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Psychotic experiences have been proposed to lie on a spectrum, ranging from subclinical experiences to treatment-resistant schizophrenia. We aimed to characterize functional connectivity and brain network characteristics in relation to the schizophrenia spectrum and bipolar disorder with psychosis to disentangle neural correlates to psychosis. Additionally, we studied antipsychotic medication and lithium effects on network characteristics. We analyzed functional connectivity strength and network topology in 487 resting-state functional MRI scans of individuals with schizophrenia spectrum disorder (SCZ), bipolar disorder with a history of psychotic experiences (BD), treatment-naïve subclinical psychosis (SCP), and healthy controls (HC). Since differences in connectivity strength may confound group comparisons of brain network topology, we analyzed characteristics of the minimum spanning tree (MST), a relatively unbiased backbone of the network. SCZ and SCP subjects had a lower connectivity strength than BD and HC individuals but showed no differences in network topology. In contrast, BD patients showed a less integrated network topology but no disturbances in connectivity strength. No differences in outcome measures were found between SCP and SCZ, or between BD patients that used antipsychotic medication or lithium and those that did not. We conclude that functional networks in patients prone to psychosis have different signatures for chronic SCZ patients and SCP compared to euthymic BD patients, with a limited role for medication. Connectivity strength effects may have confounded previous studies, as no functional network alterations were found in SCZ after strict correction for connectivity strength.

**INTRODUCTION**

Psychosis is defined by the experience of hallucinations, delusions, and the disorganization of thought and speech. Psychotic experiences are a core feature of schizophrenia spectrum disorders (SCZ) but are also highly prevalent in bipolar disorder (BD), and in attenuated form in the healthy population (subclinical psychosis, SCP). As such, psychosis has been proposed to lie on a spectrum, which ranks these experiences regarding their severity in clinical and subclinical populations. Even though psychotic experiences in SCZ and BD share phenomenological features, it remains unclear to what extent psychosis in BD shares a common pathophysiology with SCP and SCZ. Disentangling the pathophysiology underlying psychosis in SCZ, SCP, and BD may help to tailor psychosis treatment based on biological features.

Neuroimaging studies have provided evidence for the disconnection hypothesis in SCZ stating that psychosis in SCZ can be understood from aberrant anatomical connections and functional interactions in the brain. Network theory provides a framework to study structural or functional connections between brain areas on a systems level, where network nodes represent brain regions and edges reflect axonal (as measured with diffusion tensor imaging) or functional connections between nodes. Several characteristics have been defined to quantitatively describe the organization of networks, including the strength or number of connections that are present (i.e., connectivity strength), the number of steps it takes to travel across the network (i.e., path length, a measure of efficiency), and the presence of highly connected, central hub regions; the so-called rich club.

In structural brain networks of clinical and subclinical subjects with psychosis, connectivity strength is generally decreased as compared to controls. Analysis of network topology characteristics such as efficiency, however, has shown mixed or even contradicting results. In functional networks of subjects with psychosis, both increases and decreases of connectivity strength have been reported, as well as mixed results on network characteristics. Similarly, studies in BD have not yet led to consensus on connectivity alterations related to the disorder. Clinical explanations for the variability of findings in brain network studies may include differences in studied populations, disease stages, and medication effects. However, increasing evidence shows that methodological choices during the imaging data analysis, ranging from data acquisition to the definition of network characteristics, may have considerable impact on group comparisons.

