An aspartyl cathepsin, CTH3, is essential for proprotei processing during secretory granule maturation in Tetrahymena thermophila
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ABSTRACT In Tetrahymena thermophila, peptides secreted via dense-core granules, called mucocytes, are generated by proprotei processing. We used expression profiling to identify candidate processing enzymes, which localized as cyan fluorescent protein fusions to mucocytes. Of note, the aspartyl cathepsin Cth3p plays a key role in mucocyst-based secretion, since knockdown of this gene blocked proteolytic maturation of the entire set of mucocyst proproteins and dramatically reduced mucocyst accumulation. The activity of Cth3p was eliminated by mutation of two predicted active-site mutations, and overexpression of the wild-type gene, but not the catalytic-site mutant, partially rescued a Mendelian mutant defective in mucocyst proprotei processing. Our results provide the first direct evidence for the role of proprotei processing in this system. Of interest, both localization and the CTH3 disruption phenotype suggest that the enzyme provides non–mucocyst-related functions. Phylogenetic analysis of the T. thermophila cathepsins, combined with prior work on the role of sortilin receptors in mucocyst biogenesis, suggests that repurposing of lysosomal enzymes was an important step in the evolution of secretory granules in ciliates.

INTRODUCTION

In humans and other animals, a large and diverse set of secreted peptides, including hormones and neuropeptides, play key roles in intercellular communication and tissue coordination. The peptides are generated, stored, and released upon demand from secretory organelles called secretory granules. Thus the mechanisms underlying granule formation are of key physiological significance (Arvan and Castle, 1998; Kim et al., 2006; Bonnemaison et al., 2013). In addition, elucidating these mechanisms may also shed light on the evolution of cell type–specific features in the eukaryotic secretory pathway, since secretory granules represent an example of the contribution of adaptations in protein traffic to establishing distinct cellular niches.

This article was published online ahead of print in MBoC in Press (http://www.molbiocell.org/cgi/doi/10.1091/mbc.E14-03-0833) on June 18, 2014. Address correspondence to: Aaron P. Turkewitz (apturkew@midway.uchicago.edu).

Abbreviations used: CFP, cyan fluorescent protein; GFP, green fluorescent protein; GRL, granule lattice; GRT, granule tip; RT-PCR, reverse transcription PCR.

© 2014 Kumar et al. This article is distributed by The American Society for Cell Biology under license from the author(s). Two months after publication it is available to the public under an Attribution–Noncommercial–Share Alike 3.0 Unported Creative Commons License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0).

“ASCB®,” “The American Society for Cell Biology®,” and “Molecular Biology of the Cell®” are registered trademarks of The American Society of Cell Biology.

The formation of secretory granules depends on a multistep pathway (Molinete et al., 2000; Tooze et al., 2001; Morvan and Tooze, 2008). First, newly synthesized polypeptides, such as proinsulin, are sorted as aggregates, at the level of the trans-Golgi network or during subsequent maturation, into vesicles that are distinct from those bearing soluble cargo destined for rapid constitutive secretion or for lysosomes (Orci et al., 1987; Chanat and Huttner, 1991; Arvan and Castle, 1998). A maturation process remolds the aggregates into a highly condensed assembly, creating the eponymous dense core within the vesicle lumen (Orci et al., 1985; Michael et al., 1987; Bendayan, 1989; Dodson and Steiner, 1998). At a biochemical level, maturation is an interval during which the bioactive peptides are generated from proproteins by proteolytic processing. The best-studied enzymes are the endoproteases called pro–hormone convertases, which are targeted to and activated in immature secretory vesicles (Steiner, 1998; Crump et al., 2001). The prohormone convertases are related to a ubiquitous aspartyl protease in the animal secretory pathway—furin—and are more distantly related to bacterial subtilisins (Steiner, 1991; Creemers et al., 1998). In addition, proprotein processing in neuropeptide-containing secretory granules involves the cysteine pro tease cathepsin L (Hook et al., 2008). At the cell biological level, maturation also includes the withdrawal, via vesicle budding, of both missorted soluble constituents.
and secretory granule maturation factors, such as the convertases, which can thus be recycled (Klumperman et al., 1998; Molinete et al., 2000; Ahras et al., 2006). The mature granule must also possess a variety of membrane proteins, including those that specify docking and subsequent stimulus-dependent fusion at the plasma membrane.

Secretory granules are found in just a subset of animal tissues, especially in neuroendocrine tissues, but similar organelles have been noted in a variety of eukaryotic lineages, although few of these have been analyzed at the molecular level (Elde et al., 2007). The most extensive studies have been in the ciliates Tetrahymena thermophila and Paramaecium tetraurelia, in which secretory organelles with dense cores, called mucocysts and trichocysts, respectively, undergo stimulated exocytosis during predator–prey encounters but may also serve other functions (Adoutte, 1988; Knoll et al., 1991; Vyssie et al., 2000; Turkewitz, 2004). Of note, the process of mucocyst/trichocyst formation shares striking similarities to insulin granule formation in mammalian pancreatic β cells, including an apparent role for proteolytic maturation of proproteins during core formation (Collins and Wilhelm, 1981; Adoutte et al., 1984). Comparison of ciliate proproteins versus the processed products identified conserved motifs that are likely to be targets of multiple proteases (Madeddu et al., 1994; Gautier et al., 1996; Verbsky and Turkewitz, 1998), an inference also consistent with results using class-specific protease inhibitors (Bradshaw et al., 2003), and led to a model for stepwise assembly of the granule core in Paramaecium (Vyssie et al., 2001). Unfortunately, in all these studies the ciliate processing enzymes themselves could only be inferred. They are unlikely to be related to mammalian prohormone convertases, for which no homologue has been identified in a ciliate genome.

In T. thermophila, the mucocyst cargo proteins are encoded by two multigene families, called GRL (for granule lattice) and GRT (for granule tip; Bowman et al., 2005b; Cowan et al., 2005). The Grl proteins constitute the dense core and are the substrates for proteolytic processing during mucocyst maturation. The Grl and GRT genes are coordinately transcribed under a range of conditions (Rahaman et al., 2009). Moreover, a screen for additional coregulated genes uncovered a receptor in the sortlin/VPS10 family that is required for Grt sorting to mucocytes (Briguglio et al., 2013).

In the work described in this article, we used expression profiling to identify candidates for mucocyst processing enzymes. We focus on one of the aspartyl cathepsins, CTH3, which plays a key role in the processing of pro-Grl proteins and is essential for both mucocyst biogenesis and exocytosis.

RESULTS
Expression profiling reveals candidates for proprotein processing enzymes in T. thermophila

The >24,000 genes predicted in the T. thermophila macronuclear genome include a large number of putative proteases, including 43 aspartic proteases belonging to two subfamilies, 211 cysteine proteases belonging to 11 subfamilies, 139 metallopeptases belonging to 14 subfamilies, 73 serine proteases belonging to 12 subfamilies, and 14 threonine proteases (Eisen et al., 2006; Coyne et al., 2008; unpublished data). Many of these have predicted signal sequences and are therefore likely to be secreted and/or function within digestive organelles, but a subset may be specialized for mucocyst biogenesis. Genes encoding several classes of mucocyst components are coregulated (Haddad et al., 2002; Rahaman et al., 2009). We therefore used the online tools at the Tetrahymena Gene Expression Database (TGED; http://tged.ihb.ac.cn/), subsequently reorganized at the Tetrahymena Functional Genomics Database (TetraFGD; http://tfgd.ihb.ac.cn/), to ask whether any putative proteases are also coregulated with GRL genes. We identified four cathepsins (CTH1–4) and one carboxypeptidase (CAR1) whose expression profiles are strikingly similar to those of GRL genes (Figure 1A) but distinct from those of other, closely related proteases (Figure 1B).

All of the enzymes possess likely N-terminal signal sequences consistent with translocation into the secretory pathway (Figure 1C). Three of the four cathepsins (CTH1–3) belong to the aspartyl-protease subgroup, whereas CTH4 belongs to the cysteine-protease subgroup (cathepsin C family). Another cysteine protease, cathepsin B, was previously studied in Tetrahymena and shown to localize to food vacuoles (Jacobs et al., 2006). We added this gene to our analysis as an example of a nonmucocyst protease.

