Bromalites from the Upper Triassic Polzberg section (Austria); insights into trophic interactions and food chains of the Polzberg palaeobiota
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A rich assemblage of various types of bromalites from the lower Carnian “Konservat-Lagerstätte” from the Reingraben Shales in Polzberg (Northern Calcareous Alps, Lower Austria) is described for the first time in detail. They comprise large regurgitalites consisting of numerous entire shells of ammonoid Austrotrachyceras or their fragments and rare teuthid arm hooks, and buccal cartilage of Phragmoteuthis. Small coprolites composed mainly of fish remains were also found. The size, shape and co-occurrence with vertebrate skeletal remains imply that regurgitalites were likely produced by large durophagous fish (most likely by cartilaginous fish Acrodus). Coprolites, in turn, were likely produced by medium-sized piscivorous actinopterygians. Our findings are consistent with other lines of evidence suggesting that durophagous predation has been intense during the Triassic and that the so-called Mesozoic marine revolution has already started in the early Mesozoic.

Bromalites, the fossilized products of digestion, are precious source of palaeobiological information1–4. They provide unique insights into dietary habits5, trophic interaction between animals6–7, health condition (e.g., parasitic infections system8), and some physiological aspects of extinct vertebrates9. The Triassic bromalites were recently described from a number of sites comprising localities in Germanic Basin epicontinental sea5,8,10. Until recently, a detailed study on bromalites from Northern Calcareous Alps has been lacking. They have been only briefly mentioned by Glaessner11 from the Upper Triassic Polzberg locality (the Northern Calcareous Alps). This locality (also known as Pölzberg12; southern part of the Lunz Nappe, Lower Austria; Fig. 1) comprises the lower Carnian Reingraben Shales which form at this locality a fossiliferous site known as “Konservat Lagerstätte” sensu Seilacher13 (see also14,15). Lithologies and the fossil content of the area, and distinct parts of the Polzberg section are known since the nineteenth century12,16. More recently15,17–20 new lithological and palaeontological data for the Polzberg locality were provided. During the last decade, private collectors detected new important biostratigraphic elements within distinct layers of the thinly laminated deposits of the Reingraben Shales from Polzberg.

In this paper, we report fossilized vomits (regurgitalites) and coprolites, which provide new insights into pelagic invertebrates-vertebrates trophic chain of the Late Triassic Polzberg biota.

Geologic setting

The Polzberg outcrop (710 m above sea level) is located on the western slope of Mount Schindelberg (1066 m), 4 km northeast of Lunz am See around Polzberg (postal code 3293) in the Lunz Nappe of Lower Austria. Hidden in a small mountain creek, the locality is accessible from the south over the Zellerrain street 71 via Mariazell or from the west over Lunz am See the Weißenbach street 25 and then street 71 (1:50,000, sheet 58 Baden 199611; Fig. 1). The exact position of the fossiliferous locality (Reingraben Shales) was determined by GPS (global position system): N 47°53′4.98″ and E 15°4′28.15″.

Excavation campaigns were organized by the Austrian Geological Survey in 1885 and 1909. During these years, two fossil mines were driven into the basal part of the Reingraben Shales yielding better-preserved fossils, not harmed by weathering of soft marly deposits on the surface. The historical abandoned and collapsed mines were located approximately at N 47°53′23.31″ and E 15°4′45.80″.
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Classically, the Northern Calcareous Alps (NCA) are subdivided from north to south into the Lower and Upper Bajuvaric Units, the Tyrolic Unit, and the Lower and Upper Juvavic Units (Fig. 1). The northernmost tectonic elements of the NCA in Lower Austria are the Frankenfels Nappe, followed subsequently to the south by the Lunz Nappe. Within the Lunz Nappe in Lower Austria, the Reifling basin is located between the Polzberg and Großreifling.

The lower Upper Triassic (Austrotrachyceras austriacum Zone, Julian 2, lower Carnian) Polzberg section is located in the southern part of the Reifling basin on the easternmost part of the Geological map 1:50,000, sheet 71 Ybbsitz (Fig. 1). The Polzberg locality is located in the southern area of the Lunz Nappe (Upper Bajuvaric Unit of the Northern Calcareous Alps) that is bordered to the north by the Low-Bajuvaric Unit of the Frankenfels Nappe, and to the south of the lake Lunzer See by the Tyrolic Ötscher Nappe.

