Brillouin Klein bottle from artificial gauge fields
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A Brillouin zone is the unit for the momentum space of a crystal. It is topologically a torus, and distinguishing whether a set of wave functions over the Brillouin torus can be smoothly deformed to another leads to the classification of various topological states of matter. Here, we show that under $\mathbb{Z}_2$ gauge fields, i.e., hopping amplitudes with phases $\pm 1$, the fundamental domain of momentum space can assume the topology of a Klein bottle. This drastic change of the Brillouin zone theory is due to the projective symmetry algebra enforced by the gauge field. Remarkably, the non-orientability of the Brillouin Klein bottle corresponds to the topological classification by a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ invariant, in contrast to the Chern number valued in $\mathbb{Z}$ for the usual Brillouin torus. The result is a novel Klein bottle insulator featuring topological modes at two edges related by a nonlocal twist, radically distinct from all previous topological insulators. Our prediction can be readily achieved in various artificial crystals, and the discovery opens a new direction to explore topological physics by gauge-field-modified fundamental structures of physics.
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The Brillouin zone is a fundamental concept in physics. It is essential for the physical description of crystalline solids, metamaterials, and artificial periodic systems. Particularly, it sets the stage for classifying topological states, which, in mathematical terms, is the task to study the topology of Hermitian vector bundles over the Brillouin zone as the base manifold. Clearly, the topology of the Brillouin zone itself is a crucial ingredient for the classification. Since Brillouin zones have the topology of a torus, topological states known to date basically correspond to classifications done on the torus.

Meanwhile, although initially studied for electronic systems in solids, topological states have been successfully extended to artificial crystals, such as acoustic/photic crystals, electric circuit arrays, and mechanical networks. These systems have the advantage of great tunability. More importantly, gauge fields can flexibly engineered in artificial crystals. In particular, the $Z_2$ gauge field, i.e., hopping amplitudes allowed to take phases $\pm 1$, can be readily realized in these systems and have already been demonstrated in many experiments. A crucial but so far less appreciated point is that under gauge fields, symmetries of the system would satisfy projective algebra beyond the textbook group theory for crystal symmetry, which has recently been experimentally demonstrated by acoustic crystals.

Then, what is the physical consequence of the projective symmetry algebra? Does it generate any new topology that is impossible for systems without gauge field? These questions have not been answered yet.

In this article, we reveal that the projective symmetry algebra can lead to a fundamental change of the Bloch band theory. We show that it can generate a peculiar “momentum-space non-symmetric symmetry”, i.e., when represented in momentum space, the projective algebra requires that certain symmetry must include a fractional translation in the reciprocal lattice. For example, a real-space reflection symmetry can become a glide reflection in momentum space. This unique feature in turn dictates the topology of the fundamental domain of the momentum space being a Klein bottle and leads to new topological states.

**Results**

**Emerged momentum-space glide reflection.** Let us start by considering the reflection symmetry $M_x$ that inverts the $x$ axis, and the translation symmetry $L_y$ along the $y$ direction. In the absence of gauge fields, they should commute with each other $[M_x, L_y] = 0$. However, under certain gauge flux configurations, the algebraic relation may be projectively modified to

$$[M_x, L_y] = 0,$$

where the font has been changed to indicate the representations under gauge fields. The seemingly peculiar relation in (1) can be intuitively understood by inspecting Fig. 1. Here, we have four lattice sites forming a rectangle invariant under $M_x$. Assuming there is a $Z_2$ gauge flux of $\pi$ through the rectangle, then both $M_x L_y$ and $L_y M_x$ would send a particle from site 1 to 3, but the two paths enclose a $\pi$ flux, therefore resulting in the anti-commutation.

For a crystalline system, if we choose a unit cell with lattice constant $b$ along the $y$ direction, the operator $L_y$ is diagonalized as $L_y = e^{ibk_y}$ in the momentum space. Then, the projective algebra in Eq. (1) requires

$$\hat{M}_x e^{ibk_y} \hat{M}_x = -e^{ibk_y} = e^{ibk_y+G_{\Gamma}/2}b,$$

where $G_{\Gamma}$ is the length of the reciprocal lattice vector $G_{\Gamma}$. From Eq. (2), we make the key observation that $\hat{M}_x$ must contain a half translation in the reciprocal lattice along $k_y$, when represented in the momentum space. Explicitly,

$$\hat{M}_x = U L_{G_{\Gamma}/2} \hat{m}_x,$$

(3)

where $U$ is some unitary matrix, $\hat{m}_x$ is the operator that inverses $k_x$, and $L_{G_{\Gamma}/2}$ denotes the operator that implements the half translation $G_{\Gamma}/2$ of the reciprocal lattice. Hence, $M_x$ may be regarded as a momentum-space glide reflection.

