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ABSTRACT

The Ray River is a critical surface water system which serves for domestic, industrial and agricultural activities running through Dong Nai province, and Ba Ria - Vung Tau province, located in Southeast region in Vietnam. The water quality of the river has increasingly gained attention among scholars due to seriously threatened by effluents from diverse activities such as recreational area, domestic activities, industrial zone and pig farming, as well as fertilizers and pesticides from agricultural activities. In this study, we aim at evaluation of the surface water quality in the Ray River using water quality index (WQI) proposed and at determination of crucial parameters among 13 physicochemical ones. These include temperature, pH, turbidity, dissolved oxygen (DO), ammonium (NH₄-N), nitrate (NO₃-N), nitrite (NO₂-N), phosphate (PO₄-P), total suspended solids (TSS), iron (Fe), permanganate index (COD₅₆), biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) and total coliform. Sampling was carried out four period of times (March, June, October, and December) in 2019, in two different seasons, dry season and rainy season, at 10 locations spreading from upstream to downstream of the river. As a result, the water quality at the M2, M3 (in dry season) and M2, M9, M10 (in rainy season) locations were found to be the most polluted. Water quality index in dry season was significantly higher than that in the rainy season. Thus, water quality assessment is significant for better control and management of the surface water environment in Vietnam.
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Tóm tắt

Sông Ray là một trong những hệ thống sông chảy qua tỉnh Đồng Nai và Bà Rịa - Vũng Tàu. Sông sông có tầm quan trọng trong việc phục vụ sinh hoạt, công nghiệp và nông nghiệp. Tuy nhiên, hiện nay chất lượng nước ngày càng ảnh hưởng nghiêm trọng bởi các hoạt động vi phạm quyền tự do, đê ụt, chăn nuôi, phân bón và thuốc trừ sâu. Do đó, nghiên cứu này được thực hiện với mục đích xác định và đánh giá các thông số hóa lý quan trọng. Mẫu được thu được từ các vị trí M2, M3 (mùa khô) và M2, M9, M10 (mùa mưa) trong năm 2019 (tháng 3, 6, 10, 12) của hai mùa khô và mùa mưa bao gồm 13 thông số hóa lý như nhiệt độ, pH, độ ốc, oxit hóa tan (DO), amoni (NH₄-N), nitrat (NO₃-N), nitrit (NO₂-N), photphat (PO₄-P), tổng chất rắn lỏng (TSS), sắt (Fe), nhu cầu oxit hóa học (COD), nhu cầu oxit sinh học (BOD₅) và tổng coliform. Phương pháp thu và phân tích mẫu được theo phương pháp chuẩn. Kết quả sau 4 đợt phân tích mẫu cho thấy chất lượng nước tại các vị trí M2, M3 (mùa khô) và M2, M9, M10 (mùa mưa) bị ô nhiễm nặng nhất. Ngoài ra, chỉ số WQI mùa khô cao hơn mùa mưa. Vì vậy, đánh giá chất lượng nước sông Ray có ý nghĩa quan trọng trong việc kiểm soát và quản lý môi trường nước mặt ở Việt Nam.
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1. Introduction

