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INTRODUCTION

It is well known that the proper function of a protein strictly depends on its native conformation, but protein folding is a fundamentally error-prone process. The endoplasmic reticulum (ER) is the cellular port of entry for secretory and membrane proteins to enter the secretory pathway and is a folding compartment for proteins to attain their native conformations through interactions with molecular chaperones, sugar-binding lectins, and folding enzymes (Gidalevitz et al., 2013). Misfolded proteins not only lead to functional deficiency but also induce dominant-negative and cellular toxicity effects, and it is thus essential that the ER should possess several highly stringent protein quality control mechanisms to closely monitor the folding process, allowing export of only correctly folded proteins to their final destinations but retaining incompletely/mis-folded ones in the ER for additional rounds of chaperone-assisted folding. A high-efficient ER-mediated protein quality control (ERQC) system can also differentiate terminally misfolded proteins from foldable intermediates and/or repairable misfolded proteins, stopping the futile folding cycles of the former proteins and eliminating them via a multistep degradation process widely known as ER-associated degradation (ERAD) that involves ubiquitination, retrotranslocation, and cytosolic proteasome (Smith et al., 2011). Our current understanding of the eukaryotic ERQC/ERAD system derived largely from studies in yeast and mammalian cells. However, recent genetic, biochemical, and cell biological studies in the reference plant Arabidopsis thaliana and other model plant species not only identified homologous ERQC/ERAD components but also revealed evolutionarily conserved features as well as unique aspects of the plant ERQC/ERAD mechanisms (Hong and Li, 2012; Huttner and Strasser, 2012; Howell, 2013), especially their connections with the stress tolerance and plant defense pathways.

N-GLYCAN-BASED ER RETENTION MECHANISM

Many secretory and membrane proteins are co-translationally glycosylated when entering the ER (Aebi, 2013). The so-called N-linked glycosylation occurs on the asparagine (Asn or N) residues within the Asn-X-Ser/Thr sequons (X indicating any amino acid except proline while Ser/Thr denoting serine/threonine residue) of a nascent polypeptide. This reaction is catalyzed by the enzyme oligosaccharyltransferase (OST), an integral membrane protein complex that transfers a preassembled oligosaccharide precursor Glc3Man9GlcNac2 (Glc, Man and GlcNac denoting glucose, mannose and N-acetylglucosamine, respectively) from a membrane-anchored dolichylpyrophosphate (DolPP) carrier to the Asn residue (Figure 1; Mohorko et al., 2011). The assembly of Glc3Man9GlcNac2 involves a series of highly specific asparagine-linked glycosylation (ALG) proteins that sequentially add a monosaccharide onto the DolPP linker or a DolPP-linked oligosaccharide precursor (Aebi, 2013; Figure 1A). The structure of a N-linked glycan plays an important role in the protein folding and quality control (Aebi et al., 2010). Immediately after transferring of Glc3Man9GlcNac2 to an Asn residue,
Glucosidase I (GI) and glucosidase II (GII), producing a monoglycyprotein, GlcMan9GlcNAc2, which is recognized by the ER chaperone-like lectins, a membrane-anchored calnexin (CNX) and its ER luminal homolog calreticulin (CRT; Caramelo and Parodi, 2008; Figure 2). The high-specificity high-affinity binding between GlcMan9GlcNAc2 and CNX/CRT is crucial for folding a nascent polypeptide as CNX/CRT can recruit other ER-chaperones and folding enzymes, including protein disulfide isomerases (PDIs) essential for generating inter/intra-molecular disulfide bonds. The removal of the remaining Glc residue by GII releases the nascent glycoprotein from CNX/CRT, thus terminating its folding process (Caramelo and Parodi, 2008). If the protein folds correctly, it will be transported out of the ER to reach its final destination. However, if the protein fails to attain its native conformation, it will be recognized by CNX/CRT-UGGT system due to the presence of a catalytically inactive UGGT homolog (Meaden et al., 1990).

