SimReg1 is a master switch for biosynthesis and export of simocyclinone D8 and its precursors

Liliya Horbal1, Yuriy Rebets1, Mariya Rabyk1, Roman Makitrynskyy1, Andriy Luzhetskyy2, Victor Fedorenko1 and Andreas Bechthold3*

Abstract

Analysis of the simocyclinone biosynthesis (sim) gene cluster of Streptomyces antibioticus Tü6040 led to the identification of a putative pathway specific regulatory gene simReg1. In silico analysis places the SimReg1 protein in the OmpR-PhoB subfamily of response regulators. Gene replacement of simReg1 from the S. antibioticus chromosome completely abolishes simocyclinone production indicating that SimReg1 is a key regulator of simocyclinone biosynthesis. Results of the DNA-shift assays and reporter gene expression analysis are consistent with the idea that SimReg1 activates transcription of simocyclinone biosynthesis, transporter genes, regulatory gene simReg3 and his own transcription. The presence of extracts (simocyclinone) from S. antibioticus Tü6040 × pSSimR1-1 could dissociate SimReg1 from promoter regions. A preliminary model for regulation of simocyclinone biosynthesis and export is discussed.
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Introduction

The actinomycetes, including in particular members of the genus Streptomyces, are the industrial source for a large number of bioactive compounds employed as antibiotics and other drugs Horinouchi 2007; Bibb and Hesketh 2009. Actinomycetes produce these molecules as part of their “secondary” or nonessential metabolism van Wezel et al. 2009. Many Streptomyces species are capable of producing more than one secondary metabolite Ohnishi et al. 2008; van Wezel et al. 2009. The timing of the production of secondary metabolites and the amount of the accumulated compounds correlates with the environmental conditions and morphological differentiation van Wezel et al. 2009; Bibb et al. 2009; van Wezel et al. 2011. Furthermore, it has also been associated with the accumulation of small signaling molecules, such as ppGpp, microbial hormones, and late intermediates or end-products of the secondary metabolite biosynthetic pathways Ruiz et al. 2008; O’Rourke et al. 2009; Hsiao et al. 2009; Wang et al. 2009. The influence of all aforementioned factors in most cases is reflected to the activity of the pathway-specific regulatory genes, which are believed to be final checkpoints in the onset of antibiotic production Arias et al. 1999; Nuria et al. 2007; van Wezel et al. 2009; Pulsawat et al. 2007; Wang et al. 2009. Because most antibiotics are potentially lethal to the producing organism, the onset of antibiotic production should be under tight control and mechanisms of self-resistance of producing bacteria must exist. All this requires a precise regulatory network coordinating both, biosynthesis and resistance genes expression Le et al. 2009. That is why very often resistance genes are linked to antibiotic biosynthesis genes Tahlan et al. 2007; Ostash et al. 2008. As our understanding of secondary metabolism advances, it is becoming clear that the relationship between antibiotic production and resistance is more complicated than expected. For example, in S. coelicolor, along with the mature antibiotic(s), intermediates of the biosynthetic pathway might activate expression of the export genes, thereby coupling resistance to biosynthesis Hopwood 2007. In S. cyanogenus intermediates are able, not only to release repression of the export machinery, but also to de-repress expression of the late biosynthetic enzymes that attach the final sugars to yield mature landomycin A Ostash et al. 2008. However, despite the identification and characterization of numerous genes, which
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affect antibiotic production and resistance, our understanding of the regulatory networks that govern these processes is far from complete.

A biosynthetic gene cluster usually contains at least one regulatory gene Sheldon et al. 2002; Rebets et al. 2003; Rebets et al. 2008; Chen et al. 2008. This is also the case for the gene cluster of the aminocoumarin antibiotic simocyclinone D8 (Figure 1), produced by *S. antibioticus* Tü6040, that has distinct cytostatic and antibiotic activities Trefzer et al. 2002; Galm et al. 2002; Oppegard et al. 2009; Sadig et al. 2010; Edwards et al. 2009. The simocyclinone biosynthetic gene cluster includes three putative regulatory genes: *simReg1*, *simReg2* (hereafter *simR*) and *simReg3* (Figure 2). Recently, the function of SimR was investigated in vitro and it was shown to repress the transcription of *simX* gene that encodes simocyclinone efflux pump Le et al. 2009; Le et al. 2011, whereas the function of the two other regulators is still unknown. SimReg1 is the first example of an OmpR-PhoB subfamily regulator, identified in an aminocoumarin biosynthetic gene cluster. Herein, we describe the generation and analysis of the mutant strain deficient in the *simReg1* gene, mobility shift DNA-binding assays of His-SimReg1 to putative promoter regions and propose a putative model for regulation of the biosynthesis and export of simocyclinones.

