Remodeling the Integration of Lipid Metabolism Between Liver and Adipose Tissue by Dietary Methionine Restriction in Rats
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Dietary methionine restriction (MR) produces an integrated series of biochemical and physiological responses that improve biomarkers of metabolic health, limit fat accretion, and enhance insulin sensitivity. Using transcriptional profiling to guide tissue-specific evaluations of molecular responses to MR, we report that liver and adipose tissue are the primary targets of a transcriptional program that remodeled lipid metabolism in each tissue. The MR diet produced a coordinated downregulation of lipogenic genes in the liver, resulting in a corresponding reduction in the capacity of the liver to synthesize and export lipid. In contrast, the transcriptional response in white adipose tissue (WAT) involved a depot-specific induction of lipogenic and oxidative genes and a commensurate increase in capacity to synthesize and oxidize fatty acids. These responses were accompanied by a significant change in adipocyte morphology, with the MR diet reducing cell size and increasing mitochondrial density across all depots. The coordinated transcriptional remodeling of lipid metabolism between liver and WAT by dietary MR produced an overall reduction in circulating and tissue lipids and provides a potential mechanism for the increase in metabolic flexibility and enhanced insulin sensitivity produced by the diet. *Diabetes* 62:3362–3372, 2013

Changes in dietary macronutrient composition (protein, carbohydrate, fat) are perceived through nutrient-sensing systems that affect overall energy balance through adaptive changes in behavior and peripheral metabolism. Diets lacking an essential amino acid (EAA) produce a conditioned aversive response that rapidly reduces food consumption by 20–30% (1–4). EAA deprivation also produces a paradoxical increase in energy expenditure (EE) that accentuates weight loss and reduces adiposity (4–6). Leucine deprivation increases sympathetic nervous system (SNS) outflow to brown (BAT) and white adipose tissue (WAT), but the diet also decreases the expression of genes required for de novo lipogenesis and triglyceride synthesis (5). Short-term leucine deprivation enhances hepatic insulin sensitivity (7), in part through a reduction in adiposity, but also through a mechanism involving diet-induced AMP-activated protein kinase activation and decreased signaling through mammalian target of rapamycin/S6K1 (7). Although leucine deprivation produces beneficial responses in short-term studies (5,7), consumption of EAA-deficient diets beyond 2–3 weeks rapidly jeopardizes the animal's health (4,8,9).

In contrast, restriction of dietary methionine from normal levels of ~0.8–0.17% actually increases the life span of rats (10,11), mice (12,13), and flies (14) by 20–25%. Short-term responses to dietary methionine restriction (MR) and EAA deficiency are also fundamentally different. For example, although EAA deprivation rapidly reduces food intake (1–4), dietary MR produces an immediate and persistent increase in food intake (10,15–17). The transcriptional responses in WAT are also fundamentally different, with MR increasing lipogenic gene expression and function (18) and leucine deprivation having exactly the opposite effect (5). Thus, the collective responses to EAA deprivation result in a rapidly developing nutritional crisis, whereas the responses to MR are highly beneficial to the short- and long-term metabolic health of the animal. The increase in rodent life span produced by MR is accompanied by coordinated behavioral and physiological responses that limit fat deposition through paradoxical effects on energy intake and expenditure (15,17). The persistent increase in food intake (10,15–17) is accompanied by a significant increase in EE that fully compensates for increased energy intake (17,19). The increase in EE is associated with an exaggerated heat increment of feeding, increased core body temperature, increased SNS stimulation of BAT and WAT, and a significant increase in metabolic flexibility (17,19). These responses translate into a reduction in fasting insulin and decrease the amount of insulin required to clear a standard glucose load (15).

