Smooth Endoplasmic Reticulum Clusters in Oocytes From Patients Who Received Intracytoplasmic Sperm Injections Negatively Affect Blastocyst Quality and Speed of Blastocyst Development
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Findings regarding the relationship between smooth endoplasmic reticulum clusters (SERCs) in oocytes and blastocyst development have been conflicting. In this study, the effects of SERCs on blastocyst quality and the speed of blastocyst development were evaluated. Patients who received intracytoplasmic sperm injections (ICSI) at our reproductive center from 2016 to 2020 were retrospectively analyzed. SERC (+) oocytes \((n = 217)\) and SERC (–) oocytes \((n = 822)\), as well as SERC (+) cycles \((n = 146)\) and SERC (–) cycles \((n = 1,951)\) were compared. There was no significant difference in embryological, clinical, and neonatal outcomes between the SERC (+) and SERC (–) cycles. The fertilization rate (73.9%), good quality blastocyst rate (26.7%) and the speed of blastocyst development (44.4%) were significantly lower \((P < 0.05)\) in SERC (+) oocytes than in unaffected counterparts (86.2%, 44.1% and 63.4%, respectively). Furthermore, the proportion of blastocysts with trophectoderm (TE) grade C was significantly higher in the SERC (+) oocyte group than in the SERC (–) oocyte group (73.3 vs. 55.9%, \(P < 0.05\)). After adjusting for age, years of infertility, endometriosis, stimulation protocols (GnRHa), and male infertility, multiple logistic regression analysis revealed that the presence of SERCs in the oocytes significantly affected the speed of blastocyst development (odds ratio, 2.812; 95% CI, 1.257–6.292; \(P = 0.012\)). These findings suggest that the presence of SERCs in oocytes may negatively affect blastocyst quality and the speed of blastocyst development.
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INTRODUCTION

Prolonging embryo culture in vitro to the blastocyst stage is conducive to the selection of viable embryos and thereby improves implantation rates (Glujovsky et al., 2016). Blastocysts cross the developmental block at the eight-cell stage of in vitro development. At this stage, the synchronization of embryonic development and endometrial changes is more conducive to
blastocyst transfer (Shapiro et al., 2008), and the reduced contractile force of the uterine wall is conducive to implantation (Fanchin et al., 2001). However, blastocyst cultures have some limitations; for example, the blastocyst formation rate is unpredictable, and it may not be possible to obtain blastocysts from some patients. Although blastocyst formation is affected by many factors, obtaining good quality oocytes is critical (Wilding et al., 2007; Catalá et al., 2012). Most oocytes are evaluated based on non-invasive morphological observations, including the state of the cytoplasm and nucleus (ALPHA Scientists in Reproductive Medicine and ESHRE Special Interest Group Embryology, 2011). When assisted reproductive technologies (ART) are used, some oocytes (obtained by controlled ovarian stimulation) might exhibit morphological abnormalities, including extracytoplasmic abnormalities, such as those in polar body morphology, perivitelline space, and zona pellucida, as well as cytoplasmic abnormalities, such as abnormal refractors, intracellular particles, vacuoles, and smooth endoplasmic reticulum clusters (SERCs) (ALPHA Scientists in Reproductive Medicine and ESHRE Special Interest Group Embryology, 2011).

