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Abstract

Building upon recent Deep Neural Network architectures, current approaches lying in the intersection of computer vision and natural language processing have achieved unprecedented breakthroughs in tasks like automatic captioning or image retrieval. Most of these learning methods, though, rely on large training sets of images associated with human annotations that specifically describe the visual content. In this paper we propose to go a step further and explore the more complex cases where textual descriptions are loosely related to the images. We focus on the particular domain of News articles in which the textual content often expresses connotative and ambiguous relations that are only suggested but not directly inferred from images. We introduce new deep learning methods that address source detection, popularity prediction, article illustration and geolocation of articles. An adaptive CNN architecture is proposed, that shares most of the structure for all the tasks, and is suitable for multitask and transfer learning. Deep Canonical Correlation Analysis is deployed for article illustration, and a new loss function based on Great Circle Distance is proposed for geolocation. Furthermore, we present BreakingNews, a novel dataset with approximately 100K news articles including images, text and captions, and enriched with heterogeneous meta-data (such as GPS coordinates and popularity metrics). We show this dataset to be appropriate to explore all aforementioned problems, for which we provide a baseline performance using various Deep Learning architectures, and different representations of the textual and visual features. We report very promising results and bring to light several limitations of current state-of-the-art in this kind of domain, which we hope will help spur progress in the field.
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1 INTRODUCTION

In recent years, there has been a growing interest in exploring the relation between images and language. Simultaneous progress in the fields of Computer Vision (CV) and Natural Language Processing (NLP) has led to impressive results in learning both image-to-text and text-to-image connections. Tasks such as automatic image captioning [9], [17], [34], [38], [72], [77], image retrieval [21], [29], [42], [45] or image generation from sentences [7], [84] have shown unprecedented results, which claimed to be similar to the performance expected from a three-year old child.

1. http://www.ted.com/talks/fei_fei_li_how_we_re_teaching_computers_to_understand_pictures
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One of the main reasons behind the success of these approaches is the resurgence of deep learning for modeling data, which has been possible due to the development of new parallel computers and GPU architectures and due to the release of new large datasets, used to train deep models with many parameters.

The popularity of crowd sourcing tools has facilitated the proliferation of a number of these datasets combining visual and language content. Among them, the most widely known are the UIUC Pascal Sentence Dataset [62], the SBU captioned photo dataset [58], Flickr8K [29], Flickr30K [80] and MS-COCO [48]. All these datasets consist of a number of images (from 1K to 1M), each annotated by human written sentences (between 1 and 5 per image). The annotations are typically short and accurate sentences (of less than 20 words) describing the visual content of the image and the action taking place. In contrast, other and more complex types of documents, like illustrated news articles, have been barely explored.

We believe that current successes in the crossroads between NLP and computer vision indicate that the techniques are mature for more challenging objectives than those posed by existing datasets. The NLP community has been addressing tasks such as sentiment analysis, popularity prediction, summarization, source identification or geolocation to name a few that have been relatively little explored in computer vision. In this paper we propose several learning schemes. Specifically, for the source detection, article illustration, popularity and geolocation prediction, we consider an adaptive CNN architecture, that shares most of the structure for all the problems, and just requires replacing and retraining the last layers in order to tackle each particular problem. We also compare single-task, multi-task and transfer learning on mixed NLP/CV tasks. For the caption generation task, image and text representations are combined in a Long Short-Term Network (LSTM).

In order to evaluate these algorithms, we have collected BreakingNews, a large-scale dataset of news articles with rich meta-data. Our dataset consists of approximately 100K news articles, illustrated by one to three images and their corresponding captions. Additionally, each article is enriched with other data like related images from Google Images, tags, shallow and deep linguistic features (e.g. parts of speech, semantic topics or outcome of a sentiment analyzer), GPS latitude/longitude coordinates and reader comments. The articles cover the whole year
2014 and are collected from various reference newspapers and media agencies like BBC News, The Guardian or the Washington Post.

This dataset is an excellent benchmark for taking joint vision and language developments a step further. In contrast to existing datasets, the link between images and text in BreakingNews is not as direct, i.e., the objects, actions and attributes of the images may not explicitly appear as words in the text (see examples in Fig. 1). The visual-language connections are more subtle and learning them will require the development of new inference tools able to reason at a higher and more abstract level. Furthermore, besides tackling article illustration or image captioning tasks, the proposed dataset is intended to address new challenges, such as source/media agency detection, estimation of GPS coordinates, or popularity prediction (which we annotate based on the reader comments and number of re-tweets).

For each of these tasks we benchmark several learning schemes based on deep neural network architectures and different feature representations for text and images. Overall results are very promising, but there is still much room for improvement, and the dataset can be easily extended with additional annotations to explore other problems such as sentiment analysis or text summarization. Both the baseline results we obtain and the dataset will be made publicly available, and we hope it will inspire future research in the field.

Overview: The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present the different vision and language processing tasks that will be addressed in this paper, with the review of the related work for each case. We consider this thorough revision of related work in up to seven different text-and-image topics, to be one of the main contributions of the paper. The following two sections, describe the technical details about how the visual and textual data is represented (Section 3), and which CNN architectures we have built to tackle each of the tasks (Section 4). In Section 5, we introduce BreakingNews, the news article dataset that we will use to evaluate our CNNs for each of the tasks, in Section 6.

2 TASKS DESCRIPTION AND RELATED WORK

We next describe the tasks that will be tackled in this article and the related work for each of them, as well as the existing datasets in Section 5.

2.1 Text Illustration

This task deals with automatically retrieving the appropriate image (or a small subset) from a pool of images given a textual query of a news story.