The known conservation Fossil-Lagerstätte in Reingraben Shales from Polzberg in Lower Austria is poorly understood. Teller published first preliminary data on the Polzberg locality. The deposits consisting of millimetre-laminated, dark grey, brownish, slightly bituminous marls, with clearly visible bright/dark stratification without any bioturbation are included the Reingraben Member in the Lunz Formation. Nevertheless we consider the Reingraben Shales as informal lithostratigraphic unit (= Reingraben Schiefer; “Halobienschiefer” given the more siliciclastic and fine-grained facies types (Fig. 2).

Fossil content in the Reingraben Shales
In the late 19th and early twentieth centuries, hundreds of fossils have been collected from Polzberg locality. The fauna was dominated by ammonites of the ceratitid species Austrotrachyceras austriacum with original aragonite shell, hundreds of fish remains, and arthropods. The ammonites derive from several distinct ammonite layers. Rare ammonites of the genera Carnites, Sageniceras and Simonyceras also occur. Over 100 fragments of coleoid prosstara and phragmocones of Phragmoteuthis bisinuata, showing arm hooks and buccal cartilage have been recovered from the Polzberg deposits. The Reingraben Shales contain common remains of fossil fishes. The lungfish Ceratodus sturi was also found in deposits from Polzberg. A single conodont cluster with abundant Mosherella, assigned to Triassic jawless fishes, was reported. Noteworthy, Forchielli & Pervesler re-described the arthropods consisting of dozens of thylacocephalan Austriocaris and noted the presence of other arthropods (including crustaceans with decapods and isopods) from the Polzberg locality.

Figure 1. Map of Austria and the Northern Calcareous Alps with their main tectonic subdivisions (Bajuvaric, Tyrolic and Juvavic Units). Indicated with asterisk is the position of the Polzberg locality (Polz). Prepared by AL using CorelDRAW X7; www.coreldraw.com.

Figure 2. Section within the Carnian Reingraben shales at Polzberg in the Polzberg ravine, 2. Mai 2014 by G. Bryda. Solid lines = main fault zones with indicated direction of shearing, dashed lines = marker layers. Prepared by AL using CorelDRAW X7; www.coreldraw.com.
Thousands of shells of the benthic bivalves *Halobia rugosa* (1–3 mm length), dozens of gastropods, and rare brachiopods appear in the Polzberg collections housed at the Natural History Museum Vienna and the Geological Survey Vienna. The Reingraben Shales are mostly scarce or barren of microfossils. Ostracods (*Dicerobairdia*), foraminifera (*Trocholina*), and pelagic crinoids (*Osteocrinus*) are locally common.

**Palaeoenvironment of the Reingraben Shales**

The Reingraben Shales are interpreted as deposits of a relatively deep marine environment within intra-platform basin as inferred from the dominance of a nektonic fauna. There is some confusion in the designation to either Lunz or Polzberg localities in official collections of the Natural History Museum Vienna and the Geological Survey Vienna or the University of Vienna. As stated by Forchielli and Pervesler the fauna of the Polzberg basin was mainly normal marine with ephemeral and limited freshwater input.

**Results**

Two morphotypes of bromalites are distinguished:

**Type A.** Flat, slightly oval, large (> 40 mm) and up to about 1 cm thick; they contain numerous and densely packed body macrofossils:

- *NHMW 2020/0033/0001 Polz* (slab): Massive, thick (< 11 mm), maximum dispersion (i.e., diameter) 50 mm, composed of variously oriented entire ammonite specimens (with original white shells), ammonite hash with angular shell margins and teuthid cartilage fragments (Figs. 4A, 5), including teuthid hook. SEM observations show some slight traces of calcium carbonate dissolution (Fig. 3F, Supplementary Data S1) in some ammonite shell fragments, suggesting their partial digestion in the digestive tract.

- *NHMW 2020/0033/0002 1910 A* (slab): Massive, thick (< 10 mm), maximum dispersion 100 mm, composed of variously oriented entire ammonite specimens (with original white shell), ammonite hash with angular shell margins and teuthid cartilage fragments (Fig. 4B). Results of Raman spectroscopy show that skeletal debris on the surface of bromalites are composed of calcite (distinct peak at ~1090 cm–1); Supplementary Fig. S1). Closer examination under SEM indicates that these carbonate debris are crushed shell fragments of mollusk (Fig. 3C) and teuthid buccal cartilage (Supplementary Data S2).

