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Abstract

Background: The use of antibiotics in dentistry as prophylaxis and treatment is frequent. Their misuse has led to a major public health problem globally known as antibiotic resistance. This study aimed to assess the pattern of antibiotic prescription and its prophylactic use for systemic conditions. Besides, this study evaluated the awareness and adherence to antibiotic prescription guidelines and antibiotic prophylaxis guidelines along with awareness of antibiotic resistance across pediatric and general dentists.

Methods: An overall of 378 pediatric and general dentists meeting the required eligibility criteria, fulfilled a pre-designed validated questionnaire. Data were collected, tabulated, and statistically analyzed.

Results: A significant statistical difference was found among the pediatric and general dentists regarding antibiotics prescription for most of the oral conditions where Amoxicillin with clavulanic acid was the most frequently prescribed antibiotic among the two groups (53% pediatric dentist and 52% general dentist). The majority of pediatric and general dentists, on the other hand, were aware of antibiotic resistance and prescribing recommendations.

Conclusions: The present study showed a tendency to overprescribe and overuse antibiotics in certain dental conditions among the participants. The vast majority of dentists, especially general dentists do not have adherence to professional guidelines for antibiotics prescription in children despite their awareness of antibiotic resistance and prescription guidelines.
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Background

Antibiotics have been widely used in children and adults since Alexander Fleming discovered penicillin in 1928 and Howard Walter Florey began using it clinically in 1941. Dentists routinely prescribe antibiotics for therapeutic or prophylactic reasons for the management of oral and dental infections [1, 2].

From 2000 to 2015, the global antibiotic consumption in terms of defined daily doses has seen an increase of 65%, mainly in low middle-income countries where the use of antibiotics in developing countries has been observed to be much higher compared to the developed world [3].

The success of antibiotics has been absolute, with a worldwide enhancement in morbidity and mortality. Though, this early success is being battered by the microbial capability to grow and renovate to protect
themselves, thus neutralizing the therapeutic effects of antibiotics [4].

In the twenty-first century, the increasing emergence of antibiotic-resistant bacteria is evolving into a major public health threat. This threat is no longer a prediction for the future but a current finding in every region of the world, claiming 700,000 lives each year, a figure that could rise to 10 million by 2050 [3, 5].

Although there are lots of causes for the increased rates of resistance, the overuse of antibiotics is the most critical, even though the antibiotic prescription is still deemed an insignificant act [6, 7]. Unsuitable use of broad-spectrum antibiotics, wrong selection of drugs, and incorrect dose or duration were also reported. Patients also participate by not taking antibiotics as recommended, such as missing doses or ceasing earlier than advised [5, 7].

It was stated in 2015, that 40–50% of antibiotic prescriptions worldwide were unnecessary [6]. The proportion of antibiotics prescribed by dental practitioners comprises around 3%–11% of all prescribed antibiotics, making the contribution of dentists to antibiotic consumption significant [8, 9].

A contribution from pediatric dentists (PD) has also been noted as an unwarranted use of antibiotics and was reported in children with orofacial infections [3, 10]. Surveys have also indicated that the awareness of antibiotic resistance and the impact of antibiotic prescribing by dentists are low [8].

The observed prescribing behavior in reference to pediatric dentistry could be a result of the pressure of the parent where this parental pressure has been reported as one of the primary causes of unwarranted prescriptions. Other non-clinical situations acting as determinants for antibiotic use include uncertain diagnosis of the case, need for delay of treatment owing to unavailable appointments, problems like ineffective sterilization, and social relations. Also, it was suggested that practitioners assume that using antibiotics is the quickest way to resolve any form of consultation [10].

Many studies in the literature described general and pediatric dentists’ antibiotic prescription patterns worldwide [11–14]. However, to the best of our knowledge, there is no data available describing Egyptian dentists’ general practices, and very few studies explored dentists’ attitudes regarding antibiotic use and resistance. Therefore, the present study aimed to assess the pattern of antibiotic prescription and its prophylactic use for systemic conditions. Besides, this study evaluated the awareness and adherence to antibiotic prescription guidelines and antibiotic prophylaxis guidelines along with awareness of antibiotic resistance across pediatric and general dentists (GD).

