Apolipoproteins A1, B, and apoB/apoA1 ratio are associated with first ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction but not with recurrent events during long-term follow-up
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Abstract

Introduction The current way to assess the risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD) is to measure conventional lipid and lipoprotein cholesterol fractions. Despite the success of statin treatment, residual cardiovascular risk remains high. Therefore, the value of extensive serum apolipoprotein (apo) profiling to assess the risk of ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) and of major adverse cardiac events (MACE) in patients with STEMI was investigated in a case–control design.

Methods and results Serum apo levels were measured using liquid chromatography and mass spectrometry in 299 healthy individuals and 220 patients with STEMI. First, the association of apo profiles in baseline samples with risk of STEMI was examined, and second, the association of apo profiles at baseline with risk of recurrent MACE in patients with STEMI in a longitudinal study design was studied. High baseline (> 1.25 g/L) apoA1 levels were associated with a decreased risk of STEMI [odds ratio (OR) 0.17; 95% CI 0.11–0.26], whereas high apoB (> 1.00 g/L) levels (OR 2.17; 95% CI 1.40–3.36) and apoB/apoA1 ratio (OR per 1 SD (OR/SD): 2.16; 95% CI 1.76–2.65) were associated with an increased risk. Very-low-density-lipoprotein (VLDL)-associated apos gave conflicting results. Neither conventional lipid levels nor apo levels were associated with MACE in the STEMI group.

Conclusion In conclusion, apoA1, apoB, and apoB/apoA1 were strongly associated with risk of STEMI. No clear relation between VLDL-associated apos and the risk of STEMI was found. Neither baseline serum apos nor lipids predicted MACE in statin-treated patients during long-term follow-up after a first STEMI.
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Introduction

Identification of patients at high risk for developing ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) is essential. Dyslipidemia in these patients is one of the factors that impair their long-term clinical outcome [1–4]. The current way to assess risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD) and its complications such as acute myocardial infarction (AMI), is, amongst others, quantification of total cholesterol (TC), LDL-cholesterol (LDLc), HDL-cholesterol (HDLc), and triglycerides (TG) concentrations in serum. Notwithstanding the success of statin treatment for reaching treatment goals, the residual cardiovascular risk being about 70% remains remarkably high [5–7]. The R3I initiative aims to explain this residual cardiovascular risk by looking for improved diagnostic, prognostic, and therapeutic biomarkers, beyond traditional risk factors [8].

The measurement of functional and structural protein components of lipoproteins, i.e., apolipoprotein (apos), is suggested to have additional value for coronary artery disease (CAD) risk assessment [9–15]. It has been suggested that apoB is a better marker of CAD risk than LDLc [9–11] and superior to non-HDLc [14, 16]. Furthermore, investigators from the INTERHEART study have shown that the
apoB/apoA1 ratio was a better risk marker of AMI than the TC/HDLc ratio [13]. Recently, Pechlaner et al. presented in the Bruneck study new data about the relation of very-low-density-lipoprotein (VLDL)-associated apolipoproteins, i.e., apoCII, apoCIII, and apoE, with incident CVD. These apolipoproteins were found to be strong predictors of CVD [17]. The association of apoCIII with incident CVD was further corroborated by Van Capelleveen et al. [18] in a nested case–control study of the EPIC-Norfolk cohort. As residual cardiovascular risk remains high [8] even after successful treatment of traditional risk factors, a case–control study with long-term follow-up of patients with STEMI was executed to evaluate the value of extensive serum apo profiling for (1) prediction of STEMI and for (2) prediction of recurrent major adverse cardiac events (MACE) in patients with STEMI. The aim of this study was to focus on quantifying serum apoA1, apoB, apoCI, apoCII, apoCIII, and apoE, beyond serum lipids and lipoprotein cholesterol fractions. A previously developed method for quantitative serum apo profiling using liquid chromatography (LC) and mass spectrometry (MS), which has proven to be highly accurate and in concordance with quality requirements for medical tests, independent of the presence of hypertriglyceridemia, was used [19].

**Methods**

**Study design**

In the current study, the lipid and apo profiles in patients with STEMI from the MISSION! Intervention Trial [20] were compared with those of random digit dialing (RDD) controls from the Dutch Multiple Environmental and Genetic Assessment of risk factors for venous thrombosis (MEGA) study, a population-based study on risk factors for venous thrombosis [21]. Second, in patients with STEMI, the risk of recurrent MACE in a longitudinal study design was evaluated.

**Study participants**

Patients with first STEMI admitted to the Leiden University Medical Center between February 2004 and October 2006 and included in the MISSION! Intervention Trial were included as cases. The study cohort consisted of 297 consecutive STEMI patients treated with primary percutaneous coronary intervention (pPCI). STEMI was defined as ongoing chest pain (> 30 min), accompanied with ST elevation (≥ 0.2 mV in ≥ 2 leads in V1–V3 or ≥ 0.1 mV in other leads) or presumed new left bundle branch block and a typical rise of high-sensitivity cardiac troponin-T (hs-cTnT). In case of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest, only patients with return of spontaneous circulation at the moment of arrival at the catheterization laboratory were included. Patients with prior AMI (n = 11), prior PCI (n = 3), and/or prior coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) (n = 1) were excluded. A total of 5 patients had no available frozen serum samples for quantitative serum apo profiling. Since in the MEGA study, only controls were included who were < 70 years, MISSION patients who were > 70 years were excluded in this logistic regression analysis (n = 57). Therefore, in total, 220 cases were included. During the study, all patients were treated according to the institutional MISSION! protocol [22] based on guidelines of the European Society of Cardiology, American College of Cardiology, and the American Heart Association [23, 24].

Controls were individuals who participated in the control arm of the MEGA study [19]. This case–control study included 4956 consecutive patients aged 18–70 years with a first deep vein thrombosis or pulmonary embolism, between March 1999 and September 2004. Partners of patients and individuals identified by RDD were asked to participate as controls. In total, 6297 controls (3297 partners and 3000 by random digit dialing) were included. Of 3000 enrolled RDD controls, a random sample of 300 individuals was drawn for apo profile analysis and an extensive questionnaire including a list of potential risk factors for CVD. One RDD control was excluded because of a technical failure. No other exclusion criteria were applied to RDD controls.

**Data collection**

Data of each MISSION! patient are systematically collected in the electronic patient file (EPD-VISION, Leiden) using a unique identification number. Of interest for the present analysis are the items on age, sex, and statin use at time of blood draw. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated by dividing weight (in kg) by height squared (m²). A BMI between 18.5 and 25 kg/m² was defined as normal, between 25 and 30 kg/m² as overweight, and ≥ 30 kg/m² as obese. Patients using statins at the time of blood withdrawal were regarded as statin users.
Follow-up in the MISSION! study

Information on all-cause mortality was obtained from the Dutch Municipality Records registry. Cause of death was retrieved from general practitioners. Clinical follow-up data were collected during the 30 days, 3-, 6-, and 12-month outpatient clinic visits. Follow-up data on serious adverse events including myocardial infarction, revascularisation, and stroke were obtained by telephone interviews at 2, 5, and 10 years after admission.

MACE was defined as the combined endpoint of 10-year clinical outcome, including death, AMI, revascularisation (PCI or CABG), and stroke.

Blood collection and laboratory analysis

In the MISSION Intervention Trial, baseline blood samples were obtained at presentation (immediately before the PCI procedure was performed). The median time between onset of symptoms and blood withdrawal was 180 (IQR 120–252) min. Standard lab included non-fasting TC, HDLc, and TG, which were analysed directly. These levels enabled calculation of LDLc. An extra serum sample was coagulated for at least 60 min before centrifugation at 1500xg for 10 min at a temperature below 18°C. Sera were pipetted into 1.1 mL Micronic tubes. Within 2 h after venous puncture, the serum samples were frozen in a −70−80°C freezer.

To determine the apo profile, a mass spectrometric method was developed for multiplexed quantification of six serum apos (apoA1, apoB, apoCI, apoCII, apoCIII, and apoE), including apoE phenotyping [19]. In contrast to classical HDLc and LDLc tests and lipoprotein particle counting methods, the quantitative proteomics test allows adequate quantification of unequivocally characterized apos with an analytical performance that meets test requirements derived from biological variation. APO quantification by liquid chromatography (LC)–mass spectrometry (MS/MS) starts with solubilisation and denaturation of serum proteins before enzymatic digestion that generates signature peptides for the intact serum proteins. The peptides in the serum digest are separated by LC and detected by tandem MS/MS.