- *NHMW 2020/0033/0003 AS93* (slab and counter-slab): Thin, fragmental mass, maximum 2.5 mm thick, maximum dispersion 38 mm, composed of variously oriented entire ammonites (with original white shell) and ammonite fragments displaying angular shell margins and teuthid arm hooks (n = 13) (Fig. 4C).

- *NHMW 2020/0033/0004 AS186 A* (slab and counter-slab): Thin (< 2 mm) fragile mass, max. dispersion 68 mm, composed of variously oriented entire ammonites (with original white shell) and ammonite fragments displaying angular shell margins (Fig. 4D).

- *NHMW 2020/0033/0005 1910 B* (slab and counter-slab): Thin (< 3.5 mm) fragile mass, maximum dispersion 70 mm, composed of variously oriented entire ammonites (with original white shell) and ammonite fragments displaying angular shell margins with teuthid arm hooks (n = 4) (Fig. 4E).

- *NHMW 2020/0033/0006 AS187* (slab and counter-slab): Thin (< 2.5 mm) mass, maximum dispersion 46 mm, composed of variously oriented fragmentated ammonite specimens (with original white shell) and their fragments displaying angular shell margins, and associated organic black ink material (Fig. 4F).

**Type B** Elongated, cylindrical, small (< 15 mm); they contain loosely dispersed remnants of the body macrofossils/microfossils:

- *NHMW 2020/0033/0007 AS193* Small elongated bromalite, up to 5 mm thick, 8 mm in breadth, and 13 mm in length, with rare fish scales (Fig. 4G). XRD analysis shows that the host rock surrounding bromalite contains mainly clay minerals, represented by illite–smectite mixed layer structure and chlorite, however small amount of mica and kaolinite are also detected (Supplementary Fig. S2; Supplementary Data S3). The XRD spectrum shows numerous strong reflections indicating the presence of quartz, sodium feldspars (albite) and carbonate minerals—calcite, with an admixture of dolomite. Calcite reflections are doubled, which indicates the occurrence of two generations of calcium carbonate, differing in structure, which in turn may be related to the occurrence of a more Mg-rich variety. For example, the main calcite d10.4 peak consists of two overlapped reflections—3.027 and 3.010 Å. The first peak, 3.027 Å, represents a purer calcite variety; the second peak indicates a Mg-rich generation. In the analyzed bromalite sample, some minerals found in the parent rock sample are also present (quartz, chlorite and illite), but they represent only a small admixture. The main minerals detected in the bromalite matrix are apatite and calcite. The obtained apatite reflections match with a high score the carbonate-fluorapatite structure was used. The calculated structural parameters (lattice parameters) of apatite are a = 9.3202(5) Å and c = 6.9012(5) Å.
**Figure 3.** The detailed images of bromalites from Polzberg section. (A) SEM-image of specimen NHMW 2020/0033/0008 AS244 thin-section showing small fish jaw embebbed in coprolite matrix; (B) microscope image of NHMW 2020/0033/0008 AS244 thin-section exhibiting aptychus/cephalic cartilage; (C) NHMW 2020/0033/0002 1910A, higher magnification of cephalopod remains showing calcium carbonate crystals; (D) sample NHMW 2020/0033/0002 1910A, iron oxide pseudomorphosis after pyrite framboids; (E) SEM image of sample NHMW 2020/0033/0001 Polz, detailed view of two ammonite tubercles; (F) SEM image of sample NHMW 2020/0033/0001 Polz, areas of calcium carbonate dissolution. Scale bar equals 100 μm for (A,B,E); it is 20 μm for (C); 10 μm for (F), and 5 μm for (D).
Figure 4. Bromalites from Polzberg section. (A) fragment of NHMW 2020/0033/0001 Polz specimen exhibiting ammonite hash and fragments of teuthid cartilage; (B) specimen NHMW 2020/0033/0002 1910A composed mainly of entire ammonite specimens; (C) specimen of NHMW 2020/0033/0003 AS93 exhibiting ammonite hash and entire ammonites; (D) specimen NHMW 2020/0033/0004 AS186A composed of variously oriented ammonites; (E) specimen NHMW 2020/0033/0005 1910B showing fragmented ammonite specimens, dominated by external views; (F) specimen NHMW 2020/0033/0006 AS187 revealing fragmented ammonites, large specimen from external view with organix black material; (G) specimen NHMW 2020/0033/0007 AS193 showing entire coprolite; (H) thin-section of specimen NHMW 2020/0033/0008 AS244 exhibiting actinopterygian fish remains. Scale bar equals 10 mm.
Among ammonoids from studied bromalites from Polzberg inner aged. Accumulations of ammonoid shells consisting of phragmocones, interpreted as a result of predation, have crushed only the body chamber containing the soft tissues. In this case, phragmocone remains ingested undamaged either from the fact that the predator swallowed the entire ammonoids or from the fact while swallowing it other accumulations, crushed ammonite fragments predominate. The presence of uncrushed shells may result from the occurrence of densely packed ammonoid shells and their fragments in distinct oval spots, the rarity of these accumulations of shells and/or shell hash (morphotypes A and B) reported herein is that they represent abiotic structures produced by post-mortem transport by bottom currents or waves or that they are ichnofossils (e.g., infills of decapod burrows). However, the evidence suggests that Polzberg locality preserves two types of bromalites with regurgitalites (morphotype A) being the most common. An interesting feature is the presence of uncrushed ammonoid shells within some regurgitalites (NHMW 2020/0033/0003 AS93 (Fig. 4C); NHMW 2020/0033/0002 1910 A (Fig. 4B). In other accumulations, crushed ammonite fragments predominate. The presence of uncrushed shells may result either from the fact that the predator swallowed the entire ammonoids or from the fact while swallowing it crushed only the body chamber containing the soft tissues. In this case, phragmocone remains ingested undamaged. Accumulations of ammonoid shells consisting of phragmocones, interpreted as a result of predation, have been described in the literature. However, among ammonoids from studied bromalites from Polzberg inner moulds are unknown, thus it is uncertain if these shells represent entire specimens or if they are phragmocone parts of ammonoids.

