + \sqrt{(a^2 - 1)^2 + 8m(1 + s_1^2)}}{2} \] (22)

\[ r_3^2 = \frac{-1 - a^2 - \frac{4mz_1}{3} - \sqrt{(a^2 - 1)^2 + 8m(1 + s_1^2)}}{2} < 0. \] (23)

There are two horizons located at \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \) and the extremal limit is obtained when \( r_1 = r_2 \) in which case we also find that the entropy is zero. Using these definitions, we write

\[ X = (r^2 - r_2^2)(r^2 - r_3^2) = (x^2 + (r_1^2 - r_2^2))(x^2 + (r_1^2 - r_3^2)) \equiv (z - z_2)(z - z_1), \] (24)

where in the last line we denoted \( z = x^2 \), so that \( z_2 \equiv r_2^2 - r_1^2 \) and \( z_1 \equiv r_3^2 - r_1^2 \). When \( r_2 < r_1 \), we obtain a naked singularity. Thus, for the non-singular black holes we can use \( z_2 \) as a measure of the distance of the black hole from an extremal one. It will be convenient to use a parametrization in terms of \( \alpha = \frac{J/L}{Q^2} \), \( a \) and \( z_2 \). In terms of these parameters, we have

\[ m = \frac{(a^2 + z_2)(1 + z_2)}{2} + \frac{2a^2}{a^2} + \frac{a^3 + 2az_2}{\alpha} \] (25)

\[ ms_1^2 = \frac{a}{\alpha} \] (26)
\[
\frac{z_1}{\alpha} = -\frac{4a + (a^2 + 1 + z_2)\alpha}{\alpha}.
\] (27)

In this parametrization, the near extremal limit for this black hole is thus \(z_2 \to 0\). We have studied this limit in [2], where we have found some analytic solutions for the QNMs, which are similar to those of a 1 + 1 CFT. In the class of large rotating black holes that we consider here, there is an additional limit which facilitates solving the equation of motion, namely the fast-rotation limit. For black holes with angular momentum scaled to infinity, the distance of the rotation parameter \(a\) from its maximal value 1 can be used to obtain some analytical results. In this paper, we focus on the large rotation limit, and thus \(z_2 \gg (1 - a)\).

The BPS limit of the black hole is

\[ El = J_\phi + J_\psi + Q_1 + Q_2 + Q_3 = J_\phi + 2Q_1 \] (28)

and it is satisfied at \(z_2 = 0\) and \(\alpha = 2\). We expect superradiance, and since the spacetime is asymptotically AdS also an instability, if \(\Omega_\phi > 1\). Rewriting \(\Omega_\phi\) in terms of \(\alpha\) and \(z_2\), we obtain

\[
\Omega_\phi = \frac{a(2a + \alpha + \alpha z_2)}{a(2 + \alpha a) + \alpha z_2} = 1 + \frac{(2 - \alpha + \alpha z_2)(\alpha - 1)}{2 + \alpha + \alpha z_2} + O((\alpha - 1)^2).
\] (29)

This expression shows that to leading order in \((1 - \alpha)\) we have \(\Omega_\phi = 1\) independently of both \(\alpha\) and \(z_2\). However, the next-to-leading order correction shows that for fixed \(\alpha\) there is a threshold value for the off-extremality parameter \(z_2^* = 1 - 2/\alpha\), such that \(\Omega_\phi < 1\) for \(z_2 > z_2^*\) and \(\Omega_\phi > 1\) for \(z_2 < z_2^*\). Since \(z_2\) is always positive, we can see that for \(\alpha < 2\) we have \(\Omega_\phi < 1\) for all values of \(z_2\). As the appearance of superradiant instability is expected only for \(\Omega_\phi > 1\), in the limit \(a \to 1\) it should appear only for \(\alpha > 2\) and sufficiently low \(z_2\). Note that in the extremal limit, i.e. when we set \(z_2\) to zero first, the crossing point from \(\Omega_\phi > 1\) to \(\Omega_\phi < 1\) is precisely at \(\alpha = 2\) which corresponds to the BPS black hole.

It is useful to present the temperature and entropy in terms of \(z_2\) and \(\alpha\):

\[
S = N^2 \frac{2\pi \sqrt{z_2} (a^2 + 2a + z_2)}{\Sigma_\alpha}
\] (30)

\[
T = \frac{\sqrt{z_2}(4a + \alpha + a^2 + 2a z_2)}{4a\pi + 2\pi a(a^2 + z_2)}.
\] (31)

Let us express the appearance of superradiance in terms of the temperature. To leading order in \(1 - \alpha\), we have

\[
T = \frac{\sqrt{z_2}}{\pi} + O[1 - \alpha].
\] (32)

There is then a critical temperature \(T_c = \frac{\pi}{2} + O(1 - \alpha)\) above which \(\Omega_\phi\) is smaller than 1 for any \(\alpha\) and we expect no superradiant instability to develop, independently of the choice of \(\alpha\).

3.3. The near-horizon limit

It is usually useful to study the near-horizon limit of black holes in order to understand their properties. Such studies, mainly of extremal or near-extremal black holes, have resulted in better understanding of the black hole’s microscopic degrees of freedom. The issue of instability can also be studied using the near-horizon geometry, which is often simpler than the full geometry [27]. Thus, it would be beneficial to find the near-horizon geometry of the black holes considered here. There is, however, an obstacle to defining this limit globally for the near extremal black hole, as we discuss below.
Examining (30) we see that the entropy, and thus the horizon area of the black hole, approaches zero together with the off-extremality parameter \( z_2 \). The extremal metric is therefore singular. In addition, computing the Ricci scalar, one can see that at \( x = 0 \) there is a ring of singularities at \( y = 0 \), where the \( \psi \) circle pinches to a point. This ring is hidden behind the horizon for the non-extremal black holes, and touches the horizon when \( z_2 = 0 \). Below we present the extremal near-horizon limit for this family of black holes\(^1\).

\[ \text{The extremal near-horizon geometry: } x \to 0, \text{ fixed } y. \] To take this limit carefully we first define \( x = \epsilon \rho \), taking \( \epsilon \to 0 \) in the end. Applying this to the functions appearing in the metric, we obtain

\[ X \to \left( 1 + a^2 + \frac{4}{\alpha} \right) \epsilon^2 \rho^2 \]

\[ H_1 \to 1 + \frac{2a}{\alpha \epsilon^2}. \]

If we naively take \( \epsilon \to 0 \), then we will set the time coordinate of our solution to zero. Instead, we take \( t = \frac{x}{\epsilon} \). We will also define \( \hat{\phi} = t + \frac{2}{a} \sigma \) and \( \hat{\chi} = \epsilon \chi \). The metric then takes the form

\[ ds^2 = H_1^{1/2} \left[ y^2 \left( \frac{d\rho^2}{(1 + a^2 + \frac{4}{\alpha}) \rho^2} + \rho^2 d\hat{\chi}^2 - \left( 1 + a^2 + \frac{4}{\alpha} \right) \left( \frac{\alpha^2}{4a^2} \rho^2 d\hat{x}^2 + \frac{\alpha^2}{4} \frac{d\phi^2}{\epsilon^2} \right) \right] + \frac{y^2 dy^2}{Y} + \frac{y^2 d\hat{\phi}^2}{y^2 H_1^2} \right]. \]

This metric has a pinching AdS\(_3\) orbifold factor, as defined in [29], which is an AdS\(_3\) except that the periodicity of the spatial circle \( \hat{\chi} \) scales to zero in this limit. Such geometries have been studied in the context of extremal vanishing horizon (EVH) black holes in AdS\(_5\) [4, 3], and recently in [30]. It would be interesting to see whether one can use this generic structure, along with the proposed dual, to learn more of the extremal black hole’s properties and test the EVH/CFT duality in detail.

\[ \text{Subsequent } y \to 0: \text{ Taking now the } y \to 0 \text{ limit yields} \]

\[ ds^2 = \left( \frac{2a}{\alpha} \right)^2 y^{2/3} \left[ \frac{d\rho^2}{(1 + a^2 + \frac{4}{\alpha}) \rho^2} + \frac{dy^2}{\epsilon^2 a^2} + \rho^2 d\hat{x}^2 - \left( 1 + a^2 + \frac{4}{\alpha} \right) \left( \frac{\alpha^2}{4a^2} \rho^2 d\hat{\phi}^2 + \frac{\alpha^2}{4} \frac{d\phi^2}{\epsilon^2} \right) \right]. \]

We see that the radius of curvature of the AdS\(_3\), and actually of the entire space, approaches zero when \( y \to 0 \). Hence, \( y = 0 \) is a naked singularity in the extremal case.