Under normal conditions, the oocytes contain smooth endoplasmic reticulum vesicles that can store and release calcium ions; the vesicles are also responsible for cell activation during fertilization. Calcium ions contribute to early embryonic development by regulating the stress response of oocytes or embryos and the meiotic spindle (De Santis et al., 2015; Latham, 2015, 2016). However, in some cases, the smooth endoplasmic reticulum aggregates to generate SERCs, forming a single large aggregate (Van Blerkom and Henry, 1992) presenting as a round, flat, and clear disk with a diameter of approximately 10–18 µm (Shaw-Jackson et al., 2014). SERCs is considered a major oocyte abnormality (ALPHA Scientists in Reproductive Medicine and ESHRE Special Interest Group Embryology, 2011), which can interfere with calcium storage and oscillation and may affect fertilization, embryonic development (Ebner et al., 2008; Sá et al., 2011; de Almeida Ferreira Braga et al., 2013; Mateizel et al., 2013; Sfontouris et al., 2018), and, even, birth outcomes. These findings suggest that SERC-containing oocytes should be discarded (ALPHA Scientists in Reproductive Medicine and ESHRE Special Interest Group Embryology, 2011). However, other studies have shown that the presence of SERCs does not affect the ability of oocytes to undergo embryonic development (Setti et al., 2016; Itoi et al., 2017) or to produce healthy offspring (Hattori et al., 2014; Shaw-Jackson et al., 2016; Ferreux et al., 2019). It has also been suggested that SERC (+) oocytes can avoid abnormal calcium oscillations and develop into blastocysts and that embryos derived from SERC (+) oocytes could be transferred after blastocyst culture (Itoi et al., 2017). Consequently, despite studies on the effects of SERCs on blastocyst formation and quality (de Almeida Ferreira Braga et al., 2013; Itoi et al., 2017), it is not yet clear whether the presence of SERCs in oocytes affects blastocyst formation and the data on their effect on the speed of blastocyst development are limited. Therefore, the purpose of the study was to evaluate the effects of SERCs on the quality and speed of blastocyst development.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients and Definitions

The retrospective study included patients who received intracytoplasmic sperm injections (ICSI) at the reproductive center of Peking Union Medical College Hospital between December 2016 and June 2020. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) patients receiving ICSI and (2) patients with ≥4 oocytes. Cycles with testicular or epididymal sperm aspiration or with a preimplantation genetic diagnosis or screening were excluded. SERC (+) oocytes were defined as those in which SERCs were observed by microscopy and SERC (–) oocytes were those in which SERCs were not observed. A cycle with SERC (+) oocytes was defined as a SERC (+) cycle and a cycle without SERC (+) oocytes was defined as a SERC (–) cycle, as shown in Figure 1. All patients signed a written, informed consent form, and this study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Peking Union Medical College Hospital in China (ZS-1214).

Ovarian Stimulation and Oocyte Retrieval

Ovarian stimulation was performed using two protocols, namely, the GnRH agonist and flexible GnRH antagonist protocols. Briefly, for the GnRH agonist protocol, the patients were administered a GnRH agonist (Decapeptyl; Ferring, Germany) in the midluteal phase of the menstrual cycle for pituitary downregulation. When the downregulation was achieved, ovarian stimulation was performed using recombinant follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH, Gonad F; Merck Schlano, Switzerland) according to patients’ age, antral follicle count, and body mass index. For the antagonist protocol, ovarian stimulation was started from day 2 of the menstrual cycle with FSH (Gonal F). When dominant follicles reached a diameter of 14 mm, GnRH antagonist (Gestrinone; Merck Serono, Germany) administration was started and continued until the day of human chorionic gonadotropin (HCG; Merck Schlano) injection. In both ovarian stimulation protocols, when three or more follicles reached a diameter of 18 mm (as determined by ultrasound scanning), 250 µg of HCG (Merck Schlano) was injected for final oocyte maturation. Transvaginal oocyte retrieval was performed 38 h after HCG administration.

Evaluation of Oocytes

The retrieved cumulus-oocyte complexes (COCs) were incubated in pre-equilibrated fertilization medium (G-IVF; Vitrolife, Sweden) at 37°C under a controlled atmosphere of 6% CO2 and 5% O2 in an incubator for 2 h until oocyte denudation. The time between HCG injection and oocyte denudation was approximately 40 h. The COCs were transferred into a medium with 80 IU/mL hyaluronidase (Sigma, United States) to disperse the cumulus cells, which were then carefully removed using a denuding Pasteur pipette with decreasing inner diameters (170–140 µm). The morphology of each oocyte was evaluated under an inverted microscope (Eclipse TE 300; Nikon) before ICSI. At high magnifications, SERCs in the cytoplasm appeared round, flat, and
clear (Figure 2). Sperm injection into the aggregate was avoided during the ICSI procedure.

