The role of informational content of visual speech in an audiovisual cocktail party: Evidence from cortical oscillations in young and old participants
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Abstract
Age-related differences in the processing of audiovisual speech in a multi-talker environment were investigated analysing event-related spectral perturbations (ERSPs), focusing on theta, alpha and beta oscillations that are assumed to reflect conflict processing, multisensory integration and attentional mechanisms, respectively. Eighteen older and 21 younger healthy adults completed a two-alternative forced-choice word discrimination task, responding to audiovisual speech stimuli. In a cocktail-party scenario with two competing talkers (located at −15° and 15° azimuth), target words (/yes/or/no/) appeared at a pre-defined (attended) position, distractor words at the other position. In two audiovisual conditions, acoustic speech was combined either with informative or uninformative visual speech. While a behavioural benefit for informative visual speech occurred for both age groups, differences between audiovisual conditions in the theta and beta band were only present for older adults. A stronger increase in theta perturbations for stimuli containing uninformative visual speech could be associated with early conflict processing, while a stronger suppression in beta perturbations for informative visual speech could be associated to audiovisual integration. Compared to the younger group, the older group showed generally stronger beta perturbations. No condition differences in the alpha band were found. Overall, the findings suggest age-related differences in audiovisual speech integration in a multi-talker environment. While the behavioural benefit of informative visual speech was unaffected by age, older adults had a stronger need for cognitive control when processing conflicting audiovisual speech input. Furthermore, mechanisms of

Abbreviations: ANOVA, analysis of variance; EEG, electroencephalography; ERSPs, event-related spectral perturbations; ICA, independent component analysis; ISI, interstimulus interval; MoCA, Montreal Cognitive Assessment; SIFI, sound induced flash illusion.
1 | INTRODUCTION

Audiovisual speech scenarios with multiple talkers occur to us frequently in our daily lives—whether it is during a meeting with friends for dinner or in highly digitalized working and social environments, where audiovisual online meetings and conferences become the default. When listening to a person in a multi-talker situation, our cognitive system needs to select the relevant speech stream and to focus attention on this speech information, while suppressing all the irrelevant streams and background noise (Bregman & McAdams, 1994; Bronkhorst, 2015). Under these ‘cocktail-party’ conditions (Cherry, 1953), congruent audiovisual speech may provide a benefit in speech comprehension against unimodal or incongruent audiovisual speech which appears to be even more pronounced in hearing-impaired and older people (Begau et al., 2021; van Wassenhove et al., 2005; Winneke & Phillips, 2011). Thus, despite age-related sensory and cognitive decline in speech perception, older adults’ ability to integrate multisensory linguistic information usually remains intact and can be used as a compensatory mechanism (Friedman, 2011; Passow et al., 2012; Winneke & Phillips, 2011). The mechanisms of audiovisual speech processing are closely intertwined with neural oscillatory brain activity, such as theta, alpha and beta oscillations (Keil & Senkowski, 2018). Visual speech typically precedes auditory speech, especially at the very beginning of a verbal utterance (Chandrasekaran et al., 2009; Schwartz & Savariaux, 2014), adding to the redundancy of the signal and enabling the formation of predictions (Bronkhorst, 2015; Peelle & Sommers, 2015). In particular, preceding visual speech enables predictive coding (Arnal & Giraud, 2012), forming expectations on the anticipated auditory speech information. This is in line with the analysis by synthesis hypothesis by van Wassenhove et al. (2005) suggesting an early integration process. The more redundant and thus predictive the value of the visual speech information, the higher the expected audiovisual benefit.

The neuro-cognitive mechanisms involved in processing and integrating audiovisual speech in multi-talker scenarios are susceptible to age-related changes. Ageing in general is associated with a decline in sensory (for review, audition: Martin & Jerger, 2005; vision: Owsley, 2011), behavioural and cognitive abilities (see Friedman, 2011). While pre-attentive filtering in multitalker scenarios is usually not affected in healthy ageing, age-related deficits become apparent in higher order attentional functions, such as the top-down modulation of information (Peelle & Wingfield, 2016). Several studies found age-related deficits when maintaining and coordinating competing information, processing irrelevant information and focusing attention on relevant stimuli (Correa-Jaraba et al., 2016; see review by Guerreiro et al., 2010; Rey-Mermet & Gade, 2018). As a result, older adults experience higher conflict costs and more difficulties in tasks with high attentional demand (Passow et al., 2012). However, despite these age-related difficulties in speech comprehension (Getzmann et al., 2020; Martin & Jerger, 2005), audiovisual integration per se appears to be still intact, offering a possibility to compensate for age-related decline (Begau et al., 2021; Cienkowski & Carney, 2002; Sekiyama et al., 2014; Sommers et al., 2005; Winneke & Phillips, 2011; Wong et al., 2010). In fact, there are studies indicating that older adults make use of additional visual speech to an even greater degree than young adults (Sekiyama et al., 2014), especially when auditory speech information is ambiguous (Cienkowski & Carney, 2002).

1.1 | Audiovisual multi-talker scenarios and the role of ageing

Naturally, speech occurs multimodally, providing both auditory and visual information. The integration of audiovisual speech requires several processing steps, including feed forward-feedback loops, attentional modulation and predictive coding (see the framework by Keil & Senkowski, 2018). Visual speech typically precedes auditory speech, especially at the very beginning of a verbal utterance (Chandrasekaran et al., 2009; Schwartz & Savariaux, 2014), adding to the redundancy of the signal and enabling the formation of predictions (Bronkhorst, 2015; Peelle & Sommers, 2015). In particular, preceding visual speech enables predictive coding (Arnal & Giraud, 2012), forming expectations on the anticipated auditory speech information. This is in line with the analysis by synthesis hypothesis by van Wassenhove et al. (2005) suggesting an early integration process. The more redundant and thus predictive the value of the visual speech information, the higher the expected audiovisual benefit.

The neuro-cognitive mechanisms involved in processing and integrating audiovisual speech in multi-talker scenarios are susceptible to age-related changes. Ageing in general is associated with a decline in sensory (for review, audition: Martin & Jerger, 2005; vision: Owsley, 2011), behavioural and cognitive abilities (see Friedman, 2011). While pre-attentive filtering in multi-talker scenarios is usually not affected in healthy ageing, age-related deficits become apparent in higher order attentional functions, such as the top-down modulation of information (Peelle & Wingfield, 2016). Several studies found age-related deficits when maintaining and coordinating competing information, processing irrelevant information and focusing attention on relevant stimuli (Correa-Jaraba et al., 2016; see review by Guerreiro et al., 2010; Rey-Mermet & Gade, 2018). As a result, older adults experience higher conflict costs and more difficulties in tasks with high attentional demand (Passow et al., 2012). However, despite these age-related difficulties in speech comprehension (Getzmann et al., 2020; Martin & Jerger, 2005), audiovisual integration per se appears to be still intact, offering a possibility to compensate for age-related decline (Begau et al., 2021; Cienkowski & Carney, 2002; Sekiyama et al., 2014; Sommers et al., 2005; Winneke & Phillips, 2011; Wong et al., 2010). In fact, there are studies indicating that older adults make use of additional visual speech to an even greater degree than young adults (Sekiyama et al., 2014), especially when auditory speech information is ambiguous (Cienkowski & Carney, 2002).
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1.2 | Neural oscillations as correlates of audiovisual speech processing

