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**SUMMARY**

*Araucaria angustifolia* is one of the main species of the Mixed Ombrophilous Forest and has been threatened by extinction. Additionally, a low number of regenerating individuals can be seen in forest remnants. For these reasons, this study aimed at evaluating the functional structure of Canoas River Basin (state of Santa Catarina, Brazil) using landscape ecology metrics, and at verifying whether or not the proximity between remnants is compatible with this species displacement of the main dispersers. Thus, a landscape structure analysis was performed using metrics of area, shape, edge and connectivity of habitat fragments based on satellite images. Landscape metrics were related to maximum distances of displacement of dispersers and to effective dispersion distance based on a genetic estimate derived from secondary data. Results indicate that Canoas River Basin has natural vegetation cover in 19.6 % of its territory and is highly fragmented. A total of 80.8 % of the fragments have an area smaller than 50 ha. The proximity between patches concerning the mean displacement of dispersers (87 m) is zero for 100 % of fragments. The proximity is zero in 43.5 % landscape, considering the effective seed dispersion based on the genetic estimate of dispersion distance. Therefore, the connectivity between fragments is very low, which may justify the fact that this species is often not found in the regenerating components of forest inventories.
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**RESUMEN**

*Una de las principales especies de los bosques mixtos, *Araucaria angustifolia*, está en peligro de extinción y también tiene un bajo número de individuos en regeneración. Por estas razones, el objetivo de este estudio fue evaluar, a través de métricas de ecología del paisaje, la estructura funcional de la cuenca del río Canoas, SC, Brasil, y verificar si la proximidad entre los remanentes es compatible con el desplazamiento de los principales dispersores de la especie. Para esto, se utilizaron métricas de área, forma, borde y conectividad de fragmentos de hábitat, utilizando imágenes satelitales. Las métricas del paisaje se relacionaron con las distancias máximas de desplazamiento de los dispersores y con la distancia de dispersión efectiva de la estimación genética, derivada de datos secundarios. Los resultados obtenidos indican que la cuenca del río Canoas tiene una cubierta de vegetación natural en el 19.6 % de su territorio y está altamente fragmentada, con un 80,8 % de los fragmentos con un área menor a 50 ha. La proximidad entre los remanentes en vista del desplazamiento de los dispersores (87 m) es nula para el 100 % de los fragmentos. Considerando la medida efectiva de dispersión de semillas a partir de la estimación genética de la distancia de dispersión, en el 43,5 % del paisaje la proximidad es nula. Se puede concluir que la conectividad entre fragmentos es muy baja, lo que puede justificar el hecho de que la especie a menudo no se encuentre en los componentes regenerativos de los estudios forestales.**
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**INTRODUCTION**

*Araucaria angustifolia* (Bertol.) Kuntze (*Araucariaceae*) is a symbolic tree of the Mixed Ombrophilous Forest and was overexploited in a recent past. Currently, it is a threatened species, and its suppression is prohibited (IBAMA 1992). Nonetheless, there are indications that *A. angustifolia* has often low natural regeneration since regenerating individuals are found in lower proportion contrasted with adult individuals (Chami *et al*.* 2011). In addition, the long-term species perpetuation in the landscape is worrisome due to increasing temperature related to climate change and conversion of natural areas caused by land-use changes (Marchioro *et al.* 2020).

The seed dispersal of *A. angustifolia* occurs mainly by small mammals, because it depends on animals to carry
seeds after the pine nut falls (Iob and Vieira 2008). Thus, the effect of landscape functional connectivity plays a crucial role in maintaining the interaction networks between plants and animals, as it can impose barriers and limit pollination and seed dispersal (Hadley and Betts 2011).

Most fragments of the Mixed Ombrophilous Forest are small, having an area of up to 50 hectares (Sevegnani et al. 2013). Therefore, they suffer high anthropogenic pressure. In fragmented landscapes, habitat filters influence propagules availability: isolation decreases the abundance of dispersers and impact vegetation composition (Dea´k et al. 2018). In view of this situation, there is an evident need to develop and apply non-invasive methods to examine the effects of fragmentation on the seed dispersal of *A. angustifolia* at a local scale (Finch et al. 2020), such as those used in satellite image-based landscape ecology and geographic information systems (GIS).