**Materials and methods**

**Bacterial strains, plasmids, and culture conditions**

All strains and plasmids are listed in Table 1. *E. coli* DH5α (Life Technologies) was used for routine subcloning. *E. coli* ET12567 harboring the conjugative plasmid pUB307 (provided by C. P. Smith, UMIST, Manchester, UK) was used to perform intergeneric conjugation from *E. coli* to *Streptomyces* species Flett et al. 1997; Luzhetskyy et al. 2006. For plasmid and total DNA isolation, *E. coli* and *S. antibioticus* strains were grown as described by Sambrook and Russell (2001), and Kieser et al. 2000. For simocyclinone production, *S. antibioticus* strains were grown in liquid NL5 medium (NaCl 1 g l⁻¹, KH₂PO₄ 1 g l⁻¹, MgSO₄ × 7H₂O 0.5 g l⁻¹, glycerol 25 g l⁻¹, L-glutamin 5.84 g l⁻¹, trace elements 2.0 ml, pH 7.3 prior to sterilization) at 30°C. For conjugation, spores of *S. antibioticus* strains were harvested from a sporulated lawn grown on soya-mannitol or oatmeal medium Kieser et al. 2000; Luzhetskyy et al. 2006. When it was necessary, bacterial strains were grown in the presence of respective antibiotics. X-gal and IPTG were used for blue-white colony selection in the case of the pBluescript, pSET152, pKC1139, pKC1218E vectors as described elsewhere Kieser et al., 2000; Sambrook et al., 2001.

**DNA manipulations**

Isolation of genomic DNA from streptomycetes and plasmid DNA from *E. coli* were carried out using standard protocols Kieser et al. 2000. Restriction enzymes and molecular biology reagents were used according to the recommendation of suppliers (NEB, MBI Fermentas, Promega). DIG DNA labeling and Southern hybridization analyses were performed according to the DIG DNA labeling and detection kit (Roche Applied Science).

**Construction of the plasmid for simReg1 inactivation**

A 4.3 kb BamHI fragment carrying the entire simReg1 gene and its flanking regions was cloned from 5JH10 (Table 1) into pUC19 to yield pUCsimR1 with an unique BsaAI site within the coding region of the *simReg1* gene. The plasmid pUCsimR1 was digested with BsaAI and ligated to the hygromycin resistance cassette *hyg*, retrieved as an EcoRV fragment from pHYG1 (Table 1). The resulting plasmid pUCsimR1-hyg was digested with BsmAI and ligated to the hygromycin resistance cassette *hyg*, retrieved as an EcoRV fragment from pHYG1 (Table 1). The resulting plasmid pUCsimR1-hyg was digested with BamHI and the fragment containing the simReg1::hyg mutant allele was cloned into the shuttle vector pKCI139 to yield pKCsimR1-hyg.

**Generation of the chromosomal mutant S. antibioticus ΔsimReg1**

The gene disruption plasmid pKCsir1-hyg was conjugally transferred from *E. coli* into *S. antibioticus* Tü6040. Exconjugants were selected for resistance to apramycin (10 µg ml⁻¹). To generate *S. antibioticus* ΔsimReg1 strain, single-crossover mutants were obtained by cultivation of the respective exconjugants at 39°C for 3 days with a further screen for the loss of apramycin resistance as a consequence of a secondary crossover.

---

**Figure 1** Structure of simocyclinone D8.
Complementation of the simReg1 mutant

The simReg1 gene with flanking regions was retrieved from the plasmid pKCEsimR1 Rebets et al. 2008 as a 2.3 kb BamHI fragment and cloned into the BamHI sites of pSET152 to yield pSsimR1. A 1.4 kb SmaI fragment harboring only simReg1 with its promoter region was retrieved from pSsimR1 and cloned into EcoRV linearized pSET152 to yield pSsimR1-1.

Construction of the plasmids for gusA reporter fusion system

A 0.5 kb DNA fragment, containing promoter of the simD4 gene (P_D4) was amplified from the chromosome S. antibioticus Tü6040 using primers simD4_for_script and simD4_rev_script (Table 2). The PCR product was digested with XbaI/KpnI and cloned into the respective sites of pGUS Myronovskyi et al. 2011, giving pSimD4-script. In this plasmid transcription of the gusA gene is under the control P_D4 promoter.

A 0.8 kb fragment, carrying the simReg1 gene, was amplified from the S. antibioticus Tü6040 chromosome using the primers simReg1_for and simReg1_rev (Table 2). The amplified DNA fragment was cleaved with HindIII/BamHI and cloned into the respective sites of pUWL-oriT (Table 1), yielding pUWLsimReg1. In this plasmid the simReg1 gene is under the control of PermE.