The timing of the different steps of multisensory speech processing and integration have been mostly studied using event-related potentials (Baart et al., 2014; Begau et al., 2021; Stekelenburg & Vroomen, 2007; van Wassenhove et al., 2005; Winneke & Phillips, 2011). Recently, oscillatory activity in the brain has gained more attention in relation to multisensory integration, possibly reflecting the communication between different cortical areas during processing (for review, see Keil & Senkowski, 2018). For example, in an audiovisual cocktail-party setting, the involvement of oscillatory brain activity with frequencies between 0.5 and 15 Hz was shown, suggesting that viewing the speaker’s face enhances the capacity of the auditory cortex to selectively represent and track the relevant speaker (Zion Golumbic et al., 2013). For the present study, we focused on the role of theta, alpha and beta oscillations for audiovisual processing. In the following sections, we briefly summarize the functions of neural oscillations in the processing of audiovisual speech stimuli.

1.2.1 | Theta

Midfrontal theta (4–8 Hz) is thought to reflect communication between different brain areas when the need for cognitive control arises (Cavanagh & Frank, 2014). An increase in theta power can be observed in tasks with high working memory load (Gevins, 1997; Jensen & Tesche, 2002; Maurer et al., 2015; for audiovisual stimuli Michail et al., 2021). In audiovisual tasks, theta band activity has been attributed to divided attention (Keller et al., 2017) and the encoding of new information and change detection (Fingelkurts et al., 2007). In illusory McGurk stimuli, where non-congruent auditory and visual speech such as /ba/ and /ga/ form a merged, illusory percept /da/ (McGurk & MacDonald, 1976), but also with incongruent audiovisual speech, an increase in midfrontal theta can be observed, supporting the notion of a conflict processing network (Keil et al., 2012; Moris Fernández et al., 2018). This increase in theta power is further interpreted as a feedback mechanism, which comes into play when incongruent information from visual speech is not simply ignored (Lange et al., 2013), but is integrated and hence modulates the audiovisual percept (Moris Fernández et al., 2015). In older adults, midfrontal theta is decreased during retention and recognition, suggesting theta power to be a relevant marker for task-specific cognitive ageing (Cummins & Finnigan, 2007). To summarize, midfrontal theta increase is associated with a need for cognitive control, followed by top-down attentional modulation of perception to enable successful audiovisual integration (Friese et al., 2016; Keil & Senkowski, 2018).

1.2.2 | Alpha

Higher cognitive load is usually not only associated with an increase in theta power, but also a suppression in alpha power (8–12 Hz; e.g., Wascher et al., 2019). This complementary occurrence of alpha and theta can be observed in conflict processing, with theta being associated with the processing of a conflict or a mismatch, and alpha being associated with the maintenance of the stimulus representation (Gratton, 2018). Furthermore, Keller et al. (2017) discussed the role of alpha and theta oscillations in multisensory attention. While frontocentral theta represents divided attention, which is necessary for the simultaneous processing of both auditory and visual input, alpha oscillations—especially over parieto-occipital scalp sites—seem to play an important role in selective attention, such as the focusing on relevant and suppression of irrelevant auditory information (Klatt et al., 2020; Schneider et al., 2021; Wöstmann et al., 2016). Specifically, a posterior alpha power increase can be found when irrelevant working memory content is suppressed (Klatt et al., 2020). Increased probability for alpha oscillations occurring with the presentation of audiovisual stimuli relative to auditory-only stimuli is interpreted as a higher need for focused attention in the maintenance of audiovisual stimuli (Fingelkurts et al., 2007). Meanwhile, a decrease in parietal alpha has been found in speech stimuli with increasing predictiveness and decreasing acoustic detail (Wöstmann et al., 2015). Weaker alpha suppression can further be found in degraded (Weisz et al., 2011) or less intelligible speech (Drijvers et al., 2018). Moreover, stronger medio-central alpha suppression can be found in McGurk illusion trials compared to congruent audiovisual speech stimuli (Roa Romero et al., 2016). In older participants, delayed alpha activity in multi-talker speech processing has been linked to cognitive slowing (Getzmann et al., 2020), and weaker alpha power modulations have been associated with difficulties listening to speech in noise, with older listeners being more affected by variations in acoustic detail (Wöstmann et al., 2015). Taken together, posterior alpha oscillations are likely associated with the successful selection of the targeted speech and the focus of attention on relevant information.
Oscillations in the beta band (16 to 30 Hz) have been shown to be closely intertwined with alpha oscillations during multisensory tasks requiring attentional inhibition (Friese et al., 2016; Ganesan et al., 2021). Beta oscillations are associated with neural networks responsible for error-monitoring and decision making (Arnal & Giraud, 2012; Friese et al., 2016) and the maintenance of current sensorimotor information (Engel & Fries, 2010; Schneider et al., 2020). A decrease in beta power can be observed following stimulus-driven stimulation (Engel & Fries, 2010) and is stronger in audiovisual conditions with background noise (Schepers et al., 2013) as well as following McGurk illusions (Roa Romero et al., 2015). In audiovisual illusion studies using the sound induced flash illusion (SIFI; Michail et al., 2021) or McGurk illusions (Roa Romero et al., 2015), an early and a late beta power suppression can be distinguished. While the early suppression is interpreted as the formation of a fusion percept in McGurk illusions and error processing in incongruent audiovisual stimuli, late beta suppression is interpreted as a marker for top-down audiovisual integration. Beta oscillations have been associated with networks related to executive function, with a decrease in power in older adults, together with a posterior-to-anterior shift of activation (Enriquez-Geppert & Barceló, 2018). Taken together, beta band oscillations appear to play a role in monitoring information to form an integrated audiovisual percept (Keil & Senkowski, 2018).

