Insect bite hypersensitivity (IBH), which is a cutaneous allergic reaction to antigens from *Culicoides* spp., is the most prevalent skin disorder in horses. Misdiagnosis is possible, as IBH is usually diagnosed based on clinical signs. Our study is the first to employ IgE levels against several recombinant *Culicoides* spp. allergens as an objective, independent, and quantitative phenotype to improve the power to detect genetic variants that underlie IBH. Genotypes of 200 Shetland ponies, 127 Icelandic horses, and 223 Belgian Warmblood horses were analyzed while using a mixed model approach. No single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) passed the Bonferroni corrected significance threshold, but several regions were identified within and across breeds, which confirmed previously identified regions of interest and, in addition, identifying new regions of interest. Allergen-specific IgE levels are a continuous and objective phenotype that allow for more powerful analyses when compared to a case-control set-up, as more significant associations were obtained. However, the use of a higher density array seems necessary to fully employ the use of IgE levels as a phenotype. While these results still require validation in a large independent dataset, the use of allergen-specific IgE levels showed value as an objective and continuous phenotype that can deepen our understanding of the biology underlying IBH.
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hair loss, excoration, crusting, scaling, and thickening of the skin [2]. Not only does this lead to a substantial reduction in welfare of the affected horse, but also the commercial value is reduced and there is an increased monetary and time cost to the owner to alleviate the signs [2]. IBH prevalence ranges between 8.1% in Swedish-born Icelandic horses [4], 8.8% in Shetland ponies [5], and 10% in Belgian Warmblood horses [6]. Studies suggest that the high prevalence in Icelandic horses imported from Iceland (50% or higher) can be the result of their non-exposure to Culicoides spp. early in life, due to the absence of these midges in this country [7,8]. Icelandic horses that were imported as weanlings from Iceland to areas in Europe, where they were exposed to Culicoides spp., do not show an increased sensitivity when compared to European Icelandic horses, which is suggestive of the development of immune tolerance at a young age [9].

The genetic background of IBH has been confirmed in several studies with a heritability that ranged from 0.08 to 0.36 in different breeds [4–6,10–12]. Until now, genomic research has not yet been able to identify the genetic variants that cause IBH, although several regions and variants of interest have been found. For instance, the involvement of the major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class II region has been confirmed in several breeds [10,13–18].

IBH mainly involves a Type I hypersensitivity reaction that is mediated by the presence of allergen-specific Immunoglobulin E (IgE) antibodies. After initial sensitization to the allergen (being Culicoides spp. in case of IBH), subsequent exposure to the allergen results in the degranulation of mast cells and the release of various mediators (e.g., histamine) through the crosslinking of the allergen specific IgE bound to high-affinity IgE receptors on these mast cells. This degranulation causes vascular permeability, which leads to e.g., swelling and itchiness [19]. As IBH is a reaction of the immune system to antigens of Culicoides spp., an indirect enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) test that measures these allergen-specific IgE levels can be used to assess the horse’s sensitivity. The test is based on the observation that IBH affected horses present higher titers of allergen-specific IgE antibodies [20–28]. Recombinant antigens that are derived from different Culicoides spp. and whole body extracts (WBE) are employed. An ELISA test that is based on C. nubeculosus allergens has been applied in Belgian Warmblood horses, while an ELISA test using allergens from C. obsoletus has been employed in Icelandic horses, Shetland ponies, and Belgian Warmblood horses [26,29–32]. While IBH is most commonly identified through the scoring of the clinical signs, misdiagnosis is possible, and the use of a diagnostic test could provide a more objective phenotype. Contrary to the normal case-control design that is used in most genome-wide association (GWA) studies for IBH, the use of observed IgE levels as an objective, independent, and quantitative phenotype could improve the power to detect causal genetic variants [34]. Van der Meide and colleagues [26] showed that there is variation in the IgE levels within cases and within controls, and the IgE levels and severity of signs were correlated [30]. Provided that this variation is related to the sensitivity to IBH, the IgE levels will be more accurate in representing this sensitivity when compared to a case-control classification.

In our study, we used the observed IgE levels against several Culicoides spp. antigens in Belgian Warmblood horses, Icelandic horses, and Shetland ponies as a quantitative phenotype to perform a GWA study. We combined independent immunological and genetic research on IBH, both being performed by two different research groups on different breeds. This resulted in a unique and first study using a quantitative phenotype in the context of GWA studies, which aimed at increasing our understanding of the underlying biology of IBH, possibly improving the therapy the efficiency of breeding programs to decrease IBH prevalence.

2. Materials and Methods

Several aspects differed between the breed as we combined independent immunological and genetic research on IBH from two different research groups on different breeds: selection criteria to sample cases and controls, allergens that are used to determine the IgE levels, and genotyping arrays to genotype the horses. These differences should be taken into account when interpreting the potential differences in the results between the breeds.
2.1. Sampling

One research group studied Icelandic horses \((n = 127)\) and Shetland ponies \((n = 200)\). Individuals from both breeds were sampled in areas with different risk status for IBH in the Netherlands. Individuals were sampled while using a matched case-control design to avoid population stratification, as described by Schurink and colleagues \([14,15]\). All the individuals were inspected by one of the researchers and a veterinarian, while using a standardized scoring system and questionnaire to obtain detailed information regarding the history and management of IBH (for more details, see Schurink and colleagues \([15]\)). Cases were defined as ponies showing mild to severe clinical signs, and controls were free of signs despite exposure to *Culicoides* spp. Therefore, controls were required to be at least four years of age (related to the average age of onset of IBH being between two and four years of age). Additionally, at the same premises, a case had to be present, to ensure the exposure to *Culicoides* spp. Paternal half-sibs were sought, to match cases and controls on genealogy as well. Most cases and controls were present for two or more years on their current premises to ensure constant management practices (>90%). The Shetland pony population consisted of 200 ponies (103 cases and 97 controls), while the Icelandic horse populations contained 127 horses (56 cases and 71 controls).

Belgian Warmblood horses \((n = 223)\) were studied by the other research group and sampled during stable visits and competitions in the summer, as described by Peeters and colleagues \([29]\). Horse owners were asked about various environmental traits (e.g., soil and stable type) and horse traits (e.g., IBH status and body condition) by a trained investigator while using a questionnaire \([33]\). The cases were defined as horses showing or that had shown clinical signs. If the owner had never observed signs since the ownership of the horse began, the horse was classified as control. Additionally, controls were required to be at least 3 years of age and kept on premises with a case. Horses were selected for genotyping while using the exclusion protocol that is presented in Appendix A—Figure A1, leading to the selection of 107 controls and 116 cases. In short, a horse was excluded when IBH status was unknown or unclear and when it was not a purebred Belgian Warmblood horse. In selecting cases and controls, pedigree was considered (no full-sibs allowed), and an equal distribution between cases and controls across several traits was aimed for.

Information regarding the date of sampling, age, and sex was available in all breeds, information on coat color and size category was available for Shetland ponies, information on coat color and import status was available for the Icelandic horses, and information on vegetation, humidity, body condition, fitness, stable management, training frequency, and deworming frequency was available for Belgian Warmblood horses \([14,15,29]\).

Concerning the Shetland ponies and Icelandic horses, the Board on Animal Ethics and Experiments from Wageningen University approved blood sample collection (experiments 2009055 and 2010109). For the Belgian Warmblood horses, the Ethical Committee for Animal Experiments of the Katholieke Universiteit Leuven approved blood sample collection (approval number P061-2012, date of approval 18 April 2012).

2.2. Phenotype–IgE Levels Determined with ELISA Test

Diagnostic ELISA tests were performed to determine the IgE levels (OD\(_{450\text{nm}}\) values) against *Culicoides obsoletus* (all breeds) and *Culicoides nubeculosus* (only Belgian Warmblood horses), as described by Peeters and colleagues \([29]\), Schaffartzik and colleagues \([25]\), and van der Meide and colleagues \([26]\). Belgian Warmblood horses were sampled from June through September to ensure exposure to *Culicoides* spp. Shetland ponies and Icelandic horses were sampled in September and October. More information regarding the recombinant allergens that were used in the different populations can be found in Table 1. The highest sensitivity (93.2%) in Shetland ponies and Icelandic horses was obtained while using a *C. obsoletus* whole body extract (WBE) \([26]\). In Belgian Warmblood horses, the highest sensitivity (70%) was obtained while combining IgE levels against Culn4, Cul01 (Genbank id JX512273), and Cul02 (Genbank id JX512274) with *C. obsoletus* WBE \([29]\). The obtained specificity of the ELISA test in the Belgian Warmblood horses using these allergens was 97% \([29]\).
2.3. DNA Extractions, Genotyping, and Quality Control

For the Icelandic and Shetland samples, DNA was extracted, as described by Schurink and colleagues [14], and the samples were genotyped while using the Illumina equine HD chip containing 65,157 single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs). For the Belgian Warmblood samples, DNA was extracted from blood samples (200 µL) while using the Qiagen kit. Belgian Warmblood samples were genotyped using the Affymetrix Axiom Equine Genotyping Array containing 670,796 SNPs. Quality control was separately assessed in each population using GenABEL in R [35]. SNPs with a minor allele frequency (MAF) <1% and call-rate <95% were discarded and a threshold for minimum call rate per horse was set to 90%. In the Icelandic horse population, 127 horses and 52,655 SNPs (80.8% of the SNPs) remained after quality control, while 199 ponies and 49,567 SNPs (76.1% of the SNPs) remained in the Shetland pony population. In the Belgian Warmblood horse population, 214 horses and 474,128 SNPs (70.7% of the SNPs) retained after quality control.

