Diabetes, Insulin Resistance and Atherosclerosis Surrogates in Patients With Coronary Atherosclerosis
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ABSTRACT

Background and Objectives: Undiagnosed diabetes mellitus (DM) or impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) is not uncommon in patients with coronary atherosclerosis and is known to be associated with abnormal scores for atherosclerosis surrogates. We sought to determine the prevalence of undiagnosed DM or IGT, and the association between insulin resistance (IR) and atherosclerosis surrogates in patients with coronary atherosclerosis. Subjects and Methods: The study population consisted of 187 consecutive patients with angiographically proven coronary atherosclerosis (mean: 61 years old, 94 males). We measured carotid intima-media thickness (IMT) and flow-mediated brachial artery dilatation (FMD). We also did oral glucose tolerance tests (OGTT), quantitative insulin-sensitivity check indexes (QUICKI) and homeostasis model assessment-IR (HOMA-IR). Results: Abnormal OGTT was found in 164 patients (87.7%), even though there were only 63 known cases of DM (33.7%). There were 58 patients (31%) with newly diagnosed IGT and 43 patients (23%) with newly diagnosed DM. There were 71 patients (38%) who had IR (defined as measured HOMA-IR ≥ 3.0). HOMA-IR showed a positive correlation with body mass index (BMI) (r=0.275, p<0.001) and triglycerides (r=0.2, p=0.01), whereas QUICKI had a negative correlation with BMI (r=−0.26, p<0.001), total cholesterol (r=−0.15, p=0.04), triglycerides (r=−0.21, p=0.004) and low-density lipoprotein-cholesterol (LDL-C) (r=−0.17, p=0.02). HOMA-IR and QUICKI were not significantly correlated with IMT or FMD. Conclusion: This study suggests that there is a high incidence of undiagnosed DM and IGT, but atherosclerosis surrogates are not associated with IR in patients with coronary atherosclerosis. (Korean Circ J 2010;40:62-67)
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suggested that IR can be defined as a HOMA-IR score of ≥ 3.0-3.5 in Korean patients, and showed that as HOMA-IR was increased, risk factors for metabolic syndrome were also increased. However, Adler et al. reported that IR and insulin sensitivity were associated with risk factors of CVD including age, body mass index (BMI), triglycerides, cholesterol, and systolic blood pressure, but not with DM, an independent predictor of CVD in patients.

On the other hand, carotid intima-media thickness (CIMT) and vascular endothelial function, which have frequently been used as a surrogate marker of atherosclerosis, have also been reported to be independent risk factors for CVD. These surrogates are associated with risk factors of CVD including hypertension, smoking, DM and dyslipidemia.

Therefore, we sought to determine, in patients with coronary atherosclerosis, the prevalence of impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) and DM, and IR and insulin sensitivity. The second purpose of this study was to assess the relationship between (a) CIMT and (b) endothelial function, IR and insulin sensitivity in this study population.

**Subjects and Methods**

**Subjects**

Study subjects consisted of 187 consecutive patients who underwent coronary angiography (CAG) at Konyang University Hospital from September 2003 to May 2004.

CAD was defined by stenosis ≥ 50% of the diameter of the lumen of a major epicardial coronary artery (left anterior descending artery, left circumflex artery or right coronary artery). Based on this definition, 88 patients (47.9%) had CAD and 99 patients (52.9%) had mild stenosis (<50%) (Table 1). Coronary risk factors including hypertension and smoking and clinical parameters including blood pressure, height and body weight were evaluated in all patients. We also measured cholesterol, triglyceride, low-density lipoprotein-cholesterol (LDL-C), high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol (HDL-C), high sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP), uric acid and homocysteine.

**Oral glucose tolerance test, insulin resistance and insulin sensitivity**

For the oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT), fasting plasma glucose, insulin and the concentration of C-peptide were measured after the patient had been at least 8 hours in a fasting state. Plasma glucose level was measured again at 60 and 120 minutes after intake of 75 g of glucose. Based on the results of the OGTT, new DM was defined as a fasting blood sugar (FBS) level ≥ 126 mg/dL or a plasma glucose level (120 minutes) ≥ 200 mg/dL. IGT was defined at 120 minutes as a plasma glucose level >140 mg/dL but <200 mg/dL. Patients who were receiving treatment for underlying DM were defined as “known DM”.

