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ABSTRACT

Context. Chemical element transport processes are among the crucial physical processes needed for precise stellar modelling. Atomic diffusion by gravitational settling is usually taken into account, and is essential for helioseismic studies. On the other hand, radiative accelerations are rarely accounted for, act differently on the various chemical elements, and can strongly counteract gravity in some stellar mass domains. The resulting variations in the abundance profiles may significantly affect the structure of the star.

Aims. The aim of this study is to determine whether radiative accelerations impact the structure of solar-like oscillating main-sequence stars observed by asteroseismic space missions.

Methods. We implemented the calculation of radiative accelerations operating on C, N, O, Ne, Na, Mg, Al, Si, S, Ca, and Fe in the CESTAM code using the single-valued parameter method. We built and compared several grids of stellar models including gravitational settling, some with and others without radiative accelerations. We considered masses in the range [0.9, 1.5] M⊙ and three values of the metallicity around the solar value. For each metallicity we determined the mass range where differences between models due to radiative accelerations exceed the uncertainties of global seismic parameters of the Kepler Legacy sample or expected for PLATO observations.

Results. We found that radiative accelerations may not be neglected for stellar masses higher than 1.1 M⊙ at solar metallicity. The difference in age due to their inclusion in models can reach 9% for the more massive stars of our grids. We estimated that the percentage of the PLATO core program stars whose modelling would require radiative accelerations ranges between 33% and 58% depending on the precision of the seismic data.

Conclusions. We conclude that in the context of Kepler, TESS, and PLATO missions which provide (or will provide) high-quality seismic data, radiative accelerations can have a significant effect when properly inferring the properties of solar-like oscillators. This is particularly important for age inferences. However, the net effect for each individual star results from the competition between atomic diffusion including radiative accelerations and other internal transport processes. Rotationally induced transport processes for instance are believed to reduce the effects of atomic diffusion. This will be investigated in a forthcoming companion paper.
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1. Introduction

Understanding and modelling the transport of chemical elements inside stars still remain difficult challenges for the theory of stellar structure and evolution. Chemical abundances play an important role in determining the structure and evolution of stars. The internal distribution of chemical elements results from the competition of several transport processes within the star which are still barely understood and/or poorly modelled.

Transport processes can be constrained using photospheric observations, but the impact on the internal structure can only be probed using stellar oscillations. The CoRoT (Baglin et al. 2013) and Kepler (Gilliland et al. 2010) space missions provided a wealth of high-quality photometric light curves. Seismic data derived from these observations improved the characterisation of the observed main-sequence stars and provide constraints on their internal structures (for reviews, see Chaplin et al. 2013; Deheuvels et al. 2016; Christensen-Dalsgaard 2016).

The PLATO ESA mission (Rauer et al. 2014) will be launched in 2026 and offers a new perspective to constrain our stellar evolution models further. The objectives of the project are the detection and the full characterisation of Earth-like planets orbiting solar-like stars, and the study of the evolution of star-planet systems. While the detection of exoplanets requires very high signal-to-noise ratios and long observing times, the full characterisation of these detected objects requires the precise determination of the stellar parameters of the host-stars. The aim of the PLATO mission is to observe a large number of stars while combining two techniques:

– the detection by photometric transit and a ground-based follow up in radial velocity which will provide the planet-to-host star radius and mass ratios, respectively;
– asteroseismology analysis (coupled with spectroscopic observations) which will provide precise masses, radii, and more importantly ages of the host stars.

The goal is to reach uncertainties of the order of or less than 3% in radius and 10% in mass for the planets. This translates into the need to reach uncertainties of the order of or less than 2% in radius and 15% in mass for the host-stars. A PLATO objective is also to reach an uncertainty as small as 10% for the age determination of a solar-like host-star. The current stellar models are still not able to provide such accuracy.

The study of the competition between microscopic and macroscopic transport processes is a necessary step towards more accurate stellar models. Helioseismology showed the necessity of including atomic diffusion to properly model the Sun (Christensen-Dalsgaard et al. 1993). It is a microscopic process which occurs in every star due to the gradients of $T$, $P$, etc. This process was first discussed by Eddington (1926) and the importance of radiative accelerations was first recognised by Michaud (1970) and Watson (1971). The diffusion velocity of an element mainly depends on two forces (or accelerations): gravity, which makes the element migrate toward the centre of the star, and radiative accelerations, which generally push the element up toward the surface. The latter is due to the capability of ions to absorb photons (according to their atomic properties) and to acquire part of their momentum. Atomic diffusion principally results from the competition between these two forces.

For G-, F-, and late A-type main-sequence stars (Population I and II), models including atomic diffusion may produce depletions or accumulations of chemical elements that are too large if no additional mixing other than convection is considered. This is the reason why models need to include additional macroscopic transport processes to reproduce the observed surface abundances (e.g. Korn et al. 2007). Atomic diffusion can then be used as a proxy to determine the efficiency of macroscopic transport processes or the rate of mass loss needed to reproduce observations and then predict which processes play a role (e.g. Talon et al. 2006; Michaud et al. 2004, 2011).

Atomic diffusion leads to local modifications of the abundance profiles, and thus to a modification of the Rosseland opacities. This has important structural effects in stars, for example the opacity-induced iron and nickel convection zone triggered by the local accumulation of these species around 200 000 K and where these elements are the main contributors to the opacity in F- and A-type stars (Richard et al. 2001; Théado et al. 2009; Deal et al. 2016). This opacity modification close to the bottom of the surface convection zone also causes an increase of the mass of the surface convection zone in F-type stars (Turcotte et al. 1998a). The local accumulation of elements may also lead to an inverse mean molecular weight gradient which triggers thermohaline (or fingering) convection in F- and A-type stars (Théado et al. 2009; Deal et al. 2016) and in B-type stars (Hui-Bon-Hoa & Vauclair 2018). It was shown that neglecting radiative accelerations in the modelling of 94 Ceti A (an F-type star showing solar-like oscillations) using asteroseismic data leads to a 4% age difference (Deal et al. 2017).

