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Small infrarenal aortic diameter associated with lower-extremity peripheral artery disease in Chinese hypertensive adults

Jie Liu¹,², Xin Jia³, Senhao Jia³, Xianhui Qin³, Tao Zhang⁴, Lishun Liu⁵, Haibo Li⁵, Dan Rong³, Ziyi Zhou⁶, Yuxiang Song¹, Shangwei Zuó³, Chen Duan³, Zhongyin Wu³, Ren Wei³, Yangyang Ge³, Xian Wang⁶,⁷, Wei Kong⁶,⁷, Xiping Xu³, Raouf A. Khalil², Yong Huo⁸ & Wei Guo¹

Several studies suggest that infrarenal aortic diameter is associated with lower-extremity peripheral artery disease (LE-PAD). However, data regarding the associations between infrarenal aortic diameter and LE-PAD are limited, especially in large sample populations and Asian or Chinese populations. Our analysis included 17279 Chinese hypertensive adults comprising 6590 men and 10689 women with a mean age of 64.74 ± 7.41 years. Participants were selected from 22693 candidates from two large population-based cohort-studies. The primary noninvasive test for diagnosis of LE-PAD is the ankle-brachial index (ABI) at rest and typically an ABI ≤ 0.90 is used to define LE-PAD. The prevalence of LE-PAD was found to significantly decrease as the aortic diameter increased according to the tertile of the aortic diameter. LE-PAD was significantly more prevalent in the lowest tertile (OR = 1.58, 95% CI = 1.29–1.94, p < 0.001) and similarly prevalent in the highest tertile (OR = 0.92, 95% CI = 0.73–1.16, p = 0.49) when compared with the median tertile. No significant interactions between the aortic diameter and any of the stratified variables were found (all p > 0.05). In conclusion, Small aortic diameter (as opposed to large aortic diameter) is significantly associated with LE-PAD in Chinese hypertensive adults.

Traditionally, infrarenal aortic diameter has only been of clinical interest when examined in the context of abdominal aortic aneurysms (AAAs)³. However, studies²–⁴ have shown that the infrarenal aorta plays an important role in predicting the risk of cardiovascular events and mortality.

Several studies have further suggested that the infrarenal aortic diameter is associated with lower-extremity peripheral artery disease (LE-PAD)²,⁴ in patients with AAA as well as those with small or enlarged non-aneurysmal aortas. However, the available data regarding the associations between infrarenal aortic diameter and LE-PAD are limited, especially in large and Asian or Chinese populations.

The aim of this study was to assess the relationship between the infrarenal aortic diameter and LE-PAD in a large population of over 17000 Chinese hypertensive adult subjects.

Methods

Study participants. Prospective participants for this study were selected from candidates who had taken part in two large population-based studies that were conducted between May 19th, 2008, and August 24th, 2013. These studies investigated the clinical presentation and management of hypertension in China. Both studies used...
the same inclusion and exclusion criteria for participant selection as well as the same follow-up schedules. The first study was the China Stroke Primary Prevention Trial (CSPPT), (clinicaltrials.gov identifier: NCT00794885). Patients were eligible when they had hypertension and were adult men or women aged 45 to 75 years. Hypertension was defined as systolic blood pressure ≥140 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure ≥90 mmHg in seated resting position or were taking antihypertensive medication. The major exclusion criteria included history of stroke diagnosed by a physician, myocardial infarction (MI), heart failure, coronary revascularization, or congenital heart disease. Further details regarding inclusion and exclusion criteria, treatment assignment, and outcome measures of this trial have been previously described (http://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00794885). The second cohort of patients were enrolled from the same regions and followed the same protocol as the first, with the only exception being that subjects did not strictly need to be treated with the designated drugs used in the trial. At the final follow-up visit in these two large cohort studies, which took place in July and August 2013 in 32 communities in the Jiangsu and Anhui provinces of China, aortic diameter measurements were obtained with the aim of investigating abdominal aortic diameter and the prevalence of AAAs in a large hypertensive population in China.