Calculation of IR was done based on the concentrations of FBS and insulin. HOMA-IR was calculated based on the formula reported by Matthews et al. as shown below:

\[
\text{HOMA-IR} = \frac{\text{Fasting serum insulin value (in mU/L)} \times \text{Fasting glucose value (in mg/dL)}}{405}
\]

Table 1. Clinical characteristics of patients

| Variables                      | Values |
|--------------------------------|--------|
| Number (n)                     | 187    |
| Age (years)                    | 61 ± 9.6 |
| Male sex, n (%)                | 94 (63.9) |
| Medication (n)                 |        |
| Aspirin                        | 48     |
| Clopidogrel                    | 20     |
| β-blocker                      | 20     |
| ACE inhibitor                  | 7      |
| Statin                         | 13     |
| Stable angina, n (%)           | 166 (88.8) |
| Acute coronary syndrome, n (%) | 21 (11.2) |
| CAD, n (%)                     |        |
| Minimal                        | 99 (52.9) |
| 1 vessel disease               | 45 (24.1) |
| 2 vessels disease              | 28 (15) |
| 3 vessels disease              | 15 (8)  |
| Treatment, n (%)               |        |
| Medication                     | 120 (64.2) |
| PCI                            | 67 (35.8) |
| BMI (kg/m²)                    | 24.5 ± 3.0 |
| Hypertension, n (%)            | 86 (46) |
| Dyslipidemia, n (%)            | 55 (29.4) |
| Diabetes, n (%)                | 106 (56.7) |
| Tobacco use, n (%)             | 48 (25.7) |
| Old MI, n (%)                  | 35 (18.7) |
| LV ejection fraction (%)       | 64.7 ± 10.3 |
| Total cholesterol (mg/dL)      | 176.3 ± 42 |
| Triglyceride (mg/dL)           | 155.5 ± 83 |
| LDL-C (mg/dL)                  | 108 ± 34 |
| HDL-C (mg/dL)                  | 40.1 ± 9.9 |
| Fasting blood glucose (mg/dL)  | 117.1 ± 39.6 |
| Homocysteine (mg/dL)           | 10.3 ± 4.2 |
| hs-CRP (mg/dL)                 | 0.284 ± 0.32 |
| Uric acid (mg/dL)              | 5.0 ± 1.6 |
| Carotid IMT (mm)               | 0.91 ± 0.2 |
| FMD (%)                        | 4.8 ± 2.0 |

ACE: angiotensin converting enzyme; CAD: coronary artery disease; PCI: percutaneous coronary intervention; BMI: body mass index; MI: myocardial infarction; LV: left ventricular; LDL-C: low-density lipoprotein-cholesterol; HDL-C: high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol; hs-CRP: high sensitivity C-reactive protein; IMT: intima-media thickness; FMD: flow-mediated dilatation.
Fasting plasma glucose (in mg/dL)/405

For the measurement of insulin sensitivity, the QUICKI index was calculated based on the methods reported by Katz et al. as shown below:

\[ \text{QUICKI} = \frac{1}{\log(\text{fasting insulin in mU/l}) + \log(\text{fasting plasma glucose in mg/dL})} \]

In the current study, IR was defined as a HOMA-IR score ≥ 3.0 based on previous studies of Korean patients.

Coronary angiography

CAG was performed using a standard technique through the right femoral or radial artery. CAG was analyzed using online-quantitative coronary angiographic analysis (Philips, Integris c5000, Amsterdam, Netherlands). Significant stenosis was defined as ≥ 50% diameter stenosis.

Measurement of endothelial function and carotid intima-media thickness

Flow-mediated brachial artery dilatation (FMD) was measured using high-resolution ultrasound (Hewlett-Packard Sonos 5500, Palo Alto, CA, USA) with an 11-3L (3-11 MHz) probe for evaluation of endothelial function. FMD was measured using methods that were proposed by Celermajer et al. in 1992. At first, the brachial artery was defined by the optimal gain control of the high resolution ultrasound three to five centimeters above the elbow. Then, the media to media distance of the brachial artery was measured at end diastole and the time velocity integral was obtained in the center of the brachial artery by Doppler ultrasound. The site at which the probe was positioned was marked and the landmark of the ultrasound image including branch and plaque was used for measurement of the same brachial artery site during the hyperemic phase. After measuring the brachial artery diameter and time velocity integral, the cuff of the sphygmomanometer was inflated up to 60 mmHg after the flow of the brachial artery had disappeared from the forearm for 5 minutes. Hyperemic blood flow was induced after decompression of the blood pressure cuff. The media-to-media distance and the time velocity integral at the same brachial artery site was measured 1 minute after decompression of cuff. The FMD was expressed as % change in the diameter of the hyperemic brachial artery relative to the baseline brachial artery diameter.

