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Abstract: The United Kingdom’s CD4 surveillance scheme monitors CD4 cell counts among HIV patients and is a national resource for HIV surveillance. It has driven public health policy and allowed auditing of national HIV testing, treatment and care guidelines.

We demonstrate its utility through four example outputs: median CD4 count at HIV diagnosis; late HIV diagnosis and short-term mortality; the timing of first CD4 count to indicate entry into HIV care; and the proportion of patients with CD4 counts <350 cells/mm³ receiving anti-retroviral therapy (ARV).

In 2009, 95% (61,502/64,420) of adults living with diagnosed HIV infection had CD4 counts available. The median CD4 count at diagnosis increased from 276 to 335 cells/mm³ between 2000 and 2009, indicating modest improvements in HIV testing. In 2009, 52% of patients were diagnosed at a late stage of HIV infection (CD4 <350 cells/mm³); these individuals had a ten-fold risk of dying within a year of their diagnosis compared to those diagnosed promptly. In 2008, the national target of performing a CD4 count within 14 days of diagnosis was met for 61% of patients. National treatment guidelines have largely been met with 83% patients with CD4 <350 cells/mm³ receiving ARV.

The monitoring of CD4 counts is critical to HIV surveillance in the United Kingdom enabling the close monitoring of efforts to reduce morbidity and mortality associated with late diagnosis and underpins the auditing of policies and guidelines. These routine surveillance outputs can be generated at national and local levels to drive and monitor public health policy and prevention efforts.
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INTRODUCTION

The monitoring of CD4+ T-lymphocyte cells (CD4 cells) is a central component of the clinical care provided to people living with a diagnosed HIV infection. Without antiretroviral therapy (ARV), CD4 counts gradually decline over the course of an HIV infection [1]. Consequently, CD4 counts per mm³ of the blood provide an indication of patients’ levels of immunosuppression. Current British HIV Association (BHIVA) guidelines recommend a patient receive a CD4 count within two weeks of HIV diagnosis [2], and thereafter four times a year to assess disease progression, the requirement for ARV [3], and to monitor the effectiveness of ARV.

The routine monitoring of CD4 counts among HIV patients has created a national resource for HIV surveillance. The availability of longitudinal CD4 counts mapped to individual patient profiles has allowed population trends in immunological status to be monitored. The CD4 surveillance scheme has monitored immunosuppression among adults living with a diagnosed HIV infection in England, Wales and Northern Ireland (E, W and NI) since 1995 [4].

We describe the role CD4 surveillance scheme has had in monitoring the United Kingdom (UK) HIV epidemic and demonstrate how the data can be linked to other surveillance programmes in creative ways to inform public health priorities and to audit national guidelines. We provide four examples of the utility of CD4 surveillance: 1) the median CD4 count at HIV diagnosis; 2) late and very late HIV diagnosis and associated short-term mortality; 3) the timing of first CD4 count as a proxy of entry into HIV care; 4) the proportion of patients with a CD4 count <350 cells/mm³ receiving ARV.

METHODS

The CD4 Surveillance Scheme

All laboratories performing CD4 cell counts in E, W and NI that are registered with the National External Quality Assessment Scheme for Leukocyte Immunophenotyping (UK NEQAS) are invited to participate in the CD4 surveillance scheme [5]. Data are currently reported voluntarily from over 60 laboratories, representing approximately 80% of laboratories that process CD4 counts.

Data collected include limited patient identifiers, date of CD4 test and CD4 counts. The limited identifiers enable reports from patients who have had multiple CD4 counts undertaken (including in different laboratories) to be linked to the same patient both within the CD4 surveillance scheme and to other surveillance systems.

Linkage to Other HIV Surveillance Systems

Data from the CD4 surveillance scheme are linked routinely via limited patient identifiers to the Survey of
Prevalent HIV Infections Diagnosed (SOPHID) \[6, 7\] and the survey of new HIV diagnoses, AIDS and deaths \[8\]. SOPHID is an annual census of adults (\(\geq 15\) years) accessing HIV treatment sites in E, W and NI. Demographic, exposure and clinical information are also collected. The new HIV diagnoses database collates the number of new HIV diagnoses, first AIDS diagnoses and deaths in E, W and NI reported by clinicians and laboratories, including exposure and demographic data.

Scottish data from the CD4 surveillance scheme, and reports of new HIV diagnoses are provided by Health Protection Scotland \[9\] and incorporated to create a UK dataset. HIV diagnoses made during pregnancy, and pregnancy events among diagnosed HIV positive women are reported by the National Study of HIV in Pregnancy and Childhood and linked to SOPHID and the new HIV diagnosis database.

