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Abstract
This paper focuses on detection of sources in the Czech articles published on a news server of Czech public radio. In particular, we search for attribution in sentences and we recognize attributed sources and their sentence context (signals). We organized a crowdsourcing annotation task that resulted in a data set of 2,167 stories with manually recognized signals and sources. In addition, the sources were classified into the classes of named and unnamed sources.
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1. Introduction
Automated journalism refers to the use of algorithms to automatically generate news from structured data, see e.g., Leppänen et al. (2017). Artificial Intelligence (AI) journalism is a broader concept that includes not only automation but machine learning and data processing of various newsrooms related tasks as well. In his survey, Becket (2019) reports that news gathering, news production, and news distribution are the three most common areas for his respondents’ future AI-tool wishlist. Marconi (2020) provides readers with a more detailed discussion on how journalism will change through the AI-based processes. No doubt Natural Language Processing (NLP) plays (and will play) a key role in AI journalism. One of the areas that is currently receiving a lot of attention is the area of a systematic, empirically-based, and historical-comparative understanding of media bias. Wikipedia defines media bias as “the perceived bias of journalists and news producers within mass media in the selection of events and stories that are reported, and how they are covered.”[1] Quite surprisingly, there are still only few NLP studies systematically analyzing media bias, see e.g., Hamborg et al. (2019). Currently, significant attention is being paid to fact-checking, see e.g., Thorne and Vlachos (2018). Lazarski et al. (2021). In social sciences, the news production process is an established model that defines nine forms of media bias and describes where these forms originate from, see e.g., Baker (1996), Park et al. (2009).

An informative, balanced article should provide the background of a story, including naming sources. This paper aims at a news server of Czech public radio and focuses on detection of sources in its articles. In particular, we search for attribution in sentences and we extract attributed sources from them. This is a task from the area of text understanding and, at least to our knowledge, an NLP system automatically processing attribution in newspapers articles has not been implemented yet. Prasad et al. (2006) have proposed and described an annotation scheme for marking the attribution in the Penn Discourse TreeBank. However, we plan to use morphological and syntactic relations to detect attributed sources.

Attribution The Macmillan Dictionary defines attribution as “the act of attributing something to a particular cause or person, especially the act of saying that something was written, said, painted etc. by a particular person.”[2] Our primary task is to automatically detect sources that journalists credit in newspaper stories. We will approach it by detecting a sentence context in which sources are attributed and therefore we formalize the definition of attribution as follows:

\[
\text{attribution} = \text{source} + \text{information} + \text{signal}
\]

where source originally provided information and signal is a textual marker that identifies the source of the information. We use mathematical notation intentionally, namely to emphasize that the order of source, information and signal in the sentence does not play a role which is analogous to the commutative property of addition. For illustration, we recognize the information that there are 7.77 million Internet users over the age of ten in the Czech Republic and the source Netmonitor attributed using the signal according to the sentence According to NetMonitor, there are 7.77 million Internet users over the age of ten in the Czech Republic.

In English grammar, a signal phrase is a phrase, clause, or sentence that introduces a quotation, paraphrase, or summary, e.g., Marianne Egeland, Professor of Comparative Literature at the University of Oslo, argues that. We found it in several teaching materials that clearly explain their motivation to use the word signal:
“They [signal phrases] signal to a reader that the writer is using an outside source”

Signal phrases inspired us and we modified the signal definition so that we consider signal and source separately.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: A classification hierarchy of sources is presented in Section 2. In Section 3, we describe an automatic pipeline for processing the iRozhlas collection of stories published by the news server of Czech public radio. A subset of this collection, the SIR 1.0 corpus, was annotated in the annotation task described in Section 4 and evaluated in Section 5. We conclude by summarizing future plans to analyse the annotated data in great detail and to generate signal patterns to detect sources in the complete iRozhlas collection.

