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Availability of complete plastid genomes of ten solanaceous species, Atropa belladonna, Capsicum annuum, Datura stramonium, Nicotiana sylvestris, Nicotiana tabacum, Nicotiana tomentosiformis, Nicotiana undulata, Solanum bulbocastanum, Solanum lycopersicum, and Solanum tuberosum provided us with an opportunity to conduct their in silico comparative analysis in depth. The size of complete chloroplast genomes and LSC and SSC regions of three species of Solanum is comparatively smaller than that of any other species studied till date (exception: SSC region of A. belladonna). AT content of coding regions was found to be less than noncoding regions. A duplicate copy of trnH gene in C. annuum and two alternative rRNA genes for proline in D. stramonium were observed for the first time in this analysis. Further, homology search revealed the presence of rps19 pseudogene and infA genes in A. belladonna and D. stramonium, a region identical to rps19 pseudogene in C. annuum and orthologues of sprA gene in another six species. Among the eighteen intron-containing genes, 3 genes have two introns and 15 genes have one intron. The longest insertion was found in accD gene in C. annuum. Phylogenetic analysis using concatenated protein coding sequences gave two clades, one for Nicotiana species and another for Solanum, Capsicum, Atropa, and Datura.

1. Introduction

Chloroplasts are essential cellular organelles within plant cells possessing the enzymatic machinery for the process of photosynthesis which provides essential energy to plants. Besides photosynthesis, chloroplasts are also involved in biosynthesis of fatty acids, amino acids, pigments, and vitamins [1, 2]. Despite enormous divergence in whole plant form and habitat, chloroplast structure and function have remained remarkably conserved which might be due to intense evolutionary selection pressures associated with the functional requirements of photosynthesis [3–7]. The chloroplast genome is actually a reduced genome derived from a cyanobacterial ancestor that was captured early in the evolution of the eukaryotic cell [8, 9]. Among the three genomes of the plant cell, the plastome is the most gene dense with more than 100 genes in a genome of only 120 to 210 kb [10]. In the last two decades, the nucleotide sequences of large number of plastid genomes have been published leading to better understanding of their organization and evolution [2, 11, 12]. Currently, about 470 eukaryotic chloroplast genomes have been sequenced completely (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genomes/GenomesHome.cgi?taxid=2759&hopt=html) with the best representation from flowering plants.

Most land plant chloroplast genomes are composed of a single circular chromosome with a quadripartite structure which includes two copies of an inverted repeat (IR) region that separates the large and small single copy regions (LSC and SSC). Genes of chloroplast genomes of higher plants can be divided into three broad categories [13, 14]. In the first, there are genetic system genes encoding for rRNAs, tRNAs, ribosomal proteins, and RNA polymerase subunits. The second category is comprised of genes for photosynthesis which encode subunits of the two photosystems, the cytochrome b6f complex and the ATP synthase. Open reading frames (orfs) of unknown function constitute the third category. Besides, there are some other genes coding for different kinds of proteins including infA, matK, clpP, cemA, accD, and ccsA. Although overall chloroplast genome organization is highly conserved among taxa, structural rearrangements due to inversions have been reported in different taxa like Campanulaceae [15], Cyatheaceae [16], Fabaceae [17],
Funariaceae [18], Geraniaceae [19], Onagraceae [20], and Poaceae [21, 22]. Besides structural rearrangements, sequence polymorphisms have also been reported in some cereals [23, 24] and Oenothera species [20]. These studies revealed that highly divergent sequences were concentrated in specific regions called “hotspots.” Such sequence polymorphisms have been used to derive phylogenetic relationships among species.

Solanaceae is an important family of dicots comprising more than 3000 species placed within about 90 genera. It is an ethnobotanical family and is extensively utilized by humans and has recently become a model of comparative and evolutionary genomics research. Few efforts have been made to study the variations in chloroplast genomes of Solanaceae family by using in silico tools. Most of these attempts have been concentrated on comparison of newly sequenced chloroplast genome with the available complete chloroplast genomes from some members of this family [25–29]. The availability of complete nucleotide sequences of plastid genomes of ten solanaceous species, Atropa belladonna (NC_004561.1; [30]), Capsicum annuum (NC_018552.1; [29]), Datura stramonium (NC_018117.1; Li et al. (unpublished)), Nicotiana sylvestris (NC_007500.1; [28]), Nicotiana tabacum (NC_001879.2; [31]), Nicotiana tomentosiformis (NC_007602.1; [28]), Nicotiana undulata (NC_016068.1; [32]), Solanum bulbocastanum (NC_007943.1; [26]), Solanum lycopersicum (NC_007989.2; [27]), and Solanum tuberosum (NC_008096.2; [33]), provided us with an opportunity to conduct their in silico comparative analysis in depth. Hence, the present study is an attempt to compare the genome organization, structure, and coding capacity of chloroplast genomes of ten solanaceous species. The study focuses on length mutations, intron-containing genes, grouping of genes in different identity classes based on pairwise comparison of individual genes, and InDel analysis of divergent genes.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Sequence Analysis. Whole chloroplast genome sequences as well as individual gene and protein sequences of ten solanaceous species were obtained from “Organelle Genome Resources” section of NCBI in Genbank as well as in Fasta format. Sequence regions corresponding to various genomic features including genes, exons, introns, and cds were specifically extracted from the Genbank files using Extractfeat, Extractseq, and Featcopy programs from Jemboss package. AT percentage for different genomic regions was calculated using Wordcount and Union programs from Jemboss package. Pairwise comparison of gene sequences was done by using NCBI BLAST program and multiple sequence alignment of nucleotide as well as protein sequences was done by using ClustalW. Alignments of protein sequences for some of the genes were manually edited in correspondence to InDels observed in alignments of their nucleotide sequences.

