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Summary

Recent metagenomic studies have provided an unprecedented wealth of data, which are revolutionizing our understanding of virus diversity. A redrawn landscape highlights viruses as active players in the phytobiome, and surveys have uncovered their positive roles in environmental stress tolerance of plants. Viral infectious clones are key tools for functional characterization of known and newly identified viruses. Knowledge of viruses and their components has been instrumental for the development of modern plant molecular biology and biotechnology. In this review, we provide extensive guidelines built on current synthetic biology advances that streamline infectious clone assembly, thus lessening a major technical constraint of plant virology. The focus is on generation of infectious clones in binary T-DNA vectors, which are delivered efficiently to plants by Agrobacterium. We then summarize recent applications of plant viruses and explore emerging trends in microbiology, bacterial and human virology that, once translated to plant virology, could lead to the development of virus-based gene therapies for ad hoc engineering of plant traits. The systematic characterization of plant virus roles in the phytobiome and next-generation virus-based tools will be indispensable landmarks in the synthetic biology roadmap to better crops.
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Introduction

Viruses are the most abundant biological entities on Earth and can be found in the most diverse environments (Paez-Espino et al., 2016). The advent of high-throughput sequencing approaches reshaped our perception of plant viral and subviral agents, both in terms of diversity and of integration into phytobiome networks (Maliogka et al., 2018; Roossinck et al., 2015; Schoelz and Stewart, 2018). The number of genome resources for viral and subviral agents has increased steadily in the past 35 years (Figure 1a). In contrast to prokaryotes and similar to other eukaryotic viromes (Koonin et al., 2015), in plants, diversity and abundance of RNA agents exceed those of their DNA counterparts (Figure 1b,c). With these huge sequence datasets in our hands, the quest for ecological and biological characterization of novel viruses appears timely and appropriate (Canuti and van der Hoek, 2014; Massart et al., 2017; Roossinck et al., 2015). Since the first demonstrations that cloned genome copies of viral and subviral pathogens can initiate plant infections (Ahlquist et al., 1984; Cress et al., 1983; Howell et al., 1980), infectious clones became indispensable tools for characterizing the function of viral components (i.e., transcripts, proteins and non-translated elements) in the context of virus infections. They also provide a simple, standardized inoculation mode that improves reproducibility and facilitates host–virus interaction studies and crop breeding; this is especially useful for viruses that cannot be transmitted to host plants by mechanical inoculation of virions. Use of infectious clones is part of a proposed framework for the biological characterization of viral agents discovered by high-throughput sequencing technologies (Massart et al., 2017). In one case, full-length virus clones assembled from 700-year-old DNA samples restored the infectivity of an ancient plant virus identified in a metagenomic survey (Ng et al., 2014). Establishing reverse genetic systems of newly discovered viruses would help to dissect the contribution of individual viruses identified in mixed infections as well as to provide tools for the study and breeding of neglected and underused crops.

Viruses have been so far an amazing source of genetic elements generally used in all kind of biology approaches (Schoenfeld et al., 2010). Infectious clones and virus-derived devices are applied increasingly for virology-unrelated fundamental studies as well as for industrial production of biopharmaceuticals and nanomaterials. Plant virus components have been adapted for genetic circuit and biosensor design (Calles and de la Lorenzo, 2013; Cordero et al., 2018; Fernandez-Rodriguez and Voigt, 2016; Gao et al., 2018; Gray et al., 2010; Stein et al., 2017; Wehr et al., 2006), and unprecedented parts for plant synthetic biology may be about to be discovered from currently uncharacterized viruses.

In this review, we present advances in molecular and synthetic biology that hasten infectious clone assembly, thus lessening a major technical restraint of plant virology. Agrobacterium strains are used to transform plant cells by transferring into hosts the T-DNA cassette of binary vectors, and can be further exploited to launch virus infections in a method known as agro-inoculation or...
agro-infection (Grimslley et al., 1986). Due to their flexibility and efficiency, we focus on the construction and use of binary infectious clones, that is, T-DNA plasmids suitable for propagation in Escherichia coli and Agrobacterium, and including virus genome copies that can be delivered to plants by agro-infection. We further discuss current biotechnology applications of plant viruses and virus-derived constructs in general, and explore recent developments in the phage and medical research fields that could inspire future plant virus-based strategies for improvement of crop traits.

**Agrobacterium-mediated inoculation of plant viruses**

Plants can be inoculated using DNA or RNA infectious molecules, which can be obtained from infected plant samples or plasmid-based infectious clones. These molecules represent virus evolutionary snapshots that can be stably propagated in bacteria to produce large amounts of inoculum and provide a basis for reverse genetic studies of plant viruses. For RNA viruses, cDNA copies of virus genomes can be driven by bacteriophage promoters and transcribed in vitro to generate infectious RNA genomes. Plasmid clones of DNA viruses and RNA viruses whose cDNA genomes are driven by promoter sequences active in plants can be inoculated directly to plants by physical methods, such as foliar, stem, or leaf disk inoculation.

**Agrobacterium** can be used for stable or transient transformation of plant cells with exogenous DNA molecules (Krenke et al., 2015). A major discovery in plant virology was the demonstration that Agrobacterium can launch virus infections by treatment of host leaves with bacterial strains that harbour infectious clones of plant viruses (Grimslley et al., 1986). Briefly, single or multiple copies of virus genomes are inserted between the T-DNA left and right borders of a plasmid suitable for Agrobacterium replication. Once bacteria contact plant tissues, T-DNA cassettes are transferred into host cells, and host transcription and translation of T-DNA sequences trigger synthesis of the viral components needed to start autonomous infections. T-DNA cassettes do not require stable integration in host genomes, and transient expression is sufficient to achieve plant infection. This feature, together with Agrobacterium promiscuity and its extensive host range (Lacroix et al., 2006), made agro-inoculation a method successfully applied to dicot and monocot plants (Bhat et al., 2016; Grimslley et al., 1986, 1987; Liu et al., 2014; Lu et al., 2012; Scofield and Nelson, 2009) as well as herbaceous and woody hosts, including citrus, grapevine or apple (Cui et al., 2018; Dawson and Folimonova, 2013; Kurth et al., 2012; Velázquez et al., 2016; Zhang and Jelkman, 2017).

Given its simplicity and convenience, agro-inoculation is thus the most efficient and universal way of delivering to plants DNA or RNA viruses and subviral agents, such as viroids and satellites (Peyret and Lomonossoff, 2015). Binary infectious clones have been reported for many virus, satellite and viroid species with mono- or multipartite genomes, and which belong to phylogenetically diverse families (Figure 1c).