1.3 | Research question and hypotheses

So far, very little is known about how our brain processes audio-visual speech especially in multi-talker situations and whether age plays a role for the integration of auditory and visual speech. In the present study, we investigated audiovisual speech processing in a simulated cocktail-party situation, in which younger and older participants responded to short speech stimuli in a speeded two-alternative forced-choice word discrimination paradigm. Importantly, while most previous studies investigated audiovisual speech processing either by comparing unimodal and audiovisual speech or in terms of congruency using McGurk illusions (see, e.g., the review by Keil & Senkowski, 2018), we were interested in manipulating the informational content of the visual speech input without creating an illusory percept or distorting the presented visual input (e.g., by blurring the video, like in Shatzer et al., 2018). Therefore, we contrasted two audiovisual speech conditions, in which the visual speech was either informative (i.e., congruent to the auditory speech input) or uninformative (i.e., task-irrelevant). We focused on oscillatory correlates of audiovisual speech processing in theta, alpha and beta bands and were especially interested in age-related differences. For behavioural measures, we hypothesized better performance for informative compared to uninformative visual speech input. That is, we expected faster responses and higher accuracy in the audiovisual condition with informative visual speech. Even though there should be a general age-related decline in performance, older adults should profit more strongly from informative visual speech than young adults. For oscillatory modulations, we focused on event-related spectral perturbations (ERSPs), that is ‘mean change in spectral power (in dB) from baseline’ (Makeig et al., 2004, p.205). In line with previous work (e.g., Cavanagh & Frank, 2014; Keil et al., 2012; Morís Fernández et al., 2018), we expected an increase in theta perturbations over frontocentral areas after sound onset. Since frontocentral theta has been associated with conflict processing and the need for cognitive control, we expected the peak increase to be larger for stimuli with uninformative visual compared to informative visual speech. In line with the above-mentioned work (e.g., Keller et al., 2017; Misselhorn et al., 2019), this audiovisual facilitation should also be reflected in frontal and parieto-occipital alpha band activity: assuming that congruent audiovisual speech containing informative visual speech requires less attentional resource allocation for successful processing of the stimulus, we hypothesized ERSPs to show less alpha power suppression for audiovisual stimuli with informative compared to uninformative visual speech. Similarly (in accordance with, e.g., Roa Romero et al., 2015), we expected ERSPs to show a beta power suppression after sound onset, which should be less pronounced for stimuli with uninformative compared to informative visual speech. Importantly, based on the literature, we specifically hypothesized condition and group differences in the theta band to appear at the time of the maximum increase of frontocentral ERSPs. In contrast, our hypotheses were less restricted to a specific time-window for alpha and beta band effects, given that the respective literature on multisensory integration is more ambiguous with respect to the temporal characteristics of alpha and beta band modulations. Consequently, to optimize power to detect relevant effects, we chose two different approaches to analyse ERSPs: an ANOVA-based approach for the analysis of theta-band power, including average power in a restricted time-window of interest, and a cluster-based permutation analysis for the analysis of alpha and beta power, focusing on a broader time-window following sound onset.
Other than that, we expected a general ageing effect to be reflected in ERSPs indicating a weaker increase in theta power and alpha and beta power suppression in older compared to younger adults. Finally, assuming older participants profit more from congruent audiovisual speech information than younger adults, we hypothesized a larger difference between the audiovisual conditions in the older than in the younger group.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Participants

Out of 50 invited participants, 11 were excluded from the analysis due to technical issues (n = 2), hearing (n = 4) and visual problems (n = 1), insufficient compliance to complete the experiment (n = 1), misunderstood instructions (n = 2) and responses below chance level (n = 1). In total, N = 39 participants were analysed. Participants in the older group (n = 18) were 60 to 70 years old (M = 65.39, SE = 0.85; 9 male); the younger group (n = 21) was aged 20 to 34 years (M = 25.29, SE = 0.72; 9 male). All participants reported to be right-handed and without neurological or psychiatric illness. Written informed consent was given prior to testing, the experiment was approved by the Ethical Committee of the Leibniz Research Centre for Working Environment and Human Factors, Dortmund, Germany, and in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. 10€ per hour were paid at the end of the experiment.

2.2 | Assessment of sensory, cognitive and lip-reading abilities

To assess the participants’ hearing level, each participant completed a pure-tone audiometry (Oscilla USB 330; Immedico, Lystrup, Denmark) with 11 pure-tone frequencies from 125 to 8,000 Hz. Hearing thresholds were mostly ≤30 dB for frequencies below 4000 Hz, with mild to moderate presbycusis in the older group. Overall, we observed hearing levels of ≤35 dB at 2,000 Hz (n = 2) and ≤35 dB (n = 2), ≤40 dB (n = 2), and ≤45 dB (n = 1) at 3,000 Hz. According to World Health Organization criteria (Olusanya et al., 2019), we evaluated the hearing threshold averaged across 500, 1,000, 2,000 and 4,000 Hz in the better ear, demonstrating that hearing can be considered unimpaired in both the younger (M = 7.37 dB, range = 2.5–12.5, SE = 0.70) and older group (M = 15 dB, range = 3.75–21.25, SE = 1.11). Due to the relatively loud stimulus presentation at 75 dB, outliers in hearing level for individual frequencies are considered acceptable.

Landolt C optotypes at 1.5 m distance were used to measure visual acuity. All but one (older) participant reached a value of 1.0 or above, which is considered normal acuity (ISO 8596:2017[E]). Additionally, we assessed contrast sensitivity using a Pelli-Robson Contrast Sensitivity Chart in 1.0 m distance (Pelli et al., 1988). All but one (older) participant scored the recommended contrast sensitivity of 1.65 or above (Mäntyjärvi & Laitinen, 2001).

To assess cognitive function, we chose the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA; Nasreddine et al., 2005), a screening for mild cognitive impairment. Scores in the older group were between 19 and 30 points (M = 26.39, SE = 6.22, with 30 being the best possible score) and in the younger group between 26 and 30 points (M = 28.76, SE = 6.28). Overall, there was no indication of dementia in the older group (i.e., a sum score of 17 points or lower; Carson et al., 2018).

To assess lip reading abilities in the participants, we presented 30 sentences (structure: name, verb, number, adjective and noun) spoken by a muted female talker. Details of the assessment are described in a previous study (Begau et al., 2021). Two tasks were given: a cue and a recognition task, with a maximum sum score of 30 points each. Prior to sentence presentation, two words were presented, one of which would appear in the sentence (cue task). After each sentence, participants were presented a word written on the screen and had to indicate if it appeared in the sentence (recognition task). While sensory and cognitive abilities were assessed prior to the EEG experiment, the lip-reading assessment was done at the end of the experimental session.

