Bioinformatic identification of candidate biomarkers and related transcription factors in nasopharyngeal carcinoma
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Abstract

Background: The incidence of nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC) is rare, but a certain amount of mortality remains in NPC patients. Our study aimed to identify candidate genes as biomarkers for NPC screening, diagnosis, and therapy.

Methods: We investigated two microarray profile datasets GSE64634 and GSE12452 to screen the potential differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in NPC. Gene ontology and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway enrichment analysis of the DEGs were also performed. A protein-protein interaction (PPI) network of DEGs was constructed by STRING and visualized by Cytoscape software. The associated transcriptional factor regulatory network of the DEGs was also constructed.

Results: A total of 152 DEGs were identified from the GSE64634 and GSE12452 datasets, including 10 upregulated and 142 downregulated genes. Gene functional enrichment analysis indicated that these DEGs were enriched in the cilium movement, antimicrobial humoral response, O-glycan processing, mucosal immune response, carbohydrate transmembrane transporter activity, hormone biosynthetic process, neurotransmitter biosynthetic process, and drug metabolism-cytochrome P450 pathway. Five hub genes (DNALI1, RSPH4A, RSPH9, DNAI2, and ALDH3A1) and one significant module (score = 5.6) were obtained from the PPI network. Key transcriptional factors, such as SPI1, SIN3B, and GATA2, were identified with close interactions with these five hub DEGs from the gene-transcriptional factor network.

Conclusions: With the integrated bioinformatic analysis, numerous DEGs related to NPC were screened, and the hub DEGs identified may be potential biomarkers for NPC.
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Background

Nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC) is the most metastatic cancer of the head and neck that possesses a unique geographical distribution and ethnic populations. There were an estimated 129,000 new cases and 73,000 deaths in 2018, with approximately 92% of new cases occurring in less economically developed countries [1]. Compared with other cancer types, NPC is characterized with several well-defined populations, with the highest incidence taking place in Southeast Asia, Micronesia/Polynesia, Eastern Asia, and Northern Africa, but rarely been reported in Americas and Europe [2]. Except for the implication of environmental factors in the etiology of NPC, Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) has been proved to be a convincing risk factor for the pathogenesis of NPC [3, 4]. Intensity-modulated radiation therapy (IMRT) is the primary treatment for NPC and has favorable outcomes with nearly 80–90% curative rate in the early stage, and the overall 5-year survival rate for all NPC stages ranges from 50 to 70% [5]. Nevertheless, more than 70% of
patients with NPC are diagnosed with advanced stage disease, and almost half of these patients experience poor prognosis [6]. Moreover, distant metastasis is the typical characteristic for NPC and the tumor is often found to metastasize to lymph nodes as well as to distant organs such as the brain and lung by the time of diagnosis, which is still the main cause of treatment failure [7]. Furthermore, therapeutic resistance and toxic effects also pose serious threats to the prognosis of NPC.

With the rapid development of gene chip and RNA sequencing technologies, bioinformatic analysis plays a significant role in screening candidate biomarkers for various diseases especially cancers [8]. Bioinformatics provides novel clues and core data for identifying reliable and functional differentially expressed genes (DEGs), microRNAs (miRNAs), circular RNAs (circRNAs), and long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs). Emerging evidence also demonstrates the critical role of bioinformatic analysis for precise screening, prompt diagnosis, and molecular-targeted treatment in various types of cancers [9–11]. Great effort also has been put into the screening biomarkers for the diagnosis of NPC, such as EBV DNA and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), but other candidate biomarkers with the ability to predict tumor progressions still need to be identified to guide clinical treatment for NPC patients.

In the present study, the DEGs between normal nasopharyngeal tissues and nasopharyngeal carcinoma tissues were screened from the microarray expression profiles based on the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database. Gene ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway enrichment analysis were also performed for the functional analysis of DEGs. A protein-protein interaction (PPI) network of DEGs was constructed by STRING and visualized by Cytoscape software. Furthermore, a gene-transcriptional factor (TFs) regulation network of hub DEGs was constructed to assess the interactions between the TFs and hub DEGs. Based on the bioinformatic analyses, our study is expected to provide novel insights into the NPC and to identify NPC-associated DEGs as potential biomarkers for disease prognosis.

