The Multiple Functions of the Nucleolus in Plant Development, Disease and Stress Responses
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The nucleolus is the most conspicuous domain in the eukaryotic cell nucleus, whose main function is ribosomal RNA (rRNA) synthesis and ribosome biogenesis. However, there is growing evidence that the nucleolus is also implicated in many other aspects of cell biology, such as regulation of cell cycle, growth and development, senescence, telomerase activity, gene silencing, responses to biotic and abiotic stresses. In the first part of the review, we briefly assess the traditional roles of the plant nucleolus in rRNA synthesis and ribosome biogenesis as well as possible functions in other RNA regulatory pathways such as splicing, nonsense-mediated mRNA decay and RNA silencing. In the second part of the review we summarize recent progress and discuss already known and new hypothetical roles of the nucleolus in plant growth and development. In addition, this part will highlight studies showing new nucleolar functions involved in responses to pathogen attack and abiotic stress. Cross-talk between the nucleolus and Cajal bodies is also discussed in the context of their association with poly(ADP ribose)polymerase (PARP), which is known to play a crucial role in various physiological processes including growth, development and responses to biotic and abiotic stresses.
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PLANT NUCLEOLAR ORGANIZATION

The nucleolus is the largest and most prominent domain in the eukaryotic interphase cell nucleus. Nucleoli vary in size in different cells, for example in small cells like yeast they are <1 µm diameter, whereas in larger cells such as pea they are >10 µm in diameter (Shaw, 2015). The nucleolus is a dynamic membrane-less structure whose primary function is ribosomal RNA (rRNA) synthesis and ribosome biogenesis. However, there is mounting evidence that the nucleolus is also implicated in many other aspects of cell biology, such as differentiation, cell cycle regulation, growth and development, senescence, gene silencing, telomerase activity, responses to biotic and abiotic stresses, and biogenesis of various ribonucleoprotein (RNP) particles (Olson and Dundr, 2005; Boisvert et al., 2007; Hiscox, 2007; Sirri et al., 2008; Greco, 2009; Taliansky et al., 2010; Stepinski, 2014; Brighenti et al., 2015; Lafontaine, 2015; Weis et al., 2015b).

The plant nucleolus has a well-defined architecture with prominent functional compartments such as fibrillar centers (FC), the dense fibrillar component (DFC), the granular component (GC), nucleolar chromatin, nucleolar vacuoles, and nucleolonema (Figure 1; Stepinski, 2014). It is largely formed of proteins (85–90%) and RNA (5–10%), with rDNA comprising a minor component (Gerbi and Borovjagin, 1997; Shaw and Brown, 2012).

Interestingly the DFC and FC nucleolar components are typically organized into an important nucleolar substructure called the nucleolonema, which is composed of a DFC matrix punctuated with spherical or tubular FCs, and may also contain FC condensed chromatin and harbor rDNA. This structure has several functional domains such as rDNA transcription, transcript processing and ribosome assembly zones, which are consistent with the activities associated with DFC and FC (Yano and Sato, 2002; Sato et al., 2005).

Plant FCs are assumed to be the assembly sites of complexes containing transcription-associated factors which can either be ready for transcription or be in an inactive state (de Carcer and Medina, 1999; González-Camacho and Medina, 2006). Plant FCs also contain rDNA, which are not yet engaged in transcription but may later be deployed to this process in specific circumstances (Shaw, 1996; McKeown and Shaw, 2009). The number and sizes of FCs depend on the cell cycle phases: cells in G1 phase normally possess much fewer numbers of FCs than those at the G2 phase (Grummt, 2003; González-Camacho and Medina, 2006).

In plant nucleoli the DFC occupies the majority of the nucleolar volume (up to 70%) and provides an environment for transcription of precursor rRNAs (pre-rRNAs); a process which can occur simultaneously at multiple sites (200–400) within this region. The produced pre-rRNAs subsequently undergo further processing in the DFC and then in the associated GC. For example, localization studies of pre-rRNAs and different small nucleolar RNAs (snoRNAs) and proteins have elucidated that early and late pre-rRNA cleavage events can occur in the DFC and GC, respectively, suggesting a vectorial model for the production and maturation of rRNAs (Brown and Shaw, 1998). It is thought that in the GC the final steps of assembly of small and large ribosomal subunits from mature rRNAs and ribosomal proteins occurs, and that the GC could participate in the transit of the assembled ribosomes through the extranucleolar nucleoplasm to the cytoplasm (Shaw et al., 1995; Shaw, 1996).

![FIGURE 1](image-url) Structural and functional domains of the nucleolus. FC, fibrilar center; DFC, the dense fibrillar component; GC, the granular component; NoV, nucleolar vacuole; NAC, nucleolus-associated chromatin. Nucleolonema is encircled with black lines.
Plant nucleoli also usually contain so-called nucleolar cavities or vacuoles (NoVs) often located in the central part of the nucleolus (Figure 1; Brown and Shaw, 1998; Shaw and Brown, 2004). Although the function of the NoVs is currently unknown, it could be suggested that these structures may be regions of temporal sequestration and storage of some biochemical factors such as elements of the ubiquitin-proteasome system (Stepinski, 2012), snoRNAs (snoRNPs) and spliceosomal small nuclear RNAs (snRNAs/snRNPs) (Beven et al., 1996; Lorković and Barta, 2008), which may be released into the nucleoplasm depending on specific physiological requirements incurred during stress responses or at particular developmental stages (Mineur et al., 1998).

Chromatin clusters which are often associated with the nucleolus (nucleolus-associated chromatin domains, NADs) primarily have a heterochromatic nature, and comprise subtelomeric regions, transposable elements (TEs), and largely inactive protein-coding genes (Pontvianne et al., 2016). However, NADs also include active rRNA genes, which are typically arranged in tandem DNA arrays, (known as nucleolus organizer regions, or NORs). In Arabidopsis, NORs are located on the left arms of chromosomes 2 and 4 (NOR2 and NOR4, respectively; Chandrasekhar et al., 2016). In the wild type plants only NOR4 and the adjacent entire short arm of chromosome 4 were shown to be associated with the nucleolus (Pontvianne et al., 2016). In contrast NOR2 and its neighboring region in chromosome 2, were excluded from the nucleolus and had inactive rRNA genes (Chandrasekhar et al., 2016; Pontvianne et al., 2016); this may suggest that although NOR2 may have structural similarity to NOR4, its activity may differ depending on the experimental/environmental conditions. Interestingly there are indications that NOR2 and NOR4 may share some regulatory mechanisms as suggested by null mutants for the Nucleolin 1 gene (encoding one of the major nucleolar proteins, nucleolin 1–see below). In these null mutants both NOR4 and NOR2 localized to the nucleolus, and the NOR2 rRNA genes which are usually silenced during development in wild-type leaves, became active (Pontvianne et al., 2010). Among the genes found to be localized to the nucleolus were functional genes, tRNA genes, and pseudogenes (Pontvianne et al., 2016). Since RNA polymerase II (Pol II) is not present in the nucleolus, it can be assumed that those NAD-genes which are normally transcribed by Pol II would likely not be expressed; this may constitute a novel mechanism of gene expression regulation.

NORs constitute sites on metaphase chromosomes where nucleoli become organized during reinitiation of transcription in postmitotic cells as they enter interphase. After cell division, nucleoli are reconstituted on NOR sites that contain rDNA genes which were transcriptionally active during the previous interphase but remained comparatively decondensed during mitosis (Heliot et al., 1997; Mais et al., 2005; Prieto and McStay, 2008). The newly organized nucleoli are rebuilt from rDNA gene products, such as primary pre-ribosomal RNAs undergoing different steps of processing, constituents of transcriptional and processing machineries which include U3 snoRNA, and major nucleolar proteins such as nucleolin, fibrillarin, Nop52 and B23. These components, which are derived from the previous interphase nucleoli, first form perichromosomal compartments, then prenucleolar bodies and, finally culminate in the formation of nucleolar-derived foci (Dundr and Olson, 1998; Hernandez-Verdun, 2011; Carron et al., 2012). At the end of mitosis (late telophase) the formation of one or more nucleoli at each active NOR occurs, and these small nucleoli often fuse together to form a single nucleolus (this frequently occurs in plant cells) as interphase progresses (Shaw and Jordan, 1995).

