Whole-Transcriptome Analysis of Serum L1CAM-Captured Extracellular Vesicles Reveals Neural and Glycosylation Changes in Autism Spectrum Disorder
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Abstract
The pathophysiology of autistic spectrum disorder (ASD) is not fully understood and there are no diagnostic or predictive biomarkers. Extracellular vesicles (EVs) are cell-derived nano-sized vesicles, carrying nucleic acids, proteins, lipids, and other bioactive substances. As reported, serum neural cell adhesion molecule L1 (L1CAM)-captured EVs (LCEVs) can provide reliable biomarkers for neurological diseases; however, little is known about the LCEVs in children with ASD. The study enrolled 100 children with ASD (2.5–6 years of age; 90 males) and 60 age-matched TD children (54 males) as control. The serum sample was collected and pooled into five ASD subgroups and three TD subgroups (n=20). LCEVs were isolated and characterized meticulously. Whole-transcriptome of LCEVs was analyzed by lncRNA microarray and RNA-sequencing. All raw data was submitted on GEO Profiles, and GEO accession numbers is GSE186493. RNAs expressed differently in LCEVs from ASD sera vs. TD sera were screened, analyzed, and further validated. A total of 1418 mRNAs, 1745 lncRNAs, and 11 miRNAs were differentially expressed, and most of them were downregulated in ASD. Most RNAs were involved in neuron- and glycan-related networks implicated in ASD. The levels of EDNRA, SLC17A6, HTR3A, OSTC, TMEM165, PC-5p-139289_26, and hsa-miR-193a-5p were validated in at least 15 ASD and 15 TD individual serum samples, which were consistent with the results of transcriptome analysis. In conclusion, whole-transcriptome analysis of serum LCEVs reveals neural and glycosylation changes in ASD, which may help detect predictive biomarkers and molecular mechanisms of ASD, and provide reference for diagnoses and therapeutic management of the disease.
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Introduction

Autism spectrum disorder (ASD) refers to a set of early-appearing social communication deficits and repetitive sensory-motor behaviors associated with a strong genetic component as well as other causes (Lord et al. 2018). The prevalence of ASD is region-specific, varying from 0.9 case out of 1000 children in India to 1 case within 59 children in the USA (Hyman et al. 2020). In China, the prevalence is now around 1% (Sun et al. 2019). Screening of high-risk population is the first step toward early detection and diagnosis of ASD, thereby influencing the likelihood of patients accessing early intervention and, importantly, improving long-term outcomes. Currently, clinical diagnosis of ASD is still based on the fifth edition of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-V) (American Psychiatric Association 2013). Such diagnosis is focused on assessing patients’ behaviors, but it lacks quantizable indicators and cannot accurately identify mild or non-typical autism or autism in very young patients (Weiss et al. 2009). There is a need to find useful and reliable biomarkers to facilitate the diagnosis of autism.

In the past decade, searching for genetic biomarkers has been a hot spot in ASD research. Numerous related genes have been reported, including NRXN1, SHANK3, SHANK2, MECP2, SNC2A, CHD8, DYRKIA, POG2, GRIN2B, KATNAL2, NLGN3, NLGN4, CNTN4, CDH10, CDH9, and SEMA5A (Kramer et al. 2020; Sanders et al. 2012; Wakefield 2016). Unfortunately, only 10–38% of ASD cases have been reported with known genetic deficits (Lin et al. 2021; Vorstman et al. 2017). In recent years, blood/serum biomarkers have drawn much attention due to their accessibility, low cost, and rapid detection. In our previous studies, we identified four candidate peptides (SerpinA5-A, ApoC1-A, FABP1-A, and PF4-A) (Yang et al. 2018) and α2-3-linked sialylation of apolipoprotein D (APOD) (Qin et al. 2017) as potential biomarkers for ASD. A recent study also reported that SLC25A12, LIMK1, and RARS might serve as potential blood protein biomarkers for ASD (Yao et al. 2021). However, the reported potential biomarkers cannot specifically reflect the abnormality of brain neurons preferentially affected in autism and reveal the dysregulation of specific genes in neurons correlated with clinical severity (Jin et al. 2020; Velmeshev et al. 2019).

Extracellular vesicles (EVs) are a heterogeneous group of nano-sized, cell-derived membranous structures comprising exosomes, microvesicles, and others. They contain components from the cells that release them, such as proteins, lipids, nucleic acids, and glycoconjugates. In the central nervous system, almost all types of cells secrete EVs, which mediate neuron-glial cell communication, promote neuronal repair and growth, and promote the progression of glioblastoma and neurological diseases (Filippone and Pratico 2021; Wang et al. 2021). More importantly, they can cross the blood–brain barrier and have low immunogenicity (Saint-Pol et al. 2020). Overall, EVs are a promising source for biomarkers and ideal vehicles for drug delivery, and might be used in the diagnosis and treatment of neurological diseases (Andjus et al. 2020; Hill 2019). Currently, it is found that secreted EVs increase in the serum of ASD children and contain IL-1β that stimulates secretion of human microglia cells (Tsiloni and Theoharides 2018). As has been reported, mesenchymal stem cell-derived exosomes can improve autism-like behavior in BTBR mice and may be a cell-free therapeutic tool for ASD (Alessio et al. 2020; Perets et al. 2020). These findings uncover important roles of EVs, suggesting the necessity of characterizing the detailed molecular status of brain-derived EVs in ASD.

Recent studies have shown that the surface of EVs derived from neurons carries neural cell adhesion molecule L1 (L1CAM) that can be utilized to isolate the specific EVs from serum/plasma (Goetzl et al. 2018, 2020; Nogueras-Ortiz et al. 2020). Proteins in L1CAM captured exosomes can reflect brain injury, progression from acute mild traumatic brain injury to chronic traumatic brain disease, cognitive dysfunction caused by HIV infection, and neurological abnormalities such as Alzheimer’s disease (Goetzl et al. 2018, 2020). Blood neuron-derived EVs (using anti-L1CAM antibody) from Alzheimer’s disease patients effect complement-mediated neurotoxicity (Nogueras-Ortiz et al. 2020). However, the expression of proteins or RNAs in L1CAM captured EVs (LCEVs) of ASD children is rarely reported.