Spectrophotometric measurement of glucuronidase activity in cell lysates

For measurement of GusA activity, mycelium of the S. lividans strain harboring both pSimD4script and pUWLsimReg1 plasmids, the control strains S. lividans 1326 × pSimD4script, S. lividans 1326 × pGUS, and S. lividans 1326 × pGUS/pUWLsimReg1 were grown in liquid TSB medium (100 ml) for 2 days at 30°C in a rotary shaker (180 rpm). 1 ml of the pre-culture was inoculated into liquid TSB medium (100 ml) and grown for 5 days at 30°C in a rotary shaker. Mycelium was harvested, washed with distilled water, then resuspended in lysis buffer (50 mM phosphate buffer [pH 7.0], 0.1% Triton X-100, 5 mM DTT, 4 mg ml⁻¹ lysozyme) and incubated for 30 min at 37°C. Lysates were centrifuged for 10 min at 5000 rpm. Then, 0.5 ml of lysate was mixed with 0.5 ml of dilution buffer (50 mM phosphate buffer [pH 7.0], 5 mM DTT, 0.1% Triton X-100) supplemented with 5 μl 0.2 M p-nitrophenyl-β-D-glucuronide and used for measuring optical density at λ = 415 nm every minute during 20 min of incubation at 37°C. As a reference, a 1:1 mixture of lysate and dilution buffer was used.

Analysis of secondary metabolites production

Streptomyces strains were grown in liquid TSB medium (50 ml) for 2 days at 30°C in a rotary shaker (180 rpm). Five ml of the pre-cultures were inoculated into liquid NL5 medium (100 ml) and the cultures were grown for 5 days at 30°C in a rotary shaker. The culture broths were extracted three times with 100 ml of ethyl acetate. The extracts were dried in vacuum and dissolved in methanol (200-400 μl). The metabolites were analyzed by high-pressure liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (HPLC-MS) Schimana et al. 2001. 10 ml of each culture
Table 1 Strains and plasmids

| Strain/Plasmid | Description | Source or Reference |
|----------------|-------------|---------------------|
| **E. coli DH5α** | **supE44 ΔlacU169 (delΔ1598lacZ ΔM15)** | Hanahan 1985 |
| **E. coli BL21 (DE3) pLysS** | Host for the heterologous expression of His6-tagged **simReg1** | Novagen |
| **E. coli ET15267/pUB307** | **hsdR17 recA1 endA1 gyrA96 thi-1 relA1 dam-133 Tn9(Cmr) dcm-6 hsdM** harbors conjugative plasmid pUB307, CmR, KmR | Flett et al. 1997 |
| **S. antibioticus Tü6040** | Simocyclinone D8 producing strain | Trefzer et al. 2002 |
| **S. antibioticus ΔsimReg1** | Derivative of S. antibioticus Tü6040 with disrupted **simReg1** gene | This work |
| **S. antibioticus ΔsimReg1 × pSSimR1-1** | Δ**simReg1** strain carrying plasmid with the intact **simReg1** gene under its own promoter, used for complementation studies | This work |
| **S. lividans 1326** | Wild type | Hopwood et al. 1985 |
| **S. lividans × pSimD4script** | Derivative of S. lividans 1326 carrying plasmid with **gusA** gene under the control of the putative promoter of the **simD4** gene | This work |
| **S. lividans × pSimD4script/pUWLsimReg1** | Derivative of S. lividans 1326 carrying plasmid with **gusA** gene under the control of putative promoter of the **simD4** gene and second plasmid with **simReg1** gene under the control of P**ermE** | This work |
| **S. lividans × pGUS/pUWLsimReg1** | Derivative of S. lividans 1326 carrying plasmid with promoterless reporter gene **gusA** and plasmid with **simReg1** gene under the control of the P**ermE** promotor | This work |
| **pBluescriptII SK+** | General purpose cloning vector; Apr | MBI Fermentas |
| **pUC19** | General purpose cloning vector; Ap | MBI Fermentas |
| **pSET152** | E. coli/Streptomyces shuttle vector with ΔC31 integration system for streptomycetes; AmM | Bierman et al. 1992 |
| **pKC1218E** | pKC1218 derivative expression vector with P**ermE** promoter and SCP2* replicon; AmM | Ostash et al. 2004 |
| **pHYG1** | pLltmus38 containing hygromycin resistance cassette hyg | C. Olano Univ. de Oviedo, Spain |
| **pKC1139** | E. coli/Streptomyces shuttle vector with temperature sensitive replicon pSG5, AmM | Muth et al. 1989 |
| **pUWL-orT** | pUWL-KS derivative harboring orT from pSET152 | Zelyas et al. 2009 |
| **pET21d** | Vector for His-tagged protein expression | Novagen |
| **pUC19** | pUC19 derivative containing **simReg1** gene | Trefzer et al. 2002 |
| **pUCSimR1** | pUC19 derivative containing **simReg1** gene with **simD4** segment and second plasmid with **simReg1** gene under the control of P**ermE** promoter | This work |
| **pKCSimR1** | pKC1139 derivative with cloned **simReg1** gene under the control of P**ermE** | This work |
| **pKCsimR1** | pKC1139 derivative with cloned **simReg1** gene under the control of P**ermE** | This work |
| **pKCEsimR1** | pKCE1218 derivative containing **simReg1** gene under the control of P**ermE** | Rebets et al. 2008 |
| **pSSimR1** | pSET152 plus 2.3 kb simD4-X1 segment | This work |
| **pSSimR1-1** | pSET152 derivative containing **simReg1** gene under the control of its own promoter | This work |
| **pMA-simR1** | Plasmid containing synthetic codon-optimized **simReg1** gene | Mr. Gene, Heidelberg |
| **pETSR1c-15** | pET21d derivative containing synthetic codon-optimized **simReg1** gene | This work |
| **pGUS** | pSET152 derivative containing promoterless reporter gene **gusA** | Myronovsky et al. 2011 |
| **pSimD4script** | Derivative of pGUS harboring **gusA** reporter gene under the promoter of the **simD4** gene | This work |
| **pUWLsimReg1** | derivative of pUWL containing gene **simReg1** under the control of P**ermE** | This work |

were taken and lyophilized. The dry weight of each sample was measured. In all cases amounts of antibiotic were referred back to equal amounts of biomass (dry weight) and are mean values from at least three independent experiments.

