Forbush Decreases during the DeepMin and MiniMax of Solar Cycle 24
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After a prolong and deep solar minimum at the end of solar cycle 23, the current cycle 24 is one of the lowest cycles. The two periods of deep minimum and mini-maximum of the cycle 24 are connected by a period of increasing solar activity. In this work, the Forbush decreases of cosmic ray intensity during the period from January 2008 to December 2014 are studied. A statistical analysis of 749 events using the IZMIRAN database of Forbush effects obtained by processing the data of the worldwide neutron monitor network using the global survey method is performed. A further study of the events that happened on the Sun and affected the interplanetary space, and finally provoked the decreases of the galactic cosmic rays near Earth is performed. A statistical analysis of the amplitude of the cosmic ray decreases with solar and geomagnetic parameters is carried out. The results will be useful for space weather studies and especially for Forbush decreases forecasting.

I. INTRODUCTION

Cosmic rays (CR) are high energetic particles that are coming from galactic and extragalactic sources [1]. They are not stable but a lot of cosmic ray variations are recorded by the neutron monitor worldwide network that is considered as a reliable network of ground based detectors of cosmic rays. These variations are a really important source on space weather information.

The first who studied the cosmic ray variations almost eighty years, or otherwise eight solar cycles ago, was Scott Forbush [2]. He discovered a very important variation in cosmic ray intensity, after whom it was named as Forbush decrease (FD). In this work we study FDs with amplitude greater than 2%. As a source of FD is considered an ICME, which have created an interplanetary shock, and when it reaches the Earth’s magnetosphere, in the most cases, a sudden storm commencement (SSC) is created and a decrease in cosmic rays appears [3].

Previous studies [4] [5] have introduced the meaning of FDs precursors and the effort of making from them a forecasting model for FDs. As a precursor is considered a pre - decrease within the narrow longitude range and a wide pre - increase.

In this work we have studied the FDs of the cosmic ray intensity during the time period from January 2008 to December 2014 and we have compared them with the FDs which had been taken place in the previous solar cycle 23. Furthermore we present preliminary results of FDs precursors in some events that have been selected with specific criteria.

II. DATA SELECTION

About 50 Neutron Monitors located all over the world provide their cosmic ray data to the High Resolution Neutron Monitor Database - NMDB (http://www.nmdb.eu). In this work corrected for pressure hourly values of these data have been obtained. In addition the IZMIRAN database of FDs has also used extracting a lot of information for each selected event. By applying the Global Survey Method (GSM), the first harmonic of the cosmic ray anisotropy at a cosmic ray rigidity of 10GV, which is close to the effective rigidity of the particles being registered by the neutron monitor worldwide network, is calculated [6].

The time period from January 2008 to December 2014, covering the minimum between the solar cycles 23/24, the ascending phase and the maximum period of the solar cycle 24, was studied. In Figure 1, from top to bottom, time profiles...
of the monthly values of the normalized cosmic ray intensity from the Fort Smith Neutron Monitor, the total number of solar flares and the number of importance ≥ M flares from the GOES satellites (ftp.ngdc.noaa.gov) are presented. In the bottom panel of this Figure the number of halo CMEs from the Large Angle and Spectroscopic Coronagraph (LASCO) onboard the Solar and Heliospheric Observatory (SOHO) (http://cdaw.gsfc.nasa.gov) are also illustrated.

An extended and deep minimum between the solar cycles 23 and 24, where it can be said that there was no solar activity, can be observed. There were only three halo CMEs in about two years. We have to notice here that the cosmic ray intensity in this time period was in the highest levels that have ever been recorded. In the next two years, 2010-2011, the solar activity started to increase and the cosmic ray intensity, on the contrary, started to decrease. So these two years are characterized as the ascending phase of solar cycle 24. Then in 2012 the solar activity developed a shoulder, which turned into a plateau in 2013 and had an extra peak in 2014, in a good agreement with the results of other studies (e.g. [7]).