Rivers provide important water resources for irrigational, industrial, and domestic purposes. Meanwhile, water quality degradation is serious and common worldwide because of numerous stresses, including natural processes, anthropogenic activities and overdevelopment [1]-[3]. Besides, water pollution not only influences water quality but also impacts human health, ecosystem, economic and social development [4]. However, it is impossible to follow the alteration in water quality of a river (or section of a river) through each individual parameter of assessment of water quality and to compare water quality in each section of a river, between one river and another one, or among months or seasons, or between the current water quality and the past one [5]. River basins are likely to be polluted by effluents discharge from domestic, industrial, and agricultural activities. Hence, it is essential to control water pollution and water quality [6], [7]. Some studies have shown that assessment of river water quality using traditional water samples for laboratory analyses is highly accuracy but very costly and time consuming [8], [9]. Water quality assessment has been carried out by various methods such as statistical approach, modelling technique WQI [9]-[11]. Assessing WQI is one of the most useful methods to transmit information of the quality of water to publics and relevant policy makers [12]-[15]. Moreover, WQI have multiple calculations and models of development and to enhance the understanding capacity of water quality issues by policy makers and community [16], [17]. Therefore, developing appropriate WQI for the local area is very important. In recent years, there have been studies of applications of the WQI to evaluate water quality. Şener et al. [18] evaluated the water quality the Aksu river (SW-Turkey) based on water quality index and map. Wu et al. [19] applied a water quality index min (WQI_{min}) to assess water quality of rivers in Lake Chaohu Basin. The result showed that WQI_{min} consisted of five crucial parameters (i.e., turbidity, DO, NH_{3}-N, NO_{3}-N, and COD_{sto}) was not significantly different with the WQI based on all the 15 parameters.

Rapid development of industrialization and urbanization, especially in developing countries, e.g. Vietnam, has impacted the availability and quality of surface water due to its overexploitation and improper waste disposal. Vietnam currently has in total 2360 rivers with an average 10 km long including 109 main rivers [20], [21]. Recently, pollution of rivers in Vietnam has increased steadily such as Sai Gon, Dong Nai, and Ray rivers [22], [23]. The Ray river is of particular importance in the study of surface water pollution because the effluents from Da Bac Industrial Zone, pig farm, sewage from Xuyen Moc, Chau Duc and Cam My districts are discharged into this river which ultimately leads to the surface water of the Ray River being seriously threatened by fertilizers, pesticides, farm, and livestock activities. In addition, the Ray River is also the main source of water for domestic, industrial and agricultural activities, so effective water management is essential.

Concerning a number of studies on WQI in Vietnam, Hanh et al. [24] applied a WQI to identify pollutants in Vietnam’s surface water. The results showed that surface-water quality in the northern and central parts was poor, containing organic matter, nutrients, and bacteria, whereas water in the southern part was primarily polluted by bacteria and drainage systems, lakes and stretches of rivers close to urban areas had extremely poor water quality. Lan et al. [25] studied of surface water quality by water quality index (WQI) at the Cai Sao canal, An Giang province, Vietnam; the results demonstrated that the WQI model offered a reliable alternative to water quality computation and forecasting. More recently, Pham et al. [26] employed multivariate statistical techniques and WQI to assessment of surface water quality of Dong Nai River Basin, Vietnam. The results showed that multivariate statistical techniques and WQI could be an efficient approach to communicate information on water quality for the sustainable practices of watershed management in the Upper Part of Dong Nai River Basin. However, since the development of the WQI method, to the best of the authors’ knowledge, there has been no
published research demonstrating the application of the WQI index to water quality prediction in the Ray River. On the other hand, an overall water quality evaluation, as well as water quality comparisons of different monitoring sites both within a region and among different regions, had not yet been conducted. Moreover, in this study, we evaluated the water quality condition in the Ray River based on two seasonal sampling activities at ten locations covering the entire basin in 2019. The primary aim of the study was to assess water quality by location and season and to determine the main parameters influence water quality (i.e., the parameters included in WQI). Besides, water quality assessment is significant for the development of nations and to identify database for preparations of future water resources development strategies. Moreover, this research helps to better control and manage surface water environment in Vietnam.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Study area

The Ray River flows north-south through Dong Nai and Ba Ria - Vung Tau province. It originates from the south and southwest of Chua Chan mountain, has a total catchment area of 1,300 km² and the length of river is 120 km (Figure 1).

![Figure 1. Map of sampling sites](http://jst.tnu.edu.vn)
Ray River basin provides the main source of water for irrigation, domestic and industrial activities requirements.

2.2. Sample collection and laboratory analysis

Sampling was done four periods in March, June, October, and December in 2019, falling into two different seasons, dry season (DS), and rainy season (RS). The sampling locations descriptions are displayed in Table 1 and Figure 1.

