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ABSTRACT

Benign tumors of the proximal fibula are clinically notable, often resulting in pain, cosmetic defects, and potential neurovascular compromise. These symptomatic lesions warrant surgical consultation, but specific procedure selection remains a topic of ongoing discussion. The fibula is widely considered an expendable bone, which permits a greater variety of surgical options relative to other skeletal locations. As a result, some authors suggested en bloc resections without reconstruction as a viable first-line option to decrease tumor recurrence risk. However, wide resections may still result in diminished postoperative functionality compared with the standard intralesional and marginal approaches. Thus, surgical management remains a multifactorial decision, and often orthopaedic surgeons rely on past clinical experience or surgical preference within this unique tumor location. This detailed review will summarize the published literature and discuss the outcomes and indications of various surgical approaches for benign tumors of the proximal fibula. Emphasis will be placed on balancing tumor recurrence risk and postoperative functionality within the context of histologic diagnoses and surgical approaches.

Benign tumors of the proximal fibula are clinically notable, potentially causing severe pain, neurovascular compromise, or cosmetic defects that necessitate surgical attention. Because the fibula is considered an expendable bone, these lesions are afforded multiple, viable management choices compared with benign lesions within other skeletal locations. This spectrum of surgical options ranges from minimally invasive procedures, such as intralesional curettage or marginal excision, to more aggressive tissue resections mirroring those of malignant neoplasms. Clinical comparisons of these procedures have been described in the literature with varying outcomes.

Although the proximal fibula contributes minimally to weight-bearing, this anatomic region still presents a surgical risk because of its closeness, proximity to the peroneal nerve, anterior tibial artery, and lateral collateral ligament (LCL) attachment site. As a result, establishing local tumor control and minimizing joint disturbance can pose a challenge for the orthopaedic surgeon. The ideal
surgical outcome maximizes postoperative limb functionality and minimizes the risk of tumor recurrence. Classic thinking has favored minimally invasive excisions over wide resections in the fibula for this purpose, although categorical indications for deciding among procedures have not been established because of a paucity of large-scale comparisons.\textsuperscript{11,12} Accordingly, surgeons frequently rely on clinical experience and preference to steer treatment recommendations.\textsuperscript{12}

In this review, we will briefly discuss the clinical significance of benign tumors of the proximal fibula and examine the available options for surgical management within this unique anatomic location. Importantly, we will highlight the risks and postoperative complications surgeons should consider when deciding between specific surgical approaches.

**Epidemiology**

In a retrospective review of 9,200 patients diagnosed with a primary skeletal tumor, the fibula was the seventh most frequent site, accounting for 2.6% of bone lesions.\textsuperscript{11} Accordingly, few large-scale epidemiologic studies have thoroughly examined fibula tumor characteristics such as grade, subtype, and anatomic location. To date, one of the most comprehensive analyses was performed by Arikan et al, which included 264 fibular tumors stratified by the Enneking staging system.\textsuperscript{13,14} Of these, most (n = 209; 79.2%) were benign, ranging from stages 1 to 3. The median age of patients with benign tumors was 17 years, with a female predominance of 59%. The proximal third of the fibula was the most common site for benign lesions (67.5%), followed by the diaphysis (24.9%), and the distal third (8.2%). The most frequent benign fibular lesions were osteochondromas, enchondromas, and aneurysmal bone cysts (ABC). In this study, giant cell tumors (GCT) were less commonly reported relative to other smaller scale retrospective analyses (Table 1).

**Anatomy**

The proximal fibula is surrounded by neurovascular and anatomical structures, which necessitate careful surgical

