Identification of tick-borne pathogens by metagenomic next-generation sequencing in *Dermacentor nuttalli* and *Ixodes persulcatus* in Inner Mongolia, China
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**Abstract**

**Background:** Hard ticks act as arthropod vectors in the transmission of human and animal pathogens and are widely distributed in northern China. The aim of this study is to screen the important tick-borne pathogens (TBPs) carried by hard ticks in Inner Mongolia using metagenomic next-generation sequencing (mNGS) and to estimate the risk of human infection imposed by tick bites.

**Methods:** The adult *Dermacentor nuttalli* (n = 203) and *Ixodes persulcatus* (n = 36) ticks feeding on cattle were collected. The pooled DNA samples prepared from these ticks were sequenced as the templates for mNGS to survey the presence of TBPs at the genus level. Individual tick DNA samples were detected by genus-specific or group-specific nested polymerase chain reaction (PCR) of these TBPs and combined with DNA sequencing assay to confirm the results of mNGS.

**Results:** *R. raoultii* (45.32%, 92/203), *Candidatus R. tarasevichiae* (5.42%, 11/203), *Anaplasma* sp. Mongolia (26.60%, 54/203), *Coxiella-like endosymbiont* (CLE) (53.69%, 109/203), and *Babesia venatorum* (7.88%, 16/203) were detected in *D. nuttalli*, while *R. raoultii* (30.56%, 11/36), *Anaplasma* sp. Mongolia (27.80%, 10/36), and CLE (27.80%, 10/36) were detected in *I. persulcatus*. The double- and triple-pathogen/endosymbiont co-infections were detected in 40.39% of *D. nuttalli* and 13.89% of *I. persulcatus*, respectively. The dual co-infection with *R. raoultii* and CLE (14.29%, 29/203) and triple co-infection with *R. raoultii*, *Anaplasma* sp. Mongolia, and CLE (13.79%, 28/203) were most frequent in *D. nuttalli*.

**Conclusions:** This study provides insight into the microbial diversity of *D. nuttalli* and *I. persulcatus* in Inner Mongolia, China, reporting for the first time that *Candidatus R. tarasevichiae* had been found in *D. nuttalli* in China, and for the first time in the world that *Anaplasma* sp. Mongolia has been detected in *I. persulcatus*. This study proves that various vertically transmitted pathogens co-inhabit *D. nuttalli* and *I. persulcatus*, and indicates that cattle in Inner Mongolia are exposed to several TBPs.
Background
Hard ticks (Acari: Ixodidae) are obligate blood-sucking parasitic arthropods which can infest mammals, birds, and reptiles, and act as arthropod vectors in the transmission of human and animal pathogens. A wide variety of pathogens can be maintained and transmitted by hard ticks, including *Ehrlichia* spp., *Anaplasma* spp., *Rickettsia* spp., *Coxiella* spp., *Babesia* spp., *Borrelia* spp., etc. [1]. In addition, a variety of endosymbionts, such as *Coxiella*-like, *Rickettsia*-like, and *Arsenophonus*-like endosymbionts, live inside hard ticks [2–4]. Therefore, hard ticks are usually considered to be the most important vectors of pathogens, and knowledge of the microbial communities within these ticks will be of benefit for risk assessment of tick-borne diseases.

China covers approximately 9.6 million square kilometers of land area. At least 117 tick species in ten genera of two families have been reported in China [5], with *Ixodes persulcatus*, *Dermacentor nuttalli*, *Hyalomma asiaticum*, *Dermacentor marginatus*, and *Dermacentor niveus* being the most common [6]. Increasing numbers of cases of human tick-borne diseases, including spotted fever [7], Q fever [8], anaplasmoses, ehrlichioses [9], tick-borne encephalitis [10], and babesiosis [11], have been reported in China due to climate change combined with human movement into tick habitats. Therefore, human co-infection with more than one tick-borne pathogen (TBP) may occur after tick bite [12–14].

Mongolia Hulunbuir League, one of the important pastoral regions in Inner Mongolia in China, contains significant amounts of pastures and is an important region for animal production. The eastern part of Hulunbuir, stretching across the primeval forest-covered area in the Daxinganling Mountains, is an important habitat for hard ticks [15]. Many TBPs including *C. burnetii* [16], *Rickettsia* spp. [16–18], *Anaplasma* spp. [19], and tick-borne encephalitis virus [20] have been detected in hard ticks collected here. However, little attention has been given to co-infection with TBPs, and continued research is needed to fully comprehend the diversity of TBPs. In this study, we investigated the microbial communities in hard ticks collected from cattle in Hulunbuir League to reveal the coexistence of TBPs using metagenomic next-generation sequencing (mNGS) combined with nested polymerase chain reaction (PCR). The results of our study might provide broader knowledge of the microorganisms inside hard ticks in the region, thereby strengthening programs to prevent and control the potential infections caused by TBPs.

