Age-structured Delayed SIPCV Epidemic Model of HPV and Cervical Cancer Cells Dynamics II. Convergence of Numerical Solution

Vitalii V. Akimenko¹, Fajar Adi-Kusumo²

¹Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, Ukraine
vitaliakm@gmail.com

²Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta, Indonesia
f_adikusumo@ugm.ac.id

Received: 12 December 2021, accepted: 27 March 2022, published: 11 May 2022

Abstract—The numerical method for simulation of age-structured SIPCV epidemic model with age-structured sub-classes of susceptible, infectious, pre-cancerous and cancer cells and unstructured population of human papilloma virus (HPV) dynamics with incubation period is developed. Convergence of the numerical approximations is studied both theoretically and numerically. We prove the stability and second rate of convergence of the approximate solutions to the exact solution of the SIPCV epidemic nonlinear system. The numerical experiments based on the grid refined method confirm and illustrate the second order of accuracy of the obtained numerical method and show the various dynamical regimes of population dynamics. Simulations for model parameters of the system reveal two unstable dynamical regimes of SIPCV population which correspond to the cancer tumor growth and formation of metastases in organism.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Continuous age-structured models have proved to be useful in modelling of a broad set of problems arising in life science because they describe the life history of biological organisms in population through the all stages of their lifecycle: birth, maturation, reproduction and death [3], [4], [6-8], [10], [11], [16], [18], [20-24], [26], [30-33]. Development of the numerical methods for such models plays an important role in modelling of population and evolutionary dynamics and were studied in many works in the different fields of life science [1], [2], [5], [9], [12-14]. The qualitative
The rate of convergence of numerical solution in our paper is studied both theoretically and numerically. In the first part of our work [9] we consider the convergence of approximate solution to the benchmark solution of system in the series of numerical experiments. In this article we study the convergence of approximate solution using the grid re-fined method [19], [28] that correspond to the definition of stability of nonlinear difference schemes [28]. All numerical experiments confirm and illustrate the second rate of convergence of approximate solution of nonlinear SIPCV model. The evaluations of numerical error obtained in this paper are in good agreement with the results of numerical experiments presented in our earlier works [7], [9]. Numerical experiments with model parameters of the system reveal two types of unstable dynamical regimes interesting from biological point of view. The first regime corresponds to the unlimited exponential growth of cancer cells population (when a basic reproduction number greater than one) and asymptotically stable oscillating dynamics of the other cell subpopulations and HPV population. The second regime corresponds to the unlimited exponential growth of dysplasia (precancer cells) which induces the unstable dynamics of cancer cells population and, from the other hand, the asymptotically stable oscillating dynamics of the other cell subpopulations and HPV population. In both cases the unstable cancer cells population dynamics mean the cancer tumor growth and formation of metastases in organism.

Thus, the numerical method of the second order of accuracy designed in our work provides the reliable theoretical instrument for simulation and theoretical study of nonlinear age-structured SIPCV epidemic models.

## II. Model

We recall that the dynamics of age-specific densities of susceptible, infectious, pre-cancerous and cancer cells $S(a, t)$, $I(a, t)$, $P(a, t)$, $C(a, t)$, respectively, and time-dependent density of HPV $V(t)$ is governed by the nonlinear age-structured model [9]:

$$\frac{\partial S(a, t)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial S(a, t)}{\partial a} = -(d_s(a, t) + \alpha(a, t - \theta)V(t - \theta))S(a, t)$$

$$\frac{\partial I(a, t)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial I(a, t)}{\partial a} = -(d_q(a, t) + \delta(a, t))I(a, t) + \alpha(a, t - \theta)V(t - \theta)S(a, t)$$

The rate of convergence of numerical solution in our paper is studied both theoretically and numerically. In the first part of our work [9] we consider the convergence of approximate solution to the benchmark solution of system in the series of numerical experiments. In this article we study
\[
\frac{\partial P(a,t)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial P(a,t)}{\partial a} = -d_r(a,t)P(a,t) + \delta(a,t)I(a,t) \\
\frac{\partial C(a,t)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial C(a,t)}{\partial a} = -d_c(a,t)C(a,t) \\
\frac{\partial V(t)}{\partial t} = \Lambda(t) - d_V(V(t-\sigma))V(t) + n(t) \int_0^{a_d} (d^*_q(a,t)I(a,t) + d^*_r(a,t)P(a,t))da.
\]