The anti-correlation between the number of sunspots and the cosmic ray intensity during the last two solar cycles is well noticed in Figure 2. The deep minimum area and the minimax area of solar cycle 24 are obvious. If we have a look on the number of FDs per month (Figure 3) that occurred during these solar cycles, it can be noted that more FDs took place at the descending phase of solar cycle 23 and the same seems to be happened also at the current cycle. On the ascending phases only a few FDs were recorded. A few events were also observed at the peak of the current cycle, with a maximum number of five events per month.

III. DATA ANALYSIS

During the whole studied period of cycle 24 a number of 749 Forbush Effects recorded, out of which only 114 events with amplitude greater than 2%. From these events, only these that had amplitude greater than 5% are presented in Table I. Some of their characteristics are the amplitude of the decrease of the cosmic ray intensity, the values of the geomagnetic index Dst and of the interplanetary magnetic field (IMF), the solar wind velocity and the connected flares and CMEs, as also the CMEs velocity (see also [8]). It is noted that from the cases of fifteen FDs with amplitude greater than 5% eight of them occurred in 2014 while the other seven events occurred in a time period of six years.

It can be said that there is a quasi-linear correlation between geomagnetic index and the FDs amplitude [8]. But it is not a rule that a large value of a geomagnetic index, in the case of Dst, automatically means a small FD, as it is presented in Table I. All FDs in Table I are created by CMEs. It is naturally that a CME is the only source of a big FD [3].

The majority of FDs are produced by CMEs with velocities from 400 to 1200 km/sec and at the same time the velocity of the solar wind fluctuates from 400 to 740 km/sec. On the contrary, once again it is resulted that the FD’s amplitude is not tightly connected with the CMEs velocity and the same is valid for the connection of them with the solar wind velocity [8].

IV. FORBUSH DECREASES PRECURSORS

For studying the precursor effects in different Forbush events, the Ring of Stations (RS) method has been applied [9] to the hourly data of CR intensity.
TABLE 1: List of FDs with amplitude greater than 5%

| A/A | SSC DD.MM.YYYY hh:mm UT | Ampl. CR 10GV (%) | Dist min (nT) | IMF (nT) DD.MM.YYYY | Vsw (km/s) | Date of CME occur. DD.MM.YYYY hh:mm:ss UT | Vcmes (km/s) |
|-----|--------------------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------------|------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------|
| 1   | 18.02.2011, 01:36        | 5.2               | -30           | 30.6                | 691        | X2.2                                      | 15.02.2011, 02:24:05 | 669 |
| 2   | 08.03.2012, 11:05        | 11.7              | -143          | 23.1                | 737        | X5.4                                      | 07.03.2012, 00:24:06 | 2684 |
| 3   | 12.03.2012, 09:21        | 5.7               | -51           | 23.6                | 727        | M8.4                                      | 10.03.2012, 18:12:06 | 1296 |
| 4   | 14.07.2012, 18:11        | 6.4               | -133          | 27.3                | 667        | X1.4                                      | 12.07.2012, 16:48:05 | 885 |
| 5   | 13.04.2013, 05:59        | 5.3               | -7            | 12.9                | 516        | M6.5                                      | 11.04.2013, 07:24:06 | 861 |
| 6   | 23.06.2013, 04:26        | 5.9               | -49           | 7.6                 | 697        | M2.9                                      | 21.06.2013, 03:12:09 | 1900 |
| 7   | 14.12.2013, 14:00        | 5.1               | -41           | 10.9                | 600        | C4.6                                      | 12.12.2013, 03:36:05 | 1002 |
| 8   | 27.02.2014, 16:50        | 5.1               | -99           | 16.6                | 483        | X4.9                                      | 25.02.2014, 01:25:50 | 2147 |
| 9   | 18.04.2014, 02:00        | 5.1               | -13           | 10.2                | 506        | M1.0                                      | 16.04.2014, 20:00:05 | 764 |
| 10  | 16.06.2014, 08:00        | 5.4               | -14           | 7.2                 | 393        | M1.1                                      | 15.06.2014, 13:00:05 | 958 |
| 11  | 11.09.2014, 23:45        | 8.1               | -16           | 14.0                | 467        | M4.5                                      | 09.09.2014, 00:06:26 | 920 |
| 12  | 12.09.2014, 15:53        | 8.5               | -75           | 31.7                | 730        | X1.6                                      | 10.09.2014, 18:00:05 | 1267 |
| 13  | 10.11.2014, 02:20        | 6.9               | -57           | 19.4                | 509        | X1.6                                      | 07.11.2014, 18:08:34 | 795 |
| 14  | 01.12.2014, 05:00        | 5.9               | -25           | 14.0                | 592        | C2.1                                      | 30.11.2014, 12:24:05 | 939 |
| 15  | 21.12.2014, 19:11        | 10.8              | -51           | 16.5                | 429        | M8.7                                      | 17.12.2014, 05:00:05 | 587 |

