Blowout jets and impulsive eruptive flares in a bald-patch topology
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ABSTRACT

Context. A subclass of broad extreme ultraviolet (EUV) and X-ray jets, called blowout jets, have become a topic of research since they could be the link between standard collimated jets and coronal mass ejections (CMEs).

Aims. Our aim is to understand the origin of a series of broad jets, some of which are accompanied by flares and associated with narrow and jet-like CMEs.

Methods. We analyze observations of a series of recurrent broad jets observed in AR 10484 on 21–24 October 2003. In particular, one of them occurred simultaneously with an M2.4 flare on 23 October at 02:41 UT (SOLA2003-10-23). Both events were observed by the ARIES Ho Solar Tower-Telescope, TRACE, SOHO, and RHESSI instruments. The flare was very impulsive and followed by a narrow CME. A local force-free model of AR 10484 is the basis to compute its topology. We find bald patches (BPs) at the flare site. This BP topology is present for at least two days before to events. Large-scale field lines, associated with the BPs, represent open loops. This is confirmed by a global potential free source surface (PFSS) model. Following the brightest leading edge of the Ho and EUV jet emission, we can temporarily associate these emissions with a narrow CME.

Results. Considering their characteristics, the observed broad jets appear to be of the blowout class. As the most plausible scenario, we propose that magnetic reconnection could occur at the BP separatrices forced by the destabilization of a continuously reformed flux rope underlying them. The reconnection process could bring the cool flux-rope material into the reconnected open field lines driving the series of recurrent blowout jets and accompanying CMEs.

Conclusions. Based on a model of the coronal field, we compute the AR 10484 topology at the location where flaring and blowout jets occurred from 21 to 24 October 2003. This topology can consistently explain the origin of these events.
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1. Introduction

Plasma ejected from the Sun in transient phenomena is observed over a large spatial, temporal, and wavelength range. From full-fleshed bright coronal mass ejections (CMEs) through faint CMEs and narrow CMEs (observed in white-light coronagraphs) to blowout and the more standard collimated jets seen in X-rays and extreme ultraviolet (EUV), there seems to exist a continuum of ejective events playing a key role in the dynamics of the corona and solar wind.

Hundhausen et al. (1984) formulated the classic definition of CME as “an observable change in coronal structure that (1) occurs on a timescale between a few minutes and several hours and (2) involves the appearance of a new, discrete, bright white-light feature in the coronagraph field of view”; this definition was later updated by Schwenn (2006). However, a wealth of morphologies complies with this definition, which inspired several attempts to categorize solar ejections, such as those by Howard et al. (1985) and Burkepile & St. Cyr (1993), including classes such as loop, halo, fan, blob, jet, and spike. With time, the concept of a regular CME has evolved to refer to a bubble-like eruption (1985) and Burkepile & St. Cyr (1993), including classes such as loop, halo, fan, blob, jet, and spike. With time, the concept of a regular CME has evolved to refer to a bubble-like eruption of significant angular width (i.e., >40°), which may show the three-part structure of a bright leading edge, a dark void, and a bright core (three-part CME: Illing & Hundhausen 1985), or simply a bright front after which a clear cavity and/or a bright core cannot be discerned (loop-CME). Recently, Vourlidas et al. (2013) classified CMEs reported by the Coordinated Data
Analysis Workshops (CDAW) CME Catalog\(^1\) (Yashiro et al. 2004), from the Large Angle and Spectroscopic Coronagraph (LASCO) instrument (Brueckner et al. 1995) on board Solar and Heliospheric Observatory (SOHO), into categories exhibiting a flux-rope structure, namely, the three-part and loop CME types, and categories without a clear flux rope structure, which included jets and outflows. Their jet category includes events with angular widths ≤40° that lack a sharp front and detailed substructure or circular morphology, while their outflow category comprises wider events without a clear loop front or cavity that may contain filamentary material. Yashiro et al. (2003) investigated the properties of hundreds of narrow CMEs of the CDAW SOHO/LASCO Catalog, where narrow CMEs are defined as events with angular width ≤20°. They recognized that many narrow CMEs may not be listed in the catalog because they are too faint and it is difficult to identify them. Faint CMEs of all widths may be attributed either to a scarcity of material associated with the event or to a significant propagation component away from the observer’s plane of the sky (POS) (e.g., Cremades et al. 2015).

Coronal jets were first observed in detail by the Soft X-ray Telescope (SXT) on board the Japanese mission Yohkoh. Yohkoh/SXT data revealed the characteristics of large and energetic jets (Shibata et al. 1992a; Shimono et al. 1996, 1998; Shimojo & Shibata 2000). Observations in X-rays and EUV show that they are collimated, beam-like structures, typically anchored in coronal bright points, and best seen inside polar coronal holes against the dark background. Polar coronal jets were studied by Wang et al. (1998) using data from LASCO and the Extreme Ultraviolet Imaging Telescope (EIT) on board SOHO. Hinode has also provided data on polar jet parameters, such as lifetime, length, transverse width, and speed (Savcheva et al. 2007; Moreno-Insertis et al. 2008; Filippov et al. 2009). Typical jet durations are from tens of minutes to more than one hour (Savcheva et al. 2007; Curtain et al. 2007), lengths are in the range 1–20 \(\times 10^4\) km, widths in the range 1–4 \(\times 10^4\) km, and speeds range from 150 km s\(^{-1}\) to over 800 km s\(^{-1}\) (Shimojo et al. 1996; Curtain et al. 2007). Nisticò et al. (2009) built the first catalog of polar jets observed by the Sun Earth Connection Coronal and Heliospheric Investigation (SECCHI) on board the Solar Terrestrial Relations Observatory (STEREO), while Nisticò et al. (2010) did the same for equatorial jets finding no difference between their typical characteristics. Moore et al. (2010) introduced the concept of blowout jet to distinguish a series of events among the previously described jets, and named the remaining ones standard jets. We follow the nomenclature of these authors throughout this article. Blowout jets show an initial phase similar to that of standard jets starting with a brightening at its base and a narrow spire; this is followed by a violent flux rope eruption and the consequent broadening of the spire (see also Sterling et al. 2010; Liu et al. 2011; Madjarska 2011; Moore et al. 2013). For a review on observations, theory, and modeling of coronal jets, see Raouafi et al. (2016).