Equations (1)-(5) are completed by the boundary conditions and initial values:

\[
S(0,t) = \int_{a_r}^{a_m} \beta_s(a,t)S(a,t)da, \quad I(0,t) = \int_{a_r}^{a_m} \beta_q(a,t)I(a,t)da, \quad P(0,t) = (1 - \mu(N_r(t))) \int_{a_r}^{a_m} \beta_r(a,t)P(a,t)da, \\
C(0,t) = \int_{a_c}^{a_c} \beta_c(a,t)C(a,t)da + \mu(N_r(t)) \int_{a_r}^{a_m} \beta_r(a,t)P(a,t)da, \\
S(a,0) = \varphi(a), I(a,0) = 0, P(a,0) = 0, \quad C(a,0) = 0, \quad V(t) = V_0(t), t \in [-\sigma,0],
\]

where the birth (fertility) rates of the susceptible, infectious, precancerous and cancer cells are \(\beta_s(a,t), \beta_q(a,t), \beta_p(a,t), \beta_c(a,t)\), respectively; \(\varphi(a)\) is an initial density of susceptible cells, \(V_0(t)\) is an initial value of HPV quantity. Function \(\alpha(a-\theta, t-\theta) \in C(Q)\) is a rate of infection which takes into account infection of susceptible cells over the age \(\theta\) (survived after incubation period) at the unit of time:

\[
\alpha(a-\theta, t-\theta) = \begin{cases} 
0, & \text{if } a < \theta, \\
\alpha_0(a-\theta, t-\theta), & \text{if } a \geq \theta,
\end{cases}
\]

where \(\alpha_0(a, t)\) is an auxiliary rate of infection defined for \(a \in [\theta, a_d], t \in [-T, T-\theta]\). We impose the following restrictions on the density-dependent HPV death rate and cell’s birth and death rates [9]:

\[
\delta(a,t), d_c(a,t), d_r(a,t), d_q(a,t), d_s(a,t) > 0 \quad (12)
\]

\[
\beta_s(a,t), \beta_q(a,t), \beta_p(a,t), \beta_c(a,t) > 0 \quad (13)
\]

\[
\alpha_0(a, t) \geq 0, \Lambda(t) \geq 0, n(t) > 0 \quad (14)
\]

\[
d_V(V) > 0, \frac{\partial d_V(V)}{dV} \geq 0, \text{ for } V > 0, \quad (15)
\]

\[
\varphi(a) \geq 0, \int_{0}^{a_d} \varphi(a)da > 0, V_0(t) \geq 0. \quad (16)
\]

We assume that all coefficients and initial values of system (1)-(11) are twice continuously differentiable functions and have the private derivatives of the second order by all their arguments.

### III. CONVERGENCE OF THE APPROXIMATE SOLUTION (66)-(117) [9]

Using Eqs. (23), (67), (68) from [9] we assess the residual (e.g. numerical error) of susceptible cells density \((\psi_S)_i^j = S(a_i,t_j) - S_i^j\) for \(0 < a_i \leq a_d, 0 < t_j \leq T\) (Appendix A). From Eqs. (33), (78), (79) from [9] we assess the residual of infectious cells density \((\psi_I)_i^j = I(a_i,t_j) - I_i^j\) for \(0 < a_i \leq a_d, 0 < t_j \leq T\) (Appendix B). From Eqs. (43), (91), (92) from [9] we assess the residual of precancerous cells density \((\psi_P)_i^j = P(a_i,t_j) - P_i^j\) for \(0 < a_i \leq a_d, 0 < t_j \leq T\) (Appendix C). From Eqs. (58)-(60), (106)-(108) from [9] we assess the residual of cancerous cells density \((\psi_C)_i^j = C(a_i,t_j) - C_i^j\) for \(0 < a_i \leq a_d, 0 < t_j \leq T\) (Appendix D). Using the Eqs. (65), (115)-(117) from [9] we can assess the residual of virus population density \((\psi_V)_i^j = V(t_j) - V_i^j\) for \(0 < t_j \leq T\) (Appendix E). On the basis of obtained residuals of all subpopulations densities we formulate the following Theorem.
Theorem 1. Let the coefficients of SIPCV epidemic system (1)-(11) satisfy conditions of Theorems 1, 2 from [9] and be twice continuously differentiable functions by their arguments. The solution of numerical method (66)-(117) (from [9]) approximated the system (1)-(11) on the uniform grid with square meshes \( \tilde{\omega}_h \) with accuracy \( O(h^2) \) satisfies estimations obtained in Appendixes A-E, is stable and converges to the exact solution with rate \( O(h^2) \).