**Embryo Culture and Evaluation**

The embryos derived from the SERC (+)/SERC (−) oocytes were cultured under the same conditions. The injected oocytes were cultured in a pre-equilibrated culture medium (G1; Vitrolife) at 37°C under a controlled atmosphere of 6% CO₂ and 5% O₂ in an incubator. The prokaryotic (2PN) cells were observed 18–20 h after ICSI. Cleavage embryos were evaluated 68 ± 1 h after fertilization. Good-quality embryos were defined as embryos with eight blastomeres that were symmetrical and evenly arranged, with less than 10% fragmentation. Two embryos of the best quality were transferred on day 3 (D3), and the supernumerary embryos were subsequently cultured in a pre-equilibrated medium (G2; Vitrolife) in the same incubator under 6% CO₂ and 5% O₂ until day 5 (D5) or day 6 (D6). If the embryos could not be transferred (e.g., due to ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome), they were cryopreserved on D3 or cultured to D5/D6. These blastocysts were then cryopreserved in liquid N₂. The embryos originating from the SERC (+) oocytes were only transferred if there were no other embryos to transfer. Luteal support (intramuscular progesterone 40 mg/bid or intramuscular progesterone 40 mg/d + vaginal progesterone gel 90 mg/d) was started on the day of transplantation.

Blastocysts were observed on D5 (118–120 h) or D6 (142–144 h) after fertilization and scored according to the Gardner scoring system (Gardner et al., 2000). Based on the degree of
cavity expansion, blastocysts can be divided into six stages: stage 1, early blastocysts with a blastocoel that is less than half the volume of the embryo; stage 2, blastocysts with a blastocoel that is greater than half the volume of the embryo; stage 3, full blastocysts with a blastocoel that completely fills the embryo; stage 4, blastocysts show full cavity expansion and zona pellucida thinning; stage 5, blastocysts have partial trophoblasts growing from the zona pellucida; and stage 6, blastocysts are completely detached from the zona pellucida. Blastocyst quality was graded according to the quality of the inner cell mass (ICM) and trophoderm (TE). The ICM and TE were divided into grade A (more cells, tightly packed), grade B (several cells, loosely arranged), and grade C (very few cells). Blastocysts with a grade C ICM were discarded, and blastocysts with ICM (A/B) and TE (A/B/C) were selected for freezing. Embryos with the ICM and TE qualities above grade B (AA, AB, BA, and BB) were defined as good-quality embryos and those identified as BC were defined as poor quality embryos. All available blastocysts formed on D5/D6 were cryopreserved in liquid N\textsubscript{2} until the next embryo transfer.

**Outcome Parameters**

The main outcome of this study was the effect of SERCs on blastocyst quality and speed of blastocyst development (compared by D5 blastocyst formation rate); the clinical and neonatal outcomes were secondary. The outcome parameters were the mature oocyte rate, fertilization rate, D3 good-quality embryo rate, blastocyst formation rate, D5 blastocyst formation rate, good quality blastocyst rate, implantation rate, clinical pregnancy rate, and live birth rate, defined as follows:

- Mature oocyte rate (%) = (number of MII oocytes/total oocytes retrieved) × 100;
- Fertilization rate (%) = (number of fertilized oocytes/number of MII oocytes) × 100;
- D3 good-quality embryo rate (%) = (number of D3 good-quality embryos/number of cleavage embryos) × 100;
- Blastocyst formation rate (%) = [number of blastocysts/number of embryos that cultured for blastocysts] × 100;
- D5 blastocyst formation rate (%) = (number of blastocysts formed on D5/total number of blastocysts) × 100;
- Good quality blastocyst rate (%) = (total number of good quality blastocysts/total number of blastocysts) × 100;
- Implantation rate = number of gestational sacs/number of transferred embryos × 100;
- Clinical pregnancy rate = number of patients with at least one gestational sac (intra or extraterine)/number of transferred cycles;
- Live birth rate = number of deliveries/number of transferred cycles.