Magnetic reconnection is the mechanism generally accepted for energy release during jets, flares, and several CME models (see the review by Schmieder et al. 2015, for CME initiation mechanisms). The kinds of magnetic configurations in which these active events occur and how reconnection is forced or driven to trigger these events gives rise to different theoretical and numerical models. Models used to explain jets differ according to the flow pattern observed and/or imposed at the photosphere and their magnetic configuration. In these lines, one finds emergence-flux models (Heyvaerts et al. 1977; Shibata et al. 1992b) and cancelling-flux models (Priest et al. 1994; see also Longcope 1998). To drive magnetic reconnection in numerical simulations, Moreno-Insertis et al. (2008), Moreno-Insertis & Galsgaard (2013), Török et al. (2009), Gontikakis et al. (2009), Archontis et al. (2010), and Fang et al. (2014), among others, propose the presence of flux emergence, while Pariat et al. (2009b, 2010, 2015) force the magnetic configuration imposing horizontal photospheric twisting motions. The used magnetic field topology includes, in all cases, a coronal magnetic null point; the model analyzes the build up of currents in its fan-separatrix surface and the consequent energy release resulting in heating and jet ejection. A topology with a null point is supported by a large number of observations since jets were first identified (see the review by Raouafi et al. 2016, and references therein). However, other magnetic topologies computed from coronal extrapolation models have been found to be associated with jet observations. Quasi-separatrix layers were identified by Mandrini et al. (1996) and Guo et al. (2013) with no magnetic null point found in any of these studies. In Guo et al. (2013), as in Schmieder et al. (2013), magnetic dips and bald patches were found in the configurations, but their relation to the observed jets did not play any role or was marginal. Bald patches and associated separatrices have so far never been included in jet numerical simulations.

Jets, standard or blowout, have been observed in H\(\alpha\) or EUV in association with white-light CMEs whose eruption can be traced back to the same location in an AR (Liu et al. 2005, 2015; Liu 2008; Li et al. 2015). In particular, Yan et al. (2012) studied two successive flares of X-ray class M that occurred in AR 10484 on 22 October 2003. Both were accompanied by very broad EUV jets. Such a flare associated with the first M1.4 flare was the most clearly seen. According to the outflow velocity measurements for this first event, these authors concluded that they could trace the broad jets up to the corona and link them to two narrow CMEs.

In this article, we describe a series of recurrent plasma ejections seen in EUV, with one observed also in H\(\alpha\), from 21 to 24 October at the border of the major eastern AR 10484 sunspot. Several of the ejections are broader and more extended than standard jets. Furthermore, most of them can be associated with jet-like or narrow CMEs. Because of all these characteristics, we consider them to be jets of the blowout class. All the ejections were accompanied by simultaneous brightenings at their base, which in a few cases developed in M-class flares, as those discussed by Yan et al. (2012) and the M2.4 flare on 23 October (SOL2003-10-23) analyzed in this article. All these flares originated from approximately the same location in the AR and have similar characteristics.

Our article is organized as follows. We first describe the different data sets used in our analysis in Sect. 2. In Sect. 3, we list all the events we identify that have an eruptive nature as those studied by Yan et al. (2012) and the event discussed in detail in Sect. 4. After modeling the AR magnetic field and computing its topology in Sect. 5, we draw a scenario that can explain the origin of the minor flare brightenings, the flare described in Sect. 4 as well as those analyzed by Yan et al. (2012), and the series of blowout jets associated with all these events. Finally, in Sect. 6 we discuss our results and conclude.

2. Observational data sets

The observational data used in this study come from several sources. To understand the magnetic complexity of the

\(^1\) http://cdaw.gsfc.nasa.gov/CMElist
The flares (all M-class) started and evolved at approximately the same location in AR 10484 and were associated with broad jets that became CMEs; all of these flares were within the categories narrow and jet-like. Motivated by these similarities, we investigate whether similar phenomena occurred in AR 10484 between 21 to 24 October 2003. We search in EIT and TRACE EUV data and find that recurrent jets originated from the east of AR 10484 along these days. This tendency of Hα, EUV, and X-ray jets to appear emanating from the same region has currently been observed in several examples (e.g. Schmieder et al. 1995; Asai et al. 2001; Wang & Liu 2012; Zhang et al. 2012; Chandra et al. 2015; Panesar et al. 2016). Our main aim in this section is to show the frequent occurrence of the ejection of material and to find evidence of that ejection in LASCO C2 images. We do not analyze all the events in detail in this section, but only those accompanying the M2.4 flare analyzed in Sect. 4.

All the jets we refer to in this section were broad and started with a small localized brightening at their base in EIT images. When TRACE images were available, this brightening appeared to have a loop-like shape. In some cases an X-ray class flare was reported in GOES data. In general and simultaneously with the EUV brightenings, a straight elongated mass expulsion was observed toward the east of AR 10484. This broad expulsion was observed sometimes in only one EIT image, either because its duration was less than 12 min or because the time span between consecutive EIT images was occasionally over 30 min. However, several jets lasted longer than 30 min. The EUV events can be seen in a movie in Fe XII 195 Å (eit-jets-21-24.mpeg), which is attached to this article. An example of one of these jets at its maximum extension, together with a LASCO C2 image showing its evidence in the upper corona, is depicted in Fig. 1.

Table 1 lists the broad jets observed from 21 October 2003 at 00:00 UT until the end of 24 October. The list includes only the jets that are visible in 1024 × 1024 EIT direct images and extend
Table 1. Recurrent jets in AR 10484.

