Cytosolic DNA Sensor Upregulation Accompanies DNA Electrotransfer in B16.F10 Melanoma Cells
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In several preclinical tumor models, antitumor effects occur after intratumoral electroporation, also known as electrotransfer, of plasmid DNA devoid of a therapeutic gene. In mouse melanomas, these effects are preceded by significant elevation of several proinflammatory cytokines. These observations implicate the binding and activation of intracellular DNA-specific pattern recognition receptors or DNA sensors in response to DNA electrotransfer. In tumors, IFNβ mRNA and protein levels significantly increased. The mRNAs of several DNA sensors were detected, and DAI, DDX60, and p204 tended to be upregulated. These effects were accompanied with reduced tumor growth and increased tumor necrosis. In B16.F10 cells in culture, IFNβ mRNA and protein levels were significantly upregulated. The mRNAs for several DNA sensors were present in these cells; DNA-dependent activator of interferon regulatory factor (DAI), DEAD (Asp-Glu-Ala-Asp) box polypeptide 60 (DDX60), and p204 were significantly upregulated while DDX60 protein levels were coordinately upregulated. Upregulation of DNA sensors in tumors could be masked by the lower transfection efficiency compared to in vitro or to dilution by other tumor cell types. Mirroring the observation of tumor necrosis, cells underwent a significant DNA concentration-dependent decrease in proliferation and survival. Taken together, these results indicate that DNA electrotransfer may cause the upregulation of several intracellular DNA sensors in B16.F10 cells, inducing effects in vitro and potentially in vivo.
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Introduction

In vivo electroporation or electrotransfer, the application of controlled electric pulses, enhances delivery of plasmid DNA (pDNA) to a wide variety of healthy tissues as well as many tumor types.¹–⁴ Electrotransfer of pDNA encoding therapeutic genes substantially increases gene expression, enhancing subsequent therapeutic effects. This gene delivery technique has reached clinical trials for cancer therapies, cancer vaccines, and infectious disease vaccines.⁵

In studies of cancer therapies in preclinical models, several groups have observed inhibition of tumor growth, increased survival time, and complete tumor regression after intratumor electroporation of oligonucleotides, plasmids devoid of encoded therapeutic genes, or plasmids encoding reporter genes. Antitumor effects have been described in melanomas,⁶–¹² lung carcinomas,¹³,¹⁴ fibrosarcomas,¹⁵ pancreatic carcinomas,¹⁶ mammary tumors,¹⁷ and colorectal carcinomas.¹⁸–²¹ After electroporation of pDNA devoid of a therapeutic gene, increased expression of several proinflammatory cytokine and chemokine proteins, particularly CCL3, CCL4, IL-1β, and IL-6, was observed in B16.F10 melanoma tumors and preceded tumor regression.²² Subsequent tumor-localized inflammation might contribute to the observed tumor regression.²³,²⁴

During the process of electrotransfer, pDNA theoretically enters the cell via endocytosis.²²,²³ This theory was supported in vivo by the observation that the inhibition of endocytosis also inhibits gene expression in skeletal muscle.²⁴ The observations that DNA enters cell via endocytosis during electrotransfer and that proinflammatory molecule expression was upregulated implicated the activation of the endosomal CpG motif DNA binding receptor toll-like receptor 9 (TLR9).²⁵ However, regression was induced by electrotransfer of calf thymus DNA or non-CpG containing control oligonucleotides,¹¹ which are not classic TLR9 ligands.

Electrotransfer also delivers pDNA to the cytosol, which is probably a dead-end pathway with respect to transgene expression.²⁶,²⁷ The presence and activity of several DNA-specific cytosolic pattern recognition receptors, also known as DNA sensors, has been demonstrated in a variety of cell types, including fibroblasts, tumor cells, and immune cells.²⁸–³¹ pDNA electrotransfer may enhance the availability of pDNA to cytosolic DNA sensor binding, inducing the production of proinflammatory cytokine and chemokines, particularly type I interferons.²⁸,²⁹,³¹ Therefore, all cell types residing in the tumor could potentially respond to pDNA electrotransfer. However, the tumor cells themselves are universally present. The purpose of this study was to investigate whether B16.F10 mouse melanoma tumors and cells express cytosolic DNA sensors and whether these sensors respond to pDNA electrotransfer.
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Results
Tumor growth delay and complete tumor regression induced by pDNA electrotransfer of empty vector plasmid is preceded by increased expression of interferon-β

A single intratumor pDNA delivery by electrotransfer produced a significant growth delay in treated tumors (Figure 1a). In this experimental group, doubling time was decreased 3.2-fold; tripling time was decreased 2.8-fold. In addition, pDNA electrotransfer induced complete tumor regression in 1 out of 10 mice (Figure 1a). Hematoxylin & eosin (H&E) staining of tumor sections 6, 20, and 36 hours after pDNA electrotransfer demonstrated a statistically significant increased proportion of necrosis after pDNA electrotransfer at all three time points compared to pDNA injection alone, electrotransfer alone, and in unmanipulated control tumors. The control tumors had approximately 4–6% necrosis, while the proportion of necrosis in the experimental groups increased with time (Figure 1b,e) and reached 84% 20 hours after pDNA electrotransfer. The presence of inflammatory immune cells was observed at the tumor borders in the pDNA electrotransfer group (Supplementary Figure S1). Due to the early onset of necrotic cell death, the proportion of apoptotic cells as indicated by cleaved caspase 3 was evaluated at 6 hours after pDNA electrotransfer. No statistically significant difference in proportion of apoptotic cells between the groups was observed. At 6 hours post-treatment, necrosis was evenly distributed throughout the tumor tissue and no clear sharp boundary was observed between necrotic and apoptotic areas and viable tissue (Figure 1e). These results indicate that necrosis is more likely to occur after pDNA electrotransfer than apoptosis.