**Potential producers of bromalites.** Based on the size of regurgitalites (up to 100 mm) and the fact that in some of them entire (uncrushed) ammonite shells (diameter up to 20 mm) can be also found, it seems that they were produced by large durophagous predators. Cephalopods and arthropods noted from Polzberg section appear to be too small to produce these bromalites. Furthermore, these invertebrates have a rather alkaline gastric pH, and thus they produce regurgitates commonly containing specific hardparts (e.g., aptychi of ammonites) without etching-related features. A rich inventory of Triassic ichthyofauna and lack of the reptile remains in the Polzberg section allow to search probable bromalite producers among predatory fishes.

There is evidence that Palaeozoic and Mesozoic shelled cephalopods have been preyed upon by sharks and actinopterygian fishes. Noteworthy, Recent sharks and actinopterygian fishes are known to attack on Nautilus. Interestingly, predation experiments on living durophagous fish (Diodon) revealed that the critical size of the prey, i.e., the size above which this fish is incapable of crushing a given prey species is about 12% of the fish length. We thus hypothesize that studied regurgitalites at hand (dispersed over 7 cm and containing

---

**Figure 5.** Enlargements of the angular shell fragments showing their jagged margins (A,B). Both photographs taken from NHMW 2020/0033/0001 Polz. Scale bar equals 1 mm.
intact ammonite shells up to about 2 cm in diameter) might have been produced by a fish having at least several dozen cm in length.

Glaessner and Griffith noted the occurrence of 12 genera (representing 13 species and 5 taxa of actinopterygians indeterminate at the genus level) with the cartilaginous fish *Acrodo*, the coelacanthid *Coelacanthus*, dipnoan *Ceratodus sturi*, and the actinopterygians: *Elpistoichthys*, *Gigantopecterus*, *Saurichthys*, *Thoracopterus*, *Habroichthys*, *Nannolepis*, *Peltopleurus*, *Phaiodromia*, *Phleiodophorites* and *Polzbergia*; of these genera, the first seven are predatory.

