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Abstract *Asarum caudigerum* (Aristolochiaceae) is a paleoherb species that is important for research in origin and evolution of angiosperm flowers due to its basal position in the angiosperm phylogeny. In this study, a subtracted floral cDNA library from floral buds of *A. caudigerum* was constructed and cDNA arrays by suppression subtractive hybridization were generated. cDNAs of floral buds at different stages before flower opening and of leaves at the seedling stage were used. The macroarray analyses of expression profiles of isolated floral genes showed that 157 genes out of the 612 unique ESTs tested revealed higher transcript abundance in the floral buds and uppermost leaves. Among them, 78 genes were determined to be differentially expressed in the perianth, 62 in the stamens, and 100 genes in the carpels. Quantitative real-time PCR of selected genes validated the macroarray results. Remarkably, *APETALA3 (AP3)* B-class genes isolated from *A. caudigerum* were upregulated in the perianth, stamens and carpels, implying that the expression domain of B-class genes in this basal angiosperm was broader than those in their eudicot counterparts.
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AP3 *APETALA3*

*PI* *PISTILLATA*

*SEP* *SEPALLATA*

*TM6* *TOMATO MADS-BOX GENE 6*

AcAP3-1 *Asarum caudigerum APETALA3-1*

Introduction

Typical angiosperm flowers comprise concentric arrangements of four types of organs, arranged from outward in: sepals, petals, stamens, and the inner carpels (Meyerowitz et al. 1989). All of these whorls are interpreted as modified leaves, as Goethe proposed 200 years ago (Pelaz et al.
Flowers develop under the control of homeotic genes, many of them from the MADS-box gene family. They encode transcription factors that play crucial roles in the development of floral primordia and the establishment of floral organ identities (Weigel 1998; Theissen et al. 2000; Theissen 2001; Becker and Theissen 2003).

The genetic regulation of floral organ formation in typical eudicot flowers, such as Arabidopsis thaliana or Antirrhinum majus, was initially described with the ABC model for the specification of floral organ identities (Bowman et al. 1991; Coen and Meyerowitz 1991). Since then, new classes of genes have been identified, such as D-function genes thought to be involved in ovule identity (Angenent et al. 1995). The four class E SEPALLATA genes encode proteins that are apparently required in floral organ identity determination (Pelaz et al. 2000, 2001; Ferrario et al. 2003; Ditta et al. 2004). The products of the A, B, C and E genes act in a combinatorial manner to achieve three types of activity. In the outermost whorl, A and E genes function to control sepal identity. In the second whorl, A, B, and E genes together specify petal identity. In the third whorl, B, C, and E genes act in concert to direct stamen identity, while in the innermost whorl, C and E genes determine carpel identity (Bowman et al. 1991; Coen and Meyerowitz 1991; Honma and Goto 2001; Pelaz et al. 2000, 2001; Theissen 2001; Ditta et al. 2004). The functions of A and C genes are considered mutually antagonistic and the expression of one represses that of the other (Bowman et al. 1991; Coen and Meyerowitz 1991; Drews et al. 1991; Egea-Cortines et al. 1999; Pelaz et al. 2000; Theissen 2001).

In higher eudicots, B-class gene products are represented by homologs of the Arabidopsis thaliana genes APETALA3 (AP3) and PISTILLATA (PI), which control petal and stamen identity in the second and third whorls, respectively (Jack et al. 1992; Goto and Meyerowitz 1994). In addition to petal and stamen expression, however, AP3 and PI transcripts are detected in carpel tissue in basal angiosperms such as Amborella and Nuphar (Kim et al. 2005). Other angiosperms and gymnosperm further possess a sister clade of B genes, termed Bsister (Bs) genes, and expression studies revealed that these genes are predominantly expressed in female reproductive organs (including carpels and ovules) (Becker et al. 2002).

