Travelers to rabies-endemic regions should avoid contact with wild and feral animals, even in seemingly safe captive settings (2). Any mammal can be rabid, and infectious animals might appear healthy for several days before illness onset; avoiding all wild and feral animals while traveling is the ideal preventive measure. All animal bites and scratches should be washed thoroughly with soap and water and receive immediate medical attention (2).
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Culicoids as Vectors of Schmallenberg Virus

To the Editor: In autumn 2011, an unidentified disease of livestock was reported on both sides of the Dutch–German border. By using metagenomics, the etiologic agent of this disease was identified as a novel orthobunyavirus and named Schmallenberg virus (SBV) (1). Other members of the genus Orthobunyavirus (e.g., Akabane virus) are widespread in Africa and Asia; biting midges (Culicoides spp.) and mosquitoes are responsible for transmitting these viruses. Hence, we reasonably assumed that European culicoids might be responsible for transmitting SBV within Europe. We present evidence that culicoids captured October 2011 in Denmark contained SBV RNA and most likely are vectors for this agent.

In autumn 2011, culicoids were collected from several sites within Denmark. One site, a chicken farm in Høkerup (online Appendix Figure, www.cdc.gov/eid/article/18/7/12-0385-FA1.htm), was selected for study because of its location close (6 km) to the German border and proximity (<10 km) to an SBV-infected sheep farm in Germany, as reported on March 9, 2012, by the Friedrich Loeffler Institute surveillance website (www.fli.bund.de). The culicoids were collected during October 14–16 by using a Mosquito Magnet Independence trap (Mosquito Magnet, Lititz, PA, USA) baited with carbon dioxide and octenol. Midge species were sorted manually into 91 specimens of the C. obsoletus group (comprising C. obsoletus, C. chiopterus, C. dewulfi, and C. scoticus) and 17 of the C. punctatus sensu stricto group, then stored at −20°C.

Pools of culicoids were homogenized in water (100 μL) by
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using a 3-mm stainless steel bead (Dejay Distribution Ltd., Launceston, UK) in a TissueLyser II (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany) for 1 min at 25 Hz (2). After homogenization, additional water (100 μL) was added to the samples, and then the mixture was centrifuged at 3,000 × g for 5 min. Nucleic acids were extracted from the supernatant (100 μL) by using a MagNA pure LC Total Nucleic Acid Isolation Kit on a MagNA pure LC (Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland) and eluted in water (50 μL).

Two separate 1-step reverse transcription quantitative PCRs (RT-qPCRs), targeting the L segment and the S segment of SBV RNA, were performed according to protocols provided by the Friedrich Loeffler Institute in Germany (1) on the extracted nucleic acids by using a MX3005p qPCR system (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA, USA). Another RT-qPCR targeting ruminant β-actin mRNA was performed as an internal endogenous control (3).

Two of 22 pools tested strongly positive for the large (L) and small (S) segments of SBV RNA. Each positive sample was derived from 5 midges of the C. obsoletus group. One pool produced cycle threshold (Ct) values of 26.4 and 24.5 (in the L segment– and S segment–specific assays, respectively), whereas the second positive pool gave Ct values of 28.8 (L segment) and 27.6 (S segment). These pools were negative for the internal endogenous control that targeted the bovine/ovine β-actin mRNA. This result makes it unlikely that the detection of SBV RNA within the midges resulted from recent blood meals from infected animals remaining within the culicoids and suggests that the virus has replicated within the midges. The PCR amplicons (145 bp; Figure) from the L segment–specific RT-qPCR were sequenced by using BigDye 1.1 chemistry on an ABI 3500 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). The sequences of 80 bp from the amplicons, excluding the primer sequences, had 100% identity with the expected region of the SBV segment L (1).

Reported Ct values generated by using the same assays from blood of naturally infected cattle were 24–35 (1). Usually, ≈100 μL of bovine/ovine blood is used for virus detection, whereas <1 μL of blood remains in a midge after a blood meal. This uptake of blood should therefore lead to a Ct value that is at least 6–7 units higher (≈100-fold lower level of RNA) when a single midge is tested by RT-qPCR (4). Thus, even if all 5 culicoids in a pool had recently taken a blood meal from a viremic animal, the Ct values observed here strongly suggest replication of SBV within the C. obsoletus group midges. However, in principle, other hosts of SBV could have a much higher level of viremia than cattle and could provide the levels of SBV RNA detected. C. punctatus s.s. midges cannot be ruled out as a possible vector of SBV because of the limited number of insects tested.

Our study demonstrates the presence of SBV RNA in C. obsoletus group midges caught in Denmark during October 2011. The low Ct values (i.e., high SBV RNA levels) and the absence of ruminant β-actin mRNA in these samples strongly suggest that SBV replicates in these midges and hence that the C. obsoletus group midges are natural vectors for this virus.
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Figure. RNA extracted from pools of Culicoides obsoletus group midges was tested in 1-step reverse transcription quantitative PCRs (RT-qPCRs) for the Schmallenberg virus large segment, and the products were analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis. Lanes 1–8, C. obsoletus group midge pools 1–8; lanes 9–10; negative and positive controls, respectively. Numbers below lanes are cycle threshold values from RT-qPCRs; –, no value. M, size marker. Amplicons (145 bp) from positive pools were extracted and sequenced.
 Buruli ulcer in this sub-Saharan African country for 2001–2010, including prevalence within a hospital population and clinical presentation of the cases. These data can be used to assess long-term developments in the number of cases of Buruli ulcer in this region.

In Gabon, the major focus of Buruli ulcer is the area around Lambaréné (population ≈25,000), the capital of Moyen Ogooué Province (population ≈35,000). It is located near the equator in the central African rainforest. Lambaréné lies near the confluence of 2 major rivers, Ogooué and Ngounié, and is the starting point for one of the largest river deltas in Africa. Numerous lakes are present throughout the region.

The Albert Schweitzer Hospital in Lambaréné serves the entire province. At this hospital, Buruli ulcer is diagnosed on the basis of clinical presentation. In addition, tissue samples are sent to the Prince Leopold Institute of Tropical Medicine in Belgium for PCR analysis. All cases are treated surgically, and since 2006, patients have received rifampin and streptomycin as well. Since 2007, patient information has been recorded on a BU-02 form, designed by the World Health Organization to register and report cases of Buruli ulcer (1).

We reviewed cases of Buruli ulcer at the Albert Schweitzer Hospital. We checked the hospital registry and patient records from 2001 through 2010 to identify probable cases of Buruli ulcer on the basis of clinical appearance and response to treatment. We also gathered information from BU-02 forms from 2007 through 2010.

During 2001–2010, the number of patients admitted to surgical wards because of suspected Buruli ulcer ranged from 5 to 40 per year (average 25 patients/year) (Figure). Despite moderate variability from year to year, the number of cases over the years increased ($\chi^2$ for trend, $p = 0.003$), which could be associated with increased awareness of the disease. The variability was not caused by changes in the number of patients hospitalized.

During 2007–2010, detailed clinical information from BU-02