Interferon-β (IFNβ) is a marker of cytosolic DNA sensor activation.32–40 The effect of pDNA electrotransfer on intratumoral IFNβ mRNA and protein levels in tumors was determined. Real-time reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) demonstrated that while no change in IFNβ mRNA levels was detected in control tumors, tumors injected with pDNA, or tumors subjected to electrotransfer alone, the combination of pDNA and electrotransfer produced an increase of approximately 13-fold (P < 0.05) (Figure 1c). IFNβ protein levels correlated with the mRNA levels (Figure 1d); an 11-fold increase was observed only in the tumors subjected to the combination of pDNA injection and electrotransfer (P < 0.01).

Tumor mRNA levels for several DNA sensors were determined by real-time RT-PCR 4 hours after the procedure (Table 1). While the all mRNAs tested except RIG-1 (retinoic acid inducible gene upregulation of type I interferon) and p202 were detected in the tumors, none were significantly upregulated in any experimental group. Either pDNA injection or pDNA electrotransfer tended to increase DDX60 (DEAD (Asp-Glu-Ala-Asp) box polypeptide 60) levels, while pDNA electrotransfer alone tended to increase DAI (DNA-dependent activator of interferon regulator factors) and p204 mRNA levels. Notably, the mRNA levels for the endosomal DNA sensor TLR9 did not change.

pDNA electrotransfer directly effects B16.F10 melanoma cells

In vitro experiments were performed to determine if IFNβ was produced by B16.F10 cells subjected to pDNA electrotransfer. An increase in IFNβ mRNA of nearly 60-fold (P < 0.01) was detected in cells subjected to pDNA electrotransfer when compared to pDNA exposure alone, electrotransfer alone, and unmanipulated control cells (Figure 2a). This observation was supported by a fivefold increase in IFNβ promoter activation as measured by a reporter assay (Figure 2b, P < 0.05), indicating that transcriptional upregulation may be partially responsible. A significant increase in IFNβ protein levels was detected in B16.F10 cells by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) (Figure 2c, P < 0.01). Flow cytometry demonstrated that the number of cells expressing IFNβ protein increased nearly sixfold (Figure 2d, P < 0.01) with an increase in median fluorescent intensity of nearly 10-fold (Figure 2e, P < 0.01) after pDNA electrotransfer.

DNA sensor mRNA levels in B16.F10 cells were determined (Table 2). The mRNAs for TLR9 and AIM2 (absent in melanoma 2) were not detected. TLR9 is expressed in immune cells, while AIM2 is not normally expressed in melanoma cells. As with B16.F10 tumors, RIG-1 and p202 mRNAs were not detected. Interestingly, mRNAs for cGAS (cyclic guanosine monophosphate-adenosine monophosphate synthase), DDX41 ( DEAD (Asp-Glu-Ala-Asp) box polypeptide 41), and LRRFIP1 (leucine-rich repeat flightless-interacting protein 1) as well as the CpG motif-specific sensors DHX9 (DexH/D-box helicase family 9) and DHX36 (DexH/D-box helicase family 36) were detected, although they were not upregulated in any group. The mRNAs of three cytosolic DNA sensors significantly increased after pDNA electrotransfer in B16.F10 cells. DAI mRNA was upregulated by approximately 355-fold, DDX60 mRNA was upregulated by more than 6-fold, and p204 mRNA was upregulated by approximately 18-fold. Upregulation of cytosolic DNA sensors after pDNA electrotransfer was confirmed using a different electroporation protocol (EP1). After EP1, similar mRNAs were upregulated as shown in Table 2. Although these pulse protocols demonstrated similar transfection efficiencies (Figure 3b), different levels of upregulation of DAI (P < 0.001) and p204 (P < 0.01) mRNAs were detected. Therefore, transfection efficiency may not relate to DNA sensor upregulation. Furthermore, the upregulation of cytosolic DNA sensors was confirmed also with an alternate plasmid, pEGFP-N1, which encodes enhanced GFP (pEGFP-N1) in another backbone. Electrotransfer of pEGFP-N1 resulted in DDX60 mRNA upregulation by 3.98 ± 1.15, DAI mRNA by 7.88 ± 0.15, and p204 mRNA by 4.46 ± 3.18-fold.

