Knowledge and attitudes of Croatian Dentists Regarding Antibiotic Prescription in Endodontics: A Cross-sectional Questionnaire-based Study

Znanje i stajališta doktora dentalne medicine u Hrvatskoj o propisivanju antibiotika u endodonciji: presječno istraživanje

Abstract
Objectives: To assess dentists’ level of knowledge and practice concerning antibiotic usage in endodontics using the European Society of Endodontology position statement as a reference. Material and Methods: A cross-sectional study was conducted in the form of an electronic questionnaire consisting of 23 questions, including dentists’ demographic and professional characteristics, attitudes as well as experiences regarding antibiotics in endodontics. Data were evaluated by the Mann-Whitney test or the Kruskal Wallis 1-way ANOVA, α = 5%. Results: The overall mean self-reported knowledge of antibiotics usage in endodontics was 11.7±2.5 points, out of a maximum possible score of 23. The factors associated with a higher knowledge were: age (p≤0.001), clinical experience (p≤0.001), specialist training (p=0.008), and adherence to the guidance on the use of systemic antibiotics in endodontics (p=0.006). Dentists who specialized in endodontics (16.1±2.2) achieved higher levels of knowledge. Conclusion: Knowledge on antibiotic usage in endodontics among dentists in Croatia is insufficient. There is a need for continuing education on the use of antibiotics among general dentists.

Introduction
Most endodontic infections, likewise other odontogenic infections, have a polymicrobial origin, involving gram-positive, gram-negative, and strict or facultative anaerobic bacteria (1). Most endodontic infections are located within the tooth and can be solved by root canal treatment, drainage, or tooth extraction, without the need for local or systemic antibiotics (2). Antibiotics should be used as an adjunct in treat-

Uvod
Endodontske infekcije, kao i ostale odontogene zaraze, uglavnom su polimikrobnog podrijetla, uključujući gram-pozitivne, gram-negativne i stroge ili fakultativne anaerobne bakterije (1). Većina endodontskih infekcija nalazi se unutar zuba i može se riješiti lijecenjem korijskog kanala, drenažom ili ekstrakcijom zuba, bez upotrebe lokalnih ili sistemskih antibiotika (2). Antibioticima se treba koristiti kao
ing apical periodontitis and only in cases of acute apical abscess with systemic involvement, when an infection is fast progressing, or in immunocompromised patients (3-7). In healthy patients, bacteremia caused by root canal treatment is transient and does not cause complications (8). The use of antibiotics is contraindicated in irreversible pulpitis, pulp necrosis, chronic apical periodontitis and localized apical periodontitis (9). Previous studies have reported that antibiotics do not reduce the pain or swelling arising in non-vital symptomatic teeth in the absence of systemic involvement (4, 5). On the other hand, the patients with life-threatening conditions such as swelling of the floor of the mouth or breathing difficulties should be hospitalized and treated by administering intravenous antibiotics (7).

Since the microbial samples are not routinely taken from infected root canals, antibiotics are usually prescribed empirically, which consequently leads to their over-prescription (7). Antibiotic overuse and prescription of inappropriate antibiotics (misuse) have been the leading cause of increased microbial resistance (10). It has been estimated that over 25,000 antibiotic-resistant infection cases per year are being diagnosed in the United States, of which 23,000 are lethal (11). Another aspect in the context of health-care consequences of antibacterial resistance includes health complications requiring higher treatment needs and hospital costs (1, 12). Furthermore, overdose and misuse of antibiotics can increase the risk of developing potentially fatal side effects or anaphylactic reactions (3, 13,14).

Although dentists are accountable for approximately 10% of the overall antibiotic prescriptions, their influence on the development of resistant bacterial species is not negligible (3). Also, the problem of increased antibiotic prescribing has arisen in recent years (15). Another critical issue is the use of antibiotic prophylaxis in medically compromised patients undergoing endodontic therapy. Although there are many controversies in the literature regarding this issue, antibiotic prophylaxis is still necessary in cases when the benefits have been clearly demonstrated (7, 16). Therefore, in 2018, the European Society of Endodontology (ESE) issued detailed guidelines on antibiotic prescribing (17). However, despite the existing guidelines, the validity of antibiotic prescribing in practice, as well as the level of adherence to the current recommendations remains unclear (12, 18).

The purpose of this study was to investigate the knowledge and attitudes of Croatian dentists regarding antibiotic prescription practice in endodontics by relying on the ESE recommendations.

Material and methods

This online cross-sectional study was conducted between January and March 2020 among dentists working in Croatia. The study was conducted in full accordance with the ethical principles, including the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki (version 2008), and was approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of Split School of Medicine, Split, Croatia (No: 003-08/20-03/0005).

dodatkom u liječenju apikalnog parodontitisa i to samo u slučaju akutnoga apikalnoga apscesa sa sustavnim širenjem kada infekcija brzo napreduje ili ako je pacijent imunokompromitiran (3 – 7). Kod zdravih bolesnika je bakterijemija prouzročena liječenjem korijenskog kanala prolazna i ne izaziva komplikacije (8). Primjena antibiotika je kontraindikirana u slučaju irreversibilnog pulpitis, nekroze pulpa, kroničnoga apikalnog parodontitisa i lokaliziranoga apikalnog parodontitisa (9). U dosadašnjim studijama istaknuto je da antibiotici ne smanjuju bol ili otekljinu koja nastaje u avalitnim simptomatskim zubima kada nema sustavnoga širenja (4, 5). S druge strane, za život opasna stanja, poput otekljine dna usta ili poteškoće s disanjem, zahtjevaju hospitalizaciju i liječenje aplikacijom antibiotika intravenski (7).

Budući da se mikrobi u uzorcima ne uzimaju rutinski iz inficiranih korijenskih kanala, antibiotici se obično propisuju empirijski, što posljedično rezultira njihovim pretrajanim propisivanjem (7). Prekomjerna upotreba antibiotika i povijanje onih neodgovarajućih (zlouporaba) glavni su uzroci povećane rezistencije mikroba (10). Procjenjuje se da se u Sjedinjenim Američkim Državama na godinu dijagnosticira više od 250 000 slučajeva infekcija otpornih na antibiotike, od kojih 23 000 završi sa smrtnim ishodom (11). Drugi aspekt u kontekstu zdravstvenih posljedica antibakterijske rezistencije obuhvaća zdravstvene komplikacije koje zahtijevaju veću potrebu za liječenjem i bolničke troškove (1,12). Nadalje, prekomjerna primjena antibiotika i njihova zlouporaba povećavaju rizik od pojave potencijalno smrtonosnih nuspojava ili anafalitičkih reakcija (3, 13, 14).

Iako su doktori dentalne medicine odgovorni za otprilike 10 % ukupnih propisanih antibiotika, njihov utjecaj na razvoj rezistentnih vrsta bakterija nije zanemariv (3). Posljednjih se godina također pojavio problem s povećanim propisivanjem antibiotika (15).

Drugo važno pitanje jest uporaba antibiotičke profilakse u slučaju medicinski kompromitiranih pacijenata koji su podvrgnuti endodontskoj terapiji. Iako u literaturi postoje mnogo brojne kontroverze u vezi s tim pitanjem, antibiotička profilaksa i dalje je prijeko potrebna kada postoje jasni dokazi za njezinu dobrobit (7, 16). Zato je Europsko endodontsko društvo 2018. godine dalo detaljne smjernice o propisivanju antibiotika (17). No, unatoč tomu i dalje je nejasna valjanost propisivanja antibiotika u praksi i razina poštovanja postojećih preporuka (12, 18).

