Rerouting Excitation Transfer in the Fenna-Matthews-Olson Complex
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We investigate, using the Hierarchy method, the entanglement and the excitation transfer efficiency of the Fenna-Matthews-Olson complex under two different local modifications: the suppression of transitions between particular sites and localized changes to the protein environment. We find that inhibiting the connection between the site-5 and site-6, or disconnecting site-5 from the complex completely, leads to an enhancement of the entanglement between site-6 and site-7. Similarly, the transfer efficiency actually increases if site-5 is disconnected from the complex entirely. We further show that if site-5 and site-7 are conjointly removed, the efficiency falls. This suggests that while not contributing to the transport efficiency in a normal complex, site-5 introduces a redundant transport route in case of damage to site-7. Our results suggest an overall robustness of excitation energy transfer in the FMO complex under mutations, local defects, and other abnormal situations.

PACS numbers:

Photosynthesis is one of the most important biochemical processes on earth [1]. When light is absorbed by a light-harvesting antenna the excitation is transferred to a reaction center and used for charge separation. Among the various photosynthetic complexes, the Fenna-Matthews-Olson (FMO) complex in green sulfur bacteria is one of the most widely studied [2]. It has seven electronically-coupled chromophores and functionally connects a large light-harvesting antenna to the reaction center. Since the observation of quantum coherent motion of an excitation within the FMO complex at 77 Kelvin [3], considerable attention has been focused on the possible functional role of quantum coherence in photosynthesis [4]. Recent experiments further suggest the presence of quantum coherence even at room temperature [2].

Most quantum technologies, such as quantum computation, quantum teleportation, quantum communication, rely on coherence in one way or another. Apart from photonic qubits almost all physical realizations demand extremely low-temperature environments to prevent fast dephasing [7] and loss of quantum coherence. Therefore, the observation of quantum coherence (entanglement) in the FMO complex at ambient temperature has naturally triggered a great deal of theoretical interest and models focusing on this biological system. The simplest theoretical treatment of the excitation transfer in the FMO complex normally considers seven mutually coupled sites (chromophores) and their interaction with the environment. One can either use the Lindblad master equation, the more accurate Hierarchy method [13], or other open-quantum system models [9, 10, 14, 15] to explain the presence of quantum coherence and predict the physical quantities observed in experiments.

In a natural in-vivo situation it is possible for the chromophores in the FMO complex to suffer damage, e.g., from optical bleaching or mutation, such that a transferring pathway is blocked, or such that the environment (protein) is modified in some way. This has been demonstrated in recent experiments [16]. Motivated by this fact, we investigate in this work how the entanglement and the transfer efficiency change when certain pathways are blocked, or the properties of the local environment of one site are modified. This question has been raised elsewhere, for example, Ref. [17] discusses, using a Markovian model, how various dissections of the FMO complex affect the efficiency and global entanglement.

Here, we specifically focus on the situation where an excitation arrives at site-6, and must reach the reaction center at site-3 (similar roles may be played by site-1 and site-4, respectively). In this scenario, we ask the question what role is played by site-5 (see Fig. 1), and what happens if it, or site-7, are damaged? We find that if site-5 is damaged or removed from the complex entirely, the entanglement between sites 6 and 7 increases dramatically, as does the dynamic population of site-7 and consequently the efficiency (as characterized by the population of the ‘reaction centre’) [18]. We then show that if site-7 is damaged conjointly with site-5, the efficiency falls. Thus, site-5, while not positively contributing to the efficiency in a perfect FMO complex, adds robustness and redundancy (as does the 6-1-2-3 transport route).
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We begin with a brief introduction to the standard model of the FMO complex, and the description of the environment using the Hierarchy equations of motion. We then discuss the concurrence and efficiency for damage and removal of site-5, and justify our interpretation of the role of site-5. Finally, we also consider a simplified Markovian model of a 3-site system and obtain analytical results for the concurrence between two of the sites, to further elucidate our full numerical data.