2.3 | Materials and stimuli

For the stimulus material, close-ups of two female speakers showing the face and neck of the respective speaker were recorded in front of a grey-blue background in the same sound attenuated room where the experiment later took place (RGB 106, 145, 161; HDR-CX220, Sony, Tokyo, Japan; 1,920 × 1,080-pixel resolution, 50 fps frame rate). The audio was recorded simultaneously using a dynamic USB-microphone (Podcaster, RØDE, Silverwater, NSW, Australia; mono, 48 kHz, 24-bit). Both speakers were German dialect-free natives with a fundamental frequency of 169 Hz (SE = 2.00) and 213 Hz (SE = 7.87), respectively. The stimulus material consisted of the speakers uttering short words: /yes/ and /no/ (in English) were assigned target words and German digit words from /one/ to /ten/ were distractor words (for details on stimulus properties, please see Table S1). The speakers were instructed on clear accentuated pronunciation with a neutral facial expression and no head
movements. Target words were chosen due to their clear difference in articulation both auditory and visual thus being well distinguishable from one another. We decided to present target words in English rather than in German, because /yes/ and /no/ are pronounced in a very distinct and distinguishable way. The auditory signal was recorded and edited in Audacity (version 2.3.0), applying noise reduction (15 dB) and normalization (−6 dB). Audio and video tracks were then merged and further edited in Shotcut (libx264/aac codec, export as MP4). For the audiovisual condition with informative visual speech (Figure 1a), videos were cut from the on- to offset of visible lip movement, with lips being closed at the start and end of a stimulus video. Using the first and last video frame, 500 ms of fade-in and 400 ms of fade-out from and to black were added to the tails of the video, respectively. Additionally, we added a variable amount of freeze frames between fades and speech video, resulting in a total video length of 2900 ms with the auditory speech onset being locked to 1,500 ms for all videos. Thus, a synchronous onset of auditory speech was ensured during the experiment. In the final stimulus material (Figure 1c), the auditory speech had an average length of 555.33 ms (range = 486–702 ms, SE = 9.93), while the visual speech was at average 1120.91 ms long (range = 740–1540 ms, SE = 53.76). The onset of lip movement preceded auditory speech on average for 430.91 ms (range = 120–860 ms, SE = 44.40 ms). To manipulate the visual speech information for the uninformative visual condition, we further edited the stimulus material (Figure 1a). Here, we replaced the speech video and the variable freeze frames with a video of the respective speaker saying /ba/ (lip movement: 1,360 and 600 ms, delay between lip and sound onset: 660 and 160 ms, respectively), resulting in distinguishable auditory information, but identical visual information for all stimuli.

2.4 Experimental procedure

The experiment took place in a sound attenuated room (5.0 x 3.3 x 2.4 m³) with a background noise level of 20 dB (A). Ceiling and walls were covered in pyramid shaped foam panels and a woollen carpet to achieve attenuation. Participants were seated in front of a horizontal array consisted of two 12" vertically aligned monitors (1,080 x 1,920 px, 50 Hz refresh rate; Beetronics, Düsseldorf, Germany) on a left and right position (−15° and +15° azimuth; Figure 1a). The auditory signal was
displayed at a level of 75 dB SPL from full range loudspeakers; one mounted under each monitor (SC 55.9–8 Ohm; Visaton Haan, Germany). The setup was in 1.5 m distance at head height (1.00 m [loudspeaker] and 1.12 m [monitor]) from the participant’s seat.

Prior to experimental testing, hearing level, visual acuity, contrast sensitivity and cognitive abilities were assessed. Participants were then prepared for the EEG recording and received both written and oral instructions about the task. In a two-alternative forced choice task, participants had to discriminate as fast and accurately as possible which one of the two targets (/yes/ or /no/) was presented in the trial. Responses were given operating a keypad using the index (for /yes/ responses) and middle finger (for/no/responses) of their dominant hand. The button assignment was kept constant across all participants.

The audiovisual speech stimuli were presented in two different conditions: informative visual speech (i.e., congruent audiovisual speech) and uninformative visual speech. Each audiovisual condition was presented blockwise (similar to, e.g., Klucharev et al., 2003; Winneke & Phillips, 2011), with the gaze directed to the left or right monitor, respectively, resulting in two blocks per audiovisual condition. Prior to each block, participants were informed about the audiovisual stimulus condition. Moreover, they were instructed to keep their gaze fixated on one stimulus position (i.e., either left or right monitor) without turning their head or looking away during the entire block. During a trial, two stimuli were simultaneously displayed on the left and right monitor, one of which always being a target (/yes/ or /no/) and the other one being a distractor (German number words from /one/ to /ten/). Within a trial, stimuli were always uttered by two different talkers. In 80% of the trials, the location of the presented target matched the fixated monitor, in 20% of the trials it appeared on the other monitor. The present analysis focused only on the portion of trials, in which the target stimuli appeared at the fixated position (i.e., in 80% of the trials); trials, in which the target position switched to the other, not instructed monitor, were not considered for this study. One block consisted of 120 trials (i.e., 96 trials with targets at the fixated position and 24 trials with targets at the non-fixated position), resulting in a total of 480 trials (i.e., 384 trials with targets at the fixated position). The order of block presentation was counterbalanced to avoid sequence effects. Note that the experiment was embedded in a study containing two sub-experiments (see Begau et al., 2021); both were counterbalanced in order. Between each block, participants had the possibility of a self-paced rest. No feedback was given during the entire experiment.

2.5 | EEG recording and preprocessing

2.5.1 | Recording

The continuous EEG signal was recorded from 64 Ag/AgCl electrodes (BrainCap; Brainvision, Gilching, Germany) with a sampling rate of 1,000 Hz (QuickAmp DC Amplifier, Brainvision, Gilching, Germany). Electrodes were evenly distributed across the scalp according to the extended international 10–20 system. The electrodes at AFz and FCz were used as online ground and reference electrodes, respectively. During electrode preparation, impedance was kept below an average of 10 kΩ.

2.5.2 | Preprocessing

For subsequent data preprocessing and analysis, MATLAB (2019) with the toolboxes EEGLAB (version 14-1-2b, Delorme & Makeig, 2004) and ERPLAB (version v7.0 Lopez-Calderon & Luck, 2014) were used. A 0.5 Hz high-pass (6601 point, 0.5 Hz transition bandwidth, 0.5 Hz passband edge, 0.25 Hz cutoff frequency) and 30 Hz low-pass Hamming windowed sinc FIR filter (441 point, 7.5 Hz transition bandwidth, 30 Hz passband edge, 33.75 Hz cutoff frequency, using pop_eegfiltnew()) were applied to the continuous data. Subsequently, flat channels as well as channels contaminated by artefacts were removed. To identify the latter, kurtosis (using pop_rejchan(), normalized measure with $SD = 5$ as absolute threshold) and probability (same parameters as before) parameters were considered. Overall, an average of 4.66 channels were rejected ($range = 1–9$, $SE = 0.33$). Rejected channels were then spherically interpolated prior to re-referencing the signal to the average of all electrodes. Afterwards, the signal was epoched and stimulus-locked into sections from $–2,300$ to $2,400$ ms relative to sound onset. The baseline period was set from $–1,800$ to $–1,500$ ms relative to sound onset, which corresponds to a portion of the ISI (interstimulus interval) during which participants were presented with black screens. For further artefact rejection, we applied independent component analysis (ICA) to a subset of the data, high-pass filtered on 1 Hz (Hamming windowed sinc FIR, 3301 point, 1 Hz transition bandwidth, 1 Hz passband edge, 0.5 Hz cut-off frequency), using every second trial and a sampling rate of 250 Hz for faster computation. Applying the algorithm ILabel (Pion-Tonachini et al., 2019), all IC components received a probability estimate for the categories brain, muscle, eye, heart activity, line noise and other. IC weights and ILabel results were transferred back to the whole dataset. ICs that received a probability estimate of less than 30% for the
category brain or above 30% for the categories muscle, eye and heart activity, line noise or other activity were excluded (M = 34.97, SE = 1.31, range = 15–51). Finally, to remove remaining artefactual epochs, we applied an automatic trial rejection procedure (using the EEGLAB function pop_autorej()), resulting in the rejection of 86.46 trials on average (SE = 3.60, range = 33–134). For data analysis, the final data were then down-sampled to 500 Hz.