The Arabidopsis genome encodes only one UGGT homolog, and its physiological function was inadvertently found in a study for identifying additional signaling proteins of the plant steroid hormones, brassinosteroids (BR; Jin et al., 2007). A genetic screening for extragenic suppressors of an Arabidopsis dwarf mutant brassinosteroid-insensitive 1-9 (br1-9) led to the discovery of Arabidopsis UGGT (also known as EBS1 for EMS mutagenized br1 suppressor 1; Jin et al., 2007). BR1 is a cell surface-localized leucine-rich-repeat receptor-like-kinase that function as a BR receptor and contains a single transmembrane domain and 14 putative N-glycosylation sites in its N-terminal extracellular domain (Li and Chory, 1997). The mutant br1-9, carrying a Ser662-Phe mutation in the BR-binding domain (Noguchi et al., 1999), was found to be retained in the ER by an EBS1/AtUGGT-dependent mechanism and subsequently degraded by a plant ERAD process (Jin et al., 2007; Hong et al., 2009). Loss-of-function mutations in EBS1/AtUGGT compromise such an ER-retention mechanism and allow some br1-9 proteins to escape from the ER to reach the plasma membrane, resulting in phenotypic suppression of the dwarfism of the br1-9 mutant. The same genetic screen also identified CRT3 (Jin et al., 2009), a unique member of the Arabidopsis CNX/CRT family consisting of two CNXs and three CRTs, which actually retains br1-9 via the CRT3-GlcMan9GlcNAc2 binding. Both UGGT and CRT3 were also identified from two other independent genetic
FIGURE 2 | An overview of the ERQC/ERAD system. Two Glc residues on the N-glycan of a nascent polypeptide are rapidly trimmed by GI and GII right after being transferred from the Dol-PP-linker. The resulting monoglucosylated N-glycans bind the two ER lectins CNX and CRT chaperone-assisted folding. The removal of this last Glc by GII releases a mature polypeptide from CNX/CRT. A correctly folded protein can leave the ER while an incompletely/mis-folded glycoprotein is recognized by UGGT (known as EBS1 in Arabidopsis) that adds back a Glc residue to the A branch, permitting its reassociation with CNX/CRT. A glycoprotein that fails to gain its native structure within a certain time window is removed from the folding cycle via sequential trimming of the two terminal α1,2 Man residues of the B and C branch by MNS1 (an ER-localized α1,2-Mannosidase, known as MNS3 in Arabidopsis) and Htm1/EDEM. A terminally misfolded glycoprotein with α1,6 Man-exposed glycan is selected to enter the ERAD pathway.

screens aiming to identify key regulators of the plant innate immune response to a bacterial translational elongation factor EF-Tu (Li et al., 2009; Saijo et al., 2009). Interestingly, while loss-of-function mutations in AtUGGT/CRT3 led to regaining partial sensitivity to BRs, atuggt/crt3 mutants were insensitive to elf18, a biologically active epitope of EF-Tu. Further studies showed that both UGGT and CRT3 are absolutely required for the correct folding of EFR (EF-Tu Receptor; Saijo, 2010), a BR1-like receptor-like kinase that binds elf18/EF-Tu to initiate a plant defense process (Zipfel et al., 2006). The importance of N-glycan-mediated folding control was further supported by discoveries that loss-of-function mutations in STT3A, a OST subunit, and GII resulted in significant reduction of the EFR protein abundance, presumably caused by incomplete folding and subsequent degradation (Lu et al., 2009; Haweker et al., 2010; von Numers et al., 2010).

