Evaluation of the clinical examination in the diagnosis of acute medial collateral ligament injury of the knee joint in comparison with examination under general anesthesia

Laith Saleem Sabri F.I.C.M.S.*, Ali Ehsan Shafeeq C.A.B.S. *, Haider Omran Hayat Ali C.A.B.S*

ABSTRACT
Background: Tear of MCL of the knee is a frequent problem among knee ligaments injuries. Injuries to the MCL are usually caused by contact on the outside of the knee and are accompanied by sharp pain on the inside of the knee. Contrary to other knee ligaments, the medial collateral ligament (MCL) has an excellent ability to heal, being fairly large and well vascularised structure. The vast majority of isolated medial ligament injuries heal without significant long-term problems.

Objectives: is to compare between the early clinical examination, and assessment under general anesthesia (GA), and to find out the best methods to assess the MCL tear especially in suspected cases.

Type of the study: Cross-sectional study.

Methods: Fifty patients are collected from casualty & outpatient units from November/2014 to October/2016 with MCL injury in AL-Kindy teaching hospital. We decided to evaluate them under general anesthesia by valgus stress test at 30 degrees & 0 degrees to estimate the integrity of MCL, in addition to anterior & posterior Drawer test to evaluate anterior & posterior cruciate ligaments.

Results: From the 50 patients we select, there were 21 patients seen in the examination to have MCL tear. 1 of them were Grade I (4.8%), 14 of them were Grade II (66.7%), & 6 of them were Grade III (28.5%) Associated injuries with ACL injury were 5 patients, with PCL injury were 1 patient and with medial capsular injury were 2 patients. Follow up of case range from (2-10) weeks with an average of 6 weeks combined with physiotherapy programs.

Conclusions: Diagnosis of MCL tear by valgus stress test under GA is the best method for the assessment of MCL tear in suspected cases.
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The knee is the largest joint in the body and its security depends not so much on the intrinsic shape of its articular surfaces, but on the capsule and the powerful ligaments which bind the bones together, and on the muscles and tendons which surround it. It is nevertheless, a relatively unstable joint, which explains the frequency of injuries.

The medial ligament consists of three portions; superficial, deep and oblique. 

Superior part provides primary restraint to valgus stress at knee depending on knee flexion angle; deep firmly attaches to the meniscus but does not provide significant resistance to valgus force. 

Injuries to the MCL are usually caused by contact on the outside of the knee and are accompanied by sharp pain on the inside of the knee. Contrary to other knee ligaments, the medial collateral ligament (MCL) has an excellent ability to heal, being fairly large and well vascularised structure. The vast majority of isolated medial ligament injuries heal without significant long-term problems.

Injury to the MCL often occurs after an impact to the outside of the knee when the knee is slightly bent. The ligaments on the inside of the knee get stretched and can sometimes tear. The medial ligament has two parts to it. A deep part that attaches to the cartilage meniscus and a superficial part that attaches further down the joint. The deep part will rupture first and this often means that the cartilage is also damaged.

Many Classification for MCL injury like American Medical Association Committee on the Medical Aspects of Sports have three grades:

A. Grade 1 Injury: Minimal tear with no joint laxity. 

B. Grade 2 Injury: Moderate tear with joint laxity. 

C. Grade 3 Injury: Complete tear with no firm endpoint.

O’Donoghue classification also has three grades: 

Grade 1 - Few torn fibers, structurally intact

Grade 2 - Incomplete tear, no pathologic laxity

Grade 3 - Complete tear, pathologic laxity

In our study, we depend on American Medical Association Committee on the medical aspects of sports. A complete physical examination of the knee should be performed after a thorough history is obtained. Attention should be directed toward localizing the MCL injury and identifying any associated injuries. Inspection and palpation of the knee should identify the presence and location of point tenderness, localized soft tissue swelling, deformity, or ecchymosis. The region of injury within the ligament should be noted. A large joint effusion indicates an associated intra-articular injury. Outcome can be influenced by the location of the injury within the ligament.

The integrity of the MCL is tested with a valgus stress. If any abnormal laxity is noted, the quality of the endpoint should be determined. Testing should be performed in full extension and at 30° of flexion. Grading of the injury is based on the amount of...
laxity. Any laxity is compared to the opposite knee. Rotation should be compared to the opposite knee when evaluating for associated posterolateral injuries. Anterior and posterior draw tests and Apley’s Grind test are performed to rule out associated injuries. Other structures within the knee may be injured in association with the MCL. The anterior cruciate ligament (ACL), the medial meniscus, the extensor mechanism, including the vastus medialis obliquus, and retinacular fibers, the PCL may be injured. Joint aspiration: If a significant joint effusion is present, evaluation may be difficult. Using aseptic technique, the knee may be aspirated to allow for a more complete evaluation. A local anesthetic can be injected if the knee is too painful for evaluation. Knee X-ray indicated in all suspected tears including anteroposterior, posteroanterior, and lateral. Radiography should be performed to rule out fractures of the tibial plateau, patella, or distal femur. The Pellegrini-Stieda lesion is indicative of an old injury and appears as a calcification at the femoral insertion of the MCL. The lateral capsular Segond fracture, suggests an associated ACL tear. This is a chip of bone still attached to the capsule after the capsule is avulsed from the lateral tibia. MRI is important for diagnosis of MCL injury, the intact MCL is best demonstrated on T1- or T2-weighted coronal images. Partial tears or strains of MCL are seen with increased distance between the subcutaneous tissue and cortical bone. Mild increases in signal intensity on T2-weighted images without change in morphology correlate with a grade 1 tear increasing ligamentous size and signal intensity with attenuation correlate with grade 2 MCL tear. Complete disruption of MCL on MRI correlate with grade 3 disruption. T2 or gradient echo fast scan images demonstrate; edema, hemorrhage, or both adjacent to low-signal-intensity fibers. (Figure 1).