## 2.6 Data analysis

### 2.6.1 Behavioural analysis

We analysed response times and accuracy data. Response times were measured relative to the end of fade-in in the target stimulus video, i.e., at 500 ms post video onset. We chose this time point because it is a uniform starting point: otherwise, depending on the audiovisual condition and stimulus, responses could be influenced by lip movement and the delay between lip movement and sound onset. Only correct trials where the gaze direction matched the target location were analysed. Trials with response times below or above three standard deviations from the mean were considered outliers and excluded from analysis (i.e., five trials in total). To make sure that there were no premature responses in the trials used for behavioural analysis, we plotted individual response times per trial for each subject, separated by the two audiovisual speech conditions (see Section S1).

### 2.6.2 Time-frequency analysis

For time-frequency analysis, we computed ERSPs separately for each age group and audiovisual condition. Note that the term ‘power’ in that case refers to spectral power changes relative to a pre-stimulus baseline (Makeig et al., 2004). We chose only trials where the gaze direction matched the target location (i.e., the target appearing on the left [or respectively right] in line with the previous instruction). Additionally, only trials with correct responses were included. This results in an average of 83.03 trials (SE = 0.92) for the audiovisually congruent and 81.21 trials (SE = 0.81) for the incongruent condition. We performed Morlet wavelet convolution on epoched data over 52 frequencies from 4 to 30 Hz, starting with 3 cycles at the lowest frequency, with steps of 0.5 cycles, ending at 11.25 Hz for the highest frequency, generating 200 time points for each ERSP. A time window of 300 ms for a dB spectral baseline was chosen between −400 and −100 ms prior to sound onset. This period corresponds with a portion of the stimulus video, where lip movements started, but the auditory signal did not start yet. A condition specific baseline was used. Prior to baseline removal, a single trial normalization over the full trial length was conducted (see Grandchamp & Delorme, 2011).

For the analysis of event-related theta-band perturbations, ERSP data were averaged over the frequencies between 4 and 8 Hz (Peelle & Sommers, 2015) and a selection of frontocentral electrodes (Fz, FCz, Cz, FC1 and FC2). Please note while Morís Fernández et al. (2018) decided for a cluster around Cz, we decided for a more frontal cluster, taking into account ageing-related frontal shifts (see, e.g., Davis et al., 2008). Event-related alpha-band perturbations (8 to 12 Hz) were analysed at the same frontocentral cluster as well as at a parietooccipital cluster (POz, Pz, P1 and P2), again averaging ERSP data over the respective frequency range and electrode selection. Previous work by Keller et al. (2017) and Misselhorn et al. (2019) was taken as orientation for the electrode cluster selection. Finally, event-related beta-band perturbations (16 to 30 Hz) were investigated averaged over said frequencies and central electrodes (Cz, CPz, FCz, C1 and C2), since beta power modulations in audiovisual stimuli have been demonstrated in frontal, central and parietal locations (e.g., Roa Romero et al., 2015; Schepers et al., 2013).

## 2.7 Statistical analyses

For the analysis of response times, we conducted a 2 (age; old vs. young) by 2 (audiovisual condition; informative vs. uninformative visual speech) mixed analysis of variance (ANOVA; using the R package ez). Normal distribution was inspected using Shapiro–Wilks test (Shapiro & Wilk, 1965). In case of violation, we calculated nonparametric ANOVA-like statistics using the R package nparLD (Noguchi et al., 2012). Note that, here, the denominator degree of freedom is set to infinite for within subject effects. If possible, effect sizes were calculated, that is, $\eta_p^2$ for ANOVA and Hedge’s $g$ for $t$ tests (Lakens, 2013).

For accuracy data, we conducted a 2 (age; old vs. young) by 2 (audiovisual condition; informative vs. uninformative visual speech) mixed ANOVA. Since the data showed clear ceiling effects, non-parametric analyses were chosen. For follow-up comparisons, the Wilcoxon $U$ test for dependent samples was applied for a comparison of audiovisual conditions and Wilcoxon’s $U$ for independent samples for age group comparisons (Wilcoxon, 1946). For Wilcoxon, $r$ was reported as an effect size estimate (Lakens, 2013).
For time-frequency analysis, we compared event-related spectral perturbations in the theta, alpha and beta band across audiovisual conditions and age groups. We chose different analysis approaches for the theta and alpha/beta band, respectively. Since our hypothesis for the event-related power increase in the theta band concerned specifically the time around the maximum increase after sound onset, we chose an ANOVA approach. Meanwhile, since our hypothesis concerning the alpha and beta band was less specific towards the time point of condition differences, we chose a cluster permutation analysis to investigate the hypothesized condition differences. In accordance with previous literature (Morís Fernández et al., 2018), we expected an increase in event-related theta perturbations immediately after sound onset, with audiovisual condition differences at the time when this increase is maximized. Therefore, we computed a 2 (age; old vs. young) by 2 (audiovisual condition; informative vs. uninformative visual speech) mixed ANOVA, using the mean positive peak of event-related theta perturbation at the predefined frontocentral electrode cluster as dependent variable. This mean peak was calculated 100 ms around the local maximum within a time window between 0 and 700 ms.

Cluster permutation analysis (Maris & Oostenveld, 2007) was used for statistical testing of the alpha and beta band, since we did not specifically expect peak differences. To take beta power as an example, previous literature indicated audiovisual condition differences to arise before and after the peak of power suppression (e.g., Roa Romero et al., 2015). For analysis, we chose a broad analysis time window of interest from 0 to 700 ms, that is, from the auditory onset to the end of the lip movement. First, we calculated the average waveforms for the contrasts of the respective comparisons: young versus old for the main effect age, informative versus uninformative visual speech for the main effect audiovisual condition and the difference of informative versus uninformative visual speech in the old versus in the young group for the interaction effect of age and audiovisual condition. Then, we calculated t tests for each time-point in the original data. Specifically, independent samples t tests were used for the main effect age and the interaction of age and audiovisual condition, while dependent samples t tests were calculated for the main effect audiovisual information. Clusters were formed with adjacent voxels reaching a significance threshold of \( p < .05 \) and \( p < .01 \) and were used to estimate the maximal cluster size under the null hypothesis; condition labels were shuffled (i.e., ERSPs were randomly assigned to a given audiovisual task condition) across 50,000 permutations, and respective t tests were computed for each time point in the permuted data. For this, voxels within the permuted data had to reach a significance threshold of \( p < .05 \). For original data clusters to reach significance, they had to surpass the 95th and 99th percentile of the permuted maximum cluster size, respectively. To summarize, three respective cluster permutation analyses (i.e., the two main effects and the interaction effect) were computed for each frequency band—electrode cluster combination (i.e., alpha: frontocentral and parietooccipital cluster; beta: central cluster). Since the cluster permutation analysis was run for two different clusters in the alpha band, the significance thresholds were Bonferroni corrected to \( p = .025 \) and \( p = .005 \), respectively (as explained in, e.g., Luck, 2014). Matrices for data analysis can be accessed online (via https://osf.io/hm93t/).