The aspartyl cathepsins, on which we focused our attention, have conserved catalytic motifs that are characteristic of this subfamily, including two catalytic aspartic acid residues in the conserved motifs DTG/DTG and DTG/DSG (Figure 1C). The identification of the conserved motifs was also supported by primary sequence alignment between the Tetrahymena aspartyl proteases and Homo sapiens aspartic proteases (Supplemental Figure S1). The cysteine proteases possess conserved triad catalytic residues (C, H, N; Figure 1C). The Car1p sequence contains a putative cysteolic glutamate (E) at an appropriate position, but this is weakly determined, given the minimal size of this motif and the limited overall sequence identity with characterized carboxypeptidases in other nonciliate species.

The phylogenetic relationships between the Tetrahymena aspartyl proteases and a set of related enzymes from other eukaryotes are shown in Figure 2. The aspartyl cathepsins CTH1–3 fall within a cluster of genes from ciliates and the related apicomplexan parasites (Figure 2). The carboxypeptidase CAR1 has close homologues only in other ciliates (Ichthyophthirius multifiliis and P. tetraurelia; Supplemental Figure S2). Phylogenetic analysis therefore suggests that the aspartyl cathepsin family underwent a large expansion within the alveolates (ciliates, apicomplexans, and dinoflagellates), whereas the carboxypeptidase family expanded in ciliates after they had branched from apicomplexans.

Gene disruption implicates each of the aspartyl cathepsins in mucocyst biogenesis, with a special role for CTH3

We targeted each of the candidate protease genes for disruption via homologous recombination with a drug-resistance cassette (Figure 3A). This standard approach results in gradual replacement with the disrupted allele over roughly 3–4 wk of growth in drug of all ~45 expressed copies in the polyploid macronucleus, producing a functional knockout (Cassidy-Hanley et al., 1997). The process of allele replacement depends on the random assortment of alleles to the two daughters at each cell division, a feature of Tetrahymena macronuclei (Karrer, 2000). If a gene is essential for cell viability, one cannot recover daughters in which all intact macronuclear copies have been replaced.

To assess the extent of gene expression, we used reverse transcription PCR (RT-PCR) to monitor the knockout strains. For CAR1, no RT-PCR product could be detected in the putative knockouts, indicating that the disruption was complete (Figure 3B). CAR1 knockout cells (Acar1) showed a modest growth phenotype (Supplemental Table S1). The cathepsin-knockout lines all showed low levels of RT-PCR product of the targeted genes, even after extended growth in drug. In all cases, the apparent reduction in the relevant gene transcript was >90% relative to wild type (CTH1, 92%; CTH2, 96%; CTH3, 95%; Figure 3B). The persistent low-level RT-PCR products may reflect the inability to replace all macronuclear alleles or
may be artifacts arising from inefficient amplification of intact related members within these large gene families or from amplification of the intact (but silent) copies of the genes that persist in the micronucleus. We concluded that a minimum of >90% knockdown was sufficient to analyze the potential roles of these candidate genes. Only the cells targeted for CTH3 knockout showed an increase in doubling time, confirmed for multiple clones (Supplemental Table S1), indicating that CTH3 may be important for growth under these culture conditions.

To ask whether any of these genes is involved in mucocyst secretion, we first tested the exocytic response using a semiquantitative assay based on stimulation by dibucaine, which triggers mucocyst exocytosis (Satir, 1977; Cowan et al., 2005). When wild-type cells are exposed briefly to dibucaine, the mucocyst contents are released as macroscopic protein aggregates and can be visualized after low-speed centrifugation as a thick, flocculent layer (Figure 4, A and B). Parallel treatment of the mutant lines showed that the ∆car1 mutant was identical to wild type (Figure 4B, iv, right). The cth1 and cth2 knockout strains showed flocculent release that was reduced compared with wild type (Figure 4B, i and ii, right). Most dramatically, flocculent release was completely absent from the ∆cth3 mutant strain (Figure 4B, iii, right). For that reason we focused further studies on the CTH3 gene, using the CAR1 and cathepsin B (CTHB) genes as controls in some experiments.

Localization of cyan fluorescent protein–tagged processing protease candidates reveals mucocyst localization

Tetrahymena mucocysts are elongated (1 × 0.2 μm) vesicles that dock at regularly arrayed sites at the cell periphery (Allen, 1967). We determined the localization of Cth3p, in addition to that of Car1p and CthB, by expressing each as a cyan fluorescent protein (CFP) fusion controlled by a cadmium-inducible metallothionein (MTT1) promoter (Shang et al., 2002). Overnight induction of the Cth3p and Car1p constructs resulted in CFP localization to docked mucocysts (Figure 5A, top and middle). The same results were obtained with Cth1p, Cth2p, Cth3p, Cth4p, CthB, and Car1p. Features include N-terminal endoplasmic reticulum translocation signal peptides, the catalytic triplet (DTG or DSG) of aspartic proteases, catalytic residues (C, H, N) of cysteine proteases, and the catalytic residue (E) of zinc carboxypeptidases. The signal peptides shown for Cth1p and CthB are not robustly predicted by SignalP (values of 0.48 and 0.35, respectively, compared with the threshold value for signal peptides of 0.5). Nonetheless, the N-terminal sequences of Cth1p shown in Supplemental Figure S1 appear to have the expected features of a signal peptide.
of the sizes expected for the full fusion proteins (Figure 5C). At that time point, Cth3p and Car1p showed extensive colocalization with the mucocyst core protein Grl3p. In contrast, Grl3p showed little colocalization with CthB-CFP (Figure 5D). Supplemental Movies S1–S3 show consecutive optical sections of these samples. These results indicate that Cth3p and Car1p, but not CthBp, localize to mucocysts or intermediates in mucocyst maturation.

**Cathepsin 3 is required for mucocyst formation**

In fixed permeabilized cells, mucocysts can be labeled with two monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) that recognize, respectively, members of the two major families of mucocyst cargo proteins. Grl3p, recognized by mAb 5E9, belongs to the Grl family of proteins that undergo proteolytic processing (Cowan et al., 2005). Grt1p, recognized by mAb 4D11, belongs to the Grt family of mucocyst proteins (Bowman et al., 2005a,b). Grt1p does not undergo processing, and in mature mucocysts it localizes to the tip that docks with the plasma membrane (Bowman et al., 2005a). Staining of wild-type cells with either the anti-Grt1p or anti-Grl3p mAb reveals the array of mucocysts, with nearly the entire set docked at the cell periphery as seen in cell cross section (Figure 6, top). The same pattern was seen in Δcar1 cells, consistent with the normal exocytic response in these cells (Figure 6, bottom). In contrast, the cth3 mutant showed dramatically reduced accumulation of both mucocyst cargo proteins (Figure 6, middle). Of note, much of the Grl3p in the Δcth3 mutant is present in intracellular puncta. These may represent aberrant or arrested intermediates in mucocyst biosynthesis. However, they do not contain visible Grt1p, since the low level of that protein is restricted to puncta near the plasma membrane. Similarly, a small number of cortical puncta were seen with immunostaining for Grl3p. The elongated profiles of the cortical puncta suggest they may correspond to mucocysts, and electron microscopy of thin sections from Δcth3 cells indeed showed occasional docked mucocysts indistinguishable from wild type (unpublished data).

To ask whether the Grl3p-positive vesicles present in Δcth3 cells undergo exocytosis upon stimulation, we treated the cells with the polycationic dye Alcian blue. When wild-type cells are exposed to Alcian blue, they become entrapped in robust capsules formed by the dye-dependent cross-linking of the exocytosed mucocyst contents (Tiedtke, 1976). Because of the high affinity of Alcian blue for mucocyst contents, this reagent can be used to detect mucocyst exocytosis even in mutants that show greatly reduced stimulated mucocyst discharge (Melia et al., 1998). When we treated wild-type, Δcar1, and Δcth3 cells with Alcian blue, virtually 100% of cells from...
wild-type and Δcar1 cultures were surrounded by visible blue capsules that could be labeled using the mAb against Grl3p (Supplemental Figure S3A). In contrast, Δcth3 cells showed no capsule formation and no visibly released mucocyst contents. However, flow cytometric analysis of Δcth3 cells before and after stimulation indicated that stimulation did result in some loss of Grl3p staining in the cells (Supplemental Figure S3B). Taken together, these results suggest that Δcth3 cells assemble few, if any, exocytosis-competent mucocysts and indicate that CTH3 plays a key role in mucocyst formation.