In MEGA controls, TC, TG, HDLc, apoA1, and apoB were measured on stored (−80°C) fasting serum samples. In 2015, apoA1 and apoB were measured with immunoturbidimetric tests on routine clinical chemistry analysers. In 2017, the complete apo profile (apoA1, apoB, apoCI, apoCII, apoCIII, and apoE, plus apoE phenotyping) was measured in the same stored fasting serum samples that were thawed twice. Since apoA1 and apoB were measured twice, i.e., both in once and twice thawed sera, Bland–Altman plots and scatter plots were used to evaluate potential systematic error due to freeze-thawing once or twice. Results showed a mean difference of 0.09 g/L (95% CI −0.12 to 0.30 g/L) for apoA1 and 0.08 g/L (95% CI −0.08 to 0.23 g/L) for apoB, and r² of 0.89 and 0.93, respectively. Since no systematic error appeared to be present, it was considered likely that freezing and thawing serum samples did not influence levels of apo profiles in MEGA.

Frozen storage of the serum samples was ensured by continuous, online temperature registration of the freezers.

TC and TG were measured by a colorimetric method (CHOD-PAP for TC and GPO-PAP for TG) on a Modular P analyser (Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN). HDLc was measured by a direct method based on the Kyowa Medex reaction principle using polyethylene glycol-modified enzymes (Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN). LDLc levels were calculated using the Friedewald formula [LDLc = TC − HDLc − (TG/2.2) for mmol/L]. If TG exceeded 4.52 mmol/L, LDLc was not calculated. In the MEGA study, the apo profile was determined similarly as in the MISSION! cohort. Remnant cholesterol concentration was calculated by TC − LDLc − HDLc.

Cut-off points for lipid and apo profiles

The definitions of Nordestgaard et al. for abnormal lipid or apo levels in non-fasting state were used: TC 5.0 mmol/L, LDLc 3.0 mmol/L, HDLc 1.0 mmol/L, TG 2.0 mmol/L, remnant cholesterol 0.9 mmol/L, non-HDLc 3.9 mmol/L, apoA1 1.25 g/L, and apoB 1.0 g/L [25]. Since these cut-off points were not known for apoCI, apoCII, apoCIII, and apoE, quartile cut-off points of both conventional lipids and apos were used. One prior study analysed new apo profiles by a per standard deviation (SD) increase in controls,[17] which was also performed in the current study to evaluate whether this would yield similar results.

Statistical analysis

Continuous variables with a normal distribution are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Not-normally distributed data are presented as medians and interquartile range (IQR). The Mann–Whitney U test was used to test differences between two groups of not-normally distributed data. Lipid/apo profiles were log-transformed if not-normally distributed. Categorical variables are expressed as numbers and percentages.

To study determinants of conventional lipid and apo profiles in the general population, simple and multiple linear regression analyses were performed in the group of healthy individuals. To determine the association of various lipid and apo profiles with (1) risk of STEMI and (2) risk of MACE in patients with STEMI, odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated, and were adjusted for age, sex, and statin use by logistic regression methods. Model 1 in Table 3 is defined...
as the OR for the risk of STEMI adjusted for age, sex, and statin use. Model 1—statin users are the same model, but with statin users excluded.

Duration of follow-up was counted from time of first STEMI to end of follow-up, defined as 10-year follow-up, the date of a MACE, or lost to follow-up, whichever occurred first. Incidence rates of MACE or death were estimated as the number of events over the accumulated follow-up time. Cox-proportional hazards models were used to evaluate risks between groups, and were progressively adjusted for age, sex, and statin use. In all regression analyses, a preplanned sensitivity analysis was performed in which statin users were excluded at the time of blood draw (n = 40), as statin use do affect conventional lipid levels and apo profiles. To evaluate changes over time in lipid levels within patients a paired T test or a Wilcoxon signed rank test was performed when appropriate. All statistical tests were 2-tailed, p values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed with SPSS for Windows, version 24.0 (SPSS Inc., IBM, Armonk, NY).

Results

In total, 220 STEMI patients and 299 control subjects were eligible for this study. As expected, patients in the STEMI group were older (mean age 55.0 ± 9.37 years) than RDD controls (mean age 47.5 ± 13.1 years) in the control group. Patients with STEMI were more often men as compared with the control group (78.6% and 46.2%, respectively). Overall, the STEMI group had a lipid profile consistent with an increased cardiovascular risk. Whereas TC and LDLc levels did not differ between the two groups, HDLc was significantly lower and TG and remnant cholesterol were significantly higher in the STEMI group. ApoA1 was significantly lower in the STEMI group than in the control group (1.24 ± 0.24 g/L versus 1.53 ± 0.31 g/L, respectively, p < 0.001) and apoB was significantly higher in the STEMI group than in the control group (1.18 ± 0.25 g/L versus 1.08 ± 0.29 g/L, respectively, p < 0.001). All baseline characteristics are summarized in Table 1.

Table 2 shows the association of conventional lipids and apos with cardiovascular risk factors (age, gender, smoking,
Table 2  Association of lipid and apo profiles with cardiovascular risk factors in control subjects

|                  | Age          | Sex          | Smoking history | Obesity      | Statin use   |
|------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|
|                  | < 50 y       | ≥ 50 years   | Men             | Women        | No           | Yes          | No           | Yes          | No           | Yes          |
| Cholesterol      |              |              |                 |              |              |              |              |              |              |              |
| Mean level, mmol/L | 5.22         | 5.93         | 5.59            | 5.50         | 5.34         | 5.68         | 5.54         | 5.64         | 5.56         | 5.22         |
| Mean difference (95% CI) | Ref        | −0.09 (−0.34 to 0.17) | Ref           | 0.33 (0.08–0.59)** | Ref         | 0.11 (−0.28 to 0.50)† | Ref         | −0.34 (−0.82 to 0.14)† |
| Mean difference (95% CI)* | Ref        | −0.05 (−0.29 to 0.19) | Ref           | 0.22 (−0.03 to 0.47)† | Ref         | 0.13 (−0.24 to 0.50)† | Ref         | −0.69 (−1.18 to −0.20)** |
| Mean difference (95% CI)† | Ref        | −0.08 (−0.33 to 0.16) | Ref           | 0.20 (−0.05 to 0.48)† | Ref         | 0.14 (−0.25 to −0.52)† |
| Triglycerides, mmol/L | 1.41         | 1.63         | 1.60            | 1.44         | 1.37         | 1.60         | 1.49         | 1.68         | 1.50         | 1.70         |
| Mean difference (95% CI) | Ref        | 0.21 (0.03–0.40)** | Ref           | 0.23 (0.04–0.42)** | Ref         | 0.19 (−0.10 to 0.48)† | Ref         | 0.19 (−0.16 to 0.55)** |
| Mean difference (95% CI)* | Ref        | −0.13 (−0.32 to 0.06) | Ref           | 0.21 (0.02–0.40)** | Ref         | 0.21 (−0.08 to 0.49)† | Ref         | 0.12 (−0.26 to 0.051)† |
| Mean difference (95% CI)† | Ref        | −0.14 (−0.34 to 0.06) | Ref           | 0.17 (−0.03 to 0.38)† | Ref         | 0.23 (−0.08 to 0.54)† | NA          |
| HDLc, mmol/L      | 1.34         | 1.41         | 1.23            | 1.49         | 1.42         | 1.34         | 1.39         | 1.22         | 1.37         | 1.26         |
| Mean difference (95% CI) | Ref        | 0.07 (−0.02 to 0.16)† | Ref           | 0.26 (0.17–0.35)*** | Ref         | −0.08 (−0.17 to 0.02)† | Ref         | −0.17 (−0.31 to −0.03)* |
| Mean difference (95% CI)* | Ref        | 0.13 (0.04–0.22)** | Ref           | 0.27 (0.18–0.35)*** | Ref         | −0.07 (−0.16 to 0.02)† | Ref         | −0.20 (−0.34 to −0.07)* |
| Mean difference (95% CI)† | Ref        | 0.12 (0.03–0.22)** | Ref           | 0.26 (0.17–0.35)*** | Ref         | −0.05 (−0.15 to −0.04)* | Ref         | −0.19 (−0.33 to −0.05)* |
| LDLc, mmol/L      | 3.24         | 3.79         | 3.64            | 3.36         | 3.31         | 3.61         | 3.47         | 3.67         | 3.51         | 3.18         |
| Mean difference (95% CI) | Ref        | 0.55 (0.33–0.76)*** | Ref           | 0.30 (0.07–0.53)** | Ref         | 0.19 (−0.16 to 0.55)† | Ref         | −0.34 (−0.77 to 0.10)† |
| Mean difference (95% CI)* | Ref        | −0.26 (−0.48 to −0.04)** | Ref           | 0.20 (−0.03 to 0.42)† | Ref         | 0.24 (−0.10 to 0.58)† | Ref         | −0.66 (−1.11 to −0.22)† |
| Mean difference (95% CI)† | Ref        | −0.28 (−0.50 to −0.06)** | Ref           | 0.18 (−0.05 to 0.40)† | Ref         | 0.22 (−0.13 to 0.58)† | NA          |
| Remnant cholesterol | 0.64         | 0.73         | 0.72            | 0.65         | 0.62         | 0.72         | 0.67         | 0.76         | 0.68         | 0.76         |
| Mean difference (95% CI) | Ref        | 0.10 (0.01–0.18)** | Ref           | 0.07 (−0.15 to 0.01)† | Ref         | 0.10 (0.02–0.19)** | Ref         | 0.09 (−0.04 to 0.22)† | Ref         | 0.09 (−0.07 to 0.25)† |
| Mean difference (95% CI)* | Ref        | −0.06 (−0.14 to 0.03)† | Ref           | 0.09 (0.01–0.18)** | Ref         | 0.09 (−0.04 to 0.22)† | Ref         | 0.06 (−0.12 to 0.23)† |
Table 2 (continued)