2. Source Classification

Named sources Their attribution is as descriptive as possible. They can be further classified according to affiliated institutions into:

- **Official sources** One of their main characteristics is their authority and importance hierarchy. These sources not only have access to information, but make political, economic and social decisions as well. They usually have a dominant position among journalistic sources since both journalists and news consumers attribute higher information quality to them, which need not always be a legitimate expectation. Typically their positions and institutions are mentioned. They can be further classified as:
  
  - **Political sources** include political actors according to their political party affiliation. We can also include politicians representing executive and legislative bodies (i.e., president, prime minister, ministers, senators, deputies, etc.), e.g., member of Parliament Jaroslav Faltýnek/ANO/, ODS chairman Petr Fiala.
  
  - **Non-political sources** include sources usually connected to specific institutions and positions, e.g., director of the war museum.
  
- **Unofficial sources** do not have as much authority as official sources. They are often “ordinary people” as witnesses of important events or confidential information providers (e.g., experts, most scientists). Unofficial sources are essential for the development of investigative journalism, which often guarantees their anonymity and confidentiality. In this case, as compared with official sources, editorial routines are associated with a more careful verification of information.

- **Unnamed sources** The name (and surname) of a source, their occupation, their affiliated institution, etc. are not mentioned in the text. Journalists usually guarantee their anonymity because of their security. In journalism, two degrees of anonymity are generally distinguished:
  
  - **Completely anonymous sources** are typically attributed using the phrases **un-named/reliable/anonymous/secret source(s)**
  
  - **Partially anonymous sources** are typically attributed using the phrases a source close to …, a source familiar with …

3. iRozhlas Collection

iRozhlas is a news server of the Czech public radio launched on April 18, 2017. The iRozhlas collection where we will detect sources contains 63,325 articles from the period April 18, 2017–June 24, 2021 and written by 927 authors. All the articles are Czech. Originally, the iRozhlas collection was represented in the JSON format containing the following items for each story: Story identifier, URL, Date of publication and change, Domicile, List of authors, List of sections, List of tags, Headline, Leading paragraph (Lead), and Text. We chose the TEI format as a target format for the collection namely because of the following three reasons (1) The format is standardized and widely recognized by the community of corpus linguistics, (2) We use it in the ParCzech (Kopp et al., 2021) and ParaMint (Erjavec et al., 2022) projects compiling parliamentary data into corpora, and thus we can directly use the existing scripts for e.g., linguistic processing, and (3) The data can be visualized and queried in the TEITOK web service.

Most of the item values were converted into TEI XML elements’ values without any subsequent modification. The Lead and Text items contain not only the story itself but an HTML code of the original page including Javascript codes as well. We normalized sequences of spaces and removed/replaced problematic Unicode characters. Further, we removed text formatting (e.g., bold text) because it would subsequently make linguistic processing difficult, mainly tokenization. All the scripts are available in the GitHub repository https://github.com/ufal/media-irozhlas. The linguistic processing scripts use the API of LINDAT services UDpipe and NameTag for morphological and syntactic analysis (incl. tokenization and lemmatization) and named-entity recognition, resp.

For internal purposes, we uploaded the iRozhlas collection into TEITOK which is an online system for (1) making corpora available and searchable, and (2)
Italská ekonomika se vymanila z recese. V prvním čtvrtletí se její HDP zvýšil o 0,2 procenta.

Tamní statistický úřad ISTAT v úterý oznámil, že hrubý domácí produkt se oproti předchozím třem měsícům zvýšil o 0,2 procenta.

Itálie je třetí největší ekonomikou eurozóny po Německu a Francii.

Ve třetím čtvrtletí loňského roku vykázal italský HDP pokles o 0,1 procenta.

Ekonomika se tak dostala do recese, která se obvykle definuje jako alespoň dvě čtvrtletí hospodářského poklesu za sebou.

ISTAT rovněž oznámil, že míra nezaměstnanosti v Itálii se v březnu snížila na 10,2 procenta z únорových 10,5 procenta.

Tato čísla dokazují solidnost a stabilitu italské ekonomiky, uvedl italský ministr hospodářství Giovanni Tria.

Hospodářský růst v prvním čtvrtletí překonal očekávání analytiků, kteří podle průzkumu agentury Reuters předpokládali, že HDP se zvýší pouze o 0,1 procenta.