The translational potential of the concepts developed in preclinical studies of dietary MR were recently evaluated in patients with metabolic syndrome (20). A retrospective analysis has shown that the experimental approach to achieving the desired restriction of dietary methionine was suboptimal in this study. These limitations notwithstanding, dietary MR proved beneficial in this population, reducing hepatic lipid content while increasing overall fat oxidation during the 16-week study (20). Development of palatable low-methionine foods represents an important next step in evaluating the translational potential of this approach in the clinic.
FIG. 1. Differential regulation of gene expression by dietary MR for 20 months in liver, IWAT, BAT, and muscle as assessed by microarray. A: Pie chart illustrating transcriptional effect of dietary MR by tissue. Genes were identified as differentially expressed if dietary MR increased or decreased their expression by ≥1.25-fold and P < 0.05. Upstream regulator analysis is shown of differentially expressed genes in liver (B) and IWAT (C). B: In liver, the algorithm detected coordinated downregulation of genes involved in lipid metabolism and predicted that the transcriptional network was being regulated by SREBF1, SREBF2, and MLXIPL and that the network was inhibited. C: In IWAT, the algorithm detected coordinated upregulation of genes involved in lipid metabolism. The algorithm predicted that the transcriptional network was being regulated by SREBF1, PPARα, and PPARγ and that the transcriptional activity of the network was activated. The prediction legend for panels B and C denotes the observed changes in gene expression (green to red ellipses) and predicted activation/inhibition of TFs that may explain such differences (blue and orange ellipses). The nature of the interaction between a TF and its target gene is described as follows: A blue arrow indicates that the TF normally activates the target gene and that the downregulation of the target gene is therefore consistent with TF inhibition; conversely, an orange
Transcriptional responses to MR have been reported (17,19,21,22), but an integrative picture of their regulation and linkage to physiological responses is lacking. Using transcriptional profiling to identify molecular responses to MR in peripheral tissues, we report that liver and WAT are the primary tissue targets and that lipid metabolism is the primary biological process. These findings support the concept that remodeling of lipid metabolism between liver and WAT is an essential component of the mechanism through which metabolic flexibility and insulin sensitivity are enhanced by dietary MR.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

Animals and diets. Experiments were approved by the Pennington Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Three experiments were conducted using male F344 rats obtained from Harlan Laboratories Inc. (Indianapolis, IN) immediately after weaning or at 5 months of age. In experiments 1 and 2, weaning rats were singly housed and assigned to the control or MR diet. In experiment 3, 5-month-old rats were adapted to the control diet for 30 days and then randomly assigned to receive the control or MR diet for 3 or 6 months thereafter. The MR diet used in this previously described feeding paradigm (17) contained 0.86% methionine, whereas the MR diet was identical but contained only 0.17% methionine. Diets and water were provided ad libitum, room temperature was 22–23°C, and lights were on from 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. Before tissue harvest, rats were given Nembutal to achieve tertiary stage of anesthesia.

Experimental design

**Experiment 1.** To assess chronic responses to dietary MR, two groups of eight rats received the control or MR diet from 5 weeks to 20 months of age. Tissues were harvested 4 h after the start of the light cycle.

**Experiment 2.** To examine developmental responses to dietary MR, two groups of 32 rats were provided the control or MR diet beginning at 5 weeks of age. Two cohorts of 16 rats per diet were evaluated after 3 and 9 months. At each time point, eight rats per diet were killed at 1:00 p.m. and eight per group at 1:00 a.m. This provided tissue and blood samples from the midpoint of the dark and light cycles (fasted state) for analysis of tissues and assay of insulin and triglyceride, as before (17).

**Experiment 3.** To assess responses to MR when initiated after physical maturity, two groups of 16 rats were provided the control or MR diet beginning at 6 months of age. After 3 and 6 months, tissues and blood were harvested for analysis 4 h after the start of the light cycle from cohorts of eight rats per dietary group.

**RNA isolation and microarray hybridization.** Gene expression profiles were evaluated in tissues from experiment 1 animals using Applied Biosystems Rat Genome Survey microarrays. Liver, muscle, inguinal WAT (IWAT), and BAT were evaluated in tissues from experiment 1 animals using Applied Biosystems RNA isolation and microarray hybridization. 4 h after the start of the light cycle from cohorts of eight rats per dietary group.

**Data analysis.** Signal intensities across microarrays were normalized via Affymetrix NTL (http://www.bioconductor.org). A summary of the differentially expressed genes (DEGs) from all microarray hybridizations that were included in this study is available at http://pages.ingenuity.com/IngenuityUpstreamRegulatorAnalysis-Whitelist.html.

**Analysis of adipocyte gene expression.** ANOVA was used to compare mRNA levels of individual genes measured by RT-PCR, protein expression levels from Western blots, mtDNA concentration per cell, rates of fatty acid oxidation, and measures of adipocyte cell size, followed by post hoc testing for differences within time point using the Bonferroni correction. Protection against type I errors was 0.05 (α = 0.05).

RESULTS

The effects of dietary MR on body weight, fat deposition, energy expenditure, and metabolic flexibility for the rats used in experiments 1–3 described here have been reported previously (17).

