Global analysis of protein homomerization in \textit{Saccharomyces cerevisiae}
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In vivo analyses of the occurrence, subcellular localization, and dynamics of protein–protein interactions (PPIs) are important issues in functional proteomic studies. The bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC) assay has many advantages in that it provides a reliable way to detect PPIs in living cells with minimal perturbation of the structure and function of the target proteins. Previously, to facilitate the application of the BiFC assay to genome-wide analysis of PPIs, we generated a collection of yeast strains expressing full-length proteins tagged with the N-terminal fragment of Venus (VN), a yellow fluorescent protein variant, from their own native promoters. In the present study, we constructed a VC (the C-terminal fragment of Venus) fusion library consisting of 5671 $\alpha$ strains expressing C-terminally VC-tagged proteins (representing $\sim$91% of the yeast proteome). For genome-wide analysis of protein homomer formation, we mated each strain in the VC fusion library with its cognate strain in the VN fusion library and performed the BiFC assay. From this analysis, we identified 186 homomer candidates. We further investigated the functional relevance of the homomerization of Pln1, a yeast periplin. Our data set provides a useful resource for understanding the physiological roles of protein homomerization. Furthermore, the VC fusion library together with the VN fusion library will provide a valuable platform to systematically analyze PPIs in the natural cellular context.

[Supplemental material is available for this article.]
5911 VN-tagged MATα strains with a coverage of 95% of all ORFs in S. cerevisiae. We demonstrated the utility of the VN fusion library by systematically analyzing the interactome of the small ubiquitin-related modifier. In the present study, we generated a collection of yeast MATα strains expressing full-length proteins tagged with VC, the C-terminal fragment of Venus, and screened protein homomer candidates at a genome-wide scale using the VC and VN fusion libraries. As far as we know, this is the first report that describes a genome-wide screen for protein homomers.

Results

Construction of the VC fusion library

To construct the VC fusion library, we employed the same method used in the previous study (Sung et al. 2013) with some modifications. First, we constructed the plasmid pFA6a-VC-LEU2 that can be used for switching C-terminally tagged epitopes to the VC tag (Fig. 1A), based on an epitope-switching strategy (Sung et al. 2008). A DNA fragment containing the VC tag and LEU2 marker sequences was amplified by PCR using pFA6a-VC-LEU2 as a template and a set of the universal primers F2CORE and R1CORE (Fig. 1A; Supplemental Table S1). The resulting PCR products were transformed into each strain of the TAP fusion library (Ghaemmaghami et al. 2003), which consists of 6097 MATα strains with chromosomal C-terminally TAP-tagged ORFs that encompass 98% of all ORFs. Transformants were subjected to medium selection (SC-Leu) and counter-selection (SC-His) to verify successful tag switching from TAP to VC at the corresponding locus. Following this procedure, we obtained 5956 VC-tagged MATα strains with a coverage of 95% of all ORFs (Supplemental Table S2).

Because the VN fusion library consists of MATa strains (Sung et al. 2013), the above-constructed VC-tagged MATα strains cannot be mated with the VN fusion library strains. To solve this problem, we introduced the plasmid pHJ132 carrying a galactose-inducible homothallic switchingendonuclease (HO) gene (Herskowitz and Jensen 1991) into each VC-tagged strain. After mating-type switching, pHJ132 was eliminated from cells to prevent further unintended mating-type switching. Through these steps, we finally obtained the VC fusion library consisting of 5671 VC-tagged MATα strains that cover 91% of all ORFs (Supplemental Table S3). Western blot analysis of some strains confirmed successful tag switching from TAP to VC (Fig. 1B).

Genome-wide screen for protein homomers using the VC and VN fusion libraries

Next, we set out to identify protein homomers by a genome-wide BiFC assay. We mated each strain in the MATα VC fusion library with its cognate strain in the MATa VN fusion library and analyzed the resulting diploid strains by fluorescence microscopy (Fig. 2A). Of 5597 strains examined, 630 showed BiFC signals above the background level. To identify false-positives arising from the self-assembly of VN and VC, we mated a MATa strain expressing VN alone, without any fusion partner, to the 630 VC-tagged strains identified above and performed the BiFC assay. Of the 630 strains,
444 showed fluorescence above the background level and were regarded as false-positives (Supplemental Fig. S1; Supplemental Table S4). Most of the 444 strains exhibited fluorescence signals of the same subcellular location in both screens (Supplemental Fig. S1A), while 16 showed signals localized to different cellular sites (Supplemental Fig. S1B). Consequently, we finally obtained 186 protein homomer candidates (Fig. 2B; Supplemental Table S5).