Therefore, this study aimed at evaluating the functional structure of Canoas River Basin (state of Santa Catarina, Brazil) using landscape ecology metrics, and relating the structure to the seed dispersal of *A. angustifolia*, a species of the Mixed Ombrophilous Forest. It sought to answer the following questions regarding Canoas River Basin: i) How is the landscape structure, especially considering the vegetation cover, degree of fragmentation and connectivity between fragments? and ii) is the proximity between natural remnants compatible with the displacement of the main seed dispersers of *A. angustifolia*?

**METHODS**

The study area is Canoas River Basin, one of the main rivers located in the Hydrographic Region (HR) 4 – Plateau of Lages, in Serrano Plateau of the state of Santa Catarina, comprising 28 municipalities (figure 1).

For the landscape metrics analysis, an inventory of the Atlantic Forest remnants (shapefile) was used. It was provided by the non-governmental organization SOS Mata Atlântica in partnership with National Institute for Space Research (INPE) based on images from the Landsat 8 satellite for 2016, with spatial resolution of 30 m (SOS Mata Atlântica and INPE 2017), and the Ottocodified Hydrographic Base, provided by National Water Agency of Brazil (ANA 2012) (figure 2). The Atlantic Forest remnants shapefile from SOS Mata Atlântica has two classes of natural areas: forest fragments (Mixed Ombrophilous Forest) and other non-forest natural fragments (Grasslands or “Campos” – figure 1). However, for this study, both were considered as habitat fragments, without distinction.

The landscape ecology analysis was conducted for the fragments and for the whole landscape, considering only habitat fragments (forest remnants and other non-forest natural remnants). Non-forest natural remnants were included because these natural vegetation patches allow the transit of seed dispersers. To assess landscape structure, the area, shape, edge and connectivity patches were calculated (table 1). They enabled the assessment of fragments size and shape, relating them to the edge effect.

**Figure 1.** Location map of Canoas River Basin and phytogeographic formations in the state of Santa Catarina, Brazil.

*Figura 1. Mapa de ubicación de la cuenca del río Canoas y formaciones fitogeográficas en el estado de Santa Catarina, Brasil.*
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Figure 2. Atlantic Forest remnants in Canoas River Basin (Santa Catarina, Southern Brazil) provided by SOS Mata Atlântica and National Institute for Space Research (INPE). Based on images from the Landsat 8 satellite (2016, spatial resolution of 30 m) (SOS Mata Atlântica and INPE 2017).

Table 1. Metrics for fragments and landscape of Canoas River Basin, state of Santa Catarina, Brazil.

| Category | Metric | Range | Description |
|----------|--------|-------|-------------|
| **Fragments** | | | |
| Area | AREA | > 0 | Fragment area (ha). |
| Shape | SHAPE | ≥ 1 | Fragment shape. The closer to 1, the more regular the shape. |
| Core area | CORE | ≥ 0 | Core area considering edge size (ha). |
| | NCORE | ≥ 0 | Number of core areas. |
| | CAI | 0 ≤ CAI < 100 | Fragment percentage corresponding to the core area. |
| **Connectivity** | | | |
| | ENN | > 0 | Euclidean nearest neighbor distance (m). |
| | PROX | ≥ 0 | Proximity index. When it is equal to zero, there are no neighbors in the search radius; it rises as the neighborhood is increasingly occupied by patches of the same type. |
| **Landscape** | | | |
| Area | CA/TA | > 0 | Landscape area (ha). |
| | LPI | 0 < LPI ≤ 100 | Landscape percentage composed of the largest fragment. |
| Core area | TCA | ≥ 0 | Total core area (ha). |
| | NP | ≥ 1 | Number of fragments. |
| Connectivit | ENN | > 0 | Euclidean nearest neighbor distance (m). |
| | PROX | ≥ 0 | Mean proximity index. |
Table 2. Displacement distances for the dispersers of *A. angustifolia* used in this study, according to studies developed in the phyto-geographic region of the Mixed Ombrophilous Forest.

| Dispersers displacement distances | Sources                        | Maximum distance (m) | Average distance (m) |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
|                                  | Small rodents                   |                       |                      |
|                                  | Lamberts (2003)                 | 26.7                  |                      |
|                                  | Marques *et al.* (2011)         | 70                    |                      |
|                                  | Nicola (2009)                   | 225                   |                      |
|                                  | Anjos (1991)                    | 80                    |                      |
|                                  | Kindel (1996)                   | 100                   | 87^a                 |
|                                  | Solôrzzano-filho (2001)         | 120                   |                      |
|                                  | Jays                            |                       |                      |
|                                  | Cristofolini (2013)             | 237                   |                      |
|                                  | Bittencourt and Sebbenn (2007)  | 291                   | 287^b                |
|                                  | Sant’anna (2011)                | 334                   |                      |
|                                  | Agouti                          |                       |                      |
|                                  | Bordignon and Monteiro-Filho (2000) | 25                 |                      |
|                                  | Squirrels                       |                       |                      |
|                                  | Cristofolini (2013)             | 237                   |                      |
|                                  | Bittencourt and Sebbenn (2007)  | 291                   |                      |
|                                  | Sant’anna (2011)                | 334                   |                      |

^a Based on the average of all dispersers displacement distances.