Currently only a few evolution codes incorporate consistent computations of stellar models including the complete treatment of atomic diffusion. The Montreal/Montpellier code (Turcotte et al. 1999b) computes radiative accelerations using OPAL monochromatic data and the opacity sampling method (e.g. LeBlanc et al. 2000). The Toulouse Geneva Evolution Code (Hui-Bon-Hoa 2008; Théado et al. 2012) includes the OPCD package\(^1\) from the Opacity Project calculations (Seaton 2005) for the opacities and computes radiative accelerations using the single-valued parameter (SVP) approximation proposed by Alecian & LeBlanc (2002) and LeBlanc & Alecian (2004). The SVP approximation allows very fast computations with no need for monochromatic data as they are tabulated within the method. The MESA code computes Rosseland mean opacities and radiative accelerations with the OPCD3 method (Paxton et al. 2018) optimised by the work of Hu et al. (2011). In the present paper, we add to the above list the CESTAM code (Marques et al. 2013) where we implemented the radiative accelerations within the framework of the SVP approximation while using the OPCD3 package for calculations of opacities.

Atomic diffusion has an important impact on the structure of stars. The effects are detectable in the Sun. It has also been shown to play a role in several other types of pulsating stars (Charpinet et al. 1997; Turcotte et al. 2000; Alecian et al. 2009; Théado 2012). Our aim here is to determine whether atomic diffusion, including the effect of radiative accelerations, needs to be taken into account in the modelling of solar-like oscillating main-sequence stars. This is a prerequisite for an optimal interpretation of the data provided by CoRoT and Kepler and by future space missions such as TESS and PLATO. Macroscopic transport processes such as those induced by turbulent convection and/or rotation also play an important role, and the competition with atomic diffusion is not straightforward; several parameters come into play and the net result likely depends on the type of stars, if not on the specificities of each individual star. We have therefore started an in-depth study which should ultimately provide the net result of this competition on the transport of chemical elements and the associated consequences on the structure, the evolution of the star, and its solar-like oscillating properties. The present paper is the first step of this study.

Our purpose here is a theoretical quantification of the sole impact of atomic diffusion – more specifically the radiative acceleration process – on the structure, surface abundances, and some basic seismic properties of stars. No macroscopic processes other than convection are taken into account. The results presented here may then be interpreted as the maximum impact of atomic diffusion including radiative accelerations. The inclusion of the competitive effect of rotationally induced mixing as allowed by our evolutionary code is in progress and will constitute the second paper of the series.

The paper is organised as follows: we first detail the new developments of the CESTAM code in Sect. 2. Section 3 then presents the grids of stellar models which focus on low-mass main-sequence stars and the impact of the radiative accelerations on the stellar structure and chemical abundances by comparing models computed with and without radiative accelerations. Some seismic implications are presented in Sect. 4. The impact of the radiative acceleration on the surface iron abundance and thereby on the stellar characterisation are discussed in Sect. 5, while Sects. 6 and 7 are devoted to discussions and conclusions, respectively.

2. CESTAM stellar models

2.1. Standard physics

The stellar models are computed using the CESTAM code (Marques et al. 2013); it is based on the CESAM code (Morel 1997; Morel & Lebreton 2008), and it has a more

\(^1\) http://cdsweb.u-strasbg.fr/topbase/testop/TheOP.html
detailed treatment of rotationally induced transport processes. Here we do not consider the effect of rotation. A second forthcoming paper will discuss the net results of the competition between atomic diffusion (including radiative accelerations) and rotationally induced transport of angular momentum and chemical elements.

The CESTAM models can be computed using the opacities given by the OP (Seaton 2005) or OPAL (Iglesias & Rogers 1996) tables complemented at low temperature by the Wichita opacity data (Ferguson et al. 2005). The equation of state used is OPAL2005 (Rogers & Nayfonov 2002). The nuclear reactions are taken from the NACRE compilation (Angulo 1999) except for the \(^{15}\)N\((p, \gamma)\)\(^{16}\)O reaction, for which we used the LUNA reaction rate given in Imbriani et al. (2004). The convection was treated following (Canuto et al.1996; hereafter CGM) with a mixing-length \(l = \alpha_{\text{CGM}} H_p\), where \(H_p\) is the pressure scale height. We took into account the overshooting of the convective core, with an overshoot extent of 0.15 \(\times\) min\(H_p, r_{\text{cc}}\), where \(r_{\text{cc}}\) is the radius of the Schwarzschild convective core. This choice is compatible with recent determinations of the overshooting extent based on the study of eclipsing binaries (Claret & Torres 2016) and on asteroseismology of solar-type stars (Deheuvels et al. 2015). The atmosphere is computed in the grey approximation and integrated up to an optical depth of \(\tau = 10^{-6}\) with no mass loss taken into account. We used the solar mixture of Asplund et al. (2009) with meteoritic abundances for refractory elements as recommended by Serenelli (2010).

In CESTAM two formulations are available for atomic diffusion: the first is based on the work of (Michaud & Proffitt 1993; hereafter MP93) and the second on the Burgers equations (Burgers 1969). Here we used the MP93 formulation. The MP93 approximation used in the CESTAM code considers the diffusion of trace elements (with partial ionisation) in a fully ionised plasma of H and He. This is an approximation of the Burgers equations. Some comparisons were made with the full Burgers treatment for the Sun (Turcotte et al. 1998b), and in the framework of the Evolution and Seismic Tool Activity (ESTA) for the CoRoT mission for the effect of gravitational settling only (Thoul et al. 2007; Montalbán et al. 2007; Lebreton et al. 2008). The advantage of the MP93 method is that computational times are very short.