Table 1. Number of horses tested for (recombinant) allergens of *Culicoides obsoletus* and *Culicoides nubeculosus* in the Belgian Warmblood horse, Icelandic horse, and Shetland pony breeds.

| Allergen | Breed                | Belgian Warmblood Horse | Icelandic Horse | Shetland Pony |
|----------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Total    |                      | 214                     | 127             | 199           |
| Culo1a   |                      | 193                     | −               | −             |
| Culo1b   |                      | −                       | 127             | 199           |
| Culo2a   |                      | 165                     | −               | −             |
| Culo2b   |                      | −                       | 127             | 199           |
| Culo3    |                      | −                       | 127             | 199           |
| Culo4    |                      | −                       | 127             | 199           |
| Culo5    |                      | −                       | 127             | 199           |
| Culo6    |                      | −                       | 127             | 199           |
| Culo7    |                      | −                       | 127             | 199           |
| Combi1   |                      | −                       | 127             | 199           |
| Combi2   |                      | −                       | 127             | 199           |
| Culn1    |                      | 142                     | −               | −             |
| Culn3    |                      | 187                     | −               | −             |
| Culn4    |                      | 194                     | −               | −             |
| Culn5    |                      | 123                     | −               | −             |
| Culn7    |                      | 167                     | −               | −             |
| Culn8    |                      | 137                     | −               | −             |
| Culn10   |                      | 157                     | −               | −             |
| WBE 5    |                      | 182                     | −               | −             |
| WBE 6    |                      | −                       | 124             | 176           |
| nubTH 7  |                      | 135                     | −               | −             |

1 Seven *C. obsoletus* salivary gland recombinant allergens (Culo1–Culo7) [26,29,31,32,36]. 2 Similar nomenclature but different proteins were used: Culo1a (Genbank id JX512273) and Culo2a (Genbank ID JX512274) by Peeters and colleagues [29] and Culo1b (Genbank id KC339671) and Culo2b (Genbank ID KC339672) by van der Meide and colleagues [31]. 3 Combi1 contained allergens Culn3, 5, and 7 and Combi2 contained allergens Culo1b, 2b, 5, and 7 [32]. 4 Seven *C. nubeculosus* salivary gland recombinant allergens (Culn1, 3–5, 7, 8, 10) [25,29,36,37]. 5 WBE = whole body extracts from *C. obsoletus* biting midges, as constructed and tested by Peeters and colleagues [29]. 6 WBE = whole body extracts from *C. obsoletus* biting midges, as constructed and tested by van der Meide and colleagues [26]. 7 Thorax and head (TH) extracts of *C. nubeculosus* (body removed) [29].

2.4. Genome-Wide Association (GWA) Study

IgE levels (OD_{450nm} values) against *Culicoides* spp. were log transformed while using the natural logarithm, as preliminary analyses showed the presence of heterogeneous variance and deviations from a normal distribution (Appendix B—Table A1). These log transformed IgE levels were used as continuous traits in the GWA analyses. IgE levels against each allergen were separately tested,
which resulted in 11 GWA studies in Belgian Warmblood horses and 10 GWA studies in both Icelandic horses as well as Shetland ponies.

In the Belgian Warmblood horse population, several covariates were obtained from the questionnaire that was performed at each sampling [33]: sex, age (in years), ageClass (categorical variable), period of sampling, date of sampling, vegetation at pasture, humidity around pasture, type of stabling, weight of the horse, fitness level of the horse, number of trainings per week, and number of parasite treatments per year. All of these variables were tested for having a significant effect on the IgE levels. While several variables were found to explain part of the variation observed in different IgE levels, only the variable “ageClass” had a significant effect on all IgE levels (except Culn1). Therefore, only this variable was included in the model in the downstream analyses. In the Shetland pony population, age, size category, coat color, month of sampling, and location of sampling were tested as potential factors or covariates having a significant effect on IgE levels. The age of the pony had a significant effect on several *C. obsoletus* specific IgE levels in the Shetland pony population, and therefore included in the model. In the Icelandic horse population, sex and age were tested as potential factors or covariates having a significant effect on IgE levels. However, none of these variables had a significant effect on IgE levels. Due to differences in the age of exposure to *Culicoides* spp., unequal sampling of cases and controls of imported Icelandic horses (in total 19 horses), and the subsequent confounding effect, only European born Icelandic horses were used to perform the GWA study (*n* = 127). The significance of factors and covariates was determined while using a linear model (command *anova(lm,...)* in the nnet package) in R software [38].

For each population, the genomic kinship matrix was computed and standard K-means clustering was performed to determine the number of potential subpopulations [39]. In the Belgian Warmblood horse population *K* = 3 was established, in the Shetland pony population *K* = 2, and *K* = 4 in the Icelandic horse population. Clustering within the populations did not coincide with the case-control status (Figure 1). Additionally, no outliers were apparent in any of the studied populations while using the multidimensional scaling (MDS) plot (Figure 1). GWA analyses were performed with the IgE levels against *Culicoides* antigens as a continuous trait using the GenABEL package in R [35,38]. To avoid spurious associations that may arise with unusual allele frequency differences between subpopulations [40], a mixed model-structured association approach (“mmscore” function) was employed with a correction for substructure through the use of the assigned cluster as a covariate [35]. The *p*-values were corrected for inflation factor lambda (*Pc1df*). The conservative Bonferroni corrected significance level was 1.01 × 10^−6 in Shetland ponies, 9.50 × 10^−7 in Icelandic horses, and 1.05 × 10^−7 in Belgian Warmblood horses (being α/*n*, where α is the desired significance level (0.05) and *n* is the number of SNPs that was tested). As none of the SNPs passed Bonferroni corrected significance threshold, the 50 SNPs that were most significantly associated with antigen-specific IgE levels per breed were presented.
Figure 1. Multidimensional scaling (MDS) plot with the number of clusters assigned in (a) Belgian Warmblood horses (K = 3), (b) Icelandic horses (K = 4), and (c) the Shetland ponies (K = 2). Additionally, to investigate whether the higher density SNP-array in Belgian Warmblood horses rendered more significant results, a subset of SNPs was obtained representing the overlap between the 65 k Illumina equine HD chip and the 670 k Affymetrix Axiom Equine Genotyping Array. As a case study, a GWA analysis was performed in the Belgian Warmblood horse population using all SNPs (474,128 after quality control) and using the subset of SNPs for L_Culn7 (as most significant results were obtained using the IgE levels against this *C. nubeculosus* allergen).

Additionally, to investigate whether the GWA analysis using IgE levels against *Culicoides* spp. is indeed more powerful in detecting associated genetic variants as compared to a case-control GWA analysis, a case-control GWA analysis was performed in the Belgian Warmblood horse population using a structured association analysis (“qtscore”) in the GenABEL package in R [35,38]. A case-control GWA study using the same Shetland ponies and Icelandic horses as in our study was already performed and presented in scientific literature [14,15]. The results from our GWA analyses using the IgE levels will be compared to the results that were obtained in these case-control studies [14,15].

3. Results

The locations of the 50 SNPs per breed most significantly associated with IgE levels against *Culicoides* spp. allergens in Belgian Warmblood horses, Icelandic horses, and Shetland ponies are presented in Figure 2. Genomic regions that are associated with IgE levels against *Culicoides* spp. allergens across two or all breeds were found on ECA2:52–65 Mb, ECA3:71–78 Mb, ECA6:1–15 Mb, ECA7:82–85 Mb, ECA15:14–31 Mb, and ECA20:26–52 Mb (Figure 2, Appendix C—Table A2). Per breed, various regions were identified containing several SNPs that were associated with IgE levels against *Culicoides* spp. allergens. For Belgian Warmblood horses, these regions were ECA4:44 Mb, ECA5:74–78 Mb, ECA6:44–46 Mb, ECA11:43 Mb, ECA17:74–75 Mb, and ECA22:41 Mb (Figure 2, Appendix C—Table A2). For Icelandic horses, these regions were ECA1:62–64 Mb, ECA1:107–111 Mb, ECA2:52–63 Mb, ECA3:78 Mb, and ECA15:14–21 Mb. For the Shetland ponies, these regions were ECA4:4 Mb, ECA6:13–15 Mb, ECA7:85 Mb, ECA20:26–51 Mb, ECA21:30 Mb, and ECA23:48–49 Mb.

Information on the *p*-value of these SNPs, allele frequency, and allele substitution effect of the minor allele, as well as which *Culicoides* spp. allergen it was associated with, are presented in Table A2 (Appendix C). Some *Culicoides* spp. allergens obtained more significant results (Appendix C—Table A2), where, in Shetland ponies, 11 out of 50 SNPs were associated with L_Culo4, 11 SNPs with L_Culo6, and 17 SNPs with L_Culo7. In Icelandic horses, 13 out of 50 SNPs were associated with L_Culo4. In Belgian Warmblood horses, 13 out of 50 SNPs were associated with L_WBE and nine SNPs with L_Culin7.

The GWA analysis in the Belgian Warmblood horse population using a subset of SNPs representing the density in the two other populations rendered less significant results as compared to the GWA analysis using all SNPs (474,128 after quality control; Appendix D—Figure A2a,b).
The results from the case-control GWA analysis in the Belgian Warmblood horse population are presented in Figure A3 (Appendix E). The case-control GWA analysis obtained less significant results when compared to the GWA analysis using IgE levels against Culicoides spp. allergens (Appendices C and D—Figure A2). \( P \)-value of the SNP most significantly associated with case-control status in the Belgian Warmblood horse population was \( 1.22 \times 10^{-5} \) (AX-103321942 on ECA13:728,323 bp).

A comprehensive list of genomic regions that were associated in any of the three investigated breeds can be found in Table A3 (Appendix F). Previously identified associations from case-control GWA analyses (in any equine breed) in proximity to the genomic regions identified in our study are also presented.

**Figure 2.** Karyogram from the genome-wide association (GWA) analyses indicating the location of 50 SNPs per breed most significantly associated with IgE levels against Culicoides spp. allergens in Belgian Warmblood horses, Icelandic horses, and Shetland ponies.

### 4. Discussions

IBH is a serious welfare concern in several horse breeds, and several studies have tried to identify the genetic background of IBH in order to gain a better understanding of the disease and optimize selection strategies [14–18]. The misclassification of IBH can occur as clinical signs are not always visible, depending on exposure to Culicoides spp., and it may originate from some other cause, making a typical case-control GWA study less powerful [41–43] when compared to a continuous, independent, and objective trait that reflects the underlying sensitivity. Our study used a diagnostic ELISA test with high sensitivity and specificity to assess the IgE levels against Culicoides spp. antigens, resulting in an objective, independent, and quantitative phenotype as input for a GWA study [34,44]. The classical diagnosis of IBH combines the history of the horse and the observation of clinical signs that follow a seasonal pattern [2,3,45]. As this might lead to the failure to detect affected horses, a diagnostic test could give a more reliable observation of the horse’s sensitivity to Culicoides spp. if they have been
exposed to the midges. Several diagnostic tests are available, such as the measurement of inflammatory mediators [46,47], the measurement of wheal size after intradermal allergen challenge [24,48], and the use of an ELISA test to analyze allergen-specific IgE levels in the serum of horses [25,26,29]. These tests mostly use recombinant allergens from different species of Culicoides, and they were able to differentiate between cases and controls in several breeds. While using a C. obsolentus WBE, a sensitivity of 93.2% in Shetland ponies and Icelandic horses was reached with a specificity of 90% [26]. In Belgian Warmblood horses a sensitivity of 70% and a specificity of 97% was obtained combining Cul4, Cul01, and Cul02 with IgE levels against C. obsolentus WBE [29]. In contrast to the classical IBH case-control scoring system, the IgE levels from the allergen-specific ELISA test provided a continuous and objective phenotype that allowed for a more optimal and powerful GWA analysis [34,45]. When compared to the case-control GWA analysis that was performed in our study, more significant associations were identified when using IgE levels. Van der Meide and colleagues [26] showed that there is variation in IgE levels within cases and within controls, and IgE levels against Culicoides spp. antigens were correlated with the severity of IBH clinical signs [30]. Similarly, in humans, a clear correlation has been established between the total IgE levels or allergen-specific IgE levels and severity of eczema signs [49]. Provided that the variation in IgE levels against Culicoides spp. is related to IBH sensitivity, IgE levels will be more accurate in representing this sensitivity when compared to a case-control classification. Moreover, through using IgE levels from an ELISA test with high specificity and sensitivity misdiagnosis is limited and a more direct genotype to phenotype relationship is established.

