Three-Dimensional Soundproof Acoustic Metacage
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In this Letter, we theoretically propose and experimentally demonstrate a three-dimensional soundproof acoustic cage structure, hereby denoted as an acoustic metacage. The metacage is composed of six acoustic metamaterial slabs with open holes and hidden bypass space coiling tunnels connected to the holes. Band structure analysis reveals a novel physical mechanism to open a low-frequency broad partial band gap via the band folding in other directions, which can also be interpreted by an effective medium with indefinite effective mass density and negative effective modulus. Transmission loss in simulations and in the acoustic impedance tube are administered. Strikingly, we prove that the soundproofing effect of the metacage is robust against the airflow perturbation induced by a fan. Our work paves a road for low-frequency airborne soundproof structures in the presence of ventilation.
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Sound insulation is an important issue in acoustics that has applications in various fields like architectural design, urban planning, and transportation. However, the traditional techniques developed to suppress and attenuate sound have some severe limits [1,2]. Phononic crystals [3–5] with acoustic band gaps can block sound efficiently. However, the band gaps of phononic crystals emerge only at high frequencies where the wavelength is comparable to the scale of the microstructure. In the past two decades, acoustic metamaterials [6–8] composed of building blocks in the deep-subwavelength scale have opened a new route for unprecedented artificial acoustic materials. By utilizing acoustic metamaterials [9–12] with low-frequency band gaps, sound insulation has been successfully demonstrated with subwavelength structures [13–19]. Based on acoustic metamaterials, various novel applications have been proposed including negative refraction [20,21], superresolution imaging [22,23], cloaking [24,25], metasurface applications [26,27], exotic elastic properties [28–31], and topological acoustics [32,33], etc. These findings have significantly enhanced the abilities to manipulate acoustic waves.

In practice, a common demand in addition to sound insulation is ventilation, which demands the existence of passages for airflow in the structure design. Interestingly, sound insulation and ventilation can be achieved simultaneously. Recently, several methods utilizing open-structure metamaterials [15–19,34–37] have been proposed to realize the functionality of simultaneous sound insulation and ventilation. However, these approaches are usually limited to either a narrow working frequency range or a relatively large thickness. Moreover, most of the previous investigations were limited in one or two dimensions. To date, the theoretical design and experimental verification of three-dimensional soundproof open structures are still lacking.

In this Letter, we propose a type of 3D cage structures that can efficiently block sound waves within a low-frequency regime and thus guarantee a “silent” space for airborne sound. The open holes on the cage allow air to access the cage freely in all directions, therefore endowing the function of ventilation. Such a soundproof cage is denoted as an acoustic metacage, shown in Fig. 1(a). The potential applications of 3D acoustic cage structure include a machine room seeking the techniques of sound insulation and heat dissipation, a working room needing sound insulation and fresh air (ventilation contributes to heat dissipation and air flow), and many others.

The physical principle behind the sound insulation phenomenon is related to acoustic labyrinthine metamaterials [12,38,39], which can exhibit extreme constitutive parameters and an exceptional ability to control the phase of sound. Because of the excellent functionalities and ease...
FIG. 1. (a) Schematic diagram of the acoustic metacage with the functionalities of soundproofing and ventilation. (b) Perspective view of the unit cell, composed of a central open hole with a diameter of \( D = 30 \) mm and four identical hidden BSCTs with identical geometric parameters of thickness \( w = 2 \) mm, length \( l = 8 \) mm, and width \( d = 8 \) mm that have the overall size of \( a_x = 12 \) mm and \( a_y = a_z = 70 \) mm. The connected blue arrows denote the propagating path for sound waves inside the BSCTs with a total length of \( L \approx 84 \) mm, which can be equivalent to a high index \( n \) with straightened tunnels in the simplified view [inset in (c)]. (c) The band structures of the unit cell. The cyan solid lines and black symbol lines are, respectively, obtained from Eq. (1) with \( n = 1.7, \ r = 11.8, \) and full-wave simulation. (d) The normalized effective mass density of \( \rho_{\text{eff}}/\rho_0 \) and \( \rho_{\text{eff}, xy}/\rho_0 \) and effective reciprocal bulk modulus of \( \kappa_0/\kappa_{\text{eff}} \) as a function of the frequency.