Some approaches tackle this problem by learning classifiers to represent images through intermediate semantic concepts, that can then be easily assigned to individual keywords or to multi-attribute textual descriptions [4], [5], [42], [45], [63], [18]. Richer textual queries are allowed in [21], [29] by mapping both image and sentences to intermediate spaces where direct comparison is possible. For all these methods, the textual input consists on keywords or short sentences at most.

There have been some efforts specifically addressing the domain of news articles. For instance [22] builds a system based on joint topic models to link text to images, and evaluates the results in the BBC News dataset [23]. In [10], it is assumed that there exist short text descriptions and tags accompanying the images, which can then be easily matched to text documents represented by means of word frequencies. Other approaches perform article illustration by exploiting Google’s search engine (which also assumes text associated with each image of the database), and combine multiple queries generated from the title of the article [47], or from the narrative keywords [30]. Similarly, [35] proposes a story picturing engine, that first processes a story to detect certain keywords, and then uses these to select annotated images from a database.
Alternatively to image retrieval strategies, text illustration can be carried out from a generative perspective. There exist early approaches that extracted object arrangements from sentences to then generate computer graphic representations of static [12] and dynamic [59] scenes. [24] proposed a system to animate a human avatar based on the emotions inferred from text. And very recently, advanced sentence parsers have been used to extract objects and their relations from sentences, and then automatically render 2D [84] and 3D [7] scenes.

Finally, illustration of short texts, like chat messages or tweets, has also been investigated [33], [73].

2.2 Geolocation

This task considers the problem of geographically referencing news articles based on text and image cues. One of the pioneering works on a related topic proposed an approach for geolocating web documents by detecting and disambiguating location names in the text [16]. Also only using text information, [64] matched a predefined tagged map to the most likely GPS location of tagged Flickr photos. On the other hand, several image-based methods leverage massive datasets of geotagged images for geolocation of generic scenes on the global Earth scale [27], [37], [74], or for place recognition tasks [8]. There has been also work on this area by combining text and image information [6], [13].

When addressing the specific domain of news articles, most existing works use only text information [47], [75]. One interesting exception is [83], which combines textual descriptors with global image representations based on GIST [56] to infer geolocation of nearly two thousand NY Times articles.

2.3 Popularity Prediction

Predicting the popularity of a blog post, an article, a video, or even a tweet (i.e. how many times it has been viewed or shared, or how many comments it generated) has useful applications for online publishers and social networks, and consequently has attracted the interest of many researchers [2], [3], [60], [69], [71]. This is also related to recent approaches that address the question of what makes an image memorable, interesting or popular [15], [26], [31].

In the case of news articles, the number comments an article can generate [69], [71], or the number of times it has been shared on social networks [2] is often used as a proxy for its popularity. This measures are then used to predict which articles will be more relevant, or its average “life span”.

2.4 Source detection

This task deals with analyzing the content of the news articles, and detecting in which news media agency it has been originally published. This task can be resolved by modeling the type of language and topical preference for each news agency, but also from correctly modeling the sentiment in each news article based on the political orientation of the agency, or other similar refinements.

Although we are not aware of other approaches explicitly tackling source identification in news articles, there exists a vast amount of related works, mostly motivated by detection of plagiarism or dealing with the authorship identification problem [41], [55], [68].

2.5 Caption Generation

Among the tasks dealing with image and text interactions, automatically generating photo captions is the one receiving most attention. Early work in this area focused in annotating
images with single words [4, 66], phrases with a reduced vocabulary [21, 44] or with semantic tuples [57, 61]. The problem has also been tackled from a ranking perspective, in which given a query image, one needs to rank a set of human generated captions. Projecting images and captions to a joint representation space using Kernel or Normalized Canonical Correlation Analysis has been used for this purpose [25, 29]. The largest body of recent work, though, share a basic approach, which consists in using a Recurrent Neural Network (RNN) to learn to “translate” the image features into a sentence in English, one word at a time [9, 17, 20, 34, 38, 40, 51, 72, 77].

Yet, while the results obtained by the RNN-based approaches are outstanding, they are all focused on accurately describing the content of the picture, which is significantly different than generating a suitable caption for the illustration of a news article, in which the relation between the visual content of the image and the corresponding captions is more indirect and subtle than in typical image captioning datasets. This is precisely the main challenge posed by the BreakingNews dataset we present. There exists some previous work in automatic caption generation for images of the BBC News Dataset [23]. However, as we will discuss later, BreakingNews is about two orders of magnitude larger than BBC News Dataset, and incorporates a variety of metadata that brings the opportunity to develop new computer vision approaches for the analysis of multi-modal and large-scale multimedia data.

2.6 Image and Text Datasets

There is no doubt that one of the pillars on which the recent advent of Deep Learning holds is the proliferation of large object classification and detection datasets like ImageNet [14], PASCAL VOC 2012 [19] and SUN [76]. Similarly, the progress on the joint processing of natural language and images largely depends on several datasets of images with human written descriptions. For instance, the UIUC Pascal Sentence Dataset [62] consists of 1,000 images each annotated by 5 relatively simple sentences, even lacking verb in a large percentage of them. The SBU photo dataset [58] consists of one million web images with one description per image. These descriptions are automatically mined and do not always describe the visual content of the image. The Flickr8K [29], Flickr30K [80] and MS-COCO [48] contain five sentences for a collection of 8K, 30K and 100K images, respectively. In these datasets, the sentences specifically describe the visual content and actions occurring in the image.

In this paper, we focus on illustrated news articles datasets, which differ from previous image and text datasets in that the captions or the text of the article do not necessarily describe the objects and actions in the images. The relation between text and images may express connotative relations rather than specific content relations. The only dataset similar to BreakingNews is the BBC News dataset [23], which contains up to 3,000 articles with images, captions and body text. However, this dataset is mainly intended for caption generation tasks. In BreakingNews, we provide a two order of magnitude larger dataset, that besides images and text, contains other metadata (GPS location, user comments, semantic topics, etc) which allows exploring a much wider set of problems and exploit the power of current Deep Learning algorithms.