Assembly of binary infectious clones is relatively straightforward for DNA viruses, since their genomes can be subcloned directly into plasmid vectors and they harbour elements needed to drive expression of viral genes in plant. In contrast, cloning RNA viruses requires additional manipulation steps, for example, cDNA synthesis, and inclusion of promoter, terminator sequences that regulate expression of viral components in plants (Nagyová and Subr, 2007).

**Advanced methods for binary infectious clone assembly**

The main goal of infectious clone assembly is the construction of plasmid vectors that harbour a faithful copy of virus genomes, which in appropriate conditions can launch a plant infection that mimics natural ones. Detailed step-by-step protocols have been described elsewhere (Nagata and Inoue-Nagata, 2015; Pereymyslov and Dolja, 2007), and a workflow summary is shown in Figure 2. Here, we review recent improvements that have allowed one-step, streamlined assembly of binary infectious clones with no intermediate subcloning steps.

For decades, the most common approaches to assembly of DNA constructs and infectious clones have taken advantage of restriction endonuclease specificities to create compatible ends that were joined using DNA ligases. The presence or lack of restriction sites in vector backbone and viral sequences were major constraints to the assembly of large and multiple inserts (Nakahara et al., 2015). Cloning methods have been developed to overcome these limitations, thus allowing high-throughput assembly of DNA constructs (reviewed in (Chao et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2013)). Recombinase-based technologies, such as Gateway, Creator and Echo cloning and SIRA, are widely adopted for building of gene constructs due to their high efficiency, flexibility and comprehensive plasmid collections (Karimi et al., 2007). Current recombinase-based technologies are not scar-free, as recombination sequences are retained in final assemblies. Cloning scars might alter virus viability and infectivity, especially when multiple fragments are joined to span the entire virus genome sequence. Not surprisingly, in recent years, plant virologists have increasingly adopted seamless cloning strategies (Table 1). These include type IIS restriction endonuclease- and overlap-based methods, which have the advantage of joining 2–10 fragments in a predetermined order to yield final constructs lacking assembly scars, that is, seamless. Type IIS restriction enzymes cut DNA outside their recognition site and produce an overhang of 1–5 nucleotides, which can be chosen to generate a ligation product free of unwanted sequences. In Golden Gate cloning, a one-pot mix of type IIS endonucleases (i.e. Bsal or BsmBII) and a DNA ligase, allows simultaneous digestion and assembly of multiple fragments (Engler et al., 2008). Despite its advantages, use of Golden Gate has not been yet reported for construction of infectious clones. As traditional restriction enzyme-based methods, fragments with internal instances of the type IIS endonuclease recognition site are not suitable for Golden Gate, which complicates assembly of large virus genomes. Compared to Bsal or BsmBI, use of 7-nt type IIS cutters such as SapI and AarI cope better with large assemblies (Andreou and Nakayama, 2018), and could be a better choice for standardized high-throughput assemblies of infection clones.

Overlap-based methods are very versatile, extremely flexible and, by solving major constraints of restriction enzyme use, they are revolutionizing the construction of virus infectious clones. The GeneArt seamless cloning kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) contains a proprietary enzyme mix with exonuclease activity that allows one-step assembly of fragments with 15-bp homology; it has been used to build agroinfectious clones of genera Torradowirus (Wieczorek et al., 2015) and Cucumovirus (Wrzesińska et al., 2016). The In-Fusion system (Takara Bio, Mountain
View, CA) relies on the vaccinia virus DNA polymerase, which attacks linear DNA to expose 5' ends and promote annealing of fragments with 15-bp sequence overlap (Irwin et al., 2012). Molecules assembled by In-Fusion are not covalently joined and are sealed in vivo. A potyvirus infectious clone for in vitro transcription (Tuo et al., 2015) and a binary vector for agro-inoculation of a trichovirus have been generated by this method (Zhang and Jelkmann, 2017). In-Fusion was also used to engineer the first binary vector for plant delivery of a negative-stranded RNA virus (Wang et al., 2015). Notwithstanding, the one-pot, one-step isothermal assembly method described by Gibson et al. (2009) is by far the most popular. During Gibson assembly (SGI-DNA, La Jolla, CA), an exonuclease exposes 3' ends of linear DNA; then, fragments that share terminal overlapping homologies anneal and prime a DNA polymerase which fills overhang gaps; finally, a DNA ligase seals the nicks. In contrast to In-Fusion, Gibson assembly yields closed circular DNA molecules. Applied for reverse genetic studies of potyvirus clones (Bordat et al., 2015;
Pasin et al., 2014), Gibson assembly has been used to generate binary infectious clones of members of genera Tymovirus (Blawid and Nagata, 2015), Carlavirus (Carvalho et al., 2017), Comovirus (Bijora et al., 2017), Pottyvirus (Rose and Maiss, 2018), Polerovirus (Wetzel et al., 2018), Benyvirus (Lauber et al., 2018), Tobamo-virus (Vasques et al., 2018) and Begomovirus (Ferro et al., 2019).
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Gibson assembly versions with improved fidelity are commercially available (e.g. the NEBuilder HiFi DNA assembly mix; New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA), and have been used to generate binary infectious clones of ssRNA as well as ds- and ssDNA viruses of genera Potyvirus, Ipomovirus, Tobamovirus, Caulimovirus and Turniocurtovirus (Pasin et al., 2017, 2018).

As an alternative to in vitro assembly methods, circular plasmids can be produced in vivo by the cell endogenous homologous recombination machinery. Homologous recombination occurs naturally in yeast with high efficiency and fidelity, and has been used for decades to construct plasmids from DNA fragments containing homologous regions. More recently, co-transformation of yeast cells with 25 different overlapping fragments allowed correct assembly of a 590-kb molecule (Gibson et al., 2008). This finding highlights the extreme potential of in vivo yeast assembly, which has been used for target mutagenesis of a poliovirus clone (Liang et al., 2004), and later to assemble binary infectious clones of members of genera Trichovirus, Potyvirus and Mandarivirus (Cui et al., 2018; Sun et al., 2017; Youssef et al., 2011). Bacteria provide high transformation efficiency, plasmid yields and rapid growth rates, but homologous recombination efficiencies reported in E. coli are orders of magnitude lower than those of yeast. Unsuccessful results of bacterial homologous recombination prompted the design of new in vivo assembly strategies. Expression of RecET proteins from the Rac prophage or the Redβγ from lambda phage greatly improves homologous recombination in E. coli (Murphy, 1998; Zhang et al., 1998). These in vivo recombination systems, known as recombineering, are frequently used to engineer bacteriophage genomes (Lemire et al., 2018). Highly efficient homologous recombination between linear DNA molecules was obtained by direct transformation of E. coli strains expressing the RecET proteins (Fu et al., 2012). Although it has yet to be reported, use of recombineering and enhanced RecET-expressing bacteria are promising approaches for assembly of plant virus infectious clones in binary vectors.