THE NUCLEOLUS AND RIBOSOME PRODUCTION

The major activities of the nucleolus are associated with ribosome production (Figure 1). In the nucleolus, RNA polymerase I (RNA Pol I) mediates the transcription of the pre-rRNA, which takes the form of 45S rRNA. This pre-rRNA can either be co- or post-transcriptionally processed by snoRNPs (small nucleolar ribonucleoproteins) to produce 5.8S, 18S, and 28S rRNAs (Nazar, 2004; Russell and Zomerdijk, 2005) which may also be 2′-O-methylated and pseudouridinylated (Matera et al., 2007). After processing, suitable rRNA species assemble with ribosomal proteins into small and large pre-ribosomal subunits (Fromont-Racine et al., 2003) which are exported separately to the cytoplasm where they are modified further to form mature 60S and 40S ribosome subunits. These three activities of the nucleolus (pre-rRNA synthesis, processing, and ribosomal RNP assembly) are well consistent with its FC, DFC, and GC derived “tripartite” internal structure mentioned above. Indeed, pre-rRNA appears to be transcribed from rDNA in the FC or at its border with the DFC. For example, FCs are enriched in RNA Pol I machinery components (such as UBF), and the DFC contains factors involved in pre-rRNA processing, such as fibrillarin, snoRNAs, snoRNP proteins and Nop58. The FC and DFC are both surrounded by the GC, where pre-ribosomal subunits are assembled (Boisvert et al., 2007; Sirri et al., 2008; Boulon et al., 2010).

PROTEIN COMPOSITION AND PLURIFUNCTIONALITY OF THE NUCLEOLUS

The three most abundant and major rRNA-associated nucleolar proteins involved in ribosome biogenesis are fibrillarin, nucleolin, and B23. Fibrillarin is a key component of box C/D snoRNP particles and has methyltransferase activity which directs 2′-O-ribose methylation of rRNA and spliceosomal snRNAs, and is required for pre-rRNA processing and splicing of snoRNA (Warner, 1990; Tollervey et al., 1993). Nucleolin plays an important role in regulating chromatin structure-mediated rDNA transcription and processing of pre-rRNA (Ginisty et al., 1998; Roger et al., 2003; Pontvianne et al., 2007), the assembly of ribosome particles and their nucleocytoplasmic transport (Bouvet et al., 1998). B23 (nucleophosmin) plays a crucial role in maintaining nucleolar structure, rDNA transcription, rRNA maturation, ribosome assembly and export (Murano et al., 2008). While much is known about the ribosome biogenesis...
functions of these proteins, it is becoming clear that they are also involved in a broad range of processes other than ribosome synthesis. Moreover, accumulating evidence shows that many other proteins and RNAs completely unrelated to ribosome production are present in the nucleolus. Protein and RNA localization studies and comprehensive proteomic analyses of both human and plant nucleoli enabled identification of these macromolecules (Andersen et al., 2002; Pendle et al., 2005; Ahmad et al., 2009; Lewandowska et al., 2013). Thus, many non-conventional functions have been attributed to the nucleolus (Pederson, 1998; Olson and Dundr, 2005). In plants, these functions include surveillance (nonsense-mediated decay) of mRNA, metabolism, modifications, assembly, or transport of various small nuclear and nucleolar RNAs (snRNAs and snoRNAs) and regulatory RNAs (siRNAs and miRNAs) (Pendle et al., 2005; Brown and Shaw, 2008; Kim et al., 2009, 2010; Shaw and Brown, 2012; Pontes et al., 2013), interactions with DNA and RNA viruses (see for reviews Hiscox, 2007; Greco, 2009; Taliansky et al., 2010) and other pathogens (Jones et al., 2009; Leonelli et al., 2011; Stam et al., 2013; Petre et al., 2015; Boevink et al., 2016), stress sensing, signaling and defense pathways (Lewandowska et al., 2013), DNA damage responses (Yoshiyama et al., 2014; Yoshiyama, 2016); Manova and Gruszka (2015) and developmental control (Weis et al., 2015a). These non-canonical functions of the nucleolus will be discussed in detail below (see Tables 1, 2).

**NEW NUCLEOLAR FUNCTIONS IN RNA REGULATORY PATHWAYS**

**Exon Junction Complex (EJC)**

The most striking finding from the proteomic analysis of the Arabidopsis nucleolus is that this sub-nuclear organelle comprises six proteins of the EJC: UAP56, MAGO, ALY/REF, RNPS1, Y14, and the translation initiation factor eIF4A-III, whereas in animals these proteins localize to cytoplasmic processing bodies (Pendle et al., 2005). Components of EJC mark splice junctions in mRNAs after mRNA splicing and play key roles in various post-splicing processes such as mRNA export from the nucleus to its cytoplasmic location, and the nonsense-mediated mRNA decay (NMD) pathway of mRNA surveillance (Dreyfuss et al., 2002; Maquat, 2004). The NMD surveillance system recognizes and degrades aberrant (truncated) mRNAs that contain a premature termination codon. It has been shown that in plants there is a greater abundance of aberrant mRNAs in the nucleolus, while in the nucleoplasm fully spliced products are more abundant. Moreover, direct correlation between aberrant mRNA accumulation in the nucleolus and their NMD-mediated turnover has been demonstrated using Arabidopsis aph mutants, which are known to be impaired in NMD, whereby mRNAs that are typically degraded by NMD will accumulate in nucleoli (Kim et al., 2009). This suggests that the plant nucleolus is directly involved in recognizing aberrant mRNAs and NMD. A possible role of the EJC components in plant development is discussed later in the chapter.

**Novel Small Nucleolar RNAs**

With regard to snoRNAs, they form an abundant class of non-coding small RNAs that guide 2 major types of modifications of other RNAs, such as rRNAs, tRNAs, and snRNAs (Love et al., 2017). The C/D box snoRNAs are associated with fibrillarin (methyltransferase) and other additional proteins to form snoRNPs which direct 2′-O- methylation of RNA targets. Whereas, the H/A/CA box snoRNAs forms a complex with dyskerin (pseudouridine synthase) which guides pseudouridylation of specific nucleotides. Another group of small RNAs which are structurally related to snoRNAs are small Cajal bodies-specific RNAs (scaRNAs), and these are found in abundance in Cajal bodies (CBs), sub-nuclear structures functionally and physically connected to the nucleolus (Love et al., 2017). scaRNAs contain either or both of the boxes together and modify certain snoRNAs. Using an RNomics approach on Arabidopsis, 188 different scaRNA/snoRNA genes and 294 scaRNA/snoRNA gene variants were identified. In addition to snoRNA and scaRNAs, some novel “orphan” snoRNAs have also been found which do not have complementarity to rRNA or snoRNAs but are expressed (e.g., Kim et al., 2010). Orphan snoRNAs have previously been found in other eukaryotes, and bioinformatic analysis of possible mRNAs which could be targets of orphan human snoRNAs revealed a potential connection with genes that are alternatively spliced; suggesting a function in regulating alternative splicing (Bazeley et al., 2008). Thus, it is possible that in plants orphan snoRNAs (besides modifying rRNAs and snoRNAs) may target mRNA, which could affect gene regulation and influence plant development and growth. Another potential activity of snoRNAs may be attributed to the mechanism by which snoRNA can be processed to microRNAs (miRNAs) in human cells: DICER can process box H/ACA snoRNA to produce small RNAs which in association with Argonaute proteins cause depletion of target gene expression (Ender et al., 2008). It is thus intriguing to speculate whether these novel snoRNA functions described for other organisms also occur in plants and to what extent they could control plant growth and development; potential pathways which warrant future research.

**Gene Silencing Pathways and Small RNAs**

In addition to rRNAs, tRNAs, snRNAs, and snoRNAs, several other classes of small non-coding RNAs (small ncRNAs or sRNAs), namely silencing RNAs, have been implicated in regulatory functions in eukaryotes. Silencing RNAs constitute an exquisite and complex mechanism which are required for controlling plant development, determining epigenetic modifications (e.g., histone and DNA methylation) and defense against viruses (Mallory and Vaucheret, 2010), for example. The major types of sRNAs include microRNA (miRNA), natural cis-antisense siRNA (natsiRNA), trans-acting small interfering RNA (ta-siRNA), and heterochromatic small interfering RNA (hc-siRNA) (Mallory and Vaucheret, 2010). These RNA species effectively regulate various transcriptional and post-transcriptional gene silencing (TGS and PTGS) pathways by modulating mRNA production or degradation. These pathways are invoked by the presence of aberrant mRNA structures...
## Role of selected proteins in plant development and stress responses.

