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Abstract
Tarsometatarsal (TMT) osteoarthritis is encountered frequently. Pathology at the TMT joints play a role in continued foot fatigue and further compensation of gait laterally to avoid discomfort over the medial and central columns of the midfoot. Sequela and further pathology to the central TMT joints are multifactorial. Recalcitrant pain, subtle instability, and arthritis are commonly observed. Patients who fail nonoperative treatment are subjective to reconstructive surgical treatment. We present a straightforward stepwise technique for an in situ arthrodesis of the central TMT joints. We focus on the principles of the dowel arthrodesis with trephine reamers, cancellous bone plugs, and compressive locking plate fixation for a reproducible corrective midfoot fusion.
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Introduction
Tarsometatarsal (TMT) osteoarthritis is encountered frequently in a surgeon’s practice. Recalcitrant pain, subtle instability, and arthritis are commonly observed rather than positional deformity. Patients who fail nonoperative treatment (to include physical therapy, cortisone injections, orthotic accommodation) are subjective to reconstructive surgical goals to establish a plantigrade, painfree, and functional gait [1]. There have been several techniques described for TMT joint arthritis and degenerative changes [2]. We present a straightforward stepwise technique for an in situ arthrodesis of the central TMT joints.

We focus on the principles of the dowel arthrodesis with trephine reamers, cancellous bone plugs, and compressive locking plate fixation for a reproducible corrective midfoot fusion without sacrificing adjacent anatomical structures and without expansive dissection needs.

Anatomical considerations
The TMT complex consists of osseous, cartilaginous, capsular and ligamentous configurations of the intertarsal, intermetatarsal, and tarsometatarsal architecture [3]. The medial, central, and lateral columns make up an arch and truss type configuration in combination with the long and short plantar ligaments [3]. The columns are independent, with each having fibrous membranes lining the synovial capsule [4]. The central column is formed by the second and third metatarsals and the intermediate and lateral cuneiforms respectively. Specifically, there is limitation on the amount of plantarflexion and dorsiflexion of the second due to the wedge shape of the metatarsal bases and the recession of the second metatarsal between the cuneiforms [5]. The central column is inherently stable and acts as the keystone of the midfoot with only 0.6 mm of sagittal plane motion [4,6]. There is additional function and stability in the frontal plane with increased reliability on the surrounding soft tissues [7]. The articular surfaces are larger dorsally than plantarly and the average sizes for the second and third TMT joints are recorded at 26.9 mm and 23.6 mm respectively [4,8]. The ligaments of the TMT joints have prudent variability and make up the thickenings of the synovial capsules with longitudinal and oblique fibers [4]. The dorsal ligaments average close to six to eight ligaments per column and are typically flat and conjoined, whereas the plantar ligaments average five ligaments total across all columns and are stronger than their dorsal counterpart [4]. Because of the resistance and stability of the plantar ligaments, soft tissue pathology occurs dorsally [4]. Interosseous ligaments provide increased stability and strength across each column of the TMT joints with the Lisfranc ligament being the most notable ligament that spans the medial aspect of the second metatarsal and the lateral aspect of the medial cuneiform [4]. Intermetatarsal ligaments offer further stability to the TMT joints; however the absence of the ligament between the first and second metatarsal predispose this area to injury [9]. Peicha et al. [5] reported in an anatomic study that there is a predisposition of injury for patients that have a shallower depth in the mortise between the medial and lateral cuneiform bones [5].

Surgical technique
Informed consent was obtained from the patient and/ or appropriate persons for publication, including any necessary photographs. A healthy, active 45 year-old female presented to clinic with a one year worsening history of midfoot pain. She sustained an isolated TMT joint sprain that was subsequently treated conservatively prior to our encounter with 4-6 weeks of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory medications, ice, and elevation.

Radiographs of the left foot (Figure 1) demonstrated a mild subtle osteophyte on the dorsal aspect of second TMT joint but otherwise unremarkable for any further osseous or chondrogenic pathology. An MRI (Figure 2) of the left foot was obtained which revealed the presence...
of subchondral cystic formation, osteophytes, chondral malacia, and subtle bursitis. An injection was provided to the central TMT joint capsule and provided both diagnostic and therapeutic effects for the patient and short-term symptomatic relief. After further conservative management including limited weight bearing in a Controlled-Ankle Motion (CAM) boot and physical therapy failed to provide lasting benefits, the patient consented to arthrodesis of her central (second and third) TMT joints.