As an example, consider the simple lattice model in Fig. 2a. Here, the primitive unit cell in real space consist of four sites. The $Z_2$ gauge flux through each plaquette is specified in the figure, respecting $M_x$ and the translation period of $b$ along $y$. Evidently, relation Eq. (1) is fulfilled for this case, and the mirror symmetry operator is represented by

$$\hat{M}_x = \tau_x \otimes \sigma_y L_{G_{\Gamma}/2} \hat{m}_x,$$

(4)

in momentum space, where $\tau_x$ and $\sigma_y$ are two sets of Pauli matrices that operate on rows and columns of a unit cell [see Fig. 2a].

The appearance of the fractional reciprocal lattice translation can also be understood from the following analysis. To describe a lattice with gauge flux, we need to choose explicit gauge connections on the lattice bonds. For instance, in Fig. 2a, we show a specific gauge choice, with red and blue colors denoting negative and positive hopping amplitudes, respectively. Then, for this given gauge choice, a crystal symmetry operator is given by $R = \mathbf{G} R$, namely a combination of the manifest spatial operator $R$ and a gauge transformation $\mathbf{G}$. This is because although the flux configuration is invariant under $R$, the specific gauge connection configuration may be changed by $R$. To restore the original gauge connection, an additional gauge transformation $\mathbf{G}$ should be performed. For instance, the gauge transformation required after reflection $M_x$ is depicted in Fig. 2b. Notably, $\mathbf{G}$ may not be compatible with the spatial period of the lattice. [Here, it must be incompatible with $L_y$ due to Eq. (1).] Clearly, in Fig. 2b, the period of $\mathbf{G}$ along $y$ doubles the lattice constant. Then, after Fourier transform, the incompatibility manifests in $M_x$ as a fractional translation in momentum space.

Note that for conventional space groups, nonsymmetric symmetries such as glide reflections exist only on real-space lattices, i.e., the involved fractional translations act only in real space but not in momentum space. When transformed to momentum space, they invariably become fixed-point operations, namely, there are always momenta (such as the $\Gamma$ point) that are invariant under the operation. Therefore, ordinary (real-space)
nonsymmetric symmetries are fundamentally distinct from the momentum-space nonsymmetric symmetry $M_\tau$ discovered here, for which the fractional translation acts in momentum space. It is also clear that $M_\tau$ is a free operation, i.e., no momentum is invariant under $M_\tau$. The emergence of momentum-space nonsymmetric symmetry is a unique feature of projective symmetry algebras. The free character of such symmetry operations will produce remarkable consequences, as we discuss below.

**Brillouin Klein bottle.** We proceed to elucidate the physical consequences of this momentum-space glide reflection symmetry. Let $\mathcal{H}(k)$ be the Bloch Hamiltonian in momentum space. Then, the constraint by $M_\tau$ in Eq. (3) is

$$U\mathcal{H}(k_x, k_y)U^\dagger = \mathcal{H}(-k_x, k_y + \pi).$$

Here, for simplicity we have set $b = 1$. This means if $|\psi(k)\rangle$ is an eigenstate of $\mathcal{H}(k)$ with energy $E(k)$, then $U|\psi(k)\rangle$ will be an eigenstate of $\mathcal{H}(-k_x, k_y + \pi)$ with the same energy, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{H}(-k_x, k_y + \pi)U|\psi(k)\rangle = E(k)U|\psi(k)\rangle.$$

As a result the spectrum at $(k_x, k_y)$ is equivalent to that at $(-k_x, k_y + \pi)$. Thus, the Brillouin zone can be partitioned into two parts, $\tau_{1/2}$ and $\bar{\tau}_{1/2}$, as illustrated in Fig. 3a. Only one of them is independent, i.e., the fundamental domain of momentum space is a half of the Brillouin zone.

This can be explicitly verified for the model in Fig. 2. In Fig. 3d, we plot the spectrum of the lattice model. One can observe that the reflection of the band structure over $\tau_{1/2}$ through the $k_y$ axis coincides with that over $\bar{\tau}_{1/2}$ after a half translation $L_{G_{1/2}}$. This can be more clearly seen from the constant energy cut in Fig. 3e.

