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Abstract

Purpose: This study tested two hypotheses: a) that during COVID-19 isolation the incidence rate of food addiction is increased, and b) people with present time perspective (TP) are more likely exhibited signs of food addiction (FA).

Methods: The final study sample included 949 people, mean age 21.8 ± 7.8 years (range: 17-71 years, women: 78.3%). Each participant indicated their personal data and completed Yale Food Addiction Scale and the Zimbardo Time Perspective Inventory.

Results: There was an increased incidence rate of FA (OR = 1.678, 95% CI = 1.324, 2.148, \( p = .000 \)) during COVID-19 isolation. Individuals with balanced, future, and past positive TP were less likely to exhibit symptoms of FA. Persons with past negative, and present hedonistic TP were more likely to exhibit signs of FA.

Conclusion: There was an increased incidence rate of FA during COVID-19 isolation. Persons with shortened time horizon are more likely to exhibit symptoms of FA.

Level of Evidence: Level V, cross-sectional descriptive study.

Introduction

The global spread of COVID-19 has been associated with significant changes in the lifestyle of the majority of the population. Pandemic-induced restrictions have had a significant impact on diet and food behavior. A significant increase in daily dietary energy intake during isolation was reported [1]. At the same time, there was a significant decrease in the quality of food consumed [1]. Approximately half of adults reported an increase in their intake of high-calorie, high-carbohydrate foods [2].

During the COVID-19 pandemic, limited contact between people and an associated deterioration of their psycho-emotional state have occurred. A review [3] showed a 7-fold increase in the detection rate of depression during the pandemic. Taking into account the previously noted close association between depression and food addiction (FA) [4, 5], it is expected that there will be an increase in FA detection rates during the COVID-19 pandemic. In support of this statement, it was shown that individuals who experienced distress during the COVID-19 pandemic had an increase in the number of FA symptoms [6].

Human's behavioral strategies and life planning may be significantly influenced as a result of economic and social instability due to COVID-19 pandemic which increases unpredictability of future. Life history theory outlines two life history strategies (LHS) for human adaptation to changes in the social environment: slow LHS and fast LHS [7]. Slow LHS prevails during periods of time when the social environment is stable and predictable. Under such conditions, a person has the ability to make long-term plans. Fast LHS prevails in human society when the social environment acquires features of uncertainty and unpredictability, leading to difficulty in making long-term plans. Persons with slow LHS are prone to
the formation of stable family relationships [8]. Persons with fast LHS are less likely to build long-term family relationships. These people are prone to risky behavior, and neglect their health. As a consequence, they have a shorter life expectancy [8, 9].

Previously, the Zimbardo Time Perspective Inventory (ZTPI) [10] was shown to provide an adequate estimate of LHS. There is a close association between time perspective (TP) and LHS [11]. There is also a positive relationship between slow LHS and future TP, as well as between fast LHS and present TP [12]. These data indicate that the ZTPI is a useful tool for assessing the adaptive qualities of the two types of LHS during a transition from stability to uncertainty in the social environment.

Previously, numerous studies have shown that present TP is positively associated with problematic use of nicotine [13, 14], alcohol [13, 15], and drugs [13, 16] and with Internet addiction [17], while future TP is negatively correlated with these addictions. Overall, these data are consistent with the view that individuals with addiction have a shortened time horizon [18].

Currently, there is no information about the relationship between TP and FA. Investigation of the relationship between these indicators is of great theoretical importance. Although the concept of FA has numerous supporters who have now identified many similarities between FA and other types of addictions, a number of publications have expressed critical comments about the validity of introducing this term into scientific circulation [19]. Revealing the relationship between TP and FA can be considered an additional confirmation of the validity of the FA concept.

The purpose of this study was, first, to compare the frequency of FA detection before and during COVID-19 isolation and, second, to assess the relationship between TP and FA during the COVID-19 pandemic. We hypothesized that under these conditions, an increase in the frequency of FA would occur, and individuals with future TP would show a negative association with FA, whereas those with present TP would show a positive association with FA.