2.2. Phylogenetic Analysis of Concatenated Protein-Coding Genes. 75 protein-coding genes of plastomes of ten solanaceous species and two outgroup species (Daucus carota and Coffea arabica) were selected for phylogenetic analysis from the total of 79 classified protein-coding genes excluding accD, rpl20, ycf1, and ycf15. Ycf15 was excluded due to its absence on the plastome of both outgroup species chosen while the other three were not included in the phylogenetic analysis due to their high levels of variation. Multiple sequence alignment of each gene was obtained using ClustalW (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalw2/). These alignments were then concatenated using standalone BIOEDIT version 7.25 (http://www.mbio.ncsu.edu/bioedit/bioedit.html) and maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree with 500 bootstrap iterations was constructed using PhyMLv3.0 (http://www.atgc-montpellier.fr/phylm/). A graphical view of tree was generated using Archaeopteryx 0.988 SR (https://sites.google.com/site/cmzmasek/home/software/archaeopteryx).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Comparison of Properties of Chloroplast Genomes. Comparison of the properties of plastid genomes of ten solanaceous species with respect to their genome size (size of complete plastid genome and LSC, SSC, and IR regions); percent coding regions, introns, and intergenic regions; AT content of overall plastid genomes as well as coding and noncoding regions is presented in Table 1. The total plastid genome size ranged from 155296 bp (S. tuberosum) to 156781 bp (C. annuum). The large size of plastome of C. annuum can be attributed to large LSC region as compared to other species. On the contrary, size of SSC region in C. annuum was the least as compared to other species. The largest size of IR region was in A. belladonna. Among four Nicotiana species studied, N. sylvestris and N. tabacum were almost identical to each other with respect to size of complete genome (difference of only 2 bps) or LSC, SSC, or IR regions compared with plastome of any other species studied. However the percent coding region was slightly more for N. sylvestris (61.49%) than in N. tabacum (61.12%). The size of complete chloroplast genome and LSC and SSC regions of three species of Solanum is comparatively smaller than that of any other species studied except for A. belladonna where size of SSC region was the smallest (18008 bp). However the size of IR region of Solanum species is larger as compared to Nicotiana species. Coding region percentage was found to be higher in Nicotiana species as compared to all other species with maximum for N. undulata (63.12%) and minimum for S. tuberosum (58.45%). Maximum of 12.8% of the plastome was shown to be introns for S. bulbocastanum whereas minimum intron percentage (11.62%) was observed for D. stramonium. Maximum percentage (29.19%) of intergenic region was observed in D. stramonium and minimum (24.19%) was observed in N. undulata. The AT content of noncoding regions was found to be higher as compared to coding regions for all the ten species studied. Similarly, protein-coding regions have shown higher content of AT base pairs as compared to RNA coding genes which can be explained by the requirement of more GC base pairs for proper folding of highly structured ribosomal RNAs and tRNAs [13–27]. Comparison of AT content in LSC, SSC, and IR regions reveals that AT content was the highest in SSC...
## Table 1: Properties of the solanaceous chloroplast genomes.

| Property                     | ABE          | CAN          | DST          | NSY          | NTA          | NTO          | NUN          | SBU          | SLY          | STU          |
|------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| **Genome size (bp)**         | 156,687      | 156,781      | 155,871      | 155,941      | 155,943      | 155,745      | 155,863      | 155,371      | 155,461      | 155,296      |
| **LSC (bp)**                 | 86,869       | 87,366       | 86,297       | 86,684       | 86,686       | 86,392       | 86,633       | 85,875       | 85,882       | 85,737       |
| (coordinates)                | (1–86,869)   | (1–87,366)   | (1–86,297)   | (1–86,684)   | (1–86,686)   | (1–86,392)   | (1–86,633)   | (1–85,875)   | (1–85,882)   | (1–85,737)   |
| **IRα (bp)**                 | 25,905       | 25,783       | 25,563       | 23,42        | 23,343       | 23,429       | 23,331       | 23,588       | 23,608       | 23,593       |
| (coordinates)                | (86,870–112,774) | (87,367–113,149) | (86,298–111,860) | (86,685–112,206) | (86,687–112,029) | (86,393–111,921) | (86,634–113,194) | (85,883–114,903) | (85,738–113,300) | (85,738–113,300) |
| **SSC (bp)**                 | 18,008       | 17,849       | 18,448       | 18,573       | 18,571       | 18,495       | 18,568       | 18,381       | 18,363       | 18,373       |
| (coordinates)                | (112,775–130,782) | (113,150–130,999) | (111,861–130,308) | (112,027–130,999) | (112,030–130,600) | (111,822–130,316) | (111,965–130,352) | (111,374–129,754) | (111,498–129,853) | (111,331–129,703) |
| **IRβ (bp)**                 | 25,905       | 25,783       | 25,563       | 23,42        | 23,343       | 23,429       | 23,331       | 23,588       | 23,608       | 23,593       |
| (coordinates)                | (130,783–156,687) | (130,999–156,781) | (130,309–155,871) | (130,600–155,941) | (130,601–155,943) | (130,317–155,745) | (130,533–155,863) | (129,735–155,342) | (129,854–155,461) | (129,704–155,296) |
| **Coding regions (%)**       | 58.89        | 58.50        | 59.19        | 61.49        | 61.12        | 61.58        | 63.12        | 58.52        | 58.91        | 58.45        |
| **Introns (%)**              | 12.31        | 12.71        | 11.62        | 12.70        | 12.68        | 12.69        | 12.49        | 12.47        | 12.47        | 12.47        |
| **Intergenic regions (%)**   | 28.60        | 28.79        | 29.19        | 25.81        | 26.18        | 25.73        | 24.19        | 28.66        | 28.62        | 29.06        |

**AT content (%)**

| Overall          | 62.44        | 62.27        | 62.12        | 62.15        | 62.15        | 62.21        | 62.12        | 62.12        | 62.12        | 62.12        |
| Coding regions   | 59.86        | 59.68        | 59.65        | 59.85        | 59.79        | 59.79        | 59.70        | 59.61        | 59.65        | 59.59        |
| Noncoding regions| 66.13        | 65.93        | 65.72        | 65.84        | 65.87        | 66.09        | 66.27        | 65.66        | 65.66        | 65.68        |
| tRNAs            | 47.70        | 47.38        | 47.08        | 47.06        | 47.05        | 47.10        | 47.08        | 47.12        | 47.01        | 47.06        |
| tRNAs            | 44.64        | 44.73        | 44.63        | 44.64        | 44.64        | 44.64        | 44.64        | 44.66        | 44.66        | 44.65        |
| Protein-coding genes | 62.01        | 61.83        | 61.79        | 61.91        | 61.86        | 61.84        | 61.68        | 61.76        | 61.80        | 61.74        |
| LSC              | 64.37        | 64.25        | 64.04        | 64.05        | 64.05        | 64.12        | 64.01        | 63.99        | 64.01        | 63.99        |
| SSC              | 68.35        | 67.99        | 67.72        | 67.94        | 67.93        | 68.03        | 67.87        | 67.97        | 67.91        | 67.91        |
| IR               | 57.14        | 56.94        | 56.87        | 56.78        | 56.78        | 56.84        | 56.78        | 56.93        | 56.91        | 56.90        |