Asarum caudigerum Hance is a paleoherb belonging to family Aristolochiaceae of the magnoliid order Piperales, which is phylogenetically near the base among angiosperms (Kramer and Irish 2000; Angiosperm Phylogeny Group 2003). For another basal angiosperm genus, Amborella (Amborellales) actually the most basal lineage of the extant angiosperms (Mathews and Donoghue 1999; Qiu et al. 1999; Soltis et al. 1999; Angiosperm Phylogeny Group 2003), Buzgo et al. (2004) and Soltis et al. (2007a) hypothesized that there is a gradual transition in the expression of B-class genes throughout the floral parts in basal angiosperms. They proposed a “fading borders” model of floral gene expression in these basal angiosperms, and Kim et al. (2005) suggested that their B-class genes expression is broader than those of their counterparts in eudicots and monocots.

In the present study, we investigated this hypothesis by studying MADS-box genes in a little-studied basal angiosperm species, A. caudigerum. We took a genome-wide screening approach to study its MADS box gene homologs. We analyzed the expression profiles of all genes expressed during floral bud development by macroarray. We identified MADS-box homologs in A. caudigerum by phylogenetic analysis, and determined how its B-class genes are expressed through gene expression pattern analyses, qRT-PCR and RNA in situ hybridization. We further showed that the B-class genes isolated from this basal angiosperm have a broader expression pattern than those of their counterparts in higher eudicots.

Materials and methods

Plant materials, total RNA extraction, DNA sequencing, data analysis, and data deposition

Plants of Asarum caudigerum Hance were introduced from the wild in Qubei county of Yunnan province, SW China, and cultivated in the Botanical Garden of the Kunming Institute of Botany (Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming, Yunnan, China). Seedling leaves and floral organs of buds at several stages before anthesis were collected and transferred directly to liquid nitrogen. Total RNA was isolated from the frozen leaves and floral buds using Trizol (Shanghai Huashun Company, Shanghai, China) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. To identify floral organ-specific genes and to elucidate their expression patterns, 612 unique EST genes were used in the present study: 567 unique ESTs were previously published (Zhao et al. 2006; GenBank EST database DV038159–DV038720 and DV075851–DV075856), and 45 unique genes from 400 newly sequenced clones of the previously prepared cDNA library described in Zhao et al. (2006) were obtained and deposited in the GenBank EST database (EE127746 and EE605642–EE605686). Protein similarity searches were performed against the NCBI database using the BLASTx program to assign putative functions to these ESTs. In the present study, e-values less than 1e−5 with more than 100 nucleotides of the ESTs were considered significant.
cDNA macroarray preparation

A cDNA macroarray was prepared according to a previously published method (Ji et al. 2003), with minor modifications. The PCR product from each unique EST was transferred from a 384-well plate to a nylon membrane (Amersham Biosciences, Arlington Heights, IL, USA) using the Biomek 2000 Laboratory Automation Workstation (Beckman Coulter, Fullerton, CA, USA). The PCR products were reamplified by PCR (Perkin-Elmer GeneAmp PCR System 9600) using nested PCR primers 1 and 2R provided in the PCR-Select cDNA Subtraction kit (Clontech, Palo Alto, CA, USA). These primers were complementary to sequences flanking both sides of the cDNA insert. Thermo-cycling conditions were as follows: one step at 94°C for 3 min, followed by 28 cycles of 95°C for 10 s, 68°C for 3 min, and 72°C for 7 min.

Each clone was blotted in quadruplicates with the spots 1.125 mm in diameter and 1.25 mm apart. After air-drying, the membranes were denatured in 0.6 M NaOH for 5 min, neutralized in 0.5 M Tris–HCl (pH 7.5) for 5 min and then rinsed in distilled water for 3 min. The blotted cDNA samples were cross-linked to membranes using a low-energy UV source and were baked for 2 h at 80°C. SARS virus genes, distilled water, and the PCR reaction solution were also transferred onto the membrane as negative controls.