We have emphasized that as a momentum-space nonsymmetric symmetry, $M_\tau$ is a free operation with no fixed point, distinct from conventional space group symmetries. Mathematically, it is known that an equivariant bundle with the structure group $G$ freely acting on the based space $X$ is equivalent to the bundle on the orbital space $X/G^{Z_2}$. For our case, this simply means all the information including topology is fully captured by the fundamental domain $\tau_{1/2} = [-\pi, \pi) \times [-\pi, 0)$. Since $k_x$ is periodic, we may write $\tau_{1/2} = S^1 \times [-\pi, 0)$ as a cylinder. This cylinder has two boundaries $S^1_{\pm}$ at $k_y = -\pi$ and 0, respectively. Importantly, $S^1_{\pm}$ are oppositely oriented and “glued” together, because they are connected by $M_\tau$ [Fig. 3b]. Thus, the fundamental domain here is topologically a Klein bottle, as illustrated in Fig. 3c.

We remark that in solid state physics, conventional space group symmetries are commonly used to reduce Brillouin zones to so-called irreducible Brillouin zones. However, because those symmetries are not free, the irreducible Brillouin zone is not sufficient to capture the topological information (e.g., symmetry information is still required at high-symmetry points or paths of the irreducible Brillouin zone), distinct from the case here. Besides, the Brillouin Klein bottle is a closed manifold, whereas the irreducible Brillouin zones are not. These characters are important for the topological classification to be discussed in the following.

**Topological invariant and edge states.** Consider the system in an insulating phase. The task of topological classification is to classify valence-band wave functions (forming a Hermitian vector bundle) over the Brillouin Klein bottle. This is fundamentally different from the usual cases where the base manifold is a torus or a sphere. A crucial difference is the orientability. A torus (and a sphere) is orientable, whereas a Klein bottle is non-orientable. For orientable closed base manifolds such as the torus, the most elementary topological invariant is the Chern number, which is the integration of the Berry curvature $\mathcal{F}$ for valence bands over the Brillouin torus. The Chern number is valued in $Z$, and the sign of the integer is related to the orientation of the torus, since a reflection inverts the Chern number. In contrast, for the Brillouin Klein bottle that is non-orientable, any topological invariant can only be valued in $Z_2$, since the sign of the invariant has no significance and we must have $1 = -1$.

Now, we formulate an explicit expression for this $Z_2$ topological invariant. This is based on two key observations. First, the two boundaries $S^1_{\pm}$ of $\tau_{1/2}$ are related by an inversion of $k_x$ [Fig. 3a]. The inversion operation inverts the Berry phase.
for a 1D system. Hence, the Berry phases \( \gamma(-\pi) \) and \( \gamma(0) \) over \( S^1 \) are opposite up to an multiple of \( 2\pi \), i.e., \( \gamma(0) + \gamma(-\pi) = 0 \) mod \( 2\pi \). Second, due to Stoke’s theorem, \( \int_{\Gamma_{1/2}} d^2k \mathcal{F} + \gamma(0) - \gamma(-\pi) = 0 \) mod \( 2\pi \). Therefore, we can formulate the \( Z_2 \) invariant as

\[
\nu = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma_{1/2}} d^2k \mathcal{F} + \frac{1}{\pi} \gamma(0) \text{mod} \ 2.
\] (7)

Here, the formula is valued in integers because of the two observations above. Since a large gauge transformation for valence wave functions can change \( \gamma(0) \) by a multiple of \( 2\pi \), only the parity of the formula is gauge invariant and hence can be defined as a topological invariant. We also comment that the \( Z_2 \) topological classification here is based on the equivariant \( K \) theory or KG theory by \( K(K) = Z_2 \), where \( K \) is the Klein bottle. The topological invariant is derived from the fact that line bundles over a 2D manifold \( M \) are topologically classified by \( H^2(M, Z) \), with \( H^2(K, Z) = Z_2 \). Thus the resultant classification and the topological invariant are stable under the addition of trivial bands.

We can give Eq. (7) a pump interpretation with an intuitive geometric picture. Over \( \Gamma_{1/2} \), we can always choose a complete set of continuous valence states \( \{\psi_\nu(k)\} \), which are periodic along \( k_x \). Then, the corresponding Berry connection \( A(k) \) is also periodic in \( k_x \). For such a \( A(k) \), we can compute \( \gamma(k_y) \) that is continuous from \( k_y = -\pi \) to \( 0 \). Moreover, it is straightforward to derive that \( \int_{\Gamma_{1/2}} d^2k \mathcal{F} = -\int_{-\pi}^0 dk_y \partial_{k_y} \gamma(k_y) = \gamma(-\pi) - \gamma(0) \).