We studied fMRI connectivity and network topology in a large sample of individuals with SCZ, SCP, BD, and healthy controls (HC), using strict correction for confounders in network analysis, and exploring possible effects of antipsychotic medication. We analyzed resting-state fMRI scans and applied the minimum spanning tree (MST) analysis to study functional network topology. The MST is a backbone of the network that is relatively insensitive to differences in connectivity strength, which often confound group comparisons of brain network topology, while...
Results are summarized in Table 3 (see Supplementary materials: section matrix visualizations and group comparisons and Supplementary Table 5 for post-hoc test results). SCZ and SCP subjects had lower connectivity strength than HC and BD individuals ($F(3, 480) = 5.62, p = 0.001, \eta^2 = 0.034$). No differences in global MST network topology were found between SCZ, SCP, and HC. Mean connectivity strength in BD patients did not significantly differ from HC. However, BD patients showed significantly lower kappa (less diversity in node degree; $F(3, 480) = 4.09, p = 0.007, \eta^2 = 0.025$) and leaf fraction scores (decreased network integration or efficiency; $F(3, 480) = 3.49, p = 0.016, \eta^2 = 0.021$) than HC and SCP individuals. We found a nonsignificant trend for a lower kappa ($p = 0.058$) and leaf fraction ($p = 0.092$) in BD compared to SCZ. Sex had a significant effect as covariate on connectivity strength (M = 0.10, SD = 0.032) showing more movement during scanning than SCP individuals (M = 0.08, SD = 0.029) and HC (M = 0.08, SD = 0.026). However, this was of little influence on connectivity measures after strict motion correction (see Supplementary Fig. 1).

Group comparisons

MST characteristics can be interpreted along the lines of conventional network characteristics27–29 (Fig. 1; Table 1). We analyzed whether connectivity strength differences can be disentangled from network topology differences in fMRI functional networks of individuals with a history of psychotic experiences. In particular, we compared SCP, SCZ, BD with a history of psychotic episodes, and HC. To investigate possible medication effects on functional connectivity and network topology we compared (1) medication-naïve SCP individuals and SCZ patients (mostly) using antipsychotic medication, and (2) BD patients that used antipsychotics and those that did not.

### Results

**Subject characteristics**

Subject characteristics are summarized in Table 2. Groups significantly differed on age ($F(3, 483) = 24.02, p < 0.001$), sex ($X^2(3) = 20.09, p < 0.001$), and education ($F(3, 483) = 9.74, p < 0.001$; see Supplementary Materials: Subject Characteristics). Motion parameters also differed between the groups ($F(3, 486) = 21.586, p < 0.001$) with SCZ (M = 0.10, SD = 0.039) and BD patients (M = 0.08, SD = 0.092) showing more movement during scanning than SCP individuals (M = 0.08, SD = 0.029) and HC (M = 0.08, SD = 0.026). However, this was of little influence on connectivity measures after strict motion correction (see Supplementary Fig. 1).

### MST visualizations

a – c Brain regions and their connections are visualized as nodes (circles) and edges (lines). Red nodes are leaf nodes with only one connection. a Path-like MST with a diameter of twelve edges. b Intermediate between a path-like and a star-like MST with a diameter of six edges. The green node has a higher degree (five connecting edges) than the red leaf nodes. c Star-like MST: The green node is a node with high betweenness centrality: When connecting any two nodes in the network you have to pass the green node. Kappa, a measure that characterizes the degree distribution, is highest for a, intermediate for b, and lowest for c.

### Table 1.

| MST Measures | Definition | Interpretation |
|--------------|------------|----------------|
| Connectivity strength (mean edge) | Mean of edge weights in MST network | Connectivity strength |
| Overlap | Fraction of edges that two MSTs have in common | Overlap ranges from 0 (no overlapping edges) to 1 (exact match of MSTs) |
| Diameter | Longest distance between two most remote nodes (expressed in number of edges) | Indication of network efficiency. A low diameter means that information is efficiently processed between remote brain regions. |
| Degree divergence/Kappa | Measure of the broadness of the degree distribution | Measure of degree diversity across nodes and importance of hubs. A high kappa indicates a broader degree distribution and thereby more hubs are expected. Kappa is related to resilience against attacks. |
| Leaf fraction | Fraction of leaf nodes (nodes with only one connection) in the MST | Measure of network centrality, integration, and efficiency. A high leaf fraction means that the network is largely dependent on central nodes. |
| Degree | Number of edges connected to a given node | Measure of regional importance. Nodes with a high degree may be hub nodes and are more important in the network. |
| Betweenness centrality of node X | Fraction of shortest paths between any two nodes y and z that are passing through x but not including x | Measure of network centrality (how central a node’s role is in the overall network communication). When connecting any two nodes in the network how likely is it to pass node X? Betweenness centrality ranges from 0 (leaf node) to 1 (central node in a star-like network). |
Higher connectivity strength in males. Age had a significant effect as covariate on kappa (F(1, 480) = 6.588, p = 0.011, \eta^2 = 0.014) and leaf fraction (F(1, 480) = 15.948, p < 0.001, \eta^2 = 0.032), with higher kappa and leaf fraction scores with increasing age.

