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1. Introduction

Clusters of galaxies are the largest bound systems in the universe, and a major fraction of their visible mass is X-ray emitting hot gas, which is known as the intracluster medium (ICM). The physical conditions of the ICM are largely determined by the nature of the dynamical and chemical evolution and the dark-matter distribution of clusters. Accordingly, X-ray studies for structures of clusters and their evolution provide key information for cosmology.

The main purpose of this paper is to present a large and homogeneous dataset on the temperature, metallicity, luminosity, and density distribution of the ICM in the nearby clusters derived only from ASCA data (Tanaka et al. 1994). We used the $\beta$ model to derive the ICM distribution. We then estimated the ICM mass ($M_{\text{gas}}$), the iron mass ($M_{\text{Fe}}$) in the ICM, and the total gravitational mass ($M_{\text{tot}}$) from our results.

Tsuru et al. (1996) and Mushotzky and Scharf (1997) compiled a catalogue using ASCA data of distant clusters (mostly $0.1 < z < 0.6$), and compared it with data from nearby clusters obtained with the Einstein and ROSAT observatories (e.g., David et al. 1993). They suggested that no systematic differences exist in the X-ray luminosity ($L_X$)-temperature ($kT$) relation between distant clusters and nearby clusters. Mushotzky and Loewenstein (1997) compared the iron abundances ($A_{\text{Fe}}$) in the ICM of nearby clusters with those of distant clusters, and found no evidence for evolution of $A_{\text{Fe}}$ at $z < 0.3$. Tsuru et al. (1996) along with Mushotzky and Loewenstein (1997) studied the $kT$-$A_{\text{Fe}}$ relation, and concluded that there are no differences between nearby and distant clusters.

However, these studies are based on comparisons of the results from different instruments (ASCA, ROSAT, Ginga, and Einstein Observatory), which should be treated carefully unless cross-calibration between these instruments are fully performed. Thus, the other purpose of this paper is to compare the temperatures, metal abundances, and luminosities of nearby clusters with those of distant clusters using only ASCA data. We also consider the evolution of $M_{\text{gas}}$, $M_{\text{Fe}}$, and $M_{\text{tot}}$ by comparing these
values for nearby clusters with those of distant clusters in the literature.

Throughout this paper, we assume $H_0 = 50$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$ and $q_0 = 0.5$. All errors used in this paper are at the 90% confident level for one interesting parameter, except for AX J2019. The errors for AX J2019 are at the 1$\sigma$ level.

2. Data Analysis and Results of Nearby Clusters

We selected an ASCA sample of clusters with redshifts of less than 0.1 using the following requirement: the cluster must be reasonably extended so that it is spatially resolved with ASCA, the X-ray flux is high so that we can constrain the iron abundance, and its morphology is nearly symmetric to exclude any merger or dynamic effects. We made an effort to include clusters with a wide range of gas temperatures. Finally, there are 29 clusters in our sample, which are listed in table 1. The average redshift is 0.032. The ASCA data were screened with the standard selection criteria to exclude such data as affected by the South Atlantic Anomaly, Earth occultation, and regions of low geomagnetic rigidity (Fukazawa 1997).

The GIS spectra for each of the nearby clusters were accumulated from a circular region with a radius of 15' from the cluster center. We did not use the SIS data, because we did not use them for the imaging analysis, as discussed below. We believe that the GIS data alone are sufficient to constrain the temperature and iron abundance of the clusters, because the temperatures of our sample clusters were higher than 2 keV and the GIS has higher sensitivity than the SIS in the energy band above 2 keV. Some of the nearby clusters are known to exhibit two-temperature thermal plasma model (Mushotzky, Scharf 1997). Since one of the main purposes of this paper is to systematically compare the ASCA results of nearby clusters with those of distant clusters, we fitted all of the GIS spectra of nearby clusters using the GIS data. We did not use the SIS data because the clusters extend beyond its field of view. We made azimuthally averaged radial profiles of X-ray counts typically in the 1.8–7.1 keV band to minimize contribution from the central cool component. We fitted the profile with the $\beta$ model taking account of the complex PSF effects of ASCA (e.g., Takahashi et al. 1995, ASCA News No. 3, p34). The $\beta$ model, $S(r)$, is given by

