Possible Role and Effects of Synthetic Inertia on the Future’s Power System
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Abstract

The growing share of renewable energy sources leads system operators to previously-unknown challenges. Beside the well-known issues of balancing and capacity adequacy, the security of supply, reliability and stability are also essential and the electric power grid’s operation must meet the expectations. Some of the emerging technologies are connecting to the grid via power converters so they are practically decoupled from the system and its frequency changes. The electric power system has not been designed for that: stability relied heavily on the inertial response of synchronous generators which decreases the rate of change of frequency (ROCOF). The rotating mass of those generators is directly coupled to the system and functions as a kinetic energy storage component which was incredibly useful. Renewable energies such as wind and solar have to be augmented with synthetic inertia to provide such a useful function otherwise stability issues, load shedding and outages will occur. The questions are so current that ENTSO-E listed this problem as one of three main focus topics in their research and development plan from 2015 to 2017 so the transmission system operators across Europe are all thinking about the possibilities. This study introduces the policy, physical and technical background, the possible role of the implemented synthetic inertia and also provides simulation results for further understanding.
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I. Background

The electric power system operators will face enormous challenges in the near future. The growing share of renewable energy resources brings high amount of intermittent and decentralized generation. This procedure has effects on the entire value chain of the power grid and some of those are even unknown right now. The pace of change is exponential as it can be seen in Figure 1. According to the International Renewable Energy Agency, the 1,828 GW generation capacity of those resources is commeasurable with the 1,500 GW from gas power stations and the 1,880 GW from coal-fired power plants. In Denmark, renewable energy sources provided 39% of the electricity generation in 2014, and in some cases there were countries where renewable provided 100% of the demand for a short period of time. While these resources reduce the burden on the environment in many ways, the integration process to the system is not so easy from a technical point of view. The transmission and distribution grid need to be more flexible as well as the reserve capacities to provide balancing. The long-term generation adequacy for a sustainable system is also a key issue and has to be planned carefully. Even if the constraints are met, the operation and controlling methods have to be adjusted to this whole new decentralized structure with variable generation. But the policy goals are clear; the world must lean on clean energy. Therefore, new trends and solutions will emerge to evolve a sustainable power system for the future [1].

Renewable energy sources such as wind and solar use different technologies than conventional power plants. Their electrical characteristics and controlling methods have to be considered by system operators to control the system effectively. Some renewable energy sources may still use a thermal cycle for power production like conventional sources, but most of the wind and solar generating units connect to the grid via power electronic based converters. This means that they are practically decoupled from the grid and its frequency excursions. This means that the conventional active power control parameters change radically. The stability of the system could become a major concern [16] [27].
II. The active power – frequency response

The main task of system operators is to maintain the voltage and frequency in the electrical grid for stable operation. When the consumption rises rapidly or a large generating plant shuts down, the frequency of the grid drops because of the imbalances in the active power production and load. Figure 2 shows frequency regulation scheme: the three main categories, the main signals and feedbacks which used. The difference between those is the time required to take action. But even before primary control reacts to changes, there is a physical response of the grid-connected synchronous generators which is called inertial response. This is a key factor in slowing the rate of change of frequency (ROCOF) in the early stage of a frequency deviation. This physical response is coming from the conventional synchronous machines which contribute stored kinetical energy by its rotating mass [1][16][27].

Fig. 1. Installed renewable energy capacity in the countries monitored by the International Renewable Energy Agency [IRENA] from 2001 to 2015
After the first few seconds, the primary control is being activated and governors of the synchronous machines increase their mechanical power output to stop the frequency change and reach an equilibrium in active powers at a certain level. Secondary control is responsible to set the frequency as close to the nominal as possible, as it can be seen on Figure 3 [16] [27].

With more generating units connecting to grid through power electronics, the frequency excursions could be deeper because they cannot provide inertial response. This could lead to devastating consequences for the security of supply and reliability [4].

Figure 4. shows the main indicators of a frequency excursion. The difference
from the nominal value, the speed of the change and the minimum absolute value are important. From these, the frequency change could be evaluated from the stability viewpoint [2].

![Fig. 4. Frequency response indicators [2]](image)

### III. Definition of inertia and the swing equation

The generated and consumed powers are equal in a static equilibrium:

\[
P_{Gen} = P_{Mech} = P_{Load} = P_{Load} + P_{Loss} \tag{1}
\]

Where \( P_{Gen} \) is the active electrical power, and of all generating units connected to the grid, \( P_{Mech} \) is mechanical power of the connected turbines. \( P_{Load} \) is the total system load, \( P_{Loss} \) represents all system losses concentrated. (All in MW). During an outage (or a high ramp load increase) the system is in the dynamic equilibrium. During this dynamic process the swing equation represents the power equilibrium for a single machine:

\[
P_{mi} = P_{gi} + D_i \Delta \omega_i + \frac{dE_{KINi}}{dt} \tag{2}
\]