### Sensitivity Analysis

The replication of our findings with age-matched groups (see Supplementary Materials: Sensitivity Analysis and Supplementary Tables 6 and 7 for details) showed that all results from our main analysis were replicated except for the difference in connectivity strength with age.
**Table 3.** Group differences in minimum spanning tree measures.

| Group differences in MST measures | df  | F    | p   | η²  | Mean | SE  |
|-----------------------------------|-----|------|-----|-----|------|-----|
| **Connectivity strength**         | 1   |      |     |     |      |     |
| Age                               | 1480| 1.31 | 0.255 | 0.003 |       |     |
| Sex                               | 1480| 5.85 | 0.016* | 0.012 |       |     |
| Education                         | 1480| 3.78 | 0.063 | 0.008 |       |     |
| Group                             | 3480| 5.62 | 0.001* | 0.034 |       |     |
| HC                                |     | 0.639| 0.004 |     |      |     |
| SCP                               |     | 0.618| 0.009 |     |      |     |
| SCZ                               |     | 0.616| 0.006 |     |      |     |
| BD                                |     | 0.640| 0.005 |     |      |     |
| **Diameter**                      | 1   |      |     |     |      |     |
| Age                               | 1480| 1.05 | 0.371 | 0.006 |       |     |
| Sex                               | 1480| 1.108| 0.001 |     |      |     |
| Education                         | 1480| 0.108| 0.003 |     |      |     |
| Group                             | 3480| 0.111| 0.002 |     |      |     |
| Kappa                             | 1   |      |     |     |      |     |
| Age                               | 1480| 6.59 | 0.011* | 0.014 |       |     |
| Sex                               | 1480| 1.33 | 0.249 | 0.003 |       |     |
| Education                         | 1480| 0.041| 0.867 | <0.001 |       |     |
| Group                             | 3480| 4.09 | 0.007* | 0.025 |       |     |
| HC                                |     | 2.840| 0.008 |     |      |     |
| SCP                               |     | 2.867| 0.020 |     |      |     |
| SCZ                               |     | 2.835| 0.013 |     |      |     |
| BD                                |     | 2.802| 0.011 |     |      |     |
| **Leaf fraction**                 | 1   |      |     |     |      |     |
| Age                               | 1480| 15.95| <0.001* | 0.032 |       |     |
| Sex                               | 1480| 0.822| 0.365 | 0.002 |       |     |
| Education                         | 1480| 0.492| 0.515 | 0.001 |       |     |
| Group                             | 3480| 3.49 | 0.016* | 0.021 |       |     |
| HC                                |     | 0.472| 0.002 |     |      |     |
| SCP                               |     | 0.478| 0.004 |     |      |     |
| SCZ                               |     | 0.471| 0.003 |     |      |     |
| BD                                |     | 0.465| 0.002 |     |      |     |

Group differences were tested with ANCOVAs with age, sex, and education as covariates and the Benjamini–Hochberg FDR correction for multiple testing. Significant differences at $p = 0.05$ are marked with an asterisk (*). HC healthy controls, SCP subclinical psychosis, SCZ schizophrenia spectrum disorder, BD bipolar disorder with psychosis.

Results are visualized in Fig. 2. Regional analyses (significant at $p = 0.05$ after correction for multiple comparisons) showed that left occipital regions have a lower degree in SCP and SCZ compared to HC. Additionally, left frontal regions have a lower degree while the right supramarginal gyrus has a higher degree in SCZ compared to HC. In contrast, bilateral frontal and occipital regions have a higher degree in BD relative to HC, while right temporal regions have a lower degree. Detailed results can be found in the supplementary material (Section regional network analysis, Supplementary Tables 8 and 9, Supplementary Figs. 2–4).