Svrha ovog istraživanja bila je ispitati znanje i stajališta hrvatskih doktora dentalne medicine o propisivanju antibiotika u endodontiji oslanjajući se na preporuke Europskoga endodontskoga društva.

Materijal i metode

Ova presječna studija provedena je od siječnja do ožujka 2020. godine među doktorima dentalne medicine koji rade u Republici Hrvatskoj. Potpuno je u skladu s etičkim načelima, uključujući Helsinšku deklaraciju Svjetske liječničke udruge (verzija iz 2008. godine), a odobrilo ju je Etičko povjerenstvo Medicinskoga fakulteta Sveučilišta u Splitu (No: 003-08/20-03/0005).
An online questionnaire consisting of three parts was designed specifically for this study. The first part included dentists’ socio-demographic and general characteristics such as age, gender, years of experience, education level, clinical setting, specialization and the number of patients treated a day. The second part of the questionnaire comprised ten multiple-choice questions (MCQs) concerning the use of antibiotics in endodontics. These questions referred to the usage of antibiotics in endodontics by the European Society of Endodontology (17). Five items were conventional MCQs with only one correct answer, while other five items were with several correct answers. Each correct answer was scored with one point, while incorrect answers were scored with zero. The total knowledge score was calculated as the sum of all correct answers, with a maximum overall knowledge score of 23 points. Overall knowledge per participant was categorized, using the Bloom’s cut-off point, with “good” representing 80 to 100% (16.8–23 points), “moderate” if the score was from 60 to 79% (12.6–16.7 points), and “poor” if the overall score was less than 60% of the maximum score (≤12.5 points) (19). The third part of the questionnaire included questions about participant’s personal experiences, attitudes, and self-assessed knowledge about the use of antibiotics in endodontics.

Firstly, the experts in different dental fields (three endodontists and one pharmacologist) reviewed the draft version of the questionnaire and agreed on the final suitability. A pilot study including 100 dentists was conducted as part of a graduation thesis at the Study of Dental Medicine, University of Split School of Medicine. The data obtained from the pilot study were not included in the analyses. The final questionnaire was adapted based on the data obtained from the pilot study, and it was sent to ten dentists for feedback, who reported having no difficulty in completing the test. The online questionnaire was generated using a Google Docs form and was sent to the legal administrative staff of the Croatian Dental Chamber (CDC) for approval. The CDC staff then shared the link to the questionnaire to all dentists on their official mailing list.

Participation in the study was voluntary, anonymous, and without compensation. All participants were informed about the rationale and the aim of the study. The online form first displayed information about the scope of the study that dentists had to go through before moving forward to the questionnaire. Completing the questionnaire was considered to be consent for participation. In order to be included in the study, dentists had to work in clinical practice with minimum one year experience, and the membership in the CDC.

The study was reported to be in accordance with the STROBE reporting checklist (20).

The minimum required sample size (n=337) was calculated by considering the total number of dentists who worked in clinical practice (n=2743), a population proportion of 50%, and a 95% confidence interval (21). All answers from the online form were automatically imported in an Excel file (Ver. Office 2007, Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA, USA) and were then coded appropriately for the analyses. The results were first analyzed using descriptive statistics. The

Internetski upitnik sastavljen od triju dijelova posebno je pripremljen za ovu studiju. Prvi dio obuhvaća sociodemografske i opće značajke doktora dentalne medicine, kao što su dob, spol, godine iskustva, stupanj obrazovanja, kliničko okružje, specijalizacija i broj liječenih pacijenata na dan. Drugi dio upitnika sastoji se od deset pitanja s višestrukom mogućnošću odgovora (engl. Multiple Choice Questions – MCQ) o primjeni antibiotika u endodontiji. Ta se pitanja referiraju na preporuke Europskoga endodontološkoga društva o primjeni antibiotika u endodontiji (17). Pet pitanja bila su ona uobičajena za višestruki tip odgovora sa samo jednim točnim odgovorom, a ostalih pet omogućavalo je nekoliko točnih odgovora. Svaki točni odgovor bodovan je kao zbroj svih točnih odgovora, pri čemu je maksimalna ukupna ocjena za znanje bila 23 boda. Sveukupno znanje svakoga sudionika categorizirano je korištenjem Bloomove grafičke točke, pri čemu “dubro” znači 80 do 100 % (16,8 – 23 boda), “umjereno” 60 do 79 % (12,6 – 16,7 bodova) i ”loše” ako je ukupan rezultat manji od 60 % maksimalnog rezultata (≤ 1,25 bodova) (19). Treći dio upitnika bila su pitanja o osobnim iskustvima, stajalištima i samoprocijenjenoj razini znanja sudionika o primjeni antibiotika u endodontiji.

Najprije su stručnjaci iz područja dentalne medicine (tri specijalista endodoncije i jedan stručnjak iz područja farmakologije) pregledali nacrte upitnika i dogovorili se o konačnoj primjerenoj verziji upitnika. U sklopu diplomskoga rada na Studiju dentalne medicine Medicinskog fakulteta Sveučilišta u Splitu provedeno je piloto-istraživanje na 100 stomatologa. Podatci dobiveni iz te studije nisu uključeni u analize. Konačni upitnik prilagođen je na temelju podataka dobivenih iz pilotor-studije i poslan desetorici doktora dentalne medicine zbog povratne informacije, no oni nisu imali potekovaća s njegovim ispunjavanjem. Online upitnik generiran je s pomoću obrasca Google Docs i poslan na odobrenje pravnice administrativnom osoblju Hrvatske komore dentalne medicine (HKDM). Zatim je putem svoje službene mailing liste HKDM podijeljeno poveznicu na upitnik svim doktorima dentalne medicine.

Sudjelovanje u istraživanju bilo je dobrovoljno, anonimno i bez naknade. Svi sudionici obaviješteni su o pojedino-cima i ciljevima istraživanja. Online obrazac najprije je prikažan informacije s opisom studije koji su doktori dentalne medicine morali pročitati prije nego što su prešli na upitnik. Ispunjavanje upitnika smatralo se pristankom za sudjelovanje. Za uključenje u studiju doktori dentalne medicine morali su imati barem godinu dana iskustva u kliničkoj praksi te članstvo u HKDM-u. Izmještaj o provedenoj studiji napisan je u skladu sa smjernicama za izvještavanje opažajnih studija STROBE (20).

Minimalna veličina uzorka (n = 337) izračunana je uzimajući u obzir ukupan broj doktora dentalne medicine koji rade u kliničkoj praksi (n = 2743), udio populacije od 50 % i interval pouzdanosti od 95 % (21). Svi odgovori iz online obrasca automatski su uneseni u datoteku Excela (Ver. Office 2007, Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA, SAD) i primjereno kodirani za statističku obradu. Rezultati su najprije analizirani deskriptivnom statistikom. Kolmogorov-Smir-
Kolmogorov-Smirnov test evaluated compliance with the normal distribution of the dependent variable. Means and standard deviations were calculated for quantitative variables, while percentages and absolute numbers were used for presenting qualitative variables. Statistical analyses were conducted using the Mann-Whitney test or the Kruskal Wallis 1-way ANOVA. To account for possible confounding, multiple regression analysis was used to determine a relationship between selected independent variables (age, gender, working experience, specialist training, number of patients treated a day, attending a lecture regarding antibiotics in endodontics and knowledge regarding guidance on the use of systemic antibiotics in endodontics) with the knowledge score (dependent variable). The level was set at 5%. All statistical analyses were carried out using the IBM SPSS Statistics, version 25 (SPSS, IBM Corp, Armonk, New York, USA).

