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Abstract — In this paper, a new iterative channel estimation algorithm is proposed that exploits channel sparsity in the time domain for DC-biased optical orthogonal frequency division multiplexing OFDM (DCO-OFDM) systems in indoor visible light communications (VLC) in the presence of a clipping noise. A path-based channel model is used, in which the channel is described by a limited number of paths, each characterized by a delay and channel gain. Making use of the pilot symbols, overall sparse channel tap delays and path gains were initially estimated by the compressed sensing approach, in the form of the Orthogonal Matching Pursuit (OMP) and the least-squares (LS) algorithms, respectively. Then a computationally efficient and novel iterative channel estimation algorithm is developed that estimates the clipping noise in the time-domain and compensated for its effect in the frequency-domain. Computer simulation results show that the algorithm converges in maximum two iterations and that yields excellent mean square error (MSE) and bit error rate (BER) performance, outperforming those channel estimation algorithms, which do not have the clipping noise mitigation capability.

Keywords Visible light communications · VLC · Nonlinear clipping noise · Channel estimation · DC biased optical OFDM (DCO-OFDM)
1 Introduction

Limited radio frequency spectrum imposes restrictions on the increase in the ubiquitous and high capacity connection demand in wireless communication. As the upper limit of the RF band is approaching, alternative telecommunication systems to RF technology are being developed, especially for the 6th generation and beyond mobile systems. Herein, optical wireless communication (OWC) technology is emerging as a promising complementary technology for RF communications. Visible light communication (VLC) systems, which are part of OWC, solve the problem of low bandwidth in RF communication by occupying the 380 nm to 750 nm spectrum corresponding to the 430 THz to 790 THz frequency spectrum [1]. Additively, VLC has an unregulated spectrum and is immune to security issues arising in RF communication systems. It can be used in RF restricted areas such as aircraft, library, hospital, etc., and also used for illumination.

On the other hand, orthogonal frequency division multiplexing (OFDM) is increasingly recognized as a physical transmission technique for OWC [2], as it has better optical power efficiency compared to conventional modulation schemes. In conventional OFDM, the transmitted signals are bipolar and complex. However, since the light intensity could not be negative, bipolar signals cannot be transmitted in an intensity-modulated / direct detection (IM/DD) optical wireless system. Therefore, OFDM signals designed for IM / DD systems must be real and positive. Direct-current biased OFDM (DCO-OFDM) is one of the forms of OFDM used for IM / DD systems in which a DC bias is added to the signal to make the signal positive and all subcarriers carry data symbols [3]. For the DCO-OFDM receiver to operate at an acceptable bit error rate (BER) performance, the channel estimation and equalization processes must be performed perfectly on the receiver side. Due to the constraint that the signals transmitted through the optical channel in VLC systems are only real-valued and positive, the signals reaching the receiver will inevitably be affected by clipping noise and additive Gaussian noise [4]. Furthermore, since the electrical supply of the LEDs connected in series with a cable causes a certain time delay, the impulse response of the optical channel between the transmitter and receiver of the system occurs sparsely and in a frequency selective structure. Therefore, the channel estimation algorithm to be used in the receiver needs to be designed considering the sparse channel model and clipping noise. Up to the present, there has not been much work in the literature on optical sparse channel estimation under clipping noise for VLC systems. In [5,6], several pilot-based channel estimation algorithms have been proposed for DCO-OFDM systems, assuming clipping noise is zero-mean Gaussian noise in addition to the usual additional white Gaussian noise distorting the system. In [7], a superimposed training approach is proposed for channel estimation in DCO-OFDM VLC scenarios. Looking at the channel estimation studies carried out in recent years in visible light communications, the following studies stand out. In [8–10], compressive sensing channel estimation models techniques are proposed for MIMO-OFDM VLC systems. Another
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channel estimation algorithm for optical OFDM is presented in [11]. In this work, a least squared-based channel estimation algorithm is proposed for multiuser MISO-VLC. In [12] Adaptive Statistical Bayesian minimum mean square error channel estimation is presented for indoor downlink VLC system. In [13], a channel estimation scheme based on Deep Neural Networks (DNN) for VLC system is proposed. According to the research results, the proposed estimation scheme performs as well as conventional channel estimation schemes.