Methods
Collection and identification of ticks
All ticks collected were feeding on cattle in Balin Town (E 122°24′10″, N 48°19′26″; E 122°22′12″, N 48°20′11″; E 122°22′13″, N 48°19′47″; E 122°24′59″, N 48°19′55″; E 122°21′11″, N 48°19′59″; E 122°20′59″, N 48°19′16″), Yake City, Hulunbuir League, Inner Mongolia, from April to October in 2019 (Fig. 1). Tick species were identified based on morphological characterization and by molecular biology methods based on the sequences of species-specific 16S rRNA and mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase I (COI) genes as previously described [21]. Following identification, the ticks were stored at −80 °C for further analysis.

Tick washing, homogenization, and DNA extraction
To remove environmental contaminants, each tick was surface-sterilized twice with 75% ethanol, followed by phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) twice. Ticks were then individually homogenized in 300 μL of PBS using MagNA Lyser Green Beads (Roche, Mannheim, Germany), and DNA extraction was performed on 200 μL of each tick homogenate using a QIAamp® Fast DNA Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Dusseldorf, Germany) according to...
the manufacturer’s instructions. The extracted genomic DNA was dissolved in 100 μL ultrapure water and stored at −20 °C for further analysis. For these previous steps, ultrapure water, sterile tubes, and filter tips were used, and all operations were carried out in a biological safety cabinet. Each time DNA extraction was performed, an extraction control (water) was added.

Individual DNA samples were mixed in an equal volume (20 μL) to prepare pooled DNA samples for full microbial genome sequencing using mNGS.

**Metagenome assembly, gene prediction, and taxonomy prediction**

All pooled DNA samples were paired-end sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq platform (insert size 350 bp, read length 150 bp) by the Beijing Genomics Institute (BGI) (Beijing, China). The reads with more than 40 nt low-quality bases (quality value ≤ 38) were removed. Meanwhile, the reads with more than 10 nt “N” bases were filtered out of the data sets. Lastly, the reads overlapping more than 15 nt bases with the adapters were removed. Reads that aligned to tick genes were also removed using Bowtie 2 (v2.2.4) with the parameters -end-to-end, -sensitive, -1 200, -X 400 [22, 23]. Accordingly, the clean data were obtained.

Then the clean reads were mapped against scaffolds using SOAPdenovo (V2.04) with the parameters -d 1, -M 3, -R, -u, -F, -K 55 [24]. The unused reads from each sample were then assembled using the same parameters. The scaffolds were broken at N into the scaftigs [25], and the scaftigs with the length of ≥ 500 nt were used for further analysis [26]. Open reading frames (ORFs) in the scaftigs (≥ 500 bp) were predicted by MetaGeneMark (V2.10) [23, 27]. A nonredundant gene catalog was obtained after processing by using CD-HIT (V4.5.8) with the parameters -c 0.95, -G 0, -as 0.9, -g 1, -d 0 [28, 29], and using a sequence identity threshold of 0.95 and a minimum coverage cutoff of 0.9. To determine the gene abundances, the reads were realigned with the gene catalog using Bowtie 2 and the following parameters: -m 200 -× 400 -s 119. Only genes with ≥ 2 mapped reads were deemed to be present in a sample [30]. Relative abundance of genes was calculated based on the number of reads mapped to the genes and the length of the genes as previously described [31–33].

To access the taxonomic assignments of genes, genes were aligned to the integrated NR database (version: 2018-01-02) of NCBI using DIAMOND (V0.9.9) and default parameters, with the exception of -k 50 –sensitive -e 0.00001 [34]. For each gene, the significant matches which were defined by e-values ≤ 10^{-10} e-value of the top hit were retained to distinguish taxonomic groups [30]. Then the taxonomical level of each gene was determined by using the lowest common ancestor (LCA)-based algorithm implemented in MEGAN [35]. The results containing the number of genes and the abundance information for each sample, and the relative abundances of each taxonomic group were calculated by adding the relative abundances of genes annotated to the same feature [23, 26, 36].

