Abstract: Periodontal disease (POD) is associated with the risk of atherosclerotic vascular disease in patients on hemodialysis (HD). The association between POD treatment and cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) is still unknown. A total of 3613 patients who received HD and intensive POD treatment between 1 January 1998, and 31 December 2011 were identified from the National Health Insurance Research Database as the treatment cohort. The comparison cohort comprised patients without POD treatment who were matched to the patients in the treatment cohort at a 1:1 ratio by the propensity score. All CVDs defined by International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision (International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision (ICD-9)) codes were ascertained by hospital records for nonfatal events. The first CVD was used to define incidence. Relative risks were estimated by hazard ratios from the Cox proportional hazard model with adjustment for demographic variables and cardiovascular risk factors. Compared with the comparison cohort, the adjusted hazard ratio of hospitalization for CVDs was 0.78 (95% confidence interval = 0.73–0.84, \( p < 0.001 \)) in the treatment cohort. The treatment cohort exhibited significantly lower cumulative incidences of CVDs (log-rank test \( p < 0.001 \)) and mortality (log-rank test \( p < 0.001 \)). Intensive POD treatment was associated with reduced risks of CVDs and overall mortality in patients on HD.
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1. Introduction

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) accounts for approximately 50% of deaths in patients on dialysis. The increased incidence of CVD in patients undergoing dialysis is attributed to increased prevalence of traditional risk factors for CVD such as diabetes mellitus (DM), dyslipidemia, hypertension [1,2], and risk factors due to loss of renal function [3]. Malnutrition–inflammation–atherosclerosis syndrome and hemodynamic induced vasculopathy/ cardiomyopathy are additional risk factors from inadvertent effect of renal replacement therapy in dialysis patients and play central roles in cardiovascular complications [4,5].

Therapeutic interventions have been taken for secondary prevention and reduction of mortality in patients on dialysis. These include adequate dialysis [6]; measures to reduce recovery time after dialysis sessions [7]; adequate nutrition [8]; anemia treatment [9]; management of diabetes, hypertension, and dyslipidemia [10]; and the use of phosphate binders [11]. The use of statins for CVD management in patients on dialysis is still controversial [12]. Although the number of cardiovascular deaths has declined in the general population, a similar trend has not been observed for patients on dialysis despite the implementation of the modern therapy modality and pharmacological therapy [5]. In addition, no pharmacologic agents are recommended to reduce chronic inflammation and modify outcomes in patients with kidney disease. However, inflammation resulting from an ongoing occult infection should be aggressively treated.

Approximately 80.6% of patients on dialysis have periodontal disease (POD) [13]. The pathogenesis of periodontitis in patients with end-stage renal disease (ESRD) includes reduced salivary production, increased salivary urea levels, elevated parathyroid hormone levels, and malnutrition [14]. Periodontitis is correlated with chronic inflammation and malnutrition in patients on hemodialysis (HD) [13]. Periodontal health is also correlated with markers of malnutrition and inflammation in the dialysis population [14].

Evidence indicates that POD is associated with increased all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in the HD population [15,16]. Periodontal therapy has been reported to improve systemic inflammation, nutritional status, and erythropoietin responsiveness in the HD population [17]. However, the clinical effects of intensive POD therapy on cardiovascular prevention in patients on dialysis remain unclear. Our study investigated the effect of intensive POD therapy on cardiovascular risks and examined the trends of outcome risks modified by the treatment responsiveness of periodontal therapy.

2. Methods

2.1. Data Source

This retrospective, observational cohort study examined data from the Registry of Catastrophic Illness Database (RCID), a subset of the National Health Insurance Research Database (NHIRD). The Taiwan Bureau of National Health Insurance established the universal National Health Insurance (NHI) program in 1995, which currently provides comprehensive medical coverage to 99% of the population of Taiwan (23.72 million residents) (https://www.nhi.gov.tw/english). The National Health Research Institutes (NHRI) maintain the NHIRD for research purposes. The RCID includes data for all patients who fulfill the guidelines of the National Health Insurance Administration for a catastrophic illness certificate. Catastrophic illnesses are defined as severe conditions requiring advanced health care, such as malignancies, post transplantation status, and ESRD. Patients with a catastrophic illness certificate are exempted from making any copayment for medical care, and this certificate is formally reviewed by physicians at the time of application. The NHIRD identifies diseases based on the International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (International
Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM)). The accuracy and validity of NHIRD diagnostic codes have been established [18,19].

2.2. Ethics Statement

The NHIRD encrypts patient personal information to protect privacy and provides researchers with anonymous identification numbers associated with relevant claims information, including sex, date of birth, medical services received, and prescriptions. Therefore, patient consent is not required to access the NHIRD. This study was approved to fulfill the conditions for exemption by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of China Medical University (CMUH-104-REC2-115R3). The IRB also specifically waived the consent requirement.

2.3. Sampled Patients

Figure 1 presents a flow chart for selecting patients for the study groups. Patients newly diagnosed with ESRD (ICD-9-CM 585) between 1 January 1998 and 31 December 2011 and those who underwent dialysis for at least 3 months were identified from the RCID. Patients were excluded if they had acute coronary syndrome (ACS; ICD-9-CM codes 410, 411.1, and 411.8), stroke (ICD-9-CM codes 430–438), or congestive heart failure (CHF; ICD-9-CM code 428) before enrollment; survived for fewer than 90 days after the first dialysis date; were undergoing transplantation; were aged under 20 years; or had missing demographic information. Periodontal treatments provided in this study were subgingival curettage (scaling (91004C) and root planning (91006C, 91007C, and 91008C)) and periodontal flap surgery (91009B and 91010B) \((n = 4149)\). For each periodontal treatment patient identified, controls free of periodontal treatments were randomly selected from the same period according to the same exclusion criteria.
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Figure 1. Patient selection flow chart. ESRD, end-stage renal disease; HD, hemodialysis; CABG, coronary artery bypass graft; PTCA, percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty.
We further established a comparison cohort comprising patients without POD who were matched to the treatment cohort at a 1:1 ratio by the propensity score [20]. The propensity score was calculated using logistic regression to estimate the probability of treatment assignment according to the baseline variables of age, sex, dialysis duration, urbanization level, monthly income (in New Taiwan Dollars (NTDs)), medication use, comorbidities, and Charlson comorbidity index. The C-statistic of the logistic regression model was 0.70 (Figure 2). The index date was defined as either the date of POD therapy for the treatment cohort or the 15th day of the same month for the comparison cohort.

Figure 2. The receiver operating characteristic cure (ROC) for the logistic regression for propensity score. The C-statistic (area under curve, AUC) was 0.70.