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 22.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY, United States). Data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation, and Student’s parametric t-test or Mann–Whitney non-parametric tests were used to compare the mean values as appropriate. The mature oocyte rate, fertilization rate, D3 good-quality embryo rate, blastocyst formation rate, good-quality blastocyst rate, implantation rate, clinical pregnancy rate, and live birth rate were compared using chi-square or Fisher exact test. A logistic regression model was used to assess the confounder factors that could affect the speed of blastocyst development in SERC (+) cycles. Results with $P < 0.05$ were considered significant.

**RESULTS**

A total of 2097 ICSI cycles were included, of which 146 cycles showed at least one oocyte with SERC. As summarized in Table 1, demographic data were not significantly different between the SERC (+) and SERC (−) cycles. However, the ratio of GnRH agonist used in the SERC (+) cycle was significantly greater than that in the SERC (−) cycle (72.6 vs. 58.3%, $P = 0.001$). Hormone concentrations at the time of HCG administration did not show significant differences. The mature oocyte rate in the SERC (+) cycle group was significantly higher than that in the SERC (−) cycle group (88.4 vs. 86.2%, $P = 0.035$). On the
neonatal malformations were detected in the SERC (of obstetric data revealed that the SERC (of clinical pregnancy, abortion, or live birth rates between the SERC (and SERC (–) cycle groups. Table 2

| Items                       | SERC (+) cycles | SERC (–) cycles | P-value |
|-----------------------------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| No. of cycles               | 146            | 1,951          |         |
| Age (years)                 | 35.3 ± 4.0     | 35.1 ± 4.9     | 0.570   |
| Duration of sterility (years)| 4.4 ± 3.0     | 4.8 ± 3.0      | 0.646   |
| Basal FSH (IU/L)            | 7.9 ± 2.5      | 7.8 ± 2.4      | 0.621   |
| Basal LH (IU/L)             | 4.2 ± 3.0      | 4.3 ± 2.4      | 0.562   |
| Total dose of FSH (IU/mL)   | 2,910 ± 510    | 2,530 ± 810    | 0.421   |
| LH on day of HCG (IU/L)     | 2.1 ± 1.0      | 2.1 ± 0.8      | 0.461   |
| E2 on day of HCG (pg/mL)    | 1049.9 ± 272.1 | 914.0 ± 286.6 | 0.118   |
| P on day of HCG (ng/mL)     | 1.0 ± 0.4      | 1.1 ± 0.5      | 0.233   |
| % GnRH agonist              | 72.6 (106/146) | 58.3 (1,138/1,951) | 0.001 |

SERC, smooth endoplasmic reticulum cluster; FSH, follicle-stimulating hormone; LH, luteinizing hormone; E2, oestrogen; HCG, chorionic gonadotrophin; GnRH, gonadotropin-releasing hormone.

contrary, the rates of fertilization, good-quality embryos on day 3, blastocyst formation, and good-quality blastocysts, and the speed of blastocyst development did not significantly differ between the SERC (+) and SERC (–) cycle groups. However, the proportion of blastocysts with TE grade A in the SERC (+) cycle group was significantly lower than that in the SERC (–) cycle group (3.9 vs. 7.6%, P = 0.037). In terms of pregnancy outcome, as shown in Table 2, there were no significant differences in the implantation, clinical pregnancy, abortion, or live birth rates between the SERC (+) and SERC (–) cycle groups. Regarding birth, an analysis of embryonic data revealed that the SERC (+) cycle group could achieve the same outcomes as the SERC (–) cycle group. No neonatal malformations were detected in the SERC (+) cycle group (Table 2).