An increase in DDX60 protein detected by two methods confirmed the mRNA results. A sevenfold increase in cells expressing DDX60 (Figure 2f, P < 0.05) and a 10-fold increase in the median fluorescent intensity in these cells was detected by flow cytometric after pDNA electrotransfer (Figure 2g, P < 0.05). On western blots, the DDX60 protein was detected in cell lysate only after pDNA electrotransfer as predicted by the 198kDa band. No DDX60 protein was detected in any other experimental group (Figure 2h).

We next determined if pDNA electrotransfer using different protocols had a direct effect on melanoma cell survival. The exposure of cells to pDNA had no effect on cell survival, while the application of electric pulses alone decreased viability by 80% after EP and 30% after EP1 72 hours post-treatment. A significant pDNA concentration-dependent decrease in cell survival was observed after pDNA electrotransfer using both...
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The mechanisms of cell death were investigated in vitro to confirm our previous findings in vivo. Using a flow cytometric assay, relative changes in annexin V and 7AAD detection are characteristic of apoptotic or necrotic cell death. Electrotransfer of pDNA with EP pulses caused necrotic cell death as indicated by a higher percentage of annexin V and 7AAD-positive cells (Supplementary Figure S2), while apoptosis after pDNA electrotransfer with these pulses was not increased as indicated by no change in percentage of cells positive for annexin V (Supplementary Figure S2). In contrast, electrotransfer of pDNA with EP1 pulses caused predominantly apoptosis, while necrosis was also increased (Figure 3c). These findings indicate that not only plasmid DNA but also pulse parameters are responsible for triggering cell death mechanisms. Moreover, morphological changes confirmed the flow cytometry data; necrosis as well as apoptosis were clearly seen after pDNA electrotransfer than apoptosis. Representative images, red scale bar = 1 mm and black scale bar = 50 μm.

Theoretically, the inhibition of endocytosis could modulate DNA sensor upregulation. As expected, exposure to the general inhibitor of endocytosis MβCD reduced transgene expression from approximately 30% of cells to 3% with reduced fluorescent intensity. MβCD pretreatment increased the levels of DDX60 and IFNβ mRNAs approximately twofold after pDNA electrotransfer (P < 0.05, P < 0.01 respectively), whereas DAI mRNA levels strongly decreased (Tables 2 and 3) (P < 0.05). TLR9 mRNA remained undetectable.

---

Figure 1  Effect of pDNA electrotransfer on tumor growth, necrosis, and expression of IFNβ. Commercially prepared vector plasmid (gWiz Blank) was electrotransferred into palpable B16.F10 melanoma tumors in the flanks of C57Bl/6 mice. Control, no tumor manipulation; EP, 50 μl saline injection followed by the delivery of eight 5 ms pulses with a voltage-to-distance ratio of 800 V/cm with a caliper electrode; pDNA, injection of 50 μl 2 mg/ml gWiz Blank plasmid; EP+pDNA, injection of 50 μl 2 mg/ml gWiz Blank plasmid followed by the delivery of eight 5 ms pulses with a voltage-to-distance ratio of 800 V/cm with a caliper electrode. (a) Tumor growth was monitored as described. A complete response (CR, EP+pDNA CR) was observed in 1 out of 10 mice. Results represent two independent experiments, n = 5 for each experimental group in each experiment, and are expressed as mean ± SEM. (b) Necrosis was significantly increased in EP+pDNA group at all three time points compared to all other experimental groups. *P < 0.05 statistically significantly increased necrosis. (c) IFNβ mRNA levels as measured by real-time RT-PCR, n = 7–8. (d) IFNβ protein levels as measured by ELISA, n = 5–7. (e) Comparison of cleaved caspase-3 and hematoxylin & eosin staining of representative tumors sections 6 hours after pDNA electrotransfer. Results indicating that necrosis is more likely to occur after pDNA electrotransfer than apoptosis. Representative images, red scale bar = 1 mm and black scale bar = 50 μm.
Discussion

The results of our study demonstrate that B16.F10 melanoma tumors secrete IFNβ after electrotransfer of pDNA (plasmid devoid of therapeutic genes) and that necrosis is produced. B16.F10 cells in culture express cytosolic DNA sensors and the expression of DAI, DDX60 and p204 mRNAs, DDX60 protein, and IFNβ mRNA and protein are increased after pDNA electroporation. These changes in mRNA and protein levels are accompanied by DNA concentration-dependent cell death. These effects may be due to the activation of signaling pathways mediated by the upregulated cytosolic DNA sensors.

In this study, a reproducible negative effect on tumor growth was observed using vector pDNA electroporation, confirming previous studies with other pulse protocols.26-29 However, while some tumor regression was observed, in this case the effect manifested itself primarily as a tumor growth delay (Figure 1), which is consistent with the histological observation that 16% of the tumors in vivo and 20% in vitro may retain viability. Although the identical plasmid (gWiz Blank) produced a higher level of complete regressions in a previous study,30 the decreased antitumor effect observed in this study may be due to the differences between the electroporation protocols employed.

Some unknown characteristic of the DNA composition is an important variable in this antitumor effect. Using a similar pulse protocol (ten 5 ms pulses at a voltage-to-distance ratio of 800 V/cm), three deliveries of pUC18 in 1 week produced complete, long-term regression in 70% of mice,7 while three deliveries of gWiz Blank produced complete, long-term regression in only 25% of mice.31 Delivery of calf thymus DNA with the same pulse protocol induces regression in 15% of tumors.31 Clearly, the composition of the DNA itself is an important variable.