Macroarray hybridization, washing, and radioactive scanning

Total RNAs prepared from leaves at the seedling stage, uppermost leaves (upper leaves close to floral buds), perianth, stamens, and carpels were reverse transcribed and used as probes for expression profile analysis. The reverse transcription reaction was performed in 20 μl volumes set up as follows: 1 μl oligo(dT)18 (10 mM/μl), 5 μg total RNA and distilled water (up to 8 μl). The mix was heated to 65°C for 5 min, then quickly chilled on ice, and the contents collected by centrifugation at 10,000g for 20 s. Then, 4 μl of 5× first-strand buffer, 2 μl of 0.1 M DTT, 1 μl of 10 mM dNTP mix (10 mM each dATP, dTTP and dGTP), 1 μl RNasin (40 U μl−1), 3 μl [32P]-dCTP (370 000 Bq μl−1) and 1 μl (200 U) of SuperScript™ II polymerase (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) was added, the solutions mixed by gentle vortexing and incubated at 42°C for 1 h. The probes were denatured at 100°C for 5 min, and then chilled for 5 min on ice before hybridization. Membranes were pre-hybridized in 20 ml Church solution (1% BSA, 1 mM EDTA, 0.25 M Na2HPO4–NaH2PO4, 7% SDS) at 65°C for 5 h. The denatured probes were then added to the Church solution and hybridization was carried out overnight at 65°C. After hybridization, the membranes were washed at 65°C in 2× SSC, 0.5% SDS for 10 min, in 1× SSC, 0.5% SDS for 10 min, then in 0.5× SSC, 0.5% SDS for 10 min, and finally in 0.1× SSC, 0.1% SDS for 10 min. They were then exposed to storage phosphor screens (Amersham) for 3 days. Images were acquired by scanning the membranes with a Typhoon 9210 scanner (Amersham).

Data analysis

Data were analyzed using the GPC Visualgrid software (http://www.gpc-biotech.com). The radioactive intensity of each spot was quantified as volume values and the local background levels subtracted, resulting in subtracted volume values, designated sVOL. The mean of all spot intensities in each membrane was used as the internal control, the subtracted volume value of which was designated sRef. All images were normalized by dividing the sVOL of each spot by the sRef value within the same image, resulting in a normalized volume value (nVOL) for each spot. The nVOL values were comparable between all images. The ratios of the signal intensities for each EST in the uppermost leaves, perianth, stamens, and carpels, to those of the leaves at the seedling stage were calculated as measures of the changes in the differential expression of the genes represented by the cDNA spots on the macroarrays. A twofold expression cutoff was applied to make the analysis more stringent, i.e., spots with a ratio equal to or more than two were judged significantly upregulated (Jia et al. 2006) and loaded into the program Hierarchical Clustering Explorer 3.0 (HCE3.0) for analysis (http://www.cs.umd.edu/hcil/hce/hce3.html).

Real-time quantitative PCR analysis

Total RNA was reverse-transcribed with oligo-dT and reverse transcriptase (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) following the supplier’s protocols. To examine the expression of the three putative MADS-box transcription factors (DV038650, DV038420, DV038434) and one gibberellin-regulated gene (DV038510), quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) was carried out with an ABI Prism 7900 HT sequence detection system (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA USA). The A. caudigerum 28S rRNA (DV038186) gene was used as internal control for normalization of the template cDNA. The DV038420 sense primer was DV038420F (5′-ATTCGCATTTCGTAT-3′) and the anti-sense primer DV038420R (5′-GA AGCCAGCATTTCCAAGGAGTGTA-3′); the DV038434 sense primer was DV038434F (5′-AGCCATTCCAAGG AGTGTA-3′), and the anti-sense primer DV038434R (5′-GATACCTTACATTCCAAGGTCCTCT-3′); the DV038510 sense primer was DV038510F (5′-ATTCGCA-
TCCAAC-3'), and the anti-sense primer DV038510R (5'-TGTTATGCAAGGCGCAGATCGTG-3'); the DV038650 sense primer was DV038650F (5'-AAGACCAAGGAGAGGAC-3'), and the anti-sense primer DV038650R (5'-CGGCCGCGACCACGCTAAC-3'); the DV038186 sense primer was DV038186F (5'-AGGAGACTGCGTG-3') and the anti-sense primer DV038186R (5'-CAAATTCCAAACGAAGGGGAC-3').