**CTH3 is required for Grl proprotein processing**

Pro-Grl proteins undergo cleavage, and their products assemble to form the dense mucocyst core (Verbsky and Turkewitz, 1998). To ask whether Cth3p was involved in this process, we analyzed cell lysates by Western blotting using anti-Grl antisera. In wild-type cells, Grl1p accumulates primarily as a polypeptide that migrates at ~40 kDa (Figure 7A, lane 2). This product is generated by proteolytic processing from an ~60-kDa proprotein (Ding et al., 1991; Turkewitz et al., 1991). The proprotein is the major species in SB281, a Mendelian mutant that lacks mucocysts and fails to convert the 60 to the 40-kDa form (Orias et al., 1983; Bowman and Turkewitz, 2001; Figure 7A, lane 1). Strikingly, Δcth3 lysates showed almost complete absence of the processed Grl1p product and overaccumulation of the precursor (Figure 7A, lane 3). Moreover, parallel Western blots using antibodies against three other proteins in the Grl family yielded similar results (Figure 7, B–D), indicating that Cth3p is required for processing of multiple pro-Grl proteins. No defect in processing of any Grl proproteins was visible in Δcar1 lysates (Figure 7, lane 4 in each case).
open reading frame (ORF), fused to CFP and integrated at the 
RPL29 locus and under the control of the inducible MTT1 promoter,
to generate strain cth3-2p. Expression of the predicted fusion

To confirm that the observed defects in the Δcth3 are due to
disruption of CTH3 itself rather than perturbation of expression from
nearby loci, we introduced into the Δcth3 cells a copy of the CTH3
FIGURE 5: Expression and localization of CFP-tagged proteases. (A) Cells expressing CFP-tagged proteases in optical
surface and cross sections (left and right, respectively). Bar, 10 μm. Transgene induction was for 16 h with 1 μg/ml CdCl2
at 30°C, followed by 0.2 μg/ml CdCl2 for 4 h in 10 mM Tris, pH 7.4, at 22°C. In cells expressing car1p-CFP (car1-1p) or
cth3p-CFP (cth3-1p), the linear arrays of fluorescent puncta at the cell surface correspond to docked mucocysts, which
appear as elongated vesicles in cross sections of the same cells. In contrast, cells expressing cthB-CFP (cthB-1p) do not
show organized cell surface puncta. (B) Western blot, probed with anti-GFP mAb that cross-reacts with CFP, of lysates of
cells shown in A (16 + 4-h transgene induction). Proteins fractions were separated by 4–20% SDS–PAGE and transferred
to PVDF before antibody blotting. Molecular weight standards are shown on the left. The only specific band recognized
by the antibody, indicated by the arrowhead, is of the size expected for monomeric CFP. A nonspecific species is
marked by an asterisk. (C) Western blot as in B, but in which transgene expression in the same cell lines was induced for
just 2 h with 1 μg/ml CdCl2. The strongest antibody-reactive bands correspond to the predicted molecular weights of
the tagged proteins: Cth3p-CFP, 78 kDa; Car1p-CFP, 67 kDa; and CthB-CFP, 65 kDa. A nonspecific species is marked by
an asterisk. (D) Cells after 2 h of transgene induction (as in C) were fixed and immunolabeled with mouse monoclonal
antibody mAb 5E9 to localize the mucocyst protein Grl3p and rabbit anti GFP Ab to localize the protease-CFP fusions.
In cells expressing cth3-1p and car1-1p, there is extensive overlap between CFP and Grl3p immunolocalization, whereas
no colocalization is seen between cthB-1p and Grl3p. Images are single slices, for clarity. The apparent difference in cell
size between samples is due to variable flattening by the coverslips.
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protein in this strain was detected by Western blot (Supplemental Figure S4A) and localized to mucocysts by anti-green fluorescent protein (GFP) antibody staining (Supplemental Figure S4C). As discussed for the case of overexpressed tagged Cth3p, prolonged induction led to the appearance of monomeric CFP, consistent with endoproteolytic processing (Supplemental Figure S4B). Of importance, expression of Cth3p-CFP rescued the mucocyst biosynthesis defect (Supplemental Figure S4D), as well as pro-Grl1p processing (Supplemental Figure S4E), in the ∆cth3 cells. These results support the idea that Cth3p is a key factor in pro-Grl processing. Together with other data described later, these results also indicate that fusions between Cth3p and fluorescent proteins (CFP, GFP) retain enzymatic activity.

**CTH3 expression suppresses the pro-Grl processing defect in a nonallelic mutant**

Tetrahymena mutants with defects in mucocyst assembly or exocytosis have been isolated after nitrosoguanidine mutagenesis (Orias et al., 1983; Melia et al., 1998; Bowman et al., 2005a). A large subset was found to have defects in pro-Grl processing, although it is not yet known in any case whether this represents the primary defect. The mutant with the most severe defect in pro-Grl processing is SB281, mentioned earlier, which shows neither detectible pro-Grl processing nor mucocyst formation (Bowman and Turkevitz, 2001). The SB281 mutation cannot fall within CTH3, since SB281 has been genetically mapped to micronuclear chromosome 4, whereas the CTH3 gene is on chromosome 5 (Gutierrez and Orias, 1992; E. Hamilton, personal communication). Nonetheless, it seemed possible that overexpression of CTH3 could suppress the SB281 defect. To test this, we integrated the wild-type CTH3 open reading frame, including a C-terminal hexahistidine (6xHis) epitope tag, at the RPL29 locus in SB281 cells, under the control of the inducible MTT1 promoter. High-level expression of Cth3p partially rescued the SB281 pro-Grl processing defect (Supplemental Figure S5, A and B). Of interest, the distribution of a putative Cth3p substrate, the mucocyst core protein Grl3p, was also affected by expression of cth3p-6xHis in SB281 cells. In growing SB281 cells, Grl3p is found in large, heterogeneous cytoplasmic puncta (Supplemental Figure S5C, D, top row). SB281 transformed to overexpress cth3p-6xHis still contains Grl3p-positive, large, heterogeneous structures, but in addition contains abundant smaller and more homogeneous Grl3p-positive puncta (Supplemental Figure S5D, bottom row). These do not have the elongated shape of mucocysts and are unlikely to represent viable mucocyst intermediates since they do not contain a second mucocyst core marker, Grt1p, whose distribution in SB281 cells is unchanged by overexpression of Cth3p (Supplemental Figure S5E). As pointed out earlier, Grt1p is not processed and therefore not a potential substrate for Cth3p. Thus the overexpression of Cth3p in SB281 cells leads to both processing and redistribution of Grl3p, suggesting that Grl processing is a key step in driving reorganization of core proteins during mucocyst formation.

**FIGURE 6:** CTH3 is required for DCG formation. Top, docked mucocysts in fixed wild-type cells, immunolabeled using mAb 4D11 that recognizes Grt1p (left two), and mAb 5E9 that recognizes Grl3p (right two). Surface and cross sections. Middle, parallel immunostaining of ∆cth3 cells shows little or no mucocyst signal. The low level of Grt1p signal is concentrated at the cell periphery, whereas the majority of the Grl3p signal is found in cytoplasmic puncta. Bottom, parallel immunostaining of ∆car1 cells shows a pattern indistinguishable from wild type. Scale bars, 10 μm.
Cth3p activity in vivo and in vitro depends on the conserved catalytic residues

The role of CTH3 in mucocyst maturation is likely to require its predicted enzymatic activity. To test this idea, we used homologous recombination to replace endogenous CTH3 in the macronucleus of wild-type cells either with itself (cth3-4) or with a variant in which we made mutations in both conserved catalytic motifs (Asp139 to Asn; Asp324 to Asn; cth3-5). These mutations have been shown in other systems to cripple the activities of homologous cathepsins (Tynela et al., 2000; Glondu et al., 2001). In both cases, the replacement allele included a C-terminal fusion to GFP (Figure 8A), and transformants were passaged extensively in selective media to drive the replacement alleles to fixation or near fixation. Western blotting of whole-cell lysates using an anti-GFP antibody indicated that the expected fusion protein was synthesized in each strain (Figure 8B). In addition, a minor band of the size expected for monomeric GFP was present, suggesting that some endoproteolytic cleavage of the fusion proteins had occurred. Of interest, monomeric GFP was present both in cells expressing the enzymatically active cth3-4p and in the enzymatically disabled cth3-5p, although it was more abundant in the former (Figure 8B). In cells expressing cth3-4p, both the fusion protein and monomeric GFP were secreted into the cell culture medium, but neither species was secreted in cells expressing the enzymatically disabled cth3-5p (Supplemental Figure S6A).