of fabrication, labyrinthine metamaterials recently have been extensively applied in the design of acoustic meta-surfaces [27] and two-dimensional sound insulation structures [17,19,40]. Here, we find that the combination of labyrinth and anisotropy [41] can lead to a large band gap in the low-frequency regime. The structures are designed as panels with open holes that are connected with bypass space coiling tunnels (BSCTs) hidden in the panels. The unit cell of the metacage is shown in Fig. 1(b). Sound waves now propagate along a straight path in the \( z \) direction and along a zigzag path in the \( xy \) plane. Since the coiled-up space lies perpendicular to the plane of wave propagation, the thickness of such a metacage can be significantly reduced to the deep-subwavelength scale compared to traditional 2D labyrinthine metamaterials.

In order to understand the underlying physics behind the metacage, a physical model has been built to approximately describe the band structures of the unit cell if the tunnels are in a subwavelength scale. The BSCTs are equivalent to straightened tunnels with an effective high refractive index of \( n \) in the simplified view shown in the inset in Fig. 1(c). By applying the Floquet-Bloch theory, the dispersion relation can be derived and expressed as

\[
\begin{align*}
    n \sin(k_0a_z) \{ \cos(k_0a_z) + \cos(k_0a_z)[1 + \cos(k_0a_z)] \\
    - 2\cos(\sqrt{2}k_0a_z) - 1 \} + r \sin(\sqrt{2}k_0a_z) \{ \cos(k_0a_z) \\
    - \cos(k_0a_z) \} = 0,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( k_{0,x,y,z} \) and \( k_0 \), respectively, represent the Bloch wave number along the \( x, y, z \) direction and the wave number in the background medium, \( n \) represents the high refractive index in the BSCTs, and \( r \) represents the ratio of cross-sectional area between the hole and the BSCTs connected to the hole. The dispersion relation is solved by Eq. (1), plotted in cyan solid lines in Fig. 1(c). Interestingly, at low frequencies, we observe a partial band gap (gray domain) along the \( \Gamma Z \) direction, indicating the soundproofing properties. At the same frequency range, a negative band along the \( \Gamma X(Y) \) direction is found. Generally, we can describe the bandwidth of the partial band gap as \( f_0 < f < f_1 \), where \( f_0 \) is the lower edge, which corresponds to the resonant frequency, while \( f_1 \) is the upper edge. The position of the bands can be tuned by \( n \) or the total length of the BSCTs \( L \), and the bandwidth is related to \( r \). In this case, the normalized frequencies are set to \( f_0a_z/c_0 = 0.035 \) and \( f_1a_z/c_0 = 0.057 \). which signifies that the soundproofing effect, in principle, can be realized at a deep-subwavelength scale.

In addition, the band structures of the metamaterial can be numerically obtained by the full-wave simulations (COMSOL Multiphysics), shown in black symbol lines in Fig. 1(c). The mass density and the speed of sound in air are set as \( \rho_0 = 1.21 \text{ kg/m}^3 \) and \( c_0 = 343 \text{ m/s} \), respectively. The solid frames and hard wall panels are set as rigid in the simulations due to the huge impedance difference between air and the solid materials. For simplification, we neglect the acoustic dissipation here. Except for the little frequency shift resulting from the finite tunnel width, the simulated results agree well with the theory.

In the following, we apply the effective medium theory to analyze the metamaterial [42]. By calculating the complex transmission and reflection coefficients, the retrieved normalized effective mass density and effective reciprocal bulk modulus are depicted in Fig. 1(d). Here, \( \rho_0 \) and \( \kappa_0 \) denote the mass density and bulk modulus of background medium air, respectively. The demonstration on the validity of the effective medium is shown in Supplemental Material [43]. Because of the resonance induced by the BSCTs, \( \kappa_0/\kappa_{\text{eff}} \) turns negative from about 1000 to 1636 Hz. Meanwhile, \( \rho_{\text{eff}, xy}/\rho_0 \) remains positive, while \( \rho_{\text{eff}, z}/\rho_0 \) turns negative. Thus, such mass density is indefinite [30,44]. When \( \rho_{\text{eff}, z}/\rho_0 > 0 \) and \( \kappa_0/\kappa_{\text{eff}} < 0 \), the partial band gap along the \( \Gamma Z \) direction emerges. At the
same frequency regime, sound waves can propagate freely along the $\Gamma X(Y)$ direction due to the negative band induced by $\rho_{\text{eff},x(y)}/\rho_0 < 0$ and $k_0/k_{\text{eff}} < 0$. Such an observation reveals that the origin and the creation of this partial band gap in the direction of open holes lie in the band folding in other directions. Moreover, hyperbolic dispersions emerge at such a frequency range [45,46] (see Supplemental Material [43]). Such hyperbolic dispersions are also observed in nonlocal acoustic metasurfaces with coupling between neighboring elements which leads to surface waves [47]. Here, we focus on the emergence of large band gaps at the deep-subwavelength limit, which could support a robust soundproofing effect.