**Overexpression of SimReg1**

The codon-optimized copy of the **simReg1** gene, named **simReg1s**, was synthesized by Mr. GENE Company (Heidelberg, Germany) and was provided on the plasmid pMA-simR1. Gene **simReg1s** was amplified from pMA-simR1 using primers SSR1F and SSR1R (Table 2). The PCR product was cloned into the pET21d NcoI-EcoRI sites, giving pETSR1c-15.

**E. coli** BL21(DE3) (pLysS) harboring the pETSR1c-15 plasmid was grown overnight at 37°C. LB (400 mL) containing 50 μg/mL of ampicillin was inoculated with 2 mL of the overnight culture and incubated at 21°C until the OD_{600 nm} reached 0.7. SimReg1 expression was induced with 1 mM IPTG. After incubation for an additional 16 h, the cells were harvested by centrifugation and washed with ice-cold column buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.0], 50 mM NaCl). Cell lysis and purification of SimReg1 with His-tag-binding resins were performed according to
Novagen instructions. SimReg1 was eluted with column buffer containing 200 mM imidazole. The purest fractions (as determined by SDS-PAGE and Coomassie blue staining) were pooled, washed with storage buffer (50 mM potassium phosphate [pH 8.0], 300 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol), concentrated using Amicon Ultra (Millipore). Aliquots of SimReg1 fusion protein in storage buffer were stored at -80°C, or used immediately in DNA-binding assays.

Electrophoretic mobility shift DNA-binding assays (EMSA) DNA fragments containing putative promoters of simD4 (P_{D4}, 513 bp), simReg1 (P_{R1}, 490 bp), simD3 (P_{D3}, 300 bp), simX4 (P_{X4}, 350 bp), simA7 (P_{A7}, 300 bp), simEx2 (P_{Ex2}, 550 bp), simB7 (P_{B7}, 319 bp), simX (P_{SX}, 280 bp), simR (P_{SR2}, 300 bp), and the putative promoter region between simX and simR genes (P_{SR2Ex}, 780 bp) (Figure 2) were used in EMSA. Indicated promoter regions were amplified from the chromosomal DNA of *S. antibioticus* using primer pairs listed in Table 2. Each EMSA contained 50 ng of a target DNA and 0.9 μg, 1.8 μg, 2.7 μg, 3.6 μg, 4.5 μg of the His-SimReg1 protein in a total volume of 20 μL in a binding buffer (20 mM Tris HCl [pH 8.0], 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 100 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl2, 10% glycerol). After incubation for 25 min at room temperature, protein-bound and free DNA were separated by electrophoresis at 4°C on a 4.5% nondenaturing polyacrylamide gel in 0.5 × TBE buffer. The gel was stained with ethidium bromide and analyzed using a UV-imaging system (Fluorochem 5330). A negative control assay was carried out in the presence of the part of the simD4 coding region, amplified with the use of primers D4For and D4Rev (Table 2). Extracts from the strain *S. antibioticus* Tü6040 × psSimR1-1, containing more than 95% of simocyclinones (Additional file 1), dissolved in methanol (5% and 10% - final volume in a reaction mixture) were tested as SimReg1 ligands.