^b Based on the average of all seed dispersal distances considering genetic proximity of mother trees and regenerating individuals.

Euclidean nearest neighbor distance (ENN) was assessed using a frequency histogram, and PROX metric was assessed using absolute and relative frequencies in different classes.

Geoprocessing activities were performed using ArcGis 10.3 software (ESRI 2013), and landscape metrics were calculated using Fragstats 4.2 software (McGarigal *et al.* 2012). Charts were constructed using the R programming language (R Core Team 2020).

RESULTS

Landscape structure. A total of 3,436 patches of habitat were recorded in Canoas River Basin, which corresponds to an area of 291,391 ha. Considering the total calculated area of the basin in this study (1,488,846 ha), 19.6 % are habitat areas, i.e. forest remnants and other non-forest natural remnants. Of the total number of patches in Canoas River Basin, 80.8 % have an area smaller than 50 ha (table 3, figure 3). It could be found that fragments larger than 50 ha occupy 16.4 % of the landscape when assessing the proportion of habitat areas in relation to the total area of the Canoas River basin. The landscape percentage composed of the largest fragment (LPI) is only 1.9 %.

The mean value obtained for the landscape shape index (SHAPE) was 1.87, indicating that, in general, fragments are little shredded and presented shapes similar to a rectangle. Fragments with shape metrics of up to 1.5 correspond to 36.9 % of the total value; shape between 1.5 and 2 to 34.3 %, and shape from 2 to 28.8 %. Regarding the size classes of the fragments, the most important variation in shape is in fragments with the largest size (> 100 ha), whereas the smaller ones (<5 ha) have a shape close to 1 and are more regular (figure 4A). Fragments with shape metrics above 4 are the largest in relation to the total area. Even though they have a highly irregular shape, they also correspond to the largest core areas of Canoas River Basin (figures 4B and 4C). The largest fragments (areas of 28,000 and 21,000 hectares) had very high values for shape metrics (10 and 17), although they were also the patches

Table 3. Number of patches of habitat (forest natural remnants) by area size class in Canoas River Basin, state of Santa Catarina, Brazil.

| Class (ha) | Number | % in class | Total area (ha) | Occupation in the landscape (%) |
|------------|--------|------------|----------------|-------------------------------|
| < 5        | 266    | 7.7        | 783.5          | 0.1                           |
| 5 - 50     | 2,513  | 73.1       | 47,040.3       | 3.2                           |
| 50 - 100   | 349    | 10.2       | 23,860.8       | 1.6                           |
| > 100      | 308    | 9.0        | 219,706.3      | 14.8                          |
| Total      | 3,436  | 100.0      | 291,390.8      | 19.6                          |
| Total basin area (ha) | 1,488,846.0 |          |                |                               |
with the highest proportions of core areas, corresponding to 91 and 83% of the total area, respectively.

For the total area (fragments with forest remnants and other non-forest natural remnants) of Canoas River Basin, the core area (CORE) was of 2,173.2 km². This result implies a reduction of 25.4% when compared to the total area. Among the fragments, 46 had no core area, i.e., when the edge size was applied, the whole fragment consisted of an edge habitat. Based on the metric that calculates the percentage of a fragment that corresponds to the core area (CAI), it could be noticed that 61% of fragments have less than 50% core area in relation to the total area (2,091 fragments).

Connectivity between fragments and relation to seed dispersal of *Araucaria angustifolia*. Canoas River Basin has a density of 0.23 fragments per 100 hectares. The mean proximity index (PROX) found for a generalist search radius (1,000 m) was 344, and the nearest neighbor distance (ENN) was 362 m. The distance to the nearest and most frequent fragment was 100 to 200 m (figure 5).

