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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Diagnosis and management of impacted mandibular third molars call for a cogent appraisal and treatment choice both for the sick person and the dental practitioner. This academic work scrutinized the pattern as well as treatment of impacted mandibular third molars at the Teem Clinic and Dental Centre, Ekpan, Delta State, in Nigeria.

Materials and Methods: This cross-sectional survey involved 131 cases who reported impacted mandibular third molars. The age, gender, impacted tooth, type of impaction, pathological conditions, and treatment proffered were recorded.

Results: The male to female ratio was 0.8:1, with an age range of 10 to 40 years. Of the lower third molar impactions 58 (42.0%) were mesioangular, 5 (3.6%) horizontal, 18 (13.0%) vertical and 57 (41.3%) were distoangular. A total of 47 (34.1%) quested for dental attention following varying degrees of pain induced by pericoronitis. Teeth removal was accomplished for 76 (55.0%) owing to carious lesions on the impacted teeth, proximate tooth, or both. Surgical extraction was the option taken in 69 (50.0 %) with caries on the impacted teeth while 3 (2.2%) had to pull out of their teeth done owing to carious lesions on the bordering second molars. In 3 (2.2%) both the impacted third molar and the proximate second molar were decayed.

Conclusions: The prevailing indication for pulling out impacted mandibular third molars was acute pericoronitis. Mesioangular sort of impaction was most recurrent and ought to be considered for the plausibility of frequentness of complications.
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INTRODUCTION

Expository critique of relevant texts divulged that inferior third molars are constantly impacted teeth and surgical extraction has come to be a prevailing dentoalveolar procedure. Impacted inferior third molars are oftentimes relatable with operculitis, periodontal disease, neoplasm, cystic lesions, and deleterious outcomes on proximate teeth.¹ Sufferers with impacted inferior third molars can manifest with pain, caries, gingivitis as well as other oral infections.² Swots submitted that third molars are involved in crowding and in extreme situations, riddance of the impacted molars may be endorsed.³ To alleviate relatable symptoms, such teeth are chosen to go through conservative or surgical extraction.⁴
Even though impacted lower third molars stay asymptomatic for years, surgical extractions are performed to boycott lesions. Dental Surgeons see prophylactic elimination of such teeth as the paragon option. Factors correlated with the manifestation of complications include dotage, sex, health, smoking, the magnitude of impaction, surgical expertise, and the surgical procedure employed.\textsuperscript{5,7} This explicates the rationale behind this inquiry. This academic work scrutinized the pattern as well as treatment of impacted mandibular third molars at the Teem Clinic and Dental Centre, Ekpan, Delta State, in Nigeria.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This cross-sectional study considered 138 impacted mandibular third molar teeth seen at the Teem Clinic and Dental Centre in Ekpan, Delta State, Nigeria. Specifics on 131 valetudinarians (57 males and 74 females) tended for maladies related to impacted mandibular third molars were put down. The details were compiled from the dossiers of the sufferers with impacted mandibular third molar teeth seen from 1\textsuperscript{st} January 2003 to 31\textsuperscript{st} December 2012.

The age, gender, impacted tooth, type of impaction, pathological conditions, and treatment proffered were recorded. Associated pathologies assessed according to the sort of impaction were also noted. The data was tabulated and displayed by periodicity and allotment using SPSS version 20. Chi-square was used to ascertain the association between the categorical variables investigated.

### RESULTS

A total of 131 patients made up of 57 (43.5%) males and 74 (56.5%) females (male to female ratio was 0.8:1) were investigated. The age range of the attendees was 10 to 40 years with the majority in the age group of 21-30 years (n=85; 64.5%) followed by 31-40 years (n=28; 21.7%) and 0-20 years (n=18; 13.7%). The 21 to 25 years age class was overwhelmed with impactions (42.8%) as disclosed in Table 1. Mesioangular impaction was predominant though without inter-correlation to peer groups (p > 0.05) except in the 21-25 years age bracket (p = 0.016) as divulged in Table 1.