Table 2 Primers used in this study

| Primer        | Nucleotide sequence (5’-3’) | Purpose                  | Gene name  |
|---------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|------------|
| SSR1F         | ATACCATGCGCCCGCTGAAGCT      | SimReg1                  | simReg1    |
| SSR1R         | TTTGAATCATTATAAGTGATGTTG    | purification             |            |
| SR1D4F        | TAGAATTCGTGAGCAGATTGATGTT   | DNA-shift assay           | P_{D4}     |
| SR1D4R        | TAGAATTCATTGTAACGCATTGTC    | DNA-shift assay           | P_{D3}     |
| SD2R1F        | TAGAATTCATGGCGACAGGACCATG   | DNA-shift assay           | P_{E1}     |
| SD2R1R        | TAGAATTCGGCGTGTCCGACAGA     | DNA-shift assay           | P_{E4}     |
| simXSD3F      | TAGAATTCGTGACAAAGCGCTG     | DNA-shift assay           | P_{D3}     |
| simXSD3R      | TAGAATTCGGCGACAGGACCATATA  | DNA-shift assay           | P_{E4}     |
| simEXX4F      | TAGAATTCGGCGTGTCCGACAGA     | DNA-shift assay           | P_{E4}     |
| simEXX4R      | TAGAATTCGTGACAAAGCGCTG     | DNA-shift assay           | P_{E4}     |
| SR2ExXF       | AAATCTAGTCAAGGCGATGCTG      | DNA-shift assay           | P_{E4}     |
| SR2ExXR       | TTTGAATTCGTGACCACCACCTCC   | DNA-shift assay           | P_{E4}     |
| PSR2F         | TCGACAGGTCCTCTTTG           | DNA-shift assay           | P_{E4}     |
| PSR2R         | TCGATTTCTGACCCGACTGC       | DNA-shift assay           | P_{E4}     |
| PE6x1F        | CCAATTCGGCTACGCTCCT         | DNA-shift assay           | P_{E4}     |
| PE6x1R        | CCAATTCGGCTACGCTCCT         | DNA-shift assay           | P_{E4}     |
| simA7F        | TAAAGCTTTAACAAGGCCGTGAC     | DNA-shift assay           | P_{A7}     |
| simA7R        | ATAGCATTGCTGACACCCCGTCCT    | DNA-shift assay           | P_{A7}     |
| PE6x2F        | ACTCTCCCGAAGTA              | DNA-shift assay           | P_{E4}     |
| PE6x2R        | AGAGGGACAGTAGAC             | DNA-shift assay           | P_{E4}     |
| PR3F          | TTTCTATAGTACCCGGCTCCTC      | DNA-shift assay           | P_{E4}     |
| PR3R          | GAACAGGATTCGGACTGACTCT      | DNA-shift assay           | P_{E4}     |
| D4For         | TATGTGTCGGCCAGTGTCCT        | DNA-shift assay           | P_{D4}     |
| D4Rev         | TGTGCCTGTGACATGAGCAG        | DNA-shift assay           | P_{D4}     |
| simD4_for_script | AAATCTAGAAGCCGGACGCCACCCGG | simD4 promoter cloning   | P_{D4}     |
| simD4_rev_script | AAAGGTACCCAGTCCGGCTGGCA      | simD4 promoter cloning   | P_{D4}     |
| simReg1_for  | AAAAAGCTTTATCTGTACCCAGGCG | simReg1 cloning           | simReg1    |
| simReg1_rev  | AAAGGAATCCCACAAAGGCCGCGACG | simReg1 cloning           | simReg1    |
| simReg1_promoter | AAAGGATCCGGACACAGGCGCCGACG | simReg1 cloning           | simReg1    |
Results

In silico analysis of the simReg1 gene product

The putative product of the simReg1 gene is a 251 aa protein with a molecular mass 27.94 kDa. As evident from BLAST and CDD search results, putative amino acid sequence of the protein has significant similarity to response regulators in two component control systems. The closest homologues of SimReg1 are proteins that act as positive regulators for angucycline-like biosynthesis, including JadR1 from S. venezuelae (60% similarity) Wang et al. 2009, LanI from S. cyanogenus (58% similarity) Rebets et al. 2008 and Lndl from S. globisporus (58% similarity) Rebets et al. 2003; Rebets et al. 2005. Analysis of the SimReg1 amino acid sequence using ExPASy Proteomics Server http://expasy.org revealed a putative signal receiver domain (the REC domain, aa 15-123) located at the N-terminal part of the protein and a DNA-binding domain at the C-terminus (aa 167-239). The latter is predicted to interact with short conserved regions of the target DNA and with the RNA polymerase. The secondary structure of the C-terminal DNA-binding domain of SimReg1 was similar to that of OmpR (E. coli) and PhoB (E. coli), which adopt a winged helix-turn-helix (HTH) moiety. In the REC domain of the regulatory protein PhoB, six conserved amino acid residues are believed to be vital for phosphorylation and consequence response Sola-Landa et al. 2003; Wang et al. 2009; Dyer and Dahlquist 2006, but only three of them are present in SimReg1 (Figure 3). Also, no protein kinase encoding genes have been found within the sim cluster. Thus, we suppose that SimReg1 belongs to “atypical” response regulators (ARR), like its close homolog JadR1 Wang et al. 2009.

S. antibioticus ΔsimReg1 mutant is deficient in simocyclinone production

In order to investigate the function of simReg1, the chromosomal copy of the gene was replaced by the mutant allele containing a hygromycin resistance cassette (hyg) (Figure 4a). Inactivation of the simReg1 gene was proven by Southern hybridization. BamHI digested chromosomal DNA of the wild type and S. antibioticus ΔsimReg1 strains were probed with the DIG-labeled fragment containing simReg1, obtained as a KpnI fragment from the plasmid pKCESimR1 Rebets et al. 2008. A single hybridization signal of the expected size (4.3 kb) was detected in the case of the wild type strain and a 6.3 kb fragment was detected in the ΔsimReg1 mutant (Figure 4b). The S. antibioticus ΔsimReg1 mutant had growth and morphological characteristics identical to those of the wild type. HPLC and TLC analysis (Figure 5a) of the extracts from the mutant strain ΔsimReg1 revealed no simocyclinone and its precursors, indicating that this gene is essential for antibiotic production.