The patient was brought to the operating suite and placed on the operating table in the supine position. An ipsilateral hip bump was utilized to rotate the patient out of external rotation for optimal approach. A well-padded calf tourniquet was utilized for hemostasis. After exanguinating the left lower extremity the tourniquet was inflated to 250 mmHg. A 5 cm linear incision was made between the patient's second and third TMT joints. Care was taken to lateralize and protect the intermediate dorsal cutaneous nerve and extensor hallucis brevis tendon. A #15 blade was further utilized to extend the margins of her central (second and third) TMT joints (Figure 3). Placement of the dorsal compression claw plate (Wright Medical Technology, Memphis TN) was performed initially to make sure no interference would occur, at the second and third TMT joint respectively, after placement of the dowel allograft plug (Community Tissue Services, Dayton OH).

Fluoroscopy confirmed central placement of a dorsal compression claw plate (Wright Medical Technology, Memphis TN) where 20 mm screws were inserted at a distance not to interfere with the TMT joints (Figure 4). The hardware was subsequently removed so a guidewire (Arthrex Bio-Tenodesis™ Instrument Tray, Naples FL) could be inserted directly in the center of the second TMT joint (Figure 5a). The preoperative radiographic width of the joint was 11 mm. An 8.5 × 18 mm dowel shaped allograft plug (Community Tissue Services, Dayton OH).
OH) was selected in order to preserve the medial and lateral flares of the joint around the allograft. Care was taken to remove any cartilage that may be part of the allograft plug. The selection of the dowel autograft determined our direct size selection the reamer. Under cool lavage (saline irrigation), a 8.5 mm cannulated trephine reamer (Arthrex Bio-Tenodesis™ Instrument Tray, Naples FL) was utilized to resect the second TMT joint, leaving a small amount of the plantar cortex intact (Figure 5b). Due to mild invagination of dorsal ligamentous tissue, a 4 mm round burr and rongeur were further utilized to clean around the dowel site. The dowel allograft plug (Community Tissue Services, Dayton OH) was aligned into the hole and press fit in until flush dorsally (Figure 6). The dorsal compression claw plate (Wright Medical Technology, Memphis TN) was re-applied, fixated, and the manual tensioner was then utilized to obtain compression (Figure 7). The same procedure as the second TMT joint was then performed for the third TMT joint.

The subcutaneous and skin closure was performed with absorbable and non-absorbable suture respectively. The patient was placed into a non-weight bearing short leg splint and seen in clinic two weeks after her index procedure for suture removal. Radiographs were obtained (Figure 8) and the patient was transitioned into a controlled ankle motion CAM boot for four weeks. The patient was seen at 6 weeks and progressive weight bearing (40 lbs) was initiated in the CAM boot for 2 weeks due to consolidation at the dowel arthrodesis sites and minimal pain over the incision. Physical therapy was also initiated for strength and function. At 8 weeks the patient was transitioned to full weight bearing in the CAM boot as she was pain free with the progressive weight bearing protocol. At 10 weeks the patient was healed radiographically and clinically then transitioned to regular shoes and low impact exercises (Figure 9). At her one year follow up she had no complaints of pain and has returned to all exercises and activities without any restrictions. The patients' radiographs demonstrate a consolidated midfoot fusion without hardware failure (Figure 10).
Pathology at the TMT joints play a role in continued foot fatigue and further compensation of gait laterally to avoid discomfort over the medial and central columns of the midfoot. Sequeula and further pathology to the central TMT joints are multifactorial. Predisposing risk factors for midfoot arthritis have been linked to obesity, female gender, gastrocnemius equinus and a long second metatarsal [10]. Direct force to the dorsum of the foot or indirect longitudinal force can be attributed to degenerative disease of this stable complex [11]. Motor vehicle accidents, industrial accidents, falls, and athletic activities make up a large majority of injuries to the midfoot, whereas 60-70% of degenerative arthritis and cartilage damage is related to later complications of the initial traumatic injury [12]. Impact loading and repetitive stress will continue to biomechanically affect the articular cartilage [13]. Continued degenerative mechanisms can develop when unmatched hardness/stiffness occurs between articular surfaces leading to abrasive wear [14]. Liu et al. [11] found that no variations in biomechanical properties and thickness exist between the second metatarsal and intercunieform articular surfaces. The authors conclude that the well-matched articular surfaces are not predisposed to degenerative changes [11]. Atraumatic TMT joint changes have been linked to pes planovalgus, hallux valgus, and rockerbottom (Charcot) deformities [15]. These are beyond the scope of this in situ TMT joint arthrodesis technique guide and involve deformity modification with corrective procedures throughout the foot and ankle.