| Start time (UT) | Max. length (Mm) | LASCO C2 feature |
|-----------------|------------------|------------------|
| 21 October 2003  |                  |                  |
| 14:24:10        | 44               | *Faint narrow CME (AW < 10) in PA = 105, 14:54 UT |
| 15:12:11        | 55               | Narrow CME (AW = 18) in PA = 98, 16:06 UT |
| 17:48:12        | 48               | Corona contaminated by CME from AR10486 |
| 22 October 2003 |                  |                  |
| 00:48:11        | 59               | Corona contaminated by CME from AR10486 at 00:54 UT |
| 02:36:11        | 168              | Corona contaminated by CME from AR10486 at 03:54 UT |
| 05:24:11        | 59               | Corona contaminated by previous CME |
| 09:48:11        | 217              | Jet-like CME (AW < 5) in PA = 80, 10:30 UT |
| 11:00:11        | 180              | *Faint narrow CME (AW = 10) in PA = 90, 11:54 UT |
| 14:24:11        | 61               | Not evident, likely because faint, narrow, and high angle |
| 15:12:11        | 190              | y Narrow CME (AW = 15) in PA = 90, 15:30 UT |
| 16:00:10        | 137              | y Narrow CME (AW = 20) in PA = 85, 16:30 UT |
| 23 October 2003 |                  |                  |
| 01:25:55        | 121              | *Faint jet-like CME (AW < 10) in PA = 92, 2:06 UT |
| 02:36:11        | 217              | y Narrow CME (AW = 20) in PA = 90, 3:06 UT |
| 06:36:11        | 82               | y Narrow CME (AW = 15) in PA = 90, 7:31 UT |
| 10:14:14        | 167              | Jet-like CME (AW = 10) in PA = 80, 11:30 UT |
| 11:48:11        | 243              | Not distinguishable from previous jet, likely high angle |
| 14:25:56        | 64               | Not distinguishable from pre-existing structures, high angle |
| 18:00:11        | 130              | Not distinguishable from pre-existing structures, high angle |
| 20:12:11        | 58               | Corona contaminated by CME from AR10486 at 20:06 UT |
| 22:00:11        | 166              | Corona contaminated by CME from east limb, north of AR10486 |
| 23:12:11        | 76               | Narrow CME (AW < 5) in PA = 78, 00:06 UT |
| 24 October 2003 |                  |                  |
| 00:24:11        | 89               | Not distinguishable from pre-existing structures, high angle |
| 06:00:11        | 91               | Not distinguishable from pre-existing structures, high angle |
| 08:24:11        | 150              | *Narrow CME (AW = 10) in PA = 102, 08:54 UT |
| 09:48:11        | 149              | Faint CME (AW = 27) in PA = 260, 11:06 UT, high angle |
| 20:24:11        | 47               | Jet-like CME (AW < 5) in PA = 67, 21:30 UT |
| 21:36:11        | 141              | Jet-like CME (AW < 5) in PA = 68, 22:30 UT |
| 22:36:11        | 191              | Jet-like CME (AW < 5) in PA = 70, 23:30 UT |

Notes. An * in the third column indicates that the association with the corresponding jet is marginal. A superscript “Y” and “R” indicates that the coronal counterpart was reported to the CDAW SOHO/LASCO and the CACTus CME catalogs, respectively. The words “high angle” indicate a large angle between the observed structure and the POS. The hours indicate the first time of appearance in LASCO C2 field of view (FOV). The angular width (AW) and the position angle (PA) are expressed in degrees with the PA measured counter-clockwise from solar north.

for at least 20 EIT pixels in those images. There are many more events originating from the east of the AR if one looks at EIT difference images. The first column in the table indicates the time at which we first detect the jet. These hours have an estimated error of 12 min, EIT 195 Å temporal cadence. Several of the broad jets, such as those studied by Yan et al. (2012) and those in this article, were also observed by TRACE with much higher temporal cadence but, since TRACE observed AR 10484 sporadically, we prefer to refer to EIT data for coherence in the table. The second column in Table 1 shows the length of the jets measured in projection on the solar disk from EIT direct images. The lengths of the jets, reported at their maximum extensions, are measured from the brightenings observed at their bases to the brightest feature in their easternmost borders. These lengths were calculated using standard SolarSoft routines and range from 44 to 243 Mm.

Finally, the third column in Table 1 indicates whether evidence of the ejected material is found in LASCO C2 images. We include a short description of what we observe analyzing LASCO C2 difference images in combination with EIT difference images. We have consulted catalogs and data bases as an alternative to identify the white-light counterparts of AR 10484 broad jets. In particular, we looked at the CDAW SOHO/LASCO CME Catalog and the Computer Aided CME Tracking Catalog (CACTus; Robbrecht & Berghmans 2004). These catalogs rely on different techniques to recognize CME events, each of them with inherent limitations. There is a high chance that the events we are looking are small and narrow, therefore most of the events in Table 1 are identified by us and do not appear in the catalogs. The few coronal counterparts reported by these catalogs are indicated by a superscript “Y” and “R” corresponding to the CDAW SOHO/LASCO and the CACTus CME catalogs, respectively. For the sake of consistency, we measured the projected angular width (AW) and the position angle (PA) for all the LASCO C2 features reported in Table 1.

3 [http://sidc.oma.be/cactus/]
productive AR during its disk transit, whose events amounted to by five major and several minor sunspots. It was a highly flare-

23 October it exhibited a it rotated out to the far side of the Sun on 31 October. By

AR 10484 was a very complex region that appeared on the east-

4.1. Magnetic field evolution from 21 to 24 October 2003

CMEs. The position angles (PAs) reported in Table 1 lie within ±5°. We indicated with “high angle” those white-light counterparts that presumably propagated at a larger angle with respect to the POS. Also in Table 1, “jet-like CME” indicates that the observed structure was long and very collimated with hardly any evident structure, while a narrow CME was seen as being structured and in general having larger AWs than jet-like CMEs. The position angles (PAs) reported in Table 1 lie within ±5°.

We do not attempt to study in detail the temporal correlation between all the EUV broad jets and the LASCO C2 features listed in Table 1, instead we do this in Sect. 4 for the M2.4 flare and its associated jet on 23 October. We just aim to show that a white-light counterpart can be found for several of the broad jets observed from 21 to 24 October 2003 originating from the eastern side of AR 10484. By 25 October 2003, the broad-jet reccurrence associated with white-light LASCO features is no longer evident (see Uddin et al. 2012).

4. Multiwavelength view of the M2.4 flare and jet in AR 10484

4.1. Magnetic field evolution from 21 to 24 October 2003

AR 10484 was a very complex region that appeared on the eastern solar limb on 18 October 2003 and could be followed until it rotated out to the far side of the Sun on 31 October. By 23 October it exhibited a βγδ configuration and was formed by five major and several minor sunspots. It was a highly flare-

29 C-class, 16 M-class, and 2 X-class flares (see, e.g., Li et al. 2005; Zharkova et al. 2006; Yan et al. 2012; Uddin et al. 2012, for the analysis of some of these events).

