Effects of porous properties on cold-start behavior of polymer electrolyte fuel cells from sub-zero to normal operating temperatures
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In this investigation, a parametric study was performed using the transient cold-start model presented in our previous paper, in which the ice melting process and additional constitutive relations were newly included for transient cold-start simulations of polymer electrolyte fuel cells (PEFCs) from a sub-zero temperature (−20 °C) to a normal operating temperature (80 °C). The focus is placed on exploring the transient cold-start behavior of a PEFC for different porous properties of the catalyst layer (CL) and gas diffusion layer (GDL). This work elucidates the detailed effects of these properties on key cold-start phenomena such as ice freezing/melting and membrane hydration/dehydration processes. In particular, the simulation results highlight that designing a cathode CL with a high ionomer fraction helps to retard the rate of ice growth whereas a high ionomer fraction in the anode CL is not effective to mitigate the anode dry-out and membrane dehydration issues during PEFC cold-start.

During the start-up of a polymer electrolyte fuel cell (PEFC) from sub-zero to normal operating temperatures, the PEFC undergoes various cold-start stages characterizing different physiochemical, transport, and thermal processes, in which ice freezing/melting, membrane hydration/dehydration, and latent heat release/absorption processes are closely related. It is evident that these processes and their evolution characteristics during a cold-start cycle strongly affect the performance and durability of PEFCs. Therefore, developing a full understanding of complex and interacting cold-start phenomena is essential to establish optimal cell design and operating conditions and so improve the cold-start capability of PEFCs. This is an objective where cold-start PEFC models play an important role.

A number of cold-start PEFC models have been developed and presented in the literature in the last decade. Most of these models focused on predicting the amount of ice accumulated in the cathode catalyst layer (CL) and the resultant deterioration in cell performance, and this focus limited their simulations to narrow temperature ranges below 0 °C. Consequently, several key phenomena occurring beyond 0 °C such as ice melting, membrane hydration/dehydration, and their strong interaction with increasing temperature were not addressed in these cold-start analyses.

In our previous study, we numerically explored how cold-start operating conditions affect the transient responses of PEFCs from sub-zero to normal operating temperatures. The simulation results clearly showed significant effects of these parameters on levels of ice accumulation and membrane dehydration as well as on the evolution characteristics of ice, water content, and cell temperature. The present study is an extension of our previous work, aiming to numerically investigate the effects of key design parameters of a membrane electrode assembly (MEA), such as ionomer fraction and porosity in the cathode CL and the porosity of GDL. This study provides a guideline for the design and optimization of MEA components to mitigate the levels of ice accumulation and membrane dehydration, and to finally enable the achievement of successful start-ups of PEFCs from sub-zero temperatures.

Results
To investigate the effects of MEA properties on the cold-start behavior of a PEFC, as shown in Table 1, we defined seven simulation cases using different ionomer fractions and porosity values for CL and GDL, which implies that the solid fraction of cathode CL, i.e. comprising carbon support and Pt particles, was accordingly adjusted by the
changes in pore volume and ionomer fractions. As shown in Table 2, the same value of ORR kinetic parameter \( a_{ORR} = 10^4 \text{ A} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \) was employed for all seven cases, meaning that Pt loading in the solid phase of the cathode CL still remains the same for Cases 1–7. In addition, the current density was 0.1 A \( \text{cm}^{-2} \) and resultant lower ohmic voltage losses for proton transport through the cathode CL.

Figure 2 shows the evolution of cumulative ice mass in the cathode CL. The ice accumulation curves for Cases 1–5 exhibit three distinct stages representing freezing, no desublimation, and melting. Firstly, it is seen that using a higher ionomer fraction in the cathode CL prolongs the time at which the ice formation begins owing to an increase in the water uptake capacity of the cathode CL. For instance, the ionomer fraction in the cathode CL is raised from 0.2 to 0.3 for the same CL porosity of 0.4 (Case 1 vs. Case 4), the time at which ice appears is delayed from 1.6 s to 4.4 s. Secondly, the porosity of the cathode CL mainly controls the rate of ice growth inside a cell.