**Experiment 1.** Microarrays were used to examine the tissue-specific transcriptional changes in liver, IWAT, BAT, and muscle after long-term dietary MR that are associated with the decrease in serum and hepatic triglyceride and the increase in metabolic flexibility produced by the diet (15,17). A summary of the differentially expressed genes (e.g., fold-change of MR/control ≥1.25 at P < 0.05) shows that >75% of all differentially expressed genes were found in liver and IWAT (Fig. 1A). To understand the systems biology of transcriptional responses to MR, differentially expressed genes were screened against annotated databases to identify gene set enrichment within biological processes and canonical pathways. Lipid metabolism was the top biological function and molecular and cellular process
affected in liver, to which more than 16% (e.g., 417/2,588) of differentially expressed molecules were annotated. An upstream regulator prediction algorithm was used to identify candidate transcription factors that are responsible for observed programmatic changes in gene expression. The upstream regulator algorithm predicted that sterol regulatory element-binding transcription factor 1 (SREBF1), SREBF2, and carbohydrate response element-binding protein (MLXIPL) were inhibited by MR and functioning as a network to decrease expression of genes involved in hepatic lipid metabolism, particularly those involved in fatty acid and triglyceride synthesis (Fig. 1B). However, a comparison of the fold-changes in expression of genes within this network across all tissues illustrates that their coordinated downregulation was unique to the liver, because these genes were either unaffected or significantly upregulated in IWAT, BAT, and muscle (Table 1). Of the 28 genes in this network, 19 receive transcriptional input from at least two of the three transcription factors (Fig. 1B). Lastly, inhibition of this transcriptional network is consistent

### TABLE 1

Changes in mRNA expression of genes involved in lipid metabolism that are regulated or coregulated by SREBP-1c, SREBP2, and MLXIPL during dietary MR

| Symbol | TF | Entrez gene name | Liver FC | IWAT FC | BAT FC | Muscle FC |
|--------|----|------------------|----------|---------|--------|----------|
| AACS   | SREBF1, SREBF2 | Acetoacetyl-CoA synthetase | −13.0c  | 7.9b    |        |          |
| ACACA  | SREBF1, SREBF2, MLXIPL | Acetyl-CoA carboxylase α | −3.1c  | 2.7b    |        |          |
| ACACB  | SREBF1, MLXIPL | Acetyl-CoA carboxylase β | −4.8c  | 5.2b    |        |          |
| ACADS  | SREBF1 | Acyl-CoA dehydrogenase, C-2 to C-3 short chain | −1.6c  |        |        |          |
| ALCY   | SREBF1, SREBF2, MLXIPL | ATP citrate lyase | −17.3c  | 3.0b    |        |          |
| ACSL1  | SREBF1, SREBF2 | Acyl-CoA synthetase long-chain family member 1 | −2.1c  |        |        |          |
| ACSL2  | SREBF1, SREBF2 | Acyl-CoA synthetase short-chain family member 2 | −5.0c  | 2.9b    |        |          |
| ELOVL6 | SREBF1, SREBF2, MLXIPL | ELOVL fatty acid elongase 6 | −5.1c  | 8.6b    |        |          |
| FASN   | SREBF1, SREBF2, MLXIPL | Fatty acid synthase | −10.6c  | 3.2b    |        | 8.7b     |
| G6PC   | MLXIPL | G6-phosphatase, catalytic subunit | −2.2c  |        |        |          |
| GPD1   | MLXIPL | Glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase 1 (soluble) | −2.2c  |        |        |          |
| HMGCS1 | SREBF1, SREBF2 | 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-CoA synthase 1 (soluble) | −3.0c  |        |        |          |
| HKH    | MLXIPL | Ketohexokinase (fructokinase) | −1.5c  |        |        |          |
| LDLR   | SREBF1, SREBF2 | Low-density lipoprotein receptor | −3.2c  |        |        |          |
| LIPA   | SREBF2 | Lipase A, lysosomal acid, cholesterol esterase | −1.8c  |        |        |          |
| LRP1   | SREBF2 | Low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein 1 | −1.3c  |        |        |          |
| LSS    | SREBF1, SREBF2 | Lanosterol synthase (2,3-oxidosqualene-lanosterol cyclase) | −2.8c  |        |        |          |
| MLXIPL | MLXIPL | MLX interacting protein-like | −1.7c  | 1.6b    |        |          |
| MVD    | SREBF1, SREBF2 | Mevalonate (diphospho) decarboxylase | −5.3c  | 1.9b    |        |          |
| MVK    | SREBF2 | Mevalonate kinase | −2.0c  |        |        |          |
| PKLR   | MLXIPL | Pyruvate kinase, liver, and RBC | −3.6c  |        |        |          |
| PMVK   | SREBF1, SREBF2 | Phosphomevalonate kinase | −2.1c  |        |        |          |
| RHDI1  | SREBF2 | Retinol dehydrogenase 11 (all-trans/9-cis/11-cis) | −1.4c  |        |        |          |
| SCD1   | SREBF1, SREBF2, MLXIPL | Stearoyl-CoA desaturase (9-9-desaturase) | −64.5c  | 1.5b    | 20.5b   |          |
| SCD2   | SREBF1 | Stearoyl-CoA desaturase 2 | −3.0c  |        |        |          |
| SQLE   | SREBF1, SREBF2 | Squalene epoxidase | −3.5c  | 3.0b    |        |          |
| SREBF1 | SREBF2 | Sterol regulatory element binding transcription factor 1 | −1.7c  | 1.5b    | 1.3b    |          |
| SREBF2 | SREBF2 | Sterol regulatory element binding transcription factor 2 | −1.7c  |        |        |          |
| THRSP  | SREBF1, SREBF2, MLXIPL | Thyroid hormone responsive | −7.105 1.668 | −7.1c  | 1.7b    |          |
| TM7SF2 | SREBF1, SREBF2 | Transmembrane 7 superfamily member 2 | −6.3c  | 1.6b    |        |          |
| TNF    | SREBF1 | Tumor necrosis factor | −3.2c  |        |        |          |