2.2. Partial ionisation

Partial ionisation, which is often not considered in evolution codes, is extremely important for atomic diffusion calculations (Montmerle & Michaud 1976; Michaud et al. 2015), firstly because radiative acceleration depends on atomic properties of ions, and secondly because the diffusion velocity is proportional to the diffusion coefficient \(D_i\), which is proportional to \(Z_i^2\) (where \(Z_i\) is the electric charge of the ion in proton charge units). Hence, for instance, for two ions with respective charges \(Z_i\) of 5 and 6 undergoing the same resultant acceleration in the same stellar layer, the velocity of the ion with charge 6 is 30% lower than that of the ion with charge 5. Another example: assuming that iron is fully ionised in diffusion velocity calculations around the depth where the iron opacity bump occurs (\(\log T \approx 5.2\)) gives erroneous velocity estimation by more than a factor of 10. The error made by assuming full ionisation in atomic diffusion velocity calculations is larger for stars with a small surface convection zone (larger \(T_{\text{eff}}\)) since ions have lower \(Z_i\) at its bottom (cooler layers). Therefore, neglecting partial ionisation in diffusion calculations of chemical elements leads to large underestimates of the diffusion velocities. In this study, partial ionisation on heavy elements is taken into account through an average electric charge \(Z_i\) (instead of \(Z_i\)) for each element. This significantly simplifies the numerical treatment of the diffusion equations (see Sect. 2.3) since individual ions do not need to be considered (the same approximation is used in Turcotte et al. 1998b). Hereafter, \(i\) represents an element whose atoms locally possess an average electric charge \(Z_i\) depending on the local plasma conditions.

2.3. Diffusion equation

The equation describing the evolution of the chemical composition reads

\[
\frac{\partial c_i}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r^2 \rho D_i \frac{\partial c_i}{\partial r} \right) - \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[ r^2 \rho v_i c_i \right] - \lambda_i c_i,
\]

where \(c_i\) is the concentration of element \(i\), \(\rho\) is the density in the considered layer, \(D_i\) is a turbulent diffusion coefficient, and \(\lambda_i\) is the nuclear reaction rate related to the element \(i\). In Eq. (1), \(v_i\) is the atomic diffusion velocity that can be expressed in the case of a trace element \(i\) as

\[
v_i = D_{ip} \left[ \frac{\partial \ln c_i}{\partial r} + \frac{\Lambda_i m_p}{kT} (\sigma_{\text{rad}} - g) + (Z_i + 1) m_p g \right] k T + \kappa_T \frac{\partial \ln T}{\partial r},
\]

where \(D_{ip}\) is the diffusion coefficient of element \(i\) relative to protons, and \(\Lambda_i\) is its atomic mass. The variable \(\sigma_{\text{rad}}\) is the radiative acceleration on element \(i\), \(g\) is the local gravity, \(Z_i\) is the average charge (in proton charge units) of element \(i\) (roughly equal to the charge of the “dominant ion”), \(m_p\) is the mass of a proton, \(k\) is the Boltzmann constant, \(T\) is the temperature, and \(\kappa_T\) is the thermal diffusivity. It should be noted that \(Z_i\) is used when estimating \(D_{ip}\).

The competition between macroscopic transport processes and atomic diffusion is given by the first two terms on the right-hand side of Eq. (1).

2.4. Radiative accelerations in CESTAM

2.4.1. Atomic diffusion

In some evolution codes including atomic diffusion, a mixture of hydrogen, helium, and of a mean heavy element with respective mass fractions \(X, Y, \) and \(Z\) are considered (e.g. Thoul et al. 1994). This \((X, Y, Z)\) mixture treatment of atomic diffusion gives acceptable results (depending on the needed accuracy) for stars with masses close to that of the Sun, i.e. in stars where radiative accelerations are systematically weak compared to gravity (i.e. gravitational settling is dominant). However, this approximation is no longer valid for more massive stars where radiative accelerations dominate gravity. In this case, the migration of chemical elements is often towards the surface, depending on the interaction of their ions with the radiation flux. The sign and intensity of the diffusion velocity of a given species depends on the atomic properties of the dominating ions, and on depth (or local physical conditions). This is why elements cannot be treated as a unique mean heavy element \(Z\).

In its present version, CESTAM computes the evolution of abundances of all the elements available in the OPCODE3 package (Seaton 2005) and of some isotopes: \(\text{H}, \text{He}, \text{C}, \text{N}, \text{O}, \text{Ca}, \text{Fe}\) (without radiative accelerations), \(\text{Si}\). It also takes into account the partial ionisation in computing diffusion velocities (see Eq. (2)), which is a major new development in the evolution
code under consideration. It is shown in the next sections that modifications of the structure and surface abundances of stars occur when $Z$ is used instead of the charge of the fully ionised element.

Radiative accelerations in CESTAM are computed using the SVP approximations proposed by Alecian & LeBlanc (2002) and LeBlanc & Alecian (2004). There are mainly three and this is one of them (see Alecian 2018): (i) direct use of atomic data (the most accurate method, but the most computationally expensive to carry out), (ii) use of opacity tables with fixed frequency grids (less accurate, but numerically lighter), (iii) use of parametric approximations (less accurate than (ii), but numerically extremely fast). The first method is generally used to compute radiative accelerations in stellar atmospheres (Hui-Bon-Hoa et al. 2000; Alecian & Stift 2004, 2006; LeBlanc et al. 2009) and necessitates direct integration over atomic transition profiles. The second is valid for stellar interiors and is used in the Montreal/Montpellier code, and is also employed (with interpolation techniques) in the OPCD3 package (Seaton 1997, 2007). The third method corresponds to the SVP approximations and is only valid for stellar interiors.

The SVP method is based on a simplified form of the equations for radiative accelerations. They are obtained by separating the terms involving the atomic quantities from those describing the local plasma. The SVP method needs very small tables, contrarily to the other methods. These small tables, which only provide six parameters per ion, are pre-calculated for various stellar masses, and the numerical routines have to interpolate these data to fit the mass of the considered star (some tables can be found on the website\(^2\), and a larger set of tables is in preparation). This method is numerically efficient and is tailored for use in stellar evolution codes.

The SVP method was implemented in the TGEC code (Théado et al. 2012), and we proceeded in the same way for its implementation in CESTAM using the same set of tabulated parameters as for TGEC. In this study, radiative accelerations are computed for C, N, O, Ne, Na, Mg, Al, Si, S, Ca, and Fe. The SVP parameters have been calculated with the use of the Opacity Project data (Seaton 1992; Cunto et al. 1993).

