Correlation of Patient Generated-subjective Global Assessment with Serum C-reactive Protein Level in Stage I–IV Head-and-neck Cancer
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Abstract

AIM: This study aims to identify the correlation between patient generated-subjective global assessment (PG-SGA) with serum C-reactive protein (CRP) to be used to predict inflammation and prevent cachexia in head-and-neck cancer patients.

METHODS: This was a cross-sectional study using 51 patients with inclusion criteria of patients diagnosed with head-and-neck cancer irrespective of stage, age ≥18 years old, who had not received treatments of radiotherapy, chemotherapy, and surgery. The statistical analysis performed was Kolmogorov–Smirnov normality test, bivariate analysis by Spearman test, and linear regression analysis.

RESULTS: As many as 64.7% of the patients had PG-SGA score ≥9 (average PG-SGA score 11.7 ± 6.2). The CRP median value was 6.4 (0.4–170.4) mg/L. There was a statistically significant positive but weak correlation between PG-SGA score with serum CRP (r = 0.372 and p = 0.007) and a significant linear relationship (r² = 0.201).

CONCLUSIONS: Malnutrition risk assessment using PG-SGA showed a high prevalence of malnutrition risk in head-and-neck cancer patients. PG-SGA score is correlated with serum CRP level. Further studies are needed to confirm the result of this study.

Introduction

Nutrition is one of the most crucial aspects in the management of cancer patients, especially regarding malnutrition and cachexia [1]. According to the European Society of Clinical Nutrition and Metabolism (ESPEN), malnutrition is defined as "a state resulting from lack of intake or uptake of nutrition that leads to altered body composition (decreased fat free mass) and body cell mass leading to diminished physical and mental function and impaired clinical outcome from disease," which may be caused by disease accompanied by inflammation [2]. The prevalence of malnutrition in cancer patients is high with studies from South Korea, Australia, China, and Brazil showing malnutrition prevalence of 22%, 26%, 41.3%, and 45.3%, respectively [3], [4], [5], [6]. Meanwhile, estimates from a systematic review for the prevalence of cachexia in cancer patients are 30.0% for Europe and 30.1% for U.S. population [7].

The prevalence of nutritional deficiency or malnutrition to cachexia in the head-and-neck cancer
such as CRP may also contribute to increased risk of death from cachexia [13]. Therefore, there is a need to assess malnutrition risk and the nutritional status in cancer patients as early as possible [10].

Malnutrition in cancer is the best prevented by performing a malnutrition screening followed by nutritional therapy. The guidelines from ESPEN recommended some screening tools to assess the malnutrition risk such as the malnutrition universal screening tool, nutritional risk screening-2002, mini nutritional assessment, subjective global assessment (SGA), and patient generated-SGA (PG-SGA). However, the malnutrition screening recommended by the Oncology Nutrition Dietetic Practice Group of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics (formerly American Dietetic Association) and has been validated in cancer patients is PG-SGA [14]. Nutritional deficiency cannot be diagnosed based on only a single parameter, such as only assessing body weight, decrease in muscle mass or subcutaneous fat, fluid accumulation, and decrease in daily functional ability. Although body weight is one of the simple anthropometric parameters especially when used for population, the assessment in cancer patients can be affected by the change in fluid distribution due to ascites, edema, and dehydration [10], [15].

Until now, in Indonesia, there has not been any data on the use of PG-SGA score as a tool to assess malnutrition risk in head-and-neck cancer patients. In addition, the increase of CRP has been shown to have independent prognostic value in patients with locally advanced pancreatic cancer receiving radiotherapy (HR 2.2) [16], lung cancer and history of smoking (OR 1.63) [17], and advanced cancer in palliative care (moderate-, high-, and very high CRP: HR 1.47, 2.09, and 2.55) [18]. Therefore, we conducted this study on the correlation between PG-SGA score with serum CRP in newly diagnosed head-and-neck cancer patient Stage I–IV at Cipto Mangunkusumo National General Hospital.

Methods

Study design

This was a cross-sectional study using consecutive sampling method which was conducted from May 2016 to August 2016 at Cipto Mangunkusumo National General Hospital Jakarta. All data were obtained from patients using interview, while cancer location and stage were obtained from medical record.

