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Magnon spintronics is a prosperous field that promises beyond-CMOS technology based on elementary excitations of the magnetic order that act as information carriers for future computational architectures. Unidirectional propagation of spin waves is key to the realization of magnonic logic devices. However, previous efforts to enhance the magnetostatic surface spin wave nonreciprocity did not realize (let alone control) purely unidirectional propagation. Here we experimentally demonstrate excitation of unidirectional exchange spin waves by a nanoscale magnetic grating consisting of Co nanowires fabricated on an ultrathin yttrium iron garnet film. We explain and model the nearly perfect unidirectional excitation by the chirality of the magneto-dipolar interactions between the Kittel mode of the nanowires and the exchange spin waves of the film. Reversal of the magnetic configurations of film and nanowire array from parallel to antiparallel changes the direction of the excited spin waves. Our results raise the prospect of a chiral magnonic logic without the need to involve fragile surface states.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.100.104427

I. INTRODUCTION

Spin waves (SWs) [1–6] can transport information in high-quality magnetic insulators such as yttrium iron garnet (YIG) [7–10] free of charge flow and with very low power dissipation. Based on the interference and nonlinear interactions, the phase information of SWs [11–15] allows the design of wave-based logic circuits [16–19] for information transmission and processing with a small environmental footprint. Surface SWs [20] are chiral, i.e., they propagate only in the direction of the outer product of the magnetization direction and surface normal and, therefore, in opposite directions on the upper and lower film surfaces/interfaces. These Damon-Eshbach (DE) modes [20] are beneficial for magnonic logic devices [21] but exist only in thick magnetic films with sizable group velocities. However, dipolar DE spin waves have small group velocities and are susceptible to surface roughness scattering [22]. Previous efforts have focused on realizing spin wave-based transport on magnetic metallic systems [23–31] with relatively high dissipation. Short-wavelength spin waves [32–39] with dispersion governed by the exchange interactions travel much faster at higher frequencies [Fig. 1(d)]. However, pure exchange spin waves are not chiral, i.e., they travel equally well in all directions. In a pioneering work Au et al. [40] predicted with numerical simulations that unidirectional exchange spin waves can be excited using a nanoscale magnonic transducer. Recently, Wintz et al. [32] observed spin waves in an intermediate regime with relatively short wavelength λ = 125 nm in small (4 μm) permalloy thin film structures that are “nonreciprocal,” i.e., they propagate with different velocities in opposite directions.

Here we report unidirectional propagation of exchange spin waves (ESWs) down to wavelengths of 60 nm in ultrathin YIG films capped by an array of Co nanowires functioning as a nanoscale magnetic grating. The SW propagation direction can be controlled by changing the relative directions of the Co and YIG magnetizations from parallel (P state) to antiparallel (AP state). The chirality is strongly suppressed when the magnetizations are noncollinear. This property is important to realize either planar [33] or layered [34] reconfigurable magnonic crystals whose dynamic response can be controlled on demand by changing the magnetic configuration. Our observations cannot be explained by the excitation of the upper DE surface mode, because in ultrathin films (t < 100 nm) the mode loses its surface character and acquires a quasi-uniform profile through the film thickness: the magnetization amplitudes of the fundamental SWs that propagate in both directions normal to the (in-plane) magnetization are practically identical [41]. Instead, we find that the interlayer...
dynamic dipolar coupling between the nanowires and the film is responsible for the observed effect. Our theoretical model suggests a nearly perfect unidirectional excitation of SWs when magnetizations are collinear and describes the angle dependence of the microwave spectra well. In addition, the unidirectional propagation of spin waves is further confirmed by micro-Brillouin light scattering (μ-BLS) spectroscopy.

II. EXPERIMENTS

The sample and measurement setup are sketched in Fig. 1(a). We fabricated a periodic array of cobalt nanowires directly on top of an ultrathin YIG film grown on a GGG substrate by magnetron sputtering [42,43] with period \( a = 600 \text{ nm} \) and nanowire width \( w = 110 \text{ nm} \). The thickness of the YIG film is \( t = 20 \text{ nm} \) and the Co nanowires \( h = 30 \text{ nm} \). The coplanar waveguides (CPWs) on top of the nanostructures excite and detect the magnetization dynamics [43]. The coplanar waveguide (CPW) is carried by (dipolar) spin waves degenerate with the YIG film Kittel mode resonance.