**Medication effects**

Medication effects on MST measures were examined by comparing the differences on MST measures between (1) SCZ and SCP subjects and (2) BD patients with ($N = 66$) and without antipsychotic medication ($N = 65$) and (3) BD patients with ($N = 85$) and without ($N = 51$) lithium. Differences in global MST network topology were found neither between SCZ patients, medication-naïve SCP individuals, and HC, nor between BD patients that used antipsychotics and those that did not (Tables 3 and 4, Supplementary materials).

**DISCUSSION**

We aimed to characterize functional connectivity and brain network characteristics in relation to the schizophrenia spectrum and in bipolar disorder with psychosis to disentangle neural correlates to psychosis. We found that functional networks of SCZ and SCP individuals are characterized by decreased MST connectivity strength compared to HC (see Fig. 3a); however, no differences in network topology were found. Interestingly, SCP and SCZ individuals did not differ from each other. Functional networks of BD patients seem to be topologically different in that their networks are less centralized, less integrated, and less efficient in functional networks between SCZ and BD patients with psychosis. However, in SCZ this might be due to a global decrease in connectivity strength, whereas network topological differences are found in BD compared to other groups. Importantly, this indicates that distinct neural correlates are related to psychosis in BD as compared to SCP and SCZ. Our study mostly included SCZ patients with chronic symptoms as well as euthymic BD patients and thereby the SCZ and BD groups can be considered as having different clinical states. Differences in functional brain networks between SCZ and BD are therefore not related to a psychotic state, but may reflect trait differences between these groups.

Our findings are in line with previous research showing decreased structural and functional connectivity in SCZ12,14,21 including an MST study that found decreased structural but no differences in global MST topology in SCP and SCZ.15 Together, these results are contradicting prior studies that reported increased functional connectivity21,30 and network topology differences in SCZ20. We suggest that less strict or no correction for possible connectivity strength effects in these studies may have led to less accurate estimations of network topology.21,23 We found that medication effects cannot explain our results, as was already suggested by a prior study31. The fact that connectivity strength differences were found between SCZ and HC, while no topological differences were found, indicates that altered network topology is not a driving pathophysiological disease characteristic in SCZ. Rather, topographical characteristics...
of connectivity alterations, meaning the anatomical localization of network topology characteristics, may be specific to the disease.

Connectivity strength and MST topology did not differ between SCP and SCZ individuals. Previous work on cortical thickness and structural brain networks found that SCZ patients deviated more from controls than SCP individuals\textsuperscript{13,16,32} including a prior MST study using a partially overlapping dataset\textsuperscript{35}. The lack of differences between SCP and SCZ individuals might be due to the small sample size of the SCP group. However, based on the small F values (<1.00) and effect sizes (~.01) of the post-hoc tests we do not expect to find a clinically relevant difference with a larger sample. Our global MST findings regarding BD are contradicting with research reporting no global differences in the structural connectome in (high risk for) BD\textsuperscript{22,26}, similar disturbances in BD and SCZ\textsuperscript{33–35} or studies suggesting a spectrum with findings regarding BD are consistent with research reporting weaker structural connectivity in a subnetwork including the Rolandic operculum and neighboring fronto-temporal areas\textsuperscript{25,26}.

We found no effect of antipsychotics or lithium on connectivity strength and MST topology as there was difference in MST measures neither between medication-naïve SCP individuals and SCZ patients, nor between BD patients that used antipsychotics or lithium and those that did not. This is in-line with findings that antipsychotic medication does not influence the blood-oxygenation-level-dependent signal in fMRI studies and is not significantly associated with connectivity measures\textsuperscript{20,25,31}. However, it should be noted that several studies reported functional connectivity strength and network topology changes after treatment with antipsychotic medication, and that the SCP group may differ from medication naïve schizophrenia patients\textsuperscript{33–35}. The effects of antidepressants and/or other mood stabilizers were not considered in this study but were not expected to affect findings\textsuperscript{25}.