In addition to the glycan-dependent ER retention system, the ER is equipped with additional retention systems to prevent export of misfolded proteins, especially those non-glycosylated ones. One system uses the family of ER-localized HSP70 proteins (known as BiPs), which have a N-terminal ATP-binding domain and a C-terminal substrate-binding domain that recognizes and binds to exposed hydrophobic patches of incompletely/mis-folded proteins in an ATP-dependent manner (Buck et al., 2007). The Arabidopsis has three BiP homologs, AtBiP1, AtBiP2 and AtBiP3, all of which were known to exhibit higher levels of gene expression under ER stresses (Sung et al., 2001). In Arabidopsis, BiPs were shown to bind both bri1-9 and bri1-5, another mutant variant of BRI1 carrying a Cys69Tyr mutation that destroys a disulfide bridge crucial for the structural integrity of the BR receptor, and were thought to contribute for the ER retention of the two mutant BR receptors (Jin et al., 2007; Hong et al., 2008). BiPs and their associated factors ERdj3B (an Arabidopsis ER-localized DNAJ homolog) and SDF2 (the Arabidopsis homolog of the murine stromal cell-derived factor 2) are also involved in the biogenesis/folding control of EFR (Nekrasov et al., 2009). BiPs were also known to interact with the orphan heavy chain of a murine IgG1 antibody or an assembly defective form of the trimeric vacuolar storage protein phaseolin in transgenic tobacco plants (Pedrazzini et al., 1997; Nuttall et al., 2002). Another glycan-independent ER retention mechanism relies on mixed disulfide bridges between incompletely/mis-folded
proteins with PDIs and related ER-localized oxidoreductases (Reddy et al., 1996; Anelli et al., 2003, 2007). The Arabidopsis genome encodes 13 PDI-like proteins (Houston et al., 2005), none of which has been implicated in retaining misfolded proteins. However, a recent study on bri1-5 carrying an orphan cys-containing protein residue (Cys62) suggested involvement of a thiol-mediated retention system in keeping the mutant BR receptor in the ER (Hong et al., 2008). Further biochemical studies are needed to verify this prediction and to identify one or more PDIs that are required for ERAD of bri1-5/bri1-9. In contrast, forcing the addition of the missing α1,6 Man residue to Dol-PP-Man₆GlcNAc₂ by overexpression in EBS4/ALG12 in an Arabidopsis ebs3/alg9 bri1-9 mutant promoted the ERAD of bri1-9 (Figure 1A; Hong et al., 2012). Similarly, the ERAD of bri1-9 was presumably accelerated when its N-linked glycans carried a different exposed α1,6 Man residue (the inner α1,6 Man; Hong et al., 2012) caused by a loss-of-function mutation in ALG3 that adds an α1,3 Man to the inner α1,6-Man (Henquet et al., 2008; Kajiura et al., 2010; Figure 1A). The exposed inner α1,6 Man residue was shown to function as an alternative ERAD signal in both yeast and mammalian cells (Clerc et al., 2009; Hosokawa et al., 2009).

**RECRUITMENT OF ERAD SUBSTRATES**

The N-glycan ERAD signal is decoded by one or two ER luminal lectins, osteosarcoma 9 (OS9, also known as Yos9 in yeast) and XTP3-B (Yoshida and Tanaka, 2010; Figure 3). Yos9 and its mammalian homologs contain the mannose-6-phosphate receptor homology (MRH) domain that specifically recognizes and binds N-glycans with an exposed α1,6 Man residue (Hong et al., 2010). In addition to OS-9/Yos9, selection of an ERAD client requires another ER resident protein, Hrd3 (HMG-CoA reductase degradation 3) in yeast and Sel1L (Suppressor of lin-12-Like) in mammals (Hirsch et al., 2009), a type I transmembrane protein with a large ER luminal domain consisting of multiple copies of the tetratricopeptide repeat motif. It was believed that Hrd3/Sel1L, exhibiting high affinity binding to exposed hydrophobic amino acid residues on misfolded proteins, makes the initial selection of a potential ERAD client, which is subsequently inspected by OS-9/Yos9 for the presence of an N-glycan ERAD signal (Denic et al., 2006; Gauss et al., 2006; Figure 3). Such a bipartite ERAD signal of a misfolded domain plus an α1,6-Man-exposed N-glycan ensures degradation of only terminally misfolded glycoproteins but not folding.
intermediates carrying N-glycans with no exposed α,1,6 Man residue.

