Voltage-Dependent Dopamine Potency at D1-Like Dopamine Receptors
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In recent years, transmembrane voltage has been found to modify agonist potencies at several G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs). Whereas the voltage sensitivities of the Gαi/o-coupled dopamine D2-like receptors (D2R, D3R, D4R) have previously been investigated, the putative impact of transmembrane voltage on agonist potency at the mainly Gαs/olf-coupled dopamine D1-like receptors (D1R, D5R) has hitherto not been reported. Here, we assayed the potency of dopamine in activating G protein-coupled inward rectifier potassium (GIRK) channels co-expressed with D1R and D5R in Xenopus oocytes, at -80 mV and at 0 mV. Furthermore, GIRK response deactivation rates upon dopamine washout were measured to estimate dopamine dissociation rate (koff) constants. Depolarization from -80 to 0 mV was found to reduce dopamine potency by about 7-fold at both D1R and D5R. This potency reduction was accompanied by an increase in estimated dopamine koff at both receptors. While the GIRK response elicited via D1R was insensitive to pertussis toxin (PTX), the response evoked via D5R was reduced by 64% (-80 mV) and 71% (0 mV) in the presence of PTX. Injection of oocytes with Gαs antisense oligonucleotide inhibited the D1R-mediated response by 62% (-80 mV) and 76% (0 mV) and abolished the D5R response when combined with PTX. Our results suggest that depolarization decreases dopamine affinity at D1R and D5R. The voltage-dependent affinities of dopamine at D1R and D5R may be relevant to the functions of these receptors in learning and memory.
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memory tasks (Goldman-Rakic et al., 2004; Arnsten et al., 2010). Recent data also implicate D2R in working memory and prefrontal cortex function (Carr et al., 2017). Accordingly, D2R agonists (many of which are also D3R agonists) and positive allosteric modulators are being investigated as putative therapeutics for the treatment of cognitive deficits, e.g., in Alzheimer’s disease, schizophrenia, and Parkinson’s disease (Lewis et al., 2015; Bruns et al., 2018; Luderman et al., 2018; Yang et al., 2018).

Agonist affinities and functional potencies at a number of GPCRs, including D2R, D3R, and muscarinic M2 receptors (M2R; Ben-Chaim et al., 2003; Sahlholm et al., 2008a), have been shown to be regulated by the membrane potential. Interestingly, DA potency in D3R-mediated GIRK activation was not significantly different between -80 and 0 mV, suggesting that this receptor might be insensitive to voltage (Sahlholm et al., 2008a). However, the influence of the membrane potential on agonist potency at the D2-like family of receptors remains unexplored. Several previous experimental investigations of GPCR voltage-dependence have made use of two-electrode voltage-clamp in *Xenopus* oocytes heterologously expressing GPCRs and G protein-coupled inward-rectifying potassium (GIRK) channels. This has allowed for investigation of GPCR activity by measuring GIRK activation evoked by Gs/ia-coupled GPCRs (Ben-Chaim et al., 2003; Sahlholm et al., 2008a; Sahlholm et al., 2011; Sahlholm et al., 2012) and by Gq/11-coupled GPCRs in the presence of a chimeric Goq/a14 protein (Ohana et al., 2006).

Although GIRK channel opening is elicited by Gβγ, which can be associated with a range of Gα subunits, activation of native GIRK currents is mediated almost exclusively via Gαq/11- and not Gαs/i/o- proteins. This specificity may be achieved through the higher rate of turnover of the G protein cycle of Gαq/11-proteins, liberating higher local concentrations of Gβγ upon receptor activation (Touhara and MacKinnon, 2018). However, based on previously published work with similarly Gq-coupled β-adrenergic receptors (Lim et al., 1995; Wellner-Kienitz et al., 2001; Hatcher-Solis et al., 2014), we speculated that high expression levels of D1R and D5R in *Xenopus* oocytes might allow their downstream Gαq/11 proteins to release sufficient amounts of Gβγ to activate GIRK channels, thus allowing us to investigate the putative voltage sensitivities of these receptors. Importantly, previous work has shown that neither G protein dissociation into Gα and Gβγ nor GIRK activation by Gβγ are intrinsically voltage dependent processes, thus making GIRK currents a suitable readout for studies of GPCR voltage sensitivity (Ben-Chaim et al., 2003). Here, we report the differential potencies of DA in D1R- and D2R-mediated GIRK activation at -80 and 0 mV.