Comparison of Case 1 with Case 3 or Case 2 with Case 4 clearly indicates that the higher CL porosity results in a slower ice growth rate because of the larger vapor storage capacity in the cathode CL. Consequently, among Cases 1–5, Case 4 exhibits the lowest amount of cumulative ice mass due to a combined result of its higher ionomer fraction and larger porosity at the cathode CL. Specifically, the maximum ice mass in Case 4 is approximately 0.095 mg cm\(^{-2}\), whereas the highest ice accumulation, 0.323 mg cm\(^{-2}\), is predicted in Case 3 due to its lower ionomer fraction and porosity. Finally, an increase in the cathode GDL porosity slightly lowers the amount of ice in the cathode CL, which is mainly caused by enhanced vapor phase diffusion via an increase of the effective diffusivity of water vapor. The enhanced vapor phase diffusion with higher GDL porosity can be confirmed by comparing the cumulative ice mass evaluation curves in the cathode GDL between Cases 1 and 5 in Figure 3; Here, even if the total cumulative ice mass for both Cases 1 and 5 are practically equivalent, the specific ice mass in the cathode GDL increases from 0.072 (Case 1) to 0.083 mg cm\(^{-2}\) (Case 5) with increasing GDL porosity from 0.6 to 0.8.

Figure 4 displays the water content evolution curves corresponding to the anode and cathode CLs, and to the membrane regions for Cases 1–5. In the very early cold-start stages within 4.8 s, some severe anode dehydration is seen for all five cases; the water content in the anode CL and in the membrane drops from the initial value (i.e., 10) to the level of 3.67–3.69, implying that the EOD flux dominates over the water back-flow because of the very low water diffusion coefficient through the membrane at sub-zero temperatures (i.e., at the anode dehydration stage). In contrast, the water content of the cathode CL increases and quickly reaches saturation (i.e., 14) due to water production by ORR. After the anode dehydration stage, a second dehydration occurs mainly in the cathode CL while the decreases in water content in the anode CL and in the membrane are almost equivalent. Therefore, the cathode dehydration stage can be defined as that period for which the rate of increase of vapor saturation pressure (driven by the increasing cell temperature) is dominant over the rate of water production by the ORR.

The dehydrated cathode CL is then suddenly hydrated in the time range 89.2–104.5 s, which is indicative of ice melting. According to Figures 1 and 2, it is clear that the water content surge period for each case corresponds to the ice-melting period near 0°C. In addition, the magnitude of the sudden sharp increase in the water content of the

| Table 1 | Simulation cases |
| --- | --- |
| **Cases** | **Cathode** | **Anode** |
| **Cases** | \( \epsilon \) | \( \epsilon \) | \( \epsilon \) | \( \epsilon \) | **Note** |
| Case 1 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 10.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 10.0 | baseline |
| Case 2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 10.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 10.0 | Cathode |
| Case 3 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 10.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 10.0 | Cathode |
| Case 4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 10.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 10.0 | Cathode |
| Case 5 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 10.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 10.0 | Anode |
| Case 6 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 10.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 10.0 | Anode |
| Case 7 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 10.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 10.0 | Anode |