2.4. Outcome Measurement

All individuals were followed up until a new outcome diagnosis based on the hospitalization records. Individuals were censored at the date of death, or on loss to follow-up, withdrawal from the insurance system, or on 31 December 2011, whichever came first. The primary endpoint was all-cause mortality. The identification of death events was based on hospital discharge because of death and withdrawal from the NHI, as indicated in the NHIRD.

The secondary endpoints were hospitalization for newly diagnosed CVDs, ACS, acute myocardial infarction (AMI; ICD-9-CM code 410), ischemic stroke (ICD-9-CM codes 430–436 and 437.1), hemorrhagic stroke (ICD-9-CM codes 431, 767.0, and 772.2), CHF, and sudden cardiac death (SCD; ICD-9-CM codes 427.5, 798.1, and 798.2).

2.5. Independent Variables

Sociodemographic and comorbidity data with age, sex, urbanization level, and monthly income as the covariates were obtained from the claims data. The criteria published by the NHRI on urbanization levels in Taiwan were adopted. The criteria consist of seven strata; level 1 denotes the highest urbanization level, and level 7 denotes the least urbanized communities. The standards for classification were the population density (people/km²); population ratios of elderly people, agricultural workers, and people with different educational levels; and the number of physicians per 100,000 people. The urbanization level was divided into two groups based on an NHRI report:
levels 1 and 2 denoted cities and levels 3–7 denoted rural areas. The monthly costs of insurance premiums incurred by patients were classified into three groups: <NTD15,000, NT$15,000–19,999, and ≥NTD20,000 (USD1 is equivalent to approximately NTD30). Comorbidities diagnosed before the index date included chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD; ICD-9-CM codes 491, 492, and 496), hyperlipidemia (ICD-9-CM code 272), diabetes (ICD-9-CM code 250), hypertension (ICD-9-CM codes 401-405), peripheral arterial occlusive disease (ICD-9-CM code 433.9), cancer (ICD-9-CM codes 140-239), and lower extremity amputation (ICD-9-CM code V49.70).

2.6. Data Availability Statement

All data and related metadata were deposited in an appropriate public repository. The data on the study population that were obtained from the NHIRD (http://nhird.nhri.org.tw/en). The NHRI is a nonprofit foundation established by the government.

2.7. Statistical Analysis

The demographic characteristics and prevalence of comorbidities were compared between the HD cohort without POD treatment and the HD cohort with POD treatment. Baseline characteristics of the before-matched cohorts and propensity score-matched cohorts were compared using standardized mean differences. A value of standardized mean differences equals 0.05 or less, which indicates a negligible difference in means. The incidence density of each disease outcome per 1000 person-years was calculated for each cohort. Multivariable Cox proportional hazards models were used to estimate the hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) of outcomes and were adjusted for variables that were significantly related to outcomes from the prior univariate Cox regression model. Furthermore, we considered death a competing risk for estimating the risks of outcomes. By controlling for the competing risk of death, the Fine and Gray model, which extends the standard univariable and multivariable Cox proportional-hazard regression models, was used to estimate the outcome risks [21].

The differences between the groups were examined using the log-rank test. All statistical analyses were performed using SAS (Version 9.3; SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). Results with a two-tailed p < 0.05 were considered to indicate a statistically significant relationship.

3. Results

3.1. Demographic Characteristics

A total of 103,173 patients with ESRD identified from the catastrophic illness database were included in our study (Figure 1). We excluded 42,354 patients diagnosed with POD before undergoing HD. In analysis 1, patients undergoing HD were categorized into the following groups: those with POD who received intensive periodontal therapy (n = 6577) and those without a POD diagnosis (n = 54,242) between 1 January 1998 and 31 December 2011. After the exclusion process, there were 4149 patients in the treatment and 7169 patients in the control cohort. In analysis 2, the treatment and control cohorts were matched at a 1:1 ratio by the propensity score to reduce selection bias and approximate a randomized trial. Finally, 3613 eligible patients were included in each cohort.

Table 1 presents a comparison of the demographic characteristics of the study patients, both before and after propensity score matching. In the before-matched cohorts, the mean ages were 56.2 ± 12.8 years in the POD treatment group and 60.5 ± 13.8 years in the control group. In the POD treatment group, 55.8% of the patients were women and 72.6% of the patients lived in urbanized areas (level ≥ 2). A Charlson comorbidity index score of 3+, underlying comorbidities, and medication use were more prevalent in the control group than in the POD treatment group.

The distributions of covariates, including age, sex, urbanization level, monthly income, comorbidities, and medication use, were balanced in the two groups after propensity score matching.
Table 1. Demographic status and comorbidity at baseline in hemodialysis patients with and without periodontal disease treatment.

