Chemical composition and antibacterial activity of *Berberis vulgaris* (barberry) against bacteria associated with caries
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**Abstract**

**Objectives:** The aim of this in-vitro study was to determine the antimicrobial capacity of a *Berberis vulgaris* plant extract on the bacteria being associated with caries including, *Streptococcus mutans*, *S. sobrinus*, *S. sanguinis*, *S. salivaris* and Lactobacillus *rhamnosus*.

**Material and methods:** Chlorhexidine 2% (CHX) mouthwash and ampicillin (10 μg/disk) were applied as positive control groups. Inhibition zone, minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) related to stem, leaf and fruit of *B. vulgaris* plant were recorded for every five bacteria. Data were analyzed using SPSS ver. 22, one-way ANOVA repeated measure and post hoc Tukey statistical test. The significance level was set at *p* < 0.05.

**Results:** There were no significant differences between the antimicrobial capacity of the positive controls and the extract from the stem and fruit of *B. vulgaris* (*p* > 0.05). The MIC values of the extract from the stem were significantly lower against *S. sobrinus* (64 μg/ml) and *L. rhamnosus* (128 μg/ml). The MIC value of the extract against *S. mutans* was significantly lower in the fruit group (64 μg/mL). The MBC value of the extract against *S. sobrinus* and *L. rhamnosus* was significantly lower in the stem group (128 μg/ml). The MBC value against *S. mutans* was significantly lower in the fruit group (128 μg/ml).

**Conclusions:** The results showed that CHX and *B. vulgaris* plant extract have similar antimicrobial activity against bacteria being associated with caries. Therefore, *B. vulgaris*, which shows antibacterial capacity, could be considered for further investigation as a safe, phytotherapeutic mouthwash to prevent dental caries.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Dental caries is the most common infectious disease in the oral cavity (Mohammadi-Sichani et al., 2016) and if it is not prevented, it can result in destruction of dental structures followed by pulpitis and periapical lesions. Keyes and Newbrun in 1960s proposed four key elements in formation of dental caries: caries-causing bacteria, fermentable carbohydrates, susceptible tooth surface and time (Keyes, 1969; Newbrun & Sharma, 1976).
Bacterial biofilm is the main etiological factor inducing dental caries (Allaker & Douglas, 2009). Destruction of dental structure occurred through the fermentation of sucrose and producing lactic acid by cariogenic bacteria (Yadav & Prakash, 2016). Streptococcus mutans (S. mutans) is the main bacterium of dental biofilm (Loesche, 1986). Lactic acid which produced by S. mutans, demineralizes hard dental tissues and this process makes the environment more suitable for other bacteria to grow (Westergren & Emilson, 1982).

Although the primary intervention to prevent dental caries is the mechanical removing of oral biofilm (Qiu et al., 2020) but application of antibiotics has also been suggested in a few studies to overcome dental caries (Lima et al., 2005). Antibiotics alone could not inhibit demineralization process occurred in dental biofilm and may lead to antibiotic resistance due to the formation of biofilm in dental biofilm (Koudhi et al., 2015). Maintenance of ecological balance in microbial community and application of a combination of antimicrobial therapy with different mechanisms plays an important in control caries process (Yeke & Tao, 2012). Antibiotics change oral microbiota and contribute to development of resistant bacteria (Järvinen et al., 1993). Other common side effects of antibiotics including vomiting, diarrhea and discoloration of teeth may also been observed (Chung et al., 2006).

Mouth washes are also recommended to control dental biofilm (Browning et al., 2000). Due to the frequent use of mouthwashes, the introduction of a material with minimal side effects (pigment formation on dental surfaces, infections, and tissue toxicity) and maximum beneficial effects (biofilm control) is essential (Amirzade-Iraaq & Masoumil, 2017; Wilson et al., 2014). In comparison to synthetic mouthwashes, herbal drugs have more economic efficiency besides fewer side effects (Bagheri et al., 2016).

Barberry (Berberis vulgaris L. a family of Berberidaceae) grows in Asia and Europe. The B. vulgaris is a shrub, about 1–3 m tall, with spiny, yellow wood and obviate leaves that have yellow flowers succeeded by oblong red berries (Ciulei et al., 1993; Damaschin, 2006; Dewick, 2002). Various parts of this plant, including the roots, bark, leaves, and fruit, have been used for medicinal purposes. The main alkaloids in this plant are berberine, berbamino and palmitine. Its main components have various therapeutic effects (Fatemi et al., 2005; Imanshahidi & HJ, 2008; Javadzadeh & Fallah, 2012). Its powerful antimicrobial capacity against Staphylococcus aureus (S. aureus) and Candida spp. has been shown in the literature (Freile et al., 2003). Other in vitro studies have shown that the berberine is effective against Entamoeba histolytica, Giardia Lambia, Trichomonas vaginalis (Kaneda et al., 1991), Helicobacter pylori (Mahady et al., 2003) and Leishmania donovani (Ghosh et al., 1985).