To exclude any possibility of polar effects and to confirm that the cessation of simocyclinone production was caused by the inactivation of the simReg1, complementation experiment was carried. For this purpose, we used the pSSimR1-1 plasmid (Table 1), which contains the simReg1 gene under its own promoter cloned in the integrative vector pSET152. This plasmid was transferred into S. antibioticus wild type strain by means of conjugation. The recombinant strain S. antibioticus ΔsimReg1 × pSSimR1-1 was found to accumulate simocyclinone at a level comparable to those of the wild type (Figure 5b).

It is known that very often overexpression of the positive pathway-specific regulators lead to overproduction of antibiotics (Bibb 2005; Novakova et al., 2011). To analyze the effect of additional copies of simReg1 gene on simocyclinone biosynthesis, we introduced the plasmid pSSimR1-1 that contains simReg1 gene under its own promoter, into the wild type strain. Recombinant strain S. antibioticus TÜ6040 × pSSimR1-1 produced in average 2.5 times more simocyclinone then the wild type.

SimReg1 binds to the putative promoter regions of structural, transporter genes and its own gene

In order to prove the DNA binding activity of SimReg1, gel mobility-shift assays were carried out. His-SimReg1 was purified (Additional file 2) and an in vitro binding assay was performed using His-SimReg1 and DNA fragments containing putative promoters of the regulator gene simReg1 (P1), the 3-keto-acyl-reductase gene simD4 (P2), the oxygenase gene simA7 (P3), the transporter gene simEx2 (P4), the 3-keto-acyl-reductase gene simD3 (P5), the putative gene simX4 (P6), the putative olivosyltransferase gene simB7 (P7), and the intergenic region between simR and the transporter gene simEx1 (hereafter simX) (P8) (Figure 2). Shifted bands were detected using the promoter regions of the enzyme encoding genes (Figure 6a, c, d, f), the transporter gene simEx2 (Figure 6g) and the regulatory gene simReg3, which is likely co-transcribed with the genes simB7, simB5, simB4, simX5 and simX7 (Figure 6h). Furthermore, DNA retardation occurred (Figure 6b) when the promoter of the simReg1 gene was used in the binding assay, indicating that SimReg1 is an autoregulatory protein. We carried out a set of control assays to demonstrate the specificity of the SimReg1 binding. For instance, none of the compounds in the crude extract of E. coli BL21(DE3) binds to any of the putative promoters (data not shown). We also showed that randomly chosen DNA did not interact with SimReg1 (Additional file 3).

SimReg1 was found to bind to the DNA fragment containing the simR/simX intergenic region (Figure 6e). However, it was not known whether SimReg1 interacts with the promoters of both genes. A 67 bp fragment
located in front of the start codon of simR (P_{SR2}) and a 69 bp fragment located in front of simX (P_{SEX}) (Figure 7a) were used for additional EMSA analysis. No binding was identified with the P_{SR2} promoter, whereas DNA retardation occurred when the P_{SEX} promoter was used in the assay (Figure 7b). These results indicate that SimReg1 is capable of binding to the promoter region of simX.

**Effect of culture extracts from S. antibioticus Tü6040 × pSSimR1-1 on the activity of SimReg1**

Since DNA binding ability of JadR1, which also belongs to ARR and is very similar to SimReg1 (60% similarity), is regulated by jadomycin B Wang et al. 2009, we studied the effects of simocyclinone extracts from the S. antibioticus Tü6040 × pSSimR1-1 on the DNA binding activity of SimReg1. For this purpose the culture broth of S. antibioticus...
Tü6040 × pSSimR1 strain grown for 72 hours was extracted with an ethyl acetate, dried and dissolved in methanol. In overall the percentage of different types of simocyclinone in such an extract was more than 95% (Additional file 1). Presence of these extracts could dissociate His-SimReg1 from the promoter regions \( P_{R1} \) and \( P_{A7} \), as a result no shifted bands occurred (Figure 8). This effect was not due to methanol, the simocyclinone D8 solvent, as equivalent amounts of methanol had no effect on His-SimReg1-DNA complex formation (Figure 8).

![Figure 5](http://www.amb-express.com/content/2/1/1)

**Figure 5** TLC analysis of secondary metabolites produced by: (a) *S. antibioticus* Tü6040 (1), ΔsimReg1 (2) strains; (b) *S. antibioticus* Tü6040 (1), Tü6040 × pSSimR1-1 (2).

