**Diffusion tensor imaging assesses white matter injury in neonates with hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy**

Hong-xin Li¹,², Xing Feng¹,², Qian Wang¹, Xuan Dong³, Min Yu⁵, Wen-juan Tu²

¹ Department of Neonatology, Children’s Hospital of Soochow University, Suzhou, Jiangsu Province, China
² Department of Neonatology, Changzhou Children’s Hospital, Changzhou, Jiangsu Province, China
³ School of Biomedical Engineering, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China
⁴ Department of Children’s Health Research Center, Changzhou Children’s Hospital, Changzhou, Jiangsu Province, China
⁵ Nantong University, Nantong, Jiangsu Province, China

*Correspondence to: Xing Feng, M.D., xing_feng66@hotmail.com. orcid: 0000-0003-0519-3780 (Xing Feng)
doi: 10.4103/1673-5374.205102
Accepted: 2017-02-13

**How to cite this article:** Li HX, Feng X, Wang Q, Dong X, Yu M, Tu WJ (2017) Diffusion tensor imaging assesses white matter injury in neonates with hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy. Neural Regen Res 12(4):603-609.

**Open access statement:** This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as the author is credited and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

**Funding:** This study was supported by a grant from the Clinical Medicine Science and Technology Projects in Jiangsu Province of China, No. BL2014037; a grant from the Changzhou City Science and Technology Support Plan in China, No. CE20165027; a grant from the Changzhou Health Development Planning Commission Major Projects in China, No. ZD201515; the Changzhou High-Level Health Personnel Training Project Funding.

**Graphical Abstract**

A diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) study

DTI parameters and DTT can reflect the brain white matter injury of HIE neonates quantitatively. FA values and voxel number in some regions of interest are better than ADC values in estimating brain white matter injury. The correlation between FA values and neonatal behavioral neurological assessment scores in posterior limbs of internal capsule is closer than that between other areas.

**Abstract**

With improvements in care of at-risk neonates, more and more children survive. This makes it increasingly important to assess, soon after birth, the prognosis of children with hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy. Computed tomography, ultrasound, and conventional magnetic resonance imaging are helpful to diagnose brain injury, but cannot quantify white matter damage. In this study, ten full-term infants without brain injury and twenty-two full-term neonates with hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy (14 moderate cases and 8 severe cases) underwent diffusion tensor imaging to assess its feasibility in evaluating white matter damage in this condition. Results demonstrated that fractional anisotropy, voxel volume, and number of fiber bundles were different in some brain areas between infants with brain injury and those without brain injury. The correlation between fractional anisotropy values and neonatal behavioral neurological assessment scores was closest in the posterior limbs of the internal capsule. We conclude that diffusion tensor imaging can quantify white matter injury in neonates with hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy.
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**Introduction**

For many years, much attention has been given to improving the assessment of the prognosis of brain injury in hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy (HIE) children. The incidence of HIE is still high (AbdelAziz, et al., 2017). The success rate of rescue in moderate and severe HIE has significantly improved (Pfister and Soll, 2010). Objective and sensitive indicators are needed to judge the prognosis. Head computed tomography (CT), ultrasound, and conventional magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) are helpful to diagnose brain injury, but cannot quantify the degree of white matter damage to arrive at a prognosis (Coleman et al., 2013; Jose et al., 2013; Duong and Watts, 2016). Conventional MRI reveals that the two kinds of brain injury in HIE children are basal ganglia and thalamic abnormalities and watershed damage, which are strongly associated with late motor and cognitive deficits (Okumura et al., 2008). However, children with obvious motor and cognitive abnormalities often have unremarkable scans (Aridas et al., 2014; Krishnan and Shroff, 2016). It is vital to find methodology capable of detecting white matter abnormalities in HIE children, who have a high risk of neurological sequelae. We explored the combined use of MRI and diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) to improve identification of CNS abnormalities.