Measurement of the leaves at the seedling stage was calculated using the relative abundance of DV038650, DV038420, DV038434 and different tissues. Measurement of the leaves at the seedling stage was used as an internal calibrator to standardize the RNA content of the different tissues. Measurement of the leaves at the seedling stage was used as an internal calibrator to standardize the RNA content of the different tissues.

The putative MADS-box amino acid EU368583 isolated from A. caudigerum was aligned with those closely related to B-class genes and MADS-box genes from GenBank using Clustal X (Thompson et al. 1997) followed by manual adjustment where necessary. Alignments were created from the relatively conserved MIK amino acids (the nucleotides were too variable to be aligned unambiguously) while the C domain was excluded. A Neighbor-Joining (NJ) tree was constructed using the pairwise deletion option in MEGA4 (Tamura et al. 2007). Genetic distances were estimated under the Poisson correction model.

**Construction of phylogenetic trees**

**RNA in situ hybridization**

Floral buds were fixed in FAA, and were dehydrated through a standard ethanol series. The buds were transferred to liquid nitrogen, shock-frozen, and were stored at -80°C. Frozen tissues were later embedded with an embedding Optimum Cutting Temperature (OCT) compound (“Tissue-Tek”; Miles Laboratories Inc., Elkhart, IN, USA), sectioned at 10 μm thickness and mounted on glass slides. RNA in situ hybridization was carried out according to Yang et al. (2005) with minor modifications. Immunodetection with anti-DIG antibodies conjugated with alkaline phosphatase was carried out using Dig Northern Starter Kit (Roche, Mannheim, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. A 326-bp antisense probe was prepared using the DV038650R (5'-AGATTTAGACCCTAAGT-3') and the DV038650 T7 primer containing the T7 promoter (5'-TAATACGACTCACTATAGGG-3'). The sense probe was created using primer DV038650F (5'-GGTATAGCGTATGAC-3') and the T3 promoter primer (5'-AATTAACCCCTACACTAGGG-3'). In situ hybridizations were performed at 46°C and subsequent washes were carried out at 37°C. Images were captured with an Olympus microscope (Olympus Company, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China).
Results

Expression patterns of floral genes in A. caudigerum

Total RNA from seedling leaves, uppermost leaves, perianth tissue, stamens, and carpels was used to synthesize a set of probes for the macroarray experiments (Fig. 1). In total, 157 genes out of the 612 unique EST genes tested showed an upregulated expression (Table S1). Of these, 43, 78, 62, and 100 were upregulated in the uppermost leaves, perianth, stamens and carpels, respectively. The expression of 29, 38 and 32 upregulated genes overlapped in the uppermost leaves and perianth, perianth and stamens, and stamens and carpels, respectively (Fig. 2a–c and Table S1). The gene expression pattern in the perianth was very similar to that in the uppermost leaves (Fig. 1a–d). However, we did not detect transcripts encoding putative MADS-box transcription factors in the uppermost leaves (Table 1 and Table S1). Of the 78 upregulated perianth transcripts (Table S1), seven putative MADS-box transcription factors (DV038213, DV038420, DV038528, DV038434, DV038183, DV038296, DV038162), including three putative APETALA3-like proteins, two AGL6-like proteins, and two similar to putative MADS542 protein were found (Table 1). Sixty-two genes were upregulated in the stamens (Table S1). Of the stamen-specific transcripts, three genes encoded MADS-box transcription factors (DV038577, DV038682, DV038420), including one putative MADS box transcription factor, AP3-like, and one putative MADS542 protein (Table 1). Carpels showed more upregulated genes than the other organs, probably due to their complex tissue types, with 100 genes showing significant upregulation. They included three transcripts encoding putative MADS-box transcription factors (Table S1), two encoding putative AP3-like proteins (DV038213, DV038650), and one encoding a putative MADS542 protein (DV038528) (Table 1).