The locus \( y = 0 \) is also singular in the following sense: the distance to \( x = 0 \) at \( y \neq 0 \) is infinite in the extremal limit, whereas the distance to \( x = 0 \) at \( y = 0 \) is finite. The situation is exacerbated by the fact that the distance to \( y = 0 \) along the \( x = 0 \) horizon is finite even at extremality.

The computation is straightforward. The metric component in the radial direction is given by

\[ g_{xx} = \left( 1 + \frac{2a}{\alpha (x^2 + y^2)} \right)^{2/3} \frac{(x^2 + y^2)}{x^2 (x^2 + 1 + \frac{4}{a^2} + a^2)}. \]

Now, taking the limit \( x \to 0 \) with finite \( y \), we obtain

\[ g_{xx} \approx \left( 1 + \frac{2a}{\alpha y^2} \right)^{2/3} \frac{y^2}{x^2 (1 + \frac{4}{a^2} + a^2)} \sim \frac{1}{x^2}. \]

\(^1\) The analysis of this section was carried together with Joan Simon, and will be discussed further in [28].
We see that the distance to the horizon, located at \( x = 0 \), along the radial direction is infinite. This is the familiar ‘throat’ of extremal rotating black holes.

On the other hand, approaching the \( x = 0 \) limit at fixed \( y = 0 \), the metric component in the radial direction is now given by

\[
g_{xx} = \left(1 + \frac{2a}{\alpha x^2}\right)^{2/3} \frac{1}{x^2 + 1 + 4ms^2 + a^2} \sim \frac{1}{x^{4/3}}.
\]

Performing the integration from finite \( x \) to \( x = 0 \) now yields a finite proper distance.

### 3.4. Field theory dual

For completeness, we would like to present the motivation for studying this class of black holes, which is rooted in the AdS/CFT duality [1]. In [2], a conjecture was made regarding the field theory dual of these black holes. The dual CFT for this supergravity theory is \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) SU\((N)\) SYM. In this theory, there are several known sectors which are closed under the dilatation operator, which is the radial quantization equivalent of the Hamiltonian [31]. Thus, a state composed of the operators in such a sector will only mix with other states within the sector. One of these sectors, named the \( PSU(1, 1|2) \) sector, contains only partons with the following relations between the charges:

\[
\Delta_0 = 2I_L + \hat{Q}_1 + \hat{Q}_2 + \hat{Q}_3 = 2J_R + \hat{Q}_1 + \hat{Q}_2 - \hat{Q}_3, \tag{40}
\]

where \( \Delta_0 \) is the classical scaling dimension, \( I_L \) and \( J_R \) are the \( SU(2) \times SU(2) \) quantum numbers, and \( \hat{Q}_1, \hat{Q}_2, \) and \( \hat{Q}_3 \) are the \( SU(4) \) \( R \)-charges spanning the \( U(1)^3 \) Cartan subalgebra of \( SO(6) \equiv SU(4) \), which are, of course, the \( U(1)^3 \) charges discussed before in the AdS\(_5 \times S^5\) supergravity dual.

The \( \mathcal{N} = 4 \) SU\((N)\) SYM theory contains a single supermultiplet in the adjoint of the SU\((N)\) gauge symmetry. This multiplet includes a gauge field \( A_\mu \), a fermion \( \psi \) and its conjugate \( \bar{\psi} \), in the fundamental and anti-fundamental representations of the SU\((4)\) \( R \)-symmetry, respectively, and a scalar \( \phi_{[adj]} \) in the \( 6 \) of SU\((4)\). The lower indices on these fields are fundamental indices of the SU\((4)\) \( R \) symmetry, while the upper indices are anti-fundamental ones.

The constraints (40) retain only four types of partons from the full set

\[
\phi_1^{(n)} \equiv D_{11}^{\alpha} \phi_2^{(m)} \equiv D_{11}^{\alpha} \phi_3 \equiv D_{11}^{\alpha} \phi_2 \equiv D_{11}^{\alpha} \phi_1^{(n)} \equiv D_{11}^{\alpha} \phi_2 \equiv D_{11}^{\alpha} \phi_3.
\]

Here, \( D_{11}^{\alpha} \) is the covariant derivative \( D_{\alpha \mu} \) (exchanging the \( SO(4) \) of Euclidean rotation with \( SU(2) \times SU(2) \) notation) with \( \alpha = \alpha = 1 \), and \( n \) indicates the number of derivatives operating on the fields.

Each of these fields also sits in the adjoint of the gauged SU\((N)\) so it has an additional adjoint index which we have so far suppressed. We will sometimes wish to write this index explicitly, e.g. \( \phi_1^{(j)} \) where \( j = 1 \ldots \dim G = N^2 - 1 \). One can build gauge invariant quantities in the following way. Given an operator \( \Psi \) in the adjoint of SU\((N)\), define \( J\text{det}[\Psi] \) as

\[
J\text{det}[\Psi] = e^{i(\epsilon_1 a_1 \cdots \epsilon_n a_n) (\Psi_{(a_1)} \Psi_{(a_2)} \cdots \Psi_{(a_n)}) \Psi} = \sum_{a=1}^{\dim G} \Psi_{(a)} T^a \quad (g = \dim G).
\]

The charges of the fermions in this sector are given in table 1.

The pair of fermions in (41) enjoy a further \( SU(2) \) automorphism, under which \( \psi^{(n)} \) and \( \bar{\psi}^{(n)} \) transform as a doublet, and we will denote them by \( \Psi_1^{(n)} \) and \( \Psi_2^{(n)} \), respectively, to make the \( SU(2) \) action manifest. This symmetry can be employed in order to build states which
cannot mix with any other state under the dilatation operator. One can build such states which have the same quantum numbers as the black holes we examine. This has been done in detail in [2], where states resembling a Fermi surface have been constructed. These satisfy the field theory BPS bound at zero coupling, and are conjectured to be the duals of the extremal BPS black holes within this family, i.e. those having $\alpha = 2$.

Using the notations described before, the basic operator, corresponding to the $\alpha = 2$ extremal black hole, is defined as

$$O^{(K)} = \text{Sym} \left[ \prod_{n=0}^{K-1} J \det \left[ \Psi_2^{(n)} \right] \prod_{m=K}^{2K-1} J \det \left[ \Psi_1^{(m)} \right] \right].$$

(43)

Here, Sym[] stands for a symmetrization of the operator with respect to the $SU(2)$ doublet indices $\{1, 2\}$, placing the operator in the highest $SU(2)$ pseudo-spin state, with $J^2 = 2KN^2(2KN^2 + 1)$ and $J_z = 0$. Higher values of alpha can be obtained by removing some of the operators in $O^{(K)}$, thus puncturing holes in the Fermi surface. While there may be several ways to obtain the same set of charges, the entropy is still $O(1)$ and not $O(N^2)$, so it cannot be seen on the gravity side.

4. Scalar perturbations in the black hole background

In this paper, we are interested in near extremal, rapidly rotating black holes such that $\Sigma_a \equiv (1 - a^2) \ll \sqrt{z}$ which results in a black hole with temperature close to zero, but non-zero entropy. We wish to examine the possibility of superradiant instability in these black holes and extract information about the QNM of perturbations on this background.