DTI measures water molecule diffusion. It has great advantages in studying the integrity and orientation of white matter in normal and pathological states (Baldoli et al., 2015). Fractional anisotropy (FA) values and apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) values in different brain regions can quantify the number and integrity of white matter fibers, detect white matter damage, and have been shown to dynamically visualize white matter repair (Pfefferbaum et al., 2014). DTI is a refinement of diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging (DWI) (de Vries et al., 2011). A previous study showed that DWI in the acute stage of HIE (≤ 7 days) predicted the degree of brain damage; in the subacute stage (1–3 weeks), DWI showed pseudo-normalization, so DWI might underestimate the extent of damage to the basal ganglia and thalamus (Cavalleri et al., 2014). DTI is capable of applying a diffusion gradient magnetic field in at least six directions, can accurately determine the distribution of nerve fiber bundles and anisotropic characteristics of tissue, and is the best imaging method to reflect the structural integrity and directionality of white matter (Hüppi and Dubois, 2006). DTI has been used to study neuroregeneration and neurodegeneration, which have been confirmed by pathology or experimental results (Kim et al., 2015). It is thought that DTI can reflect HIE-induced white matter damage, and is certainly correlated with the severity of disease and prognosis (Lee et al., 2012). We also sought to assess the prognosis of neonatal HIE.

**Subjects and Methods**

**Subjects**

This was a cross-sectional study which was performed in the Changzhou Children’s Hospital of China. Experiments were conducted in accordance with the Approval of the Regional Ethics Review Boards of the Changzhou Children's Hospital (approval No. 20120019). The parents of patients signed informed consent. From January 2013 to December 2015, we recruited 22 full-term neonates with HIE (14 moderate cases and 8 severe cases; mostly with a history of neonatal asphyxia) and 10 full-term infants without brain injury (controls). The HIE patients were subdivided into moderate and severe groups. Patient baseline data are listed in Table 1. Diagnosis and inclusion criteria were in accordance with HIE diagnostic criteria and clinical classification of practical neonatal HIE, revised by Neonatology Group of the Chinese Pediatric Society of the Chinese Medical Association in Changsha, China in 2004 (The Subspecialty Group of Neonatology Pediatric Society Chinese Medical Association, 2005). The patients’ conditions tended to be unstable in the first week, so MRI was conducted at the ages of 10–14 days. Patients with any of the following entities were excluded from the study: genetic metabolic diseases, central nervous system infection, congenital malformation of the brain, and chromosomal abnormality. Ten controls, including three cases of precipitate labor, four cases of scalp hematoma, two cases of cleft lip and palate, and one case of polydactyly, underwent MRI to exclude craniocerebral malformations. There was no significant difference in gestational age, mean weight or age on the day of examination among the three groups (P > 0.05) (Table 1).

**MRI scan**

All subjects were given 10% chloral hydrate 0.25–0.50 mL/kg by nasal feeding or enema, and subjected to scan after sleeping soundly. Scans were performed on a 3.0 T MRI scanner (Philips Achieva, Philips Inc., Rotterdam, the Netherlands) with a head matrix coil. Sequences were as follows: Fast low-angle shot (FLASH) T1-weighted images (T1WI), (repetition time 9.3 milliseconds, echo time 4.4 milliseconds, field of view 180 mm × 180 mm, matrix 0.9 mm × 0.9 mm, section thickness/intersection gap 4.5 mm/0.5 mm, number of excitations 2), turbo spin echo (TSE) T2-weighted images (T2WI) (repetition time 2,651 milliseconds, echo time 105 milliseconds × 0.74 mm, section thickness/intersection gap 4.5 mm/0.5 mm, number of excitations 2), turbo inversion recovery magnitude (T2 tirm) dark-fluid (repetition time 7,800 milliseconds, echo time 89 milliseconds, field of view 180 mm × 180 mm, matrix 0.9 mm × 1.1 mm, section thickness/intersection gap 4.5 mm/0.5 mm, number of excitations 2), turbo inversion recovery magnitude (T2 tirm) dark-fluid (repetition time 7,800 milliseconds, echo time 89 milliseconds, field of view 180 mm × 180 mm, matrix 0.9 mm × 1.1 mm, section thickness/intersection gap 4.5 mm/0.5 mm, number of excitations 2), turbo inversion recovery magnitude (T2 tirm) dark-fluid (repetition time 7,800 milliseconds, echo time 89 milliseconds, field of view 180 mm × 180 mm, matrix 0.9 mm × 1.1 mm, section thickness/intersection gap 4.5 mm/0.5 mm, number of excitations 2), turbo inversion recovery magnitude (T2 tirm) dark-fluid (repetition time 7,800 milliseconds, echo time 89 milliseconds, field of view 180 mm × 180 mm, matrix 0.9 mm × 1.1 mm, section thickness/intersection gap 4.5 mm/0.5 mm, number of excitations 2), turbo inversion recovery magnitude (T2 tirm) dark-fluid (repetition time 7,800 milliseconds, echo time 89 milliseconds, field of view 180 mm × 180 mm, matrix 0.9 mm × 1.1 mm, section thickness/intersection gap 4.5 mm/0.5 mm, number of excitations 2), turbo inversion recovery magnitude (T2 tirm) dark-fluid (repetition time 7,800 milliseconds, echo time 89 milliseconds, field of view 180 mm × 180 mm, matrix 0.9 mm × 1.1 mm, section thickness/intersection gap 4.5 mm/0.5 mm, number of excitations 2), turbo inversion recovery magnitude (T2 tirm) dark-fluid (repetition time 7,800 milliseconds, echo time 89 milliseconds, field of view 180 mm × 180 mm, matrix 0.9 mm × 1.1 mm, section thickness/intersection gap 4.5 mm/0.5 mm, number of excitations 2).