This antitumor effect was independent of caspase-3 and tumor necrosis was observed in the treated tumors (Figure 1b,c). A direct cytotoxic effect against melanoma cells subjected to pDNA electroporation in culture was also demonstrated (Figure 3a). Here, the mechanism of cell death was predominantly necrosis as observed in tumors in vivo. Interestingly, the apoptotic cell death of melanoma cells in culture was increased after EP1, which was also described previously in tumors in vivo.47 The level of cell death did not correlate with the transfection efficiency. Although these two electroporation protocols produced similar levels of transgene expression (Figure 3b), subsequent cell death varied greatly (Figure 3a). Therefore, it seems that besides the composition of the DNA itself, the electrical parameters contribute to the mechanism of cell death following the pDNA electroporation.

After pDNA electroporation, increased mRNA levels of several cytosolic DNA sensors associated with cell death were observed. For example, DAI is essential for programmed cell death following the pDNA electrotransfer.26-29 The level of cell death did not correlate with the transfection efficiency. Although these two electroporation protocols produced similar levels of transgene expression (Figure 3b), subsequent cell death varied greatly (Figure 3a). Therefore, it seems that besides the composition of the DNA itself, the electrical parameters contribute to the mechanism of cell death following the pDNA electroporation.

This study, we found that the mRNA of the proinflammatory molecule IFNβ was significantly upregulated in B16.F10 tumors subjected to pDNA electroporation (Figure 1c). Many putative intracellular DNA sensors inducing IFNβ expression have been described, and one of these, an unknown sensor, or an unrelated mechanism might be responsible for the observed inflammatory protein production.28-31 While the mRNAs of several cytosolic sensors and the endosomal sensor TLR9 remained unchanged, the mRNAs of the cytosolic DNA sensors DDX60, p204, and DAI tended to be upregulated in tumors after pDNA electroporation (Table 2). The low transfection efficiency may have masked more pronounced...

Table 1: Fold changes in mRNA levels of DNA sensors in B16.F10 tumors four hours after pDNA electroporation

| Sensor*      | Control | N     | EP  | pDNA | N    | EP+pDNA | N   |
|--------------|---------|-------|-----|------|------|---------|-----|
| AIM2         | 1.08±0.26| 3     | 0.46±0.12 | 3   | 1.24±0.61 | 5    | 1.34±0.28 | 5   |
| cGAS         | 1.09±0.33| 3     | 0.56±0.09 | 3   | 0.95±0.20 | 5    | 2.09±0.47 | 5   |
| DAI          | 1.26±0.50| 3     | 0.58±0.43 | 3   | 1.84±0.08 | 5    | 2.93±0.66 | 5   |
| DDX41        | 1.11±0.35| 3     | 0.91±0.21 | 3   | 1.66±0.50 | 5    | 2.07±0.65 | 5   |
| DDX60        | 1.27±0.24| 11    | 0.82±0.37 | 10  | 3.07±1.24 | 12   | 4.74±1.28 | 13  |
| DHX9         | 1.04±0.20| 3     | 0.80±0.07 | 3   | 1.01±0.14 | 5    | 1.07±0.09 | 5   |
| DHX36        | 1.00±0.02| 3     | 0.79±0.07 | 3   | 0.93±0.11 | 5    | 1.08±0.06 | 5   |
| p202         | ND      | 3     | ND  | 3    | ND  | 5       | ND  |      |
| p204         | 1.02±0.14| 3     | 0.47±0.22 | 3   | 1.52±0.41 | 5    | 3.82±1.06 | 5   |
| LRRFIP1      | 1.03±0.17| 3     | 0.84±0.04 | 3   | 1.26±0.40 | 5    | 0.97±0.10 | 5   |
| RIG-1        | ND      | 3     | ND  | 3    | ND  | 5       | ND  |      |
| TLR9         | 1.07±0.29| 3     | 0.43±0.18 | 3   | 1.35±0.68 | 5    | 2.08±0.46 | 5   |

Control, no tumor manipulation; EP: 50 μl saline injection followed by the delivery of eight 5 ms pulses with a voltage-to-distance ratio of 800 V/cm and frequency 1 Hz with a caliper electrode; pDNA, injection of 50 μl 2 mg/ml gWiz Blank plasmid; EP+pDNA, injection of 50 μl 2 mg/ml gWiz Blank plasmid followed by the delivery of eight 5 ms pulses with a voltage-to-distance ratio of 800 V/cm and frequency 1 Hz with a caliper electrode.