In order to avoid numerical instabilities due to sharp gradients of abundances produced by radiative accelerations, we added an ad hoc turbulent mixing coefficient, as done by Théado et al. (2009) and Deal et al. (2016). The turbulent coefficient is expressed as

$$D_{\text{turb}} = D_{\text{bcz},1}\exp\left(\frac{r-r_{\text{bcz}}}{\Delta_1}\ln 2\right) + D_{\text{bcz},2}\exp\left(\frac{r-r_{\text{bcz}}}{\Delta_2}\ln 2\right),$$

where $D_{\text{bcz}}$ and $r_{\text{bcz}}$ are the value of $D_{\text{mix}}$ and the value of the radius at the bottom of the convection zone, respectively. For the grids we chose $D_{\text{bcz},1} = 500 \text{ cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$ and $\Delta_1 = 0.02$ of the radius of the star and $D_{\text{bcz},2} = 200 \text{ cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$ and $\Delta_2 = 0.1$. This turbulent mixing coefficient was chosen so as not to affect significantly the evolution of the star, and it has a negligible effect on the results presented below.

2.4.2. Opacity tables

In our models, atomic diffusion notably modifies the initial mixture of heavy elements in the outer layers, which implies that pre-computed Rosseland opacity tables cannot be used throughout the interior and all along the evolution. We therefore had to recompute the Rosseland mean opacity locally at each timestep in the layers where the mixture changes considerably. For this purpose, we implemented in CESTAM a dedicated routine (mx.f) which handles the monochromatic opacity tables from the OPCD3 package (Seaton 2005).

Since running the mx.f routine is time-consuming, we recomputed the Rosseland opacity only in the outer layers, when $\log(T) < 6.23$. We note the following:

- at higher temperatures, to save computing time, we used the pre-computed Rosseland mean OP opacity tables described in Sect. 2.1.
- at low temperatures ($T < 10^4 \text{ K}$) the OPCD3 opacities are still available. Therefore, for consistency, we preferred to use them rather than the more complete Wichita tables (which provide Rosseland means including molecular lines for a given mixture, but are not available in the form of monochromatic opacities). The impact of using OPCD instead of Wichita opacities in the low-temperature domain is that we miss the molecular contribution to the opacity. This may have some impact on the stellar properties especially for the colder stars. However, for these stars radiative accelerations are negligible and since our goal is to perform a relative comparison, this should not significantly modify our conclusions.

2.5. Comparison and validation of the implementations

To verify the validity of the new developments presented in Sect. 2.4, we compared the results obtained with our new version of CESTAM to those obtained with the Montreal/Montpellier code. We chose a model of $1.4 \, M_\odot$ with parameters listed in Table 1. Since the input physics of the models is not exactly the same (especially the equation of state and opacity tables) the structures are slightly different, but close enough for our purpose.

Figure 1 shows the abundance profiles of various elements. The agreement between the two codes is very satisfactory. The differences between them never exceed 3% for the surface abundances. Elements are depleted or accumulated in the same way. We have also compared models for more massive stars, and the agreement is at the same level. We are therefore confident in the use of this new version of the CESTAM code.

| Mass ($M_\odot$) | 1.4 | 1.4 |
|-----------------|-----|-----|
| $X_{\text{ini}}$ | 0.69500 | 0.69500 |
| $Y_{\text{ini}}$ | 0.27995 | 0.27995 |
| $Z/X_{\text{ini}}$ | 0.0360 | 0.0360 |
| $\alpha_{\text{MLT}}$ | 1.687 | 1.687 |
| Mixture | GN93 | GN93 |
| Opacities | OPCD+OPAL | OPAL Mono |
| EoS | OPAL2005 | CEFF |
| Nuclear reactions | NACRE | Bahcall92\(^a\) |
| Core overshoot | None | None |

Notes. \(^a\) Bahcall & Pinsonneault (1992).

3. Effects of atomic diffusion on the internal structure

Our goal here is to evaluate the range of stellar mass and initial chemical composition for which radiative accelerations (hereafter $g_{\text{rad}}$) cannot be neglected when computing...
accurately the structure and evolution of solar-like oscillating main-sequence stars. This will allow us to determine masses above which $g_{\text{rad}}$ has to be taken into account to properly infer stellar parameters (age, mass, radius) from models. These are lower-limit masses because macroscopic transport processes (apart from convection) are not taken into account. This will allow us to save computational time when their effects are negligible. For that purpose we built two sets of stellar model grids described below.

### 3.1. Our grids of models

We first define three grids of models listed in Table 2, each of them corresponding to a different metallicity. We have chosen masses in the range $[0.9, 1.5] M_\odot$, a range for which $g_{\text{rad}}$ is expected to have the most significant impact on the structure and evolution of solar-like oscillating main-sequence stars. In order to cover the wide range of metallicities of the CoRoT, Kepler, and the future TESS and PLATO targets, we have considered three values of the initial metallicity for grids 1–3, respectively $[\text{Fe}/H]_{\text{ini}} = -0.35, +0.035, +0.25$ dex, with

$$[\text{Fe}/H] = \log(X_{\text{Fe}}/X_{\text{H}}) - \log(X_{\text{Fe}}/X_{\text{H}})_\odot,$$  

where $X_{\text{H}}$ and $X_{\text{Fe}}$ are the hydrogen and iron abundances in mass fraction. Models cover the whole main-sequence lifetime up to the stage where the central hydrogen content is $X_{\text{H}} = 0.05$.