CIMT was also measured using high-resolution ultrasonography with the same product specifications, during which patients were placed in the supine position with their head extended into a dark room where the temperature and humidity were adjusted and the left common carotid artery was measured. The CIMT was measured in a 10 mm long segment just proximal to the carotid bulb in the common carotid artery and defined as the distance from the leading edge of the luminal-intima interface to the leading edge of the media-adventitia interface of the far wall. M’ATH software (version 2.01, METRIS Co., Argenteuil, France) was used to determine accuracy and repeatability. M’ATH software measures CIMT using a semi-automatic method. This digitalized measurement method has been reported to be greater than 4 fold more accurate than the conventional type of passive-mode measurement. To obtain optimal images, the depth and gain of ultrasonography were controlled. Depth control of the ultrasonography was fixed in a consistent manner for the purpose of calibration. An ultrasonographic gain was controlled to obtain images indicating the optimal intima, media and adventitia during the test. After optimal images were obtained, the imaging data were stored in a computer in which M’ATH software was installed. M’ATH software automatically calculates the mean for IMT. Testers selected the areas where the images were clearly visible at a 1 cm proximal location from the carotid bulb or they selected the interval whose length was approximately 1 cm with a quality index ≥ 0.60 to measured the IMT using M’ATH software.

Statistical analysis

A comparison of data was made using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) statistics program (version 12.0, USA). Then, the characteristics of patients were expressed as mean ± SD. An analysis of the effects of each risk factor on atherosclerosis indicators was done using Pearson correlation analysis. Correlations between the tertiles of HOMA-IR/QUICKI and CIMT and endothelium-dependent vasodilatatory responses were analyzed using ANOVA. A p of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Clinical characteristics

Study subjects consisted of 187 patients (mean: 61.3 ± 6.9 year-old, male gender 50.3% (n=94)) (Table 1). The prevalence of hypertension, DM, dyslipidemia and smoking was, respectively, 46% (n=86), 56.7% (n=106), 29.4% (n=55) and 25.7% (n=48). CAG showed that 88 patients (47.1%) had significant CAD. In these patients, the prevalence of single vessel disease was 24.1% (n=45), two vessel disease 15% (n=28) and three vessel disease 8% (n=15). The reason that CAG was done included stable angina in 166 patients (88.8%) and acute coronary syndrome (ACS) in 21 patients (11.2%). Mean BMI was 24.5 ± 3.0 kg/m² and left ventricular ejection fraction measured by transthoracic echocardiography was 64.7 ± 10.3%. Laboratory tests showed a total cholesterol of 176.3 ± 42.0 mg/dL, a triglyceride level of 155.5 ± 83.2 mg/dL, an LDL-C of 108.0 ± 34.1 mg/dL, an HDL-C of 40.1 ± 9.9 mg/dL, and an FBS
The prevalence of diabetes mellitus

Among all patients, DM was already known in 33.7% (n=63), new DM was found in 23% (n=43) and IGT was found in 31% (n=58). Of patients who already had DM, 16 were receiving insulin therapy and 47 were receiving oral anti-hyperglycemic agents. FBS and fasting insulin levels were 93.2±8.2 mg/dL and 8.9±2.1 IU/L, respectively, in non-DM patients, were 101.3±11.3 mg/dL and 10.2±4.1 IU/L in IGT patients, were 123.3±47.4 mg/dL and 11.1±7.3 IU/L in new DM patients, and were 138.2±40.1 mg/dL and 10.3±5.4 IU/L in known DM patients. The prevalence of DM did not have any difference between stable angina group and ACS group (46.3% vs. 46.1%) (p=ns). The prevalence of DM was 43.5% (n=22) in patients with single vessel disease, 58.2% (n=25) in patients with multi-vessel disease and 43.5% (n=42) in patients without significant stenosis. The prevalence of DM was increased in patients with multi-vessel disease, but this change was not statistically significant.