In the range of studies conducted on B. vulgaris, the effect of this plant on dental caries microorganisms has not been studied and insufficient information is available in this regard. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to investigate the antibacterial effect of Barberry’s extract on five common bacteria being associated with caries. Since the antimicrobial capacity of an extract depends on active ingredients, we have applied Gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC–MS) analysis to determine the chemical composition of the extract derived from the stem, leaf and fruit of Berberis plant.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Plant material and extraction procedure

This study was approved by Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences Ethics Committee Yazd, Iran (IR.SSU.REC.1397.107). B. vulgaris samples were collected by the author from the orchards of Semirom city of Isfahan province and were identified by botanical experts and registered in the herbarium of the Ministry of Jihad Agriculture of Iran with the identification number IRAN 77354. Stem, fruit, and leaf parts of Berberis were separately shade, dried and powdered using a blender. Percollation method was used for alcoholic extraction of plant parts. Briefly, a plant powder was mixed with 70% ethyl alcohol at a ratio of 1:5 and stand for 48 h. Afterward, extracts were filtered twice using Whatman Nos. 4 and 1 filter papers (Pharmagona, Manchester, England) and centrifuged. The traces of ethanol and water were removed by keeping the extracts in water bath (40°C) and in presence of calcium chloride, respectively. Extracts from different parts of Berberis plant were sterilized by 0.45 μm micro pore filters.

2.2 | GC–MS analysis

For GC–MS analysis, the ground dried stem, fruit and leaf (2 g) of the plant were soaked in water (50 ml) at room temperature overnight. Afterward, the aqueous solution was evaporated under reduced pressure and the dried extract was dissolved in ethanol and passed through a 0.45 μm filter before injecting into the chromatograph.

The Hewlett-Packard 5971 GC–MS device (Avondale, PA), available at the Islamic Azad University, Isfahan (Khorasgan) Branch, was applied to determine the chemical composition of the extract derived from the stem, leaf and fruit of Berberis plant. The GC–MS device had the following settings: 0.25 mm × 30 m polydimethylsiloxane DB-1 fused silica capillary column, 0.10-μm film thickness, 1 ml/minute carrier gas of helium, injector temperature of 250°C, and detector temperature of 200°C. The column temperature was set variable from 35°C/min to 180°C/min at 4°C V/min followed by 180°C/min to 280°C/min at 20°C V/min. The electronic impact of 70 eV was considered for the mass spectra.

2.3 | Bacterial strains preparation

Standard bacterial strains, including: S. mutans (PTCC 1683), S. sobrinus (PTCC 1601), S. sanguinis (PTCC 1449), S. salivarius (PTCC 1448) and Lactobacillus rhamnosus (PTCC 1637) were purchased from Iranian Research Organization for Science and Technology (IROST), Tehran, Iran. Bacterial colonies of S. mutans, S. sobrinus, S. sanguinis, S. salivarius
and *L. rhamnosus* were obtained after incubation on blood agar medium for 24 h at 37°C.

### 2.4 Screening of antimicrobial activity

The antimicrobial screening was performed using the disk diffusion assay (Andrews, 2001). A turbidity equivalent to 0.5 McFarland turbidity standard, holding $1.5 \times 10^8$ colony-forming units per milliliter (CFU/ml), was adjusted by inoculating colonies of fresh bacterial cultures into 5 ml of sterile broth medium, followed by inoculation of suspensions of Streptococcal strains and *L. rhamnosus* on Mueller-Hinton agar medium enriched with 5% defibrinated sheep blood (MHSBA; Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) and on De Man, Rogosa, and Sharpe (MRS) agar medium (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany), respectively. Sterile blank filters paper disks, 6 mm in diameter (Padtan Teb Co., Iran) were individually impregnated with 100 μl of the extract (10 mg/ml) to give a final concentration of 1 mg/disk and left to dry. Extract disks were then placed on the seeded agar plates and were kept at 4°C for 1 h for diffusion of extract. Plates were incubated at 35°C for 24–48 h in 5% carbon dioxide (CO₂) condition. After incubation, the diameter (mm) of non-growth zones around disks was measured in comparison with 2% Chlorhexidine and ampicillin (10 μg/disk) as standards and normal saline as control. The test was repeated three times for each bacterial strain and extract. The inhibition zone was measured in millimeter, and the results recorded as mean ± SD. Data were analyzed statistically by ANOVA and Tukey HSD test.