Validation of gene expression by qRT-PCR

The expression of three putative MADS-box transcription factors (DV038650, DV038420, DV038434) and one gibberellin-regulated protein (DV038510) was further validated by qRT-PCR (Fig. 3). Our qRT-PCR results showed...
the upregulation of DV038650 in the carpels, DV038434 in the perianth, DV038420 in the perianth and stamens, and DV038510 in all the floral organs tested. This pattern confirmed the expression pattern of these genes observed in the macroarray experiments.

Early floral organ development

In *A. caudigerum*, floral primordia arise under transverse protuberances in axillary bract primordia. The whorl of the perianth appears first and then the first whorl of the androecium, quickly followed by the carpel primordia and then the second androecium whorl (Fig. S1). *A. caudigerum* usually has six carpels and two whorls of six stamens, although some buds have only five carpels and five stamens per androecium whorl. The second androecium whorl continues to develop when the first whorl has already matured. Therefore, the series of floral organ development progresses from the first whorl to the third, then forth, and lastly to the second whorl.

Identification of gene EU368583 and comparison of its amino acid sequence

We used RACE to obtain the full-length coding sequence of EU368583 and confirmed its homology with the previously published sequence DV038650 (Zhao et al. 2006). EU368583 cDNA had an open reading frame (ORF) of 678 bp, encoding 225 amino acids. The predicted protein showed the highest sequence similarity (94% identity) to the *A. europaeum* MADS box transcription factor *AP3-1*. Alignment with 31 putative B-class homologs and 7 putative Bsister (Bs) homologs showed that the putative B-class *AP3*-like gene isolated from *A. caudigerum* shared the PI and paleo*AP3* motifs of other *AP3* B-class genes (Fig. 4). The paleo*AP3* motif was not present in PI genes, and was not well conserved in the Bs genes (Fig. 4).

Homology testing and phylogeny reconstruction

Phylogenetic analysis was performed to further clarify the homology and relationships of the full-length putative *AP3*-like MADS-box transcription factor gene of *A. caudigerum* isolated in this study. The Neighbor-Joining analysis of the amino acid alignment yielded a high bootstrap support for the clade of *AP3*-like MADS-box transcription factor genes from *Asarum* (bootstrap, BS = 100%), including EU368583 (the full ORF length of DV038650) isolated from *A. caudigerum*. The latter formed a clade with *AP3-1* of *A. europaeum* (BS = 94%) (Fig. 5), and thus was not a member of PI or Bs gene.

Table 1  Upregulated MADS-box genes in floral parts of *A. caudigerum*

| Accession number | Putative gene function                                      | Gene symbol | Uppermost leaves | A & B class Perianth | B & C class Stamens | B & C class Carpels |
|------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| DV038162         | Putative APETALA3-like protein                               |             | 1.02             | 2.02                 | 1.29                | 1.94                |
| DV038183         | MADS-box transcription factor Pe.am.AGL6.2                  |             | 1.88             | 3.91                 | 1.69                | 1.89                |
| DV038434         | AGL6-like protein                                            |             | 1.40             | 2.13                 | 1.72                | 1.68                |
| DV038296         | Putative APETALA3-like protein                               |             | 1.76             | 2.07                 | 1.74                | 1.37                |
| DV038420         | Similar to putative MADS542 protein                          |             | 1.72             | 3.76                 | 2.62                | 1.78                |
| DV038528         | Similar to putative MADS542 protein                          |             | 1.15             | 2.16                 | 0.92                | 3.34                |
| DV038213         | APETALA3-like protein                                        |             | 1.60             | 2.15                 | 1.60                | 2.15                |
| DV038650         | Putative AP3-like of the MADS-box transcription factor       | *AcAP3-1*   | 0.57             | 0.99                 | 0.42                | 2.19                |
| DV038577         | Putative MADS box transcription factor AP3-like              |             | 0.76             | 1.31                 | 2.15                | 1.31                |
| DV038682         | MADS-box transcription factor                                |             | 1.10             | 1.56                 | 2.19                | 1.17                |
families. In addition, the \textit{AP3-like} B-class genes from \textit{Asarum} formed a cluster with \textit{AP3-1} of \textit{Saruma henryi} (BS = 77\%).