Griffith stated that the Upper Triassic ichthiofauna of Polzberg region is characterized by the large content of flying fish, which, according to this author, suggests a strong predation pressure in this marine ecosystem. Furthermore, 55% of the genera of marine fish known from Polzberg were predatory. On the other hand, the specimens of predatory fish from the Reingraben Shales of Polzberg, in which the body size could have been reconstructed, usually reveal rather small body sizes (a few to 11 cm in length). The largest specimens of predatory fish described by Griffith belonged to *Saurichthys* (up to about 40 cm in length), palaeoniscoids, probably belonging to the family *Acroplepididae* (20–25 cm in length), and *Gigantopecterus telleri* (18.6 cm in length). Apart from these taxa, remains of large sauropterygian fishes are known, namely dipnoan (lungfish) *Ceratodus sturi*, with the length up to about 1.5 m and coelacanth *Coelacanthus lusenzis*. However, Mesozoic dipnoans were restricted to freshwater environments and their remains found in marine deposits are commonly interpreted as a result of post mortem transport from freshwater ecosystems and/or as a result of redeposition from older, non-marine sediments. Thus, it is doubtful if *Ceratodus* was a true member of marine palaeobiota in the Polzberg area.

Among large (several dozen cm in length) marine predatory vertebrates occurring in Polzberg region, which might have produced regurgitalites described herein, are *Acrodo*, *Saurichthys* and eventually coelacanths. For instance, the reconstructed standard length of the Middle Triassic specimens of shark *Acrodo* from the so-called Grenzbitumenzone from Monte San Giorgio (Switzerland) is 1.8–2.5 m, marine species of the Late Triassic *Saurichthys* may reach up to about 1.1 m (Upper Triassic of Alps near Salzburg) to 1.5 m in length (Norian, Southern Calcareous Alps, see Lower Triassic of Idaho, USA). Triassic marine coelacanths may reach above 60 cm (e.g., Middle Triassic, Switzerland; Late Triassic, England).

In particular, a typical durophagous dentition (crushing or grinding teeth) with blunt and broad teeth is observed in *Acrodo* although durophagy sensu lato (the ability to consumption of hard prey) is possible with other dental types, especially when dealing with thin-shelled preys, such as small ammonites occurring in regurgitalites. A Late Triassic fish *Legnonotus* with peg-like teeth is also considered a durophagous. Likewise, hybodont or conical sharks with relatively narrow and long cusp (tearing-type of dentition sensu Cappetta) might have attacked ammonites. Small, thin-shelled scaphites were noted in the stomach of Cretaceous plesiosauroids and plesiosaurs, which displayed conical, quite long teeth with an acute apex. Furthermore, thin-shelled ammonites might have been preyed upon by marine reptiles with crunching teeth (robust with a blunt apices conical teeth). Triassic species of *Saurichthys* are characterized by monognathic heterodonty—the teeth in one jaw have different size and shape. They possessed two types of conical teeth: robust with approximately circular in section small teeth, and larger, slender teeth with acute apex. Triassic coelacanths commonly possessed robust, conically pointed teeth, however they also had small rounded teeth on the paraphysoid.

On the other hand, shells of ammonoids which have been attacked by predators having conical teeth commonly reveal shell damages in the form of indentations or holes of various size and shape, occurring both in the body chamber and in the phragmocone. Similar damages were not recorded in ammonoid shells from Polzberg. Given the above, we argue that a durophagous shark *Acrodo* was likely a producer of regurgitalites studied, although other durophagous predators (until now not found in the Upper Triassic deposit of Polzberg), which were present in the latest Ladinian and early Carnian in the other areas of the east part of Alpine domain, including durophagous (placodonts) and semi-durophagous (thallatosaurs) reptiles (recorded in a few outcrops in NE Italy, Slovenia, Hungary (Bakony), some hybodontid sharks (e.g., *Palaeobates*, *Asteracanthus*; see and some actinopterygian fishes (e.g., *Colobodus*), cannot be fully excluded.

Regarding coprolites, given their longitudinal shape, small size and occurrence of the fish scales, we hypothesize that they were likely produced by medium-sized piscivorous actinopterygians (such as, common in the fish assemblage from Polzberg, *Elpistoichthys* and *Thoracopterus* or rare *Gigantopecterus*, acrolepids and *Saurichthys*). These coprolites do not reveal a spiral morphology, which is characteristic for coprolites produced by chondrichthysans, dipnoans and some actinopterygian fishes (e.g., *Coelacanthus*).