For each of these three grids, we have computed a first set of models including $g_{\text{rad}}$, and a second set without $g_{\text{rad}}$ (gravitational settling only) including convection as the only macroscopic transport process. The values of the mixing-length parameter $\alpha_{\text{CGM}}$ and initial helium abundance $Y_{\text{ini}}$ at solar metallicity were inferred from a solar model calibration. As $g_{\text{rad}}$ is negligible in the Sun the calibration was done with gravitational settling only. A solar calibration consists in adjusting the initial helium abundance $Y_{\text{ini,}\odot}$, metallicity $(Z/X)_{\text{ini,}\odot}$, and $\alpha_{\text{CGM}}$ of a $1 M_\odot$ model so that at solar age it reaches the observed solar luminosity, radius, and photospheric metallicity (see Morel & Lebreton 2008). We obtained $Y_{\text{ini,}\odot} = 0.2578$ and $\alpha_{\text{CGM}} = 0.68$. From $Y_{\text{ini,}\odot}$ and a primordial helium abundance $Y_{\text{BB}} = 0.247$ (Peimbert et al. 2007), we obtained a helium-to-metal enrichment ratio $\Delta Y/\Delta Z = (Y_{\text{ini,}\odot} - Y_{\text{BB}})/(Z_{\text{ini,}\odot}) = 0.9$, which we used to get the initial helium abundance for models with other metallicities.

### 3.2. Evolutionary tracks

To characterise the differences between models with and without $g_{\text{rad}}$ in the abundances and the structure of stellar interiors, we computed evolutionary tracks presented in Fig. 2 for the three grids of models described in Table 2. Atomic diffusion processes are rather efficient in the outer layers of stars because diffusion timescales are approximately proportional to the density of protons. For a given star, there is always a layer beyond which the

---

**Table 2. Characteristics of the grids of models.**

| Grid | 1     | 2     | 3     |
|------|-------|-------|-------|
| $[\text{Fe}/H]_{\text{ini}}$ | $-0.35$ | $0.035$ | $+0.25$ |
| Mass ($M_\odot$) | $0.9—1.2$ | $1.0—1.4$ | $1.0—1.5$ |
| Step ($M_\odot$) | $0.05—0.1$ | $0.05—0.1$ | $0.05—0.1$ |
| $X_{\text{ini}}$ | $0.7438$ | $0.7280$ | $0.7117$ |
| $Y_{\text{ini}}$ | $0.2503$ | $0.2578$ | $0.2655$ |
| $(Z/X)_{\text{ini}}$ | $0.0080$ | $0.0195$ | $0.0320$ |
| $\alpha_{\text{CGM}}$ | $0.68$ | $0.68$ | $0.68$ |
3.3. Abundance variations

Competition between gravity and $g_{\text{rad}}$ leads to a migration of the chemical elements inside stable zones (when no mixing is at work) of the stars. When $g_{\text{rad}}$ is not taken into account, all the elements (except hydrogen) migrate toward the centre of the star due to gravitational settling, and this may cause strong depletion of metals at the surface. Therefore, taking into account $g_{\text{rad}}$ generally prevents this abnormal superficial depletion (see Na, Mg, and Ca in Fig. 3). In some cases $g_{\text{rad}}$ is so high at the bottom of the surface convection zone, that metals enter the convection zone and their superficial abundances increase (see Al and Fe in Fig. 3 for the 1.4 $M_\odot$ case).

These changes in element distribution inside the star, iron in particular, explain the slightly different evolution of the models in Fig. 2. This shows that [Fe/H], an observable parameter characterising stars, may be affected by the inclusion of $g_{\text{rad}}$. When [Fe/H] is used as an observational constraint in stellar evolution calculation to determine unknown stellar parameters like age or mass, the error in that determination will likely be larger if the grid is computed without the effect of $g_{\text{rad}}$ (see Sect. 5). In our three grids, the difference in [Fe/H] goes from 0 to 1.7 dex (see Fig. 4) between the models with and without $g_{\text{rad}}$. As discussed previously, the effect of $g_{\text{rad}}$ for the largest metallicity grid (grid 3) is lower than for the others, and it is visible in the difference in [Fe/H]. Despite this, the difference in [Fe/H] is larger for the model with 1.4 $M_\odot$ of grid 2 than for the model with 1.2 $M_\odot$ of grid 1 even if $g_{\text{rad}}$ is more efficient for the models of grid 1. This is due to the deepening of the surface convection zone, which is larger at low metallicity and dilutes the accumulated iron more efficiently in the surface convective zone (see Sect. 3.4).

The surface abundances of some elements (He, C, N, and O for instance) in our computations are not representative of the values obtained from the observations of G- and F-type stars (at least during a fraction of the evolution of the models). The maximum depletion observed for these elements is ≈0.4 dex for star with a solar metallicity (see Adibekyan et al. 2012; Bensby et al. 2014; Brewer et al. 2016). These elements are not supported (or only weakly) by radiative accelerations and are largely depleted in the models even when $g_{\text{rad}}$ is taken into account. This result is expected because these models do
not include additional mixing processes (e.g. induced by rotation) which should reduce these large depletions. The abundances of the present study can then be considered as upper limits of what can be obtained from more complete models including atomic diffusion and competing macroscopic processes.

3.4. Position of the bottom of the surface convection zone

In the mass range covered by our model sample, the main abundance differences between the two sets of models occur inside the convection zones due to the diffusion flux of iron at their bottom. There is no significant accumulation of metals in layers below the surface convection zone where atomic diffusion processes are too slow to produce abundance stratifications, contrarily to what happens in A- and B-type stars (Richard et al. 2001; Théado et al. 2009; Deal et al. 2016). Here the structure of the models is modified only near the stellar surface.

The accumulation of iron, aluminium (model $1.4 \, M_\odot$ of grid 2, see Fig. 3 for example), and calcium (model $1.2 \, M_\odot$ of grid 2; see Fig. 3), or the depletion of the other elements has a direct influence on the Rosseland opacity. Figure 5 shows the

---

**Fig. 3.** Evolution of surface abundances ([X/H] calculated as in Eq. 4) with time for the eight elements in grid 2 at solar metallicity. The solid and dashed curves respectively represent models with and without $g_{\text{rad}}$.

**Fig. 4.** Evolution of the difference in [Fe/H] between models with and without $g_{\text{rad}}$ for the three grids of models. $X_C$ is the central hydrogen mass fraction. The solid lines show the differences for models including the effect of partial ionisation, while the dashed lines show the differences when this process is not taken into account.
Rosseland mean opacity profiles of 1.4 $M_\odot$ models with and without $g_{\text{rad}}$. The difference is more important close to the bottom of the surface convection zone (increase of 65% at $X_C = 0.4$) and this has a direct influence on the evolution of the star (i.e., for the structure) and the surface abundances. As iron is one of the main contributors to the opacity in this region, its accumulation leads to a higher opacity than that obtained with gravitational settling alone.