The Arabidopsis genome has two Hrd3/Sel1L homologous genes, AtSel1A (also known as EBS5 or HRD3A) and AtSel1B (also known as HRD3B, an apparent pseudogene) and just one OS9/Yos9 homolog, AtOS9 (also known as EBS6; Liu et al., 2011; Su et al., 2011, 2012; Huttner et al., 2012). AtSel1A/EBS5 complemented the ERAD-defect of the yeast Δhrd3 mutant assayed by ERAD of a mutant variant of carboxypeptidase Y (CPY; Su et al., 2011), a commonly used ERAD substrate for many ERAD studies in yeast. By contrast, AtOS9 failed to rescue the defective ERAD of CPY* when expressed in a Δyos9 yeast strain (Huttner et al., 2012). Interestingly, a chimeric AtOS9-Yos9 protein consisting of the full-length AtOS9 and the Yos9’s C-terminal region (amino acids of 277-542) promoted CPY* degradation in Δyos9 yeast cells (Huttner et al., 2012), suggesting that the MRH domain is interchangeable but the Yos9’s C-terminal domain might be crucial for interacting with other components of the yeast ERAD machinery. Loss-of-function mutations in either AtSel1A/EBS5 or AtOS9/EBS6 inhibit ERAD of brl1-5, brl1-9, misfolded EFR (in an ebs1/uggt mutant background), and/or the transgenically expressed MLO-1 (Liu et al., 2011; Su et al., 2011, 2012; Huttner et al., 2012), a mutant variant of barley powdery resistance o (MLO) that carries a single amino acid change in the cytoplasmic region and was previously shown to be an ERAD substrate (Muller et al., 2005). As expected, AtSel1A/EBS5 and AtOS9/EBS6 physically interacted with brl-9 or brl-9 in a tobacco transient expression system or an in vitro pull-down assay (Huttner et al., 2012; Su et al., 2012). Consistent with what was known in yeast and mammalian cells, AtSel1A/EBS5 binds AtOS9/EBS and seems to be required for maintaining the stability of AtOS9/EBS6 (Huttner et al., 2012; Su et al., 2012). These results strongly suggested that the selection mechanism for a terminally misfolded glycoprotein for ERAD is conserved in Arabidopsis. It is important to point out that Arabidopsis mutants of AtSel1A/EBS6 or AtOS9/EBS6 are hypersensitive to NaCl-induced salt stress, suggesting a relationship between a cellular stress response and an environmental stress pathway (Liu et al., 2011; Huttner et al., 2012). It is quite possible that environmental stresses lead to decreased folding efficiency and increased accumulation of misfolded proteins in the ER, which require a highly efficient ERAD system for their removal to maintain ER homeostasis.

UBIQUITINATION OF CHOSEN ERAD CLIENTS

Hrd3/Sel1L and Yos9/OS9 not only select irreparable misfolded glycoproteins but also bring the chosen ERAD substrates to the membrane-anchored ERAD complexes responsible for ubiquitination and retrotranslocation. The central component of these ERAD complexes is a polytopic membrane protein with a RING finger-type ubiquitin ligase (E3) activity exposed to the cytosolic surface of the ER membrane, which not only ubiquitinates ERAD substrates but also connects to various ER luminal/cytosolic adapters (Hirsch et al., 2009). Yeast contains at least two distinct E3 ligases, 6 transmembrane-spanning Hrd1 (HMG-CoA reductase degradation) and 14-transmembrane-spanning Doa10 (Degradation of alpha2), that ubiquitinate three different types of ERAD substrates differing in the location of folding lesions: ERAD1 (lesion in the ER luminal area), ERAD2 (lesion in the transmembrane segment), and ERAD3 (lesion in the cytosolic domain; Vashist and Ng, 2004; Carvalho et al., 2006). The Hrd1 complex ubiquititates ERAD1/M substrates while the Doa10 complex deals with ERAD2 clients. Mammals have at least 9 membrane-bound ERAD E3 ligases (Olzmann et al., 2013), including two Hrd1 homologs (HRD1 and gp78), one Doa10 homolog (TEB4), and several other RING-type E3 ligases such as RING membrane-anchor 1 (RMA1; Youn et al., 2006), whose founding member was initially discovered in Arabidopsis (Matsuda and Nakano, 1998).

The Arabidopsis genome encodes two Hrd1 homologs (AtHrd1A and AtHrd1B; Su et al., 2011; Huttner and Strasser, 2012), at least two Doa10 homologs (Doa10A/At4g34100 and Doa10B/At4g32670; Liu et al., 2011), and three homologs of RMA1, AtRMA1-AtRMA3 that were shown to be localized to the ER and exhibit in vitro E3 ubiquitin ligase activity (Son et al., 2009; Table 1), but it remains unclear if plants use distinct E3 ligases to removal different classes of ERAD substrates. Loss-of-function mutations in AtHrd1A or AtHrd1B had no detectable effect on brl-5/brl-9 degradation, but simultaneous elimination of the two Hrd1 homologs inhibited degradation of the two mutant BR receptors, indicating that AtHrd1A and AtHrd1B function redundantly in a plant ERAD pathway (Su et al., 2011). By contrast, the role of the two Doa10 homologs in the plant ERAD pathway remains unknown. Two recent genetic studies revealed important regulator roles of Doa10A (also known as SUD1 for SUPPRESSOR OF DRY2 DEFECTS1 or CER9 for ECERIFERUM9) in the cuticle lipid biosynthesis and in controlling the activity but not the protein level of an Arabidopsis HMG-CoA reductase (Lu et al., 2012; Doblas et al., 2013). Further studies are needed to determine if the Arabidopsis Doa10A is indeed involved in an ERAD pathway that regulates the protein abundance of key regulatory factors or metabolic enzymes involved in the cuticle lipid biosynthesis. Unlike yeast but similar to mammals, plants have additional membrane-anchored RING-type E3 ligases for ERAD. For example, the three Arabidopsis RMA1 homologs (Rma1H1) and a hot pepper (Capsicum annuum) Rma1H1 are involved in the degradation of a cell surface water channel to regulate its plasma membrane level (Lee et al., 2009). A recent study also suggested that a legume (Medicago truncatula) homolog of RMA1 seems to play a role in the regulation of biosynthesis of plant defense compounds, triterpene saponins that share the same biosynthetic precursors with sterols, through regulated degradation of HMG-CoA reductase (Polier et al., 2013).