![Figure 6](http://www.amb-express.com/content/2/1/1)

**Figure 6** Results of an EMSA performed to detect interactions of His-SimReg1 to promoter regions of the sim cluster. In "a" promoter \( P_{D4} \) was used, in "b" \( P_{P1} \), in "c" \( P_{D2} \), in "d" \( P_{P56} \), in "e" \( P_{D26} \), in "f" \( P_{A7} \), in "g" \( P_{P50} \), and in "h" \( P_{SR3} \).
SimReg1 activates expression of a gusA reporter gene from \( P_{D4} \) promoter

On the basis of the gene inactivation, overexpression and EMSA results we suppose that SimReg1 is a positive regulator of simocyclinone production. To investigate whether SimReg1 can activate the expression of the structural genes under heterologous conditions, a reporter system on the basis of gusA was used. For this purpose, we constructed two plasmids pSimD4script and pUWLsimReg1 (Table 1). In the first plasmid the promoter region of the putative ketoreductase gene \( \text{simD4} \) (\( P_{D4} \)) was fused with the coding sequence of the gusA gene. As a result expression of the reporter gusA is controlled by \( P_{D4} \). In the plasmid pUWLsimReg1 intact gene \( \text{simReg1} \) was cloned under the control of erythromycin resistance gene promoter to make the expression of the regulatory gene constitutive. As it is evident from the EMSA analysis SimReg1 binds to the promoter of the gene \( \text{simD4} \) (Figure 6a) this means that SimReg1 should influence expression from this promoter. To

![Figure 7](image_url)

**Figure 7** Results of EMSA performed to detect interactions of His-SimReg1 to \( P_{SR2} \) and \( P_{SX1} \). (a) Schematic representation of the intergenic region between simR and simX. Operators \( O_x \) and \( O_y \) are also shown (according to Le et al. 2009). Translation start codons are highlighted in dark grey. \( P_{SR2} \) and \( P_{SX1} \) indicate putative promoter regions used in EMSA. (b) Lane 1: \( P_{SR2} \), lane 2: \( P_{SR2} + \text{His-SimReg1} \), lane 3: \( P_{SX1} \), lane 4: \( P_{SX1} + \text{His-SimReg1} \).

![Figure 8](image_url)

**Figure 8** Results of an EMSA performed to investigate the influence of crude extracts from \( S. \) antibioticus \( \text{Tu}6040 \times \text{pSSimR1-1} \) strain on the interactions of SimReg1 to promoter regions of the \( \text{sim} \) cluster. In "a" promoter \( P_{R1} \) and in "b" \( P_{A7} \) were used. (a) lane 1: \( P_{R1} \), lane 2: \( P_{R1} + \text{His-SimReg1} + \) crude extract isolated from \( S. \) antibioticus \( \text{Tu}6040 \times \text{pSSimR1-1} \) (5% of total reaction volume), lane 4: \( P_{R1} + \text{His-SimReg1} + \) crude extract isolated from \( S. \) antibioticus \( \text{Tu}6040 \times \text{pSSimR1-1} \) (10% of total reaction volume), lane 5: \( P_{R1} + \text{His-SimReg1} + \) methanol (5% of total reaction volume), lane 6: \( P_{R1} + \text{His-SimReg1} + \) methanol (10% of total reaction volume). (b) lane 1: \( P_{A7} \), lane 2: \( P_{A7} + \text{His-SimReg1} + \) crude extract isolated from \( S. \) antibioticus \( \text{Tu}6040 \times \text{pSSimR1-1} \) (5% of total reaction volume), lane 4: \( P_{A7} + \text{His-SimReg1} + \) crude extract isolated from \( S. \) antibioticus \( \text{Tu}6040 \times \text{pSSimR1-1} \) (10% of total reaction volume).
verify this assumption, both plasmids were transferred into heterologous host \textit{S. lividans} 1326 to avoid influence of two other regulatory proteins SimR and SimReg3 Trefzer et al., 2002. We obtained two strains: \textit{S. lividans} harboring only pSimD4script and \textit{S. lividans} harboring both plasmids pSimD4script and pUWLsimReg1. As a negative control we used strains: \textit{S. lividans} 1326 \times pGUS to show that there is no GusA activity when \textit{gusA} gene contains no promoter and \textit{S. lividans} 1326 harboring both plasmids pGUS (Table 1) and pUWLsimReg1 to demonstrate that SimReg1 specifically binds only to \textit{simD4} promoter region and that SimReg1 can’t influence \textit{gusA} expression in the absence of this promoter. Aforementioned four strains were grown in liquid TSB medium for 5 days and samples of the strains were used for GusA activity measurement as described in Materials and Methods. In the control strains the activity of GusA was approximately 0.25 ± 0.06 (Figure 9). In the case of the \textit{S. lividans} strain that contains \textit{gusA} gene under \textit{P_D4} activity was in average 3.3 ± 0.24 (Figure 9). In the strain containing both \textit{gusA} gene under \textit{P_D4} promoter and the SimReg1 protein the activity was 6.25 ± 0.43 (Figure 9). It is in overall two times higher than without the protein. On the basis of these results, we may conclude that SimReg1 binds to the \textit{simD4} promoter region.

\textbf{Discussion}

Simocyclinone is a potent antibacterial drug that inhibits DNA gyrase supercoiling Oppegard et al. 2009; Sadig et al. 2010; Edwards et al. 2009; Sissi et al. 2009. The gene cluster responsible for simocyclinone production was cloned and biosynthetic, and regulatory genes were detected Trefzer et al. 2002; Galm et al. 2002. Here, we report on the function of the gene \textit{simReg1} involved in the regulation of simocyclinone production and export.