Where \( D_i \) is the unit’s mechanical speed difference from the rated mechanical speed in rad/s. \( D_i \) is a damping parameter of the unit’s rotor. The derivative of the kinetic energy stored in the rotating mass tries to mitigate the difference between the mechanical and electrical powers. This energy can be calculated from the rated power (S in MW) and the inertia constant (H in s) of the rotating unit:

\[
E_{KINi} = \frac{1}{2} J \omega_n^2 = H_i S_i \tag{3}
\]

Where \( \omega_n \) is the nominal frequency of the system and \( J \) is the moment of
inertia in kg×m². The inertia constant determines the ROCOF with the externalities (increased H reduces the angular acceleration) so it can be recalculated from it if the power imbalance and the instant of the change is known:

\[ H_i = \frac{\Delta P_i(t_0)f_0}{2\frac{df_i}{dt}|_{t=t_0}} \] (4)

All of the values refer to generator named i. The inertia of a whole system is the combined inertia of each spinning generation and load as well. If the share of synchronous machines in the generation mix decreases, the inertia will be lower. This could lead to higher amplitude and longer swings or even outages [4].

\[ S_nH = S_{n1}H_{n1} + S_{n2}H_{n2} + \cdots + S_{nm}H_m \] (5)

IV. Technological possibilities to provide synthetic inertia

The concept of synthetic inertia is to control the power converters like they have rotating mass. This simulates the natural response of the synchronous machines. There are several ways to implement this, this chapter summarizes the renewable energy related solutions. However, the natural power absorption and extraction could not be emulated perfectly and those feedbacks may result in oscillating power which could wear the mechanical elements of the device, so the optimal controlling parameter identification is a major objective.

A. Wind energy

Wind turbines do have moving parts, therefore they store kinetic energy in the rotating blades. The fixed speed types cannot be controlled effectively and that withheld the spreading of this technology. Variable speed wind turbines (VSWT) have power electronics and easy to control. There are two main types, the doubly-fed induction machine (DFIG, type 3) and the full converter type (FC, type 4). Despite having no natural inertial response, the kinetical energy of the rotating blades could be used for a synthetic inertia control. The controlling methods could be implemented as an additional feedback loop for the converter. This forms a power set signal from the measured frequency values to emulate the inertial response. If the frequency is lower than the nominal, the controlling extracts additional energy with torque increase, which slows the rotation of the blades (first it is aerodynamic power, after that it is the kinetic energy of the spinning rotor). This could be used in the opposite direction too, when the frequency is over the nominal value. The technical constraints and limits like the rotation speed and the converters scale must not be exceeded. The loss of the possible wind energy input is low, below 1% in MW scale installations.
according to several studies. The inertia constant of wind turbines varies between 2-6 s which is comparable to the synchronous generations 2-10 s [14] [4] [1].

**B. Solar energy**

A photovoltaic system has no moving parts. Therefore – if it is unmodified – it is unable to provide any kind of inertial response. The converters usually try to maximize the energy extraction in those systems. Another problem is that the power electronics only connect the unit to the grid in a narrow frequency line and if the frequency goes outside this band, the converter isolates it from the system for protection purposes. However, the power electronics could optimize the power flow, if the generation unit is augmented with an equipment that can store energy some way. A wide-range of energy storage applications has the parameters to be able provide this kind of support. The easiest choice is a flywheel system which works just the same as the synchronous machines rotating mass. Supercapacitors and batteries also have the fast response time that needed. With an optimum size aggregated system of storage technologies, the additional capacity could be added with optimal controlling parameters [1][6].

![Fig. 5. Schematic of a possible utilization of a storage system [6]](image)

**C. Direct current (DC) networks**

DC networks have some interesting upsides regarding to stability. The aligned controlling of the decentralized generators in microgrid concepts brings the opportunities to utilize the DC side more effectively. The power electronics – if equipped with proper communication systems – could form and control grid conditions with more intelligent controlling algorithms.
Another intriguing solution for synthetic inertia through voltage source converter is high voltage direct current (VSC-HVDC) transmission system with DC link capacitors. The HVDC control system can be modified to be capable of emulating wide-range of inertia time constants using relatively small constant capacitances connected to the DC circuit [24].

V. Controlling principles of synthetic inertia

Synthetic inertia needs some kind of energy storage to be able to change the active power output for a short period of time. Since it is not a natural response, the use of the stored energy has to be controlled and it is based on the grid frequency. The following examples are from wind energy studies but it is extensible for all synthetic inertia controlling methods. The most obvious way to emulate the inertial response is adding extra torque (T) proportional to the frequency change (df/dt).

\[ T = 2H \frac{df}{dt} \]  

This solution could be influenced by noise and low frequency power oscillations after a disturbance, so proper filtering is crucial. It works well as an additional inertia source if there is synchronous generation with governor primary controls [2].

Another possibility to provide synthetic inertia is based on the calculation of the system frequency from the average of all connected generators rotating speed. The main drawback of this solution is definitely the involvement of communication systems to transfer the subsystem frequency data, but there are several ways for estimation (Kalman or FIR filter implementations) [2].