**Study Population**

Data from the CD4 surveillance scheme, linked to SOPHID and new diagnoses database are used throughout. Unless otherwise specified, data are for the UK and cover the years 2000 to 2009. Data are presented by HIV exposure group, sex, ethnicity, country of birth and age-group. Adults are defined as being aged 15 years or over. Data with incomplete CD4, ARV and/or relevant demographic fields (e.g. HIV infection route) are excluded from the analysis; in 2009, missing data accounted for 5\%, (2,918/64,420), 1\% (714) and 3\% (2,209) respectively.

**Median CD4 Count at HIV Diagnosis**

The median CD4 at diagnosis (where CD4 counts are taken within 91 days of diagnosis) provides an indication of the level of immunosuppression at diagnosis \[1\]. Patients without a CD4 count are excluded from this analysis.

**Late and Very Late HIV Diagnosis and Associated Mortality**

Patients who are diagnosed with a CD4 count <350 cells/mm\(^3\) (within 91 days of HIV diagnosis) are defined to have been diagnosed at a late stage of HIV infection; those diagnosed with a CD4 count <200 cells/mm\(^3\) as having a very late stage HIV infection. Linkage to death reports from the Office for National Statistics \[10\] and clinician reports enables the monitoring of short term mortality (deaths within one year of HIV diagnosis) by late and prompt (CD4 >350 cells/mm\(^3\)) HIV diagnosis.

**Time from Diagnosis to First CD4 Count**

BHIVA guidelines suggest that all patients should have their first CD4 count measured within two weeks of HIV diagnosis \[2\]. The length of time between HIV diagnosis and first CD4 count is used as a proxy for the proportion of patients incorporated in to HIV care following diagnosis. Patients who did not have a CD4 count within a year of diagnosis were excluded from the analysis.

**Patients with a CD4 Count <350 Cells/mm\(^3\) who Receive ARV**

BHIVA guidelines recommend that all patients should begin ARV when their CD4 cell counts reach <350 cells/mm\(^3\). We monitor the proportion of patients with CD4 <350 cells/mm\(^3\) receiving ARV.

**Statistical Methods**

Descriptive epidemiology is the focus of the paper, but hypothesis tests have been used to supplement the data where appropriate.

**RESULTS**

**Coverage**

The CD4 surveillance scheme has a cumulative total of 1,726,736 CD4 cell counts from 127,299 HIV-infected adults in the UK up to the end of 2009. Eighty per cent (54,218/67,557) of patients diagnosed between 2000 and 2009 had a CD4 count available within 91 days of HIV diagnosis. In 2009 alone, 84\% (5,525/6,556) of adults newly diagnosed with HIV had a CD4 count within 91 days of diagnosis. In 2009, 91\% (58,407/64,359) of adults living with diagnosed HIV infection in the UK (SOPHID) were linked to the CD4 surveillance scheme, and 62\% (40,013/64,359) had CD4 counts available during 2009. After linking datasets, overall, 84\% (5,525/6,556) of adults newly diagnosed with HIV had a CD4 count within 91 days of diagnosis, and 95\% (61,502/64,420) of adults accessing care had a CD4 count available in 2009.

**Median CD4 Count at HIV Diagnosis**

Overall, the median CD4 count at diagnosis has gradually increased by 21\% from 276 in 2000 to 335 in 2009 (Fig. 1). The largest increase in median CD4 count between was observed among heterosexual men (59\% increase), followed by non-pregnant women (21\%) and men who have sex with men (MSM, 18\%). The median CD4 count among pregnant women has remained relatively stable over the last decade (353 in 2000 and 346 in 2009).

In 2009, the highest median CD4 count at HIV diagnosis was among MSM (411) and lowest among heterosexual men (254). Since 2000, the median CD4 count at HIV diagnosis of women detected through antenatal screening has been consistently higher than among other women; the figures in 2009 were 345 and 279 respectively.

**Late HIV Diagnoses**

In 2009, an estimated 52\% (2,867/5,525) of adults were diagnosed late (Fig. 2) and 30\% (1,656/5,525) were diagnosed very late. The lowest percentage of adults diagnosed late was among MSM (39\%; 878/2,227) and highest among heterosexual men (66\%; 712/1,071). Fifty-nine per cent (969/1,644) of heterosexual women were diagnosed late and 52\% (62/120) of injecting drug users.

Among heterosexual men and women, those born outside of the UK were more likely to be diagnosed late compared to those born in the UK: 64\% (1,203/1,876) and 52\% (252/488) respectively. By region of birth and exposure group, the highest percentage of people diagnosed late was among heterosexuals born in Africa (67\%, 1,043/1,560). There was also variation between age groups, with two-thirds (67\%, 477/716) of older adults (aged 50 and above) being diagnosed late, compared with half (50\%, 2,390/4,809) of younger adults.
Short-Term Mortality

In 2009, 91% (116/128) of deaths within one year of diagnosis occurred among individuals diagnosed late. Late diagnosis increased the risk of short-term mortality ten-fold, with 4.1% (107/2,599) of those diagnosed late dying within 12 months of diagnosis, compared to 0.3% (8/2,402) of those diagnosed promptly (p<0.001) (Fig. 3). By risk group, death within one year of diagnosis was 2.9% in MSM, 6.2% in heterosexual men, and 2.2% in heterosexual women. This compares to 0.2%, 0.6%, and 0.3% among those diagnosed promptly, respectively.