SimReg1, to our knowledge, is the first OmpR-PhoB subfamily regulator identified within aminoucoumarin biosynthetic gene clusters. It appears to be a key regulator of simocyclinone production since inactivation of \textit{simReg1} completely abolished antibiotic biosynthesis and its overexpression in the wild type strain \textit{S. antibioticus} Tü6040 led to almost 2.5 times increase in simocyclinone production. \textit{In silico} analysis and DNA shift assays showed that SimReg1 is a DNA-binding autoregulatory protein that interacts directly with putative promoter regions of the structural \textit{sim} genes, both transporter genes \textit{simX} and \textit{simEx2}, and the putative regulatory gene \textit{simReg3}. Our results indicate that SimReg1 is an activator of the structural and transporter genes transcription, as expression of the reporter gene \textit{gusA} under \textit{P_D4} in the presence of SimReg1 was at least two times higher, than without it. DNA-binding activity of SimReg1 is abolished in the presence of extracts from \textit{S. antibioticus} Tü6040 \times pSSimR1-1. As extracts used in the experiment were enriched with simocyclonenes, these might indicate the existence of autoregulation by binding most likely simocyclonone or its intermediates. However to establish this assumption additional experiments are required. Similar autoregulation by binding of the end product was described for JadR1 Wang et al. 2009, the close homolog of SimReg1. An interesting finding is that SimReg1 binds to the promoter region of the exporter gene \textit{simX}. SimR is known to repress expression of \textit{simX} and its own gene by binding to two distinct operators within the \textit{simR/ simX} intergenic region Le et al. 2009. SimR was shown to dissociate from the \textit{simX} promoter in the presence of simocyclinone D8 Le et al. 2009; Le et al. 2011a; Le et al.

![Figure 9 Glucuronidase activity in cell lysates of \textit{S. lividans} strains: 1 - \textit{S. lividans}xpSimD4script; 2 - \textit{S. lividans}xpGUS; 3 - \textit{S. lividans}xpSimD4script/pUWLsimReg1; 4 - \textit{S. lividans}xpGUS/pUWLsimReg1.](http://www.amb-express.com/content/2/1/1)
2011b. At the same time SimReg1 is interacting with the 69 bp DNA region upstream to the start codon of simX. This means that the operator of SimReg2 partially overlaps with the DNA-binding region of SimReg1. Therefore, it is very likely that in the presence of simocyclinone dissociation of SimReg2 from the promoter region of simX is necessary for SimReg1 binding indicating that SimReg1 and SimReg2 compete for the binding to the simX promoter. The presence of distinct regulatory proteins indicates the importance for the cell to strongly control simocyclinone production and transport. The structure of simocyclinone is assembled from products of three distinct biosynthetic routes. To produce such a complex molecule the biosynthetic pathway and the transport have to be precisely tuned and controlled.

Based on our data and the data described by Buttner and coworkers Le et al. 2009; Le et al. 2011a; Le et al. 2011b, we proposed the following preliminary model for the regulation of simocyclinone biosynthesis and export. When the concentration of simocyclinone and/or its intermediates is low the transcription of the exporter gene simX is repressed by SimR. At the same time, SimReg1, being the key regulator of simocyclinone biosynthesis, activates expression of the structural sim-genes and simocyclinone production. When the cellular concentration of simocyclinone exceeds a certain level, SimR is released from P_{SEX1} that allows SimReg1 to bind to the promoter. This activates simX expression, followed by the transport of simocyclinones out of the cell. This mechanism couples the biosynthesis of simocyclinone to its export. In such a way, an additional mechanism of exact tuning of biosynthesis level is exerted ensuring the protection of the producing bacteria from the toxicity of its secondary metabolism product.

The present study portrays a strong link between antibiotic production and export and describes for the first time the function of the atypical response regulator in the control of the biosynthesis of simocyclinone. Furthermore, our data suggest a useful biotechnological approach for optimization of simocyclinone production, as overexpression of the gene encoding positive regulator SimReg1 leads to antibiotic overproduction.
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Additional material

Additional file 1: HPLC analysis of secondary metabolites produced by S. antibioticus Tü6040 × pSSimR1-1. On axis y relative absorption units (AU) are plotted. On axis x retention time of compounds is plotted (in min). Under conditions stated SD8 has R{sub f} of 24.7 min. The overall content of simocyclines in the extract was around of 95%.

Additional file 2: Purification of the His-tagged SimReg1 protein from E. coli BL21 (DE3). Lane 1: molecular mass marker (Pierce Protein Research Products), lane 2: flow through, lane 3: purified SimReg1.

Additional file 3: Results of EMSA performed to detect interactions of SimReg1 to part of the simD4 gene. Lane 1: simD4, lane 2: simD4 + His-SimReg1, lane 3: simD4 + his-SimReg1, lane 4: simD4 + His-SimReg1.
