Effect of supporting structure design on residual stresses in selective laser melting of AlSi10Mg
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Abstract
Residual stress is a key indicator to measure the forming quality of selective laser melting (SLM) components, and its control method has received extensive attention. As an auxiliary structure for forming SLM components, the structural characteristics of the supporting structure will affect the residual stress distribution of the formed parts. Therefore, it is extremely meaningful to explore the influence of the supporting structure design on the residual stress of SLM AlSi10Mg alloy. In this study, an approach is proposed to select and design the supporting structure for forming SLM components with different structural characteristics to achieve the purpose of reducing the residual stress in the overhanging structure of the components. As the result shows, when the contact area of single supporting tooth structure and component overhanging structure is 0.25mm² and the X/Y interval of main supporting structure is 2.5mm, the forming effect is relatively good. Furthermore, the block supporting structure is more suitable for the overhanging structure which has small areas and less height, and the contour support is more suitable for the overhanging structure with larger area.
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1 Introduction

In recent years, as an emerging manufacturing technology, additive manufacturing (AM) technology has great developing potential in the global manufacturing industry, which has gained wide concentration. [1–4] Selective laser melting (SLM) is a typical additive manufacturing technology, which uses a laser heat source to melt metal powder to form a cladding layer and solidify it by heat dissipation into a high-performance metal part with a complex three-dimensional structure. [5] Selective laser melting component has good mechanical properties such as strength of extension, elongation, Young’s modulus, impact toughness, and hardness. [6] Although SLM technology has many great advantages in precise parts of complex form, the unbalanced temperature distribution and the inability to exert local thermal effects result in high residual stress inside the SLM part due to the concentrated energy and high power of the laser, as well as the uneven expansion and contraction of the material on the powder bed, and high residual stresses inside the SLM part after solidification and cooling. [7–9] Residual stresses in components may lead to warping, cracking, and reducing mechanical strength of the part; therefore, the analysis of residual stresses in SLM is a necessary aspect to ensure reliable part quality, and it is influenced by SLM process parameters and supporting structure, etc. [10–12] Consequently, we should select suitable SLM parameters and design special supporting structures to reduce the residual stresses in metal parts to improve the surface quality and forming efficiency of the parts.

On the basic studies of the SLM process parameters on residual stress, many scholars have developed thermodynamic models from the SLM process perspective and explored the effects of process parameters such as laser heat source, powder thickness, and scanning speed, with the aim of improving the performance of SLM alloys. [13–16] In the early days, some researchers used finite element simulations to calculate the temperature field distribution near the laser spot in the SLM process and pointed out that a large temperature gradient would have a negative effect but did not calculate the stress...
field. [17] Based on the thermo-elasticity theory, a thermodynamic coupling model was established in the SLM process, and the thermal and residual stresses in the heat-affected zone were calculated. [18] Yadroitsev I et al. [19] studied the residual stresses in stainless steel 316 L and Ti6Al4V alloy parts using numerical simulations and X-ray diffraction techniques. Zaeh M F et al. [20] increased the thickness of the powder layer by a factor of 2.5 and reduced the deformation at the end of the T-shaped cantilever by 82%. Wang L F et al. [21] studied the residual stresses in SLM AlSi10Mg alloy with different scanning strategies and preheating temperatures as a way to improve the performance of SLM parts. As a way to improve the performance of SLM parts, the optimization of SLM process parameters can greatly enhance the microstructure and mechanical properties of the parts.