In Fig. 2 we show the evolution of the line-of-sight (LOS) magnetic field as seen with MDI from 21 October until late 23 October. This evolution was analyzed in detail in Yan et al. (2012), together with the changes seen in TRACE white-light images. These authors observed the rotation toward the southwest of a large and a small negative sunspots located to the northeast of the AR for around 48 h in the counter-clockwise direction. The large sunspot rotated around the major northern negative spot (see their Fig. 1), which is pointed with a white arrow in Fig. 2a. The rotation is also evident when one compares the different panels in Fig. 2. As a result of this sustained rotation, the positive magnetic polarities located between the rotating spot and a southern and smaller negative spot, as with other positive polarities to the east, were continuously cancelled and finally they practically disappeared; only a small positive patch remained visible in Fig. 2f. A particular example of this cancellation and approach between positive and negative polarities can be seen in Fig. 2 of Yan et al. (2012). Zhang et al. (2003) described the magnetic field configuration of AR 10484 using the Huairou Multi-Channel Solar Telescope. Their Fig. 1 clearly shows the counter-clockwise rotation of the horizontal component of the vector magnetic field on 21 and 22 October 2003 in a limited region of the AR between the two major positive spots and including part of the rotating sunspot. These observations imply that the shear at the location of the rotating spot and surrounding field was high and was constantly being built up by the spot motion.

In our view, the constant flux cancellation could be at the origin of the flaring and broad jet expulsions along this time period. We have added a red ellipse at approximately the location of the flare and jet activity along those days. The images are derotated to Sun center and the size of the field of view (FOV) is 290 per 200′. The images have been saturated below (above) –500 G (500 G). We added three contours to panel e at ±50, ±100, and ±500 G in magenta (cyan) for positive (negative) values.
4.2. The flare and jet in X-rays and UV continuum

4.2.1. The temporal and spatial evolution

The temporal evolution of the X-ray emission of the M2.4 X-class flare on 23 October is shown in Fig. 3 using GOES (top) and RHESSI (bottom) data. The GOES measurements in the 0.5–4.0 Å channel show a small flux enhancement at ~02:34 UT, indicating the onset of minor flaring in the AR. A small bump in GOES profiles at ~02:38 UT, i.e., before the maximum, is noteworthy. This is followed by a significant increase in the X-ray flux in GOES and in RHESSI light curves. The GOES profiles peak around 02:41 UT.

The RHESSI observed this event from beginning to end. We analyze RHESSI emission in four energy bands, namely, 6–12, 12–25, 25–50, and 50–100 keV. RHESSI light curves, shown in Fig. 3 (bottom panel), are constructed taking the average count rates over the front detectors 1, 3–6, 8, and 9 in each energy band.

The low-energy RHESSI light curves show an evolution similar to that of GOES. At higher energies, the light curves present an impulsive behavior. The flare produced significant hard X-ray (HXR) emission with energies up to \( \approx 50–100 \) keV; this is interesting considering that the flare was very compact (see Fig. 4). The early subtle rise observed between ~02:34 and 02:38 UT in the 0.5–4.0 Å GOES light curves is also very prominent in the 12–25 keV X-ray energy band.

Figure 4 shows RHESSI contours in 10–15 and 50–100 keV overlaying TRACE images in 1700 Å. The RHESSI images are reconstructed using the CLEAN algorithm with the natural weighting scheme and the front detector segments 3 to 8 (excluding 7) (Hurford et al. 2002); this implies that RHESSI’s spatial resolution is at most \( \approx 7'' \). The low-energy emission (i.e., 10–15 keV) originates from a single compact source from very beginning of the flare (~02:34 UT) until ~02:48 UT. We further reconstructed images in other energy bands (not shown here), namely, 6–12 and 15–25 keV, and found that the extension of the sources and their spatial locations at these energies are similar to the 10–15 keV source.

A careful examination of series of TRACE 1700 Å images shows that the earliest signature of flare activity appeared at ~02:30 UT in the form of a localized brightening with a short elongation toward the east of the AR. The TRACE FOV, with a size of 768 × 768 pixels, covered the full AR 10484 until ~2:39 UT, after that hour it reduced to 512 × 512 pixels leaving the west side of the AR without coverage. At ~02:35 UT, the intensity of the localized brightening, then seen as two separate kernels, increased suddenly and a jet-like ejection became very prominent. This phase is clearly reflected in the GOES X-ray profile as its initial bump.

At ~02:38 UT, the flaring region developed rapidly. The southern brightening became structured and split in two. With the continuous intensity increase, three bright kernels became evident. Between ~02:38 and 02:40 UT, the HXR light curves show two impulsive peaks. Two HXR sources were observed for a brief period during this interval of time in the 50–100 keV energy band; these were approximately cospatial with the UV flare kernels (Fig. 4d). Although the flaring region is very compact, the low-energy emission (10–15 keV) at this time originated from a zone between the two HXR sources. We interpret that the HXR sources, observed in the 50–100 keV energy band, mark the footpoints (FPs) of a closed loop system at approximately the base of the jet in the compact flaring region. The HXR emission from the FPs is traditionally viewed in terms of the thick-target bremsstrahlung process (Brown 1971) in which X-ray production takes place when high-energy electrons, accelerated at the reconnection site, come along the guiding magnetic field lines and penetrate the denser transition region and chromospheric layers (Kontar et al. 2010). In view of this “standard” picture, it is very likely that the HXR emission at lower X-ray energies (e.g., 10–15 keV) originated from the top of the flaring region. When examining the polarity of the field at the place of the high-energy HXR sources, we find that the sources are mostly located in regions of opposite polarity field (compare panels a and d in Fig. 4 and see panel c in Fig. 10).