The main contributions of this paper can be summarized as follows. (i) A special type of the VLC channels is considered for modeling the optical channels whose impulse responses occur in a sparse and frequency-selective structure, as the electrical supply of the LEDs connected in series with a cable causes certain time delays. (ii) A new channel estimation algorithm with fast and iterative structure is proposed for VLC channel estimation, where the clipping noise is estimated in the time-domain and compensated for its effect in the frequency-domain. (iii) The initial values of the channel, including sparse channel path delays and path gains are determined by the Least-Squares (LS) and the orthogonal matching pursuit (OMP) algorithms, respectively, by means of the pilots symbols. Hence, the computational complexity of the algorithm is greatly reduced by the estimation of very few channel parameters.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents the system model for an OFDM-based VLC system and describes the main parameters of the channel and the clipping noise. Section 3 proposes a new sparse channel estimation algorithm that is based on reducing the effect of the clipping noise in an iterative way. Section 4 provides computer simulations for investigation of mean-squared error (MSE) and bit error performances of the DCO-biased OFDM systems.

2 System Model

In this work, our system model is assumed to be a DCO-OFDM VLC system with N subcarriers. In DCO-OFDM systems, a DC bias is added to make the signal positive, making the data symbols of all OFDM subcarriers capable of carrying. In the transmitter part of the system, it is assumed that these subcarriers are actively used to transmit data symbols modulated by either M-level quadrature amplitude modulation (M-QAM) or phase-shift keying (M-PSK). The frequency-domain complex-valued vector of data symbols, which is denoted by $X = [X[0], X[1], \cdots, X[N-1]]^T$, meets the Hermitian symmetry and the components in the subcarriers of the 0th (DC) and (N / 2) are set to zero as follows, [14].

$$X[k] = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } k = 0 \\ X^*[N-k], & \text{if } k = 1, 2, \cdots, (N/2) - 1 \\ 0, & \text{if } k = N/2 \end{cases}$$

(1)

Here, the complex conjugation denoted by ($*$) operator. As a result, due to Hermitian symmetry, the time domain signal samples obtained at the output of
the inverse fast Fourier transform (IFFT) become real valued [14]. The real, bipolar and antisymmetric time-domain signal vector \( \mathbf{x} = [x[0], x[1], \cdots, x[N-1]]^T \) obtained at the end of these processes is denoted as follows:

\[
x[n] = \sum_{k=1}^{N} X[k] e^{j2\pi kn/N}
\]  

(2)

In this equation, \( N \) is the number of points in IFFT and \( X[k] \) is the \( k \)th component of \( \mathbf{X} \). The number of data symbols carried by subcarriers in DCO-OFDM is \( N/2 = 1 \) due to Hermitian symmetry and the zero addition operation in \( k = 0 \) and \( k = N/2 \) subcarriers. A cyclic prefix (CP) of length \( N_{cp} \) is then added to the discrete-time samples. Here, the length of \( N_{cp} \) chosen is substantial, the length of \( N_{cp} \) must be greater than or equal to the maximum channel delay spread. It has been assumed as \( N_{cp} \geq L \) in our computer simulations. After conversion from digital to analog, the electrical signal, \( x(t) \), is generated in analog form. Note that due to the central limit theorem, \( x(t) \) can be modeled approximately as a Gaussian process. It can clearly be said that its mean is zero and the variance can be determined by \( \sigma_x^2 = \mathbb{E}\{x^2(t)\} \).

A proper DC bias is then added to \( x(t) \) and the remaining residual negative peaks are clipped. As a result of these processes, a signal is obtained which is denoted by \( x_{DCO}(t) \). Note that at this phase, a large DC bias will be required to eliminate the negative portion of \( x(t) \) as the peak to average ratio of OFDM samples in the time domain is substantially high. However, adding a large DC bias increases optical energy per bit that is making the scheme highly inefficient in terms of optical power. Therefore, instead, a moderate DC bias is used in real applications, and then residual negative signal components are eliminated by clipping. This will, however, ultimately create a clipping noise and the BER performance of the scheme will be affected depending on the level of the clipping noise determined by the designer. The DC bias level, usually denoted by \( V_{DC} \), is defined by the standard deviation \( V_{DC} = \rho \sqrt{\mathbb{E}\{x^2(t)\}} \), where \( \rho \) is constant and determined by 10 log(\( \rho^2 + 1 \)) dB for a given distortion level in dB.