In order to be able to interpret the resulting perturbation spectrum in the dual CFT, we would like for the perturbing field to be part of the consistent truncation of the full type IIB theory. While there are two scalars in the Lagrangian (2), they are both massive, and their equation of motion is not separable. However, as shown in [32], it is possible to use the symmetries of the 10D theory to extend the consistent truncation considered thus far, allowing us to include the axion and dilaton, which transform under the $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ symmetry of IIB.

At the linearized level, the equation of motion for the dilaton decouples and turns out to be that of a minimally coupled massless uncharged scalar. Thus, this is the simplest field we can consistently turn on to check the existence of an instability. The equation of motion is given by

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_\mu \sqrt{-g} g^{\mu \nu} \partial_\nu \Phi = 0.$$

(44)

Plugging in the ansatz

$$\Phi(x, y, \tau, \phi, \psi) = e^{-i\omega \tau + im_\phi \phi + im_\psi \psi} S(y) R(x),$$

(45)

the equation of motion can be separated into two ODEs. This separation of variables introduces a new parameter, $\lambda$, in addition to $m_\phi$, $m_\psi$ and $\omega$. We will carry out the analysis of stability.
in the limit $\Sigma_0 \to 0$ with $\Sigma_0 \ll \sqrt{z}$. Some aspects of the analysis are similar to that of [2]. However, there the opposite limit was considered, which in some sense ‘reverses’ the roles of the angular and radial equations.

The outline of this section is as follows. In section 4.1, we examine the angular equation, i.e. the ODE with respect to $y$. We then proceed to solving it using a matched asymptotic procedure first described in [8], with some modifications, in section 4.2. In addition, we extend the analysis to the next-to-leading order. The result of the analysis is a relation between the separation parameter $\lambda$ and the parameters $m_\phi$, $m_\psi$ and $\omega$, along with a new integer $n$. In section 4.3, we apply the CFM to the radial equation, resulting in a discrete spectrum for the QNMs, which we compute numerically.

4.1. The angular equation

The equation, depending only on the angular coordinate $y$, is

$$
\frac{1}{y} \partial_y (y \partial_y S(y)) - \left( \frac{y^2 (am_\phi - \omega) - am_\phi + a^2 \omega^2}{y^2} + \frac{a^2 m_\psi^2}{y^2} + \lambda \right) S(y) = 0. \quad (46)
$$

If one uses the variable $u = \frac{a^2 - y^2}{a}$, then the equation has four regular singular points. Thus, it can be brought to the form of a Heun equation

$$
F''(u) + \left( \frac{\gamma}{u} + \frac{\delta}{u - 1} + \frac{\epsilon}{u - s} \right) F'(u) + \frac{(\alpha \mu \beta \gamma u - q)}{u(u - s)(u - 1)} F(u) = 0, \quad (47)
$$

with the four regular singular points located at $r = 0, 1, s, \infty$, and $\epsilon = (\alpha \mu + \beta \gamma - \gamma - \delta + 1)$. The transformation to the canonical form of Heun’s equation is performed using the following ansatz:

$$
S(u) = (u - 1)^{\mu} u^{\eta} \left( u + \frac{1}{a^2} - 1 \right)^{\chi} F(u), \quad (48)
$$

where the newly added constants are chosen so that they cancel the higher order poles in the coefficient of $F(u)$. Without loss of generality, we can use the following values for them:

$$
\eta = \frac{|m_\phi|}{2}, \quad \mu = \frac{|m_\psi|}{2}, \quad \chi = -\frac{\omega}{2}. \quad (49)
$$

The additional regular singular point, $s$, is given in terms of $a$ by

$$
s = 1 - \frac{1}{a^2} < 0. \quad (50)
$$

The other parameters of the Heun equation are given by

$$
\alpha \mu = 2 + \mu + \eta + \chi = \frac{1}{2}(4 + |m_\phi| + |m_\psi| - \omega) \quad (51)
$$

$$
\beta \gamma = \mu + \eta + \chi = \frac{1}{2}(|m_\phi| + |m_\psi| - \omega) \quad (52)
$$

$$
\gamma = 1 + 2\eta = 1 + |m_\phi| \quad (53)
$$

$$
\delta = 1 + 2\mu = 1 + |m_\psi| \quad (54)
$$

$$
\epsilon = 1 + 2\chi = 1 - \omega \quad (55)
$$

$$
q = \frac{\text{om}_\phi}{2a} + \frac{1}{4} (-2\omega + 4|m_\phi| + 2 - \omega)m_\phi + 2|m_\psi| + 2|m_\phi||m_\psi| + m_\psi^2 \frac{m_\psi^2 + m_\phi^2}{4a^2}. \quad (56)
$$

The physical region $y \in [0, a]$ corresponds to the canonical interval $u \in [0, 1]$, with $y = 0$ corresponding to $u = 1$ and $y = a$ to $u = 0$. The point $y = 1$ corresponds to $u = s < 0$. In the limit $s \to 0$, two singular regular points merge, and the equation becomes hypergeometric. As a result, we can use an asymptotic matching technique to solve the equation.
4.2. Matched asymptotic expansion for the angular equation

We will follow the method of [8], refined in [2]. However, some modifications are required, since the Heun parameters here are no longer constant, but rather depend on an unknown quantity \( \omega \). They also contain the parameter \( |s| \) itself, and thus must also be included in the perturbative expansion. The asymptotic matching is performed by dividing the interval \( u \in [0, 1] \) into two overlapping regions, the far region, where \( u \gg |s| \), and the near region, with \( u \ll 1 \). The equation in each of these regions reduces to a hypergeometric equation, and is thus solvable. The overlap region \( u \sim \sqrt{|s|} \) is then used to match the two solutions, obtaining a quantization condition. A similar method was also used in [33–37] to obtain analytic expressions for QNMs in flat backgrounds, where the relevant equation is a confluent Heun equation.

The far region \( u \gg |s| \). Here, we can set \( s = 0 \) in (47) to obtain the zeroth-order equation; this amounts to setting \( q = 1 \) which, in terms of the Heun parameters, will affect only \( q \):

\[
q_0 \equiv q(a = 1) = \eta(1 + \eta) - \mu^2 + \chi (1 + 2\eta + \chi) - \frac{1}{2} \lambda - \frac{1}{4} (\omega - m_{\phi})^2.
\]

(57)

After some algebra, the solution in this region is given by

\[
F_{\text{far}}(u) = u^a F_1[a_H + \rho_1, \beta_H + \rho_1, \delta, 1 - u].
\]

(58)

Here, \( F_1 \) is the Hypergeometric function and

\[
\rho_1 = \frac{1}{2} (-a_H - \beta_H + \delta + \sqrt{-4q_0 + (-a_H - \beta_H + \delta)^2})
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} (-1 - |m_{\phi}| - 2\chi + \sqrt{1 + m_{\phi}^2 + \lambda + (\omega - m_{\phi})^2})
\]

(59)

\[
\rho_2 = \frac{1}{2} (-a_H - \beta_H + \delta - \sqrt{-4q_0 + (-a_H - \beta_H + \delta)^2})
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} (-1 - |m_{\phi}| - 2\chi - \sqrt{1 + m_{\phi}^2 + \lambda + (\omega - m_{\phi})^2})
\]

(60)

satisfy the relation

\[
\rho_1 + \rho_2 = \delta - a_H - \beta_H.
\]

(61)

We have also used the freedom to normalize the constant coefficient of this solution to 1 relative to the near region solution.