Results

A total of 657 dentists working in Croatia participated in this study (23.96% response rate). The demographic and professional characteristics of the participants are presented in Table 1. The majority (96.5%) of the participants who responded worked in primary care and most of them were females (71.5%). The mean age (±SD) of the participants was 41.86±11.54 years, with 14.02±10.98 years of working experience.

The overall mean knowledge score regarding antibiotics use in endodontics was 11.7±2.5 points. There were no significant differences between genders (P=0.567), with mean values for male and female dentists being 11.6±2.5 and 11.7±2.5, respectively. There was a significant difference (P<0.001) in knowledge scores between specialists (12.6±2.8) and general practitioners (11.6±2.5), with endodontic specialists scoring the highest (P=0.021). There was a significant difference in knowledge scores (P≤0.001), suggesting a slight decrease in knowledge regarding years of work experience, with the highest scores observed among participants working from 0 to 5 years (12.1±2.5), compared to those working either from 6 to 10 years (11.3±2.6) or from 11 to 20 years (11.1±2.3).

Table 2 shows the distribution of correct answers to the questions regarding antibiotics use in endodontics. Only 2.0% (n=13) of the surveyed dentists knew that Penicillin VK is a beta-lactam antibiotic, which is the first line of antibiotics chosen as adjunct therapeutic agents in endodontic infection in a healthy patient with no medical allergies. Also, only 17.2% (n=113) of them gave the same answers for Amoxicillin. Most of the dentists answered (94.5%, n=621) that endodontic surgery is a procedure that requires administration of prophylactic antibiotics to prevent infective endocarditis. In contrast, only 27.1% (n=178) of them stated that periodontal ligament (intraligamentary) anesthesia requires the same approach.

The answers regarding antibiotic prescription in endodontics are presented in Table 3. A higher level of knowledge was observed in dentists who reported being informed about guidelines on the use of systemic antibiotics in endodontics, and knowledge regarding guidance on the use of systemic antibiotics in endodontics (P<0.001), suggesting a slight decrease in knowledge regarding years of work experience, with the highest scores observed among participants working from 0 to 5 years (12.1±2.5), compared to those working from 6 to 10 years (11.3±2.6) or from 11 to 20 years (11.1±2.3).

Table 2. Distribution of Correct Answers to the Questions Regarding Antibiotics Use in Endodontics. Only 2.0% (n=13) of the surveyed dentists knew that Penicillin VK is a beta-lactam antibiotic, which is the first line of antibiotics chosen as adjunct therapeutic agents in endodontic infection in a healthy patient with no medical allergies. Also, only 17.2% (n=113) of them gave the same answers for Amoxicillin. Most of the dentists answered (94.5%, n=621) that endodontic surgery is a procedure that requires administration of prophylactic antibiotics to prevent infective endocarditis. In contrast, only 27.1% (n=178) of them stated that periodontal ligament (intraligamentary) anesthesia requires the same approach.

The answers regarding antibiotic prescription in endodontics are presented in Table 3. A higher level of knowledge was observed in dentists who reported being informed about guidelines on the use of systemic antibiotics in endodontics and knowledge regarding guidance on the use of systemic antibiotics in endodontics (P<0.001), suggesting a slight decrease in knowledge regarding years of work experience, with the highest scores observed among participants working from 0 to 5 years (12.1±2.5), compared to those working from 6 to 10 years (11.3±2.6) or from 11 to 20 years (11.1±2.3).

Table 3. Knowledge Score Regarding Systemic Antibiotics Use in Endodontics. There were no significant differences between genders (P=0.567), with mean values for male and female dentists being 11.6±2.5 and 11.7±2.5. There was a significant difference (P<0.001) in knowledge scores between specialists (12.6±2.8) and general practitioners (11.6±2.5), with endodontic specialists scoring the highest (P=0.021). There was a significant difference in knowledge scores (P≤0.001), suggesting a slight decrease in knowledge regarding years of work experience, with the highest scores observed among participants working from 0 to 5 years (12.1±2.5), compared to those working either from 6 to 10 years (11.3±2.6) or from 11 to 20 years (11.1±2.3).

Results

U studiji je sudjelovalo ukupno 657 doktora dentalne medicine (23,96 % iznosila je stopa odgovora). Demografske i profesionalne značajke nalaze se u tablici 1. Većina (96,5 %) ispitanika radila je obrazovnom zdravstvenoj zaštiti te su većina ispitanika bile žene (71,5 %). Srednja dob (±SD) ispitanika bila je 41,86 ± 11,54 godina, s 14,02 ± 10,98 god. na radnoga staža.

Ukupan zbroj znanja svih ispitanika, s obzirom na korištenje antibiotika u endodonciji, bio je 11,7 ± 2,5 bodova. Nije uočena statistički značajna razlika s obzirom na pol (P = 0,567) srednje vrijednosti znanja za muškarce i žene iznosele su 11,6±2,5 i 11,7±2,5. Utvрđena je statistički značajna razlika (P<0,001) u znanju između specijalista (12,6 ± 2,8) i općih doktora dentalne medicine (11,6 ± 2,5), s time da su specijalisti endodoncije pokazali najbolje znanje (P = 0,021). Također je ustanovljena statistički značajna razlika u zbroju znanja s obzirom na duljinu radnoga staža (P ≤ 0,001). Uočen je blagi pad znanja s godinama radnog iskustva, pri čemu su najbolji rezultati zabilježeni među sudionicima koji rade od 0 do 5 godina (12,1 ± 2,5), u usporedbi s onima koji rade od 6 do 10 godina (11,3 ± 2,6) ili od 11 do 20 godina (11,1 ± 2,3).

U tablici 2. je distribucija točnih odgovora na pitanja o primjeni antibiotika u endodonciji. samo 2,0% (n=13) ispitanih doktora dentalne medicine znalno je da je penicilin VK beta-laktamski antibiotik i da je prva linija antibiotika koja bi trebala biti izabrana kao pomoćno terapijsko sredstvo u endodontskoj infekciji kod zdravoga pacijenta bez medicinskih alergija. Također je samo 17,2% (n=113) doktora tako odgovorilo na amoksicilin. Većina doktora dentalne medicine odgovorila je (94,5%, n=621) da je endodontska kirurgija zahtijeva profilaktičnu primjenu antibiotika u prevenciji infektnog endokarditisa. Suprotno tomu, samo je 27,1% (n=178) njih smatralo da parodontalna ligation (intraligationarno) anestezija zahtijeva isti pristup.

Znanje o upotrebi antibiotika u endodonciji

Odgovori o propisivanju antibiotika u endodonciji prikazani su u tablici 3. Viša razina znanja uočena je kod doktora dentalne medicine koji su naveli da su informirani o smernicama o primjeni sistemskih antibiotika u endodonciji (P =
Knowledge about Antibiotic Use in Endodontics

Šimundić Munitić et al.