It is well known that EVs miRNAs can be used as potential diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers as well as therapeutic tools for a variety of neuropsychiatric diseases, such as...
as dementia, Alzheimer’s disease, depression, and schizophrenia (Fries and Quevedo 2018; Hill 2019). In light of this, the present study was conducted to examine the expression of RNAs in LCEVs from children with ASD and to reveal the potential biomarkers and possible mechanisms of the disease. We collected serum samples from 100 ASD children and 60 age-matched typically developed (TD) children. LCEVs were isolated using L1CAM antibody mediated immunosorbent assay and characterized by nanoparticle tracking analysis, transmission electron microscopy and western blot. Whole-transcriptome of the LCEVs was analyzed by lncRNA microarray and RNA-sequencing. In brief, a total of 1418 mRNAs, 1745 lncRNAs and 11 miRNAs were found differentially expressed. Most of these RNAs were downregulated in ASD and enriched in neuron-related and glycan-related networks associated with ASD. Levels of some potential biomarkers were found significantly changed in ASD.

**Methods**

**Study Approval**

Approval for this study was obtained from the Ethics Committee of Xi’an Jiaotong University (Xi’an, China). A parent of each participant signed a written informed consent. The experiments were carried out in accordance with the ethical guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki.

**Subjects**

The study enrolled 100 children with ASD (2.5–6 years of age; 90 males) and 60 age-matched TD children (54 males) as control. The ASD children were recruited from Xi’an Children’s Hospital, Xi’an, China. The healthy children were recruited from the same region to minimize the influence of different environments. A developmental behavioral pediatrician and a pediatric neurologist or psychiatrist examined the ASD children. All the consultants agreed on ASD diagnosis according to the DSM-V criteria. Children with tuberous sclerosis complex, Rett syndrome, Prader Willi syndrome, or Angelman syndrome were excluded. All the participants were screened via a parental interview for current and past physical illnesses. Those who had any type of infection or disease within two weeks before the time of examination or take any medicines were excluded. ASD was evaluated with the autism diagnostic observation schedule (Table 1).

**Collection and Grouping of Serum Samples**

Venous blood samples were collected by a pediatric nurse. The blood was allowed to clot at room temperature for 30 min, and the clot was then removed by centrifuging at 1,500×g for 10 min. The resulting supernatant is immediately transferred to a clean polypropylene tube, and EDTA-free inhibitor cocktail (Halt protease inhibitor; Thermo Scientific Pierce Protein Research Products, Rockford, IL, USA) was added at a concentration of 10 μL/mL serum. The obtained serum was aliquot into small portions and was immediately frozen on dry ice and stored at −80°C. To tolerate individual variation, 25 μL of each serum sample was collected and every 20 samples were pooled into one subgroup. Altogether, we got 5 ASD subgroups and 3 TD subgroups (n = 20) for lncRNA microarray detection and RNA sequencing. To avoid bias caused by gender difference, proportion of males in each subgroup was the same (90%). The remaining serum in each sample was maintained for further individual validation.

**Isolation of Serum L1CAM-Captured Extracellular Vesicles**

L1CAM-captured extracellular vesicles (LCEVs) in the pooled sera in each group were isolated as described previously (Goetzl et al. 2018, 2020; Nogueras-Ortiz et al.,

| Table 1  | Basic characteristics of the participants |
|---------|----------------------------------------|
|         | ASD | TD | p value |
| N       | 100 | 60 | -       |
| Males, (n) % | 90 (90%) | 54 (90%) | -       |
| Age, yearsa | 3.5 (2.5–5.5) | 3.5 (2.5–6.0) | 0.980 |
| Autism diagnostic observation schedule (ADOS)b  | Language and communication | 5.14 ± 2.78 |
| | Reciprocal social interaction | 11.36 ± 3.23 |
| | Stereotyped behaviors and restricted interests | 1.59 ± 1.23 |
| | Total score | 16.64 ± 4.39 |
| Severity degree | Mild: 17% | Moderate: 64% | Severe: 19% |

aMedian (range)  
bAverage ± SD
2020) with minor modifications. Briefly, 0.5 mL serum was incubated with 0.15 mL of thromboplastin-D (Fisher Scientific, Inc., Hanover Park, IL) at room temperature for 60 min. Then, 0.5 mL calcium- and magnesium-free Dulbecco’s balanced salt solution (DBS–2) with protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche Applied Sciences, Inc., Indianapolis, IN) and phosphatase inhibitor cocktail (Pierce Halt, Thermo Scientific, Inc., Rockford, IL) was added and the mixture was centrifuged at 1,500×g for 20 min. The supernatant was mixed with 252 μL ExoQuick exosome precipitation solution (EXOQ; System Biosciences, Inc., Mountainview, CA), and incubated for 1 h at 4 °C. The resultant EVs suspension was centrifuged at 1,500×g for 30 min at 4 °C and the pellet was re-suspended in 150 μL DBS–2 with the inhibitor cocktails before immunochemical enrichment of LCEVs. Each sample received 100 μL 3% BSA (1:3.33 dilution of Blocker BSA 10% solution in DBS–2 (Thermo Scientific, Inc.) and was incubated with 1 μg mouse anti-L1CAM biotinylated antibody (clone 5G3, eBioscience, San Diego, CA) for 1 h at 4 °C. Following that, 25 μL streptavidin-agarose resin (Thermo Scientific, Inc.) plus 50 μL 3% BSA was added and the sample was incubated at 4 °C for 30 min. After centrifugation at 200×g for 10 min at 4 °C and removal of the supernate, 3% BSA was added again, and centrifugation and supernatant removal were repeated. Each pellet was suspended in 50 μL 0.05 M glycine–HCl (pH 3.0), incubated at 4 °C for 10 min, and re-centrifuged at 4,000×g for 10 min at 4 °C. The obtained supernatant was transferred to a new Eppendorf tube containing 5 μL of 1 M Tris–HCl (pH 8.0) and stored at –80 °C.