Of importance, cells expressing cth3-5p were indistinguishable from Δcth3 in their failure to process proGrl1p (Figure 8C) or to release mucocyst contents on stimulation (Figure 8D). Indeed, like Δcth3 cells, the cth3-5 cells fail to synthesize Grl3p-positive mucocysts (Figure 8E, middle row). In contrast, cells expressing cth3-4p were indistinguishable from wild type in proprotein processing and mucocyst synthesis and exocytosis. The GFP signal in cells expressing cth3-4p accumulated in mucocysts (Figure 8F, bottom row), as expected. In contrast, the GFP signal in cells expressing cth3-5p accumulated in heterogeneous cytoplasmic puncta (Figure 8F, middle row). This difference could also be seen via live imaging of the same cultures (Supplemental Figure S6B). Taken together, these results strongly support the conclusion that the key role of Cth3p in mucocyst biogenesis depends on its enzymatic activity. Consistent with this conclusion, the expression of a catalytically disabled CTH3 variant from the RPL29 locus in Δcth3 cells failed to rescue any of the Δcth3 defects (Supplemental Figure S7).

To demonstrate more directly that Cth3p possesses enzymatic activity, we used anti-GFP antibodies to immunoprecipitate cth3-4p and cth3-5p from detergent lysates of Tetrahymena expressing these constructs. The immunoprecipitates, adjusted for yield differences for the two proteins, were then assayed for activity against a fluorogenic cathepsin D substrate (Figure 8G and H). Cth3-4p, but not cth3-5p, displayed clear activity in this assay, consistent with and confirming the in vivo results.

Cth3p partially colocalizes with both endosomal and lysosomal probes

As detailed earlier, whereas cth3p-GFP colocalizes strongly with the mucocyst marker Grl3p, there is also significant GFP signal in nonmucocyst structures, as judged by their morphology and distribution. These nonmucocyst structures are relatively prominent in high-density cultures but almost undetectable in low-density cultures (Figure 9A). Some of these structures may be intermediates in mucocyst maturation, including compartments involved in the delivery of processing enzymes to immature mucocysts. In addition, Cth3p may play roles unrelated to mucocyst formation, since one would not expect a gene dedicated to mucocysts to have a growth phenotype.
FIGURE 8: Mucocyst formation, proGRL processing, and in vitro enzymatic activity require the conserved active-site residues in Cth3p. (A) Schematic representation of wild-type and mutant Cth3p, both with C-terminal GFP tags, showing locations of mutations to change Asp → Asn at the two predicted catalytic sites. (B) Expression of GFP-tagged and Asp → Asn, GFP-tagged constructs (cth3-4 and cth3-5, respectively). Constructs were expressed at the native CTH3 locus as gene replacements. Fusion proteins were immunoprecipitated from detergent lysates using polyclonal rabbit anti-GFP antiserum. Immunoprecipitates were subjected to SDS–PAGE, and PVDF transfers were blotted with monoclonal anti-GFP Ab. Both of the transformed cell lines, but not wild type, show immunoreactive bands of the size expected for the Cth3p-GFP fusion, as well as a band likely to correspond to monomeric GFP. (C) The proGrl processing in wild-type
To gain some insight into the nature of the cth3p-GFP-positive structures, we incubated cells expressing cth3p-GFP from the endogenous locus with LysoTracker Red (Figure 9B). Consistent with previous studies, docked mature mucocysts did not stain with the LysoTracker probe (Bright et al., 2010). Simultaneous imaging in the red and green channels showed that the majority of LysoTracker-positive structures also contained cth3p-GFP. Some structures appear to consist of a LysoTracker-positive zone tightly apposed to the cth3p-GFP-positive zone, suggesting that a fraction of cth3p-GFP resides in an organelle that communicates with lysosomes.

To ask whether Cth3p localized to endosomes, we incubated cth3p-GFP-expressing cells with FM4-64, which was previously used in this system to label endosomes derived from clathrin-coated vesicles (Elde et al., 2005). At a variety of chase times after an initial pulse of FM4-64, we observed multiple structures showing near co-localization of FM4-64 and cth3p-GFP (Figure 9C). Taken together, the results support the idea that Cth3p is associated with the endolysosomal pathway.

The partial localization of Cth3p to an endosomal compartment led us to ask whether the enzyme could be delivered to that compartment via endocytosis. If so, this might provide an experimental approach to resolving the hypothesized distinct functions of Cth3p. We therefore tested whether any phenotypes in Δcth3 cells might be suppressed by incubating the cells in medium containing Cth3p. As shown earlier, Cth3p is found in the medium of wild-type cells. Remarkably, we found that the Δcth3 growth phenotype could be suppressed, and in a concentration-dependent manner, by growing cells in medium previously harboring either wild-type cells or cells that were overexpressing cth3p–CFP but not in medium from Δcth3 cultures (Figure S8, A–C). However, there was no detectible rescue of pro-Gr1 processing or mucocyst formation in these cultures (unpublished data). We hypothesize that the endolysosomal activity of Cth3p is essential for rapid growth, and this pool of enzyme can be provided via endocytosis, but proGr1 processing occurs in a different compartment that is either less accessible via endocytosis or requires a higher concentration of enzyme.

DISCUSSION

Pioneering molecular studies on dense-core granule formation in ciliates, conducted more than three decades ago, implicated proteolytic processing of core proteins in generating the elaborate structures (Collins and Wilhelm, 1981; Adoutte et al., 1984). Subsequent identification of the core proteins, and in particular the chemical analysis of their processed forms, led to inferences about target-site specificity and how differential affinity of proteases for their substrates might control assembly of the granule core (Gautier et al., 1996; Verbsky and Turkewitz, 1998; Vayssie et al., 2001). Although some inferences could be tested by site-specific mutagenesis of deduced processing sites (Bradshaw et al., 2003), the models were limited by the lack of any direct information on the proteases themselves.

Here we used expression profiling in T. thermophila to identify a set of likely candidates for the mucocyst-processing enzymes. Transcriptional profiling has been a useful tool in other systems, in particular mammalian tissue culture cells, to identify genes associated with some pathways of membrane traffic (Gurkan et al., 2005). Expression profiling in T. thermophila is greatly facilitated by an online database of gene expression over a wide range of culture conditions (Miao et al., 2009; Xiong et al., 2011, 2013). Since its creation, the database has helped to link a number of genes with distinct cellular processes (Bright et al., 2010; Stover and Rice, 2011; Nusblat et al., 2012; Xu et al., 2012).

The T. thermophila genome is laden with predicted proteases (Eisen et al., 2006). However, we found that only five of these had transcriptional profiles matching those of the GRL genes. Because the GRL proteins undergo obligatory cleavage during mucocyst formation, the five proteases appeared as strong candidates for enzymes involved in this process. These comprised three predicted aspartyl cathepsins (CTH1–3), a cysteine cathepsin (CTH4), and a carboxypeptidase (CAR1). Each of these proteins, CFP tagged at the carboxy terminus, showed clear targeting to docked mucocysts.

Localization to mucocysts is likely to be due to specific sorting signals rather than default, since GFP linked to an N-terminal endoplasmic reticulum translocation sequence does not localize to mucocysts (Haddad et al., 2002; Bowman et al., 2005a). For a subset of proteins, targeting to mucocysts may be receptor mediated. One of the candidate proteases, Cth3p, was previously shown to undergo significant mislocalization in cells lacking a sortilin/VPS10-family receptor, SOR4 (Briguglio et al., 2013).

The genes encoding the three aspartyl proteases and the sole carboxypeptidase were each targeted for disruption via homologous recombination in the somatic macronucleus. The prediction,
Those activities are poorly understood for *T. thermophila* in particular and for ciliates in general. The ∆cth1 and ∆cth2 strains each showed a modest exocytic deficit. Although those genes are not closely related, it is possible that they may have overlapping activities, which can be explored by engineering a double cth1/cth2 knockout. Disruption of *CTH3* resulted in cells with no detectible exocytic release.