Using a search radius of 87 m, which corresponds to the mean displacement distance of the dispersers of *Araucaria angustifolia* considered in this study, the proximity index was equal to 0 (zero) for all fragments. This result suggests that there are no neighboring fragments within this radius of distance throughout Canoas River Basin. For seed dispersal distance considering genetic proximity and applying a search radius of 287 m, 1,495 fragments with a proximity index equal to 0 (zero) were found (table 4). This number indicates that 43.5% of the remaining fragments do not have a neighbor within the seed dispersal limit of *A. angustifolia*, considering the genetic distance. Equivalently, results of the metric that measures the nearest neighbor distance (ENN) indicate that 1,941 fragments have at least one neighbor within the seed dispersal limit, considering the distance between mother and regenerating trees.

**DISCUSSION**

**Landscape structure.** Considering the total number of fragments, Canoas River Basin presents the same reality as those of Mixed Ombrophilous Forest as a whole and the Atlantic Forest biome, having approximately 80% of the forest remnants with an area of less than 50 ha (Ribeiro et al. 2009, Sevegnani et al. 2013). The large number of small fragments indicates high degree of landscape destruction and, consequently, a more important edge effect. Likewise, the landscape percentage composed of the largest fragment (LPI) was exceptionally low, demonstrating the intense fragmentation since the largest fragment comprises only a small area in relation to the total landscape. These results already indicate that seed dispersal may be impaired as many small fragments may not be sufficient for long-term survival of large vertebrates, and the lack of

![Figure 3. Size classes of habitat fragments in Canoas River Basin, state of Santa Catarina, Brazil.](image)
connectivity between the remnants may hinder seed dispersal of the local flora, particularly those with large seeds (Bueno et al. 2013).

Fragments with an area larger than 50 ha are located mainly in the South and Southeast regions of the basin. In the South, it comprises the municipalities of Campo Belo do Sul, Capão Alto, Lages and Painel. In the Southeast, the fragments are mainly in the following municipalities close to Serra Geral: Urubici, Bom Retiro and Rio Rufino. The proximity to the mountain range may have led to better conservation in this region since the larger slopes

![Boxplots for the metrics of SHAPE (A), CORE (B) and CAI (C) by fragment size class from Canoas River Basin, state of Santa Catarina, Brazil. Closed triangles correspond to the mean and open circles represent the outliers.](image1)

**Figure 4.** Boxplots for the metrics of SHAPE (A), CORE (B) and CAI (C) by fragment size class from Canoas River Basin, state of Santa Catarina, Brazil. Closed triangles correspond to the mean and open circles represent the outliers.

![Frequency histogram of the Euclidean nearest neighbor distance (ENN) for Canoas River Basin, state of Santa Catarina, Brazil.](image2)

**Figure 5.** Frequency histogram of the Euclidean nearest neighbor distance (ENN) for Canoas River Basin, state of Santa Catarina, Brazil.

Gráficos de caja para las métricas de SHAPE (A), CORE (B) y CAI (C) por clase de tamaño de fragmento de la cuenca del río Canoas, estado de Santa Catarina, Brasil. Los triángulos cerrados corresponden a la media y los círculos abiertos representan los valores atípicos.

**Table 4.** Frequencies of the PROX connectivity metric for Canoas River Basin fragments, state of Santa Catarina, Brazil (AF = absolute frequency; RF = relative frequency).

| PROX | Seed dispersal (mother and regenerating tree) (287 m) |
|------|------------------------------------------------------|
|      | AF         | RF (%)    |
| 0    | 1495       | 43.5      |
| 0.1 - 200 | 1660       | 48.3      |
| 200.1 - 400 | 48         | 1.4       |
| 400.1 - 600 | 35         | 1.0       |
| 600.1 - 800 | 22         | 0.6       |
| 800.1 - 1000 | 21        | 0.6       |
| >1000 | 155        | 4.5       |

**Table 4.** Frequencias de la métrica de conectividad PROX para los fragmentos de la cuenca del río Canoas, estado de Santa Catarina, Brasil (AF = frecuencia absoluta; RF = frecuencia relativa).

| TOTAL | AF   | RF (%) |
|-------|------|--------|
| 3436  |      | 100    |

Histograma de frecuencia de la distancia euclidiana al vecino más cercano (ENN) para la cuenca del río Canoas, estado de Santa Catarina, Brasil.
found there may hinder the processes of occupation by man. Land use for economic purposes is preferably done in less sloping areas and at lower altitudes, leading to a more drastic reduction in forest cover in these situations, while more remote and hard-to-reach areas usually have higher vegetation cover (Cunha et al. 2012).