As a result, time-domain samples obtained at the IFFT output of the DCO-OFDM system with a dc component are denoted by \( \tilde{x}[n] = x[n] + V_{DC}, \ n = 0, 1, \cdots, N-1 \). Hence, after the clipping process, these samples become strictly positive valued as
\[ x_c[n] = \begin{cases} \tilde{x}[n] & \text{if } \tilde{x}[n] \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } \tilde{x}[n] < 0 \end{cases} \]  

In this equation, the clipping noise sample is represented by \( e_c[n] = x_c[n] - \tilde{x}[n] \). Note that the amplitude of the DCO-OFDM time-domain samples that are not clipped for a large number of subcarriers can be approximated by a Gaussian distribution. So, the amplitude distribution of the clipped signal samples, which is denoted by \( x_c[n] \), has a half-Gaussian distribution.

\[ p_{x_c[n]}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_x} \exp\left(-\frac{(x-V_{DC})^2}{2\sigma_x^2}\right) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_x} \exp\left(-\frac{V_{DC}^2}{2\sigma_x^2}\right) \]

In this equation, unit step function denoted by \( u(.) \) and \( Q(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-t^2/2} dt \).

Then, the average transmitted electrical power \( P_{\text{elec,DCO}} \) of the above clipped signal is given by

\[ P_{\text{elec,DCO}} = \mathbf{E}\{x_c^2\} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^2 p_{x_c}(x) dx = (\sigma_x^2 + V_{DC}^2) (1 - Q(V_{DC}/2\sigma_x)) + \frac{\sigma_x V_{DC}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{V_{DC}^2}{2\sigma_x^2}\right) \]

Since \( x_c[n] = \tilde{x}[n] + e_c[n] \), distorted data symbols caused by clipping noise in the frequency domain can be expressed as follows:

\[ X_c = \mathcal{F}\{x_c\} = \mathcal{F}\{\tilde{x} + e_c\} = \tilde{X} + E_c \]

where, the clipping noise vector in the frequency domain is represented by \( E_c = [E_c[0], E_c[1], \cdots, E_c[N-1]]^T \). Through the Bussgang theorem [15], the OFDM signal received in the frequency domain can be expressed in matrix form as follows:

\[ Y = H\tilde{X} + C + W \]

where the optical channel coefficient matrix in the frequency domain is \( H = \text{diag}[H_0, H_1, \cdots, H_{N-1}] \) and the \( N \)-dimensional dc-biased data vector represented by \( \tilde{X} = X + u \). Here, \( u \) is the FFT of the dc component and it expressed as \( u = [1, 0, \cdots, N-1]^T \). Finally, the clipping noise vector and the additive white Gaussian noise vector, whose each component has zero mean and variance \( \sigma_w^2 \), represented by \( C = HE_c \) and \( W \), respectively.

On the other hand, the channel impulse response (CIR) of the optical channel from source to destination link is sparse and characterized by

\[ h(\tau) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{L-1} h_\ell \delta(\tau - \tau_\ell), \]
where $L$, $h_\ell$ and $\tau_\ell$ denote the number of non-zero paths, the real-valued channel path amplitudes, and the path delays, respectively. The sparseness of the visible light CIR comes from the fact that the electrical supply of the LEDs attached in series to a cable occurs with time delays. The components of the discrete frequency response of the sparse, multi-path VLC channel $H$ can be expressed in terms of the CIR shown as:

$$H_k = \sum_{\ell=0}^{L-1} h_\ell \exp \left(-j \frac{2\pi k \tilde{\tau}_\ell}{N} \right), \quad k = 0, 1, \cdots, N - 1.$$  

(7)

where $\tilde{\tau}_\ell = \tau_\ell/T_s$ is the $\ell$th normalized path delays with $T_s = T/N$ and $T$ denotes the OFDM symbol duration. It is clear from (7) that $H = Fh$, where $F = \exp \left(-j \frac{2\pi k \tilde{\tau}_\ell}{N} \right) \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times L}$ is the Fourier transform matrix and $h = [h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_L]^T$.