| Protein       | Function / process                                                                 | Loss-of-function / gain-of-function phenotype                                                                 | References                  |
|---------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| atNucleolin   | Various steps of ribosome biogenesis                                                | Gene disruption (ΔAtNuc-L1-1 plants); reduced growth rate, prolonged life, bushy growth, pointed leaves, and defective vascular patterns and pod development | Kojima et al., 2007         |
| atBRX-1-1 and | Maturation of the large pre-60S ribosomal subunit                                  | Induction of AtNuc-L1-1; growth resumption                                                                  |                             |
| atBRX-1-2     |                                                                                   |                                                                                                              |                             |
| atRPS18A; atRPS13A; atRPSSA; atRPL24B | Ribosomal proteins                                                                | Gene disruptions; phenotypes are similar to those observed for ΔAtNuc-L1-1 plants                             | Van Lijsebetten et al., 1994; Ito et al., 2000; Weijers et al., 2001; Nishimura et al., 2005 |
| atRPL23a      | Ribosomal protein; ribosome biogenesis                                             | RPL23aA RNAi: growth delay, irregularities in morphology of leaves, roots, phylloxyla and vasculature, and loss of apical dominance | Degenhardt and Bonham-Smith, 2008 |
| RBFs, ribosome biogenesis factors | Pre-rDNA transcription, pre-rRNA processing, modification, folding, and assembly with RPs | Gene disruptions; infertility, embryo lethality, impaired growth and gametophyte development, aberrant cotyledon, leaf and root development | Weis et al., 2015b          |
| atTHAL: SAS10/C1D family protein | Processing of precursor rRNAs, and expression of the major rDNA variant (VAR1)     | thal-1 and thal-2: lethal early in reproductive development; enlarged nucleoli in arrested embryos             | Chen et al., 2016           |
| osNMD3        | Non-sense mediated decay; 60S pre-rRNA export and maturation                       | Overexpression of osNMD3<sup>Val,2</sup>: dwarfism and decrease in the internode length                        | Shi et al., 2014            |
| atSGP1/2 and bnMAP4Ka | Homologous to fission yeast spg1p and sid1p, respectively - septation initiation network (SIN) | Overexpression in yeast complements mutant spg1-B8 and sid1-239 proteins and induces multisepta in wild-type yeast, suggesting the existence of plant SIN-related cell cycle network | Champion et al., 2004       |
| MAGO and Y14  | Components of EJC: nonsense mediated decay                                         | RNAi: male infertility, defects in pollen grain maturation, spermatogenesis, floral and vegetative growth and stamen development; defects in root, shoot and seed development | Chen et al., 2007; He et al., 2007; Park et al., 2009; Boothby and Wolniak, 2011; Gong and He, 2014, 2013 |
| RiD1          | a DEAH-box RNA helicase; splicing                                                  | rd1<sup>-1</sup>: abnormalities in meristem maintenance and leaf and root morphogenesis                       | Ohtani et al., 2013         |
| TERT          | Catalytic subunit of telomerase; interacts with dyskerin                           | TERT activity is developmentally regulated in plants (high in reproductive organs but low in vegetative tissues) | Procházková and Schrumptová, 2016 |
| STRS1 and STRS2 | DEAD-box RNA helicases; negative regulators of stress-induced gene expression   | <span>str</span><sup>-</sup><span>s</span> mutants: enhanced tolerance to salt, osmotic and heat stress <span>STRS</span> overexpression: diminished tolerance to salt and heat stress | Khan et al., 2014            |
| atRab 28 LEA  | unknown                                                                           | Overexpression: increased leaf and root areas, higher relative water content and reduced chlorophyll loss when grown under osmotic stress | Amara et al., 2013          |
| atREN1        | Strongly homologous to the heat shock transcription factor gene HSFAS5             | RNAi: enhanced salt stress tolerance.                                                                        | Love et al., 2017           |
| Collin        | The signature protein of CB; essential for CB formation and function               |                                                                                                              |                             |
| Poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) | PARP modifies the function of a variety of nuclear “target” proteins by attaching chains of ADP ribose them and itself | atPARP2 overexpression: diminished incidence of DNA nicks at high <span>H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub></span> concentration and increased incidence of DNA nicks at low <span>H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub></span> concentration atPARP1/PARP2 knockdown: enhanced tolerance to drought, oxidative and high light stress | Reviewed in Briggs and Bent, 2011 |
| SOG1          | Functional analog of animal p53; master regulator of DNA damage response (DDR) including stimulation of transcriptional response, cell cycle arrest and PCD | <span>sog1</span><sup>-1</sup>: increased resistance of root growth to zeocin; no cell cycle arrest and PCD in response to DNA double-strand breaks (DSB) | Yoshiyama et al., 2014; Yoshiyama, 2016 |
| RMI2 and RTE1 | Stabilization of plant 4SS rDNA repeats                                             | <span>rmi2</span><sup>-2</sup>: <span>rte1</span><sup>-1</sup>: male infertility                                                                 | Röhrig et al., 2016         |
| TDP1          | Tyrosyl DNA phosphodiesterase - DNA repair                                        | <span>tdp1</span>: dwarfism, diminished cell number, developmental cell death <span>(Arabidopsis)</span> RNAi: reduced cell division, perturbed plant growth and early leaf senescence; impaired rRNA processing and ribosome biogenesis and disruption of the nucleolus <span>(M. truncatula)</span> | Lee et al., 2010; Donà et al., 2013 |
(such as RNA with hairpin loops or double stranded RNA (dsRNA), which may arise for instance from endogenous genes). Each pathway typically starts with the conversion of aberrant RNAs into dsRNA (if not already double stranded) by viral or endogenous RNA-dependent RNA polymerases (RDRs) (Mallory and Vaucheret, 2010) before their cleavage into 21–24 nucleotide (nt) dsRNA duplexes by specific DICER or DICER-like (for plants) enzymes (DCL). The sRNA duplexes are unraveled, with one strand binding an ARGONAUTE (AGO) protein, which then targets the RNA for cleavage, and mediates repression of translation or the establishes epigenetic modifications (Vaucheret, 2008).

Arabidopsis contains four DCLs, 10 AGOs, and six RDRs that operate in concert with various sRNAs in different combinations, forming a complex variety of silencing pathways. How unique pathways are determined for each individual sRNA is generally unknown. Recent localization studies have indicated that many proteins involved in the miRNA, hc-siRNA and ta-siRNA silencing pathways accumulate within sub-nuclear structures in the nucleolar periphery (Pontes et al., 2013). Cytological analysis of these structures indicated that these may be CBs or CB-related structures, which suggests that CBs may be a site for assembly, re-cycling and storage of RNA silencing components, and also a site for specific sRNA silencing pathways (Pontes et al., 2006, 2011).

---

**TABLE 2 | Selected plant pathogen-nucleolar interactions.**

| Pathogen                          | Non-host factor | Host factor | Function                                 | References                      |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Groundnut rosette virus (GRV, umbravirus) | ORF3           | fibrillarin  | Association required for long-distance virus movement | Canetta et al., 2007; Kim et al., 2007a,b |
| Potato leaf roll virus (PLRV, poletivirus) | Capsid protein (CP) and CP read-through protein | fibrillarin  | Association required for long-distance virus movement | Haupt et al., 2005; Kim et al., 2007b |
| Bamboo mosaic virus (BaMV, potexvirus)- associated satRNA (satBaMV) | p20 satBaMV   | fibrillarin  | Association required for long-distance virus movement | Chang et al., 2016 |
| Rice stripe virus (RSV, tenavirus) | p2 protein (silencing suppressor protein) | fibrillarin  | Association required for long-distance virus movement | Zheng et al., 2015 |
| Potato virus A (PVA, potyvirus)   | VPG domain of nuclear inclusion protein a (Nla) | fibrillarin  | Depletion of fibrillarin reduces accumulation of PVA; this may operate through association of VPG with fibrillarin | Rajamäki and Valkonen, 2009 |
| Poa semilatent virus (PSLV, hordeivirus) | TGBp1 (Tripe gene block protein 1) | fibrillarin  | Functions of this association remain to be elucidated | Semashko et al., 2012 |
| Barley stripe mosaic virus (BSMV, hordeivirus) | TGBp1 (Tripe gene block protein 1) | fibrillarin  | Association required for cell-to-cell virus movement | Li et al., 2017 |
| Potato virus A (PVA) and turnip mosaic virus (TuMV, potyviruses) | VPG | S6K (protein S6 kinase) | Silencing of the S6K gene in N. benthamiana decreases accumulation of PVA and TuMV | Rajamäki et al., 2017 |
| Cucumber mosaic virus (CMV, cucumovirus) | 2b, silencing suppressor | Argonaute4 | Functions of this association remain to be elucidated | González et al., 2010; Du et al., 2014 |
| Alfalfa mosaic virus (AlMV, alfamovirus) | CP | ILR3 (transcription factor of a basic helix-loop-helix family of TFs) | The AlMV CP-ILR3 interaction leads to activation of plant hormone responses, which forms a hormonal balance optimal for plant viability and virus production | Aparicio and Pañás, 2017 |
| Tomato bushy stunt virus (TBSV, tobamovirus) | P19 (silencing suppressor protein) | ALY proteins | ALY proteins may interfere with the silencing suppressor activity of P19, which could constitute a novel antiviral defense response | Canto et al., 2006 |
| Globodera pallida (potato cyst nematode) | two protein effectors 22E10 and 13G11 | | Suppresses host defense | Jones et al., 2009 |
| Phytophthora infestans (oomycete plant pathogen) | effector Avr3a protein | E3 Igase CMG1 | Association regulates host resistance | Bos et al., 2010; Gilroy et al., 2011 |
| Hyaloperonospora arabidopsidis (obligate biotrophic oomycete pathogen) | HaRoL44 | Mediator subunit 19a (MEd19a) | Pathogen effector modulates host transcription to enhance invasion | Caillaud et al., 2013 |
| Hyaloperonospora arabidopsidis | ATR13 Emco5 | RPP13-Nd | interaction triggers hypersensitive response which limits pathogen spread | Leonelli et al., 2011 |
| P. infestans | PI04314 | phosphatase 1 catalytic (PP1c) isoforms | Promotes late blight disease by attenuating transcription of host plant defense genes | Boevink et al., 2016 |
However, in mutant Arabidopsis plants which contain no conventional CBs, changes in siRNA accumulation or in DNA methylation patterns have not been detected. It can therefore be speculated that RNA silencing functions may still be fulfilled by other multiple CB-related bodies present in eukaryotic cells, e.g., pre-CB structures which may be produced by some CB components at the early stages of their formation (Love et al., 2017).