**A.B.E:** Atropa belladonna; **C.A.N.:** Capsicum annuum; **D.S.T.:** Datura stramonium; **N.S.Y.:** Nicotiana sylvestris; **N.T.A.:** Nicotiana tabacum; **N.T.O.:** Nicotiana tomentosiformis; **N.U.N.:** Nicotiana undulata; **S.B.U.:** Solanum bulbocastanum; **S.L.Y.:** Solanum lycopersicum; **S.T.U.:** Solanum tuberosum; **L.S.C.:** large single copy region; **S.S.C.:** small single copy region; and **I.R.:** inverted repeat region.

*Start and end position of nucleotide in the genome.
regions and the lowest in IR regions. Some earlier studies have also shown similar distribution of AT content in LSC, SSC, and IR regions with the lowest AT content in IR region and the highest AT content in SSC region \([2, 27, 34, 35]\). The low AT content of IR regions reflects low AT content in the four ribosomal RNA genes in this region.

3.2. Gene Content of Solanaceous Chloroplast Genomes. The genes present in different regions of the plastid genomes are highly conserved except for several open reading frames \([6, 26, 36]\). There are typically 111 genes, 5 hypothetical chloroplast reading frames (ycfs), and few open reading frames (orfs). Some of our unique findings have been discussed below.

(i) The trnP-GGG which codes for tRNA for proline was annotated only in \(D. stramonium\) whereas its alternative code trnP-UGG was annotated in all other species including \(D. stramonium\) (NC_0181171; Li et al. (unpublished)). We mined all the species for similar sequence by BLAST search but no similar sequence was found in any other species. Gene trnH was only reported to be trnH coding gene in \(C. annuum\). In all other species, this region was reported to be part of ycf2 gene as in \(C. annuum\) also. These observations indicate the presence of duplicate copy of trnH gene sequence in \(C. annuum\) and two alternative tRNA genes coding for proline amino acid in \(D. stramonium\). However, no other evidence was found in databases about this particular region coding for trnH.

(ii) Rps19 pseudogene was reported in three species, namely, \(N. tomentosiformis\), \(S. bulbocastanum\), and \(S. tuberosum\). All other species were mined for similar pseudogene using BLAST pairwise algorithm which confirmed the presence of rps19 pseudogene in other species, namely, \(A. belladonna\), \(C. annuum\), and \(D. stramonium\). The presence of pseudogene may be attributed to the expansion of IRB into the LSC region. In three species, namely, \(N. sylvestris\), \(N. tabacum\), and \(N. undulata\), rps19 pseudogene was found to be absent.

(iii) infA, a pseudogene for all species except \(A. belladonna\), \(D. stramonium\), and \(S. Lycopersicum\), is a protein-coding gene for \(S. bulbocastanum\). Homology search with infA sequence from \(S. bulbocastanum\) against plastomes of \(A. belladonna\) and \(D. stramonium\) revealed identical sequence in both species.

(iv) sprA gene has been annotated for \(N. sylvestris\), \(N. tomentosiformis\), \(S. Lycopersicum\), and \(S. tuberosum\). Its identical orthologous gene sequences were found in \(A. belladonna\), \(C. annuum\), \(D. stramonium\), \(N. tabacum\), \(N. undulata\), and \(S. bulbocastanum\) using BLAST search.

3.3. Split Genes. A total of eighteen split genes have been reported. The sizes of exons and introns for these genes in all the solanaceous species studied are summarized in Table 2. The rps12 gene is divided such that its 5' end exon is located in the LSC region whereas second and third exons are located in the IR region. Maturation of RNA transcript requires a trans-splicing mechanism between exon 1 and exon 2 \([34, 37]\). Among the eighteen intron-containing genes, ycf3, clpP, and rps12 contained two introns whereas the other 15 genes contain only one intron. As per Kim and Lee \([34]\) trnL-UAA gene intron belongs to the self-splicing group I intron whereas all other introns belong to group II. Generally, the size of exons was shown to be conserved and variability was observed in the intron regions; however, ndhB was found to be highly conserved for both exons and introns.

3.4. Pairwise Comparison of Plastid Genes of Solanaceae and InDel Analyses. Pairwise comparison of nucleotide sequences of individual gene sequences (45 combinations) for 116 genes was also performed to classify genes based on per-
## Table 2: The lengths of introns and exons for the split genes of ten solanaceous species.