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Group differences in sensory, cognitive and lipreading abilities

Comparing sensory and cognitive abilities across both age groups, younger participants had a lower hearing threshold, \( t(37) = -5.85, p < .001, g = -1.83 \), better visual acuity, \( V = 308.5, p < .001, r = .56 \), better contrast sensitivity, \( V = 327, p < .001, r = .68 \), and cognitive abilities \( V = 77.5, p = .001, r = .51 \) than older participants. In the lipreading assessment, the mean sum score in the cue task was 22.89 (range = 15–29, \( SE = 1.04 \)) in the older and 24.05 (range = 12–30, \( SE = 1.06 \)) in the younger group. In the recognition task, the mean sum score was 16.61 (range = 12–22, \( SE = 0.61 \)) for the older and 17.67 (range = 13–27, \( SE = 0.75 \)) for the younger group. Both groups did not differ in the recognition, \( V = 152.5, p = .295, r = .17 \) and the cue task, \( t(37) = -1.07, p = .293, g = -0.34 \), respectively, indicating comparable lipreading abilities in the assessment. To summarize, although participants from the older age group showed signs of (healthy) cognitive ageing, lip reading abilities were unaffected.

3.2 | Behavioural analysis

3.2.1 | Response times

Analysing response times in a 2 (age) by 2 (audiovisual condition) design revealed longer response times in the older compared to the younger group, \( F(1, 36.31) = 4.17, p = .049 \). Moreover, shorter response times were found in stimuli with informative compared to uninformative visual speech, \( F(1, \infty) = 55.43, p < .001 \). An interaction of both factors was not present, \( F(1, \infty) = 0.75, p = .385 \) (see Figure 2).
3.2.2 | Accuracy

Overall, participants showed good performance on the task, which was visible in accuracy measures reaching ceiling level (Figure 3). Statistical analysis showed no difference between the age groups, $F(1, 36.97) = 0.08$, $p = .776$, but higher accuracy for stimuli with informative compared to uninformative visual speech, $F(1, \infty) = 8.58$, $p = .003$. No interaction was found, $F(1, \infty) = 1.10$, $p = .189$.

3.3 | ERSP analysis

3.3.1 | Theta oscillations

Figure 4 shows the development of theta perturbations (averaged over 4–8 Hz) after sound onset averaged over a frontocentral electrode cluster including FCz, Cz, Fz, FC1 and FC2. Here, we observed a first increase in theta perturbations right after sound onset in all conditions. Analysing this mean theta peak, we found no general differences between both groups, $M_{\text{young}} = 1.33$ dB, $SE_{\text{young}} = 0.12$, $M_{\text{old}} = 1.59$ dB, $SE_{\text{old}} = 0.17$, $F(1, 37) = 1.19$, $p = .282$, $\eta_p^2 = .03$. Amplitudes were larger for stimuli with uninformative compared to informative visual speech, $M_{\text{uninform}} = 1.75$ dB, $SE_{\text{uninform}} = 0.15$, $M_{\text{inform}} = 1.15$ dB, $SE_{\text{inform}} = 0.12$, $F(1, 37) = 25.20$, $p < .001$, $\eta_p^2 = .41$. We found an interaction of both factors, $F(1, 37) = 6.99$, $p = .012$, $\eta_p^2 = .16$, showing that the difference between audiovisual conditions was driven by the older group, $t(17) = 6.22$, $p_{\text{adj}} < .001$, $g = 1.40$, while there was no difference within the younger group, $t(20) = 1.71$, $p_{\text{adj}} = .103$, $g = 0.36$.

3.3.2 | Alpha oscillations

In the development of event-related alpha perturbations from sound onset to lip movement offset (Figure 5), we observed an initial increase in frontal to central electrodes, followed by a suppression in alpha power. This suppression could also be observed at occipito-parietal sites (Figure 6). As can be seen in Figure 6, alpha power suppression as indicated by ERSPs peaked around 400 ms, once again followed by an increase in alpha power. In the parieto-occipital cluster (Pz, POz, P1 and P2), cluster-based permutation analysis revealed no significant difference between age groups, audiovisual conditions, or the double difference between audiovisual conditions across both age groups (all $p_{\text{adj}} > .025$). The frontocentral cluster did not reveal any differences between age groups and audiovisual conditions either (all $p_{\text{adj}} > .025$).

3.3.3 | Beta oscillations

Beta oscillations were analysed at a pre-defined central electrode cluster (Cz, CPz, FCz, C1 and C2). Figure 7a
shows event-related beta perturbations, revealing a general decrease in beta power after sound onset peaking around 390 ms. Subsequently, beta power increased again, reaching overall higher levels by the end of stimulation. Notably, around sound onset there was a slight increase in beta power for visually unspecific stimuli followed by a strong suppression. This initial positive peak did not occur with audiovisually congruent stimuli. Cluster permutation analyses revealed a significant cluster (156–700 ms) for the age group difference ($p < .01$), which is around the time of maximum beta suppression. As depicted in Figure 7a, ERSPs revealed a stronger beta power suppression in older compared to younger participants. There was no difference between audiovisual conditions across both groups ($p > .05$), however we found a significant cluster (0–194 ms) for an interaction of audiovisual condition and age group ($p < .05$). This cluster occurred during sound onset and the following suppression in beta power, as revealed by ERSPs. Subsequently, we ran cluster permutation tests for both groups separately and found a difference between the audiovisual conditions only in the older (cluster from 0 to 214 ms, $p < .05$), but not in the younger group ($p > .05$). Figure 7b shows that event-related beta perturbations revealed a stronger initial suppression of beta power in stimuli with informative compared to uninformative visual speech in the older group.

4 | DISCUSSION

In this study, we investigated the processing of audiovisual speech stimuli in younger and older adults, when either informative (i.e., task relevant) or uninformative visual speech was presented. We hypothesized that the processing of audiovisually congruent speech (i.e., containing informative visual speech) would be facilitated compared to that of uninformative visual speech. This was expected to be visible in performance measures, such as response time and accuracy, as well as
theta, alpha and beta oscillations. To sum up, responses were faster and more accurate for audiovisual stimuli with informative compared to uninformative visual speech. Behaviourally, age differences were only found in response times, with slower responses in the older group. In event-related spectral perturbations (ERSPs), we found a larger mean peak amplitude in frontocentral theta power for audiovisual stimuli with uninformative compared to informative visual speech. Importantly, this difference was only present in the older group. Moreover, no modulations in event-related alpha perturbations across conditions were found, neither at parietooccipital nor at frontocentral electrode locations. For event-related beta perturbations, we observed a generally stronger suppression at central electrodes in the older compared to the younger group. Only in the older group, we found an early cluster of stronger beta suppression for audiovisual stimuli containing informative compared to uninformative visual speech. These results are discussed in detail in the following.