**Image processing**

The patients’ imaging data were preprocessed. FSL software
Table 1 Baseline characteristics of HIE and control participants

| Item               | Control (n = 10) | Moderate HIE (n = 14) | Severe HIE (n = 8) | F/χ² | P      |
|--------------------|------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|------|--------|
| Number             | 10               | 14                    | 8                  |      |        |
| Gestational age (week) | 39.4±2.2        | 40.3±2.8              | 39.1±2.9           | 1.224 > 0.05 |
| Weight (g)         | 3.084±370        | 3.367±480             | 3.167±340          | 1.001 > 0.05 |
| Age at DTI (day)   | 15.9±5.1         | 16.3±4.4              | 14.3±4.9           | 1.142 > 0.05 |
|                   |                  |                       | HIE: Hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy; DTI: diffusion tensor imaging.

Table 2 ADC values (× 10⁻³ mm²/s) in different brain areas of normal, moderate, and severe HIE patients

|                  | Control (n = 10) | Moderate HIE (n = 14) | Severe HIE (n = 8) | F   | P     |
|------------------|------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-----|-------|
| Corticospinal tract |                 |                       |                    |     |       |
| Left             | 1.16±0.04        | 1.18±0.08             | 1.15±0.06          | 9.32 > 0.05 |
| Right            | 1.17±0.04        | 1.19±0.06             | 1.23±0.09          | 8.46 > 0.05 |
| Cingulate gyrus  |                  |                       |                    |     |       |
| Left             | 1.31±0.04        | 1.32±0.06             | 1.29±0.11          | 10.01 > 0.05 |
| Right            | 1.25±0.04        | 1.26±0.08             | 1.23±0.04          | 9.25 > 0.05 |
| SLF              |                  |                       |                    |     |       |
| Left             | 1.23±0.04        | 1.22±0.06             | 1.39±0.11          | 9.11 > 0.05 |
| Right            | 1.25±0.04        | 1.24±0.08             | 1.23±0.04          | 9.45 > 0.05 |
| ALIC             |                  |                       |                    |     |       |
| Left             | 1.26±0.04        | 1.36±0.08             | 1.22±0.10          | 7.35 > 0.05 |
| Right            | 1.78±0.04        | 1.32±0.04             | 1.25±0.06          | 8.68 > 0.05 |
| PLIC             |                  |                       |                    |     |       |
| Left             | 1.19±0.09        | 1.29±0.13             | 1.19±0.08          | 7.98 > 0.05 |
| Right            | 1.13±0.08        | 1.23±0.07             | 1.15±0.07          | 8.55 > 0.05 |
| IFOF             |                  |                       |                    |     |       |
| Left             | 1.15±0.05        | 1.19±0.08             | 1.14±0.10          | 9.12 > 0.05 |
| Right            | 1.19±0.03        | 1.16±0.05             | 1.15±0.03          | 7.11 > 0.05 |
| Thalamus         |                  |                       |                    |     |       |
| Left             | 1.15±0.08        | 1.14±0.10             | 1.16±0.10          | 9.02 > 0.05 |
| Right            | 1.16±0.05        | 1.15±0.03             | 1.16±0.09          | 7.17 > 0.05 |

Data are expressed as the mean ± SD, and analyzed by F-test. *P < 0.05, vs. control group; **P < 0.05, vs. moderate HIE group; SLF: Superior longitudinal fasciculus; ALIC: anterior limbs of internal capsule; PLIC: posterior limbs of internal capsule; IFOF: inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus; HIE: hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy.