4.2.2. The spectral evolution

We study the evolution of RHESSI X-ray spectra during the impulsive phase of the flare (i.e., between 02:37:00 UT and 02:41:00 UT). For this analysis, we first generate a RHESSI spectrogram with an energy binning of \( \frac{1}{2} \) keV from 6–15 keV.
and 1 keV from 15–100 keV. We use only the front segments of the detectors and exclude detectors 2 and 7, which have lower energy resolution and high threshold energies, respectively. The spectra are deconvolved with the full detector response matrix (i.e., off-diagonal elements are included; Smith et al. 2002). Spectral fits are obtained using a forward-fitting method implemented in the Object Spectral Executive (OSPEX) code. The OSPEX code allows the user to choose a model photon spectrum, which is convolved with the instrument response matrix and then fitted to the observed count spectrum. The best-fit parameters are obtained as output. We use the bremsstrahlung and line spectrum of an isothermal plasma and a power-law function with a turnover at low X-ray energies. The negative power-law index below the low-energy turnover is fixed to 1.5. In this manner, there are five free parameters in the model: the temperature (T) and emission measure (EM) for the thermal component, the power-law index (γ), the normalization of the power-law, and the low-energy turnover for the non-thermal component. From these fits we can derive the T and EM of the hot flaring plasma, as well as the power-law index for the non-thermal component.

In Fig. 5 we show the spatially-integrated and background-subtracted RHESSI spectra derived during nine time intervals of the impulsive phase of the flare together with the applied spectral fits. Each spectrum is accumulated over 20 s. The RHESSI X-ray time profiles reveal that the early impulsive phase emission (∼02:37–02:38 UT) originates only at low X-ray energies (i.e., ∼6–40 keV, cf. Fig. 3). We find a rapid increase in the X-ray flux in HXR energies up to 50–100 keV after ∼02:38 UT. Therefore, we restricted the spectral fitting during the early phase (between 02:37 and 02:38 UT) to the energy range 6–40 keV (cf. Figs. 5a, b). The spectra derived during the main impulsive phase (between 02:38 and 02:41 UT) are fitted in the range 6–100 keV.

The spectra during the early phase (02:37:00–02:38:00 UT) show lower values of T and EM, indicating that the X-ray emission comes from localized regions. The TRACE UV images (see Fig. 4) clearly show localized brightenings in this interval. At X-ray energies >10 keV, the spectrum shows a steep power law with a photon spectral index of ≈7. The flare emission undergoes a very fast spectral evolution with the onset of high-energy emission at ∼02:38 UT. The HXR emission is the hardest between 02:38:20 and 02:39:00 UT with a photon spectral index γ of ≈4 (cf. Figs. 5d and e). It is important to note that there is a decrease in temperature during this interval, indicating the dominance of the non-thermal characteristics of the emission. The temperature and the emission measure increase continuously in later stages, while the slopes of HXR spectra steepen. This pattern in the evolution of HXR spectra, often called the soft-hard-soft spectral behavior, suggests that the phase of the hardest X-ray spectra is intimately associated with electron acceleration events (Grigis & Benz 2004; Joshi et al. 2011, 2012). The phase of the hardest X-ray emission is characterized by rapid changes in the flaring region in the UV images (Fig. 4) with the evolution of flare kernels. The HXR footpoints are briefly visible during this interval only.

4.3. The flare and jet in Hα

The Hα flare evolution is shown in Fig. 6. In this wavelength, the flare initiated around 02:30 UT, peaked around 02:37 UT and decayed around 02:50 UT. Similar to what happened in X-rays, the flare was very impulsive. It started with the ejection of dark, cool material toward the east. This was later followed by a bright, long, and broad jet as the material heated up when moving into the corona. The jet continued as the flare evolved and was no longer visible at 02:39 UT, however, the flare brightenings were visible up to 02:50 UT. The broad jet reached its maximum length during the flare peak. At the footpoints of the jet, we observe three compact Hα kernels, called K1, K2, and K3 in Fig. 6d. Kernels K1 and K2 are located to the southwest and K3 to the northeast of what seems to be the base of the jet, respectively. Initially kernels K1 and K2 appear as a single bright patch and later they separate. We added three MDI contours to Fig. 6c to show the flare brightening locations relative to the magnetic field.
4.4. The jet and its extension in a narrow CME

To study the kinematics of the jet, we create a time-slice diagram using the Hα observations. The result of this analysis is presented in the left panel of Fig. 7. The position of the slice along which we measure the distance of the brightest broad jet feature in function of time is shown by a white dashed line in panel (e) of Fig. 6. Based on this analysis, we compute the projected velocity of the jet. This velocity is ≈220 km s\(^{-1}\) and the maximum projected height achieved by the Hα jet is 80 Mm with...
5. On the origin of flares and jets in AR 10484

5.1. The local magnetic-field model

In this and following sections, we compute the coronal AR magnetic field and compute its topological structure searching for clues about the origin of the observed flares and broad jets from 21 to 24 October 2003.

respect to its bright base (see also the height-time plot in the right panel of Fig. 7). We estimate a projected length of \( \approx 215 \text{ Mm} \) in the direct 195 Å EIT images (see Table 1), which is consistent with the fact that the hot material is better seen in this wavelength range at greater coronal heights. As mentioned in Sect. 3 the later estimation is carried out by measuring the distance of the brightest EUV feature in the jet with respect to its base at the time we observe the jet achieved its maximum length. Taking the poor temporal resolution of EIT images into account, we did not estimate a velocity from the EUV images.

As shown in Table 1, the flare studied here can be associated with the narrow CME first seen in LASCO C2 at 03:06 UT for which we measure an AW of \( 20^\circ \). According to the CDAW SOHO/LASCO Catalog, the CME speed was 656 km s\(^{-1}\). If we do a backward extrapolation of the height-time plot in the LASCO Catalog, which exhibits an almost linear profile, we find that the approximate start time of the CME on the solar surface was 02:34 UT, which is consistent with the initiation time of the broad jet from the flaring region.

In the right panel of Fig. 7, we added the EIT measurement of the projected jet height and of the associated CME, in the C2 FOV according to CDAW catalog, to the height-time plot of the H\( \alpha \) jet. If we linearly fit the EUV measurement and LASCO C2 values, we find a velocity of \( \approx 770 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) with a linear correlation coefficient of 0.99. This value is \( \approx 17\% \) larger than that of LASCO C2, which is reasonable considering the different kinds of measurements we are comparing. However, when adding the H\( \alpha \) measurement into the picture, we find that the ejected material first undergoes a phase of gradual acceleration, to reach an almost constant speed later. Figure 8 illustrates the jet as observed with EIT at 02:36 UT and the associated narrow CME.

