Effect of Suture Tubularization on Quadruple Stranded Hamstring ACL Grafts with Femoral Suspensory Fixation: A Biomechanical Study
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Introduction

Approximately 100,000 anterior cruciate ligament reconstructive surgeries occur every year in the United States. Of these surgeries, 3,000 to 10,000 ACL reconstructions will end in graft failure [1]. The success of ACL reconstruction depends on many factors including anatomical placement, the mechanical properties of the graft tissue, its fixation strength, and the biological response to the incorporated graft [2]. These factors directly or indirectly influence the mechanical properties of the knee after ACL reconstruction and impact recovery time, function, and mobility [3-6]. Despite the advances in ACL reconstruction over the decades [2], poor intra-articular graft healing is still a common reason for ACL graft failure. Ligamentization or intra-articular graft healing occurs through a series of biological processes that include early graft healing, proliferation, and ligamentization [4,7]. Early graft healing is commonly associated with increases necrosis and a loss of cellularity. This stage is followed by the cellular repopulation of host synovial cells, extracellular matrix synthesis, and revascularization. In the final stage, the healing ACL graft continuously undergoes remodeling and over time regains mechanical strength [8-11]. Research conducted on humans has shown that the process of ligamentization is similar to animals but the remodeling phases is prolonged in humans [3,4,12,13]. Animal studies have also shown that ligamentization only restores approximately 50-60% of the mechanical strength of an intact limb [14-17]. Therefore, alternative strategies to improve ACL reconstruction are needed.

Currently, many ideal graft types exist to reproduce the anatomy and mechanics of a native ACL and include autograft, allograft, or a synthetic graft. The two most commonly used autografts are bone-patellar tendon-bone (BPTB) and hamstrings tendon (HT). BPTB autografts have many advantages including high strength and stiffness, size consistency, and ease of harvesting [18,19]. Unfortunately, BPTB autografts are at high risk for donor site morbidity, anterior knee pain, patellar injuries, and loss of function [20]. HT autografts were associated with less donor site morbidity but were originally thought to provide inferior biomechanical outcomes when compared to the BPTB graft. In a study with 71 patients, patients with HT grafts were found to walk on their knees significantly better than BPTB patients 2 years post procedure [22]. In another study, the HT graft was able to withstand a higher
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load of failure when compared to BPTB (2422N verses 1784N, respectively [25]. Improvements to HT autografts such as the quadruple combined semitendinosus tendon and gracilis tendon graft (DSTG) can also improve the biomechanical outcome of the graft. For instance, the DSTG graft’s tensile strength has an ultimate load of 4500N, which is ~2.5X higher than the ultimate load for a native ACL (1725N) [26]. Other HT modifications such as creating a four-strand graft, by folding two hamstring tendons in half have been tested [1] This modification has been shown to decrease the length of the graft but increases the maximum load and stiffness of the graft. These current advancements have made HT grafts a popular autograft choice during ACL reconstruction.

Other components of ACL reconstruction are important for surgical success including fixation strategy and tendon grafts modifications. Fixation strategies include intratunnel and extratunnel fixation of both femoral and tibia grafts. However, most research data indicates little difference between these methods when using outcome measurement like clinical scores and graft failure as criteria [27,28] Other fixation methods such as the endobutton femoral fixation, the use of bioabsorable or metal interference screws, and the use of a cortical suspension systems exist [29]. In one study, the endobutton fixation method was found to increase stability for the HT graft when compared a BPTB graft fixed with an interference screw [30]. Other data comparing a cortical button fixation with a transfemoral suspensory femoral fixation found little difference between groups when measuring short-term outcomes [31]. Current research suggests that there is no clinical difference between screw fixation and tendon fixation. Yet, suspensory systems are believed to help with soft tissue graft fixation by limiting graft slippage and providing sufficient fixation strength. Tendon grafts are often modified to increase the tensile strength and stiffness of the graft. Millett et al. [32] found that braiding the tendon grafts decreases the strength and stiffness in BPTB and HT grafts. Grafts can also undergo a process known as whip stitching which converts the flat, harvested tendon into a cylindrical structure, which effectively tubularizes the graft [33]. The graft can then be strung through the tibia and femoral bone tunnel using a femoral suspensory system that prevents graft bunching. Compared to unmodified tendon grafts, whip stitching is thought to increase the tensile strength of the graft and increase the load needed to cause ultimate failure [34]. Though Wang et al showed that this process could decrease the peak tensile load to failure and stiffness of single stranded semitendinosus grafts [35]. The aim of this study was to assess whether whip stitching a quadruple HT graft using a femoral suspensory device increases the tensile strength when the graft is cyclically loaded. We hypothesize that whip stitching a quadruple-strand HT graft will increase stiffness, yield load, and ultimate load when compared to non-whip-stitched graft via mechanical testing.