**Western Blot Analysis**

The LCEVs suspension was denatured in 5× sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) buffer and subjected to western blot analysis (10% SDS–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis; 50 μg protein/lane) using mouse monoclonal antibody CD63 (ab59479, Abcam, Shanghai, China), mouse monoclonal antibody CD81 (ab79559, Abcam, Shanghai, China), mouse monoclonal antibody TSG101 (ab83, Abcam, Shanghai, China) and rabbit polyclonal antibody L1CAM (13–1719-82; Thermo, Shanghai, China). The proteins were visualized using the chemiluminescence detection Syngene GBox (Syngene Europe).

**Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM)**

LCEVs solution (20 μL) was dropped on a copper grid, stained with 2% phosphor tungstic acid for 10 min, and dried under incandescent light for 2 min. The copper grid was observed and photographed using a transmission electron microscope (H-7650 Hitachi microscope; Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan).

**nFCM Analysis**

LCEVs suspension at a concentration between 1×107/mL and 1×109/mL was examined using a Flow NanoAnalyzer (NanoFCM, Xiamen, China) to determine the size and quantity of particles isolated as described before (Tian et al. 2020). Briefly, the sample stream is completely illuminated within the central region of the focused laser beam, and the detection efficiency is approximately 100%. The concentration of each LCEV sample was determined by employing 100 nm orange FluoSpheres of known particle concentration to calibrate the sample flow rate.

**Cytoflex Flow Cytometer Analysis**

The LCEVs solution (5–10 μL) was diluted by phosphate balanced solution, and diluted into three concentration gradients of 1/10, 1/100, and 1/1000. The Cytoflex Flow Cytometer (Beckman, USA) was preliminary used to detect the number of EV particles to select the most suitable dilution concentration (the average particle number is less than 10,000). Then, sample was incubated with 1 μg of PE-anti-mouse/cat CD81 (104905, Biolegend) or APC-CD63 (143905, Biolegend) antibodies for 15 min at room temperature. After the incubation, the Cytoflex Flow Cytometer was used to detect the expression of markers.

**Extraction of Total RNA in LCEVs**

Total RNA in LCEVs was isolated using the Exosomal RNA isolation kit (NorgenBiotek, 58000) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, 200 μL of the transferred supernatant containing purified LCEVs was incubated with 300 μL Lysis Buffer A and 37.5 μL Lysis Additive B at room temperature for 10 min, following which 500 μL of 96–100% Ethanol was added and mixed well via 10 s vortexing. Then, 500 μL of the mixture was transferred into a Mini Spin column and centrifuged at 3,000×g for 1 min, and the remaining mixture was transferred and centrifuged by repeating the steps. After that, 600 μL Wash Solution A was applied and the column was centrifuged at 3,300×g for 30 s twice. The spin column was then moved to a fresh 1.7 mL Elution tube, and 50 μL Elution Solution A was added. Finally, centrifugation was performed at 400×g for 1 min and 5,800×g for 2 min to obtain total RNA.

**Human IncRNA Microarray and Data Analysis**

Total RNA was purified using an RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Germany) and was checked for a RIN number to inspect RNA integration with an Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, US). LC Biotech Human
In lncRNA Microarray 4×180 K (Agilent Technologies; Santa Clara, CA) was utilized to detect the expression of miRNAs and lncRNAs in LCEVs. The microarray slide contained 26,083 mRNA probes and 1,05,135 lncRNA probes, and lncRNA sequencing data were obtained from Genocode, UCSC, Ensembl, Refseq, LNCipedia, NONCODE, LncRNA Disease, Ernas, NRED, and other databases. Amplification of cRNA, fluorescent labeling, and hybridization of the microarray were performed by following the protocol of Agilent Technologies. Briefly, equal amount of RNA from each subgroup was reversely transcribed into cDNA, which was then labeled with Cy3 (GE Healthcare; Biosciences, Piscataway, NJ, USA) and hybridized with the microarray slide. The slide was then scanned with the Agilent Microarray Scanner G5761A (Agilent Technologies). Data were extracted with Feature Extraction software 12.0.3.1 (Agilent Technologies), and raw data were normalized by Quantile algorithm. Genes with a p value less than 0.05 and a fold change of at least 2 were selected for further analysis. GO/KEGG pathway enrichment analyses of the target genes were performed by Fisher’s exact test. The function of lncRNAs was predicted by analyzing the functional annotations of mRNAs that were highly co-expressed with lncRNAs. All raw data of our study was submitted on GEO Profiles, and GEO accession numbers is GSE186493.

Small RNA Library Construction, Sequencing, and Data Processing

Approximately 1 μg total RNA was used to prepare small RNA library according to the protocol of TruSeq Small RNA Sample Prep Kit (Illumina, San Diego, USA). Single-end sequencing (36 bp) was performed with an Illumina HiSeq2500 at LC-BIO (Hangzhou, China). Briefly, the raw reads were subjected to the Illumina pipeline filter (Solexa Hiseq2500 at LC-BIO (Hangzhou, China). Briefly, the raw reads were subjected to the Illumina pipeline filter (Solexa HiSeq2500 at LC-BIO (Hangzhou, China).

miRNA differential expression based on normalized deep-sequence counts was analyzed using the Fisher exact test and Student t test, and the significance threshold was set to be 0.01 or 0.05. To predict the genes targeted by most abundant miRNAs, two computational target prediction algorithms (TargetScan50 and miRanda 3.3a) were used to identify miRNA binding sites. Finally, the data predicted by both algorithms were combined and the overlaps were calculated. The GO terms and KEGG pathways of these most abundant miRNAs and miRNA targets were also annotated.