FIG. 1: (Color online) Schematic diagram of a monomer of the FMO complex. The monomer consists of eight (only seven of them are presented here) chromophores. The excitation (from the light-harvesting antenna) arrives at sites 6 or 1 and then transfers from one chromophore to another. When the excitation arrives at site 3, it can irreversibly move to the reaction center. Here we assume that the initial excitation is at site 6.

FMO MODEL

Consider first a single FMO monomer containing \(N = 7\) sites, the general Hamiltonian of which can be written as

\[
H = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \varepsilon_n |n\rangle \langle n| + \sum_{n<n'} J_{n,n'} |n\rangle \langle n'| + |n'\rangle \langle n| \tag{1}
\]

where the state \(|n\rangle\) represents an excitation at site \(n\) \((n = 1, ..., 7)\), \(\varepsilon_n\) is the site energy of chromophore \(n\), and \(J_{n,n'}\) is the excitonic coupling between the \(n\)-th and \(n'\)-th sites. Here, for simplicity, we omit the recently discovered eighth site [19] because its role on the excitation transfer process requires further studies. It has been shown that the excitonic coupling \(J_{n,n'}\) is of the same order as the reorganisation energy, i.e., the coupling to the nuclear motion (phonons) of the protein environment. Thus a normal secular Redfield, or Markovian Lindblad, treatment is insufficient [11, 21], and the dynamics of the system must be modelled with a more complete approach, such as the Hierarchy equations of motion [13]. These equations are non-perturbative and non-Markovian, and valid under the assumption of a Drude spectral density and an initially separable system-bath state at \(t = 0\).

The Hierarchy is described by a set of coupled density matrices:

\[
\dot{\rho}_n = -i \left( L + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{m=0}^{K} n_{j,m} \mu_m \right) \rho_n - \frac{1}{\hbar} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{m=0}^{K} \left( Q_j, \rho_{n_{j,m}} \right)
\]

\[
- \frac{1}{\hbar} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{m=0}^{K} n_{j,m} \left( c_m Q_j \rho_{n_{j,m}} - c_m \rho_{n_{j,m}} Q_j \right). \tag{2}
\]

Here, \(Q_j = |j\rangle \langle j|\) is the projector on the site \(j\), \(L\) is the Liouvillian described by the Hamiltonian and the irreversible coupling to the reaction center (see below) \(L = -\frac{1}{\hbar}[H, \rho_n] + L_{\text{sink}}\). Here,

\[
C_j = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_{j,m} \exp \left( -\mu_{j,m} t \right) \tag{3}
\]

where \(\mu_{j,0} = \gamma_j\), \(\mu_{j,m} \geq 1 = 2 \pi m / \hbar \beta\), and the coefficients

\[
c_{j,0} = \gamma_j \lambda_j \left[ \cot \left( \beta \hbar \gamma_j / 2 \right) - i \right] / \hbar \tag{4}
\]

and

\[
c_{j,m \geq 1} = \frac{4 \gamma_j \gamma_j}{\beta \hbar^2} \frac{\mu_{j,m}}{\mu_{j,m} - \gamma_j^2}. \tag{5}
\]

\(\gamma_j\) is the “Drude decay constant”, and indicates the memory time of the bath for site \(j\) (each site is assumed to have its own independent bath), \(\lambda_j\) is the reorganisation energy, related to the system-bath coupling strength.