|                          | Age < 50 y | Age ≥ 50 years | Sex | Sex | Smoking history | Smoking history | Obesity | Obesity | Statin use | Statin use | Smoking history | Smoking history | Obesity | Obesity | Statin use | Statin use |
|--------------------------|------------|----------------|-----|-----|----------------|----------------|---------|---------|------------|------------|----------------|----------------|---------|---------|------------|------------|
| Apo A1, g/L              |            |                |     |     |                |                |         |         |            |            |                |                |         |         |            |            |
| Mean level               | 1.50       | 1.58           | 1.42| 1.63| 1.56           | 1.52           | 1.54    | 1.49    | 1.54       | 1.48       |                |                |         |         |            |            |
| Mean difference (95% CI) | Ref        | Ref            | Ref | Ref | Ref            | Ref            | Ref     | Ref     | Ref        | Ref        |                |                |         |         |            |            |
| Mean difference (95% CI) | Ref        | Ref            | Ref | Ref | Ref            | Ref            | Ref     | Ref     | Ref        | Ref        |                |                |         |         |            |            |
| Mean difference (95% CI) | Ref        | Ref            | Ref | Ref | Ref            | Ref            | Ref     | Ref     | Ref        | Ref        |                |                |         |         |            |            |
| Mean difference (95% CI) | Ref        | Ref            | Ref | Ref | Ref            | Ref            | Ref     | Ref     | Ref        | Ref        |                |                |         |         |            |            |
| Apo B, g/L               | 1.02       | 1.16           | 1.14| 1.04| 1.02           | 1.13           | 1.07    | 1.19    | 1.09       | 1.06       |                |                |         |         |            |            |
| Mean level               | 20.23      | 22.77          | 21.30| 21.44| 20.80          | 21.78          | 21.35   | 21.40   | 21.37      | 21.53      |                |                |         |         |            |            |
| Mean difference (95% CI) | Ref        | Ref            | Ref | Ref | Ref            | Ref            | Ref     | Ref     | Ref        | Ref        |                |                |         |         |            |            |
| Mean difference (95% CI) | Ref        | Ref            | Ref | Ref | Ref            | Ref            | Ref     | Ref     | Ref        | Ref        |                |                |         |         |            |            |
| Mean difference (95% CI) | Ref        | Ref            | Ref | Ref | Ref            | Ref            | Ref     | Ref     | Ref        | Ref        |                |                |         |         |            |            |
| Mean difference (95% CI) | Ref        | Ref            | Ref | Ref | Ref            | Ref            | Ref     | Ref     | Ref        | Ref        |                |                |         |         |            |            |
| Apo C-I, mg/L            | 31.71      | 49.85          | 45.52| 35.08| 35.98          | 42.13          | 38.65   | 47.04   | 38.80      | 53.68      |                |                |         |         |            |            |
| Mean level               | 95.77      | 113.07         | 102.77| 104.28| 99.73          | 105.93         | 103.20 | 107.10  | 102.51     | 117.17     |                |                |         |         |            |            |
| Mean difference (95% CI) | Ref        | Ref            | Ref | Ref | Ref            | Ref            | Ref     | Ref     | Ref        | Ref        |                |                |         |         |            |            |
| Mean difference (95% CI) | Ref        | Ref            | Ref | Ref | Ref            | Ref            | Ref     | Ref     | Ref        | Ref        |                |                |         |         |            |            |
| Mean difference (95% CI) | Ref        | Ref            | Ref | Ref | Ref            | Ref            | Ref     | Ref     | Ref        | Ref        |                |                |         |         |            |            |
| Mean difference (95% CI) | Ref        | Ref            | Ref | Ref | Ref            | Ref            | Ref     | Ref     | Ref        | Ref        |                |                |         |         |            |            |
Table 2 (continued)

| Age          | Sex | Smoking history | Obesity | Statin use |
|--------------|-----|-----------------|---------|------------|
| < 50 y       | Men | No              | No      |            |
| ≥ 50 years   |     | Yes             | Yes     |            |

| Mean difference (95% CI) | Ref | 17.30 (9.03–25.57)** | Ref | 6.20 (−2.41 to 14.82)** | Ref | 3.89 (−9.37 to 17.16)** | Ref | 14.67 (−1.45 to 30.79)** |
|--------------------------|-----|---------------------|-------|------------------------|-----|------------------------|-----|------------------------|
| Mean difference (95% CI)* | Ref | 14.90 (5.98–23.82)** | Ref | 4.69 (−4.08 to 13.45)** | Ref | 2.42 (−10.67 to 15.52)** | Ref | 9.02 (−8.33 to 26.40)** |
| Mean difference (95% CI)† | Ref | 14.56 (5.36–23.77)** | Ref | 4.68 (−9.53 to 18.89)** | Ref | 5.61 (−3.62 to 14.89)** | NA  | NA                    |

Apo E, mg/L

| Mean level | 27.91 | 34.12 | 30.46 | 30.93 | 30.40 | 30.74 | 30.37 | 32.20 | 30.69 | 30.06 |
|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Mean difference (95% CI) | Ref | 6.21 (3.31–9.11)*** | Ref | 0.46 (−2.52 to 3.44)** | Ref | 0.37 (−2.66 to 3.40)** | Ref | 1.87 (−2.59 to 6.32)** | Ref | 0.37 (−5.32 to 6.06)** |
| Mean difference (95% CI)† | Ref | 5.96 (2.96–8.95)*** | Ref | 0.46 (−2.42 to 3.35)*** | Ref | −0.65 (−3.60 to 2.29)** | Ref | 1.60 (−2.80 to 6.00)** | Ref | −2.47 (−8.30 to 3.36)** |
| Mean difference (95% CI)† | Ref | 5.71 (2.75–8.68)*** | Ref | −0.28 (−3.18 to 2.63)** | Ref | 2.93 (−1.65 to 7.50)** | Ref | −0.10 (−3.07 to 2.87)** | NA  | NA                    |

Ratio ApoB/ApoA1

| Mean level, mmol/L | 0.71 | 0.76 | 0.82 | 0.67 | 0.68 | 0.78 | 0.73 | 0.82 | 0.74 | 0.74 |
|--------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Mean difference (95% CI) | Ref | 0.05 (−0.01 to 0.11)** | Ref | −0.15 (−0.21 to −0.10)*** | Ref | 0.10 (0.04–0.16)** | Ref | 0.09 (0.00–0.18)** | Ref | 0.06 (−0.11 to 0.12)** |
| Mean difference (95% CI)† | Ref | 0.03 (−0.03 to 0.08)** | Ref | −0.15 (−0.21 to −0.09)*** | Ref | 0.09 (0.03–0.14)** | Ref | 0.11 (0.03–0.20)** | Ref | −0.04 (−0.15 to 0.08)** |
| Mean difference (95% CI)† | Ref | 0.03 (−0.03 to 0.09)** | Ref | −0.15 (−0.21 to −0.09)*** | Ref | 0.07 (0.01–0.13)** | Ref | 0.11 (0.02–0.20)** | NA  | NA                    |

Non-HDLc, mmol/L

| Mean level, mmol/L | 3.88 | 4.52 | 4.36 | 4.01 | 3.93 | 4.33 | 4.14 | 4.42 | 4.19 | 3.94 |
|--------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Mean difference (95% CI) | Ref | 0.64 (0.39–0.89)*** | Ref | −0.35 (−0.60 to −0.09)*** | Ref | 0.41 (0.15–0.67)** | Ref | 0.28 (−0.12 to 0.68)** | Ref | −0.25 (−0.74 to 0.24)** |
| Mean difference (95% CI)† | Ref | 0.64 (0.38–0.90)*** | Ref | −0.32 (−0.57 to −0.07)*** | Ref | 0.29 (0.04–0.55)** | Ref | 0.33 (−0.05 to 0.71)** | Ref | −0.61 (−1.11 to −0.10)** |
| Mean difference (95% CI)† | Ref | 0.66 (0.40–0.92)*** | Ref | −0.34 (−0.60 to −0.09)** | Ref | 0.25 (−0.01 to 0.52)** | Ref | 0.33 (−0.08 to 0.73)** | NA  | NA                    |

Significance: ***p ≤ 0.001, **p ≤ 0.05, *p > 0.05
Ref reference, NA not applicable
*Multivariate adjusted for age, sex, smoking status, obesity and statin use
†Multivariate adjusted for age, sex, smoking status and obesity, statin users excluded
obesity, and statin use) in control subjects. In these individuals, older age was correlated with significantly higher levels of almost all lipid and apo levels. Female controls had a slightly more favorable apo profile with a significantly higher apoA1 and lower apoB, than male controls. Furthermore, smoking and obesity were associated with significantly higher levels of apoB, but not with significantly higher LDLc levels.