### Table 1. Studies Reporting the Incidence of Benign Fibular Tumors

| Tumor Type                  | Total Tumors | Arikan et al\textsuperscript{13} | Abdel et al\textsuperscript{1} | Sun et al\textsuperscript{4} | Kundu et al\textsuperscript{10} | Dieckmann et al\textsuperscript{8} |
|-----------------------------|--------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Osteochondroma              | 132          | 51                               | 46                            | 24                         | 8                               | 3                                |
| Giant cell tumor            | 60           | 6                                | 23                            | 7                          | 22                              | 2                                |
| Enchondroma                 | 55           | 37                               | 11                            | 7                          | 4                               | 3                                |
| Aneurysmal bone cyst        | 54           | 36                               | 10                            | 1                          | 4                               | 3                                |
| Fibroma                     | 36           | 28                               | 8                             | 1                          | 1                               | 1                                |
| Unicameral bone cyst        | 27           | 20                               | 7                             | 1                          | 1                               | 1                                |
| Fibrous dysplasia           | 21           | 15                               | 6                             | 1                          | 1                               | 1                                |
| Osteoid osteoma             | 12           | 9                                | 2                             | 1                          | 1                               | 1                                |
| Intraosseous ganglion       | 3            | 1                                | 2                             | 1                          | 1                               | 1                                |
| Chondroblastoma             | 3            | 1                                | 2                             | 1                          | 1                               | 1                                |
| Osteoblastoma               | 3            | 1                                | 2                             | 1                          | 1                               | 1                                |
| Ollier disease              | 2            | 2                                | 1                             | 1                          | 1                               | 1                                |
| Intraosseous lipoma         | 2            | 2                                | 1                             | 1                          | 1                               | 1                                |
| Hemangioma                  | 2            | 1                                | 1                             | 1                          | 1                               | 1                                |
| Maffucci                    | 1            | 1                                | 1                             | 1                          | 1                               | 1                                |
| Eosinophilic granuloma      | 1            | 1                                | 1                             | 1                          | 1                               | 1                                |
| Nonossifying fibroma        | 1            | 1                                | 1                             | 1                          | 1                               | 1                                |
| Benign fibrous histiocytoma | 1            | 1                                | 1                             | 1                          | 1                               | 1                                |
| Desmoid tumor               | 1            | 1                                | 1                             | 1                          | 1                               | 1                                |
| Chondromyxoid fibroma       | 1            | 1                                | 1                             | 1                          | 1                               | 1                                |
| Patient count               | 418          | 209                              | 121                           | 44                         | 34                              | 10                               |
attention. The peroneal nerve passes over the fibular neck before dividing into the superficial and deep branches, respectively. Damage to the peroneal nerve may result in sensation deficits over the lateral lower leg with a resultant weakness of foot dorsiflexion and eversion. Similarly, the anterior tibial artery runs adjacent to the proximal fibula and the deep peroneal nerve through the intraosseous membrane. The proximal fibula contributes to lateral knee stability by serving as the attachment site for the LCL and biceps femoris tendon (BFT). Resection of these tissues off of the proximal fibula can permit varus joint laxity and potentially result in knee instability. Although debated, the role of the proximal fibula in direct weight-bearing seems minimal, leading to its general classification as an expendable bone. Cadaveric analyses demonstrate that only 7.12% of weight from the knee is transferred through the fibula, which drops to less than 1% when the fibular head is resected. However, through its contribution to the proximal tibiofibular joint, the fibula dissipates torsional stress at the ankle and alleviates lateral bending of the tibia, and resections of the fibular head may compromise joint stability.

Pathology
Benign skeletal tumors represent a heterogeneous disease spectrum with three general pathologic classifications based on tumor cell type: (1) osteoid-forming, (2) cartilage-forming, and (3) vascular and connective tissue differentiation. Of the osteoid-forming lesions, osteoid osteomas are the most common variant in the proximal fibula (Table 1). These tumors have a small, cortical nidus that produces haphazard woven bone and is less than 2 cm in diameter. Although painful, osteoid osteomas do not progress to malignant lesions. Enchondromas and osteochondromas are the most frequent cartilage-producing tumors of the proximal fibula. Enchondromas consist of lobular hyaline cartilage within the medulla, and care must be given to differentiate their histology from chondrosarcomas. By contrast, osteochondromas may potentially transform into a secondary chondrosarcoma.

ABCs are common fibular lesions composed of vascular tissue and blood-filled cysts. These tumors are locally destructive but do not undergo malignant transformation. Some neoplasms such as GCTs of bone do not correspond to a general pathologic classification. GCTs of bone are poorly differentiated benign aggressive tumors characterized by multinucleated osteoclast-like cells. Although they are classified as benign, GCTs of bone may rarely metastasize to the lungs. By recognizing the unique pathologies of common benign fibula tumors, surgeons can better tailor a management plan for optimal control.