Materials and methods

Microarray data information

The GEO database, which is a public functional genomics data repository including array- and sequence-based data of gene profiles and next-generation sequencing, was applied for the NPC mRNA expression profiling studies. The keyword “nasopharyngeal carcinoma” was inputted to search for the suitable dataset, and we only selected the original microarray studies that analyzed mRNA expression profiling between normal nasopharyngeal tissues and NPC tissues in Homo sapiens. Two mRNA microarray datasets GSE64634 and GSE12452 were selected from the GEO database. The GSE64634 dataset was based on the GPL570 platforms (HG-U133_Plus_2 Affymetrix Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array) and included 4 normal nasopharyngeal tissues and 12 nasopharyngeal carcinoma tissues. The GSE12452 dataset was based on the GPL570 platforms and included 10 normal nasopharyngeal tissues and 31 nasopharyngeal carcinoma tissues.

Data processing and DEG identification

The raw microarray data of the two datasets downloaded from the GEO database were processed by the online tool GEO2R (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/geo2r) to identify genes that are differentially expressed between normal nasopharyngeal tissues and nasopharyngeal carcinoma tissues. The results are presented as a table of genes ordered by significance (Table 1), and a |log fold change (FC)| > 2.0 and P value < 0.05 were further conducted as the cutoff criteria for the DEG screening. Subsequently, the overlapping DEGs between GSE64634 and GSE12452 were identified based on the online tool Venny 2.1.0 (http://bioinfoogg.cnb.csic.es/tools/venny/index.html). The heat-map of DEGs was drawn by the web-based Morpheus software (https://software.broadinstitute.org/morpheus/).

Gene functional and pathway enrichment analysis of DEGs

The Metascape (http://metascape.org/) is an online analytical tool used to extract comprehensive biological information associated with large candidate gene lists. It can not only provide the typical gene-term enrichment analysis, but also visualize genes-term relationships, search for interesting and related genes or terms, and dynamically view genes from their lists on biological functions, pathways, and more. GO analysis and KEGG pathway analysis of the screened DEGs were carried out based on the Metascape tool. P value < 0.01 was set as the cutoff criterion, and significance was ranked by enrichment score (−log 10 (P value)).

PPI network construction and identification of hub genes

The search tool for the retrieval of interacting genes (STRING, http://string-db.org) is a web-based resource for evaluation of the comprehensive information of the proteins and prediction of PPI for the input gene list. In this study, STRING was employed to gain information for the PPI of the DEGs, and each PPI pair with a reliability threshold of a combined score of > 0.4 was selected as significant interaction pair. Then, according to the interaction pair information, the PPI network was constructed and visualized by Cytoscape software (version 3.4.0, http://www.cytoscape.org/). The Cytoscape plug-in Network Analyzer was used for further analysis, and the topological properties of the PPI network, node degree, were calculated to search for
hub genes from the PPI network. Subsequently, Molecular Complex Detection (MCODE) analysis in Cytoscape was performed to screen the significant modules of PPI network with node score cutoff = 0.2, K-Core = 2, and degree cutoff = 2 set as the cutoff parameters.

Transcriptional factor regulatory network of hub genes
NetworkAnalyst (http://www.networkanalyst.ca/faces/home.xhtml) is a comprehensive web-based tool for network-based visual analytics of gene expression profiling, meta-analysis, and interpretation. It can support the integrative analysis of TF-gene interactions for the input genes and assess the effect of the TF on the expression and functional pathways of the hub gene. In this study, the TFs of the hub genes were predicted from this database and a gene-TF regulatory network was constructed and visualized by the Cytoscape software.

Results
Identification of the DEGs of NPC
The microarray datasets of GSE64634 and GSE12452 selected from the GEO public database were uploaded to the GEO2R web-based tool to screen DEGs between normal nasopharyngeal tissues and NPC tissues. The volcano plots of DEGs were shown in Fig. 1. Each colored dot represents an up- or downregulated gene, where blue indicates genes with low levels of expression, red indicates genes with high levels of expression, and gray indicates genes with no differential expression based on the criteria of \( P \text{ value} < 0.05 \) and \(|\log \text{FC}| > 2.0\). A total of 452 DEGs were identified from GSE64634, including 69 upregulated