**Conclusions**

Discovery of bivalve impressions at Polzberg locality not only proves for the first time the presence of large predators in the Reifling basin and provides insights into trophic interactions, and food chains of this Late Triassic ecosystem, but also constitutes another important evidence confirming previous hypotheses that the so-called Mesozoic marine revolution (a time of increased escalatory adaptions to shell-crushing predation) has already started in the early Mesozoic. Not so long ago, it has been argued that marine durophagous predation was not intense during the Triassic. For instance, McRoberts pointed out that durophagous predators displayed low abundances and limited distribution during the Triassic. More recent reports, however, highlighted that innovations for durophagy appeared in many Triassic invertebrates (e.g., sea urchins) and vertebrates (including Chondrichthyes and sauropterygian vertebrates (such as placodonts, pachypleurosauurs, some pterosaurs and nothosaurs), which might have affected the evolution of shallow-marine benthic communities. Nonetheless, the more direct evidence of durophagous predation in Triassic marine communities still remains limited. Our findings thus constitute a new important evidence for durophagy in the Triassic,
and together with other lines of indicators (i.e., predatory or defensive behaviors of predators and prey inferred from functional morphology, taphonomy and trace fossils) confirm recent hypotheses about the early timing of Mesozoic marine revolution.

Material and methods

Among the several dozens of bromalite specimens obtained from the locality, 8 representative bromalites were selected for detailed investigation. These bromalites came from the ravin Schindelberggraben (Fig. 2) near Polzberg (= Polzberggraben in 14), or given as Polzberg locality in numerous collections), between mount Fellbaumberg (1014 m) to the west and mount Schindelberg (1066 m) to the east. The investigated fossil material is housed in the collections of the Natural History Museum Vienna (NHMW) and the Geological Survey Vienna. The bromalite material was collected over the last 10 years by Birgitt and Karl Aschauer (both Waidhofen an der Ybbs, Lower Austria).

Bromalites recorded herein have been investigated with a number of different analytical tools.

Scanning electron microscopy. The SEM images of internal inclusions from selected bromalites were taken using the Phenom XL SEM, PhenomWorld (ThermoScientific, Eindhoven, The Netherlands) equipped with an energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) detector, installed in the Faculty of Natural Sciences, University of Silesia in Katowice in low-vacuum settings with accelerating voltage 15 kV. Composite images were collected using Phenom SEM software, then stitched and processed using Image Composite Editor by Microsoft Research.

Microtomography. Virtual sections of a selected specimen were made in the Faculty X-ray Microtomography Laboratory at Faculty of Computer Science and Material Science, University of Silesia in Katowice, Chorzów, Poland using the General Electric Phoenix v|tome|x micro-CT equipment at 160 kV, 70μA and scanning time of 20 min. Projection images were used with a 1000 × 2048 pxscintillator/CCD with an exposure time of 250 ms and processed using Volume Graphics VGSTUDIO Max software and analysed using Volume Graphics myVGL viewer app and Fiji image processing package.

Thin-sectioning. Thin sections from two specimens were made in the Grindery at the Faculty of Natural Sciences, University of Silesia in Katowice, Sosnowiec, Poland. Specimens were embedded in Araldite epoxy resin, sectioned, mounted on the microscope slides and polished with silicon carbide and aluminium oxide powders to about 30 μm thick.

Mineralogical studies. One specimen was examined using X-ray diffraction and Raman spectroscopy to determine its mineralogical composition. The powdered bulk X-ray diffraction analysis of coprolite matrix was performed using PANalytical X’Pert PROMPD PW 3040/60 diffractometer at the Laboratory of X-ray Diffraction, Faculty of Natural Sciences, University of Silesia in Katowice, Sosnowiec. Raman spectroscopy was used for in-depth characterization of carbonate phase of skeletal remnants observed in coprolite matrix. The analysis was performed using WITec alpha300 confocal Raman microscope equipped with a laser (λ = 532 nm), coupled with a CCD camera and with an Olympus MPLAN objective.

XRD analyses were performed on powdered samples using a PANalytical X’Pert Pro MPD (multipurpose diffractometer) powered by a Philips PW3040/60 X-ray generator and fitted with a 1D silicon strip detector (X’Celerator). The measurements were performed using Cu Kα-radiation with a wavelength of 0.1789010 nm, an acceleration voltage of 40 kV, a current of 40 mA, and with 0.02° 2θ step sizes between the angles of 5° and 90° 20 and a 200 s measurement time per step. The data obtained were processed using HighScore + software and the ICSD database and PDF4+ICDD database. All XRD analyses were performed at the Faculty of Earth Sciences, University of Silesia in Katowice, Sosnowiec. The diffractometer was manufactured in the Almelo Malvern Panalytical B.V. factory (Holland).
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