More than half of the participating dentists (54.1%) claimed that their knowledge regarding the use of antibiotics in endodontics was good and very good. The association of the dentists' knowledge about antibiotics use and their demographic data as possible predictors are presented in Figure 1. Knowledge was shown to be associated with dentists' age ($\beta$ = -0.539, SE = 0.187, $P$ = 0.004), specialization ($\beta$ = 1.364, SE = 0.324, $P$ = 0.001), number of patients treated daily ($\beta$ = -0.363, SE = 0.130, $P$ = 0.005), and awareness about the available guidance on the use of systemic antibiotics in endodontics ($\beta$ = 0.609, SE = 0.248, $P$ = 0.014).

Table 1. Demographic and professional characteristic of dentists (n=657)

| Characteristic • Karakteristika | Total • Ukupno n (%) | Knowledge score • Zbroj znanja mean±SD • Srednja vrijednost ±SD | P-value • P-vrijednost |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Gender • Spol                  |                       |                                                 |                         |
| Male • Muški                  | 187 (28.5)            | 11.6±2.5                                       | 0.567                   |
| Female • Ženski                | 470 (71.5)            | 11.7±2.5                                       |                         |
| Age group (years) • Dob (godine) |                       |                                                 |                         |
| 25-35                          | 257 (39.1)            | 12.9±2.4                                       | ≤0.001                  |
| 36-45                          | 140 (21.3)            | 11.7±2.6                                       |                         |
| 46-55                          | 162 (24.7)            | 11.1±2.3                                       |                         |
| ≥55                            | 98 (14.9)             | 11.3±2.7                                       |                         |
| Postgraduate qualification • Znanstveni stupanj |                       |                                                 |                         |
| None • Ni jedan                | 550 (83.7)            | 11.7±2.5                                       | 0.043                   |
| Master's degree • Magistar znanosti | 71 (10.8)        | 11.1±2.7                                       |                         |
| PhD • Doktor znanosti          | 26 (3.9)              | 12.5±3.1                                       |                         |
| Specialty • Specijalizacija    |                       |                                                 |                         |
| General practitioner • Bez specijalizacije | 590 (88.9)     | 11.6±2.5                                       | 0.008                   |
| Specialist • Specijalist       | 67 (10.2)             | 12.6±2.8                                       |                         |
| Areas of specialisation • Područje specijalizacije |                       |                                                 |                         |
| Endodontist • Endodoncija      | 7 (1.1)               | 16.1±2.2                                       | 0.021                   |
| Oral surgeon • Oralna kirurgija | 15 (2.3)               | 12.1±2.2                                       |                         |
| Pediatric dentist • Pedodoncija | 8 (1.2)                | 12.0±3.3                                       |                         |
| Orthodontist • Ortodoncija     | 7 (1.1)               | 12.1±1.9                                       |                         |
| Prosthodontist • Protetika     | 16 (2.4)              | 12.1±2.9                                       |                         |
| Periodontist • Parodontologija | 9 (1.4)               | 11.8±1.1                                       |                         |
| Other • Ostalo                 | 5 (0.8)               | 13.2±4.9                                       |                         |
| Practice setting • Djelatnost  |                       |                                                 |                         |
| Unemployed • Nezaposlen        | 19 (2.9)              | 12.5±3.1                                       |                         |
| Private – primary care • Privatna ordinacija – primarna zdravstvena zaštita | 164 (25.0)     | 11.5±2.1                                       | 0.003                   |
| Semiprivate and health center – primary care • Koncesija i dom zdravlja – primarna zdravstvena zaštita | 431 (65.6)     | 11.5±2.5                                       |                         |
| Working experience (years) • Radno iskustvo (godine) |                       |                                                 |                         |
| 1-5                            | 309 (47.0)            | 12.1±2.5                                       | ≤0.001                  |
| 6-10                           | 167 (25.4)            | 11.3±2.6                                       |                         |
| 11-20                          | 123 (18.7)            | 11.1±2.4                                       |                         |
| ≥21                           | 58 (8.8)              | 11.6±3.1                                       |                         |
| Number of patients seen in a workday • Broj pacijenata po danu |                       |                                                 |                         |
| 0-5                            | 55 (8.4)              | 12.7±2.8                                       | ≤0.001                  |
| 6-10                           | 329 (50.1)            | 11.9±2.5                                       |                         |
| 11-20                          | 51 (7.8)              | 11.3±2.5                                       |                         |
| 21-30                          | 222 (33.8)            | 11.7±2.5                                       |                         |

Data are presented as whole numbers and percentages or mean ± SD. *Statistical significance was tested by the Mann-Whitney test or Kruskal Wallis 1-way ANOVA. The same superscript lower letter indicated a statistical difference between groups based on post hoc test. Statistical significance was set to $P < 0.05$. **Statistička značajnost bila je postavljena na $P < 0.05$.**
When systemic antibiotics are indicated, which antibiotic would you choose for the treatment of an endodontic infection in an adult, healthy patient with no medical allergies? • Kada su indicirani sistemski antibiotici; koji biste antibiotik odabrali za liječenje endodontske infekcije za odrasloga, zdravoga pacijenta bez medicinskih alergija?

| Question • Pitanje                                                                 | Answer • Odgovor                                                                 | Total • Ukupno u (%) |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| When systemic antibiotics are indicated, which antibiotic would you choose for the treatment of an endodontic infection in an adult, healthy patient with no medical allergies? • Kada su indicirani sistemski antibiotici; koji biste antibiotik odabrali za liječenje endodontske infekcije za odrasloga, zdravoga pacijenta bez medicinskih alergija? | Tetracyclines • Tetraciklin 2 (0.3) Azithromycin • Azitromicin 0 (0) Erythromycin • Eritromicin 2 (0.3) Clindamycin • Klindamicin 38 (5.8) Amoxicillin with clavulanic acid • Amoksicilin s klavulanskim kiselinom 481 (73.2) Cephalexin • Cefalexinini 1 (0.2) Metronidazole • Metronidazol 7 (1.1) Clarithromycin • Klaritromicin 0 (0) Penicillin • Penicillin V 13 (2.0) Amoxicillin • Amoksicilin 113 (17.2) Ciprofloxacin • Ciprolfoksacin 0 (0) | 351                                                                 |
| For how many days would you prescribe antibiotics? • Na koliko dana biste propisivali antibiotike? | 3-7 day • 3-7 dana 209 (31.8) 5-10 days • 5-10 dana 191 (29.1) Patient need to use all antibiotics from the prescribed package • Pacijent se treba koristiti svim antibioticima iz propisanog pakiranja 257 (39.1) |                                                                   |
| If prescribed 1st line antibiotic is not practical in 48–72 h, what would you do? • Ako propisani antibiotik prve linije nije djelotvoran za 48 do 72 sata, što biste učinili? | Prescribe an additional antibiotic – Metronidazole • Prepisati dodatni antibiotik – Metronidazol 389 (79.9) Refer to specialist • Javiti se specijalizu 35 (5.3) Extraction • Vadenje zuba 3 (0.6) Other • Ostalo 70 (14.4) |                                                                   |
| In which of the following situations do you consider that antibiotics are indicated? • U kojoj od sljedećih situacija smatrste da su antibiotici indicirani? | Symptomatic irreversible pulpitis • Simptomatski irreverzibilni pulpitis 1 (0.2) Pulp necrosis • Nekroza pulpe 3 (0.5) Symptomatic apical periodontitis • Simptomatski apikalni parodontitis 5 (0.8) Acute apical abscess with systemic involvement • Akutni apikalni apsces sa sistemskom uklučenostu 554 (82.8) Acute apical abscess without systemic involvement • Akutni apikalni apsces bez sistemskih uklučenosti 92 (14.0) Chronic apical periodontitis with sinus tract • Kronični apikalni parodontitis sa sinus traktom 13 (2.0) |                                                                   |
| When is antibiotic prophylaxis indicated, in which of the following medical conditions would you prescribe before endodontic surgery? • Kada je indicirana antibiotička profilakska; u kojemu od sljedećih zdravstvenih stanja biste je propisali prije endodontske operacije? | Impaired immunologic function • Oslabljena imunosna funkcija 455 (69.2) Prosthetic joint replacement • Umjetni zglobovi 380 (57.8) Patients whose jawbones are exposed to high-dose irradiation • Pacijenti lije u kojima su kosti lica bile izložene visokim dozama zračenja 265 (40.3) Patients receiving intravenous bisphosphonate treatment • Pacijenti koji primaju intravensku terapiju bisfosfonatima 186 (28.3) Patients with cyanotic congenital heart disease • Pacijenti s cijanotičnom prirođenom srčanoj bolesti 379 (77.8) Patients with noncyanotic congenital heart disease • Pacijenti s necijanotičnom prirođenom srčanoj bolesti 107 (22.0) Patients with high blood pressure • Pacijenti s visokim krvnim tlakom 3 (0.4) Patients with liver disease • Pacijenti s bolestima jetre 13 (1.9) | 351                                                                 |
When is antibiotic prophylaxis indicated, in which of the following dental procedures would you prescribe it?