Most of the samples were conducted in non-rainy weather conditions. We collected water samples at a depth of ~ 20 cm with a plastic bin and acid-cleaned after rinsing with the surface water. The water samples were analyzed using standard procedure [28] such as temperature (T), pH, DO, turbidity (tur). The parameters nitrate (NO$_3$-N), ammonium (NH$_4$-N), nitrite (NO$_2$-N), phosphate (PO$_4$-P), total suspended solids (TSS), have been filtered by GF/F filters before analysis. All samples had been kept by ice in the refrigerator during the study. pH, temperature, DO, and turbidity were analyzed using portable HANA instrument analyzer model HI9828. Iron (Fe), NO$_3$-N, NH$_4$-N, NO$_2$-N, and PO$_4$-P were determined by UV spectrophotometer model SPECTRO 24RS. Permanganate index (COD$_{Mn}$) was determined by using titrimetric method and acid digestion with potassium permanganate oxidation. Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) was measured using oxytop equipment and incubated in a closed system. TSS was determined by using mass method. Total coliform was measured using Membrane-filter technique method, by growing on M-FC medium at temperature 44.5º ± 1ºC and counted after 48 hours.

Table 1. Sampling locations in Ray River

| Location          | Code | Description                                                                 |
|-------------------|------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Hoa Binh waterfall| M1   | The sampling location is located near recreational area (picnic areas) agricultural area and pig farm. |
| Upstream of the Ray River | M2   | The sampling location is located near livestock and farm, which is the upstream location. Activities observed are irrigation and domestic activities. |
| Song Ray Lake      | M3   | The sampling station is located near Ray Lake, which is downstream location. The water is extensively used for recreational (picnic areas) and agricultural area. |
| River Ray Bridge   | M4   | Sampling point is near the bridge. The main activity observed in the river is used for irrigation, industrial, and domestic purpose. |
| Suoi Cat           | M5   | The sampling location is located near arable land, before a dam. |
| Suoi Cao           | M6   | The sampling location is located near arable land, after a dam. |
| Suoi Vong          | M7   | The sampling location is located near agricultural area and pig farm. |
| Suoi Doi           | M8   | The sampling location is located near industrial, agricultural area, and farm activities. |
| Da Den lake        | M9   | The sampling station is located near Da Den lake. The water is extensively used for domestic, agricultural, industrial, and farm activities. |
| Dam Loc An         | M10  | End of the river, near agricultural, domestic area and livestock. |

2.3. Developing water quality index (WQI)

Among 13 physicochemical, the development of WQI for the Ray River was based upon nine water quality parameters such as temperature, pH, turbidity, fecal coliform, dissolved oxygen, biochemical oxygen demand, total phosphates, nitrates and total solids [29]-[31].

We adopted the calculation of WQI were detailed below:

\[
WQI = \frac{WQI_{\text{pH}}}{100} \left[ \frac{1}{5} \sum_{a=1}^{5} WQI_a \times \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_{b=1}^{2} WQI_b \times WQI_c \right)^{-\frac{1}{3}} \right]
\]

Where:
- WQI$_{pH}$: The calculated WQI value for 5 parameters: DO, BOD$_5$, COD, N-NH$_4^+$, P-PO$_4^{3-}$.
- WQI$_a$: The calculated WQI value for 2 parameters: TSS, Tur
- WQI$_c$: The calculated WQI value for the Total Coliform parameter
- WQI$_{pH}$: The calculated WQI value for the pH parameter
According to the Decision No. 879/QD-TCMT and WQI method, the ratings of water quality was divided into five degrees below (Table 2) [30]-[34].