Regarding shape, fragments with a shape index above 2 were observed, reaching values such as 10 and 17. These fragments with more irregular shape are also those of larger total area and core area, contrary to what was expected. Shape index is influenced by the fragment size and is expected to commonly decrease as the size increases (Turner et al. 2001). Nevertheless, according to the results obtained in this study, even large fragments can have quite complex shapes and may suffer important effects of surrounding matrix. Perhaps, due to the type of land use of neighboring fragments, such as urban area or agriculture, these large fragments are shredded. However, the composition of fragments with large areas can be highly influenced by their shape and edge effect, factors that are often considered more important than the area itself (Didham and Ewers 2012). Ewers and Didham (2007) found that large fragments with highly complex shape can have 80% of their diversity reduced when compared to smaller circular fragments, a result of the dominance of groups of species that are tolerant to the edge, which impairs the presence of demanding species in shade.

On the other hand, it could be observed that some very small fragments have no core area, according to the edge size adopted. Ferreira et al. (2016) assessed a fragment in the region of Mixed Ombrophilous Forest and concluded that it did not present variations in its organization, structure and relative participation of regeneration guilds in the arboreal community of the edge-interior gradient. Similar result are described by Ferreira et al. (2016), which added to those results on the core area metrics found in this study, suggests that, in fact, some of Mixed Ombrophilous Forest fragments are composed entirely of a habitat with edge characteristics mainly due to the size, shape and large anthropization of the matrix.

Connectivity between fragments and relation to seed dispersal of A. angustifolia. Connectivity between remnants in Canoas River Basin is low, and considering the displacement of the main dispersers of A. angustifolia, it could be inferred that these animals’ walking between fragments is quite difficult due to the distance between them and the non-forest matrix. Considering that the seed dispersal of A. angustifolia by small rodents is limited to a mean displacement distance of 107 m, and by jays, to 100 m — according to studies conducted in the phytogeographic region —, and that the mean nearest neighbor distance was less than or equal to the movement of these dispersers in only 314 fragments, i.e., 91% of cases, there is no connectivity between remnants for these classes of dispersers. As for the seed dispersal by agouti and squirrels, considering the displacement distances of 50 and 25 m respectively, the connectivity between fragments is inexistent since there are no nearby fragments within this limit. If the displacement of dispersers between fragments is difficult, and therefore possibly occurs less frequently, the seeds of this species will likely have greater difficulties of establishment due to factors such as competition and predation. For plants, external factors, such as abundance and disperser behavior, habitat quality and quantity, and interactions between these elements, influence the movement of propagules in ecological and evolutionary time (Damschen et al. 2008).

The large distances between the remnants may justify the low occurrence of A. angustifolia regenerants detected in the Mixed Ombrophilous Forest (Chami et al. 2011). Cain et al. (2000) claim that landscape fragmentation can cause populations of many plant species to be spatially isolated from each other, often by hundreds of meters or more. The authors also suggest that most plant seeds do not go far, often only one or a few meters, hindering the reach of new areas by propagules even more. A previous study has found that human interferences as fragmentation and selective logging have had negative effects on the seed disperser communities and the natural availability of seeds of A. angustifolia, which reduces seed survival and recruitment (Bocard et al. 2018). Their results indicated that recruitment has decreased in mean fourfold in forest fragments in relation to continuous forests, suggesting a scenario with low seed dispersal effectiveness on fragmented landscapes.

It is worth mentioning that the edge effect distance considered was 50 m, which makes it impossible for very small fragments to be considered in this metric. However, depending on the landscape matrix, the existence of these small remnants can assist in the displacement of dispersers between larger patches, serving as stepping stones or trampolines.

In view of the genetic estimates of seed dispersal — i.e., a measure of “effective seed dispersal” since it allows to accurately identify the distance between the mother tree and its regenerants (Cain et al. 2000) —, the proximity to other remnants is zero for almost half of the fragments. Even in an optimistic scenario, in which seed dispersal is effective in half the landscape, the situation of the species is worrisome. Assuming that the seed is dispersed, there is still a high probability that it will be degraded or consumed by other animals before germinating; or even, the seedling may suffer from the trampling of cattle, common practice in the forest remnants of the region. For such reasons, both awareness and preservation of the species, as well as commitment to the creation of conservation units that act as connectors of larger fragments are necessary as they can assist in the movement of dispersers of this species.

CONCLUSIONS

From the results of this study, it can be inferred that Canoas River Basin has a high degree of fragmentation, having a large proportion of small fragments (less than 5
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