Perioperative outcome of laparoscopic left lateral liver resection is improved by using a bioabsorbable staple line reinforcement material in a porcine model
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Abstract

Hypothesis Laparoscopic liver surgery is significantly limited by the technical difficulty encountered during transection of substantial liver parenchyma, with intraoperative bleeding and bile leaks. This study tested whether the use of a bioabsorbable staple line reinforcement material would improve outcome during stapled laparoscopic left lateral liver resection in a porcine model.

Study design A total of 20 female pigs underwent stapled laparoscopic left lateral liver resection. In group A (n = 10), the stapling devices were buttressed with a bioabsorbable staple line reinforcement material. In group B (n = 10), standard laparoscopic staplers were used. Operative data and perioperative complications were recorded. Necropsy studies and histopathological analysis were performed at 6 weeks. Data were compared between groups with the Student’s t-test or the chi-square test.

Results Operating time was similar in the two groups (64 ± 11 min in group A versus 68 ± 9 min in group B, p = ns). Intraoperative blood loss was significantly higher in group B (185 ± 9 mL versus 25 ± 5 mL, p < 0.05). There was no mortality. There was no morbidity in the 6-week follow-up period; however, two animals in group B had subphrenic bilomas (20%) at necropsy. At necropsy, methylene blue injection via the main bile duct revealed leakage from the biliary tree in four animals in group B and none in group A (p < 0.05). Histopathological examination of the resection site revealed minor abnormalities in group A while animals in group B demonstrated marked fibrotic changes and damaged vascular and biliary endothelium.

Conclusion Use of a bioabsorbable staple line reinforcement material reduces intraoperative bleeding and perioperative bile leaks during stapled laparoscopic left lateral liver resection in a porcine model.
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The success of laparoscopic cholecystectomy has driven the application of minimally invasive techniques to other disease processes, and led to the use of laparoscopy in solid organ surgery [1–9]. Since the report of the first laparoscopic liver resection for a 6-cm focal nodular hyperplasia, laparoscopic surgery for the treatment of liver diseases has become more popular [28–30]. In 1995, excision of a segment 4 hepatic tumor was reported. The first successful
laparoscopic left lateral hepatectomy (segments 2 and 3) in a patient with a benign adenoma was performed in 1996 [8].

Technological advances and the development of new equipment have facilitated the growing trend of laparoscopic liver resections [2]. However, laparoscopic liver surgery remains technically challenging. Compared to open surgery, the laparoscopic surgeon is limited by the lack of an effective means to divide substantial liver parenchyma. Transection of the liver can be performed laparoscopically in several different ways, however bile leakage rates of 1–16% and bleeding complication rates of 6–55% have been reported [9, 30–32].

We hypothesized that the addition of a bioabsorbable membrane to reinforce standard laparoscopic stapling devices would reduce the bleeding and biliary complications of stapled laparoscopic liver resections. We tested this hypothesis in a prospective survival study of 20 pigs undergoing laparoscopic left lateral liver resections.

Methods

Study design

A total of 20 female pigs each weighing approximately 40 kg were used for the study. All animals were maintained in accordance with the recommendations of the institutional animal care and use committee at the Mount Sinai School of Medicine. Animals were randomly assigned at the time of surgery to either group A (n = 10) in which the stapling devices used for laparoscopic left lateral segmentectomy were reinforced with a bioabsorbable membrane or group B (n = 10), in which standard stapling devices were used. Animals were followed prospectively for a 6-week period after which they were sacrificed.

Surgery

All animals were premedicated with ketamine (22 mg/kg) and atropine (0.04 mg/kg) and anesthetized with thiopental (15 mg/kg) prior to intubation. Animals were then mechanically ventilated with an initial tidal volume of 10 ml/kg and a respiratory rate of 15 breaths per minute. The tidal volume was adjusted to maintain arterial $P_{aCO_2}$ of 35–40 mmHg during the experiment. Anesthesia was maintained with inhaled isofluorane (1.5%).

The pig was placed in a supine position. A standard open technique was used to gain access to the abdomen and a total of five trocars (Karl Storz Endoscopy America, Culver City, CA, USA) were used for the procedure (Fig. 1).