**Methods**

**Study design**

This was a cross-sectional questionnaire study conducted among a random sample of Egyptian dentists, with an electronic link to the questionnaire produced by Google forms and distributed via email. The STROBE guidelines were used to ensure the reporting of this observational study.

**Ethical aspects**

The current research was carried out in compliance with the Helsinki Declaration. Ethical approval was obtained from the Ethics Committee of Scientific Research, Faculty of Dentistry, Cairo University. Dentists were notified about the objective of the study and informed consent was acquired at the beginning of participation to answer the online survey questionnaire with the maintenance of confidentiality and anonymity by the settings of the online survey.

**Sample size**

According to the results of Konde et al. [12] where the frequency of pediatric dentists aware of the prescription guidelines of antibiotics and antibiotic resistance was (56%), by adopting a confidence interval of (95%), the predicted sample size (n) was a total of (378) participants using Epi info program.

**Participants**

**Inclusion criteria**

- General dentists holding a Bachelor of oral and Dental medicine or a master’s or doctorate in a specialty other than pediatric dentistry.
- Pediatric dentists holding a master’s or doctorate.

**Exclusion criteria**

- Any nationality except Egyptian.
- Dentists who aren’t engaged in clinical practice.
- Dentists who didn’t treat children.

**Outcomes**

This survey aimed to assess:

- The antibiotic prescription patterns in case of pulpitis, draining sinus tract, localized intraoral swelling, acute facial swelling, dental trauma, pediatric periodontal diseases, pericoronitis, simple extraction,
extraction by open method, periapical abscess, apical periodontitis, dry socket, evidence of anaerobic infection in children.

- Use of antibiotics as prophylaxis for systemic conditions including cardiovascular diseases, viral infections, juvenile diabetes, blood dyscrasias, respiratory disorders in children.
- The awareness and adherence to the antibiotic prescription guidelines.
- The awareness and adherence to guidelines for antibiotic prophylaxis.
- The awareness of antibiotic resistance across pediatric and general dentists.
- The dentist’s perspective of possible causes of antibiotic misuse.

**Data sources and measurement**

An English pre-prepared validated self-administered questionnaire based on the previous work of Konde et al. [12] after taking their permission, was used to collect data (Additional file 1).

An electronic link to the questionnaire was generated using Google forms and was distributed to a representative sample of 1512 dentists through emails. The study sample was chosen using a random number generator from a list containing all dentists fulfilling the inclusion and exclusion criteria obtained from the official registry of the Faculty of Dentistry, Cairo University.

The questionnaire was prefaced with the consent section which explained the study’s purpose, nature of the survey, study objectives, and voluntary participation. Informed consent was obtained from each participant in the form of answering a question (Yes/No) before proceeding with answering the questionnaire.

The questionnaire consisted of close-ended questions (Yes/No) or multiple choices. Four questions related to demographic details and practice information including specialty, graduation university, clinical experience, and work practice.

Twenty questions describing clinical situations for which antibiotics were prescribed routinely, the most commonly prescribed antibiotics, the duration of the antibiotic course, prescription in presence of anaerobic infection, and systemic conditions.

Along with awareness of antibiotic resistance, the awareness and adherence to the AAPD guidelines for use of antibiotic therapy for pediatric dental patients [15] and antibiotic prophylaxis guidelines including AAPD guideline for antibiotic prophylaxis for dental patients at risk for infection [16], and American Heart Association guideline for Prevention of Infective Endocarditis [17] were evaluated in five questions.

Possible causes of antibiotic misuse from the dentist’s perspective were evaluated in six questions with Yes/No answers.

**Bias**

All dental practitioners who took part in the study were chosen randomly and asked to complete a self-administered questionnaire anonymously to limit selection bias. All participants were given the same explanation about the study’s nature and purpose to limit information bias [18].

**Statistical analysis**

The statistical analysis program Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 22.0 was used to undertake all the statistical analysis. For the categorical data, descriptive statistics were used to calculate numbers, frequencies, and percentages for each category. A Chi-square test was utilized for comparing prescription patterns between general and pediatric dentists.