**Methods**

**Bovine ACL HT graft preparation**

Bovine extensor tendons (n=24) were obtained from Arthrex, Inc. (Naples, FL) and thawed at room temperature overnight before preparation and testing. During HT ACL graft preparation, all samples were kept at room temperature and moistened periodically with 0.9% normal saline. The length of each tendon was recorded before testing (132mm±16) and after testing (142mm±15.5). Each tendon was sectioned longitudinally and folded over itself to create a quadruple-strand HT graft around the Endobutton loop (Arthrex, Inc., Naples, FL). Each graft was marked at 40mm from the free ends (tibia cortex to the intra-articular surface), 30mm of intra-articular distance, and 20mm from the femoral side. Using number 2 Fiber Wire Fiber Loop (Arthrex, Inc., Naples, FL), 5mm gapped whip stitches were performed (spanning 30mm of the tibia side) to connect the free ends of each graft to create two independent tails. Next, number 2 FiberWire was used as a FiberLoop to tubularize the graft at 5mm gaps for the T-ACL group (Figure 1A), but this step was not completed in the C-ACL group (Figure 2B). Preparation of grafts was alternated between T-ACL and C-ACL and was divided as shown in Table 1 for experimentation. Each quadruple graft was sized with an appropriate sizing guide to accommodate an 8mm diameter canal.

**Figure 1:** Examples of the Quadruple-Strand ACL Before Mechanically Tested. A) T-ACL B) C-ACL.
Table 1: Summary of ACL Grafts used in Mechanical Testing Models.

| Group Type          | Synthetic Bone Block Model | Porcine MTS Model |
|---------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|
| Tubularized ACL graft | N=6                       | N=6              |
| Not Tubularized ACL graft | N=6                     | N=6              |
| Total Specimens      | 12                        | 12               |

**Synthetic bone block model**

A synthetic bone block (SBB) model was completed to optimize the mechanical and outcome parameters for this study. Each SBB model utilized one femoral and one tibia SBB that secured the prepared grafts between them as shown in Figure 2. The SBBs consisted of solid 20 lb/ft³ foam for the tibia side (model #1522-03, Pacific Research Laboratories, Vashon, WA), and 20 lb/ft³ with a 3 mm thick 40 lb/ft³ laminate layer for the femur side (model #1522-65, Pacific Research Laboratories, Vashon, WA). The SBB portion tested 12 grafts total that were divided into 2 groups of six: C-ACL and T-ACL. The preparation of these grafts is described below under graft preparation.

**Porcine ACL biomechanical model**

Twelve porcine tibia specimens were obtained from Arthrex, Inc. (Naples, FL), stored in a secured facility, and disposed under approved conditions when the study was complete. The porcine tibias were prepared for the ACL grafts by the same-trained orthopedic resident. During this procedure, the surgeon used a power drill equipped with an 8 mm drill bit to make a tibia tunnel. Prepared T-ACL and C-ACL grafts were randomly assigned to each tibia and drawn through the tibia tunnel (Figure 3A). The Endobutton was secured over the MTS hooking that simulated femoral fixation, and was secured with an interference screw to finalize the tibia tunnel fixation (9x28 mm, Arthrex, Inc., Naples, FL) (Figure 3B & 3C).
Mechanical testing

Methods for biomechanical testing were adopted from the study of Hung et al [36]. For the Porcine ACL Model, the tibias were potted in cylindrical molds of polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) for gripping in the testing apparatus (Figure 3B). The potting apparatus was secured to the servohydraulic MTS machine using appropriate bolts and fasteners. The grafts were secured to the MTS machine (Minneapolis, MN) through Endobutton connected to a metallic loop (Figure 3C). Samples were pre-loaded between 10N and 50N for 10 cycles at 0.1Hz using the MTS Machine (MTS Systems Corp., Eden Prairie, MN) with a 10kN load cell Interface, Scottsdale, AZ, USA) in order to ensure all specimens were tested at similar stress-strain conditions. Pre-conditioned specimens immediately underwent cyclic/fatigue testing between 50N and 250N for 500 cycles at 1Hz. Following cyclic loading, a pull to failure was conducted at 20mm/min.

Data analysis

The slope of the liner region of the load displacement curve was used to determine stiffness (N/mm) of the ACL. Ultimate load (N) was defined as the maximum load before a sudden drop in force. Yield Load (N) was defined as the stress level the tendon could withstand before becoming permanently deformed. T-tests were used to determine significance for ultimate load, yield load, stiffness, and tendon displacement. Statistical analysis was completed using SigmaPlot Version 12 software.