FIG. 1. Unidirectional propagation of short-wavelength exchange spin waves in Co/YIG magnetic nanostructures. (a) Sketches of propagating spin waves in YIG thin film with a Co nanowire array on top. The YIG film is magnetically much softer than the Co nanowires. We consider here the P and AP configurations controlled by an external field \( H \) applied in-plane and parallel to the nanowire length (easy magnetization direction). The SWs are excited by one CPW, propagate normal to the wires, and are detected by the other CPW. The period of the nanowire array is \( a = 600 \text{ nm} \), and the width of a single nanowire is \( w = 110 \text{ nm} \). The high-frequency microwave transmission between the two waveguides mediated by SWs turns out to be unidirectional, i.e., when magnetizations are in P configuration (\( H < 0 \)), \( S_{21} \) is finite but \( S_{12} = 0 \). (b) Microwave reflection spectra \( S_{11} \) measured from \(-100 \text{ mT} \) to \(0 \text{ mT} \). A line plot is extracted from the white dashed line at \(-50 \text{ mT} \) and shown in panel (c). White dashed-line squares indicate the regions of exchange and dipolar SWs enlarged in panels (d) and (e) and (g) and (h), respectively. (d) The microwave transmission spectra \( S_{21} \), carried by \(-k\) SWs with wave length \( \lambda \) from the left to the right at negative magnetic fields, but not positive ones. (e) In contrast to panel (d) microwave transmission spectra \( S_{12} \), carried by \(+k\) SWs in the opposite direction are transmitted only for positive fields. (f) SW dispersion relation. The red curve represents the exchange-dipolar SW dispersion equation (1). The blue curve gives the dispersion of pure dipolar SWs (DE modes). Black squares are data points extracted from the experiments (Supplemental Material, Fig. S8 [43]). The highest mode number \( n = 20 \) corresponds to a propagating ESW with wavelength \( \lambda \sim 60 \text{ nm} \), while the lowest-frequency modes are dipolar SWs with wavelength \( \lambda \sim 5000 \text{ nm} \). The low-frequency transmission spectra \( S_{21} \) (g) and \( S_{12} \) (h) are carried by (dipolar) spin waves degenerate with the YIG film Kittel mode resonance.

The sample and measurement setup are sketched in Fig. 1(a). We fabricated a periodic array of cobalt nanowires directly on top of an ultrathin YIG film grown on a GGG substrate by magnetron sputtering [42,43] with period \( a = 600 \text{ nm} \) and nanowire width \( w = 110 \text{ nm} \). The thickness of the YIG film is \( t = 20 \text{ nm} \) and the Co nanowires \( h = 30 \text{ nm} \). The coplanar waveguides (CPWs) on top of the nanostructures excite and detect the magnetization dynamics [43]. The reflection spectrum \( S_{11} \) measured at CPW1 [Fig. 1(b)] is almost identical to \( S_{11} \) measured at CPW2 (see Supplemental Material, Fig. S1 [43]). We first saturate the magnetization of YIG and Co nanowires with a large magnetic field of \(-200 \text{ mT} \) along the nanowires and then sweep the field from negative to positive values. Figure 1(c) shows a line plot...
in the microwave transmission when nearly degenerate with the FMR of the Co nanowires for the following reason. The microwaves emitted by a CPW force the magnetization of the nanowires to precess in-phase. The latter generates a lattice-periodic dipolar field on the YIG magnetization with wave vectors \( k = n \pi / a \) where \( a \) is the nanowire period and \( n = 2, 4, 6, \ldots \) [35]. The perpendicular standing spin waves (PSSWs) [36,37] in films have mode numbers \( m = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \), but they are in the present thin film upshifted to above 35 GHz and can be disregarded. For large \( n \) values, the SWs in the film are safely in the exchange-dominated regime with quadratic dispersion as shown in Fig. 1(f). More precisely, these ESWs obey the dispersion relation [45] (see Supplemental Material, Fig. S2 for an alternative derivation [43])

\[
f = \frac{\mu_0 |y|}{2\pi} \left[ \left( H_0 + \frac{2A}{\mu_0 M_S} k^2 \right) \left( H_0 + \frac{2A}{\mu_0 M_S} k^2 + M_S \right) \right. \\
+ M_S^2 \left( 1 - \frac{1 - e^{-kt}}{kt} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{1 - e^{-kt}}{kt} \right)^{-1}, \tag{1}
\]

where \( y \) is the gyromagnetic ratio, \( H_0 \) is the applied field, \( A = 3 \times 10^{-12} \) J/m is the exchange stiffness constant, and \( \mu_0 M_S = 143.9 \) kA/m is the saturation magnetization of sputtered YIG films [42]. The thickness of the YIG film \( t = 20 \) nm and \( k \) is the (modulus of the) wave vector normal to the magnetization. We observe multiple of these ESW modes, which allows us to map the dispersion relation equation (1) in Fig. 1(f) (see Supplemental Material, Fig. S7 for more data and Fig. S8 for the excitation efficiencies of these modes [43]).

