Case Report

Retained distal protection device during carotid artery stenting necessitating carotid endarterectomy: A complication and management considerations
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Abstract

Background: Neuroendovascular techniques have significantly altered the treatment paradigm of cerebrovascular diseases. Since the introduction of distal cerebral protection devices (DCPD), the incidence of embolic strokes during carotid artery stenting (CAS) has been significantly reduced. Treatment guidelines for retained foreign bodies in the cerebral vasculature do not exist.

Case Description: Here, we present the case of an 88-year-old male who, during carotid artery angioplasty and stenting for symptomatic carotid artery stenosis, suffered from a retained distal protection device ultimately requiring open surgical carotid endarterectomy including removal of the retained device and stent.

Conclusions: Carotid artery angioplasty and stenting utilizing distal protection devices is a commonly employed technique that may rarely result in retained devices. Knowledge of how to retrieve foreign bodies and the salvage techniques are essential to prevent complications from CAS.
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INTRODUCTION

Neuroendovascular techniques have significantly altered the treatment paradigm of cerebrovascular diseases. Indications continue to expand with improvements in adjunctive devices that have made endovascular surgery safer and more effective. Despite these advances, embolic events continue to be one of the most devastating complications associated with intraluminal procedures.

Since the introduction of distal cerebral protection devices (DCPD), the incidence of embolic strokes during carotid artery stenting (CAS) has been significantly reduced.[1] Improper technique during CAS can lead to incomplete stent deployment or fracture which may preclude the ability to retrieve the DCPD. Careful interpretation of diagnostic imaging and the use of three-dimensional (3D) reconstructions are paramount in assessing surgical success.
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Treatment guidelines for retained foreign bodies in the cerebral vasculature do not exist. Medical management using antiplatelet and anticoagulants have been successful in the treatment of retained microcatheters and wires. Free floating devices, such as aberrant coil loops, are often removed or immobilized due to higher risk of embolic complications. We describe a rare case of DCPD detainment after CAS and provide a detailed discussion of the evaluation and treatment options.

**CASE REPORT**

The patient was an elderly male with a past medical history of hypertension, dyslipidemia, and prior deep vein thrombosis on rivaroxaban who initially presented with 2 days of word finding difficulties. On initial presentation, he was found to have a moderate-to-severe mixed aphasia and mild right-sided pronator drift. CTA imaging showed evidence of severe stenosis in the left common carotid artery and there was evidence of low density on computed tomography (CT) in the middle cerebral artery distribution which was consistent with subacute infarction. Given his acute symptoms, the decision was made to proceed with intervention in a delayed fashion.

After discharge, the patient was started on aspirin and clopidogrel which were titrated based on his platelet reactivity units and arachidonic acid levels. The patient returned for scheduled CAS 2 months after his prior admission. The procedure occurred in the usual fashion under monitored anesthesia care and commenced without complications. Initial anteroposterior and lateral common carotid artery (CCA) injections demonstrated severe stenosis of 3 mm in diameter occurring just distal to the bifurcation of the common carotid artery [Figure 1]. Under roadmap guidance, a standard microwire was navigated past the stenosis into the distal cervical internal carotid artery and a SpiderFX 5 mm distal protection device (Medtronic, Minneapolis, MN) was advanced over the wire and deployed. Utilizing a Monorail system, a Quantum apex 4X 20 mm balloon (Boston Scientific, Marlborough, MA) was inflated across the stenosis. A second larger 5 × 20 mm diameter balloon was then utilized to provide additional angioplasty of the stenotic segment. Diagnostic images after three angioplasty inflations demonstrated adequate luminal diameter for stent placement. At this point, a Protégé 10-7 × 40 mm stent (Medtronic, Minneapolis, MN) was deployed over the proximal cervical right ICA into the distal right CCA. A post stent deployment angiogram was performed in the working projections which demonstrated significant improvement in the vessel lumen with good stent wall apposition [Figure 2].

At this point, an attempt was made to retrieve the Spider FX distal protection device; however, both ends of the recapture device were unable to be retracted. Repeat angiograms in multiple projections continued to show successful stent deployment with improved luminal diameter. Numerous attempts were made to navigate through the stent over the Spider FX wire an angioplasty balloon, diagnostic catheter, or dilator sheath, but all attempts were unsuccessful. Interval angiograms continued to demonstrate adequate flow through the stent without evidence of intraluminal complications such as dissection or thrombosis. After tedious intraluminal manipulation, a 017 microcatheter could traverse the stent but was too small to recapture the device.

