Superluminal tachyon-like excitations of Dirac fermions in a topological insulator junction
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Abstract – We have considered a system of two topological insulators and have determined the properties of the surface states at the junction. Here we report that these states, under certain conditions exhibit superluminal (tachyonic) dispersion of the Dirac fermions. Although superluminal excitations are known to exist in optical systems, this is the first demonstration of possible tachyonic excitations in a purely electronic system. The first ever signature of tachyons could therefore be found experimentally in a topological insulator junction.

Topological insulators (TIs), a new class of materials rich with new concepts and promises, have attracted considerable attention in the condensed-matter physics community [1]. In the bulk, the system is electrically insulating, driven by the strong spin-orbit coupling present in the system. The three-dimensional (3D) TIs host at least one Dirac cone in the surface states that was confirmed experimentally by angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy. Dirac fermions are also present in graphene [2]. However, in the strong TIs, unlike in graphene, there exists only an odd number of non-degenerate Dirac cones with spin-momentum locking that results in helical Dirac fermions [3] without spin degeneracy. This spin chirality of Dirac fermions prevents them from backscattering and localization [4]. This makes those systems ideal for spintronics applications or for quantum computing [1].

Until now, most of the attention has been heaped on the surface states of a single TI. Here we show that the junction surface states of two TIs, in certain situations, exhibit superluminal (tachyonic) dispersion of Dirac fermions. Tachyons have eluded detection until now, despite diligent efforts by the particle physicists worldwide. However, as we have demonstrated below, they could perhaps be found in the present solid-state system.

We consider a junction between two topological insulators. The junction surface is described by $z = 0$, and we assume that the system of TIs is isotropic in $x$ and $y$ directions. Therefore, the surface states are characterized by the $x$ and $y$ components of the wave vector, $k_x$ and $k_y$, and the surface wave functions depend on the $z$-coordinate and decay in both directions, i.e., the positive and negative directions of the $z$-axis (see inset in fig. 1(b)). We label the topological insulator at $z < 0$ as TI-1, and the topological insulator at $z > 0$ as TI-2. We have found that for a general variation of parameters of TI-2, the junction surface states exhibit one branch with unique tachyonic dispersion relation. Although, they are not yet found experimentally, these “Überlichtgeschwindigkeitteilchen” (faster-than-light particles) discussed by Sommerfeld [5] in 1905, and many others [6] since then, have always been vigorously pursued (e.g., in the case of the neutrinos [7,8]) by the particle physics community for many decades [9,10].

We assume that the electronic states of both TIs are described by the same type of low-energy effective 3D Hamiltonian [11,12], which has the $4 \times 4$ matrix form and can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{H}_\text{TI} = \varepsilon(\vec{k}) + \frac{M(\vec{k})}{M(\vec{k}) + A}\frac{A_3k_\text{z} - \sigma_x}{A_2k_\text{z} - i\sigma_x}$$

where $\sigma_i$ ($i = x, y, z$) are the Pauli matrices, $\partial_z = \partial/\partial z$, $\vec{k} = (k_x, k_y)$ is a two-dimensional (2D) wave vector, $k_\pm = k_x \pm i k_y$, and

$$\varepsilon(\vec{k}) = C_1 - D_1 \partial^2_z + D_2 (k_x^2 + k_y^2),$$

(1)
localization length

Fig. 1: (Colour on-line) (a) Dispersion relation of the junction states. The parameters of the TI-2 are the same as the parameters of TI-1 except for 2 = 4.0 eV·Å. Near point B the dispersion relation shows the tachyonic behavior with superluminal group velocity. (b) Localization length of the junction states shown in panel (a). Near the tachyonic point (point B) the junction states are strongly localized with localization length 10 Å. At the edge of the tachyonic branch (near points A and C) the states become delocalized. Inset: the junction (red line) between two TIs (schematic). Here the z-axis is perpendicular to the junction surface, and x and y are in the plane of the junction.

\[
M(\vec{k}) = M_0 + B_1 \partial_z^2 - B_2 (k_x^2 + k_y^2).
\] (3)

For a topological insulator of the type Bi2Se3, the four-component wave functions, \( \Psi \), corresponding to the matrix Hamiltonian (1) determine the amplitudes of the wave functions at the positions of Bi and Se atoms: (Bi\(_1\), Se\(_1\), Bi\(_2\), Se\(_2\)), where the arrows indicate the direction of the electron spin. In the case of Bi2Se3 TI, the constants in the Hamiltonian (1) are [12] \( A_1 = 2.2 eV \cdot \text{Å}, A_2 = 4.1 eV \cdot \text{Å}, B_1 = 10 eV \cdot \text{Å}^2, B_2 = 56.6 eV \cdot \text{Å}^2, C_1 = -0.0068 eV, D_1 = 1.3 eV \cdot \text{Å}^2, D_2 = 19.6 eV \cdot \text{Å}^2, \) and \( M_0 = 0.28 eV \).