### 2.5 Determination of minimum inhibitory concentration and minimum bactericidal concentration

After confirmation of the antibacterial effect of *B. vulgaris* extract from stem, fruit and leaf, broth micro dilution method (Basri & SJJoP, 2005) according to CLSI 2016 protocols was carried out to determine minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) values. Plant extracts were suspended into DMSO 10% (which has no activity against test microorganisms) to make 256 μg/ml final concentration. After that two-fold serially diluted and added to Mueller Hinton broth medium (MRS broth for *L. rhamnosus* strain) of 96-wells of microtiter plates. Hundred microliters bacterial inoculum ($1 \times 10^8$ CFU/ml) was added to each well. Wells containing bacterial suspensions and broth media with extracts were used as negative and positive control, respectively.

The microtiter plates were incubated at 35°C for 24 h in 5% CO₂ condition. The well of the microtiter plate that showed no turbidity after incubation was taken as the lowest concentration of the extract (MIC value). The MIC value according to different extracts for each bacterial strain was assayed in triplicate.

The minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) was determined by inoculating of 50 μl from each well showing no apparent growth on the MHSBA and MRS agar media for streptococcal strains and *L. rhamnosus* strain, respectively. Least concentration of each extract showing no visible growth on media was reported for MBC. The data were analyzed using SPSS ver. 22 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL), one-way ANOVA repeated measure and post hoc Tukey statistical test.

### 3 RESULTS

The GC-MS findings (detection at 252 nm) exhibited that the main compounds found in the ethanolic extract from stems, fruit and leaf of *B. vulgaris* were 1,1,1,5,7,7,7-Heptamethyl-3,3-bis(trimethylsiloxy) tetrasiloxane (25.2%), 2-Furaldehyde, 5-(hydroxymethyl) (51.60%) and phenol (14.47%) respectively (Figure 1).

The results of the antibacterial testing of *B. vulgaris* aqueous ethanolic extract from stem, fruit and leaf in comparison with Chlorhexidine and ampicillin are presented in Table 1 (the $p$-value recorded in Table 1 is only for the 1 mg/disk concentration of the extract).

Based on Tukey HSD test, in *S. sobrinus* bacterium, there was only significant difference between the antibacterial effect of leaf extract and CHX ($p = 0.020$). In *S. sanguinis*, *S. salivarius* and *S. mutans* there were only significant differences between the antibacterial effect of leaf extract and ampicillin respectively ($p = 0.028$, $p = 0.015$, $p = 0.022$). In *L. rhamnosus*, there was only significant difference between the antibacterial activity of fruit extract and ampicillin ($p = 0.020$).

Two-fold serial dilution ranges were between 8 and 256 μg/ml and the MIC value according to different extracts for each bacterial strain was assayed in triplicate. The MIC values listed in Table 2 are the average of the three MICs of each extract for each bacterial strain. The MIC values of the stem extract were significantly lower against *S. sobrinus* and *L. rhamnosus*. The MIC values of the fruit extract were significantly lower against *S. sanguinis* and *S. mutans* (Table 2).

Based on post hoc Tukey statistical test, in *S. sobrinus*, *S. sanguinis* and *L. rhamnosus* there were significant differences between the MIC values of stem and leaf extract respectively ($p = 0.014$, $p = 0.043$, $p = 0.026$). In *S. mutans* there was significant difference between the MIC values of fruit and leaf extract.

The MBC values attributed to bacterial strains and *B. vulgaris* extracts are summarized in Table 3. The MBC value of fruit extract was significantly lower against *S. mutans* ($p = 0.044$). In contrast, the MBC values of stem extract were significantly lower against *S. sobrinus* and *L. rhamnosus* respectively ($p = 0.0018$, $p = 0.029$).

### 4 DISCUSSION

Dental caries is a multifactorial disease and bacteria play an important role in incidence and progression of this disease (Nishikawara et al., 2007). Clarke in 1927 isolated streptococci from human carious lesions and named them *S. mutans* and future studies revealed that *Mutans streptococci (MS)* and lactobacilli bacterium are involved in the development of dental caries (Clarke, 1924; Nishikawara et al., 2007).