The malnutrition risk assessment was conducted using PG-SGA which was divided into seven boxes. The study subjects filled out the PG-SGA form in box 1–4 while box 5–7 was filled out by the researcher together with the physical examination. The physical examination included a subjective assessment from three aspects of body composition consisting of fat reserve, muscle state, and fluid state. Body temperature measurement using a mercury thermometer is also conducted to assess body metabolic stress in PG-SGA.

The result of the assessment is the sum of all scores in each question and from the physical examination with scores ranging from 0 to 47. The research also conducted an anthropometric examination, body weight measurement with electro digital scale Seca Alpha Hamburg-Germany, and height measurement using Microtoise Stature Meter 2 m. The procedure of measuring weight and height was repeated twice and the results were then averaged. The results were also used to measure body mass index (BMI). BMI is based on the classification for the Asia Pacific.

Afterward, we conducted a laboratory examination to examine the serum CRP. A blood sample was taken by the laboratory officer at the Laboratory Unit in the Integrative Outpatient Unit third floor Cipto Mangunkusumo Hospital Jakarta. The 1 ml blood sample was taken from the cubital vein and placed into the vacutainer tube without coagulant factor. The serum CRP calculation used the immunoturbidimetry method, and its interpretation is stated in mg/L.

Patients

The inclusion criteria for this cross-sectional study were patients diagnosed with head-and-neck cancer irrespective of stage, age ≥18 years old, had not received treatments of radiotherapy, chemotherapy, and surgery. In addition, only patients that stated his/her willingness to participate in the study and signed an informed consent letter were included in the study. Exclusion criteria were non-cooperative subjects and those who cannot speak Indonesian language.

The number of samples needed based on calculation was 52 people. This is based on a study by Read et al. which found a positive correlation with moderate strength between PG-SGA score and CRP in 51 stage IV colorectal cancer subjects (r: 0.430 and p: 0.003) [19]. With additional calculations using the correlation formula, we found that we needed 47 samples but to avoid not reaching the response rate, we added 10% to the sample size, and decided on the target of 52 people.

Ethics

The research protocol had gained approval from the Research Ethics Committee, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Indonesia (No. 202/UNF1/ETIK/2016). This study is in compliance with Declaration of Helsinki.

Statistical analysis

The data analysis was conducted using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences program
Results

The number of analyzed subjects in this study was only 51 subjects because one subject had not yet completed the cancer stage determination. Table 1 shows the distribution of study subjects based on demographic characteristics including age, sex, education level, income level, and clinical conditions including location, stage of cancer, and anthropometry.

The study results showed that the average age was 46.6 ± 13.9 years old. Most subjects were male (39 subjects, 76.5%). The most common cancer location is in the nasopharynx, (41 subjects, 80.4%) followed by the larynx (8 subjects, 15.7%). Stage IVA was the most common (30 subjects, 58.8%) and III and IV stage cancer was the most often (49 subjects, 96.1%) when based only on two main categories for cancer stage. The BMI of study subjects was mostly normal body weight (19 subjects, 37.3%).

The mean PG-SGA score was 11.7 ± 6.2 and most subjects had PG-SGA ≥9 (64.7%) in Table 2. The median value of serum CRP for all study subjects was 6.4 mg/L, ranging from 0.4 to 170.4 mg/L.

Table 2: Characteristics based on PG-SGA score (n = 51)

| Variable                        | Score   |
|---------------------------------|---------|
| **PG-SGA score**                | 11.7 ± 6.2* |
| Nutrition triage recommendation score, n (%) |         |
| 0–1                             | -       |
| 2–3                             | 5 (9.8) |
| 4–8                             | 13 (25.5)|
| ≥9                              | 33 (64.7)|

*Mean ± SD, PG-SGA: Patient generated-subjective global assessment.

The correlation between PG-SGA score and serum CRP level

Table 3 shows that there is a statistically significant positive correlation between PG-SGA score with serum CRP level. The coefficient from Spearman test was 0.372 which indicated a weak correlation.

Table 3: Correlation between PG-SGA score with serum CRP level (n = 51)

| Variable          | PG-SGA score | r   | p-value  |
|-------------------|--------------|-----|----------|
| Serum CRP         | 0.372        | 0.007 |          |

Spearman correlation test, CRP: C-reactive protein.