*AIM2, absent in melanoma 2 (refs. 63–65); cGAS, cyclic guanosine monophosphate-adenosine monophosphate synthase40; DAI, DNA-dependent activator of interferon regulatory factor22; DDX41, DEAD (Asp-Glu-Ala-Asp) box polypeptide 41 (ref. 34); DDX60, DEAD (Asp-Glu-Ala-Asp) box polypeptide 60 (ref. 35); DHX9, DEAH (Asp-Glu-Ala-His) box helicase 9 (ref. 66); DHX36, DEAH (Asp-Glu-Ala-His) box helicase 36 (ref. 66); p202 (ref. 67); p204 (ref. 33); LRRFIP1, leucine-rich repeat flightless-interacting protein 1 (ref. 68); RIG-1, retinoic acid inducible gene upregulation of Type I interferon; TLR9, toll-like receptor 9 (ref. 25). Mean±SEM. ND, not detected.
responses, although we demonstrate in vitro that pulse protocols with similar transfection efficiencies (Figure 3b) can correlate to different levels of DNA sensor mRNA upregulation (Table 2). Using similar electrotransfer parameters, more than 50% of B16F1 cells were transfected in vitro, while only 3% of tumor area was transfected in vivo. Another possibility is that this upregulation is masked by the inherent cellular heterogeneity of tumors. All nucleated cells can respond to type I interferons and IFNβ mRNA and protein were significantly upregulated. Immune cells, fibroblasts, and endothelial cells residing in the tumor could exhibit different DNA sensor upregulation, masking the DNA sensors activated in the tumor cells themselves.

In B16.F10 cells in vitro, IFNβ transcription, mRNA levels, and protein levels were significantly elevated after pDNA electrotransfer but not after exposure to pDNA or electrotransfer individually (Figure 3). The mRNAs for DDX60, p204, and DAI were also significantly upregulated (Table 2). Other non-viral transfections also activate these sensors; several groups used lipid transfection reagent in the original descriptions of these DNA sensors and these sensors are activated in other cell types by pDNA electrotransfer, such as C2C12 mouse myoblasts (data not shown). Each of these DNA sensors may induce the production of IFNβ when activated. DDX60 and DAI are exclusively cytosolic; IFI16, the human ortholog of p204, is a nuclear sensor that moves to the cytosol after activation. The interesting observation that p204 mRNA is upregulated after pDNA electrotransfer may reflect the quantity of pDNA that reaches the nucleus. Since pDNA delivery to the nucleus is theoretically driven by endocytosis, this sensor may be activated by any successful electrotransfer protocol to any cell type that expresses it. A significant increase in DDX60 protein confirmed the mRNA upregulation (Figure 2).
The induction of inflammation may also aid in the induction of immune responses to vaccines and can be beneficial in cancer gene therapies based on stimulation of immune responses. However, therapies requiring simple transgene expression may be inhibited when an immune response is activated by DNA electrotransfer.
DNA Sensors Upregulated by DNA Electrotransfer
Znidar et al.

Figure 3 Cell survival, transfection, cell death mechanism and morphology of B16.F10 cells after pDNA electrotransfer. Commercially prepared vector plasmid (gWiz Blank) was electrotransferred into B16.F10 melanoma cells. Control, 40 μl of cells and 10 μl of saline; pDNA 1 mg/ml, 40 μl of cells and 10 μl of 1 mg/ml gWiz Blank plasmid; pDNA 2 mg/ml, 40 μl of cells and 10 μl of 2 mg/ml gWiz Blank plasmid; pDNA 3.5 mg/ml, 40 μl of cells and 10 μl of 3.5 mg/ml gWiz Blank plasmid; EP, 40 μl of cells and 10 μl of saline were electroporated by the delivery of eight 5 ms pulses with a voltage-to-distance ratio of 600 V/cm and frequency 1 Hz with a plate electrode; EP+pDNA 1 mg/ml, 40 μl of cells and 10 μl of 1 mg/ml gWiz Blank plasmid were electroporated as described in EP group; EP+pDNA 2 mg/ml, 40 μl of cells and 10 μl of 2 mg/ml gWiz Blank plasmid were electroporated as described in EP group; EP+pDNA 3.5 mg/ml, 40 μl of cells and 10 μl of 3.5 mg/ml gWiz Blank plasmid were electroporated as described in EP group; EP1, 40 μl of cells and 10 μl of saline were electroporated by the delivery of six 100 μs pulses with a voltage-to-distance ratio of 1300 V/cm and frequency 4 Hz with a plate electrode; EP1+pDNA 1 mg/ml, 40 μl of cells and 10 μl of 1 mg/ml gWiz Blank plasmid were electroporated as described in EP1 group; EP1+pDNA 2 mg/ml, 40 μl of cells and 10 μl of 2 mg/ml gWiz Blank plasmid were electroporated as described in EP1 group; EP1+pDNA 3.5 mg/ml, 40 μl of cells and 10 μl of 3.5 mg/ml gWiz Blank plasmid were electroporated as described in EP1 group. For image B, 40 μl of cells and 10 μl of 2 mg/ml pEGFP-N1 plasmid were electroporated as previously described.

(a) Cell survival was measured 72 hours after electrotransfer and was normalized to the control group. (b) Transfection efficiency of B16.F10 cells after pEGFP-N1 electrotransfer after pDNA delivery with EP and EP1. *P < 0.05, statistically significant difference compared to all control groups and EP only. a.u., arbitrary units. (c) Cell death mechanism as quantified by flow cytometry and (d) cell morphology after pDNA electrotransfer. Blue arrows indicate necrotic cells displaying fragments of cytoplasm and only an outline of the nucleus. Black arrow indicates apoptotic cells with vacuolization of the cytoplasm and formation of apoptotic bodies.