| Variables                        | Before Matching | Propensity Score Matched |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|
|                                 | Controls (n = 7169) | Treatment (n = 4149) | Controls (n = 3613) | Treatment (n = 3613) |
|                                 | n (%)            | n (%)                   | n (%)              | n (%)              |
|                                 | Standardized Differences | Standardized Differences |                      |                      |
| Age (year), mean ± SD           | 60.5 (13.8)      | 56.2 (12.8)             | 0.32               | 57.5 (13.8)        | 57.4 (12.5)         | 0.003 |
| Follow-up time (year) for CVD, mean ± SD | 3.58 (2.83) | 6.00 (3.60)             | 0.75               | 4.34 (3.25)        | 5.60 (3.37)         | 0.38 |
| Follow-up time (year) for mortality, mean ± SD | 4.10 (2.95) | 7.02 (3.41)             | 0.92               | 4.97 (3.34)        | 6.56 (3.22)         | 0.48 |
| Gender                          |                  |                         |                    |                    |                        |      |
| Women                           | 3661 (51.1)      | 2314 (55.8)             | 0.09               | 1941 (53.7)        | 1966 (54.4)         | 0.014 |
| Men                             | 3508 (48.9)      | 1835 (44.2)             | 0.09               | 1672 (46.3)        | 1647 (45.6)         | 0.014 |
| Dialysis duration (years)       | 3.17 (2.73)      | 2.36 (2.21)             | 0.33               | 2.52 (2.23)        | 2.54 (2.28)         | 0.009 |
| Urbanization level †            |                  |                         |                    |                    |                        |      |
| 1                               | 1626 (22.7)      | 1138 (27.4)             | 0.09               | 917 (25.4)         | 929 (25.7)          | 0.014 |
| 2                               | 2105 (29.4)      | 1305 (31.5)             | 0.09               | 1114 (30.8)        | 1125 (31.1)         | 0.014 |
| 3                               | 1268 (17.7)      | 732 (17.6)              | 0.09               | 645 (17.9)         | 642 (17.8)          | 0.014 |
| 4+                              | 2170 (30.3)      | 974 (23.5)              | 0.09               | 937 (25.9)         | 917 (25.4)          | 0.014 |
| Monthly income (NTD) *          |                  |                         |                    |                    |                        |      |
| <15,000                         | 1983 (27.7)      | 1051 (25.3)             | 0.09               | 947 (26.2)         | 955 (26.4)          | 0.014 |
| 15,000–19,999                   | 3886 (54.2)      | 2093 (50.5)             | 0.09               | 1875 (51.9)        | 1850 (51.2)         | 0.014 |
| ≥20,000                         | 1300 (18.1)      | 1005 (24.2)             | 0.09               | 791 (21.9)         | 808 (22.4)          | 0.014 |
| Charlson comorbidity index      |                  |                         |                    |                    |                        |      |
| 0                               | 605 (8.44)       | 443 (10.7)              | 0.09               | 351 (9.71)         | 349 (9.66)          | 0.014 |
| 1                               | 91 (1.27)        | 34 (0.82)               | 0.09               | 330 (0.83)         | 31 (0.86)           | 0.014 |
| 2                               | 3157 (44.0)      | 2181 (52.6)             | 0.09               | 1835 (50.8)        | 1831 (50.7)         | 0.014 |
| 3+                              | 3316 (46.3)      | 1491 (35.9)             | 0.09               | 1397 (38.7)        | 1402 (38.8)         | 0.014 |
| Comorbidity                     |                  |                         |                    |                    |                        |      |
| COPD                            | 967 (13.5)       | 476 (11.5)              | 0.06               | 436 (12.1)         | 421 (11.7)          | 0.013 |
| Hyperlipidemia                  | 2294 (32.0)      | 1225 (29.5)             | 0.05               | 1092 (30.2)        | 1100 (30.5)         | 0.005 |
| Diabetes                        | 2406 (33.6)      | 965 (23.3)              | 0.23               | 947 (26.2)         | 932 (25.8)          | 0.009 |
| Hypertension                    | 6025 (84.0)      | 3432 (82.7)             | 0.04               | 2992 (82.8)        | 2991 (82.8)         | 0.001 |
| PAOD                            | 519 (7.24)       | 220 (5.30)              | 0.08               | 206 (5.70)         | 207 (5.73)          | 0.001 |
| Cancer                          | 516 (7.20)       | 226 (5.45)              | 0.07               | 201 (5.56)         | 209 (5.78)          | 0.01 |
| Lower extremity amputation      | 226 (3.15)       | 45 (1.08)               | 0.14               | 49 (1.36)          | 45 (1.25)           | 0.01 |
| Medication                      |                  |                         |                    |                    |                        |      |
| Anti-platelet agents            | 3800 (53.0)      | 1892 (45.6)             | 0.15               | 1676 (46.4)        | 1747 (48.4)         | 0.04 |
| ACEis/ARBs                      | 5585 (77.9)      | 3056 (73.4)             | 0.10               | 2684 (74.3)        | 2718 (75.2)         | 0.02 |
| Statins                         | 2173 (30.3)      | 1009 (24.3)             | 0.14               | 965 (26.7)         | 928 (25.7)          | 0.02 |
| Calcium channel blockers        | 5833 (81.4)      | 3156 (76.1)             | 0.13               | 2832 (78.4)        | 2797 (77.4)         | 0.02 |
| Beta-blockers                   | 4696 (65.0)      | 2545 (61.3)             | 0.08               | 2230 (61.7)        | 2270 (62.8)         | 0.02 |

ACEis: angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors; ARBs: angiotensin II receptor blockers; COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CVD: cardiovascular disease; PAOD: peripheral arterial occlusive disease. † The urbanization level was categorized by the population density of the residential area into four levels, with level 1 as the most urbanized and level 4 as the least urbanized. * New Taiwan Dollars (NTD; US dollar 1.0 is equivalent to approximately NTD30). SD: standard deviation.
3.2. Cumulative Incidence and Hazard Ratio of CVD and Mortality

In general, POD treatment was associated with a significantly lower overall CVD risk than that of the untreated group in the multivariate analysis model before propensity score matching (adjusted hazard ratio (aHR) = 0.78, 95% CI = 0.73–0.84, \( p < 0.001 \); Table 2). Similar results were observed after propensity score matching.

| Outcome | Controls | Treatment | Crude HR (95% CD) | Adjusted HR (95% CD) |
|---------|----------|-----------|-------------------|----------------------|
|         | Event    | PY Rate   | Event            | PY Rate              |
| CVDs    | 2173     | 25,680    | 1366             | 24,887               |
|         | 84.6     | 54.9      | 0.66 (0.62, 0.71) | 0.78 (0.73, 0.84)    |
| ACS     | 642      | 26,336    | 466              | 27,720               |
|         | 22.7     | 16.8      | 0.73 (0.65, 0.83) | 0.87 (0.77, 0.99)    |
| AMI     | 326      | 29,989    | 196              | 28,737               |
|         | 11.3     | 6.82      | 0.58 (0.49, 0.70) | 0.72 (0.59, 0.86)    |
| Stroke  | 1011     | 27,831    | 600              | 27,477               |
|         | 36.3     | 21.8      | 0.61 (0.55, 0.68) | 0.72 (0.65, 0.81)    |
| Ischemic stroke | 732   | -        | 461              | 27,477               |
|         | 26.3     | 16.8      | 0.66 (0.59, 0.74) | 0.82 (0.72, 0.92)    |
| Hemorrhagic stroke | 279 | -        | 139              | -                    |
|         | 10.0     | 5.06      | 0.49 (0.40, 0.61) | 0.53 (0.43, 0.65)    |
| CHF     | 911      | 27,616    | 683              | 26,992               |
|         | 33.0     | 25.3      | 0.70 (0.60, 0.86) | 0.89 (0.80, 0.99)    |
| SCD     | 155      | 20,328    | 74               | 29,071               |
|         | 5.29     | 2.55      | 0.45 (0.34, 0.59) | 0.55 (0.41, 0.74)    |
| Mortality | 2417 | 29,385    | 1055             | 29,113               |
|         | 82.3     | 36.2      | 0.42 (0.39, 0.45) | 0.50 (0.47, 0.54)    |