Results

Effect of tubularization via whip stitching on a quadruple-strand hamstring acl using a synthetic bone block model

Table 2: Mechanical Properties for the ACL Graft Groups via Synthetic Bone Block Model.

| Sample Size(n) | Ultimate Load (N) | Yield Load (N) | Stiffness (N/mm) | Tendon Displacement Length (mm) |
|---------------|------------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| C-ACL Mean    | 6                | 76.23 ±95.5    | 658±93.8        | 181±17.6                      | 2.75±0.873                   |
| T-ACL Mean    | 6                | 85.18 ±35.5    | 79±78.4         | 17±60.8                       | 3.02±0.93                    |
| P Value       |                  | 0.075          | 0.025           | 0.315                         | 0.621                        |

The SBB model was used to compare the mechanical properties of the T-ACL and C-ACL groups. The mean ultimate load for the experimental group was 12% higher when compared to the control but was not found to be significantly different (P=0.075; Table 2). When yield load was compared between the groups the T-ACL and C-ACL groups was found to be statistically greater by 20% (P=0.025; Table 1). No significant difference was found between the groups when stiffness and displacement were compared.

Effect of tubularization via whip stitching on a quadruple-strand hamstring acl using a porcine model

Table 3: Mechanical Properties for the ACL Graft Groups via Porcine Model.

| Sample Size(n) | Ultimate Load (N) | Yield Load (N) | Stiffness (N/mm) | Tendon Displacement Length (mm) |
|---------------|------------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| C-ACL Mean    | 6                | 569.37±239.09  | 180.20±150.10   | 155.09±74.98                  | 13.00±4.77                   |
| T-ACL Mean    | 6                | 457.64±173.91  | 268.52±70.75    | 285.70±69.67                  | 9.71±2.56                    |
| P Value       |                  | 0.0351         | 0.26            | 0.015                         | 0.142                        |

The effect of tubularization on a quadruple-strand hamstring ACL was compared using porcine tibia as a model. When ultimate load was compared between T-ACL and C-ACL no significant difference was found (Table 3). The T-ACL group was found to have a 1.5-fold higher yield strength when compared to C-ACL but was not significantly different (Figure 4). When stiffness was compared, the T-ACL group was found to be 83% greater than the C-ACL group (Table 3, P=0.015). Additionally, no significant difference was found when the length of displacement was compared between groups (Table 3; P=0.142).

Discussion

The aim of this study was to assess whether whip-stitching a quadruple hamstring allograft in a femoral suspensory model increases the biomechanical properties of the ACL graft. Our results show a statistically significant increase in stiffness in the T-ACL group when using the porcine model and significant increase in yield strength when using the SBB model. Therefore, tubularization via whip stitching may improve the ligamentization process by increase the ACL graft strength and preventing early ACL graft failure.

To date several suturing preparations have been utilized for graft preparation including whip stitching, krackow stitch, and the baseball stitch [37]. It is common during surgery to suture the free ends of the tendon to aid in harvesting and to apply tension to the graft during final fixation. The use of the whip stitching has been shown to withstand higher ultimate loads than a commonly used utility suture [38]. Whip stitching increases the overall diameter of the graft and creates a uniform structure among the four strands, its structure is thought to increase stiffness and prevent deformation of the graft. Our mechanical data obtained with the SBB model demonstrates that T-ACL requires a higher force to deform the ACL and our porcine model shows a similar trend although not...
statistically significant. Other data has shown that a locking whip stitch has similar biomechanical properties when compared to the krackow stitch. Both stitch type requires similar forces to alter or weaken the mechanical integrity of the graft as measured by ultimate load or tendon elongation after cyclic loading when compared to traditional stitched [39]. One possibly for enhanced mechanical properties is this quadruple-strand whip stitch structure could reduce "the bungee effect". The bungee effect occurs when there is motion along the graft in the longitudinal axis that impairs the biologic incorporation of the graft and instead causes tunnel within the bone to widen [1]. It also possible that this fixation method reduces excessive transverse motion of the graft during flexion and extension of the knee or the additional whipstitch creates more frictional force at the screw graft interface [1,25,35,38]. However, Hong et al. [39] demonstrated that the number of suture throws does not have an effect on mechanical properties but very few studies have been completed to support this result. Our study demonstrated that whip stitching in combination with a femoral suspensory fixation enhances yield strength in the SBB model and stiffness in the porcine model which to our knowledge has not been tested.

Limitations of the study are that this biomechanical study since porcine tendons was used in place of human tendons. However, porcine tendons have been established as a reproducible model for ex vivo testing. In addition, the sample size of 6 specimens for each group may have been under powered, however this sample size corresponds to similar biomechanical studies. Furthermore, the non-absorbable intra-articular suture could lead to a sterile intra-articular reaction which may increase the stiffness and yield strength but lead to a suboptimal clinical environment. Additionally, extra suture throws may over constrain the knee joint in a clinical setting and create less optimal soft tissue laxity for normal knee kinematics.

Conclusion

Approximately 100,000 primary ACL reconstructive surgeries are performed in the United States every year with an ever-increasing ACL revision rate of 3,000 to 10,000 per year. Many surgeons are now opting to use allografts due to easier accessibility, increasing ACL revision rate of 3,000 to 10,000 per year. Many are performed in the United States every year with an ever-increasing ACL revision rate of 3,000 to 10,000 per year. Many are performed in the United States every year. Many are performed in the United States every year. Many are performed in the United States every year.
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