Pneumoperitoneum was established to a pressure of 15 mmHg. After inspection of the abdominal cavity with a 10-mm 30° laparoscope, the remaining trocars were placed under direct vision. Two 10-mm trocars were used in the left and right upper quadrants. One 12-mm trocar was positioned in the left anterior axillary line for liver retraction. The liver capsule and parenchyma were inspected superiorly and inferiorly and the line of transection was visualized between the liver hilum, along the surface of the entire left liver lobes towards the diaphragm. Subsequently, the left lateral segmentectomy was demarcated (segments 2 and 3 of the liver) within 1 cm along the falciform ligament. If necessary, the diaphragmatic and minor omental attachments to the liver were divided to mobilize the left lobe. Once mobilized, attention was turned to transection of the liver parenchyma. This was accomplished with sequential firings of a 3.5-mm endo GIA stapler (US Surgical, Norwalk, CT). In group A, the stapler cartridges were buttressed with a bioabsorbable reinforcement material (Seamguard®, W.L. Gore, Flagstaff,
Arizona) (Fig. 2). In group B the standard stapler cartridges were used. As the liver parenchyma was divided, no attempt was made to isolate the major feeding vessels (major branches of the left hepatic artery and vein) to segments 2 and 3; rather, they were divided en masse with the liver parenchyma. Upon completion of the transection, hemostasis was achieved with the ultrasonic scalpel (Ethicon Endo-Surgery, Cincinatti, Ohio, USA), which works by means of a vibrating blade or scissors and can effectively seal small vessels and bile ducts, and/or electrocautery. The resected specimen was placed into an endobag and retrieved through the umbilical trocar site that was extended approximately 3.5–5.0 cm to accommodate the specimen. The abdomen was irrigated and inspected prior to closure of the trocar sites. No intra-abdominal drains were used.

Data collection
Operative data recorded at the time of surgery included operative time, specimen size, and blood loss as estimated by amount of fluid collected in a suction container minus irrigation fluid used. Animals were prospectively followed with regard to clinical outcome for 6 weeks. Clinical status (temperature, blood pressure, heart rate) was examined routinely on a daily basis unless clinical condition mandated additional assessment. Routine blood tests (bilirubin, ALT, AST, alkaline phosphatase, GGT, white blood cell, hemoglobin, hematocrit) were obtained 2 days and 6 weeks postoperatively.

All animals tolerated the procedure well and were healthy during the entire follow up. Clinical status (temperature, blood pressure, heart rate) examined routinely on a daily basis were not different. All animals resumed normal diet within 1 day and had their first bowel movement within 2–3 days. Routine blood tests (bilirubin, ALT, AST, alkaline phosphatase, GGT, white blood cell, hemoglobin, hematocrit) obtained 2 days and 6 weeks postoperatively showed no abnormalities in either group.

Mean operative time was not different between groups (group A 64 ± 11 min versus group B 68 ± 9 min, p = ns). Intraoperative blood loss was significantly higher in group B (25 ± 5 mL versus 185 ± 9 mL, p < 0.05) (Fig. 3). An average of six 60-mm stapler cartridge firings per animal were used in each group to transect the left lateral liver lobe (p = ns). Resected specimen size was similar in both groups (average size 10 × 8 × 4 cm; average weight 0.41 kg). There was no morbidity or mortality in either group. However, two animals in group B were found to have bile collections in the previous operating field at the time of necropsy. When the livers were subjected to methylene blue injection via the common bile duct (CBD), two additional animals in group B were found to have evidence of biliary leak (a total of four of ten animals in group B versus zero of ten in group A, p < 0.05).

Histopathological examination of the cut surface of the liver in group A revealed no evidence of the staple line.

Statistical analysis
To obtain a 50% reduction rate in postoperative outcome after laparoscopic liver resection an 80% power analysis showed a series of 20 consecutive animals to be operated. Moreover, a 95% confidence interval was obtained to achieve statistical significance. Data were compared between groups with Student’s t-test and chi-square tests.

Results
All animals tolerated the procedure well and were healthy during the entire follow up. Clinical status (temperature, blood pressure, heart rate) examined routinely on a daily basis were not different. All animals resumed normal diet within 1 day and had their first bowel movement within 2–3 days. Routine blood tests (bilirubin, ALT, AST, alkaline phosphatase, GGT, white blood cell, hemoglobin, hematocrit) obtained 2 days and 6 weeks postoperatively showed no abnormalities in either group.