| Table 2 | Physiochemical parameters and component properties |
| --- | --- |
| **Description** | **Value** |
| Exchange current density \( \times \) Ratio of reaction surface to CL volume in the anode side \( a_{O_2} \) | \( 1.0 \times 10^9 \text{ A m}^{-2} \) |
| Exchange current density \( \times \) Ratio of reaction surface to CL volume in the cathode side \( a_{H_2} \) | \( 1.0 \times 10^9 \text{ A m}^{-2} \) |
| Reference hydrogen molar concentration \( C_{H_2} \) | \( 4.08 \text{ mol m}^{-3} \) |
| Reference oxygen molar concentration \( C_{O_2} \) | \( 4.08 \text{ mol m}^{-3} \) |
| Anodic and cathodic transfer coefficients for hydrogen oxidation reaction | \( \chi_a + \chi_c = 2 \) |
| Cathodic transfer coefficient for oxygen reduction reaction | \( \chi_c = 1 \) |
| Dry membrane density \( \mu_{\text{mem}} \) | 1980 kg m\(^{-3}\) |
| Equivalent weight of electrolyte in the membrane \( (E) \) | 1.1 kg mol\(^{-1}\) |
| Faraday constant \( F \) | 96487 C mol\(^{-1}\) |
| Universal gas constant \( R \) | 8.314 J mol\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-1}\) |
| Porosity of the GDL/CL \( \epsilon_{\text{GDL}/CL} \) | 0.6/0.4 |
| Volume fraction of ionomer in CLs \( \epsilon_i \) | 0.2 |
| Permeability of the GDL/CL \( K_{\text{GDL}/CL} \) | \( 1 \times 10^{-12}/1 \times 10^{-13} \text{ m}^2 \) |
| Effective electronic conductivity in GDL/CL \( \sigma_{\text{GDL}/CL} \) | \( 300/300 \text{ S m}^{-1} \) |
| Effective electronic conductivity in metal bipolar plate \( \sigma_{\text{mBP}} \) | \( 1 \times 10^9 \text{ S m}^{-1} \) |
| Thermal conductivity of GDL/CL \( k_{\text{GDL}/CL} \) | \( 1.5/1.2 \text{ W m}^{-1} \) K\(^{-1}\) |
| Thermal conductivity of metal bipolar plate \( k_{\text{mBP}} \) | \( 0.95 \text{ W m}^{-1} \) K\(^{-1}\) |
| Heat capacity of GDL/CL \( C_{p,\text{GDL}/CL} \) | \( 13.4 \text{ W m}^{-1} \) K\(^{-1}\) |
| Heat capacity of metal bipolar plate \( C_{p,\text{mBP}} \) | \( 568/3300 \text{ kJ m}^{-3} \) K\(^{-1}\) |
| Heat capacity of metal bipolar plate \( C_{p,\text{mBP}} \) | \( 1650 \text{ kJ m}^{-3} \) K\(^{-1}\) |
| Heat capacity of metal bipolar plate \( C_{p,\text{mBP}} \) | \( 7703 \text{ kJ m}^{-3} \) K\(^{-1}\) |
The cathode CL is proportional to the ice accumulation level to be melted. For instance, Case 3 has the largest amount of ice melting among Cases 1–5 and therefore the highest increase in the water content. After the ice melting stage, the anode and cathode CLs as well as the membrane are slowly dehydrated due to a continuous cell temperature increase during the cold-start process. In addition, it is seen that the water content gradient between the cathode and anode CLs becomes smaller at the latter cold-start stages because of the enhanced water back-flow by increasing cell temperature.

To address the effects of ionomer fraction and porosity in the anode CL, Figures 5 and 6 compare cell temperature and voltage changes for Cases 1, 6, and 7 and their evolution profiles of cumulative ice mass, respectively. First, it is seen that the cell performance in the early cold-start stages (during the first 40 s) is improved, when the higher ionomer fraction (i.e., 0.3) and lower porosity (i.e., 0.3) are employed for the anode CL (Cases 6 and 7). Figure 7 displays the water content contours in the anode/cathode CLs and in the membrane at 30 s for Cases 1, 6, and 7. Although slightly higher electrolyte hydration is achieved in Cases 6 and 7, a distinct difference between the cases is not observed. Therefore, the higher cell performance in Cases 6 and 7 compared with that of Case 1 (see Figure 5) is mainly due to improved effective proton conductivity by using a higher ionomer fraction for the anode CL (see Eq. (20)).

When the cumulative ice mass curves for Cases 6 and 7 are compared with that of Case 1 in Figure 6, Case 6 exhibits slightly higher ice accumulation, whereas the ice accumulation level is lower in Case 7. The higher ice accumulation in Case 6 results from a smaller water gradient from the cathode to the anode driven by the higher ionomer fraction and lower porosity in the anode CL, which reduces the water back-flow from the cathode to the anode. The averaged water content profiles in the anode and cathode CLs and membrane in Figure 8 clearly indicate that the water content gradient of Case 6 is relatively less steep compared with that of Case 1 during the ice growth stage (the first 13 s). Therefore, in Case 6, relatively more water is frozen in the cathode CL rather than being transported towards the anode. In contrast, the lower ice accumulation in Case 7 is due to its higher water uptake potential in the cathode CL, which in turn is caused by the higher ionomer fraction (i.e., 0.3) that retards the formation of ice. As discussed in Figure 5, the higher cell voltage achieved in Case 7 compared with Case 6 is due mainly to its lower proton transport.

Figure 1 | Cell voltage and temperature evolution curves for Cases 1–5.

Figure 2 | Ice accumulation evolution curves in the cathode CL for Cases 1–5.
resistance through the cathode CL. More importantly, it can be observed in Figure 8 that the water content curves of anode CL corresponding to Cases 1 and 6 are almost identical to each other throughout the entire cold-start period. This fact indicates that the anode dry-out issue during cold-start is not successfully mitigated by using the higher ionomer fraction for the anode CL.

Discussion
Using the transient cold-start PEFC model, we carried out a parametric study to analyze the influence of porous properties of GDL and CL on the cold-start behavior of a PEFC operating from sub-zero to normal temperatures. From the simulation results, the following specific conclusions can be drawn.