$$S(r) = S(0) \left[1 + \left(\frac{r}{r_{\text{core}}}\right)^2\right]^{-\frac{3}{2} \beta + \frac{1}{2}},$$

where $r$ is the radius and $r_{\text{core}}$ is the core radius. The best-fit $\beta$ profile can be converted to the proton density profile of the ICM, $n(r)$, which is expressed as

$$n(r) = n(0) \left[1 + \left(\frac{r}{r_{\text{core}}}\right)^2\right]^{-\frac{3}{2} \beta}$$

(e.g., Sarazin 1986). We then integrated the density profile to estimate the ICM mass within 1 Mpc from the center. Our results are consistent with those derived by the Einstein or ROSAT data (e.g., White et al. 1997; Mohr et al. 1999). The iron mass included in the ICM within 1 Mpc from the center was estimated by using the best-fit iron abundances in table 1. If we assume hydrostatic equilibrium for the ICM and the isothermal ICM distribution of the $\beta$ model, the total gravitational mass within a radius $R$, $M(<R)$, is expressed as

$$M(<R) = 3\beta \frac{k T R}{\mu m_p G} \frac{(R/r_{\text{core}})^2}{1 + (R/r_{\text{core}})^2},$$

where $G$ is the gravitational constant, $\mu$ is the mean molecular weight (we assume $\mu = 0.6$), and $m_p$ is the mass of a proton. We estimate the total mass within the 1 Mpc radius using the equation (3), and the results are shown in table 2. Further details of the observations and analysis of the ASCA data of the nearby clusters are found in Fukazawa (1997).

3. Discussion

In this section, we compare our results with other distant clusters in the literature. Most of our sample of distant clusters are from Mushotzky and Scharf (1997) and Mushotzky and Loewenstein (1997). Therefore, we should note that the sample has a strong bias against clusters of $L_X < 10^{45}$ erg s$^{-1}$, as noted by Mushotzky and Scharf (1997). The temperatures, iron abundances,
Table 1. Nearby cluster samples and results of the spectral analysis.*