**Improving clone stability**

Plant viruses are often recalcitrant to molecular cloning. Sequence alterations, such as point mutations and deletions, might arise during clone assembly and propagation in bacteria (Bedoya and Darós, 2010; Satyanarayana et al., 2003). Spontaneous acquisitions of DNA inserts and transposons that disrupt viral genes have also been reported (Donson et al., 1993; González et al., 2002; Tran et al., 2019; Yount et al., 2000). These have been linked to toxicity of unwanted viral expression products in bacterial hosts, and several approaches were therefore designed to tackle instability issues. Although inconvenient for routine cloning procedures, assembly chasses that better tolerate toxic, difficult constructs, such as yeast and Agrobacterium, have been used for binary clone assembly (Sun et al., 2017; Tuo et al., 2017; Youssef et al., 2011). Better choices for repeated plasmid manipulations are E. coli strains suitable for cloning unstable DNA, that is, Stbl2 and Stbl4 (ThermoFisher), and SURE2 (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA). Reduction in E. coli incubation temperatures (e.g. from 37 °C to 25–30 °C) slows bacterial growth rates and improves maintenance and propagation of problematic constructs. These approaches nonetheless palliate rather than solve plasmid instability. A popular strategy used to obtain stable infectious clones involves interruption of viral genes with eukaryotic introns that contain multiple stop codons; these avoid accumulation of undesired viral proteins and the consequent toxicity in bacteria (Johansen and Lund, 2008). After plant inoculation, introns are removed by splicing, viral sequences are reconstituted in vivo and infections initiate. Introns were successfully used to stabilize infectious clones of diverse viruses including large positive-strand RNA viruses from the Potyviridae, Closteroviridae and Coronaviridae families (Ambrós et al., 2011; González et al., 2002; Johansen, 1996; López-Moya and García, 2000). Insertion of multiple introns may be required to disrupt putatively toxic genes and stabilize clones (Bukovinszki et al., 2007; Gao et al., 2012). For the same purpose, targeted introduction of mutations that change translation frame or remove cryptic bacterial promoters and ribosomal binding sites from viral sequences has also been applied to improve clone stability (Chikh Ali et al., 2011; Pu et al., 2011; Satyanarayana et al., 2003). Although powerful, these strategies might require intermediate subcloning steps that complicate assembly designs.

In many cases, rational choice of vector backbones, regulatory elements and component layout is a sufficient and key factor in the assembly of stable infectious clones with intron-free, unmodified copies of full-length virus genome sequences (Bedoya and Darós, 2010). Use of binary vector backbones with reduced copy number origins lessens foreign DNA loads and potential bacterial toxicity of the viral sequences. Binary vectors with single-, low- or medium-copy origins are available (Hamilton et al., 1996; Pasin et al., 2017; Xiang et al., 1999) and have been used to generate infectious clones of members of families with large genomes, such as Potyviridae, Rhabdoviridae and Closteroviridae (Ambrós et al.,...
Choice of binary vector origin was also shown to affect Agrobacterium transformation efficiency in both stable transformation and transient expression assays (Pasin et al., 2017; Zhi et al., 2015). Cloning cassettes often include reporter genes under strong bacterial promoters (e.g. lacZs for white/blue screens). Improper removal of reporter genes and their regulatory elements could lead to the inadvisable result that the entire virus genome is constitutively expressed in the bacterial host. Insertion of virus genome cassettes in reverse orientation to genes needed for plasmid maintenance (i.e. resistance markers, replication proteins) helps prevent viral gene transcription, translation and consequent toxicity in bacteria (Bedoya and Darós, 2010). Flanking inserts with bacterial terminators avoids transcriptional read-through from adjacent sequences and increases plasmid stability. Binary vectors with strong synthetic bacterial terminators up- and downstream of the T-DNA cassette have been described, and used for assembly of clones with intron-free copies of virus genomes (Pasin et al., 2017, 2018).

A stable full-length cDNA clone of Zika virus was recently assembled into the linear vector pJAZZ (Annamalai et al., 2017; Godiska et al., 2010). Although not reported to date, linear plasmids may also be used to generate stable infectious clones of plant viruses.

Finally, basic microbiology skills are helpful for obtaining full-length infectious clones. A mixture of small and large colonies can appear during agar plate selection of transformed bacteria. As large colonies often contain partial or rearranged plasmids, small colonies should be selected for subsequent analysis (González et al., 2002). Bacteria with correct plasmids can have slow growth rates due to the burden associated with propagation of large inserts and/or with leaky expression and toxicity of viral genes (Satyanarayana et al., 2003). Long incubation times allow slow-growing colonies to appear and increase the chance of obtaining positive clones. For the assembly of novel infectious clones and as a rule of thumb, we thus recommend incubating E. coli plates at 30 °C (see above) for 36–72 h and that picking large colonies should be avoided. Long incubation times might also be required during E. coli growth in liquid cultures and infectious clone propagation in Agrobacterium.

...and inoculation efficiency

During cloning design, additional factors should be considered for success in obtaining viable infectious clones and to improve their inoculation efficiency. Knowledge of complete virus genome or reliable consensus sequences is highly desirable; for linear genomes exact, terminal sequences should be determined, since authentic termini are usually critical for virus viability. This holds true for each subgenomic component of multipartite viruses (Figure 1b), as partial virus reconstitution can preclude or alter authentic termini are usually critical for virus viability. This holds true for each subgenomic component of multipartite viruses (Figure 1b), as partial virus reconstitution can preclude or alter authentic termini, as partial virus reconstitution can preclude or alter authentic termini, as partial virus reconstitution can preclude or alter authentic termini, as partial virus reconstitution can preclude or alter authentic termini, as partial virus reconstitution can preclude or alter authentic termini, as partial virus reconstitution can preclude or alter authentic termini, as partial virus reconstitution can preclude or alter authentic termini, as partial virus reconstitution can preclude or alter authentic termini, as partial virus reconstitution can preclude or alter authentic termini. A guide to ribozyme design can be found elsewhere (Pasin et al., 2005).