Among the seven subtypes of the MS microbiota, the two species of *S. mutans* and *S. sobrinus* are mostly isolated from dental biofilm
Therefore, the two types *S. sobrinus* and *S. mutans* have been applied as an important indicator for evaluation of caries risk (Loesche, 1986; Yadav & Prakash, 2016).

Preventive approaches on the incidence and progression of caries lesion reduces the risk of tooth damage and tooth loss during the human life (Yadav & Prakash, 2016). Considering *S. mutans*, *S.
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**TABLE 1** In vitro comparison of antibacterial activity related to extracts from stem, fruit and leaf of B. vulgaris against cariogenic bacteria

| Bacterial strains | Diameter of zone of inhibition (mm) | Control |
|-------------------|------------------------------------|---------|
|                   | Stem  | Fruit  | Leaf   | CHX  | Ampi  | P-value* |
| S. sobrinus       | 14.0 ± 0.94 | 7.3 ± 1.36 | 6.0 ± 3.40 | 18 ± 0.98 | 16 ± 0.79 | 0.010 |
| S. sanguinis      | 9.0 ± 1.36 | 7.6 ± 0.78 | 6.0 ± 2.33 | 15 ± 0.80 | 15 ± 0.86 | 0.011 |
| S. salivaris      | 11.3 ± 1.24 | 10.0 ± 1.23 | 9.3 ± 0.98 | 16 ± 1.23 | 14 ± 1.34 | 0.011 |
| S. mutans        | 7.6 ± 0.78 | 8.0 ± 2.23 | 6.3 ± 1.33 | 16 ± 0.48 | 14 ± 1.65 | 0.010 |
| Lactobacill rhamnosus | 7.8 ± 1.24 | 6.0 ± 1.25 | 6.6 ± 2.64 | 17 ± 1.24 | 16 ± 0.68 | 0.013 |

Note: Data are presented as mean ± SD. Abbreviations: CHX, chlorhexidine; Ampi, ampicillin.
* One-way ANOVA repeated measure.

**TABLE 2** MIC values of B. vulgaris extracts against cariogenic bacteria

| Bacterial strains | MIC (μg/ml) |
|-------------------|-------------|
|                   | Stem  | Fruit  | Leaf   |          |
| S. sobrinus       | 64    | 128    | >256   | 0.018    |
| S. sanguinis      | 85.33 ± 36.95 | 64    | >256   | 0.030    |
| S. salivaris      | 64    | 64     | 64     | 1        |
| S. mutans        | 170.66 ± 73.90 | 64    | >256   | 0.040    |
| Lactobacill rhamnosus | 128    | >256   | >256   | 0.029    |

Note: Data are presented as mean ± SD. * One-way ANOVA repeated measure.

**TABLE 3** MBC values of B. vulgaris extracts against cariogenic bacteria

| Bacterial strains | MBC (μg/ml) |
|-------------------|-------------|
|                   | Stem  | Fruit  | Leaf   |          |
| S. sobrinus       | 128   | 256    | >256   | 0.018    |
| S. sanguinis      | 128   | 128    | >256   | 0.018    |
| S. salivaris      | 128   | 128    | 128    | 1        |
| S. mutans        | 256   | 128    | 256    | 0.044    |
| Lactobacill rhamnosus | 128    | >256   | >256   | 0.029    |

* One-way ANOVA repeated measure.

sobrinus and lactobacilli as the main bacteria that involved in the forma- tion of caries lesion, antibacterial regimen should mostly focus on these species. In regard to adverse effects of synthetic antibi- otics to prevent dental caries, herbal substitutes have been rec- ommended in the form of mouthwashes as new therapeutic agents in preventive dentistry (Chung et al., 2006; Mohammadi-Sichani et al., 2016). To the best of our knowledge, there have been no reports on the antibacterial effect of stem, leaf and fruit extracts of B. vulgaris. The aim of this study was to investigate the antimicrobial capacity of a B. vulgaris plant extract on the oral pathogens associated with caries including, S. mutans, S. sobrinus, S. sanguinis, S. salivaris and L. rhamnosus.