2.7 Multi-Task and Transfer Learning on Large Datasets

As just said, one of the distinguishing features of BreakingNews is that it is a large-scale multimodal and heterogeneous dataset (see Fig. 1), appropriate for exploring different and learning algorithms. These algorithms can, in turn, be independently developed for each of the tasks, or as we will show, we can also explore methodologies for multi-task and transfer learning, which as demonstrated in [49, 79] can produce a boost to generalization of modern deep learning networks.
3 Representation

In this section we discuss text and image representations for news article analysis. We first present Bag-of-Words and Word2Vec text embeddings and then image representations based on deep CNNs.

3.1 Text representation

**Bag-of-Words (BoW) with TF-IDF weighting:** Bag-of-Words is one of the most established text representations, and their reliability and generalization capabilities have been demonstrated in countless publications. Therefore, we adopt it as a baseline for our approach. The BoW representation requires a vocabulary, which we established as unique lemmatised tokens from the training data that appear more than $L$ times in the articles. This leads to $D_b$ dimensional Bag-of-Words (BoW) vectors where the $j^{th}$ dimension is given by $t_j \log \frac{M}{c_j+1}$, where $t_j$ is the term frequency of the $j^{th}$ token (i.e. the number of times it appears in the article), $c_j$ is document frequency of the token (i.e. the number of training articles where it appears), and $M$ is the total number of training articles. A common practice is to truncate the BoW vector based on inverse document frequency. It has been demonstrated in various studies that the performance in various tasks improves monotonically as the number of retained dimensions increases.

**Word2Vec:** Recently, distributed representations for text, and Word2vec \cite{Mikolov2013}, \cite{Mikolov2013b}, \cite{Mikolov2013c} in particular, are gaining a lot of attention in the NLP community. This representation encodes every word in a real-valued vector that preserves semantic similarity, e.g. "king" minus "man" plus "woman" will be close to "queen" in the embedding space. Using a two-layer neural network, words are modeled based on their context, defined as a window that spans both past and future words. Two methods have been proposed to learn the representations: the Continuous bag of words (cbow) model, where the objective is predicting a word given its context, and the Continuous skip-gram model, where the objective is the opposite; i.e. trying to predict the context given the word being modeled. The negative sampling objective function, where the target word has to be distinguished from random negative words, is used as an efficient alternative to hierarchical soft max. We investigated both cbow and skip-gram methods\footnote{Code available at \url{https://code.google.com/p/word2vec/}} and found that the later performed better in our applications.

The trained model is used to encode each article by stacking the representation for every word and symbol in a matrix with dimension $D_w$ times the number of tokens in the article, where $D_w$ is the size of the embedding space. From this matrix we investigate three representations: 1) full matrix as input for the convolutional layers of a deep network; 2) mean or 3) max of each dimension to construct a single $s_e$ dimensional vector for each article.

3.2 Image representation

Our image representations are based on pre-trained deep CNNs. We follow the state-of-the-art CNN representation developed for object recognition \cite{Simonyan2014} with 19 convolutional layers (VGG19). More specifically, we compute the activations of the last ReLU layers of the VGG19 and the Places scene recognition CNN \cite{Zhou2016}. Each of these activations are 4,096 dimensional sparse vectors, and have been shown to perform well in various vision tasks. We also $\ell_2$ normalize the two vectors and concatenate them to form a third image representation of size 8,192.

\begin{footnote}
2. Code available at \url{https://code.google.com/p/word2vec/}\
\end{footnote}
In this section we describe the learning schemes based on deep neural networks that will be used for the proposed tasks. We first discuss in 4.1 a CNN for article illustration, source detection, and popularity and geolocation prediction. In 4.2 we extend the state-of-the-art LSTM approach for caption generation.

4.1 CNN for article analysis

**CNN architecture:** CNNs have recently proven successful in many NLP tasks such as sentiment analysis, machine translation or paraphrase identification [11], [36], [39]. We propose a CNN architecture, as illustrated in Fig. 2a, for various article analysis tasks. In the figure, dashed boxes are the inputs to the network, and solid boxes are the layers. Moreover, white boxes denote data and layers that are shared by all tasks (we denote the group by ”Shared Textual CNN”), while shaded ones are task specific.

Assuming Word2Vec embeddings have been learnt, an article can be represented by a $D_w \times n_i$ matrix, where $D_w$ is the dimensionality of the embedding space, and $n_i$ is the number of tokens in the $i^{th}$ article. Such matrix is zeros-padded to $D_w \times N$, where $N$ is the number of tokens in the longest article (we add $N - n_i$ columns of zeros). The convolution layer convolves the Word2Vec matrices with 256 rectangular kernels of size $D_w \times 5$ at a stride of 1, capturing local correlations in the tokens. The output of the convolution layer are 256 feature channels of dimension $N - 4$ each. Effectively, the convolutional kernels act as learnable feature detectors.

The activations of the convolution layer are max-pooled (pooling) along each channel. The resulting 256 dimensional vectors are transformed into 64 channels in a fully connected linear layer (FC), before nonlinearity is applied. Although Tanh or Absolute nonlinearities were observed...
to have a small accuracy advantage, we found that ReLU \cite{43} is numerically more stable in terms of gradient computation.