Strengths of this study are the direct comparisons of large, transdiagnostic samples with strict correction for motion effects and other confounders in network analysis including connectivity strength. A limitation of this study is that the groups differed in age, although our main findings were replicated in age-matched subgroups. Differences in age might suggest different disease stages, which may lead to different network organization\textsuperscript{44}. Our SCZ cohort mainly consisted of chronic SCZ patients where network characteristics might contrast most with HC. Detailed data on disease duration were not available in our sample. Assessment of psychotic symptoms differed between groups, as a transdiagnostic instrument for the characterization of these symptoms such as the Questionnaire of Psychotic Experiences was not yet available during data collection\textsuperscript{45}. However, we do not expect that other definitions of psychotic symptoms would lead to significant differences in our main findings. Regarding our methodology, we used the current state of art methodological pipeline but we cannot ensure that using other methods (e.g., using echo-planar fMRI, another atlas or connectivity measure, or using a seed-based or independent component analysis approach) might lead to different results.
In conclusion, functional networks may reflect a psychosis trait rather than state with different signatures for patients/healthy subjects in the schizophrenia spectrum compared to patients with bipolar disorder, which could not be explained by antipsychotic medication effects. No functional network alterations were found in the schizophrenia spectrum after strict correction for connectivity strength, suggesting that connectivity strength effects may have confounded previous functional network studies.

METHODS

Participants

We included 97 patients with schizophrenia spectrum disorder, 136 patients with bipolar-I disorder, 35 individuals with subclinical psychotic experiences, and 219 healthy controls. Participants were recruited between 2006 and 2018 via the Dutch Bipolar Cohort (BD, HC)\(^6\), The Outcome of Psychosis Fitness Therapy (SCZ, HC\(^7\)), the Spectrum (SCP, SCZ, HC\(^8\)), the Understanding Hallucinations (SCZ, HC, clinicaltrials.gov identifier NCT02460965), or the Simvastatin for recent onset psychosis studies (SCZ, HC\(^9\)). All participants were above 18 years and had no diagnosis of alcohol or substance abuse disorders or somatic disorders (e.g., cardiovascular, neuromuscular, or endocrine disorders)\(^10,11\). The SCZ group had a diagnosis of schizophrenia, schizoaffective disorder, or psychosis not otherwise specified according to the DSM-IV\(^1\), had a lifetime history of hallucinations and/or delusions as assessed by the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV, SCID\(^5\), and the Comprehensive Assessment of Symptoms and History Interview, CASH\(^12\) and was stable on antipsychotic medication for at least 4 weeks before inclusion\(^13\). Subjects with a diagnosis of schizoaffective disorder (N = 12, 11%) were excluded to ensure contrast in the comparison between SCZ and BD. The BD group showed a lifetime history of hallucinations and/or delusions but was euthymic at the time of inclusion\(^1\). The SCP group had psychotic experiences at least once a month, had no diagnosis of an Axis I psychiatric disorder other than anxiety or depressive disorders in full remission, and was not using any psychiatric medication\(^14,15\). Two patients were using antidepressants in the SCP group, which is similar to the use of antidepressants in the general population; no other psychiatric medication was used in this group. The absence of a psychiatric diagnosis in the SCP group can be disputed since the criteria persistent hallucinations would be sufficient for a diagnosis of psychosis not otherwise specified (NOS). However, the general terms of the DSM state that a diagnosis should only be made if the symptoms and/or dysfunction bother the individual socially or occupationally, which was not the case in our study. The control group (recruited via all studies) included individuals with no current diagnosis but a history of depressive disorder (N = 17; 7.7%), ADHD (N = 1; 0.5%), PTSD (N = 1; 0.5%), adjustment disorder (N = 1; 0.5%), specific phobia (N = 2; 0.9%), mild alcohol use disorder (N = 3; 1.4%), conduct disorder (N = 1; 0.5%), or eating disorder (N = 1; 0.5%). Three controls (1.4%) were excluded due to the use of antidepressant medication. Characteristics of the participants are summarized in Table 2. Participants gave written informed consent and the studies were approved by the affiliated Institutional Review Board and conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Image acquisition and processing