Associations with IgE levels were found on most chromosomes, where across-breed associations were identified on ECA2, ECA3, ECA6, ECA7, ECA15, and ECA20. The complex nature of IBH supports the selection of any SNP surpassing a reasonable significance threshold, even though no SNP reached genome-wide significance based on the strict Bonferroni correction [50–53]. Although a common genetic background is to be expected across different breeds concerning a Th2 driven IgE production and induction of allergic diseases, selection criteria to sample cases and controls, allergens used to determine the IgE levels, and the SNP density differed between the three breeds under study, which might have contributed to a few regions being associated across breeds. Even with the use of the same density chip in the Shetland pony and Icelandic horse, certain regions in the genome might contain different SNP densities, as each breed underwent a separate quality control. In addition, linkage disequilibrium (LD) between SNPs and a causal mutation might differ between the breeds [54].

When comparing the different GWA analyses on IBH using a case-control approach that was based on clinical signs [14–18] and our quantitative trait being antigen-specific IgE levels against Culicoides spp. revealed several associated genomic regions across various chromosomes in common (Appendix F). For instance, the identified region on ECA6 in Belgian Warmblood horses (44–46 Mb) was in close proximity to regions that were significantly associated with IBH in Shetland ponies [15] and Icelandic horses [17]. Other regions that were associated with IgE levels and IBH status across two or more unrelated breeds were found on ECA1:100 Mb, ECA2:105–107 Mb, ECA4:43–44 Mb, ECA8:62–64 Mb and 78–79 Mb, ECA9:26–27 Mb, ECA10:19–21 Mb and 49–51 Mb, ECA11:40–43 Mb, ECA15:31–33 Mb, ECA16:62–65 Mb, ECA17:74–77 Mb, ECA20:24–26 Mb, 29–35 Mb and 49–52 Mb, and ECA26:14–16 Mb. Besides the MHC class I, II, and III region on ECA20 containing genes that have critical functions in immunity (extensively discussed by [17]), no obvious candidate genes that are involved in the Th2 driven IgE production and induction of allergic disease were detected in close proximity to the identified regions significantly associated with allergen-specific IgE levels or previous GWA analyses based on case-control status. Although several previously identified genomic regions that are associated with IBH were confirmed, new regions of interest were also found. These new regions might potentially represent additional or other pathways identified due to the use of the more objective and quantitative IgE levels, as compared to case-control status representing the presence or absence of clinical signs.

The GWA analyses in Belgian Warmblood horses resulted in more significant associations when IgE levels were used as compared to a case-control status. These analyses allowed for a direct
comparison, as the same horses were used. The identified associations based on case-control status in the same Shetland ponies as investigated in our study were less significant [15]. However, similar significance levels as in our study were observed in Exmoor ponies and Friesian horses [17,18] while using the same SNP density as in Belgian Warmblood horses. The Exmoor pony and Friesian horse populations represent inbred horses breeds and larger samples were used [17,18] as compared to our breeds. Inbreeding likely resulted in higher LD [55] and, when combined with the larger sample size, more power to detect causal variants. A comparison with results from Shrestha and colleagues [16] and Schurink and colleagues [14] is quite challenging, as a Bayesian approach was employed, presenting the percentage of genetic variance explained by 1 Mb windows. The use of allergen-specific IgE levels showed value as a continuous and objective phenotype.

The ELISA test applied in Belgian Warmblood horses used a different set of Culicoides antigens (several recombinant allergens of C. nubeculosus and C. obsoletus) as compared to the test applied in Shetland ponies and Icelandic horses where only allergens of C. obsoletus were used [26,29–32]. Anderson and colleagues [56] showed that cases reacted to all the extracts of Culicoides spp., even when cases had not been exposed to most of the species, which suggested that the allergen(s) were present in all the investigated extracts (native C. obsoletus, C. cockerellii, C. imicola, C. biguttatus, C. varripennis, and non-native C. obsoletus). However, using the diagnostic test in Belgian Warmblood horses, different reactions were observed to several recombinant C. nubeculosus and C. obsoletus antigens, where generally C. obsoletus allergens best differentiated between cases and controls [29]. Hardly any C. nubeculosus and C. sonorensis were found feeding of the horses in the Netherlands [57]. Correspondingly, the observed IgE levels against C. nubeculosus and C. sonorensis allergens were lower when compared to levels against C. obsoletus [26]. The use of different allergens might have contributed to the differences in results that were obtained in Belgian Warmblood horses as compared to Shetland ponies and Icelandic horses.

While using allergen-specific IgE levels against Culicoides spp. in the serum of horses as phenotype for a GWA analysis has allowed for the identification of several new genomic regions of interest. Previous studies used lower density SNP arrays and/or a case-control GWA study design, and they were only able to explain a small part of the IBH heritability [14–18,58]. LD in most breeds declines rapidly, reaching a background level in only 1 or 2 Mb, which indicates that the use of lower density chips will be unable to detect causal mutations at a distance of 2 Mb or more from the genetic marker [59]. In combination with a lower SNP density being employed in Shetland ponies and Icelandic horses, and the use of other Culicoides antigens, this might explain why fewer regions were significantly associated in these breeds as compared to the Belgian Warmblood horse breed [58–60]. Indeed, when a subset of SNPs was selected in the Belgian Warmblood horses, which represented the same density and SNPs being tested in Shetland ponies and Icelandic horses, the obtained associations were less significant (Appendix D). Therefore, our results seem to indicate that it might require a higher density array to take full benefit of using these IgE levels as a more objective, independent, and quantitative phenotype.

5. Conclusions and Implications

Using a diagnostic ELISA test to assess the IgE levels against Culicoides spp. allergens provided a more objective, independent, and quantitative phenotype (as compared to IBH case or control) to be used as input for a GWA study. The GWA analyses employing IgE levels as a phenotype seem to have more power than the GWA analyses that used case-control status as the phenotype. Our GWA results confirmed the complex nature of IBH in horses, affirming several previously identified genomic regions that were associated to IBH and identifying new regions of interest, potentially representing additional or other pathways that are involved in IgE levels as compared to the case-control status. Our findings also seem to indicate that the use of a higher density array might be necessary to fully employ the use of allergen-specific IgE levels. Although our results need to be verified in a larger dataset, the use of allergen-specific IgE levels and the availability of high density SNP genotypes
allowed for the identification of new candidate regions that are possibly important in the aetiology of IBH and contributing to our understanding of its biology.
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**Appendix A**

Exclusion procedure

**ALL HORSES**

1. Excluded horses with no status.
2. Excluded horses with unclear status
3. Excluded horses not recorded as a purebred

**CONTROLS**

4. Excluded horses with no known relationship to the group
5. Excluded horses with unclear sex in questionnaire
6. Excluded horses with full-sibs
   - Females were excluded before males to better balance the gender distribution
   - If multiple full-sibs were the same gender, then youngest were excluded

**CASES**

7. Excluded horses with no known relationship to the group
8. Excluded horses with full-sibs
   - If multiple full-sibs demonstrated the same severity status, then females were excluded to better balance the gender distribution
   - If multiple full-sibs demonstrated the same severity status, were the same gender, then the oldest full-sibs were excluded

9. Equal distribution between cases and controls over Vegetation variable
10. Equal distribution between cases and controls over Soil variable
11. Equal distribution between cases and controls over Stable type variable
12. Remove horses above 30 years of age
13. Exclusion of horses with the lowest quality DNA

**Figure A1.** Exclusion protocol used to select Belgian Warmblood horses for genotyping.
Appendix B

Table A1. Descriptive statistics (mean, standard deviation, minimum and maximum) of IgE levels (OD\textsubscript{450nm} values) and log transformed IgE levels used in the GWA analyses.

