Evaluating barley landraces collected in North Africa and the Middle East for powdery mildew infection at seedling and adult plant stages
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Abstract
Barley (Hordeum vulgare L.) is one of the most widely grown cereal crops. Numerous pathogens impair the amount and quality of the grain yield. Blumeria graminis f. sp. hordei (Bgh) is a fungal pathogen causing powdery mildew, a widespread and economically important foliar disease. Since there is a limited number of known resistance genes, efforts of scientists and breeders are focused on searching for new sources of resistance. Barley landraces are a known, but still underexploited source of diversity. A set of 79 barley landraces collected in North Africa and the Middle East was tested against powdery mildew at seedling and adult plant stages. Under a controlled environment, 50% of accessions showed resistance conditioned by major genes. Among them, seven accessions showed broad resistance to Bgh isolates that were virulent to most of the known resistance genes. The field experiments carried out under natural infection over several years indicated all accessions as potential sources for resistance breeding. Twelve landraces were found to be medium resistant or resistant during all six seasons. This report relates to barley landraces as a promising source of potentially novel resistance to powdery mildew.
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Introduction
Barley (Hordeum vulgare L.) is the fourth most important cereal crop according to harvesting area (http://www.fao.org/faostat). It is resistant to harsh environmental conditions, such as soil salinity, high altitude and low rainfall (von Bothmer et al. 2003a), and is used for feeding and malting purposes. The challenge is to control over 250 pathogens infecting the barley crop (Singh et al. 2019). Most of them are a significant economic problem through reduction of the crop quality and yield. Prevention of crop loss is one of the goals of food security (Savary et al. 2012). Powdery mildew caused by Blumeria graminis (D.C.) Golovin ex Speer f. sp. hordei Em. Marchal (Bgh) is one of the most important barley diseases. Bgh is an airborne fungus which causes yield loss of up to 50%, while average losses are about 10–20% (Tratwal and Weber 2006). In contrast to chemical agents, resistance breeding is an economically effective and environmentally friendly method of controlling the spread of the pathogen.

Several race-specific major resistance genes were already mapped on the barley genome: Mla, Mlat, MlGa, Mk, Mlnn, Mla on chromosome 1H; MLa and MlMor on 2H; Mlg and MIBo on 4H; Mlj on 5H; Mlh on 6H; and mlt and Mlf on 7H (Jørgensen 1994; Schönfeld et al. 1996; Chełkowski et al. 2003; Piechota et al. 2019). Some of them, as well as other major resistance genes with unknown location on the barley genome, like Ml(Ab), Ml(Lv); Ml(Lo) and Ml(St), have been introduced to modern European cultivars (Dreiseitl 2017a). Resistance conditioned by major genes is non-durable. Newly appearing fungal pathotypes can overcome resistant genes in a few years. Also the effectiveness of pyramiding two or more major genes is limited in time (Dreiseitl 2003, 2011). The recessive allele mlo carries non-race-specific resistance to Bgh. It is widely used in elite cultivars due to its durability and lack of selection pressure to the pathogen population.
In the face of the limited available gene pool, searching for new resistance sources is in the interests of scientists and breeders. The selection process has narrowed the gene pool of modern crops (Wulf and Dhugga 2018), so exploration should extend beyond cultivars (Pietrusińska et al. 2018). Barley landraces could be promising as they were cultivated according to traditional practices and not subjected to strong selection pressure. They are highly diverse and heterogeneous populations with a variable gene pool (Camacho Villa et al. 2005). Landraces belong to the primary gene pool and can be easily used in breeding programs. The lack of crossing barriers reduces breeding costs and efforts (von Bothmer et al. 2003b; Yun et al. 2006). The aim of this study was to select promising sources of powdery mildew resistance in North African and Middle East barley landraces.

Materials and methods

Plant material

A set of 79 spring barley landraces from the collection of the Plant Breeding and Acclimatization Institute – National Research Institute (Radzików, Poland) was screened for resistance to Bgh. All accessions were obtained from The International Centre for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas (ICARDA) collection (Table 1). Barley landraces originated from North Africa and the Middle East and included 27 accessions from Algeria, 14 from Jordan, six from Egypt, six from Morocco, six from Libya, one from Tunisia and 19 of unknown origin.