Time from Diagnosis to First CD4 Count

In E, W and NI, 5,909 adults were diagnosed with HIV infection in 2008. Of these, 61% (2,975/4,868) had their first CD4 count within 14 days of their diagnosis (this excludes patients who did not have a CD4 count reported within 12 months of HIV diagnosis). Seventy-seven per cent (3,752) of patients had their first CD4 count within one month, and 92% (4,477) within three months of diagnosis. (88%) "of those who survived" were seen for care in 2009, 142 had died by 2009 and 5,080 (88%) were seen for care in 2009. There was no significant difference between patient exposure to HIV, ethnicity or age group (data not shown).
Percentage of Patients with a CD4 Count <350 Cells/mm$^3$ who Receive ARV

The percentage of HIV patients with CD4 counts <350 cells/mm$^3$ receiving ARV remained stable at about 74% between 2000-2007, increasing to 81% (14,243/17,653) in 2008 and 83% (14,240/17,257) in 2009. Of the 3017 patients with CD4 counts <350 not receiving ARV 2009, 24% (709) were diagnosed with HIV in 2009 (Fig. 3).

In 2009, 81% (5,117/6,309) of MSM and 82% (446/545) of injection drug users (IDUs) with CD4 counts <350 cells/mm$^3$ were receiving ARV. Equivalent figures among heterosexual men and women were 88% (3,486/3,977) and 81% (4,484/5,516) respectively (p=0.400). By ethnic group, the percentage with a CD4 count <350 cells/mm$^3$ receiving ARV was 82% (5,668/6,954) among whites, 81% (342/422) among black Caribbean and 85% (5,549/6,501) among black Africans (p=0.200). In the same year, the percentage of patients with CD4 counts <350 cells/mm$^3$ receiving therapy increased with age (Fig. 4); 59% (340/574) among those aged 15-24 years and 90% (3,201/3,543) among those aged 50 years and over (p<0.001).

**Fig. (3).** Mortality within one year of HIV diagnosis among adults diagnosed late (CD4<350 cells) and promptly (CD4>350 cells), United Kingdom: 2009.

**Fig. (4).** Proportion of HIV patients with CD4 counts <350 cells receiving ARV, UK: 2009.
DISCUSSION

The routine reporting of CD4 counts at diagnosis and subsequent follow-up care forms an essential component of the surveillance of HIV in the UK. These data linked to new diagnoses and annual surveys of persons in HIV care provide a detailed understanding of the HIV epidemiology in the UK and enable the auditing of national HIV testing, treatment and care guidelines. These routine surveillance outputs can be generated at the national and local level to drive and monitor public health policy and prevention efforts.

In the UK, the completeness of CD4 data is high: over 95% of people living with diagnosed HIV infection had CD4 data available in 2009. Our findings show that over half of newly diagnosed patients had already reached a stage in their infection where treatment should have begun; such patients have a ten-fold risk of mortality within a year compared to those diagnosed promptly. Six out of ten patients newly diagnosed have a CD4 count within 14 days of HIV diagnosis; the best practise target [2] and nine out of ten had a count within 91 days of diagnosis and were seen for care within the next twelve months. Four in five of patients with CD4 counts <350 cells/mm³ are received treatment, and therefore national guidelines [3] are largely being met.

Routine monitoring late diagnosis is important to evaluate HIV testing policies and programmes at the national level. High rates of late diagnoses reflect the proportion of infected individuals who remain undiagnosed, which in the UK is estimated at around 22,200, or 26% of all people living with HIV [11]. Individuals unaware of their HIV status remain infectious for many years, increasing the risk of onward transmission to sexual partners. Prompt HIV diagnosis prevents further HIV transmission through ensuring patients’ viral load is low (through regular monitoring and/or administering anti-retroviral therapy where clinically appropriate) and providing earlier opportunities for partner notification and behaviour change counselling.

The percentage of late diagnoses were especially high among heterosexual men (66%) followed by heterosexual women (59%) and lower among MSM (39%). Over the past decade, an increase in the median CD4 count at diagnosis across risk groups reflects modest improvements in HIV testing. The higher median CD4 count among women diagnosed during pregnancy compared to non-pregnant women reflects the success of the antenatal screening programme. However, in 2009 only MSM had a median CD4 count at diagnosis over 350 cells/mm³ indicating continued efforts are needed to test and diagnose people living with HIV, particularly black African communities in the UK, at an earlier stage of their infection.