| Procedure                                                                 | Frequency | Percentage |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Supraperiosteal injection • Supraperiostalna injekcija                   | 36        | 5.5        |
| Periodontal ligament (Intraligamentary) injection • Injekcija u parodontni liga- | 178       | 27.1       |
| ment (intraligamentarna anestezija)                                      |           |            |
| An alveolar nerve block injection • Blokada alveolarnoga živa             | 64        | 9.7        |
| Endodontic access opening of teeth with vital pulpal tissues • Trepanacija zuba s | 85        | 12.9       |
| vitalnim pulpnim tkivom                                                  |           |            |
| Endodontic access opening of teeth with necrotic pulpal tissues • Trepanacijski | 16        | 2.4        |
| otvor s nekrotičnim pulpnim tkivom                                        |           |            |
| Endodontic instrumentation and obturation • Endodontska instrumentacija i | 170       | 25.8       |
| punjenje korijenskog kanala                                              |           |            |
| Retreatment of root canal filling of an asymptomatic tooth without signs of periapical periodontitis on X-ray • Ponovno liječenje (revizija) asimtomatskoga zuba bez znakova periapikalnoga parodontitisa na RTG snimkama | 13        | 2.7        |
| Retreatment of the root canal filling of an asymptomatic tooth with the presence of signs of periapical periodontitis on X-ray • Ponovno liječenje (revizija) asimtomatskoga zuba sa znakovima periapikalnoga parodontitisa na RTG snimkama | 85        | 17.5       |
| Retreatment of root canal filling of the symptomatic tooth (pain) with the presence of signs of periapical periodontitis on X-ray • Ponovno liječenje (revizija) simptomatskoga zuba (bolan) s prisutnošću periapikalnoga parodontitisa na RTG snimkama | 203       | 41.7       |
| Endodontic surgery • Endodontska kirurgija                              | 621       | 94.5       |

In an adult, healthy patient with no medical allergies, with irreversible pulpitis, to avoid the occurrence of postoperative complications (pain, swelling), do you consider it necessary to prescribe antibiotics before endodontic instrumentation?

| Option | Frequency | Percentage |
|--------|-----------|------------|
| No    | 648       | 98.8       |
| Yes   | 9         | 1.3        |

In an adult, healthy patient with no medical allergies, with pulp necrosis, to avoid the occurrence of postoperative complications (pain, swelling), do you consider it necessary to prescribe antibiotics before endodontic instrumentation?

| Option | Frequency | Percentage |
|--------|-----------|------------|
| No    | 644       | 98.0       |
| Yes   | 13        | 2.0        |

In an adult, healthy patient with no medical allergies, with chronic apical periodontitis, to avoid the occurrence of postoperative complications (pain, swelling), do you consider it necessary to prescribe antibiotics before endodontic instrumentation?

| Option | Frequency | Percentage |
|--------|-----------|------------|
| No    | 609       | 92.7       |
| Yes   | 48        | 7.3        |

Data are presented as whole numbers and percentages. Correct answers are italicized. • Podatci su prikazani kao cijeli brojevi i postotci. Točni odgovori napisani su kurzivom.

**Knowledge score regarding usage of antibiotics in endodontics**

| Awareness of guidelines | 2.32 | 0.05 |
|-------------------------|------|------|
| Letter • antibiotics in endodontics | 1.187 | |
| Number of patients per day | 2.794 | 4.203 |
| Specialty | | |
| Experience | 1.178 | |
| Age | 2.941 | |
| Gender | 0.508 | |

**Figure 1** Multiple linear regression analysis. Significant dependence of measured knowledge with participant demographic data and attitudes toward the usage of antibiotics in endodontics as possible predictors.

**Slika 1.** Multipla linearna regresijska analiza; značajna povezanost izmjerenog znanja ispitanika s njihovim demografskim podatcima i stajalištima s obzirom na korištenje antibiotika u endodonciji
### Table 3. Attitudes of dentists toward antibiotic prescribing in endodontics