Copies of viral sequences are usually obtained by in vitro amplification reactions. Short thermocycles and use of high-fidelity enzymes reduce accumulation of incidental mutations; error rates of commercial DNA polymerases have been recently assessed (Potapov and Ong, 2017). Once binary vectors with virus genome copies are obtained, their cloned sequences should be determined. The deoxyribo chain-termination method (Sanger) currently provides reads slightly <1 kb in length. In most cases, covering entire virus genome inserts can be tedious and time-consuming, since Sanger limited throughput forces to adopt primer walking strategies, involving several sequencing primers and reactions. A sequencing-by-synthesis approach (Illumina) and an automated read assembly pipeline were recently applied to validate binary infectious clones of members of the Virgaviridae, Geminiviridae, Caulimoviridae and Potyviridae families (Pasin et al., 2018). Despite Illumina short-read sequence reads, it was possible to correctly assemble the de novo complete virus genomes and vector backbones with no requirements for reference sequences, custom-made primer design or data analysis.

Agrobacterium is used as a delivery chassis to assess infectivity of virus clones in binary vectors. The reCA-deficient strains such as UIA143 and AGL1 are unable to carry out homologous recombination functions and are expected to stabilize large constructs (Farrand et al., 1989; Lazo et al., 1991). It is currently not known whether these strains confer any agro-infection improvement over their parental strains. Hypervirulent strains of Agrobacterium, such as the succinamopine-type EHA105 and AGL1, improve transformation efficiencies (Hellens et al., 2000; Zhi et al., 2015), and might increase agro-inoculation success rates. The chrysopine-type strain Chry5 was originally isolated from chrysanthemum (Shao et al., 2018) and its derivative strain CnyX was reported to have agro-inoculation efficiencies 100–1000 times higher than those of commonly used Agrobacterium strains (Roemer et al., 2007). Once delivered to plants, some viruses tolerate ancillary sequences derived from binary vector backbones, and genomes with authentic termini can be recovered after initial rounds of virus replication. Promoters designed to initiate transcription at the authentic 5’ end of virus cDNA and inclusion of synthetic ribozymes help remove non-viral nucleotides and improve infections of some RNA viruses (Turpen et al., 1993; Wang et al., 2015). The hepatitis delta virus antigenome ribozyme self-cleaves at its 5’ terminus and can release exact 3’ end of viral RNAs (Mörl et al., 2005; Wrzesińska et al., 2016). Proper design of hammerhead ribozymes allows the production of RNA genomes with the desired 3’ as well as 5’ ends. Hammerhead ribozymes show robust activity in diverse in vivo systems (Lou et al., 2012), are small and easily incorporated in amplification primers (Jarugula et al., 2018; Pasin et al., 2018; Peremyslov and Dolja, 2007). Viral sequences might interfere with ribozyme folding, leading to reduced or no cleavage. RNAfold is a useful predictor (Lorenz et al., 2011), and misfolding of hammerhead ribozymes can be solved by extending their 3’ end with nucleotides complementary to the virus genome terminus. A guide to ribozyme design can be found elsewhere (Mörl et al., 2005).
Box 1. Biological resource centres for plant virologists

Virus isolates are important references in taxonomic research and constitute the basis of fundamental as well as applied research studies. These include the construction of full-length infectious clones to analyse virus component functions, virus-host and virus-vector interactions. Infectious clones might also replace natural isolates in breeding screens to identify plant genotypes with enhanced virus resistance. Characterized virus isolates are indispensable for the development and validation of detection methods of any kind (biological, serological, molecular) and subsequently form the basis for the production of required positive controls for routine testing. Moreover, multiple isolates with diverse geographic origin and hosts allow the study of species diversity.

The vast majority of virus isolates are maintained by individual scientists at research institutes or in local working collections of diagnostic laboratories. Non-public collections often include a small number of species, but they may have considerable depth and specialization. Unfortunately, such collections might not be "visible", might be difficult to access and/or have limited financial and human resources. There are many public microorganism collections. In contrast to bacteria or fungi, it is not mandatory to deposit type isolates of newly described virus species. To ensure their long-term availability, it is the responsibility of each scientist to deposit isolates in curated virus collections with the infrastructure and sustainable funding to preserve them. Only a few biological resource centres maintain virus collections with worldwide, publicly accessible information about their resources and availability (Box 1 Table).

In recent decades, the implementation of quality management systems and accreditation of biological resource centres according to international standards such as ISO 17025 or ISO 17034 have gained importance and guarantee a comprehensive, unambiguous quality standard for their respective activities and reference materials.

Table A selection of biological resource centres providing plant virus materials

| Center                        | Country | Link                                                                 | Available resources                                                                 |
|-------------------------------|---------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Agriculture Agri-Food Canada  | CA      | Public portal under construction [1]                                 | Virus isolates primarily stored as freeze-dried tissue and some in live plants. Requests to Michael Bernardy (mike.bernardy@canada.ca) |
| ATCC                          | USA     | www.atcc.org/                                                        | Virus isolates stored as freeze-dried tissue, some as plasmids (partial genome clones) and antisera |
| Leibniz Institute DSMZ DE     | DE      | www.dsmz.de/                                                        | Virus isolates primarily stored as freeze-dried tissue and some in live plants, serological positive controls, nucleic acid extracts and antisera |
| NARO Genebank JPN             | JPN     | www.gene.affrc.go.jp/                                               | Virus isolates for research and educational purposes, results must be reported to NARO Genebank |
| Plant Virus GenBank KOR       | KOR     | http://knrrb.knrrc.or.kr/index.jsp?rb=pvgb                          | Virus isolates, plasmid clones and antisera. Limited English information              |
| Q-bank                        | Several | http://www.q-bank.eu/Virus/                                          | Q-bank is only a database, but provides information on virus isolates and contact details, where, and in what form they can be obtained |
| World Federation for Culture Collections | Several | http://www.wfcc.info/ccinfo/                                       | A worldwide directory of all registered culture collections. Not limited to plant viruses |

2015). A set of plant species can be tested as experimental hosts, especially if the virus natural host is unknown or difficult to obtain and raise. In the model plant Nicotiana benthamiana, Agrobacterium cultures are easily delivered by syringe infiltration to the leaf surface. Leaves of crop and non-model plants might not be suitable for conventional infiltration; agroinfection can be greatly improved by mechanical wounding and use of abrasives, detergents or surfactants (Azhakanandam et al., 2007; Gritsch et al., 2013; Gleba et al., 2014; Grimsley et al., 1986; Hahn et al., 2015; Krenek et al., 2015). Viruses might be asymptomatic, vertically inherited in host plants and lack cell-to-cell movement (Roossinck, 2010); these aspects should be taken into account during experimental design and result evaluation.