| Name              | $L_X^{10^{44}}$ erg s$^{-1}$ | $kT$ keV       | $A_{Fe}$ solar abundance | $N_H$ $10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$ |
|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| Ophiuchus         | 20                            | 10.0 ± 1.5     | 0.31 ± 0.03              | 28.0 ± 1.1                |
| A 478             | 16                            | 6.40 ± 0.25    | 0.35 ± 0.03              | 20.9 ± 3.7                |
| A 2319            | 15                            | 9.50 ± 0.57    | 0.23 ± 0.05              | 5.8 ± 2.6                 |
| Triangulum Australe| 12                            | 9.86 ± 0.57    | 0.23 ± 0.05              | 14.6 ± 2.5                |
| Perseus           | 11                            | 5.66 ± 0.12    | 0.43 ± 0.02              | 2.9 ± 1.9                 |
| A 1795            | 8.2                           | 5.68 ± 0.11    | 0.38 ± 0.03              | 0.0 ± 3.0                 |
| Coma              | 7.6                           | 8.95 ± 0.25    | 0.33 ± 0.05              | 0.0 ± 0.30                |
| A 2256            | 7.2                           | 7.10 ± 0.28    | 0.28 ± 0.04              | 2.7 ± 2.1                 |
| A 85              | 7.7                           | 5.88 ± 0.19    | 0.43 ± 0.04              | 1.5 ± 2.0                 |
| A 3571            | 6.6                           | 7.24 ± 0.24    | 0.35 ± 0.03              | 3.0 ± 1.7                 |
| A 3558            | 5.0                           | 5.67 ± 0.26    | 0.29 ± 0.05              | 2.6 ± 2.9                 |
| Hydra-A           | 3.3                           | 3.71 ± 0.14    | 0.39 ± 0.06              | 0.6 ± 3.0                 |
| A 2199            | 2.5                           | 4.22 ± 0.06    | 0.38 ± 0.04              | 0.0 ± 2.0                 |
| A 496             | 2.0                           | 3.98 ± 0.10    | 0.45 ± 0.04              | 3.2 ± 1.9                 |
| A 119             | 1.8                           | 6.14 ± 0.37    | 0.35 ± 0.07              | 1.4 ± 3.4                 |
| MKW 3s            | 1.5                           | 3.46 ± 0.14    | 0.38 ± 0.07              | 2.0 ± 3.6                 |
| 2A 0335+096       | 1.7                           | 3.00 ± 0.09    | 0.48 ± 0.06              | 11.8 ± 5.3                |
| AWM 7             | 1.2                           | 3.74 ± 0.11    | 0.55 ± 0.06              | 9.5 ± 2.6                 |
| A 2063            | 1.0                           | 3.72 ± 0.11    | 0.26 ± 0.07              | 0.0 ± 3.5                 |
| A 2147            | 0.91                          | 4.88 ± 0.22    | 0.36 ± 0.06              | 1.6 ± 3.0                 |
| A 2634            | 0.52                          | 3.58 ± 0.19    | 0.29 ± 0.08              | 1.0 ± 4.2                 |
| Centaurus         | 0.53                          | 3.52 ± 0.09    | 0.68 ± 0.06              | 3.5 ± 2.3                 |
| A 539             | 0.42                          | 3.27 ± 0.16    | 0.25 ± 0.08              | 6.7 ± 4.3                 |
| A 1060            | 0.21                          | 3.15 ± 0.08    | 0.43 ± 0.05              | 4.9 ± 2.5                 |
| AWM 4             | 0.18                          | 2.28 ± 0.03    | 0.33 ± 0.13              | 2.3 ± 5.4                 |
| A 400             | 0.18                          | 2.54 ± 0.12    | 0.33 ± 0.10              | 1.2 ± 4.5                 |
| A 262             | 0.20                          | 2.21 ± 0.08    | 0.33 ± 0.10              | 4.2 ± 4.4                 |
| Virgo             | 0.16                          | 2.28 ± 0.03    | 0.55 ± 0.04              | 7.2 ± 2.8                 |
| MKW 4s            | 0.065                         | 2.19 ± 0.21    | 0.41 ± 0.26              | 2.1 ± 9.7                 |

*Errors are at 90% confidence level.

†Luminosity in the 2–10 keV band.

and X-ray luminosities of the distant clusters were determined only by the ASCA data. Unfortunately, the ASCA imaging quality is insufficient to extract the morphological parameters for most of the distant clusters; hence, we use the ICM and total masses derived with the Einstein or ROSAT data from the literature. Otherwise, we used β model parameters derived with the Einstein or ROSAT data in the literatures, and we calculated the masses by using them. The iron mass in the ICM was calculated by combining the ICM mass derived as mentioned above and the iron abundance determined with ASCA.

Since the ASCA imaging quality is worse than that of Einstein Observatory and ROSAT, the parameters listed in table 2 generally have larger errors than those determined by the Einstein and ROSAT data in other work (White et al. 1997; Mohr et al. 1999). However, they are consistent with each other. Therefore, systematic calibration errors between different instruments for the morphological parameters are less serious than those of spectroscopic parameters, in particular, the temperatures and abundances. Our sample for the distant clusters are listed in table 3 along with all relevant parameters. We should note that the errors for AX J2019 are at the 1σ level, while the others are at the 90% level, because the original paper (Hattori et al. 1997) shows only the 1σ errors.