*Annotated genes from each tissue that are regulated or coregulated by the transcription factors SREBF1, SREBP2, and MLXIPL were identified by Ingenuity Systems, Inc., Upstream Regulator algorithm, and the fold-changes of the dietary MR group compared with the control group are listed. †Indicates genes that were upregulated; ♠genes that were downregulated. FC, fold-change in gene expression relative to controls.
with a 1.7-fold decrease in mRNA expression of the three transcription factors in the liver (Table 1 and Fig. 1D).

Analysis of transcriptional links to lipid metabolism in IWAT also pointed to involvement of SREBF1, but predicted that SREBF1 was activated by MR, along with nuclear receptors, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α (PPARα) and PPARγ (Fig. 1C). This network explains the coordinated upregulation of many of the same genes downregulated in the liver (Table 1), plus additional genes recruited by PPARs (Fig. 1C). Changes in gene expression within IWAT and liver networks illustrate that dietary MR produced fundamentally different responses between the tissues with respect to lipid metabolism (Tables 1 and 2) and did so by recruiting an inhibitory network in liver and an activating

| Symbol | TF       | Entrez gene name                     | Liver FC | IWAT FC | BAT FC | Muscle FC |
|--------|----------|--------------------------------------|----------|---------|---------|-----------|
| AACS   | SREBF1   | Acetoacetyl-CoA synthetase            | −13.0b   | 7.9b    |         |           |
| ACA2   | PPARα, PPARγ | Acetyl-CoA acyltransferase 2           | 1.8b     | 1.5b    |         |           |
| ACACA  | SREBF1, PPARγ | Acetyl-CoA carboxylase α         | −3.1c    | 2.7b    |         |           |
| ACACB  | SREBF1   | Acetyl-CoA carboxylase β             | −4.5b    | 5.2b    |         |           |
| ACADL  | PPARα, PPARγ | Acyl-CoA dehydrogenase, long chain    | −1.4b    | 1.4b    |         |           |
| ACLY   | SREBF1, PPARγ | ATP citrate lyase                   | −17.3c   | 3.0b    |         |           |
| ACOT1  | PPARα    | Acyl-CoA thioesterase 1              |         | 14.3b   |         |           |
| ACOT8  | PPARα, PPARγ | Acyl-CoA thioesterase 8            |         | 1.8b    |         |           |
| ACSS2  | SREBF1, PPARα | Acyl-CoA synthase short-chain family | −5.0c    | 2.9b    |         |           |
| CPT2   | PPARα, PPARγ | Carnitine palmitoyltransferase 2     |         |         |         |           |
| EHHADH | SREBF1, PPARα, PPARγ | Enoyl-CoA, hydratase/3-hydroxyacyl CoA dehydrogenase | 1.4b | 1.5b |         |           |
| ELOVL6 | SREBF1, PPARα, PPARγ | ELOVL fatty acid elongase 6       | −5.1c    | 8.6b    |         |           |
| FADS1  | SREBF1, PPARα | Fatty acid desaturase 1             | 7.3b     |         |         |           |
| FADS2  | SREBF1, PPARα | Fatty acid desaturase 2             | 14.5b    |         |         |           |
| FASN   | SREBF1, PPARα, PPARγ | Fatty acid synthase              | −10.6c   | 3.2b    | 8.7b    |           |
| FDPS   | SREBF1, PPARα, PPARγ | Farnesyl diphosphate synthase      | −1.4c    | 1.6b    | 1.6b    |           |
| GK     | PPARα    | Glycerol kinase                      |         | 1.8b    | 1.3b    |           |
| HMGCS2 | PPARα, PPARγ | 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-CoA synthase 2 (mito) |           | 11.8b   |         |           |
| ID1    | SREBF1, PPARα | Isopentenyl-diphosphate δ isomerase 1 | −2.5c   | 2.1b    |         |           |
| MLYCD  | SREBF1, PPARα, PPARγ | Malonyl-CoA decarboxylase         |         | 1.7b    |         |           |
| PC     | PPARα, PPARγ | Pyruvate carboxylase                 | −1.4c    | 2.1b    |         |           |