**Materials And Methods**

The study was conducted from April 17 to June 14, 2020 using an online questionnaire survey. The current study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki, and all procedures involving research study participants were approved by the Ethical Committee of the Institute of Physiology, Komi Science Center the Ural Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences. Verbal informed consent was obtained from all study participants. Verbal consent was witnessed and formally recorded.

**Study participants**

Information about the study was distributed among teachers, researchers, postgraduates and students of universities located in four cities in Russia. A total of 2,500 invitations were sent, to which 949 people responded and filled out all the questionnaires (response rate: 38%). The mean age of study participants
was 21.8 ± 7.8 years (range: 17–71 years, women: 78.3%). The majority of the research participants were university students. During the study period, an isolation regime was introduced in Russia, requiring individuals to stay at home. Residents were allowed a limited time outside for exercise, walking pets, and visiting nearby shops and pharmacies. School and work were primarily remote; however, certain categories of persons were issued a special pass to visit their place of work and use of public transport. When asked what mode of work/school they were participating in during the first wave of COVID-19 pandemic, 93% of the study participants reported that they were in isolation. Detailed characteristics of the study participants are presented in Table 1.

| Parameter, units                  | Abbreviations (Instrument) | Mean  | SD   |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------|------|
| N                                |                           | 949   |      |
| Age, years                       |                           | 21.81 | 7.77 |
| Body mass index, %               | BMI                       | 48.90 | 24.47|
| Waist-to-height ratio            | WHtR                      | 0.42  | 0.07 |
| Time perspective                 |                           |       |      |
| Past negative, scores            | PA- (ZTPI)                | 3.25  | 0.48 |
| Past positive, scores            | PA+ (ZTPI)                | 2.87  | 0.70 |
| Present hedonistic, scores       | PR_H (ZTPI)               | 3.60  | 0.50 |
| Present fatalistic, scores       | PR_F (ZTPI)               | 3.59  | 0.58 |
| Future, scores                   | FUT (ZTPI)                | 2.75  | 0.50 |
| Deviation from balanced TP, scores| DBTP (ZTPI)              | 2.27  | 0.65 |
| Food addiction                   |                           |       |      |
| Food addiction, %                | FA (YFAS)                 | 15.49 |      |
| Symptom counts of FA, scores     | SC (YFAS)                 | 2.45  | 1.65 |

ZTPI = Zimbardo Time Perspective Inventory; YFAS = Yale Food Addiction Scale.

**Instruments**

Each participant in the study provided personal data (place of residence, sex, age, height, weight, and waist circumference) and filled out four questionnaires: Yale Food Addiction Scale (YFAS) [20], and the ZTPI [10]. Weight and height were used to calculate body mass index (BMI) as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared. Sex- and age-specific BMI percentiles were calculated using BMI
growth charts [21]. Self-reported data on waist and height were used to calculate waist-to-height ratio (WHR) as an indicator of abdominal obesity [22].

**YFAS**

The YFAS [20] was translated into Russian and used to assess FA. The scale consists of 25 questions and reveals seven diagnostic criteria for substance dependence (i.e., tolerance, withdrawal and loss of control etc.) and clinically significant impairment related to eating behavior [20]. Two scoring options are used in the YFAS: (a) the symptom count (SC), which is equal to the sum of confirmed symptoms (range, 0–7); and (b) a dichotomous measure of FA: Respondents with three or more symptoms and significant clinical impairment or distress were diagnosed with FA. Cronbach's α for this sample was 0.90.

**ZTPI**

Time perspective was assessed using the Russian version of the ZTPI [23]. The test consists of 56 questions, divided into five subscales through which five TP options were evaluated as follows: past negative (positive) (PA-(+)), present hedonistic (fatalistic) (PR_{H(F)}); and future (FUT). For this sample Cronbach's α was 0.82. We also calculated the deviation from balanced TP (DBTP) as described in [11].

