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We theoretically investigate correlated electron dynamics in high-harmonic generation (HHG), using all-electron ab initio simulations for three-dimensional real alkali-metal atoms. The resulting harmonic spectra exhibit a plateau extended beyond the usual cutoff and a prominent resonance peak above the plateau. These remarkable features arise from the cation response dramatically enhanced by laser-induced electron recollision, which is a key process of attosecond science. This demonstrates that high-harmonic spectroscopy provides new possibilities to explore dynamical electron correlation in strong laser pulses.

I. INTRODUCTION

Atoms, molecules, and solids subject to intense laser pulses exhibit highly nonlinear phenomena known as strong-field phenomena. High-harmonic generation (HHG), in particular, serves as a highly successful means to generate coherent attosecond pulses in the extreme ultraviolet and soft x-ray regions [1, 2, 4, 39]. It has given birth to attosecond science [5, 6], as an essential tool to initiate and probe ultrafast electron dynamics [7–11]. High-harmonic spectroscopy crucially relies on how the electronic structure and dynamics are embedded in HHG spectra. For example, harmonic spectra reflect the Cooper minimum in Ar [12, 13], autoionizing resonance in transition-metal plasma plumes [14–16], and the giant resonance in Xe [17]. All of these are basically understandable as features of single-photon ionization, which is the inverse process of recombination, the last step in the three-step model [18, 19] of HHG. At the same time, the last two examples suggest the importance of multielectron effects in HHG [20]. Recently, we [21] and subsequently Abanador et al. [22] have found that excitation of the remaining ionic core by laser-induced electron recollision, a key process in attosecond science, can in principle induce enhanced resonant peak and second-plateau formation in HHG spectra. However, since these studies have used one-dimensional model systems, it is not clear if such a drastic effect takes place to an experimentally observable extent in real systems.

In this paper, we revisit this problem using state-of-the-art, fully three-dimensional all-electron first-principles simulations and predict that the above-mentioned new mechanism in HHG is real. We numerically simulate HHG from alkali-metal atoms (Li and Na) in intense few-cycle mid-infrared (MIR) laser pulses (λ = 1200 nm), utilizing the multiconfiguration time-dependent Hartree-Fock (MCTDHF) method [23–28] in its full dimensionality. The MCTDHF is based on the configuration-interaction expansion of the total wave function using time-dependent variationally optimized orbitals, and thus can provide first-principle framework of tracking dynamical electron correlation. We find the harmonic spectra of both Li and Na indeed exhibit double-plateau structures. While the cutoff position of the first plateau is consistent with the prediction of the semiclassical three-step model [18, 19] for the neutral species, the second plateau is recognized to be from the contribution of the cations (Li+ and Na+). In addition, a prominent peak shows up in the spectrum of Li, whose position coincides with the resonant excitation spectrum of Li+. Our analyses reveal that these both originate from laser-induced electron recollision that dramatically enhances harmonic response of the cations, hence, a clear manifestation of dynamical electron correlation.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we describe the MCTDHF method and the computational details. In Sec. III, numerical results for the ionization dynamics and high-harmonic response of alkali metal atoms are presented. Conclusions are given in Sec. IV. Atomic units are used throughout unless otherwise stated.

II. METHOD

We consider a fully correlated, N-electron atom (or ion) with atomic number Z in a laser field E(t) linearly polarized along z direction. In the velocity gauge, the total Hamiltonian reads,

$$
\hat{H}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left( -\frac{\nabla_j^2}{2} - \frac{Z}{r_j} - i A(t) \cdot \nabla_j \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \sum_{k=j+1}^{N} \frac{1}{|r_j - r_k|}, \tag{1}
$$
where \( A(t) = -\int_{-\infty}^{t} \mathbf{E}(t')dt' \) denotes the vector potential of the laser pulse and \( r_j \) \((j = 1, \ldots, N)\) the spatial coordinate of the \( j \)th electron. Within the MCTDHF treatment, the normalized multi configuration- \(N\)-electron wave function is expressed as a linear combination of Slater determinants \( \Phi_I(t) \),

\[
\Psi(t) = \sum_I \Phi_I(t) C_I(t),
\]