| DEGs                  | Genes symbol                      |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Upregulated (10)      | FN1, CXCL10, LAMB1, LHX2, CHI3L1, ZIC2, TNFAIP6, GAD1, NUF2, PARPB3     |
| Downregulated (142)   | SCNN1A, CCDC173, AGR2, GSTA3, FMAD3, PRSS23, UBXN10, SLCA2A10, LRRIC08 NMES, LOC100653057, CFA0P3, C0orf116, TPPP, LOC101930373, DNAH9, ADGB, CCDC181, ZMYND10, OSCP1, SPATA17, KCNQ3, CDHR3, CCDC96, CFA0P70, ABCA3, MFSD4A, TMEM458, IQCD, TMCS, NQO1, LHRSC4, DUOX1, WWA3B, NEXK, CXCL17, CAPS2, IQCA1, RSFPH9, CAPS, TCC9, LSC4A4, M54A8, AKAP14, ADH1C, RBBM24, ARMC4, ADGRF1, AK7, MDH1B, TMEM231, C11orf97, ARMC3, CFAP45, CATSPERD, C2orf40, SCR782, PACRG, DNA12, CHL1, WFDC2, SPA6G6, MORSN5, CCDC190, PIPO, CLU, TEKT1, MUC5AC, DNAJ4A, DHR39, CLDN23, CDH26, C0orf22, CFAP1D6, TTC29, ST6GALNAC1, CLDN10, MUC1, FAM21B, TMEM190, LRRIC46, MIR675, RSPH4A, LOC101928817, AKR1C3, LOC101927416, F3, SERPINB3, ZBBX, SLC6A14, TCC25, RPN1L, DNL111, BPIFB1, TMEM522, CASC1, RRAD, SCIN, SUSD2, CFAP43, TSPAN1, SPEL, ALDH3A1, C11orf70, CAPS3, DNAH12, CHST9, CIL6, TPRP3, KCN1, CCDC113, CYP4B1, MLC16, CEACAM5, MSMB, SCGB1A1, DYNLRB2, ERICH3, UPK1B, MUCA, LCN2, C0orf35, CFAP52, C7orf57, CEACAM6, S100P, LOC101927057, EFH8, PABG1, FAM81B, AGR3, PIGR, C0orf24, LTFT, C11orf33, GSTA1, AQP3, CDC208, C20orf85, SNTN, CES1 |

Table 1 One hundred fifty-two differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were screened from GSE64634 and GSE12452 microarrays for nasopharyngeal carcinoma

Fig. 1 The volcano plots of DEGs in GSE64634 (a) and GSE12452 (b) microarrays. Red indicates genes with high levels of expression, blue indicates genes with low levels of expression, and gray indicates genes with no differential expression based on the criteria of \( P \text{ value} < 0.05 \) and \(|\log \text{FC}| > 2.0\), respectively.
and 383 downregulated genes. And a total of 307 DEGs were identified from GSE12452, including 58 upregulated and 307 downregulated genes. DEG expression heatmaps of the top 50 significant genes from GSE64634 and GSE12452 were respectively depicted in Fig. 2, and hierarchical clustering analysis showed that DEGs differed in normal nasopharyngeal and nasopharyngeal carcinoma samples. With the online tool Venny for the integrated analysis, a total of 152 genes were overlapped between GSE64634 and GSE12452, including 10 upregulated and 142 downregulated genes (Fig. 3). The results are also presented as a table of genes ordered by significance (Table 1). These 152 overlapping genes were confirmed as candidate DEGs and employed for further analysis.

**Functional and pathway enrichment analysis of DEGs**

The GO functions and KEGG pathway enrichment analysis of candidate DEGs were performed based on the Metascape database. Terms or pathways with $P$ value < 0.01, min overlap genes = 3, and min enrichment factor > 1.5 were set as the cutoff criteria. As shown in Fig. 4a, there were 18 terms and 1 pathway involved in the DEG enrichment analysis, and it indicated that these DEGs were mainly enriched in cilium, cilium movement, ciliary part, apical plasma membrane, antimicrobial humoral response, retinol dehydrogenase activity, O-glycan processing, mucosal immune response, carbohydrate transmembrane transporter activity, hormone biosynthetic process, neurotransmitter biosynthetic process, etc. Furthermore, these enriched terms were closely connected with each other and clustered into intact networks (Fig. 4b). KEGG pathway enrichment analysis was also displayed in Fig. 4. One significantly enriched pathway, drug metabolism-cytochrome P450, was identified with correlation with DEGs.

**PPI network construction and hub genes identification**

The candidate DEGs were uploaded into the online tool STRING to gain PPI information, and the result was presented as a PPI network visualized by Cytoscape (Fig. 5a). A total of 64 DEGs from 152 candidate DEGs were filtered into the network consisting of 87 interaction pairs among these 64 nodes. According to the the network topology parameters like connectivity degree, betweenness centrality, and closeness centrality, dynein axonemal light intermediate chain 1 (DNAL11; degree = 10, betweenness centrality = 0.506, closeness centrality = 0.221), radial spoke head component 4A (RSPH4A; degree = 8, betweenness centrality = 0.080, closeness centrality = 0.192), radial spoke head 9 homolog (RSPH9; degree = 8, betweenness centrality = 0.069, closeness centrality = 0.192), dynein axonemal intermediate chain 2 (DNAI2; degree = 7, betweenness centrality = 0.043,
Fig. 3 Venn diagram of 152 DEGs from the microarray datasets of GSE64634 and GSE12452