**METHODS**

**Molecular Biology**

Wildtype human D1R (from Dr. Marc Caron, Duke University, NC), D2R (from the cDNA Resource Center, Bloomsberg, PA; www.cdna.org) were in pcDNA3.1 while PTX-S1 cDNA (from Dr. Eitan Reuveny, Weizmann Institute of Science, Israel) was in pGEM-HE. GIRK1 (Kir3.1) and GIRK4 (Kir3.4) (GenScript, Piscataway, NJ) cDNA were in pXOOM (provided by Dr. Søren-Peter Olesen, University of Copenhagen, Denmark). Plasmids were linearized using the appropriate restriction enzymes (D1R, D3R, XhoI; PTX-S1; NheI and GIRK1/GIRK4; NotI), followed by in vitro transcription using the T7 mMessage mMACHINE kit (Ambion, Austin, TX). cRNA concentration and purity were determined by spectrophotometry.

**Oocyte Preparation**

Oocytes from the African clawed toad, *Xenopus laevis*, were isolated surgically as described previously (Sahlholm et al., 2011). The surgical procedures have been approved by the Swedish National Board for Laboratory Animals and the Stockholm Ethical Committee. Following 24 h of incubation at 12°C, oocytes were injected with 4.5 ng D1R receptor cRNA or 25.5 ng D2R receptor cRNA and 50 pg of each GIRK1 and GIRK4 cRNA, using the Nanoject II (Drummond Scientific, Broomall, PA) and a volume of 50 nl per oocyte. When used, 3 ng PTX-S1 cRNA was injected, based on previous observations of complete inhibition of D2R-induced GIRK activation with this amount of cRNA (Agren et al., 2018a). In a subset of experiments, 10 pmol/oocyte (in a volume of 50 nl) of an antisense DNA oligonucleotide (sequence: GCTCATATTGCAGGTGCAT) directed against *X. laevis* Gαs mRNA was injected 48 h before electrophysiology recordings. This treatment has previously been described to abolish Gs-dependent signaling in oocytes (de la Pena et al., 1995).

**Electrophysiology Methods**

Following cRNA injection into oocytes and 7 days of incubation at 12°C, electrophysiological experiments were conducted using the parallel eight-channel, two-electrode voltage-clamp, OpusXpress 6000A (Molecular Devices, San Jose, CA). Continuous perfusion was maintained at 1.5 ml/min. Data were acquired at membrane potentials of -80 mV or 0 mV and sampled at 134 Hz using the OpusXpress 1.10.42 (Molecular Devices) software. To increase the inward rectifier potassium channel current at negative potentials, a high potassium extracellular buffer was used (in mM: 64 NaCl, 25 KCl, 0.8 MgCl2, 0.4 CaCl2, 15 HEPES, 1 ascorbic acid, adjusted to pH 7.4), yielding a K+ reversal potential of about -40 mV. In experiments with 1 mM KCl, the NaCl concentration was 88 mM. DA was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Recordings were performed at room temperature (22 °C).

**Data Analysis**

Electrophysiological data were analyzed in Clampfit 10.6 (Molecular Devices). Concentration-response curves were fitted using least squares nonlinear regression in GraphPad Prism 8 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA). For each oocyte and each holding voltage, the current response to each concentration of DA tested was normalized to the response to the maximally effective concentration of DA at the same voltage and in the same oocyte. The following equation was fitted to the normalized agonist data:

\[
\text{Response} = \frac{\text{Maximal Response} - \text{Response at 0 mV}}{\text{Maximal Response} - \text{Response at 0 mV}}
\]
$Y = \text{Bottom} + (1 - \text{Bottom})/(1 + 10^{(\log EC_{50}-X)\times n})$

where $Y$ is the normalized response, $X$ the logarithm of DA concentration, and $n$ the Hill slope.