**Polymerase chain reaction (PCR)**

Based on the results of mNGS, genus-/group-specific PCR was performed to confirm the presence of TBP in individual ticks. PCR was performed using a PCR System 9700 (Applied Biosystems, GeneAmp®, USA). For nested PCR, 1 μL of each individual DNA sample (150–330 ng) was used as template for the first round, and 1 μL of the primary PCR production was used as template for the second round. For the first round, a negative control (water) and an extraction control mentioned above were included in each PCR experiment. Tube strips with individual caps were used in amplification steps to prevent cross-contamination, and all PCR amplifications were carried out using PrimeSTAR® HS (Premix) (TaKaRa, Beijing, China). All operations were carried out in a biological safety cabinet. Amplified products were then electrophoresed in 1.5% agarose gel, and the positive amplicons were sent to TSINGKE Biological Technology (Beijing, China) for sequencing. The PCR primers for the spotted fever group Rickettsia (SFGR) [37], *Anaplasma* spp. and *Ehrlichia* spp. [38], *Coxiella* spp. [39], and *Babesia* spp. [40] are presented in Table 1.

**Phylogenetic analysis**

The obtained DNA sequences were compared with those available in GenBank using the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI; Bethesda, MD) Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) search engine (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/blast.cgi), and multiple sequence alignment was performed using the ClustalW multiple alignment tool with the default parameters in MEGA 7.0. The phylogenetic analysis of gltA for SFGR, 16S rRNA for *Anaplasma* spp., 16S rRNA for *Coxiella* spp., or 18S rRNA for *Babesia* spp. was performed using the maximum likelihood method based in MEGA 7.0. Bootstrap values were estimated for 1000 replicates [41, 42].

**Results**

**Taxonomic classification**

A total of 239 adult hard ticks were identified as *D. nuttalli* (*n* = 203) (accession number: MK213083.1) and *I. persulcatus* (*n* = 36) (accession number: MH790201.1) based on morphological identifications confirmed by species-specific PCR and sequencing assay. Ten pools of
D. nuttalli DNA samples were finally analyzed by mNGS on the Illumina HiSeq platform. Sequencing yielded between 5970 and 7475 million reads per pool, while all were of high quality (Clean_Q20 > 96%) (shown in Additional file 1: Table S1). The construction of a metagenomic library of *I. persulcatus* DNA samples failed.

The presence of the bacterial genera *Rickettsia*, *Anaplasma*, and *Coxiella* in the pooled tick samples was confirmed by the taxonomic profiles at genus level (Fig. 2; Table 2). *Rickettsia* spp. were most abundant in sample pools 2–4 and 7–8 and also abundant in other pools. In pools 1, 9, and 10, *Anaplasma* spp. were abundant. However, *Coxiella* spp. were abundant only in pool 1. In addition, *Pseudomonas* spp. were most abundant in pools 5

### Table 1 Target genes and primer sequences used for nested PCR

| Pathogen       | Target gene | Primer name   | Sequence (5′–3′) | Tm (°C) |
|----------------|-------------|---------------|------------------|---------|
| SFGR           | gltA        | CS2d          | ATGACCAAAGAAAAATAAAT | 50      |
|                |             | CSEndr        | CTTATACCTCTTATGACA | 48      |
|                |             | RpCS.877p     | GGGAACCTGTCAGCGG  |         |
|                |             | RpCS.1258n    | ATGGAAATAAGTCAAGGAACA |       |
| *Anaplasma*    | 16S rRNA    | Eh-out1       | TTAGAGATGTGCATCAAGACG | 55      |
| *Ehrlichia*    |             | Eh-out2       | CACCTTACACTAAGATTCCGTATC |   |
| *Coxiella*     | 16S rRNA    | Eh-gs1        | GTAAATACGTGTAATCCCTG | 55      |
|                |             | Eh-gs2        | GTACCGTCATTATCCTCCTA |         |
| *Babesia*      | 18S rRNA    | Piro0F        | GCAAGATAGTATGCCCTGTTA | 56      |
|                |             | Piro6R        | CTCTTCTCTTAAAGTACAGTT | |
|                |             | Piro1F        | CACATGAAGTCGTGATAGACA | 56      |
|                |             | PiroS.SR      | CCTYTAAGTGATAAGGTCACAA |         |