To validate the homomer candidates identified above, we performed a co-immunoprecipitation (Co-IP) assay using HA- and GFP-tagged strains. Of the 186 homomer candidates, we could detect Co-IP bands with 44 proteins, of which 19 were novel homomer candidates (Fig. 2C; Supplemental Fig. S2). We failed to detect Co-IP bands for 142 proteins, presumably because the homomorphic forms of these proteins are present at levels too low to be detected by western blotting or are severely lost during the immunoprecipitation procedure due to weak and transient interactions. Proteins with positive Co-IP results tended to have a high expression level, while the BiFC-positive homomer candidates exhibited a more evenly distributed expression level (Fig. 2D), suggesting that our approach is reliable for identifying protein homomers regardless of their expression levels or interaction strengths. We also examined the possibility that stable BiFC complex formation might cause lengthened duration of protein homomerization and lead to phenotypic change. However, we could detect little, if any, phenotypic change in cells expressing BiFC complexes (Supplemental Fig. S3), suggesting that the effect of BiFC complex formation on protein function is negligible for most proteins.

We noticed that some known homomers were not identified in our screen. There are several possible explanations. First, because we regarded any VC fusion proteins showing fluorescence with free VN as false-positives during the self-assembly analysis, true homomers may have been eliminated at this step. Indeed, among the 444 homomer candidates designated false-positives, 171 are known homomers reported in previous studies (Supplemental Table S4). Second, because it is estimated that BiFC can occur when VN and VC are fused to positions that are separated by a distance no greater than $\sim$10 nm (Hu et al. 2002), some homomers may be subject to topological constraints during the formation of BiFC complexes. We chose Rad52 to check this possibility. Rad52, a recombinase involved in the repair of DNA double-strand breaks, has been reported to form a homomeric ring (Shinohara et al. 1998), but a BiFC signal for Rad52 was not detected in our screen. We tagged VN and VC at the N terminus of Rad52 and performed the BiFC assay using four combinations. As shown in Supplemental Figure S4, only N-terminally VN- and VC-tagged Rad52 clearly exhibited a nuclear BiFC signal, suggesting that C-terminally tagged Rad52 has topological constraints preventing the BiFC complex formation. Given that...
we used C-terminally VN- or VC-tagged strains in our screen, it is reasonable to assume that several true homomers did not show positive BiFC signals due to topological constraints. Third, there is a possibility that C-terminal tagging of some proteins with VN or VC may disturb proper expression or folding of the fusion proteins and consequently lead to failure to make BiFC signals, as discussed later.

Characterization of protein homomers
In this study, we identified 186 protein homomers, with 144 (77%) soluble proteins and 42 (23%) membrane proteins. Among the 186 protein homomers, 82 are known to form homomers according to the *Saccharomyces* Genome Database (https://www.yeastgenome.org, as of June 14th, 2017), and 104 are novel homomers (Supplemental Table S5). Although there has never been an attempt to systematically screen protein homomers at a genome-wide scale, 519 homomer candidates have been reported in previous studies to define the protein interactome in *S. cerevisiae* (Krogan et al. 2006; Tarassov et al. 2008; Yu et al. 2008). Among the 186 protein homomers identified in this study, 47 overlapped with 519 homomer candidates in previous data sets, but the remaining 139 were defined only in this study (Fig. 3A). Our data set showed a modest concordance with previous reports from Krogan et al. (2006) (4%), Tarassov et al. (2008) (9%), and Yu et al. (2008) (3%) (Fig. 3B). This small percentage of overlap was similarly observed in comparisons between other studies. The identification of 104 new protein homomers in this study reflects the difference in the employed experimental methods between this study and previous studies, and thus, our data set appears to be highly complementary to the existing data sets.

The subcellular region in which the BiFC signal is detected represents the localization of the corresponding protein homomer. According to the Yeast GFP Fusion Localization Database (Huh et al. 2003; https://yeastgfp.yeastgenome.org), the protein homomers identified in this study were present at various subcellular regions (Fig. 3C). Protein homomers were significantly enriched in the bud neck (P = 0.0399), mitochondrion (P = 0.0444), vacuolar membrane (P = 0.0001), actin (P = 0.0122), lipid droplet (P = 0.017), and bud (P = 0.0363).