The washout decay time constant, $\tau_{\text{off}}$, was obtained from single exponential fits (using Levenberg-Marquardt least-squares fitting in Clampfit 10.6) to the washout-induced current deactivation time course. The responses to 1 µM (D1R) and 100 nM (D5R) DA were used for analysis of response deactivation kinetics. The first 10 s following agonist washout were discarded, and the exponential function was fit to the data over ~70% of the response amplitude. $k_{\text{off}}$ was calculated from $\tau_{\text{off}}$ using the following relation:

$$k_{\text{off}} = \frac{1}{\tau_{\text{off}}}$$

Data are represented as mean ± SEM. Concentration-response data were analyzed by comparing the fractional responses to DA at individual concentrations at -80 mV and at 0 mV using Student’s paired t-test or, if data were not normally distributed, Wilcoxon signed rank test. Normality was assessed using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Current amplitudes were compared using one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparisons test or, if data were not normally distributed, Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn’s multiple comparisons test. $k_{\text{off}}$ rates were compared using the Wilcoxon signed rank test. The significance threshold was $p<0.05$. Statistical analyses were performed in GraphPad Prism 8.

RESULTS

The effects of DA application on membrane currents were investigated in oocytes co-expressing D1R or D5R with GIRK1/4 channels. DA was found to elicit inward currents at -80 mV, whereas at 0 mV, outward currents were observed. No appreciable current response to DA could be recorded in oocytes expressing D1R or D5R in the absence of GIRK channels at either voltage (Supplementary Figure 1), nor did DA elicit any response in oocytes expressing GIRK channels without D1R or D5R (not shown).

DA potency at D1-like receptors was investigated by DA applications (40-s applications, each followed by 100-s washout) of increasing concentration to oocytes injected with D1Ro or D5Ra and GIRK1/4 cRNA. Submaximally effective concentrations were applied, followed by a maximally effective concentration (30 µM for D1R and 3 µM for D5R), at both -80 and 0 mV (Figures 1A, B). At D1R, the DA $EC_{50}$ was 125 nM at -80 mV, increasing significantly to 906 nM at 0 mV (Figure 1C), a potency shift of about 7-fold. The DA $EC_{50}$ at D5R was 6.1 nM at -80 mV, again increasing significantly to 44 nM at 0 mV (Figure 1D), resulting in a ~7-fold decrease in DA potency. The current–voltage relationships of the membrane currents, as assessed by 4-s ramps and normalized to the amplitude at -80 mV, were virtually superimposable in the absence and in the presence of DA (Supplementary Figure 2).

To assess whether GIRK-activation was mediated via $G_{\alpha_{i/o}}$ proteins, the catalytic subunit of pertussis toxin (PTX-S1), which inactivates $G_{\alpha_{i/o}}$ proteins by ADP-ribosylation, was expressed in the oocytes (Vivaudou et al., 1997). In addition, oocytes were injected with an antisense oligonucleotide designed to knock down $G_{\alpha_{i/o}}$ expression (de la Pena et al., 1995). Co-expression of PTX-S1 with D1R and GIRK1/4 did not significantly affect GIRK response amplitudes to 10 µM DA, neither at -80 mV nor at 0 mV. However, injection of the $G_{\alpha_{i/o}}$ antisense oligonucleotide strongly and significantly suppressed the DA-evoked current response by 62% (-80 mV) and 76% (0 mV; Figure 1E). In contrast, in oocytes expressing D5R and GIRK1/4, the amplitudes of GIRK responses to 10 µM DA were significantly reduced by 64% and 71% compared to control at -80 and 0 mV, respectively, when PTX-S1 was introduced. With the further addition of the $G_{\alpha_{i/o}}$ antisense oligonucleotide, the DA response was virtually abolished (Figure 1F).