Table 2. Water quality classification based on WQI value

| WQI Value | Rating of Water Quality | Color |
|-----------|-------------------------|-------|
| 91-100    | Excellent               | Blue  |
| 71-90     | Good                    | Green |
| 51-70     | Medium                  | Orange|
| 26-50     | Bad                     | Yellow|
| 0-25      | Very bad                | Red   |

3. Results and discussions

3.1. Statistics of surface water quality parameters in the DS and RS seasons

The Ray River is the main source of water for domestic, industrial and agricultural activities; hence, in this study, we evaluate water quality based on two standards of the Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment. In addition, based on the statistics of the surface water, indication of the water quality deterioration was observed in the Ray river basin as parameters did not meet the Vietnamese regulation for surface water QCVN 08:2008 type B1 such as TSS, BOD, COD, NOx, NH4, coliform. Also, there was pronounced variation in water quality between the dry and rainy seasons (Table 3).

Table 3. Statistics of surface water parameters for DS and RS seasons in the Ray river [35]

| Parameters | Dry season | Rainy season | QCVN 08:2008\(^1\) |
|------------|------------|--------------|---------------------|
|            | Min | Mean | Max | SD | CV% | Min | Mean | Max | SD | CV% | A1 | B1 |
| T          | 24.0 | 28.8 | 33.5 | 2.3 | 8.1% | 22.0 | 27.6 | 31.0 | 3.1 | 11.1% | - | - |
| pH         | 6.8 | 7.3 | 8.1 | 0.4 | 5.1% | 6.8 | 7.5 | 8.2 | 0.4 | 5.6% | 68.5 | 5.5-9 |
| DO         | 3.9 | 6.4 | 7.8 | 1.2 | 18.1% | 4.4 | 6.4 | 7.6 | 1.0 | 15.4% | ≥ 6 | ≥ 4 |
| Tur        | 4.0 | 14.5 | 40.9 | 11.8 | 81.0% | 7.0 | 58.7 | 172.0 | 60.8 | 103.5% | - | - |
| TSS        | 3.0 | 18.0 | 61.0 | 21.0 | 117.0% | 3.0 | 66.1 | 214.7 | 77.9 | 118.0% | 20 | 50 |
| BOD\(_s\)  | 4.3 | 8.2 | 19.4 | 4.5 | 55.2% | 4.5 | 7.0 | 12.3 | 2.6 | 36.7% | 4 | 15 |
| COD        | 3.5 | 15.3 | 41.8 | 10.9 | 71.6% | 3.3 | 13.3 | 28.2 | 7.2 | 54.5% | 10 | 30 |
| Fe         | 0.2 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 65.0% | 0.1 | 1.2 | 2.8 | 1.0 | 84.6% | 0.5 | 1.5 |
| N-NO\(_3\) | 0.2 | 1.1 | 2.5 | 0.8 | 76.2% | 0.2 | 0.7 | 2.4 | 0.6 | 94.7% | 2 | 10 |
| N-NO\(_2\) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 103.2% | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 122.6% | 0.01 | 0.04 |
| N-NH\(_4\) | 0.3 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 0.5 | 40.6% | 0.5 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 0.4 | 41.6% | 0.1 | 0.5 |
| P-PO\(_4\) | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 72.5% | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 76.7% | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Coliform  | 230 | 5107 | 24000 | 7654 | 149.9% | 2400 | 11240 | 43000 | 12384.2 | 110.2% | 2500 | 7500 |

As a result, the average level of T was 28.8°C, ranging from 24 to 33.5°C and 22 to 31°C during DS and RS. Surface water had mean pH of 7.3 and 7.5 in the DS and RS seasons, respectively. Tur was higher during RS (58.7 NTU) may be due to disturbance and processes of underwater light condition. Moreover, the suspended particles absorb temperature from the sunlight, making turbid waters become warmer, and so reducing the concentration of oxygen in the water. Dissolved Oxygen varied between 3.9 - 7.8 mg/L and 4.4 - 7.6 mg/L during DS and RS, respectively, which the mean value was both two seasons being 6.4 mg/L. The result DO shows that the water quality was well in the Ray River. The TSS was relatively high in the selected locations, and the mean values were 18 mg/l and 66.1 mg/l, respectively, which had the SD were 21 and 77.9 during DS and RS, respectively. In addition, TSS in water sample in RS is much higher than that in DS. The increase in TSS may be resulted from the mixing with rain