The ∆cth3 cells were similar to a previously characterized Mendelian mutant that lacks mucocysts, called SB281 (Orias et al., 1983). Like SB281, the ∆cth3 cells showed little or no processing of pro-Grl proteins that are cleaved during mucocyst maturation, but instead implied but never directly tested in ciliates, was that inhibition of processing during granule assembly would compromise the efficiency of exocytosis, since extrusion of granule contents depends on rapid expansion of the precisely assembled core. Each of the cathepsin disruption strains showed a deficit in exocytosis as measured by a semiquantitative release assay, whereas the carboxypeptidase (CAR1)-knockout strain had a wild-type secretory response. The absence of an exocytosis phenotype in ∆car1 cells may suggest that carboxy-terminal trimming is not essential for assembling the expansible mucocyst core but instead plays another role, which may be related to the activity of the mucocyst contents post release.

**FIGURE 9**: Non-mucocyst-localized Cth3p shows some overlap with endosomal and lysosomal markers. In all cases, cth3p-GFP (cth3-4p) is expressed at the native *CTH3* locus, and GFP autofluorescence was imaged in live cells. Optical sections shown are cell cross sections. (A) Cth3p shows variable localization, depending on cell culture density. Cell cultures were sampled at low ([1–1.5] × 10⁵/ml), medium ([2.5–3.5] × 10⁵/ml) and high ([5–6.5] × 10⁵/ml) density. An increasing number of heterogeneous cytoplasmic puncta are seen in cells from denser cultures. (B) Cells from a culture at 6 × 10⁵/ml were incubated for 5 min with 200 nM LysoTracker. Live images were captured within 30 min after addition of LysoTracker. (C) Cells from a culture at 6 × 10⁵/ml were incubated for 5 min with 5 μM FM4-64, which labels endosomes, and then pelleted and resuspended in fresh medium. The times shown represent minutes postresuspension. Scale bars, 10 μm.
they accumulated the Grl precursors in large, heterogeneous cytoplasmic puncta (Bowman and Turkewitz, 2001). The small number of docked mucocysts that accumulate in Δcth3 cells may be accounted for by the fact that we could not disrupt all macronuclear copies of the apparently essential CTH3 gene.

Significantly, Δcth3 cells are deficient in the processing of all Grl proteins tested. Moreover, the size of the accumulated precursors suggests that none of the endoproteolytic cleavage events inferred in previous studies takes place in the absence of Cth3p. These defects have also been noted in the SB281 mutant, but prior analysis indicated that the primary defect in SB281 was unlikely to be at the level of proprotein processing (Bowman and Turkewitz, 2001). The genetic lesion in SB281 maps to chromosome 4, whereas CTH3 lies on chromosome 5 (Gutierrez and Orias, 1992; E. Hamilton, personal communication). We found that overexpression of Cth3p in SB281 can partially suppress the proGrl processing defect. Of most interest, these partially rescued cells also show a change in the appearance of cytoplasmic Grl3p-positive puncta, consistent with the idea that proprotein processing drives reorganization of the granule core proteins, as seen in other systems that depend on unrelated proteases and core proteins (Bendayan, 1989). Cth3p may also have non-Grl substrates that could contribute to the noted phenotypes. However, we found that overexpression of Cth3p in SB281 did not change the distribution of Grl1p, a nonprocessed mucocyst protein. This suggests that Cth3p overexpression acts directly on Grl proteins or on a step that affects them but not proteins in the Grt family.

Based on sequence analysis, CTH3 belongs to the aspartyl cathepsin superfamily. We found that purified Cth3p-GFP cleaves a canonical cathepsin D substrate, and this activity was lost when the two predicted active-site residues were mutated. We tested the importance of enzymatic activity in vivo by asking whether the role of Cth3p in mucocyst synthesis depended on those active-site residues. Replacement of endogenous CTH3 with a GFP-tagged but otherwise wild-type copy resulted in cells with normal mucocyst accumulation, exocytosis, and pro-Grl processing. In contrast, replacement with a copy of CTH3 with single-amino acid mutations at the two predicted active sites resulted in cells that showed all the defects of Δcth3. These results strongly support the hypothesis that Cth3p is a key processing enzyme during mucocyst formation. We hypothesize that Cth3p acts directly on proGrl substrates but cannot rule out the possibility that Cth3p acts, in addition or exclusively, to activate proteases that in turn are directly responsible for proGrl processing. These may include other aspartyl and/or cathepsin proteases identified in the expression-based screen. Proteolytic activation of proteases is well documented in many lineages, including the sister lineage to the ciliates, the apicomplexans, in which compartment-specific activation of zymogens is important for secretory organelle formation (Dou and Carruthers, 2011; Dou et al., 2013). Our data argue against the idea that any of the other enzymes identified in our screen is required for Cth3p activation, since no other gene knockout (including CTH4; unpublished data) conferred a phenotype comparable to Δcth3.

Surprisingly, Cth3p may be important for growth, since prolonged selection to disrupt all macronuclear copies of the gene resulted in multiple independent clones that retained detectable CTH3 transcript and grew more slowly than wild type. In contrast, the Δcth1 and Δcth2 grew at wild-type rates. Remarkably, the growth phenotype in Δcth3 was suppressed for multiple clones when the mutant cells were grown in medium conditioned by cells expressing (or overexpressing) CTH3. We hypothesize that the active factor in the medium is Cth3p itself, which is secreted from wild-type cells and might be taken up via receptor-mediated endocytosis involving sortilin receptors (Briguglio et al., 2013). The result suggests that Cth3p activity in an endosomal compartment is required for rapid growth. Localization of Cth3p in endosomes is also consistent with our localization data, although the precise identity of the compartments remains to be established. Our results do not imply that endocytic uptake of Cth3p occurs in free-living T. thermophila, since these may generally exist at much lower densities than in laboratory cultures. The growth rescue is unlikely to be linked with the role of CTH3 in mucocyst formation, since mucocysts are dispensable for laboratory growth of T. thermophila; for example, SB281 shows no growth defect. Moreover, Δcth3 cells grown in conditioned medium showed no rescue of pro-Grl processing or mucocyst formation. However, our results do not rule out the possibility that some direct or indirect product of Cth3p activity, rather than Cth3p itself, is responsible for the growth rescue.

Cth3p is related to a group of cathepsins in ciliates and apicomplexans and more distantly related to cathepsins in other eukaryotes. The precise relatedness is unsettled, given the low bootstrap values in the phylogenetic reconstruction, which are typical of fast-evolving ciliate genes (Zuffali et al., 2006). The related animal cathepsins have chiefly been characterized as endolysosomal enzymes (Zaidi et al., 2008), which appears consistent with our localization data on Cth3p and may explain the unexpected growth phenotype. Aspartyl cathepsins have also been implicated in proprotein processing of mammalian granule proteins (Krieger and Hook, 1992).

In Tetrahymena, the extensive colocalization of Cth3p-GFP and Grl3p, together with other data, argues that Cth3p is primarily found in mucocysts or mucocyst intermediates and therefore suggests that the retargeting of an endolysosomal enzyme was a critical step in the evolution of secretory granules in ciliates. Of interest, distantly related cathepsins in mammals have been implicated in proprotein processing in secretory granules (Hook et al., 2004). We also found Cth3p in cell culture supernatants but not in supernatants of cells expressing an enzymatically disabled Cth3p variant. These results can be explained if Cth3p is secreted from wild-type cells via mucocyst exocytosis, which may occur at low levels in unstimulated cultures. In cells expressing enzymatically inactive Cth3p there are no mucocysts and hence no Cth3p secretion, and the protein may instead be degraded. Wild-type T. thermophila secretes a variety of hydrolases via secretory lysosomes (Kiy et al., 1993). Of importance, such lysosomes can be clearly distinguished from mucocysts, in part based on analysis of Mendelian mutants that affect one or the other pathway (Hunseler and Tiedtke, 1992; Melia et al., 1998). If Tetrahymena secrete Cth3p via mucocysts, an interesting question is whether there are different physiological consequences to secreting proteases via one route versus another.