The rate of GIRK response deactivation upon removal of agonist has been used to approximate the time course of agonist dissociation from its receptor (Bunemann et al., 2001; Benians et al., 2003) and changes in the rates of GIRK deactivation between hyperpolarized and depolarized potentials have previously been shown to reflect reciprocal increases or decreases in agonist dissociation rates and consequently, affinities (Ben-Chaim et al., 2003; Ohana et al., 2006; Ben Chaim et al., 2013). Exponential functions were fitted to the time courses of GIRK current deactivation upon DA washout (see Methods). The rate of response decay was observed to increase, both at D1R and at D5R, when the membrane was depolarized from -80 mV to 0 mV (Figure 2). As judged by the rate of current increase (at -80 mV) upon wash-in of buffer containing 25 mM KCl from a baseline reading in buffer containing 1 mM KCl, the rate of solution exchange was faster than the fastest rate of decrease of the GIRK response upon DA washout (Supplementary Figure 3).

Finally, to visualize the change in DA potency when stepping from one voltage to another, we performed experiments in oocytes co-expressing D1R with GIRK1/4 channels where a 40-s prepulse to between -80 to 0 mV was followed by a -80 mV post-pulse, in the absence or presence of an intermediate (300 nM) or a maximally (30 µM) effective concentration of DA. Subtracting the basal, agonist-independent current from the current evoked in the presence of agonist, a slow current increase at -80 mV was evident following depolarized pulses in the presence of 300 nM, but not 30 µM DA (Supplementary Figure 4). This behavior of GIRK currents, which has been referred to as “relaxation”, has earlier been demonstrated to be a consequence of receptor voltage sensitivity and to reflect the increase in agonist binding to the receptor, at submaximally effective concentrations, upon hyperpolarization of the membrane (Moreno-Galindo et al., 2011; Sahlholm, 2011).