At this point, we felt two-dimensional (2D) angiography was not adequate in diagnosis, and therefore, a volume of interest Dyna CT with 3D reconstructions were obtained. 3D reconstructions demonstrated persistent stenosis within the mid portion of the stent and reticent calcified plaque [Figure 3]. Given the inability to remove the DCPD despite multiple endovascular maneuvers, the
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**Figure 1:** Cervical angiogram initial diagnostic views prior to stent placement. (a) Anterior to posterior projection (b) and lateral projection

**Figure 2:** Cervical angiogram in oblique working projection of carotid artery bifurcation demonstrating adequate luminal diameter following stent deployment
patient was ultimately taken to the operating room for open carotid endarterectomy and hardware extirpation. Although we were hesitant to perform an open surgical procedure on dual antiplatelet therapy and systemic heparanization, no significant issues with bleeding were encountered. The endarterectomy was performed in the standard fashion while femoral access was maintained. Upon opening the common carotid artery, the wire was cut proximally and removed from the femoral sheath [Figure 4]. Further endarterectomy was performed using a number 11 blade towards the distal internal carotid artery and the hardware was carefully extracted. After complete endarterectomy and hardware extirpation, the vessel repair was performed without further incident. Postoperative angiogram demonstrated appropriate flow through the carotid artery without evidence of residual stenosis or distal thrombotic complication [Figure 5].

Postoperatively, the patient was extubated in the intensive care unit and was found to be at his neurologic baseline. After being monitored in the intensive care unit for 1 day he was transferred to the general care floor and discharged on post-surgery day 2.

DISCUSSION

Unusual carotid foreign bodies have been described in multiple case reports ranging from firearm fragments and fish bones secondary to penetrating injuries to iatrogenic migration of wires, catheters, and balloons used during endovascular procedures.\[4,7\] Most foreign bodies migrate into the distal internal carotid artery or proximal middle cerebral artery due to its size relative to the parent vessel. The optimal management of internal carotid artery foreign bodies is unknown due to its rarity and lack of high level literature. With increased use of neuroendovascular techniques and utilization of indwelling catheters and stents, inadvertent device retainment is becoming a more frequently encountered complication. Knowledge of how to diagnose and manage retained foreign bodies is essential in preventing major cerebrovascular complications.

DCPD were designed to prevent distal migration of embolic plaques which most commonly occur during endoluminal manipulations of the carotid artery during CAS. Although rare, DCPD detainment can occur with incomplete stent deployment or stent fracture which may preclude the ability to retrieve the DCPD. The natural history of retained DCPD is unknown because of its porosity and ability to maintain distal cerebral blood flow which sets DCPD apart from other types of foreign bodies. Reports of successful treatment of retained DCPD with medical and surgical therapy have been reported in case reports, therefore, the optimal management of retained DCPD remains a challenge to the endovascular surgeon.\[2,3,6\]
arteriotomy site is a reasonable consideration; however, risk of infection, arterial erosion, thromboembolic events, and further migration are reasons for surgical removal. Standard endovascular techniques are certainly viable options for foreign body removal. Strategies for device retrieval include manipulations to advance or retract the DCPD away from the anchor site and use of snares, forceps, or baskets to provide additional leverage; however, these maneuvers are often unsuccessful due to the size and expandable nature of the DCPD. The DCPD is often anchored along the wall of the internal carotid artery by the carotid stent or imbedded within its tissues due to inadequate deployment; therefore, attempts to recapture the device through forceful manipulation is usually ineffective and often dangerous. Immobilization of foreign bodies against the arterial wall with a stent may be a reasonable alternative.\textsuperscript{5} Stent immobilization facilitates incorporation of devices against the vessel well with delayed endothelialization and prevention of thromboembolic complications. Optimal antplatelet and anticoagulation therapy is essential as parent vessel occlusion or thromboembolism remains a potentially devastating complication.

Endarterectomy for device retrieval is a familiar procedure to the neurological or vascular surgeon and can be performed safely in an emergent setting. Although most patients are on dual antplatelet and anticoagulant therapy, intraoperative bleeding does not appear to be a major barrier to successful surgery, and therefore, reversal agents may not be required to prevent further propagation of any intraluminal thrombus. Open surgical repair requires adequate exposure of the common, external, and internal carotid arteries. The entire length of the endarterectomy may need to be performed using a number 11 blade as scissors are often unable to cut across the stent. Once the stent and DCPD are exposed, the proximal pusher wire may be cut and the stent with the device delivered en bloc. Repair of the endarterectomy may then proceed in the standard fashion using nonabsorbable sutures, and a drain should be left in place in case of persistent oozing within the surgical bed.\textsuperscript{5,6}

Patient selection for CAS and avoidance of tortuous anatomy, heavily calcified plaques, and critically stenotic lesions may reduce the incidence of retained intraluminal devices. In all reported cases of retained DCPDs, the common variables include severity of stenosis and density of plaque; however, despite optimal patient selection and presurgical planning, complications may occur. Having a low threshold for obtaining 3D angiographic images is paramount in identifying and managing these complications. An in-depth knowledge of the delivery devices, rescue techniques, and salvage procedures are critical in preventing complications during CAS. Although medical therapy may be successful in certain scenarios, device retrieval is ideal if it can be performed safely. If endovascular techniques are unsuccessful in removing the DCPD, surgery is a viable option and can be performed safely despite antplatelet and anticoagulant therapy.

**CONCLUSION**

No treatment guidelines exist for retained foreign bodies in the cerebral vasculature; however, the natural history for free floating devices is likely poor with high rates of embolic events. Knowledge of how to retrieve foreign bodies and the salvage techniques are essential to prevent complications from CAS.
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