CBs (as well as CB-related bodies) are dynamic structures, with major roles in RNA metabolism and formation of RNPs involved in transcription, splicing and ribosome biogenesis, and which are closely associated with the nucleolus. This, therefore, suggests a role for the nucleolus in RNA silencing pathways (Pontes and Pikaard, 2008). Indeed, some mature and precursor miRNAs are enriched in mammalian cell nucleoli (Politz et al., 2009; Scott et al., 2009). There is also cross-talk between snoRNAs and miRNA precursors, in which snoRNA precursors may be processed into some miRNAs, which may retain snoRNA features (Saraiya and Wang, 2008; Ono et al., 2011). In addition, sRNAs derived from snoRNAs were reported to associate with the AGO proteins of RNA silencing pathways in both Arabidopsis and animals (Taft et al., 2009), and it was observed that sRNAs derived from a human snoRNA could reduce expression of target genes (Ender et al., 2008). A challenge for future research is to give further insights into the precise molecular functions localized within the nucleolus and CBs (CB-related bodies) in regulating miRNA and siRNA pathways.

PLANT GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT

Nucleolus-related ribosome production, spliceosome formation, gene expression regulation (e.g., transcriptional/post-transcriptional gene silencing), mRNA surveillance (EJC-mediated intron-based NMD pathway) and telomere maintenance (with links to aging) can be expected to play essential roles in plant growth and development. Indeed, several recent reports provide emerging evidence that these nucleolar activities are involved in various developmental processes. Since these data will be discussed in more detail in other papers of this Research Topic, this section on developmental regulation will only briefly cover these aspects, with a later emphasis on functional implications of nucleolar responses to pathogens and stress described further below (Tables 1, 2, Figure 2).

Ribosome Biogenesis and Growth and Development

The varied impacts of ribosome biogenesis on plant growth and development are illustrated in Table 1.

Two Arabidopsis proteins atBRX-1-1 and atBRX-1-2, which are highly similar in sequence, are mainly localized to the nucleolus and are implicated in maturation of the large pre-60S ribosomal subunit (Weis et al., 2015a). Plant lines deficient in both these factors showed significant developmental delays, and also pointed leaves were observed in the brxl-2 mutant. Taken together this suggests a strong link between plant development and ribosome biogenesis.

Nucleolin is an abundant multifunctional nucleolar protein involved in various stages of ribosome biogenesis. Disruption of its gene in Arabidopsis (AtNuc-L1) led to reduced pre-rRNA processing and resulted in prolonged life, reduced growth rate, pointed leaves, bushy growth, and defective development of vascular patterns and pods, which are similar to those phenotypes reported for several RP gene mutants (Kojima et al., 2007). In contrast, induction of AtNuc-L1 expression with glucose normalized plant growth. The reduced growth rate of nucleolin-deficient plants was presumably caused by reduced cell division due to a shortage of ribosomes. These data suggest that the rates of ribosome production in meristem tissues may have a significant effect on growth and plant architecture.

Like RPs, many other ribosome biogenesis factors (RBFs) are also involved in plant developmental processes (Weis et al., 2015b; Table 1, Figure 2). It is tempting to speculate that RBFs may take part in some specific modifications of RPs and rRNAs, which may facilitate remodeling of ribosome pools in response to developmental stimuli and environmental conditions (Lafontaine, 2015; Weis et al., 2015b). Such ribosome reprogramming may be closely related to nucleolar organization. In this respect, it is worth noting that, for example, the Arabidopsis THAL protein belonging to the SAS10/C1D family is involved in the processing of precursor rRNAs, specifically regulating expression of the major rRNA variant (VAR1). It was found that defects in THAL significantly increase nucleolar size in arrested embryos (Chen et al., 2016). On the other hand, THAL overexpression results not only in recovery of VAR1 expression but also promotes formation of multiple nucleoli per nucleus, possibly linking changes in nucleolar organization with regulation of ribosome biogenesis.

Changes in ribosome biogenesis may also affect global protein synthesis which would inevitably affect plant growth and development. NMD3 is a nucleo–cytoplasmic shuttling protein which has been previously characterized as a component of the NMD pathway. It is also involved in transport and maturation of large ribosomal (60S) subunits (Shi et al., 2014). In rice, overexpression of an NMD3 mutant which contains a deleted nuclear localization site, was found to be retained in the cytoplasm and produced abnormalities in plant growth and development (dwarfism and decrease in the internode length, grain size and weight); these effects are possibly due to changes in ribosome biogenesis and consequent decreases in mRNA translation efficiency (Shi et al., 2014).

It is also worth noting that expression of many RP genes are controlled and activated by the target of rapamycin (TOR), a master cell cycle regulator. Plants overexpressing TAP46, an important factor of the TOR signaling network, demonstrated significant increases in production of some RPs (Weis et al., 2015b), corroborating the functional cross-talk between ribosome biogenesis and plant development and cell cycle.

Plant Nucleolus and Cell Cycle

Cytokinesis is the final phase of the cell cycle when the cell is divided into two daughter cells via formation of a cell plate between them, which is later converted into a proper
Pre-mRNA Splicing and Growth and Development

Pre-mRNA splicing is crucial to the regulation of gene expression in eukaryotes. As mentioned above, the nucleolus and particularly CBs play important roles in snRNP synthesis, which are essential for the formation of spliceosomes (reviewed in Love et al., 2017). The EJC and particularly two of its core subunits such as MAGO and Y14, are widely known to have essential multiple developmental roles in animals, whereas information for such roles in plants is more limited (Gong and He, 2014; Yang et al., 2016). It has been shown that MAGO proteins are responsible for male fertility (Physalis floridana; He et al., 2007), pollen grain development (Arabidopsis; Park et al., 2009) and spermatogenesis (Marsilea vestita; Boothby and Wolniak, 2011). The MAGO and Y14 proteins in rice also appear to be involved in floral and vegetative growth, stamen development and pollen maturation. In addition, one of two rice isoforms of Y14 has been shown to be involved in embryogenesis (Gong and He, 2014). The growth and development of other plant organs are also affected by MAGO and Y14: roots, shoots, seed and root hairs (Chen et al., 2007; Park et al., 2009). Interestingly, Y14 and MAGO have been shown to selectively bind pre-messenger RNA of UNDEVELOPED TAPETUM1 (OsUDT1), which is a key controller of stamen development. Down regulation of MAGO and Y14 leads to abnormalities in the OsUDT1 transcript splicing, suggesting that rice EJC subunits may regulate this process (Gong and He, 2014).

Another nucleolar protein which is essential for plant development is RID1, a DEAH-box RNA helicase. Studies on a root initiation defective1-1 Arabidopsis mutant (rid1-1) (Ohtani et al., 2013) have implicated this protein in a certain subset of splicing events which may differentially regulate specific developmental programmes, such as root and leaf morphogenesis and meristem maintenance.
Further research is required to explore if nucleolar localization of RID1, MAGO and Y14, are completely required for their role in plant growth, development and reproduction.