On the basic studies of the supporting structures on residual stress, many scholars have considered the forming angle of supporting structure and the direction of the component with the reduction of the supporting structures, aiming to improve the performance of SLM alloy and forming efficiency. [22–25] SLM components with overhanging structural features often require additional supporting structures to assist in forming to limit curling or deformation during the forming process, and after that, further post-treatment through heat treatment is required to reduce residual stress. [26] Byun H S et al. [27] applied a weighted approach to optimize the molding direction multi-objective problem with the component surface roughness as optimization objectives. Zhang Z X et al. [28] designed a group of standard candidates of branch supporting structures for SLM and compared the weight and scanning time of specimens with different design parameters to study the influence of different supporting parameters on mechanical strength of the supporting structures. Gaynor A T et al. [29] proposed a topology optimization component that can design the smallest speech supporting angle. Strano et al. [30] used gyroid and diamond equations to generate supporting structures and proposed a method of using different sizes of gyroid and diamond equations to different supporting features. Jhabvala et al. [31] reduced the production time of the supporting structure by using different types of lasers, which can speed up the production of the supporting structure. Therefore, geometric design and optimization of the supporting structure can improve the quality and efficiency of SLM formed parts.

From the above discussion, it can be found that most scholars have carried out basic research and theoretical analysis from SLM process parameters to achieve the purpose of improving the quality of SLM formed components, but there are still many problems in designing supporting structures. Therefore, based on the characteristics of overhanging structure of the SLM metal component, this study establishes the finite element models, selects the suitable laser heat source models and heat transfer models, designs different supporting structures, and then uses the simulation software to solve and analyze. The design of supporting structures includes the contact surface area between the supporting tooth structure and the overhanging structure of the part, the density distribution of the supporting body structure, and the selections of different hybrid supporting structure types. The residual stress of the formed parts is tested experimentally, and the simulation results are compared to verify the reasonableness of the simulation results.

2 Methodology

2.1 Materials

SLM is a rapid manufacturing technology; in SLM forming, the material will melt from the initial powder state into a liquid state and then condense into a solid state after cooling and heat transfer. Therefore, the physical properties of the metal powder, such as particle size, fluidity, and packing density, will have a direct impact on the surface quality and shape accuracy of the SLM formed parts. [32]

In this study, the material used, AlSi10Mg alloy, is a widely used casting aluminum alloy. After modification and heat treatment, it has good corrosion resistance, mechanical properties, and excellent molding effect and can be used in fields such as aerospace engine parts, ship structure parts, and instrument parts. [33] In this study, the sample shown in Fig. 1d is formed by SLM, which is a thin-walled bracket in first level of aerospace inter-box with a surface dimension specification of 196mm × 178mm × 49mm, and the width of the overhanging structure of its upper wing plate is 20mm, and the wall thickness of the thinnest part of the component is 1.5mm. The material uses an aerosolized AlSi10Mg alloy powder with an average particle size of up to 40μm and spherical particles. The material composition and mechanical properties of AlSi10Mg are listed in Tables 1 and 2, respectively.

2.2 Experiment steps

The SLM equipment used in this experiment is the SPACE M200 SLM manufacturing system, as shown in Fig. 1a, the forming size of equipment is 250 × 250 × 250mm, and the comprehensive mechanical properties of the formed components reach the standard of homogeneous forgings. Figure 1b shows the inner cavity of SPACE M200 forming equipment. In this study, the relevant SLM parameters for the forming process are shown in Table 3, a basic block supporting structure is adopted to assist the forming of selective laser melting samples, and the residual stress is measured by X-ray diffraction after forming.

The measurement methods of residual stress can be divided into two types, which are destructive and nondestructive
methods. Destructive methods include drilling method and ring core method. These methods can obtain high measurement accuracy and precision, but it will cause some damage to the surface of the workpiece. The nondestructive methods include neutron diffraction method and X-ray diffraction system. These methods can ensure high measurement accuracy without damage. To avoid contamination and thick oxide layers on the surface of the sample, before the measurements, the sample was electrolytic polished in saturated sodium chloride solution for 1 min to remove any oxides from the surface.