Table 3 FA values in different brain areas of normal, moderate, and severe HIE patients

|                  | Control (n = 10) | Moderate HIE (n = 14) | Severe HIE (n = 8) | F   | P     |
|------------------|------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-----|-------|
| Corticospinal tract |                 |                       |                    |     |       |
| Left             | 0.36±0.14        | 0.35±0.08             | 0.31±0.12          | 1.33 > 0.05 |
| Right            | 0.39±0.14        | 0.33±0.11             | 0.30±0.13          | 1.56 > 0.05 |
| Cingulate gyrus  |                  |                       |                    |     |       |
| Left             | 0.33±0.11        | 0.32±0.06             | 0.49±0.11          | 1.11 > 0.05 |
| Right            | 0.25±0.14        | 0.24±0.08             | 0.23±0.11          | 1.45 > 0.05 |
| SLF              |                  |                       |                    |     |       |
| Left             | 0.33±0.12        | 0.32±0.16             | 0.29±0.11          | 2.33 > 0.05 |
| Right            | 0.25±0.11        | 0.24±0.18             | 0.23±0.14          | 2.78 > 0.05 |
| ALIC             |                  |                       |                    |     |       |
| Left             | 0.26±0.04        | 0.25±0.08             | 0.22±0.10          | 1.45 > 0.05 |
| Right            | 0.38±0.04        | 0.32±0.04             | 0.25±0.06          | 1.88 > 0.05 |
| PLIC             |                  |                       |                    |     |       |
| Left             | 0.59±0.09        | 0.39±0.13             | 0.19±0.08*         | 5.18 < 0.05 |
| Right            | 0.63±0.08        | 0.53±0.07             | 0.15±0.07*         | 5.55 < 0.05 |
| IFOF             |                  |                       |                    |     |       |
| Left             | 0.35±0.15        | 0.34±0.08             | 0.24±0.10          | 2.12 > 0.05 |
| Right            | 0.39±0.13        | 0.36±0.15             | 0.25±0.03          | 2.11 > 0.05 |
| Thalamus         |                  |                       |                    |     |       |
| Left             | 0.54±0.08        | 0.34±0.10             | 0.24±0.10*         | 4.12 < 0.05 |
| Right            | 0.56±0.15        | 0.35±0.13             | 0.15±0.03*         | 5.11 < 0.05 |

Data are expressed as the mean ± SD, and analyzed by F-test. *P < 0.05, vs. control group; **P < 0.05, vs. moderate HIE group. SLF: Superior longitudinal fasciculus; ALIC: anterior limbs of internal capsule; PLIC: posterior limbs of internal capsule; IFOF: inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus; HIE: hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy.

Neurology, London, UK) for automatic generation of ADC and FA values. Each ROI was 10 ± 2 mm². ROIs were placed within each measured structure according to its contour to avoid partial volume effects or adjacent structures.

Prognostication

The neonatal behavioral neurological assessment (NBNA) score (Bao, 1995) was calculated at age 15 days by a doctor. The content of NBNA (5 parts 20 items) includes behavioral ability (6), active and passive muscle tension (8 and 4, respectively), primitive reflex (3) and evaluation of general condition (3) for a total of 20 items, each item being scored 0, 1, or 2. Infants scoring > 35 were graded as “normal,” and those with a score < 35 were graded as “abnormal.” All patients were assessed at an ambient temperature of 24–28 °C in dim light and in a quiet environment. Each check was completed within 10 minutes.