Mean operative time was not different between groups (group A 64 ± 11 min versus group B 68 ± 9 min, p = ns). Intraoperative blood loss was significantly higher in group B (25 ± 5 mL versus 185 ± 9 mL, p < 0.05) (Fig. 3). An average of six 60-mm stapler cartridge firings per animal were used in each group to transect the left lateral liver lobe (p = ns). Resected specimen size was similar in both groups (average size 10 × 8 × 4 cm; average weight 0.41 kg). There was no morbidity or mortality in either group. However, two animals in group B were found to have bile collections in the previous operating field at the time of necropsy. When the livers were subjected to methylene blue injection via the common bile duct (CBD), two additional animals in group B were found to have evidence of biliary leak (a total of four of ten animals in group B versus zero of ten in group A, p < 0.05).

Histopathological examination of the cut surface of the liver in group A revealed no evidence of the staple line.
reinforcement material, indicating that it had been totally reabsorbed. There was no bile duct damage seen and only mild mononuclear inflammation was seen in the portal areas. Subcapsular sinusoid dilation and congestion was seen with sharp demarcation between areas of dilation and absence of dilation in a pattern suggestive of fixation artifact although ischemic or anoxic damage cannot be ruled out. In group B marked fibrotic changes and damaged vascular and biliary endothelium were seen (Fig. 4 and 5).

Discussion

While new technology is rapidly expanding the realm of laparoscopic surgery to include major hepatic resections, the majority of laparoscopic hepatic operations currently performed are for diagnostic purposes [3–5]. One of the major limitations to laparoscopic liver resections is the difficulty encountered in division of substantial liver parenchyma. Commonly employed devices for standard open liver resections including the Cavitron ultrasonic surgical aspirator (CUSA) (Valleylab, Boulder, CO), and the Argon Beam coagulator (Valleylab, Boulder, CO) are available for use in laparoscopy [34–36].

Transecting the liver can be performed in laparoscopically several ways (varying from gasless procedures to hand-assisted or strict laparoscopic liver resections), each technique with its own risk of bleeding and bile leaks. In the past, the percentage of these complications has not changed considerably, remaining between 10% and 50% [9, 31]. Commonly used laparoscopic devices such as the ultrasonic scalpel or the Ligasure (Valleylab, Boulder, CO) are limited in their ability to divide liver parenchyma because of the small size of the active heating area.

The addition of various buttressing materials to stapling devices has been tried as a means to improve results and reduce complications rates in both pulmonary and gastrointestinal surgery [17–23]. We hypothesized that the addition of a buttressing material to standard laparoscopic stapling devices would provide a novel technique for liver

![Fig. 4](image1.png) Macroscopic illustration of bile duct damage at the liver’s transection site of group B in which conventional stapling technique was performed

![Fig. 5](image2.png) Microscopic examination (20×) of resection line of group A (above) and group B (below)
transsection. One buttressing material (Seamguard®, W.L. Gore, Flagstaff, Arizona) is a porous fibrous structure composed solely of synthetic bioabsorbable poly (glycolide:trimethylene carbonate) copolymer. Degraded via a combination of hydrolytic and enzymatic pathways, the copolymer has been found to be both biocompatible and nonantigenic, with a history of use as bioabsorbable sutures, membranes, and other implantable devices. When used for staple line reinforcement, it can be expected to retain mechanical strength for 4–5 weeks and be completely absorbed by the end of 6 months [11]. The fact that this material is completely bioabsorbable should reduce concerns over possible long-term complications such as migration, erosion, calcification, and infection. In addition, this synthetic copolymer does not carry the risk of animal source contamination. The histopathological examination of the resection line of both groups showed fibrotic changes in group B in contrast to group A. This may be caused by excess mechanical stress which was avoided in group A due to addition of a staple line reinforcement.

Our results show that transaction using conventional stapling devices is inadequate but that the addition of a bioabsorbable staple line reinforcement material to standard laparoscopic stapling devices can reduce intraoperative blood loss during transection of liver parenchyma. In addition, there was a reduction in postoperative bile leaks, although in this study these leaks were not clinically significant. Bioabsorbable reinforcement improves on complications and is a viable addition to laparoscopic liver resection.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Noncommercial License which permits any noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.
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