IV. CONVERGENCE OF APPROXIMATE SOLUTION ON REFINED GRIDS

The convergence of approximate solution is studied numerically by the method of refined grids [19], [28]. In the first part of our work [9], we considered the convergence of approximate solution to the benchmark solution in numerical experiments for the model with the specific set of coefficients and initial values. Using the method of refined grids, we study the convergence of numerical approximation for the arbitrary coefficients and initial values of model that allows us for considering more realistic, biologically motivated birth and death rates of susceptible, infectious, pre-cancerous and cancer cells. In experiments we use the constants from Table 1 [9] and the following coefficients and initial values:

\[
\beta_s(a,t) = 0.25(a_m - a_r)^{-1}\exp(-tT^{-1}) \left(1 + \sin \left(\pi(a_m - a_r)^{-1}(2a - 0.5(a_m + 3a_r))\right)\right)
\]

\[
\beta_r(t) = \beta_q(a,t) = \beta_s(a,t),
\]

\[
\beta_c(a,t) = 0.45(a_g - a_c)^{-1}\exp(-tT^{-1}) \left(1 + \sin \left(\pi(a_g - a_c)^{-1}(2a - 0.5(a_g + 3a_c))\right)\right),
\]

\[
\alpha(a - \theta, t - \theta) = \begin{cases} 
0, & \text{if } a \in [0, \theta], \\
0.02(1 + \sin(0.5\pi\theta^{-1}(2a - 3\theta))) \exp(-(t - \theta)T^{-1}), & \text{if } a \in [\theta, 2\theta], \\
0.02 \exp(-(t - \theta)T^{-1}), & \text{if } a \in [2\theta, a_d],
\end{cases}
\]

\[
\mu(N_r(t)) = \frac{\rho N_r(t)}{1 + w N_r(t)}
\]

\[
d_s(t) = 0.1 \exp(-tT^{-1}) \left(1 + 2\pi^{-1} \arctan(a - 0.4(a_r + a_d))\right)
\]

\[
d_r(t) = d_q(a,t) = d_s(a,t)
\]

\[
d_r(t) = 0.1 \exp(-tT^{-1}) \left(1 + 2\pi^{-1} \arctan(a - 0.4(a_c + a_d))\right)
\]

\[
d_v(V(t - \sigma)) = 0.05(1 + V(t - \sigma))^{0.5}
\]

\[
d_q(t) = 0.2d_q(t), d_r(t) = 0.2d_r(t),
\]

\[
\Delta(t) = \exp(-tT^{-1})
\]

\[
S(a,0) = \exp(-a)
\]

\[
I(a,0) = P(a,0) = C(a,0) = 0
\]

\[
V_0(t) = (2\sigma + t)^{0.5}, t \in [-\sigma, 0]
\]

We evaluate the residual of two solutions \( \tilde{Y}_i^j \) and \( \tilde{\tilde{Y}}_i^j \) computed on two different grids with parameters \( (h, i = 0, \ldots, N, j = 0, \ldots, M) \) and \( (0.5h, i = 0, \ldots, 2N, j = 0, \ldots, 2M) \), respectively. The difference between previous \( \tilde{Y}_i^j \) and current \( \tilde{\tilde{Y}}_i^j \) solutions \( \delta^{(1)}_{H}(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\tilde{Y}}) = \max_{0 \leq i \leq N} \left| \tilde{Y}_i^j - \tilde{\tilde{Y}}_i^j \right| \) and between \( \tilde{V}_i^j \) and \( \tilde{\tilde{V}}_i^j \), respectively, is estimated by means of the following dimensionless functionalities:

\[
\delta^{(1)}_{H}(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\tilde{Y}}) = \frac{M}{M} \sum_{j=0}^{N} \left| \tilde{Y}_i^j - \tilde{\tilde{Y}}_i^j \right|\left( \sum_{j=0}^{M} \tilde{Y}_i^j \right)^{-1}
\]

\[
\delta^{(1)}_{C}(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\tilde{Y}}) = \max_{0 \leq j \leq M} \left| \tilde{Y}_i^j - \tilde{\tilde{Y}}_i^j \right|\left( \max_{0 \leq j \leq M} \tilde{Y}_i^j \right)^{-1}
\]