**Results**

Out of 1512 mailed questionnaires, only a total of 378 dentists completed the questionnaire where 154 (40.7%) were pediatric dentists and 224 (59.3%) were general dentists with a 25% response rate. The demographic data and practice information of the participants were displayed in Table 1 where 55.6% of participants were graduated from public universities and 44.4% were graduated from private universities. About 36.5% of the study population had clinical experience for less than two years, 28.6% from 2 to 5 years, and 34.9% for more than 5 years while 23.0% of participants worked

| Variable                              | Number (N) | Percentage (%) |
|---------------------------------------|------------|----------------|
| Specialty                             |            |                |
| Pediatric dentists (PD)               | 154        | 40.7           |
| General dentists (GD)                 | 224        | 59.3           |
| Graduation university                 |            |                |
| Public                                | 210        | 55.6           |
| Private                               | 168        | 44.4           |
| Working experience in years           |            |                |
| Less than 2 years                     | 138        | 36.5           |
| From 2 to 5 years                     | 108        | 28.6           |
| More than 5 years                     | 132        | 34.9           |
| Working place                         |            |                |
| Clinical practice                     | 87         | 23.0           |
| Academics                             | 133        | 35.2           |
| Both                                  | 158        | 41.8           |
in clinical practices, 35.2% in academic institutions, and 41.8% in both clinical practices and academic institutions.

Clinical situations for routine antibiotic prescriptions were demonstrated in Table 2 where there was a significant statistical difference in the prescription of antibiotics among the pediatric dentists and general dentists for a number of the oral conditions except for periapical abscess, dry socket, pediatric periodontal diseases, peri-coronitis, and extraction by the open method.

The antibiotic prescription patterns among the pediatric and general dentists were displayed in Table 3 where Amoxicillin with clavulanic acid was the most commonly prescribed antibiotic (53% PD and 52% GD) followed by Amoxicillin (32% PD and 27% GD). Regarding the duration of the antibiotic course, the majority of the dentists

| Clinical situations                              | Answer Yes/No | Pediatric dentists (N = 154) | General dentists (N = 224) | p-value |
|-------------------------------------------------|---------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|
|                                                  | Number (N)    | Percentage (%)               | Number (N) | Percentage (%) |
| Pulpitis                                        | Yes           | 1                            | 10           | 4.5     | 0.030 |
|                                                 | No            | 153                          | 214          | 95.5    |       |
| Draining sinus tract                            | Yes           | 15                           | 51           | 22.8    | 0.001 |
|                                                 | No            | 139                          | 173          | 77.2    |       |
| Localized intraoral swelling                    | Yes           | 20                           | 53           | 23.7    | 0.010 |
|                                                 | No            | 134                          | 171          | 76.3    |       |
| Acute facial swelling                           | Yes           | 136                          | 173          | 77.2    | 0.006 |
|                                                 | No            | 18                           | 51           | 22.8    |       |
| Dental trauma                                   | Yes           | 76                           | 70           | 31.3    | 0.000 |
|                                                 | No            | 78                           | 154          | 68.8    |       |
| Pediatric periodontal diseases                  | Yes           | 28                           | 29           | 12.9    | 0.162 |
|                                                 | No            | 126                          | 195          | 87.1    |       |
| Pericoronitis                                   | Yes           | 69                           | 92           | 41.1    | 0.471 |
|                                                 | No            | 85                           | 132          | 58.9    |       |
| Simple extraction                               | Yes           | 0                            | 6            | 2.7     | 0.041 |
|                                                 | No            | 154                          | 218          | 97.3    |       |
| Extraction by open method                       | Yes           | 59                           | 83           | 37.1    | 0.804 |
|                                                 | No            | 95                           | 141          | 62.9    |       |
| Periapical abscess                              | Yes           | 90                           | 141          | 62.9    | 0.377 |
|                                                 | No            | 64                           | 83           | 37.1    |       |
| Apical periodontitis                            | Yes           | 41                           | 35           | 15.6    | 0.009 |
|                                                 | No            | 113                          | 189          | 84.4    |       |
| Dry socket                                      | Yes           | 48                           | 61           | 27.2    | 0.406 |
|                                                 | No            | 106                          | 163          | 72.8    |       |
| Evidence of anaerobic infection                 | Yes           | 134                          | 170          | 75.9    | 0.007 |
|                                                 | No            | 20                           | 54           | 24.1    |       |