From clone assembly to de novo synthesis

The potential of synthetic biology is reflected by demonstrations that artificial and functional genomes can be generated by de novo synthesis and assembly. Due to their limited genome sizes, first proof-of-principle results were derived from synthesis of artificial replicons of viral pathogens (Schindler et al., 2018). Advances in phage engineering and assembly reports of synthetic eukaryotic viruses up to a 212-kb genome (Noyce et al., 2018; Schindler et al., 2018), whose size substantially exceeds that of the largest plant virus (Figure 1c), leads us to wonder whether future generations of virologists will need any molecular cloning skill at all. Current chemical DNA synthesis prices are not compatible with routine cloning, although a sharp price drop catalysed by new technology developments is expected in the near future (Schindler et al., 2018). In the plant virology field, infectious clones have been reported for delivery of tobamovirus, tombusvirus and potexvirus genomes synthesized entirely from scratch (Bouton et al., 2018; Cooper, 2014; Lovato et al., 2014). Due to errors in the reference sequence used, a first version of the synthetic tobamovirus genome was not infectious. Sequence changes were needed to restore clone infectivity (Cooper, 2014), further emphasizing the importance of faithful reference or consensus sequences in the assembly of infectious clones. Technological progress is likely to overcome this limitation. A pipeline described to filter nucleotide variants and determine a putative consensus was used to synthesize a 29.7-kb infectious cDNA clone of a coronavirus (Becker et al., 2008). Populations of viruses can exist as a quasispecies, that is, a haplotype collection, whose consensus sequence might not be infectious. Third-
generation sequencers produce (extra) long reads that allow
reconstruction of full-length viral haplotypes (Amr et al., 2019;
Pagán and García-Arenal, 2018). Chemical synthesis of selected
haplotypes would generate variant clones with faithful linkage
between mutations and that are more likely to be infectious than
consensus clones.

Cell-free cloning approaches have been developed to obtain
infectious constructs of plant DNA and RNA viruses (Fakhfakh
et al., 1996; Haible et al., 2006; Jailani et al., 2017; Youssef
et al., 2011). Uncloned genome copies are obtained by in vitro
amplification and reaction products are used directly to inoculate
plants by rubbing or biolistic delivery. Generation of infectious
genome copies by in vitro amplification provides a quick means
for preliminary studies, but it is likely not suitable for extensive
reverse genomic studies. Drastic decreases in DNA synthesis
costs will allow the manufacture of entire virus genome libraries
and could fuel a revival of uncloned constructs for plant inoculation.

Virus-induced plasticity and physiology of plant
infections

Plants, their associated micro- and macroscopic organisms
together with the environmental space they occupy constitute
the phytobiome. By facilitating detection and identification of
nucleotide sequences, high-throughput sequencing technologies
enable unprecedented opportunities for plant viral and subviral
agent discovery (Maliogka et al., 2018; Roossinck et al., 2015;
Schoelz and Stewart, 2018; Wu et al., 2012). Compared to virus
discovery, however, technological advances for efficient biologi-
cal characterization of newly identified and known viruses lag
behind, thus hampering systematic evaluation of virus-derived
effects on their hosts and other taxa within the phytobiome
(Massart et al., 2017; Schoelz and Stewart, 2018). Communication
networks of phytobiome taxa can result in unforeseeable and
surprising outputs that, once properly understood, might be
hijacked to improve crop physiological and agronomic traits
(Schoelz and Stewart, 2018). The roles of fungi and bacteria in
promoting plant fitness and their impacts on plant phenotypic
plasticity are well documented (Goh et al., 2013). Despite their
minimal genomes, viruses can have dramatic effects on plant
physiology and plasticity; thus, virus infections may be seen as a
means to unleash the phenotypic potential of a defined plant
genotype. Plant viruses are known to manipulate their hosts and
infect vectors to promote viral transmission (Groen et al., 2017);
however, virus-induced plasticity and its beneficial effects on
plant traits are insufficiently studied. Desirable virus-induced
phenotypes include drought or cold tolerance, increased resis-
tance to some pathogens and the renowned flower colour
breaking that spurred breeding of tulip genotypes that mimic
virus infection phenotypes even in the absence of pathogens
(Perrone et al., 2017; Ramegowda and Senthil-Kumar, 2015;
Schoelz and Stewart, 2018).

Due to its efficiency and universality, agro-infection can be
seen as a standardized method to deliver and dissect physiological
and phenotypic outputs of a plant genotype upon virus infection.
Similar to other research fields (Groöfinsky et al., 2018; Houle
et al., 2010), future use of phenomenology for comprehensive
characterization of virus-induced physiology and phenotypic
variations, in standard and extreme environmental conditions,
will facilitate recognition of virus contributions to the phyto-
bioime.

Cutting-edge applications of plant viruses

Although fortuitous, the use of viruses to enhance the beauty of
ornamental plants can be considered the first recorded applica-
tion of plant viruses (Valverde et al., 2012) (Table 2). Intentional
agricultural uses of plant viruses were also reported. Natural
virus strains and engineered mutants with a mild or attenuated
symptomatology can safeguard plants from more severe infec-
tions through a phenomenon called cross-protection. Described
almost 100 years ago, cross-protection has been applied to
promote the health of crops, including cucurbits, papaya and
citrus (Ziebell and Carr, 2010). Commercial use of viroids is
approved in United States to induce desired dwarfing in citrus
trees and increase yields per land surface unit (Vidalakis et al.,
2011). Plant viruses have been used as herbicides to control
invasive weeds by inducing lethal hypersensitive reactions
(Harding and Raizada, 2015). The very first approval for open
field use of a plant virus as a bioherbicide was granted in 2015.
Tobacco mild green mosaic virus is the active ingredient of
SolvNlix (BioProdex, Gainesville, FL), currently sold in United
States for selective control of tropical soda apple (Charudattan
and Hiebert, 2007; Charudattan et al., 2009). Plant viruses are
also sources of biomaterials and nanotechnology tools, which
are summarized in Table 2 and have been extensively reviewed
elsewhere (Steele et al., 2017; Wen and Steinmetz, 2016).
Engineered capsid proteins were used to enhance bioavailability
of toxins and small molecules to control insects and nematodes
(Bonning et al., 2014; Cao et al., 2015).