In situ hybridization studies of the putative \textit{AP3} homolog in \textit{A. caudigerum}

To obtain information on the spatial expression pattern of the putative \textit{AP3} homolog isolated from \textit{A. caudigerum}, RNA in situ hybridization was performed. The putative MADS-box protein DV038650, a partial fragment of EU368583 was upregulated in carpels at two different stages of development: mid-development (Fig. 6c, e, f) or late-development (Fig. 6a, b, d). In longitudinal sections, the signal was especially strong at the adaxial base of the cupules, where ovules would later develop, but was very weak in the perianth, and absent from the stamens (Fig. 6a–c, e). In transverse cross sections, a strong signal was also detected in the female reproductive structures (Fig. 6d, f). The use of sense probes for DV038650 as a control resulted in non-specific signals (Fig. 6g, h).

\section*{Discussion}

We have performed a macroarray analysis of gene expression in leaves and flowers at different stages of development in the paleoherb \textit{A. caudigerum}, with special focus on MADS-box genes. Because genome information for \textit{A. caudigerum} is not available, we employed a large-scale screening approach to identify the target genes. In our study, it was noticeable that a considerable number of upregulated transcripts constituted heretofore-uncharacterized genes, or at least hypothetical proteins with unknown
gene function. In addition, many transcription factors were differentially upregulated in the flower whorls.

Basal angiosperms are very interesting to the study of the origin, diversification, and evolution of angiosperms. Using Neighbor-Joining analysis, we demonstrated that the putative MADS-box transcription factor AcAP3-1 isolated from A. caudigerum is a B-class gene based on the presence of conserved PI motif-derived and the paleoAP3 motif (Fig. 4) and the positioning in the phylogeny (Fig. 5). It did not fall anywhere near AeAP3-2 and the other Bsister genes (Fig. 5). Although AcAP3-1 most closely resembled AeAP3-1, the former showed carpel expression that had not been detected in the latter (Kramer and Irish 2000) (Fig. 6). The Bsister gene AeAP3-2, however, is expressed in female reproductive structures (Kramer and Irish 2000). Recent comprehensive expression studies in other plants groups revealed that Bsister genes are mainly transcribed in female reproductive organs (Becker et al. 2002; de Folter et al. 2006). Why AP3-1 from A. europaeum should behave differently from its A. caudigerum homolog is not yet understood.

In the typical ABC model based on A. thaliana, the B-class genes, AP3 and PI control the specification of petals in conjunction with A-class genes and stamens in conjunction with C-class genes in the second and third whorls, respectively (Bowman et al. 1989; Coen and Meyerowitz 1991; Ma and dePamphilis 2000). The borders of B-function gene expression are also not so clear-cut in Arabidopsis: AP3 and PI, the major Arabidopsis B-function genes, are not entirely restricted to the second and third whorl of the flowers; instead, AP3 is expressed in parts of the first whorl, and PI is expressed in parts of the fourth whorl at early stage (Jack et al. 1992; Goto and
Meyerowitz 1994; Chen et al. 2000). It is believed that physical interactions of the two proteins are required for protein stability and in turn maintenance of their expression, so that in older flowers their expression is limited to whorls two and three (Goto and Meyerowitz 1994; Riechmann et al.1996). It is possible that such a stabilization mechanism will not be present in basal angiosperms. Based on our cDNA macroarray data, the expression domain of B-class \textit{AP3}-like genes in \textit{A. caudigerum} was found to be broader than for their counterparts in eudicots (Fig. 7), as \textit{AcAP3-1} expression was found in carpels, and other \textit{AP3}-like genes were also expressed in the perianth and stamens (Fig. 7; Table 1). Comparative studies of B-class \textit{AP3} gene homologs conducted in the family Aristolochiaceae reported \textit{AP3} gene expression for the genera \textit{Saruma} and \textit{Aristolochia} (Jaramillo and Kramer 2004). Specifically, B-class \textit{AP3} genes were found to be expressed in the second, third and fourth whorls of \textit{Saruma henryi}, as well as the third and fourth whorls of \textit{Aristolochia manshuriensis} (Jaramillo and Kramer 2004). This is consistent with the view of a broader expression pattern of B-class genes in basal angiosperms (Buzgo et al. 2004; Kim et al. 2005).