Quantitative Real-Time PCR

All primers were designed and synthesized by Takara (Takara Biotechnology, Dalian, China). To avoid false-positive amplification of contaminated genomic DNA in the mRNA samples, all the primers spanning different exons were designed (Table 2). For mRNA, cDNA was synthesized using a PrimeScript RT reagent kit (Takara Biotechnology Co, Ltd, Dalian, China). Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) was performed using the IQ5 real-time PCR detection system, and GADPH was taken as a control. Relative quantification of miRNA expression levels was performed using SYBR Premix Ex Taq II on an FTC-3000TM System (Funglyn Biotech Inc., Toronto, Canada). For miRNA, cDNA was synthesized using the service bio RT First strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Servicebio, Wuhan, China), qRT-PCR was carried out using the SYBR Premix Ex Taq™ II (TaKaRa), and U6 was taken as a control. PCR conditions consisted of a 5 min pre-incubation at 95 °C, followed by 40 cycles of incubation at 95 °C for 10 s plus

| Primer name | Sequence |
|-------------|----------|
| GAPDH-F     | GGAGCGAGATCCCTCCTAAAAT |
| GAPDH-R     | GGCTGTGTGCTACCTTCTGACG |
| TMEM165-F   | GGCCCAAGATGAGACCTTACG |
| TMEM165-R   | ACTGATATGGCAGCCACAAATG |
| OSTC-F      | CGTGCTCCGTCTTATG |
| OSTC-R      | TGCCAGTATGCTACG |
| SLC17A6-F   | GGGAAGACACTGAGCCACG |
| SLC17A6-R   | TCCGAGCGGATACTCAGG |
| EDNRA-F     | TCGGTTTCTATTTGCTATGCCC |
| EDNRA-R     | TTGTTTTGCCACTTTCTGACG |
| C12orf49-F  | GCAGCACAACCACACTTTC |
| C12orf49-R  | GTTCTCTATGCTACG |
| CHRM1-F     | CTTATACCCACGTCGATC |
| CHRM1-R     | CCGATCAGGGAGAAGATG |
| HTR3A-F     | GAAAGCAACCCCATCATCCAT |
| HTR3A-R     | CACATCATCACGACACTTATG |
| U6-F        | GCTTCCCGCACATATACTAATAAT |
| U6-R        | CGTTCCGCAATTTGCTGTCAT |
| PC-5p-139289_26-F | ATCCAGTTGGCTGCTG |
| PC-5p-139289_26-R | TGGCTGTGGCACAAGCGAC |
| hsa-miR-193a-5p-F | ATCCAGTGGTGGGTGTCG |
| hsa-miR-193a-5p-R | TGCTTGGGCCTTTCGCG |
60 °C for 20 s. At least 15 ASD serum samples and 15 TD samples were randomly selected to examine the differential expression of the candidate RNAs in individual samples. All samples were run in triplicate and the average values were calculated. The relative levels of mRNAs, including EDNRA, SLC17A6, HTR3A, OSTC, and TMEM165, as well as of miRNAs, including PC-5p-139289_26 and hsa-miR-193a-5p, were calculated using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method.

**Statistics**

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS (version 17). Group statistics are presented as mean ± SD. The $t$ test for independent variables was used to examine the inter-group differences and a significance level of 0.05 was adopted.

**Community Involvement**

Members of the autism community were not involved in the development of research questions, outcome measures, study design, or implementation of this trial.

**Results**

**Characterization of Serum LCEVs**

Basic characteristics of the participants were shown in Table 1. Sera LCEVs in the ASD group (including 5 subgroups) and the TD group (including 3 subgroups) were isolated using L1CAM antibody mediated immunoadsorption (Fig. 1). Nanoparticle tracking analysis showed a higher LCEVs concentration in the ASD group (2.04 ± 4.35 × 10^{10}/
mL) than in the TD group (1.20 ± 3.28 × 10^{10}/mL). The average particle size of LCEVs was 61.50 ± 20.71 nm in the ASD group and 62.07 ± 20.75 nm in the TD group, showing no significant difference (Fig. 2A). Under TEM, both groups of LCEVs presented a “saucer”-like structure (Fig. 2B). Meanwhile, LCEVs were identified by WB for EVs markers (CD63, CD81, and TSG101) (Fig. 2C) as well as L1CAM (Fig. 2D). In addition, Cytoflex Flow Cytometer analysis revealed that LCEVs marked with CD63 and CD81 accounted for 57.78% and 76.63% of the total LCEVs in TD, and 55.82% and 69.29% of the total LCEVs in ASD (Supplementary Fig. 1).

**Differential Expression and Bioinformatic Analysis of mRNAs in ASD Serum LCEVs**

Based on IncRNA microarray detection and original data normalization, air-wise Pearson’s correlation coefficients of all RNAs among subgroups were shown in Fig. 3A. The coefficients between the biological replicates (subgroups) within each group were obviously higher than coefficients between two groups (Fig. 3A). mRNAs and IncRNAs with at least twofold differential expression and a p value of less than 0.05 were subjected to further examination. This resulted in 167 upregulated and 1251 downregulated mRNAs in ASD sera LCEVs (Fig. 3B and Supplementary Table 1). Hierarchical clustering analysis (HCA) of these 1418 differentially expressed mRNAs (DEmRs) showed similar expression profiles among the biological replicates within each group but differential profiles between the two groups (Fig. 3C). Principal component analysis (PCA) of the DEmRs showed that the two groups were separated and the biological replicates in the TD group clustered more closely than the replicates in the ASD group (Fig. 3D). To characterize the distribution of genes for DEmRs on chromosomes and to reveal the susceptible chromosomes, the genes on each chromosome were counted and the ratio of the number of such genes to the total number of genes present