recorded by the neutron monitor stations of the world wide network with cut off rigidity Rc <4GV and latitudes <70° [4].

We follow the criteria of the model as they have been proposed by [4], such as the anisotropy criterion. The events of FDs through the time period 2008-2014 have been studied in detail and from them have been selected the cases which had an amplitude greater than 3% and the anisotropy before the shock’s arrival was Axy >0.9%. The chosen anisotropy can be considered as anomalous, since it exceeds the mean statistical value significantly [3]. Furthermore these events have to be associated with a SSC and the interplanetary environment has to be quiet or with small disturbances before the FDs appearance. From 58 events that followed the first criterion only seven of them reached the anisotropy value of 0.9% before the shock (it is usually <0.6%) and present precursors signs. All of them have been connected with a CME. In this study the characteristic event of 17 March 2013 was chosen to be analyzed and to be presented.

This event of March 2013 was related to a CME registered on 15 March 2013 at 07:12:05UT, connected with a M1.1 flare (N09W05). The associated shock arrival was registered on 17 March 2013 at 05:59UT. There were strong changes in the interplanetary space parameters, especially in the interplanetary magnetic field intensity.

The amplitude of the occurred CR intensity decrease has been calculated to the value of 4.6% at cosmic rays of 10GV by using the GSM method. In Figure 4, orange dots are hourly cosmic ray variations at different neutron monitor stations, and the curve is the fitted first harmonic of anisotropy (solar diurnal variation) for data under consideration. There is a good example of pre-decrease as at a narrow region
we can get deep decrease >2.25%.

The asymptotic longitudinal CR distribution diagram for this event is presented in Figure 5. Here the CR intensity decreases, as measured by all neutron monitor stations used by the RS method, are depicted with red circles, while yellow circles refer to CR intensity increases relative to a quiet fixed period. The size of the circles is proportional to the size of the variation [5]. The precursor is a pre-decrease in the longitudinal zone 0° – 180° before the shock’s arrival.

V. CONCLUSIONS

Finally, to sum up, from 114 studied FDs with amplitude greater than 2% at 10GV, only three occurred in the first two years (2008 - 2009), twenty one events were recorded during the ascending phase (2010 - 2011) and ninetey events in the minimax phase (2012 - 2014), with forty five of them to be occurred in the last year 2014. From our analysis the following conclusions are obtaining:

• There is no obvious correlation of the FDs amplitude with the velocities of solar wind and of CMEs.

• There are signs of precursors that can help to forecast the upcoming Forbush decrease.

• In the current solar cycle 24 only seven out of fifty eight FDs follow the anisotropy criterion, and only about 70% of them present warning signals.

• The pre-decreases and the pre-increases of the FDs occur on specific asymptotic longitudes. The pre-decrease of a FD of cosmic ray intensity is at a narrow region and gives deep decreases with amplitude >2.25%.
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