We show the $kT-L_X$ relation in figure 1. There is no significant difference between $z < 0.1$ and $0.1 < z < 1.0$. Although the clusters at $0.1 < z < 1.0$ show a somewhat flatter slope than the nearby clusters, this is probably due to the selection bias for the distant clusters, as already noted by Mushotzky and Scharf (1997). The most distant cluster, AX J2019, denoted by the star in figure 1, is also consistent with the other clusters.

Figure 2 shows the $kT-M_{gas}$ relation. No X-ray emis-
### Table 2. Results of the imaging analysis.

| Name            | $\beta$ | $r_{\text{core}}$ | $n(0)^{1}$ | $M_{\text{gas}}^{1}$ | $M_{\text{Fe}}^{1}$ | $M_{\text{tot}}^{1}$ |
|-----------------|---------|-------------------|------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
|                 |         | arcm$^{-1}$       | kpc        | in $10^{5}$ cm$^{-3}$ | in $10^{15} M_\odot$ | in $10^{15} M_\odot$ |
| Ophiuchus       | 0.60 ± 0.05 | 3.50 ± 0.25       | 227        | 8.0 ± 1.6             | 11.6                 | 8.8                 |
| A 478           | 0.70 ± 0.05 | 1.00 ± 0.25       | 154        | 17.0 ± 3.0            | 11.9                 | 7.9                 |
| A 2319          | 0.55 ± 0.05 | 2.75 ± 0.75       | 271        | 4.6 ± 0.9             | 12.5                 | 5.6                 |
| Triangulum Australe | 0.60 ± 0.05 | 3.00 ± 0.50       | 252        | 5.1 ± 1.0             | 10.9                 | 4.9                 |
| Perseus         | 0.45 ± 0.05 | 1.25 ± 0.50       | 40         | 31.0 ± 6.0            | 10.0                 | 8.4                 |
| A 1795          | 0.65 ± 0.05 | 1.25 ± 0.25       | 135        | 13.0 ± 3.0            | 8.5                  | 6.3                 |
| Coma            | 0.75 ± 0.05 | 10.25 ± 0.50      | 416        | 2.8 ± 0.6             | 8.9                  | 5.7                 |
| A 2256          | 0.75 ± 0.05 | 4.50 ± 0.50       | 457        | 2.6 ± 0.5             | 9.4                  | 5.2                 |
| A 85            | 0.65 ± 0.05 | 1.75 ± 0.50       | 173        | 7.4 ± 1.5             | 8.9                  | 7.5                 |
| A 3571          | 0.60 ± 0.05 | 2.50 ± 0.50       | 171        | 6.7 ± 1.3             | 7.9                  | 4.9                 |
| A 3558          | 0.55 ± 0.05 | 3.00 ± 0.75       | 271        | 5.1 ± 0.9             | 10.9                 | 4.9                 |
| Triangulum Australe | 0.60 ± 0.05 | 3.00 ± 0.50       | 150        | 5.2 ± 1.0             | 7.5                  | 4.3                 |
| Perseus         | 0.45 ± 0.05 | 2.00 ± 0.50       | 154        | 2.6 ± 0.5             | 9.4                  | 5.2                 |
| A 1795          | 0.65 ± 0.05 | 4.50 ± 0.50       | 457        | 2.6 ± 0.5             | 9.4                  | 5.2                 |
| Coma            | 0.75 ± 0.05 | 2.50 ± 0.50       | 171        | 6.7 ± 1.3             | 7.9                  | 4.9                 |
| A 2256          | 0.75 ± 0.05 | 4.50 ± 0.50       | 457        | 2.6 ± 0.5             | 9.4                  | 5.2                 |
| A 85            | 0.65 ± 0.05 | 1.75 ± 0.50       | 173        | 7.4 ± 1.5             | 8.9                  | 7.5                 |
| A 3571          | 0.60 ± 0.05 | 2.50 ± 0.50       | 171        | 6.7 ± 1.3             | 7.9                  | 4.9                 |
| A 3558          | 0.55 ± 0.05 | 3.00 ± 0.75       | 271        | 5.1 ± 0.9             | 10.9                 | 4.9                 |
| Triangulum Australe | 0.60 ± 0.05 | 3.00 ± 0.50       | 150        | 5.2 ± 1.0             | 7.5                  | 4.3                 |
| Perseus         | 0.45 ± 0.05 | 2.00 ± 0.50       | 154        | 2.6 ± 0.5             | 9.4                  | 5.2                 |
| A 1795          | 0.65 ± 0.05 | 4.50 ± 0.50       | 457        | 2.6 ± 0.5             | 9.4                  | 5.2                 |
| Coma            | 0.75 ± 0.05 | 2.50 ± 0.50       | 171        | 6.7 ± 1.3             | 7.9                  | 4.9                 |
| A 2256          | 0.75 ± 0.05 | 4.50 ± 0.50       | 457        | 2.6 ± 0.5             | 9.4                  | 5.2                 |
| A 85            | 0.65 ± 0.05 | 1.75 ± 0.50       | 173        | 7.4 ± 1.5             | 8.9                  | 7.5                 |
| A 3571          | 0.60 ± 0.05 | 2.50 ± 0.50       | 171        | 6.7 ± 1.3             | 7.9                  | 4.9                 |
| A 3558          | 0.55 ± 0.05 | 3.00 ± 0.75       | 271        | 5.1 ± 0.9             | 10.9                 | 4.9                 |
| Triangulum Australe | 0.60 ± 0.05 | 3.00 ± 0.50       | 150        | 5.2 ± 1.0             | 7.5                  | 4.3                 |
| Perseus         | 0.45 ± 0.05 | 2.00 ± 0.50       | 154        | 2.6 ± 0.5             | 9.4                  | 5.2                 |
| A 1795          | 0.65 ± 0.05 | 4.50 ± 0.50       | 457        | 2.6 ± 0.5             | 9.4                  | 5.2                 |
| Coma            | 0.75 ± 0.05 | 2.50 ± 0.50       | 171        | 6.7 ± 1.3             | 7.9                  | 4.9                 |
| A 2256          | 0.75 ± 0.05 | 4.50 ± 0.50       | 457        | 2.6 ± 0.5             | 9.4                  | 5.2                 |
| A 85            | 0.65 ± 0.05 | 1.75 ± 0.50       | 173        | 7.4 ± 1.5             | 8.9                  | 7.5                 |
| A 3571          | 0.60 ± 0.05 | 2.50 ± 0.50       | 171        | 6.7 ± 1.3             | 7.9                  | 4.9                 |
| A 3558          | 0.55 ± 0.05 | 3.00 ± 0.75       | 271        | 5.1 ± 0.9             | 10.9                 | 4.9                 |

