On the Generalized Poisson Distribution

Hans J. H. Tuenter

Schulich School of Business, York University, Toronto, Canada, M3J 1P3

Summary. We use Euler’s difference lemma to prove that, for $\theta > 0$ and $0 \leq \lambda < 1$, the function $P_n$ defined on the non-negative integers by

$$P_n(\theta, \lambda) = \frac{\theta^{n-1}}{n!} (\theta + n\lambda)^{n-1} e^{-\theta - n\lambda}$$

defines a probability distribution, known as the Generalized Poisson Distribution.
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1. Introduction

The Generalized Poisson Distribution (GPD), introduced in Consul and Jain (1973), and studied extensively by Consul (1989) is defined on the non-negative integers, for $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ and $\theta > 0$, by

$$P_n(\theta, \lambda) = \frac{\theta^{n-1}}{n!} (\theta + n\lambda)^{n-1} e^{-\theta - n\lambda}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Applications of the GPD can be found in settings where one seeks to describe the distribution of an event that occurs rarely in a short period, but where we observe the frequency of its occurrence in longer periods of time. It extends the Poisson distribution by its ability to describe situations where the probability of occurrence of a single event does not remain constant (as in a Poisson process), but is affected by previous occurrences. The distribution has been found (Consul, 1989, pp. 117–129) to accurately describe phenomena as diverse as the observed number of industrial accidents and injuries, where a learning effect may be present, the spatial distribution of insects, where initial occupation of a spot by a member of the species has an influence on the attractiveness of the spot to other members of the species, and the number of units of different commodities purchased by consumers, where current sales have an impact on the level of subsequent sales through repeat purchases.

It was shown by Consul and Jain (1973) that (1) is a probability distribution, as it has the property $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n(\theta, \lambda) = 1$, by using an identity that can be found in Jensen (1902, eq. 6). However, as remarked by Consul (1989, p. 12), “It is very difficult to prove by direct summation that the sum of all the probabilities is unity”. Recently, Lerner et al. (1997) gave a more direct proof using analytic functions. We give a shorter and more elegant proof based upon an application of Euler’s classic difference lemma.

2. Derivation

We shall first prove the identity

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\theta + \lambda n)^n}{n!} e^{-\theta - \lambda n} = \frac{1}{1 - \lambda}, \quad \text{for } -\lambda_0 < \lambda < 1,$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)
where \( \lambda_0 = 0.278465428 \ldots \) is the solution to \( \lambda e^\lambda = e^{-1} \). Let \( S(\theta, \lambda) \) denote the sum in (2). Expanding the exponential, grouping terms and changing the order of summation gives

\[
S(\theta, \lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\theta + \lambda n)^n}{n!} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{(\theta + \lambda n)^k}{k!} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-n} \frac{(\theta + \lambda n)^k}{n! (k-n)!}.
\]

(3)

Now use Euler’s difference formula, which states that the \( k \)th difference of any \( p \)th power is 0 for \( p < k \) and \( k! \) times the leading coefficient of the \( p \)th power for \( p = k \). The most convenient representation for our purpose, as can be found in Gould (1978, eq. 5.12), is

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{k} (-1)^{k-n} \frac{k}{n} (A + Bn)^p = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \leq p < k \\ B^k k!, & p = k \end{cases}.
\]

Applying this to the inner summation in (4) gives

\[
S(\theta, \lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{n=0}^{k} (-1)^{k-n} \frac{k}{n} (\theta + \lambda n)^k.
\]

(4)

Now use Cauchy’s root test and Stirling’s approximation \( n! \sim n^n e^{-n} \sqrt{2\pi n} \) to give

\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \sqrt[n]{\left| \frac{\theta + \lambda n}{n!} \right|^n e^{n|\theta + \lambda n|}} = |\lambda| e^{1+|\lambda|} < 1,
\]

or the desired \(|\lambda| < \lambda_0\) as a criterion for absolute convergence. Another application of the root test shows that the left-hand side of (2) converges for \(|\lambda e^{-\lambda}| < e^{-1}\), and as all the summands are positive, this convergence is uniform. Thus we can extend the range by analyticity to \(-\lambda_0 < \lambda < 1\), and this completes the proof.

Using formula (2), we have

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n(\theta, \lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\theta + n\lambda)^n}{n!} e^{-\theta - \lambda} = \lambda \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\theta + n\lambda)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} e^{-\theta - \lambda} = S(\theta, \lambda) - \lambda S(\theta + \lambda, \lambda) = 1,
\]

and proves that (1) indeed defines a probability distribution.
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