The LOS magnetic field of the AR is extrapolated using the linear force free field (LFFF) approximation \( \nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \alpha \mathbf{B} \), where \( \mathbf{B} \) is the magnetic field and \( \alpha \) is the force-free parameter, which is assumed to be constant in the entire extrapolation box) with the fast Fourier transform (FFT) method described in Alissandrakis (1981) and Démoulin et al. (1997). As a boundary condition for the model, we use the MDI magnetograms that are closest in time to the observed events. The only free parameter on which the LFFF model depends on, \( \alpha \), is chosen so that the computed field lines match the observed coronal loops. To compare our computed field lines to the observed loops, we select a 171 Å TRACE image. The TRACE images were available only in 1600 Å, 1700 Å, and white light during the flare and jet on 23 October; therefore, we use the closest in time (\( \sim 19:58 \text{ UT} \))
Fig. 8. EIT image of the jet and LASCO C2 difference image of the associated narrow CME. EIT full-disk image on 23 October 2003 at 02:36 UT showing the broad jet originating from the eastern border of AR 10484 during the M2.4 flare (left panel). LASCO C2 difference image of the associated narrow CME (right panel). The two red solid lines are drawn to indicate the CME AW.

Fig. 9. Magnetic field model of the AR coronal loops. TRACE 171 Å image at 19:58 UT on 22 October 2003 (left panel). Magnetic field model of AR 10484 including field lines (pink continuous lines), tracing the EUV loops, overlaid on the same TRACE image (right panel). The contours correspond to ±50 G, ±100 G, and ±500 G (magenta for positive and cyan for negative values) and the axes are in Mm. The inclination of the sides of the box (defined in the local frame) indicates that the AR was not at central meridian at that time.

171 Å image on the previous day, which is shown in Fig. 9 side by side to the model with computed field lines overlaid on it. The value of $\alpha$ is selected via a recurrent process, as discussed in Green et al. (2002). The $\alpha$ value that best matches TRACE loops is $-1.9 \times 10^{-3} \text{ Mm}^{-1}$. Our model also includes a transformation of coordinates from the local AR frame to the observed frame (see Démoulin et al. 1997), so that the computed field lines can be directly compared to the observed loops. For more details about how the computational box is selected and its size controlled, see Mandrini et al. (2015).

5.2. Magnetic topology at the flare and jet location

5.2.1. Bald patches: their general characteristics and relevance

Typical coronal conditions imply that the magnetic field is force free and frozen into the plasma almost everywhere in solar ARs. Separatrices are an exception where current layers may be formed and energy release is prone to occur. Apart from cases in which magnetic null points are present, separatrices can only
appear in a magnetic volume when some field lines touch the boundary tangentially (i.e., the photosphere). This may happen along portions of the photospheric inversion line (PIL) of the magnetic field component that is normal for this boundary. These portions are called “bald patches” or BPs (Titov et al. 1993). At a BP the field lines are curved upward and the horizontal component of the magnetic field crosses the inversion line from negative to positive polarity (i.e., in the opposite way when compared to normal portions of the PIL). The conditions for the existence of a BP were first given by Seeber (1986) and in more detail by Titov et al. (1993). The BPs are present when, at \( z = 0 \) (i.e., the boundary or photosphere) \( \mathbf{B}_z = 0 \) and \( \nabla \times \mathbf{B} > 0 \).

Bald patches define separatrices where current layers can develop (see, e.g., Low & Wolfson 1988; Vekstein et al. 1991; Aly & Amari 1997). Karpen et al. (1991) discussed this aspect and showed that the thickness of the low chromosphere could prevent thin current sheets from forming in a BP configuration. However, Billingshurst et al. (1993) explained that strong currents could develop at least near the footpoints of the BP separatrix because a strong concentration of flux tubes could be present in these regions. More recently, Pariat et al. (2009b) showed that currents can accumulate at BPs and their separatrices in MHD numerical simulations; while other simulations like those by Archontis & Hood (2009), Archontis et al. (2013), Cheung et al. (2010), and Takasao et al. (2015) have shown the development of a magnetic reconnection at BPs during the build up of ARs.

Among observations in the literature, there is evidence of the presence of BPs in flares. The first examples were implicitly shown by Seeber & Staude (1980) and Seeber (1985). Aulanier et al. (1998) found a close correspondence between BP separatrices and Hz and X-ray kernels in a small flare. Delannée & Aulanier (1999) studied a flare associated with BPs, where reconnection could have originated a CME. Concerning other type of events, Fletcher et al. (2001) found that transition region brightenings associated with BPs had abundances close to photospheric values. Mandrini et al. (2002) discussed a non-typical scenario in which interacting BPs were related to the formation of arch-filament systems and a Hz surge. Wang et al. (2002) found soft X-ray elongated features associated with BPs preceding an X-class flare and CME. Pariat et al. (2004) discussed the relevance of BPs for the emergence of undulatory flux tubes and Ellerman bombs (see also Pariat et al. 2009b), while more recently, a scenario involving U loops evolving into BPs with reconnection at their location was proposed by Peter et al. (2014) to explain Interface Region Imaging Spectrograph (IRIS) observations. Schmieder et al. (2013) also identified BPs in the neighborhood of a jet close to a magnetic coronal null point. In this particular example, the role of BPs in the jet expulsion was unclear.

5.2.2. Bald patches in AR 10484

As mentioned in Sect. 1, numerical models explaining the origin of jets propose as a basis a magnetic configuration above which a magnetic null point and its fan separatrix are present (see, e.g., Pariat et al. 2009a, 2015; Rachmeler et al. 2010; and the review by Raouafi et al. 2016). Then, we first look for the presence of coronal magnetic null points at the location of the flare and jet on 23 October. We find no magnetic null point at that place or in another zone of the AR that could be associated with the flare and broad jet. However, we find a set of two BPs, which we call BP1 and BP2. These can be seen in Fig. 10c together with RHESSI high-energy HXR contours. Furthermore, as discussed in Sect. 3, AR 10484 produced broad jets that erupted in narrow or jet-like CMEs from 21 to 24 October. The recurrence of very similar events at approximately the same location in the AR (see Fig. 2) leads us to check whether the same magnetic field topology can be found associated with this series of events. The different panels in Fig. 10 show the presence of BPs and the interaction with the photosphere of their associated separatrices at approximately the locations of the base of the jets and brightenings or flares on 21, 22, and 23 October.