\[
\delta^{(2)}_{H}(\tilde{V}, \tilde{\tilde{V}}) = \frac{M}{M} \sum_{j=0}^{N} \left| \tilde{V}_i^j - \tilde{\tilde{V}}_i^j \right|\left( \sum_{j=0}^{M} \tilde{V}_i^j \right)^{-1}
\]

\[
\delta^{(2)}_{C}(\tilde{V}, \tilde{\tilde{V}}) = \max_{0 \leq j \leq M} \left| \tilde{V}_i^j - \tilde{\tilde{V}}_i^j \right|\left( \max_{0 \leq j \leq M} \tilde{V}_i^j \right)^{-1}
\]

The results of numerical experiments for the different pairs of dimensionless parameter \( x = h/a_d \) are presented in the Table 1. The session time of simulation in all experiments is approximately
This conclusion is in good agreement with the approximation with the second order of accuracy. The numerical method and convergence of numerical solutions in all experiments illustrate the stability of solutions (Fig. 1 - Fig. 5). The equations of regressions of the first type for two different initial values of the cancer cells quantity with exponential infinite and precancerous cells quantity, and HPV quantity, totally stable dynamics of susceptible, infected SIPCV population. Simulations reveal existence of two types of such dynamics interesting from the biological point of view. The dynamical regime of the first type for two different initial values of \( \varphi(a) \) is shown in Figs. 6 - 10. In particular, in Figs. 6, 7, 8, 10 it is shown the oscillating, asymptotically stable dynamics of susceptible, infected and precancerous cells quantity, and HPV quantity, while in Fig. 9 it is shown the unstable dynamics of cancer cells quantity with exponential infinite

| \( x \) | 0.0125 and 0.00625 | 0.025 and 0.0125 | 0.05 and 0.025 | 0.1 and 0.05 |
|-------|------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|
| \( \delta_H^{(1)}(\tilde{Y}^{\delta}_{i,S,Y}) \) | 0.0018 | 0.0071 | 0.029 | 0.12 |
| \( \delta_C^{(1)}(\tilde{Y}^{\delta}_{i,S,Y}) \) | 0.0035 | 0.0140 | 0.057 | 0.25 |
| \( \delta_H^{(1)}(\tilde{Y}^{\delta}_{i,I,Y}) \) | 0.0017 | 0.0066 | 0.027 | 0.11 |
| \( \delta_C^{(1)}(\tilde{Y}^{\delta}_{i,I,Y}) \) | 0.0031 | 0.0125 | 0.051 | 0.22 |
| \( \delta_H^{(1)}(\tilde{Y}^{\delta}_{i,P,Y}) \) | 0.0016 | 0.0065 | 0.026 | 0.11 |
| \( \delta_C^{(1)}(\tilde{Y}^{\delta}_{i,P,Y}) \) | 0.0031 | 0.0123 | 0.050 | 0.21 |
| \( \delta_H^{(1)}(\tilde{Y}^{\delta}_{i,C,Y}) \) | 0.0036 | 0.0147 | 0.061 | 0.29 |
| \( \delta_C^{(1)}(\tilde{Y}^{\delta}_{i,C,Y}) \) | 0.0071 | 0.0286 | 0.120 | 0.58 |
| \( \delta_H^{(2)}(\tilde{Y}^{\delta}_{i,V,Y}) \) | 0.0010 | 0.0038 | 0.016 | 0.07 |
| \( \delta_C^{(2)}(\tilde{Y}^{\delta}_{i,V,Y}) \) | 0.0017 | 0.0068 | 0.028 | 0.13 |