Fig. 4 Functional and pathway enrichment analysis of DEGs. a GO terms and KEGG pathway were presented, and each band represents one enriched term or pathway colored according to the $-\log_{10} P$ value. b Network of the enriched terms and pathways. Nodes represent enriched terms or pathways with node size indicating the number of DEGs involved in. Nodes sharing the same cluster are typically close to each other, and the thicker the edge displayed, the higher the similarity is.
closeness centrality = 0.191), and aldehyde dehydrogenase 3 family member A1 (ALDH3A1; degree = 6, betweenness centrality = 0.554, closeness centrality = 0.264) were selected as hub DEG genes from the network.

**Module analysis**

Four significant models were obtained from the PPI network based on the MCODE analysis in Cytoscape. We chose the most significant module (MCODE score = 5.6) for further analysis (Fig. 5b). This module consisted of 6 nodes (DNAL11, RSPH4A, RSPH9, DNAI2, SPAG6, and DNAH9) and 14 edges, and 4 of these genes were the hub DEGs we identified. Functional enrichment analysis showed that this PPI module was significantly enriched in axoneme, axoneme assembly, cilium movement, 9 + 2 motile cilium, and microtubule (Fig. 6).

**Transcriptional factor regulatory network analysis of hub genes**

For the 5 hub genes we identified, a gene-TF regulatory network was constructed including 57 interaction pairs among 5 genes and 45 TFs (Fig. 7). While DNAL11 was found to be regulated by 11 TFs, RSPH4A was regulated by 8 TFs, RSPH9 was regulated by 9 TFs, DNAI2 was regulated by 11 TFs, and ALDH3A1 was regulated by 18 TFs. In addition, various TFs were found to regulate more than one hub gene, and eight TFs were identified with a connectivity degree ≥ 2 in the gene-TF regulatory network, which means that these TFs have close interactions with these hub DEGs (Table 2). For example, spleen focus forming virus proviral integration oncogene (SPI1) was predicted to regulate both DNAL11, DNAI2, RSPH4A, and RSPH9; SIN3 transcription regulator family member B (SIN3B) was found to regulate ALDH3A1, DNAI2, and RSPH4A; and GATA binding protein 2 (GATA2) was predicted to regulate DNAL11, DNAI2, and RSPH9.

**Discussion**

The steady improvements in disease control, survival rate, and progressive decline in the incidence of NPC have been witnessed over the past decade, but there is still a certain amount of mortality taken place in NPC patients. Moreover, the adverse effects of radiotherapy and drug toxicity or resistance to chemotherapy also are risk factors for the NPC prognosis. Considering these,
increasing efforts have been made to develop personalized and sensitive treatments for NPC patient therapy [12, 13]. Precision therapy and prognosis relied on validated biomarkers with enhanced ability to screen, diagnose, and monitor tumors. Based on this, gene chip, RNA sequencing, and bioinformatic analysis have come into sight and offered a comprehensive screening of tumor biomarkers as well as a method for elucidating the underlying role of detailed biomarkers in the pathology of cancers. Over the past decade, novel approaches, such as gene therapy and molecular-targeted therapeutics, relying on scientific knowledge from the bioinformatics of cancers, have contributed to remarkable achievements and clinical benefits [14–17]. Various potential RNA and protein biomarkers have emerged based on these technologies. In this study, bioinformatic
Some earlier studies also involved bioinformatic analysis for NPC to identify candidate genes as potential biomarkers and predict progression for NPC. Chen’s research based on two gene expression profiles (GSE12452 and GSE13597) identified 179 upregulated and 238 downregulated genes. Five hub DEGs (DNALI1, RSPH4A, RSPH9, DNAI2, and ALDH3A1) were obtained from the PPI network according to the network topology parameters. Furthermore, one significant module with an MCODE score = 5.6 was screened from the PPI network, consisting of four hub DEGs and SPAG6 and Dnah9.

Table 2 The transcription factors (TFs) of hub genes

| TFs | Genes       | Count |
|-----|-------------|-------|
| SPI1| DNALI1, DNAI2, RSPH4A, RSPH9 | 4     |
| SINEB| ALDH3A1, DNAI2, RSPH4A | 3     |
| GATA2| DNALI1, DNAI2, RSPH9 | 3     |
| CREB1| ALDH3A1, DNAI2 | 2     |
| FOXP1| ALDH3A1, RSPH9 | 2     |
| MTF | DNAI2, RSPH9 | 2     |
| SALL4 | RSPH4A, RSPH9 | 2     |
| SRY | ALDH3A1, RSPH9 | 2     |

analysis was performed for NPC, and DEGs were screened from the microarray expression profiles of GSE64634 and GSE12452 from GEO database. A total of 152 DEGs were identified from the datasets, including 10 upregulated and 142 downregulated genes. Five hub DEGs (DNALI1, RSPH4A, RSPH9, DNAI2, and ALDH3A1) were suggested to have key correlations with NPC. Consequently, hub DEGs including DNALI1, RSPH4A, RSPH9, DNAI2, and ALDH3A1 were suggested to have key correlations with NPC.