| Characteristic • Karakteristika | Total • Ukupno n (%) | Knowledge score • Zbroj znanja mean ± SD | P-value • P-vrijednost |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Attending at least one lecture relating to the use of antibiotics in endodontics during the last three years • Slušali ste barem jedno predavanje o primjeni antibiotika u endodontiji tijekom posljednje tri godine? | No • Ne 334 (50.8) 11.5±2.4 | 0.074 |
| Informed about guidance on the use of systemic antibiotics in endodontics • Informirani ste o smjernicama o primjeni sistemskih antibiotika u endodontiji? | No • Ne 114 (21.9) 11.1±2.3 | 0.006 |
| Guidelines followed for prescribing regimens among susceptible patient • Čije smjernice pratite u primjeni antibiotika u endodontiji? | Croatian Endodontic Society • Hrvatskoga endodontskog društva 367 (55.9) 11.5±2.5 | ≤0.001 |
| | European Society of Endodontology • Europskoga endodontološkog društva 74 (11.3) 12.6±2.6 | |
| | British Society of Cardiology • Britanskoga kardiološkog društva 13 (2.0) 12.5±2.8 | |
| | American Cardiac Association • Američkoga kardiološkog društva 49 (7.5) 12.7±2.5 | |
| | Others • Ostalo 154 (23.4) 11.1±2.3 | |
| How would you rate your knowledge regarding the usage of antibiotics in endodontics? • Kako biste ocijenili svoje znanje o primjeni antibiotika u endodontiji? | Very good • Veoma dobro 33 (5.0) 12.0±2.8 | 0.966 |
| | Good • Dobro 341 (49.1) 11.7±2.6 | |
| | Fair • Nedovoljno 271 (41.2) 11.6±2.3 | |
| | Poor • Loše 12 (1.8) 12.2±2.7 | |
| After root canal therapy, have you ever prescribed antibiotics for postoperative pain? • Nakon endodontski terapije, jeste li ikada propisali antibiotike za postoperativnu bol? | No • Ne 573 (87.2) 11.7±2.5 | 0.168 |
| | Yes • Da 84 (12.7) 11.3±2.5 | |
| Have you ever prescribed antibiotics in endodontics to achieve a placebo effect? • Jeste li ikada u endodontiji propisivali antibiotike kako biste postigli placebo učinak? | No • Ne 530 (80.7) 11.7±2.5 | 0.873 |
| | Yes • Da 127 (19.3) 11.7±2.4 | |
| Do you prescribe a leading (double) dose of antibiotics for an endodontic infection? • Propisujete li početnu (dvostruku) dozu antibiotika za endodontsku infekciju? | No • Ne 447 (68.0) 11.6±2.5 | 0.108 |
| | Yes • Da 210 (32.0) 11.9±2.6 | |
| Number of prescribed systemic antibiotic for prophylaxis during last year • Broj propisanih sistemskih antibiotika za profilaklu tijekom prošle godine? | None • Ni jedanput 126 (19.2) 11.7±2.5 | 0.627 |
| | Once • Jedanput 190 (28.9) 11.5±2.3 | |
| | More than once • Više od jedanput 341 (51.9) 11.7±2.7 | |
| How often do you doubt justification when prescribing antibiotics in case of endodontic infections? • Koliko često sumnjate u opravdanost propisivanja antibiotika u slučaju endodontskih infekcija? | Always • Uvijek 3 (0.5) 11.9±2.8 | 0.940 |
| | Very frequently • Veoma često 22 (3.3) 11.6±2.0 | |
| | Occasionally • Katkad 228 (34.7) 11.6±2.4 | |
| | Rarely • Rijetko 286 (43.5) 11.7±2.6 | |
| | Very rarely • Veoma rijetko 118 (18.0) 11.5±2.7 | |
| Antimicrobial – drug interactions • Interakcije antibiotika i drugih lijekova | Antibiotic – drug interactions 260 (39.6) 11.9±2.6 | 0.519 |
| | Types of antibiotics recommended dosages and duration • Vrste antibiotika, preporučene doze i trajanje terapije 35 (5.3) 11.4±2.5 | |
| | Prescription of antibiotics to children, pregnant and lactating women • Propisivanje antibiotika djeci, trudnicama i dojiljama 212 (32.3) 11.6±2.5 | |
| | Indications for antibiotics during endodontic therapies • Indikacije za primjenu antibiotika u endodonciji 74 (11.3) 11.4±2.3 | |
| | Other • Ostalo 76 (11.6) 11.3±2.5 | |

Data are presented as whole numbers and percentages or mean ± SD. • Podaci su prezentirani kao cijeli broj i postotak ili srednja vrijednost ± (SD).

*Statistical significance was tested by the Mann-Whitney test or Kruskal Wallis 1-way ANOVA. The same superscript lower letter indicated a statistical difference between groups based on post hoc test. Statistical significance was set to p<0.05. • Statistička značajnost ispitana je Mann-Whitneyjevim ili Kruskal-Wallisovim jednosmjernim ANOVA testom. Isto slovo u superskriptu označava statistički značajnu razliku među grupama na temelju post hoc testa. Statistička značajnost bila je postavljena na P < 0.05.

Abbreviation • Skraćenica: SD – standard deviation • standardna devijacija.
Discussion

This cross-sectional questionnaires-based study found insufficient knowledge regarding antibiotic prescription in endodontics among Croatian dentists. Although most participants reported being familiar with some of the available guidelines on the use of antibiotics, the overall score was only 50% of the overall maximum score. Similar results suggesting insufficient knowledge, practice, and attitudes regarding antibiotics use were reported among dentists and dental students in Italy, Turkey, Saudi Arabia, and Iran (22-26).

Interestingly, the youngest respondents with the least clinical experience (one to five years) demonstrated the highest level of knowledge, which is in line with the recommendations from the European Society of Endodontology (17). This may be explained by the fact that they most recently completed their formal university education. Also, endodontics specialists showed better knowledge than general practitioners and specialists in other fields of dentistry, which can be explained by their specific training in the field of interest.

Although the ESE guidelines recommended Penicillin V and Amoxicillin as the first-line antibiotics for the treatment of endodontic infections in cases of no allergy to penicillin, only 2% and 17.2% of the dentists answered correctly (17, 18). Amoxicillin with clavulanic acid was reported to be the most frequently prescribed antibiotic. Similar reports were observed in studies involving dentists from Saudi Arabia and Turkey. However, they are also in line with the latest studies conducted in Croatia (15,23,24,27,28,29). Likewise, Lithuanian, UK, and Australian dentists considered Amoxicillin the most preferred antibiotic during endodontic treatment (30-32). However, in a study involving dentists from Sweden, the most frequently prescribed antibiotic was penicillin VK (33).

According to the ESE guidelines, in case of penicillin allergy, possible alternatives include Clindamycin, Clarithromycin, or Azithromycin (17). In this study, Clindamycin was most often (83.3%) reported alternative to Penicillin. Clarithromycin or Azithromycin were selected as substitutes to Penicillin very rarely, with the frequency of answers being 0.6%, and 1.7%, respectively. Clindamycin was also the antibiotic of choice in cases of penicillin allergies among dentists in Saudi Arabia, Serbia, Turkey, and Spain (23, 26, 34, 35).

If clinical signs and symptoms do not improve in 2-3 days of taking the first-line antibiotics, it is recommended to use a supplementary one (33, 36). In Europe, Metronidazole is the antibiotic of choice when penicillin alone is not effective, because of its effectiveness against anaerobic bacteria. Therefore, it is usually combined with Penicillin, and more than half of the dentists in this study agreed with this recommendation (36).

Furthermore, because most oral infections have a rapid onset, it is necessary to establish minimum inhibitory antibiotic concentration fast (36). Thus, a loading dose (first double dose) is recommended (17, 18). This recommendation of the European Endodontic Society was known to around one-third of the dentist from this study.

Acute oral infections are most often resolved within 3-7 days (37). In most cases, antibiotics should be prescribed

Rasprava

Ovom presječnom studijom na temelju upitnika ustanovljeno je da doktori dentalne medicine u Republici Hrvatskoj nemaju dovoljno znanja o propisivanju antibiotika u endodontiji. Unatoč tomu što je većina njih izjavila da je obavijestena o nekim od dostupnih smjernica o upotrebi antibiotika, ukupni rezultat bio je samo 50% maksimalnog rezultata.

Slični rezultati koji sugeriraju nedovoljno znanja, prakse i stajališta u vezi s upotrebom antibiotika zabilježeni su među doktorima dentalne medicine i studentima u Italiji, Turskoj, Saudijskoj Arabiji i Iranu (22 – 26).

Zanimljivo je da su najmlađi ispitanici s najmanje kliničkog iskustva (od jedne do pet godina) pokazali najvišu razinu znanja koja je bila u skladu s preporukama Europskoga endodontskoga društva (17). To se može objasniti činjenicom da su nedavno završili formalno visoko obrazovanje. Specijalisti endodontije također su pokazali bolje znanje od općih doktora dentalne medicine i specijalista iz drugih područja dentalne medicine, što se može objasniti njihovom specifičnom edukacijom iz područja od interesa ovo istraživanje.