### Table 2 Number of genes matched to reference genomes in individual pooled DNA sample using mNGS

| Taxonomy            | Pooled tick DNA samples |
|---------------------|-------------------------|
|                     | P1 | P2 | P3 | P4 | P5 | P6 | P7 | P8 | P9 | P10 |
| *Pseudomonas*       | 61,323 | 0 | 9 | 81 | 8984 | 12,303 | 39 | 1079 | 305 | 29 |
| *Stenotrophomonas*  | 11,392 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 38 | 11 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 0 |
| *Sphingobacterium*  | 7157 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| *Serratia*          | 4748 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 0 |
| *Corynebacterium*   | 100 | 2 | 3 | 13 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 62 |
| *Rickettsia*        | 78 | 2002 | 1688 | 1922 | 1978 | 1968 | 1339 | 2026 | 2044 | 1647 |
| *Psychrobacter*     | 2 | 6 | 0 | 12 | 504 | 953 | 220 | 880 | 140 | 1423 |
| *Anaplasma*         | 157 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 416 | 158 |
| *Coxiella*          | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 66 |   |
| *Rhizophagus*       | 29 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 111 | 71 |
and 6, and *Psychrobacter* spp. were most abundant in pool 10 (Table 2).

**Prevalence of tick-borne pathogens in individual ticks**

By mNGS, the important pathogenic bacterial genera *Rickettsia*, *Anaplasma*, and *Coxiella* were found in the pooled tick samples, and thus each tick was detected by the genus-/group-specific PCR combined with sequencing in order to identify the TBPs carried by it. In addition, *Babesia* spp. were often detected in ticks, and thus each tick was detected by *Babesia*-specific PCR.

As a result, *R. raoultii* (45.32%, 92/203), *Candidatus R. tarasevichiae* (5.42%, 11/203), *Anaplasma* sp. Mongolia (26.6%, 54/203), *Coxiella*-like endosymbiont (CLE) (53.69%, 109/203), and *Babesia venatorum* (7.88%, 16/203) were detected in *D. nuttalli*, while *R. raoultii* (30.56%, 11/36), *Candidatus R. tarasevichiae* (5.42%, 11/203), *Anaplasma* sp. Mongolia (27.8%, 10/36), and CLE (27.8%, 10/36) were detected in *I. persulcatus* (Table 3).

**Co-infection in individual ticks**

In 190 TBP-positive ticks, 87 ticks (45.79%) were found to be co-infected with more than one species identified in the present study (Table 3). The dual- and triple-pathogen/endosymbiont co-infections were detected in 40.39% of *D. nuttalli* and 13.89% of *I. persulcatus*. The dual co-infection with *R. raoultii* and CLE and the triple co-infection with *R. raoultii*, *Anaplasma* sp. Mongolia and CLE were most frequent in *D. nuttalli* (Table 3).

### Table 3 Prevalence of tick-borne pathogens in individual ticks

| Pathogen | *D. nuttalli* (n = 203) | *I. persulcatus* (n = 36) |
|----------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Single   |                         |                          |
| *Rickettsia raoultii* | 22 (10.84%) | 7 (19.44%) |
| *Candidatus R. tarasevichiae* | 3 (1.48%) | - |
| *Anaplasma* sp. Mongolia | 9 (4.43%) | 8 (22.22%) |
| *Coxiella*-like endosymbiont | 41 (20.20%) | 5 (13.89%) |
| *Babesia venatorum* | 8 (3.94%) | - |
| Double   |                         |                          |
| *R. raoultii, Anaplasma* sp. Mongolia | 6 (2.96%) | - |
| *Candidatus R. tarasevichiae, Anaplasma* sp. Mongolia | 5 (2.46%) | - |
| *Candidatus R. tarasevichiae, Coxiella*-like endosymbiont | 1 (0.49%) | - |
| *R. raoultii, Coxiella*-like endosymbiont | 29 (14.29%) | 3 (8.33%) |
| *R. raoultii, B. venatorum* | 2 (0.99%) | - |
| *Anaplasma* sp. Mongolia, *Coxiella*-like endosymbiont | 4 (1.97%) | 1 (2.78%) |
| Triple   |                         |                          |
| *R. raoultii, Anaplasma* sp. Mongolia, *Coxiella*-like endosymbiont | 28 (13.79%) | 1 (2.78%) |
| *Candidatus R. tarasevichiae, Anaplasma* sp. Mongolia, *Coxiella*-like endosymbiont | 1 (0.49%) | - |
| *R. raoultii, Coxiella*-like endosymbiont, *B. venatorum* | 5 (2.46%) | - |
| *Candidatus R. tarasevichiae, Anaplasma* sp. Mongolia, *B. venatorum* | 1 (0.49%) | - |
| Total    | 165 (81.82%) | 25 (69.44%) |

