Micromanipulation transfer of membrane resonators for circuit optomechanics
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Abstract

A capacitive coupling between mechanical resonator and a microwave cavity enables readout and manipulation of the vibrations. We present a set-up to carry out such experiments with aluminum membranes fabricated as stamps and transferred in place with micromanipulation. The membrane is held in place by van der Waals forces, and is supported by three microscopic points. We measure the lowest mechanical modes, and conclude the membrane vibrates as an essentially free–free resonator. Sliding clamping conditions are identified via a softening Duffing nonlinearity. The method will enable reduction of clamping losses, while maintaining a narrow vacuum gap for strong capacitive coupling.

(More figures may appear in colour only in the online journal)

Micromechanical resonators are widely used everywhere in technology. A growing motivation for their basic study is the fact that thus far micromechanical vibrations serve as the only model system for the quantum nature of motion. These works can take advantage of coupling the mechanical degree of freedom to electrical resonances in the microwave frequency regime. The electrical systems, often in the form of on-chip microwave resonators dubbed as cavities, act in a dual role of both detecting and manipulating the motion [1, 2]. For studies near the quantum limit, the vibrating object should be strongly coupled to the electrical mode, which necessitates a narrow vacuum gap between a metallic resonator and a gate to maximize the capacitive coupling. Second, the mechanical quality factor should be as high as possible.

Clamped–clamped beam resonators are the most commonly used concept. The strongest capacitive coupling with beams is realized by focused ion beam cutting of a 10 nm vacuum gap [3, 4]. Recently, the best results in studies near the quantum limit have been obtained not with beam geometry but using a drumhead, which maximizes the participation of the volume to the movable capacitance [5].

In order to realize the vibrating objects, the suspended structures are defined through a selective chemical etching of a sacrificial layer. However, etching is limited to specific materials because of the requirement of chemical compatibility with the rest of the structure. Here, we introduce a scheme to realize a membrane or bridge resonator by assembling a metallic ‘stamp’ into a measurement platform patterned on a Si chip. The method can flexibly adapt various conducting or non-conducting [6] materials, as well as be combined with numerous other processes because etching compatibility is irrelevant. Our approach is a promising way towards achieving strong capacitive coupling, and reduction of mechanical losses by minimizing acoustic radiation into the supports [7, 8], as clamps are essentially eliminated in our design.

The fabrication process is related to our previous work on micromanipulation transfer of graphene mechanical resonators [9]. The whole set of the process, see figure 1, is divided into three steps; aluminum (Al) sheet fabrication, building up the metallic gate and support structure for coupling to the microwave resonator, and piecing these parts together.
Figure 1. (a) Fabrication procedure for harvesting a mm-sized aluminum sheet patterned full of stamps which will be used as vibrating objects. (b) Optical micrograph of the Al sheets (150 nm thick) after the lift-off process, and (c) of peeling off an individual Al stamp with a glass needle. (d), (e) Scanning electron micrographs of an Al stamp stacked over a trench, top (d) and side (e) view.

First, stamp shape structures are patterned by regular electron-beam lithography through a PMMA mask, followed by evaporation of 150 nm of Al. The individual stamps used are 22 μm long and 11 μm wide. We believe smaller or larger stamps can be assembled similarly, however, care has to be taken in order to avoid deformation in stamps larger than presently used. The stamp has a couple of 4 μm diameter holes for picking it up with a glass needle. In order to make a fine glass needle to fit in the hole, a glass capillary is gravitationally elongated and snapped under local heating applied midpoint of the capillary. The Al sheet filled with stamps becomes released in a lift-off in acetone, and it is scooped up with a copper ring, figure 1(a). Finally, a single Al stamp is torn from the sheet with a glass needle and stacked on the measurement platform using micromanipulation, as shown in figure 1(c).

The platform structure where the Al stamp is stacked on, is also patterned by e-beam lithography on a Si chip. The first lithography patterns the 50 nm thick gate serving as the counter electrode for the movable capacitance \( C_g \) as well as connecting to the microwave cavity. The electrodes, 250 nm thick, connected to an electric ground on each side of the gate line physically support the stamp. The width of the gate line is 4 μm, and the ground electrodes are separated from each other by 5 μm. Images of the assembled structure are shown in figures 1(d) and (e). In a highly tilted micrograph, we also measure the vacuum gap of ∼240 nm.

As can be seen in the tilted micrograph of figure 1(e), the assembled stamp retains its slightly curved shape during assembly, similar to a piece of aluminum foil dropped on a surface. This indicates the bending rigidity dominates over surface adhesion, and hence we believe that the stamp is supported by three points of size down to the atomic scale. As we argue below, the stamp can slide along the support points when moderately pulled by a gate voltage, as displayed in figure 2(a).