**Fig. 2** Characterization of the serum LCEVs. **A** Nanoparticle tracking analysis of LCEVs in the ASD group and the TD group. **B** The image of LCEVs under transmission electron microscopy. **C** WB analysis of EV-specific marker proteins CD63 and CD81 in serum and the obtained LCEVs solution. **D** WB analysis of the expression of L1CAM in the total serum EVs and in the LCEVs.
Fig. 3 Differential expression and bioinformatic analysis of mRNAs in ASD serum LCEVs. A Pearson’s correlation analysis of all RNAs among different subgroups based upon lncRNA microarray. B The differentially expressed mRNAs (DEmRs) with at least twofold change and a \( p \) value of less than 0.05 in ASD. C Hierarchical clustering analysis (HCA) of the DEmRs in subgroups of TD-1–3 and ASD-1–5. The subgroups were listed in columns, and DEmRs were listed in rows. The color and intensity of each square indicate expression levels relative to the other data in the row. Red, high; blue, low; white, medium. D Principal component analysis (PCA) of DEmRs in subgroups of TD-1–3 and ASD-1–5. The color of each circle indicated a subgroup. E The number and proportion of genes for DEmRs distributed on chromosomes. GO annotation (F) and KEGG analysis (G) of the DEmRs in ASD.
on the chromosome (data from Human Genome Resources at NCBI, GRCh37) was calculated. As a result, chromosomes 1 and 2 had the largest number of genes for DEmRs, while chromosomes 21 and Y had the least number of such genes. However, genes with the maximum ratio were on chromosomes 17 and 5, and those with the minimum ratio were on chromosomes 13 and Y (Fig. 3E). GO annotation of DEmRs showed that their products were mainly distributed in cytoplasm, nucleus, and plasma membrane; were able to bind with proteins, metal ions, and DNA; and were involved mainly in DNA-dependent transcription, small molecule metabolism, transcriptional regulation, and other biological processes (Fig. 3F). KEGG analysis revealed that DEmRs participated in mainly three types of processes: (1) the signal transduction processes, such as MAPK signaling pathway, calcium signaling pathway, PI3K-Akt signaling pathway, and cAMP signaling pathway; (2) neuron-related pathways such as neuroactive receptor-ligand interaction, axon guidance, and synaptic vesicle circulation; and (3) glycosylation related pathways such as N-glycan biosynthesis, endoplasmic reticulum protein processing, sugar binding, and glycosaminoglycan degradation (Fig. 3G).

Differential Expression and Functional Prediction of lncRNAs in ASD Serum LCEVs

According to the results of lncRNA microarray, 239 lncRNAs were significantly upregulated and 1506 lncRNAs were significantly downregulated in ASD serum LCEVs (Fig. 4A and Supplementary Table 2). HCA of these 1745 differentially expressed lncRNAs (DElnRs) showed similar expression profiles among the biological replicates within each group but differential profiles between the two groups (Fig. 4B). PCA of the DElnRs separated the subgroups into TD and ASD groups as their natural grouping (Fig. 4C). To characterize the distribution of DElnRs on chromosomes and to reveal the susceptible chromosomes, the DElnRs on each chromosome were counted and the ratio of the number of DElnRs to the length of that chromosome was calculated (data from Human Genome Resources at NCBI, GRCh37; the unit of length is Mb). It was found that chromosomes 1 and 2 had the largest number of DElnRs and chromosomes 21 and Y had the least number of DElnRs, whereas chromosomes 19 and 17 had the maximum ratio and chromosomes X and Y had the minimum ratio (Fig. 4D). Genes with a distance of less than 100 kb from lncRNA were regarded as the target genes for cis-acting. As a result, 382 DElnRs were predicted to be positively or negatively correlated with their target genes (R > 0.8) (Supplementary Table 3). Of these genes, 107 were also DEmRs (Fig. 4E and Supplementary Table 3). Doubleomic analysis (https://www.omicstudio.cn/tool) of the 107 pairs of DElnR-DEmR revealed that 81.3% pairs were positively correlated and commonly downregulated in ASD (Fig. 4F). GO annotation showed that these 107 target genes were mainly cytoskeleton-related proteins (Fig. 4G) performing functions such as auxiliary transport protein activity, protein binding, and translation regulation (Fig. 4H), and were involved in protein metabolism, transport, and cell growth and/or maintenance (Fig. 4I).

Expression of miRNA in Serum LCEVs

A total of 4310 mature microRNAs (miRNAs) were examined in the serum LCEVs, among which 150 were present in all subgroups (Supplementary Table 4). The miRNA with the highest concentration across all the subgroups was hsa-miR-21-5p_R+1, accounting for 6.9% in TD and 8.5% in ASD in terms of the normalized read counts (Fig. 5A). Unpaired two-tailed Student’s t test identified 10 miRNA sequences with significant differences (p < 0.05) between ASD and TD (Fig. 5B). The sequence GATTTCCTCCCCGTGTC TGA was aligned to two pre-miRNAs and was given two names: mmu-mir-6240-p3_1ss8GT and mmu-mir-6240-p5_1ss8GT. Of these 11 miRNA, the one with the biggest variation was PC-3p-38497_124, which was remarkably upregulated (fold change = 20.32, p = 0.029) in ASD relative to TD (Fig. 5B). The miRNA with the most significant change was PC-5p-139289_26, which was absent in the TD subgroups (p = 0.0056) (Fig. 5B). Two other miRNAs (PC-3p-275123_15 and PC-5p-149427_24) were upregulated in ASD, and another seven miRNAs (e.g., hsa-miR-193a-5p and mmu-mir-6240-p3_1ss8GT) were downregulated (Fig. 5B). HCA of these differentially expressed miRNAs (DEmiRs) revealed similar expression profiles among the biological replicates within each group but differential profiles between the ASD and TD groups (Fig. 5C). PCA showed that the biological replicates in the TD group clustered more closely (Fig. 5D).