Pathogen isolates

Six barley Bgh isolates, Bgh27, Bgh133, Bgh111, Bgh131, Bgh4714, Bgh314, collected in Poland, were used for artificial inoculation at the seedling stage. The isolates have a known virulence spectra according to the Pallas near-isogenic lines: Pallas (Mla8), P01 (Mla1), P02 (Mla3), P03 (Mla6, Mla14), P04B (Mla7, +?), P06 (Mla7, MlLG2), P07 (Mla9, Mil) P08B (Mla9), P09 (Mla10, MilDn2), P10 (Mla12), P11 (Mla13, Mil(Ru3)), P12 (Mla22), P13 (Mla23), P14 (Milra), P15 (Mil(Ru2)), P17 (Mil), P18 (Miln), P19 (Milp), P20 (Milat), P21 (Milg, Mil(CP)), P23 (MilA), P24 (Milh) (Kølster et al. 1986); and cultivars Borwina (Mil(Bw)), Iron (Mil(1-B-53)), Steffi (Mil(St1), Mil(St2)), Lenka (Mla13, Mil(Ab)), Gunnar (Mla3, Mil(Tu2)) and Triumph (Mla7, Mil(Ch)) (Table 2, Table S1*). All isolates were freshly propagated on the susceptible barley variety Manchuria CIho 2330.

Seedling resistance screening

Seedling tests were performed for resistance against each of six Bgh isolates: Bgh27, Bgh133, Bgh111, Bgh131, Bgh4714, Bgh314. For each test ca. 25 seeds per barley accession were sown. They were grown under controlled chamber conditions with a 16/8 h day/night photoperiod and a 22/16 °C temperature regime. Seedlings with a fully expanded first leaf (DC: 12 (Zadoks et al. 1974)) were inoculated with Bgh by shaking conidia from the susceptible cv. Manchuria. After 8–10 days, infection types were scored according to a 5-level scale (Mains and Dietz 1930), where 0, 1 and 2 represented resistant plants and 3 and 4 represented susceptible plants. Postulation of resistant genes was based on a comparison of reaction spectra designated on landraces and the barley differential set (Table S1). In case of a mixed reaction against Bgh isolate, postulation was performed for the resistance score. Possibility of resistance to Avr genes was concluded on the basis of the gene-for-gene hypothesis (Flor 1956). The infection response spectrum of each landrace was compared with the Bgh virulence spectrum previously found on the set of barley differential varieties.

Adult plant resistance screening

Barley landraces were screened for adult plant resistance under natural infection conditions. Plants were field grown in Radzików, central Poland (52° 13’ 38” N, 20° 36’ 55” E) during six seasons: 2010–2013, and 2016–2017. Plants were sown in a 2-m plot with 20 cm row spacing and 7 cm distance between seeds. Susceptible Manchuria was sown every 20 rows as a disease spreader. Disease symptoms were scored on adult plants at the flowering stage (DC: 60–69; Zadoks et al. 1974) according to a 9-level scale, where 0, 1 and 2 represented resistant plants and 3 and 4 represented susceptible plants. Possibility of resistance to Avr genes was concluded on the basis of the gene-for-gene hypothesis (Flor 1956). The infection response spectrum of each landrace was compared with the Bgh virulence spectrum previously found on the set of barley differential varieties.

Results

Seedling resistance screening

Among 79 barley landraces, 39 (49%) showed resistance to at least one Bgh isolate tested (Table 3). Among them, two accessions (701, 720) were resistant to five isolates, four (695, 719, 737, 740) to four, five to three, and 15 were resistant to two isolates. Postulation of resistance genes
was feasible for seven accessions. For all resistant landraces resistance to Avr genes was estimated. Presumption indicated 11 accessions (605, 695, 701, 704, 720, 735, 737, 740, 748, 749, 753) carrying putative resistance to at least