DISCUSSION

Previous investigations have found evidence for reduced agonist potencies and affinities at depolarized potentials in several $G_{\alpha_{i/o}}$-coupled GPCRs, including M2R, D2R, histamine H3 and H4, and
FIGURE 1  |  Voltage-sensitive potency and G protein-dependence of GIRK activation by D₁-like receptors. (A) Representative traces of D₁R-evoked GIRK currents upon application and washout of 100 nM, 1, and 30 µM DA at 0 (blue) and -80 mV (black). (B) Representative traces of D₅R-evoked GIRK currents upon application and washout of 10 nM, 100 nM, and 3 µM DA at 0 (red) and -80 mV (black). (C) Concentration-response curves for D₁R-evoked GIRK current at -80 mV and 0 mV. The pEC₅₀ for DA was 6.902 ± 0.120 (125 nM; Hill slope 1.419 ± 0.348) and 6.043 ± 0.078 (906 nM; Hill slope 1.074 ± 0.165) at -80 mV and 0 mV, respectively (n = 3 - 5). *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, Student’s paired t-test. (D) Concentration-response curves for D₅R-evoked GIRK current at -80 mV and 0 mV. The pEC₅₀ for DA was 8.211 ± 0.065 (6 nM; Hill slope 1.301 ± 0.166) and 7.361 ± 0.078 (44 nM; Hill slope 0.959 ± 0.116) at -80 mV and 0 mV, respectively (n = 6). ***p < 0.001, Student’s paired t-test, §p < 0.05, Wilcoxon signed rank test. Curves were obtained by fitting a variable-slope sigmoidal function to the data using least squares nonlinear regression (see Methods). (E) Mean currents (± SEM) evoked by 10 µM DA in oocytes expressing D₁R and GIRK1/4 with or without PTX-S1 or Ga₅₅ antisense oligonucleotide at 0 mV (top) and -80 mV (bottom). At -80 mV, mean currents were -1.12 ± 0.21 µA (D₁R; n = 7), -1.06 ± 0.15 µA (+PTX-S1; n = 7), and -0.43 ± 0.13 µA (+Ga₅₅ antisense oligonucleotide; n = 6). At 0 mV, mean currents were 0.025 ± 0.007 µA (D₁R; n = 7), 0.020 ± 0.003 µA (+PTX-S1; n = 7), and 0.006 ± 0.004 µA (+Ga₅₅ antisense oligonucleotide; n = 7). *; p<0.05, Tukey’s multiple comparisons test. (F) Mean currents (± SEM) evoked by 10 µM DA in oocytes expressing D₅R and GIRK1/4 with or without PTX-S1, and Ga₅₅ antisense oligonucleotide at 0 mV (top) and -80 mV (bottom). At -80 mV, mean currents were -2.92 ± 0.35 µA (D₅R; n = 7), -1.05 ± 0.16 µA (+PTX-S1; n = 6), and 0.012 ± 0.021 µA (+PTX-S1+Ga₅₅ antisense oligonucleotide; n = 3). Note that in the presence of antisense oligonucleotide, there was a small deflection in positive direction during DA application. At 0 mV, mean currents were 0.100 ± 0.018 µA (D₅R; n = 7), 0.029 ± 0.005 µA (+PTX-S1; n = 6) and 0.006 ± 0.010 µA (+PTX-S1+Ga₅₅ antisense oligonucleotide; n = 4). ***p < 0.001, Tukey’s multiple comparisons test. §p < 0.05, §§p < 0.01, Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn’s multiple comparisons test.
the metabotropic glutamate 3 receptor (mGluR3) (Ben-Chaim et al., 2003; Ben-Chaim et al., 2006; Sahlholm et al., 2008b; Sahlholm et al., 2012), as well as the Gαs-coupled β-adrenergic receptors (Birk et al., 2015). Conversely, a number of Gαs-coupled muscarinic, metabotropic glutamate, and prostanoid receptors demonstrated increased agonist potencies at depolarized potentials (Ben-Chaim et al., 2003; Ohana et al., 2006; Kurz et al., 2020). The potency shifts of 7-fold between -80 and 0 mV observed here for D1R and D3R are among the more pronounced shifts reported so far.

Compared to the D2-like DA receptors previously investigated (Sahlholm et al., 2008a), D2L and D2S present the strongest reductions in DA potency (~7-fold) between -80 and 0 mV, whereas D2R showed a somewhat smaller potency reduction of about 4-fold. D1R and D3R were the least sensitive, with ~2-fold and 1.1-fold (non-significant) lower DA potency at 0 compared to -80 mV (Sahlholm et al., 2008a). DA concentrations in the striatum vary between the low nanomolar range at extrasynaptic sites (corresponding to tonic DA signaling), to high micromolar concentrations in the immediate vicinity of active DA terminals (phasic DA signaling) (Marcott et al., 2014; Bamford et al., 2018). Assuming the in vivo EC50 of D1R and D2R to be similar to those observed here, one would expect the voltage sensitivity of D1R to be more relevant during phasic DA signaling, when DA concentrations transiently reach sufficiently high concentrations to activate this receptor. Conversely, the influence of voltage over D2R signaling might be more pronounced under tonic DA signaling conditions, which would be expected to produce a sub-saturating D2R response. Given the involvement of both D1R and D2R in learning and memory, it is tempting to speculate that their sensitivity to voltage may enable these receptors to function as a form of coincidence detectors, reporting on both the membrane potential and the presence of DA. For example, strong depolarization of the postsynaptic neuron may spatially restrict the signaling of D1-like receptors by allowing for efficient activation only of those receptors which are located sufficiently close to active DA terminals.