The near region \( u \ll 1 \). In the near region, in order to take the limit \( s \to 0 \) correctly, we need to first make the transformation \( u = -s\xi \) in (47). Then, taking the limit \( s \to 0 \), one obtains the zeroth-order equation in this region. The solution to this equation is given by

\[
F_{\text{near}}(\xi) = CF (-\rho_1, -\rho_2, \gamma; -\xi).
\]

(62)

where \( C \) is a normalization constant to be fixed by the matching procedure.

Matching the two solutions. The next step is to match the two solutions at the point \( u = \sqrt{-st} \) with \( t \sim O(1) \), expanding around \( s = 0 \). This is done using hypergeometric functional identities. We have already performed the general procedure in [2], and obtained the following quantization condition:

\[
\Gamma^2 [\rho_1 - \rho_2] \Gamma [\gamma + \rho_2] \Gamma [-\rho_1] \Gamma [\beta_H + \rho_2] \Gamma [a_H + \rho_2] \Gamma [\alpha_H + \rho_1] \Gamma [\beta_H + \rho_1] \Gamma [\gamma + \rho_1] \Gamma [-\rho_2] = (\sqrt{-s})^{2\rho_1 - 2\rho_2}.
\]

(63)

In order to extract the correct quantization condition for \( \lambda \) from this equation we must check several cases of which only one leads to a true solution. Note that the elimination of other
possibilities is not completely generic as there is a point in our derivation that depends on the values of the parameters of our specific equation. We describe how to eliminate the other possibilities in appendix A; here, we focus only on the relevant case.

Assuming \( \text{Re}(\rho_1 - \rho_2) > 0 \), the RHS of (63) goes to zero as \( s \to 0 \) so the denominator of the LHS must diverge in this limit. This means that one of the arguments of the gamma functions in the denominator should be equal to a negative integer. These are given, in our equation, by

\[
\beta_H + \rho_1 = \mu - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1 + 4\mu^2 + \lambda + (\omega - m_\psi)^2} = \frac{1}{2} (|m_\psi| - 1 + \sqrt{1 + m_\psi^2 + \lambda + (\omega - m_\psi)^2}) \quad (64)
\]

\[
\alpha_H + \rho_1 = \mu + \frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1 + 4\mu^2 + \lambda + (\omega - m_\psi)^2} = \frac{1}{2} (|m_\psi| + 3 + \sqrt{1 + m_\psi^2 + \lambda + (\omega - m_\psi)^2}) \quad (65)
\]

\[
\gamma + \rho_1 = \frac{1}{2} + \eta - \chi + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1 + 4\mu^2 + \lambda + (\omega - m_\psi)^2} = \frac{1}{2} (1 + |m_\psi| - \omega + \sqrt{1 + m_\psi^2 + \lambda + (\omega - m_\psi)^2}) \quad (66)
\]

\[
\rho_2 = \frac{1}{2} + \eta + \chi + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1 + 4\mu^2 + \lambda + (\omega - m_\psi)^2} = \frac{1}{2} (1 + |m_\psi| + \omega + \sqrt{1 + m_\psi^2 + \lambda + (\omega - m_\psi)^2}) \quad (67)
\]

\[
\rho_2 - \rho_1 = -\sqrt{1 + m_\psi^2 + \lambda + (\omega - m_\psi)^2}. \quad (68)
\]

Checking these terms one by one we observe that only the three last terms can be equal to a negative integer. In particular, focusing on the third and fourth terms and assuming that \( \text{Re}\omega > 0 \) for simplicity, we obtain that the eigenvalues are

\[
\lambda = 4n(1 + n) - (2 + 4n) (\omega - |m_\psi|) - m_\psi^2, \quad (69)
\]

subject to the restriction

\[
\text{Re}\omega > 1 + |m_\psi| + 2n. \quad (70)
\]

Note that since the condition for superradiance is \( \text{Re}\omega < m_\psi \Omega \), the restriction (70) means that indeed, superradiance is possible only for \( \Omega > 1 \) and for \( m_\psi \) satisfying

\[
m_\psi > \frac{2n + 1}{\Omega - 1}. \quad (71)
\]

There is a second more technical restriction, in addition to (70). This comes from the fact that (63) also gives a higher order (non-analytic) correction to the zeroth-order eigenvalue we found. In particular, taking \( \gamma + \rho_1 = -n + \delta n \) and plugging this into the equation, we obtain the following: \( \delta n \propto s^{\rho_2 - \rho_1} \) which implies that the correction to the eigenvalue itself will also be of this order. In order to ensure the validity of a perturbative expansion in \( s \), up to first order, where this correction may be safely ignored, we require that \( \text{Re}(\rho_2 - \rho_1) \geq 2 \). This means that eigenvalues with

\[
\text{Re}\omega > 3 + |m_\psi| + 2n \quad (72)
\]

are completely valid. Taking into account the non-analytical correction will make the entire computation harder since the expression \( \rho_2 - \rho_1 \) depends on \( \omega \). In this paper, we perform the full computation without the correction, and discard those eigenvalues that do not respect this bound.
Subleading correction. We would like to go beyond the zeroth order in $s = 1 - \frac{1}{r^2}$ in calculating the angular eigenvalue since in the zeroth order $\Omega_\phi = 1$, and we thus expect superradiance to be apparent only in the next-to-leading order. To calculate the first-order correction to the eigenvalue one needs to take into consideration the next order of the differential equations appearing in the two regions. The correction can be found separately in each region using perturbation theory and a comparison between them is needed to ensure that this is a valid solution in the entire segment. We describe this calculation in both regions in appendix B, and we only cite here the result (assuming $m_\psi \geq 0$):

$$\lambda_1 = -2 + 2n + 2n^2 + (1 + 2n)(m_\phi - \omega) + \frac{((\omega - m_\phi)m_\phi + (1 + 2n)(\omega - m_\phi) - 2n(1 + n))(m_\phi^2 - 4)}{(2n - \omega + m_\phi)(2 + 2n - \omega + m_\phi)}.$$  

(73)

Note that the last two terms, which are the only ones nonlinear in $\omega$, cancel when $m_\psi = 2$.

We have implicitly assumed in taking the limit $s \to 0$ that all other parameters, and specifically $m_\phi$, are $O(s^0)$. Since we have $\Omega_\phi = 1 + O(s)$ when $\alpha > 2$, superradiance can occur only for $m_\phi \sim O(1/s)$, as superradiant modes must also satisfy (71). Thus, superradiance, and the instability associated with it appear to lie outside of the domain of validity of this approximation. We discuss this issue in greater detail in section 5.1.

4.3. The radial equation

The radial equation, depending only on the coordinate $x$, is given by

$$\frac{1}{x} \partial_x (x \partial_x R(x)) + \left( \frac{(x^2 + 2ms_1^2)(-\omega + am_\phi) + am_\phi - a^2 \omega}{x} - \frac{a^2 m_\phi^2}{x^2} + \lambda \right) R(x) = 0.$$  

(74)

Recall, from section 3.2, that upon making the coordinate transformation $z = x^2$, the inner event horizon is located at $z = 0$, while the outer event horizon is at $z_2$. These, along with the boundary of AdS at $z \to \infty$, are three of the equation’s regular singular points, the last one being located at

$$z_1 = -\frac{4a + (a^2 + 1 + z_2)\alpha}{\alpha}.$$  

(75)

Using the transformation $r = \frac{z - z_1}{\sqrt{z_1}}$, we move these to the canonical set of points $\{0, 1, s, \infty\}$, with

$$s = 1 - \frac{z_2}{z_1}.$$  

(76)

One can now use the ansatz

$$R(r) = r^\nu (r - 1)^\mu \left( r - 1 + \frac{z_2}{z_1} \right)^\rho F(r)$$  

(77)

with

$$\nu = 2$$  

(78)

$$\mu = \frac{i(-\omega(a^2 + \alpha(a^2 + z_1)) + am_\phi(2a + \alpha(1 + z_2)))}{2a\sqrt{z_1}(-z_1 + z_2)}$$  