3 Proposed Channel Estimation Technique

In this section, we propose an efficient sparse channel estimation technique for DCO-OFDM based VLC systems that also mitigate the inevitable effect of the clipping noise. In the light of the work, [16], an iterative channel estimation algorithm has been developed. In each iteration step of the algorithm, the estimation of the channel transfer function obtained in the previous estimation step is used to estimate the clipping noise samples. In the following subsection, we present an algorithm to estimate the initial channel matrix $H^{(0)}$ by means of the sparse channel path gains and the path delays, represented by $h = [h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_L]^T$, and $\tau = [\tau_1, \tau_2, \ldots, \tau_L]^T$, in a pilot assisted and computationally efficient way. These parameters have been determined initially in [17] by the least-squares (LS) and the ESPRIT (Estimation of Signal Parameters via Rotational Invariant Techniques) algorithms. However, this technique requires estimation of the covariance matrix of the channel from the collected samples and several OFDM symbols need to be observed to approximate the covariance matrix. This would require more elaborate signal processing techniques such as the spatial smoothing, [19], increasing the computational complexity of the estimation algorithm considerably. As explained in the following subsection, the estimation problem is solved using one of the compress sensing techniques, called orthogonal matching Pursuit (OMP) [20], [18].

Subsequently, the iterative algorithm continues estimating $H^{(i)}$ at each iteration step $i = 1, 2, \cdots$ until it converges to reach a minimum mean-squared error (MSE).

3.1 Estimation of Channel Path Delays and Path Gains

Through the equally spaced $P$ pilot symbols, $X[i_p]$, each carried by the $i_p$th subcarrier for $p = 1, 2, \cdots, P$ the initial channel path gains and path delays
are estimated as follows: According to (5), the least square (LS) estimates of the channel frequency response at the pilot subcarriers of the OFDM symbol can be obtained as:

$$
\hat{H}_{i_p,i_p} = \frac{Y[i_p]}{X[i_p]} = H_{i_p,i_p} + Z[i_p]
$$

(8)

where $Z[i_p] = (C[i_p] + W[i_p]) / X[i_p]$, $p = 1, 2, \cdots, P$. From (6) and (7), (8) can be expressed in vector form

$$
\hat{H}_p = A_h + Z_p
$$

(9)

where $\hat{H}_p = [\hat{H}_{i_1,i_1}, \cdots, \hat{H}_{i_P,i_P}]^T$, $Z_p = [Z_{i_1}, \cdots, Z_{i_P}]^T$ and $A$ is a $P \times L$ matrix whose $(m, \ell)$'th element is $A[m, \ell] = e^{-j2\pi m\ell/N}$.

To solve the sparse estimation problem with the observation model in (9), we focus on a conventional OMP algorithm that iteratively estimates one path delay $\tau_l$ at a time and solves a constrained LS problem at each iteration to measure the fitting error [18]. However, in practice, the sparsity assumption does not always hold and consequently may not represent a truly sparse channel impulse response due to the non-integer normalized path delays in the equivalent discrete-time baseband representation of the channel. Therefore, such an estimated channel may differ substantially from the original channel. The A/D conversion at the input of the OFDM receiver is implemented first with an oversampling rate $R_s^{(1)} = \varrho/T_s$, $\varrho = 1, 2, \cdots$, leading to a finer delay resolution matrix $A_p^{(\varrho)} \in \mathbb{C}^{P \times N_\tau}$, called a dictionary matrix. The columns of $A_p^{(\varrho)}$ correspond to the $T_p^{(\ell)}$th discrete multipath channel tap delays, where $\varrho$ is the oversampling factor, and $1/T_s$ is the baseband Nyquist sampling rate. Consequently, the real-valued normalized path delays $\tilde{\tau}_l, \ell = 0, 1, \cdots, L - 1$ can be discretized as $T_p^{(\ell)} = [\varrho \tilde{\tau}_l]$ and take values from the set of the possible discrete path delays