**Statistical analyses**

The SPSS software package was used for the statistical analysis of data. To assess the impact of COVID-19 isolation on FA detection rates, the data collected in this study were compared with those of our previous studies [5, 24]. Binary logistic regression analysis was performed, in which “COVID-19 isolation” (Codes: before – 0, during – 1) was used as dependent variable, and sex (0 – females, 1 – males), age, BMI, city (1 – Syktyvkar, 2 – Tyumen, 3 – Yekaterinburg, 4 – Kirov), and FA (0 – no, 1 – yes) were used as independent variables. A stepwise inclusion procedure was used to determine the final set of predictors in the model. Goodness of fit was evaluated by the Hosmer-Lemeshow test and Omnibus tests of model coefficients.

A series of multiple regression analyses were performed, in which PA-, PA+, PRH, PRF, FUT, and deviation from balanced TP were used as dependent variables, and the characteristics presented in Table 1 were used as independent variables (predictors). A stepwise inclusion procedure was used to determine the final set of predictors in the model. To assess multicollinearity, the variance inflation factor (VIF) was assessed. A predictor was excluded from the analysis if VIF was ≥ 5.

**Results**

During COVID-19 isolation, a 68% increase in the detection rate of FA was observed (Table 2).
Table 2
Results of logistic regression analysis

| Dependent variable | Predictors | B    | OR    | 95% CI       | P    | Omnibus test | Hosmer-Lemeshow test |
|--------------------|------------|------|-------|--------------|------|--------------|----------------------|
|                    |            |      |       |              |      | χ²           | P        | χ²           | P    |
| COVID-19           | Sex        | -0.430 | 0.645 | 0.533–0.779 | 0.000 | 272.196      | 0.000    | 4.369        | 0.822 |
| isolation          | Age        | 0.109 | 1.115 | 1.096–1.135 | 0.000 | FA           |          |              |      |
|                    | FA         | 0.523 | 1.687 | 1.324–2.148 | 0.000 |              |          |              |      |

FA = food addiction; B = non-standardized regression coefficient OR = odds ratio; CI = confidence interval.

 Individuals with past negative TP during isolation were more likely to have symptoms of FA, young age, and signs of visceral obesity (Table 3: model 1). These predictors explained 11.4% of the variability in the past negative TP.

Table 3
Results of multiple regression analyses

| Model # | Dependent variable | Predictors | B    | β    | P     | R²    | ΔR²    | VIF |
|---------|--------------------|------------|------|------|-------|-------|--------|-----|
| 1       | PA-                | SC         | 0.123 | 0.289 | 0.000 | 0.096 | 0.096  | 1.024 |
|         |                    | Age        | -0.011 | -0.131 | 0.000 | 0.108 | 0.012  | 1.078 |
|         |                    | WHtR       | 0.799 | 0.076 | 0.039 | 0.114 | 0.006  | 1.073 |
| 2       | PA+                | Sex        | -0.153 | -0.103 | 0.006 | 0.011 | 0.011  | 1.000 |
| 3       | PR_ H             | SC         | 0.068 | 0.230 | 0.000 | 0.066 | 0.066  | 1.015 |
|         |                    | Age        | -0.012 | -0.203 | 0.000 | 0.110 | 0.044  | 1.017 |
|         |                    | Sex        | -0.113 | -0.089 | 0.013 | 0.117 | 0.007  | 1.010 |
| 4       | PR_ F             | SC         | 0.063 | 0.213 | 0.000 | 0.046 | 0.046  | 1.000 |
| 5       | FUT                | SC         | -0.051 | -0.169 | 0.000 | 0.026 | 0.026  | 1.005 |
|         |                    | Sex        | -0.144 | -0.111 | 0.003 | 0.038 | 0.012  | 1.005 |
| 6       | DBTP¹              | SC         | 0.098 | 0.251 | 0.000 | 0.060 | 0.060  | 1.005 |
|         |                    | Sex        | 0.139 | 0.083 | 0.023 | 0.067 | 0.007  | 1.005 |