**Figure 3.** Characterization of protein homomer candidates. (A, left) Venn diagram depicting the comparison of homomer candidates identified in this study and those identified in three previous analyses. (Right) Analysis methods used in previous studies and the number of homomer candidates detected by each method. AP/MS, PCA, and Y2H represent affinity purification–mass spectrometry (Krogan et al. 2006), the protein complementation assay (Tarassov et al. 2008), and the yeast two-hybrid assay (Yu et al. 2008), respectively. (B) Bar graph depicting the comparison between the data set in this study and each of the three previous data sets. Black and gray bars indicate the number of homomer candidates that overlap and do not overlap, respectively. The percentage of concordance between data sets is indicated on the right of the bars. Numbers in parentheses indicate the total number of homomer candidates identified in two studies. (C) Subcellular localization of homomer candidates identified in this study. Black bars indicate whole proteins for which subcellular localization has been annotated in the Yeast GFP Fusion Localization Database (https://yeastgfp.yeastgenome.org), and gray bars represent homomer candidates identified in this study. Asterisks indicate significant differences compared with the proteome (Fisher’s exact test): (∗) P < 0.05; (∗∗) P < 0.01; (∗∗∗) P < 0.001. (D) Localization distribution of known and novel homomers. Gray and black bars indicate the number of homomer candidates that overlap and do not overlap, respectively. The percentage of concordance between data sets is indicated on the right of the bars. Numbers in parentheses indicate the total number of homomer candidates identified in two studies. Black bars indicate whole proteins for which subcellular localization has been annotated in the Yeast GFP Fusion Localization Database (https://yeastgfp.yeastgenome.org), and gray bars represent homomer candidates identified in this study. Asterisks indicate significant differences compared with known homomers (Fisher’s exact test): (∗) P < 0.05. (E) Venn diagram depicting the comparison between the BiFC signal and the GFP signal of each homomer candidate. Proteins with identical BiFC and GFP signal patterns are shown as a gray pie slice. Proteins showing the BiFC signal as foci or partially localized within the GFP signal are indicated as a green pie slice. Proteins for which subcellular localization has not been annotated in the Yeast GFP Fusion Localization Database are shown as a yellow pie slice. (F) Representative fluorescence images of proteins showing the same or partial localization between the BiFC and GFP signals. Scale bars, 2 μm.
Known and novel homomers were distributed evenly across various organelles except the mitochondrion, where novel homomers were highly enriched ($P = 0.0138$) (Fig. 3D). Comparison of protein homomers identified in this study with positive/negative reference sets (Supplemental Table S6, S7), adaptins (Supplemental Table S8), and p24 family proteins (Supplemental Table S9) revealed the suitability of BiFC assay to detect protein homomerization especially in membrane-bound organelles or vesicles. Among the six adaptins (Appl-6) acting in vesicle transport between organelles, three (Appl3, Appl4, and Appl6) were previously reported to be homomers (Babu et al. 2012; Schlecht et al. 2012), and four (Appl2, Appl4, Appl5, and Appl6) were detected in our BiFC screening, including two new homomer candidates (Supplemental Table S8). Also, among the eight p24 family proteins acting in the vesicle formation (Pastor-Cantizano et al. 2016), five (Emp24, Erp1, Erp3, Erp5, and Erv25) were previously reported to be homomers (Miller et al. 2005; Tarassov et al. 2008; Babu et al. 2012), and three of them (Emp24, Erp1, and Erv25) were detected in our BiFC screening (Supplemental Table S9).

Gene Ontology (GO) analysis revealed that the protein homomers identified in this study can be categorized into a variety of biological processes (Supplemental Table S10) and are significantly enriched in the biological processes of transport and cellular component organization. This finding is reasonable given that many transport-related proteins, such as channel proteins or vesicle proteins, form homomers to provide space and specificity for their cargo molecules (Agre and Kozono 2003; MacKinnon 2003).

Next, we compared the subcellular localization of the BiFC and GFP signals of protein homomers. Among 186 protein homomers, 156 showed identical localization patterns between the BiFC and GFP signals (Fig. 3E,F; Supplemental Table S5). For 21 proteins, the BiFC signal for homomerization appeared as foci or was partially localized within a region where the corresponding GFP fusion protein was detected (Supplemental Fig. S5). This observation suggests that only a small fraction of these proteins exist as homomers, while the rest stay in monomeric forms. It is also likely that the subcellular localization of some protein homomers is regulated differently from that of the monomeric forms.