Figure 1: MRI of MCL tear.

Aim of the study is to compare between the early clinical examination, and assessment under GA, regarding the results and to find out which the two methods is the best to assess the MCL tear especially in suspected cases.

Methods: During the period between November 2014 and October 2016 a (50) patients with injury to the knee and suspicion of MCL injury were selected at Al Kindy teaching hospital, from the casualty and outpatient units. The diagnosis based on taking history and clinical examination. During regional examination, we concentrate on making Valgus stress test without inducing much pain during the examination. Generally we select our group of patients basing on suspicion of having MCL injury. The suspicion made on specific criteria which include;

1- Mechanism of injury (sever Valgus force on the lateral side of the knee).
2- Sever pain, swelling and tenderness in medial aspect of the knee.
3- Apprehension and guarding of the patient from making the exam.
4- Negative primary plain x-ray findings.

We decide to examine our group of suspected patients under general anesthesia (GA), to exclude or confirm the presence of MCL tear. We admitted patients to the orthopedic ward, prepare them and complete investigations done, and assessment for fitness to anesthesia. Then they were admitted to the theater for fluoroscopic diagnosis under GA either in the same day, or in the day later. The goal of doing Valgus stress test and the Drawer test under GA was to estimate the degree of MCL tear, and other associated structures injuries, where the patient is anesthetized and there is no pain or guarding impending our exam. All the examinations were performed by our hand. The follow up of cases, range from 2-10 weeks with a mean of 4 weeks in the outpatient unit. In the early clinical assessment of the 50 patients we had; sex distribution was with male predominance, 46 patients were male and 4 patients were female, forming 92% and 8% subsequently, the patients age range between 16-40 years with a mean age of (28) year. Right knee (32) cases, left knee (18) cases. Arthrocentesis was done for (22) patients (44%). Medial side tenderness of the affected knee was positive in all the 50 patients, and the tests applied to them were; Valgus stress test at 0 degree and 30 degree of knee flexion for assessment of MCL injury, anterior and posterior Drawer test and Apley’s grinding test done for associated injuries. Fig 2 and 3. Hospitalization was based on the concept of day care procedure, putting the patient on discharge on oral non steroidal anti inflammatory drugs. We discharge the patients on an above knee splint in 30 degree flexion, to be reexamined after one week to assess the knee in the outpatient, and then putting above knee splint, and given another date to come to the outpatient unit. The duration of splint depend on the patient and the grade of MCL tear, and it was ranged from 2-6 weeks, during this time no weight bearing is allowed. After the removal of splint, we send the patient for physiotherapy, which includes gradual increase in the range of movement of the
affected knee and quadriceps muscle strengthening exercises.

Figure 2: Valgus stress test at 0 and 30 degrees under GA.

Results: All the data collected from the patients are based on the examination under GA. From the (50) patients admitted, (21) patients diagnosed to have MCL tear.

Grades of MCL tear: Three of our 21 diagnosed patients had a Grade I (14.3%). 14 of the patients had a Grade II (66.7%), & 4 of the patients had a Grade III (19.1%). Tab 1 and Graph 1. Grades of MCL Tear (Table 1)

| Grade of MCL tear | patients | percent |
|-------------------|----------|---------|
| Grade I           | 1        | 4.8%    |
| Grade II          | 14       | 66.7%   |
| Grade III         | 6        | 28.5%   |

Associated injuries: By assessment under anesthesia we found that 13 patients had isolated tear of the MCL (61.9%), the remaining 8 patients had MCL tear associated with other knee structures injuries as shown in table 2:

| No. | Type of Injury                              | Patients No. | percentage |
|-----|--------------------------------------------|--------------|------------|
| 1.  | MCL + ACL tear                             | 5            | 23.8%      |
| 2.  | MCL + PCL tear                             | 1            | 4.8%       |
| 3.  | MCL+posterio-medial capsule tear           | 2            | 9.5%       |
|     |                                           | Total        | 38.1%      |

We collect the following data from the case report of the (21) patients with MCL tear, to find out what are the most probable causes, signs and symptoms of MCL tear. The most common cause of MCL tear in the (21) patients was athletic activities, especially in football players, we have 15 patients with MCL tear during sports i.e. (71.4%) of the total number 21 as shown here in table 3.