In this experiment, the Tec 4000 X-ray diffraction system equipment is used to measure the residual stress of the components, as shown in Figure 1c. The working parameters of the X-ray diffraction system are shown in Table 4. The peak location method of the system is FWHM. In the material library of the XRD diffraction system, the elastic constant of AlSi10Mg is $9.43 \times 10^{-6}$ MPa. The incident angle ($\psi$) is $0^\circ$, $10^\circ$, $20^\circ$, $30^\circ$, $40^\circ$, $50^\circ$, and $60^\circ$ and scanning speed of $5^\circ$ min$^{-1}$ in increments of $0.05^\circ$. The residual stress can be determined from the following equation: [34]

$$\sigma = \frac{E}{1 + V} \frac{1}{\sin^2 \psi} \frac{1}{d_0} \left( \frac{d_\psi - d_0}{d_0} \right)$$

where $d_\psi$ is the lattice spacing at a tilt angle, $E$ and $V$ are Young’s modulus and Poisson’s ratio of AlSi10Mg, and the value of $d_\psi$ is obtained from Bragg’s law by careful analysis of different peak. In order to reduce the measurement error and

| Table 2 Mechanical properties of AlSi10Mg |
|----------------------------------------|
| Parameter     | Young’s modulus | Yield strength | Tensile strength | Poisson’s ration |
| Value         | 70GPa           | 251MPa         | 396MPa           | 0.32             |
ensure measurement efficiency and accuracy, X-ray system collimator adopts 4mm, increases the exposure time to 2s, and has multi-exposure. The formed sample was placed on the measurement platform of the X-ray diffraction instrument, since the sample is a symmetric body, so one long side and two short sides of the sample overhanging structure has been selected for the measurement at seven symmetry points, and the way of measurement is shown in Fig. 1e.

### 2.3 Modeling

#### 2.3.1 Heat source model

Heat source model has a great influence on the calculation and analysis of the temperature field and stress in the SLM process. Improper selection of heat source model can lead to great deviation between the calculated result and the actual result. [35] The heat source models include the Gauss heat source model, the double-ellipse heat source model, and combined heat source model. Considering that the YLR-500-SM single-mode fiber laser is used in this experiment, the laser energy is more consistent with the Gauss distribution, and its single-mode fiber laser is used in this experiment, the laser heat source model. Considering that the YLR-500-SM model, the double-ellipse heat source model, and combined heat source model have a great influence on the calculation and analysis of the temperature field and stress in the SLM process. Improper selection of heat source model can lead to great deviation between the calculated result and the actual result.

Heat source energy to make the localized powder on powder bed melt rapidly; as the laser moves away, the melt pool was solidified to form the cladding layer and finally formed by cooling. In the process, a lot of heat generated in the system will make the powder occur a phase transition between liquid and solid state, the thermal problem is determined by the enthalpy of the system, and the enthalpy of the system can be defined as follows: [37]

\[
H = \int_{T_0}^{T} \rho c_p dT
\]

where \(\rho\) is the density and \(c_p\) is the specific heat capacity of the powder material.

In the system, the laser heat source energy acts on the powder bed through the form of heat flow, and only a part of the laser energy is absorbed in the process, and the rest is reflected. Most of the energy absorbed by the material enters the powder bed through the form of heat conduction, and the rest is consumed in the form of thermal convection and thermal radiation. These thermal mechanisms are coupled and determine the temperature field distribution within the material, and the heat transfer within the system is determined by the heat transfer control equation within the system volume \(V\); the thermal equation can be defined as follows:

\[
\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} = \nabla (k(T) \nabla T) + Q_V + Q_S, \text{ in } V
\]  

(4)

where \(Q_V\) is the volumetric heat flux, which is the heat input from the laser heat source defined by Equation (2), and \(Q_S\) is the surface heat flux, which is the heat generated by thermal radiation on the exposed powder bed surface \(S\), whose size is determined by the thermal radiation emissivity and temperature change of the material. As the forming height \(h\) increases, the surface heat flux \(Q_S\) can be defined as follows:

\[
Q_S = k(T) \frac{\partial T}{\partial h} = h_c(T-T_0) + \varepsilon \sigma_0 (T^4-T_0^4), \text{ on } dS
\]

(5)

where \(k\) is the thermal conductivity; \(T_0\) is the ambient temperature; \(h_c\) is the thermal convection coefficient; \(\varepsilon\) is the thermal radiation emissivity of metal powder and solid, and its magnitude varies with temperature as shown in Fig. 2; and \(\sigma_0\) is the