4.1 | Processing of audiovisual information

Behaviourally, participants showed very good task performance, which led to ceiling effects in response accuracy. While this could be expected due to the relatively easy task, faster response times and higher accuracy were found across both age groups for audiovisually congruent speech containing informative visual speech. In previous studies, a behavioural audiovisual benefit has been demonstrated in comparing congruent audiovisual to unisensory information (Sommers et al., 2005; van Wassenhove et al., 2005; Winneke & Phillips, 2011). In our study, we also observed the benefit of congruent audiovisual speech, although we contrasted it with audiovisual speech that contained no visually informative information. This also replicates findings from a previous study adopting analogous manipulations of the informational content of visual speech in audiovisual stimuli (Begau et al., 2021). In correspondence to these behavioural...
results, we found differences in the theta and beta band perturbations in the EEG between audiovisual conditions as well as between younger and older participants. Note again, that the discussed power differences in our results refer to changes in spectral perturbations relative to a pre-stimulus baseline.

For event-related theta perturbations, we found a stronger increase in theta power for stimuli containing uninformative compared to informative visual speech. This difference was only present in the older group. In audiovisual stimuli, an increase in theta power has been linked to incongruence processing and the need for cognitive control to successfully enable audiovisual integration (Michail et al., 2021 using the sound induced flash illusion; Morís Fernández et al., 2015, using McGurk stimuli). At the time of sound onset, the preceding visual speech is already partially processed. Thus, the formation of a prediction of the co-occurring auditory speech is enabled, leading to a facilitated processing of the auditory information. In the case of uninformative visual speech, no prediction can be made; thus, the processing of the auditory stimulus cannot be facilitated by preceding visual speech. This is in line with the analysis-by-synthesis (van Wassenhove et al., 2005) or predictive coding hypotheses (Arnal & Giraud, 2012). Furthermore, even though no task-relevant information is provided by the uninformative visual speech, the comparison of visual and auditory speech information would still lead to the detection of an audiovisual mismatch. Accordingly, an increase in (fronto-)central theta has previously been interpreted as the activation of a conflict processing network (Morís Fernández et al., 2018) following the detection of a mismatch between expected and actual auditory information in audiovisual speech stimuli. Overall, our finding adds to other studies demonstrating frontocentral theta increase to be stronger in audiovisual compared to unimodal stimuli (Keller et al., 2017), McGurk illusions (Keil et al., 2012; Morís Fernández et al., 2018) and temporal audiovisual asynchrony (Simon & Wallace, 2018).

Meanwhile, looking at event-related beta perturbations, we found a suppression in central beta power shortly after the theta increase, with a stronger suppression following sound onset in stimuli containing informative compared to uninformative visual speech. This stronger beta suppression was found also only in the older group. This suppression in beta power (as shown by ERSPs) likely reflects the processing of the auditory stimulus, in accordance with the notion that beta power suppression is linked to language processing (Weiss & Mueller, 2012). Moreover, decreased activity in the beta band has been associated with stimulus-driven rather than top-down processing (Engel & Fries, 2010). This may be especially important in audiovisual speech stimuli, where the auditory usually follows the visual information. Accordingly, since the auditory information
is task-relevant in the present study and resolves any ambiguity arising from the visual speech, the comparison of the predicted and actual auditory information is required, as suggested by previous studies on predictive coding (Arnal & Giraud, 2012). Furthermore, beta power suppression has been shown to reflect integration processes in audiovisual stimuli (Keil & Senkowski, 2018). Previous findings further link early beta suppression to the fusion of audiovisual stimuli, while later beta suppression is associated with top-down integration (Michail et al., 2021; Roa Romero et al., 2015).

Taken together, while a benefit of congruent audiovisual information can be observed behaviourally, both event-related theta and beta perturbations reflect the neural processing of audiovisual stimuli. While theta is likely sensitive to the early detection of audiovisual mismatch and the initiation of further processes that require cognitive control, beta seems to be associated with processes linked to the integration of the multisensory percept, monitoring the sensory input. This is in line with the notion that different cortical oscillations are associated with different stages of audiovisual speech processing, as suggested by the framework of Keil and Senkowski (2018). There, the authors argue theta to be involved in feedback feed forward loops in case of audiovisual incongruence, while beta is thought to be involved in the comparison of the auditory and visual information.

Figure 7: Mean event-related beta perturbations (16–30 Hz) over central electrode cluster: (a) mean event-related beta perturbations relative to the onset of acoustic speech for audiovisual stimuli containing informative (vi-informative) and uninformative (vi-uninformative) visual speech, and for younger and older participants. Clustered electrodes were Cz, CPz, FCz, C1 and C2. The shaded areas around the line plots indicate the standard error of the mean. (b) Topographies of event-related beta perturbations of the older group for audiovisual stimuli containing informative (vi-informative) or uninformative (vi-uninformative) visual speech as well as visually uninformative-minus-informative difference topographies averaged over the time window of audiovisual condition difference in older group (0 to 214 ms): Cluster permutation analysis was conducted for the time between sound onset (0 ms) and the end of lip movement (700 ms). Significant clusters for pairwise comparisons are indicated by lines.
4.2 The influence of the multi-talker scenario

While audiovisual speech integration and processing are often investigated using only one talker (e.g., Fingelkurts et al., 2007; Morís Fernández et al., 2018; Roa Romero et al., 2015), we presented a simplified multi-talker scenario, in which the listener had to suppress the concurrent auditory speech of the second talker. The engagement of additional attentional resources to focus on the target talker has therefore been expected, as indicated by ERSPs showing a suppression of alpha power after sound onset over parieto-occipital and fronto-central electrode sites. In multisensory attention paradigms, posterior alpha oscillations have been linked to selective attention mechanisms complementary to theta as a marker for divided attention (Keller et al., 2017). Furthermore, frontal alpha suppression has been linked to top-down regulation of perceptual gain, while parieto-occipital alpha was interpreted as a marker for intersensory orienting (Misselhorn et al., 2019). Contrary to our expectations, the magnitude of alpha suppression (measured as ERSPs) did not differ between conditions and groups. This could be due to the fact that both conditions required selective attention to a similar extent. In both stimulus conditions with informative or uninformative visual speech, auditory speech provided the most reliable task-relevant information. Due to the multi-talker setting, the auditory speech information was also susceptible to interference by the simultaneously presented distractor, while the competing visual speech information was visible only peripherally. Thus, participants may have similarly directed their (intersensory) attention towards the auditory input and selectively enhanced the perceptual gain from the targeted auditory speech in both audiovisual conditions.

Previous studies demonstrated the association of parieto-occipital alpha power with the suppression of visual speech in incongruent audiovisual speech stimuli (O’Sullivan et al., 2019), or unreliable (i.e., blurred) visual speech stimuli (Shatzer et al., 2018). However, Shatzer et al. (2018) did not present a multi-talker setting and found the strongest differences in alpha suppression in more lateral parieto-occipital sites rather than around the midline. While O’Sullivan et al. (2019) presented a multi-talker paradigm, the distraction arising from the visual stimulus may be stronger than in the present study. There, participants needed to either actively attend or ignore the auditory input that belonged to the fixed visual information, which probably resulted in a higher salience of the visual information and thus in much more distracting concurrent visual information when the incongruent auditory input was task relevant. In contrast, uninformative visual speech in the present study might not be actively distracting since it does not provide any misleading information.