In a typical ubiquitination reaction, ubiquitin is attached to a substrate through a three-step process consisting of activation, conjugation, and ligation catalyzed by an ubiquitin-activating enzyme (E1), ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme (E2), and E3 (Pickart, 2004). In yeast, the Hrd1/Doa10 E3 ligases work together with a membrane-anchored E2 (Ubc6) and two cytosolic E2s (Ubc1 and Ubc7) that are recruited to the ER membrane by an ER anchor protein Cue1 (Hirsch et al., 2009), which also activates both E2 and E3 (Bagola et al., 2013; Metzger et al., 2013). Arabidopsis has a total of 37 E2 enzymes (Kraft et al., 2005), including
Table 1 | A list of known/predicted components of the Arabidopsis ERAD system.

| Yeast/human gene name | Arabidopsis name | Accession number | Reference                  |
|-----------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------------|
| Hrd1/HRD1             | HRD1A            | At3g16090        | Su et al. (2011)           |
|                       | HRD1B            | At1g65040        |                            |
| Hrd3/SEL1L            | EBS5/HRD3A       | At1g18260        | Su et al. (2011)           |
|                       | HRD3B            | At1g73570        |                            |
| Yos9/OS-9             | EBS6/OS9         | At5g35080        | Huttner et al. (2012), Su et al. (2012) |
| Der1/DERLIN           | DER1             | At4g29330        | Kirst et al. (2005)        |
|                       | DER2.1           | At4g04880        | Wang et al. (2008), Kamauchi et al. (2005) |
|                       | DER2.2           | At4g21810        |                            |
| Ubc6/UBE2J1           | UBC32            | At3g17000        | Kraft et al. (2005), Cui et al. (2012) |
|                       | UBC33            | At5g60430        |                            |
|                       | UBC34            | At1g17280        |                            |
| Htm1/EDEM             | MNS4             | At5g43710        | Liebminger et al. (2009)   |
|                       | MNS5             | At1g27520        |                            |
| Doa10/TEB4            | SUD1/CER9/DOA10A | At4g34100        | Liu et al. (2011), Doblas et al. (2013), Lu et al. (2012) |
|                       | DOA10B           | At4g32670        |                            |
| RMA1                  | RMA1             | At4g03510        | Matsuda and Nakano (1998), Son et al. (2009) |
|                       | RMA2             | At4g28270        |                            |
|                       | RMA3             | At4g27470        |                            |
| Ubx2/ERASIN           | PUX1             | At3g27310        | Park et al. (2007), Rancour et al. (2004) |
|                       | PUX2             | At2g01650        | Suzuki et al. (2001), Ueda et al. (2000) |
|                       | PUX3             | At4g22150        |                            |
|                       | PUX4             | At4g04210        |                            |
|                       | PUX5             | At4g15410        |                            |
|                       | PUX6             | At3g21660        |                            |
|                       | PUX7             | At1g14570        |                            |
|                       | PUX8/SAY1        | At4g11740        |                            |
|                       | PUX9             | At4g00752        |                            |
|                       | PUX10            | At4g10790        |                            |
|                       | PUX11            | At2g43210        |                            |
|                       | PUX12            | At3g23605        |                            |
|                       | PUX13            | At4g23040        |                            |
|                       | PUX14            | At4g14250        |                            |
|                       | PUX15            | At1g59550        |                            |
| Cdc48/p97             | CDC48A           | At3g09840        | Rancour et al. (2002)      |
|                       | CDC48B           | At2g03670        |                            |
|                       | CDC48C           | At3g01610        |                            |
| Npl4/NPL4             |                 | At2g47970        |                            |
|                       |                 | At3g63000        |                            |
| Ufd1/UFD1             | UFD1             | At2g21270        | Galvao et al. (2008)       |
|                       |                 | At4g38930        |                            |
|                       |                 | At2g29070        |                            |
|                       |                 | At4g15420        |                            |
| Ufd2/UFD2             |                 | At5g15400        | Bachmair et al. (2001)     |
| Png1/PNG1             | PNG1             | At5g49570        | Diepold et al. (2007)      |
| Rad23/RAD23           | RAD23A           | At1g16190        | Farmer et al. (2010)       |
|                       | RAD23B           | At1g79650        |                            |
|                       | RAD23C           | At3g02540        |                            |
|                       | RAD23D           | At5g38470        |                            |
one potential Ubc1 homolog (UBC27), three putative homologs of Ubc7 known to be the cognate E2 for Hrd1 (UBC7 UBC13, and UBC14), and three likely homologs of Ubc6 associated mainly with Doa10 (UBC32, UBC33, and UBC34 each having a predicted transmembrane domain at their C-termi;