Targets of these 11 DEmiRs were predicted by both TargetScan 50 and miRanda 3.3a algorithms. According to the results of lncRNA microarray, 25 of the predicted targets were DEmiRs and 45 were DEmiRs (Supplementary Fig. 2 and Supplementary Table 5). Notably, 86.2% of the DEmiRs and 71.1% of the DEmiRs were downregulated in serum LCEVs of ASD. Two miRNAs, namely, PC-5p-139289_26 and hsa-miR-193a-5p, had the largest number of predicted targets with high confidence (TargetScanScoring > 90). For hsa-miR-193a-5p, 3 DEmiRs (PIGZ, CEBPB and NETO2) were downregulated and 2 (PAQR3 and OMD) were upregulated, while 14 DEmiRs (e.g., CTC-340A15.2 and lnc-CLDN20-3) were downregulated and 2 (EN1A and EPS15L1) were upregulated in the serum LCEVs of ASD (Fig. 5E). For PC-5p-139289_26, 11 DEmiRs (e.g., ING3 and BRD7) were downregulated and 2 (EDNRA and SCUBE3) were upregulated, while 11 DEmiRs (e.g., RP11-401P9.1 and LOC400043) were downregulated and 4 (e.g.,
Fig. 4 Differential expression and functional prediction of IncRNAs in ASD serum LCEVs. A The differentially expressed IncRNAs (DEl- nRs) with at least twofold change and a p value of less than 0.05 in ASD. B HCA of the DEl- nRs in subgroups of TD-1–3 and ASD-1–5. The subgroups were listed in columns, and DEl- nRs were listed in rows. The color and intensity of each square indicate expression levels relative to the other data in the row. Red, high; blue, low; white, medium. C PCA of DEl- nRs in subgroups of TD-1–3 and ASD-1–5. The color of each circle indicated a subgroup. D The number of DEl- nRs on each chromosome and the ratio of the number of DEl- nRs to the length of that chromosome. E Venn diagram of the DEmRs and DEl- nRs and their targeting relationship (overlapped). F Double-omic analysis of the 107 pairs of DEl-R-DEmR. The color of the dot indicated correlation coefficient. The axis represented log2 fold change (ASD/TD). GO annotation of target genes for DEl- nRs according to the three grouping classifications of cellular component (G), molecular function (H), and biological process (I).
**Fig. 5** Differential expression of miRNA in ASD serum LCEVs. **A** Abundance of the miRNAs in TD and ASD. The normalized expression level of each miRNAs was represented as the mean of the read counts in subgroups. **B** The normalized expression level of 11 DEmiRs in 3 TD subgroups and 5 ASD subgroups. **C** Eleven miRNAs were differentially expressed across subgroups. The 11 DEmiRs ($p < 0.05$) across ASD (ASD_1-5) and TD (TD_1-3) subgroups are clustered in the heatmap using a complete clustering algorithm. **D** PCA of DEmiRs in subgroups of TD-1–3 and ASD-1–5. The color of each circle indicated a subgroup. **E** Two miRNAs, namely, PC-5p-139289_26 and hsa-miR-193a-5p, had the largest number of predicted targets with high confidence (TargetScan score > 90). **F** GO annotation revealed the percentages of genes and the fold enrichments for all cellular activities. **G** Individual validation of the differential expression of PC-5p-139289_26 and hsa-miR-193a-5p by qRT-PCR. The sample size (n) used was shown in the figure.
The sample size (ferential expression of EDNRA, SLC17A6, and HTR3A by qRT-PCR. represented downregulated in ASD. mRNAs in ASD. Red arrow represented upregulated and blue arrow

demarcation, which contained 5 upregulated mRNAs and 19 downregulated mRNAs in ASD. Red arrow represented upregulated and blue arrow represented downregulated in ASD. F Individual validation of the differential expression of EDNRA, SLC17A6, and HTR3A by qRT-PCR. The sample size (n) used was shown in the figure

RSU1P2 and LOC101927027) were upregulated in ASD (Fig. 5E). GO annotation revealed that most targets were related to signal transduction (41%) and cell communication (36%). The most enriched annotation was glutathione synthesis and recycling (fold enrichment = 263). Other enriched annotations included mannosyltransferase activity, attachment of GPI anchor to uPAR, gamma-glutamyl cycle, prostanoid ligand receptors, and eicosanoid ligand-binding receptors (fold enrichment > 80) (Fig. 5F). To validate the differential expression of these DEmiRs, PC-5p-139289_26 and hsa-miR-193a-5p were randomly selected and examined by qRT-PCR in 15 ASD and 15 TD individual serum samples respectively. The results showed that the expression of PC-5p-139289_26 increased significantly (p = 0.0008) and that of hsa-miR-193a-5p decreased significantly (p = 0.003) in ASD (Fig. 5G). These results were consistent with those of the RNA sequencing.

**Neuron-Related Network and Significant Markers in ASD**

Notably but not unexpectedly, 104 DEmiRs were related to neural molecular pathways, of which 19 were upregulated (e.g., EDNRA and HTR3A) were downregulated (Fig. 6A). For IncRNAs, the downregulated SNHG1, Inc-C20orf201-1 and Inc-TRPV5-1 were positively correlated with their target genes CHRM1, OPRL1, and EPHB6 respectively (Fig. 6B). For miRNA, the upregulated PC-5p-139289_26 was positively correlated with target gene EDNRA and negatively correlated with its target genes PPP2CB, GCLM, and PTGER3 (Fig. 6C). According to GO and KEGG analyses, these neuron-related RNAs were mainly involved in proactive ligand-receptor interaction (e.g., EDNRA and PC-5p-139289_26 [miRNA]), pathways of neurodegeneration (e.g., CHRM1 and SNHG1 [IncRNA]), glutamatergic synapse (e.g., GRIA4 and HOMER2), axon guidance (e.g., EPHB6 and Inc-TRPV5-1 [IncRNA]), synaptic vesicle cycle (e.g., ATP6V1G1 and SLC17A6), dendrite formation (e.g., TENM2 and IGSF9B), neuron projection development (e.g., OPRL1 and Inc-C20orf201-1 [IncRNA]), neuron migration (e.g., BARHL1 and DISC1), neuron apoptotic process (e.g., CLN3 and BCL2L11), calcium signaling pathway (e.g., ADORA2A and CCKAR), and MAPK signaling pathway (e.g., ERBB3 and TNFRSF1A).