Barberry (B. vulgaris) is from the family of Berberidaceae that has been used extensively in traditional medicine. Pharmacologic studies have shown various therapeutic effects such as vasorelaxant and hypo- tensive (Fatehi-Hassanabad et al., 2005), immunomodulation and anti- inflammatory (Javadzadeh & Fallah, 2012), central nervous system (Peng et al., 2004), endocrine (Lou et al., 2011), respiratory (Lee et al., 2003), gastrointestinal (Lin et al., 2005), skin (Seki & Morohashi, 1993) and anti- microbial effects (Zarei et al., 2015). Berberine, berberamine and pal- matine are the main alkaloid constituents that have been detected in this plant. Also, other alkaloids have some roles in the therapeutic effects of this plant extract (Javadzadeh & Fallah, 2012).

Based on the results of the present study, the Berberis extract from the stem part of the plant showed the highest antimicrobial effect against S. sobrinus, S. sanguinis, S. salivaris and L. rhamnosus in comparison to fruit and leaf extract. In the present study, the Barberry stem extract showed antimicrobial efficacy against bacteria being associated with caries, comparable to CHX (a widely used mouthwash) and ampicillin as positive controls.

Berberine (the main alkaloid in the Berberis extract) showed a signif- icant antibacterial and antifungal activity against Staphylococcus aureus (S. aureus) and different Candida spp. (Freile et al., 2003). Antibacterial capacity of this extract against oral pathogens being associated with caries has not been studied up to the present (Imanshahidi & HJ, 2008). This extract has an inhibitory effect on the two virulence factors of sortase A (SrtA) and B (Srt B), that are mainly found in S. aureus (Oh et al., 2006). Sortase A enzyme that also pro- duced with the S. mutans species is responsible for host cell attachment and biofilm formation (Wang et al., 2019). Berberine also interfere with the process of multi-drug resistant efflux pumps (mostly observed in S. aureus) and prevents the adherence of Group A strep- tococci to host cell (Stermitz et al., 2001).

In the present study, the MIC values of the stem extract against S. sobrinus and L. rhamnosus were lower in comparison to fruit and leaf extract. In contrast, the MIC value of the fruit extract was lower against S. mutans. With regard to MBC records, the stem extract
showed better results against *S. sobrinus* and *L. rhamnosus*, while against *S. mutans*, the MBC value was lower in the fruit extract group.

The extract concentration for antibacterial assay in the present study was determined as 10 mg/ml. Freile et al. (2003) in a study evaluated the antimicrobial activity of aqueous extracts of stem, root and leaf of Berberis and pure berberine against *S. aureus*, *Enterococcus faecalis* and different *Candida* spp. In the two extract concentrations of 500 and 1000 μg/ml that were applied in the Freile et al. (2003) study, no antibacterial activity was observed against the aforementioned bacteria. In contrast, pure berberine in concentrations of 50, 100 and 200 μg/ml showed high antibacterial activity against *S. aureus* and *Candida albicans*. Since in the present study the extracts concentration was higher (10 vs. 500–1000 μg/ml), antibacterial activities were observed in all the experimental groups. It has been shown that the therapeutic dosage of *B. vulgaris* for most clinical situation is 200 mg orally, two or four times daily (Birdsall, 1997). Therefore, increasing the extract concentration below the therapeutic dosage, may lead to higher antibacterial capacity and no side effects. Similar to the results of the present study, the MIC values of pure berberine against different *Candida* spp. in the Freile et al. (2003) study, ranged from 64 to 128 μg/ml.

In the present study, GC–MS analysis of the *Berberis* stem extract revealed that the predominant bioactive compounds in the stem extract of this medicinal plant were Heptamethyl tetrasiloxane (25.2%). Based on the results of Hosseiniahaeshemi et al. (2015) study, the major components identified in extracts from the inner bark of *B. vulgaris* stem were tetracosanoic acid, methyl ester (26.36%), followed by phthalic acid, disoocetyl ester (20.93%), 1,2-bis(trimethylsiloxy) ethane (10.26%), and 1,2-benzedicarboxylic acid, diisononyl ester (8.70%). According to NAPALERT, the main isoquinoline alkaloid found in the stem was Berberine and in a quantitative HPLC analysis, the main alkaloids in the roots, barks and stems of *B. vulgaris* were recorded as 1.24% berberine and 2.5% berbamine (Imanshahidi & HJ, 2008). In the present study, berberine, the pre-dominant bioactive compound, detected only in the stem and fruit extracts, with concentrations of 3.05% and 2.97% respectively. Considering the antimicrobial capacity of different parts of the plant, the leaf extract without detection of any active ingredient in GC–MS analysis, showed the least antibacterial capacity. In contrast the stem extract showed the highest antibacterial effect against the examined bacteria except *S. mutans*. Since the active ingredients of the extracts, excluding berberine was different in stem and fruit parts of the plant, different antibacterial effect has been observed.