(2)

where \( C_I(t) \) is the configuration-interaction (CI) coefficients. The Slater determinants \( \Phi_I(t) \) are constituted by an orthonormal set of \(2M\) spin orbitals \( \{\psi_i^\sigma(t)\} \) \((i = 1, \ldots, M; \sigma = \alpha, \beta)\), with \( \psi_i^\sigma = \phi_i \otimes |\sigma\rangle \), where \( \{\phi\} \) and \( \{|\sigma\rangle\} \) are the single-electron spatial orbital functions and spin eigenstates, respectively. The summation in Eq. (2) runs over all possible permutations among \(2M\) spin orbitals. Both the CI-coefficients and the Slater determinants are varied in time. The equations of motion for the CI-coefficients and orbital functions are derived by utilizing the time-dependent variational principle \([20, 24–29]\). Our numerical implementation have been detailed in Refs. \([20, 30]\).

Specifically, we consider Li \((N = Z = 3)\) and Na \((N = Z = 11)\) atoms under the irradiation of a few-cycle MIR laser pulse with a wavelength of \( \lambda = 1200 \) nm, a peak intensity of \( 2 \times 10^{14} \) W/cm\(^2\) and the foot-to-foot pulse duration of \( 8T \) with \( T \) being the optical cycle. The electric field \( \mathbf{E}(t) \) is defined as \( \mathbf{E}(t) = E_0 \sin^2(\pi t/8T) \sin(\omega t) \mathbf{e}_z \). We use up to 20 spin orbitals \((M = 10)\) for Li and 30 \((M = 15)\) for Na, with which the harmonic spectra are converged with respect to \( M \). The spherical finite-element discrete variable representation (FEDVR) \([31]\) is adopted for the description of spatial orbital functions. We use 40 radial finite elements with 23-point DVR per FE. An efficient absorbing boundary called infinite-range exterior complex scaling (irECS) \([30, 32]\) is placed at \( R = 148 \) a.u., which is about three times larger than the quiver radius of \( \sim 52 \) a.u. and thus large enough to accommodate the electron excursion during the laser pulse. For real-time propagation, each orbital is expanded with 71 spherical harmonics and the time step is \( \Delta t = 0.005 \) a.u. We have confirmed that all the results are converged with respect to the radial, angular and temporal resolutions. The initial ground states are obtained by imaginary-time propagation. The calculated total ground state energies are \(-7.4764 \) a.u. for Li and \(-162.0792 \) a.u. for Na, in good agreement with the NIST values of \(-7.4780 \) a.u. and \(-162.4322 \) a.u. \([33]\). HHG spectra are computed as the squared modulus of the Fourier transformed electric dipole acceleration. In order to reduce the background level of the HHG spectra while maintaining any possible excitation signals, the propagation is continued for four additional optical cycles after the end of the pulse and the dipole acceleration is multiplied by a Dolph-Chebyshev window function before the Fourier transform.

Figure 1(a) and 1(b) show the harmonic spectra of Li and Na, respectively, with different number of spatial orbitals. One can clearly see that the convergence of the HHG spectra is achieved with increasing the number of orbitals. While for Li \( M = 6 \) suffices to essentially reproduce the fully converged spectrum \((M = 10)\), up to \( M = 10 \) is needed for Na to reach overall good agreement with the most accurate result \((M = 15)\). To the best of our knowledge, the fully converged results presented here are the first full-dimensional \(ab\) \textit{initio} simulation of HHG in the MIR \((\sim 1200 \) nm) high-intensity \((\sim 10^{14} \) W/cm\(^2\)) regime. The multielectron spectra exhibit two remarkable features: (i) a double-plateau structure with a second plateau extended far beyond the cutoff of the main plateau for both Li and Na and (ii) a noticeable peak around 62 eV for Li. For comparison, HHG spectra within the single-active-electron (SAE) approximation are also presented in Fig. 1. The SAE spectra are calculated with the time-dependent complete-active-space self-consistent-field (TD-CASSCF) method \([20, 29]\), by treating only one valence electron as \textit{active} and all the other (inner-shell) orbitals as \textit{frozen core}. Whereas the SAE results show overall agreement with the MCTDHF spectra in the first-plateau region, they fail to reproduce the peak \((\sim 62 \) eV) of Li and the second plateau. This observation unambiguously indicates that the inner-shell electrons are involved and make critical contributions in the HHG process.