Various techniques have been described for recalcitrant midfoot arthrodesis of the TMT joints to include cheilectomy, arthroplasty, and arthrodesis. Cheilectomy or exostectomy are viable options for patients with dorsal exostosis and painful prominence independent of deep joint pain [16]. Resection arthroplasty has been described by Gilheany and Amir [17] for older or obese patients with comorbidities that increase the risk of nonunion and/or cannot tolerate prolonged non weight bearing. Even though the authors describe a feasible technique for these high-risk patients, to resect the central TMT joints would render a relatively stable complex unstable. Furthermore, this would alter the foot both biomechanically and anatomically, leading to additional insufficiency and a more challenging reconstructive procedure should it be needed at a later date. Surgical intervention with midfoot arthrodesis continues to be the gold standard for TMT joint pathology. Several authors have described TMT joint arthrodesis without the need for bone graft; however there have been reports of greater nonunion risks when bone graft is not utilized [2] Withey et al. [16] describes a similar trephine dowel arthrodesis with calcaneal autogenous bone graft [16]. They prefer autogenous bone graft as opposed to bone substitutes for osteoconduction, osteoinduction, and osteogenesis properties [16]. The authors report the practicality of this technique and have not come across any nonunions (0%) [16]. Johnson et al. [18] performed a histological evaluation of cadaveric joints in the foot and found that a layer of calcified cartilage remains when utilizing curettage as opposed to blade resection [18]. This could lead to possible nonunion and/or delayed consolidation of the arthrodesis site. Withey et al. [16] relate that the trephine preparation for arthrodesis includes the subchondral plates to reduce the risk of nonunion [16]. Losing the small amount of motion available at the TMT joints will not have impact on function as the trephine dowel arthrodesis technique provides removal of painful micro motion at an already stiff pathologic joint. Furthermore, this limits the risk of iatrogenic transfer metatarsalgia as well as maintaining anatomic length [16].

Autogenous bone graft harvested from the iliac crest, the proximal tibia, the distal tibia, and the calcaneus have been described for augmentation [19]. Hyer et al. [20] have reported a higher amount of osteoblastic progenitor cells when performing bone marrow aspirate at different anatomic locations between the anterior iliac crest, the distal tibia, and the calcaneus [20]. The tibial crest is a more favored site biologically and more robust statistically even in the presence of comorbidities to include tobacco use and diabetes [20]. Despite the significant different of osteoblastic progenitor cells the donor site morbidity continues to be problematic and is associated with several complications to include protracted pain, delayed healing, numbness/neuritis, hemotoma, infection, and visceral injury [21,22] Other authors have described simple techniques for trephine calcaneal bone graft [16,23]. These offer the advantage of autogenous graft but they are not without postoperative complications, to include sural nerve injury and non-healing surgical wound over the lateral calcaneus. Feeney et al. [24] reported consequential risk of calcaneal fracture with their innovated calcaneal tricortical bone graft technique [24]. Though the possibility of calcaneal fracture is reduced with trephine grafting, compared to tricortical bone graft, there is still plausible morbidity with this technique. Daigre et al. [25] looked at postoperative pain levels associated with bone marrow aspirate (BMA) from the iliac crest, the distal tibia, and the calcaneus [25]. The authors found no difference between the distal tibia and the iliac crest; however, at 12 weeks the visual analogue scale (VAS) pain scores were significantly higher in the calcaneus [25]. Though the study focused on BMA with an 11-gauge Jamshidi needle and not a trephine, this further demonstrates donor site complications. Filiatrault and Banks [26] experienced successful arthrodesis in 15/16 patients utilizing allogenic freeze-dried bone...
graft for various trephine techniques across the foot and ankle [3]. The authors relate that this is a viable option for the trephine arthrodesis due to the high rate of fusion and the addition of no donor site morbidity [26]. Cizek et al. [27] relate difficulty assessing consolidation and bridging across the fusion site due to fixation overlap and partial joint margin preservation [27]. Fixation selection is surgeon dependent. There have been numerous fixation combinations described for TMT joint arthrodesis to include lag screws, static screws, neutralization plates, compression plates, as well as staples [28]. Even though the cancellous plug can be press fit into position the authors recommend low profile plates with or without locking options. The trephine dowel graft technique has been successfully reported in other joints in the foot and ankle; however, the authors deduce that the central TMT joints are easier anatomical areas for the trephine dowel arthrodesis [26].

Conclusion

In conclusion the dowel arthrodesis with the trephine reamers at the central TMT joints and further augmentation with cancellous bone plugs have provided successful treatment of midfoot arthritis. This technique guide is not without its inherent limitations. Larger retrospective or prospective studies are needed to provide more widespread result of the technique's success. This simple, straightforward procedure reduces soft tissue dissection, eliminates arthritic pain, prevents donor graft morbidity, and allows anatomical maintenance without disrupting adjacent anatomical components of the midfoot.
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