* Errors are at 90% confidence level.

1 The central proton density.

2 Mass within the radius of 1 Mpc from cluster center.

3 Gas and iron masses are not listed here, because the FOV of GIS can cover only small region with radii smaller than 1 Mpc from cluster center.

The detection of emission from the ICM in AX J2019 was detected beyond 0.5 Mpc from the center (Hattori et al. 1997). Therefore, we used the best-fit parameters of the $\beta$ model and extrapolated to 1 Mpc from the center; both of these are plotted in figure 2. We found no difference between the clusters at $z < 0.1$ and $0.1 < z < 1.0$. The most distant cluster, AX J2019, is marginally consistent with the other clusters, taking its large errors into account. However, the best-fit values may suggest that the cluster has less gas mass than the other clusters of similar temperatures. The reason why AX J2019 may have such a low gas mass in spite of its normal luminosity is that the $\beta$ of AX J2019 ($\beta \sim 0.9$) is rather larger than the other clusters ($\beta \sim 0.6$).

Figure 3 shows the $kT$ versus $A_{Fe}$ relation. We can see no clear differences between the clusters at $z < 0.1$ and $0.1 < z < 1.0$. However, the most distant cluster has an extremely large best-fit abundance. Most of the clusters having dominant galaxies at their centers show cool components in their X-ray spectra from the central regions. The iron abundance of the cool component is often higher than the surrounding ICM (e.g., Fukazawa et al. 1997). Some clusters in our nearby sample have dominant galaxies, and then they tend to show low-temperatures and high-metallicities in our analysis as well. We believe this can explain the tendency that the cool clusters in figure 3 have larger abundances than the hot clusters. Also, Fukazawa et al. (1998) analyzed the X-ray spectra of our sample, excluding the central regions, and found no evidence for the temperature dependence of the iron.
Table 3. Distant cluster samples.*