It is clearly demonstrated by transient cold-start simulations that ice formation and growth inside a cell at sub-zero temperatures are significantly influenced by the porous properties of the cathode CL and GDL. While using a higher electrolyte content for the cathode CL helps to enhance its water uptake capability, the period when vapor is fully saturated and ice nucleation starts was successfully delayed by designing the pore volume of the cathode CL to be larger. As a result, the ice accumulation was reduced by designing a cathode CL with higher ionomer fraction and porosity. In addition, designing the cathode GDL to have larger porosity increased the vapor phase diffusion flux between the cathode CL and GDL and was thereby helpful in reducing the amount of ice in the cathode CL. In contrast to the changed ice accumulation trend at the cathode CL, neither the anode dry-out nor the membrane dehydration issues during PEFC cold-start were effectively resolved by altering the porous designs of the cathode CL and GDL, because during PEFC cold-start, those phenomena are mainly controlled by the EOD effect.

Unexpectedly, the ionomer faction of the anode CL was influential in ice accumulation in the cathode CL at the cold-start stages below 0°C. At a large ionomer fraction at the anode CL, the water back-flow from the cathode to the anode was reduced, which led to faster ice growth at the cathode CL. As the cell temperature increased above 0°C, its impact on the water content profiles became almost neg-
ligible. Therefore, designing the anode CL with higher ionomer fraction is not an effective way to reduce anode dehydration problems during PEFC cold-start.

Methods

For simulation of a PEFC operating under a wide temperature range from sub-zero (−20°C) to normal operating temperatures (80°C), the three-dimensional, non-isothermal cold-start PEFC model developed in previous studies was enhanced by including ice melting and constitutive relationships for PEFC transient simulation above 0°C. A detailed description of the cold-start PEFC model has already been provided in our previous paper; therefore, we present here only a brief description, with an emphasis on the new features included in this study.

Model assumptions. The specific assumptions used in the model are as follows:

(1) incompressible and laminar flow in gas channels and porous components of a PEFC as a result of small pressure gradient and flow velocities;
(2) ideal gas mixtures;
(3) a negligible gravitational effect;
(4) isotropic and homogeneous porous layers for GDLs and CLs, i.e., characterized by effective porosity and permeability;
(5) instantaneous de-sublimation of water vapor at saturation; and negligible electrical double-layer charging and discharging at CLs.

Governing equations and source/sink terms. Under the aforementioned assumptions, the multiphase PEFC model is governed by the conservations of mass, momentum, species, energy, and charge as follows:

Mass conservation:

\[ \frac{V}{\varepsilon} \left( \frac{\partial (\rho u)}{\partial t} \right) + \frac{\partial }{\partial x} \left( \rho u^2 \right) = -V \cdot \mathbf{p} + V \cdot \mathbf{f} + S_m \]  

Momentum conservation:

\[ \frac{V}{\varepsilon} \left( \frac{\partial (\rho u)}{\partial t} \right) + \frac{\partial }{\partial x} \left( \rho u^2 \right) = -V \cdot \mathbf{p} + V \cdot \mathbf{f} + S_m \]  

Species conservation:

\[ \frac{\partial (\rho C_i)}{\partial t} + V \cdot \mathbf{u} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( D_{ij} \frac{\partial C_i}{\partial x_j} \right) + S_i \]  

Energy conservation:

\[ \frac{\partial (\rho C_T)}{\partial t} + V \cdot \mathbf{u} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \kappa \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \right) + S_e \]  

Charge conservation:

\[ \frac{\partial (\rho C_q)}{\partial t} + V \cdot \mathbf{u} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \kappa \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \right) + S_q \]  

Figure 5 | Cell voltage and temperature evolution curves for Cases 1, 6, and 7.