Afterward, we performed a simple linear regression analysis and found the determination coefficient value or R square ($r^2$) at 0.201 (Table 4). This value showed that the contribution or impact of total PG-SGA score variable toward the fluctuation of serum CRP level was 20.1%.

Table 4: Linear regression of PG-SGA score with serum CRP level (n = 51)

| Variable          | Serum CRP level | r  | p-value  |
|-------------------|-----------------|----|----------|
| PG-SGA            | 0.201           | 0.499 | 0.01    |

PG-SGA: Patient generated-subjective global assessment.

Discussion

Results of PG-SGA score determine the recommendation of nutritional triage given to patients, in which, higher PG-SGA score correlates with higher risk of malnutrition. Score of ≥4 indicated a need for nutritional and symptoms management, while PG-SGA Score ≥9 indicated immediate nutrition management. The PG-SGA score accurately showed...
identification of a patient’s good nutritional state and malnutrition with cutoff score at ≥9 [20]. The percentage of high PG-SGA score in this study (PG-SGA score ≥9 as many as 64.7%) can be caused by anorexia and cachexia mechanism occurred in cancer patients causing massive body weight loss and lack of intake [21].

The study subjects had a median value of CRP at 6.4 (0.4–170.4) mg/L. The CRP results in this study are similar to the study by Orell–Kotinkangas et al. [20] on 65 head-and-neck Stage I–IV cancer subjects, which reported a median value of 6.0 (3–21). Factors that might affect different results from this study are that the study subjects were at a later stage of cancer and had a different type of cancers. The difference in CRP is also affected by cancer stage and location [22]. Besides that, the CRP value is correlated progressively with tumor size (p < 0.001), major lymph node (p < 0.001), and cancer stage (p < 0.001) [23].

**Correlation of PG-SGA score with serum CRP level**

Based on the study results, we found a positive but weak correlation between PG-SGA score and serum CRP level. This is in accordance with the study by Read et al. on 51 Stage IV colorectal cancer patients, although most subjects had undergone chemotherapy, radiotherapy, and surgery [19]. The study by Read et al. found a positive correlation with moderate strength between the PG-SGA score and CRP (r: 0.430 and p: 0.003) [19]. Those results supported this study which also had a weak positive correlation value. In addition, based on the simple linear regression analysis, there is indication that CRP level is also caused by other factors besides the PG-SGA score.

There are many factors which may affect the correlation between PG-SGA score with serum CRP such as age, cancer location, BMI, and existing chronic illness or comorbidities. Chronic illnesses such as diabetes mellitus, TB, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and cardiovascular disease can increase CRP level, affecting the nutritional state, and increase the risk of malnutrition or cancer cachexia [18], [22], [24], [25], [26], [27]. However, this study did not include comorbidities for the analysis. Finally, the presence of cancer may also impact CRP level [28].

One of the assessments in PG-SGA is the percentage of body weight loss. A study by Gomes et al. [29] on 30 subjects with Stage I–IV gastrointestinal cancer concluded that subjects with body weight loss and high risk for malnutrition based on PG-SGA score have significantly higher CRP level compared to subjects with good nutritional state and not experiencing any body weight loss. Other study results also are consistent as the study by Capuano et al. [30] on 61 subjects with head-and-neck cancer with no history of surgery or prior oncology management. The results showed that there is a significant relationship between the PG-SGA score with CRP (p < 0.001). The study concluded that we need a preliminary nutritional assessment and therapy to decrease progressive body weight loss in head-and-neck cancer patient.

**Study limitation**

Many factors can affect the PG-SGA score assessment, therefore, affecting the correlation result of this study. Filling out the PG-SGA form was subjective and can be affected by the ability to remember and the subject’s cognitive ability. Moreover, CRP decrease occurred in patients using steroids and non-steroid anti-inflammatory drugs [22], [24]. Cancer mass can cause mechanical obstruction in the digestive tract causing dysphagia and difficulty in swallowing causing a decrease in food intake [31].

**Conclusion**

This study found that there is a statistically significant positive but weak correlation between PG-SGA score with serum CRP in patients with head-and-neck cancer. Further studies are needed to confirm the role of PG-SGA with serum CRP.
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