| Name     | $z$  | $L_{X(2-10 \text{ keV})}^{1}$ | $kT$ keV | $A_{Fe}$ | $M_{gas}^{1}$ | $M_{Fe}^{1}$ | $M_{tot}^{1}$ | Reference* |
|----------|------|-----------------------------|----------|----------|---------------|-------------|--------------|------------|
| A 1413   | 0.1430 | 13                          | 6.72 ± 0.26 | 0.29 ± 0.05 | 7.4          | 4.2         | 8.9          | j, k, m    |
| A 2204   | 0.1530 | 33                          | 8.47 ± 0.42 | ...       | ...          | ...         | ...          | j          |
| A 1204   | 0.1700 | 6.3                         | 3.83 ± 0.19 | 0.35 ± 0.07 | 7.0          | 4.8         | 3.0          | j, k, i    |
| A 2218   | 0.1710 | 9.4                         | 7.04 ± 0.97 | 0.18 ± 0.07 | ...          | ...         | ...          | j, k, m    |
| A 586    | 0.1710 | 8.3                         | 6.61 ± 1.15 | ...       | ...          | ...         | ...          | j          |
| A 1689   | 0.1800 | 30                          | 9.02 ± 0.40 | 0.26 ± 0.06 | 12.7         | 6.5         | 9.6          | j, k, m    |
| A 1246   | 0.1870 | 8.3                         | 6.28 ± 0.54 | 0.22 ± 0.08 | 7.8          | 3.4         | 5.1          | j, k, m    |
| A 1763   | 0.1870 | 15                          | 8.98 ± 1.02 | 0.26 ± 0.09 | 10.7         | 5.4         | 5.6          | j, k, m    |
| MS 0440  | 0.1900 | 3.9                         | 5.3 ± 1.3  | ...       | ...          | ...         | ...          | j          |
| MS 0839  | 0.1940 | 4.0                         | 4.10 ± 0.36 | ...       | ...          | ...         | ...          | j          |
| A 773    | 0.1970 | 13                          | 9.66 ± 1.03 | 0.24 ± 0.08 | ...          | ...         | ...          | j, k, k    |
| A 520    | 0.2010 | 16                          | 8.50 ± 0.93 | 0.25 ± 0.20 | ...          | ...         | ...          | j, k, k    |
| A 2163   | 0.2010 | 16                          | 12.7 ± 2.0  | 0.38 ± 0.13 | 14.9         | 11.6        | 12.6         | j, h, g, m |
| A 963    | 0.2060 | 8.9                         | 6.76 ± 0.44 | 0.29 ± 0.08 | ...          | ...         | ...          | j, k, k    |
| A 1704   | 0.2190 | 6.3                         | 4.51 ± 0.56 | ...       | ...          | ...         | ...          | j          |
| A 2219   | 0.2280 | 33                          | 11.77 ± 1.26 | 0.25 ± 0.07 | ...          | ...         | ...          | j, k, k    |
| A 2390   | 0.2300 | 22                          | 8.90 ± 0.97 | 0.22 ± 0.06 | 11.2         | 4.8         | 8.3          | j, k, m    |
| MS 1305+29 | 0.2410 | 0.89                       | 2.98 ± 0.52 | ...       | ...          | ...         | ...          | j          |
| A 1835   | 0.2520 | 45                          | 8.15 ± 0.46 | 0.32 ± 0.05 | ...          | ...         | ...          | j          |
| MS 1455  | 0.2580 | 12                          | 5.45 ± 0.29 | 0.33 ± 0.08 | ...          | ...         | ...          | j          |