(79)

$$\rho = \frac{alm_\psi}{2\sqrt{z_1}\sqrt{z_2}}.$$  

(80)
to transform the equation to the canonical form of Heun’s equation \((47)\). The Heun parameters are then given by

\[
\alpha_{\text{H}} = \mu + \nu + \rho - i \sqrt{-\frac{z_2}{z_1}} \mu
\]  
(81)

\[
\beta_{\text{H}} = \mu + \nu + \rho + i \sqrt{-\frac{z_2}{z_1}} \mu
\]  
(82)

\[
\gamma = -1 + 2 \nu = 3
\]  
(83)

\[
\delta = 1 + 2 \mu
\]  
(84)

\[
\epsilon = 1 + 2 \rho
\]  
(85)

\[
q = \frac{1}{4\alpha z_1 \sqrt{z_2}} \left( 6ia(2 + a\alpha)\omega + 6ia\omega a \sqrt{z_1} + a^2 a m_q \sqrt{z_2} + \alpha (\lambda + \omega^2 + 16z_1
\right.
\]
\[
\left. + 6i\omega \sqrt{z_2} - 8z_2) \sqrt{z_2} - 2iam_q (3I(2a + \alpha) - i\omega \sqrt{z_2} + 3a z_2)) \right).
\]  
(86)

In order to solve this equation numerically, we use the CFM \([38]\), which has first been applied to black hole physics in \([39, 40]\). We first plug into the equation a series solution, \(F(r) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n r^n\), satisfying the regularity condition at the boundary of AdS, located at \(r = 0\). This yields a three-term recurrence relation for \(a_n\)

\[
f_k a_{k+1} + g_k a_k + h_k a_{k+1} = 0.
\]  
(87)

The coefficients are

\[
f_k = s(k + 1)(k + \gamma)
\]  
(88)

\[
g_k = -k [s(k + \gamma + \delta - 1) + k + \gamma + \epsilon - 1] - q
\]  
(89)

\[
h_k = (k + \alpha_{\text{H}} - 1)(k + \beta_{\text{H}} - 1).
\]  
(90)

We also require a regular boundary condition at the other end of the physical interval, \(r = 1\), which corresponds to the event horizon. This requirement is only satisfied by the minimal solution which in turn requires the convergence of the following continued fraction \([38]\)

\[
0 = g_0 - \frac{f_0 \cdot h_1}{g_1} = g_0 - \frac{f_0 \cdot h_1}{g_1} = \frac{f_0 \cdot h_1}{g_1} = \frac{f_1 \cdot h_2}{g_2} = \frac{f_2 \cdot h_3}{g_3} = \ldots
\]  
(91)

This sets the quantization condition for \(\omega\).

Truncating the continued fraction after some \(p\) steps, we obtain a polynomial equation for \(\omega\) which can be solved numerically. Increasing the cutoff causes more QNMs to be found, as well as better accuracy for those already found. This is true as long as the precision of the computations is increased as well, so that numerical errors are minimized.

5. Scalar quasinormal modes

Using \textit{Mathematica} we carried out the numerical procedure for various choices of the parameters. In order to achieve numerical stability, a large cutoff of the continued fraction was used, often over 140 terms, in high precision floating point arithmetics. Below we present an example of the typical results obtained using the numerical procedure.
As expected, for small \( m_\phi \)'s, we did not find any instabilities using a variety of values for \( \alpha \), \( m_\phi \), \( m_\psi \), \( z_2 \) and \( n \). As an example, figure 1 displays the first QNMs for the following parameters: \( \alpha = 2, a = 0.99, z_2 = 0.2, m_\phi = 7, m_\psi = 2 \) and \( n = 1 \).

The relative numerical error resulting from the cutoff of the continued fraction is less than 0.0001.

### 5.1. Observing superradiant instabilities

In order to observe superradiant modes, we require \( \omega < m_\phi \Omega_\phi \), but we also know that the approximate solution is valid only for \( \omega > m_\phi + 1 \). Thus, we must have \( m_\phi > \frac{1}{\Omega_\phi - 1} \propto \frac{1}{|s|} \).

Having such a large parameter in the problem turns the angular equation into the more complicated confluent Heun equation. However, naive computations using the numerical method indeed show the known superradiant instabilities, as found in other types of black holes [5, 6, 16, 17, 41, 42]. As an example of this superradiant instability, we display the first QNMs for the following parameters: \( \alpha = 2.1, a = 0.9999, z_2 = 0.01, n = 0, m_\phi = 10^7 \) and \( m_\psi = 2 \). In this case, we have \( \Omega_\phi - 1 \approx 1.9 \times 10^{-6} \). We can estimate the errors to this numerical computation by increasing the cutoff on the continued fraction, and checking the change in the eigenfrequencies. In this case, varying the cutoff from \( p = 100 \) to \( p = 140 \) shows that the real part of the frequencies is correct to about \( 10^{-9} \), while their imaginary part is less accurate.

Far enough from the crossing point between the positive and negative imaginary parts we have an error of approximately 0.05, while close enough to the superradiance threshold (depicted by the black line in figure 2), errors grow up to about 1. This explains why the actual crossing point is not precisely at the superradiance threshold.

The well-known phenomenon of superradiance thus occurs where expected. The reason that the scaling \( m_\phi \sim \frac{1}{|s|} \) does not mask it is that even with this scaling, we still expect \( (\omega - m_\phi) \) to be finite without introducing new singularities. Indeed, if we search for eigenmodes having \( \omega = m_\phi + \Delta \) with \( \Delta \sim O(1), \lambda_1 \) is still finite, with the following exception. There is one term that, for \( m_\psi \neq 2 \), is of order \( O(1/|s|) \) (the term is absent for \( m_\psi = 2 \), which is depicted in figure 2). This term changes the zeroth-order term in the expansion of \( \lambda \), but it remains finite. Thus, the result for \( \lambda \), obtained from the next-to-leading order of the asymptotic matching
procedure, is finite in the limit $s \to 0$. If there are no further corrections to the zeroth-order term coming from higher order terms when using this scaling, then the results obtained here would be correct at leading order. We have not proven that this happens, but the compatibility of our results with the expected superradiance threshold supports it.

6. Thermodynamic stability

As previously mentioned, thermodynamic instabilities manifest themselves as non-positive modes of the thermodynamic Hessian

$$H_{mn} = -\frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial x^m \partial x^n}; \quad x^m = (E, J_\phi \equiv J, Q).$$  

(92)

In order to compute the Hessian, we first express the entropy using these variables, by inverting (16). Then, after computing the Hessian, we would like to express the result using the parameters $a, \alpha$ and $z_2$. However, it is more insightful to express the Hessian in terms of $\alpha, \delta$ and $Q$, where $\alpha$ and $\delta$ are defined in the following way:

$$\alpha = \frac{J}{Q^2},$$

(93)

and make a large charge expansion, taking the limit $Q \to \infty$. This limit is equivalent to the limit $a \to 1$ we have considered thus far and in taking it we must keep $\alpha, \delta \sim O(Q^0)$. At leading order in $Q$ it is easily verified that the extremality is achieved for $\delta$ taking the value $\bar{\delta} = \frac{2\sqrt{2}a}{\sqrt{2a}}$. We thus substitute $\delta = \bar{\delta} + \delta_0$, so that states above extremality correspond to values of $\delta_0 > 0$. The Hessian at leading order is given by

$$H = \frac{\pi}{2^{5/4}Q(\sqrt{a} \delta_0)^{3/2}} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -\alpha & -2\sqrt{2a} \\ -\alpha & \alpha & 2\sqrt{2a} \\ -2\sqrt{2a} & 2\sqrt{2a} & 4\sqrt{2a} + 8\delta_0 \end{pmatrix}.  