| Gene (region) | Exon/intron | ABE | CAN | DST | NSY | NTA | NTO | NUN | SBU | SLY | STU |
|---------------|-------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| trnK-UUU (LSC) | Exon I | 37 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 37 |
|               | Intron I | 2519 | 2500 | 2506 | 2526 | 2526 | 2526 | 2521 | 2501 | 2514 | 2512 |
|               | Exon II | 36 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 |
| rps16 (LSC)   | Exon I | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 |
|               | Intron I | 822 | 865 | 866 | 860 | 860 | 860 | 859 | 855 | 864 | 855 |
|               | Exon II | 227 | 227 | 227 | 218 | 218 | 218 | 218 | 227 | 227 | 227 |
| trnG-UCC (LSC) | Exon I | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 |
|               | Intron I | 692 | 692 | 694 | 692 | 692 | 690 | 691 | 701 | 695 | 692 |
|               | Exon II | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 37 | 48 | 48 |
| atpF (LSC)    | Exon I | 145 | 145 | 145 | 145 | 145 | 145 | 144 | 144 | 145 |
|               | Intron I | 715 | 693 | 700 | 695 | 695 | 692 | 692 | 693 | 686 | 693 |
|               | Exon II | 410 | 410 | 410 | 410 | 410 | 410 | 410 | 411 | 411 | 410 |
| rpoC1 (LSC)   | Exon I | 432 | 453 | 453 | 453 | 432 | 453 | 453 | 453 | 453 |
|               | Intron I | 737 | 742 | 737 | 737 | 709 | 733 | 737 | 737 | 737 |
|               | Exon II | 1614 | 1614 | 1614 | 1614 | 1623 | 1614 | 1614 | 1614 | 1614 |
| ycf3 (LSC)    | Exon I | 124 | 124 | 124 | 124 | 124 | 124 | 124 | 124 | 124 |
|               | Intron I | 739 | 742 | 740 | 739 | 738 | 731 | 735 | 730 | 729 | 727 |
|               | Exon II | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 | 230 |
|               | Intron II | 763 | 744 | 753 | 783 | 783 | 779 | 781 | 750 | 750 |
|               | Exon III | 153 | 153 | 159 | 153 | 153 | 153 | 153 | 153 |
| trnL-UAA (LSC) | Exon I | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 37 | 35 | 35 |
|               | Intron I | 497 | 426 | 501 | 503 | 503 | 497 | 498 | 502 | 497 | 497 |
|               | Exon II | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| trnV-UAC (LSC) | Exon I | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 |
|               | Intron I | 572 | 575 | 569 | 571 | 571 | 572 | 573 | 569 | 571 | 571 |
|               | Exon II | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 37 | 35 | 35 |
| rps12*        | Exon I | 114 | 114 | 114 | 114 | 114 | 114 | 114 | 114 | 114 |
|               | Intron I | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
|               | Exon II | 232 | 232 | 232 | 232 | 232 | 232 | 232 | 232 | 232 |
|               | Intron II | 535 | 536 | 536 | 536 | 536 | 536 | 536 | 536 |
|               | Exon III | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 26 |
| clpP (LSC)    | Exon I | 71 | 71 | 71 | 71 | 71 | 71 | 71 | 71 | 71 |
|               | Intron I | 799 | 811 | 792 | 807 | 807 | 789 | 789 | 789 | 798 | 789 |
|               | Exon II | 292 | 292 | 292 | 292 | 292 | 292 | 292 | 292 | 292 |
|               | Intron II | 622 | 626 | 624 | 637 | 637 | 634 | 631 | 625 | 617 | 620 |
|               | Exon III | 228 | 228 | 234 | 228 | 228 | 228 | 228 | 234 | 258 | 234 |
| petB (LSC)    | Exon I | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
|               | Intron I | 759 | 755 | 746 | 753 | 753 | 753 | 753 | 747 | 747 | 747 |
|               | Exon II | 642 | 642 | 642 | 642 | 642 | 642 | 642 | 642 | 642 | 642 |
| petD (LSC)    | Exon I | 8 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
|               | Intron I | 742 | 742 | 748 | 742 | 742 | 742 | 742 | 739 | 738 | 739 |
|               | Exon II | 475 | 475 | 474 | 475 | 475 | 475 | 475 | 477 | 475 | 475 |
Table 2: Continued.

| Gene (region) | Exon/intron | ABE | CAN | DST | NSY | NTA | NTO | NUN | SBU | SLY | STU |
|---------------|-------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| **rpl16 (LSC)** | Exon I | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| | Intron I | 1019 | 1026 | 1025 | 1020 | 1020 | 1021 | 1020 | 1014 | 1018 | 1014 |
| | Exon II | 396 | 396 | 396 | 396 | 396 | 396 | 396 | 396 | 396 | 396 |
| | Intron I | 1019 | 1026 | 1025 | 1020 | 1020 | 1021 | 1020 | 1014 | 1018 | 1014 |
| **rpl2 (IR)** | Exon I | 391 | 391 | 393 | 391 | 391 | 391 | 391 | 391 | 391 | 391 |
| | Intron I | 664 | 665 | 669 | 666 | 666 | 666 | 666 | 666 | 666 | 666 |
| | Exon II | 434 | 434 | 429 | 434 | 434 | 434 | 434 | 434 | 434 | 434 |
| | Intron I | 664 | 665 | 669 | 666 | 666 | 666 | 666 | 666 | 666 | 666 |
| **ndhB (IR)** | Exon I | 777 | 777 | 777 | 777 | 777 | 777 | 777 | 777 | 777 | 777 |
| | Intron I | 679 | 679 | 679 | 679 | 679 | 679 | 679 | 679 | 679 | 679 |
| | Exon II | 756 | 756 | 756 | 756 | 756 | 756 | 756 | 756 | 756 | 756 |
| | Intron I | 756 | 756 | 756 | 756 | 756 | 756 | 756 | 756 | 756 | 756 |
| **trnI-GAU (IR)** | Exon I | 37 | 37 | 42 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 42 | 37 | 37 |
| | Intron I | 717 | 722 | 717 | 707 | 707 | 716 | 716 | 717 | 722 | 722 |
| | Exon II | 34 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 |
| | Intron I | 717 | 722 | 717 | 707 | 707 | 716 | 716 | 717 | 722 | 722 |
| **trnA-UGC (IR)** | Exon I | 553 | 553 | 552 | 553 | 553 | 553 | 553 | 552 | 553 | 553 |
| | Intron I | 1150 | 1157 | 1154 | 1148 | 1148 | 1149 | 1148 | 1158 | 1133 | 1158 |
| | Exon II | 539 | 539 | 537 | 539 | 539 | 539 | 539 | 540 | 539 | 539 |
| | Intron I | 1150 | 1157 | 1154 | 1148 | 1148 | 1149 | 1148 | 1158 | 1133 | 1158 |
| | Exon II | 539 | 539 | 537 | 539 | 539 | 539 | 539 | 540 | 539 | 539 |

* rps12 gene is dividing gene. The 3’-rps12 locates on the IR-region, while the 5’-rps12 locates on the LSC region.

ABE: Atropa belladonna, CAN: Capsicum annuum, DST: Datura stramonium, NSY: Nicotiana sylvestris, NTA: Nicotiana tabacum, NTO: Nicotiana tomentosiformis, NUN: Nicotiana undulata, SBU: Solanum bulbocastanum, SLY: Solanum lycopersicum, and STU: Solanum tuberosum.