Propensity Score Matched

| Outcome    | Controls | Treatment | Crude HR (95% CD) | Adjusted HR (95% CD) |
|------------|----------|-----------|-------------------|----------------------|
| CVDs       | 1156     | 15,684    | 1197             | 20,215               |
|            | 73.7     | 59.2      | 0.81 (0.74, 0.87) | 0.75 (0.69, 0.81)    |
| ACS        | 339      | 17,344    | 410              | 22,533               |
|            | 19.6     | 18.2      | 0.92 (0.80, 1.06) | 0.85 (0.74, 0.99)    |
| AMI        | 169      | 17,752    | 181              | 23,373               |
|            | 9.52     | 7.74      | 0.79 (0.64, 0.97) | 0.72 (0.58, 0.89)    |
| Stroke     | 553      | 17,061    | 518              | 22,315               |
|            | 32.4     | 23.2      | 0.72 (0.64, 0.81) | 0.67 (0.60, 0.76)    |
| Ischemic stroke | 369 | -        | 402              | -                    |
|            | 21.6     | 18.0      | 0.84 (0.73, 0.97) | 0.78 (0.68, 0.89)    |
| Hemorrhagic stroke | 184 | -        | 116             | -                    |
|            | 10.8     | 5.20      | 0.48 (0.38, 0.61) | 0.47 (0.37, 0.59)    |
| CHF        | 500      | 16,817    | 590              | 22,013               |
|            | 29.7     | 26.8      | 0.90 (0.80, 1.01) | 0.83 (0.73, 0.93)    |
| SCD        | 66       | 17,939    | 69               | 23,661               |
|            | 3.68     | 2.92      | 0.75 (0.54, 1.06) | 0.68 (0.48, 0.95)    |
| Mortality  | 1274     | 17,972    | 695              | 23,697               |
|            | 70.9     | 39.9      | 0.55 (0.50, 0.59) | 0.49 (0.45, 0.54)    |

The POD treatment cohorts had lower risks of developing ACS, AMI, stroke (including ischemic stroke and hemorrhagic stroke), CHF, and SCD than those of the untreated group, either before or after matching. POD treatment was also associated with a significantly lower overall mortality risk than that of the untreated group both before and after propensity matching (aHR = 0.50, 95% CI = 0.47–0.54, \( p < 0.001 \)) before matching; aHR = 0.49, 95% CI = 0.45–0.54, \( p < 0.001 \) after propensity matching).

After the competing risk of death was accounted for, the CVD risk in the treatment group was consistently lower than that of the untreated group in the multivariate analysis model both before and after propensity score matching (adjusted subhazard ratio (aSHR) = 0.77, 95% CI = 0.72–0.82, \( p < 0.001 \)) before matching; aSHR = 0.79, 95% CI = 0.73–0.86, \( p < 0.001 \) after propensity score matching; Table 3). Similarly, the risks of ACS, AMI, stroke, CHF, and SCD remained significantly decreased in the POD treatment cohort both before and after propensity matching.

Figure 3 presents the cumulative incidences of CVD and mortality after 10 years of follow-up in the propensity-matched groups. Compared with the untreated group, the treatment group exhibited significantly lower cumulative incidences of all CVDs (Figure 3A) (log-rank test \( p < 0.001 \)) and mortality (Figure 3B) (log-rank test \( p < 0.001 \)).
Table 3. Competing risk (death) model-measured subhazard ratios (SHRs) of outcomes with and without propensity score matching between groups.

| Variables   | Before Matching | Propensity Score Matched |
|-------------|-----------------|--------------------------|
|             | Controls (n = 7169) | Treatment (n = 4149) | Controls (n = 3613) | Treatment (n = 3613) |
| CVDs        |                 |                          |                      |
| Crude SHR (95% CI) | 1 (Ref) 0.74 (0.69, 0.80) *** | 1 (Ref) 0.78 (0.72, 0.84) *** |
| Adjusted SHR a (95% CI) | 1 (Ref) 0.77 (0.72, 0.82) *** | 1 (Ref) 0.79 (0.73, 0.86) *** |
| ACS         |                 |                          |                      |
| Crude SHR (95% CI) | 1 (Ref) 0.74 (0.66, 0.83) *** | 1 (Ref) 0.84 (0.73, 0.97) * |
| Adjusted SHR a (95% CI) | 1 (Ref) 0.81 (0.72, 0.91) *** | 1 (Ref) 0.83 (0.72, 0.95) ** |
| AMI         |                 |                          |                      |
| Crude SHR (95% CI) | 1 (Ref) 0.63 (0.53, 0.76) *** | 1 (Ref) 0.68 (0.56, 0.84) *** |
| Adjusted SHR a (95% CI) | 1 (Ref) 0.63 (0.53, 0.65) *** | 1 (Ref) 0.68 (0.56, 0.84) *** |
| Stroke      |                 |                          |                      |
| Crude SHR (95% CI) | 1 (Ref) 0.62 (0.56, 0.68) *** | 1 (Ref) 0.66 (0.58, 1.74) *** |
| Adjusted SHR a (95% CI) | 1 (Ref) 0.58 (0.53, 0.65) *** | 1 (Ref) 0.72 (0.63, 0.81) *** |
| Ischemic stroke |                 |                          |                      |
| Crude SHR (95% CI) | 1 (Ref) 0.62 (0.56, 0.70) *** | 1 (Ref) 0.71 (0.62, 0.82) *** |
| Adjusted SHR a (95% CI) | 1 (Ref) 0.63 (0.56, 0.71) *** | 1 (Ref) 0.81 (0.70, 0.93) ** |
| Hemorrhagic stroke |                 |                          |                      |
| Crude SHR (95% CI) | 1 (Ref) 0.48 (0.39, 0.59) *** | 1 (Ref) 0.46 (0.37, 0.58) *** |
| Adjusted SHR a (95% CI) | 1 (Ref) 0.42 (0.34, 0.52) *** | 1 (Ref) 0.50 (0.40, 0.64) *** |
| CHF         |                 |                          |                      |
| Crude SHR (95% CI) | 1 (Ref) 0.81 (0.73, 0.89) *** | 1 (Ref) 0.83 (0.74, 0.93) ** |
| Adjusted SHR a (95% CI) | 1 (Ref) 0.85 (0.77, 0.94) ** | 1 (Ref) 0.81 (0.72, 0.92) *** |
| SCD         |                 |                          |                      |
| Crude SHR (95% CI) | 1 (Ref) 0.40 (0.30, 0.52) *** | 1 (Ref) 0.60 (0.44, 0.83) ** |
| Adjusted SHR a (95% CI) | 1 (Ref) 0.52 (0.39, 0.68) *** | 1 (Ref) 0.64 (0.46, 0.89) ** |

Ref: reference group. a Cox proportional hazard regression, adjusted for age, gender, urbanization level, monthly income, Charlson comorbidity index, comorbidities (chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), hyperlipidemia, diabetes, hypertension, peripheral arterial occlusive disease (PAOD), and lower extremity amputation) and medications (anti-platelet agents, angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors (ACEis)/angiotensin II receptor blockers (ARBs), statins, calcium channel blockers, and beta-blockers). CVDs: cardiovascular diseases; ACS: acute coronary syndrome; AMI: acute myocardial infarction; CHF: congestive heart failure; SCD: sudden cardiac death. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001. SHR: subhazard ratio; CI: confidence interval.