### Table 3 SLM process parameters

| Process parameters    | Value |
|-----------------------|-------|
| Laser power (W)       | 300   |
| Scanning speed (mm/s) | 200   |
| Power layer thickness (um) | 50 |
| Scanning spacing (um)  | 150   |
| Preheating temperature (°C) | 160 |
| Scanning strategy      | Checkerboard scanning |
| Supporting structure   | Basic block support |

### Table 4 Main working parameters of the Tec 4000 X-ray diffraction system

| Parameters                      | Characteristic spectrum | Wave length/A | Tube voltage/kV | Tube current/mA | Collimator value/mm | Z-axis distance/mm |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| Value                           | Cr(Kα)                  | 2.2897        | 22              | 0.4             | 4                   | 21                |
Stefan-Boltzmann constant, whose magnitude is $5.67 \times 10^{-8}\text{W/m}^2\text{K}^4$.

3 Support design

The supporting structure is divided into the supporting tooth part and the supporting body part, and the supporting body part is the main part of the supporting structure, as shown in Fig. 3. The basic supporting structure mainly includes point support, line support, block support, and mesh support. Although these basic supporting structures satisfy the role of supporting the forming of the overhanging structure, the forming components have some deficiencies in quality, and at the same time, the waste of supporting materials and low forming efficiency are not considered. Therefore, it is particularly meaningful to explore the effects of the design of supporting structure for SLM components.

In this study, different designs for the supporting tooth, supporting body, and hybrid supporting types are carried out, and the designed supporting structure is used to assist the formation of the SLM components. The study is carried out in terms of the effects on contact area between the supporting tooth and the overhanging structure, the X/Y interval of the supporting body, and the selection of different hybrid supporting types on the quality of the formed components. The simulation analysis of the auxiliary forming process is carried out according to the different designed supporting structures.

3.1 Design of supporting tooth structure

As a structure connects the overhanging structure of components and the supporting body, the tooth support can not only provide support for the forming of the overhanging structure but also provide heat conduction during the SLM process. A suitable contact method can not only provide support but also can reduce the generation of thermal stress and ultimately improve the forming quality, as shown in Fig. 4.

The design of the supporting tooth structure includes the design of tooth height, tooth bottom width, tooth top length, etc. Many researchers have studied the size design of tooth height, tooth bottom width, and tooth top length. Calignano F et al. [25] studied and proposed that a ratio of 2:3 between tooth height and root width would be more effective. In this study, in order to design the contact area of six different sizes supporting tooth structures and components, this study changes the contact area of individual tooth and component by changing the top length and thickness of the tooth and explores the effects on the forming quality of the parts, and related parameters of the specific size are shown in Table 5.

3.2 Design of the supporting body

The main part of the supporting structure is the supporting body structure, which connects the supporting teeth and the formed substrate. A suitable supporting body structure can provide stability and forming efficiency for SLM components. With the supporting type selected, different densities of supporting body structure can improve the heat transfer efficiency in the forming process of SLM, and larger density of support can reduce the forming cost. In the design of the supporting body, the density of the supporting body is reflected in the X/Y intervals of the main structure. In this study, based on the existing block supporting types, five different X/Y intervals supporting body structures are designed, the specific related parameters are shown in Figure 5, and it adopts five different supporting body structures to assist the SLM forming of aerospace components and analyzes the influence of the supporting body structures with different X/Y intervals on the quality of the formed components.
3.3 Selection of hybrid supporting type

During the SLM forming, if the component is formed directly on metal substrate of the powder bed, and then it needs to use wire cutting to get the component, this process will affect the surface quality of the component. Therefore, a basic supporting structure is needed to assist in the forming of the bottom of the component. For the structural forming of large planar features, the temperature field will be affected due to the short distance between two adjacent scans for the forming of the block supporting structure, and the stability of the cone support is poor, so it usually uses the contour support to assist in forming, and the selection of the overhanging structure support of the component should be determined by its forming quality. In this study, contour support was chosen to assist in forming the bottom of the component, and block support, entity support, and cone support are used to assist in forming and the overhanging structure, respectively, as shown in Fig. 6. The contact area of the supporting tooth is selected as 0.25mm², and the X/Y interval of the supporting body is selected as 2.5mm, and then the effects of different hybrid supporting types on the forming quality of the components are analyzed, respectively.