The unique property of the bulk Hamiltonian (1) is that, for a single TI, it can produce surface states with massless relativistic dispersion relation, \( E \approx v_F k \), where \( v_F = A_2 \sqrt{1 - (D_1/B_1)^2} \) [13] is the Fermi velocity. In the case of two TIs, at the junction we expect a coupling between two surface states belonging to different TIs. Within a simple model which includes phenomenological coupling between massless relativistic states of the two TIs, it was shown earlier that the properties of the junction surface states strongly depend on the relative sign of the Fermi velocities of the two TIs, i.e., on the relative sign of \( A_2 \) for TI-1 and TI-2 [14,15]. Here we show that for a realistic 3D model (eq. (1)) of the TI, one can observe new and unique features in the dispersion relation of the junction states.

In what follows, we study the junction surface states within the realistic 3D model of the TI. For two TIs, we assume that both TIs are described by the Hamiltonian of the same type (1) but with different constants. To distinguish the constants corresponding to different TIs, we introduce superscripts (1) and (2) for TI-1 and TI-2, respectively. We first determine for each TI the general bulk solution of the Schrödinger equation of the form \( \Psi \propto \exp(\lambda_{\alpha}^I z) e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}} \), where \( I = 1 \) and \( 2 \) for TI-1 and TI-2, respectively. Substituting this form of solution in the Schrödinger equation, \( H_{TI}(\vec{k}, \partial_z) \Psi = E \Psi \), we obtain a secular equation, \( \det [H_{TI}^{(I)}(\vec{k}, \lambda_{\alpha}^I) - E] = 0 \), for each TI \( (I = 1, 2) \). For each energy \( E \), which is in the bulk gap, this equation defines four values of \( \lambda_{\alpha}^I(k, E) \), \( \alpha = 1, \ldots, 4 \). Each \( \lambda_{\alpha}^I(k, E) \) is doubly degenerate, which finally generates eight wave functions for each TI, \( m = 1 \) and \( 2 \), \( \Psi_{s,\alpha}^I(k, E) e^{\lambda_{\alpha}^I z} e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}} \) (see refs. [13,16]), where \( s = 1, 2, \alpha = 1, \ldots, 4 \), and \( \Psi_{s,\alpha}^I(k, E) \) is a four-component wave function.

Our goal here is to determine the surface states localized at the junction of the two TIs. Therefore, out of the four values of \( \lambda_{\alpha}^I \) (for each TI), we choose only two values: for TI-1 \( (z < 0) \) with \( \text{Re} \lambda_{\alpha}^I > 0 \) and for TI-2 \( (z > 0) \) with \( \text{Re} \lambda_{\alpha}^I < 0 \). After the selection of these \( \lambda_{\alpha}^I \) \( (\alpha = 1, 2) \), our junction surface states then take the form

\[
\Phi(\vec{r}, z) = \begin{cases} 
\sum_{s=1,2} \sum_{\alpha=1,2} C_s^{(1)} \Psi_s^{(1)} e^{\lambda_{\alpha}^1 z} e^{i\vec{k}_1 \cdot \vec{r}}, & z < 0, \\
\sum_{s=1,2} \sum_{\alpha=1,2} C_s^{(2)} \Psi_s^{(2)} e^{\lambda_{\alpha}^2 z} e^{i\vec{k}_2 \cdot \vec{r}}, & z > 0.
\end{cases}
\] (4)

This type of wave function determines the localized junction surface states. The energy of the junction state is found from the condition of continuity of \( \Phi(z) \), and the corresponding current, \( [\delta H_{TI}^{(m)} / \delta k_z] \Phi(z) \), \( (k_z = i \partial_z) \) at the junction between the two TIs. The solution of the continuity equations determines the dispersion relation, \( E(k) \), of the junction surface states.

We keep the parameters of TI-1 fixed as for Bi2Se3 (as given above) and vary the parameters of TI-2. Our results indicate that for the general variation of the parameters of TI-2, the junction surface states show one branch with a unique dispersion relation that resembles the dispersion of the tachyons [17]. This dispersion exists only for a finite region of wave vectors with a turning point where the group velocity is infinitely large. In fig. 1 we show the dispersion relation for the junction state when only one parameter, \( A_1 \), of TI-2 is different from TI-1. In this case there is only one type (tachyonic) of dispersion. The group velocity, \( v_g = \partial E(k) / \partial k \), corresponding to the tachyonic dispersion becomes infinitely large at \( k \approx k_0 \) (point B in fig. 1(a)). The tachyonic dispersion does not show any localized states for \( k > k_0 \); for \( k > k_0 \) all...
The tachyonic excitations can be described by an effective 2D Hamiltonian. This effective Hamiltonian should have a $2 \times 2$ matrix form, which takes into account the electron spin degrees of freedom. In addition, the Hamiltonian should also support the non-analytical tachyonic dispersion relation and should be non-Hermitian [22–24]. The tachyonic Hamiltonian can be constructed, for example, by introducing the imaginary proper mass in the Dirac equation [6,8,27]. In our present system the tachyonic Hamiltonian is obtained by introducing imaginary Fermi velocity in the massive Dirac equation. More precisely, the effective Hamiltonian which describes the tachyonic junction surface states has the form