| The most commonly prescribed antibiotic         | Pediatric dentists (N = 154) | General dentists (N = 224) | p-value |
|-------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|
| Amoxicillin                                     | 50                           | 62                        | 62.9    | 0.316 |
| Amoxicillin with clavulanic acid                | 83                           | 118                       | 52.7    | 0.816 |
| Ampicillin with Sulbactam                       | 2                            | 3                         | 1.3     | 0.973 |
| Cephalosporins                                  | 2                            | 8                         | 3.6     | 0.176 |
| Clindamycin                                     | 5                            | 21                        | 9.4     | 0.021 |
| Amoxicillin with flucloxacillin                 | 12                           | 12                        | 5.4     | 0.340 |
(90% PD and 86% GD) prescribed antibiotics for 5–7 days
as demonstrated in Table 4. However in the evidence of
anaerobic infection, pediatric dentists’ prescriptions varied
in comparison to the general dentists with a statistical
significance (p = 0.007) as revealed in Table 2.
A large number of pediatric dentists (90.3%) and gen-
eral dentists (89.3%) prescribe prophylactic antibiotics in
cases of cardiovascular diseases with no statistical signifi-
cance between both groups (p = 0.760) while the majority
of pediatric and general dentists didn’t prescribe antibi-
otics in case of viral infections, juvenile diabetes, blood
dyscrasias, and respiratory disorders with no statistical
significance between both groups as demonstrated in
Table 5.
Concerning the awareness of the guidelines, 67.5% of
pediatric dentists, and 62.1% of general dentists were
aware of the antibiotic prescription guidelines with no
statistical significance between both groups (p = 0.275)
while 74.7% of pediatric dentists and 70.1% of general
dentists were aware of the antibiotic prophylaxis guide-
lines with no statistical significance between both groups
(p = 0.329) as displayed in Table 6.
Regarding adherence to guidelines, 68.2% of pediatric
dentists and 53.6% of general dentists were adherents to
the antibiotic prescription guidelines with statistical sig-
ificance between both groups (p = 0.004) while 68.2%
of pediatric dentists and 73.3% of general dentists were
adherents to the antibiotic prophylaxis guidelines with no
statistical significance between both groups (p = 0.247) as
displayed in Table 6.
Nearly all pediatric and general dentists were aware of
antibiotic resistance and most of them grasped that self-
medication and injudicious use of antibiotics lead to the
development of antibiotic resistance with no statistical
significance between both groups as revealed in Table 6.
Before prescribing antibiotics, the majority of partici-
pants ask whether the patient has taken antibiotics in the
previous week and instruct them to adhere to the dose as
demonstrated in Table 7.
Only 5% of general and pediatric dentists prescribed
antibiotics because of insisting parents or the presence of
too many patients in the waiting area and less than 15%
prescribed antibiotics to sustain the patient until the spe-
cialist treats the patient with no statistical significance
between both groups as revealed in Table 7.
**Discussion**
Antibiotic resistance is growing to alarmingly high levels
worldwide, endangering our capability to treat frequent
infectious diseases. This antibiotic resistance is exacer-
bated by antibiotic misuse and overuse, as well as ineffec-
tive infection prevention and control [19].
Reinforcement of the global antimicrobial resistance
surveillance is crucial for establishing global strategies,

| Duration of the antibiotic course | Pediatric dentists (N = 154) | General dentists (N = 224) | P-value |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|---------|
|                                 | Number (N) | Percentage (%) | Number (N) | Percentage (%) |       |
| Less than 5 days                 | 12          | 7.8            | 21          | 9.4            | 0.592 |
| 5 to 7 days                      | 140         | 90.9           | 193         | 86.2           | 0.161 |
| More than 7 days                 | 2           | 1.3            | 10          | 4.5            | 0.085 |