**Telomerase Maintenance and Plant Growth and Development**

Telomeres, are specific DNA–protein structures located at the ends of eukaryotic chromosomes which contain repetitive nucleotide sequences that protect chromosomes from in appropriate attack by endogenous DNA nucleases. Telomere shortening can lead to chromosomal degradation which can culminate in aging and ultimately cell death. To counteract this, plants and other organisms have evolved strategies to maintain telomere length, which predominantly operates via the activity of telomerase, an RNP-based enzyme which consists of telomerase reverse transcriptase, telomerase RNA (TR), and other associated proteins (reviewed in Procházková Schrumpfová et al., 2016). The catalytic subunits of this complex (TERTs) possess multiple nuclear export/localization signals and have been shown to localize to the nucleus and the nucleolus. Furthermore, a preferential nucleolar accumulation was also shown for telomere binding proteins and the telomerase RNA-binding protein, dyskerin (Dvoráčková et al., 2010; Dvoráčková et al., 2015). Finally, telomeres as well as subtelomeric regions (flanking the telomeres) also tend to associate with the nucleolus (Pontvianne et al., 2016). The concentration of various telomere-related components in the plant nucleolus strongly suggests a functional link between this sub-nuclear structure and telomere biology. This suggestion is supported by recent observations showing that in Arabidopsis null mutants for the NUCLEOLIN 1 gene, which have altered rRNA gene expression and overall nucleolar structure, telomeres were shortened and had reduced association with the nucleolus (Pontvianne et al., 2016). Moreover, it was found that NUCLEOLIN 1 physically interacts with a macromolecular complex possessing telomerase activity. These data strongly implicate the nucleolus (and its protein, nucleolin 1) in plant telomere maintenance.

TERT is developmentally regulated in plants (Procházková Schrumpfová et al., 2016). In Arabidopsis plants, the activity of telomerase is low in vegetative tissues but high in reproductive organs. However, application of exogenous auxin, can overcome this developmental regulation and potentiates telomerase activity in mature leaves (Ren et al., 2007); a phenomenon which may be regulated by the Arabidopsis transcription factor TELOMERASE ACTIVATOR1 (TAC1). It has also been shown that telomerase activity in tobacco suspension cells significantly increases at early S-phase of the cell cycle due to auxin, but interestingly abscisic acid (ABA), a plant hormone which can induce the cyclin-dependent protein kinase inhibitor, readily abolishes this effect. These results suggest that antagonistic functions of ABA and auxin in the cell cycle-dependent modulation of telomerase activity in tobacco may be governed via reciprocal phosphorylation and dephosphorylation of telomerase complexes (Yang et al., 2002). A major future challenge is to elucidate the role of nucleolar environment in the cross-talk between plant telomerase and developmental pathways.

Another observation which may link nucleolar functions and plant growth and developmental pathways is that Arabidopsis TR is able to interact with dyskerin which is known to be a component of nucleoli and CBs (Procházková Schrumpfová et al., 2016).

**Nucleolar miRNAs and Development**

In plants, small 21–24 nucleotide miRNA molecules play important “roles in post-transcriptional gene regulation by base pairing with their complementary mRNA targets” (Li and Zhang, 2016). Mutations in the genes involved in biogenesis and the regulatory roles of miRNAs produce strong effects on development; implicating miRNAs in a broad range of physiological and developmental processes (Li and Zhang, 2016). Taking into account that the nucleolus is involved in RNA silencing pathways it looks natural that such an involvement may be important for plant growth and development. Moreover, in human cells, several miRNAs are highly and specifically localized in nucleoli relative to other compartments. The presence of miRNAs in the nucleolus is independent of DICER and the RNA polymerase I transcription activity of the nucleolus, however it is dependent on CRM1, which is known to be related to nucleolar trafficking of snoRNAs. These data demonstrate the spatial arrangement and complexity of miRNA regulation (Bai et al., 2014). It is enticing to theorize that there might also be specific variability in nucleolar miRNA content which may be dependent on cell type and physiological state, and which could regulate developmental processes.

**Fibrillarin and Systemic Macromolecular Trafficking in Plants**

Plants have evolved a specific network interconnected by plasmodesmata (PD), which are cytoplasmic channels between cells that permit local movement of various molecules. In addition, plants can rapidly transfer nutrients, assimilates and macromolecules over longer distances via the phloem, a specific plant transport system composed of enucleated sieve elements and neighboring companion cells. The phloem and PD form a continuous symplastic connection which can link distant plant organs, and likely play a key role in transmitting macromolecules, such proteins and RNAs including siRNAs/miRNAs, as components of integrated signaling pathways which are central to plant development and controlling responses to both biotic and abiotic stresses (reviewed in Lough and Lucas, 2006; Buhtz et al., 2010). However, to govern such signaling pathways, plants have evolved stringent control systems to prevent molecules other than those that perform necessary functions from trafficking throughout the plant by cell-to-cell (PD) and long-distance (phloem companion cell-sieve element junctions) movement. Indeed, the plant transport network is fully permeable only to some low-molecular weight compounds, but specific “transport” proteins are able to increase the permeability of the control systems, which permits entry of larger macromolecules or macromolecular complexes. The most studied example of active plasmodesmatal transport involves the movement of plant viruses, which use designated virus-encoded movement proteins (Lough and Lucas, 2006) to hijack and
manipulate the PD to allow viral particles or their transport forms to pass between cells; thus facilitating systemic invasion. Plant proteins such as fibrillarin (discussed in more detail below) which is an abundant nucleolar protein, is also able to facilitate long distance movement and has been implicated in the systemic spread of various plant viruses, such as groundnut rosette virus (GRV), potato leaf roll virus (PLRV), rice stripe virus (RSV) (Kim et al., 2007a; Zheng et al., 2015) and subviral bamboo mosaic virus-associated satellite RNA (satBaMV; Chang et al., 2016). It would be difficult to expect that plant fibrillarin, whose main function is in rRNA processing and modification, has evolved specifically to assist viruses; it is more likely that viruses hijack fibrillarin’s role in phloem RNA trafficking. The phloem transport system and RNAs play a critical role in plant survival and together they likely operate as a complex, multifunctional and regulatory long-distance RNA signaling system. Recently in addition to siRNAs and miRNAs, small non-coding RNA molecules of sizes between 30 and 90 bases have been identified and characterized in pumpkin phloem sap. In addition to fragments of rRNAs and tRNAs, the identified RNAs include phloem-specific spliceosomal RNAs, which also have nucleolar steps in their formation (Zhang et al., 2009).

Effect of Mammalian p53 on Plant Development

p53 is a key mammalian nucleolar tumor suppressor which plays a pivotal role in molecular stress responses, developmental processes and guarding the genome from DNA damage (Boulon et al., 2010). However, p53 has not been found in plants. It is therefore striking that p53 transgenically expressed in Arabidopsis induced early senescence and excessive inflorescence branching (fasciation) (Ma et al., 2016). This effect in plants is presumed to be due to the elevated homologous DNA recombination directed by p53. SUPPRESSOR OF NPR1-1 INDUCIBLE 1 (SN11) is a negative regulator of plant homologous recombination (operating with RAD51D downstream of SN11), which is not present in animals. Interestingly, sn11 mutants have a fasciated phenotype in the presence of p53, whereas rad51d mutants are able to fully suppress the p53-induced phenotype; implicating the SN11-RAD51D signaling pathway as a regulator of p53 (Ma et al., 2016). The underlying molecular mechanisms of how this signaling pathway is activated by p53, and what nucleolar functions are involved remain to be explored.

As indicated in the above sections, nucleolar components are key to many facets of plant development and growth, moreover in recent years they have also been implicated in modulating responses to exogenous biotic and abiotic stresses.

VIRUS INFECTIONS

Considering the diverse functional roles of the nucleolus, it is unsurprising that this structure is a common target of many types of viruses, including plant viruses (Hiscox, 2007; Greco, 2009; Taliansky et al., 2010). Interestingly, the repertoire of viruses that interact with nucleoli includes not only “nuclear viruses” that replicate within the nucleus, but also “cytoplasmic viruses” (containing mainly positive-strand RNA) in which cytoplasm is an exclusive site of their replication. Since the early studies on plant viruses and their association with the nucleolus (Taliansky et al., 2010), a plethora of proteins from RNA- and DNA-containing viruses which can enter the nucleus and target nucleoli have been described. Moreover, several recent studies have expanded beyond these phenomenological observations and have elucidated the molecular mechanisms underpinning various virus-nucleolar associations and their roles in the plant viral life cycle and disease with potential links to a broader range of biological processes including growth and development. This section will therefore focus on functional and mechanistic implications of the virus–nucleolar interactions (Table 2).

Direct Roles of Fibrillarin in the Life Cycle of Plant Viruses

Due to its integral role in various RNA processing and RNP assembly events, the nucleolus has evolved as an attractive target for many viruses to exploit its functions in production and transport of viral RNP particles. Plant viruses can hijack nucleolar proteins for production of viral RNP particles, replication and movement, and to counter antivirus defense.