A full description of the Hierarchy method can be found in the literature [13], but in summary the Hierarchy is a large set of coupled equations each labelled by \(\mathbf{n}\), a set of non-negative integers uniquely specifying each equation. The integers are defined as \(\mathbf{n} = \{n_1, n_2, n_3, ..., n_N\} = \{n_{10}, n_{11}, ..., n_{1K}\}, \{n_{00}, n_{01}, ..., n_{K0}\}\). In other words, each site \(j\) has an additional label \(m\), from 0 to \(K\), and each of those labels in turn can run from 0 to \(\infty\). The label \(\mathbf{n} = 0 = \{0, 0, 0, ..., \}\) is special, and refers to the system density matrix. Its properties at any time \(t\) define those of the system. This is in turn coupled to so-called “auxiliary density matrices”, which describe the
We also include $L_{\text{sink}}$ to describe the irreversible excitation transfer from site 3 to the reaction center:

$$L_{\text{sink}}[\rho] = \Gamma[\dot{s}\rho\dot{s}^\dagger - \frac{1}{2}\dot{s}^\dagger\dot{s}\rho - \frac{1}{2}\rho\dot{s}^\dagger\dot{s}],$$

(6)

where $\dot{s} = |0\rangle\langle 3|$, with $|0\rangle$ denoting the state of the reaction center, and $\Gamma$ the transfer rate.

In the FMO monomer, the excitation transfer from site 3 to the reaction center occurs on a time scale of $\sim 1$ ps, and the dephasing occurs on a time scale of $\sim 100$ fs [12]. This two time scales are both much faster than that of the excitonic fluorescence relaxation ($\sim 1$ ns), which, for simplicity, is omitted in our explicit results.

**CONCURRENCE AND POPULATION DYNAMICS IN THE PRESENCE OF DEFECTS**

Each site in the FMO monomer may be decoupled from its nearest-neighbor sites due to mutation-induced defects or rotation of the site [14]. To investigate the effect of this change on the excitation transfer we consider the situation where the initial excitation arrives at site-6 and study the temporal excitation transfer when the excitonic coupling between two specific sites is inhibited. We find that when the coupling between site-5 and site-6 is inhibited, a significant enhancement of the coherence between site-6 and site-7 can be obtained. To examine the coherence between the two sites, we utilize the bipartite concurrence $C$, which quantifies the degree of entanglement of any two sites $n$, $n'$:

$$C_{n,n'} = 2\langle n|\rho_0|n'\rangle.$$

(7)

This is extracted from the $\rho_0$ density matrix, evaluated from the time evolution of the Hierarchical equations of motion.

In Fig. 2(a,b), we show the concurrence $C$ of site-6 and site-7 when only the excitonic coupling between site-1 and site-6 is inhibited [blue dashed line, for bath temperatures of 77 K in (a) and 300 K in (b)]. The concurrence increases slightly since less sites share the excitation from site-6. In contrast, when the coupling between the just site-5 and site-6 is inhibited, a much larger enhancement (red dashed curve) of the coherence can be obtained. This is simply because the 5-6 coupling is much larger than the 6-1 coupling, thus when it is inhibited more population can flow to site 7, which, since it is a coherent process even at 300 K, increases the concurrence between sites 6 and 7. This can be further clarified with a simple three-site model, which we discuss in section IV.

**Efficiency in the presence of defects**

What do these concurrence results imply for the overall efficiency of the transport process? The efficiency of the excitation transfer can be formulated via the population of the reaction center as a function of time,

$$P_{\text{RC}}(t) = \text{Tr}[\rho(t)\dot{s}\dot{s}^\dagger],$$

(8)

where $\dot{s} = |0\rangle\langle 3|$ is the operator connecting site-3 to the reaction center (see Fig. 1), denoted by the state $|0\rangle$, as defined earlier for the Lindblad $L_{\text{sink}}$. Since the excitonic fluorescence relaxation of each individual site is slow ($\sim 1$ ns) compared to all other time scales, $P_{\text{RC}}(t \to \infty)$ approaches unity, leading to the near 99% efficiency of the FMO complex commonly discussed in the literature. It is often argued that coherence plays an important role promoting this high-efficiency, but some interesting investigations have shown that the quantum and classical models only differ by a few percent [22].