The medical charts of 22693 candidates were screened and 2602 were excluded as ABI and BaPWV measurements were not available. Furthermore, 1253 candidates were excluded as measurement of the abdominal aorta by ultrasound was not provided, while information on covariant factors was not available for a further 1559 candidates. These included sex; age; body mass index (BMI); MTHFR C677T polymorphisms; heart rate; systolic blood pressure (SBP); diastolic blood pressure (DBP); cigarette smoking; folic acid supplementation; and laboratory results for total cholesterol (TC), triglycerides (TG), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), fasting glucose, creatinine, uric acid, and homocysteine. After screening, the data for 17279 participants was entered for further analysis. The flow chart of this screening process is presented in Fig. 1.

This study was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Human Subject Committee at the Biomedical Institute of Anhui Medical University, Hefei, China (FWA assurance number FW A00001263). Written and informed consent was obtained from each participant prior to data collection.

**Evaluation of the abdominal aorta.** The diameter of the infrarenal aorta was measured using ultrasound and the measurement was performed by experienced technicians using a professional ultrasound machine with a 3.5-MHz real-time sector scanner (SonoScape Technologies, Shenzhen, China). After a longitudinal scan of the aorta (extending from the level of the renal arteries to the aortic bifurcation), the diameter of the abdominal aorta was measured between two reference points. The first point was localized just 10 mm inferior to the level of
the renal arteries and recorded as the infrarenal aortic diameter, while the second point was just 10 mm superior to the aortic bifurcation and was recorded as the distal aortic diameter. If an obvious dilation of the aorta was identified between these two points, the diameter was measured at its largest point and recorded as the maximal diameter of the infrarenal aorta. AAA was the maximal diameter of the infrarenal aorta ≥30 mm.

In addition, inter and intra-observer measurement reliability was assessed. In order to determine reliability, 100 cases from the entire study population were randomly sampled with the aim of selecting approximately equal numbers of men and women. Inter- and intra-observer agreement was assessed using the methods proposed by Bland and Altman. No significant differences were observed either within (intra) or between (inter) observers.

**Ankle-brachial index and brachial-ankle pulse wave velocity measurements.** Participants were at rest ≥10 min before measurements were taken. The ankle-brachial index (ABI) and the brachial-ankle pulse wave velocity (BaPWV) were automatically measured with form PWV/ABI instruments (form PWV/ABI, BP-203RPE; Omron-Colin, Japan) as previously described. These measurements were taken by trained volunteers who were recruited from local medical colleges. The ABI for each leg was calculated by dividing the SBP obtained at the ankle level by the SBP of the brachial artery. The data regarding validity and reproducibility of this automatic device has been previously published. An ABI ≤ 0.90 in either leg was used to define LE-PAD.

**Data collection and laboratory tests.** A standard questionnaire was administered by trained staff in order to obtain information on demographic characteristics, personal and family medical history, and lifestyle risk factors (such as cigarette and alcohol consumption). The interview included questions related to the diagnosis and treatment of hypertension, diabetes, dyslipidemia, stroke, and cardiovascular events.

A venous blood sample was obtained from each participant after 12 to 15 hours of fasting. Serum or plasma samples were separated within 30 min and stored at −70 °C. Concentrations of fasting glucose, creatinine, uric acid, homocysteine and lipids (including total TC, HDL-C, and TG) were measured using automatic clinical analyzers (Beckman Coulter) at the core laboratory of the National Clinical Research Center for Kidney Disease at Nanfang Hospital, Guangzhou, China.

**Statistical analysis.** Data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation (SD) or as the median (range) for continuous variables and proportions (percentages) for categorical variables. Inter-group comparisons were performed using a two-sample t-test for continuous variables and a chi-squared test for categorical variables.

Logistic regression analysis was used to estimate the odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for risk of LE-PAD with increasing tertiles of distal aortic diameter, using the median tertile as the reference group. Results were adjusted for age, sex and BMI in model I. To investigate independent association, we further adjusted for heart rate, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, cigarette smoking, folic acid supplementation, level of total cholesterol, triglycerides, HDL-C, fasting glucose, creatinine, uric acid, homocysteine, and MTHFR C677T polymorphisms in model II. Analyses were stratified by sex, age, BMI, MTHFR C677T polymorphisms, cigarette smoking, folic acid supplementation in order to examine the effect of these factors on the above associations. Tests for effect modification by pre-specified subgroups used interaction terms between subgroup indicators and tertiles of the aortic diameter by the likelihood ratio test. We further ran a sensitive analysis to exclude participants with an AAA or ABI ≥ 1.40 and replaced the distal aortic diameter with either the maximal diameter of the infrarenal aorta or the infrarenal aortic diameter, in order to assess whether or not the above associations would be modified. Tests for trends were computed by modeling the medians of the aortic diameter tertiles as continuous variables. A P-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