| Accession | ICARDA ID | Origin    | Seedling av Bgha | Adult plant scoreb | Accession | ICARDA ID | Origin    | Seedling av Bgha | Adult plant scoreb |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------------|-------------------|
|           |           |           |                  |                   |           |           |           |                  |                   |
| 612       | 116139    | Algeria   | 0                | 5                 | 7         | 119144    | Jordan    | 0                | 3                 |
| 613       | 116141    | Algeria   | 0                | 5                 | 7         | 119145    | Jordan    | 2                | 5                 |
| 621       | 116150    | Algeria   | 0                | 5                 | 7         | 119147    | Jordan    | 2                | 3                 |
| 622       | 116154    | Algeria   | 0                | 5                 | 7         | 119153    | Jordan    | 2                | 5                 |
| 623       | 116160    | Algeria   | 0                | 3                 | 6         | 761       | Jordan    | 1                | 3                 |
| 624       | 116162    | Algeria   | 0                | 3                 | 5         | 766       | Jordan    | 0                | 3                 |
| 628       | 116166    | Algeria   | 0                | 5                 | 6         | 772       | Jordan    | 0                | 3                 |
| 631       | 116171    | Algeria   | 0                | 5                 | 7         | 695       | Libya     | 4                | 3                 |
| 633       | 116175    | Algeria   | 0                | 5                 | 5         | 784       | Libya     | 1                | 3                 |
| 636       | 116180    | Algeria   | 0                | 3                 | 6         | 789       | Libya     | 0                | 3                 |
| 643       | 116189    | Algeria   | 0                | 5                 | 6         | 792       | Libya     | 0                | 3                 |
| 646       | 116199    | Algeria   | 0                | 5                 | 6         | 793       | Libya     | 1                | 3                 |
| 651       | 116221    | Algeria   | 1                | 5                 | 7         | 794       | Libya     | 3                | 3                 |
| 652       | 116222    | Algeria   | 0                | 5                 | 6         | 601       | Algeria   | 2                | 3                 |
| 666       | 118512    | Algeria   | 0                | 3                 | 5         | 602       | Morocco   | 0                | 3                 |
| 667       | 118513    | Algeria   | 0                | 3                 | 5         | 603       | Morocco   | 0                | 3                 |
| 668       | 118514    | Algeria   | 1                | 3                 | 6         | 692       | Morocco   | 0                | 3                 |
| 669       | 118515    | Algeria   | 0                | 3                 | 5         | 693       | Morocco   | 1                | 3                 |
| 670       | 118516    | Algeria   | 0                | 5                 | 6         | 694       | Morocco   | 3                | 5                 |
| 671       | 118517    | Algeria   | 0                | 5                 | 6         | 691       | Tunisia   | 1                | 3                 |
| 672       | 118518    | Algeria   | 0                | 5                 | 6         | 698       | un       | 3                | 3                 |
| 673       | 118519    | Algeria   | 0                | 3                 | 6         | 700       | un       | 2                | 3                 |
| 681       | 118527    | Algeria   | 0                | 3                 | 5         | 701       | un       | 5                | 3                 |
| 683       | 118529    | Algeria   | 0                | 3                 | 5         | 703       | un       | 2                | 3                 |
| 684       | 118530    | Algeria   | 0                | 3                 | 6         | 704       | un       | 2                | 3                 |
| 686       | 118532    | Algeria   | 0                | 3                 | 6         | 705       | un       | 1                | 3                 |
| 687       | 118533    | Algeria   | 0                | 3                 | 6         | 707       | un       | 0                | 5                 |
| 605       | 116130    | Egypt     | 2                | 3                 | 5         | 712       | un       | 2                | 3                 |
| 606       | 116131    | Egypt     | 0                | 3                 | 5         | 717       | un       | 1                | 3                 |
| 607       | 116132    | Egypt     | 0                | 3                 | 5         | 718       | un       | 1                | 3                 |
| 610       | 116135    | Egypt     | 0                | 3                 | 5         | 719       | un       | 4                | 3                 |
| 647       | 116217    | Egypt     | 2                | 5                 | 7         | 720       | un       | 5                | 3                 |
| 648       | 116218    | Egypt     | 2                | 5                 | 7         | 724       | un       | 2                | 3                 |
| 660       | 118357    | Jordan    | 0                | 3                 | 6         | 725       | un       | 1                | 3                 |
| 735       | 119130    | Jordan    | 2                | 3                 | 5         | 727       | un       | 2                | 5                 |
| 737       | 119133    | Jordan    | 4                | 3                 | 7         | 728       | un       | 2                | 3                 |
| 739       | 119135    | Jordan    | 1                | 3                 | 6         | 729       | un       | 3                | 3                 |
| 740       | 119136    | Jordan    | 4                | 3                 | 6         | 730       | un       | 3                | 5                 |
| 742       | 119139    | Jordan    | 1                | 3                 | 5         | 732       | un       | 0                | 3                 |
| 744       | 119141    | Jordan    | 0                | 3                 | 5         |           |          |                  |                   |

*a Number of avirulent powdery mildew isolates out of six used for artificial inoculation