As a result, the bottom of the surface convection zone is always deeper when $g_{\text{rad}}$ is taken into account (see upper panels of Fig. 6) as was already shown by Turcotte et al. (1998a) for F-type stars. The more massive the star, the more important the deepening of the surface convection zone due to $g_{\text{rad}}$. Once again this effect is larger for lower metallicity stars. This maximum difference, which can be obtained from models with and without $g_{\text{rad}}$, reaches 120% for grid 1 and goes down to 65% and 5% for grids 2 and 3 for the more massive models of the three grids.

We note that the deepening of the convection zone is smaller in our models than in the Turcotte et al. (1998a) models. We presume that this could be due to the fact that the radiative acceleration for Ni, which significantly contributes to the opacity, is presently missing in our calculations. The new SVP tables that are in preparation (Alecian & LeBlanc, in prep., priv. comm.) should improve our models in the near future.

### 3.5. Variation of the stellar radius

We have seen in previous sections that the accumulation of metals modifies superficial abundances, opacity profiles, and size of the convection zone. Since the structure of the star is modified, so is the radius. Accurate knowledge of the radius is important in order to characterise exoplanets found by the transit method. If we compare the stellar radii computed without $g_{\text{rad}}$ to those computed with $g_{\text{rad}}$ (see lower panels of Fig. 6), models with $g_{\text{rad}}$ always give larger radii. The maximum difference which can be obtained from models with and without $g_{\text{rad}}$ never exceeds 2% and is at the level of requested uncertainties for the PLATO objectives. The increase in radius in our $g_{\text{rad}}$ models is linked to a decrease in the mean density due to atomic diffusion including $g_{\text{rad}}$, the same effect (but smaller in magnitude) was found for the Sun by Turcotte et al. (1998b).

### 4. Seismic implications

Our study confirms that $g_{\text{rad}}$ may have non-negligible effects on stars, especially on the iron surface abundance and on the size of the surface convection zone. Can these changes have detectable effects on the seismic properties of the star? We consider here only the global seismic indices, leaving a more comprehensive study of individual frequencies and frequency combinations for a forthcoming paper. The global asteroseismic indices are the frequency at maximum power, $\nu_{\text{max}}$, and the averaged large frequency separation, $\Delta \nu_0$ (Chaplin et al. 2013). Scaling relations relating these seismic indices to stellar mass, radius, and effective temperature are expressed for solar-like oscillating main-sequence stars as (Kjeldsen & Beddinget al. 1995)

$$\nu_{\text{max}} = \left( \frac{M}{M_\odot} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left( \frac{R}{R_\odot} \right)^{-2} \left( \frac{T_{\text{eff}}}{5777 \, \text{K}} \right)^{-\frac{1}{3}} 3.05 \, \mu\text{Hz},$$

$$(5)$$

$$\Delta \nu_0 = \left( \frac{M}{M_\odot} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left( \frac{R}{R_\odot} \right)^{-2} 134.9 \, \mu\text{Hz}.$$ 

$$(6)$$

We showed that $g_{\text{rad}}$ has an impact on $T_{\text{eff}}$ and on the radii of stars for a given mass (Sect. 3), so an effect should be visible in the $\nu_{\text{max}}$ and $\Delta \nu$ values. In order to be detectable, the seismic signatures of the $g_{\text{rad}}$ must be larger than the uncertainties arising from the observations. The Kepler Legacy sample of solar-like oscillating stars includes stars in the mass range 0.8–1.6 $M_\odot$ with [Fe/H] in the range [-1,+0.5] dex (Silva Aguirre et al. 2017). For most of these stars, Lund et al. (2017) obtained uncertainties for $\nu_{\text{max}}$ and $\Delta \nu$ in the approximate range 6–50 $\mu$Hz and 0.05–0.2 $\mu$Hz, respectively, depending on the apparent magnitude (in the range 6–11 mag) and the observing time (between 12 months and more than four years). The PLATO mission aims to measure individual frequencies of a reference star (1 $M_\odot$, 1 $R_\odot$, 6000 K) with uncertainties no larger than 0.2 $\mu$Hz at magnitude 10 (Rauer et al. 2014). The PLATO uncertainties for $\nu_{\text{max}}$ and $\Delta \nu$ are expected to lie in similar ranges to those of Kepler at a given magnitude, but PLATO will observe a larger number of bright stars and therefore with expected uncertainties on the lower side of the range. For the purpose of comparison, we considered two sets of uncertainties on $\nu_{\text{max}}$ and $\Delta \nu$ (see Table 3).

#### Table 3. Considered uncertainties on observed $\nu_{\text{max}}$ and $\Delta \nu_0$.

| Uncertainty sets (in $\mu$Hz) | $\delta \nu_{\text{max}}$ | $\delta \Delta \nu_0$ |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| A                             | 6                        | 0.05                 |
| B                             | 50                       | 0.2                  |

The first set (A) is based on the uncertainties of the best Kepler Legacy data (Silva Aguirre et al. 2017; Lund et al. 2017) and the bulk of bright PLATO target stars. The second set (B) considers more conservative uncertainties. In the following we compare, for both sets, the effects of $g_{\text{rad}}$ on $\nu_{\text{max}}$ and $\Delta \nu_0$.

#### 4.1. $g_{\text{rad}}$-induced change on $\nu_{\text{max}}$ and $\Delta \nu_0$

Figure 7 compares $\nu_{\text{max}}$ and $\Delta \nu_0$ for our selected sample of masses and metallicities at seven stages along the main-
sequence. We find that the values of \( \nu_{\text{max}} \) and \( \Delta V_0 \) are always lower for models including \( g_{\text{rad}} \).