Statistical analysis

The data, expressed as the mean ± SD, were analyzed with SPSS 17.0 software (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). The F-test was used to analyze DTI parameters, including ADC and FA values in the ROIs, and voxel volume, as well as the integrity and quantity of white matter fibers in DTI images, among the three groups. FA values and NBNA scores were analyzed...
Table 4 Voxel volume in different brain areas of normal, moderate, and severe HIE patients

| Brain area                      | Control (n = 10) | Moderate HIE (n = 14) | Severe HIE (n = 8) | F      | P     |
|---------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------|-------|
| Corticospinal tract             |                  |                      |                    |        |       |
| Left                            | 299±112          | 236±87               | 221±116            | 5.33   | > 0.05|
| Right                           | 305±94           | 255±89               | 211±109            | 6.56   | > 0.05|
| Cingulate gyrus                 |                  |                      |                    |        |       |
| Left                            | 504±54           | 487±96               | 443±71             | 4.11   | > 0.05|
| Right                           | 559±84           | 499±108              | 456±94             | 4.45   | > 0.05|
| SLF                             |                  |                      |                    |        |       |
| Left                            | 1,094±112        | 980±116              | 546±111            | 10.11  | < 0.05|
| Right                           | 1,123±113        | 1,021±128            | 689±144            | 9.45   | < 0.05|
| ALIC                            |                  |                      |                    |        |       |
| Left                            | 947±104          | 854±118              | 1,843±233          | 7.33   | < 0.05|
| Right                           | 1,237±184        | 799±114              | 311±126            | 8.45   | < 0.05|
| PLIC                            |                  |                      |                    |        |       |
| Left                            | 2,047±129        | 1,843±233            | 867±118            | 12.65  | < 0.05|
| Right                           | 2,137±238        | 1,753±247            | 999±167            | 11.23  | < 0.05|
| IFOF                            |                  |                      |                    |        |       |
| Left                            | 109±45           | 97±48                | 88±30              | 3.19   | > 0.05|
| Right                           | 119±33           | 87±35                | 65±23              | 4.65   | > 0.05|
| Thalamus                        |                  |                      |                    |        |       |
| Left                            | 406±78           | 332±110              | 329±20             | 4.77   | > 0.05|
| Right                           | 521±195          | 355±113              | 313±63             | 3.61   | > 0.05|

Data are expressed as the mean ± SD, and analyzed by F-test. *P < 0.05, vs. moderate HIE group. SLF: Superior longitudinal fasciculus; ALIC: anterior limbs of internal capsule; PLIC: posterior limbs of internal capsule; IFOF: inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus.

Table 5 Tract numbers in different brain areas of normal, moderate, and severe HIE patients

| Brain area                      | Control (n = 10) | Moderate HIE (n = 14) | Severe HIE (n = 8) | F      | P     |
|---------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------|-------|
| Cingulate gyrus                 |                  |                      |                    |        |       |
| Left                            | 245±72           | 225±52               | 145±62             | 4.31   | < 0.05|
| Right                           | 405±94           | 365±114              | 185±84             | 5.54   | < 0.05|
| Corticospinal tract             |                  |                      |                    |        |       |
| Left                            | 494±54           | 444±84               | 334±67             | 13.22  | > 0.05|
| Right                           | 209±84           | 219±94               | 188±84             | 14.11  | > 0.05|
| SLF                             |                  |                      |                    |        |       |
| Left                            | 23±12            | 22±11                | 23±14              | 9.88   | > 0.05|
| Right                           | 187±31           | 134±33               | 145±54             | 9.12   | < 0.05|
| ALIC                            |                  |                      |                    |        |       |
| Left                            | 245±62           | 295±77               | 145±62             | 7.45   | > 0.05|
| Right                           | 685±84           | 585±112              | 455±68             | 8.88   | > 0.05|
| PLIC                            |                  |                      |                    |        |       |
| Left                            | 284±112          | 234±67               | 114±67             | 4.98   | < 0.05|
| Right                           | 988±233          | 678±234              | 188±84             | 5.44   | < 0.05|
| IFOF                            |                  |                      |                    |        |       |
| Left                            | 33±12            | 49±22                | 26±19              | 3.12   | < 0.05|
| Right                           | 156±39           | 197±33               | 112±33             | 4.33   | < 0.05|
| Thalamus                        |                  |                      |                    |        |       |
| Left                            | 225±52           | 155±72               | 56±15              | 4.12   | < 0.05|
| Right                           | 165±74           | 125±114              | 23±12              | 3.87   | < 0.05|

Data are expressed as the mean ± SD, and analyzed by F-test. *P < 0.05, vs. moderate HIE group. SLF: Superior longitudinal fasciculus; ALIC: anterior limbs of internal capsule; PLIC: posterior limbs of internal capsule; IFOF: inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus; HIE: hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy.