For example, in the nucleus, the ORF3 long-distance movement protein of GRV (an umbravirus) targets CBs causing their re-organization into multiple CB-like structures which move to and coalesce with the nucleolus. ORF3 subsequently recruits and uses fibrillarin for assembly of cytoplasmic viral RNP complexes able to move long-distance systemically through the phloem (Canetta et al., 2007; Kim et al., 2007a,b). Localization of ORF3 to the nucleolus is essential for successful systemic infection. In another example, the coat protein (CP) and CP read-through protein of PLRV (polerovirus) are targeted to the nucleolus and although the implications of this from an infection perspective are unelucidated, it is known that nucleolar components such as fibrillarin are required for long-distance movement of PLRV and subsequent systemic plant infections (Haupt et al., 2005; Kim et al., 2007a). A different scenario of fibrillarin-dependent long-distance movement has been recently described for satBaMV (satellite RNA). While the helper virus, bamboo mosaic virus (BaMV, potexvirus) utilizes virus-specific transport machinery composed of movement and capsid proteins for invasion, it does not require fibrillarin (Chang et al., 2016). In contrast, for the BaMV satellite virus (satBaMV) to establish long-distance movement, satBaMV-encoded p20 protein is required to interact with fibrillarin and form RNP complexes with satBaMV, which are competent for trafficking in the phloem.

Plant viruses usually encode silencing suppressor proteins which can counteract RNA-silencing-based defense mechanisms induced by infection. Protein p2 of RSV (tenuivirus) is a weak silencing suppressor and is able to interact with fibrillarin within the nucleolus. Furthermore, fibrillarin depletion (using RNAi knock down) abolished the systemic movement of RSV, suggesting that interaction of fibrillarin with the p2 silencing suppressor facilitates the long-distance RSV movement (Zheng...
et al., 2015). However in studies of some other viruses, it has been shown that interaction of fibrillarin with viral silencing suppressors modulates virus functions other than the long-distance movement. Indeed, the VPg/Nla silencing suppressor protein of potato virus A (PVA, potyvirus) has activity which depends on VPg localization to CBs and the nucleolus. The Nla interacts with fibrillarin in the nucleolus and CBs via its VPg domain, and depletion (RNAi knock down) of fibrillarin lowers PVA accumulation, but does not compromise the process of virus long-distance movement per se (Rajamäki and Valkonen, 2009). These data raise the question as to whether VPg/Nla targets components of RNA silencing pathways that are localized in the nucleolus and CBs (Pontes and Pikaard, 2008) to inhibit silencing machinery and consequently enhance infection.

The poa semilatent virus (PSLV, hordeivirus) movement protein which is encoded by the first gene of the triple gene block (TGBp1) has also been shown to be nucleolar localized and interact with fibrillarin (Semashko et al., 2012). Similar interactions have been observed between fibrillarin and TGB1 encoded by another hordeivirus, barley stripe mosaic virus (BSMV), which were found to facilitate cell-to-cell movement of the virus (Li et al., 2017).

Collectively these data demonstrate how diverse taxonomic virus groups and functional categories of viral proteins can interact with fibrillarin, to control the disease process. Thus fibrillarin may have new unrecognized activities which are exploited by plant viruses, but which may also be involved in other biological processes (such as growth and development) in healthy plants.

Role of Ribosomal Proteins
A growing body of evidence demonstrates that the ribosomal proteins (r-proteins) are not only scaffolds required to maintain the structural integrity of mature ribosomes, but rather, some of them are involved in regulatory activities in various cell cycle, cell death and developmental processes (e.g., Lindström, 2009). In addition, the accumulation of different sets of r-proteins has been shown to be enhanced by many viruses, including various plant viruses (Dardick, 2007; Yang et al., 2007, 2009; Rajamäki et al., 2017). Moreover, some r-proteins have been found to interact with plant viral proteins implicating them as important components of plant-virus interactions.

Of all the r-proteins involved in interactions with viruses RPS6 is the most studied. RPS6 is partially regulated by ribosomal protein S6 kinase (S6K) which, in turn, is a downstream component of TOR signaling pathway and a key modulator of plant responses to stresses and developmental stimuli (Xiong Sheen and Sheen, 2014; Son et al., 2016). In addition to its well-known role as a structural component of the 40S ribosomal subunit, RPS6 is also involved in regulation of rDNA transcription via nucleolar interactions with histone deacetylase 2b (HD2B) and nucleosome assembly protein 1 (NAP1), which is a histone chaperone (Kim et al., 2014; Son et al., 2015). The RPS6-HD2b complex functions as a negative regulator of rRNA synthesis via its binding to and blocking rDNA promoter sites, but this negative effect may be de-repressed by NAP1. Interestingly, S6K-mediated phosphorylation of RPS6 may also activate rDNA transcription presumably causing dissociation of the rDNA transcriptional repression complex.

With regards to virus infection it has been shown that silencing of the RPS6 and S6K genes in N. benthamiana decreased accumulation of CMV, PVA and turnip mosaic virus (TuMV, potyvirus), which is in contrast to turnip crinkle virus and tobacco mosaic virus (TuCV and TMV respectively; tobamoviruses). This suggests differential requirements for RPS6 and S6K for different virus groups (Rajamäki et al., 2017). While the underpinning mechanisms are still to be elucidated, these observations indicate that there might be interplay between RPS6/S6K activities and plant virus infections. A possible activity of these proteins may be to directly interact with viral proteins and this has recently been shown for potyviral VPg, which is able to form a complex with S6K in the nucleus, nucleolus and cytoplasm. This suggests that potyviruses may recruit S6K to modulate downstream proteins (RPS6 in particular) for enhancing viral invasion. For example, in the nucleolus such an interaction may lead to stimulation of rDNA transcription whereas cytoplasmic interaction may facilitate protein translation. Additional studies are still necessary to explore the role of the nucleolar activities of RPS6 and S6K in relation to virus infections, which may also have implications for other stress responses, growth and development.

Nucleolar Sequestration, Storage, and Compartmentalization of Virus Proteins
The nucleolus was demonstrated to be a region of molecular sequestration which may also have activities outside this organelle, such as in the nucleoplasm or cytoplasm (Sirri et al., 2008). The regulation of various nucleolar functions is controlled by the compartmentalization or effusion of specific proteins; a mechanism which may also be hijacked by viruses for localization and storage of viral proteins. For example, cucumber mosaic virus (CMV, cucumovirus) 2b protein is a silencing suppressor that is involved in virus accumulation and virulence (Du et al., 2014), and it is found distributed between the nucleus/nucleolus and the cytoplasm. In the nucleolus this protein interacts with the Argonaute 4 silencing machinery (González et al., 2010), however, neither of these interactions nor nucleolar localization are sufficient for suppression of RNA silencing (González et al., 2012). Instead, it has been shown that it is the cytoplasmic portion of 2b that predominantly possess silencing suppressor activity. It was found that enhanced nuclear and nucleolar 2b accumulation increases virulence and accelerates symptom production, which is independent of its effect on RNA silencing. Thus, it has been suggested that nuclear/nucleolar and cytoplasmic partitioning of the 2b protein between these compartments permit CMV to regulate the equilibrium between damage to the plant and virus accumulation, presumably to optimize virus accumulation (Du et al., 2014).

Alfalfa mosaic virus (AlMV, alfamovirus) CP is a multifunctional protein required not only for virion assembly but also for translation, cell-to-cell and systemic movement (Bol, 2005). The AlMV CP localizes in both the nucleus/nucleolus and cytoplasm. The data shows that the nucleolar import signal
masks the RNA-binding activities of ALMV CP, which are required for viral translation and replication; this suggests a model in which the virus life cycle is precisely regulated by the balance between the cytoplasmic/nuclear localization of the CP (Herranz et al., 2012).

In more recent work (Aparicio and Pallás, 2017), ALMV CP has been shown to interact with transcription factor (TF) ILR3, a basic helix–loop–helix family member of TFs, which were suggested to operate in a number of metabolic pathways (Toledo-Ortíz et al., 2003). ILR3 can regulate NEET in Arabidopsis, a key protein in plant senescence, development, reactive oxygen species (ROS) modulation and iron metabolism (Nechushtai et al., 2012). The AIMV CP–ILR3 interaction causes partial re-distribution of this TF from the nucleus to the nucleolus and this re-distribution may cause down-regulation of NEET, which can induce plant hormone responses, which may form a hormonal balance optimal for plant viability and virus production (Aparicio and Pallás, 2017).

Another viral protein which is sequestered into the nucleus to regulate its activity is the P19 silencing suppressor encoded by the tomato bushy stunt virus (TBSV, tombusvirus). For example, P19 silencing suppressor activity is realized in the cytoplasm, however host plant ALY proteins (mRNA-processing-export factors) can potentially interfere with this function by redistributing P19 to the nucleus/nucleolus, where it cannot reach its target silencing RNA (Canto et al., 2006); this constitutes a novel plant defense mechanism which blocks silencing suppression.

Taken together, these data show that various plant host nucleolar proteins, in addition to their traditional roles, may have other diverse natural functions which are widely exploited by viruses for their own benefits. Interestingly, some of these proteins as mentioned above are also involved in plant perception and responses to environmental and developmental cues. Further detailed investigation of molecular mechanisms underlying the virus-nucleolus interactions will provide new insights into our understanding of intriguing multifunctional complexity of the nucleolus including its role in plant growth and development.