Changes in protein homomerization upon nitrogen starvation

Nitrogen is an essential element in every living organism, and its deprivation induces an overall rearrangement of gene expression and signaling pathways in yeast (Gasch et al. 2000; Kamada et al. 2004; Conway et al. 2012), resulting in various phenomena, such as autophagy, filamentous growth, and the accumulation of specific macromolecules (Gimeno et al. 1992; Schulze et al. 1996; Parrou et al. 1999; Onodera and Ohsumi 2005). To investigate whether protein homomerization is influenced by nitrogen starvation, we incubated yeast cells expressing VN/VC-tagged protein homomers in nitrogen-deprived medium and examined changes in the BiFC signal pattern. We found that the BiFC signals of 21 proteins were significantly increased under nitrogen starvation, while those of 15 proteins were decreased (Fig. 4A–D; Supplemental Fig. S6; Supplemental Table S11). The GFP signal also increased in four (Aim17, Met14, Rd11, and Rvs167) of 21 proteins showing an increased BiFC signal under nitrogen starvation, and seven (Appl2, Bcp1, Caf120, Gly1, Mdh2, Myo2, and Mto4) of 15 proteins with a decreased BiFC signal under nitrogen starvation also showed a decrease in the GFP signal. This observation suggests that changes in the BiFC signal intensity of the above 11 proteins under nitrogen starvation are due to their altered expression levels. It is likely that the other 25 proteins, which showed changes in the BiFC signal intensity but not in the GFP signal intensity under nitrogen starvation, experience alterations in their homomeric states by nitrogen deprivation. We also performed a flow cytometric analysis for 16 proteins with increased or decreased BiFC signal intensity under nitrogen starvation. The BiFC signal intensity of all tested 16 proteins showed a consistent pattern between flow cytometry and fluorescence microscopy (Supplemental Fig. S7), regardless of their subcellular localization, supporting the reliability of the BiFC assay in monitoring changes in the homomeric states of proteins in nitrogen-deprived conditions.

Among the 186 protein homomers, 12 exhibited changes in the BiFC signal localization under nitrogen starvation (Fig. 4E; Supplemental Table S12). Nine (Arc35, Atp19, Iv6, Kap123, Noc3, Nop15, Rad51, Wtm1, and Yhr127w) of 12 proteins localized to punctate foci under nitrogen starvation. The GFP signal localization of 12 proteins was similarly changed by nitrogen deprivation (Supplemental Fig. S8), suggesting that changes in the BiFC signal localization of 12 proteins under nitrogen starvation are caused by translocation of the proteins and are not related to alterations in their homomeric states. Next, we examined whether the BiFC signals of protein homomers showing changes in the BiFC signal intensity (36 proteins) or localization (12 proteins) under nitrogen starvation were restored after reincubation in nitrogen-containing medium. We observed that all 48 proteins restored their signal intensity or localization (Fig. 4B,D,E; Supplemental Fig. S6), suggesting that the BiFC complex formation has little effect on the protein dynamics that occur in response to environmental changes.

Enhanced homomerization of Pln1 under nitrogen starvation

Pln1 (Ykr046c) is a lipid droplet protein (Athenstaedt et al. 1999), and its homomerization has not been reported before. C-terminally VN- and VC-tagged Pln1 showed a clear BiFC signal in our screen, and both the BiFC and GFP signals of Pln1 overlapped with that of RFP-tagged Erg6, a lipid droplet marker protein (Fig. 5A). The BiFC signal of Pln1 is not likely to be caused by nonspecific interaction between Pln1 monomers clustered in close proximity because VN-tagged Scs3, Sei1, and Yfh2, which act with Pln1 in lipid droplet biogenesis (Gao et al. 2017), could not produce BiFC signals with VC-tagged Pln1 (Supplemental Fig. S9). The BiFC signal of Pln1 significantly increased under nitrogen starvation (Figs. 4A, 5A,B; Supplemental Table S11). This increase in the BiFC signal seems to be due to increased homomerization of Pln1, rather than increased expression of Pln1, because the signal intensity of Pln1-GFP did not increase under the same condition (Fig. 5A,B).

We performed biochemical assays such as cross-linking and Co-IP to confirm the homomerization of Pln1 but failed to see any positive results. We inferred that Pln1 homomers are rather weakly or transiently formed, preventing their detection by conventional biochemical methods. To verify the homomerization of Pln1 and its increase under nitrogen starvation, we performed a fluorescence correlation spectroscopy (FCS) and photon counting histogram (PCH) analysis with C-terminally GFP-tagged Pln1. FCS and PCH analysis are well suited for examining the biophysical properties of protein complexes in living cells and have been successfully used to determine the state of protein homomerization (Rigler et al. 1993; Chen et al. 2002; Saffarian et al. 2007; Malengo et al. 2008). While free GFP exhibited a clear, predominant single peak in PCH analysis, Pln1-GFP showed more than
one peak (Supplemental Fig. S10), implying that Pln1 can exist as both monomers and homomers. Under nitrogen starvation, the PCH of Pln1-GFP slightly moved to the right (Fig. 5C). This observation is consistent with the increase in the BiFC signal of Pln1 under the same conditions and suggests that the population of Pln1 homomers is increased by nitrogen deprivation.