In this study, based on the designed sample geometry model, the Materialise Magics software is used to design and build the supporting structure with different requirements. The geometric model of the sample is used for the actual dimensional simulation after the process parameters, model, and supporting structure are determined. The SLM component is formed by layer in the numerical simulation. The residual stress value of each measured point is recorded as the analysis data.

4 Results and discussions

4.1 Mechanism of residual stress formation in overhanging structures in SLM

The formation of the targeted component in the SLM is formed by rapidly heating the AlSi10Mg metal powder on the powder bed from a powder state to a liquid phase to form a cladding layer and finally cooling and solidifying into a solid phase. The initial state of the material is powder, which is relatively loose and its stress is zero. After a certain phase transition, the stress state will change accordingly, as shown in Fig. 7. The powder material in the local area where the laser heat source acts on the powder bed heated up rapidly due to the energy of the heat source, and a molten pool with a certain temperature gradient is formed in this area from top to bottom. The formation of the molten pool will lead to uneven expansion and contraction of the material. The upper surface of the material expands by heat, resulting in strain ε_T, but its expansion is limited by the surrounding forming area, which results in a compressive stress σ_c acting on the boundary of the molten pool. After the laser heat source sweeps this area, the temperature drops rapidly and enters the cooling and solidification stage. The upper surface of the material shrinks and is limited by the surrounding forming area to generate

| Table 5 | Supporting tooth structure parameters |
|---------|--------------------------------------|
| Tooth height/mm | Tooth base length/mm | Tooth base interval/mm | Tooth top length/mm | Tooth thickness/mm | Contact area/mm² |
| Support 1 1 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.01 |
| Support 2 | 1 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.04 |
| Support 3 | 1 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.09 |
| Support 4 | 1 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.16 |
| Support 5 | 1 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.25 |
| Support 6 | 1 | 1.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.36 |
tensile stress $\sigma_T$, which accumulates and eventually leads to the formation of residual stress on the component.

Take the SLM forming simulation of the part with the process parameters in Table 3, and collect the residual stress values in the X direction and Y direction of the corresponding position, and compare it with the experimental measurement results. As shown in Fig. 8, through analysis, in the X direction, the simulated results of measured points 1 and 4 differed the most from the experimental results, with values of 38MPa and 24MPa, while the simulated results of the other measured points differed less from the experimental results, all within 10MPa. In the Y direction, the errors between the simulated results and the experimental results of each measured point were between 0 and 20MPa. The simulation analysis results match well with the experimental results, and the average error rate is about 11.2%, which verifies the feasibility of the simulation model. The residual stress distribution of the part overhanging structure is obviously related to the position, and it can be found that the residual stress in the X direction is larger in the long side of the part overhanging structure, and the residual stress in the Y direction in the short side of the part overhanging structure is larger than that of the long side. The agreement between experimental and simulation values also shows that the simulation model can be used for calculations related to residual stress; other discussions content is illustrated by numerical simulation results.