Table 3: InDels in nucleotide sequences of 9 genes of ten solanaceous plastid genomes.

| S. number | Geneabc | Total number of InDels | InDel length (bp) |
|-----------|---------|------------------------|------------------|
| 1 | accD | 4 | 24, 9, 141, 6 |
| 2 | clpP | 24 | 8(1), 14(1), 13(1), 7(1), 1(1), 2-3(1), 7(1), 1-7(1), 3(1), 2(1), 3(1), 1-7(1), 1-3(1), 1(1), 1(1), 1-5(1), 4-7(1), 1(1), 9(1), 1-2(1), 3(1), 5(1), 24–30 |
| 3 | ndhA | 14 | 9(1), 5-6(1), 3(1), 1(1), 9(1), 3(1), 4(1), 1-4(1), 1-2(1), 1-23(1), 1-2(1), 2(1), 1(1), 3(1) |
| 4 | rpl32 | 2 | 2-3, 4 |
| 5 | rps16 | 11 | 1–38, 9(1), 1(1), 5(1), 1-2(1), 5(1), 4(1), 6(1), 1(1), 9 |
| 6 | sprA | 2 | 109, 7 |
| 7 | trnA-UGC | 1 | 102–130 |
| 8 | trnL-UAA | 4 | 1, 6, 71, 4 |
| 9 | ycf1 | 31 | 3, 18, 18, 21, 6, 6, 48, 9, 6, 6, 42, 3, 6, 30, 3, 15, 12–39, 18, 6, 9–36, 6, 6, 6, 6, 9, 12, 6, 6, 6, 57, 6 |

abc Location in different regions; aLSC, bSSC, and cIR; I: InDels present in introns.

Table 4: InDels in amino acid sequences of 5 proteins of ten solanaceous plastid genomes.

| S. number | Protein | Total number of InDels | InDel length (bp) |
|-----------|---------|------------------------|------------------|
| 1 | accD | 4 | 8, 3, 47, 2 |
| 2 | clpP | 2 | 2, 10 |
| 3 | rpl32 | 1 | 1-2 |
| 4 | rps16 | 1 | 3 |
| 5 | ycf1 | 29 | 1, 6, 6, 7, 2, 2, 7, 3, 2, 2, 14, 1-10, 1, 5, 4-13, 6, 2, 3-12, 2, 2, 3, 3, 4, 2, 2, 19, 2 |
Figure 1: Continued.
sequences of solanaceous species showing location of InDels indicated by hyphens.

**tRNA-Leu(UAA)**

| ABE | AATAGAAACTTAA | AAT------TTAA | AATAGAAACTTAA | AATATAAATTTAA |
|-----|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| STU | GTGATGATTTTT   | ---ATGATTTTT  | ---ATGATTTTT  | ---ATGATTTTT  |
| SBU | AAA-GGA       | AAA------TGA  | AAA------TGA  | AAA------TGA  |
| SBU | AAA-GGA       | AAA------TGA  | AAA------TGA  | AAA------TGA  |
| STU | AAA-GGA       | AAA------TGA  | AAA------TGA  | AAA------TGA  |

**tRNA-Ala(UGC)**

| ABE | AATAGAAACTTAA | AAT------TTAA | AATAGAAACTTAA | AATATAAATTTAA |
|-----|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| STU | GTGATGATTTTT   | ---ATGATTTTT  | ---ATGATTTTT  | ---ATGATTTTT  |
| SBU | AAA-GGA       | AAA------TGA  | AAA------TGA  | AAA------TGA  |
| SBU | AAA-GGA       | AAA------TGA  | AAA------TGA  | AAA------TGA  |
| STU | AAA-GGA       | AAA------TGA  | AAA------TGA  | AAA------TGA  |

**ycfl**

| ABE | AATAGAAACTTAA | AAT------TTAA | AATAGAAACTTAA | AATATAAATTTAA |
|-----|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| STU | GTGATGATTTTT   | ---ATGATTTTT  | ---ATGATTTTT  | ---ATGATTTTT  |
| SBU | AAA-GGA       | AAA------TGA  | AAA------TGA  | AAA------TGA  |
| SBU | AAA-GGA       | AAA------TGA  | AAA------TGA  | AAA------TGA  |
| STU | AAA-GGA       | AAA------TGA  | AAA------TGA  | AAA------TGA  |

**FIGURE 1:** Partial multiple sequence alignment of accD, clpP, ndhA, rpl12, rps16, sprA, tRNA-Ala (UGC), tRNA-Leu(UAA), and ycf1 gene sequences of ten solanaceous species showing location of InDels indicated by hyphens.
protein sequences as shown in Figure 2. The accD gene has been reported to be one of the most variable plastid genes and is probably under diversifying selection [26].

(2) clpP. In clpP gene InDels were found both in intron and in exon regions. Two major consequences were observed in the InDels in the exon regions. An insertion of 6 bp in S. bulbocastanum and S. tuberosum and 30 bp in S. lycopersicum at 3' end (exon 3) of the clpP gene resulted in shifting of stop codon by 6, 6, and 30 bp downstream in respective species compared to other species of Solanaceae family, increasing the length of the coding sequence and the protein product (Figures 1 and 2). An interesting feature was observed as InDel 1 in protein sequence corresponding to insertion of a repeat of "T" amino acids in D. stramonium making exon 3 region longer by 6 bp. This region however corresponds to the end of intron 2 in clpP gene in all other species. Since D. stramonium chloroplast genome has been sequenced recently, this observation needs to be experimentally validated.

(3) ndhA. All the InDels found in ndhA were present in introns while the protein-coding regions (exons) were highly conserved. This indicates high diversifying selection on intronic region of this gene. Out of the total 14 InDels most of the InDels were observed with respect to C. annuum (InDels 1, 2, 5, 7, and 10). InDel 10 was observed to be shared by C. annuum and S. lycopersicum in full and by C. annuum and A. belladonna in part.

(4) rps16. In rps16 insertion of 1 bp in D. stramonium and 3 bp in C. annuum was found in the 3' region of gene while a deletion of 4 bp was observed in D. stramonium.
The insertion of 3 bp in *C. annuum* only altered the length of the protein by making it longer by 1 amino acid. However, the small insertion of 1 bp in *D. stramonium* proved to be a frameshift mutation resulting in three changes in the amino acid sequence near the C-terminus. Moreover, deletion of 4 bp at the 3’ end resulted in premature termination of protein synthesis. The frameshift mutation and the 3’ end deletion finally reduced the gene product length by 1 amino acid. As the C-terminal of amino acid chain is well conserved in all the other species, the effect of above mentioned variations needs to be validated experimentally.