Clinical Manifestations and Diagnosis
In a review of 120 patients diagnosed with a benign proximal fibula tumor and managed surgically, localized pain (94%) was the most frequent presenting symptom, with a palpable mass (39%), pathologic fracture (17%), or peroneal nerve compression (12%) representing other complaints. In a cohort of 44 patients, Sun et al reported that the frequency of a palpable mass (56.8%) and the duration of symptoms (11.7 months) were greater in benign proximal tumors compared with malignant lesions, although statistical significance was not reported. The authors also concluded pain as nonpredictive factor for benign or malignant lesions (P = 0.971). Both of the aforementioned studies may be limited by only including patients who were managed surgically; asymptomatic patients were possibly underrepresented.

The extent of benign tumor symptoms typically correlates with their Enneking stage progression; stage 1 tumors are often asymptomatic and incidentally discovered while stage 2 through stage 3 lesions present according to their extent of tissue involvement. Characteristic manifestations may be seen in select tumors, for example, osteoid osteomas present with nocturnal pain relieved by NSAIDs, and osteochondromas can be associated with limb deformities.

Many benign tumors have characteristic features, and often plain radiographs in multiple planes are sufficient to establish a diagnosis. The nonaggressive benign lesions typically demonstrate a sharp transition zone, a well-defined sclerotic border, and a lack of cortical destruction. By contrast, various aggressive benign tumors such as GCTs of bones may mimic malignant lesions on plain radiography and require magnetic resonance or CT imaging to aid in diagnosis. If a specific lesion still cannot be identified, a biopsy is generally required to make a definitive histologic diagnosis. Care must be taken to avoid the peroneal nerve.

Surgical Management
Benign tumors of the proximal fibula can undergo multiple surgical treatments, each with varying risks. The LCL and surrounding neurovascular structures represent...
surgical considerations when trying to achieve a low recurrence rate and optimal functional outcome.  

Nonsurgical Considerations for Fibular Tumors
The fibula’s general classification as an expendable bone has resulted in expanded options for surgical management; however, most asymptomatic benign tumors of the proximal fibula are treated nonsurgically.  

Given the paucity of current guidelines or algorithms for this anatomic location, surgical management is largely reserved for certain symptomatic, benign aggressive, and low-grade tumors.  

For example, enchondromas represent the most frequent Enneking stage 1 tumor of the proximal fibula, and these often asymptomatic lesions are initially managed with observation and serial radiographs.  

Surgical intervention through curettage is classically indicated on the onset of symptoms, increased tumor growth, pathologic fractures, or evidence of a chondrosarcoma.  

Similarly, osteoid osteomas have similar clinical outcomes when treated with either NSAIDs or surgical resection, and approximately 50% of tumors spontaneously regress with conservative management.  

Although excision or radiofrequency ablation of the nidus proves curative and is routinely practiced in other anatomic locations, the increased risk of peroneal nerve involvement makes these invasive procedures less desirable within the proximal fibula.  

Although nonsurgical interventions are a viable initial treatment for select latent lesions, surgical interventions serve the primary role in definitive tumor management in some cases of the proximal fibula.  

Overview of Surgical Techniques
Four fundamental tumor removal techniques have been described in the proximal fibula: intralesional excision, marginal excision, Malawer type I en bloc resection, and Malawer type II en bloc resection.  

Each procedure permits limb salvage, and they are differentiated by the extent of tissue resected. Intralesional excisions dissect a portion of the tumor, leaving potentially microscopic neoplastic cells in situ. This technique can be done through curettage, and the tumor cavity is typically filled with bone graft or substitute.  

Adjuvant therapies are routinely pursued, particularly for aggressive benign tumors, in an attempt to decrease local recurrence. Cement, such as polymethylmethacrylate, is considered a useful tool to achieve local tumor control through heat generation combined with structural stability.  

Argon laser, phenol, liquid nitrogen, and other cytotoxic agents are also used and have shown efficacy in decreasing the recurrence rates in the literature.  

Marginal excisions cut through the pseudocapsule, potentially leaving microscopic disease, but generally carry less recurrence risk than intralesional procedures.  

Two categories of fibular en bloc resection were originally described by Malawer.  