(95)
The eigenvalues of the Hessian are
\[ \lambda_1 = 0 \]
\[ \lambda_2 = \frac{4 + \alpha + 2\sqrt{2}\alpha + \sqrt{(4 + \alpha)^2 - 4\sqrt{2}\alpha(\alpha - 4)\delta_\theta + 8\alpha\delta_\theta^2}}{2^{5/4}\alpha^{3/4}\sqrt{Q}\delta_\theta^{3/2}} \]
\[ \lambda_3 = \frac{4 + \alpha + 2\sqrt{2}\alpha - \sqrt{(4 + \alpha)^2 - 4\sqrt{2}\alpha(\alpha - 4)\delta_\theta + 8\alpha\delta_\theta^2}}{2^{5/4}\alpha^{3/4}\sqrt{Q}\delta_\theta^{3/2}}. \]

Thus, at leading order the Hessian always has a zero mode, and we must go to the next-to-leading order in \( Q \). Since we know \( \lambda_2 \) and \( \lambda_3 \) to leading order, the determinant
\[ |H| = \frac{2^{5/4} - 2^{1/4}\alpha + 2^{3/4}\sqrt{Q}\delta_\theta + O \left( \frac{1}{Q^2} \right)}{8\alpha^{9/4}\delta_\theta^2 Q^2} \]
allows us to extract the leading order contribution to \( \lambda_1 \), and we find
\[ \lambda_1 = \frac{2 - \alpha + \sqrt{2}\alpha\delta_\theta}{2^{5/4}Q\alpha^{3/4}\sqrt{\delta_\theta}} = \frac{1 - \Omega_\phi}{2^{2}Q^2\alpha^{3/4}\sqrt{\delta_\theta}} \]
\[ \lambda_2 = \frac{4 + \alpha + 2\sqrt{2}\alpha + \sqrt{(4 + \alpha)^2 - 4\sqrt{2}\alpha(\alpha - 4)\delta_\theta + 8\alpha\delta_\theta^2}}{2^{5/4}\alpha^{3/4}\sqrt{Q}\delta_\theta^{3/2}} > 0 \]
\[ \lambda_3 = \frac{4 + \alpha + 2\sqrt{2}\alpha - \sqrt{(4 + \alpha)^2 - 4\sqrt{2}\alpha(\alpha - 4)\delta_\theta + 8\alpha\delta_\theta^2}}{2^{5/4}\alpha^{3/4}\sqrt{Q}\delta_\theta^{3/2}} > 0 \]
where when expressing \( \lambda_1 \) in terms of \( \Omega_\phi \) we used the expansion of \( \Omega_\phi \) up to order \( O \left( \frac{1}{Q^2} \right) \).

The result (100) reflects the fact that the black hole is thermodynamically unstable when \( \Omega_\phi > 1 \). This is the same regime where superradiant instability has been shown to occur in the previous section, a fact which might be related to a Gubser–Mitra-like conjecture [10] for rotating black holes, though there are some differences, as discussed in the following section.

The eigenvector corresponding to this instability is given by \((1, 1, 0)\), when written in terms of \((\delta E, \delta J, \delta Q)\).

In order to describe the onset of the instability in terms of the charges \( E, J \) and \( Q \), let us use them to re-express \( \Omega_\phi \):
\[ \Omega_\phi = 1 + \frac{-E + \sqrt{2}\sqrt{J} + J}{2J} + \left( \frac{1}{Q^2} \right). \]

Thus, the stability would appear for \( E - J < 2\sqrt{J} \). In particular, for very large energies there is no instability, while for very large angular momentum instability is guaranteed.

It is also interesting to examine the temperature in terms of the charges
\[ T = \frac{\sqrt{-J(-2E\sqrt{J} + \sqrt{2J} + 2J^{3/2} + 2\sqrt{2Q^2})}}{2^{1/4}\pi Q} + O \left( \frac{1}{Q} \right). \]

Therefore, as we could have also concluded from (95), the temperature is an increasing function of energy at fixed \( J \) and a decreasing function of the angular momentum at fixed \( E \).

For completeness, we express \( \delta \) in terms of the parameters \( a, \alpha \) and \( z_2 \)
\[ \delta = \frac{4a^2(3 + a) + 4a(2 + a + a^2 + (3 + a)z_2)\alpha + (3 + a)(1 + z_2)(a^2 + z_2)\alpha^2}{2\sqrt{2}(1 + a)\sqrt{\alpha a(2\alpha + \alpha + z_2\alpha)(2a + (a^2 + z_2)\alpha)}} \]
\[ \equiv \tilde{\delta} + \frac{\sqrt{2}z_2}{\sqrt{2}} + O(1 - a). \]
7. Summary and discussion

We have analyzed the stability of a three-parameter family of black holes charged under two of the $U(1)$s in the cartan of $SO(6)$, within the consistent truncation of type IIB SUGRA on $AdS_5 \times S^5$. The specific black holes that we studied had two equal charges (denoted by $Q$). The black holes also have non-zero angular momentum around one of the two independent rotation planes of $AdS_5$, denoted by $J_\phi$. We have defined $\alpha \equiv N^2 J_\phi/Q^2$—a quantity measuring the ratio between the angular momentum and the charge squared. For this class of black holes, as we approach the extremal limit, the horizon shrinks to zero size. Such black holes have been dubbed extremal vanishing horizon (EVH), and their properties have been studied in [4, 3, 30]. For a generic point on the horizon, the extremal near-horizon limit is pinched $AdS_3$. In contrast, on the plane of rotation the horizon merges with a singularity as we take the extremal limit, and no universal near horizon exists at the level of the geometry. The value $\alpha = 2$ is distinguished in the sense that it satisfies, at extremality, a SUSY BPS bound.

We carried out a stability analysis in the limit of $J_\phi \to \infty$ for fixed $\alpha$. In the notation of the solution given in (4), this limit corresponds to the limit in which the rotation parameter $a \to 1$. We have checked for both local thermodynamic instabilities and classical instability, analyzed using linear perturbations analysis. The classical stability analysis was carried out for a scalar field, after identifying an appropriate scalar whose addition still forms a consistent truncation of type IIB SUGRA on $AdS_5 \times S^5$.

We found evidence for both types of instabilities when $\Omega_\phi > 1$, where $\Omega_\phi$ is the angular velocity of the black hole with respect to a non-rotating frame at the boundary of $AdS$. This is also the threshold predicted in [9] for superradiant instability, induced by the asymptotically $AdS$ nature of spacetime. Indeed, the unstable modes are precisely those which are superradiant. In the near extremal limit, this condition translates to $\alpha > 2$.

It is important to note that, in the limit $a \to 1$, the angular velocity takes the form $\Omega_\phi = 1 + O(1 - a)$ and one must therefore examine the sub-leading term to see if $\Omega_\phi > 1$ or not. In addition, this form of $\Omega_\phi$ implies that a superradiant instability can appear only for the modes of the scalar having a very large angular momentum, $m_\phi \sim 1/(1 - a)$.

It would be interesting to find out what would be the endpoint of these instabilities. Unfortunately, knowing that there is a thermodynamic instability does not allow us to extract much information regarding the final state, and the classical linear stability analysis is obviously insufficient for such purposes, and should be replaced by a full nonlinear analysis.

A natural question to ask at this point is whether the two instabilities are related. It has been shown numerically that for $AdS_5$ Reissner–Nordstrom black holes, in the brane limit, local thermodynamic stability coincides with dynamical stability [43, 10]. This phenomenon was shown to persist also for black holes with the horizon radius comparable to the $AdS$ radius. The authors further suggested that it is a generic phenomenon, in what came to be known as the Gubser–Mitra conjecture [10]. A part of this conjecture, which states that black branes are unstable if, and only if, they are constructed from a thermodynamically unstable black hole (at lower dimension), has recently been proven in [44]. This work also established a close connection between the dynamical and thermodynamical stabilities of black holes, but unfortunately it has not yet been extended to anti-de Sitter space. It also does not apply to our case, since the superradiance instabilities that we find are at short wave length in the $J \to \infty$ limit.