Involvement of Pln1 in lipid metabolism

In an attempt to define the function of Pln1, we performed a BiFC screen to identify its interactors. Based on previous studies, we suspected its role in respiration (Brown et al. 2000) or lipid metabolic processes (Athenstaedt et al. 1999; Currie et al. 2014), and we selected 44 proteins that are key components of the electron transfer chain, mitochondrial dynamics, or phospholipid/sterol synthesis (Supplemental Table S13). Forty-four VC-tagged strains from the VC fusion library were mated with a MATa strain expressing C-terminally VN-tagged Pln1, and the resulting diploids were analyzed by fluorescence microscopy. Among the 44 candidates, three (Fas1, Fas2, and Slc1) showed positive BiFC signals with Pln1-VN (Fig. 5D; Supplemental Fig. S11). Fas1 and Fas2 are the β and α subunits of the fatty acid synthase (FAS) complex (Schweizer et al. 1986; Mohamed et al. 1988), and Slc1 is an acyltransferase acting in phosphatic acid synthesis (Nagiec et al. 1993; Athenstaedt and Daum 1999). Although Fas1 and Fas2 are known to be present in the cytoplasm (Huh et al. 2003), interaction between Pln1 and Fas1 or Fas2 occurred on lipid droplets (Fig. 5D,E). We performed a Co-IP assay using GFP-tagged Fas1, Fas2, and Slc1 and Myc-tagged Pln1 to verify their interactions. As shown in Figure 5F and Supplemental Figure S11, all three proteins were efficiently coprecipitated with Pln1. Furthermore, the proportion of Pln1 physically interacting with Fas1 and Fas2 significantly decreased under nitrogen starvation, as demonstrated by both the BiFC assay (Fig. 5D,E; Supplemental Fig. S12) and Co-IP assay (Fig. 5F,G), suggesting that Pln1 can form a complex with FAS components, and the formation of this complex depends on nutrient availability.

Given that Fas1, Fas2, and Slc1 are crucial effectors in lipid metabolism (Raychaudhuri et al. 2012), we hypothesized that Pln1 may have a function in the same pathway. A recent study also proposed that Pln1 is a yeast perilipin functioning in neutral lipid homeostasis (Gao et al. 2017). To evaluate the physiological effect of Pln1 homomerization, we constructed yeast strains expressing Pln1 tagged with the leucine zipper (LZ) motif at its N terminus and with VC, VN, or GFP at its C terminus. LZ-Pln1 showed a significantly increased BiFC signal compared with that of Pln1 (Fig. 6A,B), indicating that LZ-Pln1 has a higher tendency to dimerize. The GFP signal intensity of LZ-Pln1 was similar to that of Pln1, indicating that LZ tagging does not disturb the expression or stability of Pln1. The BiFC signal intensity between LZ-Pln1 and Fas1 or Fas2 was lower than that between Pln1 and the FAS components (Fig. 6C,D), suggesting that LZ-Pln1 forms a weak interaction with Fas1 and Fas2, as Pln1 does under nitrogen starvation. In addition, cells expressing LZ-Pln1 showed a significantly increased triacylglycerol (TAG) level compared with that of cells expressing Pln1 (Fig. 6E). Recently, it was reported that TAG accumulates...
upon nitrogen starvation in various species (Breuer et al. 2012; Lopez García de Lomana et al. 2015). Consistent with these reports, we also observed a significantly increased TAG level under nitrogen starvation in *S. cerevisiae* (Fig. 6F). Taken together, our results suggest that the state of Pln1 homomerization is related to the regulation of intracellular TAG level in response to nutrient availability.