The type I procedure involves a complete resection of the proximal head of the fibula with 2 to 3 cm of healthy proximal diaphysis (Figure 1). A thin layer of musculature, when possible, is circumferentially removed in addition to the LCL and BFT attachment sites. The LCL and BFT can be re-anchored to surrounding tissues, typically the tibial metaphysis.  

The peroneal nerve and anterior fibular artery are preserved. By contrast, type II resections sacrifice the peroneal nerve, anterior tibial artery, and 6 cm of proximal healthy diaphysis.  

In type II resections, the biceps tendon and LCL are resected 2.5 cm proximal to their fibular attachment site, possibly complicating reattachment.  

Attempts to revise the Malawer dichotomy have been proposed; Erler et al and Dieckmann et al endorsed supplementary resection techniques based on the tumor size and quantity of structures removed, respectively.  

Despite these proposed refinements, the Malawer criteria remain prevalent as foundational resection procedures.  

Generally, type I resections (Figure 1) have been used for benign aggressive tumors of the proximal fibula, such as GCT of bone, while intralesional and marginal excisions are the choice for less aggressive lesions. Type II resections for benign growths are rare but have been described (Table 2).  

Despite this pattern, procedure selection remains a multifactorial decision. The surgeon must account for tumor type, size, probability of recurrence, and postoperative functional outcomes (including potential peroneal nerve palsy and ligamentous instability).  

Surgical Considerations: Recurrence Rate and Tumor Type
For most benign tumors of the proximal fibula, intralesional and marginal excision procedures have shown similar postoperative tumor recurrence rates as en bloc resections (Table 2).  

Guo et al retrospectively analyzed 44 patients with benign proximal fibula tumors who underwent either intralesional excision or a type I resection and identified potential risk factors for local recurrence. One GCT of bone managed by a type I resection was the only recurrence within the benign tumor cohort, and there was no statistical difference in recurrence rate between the intralesional and type I treatment groups. When the investigation was expanded to include eight additional patients with malignant proximal fibular neoplasms,
univariate testing demonstrated peroneal nerve palsy on presentation and malignant status as the only variables associated with tumor recurrence ($P < 0.01$). In addition, when the patient variables were independently controlled for in a multivariate analysis, including the method of surgical management and tumor type, peroneal nerve palsy on initial presentation was the only predictive variable for tumor recurrence ($P < 0.01$). Although statistically significant, this study was potentially limited by a small sample size and a lack of stratification between pathologic subtypes.

To date, Abdel et al.\textsuperscript{1} conducted the largest study directly comparing recurrence rate by the surgical technique. Their study included 121 benign tumors (120 patients) of the proximal fibula, which were managed by intralesional curettage, marginal excision, or type I resection. Overall, the recurrence rate was 8% (n = 10), with recurrence statistically more frequent in the intralesional curettage group compared with the en bloc group (23% versus 5% $P = 0.029$). However, when stratified by tumor pathology, GCTs of bone and ABCs made up most tumor recurrences (70%).

Within the GCT of bone group, patients treated with intralesional excision experienced a higher recurrence rate compared with those managed by an en bloc resection (67% versus 11% $P = 0.08$). In addition, all patients with an ABC who were managed through intralesional curettage experienced tumor recurrence, whereas none of those treated with en bloc resection had recurrence (100% versus 0%; $P = 0.008$). Accordingly, Abdel et al.\textsuperscript{1} concluded that although many tumors can be appropriately managed with intralesional excision, GCT and ABC warrant consideration for en bloc resection.

Although there were zero recurrences in the marginal resection group in the study of Abdel et al,\textsuperscript{1} this result can likely be attributed to the selection of tumor pathologies

