Distribution of Bacterial $\alpha$1,3-Galactosyltransferase Genes in the Human Gut Microbiome
Emmanuel Montassier, Gabriel Al-Ghalith, Camille Mathé, Quentin Le Bastard, Venceslas Douillard, Abel Garnier, Rémi Guimon, Bastien Raimondeau, Yann Touchefeu, Emilie Duchalais, et al.

To cite this version:
Emmanuel Montassier, Gabriel Al-Ghalith, Camille Mathé, Quentin Le Bastard, Venceslas Douillard, et al.. Distribution of Bacterial $\alpha$1,3-Galactosyltransferase Genes in the Human Gut Microbiome. Frontiers in Immunology, Frontiers, 2020, 10, pp.3000. 10.3389/fimmu.2019.03000 . inserm-02490517

HAL Id: inserm-02490517
https://www.hal.inserm.fr/inserm-02490517
Submitted on 25 Feb 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L’archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire HAL, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d’enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.
Distribution of Bacterial α1,3-Galactosyltransferase Genes in the Human Gut Microbiome

Emmanuel Montassier1,2,3, Gabriel A. Al-Ghalith4, Camille Mathé5,6, Quentin Le Bastard1,3, Venceslas Douillard6,7, Abel Garnier5,6,7, Rémi Guimon5,6,7, Bastien Raimondeau5,6, Yann Touchefeu8,9, Emilie Duchalais8,9, Nicolas Vince6,4, Sophie Limou5,6, Pierre-Antoine Gourraud5,6,7, David A. Laplaud4,10, Arnaud B. Nicot5,6, Jean-Paul Soulillou5,5,† and Laureline Berthelot5,5,†

†Microbiota Hosts Antibiotics and bacterial Resistances (MIHAR), Université de Nantes, Nantes, France, 2Laboratoire EA3826 Thérapeutiques cliniques et expérimentales des infections IRS2 Nantes Biotech, Université de Nantes, Nantes, France, 3Department of Emergency Medicine, CHU de Nantes, Nantes, France, 4Bioinformatics and Computational Biology, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, United States, 5Centre de Recherche en Transplantation et Immunologie UMR 1064, INSERM, Université de Nantes, Nantes, France, 6Institut de Transplantation Urologie Néphrologie (ITUN), CHU de Nantes, Nantes, France, 7CHU de Nantes, CIC 1413, Pôle Hospitalo-Universitaire 11 Santé Publique, Clinique des données, Nantes, France, 8Institut des Maladies de l’Appareil Digestif, CHU Nantes, Nantes, France, 9INSERM U1235, Nantes, France, 10Neurology department, CIC Neurology, CHU de Nantes, Nantes, France

Because of a loss-of-function mutation in the GGTA1 gene, humans are unable to synthesize α1,3-Galactose (Gal) decorated glycans and develop high levels of circulating anti-α1,3-Galactose antibodies (anti-Gal Abs). Anti-Gal Abs have been identified as a major obstacle of organ xenotransplantation and play a role in several host-pathogen relationships including potential susceptibility to infection. Anti-Gal Abs are supposed to stem from immunization against the gut microbiota, an assumption derived from the observation that some pathogens display α1,3-Gal and that antibiotic treatment decreases the level of anti-Gal. However, there is little information to date concerning the microorganisms producing α1,3-Gal in the human gut microbiome. Here, available α1,3-Galactosyltransferase (GT) gene sequences from gut bacteria were selectively quantified for the first time in the gut microbiome shotgun sequences of 163 adult individuals from three published population-based metagenomics analyses. We showed that most of the gut microbiome of adult individuals contained a small set of bacteria bearing α1,3-GT genes. These bacteria belong mainly to the Enterobacteriaceae family, including *Escherichia coli*, but also to Pasteurellaceae genera, *Haemophilus influenza* and *Lactobacillus* species. α1,3-Gal antigens and α1,3-GT activity were detected in healthy stools of individuals exhibiting α1,3-GT bacterial gene sequences in their shotgun data.
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INTRODUCTION