**Glycan-Related Network and Candidate Markers in ASD**

Most eukaryotic proteins are modified by covalent addition of glycan molecules, which modulates the structures and functions of the proteins. Glycans are synthesized in the presence of glycosyltransferases, sulfonyl transferases, glycosidases, and other glycan-binding proteins; aberrant expression of these enzymes may result in many complicated pathological conditions, such as inflammation, diabetes, cancer, and neurological abnormality (Kronimus et al. 2019; Pinho and Reis 2015). According to IncRNA microarray and bioinformatic analysis, 54 DEmiRs were carbohydrate-related genes, of which 5 (e.g., HPSE, and GALM) were significantly upregulated and others (e.g., OSTC and MAN1B1) were significantly downregulated in ASD (Fig. 7A). DElnRs including LOC101927919, Inc-KIAA1919-1, Inc-SHCBP1L-1, and RP11-177J6.1 were positively correlated with their predicted target genes NUS1, KIAA1919, NPL, and TMEM165, respectively (Fig. 7B). Based on GO and KEGG analysis, these DEmiRs and DElnRs were mainly involved in carbohydrate metabolic process (e.g., HPSE and SLC37A4), protein N-linked glycosylation (e.g., MGAT5 and OSTC), carbohydrate binding (e.g., MSLGE5 and CLEC1A), glycolysis (e.g., GALM and PGM1), glycosaminoglycan metabolic process (e.g., CHST12 and HPSE), and glycolipid metabolic process (e.g., NEU1 and ST8SIA6) (Fig. 7C). Expressions of OSTC, MAN1B1, and MGAT5 were downregulated in N-glycan biosynthesis.
Glycan-related network and candidate markers in ASD. A. The log2foldchanges and p values of 54 DEmRs that were related to glycan metabolism in ASD. B. The positive relationship of IncRNAs LOC101927919, Inc-KIAA1919-1, Inc-SHCBP1L-1, and RP11-177f6.1 with their target genes NUS1, KIAAet al., NPL, and TMEM165, respectively. The r value and p value were calculated. The p value was lower than 0.05. C. Glycan-related network associated with ASD according to GO and KEGG analyses. The green square represented carbohydrate associated functions; the orange circle represented DEmRs; and the blue diamond represented DElnRs. D. Expressions of OSTC, MAN1B1, and MGAT5 were downregulated in N-glycan biosynthesis implicated in ASD. Blue arrow represented downregulated in ASD. E. Individual validation of the differential expression of OSTC and TMEM165 by qRT-PCR. The sample size (n) used was shown in the figure.

Discussion

At present, the diagnosis of ASD is still based on symptom evaluation, as the underlying pathological mechanism remains unclear. There are no blood-based diagnostic tools or approved drugs for ASD. Research to identify reliable biological markers of disease status and symptomology is therefore urgently needed. Neurobiological systems critical to social functioning are arguably the most promising biological sources for ASD biomarkers and therapeutic targets. However, existing methods for brain detection mostly relied on autopsy or animal models, which are limited because of poor timeliness and species differences. Most cells in the nervous system, including neurons, astrocytes, oligodendrocytes, and microglia, secrete EVs under normal or pathological conditions. EVs can reflect the host cell proteins and nucleic acids at the time of secretion and can diffuse across the blood brain barrier into the periphery. Serum/plasma LCEVs can be captured by antibodies directed against the cell surface protein L1CAM embedded in the vesicle membrane (Goetzl et al. 2018, 2020; Nogueras-Ortiz et al. 2020). Although investigation of LCEVs is relatively novel, attractive evidence from other fields suggests that such investigation can afford insight into the pathological mechanisms and processes associated with Alzheimer’s disease and depressive disorder (Kuwano et al. 2018; Song et al. 2020).

A recent study developed a panel of single-molecule array assays to evaluate the use of LICAM for neuron-derived EV (LCEVs) isolation, and demonstrated that L1CAM behaved as a soluble protein, not as an EV-associated protein, and therefore recommend against its use as a marker in LCEV isolation protocols (Norman et al. 2021). It is mentioned that they fractionated plasma and cerebrospinal fluid using size exclusion chromatography (SEC) and density gradient centrifugation (DGC), and found that L1CAM expression overlapped at the tails of earlier fractions with the later fractions. Actually, in this study, total EVs were extracted from serum preferentially and then were used to isolate LCEVs by immunoprecipitation to avoid soluble protein interference as much as possible. The average particle size of LCEVs was 61.50 ± 20.71 nm in the ASD group and 62.07 ± 20.75 nm in the TD group, which was consistent with a latest study that reported that LCEVs were smaller than other EVs isolated from plasma (p < 0.0001) (Saeedi et al. 2021). Overall, LCEVs can be enriched by L1CAM antibody in peripheral blood but the size was smaller than most EVs without L1CAM.

Thus far, a putative speech and language region at chromosome 7q31-q33 seems most strongly linked to autism. Cytogenetic abnormalities at the 15q11-q13 locus are fairly frequent in people with autism, and a “chromosome 15 phenotype” is described in individuals with chromosome 15 duplications (Nakatani et al. 2009). Some candidate genes are considered located at chromosomes 7q22-q33 and 15q11-q13 (Muhl et al. 2004), and 21 genes in chromosome 8p region are identified as most likely to contribute to neuropsychiatric disorders and neurodegenerative disorders (Tabares-Seisdedos and Rubenstien 2009). Variant alleles of the serotonin transporter gene (5-HTT) on chromosome 17q11-q12 are more frequent in individuals with autism than in healthy people (Nakatani et al. 2009). In addition, many mutations on NLGN4X, an X-linked cell adhesion molecule, result in ASD (Nguyen et al. 2020). In the present study, chromosome 17 was the commonly and mostly enriched chromosome for both DEmRs and DElnRs in ASD. A large portion of the DEmRs on chromosome 17 participates in cell communication and signal transduction, which are essential for synapse formation and neurotransmitter release. Abnormal expression of such mRNAs implies the abnormality of these functions in ASD.

Brain-derived EVs carry and release multiple molecules related to neuronal function and neurotransmission in the brain, which is beneficial for the reciprocal communication between neural cells (e.g., neuron−glia interactions), synaptic plasticity, neuronal development, and neuroimmune communication. In the present study, 104 DEmRs were annotated to be related to neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction, pathways of neurodegeneration, glutamatergic synapse, axon guidance, synaptic vesicle cycle, dendrite, neuron projection development, neuron migration, and apoptotic process. Most (81.7%) of these neuron-related mRNAs were downregulated in ASD. As demonstrated in the pathway of...
neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction (Fig. 3H), 5 receptors (e.g., EDNRA) were upregulated and 19 (e.g., HTR3A) were downregulated in ASD. A previous study reported that neuropeptide receptor gene expression was lower in children with autism and the lower neuropeptide receptor gene expression predicted greater social impairments and greater stereotyped behaviors (Oztan et al. 2018). We found that 5-hydroxytryptamine receptor 3A (HTR3A) significantly decreased in the ASD serum LCEVs in this study. HTR3A is one of the receptors for 5-hydroxytryptamine (serotonin), a biogenic hormone that also functions as a neurotransmitter and a mitogen. Ample evidence suggests that levels of serotonin and serotonin transporter (SERT) increase significantly in autistic children than in gender and age-matched non-autistic children (Abdulamir et al. 2018; Meyyazhagan et al. 2020). It thus can be hypothesized that increase of serotonin and SERT may be a kind of cell self-help that compensates for the loss of receptors, but it needs to be experimentally confirmed in the future. Another specific signature is the decreased expression of vesicular glutamate transporter 2 (SLC17A6) in the ASD serum LCEVs. Receptors for glutamate (Glu), GRIK5, GRIK2, and GRIA4 were also downregulated. Glu acts as an excitatory neurotransmitter at many synapses in the central nervous system. SLC17A6 mediates the uptake of Glu into synaptic vesicles at presynaptic nerve terminals of excitatory cellular neurons. The postsynaptic actions of Glu are mediated by a variety of receptors expressed on postsynaptic cell membrane. Emerging evidence suggests that imbalance between excitatory (Glu-mediated) and inhibitory (GABA-mediated) neurotransmission may be a common pathophysiological mechanism in ASD (Horder et al. 2018; Rojas 2014). These studies, together with the findings in the present study, suggest that reduction in the expression of Glu transporter and receptors might be the main reason for the abnormalities of Glu-mediated neurotransmission and hence a therapeutic target in ASD.