Overexpression of Gαs-coupled receptors has been reported to support activation of GIRK channels, although much less efficiently than Gαi/o-coupled receptors, in several expression systems including Xenopus oocytes (Lim et al., 1995; Wellner-Kienitz et al., 2001; Hatcher-Solis et al., 2014). Thus, we believe that the PTX-insensitive D1R-mediated GIRK activation observed here is likely to be elicited via Gs signaling, although this phenomenon may be a consequence of receptor overexpression and unlikely to take place in native tissue. Indeed, this conclusion is strengthened by the observation that injection of an antisense oligonucleotide directed towards X. laevis mRNA encoding Gαs strongly reduced the current response to DA in oocytes co-expressing D1R and GIRK channels.

Interestingly, in contrast to the D1R-evoked responses, a major component of the GIRK currents elicited upon D3R stimulation was PTX-sensitive, suggesting that D3R is able to activate Gαi/o proteins in addition to Gs. While D3R-mediated Gαi signaling has been observed in some heterologous systems (So et al., 2009), Gαi/o-coupling of D3R has, to the best of our knowledge, not been reported previously. In Xenopus oocytes, Gαs-mediated calcium signaling would typically elicit a characteristic, rapidly desensitizing response mediated through endogenous calcium-activated chloride channels (Hansen and Bräuner-Osborne, 2009); however, we did not observe any such responses upon D3R activation.

Contrary to the present observations, D3R has previously been reported to couple to PTX-sensitive Gαi proteins in addition to Gs, while D2R was found to couple to the PTX-insensitive inhibitory G protein, Gz (Sidhu et al., 1998), which efficiently activates GIRK (Vorobiov et al., 2000). However, available evidence suggests that there is no detectable endogenous Gz expression in Xenopus oocytes (Vorobiov et al., 2000; Kalinski et al., 2003), making it unlikely that Gz activation underlies the PTX-insensitive component of D3R-mediated GIRK activation observed here. Instead, it appears more likely that this component is Gαi-mediated. Again, this assumption is strengthened by the abolition of the DA-induced current response by injection of Gαs antisense oligonucleotide into oocytes co-expressing D1R and GIRK with PTX-S1.

The depolarization-induced decrease in acetylcholine potency at the M1R has been related to a corresponding increase in acetylcholine koff at the M2R, and a consequent increase in GIRK response deactivation rate at depolarized potentials (Ben-Chaim et al., 2003; Ben Chaim et al., 2013; Agren et al., 2018b; Lopeze-Serrano et al., 2020). Similar findings have been reported for the mGluR3 and histamine H3 receptors (Ohana et al., 2006; Sahlholm et al., 2012). Likewise, in the present study, we found the rates of GIRK current deactivation upon DA washout to be increased at 0 mV compared to -80 mV. Presumably, these changes in deactivation rates reflect faster DA koff at D1R and D3R at 0 mV.

Finally, it should be emphasized that the oocyte expression system does not represent a native environment for D1R and D3R, which interact with a host of other proteins in neurons and
other tissues. However, this heterologous system provides a well-defined background with no detectable expression of endogenous DA receptors which lends itself well to stable voltage-clamp recordings and detection of even relatively small agonist-induced responses with a high signal-to-noise ratio. The DA EC50 for D1R- and D5R-induced GIRK activation at 0 mV in the present study agree fairly well with the reported values for high-affinity DA binding in isolated membranes in the literature, recapitulating the higher affinity of D3R (24 nM; Weinshank et al., 1991) compared to D1R (324 nM; Marcellino et al., 2012), suggesting that the DA binding characteristics of these receptors in oocytes are similar to those of D1R and D3R expressed in mammalian cells.

CONCLUSION

The present results reveal that DA potency at D1R and D5R is decreased upon depolarization from -80 to 0 mV. Our interpretation is that DA koff rate constants are affected by membrane voltage and contribute to a decrease in DA affinity upon depolarization. This dependence of D1R and D5R-mediated responses upon transmembrane voltage could allow these receptors, which have been implicated in learning and memory, to function as a sort of “coincidence detectors”, responding robustly to low concentrations of DA only at hyperpolarized potentials.
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