by heterologous expression of ERADC substrates in two different (Muller et al., 2005). Such inconsistency might be simply caused et al., 2012). However, the tobacco result was quite different (all carrying a cytosolic mutation) used the Ubc7-Hrd1 path-

from the results obtained with the yeast MLO experiment show-

ubc32 mutation partially inhibited the degradation of 

ubc32 deletion in yeast

Indeed, UBC32 was found to interact with Arabidopsis Doa10B and to stimulate the ubiquitination and degradation of a known ERAD substrate MLO-12, another variant of MLO carrying a single amino acid change in its cytosolic domain (Muller et al., 2005), in a tobacco leaf transient expression experiment (Cui et al., 2012). However, the tobacco result was quite different from the results obtained with the yeast MLO experiment showing that the ERAD of MLO-12 plus two other mutant MLOs (all carrying a cytosolic mutation) used the Ubc7-Hrd1 pathway but was unaffected by either ubc6 or doa10 deletion in yeast (Muller et al., 2005). Such inconsistency might be simply caused by heterologous expression of ERAD_{C} substrates in two different eukaryotic systems. Nevertheless, UBC32 was implicated in the Hrd1-mediated degradation of bri1-9 (a presumed ERAD_{L} substrate) as the ubc32 mutation partially inhibited the degradation of the mutant BR receptor and weakly suppressed the corresponding dwarf phenotype (Cui et al., 2012). The partial inhibition could be attributed to a redundant role of UBC32 with its two close homologs or the potential Arabidopsis homologs of Ubc1/Ubc7. However, blast searches failed to find a single homolog of the yeast Cue1 gene from published sequences of plant genomes and expressed sequence tags (our unpublished results), suggesting that plant ERAD processes might exclusively rely on ER-anchored membrane E2s. Alternatively, plants could recruit cytosolic E2s to the membrane-anchored E3 complexes via yet unknown recruiting factors that share no sequence homology but are functionally similar to Cue1.

The ubiquitination of ERAD substrates, especially those lacking N-glycan degradation signals, by the Hrd1 complex requires two additional adapters: U1-Snp1 associating-1 (Usa1; HERP in mammals), an ER membrane protein containing a ubiquitin-like (UBL) motif near its N-terminus and two predicted transmembrane domains in the middle, and Der1 (degradation in the ER; Derlins for Der1-like proteins in mammals), another integral ER membrane protein with four transmembrane segments (Kostova et al., 2007). Usa1 is thought to regulate the stability and/or oligomerization of Hrd1 and to recruit Der1 to the Hrd1 complex (Carvalho et al., 2006, 2010; Horn et al., 2009; Carroll and Hampton, 2010), while Der1 is believed to function either as a receptor for soluble non-glycosylated ERAD substrates or a potential retrotranslocation channel (Lilley and Ploegh, 2004; Ye et al., 2004; Kanehara et al., 2010). The Arabidopsis contains no homolog of USA1/HERP1 but its genome encodes three Der1 homologs whose functional involvement in a plant ERAD pathway awaits detailed genetic and biochemical investigations (Kirst et al., 2005). An earlier study showed that at least two maize Der1 homologs could complement the yeast Δder1 mutant, suggesting a potential role for a plant Der1 homolog in an ERAD pathway; however, there is no genetic evidence for proving the hypothesis (Kirst et al., 2005).