**Dropout** has been proven a simple yet effective way of preventing overfitting in large networks \cite{67}. We found that a small dropout ratio (e.g. 0.1) is sufficient for our tasks. Finally, after dropout, the 64 dimensional vectors are transformed in a second linear layer (\(FCo\)) to vectors with appropriate length. Let the output of \(FCo\) be \(z \in \mathbb{R}^d\) for each article, which will then be used in a task specific loss function, together with a task specific label. The gradient of the loss with respect to \(z\) will be computed and backpropagated to update the CNN parameters. The parameters of the CNN architecture for various tasks are given in Fig. 2a.

We next describe the configuration details of the last layer \(FCo\) and the loss functions (and when necessary their corresponding derivatives), that make the CNN architecture of Fig. 2a problem specific.

**Source detection:** For source detection, the label \(y\) is in the set \(\{1, \cdots, d\}\), being \(d\) the number of news agencies where the articles originate from. The **loss** layer implements the multinomial logistic loss that maximizes the cross entropy, whose gradients for backpropagation are readily available.

**Article illustration:** For article illustration, we use the image representation discussed in Sec. 3.2 as the label \(y \in \mathbb{R}^{8,192}\), which is a concatenation of \(\ell_2\) normalized VGG19 and Places activations. The output of the \(FCo\) layer \(z\) also has a dimensionality \(d = 8, 192\). For a batch of \(m\) data points, the inputs to the **loss** layer are two \(d \times m\) matrices \(Z\) and \(Y\).

Let the covariances of \(Z\) and \(Y\) be \(\Sigma_{zz}\) and \(\Sigma_{yy}\) respectively, and let the cross covariance be \(\Sigma_{zy}\), all of them \(\mathbb{R}^{d \times d}\) matrices. A good loss function for article illustration is the Canonical Correlation Analysis (CCA) loss, which seeks pairs of linear projections \(w_z, w_y \in \mathbb{R}^d\) that maximize the correlation between the articles and the images:

\[
(w_z^*, w_y^*) = \arg \max \ corr(w_z^T Z, w_y^T Y)
\]

\[
= \arg \max \ \frac{w_z^T \Sigma_{zy} w_y}{\sqrt{w_z^T \Sigma_{zz} w_z \Sigma_{yy} w_y}}
\]

Using the fact that the objective is invariant to scaling of \(w_z\) and \(w_y\), and assembling the top projection vectors into the columns of projection matrices \(W_z\) and \(W_y\), the CCA objective can be written as:

\[
\max_{W_z, W_y} \ tr(W_z^T \Sigma_{zy} W_y)
\]

\[\text{s.t. : } W_z^T \Sigma_{zz} W_z = W_y^T \Sigma_{yy} W_y = I_d\]

where \(I_d\) is the \(d\)-dimensional identity matrix.

Let us define \(\Gamma = \Sigma_{zz}^{-1/2} \Sigma_{zy} \Sigma_{yy}^{-1/2}\), and let \(U_k\) and \(V_k\) be the matrices of the first \(k\) left- and right-singular vectors of \(\Gamma\), respectively. In \cite{1, 52} it is shown that the optimal objective value is the sum of the top \(k\) singular values of \(\Gamma\), and the optimum is attained at

\[
(W_z^*, W_y^*) = (\Sigma_{zz}^{-1/2} U_k, \Sigma_{yy}^{-1/2} V_k)
\]

When \(k = d\), the total correlation objective in Eq. (2) is equal to the trace norm of \(\Gamma\):

\[
\text{corr}(Z, Y) = ||\Gamma||_\text{tr} = \text{tr}((\Gamma^T \Gamma)^{1/2})
\]

We define the CCA-based loss as \(L = - \text{corr}(Z, Y)\). If we consider the singular value decomposition (SVD) of \(\Gamma\) to be \(\Gamma = UDV^T\), recent works \cite{1, 78}, have shown that the gradient of \(L\)
with respect to \( Z \) is given by:

\[
\frac{\partial L}{\partial Z} = -\frac{1}{m-1}(2\nabla_{zz}\bar{Z} + \nabla_{zy}\bar{Y})
\]

(5)

where \( \bar{Z} \) and \( \bar{Y} \) are the centered data matrices, and

\[
\nabla_{zz} = -\frac{1}{2}\Sigma_{zz}^{-1/2}UDU^\top\Sigma_{zz}^{-1/2}
\]

(6)

\[
\nabla_{zy} = \Sigma_{zy}^{-1/2}UV^\top\Sigma_{yy}^{-1/2}
\]

(7)

**Popularity prediction:** For this task the label \( y \) is a scalar denoting the number of comments. Accordingly the output of the \( FCo \) layer \( z \) has dimension \( d = 1 \). In the loss layer we minimize the \( \ell_1 \) distance between \( z \) and \( y \), and backpropagate the gradient.

**Geolocation prediction:** For geolocation prediction, the label \( y = [y_1, y_2]^\top \) is a pair of latitude and longitude values, where the latitude \( y_1 \in [-\pi/2, \pi/2] \) and the longitude \( y_2 \in [-\pi, \pi] \). Fig. 3 illustrates the ground truth geolocations in the training set.