$$
T_p^{(\ell)} \in \{0, 1, 2, \cdots, N_\tau - 1\},
$$

(10)

where $N_\tau = gL_{CP}$, $L_{CP} = T_{CP}/T_s$. Given $T_p^{(\ell)}$ in (10), $A_p^{(\varrho)}$ can be written as

$$
A_p^{(\varrho)} = 
\begin{bmatrix}
  e^{-j2\pi p_0/(N_\varrho)} & \cdots & e^{-j2\pi p_{N_\tau-1}/(N_\varrho)} \\
  e^{-j2\pi p_{N_\tau}/(N_\varrho)} & \cdots & e^{-j2\pi p_{2N_\tau-1}/(N_\varrho)} \\
n & & \\
  e^{-j2\pi p_{N_\tau}/(N_\varrho)} & \cdots & e^{-j2\pi p_{2N_\tau-1}/(N_\varrho)}
\end{bmatrix}
$$

(11)

Based on the associated discrete random channel tap positions $\{T_p^{(\ell)}\}_{\ell=0}^{L-1}$, the received signal in (9) can be rewritten as

$$
\hat{H}_p = \sum_{\ell=0}^{L-1} a_r^{(\ell)} h_{\ell_p} + V_p,
$$

(12)
where $a_{r\ell}$ is the $r^\text{th}$ column vector of the finer resolution matrix $\mathbf{A}_P^{(q)}$ whose columns correspond to the $(T_\ell)^\text{th}$ channel tap delays. For a given $r_\ell \Leftarrow (T_\ell)$, the $k^\text{th}$ component of $a_{r\ell}$ is determined from $\mathbf{A}_P$ in (11) as

$$a_{r\ell}[k] = A_P[k, \ell] \left| \tilde{\tau}_\ell = T_\ell/\rho \right..$$

Note that for $T_\ell \in \{0, 1, \cdots N_\tau - 1\}$, total number of columns of $\mathbf{A}_P^{(q)}$ is $N_\tau$ and they are labelled as $r_\ell = 1, 2, \cdots, N_\tau$. The conversion between $r_\ell$ and $(T_\ell)$ can be easily obtained as:

$$r_\ell = T_\ell + 1, \text{ with, } T_\ell = [r_\ell] - 1.$$

To estimate the discretized channel path delay $r_\ell \Leftarrow (T_\ell)$ from the observation vector in (12), we employ the OMP algorithms that involves iteratively estimates one $r_\ell$ at a time and solves a constraint least-square (LS) problem at each iteration step to determine the fitting error, as mentioned above. Once the delays $\{\tau_\ell\}$ are estimated, the LS estimate of the channel path gains vector $\mathbf{H}$ is obtained as,

$$\hat{\mathbf{h}} = \left(\hat{\mathbf{A}}^\dag \hat{\mathbf{A}}\right)^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{A}}^\dag \hat{\mathbf{H}}_p.$$  

3.2 Iterative Channel Estimation Algorithm

In this subsection, we introduce the iterative channel estimation algorithm that relies on the estimation of clipping noise at each iteration and compensating for the effect on the observed signal at the output of the $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}$ process at the receiver. As explained in the previous section, the initial channel estimation $\mathbf{H}^{(0)}$ is defined as $\mathbf{H}^{(0)} = \mathbf{F}_L \hat{\mathbf{h}}$, and it is determined from the estimated channel impulse response by pilot symbols. Here, $\mathbf{F}_L$ is an $N \times L$ DFT matrix. Following this step, data symbols are detected from (5) as given below:

$$\mathbf{X}^{(0)} = \text{DET ECT}\left\{ \left(\mathbf{H}^{(0)}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{Y} \right\},$$

from which the initial estimates of the transmitted OFDM time-domain samples are obtained by the $\mathcal{I}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}$ operation as