3.3. Subgroup Analysis

We further stratified the patients according to age, sex, and comorbidities to estimate the risk difference of CVD and mortality (Table 4). The treatment group exhibited lower age-specific relative risks of all CVDs. Both men and women in the treatment group had significantly lower risks of CVDs compared with those in the control group. The patients with comorbidity in the treatment group had lower risks of CVDs compared with those in the control group, and the significant interaction term between treatment and comorbidities was observed (p for interaction = 0.04). For risk stratifications of overall mortality between the groups, the risks of mortality were significantly lower in the treatment group compared with those of the control group, regardless of age, sex, or comorbidity status. An interaction between treatment and comorbidities was also observed (p for interaction = 0.04) for mortality analysis.
Figure 3. Cumulative incidence of cardiovascular diseases (A); and mortality (B) for dialysis patients with treatment (dashed line) or controls (solid line). HD: hemodialysis; POD: periodontal disease; CVD: cardiovascular disease.

In addition, we conducted sensitivity analyses to validate our findings (Table 5). We defined the effects of treatment responsiveness according to the frequency of clinic visitation for intensive periodontal treatment. Compared with the untreated group, the risks of CVDs were increased in patients with POD who received fewer than one course of treatment, but these risks were reduced significantly in patients with more than 2 treatment courses, with an aHR of 0.53 (95% CI = 0.48–0.59, \( p < 0.001 \)). Significant risk reductions of ACS, AMI, stroke, CHF, and SCD in the treatment group were also observed in patients who underwent more courses of intensive treatment, compared with those in the untreated group. The risk of overall mortality was reduced in the treatment cohort regardless of the treatment frequency, compared with that in the untreated group.
Table 4. Matched Cox proportional hazards model measured hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) of outcomes of patients with periodontal disease after treatment and patient without POD by age, gender, and comorbidity.

| Variables | Controls Propensity Score Matched | Periodontal Disease after Treatment | Crude HR (95% CI) | Adjusted HR (95% CI) |
|-----------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
|           | Event PY Rate †                   | Event PY Rate †                     |                   |                      |
| CVDs §    | Age, years                        |                                     |                   |                      |
| <49       | 252 6323 39.9 204 6790 29.6       | 0.75 (0.62, 0.90) **                | 0.70 (0.58, 0.84) *** |
| 50–64     | 451 5787 77.9 543 8428 64.4       | 0.81 (0.72, 0.92) **                | 0.82 (0.72, 0.93) ** |
| ≥65       | 453 3574 126.8 453 4997 90.7       | 0.70 (0.62, 0.80) ***               | 0.68 (0.60, 0.78) *** |
|           | p for interaction                 |                                     | 0.81               |                      |
| Gender    | Women                             | 616 8757 70.3 618 11,512 53.7      | 0.77 (0.69, 0.86) *** | 0.73 (0.65, 0.82) *** |
|           | Men                               | 540 6927 78.0 579 8703 66.5        | 0.85 (0.76, 0.96) ** | 0.79 (0.70, 0.89) *** |
|           | p for interaction                 |                                     | 0.81               |                      |
| Comorbidity § | No                                | 98 2434 40.3 102 3238 31.5         | 0.77 (0.58, 1.02)   | 0.76 (0.57, 1.00)    |
|           | Yes                               | 1098 13,250 79.9 1095 16,977 64.5  | 0.81 (0.74, 0.88) *** | 0.76 (0.70, 0.83) *** |
|           | p for interaction                 |                                     | 0.04               |                      |
| ACS §     | Age, years                        |                                     |                   |                      |
| <49       | 72 6749 10.7 66 7245 9.11         | 0.85 (0.61, 1.18)                   | 0.79 (0.56, 1.11)   |
| 50–64     | 132 6457 20.4 193 9561 20.2       | 0.96 (0.77, 1.20)                   | 0.99 (0.79, 1.23)   |
| ≥65       | 135 4137 32.6 151 5727 26.4       | 0.78 (0.62, 0.99) *                 | 0.74 (0.59, 0.94) * |
|           | p for interaction                 |                                     | 0.88               |                      |
| Gender    | Women                             | 164 9708 16.9 203 12,829 15.8      | 0.93 (0.76, 1.15)   | 0.86 (0.70, 1.06)    |
|           | Men                               | 175 7636 22.9 207 9704 21.3        | 0.91 (0.75, 1.12)   | 0.84 (0.69, 1.03)    |
|           | p for interaction                 |                                     | 0.97               |                      |
| Comorbidity § | No                                | 26 2577 10.1 22 3503 6.28          | 0.61 (0.34, 1.07)   | 0.56 (0.31, 0.99) *  |
|           | Yes                               | 313 14,767 21.2 588 19,030 20.4    | 0.95 (0.82, 1.10)   | 0.90 (0.78, 1.05)    |
|           | p for interaction                 |                                     | 0.15               |                      |
| AMI §     | Age, years                        |                                     |                   |                      |
| <49       | 31 6875 4.51 27 7395 3.65         | 0.79 (0.47, 1.32)                   | 0.67 (0.39, 1.13)   |
| 50–64     | 67 6623 10.1 88 9952 8.86         | 0.84 (0.61, 1.15)                   | 0.88 (0.64, 1.21)   |
| ≥65       | 71 4254 10.7 66 6046 10.9         | 0.61 (0.44, 0.86) **               | 0.59 (0.42, 0.84) ** |
|           | p for interaction                 |                                     | 0.73               |                      |
| Gender    | Women                             | 84 9912 8.47 77 13,262 5.81        | 0.67 (0.49, 0.91) * | 0.60 (0.43, 0.82) ** |

† Event PY Rate: Event per year rate
§ Comorbidity: CVDs (Cerebrovascular Disease), ACS (Acute Coronary Syndrome), AMI (Acute Myocardial Infarction)
Table 4. Cont.