### Table 1: Placement of pattern of impaction between various age classes

| Age (years) | Angulation | Total | Chi-square | P-value |
|-------------|------------|-------|------------|---------|
| 10-15       | Mesioangular | 1(50.0%) |             |         |
|             | Horizontal  | -     | 2.000      | 0.157   |
|             | Vertical    | -     |            |         |
|             | Distoangular| 1(50.0%) |            |         |
|             | Total       | 2(100.0%) |           |         |
| 16-20       | Mesioangular | 6(35.3%) |             |         |
|             | Horizontal  | -     | 8.500      | 0.386   |
|             | Vertical    | 3(17.6%) |            |         |
|             | Distoangular| 8(47.0%) |            |         |
|             | Total       | 17(100.0%) |          |         |
| 21-25       | Mesioangular | 21(37.5%) |             |         |
|             | Horizontal  | 3(5.4%) |             |         |
|             | Vertical    | 9(16.1%) | 33.240     | 0.016   |
|             | Distoangular| 23(50.1%) |            |         |
|             | Total       | 56(100.0%) |          |         |

### Table 2: Patterns of impaction of the third molar and complications among the study population

| Pattern       | Acute pericoronitis | Acute on chronic pericoronitis | Complication |
|---------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|
|               |                     | 4                              | 4            |
| Mesioangular  | 15                   | 4                              | 4            |
|               |                      | 1                              | 1            |
|               |                      | 32                             | 2            |
|               |                      | 58                             |              |
| Horizontal    | 2                    | -                              | -            |
|               |                      | 3                              | -            |
|               |                      | 5                              |              |
| Vertical      | 5                    | 1                              | 1            |
|               |                      | 10                             | 1            |
|               |                      | 18                             |              |
| Distoangular  | 16                   | 4                              | 4            |
|               |                      | 31                             | 2            |
|               |                      | 57                             |              |
| Total         | 38                   | 9                              | 9            |
|               |                      | 76                             | 5            |
|               |                      | 138                            |              |

Clinical assessment of impacted lower third molars

---

Anibor et al.
Extractions were performed for 76 (55.0%) individuals ascribable to carious lesions on the impacted teeth, proximate tooth, or both (Table 3). Surgical extractions were accomplished in 69 (50.0%) sufferers with caries on the impacted teeth while 3 (2.2%) had extraction ascribed to caries on the nearby second molar (Table 3). In 3 (2.2%) both the impacted tooth and the adjacent second molar were carious. Most extractions were done in the 21 to 30 years old group (n=47; 35.8%), followed by 31 to 40 years (n=15; 11.4%).

A total of 138 impacted lower third molars were considered and mesioangular impaction was surmised to be correlative with various numbers of complications (p<0.05) (Table 2). More lesions and therapeutic interventions occurred in the 21 to 25 years age bracket (p<0.05).

### DISCUSSION

The current scrutiny divulged that females (57.0%) were affected by impacted third lower molars more often than the males (43.0%). This is in accord with inquiries done previously.6-14 Certain inquirers saw that impaction of the mandibular third molar prevailed similarly in the two genders.1,2,4,10 The 21 to 25 years peer-group suffered the most (42.8%) in this inquisition. A prior scrutinization established that the 21 to 30 years comperees are those overwhelmed with impacted inferior third molars.14

In this review, mesioangular angulation is the most noticeable pattern noted for the mandibular third molars. Analyses on orientations of impacted mandibular third molars expressed that mesioangular kind of impaction was the most incessantly viewed.4,14,15 This inquiry revealed that mesioangular inclination

is the most noticeable angulation though not with an explicit tie-in to the age classes (p>0.05). This outcome acceded to that from foregoing inquiries.6,14 Other Inquirers saw vertical impactions as the most frequent impaction kind in their inquisitions.5,7 Mesoangular impaction was identified to be correlated with the pinnacle of damages (p<0.05). This is in complete accord with data from a different perusal.14

Plenitude of 47 (34.1%) sufferers quested for oral care on account of pain triggered by pericoronitis. This affirmed the deductions of other inquirers.1,2,4 Acute pericoronitis is a recurring indication for taking out impacted inferior third molars in this disquisition. This was in accordance with reports from other acquisitions.5,8,13 However, caries and crowding are unfavorable sequelae that overwhelmed others concerning impacted inferior third molars.3

Extraction of teeth was performed for 72 (52.2%) of the participators owing to carious lesions. This is in conformity with the course of treatment specified by other inquirers.1,3,4

This acquisition’s key strength was the one-on-one intra-oral examination of patients allowing the clinician to acquire details. The main limitation of this inquisition was the failure to obtain a 100% true and sincere response from the patients during history taking.

### CONCLUSIONS

The prevailing indication for pulling out impacted mandibular third molars was acute pericoronitis. Mesoangular sort of impaction was most recurrent and ought to be considered for the plausibility of frequency of complications.
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