Table 3 Effect of the endocytosis inhibitor MβCD on fold changes in mRNA levels of IFNβ and DNA sensors in B16.F10 tumor cells 4 hours after DNA electrotransfer

|            | Control | EP   | pDNA | EP+pDNA | N    |
|------------|---------|------|------|---------|------|
| IFNβa      | 1.26 ± 0.36 | 0.99 ± 0.29 | 2.78 ± 1.06 | 140.93 ± 56.31 | 10   |
| DNA sensorsa |         |      |      |         |      |
| DAI        | 1.05 ± 0.19 | 1.39 ± 0.99 | 1.10 ± 0.02 | 9.39 ± 2.88 | 6    |
| DDX60      | 1.04 ± 0.12 | 1.39 ± 0.36 | 8.52 ± 2.97 | 15.56 ± 2.21 | 10   |
| TLR9       | ND      | ND   | ND   | ND      | ND   |

All cells were first incubated in MβCD for 30 minutes then divided into four delivery groups. Control, 40 μl of cells and 10 μl of saline; EP, 40 μl of cells and 10 μl of saline were electroporated by the delivery of eight 5 ms pulses with a voltage-to-distance ratio of 600 V/cm and frequency 1 Hz with a plate electrode; pDNA, 40 μl of cells and 10 μl of 2 mg/ml gWiz Blank plasmid; EP+pDNA, 40 μl of cells and 10 μl of 2 mg/ml gWiz Blank plasmid were electroporated by the delivery of eight 5 ms pulses with a voltage-to-distance ratio of 600 V/cm with a plate electrode.

aIFNβ, interferon β. aDAI, DNA-dependent activator of interferon regulatory factor; DDX60, DEAD (Asp-Glu-Ala-Asp) box polypeptide 60; TLR9, toll-like receptor 9. Mean ± SE.

aStatistically significant difference compared to all groups (P < 0.05).

ND, not detected.
is not desirable. To our knowledge, no pDNA electrotransfer gene therapy has been inhibited by the innate immune response. However, this inhibition is a potential concern for certain gene therapies. DNA sensor upregulation and subsequent activation of downstream signaling pathways should be fully understood in order to harness or control it for therapeutic applications.

**Materials and methods**

**Plasmids.** gWiz Blank, an empty vector, and gWiz Luc, which encodes the firefly luciferase gene driven by the CMV promoter, were commercially prepared (Aldevron, Fargo, ND). The promoterless pGL3-Basic (Promega, Madison, WI) and pGL3-IFNβ-prom, which encodes firefly luciferase driven by the mouse IFNβ promoter, were kind gifts of Judith A. Smith. These plasmids and pEGFP-N1 (BD Biosciences Clontech, Palo Alto, CA), which encodes the enhanced green fluorescent protein gene driven by the CMV promoter, were prepared using Qiagen Maxi-Endo-Free Kits according to the manufacturer’s instructions. All plasmids were suspended at 2 μg/μl in physiological saline unless otherwise noted.

**Cells and tumors.** All procedures were approved by the Veterinary Administration of The Ministry of Agriculture and the Environment of the Republic of Slovenia (#34401–12/2009/6). B16F.10 mouse melanoma cells (ATCC CRL-6475, American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, VA) in the exponential growth phase were used in experiments *in vitro* and *in vivo*. For tumor induction, 1 × 10⁶ B16.F10 melanoma cells in 50 μl phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) were injected subcutaneously in the left flank of female 7–8-week-old C57BL/6 mice (Envigo, Udine, Italy). Tumors were allowed to grow approximately 8 days to a mean tumor diameter of 4 mm before experiments were performed.

**In vivo DNA electrotransfer.** Mice were anesthetized using a mixture of 2.5% isoflurane and 97.5% O₂. Tumors were injected with 50 μl of pDNA solution and eight square electric pulses with a voltage-to-distance ratio of 800 V/cm, a pulse duration of 5 ms, and a frequency 1 Hz were delivered through two parallel stainless steel electrodes using an Electro Cell B10 electric pulse generator (LEROY biotech, L’Union, France). Tumors were measured twice to three times weekly using a digital caliper. Tumor volume was calculated by the formula \( V = \frac{a^2 \pi b}{6} \), where \( a \) is the longest diameter, and \( b \) is the next longest diameter perpendicular to \( a \). Mice were humanely euthanized when the tumor volume reached 1,000 mm³ or when the animal’s behavior indicated discomfort. Animals with tumors in regression were followed up to 100 days and were considered to be in complete regression if no tumor regrowth was observed during this time. For postmortem tumor analysis, mice were humanely sacrificed, tumors removed, and snap frozen on dry ice.