\(^1\) QCVN 08:2008 is the Vietnamese national technical regulation for surface water quality (A1 – for drinking water purposes, B1 – acceptable for irrigation and transportation or other activities that does not require a high quality standard)
water. NO$_3$, NO$_2$, NH$_4$, and PO$_4$ were higher during RS and along highly agricultural, livestock areas may be due to leaching from plant nutrient and fertilizers. The organic pollution was also relatively severe in surface water, with the mean value of COD$_{Mn}$ being 15.3 mg/L and 13.3 mg/L during DS and RS, respectively. BOD$_5$ varied largely in DS, with the mean and SD values being 8.2 mg/L and 19.4 mg/L, respectively. The high BOD$_5$ value can be resulted from farms situated in the upstream of the river as well as effluents from the domestic wastewater and industrial activity. Regarding the Coliform whose standard of surface water is 7500 MPN/100ml, it is found that the surface water samples were below the maximum allowance in both two seasons. The mean levels of Coliform were 5107.0 and 11240.0 MPN/100ml during DS and RS.

3.2. Distribution of water quality parameters in DS and RS seasons respectively

Box plot was used to represent spatial variation in Ray River water quality, shown in Figure 2. Tur, TSS, Fe, Coliform results indicate an increasing trend of these parameters during RS. This may due to the leaching from farm, livestock, and other anthropogenic activities. Regarding BOD$_5$ and COD, its values were significantly lower in RS (median value of 6.6 mg/L and 13.25 mg/L, respectively) than those in DS (which the mean values were 7.0 mg/L and 13.3 mg/L, respectively). The concentrations of nutrients (NO$_3$-N, NO$_2$-N, NH$_4$-N) in RS were all relatively lower than that in DS, see Fig. 2.

3.3. Water quality condition based on the WQI

WQI of Ray river was depicted in Table 4. The analysis of experimental results was made based on the Water Quality Index Decision No. 879/QD-TCMT of various water locations in the study area [34].

Water quality in DS is relatively better than rainy season. The water quality at the locations M4 to M9 in the dry season were ranked good and fall into “A, B” category, except at Hoa Binh waterfall and Dam Loc An where have medium water quality and fall into “C” category. The quality of upstream of the Ray River and River Ray lake were very poor and belongs to “E” category due to the wastewater from farms, industrial zones and agriculture. The water quality of
the rainy season in the locations M1, M3, M4, M6 and M8 were observed to be of medium quality and classified as “C” category. The sample collected at location M5 was of good quality and was classified as “B” category. Besides, all the sampling areas at M2, M9, M10 of the Ray River were the most polluted since its WQI have the lowest value (Figure 3). This is due to the results of the expansion of industrial, agricultural activities and the increase use of fertilizers, agrochemicals. On the other hand, the high organic load from domestic and livestock wastewater has seriously polluted the Ray River.