To characterize the soundproofing functionality of the unit cell, we have performed the full-wave simulations for transmission. The results of transmission loss (TL) as a function of the frequency with different unit cell numbers are plotted in Fig. 2(a). It is seen that, when $N = 1$, the soundproofing phenomenon approximately appears at $f_0 = 1000 \text{ Hz}$. We note that the particular wavelength at 1000 Hz ($\lambda = 343 \text{ mm}$) is nearly 28.5 times larger than the thickness of the unit cell, i.e., $\alpha_z = 12 \text{ mm}$, confirming that the metamaterial works at the deep-subwavelength scale. Moreover, such a transmission spectrum exhibits the asymmetric profile that is accompanied by a sharp transition between full transmission and full reflection. When $N$ increases, the soundproofing bandwidth broadens quickly. For example, the soundproofing bandwidth for 20 dB reaches around 550 Hz when $N = 6$. Figure 2(b) shows the results of TL as a function of the frequency at different oblique incident angles, indicating that the soundproofing performance is insensitive to the incident angles.

To quantificationally describe such a partial band gap, the transmission properties on geometrical parameters have been performed, and the results are shown in Figs. 2(c) and 2(d). When one geometric parameter is varying, all the other parameters are fixed. First, we focus on the lower edge of the partial band gap, namely, the resonant frequency $f_0$. Figure 2(c) shows the results of TL as functions of the frequency and the total length of the BSCTs. Here, the unit cell number in transmission computation is chosen as $N = 1$. We can observe that the dip of the TL is shifted to lower frequency when $L$ increases. This indicates that $f_0$ can be tuned toward ultralow frequencies by simply increasing $L$. Such a resonant phenomenon has been proved by the theory of tube resonators [49], expressed as $f_0 \approx c_0/4(L + 0.8\sqrt{S/\pi})$, where $c_0$, $S$, and $L$ are the speed of sound, the cross-sectional area, and the length of the tubes, respectively. As shown in Fig. 2(c), the theoretical prediction (cyan dotted line) agrees well with the simulated results. We note that four separated resonant frequencies could occur if the BSCTs possess different lengths (see Supplemental Material [43]). Second, we focus on the upper edge of the partial band gap, i.e., $f_1$. We find that $f_1$ is related to $r$, namely, the ratio of cross-sectional area between the hole and the BSCTs located at the connection. For simplification, we adjust only the diameter of the central hole and fix the other geometrical parameters. In order to guarantee sufficient energy decay in the transmission computation, the unit cell number is set as $N = 10$. The results of TL as functions of the frequency and the diameter of the central open hole are plotted in Fig. 2(d). It is seen that, when $D$ decreases, as well as $r$ decreases, $f_1$ gradually increases, while $f_0$ is almost fixed due to a fixed $L$. Therefore, the bandwidth of sound insulation increases. From the band structures in Fig. 1(c), we can see that $f_1$ is determined by the band folding in the $\Gamma X(Y)$ directions, which is $2f_0$ in the ideal case. Thus, the fractional bandwidth of the partial band gap, defined as $(f_1 - f_0)/(f_1 + f_0)/2$, can approach the maximum value of 2/3. This mechanism neatly explains and predicts the broad bandwidth of the band gap, which is beyond the simple picture of Fano resonances.