All analyses were performed using the statistical software packages R (http://www.R-project.org, The R Foundation) and EmpowerStats (www.empowerstats.com, X&Y solutions, Inc., Boston, MA). A two-sided significance level of 0.05 was used to evaluate statistical significance.

**Results**

**Study population characteristics.** The descriptive characteristics of the 17279 participants included in our analysis are presented in Table 1 and are reported as the total study sample as well as by the presence of LE-PAD (607 participants [3.51%]). The study group was comprised of 6590 men and 10689 women with a mean age of 64.74 ± 7.41 years. When compared to non-LE-PAD participants, the participants in the LE-PAD group were significant older and the following variables were found to be significantly higher in the LE-PAD group: body mass index (BMI), heart rate; proportion of current smokers; levels of TC, triglycerides, fasting glucose, creatinine, uric acid, and homocysteine; and brachial-ankle pulse wave velocity (all p < 0.01). However, the LE-PAD group did have significantly lower diastolic blood pressure (DBP), levels of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), as well as a smaller distal aortic diameter (all p < 0.001).

**Proportion of LE-PAD according to the tertile of the distal aortic diameter.** The proportion of LE-PAD according to the tertile of the distal aortic diameter in both the overall and subgroups is presented in Table 2. The median (range) distal aortic diameter for men was 11.90 (4.70–12.90) mm in the lowest tertile, 13.80 (13.00–14.55) mm in the median tertile, and 15.60 (14.60–51.30) mm in the highest tertile. The median (range) distal aortic diameter for women was 10.60 (5.20–11.50) mm in the lowest tertile, 12.30 (11.60–13.15) mm in the median tertile, and 15.60 (14.60–51.30) mm in the highest tertile. In the overall population, the proportion of LE-PAD significantly decreased as the distal aortic diameter increased according to the tertile of the aortic diameter (lowest tertile vs. median tertile vs. highest tertile: 5.20% vs. 2.80% vs. 2.60%, respectively. p < 0.001, p value for trend < 0.0001). In the subgroups for sex, age, BMI, MTHFR C677T genotype, cigarette smoking and folic acid supplementation, the trend for the proportion of LE-PAD was a similar and significant decrease according to the tertile of the distal aortic diameter.
Table 1. Characteristics of the study population. BMI, Body mass index; LE-PAD, lower-extremity peripheral artery disease; CSPPT, China Stroke Primary Prevention Trial; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; ABI, ankle–brachial index; BaPWV, brachial-ankle pulse wave velocity.