Table 3 demonstrates the OR of STEMI for various lipid and apo profiles. These are shown as high versus low levels, divided per quartile and per 1-SD increase. ORs were adjusted for age, gender, and statin use (model 1). Figure 1 illustrates the association of risk of STEMI per 1-SD increase for each lipid or lipoprotein, in which statin users are excluded (Model 1—statin users). TC and LDLc showed no association with the risk of STEMI. The adjusted OR for high HDLc was 0.51 (95% CI 0.32–0.82) which was similar in the group without statin users. High remnant cholesterol levels were significantly associated with risk of STEMI with an OR of 1.61 (95% CI 1.03–2.51). The OR per SD increase (0.36 mmol/L) was 1.21 (95% CI 1.03–2.42).

High apoA1 levels were strongly associated with risk of STEMI with an OR of 0.17 (95% CI 0.11–0.26). Per SD increase of apoA1 (0.31 g/L) the OR was 0.24 (95% CI 0.18–0.33). These results were similar in the group without statin users. High apoB levels were also associated with risk of STEMI with an OR of 2.17 (95% CI 1.40–3.36). This effect appeared to be even stronger in the group without statin users (OR 2.46; 95% CI 1.53–3.95). Per SD increase of apoB (0.29 g/L), the OR of STEMI was 1.27 (95% CI 1.02–1.57) in the total group, and 1.36 (95% CI 1.08–1.72) in the group without statin users. High baseline apoB/apoA1 ratios were also associated with STEMI risk. The OR per SD increase (0.25) was 2.16 (95% CI 1.76–2.65) in the total group and 2.29 (95% CI 1.84–2.85) in the group without statin users.

High apoCII levels were associated with risk of STEMI with an OR of 0.32 (95% CI 0.18–0.57) of the highest quartile versus the lowest quartile. Per SD increase of apoCII (5.33 mg/L), the OR was 0.76 (95% CI 0.62–0.93). The VLDL-associated apos gave conflicting results. No association of apoCII and apoE with the risk of STEMI was found, whereas higher apoCIII was associated with lower risk of STEMI in the groups divided by quartiles. The highest quartile of apoCIII versus its lowest quartile was associated with an OR of 0.41 (CI 95% 0.24–0.72) for risk of STEMI.

At discharge, 100% of the STEMI patients were on statin therapy. The fast majority was on rosuvastatin 10 mg daily. Values of continuation samples of conventional lipoproteins were available in STEMI patients after 1 year. Mean TC levels were reduced from 5.63 ± 1.09 mmol/L to 3.96 ± 0.73 mmol/L which is a 27% reduction (p < 0.001). Mean LDLc was 2.33 ± 0.75 mmol/L compared to 3.48 ± 0.94 at baseline, which is a 27% reduction (p < 0.001). HDLc raised from 1.27 ± 0.36 mmol/L to 1.40 ± 0.36 which is an increase of 12% (p < 0.001) Median triglyceride level was 1.31 mmol/L (1.00–1.80) at baseline compared to 1.53 mmol/L (1.01–2.22) after 1 year which is a 20% reduction (p < 0.001). According to medical record review 7 patients (3.2%) were not on statin therapy after 1 year due to pharmacological side effects.

In the patients with STEMI 83 (38%) events were observed after a mean follow-up duration of 8.94 years. For each baseline lipid and apo species the hazard ratio for MACE was calculated after adjustment for age, gender, and statin use. Neither conventional lipid levels nor apo levels were associated with a recurrent event in the STEMI group (Table 4).

Discussion

In this case–control study with 10-year follow-up of the STEMI patients the value of extensive lipid and apo profiling to predict STEMI or MACE was studied. Key findings of the study are: (1) apoA1, apoB and the apoB/apoA1 ratio were strongly associated with the risk of developing a STEMI; (2) remnant cholesterol was significantly associated with risk of STEMI; (3) apoCII, apoCIII and apo E were not clearly associated with risk of STEMI; and (4) no significant association of serum lipids or serum apos with MACE in STEMI patients during follow-up who were all treated with statins during follow-up was found.

Despite current standards of care aimed at achieving targets for LDLc and other traditional risk factors, STEMI patients remain at high risk of new cardiovascular events [8, 13]. The results of this study are in line with this, with a 38% event rate during almost 9-year follow-up.