The output of the \( FCo \) layer \( z \in \mathbb{R}^2 \) can be thought of as the predicted latitude and longitude. In the loss layer, we could minimize the Euclidean distance between the two geolocations \( z \) and \( y \). However, the Euclidean loss does not take into account the fact that the two meridians near \( -\pi \) and \( \pi \) respectively are actually close to each other. To address this, we minimize the Great Circle Distance (GCD) between \( z \) and \( y \), which is defined as the geodesic distance on the surface of a sphere, measured along the surface. We use the spherical law of cosines approximation of GCD:

\[
\text{GCD} = R \cdot \arccos(\sin y_1 \sin z_1 + \cos y_1 \cos z_1 \cos \delta)
\]

(8)

where \( \delta = z_2 - y_2 \), and \( R = 6,137 \) km is the radius of the Earth. Ignoring the constant \( R \) we define our geolocation loss function as

\[
L = \arccos(\sin y_1 \sin z_1 + \cos y_1 \cos z_1 \cos \delta)
\]

(9)

Using the chain rule, the gradient of \( L \) with respect to \( z \) can be shown to be:

\[
\frac{\partial L}{\partial z} = \begin{pmatrix}
-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\phi^2}}(\sin y_1 \cos z_1 - \cos y_1 \sin z_1 \cos \delta) \\
-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\phi^2}}(-\cos y_1 \cos z_1 \sin \delta)
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(10)

where \( \phi = \sin y_1 \sin z_1 + \cos y_1 \cos z_1 \cos \delta \). In practice, to ensure numerical stability, the term \( 1 - \phi^2 \) is discarded when it is too close to zero.
Despite long distances and late pregnancy, Mary and Joseph made an effort to pay their taxes. Photograph: Rex Features

A young fan waits outside the stadium where the minor league team the P-Nats play in Dale City, Va. (Bill O’Leary/The Washington Post)

Caroline Flack won the Strictly Come Dancing finale, but could be a turn-off on stage, research suggests

Fig. 4: Example images and their corresponding captions in the training set. Note that the captions not always explicitly describe the visual content of the images.

**Multitask and transfer learning:** Multitask and transfer learning are both effective methods for sharing learnt information. Information in deep neural networks is learnt in the form of hierarchical representations, which allows layer specific sharing and transfer of parameters. We consider the following two variants of the CNN:

- **Multitask CNN:** At the output of the CNN we have multiple parallel loss layers and the corresponding $FCo$ layers (see Fig. 2b). The goal is to learn representations shared by multiple tasks in the layers of the "Shared Textual CNN" block, and task-specific representations in the $FCo$ layers.

- **Transfer CNN:** A CNN is first trained in a single task setting. The loss layer and corresponding $FCo$ layer are then replaced with those for a new task, with the parameters for these layers randomly initialized, and the model is finetuned on the new task.

### 4.2 LSTM for caption generation

Image and video captioning has made significant progress in the last few years. State-of-the-art approaches use CNNs to encode image and video as a fixed-length vectors, and employ recurrent neural networks (RNNs) in the particular form of Long Short-Term Memory Networks (LSTMs) [28] to decode the vector into a caption [17], [72], [77]. By using an input gate, an output gate and a forget gate, LSTMs are capable of learning long-term temporal dependences between important events. Moreover, LSTMs successfully deal with the vanishing and exploding gradients problem by keeping the errors being backpropagated in their memory.

In this paper we consider the following LSTM-based architectures to tackle the caption generation problem.

**LSTM with fixed features:** The task of news image captioning differs from most existing works on image captioning in two aspects. First, captions for news images are often only loosely related to what is illustrated in the images, making it much more challenging to learn the mapping between images and captions. Six example images and associated captions in the training set are shown as examples in Fig. 4. Second, instead of conditioning only on images, news captions are conditioned both on the articles and images. To address the second difference, we extend the recent techniques in [17], [72], [77]. We take the mean of a Word2Vec matrix as the fixed article representation, and VGG19 and/or Places activations as the fixed image representation, and train an LSTM using either representation, or a concatenation of the two. The architecture of such a scheme is illustrated in Fig. 2c.
End-to-end learning with CNNs+LSTM: The language CNN described earlier in this section (Fig. 2a), the VGG19 (or Places) image CNN, and the LSTM for caption generation are all neural networks trained with backpropagation. We propose to connect the three networks as illustrated in Fig. 2d, achieving end-to-end learning of caption generation from raw articles and raw images. In this setting, the VGG19 CNN and the language CNN have FCo' and FCo as their last layers respectively, which encode image and article information into a 500 dimensional vector each. The VGG19 CNN is also filled with parameters pretrained on ImageNet. During training, the gradients in LSTM are propagated backward in time, and backward into the two CNNs.

5 BreakingNews Dataset

We have come a long way since the days when a news article consisted solely of a few paragraphs of text: online articles are illustrated by pictures and even videos, and readers can share their comments on the story in the same web-page, complementing the original document.

Studying the effects and interactions of these multiple modalities has clear interesting applications, such as easing the work of journalist by automatically suggesting pictures from a repository, or determining the best way to promote a given article in order to reach the widest readership. Yet, no benchmarks that capture this multi-modality are available for scientific research.

For these reasons, we propose a novel dataset of news articles with images, captions, geolocation information and comments, which we will use to evaluate the previously described CNN and LSTM architectures on a variety of tasks. Our CNNs are based on the most recent state-or-the-art approaches in deep learning, and thus, this dataset is intended to be a touchstone to explore the current limits of these methodologies, which have been shown to be very effective when dealing with images associated with visually descriptive text.
5.1 Description of the Dataset

The dataset consists of approximately 100,000 articles published between the 1st of January and the 31th of December of 2014. All articles include at least one image, and cover a wide variety of topics, including sports, politics, arts, healthcare or local news. Table 1 shows some of the most popular topics.

The main text of the articles was downloaded using the IJS newsfeed [70], which provides a clean stream of semantically enriched news articles in multiple languages from a pool of rss feeds.

We restricted the articles to those that were written in English, contained at least one image, and originated from a shortlist of highly-ranked news media agencies (see Table 2) to ensure a degree of consistency and quality. Given the geographic distribution of the news agencies, most of the dataset is made of news stories in English-speaking countries in general, and the United Kingdom in particular.