Table 2. Prevalence of insulin resistance and mean HOMA-IR by glucose status

|              | IR, n (%) | Median & IQR |
|--------------|-----------|--------------|
| Normal       | 5 (21)    | 1.80, 1.18   |
| Insulin glucose tolerance | 20 (34) | 2.20, 1.41 |
| New DM       | 19 (44)   | 2.96, 2.98   |
| Known DM     | 27 (42)   | 3.02, 2.21   |

Table 3. Comparison of Pearson correlation coefficients between insulin resistance, atherosclerosis surrogate and each coronary artery disease risk factors

| FMD | CIMT | HOMA-IR | QUICKI |
|-----|------|---------|--------|
|     | r    | p      | r      | p     | r    | p     | r    | p    |
| FMD | 0.14 | 0.1    | 0.14   | 0.1    | 0.07 | 0.41  | -0.1 | 0.41 |
| IMT | 0.70 | 0.41   | 0.13   | 0.13   | -0.8 | 0.001 | -0.8 | 0.001 |
| HOMA-IR | -0.1 | 0.13 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.28 | 0.0001 | -0.26 | 0.0004 |
| QUICKI | 0.12 | 0.16 | 0.19 | 0.02 | 0.13 | 0.07 | -0.15 | 0.04 |
| Age | 0.04 | 0.61 | 0.02 | 0.83 | 0.2 | 0.01 | -0.21 | 0.004 |
| BMI | -0.1 | 0.5 | -0.14 | 0.11 | -0.01 | 0.85 | 0.02 | 0.84 |
| TC | 0.16 | 0.06 | 0.26 | 0.002 | 0.14 | 0.06 | -0.17 | 0.02 |
| TG | -0.1 | 0.3 | 0.03 | 0.77 | 0.02 | 0.75 | 0.1 | 0.18 |
| HDL-C | -0.2 | 0.01 | 0.001 | 0.99 | -0.07 | 0.37 | 0.08 | 0.26 |
| LDL-C | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.0001 | 0.97 | 0.08 | 0.29 | -0.06 | 0.41 |

FMD: flow mediated dilatation, CIMT: carotid intima-media thickness, HOMA-IR: model assessment insulin resistance, QUICKI: quantitative insulin sensitivity check index, BMI: body mass index, TC: total cholesterol, TG: triglyceride, HDL-C: high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol, LDL-C: low-density lipoprotein-cholesterol, hsCRP: high sensitivity C-reactive protein reactive protein

Correlations between insulin resistance, insulin sensitivity and indicators of atherosclerosis

Mean HOMA-IR was 2.97±1.8 and mean QUICKI was 0.58±0.1. Using the definition of IR being HOMA-IR ≥ 3.0, there were 71 patients (38%) who had IR. The prevalence of IR was 21% (n=5) in non-DM patients, 34% (n=20) in patients with IGT, 44% (n=19) in patients with new DM and 42% (n=27) in patients with known DM (Table 2). The prevalence of IR was 35% (n=16) in patients with single vessel disease, 43% (n=18) in patients with multi-vessel disease and 37% (n=37) in patients without significant stenosis. The prevalence of IR was increased in patients with multi-vessel disease, but this change was not statistically significant. There were significant positive correlations between HOMA-IR and FMD (r=0.47, p=0.001) and HOMA-IR and triglyceride (r=0.2, p=0.01). But there were no correlations between HOMA-IR and FMD (r=-0.23, p=0.41) or HOMA-IR and triglyceride (r=0.2, p=0.23). There were significant negative correlations between QUICKI and BMI (r=-0.26, p=0.0004), total cholesterol (r=-0.15, p=0.04), triglycerides (r=-0.21, p=0.004) and LDL-C (r=-0.17, p=0.02). But there were no correlations between QUICKI and FMD (r=-0.07, p=0.41) or CIMT (r=-0.13, p=0.13) (Table 3). Study subjects were divided into three groups according to HOMA-IR and QUICKI. CIMT and endothelium-dependent vasodilatory responses did not show any differences between groups (Table 4).