*b Minimum and mean infection scores (1–2: susceptible; 3–4: medium susceptible; 5–6: medium resistant; 7–9: resistant) noted during 6-years field trial under natural inoculation

*c Unknown origin
nine Avr genes. Two accessions (701, 720) probably carried resistance to 20 Avr genes. For two landraces (739, 742) it was impossible to indicate any known resistance, but they showed an incompatible reaction against one of the Bgh isolates used. Some of the accessions revealed a heterogenic reaction and contained resistant and susceptible plants against at least one Bgh isolate tested. A mixed reaction was observed for 27 landraces, which is 37% of all tested barleys and 67% of accessions resistant to Bgh isolates at the seedling stage.

**Adult stage screening**

The set of 79 barley landraces was tested under field conditions during six seasons. The lowest infection scores noted during the trial were: 5 (medium resistance) recorded for 21 (26%) landraces and 3 (which is medium susceptible) observed for 58 (74%) accessions (Table 1). Average infection scores calculated for six seasons were: 7 (resistant) for 13 (16%) accessions, 5–6 (medium resistant) for 65 (82%) accessions, and 4 (medium susceptible) for one accession. A set of 12 (612, 613, 621, 631, 647, 651, 694, 727, 730, 748, 753) accessions showed an average score of 7 (resistant) with the lowest score of 5 (medium resistant). These accessions were medium resistant or resistant during all six seasons.

**Discussion**

*B. graminis* f. *hordei* is a highly adaptive and fast propagating fungal pathogen. New pathotypes, which emerge easily and fast, may manifest virulence to resistance genes currently used in crops. To retard erosion of resistance, gene pyramiding as well as major and minor gene combinations are used. The limited number of known genes carrying resistance to *Bgh* inspires the effort to discover new potential resources.

Landraces are crucial for resistance breeding and to restore diversity among the resistance gene pool (Akem et al. 2000). Variable genotypes throughout the population and lack of strong selection pressure support genetic differentiation. Previous reports identified barley landraces as potential sources of resistance (Czembor 2000, 2002; Comadran et al. 2009; Newton et al. 2010; Spies et al. 2012). Resistance genes revealed in landraces were successfully introduced to modern cultivars. The best known and used is the *mlo* recessive allele, which was first identified in an Ethiopian landrace. Other examples are *Mlg*, originating from the German landrace Weihenstephan; and variants revealed in the multiallelic locus *Mla*: *Mla3* carried by the Uruguayan landrace Ricardo, and *Mla12* from Arabische (Jørgensen 1994). Since *Bgh* isolates collected in Africa revealed higher diversity than European fungi (Dreiseitl and Kosman 2013; Jensen et al. 2013), barley landraces originating from that region are suspected to be variable in *Bgh* resistance loci. Additionally, landraces originating from regions of barley diversification and domestication, such as North Africa and the Middle East, exhibit a diversity of resistance genes due to long-term coevolution with the pathogen (Camacho Villa et al. 2005; Morrell and Clegg 2007). Screening of Jordanian barley landraces allowed the selection of 165 lines resistant to *Bgh* (Abdel-Ghani et al. 2008). A collection of 131 barley lines originating from Morocco exhibited seedling resistance (Czembor 2000, 2002).

In this report seedling tests showed that 50% of the investigated accessions harbored major resistance genes (Table 3). The *Bgh* isolates used for inoculation covered most of the known and used genes (Jørgensen 1994; Dreiseitl 2014), so it can be presumed that these accessions carry new resistance genes. Six accessions (695, 701, 719, 720, 737, 740) have a broad spectrum of resistance and may be of interest to breeders. Some of the accessions revealed mixed infection types after inoculation with *Bgh* isolates. Since the landraces are non-homogeneous dynamic populations, mixed reaction within plants is expected. Progeny of these accessions should be selected according to the resistance trait before further testing. Previous reports showed the mixed reaction of barley landraces against *Bgh* (Dreiseitl 2017b). In the present research, postulation of resistance genes was feasible for seven landraces (Table 3), whereas possible resistance to Avr