For each article we downloaded the images, image captions and user comments from the original article web-page. News article images are quite different from those in existing captioned images datasets like Flickr8K [29] or MS-COCO [48]: often include close-up views of a person (46% of the pictures in BreakingNews contain faces) or complex scenes. Furthermore, news image captions use a much richer vocabulary than in existing datasets (e.g. Flickr8K has a total of 8,918 unique tokens, while eight thousand random captions from BreakingNews already have 28,028), and they rarely describe the exact contents of the picture.

We complemented the original article images with additional pictures downloaded from Google Images, using the full title of the article as search query. The five top ranked images of sufficient size in each search were downloaded as potentially related images (in fact, the original article image usually appears among them).

Regarding measures of article popularity, we downloaded all comments in the article page and the number of shares on different social networks (e.g. Twitter, Facebook, LinkedIn) if this information was available. Whenever possible, in addition to the full text of the comments, we recovered the thread structure, as well as the author, publication date, likes (and dislikes) and number of replies. Since there were no share or comments information available for "The Irish Independent", we searched Twitter using the full title of the articles, and collected the tweets with links to the original article, or that mentioned a name associated with the newspaper (e.g. @Independent_ie, Irish Independent, @IndoBusiness) in place of comments. We considered the collective number of re-tweets as shares of the article. In this work, we have used the number of comments that is reported in the article web-page as the popularity measure, but many other options are possible (e.g. number of shares, number of comments by different users or number of comments of a certain length).

The IJS Newsfeed annotates the articles with geolocation information both for the news agency and for the article content. This information is primarily taken from the provided RSS summary, but sometimes it is not available and then it is inferred from the article using heuristics such as the location of the publisher, TLD country, or the story text. Fig. 3 shows a distribution of news story geolocation.

Finally, the dataset is annotated for convenience with shallow and deep linguistic features (e.g. part of speech tags, inferred semantic topics, named entity detection and resolution, sentiment analysis) with the XLike [3] and the Enrycher [4] NLP pipelines.

3. http://www.xlike.org/language-processing-pipeline/
4. http://ailab.ijs.si/tools/enrycher/
5.2 Comparison to similar datasets

To the best of our knowledge, the only publicly available multi-modal news datasets similar to BreakingNews is the BBC News [23], which contains a total of 3,361 articles with images and captions. This size is clearly insufficient to train data-hungry models like LSTM recurrent neural networks, that are the state-of-the-art techniques for caption generation. Furthermore, in addition to the difference in size, the BBC News does not include geolocation information, tags, social network shares or user comments, and all articles come from a single source.

6 Results

In this section, we present experimental results on the five tasks we considered. We first discuss CNN results for source detection, geolocation and popularity prediction and article illustration, followed by a discussion on LSTM and mixed LSTM/CNNs model for caption generation.

6.1 Implementation Details

Before describing the experimental results, we discuss the technical aspects related to the preparation of dataset and to the implementation details, including the hyper-parameters set-up for representation and learning.

Dataset considerations for the experimental setup: The BreakingNews dataset is split into train, validation and test sets with 60%, 20% and 20% articles respectively. In order to ensure fairness in the experiments, we also checked there was almost no overlap of images between the sets using the VGG19 features and a cosine distance. This ratio of near-identical image pairs was in the order of $10^{-6}$. The measure of article popularity that we have used corresponds to the number of comments, as reported in the article web-page.

Textual representations: In total there are 44,665 unique tokens in the training set, so the BoW representation is $D_b = 44,665$ dimensional. For Word2Vec, we used the BreakingNews training set with the skip-gram method to learn the embedding space. The size of Word2vec embedding space was $D_w = 500$, the window size was 30, the sampling rate was set to 1e-5, and the number of negative samples was set to 5. These hyper-parameters were chosen based on the article illustration task for a small subset. We also experimented with a publicly available Word2Vec model trained with the Google 100 billion words dataset, but the performance was worse.

CNNs for article analysis: The proposed CNN architecture including our novel GCD and CCA losses were implemented using the Caffe framework [32]. Unless specified otherwise, in the experiments we used a base learning rate of 0.05, which dropped by a factor of 0.1 after every 1,000 iterations. Stochastic gradient descent was employed as the solver, for which the momentum was set to 0.9. The regularisation parameter weight decay was set to 0.0005. For most tasks a larger batch size tended to lead to better performance. The results reported in this paper were obtained with a batch size of $m = 64$. All our experiments were performed on an NVIDIA Tesla K40C GPU with 12G memory. It takes approximately 10 hours for training to converge. Depending on task, the total number of parameters learnt in the CNN ranges from approximately 0.7M to 1.2M.

LSTMs for caption generation: The two architectures in Fig. 2c,d were implemented using Neuraltalk2 [5]. We set both the input encoding size and LSTM memory size to 256, the learning rate to 0.0002, the batch size to 4, and kept all other parameters default. On the NVIDIA K40C GPU it typically took several days for the training to converge. The total number of parameters was approximately 50M and 200M for the two architectures respectively.

5. https://github.com/karpathy/neuraltalk2
Fig. 5: Source detection: t-SNE embedding of shallow and deep features for the 19,849 articles from the test set.

| Source Detection | Accuracy | Bal. accuracy |
|------------------|----------|---------------|
| BoW TF-IDF       | 72.9     | 72.6          |
| SVM              |          |               |
| BoW TF-IDF 5000-d| 70.8     | 70.0          |
| W2V mean         | 68.6     | 64.4          |
| W2V max          | 57.3     | 53.4          |
| CNN W2V matrix   | 81.2     | 80.7          |

TABLE 3: Results of source detection. Due to the unbalance between the classes, balanced accuracy is also reported. CNN shows a clear advantage over shallow learners for this task.