| Breed                  | Belgian Warmblood Horse | Icelandic Horse | Shetland Pony |
|------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|
| OD\textsubscript{450nm} Values Mean (SD) | Cases | Controls | Total | Cases | Controls | Total | Cases | Controls | Total |
| Culo1a \(^2\)         | 0.52 (0.78)             | 0.07 (0.11)    | 0.31 (0.62) | -     | -        | -     | -     | -        | -     |
|                       | 0.001 3.64             | 0.003 0.64    | 0.0003 3.64 | -     | -        | -     | -     | -        | -     |
| Culo1b \(^2\)         | -          | -          | -          | 0.89 (0.43) | 0.18 (0.27) | 0.50 (0.38) | 0.80 (0.44) | 0.22 (0.12) | 0.51 (0.35) |
|                       | 0.08 2.18             | 0.06 1.80    | 0.06 2.18   | 0.08 2.21 | 0.08 1.02 | 0.08 2.21 |
| Culo2a \(^2\)         | 0.53 (0.91)             | 0.08 (0.09)  | 0.33 (0.72) | -     | -        | -     | -     | -        | -     |
|                       | 0.0007 3.43            | 0.0005 0.43  | 0.0005 3.43 | -     | -        | -     | -     | -        | -     |
| Culo2b \(^2\)         | -          | -          | -          | 0.42 (0.61) | 0.15 (0.24) | 0.27 (0.61) | 0.44 (0.62) | 0.17 (0.35) | 0.31 (0.64) |
|                       | 0.12 2.18             | 0.07 1.41    | 0.07 2.18   | 0.16 2.12 | 0.08 2.23 | 0.08 2.23 |
| Culo3                  | -          | -          | -          | 1.07 (0.63) | 0.22 (0.32) | 0.59 (0.64) | 1.17 (0.61) | 0.38 (0.40) | 0.78 (0.65) |
|                       | 0.13 2.67             | 0.07 1.95    | 0.07 2.67   | 0.10 2.31 | 0.07 2.04 | 0.07 2.31 |
| Culo4                  | -          | -          | -          | 1.12 (0.37) | 0.25 (0.28) | 0.64 (0.34) | 1.10 (0.44) | 0.32 (0.22) | 0.72 (0.38) |
|                       | 0.08 2.06             | 0.07 1.81    | 0.07 2.06   | 0.08 2.07 | 0.07 1.39 | 0.07 2.07 |
| Culo5                  | -          | -          | -          | 0.37 (0.56) | 0.15 (0.28) | 0.24 (0.56) | 0.48 (0.59) | 0.20 (0.51) | 0.34 (0.68) |
|                       | 0.15 2.18             | 0.10 1.35    | 0.10 2.18   | 0.12 2.54 | 0.11 2.51 | 0.11 2.54 |
| Culo6                  | -          | -          | -          | 0.98 (0.37) | 0.26 (0.20) | 0.58 (0.31) | 1.27 (0.45) | 0.46 (0.15) | 0.88 (0.37) |
|                       | 0.07 1.79             | 0.07 1.61    | 0.07 1.79   | 0.07 3.13 | 0.07 0.88 | 0.07 3.13 |
| Culo7                  | -          | -          | -          | 0.39 (0.56) | 0.15 (0.43) | 0.25 (0.51) | 0.52 (0.61) | 0.20 (0.40) | 0.36 (0.55) |
|                       | 0.08 2.26             | 0.06 2.81    | 0.06 2.81   | 0.11 2.62 | 0.06 3.04 | 0.06 3.08 |
| Combi1 \(^3\)         | -          | -          | -          | 1.35 (0.58) | 0.28 (0.37) | 0.75 (0.71) | 1.50 (0.61) | 0.35 (0.45) | 0.94 (0.79) |
|                       | 0.28 2.65             | 0.07 1.95    | 0.07 2.65   | 0.11 2.62 | 0.08 2.03 | 0.08 2.62 |
Table A1. Cont.

| OD<sub>450nm</sub> Values Mean (SD) | Belgian Warmblood Horse | Icelandic Horse | Shetland Pony |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Minimum Maximum                     | Cases | Controls | Total | Cases | Controls | Total | Cases | Controls | Total |
| Combi2 ³                            | −     | −        | −     | 1.24 (0.62) | 0.31 (0.43) | 0.72 (0.70) | 1.44 (0.63) | 0.41 (0.48) | 0.93 (0.76) |
| Culn1 ⁴                            | 0.35 (0.73) | 0.07 (0.12) | 0.23 (0.58) | 0.18 2.29 | 0.08 1.88 | 0.08 2.29 | 0.17 2.42 | 0.11 2.14 | 0.11 2.42 |
| Culn3 ⁴                            | 0.21 (0.40) | 0.09 (0.15) | 0.15 (0.31) | 0.08 1.88 | 0.08 2.29 | 0.08 2.29 | 0.17 2.42 | 0.11 2.14 | 0.11 2.42 |
| Culn4 ⁴                            | 0.35 (0.50) | 0.11 (0.22) | 0.24 (0.42) | 0.17 1.92 | 0.09 0.59 | 0.09 0.59 | 0.17 1.92 | 0.09 0.59 | 0.17 1.92 |
| Culn5 ⁴                            | 0.17 (0.38) | 0.04 (0.05) | 0.11 (0.29) | 0.15 (0.16) | 0.07 (0.08) | 0.14 (0.16) | 0.13 2.08 | 0.08 1.71 | 0.08 2.08 | 0.13 1.92 | 0.09 0.59 | 0.09 1.92 |
| Culn7 ⁴                            | 0.15 (0.33) | 0.07 (0.06) | 0.12 (0.25) | 0.15 (0.17) | 0.07 (0.08) | 0.14 (0.16) | 0.13 2.08 | 0.08 1.71 | 0.08 2.08 | 0.13 1.92 | 0.09 0.59 | 0.09 1.92 |
| Culn8 ⁴                            | 0.05 (0.06) | 0.04 (0.04) | 0.05 (0.05) | 0.01 1.02 | 0.00 0.35 | 0.00 0.35 | 0.01 1.02 | 0.00 0.35 | 0.00 0.35 | 0.01 1.02 | 0.00 0.35 | 0.00 0.35 |
| Culn10 ⁴                           | 0.13 (0.17) | 0.09 (0.12) | 0.11 (0.15) | 0.16 (0.18) | 0.07 (0.08) | 0.14 (0.16) | 0.13 2.08 | 0.08 1.71 | 0.08 2.08 | 0.13 1.92 | 0.09 0.59 | 0.09 1.92 |
| WBE ⁵                              | 0.20 (0.20) | 0.09 (0.10) | 0.15 (0.17) | 0.13 2.08 | 0.08 1.71 | 0.08 2.08 | 0.13 1.92 | 0.09 0.59 | 0.09 1.92 |
| WBE ⁶                              | −     | −        | −     | 0.89 (0.49) | 0.18 (0.21) | 0.50 (0.51) | 0.80 (0.43) | 0.22 (0.12) | 0.51 (0.44) |
| nubTH ⁷                            | 0.18 (0.18) | 0.07 (0.08) | 0.14 (0.16) | 0.13 2.08 | 0.08 1.71 | 0.08 2.08 | 0.13 1.92 | 0.09 0.59 | 0.09 1.92 |

³ Belguim Warmblood Horse ⁴ Icelandic Horse ⁵ Shetland Pony
| Breed                  | Belgian Warmblood Horse | Icelandic Horse | Shetland Pony |
|------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| **Log transformed OD\(_{480\text{nm}}\)** values |                         |                 |               |
| **Mean (SD)**          |                         |                 |               |
| L_Culo1a 2             | 1.77 (1.64)             | 3.44 (1.42)     | 2.54 (1.75)   |
|                        | −1.29 6.55              | 0.44 8.27       | −1.29 8.27    |
| L_Culo1b 2             | −2.47 0.78              | −2.77 0.59      | −2.54 0.79    |
| L_Culo2a 2             | 1.92 (1.80)             | 3.29 (1.41)     | 2.51 (1.77)   |
|                        | −1.23 7.19              | 0.83 7.60       | −1.23 7.60    |
| L_Culo2b 2             | −2.15 0.78              | −2.65 0.34      | −2.35 0.84    |
| L_Culo3                | −2.07 0.98              | −2.72 0.67      | −2.71 0.71    |
| L_Culo4                | −1.32 (0.73)            | −2.25 (0.55)    | −2.52 0.73    |
| L_Culo5                | −2.47 0.72              | −2.70 0.59      | −2.21 0.92    |
| L_Culo6                | −1.29 (0.80)            | −2.17 (0.56)    | −2.61 −0.13   |

1. Mean (SD) Minimum–maximum
Table A1. Cont.

| Breed                  | Belgian Warmblood Horse | Icelandic Horse | Shetland Pony |
|------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| **Log transformed OD<sub>480nm</sub> values<sup>1</sup>** |                         |                 |               |
| **Mean (SD)**          |                         |                 |               |
| **Minimum–maximum**    |                         |                 |               |
| L_Culo7                | −                       | −               | −             |
|                         | −                       | −               | −             |
|                         | −                       | −               | −             |
|                         | −                       | −               | −             |
|                         | −                       | −               | −             |
| L_Combi1<sup>3</sup>   | −                       | −               | −             |
|                         | −                       | −               | −             |
|                         | −                       | −               | −             |
|                         | −                       | −               | −             |
|                         | −                       | −               | −             |
| L_Combi2<sup>3</sup>   | −                       | −               | −             |
|                         | −                       | −               | −             |
|                         | −                       | −               | −             |
|                         | −                       | −               | −             |
|                         | −                       | −               | −             |
| L_Culn1<sup>4</sup>    | 2.72 (1.89)             | 3.41 (1.44)    | 3.01 (1.75)   |
|                         | −1.27 6.80              | 0.43 8.24      | −1.27 8.24    |
| L_Culn3<sup>4</sup>    | 2.52 (1.51)             | 3.17 (1.35)    | 2.83 (1.47)   |
|                         | −1.07 8.23              | −0.19 7.91     | −1.07 8.23    |
| L_Culn4<sup>4</sup>    | 1.90 (1.48)             | 3.14 (1.49)    | 2.46 (1.61)   |
|                         | −1.04 6.35              | −0.50 8.29     | −1.04 8.29    |
| L_Culn5<sup>4</sup>    | 2.97 (1.66)             | 3.67 (1.27)    | 3.28 (1.53)   |
|                         | −1.08 6.95              | 1.23 8.04      | −1.08 8.04    |
| L_Culn7<sup>4</sup>    | 2.62 (1.20)             | 3.28 (1.29)    | 2.91 (1.28)   |
|                         | −1.07 5.40              | 1.41 7.63      | −1.07 7.63    |
| L_Culn8<sup>4</sup>    | 3.63 (1.44)             | 3.95 (1.32)    | 3.78 (1.39)   |
|                         | 1.18 7.71               | 1.50 7.23      | 1.18 7.71     |
### Table A1.Cont.

| Breed                  | Belgian Warmblood Horse | Icelandic Horse | Shetland Pony |
|------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------|
| **Log transformed OD<sub>450nm</sub> values<sup>1</sup>** |                         |                 |               |
| **Mean (SD)**          | 3.10 (1.76)             | 3.11 (1.42)     | 3.10 (1.62)   |
| **Minimum−maximum**    | 0.18 7.23               | 0.19 8.29       | 0.18 8.29     |
| L_Culn10<sup>4</sup>   | 3.11 (1.42)             | 3.02 (1.35)     | 2.61 (1.48)   |
| L_WBE<sup>5</sup>      | 2.28 (1.50)             | 3.02 (1.35)     | 2.61 (1.48)   |
| L_WBE<sup>6</sup>      | −0.04 8.34              | 0.40 8.27       | −0.04 8.34    |
| L_WBE<sup>6</sup>      | −0.30                   | −1.94           | −1.22         |
| L_nubTH<sup>7</sup>    | 2.19 (1.17)             | 3.53 (1.53)     | 2.72 (1.48)   |
| L_nubTH<sup>7</sup>    | −0.02 6.70              | 1.06 7.40       | −1.23 7.60    |