The embryological outcomes were compared between SERC (+) oocytes and SERC (–) oocytes within the SERC (+) cycle group. As shown in Table 3, the fertilization rate of SERC (+) oocytes was significantly lower than that of SERC (–) oocytes (73.9 vs. 86.2%, P < 0.05). Although the rates of good-quality embryos on D3 and blastocyst formation were similar, the speed of blastocyst development was significantly lower in the SERC (+) oocyte group than in the SERC (–) oocyte group (44.4 vs. 63.4%, P = 0.02). The proportion of blastocysts with TE grade C was significantly higher in the SERC (+) oocyte group than in the SERC (–) oocyte group (44.4 vs. 63.4%, P = 0.037). In terms of pregnancy outcome, as shown in Table 4, there were no significant differences in the pregnancy outcomes between the SERC (+) and SERC (–) cycle groups. However, the proportion of miscarriages was significantly higher in the SERC (+) cycle group than in the SERC (–) cycle group (18.6 vs. 14.3%, P = 0.033). After adjusting for age, years of infertility, endometriosis, stimulation protocols (GnRHa), and male infertility, multiple logistic regression analysis revealed that the presence of SERCs in the oocytes significantly affected the speed of blastocyst development (odds ratio [OR], 2.812; 95% CI, 1.257–6.292; P = 0.012) (Table 4). A total of 28 patients had at least one embryo originating from SERC (+) oocytes transferred. Nine patients had all embryos from SERC (+) oocytes transferred; two pregnancies were achieved, resulting in the birth of two healthy infants. Nineteen patients had mixed embryos transferred, leading to eight clinical pregnancies and eight healthy births (one twin pregnancy). Moreover, 86 patients had two embryos transferred, both of which were from SERC (–) oocytes, and 32 patients successfully conceived. Although the live birth rate in the SERC (+) oocyte group was slightly lower than that in the other two groups, the difference was not significant. The neonatal outcomes were similar among the three groups, as shown in Table 5.

DISCUSSION

The main purpose of this study was to determine the effect of the presence of SERCs in oocytes on the blastocyst quality and speed of blastocyst development. To the best of our knowledge, we analyzed the relationship between SERCs and the speed of blastocyst development for the first time. Our data showed that the blastocysts derived from SERC (+) oocytes were of a low quality (with TE grade C) and presented a tendency to be formed on D6 after fertilization, than on D5. The present study found there were no significant differences in the fertilization, good-quality embryo frequency, and blastocyst formation rates between the SERC (+) and SERC (–) cycle groups which is consistent with the findings of previous studies (Hattori et al., 2014; Itoi et al., 2017). However, these results...
TABLE 3 | Comparison of embryonic outcomes between SERC (+) and SERC (−) oocytes in the SERC (+) cycle group.

| Items                        | SERC (+) oocytes | SERC (−) oocytes | P-value |
|------------------------------|------------------|------------------|---------|
| No. of MII oocytes           | 217              | 822              |         |
| Fertilization rate           | 73.7 (160/217)   | 86.3 (709/822)   | 0.000   |
| Good quality embryos rate at day 3 | 12.3 (19/154)   | 12.9 (89/692)    | 0.860   |
| Blastocyst formation rate    | 35.2 (45/128)    | 37.1 (186/502)   | 0.691   |
| Speed of blastocyst development, D5% | 44.4 (20/45)    | 63.4 (118/186)   | 0.020   |
| Good quality blastocyst rate | 26.7 (12/45)     | 44.1 (82/186)    | 0.033   |

SERC, smooth endoplasmic reticulum cluster; D5, day 5.

TABLE 4 | Logistic regression analysis of SERC in oocytes that may affect the speed of blastocyst development.

| Variables                              | OR     | 95% CI     | P-value |
|----------------------------------------|--------|------------|---------|
| Speed of blastocyst development        |        |            |         |
| Age                                    | 1.071  | 0.952–1.206| 0.254   |
| No. of previous IVF times              | 1.022  | 0.632–1.654| 0.928   |
| Duration of sterility                  | 0.994  | 0.853–1.159| 0.943   |
| Severity of male infertility           | 0.587  | 0.192–1.792| 0.350   |
| Endometriosis                          | 0.797  | 0.284–2.240| 0.667   |
| Stimulation protocols (GnRHa)          | 1.373  | 0.568–3.321| 0.482   |
| SERC (+) oocytes                       | 2.812  | 1.257–6.292| 0.012   |