---

**Figure 1:** Example of an annotated text in the Brat tool

---

**4. Annotation Task**

We organized an annotation task to create a gold dataset for the task of source detection and classification. In the future, we will address this task automatically using a combination of rule-based approach and machine learning and the gold dataset will serve as a train and evaluation data set for any method that we will apply.

| section               | # of stories |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| Czech Republic News   | 272          |
| World News            | 246          |
| Business              | 230          |
| Sports                | 281          |
| Culture               | 232          |
| Science & Technology  | 232          |
| Commentary            | 224          |
| Style                 | 230          |
| Total                 | 1,947        |

Table 1: Sections in the iRozhlas annotation collection

**Annotation collection** We specified the following requirements to select the stories from the iRozhlas collection for the annotators:

- Each annotator annotates at least one article from each section (see Table [1]).

- The amount of annotation work should correspond to two hours of annotation, which (based on prior tests) corresponds to annotation of a text of about 3,500 words.

- We want to explore the agreement between annotators.

To meet these criteria, we created a subset of the iRozhlas collection to be annotated:

- Each annotator was assigned a unique article from each section with word counts ranging from 200 to 550 words.

- To measure inter-annotator agreement, each annotator was assigned an additional article from the next annotator’s folder. If the article selection from the previous steps resulted in less than 2,800 words, we chose the longest one, otherwise the shortest one. In total, 220 files were selected to be annotated by two annotators.

The iRozhlas annotation collection contains 1,947 stories and each annotator was assigned either 9 or 10 stories (9.76 on average).

**Tool** The Brat editor proved to be the most suitable for our annotation task, especially we appreciate its easy configuration for a large number of annotators and its user friendly GUI for inexperienced annotators. It is a server-client tool with a client-side implemented in

---

https://lindat.mff.cuni.cz/services/teitok/

---

| # of annotators                  | 222 |
| # of files to annotate           | 2,167 |
| # of unique stories in the collection | 1,947 |
| # of files with at least one annotation | 1,874 |
| # of folders with at least one annotated file | 204 |
| # of annotated signals in the files | 11,012 |
| # of annotated sources in the files | 9,843 |
| # of annotated attribution links in the files | 10,110 |

Table 2: Overall statistics on the annotation
a web browser, so that annotators do not have to install the tool, they only open a link in a web browser of their choice. See Figure 1 for an example of a text annotated in Brat.

Each annotator had their own login name and folder with his/her files (stories) to be annotated: Brat displays a selected story and an annotator performs the annotation steps using mouse moves, hot keys or selection context menu.

**Instructions**

- Read a story sentence by sentence from beginning to end.
- Whenever you recognize an attribution in a sentence:
  1. mark its signal,
  2. mark its source: mark the longest possible noun phrase with modifiers,
  3. classify the source,
  4. create an attribution link going from the source to the signal. If the source is not mentioned in the sentence, create a link to the last mention of the source in the text preceding the current sentence.

![Figure 2](image)

**Figure 2:** A sample attribution annotation in Brat
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---

### Table 3: Number of annotated signals per 100 sentences for the sections in a period of five years

| Section                  | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2017-2021 |
|--------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|-----------|
| Czech Republic News      | 27.0 | 30.0 | 24.5 | 28.5 | 36.4 | 29.1      |
| World News               | 37.4 | 27.8 | 33.2 | 30.0 | 25.6 | 31.6      |
| Business                 | 23.4 | 29.0 | 26.1 | 25.7 | 24.9 | 26.1      |
| Sport                    | 14.0 | 13.3 | 14.5 | 13.9 | 11.4 | 13.5      |
| Culture                  | 15.3 | 15.8 | 18.6 | 15.3 | 16.9 | 16.3      |
| Science & Technology     | 19.3 | 18.3 | 24.6 | 19.5 | 21.6 | 20.4      |
| Commentary               | 13.9 | 6.7  | 9.0  | 8.0  | 8.2  | 9.4       |
| Style                    | 18.7 | 20.5 | 21.2 | 24.0 | 22.9 | 21.4      |
| All Sections             | 20.7 | 19.8 | 21.4 | 20.7 | 21.1 | 20.7      |