**Table 5**  Prescription of antibiotics for systemic conditions

| Systemic conditions   | Answer Yes/No | Pediatric dentists (N = 154) | General dentists (N = 224) | p-value |
|-----------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|---------|
|                       | Number (N) | Percentage (%) | Number (N) | Percentage (%) |       |
| Cardiovascular diseases | Yes          | 139               | 200          | 89.3%     | 0.760 |
|                       | No           | 15                | 24           | 10.7%     |       |
| Viral infections      | Yes          | 14                | 18           | 8.0%      | 0.717 |
|                       | No           | 140               | 206          | 92.0      |       |
| Juvenile diabetes     | Yes          | 23                | 27           | 12.1%     | 0.417 |
|                       | No           | 131               | 197          | 87.9      |       |
| Blood dyscrasias      | Yes          | 16                | 24           | 10.7%     | 0.920 |
|                       | No           | 138               | 200          | 89.3      |       |
| Respiratory disorders | Yes          | 14                | 23           | 10.3%     | 0.705 |
|                       | No           | 140               | 201          | 89.7      |       |
monitoring the effectiveness of public health interventions, and detecting new trends and threats. Hence, this study is an attempt to contribute to the available literature on antibiotic use and abuse, particularly when treating children in the Middle East [13].

The present study showed a tendency to overprescribe and overuse antibiotics in certain conditions like pulpitis, draining sinus tract, localized intraoral swelling, periapical abscess, apical periodontitis, dry socket which occurred more by general dentists in comparison to pediatric dentists. These findings were in accordance with several studies which indicate that inadequate understanding of the disease, uncertain diagnosis, time pressure, patient expectation, parental pressure, and refusal of operative treatment may be the primary reasons [5, 7, 13, 20].

Amoxicillin with clavulanic acid was the most frequently prescribed antibiotic followed by Amoxicillin. It may be contributed to the effectiveness of Amoxicillin against Streptococci and oral anaerobes which make them appropriate for the treatment of odontogenic infections and the advantage of Amoxicillin with clavulanic acid to preserve the activity against the Beta-lactamases commonly produced by microorganisms associated with odontogenic infections [14, 21].

According to the American Academy of Pediatric Dentistry, Metronidazole may be prescribed as a supplementary antimicrobial treatment in the presence of anaerobic bacterial involvement [15]. This may explain the variation in antibiotic prescription in the presence of an anaerobic infection between pediatric and general dentists in the present study.

Table 6  Awareness of pediatric and general dentists to the antibiotic prescription and prophylaxis guidelines, and antibiotic resistance

|                                      | Answer Yes/No | Pediatric dentists (N = 154) | General dentists (N = 224) | p-value |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|---------|
|                                      | Number (N)    | Percentage (%)              | Number (N)                | Percentage (%) |         |
| Awareness of the antibiotic         | Yes           | 104                         | 67.5                      | 139         | 62.1     | 0.275 |
| prescription guidelines             | No            | 50                          | 32.5                      | 85          | 37.9     |       |
| Adherence to the antibiotic         | Yes           | 105                         | 68.2                      | 120         | 53.6     | 0.004 |
| prescription guidelines             | No            | 49                          | 31.8                      | 104         | 46.4     |       |
| Awareness of the antibiotic         | Yes           | 115                         | 74.7                      | 157         | 70.1     | 0.329 |
| prophylaxis guidelines              | No            | 39                          | 25.3                      | 67          | 29.9     |       |
| Adherence to antibiotic prophylaxis | Yes           | 105                         | 68.2                      | 165         | 73.7     | 0.247 |
| guidelines                          | No            | 49                          | 31.8                      | 59          | 26.3     |       |
| Awareness of antibiotic resistance  | Yes           | 153                         | 99.4                      | 216         | 96.4     | 0.067 |
|                                     | No            | 1                           | 0.6                       | 8           | 3.6      |       |