A particularly interesting group of cathepsins to consider for potential insight into the evolution and function of the T. thermophila enzymes are those in the sister lineage to ciliates, the apicomplexans. The apicomplexan parasite Toxoplasma gondii contains complex secretory organelles whose formation, like that of mucocysts in Tetrahymena, requires the activity of multiple proteases. These Toxoplasma proteases have received attention as potential therapeutic targets because the secretory organelles are required for host cell invasion (McKerrow, 1999; Que et al., 2002, 2007). Of interest, all cathepsins known to be involved in T. gondii belong to the cysteine cathepsin subfamily and are therefore more closely related to T. thermophila CTH4 and cathepsin B than to CTH3 (Que et al., 2002). T. gondii encodes seven aspartyl cathepsins, not all of which have been characterized, but the three most closely related to T. thermophila CTH3 do not appear to have roles in secretory
organelle formation (Shea et al., 2007). Because secretory organelles are widespread in both ciliates and apicomplexans, a wider sampling in both lineages could support an ancestral role of cysteine, but not aspartyl, proteases in the formation of specialized secretory compartments in this deep lineage.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Tetrahymena strains and culture conditions**

*T. thermophila* strains CU428, B2086, and SB281 were grown at 30°C with agitation in SPP medium (1% proteose peptone, 0.2% dextrose, 0.1% yeast extract, 0.003% ferric EDTA). All reagents were from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO) unless otherwise indicated. Culture densities were measured using a Z1 Coulter Counter (Beckman Coulter, Indianapolis, IN). Cell cultures were analyzed after growing to densities of (2–4) × 10^5 cells/ml unless otherwise indicated. Details of *T. thermophila* strains are given in Table 1.

**Expression of cathepsins and carboxypeptidase gene fusions**

The Gateway (Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY) system was used to engineer CFP fusions to create cth3-1p, cthB-1p, and car1-1p. Briefly, PCR-amplified CTH3 (THERM_00321680), CTHB

| Strain name | Phenotype | Details of relevant genetic modification | Source |
|-------------|-----------|---------------------------------------|--------|
| B2086 | Wild type | None | J. Gaertig (University of Georgia, Athens, GA) |
| CU428 | Wild type | None | P. Bruns (Cornell University, Ithaca, NY) |
| SB281 | No mucocysts; no processing of mucocyst proproteins | Nitrosoguanidine-induced Mendelian mutant | E. Orias (University of California, Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, CA) |
| UC801 | Δcth1; >10-fold knockdown of CTH1 expression | Replaces nucleotides –34–925 of macronuclear CTH1 ORF with NEO4 cassette | This study |
| UC802 | Δcth2; >10-fold knockdown of CTH2 expression | Replaces nucleotides 1–922 of macronuclear CTH2 ORF with NEO4 cassette | This study |
| UC803 | Δcth3; >10-fold knockdown of CTH3 expression | Replaces nucleotides –23–991 of macronuclear CTH3 ORF with NEO4 cassette | This study |
| UC804 | Δcar1; no detectible CAR1 expression | Replaces nucleotides –86–858 of macronuclear CAR1 ORF with NEO4 cassette | This study |
| Not stable cell line | High-level inducible expression of CFP-tagged Cth3p (cth3-1p) | C-terminal fusion of Cth3p and CFP, expressed under the control of the MTT1 promoter, on the multicopy rDNA minichromosome | This study |
| Not stable cell line | High-level inducible expression of CFP-tagged CthB (cthB-1p) | C-terminal fusion of CthB and CFP, expressed under the control of the MTT1 promoter, on the multicopy rDNA minichromosome | This study |
| Not stable cell line | High-level inducible expression of CFP-tagged Car1p (car1-1p) | C-terminal fusion of Car1p and CFP, expressed under the control of the MTT1 promoter, on the multicopy rDNA minichromosome | This study |
| UC805 | Inducible expression of CFP-tagged Cth3p (cth3-2p) | C-terminal fusion of Cth3p and CFP, expressed under the control of the MTT1 promoter, at the macronuclear RPL29 locus of Δcth3 (UC803) | This study |
| UC806 | Inducible expression of enzymatically disabled, CFP-tagged Cth3p (cth3-3p), in a Δcth3 background | Mutated variant of CTH3 (Asp139 → Asn; Asp324 → Asn), C-terminally fused to CFP and under the control of the MTT1 promoter, integrated at macronuclear RPL29 locus of Δcth3 (UC803) | This study |
| UC807 | Endogenous-level expression of GFP-tagged Cth3p (cth3-4p) | C-terminal fusion of Cth3p and GFP, integrated at the macronuclear CTH3 locus | This study |
| UC808 | Endogenous-level expression of enzymatically disabled, GFP-tagged Cth3p (cth3-5p) | Mutated variant of Cth3p (Asp139 → Asn; Asp324 → Asn), C-terminally fused to GFP, integrated at the macronuclear CTH3 locus | This study |
| UC809 | Inducible expression of His-tagged Cth3p (cth3p-6xHis) | C-terminal fusion of Cth3p and 6xHis, expressed under the control of the MTT1 promoter, at the macronuclear RPL29 locus of SB281 | This study |

**TABLE 1:** Description of *Tetrahymena* strains.
(THERM_00083480), and CAR1 (THERM_00410180; minus the stop codons) were TOPO cloned (Invitrogen) into the pENTR-D-TOPO entry vector. CACC was added to each forward primer in order to allow directional cloning into pENTR-D. The pENTR clones were sequenced and the genes recombined using the Clo-nase reaction into the target Gateway-based T. thermophila expression vector pICCG-GTW, a gift from Doug Chalker (Washington University, St. Louis, MO; Yao et al., 2007; Bright et al., 2010). Genes subcloned into pICCG-GTW are fused to the N-terminus of the CFP gene, with the fusion under the transcriptional control of the cadmium-inducible MTT1 promoter (Shang et al., 2002). When introduced into Tetrahymena, the vector is amplified and maintained as a macronuclear minichromosome and confers paromomycin resistance.

Expression of CFP fusions was confirmed by microscopy (see later description) and Western blotting. For the latter, the cells were treated with 1 μg/ml CdCl₂ for 2 or 16 h. After 16 h of induction, cells were further induced in starvation buffer (10 mM Tris, pH 7.4) containing 0.2 μg/ml CdCl₂ at 22°C for 4 h. Samples were then processed for Western blotting, as described later.

Generation of cathepsin- and carboxypeptidase-knockout strains

PCR was used to amplify the CTH1-3 and CAR1 upstream regions (1.5–2 kb) and a portion of the ORFs plus downstream flanking regions (1.5–2 kb total), which were subsequently subcloned into the SacI and XhoI sites of the neo4 cassette, respectively, using In-Fusion cloning kit (Clontech, Mountain View, CA). The sequences of the primers are listed in Supplemental Table S2. The constructs were linearized by digestion with KpnI and SapI and transformed into CU428 cells by biolistic transformation. The ORF interval deleted for each of the targeted genes was as follows: CTH1, −34–925; CTH2, 1–922; CTH3, −23–991; and, CAR1, −86–858.

Biolistic transformation

Biolistic transformations were as described previously (Chilcoat et al., 1996), with the following modifications: gold particles (Seashell Technology, San Diego, CA) were prepared as recommended with 15 μg of total linearized plasmid DNA. To select for positive transformants, drug was added 4 h after bombardment to cultures swirled at 30°C. Transformants were selected in paromomycin sulfate (PMS, 120 μg/ml) and CdCl₂ (1 μg/ml). PMS-resistant transformants were identified after 3 d. Transformants were then serially transferred daily in increasing amounts of PMS at least 4 wk before further testing. The concentration of PMS was increased to 15 mg/ml, and CdCl₂ was maintained at 0.5 μg/ml for CTH1 knockout, whereas PMS was increased up to 6 mg/ml and CdCl₂ was maintained at 0.3 μg/ml for CTH2, CTH3, and CAR1 knockouts. When cell growth began to slow at the most stringent conditions, the cultures were returned to 10 mg/ml PMS and 0.5 CdCl₂ (for CTH1 knockout) and 4 mg/ml PMS and 0.4 CdCl₂ (for CTH2, CTH3, and CAR1 knockouts).

RT-PCR assessment of CTH1-3 and CAR1 disruption

Total RNA was isolated as per manufacturer’s instructions using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). The forward and reverse primers used for CTH1-3 and CAR1 are given in Supplemental Table S2. The presence of the CTH1-3 and CAR1 transcripts was assayed by one-step RT-PCR (Qiagen) using primers (Supplemental Table S2) to amplify 400–500 base pairs of each gene. Gene knockouts were confirmed by the continued absence of the corresponding transcripts after 3 wk of growth in the absence of drug selection (four or five serial transfers/week). To confirm that equal amounts of cDNA were being amplified, control RT-PCR with primers specific for sortilin 3 (SOR3) were run in parallel. The specific band intensities were measured using ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD).