---

**Figure 1**

Illustration showing type I resection of osteochondroma. Case presentation: 33-year-old male patient presented with a right knee mass and progressively worsening right foot drop. On imaging, the patient was diagnosed with an osteochondroma. A type I resection was done that resulted in a dramatic improvement of his symptoms. A, B, AP and lateral radiographs of the right knee, respectively, showing an osteochondroma involving the proximal fibula with extensive calcifications. C, D, Both axial MRI cuts in T1 and T2 sequences showing the cartilage cap of the tumor. E, F, Postoperative AP and lateral radiographs showing a type I resection of the proximal 7.5 cm of the fibula with reconstruction of the lateral collateral ligament of the knee. G, H, Anterior and posterior views of the gross specimen showing that the fibular medullary cavity is continuous with the medullar portion of the tumor, which is covered superficially by a blue-gray cartilage cap.
treated, rather than the surgical technique performed. Within the marginal group, osteochondromas were disproportionately the most common tumor treated (28/32; 87.5%) compared with the intralesional group (7/30; 23.3%) and type I resection group (11/56; 19.6%). In general, osteochondromas demonstrate low recurrence rates of less than 2% after surgical intervention. In addition, the marginal excision group did not include GCT of bone nor any cases of ABC, both benign aggressive tumors with a higher risk of recurrence. Thus, it is likely that the recurrence results within the marginal cohort of this study were aided by a relatively favorable distribution of tumor histology; this remains a notable limitation when attempting to directly compare the efficacy of different surgical techniques.

Tumor Type Considerations

Benign Nonaggressive Tumors of the Proximal Fibula

Benign nonaggressive tumors within the proximal fibula, such as osteochondromas, enchondromas, simple bone cysts, and chondroblastomas, demonstrate a low risk of recurrence after intralesional and marginal excisions (Table 2). Accordingly, if surgery is the desired management choice for stable nonaggressive tumors in this anatomic location, the intralesional approach is a recommended initial surgical intervention. Dahlin et al reported on 30 cases including chondroblastoma with a cure rate of 90%, with the remaining recurrences adequately treated by revision curettage and no noted surgical complications. Similarly, in a study by Bauer et al, enchondromas demonstrated a recurrence rate of 4% after intralesional curettage. As discussed previously, adjuvant therapies can be used for the benign nonaggressive tumors in this anatomical region to further control recurrence risk. Given the low reported recurrence rates, intralesional and marginal excisions provide the added benefit of decreased risk of iatrogenic neurovascular and anatomical compromise in this sensitive region. En bloc type I resections have been described for benign nonaggressive tumors of the proximal fibula but are typically reserved for rapidly growing, locally destructive lesions with potential for malignant transformation.

Benign Locally Aggressive Tumors of the Proximal Fibula

Most authors acknowledged en bloc resection as an effective management option for fibular GCT of bone, whereas others asserted intralesional excision with adjuvant therapy as viable alternatives. Those advocating for en bloc resection of the proximal fibula for GCT’s of bone cited both the aggressive nature of the tumor and the high recurrence rates as indications because they outweigh the potential risk of neurovascular or functional complications. Inatani et al concluded a 50% recurrence rate after intralesional curettage in four patients with GCT of bone in the proximal fibula. By contrast, smaller case series of patients with fibular GCT of bone managed with intralesional excision reported no recurrences. In addition, novel tumor curettage techniques in the fibula have resulted in decreased healthy tissue removal while avoiding an increase in recurrence rates of GCT of bone.

Table 2. Choice of Surgical Management With Local Recurrence Rates for Common Benign Tumors of the Proximal Fibula; Results Aggregated From Abdel et al, Sun et al, Guo et al, Kundu et al, and Inatani et al

| Tumor Type                  | Intralesional | Local Recurrence | Marginal | Local Recurrence | Type I | Local Recurrence | Type II | Local Recurrence |
|-----------------------------|---------------|------------------|----------|------------------|--------|------------------|---------|------------------|
| Osteochondroma              | 7             | 1 (14%)          | 58       | 0                | 25     | 0                | 0       | 0                |
| Giant cell tumor            | 7             | 4 (57%)          | 0        | 0                | 35     | 3 (9%)           | 14      | 0                |
| Enchondroma                 | 2             | 0                | 2        | 0                | 14     | 0                | 0       | 0                |
| Aneurysmal bone cyst        | 3             | 3 (100%)         | 3        | 0                | 8      | 0                | 0       | 0                |
| Fibroma                     | 6             | 0                | 0        | 0                | 1      | 0                | 0       | 0                |
| Unicameral bone cyst        | 5             | 0                | 0        | 0                | 1      | 0                | 0       | 0                |
| Total (% recurrence)        | 30            | 8 (27%)          | 63       | 0 (0%)           | 84     | 3 (4%)           | 14      | 0 (0%)           |

*Analyses used the same patient sample; data regarding procedure selection (Sun et al) and tumor recurrence (Guo et al) were reported separately.
bone. These techniques use posterior surgical windows and precise endoscopic curettage with cementation and argon plasma coagulation, respectively, and warrant further clinical consideration.