1 IgE levels (OD<sub>450nm</sub> values) against *Culicoides* spp. were log transformed using the natural logarithm in Icelandic horses and Shetland ponies and using the negative natural logarithm (−ln(OD<sub>450nm</sub> values)) in Belgian Warmblood horses. 2 Similar nomenclature but different proteins were used: Culo1a (Genbank id JX512273) and Culo2a (Genbank ID JX512274) by Peeters and colleagues [29] and Culo1b (Genbank id KC339671) and Culo2b (Genbank ID KC339672) by van der Meide and colleagues [31]. 3 Combi1 contained allergens Culo3, 5, and 7 and Combi2 contained allergens Culo1b, 2b, 5, and 7 [32]. 4 Seven *C. nubeculosus* salivary gland recombinant allergens (Culn1, 3–5, 7, 8, 10) [25,29,36,37]. 5 WBE = whole body extracts from *C. obsoletus* biting midges, as constructed and tested by Peeters and colleagues [29]. 6 WBE = whole body extracts from *C. obsoletus* biting midges, as constructed and tested by van der Meide and colleagues [26]. 7 Thorax and head (TH) extracts of *C. nubeculosus* (body removed) [29].
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Table A2. SNPs most significantly associated with IgE levels against various Culicoides antigens in Belgian Warmblood horses, Icelandic horses and Shetland ponies. As none of the SNPs passed the Bonferroni corrected significance threshold ($1.01 \times 10^{-6}$ in Shetland ponies, $9.50 \times 10^{-7}$ in Icelandic horses, and $1.05 \times 10^{-7}$ in Belgian Warmblood horses), per breed the 50 most significantly associated SNPs are presented, including location, allele frequency, allele substitution effect and p-value.

| SNP             | Breed                        | Chr | Pos  | IgE level | A1 | A2 | Freq A2 | β SE | Pc1df  |
|-----------------|------------------------------|-----|------|-----------|----|----|---------|------|--------|
| BIEC2_27204     | Icelandic horse              | 1   | 62,517,648 | L_Culo6  | B  | A  | 0.03    | 1.339 | 2.81 × 10^{-5} |
| BIEC2_27204     | Icelandic horse              | 1   | 62,517,648 | L_Culo4  | B  | A  | 0.03    | 1.213 | 1.06 × 10^{-4} |
| BIEC2_28234     | Icelandic horse              | 1   | 64,386,608 | L_Culo6  | A  | B  | 0.05    | 0.980 | 4.64 × 10^{-5} |
| BIEC2_37747     | Shetland pony                | 1   | 81,341,895 | L_Culo4  | B  | A  | 0.14    | 0.451 | 2.25 × 10^{-4} |
| AX-103503964    | Icelandic horse              | 1   | 107,931,611| L_Culo4  | B  | A  | 0.21    | 0.491 | 5.91 × 10^{-5} |
| BIEC2_45649     | Icelandic horse              | 1   | 109,071,746| L_Culo5  | B  | A  | 0.26    | 0.569 | 8.00 × 10^{-5} |
| BIEC2_46848     | Icelandic horse              | 1   | 111,803,287| L_Culo4  | A  | B  | 0.08    | 0.809 | 7.44 × 10^{-6} |
| BIEC2_46852     | Icelandic horse              | 1   | 111,806,815| L_Culo4  | A  | B  | 0.08    | 0.809 | 7.44 × 10^{-6} |
| AX-103346536    | Belgian Warmblood horse      | 1   | 155,846,866| L_WBE    | A  | G  | 0.02    | 2.704 | 3.98 × 10^{-6} |
| BIEC2_484391    | Shetland pony                | 2   | 64,289,972 | L_Culo7  | B  | A  | 0.04    | 1.172 | 1.18 × 10^{-4} |
| BIEC2_484575    | Shetland pony                | 2   | 65,851,405 | L_Culo7  | A  | B  | 0.04    | 0.914 | 1.89 × 10^{-4} |
| BIEC2_491244    | Shetland pony                | 2   | 78,068,427 | L_Culo6  | A  | B  | 0.37    | 0.325 | 6.10 × 10^{-5} |
| BIEC2_522114    | Shetland pony                | 2   | 89,890,319 | L_Culo7  | B  | A  | 0.21    | 0.465 | 1.07 × 10^{-4} |
| TBIIEC2_523156  | Shetland pony                | 2   | 91,300,136 | L_Culo1b | B  | A  | 0.13    | 0.389 | 1.81 × 10^{-4} |
| AX-104656854    | Belgian Warmblood horse      | 2   | 107,184,258| L_Cul0a  | G  | A  | 0.01    | 3.847 | 1.50 × 10^{-5} |
| SNP             | Breed                          | Chr | Pos  | IgE level | A1  | A2  | Freq A2 | βSE   | Pctl df |
|-----------------|--------------------------------|-----|------|-----------|-----|-----|---------|-------|---------|
| AX-104651894    | Belgian Warmblood horse        | 3   | 15,403,585 | L_Culn5 | C   | T   | 0.40    | -0.9190.210 | 1.78 × 10⁻⁵ |
| BIEC2_773441    | Icelandic horse                | 3   | 17,214,985 | L_Combi1 | A   | B   | 0.12    | 0.9780.252  | 1.04 × 10⁻⁴ |
| BIEC2_813132    | Shetland pony                  | 3   | 17,751,670 | L_Culo1b | B   | A   | 0.10    | 0.4870.132  | 2.37 × 10⁻⁴ |
| BIEC2_782301    | Shetland pony                  | 3   | 54,836,579 | L_Culo3  | B   | A   | 0.47    | 0.4040.110  | 2.42 × 10⁻⁴ |
| BIEC2-791649    | Icelandic horse                | 3   | 78,402,173 | L_Culo7  | B   | A   | 0.38    | 0.5320.131  | 5.15 × 10⁻⁵ |
| BIEC2-794498    | Icelandic horse                | 3   | 78,402,173 | L_Culo5  | B   | A   | 0.38    | 0.5440.137  | 7.46 × 10⁻⁵ |
| BIEC2-794499    | Icelandic horse                | 3   | 78,402,249 | L_Culo6  | B   | A   | 0.38    | 0.5440.137  | 7.46 × 10⁻⁵ |
| BIEC2-844842    | Shetland pony                  | 4   | 4,540,728  | L_Culo6  | B   | A   | 0.06    | 0.7450.168  | 9.48 × 10⁻⁶ |
| BIEC2-844842    | Shetland pony                  | 4   | 4,540,728  | L_Culo4  | B   | A   | 0.06    | 0.7080.173  | 4.60 × 10⁻⁵ |
| BIEC2-844876    | Shetland pony                  | 4   | 4,590,809  | L_Culo6  | B   | A   | 0.09    | 0.5040.137  | 2.43 × 10⁻⁴ |
| AX-103506369    | Belgian Warmblood horse        | 4   | 44,741,394 | L_Culo3  | T   | C   | 0.02    | -3.3330.755 | 1.00 × 10⁻⁵ |
| AX-103506369    | Belgian Warmblood horse        | 4   | 44,741,394 | L_Culo1  | T   | C   | 0.02    | -3.3330.755 | 1.00 × 10⁻⁵ |
| AX-103506369    | Belgian Warmblood horse        | 4   | 44,741,394 | L_Culo4  | T   | C   | 0.02    | -3.3330.755 | 1.00 × 10⁻⁵ |
| AX-103103078    | Belgian Warmblood horse        | 4   | 44,929,049 | L_Culo3  | C   | T   | 0.02    | -3.3330.755 | 1.00 × 10⁻⁵ |
| AX-103103078    | Belgian Warmblood horse        | 4   | 44,929,049 | L_Culo1  | C   | T   | 0.02    | -3.3330.755 | 1.00 × 10⁻⁵ |
| AX-103103078    | Belgian Warmblood horse        | 4   | 44,929,049 | L_Culo4  | C   | T   | 0.02    | -3.3330.755 | 1.00 × 10⁻⁵ |
| BIEC2-8988668   | Icelandic horse                | 5   | 25,944,960 | L_Culo3  | B   | A   | 0.14    | 0.8420.214  | 8.50 × 10⁻⁵ |
| BIEC2_898714    | Icelandic horse                | 5   | 26,215,264 | L_Culo5  | B   | A   | 0.35    | -0.5760.150 | 1.20 × 10⁻⁴ |
| AX-103271642    | Belgian Warmblood horse        | 5   | 74,007,505 | L_Culo7  | A   | G   | 0.23    | -0.7250.163 | 9.08 × 10⁻⁶ |
| AX-104561711    | Belgian Warmblood horse        | 5   | 74,007,642 | L_Culo7  | G   | A   | 0.23    | -0.7550.163 | 3.89 × 10⁻⁶ |
| AX-10485455     | Belgian Warmblood horse        | 5   | 74,013,902 | L_Culo7  | C   | T   | 0.23    | -0.7120.164 | 1.41 × 10⁻⁵ |
| AX-103834157    | Belgian Warmblood horse        | 5   | 78,269,939 | L_Culo5  | A   | C   | 0.30    | -1.0120.229 | 1.40 × 10⁻⁵ |
| BIEC2_933647    | Shetland pony                  | 5   | 98,392,335 | L_Culo7  | B   | A   | 0.03    | 1.1570.311  | 2.56 × 10⁻⁴ |
Table A2. Cont.