| PPARA  | PPARα    | Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α |         |         |         |           |
| PPARγ  | PPARα, PPARγ | Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ |         |         |         |           |
| SCD1   | SREBF1   | Stearoyl-CoA desaturase (6-9-desaturase) | −64.5c   | 1.5b    | 20.5b   |           |
| SCP2   | PPARα, PPARγ | Sterol carrier protein 2          |         | 1.7b    |         |           |
| SREBF1 | SREBF1   | Sterol regulatory element binding transcription factor 1 | −1.7c   | 1.5b    | 1.3b    |           |
| THRSP  | SREBF1   | Thyroid hormone responsive           | −7.1c    | 1.7b    |         |           |

*Annotated genes from each tissue that are regulated or coregulated by the transcription factors SREBF1, PPARα, and PPARγ were identified by the Ingenuity Systems, Inc., Upstream Regulator algorithm, and the fold-changes of the dietary MR group compared with the control group are listed. *Indicates genes that were upregulated. +Genes that were downregulated. FC, fold-change in gene expression relative to controls.

Analysis of transcriptional links to lipid metabolism in IWAT also pointed to involvement of SREBF1, but predicted that SREBF1 was activated by MR, along with nuclear receptors, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α (PPARα) and PPARγ (Fig. 1C). This network explains the coordinated upregulation of many of the same genes downregulated in the liver (Table 1), plus additional genes recruited by PPARs (Fig. 1C). Changes in gene expression within IWAT and liver networks illustrate that dietary MR produced fundamentally different responses between the tissues with respect to lipid metabolism (Tables 1 and 2) and did so by recruiting an inhibitory network in liver and an activating

### Table 3

| Tissue-specific changes in SCD-1, FASN, and ACC-1 mRNA expression after 18 months of dietary MRa |
|------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| SCD-1                                   | FASN            | ACC-1           |
| Control                                | MR              | Control          | MR              | Control       | MR              |
| Liver                                   |                 |                 |                 |               |                 |
| 2.04 ± 0.06                             | 0.02 ± 0.001*   | 1.82 ± 0.24     | 0.10 ± 0.04*    | 1.12 ± 0.33   | 0.11 ± 0.03*    |
| IWAT                                    | 1.03 ± 0.23     | 1.51 ± 0.28     | 0.77 ± 0.39     | 4.22 ± 0.58*  | 1.18 ± 0.24     | 0.37 ± 0.03*    |
| RPWAT                                   | 0.16 ± 0.05     | 1.50 ± 0.34*    | 0.54 ± 0.12     | 5.44 ± 1.33*  | 0.35 ± 0.08     | 1.84 ± 0.39*    |
| EWAT                                    | 0.27 ± 0.04     | 1.99 ± 0.16*    | 0.12 ± 0.04     | 1.07 ± 0.11*  | 0.29 ± 0.08     | 1.63 ± 0.14*    |
| Interscapular BAT                       | 1.42 ± 0.29     | 1.73 ± 0.28     | 0.10 ± 0.01     | 0.15 ± 0.01   | NDb             | NDb             |
| Muscle                                  | 0.00007 ± 0.00002 | 0.0014 ± 0.0008 | 0.0001 ± 0.0003 | 0.0002 ± 0.0007 | NDb             | NDb             |

*SCD-1, FASN, and ACC-1 mRNA were measured by RT-PCR in each tissue from each rat in each dietary group as described in RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS. The mRNA concentrations are expressed as fmol/μg RNA and presented as mean ± SEM (n = 8 per group). *Not determined for BAT or muscle. +Means differ from expression levels of controls at P < 0.05.
network in IWAT. The transcriptional responses in BAT and muscle were far less extensive but, in general, were more like IWAT than liver.