Iako smjernice Europskoga endodontskoga društva preporučuju penicilin V i amoksiklolin kao antibiotike prve linije za liječenje endodontskih infekcija u slučajevima bez alergije na penicilin, samo 2 % doktora dentalne medicine dalo je točan odgovor za penicilin V i 17,2 % za amoksiklolin (17, 18). Amoksiklolin s klavulanom kiselinom naveden je kao najčešće propisivani antibiotik. Slična izvješća su i u studijama u kojima su sudjelovali doktori dentalne medicine iz Saudijске Ararbije i Turske, i u skladu su s najnovijim studijama provedenima u Hrvatskoj (15, 23, 24, 27, 28, 29). Litavski, britanski i australski doktori dentalne medicine također su ocijenili amoksiklolin najpoželjnijim antibiotikom tijekom endodontskoga liječenja (30 – 32). No u studiji u kojoj su sudjelovali doktori dentalne medicine iz Švedske najčešće propisivani antibiotik bio je penicilin VK (33).

Prema smjernicama Europskoga endodontskoga društva, u slučaju alergije na penicilin, moguće zamjene su klindamicin, klaritromicin ili azitromicin (17). U ovoj studiji je klindamicin bio najčešće (83,3 %) navedena alternativa za penicilin. Klaritromicin ili azitromicin vrlo su rijetko odabrani kao zamjena za penicilin – učestalost odgovora bila je 0,6 % za klaritromicin, odnosno 1,7 % za azitromicin. Klindamicin je također bio antibiotik izbora u slučaju alergija na penicilin među doktorima dentalne medicine u Saudijskoj Arabiji, Srbiji, Turskoj i Španjolskoj (23, 26, 34, 35).

U slučaju kada se klinički znakovi i simptomi ne poboljšaju nakon dva do tri dana uzimanja antibiotika prve linije, preporučuje se primjena dopunskog antibiotika (33, 36). U Europi je, uz penicilin, metronidazol antibiotik izbora zbog njegove učinkovitosti protiv anaerobnih bakterija. Zato se obično kombinira s penicilinom, te se više od polovine doktora dentalne medicine u ovoj studiji složilo s tom preporukom (36).

Nadalje, budući da većina oralnih infekcija brzo počinje, potrebno je što prije uspostaviti minimalnu inhibitornu koncentraciju antibiotika (36). Zato se preporučuje udarna doza (prva dvostruka doza) (17, 18). Ta je preporuka Europskoga
only for the period in which improvement of symptoms is achieved and should not be continued 1-2 days after withdrawal of clinical signs of infection (38). Also, a shorter use of antibiotics lowers the risk of the development of resistant microorganisms (36). Almost half of the responders in this study answered that a full, long course of antibiotics should be administered, with about one-third answering that the duration of the therapy should be three to seven days. The results from similar studies conducted in Brazil, Saudi Arabia, and Spain reported the average time of antibiotic usage being seven days, which is considered to be unnecessarily long (34, 39, 40).

Regarding the indications for antibiotic prescribing, most participants (84%) responded that the indication for antibiotic therapy was an acute apical abscess with the existence of systemic signs of infection. A smaller proportion of participants (14%) reported that an acute apical abscess without systemic symptoms required antibiotic therapy, and only 2% of participants reported one of the remaining responses (symptomatic reversible pulpitis, pulp necrosis, symptomatic apical periodontitis, and chronic apical periodontitis with sinus tract) as an indication for antibiotic administration. In such situations, the use of antibiotics is considered futile, because due to the lack of pulp circulation, antibiotics cannot reach the endodontic space and eliminate bacteria (7). These results are comparable to the results of Alonso et al. (40) where 83.3% of respondents answered that acute apical abscess is an indication for antibiotic therapy. Croatian dentists showed better knowledge compared to studies of Alatas et al. (39) and Whitten et al. (33) where only 31.2%, and almost 50%, of respondents, respectively, answered that antibiotics are indicated in acute apical periodontitis with systematic involvement. Although, in relation to the before-mentioned studies, a relatively low percentage of dentists (16%) in this study answered that antibiotics are indicated in cases when treatment could be reduced with non-surgical root canal therapy with analgesics, if necessary, there is still space for improvements in better understanding of antibiotics use (33, 39).

Nevertheless, antibiotics should be administered in immunocompromised patients to avoid complications associated with endodontic-associated bacteremia. Particularly vulnerable groups of patients are patients with localized congenital heart defects, patients with impaired immunologic function, patients with prosthetic joint replacement within three months of surgery, patients whose jawbones are exposed to high-dose irradiation, and patients receiving intravenous bisphosphonate treatment (7, 41, 42). This study has shown a high percentage of dentists having sufficient knowledge regarding antibiotic prophylaxis administration. A small number of participants considered antibiotic prophylaxis appropriate in patients with non-cyanotic heart defects, for patients with liver disease or high blood pressure. Data on the use of antibiotic prophylaxis from other studies are heterogeneous but they show that clinicians are not always sure whether antibiotic prophylaxis is needed; therefore they use it defensively. On the other hand, certain diagnoses that are clear indications of the need for prophylaxis remain unrecognized, thus putting the patient an increased risk of developing endodontal disease.

Kad je riječ o indikacijama za propisivanje antibiotika, većina ispitanika (84 %) odgovorila je da se indiciraju za antibiotičku terapiju akutni apikalni apsces sa sistemskim znakovima infekcije. Manji udio (14 %) naveo je da su antibiotici indicirani u slučaju kliničkih znakova infekcije (38), pri čemu je značajniji u ovim slučajevima postojanje sistemskih simptoma zahtijeva antibiotičku terapiju, a samo 2 % odabralo je jedan od preostalih odgovora kao indikaciju za primjenu antibiotika (simptomatski reverzibilni pulpitis, nukleus pulpitis, simptomatski apikalni parodontitis i kronični apikalni parodontitis sa sinusnog trakta). U takvim situacijama primjena antibiotika smatra se bezkorisnom zato što zbog nedostatka cirkulacije u pulpi antibiotici ne mogu dospjeti u endodontski prostor i eliminirati bakterije (7). Ti se rezultati mogu usporediti s onima Alatasa i suradnika (40) u kojima je 83,3 % ispitanika odgovorio da je akutni apikalni apsces indicirao za antibiotičku terapiju. Hrvatski doktori dentalne medicine pokazali su bolje znanje u usporedbi s istraživanjem Alatasa i suradnika (39) i Whittena i suradnika (33) u kojima je samo 31,2 %, odnosno gotovo 50 % ispitanika odgovorio da su antibiotici indicirani u liječenju akutnoga apikalnoga parodontitisa sa sustavnim zakaćivanjem. Iako u usporedbi s prije spomenutim studijama razmjerno nizak postotak doktora dentalne medicine (16 %) u ovoj studiji odgovorio je da bi primijenio antibiotičku terapiju u slučaju da zahvati zahtijeva isključivo nekritički pristup uz eventualnu primjenu analgetika. Iz toga se može zaključiti da ima prostora za poboljšanje znanja i razumijevanja kad je riječ o primjeni antibiotika u endodonciji (33, 39).