| Characteristics                           | Overall n = 17279 | LE-PAD group n = 607 | Control group n = 16683 | P-value |
|------------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| Male                                     | 6590 (38.10%)     | 222 (36.60%)         | 6368 (38.20%)           | 0.42    |
| Age, yr                                  | 64.74 ± 7.41      | 68.29 ± 7.77         | 64.61 ± 7.36            | <0.001  |
| BMI, kg/m²                                | 24.84 ± 3.85      | 25.72 ± 4.73         | 24.80 ± 3.81            | <0.001  |
| MTHFR C677T polymorphisms                |                   |                      |                         | 0.36    |
| CC                                       | 4834 (28.00%)     | 163 (26.90%)         | 4671 (28.00%)           |         |
| CT                                       | 8427 (48.80%)     | 313 (51.60%)         | 8114 (48.70%)           |         |
| TT                                       | 4018 (23.30%)     | 131 (21.60%)         | 3887 (23.30%)           |         |
| Heart rate, beats/min                    | 76.88 ± 11.49     | 80.92 ± 13.96        | 76.73 ± 11.36           | <0.001  |
| Systolic blood pressure, mmHg            | 136.87 ± 17.98    | 138.13 ± 22.20       | 136.83 ± 17.80          | 0.08    |
| Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg           | 82.07 ± 11.03     | 79.47 ± 12.01        | 82.17 ± 10.98           | <0.001  |
| Cigarette smoking                        |                   |                      |                         | 0.002   |
| No                                       |                   |                      |                         |         |
| Yes                                      | 10488 (60.70%)    | 372 (61.30%)         | 10116 (60.70%)          |         |
| Folic acid supplementation               |                   |                      |                         | 0.76    |
| Laboratory results                       |                   |                      |                         |         |
| Total cholesterol, mmol/L                | 5.28 ± 1.09       | 5.58 ± 1.14          | 5.27 ± 1.08             | <0.001  |
| Triglycerides, mmol/L                    | 1.78 ± 1.39       | 2.10 ± 1.46          | 1.76 ± 1.39             | <0.001  |
| HDL-C, mmol/L                            | 1.27 ± 0.31       | 1.21 ± 0.27          | 1.28 ± 0.31             | <0.001  |
| Fasting glucose, mmol/L                  | 6.25 ± 1.99       | 6.96 ± 3.11          | 6.23 ± 1.93             | <0.001  |
| Creatinine, μmol/L                       | 68.41 ± 26.46     | 72.86 ± 27.08        | 68.25 ± 26.42           | <0.001  |
| Uric acid, mmol/L                        | 328.62 ± 89.89    | 352.02 ± 96.87       | 327.77 ± 89.52          | <0.001  |
| Homocysteine, μmol/L                     | 13.61 ± 7.18      | 15.37 ± 7.95         | 13.55 ± 7.14            | <0.001  |
| ABI                                       | 1.07 ± 0.10       | 0.77 ± 0.18          | 1.08 ± 0.07             | <0.001  |
| BaPWV, cm/s                               | 1732.80 ± 408.47  | 1805.34 ± 593.39     | 1730.16 ± 399.91        | <0.001  |
| Distal aortic diameter, mm               | 12.98 ± 2.04      | 13.31 ± 2.14         | 13.00 ± 2.03            | <0.001  |

Relationship between the distal aortic diameter and LE-PAD. The ORs reflecting the strength of the association between the aortic diameter and LE-PAD in various multivariable logistic regression models for overall participants are presented in Fig. 2 and Table S1 in Supplement 1. LE-PAD was more prevalent in the lowest tertile when compared with the median tertile, the crude OR was 1.89 (95% CI, 1.55–2.29, p < 0.001) and ORs were similar in models I and II (model I, OR = 1.86, 95% CI, 1.53–2.26, p < 0.001; model II, OR = 1.58, 95% CI, 1.29–1.94, p < 0.001). When compared with that of the median tertile, LE-PAD was similarly prevalent in the highest tertile, the crude OR was 0.91 (95% CI, 0.72–1.13, p = 0.38), and ORs were similar in models I and II (model I, OR = 0.87, 95% CI, 0.69–1.09, p = 0.22; model II, OR = 0.92, 95% CI, 0.73–1.16, p = 0.49).

Subgroup analysis of the association between the aortic diameter and LE-PAD in a multivariate logistic regression model is presented in Fig. 3 and Table S2 in Supplement 1. Compared with that of the median tertile, the lowest tertile increased the risk for LE-PAD in all subgroups. Compared with that of the median tertile, the highest tertile did not significantly increase or decrease the risk for LE-PAD in all subgroups.

No significant interactions were found between aortic diameter and any of the stratified variables in relation to LE-PAD; including sex, age, BMI, MTHFR C677T polymorphisms, cigarette smoking, and folic acid supplementation (Table S2 in Supplement 1, p > 0.05).

The results of the sensitive analysis to exclude the participants with an AAA or ABI ≥ 1.40 showed that the association between the aortic diameter and LE-PAD was not changed. Furthermore, when the distal aortic diameter was replaced with either the maximal diameter of the infrarenal aorta or the infrarenal aortic diameter, the association between the aortic diameter and LE-PAD was not altered (data not shown).