RT-PCR of rate limiting enzymes for de novo lipogenesis (FASN, ACC-1) and triglyceride synthesis (SCD-1) shows that SCD-1, FASN, and ACC-1 were increased by MR in all WAT depots (Table 3). SCD-1 and FASN mRNAs were much lower in muscle than WAT, but dietary MR increased expression of each by 20-fold (Table 3). In liver, MR reduced SCD-1 by 100-fold and lowered FASN and ACC-1 expression by 8- to 10-fold. BAT was the only tissue where expression of the three genes was unchanged (Table 3). Collectively, the findings demonstrate that long-term dietary MR produced reciprocal, tissue-specific changes in lipogenic genes.

**Experiment 2.** Consumption of MR diets for 3 and 9 months after weaning also reduced hepatic expression of SCD-1, FASN, and ACC-1, while increasing the expression of these genes in all three WAT depots (Supplementary Table 1). In general, the magnitude of the dietary effect on SCD-1, FASN, and ACC-1 mRNAs increased between 3 and 9 months in all tissues (Supplementary Table 1). Diet-induced changes in protein expression in liver and WAT mirrored changes in mRNA levels for the respective genes (Figs. 2A and 3). For example, the 340-fold reduction in SCD-1 mRNA by MR translated into essentially undetectable SCD-1 expression in the MR group, even after long exposures (Fig. 2A). Diet-induced reductions of FASN mRNA (36-fold) and protein expression (3.7-fold) differed quantitatively, but the reductions in ACC-1 mRNA (4.6-fold) and protein expression (6.5-fold) were comparable (Supplementary Table 1 and Fig. 2A). On the basis of the predicted involvement of SREBF1 in downregulating these genes in liver (Fig. 1B), expression of SREBP-1c was examined in cohorts of rats killed at the midpoints of the light (12:00 A.M.) and dark (12:00 P.M.) cycles. Tubulin was used as a loading control for the precursor form, and P-84 was used for the nuclear form. Expression levels were compared by densitometry. ★Means differ from controls at \( P < 0.05 \). CON, control diet.
increased SCD-1 expression to comparable levels among the depots (Fig. 3A), which translated into a much larger fold-increase in RPWAT SCD-1 (Fig. 3B). MR also increased ACC-1 and FAS expression to comparable levels among depots, transforming the lipogenic potential of all three depots. Together, these findings establish that MR produced opposite effects on genes essential to the synthesis of fatty acids and triglycerides in liver and WAT.

To examine transcriptional responses to MR when initiated after physical maturity, MR was initiated at 6 months of age, with responses evaluated 3 and 6 months later. Hepatic expression of lipogenic genes was significantly decreased at both time points, whereas lipogenic gene expression was reciprocally increased in WAT depots (Supplementary Table 2). The findings show that initiation of MR after physical maturity does not alter the transcriptional remodeling of lipogenic gene expression by the diet in liver and WAT.

The physiological impact of MR in both experimental contexts is illustrated by the diet-induced decrease in fasting insulin, plasma triglyceride, and tissue triglyceride levels (Fig. 4). Whether initiated after weaning or at 6 months of age, dietary MR reduced insulin by two- to threefold in both models (Fig. 4A and C). Plasma triglyceride was also reduced by the diet in both experiments (Fig. 4A and C). MR also reduced hepatic and muscle triglycerides when initiated after weaning (Fig. 4B), but when initiated at 6 months of age, MR failed to reduce triglyceride levels in liver or muscle (data not shown).

The transcriptional responses to MR in WAT included genes involved in lipid synthesis and oxidation (Fig. 1C). To evaluate the impact of the latter, the ex vivo capacity of freshly isolated tissues to oxidize fatty acids was measured.
A similar increase in oxidative capacity of RPWAT, but not EWAT, is predicted.