The presence of more than one talker may also have an influence on audiovisual speech integration reflected by event-related beta band perturbations. In the present study, an early beta suppression difference was found between conditions. However, this effect appeared only in the older group, as will be discussed in the following section. Inversely to previous single-speaker studies (e.g., Roa Romero et al., 2015), this suppression was stronger in congruent audiovisual stimuli containing informative visual speech. Presenting the stimuli in a multi-talker setting, probably made audiovisual integration more demanding. In accordance, beta suppression has shown to be stronger with higher memory load (Michail et al., 2021) or enhanced noise levels (Schepers et al., 2013) during stimulus presentation. Another study demonstrated stronger beta suppression for mismatched versus matched gesture-speech combinations in clear speech, but not in degraded speech (Drijvers et al., 2018). In the latter study, higher beta power was found for mismatched compared to matched combinations. Therefore, the quality of the speech input may have an impact on beta power modulation, visible in stronger beta suppression in the presence of unambiguous, clearly distinguishable information. Overall, the cognitive demands due to the presence of multiple valid information streams in the multi-talker setting with audiovisually congruent stimuli (containing informative visual speech) may have been higher than the audiovisual mismatch arising from uninformative visual speech. Since the conditions were presented block wise, less resources for integration may have been activated. While studies investigating audiovisual illusions such as sound induced flash illusion (Michail et al., 2021) and McGurk stimuli (Roa Romero et al., 2015) argue that early beta suppression reflects audiovisual fusion in illusory stimuli, it may also reflect a general binding mechanism, superficially associating the talker’s face to the according auditory information.

4.3 Processing differences due to ageing

The present study also investigated age-related differences in processing audiovisual speech in a multi-talker scenario. General age differences were only found in larger response times in the older group, but not in accuracy measures, as well as in stronger beta suppression, as shown by ERSPs, in the older group. The behavioural differences are well in line with a general speed-accuracy trade-off in older adults (Salthouse, 1979). Accordingly,
high accuracy in the older adults comes at the cost of larger response times. The slower responses can also be explained by the generalized slowing in older adults leading to a slower processing of sensory information (Salthouse, 2000). The relatively low task difficulty may explain why unlike previous studies (Begau et al., 2021; Sekiyama et al., 2014; Winneke & Phillips, 2011), we did not find a greater audiovisual benefit in congruent audiovisual speech stimuli for older adults. Our participants displayed ceiling effects for the response accuracy in both conditions, meaning they were able to accurately respond to any stimuli—the benefit from congruent, visually informative speech may thus have been present but not necessary, hence not revealing a clear behavioural benefit especially in older participants. It should further be emphasized that the focus of the analyses was on the neurocognitive correlates of audiovisual speech processing and that the task was deliberately chosen to be rather easy in order to keep the error rates low and the number of analysable trials high.

We did not find general age differences in event-related theta perturbations, which contrast earlier findings, such as a study by Cummins and Finnigan (2007) demonstrating lower theta power in older adults. While the authors presented a retention and recognition task, our participants only had to perform a relatively easy word discrimination task, possibly explaining the differing results. This is further supported by findings of increased midfrontal theta activity with higher task difficulty and higher cognitive load (Cavanagh & Frank, 2014; Maurer et al., 2015). Since the task itself (detection of the target word) was relatively easy, as can be seen in the overall high accuracy ceiling effects, we may not find general age differences. Another aspect that could have an impact here is that the importance of perceptual input changes with age (Habak et al., 2019). Specifically, previous research demonstrates that older adults rely more strongly on visual speech input in audiovisual scenarios (Sekiyama et al., 2014). In task blocks with uninformative visual information, this might turn out to be a drawback, while younger participants may have opted to ignore the uninformative visual input for task completion (due to the blocked design of the study), older participants may have failed to do so. This may also explain, why contrary to our expectations and previous findings (Enríquez-Geppert & Barceló, 2018), event-related beta perturbations revealed a stronger suppression in the older than in the younger group. Hence, one could speculate that this stronger suppression may be linked to more activated resources to integrate audiovisual speech in an attempt to entirely process the given information, whether it is task-relevant or not. This may also explain why differences between audiovisual conditions in the theta and beta band were only observable in the older group, while there were no differences in the younger group. In line with the inhibition deficit hypothesis (e.g. Rey-Mermet & Gade, 2018; Stothart & Kazanina, 2016), older adults may need to resolve the conflict arising from the audiovisual mismatch, while younger adults learn to successfully ignore the visual information.

4.4 Limitations and future implications

In this experiment, we presented a multi-talker scenario using videos of real speakers uttering short words. By doing so, we presented ecologically valid stimuli in an experimentally controlled setting. Of course, the presented results may be susceptible to variability arising from the stimulus itself. The stimuli we chose showed a high variance in delay between the onset of visual utterance and auditory speech, which was also larger than a suggested delay of 100 to 300 ms (Chandrasekaran et al., 2009). This higher delay can be explained by the fact that we did not use continuous stimuli (i.e., sentences), but utterances of single words always starting with a closed mouth. Talker variability and its influence on audiovisual processing have been previously demonstrated and discussed in different studies. For example, Heald and Nusbaum (2014) investigated talker changes in an audiovisual cocktail-party scenario using a behavioural task and found behavioural costs compared to unisensory stimuli. They explained this finding with the need to (visually) identify the talker, resulting in performance costs. Authors like Alsius et al. (2018) suggested to always use more than one talker studying McGurk stimuli to account for this variability. This suggestion may also be reasonable in other experimental designs using audiovisual speech. In order to achieve more control over this variability, one might use digitalized avatars or talking heads (e.g., Schreitmüller et al., 2018). However, these methods need to be further validated and may not achieve equivalent precision in visual face and lip movement as real speakers. In this respect, our chosen stimuli display naturalistic and authentic utterances as they would occur in real life. Future studies may also investigate, for example, short phrases instead of singular words or use more than two speakers to progress the construction of realistic cocktail-party environments in laboratory setups, thus enabling an even better transfer to real-life scenarios.

5 Conclusion

To summarize, the present study investigated audiovisual speech processing in a multi-talker scenario using
realistic, ecologically valid stimuli. Thus, we provided further insights on the processing of natural speech in a complex listening environment. The present findings suggest that, while congruent audiovisual speech is beneficial for both older and younger adults on a behavioural level, we found age-related differences in the processing of audiovisual speech. We compared the processing and integration of audiovisual speech containing informative or uninformative visual speech and showed differences in theta enhancement and beta suppression after sound onset only in the older, but not in the younger group. This further emphasizes the importance of informative visual speech (resulting in congruent audiovisual speech) for audiovisually presented speech to be beneficial especially for older adults. For this age group, we provided further evidence for the association of increased frontocentral theta perturbations and an early conflict detection mechanism, as well as suppression in central event-related beta perturbations and more general integrative processes.
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