the same as shown in Table 2 in work [9]. The biggest among all subclasses values of difference between two numerical solutions \( \tilde{Y}^{\delta}_{i} \) and \( \tilde{Y}^\delta_i \) are obtained for the cancer cells subpopulation. This is a consequence of the large numerical error of approximate density of cancer cells subpopulation observed in the experiments in [9]. The results of numerical experiments presented in Table 1 are shown in Fig. 1 (■ - the values of \( \delta_H^{(1)}(S) \), \( \delta_C^{(1)}(S) \) from Table 1 depending from \( x = h/a_d \), - - - - graphs of corresponding regressions \( y(x) \) (with denoted equations)), Fig. 2 (\( \delta_H^{(1)}(I) \), \( \delta_C^{(1)}(I) \) and \( y(x) \)), Fig. 3 (\( \delta_H^{(1)}(P) \), \( \delta_C^{(1)}(P) \) and \( y(x) \)), Fig. 4 (\( \delta_H^{(1)}(C) \), \( \delta_C^{(1)}(C) \) and \( y(x) \)) and Fig. 5 (\( \delta_H^{(2)}(V) \), \( \delta_C^{(2)}(V) \) and \( y(x) \)). We draw the plots of regressions for all data points obtained in experiments which provide the experimental evaluation of the convergence rate of approximate solutions (Fig. 1 - Fig. 5). The equations of regressions in all experiments illustrate the stability of numerical method and convergence of numerical approximation with the second order of accuracy. This conclusion is in good agreement with the results of numerical experiments obtained in the first part of our study for the bench-mark solution [9].

In the second group of numerical experiments we study the unstable dynamical regimes of SIPCV population. Simulations reveal existence of two types of such dynamics interesting from the biological point of view. The dynamical regime of the first type for two different initial values of \( \varphi(a) \) is shown in Figs. 6 - 10. In particular, in Figs. 6, 7, 8, 10 it is shown the oscillating, asymptotically stable dynamics of susceptible, infected and precancerous cells quantity, and HPV quantity, while in Fig. 9 it is shown the unstable dynamics of cancer cells quantity with exponential infinite
growth. Such behavior of cancer cells is typical for the population with a basic reproduction number greater than one [3], [11], [16], [21], [22]. From the biological point of view unstable dynamics of cancer cells means that cancer tumor is not localized in biological tissue and tends to form the metastases.

The second type of unstable dynamical regimes corresponds to the asymptotically stable oscillating dynamics of susceptible, infected cells quantity and HPV quantity like those shown in Figs. 6, 7, 10, and unstable dynamics of dysplasia (precancerous cells) and cancer cells quantity shown in Figs. 11, 12 for 2 different initial values of $\varphi(a)$. In this case unstable behavior of cancer cell subpopula-
tion can be induced by the un-limited growth of dysplasia but not by the rapid proliferation and/or low mortality of cancer cells. Thus, the basic reproduction number of cancer cell subpopulation can be less than one, and their unstable dynamics may be induced by the precancerous cells which play a role of donor for cancer cells in this case.

Thus, the results of simulations exhibit that the numerical method designed for the age-structured SIPCV epidemic model can be applied for numerical study and modelling of cell-HPV population dynamics with high accuracy.
V. CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSION

In this paper we study both theoretically and numerically the convergence of approximate solution of a numerical method designed for the epidemic model of age-structured sub-populations of susceptible, infectious, precancerous and cancer cells and un-structured population of human papilloma virus (HPV) (SIPCV epidemic model) and presented in the first part of our work [9]. Theoretical analysis based on the evaluation of residual of approximate solution shows the stability and converges of the numerical solution to the exact solution of system with the second order of accuracy. The numerical experiments confirm and illustrate the second rate of convergence of numerical approximation on the refined grids. Although this result was expected, since we have obtained the second rate of convergence of approximate solution to the benchmark solution of system in the numerical experiments in work [9], the study of convergence of numerical approximation on the refined grids allows us to consider the model with more realistic biologically motivated coefficients. The results of numerical experiments are in good agreement with the results obtained in [9] and confirm conclusion that the relative numerical error of solution may be reduced up to 0.1% for the very small value of mesh spacing parameter $h = 0.005$ (that is 0.5% of $a_d$) but for the large value of session time of simulation.

The numerical experiments with model parameters revealed two types of unstable dynamical regimes of SPICV population. In the first case we observed the unlimited exponential growth of cancer cells population with a basic reproduction number greater than one when the other cells subpopulations and HPV population showed the asymptotically stable oscillating dynamics. In the second case the unlimited exponential growth of dysplasia (precancer cells) induced the unstable dynamics of cancer cells population while the other cells subpopulations and HPV showed the asymptotically stable oscillating dynamics. In both cases the unlimited cancer cells population dynamics tend to the tumor growth and formation of metastases in organism. Overall, in our papers (first and second parts of our project) we developed an age-structured SIPCV epidemic model of HPV and cervical cancer cells dynamics, obtained an exact solution of this model, designed and studied the corresponding numerical method for the exact solution for simulation the dynamics of susceptible, infected, precancerous, cancerous cells and HPV populations with high accuracy, important for study the human papilloma cancer diseases in theoretical biology and medicine.
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APPENDIX A. ESTIMATION OF THE RESIDUAL OF SUSCEPTIBLE CELLS DENSITY.