| A 1758N  | 0.2800 | 17                          | 10.19 ± 2.29 | ...       | ...          | ...         | ...          | j          |
| A 483    | 0.2830 | 3.3                         | 6.87 ± 1.59 | ...       | ...          | ...         | ...          | j          |
| ZW 3146  | 0.2900 | 27                          | 6.35 ± 0.37 | 0.24 ± 0.05 | ...          | ...         | ...          | j, k, k    |
| MS 1008-12 | 0.3010 | 6.9                        | 7.29 ± 2.45 | ...       | ...          | ...         | ...          | j          |
| AC 118   | 0.3080 | 25                          | 12.08 ± 1.42 | 0.23 ± 0.09 | ...          | ...         | ...          | j, k, k    |
| MS 2137  | 0.3130 | 10                          | 4.37 ± 0.38 | 0.41 ± 0.12 | ...          | ...         | ...          | j, k, k    |
| A 1995   | 0.3180 | 13                          | 10.70 ± 2.50 | ...       | ...          | ...         | ...          | j          |
| MS 0333-36 | 0.3200 | 7.5                        | 8.13 ± 2.57 | ...       | ...          | ...         | ...          | j          |
| A 1722   | 0.3270 | 8.3                         | 5.87 ± 0.51 | 0.25 ± 0.11 | ...          | ...         | ...          | j, k, k    |
| MS 1358  | 0.3270 | 7.6                         | 6.50 ± 0.68 | 0.27 ± 0.10 | ...          | ...         | ...          | j, k, k    |
| A 969    | 0.3350 | 11                          | 6.95 ± 1.85 | ...       | ...          | ...         | ...          | j          |
| MS 1512+36 | 0.3720 | 3.7                        | 3.57 ± 1.33 | ...       | ...          | ...         | ...          | j          |
| A 370    | 0.3730 | 14                          | 7.13 ± 1.05 | ...       | ...          | ...         | ...          | j          |
| A 851    | 0.4100 | 5.8                         | 6.7 ± 2.7   | ...       | ...          | ...         | ...          | j          |
| RX J1347-114 | 0.4510 | 88                        | 11.37 ± 1.10 | 0.33 ± 0.10 | 20.0         | 12.9        | 5.8          | j, k, l    |
| 3C 295   | 0.4600 | 9.1                         | 7.13 ± 2.06 | ...       | 5.3          | ...         | 5.7          | j, e       |
| MS 0451-03 | 0.5390 | 19                        | 10.17 ± 1.55 | 0.16 ± 0.12 | ...          | ...         | ...          | j, k       |
| CL 0016  | 0.5410 | 14                        | 8.0 ± 1.0   | 0.11 ± 0.12 | ...          | ...         | 6.1          | j, b, f    |
| CL 2236-94 | 0.552  | 4.9                       | 6.1 ± 2.6   | 0.00(< 0.38) | 5.8         | 0.0(< 4.3) | 4.4          | d          |
| MS 1054  | 0.829  | 23                        | 12.3 ± 3.1  | 0.00(< 0.25) | ...          | ...         | ...          | a          |
| AX J2019+1127 | 1.00 | 8.4                        | 8.6 ± 4.2   | $1.7_{-0.7}^{+1.3}$ | 2.4          | 8.0         | 3.6          | c          |

*Errors are at 90% confidence level except for AX J2019. The errors for AX J2019 are at 1σ level.