| Cause of MCL tear | Patients No. | percentage |
|-------------------|--------------|------------|
| Football or other sport | 15          | 71.4 %     |
| Accidents         | 5            | 23.8%      |
| Military          | 1            | 4.8%       |
| Total             | 21           | 100%       |
Of those 21 patients, who have the MCL tear confirmed by assessment under GA, all of them (100%) were complained of pain at the medial side of the knee joint at the time of injury,15 patients couldn't walk directly after the injury (71.4%), 6 patients (28.6%) couldn't continue the activity. see {table 4} below:

Table (4): Symptoms of MCL Tear,

| Symptoms                        | No | percent |
|---------------------------------|----|---------|
| Pain:                           |    |         |
| Moderate (interferes with function) | 7  | 33.3    |
| Severe (present most of the time)   | 14 | 66.7    |
| Couldn't walk after injury       | 15 | 71.4    |
| Couldn't continue the activity   | 6  | 28.6    |

The commonest signs that could be found in patients with MCL tear were tenderness especially on the medial side of the joint (in 21 patients, i.e. 100%). Ecchymosis in (11) patient (52.4 %) and in (14) patients had knee swelling due to joint effusion (66.7 %) (Table 5).

Table-5-Signs of MCL Tear:

| Commonest signs                        | Patients No. | percentage |
|----------------------------------------|--------------|------------|
| Tenderness on the medial side of the knee | 21           | 100%       |
| Knee swelling due to effusion:         |              |            |
| Moderate                               | 5            | 23.8%      |
| Severe                                 | 7            | 33.3%      |
| Ecchymosis                             | 11           | 52.4%      |

Discussion: Though the tear of MCL is common among the knee ligaments injuries, it is some times indiagnosed because of underestimation during early examination. In our study we tried to make a definite diagnosis of MCL tear in all suspicious cases to overcome the problem of underestimation during primary exam of the patient after injury by the use of technique of examination under G.A.

Generally the aim from doing Valgus stress test under G.A was to:

1-Diagnose cases of MCL tear & other associated injuries.

2-To arrange the best management for the cases according to the findings.

All the procedures were performed to acutely injured patient who gets more benefit from our early diagnosis. To decrease the percent of false positive cases, we always examine the unaffected side firstly in order to compare with affected side & by this we can avoid mistakes, such as in congenital laxity of ligaments. In the early clinical assessment we found that (50) patients had suspicion of MCL tear with or without associated other structures of the knee joint, while in the assessment under GA we found that only (21) patients had true MCL tear either isolated, or in association with other injuries like; ACL, PCL or injury to the knee capsule. Also we get accurate assessment of the grade of MCL tear in the assessment under GA, while in the early clinical assessment of those (50) suspected patients we couldn't assess the grade of MCL tear accurately, so the assessment of MCL tear under GA is the best method, especially in suspected cases. In our study we found that MCL injury in suspicious cases of knee injuries after the assessment under GA are 21 of 50 cases (42%), which is approximately similar to the other study(45% of suspicious cases 66 cases found to have MCL tear). On contrary to the search by Adam B Agranoff who found in his study (on 96 case) that male & female are equally affected, in our study we found that there is male predominance, male to female ratio was 90% -10% which is so different. According to our study the prevalence rate was maximum between 20-29 years since we get 57.14% of occurrence in this group, which is approximately similar to that reported by Adam B Agranoff which also found that in peak incidence of MCL tear rate at age between 20-34 years. Most commonly encountered associated injuries with MCL tear was ACL tear, posteromedial capsule and PCL tear. Were similar to other study. The most common grades of MCL tears were Grade II (66.7%) and this appropriate to the result of other study who found the most common grade of MCL tear were Grade I and II. Undiagnosed cases of MCL tear, especially those with associated other ligament Injury, may develop instability of the knee joint with different degrees of the decrease in the range of motion as seen in cases presented to us late was misdiagnosed & mistreated, on contrary to our cases in which early diagnosis provide early accurate treatment & as we think better results.

Conclusions: Diagnosis of acute MCL tear by valgus stress test under G.A is frequently performed procedure thought it is not widely used in most of our hospitals. Negative primary x-ray findings, doesn’t exclude the presence of ligament tear. It would appear that careful selection of the suspected patients would be worthy to get the maximal benefit from this procedure. The benefit of this procedure is most rewarding as seen in our study as it diagnoses many patients to have ligament tear though we suspect the diagnosis at the primary examination. This procedure is short, easy, inexpensive, and not invasive in nature with minimal hospitalization time. We are satisfied with
our result which we get from our procedure & we regard it as the procedure of choice for the diagnosis of acute MCL tear of the knee.

Recommendation:

1- valgus stress test & associated tests under G.A are the selected procedures to diagnose MCL tear with or without other structures injury.

2- Definite diagnosis & management of such cases lead to decrease complications in our work in comparism with neglected cases.

3- Those cases, with different types & grades of MCL tear, may need surgery or follow-up & physiotherapy for at least 10 weeks.
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