---

**Table 2** *B. graminis* f. *hordei* isolates used for artificial inoculation and their virulence spectra against barley resistance genes

| Bgh isolates | Virulencea |
|-------------|------------|
| Bgh27       | a7; a8; a10; Ab; CP; Du2; g; h; La; ra |
| Bgh133      | a1; a3; a6; a7; a8; a9; a12; a13; a14; a22; Ab; at; CP; g; h; IM9; LG2; ra; Ru3 |
| Bgh111      | a6; a7; a8; a9; a10; a12; a13; a14; Ab; Bw; CP; Du2; g; IM9; k; La; nn; ra; Ru2; Ru3; St1; St2 |
| Bgh131      | a1; a3; a6; a7; a8; a9; a10; a12; a14; Ab; Bw; Du2; h; IM9; k; La; nn; ra; Ru2; St1; St2 |
| Bgh314      | a1; a7; a8; a9; a10; a12; a13; Ab; CP; Du2; g; h; IM9; k; La; LG2; nn; ra; Ru2; Ru3 |
| Bgh4714     | a1; a7; a8; a9; a10; a12; a13; Ab; Bw; CP; Du2; g; h; IM9; k; La; LG2; nn; ra; Ru2; Ru3; St1; St2; 1-B-53 |

*Tested for pathogenicity on differential genotypes carrying different *Avr* genes: a1, a3, a6, a7, a8, a9, a10, a12, a13, a14, a22, Ab, at, Bw, CP, Du2, g, h; IM9; k; La, LG2, nn, ra, Ru2, Ru3, St1, St2, 1-B-53
Table 3  Set of barley (H. vulgare L.) landraces which revealed resistance to at least one B. graminis f. sp. hordei isolate after inoculation at the seedling stage

| Hv Bgh isolates | Postulated R genes<sup>c</sup> | Possibly resistance to Bgh Avr genes<sup>d</sup> |
|----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Bgh27 Bgh133 Bgh111 Bgh131 Bgh4714 Bgh314 |                              |                                               |
| 701 0<sup>a</sup> 2 2+4<sup>b</sup> 2 4 2 | a1, a9, a10, a12, a13, Bw, CP, Du2, g, h, IM9, k, La, LG2, nn, Ru2, Ru3, St1, St2, 1-B-53 |                                               |
| 720 2 2 2 4 4 1 | a1, a9, a10, a12, a13, Bw, CP, Du2, g, h, IM9, k, La, LG2, nn, Ru2, Ru3, St1, St2, 1-B-53 |                                               |
| 695 2+4 2+4 2 2+4 4 4 | a1, a9, a10, a12, a13, CP, Du2, g, h, IM9, La, LG2, nn, Ru2, Ru3 |                                               |
| 737 1 1 2 4 4 2 | a9, a10, Bw, Du2, h, IM9, k, La, nn, Ru2, St1, St2 |                                               |
| 740 1 1 2 4 4 2 | a9, a10, Bw, Du2, h, IM9, k, La, nn, Ru2, St1, St2 |                                               |
| 704 1 1 4 4 4 4 | a9, a10, a12, Du2, IM9, k, La, nn, Ru2 |                                               |
| 605 0+4 1+4 4 4 4 4 | a9, a10, a12, Du2, IM9, k, La, nn, Ru2 |                                               |
| 735 2+4 1+4 4 4 4 4 | a9, a10, a12, Du2, IM9, k, La, nn, Ru2 |                                               |
| 748 1 1 4 4 4 4 | a9, a10, a12, Du2, IM9, k, La, nn, Ru2 |                                               |
| 749 1 1+4 4 4 4 4 | a9, a10, a12, Du2, IM9, k, La, nn, Ru2 |                                               |
| 753 1+4 2 4 4 4 4 | a9, a10, a12, Du2, IM9, k, La, nn, Ru2 |                                               |
| 703 2 4 2+4 4 4 4 | a1, a9, a12, h, IM9 |                                               |
| 719 4 2 2 1+4 4 1+4 | a10, CP, Du2, g, h |                                               |
| 601 2 4 4 2+4 4 4 | a9, a12, a13, CP, IM9 |                                               |
| 724 0 4 4 0+4 4 4 | Mla13, Ml(Ab) | a9, a12, a13, CP, IM9 |
| 727 2+4 4 4 2+4 4 4 | a9, a12, a13, CP, IM9 |                                               |
| 728 1 4 4 2+4 4 4 | a9, a12, a13, CP, IM9 |                                               |
| 698 1 1 2 4 4 4 | a9, a10, a12 |                                               |
| 694 2 4 2 4 4 2 | a1, a12, h |                                               |
| 794 0 4 0 4 4 0+2 | a1, a12, h |                                               |
| 729 1 4 2 4 4 1+4 | a1, a12, h |                                               |
| 693 4 2+4 4 4 4 4 | MLa | a10, Du2, La |
| 651 1 4 4 4 4 4 | a9, a12, IM9 |                                               |
| 705 0+4 4 4 4 4 4 | Mla9, Ml(IM9) | a9, a12, IM9 |
| 793 0+4 4 4 4 4 4 | Mla9, Ml(IM9) | a9, a12, IM9 |
| 718 1+4 4 4 4 4 4 | a9, a12, IM9 |                                               |
| 725 2 4 4 4 4 4 | a9, a12, IM9 |                                               |
| 647 41 4 2+4 4 4 4 | CP, g, h |                                               |
| 700 4 4 2+4 0 4 4 | CP, g, h |                                               |
| 712 4 4 2+4 4 4 4 | CP, g, h |                                               |
| 730 4 4 1 2 4 1 | CP, g, h |                                               |
| 648 4 4 4 2+4 4 2 | CP, g |                                               |
| 784 4 4 4 2+4 4 4 | CP, g |                                               |
| 717 4 4 4 2+4 4 4 | Mlg, Ml(CP) | CP, g |
| 691 4 4 2 4 4 4 | h |                                               |
| 668 4 4 2+4 4 4 4 | Mlg, Ml(CP) | h |
| 761 4 4 2+4 4 4 4 | Mlg, Ml(CP) | h |
| 739 4 4 4 4 4 2 | ? |                                               |
| 742 4 4 4 4 4 2+4 | ? |                                               |