1Luminosity in the 2–10 keV band.

1Mass within the radius of 1 Mpc from the cluster center.

1The ICM in AX J2019 was detected only within 0.5 Mpc from the center. The values in parentheses are masses within 1.0 Mpc estimated by extrapolating the best-fit β model.

*References: a. Donahue et al. (1998), b. Furuzawa et al. (1998), c. Hattori et al. (1997), d. Hattori et al. (1998), e. Henry, Henriksen (1986), f. Hughes et al. (1995) g. Markevitch et al. (1994), h. Markevitch et al. (1996), i. Matsura et al. (1996), j. Mushotzky, Scharf (1997), k. Mushotzky, Loewenstein (1997), l. Schindler et al. (1997), m. White et al. (1997).
We show the $kT$–$M_{\text{Fe}}$ relation in figure 4. We also plotted two points for AX J2019 as described above. There is no clear difference between the clusters at $z < 0.1$ and $0.1 < z < 1.0$. Furthermore, AX J2019 is also consistent with the other clusters, although its ICM mass may be extremely low. This is because the extremely high abundance compensates for the low gas mass.

Figure 5 shows the $kT$–$M_{\text{tot}}$ relation. There is no clear difference between the clusters at $z < 0.1$ and $0.1 < z < 1.0$. The most distant cluster AX J2019 is consistent with the other clusters, while the ICM may be less massive than the other clusters. This may indicate that the formation of the gas halo and the dark matter halo in cluster is not a simultaneous process, and the gas-accumulation process continues after the dark-matter halo formation is completed.

These data show that there are no differences between the clusters at $z < 0.1$ and $0.1 < z < 1.0$. The most distant cluster AX J2019, may be different from the other clusters at $z < 1.0$ in terms of the $kT$–$M_{\text{gas}}$ and $kT$–$A_{\text{Fe}}$ relations, although we should note that...
there is still room to allow AX J2019 to be consistent with the other clusters by taking its large errors into account. This may suggest that the formation of the gravitational potential well by the dark matter and the metal injection process from galaxies to the ICM had been already finished before $z \sim 1.0$, but the gas accretion process in which the primordial gas falls into the cluster gravitational potential was going on at $z \sim 1.0$. To confirm this, we need deep observations of X-ray clusters at $z > 1.0$, which will be obtained with forthcoming observatories, such as XMM, Chandra, ASTRO-E. It may also be possible that the ASCA results of AX J2019 (Hattori et al. 1997), particularly about its metallicity, are in error. For example, the detected iron line may come from a foreground or background AGN. This will also be clarified by future observations.

4. Summary

We analyzed the ASCA data for 29 nearby clusters ($z < 0.1$) and derived temperatures, iron abundances, and X-ray luminosities. Furthermore, we fit the ASCA images, and then determined the best-fit $\beta$ model parameters for the ICM distribution. These results give the largest and most homogeneous dataset about the ICM distribution obtained with ASCA so far. We compared these results with distant clusters whose temperatures, iron abundances, and luminosities were also measured with ASCA. We found that there is no significant difference between the clusters at $z < 0.1$ and $0.1 < z < 1.0$ in the $kT-L_X$, $kT-M_{\text{gas}}$, $kT-A_{\text{Fe}}$, $kT-M_{\text{Fe}}$, and $kT-M_{\text{tot}}$ relations. However, the most distant cluster in our sample, AX J2019 at $z = 1.0$, may have different characteristics; its ICM mass may be significantly low, while its metallicity is quite large. They compensate for each other and result in the iron mass which is similar with the other clusters at $z < 1.0$. This may suggest a hint for the evolution of clusters of galaxies and that the formation of the potential well and the metal injection process of the ICM had finished before $z \sim 1.0$, while the accretion process of the primordial gas was going on at $z \sim 1.0$. However, it is also possible that AX J2019 is consistent with the other clusters, taking its large errors into account.
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