Correlations between Endothelium-Dependent Vasodilatation, intima-media thickness and risk factors

Among all patients, an analysis of the correlations between endothelium-dependent vasodilatory responses did not show any differences between groups (Table 4).
Table 4. Endothelial function and carotid intima-media thickness according to tertiles of HOMA-IR/QUICKI

|        | FMD          | CIMT         |
|--------|--------------|--------------|
| HOMA-IR|              |              |
| Low    | 4.66 ± 1.79  | 0.89 ± 0.16  |
| Middle | 5.10 ± 2.07  | 0.95 ± 0.27  |
| High   | 4.70 ± 2.27  | 0.92 ± 0.18  |
| QUICKI |              |              |
| Low    | 4.67 ± 2.31  | 0.92 ± 0.19  |
| Middle | 5.13 ± 2.05  | 0.94 ± 0.27  |
| High   | 4.16 ± 1.79  | 0.89 ± 0.16  |

There were no significant differences among any tertiles of HOMA-IR/QUICKI. FMD: flow mediated dilatation, CIMT: carotid intima-media thickness, HOMA-IR: model assessment insulin resistance, QUICKI: quantitative insulin sensitivity check index.

and cardiovascular risk factors were done. CIMT was correlated with total cholesterol (r = 0.19, p = 0.02) and LDL-C (r = 0.26, p = 0.002). Endothelium-dependent vasodilatation was correlated with age (r = -0.19, p = 0.02) and homocysteine level (r = -0.23, p = 0.01). However, CIMT and endothelium-dependent vasodilatation did not correlate with HDL-C, triglyceride, uric acid or FBS (Table 3).

Discussion

It has been reported that the worldwide prevalence of DM is rising. In Korea, according to reports by Kim et al. in 2005, the prevalence of DM was 2.26% in adult males and 2.03% in females and was persistently increasing.

Major outcomes of the current study are that the prevalence of known DM (33.7%, n = 63), new DM (22%, n = 43) and IGT (31%, n = 58) are high in patients with coronary atherosclerosis. According to other studies which were done in CAD patients, however, the prevalence of DM at the time of admission was 27%. In the current study, the prevalence of DM was 55.7% (known DM 33.7% and new DM 22%). A single measurement of FBS in patient with ACS may have contributed to the high prevalence of DM in our study. And, the prevalence of DM can vary depending on study subjects. Therefore, our study does not represent the true prevalence of DM in Korean patients with CAD. However, OGTT was done for all subjects to evaluate DM and IGT, and DM was newly diagnosed in many patients. Accordingly, more efforts are needed to evaluate DM in patients with coronary atherosclerosis. The prevalence of DM and IR can vary according to the number of affected blood vessels. In the current study, however, the prevalence of DM and IR was increased, but the increases were not statistically significant. The number of enrolled patients was relatively small. As mentioned earlier, the prevalence of DM and IR were assumed to increase due to the effects of other extrinsic factors.

In a study of Koreans, IR was defined as HOMA-IR ≥ 3.0-3.5. Also in the current study, cases of HO-

MA-IR ≥ 3.0 were defined as IR. In patients with coronary atherosclerosis, which included both those with DM and non-diabetic patients, the number of patients with IR was 71 (38%), and this corresponded to a relatively greater figure.

Ohnishi et al. reported that IR correlated with coronary risk factors including blood pressure, BMI, triglycerides and insulin sensitivity and QUICKI scores negatively correlated with BMI, total cholesterol and LDL-C. In the current study, IR measured by HOMA-IR positively correlated with BMI and triglycerides and insulin sensitivity and QUICKI scores were high in patients with coronary atherosclerosis. The current study was conducted in patients who had coronary atherosclerosis, which showed that CIMT was 0.91 ± 0.2 mm on average. According to the report by Bae et al., CIMT was 0.63 ± 0.11 mm in Korean people. In the current study, however, CIMT was increased, and this may explain the discrepancy from other studies.

The current study has some limitations. This is not a case controlled study and the number of study subjects was relatively small. Also, we mostly studied cases of advanced coronary atherosclerosis. There were no clinical follow-up data for patients who were newly diagnosed with DM or IGT. Further studies are now needed to evaluate the prognostic value of active diagnosis of in patients with coronary atherosclerosis.

In conclusion, this study showed that the prevalence of new DM and IGT is relatively higher in older patients with coronary atherosclerosis than in older individuals in the general population. Accordingly, in patients with coronary atherosclerosis, active diagnosis and treatment for DM is mandatory to improve patient prognosis. There were no significant correlations between IR, insulin sensitivity, CIMT and endothelium-dependent vasodilatation. But these indicators show changes that represent the early stage of atherosclerosis. Further studies are now warranted to examine the above correlations in patients without coronary atherosclerosis.
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