SERC, smooth endoplasmic reticulum cluster; GnRHa, gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist; SERC (+) oocytes, blastocysts resulting from SERC (+) oocytes; CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio. Results with P < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

were in contrast to some findings. Sá et al. (2011) found that the fertilization, cleavage, and blastocyst formation rates were significantly reduced in the SERC (+) cycle group compared with that in the SERC (−) cycle group. Restelli et al. (2015) found that the fertilization rate in the SERC (+) cycle group was significantly lower than that in the SERC (−) cycle group; however, once the fertilization was completed, the cleavage and implantation rates were similar between the groups. Mateizel et al. (2013) observed a significantly lower blastocyst formation rate in the SERC (+) cycles than in the SERC (−) cycles, and the capacity to develop into good-quality embryos were similar between the SERC (+) oocytes and their sibling SERC (−) oocytes within the SERC (+) cycles which supported the hypothesis that the intrinsic developmental capacity of the entire group of oocytes from SERC (+) cycles, than from SERC (−) oocytes only, was reduced.

Previous investigations concluded that compared with SERC (−) oocytes, SERC (+) oocytes exhibited a poor embryonic development (Ebner et al., 2008; de Almeida Ferreira Braga et al., 2013). In this study, the fertilization rate of SERC (+) oocytes was significantly lower than that of SERC (−) oocytes, but no difference was observed in the blastocyst formation and good-quality blastocyst rates between the groups, which was consistent with the results of Hattori et al. (2014). However, Ebner et al. (2008) found that the fertilization rate (58.9%) and blastulation rate (44.0%) were lower in SERC (+) oocytes than in their unaffected counterparts (77.4 and 87.8%, respectively), with no difference in the quality of blastocysts. In the conventional IVF cycle, the presence of SERCs in oocytes did not affect the fertilization rate or cleavage embryo quality, but resulted in a lower blastocyst formation rate and lower good-quality blastocyst rate than those in the control group (Itoi et al., 2016), similar to the conclusions of de Almeida Ferreira Braga et al. (2013), who found the presence of SERCs affects blastocyst expansion and the quality of the ICM. However, the study did not identify an effect of SERCs on TE grade.

To further analyse the effect of SERCs on blastocyst quality, we attempted to group blastocysts according to the morphology of ICM and TE. As embryos with ICM grade C were discarded because of poor implantation potential, and blastocysts with ICM grade A were few, the comparisons were not based on the ICM grade but based on trophoblast cell morphology. To the best of our knowledge, we found, for the first time, that the rate of blastocysts with TE grade A in the SERC (+) cycle group was lower than that in the SERC (−) cycle group. The proportion of blastocysts with TE grade C was significantly higher in the SERC (+) oocyte group than in the SERC (−) oocyte group. Many studies have confirmed that TE morphology is positively correlated with the embryo implantation rate (Ahlström et al., 2011; Hill et al., 2013). Alfarawati et al. (2011) found that the
morphology of trophoblast cells is positively correlated with the incidence of blastocyst aneuploidy. Blastocysts with TE grade C exhibited a 2.5-times higher proportion of aneuploidy than those with TE grade A. Otsuki et al. (2018) found that the error rate in mitosis or meiosis in SERC (+) oocytes was significantly higher than that in SERC (-) oocytes, which suggested that the embryos with failed cleavage are prone to accumulate chromosomal abnormalities, but the mechanism of the frequent failure of cytokinesis in embryos derived from SERC (+) oocytes is still unknown.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to analyse the relationship between SERCs and the speed of blastocyst development. It is well known that some blastocysts are formed on D5, whereas others are formed on D6/D7 (Bourdon et al., 2019). In this study, our results showed that the speed of blastocyst development, shown as the blastocysts formed rate on D5, did not differ between the SERC (+) and SERC (-) cycles but was significantly lower in the SERC (+) oocyte group than in the SERC (-) oocyte group. After adjusting for possible confounding factors, SERCs in the oocytes was still an independent factor to affect the speed of blastocyst development. Numerous studies have confirmed that the implantation, clinical pregnancy, and continuous pregnancy rates of D5 blastocysts are significantly higher than those of D6 blastocysts, suggesting that faster blastocyst development is related to better clinical outcomes (Bourdon et al., 2019). This difference can be explained by the higher rates of aneuploidy and gene abnormalities in blastocysts with delayed development (Taylor et al., 2014; Kaing et al., 2018). It is possible that D5 blastocysts are more likely to be selected for blastocyst transfer among patients who do not undergo preimplantation genetic screening (Minasi et al., 2016). In addition, studies have found that the implantation rate and live birth rate are higher with D5 blastocysts than with D6 blastocysts if only euploid embryos are transferred, they thought that the difference could be attributed not only to the euploid rate, but also to additional factors, including the differences in embryo metabolism or epigenetics (Irani et al., 2018). However, why the SERC (+) oocyte-induced slow blastocyst development is still unknown, which may also be attributed to the abnormal increase in the number of blastocyst chromosomes induced by cleavage failure, metabolic reasons, or other factors. The specific mechanisms still need to be determined.