Several methodologies have been introduced to evaluate the antimicrobial capacity of plant constituents. Disk diffusion method is a relatively insensitive and semi-quantitative technique that based on diffusion of an antibacterial agent through agar during time (Balouliri et al., 2016). The filter blank papers utilized in this study had a good absorbability for the Berberis extract and could be applied to assess the inhibition of growth in the bacteria being associated with caries.

Environment is an important factor which can affect the phytochemical profile and antibacterial capacity of a plant at a given time. Thus, geographic region of plant growth, the season of plant collection, climate, and plant storage conditions may influence the chemical composition and biological activity of a medicinal plant (Tabrizizadeh et al., 2018). Therefore, to avoid diverse values regarding a medicinal plant antibacterial effect, extract standardization is necessary.

The chemical and biological reactions of *barberry*’s extract in the oral cavity require time to exert their effects on cell viability, and this will be the subject of a future study in order to standardize the preparation of *barberry*’s extract as mouthwash. The intention is also to examine and compare the cytotoxicity and antimicrobial efficacy of the individual major metabolites present in the *barberry*’s extract against important cariogenic bacteria in comparison to other interventions like fluoride therapy.

This novel approach investigated the antimicrobial activity of *Berberis* extracts in comparison to synthetic drugs. Nevertheless, for clinical application of this plant extract in preventive dentistry, more comprehensive studies are required to address the antibacterial activity in the clinical situation and effective concentrations of this medicinal plant against bacteria being associated with caries.

### 5 CONCLUSION

The present study revealed that extracts obtained from stem and fruit of *B. vulgaris* show antimicrobial effects against cariogenic oral pathogens. These findings suggest that for clinical application of *B. vulgaris* as a safe, anticariogenic, and phytotherapeutic mouthwash, further investigations could be considered.
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### CLINICAL SIGNIFICANCE

This in vitro study was evaluated the antimicrobial capacity of a *Berberis vulgaris* plant extract on the cariogenic oral pathogens that involves in dental caries. We cultured the microorganisms and applied barberry extract to them. Chlorhexidine and amoxicillin disks were used as controls. The present study revealed that extracts obtained from stem and fruit of *B. vulgaris* show antimicrobial effects against cariogenic oral pathogens. These findings suggest that for clinical application of *Berberis vulgaris* as a safe, anticariogenic, and phytotherapeutic mouthwash, further investigations could be considered.
DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT
All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this article.

ORCID
Pooya Fadai Tehrani https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9021-9484