Table 4. Results of WQI calculation of the Ray River

| No  | WQIDO | WQIOBODs | WQICOD | WQIDSH | WQIPHO | WQITSS | WQITwF | WQICDh | WQIPH | WQI | Description | Class |
|-----|-------|----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------|-----|-------------|-------|
| Dry season |       |          |        |        |        |        |        |        |       |    |             |       |
| M1  | 100.00 | 74.44    | 100.00 | 23.08  | 100.00 | 100.00 | 93.50  | 35.00  | 100.00 | 64.57 | Medium      | C     |
| M2  | 100.00 | 96.25    | 100.00 | 22.78  | 100.00 | 100.00 | 93.33  | 1.00   | 100.00 | 20.08 | Very Bad    | E     |
| M3  | 100.00 | 91.25    | 100.00 | 69.17  | 84.50  | 100.00 | 51.25  | 1.00   | 100.00 | 18.88 | Very Bad    | E     |
| M4  | 100.00 | 74.17    | 81.50  | 19.54  | 100.00 | 100.00 | 94.17  | 114.00 | 100.00 | 94.00 | Excellent   | A     |
| M5  | 100.00 | 70.83    | 86.00  | 21.28  | 100.00 | 100.00 | 98.33  | 113.70 | 100.00 | 94.83 | Excellent   | A     |
| M6  | 69.59  | 72.50    | 100.00 | 23.38  | 100.00 | 100.00 | 94.50  | 122.70 | 100.00 | 95.54 | Excellent   | A     |
| M7  | 100.00 | 57.25    | 66.50  | 30.50  | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 101.00 | 100.00 | 89.44 | Good        | B     |
| M8  | 49.41  | 77.50    | 73.33  | 28.50  | 100.00 | 100.00 | 85.00  | 120.70 | 100.00 | 90.21 | Excellent   | A     |
| M9  | 68.74  | 67.78    | 65.00  | 39.50  | 100.00 | 100.00 | 44.50  | 81.67  | 116.50 | 100.00 | 79.44 | Good        | B     |
| M10 | 100.00 | 39.00    | 35.25  | 23.08  | 100.00 | 100.00 | 48.75  | 43.19  | 115.70 | 100.00 | 68.13 | Medium      | C     |
| Rainy season |       |          |        |        |        |        |        |        |       |    |             |       |
| M1  | 100.00 | 80.00    | 90.00  | 54.17  | 74.25  | 100.00 | 1.00   | 101.00 | 100.00 | 74.07 | Medium      | C     |
| M2  | 68.74  | 86.25    | 100.00 | 22.06  | 100.00 | 100.00 | 95.83  | 1.00   | 100.00 | 19.47 | Very Bad    | E     |
| M3  | 91.09  | 77.50    | 80.00  | 23.98  | 91.75  | 49.65  | 1.00   | 89.00  | 100.00 | 54.76 | Medium      | C     |
| M4  | 100.00 | 74.44    | 100.00 | 22.90  | 93.75  | 100.00 | 65.50  | 50.00  | 100.00 | 68.66 | Medium      | C     |
| M5  | 100.00 | 71.39    | 100.00 | 37.50  | 100.00 | 100.00 | 96.67  | 73.00  | 100.00 | 83.73 | Good        | B     |
| M6  | 100.00 | 93.75    | 100.00 | 36.00  | 100.00 | 100.00 | 93.33  | 32.00  | 100.00 | 64.30 | Medium      | C     |
| M7  | 94.72  | 12.50    | 72.83  | 21.64  | 100.00 | 1.00   | 22.60  | 79.00  | 100.00 | 38.31 | Bad         | D     |
| M8  | 78.34  | 57.50    | 53.00  | 41.00  | 100.00 | 100.00 | 43.60  | 81.67  | 77.00  | 100.00 | 68.27 | Medium      | C     |
| M9  | 72.31  | 78.75    | 91.50  | 37.00  | 100.00 | 1.00   | 1.00   | 1.00   | 100.00 | 4.23  | Very Bad    | E     |
| M10 | 50.77  | 72.78    | 64.33  | 45.50  | 100.00 | 47.15  | 80.33  | 1.00   | 100.00 | 16.20 | Very Bad    | E     |

Figure 3. Graph of WQI calculation of Ray River

This study identifies that the single value of WQI has enough and higher sensitivity to evaluate the surface water quality than a long list of values of a large variety of parameters (Figure 3). Using this method could be useful for managers to monitor and assess the quality of surface water in fixed areas [12], [19].

On the other hand, the linear relationship between any two water quality parameters, as measured by the simple correlation coefficient (r), has been presented in Table 5. Correlation analysis will measure the closeness of the relationship between selected variables, if the correlation coefficient is close by +1 or −1, the linear association between the two variables is
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perfect [36]. DO and temperature are highly interrelated among themselves. Dissolved oxygen concentration in water (mg/l) is very temperature dependent. Furthermore, the correlation matrix reveals that a relationship exists between pH, coliform, and T, as well as between COD, BODs, and DO.