In recent years, it has been demonstrated that apoA1, apoB and apoB/apoA1 ratio can predict CVD better than LDLc [9–13, 26–28]. In this study similar results were found. Adjusted for age, gender and statin therapy, elevated levels of apoB and apoB/apoA1 ratio were associated with an increased risk of developing a STEMI and LDLc was not. Holmes et al. recently confirmed these results in a nested case–control study showing that apoA1, apoB and apo/apoA1 ratio were strongly associated with risk of MI [15]. Similar results were also obtained in a previous meta-analysis of Sniderman et al. leading to the conclusion that apoB is superior to non-HDLc and that non-HDLc is superior to LDLc as a predictor of CVD risk [16]. Taken all this evidence in mind a slow but progressive shift towards the use of serum apos in clinical practice can be observed. Several position or consensus statements and guidelines from medical associations, therefore, recommend introduction
| Level | Cases | Controls | Univariate analysis | Model 1 | Model 1-statin users |
|-------|-------|----------|--------------------|---------|---------------------|
|       |       |          | Odds ratio (95%)   | Adjusted odds ratio (95% CI) | Adjusted odds ratio (95% CI) |
| Total-c, mmol/L |       |          |                    |         |                     |
| High  > 5.0 | 159 (72) | 202 (68) | 1.25* (0.85–1.83) | 0.88* (0.56–1.38) | 0.85* (0.52–1.38) |
| Quartiles 1 | 45 (21) | 75 (25) | (reference) | 1 (reference) | 1 (reference) |
| 2 4.82–5.52 | 65 (30) | 74 (25) | 1.46* (0.89–2.41) | 1.23* (0.69–2.17) | 1.29* (0.69–2.40) |
| 3 5.52–6.20 | 49 (22) | 75 (25) | 1.09* (0.65–1.82) | 0.74* (0.41–1.35) | 0.81* (0.43–1.52) |
| 4 ≥ 6.20 | 61 (28) | 75 (25) | 1.36* (0.82–2.24) | 0.90* (0.54–1.73) | 1.07* (0.57–2.01) |
| Per SD increase | 1.10 | 1.09* (0.92–1.30) | 0.94* (0.7.1.16) | 0.98* (0.79–1.22) |
| Triglycerides, mmol/L |       |          |                    |         |                     |
| High  > 2.0 | 65 (30) | 60 (20) | 1.67** (1.11–2.05) | 1.42* (0.92–2.21) | 1.60** (1.00–2.56) |
| Quartiles 1 | 44 (20) | 70 (23) | (reference) | 1 (reference) | 1 (reference) |
| 2 0.97–1.23 | 34 (16) | 79 (26) | 0.69* (0.40–1.19) | 0.59* (0.32–1.09) | 0.53* (0.27–1.01) |
| 3 1.23–1.88 | 64 (29) | 75 (25) | 1.36* (0.82–2.25) | 0.93* (0.53–1.63) | 0.99* (0.54–1.79) |
| 4 > 1.88 | 78 (36) | 75 (25) | 1.66* (1.01–2.71) | 1.13* (0.66–1.95) | 1.21* (0.68–2.16) |
| Per ln SD increase | 0.81 | 0.93*** (0.90–0.97) | 0.95** (0.91–0.99) | 0.94** (0.91–0.99) |
| HDLc, mmol/L |       |          |                    |         |                     |
| High  > 1.0 | 159 (72) | 257 (86) | 0.43*** 0.27–0.66 | 0.51** (0.32–0.82) | 0.47** (0.28–0.78) |
| Quartiles 1 | 72 (33) | 74 (25) | (reference) | 1 (reference) | 1 (reference) |
| 2 1.10–1.29 | 50 (23) | 74 (25) | 0.69* (0.43–1.13) | 0.72* (0.42–1.22) | 0.77* (0.45–1.35) |
| 3 1.29–1.56 | 52 (24) | 73 (24) | 0.73* (0.45–1.19) | 0.88* (0.51–1.51) | 0.84* (0.47–1.48) |
| 4 > 1.56 | 46 (21) | 78 (26) | 0.61** (0.37–0.99) | 0.81* (0.46–1.43) | 0.73* (0.40–1.33) |
| Per SD increase | 0.40 | 0.76** (0.63–0.92) | 0.85* (0.67–1.06) | 0.83* (0.65–1.04) |
| LDLc, mmol/L |       |          |                    |         |                     |
| High  > 3.0 | 156 (71) | 196 (66) | 1.28* (0.88–1.87) | 0.86* (0.55–1.34) | 0.89* (0.55–1.43) |
| Quartiles 1 | 47 (21) | 74 (25) | (reference) | 1 (reference) | 1 (reference) |
| 2 2.77–3.47 | 56 (26) | 76 (25) | 1.16* (0.70–1.92) | 0.85* (0.47–1.53) | 0.89* (0.47–1.67) |
| 3 3.47–4.12 | 71 (32) | 74 (25) | 1.51* (0.93–2.47) | 1.05* (0.59–1.86) | 1.08* (0.59–2.01) |
| 4 > 4.12 | 46 (21) | 75 (25) | 0.97* (0.58–1.62) | 0.58* (0.32–1.08) | 0.63* (0.33–1.20) |
| Per SD increase | 1.00 | 0.99* (0.83–1.19) | 0.82* (0.66–1.01) | 0.85* (0.69–1.06) |
| Remnant cholesterol, mmol/L |       |          |                    |         |                     |
| High  | 0.9 | 75 (34) | 60 (20) | 2.06*** (1.39–3.07) | 1.72** (1.12–2.65) | 1.92** (1.22–3.05) |
| Quartiles 1 | 44 (20) | 75 (25) | (reference) | 1 Reference | Reference |
| 2 0.44–0.56 | 33 (15) | 74 (25) | 0.76* (0.44–1.32) | 0.67* (0.36–1.24) | 0.62* (0.33–1.19) |
| 3 0.56–0.85 | 63 (29) | 76 (25) | 1.41* (0.86–2.33) | 0.98* (0.56–1.71) | 1.05* (0.59–1.89) |
| 4 0.85 | 80 (36) | 74 (25) | 1.84* (1.13–3.00) | 1.28* (0.75–2.19) | 1.37* (0.78–2.42) |
| Per SD increase | 0.36 | 1.35*** (1.17–1.57) | 1.25** (1.07–1.45) | 1.26** (1.07–1.48) |
| Level | Cases | Controls | Univariate analysis | Model 1 | Model 1-statin users |
|-------|-------|----------|--------------------|---------|---------------------|
|       |       |          | Odds ratio (95%)   | Adjusted odds ratio† (95% CI) | Adjusted odds ratio# (95% CI) |
| Apo A1, g/L |       |          |                    |         |                     |
| High  | > 1.25 | 96 (42)  | 246 (82)           | 0.17*** (0.11–0.25) | 0.17*** (0.11–0.26) | 0.15 (0.09–0.25) |
| Quartiles | 1 < 1.31 | 153 (70) | 75 (25)            | 1 (reference) | 1 (reference) | 1 (reference) |
|         | 2 1.31–1.48 | 30 (14) | 73 (24)            | 0.20*** (0.12–0.33) | 0.18*** (0.10–0.32) | 0.15*** (0.09–0.24) |
|         | 3 1.48–1.72 | 28 (13) | 74 (25)            | 0.19*** (0.11–0.31) | 0.15*** (0.08–0.27) | 0.15*** (0.08–0.27) |
|         | 4 > 1.72 | 9 (4)    | 77 (26)            | 0.06*** (0.03–0.12) | 0.06*** (0.02–0.13) | 0.05*** (0.02–0.12) |
| Per SD increase | 0.03 | 0.26*** (0.20–0.34) | 0.24*** (0.18–0.33) | 0.23*** (0.16–0.32) |
| Apo B, g/L |       |          |                    |         |                     |
| High  | > 1.0  | 172 (78) | 168 (56)           | 2.79*** (1.89–4.14) | 2.17*** (1.40–3.36) | 2.46*** (1.53–3.95) |
| Quartiles | 1 < 0.87 | 17 (8)  | 74 (25)            | 1 (reference) | 1 (reference) | 1 (reference) |
|         | 2 0.87–1.04 | 43 (20) | 72 (24)            | 2.60** (1.36–4.97) | 1.82* (0.89–3.72) | 3.05** (1.29–7.23) |
|         | 3 1.04–1.26 | 74 (34) | 77 (26)            | 4.18*** (2.26–7.75) | 2.89** (1.47–5.68) | 4.67** (2.06–10.63) |
|         | 4 > 1.26 | 86 (39) | 76 (25)            | 4.93*** (2.67–9.07) | 3.04** (1.55–5.95) | 5.11*** (2.27–11.53) |
| Per SD increase | 0.29 | 1.48*** (1.22–1.80) | 1.27** (1.02–1.57) | 1.36** (1.08–1.72) |
| Apo C-I, mg/L |       |          |                    |         |                     |
| Quartiles | 1 < 17.40 | 85 (39) | 74 (25)            | 1 (reference) | 1 (reference) | 1 (reference) |
|         | 2 17.40–20.80 | 54 (25) | 75 (25)            | 0.63* (0.39–1.00) | 0.50** (0.29–0.85) | 0.48** (0.27–0.85) |
|         | 3 20.80–24.74 | 44 (20) | 76 (25)            | 0.50** (0.31–0.82) | 0.35*** (0.20–0.60) | 0.40** (0.22–0.71) |
|         | 4 > 24.74 | 37 (17) | 74 (25)            | 0.44*** (0.26–0.72) | 0.32*** (0.18–0.57) | 0.37*** (0.20–0.68) |
| Per SD increase | 5.33 | 0.82** (0.69–0.97) | 0.76** (0.62–0.92) | 0.81** (0.66–0.99) |
| Apo C-II, mg/L |       |          |                    |         |                     |
| Quartiles | 1 < 19.38 | 47 (21) | 74 (25)            | 1 (reference) | 1 (reference) | 1 (reference) |
|         | 2 19.38–34.32 | 58 (26) | 75 (25)            | 1.22* (0.74–2.01) | 0.83* (0.46–1.47) | 0.90* (0.50–1.65) |
|         | 3 34.32–55.24 | 52 (24) | 76 (25)            | 1.08* (0.65–1.79) | 0.62* (0.35–1.12) | 0.73* (0.39–1.35) |
|         | 4 > 55.24 | 63 (29) | 74 (25)            | 1.34* (0.82–2.20) | 0.64* (0.36–1.15) | 0.80* (0.43–1.48) |
| Per ln SD increase | 27.64 | 1.01* (1.00–1.03) | 1.00* (0.98–1.02) | 1.00* (0.99–1.02) |
| Apo C-III, mg/L |       |          |                    |         |                     |
| Quartiles | 1 < 77.56 | 81 (37) | 74 (25)            | 1 (reference) | 1 (reference) | 1 (reference) |
|         | 2 77.56–97.33 | 51 (23) | 75 (25)            | 0.62** (0.39–1.00) | 0.54*** (0.32–0.93) | 0.53** (0.30–0.94) |
|         | 3 97.33–119.90 | 40 (18) | 76 (25)            | 0.48** (0.29–0.79) | 0.32*** (0.18–0.56) | 0.37*** (0.21–0.67) |
|         | 4 > 119.90 | 48 (22) | 74 (25)            | 0.59** (0.37–0.96) | 0.41** (0.24–0.72) | 0.51** (0.28–0.91) |
| Per ln SD increase | 37.12 | 1.00* (0.98–1.01) | 0.99* (0.98–1.01) | 1.00* (0.98–1.01) |
### Table 3 (continued)