| Variables | Propensity Score Matched | Crude HR (95% CI) | Adjusted HR (95% CI) |
|-----------|--------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
|           | Controls                 | Periodontal Disease after Treatment |                      |
|           | Event PY Rate †          | Event PY Rate †    |                      |
| Men       | 85                       | 10.8              | 104                  | 10.3               | 0.91 (0.68, 1.22) | 0.89 (0.66, 1.19) |
|          | p for interaction        |                   |                      |                    | 0.13               |
| Comorbidity § | No                | 14                | 5.37               | 10                 | 3544               | 2.82               | 0.51 (0.22, 1.14) | 0.45 (0.19, 1.04) |
|          | 155                      | 10.2              | 171                 | 19,829             | 8.62               | 0.81 (0.65, 1.01) | 0.75 (0.61, 0.94) * |
|          | p for interaction        |                   |                      |                    | 0.28               |
| Stroke § | ≤49                      | 117               | 17.4               | 79                 | 7229               | 10.9               | 0.63 (0.47, 0.84) ** | 0.58 (0.44, 0.78) *** |
|          | 50–64                    | 215               | 34.0               | 242                | 9420               | 25.7               | 0.75 (0.62, 0.90) ** | 0.75 (0.62, 0.90) ** |
|          | ≥65                      | 221               | 55.4               | 197                | 5666               | 34.8               | 0.62 (0.51, 0.76) *** | 0.62 (0.51, 0.76) *** |
|          | p for interaction        |                   |                      |                    | 0.93               |
| Gender   | Women                    | 305               | 32.1               | 275                | 12,589             | 21.8               | 0.68 (0.58, 0.81) *** | 0.65 (0.55, 0.77) *** |
|          | Men                      | 248               | 32.9               | 243                | 9726               | 25.0               | 0.77 (0.64, 0.91) ** | 0.71 (0.60, 0.85) *** |
|          | p for interaction        |                   |                      |                    | 0.36               |
| Comorbidity § | No                | 38                | 14.9               | 43                 | 3432               | 12.5               | 0.82 (0.53, 1.27) | 0.79 (0.51, 1.23) |
|          | 515                      | 35.5              | 475                 | 18,883             | 25.2               | 0.71 (0.63, 0.81) *** | 0.67 (0.59, 0.76) *** |
|          | p for interaction        |                   |                      |                    | 0.47               |
| CHF 4    | ≤49                      | 99                | 15.0               | 99                 | 7144               | 13.9               | 0.92 (0.70, 1.22) | 0.87 (0.65, 1.15) |
|          | 50–64                    | 195               | 31.4               | 262                | 9301               | 28.2               | 0.87 (0.72, 1.05) | 0.86 (0.72, 1.04) |
|          | ≥65                      | 206               | 51.7               | 229                | 5568               | 41.1               | 0.78 (0.65, 0.95) * | 0.74 (0.61, 0.90) ** |
|          | p for interaction        |                   |                      |                    | 0.69               |
| Gender   | Women                    | 270               | 28.8               | 312                | 12,449             | 25.1               | 0.87 (0.74, 1.03) | 0.83 (0.70, 0.97) * |
|          | Men                      | 230               | 30.9               | 278                | 9564               | 29.1               | 0.92 (0.78, 1.10) | 0.84 (0.70, 0.99) * |
|          | p for interaction        |                   |                      |                    | 0.50               |
| Comorbidity § | No                | 42                | 16.7               | 56                 | 3383               | 16.6               | 0.97 (0.65, 1.45) | 0.92 (0.61, 1.37) |
|          | 458                      | 32.0              | 534                 | 18,630             | 28.7               | 0.89 (0.78, 1.01) | 0.82 (0.72, 0.92) ** |
|          | p for interaction        |                   |                      |                    | 0.64               |
| SCD §    | ≤49                      | 11                | 1.59               | 10                 | 7459               | 1.34               | 0.80 (0.34, 1.88) | 0.74 (0.31, 1.77) |
|          | 50–64                    | 23                | 3.44               | 31                 | 10,101             | 3.07               | 0.85 (0.49, 1.45) | 0.83 (0.48, 1.44) |
Table 4. Cont.

| Variables | Propensity Score Matched | Crude HR (95% CI) | Adjusted HR (95% CI) |
|-----------|--------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
|           | Controls | Periodontal Disease after Treatment |                      |                      |
|           | Event | PY | Rate ¹ | Event | PY | Rate ² |                      |                      |
| ≥65 | p for interaction | 32 | 4329 | 7.39 | 28 | 6101 | 4.59 | 0.56 (0.33, 0.93) * | 0.55 (0.33, 0.92) * |
| Gender | Women | 39 | 10,010 | 3.90 | 35 | 13,366 | 2.62 | 0.64 (0.40, 1.01) | 0.59 (0.37, 0.93) * |
|         | Men    | 27 | 7930 | 3.40 | 34 | 10,295 | 3.30 | 0.92 (0.55, 1.53) | 0.86 (0.51, 1.44) |
| p for interaction |                      |                  | 0.31              |                      |
| Comorbidity ⁶ | No | 8 | 2610 | 3.06 | 8 | 3564 | 2.24 | 0.70 (0.26, 1.87) | 0.75 (0.27, 2.09) |
|          | Yes  | 58 | 15,329 | 3.78 | 61 | 20,097 | 3.04 | 0.76 (0.53, 1.09) | 0.69 (0.48, 0.99) * |
| p for interaction |                      |                  | 0.86              |                      |
| Mortality ⁶ | Age, years | ⁶ |                  |                      |                      |
| ≤49 | 215 | 6933 | 31.0 | 129 | 7460 | 17.3 | 0.55 (0.44, 0.69) *** | 0.50 (0.40, 0.62) *** |
| 50–64 | 485 | 6694 | 72.5 | 383 | 10,125 | 37.8 | 0.49 (0.43, 0.56) *** | 0.48 (0.42, 0.55) *** |
| ≥65 | 574 | 4345 | 132.1 | 433 | 6112 | 70.9 | 0.50 (0.44, 0.57) *** | 0.48 (0.42, 0.54) *** |
| p for interaction |                      |                  | 0.81              |                      |
| Gender | Women | 631 | 10,030 | 62.9 | 468 | 13,382 | 35.0 | 0.54 (0.48, 0.61) *** | 0.52 (0.46, 0.59) *** |
|         | Men    | 643 | 7943 | 81.0 | 477 | 10,315 | 46.2 | 0.55 (0.49, 0.62) *** | 0.47 (0.42, 0.53) *** |
| p for interaction |                      |                  | 0.81              |                      |
| Comorbidity ⁶ | No | 160 | 2615 | 61.2 | 95 | 3564 | 26.7 | 0.42 (0.33, 0.54) *** | 0.39 (0.30, 0.51) *** |
|          | Yes | 1114 | 15,357 | 72.5 | 850 | 20,133 | 42.2 | 0.56 (0.51, 0.62) *** | 0.51 (0.46, 0.55) *** |
| p for interaction |                      |                  | 0.04              |                      |