**RETROTRANSLLOCATION OF ERAD SUBSTRATES**

Because the catalytic domains of the ERAD-participating E2s and E3s are on the cytosolic surface of the ER membrane, ERAD substrates need to undergo retrotranslocation for ubiquitination and to access the cytosolic proteasome system for their degradation. However, the molecular nature of this retrotranslocon remains controversial (Hampton and Sommer, 2012). It was previously thought that the Sec61 translocon, which imports nascent polypeptides into the ER lumen during protein biosynthesis, is responsible for retrotranslocation of ERAD substrates through the ER membrane (Pilon et al., 1997; Plemper et al., 1997). Other studies suggested that the yeast Der1 and its mammalian orthologs Derlins are the suspected retrotranslocon (Lilley and Ploegh, 2004; Ye et al., 2004). A recent study, however, showed that the E3 ligase Hrd1 itself could serve as the retrotranslocation channel for ERAD_{L} substrates (Carvalho et al., 2010). It is quite possible that all three proteins are capable of retrotranslocation different ERAD substrates involving different adapter proteins.

Compared to the knowledge gained from the yeast and mammalian studies, we know almost nothing about the retrotranslocon step of a plant ERAD pathway. Several earlier studies did suggest the existence of a retrotranslocon in plant cells to move ERAD substrates into the cytosol. A confocal microscopic analysis of subcellular localization of a fusion protein between green fluorescent protein (GFP) with the P-domain of a maize CRT in tobacco leaf protoplasts suggested a retrotransport route from the ER to the cytosol (Brandizzi et al., 2003). In addition, a series of studies revealed that the A chain (known as RTA) of a ribosome-inactivating toxin, ricin that is normally produced as a dimeric protein of RTA covalently linked to a galactose-binding B chain via a single intramolecular disulfide bond and stored in the central vacuole of the endosperm cells of castor bean (Ricinus communis), was detected to be deglycosylated and eventual degraded in the cytosol when expressed alone in tobacco leaf protoplasts (Di Cola et al., 2001, 2005; Marshall et al., 2008). It is important to mention that ricin and a few other plant toxins were known to exploit the ERAD pathway to reach their cytosolic targets after being internalized by mammalian cells and retrograde-transported from the cell surface to the ER (Lord et al., 2003). In both yeast and mammalian systems, retrotranslocation of ERAD substrates was driven by ubiquitination (Bagola et al., 2011); however, a recent RTA study using plant protoplasts showed that retrotranslocation is
independent of ubiquitination as the lysine-lacking (hence non-ubiquitinated) variant of RTA could still be retrotranslocated from the ER into the cytosol (Di Cola et al., 2005), suggesting that the ubiquitination-retrotranslocation coupling might be substrate-dependent.

**SUBSTRATE EXTRACTION, PROCESSING, AND DELIVERY TO THE PROTEASOME**

Without regard to the identity of the actual retrotranslocons, ubiquitinated ERAD clients are extracted from the ER lumen (ERAD\(\text{L}\)) substrates or ER membrane (ERAD\(\text{M/C}\) substrates) by a trimeric complex consisting of a homohexameric Cdc48 (p97 or valosin-containing protein in mammals), an AAA-type ATPase and its two substrate-recruiting factors Ufd1 and Npl4 (each having a ubiquitin-binding domain; Wolf and Stolz, 2012). The (CDC48)\(_6\)-Ufd-Npl4 complex itself is recruited to the Hrd1/Doa10 E3 complexes by Ubx2 (VIMP for p97/VCP-interacting membrane protein in mammals), one of the 7 ubiquitin regulatory X (UBX) domain-containing proteins in yeast (13 UBX proteins in mammals; Neuber et al., 2005; Schuberth and Buchberger, 2005, 2008).