$$\mathbf{x}^{(0)} = \mathcal{I}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}\{\mathbf{X}^{(0)}\}$$

where a hard decision process is denoted with $\text{DET ECT}\{a\}$ operator. In the following iteration step, an estimate of clipping noise is obtained as follows:

$$\mathbf{E}_c^{(0)} = \mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}\left\{\mathbf{x}_c^{(0)} - \dot{\mathbf{x}}^{(0)}\right\}.$$
Here, if $X^{(1)} \approx X$, i.e. BER is small enough, then $E_{c}^{(0)} \approx E_{c}$. Under this condition, the channel and clipping noise estimates obtained in the previous iteration can be used to easily obtain the next estimated values as shown in Algorithm 1.

Algorithm 1 Estimation of sparse VLC Channel in the Presence of Clipping Noise

Input: Observation vector: $Y$, initial estimates: $H^{(0)}$, $E_{c}^{(0)}$

Output: Final estimated channel matrix $H^{(N_{iter})}$

for $i = 1$ to $N_{iter}$ do

\begin{align*}
Y & \Rightarrow Y - H^{(i-1)}E_{c}^{(i-1)} \\
\mathcal{H} & \equiv \left( X^{(i-1)} \right)^{-1} Y \\
h^{(i)} & = \left( \hat{A}^\dagger \hat{A} \right)^{-1} \hat{A}^\dagger \mathcal{H} \\
H^{(i)} & = F_{\mathcal{L}} h^{(i)} \\
X^{(i)} & = DET \left \{ \left( H^{(i)} \right)^{-1} Y \right \} \\
x^{(i)} & = IFFT \left \{ X^{(i)} \right \} \\
E_{c}^{(i)} & = FFT \left \{ x_{c}^{(i)} - \tilde{x}^{(i)} \right \}
\end{align*}

end for

4 Simulation Results

In this section, we present computer simulation results to investigate the MSE and BER performance of the iterative channel estimation algorithm proposed for DCO-OFDM systems in the presence of clipping noise. In addition, the effects of different pilot spacings, as well as modulation types on the initial BER vs. SNR performance, were taken into account and studied. The channel model considered in the simulations is assumed to be formed as four LEDs, placed at the corners of a square with a certain edge length on the ceiling of a closed environment and a receiving photodiode placed at the base of the environment (Figure 2). As shown in Figure 3.(a), the impulse response of the optical channel occurs in a sparse and frequency-selective structure, as the electrical supply of the LEDs connected in series with a cable causes a certain time delay. The system parameters for the simulation scenario are given in Table I. In the computer simulations, it is assumed that D/A converter and photodetector are ideal so that optical-to-electrical conversion constant $\xi$ and electrical-to-optical conversion constant $R$ are chosen as $\xi = R = 1$. Also we adopt using the transmitter transmit signal energy, $E_{\text{elec:DCO}}$ per additive
Gaussian noise energy spectral density $N_0$ as a signal-to-noise ratio metric defined as

$$\frac{E_{b,\text{elec;DCO}}}{N_0} = \frac{E\{x_2^2\}}{R_{b,\text{DCO}}N_0}.$$

We first investigate the MSE and BER performance of the initial channel estimation algorithm, since the quality of the initial channel estimation affects the convergence of the iterative algorithm to a true solution point as well as the final MSE and BER performances. In Figure 4, the BER vs. SNR curves are shown for the initial channel estimate $H^{(0)}$ with BPSK, QPSK, and 16-QAM modulations with pilot spacing $\Delta_p = K/P = 4$ and the clipping noise level is set to $B = 2$ dB. From these curves, it is seen that while BPSK and QPSK modulations yield sufficiently good BER performances to feed in the subsequent iterations. However, for 16-QAM, smaller pilot spacings should be chosen such as $\Delta_p = 3$ or even to $\Delta_p = 2$ for the iterative algorithm to reach its ultimate BER performance in a given number of iterations.