CVDs: cardiovascular diseases; CI: confidence interval; HR: relative hazard ratio; PY: person-years; ACS: acute coronary syndrome; AMI: acute myocardial infarction; CHF: congestive heart failure; SCD: sudden cardiac death; ¹ Rate: Incidence rate per 1000 person-years; Comorbidity ⁶ Patients with comorbidities (including chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), hyperlipidemia, diabetes, hypertension, peripheral arterial occlusive disease (PAOD), cancer, and lower extremity amputation) were classified as the comorbidity group.  * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001. ¹ Adjusted HR was calculated by Cox proportional hazard regression and adjusted for age, gender, monthly income, Charlson comorbidity index, comorbidities (COPD, hyperlipidemia, diabetes, hypertension, PAOD, and low extremity amputation), and medication (anti-platelet agents, angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors (ACEis)/angiotensin II receptor blockers (ARBs), statins, calcium channel blockers, and beta-blockers). ² Adjusted HR was calculated by Cox proportional hazard regression and adjusted for age, gender, monthly income, Charlson comorbidity index, comorbidities (hyperlipidemia, diabetes, hypertension, PAOD, and low extremity amputation), and medication (anti-platelet agents, ACEi/ARB, statins, calcium channel blockers, and beta-blockers). ³ Adjusted HR was calculated by Cox proportional hazard regression and adjusted for age, gender, monthly income, Charlson comorbidity index, comorbidities (hyperlipidemia, diabetes, hypertension, PAOD, and low extremity amputation), and medication (anti-platelet agents, ACEi/ARBs, statins, calcium channel blockers, and beta-blockers). ⁴ Adjusted HR was calculated by Cox proportional hazard regression and adjusted for age, urbanization level, Charlson comorbidity index, comorbidities (COPD, hyperlipidemia, diabetes, hypertension, and PAOD), and medication (anti-platelet agents, ACEis/ARBs, statins, calcium channel blockers, and beta-blockers). ⁵ Adjusted HR was calculated by Cox proportional hazard regression and adjusted for age, urbanization level, Charlson comorbidity index, comorbidities (COPD, hyperlipidemia, diabetes, hypertension, PAOD, cancer and lower extremity amputation), and medication (anti-platelet agents, ACEi/ARB, statins, calcium channel blockers, and beta-blockers).
Table 5. Matched Cox proportional hazards model measured hazard ratio (HR) and 95% confidence interval (CIs) between outcome events and the frequency of clinic visit for intensive periodontal disease treatment.

| Effects of Intensive Periodontal Disease Treatment on Major Cardiovascular Events | Hazard Ratio (95% CI) |
|---|---|
| | Number of Events | Rate # | Adjusted HR † |
| **Frequency of treatment of periodontal disease** | |
| **CVDs** | | | |
| Controls | 1156 | 73.7 | 1 (Reference) |
| Frequency of treatment of periodontal disease | | | |
| ≤1 | 587 | 120.5 | 1.29 (1.17, 1.43) *** |
| ≥2 | 610 | 39.8 | 0.53 (0.48, 0.59) *** |
| p for trend | | | <0.001 |
| **ACS** | | | |
| Controls | 339 | 19.6 | 1 (Reference) |
| Frequency of treatment of periodontal disease | | | |
| ≤1 | 210 | 33.6 | 1.33 (1.11, 1.58) ** |
| ≥2 | 200 | 12.3 | 0.62 (0.52, 0.74) *** |
| p for trend | | | <0.001 |
| **AMI** | | | |
| Controls | 169 | 9.52 | 1 (Reference) |
| Frequency of treatment of periodontal disease | | | |
| ≤1 | 93 | 13.7 | 1.05 (0.81, 1.36) |
| ≥2 | 88 | 5.30 | 0.53 (0.41, 0.69) *** |
| p for trend | | | <0.001 |
| **Stroke** | | | |
| Controls | 553 | 32.4 | 1 (Reference) |
| Frequency of treatment of periodontal disease | | | |
| ≤1 | 262 | 42.6 | 1.04 (0.89, 1.20) |
| ≥2 | 256 | 15.8 | 0.50 (0.43, 0.58) *** |
| p for trend | | | <0.001 |
| **CHF** | | | |
| Controls | 500 | 29.7 | 1 (Reference) |
| Frequency of treatment of periodontal disease | | | |
| ≤1 | 313 | 52.3 | 1.39 (1.20, 1.60) *** |
| ≥2 | 277 | 17.3 | 0.57 (0.49, 0.66) *** |
| p for trend | | | <0.001 |
Table 5. Cont.

| Effect of Intensive Periodontal Disease Treatment on Major Cardiovascular Events | Hazard Ratio (95% CI) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|
|                                                                                 | Number of Events | Rate # | Adjusted HR † |
| Sudden cardiac death §                                                          | Controls          | 66     | 3.68       | 1 (Reference) |
| Frequency of treatment of periodontal disease                                   | ≤1                | 25     | 3.59       | 0.70 (0.44, 1.11) |
|                                                                                 | ≥2                | 44     | 2.64       | 0.66 (0.45, 0.98) * |
| p for trend                                                                      |                   |        | 0.004      |
| Mortality §                                                                     | Controls          | 1274   | 70.9      | 1 (Reference) |
| Frequency of treatment of periodontal disease                                   | ≤1                | 369    | 52.8      | 0.56 (0.50, 0.63) *** |
|                                                                                 | ≥2                | 576    | 34.5      | 0.46 (0.42, 0.51) *** |
| p for trend                                                                      |                   |        | <0.001     |

CVDs: cardiovascular diseases; ACS: acute coronary syndrome; AMI: acute myocardial infarction; CHF: congestive heart failure; Rate #: incidence rate, per 1000 person-years; † adjusted HR by Cox proportional hazard regression, adjusted for age, gender, urbanization level, monthly income, Charlson comorbidity index, comorbidities (chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), hyperlipidemia, diabetes, hypertension, peripheral arterial occlusive disease (PAOD), and lower extremity amputation), and medications (anti-platelet agents, angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors (ACEIs)/angiotensin II receptor blockers (ARBs), statins, calcium channel blockers, and beta-blockers). 1 Adjusted HR was calculated by Cox proportional hazard regression and adjusted for age, gender, monthly income, Charlson comorbidity index, comorbidities (COPD, hyperlipidemia, diabetes, hypertension, PAOD, and low extremity amputation), and medications (anti-platelet agents, ACEIs/ARBs, statins, calcium channel blockers, and beta-blockers). 2 Adjusted HR was calculated by Cox proportional hazard regression and adjusted for age, gender, monthly income, Charlson comorbidity index, comorbidities (hyperlipidemia, diabetes, hypertension, PAOD, and low extremity amputation), and medication (anti-platelet agents, ACEIs/ARBs, statins, calcium channel blockers, and beta-blockers). 3 Adjusted HR was calculated by Cox proportional hazard regression and adjusted for age, monthly income, Charlson comorbidity index, comorbidities (COPD, hyperlipidemia, diabetes, hypertension, PAOD, and lower extremity amputation), and medication (anti-platelet agents, ACEIs/ARBs, statins, calcium channel blockers, and beta-blockers). 4 Adjusted HR was calculated by Cox proportional hazard regression and adjusted for age, gender, urbanization level, monthly income, Charlson comorbidity index, comorbidities (COPD, hyperlipidemia, diabetes, hypertension, and PAOD), and medication (anti-platelet agents, ACEIs/ARBs, statins, calcium channel blockers, and beta-blockers). 5 Adjusted HR was calculated by Cox proportional hazard regression and adjusted for age, urbanization level, Charlson comorbidity index, comorbidities (hyperlipidemia and diabetes), and medication (beta-blockers). 6 Adjusted HR was calculated by Cox proportional hazard regression and adjusted for age, gender, urbanization level, monthly income, Charlson comorbidity index, comorbidities (COPD, hyperlipidemia, diabetes, hypertension, PAOD, cancer, and low extremity amputation), and medication (anti-platelet agents, ACEIs/ARBs, statins, calcium channel blockers, and beta-blockers). * p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01; *** p < 0.001.
4. Discussion

Our study is the first to identify an independent association between intensive POD therapy and new-onset major CVDs in patients on HD. Patients on HD with POD therapy showed a 22% risk reduction in all CVDs compared with patients on HD without POD treatment, after competing risk model analysis.