Table 6 Correlation between FA value and NBNA scores in different brain areas

| Brain area                      | r    | P    |
|---------------------------------|------|------|
| Corticospinal tract             | 0.478| < 0.05|
| Cingulate gyrus                 | 0.460| < 0.05|
| SLF                             | 0.311| > 0.05|
| ALIC                            | 0.271| > 0.05|
| PLIC                            | 0.646| < 0.01|
| IFOF                            | 0.231| > 0.05|
| Thalamus                        | 0.481| < 0.05|

r: Correlation coefficient; SLF: superior longitudinal fasciculus; ALIC: anterior limbs of internal capsule; PLIC: posterior limbs of internal capsule; IFOF: inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus.

with Pearson correlation analysis. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were used to evaluate FA values in predicting the sensitivity and specificity of the prognosis in HIE children. A value of P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

DTT parameters in normal, moderate, and severe HIE patients

The ADC values in normal, moderate and severe HIE patients were not significantly different (P > 0.05; Table 2).

FA values in the posterior limbs of the internal capsules and in the thalamus showed statistically significant differences between the moderate and severe HIE groups (F value respectively 5.18/5.55 4.12/5.11 P < 0.05), and were significantly different between the control and moderate groups (P < 0.05; Table 3).

Voxel volumes in the superior longitudinal fasciculi, posterior limbs of the internal capsules and anterior limbs of the internal capsules showed statistically significant differences between the moderate and severe HIE groups (P < 0.05). There was no statistically significant difference between the control and moderate HIE groups (P > 0.05; Table 4).

DTT parameters in normal, moderate, and severe HIE patients

The fiber numbers in the posterior limbs of the internal capsules, cingulate gyri, superior longitudinal fasciculi and inferior fronto-occipital fasciculi were significantly different between the moderate and severe HIE groups (P < 0.05), but not significantly different between the control and moderate HIE groups (P > 0.05; Table 5, Figure 1).

Correlation between FA value and NBNA scores

All 10 cases of the control group had an NBNA score ≥ 35; the moderate HIE group included 3 cases scoring < 35, and...
Figure 1 Diffusion tensor tractography of a normal infant, a moderate HIE infant and a severe HIE infant.
PLIC: Posterior limbs of internal capsule (green); CG: cingulate gyrus (purple); SLF: superior longitudinal fasciculus (green); IFOF: inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus (purple); HIE: hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy.

Figure 2 Receiver operating characteristic curve of diagnosis in posterior limb of internal capsule fractional anisotropy behavioral values and neonatal neurological assessment scores.
The area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC) of fractional anisotropy values in anterior limbs of internal capsules is 0.779 (P = 0.006). The normal AUC value is between 1.0 and 0.5. In the case of AUC > 0.5, AUC is close to 1, to diagnose the better results. AUC in 0.5–0.7 with low accuracy, AUC in 0.7–0.9 with a certain accuracy, AUC in higher accuracy at above 0.9. The AUC = 0.5, no diagnostic value.

11 cases scoring ≥ 35; in the severe HIE group, all NBNA scores were < 35 (31.1 ± 1.6). F-test results showed that NBNA scores were significantly different among the three groups, with the severe HIE group having the lowest scores (P < 0.01). The correlation coefficient in the posterior limbs of the internal capsules was 0.646, higher than in other areas by Pearson relative analysis (Table 6).

The area under the ROC curve of FA values in the anterior limbs of the internal capsules was 0.779 (P = 0.006), using NBNA scores ≥ 35 as a good outcome. FA values (≥ 0.395) in the posterior limbs of the internal capsules predicted a good outcome and the corresponding sensitivity and specificity was 70.2% and 79.5%, respectively (Figure 2).