The transmission spectra [Figs. 1(d) and 1(e)] show clear interference fringes, where the group velocities \( v_g = 2.7 \) km/s can be extracted from the peak-to-peak frequency span \( \Delta f \) using \( v_g = \Delta f / \Delta k = 2\pi \Delta f / (2\pi / s) = \Delta f \cdot s \) [11,12], where \( s \) is the spin-wave propagation distance being 15 \( \mu \)m. Taking into account the damping parameter \( \alpha = 8 \times 10^{-5} \) [9], a decay length of 0.3 mm can be estimated taking \( l_d = v_g / 2\pi \alpha f \). The microwave transmission spectra indicate a strong unidirectionality of the SW propagation, which can be controlled by a magnetic field. It changes sharply when the YIG magnetization switches from the parallel (P) to antiparallel (AP) configurations as shown in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b) with symmetry \( S_{12} = M \cdot M \). This phenomenon coincides with that of chiral DE surface modes on thick magnetic slabs. However, here we can exclude this explanation: First, since the YIG film is so thin, there are no surface modes even in the dipolar regime at low frequencies, because the amplitudes of left- and right-moving spin waves are practically identical [Figs. 1(g) and 1(h)]. Moreover, the short-wavelength modes are in the exchange regime at frequencies much higher than DE limitations [open blue triangles in Fig. 1(f)]. We therefore conclude that the magnetic nanowires generate a unidirectionality that does not exist in the bare film (see Supplemental Material, Fig. S9 for more the calculation of nonreciprocity effect for dipole-exchange spin waves without nanoscale gratings [43]). Summarizing our observations, the Co/YIG bilayer in the P (AP) configuration allows SW propagation only in the \(-k \) (+k) direction and appear to be chiral. We can quantify

FIG. 2. Tunable chiral spin-wave excitations. Co nanowires on top of a YIG films in the P (a) and AP (b) configurations. Microwave transmission by SWs is unidirectional and chiral, i.e., only \(-k \) (+k) SWs can be excited in the P (AP) state, and is illustrated by plotting \( \delta_{12}^{(+k)} \) (red) and \( \delta_{12}^{(-k)} \) (black) of the \( n = 20 \) ESW mode at \(-10 \) mT for the P (c) and AP state (d). We plot the degree of magnon chirality \( \eta \), Eq. (2), as a function of the wave vector \( k \) for the P (e) and AP (f) configurations. The red filled squares represent the observations that agree well with micromagnetic theory (black open circles). The calculated DE nonreciprocity for dipole-exchange spin waves in the bare YIG film is very small as expected.

extracted for \(-50 \) mT. The low- (high-) frequency mode is the ferromagnetic resonance (FMR) of the YIG film (Co nanowires), respectively. The soft magnetization of the YIG film switches at a very low field, while the Co nanowires have a much larger coercivity (\(-80 \) mT) due to their shape anisotropy [15,44]. Therefore, the Co/YIG bilayer assumes a stable antiparallel (AP) magnetic configuration from 0 to \(+80 \) mT as shown in Fig. 2(b). We measured the delayed microwave propagation in both directions using a vector network analyzer (VNA) [11,12,14] over a distance of typically 15 \( \mu \)m. We plot the transmission spectra \( S_{12} \) (+k direction) and \( S_{21} \) (−k direction) in Figs. 1(d) and 1(e) for applied fields from \(-20 \) mT to \(+20 \) mT in the DE configuration, i.e., parallel to the nanowires. For negative (positive) applied fields, we observe signal transmission by spin waves in the \( \hat{y} \) (−\( \hat{y} \)) direction only, respectively.