**Phylogenetic analysis**

By phylogenetic analysis, *R. raoultii* and *Candidatus R. tarasevichiae* were placed in a clade with *R. raoultii* Binxian-91 (MN450399.2) and *Candidatus R. tarasevichiae* (MN450396.2, MN450397.2), respectively (Fig. 3). *Anaplasma* sp. Mongolia identified in both *D. nuttalli* and *I. persulcatus* were shown to be clustered with *Anaplasma* sp. Mongolia 6 (LC194132.1) (Fig. 4). *Coxiella*-like endosymbiont identified in *D. silvarum* and in *I. persulcatus* were placed in a clade with *Coxiella* endosymbiont of *D. silvarum* (KP994814.1) and in a clade with *Coxiellaceae* bacterium RFE03 (KM079619.1), respectively (Fig. 5). *B. venatorum* was most close to *Babesia* sp. Venatorum strain HLJ371 (KU204792.1) and *Babesia* sp. YZ-2012 isolate hlj223 (JQ993426.2) (Fig. 6).

**Discussion**

In recent years, much attention has been focused on ticks and TBPs in China. However, although a variety of pathogens have been identified, co-infection with multiple pathogens in hard ticks has rarely been investigated. In this study, we applied mNGS combined with nested PCR to survey TBPs in *D. nuttalli* and *I. persulcatus* feeding on cattle in Inner Mongolia, China.
By mNGS, the endosymbionts including Coxiella spp., Rickettsia spp., Franciscella spp., and “Candidatus Midichloria mitochondrii” have been recognized as the most abundant bacterial species identified frequently in entirely homogenized ticks [43]. Qiu et al. applied NGS to examine the microbiomes of salivary glands of ticks collected in Japan, revealing a large number of bacterial genera, including 71 I. ovatus, 127 I. persulcatus, and 59 H. flava, and detecting some of the medically important bacteria including Coxiella spp., Ehrlichia spp., and Rickettsia spp. [44].

In the present study, the pooled DNA samples of D. nuttalli and I. persulcatus collected were assayed by mNGS. The result revealed the presence of the bacterial genera Rickettsia, Anaplasma, and Coxiella in these ticks. In order to identify the bacteria at species level, each tick was detected by SFGR-, Anaplasma and Ehrlichia-, and Coxiella-specific PCR as well as Babesia-specific PCR, respectively. After sequencing of the DNA fragments amplified by PCR and the sequence comparison, two Rickettsia species (R. raoultii and Candidatus R. tarasevichiae), one Anaplasma species (Anaplasma sp. Mongolia), B. venatorum, and CLEs were found in D. nuttalli, while R. raoultii, Anaplasma sp. Mongolia, and CLEs were also found in I. persulcatus. R. raoultii, a species of SFGR, was firstly detected in Dermacentor ticks collected in Russia in 1999 and isolated from Dermacentor ticks and named in 2008 [45]. It is one of the causative agents of tick-borne lymphadenopathy (TIBOLA), which is also known as Dermacentor-borne necrosis erythema and lymphadenopathy (DEBONEL) in humans [46]. R. raoultii has been found to be present in various ticks, including Dermacentor, Haemaphysalis, Rhipicephalus, Hyalomma, and Amblyomma. In the present study, R. raoultii was detected in 45.32% of D. nuttalli and 30.56% of I. persulcatus, suggesting that it was the dominant Rickettsia species prevalent in the hard ticks in Inner Mongolia, and this may
Fig. 4 Phylogenetic tree of *Anaplasma* sp. Mongolia in ticks based on partial 16S rRNA gene sequence similarity. The sequence obtained in this study is indicated with a black dot. Sequences were aligned using the MEGA 7 (version 7.0) software package. Phylogenetic analysis was performed by the maximum likelihood method, and bootstrap values were estimated for 1000 replicates.