Human beings and old-world primates are unable to express the α1,3-Galactose epitope because of a loss-of-function mutation in the GGTA1 gene (Glycoprotein α1,3-Galactosyltransferase 1) coding α1,3GalactosylTransferase [see (1) for review]. As such, humans develop anti-α1,3-Galactose (anti-Gal) antibodies (Abs) supposed to result from an immune response to gut microbiota within the first year of life (2, 3).
Humans have high levels of circulating Abs directed against the α1,3-Gal epitope, with more than 1% of B-cells exhibiting a membrane receptor devoted to α1,3-Gal recognition (4). Anti-Gal Abs have been identified in the 1980s as the main barrier to vascularized organ xenotransplantation, where they act as the major etiological component of hyper-acute vascular xenograft rejection (5), which does not occur if the transplant comes from GGTA1 KO pigs (6). Anti-Gal Abs in humans have also been proposed as protective against a variety of Gal-positive microorganisms (7, 8) or viruses budding from non-human host cells (9) and likely contribute to several host-pathogen relationships, also including potential infection susceptibility. Anti-Gal may also play a role in some auto-immune processes. Patients with multiple sclerosis, with lower circulating titers of anti-Gal Abs (10) and with dysbiosis of the gut microbiota, may lack bacteria able to synthetize the α1,3-Gal epitope in their microbiota (11).

Importantly, presence of the α1,3-Gal epitope has been detected in engineered animal devices such as porcine or bovine biological heart valves (BHV) (12), and anti-Gal Abs may play a role in late valve deterioration, a major limitation of the success of BHV implantation in young adults. Thus, the level and type of microbiota able to synthetize the α1,3-Gal epitope may represent a key environmental factor controlling several pathological processes. The knowledge of the micro-organisms producing the α1,3-Gal antigens eliciting and maintaining blood anti-Gal levels may allow for the design of strategies to accordingly modulate antibody titers in some pathological states.

It is known that several bacteria are able to synthetize α1,3-Gal sugars (13) such as Klebsiella pneumonia (14), Escherichia coli (15), Salmonella (16), or Serratia marcescens (17) and that antibiotics can decrease the levels of blood anti-Gal in an experimental model (18). Yet, there is little information on which α1,3-Gal positive bacteria in the human gut microbiome initiate the primary response against the α1,3-Gal epitope in the first year of life, or which bacteria likely sustain the high level of anti-Gal antibodies in adults (19). In this paper, we analyzed for the first time the spectrum of α1,3Galactosyltransferase (α1,3GT) gene sequences in bacteria from human gut microbiome samples of 163 healthy adults using shotgun metagenomic analysis.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Metagenomic Shotgun Sequences**

We meta-analyzed two published population-based metagenomics analyses to assess the presence of α1,3GalactosylTransferase (α1,3GT) sequences in the gut microbiomes of human subjects. First, we analyzed metagenomic shotgun sequences from the Human Microbiome Project (HMP), including 239 adult subjects (20). Raw data are available at http://hmpdacc.org/. Stool sequences (n = 106 individuals) of this first cohort were randomly selected using the sample function in R programming language, and ultimately submitted to the meta-analysis. Full metadata and annotation protocols are available on the HMP DACC website (http://hmpdacc.org/HMMC1). We used the raw sequences downloaded from the website.

We also analyzed data of a second and more recent shotgun-sequencing project from the LifeLines-DEEP cohort. The raw sequence data from this Dutch population-based cohort are available from the European genome-phenome archive (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ega) at accession number EGAS00001001704. We randomly selected 57 individuals in the database and analyzed raw sequences downloaded from the EGA. We then analyzed a shotgun metagenomic DNA sequencing dataset of 20 samples from Hmong in Thailand (n = 15), Karen in Thailand (n = 5) from a multi-generational Asian American cohort (21).

**α1,3-GalactosylTransferase Gene Sequences**

The gene sequences encoding α1,3-GalactosylTransferase (α1,3GT) enzymes were collected from the Bioinformatics Resource Portal ExPASy (https://enzyme.expasy.org/EC/2.4.1.87). We also collected all gene sequences corresponding to α1,3GT among the 4,800 described genomes of bacteria in the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) (https://www.genome.jp/kegg/) and the gene sequences coding α1,3GT proteins in UniProt (https://www.uniprot.org/). Evolution has provided a high diversity of enzymes able to create the branched α1,3 position in galactose, which can stimulate an immune response in humans. [Supplementary Table 1](#) (also commented in the result section) provides the final list of sequences submitted for bioinformatics analysis.