For the measurement, we use the ‘circuit optomechanics’ architecture, which borrows the concepts from optical interferometers [10]. Briefly, a microwave pump tone (frequency \( f_r \) ∼ 4 GHz) injected into the microwave cavity is amplitude and phase modulated by the time-dependent capacitance. The gate is also supplied with a gate frequency \( f_g \) on top of a static dc voltage for actuating mechanical motion. The driving force will be \( F = V_{dc} \frac{d}{dx} C \). The dc also has the function of pulling the membrane. Note that since there is a thick native Al oxide both in the stamp and in the electrodes, we believe there is no
galvanic connection from the stamp to ground. However, both the dc and actuation voltages couple to the stamp since it is capacitively grounded via the supports and the self-capacitance of the stamp. The voltages are combined at room temperature, see figure 2(b). The sidebands at frequencies \( f_\pm = f_0 \pm f_g \) are detected by a spectrum analyzer.

Our experiment is conducted at liquid helium temperature \( \sim 4 \) K, and at a helium exchange gas pressure of \( \sim 10^{-3} \) mbar. While the actuation frequency \( f_g \) is swept, we observe peaks in the sideband voltage which are identified as the mechanical eigenmodes being excited, see figure 2(c). The mode eigenfrequencies shown in figure 2(c) were obtained as 1.41, 1.94, 3.79, 4.06, 5.89, 6.80 and 8.82 MHz. The angular frequency of the mode under consideration is marked as \( \omega_m = 2\pi f_m \).

In order to understand the mode structure, we made finite element simulations of the stamp structure. The simplest model is that of a free–free membrane, that is, a seemingly unphysical levitated object. The lowest mode shape depends up to a good approximation only on the coordinate \( z \) along the length beam, and is approximated as

\[
X(z, y) = X_0 \left( 2 \sin \left( \frac{\pi z}{L} \right) - 1 \right).
\]

We normalize the displacement to the maximum deflection amplitude \( X_0 \).

The simulated four lowest eigenmodes, displayed in figure 2(c) and connected to the measured peaks, match well with the measured frequencies. The next higher modes are predicted as 6.6, 7.2, 7.7 and 8.2 MHz, still showing reasonable agreement with measurement, although we believe that the non-idealities such as stamp curvature start to play a larger role for higher modes. The height of each peak is proportional to the electromechanical coupling which here comes from \( dC_g(x)/dx \) integrated over the gate area in the middle of the membrane. Although we have not made a quantitative comparison, this quantity can be seen, based on the mode shapes, to be the largest for the lowest mode, and nearly vanishing for the second, in agreement with the measured relative peak heights. We can also simulate the stamp being supported by different types of clamp configurations. With a generic clamped membrane, we cannot reproduce the measured mode frequencies, in particular for the few lowest modes which are most reliably modeled. Therefore, we conclude that the membrane is essentially vibrating as if it were levitated.

Further evidence of the ‘sliding pinned’ boundary conditions is obtained by studying the nonlinear (Duffing) regime of vibrations [11–15]. An intriguing feature is the softening Duffing nonlinearity [12, 13] observed for the first mode (figure 3(a)), which is also readily explained. Generally, nonlinearity can be due to two different mechanisms. One source of nonlinearity is the electrostatic potential between the beam and gate. As attractive force becomes stronger, the larger the deformation is, and hence electric nonlinearity gives rise to a softening Duffing. Another source is the intrinsic nonlinearity of the flexural dynamics, mostly manifested as lengthening of a clamped beam under deformation. The lengthening induces tension, which further stiffens the spring. Hence, a clamped beam experiences a stiffening Duffing (nonlinearity) as the vibration amplitude grows. In partially clamped structures (cantilevers [16]) the nonlinearity of especially the lowest modes is substantially smaller. The overall sign of the nonlinearity depends then on the ratio of these two. In the present case, supposing sliding supports, the mechanical nonlinearity would be nearly absent, and thus is a tempting explanation for the softening Duffing behavior.

We will first carry out full analysis including a possibility for the mechanical nonlinearity. The elastic energy is from basic elasticity theory [17]

\[
E_b = \frac{EI}{2} \int_0^L \left[ \frac{d^2X}{dz^2} \right]^2 dz + \frac{EA}{8L} \left( \int_0^L \left[ \frac{dX}{dz} \right]^2 dz \right)^2.
\]

Here, \( X(z) \) is the mode shape along the length \( z \) of the beam. \( I \) is the moment of inertia, \( L \) and \( A \) are the length and cross-section area of the beam, respectively.

Let us consider the mode shape equation (1) of the lowest mode but with pinned boundary conditions at the nodal points. The mechanical nonlinearity, the second term in equation (2), is \( E_{3, \text{bend}} = \frac{1}{2}K_{3, \text{bend}}X_0^3 \). Here, \( K_{3, \text{bend}} = \alpha \times \frac{EA}{L^3} \), and \( \alpha \sim 50\% \) comes from integrating between the nodal points instead of full beam length. The electrical contribution to the total potential energy \( E_p \) is \( V = -\frac{1}{2}C_g(X_0)V_{dc}^2 \), which includes both linear and nonlinear contributions.