For these DEGs, KEGG pathway analysis in the current study shown that they were enriched in drug metabolism-cytochrome P450. Cytochrome P450 (CYP450) enzymes are important for drug metabolism function in the body, and CYP450-mediated drug metabolism in cancers is crucial for cancer therapy [28]. CYP450 are implicated in phase I metabolism of 80% of drugs currently in use, including anticancer drugs, some drugs like ifosfamide and dacarbazine can be metabolized by specific CYP450 enzymes to produce intermediates with anticancer activity and some drugs like adriamycin and etoposide with anticancer effect can be metabolized with CYP450 to enhance their anticancer activity [29]. Furthermore, CYP450 polymorphism was also found to be significantly associated with NPC susceptibility and might be a risk factor for NPC in some researches [30, 31]. Hence, the DEGs we identified involving in drug metabolism-cytochrome P450 pathway indicated that these genes might affect the activity of CYP450...
enzymes as well as involved in the CYP450-mediated drug metabolism in NPC.

TFs are regulators of gene expression that are critically associated with the development and progression of human cancers. In the current study, we also identified some TFs with close interactions with hub DEGs. SPI1 (or PU.1) is a member of the Ets family that is important in the regulation of hematopoiesis. Initial studies also indicate that SPI1 is a putative oncogene and has a key relationship with leukemias [32]. SIN3B serves as a scaffold for chromatin-modifying complexes that have recently been implicated in the pathogenesis of cancers. However, the function of SIN3 as a tumor suppressor or oncogene is open for debate [33]. GATA2 has critical functions in the hematopoietic system, and the mutation of GATA2 could result in hematopoietic and immune defects, leading to the occurrence of acute myelocytic leukemia. Besides, GATA2 is a pioneer transcription factor for androgen receptor (AR) in prostate cancer, and increased GATA2 and its AR-independent transactivation of IGF2 could mediate taxane resistance through the activation of IGF1/insulin receptor signaling [34].

Our results revealed that these TFs formed a connected regulatory network with hub DEGs, thus suggested that the dynamic changes in these TF activities occur in NPC cells may play important roles in regulating the expression and function of hub DEGs associated with the occurrence and progression of NPC.

The bioinformatic analysis performed in current research focused on two datasets with a total of 14 normal nasopharyngeal samples and 43 NPC samples. As a result, the sample size was limited, and high-quality studies with larger sample sizes would be included to support this study in future research. EBV is a pivotal risk factor for the pathogenesis of NPC, and most of the NPC cases are invariably associated with EBV infection. In the current study, the tumor samples included from the datasets we applied were not divided into NPC with or without EBV, so we could not elucidate the relationship between NPC with or without EBV and also could not make a comment on the molecular difference in two types of NPC. It also seems that the number of the DEGs we identified (152 DEGs) was too many, although they were differentially expressed between normal and tumor tissues, but whether all of them could be biomarkers is subject to confirmation.

Conclusion
In conclusion, a total of 152 DEGs including 10 upregulated and 142 downregulated genes were identified from the microarray expression profiles of GSE64634 and GSE12452. Gene functional enrichment analysis indicated that these DEGs were mostly enriched in cilia movement, antimicrobial humoral response, O-glycan processing, mucosal immune response, hormone and neurotransmitter biosynthetic process, and drug metabolism-cytochrome P450 pathway. Five hub genes (DNALI1, RSPH4A, RSPH9, DNA12, and ALDH3A1) and one significant module (DNALI1, RSPH4A, RSPH9, DNA12, SPAG6, and DNAH9; MCODE score = 5.6) were obtained from the PPI network. Key TFs, such as SPI1, SIN3B, and GATA2 were also identified with close interactions with these five hub DEGs from the gene-TF network. With the integrated bioinformatic analysis, we identified the candidate DEGs of NPC and their functional and pathway enrichment as well as PPI; gene-TF networks were also clearly analyzed which indicated these hub DEGs may be potential biomarkers for NPC. However, since the sample size is limited, further studies are also required to confirm the expression and function of the identified hub DEGs in NPC.
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