The magnetic field model, which is the base for the BP computations, is carried out on each day using as a boundary condition the corresponding MDI map and taking the same value of \( \alpha \) determined in Sect. 5.1. The extension and shape of the BPs varies as the magnetic field evolved, mainly as the positive field polarities were cancelled. The BPs appear as separated in
different portions. However, we infer that an elongated BP is present on 21 October, which finally evolves into the two portions we call BP1 and BP2 on 23 October. These two BPs do not define a common separator as described analytically by Bungey et al. (1996) and found in an observed example by Mandrini et al. (2002). In Fig. 10 the BPs are shown as the thickest green continuous lines at the photospheric level, while the thick magenta continuous lines correspond to the trace of the BP separatrices. The lines mainly to the west of the positive polarities and within the drawn box (see Fig. 10) lie on the photospheric plane. Those to the east and at the border or out of the drawn box are shown projected on the photosphere. As an example, Fig. 11a shows a set of magnetic field lines in blue color that trace the separatrices of BP1 and BP2. Those reaching the photosphere to the west are low lying and closed, while those extending to the east are large scale and open (as discussed in Sect. 5.3); they reach the separatrices that appear to be projected on the photosphere (see also Fig. 10c). These separatrix traces lie at the top of our computational domain (see Fig. 11b).

When comparing Fig. 10c with the Hz (Fig. 6) and TRACE and RHESSI observations, we see (Fig. 4) that the flare kernels and RHESSI high-energy contours are located within coalignment errors (see Berlicki et al. 2004, for a discussion on coaligning RHESSI with other satellite instruments) and, considering the spatial resolution of RHESSI, on the BP separatrices lying at the photospheric level. On the other hand, the low-energy RHESSI contours lie on BP1 and partially on BP2. Furthermore, the magnetic field lines extending to the east in Fig. 11a agree well with the direction of the broad jet. This suggests that magnetic reconnection occurring at the BP separatrices could be at the origin of the flare and jet in AR 10484.

Figure 12 represents a static view directly derived from our coronal field model that shows in a snapshot both pre-reconnected and reconnected field lines. We infer the direction in which reconnection proceeds from the observed event evolution (see, e.g., Mandrini et al. 2015, and references therein). In Fig. 12a we draw, in blue and red, a set of pre-reconnected and reconnected field lines, respectively. This panel is shown from the point of view of the observer. The blue solid lines would correspond to the situation before reconnection, while the set of red continuous lines issued from the separatrix located at the photospheric level would correspond to the lines after reconnection. This panel is shown from the point of view of the observer. 

This plot shows the field lines from a different point of view (rotated by around 180° and seen sideways) to clearly illustrate that the separatrix traces to the east are not at the photosphere but reach the top surface of the drawn box. The height of the box is 50 Mm in this figure. For clarity we are only including field lines tracing BP1 separatrix in this panel, a similar field line tracing is found for BP2. The conventions for the field isocontours, axes, BPs, and separatrices are the same as in Fig. 10.

This is the same set of field lines as in panel a) drawn from a different perspective so that the field connectivity becomes clear. We also added arrows to the computed lines to indicate the direction of the magnetic field and we added numbers to some of them to explain how reconnection can proceed (see Sect. 5.2.2). The height of these field lines was multiplied by a factor of four so that they can be clearly distinguished. The conventions for the field isocontours, axes, BPs, and separatrices are the same as in Fig. 10.
Our data analysis, combined with modeling and magnetic field topology computation, led us to propose two possible scenarios to explain this particular event. These scenarios share the same topology, but the way in which the event is triggered is different.

A first possibility is that the sustained twisting and shearings motions and flux cancellation can contribute to the continuous formation of a flux rope below the BP closed separatrix. The photospheric evolution can accumulate energy in the constantly formed flux rope and contribute to its destabilization and eruption (van Ballegooijen & Martens 1989; Aulanier et al. 2010). As the flux rope rises, it can force reconnection between oppositely directed field lines above the BPs and this leads to the formation of a current layer and fast energy release. To illustrate this process, we numbered two pre-reconnected field lines as 1 and 2 in Fig. 12b and the resulting reconnected line as 3. The result of this process is the impulsive M2.4 flare with kernels located in the close vicinity of the BP separatrices. Plasma in the erupting rope could produce the observed blowout jet as it accesses the open reconnected field lines. This evolution is somehow similar to what happens in numerical simulations of jets having a null point topology, following those discussed by Pariat et al. (2009b, 2015). In these simulations, the photospheric line-tied forcing does not directly stress the fan surface but allows the accumulation of energy in the configuration below the fan, as we propose it happens below the BP separatrix.

In the second scenario, we speculate that the continuous twisting and shearings motions and flux cancellation can build up current layers at the BP separatrices in a quasi-static way until impulsive reconnection occurs through a resistive instability (Billinghurst et al. 1993; Pariat et al. 2009b). The process can lead to fast energy release. As a result, we would observe the impulsive M2.4 flare and, if the reconnection region reaches the open field, the plasma in the open reconnected field lines would produce the observed broad jet. After the event, the configuration relaxes and goes back to a low level energy state. However, recent 3D MHD simulations by Baumann et al. (2013), in which the initial topology contains a null point and the field is stressed by photospheric shearings motions, show that the currents accumulated mainly at the fan separatrices are not sufficient to produce a flare-like energy release as that needed to explain the observed M2.4 flare. These authors propose that additional free energy should be contained in the initial non-potential state to produce a flare. Therefore, by analogy with the configuration in Baumann et al. (2013), in our BP topology we consider that though this second scenario could explain some minor brightenings and collimated jets, it would not be suitable to explain the energetic flare and blowout jet we observe.

5.3. The global magnetic-field model

Our local magnetic field model of AR 10484 shows that field lines associated with the BPs extend to the east and end at the top of our computation box. In order to examine the behavior of those field lines in the large scale, we use a global PFSS model. The model is computed with the Finite Difference Iterative Potential-field Solver (FDIPS) code developed by Tóth et al. (2011), which provides more accurate results at high-latitude regions than those typically obtained with spherical harmonic expansion methods (see Mandrini et al. 2014, for details on the model). The source surface is set at 2.5 \( R_\odot \) and the grid is spherical with 150 bins in the radial direction, 180 latitudinal bins, and 360 longitudinal bins. The photospheric boundary condition is prescribed by the MDI (polar field corrected) synoptic magnetogram for Carrington rotation (CR) 2009.