PA+(-) = past positive (negative) time perspective (TP), PR_ H(F) = present hedonistic (fatalistic) TP, FUT = future TP, DBTP = Deviation from Balanced TP, WHtR = waist-to-height ratio, SC = symptom counts of
food addiction. A series of multiple regression analyses were performed using PA+(-), PR$_{H(F)}$, FUT, and DBTP as dependent variables and Age, Sex (0 – female; 1 – male), City (1 – Syktyvkar; 2 – Tyumen; 3 – Yekaterinburg; 4 – Kirov), BMI, WHtR, and SC as independent variables (predictors); a stepwise inclusion procedure was used to determine the final set of predictors in the model. To assess multicollinearity, the variance inflation factor (VIF) was assessed. $B =$ non-standardized regression coefficient; $\beta =$ standardized regression coefficient; $P =$ significance of regression coefficient; $R^2 =$ total variance accounted for predictors at their stepwise inclusion in the model; $\Delta R^2 =$ portion of the variance accounted for by separate predictors in the model; $^1$ in this model the DBTP was evaluated. Therefore, interpretation of the signs of the regression coefficients was opposite to that used in models 1 to 5.

Past positive TP was found to be more common in females (Table 3: model 2). This predictor explained 1.1% of the variability in the past positive TP.

Present hedonistic TP was more likely to have symptoms of FA, young age, and more common in females (Table 3: model 3). These predictors explained 11.7% of the variability in the present hedonistic TP.

Present fatalistic TP was more likely to exhibit symptoms of FA (Table 3, Model 4). This predictor explained 4.6% of the variability in present fatalistic TP.

Future TP was more common in females. People with this TP less likely to have symptoms of FA (Table 3: model 5). These predictors explained 3.8% of the variability in future TP.

Balanced TP was more common in females. People with this TP less likely to have symptoms of FA (Table 3: model 6). These predictors explained 6.7% of the variability in balanced TP.

**Discussion**

We have shown that there was a significant increase in the detection rate of FA during the isolation caused by the COVID-19 pandemic. These findings are consistent with the results of other authors [6] who showed that individuals who experienced COVID-19-induced distress during the pandemic experienced an increase in the number of FA symptoms.

To our knowledge this is the first study to explore the association between TP and FA. We showed that individuals with balanced and future TP were less likely to have FA symptoms, whereas individuals with present hedonistic, present fatalistic, and past negative TP were more often to have FA.

Previous work has shown significant associations between TP and drug [16], alcohol [15] and Internet [25] addiction. A negative association has been found between future TP and all three types of dependencies [15, 16, 25]. Alcohol addiction was also found to be negatively associated with past positive TP. In addition, a positive association was noted between Internet addiction and present TP [25], alcohol addiction, and present hedonistic, present fatalistic and past negative TP [15]. In general, these
data are consistent with our results and indicate that FA, as well as other types of dependencies, may be characteristic of individuals with a short time horizon [18]. Our data on the nature of the relationship between FA and TP indicate similarities between FA and other types of dependencies, thus providing additional confirmation of the validity of the FA concept.

**Strengths and Limitations**

There are several limitations to this study. First, an imbalanced sample in terms of the sex and age of the study participants. In addition, a large percentage of people declined to participate in the study, most likely due to a high level of existing stress due to the pandemic. So, the study may not accurately represent the total population due to bias in who responded. Last, this study used a cross-sectional design, so we cannot evaluate causal relationships between the studied indicators.

**Conclusions**

In this work, we showed that there is a significant increase in incidence rate of FA during the COVID-19 isolation. The association between TP and FA was studied for the first time. During the first wave of the pandemic, higher numbers of FA symptoms were observed in individuals with past negative, present hedonistic, and present fatalistic TP. The lowest numbers of FA symptoms were observed in individuals with balanced and future TP.
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*What is already known on this subject?*
Previous investigations indicate that human's time perspective is a strong indicator of psychological wellbeing and some psychological disorders. It was shown that shortened time horizon in human is associated with increased risk of addictive disorders such as alcohol, drug and Internet addiction.

**What your study adds?**

A significant association between time perspective and food addiction was noted for the first time. Present fatalistic time perspective was shown to be associated with increased incidence rate of food addiction. At the same time, balanced and future time perspective are associated with low incidence rate of food addiction. These data confirm the validity of the concept of food addiction and also serve as a scientific basis for using time perspective therapy for the prevention of food addiction.
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