For \( \nu_{\text{max}} \), the impact of \( g_{\text{rad}} \) never exceeds 15 \( \mu \text{Hz} \) except for the most massive models. This is more than three times lower than uncertainty set B, but 2.5 times larger than uncertainty set A. We conclude that \( g_{\text{rad}} \) needs to be very efficient in order to produce a significant signature in the \( \nu_{\text{max}} \) value.

The effects on \( \Delta V_0 \) are more important. The inclusion of \( g_{\text{rad}} \) leads to differences that reach 2.4 \( \mu \text{Hz} \) (for the 1.4 \( M_\odot \) model at solar metallicity), which is much larger than any uncertainty derived from Kepler data or expected from PLATO data. Because \( \Delta V_0 \) is directly related to the mean density of the star, differences in radius as small as 2\% can still induce large differences in \( \Delta V_0 \).

We can now define the mass limit \( M_L \) as the stellar mass above which the change in \( \Delta V_0 \) due to \( g_{\text{rad}} \) is larger than the uncertainties considered in sets A and B. For set A, the values of \( M_L \) are 1.05, 1.25, and 1.4 \( M_\odot \), for grid 1, 2, and 3, respectively. In the case of set B, the values of \( M_L \) are lower (0.9, 1.1, and 1.2 \( M_\odot \) for grid 1, 2, and 3, respectively). These values of \( M_L \) are listed in Table 4, and serve as references. They correspond to the lowest masses below which \( g_{\text{rad}} \) can be neglected. For masses higher than these limits, the effect of \( g_{\text{rad}} \) will depend on the efficiency of other transport processes.

### 4.2. \( g_{\text{rad}} \)-induced uncertainties on seismic ages

When modelling a star using seismic constraints, the impact of \( g_{\text{rad}} \) on \( \nu_{\text{max}} \) and \( \Delta V_0 \) generates an uncertainty on the age of the star. An order of magnitude of the age uncertainty can be obtained for instance by comparing the ages of standard and \( g_{\text{rad}} \) models at fixed mass, metallicity, central hydrogen abundance, and \( \Delta V_0 \). In such a configuration, we find that the age of the model with \( g_{\text{rad}} \) is always younger than that of the standard model in this study.

The maximum difference due to \( g_{\text{rad}} \) at metallicity \([\text{Fe/H}]_{\text{ini}} = 0.035 \) (grid 2) is obtained for the 1.4 \( M_\odot \) model at \( X_C = 0.4 \) and \( \Delta V_0 = 82.90 \mu \text{Hz} \). The ages of the corresponding standard and \( g_{\text{rad}} \) models are respectively 1.546 Gyr and 1.386 Gyr, that is they differ in age by about 9\%. Similarly for the most massive models of grids 1 and 3, we obtain age differences of about 6\% and 5\%. The \( g_{\text{rad}} \) therefore contributes significantly to the age error budget for the most massive main-sequence stars showing solar-like oscillations.
4.3. Acoustic depths of the base of the convection zone

In Sect. 3.4 we showed that the depth of the surface convection zone increases when \( g_{\text{rad}} \) is included. The question then is whether the \( g_{\text{rad}} \)-induced change of the size of the CZ is significant. Solar-like oscillations enable the measurement of the acoustic depth of the base of the convection zone which is defined as

\[
\tau_{\text{CZ,obs}} = \int_{r_{\text{CZ}}}^{R} \frac{dr}{c_s},
\]

where \( r_{\text{CZ}} \) is the radius of the bottom of the surface CZ, \( c_s \) the sound speed, and \( R \), the radius of the star (Mazumdar & Antia 2001, and references therein). We therefore computed the acoustic depths, \( \tau_{\text{CZ,obs}} \), for our models and compared the resulting \( g_{\text{rad}} \)-induced differences \( \Delta \tau_{\text{CZ,RA}} \) to the observational uncertainties of seismically measured \( \tau_{\text{CZ,RA}} \). From our models, we find that the maximum \( g_{\text{rad}} \)-induced differences for the convective sizes roughly correspond to \( \Delta \tau_{\text{CZ,RA}} \sim 300 \) s for the 1.4 \( M_\odot \) model of grid 2 and to 340 s for the 1.2 \( M_\odot \) model of grid 1 at fixed \( X_C \). This difference goes down to 160 s for the first case when comparing models with the same radius. Seismically measured \( \tau_{\text{CZ,obs}} \) were obtained by Verma et al. (2017) for stars from the Kepler Legacy sample. These authors found typical uncertainties on \( \tau_{\text{CZ,obs}} \) of the order of 150 s for stars with masses of about 1.4 \( M_\odot \) and of the order of 75 s for stars with masses of about 1.2 \( M_\odot \). Thus, we can conclude that \( g_{\text{rad}} \) must be taken into account in the models in order to determine the properties at the base of the convection zone for the most massive stars in the range of interest showing solar-like oscillations.

5. Impact of \( g_{\text{rad}} \) on [Fe/H] and on the stellar parameter determinations

With CoRoT and Kepler high-quality seismic data it is possible to determine very precise stellar parameters such as masses, radii, and ages for solar-like oscillating dwarfs (Lebreton & Goupil 2014; Silva Aguirre et al. 2017; Reese et al. 2016). In that framework, one significant impact of the \( g_{\text{rad}} \) on the stellar parameter determination is its effect on the relation between the iron content and the metallicity.

Today, a stellar parameter determination is usually achieved by means of an optimisation process. This method looks for
investigated, especially in the framework of optimisation methods as evolution codes used to compute stellar models rarely follow the evolution of the iron abundance.

6. Discussion

6.1. Impact of partial ionisation

In all the comparisons we have made on the structural and seismic properties, we observe that neglecting partial ionisation strongly underestimates the impact of atomic diffusion, especially for the most massive stars of our grids. As shown in Figs. 4, 6, and 7, the impact is roughly doubled when partial ionisation is taken into account. This occurs because iron dominates the structure modifications, and because it is among the elements we consider, the one for which neglecting partial ionisation in estimating the mean electric charge induces the largest errors (it has the highest atomic number). It is clear from this study that partial ionisation must be taken into account in modelling main-sequence stars.