Expression of Cth3p-GFP at endogenous locus

The pmEGFP-neo4 vector was previously described (Briguglio et al., 2013). Monomeric EGFP (mEGFP) was fused to the C-terminus of CTH3 (THERM_00321680) at the endogenous macronuclear locus via homologous recombination, using linearized pCTH3-mEGFP-neo4. This construct consists of the cDNA-derived ORF of CTH3 (minus the stop codon) followed by mEGFP, the BTU1 terminator, a neo4 drug resistance cassette, and ∼800 base pairs of CTH3 downstream genomic sequence. To create pCTH3-mEGFP-neo4, the cDNA of CTH3 (lacking the stop codon) and ∼800 base pairs of CTH3 downstream genomic sequence were amplified and cloned into the BarnHI and HindIII sites of the pmEGFP-neo4, respectively, by In-Fusion cloning.

The pCTH3-mEGFP-neo4 vector was used as substrate to generate (139Asp → Asn, 324Asp → Asn) mutations, in which select GAC codons in CTH3 were replaced by AAT using GeneArt Site-Directed Mutagenesis PLUS Kit (Invitrogen). All final constructs were confirmed by DNA sequencing. Constructs were linearized with XhoI and NheI and transformed into CU428.1 cells by biolistic transformation as described earlier. Initial transformants were selected based on paromomycin resistance and then serially transferred for 3–4 wk in increasing drug concentrations to drive fixation of the variant allele. Consistent with the complete or near-complete replacement of the endogenous locus by the variant, transformants maintained both Cth3p-GFP expression and drug resistance for at least 6 mo after initial selection.

Live-cell microscopy

For imaging cells expressing CFP-tagged fusion proteins, transformants were grown overnight in SPP media and then transferred to S medium (0.2% yeast extract, 0.003% iron EDTA, which reduces autofluorescence in food vacuoles) containing 1 μg/ml CdCl₂ for 16 h at 30°C, followed by 4 h in 10 mM Tris, pH 7.4, with 0.2 μg/ml CdCl₂ at 22°C. Cth3p-GFP cultures were analyzed after growing to density of 6 × 10⁶ cells/ml unless otherwise indicated. Cells were then transferred to S medium at room temperature for 2–4 h. To localize simultaneously Cth3p-GFP and LysoTracker (Invitrogen), cells were incubated for 5 min with 200 nM LysoTracker, and images were captured within 30 min thereafter. To localize simultaneously Cth3p-GFP and FM4-64 (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA), cells were incubated for 5 min with 5 μM FM4-64 and then pelleted and resuspended in S medium, after which the cells were imaged at a range of time points.

Live Tetrahymena expressing CFP and GFP fusions were immobilized using 6% polyethylene oxide (PEO; molecular weight, ~900,000) and imaged at 22°C on a Leica SP5 II STED-CW Superresolution Laser Scanning Confocal Microscope (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany) or Marianas Yokogawa-type spinning-disk inverted confocal microscope (Intelligent Imaging Innovations, Denver, CO), respectively. Background signal was subtracted from images, which were then saved as JPEGs that were colored, denoised, and adjusted in brightness/contrast/gamma with the program Fiji (http://fiji.sc/Fiji).

Immunofluorescence

Cells were fixed and immunolabeled as described previously (Bowman and Turkewitz, 2001). Grl3p and Grt1p were visualized.
using monoclonal antibodies 5E9 (1:9; Bowman et al., 2005a) and 4D11 (1:5; Turkewitz and Kelly, 1992), respectively, followed by Texas red−conjugated goat anti-mouse antibody (1:100; Life Technologies). CFP- and GFP-tagged fusion proteins were visualized using rabbit anti-GFP (1:400; Invitrogen), respectively, followed by Alexa 488−conjugated anti-rabbit antibody (1:250). For simultaneous localization of proteases and mucocyst core proteins, cells were doubly immunolabelled with mouse mAb 5E9 and rabbit anti-GFP. Cells were imaged on a Leica SPS II confocal microscope, and image data were analyzed as described earlier. Image stack movies showing colocalization are found in Supplemental Movies S1−S3.

**Dibucaine stimulation assay**

Dibucaine stimulation of exocytosis was as described previously (Rahaman et al., 2009).

**SDS−PAGE and Western blotting**

To prepare whole-cell lysates, ∼3 × 10^5 cells were pelleted, washed twice with 10 mM Tris, pH 7.4, and precipitated with 10% trichloroacetic acid (TCA). Precipitates were incubated on ice for 30 min, centrifuged (18,000 × g, 10 min, 4°C), washed with ice-cold acetone, pelleted (18,000 × g, 5 min, 4°C), and then dissolved in 2.5× SDS−PAGE sample buffer. We resolved 2 × 10^4 cell equivalents/lane by SDS−PAGE unless otherwise indicated. To starve the cells and collect secreted protein, cells were washed twice and then resuspended in 10 mM Tris (pH 7.4) for 4 h. Aliquots of 4 ml were underlaid with a pad of 400 μl of glycerol (2% wt/vol) and centrifuged at high speed in a clinical centrifuge, resulting in a cell pellet within the glycerol pad. A 1.7-ml amount of the supernatants was then carefully withdrawn and precipitated with TCA after the addition of 17 μl 2% deoxycholate (DOC).

GFP-tagged fusion proteins were immunoprecipitated from detergent lysates using polyclonal rabbit anti-GFP antiserum as described previously (Briguglio et al., 2013). For Western blots, samples were resolved by SDS−PAGE and transferred to 0.45-μm polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membranes (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL). Blots were blocked and probed as previously described (Turkewitz et al., 1991). The rabbit anti-Gr1lp, rabbit anti-Gr3lp, rabbit anti-Gr14p, rabbit anti-Gr18p, rabbit anti-polyG (Xie et al., 2007), and mouse monoclonal anti-GFP (Covance, Princeton, NJ) primary antibodies were diluted 1:2000, 1:800, 1:250, 1:3000, 1:10,000, and 1:5000 respectively. Protein was visualized with either enhanced chemiluminescence horseradish peroxidase−linked anti-rabbit (NA934) or anti-mouse (NA931; Amersham Biosciences, Little Chalfont, Buckinghamshire, UK). Blots were blocked and probed as previously described (Briguglio et al., 2007).

**In vitro enzyme assay for Cth3p activity**

Cth3 activity was assayed in vitro using the Sensolyte 520 Cathepsin D Assay Kit Fluorimetric (AnaSpec, Fremont, CA) as per manufacturer’s instruction and including the cathepsin D positive control provided by the manufacturer. Cth3p, in parallel with the active-site mutant, was isolated as GFP fusion (cth3-4p and cth3-5p, respectively) by immunoprecipitation from Tetrahymena whole-cell detergent lysate using polyclonal rabbit anti-GFP antiserum as described, except that the following protease inhibitors were included in the lysis buffer: 10 μM E-64, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, and 100 μM leupeptin. Enzyme assays were carried out in 100 μl in 96-well plates. Activity was recorded as the rate of hydrolysis of substrate at 5- min intervals for 60 min at room temperature, using a Gemini XPS Fluorescence Microplate Reader (excitation, 485 nm; emission, 515 nm; Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA).

**Gene expression profiles**

Expression profiles were derived from the Tetrahymena Functional Genomics Database (http://tfgd.ihb.ac.cn/).

**Phylogenetic tree construction**

Using protein BLAST (blastp), the T. thermophila CTH1, CTH2, and CTH3 genes were used to identify potential homologues in ciliates, apicomplexans, Arabidopsis, and H. sapiens, listed in Supplemental Table S3. Similarly, the T. thermophila CART sequence was used to identify homologues in ciliates, listed in Supplemental Table S4. For tree building, the top hits were selected from each lineage, assembled, and aligned with ClustalX (1.8), and maximum-likelihood trees were constructed with MEGA5 (Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis: www.megasoftware.net/). Gapped regions were excluded in a complete manner, and percentage bootstrap values from 1000 replicates were derived.