The current working model posits that extracted ERAD substrates are further processed through antagonistic interactions between an U-box-containing E4 multiubiquitination enzyme Ufd2 and a WD40 repeat-carrying protein Ufd3 with unknown enzyme activity plus a deubiquitylating enzyme Otu1, and/or through deglycosylation by the cytoplasmic peptide-N-glycanase (PNGase) Png1 (Raasi and Wolf, 2007). The processed ERAD substrates were subsequently delivered to the cytosolic proteasome by Cdc48 in association with two ubiquitin receptors Rad23 and Dsk2, each containing a UBL domain that interacts directly with the cytosolic proteasome and a polyubiquitin-interacting ubiquitin-associated (UBA) domain (Raasi and Wolf, 2007).

The Arabidopsis genome encodes three Cdc48 homologs, AtCDC48A, AtCDC48B, and AtCDC48C (Rancour et al., 2002). AtCDC48A was able to complement a yeast cdc48 mutant (Feiler et al., 1995) and was shown to play a role in the ERAD of a mutant form of MLO and a mutant variant of the Arabidopsis vacuolar carboxypeptidase carrying the same Gly-Arg mutation as the yeast CPY\(^*\) and in the retrotranslocation of RTA and the orphan subunit (RCA A) of another castor bean toxin agglutinin in plant cells (Muller et al., 2005; Marshall et al., 2008; Yamamoto et al., 2010). AtCDC48A is likely to be recruited to the ER membrane by UBX-containing proteins as the Arabidopsis genome encodes a total of 15 UBX-containing proteins (known as AtPUXs; Table 1), some of which were shown to interact with AtCDC48A (Rancour et al., 2004; Park et al., 2007). It remains to be determined which of the 15 AtPUX proteins are actually involved in recruiting an AtCDC48 to the ER membrane-anchored E3 ligase complexes and play a role in degrading known plant ERAD substrates. Our BLAST searches using the known ERAD components of yeast and mammals as query identified multiple homologs of the Ufd1, Ufd2, Ufd3, Npl4, Rad23, Dsk2 but only a single PNGase homolog in Arabidopsis (Table 1). The functional involvement of these potential ERAD components in an Arabidopsis ERAD process remains unknown except AtPNG1, which was recently shown to contain the suspected PNGase activity and could stimulate the degradation of two mutant variants of RTA in an N-glycan-dependent manner in yeast cells (Diepold et al., 2007; Masahara-Negishi et al., 2012).

**CONCLUSION AND CHALLENGES**

Despite rapid progress in recent years for identifying molecular components of plant ERQC/ERAD systems and studying their biochemical functions, our understanding of the plant ERQC/ERAD processes remains rather limited, especially about the later stages of the ERAD pathway, such as retrotranslocation, processing of polyubiquitin chains, and delivery (to cytosolic proteasome) of the known plant ERAD substrates. While forward genetic screens in Arabidopsis identified the GI1-UGGT-mediated CNX/CRT cycle in retaining incomplete/mis-folded glycoproteins and ERAD components that function inside the ER lumen to promote the degradation of the two mutant BR receptors, reverse genetic approaches using T-DNA insertional mutants or RNAi-mediated knockdown of candidate ERAD genes listed in Table 1 will certainly provide additional knowledge on the plant ERAD mechanisms. Transgenic Arabidopsis lines expressing carefully engineered substrates of glycosylated/non-glycosylated ERAD\(\text{L}\)/ERAD\(\text{M}\) coupled with forward genetic screens and reverse genetic studies will reveal if Arabidopsis has several distinct ERAD subpathways using different E3 ligases and adapter proteins that recruits distinct ERAD clients. Similarly, genetic screens for enhancers/suppressors of the Arabidopsis wax mutant cer9 [defective in Doa10A (Lu et al., 2012)] or drought hypersensitive 2 mutant [that led to independent discovery of Doa10A (Doblas et al., 2013)] could uncover additional ERAD components, reveal unique features of the plant ERAD processes, and a better understanding of the regulatory function of the plant ERAD system in biosynthetic processes. Proteomic studies with the existing Arabidopsis mutants of the ERAD E3 ligases could lead to the discovery of additional biochemical pathways and/or physiological processes regulated by the plant ERAD machinery. However, the biggest challenges for the plant ERQC/ERAD research is whether the forward genetic approach in Arabidopsis could identify novel ERQC/ERAD components that haven’t been discovered in other eukaryotic systems and if the combination of the Arabidopsis genetics with cutting-edge biochemical studies in Arabidopsis and transient expression systems could reveal novel biochemical functions of known or predicted ERAD components and provide satisfactory answers to some of the outstanding questions of the general ERQC/ERAD research field.
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