| SNP          | Breed             | Chr | Pos   | IgE level | A1 | A2 | Freq A2 | \(\beta\)SE | Pcdf  |
|--------------|-------------------|-----|-------|-----------|----|----|---------|------------|-------|
| BIEC2_935332 | Shetland pony     | 6   | 1,212,183 | L_Culo4 | A  | B  | 0.10    | 0.5390.138 | 1.18 × 10^{-4} |
| BIEC2_983237 | Shetland pony     | 6   | 1,328,824  | L_Culo4 | B  | A  | 0.10    | 0.5330.138 | 1.26 × 10^{-4} |
| BIEC2_938056 | Icelandic horse   | 6   | 6,834,306  | L_Culo1b | A  | B  | 0.19    | 0.6320.140 | 6.24 × 10^{-6} |
| BIEC2_941245 | Shetland pony     | 6   | 13,162,030 | L_Culo4 | B  | A  | 0.11    | 0.5740.134 | 2.10 × 10^{-5} |
| BIEC2_990454 | Shetland pony     | 6   | 15,765,010 | L_Culo7 | B  | A  | 0.01    | 1.9490.437 | 1.18 × 10^{-5} |
| BIEC2_990454 | Shetland pony     | 6   | 15,765,010 | L_Culo6 | B  | A  | 0.01    | 1.4050.367 | 1.27 × 10^{-4} |
| BIEC2-984144 | Belgian Warmblood horse | 6 | 44,759,822 | L_Culn8 | C  | T  | 0.06    | 1.8150.406 | 7.85 × 10^{-6} |
| BIEC2-984146 | Icelandic horse   | 7   | 11,188,767 | L_Culo7 | B  | A  | 0.47    | 0.5390.138 | 5.64 × 10^{-5} |
| BIEC2-1002598 | Icelandic horse  | 7   | 56,761,597 | L_Culo1b | A  | B  | 0.40    | -0.4500.117 | 1.21 × 10^{-4} |
| AX-10403295  | Belgian Warmblood horse | 7 | 83,435,491 | L_Culn7 | T  | C  | 0.07    | 1.4690.305 | 2.09 × 10^{-6} |
| BIEC2-1010453 | Shetland pony     | 7   | 85,717,480 | L_WBE   | B  | A  | 0.55    | 0.2980.079 | 1.68 × 10^{-4} |
| BIEC2-1010454 | Shetland pony     | 7   | 85,717,499 | L_Culo6 | B  | A  | 0.55    | 0.2980.079 | 1.68 × 10^{-4} |
| BIEC2-1098640 | Icelandic horse   | 8   | 27,964,852 | L_Culo7 | B  | A  | 0.07    | 1.0700.242 | 1.04 × 10^{-5} |
| AX-103393308 | Belgian Warmblood horse | 8 | 64,692,596 | L_WBE   | A  | G  | 0.07    | 1.4050.314 | 1.08 × 10^{-5} |
| AX-103398181 | Belgian Warmblood horse | 8 | 64,784,788 | L_WBE   | C  | T  | 0.06    | 1.4900.331 | 9.18 × 10^{-6} |
| BIEC2_1065702 | Icelandic horse   | 8   | 79,554,471 | L_Culo4 | B  | A  | 0.02    | 1.3070.336 | 1.28 × 10^{-4} |
| BIEC2_1064164 | Shetland pony     | 8   | 82,054,284 | L_Culo6 | B  | A  | 0.03    | -0.8430.230 | 2.41 × 10^{-4} |
| BIEC2_1125196 | Shetland pony     | 8   | 92,699,636 | L_Culo4 | A  | B  | 0.09    | 0.5220.139 | 1.84 × 10^{-4} |
| BIEC2_1141819 | Shetland pony     | 9   | 27,136,208 | L_Culo1b | B  | A  | 0.02    | 1.1030.282 | 8.98 × 10^{-5} |
Table A2. Cont.

| SNP                | Breed                      | Chr | Pos 2 | IgE level 3 | A1 4 | A2 4 | Freq A2 5 | βSE 6 | Pc1df 7 |
|--------------------|----------------------------|-----|--------|-------------|------|------|-----------|-------|---------|
| AX-104628650       | Belgian Warmblood horse    | 10  | 19,284,909 | L_Culn5   | G    | A    | 0.08      | 1.62 \(10^{-353}\) | 5.88 \(10^{-6}\) |
| BIEC2_108460       | Icelandic horse            | 10  | 21,301,438  | L_Culo4    | B    | A    | 0.73      | 0.453 \(10^{-113}\) | 8.24 \(10^{-5}\) |
| BIEC2_122837       | Shetland pony              | 10  | 51,100,177   | L_Culo4    | A    | B    | 0.08      | 0.559 \(10^{-153}\) | 2.68 \(10^{-4}\) |
| BIEC2_129582       | Shetland pony              | 10  | 64,203,886   | L_Culo7    | B    | A    | 0.01      | 1.911 \(10^{-435}\) | 1.57 \(10^{-5}\) |
| TBIEC2_136550      | Shetland pony              | 10  | 64,532,326   | L_Culo7    | B    | A    | 0.26      | 0.485 \(10^{-110}\) | 1.55 \(10^{-5}\) |
| BIEC2_131860       | Icelandic horse            | 10  | 76,735,207   | L_Culo3    | B    | A    | 0.03      | 1.784 \(10^{-451}\) | 7.54 \(10^{-5}\) |
| BIEC2_152809       | Icelandic horse            | 11  | 40,721,405   | L_WBE      | A    | B    | 0.47      | −0.562 \(10^{-137}\) | 4.95 \(10^{-5}\) |
| AX-103887059       | Belgian Warmblood horse    | 11  | 43,666,485   | L_Culn3    | C    | T    | 0.07      | −1.795 \(10^{-415}\) | 1.55 \(10^{-5}\) |
| AX-103887059       | Belgian Warmblood horse    | 11  | 43,666,485   | L_Culn1    | C    | T    | 0.07      | −1.795 \(10^{-415}\) | 1.55 \(10^{-5}\) |
| AX-103887059       | Belgian Warmblood horse    | 11  | 43,666,485   | L_Culn4    | C    | T    | 0.07      | −1.795 \(10^{-415}\) | 1.55 \(10^{-5}\) |
| AX-103378324       | Belgian Warmblood horse    | 12  | 7,216,566    | L_Culo2a   | T    | C    | 0.02      | 3.183 \(10^{-703}\) | 5.90 \(10^{-6}\) |
| AX-103538080       | Belgian Warmblood horse    | 12  | 19,588,916   | L_Culo1a   | T    | G    | 0.12      | −1.200 \(10^{-278}\) | 1.60 \(10^{-5}\) |
| AX-104700154       | Belgian Warmblood horse    | 13  | 2,214,862    | L_Culn8    | G    | A    | 0.48      | −0.844 \(10^{-183}\) | 4.16 \(10^{-6}\) |
| AX-104619436       | Belgian Warmblood horse    | 13  | 11,360,389   | L_WBE      | C    | T    | 0.28      | 0.803 \(10^{-172}\) | 4.18 \(10^{-6}\) |
| BIEC2_223584       | Icelandic horse            | 13  | 17,801,684   | L_Culo5    | A    | B    | 0.30      | 0.543 \(10^{-137}\) | 7.07 \(10^{-5}\) |
| BIEC2_223584       | Icelandic horse            | 13  | 17,801,684   | L_Culo6    | A    | B    | 0.30      | 0.458 \(10^{-116}\) | 9.00 \(10^{-5}\) |
| BIEC2-239709       | Shetland pony              | 14  | 4,274,260    | L_Combi2   | B    | A    | 0.38      | 0.527 \(10^{-136}\) | 1.03 \(10^{-4}\) |
| BIEC2_243569       | Shetland pony              | 14  | 13,580,552   | L_Culo4    | A    | B    | 0.19      | −0.420 \(10^{-104}\) | 5.28 \(10^{-5}\) |
| BIEC2_259420       | Shetland pony              | 14  | 54,397,113   | L_Culo7    | B    | A    | 0.01      | 1.873 \(10^{-482}\) | 1.33 \(10^{-4}\) |
| AX-104663195       | Belgian Warmblood horse    | 14  | 55,773,239   | L_Culo8    | C    | T    | 0.02      | 3.097 \(10^{-687}\) | 6.64 \(10^{-6}\) |
| BIEC2_262621       | Icelandic horse            | 14  | 67,999,643   | L_Combi2   | B    | A    | 0.49      | −0.648 \(10^{-158}\) | 3.99 \(10^{-5}\) |
| BIEC2_262673       | Icelandic horse            | 14  | 68,068,555   | L_Combi2   | A    | B    | 0.53      | −0.620 \(10^{-152}\) | 4.67 \(10^{-5}\) |
| BIEC2-289295       | Icelandic horse            | 15  | 14,642,890   | L_Combi1   | B    | A    | 0.10      | −1.004 \(10^{-241}\) | 3.00 \(10^{-5}\) |
| BIEC2-289295       | Icelandic horse            | 15  | 14,642,890   | L_Combi2   | B    | A    | 0.10      | −0.923 \(10^{-229}\) | 5.72 \(10^{-5}\) |
| BIEC2_289301       | Icelandic horse            | 15  | 14,647,231   | L_Combi1   | A    | B    | 0.10      | −1.004 \(10^{-241}\) | 3.00 \(10^{-5}\) |
| BIEC2_289301       | Icelandic horse            | 15  | 14,647,231   | L_Combi2   | A    | B    | 0.10      | −0.923 \(10^{-229}\) | 5.72 \(10^{-5}\) |
| BIEC2-294189       | Icelandic horse            | 15  | 21,210,210   | L_Culo2b   | B    | A    | 0.26      | 0.651 \(10^{-191}\) | 5.23 \(10^{-5}\) |
| AX-103998137       | Belgian Warmblood horse    | 15  | 31,741,601   | L_Culo8    | A    | C    | 0.44      | 0.763 \(10^{-174}\) | 1.22 \(10^{-5}\) |
| AX-104442678       | Belgian Warmblood horse    | 15  | 54,538,291   | L_Culo7    | G    | A    | 0.03      | −1.641 \(10^{-380}\) | 1.57 \(10^{-5}\) |
Table A2. Cont.