Figure 6 | Ice accumulation evolution curves in the cathode GDL, CL, and total ice accumulation curves for Cases 1, 6, and 7.
Proton transport:
\[
V \left( \kappa_{\text{eff}} \nabla \phi_p \right) + S_p = 0
\]  

Electron transport:
\[
V \left( \sigma_{\text{eff}} \nabla \phi_e \right) - S_p = 0
\]

The source/sink terms in the conservation Eqs. (1)–(6), i.e., \( S_m, S_a, S_i, S_T, \) and \( S_W \), are listed in Table 3. Note that the source terms, \( S_i \), in the water transport equations for the CL and GDL regions include a phase-change term, \( S_{sg} \), to describe ice formation, growth, and melting processes during a cold-start. The detailed description of \( S_{sg} \) is as follows:

\[
S_{sg} = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{when } C_{H_2O,0} \leq C_{H_2O}^{\text{sat}} \\
R_{\text{desub}} \left( C_{H_2O}^{\text{sat}} - C_{H_2O,0} \right) & \text{when } C_{H_2O,0} > C_{H_2O}^{\text{sat}} \& \text{ } T < T_{\text{H}_2O_{\text{freeze}}} \\
R_{\text{sub}} \left( C_{H_2O}^{\text{sat}} - C_{H_2O,0} \right) & \text{when } T = T_{\text{H}_2O_{\text{freeze}}} \& s > 0 \\
0 & \text{when } T \geq T_{\text{H}_2O_{\text{freeze}}} \& s = 0 
\end{cases}
\]  

where \( R_{\text{desub}} \) and \( R_{\text{sub}} \) are the phase-change rates for desublimation and sublimation, respectively, and \( s \) denotes the ice fraction, defined as the volume fraction of the ice to the void spaces in porous media such as the GDL and CL, i.e.,

\[
s = \frac{V_{\text{ice}}}{V_{\text{void}}}
\]

Therefore, the amount of ice accumulated in the PEMFC components is described in terms of the ice fraction, \( s \). The desublimation and sublimation processes lead to latent heat release and absorption; therefore, these effects should be considered in the source terms, \( S_T \), in the energy conservation equation for the CL and GDL regions, i.e., \( h_{sg} \) in Table 3.

The source terms, \( S_W \), for the charge conservation equations account for the hydrogen oxidation reaction (HOR) in the anode CL and the oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) in the cathode CL. These electrochemical reactions are represented by linearizing the standard Butler–Volmer equation for the HOR, and by neglecting the anodic reaction term of the Butler–Volmer equation for the ORR.

HOR in the anode CL:
\[
f = \sigma_{\text{aq}} \left( \frac{C_{H_i}}{C_{H_i}^{\text{sat}}} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{x_0 + x_f F n}{RT} \right)
\]
Table 3 | Three-dimensional transient polymer-electrolyte fuel-cell model: source/sink terms

| Term                      | Expression                                                                 |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Mass in CLs: S_in         | \( MW \frac{J}{nF} + MW_{H_2O} \left( \nabla \cdot \left( D_{H_2O}^{\text{eq}} \nabla n_{H_2O} \right) \right) - \nabla \cdot \left( n_{H_2O} \frac{J}{F} \right) \) |
| Momentum in GDL/CLs: S_\mu | \( \frac{\mu}{R} \)                                                                 |
| Species                    | \( \eta = \sum \bar{m}_i \bar{M}_i \bar{n} \)  
\( \bar{m}_i = \text{chemical formula of species } i \)  
\( \bar{n} = \text{stoichiometry coefficient} \)  
\( n = \text{number of electrons transferred} \) |
| Energy in CLs: S_e         | \( \frac{\eta + T \frac{dU_0}{dt}}{\sqrt{\frac{T}{nF}}} + \frac{I_i^2}{nF^2} + h g_s S_g \) |
| Energy in GDLs: S_e        | \( \frac{I_i^2}{\sqrt{\frac{T}{nF}}} + h g_s S_g \) |
| Charge in CLs: S_\bar{q}   | \( j = \sum \bar{q}_i \bar{M}_i \bar{n} \)  
\( \bar{q}_i = \text{stoichiometry coefficient} \)  
\( n = \text{number of electrons transferred} \) |

**Electrochemical reactions**

- **Hydrogen oxidation reaction (HOR)** at anode side: \( H_2 + 2H_2^+ + 2e^- = 2H_2O \)
- **Oxygen reduction reaction (ORR)** at cathode side: \( 2H_2O - O_2 + 4H^+ = 4e^- \)

**ORR in the cathode CL:**

\[
j = -a_{ORR}^0 (1 - s) \left( \frac{C_{O_2}}{C_{O_2}^{eq}} \right)^{3/4} \exp \left( -\frac{\eta}{RT} \right) \]

The surface overpotentials, \( \eta \), for the HOR and ORR are defined using the thermodynamic equilibrium potential, \( U_0 \), between the anode and cathode sides as follows:

\[
\eta = \phi_a - \phi_c - U_0 \quad \text{Anode} : \quad U_0 = 0
\]

\[
\eta = \phi_c - \phi_a - U_0 \quad \text{Cathode} : \quad U_0 = 1.23 - 9.0 \times 10^{-4}(T - 298.15)
\]