Table 7  The possible causes of antibiotic misuse from the dentist’s perspective

| Types                     | Reasons                                                                 | Answer Yes/No | Pediatric dentists (N = 154) | General dentists (N = 224) | p-value |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|---------|
|                          |                                                                         | Number (N)    | Percentage (%)              | Number (N)                | Percentage (%) |         |
| Patient-related          | Self-medication                                                        | Yes           | 152                         | 98.7                      | 217         | 96.9     | 0.252 |
|                          |                                                                         | No            | 2                           | 1.3                       | 7           | 3.1      |       |
|                          | Insisting parents                                                       | Yes           | 5                           | 3.2                       | 10          | 4.5      | 0.551 |
|                          |                                                                         | No            | 119                         | 96.8                      | 214         | 95.5     |       |
| Dentist-related          | Inquiring the patient about taking antibiotics in the past week before  | Yes           | 140                         | 90.9                      | 174         | 77.7     | 0.001 |
|                          | prescribing antibiotics                                                | No            | 14                          | 9.1                       | 50          | 22.3     |       |
|                          | Advising the patient to adhere to the dosage regimen and informing     | Yes           | 151                         | 98.1                      | 214         | 95.5     | 0.187 |
|                          | the consequences of not doing so                                        | No            | 3                           | 1.9                       | 10          | 4.5      |       |
|                          | Prescribing antibiotics if you have many appointments already waiting   | Yes           | 9                           | 5.8                       | 10          | 4.5      | 0.5462|
|                          | at your clinic                                                          | No            | 145                         | 94.2                      | 214         | 95.5     |       |
|                          | Prescribing antibiotics to sustain the patient until the specialist     | Yes           | 17                          | 11.0                      | 33          | 14.7     | 0.298 |
|                          | treats the patient                                                      | No            | 137                         | 89.0                      | 191         | 85.3     |       |
Regarding the duration of antibiotic prescription, the majority of the dentists in both groups prescribed antibiotics for 5–7 days allowing resolution of signs and symptoms with no risk of clinical and microbiological relapse. Moreover, antibiotic prescription for the correct duration lowers the unfavorable results and relieves the dilemma of antibiotic resistance [14, 15, 21].

Concerning antibiotic prescription for systemic conditions, a majority of dentists would prescribe antibiotics in cases of cardiovascular diseases while in case of viral infections, juvenile diabetes, blood dyscrasias, respiratory disorders majority of dentists declared they would not prescribe any antibiotics.

While there is a possibility that oral microorganisms can germinate and infect distant tissues after oral practices, there is no proven evidence that this happens. Therefore, the fact of when and for which situations systemic prophylactic antibiotics are needed is debatable [21, 22].

The American Heart Association (AHA) proposes antibiotic prophylaxis for patients with cardiac disorders as they have the greatest risk of an unfavorable outcome [16, 17].

The awareness of guidelines for the prescription and prophylaxis of antibiotics was found to be around 70% of the study population where only 30% felt inadequately informed and trained regarding antibiotic use with no statistical significance between both groups in line with previous studies [12, 20].

Pediatric dentists showed comparatively better adherence to the guidelines as compared to general dentists in our study which may be contributed to the fact that pediatric dentists treated children more often and usually have more years of education in treating children [11].

But still, adherence of pediatric dentists to guidelines was considered low (less than 60%). This finding was in accordance with previous studies that have demonstrated varying low levels of adherence among pediatric dentists in various parts of the world, ranging from 10 to 56% [3, 11–13].

Despite the awareness of antibiotic resistance and prescription guidelines among most of the participants, still there is a misuse in antibiotics prescription. This might be explained by the fact that although knowledge of clinical guidelines and research evidence directly influence antibiotic prescribing, other barriers or competing factors exist that hinder their use in the oral health setting [23].

The results of the present study showed that the majority of the participants inquire the patient about taking antibiotics in the past week before prescribing antibiotics and advise them to adhere to the dosage regimen. Also, the presence of too many patients in the waiting areas or the need to sustain the patient till the next appointment were not reasons for prescribing antibiotics. Dentists demonstrate a lack of worry in limiting this major problem which may be defended by the statement of self-medication as a trigger for its advancement.

This may be explained by the socially desirable responding phenomena, that is, when inquired about unprofessional acts, participants may reply in a way that they feel socially acceptable rather than disclosing information about their true behavior [24].

Study limitations
The data collected was self-reported by the participants and did not examine the actual prescription from the patient files. Furthermore, reporting bias is a concern, as dentists’ responses may not truly reflect their actual practice. In addition, non-response bias is another possible drawback of self-administered questionnaire research. Respondents’ answers may have varied more positively or negatively from those of non-respondents, making it difficult to predict which direction the non-responders will lean.

Conclusions
The present study showed a tendency to overprescribe and overuse antibiotics in certain dental conditions among the participants. The vast majority of dentists, especially general dentists do not have adherence to professional guidelines for antibiotics prescription in children despite their awareness of antibiotic resistance and prescription guidelines. Nearly all pediatric and general dentists were aware of antibiotic resistance, and the majority of them were also aware of the guidelines for the prescription and prophylactic use of antibiotics for systemic conditions.
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