In this study, we found that there were no significant differences in the clinical and neonatal outcomes between the SERC (+) and SERC (-) cycle group, which was similar to the conclusions of Hattori et al. (2014). In addition, we found that irrespective of whether we transferred embryos from SERC oocytes or not, the neonatal outcomes were similar; this was consistent with the finding of Mateizel et al. (2013). However, some different conclusions suggested that SERC may be associated with poor clinical and neonatal outcomes, as Ebner et al. (2008) suggested that embryos derived from SERC (+) oocytes were more prone to preterm birth and a low birth weight, whereas other studies have found that the proportion of neonatal congenital abnormalities increased after the transfer of embryos derived from SERC (+) oocytes (Otsuki et al., 2004; Akarsu et al., 2009; Sá et al., 2011). A literature review showed that compared with the natural cycle, children born using ART are more likely to have imprinting defects (Manipalviratn et al., 2009), and it is difficult to determine if the imprinting defects are caused by SERCs. Moreover, the differences in findings among the studies may be because of their designs, sample sizes, ovarian stimulation methods, or population variations.

There were some limitations in this study. First, the number of patients who had embryos transferred from SERC (+) oocytes was relatively low, which may have affected the comparisons of the neonatal outcomes. In the future, a multi-center study with a large sample size should be conducted to address this issue. Second, as this was a retrospective study, we could not investigate the chromosomes of the blastocysts to determine their relationship with SERCs and blastocyst quality and speed of blastocyst development. In the future, a study involving the biopsy of blastocysts derived from SERC (+) oocytes should be conducted to address this. This would enable us to analyse whether there is a correlation between SERCs and chromosomal abnormalities of the blastocysts. Blastocysts derived from SERC (+) oocytes that are transplanted after preimplantation genetic testing for aneuploidies may have a better clinical outcome. Third, in our study, not all embryos were cultured up to the blastocyst stage, but the embryos with the best morphology were transferred at the cleavage stage (D3). Therefore, this study does not allow a complete evaluation of the effect of the presence of SERCs on the competence of oocytes to reach the blastocyst stage. It is possible that the more competent embryos were excluded from the analysis. In the future, a prospective, randomized, controlled study should be conducted to address this limitation. Finally, there was no long-term follow-up of the new-borns. As normal development at birth does not guarantee that issues may not occur in the future, a long-term follow-up study of the children from SERC (+) oocytes should be conducted to investigate whether there are abnormalities in any later developmental processes.

In conclusion, although the presence of SERCs does not affect the clinical and neonatal outcomes, it is related to the blastocyst quality and speed of blastocyst development. Most blastocysts derived from SERC (+) oocytes had grade C trophoblast cells. Furthermore, the speed of blastocyst development in SERC (+) oocytes was significantly slower, observed as a higher blastocyst formation rate on D6. However, further studies are required to determine the specific mechanisms underlying this phenomenon.
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