Discussion
In this observational study of 17279 Chinese hypertensive adults, the prevalence of LE-PAD was found to be 3.51% and the proportion of LE-PAD significantly decreased as the aortic diameter increased according to the tertile of the aortic diameter. LE-PAD was significantly more prevalent in the lowest tertile and similarly prevalent in the highest tertile when compared with the median tertile. No significant interactions were found between the aortic diameter and any of the stratified variables. Our findings suggest that the small aortic diameter (as opposed to the large aortic diameter) is associated with LE-PAD in Chinese hypertensive adults.
Several studies have previously demonstrated an association between the aortic diameter and PAD. A study of 54 elderly people was the first to observe a negative relationship between the abdominal aortic diameter and the calf: brachial systolic pressure ratio, suggesting that a smaller aortic diameter was associated with a higher prevalence of PAD. In a cross-sectional study of 1572 patients aged between 18 and 79 years with risk factors of or clinically manifested vascular disease, Bosch et al. found that both small and large aortic diameters were associated with PAD. When compared with patients with a normal aortic diameter (median tertile), PAD was twice as prevalent in patients at both the lowest and highest tertiles. The Health in Men Study further showed a J-shaped relationship between aortic diameter and prevalent claudication. For prevalent claudication, with 19 to 22.9 mm used as the reference range, the adjusted OR was 1.24 (95% CI, 0.95–1.62), 1.44 (95% CI, 1.18–1.75), and 1.53 (95% CI, 1.17–2.00) with aortic diameter.

| Table 2. Proportion of LE-PAD according to tertile of distal aortic diameter in overall group and subgroups. LE-PAD, lower-extremity peripheral artery disease. CSPPT, China Stroke Primary Prevention Trial; Distal Aortic Diameter, median (range), mm. Men: lowest tertile, 11.90 (4.70–12.90) mm; median tertile, 13.80 (13.00–14.55) mm; highest tertile, 15.60 (14.60–51.30) mm. Women: lowest tertile, 10.60 (5.20–11.50) mm; median tertile, 12.30 (11.60–13.15) mm; highest tertile, 14.10 (13.20–30.50) mm. |

| | Lowest tertile | Median tertile | Highest tertile | P-value | P-value for trend |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Overall | 288 (5.20%) | 164 (2.80%) | 155 (2.60%) | <0.001 | <0.0001 |
| Sex | | | | | |
| male | 112 (5.10%) | 54 (2.60%) | 56 (2.40%) | <0.001 | <0.0001 |
| female | 176 (5.30%) | 110 (3.00%) | 99 (2.70%) | <0.001 | <0.0001 |
| Age, yr | | | | | |
| <65 | 78 (2.90%) | 62 (2.10%) | 63 (2.00%) | 0.03 | <0.0001 |
| ≥65 | 210 (7.40%) | 102 (3.70%) | 92 (3.30%) | <0.001 | <0.0001 |
| Body mass index, kg/m2 | | | | | |
| <25 | 161 (5.10%) | 70 (2.20%) | 59 (2.00%) | <0.001 | <0.0001 |
| ≥25 | 127 (5.40%) | 94 (3.60%) | 96 (3.10%) | <0.001 | <0.0001 |
| MTHFR C677T polymorphisms | | | | | |
| CC | 83 (5.30%) | 38 (2.40%) | 42 (2.50%) | <0.001 | <0.0001 |
| CT | 149 (5.50%) | 86 (3.00%) | 78 (2.70%) | <0.001 | <0.0001 |
| TT | 56 (4.40%) | 40 (3.00%) | 35 (2.50%) | <0.001 | <0.0001 |
| Cigarette smoking | | | | | |
| Never | 174 (4.80%) | 112 (2.70%) | 102 (2.50%) | <0.001 | <0.0001 |
| Former | 35 (5.80%) | 13 (2.30%) | 12 (1.70%) | <0.001 | <0.0001 |
| Current | 79 (6.20%) | 39 (3.50%) | 41 (3.50%) | 0.003 | <0.0001 |
| Folic acid supplementation | | | | | |
| No | 179 (5.30%) | 106 (3.00%) | 87 (2.40%) | <0.001 | <0.0001 |
| Yes | 109 (5.10%) | 58 (2.60%) | 68 (2.80%) | <0.001 | <0.0001 |