**Real-time reverse transcription PCR.** RNA was extracted from tumors or cells 4 hours after electrotransfer using Trizol Reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) then purified using RNeasy columns (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). After extraction, 250 ng of total RNA was transcribed into cDNA using the SuperScript VILO cDNA Synthesis Kit (Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). According to manufacturer’s instructions and diluted 10-fold. Messenger RNA was quantified on a CFX96 Real Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) or a Primus 25 advanced thermal cycler (Peglab, Erlangen, Germany) using custom primers (Integrated DNA Technologies, Coralville, IA) in SYBR Green Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Thermo Fisher Scientific Waltham, MA). See Supplementary Table S1 for primer sequences. Spleen RNA acted as a positive control. Relative quantification was performed by comparison to the housekeeping genes β-actin and glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase using the \( \Delta \Delta Ct \) method.

**Protein quantification by ELISA.** Tumors were homogenized in PBS containing protease inhibitors and homogenates were centrifuged to remove cell debris. Bicinchoninic acid (BCA) assays (Pierce Biotechnology, Rockford, IL) were performed and samples normalized to 1 mg/ml total protein. ELISAs were performed on the normalized homogenates per manufacturer’s instructions (PBL Assay Science, Piscataway, NJ).

**Immunohistochemistry.** Histological analysis was performed at three different time points, 6, 20, and 36 hours. Three mice from each experimental group were sacrificed in two independent experiments. The tumors were excised at selected time point, fixed in immunohistochemistry (IHC) zinc fixative (BD Pharmingen, BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA) overnight, and embedded in paraffin. Consecutive 2-μm thick tumor sections were cut from each paraffin block. The first section of each tumor sample was stained with hematoxylin & eosin (H&E) and analyzed. Based on H&E staining, the appropriate time point for analysis of apoptosis was determined and following tumor sections were stained with rabbit monoclonal antibodies against cleaved caspase-3 (Ca-3, Cell signaling Technology, Danvers, MA) or a peroxidase-conjugated streptavidin–biotin system (Rabbit-specific HRP/DAB detection IHC kit, ab64261, Abcam, Cambridge, England, UK) was used as a colorogenic reagent followed by hematoxylin counterstaining. The images of stained tumor sections were captured with a DP72 CCD camera connected to a BX-51 microscope (Olympus, Hamburg, Germany). Whole tumor sections were captured at ×4 magnification for H&E staining and 5 images at x60 magnification for Ca-3 staining. The percentage of tumor necrosis and the number of Ca-3-positive cells were determined by two independent observers.

**In vitro DNA electrotransfer.** Trypsinized B16.F10 mouse melanoma cells were suspended in electroporation buffer and pDNA added as described previously. Aliquots were transferred to cuvettes or pipetted between 2 mm gap steel electrodes. Two different pulse types were used, eight square wave electric pulses at an amplitude over distance ratio 600 V/cm, a pulse duration of 5 ms, and a frequency 1 Hz (EP), and six square wave electric pulses at an amplitude over distance ratio 1,300 V/cm, a pulse duration of 100 μs, and a frequency of 4 Hz (EP₁) electric pulses were generated by an in-house built electroporator (GT-01, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, University of Ljubljana, Slovenia) or with a T820 Electrosquare porator (BTX Molecular Delivery...
β-transcription assay. Cells were transfected by DNA electrotransfer with pGL3-Basic, pGL3-IFNβ-prom, or gWiz Luc. After transfection, 2.5×10^6 cells in 100 μl medium per well were incubated 16 hours in white opaque 96-well plates. The medium was replaced with fresh medium containing 250 μg/ml luciferin and luciferase expression was immediately quantified (Lumistar Omega, BMG Labtech, Cary, NC). The medium was again replaced with 100 μl medium containing PrestoBlue (Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific), incubated for 2 hours, and viability quantified as determined by reducing ability (Gemini XPS, Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA). Luciferase expression was normalized to viability.

Protein detection by flow cytometry. Immunofluorescence staining and subsequent flow cytometry analysis was performed for protein level quantification. Cells were collected from 24-well ultra-low attachment plates 6 hours after electroporation. Cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (Alfa Aesar, A Johnson Matthey Company, Ward Hill, MA) for 15 minutes, permeabilized with 0.5% Tween 20 (TWEEN 20, Sigma-Aldrich, Steinheim, DE) for 10 minutes and incubated in 10% donkey serum (Sigma-Aldrich) for 30 minutes. Samples were incubated overnight at 4 °C with primary rabbit anti-mouse polyclonal antibodies (Anti-DDX60, ab139807, Abcam, dilution 1:100). Donkey anti-rabbit secondary antibodies (Cy3 AffiniPure Donkey Anti-Rabbit IgG (H+L), 711-165-152, Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, West Grove, PA, dilution 1:150) were added and incubated for 1 hour. Cells were washed with PBS between each step. Measurements were performed with FACSCanto II flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA) with appropriate filters (excitation: 488 nm, emission: 530 nm). A histogram of cells gated to eliminate debris against their fluorescence intensity was recorded and the number of fluorescent cells and their median fluorescence intensity were determined (software: BD FACSDiva V6.1.2, BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA).