| SNP       | Breed               | Chr | Pos      | IgE level | A1 | A2 | Freq A2 | βSE | P<1df |
|-----------|---------------------|-----|----------|-----------|----|----|---------|-----|-------|
| BIEC2_355030 | Icelandic horse    | 16  | 62,046,387 | L_Combi2  | A  | B  | 0.19    | 0.760^{1.194} | 8.77 \times 10^{-5} |
| BIEC2_355030 | Icelandic horse    | 16  | 62,046,387 | L_Culo5   | A  | B  | 0.19    | 0.639^{1.166} | 1.24 \times 10^{-4} |
| BIEC2_373511 | Shetland pony      | 17  | 19,689,865 | L_Culo4   | B  | A  | 0.02    | 1.229^{3.319} | 1.21 \times 10^{-4} |
| BIEC2_395885 | Shetland pony      | 17  | 45,644,294 | L_Culo6   | B  | A  | 0.04    | -0.744^{2.03}  | 2.42 \times 10^{-4} |
| AX-103325608 | Belgian Warmblood  | 17  | 74,894,091 | L_WBE     | G  | A  | 0.01    | 3.865^{0.864}  | 1.06 \times 10^{-5} |
| AX-103932279 | Belgian Warmblood  | 17  | 75,173,007 | L_WBE     | T  | C  | 0.01    | 3.865^{0.864}  | 1.06 \times 10^{-5} |
| AX-104268962 | Belgian Warmblood  | 17  | 75,184,430 | L_WBE     | A  | C  | 0.02    | 3.449^{0.675}  | 4.92 \times 10^{-7} |
| AX-104514365 | Belgian Warmblood  | 18  | 26,032,740 | L_Culn5   | A  | G  | 0.04    | 2.170^{0.499}  | 1.90 \times 10^{-5} |
| AX-103909004 | Belgian Warmblood  | 18  | 58,215,227 | L_Culn10  | T  | C  | 0.01    | 4.244^{0.965}  | 1.08 \times 10^{-5} |
| AX-104652798 | Belgian Warmblood  | 18  | 58,248,171 | L_Culn10  | G  | T  | 0.01    | 4.250^{0.965}  | 1.05 \times 10^{-5} |
| TBIEC2_461038 | Icelandic horse    | 19  | 39,283,640 | L_Culo7   | B  | A  | 0.35    | -0.529^{0.132} | 6.43 \times 10^{-5} |
| BIEC2_526197  | Shetland pony      | 20  | 26,221,179 | L_Culo7   | B  | A  | 0.11    | 0.679^{0.158}  | 2.31 \times 10^{-5} |
| UKUL3411     | Icelandic horse    | 20  | 32,902,939 | L_Culo4   | A  | B  | 0.16    | -0.642^{0.155} | 4.89 \times 10^{-5} |
| BIEC2_558521  | Shetland pony      | 20  | 34,924,555 | L_Culo7   | A  | B  | 0.59    | -0.383^{0.098} | 1.24 \times 10^{-4} |
| UKUL3474     | Shetland pony      | 20  | 35,643,200 | L_WBE     | B  | A  | 0.53    | -0.416^{0.096} | 1.32 \times 10^{-4} |
| UKUL3474     | Shetland pony      | 20  | 35,643,200 | L_Culo7   | B  | A  | 0.53    | -0.373^{0.098} | 2.10 \times 10^{-4} |
| UKUL3477     | Shetland pony      | 20  | 35,692,652 | L_WBE     | A  | B  | 0.45    | 0.407^{0.096}  | 2.36 \times 10^{-5} |
| UKUL3477     | Shetland pony      | 20  | 35,692,652 | L_Culo7   | A  | B  | 0.45    | 0.382^{0.099}  | 1.51 \times 10^{-4} |
| BIEC2_532826  | Shetland pony      | 20  | 42,983,718 | L_Culo1b  | B  | A  | 0.45    | 0.292^{0.075}  | 9.39 \times 10^{-5} |
| BIEC2_538781  | Shetland pony      | 20  | 51,509,431 | L_Culo1b  | A  | B  | 0.27    | -0.337^{0.087} | 1.01 \times 10^{-4} |
| AX-104089679 | Belgian Warmblood  | 20  | 52,011,107 | L_nubTH   | C  | T  | 0.02    | 3.788^{0.884}  | 1.87 \times 10^{-5} |
| BIEC2_560852  | Shetland pony      | 21  | 30,022,509 | L_Culo7   | B  | A  | 0.37    | 0.372^{0.099}  | 2.38 \times 10^{-4} |
| BIEC2_560854  | Shetland pony      | 21  | 30,022,801 | L_Culo7   | A  | B  | 0.37    | 0.372^{0.099}  | 2.38 \times 10^{-4} |
| BIEC2_560857  | Shetland pony      | 21  | 30,033,646 | L_Culo7   | B  | A  | 0.37    | 0.372^{0.099}  | 2.38 \times 10^{-4} |
| BIEC2_561034  | Icelandic horse    | 21  | 31,044,321 | L_Culo6   | A  | B  | 0.04    | 1.069^{0.272}  | 8.97 \times 10^{-5} |
| AX-103656067 | Belgian Warmblood  | 22  | 1,680,310  | L_WBE     | C  | T  | 0.11    | 1.234^{0.258}  | 2.42 \times 10^{-6} |
| BIEC2_577012  | Icelandic horse    | 22  | 4,630,951  | L_Culo7   | A  | B  | 0.10    | 0.845^{0.213}  | 7.31 \times 10^{-5} |
| AX-104834793 | Belgian Warmblood  | 22  | 41,631,523 | L_Culo5   | G  | A  | 0.04    | 2.236^{0.487}  | 6.33 \times 10^{-6} |
| BIEC2-600796  | Icelandic horse    | 22  | 46,231,600 | L_Culo4   | A  | B  | 0.33    | 0.465^{0.114}  | 6.49 \times 10^{-5} |
Table A2. Cont.

| SNP           | Breed             | Chr  | Pos   | IgE level | A1  | A2  | Freq A2 | \( \beta \)SE \( ^6 \) | \( P_{c.1df} \) \( ^7 \) |
|---------------|-------------------|------|-------|-----------|-----|-----|---------|----------------|------------------|
| BIEC2_626250  | Shetland pony     | 23   | 48,348,163 | L_Culo4   | A   | B   | 0.28    | 0.32\( ^{0.089} \) | 2.47 \( \times 10^{-4} \) |
| BIEC2_626256  | Shetland pony     | 23   | 48,348,439 | L_Culo7   | B   | A   | 0.25    | 0.42\( ^{0.114} \) | 2.58 \( \times 10^{-4} \) |
| BIEC2_658221  | Shetland pony     | 23   | 48,411,716 | L_Culo6   | A   | B   | 0.32    | -0.34\( ^{0.092} \) | 2.33 \( \times 10^{-4} \) |
| BIEC2_658438  | Shetland pony     | 23   | 49,092,678 | L_Culo6   | A   | B   | 0.31    | -0.35\( ^{0.093} \) | 1.38 \( \times 10^{-4} \) |
| BIEC2_706297  | Shetland pony     | 25   | 35,644,297 | L_Culo1b  | B   | A   | 0.13    | -0.40\( ^{0.109} \) | 2.01 \( \times 10^{-4} \) |
| AX-103278700  | Belgian Warmblood horse | 26 | 7,825,717 | L_Culo1a  | T   | C   | 0.22    | -1.00\( ^{0.233} \) | 1.78 \( \times 10^{-5} \) |
| AX-103417398  | Belgian Warmblood horse | 26 | 9,338,672 | L_Culo2a  | A   | G   | 0.09    | -1.83\( ^{0.383} \) | 1.72 \( \times 10^{-6} \) |
| BIEC2_686588  | Icelandic horse   | 26   | 16,094,903 | L_Culo4   | B   | A   | 0.20    | 0.53\( ^{0.136} \) | 1.15 \( \times 10^{-4} \) |
| BIEC2_692781  | Icelandic horse   | 26   | 30,306,210 | L_Culo3   | A   | B   | 0.51    | -0.61\( ^{0.148} \) | 3.44 \( \times 10^{-5} \) |
| AX-104737542  | Belgian Warmblood horse | 27 | 23,911,229 | L_Culn5   | T   | C   | 0.46    | 0.83\( ^{0.181} \) | 5.88 \( \times 10^{-6} \) |
| AX-103948838  | Belgian Warmblood horse | 29 | 12,952,739 | L_Culn10  | T   | C   | 0.06    | 1.72\( ^{0.400} \) | 1.53 \( \times 10^{-5} \) |

1 Chr = chromosome. 2 Pos = position in base pairs. 3 IgE levels against Culicoides spp. were log transformed. 4 A1 = allele 1; A2 = allele 2. 5 Freq A2 = frequency of allele 2. 6 \( \beta \)SE = allele substitution effect using "mmscore" function, with standard error in superscript. 7 \( P_{c.1df} \) = p-value corrected for inflation due to stratification, based on 1 degree of freedom, using "mmscore" function, corrected for substructure by use of the assigned cluster.
Appendix D

Figure A2. Manhattan plot with position on the genome on the x-axis and significance (−log₁₀(p-values)) on the y-axis in the Belgian Warmblood horse population for L_Culn7 using (a) all 474,128 SNPs after quality control, or (b) a subset of all SNPs representing the density used in the Shetland pony and Icelandic horse populations, being the overlap between the two SNP arrays that were used to genotype the individuals. The red line represents the Bonferonni corrected significance threshold and the black line a nominal significance threshold (p-value = 1 × 10⁻⁵). Q-Q plots indicating that inflation factor lambda was <1, requiring no further adjustment.
Appendix E

Figure A3. Manhattan plot with position on the genome on the x-axis and significance ($-\log_{10}(p$-values$)$) on the y-axis in the Belgian Warmblood horse population for IBH status (case-control) using a structured association analysis in GenABEL package in R [35,38]. The red line represents the Bonferroni corrected significance threshold and the grey line a nominal significance threshold ($p$-value $= 1 \times 10^{-5}$). Q-Q plot indicating that inflation factor lambda was <1, requiring no further adjustment.
Appendix F

**Table A3.** Comprehensive list of regions associated with antigen-specific IgE levels identified in any of the three investigated breeds, along with previously identified genomic regions through a case-control approach in proximity.