**α1,3GalactosylTransferase Phylogenetic Tree Building**

We retrieved 193 bacterial gene sequences of the α1,3GT from the KEGG and UniProt databases by using α1,3GT-related keywords and manually curated the obtained list ([Supplementary Table 1](#)). We found 55 duplicates of nucleotide sequences, 45 of which coming from different strains of E. Coli; the 10 remaining sequences were duplicates from the same genus except one, Acidaminococcus intestinus and Campylobacter lari. We removed the duplicates to conduct the alignment and the tree building as advised for these algorithms; the alignment was performed using Clustal Omega from the EMBL-EBI website (22, 23). Results in the FASTA format were then given as input for the tree building. We chose RaxML (v. 8.2.12) (24) to compute phylogenetic trees. It relies on Maximum Likelihood and bootstrapping to generate multiple trees and rank them from the most to the least likely. It allows for fast and reliable tree building, adapted to calculation on a cluster. Standard GTRCAT and rapid bootstrap analysis and search for best-scoring ML tree were the options provided to the algorithm. The RaxML output trees were handled and visualized with R (v. 3.5.1) with the help of the ggtree package (v. 1.14.6) (25, 26). Coloration was done with the order group rather than genus or family in order to highlight the similarities between species and facilitate the interpretation. Orders of the bacteria were retrieved using BacDive (27), a database containing diverse phenotypic information.
Identification in Shotgun Sequences of α1,3-GalactosylTransferase Gene Sequences

All of available α1,3GT gene sequences from bacteria were used to quantify their abundance in the shotgun sequences from the fecal samples from the publicly available population-based metagenomics analyses of the two cohorts. A minimal sequence identity cutoff of 85 or 93.5% between the reference α1,3GT sequences and the shotgun reads sequences from the HMP and lifelines-DEEP datasets were both used in our assignment procedure. A 90%-confidence lowest common ancestor taxonomy assignment strategy was used to call taxonomy for each read whenever such a read mapped to the α1,3GT genes of multiple reference organisms.

Bioinformatic Analysis

We processed and trimmed the raw sequences from the two metagenomic datasets for quality using SHI7 (28). We then used the BURST DNA aligner (https://github.com/knights-lab/BURST) in CAPITALIST mode at 85 and 93.5% identity against the representative set (i.e., α1,3GT bacteria gene sequences). BURST is an optimal, high-speed pairwise sequence aligner specialized in aligning many short reads against reference databases under a range of sequence similarity assumptions (28). The reason BURST was chosen is because it does not use any type of heuristic approximation in its alignment calculations, unlike other popular short read aligners designed for high-similarity matches only. Instead, it performs exhaustive pairwise alignment on all sequences using dynamic programming without statistical approximations, and hence avoids the need for ensemble analysis that may be required when using other approximate tools that may have sensitivity/specificity tradeoffs in pursuing or calculating alignments. Especially for lower alignment identities, general-purpose techniques designed for speedy approximate alignment (read mappers, classifiers) are not applicable, as they use approximations that are reasonable for short-read mapping but not lower-identity alignment (under some metrics of precision/accuracy/sensitivity/recall) (29–31).

Because we are looking for potentially divergent sequences, we selected BURST over high-speed metagenomic classifiers such as MEGAN, MEGAN-LR (31) or Kraken (29) despite the increased computational cost. Here, our reference database was the collection of α1,3GT gene sequences collected from the literature, ExPASy, KEGG, and UniProt as described above.

Detection of α1,3-Gal Antigen

For flow cytometry, cells were labeled with IB4 lectin coupled with FITC (Sigma Aldrich) at dilution 1:50 in PBS-BSA 1% at 4°C for 20 min. After washing, cells were analyzed using Celesta flow cytometer (BD Biosciences) and Flow Jo software.

Stool samples from 6 healthy volunteers were used. A written consent was obtained for all individuals and the study was approved by the local ethical committee. For ELISA, maxisorp plates (Nunc, Thermo Fisher Scientific) were coated with proteins at 20 μg/ml from the different stool lysates in PBS 2 h at 37°C. After washing with PBS, Tween 0.05%, blocking was performed using PBS-BSA 1% for 1 h. An IgM anti-α1,3-Gal (clone M86, Enzo) was incubated at dilution 1:50 in PBS-BSA 1% for 1 h at 37°C. For the revelation, an anti-IgM coupled with horse radish peroxidase 1/500 was added. The substrate TMB (BD biosciences) was then incubated and coloration was stopped with sulfuric acid (0.18 M, Sigma Aldrich). Plates were read at 450 nm using a Spark 10 M microplate reader (Tecan, Manndorf, Switzerland).