In the context of fast-rotating black holes, which we are discussing here, intuition can be obtained from arguments originating in the study of higher dimensional asymptotically flat black holes. It has been argued [45] that black holes in $D \geq 6$ with one non-vanishing rotation parameter are prone to ultra-spinning instabilities. Black holes are ultra-spinning
when there is no upper bound on the angular momentum for fixed mass. For large enough angular momentum, the event horizon becomes quasi-extended, resembling a black brane. The observed instabilities, which are purely gravitational, are in fact Gregory–Laflamme-type instabilities [46], causing fragmentation (or clumping) of the extended black hole. As mentioned above, for black branes, such instabilities can be identified by studying the local thermodynamic instabilities. This can be understood intuitively by arguing that the clumped black holes are entropically favored when a local thermodynamic instability exists.

As mentioned in [45], the above argument cannot be made precise in $D = 5$ since the black holes in that case have no ultra-spinning regime, and the black brane limit is not defined since there are no black two-branes. However, fast-rotating black holes in $D = 5$ asymptotically flat spacetime can still become very much ‘pancaked’, as is the case for the black holes studied in this paper. It has been conjectured [47] that such black holes become unstable before extremality, with the endpoint of the instability being a black ring. This black ring is thermodynamically favored, so that a thermodynamic instability is expected for the black hole. In [48], a classical gravitational non-axisymmetric instability was found which approximately matches the instability region predicted by thermodynamic arguments. Whether this instability also occurs in AdS$_5$ black holes is, to the best of our knowledge, unknown. We have not yet performed a gravitational stability analysis, so it is not clear if such an instability exists, but it is obviously a viable option.

However, this is not the only possible outcome for the black hole evolution. Superradiant instability, which is mostly absent from the discussions in the above cases, occurs also at zero temperature (i.e. in extremal black holes). It can be interpreted within string microscopic models of black holes as a quantum effect [49]. At zero temperature, superradiance is taken to be spontaneous emission, while at non-zero temperature, the thermal radiation is thrown into the mix. It is possible that the instability observed in the thermodynamic analysis reflects this as well. The stable configuration, if this is the dominant mechanism of decay, is most likely of the type predicted in [17] for four-dimensional AdS black holes. It is a slightly modified $\Omega_\phi = 1$ black hole co-existing with some outside scalar hair and radiation. A scalar field instability of small charged and rotating black holes in AdS$_5$ was shown numerically to result in a black hole with scalar hair [50] (also [51]). Hairy black holes were also shown to occur in AdS$_5 \times S^5$ [52]. In our case, we expect that the final result would be an $\alpha = 2$ core surrounded by hair. Of course, the analysis for the black holes under consideration here is further complicated by the fact that they have a non-trivial configuration of both the gauge fields and scalars.

The classical instabilities observed in this paper are for neutral fields, carrying no charges out of the black holes. It would be interesting to see if the black hole can also emit some of its charge to the outside. This can be studied by either adding charged scalars to the consistent truncation or using charged vector fields, using the truncation to $SU(2) \times U(1)$ instead of $U(1)^3$ [53]. Another possibility would be to compute Fermionic two-point functions, which, in addition to charge emission, can also be used to observe Fermi surfaces in the dual CFT. Such Fermi surfaces have been observed for the black-brane analogues of these black holes [54].
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Appendix A. Elimination of additional possible quantization conditions for $\lambda$

We need to consider whether the relation $\rho_2 - \rho_1 = -n + O(s)$ might lead to some quantization condition. This was treated rather generally in the appendix of our previous work, up to a point which depended on the parameters of the equation. We will treat this point here, using the notations of that appendix. The non-generic case to consider is when $a = -m$ or $b = -m$ and $n < m$ for both the far and the near regions in which case the expansions in $s$ leading to (63) are valid. $a$ and $b$ for the far region are defined by

$$a_{\text{far}} = \beta H + \rho_2,$$
$$b_{\text{far}} = \alpha H + \rho_2,$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.1)

while for the near region they are

$$a_{\text{near}} = -\rho_1,$$
$$b_{\text{near}} = -\rho_1 - \gamma + 1 = -|m_\phi| - \rho_1.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.2)

Now we can rewrite (63) as

$$\frac{\Gamma^2[n]\Gamma[\gamma + \rho_2]\Gamma[a_{\text{near}}]\Gamma[a_{\text{far}}]\Gamma[b_{\text{far}}]}{\Gamma[a_{\text{H}} + \rho_1]\Gamma[b_{\text{H}} + \rho_1]\Gamma[-n]\Gamma[\gamma + \rho_1]\Gamma[-\rho_2]} = (-s)^{3n}. \hspace{1cm} (A.3)$$

We observe that for this equality to be fulfilled we need to have at least one more divergent gamma function in the denominator than in the numerator of the LHS since the RHS goes to zero. We will show this does not happen, assuming throughout our considerations that the only divergent term in the denominator is $1$ since the other cases were already treated.

Thus, we need to check only the cases where there is only one divergent gamma function in the numerator.

The only such case is that one of $a_{\text{far}}$ and $b_{\text{far}}$ is equal to $-m_1$, $-m_2 > -n$ and $b_{\text{near}}$ also fulfils this requirement but $a_{\text{near}}$ does not fulfil it. This means (1) $-m_\phi - \rho_1 < 0$ and (2) $|m_\phi + \rho_1| = m_1 < n$. From (2) $\rho_1$ is an integer. If $-\rho_1 < 0$, then from (2) $|\rho_1| < n$ so $a_{\text{near}}$ fulfils the conditions contrary to our assumptions. If $\rho_1 = 0$, then $\Gamma[-\rho_1]$ is divergent so the LHS is finite and incompatible to the RHS. Therefore, $\rho_1 < 0$ and from (1) $-m_\phi + |\rho_1| < 0 \Rightarrow |\rho_1| < m_\phi$. Now we can rewrite (2) as $m_\phi - |\rho_1| < n$ so $m_\phi < n$. Recalling that $\rho_1 = n + \rho_2$ we obtain that $\rho_2$ is an integer and using the fact that $\rho_1 < 0$ we obtain that $\rho_2 < -n$. Now we shall prove that the in this case $\Gamma[\gamma + \rho_2]$ is divergent:

$$\gamma + \rho_2 = 1 + m_\phi + \rho_2 < 1 + m_\phi - n < 1; \hspace{1cm} (A.4)$$

since both $\gamma$ and $\rho_2$ are integers we got that $\gamma + \rho_2$ is an integer at most equal to zero and this ends our proof.
Appendix B. First-order correction to the $\lambda$ in the $a \to \ell$ case

The far region

In the zeroth order, we had the equation

$$D_0 \psi_n(u) = q_0(n) \psi_n(u)$$  \hfill (B.1)

with the differential operator

$$D_0 = u[(u - 1)u\partial_u^2 + ((u - 1)(1 + \alpha_H + \beta_H) + \delta)\partial_u + \alpha_H\beta_H]$$  \hfill (B.2)

and the solution was given by

$$\psi_n^0 = u^{s_0} \mathcal{F}_1[\alpha_H + \rho_1, \beta_H + \rho_1, \delta, 1 - u]$$

$$= u^{-\gamma-n} \mathcal{F}_1[\alpha_H - \gamma - n, \beta_H - \gamma - n, \delta, 1 - u]$$  \hfill (B.3)

after using the quantization condition from the matching.