6.2 CNNs for article analysis

Source detection: For this task we compare the proposed CNN trained with the full 500 × 8,000 Word2Vec matrix with the popular shallow learner linear SVM. For the latter, we consider four versions of shallow textual features: the full 44,665 dimensional TF-IDF weighted BoW feature; its truncated version with 5,000 dimensions; the mean of Word2Vec matrix; and the max of Word2Vec matrix (direct usage of the full matrix was discarded right away as impractical and not robust to sentence variations). Additionally, note that the source detection problem is unbalanced, as can be seen in Table 2. We therefore use two performance metrics: the overall accuracy, and the balanced accuracy which is the average of per-class accuracies.

Results in Table 3 show that the full BoW feature outperforms its truncated version, and the mean of Word2Vec is much better than the max version. When comparing the two types of features, BoW’s small advantage may be attributed to its much higher dimensionality (44,665/5,000 vs. 500). Finally, the proposed CNN architecture produces accuracies more than 10 points higher than the best shallow feature. In Fig. 5 we plot the 2D t-SNE embedding of the shallow features and the output of the FCo layer of the CNN for the test set, where the points are colour-coded with class labels. It is evident that the deeply-learnt representation in CNN provides a much better separation of the different classes.

Article illustration: We compare the CNN with the Canonical Correlation Analysis (CCA), which is a standard shallow learner for text and image matching [25], [29]. Given textual and visual representations for a pair of article and image, CCA finds the optimal projection that maximises the correlation between the two modalities. For textual representation on the CCA we again consider both BoW and Word2Vec mean; and for image representation we use activations of the pretrained VGG19 and Places models, and the concatenation of the two. Recall
TABLE 4: Article Illustration experiments. Three setups to evaluate different alternatives (learning approaches and features) for automatically illustrating images, and exploring the problem.

That for the CNN we use the full $500 \times 8,000$ Word2Vec representation for the text, and the 8,192-dimensional representation for the images, resulting from the concatenation of the VGG19 and Places activations.

For each test article we rank the 23,200 test images according to the projection learnt in CCA or CNN, and measure the performance in terms of recall of the ground truth image at the $k^{th}$ rank position (R@k), and the median rank (MR) of the ground truth. Note that a higher R@k or a lower MR score indicates a better performance.

Results in the top of Table 4 demonstrate that when CCA is used, with either textual representation the VGG19-based visual feature outperforms Places-based feature by a significant margin; while combining the two further improves the performance. Comparing the two textual representations, BoW with TF-IDF again has an edge over the mean of Word2Vec.

The CNN, although using the full Word2Vec matrix and VGG19+Places feature as input, only manages to marginally outperform its shallow counterpart (CCA with Word2Vec mean and VGG19+Places). We hypothesize that this is because in news articles, the text is only loosely related to the content of the associated image. This is in contrast to standard image/text matching datasets such as MS-COCO [48] and Flickr30k [25], where the associated caption explicitly describes the content of the image. In any event, note that the MR values are around 400, which, considering a pool of more than 24K images, are very remarkable results.

In order to better understand the nature of the problem and the challenges of the proposed dataset we performed two additional experiments. For efficiency’s sake, in these experiments we just considered the CCA, which can be trained much faster (requires computing an SVD of a matrix of size the number of training images) than the back-propagation scheme needed for the CNN.
|                   | Mean $\ell_1$ | Median $\ell_1$ |
|------------------|--------------|----------------|
| SVR BoW TF-IDF   | 70.25        | 6.73           |
| W2V mean         | 69.75        | 3.63           |
| CNN W2V matrix   | 70.72        | 1.09           |

TABLE 5: Results of the popularity prediction task. The mean and median distance between the predicted and actual number of comments is shown. Given the long tail nature of the distribution of user comments, the median is more significant.

In the first setting, the related images collected from the Internet replace the original image associated with the article. Visual features are extracted from the related images and averaged as the visual representation. The results in Table 4 middle indicate a large performance improvement. For example, the MR decreases from 499 to 161 for the W2V mean/VGG19 feature combination. This shows that the original images are weakly correlated with the actual articles, and this correlation is improved by considering additional Internet images.

Along the same lines, in the second setting we assumed the images were already equipped with captions. The W2V embeddings were computed for each caption and the mean was concatenated with the visual feature as the final "visual" representation. We considered two variants: one with the original captions and an "anonymized" one, with proper nouns replaced by common nouns, i.e., person names replaced by someone, place names by somewhere and organizations by organization. The results in the bottom of Table 4 show that both variants substantially improve the performance, reducing MR from 499 to 7 and 42, respectively. Again, this confirms that the main challenge of the BreakingNews dataset is the lack of correlation between images and articles. While the results obtained considering the original images are very promising, these last two experiments indicate there is still a big margin for improvement.

**Popularity prediction:** For this task we used Support Vector Regression (SVR) as the baseline method. Performance was measured as mean and median of $\ell_1$ distance between the predicted popularity (number of comments) and the ground truth. Results in Table 5 show that with median $\ell_1$ distance, CNN is significantly better than SVR with Word2Vec mean, which in turn outperforms SVR with BoW features. When mean $\ell_1$ distance is used as metric the performance difference between the methods is less pronounced. However, given the large variation in the number of comments (e.g. many articles have zero comments while some have as many as 14,298), the median is a more stable performance metric.

**Geolocation prediction:** We again used SVR as a baseline for this 2D regression problem. Another baseline was the CNN but with an Euclidean loss, as opposed to the GCD loss. In the datasets there are articles with multiple geolocations. For training, we only used the first geolocation, while for testing we computed the GCD as defined in Eq. (8) between the predicted geolocation and the nearest ground truth.