To check this long-time behavior we employed an extended model (results not shown here), including the excitonic recombination rate of each individual site, and found that the defects discussed in the previous section do have a small effect on the long-time dynamics, and that the magnitude of this effect strongly depends on parameters which are not precisely known [8]. For example, a change in the rate $\Gamma$ between site-3 and the reaction center by a factor of 5 results in a magnification of any differences in the efficiency. In addition, we found that any such change in the long-time dynamics is pre-determined by larger changes in the early-time population of the reaction center. Thus, here we use these short-time dynamics, in the absence of excitonic recombination, as an indicator of the efficiency.

In figure 3 we show the reaction center population as a function of time for a range of defects. We see that, at both 77 K and 300 K, completely cutting site-5 (red dashed curve) enhances the reaction center population over the unmodified case (solid black curve), and hence enhances the efficiency. Conversely, cutting site-7 alone (long dashed blue curve) reduces the efficiency; in this case the population is forced to traverse through site-5, which is a less efficient, and slower, route to site 3, and subsequently to the reaction center. In contrast, removing both site-5 and site-7 (green dotted curve) leaves only the 6-1-2-3 transfer route, which due to the weak coupling between site 6 and 1 is less efficient. This supports our earlier hypothesis that while site 5 does not contribute in a positive way to a perfect FMO complex, it does provide necessary redundancy in case of damage to the more efficient transport through site 7.
Fig. 2: (Color online) (a,b) The concurrence (coherence) of sites 6 and 7 for (a) a bath temperature of 77 K and (b) 300 K. Not surprisingly, higher temperatures suppress oscillations. When the excitonic coupling between site-1 and site-6 (blue dashed curve) is inhibited the concurrence increases slightly. When the coupling between site-5 and site-6 is inhibited (red dashed curve) or when site-5 is completely removed from the complex (orange dotted curve) the concurrence between 6 and 7 rises drastically. The solid black curve represents the concurrence of the site-6 and site-7 for the full unmodified complex. Figures (c,d) show the behavior of the populations at 300 K. Figure (c) shows the full unmodified dynamics, while (d) shows the case where site-5 is completely removed, and hence the population of 7 rises at a faster rate. Interestingly, in (d) the coherent oscillations in the site-6 population disappear, while in (b) we see that the concurrence remains large, indicating that sometimes coherent oscillations are not a strong indicator of coherence (as also seen in [21]). In plotting this figure, we set $\gamma^{-1} = 50$ fs and $\lambda = 35$ cm$^{-1}$, and the rate from the site 3 to the reaction center $\Gamma^{-1} = 1$ ps.

**LOCAL ENVIRONMENTAL CHANGES**

Other localized phenomena can also affect the transfer kinetics of photosynthetic complexes. For example, the vibronic structure of the FMO complex can be altered by the local substitution or deletion of the gene-encoding enzyme responsible for reducing the isoprenoid tail of the chromophores [16]. These alterations can lead to modifications both of the protein and the chromophores.

We can easily investigate the effect on the coherence when the local environment of one site is changed. We assume that the modification of the local environment of site-5 results in a stronger coupling to the protein environment. In Fig. 4, we show the concurrence $C$ of site-6 and site-7 as the coupling site-5 to the environment, via the reorganisation energy $\lambda$, is increased. In contrast to when site-5 was removed from the complex, the concurrence initially increases slightly, due to a small enhancement of the population flowing to site-7, and then decreases (with respect to the unmodified complex). We also observed the change in the reaction center population as a function of these changes in $\lambda$ (not shown in
the figure) and found an overall small increase, but not as drastic as that observed in Fig. 2. The dynamics and efficiency, as a function of global changes in the environmental coupling and damping rate, have been well studied in many other works [11, 22]. A full investigation, more rigorously taking into account the physical effect of the changes observed in [10], remains to be performed.

FIG. 4: (Color online) Concurrence of site-6 and site-7 for increased coupling of site-5 to the protein environment, $\lambda_5 = 35$ cm$^{-1}$ (black solid), $\lambda_5 = 70$ cm$^{-1}$ (red dashed), and $\lambda = 175$ cm$^{-1}$ (green dashed). The bath temperature of all sites is kept at 300 K, and $\gamma^{-1} = 50$ fs, $\Gamma^{-1} = 1$ ps, as before.