<sup>a</sup>Infection score according to 5-level scale; 0, 1 and 2 represent resistant plants, 3 and 4 –susceptible

<sup>b</sup>Mixed reaction after inoculation, accession contained resistant and susceptible plants

<sup>c</sup>Based on a comparison of reaction spectra designated on landraces and barley differential set

<sup>d</sup>Based on gene-for-gene hypothesis, resistance not eliminated during tests
was estimated for 38 seedling resistant landraces. In previous data presented by Czembor (2000, 2002), reaction of 66 (50%) lines selected from barley landraces were unique and distinguished from other known genes. Nevertheless, for 99 (76%) accessions the Mlat gene was postulated or supposed. The latter author used in the experiments a more diverse set of Bgh isolates that were more accurate. The six Bgh isolates used in this study had broad virulence spectra and allowed expression of the maximum number of resistance genes but are less informative than the full differential Bgh set.

Since major genes are usually overcome in a few years, field resistance determined by minor quantitative genes is more promising. It has longer durability and is effective against various pathotypes. That kind of resistance increases during continuous cultivation and quantitative minor genes are still diversifying and changing (Jensen et al. 2012). The multiyear trial examined resistant landraces under different weather conditions and variable pathogen pressure. A set of 12 (612, 613, 621, 631, 647, 648, 651, 694, 727, 730, 748, 753) accessions revealed a good level of resistance during six seasons. These accessions could be valuable material for a more detailed analysis and a good source for resistance breeding. Additionally, selected landraces were collected from the area of barley origin and domestication. Field resistance maintained by long-term coevolution with a pathogen is valuable and economically important for farmers and breeders (Jensen et al. 2012).

This multiyear study did not reveal consistent field resistance to natural pathogen pressure with seedling resistance against artificial inoculation in a climate chamber. Reaction patterns were variable among growth stages. Expression of quantitative resistance becomes more visible at the adult stage and against multipathotype infection. Previous data identified wild barley (H. vulgare ssp. spontaneum) genotypes resistant at seedling stage and highly susceptible at adult stage under field conditions (Dreiseitl and Bockelman 2003). Nevertheless, all landraces resistant to at least three Bgh isolates (701, 720, 695, 719, 737, 740, 698, 694, 729, 794, 730) at the seedling stage were at least medium resistant at the adult stage.

According to Dreiseitl (2017b) searching for and detecting new resistance is desirable for its utility in breeding programs, for easier identification of minor resistance genes frequently masked by major genes and for improving knowledge of resistance. New resistance genes can be useful by combining them with other known genes or partial Mlo resistance in barley cultivars. That approach makes resistance more durable and inhibits Mlo resistance erosion (Dreiseitl 2017b). Six-year field trials and artificial testing against highly virulent powdery mildew isolates, presented in this report, provided the list of barley landraces resistant to B. graminis f. sp. hordei.
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