Discussion
There were no significant differences in ADC values among the different groups, which was consistent with a previous study (van Laerhoven et al., 2013). ADC values are important in the early diagnosis of HIE, and show pseudo-normalization after the acute stage (Winter et al., 2007). Guo et al. (2016) reported that within one week after birth, DWI used to detect ADC values demonstrated that the HIE sensitivity...
was 83%, specificity was 63% and positive predictive value was 83%. However, patients in the acute stage possibly had severe lesions and unstable vital signs in each group. MRI examination was difficult to conduct in the acute phase (Li et al., 2014). HIE enters the subacute stage 1–3 weeks after birth. Studies have demonstrated that the diagnostic value of ADC values in the subacute stage is significantly decreased (de Vries et al., 2011). Our results verified that the FA values had a high diagnostic value. In particular, FA values were remarkably decreased in the dense white matter, splenium of the corpus callosum, and posterior limbs of the internal capsules. Significant differences in FA values were detected between the case and control groups. Currently, it is thought that decreased FA values are linked to cell death and loss of structural components of white matter fibers. Rutherford et al. (1998) reported that apoptosis was more obvious than necrosis in children in the subacute stage of HIE, which could explain why FA values decreased, but ADC values were normal. In this study, MRI in two cases of moderate HIE children revealed symmetric high-signal intensity in the thalami, without associated visible white matter damage; however, FA values were dramatically reduced in the thalami. This kind of basal ganglia/thalamic injury could cause athetoid cerebral palsy (de Vries et al., 2011), and indicates the possibility of severe cognitive impairment (Li et al., 2016b).

This has important clinical significance for early intervention (Dopwell et al., 2017). This report also analyzed the voxel volume. It is reported that a low voxel volume represents a severe injury. Conventional MRI T1WI and T2WI of the patients with severe HIE also showed damage in the thalami and basal ganglia. We found that voxel volume in various regions was significantly lower in the severe HIE group than in the moderate HIE group, especially in the thalami and heads of the caudate nuclei, suggesting serious motor impairment in the severe HIE group, which is consistent with the findings from a study by Lee et al. (2012b) concerning hypoxic-ischemic brain injury in adults.

The fiber numbers in the corticospinal tracts, inferior fronto-occipital fasciculi, anterior limbs of the internal capsules, and thalamus were significantly different between the moderate and severe HIE groups, but were not significantly different between the control and moderate HIE groups. The injury to the integrity of white matter fibers means that the corresponding function may be affected. For example, corticospinal tract damage will affect motor function of the limbs. The superior longitudinal fasciculi, inferior longitudinal fasciculi, and frontooccipital fasciculi belong to long association fibers in the cerebral cortex and connect various areas of the cerebral cortex, so their injuries may affect cognitive, sensory, and motor functions. The inferior longitudinal fasciculi and frontooccipital fasciculi were damaged in the mild and moderate HIE groups, mainly affecting the temporal lobe and occipital lobe, and auditory, olfactory, taste, and language centers (Li et al., 2016a). Nevertheless, the corticospinal tract was the chief site of damage in the severe HIE group, affecting motor function. This is matched with deductible item of NBNA scores and has some guiding significance for the evaluation of prognosis.

Correlation between FA values and NBNA scores in the moderate and severe HIE groups demonstrated that the rank correlation coefficient \( r \) of FA values and NBNA scores in the posterior limbs of the internal capsules was higher than that in the other regions. This may be associated with closely arranged white matter fibers, white matter fibers arranged in parallel, myelination at birth, and are easily detected by DTI after injury (Li et al., 2015). Moreover, these locations contain important white matter projection fibers associated with neuromotor function, and the anatomical location is easy to identify and measure. However, we did not obtain a threshold with a high sensitivity and specificity, which is possibly associated with the small sample size.

In summary, FA values, voxel volume, and number of fiber bundles in some ROIs quantitatively reflected white matter injury in neonates with HIE. The changes in DTI parameters were most obvious in the posterior limbs of the internal capsules, and may allow accurate and objective assessment of the degree of white matter injury in children with HIE. Among these parameters, the FA values in the posterior limbs of the internal capsules closely correlated with NBNA scores. DTI can be carried out in a single individual, so it has important clinical significance, and can accurately and objectively assess the prognosis.
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