Let us analyze the cutoff positions of the MCTDHF spectra. In Table I, we list the ionization potential \( I_p \) and the cutoff energy \( E_c = I_p + 3.17U_p \) predicted by the three-
step model [18, 19], with $U_p$ being the ponderomotive energy, for both neutral atoms and cations. The positions of $E_c$ are indicated in Fig. 1 by arrows. One can see that the cutoff energies of the second HHG plateau coincide with those for Li$^+$ and Na$^+$, which suggests the second plateau are from the contribution of the cations. To validate this speculation, we introduce the spatial-domain-based charge-state-resolved dipole acceleration, to effectively divide the total harmonic signal into the contributions of different charge states. It is defined for the charge state $n_+$, as \[ a_n(t) \equiv \left( \frac{N}{n} \right) \int_{>0} dx_1 \cdots \int_{>0} dx_n \int_{<0} dx_{n+1} \cdots \int_{<0} dx_N \times \Psi^*(x_1, \ldots, x_N, t) \Psi(x_1, \ldots, x_N, t), \tag{3} \]
where $\int_{>}$ and $\int_{<}$ denote integrations over the spatial-spin coordinate $x = \{r, \sigma\}$ with the spatial part restricted to the regions $|r| > R_0$ and $|r| < R_0$, respectively, with $R_0 = 20$ a.u. characterizing the boundary of electron ionization. The explicit form of acceleration operator $\dot{\mathcal{E}}$ is described in Ref. [29].

Figure 2(a) shows the change-state-resolved harmonic spectra for Li with $M = 6$. The first plateau in the total spectrum, except for the peak around 62 eV, is mainly formed by the response of $n = 0$, which is similar to the SAE spectrum shown in Fig. 1(a). As deduced above, the second plateau originates from the contribution of $n = 1$. The harmonic signal of $n = 2$ is orders of magnitude lower and hence makes no contribution to the total spectrum. Another noticeable feature is that the prominent peak at around 62 eV in the first plateau region is well reproduced by the cation response, which implies possible excitation resonance of Li$^+$. We thus calculate the linear response of Li and Li$^+$ to a quasi-delta pulse with an intensity of $10^{10}$ W/cm$^2$. Note that unlike Fig. 2(a), this calculation starts from the ground state of Li and Li$^+$, respectively. The excitation spectra are obtained by Fourier transform of the dipole, as presented in Fig. 2(b). Around 62 eV, there are two dominant spectral lines in the excitation spectra. One is associated with $1s^22s \rightarrow 1s2p^2$ double excitation of Li at 60.24 eV (experimental value from NIST: 60.81 eV [33]), and the other corresponds to $1s^2 \rightarrow 1s2p$ excitation of Li$^+$ at 62.20 eV (experimental value from NIST: 62.22 eV [33]). We attribute the peak in the harmonic spectrum to the latter, since no resonance peak is found in the harmonic response from $n = 0$, as shown by the red curve in Fig. 2(a).

Up to now, we have shown that both the unusual features in the MCTDH spectra, the resonance peak and the second plateau, require consideration of the core electrons. A simple explanation might be single ionization of the neutral followed by a sequential HHG process in the cation [34]. The second cutoff exceeds the usual atomic cutoff due to the high ionization potential of the cation and the resonance peak might be caused by the laser excitation of the cation. To examine the plausibility of this scenario, we calculate the HHG spectra starting from Li$^+$ and Na$^+$ by the MCTDH method using the same laser pulse as in Fig. 1. The comparison between neutral (blue, the same as shown in Fig. 1) and ionic (red) harmonic spectra for both Li and Na are shown in Fig. 3. Most noticeably, whereas the cutoff positions of the ionic spectra match the second cutoffs of the neutral, the strength of the plateau signals is several orders of magnitude weaker than the neutral spectra. Moreover, although the Li$^+$ response also exhibits a resonance peak at around 62 eV, the intensity is too low to explain the peak in the spectrum of Li. These observations indicate that the sequential mechanism, without consideration of the dynamical correlations between energetic recolliding electron and core electrons, fails to explain the intensity of the second plateau and the resonance peak.