REFERENCES
Allaker, R. P., & Douglas, C. W. (2009). Novel anti-microbial therapies for dental biofilm-related diseases. The International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents, 33(1), 8–13. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2008.07.014.
Amirzade-Iranaq, M. H., & Masoumil, S. M. R. (2017). Effect of low-level laser therapy in the treatment of burning mouth syndrome: A systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ Open, 7(1).
Andrews, J. M. (2001). BSAC working party on susceptibility testing ftJoAC. BSAC Standardized Disc Susceptibility Testing Method. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy, 48(suppl_1), 43–57. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jac/48.suppl_1.143.
Bagheri, S. M., Hedesh, S. T., Mirjalli, A., & Dashi-H. M. H. (2016). Evaluation of anti-inflammatory and some possible mechanisms of anti-nociceptive effect of Ferula assa foetida oleo gum resin. Journal of Evidence-Based Complementary & Alternative Medicine, 21(4), 271–276. http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/2156587215605903.
Balouiri, M., Sadiki, M., & Ibnsouda, S. K. (2016). Methods for in vitro evaluating antimicrobial activity: A review. Journal of Pharmaceutical Analysis, 6(2), 71–79. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpha.2015.11.005.
Basri, D. F., & Fan, S. H. (2005). The potential of aqueous and acetone extracts of galls of Quercus fragrans as antibacterial agents, 37(1), 26.
Birdsall, T. C. (1997). Berberine: Therapeutic potential of alkaloid found in Quercus infectoria. Best Practices & Research Clinical Pharmacology, 11(3), 404–408. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1359-6802(03)00156-4.
Browning, W. D., Johnson, W. W., & Gregory, P. N. (2000). Clinical performance of bonded amalgam restorations at 42 months. The Journal of the American Dental Association, 131(5), 607–611. http://dx.doi.org/10.14219/jada.archive.2000.0234.
Chung, J. Y., Choo, J. H., Lee, M. H., & Hwang, J. K. (2006). Anticariogenic activity of macelignan isolated from Myristica fragrans (nutmeg) against Streptococcus mutans. Phytomedicine, 13(4), 261–266. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.phymed.2004.04.007.
Ciuilei, L., Grigorescu, E., & Stănescu, U. (1993). Plante medicinale, fitochimie și fitoterapie: Tratat de farmacognzie: Ed. Academiei, 5(3), 141.
Damaschin, N. (2006). Analysis and standardization of some homeopathic medicinal forms, PhD, National Institute of Pharmacy, 36(2), 217–225. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ptr.1661.
Freile, M. L., Giannini, F., Pucci, G., Sturniolo, A., Rodero, L., Pucci, O., ... Enriz, R. D. (2003). Antimicrobial activity of aqueous extracts and of berberine isolated from Berberis vulgaris on E.coli and Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Phytotherapy Research, 17(3), 222–225. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ptr.1661.
Ghosh, A. K., Bhattacharyya, F. K., & Ghosh, D. K. (1985). Leishmania donovani: Amastigote infection and mode of action of berberine. Experimental Parasitology, 60(3), 404–413. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0014-4894(85)90047-5.
Hirasawa, M., & Takada, K. J. (2002). Susceptibility of streptococcus mutans and streptococcus sobrinus to cell wall inhibitors and development of a novel selective medium for S. sobrinus. Caries Research, 36(3), 155–160. http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000059329.
Hosseinhashemi, S., Anooshehi, H., Aghajani, H., & Salem, M. (2015). Chemical Composition and Antioxidant Activity of Extracts from the Inner Bark of Berberis vulgaris Stem. BioResources, 10(4), 7958–7969.
Imanshahidi, M., & Hosseinzadeh, H. (2008). Pharmacological and therapeutic effects of Berberis vulgaris and its active constituent, berberine. Phytotherapy Research, 22(8), 999–1012. http://dx.doi.org/10.10102/ptr.2399.
Järvinen, H., Tenovuo, J., & Huovinen, P. J. A. A. (1993). In vitro susceptibility of Streptococcus mutans to chlorhexidine and six other antimicrobial agents. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, 37(5), 1158–1159. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/ajc.37.5.1158.
Javadzadeh, S. M., & Fallah, S. R. (2012). Therapeutic application of different parts Berberis vulgaris. International Journal of Agriculture and Crop Sciences, 4(7), 404–408.
Kaneda, Y., Torii, M., Tanaka, T., & Aikawa, M. (1991). In vitro effects of berberine sulphate on the growth and structure of Entamoeba histolytica, Giardia lamblia and Trichomonas vaginalis. Annals of Tropical Medicine & Parasitology, 85(4), 417–425. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00034989.1991.11812586.
Keyes, P. H. (1969). Present and future measures for dental caries control. The Journal of the American Dental Association, 79(6), 1395–1404.
Koudibi, B., Al Qarushi, Y. M. A., & Chiebi, K. (2015). Drug resistance of bacterial dental biofilm and the potential use of natural compounds as alternative for prevention and treatment. Microbial Pathogenesis, 80, 39–49. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath.2015.02.007.
Lee, C. J., Lee, J. H., & Seok, J. H. (2003). Effects of baicalein, berberine, curcumin and hesperidin on mucin release from airway goblet. Cell, 69(6), 523–526. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s-2003-40655.
Lima, G. Q. T., Oliveira, E. G., & Monteiro Neto, V. (2005). Comparsion of the efficacy of chemomechanical and mechanical methods of caries removal in the reduction of Streptococcus mutans and Lactobacillus spp. in carious dentine of primary teeth. Journal of Applied Oral Science, 13(4), 399–405. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/s1678-775720050000400016.
Lin, S. S., Chung, J. G., Lin, J. P., Chung, J. Y., Chang, W. C., Wu, J. Y., & Tyan, Y. S. (2005). Berberine inhibits arylamine N-acetyltansferase activity and gene expression in mouse leukemia L 1210 cells. Phytomedicine, 12(5), 351–358. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.phymed.2003.11.008.
Loesche, W. J. (1986). Role of Streptococcus mutans in human dental decay, Microbiological reviews, 50(4), 353–380.
Lou, T., Zhang, Z., Xi, Z., Liu, K., Li, L., Liu, B., & Huang, F. (2011). Berberine inhibits inflammatory response and ameliorates insulin resistance in hepatocytes. Inflammation, 34(6), 659–667. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10753-010-9276-2.
Mahady, G. B., Pendland, S. L., Stoia, A., & Chadwick, L. R. (2003). In vitro susceptibility of Helicobacter pylori to isooquinoline alkaloids from San guinaria canadensis and Hydrastis canadensis. Phytotherapy Research, 17(3), 217–221. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ptr.1108.
Mohammadi-Sichani, M., Karbasizadeh, V., & Dokhaharani, S. C. (2016). Evaluation of biofilm removal activity of Quercus infectoria galls against Streptococcus mutans. Dental Research Journal, 13(1), 46–51. http://dx.doi.org/10.4103/1735-3327.174708.
Newbrun, E., & Sharma, M. (1976). Further studies on extracellular glucans synthesized by glucosyltransferases of oral streptococci. Caries Research, 10(4), 255–272. http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000026207.
Nishikawa, F., Nomura, Y., Imai, S., Senda, A., & Hanada, N. (2007). Evaluation of cariogenic bacteria. European Journal of Dentistry, 1(1), 31–39.
Oh, K.-B., Oh, M.-N., Kim, J.-G., Shin, D-S, Shin, J. (2006). Inhibition of sortase-mediated Staphylococcus aureus adhesion to fibronectin via fibronectin-binding protein by sortase inhibitors. Applied Microbiology
and Biotechnology, 70(1), 102–106. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00253-005-0040-8.