Table 6 reports mean and median GCD of various learning schemes. CNN with the proposed GCD loss has a clear advantage over not only the shallow learners, but also CNN with Euclidean loss. This again confirms the effectiveness of the proposed CNN architecture, especially when coupled with a proper loss function. It is also interesting to note that although using different inputs (text vs. image) and different approaches, the median GCD achieved in our work (900 kilometers of error) is comparable to that of the recent work [74].

**Single-task, multitask and transfer learning:** In this section, we compare single-task, multitask and transfer learning on the three scenarios where CNN achieved the best results, namely, source...
**Geolocation Prediction**

| Method     | Mean GCD | Median GCD |
|------------|----------|------------|
| SVR BoW TF-IDF | 2.87     | 1.72       |
| W2V mean    | 2.52     | 1.37       |
| CNN Euc     | 2.40     | 1.42       |
| CNN GCD     | 1.92     | 0.90       |

**TABLE 6:** Results of the geolocation prediction task. Results are expressed in thousands of kilometres. Deep learning performs better at this task, and the Great Circle Distance (GCD) is a better objective than the Euclidean distance.

**Single task vs Multitask vs Transfer Learning**

| Task Pair | Geolocation Median GCD | Popularity Median | Source Bal. acc. |
|-----------|-------------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| Single-task | 0.90 | 1.09 | 80.7 |
| Multitask  |               |                  |                 |
| G→P        | 1.17 | 1.68 | - |
| G→S        | 1.22 | -    | 79.1 |
| P→S        | -    | 1.94 | 79.1 |
| Transfer   |               |                  |                 |
| G→P        | -    | 1.16 | - |
| G→S        | -    | -    | 80.2 |
| P→G        | 0.97 | -    | - |
| P→S        | -    | -    | 77.6 |
| S→G        | 0.92 | -    | - |
| S→P        | -    | 0.63 | - |

**TABLE 7:** Comparing single-task, multitask and transfer learning. G: geolocation; P: popularity; S: source. An arrow shows the direction of transfer, for example, G→P means trained on task G and transferred to task P.

detection and geolocation and popularity prediction. For multitask, we jointly train a model with each of the three pairs of tasks. For transfer learning, we train six models using the three pairs and in both directions.

The results in Table 7 show that single-task learning tends to have the best performance, and that transfer learning in general outperforms multitask learning. The fact that multitask learning does not perform as well as the other alternatives seems to indicate that our tasks are not as "compatible" as those considered in [81]. Forcing the lower layers of the CNN to share common representations harms the performance of both tasks. We experimented with CNN architectures that further decouple the tasks but did not observe any improvements.

On the other hand, in most cases the performance of transfer learning is comparable to that of single-task learning. When transferring from "source" to "popularity" prediction, the performance is even higher than single-task "popularity" prediction. One possible explanation is that training on one task helps to learn low and mid-level representations that better generalize for another task. Note that in both multitask and transfer learning, we set the learning rate of the FCo layers to 1/10 of the base learning rate. Allowing the FCo layers to change slowly helps reducing the co-adaptation effect [79] and tends to find a better solution.
### Caption Generation Results

| Text         | Image      | METEOR | BLEU-1 | BLEU-2 | BLEU-3 | BLEU-4 |
|--------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| LSTM w/ fixed feat. | -          | 5.2    | 16.3   | 6.6    | 3.2    | 1.7    |
| -            | VGG19      | 3.9    | 14.4   | 4.8    | 1.8    | 0.8    |
| W2V mean    | VGG19+Places | 4.3   | 14.4   | 4.9    | 2.0    | 1.0    |
| W2V mean    | VGG19+Places | 4.9   | 16.2   | 6.5    | 3.1    | 1.6    |
| W2V mean    | VGG19+Places | 5.3   | 17.2   | 7.0    | 3.4    | 1.9    |
| CNNs + LSTM | W2V        | 5.2    | 19.6   | 8.9    | 1.6    | 0.5    |

TABLE 8: Results of caption generation. Performance is overall low, which highlights the difficulty of the task, due to the subtle and high-level relation between the images and the captions.

6.3 LSTM for Caption generation

In Table 8 we report caption generation results with the two models in Fig. 2, i.e., LSTM with fixed feature and end-to-end CNNs/LSTM, respectively. The results indicate that combining textual and visual features, and moreover combining more types of visual features (VGG19 and Places) helps to improve caption generation. On the other hand, finetuning the CNN features in the end-to-end model does not improve the results. We hypothesize that this is again due to the low correlation between the caption and the content of the image. It should also be noted that the performance of all models is poor compared to that achieved by very similar CNN models in standard image caption generation tasks [25], [48]. Again, we argue that captions of news images are of very different nature. Generating such captions is considerably more challenging and requires more careful and specific treatment and evaluation metric, than that done by current state-of-the-art approaches. The proposed BreakingNews dataset, therefore, poses new challenges for future research.

7 Conclusion

In this paper we have introduced new deep CNN architectures to combine weakly correlated text and image representations and address several tasks in the domain of news articles, including story illustration, source detection, geolocation and popularity prediction, and automatic captioning. In particular, we propose an adaptive CNN architecture that shares most of its structure for all the tasks. Addressing each problem then requires designing specific loss functions, and we introduce a metric based on the Great Circle Distance for geolocation and Deep Canonical Correlation Analysis for article illustration. All these technical contributions are exhaustively evaluated on a new dataset, BreakingNews, made of approximately 100K news articles (about 2 orders of magnitude more than similar existing datasets), and additionally including a diversity of metadata (like GPS coordinates and popularity metrics) that makes it possible to explore new problems. Overall results are very promising, specially for the tasks of source detection, article illustration and geolocation. The automatic caption generation task, however, is clearly more sensitive to loosely related text and images. Designing new metrics able to handle this situation, is part of our future work.
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