4.2 Effect of contact area between supporting tooth on the residual stress of overhanging structure

In the SLM forming process, the supporting tooth part is the part that directly contacts with the overhanging structure of the component, and the size of its contact area will affect the stability of the supporting structure and the heat transfer efficiency of the forming process directly. Within the permitted range, as the contact area of a single tooth increases, its heat transfer efficiency increases too, which can be used as an important factor in controlling residual stress. Figure 9 shows the field cloud pictures of the residual stress field in the X and Y directions of the component under the six different contact areas of the supporting tooth designed in Table 5. It can be found that the residual stress in the component is mainly tensile stress, and there is a larger residual stress in the X direction in the middle of the long side of the overhanging structure of the component, and the residual stress in the X direction at the edge of the long side of the overhanging structure is smaller, averaging 90 to 140 MPa. The higher stress in the middle area of the overhanging structure is due to the increase in heat density input in this area during the SLM process, which results in a larger temperature gradient, and uneven expansion and contraction of the material, and significantly increases the stress of the component. There is a larger residual stress in the Y direction at the edge of the short side of the overhanging structure, which indicates that the residual stress in the Y direction plays a dominant role in the residual stress at the short side of the overhanging structure of the formed part during the SLM process.

Figure 10 is the distribution of residual stress in X and Y directions of the component overhanging structure corresponding to the measured points under different contact areas of the supporting tooth. When the contact area between a single supporting tooth and the overhanging structure is 0.01 mm², the highest residual stress in X direction of the overhanging structure reaches 197 MPa and the highest residual stress
stress in Y direction reaches 237 MPa, which is a large stress state and may lead to defects such as warping of the part. As the contact area is increased from 0.01 to 0.25 mm², the X direction residual stress is reduced by an average of 24.4% and the maximum residual stress is reduced by 27.3%, the Y direction residual stress was reduced by an average of 26.1%, and the maximum residual stress is reduced by 22.7%. Because in the process of SLM, with enlargement of the contact area between the supporting structure and the overhanging structure, the heat transfer efficiency increases, the cooling rate of the melt pool increases, and the uneven expansion and contraction of the material is less, which leads to great reduction of the residual stress on the overhanging surface. When the contact area increased from 0.25 to 0.36 mm², the residual stress in the X and Y directions of the member overhanging structure is only reduced by 0.68% and 0.53% on average. But the total contact area between the supporting teeth structure and the sample overhanging structure increased by 1.44 times. The supporting structure was removed after the sample is formed. The supporting tooth structure is closely connected to the overhanging structure; its increased contact area greatly reduces the surface quality of the sample after the support is removed. On the whole, the most optimized contact area between the individual supporting teeth of the supporting structure and the overhanging structure of the sample is 0.25 mm² for the formation of the overhanging member.

4.3 Effect of X/Y interval of supporting body on the residual stress of overhanging structure

The key of the supporting structure is the supporting body part, which connects the supporting tooth with the forming substrate. Different densities of supporting body structure can improve the heat transfer efficiency of the forming process and reduce a certain cost under the premise of ensuring supporting stability. In SLM forming process, the change of stress depends on the change of melting pool in forming and is determined by the efficiency of heat transfer in forming process. The basic ways of heat transfer are heat conduction, heat convection, and heat radiation. In the SLM process of AlSi10Mg alloy, the way of heat transfer is mainly heat conduction and the heat transfer effect of the supporting structure of the supporting body with different X/Y intervals. In order to ensure the supporting stability within the range of supporting structure density, five different X/Y intervals of supporting body structures are designed; the related parameters are shown in Table 5. Five different supporting body structures are used to support the aerospace component forming simulation, and
Fig. 11 shows the residual stress distribution field cloud pictures of the component overhanging structure in X and Y directions under different X/Y intervals of supporting body.

Figure 12 is the distribution of residual stress in X and Y directions of the component overhanging structure corresponding to the measured points under different supporting body X/Y intervals, and it can be found that the residual stresses in the X and Y directions are in the range of 100 to 170 MPa on the long side of the component overhanging structure, and the residual stress in the Y direction on the short side plays a major role. When the X/Y interval of the supporting body is 3mm, the maximum residual stress of the overhanging structure in the X direction reaches 171MPa, and the maximum residual stress in the Y direction reaches 239MPa, the stress state may cause problems such as warping, deformation, or even cracking of the component. The comparison results show that when the X/Y interval size is reduced from 3 to 1.5 mm, the residual stress in the X direction is reduced by 13.4% and the maximum residual stress is reduced by 16.9% on average, and the residual stress in the Y direction is reduced by 12.2% and the maximum residual stress is reduced by 12.6% on average. Because the X/Y interval of the supporting body becomes smaller and the supporting density increases accordingly, the heat conduction efficiency increases, and the uneven expansion and contraction becomes smaller, which leads to great reduction of the residual stress value of the overhanging structure of the component. When the X/Y interval is reduced from 1.5 to 1mm again, the average stress is only reduced by 0.64% and the use of supporting material is increased by 2.25 times; in view of the quality and lightweight consideration of structure, the most optimized distance of X/Y interval is 1.5mm.