Fig. 5 Phylogenetic tree of *Coxiella*-like endosymbionts in ticks based on partial 16S rRNA gene sequence similarity. The sequence obtained in this study is indicated with a black dot. Sequences were aligned using the MEGA 7 (version 7.0) software package. Phylogenetic analysis was performed by the maximum likelihood method, and bootstrap values were estimated for 1000 replicates.
have health implications, as humans may suffer from spotted fever after experiencing a tick bite from this region. Phylogenetic analysis showed that the *R. raoultii* strains in *D. nuttalli* and *I. persulcatus* were identical and most related with *R. raoultii* Binxian-91 from *H. longicornis* in Shandong Province of China (MN450399.2), suggesting that its geographical distribution is wider in China.

*Candidatus R. tarasevichiae*, an emerging tick-borne pathogen, is also a species of SFGR. It was first detected in *I. persulcatus* in the southern Urals and Siberia in 2003 [47] and then found in *Haemaphysalis* ticks in Far East regions in Russia [48]. Human cases caused by *Candidatus R. tarasevichiae* have been found in China and Russia [49, 50]. In this study, *Candidatus R. tarasevichiae* was detected in 5.42% of *D. nuttalli*, which was most related to the *Candidatus R. tarasevichiae* Mulan-11 strain (MN450396.2) and Bayan-68 strain (MN450397.2) from *I. persulcatus* in China in phylogenetic analysis. This is the first time that *Candidatus R. tarasevichiae* has been detected in *D. nuttalli*.

*Anaplasma* sp. Mongolia was firstly detected in *D. nuttalli* [51] and bovine blood in Mongolia [51, 52], demonstrating that the *Anaplasma* species is an important cattle pathogen. In this study, *Anaplasma* sp. Mongolia was detected in 26.6% of *D. nuttalli* and in 27.8% of *I. persulcatus*. This study is thus the first in the world to report the presence of *Anaplasma* sp. Mongolia in *I. persulcatus*.

CLEs are relatively common in the microbiota of various tick species around the world, forming multiple subclusters in the cluster of the genus *Coxiella* in phylogenetic analysis [39, 53]. The presence of these symbiotic bacteria in ticks confers crucial and diverse benefits to the host, affecting its development, nutrition, chemical defense, or reproduction [53–55]. The prevalence of CLEs is from 6.25% in *Rhipicephalus sanguineus* to 100% in *Amblyomma americanum* in North America and Europe [53]. In the present study, CLEs were detected in 53.69% of *D. nuttalli* and 27.78% of *I. persulcatus*. Phylogenetic analysis suggested that the CLE strain of *D. nuttalli*, which was mostly related to that (KP994814.1) from *D. silvarum* in France, was different from that of *I. persulcatus*, which was mostly related to that (KM079619.1) of *Haemaphysalis concinna* from Russia.

*Babesia* spp. are the pathogenic agents of babesiosis in humans and animals. In the present study, *B. venatorum* was detected in 7.88% of *D. nuttalli*. However, *Babesia* spp. was not found in the pooled tick samples by mNGS assay, which might be caused by the extremely low abundance of *Babesia* spp. in the pooled samples. According to phylogenetic analysis, *B. venatorum* of *D. nuttalli*
was mostly related to *B. venatorum* strains YZ-2012 (JQ993426.2) and HLJ371 (KU204792.1) detected in *I. persulcatus* in Heilongjiang Province of China. *B. venatorum* can cause human infection masquerading as hemophagocytic syndrome [56].

In the present study, the multiple pathogen/endosymbiont co-infections were detected in 40.39% of *D. nuttalli* and 13.89% of *I. persulcatus*. Ticks may acquire multiple pathogenic species during blood feeding on their vertebrate hosts, and the hosts may also be infected by the pathogens carried by ticks. Due to the development of molecular diagnostic methods, more and more cases with co-infection of multiple TBPs have been reported [57]. The co-infection may be the result of a single tick bite by the tick carrying more than one pathogen or the result of multiple bites by ticks carrying different pathogens. Therefore, the prevalence of co-infection with TBPs in people living in the area close to the natural focus of TBPs should be investigated in the future.

**Conclusions**

This study proves that various vertically transmitted pathogens co-inhabit *D. nuttalli* and *I. persulcatus*, and is the first to report that *Candidatus R. tarasevichiae* has been found in *D. nuttalli* in China, and the first in the world to report that *Anaplasma* sp. Mongolia has been detected in *I. persulcatus*. This study provides insight into the microbial diversity of *D. nuttalli* and *I. persulcatus* in Inner Mongolia, China, and indicates that cattle in Inner Mongolia are exposed to several TBPs.
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