**Discussion**

In this study, we performed a genome-wide screen for protein homomers using the VC and VN fusion libraries. There are some caveats for using the VC and VN fusion libraries. Although the VC and VN fusion libraries cover >90% of all ORFs in *S. cerevisiae*, it does not reflect the actual number of proteins that can be successfully analyzed for their interactors. C-terminal tagging can perturb proper folding and function of some proteins. Additionally, some proteins are expressed in precursor forms and need to be cleaved for complete maturation, and C-terminally tagged VC or VN can be eliminated in this process. These proteins cannot generate BiFC signals even though they exist in sufficient amounts and form actual interactions. It is known that many proteins localized to the cell wall (van Berkel et al. 1994), peroxisome (Gould et al. 1990), and endoplasmic reticulum (Pelham et al. 1988) contain C-terminal targeting signals. The C-terminal fusion of a tag can cause mislocalization of these proteins through steric hindrance or interruption of critical C-terminal localization/retention sequences. Huh et al. (2003) identified 37 cell wall proteins, nine HDEL proteins, eight PTS1-containing proteins, and 11 fatty-acylated proteins that are mislocalized by the C-terminal fusion of GFP. Glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI)-anchored proteins have a conserved GPI anchoring signal at the C-terminal region, which is recognized and removed by GPI transamidase before attachment to GPI anchors (Pittet and Conzelmann 2007). Because tagging at the C termini of GPI-anchored proteins usually causes their mislocalization, internal tags have been used for studying these proteins (De Groot et al. 2003; Du et al. 2012; Zordan et al. 2015). For at least the above proteins, our strategy using C-terminal VC and VN fusions is not likely to provide valid data in PPI analysis. Indeed,
of the 22 putative GPI-anchored proteins (De Groot et al. 2003), 21
did not show any BiFC signals in our screen, except Ddr2.

Furthermore, given that we used C-terminally VN- or VC-
tagged strains in our screen, it is reasonable to assume that several
true homomers, e.g., Rad52 and Cet1 (Sung and Huh 2007),
did not show positive BiFC signals due to the topological constraints.
It is estimated that BiFC can occur when the fluorescent protein
fragments are fused to positions that are separated by up to a dis-

dance of ∼10 nm, provided that there is sufficient flexibility to al-

tow association of the fragments (Hu et al. 2002). Based on this
estimation, it is likely that we obtained negative BiFC signals for
protein homomers of which the C-terminal ends stay away (>10
nm) from each other. The future construction and utilization of
N-terminally VN- and VC-tagged strain collections will alleviate
BiFC signal changes. The MVB pathway is required for starvation-
induced degradation of plasma membrane proteins (Jones et al. 2012),
and its proper activation is related to autophagy (Lee et al. 2007).
Homomerization of these proteins may be an indication of the
MVB pathway activation. As such, analysis of the
dynamics of protein homomerization under stress conditions
raises many research issues and challenges, which need to be
addressed.

Our observations of the increase in Pln1 homomerization and
the decrease in the interaction of Pln1 with FAS components under
nitrogen starvation give new insights into the possible role of Pln1,
especially about its relation to TAG accumulation under nitrogen
starvation, which was also observed in many species (Breuer
et al. 2012; Lopez Garcia de Lomana et al. 2015). Although we
topological problems in genome-wide BiFC screens and greatly reduce false-
negative results.

In this study, we adopted the self-
assembly screen strategy because it is
practically impossible to construct a
specific negative control mutant defec-
tive in homotypic interaction for each of
a large number of homomer can-
didates. In the self-assembly analysis, 16
homomer candidates showed a different
localization pattern between the BiFC
signal of homomer and that of self-as-
semble (Supplemental Fig. S1B; Supple-
mental Table S4). Among them, eight
(Agp1, Atp14, Mup1, Pst2, Sec63, Sec7,
Sna3, and Vps4) are known homomers,
suggesting high enrichment of true
homomers in this population. Given
this, it is highly probable that the rest of
them may also form homomers. Even
with the stringent self-assembly screen,
our data set is highly complementary to
the existing data sets, and thus, combin-
ing the BiFC assay with other methods,
such as Co-IP, TAP/MS, and the yeast
two-hybrid assays, would help reduce
the number of false-positives. For example,
we anticipate that screening 444
false-positives by Co-IP assay would re-
cover many true homomers.