Glycans and their conjugates (glycoproteins, proteoglycans, and glycolipids) are major constituents of the neural cell membrane and extracellular matrix (ECM). Glycans and glycoconjugates participate in nearly every biological process in the developing brain. A potential link between ASD and changes in glycosylation was first observed in patients with congenital glycosylation disorders (CDGs) (Freeze et al. 2015). Recent advances in genome sequencing have identified many genetic variants that occur in genes encoding glycosylated proteins (proteoglycans or glycoproteins) or enzymes involved in glycosylation (glycosyltransferases and sulfotransferases) (Dwyer and Esko 2016; Yu et al. 2013). However, it remains unknown whether “glycogene” variants cause changes in glycosylation and whether they contribute to the etiology and pathogenesis of ASDs. In the present study, we analyzed the whole transcriptome of serum LCEVs in ASD to screen potential biomarkers and explore the important molecular events in brain neurons of ASD children. Our results showed that a total of 54 DEMRs (3.8%) were glycogens, and most of them (90.7%) were downregulated in ASD. The 54 DEMRs mainly participated in carbohydrate metabolic process, protein N-linked glycosylation, carbohydrate binding, glycolysis, glycosaminoglycan metabolic process, and glycolipid metabolic process. Thereinto, OSTC, MAN1B1, and MGAT5, translating to key enzymes for N-linked glycosylation, were significantly downregulated in ASD. In our previous study, we found a significant decrease of STL binding glycans or glycoproteins that contain trimers and tetramers of GlcNAc (core structure of N-glycans) in ASD versus in TD (fold change =0.54, p =0.0057) (Qin et al. 2017). In all, no matter at the gene level, the transcription level, or the level of translation and post-translation modification, abnormalities of glycosylation and carbohydrate metabolism might be an important molecular mechanism of ASD. Moreover, the decrease of receptors and transporters of neurotransmitters may be related with the decrease of glycogenes as most of the receptors and transporters are highly glycosylated. OSTC is a subunit of the oligosaccharyl transferase (OST) complex that catalyzes the initial transfer of a defined glycan (Glc3Man9GlcNAc2 in eukaryotes) from the lipid carrier dolichol-pyrophosphate to an asparagine residue within an Asn-X-Ser/Thr consensus motif in nascent polypeptide chains. In the present study, expression of OSTC significantly decreased in ASD serum LCEVs, suggesting it as a candidate biomarker for ASD diagnosis.

Recent studies have shown that abnormal expression of miRNAs could be involved in the underlying pathogenesis of ASD. miRNAs are small noncoding mRNAs that regulate gene expression and are often linked to biological processes and implicated in neurodevelopment. A dozen of miRNAs, such as miRNA-125b and miRNA-132, have been observed to regulate the expression of ASD risk genes, act differently on the morphology of the spine and synaptic plasticity in brain neurons, and participate in ASD etiopathogenesis (Schepici et al. 2019). However, compared with mRNA and lncRNA, fewer miRNAs were found differentially expressed in ASD serum LCEVs in the present study. Among 11 DEMRs, PC-5p-139289_26 was significantly upregulated and hsa-miR-193a-5p was significantly downregulated in ASD, and both of them had the largest number of predicted targets that were differentially expressed in ASD, indicating that these two miRNAs might play important roles in ASD. These targets were mostly involved in glutathione synthesis and recycling and mannosyltransferase activity, which are closely correlated with synthesis of Glu and glycans involved in the neuron- and glycan-related networks in ASD. However, the relationships between miRNAs and their target genes have not yet been verified.
This study might have some limitations that merit consideration. Firstly, we did not examine the correlation between the expression of candidate biomarkers and disease severity. This would be addressed in our future research. Secondly, we collected only one blood sample per participant (due to the invasive nature of venipuncture, particularly in children), which limited our ability to assess within-individual consistency of our biological measures. Thirdly, our samples were mainly from a single hospital. Xi’an Children’s Hospital is one of the most famous hospitals in northwest China that diagnose and treat children with ASD. Most of its patients are from five neighboring provinces (Shaanxi, Gansu, Qinghai, Ningxia, and Xinjiang). Further research involving participants from multiple areas would be a great addition to the present study.

Conclusions

In short, 1418 mRNAs, 1745 IncRNAs, and 11 miRNAs were identified differentially expressed in the serum LCEVs from the ASD children versus from the TD children. Most of these RNAs were downregulated and involved in neuron-related and glycan-related networks implicated in ASD. Levels of potential markers, including EDNRA, SLC17A6, HTR3A, OSTC, TMEM165, C12orf49, PC-5p-139289_26, and hsa-mir-193a-5p, changed significantly in the ASD children. Whole-transcriptome analysis of serum LCEVs reveals neural and glycosylation changes in ASD, which could serve as predictive biomarkers and provide information for understanding the molecular mechanisms of ASD. Hopefully, our results may provide reference for future diagnostic and therapeutic management of the disease.
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