At FMR frequencies around 1 GHz [low-frequency mode in Fig. 1(b)] the CPWs excite long-wavelength dipolar spin waves (DSWs) in YIG and show strong transmission signals in both \( S_{12} \) (+k) and \( S_{21} \) (−k), with weak DE-mode–induced nonreciprocity [20,41]. The periodic potential generated by the Co nanowire array in principle allows the excitation of higher spin-wave modes by a homogeneous microwave field, but our observation of short-wavelength modes in YIG at frequencies up to 19 GHz is unexpected. They become visible
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we turn to an explanation of the observed high magnon chirality of nominally nonchiral exchange spin waves. As discussed above, either the interface exchange or the dipolar interaction between the Co nanowires and YIG thin film is responsible for the effect. Since the former cannot generate the observed chirality, we focus here on the latter. Assuming perfect lattice and translational periodicity in the film plane and disregarding high-frequency PSSWs, the micromagnetic problem becomes one-dimensional, with interaction Hamiltonian in second quantization
\[ \hat{H}/\hbar = \sum_n (g_n^+ \hat{\beta}_{+k} \hat{\alpha}^+ + g_n^- \hat{\beta}_{-k} \hat{\alpha}^-), \]  
where \( \hat{\alpha}^\dagger \) denotes the magnon creation operator for the Kittel mode of the Co nanowires, \( \hat{\beta}_{+k} \) and \( \hat{\beta}_{-k} \) are the magnon annihilation operators for the \( +k \) and \( -k \) spin waves of the YIG film, respectively, and
\[ g_n^+ = -\gamma \sigma_n \int \frac{\hat{n}_{Co} \hat{A} \hat{m}_{YIG} e^{ikx}}{2\hbar} \, dx, \]
\[ g_n^- = -\gamma \sigma_n \int \frac{\hat{\alpha} \hat{m}_{Co} \hat{m}_{YIG} e^{ikx}}{2\hbar} \, dx, \]  
is the coupling strength for spin waves with wave numbers \( k = \pi n/\alpha \) with \( n = 2, 4, 6, \ldots \) propagating along the \( +y \) direction for \( g_n^+ \) and \( -y \) direction for \( g_n^- \). Here \( M_{Co}^S \) and \( M_{YIG}^S \) are the saturation magnetization of Co and YIG. In the P state, both magnetization are along the \(-z\) direction and the form factor \( \sigma_n = \frac{2}{\pi} \sin(\frac{\pi n}{\alpha})(1 - e^{-i\phi_n}). \) \( \hat{m}_{Co} = (\hat{\beta}_0, \hat{\alpha}_0) \) is the Co magnetization procession of the nanowire Kittel mode, where \( \hat{m}_x = \left( \frac{a}{4m^2} \frac{H_{YIG} + N_{xx}^0}{H_{YIG} + N_{xx}^0} \right)^{1/2} \) and \( \hat{m}_y = \left( \frac{a}{4m^2} \frac{H_{YIG} + N_{yy}^0}{H_{YIG} + N_{yy}^0} \right)^{1/2} \), while \( N_{xx} \) and \( N_{yy} \) are the demagnetization factors [15,44] of a long wire. \( \hat{A} = \left( \begin{array}{cc} i & 1 \\ -i & 1 \end{array} \right) \) and \( \hat{m}_{YIG} = (\hat{m}^x, \hat{m}^y) \) describes the YIG magnetization procession of the SW modes. In the exchange regime, the spin precession is circular with \( \hat{m}^x = \hat{m}^y = i(\frac{1}{2})^2 \). Derivations are given in the Supplemental Material [43] (see, also, Refs. [15,27,47–64]) and Ref. [65].

\[ |g_n^+| \neq |g_n^-| \] implies that the interlayer dynamic dipolar coupling between magnons in Co nanowires and YIG thin film is indeed chiral. We find for the magnon chirality factor equation (2)
\[ \eta = \frac{(g_n^-/g_n^+)^2 - 1}{(g_n^-/g_n^+)^2 + 1}. \]  
In the P configuration and the exchange regime \( g_n^- \neq 0 \) and \( g_n^+ = 0 \), indicating perfect chirality or \( \eta = 1 \). For AP magnetizations, \( \hat{m}_{YIG} = (\hat{m}^x, -\hat{m}^y) \) yields \( g_n^- = 0 \) and \( g_n^+ \neq 0 \), indicating a reversed chirality compared with the P state as observed in perfect agreement with the analysis of the experimental data shown in Figs. 2(e) and 2(f). The modeling results in Figs. 2(e) and 2(f) agree with experimental findings also for longer wavelength modes with partial chirality.