**PATHOGENS OTHER THAN VIRUSES**

Several lines of evidence demonstrate that plant pathogens other than viruses, also target the nucleolus (Table 1). In particular, some effector proteins expressed by plant pathogens which aid infection and favor parasitism, have been shown to localize to nucleoli (Chaudhari et al., 2014). For example, Globodera pallida, a potato cyst nematode, delivers two protein effectors encoded by gene members of the SPRYSEC family (22E10 and J3G11), into the nucleolus presumably to suppress host defense (Jones et al., 2009). Nucleolar localization has also been demonstrated for several effectors encoded by filamentous pathogens (oomycetes and fungi), such as the poplar leaf rust fungus Melampsora larici-populina (Petre et al., 2015) and the broad-host-range oomycete Phytophthora capsici (Stam et al., 2013). Interestingly, a plant E3 ligase (CMGP1) which is involved in host resistance against P. infestans, also accumulates in the nucleolus when stabilized by the Avr3a effector protein (Gilroy et al., 2011). These data, although merely descriptive, suggests that the nucleolus may be an important controller of host defense mechanisms against a broad range of pathogens.

This hypothesis is supported by more functional and mechanistic studies carried out using Hyaloperonospora arabidopsis and P. infestans. Several RXLR effectors encoded by the obligate biotrophic oomycete pathogen Hyaloperonospora arabidopsis (the causal agent of downy mildew), have been shown to localize to the nucleolus of plant cells (Leonelli et al., 2011; Caillaud et al., 2012) and regulate plant responses. For example, the effector HaRxL44 interacts with nuclear and nucleolar Mediator subunit 19a (MED19a), a component of the Mediator complex involved in the association between RNA polymerase II and transcriptional regulators. This interaction leads to MED19a degradation in a proteasome-dependent manner, which switches transcription of plant defense genes from the salicylic acid-responsive pathway to the jasmonic acid and ethylene-responsive pathways; demonstrating that this nucleolar pathogen effector alters host transcription to enhance susceptibility to infection (Caillaud et al., 2013). In contrast, other nucleolar H. arabidopsis effectors, such as ATR13 Emco5, may interact with host RPP13-Nd (a cognate R-gene product) which triggers programmed cell death and limits pathogen spread (Leonelli et al., 2011).

The P. infestans RXLR effector Pi04314 enhances leaf colonization through its nuclear activity which can regulate activation of salicylic and jasmonic acid-responsive defense pathways. Pi04314 associates with three isoforms of the nucleolar host protein phosphatase 1 catalytic (PP1c) unit, inducing their re-distribution from the nucleolus to the nucleoplasm. A model has been proposed whereby Pi04314 interacts with PP1c isoforms to form holoenzymes, which attenuate transcriptional responses of host plant defense genes to promote late blight disease (Boevink et al., 2016).

In light of these findings it could be proposed that plant pathogens deliver effectors to alter host processes via activities in the host nucleolus. Understanding of how effectors target and manipulate host proteins and elucidating the function of the nucleolus in these processes is a critical area which needs further exploration.

**THE PLANT NUCLEOLUS UNDER STRESS**

In mammalian cells, various types of stress often affect the nucleolus by inducing complex and diverse changes in its size, structure and protein composition. Proteomic analysis has revealed a broad network comprising different nucleolar proteins involved in stress responses (Boulon et al., 2010) and suggested that the mammalian nucleolus can function as a key regulator in stress sensing, perception and response. Although in plants, cross-talk between nucleolar functions and stress signaling pathways has been less well studied, there are multiple sources of evidence which suggests that the plant nucleolus also has a direct role in sensing stresses such as drought, salinity and inclement temperature and responding by modulating a variety of different pathways, which may increase stress tolerance.
It has been shown that stress can be accompanied by dramatic morphological alterations in the protein content and organization of plant nucleoli. These changes are presumably related to alterations in diverse nucleolar transcriptional activity under stress conditions. For example, in soybean meristematic root cells exposed to low temperature stress, transcriptional activity is reduced and this is reflected in the looser structure and size increase of nucleoli, but decrease in the number of FCs and DFCs (Stepinski, 2009). In this study decreases in the amounts of important nucleolar proteins (fibrillarin and B23) were also observed.

As mentioned above, in mammalian cells, one of the major regulators of cellular responses to diverse stresses including genome damage (DNA damage response, DDR) is the p53 transcription factor (Boulon et al., 2010). DDR is a key process to maintain genome stability and protect DNA from damage caused by numerous endogenous and exogenous DNA damaging agents. It has been found that disintegration of the nucleolus, by drugs or UV irradiation can mediate activation of p53 pathways, and suggested that the nucleolus itself can act as an upstream stress sensor. p53 has not been found in plants indicating that plants may possess their own, unique system(s) for stress responses and genome stability maintenance in particular, and recent evidence has implicated several plant nucleolar proteins in such responses (Table 1, Figure 2).

Plant SUPPRESSOR OF GAMMA RESPONSE 1 (SOG1) operates as a transcription factor and master regulator of DDR that has many similarities with p53. Like p53, SOG1 activates transcription of more than 100 genes that control cell cycle, DNA repair and programmed cell death (Yoshiyama et al., 2014; Yoshiyama, 2016). The sog1-1 mutant exhibits increased resistance of root growth to zeocin and no cell cycle arrest and PCD in response to DNA double-strand breaks (DSB) (Yoshiyama, 2016).

There are many other plant proteins that are involved in plant DDR which have been extensively reviewed by Manova and Gruszka (2015), Yoshiyama et al. (2014), Yoshiyama (2016), and Donà and Mittelsten Scheid (2015). Among them are TDP1, RECQ4A, and RTEL1. Like its human or yeast homologs, Arabidopsis tyrosyl-DNA phosphodiesterase 1 (TDP1) for example, is able to repair DNA damage in which topoisomerases can occasionally covalently bind the ends of DNA strand breaks, by hydrolyzing the 3’-phosphotyrosyl bond between topoisomerase and DNA (Lee et al., 2010). Interestingly, inability of the Arabidopsis tdp mutants to repair DNA damage results in plant dwarfing and extensive cell death during development (Lee et al., 2010). This is consistent with later studies which show that RNAi silencing of the TDP1 gene in Medicago truncatula results in significant changes in gene expression, which is manifested in reduced cell division, perturbed plant growth and early leaf senescence (Donà et al., 2013). TDP1 knockdown is known to impinge on rRNA processing and ribosome biogenesis and disrupts the structure and architecture of the nucleolus, as revealed by electron microscopic analysis (Donà et al., 2013). It is also worth noting that deficiency of the TDP1 protein leads to telomere shortening (Donà et al., 2013). Taken together these findings strongly implicate the nucleolus as a central component of TDP-dependent DDR and developmental processes.

Arabidopsis REC4A helicase is involved in dissolution of Holliday junctions, aberrant DNA structures that are formed during DNA replication and recombination, by suppressing homologous recombination and producing non-crossover recombinants (Hartung et al., 2007). However, to achieve this activity REC4A usually acts as a part of the RTR protein complex also containing topoisomerase TOP3α and structural proteins RMI1 and RMI2. Interestingly, another Arabidopsis protein, Regulator of Telomere ELongation helicase 1 (RTEL1), which is normally involved in telomere maintenance is also able to reduce efficiency of homologous recombination (Röhrig et al., 2016). Moreover, both RMI2 and RTEL1 have recently been shown to safeguard stability of 45S rRNA repeats. Plants defective in both these proteins exhibit male infertility, implicating functional links between suppression of homologous recombination and plant developmental defects especially in highly proliferative tissues such as the male germline, where reduction of 45S rRNA repeats seems to be most pronounced (Röhrig et al., 2016). Intriguingly, plant REQ4B helicase which is closely related to REC4A, has an antagonistic function and promotes formation of crossovers; exhibiting a role which has not been recognized for any other eukaryotic RECQ-like helicases (Hartung et al., 2007).

Although some DDR and DNA repair factors (such as TDP1) are associated with nucleoli, the precise role of the nucleolus in DDR remains to be elucidated.

DEAD-box RNA helicases, STRESS RESPONSE SUPPRESSOR1 (STRS1) and STRS2 proteins function as negative regulators of stress-induced gene expression. They are typically active in unstressed plants and serve to reduce high level constitutive expression of stress-responsive genes which may be detrimental to plant growth and development (Khan et al., 2014). Under normal conditions, the STRS proteins are mainly localized in the nucleolus and chromocentres, which is suggestive of their site of function. However, in response to salt or heat stresses, the STRS proteins exhibit rapid relocation into nucleoplasm, presumably activating stress responses. STRS defective mutants (str) exhibit enhanced tolerance to salt, osmotic and heat stress whereas STRS overexpression leads to diminished tolerance (Khan et al., 2014). Interestingly, in Arabidopsis mutants that are defective in RNA-mediated gene silencing, such as the RNA-directed DNA methylation (RdDM) pathway, the STRS proteins have been shown to mis-localize. Furthermore, it has been found that heterochromatic RdDM target loci have enhanced expression and lowered DNA methylation in the str mutants, which indicates that the STRS proteins could participate in the epigenetic silencing of stress-responsive gene expression (Khan et al., 2014).