6.2. Effect of the initial solar mixture

We demonstrated how the initial metallicity is an important parameter in evolution models including \(g_{\text{rad}}\). To evaluate the impact of the adopted solar mixture, we compared models based on the solar mixture of AGSS09 to models based on Grevesse & Noels (1993; hereafter the GN93 mixture). We computed two 1.3 \(M_{\odot}\) models with the GN93 mixture, with and without \(g_{\text{rad}}\), in order to perform the same comparisons as in Sect. 4.1. In these two models (\(Z/X\) ini = 0.0276 and \(\alpha_{\text{CGM}} = 0.678\)) as inferred from a solar calibration.

The solar metallicity of the GN93 mixture is higher than the AGSS09 value. We showed in previous sections that \(g_{\text{rad}}\) decreases when the metallicity increases for a given mass. Therefore, the effect of \(g_{\text{rad}}\) is slightly smaller in models using the GN93 mixture, but is still non-negligible. With the GN93 mixture, the mass above which \(g_{\text{rad}}\) has non-negligible effects on seismic predictions is only \(\approx 0.05 M_{\odot}\) higher than the mass limit obtained with the AGSS09 mixture (Table 4). The difference for other solar mixtures (Grevesse & Sauval 1998; Asplund et al. 2005) is expected to be smaller because the metallicity difference with AGSS09 is smaller.

6.3. Implications for the PLATO space mission

In Sect. 4.1, we determined that \(g_{\text{rad}}\) induces differences in \(v_{\text{max}}\) and \(A \nu_0\) that can be larger than their observational uncertainties when the stellar mass lies above a lower mass limit \(M_{\text{t}}\), which depends on the metallicity (Table 4). These lower masses can be used to determine whether \(g_{\text{rad}}\) has to be taken into account to ensure a given accuracy on the inferred stellar parameters. We can estimate the number of stars of the PLATO core program which might be affected by \(g_{\text{rad}}\). For this purpose we use a stellar population synthesis computed with the Besançon Galaxy model (Robin et al. 2003, 2014; Czekaj et al. 2014; A. Robin, priv. comm.). The simulation is representative of one PLATO observation field. The mass limits of Table 4 are indicated by yellow points (uncertainty set B) and orange points (uncertainty set A) in Fig. 9. The number of stars with masses higher than the mass limits ranges from 33% up to 59% (depending on the uncertainty criteria) of the PLATO core program star sample and reaches 58%–75% for the total field. This number is an upper limit, but nevertheless indicates that for a
significant number of stars, \( g_{\text{rad}} \) may not be negligible and the determination of their parameters will require some care if the requested PLATO accuracy is required.

### 7. Conclusion

We improved the CESTAM code in order to compute models including the effects of radiative accelerations on the chemical element profiles and the resulting effects on opacities. The goal was to characterise the sole transport effect of atomic diffusion including radiative accelerations; therefore, no macroscopic transport apart from convection was assumed. We computed two sets of models at three metallicities for masses ranging between 0.9 and 1.5 \( M_\odot \). One set includes the effect of \( g_{\text{rad}} \) and the other set does not.

The effects of radiative accelerations are higher at low metallicities and for the more massive stars considered here. The most obvious impact of radiative accelerations in stars is the modification of the surface abundances. For instance, this process is responsible for the surface abundances of chemically peculiar stars and we show here that it also has an impact for low-mass oscillating main-sequence solar-like stars. The most important abundance to follow is iron as it is one of the main contributors to opacity, while the [Fe/H] value is an important input for the stellar modelling. We showed that when radiative accelerations on iron are non-negligible it is not correct to calculate the [Fe/H] of a model simply considering a scaling of the metal content; the effect of radiative accelerations is selective, and even if iron accumulates at the surface the surface metallicity decreases as most of the other elements are depleted. This may have an important impact on the stellar parameter determination as [Fe/H] is an observational input. The difference in [Fe/H] between models with and without radiative accelerations reaches 1.7 dex for the more massive models of the grids.

We showed that the accumulation of elements in the surface convection zone (mainly iron) induces structure modifications. This is mainly due to the local increase of the opacity at the bottom of the surface convection zone as elements accumulate in regions where they are main contributors to the opacity. This local increase in the opacity leads to an increase in the size of the surface convection zone which can reach up to 120% in mass. This represents an increase larger than 160 s when considering the position of the bottom of the surface convection zone in acoustic radius. This is larger than the uncertainties obtained for some F-type stars of the Kepler Legacy sample and has to be further investigated. The modification of the radius of the star induced by the effects of radiative accelerations can reach 2%.

Using scaling relations we showed that the frequency at maximum power \( \nu_{\text{max}} \) of a model can be significantly affected by radiative accelerations for the more massive stars of our sample. Some models of our grid showed differences in the large frequency separation of pressure modes \( \Delta \nu_0 \) that were larger than the observational uncertainty. For masses higher than 0.9, 1.1, and 1.2 \( M_\odot \) (considering uncertainties of the Kepler Legacy sample) respectively for [Fe/H] \(_{\text{ini}}\) = −0.35, +0.035, and +0.25, radiative accelerations may have an impact on the age, mass, and radius determinations exceeding the precision requested by the PLATO main objectives. These masses are slightly higher when considering more conservative uncertainties. This has consequences on the parameters to be determined from Kepler, and future TESS and PLATO data. We estimated that radiative accelerations should be non-negligible for 33%–58% (depending on the considered uncertainties) of the core program stars of Kepler and PLATO.

It is important to note that the impact of radiative acceleration might be lowered when other processes are efficient in transporting material within stars, such as mixing induced by rotation, turbulence, or internal gravity waves to name a few. This is beyond of scope of this paper, but will be studied in a forthcoming work.
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Fig. 9. Metallicity according to the mass of a population simulation of the PLATO (grey crosses) and Kepler (black crosses) core programme stars. The selected stars are from K7 to F5 with magnitudes in the range \( 4 < V < 11 \), effective temperature in the range \( 4030 < T_\text{eff} < 6650 \text{ K} \), and luminosity classes between IV and V. The blue and red points correspond to the models listed in Table 4, which represent masses when \( g_{\text{rad}} \) needs to be taken into account.
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