Figure 2. Forrest plot for LE-PAD in relation to tertiles of the aortic diameter in the whole population. CI, confidence interval. LE-PAD, lower-extremity peripheral artery disease. Crude, not adjusted; Model I adjusted for sex, age and body mass index; Model II adjusted for sex, age, body mass index, heart rate, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, cigarette smoking, folic acid supplementation, level of total cholesterol, triglycerides, HDL-C, fasting glucose, creatinine, uric acid, homocysteine, and MTHFR C677T polymorphisms.
diameters of <19 mm, 23 to 29.9 mm, and ≥30 mm, respectively. Moreover, both the Cardiovascular Health Study\(^2\) and the Health in Men Study\(^4\) showed that increased aortic diameter predicted incident intermittent claudication.

Firstly, the results of the present study confirm those of previous studies\(^4\)–\(^6\) as we found that a smaller aortic diameter was associated with a higher prevalence of PAD in Chinese hypertensive adults. In the present study, LE-PAD was more prevalent in the lowest tertile when compared with the median and the adjusted OR was 1.58 (95% CI, 1.29–1.94). However, the present study differed from previous studies\(^2\),\(^4\)–\(^6\) in that LE-PAD was found to be similarly prevalent in the highest tertile when compared with the median. Secondly, the adjusted OR was 0.92 (95% CI, 0.73–1.16), suggesting that a large aortic diameter is not associated with LE-PAD. This variation in results compared to previous studies\(^2\),\(^4\)–\(^6\) could be attributed to the influence of racial differences.

The investigation of infrarenal aortic diameter has traditionally been pursued only in the context of abdominal aortic aneurysms (AAAs)\(^1\),\(^17\). While different suggestions for defining AAA do exist\(^8\)–\(^18\)–\(^23\), the definition of AAA as an infrarenal aortic diameter \(≥3\) cm is commonly accepted\(^1\). Furthermore, several studies\(^8\)–\(^18\) have shown that the infrarenal aorta plays an important role in the prediction of cardiovascular and mortality risk in patients with AAA as well as those with small or enlarged non-aneurysmal aortas. Surprisingly, there is as yet no consensus or guidelines referring to the normal values of infrarenal aortic diameters in a Western population\(^1\),\(^17\),\(^18\),\(^24\). Similarly, this also applies to Chinese and Asian populations\(^25\),\(^26\), suggesting that more studies are needed in order to accurately determine the normal values. In an effort to avoid any problems related to the definition of normal values for infrarenal aortic diameters, the participants’ aortic diameters were classified into tertiles according to sex, as has been done in a previous study\(^6\). However, we defined LE-PAD as ABI \(≤0.9\) instead of using claudication, as this is more sensitive for the diagnosis of LE-PAD\(^16\) and as such differed from the methodologies employed by previous studies\(^2\),\(^4\)–\(^6\). The sensitive analysis did not alter our findings, thus validating the stability and reliability of our results.

Considering that the present study involved a well-characterized, community-based design with a large population sample of 17,279 hypertensive Chinese adults; the outcomes presented here are reliable and provide credible evidence of the association between abdominal aortic diameter and LE-PAD. Future prospective studies