4.4 Effect of hybrid supporting structure type on residual stresses of overhanging structure

The selection of supporting structure types is determined by the structural characteristics of the SLM formed component overhanging structure. Different types of supporting structures need to be selected to improve the residual stress for different size of overhanging structures, which can achieve the goal of reducing the deformation and improving the quality of the
SLM formed component on the premise of meeting the strength of the supporting structure. Figure 13 shows the distribution of residual stresses in the X and Y directions for overhanging structure of the SLM formed component with different combinations of supporting types in Fig. 6. It can be found that the residual stress in the overhanging structure are mainly tensile stresses, and the X direction residual stress in the middle part of the long side overhanging structure is significantly higher than the residual stress on both sides of the long side.

Different selections of supporting structure types for components will have different effects; when the contour-cone supporting structure is selected, the residual stresses in the X direction along the surface of the component overhanging structure are about 18.6% and 19.2%, which are higher than those in the contour-block and contour-entity supporting structures. The residual stresses in the Y direction are about 7.2% and 13.5% higher than those in the contour-block and contour-solid supporting structures. Because the cone-shaped supporting structure is narrow in the upper part and wide in the lower part, and the overhanging structure of this component requires a higher supporting structure, the heat transfer effect is much lower than that of the contour-block and contour-entity type supporting structures, which results in the temperature accumulation and thermal expansion of the surface of the component during forming, and the residual stress is largest. And when entity support forming is chosen for the overhanging structure, the residual stresses on the surface of the SLM formed component in X direction and Y direction are only 0.6% and 6.3% lower than the block supporting structure, but the volume of the entity supporting structure is about 2.5 times the volume of the block support; it means the amount of its powder material used needs to be 2.5 times accordingly. It can be found that, considering the surface quality of the formed components and the lightweight of the structure, it is more suitable for the SLM forming of the components to choose the contour supporting structure for the bottom surface and the block supporting structure for the overhanging structure.
5 Conclusion

The design of the supporting structure is of great importance for SLM components. In this study, it is proposed based on a combination of numerical simulations and conventional experiments for the analysis of residual stress in components with overhanging structures. The main conclusions are as follows:

1. The generation and variation of stress in SLM formed components are caused by the temperature gradient of the melt pool on the powder bed. The residual stress in the overhanging structure of the SLM component calculated by numerical simulation matches well with the experimental results, with an average error rate of about 11.2%.

2. Under the premise of ensuring the stiffness of the supporting structure and the surface quality of the components after removing the support, the increase of the contact area between the supporting tooth structure and the overhanging structure can greatly reduce the residual stress of the overhanging structure. The suitable contact area between a single supporting tooth and the overhanging structure is 0.25mm², which can reduce the residual stress in X direction by 24.4% and in Y direction by 26.1%.

3. Under the premise of ensuring the stability of the supporting structure, the appropriate increase in the density of the supporting body structure can reduce the residual stress of the overhanging structure of SLM formed components. Taking into account the lightweight of the supporting body structure, the suitable X/Y interval of the supporting body structure is 2.5mm, the residual stress in the X direction can be reduced by 13.4%, and the residual stress in the Y direction can be reduced by 12.3%.

4. Different types of hybrid supporting structures need to be selected for the overhanging structures of SLM components with different area sizes. Contour support is generally chosen for forming the bottom surface of a component. Block supports should be selected for overhanging structures with small areas and heights, and contour supports can be selected for overhanging structures with larger areas.
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