Like GCTs of bone, the preferred treatment strategy for ABCs of the proximal fibula remains under discussion. ABCs in nonexpendable anatomical locations, such as the femur, are classically managed with curettage and bone-grafting procedures with similar recurrence rates. However, achieving treatment success remains multifactorial, including surgical skill, use of adjuvant therapies, and extent of the lesion.

Overall, the available evidence implies limited recurrence-risk differences between intrallesional/marginal tumor removal and en bloc resections for most benign tumors of the proximal fibula, with GCT of bone and ABC being the exception. Although evidence is mixed, larger scale studies support type I en bloc resection of the proximal fibula as the preferred surgical treatment of GCT of bone and ABC, if the goal is to diminish recurrence rates. However, achieving treatment success remains multifactorial, including surgical skill, function, and joint stability. Consideration of postoperative functional limitations may influence selection between procedures with similar recurrence rates.

Functional Outcomes
Although the risk of tumor recurrence remains a key surgical consideration, notable clinical attention should also be given to achieving adequate postoperative mobility, function, and joint stability. Consideration of postoperative functional limitations may influence selection between procedures with similar recurrence rates.

Peroneal Nerve
The peroneal nerve plays a major role in postoperative function, and its involvement is associated with inferior outcomes. Kundu et al demonstrated this by using the Musculoskeletal Tumor Society (MSTS) scoring system in their analysis of 46 patients with a proximal fibula tumor treated with an en bloc resection. The authors concluded that those with a concomitant peroneal nerve resection had a significantly lower average MSTS score compared with patients with no nerve involvement (82% versus 93%; P < 0.01).

Lateral Collateral Ligament and Biceps Femoris Tendon
Surgical detachment of the LCL and BFT can provide an additional source of impaired postoperative function, and evidence demonstrates that reattachment after an en bloc resection improves functional outcomes.
Zhao et al\textsuperscript{66} reported on 19 patients who had a type I resection and compared lateral knee stability and MSTS function scores in patients who either underwent, or did not undergo, subsequent LCL and BFT reattachment to the tibial metaphysis. In their study, the reattachment group was associated with significantly higher rates of lateral knee stability (100\% versus 57.1\%; $P < 0.05$) and higher scores on MSTS function surveys (97.7\% versus 71.8\%; $P < 0.05$). Similarly, Bickels and Wittig\textsuperscript{31} reported on 15 patients who underwent a type I resection and tibial LCL reattachment; 14 had complete lateral knee stability with only one patient reporting a grade 1 instability (lateral joint opening of 1 to 5 mm), although no control group was included.

Arikan et al\textsuperscript{36} analyzed six patients who underwent type I resection with LCL and BFT reattachment to the surrounding soft-tissue structures, rather than the tibial metaphysis, and all patients recorded some level of knee instability, varying from grade 1 to grade 2. Agarwal et al\textsuperscript{67} concluded similar rates of instability after LCL reattachment to soft-tissue structures.

Overall, the relative procedural simplicity and lack of adverse events have led to the recommendation that LCL and BFT reattachment should be practiced, preferably through anchoring to the tibial metaphysis, after type I en bloc resections of proximal tibia.\textsuperscript{1,31,42,66}

Type II Proximal Fibula Resections
Multiple studies have demonstrated that type II resections are associated with markedly higher rates of knee instability and inferior MSTS scores compared with type I resections.\textsuperscript{12,66} This deficiency is largely attributed to the decreased LCL and BFT stump length (9.0 \pm 2.5 cm versus 21.7 \pm 9.0 cm; $P = 0.018$) and the extent of tissue removed compared with type I resections.\textsuperscript{36,42}

An inability to reattach the ligamentous structures further contributes to the instability of the proximal fibular joint, which may also produce secondary deficiencies in ankle mobility.\textsuperscript{24} Accordingly, type II resections are rarely indicated for benign lesions of the proximal fibula, unless extended tumor involvement of adjacent anatomical structures commands a widespread resection.
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