| Level | Cases | Controls | Univariate analysis | Model 1 | Model 1 - statin users |
|-------|-------|----------|--------------------|---------|-----------------------|
|       |       |          | Odds ratio (95%)   | Adjusted odds ratio † (95% CI) | Adjusted odds ratio# (95% CI) |
| **Apo E, mg/L** |       |          |                    |         |                       |
| Quartiles |       |          |                    |         |                       |
| 1 < 22.14 | 45 (21) | 75 (25) | 1 (reference)      | 1       | 1 (reference)          |
| 2 22.14–28.19 | 61 (28) | 75 (25) | 1.36* (0.82–2.24) | 1.10* (0.62–1.95) | 1.26* (0.68–2.32) |
| 3 28.19–36.55 | 62 (28) | 74 (25) | 1.40* (0.85–2.30) | 0.98* (0.55–1.73) | 1.02* (0.55–1.88) |
| 4 > 36.55 | 52 (24) | 75 (25) | 1.16* (0.69–1.93) | 0.86* (0.48–1.54) | 0.99* (0.53–1.86) |
| Per SD increase | 13.04 |          | 1.09* (0.95–1.26) | 1.04* (0.93–1.18) | 1.06* (0.93–1.21) |
| **Ratio ApoB/ApoA1** |       |          |                    |         |                       |
| Quartiles |       |          |                    |         |                       |
| 1 < 0.53 | 5 (2) | 74 (25) | 1 (reference)      | 1       | 1 (reference)          |
| 2 0.53–0.70 | 27 (12) | 78 (26) | 5.12*** (1.87–14.01) | 3.52** (1.24–10.03) | 2.88* (0.89–9.30) |
| 3 0.70–0.88 | 50 (23) | 70 (23) | 10.57*** (3.99–28.05) | 6.99*** (2.52–19.39) | 7.21*** (2.33–22.34) |
| 4 > 0.88 | 138 (63) | 77 (26) | 26.53*** (10.28–68.42) | 16.20*** (5.97–43.91) | 16.98*** (5.67–50.85) |
| Per SD increase | 0.25 |          | 2.39*** (1.98–2.89) | 2.16*** (1.76–2.65) | 2.29*** (1.84–2.85) |
| **Non-HDLc, mmol/L** |       |          |                    |         |                       |
| Quartiles |       |          |                    |         |                       |
| 1 < 3.32 | 33 (15) | 73 (24) | 1 (reference)      | 1       | 1 (reference)          |
| 2 3.32–4.07 | 47 (21) | 77 (26) | 1.35* (0.78–2.34) | 0.92* (0.49–1.72) | 0.75* (0.56–2.24) |
| 3 4.07–4.96 | 85 (39) | 74 (25) | 2.54*** (1.52–4.26) | 1.74* (0.96–3.14) | 1.94** (1.01–3.72) |
| 4 > 4.96 | 55 (25) | 75 (25) | 1.62* (0.95–2.78) | 1.04* (0.54–1.86) | 1.21* (0.62–2.37) |
| Per SD increase | 1.13 |          | 1.20* (1.00–1.43) | 1.00* (0.81–1.22) | 1.05* (0.84–1.31) |

Significance: ***p ≤ 0.001; **p ≤ 0.05; *p > 0.05

#Model 1 - statin users; adjusted for age and sex, statin users excluded
†Model 1; adjusted for age, sex and statin use
of apoB levels as (secondary) treatment target in clinical practice [29–32].

ApoB concentration represents the total number of atherogenic particles including VLDL, intermediate-density lipoprotein (IDL), IDL remnants, LDL and lipoprotein (a), as each of these particles carries one molecule of apoB. With the routine measurement of apoB, a considerable number of events could be prevented on top of LDLc [16]. The clinical use of apoB levels will be an important step towards precision medicine. It would be ideal if—in the future—events of an individual patient may be predicted and hereby improve risk stratification for future events. For example, recently Hermans et al. showed that premature CAD may occur in patients with an apoCII deficiency with normo-triglyceridemia [33]. Despite the fact that these patients had a low a priori risk for CAD [54], they presented with STEMI at young age and had a high relative risk of 10-year re-infarction or revascularization [30]. This contrasts with the phenotype described in textbooks, where a total lack of apoCIII is assumed to result in intravascular TG accumulation because of inactivation of LPL, whereby delayed intravascular TG lipolysis is a strong and independent predictor of CAD [34, 35].

So far, only serum apo A1 and B were measured in medical laboratories, whereas the apoproteins CII, CIII and E are not routinely measured. To determine the full panel of serum apoproteins in a multiplexed and immunoassay independent way, van den Broek et al. [19] recently developed a quantitative serum apo profiling test using LC–MS/MS. This LC–MS/MS test produces highly accurate test results, which are in concordance with quality requirements for medical tests. Furthermore, the test is not confounded by hypertriglyceridemia [19]. Moreover, the multiplex apo test can be performed in the non-fasting state [25].

Remnant cholesterol is the cholesterol content of triglyceride-rich lipoproteins, composed of mainly VLDL and IDL [36, 37]. The current study shows that remnant cholesterol level was associated with an increased risk of STEMI. These results are in concordance with the results of several other studies [36, 38]. Varbo et al. implied a causal risk of elevated remnant cholesterol levels for ischemic heart disease, independent of HDLc levels [38].

The VLDL-associated apoproteins apoCII, apoE and—to a large extent—apoCIII have recently been identified as potentially important new risk factors [17, 18]. These apoproteins are abundant on TG-rich lipoproteins, strongly modulate their metabolism and have been linked to an increased risk of cardiovascular events. Therefore, the measurement of remnant cholesterol levels might be a promising strategy for risk stratification in clinical practice.

Figure 1: Odds ratio for a 1-SD higher (95% CI) risk of STEMI for each individual lipid marker. The risk was adjusted for age and sex. Statin users were excluded. Significance: ***p < 0.001, **p < 0.05, -p > 0.05. 1 SD corresponds to: TC, 1.10 mmol/L; TG, 0.81 mmol/L; HDLc, 0.40 mmol/L; LDLc, 1.00 mmol/L; remnant cholesterol, 0.36 mmol/L; apoA1, 0.31 g/L; apoB, 0.29 g/L; apoCII, 5.33 mg/L; apoCII, 37.12 mg/L; apoE, 13.04 mg/L; apoB/apoA1, 0.25; non-HDLc, 1.13 mmol/L. Apo apolipoprotein, OR Odds Ratio, STEMI ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction, SD standard deviation, TC total cholesterol, TG triglycerides, HDLc high-density-lipoprotein cholesterol, LDLc low-density-lipoprotein cholesterol, Apo apolipoprotein.
### Table 4: Risk of MACE according to various levels of lipoproteins and apo proteins in patients with STEMI