Full-length infectious clones can be engineered and optimized
as viral vectors (Table 2; Figure 2). Almost four decades ago,
pioneering studies demonstrated the potential of viruses as
delivery vectors for transferring exogenous sequences to plants
(Brisson et al., 1984; French et al., 1986; Gronenborn et al.,
1981; Takamatsu et al., 1987). Since then, efforts of the scientific
community established viral vectors as an alternative to stablly
transformed transgenic or transplastomic plants for industrial
production of a wide range of pharmaceuticals (Gleba et al.,
2014; Heffron, 2017). By maintaining development time and
costs to a fraction of those required for stable transformation,
the combination of Agrobacterium and viral vectors maximizes
flexibility, scalability and yields. Agrobacterium-based expression
has proven the most significant progress in the manufacturing of
plant-made proteins and compounds (Marillonnet et al., 2005;
Peyret and Lomonossoff, 2015). Apart from their use for protein
expression, viral vectors are also widely applied in functional
genomic studies for targeted down-regulation of endogenous
transcripts via RNA silencing (Dommes et al., 2018; Tang et al.,
2010). Stable genome alterations in plant cells have been
reported by viral expression of sequence-specific nucleases or
use of virus-delivered sequences as template for targeted DNA
replacement (Ali et al., 2015; Baltes et al., 2014; Gil-Humanes
et al., 2017; Honig et al., 2015; Marton et al., 2010; Wang
et al., 2017). Once editing efficiency and heritable transmission
of modified alleles are optimized, virus-mediated genome editing
would bypass requirements for plant transformation and regen-
eration, thus expediting the engineering and breeding of new
crop varieties. Lack of exogenous sequences would make
genome-edited plants indistinguishable from those obtained by
traditional mutagenesis methods and potentially avoid the need
for regulatory approval (Globus and Qimron, 2018). Regulatory
procedures nonetheless vary from country to country, and a
Table 2: Biotechnology applications of plant viruses

| Use                                      | Description                                                                 | References |
|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Enhanced plant aesthetics                | Increase beauty and commercial value of ornamental plants                    | Valverde et al. (2012) |
| Cross-protection                         | Delivery of mild virus strains to prevent infections by their severe relatives | Ziebell and Carr (2010) |
| Weed biocontrol                          | Viruses triggering lethal systemic necrosis as bioherbicides                 | Harding and Raizada (2015) |
| Pest biocontrol                          | Enhanced toxin and pesticide delivery for insect and nematode control        | Bonning et al. (2014); Cao et al. (2015) |
| Nanoparticle scaffolds                    | Virion surfaces are functionalized and used to assemble nanoparticles         | Schoonen et al. (2015); Steele et al. (2017); Wen and Steinmetz (2016) |
| Nanocarriers                             | Virions are used to transport cargo compounds                                 | Aumiller et al. (2018) |
| Nanoreactors                             | Enzymes are encapsulated into virions to engineer cascade reactions          | Brasch et al. (2017); Cornellas-Aragonès et al. (2007) |
| Biomaging                                | Virions are functionalized with dyes or contrast agents to enhance cell imaging | Shukla et al. (2013) |
| Recombinant protein/peptide expression   | Fast, transient overproduction of recombinant peptide, polypeptide libraries and protein complexes | Dugdale et al. (2013); Gleba et al. (2014); Julve Parreño et al. (2018) |
| Functional genomic studies               | Targeted gene silencing using VIGS and miRNA viral vectors                  | Dommes et al. (2018); Tang et al. (2010) |
| Genome editing                           | Targeted genome editing via transient delivery of sequence-specific nucleases | Zaidi and Mansoor (2017) |
| Metabolic pathway engineering            | Biosynthetic pathway rewiring to improve production of native and foreign metabolites | Bedoya et al. (2012); Kumagai et al. (1995); Majer et al. (2017); Mozes-Koch et al. (2012); Zhang et al. (2013) |
| Flowering induction                      | Viral expression of FLOWERING LOCUS T to accelerate flowering induction and crop breeding | McGarry et al. (2017) |
| Crop gene therapy                        | Open-field use of viral vectors for transient reprogramming of crop traits within a single growing season | Gleba et al. (2014) |
| Biomolecule evolution                    | Libraries of target sequences are cloned into viral vectors; directed in vivo evolution selects improved or new functions | n.r. |

n.r., not reported.

setback has arisen from a recent European Union court ruling stating that gene-editing technologies are subject to all obligations of genetically modified organisms (Callaway, 2018).

An exciting and promising approach to tackling time-consuming breeding schemes and regulatory approval procedures would be the use of virus-based vectors as gene therapy tools for existing crop varieties. Recombinant viral vectors are common means to genetically reprogrammable mammalian cells for basic research and therapeutic purposes. Engineered human viruses have proven to be effective oncolytic agents and delivery vehicles for gene therapy and genetic circuits, and are studied in an increasing number of clinical trials (Kaufman et al., 2015; Kotterman et al., 2015; Nissim et al., 2017). In 2005, a genetically modified adenovirus was approved as an oncolytic drug for cancer treatment. In 2012, an adeno-associated virus-based vector was approved for gene therapy treatment. On these same lines and as suggested by Gleba et al. (2014), what if we could use engineered plant viruses as gene therapy tools to reprogramme field-grown crops? As proof of principle, viral vectors have been used to rewire plant biosynthetic pathways by delivery of transcription factors, targeted knock-down of metabolic genes or overexpression of heterologous enzymes (Bedoya et al., 2012; Kumagai et al., 1995; Majer et al., 2017; Mozes-Koch et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2013). Such approaches might be applied for crop biofortification as an alternative or in conjunction to standard breeding and transgenic strategies. Secondary metabolites can also act as volatile signals (semiochemicals) for other members in the phytobiome (Pickett and Khan, 2016). Plant viruses might be exploited for pest control of crops by engineering production of semiochemicals that act as pest repellents or recruiting signals for predators and parasitoids. Complex physiological and developmental traits can be reprogrammed using engineered viruses and comprehensive knowledge of the molecular biology and genetics of model plants. Virus-induced gene silencing can be used to suppress negative regulators of desired crop traits and, in a complementary approach, positive regulators can be ectopically expressed using viral vectors. One of the most successful examples of the latter is control of flowering through viral overexpression of the FLOWERING LOCUS T (FT) gene, an approach termed virus-induced flowering that was first reported in cucurbits (Lin et al., 2007). Flowering induction in tree species with a long juvenile phase may take years or decades. Virus-induced flowering promotes FT accumulation, early flowering and has been applied to accelerate genetic studies and breeding programmes of cotton, citrus and apple (McGarry et al., 2017; Velázquez et al., 2016).

Engineering of complex regulatory circuits and traits might require the use of multiple components. Viral vectors permit co-expression of various proteins that can be targeted to diverse subcellular compartments (Majer et al., 2015). Multiple proteins have been expressed simultaneously using single viral vectors. Genes of interest are placed under the control of independent subgenomic promoters or expressed as large polyproteins that are processed post-translationally by virus-encoded proteases or self-cleaving 2A peptides to release functional subunits. Subgenomic segments of multipartite viruses can be modified to host expression cassettes (Figure 1b). Systems based on multipartite viruses and helper viruses/satellites have been reported for protein co-expression and dual gene silencing (Cheuk and Houdé, 2018; Liu et al., 2014, 2017; Peyret and Lomonossoff, 2015).