(5) *rps16*. In *rps16* also InDels were observed in introns as well as exons. Five of the major insertions in the intron regions were species specific. Insertion of 38 bp (InDel 1), 9 bp (InDel 2), 5 bp (InDel 7), 4 bp (InDel 8), and 6 bp (InDel 9) was observed in *A. belladonna*, *S. lycopersicum*, *D. stramonium*, and *C. annuum*. A deletion of 5 bp was observed in all the three *Solanum* species and *C. annuum*. A deletion of 9 bp was observed in all *Nicotiana* species resulting in an amino acid change (P to S) and shortening of protein by three amino acids in the C-terminal region. Similar deletion has also been observed by Kahlau et al. [27] and was suggested to be functionally neutral.

(6) *sprA*. *sprA* gene has been reported as stable noncoding RNA of unknown function. This gene has been suggested to influence 16S rRNA maturation [38, 39]. In many species this gene seems to be present as remnant and shows large variations in its 5’ region. The largest deletion of 109 bp was observed in *C. annuum*. The rest of this gene appears to be more conserved with a deletion towards the 3’ end in all *Nicotiana* species and *A. belladonna*. The manner in which this gene functions and the consequences of the above mentioned variations are yet to be investigated experimentally.

(7) *trnA-UGC*. In this particular gene a long deletion of 102 bp was observed in all *Nicotiana* species. Interestingly, this deletion was further extended to 130 bp in both directions in *A. belladonna*. These deletions were found in the intron region and so are unlikely to have any negative impact on gene product function.

(8) *trnL*-UAA. The trend of variation in *trnL*-UAA was similar to that in *ndhA* as all InDels were observed in introns. The longest species specific deletion (InDel 3) was observed in *C. annuum* whereas short insertion of four nucleotides, a repeat of “T,” was observed specifically in *D. stramonium*. Another insertion of 6 bp was observed in two *Nicotiana* species, that is, *N. sylvestris* and *N. tabacum*.

(9) *ycf1*. Many InDels (3’ region) were found in the fastest evolving gene, that is, *ycf1* gene. Most of the InDels were found to be species specific. Maximum InDels (InDels 2, 3, 5, 7, 9, 16, 17, 19, 23, 24, 25, and 30) were observed in *C. annuum* followed by *D. stramonium* (InDels 4, 6, 20, 26, and 31), by *N. tomentosiformis* (InDels 8, 17, and 18), and by *S. lycopersicum* (InDels 16, 22, and 28). Two genus specific InDels (InDels 14 and 21) were observed in all the four *Nicotiana* species. However, InDel 19 was also present in *D. stramonium*. Another genus specific InDel (InDel 29) was observed in *Solanum* species. Most of the InDels altered the length of the gene product with maximum length of 1906 amino acids (aa) observed in *C. annuum* and the minimum of 1873 aa observed in *D. stramonium*. Among the *Solanum* species the length of protein (1887 aa) was conserved among *S. bulbocastanum* and *S. tuberosum*. However, *S. lycopersicum* was having the amino acid sequence of 1891 aa, larger by 4 aa as compared to the other two species of the same genus. Among the four *Nicotiana* species the *ycf1* gene product length was conserved among *N. sylvestris*, *N. tabacum*, and *N. undulata* having protein lengths of 1901 aa, 1902 aa, and 1901 aa, respectively. However, *N. tomentosiformis* was observed to be the most variable member of the genus *Nicotiana* having protein length of 1892 aa.

3.5. Phylogenetic Analysis of Solanaceous Plastomes. Evolutionary relationships between diverse plant species have been analyzed using several plastome markers including matK and rbcL (genes) or trnH-psbA and trnL-trnF (intergenic regions) due to sequence conservation among plant taxa blended with suitable variation [40, 41]. However, determination of phylogeny based on single gene sequences may be inaccurate [42]. Availability of complete chloroplast sequences for many species has made it possible to use many individual genes or concatenated gene sequences to deduce phylogenetic relationships among taxa [42–44].

Efforts have been made to carry out phylogenetic analysis of solanaceous species using complete plastome sequences by Moore et al. [44] and Jansen et al. [45]. Evolutionary positions of *Capsicum* and *Datura* in Solanaceae have been determined using a single or a few plastid genes [46, 47]. Recently, concatenated protein-coding gene sequences from completely sequenced plastomes were used to obtain reasonable phylogenetic relationships for solanaceous species [29]. In the present investigation we also used a similar approach to analyze the phylogenetic relationship for ten solanaceous species with completely sequenced plastomes. Individual multiple sequence alignments were concatenated for maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree generation. As depicted in Figure 3, taxa were divided into two clades with 100% bootstrap value of 500. The first clade consisted of four *Nicotiana* species while the species in *Solanum, Capsicum, Atropa*, and *Datura* were included in the second clade. These results are in line with previous phylogenetic analyses using concatenated protein-coding gene sequences as well as phylogenetic analyses using plastid ndhF and trnL-F sequences [29, 47]. However, in an analysis of 13 orFs of solanaceous plastomes, a different arrangement was shown in which *Atropa* was shown to be separated from *Solanum* and was grouped together with *Nicotiana* [25].

4. Conclusions

The analyses of complete plastid genomes of ten solanaceous species revealed overall similarity in terms of the gene content and organization. The sizes of LSC, SSC, and IR regions were
found to be somewhat conserved among species but a significant variation was found between genera. Most of the coding regions were well conserved. However, many of the features in few genes were observed to be typical of a particular genus and even species, which can be used as molecular markers to investigate genetic diversity and evolution. These typical variations can be further utilized to develop more sophisticated DNA barcoding based techniques. Ten solanaceous species are divided into two clades on the basis of Phylogenetic analysis using concatenated alignment of gene sequences from coding regions of plastomes. The first clade consisted of four Nicotiana species and the second clade consisted of species of Solanum, Capsicum, Atropa, and Datura.

**Conflict of Interests**

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

**Acknowledgment**

The authors are thankful to University Grants Commission, New Delhi, for providing MANF fellowship to Harpreet Kaur.