| Study                          | Breed                        | Chr | Pos (Mb) |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------|-----|----------|
| Current study                 | Icelandic horse              | 1   | 62       |
| Current study                 | Icelandic horse              | 1   | 64       |
| Current study                 | Shetland pony                | 1   | 81       |
| Current study                 | Belgian Warmblood horse      | 1   | 100      |
| Velie and colleagues [18]     | Exmoor pony                  | 1   | 100      |
| Current study                 | Icelandic horse              | 1   | 107      |
| Current study                 | Icelandic horse              | 1   | 109      |
| Current study                 | Icelandic horse              | 1   | 111      |
| Schurink and colleagues [15]  | Shetland pony                | 1   | 116      |
| Current study                 | Belgian Warmblood horse      | 1   | 155      |
| Current study                 | Icelandic horse              | 2   | 16       |
| Current study                 | Icelandic horse              | 2   | 52       |
| Current study                 | Shetland pony                | 2   | 53       |
| Current study                 | Icelandic horse              | 2   | 55       |
| Current study                 | Belgian Warmblood horse      | 2   | 57       |
| Current study                 | Icelandic horse              | 2   | 63       |
| Current study                 | Shetland pony                | 2   | 64       |
| Current study                 | Shetland pony                | 2   | 65       |
| Current study                 | Shetland pony                | 2   | 78       |
| Current study                 | Shetland pony                | 2   | 89       |
| Current study                 | Shetland pony                | 2   | 91       |
| Schurink and colleagues [16]  | Friesian horse               | 2   | 105      |
| Current study                 | Belgian Warmblood horse      | 2   | 107      |
| Velie and colleagues [18]     | Exmoor pony                  | 2   | 116      |
| Schurink and colleagues [14]  | Shetland pony                | 3   | 8        |
| Schurink and colleagues [15]  | Shetland pony                | 3   | 8        |
| Current study                 | Belgian Warmblood horse      | 3   | 15       |
| Current study                 | Icelandic horse              | 3   | 17       |
| Current study                 | Shetland pony                | 3   | 17       |
| Schurink and colleagues [14]  | Shetland pony                | 3   | 17       |
| Schurink and colleagues [14]  | Shetland pony                | 3   | 50–51    |
| Current study                 | Shetland pony                | 3   | 54       |
| Schurink and colleagues [15]  | Shetland pony                | 3   | 64       |
| Current study                 | Shetland pony                | 3   | 71       |
| Current study                 | Icelandic horse              | 3   | 78       |
| Shrestha and colleagues [17]  | Icelandic horse              | 3   | 87       |
| Velie and colleagues [18]     | Exmoor pony                  | 4   | 1        |
| Current study                 | Shetland pony                | 4   | 4        |
| Schurink and colleagues [14]  | Icelandic horse              | 4   | 43       |
| Current study                 | Belgian Warmblood horse      | 4   | 44       |
| Current study                 | Icelandic horse              | 5   | 25–26    |
| Schurink and colleagues [14]  | Icelandic horse              | 5   | 26       |
| Shrestha and colleagues [17]  | Icelandic horse              | 5   | 71       |
| Current study                 | Belgian Warmblood horse      | 5   | 74       |
| Current study                 | Belgian Warmblood horse      | 5   | 78       |
| Current study                 | Shetland pony                | 5   | 98       |
| Study                        | Breed                | Chr | Pos (Mb) |
|------------------------------|----------------------|-----|----------|
| Current study                | Shetland pony        | 6   | 1        |
| Schurink and colleagues [14] | Icelandic horse      | 6   | 6        |
| Current study                | Icelandic horse      | 6   | 6        |
| Current study                | Shetland pony        | 6   | 13       |
| Current study                | Shetland pony        | 6   | 15       |
| Current study                | Belgian Warmblood horse | 6   | 44–46    |
| Schurink and colleagues [15] | Shetland pony        | 6   | 47       |
| Shrestha and colleagues [17] | Icelandic horse      | 6   | 48       |
| Velie and colleagues [18]    | Exmoor pony          | 6   | 55       |
| Current study                | Belgian Warmblood horse | 6   | 59       |
| Current study                | Icelandic horse      | 6   | 73       |
| Current study                | Belgian Warmblood horse | 6   | 79       |
| Schurink and colleagues [15] | Shetland pony        | 7   | 13       |
| Current study                | Icelandic horse      | 7   | 19       |
| Schurink and colleagues [14] | Icelandic horse      | 7   | 55       |
| Current study                | Icelandic horse      | 7   | 56       |
| Shrestha and colleagues [17] | Icelandic horse      | 7   | 57       |
| Schurink and colleagues [15] | Shetland pony        | 7   | 61       |
| Current study                | Belgian Warmblood horse | 7   | 82       |
| Current study                | Shetland pony        | 7   | 85       |
| Schurink and colleagues [14] | Shetland pony        | 7   | 85       |
| Schurink and colleagues [15] | Icelandic horse      | 8   | 27       |
| Velie and colleagues [18]    | Exmoor pony          | 8   | 62       |
| Schurink and colleagues [14] | Shetland pony        | 8   | 63       |
| Current study                | Belgian Warmblood horse | 8   | 64       |
| Schurink and colleagues [15] | Shetland pony        | 8   | 70       |
| Velie and colleagues [18]    | Exmoor pony          | 8   | 70–71    |
| Velie and colleagues [18]    | Exmoor pony          | 8   | 78–79    |
| Current study                | Icelandic horse      | 8   | 79       |
| Current study                | Shetland pony        | 8   | 82       |
| Current study                | Shetland pony        | 8   | 92       |
| Velie and colleagues [18]    | Exmoor pony          | 9   | 26       |
| Current study                | Shetland pony        | 9   | 27       |
| Schurink and colleagues [16] | Friesian horse       | 10  | 12–13    |
| Shrestha and colleagues [17] | Icelandic horse      | 10  | 19       |
| Current study                | Belgian Warmblood horse | 10  | 19       |
| Current study                | Icelandic horse      | 10  | 21       |
| Shrestha and colleagues [17] | Icelandic horse      | 10  | 30       |
| Shrestha and colleagues [17] | Icelandic horse      | 10  | 35       |
| Shrestha and colleagues [17] | Icelandic horse      | 10  | 41       |
| Shrestha and colleagues [17] | Icelandic horse      | 10  | 49       |
| Current study                | Shetland pony        | 10  | 51       |
| Current study                | Shetland pony        | 10  | 64       |
| Current study                | Icelandic horse      | 10  | 76       |
| Schurink and colleagues [14] | Shetland pony        | 11  | 32       |
| Schurink and colleagues [15] | Shetland pony        | 11  | 32–33    |
| Current study                | Icelandic horse      | 11  | 40       |
| Schurink and colleagues [14] | Icelandic horse      | 11  | 40       |
| Schurink and colleagues [16] | Friesian horse       | 11  | 41       |
| Current study                | Belgian Warmblood horse | 11  | 43       |
| Schurink and colleagues [16] | Belgian Warmblood horse | 12  | 7        |
| Current study                | Friesian horse       | 12  | 19       |
| Schurink and colleagues [16] | Belgian Warmblood horse | 12  | 19       |
Table A3. Cont.

| Study                        | Breed                      | Chr | Pos (Mb)  |
|------------------------------|----------------------------|-----|-----------|
| Current study                | Belgian Warmblood horse    | 13  | 2         |
| Current study                | Belgian Warmblood horse    | 13  | 11        |
| Current study                | Icelandic horse            | 13  | 17        |
| Current study                | Shetland pony              | 14  | 4         |
| Current study                | Shetland pony              | 14  | 13        |
| Current study                | Shetland pony              | 14  | 54        |
| Current study                | Belgian Warmblood horse    | 14  | 55        |
| Schurink and colleagues [16] | Friesian horse             | 14  | 64        |
| Current study                | Icelandic horse            | 14  | 67–68     |
| Current study                | Icelandic horse            | 15  | 14        |
| Schurink and colleagues [14] | Icelandic horse            | 15  | 19–20     |
| Current study                | Icelandic horse            | 15  | 21        |
| Current study                | Belgian Warmblood horse    | 15  | 31        |
| Schurink and colleagues [14] | Icelandic horse            | 15  | 32–33     |
| Current study                | Belgian Warmblood horse    | 15  | 54        |
| Velie and colleagues [18]    | Exmoor pony                | 16  | 58–59     |
| Current study                | Icelandic horse            | 16  | 62        |
| Velie and colleagues [18]    | Exmoor pony                | 16  | 62–63     |
| Velie and colleagues [18]    | Exmoor pony                | 16  | 65        |
| Current study                | Shetland pony              | 17  | 19        |
| Current study                | Shetland pony              | 17  | 45        |
| Current study                | Belgian Warmblood horse    | 17  | 74–75     |
| Schurink and colleagues [14] | Shetland pony              | 17  | 75–76     |
| Shrestha and colleagues [17] | Icelandic horse            | 17  | 77        |
| Current study                | Belgian Warmblood horse    | 18  | 26        |
| Shrestha and colleagues [17] | Icelandic horse            | 18  | 26        |
| Schurink and colleagues [14] | Icelandic horse            | 18  | 32        |
| Current study                | Belgian Warmblood horse    | 18  | 58        |
| Current study                | Icelandic horse            | 19  | 39        |
| Schurink and colleagues [16] | Friesian horse             | 20  | 19        |
| Schurink and colleagues [16] | Friesian horse             | 20  | 24        |
| Schurink and colleagues [16] | Friesian horse             | 20  | 26        |
| Current study                | Shetland pony              | 20  | 26        |
| Velie and colleagues [18]    | Exmoor pony                | 20  | 29        |
| Schurink and colleagues [14] | Icelandic horse            | 20  | 30        |
| Schurink and colleagues [16] | Friesian horse             | 20  | 29–30     |
| Schurink and colleagues [16] | Friesian horse             | 20  | 30–32     |
| Schurink and colleagues [16] | Friesian horse             | 20  | 32        |
| Current study                | Shetland pony              | 20  | 32        |
| Velie and colleagues [18]    | Exmoor pony                | 20  | 33        |
| Current study                | Shetland pony              | 20  | 34–35     |
| Schurink and colleagues [14] | Shetland pony              | 20  | 35        |
| Schurink and colleagues [14] | Shetland pony              | 20  | 41        |
| Schurink and colleagues [15] | Shetland pony              | 20  | 41        |
| Current study                | Shetland pony              | 20  | 42        |
| Velie and colleagues [18]    | Exmoor pony                | 20  | 49        |
| Current study                | Shetland pony              | 20  | 51        |
| Current study                | Belgian Warmblood horse    | 20  | 52        |
| Schurink and colleagues [16] | Friesian horse             | 21  | 10        |
| Current study                | Shetland pony              | 21  | 30        |
| Current study                | Icelandic horse            | 21  | 31        |
Table A3. Cont.

| Study                        | Breed                  | Chr  | Pos (Mb)  |
|------------------------------|------------------------|------|-----------|
| Current study                | Belgian Warmblood horse | 22   | 1         |
| Current study                | Icelandic horse        | 22   | 4         |
| Current study                | Belgian Warmblood horse | 22   | 41        |
| Current study                | Icelandic horse        | 22   | 46        |
| Schurink and colleagues [16] | Friesian horse         | 23   | 32        |
| Current study                | Shetland pony          | 23   | 48–49     |
| Schurink and colleagues [16] | Friesian horse         | 26   | 1         |
| Shrestha and colleagues [17] | Icelandic horse        | 26   | 3         |
| Current study                | Belgian Warmblood horse | 26   | 7         |
| Current study                | Belgian Warmblood horse | 26   | 9         |
| Velie and colleagues [18]    | Exmoor pony            | 26   | 14        |
| Current study                | Icelandic horse        | 26   | 16        |
| Current study                | Icelandic horse        | 26   | 30        |
| Schurink and colleagues [15] | Shetland pony          | 27   | 13        |
| Schurink and colleagues [14] | Shetland pony          | 27   | 13        |
| Current study                | Belgian Warmblood horse | 27   | 23        |
| Current study                | Belgian Warmblood horse | 29   | 12        |

1 Chr = chromosome. 2 Pos (Mb) = position in mega base pairs.
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