### Table 1 Simulation Parameters

| Parameter                      | Value     |
|-------------------------------|-----------|
| Number of subcarriers, $N$    | 256       |
| Bandwidth, BW                 | 2 MHz     |
| Sampling frequency, $f_s$     | 1Ghz      |
| Pilot symbols frequency       | 1/4       |
| Multipath channel gains [dB]  | [1.2*1e-04 0.65*1e-04 1*1e-04 1.8*1e-04] |
| Modulation                    | BPSK, QPSK, 16-QAM |
| Max iteration step, $i_{\text{max}}$ | 3       |
| Pilot spacings, $\Delta_p$   | 2,3,4     |

Fig. 2 VLC channel model simulation environment
On the other hand, to choose good initial values for the unknown channel parameters and to ensure a fast start-up in the equalization/detection operation following the channel estimation process, the selection of the number of pilots symbols $P$ known by the receiver is important. Note that $P \geq L_{CP}$ to identify the channel, where $L_{CP}$ is the number of OFDM prefix symbols. When the number of subcarriers, $K$, is large, however, this does not create a significant degradation in spectrum efficiency since $L_{CP}$ takes small values with respect to the total number of subcarriers carrying the data. To interpolate the channel estimates, initially, there exists a minimum subcarrier spacing $\Delta_p, \min < 1/2\tau_{\text{max}}$, where $\tau_{\text{max}}$ is the maximum delay spread of the channel. Based on this fact, from the system parameters, given by Table I, and $\tau_{\text{max}} = 0.04 \, \mu\text{sec}$, (as seen from Figure 3.(b)), the minimum number of OFDM subcarriers comes out to be $\Delta_p, \min \leq 3.5$. In Figure 5, the effect of pilot spacings is investigated for $\Delta_p = 2, 3, 4$ on the initial MSE and SER.
Fig. 5 Effect of pilot spacings on the BER performance for BPSK, QPSK and 16-QAM signaling

performance at different SNR values using BPSK, QPSK and 16QAM modulation. It can be seen from these curves that the initial channel estimation algorithm can tolerate for a pilot spacing of $\Delta_p = 3$. However, the BER and MSE performance degrade as the pilot spacing increases beyond that. It is also concluded from Figure 5 that the BER performance converges to that of the perfect CSI performance in a maximum of two iterations for both BPSK and QPSK signaling schemes. In Figures 6 and 7, the MSE and BER performance curves of the channel estimation algorithm for QPSK modulation are obtained on the subsequent iteration steps for $B = 2$ dB and $B = 1$ dB levels of clipping noise. From these curves, it is concluded that the proposed iterative algorithm contributes substantially to both MSE and BER performances of the system. In addition, it is observed that almost all of these contributions and gains are obtained during the 1st iteration; as a small increase is observed in the BER performance, the improvement in the MSE performance becomes small enough to be neglected in the second iteration. Therefore, we conclude from these graphs that the nonlinear disturbance effects caused by clipping noise are greatly reduced by the proposed algorithm in a maximum of two iteration steps. However, it can be seen that the obtained BER performance curve does not converge to the BER curve when the channel is fully known, and also that the MSE performance does not converge to the MSE curve when all data symbols transmitted when the channel is perfectly known. This is mainly because the time-domain samples at the OFDM output in the transmitter are clipped as a result of a nonlinear process.
A new and novel iterative channel estimation algorithm was proposed for the estimation of sparsely modeled indoor VLC channels under the effect of clipping noise. Both the MSE performance of this algorithm and the system BER performance in transmissions performed with a VLC system using the DCO-OFDM technique has been examined by computer simulations. The initial channel parameters are estimated by the pilot aided OPM algorithm. Then, in each iteration step, the clipping noise was estimated by using the previous channel values and the effect was compensated in the frequency-domain.
has been shown by computer simulations that the algorithm was very effec-
tive in minimizing the effects of inevitable clipping noise, especially affecting
these systems. In particular, the MSE and BER performance of the system
for different clipping noise levels for DCO-OFDM systems over sparse VLC
channels was investigated, and it was found that the algorithm reached the
maximum performance of the system in two iterations, at most. It was also
concluded that the system had better BER performance for small SNR values
at low clipping noise levels, but larger SNR values showed significantly better
BER performance for larger SNR values.
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