**References**

[1] M. G. Bausher, N. D. Singh, S.-B. Lee, R. K. Jansen, and H. Daniell, “The complete chloroplast genome sequence of Citrus sinensis (L.) Osbeck var “Ridge Pineapple”: organization and phylogenetic relationships to other angiosperms,” *BMC Plant Biology*, vol. 6, no. 1, article 21, 2006.

[2] Z. Y. Hu, W. Hua, S. M. Huang, and H. Z. Wang, “Complete chloroplast genome sequence of rapeseed (Brassica napus L.) and its evolutionary implications,” *Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution*, vol. 58, no. 6, pp. 875–887, 2011.

[3] J. D. Palmer, “Comparative organization of chloroplast genomes,” *Annual Review of Genetics*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 325–354, 1985.

[4] R. G. Olmstead and J. D. Palmer, “Chloroplast DNA systematics: a review of methods and data analysis,” *The American Journal of Botany*, vol. 81, no. 9, pp. 1205–1224, 1994.

[5] R. A. Bungard, “Photosynthetic evolution in parasitic plants: Insight from the chloroplast genome,” *BioEssays*, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 235–247, 2004.

[6] L. A. Raubeson and R. K. Jansen, “Chloroplast genomes of plants,” in *Diversity and Evolution of Plants-Genotypic and Phenotypic Variation in Higher Plants*, R. Henry, Ed., pp. 45–68, CABI Publishing, Wallingford, UK, 2005.

[7] R. C. Haberle, H. M. Fournarde, J. L. Boore, and R. K. Jansen, “Extensive rearrangements in the chloroplast genome of *Trachelium caeruleum* are associated with repeats and tRNA genes,” *Journal of Molecular Evolution*, vol. 66, no. 4, pp. 350–361, 2008.

[8] W. Martin and R. G. Herrmann, “Gene transfer from organelles to the nucleus: how much, what happens, and why?” *Plant Physiology*, vol. 118, no. 1, pp. 9–17, 1998.

[9] H. L. Race, R. G. Herrmann, and W. Martin, “Why have organelles retained genomes?” *Trends in Genetics*, vol. 15, no. 9, pp. 364–370, 1999.

[10] T. Wakasugi, T. Tsuzuki, and M. Sugiuira, “The genomics of land plant chloroplasts: gene content and alteration of genomic information by RNA editing,” *Photosynthesis Research*, vol. 70, no. 1, pp. 107–118, 2001.

[11] Y.-K. Kim, C.-W. Park, and K.-J. Kim, “Complete chloroplast DNA sequence from a Korean endemic genus, *Megaleranthis saniculifolia*, and its evolutionary implications,” *Molecules and Cells*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 365–381, 2009.

[12] A. Khan, I. A. Khan, H. Asif, and M. K. Azim, “Current trends in chloroplast genome research,” *African Journal of Biotechnology*, vol. 9, no. 24, pp. 3494–3500, 2010.

[13] H. Shimada and M. Sugiuira, “Fine structural features of the chloroplast genome: comparison of the sequenced chloroplast genomes,” *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 19, no. 5, pp. 983–995, 1991.

[14] M. Sugiuira, “The chloroplast genome,” *Plant Molecular Biology*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 149–168, 1992.

[15] M. E. Cosner, R. K. Jansen, J. D. Palmer, and S. R. Downie, “The highly rearranged chloroplast genome of *Trachelium caeruleum* (Campanulaceae): multiple inversions, inverted repeat expansion and contraction, transposition, insertions/deletions, and several repeat families,” *Current Genetics*, vol. 31, no. 5, pp. 419–429, 1997.