Peng, W.-H., Wu, C.-R., Chen, C.-S., Chen, C.-F., Leu, Z.-C., Hsieh, M.-T. (2004). Anxiolytic effect of berberine on exploratory activity of the mouse in two experimental anxiety models: Interaction with drugs acting at 5-HT receptors. Life Sciences, 75(20), 2451–2462. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.lfs.2004.04.032.

Qiu, W., Zhou, Y., Li, Z., Huang, T., Xiao, Y., Cheng, L., ... Ren, B. (2020). Application of antibiotics/antimicrobial agents on dental caries. BioMed Research International, 2020, 1–11. http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2020/5658212.

Seki, T., & Morohashi, M. J. (1993). Effect of some alkaloids, flavonoids and triterpenoids, contents of Japanese-Chinese traditional herbal medicines, on the lipogenesis of sebaceous glands. Physiology, 6(1), 56–60. http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000211087.

Stermitz, F. R., Beeson, T. D., Mueller, P. J., Hsiang, J-F., & Lewis, K. (2001). Staphylococcus aureus MDR efflux pump inhibitors from a Berberis and a Mahonia (sensu strictu) species. Biochemical Systematics and Ecology, 29(8), 793–798. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0305-1978(01)00025-4.

Tabrizizadeh, M., Kazemipoor, M., Hakimian, M., Maleksabet, M., Kazemipoor, M., Zandi, H., ... Cordell, G. A. (2018). Effects of a Peganum harmala (Zygophyllaceae) preparation for root canal disinfection, 32(4), 672–677. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ptr.6015.

Wang, J., Shi, Y., Jing, S., Jing, S., Dong, H., Wang, D., & Wang, T. (2019). Astilbin Inhibits the activity of Sortase A from Streptococcus mutans. Molecules, 24(3), 465. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/molecules24030465.

Westergren, G., & Emilson, C. J. (1982). Colonization and cariogenic potential in hamsters of the bacterium streptococcus sanguinis isolated from human dental biofilm. Archives of Oral Biology, 27(10), 817–822. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0003-9969(82)90035-8.

Wilson, N., Patel, R., & Gallagher, J. (2014). Question from practice: How to select the right mouthwash. The Pharmaceutical Journal, 292 (7795), 119.

Yadav, K., & Prakash, S. (2016). Dental caries: A review. Asian Journal of Biomedical and Pharmaceutical Sciences, 6(53), 1–7.

Yeke, W., & Tao, H. (2012). Research progress on fluoride-resistant strains of Streptococcus mutans. International Journal of Stomatology, 3, 650–657.

Zarei, A., Changizi-Ashtiyani, S., Taheri, S., & Ramezani, M. (2015). A quick overview on some aspects of endocrinologial and therapeutic effects of Berberis vulgaris L. Avicenna journal of phytomedicine, 5(6), 485–497.

How to cite this article: Kazemipoor M, Fadaei Tehranri P, Zandi H, Golvardi Yazdi R. Chemical composition and antibacterial activity of Berberis vulgaris (barberry) against bacteria associated with caries. Clin Exp Dent Res. 2021;7:601–608. https://doi.org/10.1002/cre2.379