| No. at risk | Obs. years | Events | Incidence rate* (95% CI) | Univariate analysis | Model 1 | Model 1-statin users |
|-------------|------------|--------|-------------------------|---------------------|---------|---------------------|
|             |            |        |                         | Hazard ratio (95% CI) | Hazard ratio† (95% CI) | Hazard ratio# (95% CI) |
| **Total-c, mmol/L** |          |        |                         |                     |         |                     |
| High        | 159       | 1113   | 55                      | 4.94 (3.72–6.43)    | 0.73*   | 0.73* (0.45–1.18)   | 0.75* (0.45–1.25)   |
| Quartile    |           |        |                         |                     |         |                     |
| 1           | 45        | 302    | 19                      | 6.29 (3.79–9.82)    | 1       | (reference)         | (reference)         |
| 2           | 65        | 441    | 23                      | 5.22 (3.31–7.83)    | 0.80*   | (0.43–1.48)         | 0.81* (0.42–1.53)   | 0.76* (0.38–1.53)   |
| 3           | 49        | 341    | 20                      | 5.87 (3.58–9.06)    | 0.94*   | (0.50–1.77)         | 0.99* (0.52–1.92)   | 1.01* (0.51–2.00)   |
| 4           | 61        | 415    | 21                      | 5.06 (3.13–7.74)    | 0.82*   | (0.44–1.53)         | 0.85* (0.44–1.64)   | 0.82* (0.41–1.65)   |
| Per SD increase |        |        |                         | 0.99* (0.78–1.25)   | 1.02*   | (0.79–1.30)         | 1.08* (0.83–1.39)   |
| **Triglycerides** |          |        |                         |                     |         |                     |
| High        | 65        | 428    | 25                      | 5.84 (3.78–8.62)    | 1.10*   | (0.69–1.75)         | 1.15* (0.70–1.81)   | 1.11* (0.68–1.83)   |
| Quartile    |           |        |                         |                     |         |                     |
| 1           | 44        | 291    | 18                      | 6.19 (3.67–9.78)    | 1       | (reference)         | (reference)         |
| 2           | 34        | 242    | 15                      | 6.20 (3.47–10.22)   | 1.04*   | (0.52–2.09)         | 1.06* (0.53–2.15)   | 0.93* (0.43–1.98)   |
| 3           | 64        | 429    | 22                      | 5.13 (3.21–7.76)    | 0.89*   | (0.47–1.68)         | 0.89* (0.47–1.70)   | 0.79* (0.40–1.54)   |
| 4           | 78        | 538    | 28                      | 5.20 (3.46–7.52)    | 0.91*   | (0.50–1.66)         | 0.94* (0.51–1.73)   | 0.87* (0.46–1.64)   |
| Per ln SD increase |        |        |                         | 1.01* (0.97–1.04)   | 1.00*   | (0.96–1.04)         | 1.00* (0.96–1.04)   |
| **HDLc, mmol/L** |          |        |                         |                     |         |                     |
| High        | 159       | 1118   | 56                      | 5.01 (3.78–6.50)    | 0.72*   | (0.46–1.15)         | 0.70* (0.44–1.11)   | 0.68* (0.42–1.12)   |
| Quartile    |           |        |                         |                     |         |                     |
| 1           | 72        | 462    | 30                      | 6.49 (4.38–9.27)    | 1       | (reference)         | (reference)         |
| 2           | 50        | 378    | 14                      | 3.70 (2.02–6.21)    | 0.56*   | (0.29–1.07)         | 0.56* (0.29–1.08)   | 0.53* (0.27–1.04)   |
| 3           | 52        | 309    | 25                      | 8.09 (5.24–11.94)   | 1.21*   | (0.71–2.06)         | 1.18* (0.69–2.02)   | 1.09* (0.61–1.93)   |
| 4           | 46        | 350    | 14                      | 4.00 (2.19–7.61)    | 0.66*   | (0.35–1.24)         | 0.57* (0.29–1.14)   | 0.60* (0.29–1.23)   |
| Per SD increase |        |        |                         | 0.95* (0.75–1.22)   | 0.92*   | (0.71–1.20)         | 0.94* (0.71–1.24)   |
| **LDLc, mmol/L** |          |        |                         |                     |         |                     |
| High        | 156       | 1083   | 52                      | 5.26 (3.99–6.92)    | 0.83*   | (0.52–1.33)         | 0.90* (0.54–1.48)   | 0.84* (0.50–1.41)   |
| Quartile    |           |        |                         |                     |         |                     |
| 1           | 72        | 295    | 21                      | 7.12 (4.41–10.88)   | 1       | (reference)         | (reference)         |
| 2           | 50        | 414    | 20                      | 4.83 (2.95–7.46)    | 0.68*   | (0.37–1.26)         | 0.76* (0.40–1.45)   | 0.65* (0.33–1.28)   |
| 3           | 52        | 491    | 24                      | 4.89 (3.13–7.27)    | 0.65*   | (0.36–1.18)         | 0.69* (0.37–1.30)   | 0.64* (0.34–1.23)   |
| 4           | 46        | 300    | 18                      | 6.00 (3.56–9.48)    | 0.81*   | (0.43–1.52)         | 0.89* (0.46–1.73)   | 0.77* (0.39–1.54)   |
| Per SD increase |        |        |                         | 0.96* (0.75–1.22)   | 0.99*   | (0.76–1.28)         | 0.92* (0.75–1.29)   |
| **Remnant cholesterol, mmol/L** |          |        |                         |                     |         |                     |
| High        | 75 (34)   | 507    | 28                      | 5.53 (3.67–7.98)    | 1.02*   | (0.65–1.61)         | 1.05 (0.66–1.66)    | 1.07* (0.66–1.73)   |
| 1           | 44 (20)   | 291    | 18                      | 6.19 (3.67–9.78)    | 1       | (reference)         | (reference)         |
| 2           | 33 (15)   | 232    | 15                      | 6.47 (3.62–10.66)   | 1.08*   | (0.54–2.17)         | 1.11* (0.55–2.25)   | 0.92* (0.43–1.98)   |
| 3           | 63 (29)   | 419    | 22                      | 9.48 (5.94–14.38)   | 0.91*   | (0.48–1.72)         | 0.91* (0.48–1.74)   | 0.80* (0.41–1.57)   |
| 4           | 80 (36)   | 557    | 28                      | 5.03 (3.34–7.27)    | 0.88*   | (0.48–1.60)         | 0.91* (0.49–1.67)   | 0.86* (0.46–1.61)   |
| Per SD increase |        |        |                         | 1.06* (0.95–1.19)   | 1.04*   | (0.93–1.16)         | 1.07* (0.94–1.21)   |
Table 4 (continued)

| Apo | No. at risk | Obs. years | Events | Incidence rate* (95% CI) | Univariate analysis | Model 1 | Model 1-statin users |
|-----|-------------|------------|--------|--------------------------|--------------------|----------|---------------------|
|     |             |            |        |                          | Hazard ratio (95% CI) | Hazard ratio† (95% CI) | Hazard ratio# (95% CI) |
| A1, g/L |            |            |        |                          |                     |          |                     |
| High | 96          | 651        | 36     | 5.53 (3.87–7.66)         | 1.05* (0.68–1.63) | 1.01* (0.63–1.61) | 0.93* (0.56–1.55) |
| Quartile | 1          | 153        | 61     | 5.60 (4.51–7.58)         | 1                   | 1         | 1                   |
|       | 2          | 30         | 13     | 7.88 (4.20–13.47)        | 1.36* (0.74–2.47)  | 1.25* (0.67–2.36) | 1.11* (0.54–2.27) |
|       | 3          | 28         | 6      | 2.55 (0.94–5.56)         | 0.48* (0.21–1.11)  | 0.42* (0.18–1.02) | 0.44* (0.18–1.06) |
|       | 4          | 9          | 3      | 4.62 (0.95–13.49)        | 0.82* (0.29–2.63)  | 0.70* (0.21–2.30) | 0.82* (0.25–2.72) |
| Per SD increase | 0.31 | | | | 0.84* (0.63–1.13) | 0.80* (0.59–1.10) | 0.78* (0.56–1.09) |
| A2, g/L |            |            |        |                          |                     |          |                     |
| High | 172         | 1176       | 60     | 5.10 (3.89–6.57)         | 0.71* (0.44–1.16)  | 0.77* (0.46–1.31) | 0.67* (0.40–1.15) |
| Quartile | 1          | 17         | 7      | 6.03 (2.43–12.43)        | 1                   | 1         | 1                   |
|       | 2          | 43         | 21     | 7.64 (4.73–11.67)        | 1.17* (0.50–2.78)  | 1.24* (0.48–3.19) | 0.97* (0.33–2.90) |
|       | 3          | 74         | 24     | 4.47 (2.86–6.65)         | 0.75* (0.32–1.73)  | 0.84* (0.33–2.15) | 0.64* (0.22–1.87) |
|       | 4          | 86         | 31     | 5.43 (3.69–7.71)         | 0.89* (0.39–2.02)  | 0.99* (0.39–2.53) | 0.78* (0.27–2.23) |
| Per SD increase | 0.29 | | | | 0.91* (0.70–1.19) | 0.94* (0.71–1.25) | 0.93* (0.69–1.25) |
| A3, mg/L |            |            |        |                          |                     |          |                     |
| Quartile | 1          | 85         | 544    | 37     | 6.80 (4.79–9.37)         | 1 (references) | 1 (reference) | 1 (reference) |
|       | 2          | 54         | 406    | 17     | 4.19 (2.44–6.70)         | 0.65* (0.37–1.16) | 0.66* (0.37–1.18) | 0.64* (0.34–1.20) |
|       | 3          | 44         | 294    | 17     | 5.78 (3.37–9.26)         | 0.91* (0.51–1.61) | 0.89* (0.49–1.62) | 0.86* (0.48–1.60) |
|       | 4          | 37         | 256    | 12     | 4.69 (2.42–8.19)         | 0.73* (0.38–1.40) | 0.76* (0.39–1.50) | 0.73* (0.37–1.49) |
| Per SD increase | 5.33 | | | | 1.03* (0.86–1.22) | 1.04* (0.88–1.22) | 1.04* (0.88–1.23) |
| A4, mg/L |            |            |        |                          |                     |          |                     |
| Quartile | 1          | 1          | 47     | 19     | 5.90 (3.55–9.21)         | 1 (references) | 1 (reference) | 1 (reference) |
|       | 2          | 58         | 379    | 26     | 6.86 (4.48–10.05)        | 1.32* (0.68–2.25) | 1.34* (0.72–2.49) | 1.46* (0.75–2.86) |
|       | 3          | 52         | 375    | 16     | 4.27 (2.44–6.93)         | 0.78* (0.40–1.52) | 0.83* (0.42–1.64) | 0.89* (0.43–1.85) |
|       | 4          | 63         | 423    | 22     | 5.20 (3.26–7.87)         | 0.96* (0.51–1.78) | 0.98* (0.51–1.86) | 1.05* (0.52–2.12) |
| Per ln SD increase | 27.64 | | | | 1.01* (0.99–1.02) | 1.01* (0.99–1.02) | 1.01* (0.99–1.02) |
| A5, mg/L |            |            |        |                          |                     |          |                     |
| Quartile | 1          | 81         | 509    | 37     | 7.27 (5.12–10.02)        | 1 (references) | 1 (reference) | 1 (reference) |
|       | 2          | 51         | 392    | 14     | 3.57 (1.95–5.99)         | 0.55* (0.30–1.02) | 0.55* (0.30–1.02) | 0.53* (0.27–1.03) |
|       | 3          | 40         | 281    | 15     | 5.34 (2.99–8.80)         | 0.79* (0.43–1.44) | 0.81* (0.44–1.50) | 0.75* (0.39–1.42) |
|       | 4          | 48         | 317    | 17     | 5.36 (3.12–8.59)         | 0.81* (0.45–1.44) | 0.79* (0.43–1.44) | 0.77* (0.41–1.44) |
| Per SD increase | 37.12 | | | | 1.01* (1.00–1.02) | 1.01* (1.00–1.02) | 1.01* (1.00–1.02) |
Table 4 (continued)