**Synthetic virus populations and consortia**

Plant viruses can exist as populations, and mixtures of different species are common in nature (Elena et al., 2014; Pagán and García-Arenal, 2018). The use of synthetic multispecies
communities is an emerging trend to augment microbiome systems for industrial and environmental biotechnology (Johns et al., 2016). Simultaneous delivery of viruses with specialized tasks can additively give rise to population functions that are simply more efficient or could be difficult or impossible to achieve otherwise (Figure 3). Features of synthetic virus communities might include ease of assembly and optimization of individual subsystems as well as the possibility to diversity and compartmentalize functions. Low cargo capacity is often seen as a major constraint of viral vectors. Large multicomponent sequences can be divided across different vectors to reduce individual genomic loads and burden. In plants, a combination of noncompeting viral vectors was shown to drive high-yield expression of heterooligomeric protein complexes that cannot be obtained with a single viral vector (Giritich et al., 2006). Although co-infecting viruses can coexist and cooperate, antagonism is also a common behaviour known as superinfection exclusion. This event often occurs in co-infections of phylogenetically related viruses and results in somatic mosaics (individual clone compartmentalization into defined plant cell clusters; Figure 3). Very recently, the superinfection exclusion phenomenon was leveraged by a virus population approach to boost recombinant polypeptide diversity and recover hundreds of variants from plants (Julve Parreño et al., 2018). While designing synthetic virus populations and communities with predictable outputs poses outstanding challenges, its implementation has the potential to be a disruptive advance in plant synthetic biology and crop engineering.

Increased genetic loads of virus consortia would make it feasible to transiently deliver entire heterologous or synthetic pathways to plants (Figure 3). In bacteria, a variety of metabolic processes are compartmentalized in microcompartments with semipermeable protein shells (Kerfeld et al., 2018). The confined microenvironment improves metabolic flux by intermediate trapping, enzyme crowding and protection; this is also thought to prevent unwanted side reactions and release of toxic metabolic intermediates. Capsids from plant viruses have been repurposed as in vitro nanoreactors (Table 2), that is, protein shells for enzyme-catalysed cascade reactions (Brasch et al., 2017; Comellas-Aragonès et al., 2007). To further expand the design space and given their genetic modularity, plant viruses can be used to express protein scaffolds found in other biosystems (Pieters et al., 2016) (Figure 4). For instance, vault and encapsulin nanoparticles assemble in heterologous eukaryotic systems when expressed using viral vectors (Rome and Kickhoefer, 2013; Sigmund et al., 2018). Shell proteins of cyanobacterial carboxysomes can self-assemble into organized structures once transiently expressed in plants by agro-infiltration (Kerfeld et al., 2018; Lin et al., 2014). Use of viral vectors and virus consortia for in vivo engineering of biocompartments could provide a way to enhance photosynthetic performance and other metabolic traits of crops.

Synthetic plant virus populations could also be used to obtain biomolecules with improved or new functions by virus-directed evolution. Phage-assisted continuous evolution (PACE) was successfully applied in bacteria to develop tailor-made protein-nucleic acid and protein–protein interactions (Brödel et al., 2018). Such a virus–bacterium system was exploited to evolve Bacillus thuringiensis δ-endotoxins with enhanced insecticidal potency and agricultural utility (Badran et al., 2016). Protein solubility, folding, posttranslational modifications and the biochemical context could limit PACE applicability for eukaryotic proteins. The design of suitable virus–plant methods would permit to evolve plant-specific biomolecules (e.g. photosynthetic, membrane proteins) not amenable to bacterial expression and selection.

**Biocontainment strategies for the real world**

Containment of plant viruses in laboratories and closed facilities can be achieved by specific regulatory frameworks that include physical and logistical barriers as well as staff training, which have been reviewed recently (Brewer et al., 2018). Notwithstanding, a major goal of synthetic biology is to build engineered organisms with improved or new functions and applications that can solve real-world needs (Liu and Stewart, 2015). Advanced biocontainment systems have been designed to control microorganism escapes (Johns et al., 2016; Lee et al., 2018).

The aim for applied research and future commercial uses of engineered plant viruses calls for innovative biodesigns that limit agricultural and environmental risks. In plants, current approaches to avoid unwanted spread of viral vectors include

![Figure 3](image3.png) **Figure 3** Engineering synthetic virus populations and consortia. Viral vectors for co-expression of various heterologous proteins (in cyan, yellow and magenta). Top, functions of antagonistic viruses can be compartmentalized by superinfection exclusion events. Bottom, individual functions of cooperating viruses can synergize and give rise to consortium functions; virus interdependencies might also be engineered as biocontainment systems.

![Figure 4](image4.png) **Figure 4** Virus-mediated engineering of biocompartments. Examples of protein shells for in vivo biocompartment engineering; protein data bank (PDB) accessions are indicated: 5FMO, virus-like particles of cowpea mosaic virus; 1CWP, cowpea chlorotic mottle virus virion; 4PT2, *Myxococcus xanthus* encapsulin protein; 5V74, *Halallium ochraceum* microcompartment shell; 2QZV, rat vault shell. Molecule surfaces were rendered in Chimera (Pettersen et al., 2004); scale bar, 10 nm.
the mutagenesis or deletion of genes necessary for virus transmission. Potyvirus expression vectors with coat protein mutations that impede aphid transmissibility have been reported, and tobavirus-based vectors often lack nematode transmission genes (Peyret and Lomonossoff, 2015; Tourino et al., 2008). Additional non-essential virus genes with undesired functions can be removed in a deconstructed virus strategy to increase expression efficiency, control and overall safety. Safety can be further improved by eliminating essential genes needed for virus amplification and systemic spread. Activation of defective viral vectors was reported through the controlled use of recombinases, splicing or in transgenic hosts that provide the essential gene functions in trans (Dugdale et al., 2013; Fukuizawa et al., 2011; Gleba et al., 2004; Marillonnet et al., 2004). To overcome needs of transgenic hosts, this concept can be superseded by design of synthetic virus communities in which viral clones are forced to coexist by engineered interdependencies (Figure 3, bottom). For instance, systemic spread of defective clones can be restored by community members that express movement proteins with orthogonal functions. Reduced escapee rates are predicted, since unwanted virus releases would be possible only by simultaneous escapes of all the community members or by breaking community rules (e.g. restoring recombination).