Thus, taking $F(u) = \psi_n^0 + \psi_n^1$ as the solution one obtains the equation up to first order in $s$

$$(D_0 + sD_1)(\psi_n^0 + s\psi_n^1) = (q_0(n) + sq_1)(\psi_n^0 + s\psi_n^1),$$

which gives the following equation for the unknowns $\psi_n^1$ and $q_1$:

$$D_0 \psi_n^1 - q_0(n)\psi_n^1 = q_1\psi_n^0 - D_1\psi_n^0.$$  \hfill (B.5)

One can actually rewrite the differential operator of the full equation as $D_0 + cD_1$, where

$$D_1 = (1 - u)\partial_u^2 + ((1 + \alpha_H + \beta_H)(1 - u) - \delta)\partial_u - \epsilon(1 - r)\partial_u$$  \hfill (B.6)

$$= -\frac{1}{u}D_0 - \epsilon(1 - u)D_r + \alpha_H\beta_H.$$  \hfill (B.7)

Then, using the following recurrence relations

$$\frac{1}{u}\psi_n^1(u) = \sum_{i=1}^{i-1} k_{n+i} \psi_n^{0+i}$$

with

$$k_{n+1} = \frac{(\alpha_H + \rho_1)(\beta_H + \rho_2)}{(\rho_1 - \rho_2)(\rho_1 - \rho_2 - 1)}$$  \hfill (B.9)

$$k_n = \frac{-1 + \beta_H + \rho_1 + \rho_2 - 2\rho_1\rho_2 - \beta_H(\rho_1 + \rho_2)}{(\rho_1 - \rho_2 - 1)(\rho_1 - \rho_2 + 1)} - \frac{\alpha_H(-1 + 2\beta_H + \rho_1 + \rho_2)}{(\rho_1 - \rho_2 - 1)(\rho_1 - \rho_2 + 1)}$$  \hfill (B.10)

$$k_{n-1} = \frac{(\alpha_H + \rho_1)(\beta_H + \rho_1)}{(\rho_1 - \rho_2)(\rho_1 - \rho_2 + 1)}$$  \hfill (B.11)

and

$$(u - 1)\partial_u \psi_n(u) = \sum_{i=1}^{i-1} p_{n+i} \psi_n^{0+i}$$

with

$$p_{n-1} = -\frac{(\alpha_H + \rho_1)(\beta_H + \rho_1)}{(\rho_1 - \rho_2)(1 + \rho_1 - \rho_2)}$$  \hfill (B.13)

$$p_n = \frac{\rho_1\rho_2(\rho_1 + \rho_2 + 2\beta_H - 1) + \alpha_H(2\rho_1\rho_2 + \beta_H(1 + \rho_1 + \rho_2))}{(\rho_1 - \rho_2 - 1)(\rho_1 - \rho_2 + 1)}$$  \hfill (B.14)
\[ p_{n+1} = -\frac{(\alpha_H + \rho_2) (\beta_H + \rho_2) \rho_1}{(\rho_1 - \rho_2) (-1 + \rho_1 - \rho_2)}. \]  

(B.15)

So finally we can write

\[ (D_0 - q_0(n))\psi_1^1 = q_1\psi_0^0 - \sum_{i=-1}^{i=+1} (\epsilon p_{n+i} - k_{n+i} q_0(n))\psi_{n+i}^0. \]  

(B.16)

We must use a solution of the form \( \psi_1^1 = \sum_{i=-1}^{i=+1} A_i n_i^0 \). Since \( \psi_0^0 \) are eigenfunctions of \( D_0 \) with different eigenvalues they are linearly independent and we obtain an algebraic equation for the coefficients \( A_j \). Examining the coefficients of \( u_n \) we obtain an expression for \( q_1 \)

\[ q_1 = \alpha_H \beta_H + \epsilon p_n - q_0(n) k_n. \]  

(B.17)

This means that we obtained the next order of the eigenvalue without using the matching of the two regions. To make sure this makes sense we should perform the same procedure in the near region and compare the two corrections.

**The near region**

The zeroth-order equation is

\[ \tilde{D}_0 \chi_n(\xi) = q_0(n) \chi_n(\xi). \]  

(B.18)

with the differential operator

\[ \tilde{D}_0 = -\xi (1 + \xi) \partial_{\xi}^2 - (\gamma + (1 + \alpha_H + \beta_H - \delta) \xi) \partial_{\xi}, \]  

(B.19)

and the solution was given by

\[ \chi_n = F_1 (-\rho_1, -\rho_2, \gamma; -\xi) = F_1 (\gamma + n, \epsilon - 1 - n, \gamma; -\xi). \]  

(B.20)

The next-order equation is

\[ \tilde{D}_0 \chi_1^1 - q_0(n) \chi_1^1 = q_1 \chi_0^0 \]  

(B.21)

with

\[ \tilde{D}_1 = -\xi^2 (1 + \xi) \partial_{\xi}^2 - \xi (\gamma + \delta + (1 + \alpha_H + \beta_H) \xi) \partial_{\xi} = \xi \tilde{D}_0 - \delta \xi (\xi + 1) \partial_{\xi} - \alpha_H \beta_H \xi. \]  

(B.22)

One can express

\[ \xi \chi_n = \sum_{i=-1}^{i=+1} f_{n+i} \chi_{n+i} \]  

(B.23)

with

\[ f_{n-1} = -\frac{(\rho_1 + \gamma) \rho_2}{(\rho_2 - \rho_1 - 1) (\rho_2 - \rho_1)} \]  

(B.24)

\[ f_n = -\frac{2 \rho_1 \rho_2 + \gamma (1 + \rho_1 + \rho_2)}{(\rho_2 - \rho_1 + 1) (\rho_2 - \rho_1 - 1)} \]  

(B.25)

\[ f_{n+1} = -\frac{(\rho_2 + \gamma) \rho_1}{(\rho_2 - \rho_1 + 1) (\rho_2 - \rho_1)} \]  

(B.26)
and

\[ \xi (\xi + 1) D_\xi \chi_n = \sum_{i=-1}^{i+1} e_{n+i} \chi_{n+i} \] (B.27)

with

\[ e_{n-1} = \frac{-\rho_1 \rho_2 (\gamma + \rho_1)}{(\rho_2 - \rho_1) (\rho_2 - \rho_1)} \] (B.28)

\[ e_n = \frac{\rho_1 \rho_2 (\rho_1 + \rho_2 + 2\gamma - 1)}{(\rho_2 - \rho_1 - 1) (\rho_2 - \rho_1 + 1)} \] (B.29)

\[ e_{n+1} = \frac{\rho_1 \rho_2 (\gamma + \rho_1)}{(\rho_2 - \rho_1) (\rho_2 - \rho_1 + 1)} \] (B.30)

Using these expressions and writing \( \chi_n \) as a linear combination of \( \chi_0 \), with \(-1 < i < 1\), in (B.21), one can proceed in the same manner as in the far region and obtain

\[ q_1 = (q_0 (n) - \alpha_H \beta_H) f_n - \delta e_n. \] (B.31)

Indeed, comparing the two expressions for \( q_1 \) from the far and near regions, using \( q_0 = \rho_1 \rho_2 \), one obtains an equality. We can now proceed to compute the first-order correction for \( \lambda \) from the expression for \( q_1 \). We have \( q = q_0 + sq_1 \) with

\[ q_0 = \frac{1}{4} \left( 2m_\phi - m_\phi^2 - \lambda_0 + 2m_\phi \omega \right) \] (B.32)

and

\[ q_1 = \frac{1}{4} \left( 2m_\phi + m_\phi^2 + 2m_\psi + 2m_\phi m_\psi + m_\psi^2 + \lambda_0 - m_\phi \omega \right) - \frac{1}{4} \lambda_1. \] (B.33)

Plugging this into (B.31) and solving for \( \lambda_1 \) we are left with

\[ \lambda_1 = -2 + 2n + 2n^2 - (1 + 2n) \omega + m_\phi + 2nm_\phi + \frac{(-4 + m_\phi^2) n(n + m_\phi)}{2n - \omega + m_\phi} \]

\[ + \frac{(-4 + m_\phi^2)(1 + n)(1 + n + m_\phi)}{2 + 2n - \omega + m_\phi}. \] (B.34)
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