We expand the total displacement \( X_0 = X_{00} + x_0 \) around an equilibrium position \( X_{00} \) and small fluctuations \( x_0 \). The equilibrium position is found from the force balance condition, \( dE_p(X_0)/dx_0 = 0 \).

This gives the total potential up to the fourth-order

\[
E_p \sim \frac{1}{4}M_{\text{eff}}(X_0)^2 + \frac{1}{4}K_{3, \text{bend}}X_0^4.
\]

Here, \( M \) and \( \omega_{\text{eff}} \) are the effective mass (\( \sim 0.5 \) times the total mass) and the effective frequency of linear oscillations, respectively. Due to deflection of the beam, both the third and fourth-order nonlinearities now have both electrical and mechanical contributions, of opposite signs:

\[
K_2 = 3X_{00}K_{3, \text{bend}} = \frac{V_{dc}^2}{4}C_g^3(X_{00})
\]

\[
K_1 = K_{3, \text{bend}} = \frac{V_{dc}^2}{12}C_g^5(X_{00}).
\]

We evaluate all derivatives with the approximate mode shape, equation (1).

Harmonic-balance solution [11] to the corresponding Duffing equation gives an effective Duffing term contributed by both the third and fourth-order nonlinearities [18, 19]:

\[
K_3^* = K_1 - \frac{10}{9}K_2^2.
\]

One possible benchmark is the location of the hysteresis jump \( \Delta \) nearest to the linear-regime frequency. In the limit that the mechanical damping rate \( f_m/Q_m \ll \Delta \) we obtain

\[
\Delta = \text{sign}(K_3^*) \frac{3\sqrt{2/9}K_2^2F^2}{4M_{\text{eff}}}. \tag{6}
\]

We can also verify numerically that this holds reasonably well even if the amplitude just exceeds the hysteresis threshold \( \Delta \). Equation (6) is compared to the experiment in figure 3(b), using
the attenuation in the gate drive line as a fitting parameter. We have cut off the theory curve below the mentioned rough validity region \( f_m/Q_m \sim \Delta \). With any reasonable parameters, one cannot obtain a softening nonlinearity (negative \( K_2 \) in equation (5)). We thus conclude that sliding clamping conditions are a good description of the stamp dynamics. However, the present experiment does not allow to separate the clamping loss contribution to dissipation, since the measured values \( Q_m \sim 2 \times 10^3 \) are typical of those of Al resonators at 4 K. These have internally limited losses based on the strong temperature dependence of \( Q_m \) in Al devices below about 1 K [3], whereas clamping loss is expected to be nearly temperature-independent.

We can obtain some estimate of the clamping loss due to the supposedly nearly atomically small supports. For this purpose, we made further finite element modeling such that the membrane was anchored to a large substrate block which now has an imaginary Young’s modulus. This allows for modeling of damping of elastic waves radiated from the membrane into the substrate. The resulting eigenfrequencies attain thus a small imaginary component. As the supports, we used three pillars about 100 nm tall, and down to 20 nm width. While it is not possible to simulate truly atomic-sized supports, we observed a trend that reducing the support width rapidly reduced the loss. This approach as such does not allow for quantitative values for the clamping loss limited \( Q_m \), but can be compared to a simulation of a more traditional support structure [20] anchored to the same substrate. For a similar membrane but with both ends totally clamped (doubly clamped beam), we obtain two orders of magnitude larger loss than with the membrane supported by 20 nm clamps, rather independent on the clamp positions.

For quantum physics experiments in dilution refrigerator temperatures, there might arise issues with thermalization due to potentially insufficient thermal conductivity via the microscopic supports. One possibility is to use non-oxidizing materials (such as gold) which offer galvanic contact.

We believe it is possible to substantially reduce the vacuum gap, in order to increase the electromechanical coupling \( df_c/df \) which is of the order \( \sim f_c/(2C)C_g/d \propto d^{-2} \). The gap only depends on the height of the supports and their surface roughness. In fact, it could be possible to put the stamp directly on top of the gate without the separate supports, because there is no galvanic contact between the stamp and the gate. This might allow even for nm-sized gaps if the surface roughness is small enough. In this case one should take care of having enough capacitance from the stamp to ground, but this will work out by making a large stamp such that most of it covers another ground electrode.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated a circuit optomechanics experiment where the mechanical resonator is a nearly free–free membrane. This is obtained by micromanipulation transfer of an aluminum ‘stamp’ on top of a measurement platform. This opens up perspectives for realizing suspended structures having low losses from supporting clamps, and without the need of caring for etching compatibility of a sacrificial layer.
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