The output power change could be based on Df. This can be seen as a frequency supporting algorithm to maintain the grids nominal value. It has no effect on the df/dt characteristics, but can improve the frequency nadir and it is also an important part to maintain the stable operation of the grid. This method also has lower mechanical stress effects compared to the df/dt solution. The concept is that if the frequency falls below a limit the unit increase the active power. The time for overproduction is limited by the technical constraints and the accessible stored energy. The end time of this surplus must be considered because it could lead to even larger disturbances if the frequency falls again – from a lower starting point – because the units are recovering the additional stored energy in the example of wind turbines [2].

One more example for synthetic inertia is that the active power in the operating point is less than the maximum (e.g. 3-6% of nominal power) and this power could be used as a traditional frequency support like droop control [2][4].

Each one of those methods has its pros and cons. A df/dt controller imitates the synchronous generation well, but could cause oscillations. The Df controller
has to be set carefully to avoid overshoot or it will cause even larger disturbances. The output power curtailing loses more energy but can be seen and used just as the conventional spinning reserve [2][1].

VI. Test system for frequency analysis focusing on inertial response and primary control

The following chapter introduces a simple dynamic model and RMS simulations conducted in DigSilent Power Factory v14.2 and v15.1 with the scope of analyzing the inertial response. The model on Figure 6 has two gas turbines (210 MVA built-in type with governors and automatic voltage regulators, inertia constant is 18.36 s) with 150 MW and 50 MW active power output, one concentrated general load at 120 kV (100 MW active power consumption) and two concentrated general loads at 33 kV with 50 MW active power consumption each. The loads are dynamic, frequency-sensitive with $k_{pf}=1$ and $k_{qf}=-0.5$. The transformers are also built-in types with sufficient transmission capability to feed the loads. The external grid element is out of service, so this is an island model. All tests were RMS simulations with 40 s timespan, the events happened at 20 s.

![Fig. 6. The test system topology](image)

A. Increased load

Figure 7 shows the change of the load. This is a rapid increase to 150% and that causes the frequency deviation.
Figure 8 shows the frequency during the load increase event. From the starting ROCOF – as it was introduced in chapter III – the inertia constant of the system could be estimated. The frequency nadir is 49.839 Hz. Figure 9 shows the output of the generator during the disturbance. The governor provides primary control and meets the increased load at a lower frequency. This study did not consider the effects of secondary control, the focus was just on the inertial response examples.
In Figure 10, the governor of the generators has lower R parameter (gradient), therefore there are high amplitude swings in frequency and active power output of the generators. This could happen with the df/dt synthetic inertia controller if the parameters are wrong.

**B. Loss of generation**

A larger disturbance event could be an outage of a generation. With this parameters, the remaining generator could not serve the load and a system is collapsing (there were no frequency-based protections implemented on the loads or generators so they did not get decoupled, but it can be seen that one generation unit is insufficient in this case, as it can be seen on Figure 11.
**C. Automatic load shedding (ALS)**

ALS could be a solution for cases like VI/B when the supply could not meet the demand, but it is a drastic method. The purpose of the entire electric power system is to meet the consumer's expectations, therefore ALS should be used only in cases when the operator tries to save the system from an even bigger collapse. Figure 12. shows that in this case (the same as VI/B, a generator outage) the generation was adequate, but the load shedding cut off the entire 100 MW of the concentrated general load connected to the 120 kV busbar. Additivity in ALS system could be a perfect match for synthetic inertia from decoupled energy sources: in this case the operator could use both sides of the supply chain effectively.

---

Fig. 11. Frequency collapse during an outage

Fig. 12. ALS during outage
D. Renewable energy sources

In this case, one of the gas turbine is replaced by the built-in wind turbine type with the same active power output (e.g. offshore wind farm). The renewable generator’s power converter is controlled to maximize the extracted energy, therefore the ROCOF is higher and the nadir is also well below the values we got in VI/A with the same constraints. That means that the synthetic inertia control is needed when renewables have significant share of the generation.

![Figure 13. Frequency deviation from load increase with high amount of wind power](image)

VII. Conclusions

With the spreading of energy sources connected to the grid via power electronics it is important to consider the changes in natural inertial response. The questions are so actual that ENTSO-E listed this problem as one of three main focus topics for research and development from 2015 to 2017 so the transmission system operators across Europe are all thinking about the possibilities. There are some technical opportunities that can use the elements of the wind turbine or a storage device to emulate the process. As it can be seen in chapter V., there is no optimal solution for frequency support through power electronic device controlling. The natural inertia response emulation – if the controlling parameters are identified correctly – could be used to avoid automatic load shedding in some cases, but a proper system control with acceptable security of supply and reliability of the system is far more complex from the ones introduced here. The ALS also should be reviewed and modified to be more adaptive to the changes. It should affect the minimum load and must not lead to further consequences such as high amplitude angular swings.

System approach is exceptionally important for further understanding of the ideal frequency support for tomorrow’s sustainable power system. From the
possible solutions and needs introduced in this study, a system-level frequency support could be conducted which includes the gradient, nadir, average difference parameter optimization and uses power electronic devices, adaptive load shedding methods and the maintained synchronous generation’s primary and secondary control.
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