---

### Table 5: Number of annotated attribution links per 100 sentences

| Section                  | # of attribution links per 100 sentences |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Czech Republic News      | 30.9                                     |
| World News               | 34.3                                     |
| Business                 | 28.9                                     |
| Sports                   | 14.4                                     |
| Culture                  | 18.0                                     |
| Science & Technology     | 22.5                                     |
| Commentary               | 10.5                                     |
| Style                    | 24.2                                     |
| Total                    | 22.6                                     |

---

**Organization**

We organized our annotation task as a crowdsourcing annotation. The annotators, bachelor students of the course “Digital Communication and Working with Information” undertook training during a 90-minute lecture. Then each of the 222 annotators received an e-mail with a link to his/her stories in Brat, a login name and a password and a website link to the detailed instructions. This site was being updated with answers to questions raised by the students during the 5 weeks long annotation period. We also discussed these questions with students via e-mail.

---

**5. Annotation Evaluation**

During the annotation period, we regularly checked the number of annotated signals and sources and we encouraged students with no annotation to start their task. After its end, there were 286 (out of 1,947) stories with no annotation. This does not necessarily mean that the students did not read them. Undoubtedly there may be stories with no attribution in the collection. The total number of files (incl. the double-annotated stories) used for evaluation was 2,167. As can be seen in Table 3, 11,012 signals and 9,843 sources were annotated in total.

---

**Table 4: Annotations of the source classes**

| Source Class            | # of Annotations |
|-------------------------|-----------------|
| official-non-political   | 5,350           |
| official-political      | 2,404           |
| unofficial              | 1,215           |
| anonymous-partial       | 630             |
| anonymous               | 244             |

---

**Table 5: Number of annotated attribution links per 100 sentences**

| Section                  | # of attribution links per 100 sentences |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Czech Republic News      | 30.9                                     |
| World News               | 34.3                                     |
| Business                 | 28.9                                     |
| Sports                   | 14.4                                     |
| Culture                  | 18.0                                     |
| Science & Technology     | 22.5                                     |
| Commentary               | 10.5                                     |
| Style                    | 24.2                                     |
| Total                    | 22.6                                     |

---

1. [https://is.cuni.cz/studium/predmety/index.php?do=predmet&kod=JKB003](https://is.cuni.cz/studium/predmety/index.php?do=predmet&kod=JKB003)
2. [https://ufal.mff.cuni.cz/anotace-citacni-frazi-v-datech-i-rozhlas](https://ufal.mff.cuni.cz/anotace-citacni-frazi-v-datech-i-rozhlas)
Czech is a typical pro-drop language, which omits the subject if it can be easily reconstructed from the previous context. Therefore we see a difference between the number of the annotated signals and sources. In the following three sentences, we detect one signal Lukáš Krpálek and two signals vysvětluje (explains) and popisuje (describes), i.e. two attributions: Mistrem světa se stal Lukáš Krpálek již podruhé. Moje judo je založeno na kondici . . . , vysvětluje. Člověk je musí unaviti . . . , popisuje. Also, a lack of annotators’ attention causes some differences.

For each source class, Table 4 displays the number of annotations and Figure 3 shows these numbers for the individual sections. For example, there is a clear evidence that official political sources occur rarely in the Sports and Culture sections.

The average number of annotated attribution links per 100 sentences is 22.6. The Sport section has the lowest attribution “density” (14.4) while the World News section has the highest one (34.3), see Table 5.

The paper (Duffy and Williams, 2011) presents a six-decade longitudinal quantitative analysis on how unnamed sourcing in the Washington Post and The New York Times has changed over time. As for our data, Table 5 displays the number of annotated signals per 100 sentences for each section in a period of five years. For example, we observe with surprise that the number of annotated signals in the CR News section significantly increased while the number of annotated signals in the World News section significantly decreased. A discussion with the news server editor helps to interpret all these data.