In order to explore the underlying mechanism inducing the drastic enhancement of the second plateau and the resonance peak, we develop an intuitive approach that incorporates the electron-electron correlation during the interaction with the laser pulse. We first record the
instantaneous probability density $\rho(r, t) = |\Psi(r, t)|^2$ of the active electron in the SAE calculations of the neutral. The motion of the active electron forms an oscillating dipole, interacting with the inner electrons (or those in the parent ion) through Coulomb force, which is described by a potential,

$$\hat{V}_{\text{cor}} = \sum_{j=1}^{K} \int dt' \frac{\rho(r', t)}{|r_j - r'|},$$

where $K$ is the number of the inner electrons ($K = 2$ for Li and 10 for Na). Note that Eq. (4) explicitly characterizes the dynamical electron correlation between the ejected electron and the other electrons. The only approximation is that the exchange effect is neglected. We then add this term to the Hamiltonians Eq. (1) for Li$^+$ and Na$^+$, and calculate the cation harmonic responses in the laser field. The resulting spectra are shown by dashed-dotted yellow curves in Fig. 3. Compared to the response of the bare cation (red), the intensity of the spectra is substantially enhanced, to the level of solid blue curves. Moreover, the resonance peak in the spectrum of Li is also recovered. This result unambiguously demonstrates that the resonance peak and the second plateau are augmented to the observable level as a result of dynamical electron correlation. In the sense of the three-step model, for Li, the recolliding first electron populates Li$^+$ to the first excited state 1s2p, which leads to extreme ultraviolet photon emission, forming the peak at 62.2 eV. At the same time, electron is ejected from the excited state and recombines to the ground state to generate high harmonics. Due to the low ionization potential, i.e., high tunneling rate of the excited state, the intensity of the harmonics in the second plateau is greatly enhanced. For Na, the oscillating dipole also virtually excites Na$^+$ and facilitates following harmonic generation in the second plateau. While this mechanism is similar to double-plateau formation from a coherent superposition state [35, 36] and enhancement by an assisting short-wavelength pulse [37–40], the enhancement here is due to dynamical electron correlation, i.e., the direct Coulomb force from the recolliding electron.

Finally, we perform time-frequency analysis of HHG for Li by Gabor transform of the dipole acceleration (Fig. 4). In the SAE case [Fig. 4(a)], high-harmonics are mostly generated in the rising edge of the laser pulse, which is reasonable in view of the high intensity of the laser pulse. In Fig. 4(b), the signal of photon emission contributing to the second plateau and the resonance peak occurs starting from $t \approx 3T$, which is delayed compared to that of the first plateau. This clearly reveals that HHG from the inner electrons is initiated by dynamical electron correlation when the energy of the returning electron exceeds the cation excitation energy.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we have performed full-dimensional, all-electron ab initio investigations on high-harmonic generation of alkali-metal atoms (Li and Na), exposed to a mid-infrared laser pulse. Strong dynamical electron correlation, between the ejected electron and inner electrons, can enhance the harmonic response by orders of magnitude during the recollision. A second plateau extended far beyond the main plateau and a prominent resonance peak (for Li) appear in the high-harmonic spectra. Although previously predicted with 1D model systems [21, 22], the present results establish that these effects are not an artifact of the 1D models but experimentally detectable in real systems. Our analysis quantifies the importance of electron correlation...
beyond the exchange interactions [41–43] in HHG, which has been largely neglected. Such correlations are ubiquitous in atoms, molecules, and solid-state materials. Our results have shown the high-harmonic generation provides a unique way in understanding the essential role of many-body correlations in multielectron systems exposed to external intense fields. This provides new possibilities to study inner shell electronic structure and correlations of complex molecules [11], as well as electron-hole interaction in solid materials [44] using high-harmonic spectroscopy.
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