Scans were acquired with a 3T Achieva Philips clinical MRI scanner equipped with an 8-channel SENSE head coil at the University Medical Center Utrecht (Philips, Best, The Netherlands). For anatomical reference, 3D high-resolution T1-weighted images were obtained with echo time (TE) = 4.6 ms, repetition time (TR) = 10 ms, flip angle (fl) = 8°. Field of View (FOV) = 240 mm × 100%, voxel size = 0.75 × 0.75 × 0.80 mm, and reconstruction matrix = 200 × 320 × 320. For subjects recruited via the Spectrum study\(^14\), T1-weighted images were of lower resolution (160 contiguous sagittal slices, TE = 4.6 ms, TR = 10 ms, fl = 8°, FOV = 224 mm, voxel size = 1 × 1 × 1 mm). Apart from the T1-weighted images, all other scanning parameters were similar across studies so that it was not expected to impact our study. Resting-state functional MRI scans were acquired by combining a 3D PRESTO pulse sequence with parallel imaging (SENSE) in two directions leading to a fast functional brain coverage every 609 ms\(^1\). Parameters were set to 40 coronal slices, TE = 32.4 ms, TR = 21.75 ms, fl = 10°, FOV = 224 × 256 × 160, voxel size = 4 × 4 × 4 mm. Depending on the study, 600–1000 images were acquired. All resting-state scans were resliced to the first 600 images (~6 min) and were checked for radiological abnormalities.

Images were processed using the FMRIB Software Library (FSL) version 5.0.4\(^1\). For preprocessing, FEAT’s default settings were used including skull stripping (BET), motion correction with MClFILT, spatial smoothing (5 mm kernel at full width at half maximum), and high-pass filtering (100-second cutoff). No global signal regression was performed as this could influence network topology analyses and group comparisons\(^12\). A systemic motion-related bias was prevented by excluding subjects whose relative root mean square displacement overall frames exceeded 0.2 mm or if 20 individual frames all exceeded the threshold of 0.25 mm\(^2\). ICA-AROMA was used to correct for in-scanner motion since it removes motion-related variance from the BOLD signal together with white matter and cerebral spinal fluid regressors\(^14,16\). From the initial 612 subjects analyzed, 185 subjects were excluded (HC N = 51; SCP N = 8; SCZ N = 54; BD N = 72) due to missing clinical data, processing errors, motion artifacts, or radiological exclusions resulting in 487 subjects included for further analysis (see Supplementary Table 1 for details).

---

Table 4. Medication differences in minimum spanning tree measures.

| Medication | df | F   | p     | Mean | SE |
|------------|----|-----|-------|------|----|
| Antipsychotic medication effects on MST measures |    |     |       |      |    |
| Connectivity strength | Group 1 1126 | 0.524 | 0.470 | 0.641 | 0.006 |
| BD/A | | | | | |
| BD/nAP | | | | | |
| Diameter | Group 1 1126 | 0.817 | 0.368 | 0.112 | 0.002 |
| BD/A | | | | | |
| BD/nAP | | | | | |
| Kappa | Group 1 1126 | <0.001 | 0.990 | 2.802 | 0.013 |
| BD/A | | | | | |
| BD/nAP | | | | | |
| Leaf fraction | Group 1 1126 | 0.008 | 0.929 | 0.467 | 0.003 |
| BD/A | | | | | |
| BD/nAP | | | | | |
| Lithium effects on MST measures |    |     |       |      |    |
| Connectivity Strength | Group 1 1131 | 3.702 | 0.057 | 0.632 | 0.005 |
| BD/ii+ | | | | | |
| BD/ii− | | | | | |
| Diameter | Group 1 1131 | 1.131 | 0.290 | 0.109 | 0.002 |
| BD/ii+ | | | | | |
| BD/ii− | | | | | |
| Kappa | Group 1 1131 | 0.972 | 0.326 | 2.800 | 0.013 |
| BD/ii+ | | | | | |
| BD/ii− | | | | | |
| Leaf fraction | Group 1 1131 | 0.150 | 0.699 | 0.466 | 0.003 |
| BD/ii+ | | | | | |
| BD/ii− | | | | | |

Table differences were tested with ANCOVAs with age, sex, and education as covariates and the Benjamini-Hochberg FDR correction for multiple testing.