Another protein which is redistributed in response to stress conditions (for example, hypoxia) is eIF4A-III, one of the core EJC components (Koroleva et al., 2009). However, in contrast to STRS, eIF4A-III has been shown to concentrate in the nucleolus and splicing speckles under this kind of stress. It is possible that in such hypoxia conditions, the eIF4A-III may retain certain findings.
mRNAs in the nucleolus, which could prevent their movement to the cytosol and subsequent translation.

Several other plant proteins involved in stress responses have also been relocalized to the nucleolus and have been implicated in growth and development. For example the Rab 28 Late Embryogenesis Abundant (LEA) protein has been observed to accumulate in nucleoli, and transgenic overexpressors of this protein exhibit higher relative water content, increased root and leaf areas, and reduced chlorophyll loss compared with wild-type plants when grown under osmotic stress (Amara et al., 2013). As an additional example, AtREN1 protein (an early male gametophytic gene, At1g77570, which is strongly homologous to the heat shock transcription factor gene HSE5) has been shown to accumulate in the nucleolus, and plants mutated in this gene have structural and functional abnormalities in male gametophyte development, pollen grain development and perturbed heat stress responses relative to wild-type plants (Renák et al., 2014). Taken together this is indicative of a complex integrated signaling mechanism which links nucleolar functions, pollen development and heat stress in Arabidopsis.

FUNCTIONAL ASSOCIATION OF THE NUCLEOLUS, CAJAL BODIES AND POLY(ADP-RIbose) POLYMERASE

In physical and functional coordination with nucleoli, CBs play many important roles in RNA metabolism and formation of RNPs involved in transcription, splicing, ribosome biogenesis, and telomere maintenance (reviewed by Love et al., 2017). In addition, like the nucleolus, plant CBs participate in various other non-canonical functions, such as modulating plant responses to virus infections and abiotic stresses (Shaw et al., 2014). For example it was previously observed that coilin (the hallmark protein of CBs) could differentially affect the interactions of plants with viruses of diverse taxa. In this study it was found that coilin deficiency and/or CB depletion could increase accumulation and systemic infection by some viruses like barley stripe mosaic virus (BSMV, hordeivirus), tobacco rattle virus (TRV, tobravirus), tomato black ring virus (TBRV, nepovirus) and tomato golden mosaic virus (TGMV, begomovirus), while for other viruses such as potato virus Y (PVY, potyvirus) and turnip vein clearing virus (TVCV, tobamovirus) the opposite phenomenon was observed (Shaw et al., 2014). These data clearly show that coilin/CBs are important in regulating virus pathogenesis in plants. Coilin gene suppression in plants could also confer salt tolerance (Love et al., 2017), which in toto implicates CBs in plant perception and responses to stress.

While these underlying processes remain poorly elucidated, a possible mechanism may lie within recent studies which show an intimate association between the nucleolus, CBs and the poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) family member, PARP1. In dissected Drosophila salivary gland cells, coilin and fibrillarin are known to interact with PARP1 (Kotova et al., 2009), a nuclear protein which has important regulatory functions in DNA repair and genotoxic stress tolerance, transcription, cell cycle control and programmed cell death (PCD) (Kotova et al., 2009; Briggs and Bent, 2011; Luo and Kraus, 2012; Ji and Tulin, 2013; Schulz et al., 2014). PARP1 modifies the function of a variety of nuclear “target” proteins by attaching chains of ADP ribose (PAR) to them and itself. Although most of the PARP1 molecules bind chromatin and accumulate in the nucleolus, automodified PARP1 has been shown to interact non-covalently via PAR polymers with some nucleolar and CB components, including fibrillarin and coilin respectively (Kotova et al., 2009). These associations may mediate the shuttling of PARP1 and PAR-containing protein complexes from the nucleolus and chromatin into CBs. This movement is presumed to be required for PAR removal and recycling, which may act as a molecular switch which modulates the functional activities of PARP1.

In plants, such activities are thought to be involved in the regulation of several physiological processes, including responses to abiotic and biotic stresses, differentiation and cell cycle control (reviewed in Briggs and Bent, 2011; Love et al., 2017). For example, it has been shown that PARP deficiency in Arabidopsis and Brassica napus (oilseed rape) plants leads to increased tolerance to drought and heat stress (De Block et al., 2005). On the other hand, overexpression of PARP2 in Arabidopsis decreased the number of DNA nicks at high concentrations of H₂O₂ but increased their number at low H₂O₂ concentrations (reviewed in Briggs and Bent, 2011). In addition, PARP inhibitors reduce damage to tobacco and soybean cells from oxidative stress and heat shock (Amor et al., 1998; Tian et al., 2000). PARP also markedly affects plant-pathogen interactions. In particular, PARP knockout mutants of Arabidopsis have been found to display increased susceptibility to Pseudomonas syringae, indicating that PARP is required for antibacterial resistance. In agreement with these observations, PARP inhibitors have been shown to block some basal plant defense responses such as cell wall reinforcement with callose and lignin, which are induced by microbe-associated molecular patterns, such as bacterial flagellin or EF-Tu epitopes (Adams-Phillips et al., 2010). It has been shown that callose deposition provides a physical barrier that blocks spread of virus infection through the plasmodesmata (Li et al., 2012), and it would be interesting to speculate whether PARP may be involved in this process. While the evidence indicates that PARP is a central factor which controls resistance to various plant pathogens, plant PARP-mediated activities have also been implicated in differentiation and cell cycle control pathways, which are known to overlap with components of plant stress signaling pathways (reviewed in Briggs and Bent, 2011). Alterations in the poly(ADP-ribose)ylation level induced by extrinsic (biotic or environmental) or intrinsic (genetic/physiological) cues play an important role in plant stress signaling and developmental processes (Briggs and Bent, 2011). It is possible that in such cases, the PARP levels could be controlled via coilin and fibrillarin induced trafficking and redistribution of automodified PARP and other PARylated proteins from the nucleolus to CBs for recycling. Although this mechanism may transduce responses to developmental and stress cues, it is also an intriguing possibility that they may underpin at least some of the architectural and protein content changes in CBs and the nucleolus. Future work will be required to elucidate these possibilities further.
The nucleolus is involved in coordinating many major biological processes such as ribosome production, spliceosome formation, gene expression regulation (e.g., transcriptional/post-transcriptional gene silencing), mRNA surveillance and telomere maintenance (Figure 2). It is therefore unsurprising that this prominent sub-nuclear domain has been repeatedly implicated as an important regulator of signaling pathways which control plant growth and development, disease and stress responses (Tables 1, 2, Figure 2). This is particularly intriguing especially considering that in the last decade it has been found that there is frequent cross-talk between components or facets of these pathways, which may in turn regulate or be regulated by the nucleolus and associated CBs. In spite of this we are still far from comprehensively understanding the molecular mechanisms underpinning such control systems, and much remains uncharacterized.

For instance, it is becoming particularly interesting to explore if plant cells can produce more than one type of ribosome. What are the external (stress and/or disease) or internal (developmental) factors that may cause modification of RPs or rRNAs, giving rise to formation of distinct “specialized” (“renegade”; Lafontaine, 2015) ribosomes? Can such ribosome reprogramming be tissue- or organ-specific and differentially affect translation in response to stress or disease to provide mechanisms underpinning developmental regulation and biotic and environmental stress defense?

An increasing number of viral proteins and proteins encoded by other pathogens (effectors) have been shown to target nucleoli and CBs of infected plants. What are the mechanisms of such targeting and what are the molecular consequences of this targeting with respect to the host defense response?

This review has provided various examples demonstrating that some viruses have evolved to be able to exploit some nucleolar proteins (fibrillarin in particular), for their own benefits (e.g., virus movement throughout the infected plant). It would be especially intriguing to elucidate the molecular mechanisms of this phenomenon, given the essential role of fibrillarin in absolutely different process of modification and processing of rRNA. Is fibrillarin also involved in other processes of macromolecular trafficking in plants or interaction with other pathogens, e.g., controlling or assisting transport of regulatory or signaling mRNAs or ncRNAs in plants? What are the other nucleolar proteins interacting with pathogen effectors?

In future, uncovering the large-scale protein-protein interactome networks will be required to elucidate the contextual mechanisms and molecular switches which underpin nucleolar activities and physiological control. Such work will identify key nucleolar regulators of different plant signaling responses, which shall provide real targets for crop improvement by allowing us to tailor how plants respond to particular forms of environmental stress.
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