The coronal magnetic field is well described by PFSS models at global scales, except in regions where high currents are present, such as could be the case around filaments. However, for our purpose and considering its limitations, such a model provides a reasonable description of the connectivity of the field around AR 10484. This is illustrated in Fig. 13, which shows the result of the model when the AR was at the central meridian. The displayed field lines were traced using a set of coronal starting points separated by 10° (in both latitude and longitude) and are located at a height of \( \approx 350 \) Mm above the photosphere. The selection provides a fairly uniform sampling of the large-scale structure around and above the AR. The magnetic field line passing each selected starting point is traced in both directions until the photosphere (1.0 \( R_\odot \)) or the source surface (2.5 \( R_\odot \)) is reached. Figure 13a shows the global field model, while in Fig. 13b we selected a set of field lines anchored at AR 10484. From these figures it is clear that there is a set of open field lines that could serve as a channel for the plasma ejected in the broad jet, which we associate with the narrow eastern CME seen later and depicted in Fig. 8.

6. Summary and conclusions

A series of recurrent jets were observed in the EUV with SOHO/EIT with a few seen in the UV with TRACE and in \( \text{H}\alpha \) at ARIES in Nainital, India, from 21 to 24 October 2003. The jet
eruptions originated from similar locations in AR 10484. This site was to the east of a major negative spot around which a smaller negative spot rotated in the counter-clockwise direction (see Fig. 2 in Sect. 4.1 and references therein) forced the cancel-
lation of small positive polarities located between the rotating spots and to their east. The sustained rotation lasted for at least 48 h. As a result, the magnetic field at that location was con-
stantly twisted and sheared while negative magnetic helicity was injected.

The events started with brightenings of different intensities at their bases that were closely followed by the jet eruptions to-
ward the east. The observed jets are broader than standard colli-
mated jets and have different lengths with a maximum projected length of =220 Mm (see Table 1). For most of the jet events listed in Table 1, we identify a coronal counterpart in LASCO images. The association between the jets and the LASCO CMEs is only established quantitatively in three cases; those are the two events studied in Yan et al. (2012) and the event discussed in Sect. 4.4a the white-light events were all either narrow CMEs or jet-like CMEs. As discussed in Vourlidas et al. (2013), these type of CMEs may contain filamentary material. Even though we cannot identify a filament or similar cold feature at the base of the jets before the events, we consider that they belong to the blowout class (Moore et al. 2010) when taking all their ob-
erved characteristics into account. Furthermore, when looking at Table 1 and comparing with the magnetic field evolution in Fig. 2, there seem to be more jets when flux cancellation is more

As mentioned above, all the blowout jets in Table 1 were accompanied by brightenings at their bases. In three cases, the energy built up in the configuration by twisting and shearing of the field is enough to produce three impulsive M-class flares. The location and morphology of the main flare brightenings is similar for the three M flares. Two of these flares, an M1.4 and an M1.2, occurred on 22 October with a difference of one hour and were studied by Yan et al. (2012). The third flare, the most energetic of the three, is the flare analyzed in this article (see Sect. 4). The M2.4 flare on 23 October started around 10 h after the second event on 22 October. This flare is characterized by the presence of HXR footpoints seen by RHESSI with a SXR source in between. The RHESSI kernels are co-spatial with UV and Hα kernels and lie at the base of the jet.

To understand the origin of the homologous blowout jets, small brightenings, and flare kernels at their bases, we model the coronal magnetic field of AR 10484 and compute its topology. Our models use MDI magnetograms as boundary condi-
tions during the days when the events were observed. We find no magnetic null points either at the location of the events or at other places in the AR that can be connected to the jets and brightenings. However, we find BPs in our magnetic field models. The location, elongation, and shape of the BPs on the photosphere vary as the magnetic field evolves (see Fig. 10), but they are always at the place from where the jets erupted. Magnetic field lines calculated starting integration at the western side of the BPs end at the separatrices on the photosphere, while those starting from the BP eastern sides are very long lines that ex-
tend up to the upper surfaces of our computation boxes and end at the separatrices located there (see Figs. 11 and 12 for the model on 23 October). These latter field lines, which open up to-
ward the east limb, are the lines through which the plasma from the jets gets channelled, thus allowing for the observation of the

white-light counterparts at eastern equatorial PAs. This is con-
firmed by a PFSS model of CR 2009, which shows that field lines anchored at the eastern side of AR 10484, at approximately the jet bases, reach the source surface at 2.5 R⊙ (see Fig. 13), i.e., they are open field lines.

Combining data analysis with modeling and magnetic field topology computation, we proposed two possible scenarios to explain the particular event on 23 October at 02:41 UT (see Sect. 5.2.2). These can be also applied to the blowout jets seen from 21 to 24 October 2003 and the brightenings of varying intensities at their bases (see Sect. 3), which share the same magnetic topology. In the first scenario, twisting and shearing motions and flux cancellation can contribute to the continu-
ous formation of a flux rope below the BP closed separatix, which can eventually erupt and force reconnection between op-
positely directed field lines above the BPs. In this particular case, the energy released in the different events depends on the amount stored mainly in the flux rope. Events occur for as long as both the shearing and twisting motions and flux cancella-
tion continue. Observations of coronal jets driven by the erup-
tions of small-scale filaments support this first proposed scenario (Raouafi et al. 2012; Kayshap et al. 2013; Sterling et al. 2015). In the second scenario, we speculate that current layers can form at the BP separatices, by the continuous twisting and shearing motions and flux cancellation, in a quasi-static way until impul-
sive reconnection occurs through a resistive instability. The con-
figuration relaxes to a lower energy state after each event. This process can be repeated for as long as the forcing continues. The energy released in the different events would depend on the amount stored between event and event. However, the results of 3D MHD simulations by Baumann et al. (2013) show that the currents accumulated (mainly at the fan separatix in their num-
erical models) are not enough to produce a flare-like energy release. Therefore, though this scenario could explain the minor brightenings observed from 21 to 24 October, it would not be suitable to explain the blowout jets and energetic flares on 22 and 23 October in AR 10484. From this perspective, the first scenario seems most plausible.

To compare ejective aspects during two solar rotations of two distinct solar minima, Cremades et al. (2011) used a broad crite-
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