Based on our SEM observations, we found that the early floral organ development initiated in the sequence (1) first whorl, (2) third whorl, (3) fourth whorl, and (4) second whorl. Therefore, the early floral organ development of \textit{A. caudigerum} does not progress from the outer whorl inward, but instead the development of the second whorl is delayed. It is consistent with the hypothesis of Buzgo et al. (2004) who suggested a gradual transition in the expression of floral B-class genes in \textit{Amborella}. Our cDNA macroarray data, RNA in situ hybridization, and qRT-PCR results indicated that \textit{AcAP3-1} is expressed in carpels, and particularly strongly in the endothelium of ovules. This gene’s unusual expression may explain the retarded development of the second-whorl organs.

Kramer and Irish (1999, 2000) proposed that the ABC model was not rigidly fixed during the earliest stages of angiosperm evolution. Irish (2003) cautioned that the applicability of this model outside of the core eudicots would require testing. Indeed, several lines of evidence suggest patterns of gradual transitions among flowers that prompt a “fading borders” view of gene expression. This evidence includes the morphological transition between...
organ identities from perianth to carpels, the results from genome-scale phylogenomics research, and the implied gradual shift in the expression of the B-class genes across the flower in the basal angiosperms (Kramer et al. 2003; Buzgo et al. 2004; Kim et al. 2005; Soltis et al. 2007a, b).

However, there could be antagonistic interactions between the A-class gene products, which are partially responsible for perianth identities, and the C-class genes, which specify stamen and carpel identities in the higher eudicots (Coen and Meyerowitz 1991; Drews et al. 1991; Theissen 2001). In Arabidopsis thaliana, APETALA2 (AP2) of the A-class genes promotes the B gene expression domain by antagonizing AGAMOUS (AG) (Zhao et al. 2007). Winter et al. (2002a, b) pointed out that an ancestral B protein from the gymnosperm Gnetum gnemon binds DNA in a sequence-specific manner as a homodimer, whereas in Antirrhinum majus the DEF-like protein binds to DNA only as a heterodimeric complex with the GLO-like protein. Less strict requirements for heterodimerization or autoregulatory upregulation may facilitate spatial shifts in the B-function in taxa outside of the eudicots, as detected by the broader gene expression of B-class genes among flowers of

Fig. 6 In situ expression of DV038650 in developing flower buds of A. caudigerum. a–f In situ hybridization with a DV038650 anti-sense probe. g, h In-situ hybridization with a DV038650 sense probe as negative control. a–c, e, h Longitudinal sections; d, f, g cross sections of floral buds. p perianth, st stamen, c carpel, ov ovule. The DV038650 expression can be detected in the ovule and stigma (arrowhead). Scale bars 500 µm

Fig. 7 Models of expression domains of MADS-box genes in floral organs in Arabidopsis thaliana and A. caudigerum, illustrating the hypothesized extended domain of B-class genes in A. caudigerum.

Arabidopsis

| B | A | C |
|---|---|---|
| sepals | petals | stamens | carpels |

Asarum

| B | A | C |
|---|---|---|
| perianth | stamens | carpels |
A. caudigerum, Amborella and other basal angiosperm lineages. Therefore, we support the contention that the ABC model was not established at the outset of angiosperm evolution, but instead occurred in a simpler form in the basal angiosperms, and gradually evolved into the canonical ABC model in the more derived higher eudicot lineages.
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