HC healthy controls, SCP subclinical psychosis, SCZ schizophrenia spectrum disorder, BD bipolar disorder with psychosis, BD/AP bipolar disorder with antipsychotic use, BD/nAP bipolar disorder without antipsychotic use, BD/ii+ bipolar disorder with lithium use, BD/ii− bipolar disorder without lithium use.
Network topology. For regional network analyses, the degree and network integration, with higher leaf fraction indicating more integrated network that have only one connecting edge and thereby is a measure of (degree). Leaf fraction quantifies the fraction of nodes in the whole network that have only one connecting edge and thereby is a measure of network integration, with higher leaf fraction indicating more integrated network topology. For regional network analyses, the degree and betweenness centrality were calculated (see Fig. 1): The degree states how many edges connect to a node. Betweenness centrality measures how likely it is to pass a given node when connecting any two other nodes in the network. Together, these regional measures describe the nodal importance within the network.

Minimum spanning tree analysis
Conventional graph theoretical measures might be influenced by the number of connections in the network and the connectivity strength. For instance, lower connectivity strength is often found in patients as compared to control populations, which leads to lower values for network measures thereby confounding group comparisons of network topology.

To overcome these issues, the MST can be constructed, which is a subnetwork of the original graph that connects all nodes without forming loops and represents the network’s backbone. The MST is relatively insensitive to differences in connectivity strength thereby enabling group comparisons of brain networks from different populations provided a similar number of nodes and a unique MST.

Connectivity analysis
Time series of each voxel across the brain were extracted and averaged across 264 functional regions according to the atlas described by Power et al. Subsequently, the brain network was reconstructed as a weighted graph from the resulting 264 time series. The cortical, subcortical, and cerebellar regions of the atlas described by Power and colleagues were used to define the graph’s nodes. Functional connections between nodes are represented as edges. Wavelet decompositions were applied to each of the time series, extracting wavelet coefficients in scale 4 (0.05–0.10 Hz). For wavelet filtering, the maximal overlap discrete wavelet transform (MODWT) method was used in the WMSTA toolbox (http://www.atmos.washington.edu/~wmsta/) in Matlab R2015b (The Mathworks, Inc). The edge weight or the strength of a connection was estimated by the wavelet coherence between the wavelet coefficients of two regions. The wavelet coherence was calculated using Welch’s overlapped averaged periodogram method for all possible node pairs, resulting in a weighted functional connectivity matrix of the size 264 × 264 for each subject.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analyses were performed in IBM SPSS Statistics 25. Differences in subject characteristics were tested with one-way ANOVAs and Chi-Square tests. Normality of each outcome measure per group was assessed using the Shapiro–Wilk test and Q-Q plots. The Pearson correlation between motion (relative mean displacement) and connectivity strength was calculated to assure that motion did not confound the functional connectivity measures. As main analysis, we tested for group differences in global MST measures with ANCOVAs using the complete samples with age, sex, and years of education as covariates and Tukey LSD post-hoc tests. To correct for potential type I errors, the Benjamini–Hochberg procedure was used to correct for multiple testing. As sensitivity analysis, we manually matched the groups on age since age differences might bias differences in connectivity strength and brain network topology. Exploratory post-hoc ANCOVAs using the matched groups with age, sex, and education as covariates were performed to validate global MST group differences. Additionally, Matlab R2015b (The Mathworks, Inc) was used to investigate differences in local MST topology (i.e., differences in nodal degree and betweenness centrality) between the age-matched groups using permutation tests (10,000 permutations, Monte Carlo 2-sided test, Family Wise Error adjusted). To further check for medication effects, we repeated the ANCOVAs on global MST measures and the regional permutation tests comparing BD patients with (N = 66) and without antipsychotic medication (N = 65), and BD patients with (N = 85) and without (N = 51) lithium. The significance level for all statistical tests was set at p < 0.05.

Reporting summary
Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.
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