DISCUSSION

Selective restriction of dietary methionine produces a highly integrated series of behavioral, physiological, and biochemical responses that improve biomarkers of metabolic health and increase longevity in rodents (10,12,13). The metabolic responses include reduced circulating and tissue lipids, increased metabolic flexibility, reduced adiposity, and changes in gene expression (17,19,21,31), but the transcriptional programs that are linked to specific physiological responses are poorly understood. The strategy taken here was to use transcriptional profiling and bioinformatics to explore the systems biology of tissue-specific responses to dietary MR, with special emphasis on developing an integrative view of the mechanism(s) for the diet’s beneficial effects on lipid levels.

Disordered lipid metabolism is a central component of the etiology of metabolic syndrome and is the most common cause of ectopic lipid accumulation outside of adipose tissue. Excess fat accumulation in the liver typically results from increased delivery, increased synthesis, decreased oxidation, or decreased export (32). Therefore, dysfunctional steps leading to increased liver fat provide a useful framework for evaluating the mechanisms through which dietary MR reduces plasma and hepatic triglycerides. In the current study, dietary MR increased hepatic palmitate oxidation (~40%) and coordinate reduced expression of genes involved in lipid synthesis. Previous studies showed that MR also reduced plasma cholesterol (15) but had no effect on nonesterified free fatty acids (21,22). A review of the bioinformatic analysis of hepatic genes annotated within biological functions of lipid or fatty acid transport identified 82 genes that were differentially expressed in the MR group, 54 of which were down-regulated. Viewed collectively, the reduction in hepatic lipid content by dietary MR is best explained by a combination of increased fatty acid oxidation and reduced de novo lipogenesis. Complementary findings were recently reported in the first clinical evaluation of MR in a human cohort meeting the criteria for metabolic syndrome (20).

Dietary methionine was limited for 16 weeks using the semisynthetic medical food, Hominex-2 (Abbott Nutrition, Columbus, OH), and despite questions about compliance and adherence to the desired degree of restriction, MR increased in vivo fat oxidation and reduced hepatic lipids (20). Solving the problems created by the low palatability of Hominex-2 will be important to evaluate the potential efficacy of MR as a dietary approach to metabolic disease.

Previous reports of transcriptional responses to MR (17,22,31) have not addressed their physiological significance or transcriptional mechanisms. The analytical strategy used here sought to identify transcription factors (TFs) and nuclear receptors mediating dietary responses based on expected causal effects of TFs/nuclear receptors on known target genes relative to observed changes of those genes within the dataset. By examining the direction of change in target gene expression, the algorithm predicts whether specific TFs/nuclear receptors are activated or inhibited. In the liver, the coordinated downregulation of 27 genes involved in lipid metabolism led to the prediction that SREBF1, SFEBF2, and MLXIPL were inhibited by MR. Moreover, most of the repressed genes receive transcriptional input from two or more of these TFs, which further corroborates the interconnectness of the

![Figure 5](image-url)
FIG. 6. Effects of 9 months of dietary MR after weaning are shown on morphology, cell size, and mtDNA concentration in adipocytes among WAT depots. Cell size of adipocytes in hematoxylin-stained sections of epididymal (A and B), retroperitoneal (C and D), and inguinal depots (E and F) were measured in 10 fields from each section using Image J software. G: A summary of means ± SEM for each diet and depot is shown. H: The mtDNA concentration in genomic DNA isolated from each depot and diet is shown expressed as the ratio of mtDNA copy number to nuclear DNA copy number. ★Means differ from controls at $P < 0.05$. 

DIETARY MR REMODELS LIPID METABOLISM
regulatory network. The diet-induced decrease in expression of hepatic SREBP-1c is consistent with the repressive effect of MR on lipogenic gene expression. SREBP-1c and carbohydrate-responsive element-binding protein are also subject to regulation by cholesterol and glucose, and both are decreased by dietary MR (21). However, whether decreases in cholesterol and glucose are the cause or product of transcriptional responses to MR remains unclear. In either case, hepatic SREBP-1c appears to be a key target of the mechanism through which MR reduces hepatic de novo lipogenesis, triglyceride synthesis, and lipid content.