**In silico analyses**

The coding sequence of the aspartic proteases, cysteine proteases, and zinc carboxypeptidase were analyzed for conserved active site residues by the National Center for Biotechnology Information Conserved Domain Database and protein BLAST (Marchler-Bauer et al., 2009) and for signal peptides by SignalP (Emanuelsson et al., 2007). Alignment of protein sequences was performed using ClustalX (1.8) with default parameters.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We are grateful to Cassie Kontur and Mahima Joiya for support, Vyta Bindokas and Christine Labno (University of Chicago Light Microscopy Core Facility) for help with light microscopy, and Vernon Carruthers (University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI), Eileen Hamilton (University of California, Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, CA), and Lydia Bright (Indiana University, Bloomington, IN) for advice. Doug Chalker (Washington University, St. Louis, MO) generously shared Tetrahymena-specific tagging vectors, Jody Bowen (University of Rochester, Rochester, NY) and Jacek Gaertig (University of Georgia, Athens, GA) shared anti-tubulin antibodies, and E. Marlo Nelsen and Joseph Frankel (University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA) shared mAbs 4D11 and SE9. This research was supported by National Science Foundation MCB-1051985 to A.P.T. A.P.T. serves on the scientific advisory board of Tetragenetics (Cambridge, MA).

**REFERENCES**

Adoutte A (1988). Exocytosis: biogenesis, transport and secretion of trichoysts. In: Paramecium, Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 325–362.

Adoutte A, Garreau de Loubresse N, Beisson J (1984). Proteolytic cleavage and maturation of the crystalline secretion products of Paramecium. J Mol Biol 180, 1065–1081.

Ahara M, Otto GP, Tooze SA (2006). Synaptotagmin IV is necessary for the maturation of secretory granules in PC12 cells. J Cell Biol 173, 241–251.

Allen RD (1967). Fine structure, reconstruction and possible functions of the apicomplexans, Paramecium, Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 325–362.

Arvan P, Castle D (1998). Sorting and storage during secretory granule biogenesis: looking backward and looking forward. Biochim J 332, 593–610.

Bendayan M (1989). Ultrastructural localization of insulin and C-peptide antigenic sites in rat pancreatic B cell obtained by applying the quantitative high-resolution protein A-gold approach. Am J Anat 185, 205–216.
Bonnemaison ML, Epper BA, Mains RE (2013). Role of adaptor proteins in secretory granule biogenesis and maturation. Front Endocrinol 4, 101.

Bowman GR, Elde NC, Morgan G, Winey M, Turkwitz AP (2005a). Core formation and the acquisition of fusion competence are linked during secretory granule maturation in Tetrahymena. Traffic 6, 303–323.

Bowman GR, Smith DG, Michael J, Carroll R, Swift HH, Steiner DF (1987). Studies on the molecular mechanism of secretion of the regulated secretory pathway in neuroendocrine cells. Cell 42, 671–681.

Bonnemaison ML, Eipper BA, Mains RE (2013). Role of adaptor proteins in secretory granule biogenesis. J Cell Biol 204, 537–550.

Bragdi Z, Carruthers VB (2011). Cathepsin proteases in the secretory pathway. Cell 141, 359–371.

Bruss白马 PN, Chilcoat ND, Melia SM, Turkewitz AP (2006). Macronuclear genome sequence of the ciliate Tetrahymena thermophila. Genetics 174, 286–292.

Bonnemaison ML, Eipper BA, Mains RE (2013). Role of adaptor proteins in secretory granule biogenesis. Mol Biol Cell 24, 3293–3301.

Bonnemaison ML, Eipper BA, Mains RE (2013). Role of adaptor proteins in secretory granule biogenesis. Mol Biol Cell 24, 3293–3301.

Bonnemaison ML, Eipper BA, Mains RE (2013). Role of adaptor proteins in secretory granule biogenesis. Mol Biol Cell 24, 3293–3301.

Bonnemaison ML, Eipper BA, Mains RE (2013). Role of adaptor proteins in secretory granule biogenesis. Mol Biol Cell 24, 3293–3301.

Bonnemaison ML, Eipper BA, Mains RE (2013). Role of adaptor proteins in secretory granule biogenesis. Mol Biol Cell 24, 3293–3301.

Bonnemaison ML, Eipper BA, Mains RE (2013). Role of adaptor proteins in secretory granule biogenesis. Mol Biol Cell 24, 3293–3301.

Bonnemaison ML, Eipper BA, Mains RE (2013). Role of adaptor proteins in secretory granule biogenesis. Mol Biol Cell 24, 3293–3301.

Bonnemaison ML, Eipper BA, Mains RE (2013). Role of adaptor proteins in secretory granule biogenesis. Mol Biol Cell 24, 3293–3301.
is critical for parasite invasion and rhoptry protein processing. J Biol Chem 277, 25791–25797.

Rahaman A, Miao W, Turkewitz AP (2009). Independent transport and sorting of functionally distinct protein families in Tetrahymena dense core secretory granules. Eukaryot Cell 8, 1575–1583.

Satir B (1977). Dibucaine-induced synchronous mucocyst secretion in Tetrahymena. Cell Biol Int Rep 1, 69–73.

Shang Y, Song X, Bowen J, Corstanje R, Gao Y, Gaertig J, Gorovsky MA (2002). A robust inducible-repressible promoter greatly facilitates gene knockouts, conditional expression, and overexpression of homologous and heterologous genes in Tetrahymena thermophila. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 99, 3734–3739.

Shea M, Jakes U, Liu Q, Berry C, Joiner KA, Soldati-Favre D (2007). A family of aspartic proteases and a novel, dynamic and cell-cycle-dependent protease localization in the secretory pathway of Toxoplasma gondii. Traffic 8, 1018–1034.

Steiner DF (1991). Prohormone convertases revealed at last. Curr Biol 1, 375–377.

Steiner DF (1998). The proprotein convertases. Curr Opin Chem Biol 2, 31–39.

Stover NA, Rice JD (2011). Distinct cyclin genes define each stage of ciliate conjugation. Cell Cycle 10, 1699–1701.

Tiedtke A (1976). Capsule shedding in Tetrahymena. Naturwissenschaften 63, 93.

Tooze SA, Martens GJ, Huttner WB (2001). Secretory granule biogenesis: rafting to the SNARE. Trends Cell Biol 11, 116–122.

Turkewitz AP (2004). Out with a bang! Tetrahymena as a model system to study secretory granule biogenesis. Traffic 5, 63–68.

Turkewitz AP, Kelly RB (1992). Immunocytochemical analysis of secretion mutants of Tetrahymena using a mucocyst-specific monoclonal antibody. Dev Genet 13, 151–159.

Turkewitz AP, Madeddu L, Kelly RB (1991). Maturation of dense core granules in wild type and mutant Tetrahymena thermophila. EMBO J 10, 1979–1987.

Tynela J, Sohar I, Sleet DE, Gin RM, Donnelly RJ, Baumann M, Haltia M, Lobel P (2000). A mutation in the ovine cathepsin D gene causes a congenital lysosomal storage disease with profound neurodegeneration. EMBO J 19, 2786–2792.

Vayssie L, Garreau De Loubresse N, Sperling L (2001). Growth and form of secretory granules involves stepwise assembly but not differential sorting of a family of secretory proteins in Paramecium. J Cell Sci 114, 875–886.

Vayssie L, Skouri F, Sperling L, Cohen J (2000). Molecular genetics of regulated secretion in Paramecium. Biochimie 82, 269–288.

Verbsky JW, Turkewitz AP (1998). Proteolytic processing and Ca2+-binding activity of dense-core vesicle polypeptides in Tetrahymena. Mol Biol Cell 9, 497–511.

Xie R, Clark KM, Gorovsky MA (2007). Endoplasmic reticulum retention signal-dependent glycosylation of the Hsp70/Grp170-related Pgp1p in Tetrahymena. Eukaryotic Cell 6, 388–397.

Xiong J, Yuan D, Fillingham JS, Garg J, Lu X, Chang Y, Liu Y, Fu C, Pearlman RE, Miao W (2011). Gene network landscape of the ciliate Tetrahymena thermophila. PLoS One 6, e20124.

Xiong J, Yuan D, Zaidi N, Nieke S, Kalbacher H (2008). Cathepsin D: a cellular roadmap. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 376, 5–9.

Zaidi N, Maurer A, Nieke S, Kalbacher H (2008). Cathepsin D: a cellular road map. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 376, 5–9.