It is noteworthy that two compo-
nents of the EGO complex, Meh1 and
Slm4 (Binda et al. 2009), showed in-
creased BiFC signals upon nitrogen
starvation (Fig. 4A,B). Given that the
homomeric state of Sml4 has been re-
ported to be important for the regulation
of TORC1 signaling (Zhang et al. 2012),
it will be interesting to examine whether
Meh1 homomerization also influences
TORC1 signaling and how the increase
in homomerization of Meh1 and Sml4
is related to the regulation of TORC1
signaling. Several proteins involved in
the multivesicular body (MVB) pathway,
such as Did2 and Vps60 (Rue et al. 2008),
are also among the candidates showing

Figure 6. Involvement of Pln1 in lipid metabolism. (A) Representative BiFC and GFP images of cells express-
ing Pln1 and LZ-Pln1. Scale bars, 2 μm. LZ-Pln1 represents Pln1 tagged with the leucine zipper (LZ) motif.
(B) Quantification of the fluorescence intensity of cells in A. Gray and black bars indicate the fluo-
rescence intensity of cells expressing Pln1 and LZ-Pln1, respectively. Error bars, SD. Asterisks indicate sig-
ificant differences compared with Pln1 (Student’s t-test): (∗∗∗) P < 0.001. (C) Representative BiFC image of
cells expressing Pln1- or LZ-Pln1-VN and Fas1- or Fas2-VC. Scale bars, 2 μm. (D) Quantification of the
fluorescence intensity of cells in C. Gray and black bars indicate the fluorescence intensity of cells express-
ing Pln1 and LZ-Pln1, respectively. Quantitative and statistical analysis was performed as described in B.
(E) Measurement of TAG contents. TAG was measured as described in the Supplemental Material. Values
represent the average of eight independent experiments; error bars, SD. dgo13Δ and rds5ΔΔ cells were used as a
negative and a positive control, respectively (Oelkers et al. 2002; Kanagavijayan et al. 2016).
 Asterisks indicate significant differences compared with wild-type cells (Student’s t-test): (∗) P < 0.05,
(∗∗) P < 0.01. (F) TAG contents of cells under nitrogen starvation. The TAG levels in wild-type cells under
normal conditions and nitrogen starvation were measured. Values represent the average of three in-
dependent experiments; error bars, SD. Asterisks indicate significant differences compared with the nor-
mal conditions (Student’s t-test): (∗∗∗) P < 0.001.
detected homomerization of Pln1 in living cells by the BiFC and PCH assays, we failed to confirm it by biochemical assays using cell-free extracts. This failure could be due to the dimensionality effects related to Pln1 localization. Localization of Pln1 on the lipid droplet surface may enhance its homeric interaction by restricting its search space, as described in a recent study (Yogurtcu and Johnson 2018), and may help visualize its homomerization. Indeed, in an attempt to find a crucial domain for Pln1 homomerization, we observed that the N-terminal truncated Pln1 was abnormally localized to the cytoplasm and did not show a BiFC-positive homomeric signal (Supplemental Fig. S13). The physiological effect and its mechanism of perturbed Pln1 homomerization remain unclear and require further study. Aside from this, as far as we know, Pln1 is the only lipid droplet protein that physically interacts with Fas1 and Fas2. Further investigation into how and why Pln1 interacts with FAS components and Slc1 will help increase our knowledge about the regulation of lipid metabolism. Understanding the functional role of Pln1 in lipid metabolism will also be useful in bioengineering applications, given that increasing lipid production in microorganisms has long been a challenge (Brennan and Owende 2010; Zeng et al. 2011).

**Methods**

**Yeast strains and culture conditions**

*S. cerevisiae* strains used in this study are listed in Supplemental Table S14. Yeast cells were grown at 30°C in YPD (1% yeast extract, 2% peptone, and 2% glucose) or synthetic complete (SC) medium lacking appropriate amino acids for selection (Sherman 2002). For solid media, 2% agar was added. See Supplemental Methods for more details.

**Construction of plasmids**

The oligonucleotide primers used in this study are listed in Supplemental Table S1. For the construction of pFA6a-VC-LEU2 vector, the ∼1900-bp PCR product of LEU2 obtained using pCqLEU2 as a template and the ∼250-bp PCR product of Ashbya gossypii TEF terminator obtained using pFA6a-GFP-His3MX6 (Longtine et al. 1998) as a template, and with the two PCR products as templates, the ∼2150-bp PCR product was obtained as previously described (Horton 1997). Next, the obtained ∼2150-bp PCR product was digested with BamHI and Pmel and ligated into the BglII-Pmel-digested pFA6a-VC-KiURA3 (Sung et al. 2008), generating pFA6a-VC-LEU2. See Supplemental Methods for more details.