Although SREBF1 mRNA and protein expression in WAT were unchanged by MR, the coordinated upregulation of lipogenic genes in this tissue predicted the TF was being activated by the diet. However, in contrast to the liver, lipid oxidation genes that are known targets of PPARα and/or PPARγ were increased in a pattern consistent with activation of these nuclear receptors and SREBF1. The recruitment of these nuclear receptors to a network that includes SREBF1 is consistent with the paradoxical upregulation of genes involved in both lipid synthesis and oxidation, suggesting that MR enhanced both lipogenic and oxidative capacity of WAT. Several lines of evidence support this conclusion. MR remodeled the morphology of adipocytes in all three depots, increased mitochondrial density in two depots, increased TCA flux, and increased the capacity of IWAT to oxidize palmitate. In addition, the increase in fed-state respiratory quotients (RQs) to values greater than 1 by MR is indicative of the interconversion of glucose to lipid by de novo lipogenesis (17). A limitation of the previous work is that temporal changes in the RQ are summative with respect to substrate utilization and interconversion in all tissues and provide no information regarding tissue-specific changes in de novo lipogenesis. The transcriptional remodeling of tissue-specific lipogenic gene expression reported here predicted that WAT was contributing to increased de novo lipogenesis in the fed state. However, it will be important in future studies to measure in vivo rates of de novo lipogenesis to establish that dietary MR has remodeled tissue-specific lipogenesis in the manner predicted by our transcriptional analysis.

Evaluation of the responses to dietary MR when initiated after physical maturity was undertaken to develop a preclinical model for translational studies, where application of MR will initially focus on adult subjects. In the present work, the behavioral, metabolic, and transcriptional responses to MR, whether initiated after weaning or after attainment of physical maturity, were comparable, with the exception of hepatic triglycerides, where MR after maturity failed to produce a significant reduction. However, the observations that MR produced a comparable reduction in plasma triglycerides and downregulation of lipogenic genes in both experimental contexts (Supplementary Tables 1 and 2 and Fig. 4) suggest that lipogenesis and VLDL assembly and secretion were also comparably affected. Given that hepatic lipid levels are attributable to the relative rates of uptake, oxidation, and export, differences in uptake and/or oxidation seem the most likely explanation for the failure of MR to reduce hepatic lipids in the adult context. Additional studies will be required to systematically measure the in vivo rates of these components to identify the specific difference in the way juvenile and adult rats respond to MR. However, we note that in adult subjects with metabolic syndrome, dietary MR for 16 weeks increased overall fatty acid oxidation and reduced hepatic lipid levels (20).

An important unanswered question is how restriction of dietary methionine is detected and how sensing of restriction is translated into highly integrated transcriptional responses in liver and WAT. Restricting availability of EAAs effectively limits charging of tRNA with its cognate AA and activates the highly conserved and ubiquitously expressed protein kinase, general control nondepressible 2 (GCN2), which limits ribosomal translation of most mRNAs (33–35). Transcriptional effects of EAA deprivation on lipogenic genes were initially identified in human HepG2 cells, where media lacking single EAAs decreases transcriptional initiation and expression of FASN (36). These studies suggest the interesting possibility that MR functions through GCN2 to decrease expression of lipogenic genes in the liver. However, MR increased lipogenic gene expression in WAT and muscle, arguing against a role for GCN2 and suggesting involvement of additional sensing and signaling systems in these tissues.

The “browning” of WAT that occurs during cold exposure involves many of the same changes seen with MR, including reduction in cell size, formation of multilocular adipocytes, and increased UCP1 expression (17,19). It is well established that cold exposure, acting via norepinephrine, elicits a simultaneous increase in glucose uptake, lipogenesis, and β-oxidation in BAT (37,38). Moreover, the increased number of brown adipocytes in WAT after chronic cold exposure enables glucose uptake and lipogenic function within these depots. Recent work has emphasized the importance of BAT to triglyceride clearance while documenting the regulatory role of SNS input in the process (39). Thus, the simultaneous increase in lipogenic and oxidative gene expression in WAT may be reflective of extensive remodeling of WAT depots produced by dietary MR through effects on SNS activity. This conclusion is supported by our previous work showing that dietary MR increased UCP1 mRNA 3- to 10-fold among WAT depots (17,19) and present observations that MR doubled mitochondrial density among WAT depots and increased oxidative capacity of IWAT by 5-fold (Figs. 5 and 6).

Our most significant finding is that the coordinated remodeling of lipogenic gene expression between liver and WAT produced by dietary MR resulted in a significant decrease in circulating and hepatic lipid levels. These responses to MR appear directly related to the pronounced expansion of the dynamic range of the RQ between fed and fasted states reported previously (17). In view of the diet-induced decrease in insulin levels, reduced lipogenic capacity of the liver, and increased oxidative and lipogenic capacity of adipose tissue, the present findings make a compelling case that dietary MR has effectively remodeled the integration of lipid metabolism between liver and adipose tissue in a manner that is beneficial to the overall metabolic profile of the animal.
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