α1,3-GT Activity

Maxisorp plates were coated with asialofetuin (the Galactose acceptor, Sigma Aldrich) at 20 μg/ml in carbonate buffer, 0.1 M pH 9.5. Blocking was performed using carbonate buffer, BSA 1%. Samples were incubated in 50 mM Tris pH 7.5, 10 mM CaCl₂, 5 mM UDP-Gal, for 3 h at 37°C providing the α1,3-Gal precursor. The presence of added α1,3-Gal was revealed by addition of IgM anti-α1,3-Gal (clone M86, Enzo) was added.

Statistical Analyses

Linear regression was assessed for correlation between the number of α1,3-GT gene sequences in the microbiome or the number of α1,3-GT gene positive bacteria and the amount of detected Gal antigen in stools of six healthy donors.

RESULTS

Analysis of Genes Coding α1,3-GalactosylTransferases in Bacteria

Only few bacteria, mostly pathogens, were described expressing α1,3-GalactosylTransferase (α1,3GT) in the literature (13–17). This enzyme belongs to the glycosyltransferase family 8 according to the Carbohydrate Active enZymes (CAZy) classification (http://www.CAZy.org). Using the ExPaSy and KEGG public databases, we were able to identify 193 bacteria species and subspecies harboring genes coding α1,3GT enzymes. Various short names have been attributed to these genes (WaaO, WaaI, WaaL, RfaI, RfaJ, gspa, or ybl158). Moreover, the corresponding α1,3GT enzymes bore also various functional descriptions such as “UDP-D-galactose:lipopolysaccharide-alpha-1,3-D-galactosyltransferase” or “General stress protein A,” requiring careful data collection (Supplementary Table 1). For these reasons, it is difficult to ensure exhaustive characterization of all genes encoding enzymes responsible for the production of the α1,3Gal antigen. Accordingly, our data provide a minimal estimation of the involved bacteria. When specified, the KEGG orthology numbers were KO3275 or KO3278, fitting with an α1,3GT function.

The phylogenetic tree with alignment of bacterial α1,3GT sequences showed that sequences largely clustered with bacteria orders (Figure 1), indicating a classical transmission of α1,3GT genes. Enterobacterales (including E. coli) was the predominant order of bacteria bearing α1,3GT. As expected, genetic distance of bacterial α1,3GT to mammal α1,3GT sequences was important (data not shown, comparison with bovine, porcine, murine, canine, cat, monkey, sheep, rat, and human sequences of GGTA1 gene). Some bacteria appeared to bear at least 2 different α1,3GT
gene sequences (for example *E. coli*) suggesting that several enzymes translated from different genes harbor α1,3GT function.

**Identification of α1,3GT Sequences in Gut Microbiome of Adult Individuals**

Based on all α1,3GT bacteria sequences extracted from the ExPASy and KEGG databases, we then studied the presence of corresponding sequences in the gut microbiome using the open access shotgun data of the two different cohorts of adult individuals.

In the Human Microbiome Project (HMP) cohort, 75 individuals (71% of the studied population) exhibited α1,3GT sequences in their gut microbiome when a lenient 0.85 sequence identity cutoff was used. The mean number of sequences above
the homology threshold per subject was 5 (standard deviation: 7; range: 0–39) (Figure 2A). With a 0.935 sequence homology cutoff, the mean number of sequences per subject $\alpha_{1,3}$GT was 2 (standard deviation: 4; range: 0–19).

In the more recent database from the LifeLines-DEEP cohort, we confirmed also the presence of $\alpha_{1,3}$GT sequences and found these sequences in 53 subjects (93%) with a 0.85 identity cutoff (Figure 2B). The mean number of sequences per subject was 16 (standard deviation: 24; range: 0–147). With a 0.935 cutoff, the mean number of compatible $\alpha_{1,3}$GT sequences was 11 (standard deviation: 19, range: 0–116).

In another recent database from a multi-generational Asian American cohort, where we only selected individuals living in Thailand ($n = 20$), we and found $\alpha_{1,3}$GT sequences in 19 subjects (95%) with a 0.85 identity cutoff (Figure 2C). The mean number of sequences per subject was 50 (standard deviation: 50; range: 0–147). With a 0.935 cutoff, the mean number of compatible $\alpha_{1,3}$GT sequences was 11 (standard deviation: 19, range: 0–198).