CD4 surveillance, taken with surveillance of deaths in HIV-infected individuals, also makes it possible to quantify the burden of mortality attributable to late diagnosis. Nine in ten deaths occurring within one year of HIV diagnosis are among those diagnosed late; many of these deaths could have been prevented through prompt diagnosis. In addition to the impact on short-term mortality, late diagnoses also affect morbidity. In studies of untreated HIV infection, risk of developing an AIDS-defining illness is greatly increased at lower CD4 counts, with particularly high risk in those with a CD4 count less than 200 cells/mm³ [12]. Lower CD4 counts have also been associated with increased risk of non-AIDS related illnesses including cardiovascular, renal, and hepatic disease and some malignancies [13, 14]. Even after starting ARV, individuals who start late experience sub-optimal benefit from ARV compared to those who start early, due to their longer exposure to HIV-associated inflammation and immune activation [15-17].

The high rates of late HIV diagnoses mean that establishing rapid pathways from HIV diagnosis into care is essential. Over a third of patients newly diagnosed do not have a CD4 count within 14 days of HIV diagnosis; the best practise target [2]. Furthermore, it is good clinical practice to request CD4 testing at the time of HIV diagnosis. Consequently, CD4 counts processed at HIV diagnosis may not necessarily reflect patients accessing subsequent care. If patients who have CD4 counts taken within four days of their HIV diagnosis are excluded, (52%, 1560), this provides a minimum estimate of 29% receiving CD4 counts within 14 days of HIV diagnosis. Nevertheless, it is likely that the majority of patients who have a CD4 count processed at HIV diagnosis are also assimilated into care rapidly; nine in ten of patients diagnosed in 2008 were seen for care in 2009. Factors associated with being lost to follow up are including younger age; being recently diagnosed; and black African ethnicity [18].

It is encouraging that four in five patients with CD4 counts <350 cells/mm³ are receiving ARV, demonstrating providers are adhering to national guidelines [3]. The increase from 2008 reflects the change in BHIVA guidelines [3] (previous guidelines recommended ARV commence when CD4 counts <200 cells/mm³). One quarter of immunosuppressed patients not receiving ARV were diagnosed with HIV within the past 12 months; these individuals may not yet have had the opportunity to start ARV. Importantly, patient ethnicity and exposure to HIV are not associated with not receiving ARV. However, age is important with young people with CD4 counts <350 cells less likely to receive ARV. Younger people find adherence to ARV more difficult [19] or may have been infected for a shorter length of time and therefore have a different perception of the benefits of ARV compared to those who have experienced symptoms [20]. The reasons for poorer ARV uptake among young people in need of treatment require further exploration.

Implications for Policy

The monitoring of late HIV diagnoses has been routinely measured nationally and at the local level for many years. The late diagnosis indicator has already been implemented in London as part of the London Tool Kit for commissioners. It has been valuable in driving and tracking public health efforts to expand HIV testing in the capital.

The expansion of HIV testing in high prevalence areas has been recommended through BHIVA guidelines [21] as well as in the National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) guidance [22]. The guidance advocates the development of local policies for HIV testing among black Africans and MSM and the routine offer of a test in a range of health care settings in high prevalence areas. The
continued monitoring of late HIV diagnoses will evaluate the success of these policies.

The expansion of HIV testing in to non-traditional settings intensifies the need for continuing auditing of rapid pathways into care. This pathway will facilitate prompt treatment for those diagnosed at a late stage of infection. In order to ensure patients diagnosed with HIV receive high quality care and treatment, the London HIV consortium has developed four outcome measures. In addition to monitoring prompt access to care following diagnosis, this suite of outcomes also measures ARV adherence, ARV success in achieving undetectable viral loads, the prevention of patients in care reaching levels of severe immunosuppression and mortality rates. The measures have been successfully implemented in London and will be rolled-out nationally; the CD4 surveillance scheme is fundamental to its success.

Limitations

The limitations associated with CD4 surveillance are as follows. Patients who have CD4 counts linked to their patient records will not be representative of patients with sub-optimal attendance to care, who are more likely to be vulnerable and experience poorer health outcomes. Patients newly diagnosed are also less likely to have CD4 counts available. However, the overall linkage between HIV surveillance systems is very high at 95%, minimising this bias.

CONCLUSION

The health care costs of HIV are substantial; over £600 million is spent each year to provide health care and treatment of the 65,300 people living with a diagnosed HIV infection. National HIV surveillance systems must be responsive to the changes in the epidemic and advances in treatment and care. The monitoring of CD4 counts is a critical core function of HIV surveillance in the UK enabling the close monitoring of efforts to reduce morbidity and mortality associated with late diagnosis and underpins the auditing of national policies and guidelines. It is important the national surveillance systems such as the CD4 surveillance scheme continue to be evaluated to ensure their efficiency and effectiveness [23].
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