| Subgroups                        | Number of participants n (%) | Odds Ratio (95%CI) | P-value | P-value for interaction |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|---------|-------------------------|
| **Sex**                          |                              |                   |         |                         |
| Male                             | 6590 (38.1%)                 |                   |         |                         |
| F vs. S                          |                              | 1.67 (1.19, 2.34) | 0.003   | 0.763                   |
| T vs. S                          | 10689 (61.9%)                |                   | 0.96 (0.65, 1.41) | 0.82 |
| Female                           |                              |                   | 1.55 (1.21, 1.99) | <0.001 |
| T vs. S                          | 8834 (51.2%)                 | 1.08 (0.67, 1.18) | 0.4     |                         |
| **Age, years**                   |                              |                   |         |                         |
| <65                               | 9251 (53.0%)                 |                   | 1.83 (1.36, 2.46) | <0.001 |
| F vs. S                          | T vs. S                      | 0.97 (0.68, 1.38) | 0.85    |                         |
| >65                               | 8028 (46.5%)                 |                   | 1.33 (1.01, 1.77) | 0.04 |
| F vs. S                          | T vs. S                      | 0.86 (0.64, 1.16) | 0.33    |                         |
| **BMI, kg/m²**                   |                              |                   |         |                         |
| <25                               | 4834 (28.0%)                 |                   | 1.92 (1.28, 2.86) | 0.002 |
| F vs. S                          | T vs. S                      | 1.10 (0.70, 1.73) | 0.89    |                         |
| ≥25                               | 8427 (48.8%)                 |                   | 1.55 (1.17, 2.04) | 0.002 |
| F vs. S                          | T vs. S                      | 0.88 (0.64, 1.21) | 0.44    |                         |
| **MTHFR C677T polymorphisms**    |                              |                   |         |                         |
| CC                               | 4834 (28.0%)                 |                   | 1.92 (1.28, 2.86) | 0.002 |
| F vs. S                          | T vs. S                      | 1.10 (0.70, 1.73) | 0.89    |                         |
| CT                               | 4018 (23.2%)                 |                   | 1.32 (0.86, 2.03) | 0.2   |
| F vs. S                          | T vs. S                      | 0.82 (0.51, 1.31) | 0.41    |                         |
| TT                               | 4018 (23.2%)                 |                   | 1.32 (0.86, 2.03) | 0.2   |
| F vs. S                          | T vs. S                      | 0.82 (0.51, 1.31) | 0.41    |                         |
| **Smoking**                      |                              |                   |         |                         |
| Never                            | 11681 (68.7%)                |                   | 1.55 (1.21, 2.00) | <0.001 |
| F vs. S                          | T vs. S                      | 0.90 (0.68, 1.19) | 0.46    |                         |
| Former                           | 1877 (10.9%)                 |                   | 2.38 (1.17, 4.47) | 0.02  |
| F vs. S                          | T vs. S                      | 0.99 (0.43, 2.25) | 0.98    |                         |
| Current                          | 3541 (20.5%)                 |                   | 1.56 (1.04, 2.34) | 0.03  |
| F vs. S                          | T vs. S                      | 0.97 (0.62, 1.54) | 0.91    |                         |
| **Folic acid supplementation**   |                              |                   |         |                         |
| No                               | 10488 (60.70%)               |                   | 1.55 (1.21, 2.00) | <0.001 |
| F vs. S                          | T vs. S                      | 0.83 (0.62, 1.11) | 0.21    |                         |
| Yes                              | 6791 (39.30%)                |                   | 1.65 (1.18, 2.31) | 0.003 |
| F vs. S                          | T vs. S                      | 1.09 (0.79, 1.58) | 0.62    |                         |

Figure 3. Forrest plot for LE-PAD in relation to tertiles of the aortic diameter according to baseline characteristics. LE-PAD, lower-extremity peripheral artery disease; BMI, Body mass index. F vs. S, First vs. second tertile of aortic diameter. T vs. S, Third vs. second tertile of aortic diameter. CI, confidence interval. The regression analysis was adjusted for sex, age, body mass index, heart rate, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, cigarette smoking, folic acid supplementation, level of total cholesterol, triglycerides, HDL-C, fasting glucose, creatinine, uric acid, homocysteine, and MTHFR C677T polymorphisms.
should aim to definitively evaluate the association between abdominal aortic diameter and the incidence and development of LE-PAD. Interpretation and application of our results should however take into consideration this study’s limitations. Firstly, this is a cross-sectional study of a defined hypertensive population and is not representative of the general population. Therefore, the association between abdominal aortic diameter and LE-PAD may not be causal. A prospective study in the general population is required to confirm the observed association. Secondly, the low prevalence of LE-PAD must be taken into account, as this may be indicative of differing population-specific characteristics and could lead to bias. Thirdly, the definition of LE-PAD is based only on ABI and not on claudication, which might lead to the absence of an association between the highest tertile of aortic diameter with LE-PAD. Therefore, further study is necessary to confirm the association.

In conclusion, small aortic diameter (as opposed to large aortic diameter) is significantly associated with LE-PAD in Chinese hypertensive adults. This association is consistent in both sexes as well as subgroups. Future, prospective studies are needed to confirm these findings and further explore the underlying mechanism in different populations.
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