Hence, from Eq. (7), we find that

\[
\nu = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[ \gamma(0) + \gamma(-\pi) \right] \text{mod} \ 2,
\] (8)

i.e., \( \nu \) is nontrivial if and only if \( \gamma(k_y) \) crosses \( \pi \) an odd number of times.

The insulator with nontrivial \( \nu = 1 \) may be termed as a Klein bottle insulator. It features special topological edge states, whose existence can be understood from Eq. (9). For nontrivial \( \nu \), \( v = 1 \), \( \gamma(k_y) \) has to cross \( \pi \) at some (odd number of) \( k_y \), then the 1D \( k_y \)-subsystems at these crossing points have Berry phases of \( \pi \). It is well known that the valence-band Berry phase correspond to the center of Wannier function and the 1D charge polarization. Particularly, \( y = \pi \) corresponds to Wannier center at the midpoints between lattice sites, and therefore leads to an in-gap state at each end. Thus, there must be in-gap boundary states located at each edge parallel to the \( y \) direction. Because of the continuity of energy bands, these in-gap states must be connected to form a topological edge band.

Consider our model in Fig. 2 with two sets of parameters. The topological invariant Eq. (9) is computed as shown in Fig. 4b, c, respectively. The corresponding band structures for a ribbon geometry with edges along the \( y \) direction. are shown in Fig. 4d, e. The topological edge bands are clearly observed for the Klein bottle insulator phase with \( \nu = 1 \). Similar to the Möbius insulators, these edge bands are detached from the bulk bands. Under strong boundary potentials, they could be shifted out of the gap.

Fig. 4 Topological invariant and edge states. a Schematic illustration of the formula in Eq. (9). The red and blue paths correspond to the topologically nontrivial and trivial cases, respectively. b and c depict the flows of \( \gamma(k_y) \) for two sets of parameters for the model in Fig. 2a, and the corresponding band structures on a ribbon geometry with edges along \( y \) are given in d and e, respectively. States on right/left edge are marked in red/blue color.
are mathematically dictated by the second cohomology groups of the space groups. Since gauge fluxes can be engineered in artificial crystals for realizing projective symmetries\textsuperscript{23,24}, our work opens the door toward a fertile ground for exploring novel momentum-space symmetries and topologies of artificial crystals beyond the scope of topological quantum materials.

**Methods**

**The simple 2D model.** We consider a model defined on the rectangular lattice in Fig. 2, Constrained by $M_x$ and two translation symmetries, the most general Hamiltonian with only nearest neighbor hopping terms is given by

$$H_2(k) = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon & a' q_x(k) & 0 \\ a' q_x(k) & \epsilon & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\epsilon \end{bmatrix},$$

where $a' q_x(k) = t_{1x} + t_{2x} e^{i k_x}$, with $a = 1, 2$, $q_x(k) = t_{1x} \pm t_{2x} e^{i k_x}$, $2 \pi x$ are on-site energies. To break the time-reversal ($T$) symmetry, we may include the following second nearest neighbor hopping terms, $H_{2NN}(k) = \lambda \cos k_x \sigma_1 \otimes \gamma_1 + \lambda \sin k_x \sigma_2 \otimes \sigma_1$. For Figs. 3d, e and 4b, d, the parameter are given by $t_{2x} = t_{1x} = 1, t_{3x} = 3.5, t_{1y} = 2, t_{2y} = 1.5, \epsilon = 1, \lambda = 1$. For Fig. 4c, e, $t_{2x} = 1, t_{1y} = 3.5, t_{2y} = 1.7, \lambda = 2, t_{1y} = 1.5, \epsilon = 0.6, \lambda = 1$.

It is worth pointing out that if the $T$ symmetry is preserved, the two 1D $k_z$ subsystems $H_{2}(k_z \pm \pi/2)$ are invariant under $M_z T$. This is because $T$ inverts $(k_x, \pm \pi/2)$ to $(-k_x, \pm \pi/2)$, but $M_z$ moves $(-k_x, \pm \pi/2)$ back to $(k_x, \pm \pi/2)$. Then, $M_z T$ is effectively a spacetime inversion symmetry for $H(k_x, \pm \pi/2)$, and therefore can quantize its Berry phases into integral multiples of $\pi$. As a result, the curve in Fig. 4b would always cross at $k_y = -n/2$.

**Data availability**

The data generated and analyzed during this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.
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