To experimentally verify the soundproofing effect, we have measured the TL through the unit cell by using an acoustic impedance tube, as shown in Fig. 3(a). A sample of the unit cell is fabricated by stereolithography 3D printing techniques using polylactide, as shown in Fig. 3(b). In the measurements, the sample is closely sandwiched between two parts of the impedance tube. The cross section of the impedance tube is circular with a
diameter of 30 mm. To prevent sound leakage through the corner holes of the sample, the corner holes are sealed by resin. Thus, the total length of the BSCTs is slightly shorter, and there is a small shift in the resonant frequency. The measured TL as a function of the frequency with different unit cell numbers of $N = 1$ and 6 are shown in Fig. 3(c). For comparison, the simulated results are also plotted. Because of the complexity of loss in practice, the dissipation term can be determined empirically by fitting the measured data. The dissipation term here is chosen as 3.5% loss in the bulk modulus of air in simulations. It is seen that the measured and simulated results match well with each other. Moreover, the soundproofing bandwidth is significantly broadened when $N = 6$. The detailed transmittance, reflectance, and absorptance spectrum are shown in Supplemental Material [43]. It is noteworthy that the little loss in practice cannot influence the soundproofing properties discussed before (see Supplemental Material [43]).

Finally, we fabricate a real 3D cage structure to demonstrate the soundproofing properties in the presence of ventilation. The diagram and photography of the experimental setup are shown in Figs. 4(a) and 4(b). The acoustic metacage is constructed by six metamaterial slabs, and each slab consists of $2 \times 2$ units, as depicted in Fig. 4(b). The sealed unit cell is chosen to construct the 3D metacage in Fig. 3. The whole size is 164 mm $\times$ 164 mm $\times$ 164 mm. A sound speaker (HiVi M4N) is placed head-on to and 50 cm away from the cage. The airflow, including crosswind and tailwind, is produced by a moveable fan (SAB40A) 70 cm away from the cage, and the velocities are measured by hotwire anemometry (AR866A). A microphone (GRAS 46BE) is mounted on a moving stage to measure the acoustic signals along the scan path [shown as an orange dashed line in Fig. 4(a)] gradually away from the fan in the horizontal plane. The step size of scan path is 5 mm. Sound-absorbing foams are placed around the whole system to reduce the reflection and environmental noise. For comparison, a normal-hole cage (without BSCTs) as a contrast cage is also investigated.

At the working frequency of $f = 1030$ Hz, the measured and simulated sound intensity as a function of the measuring position are plotted in Figs. 4(c)–4(e), including the cases without wind, with crosswind, and with tailwind. The sound intensity is a normalized quantity defined as $|p/p_0|^2$, where $p$ and $p_0$ denote the sound pressure (measured in Pa) with and without the cages, respectively. The horizontal axis represents different measuring positions of the microphone scan path, and the origin of coordinates is located at the center of the scan path. The solid and symbol lines, respectively, represent the simulated and measured results. The simulated computation for the case without (with) airflow is based on the pressure acoustics module (aeroacoustics module with linearized potential flow model) of COMSOL Multiphysics.

When there is no airflow in Fig. 4(c), it is seen that the sound intensity is quite large inside the contrast cage. Interestingly, the sound intensity inside the metacage is significantly reduced to a level more than 10 times lower than that of the contrast cage. The measured results (symbol) agree with the simulation (solid lines). Moreover, when some airflow with velocity of 2 m/s is introduced to the system by the nearby fan in Figs. 4(d) and 4(e), the intensity profile remains almost unchanged. The soundproofing performances under a variety of airflow velocities and in different directions are discussed in
Supplemental Material [43]. Such a cage structure with the deep-subwavelength thickness of 12 mm can efficiently create a silent space with a bandwidth of 50 Hz around the working frequency of 1030 Hz (see Supplemental Material [43]). Therefore, such an acoustic metacage can indeed work nicely as a 3D sound shield even with airflow. Moreover, the discussions of different types and positions of sources are also shown in Supplemental Material [43], which demonstrate the robustness of the soundproofing functionality of the metacage under various kinds of sources. Also (see Supplemental Material [43]), we find that the acoustic metacage and the Faraday cage have some properties in common.

In summary, we theoretically and experimentally demonstrate a 3D soundproof acoustic metacage with deep-subwavelength scale. The cage is constructed by strongly anisotropic labyrinthine metamaterials, which leads to broadband negative effective modulus as well as indefinite mass density. A large partial band gap for airborne sound is opened at the low-frequency regime, in accordance with the band folding in other directions. Theoretical, numerical, and experimental results successfully verified our theory. Such low-frequency airborne soundproof structures could have practical benefits in noise control.
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