Protein detection by western blot. After performing assays at 4, 6, 9, and 20 hours after pDNA electroporation, the highest expression of DDX60 was observed after 9 hours. Cells were collected and washed with ice-cold PBS from 24-well ultra-low attachment plates 9 hours after electroporation or trypsinized from 6 cm Petri-dish plate 20 hours after electroporation. Cell lysis was performed in radioimmuno precipitation assay lysis buffer supplemented with protease and phosphatase inhibitors (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA) and incubated on ice for 30 minutes with constant mixing. Whole-cell extracts were centrifuged and supernatants were collected. BCA assays (Pierce Biotechnology, Rockford, IL) were used for protein concentrations determination. A total of 25 μg of total proteins from each sample was separated on NuPAGE 3–8% Tris-Acetate Midi-Gels for 35 minutes at 200 V using NuPAGE Tris Acetate Sample Buffer and dry transferred to a polyvinylidene fluoride membrane using iBlot Gel Transfer Stack (Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham). The membranes were blocked with 5% low-fat dry milk (Pomurske me karne, Murska Sobota, Slovenia) in Tris-buffered saline (pH 7.4) containing 0.1% Tween-20 for 2 hours at room temperature and then incubated with primary rabbit anti-mouse polyclonal antibodies, as listed in the section Protein detection by flow cytometry and β-actin (ab 75186, Abcam, dilution 1:1,000) as a loading control overnight at 4 °C. The next day washed membranes were incubated with horseradish peroxide-conjugated donkey anti-rabbit secondary antibody for 45 minutes at room temperature. Protein bands were detected with Image Quant LAS 4000 (GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, UK) after 5 minutes incubation of the membrane in SuperSignal West Pico Chemiluminescent Substrate (Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Cell survival assay. After electroporation of 1, 2, and 3.5 mg/ml gWiz Blank plasmid, 1×10^3 cells were cultured in 100 μl of medium in 96-well plates and incubated for 72 hours. Fresh medium containing Presto Blue (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) was added to the cells and the fluorescence intensity was measured by microplate reader (Infinite 200, Tecan, Männedorf, Switzerland) 30 minutes thereafter. Cell viability was normalized to control group.

Proliferation assay. After electroporation, cells were cultured in 6 cm Petri dishes for 16 hours to recover. Viable B16F10 cells (2.5×10^5) were cultured in 100 μl of medium in 96-well plates for the proliferation assay. A Presto Blue assay was performed 2, 48, and 96 hours after viable cell culture according to manufacturer’s instructions. Cell proliferation in each experimental group was normalized to day 0 for that group. A second normalization to the untreated control group at day 4 was performed to determine percentage of reduced proliferation.

Determination of cell death mechanism. Cell death mechanisms were determined 20 hours after pDNA electrotransfer using a FITC Annexin V Apoptosis Detection Kit with 7-AAD (7-amino-actinomycin D, BioLegend, San Diego, CA) according to manufacturer’s instructions. A FACSCanto II flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA), with a 488-nm laser (air-cooled, 20 mW solid state) was used for the excitation and both 530 and 650-nm band-pass filter were used for detection of green and red fluorescence.

Cell morphology. For morphological observation of cells, cytopsins were prepared. For each sample, a labeled slide, chamber and blotter were prepared and assembled. Six hours after pDNA electrotransfer, 80 μl of 1×10^3 B16.F10 cells were added to a slide chamber and spun at 1,000 rpm for 4 minutes in a cytocentrifuge (Cytospin 2, Thermo Shandon, Runcorn, UK). The slides were air dried then stained with Giemsa’s Azure methylene blue solution (Merck, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Cell images were captured with a DP72 CCD camera connected to a BX-51 microscope.

Endocytosis inhibition. Cells were trypsinized and counted. 4.5×10^6 B16.F10 cells were incubated 30 minutes in 3.75 ml of medium containing 7.5 mmol/l methyl-β-cyclodextrin (MβCD). Fifteen milliliters of electroporation buffer were added then cells were centrifuged and resuspended in 44 μl
of ice-cold electroporation buffer. 11 μl of 2 µg/μl gWiz Blank were added. 50 µl of the mixture was electroporated and total RNA was extracted for further analysis as described above.

Transfection efficiency. One day after pDNA electroporation, transfection efficiency was determined by fluorescent microscopy and quantified by flow cytometry. Three different observation fields of bright-field and fluorescent (exposure 400 ms) images of the cells were captured at ×100 objective magnification with Olympus IX-70 (Hamburg, Germany) and appropriate filters (excitation: 460–490 nm, emission: 505 nm). The same samples were later trypsinized and resuspended in 400 µl of phosphate-buffered saline for flow cytometry analysis. Flow cytometry was performed as previously described.

Statistical analysis. For graphical representation and statistical analysis, SigmaPlot Software (Systat Software, Chicago, IL) was used. The data were first tested for normality of distribution with the Shapiro-Wilk test. The differences between the experimental groups were statistically evaluated by one-way analysis of variance followed by a Holm-Sidak test for multiple comparison. A P value of less than 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Supplementary material
Figure S1. The presence of inflammatory immune cells in melanoma tumor after pDNA electroporation.
Figure S2. Cell death mechanisms asdetemined by flow cytometry(FITC Annexin V Apoptosis Detection Kit with 7-AAD, BioLegend, San Diego, CA, USA).
Table S1. Primers used in this study.
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