4.1. Cardiovascular Diseases

Bacteria colonizing periodontal pockets and those found in dental plaques function as POD pathogens. They elicit local inflammatory responses and invade circulation to induce systemic inflammatory responses. Markers of systemic inflammation, including C-reactive protein and interleukin 6, are surrogate markers of atherosclerotic vascular disease and are increased in patients with periodontitis [22]. A strong association was observed between POD and the risks of atherosclerosis vascular disease, ACS, and stroke in the general population [23]. Endothelial dysfunction and atherosclerosis caused by POD were postulated to be mediated by direct infection, bacteremia, or molecular mimicry [24].

Cardiovascular events caused by POD may at least be partially mediated by inflammation and endothelial dysfunction. Most studies have reported improved endothelial function and reduced inflammation among patients with POD who underwent periodontal interventions [25–27]. These findings support our hypothesis that POD is a marker of a susceptible immune system or might directly affect cardiovascular and mortality risks, which may be modified by POD treatment.

One study reports the occurrence of short-term systemic inflammation and endothelial dysfunction after intensive periodontal treatment [26]; another study suggested that dental procedures were correlated with short-term increased risks of vascular events [23]. These observations further support the assertion that bacterial translocation and procedure-related inflammation after dental procedures exert negatively effect on endothelial function.

No consensus has been reached regarding the role of POD interventions in the primary or secondary prevention of CVDs in patients with POD. In addition, few data on deaths (all-cause or CVD mortality) are available [28]. As we demonstrated that intensive POD therapy plays a role in the primary prevention of CVDs and has benefits for survival, we recommend that the diagnosis and management of POD not be overlooked in high-risk patients on dialysis.

4.2. All-Cause Mortality

The presence of moderate to severe POD adversely affected the survival of patients with ESRD [15]. The association between POD and mortality in the dialysis population was confirmed [13]. In our previous cohort study, intensive POD treatment was associated with reduced risks of overall infectious diseases in patients on HD [19]. Thus, the benefits of POD treatment in reducing the risks of CVDs and infectious diseases may contribute to favorable survival outcomes. The survival benefits also increased with the frequency of POD treatment.

In addition to factors related to dialysis, risk factors such as comorbid conditions and malnutrition affect patient survival [29]. POD is associated with malnutrition in patients on HD [30]. The oral health status can affect eating habits and thus the nutrition status [31]. Improvement in dental hygiene after POD treatment may have a clinical impact on nutrition; however, further research is necessary to determine whether this effect translates into survival benefits for patients on dialysis.

4.3. Congestive Heart Failure

Approximately 80% of patients on HD had cardiac diseases including ischemic heart disease, CHF, and arrhythmia. Among all CVDs in our study, the incidence rate of CHF was the highest, being at 33.0 per 1000 person-years in the comparison cohort. Management of CHF in patients on HD includes treating underlying diseases, optimal volume control, and pharmacologic therapy including the use of
beta-blockers and ACEis [10]. Our study demonstrated that POD treatment was associated with a 29% lower risk of CHF in patients on dialysis. The beneficial effect of POD treatment on endothelial function and systemic inflammation may translate into a reduction in CHF events in patients on dialysis.

4.4. Acute Coronary Syndrome and Acute Myocardial Infarction

Approximately 20% of cardiac deaths in patients on dialysis were attributed to AMI [32]. The increased prevalence of periodontal pathogens was associated with an increased risk of MI in the general population [33]. A study from Sweden in 2016 also demonstrated an association between periodontitis and the risk of a first myocardial infarction [34]. Few studies have investigated the effect of POD treatment on ACS. A current ongoing trial will provide new evidence regarding the effect of POD treatment on the risk of CVD recurrence in patients with stable coronary artery disease [35]. Additional studies are necessary to evaluate the relationship between improved cardiovascular biomarkers and clinical endpoints in patients after POD treatment.

4.5. Stroke

Our results showed a 28% risk reduction in overall stroke in the treatment cohort, largely resulting from the reduced incidence of ischemic stroke. Despite evidence indicating that POD is associated with ischemic stroke [36], little is known regarding the association between POD and hemorrhagic stroke. Only one case–control study demonstrated an association between periodontitis and hemorrhagic stroke [37]. Future prospective studies investigating biologic parameters are necessary to establish causality.

5. Limitations

The strength of our study is that it is the first to demonstrate that POD therapy might be beneficial in the primary prevention of CVDs and might improve survival in the HD population. However, our results should be interpreted with caution in consideration of the inherent limitations in a retrospective, observational study.

First, our findings were obtained by analyzing population-based data and could be generalized to the hemodialysis population. Potential problems in generalizing the results to the overall ESRD population could be the variations in the POD incidence among different races and dialysis modalities, such as peritoneal dialysis.

Second, potential risk factors are shared by CVDs and POD, such as smoking, alcohol abuse, and obesity. This information is not available in the NHIRD; hence, we could not adjust for these health-related factors. However, potential effect modifiers such as income and urbanization level were included in the analysis. Evidence from a study cohort including nonsmokers supports the association between POD and CVDs [38], suggesting an independent effect of POD on CVDs.

Finally, the number of POD patients who received no POD treatment in our database was only 132, which is too low to be a control group. This unbalanced distribution could be explained by the link between diagnosis and treatment caused by the copayment rule of the NHI program. We were uncertain about the POD status of the comparison cohort. POD diagnosis codes might have been absent for patients on HD because the patients did not have POD, or because they had POD that was underdiagnosed. Oral diseases may be underdiagnosed in patients on HD because of the low rate of public dental service utilization [39, 40]. As observed in our study, the high prevalence of comorbidities might prevent patients from seeking dental care. Therefore, we selected HD patients without POD diagnoses from the database as the comparison cohort. The potential bias resulting from the control group selection does not, however, weaken the positive results of the study.

6. Conclusions

POD treatment was associated with a significant decrease in all-cause mortality and CVD events in HD patients. POD may be a potentially modifiable risk factor for CVDs in patients on HD. Further
clinical investigation may be necessary to conclude whether periodontal intervention could be a potential prevention measure for CVDs in HD patients.
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