### $\alpha_{1,3}$GT Positive Bacteria in Gut Microbiome of Adult Individuals

The $\alpha_{1,3}$GT sequences allowed us to identify a first bacteria map of the human gut microbiome which exhibited $\alpha_{1,3}$GT genes. Using BURST, we found that optimal lowest common ancestor taxonomy assignment mostly associated the $\alpha_{1,3}$GT homology to sequences originating from the Enterobacteriaceae family, mostly *Escherichia coli*; the Pasteurellaceae family, mostly *Haemophilus influenzae*; the Streptococcus genus, mostly *Streptococcus salivarius* and *Streptococcus pneumoniae*; and the Lactobacillaceae family, with most *Pediococcus* and *Lactobacillus* genera (Table 1). Most, but not all, bacteria species displaying the $\alpha_{1,3}$GT gene sequences were classified as Gram-negative. Interestingly, gram-negative bacteria bear a complex lipopolysaccharide (LPS) in the outer leaflet of their membrane, which can harbor $\alpha_{1,3}$Gal antigen.

### $\alpha_{1,3}$GT Positive Gut Microbiome of Healthy Individuals Exhibit $\alpha_{1,3}$GT Activity and $\alpha_{1,3}$Gal Antigen Production

To confirm our bioinformatics sequence analysis on gut microbiome from healthy individuals, we tested by ELISA the presence of $\alpha_{1,3}$Gal antigen on human stool samples. First, a validation was performed on human cells (peripheral blood mononuclear cells) and rat splenocytes, used, respectively, as negative and positive controls. As shown in Supplementary Figure 1A, IB4 lectin, specific for $\alpha_{1,3}$Gal antigen, bound to rat cells and not to human cells and the presence of $\alpha_{1,3}$Gal antigen by ELISA was only detected in rat cells (Supplementary Figure 1B). Stool samples from six healthy volunteers (HV), all characterized as positive for bacterial $\alpha_{1,3}$GT gene sequences (Table 2), exhibited $\alpha_{1,3}$Gal antigen production (Figure 3A) and $\alpha_{1,3}$GT activity (Figure 3B). There was no significant correlation between the number of hits for $\alpha_{1,3}$GT sequences in shotgun data and the amount of Gal antigen in stools in this small cohort (data not shown). However, there was a tendency for positive correlation ($P = 0.0533$, $R^2 = 0.648$, Figure 3C) between the number of bacteria species harboring $\alpha_{1,3}$GT sequences and the amount of Gal antigen in the stools detected by ELISA, suggesting that the extent of diversity of bacteria with $\alpha_{1,3}$GT in the microbiota, influences the production of Gal antigen.

### DISCUSSION

Here, we describe for the first time the distribution of $\alpha_{1,3}$GT gene homologs in the human gut microbiome expected to encode the Gal antigen using a meta-analysis of two publicly available shotgun data sets including 163 adults from United States and The Netherlands. This method, contrary to 16S RNA analysis of gut microbiota which we previously used (11), directly detects the presence of $\alpha_{1,3}$GT gene sequences instead of relying on taxonomic prediction (29). We showed that sequences with an 85 or 93.5% homology with the reference $\alpha_{1,3}$GT gene sequences...
which encode the α,1,3-Gal antigen were restricted to a small number of gut microbiota, dominated by the Enterobacteriaceae family. High homology with the α,1,3GT gene sequences was also identified in the Haemophilus and Streptococcus genera, which represent <1% of the human gut microbiome in relative abundance (30). Altogether, our approach suggests that only a small fraction of the gut microbiome of adult individuals is able to produce the Gal antigen. Our study fits with previous observations that indirectly suggested that gut microbiome was the main source of production of the Gal antigen (18) resulting in the rise of the anti-Gal within the first year of life. Interestingly, in α,1,3GT-KO mice, modifications of gut microbiome followed during the first months of life were related to anti-Gal Ab levels (31).

However, our estimation likely underestimates the actual proportion of the microbiota able to produce the Gal antigen as also suggested by the presence of anti-Gal antibodies in most of the adult individuals tested. Indeed, it is possible that a fraction of adult individuals in whom no high homology of known α,1,3GT sequences were identified (29 and 7% in the two cohorts, respectively) corresponds to "false negative," owing the high diversity of the sequences of α,1,3GT in bacteria
and not characterized viruses/parasites in the microbiome. Moreover, not all genes coding α1,3GT have been identified in bacteria as such. For instance, in Neisseria meningitidis, anti-Gal antibodies bind to pili from these bacteria (32) but the corresponding enzyme with α1,3GT activity is not described. Besides, enzymes previously thought to be unimodal are now being annotated with multiple modalities. Indeed, two genes coding other glycosyltransferases were recently described as coding bsigtransferases exhibiting α1,3GT activity: wciN in Streptococcus pneumoniae (33) and WclR in Escherichia coli (34) (Supplementary Table 1).