Table 5. Correlation coefficient of water quality parameters

|        | T  | pH | DO  | TUR | TSS | BODs | COD | Fe   | N-NO3 | N-NO2 | N-NH4 | P-PO4 | Coli. |
|--------|----|----|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
|        | 1  | 1  | 0.728 | 0.676 | -0.168 | -0.229 | 0.240 | -0.009 | 0.752 | 0.595 | 0.011 | 0.0216 | 0.215 |
| pH     | 0.728 | 1 | -0.001 | 0.084 | 0.081 | 0.112 | 0.133 | -0.137 | 0.450 | 0.728 | 0.486 | 0.253 | 0.113 |
| DO     | 0.676 | 0.595 | 1 | 0.081 | 0.133 | 0.084 | 0.137 | 0.450 | 0.253 | 0.113 | 0.133 | 0.253 | 0.113 |
| TUR    | -0.168 | 0.001 | 0.084 | 1 | 0.358 | 0.193 | -0.127 | 0.0403 | 0.403 | -0.178 | 0.038 | 0.038 | 0.038 |
| TSS    | -0.229 | -0.404 | -0.263 | 0.358 | 1 | 0.127 | -0.178 | 0.403 | 0.0403 | 0.038 | 0.127 | 0.127 | 0.127 |
| BODs   | 0.240 | -0.127 | -0.193 | -0.178 | 0.403 | 1 | 0.127 | -0.178 | 0.403 | 0.038 | 0.127 | 0.127 | 0.127 |
| COD    | 0.009 | -0.216 | -0.593 | 0.112 | 0.133 | 0.137 | 1 | 0.752 | 0.215 | 0.127 | 0.127 | 0.127 | 0.127 |
| Fe     | -0.386 | -0.190 | -0.215 | 0.893 | 0.623 | -0.085 | 0.180 | 1 | 0.386 | 0.190 | 0.215 | 0.893 | 0.623 | 0.085 |
| N-NO3  | 0.237 | 0.253 | 0.113 | 0.561 | 0.374 | 0.123 | 0.165 | 1 | 0.237 | 0.253 | 0.113 | 0.561 | 0.374 | 0.123 |
| N-NO2  | 0.338 | 0.178 | 0.147 | 0.147 | 0.084 | -0.386 | 0.178 | 0.084 | 1 | 0.338 | 0.178 | 0.147 | 0.147 | 0.084 |
| N-NH4  | -0.017 | -0.200 | -0.145 | 0.815 | 0.339 | -0.312 | 0.061 | 0.816 | 0.124 | 1 | 0.017 | 0.200 | -0.145 | 0.815 |
| P-PO4  | 0.059 | 0.155 | 0.283 | 0.687 | 0.185 | 0.215 | 0.068 | 0.486 | 0.110 | 0.448 | 0.058 | 1 | 0.155 | 0.283 |
| Coli.  | 0.752 | -0.583 | -0.745 | 0.397 | 0.107 | 0.201 | 0.128 | 0.011 | 0.222 | 0.239 | -0.127 | -0.261 | 1 | 0.583 | 0.745 |

4. Conclusion

This study aims to assess the water quality in two seasons (dry and rainy season) at the Ray River and to determine thirteen parameters the main parameters influence water quality, which are considered for the prediction of the WQI at the ten locations. For the implementation of the single locations, thirteen different parameters were used and the predictive results were evaluated using determination WQI, mean, and correlation coefficient. The results showed that the quality of surface water was strongly influenced by anthropogenic activities including industrial effluents, phosphate fertilizers in agriculture, and livestock activities. The water quality at the M2, M3 (dry season) and M2, M9, M10 (rainy season) locations are found to be the most polluted. WQI in dry season was significantly higher than that in the rainy season. In generally, water quality condition was evaluated as “medium and poor” in terms of WQI, while the state varied obviously during the two seasons, with “good” ranking in dry season. Water quality in the study area is gradually reaching the alarming stage so that proper planning is essential to this venture to preserve the source water quality.
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