| No. at risk | Obs. years | Events | Incidence rate* (95% CI) | Univariate analysis | Model 1 | Model 1-statin users |
|-------------|------------|--------|--------------------------|---------------------|---------|----------------------|
|             |            |        |                          | Hazard ratio (95% CI) | Hazard ratio† (95% CI) | Hazard ratio# (95% CI) |
|             |            |        |                          |                     |                     |                     |
| Apo E, mg/L |            |        |                          |                     |                     |                     |
| Quartile    |            |        |                          |                     |                     |                     |
| 1           | 45         | 309    | 18                       | 5.83 (3.45–9.21)    | 1                   | (references)        |
| 2           | 61         | 367    | 28                       | 7.63 (5.07–11.03)   | 1.33*               | (0.73–2.44)          |
| 3           | 62         | 479    | 18                       | 3.76 (2.23–5.94)    | 0.70*               | (0.36–1.36)          |
| 4           | 52         | 344    | 19                       | 5.52 (3.33–8.63)    | 0.99*               | (0.51–1.90)          |
| Per SD increase |        |        |                          | 13.04               | 1.07*               | (0.98–1.16)          |
| Ratio ApoB/ApoA1 |        |        |                          |                     |                     |                     |
| Quartile    |            |        |                          |                     |                     |                     |
| 1           | 5          | 28     | 4                        | 1.14 (0.31–2.93)    | 1                   | (references)        |
| 2           | 27         | 186    | 10                       | 5.38 (2.58–9.89)    | 0.44*               | (0.14–1.40)          |
| 3           | 50         | 337    | 20                       | 5.93 (3.63–9.17)    | 0.46*               | (0.16–1.36)          |
| 4           | 138        | 948    | 49                       | 5.17 (3.82–6.83)    | 0.40*               | (0.14–1.11)          |
| Per SD increase |        |        |                          | 0.25                | 1.05*               | (0.86–1.27)          |
| Non-HDLc, mmol/L |        |        |                          |                     |                     |                     |
| Quartile    |            |        |                          |                     |                     |                     |
| 1           | 33         | 207    | 15                       | 7.25 (4.01–11.95)   | 1                   | (references)        |
| 2           | 47         | 341    | 17                       | 4.99 (2.90–7.98)    | 0.68*               | (0.34–1.38)          |
| 3           | 85         | 601    | 29                       | 4.86 (3.23–6.93)    | 0.68*               | (0.36–1.27)          |
| 4           | 55         | 350    | 22                       | 6.29 (3.94–9.52)    | 0.87*               | (0.45–1.68)          |
| Per SD increase |        |        |                          | 1.13                | 1.00*               | (0.78–1.28)          |

Significance: *p > 0.05

*Model 1; Adjusted for age, sex and statin use
†Model 1-statin users; Adjusted for age and sex, statin users excluded
[39], and might play an important role in the development of atherosclerosis and subsequent CVD.

Recently, Pechlaner et al. [17] showed that apoCII, apoC-III and apoE were strongly associated with incident CVD in the general community. This finding was supported by Van Capelleveen et al. [18]. These results could, however, not be confirmed in the current case–control study. In fact, no clear relation was found between VLDL-associated apos and the risk of STEMI. These conflicting results could have several explanations. First of all, the blood samples of the cases were drawn soon after admission to the hospital, i.e., in a non-fasting state. The blood samples of the control group were obtained in a fasting state. Second, in both cases and controls the TG levels are 0.5 mmol/L lower than in the EPIC Norfolk population study, so the results in the current study may not be generalizable with the EPIC Norfolk population study. Third, the information about the percentage of controls that have diabetes mellitus (DM) was self-reported (1.7%). In the STEMI group 7.7% had DM.

The relation of apoE with CVD risk is more controversial. Although Pechlaner et al. found a strong association, a meta-analysis with almost 10,000 individuals and 1400 events recently performed by Sofat et al. found no association of apoE with CVD [40].

In STEMI patients, no association of baseline serum lipids or serum apos with MACE during long-term follow-up was found. Although earlier results from the TNT study [41] suggest that baseline apoB and apoA1 levels are associated with residual risk in a statin-treated secondary prevention population, the current results did not confirm these findings. This can be due to several reasons. First of all, 100% of the patients were put on statin therapy after their STEMI which clearly had an effect on baseline lipid and apo concentrations which could diminish the relation with cardiovascular events. It has been demonstrated that statin therapy reduces 5-year incidence of major coronary events by about 20% per mmol/L reduction in LDL cholesterol [42]. In this cohort a 27% percentage reduction in LDL-c after 1 year was observed. This significant reduction in lipid concentrations together with the limited number of recurrent events could explain why no association was found between apos or lipid concentrations and recurrent events. Unfortunately, all measured apos were only available as baseline samples and not as continuation samples, so the impact of statin therapy on apo levels in this cohort is unknown. For example, van Lennep et al. demonstrated that, in patients with effective statin treatment, on-treatment levels of apoB and apoA1 were significantly predictive for recurrent events in CAD patients [43]. Second, the determinants of residual risk in statin-treated patients are multifactorial. For example, smoking, hypertension, diabetes, high BMI and higher inflammation grades all contribute to a higher residual risk [15, 41, 44, 45]. All these (modifiable) risk factors could have played a role in the occurrence of a recurrent event and perhaps did these risk factors obscure the association of apos and lipids with recurrent events. At last, the mean follow-up duration was almost 9 years, instead of 5 years in the TNT study.

The primary goal in STEMI patients is to reduce the residual risk as much as possible. Statin therapy has shown to reduce the residual risk substantially by reducing LDLc levels, [46] but the effect on LDLc levels is confined, [47, 48] so the need for other powerful cholesterol-lowering agents or other modifiable risk factors is needed. The IMPROVE-IT study showed that ezetimibe provides an incremental reduction in LDLc of 15–20% which resulted in an increased risk reduction for cardiovascular events [49]. Furthermore, recently, the Fourier study demonstrated their results with the PCSK9 antagonist evolocumab. They showed an additional lowering of more than 50% of LDLc levels on top of statin therapy with evolocumab compared to placebo in high-risk patients. Inhibition of PCSK-9 reduced furthermore the risk of cardiovascular events [50]. In the nearby future the results from the ODDESSEY OUTCOME will be published [51], where 18,000 post ACS patients were administrated with either alirocumab or placebo. Preliminary results showed us that these powerful cholesterol-lowering agents further reduced residual cardiovascular risk by further lowering LDL-c levels. However, as we currently know, LDLc is not discriminating and refined enough to identify high-risk patients and we should need to work towards better, more meaningful and well characterized medical tests such as apos to measure pharmacological effects. Current AHA and ESC dyslipidemia guidelines mainly focus on LDLc reduction as primary treatment target. However, in line with several position statements, guidelines and the INTERHEART study, [13, 29–31] valuable effort should be made to substantially modify apoB and apoB/apoA1 ratio. These apos can reliably be measured and a substantial modification could lead to further reduction of the residual cardiovascular risk.

Some potential limitations deserve a comment. First, the controls of the MEGA population were < 70 years; so, results from the case–control study only apply to individuals < 70 years. Second, apoC and E levels were measured in MEGA controls in serum that was thawed twice. However, Bland–Altman plots and scatterplots suggest that this did not lead to measurement error as apoA1 and apoB levels that were measured on both once and twice thawed serum showed equivalent levels with r² of 0.89 and 0.93, respectively, but the effect of thawing on apoC and apoE levels is unknown. Furthermore, the models for risk of STEMI and MACE were adjusted for age, gender and statin use. An important factor modifying the association between (apo) lipoproteins and outcome is inflammation. Since C-reactive protein was measured in the acute phase in the STEMI
cohort, and in the non-acute phase in the MEGA cohort, we were not able to reliably adjust the apo (lipoproteins) for inflammation.

Conclusion

In conclusion, apoA1, apoB, and apoB/apoA1 ratio and remnant cholesterol were strongly associated with risk of STEMI, the apoB/apoA1 ratio being superior to LDLc and non-HDLc. Second, no clear relation of apoCI, apoCII, apoCIII and apoE with the risk of STEMI as compared with a population-based control group was found. Neither serum lipids nor serum apos predicted death, re-infarction or revascularization in statin-treated patients during follow-up after a first STEMI. Valuable effort should be made to further reduce residual cardiovascular risk by intensive lifestyle modification, by testing new powerful cholesterol-lowering agents and using additional more discriminating and more refined treatments targets like apoB and apoB/apoA1 ratio.
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