Virus containment can be regulated conditionally by supplying chemical compounds or unnatural genetic elements (Kelemen et al., 2018). Insertion of non-sense and unnatural codons into essential virus genes can condition the replication of engineered viruses to the presence of specific chemical and genetic suppressors. Unnatural amino acids and quadruplet codons were used to generate live replication-incompetent viruses as safer vaccines (Chen et al., 2018; Si et al., 2016). In plants, viral expression of the cytochrome P450smt, conferred conditional plant sensitivity to the R7402 proherbicide (Whitham et al., 1999). Similar approaches can be used to negatively select infected plants and control escapes. A removable RNA virus vector was generated by including a target site of an inducible endogenous miRNA (Chuyo et al., 2017). Organ-specific miRNAs can be used to selectively deplete viral vectors. Topically applied double-stranded RNA molecules represent an emerging, attractive alternative for control of plant viruses that could be adapted for the targeted removal of specific viral vectors (Mitter et al., 2017). Public acceptance of crop gene therapies might be fostered by biodesigns that improve overall plant fitness while yielding virus-free fruits, seeds and other edible parts.

Use of Agrobacterium for viral vector delivery would require release of engineered bacteria into the environment. Fortunately, Agrobacterium is ubiquitous in field soils, and auxotrophic strains have been generated that need exogenous metabolite supplies and are unable to survive in nature (Collens et al., 2004; Marillonnet et al., 2012; Ranch et al., 2012). Programmable biocircuitry circuits that control bacterial survival by conditionally activating toxin expression or repressing essential genes reduce escapee rates by several orders of magnitude (Lee et al., 2018). Implementation of kill switches in Agrobacterium cells could provide an additional layer of biocircuitry.

Future perspectives
To make the most of metagenomic data, solid workflows are needed to set up reverse genetic systems for plant viruses. Conversely, metagenomics and high-throughput sequencing technologies facilitate building of reliable study systems for known viruses. Agrobacterium-mediated infection provides a simple, convenient and efficient method already used for many plant viral and subviral agents. Its potential and throughput can be greatly increased by adoption of synthetic biology strategies for assembly of binary infectious clones. Obtaining infectious clones of double- and negative-stranded RNA viruses is still a challenge (Figure 1c). Reports of success for human viruses (Desselberger, 2017; Mogler and Kamrud, 2015) and, more recently, for plant viruses (Ishibashi et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2015) indicate that establishing reverse genetics systems for major plant viruses is just a matter of time. Current DNA synthesis advances allow recreation in principle of all known (plant) viruses and pave the way towards manufacturing computationally designed synthetic systems, including artificial viruses and micro-biomes (Butterfield et al., 2017; Johns et al., 2016).

Knowledge of virus genetics and biology contributed to the foundations of modern plant molecular biology and biotechnology. In the same way, the systemic analysis of virus–phytobiome interactions would provide valuable resources for plant fundamental and applied research. In plants, approaches based on viral vectors or virus-derived components have been used for a wide range of applications — pharmaceutical production, high-density fruit tree plantings, weed and pest biocontrol, metabolic pathway and circuit engineering, plant genomic studies, targeted editing and ad hoc flowering induction, to name a few. The coupling of Agrobacterium-mediated delivery and virus vectors prompted a leap in flexibility and scalability of plant expression systems. To better understand how the power of agro-infection could affect the future of plant biotechnology, readers are invited to refer to an illuminating review by Gleba and coworkers (Gleba et al., 2014). In optimal agro-infection conditions, as few as eight Agrobacterium cells (and possibly a single cell of the supervirulent CryX strain) are needed to initiate viral replication (Marillonnet et al., 2005; Roemer et al., 2015). Plant delivery of collections of virus species and genome variants can be achieved easily and efficiently by bacteria pooling. These notions open a new dimension for virus genomic and virus–virus interaction studies and have already spurred the development of the first biotechnological applications of synthetic virus populations.

Considering the agro-infection potential, successes and acceptance of virus-based therapies for clinical uses, it seems reasonable to imagine a future in which plant viruses are applied in crop gene therapies. A growing number of agrochemical and rapidly emerging venture-backed companies are centring their attention on repurposing plant-associated microbes to replace chemical fertilizers and pesticides, and improve crop stress tolerance and yield (Brophy et al., 2018; Mueller and Sachs, 2015; Waltz, 2017). Advantages of engineered viruses include easier, faster component characterization, which can reduce costs of technology development and at the same time improve flexibility, to create new products and crop traits as well as to meet evolving market needs. Complex traits could be engineered using virus populations and consortia as platforms for the delivery of sequence-specific editors and transcriptional modulators, genetic circuits or biosynthetic pathways (Kassaw et al., 2018; Knott and Doudna, 2018; Mahas et al., 2018; Murovec et al., 2017; Wurtzel and Kutchan, 2016). Regulatory requirements and obstacles for commercial use of crop gene therapies that employ viral vectors are still unclear. The legislative burden for approval of engineered microorganisms might be significantly lower than that for genetically modified plants. Of note and likely
Figure 5  Virus-based gene therapies for crops. Agro-infection of engineered viruses might be used for ad hoc, transient reprogramming of field-grown plants. The tomato production cycle and representative traits that might be altered by use of viral vectors are shown.

applicable to viral vectors in general, possible stances of regulatory agencies on the agronomical use of the virus-induced flowering system were recently analysed (McGarry et al., 2017).

Innovative bioccontainment solutions that remove or severely narrow chances of escapes and natural ecosystem risks would probably maximize benefits to society and its acceptance of engineered viruses for agricultural and horticultural uses. In the short term, whereas approval for open-field use of viral vectors appears unrealistic until robust bioccontainment solutions are devised, we can envisage specialized greenhouse facilities applying gene therapies to high-value horticultural plants (Figure 5). For instance, achievements in plant genetics can immediately be leveraged to design virus-based therapies to enhance tomato productions (Azzi et al., 2015). In tomato, customized control of plant architecture, fruiting precocity, fruit flavour, parthenocarpy, drought tolerance and whitely resistance can be achieved by virus-mediated regulation of branching factors (Martín-Trillo et al., 2011), flowering induction (Lifschitz et al., 2006), organoleptic compound contents (Tieman et al., 2017; Zhu et al., 2018), sporocyte development (Rojas-Gracia et al., 2017), absicic acid signalling (González-Guzmán et al., 2014) and trichome density (Firdaus et al., 2012) respectively.

Virus genomes provide an excellent background in which to mine previously unknown molecular tools and elements for genetic circuitries. Viruses and their components have been domesticated by prokaryotic and eukaryotic hosts for new cellular roles unrelated to the original functions, and recruited in processes as disparate as host defence, insect parasitization, animal placentation and neuronal communication (Koonin and Krupovic, 2018). Novel virus-based applications will likely be conceived with the uncovering of new cases of virus expatation. Finally, it is predicted that increasing scalability of virus genetic systems will improve our ability to document plant–virus and virus–virus interaction outcomes, to learn valuable lessons on virus and plant biology and to design biotechnological applications for generation of plants with reliable and precise functions, better physiological and agronomic traits or new product engineering.
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