U svakom slučaju, imunokompromitiranim pacijentima treba davati antibiotike da se izbjegnu komplikacije povezane s endodontskom bakterijemijom. Posebno ranije skupinе pacijenata su oni s lokaliziranim prirodnim srčanim manama, s oslabljenom imunošću, s protetičkim nadomjestkom zgloba unutar tri mjeseca poslije operacije, zatim oni čije su čeljusne kosti izložene visokim dozama zračenja te ako se liječe intravenskim bisfosfonatima (7, 41, 42). Ova studija pokazala je da svijest postotak doktora dentalne medicine ima dovoljno znanja o primjeni antibiotičke profilakske. Manjina je smatrala takvu profilaksu primjenom na pacijente s necijanotičnim srčanim manama te za one s bolešću jetre ili visokim krvnim tlakom. Podaci o primjeni antibiotičke profilakske u drugih studija su heterogeni, ali pokazuju da kliničari nisu uvijek sigurni u njezinu nužnost te se njome koriste de- fenzivno, a s druge strane određene dijagnoze, koje su jasni.
ing bacteremia (43, 44). Moreover, almost all dentists in our study considered endodontic surgery an indication for the use of prophylactic antibiotics.

The answers related to the retreatment procedure mostly depended on the symptomatology and the presence of signs of apical periodontitis on X-rays. Thus, the largest number of dentists answered that prophylaxis is necessary for the retreatment of root canals with apical periodontitis, while only 2.7% of dentists considered prophylaxis in the procedure of retreatment of an asymptomatic tooth without signs of apical periodontitis on X-ray.

Certain techniques of local anesthesia in dentistry, such as intraligamentary injection, require antibiotic prophylaxis in patients with the risk of developing infectious endocarditis (17). However, in this study, almost one-third of dentists reported the usage of prophylaxis before the application of intraligamentary anesthesia. Findings from the study by Mansour et al. (43) were even more disturbing because only 4.6% of participants reported that prophylaxis is needed before the intraligamentary anesthesia.

On the other hand, using antibiotic prophylaxis before procedures for which it is not indicated, as reported by some of the dentists in this study, may only contribute to the development of resistant strains of microorganisms. Croatian dentists have shown satisfactory knowledge about the usage of antibiotic prophylaxis when compared with prescription patterns seen in the USA, where 80, 9% of antibiotic prophylaxis is prescriptions were found to be unnecessary and in contrast to the available guidelines (45).

In addition to all the above-mentioned issues concerning antibiotic prescribing, there is also an issue of prescribing antibiotic therapy to achieve a placebo effect. Bjelovucic et al. (27) reported that lack of time to perform adequate treatment often leads to over-prescription of antibiotics. In this study, one-fifth of participants answered that they had prescribed antibiotics one or more times, but it was only to achieve the placebo effect. These findings suggest that many practitioners still give in to the patients' pressure rather than to the available guidelines. These are, however, issues caused by multifactorial origins, and might only be well understood through a qualitative approach. Also, almost 40% of dentists considered that they lacked knowledge in the field of drug interactions with antibiotics, with one-third of them feeling insecure when prescribing antibiotics to children or pregnant and lactating women.

Bearing in mind the fact that an inappropriate use of antibiotics and lack of knowledge on their rational use can lead to antibiotic resistance, dental health professionals need to reduce antibiotic prescriptions by following the available up-to-date guidelines. According to a recent systematic review, it is necessary to improve worldwide prescribing habits of antibiotics in the treatment of endodontic infections (33).

Since this study was conducted using an online questionnaire, the limitations are common to other questionnaire-based studies, including the problem of the low response rate, the risk of socially desirable responses, and the consistency of responses. These findings thus encourage more rigorous methods in order to stimulate higher participation in future
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studies, thus allowing better representativeness and generalizability of the results. That in turn, should guide future training on antibiotic use in everyday clinical settings, with specifically tailored regular and up-to-date reports of the best available evidence on antibiotic prescribing to meet the needs of practicing dentists.

Conclusions

Croatian dentists’ level of knowledge regarding antibiotic prescription practice in endodontic is not entirely satisfactory. Younger dentists showed better knowledge; likewise those with specialist training, as well as those familiar with guidelines on the use of systemic antibiotics in endodontics. Specifically tailored educational interventions for dentists, including campaigns and lectures on the appropriate use of antibiotics and prevention of antibiotic resistance, are needed.
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Zaključak

Razina znanja hrvatskih doktora dentalne medicine o propisivanju antibiotika u endodonciji nije u cijelosti zadovoljavajuća. Bolje znanje pokazali su mladi stomatolozi, specijalisti i oni koji su informirani o smjernicama o primjeni sistemskih antibiotika u endodonciji. To pokazuje da su potrebne posebno prilagođene edukativne intervencije za doktore dentalne medicine, uključujući kampanje i predavanja o pravilnoj primjeni antibiotika i prevenciji rezistencije na te lijekove.

Znanje o upotrebi antibiotika u endodonciji odgovara. Zato ovi nalazi potiču na rigoroznije metode za poticanje većeg sudjelovanja u budućim studijama kako bi se omogućila bolja reprezentativnost i počepnosti rezultata. To bi zauzvrat trebalo usmjeriti buduću izobrazbu o upotrebi antibiotika u svakodnevnom kliničkom okružju, s posebnim prilagođenim, redovito ažuriranim dokazima o propisivanju antibiotika koji bi odgovarali potrebama doktora dentalne medicine u svakodnevnoj praksi.
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Sažetak

Ciljevi: Procijeniti razinu znanja i iskustva stomatologa o primjeni antibiotika u endodonciji koristeći Ciljevi: Procijeniti razinu znanja i iskustva stomatologa o primjeni antibiotika u endodonciji koristeći referencij konsenzusom Europskoga referencij konsenzusom Europskoga endodontološkoga društva. Materijal i metode: Ovo referencij konsenzusom Europskoga endodontološkoga društva. Materijal i metode: Ovo referencij konsenzusom Europskoga endodontološkoga društva. Materijal i metode: Ovo referencij konsenzusom Europskoga endodontološkoga društva. Materijal i metode: Ovo referencij konsenzusom Europskoga endodontološkoga društva. Materijal i metode: Ovo referencij konsenzusom Europskoga endodontološkoga društva. Materijal i metode: Ovo referencij konsenzusom Europskoga endodontološkoga društva. Materijal i metode: Ovo referencij konsenzusom Europskoga endodontološkoga društva. Materijal i metode: Ovo presječno istraživanje provedeno je na temelju elektroničkoga upitnika sastavljenoga od 23 pitanja, uključujući demografske i profesionalne značajke doktora dentalne medicine, njihova stajališta i iskustva u vezi s korištenjem antibiotika u endodonciji. Podatci su analizirani Mann-Whitneyjevim testom ili Kruskal-Wallisovim jednosmjernim ANOVA testom – α = 5 %. Rezultati: Ukupna prosječna vrijednost znanja doktora dentalne medicine iznosila je 11,7 ± 2,5 bodova, od najviše mogućih 23. Cimbenici povezani s višim znanjem bili su dob (P < 0,001), kliničko iskustvo (P < 0,001), specijalističko usavršavanje (P = 0,008) te poštovanje smjernica o primjeni sistemskih antibiotika u endodonciji (P = 0,006). Dotkolni antibakterijski sredstva koji su specijalizirali endodonciju (16,1 ± 2,2) postigli su višu razinu znanja. Zaključak: Znanje o primjeni antibiotika u endodonciji medu doktorima dentalne medicine u Republici Hrvatskoj je nedostatno. Potrebna je stalna edukacija o upotrebi antibiotika medu populacijom općih doktora dentalne medicine.
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