**Construction of the VC-tagged strain collection**

A chromosomally VC-tagged *MATα* strain collection was generated from the TAP-tagged collection (Ghaemmaghami et al. 2003) using the epitope switching strategy (Sung et al. 2008). An epitope switching module containing the VC tag and LEU2 marker sequences was amplified by PCR using pFA6a-VC-LEU2 as a template and a universal primer set F2CORE and R1CORE. The resulting module was transformed into each strain of the TAP-tagged strain collection, which consists of 6097 *MATα* strains with chromosomal C-terminally TAP-tagged ORFs. Transformed cells were spread on SC-Leu plates and incubated for 3 d at 30°C. To confirm correct switching from the TAP tag to the VC tag, colonies selected on SC-Leu medium were counter-selected on SC-His medium. For a chromosomally VC-tagged *MATa* strain collection, pH132 vector (Herskowitz and Jensen 1991) was transformed into each strain of the VC-tagged *MATα* strain collection. Transformed cells were spread on SC-Ura plates and incubated for 3 d at 30°C. Transformants selected on SC-Ura plates were grown in SC medium with raffinose overnight and then transferred to SC medium with galactose, followed by incubation for 4 h. An aliquot of each strain was spread on SC-Ura plates, and six colonies per strain were scored after 3 d. *MATα* cells were selected by the capability of mating with the reference mating type tester strain, and then pH132 vector was removed by 5-FOA treatment (Boeke et al. 1987).

**Microscopic analysis and fluorescence quantification**

For a genome-wide screen of protein homomers, each strain of the VC-tagged *MATα* strain collection was grown in YPD medium and mated with its cognate strain of the VN-tagged *MATa* strain collection. Diploid cells were then microscopically analyzed in 96-well glass-bottomed microplates (MGP096, Matrical Bioscience). Microscopy was performed on a Nikon eclipse E1 microscope with a Plan Fluor 100x/1.30 NA oil immersion objective. Quantification of fluorescent images was performed using the NIS-Elements imaging software (Nikon). See Supplemental Methods for more details.

**Co-IP assay**

Diploid cells expressing GFP- and HA- or Myc-tagged target proteins were used for Co-IP assay. Cell extracts were prepared as previously described (Sung et al. 2008). Proteins were loaded on SDS-PAGE gels and detected with a HRP-conjugated anti-GFP antibody (SC-9996 HRP, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), a HRP-conjugated anti-Myc antibody (SC-40 HRP, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), or a HRP-conjugated anti-HA antibody (SC-7392 HRP, Santa Cruz Biotechnology). See Supplemental Methods for more details.

**Western blot analysis**

Cell extracts were prepared as previously described (Sung et al. 2008). SDS-PAGE and western blot analysis were performed using standard methods with a HRP-conjugated anti-mouse IgG antibody (A9044, Sigma-Aldrich), a HRP-conjugated anti-rabbit IgG antibody (A6154, Sigma-Aldrich), a HRP-conjugated anti-GFP antibody (SC-9996 HRP, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), a HRP-conjugated anti-Myc antibody (SC-40 HRP, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), a HRP-conjugated anti-HA antibody (SC-7392 HRP, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), a HRP-conjugated anti-GFP antibody (600-103-215, Rockland), and a rabbit anti-hexokinase antibody (H2035-03, United States Biological).

**Flow cytometry**

Flow cytometry of cells was performed based on the description in the previous study (Murozuka et al. 2013) using BD FACSCanto II (BD Biosciences) equipped with BD FACSDiva 8.0.1 software. Samples were prepared as described in the Supplemental Material. One hundred thousand cells were analyzed at each condition; intensities of fluorescence of cells were plotted on a histogram using FITC (525 nm); and the geometric mean of fluorescence intensity was obtained using BD FACSDiva software. See Supplemental Methods for more details.

**FCS and PCH analyses**

FCS and PCH measurements were all performed at 25°C with a LSM 780 confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss) equipped with GaAsP multichannel spectral detectors as previously described (Herrick-Davis et al. 2013; Kim et al. 2017). Cells grown to OD<sub>600</sub> = 1.0 at 30°C in YPD medium were diluted to OD<sub>600</sub> = 0.1 in SC medium.
After 4 h of incubation, FCS and PCH measurements on yeast cells were recorded sequentially 10 times with a duration of 5 sec. One hundred forty cells were measured for each experiment. See Supplemental Methods for more details.

TAG quantitation assay

Intracellular TAG was quantified by using the Triglyceride Assay Kit - Quantification (ab65336, Abcam) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Detection was performed using the FlexStation 3 microplate reader ( Molecular Devices), and the absorbance at 570 nm was measured. The net absorbance was obtained by subtracting the absorbance of the blank and then normalized by the number of cells. See Supplemental Methods for more details.

Data access

The protein interactions from this study have been submitted to the IMEx Consortium (https://www.imexconsortium.org/) through DIP (https://dip.doe-mbi.ucla.edu) under the record no. IM-26661.
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