THREE-SITE MODEL

To further understand the mechanism that leads to the enhancement of the coherence between site-6 and site-7 observed in Fig. 2, we now consider a simplified three-site model, as shown in Fig. 5, where the three sites, 1, 2, and 3, now represent site-6, -7, and -5, respectively, in the FMO complex. We assume that the initial excitation is at site 1, and assign the inter-site couplings values approximately corresponding to the excitonic couplings in the FMO monomer: $J_1 = J_{6,7}$, $J_2 = J_{5,7}$, and $J_3 = J_{5,6}$. We further apply a Markovian dissipative channel to both sites 2 and 3 with the rate $\gamma$ to simulate the excitation transferring to other sites in the FMO monomer. Because $J_2$ is small compared with $J_1$ and $J_3$, we can approximately set $J_2 = 0$. For simplicity, we further assume $J_1 = J$ and $J_3 = \xi J$, where $\xi$ is the tuning parameter for the coupling strength. The concurrence of this simplified model can then be expressed as

$$C = 2 \left| \frac{ie^{-(\gamma + \Gamma)t} \left( e^{\Gamma t} - 1 \right) J}{2\hbar \Gamma^3} - \frac{\gamma \left( e^{\Gamma t} - 1 \right) \left( \Gamma^2 + (\Gamma^2 - \gamma^2) e^{\Gamma t} \right)}{2\hbar \Gamma^3} \right|,$$

where

$$\Gamma = \sqrt{-4(1 + \xi^2)(J/h)^2 + \gamma^2}.$$

As shown in Fig. 5(a), the concurrence of sites 1 and 2 is strongly enhanced (black solid curve) when the coupling $J_3$ between sites 1 and 3 is switched off. This is because the excitation population is predominantly trapped
between sites 1 and 2. In Fig. 5(b), we further show how the concurrence increases while decreasing the coupling $J_3$.

![Diagram of a simple model of three sites](image)

**FIG. 5**: (Color online) A simple model of three sites simulates the enhancement of the coherence observed in the full model of the FMO complex in Fig. 2(a, b). Here the sites 1, 2, and 3 represent sites-6, -7, and -5 in the FMO monomer, respectively. The model Hamiltonian has the same form (though now three sites are included) as Eq. (1), and the inter-site couplings are set to be $J_1 = J_{6,7}$, $J_2 = J_{5,7}$, and $J_3 = J_{5,6}$. Because $J_2$ is small compared with $J_1$ and $J_3$, we approximately set $J_2 = 0$. For simplicity, we further assume $J_1 = J$ and $J_3 = \xi J$ with $\xi$ being the tuning parameter. In order to simulate the excitation transfer simply, we apply an additional Markovian dissipative channel with rate $\gamma$ to both sites 2 and 3. In (a) the red curve shows the concurrence between sites 1 and 2 for the full 3-site model. The black curve shows the concurrence when site-3 is decoupled from site-1. Figure (b) shows the variation of the concurrence between site-1 and 2 as a function of time and the parameter $\xi$ of $J_3$. In plotting this figure, we apply $\gamma = 5.3\, \text{cm}^{-1}$ and $J = 30\, \text{cm}^{-1}$.

**CONCLUSIONS**

In summary, we have shown that the concurrence (coherence) between site-6 and -7 can be enhanced significantly when the coupling between the sites-5 and -6 is inhibited, or if site-5 is removed from the complex completely. In the latter case we also found a corresponding increase in the population of the reaction center. We then argued that, rather than being superfluous (and in fact contributing negatively to the efficiency of a perfect FMO monomer), site-5 provides a backup in case of damage to the highly-efficient transport through site-7. We further apply a simplified three-site model to simulate this result. Overall our results imply a robustness and redundancy to the energy transfer in the FMO complex, as also noted in [17].
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