IV. ANGULAR DEPENDENCE

We can force the magnetizations of the Co nanowires and the YIG thin film to form a finite angle \( \theta \) by relatively
FIG. 4. Spin wave propagation in noncollinear configurations. Microwave transmission by SWs with wave vector $-\mathbf{k}$ (a) and $+\mathbf{k}$ (b) when the film magnetization (blue arrow) is normal to the nanowires with magnetization indicated by the red arrows with (in-plane) angle $\theta = 90^\circ$ (see inset). The SW transmission spectra $S_{-1}$ for $-\mathbf{k}$ SWs (c) and $S_{+1}$ for $+\mathbf{k}$ SWs (d) as function of an applied field $H$ along the $y$ axis after saturating the nanowire magnetization with a $-200$ mT field along $z$. (e) Transmission spectra for $\theta = 90^\circ$ at $10$ mT for $S_{-1}$ (black, $-\mathbf{k}$) and $S_{+1}$ (red, $+\mathbf{k}$) for $n = 20$ as indicated by the white dashed lines in panels (c) and (d). The inset illustrates the magnetization directions. (f) $\theta$ dependence of the magnon chirality $\eta$ as observed (red squares) and calculated (black line). $\theta = 0^\circ$ and $180^\circ$ indicate the P and AP states, respectively.

FIG. 5. Total coupling strength characterized by the anticrossing gaps. (a) SW reflection spectra $S_{-1}$ measured in the AP state. Arrows indicate two observed anticrossings for $n = 18$ and $n = 20$ ESWs, respectively. (b) A single SW spectrum measured at $60$ mT which allows extraction of the anticrossing gap $g_m^+$ of the $n = 18$ mode. (c) Anticrossing gaps for two SW modes: Red squares are experimental data; black circles are derived from the theoretical framework (OOMMF) [69].

in another sample with an Al$_2$O$_3$ spacer between Co and YIG (Supplemental Material, Fig. S4 [43]) indicating that the interlayer dynamic dipolar coupling is the key mechanism for the observed chirality. On the other hand, the insulating barrier quenches the frequency shift with $\theta$, which we therefore associate with the Co-YIG exchange coupling [35,37,66] and an associated exchange-spring magnetization texture or an interfacial Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction [67].

V. COUPLING STRENGTH

The interaction between the magnetic modes in the nanowire array and the continuous film is strong; i.e., total coupling strength $g_n = |g_m^+| + |g_m^-|$ can be measured in terms of the anticrossing gap between the Kittel mode of Co nanowires and the YIG SW modes [35,37,66].

Figure 5(a) shows SW reflection spectra measured in the AP state. Two clear anticrossings indicated couplings between the Kittel mode of the Co nanowires and two ESWs in YIG. The anticrossing for the mode $n = 16$ [see Fig. 1(b) for the full spectra] is only partially resolved because the AP state switches back to the P state at fields of $\sim 80$ mT that overcome the demagnetizing field of the Co nanowires. The interaction splits the resonance peak at $n = 18$ of the line plot in Fig. 5(b) by $g_n \approx 0.62$ GHz. This anticrossing also occurs when a magnetic field of $60$ mT is rotated in the film plane (Supplemental Material, Fig. S6 [43]). From the observed line widths, we extract a dissipation rate $\kappa_m^\text{Co} \approx 0.81$ GHz for the Kittel mode in Co and $\kappa_m^\text{YIG} \approx 0.11$ GHz for the exchange spin waves in YIG. Since $\kappa_m^\text{YIG} < g_n < \kappa_m^\text{Co}$ we are in the magnetically induced transparency but not the strong coupling regime [68]. We plot the computed purely dipolar coupling using Eq. (4) in Fig. 5(c) as open circles. Since the magnon chirality rate $|\eta| \approx 100\%$ for high-order exchange spin waves [Fig. 2(f)], $g_m^+ \approx g_n$ and $g_m^- \approx 0$ for the AP states. Micromagnetic simulations based on the object-oriented micromagnetic framework (OOMMF) [69] confirm the anticrossing features (see Supplemental Material, Fig. S5 for the details of the simulations [43]) and the computed gaps are the blue dots in Fig. 5(c).
VI. CONCLUSIONS
In conclusion, we report generation of unidirectional beams of nonchiral exchange spin waves in YIG film with wavelengths down to 60 nm when brought to resonance with a Co nanowire grating coupler [40,70,71] on top of the film. The spin-wave direction can be reversed by switching the magnetic configuration of Co nanowire array and YIG film from parallel to antiparallel. We explain and model the experimental results by the interlayer dipolar coupling between Co and YIG that can be assessed by the anticrossing gaps at the resonance. We observe and model a nearly perfect chirality for magnon propagation in a collinear magnetic configuration. Noncollinear applied magnetic fields break the symmetry and suppress chirality. Interestingly, our findings appear to be a magnetic counterpart of the unidirectional excitation of surface plasmon polariton waves by circularly polarized electric dipoles [72], but highlight remarkable differences as well. The ability to excite unidirectional and easily switchable exchange spin waves with high group velocity can become a key functionality in reconfigurable nanomagnonic logic and computing devices [6,33,73].
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