[16] L. Gao, X. Yi, Y.-X. Yang, Y.-J. Su, and T. Wang, “Complete chloroplast genome sequence of a tree fern Alsophila spinulosa: insights into evolutionary changes in fern chloroplast genomes,” *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, vol. 9, no. 1, article 130, 2009.
[17] T. Kato, T. Kaneko, S. Sato, Y. Nakamura, and S. Tabata, “Complete structure of the chloroplast genome of a legume, *Lotus japonicus*,” *DNA Research*, vol. 7, no. 6, pp. 323–330, 2000.
[18] B. Goffinet, N. J. Wickett, O. Werner, R. M. Ros, A. J. Shaw, and C. J. Cox, “Distribution and phylogenetic significance of the 71-kb inversion in the plastid genome in Funariidae (Bryophyta),” *Annals of Botany*, vol. 99, no. 4, pp. 747–753, 2007.
[19] J. D. Palmer, J. M. Nugent, and L. A. Herbon, “Unusual structure of geranium chloroplast DNA: a triple-sized inverted repeat, extensive gene duplications, multiple inversions, and two repeat families,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 84, no. 3, pp. 769–773, 1987.
[20] S. Greiner, X. Wang, U. Rauwolf et al., “The complete nucleotide sequences of the five genetically distinct plastid genomes of *Oenothera* subsection *Oenothera*: I. Sequence evaluation and plastome evolution,” *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 36, no. 7, pp. 2366–2378, 2008.
[21] J. J. Doyle, J. I. Davis, R. J. Soreng, D. Garvin, and M. J. Anderson, “Chloroplast DNA inversions and the origin of the grass family (Poaceae),” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 89, no. 16, pp. 7722–7726, 1992.
[22] F. A. Michelangeli, J. I. Davis, and D. W. Stevenson, “Phylogenetic relationships among Poaceae and related families as inferred from morphology, inversions in the plastid genome, and sequence data from the mitochondrial and plastid genomes,” *The American Journal of Botany*, vol. 90, no. 1, pp. 93–106, 2003.
[23] E. Bortiri, D. Coleman-Derr, G. R. Lazo, O. D. Anderson, and Y. Q. Gu, “The complete chloroplast genome sequence of *Brachypodium distachyon*: sequence comparison and phylogenetic analysis of eight grass plastomes,” *BMC Research Notes*, vol. 1, article 61, 2008.
[24] C. H. Leseberg and M. R. Duvall, “The complete chloroplast genome of *Coix lacryma-jobi* and a comparative molecular evolutionary analysis of plastomes in cereals,” *Journal of Molecular Evolution*, vol. 69, no. 4, pp. 311–318, 2009.
[25] H. J. Chung, J. D. Jung, H. W. Park et al., “The complete chloroplast genome sequences of *Solanum tuberosum* and comparative analysis with Solanaceae species identified the presence of a 241-bp deletion in cultivated potato chloroplast DNA sequence,” *Plant Cell Reports*, vol. 25, no. 12, pp. 1369–1379, 2006.
[26] H. Daniell, S.-B. Lee, J. Grevich et al., “Complete chloroplast genome sequences of *Solanum bulbocastanum*, *Solanum lycopersicum* and comparative analyses with other Solanaceae genomes,” *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, vol. 112, no. 8, pp. 1503–1518, 2006.
[27] S. Kahlau, S. Aspinall, J. C. Gray, and R. Bock, “Sequence of the tomato chloroplast DNA and evolutionary comparison of solanaceous plastid genomes,” *Journal of Molecular Evolution*, vol. 63, no. 2, pp. 194–207, 2006.
[28] M. Yukawa, T. Tsudzuki, and M. Sugiuira, “The chloroplast genome of *Nicotiana sylvestris* and *Nicotiana tomentosiformis*: complete sequencing confirms that the *Nicotiana sylvestris* progenitor is the maternal genome donor of *Nicotiana tabacum*,” *Molecular Genetics and Genomics*, vol. 275, no. 4, pp. 367–373, 2006.
[29] Y. D. Jo, J. Park, J. Kim et al., “Complete sequencing and comparative analyses of the pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) plastome revealed high frequency of tandem repeats and large insertion/deletions on pepper plastome,” *Plant Cell Reports*, vol. 30, no. 2, pp. 217–229, 2011.
[30] C. Schmitz-Linneweber, R. Regel, T. G. Du, H. Hupfer, R. G. Herrmann, and R. M. Maier, “The plastid chromosome of *Atropa belladonna* and its comparison with that of *Nicotiana tabacum*: the role of RNA editing in generating divergence in the process of plant speciation,” *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, vol. 19, no. 9, pp. 1602–1612, 2002.
[31] M. Kuninimalaiyan and B. L. Nielsen, “Fine mapping of replication origins (oriA and oriB) in *Nicotiana tabacum* chloroplast DNA,” *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 25, no. 18, pp. 3681–3686, 1997.
[32] G. Thysen, Z. Svab, and P. Maliga, “Cell-to-cell movement of plastids in plants,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 109, no. 7, pp. 2439–2443, 2012.
[33] D. Gargano, A. Vezzi, N. Scotti et al., “The complete nucleotide sequence genome of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* cv. Desiree) chloroplast DNA,” in *Book of Abstracts of the 2nd Solanaceae Genome Workshop*, p. 107, 2005.
[34] K.-J. Kim and H.-L. Lee, “Complete chloroplast genome sequences from Korean ginseng (*Panax schinseng* Nees) and comparative analysis of sequence evolution among 17 vascular plants,” *DNA Research*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 247–261, 2004.
[35] D. A. Steane, “Complete nucleotide sequence of the chloroplast genome from the Tasmanian blue gum, *Eucalyptus globulus* (Myrtaceae),” *DNA Research*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 215–220, 2005.
[36] J. D. Palmer, “Cell culture and somatic cell genetics of plants,” in *The Molecular Biology of Plastids*, R. G. Herrmann, Ed., pp. 5–53, Springer, Vienna, Austria, 1991.
[37] M. Sugiuira, K. Shinozaki, M. Tanaka et al., “Split genes and cis/trans splicing in tobacco chloroplasts,” in *Plant Molecular Biology*, D. von Wettstein and N. H. Chua, Eds., pp. 65–76, Plenum Press, New York, NY, USA, 1987.
[38] A. Vera and M. Sugiuira, “A novel RNA gene in the tobacco plastid genome: its possible role in the maturation of 16S rRNA,” *The EMBO Journal*, vol. 13, no. 9, pp. 2211–2217, 1994.
[39] M. Sugita, Z. Svab, P. Maliga, and M. Sugiuira, “Targeted deletion of sprA from the tobacco plastid genome indicates that the encoded small RNA is not essential for pre-16S rRNA maturation in plastids,” *Molecular and General Genetics*, vol. 257, no. 1, pp. 23–27, 1997.
[40] R. Lahaye, M. van der Bank, D. Bogarin et al., “DNA barcoding the floras of biodiversity hotspots,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 105, no. 8, pp. 2923–2928, 2008.
[41] P. Taberlet, L. Gielly, G. Pautou, and J. Bouvet, “Universal primers for amplification of three non-coding regions of chloroplast DNA,” *Plant Molecular Biology*, vol. 17, no. 5, pp. 1105–1109, 1991.
[42] X. Guo, S. Castillo-Ramírez, V. González et al., “Rapid evolutionary change of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L) plasto- and the genomic diversification of legume chloroplasts,” *BMC Genomics*, vol. 8, article 228, 2007.
[43] R. K. Jansen, C. Kaittanis, C. Sasaki et al., “Phylogenetic analyses of *Vitis* (Vitaceae) based on complete chloroplast genome sequences: effects of taxon sampling and phylogenetic methods on resolving relationships among rosids,” *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, vol. 6, no. 1, article 32, 2006.
[44] M. J. Moore, P. S. Soltis, C. D. Bell, J. G. Burleigh, and D. E. Soltis, “Phylogenetic analysis of 83 plastid genes further resolves
the early diversification of eudicots,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 107, no. 10, pp. 4623–4628, 2010.

[45] R. K. Jansen, Z. Cai, L. A. Raubeson et al., “Analysis of 81 genes from 64 plastid genomes resolves relationships in angiosperms and identifies genome-scale evolutionary patterns,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 104, no. 49, pp. 19369–19374, 2007.

[46] L. Bohs and R. G. Olmstead, “Phylogenetic relationships in *Solanum* (Solanaceae) based on ndhF sequences,” *Systematic Botany*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 5–17, 1997.

[47] R. G. Olmstead, L. Bohs, H. A. Migid, E. Santiago-Valentin, V. F. Garcia, and S. M. Collier, “A molecular phylogeny of the Solanaceae,” *Taxon*, vol. 57, no. 4, pp. 1159–1181, 2008.