Although anti-Gal Abs are found in most individuals, there is a substantial dispersion of their levels attributed to several demographic variables, including ABO blood groups, as well as age, sex, geographic location during childhood, and diet (35, 36). Another important variable may be the exposure to different types and levels of microorganisms of the healthy microbiota. Several bacterial pathogens able to synthesize α1,3-Gal such as Escherichia coli (34), Streptococcus pneumoniae (33) Salmonella (16) or parasites may also contribute to immunization in the childhood or adulthood in specific pathological contexts. Moreover, inflammatory bowel diseases and gastrointestinal infections are particularly associated with adherent-invasive Escherichia coli (37–39). Oral inoculation of α1,3GT gene KO mice with this bacterium induces production of anti-Gal Abs (40). Moreover, this bacterium readily binds human anti-Gal Abs (13). Altogether, our data suggest that α1,3GT positive bacteria of the gut microbiota, generate anti-Gal antibodies previously described to involve a substantial fraction of the B cell repertoire (4) and may also shape the dispersion of blood anti-Gal antibody levels. However, our present study only portrays a first map of the bacteria of gut microbiota harboring α1,3GT gene sequences allowing the synthesis of α1,3Gal epitope. Beside the nature of the bacteria themselves, which may influence the immunogenicity of the α1,3GT in the gut, other parameters, particularly the direct assessment of the actual amounts of α1,3GT antigen in the gut microbiota, would be important to correlate with the levels of blood anti-Neu5Gc in the future. Unfortunately, such study would require large cohorts of individuals with both blood and gut microbiota samples. We could only provide here preliminary results based on a few cases suggesting that the level of diversity of the bacteria harboring α1,3GT gene sequences have a borderline correlation with the levels of α1,3Gal in the stools.

We have recently suggested that the low level of anti-Gal reported in multiple sclerosis (10) could be linked to the low level of α1,3GT positive flora also observed in their gut microbiome (11). It is possible that manipulation of anti-Gal antibodies can find some utility in human autoimmune diseases and particularly in multiple sclerosis, a disease of unknown precise etiology. The present study is thus a step toward a possible demonstration that
these decreased levels were governed by abnormal microbiota. One can hypothesize that supplementing multiple sclerosis patient diet with Enterobacteriaceae or other α1,3GT positive species could be considered in the future. However, currently, one cannot speculate on a direct role of either the anti-Gal antibodies or rather that anti-Gal could be a marker of a microbiota alteration directly interfering in this disease (41). Furthermore, the link between the anti-Gal antibody levels and the amount of bacterial displaying α1,3GT activity is currently speculative.

Taken together, our data may open new avenues for delineating targeted strategies to eventually modulate the level of anti-α1,3Gal antibodies in the gut bacteria species in their shotgun data. These data could offer more target strategies to modulate anti-Gal antibodies in patients confronted to animal derived bio-devices (42) such as bovine or porcine bioprosthetic heart valves or help fighting some infections due to Gal displaying microorganisms. Manipulating the microbiome to decrease the level of anti-α1,3Gal Abs may be also useful for xenotransplantation, as using genetically engineered GGTA1 gene KO animal donors in the clinic is not yet permitted.

CONCLUSIONS

This study described for the first time the gut bacteria species bearing α1,3-GT gene sequences: the Enterobacteriaceae family, including Escherichia coli, Pasteurellaceae genera, Haemophilus influenza, and Lactobacillus species. These bacteria were detected in the gut microbiome of most individuals. Moreover, this bioinformatics analysis was confirmed by biological tests on human stool samples. α1,3-Gal antigens and α1,3-Galactosyltransferase activity were detected in healthy stools of individuals exhibiting α1,3-Galactosyltransferase bacterial sequences in their shotgun data. These data could offer more target strategies to modulate anti-Gal antibodies in patients confronted to animal derived biotherapeutics or biodrives and could represent an important issue for xenotransplantation and auto-immune diseases in the future.
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