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{Tach}} = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_0 & \hbar v_f k_+ \\ i\hbar v_f k_- - \Delta_0 \end{pmatrix} = \Delta_0 \sigma_z + i\hbar v_f (\vec{\sigma} \vec{k})$$

where $\Delta_0$ is the effective mass of the tachyons, and $i\hbar v_f$ is the imaginary Fermi velocity. This effective Hamiltonian produces a tachyonic branch with dispersion relation of the form $E_{\text{Tach}}(k) = \pm \sqrt{\Delta_0^2 - \hbar^2 v_f^2 k^2}$. Therefore, $k < k_0 = \Delta_0 / \hbar v_f$ and the group velocity at $k = k_0$ becomes infinitely large. For the tachyonic branch shown in fig. 1 the parameters of the effective Hamiltonian (5) are $\Delta_0 = 0.313$ eV and $v_f = 5.7 \times 10^6$ m/s.

The wave function corresponding to the Hamiltonian (5) with energy spectrum $E_{\text{Tach}}(k)$ has the following form:

$$\Psi_{\text{Tach}} = \begin{pmatrix} e^\mp i\phi/2 \cos \alpha(k) \\ i e^\mp i\phi/2 \sin \alpha(k) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $k = \sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2}$, $\phi = \cos^{-1} k_y / k$, and $\alpha(k) = \sin^{-1} \sqrt{\frac{\Delta_0 - E_{\text{Tach}}(k)}{2\Delta_0}}$. The corresponding direction, $\vec{n}$, of the electron spin is characterized by an angle $2\alpha$ relative to the $z$-axis and is given by $\vec{n} = (n_x, n_y, n_z) = (\sin 2\alpha \sin \phi, \sin 2\alpha \cos \phi, \cos 2\alpha)$. Therefore, for a given tachyonic state, the $z$-component of the electron spin is $\cos 2\alpha(k)$, i.e., the state is spin polarized. At $k = k_0$, i.e., at a singular point of the tachyonic branch, the angle $\alpha = 45^\circ$ and the electron state is spin unpolarized. This behavior is consistent with the exact distribution of the electron density shown in fig. 2, which illustrates finite spin polarization of the tachyonic state away from the singular point $B (k = k_0)$.
The results shown in fig. 1 illustrate the existence of tachyonic branches under variation of just one parameter, $A_1$, of TI-2. By varying the other parameters, we can introduce additional junction states with more than one tachyonic branch. If the signs of the constants $A_2$ for two TIs, i.e., the signs of the Fermi velocities for two isolated TIs, are the same, then usually we observe two tachyonic branches as shown in fig. 3(a). If the signs of $A_2$ are opposite then the junction states usually have one or two tachyonic branches and one massless relativistic Dirac branch (see fig. 3(b)). This massless relativistic branch was predicted earlier within a 2D model Hamiltonian of the junction states [14], where the interaction between the surface states of TIs were introduced phenomenologically as an additional parameter in the Hamiltonian. The existence of new tachyonic excitations in our system is related to a strongly non-perturbative interaction between the surface states of the two TIs. This interaction, which cannot be independently tuned and is built into the system through the boundary conditions at the junction between two TIs, strongly modifies the properties of the system resulting in the tachyon-like dispersion.

As the topological insulators with different Fermi velocities are already available in the laboratories, perhaps we could propose ways to detect the elusive tachyons in these systems. One possible physical manifestation of tachyonic dispersion relation in our system would be the display of a singularity in the time-resolved measurements of 2D ballistic electron transport [28] along the junction between the TIs. Under the ballistic condition the electron wave packet with analytical shape propagates with a group velocity, whose is determined by the corresponding dispersion relation. Within the effective model of a tachyonic branch this group velocity is

$$v_g = h^{-1} \partial E_{Tach}(k)/\partial k = \pm \frac{h v_f^2 k}{\sqrt{\Delta_0^2 - k^2 v_f^2 k^2}},$$

where the positive (negative) group velocity corresponds to electron (hole) excitation. Therefore, the ballistic transport time through a finite distance, $L_b$, is $t_b = L_b/v_g \propto \sqrt{k_0^2 - k^2}$. At a point $k = k_0$, i.e., where the group velocity is infinitely large (point B in fig. 1) the transport time becomes very small. Here the transport time as a function of the energy would exhibit a cusp-like singularity. This means that at that energy the time of electron transport will have a sharp minimum. The actual singularity will be smeared due to a finite width in the $k$-space of the electron wave packet. Just as for the superluminal propagation of light [19,20], this fast transport of the electron packet does not violate the principle of causality.

In conclusion, we have shown here that for a general set of parameters for the topological insulator Hamiltonian, the surface states at the junction between two TIs have at least one unique tachyonic branch, which describes the propagation of Dirac fermion excitations with superluminal group velocity. Although excitations propagating with superluminal velocity are known to exist in optical systems, we show here that such excitations can indeed be realized in purely electronic systems. The tachyon-like excitations are well localized at the junction between the TIs and are susceptible to direct experimental observation. It would indeed be a remarkable feat for condensed matter and the materials sciences if the first ever signature of elusive tachyons is actually detected experimentally in a topological insulator junction.
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