Table 6 visualizes co-occurrences of source classes annotated in the Czech Republic News section. Each cell represents two types of sources that appeared in the same article.

| source class | headline (%) | lead+text (%) |
|--------------|--------------|---------------|
| anonymous    | 19.7         | 15.5          |
| anonymous-partial | 26.4         | 24.3          |
| official-political | 36.3         | 55.0          |
| unofficial   | 14.4         | 6.1           |
| anonymous    | 3.2          | 2.4           |

Table 8: Frequency of source classes in the headlines

Inter-Annotator Agreement was measured on 170 files (not all of the 220 files selected for double annotation contained any annotation in the end), each of which was independently double-annotated by two annotators. Table 7 shows F1 measure for recognition of citation sources and citation phrases, and a percentage agreement and Cohen’s kappa for classification of sources recognized by both annotators.

We expected a higher agreement at the beginning of the annotation period. The students are not experienced with this type of tasks and this fact certainly contributed to the given results. But at the same time, we are worried that there is a lack of understanding of what attribution is and how to recognize it in text.

Headlines vs. Leads and Texts. Terentieva et al. (2020) studied the attribution technique across headlines in the electronic editions of five leading Spanish mass media outlets between 2010 and 2018. Besides other findings, they concluded that headlines with attribution comprise approximately 15% of the total number of headlines in the given media. It is perfectly in line with our findings: in our annotated dataset, 13% of the total number of headlines contain annotation. Table 8 shows how often attribution occurs in headlines and leading paragraphs and texts. It is also interesting to see in Table 9 which signals are the most common: preposition podle (according to) clearly dominates the leading paragraphs and texts, while it is less frequent in headlines. At the top of the lists there are typically words with neutral polarity. However, in the headlines, there is the verb varovat (to warn) with negative polarity in order to attract readers.

Authors vs. Sources. The analysis of sources for individual authors is interesting. For illustration, we extracted the annotated sources in the articles written by one author. There are 950 such articles (out of 1,947) written by 294 authors. The horizontal axis of the histogram in Figure 4 displays the number of authors and
the vertical axis represents the average number of annotated sources.

6. Conclusion

Media bias includes, beside others, bias that concerns an analysis of sources attributed in news articles. We focus on sources in the Czech articles published on the iRozhlas web news server being operated by Czech public radio. Namely, we explore the iRozhlas collection of more than 60 thousand articles. In the future, we will perform source detection and classification automatically as a combination of rule-based approach and machine learning. Given that, a very first task is to create a golden data set. Therefore we organized an annotation task. We designed it as a crowdsourcing task that engages typically a large number of individuals to achieve a given goal. In our case, more than 200 bachelor students of the Faculty of Social Sciences, Charles University participated in the annotation. The annotation was one of the course completion conditions and the students were not paid for their work. Based on the annotation analysis presented in this paper we summarize several facts and observations:

First, we set the annotation time to two hours. Then we estimated the length of text to be annotated in two hours and finally we set the number of articles to be annotated by an annotator to 9 or 10. Further, we decided each annotator to annotate articles from all the newspaper sections (see Table 1). Since the students had very little experience with text annotation we did not apply any other criteria for file selection. In total, 1,947 stories from the iRozhlas server were randomly assigned to the students; including the double-assigned files for measuring the inter-annotator agreement, the resulting collection contains 2,167 files.

We set the annotation period to continuous five weeks. An e-mail helpline was active during this period to discuss any topic related to the annotation. Only 5 % of the students took advantage of this opportunity and they typically asked questions of a technical nature. Once the annotation period ended, we extracted the annotated signals and sources, lemmatized them and represented them as a frequency list. To check how the annotators understand the task, we checked the low-frequency items in this list. No doubt some mistakes are due to the annotators’ inattention, but the others show that some students do not recognize attributions in texts at all. This leads us to organize this annotation task again next year and split the annotation period into two parts. The annotation evaluation after the first part will show annotator agreement that we can use in the process of file assignment.

We will focus on more rigorous evaluation of the annotation task using statistical hypothesis testing. We will discuss its results with journalists and news editors.

https://ufal.mff.cuni.cz/anotace-citacnich-frazi-v-datech-irozhlas
Based on the annotated signals and sources, we will generate queries in e.g., the Corpus WorkBench Query Language that enables searching data analysed by UDPipe and NameTag in TEITOK. For illustration, the query 

```
[form="podle"] <name_type="PER"> </name_type>
```

within searches for the signal podle (according to) and sources being persons in the genitive case.
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