In the right-hand side of the species equation, Eq. (3), the effective diffusion coefficient, \( D_{eff}^0 \), is modified by the Bruggeman correlation to account for the effects of the porosity and tortuosity of the GDL and CL. Because it is also affected by the ice fraction, \( x \), the final form of \( D_{eff}^0 \) in the presence of ice becomes:

\[
D_{eff}^0 = [a(1 - x)] D_i
\]

The transport properties of electrolytes are correlated with the water content of the membrane, \( x \), which is in turn a function of the water activity, \( \lambda \), as follows:

\[
\lambda = \begin{cases} 
0.043 + 17.81a - 39.85a^2 + 36.0a^3 & 0 < a \leq 1 \\
14 & for \ T < 273.15 \\
0.043 + 17.81a - 39.85a^2 + 36.0a^3 & 1 < a \leq 3 \\
16.8 & for \ T \geq 273.15 \\
\end{cases}
\]

The water vapor partial pressure can be calculated over a wide temperature range from sub-zero to normal temperatures as follows:

\[
\log_{10} P_{\text{sat}} = -9.09718 \times (273.16/T - 1) - 3.56654 \\
\log_{10} \left( \frac{273.16}{T} \right) + 0.876793 (1 - T/273.16) \\
+ \log_{10} 6.1071 + 2 \quad for \ \ T < 279.15
\]

\[
\log_{10} P_{\text{sat}} = -2.1794 + 0.02953 (T - 273.15) - 3.1837 \times 10^{-5}(T - 273.15)^2 \\
+ 1.4454 \times 10^{-7}(T - 273.15)^3 \quad for \ T \geq 279.15
\]

The EOD coefficient, \( \eta_a \), and the proton conductivity in the membrane, \( \kappa \), have been reported by Zawodzinsky et al. and Mao et al., respectively. And the expression for

---

Figure 9 | Configuration of computational domain and mesh with boundary conditions for single straight-channel fuel-cell geometry.
Table 4 | Cell dimensions and operating conditions

| Description                          | Value |
|--------------------------------------|-------|
| Cell length                          | 0.1 m |
| Anode/cathode channel/rib width      | 1.0 × 10⁻³ m |
| Anode/cathode channel height         | 0.7 × 10⁻³ m |
| Thickness of anode/cathode GDLs D(\text{GD}) | 300 × 10⁻⁶ m |
| Thickness of anode/cathode CLs D(\text{CL}) | 10 × 10⁻⁶ m |
| Thickness of membrane D(\text{mem})  | 30 × 10⁻⁶ m |
| Anode/cathode pressure \(P\)         | 1/1 atm |
| Anode/cathode stoichiometry \(Aa/\text{Ac}\) | 1.5/2.0 |
| Anode/cathode inlet temperature      | −20 °C |
| Operating current density \(I\)      | 1000 A m⁻² |
| Startup temperature                  | −20 °C |
| Water content \(\lambda\)             | 10.0 |
| Relative humidity                    | 0/0.0 |

Boundary conditions and numerical implementation. The cell geometry and its computational mesh for the present study are shown in Figure 9. The detailed physicochemical parameters, cell dimensions, operating conditions, and material properties are listed in Tables 2 and 4.

The anode/cathode inlet velocities can be determined from their respective stoichiometric ratios \(\phi_A/\phi_C\) and the operating current density \(I\) as follows:

\[
\text{Anode inlet: } \quad u_{in, a} = \frac{\phi_A}{\phi_C} \frac{I}{A_{a,chan}} 
\]

\[
\text{Cathode inlet: } \quad u_{in, c} = \frac{\phi_C}{\phi_A} \frac{I}{A_{c,chan}}
\]

where \(A_{a,chan}\) and \(A_{c,chan}\) represent the inlet cross-sectional areas of the anode and cathode gas channels, respectively. The inlet molar concentrations of hydrogen and oxygen \((\text{H}_2\text{O})\) can be calculated from the inlet pressure, humidification condition, and temperature, according to the ideal gas law.

The transient cold-start model were numerically implemented with a commercially available computational fluid dynamics program, FLUENT version 14.5, using FLUENT’s user-defined functions. The convergence criteria for all species and energy residuals were set to 10⁻⁶.
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