Using Eqs. (40), (67), (68) from [9] we can estimate the residual of susceptible cells density \( (\psi_S)_i^j = S(a_i, t_j) - S_i^j \). We use here the formula for numerical error of trapezoidal rule of integration from [17], the Taylor remainder of zero order for the approximation of natural exponential function and the norm of functional space \( C: ||f(x, y)||_{C([a, b] \times [c, d])} = \max_{x \in [a, b], y \in [c, d]} |f(x, y)| \). The numerical formula of derivation of residual estimation is given in Appendix A. For \( k = 1, 0 < t_j < a_i \leq a_d, 0 < t_j < \theta < a_r \) we have:

\[
\left| (\psi_S)_i^j \right| = \left| F_1^{(0)}(a_i - t_j) W_1(a_i - t_j, 0, t_j) - \left( F_1^{(0)} \right)_{j-i} (W_0)_i^{j-i}, 0 \right| \leq \left( \Phi_S^{(1)} \right)_i^j, \tag{35}
\]

where

\[
\left( \Phi_S^{(1)} \right)_i^j = \max_{\{\xi_l\}} \exp \left( h^2 A^0_{a d} \sum_{l=0}^{j-1} \frac{\partial^2 Q_S(a_i - t_j, \xi, \theta)}{\partial \xi^2} |\xi_l \in [t_l, t_{l+1}]| \right) - 1 \left| ||\varphi||_{C([0, a_d])} \sim O(h^2), \tag{36}\right.
\]

\[
Q_S(a_i - t_j, \xi, \theta) = d_s(a_i - t_j + \xi, \xi) + \alpha(a_i - t_j + \xi - \theta, \xi - \theta) V_0(\xi - \theta) \tag{37}
\]

where \( A_0 \) is a real number, \( A^0_{a d} > 0 \) is a constant, the value of which depends from the norm of coefficients and norm of their partial derivatives. Expression

\[
\max_{\{\xi_l\}} \left| \frac{\sum_{l=0}^{j-1} \partial f(\xi)}{\partial \xi} \middle| \xi_l \in [t_l, t_{l+1}] \right|
\]

means the maximum of absolute value of sum of \( \frac{\partial f(\xi)}{\partial \xi} \) by index \( l \) at the intervals \( \xi_l \in [t_l, t_{l+1}] \), i.e. we consider the set of points \( \{\xi_l\}, \xi_l \in [t_l, t_{l+1}] \), for which the absolute value of this sum takes the maximum value. Existence of such set of points \( \{\xi_l\} \) at the intervals \( \xi_l \in [t_l, t_{l+1}] \) follows from the continuous differentiability of function \( f(\xi) \) at the interval \( \xi \in [0, T] \). For \( k = 1, 0 < a_i \leq t_j \leq \theta < a_r \), we have:

\[
\left| (\psi_S)_i^j \right| = \left| F_1^{(1)}(a_i - t_j) W_1(a_i - t_j, t_j - a_i, t_j) - \left( F_1^{(1)} \right)_{j-i} (W_1)_i^{j-i}, j-i \right| \leq \left( \Phi_S^{(2)} \right)_i^j + \left( \Phi_S^{(3)} \right)_i^j \leq h^2 A^2_{a d} \left( \theta, a_m - a_r, ||\varphi||_{C([0, a_d])}, ||\beta_s(a, t)||_{C([0, a_d] \times [0, \theta])}, \right.
\]

\[
\left| \frac{\partial \varphi(a)}{\partial a} \right|_{C([0, a_d])}, \left| \frac{\partial^2 \varphi(a)}{\partial a^2} \right|_{C([0, a_d])}, \left| \frac{\partial \beta_s(a, t)}{\partial a} \right|_{C([0, a_d] \times [0, \theta])}, \left| \frac{\partial^2 \beta_s(a, t)}{\partial a^2} \right|_{C([0, a_d] \times [0, \theta])}, \left| \frac{\partial \alpha(a, t)}{\partial a} \right|_{C([-\theta, a_d - \theta] \times [-\theta, 0])}, \left| \frac{\partial \alpha(a, t)}{\partial \theta} \right|_{C([-\theta, a_d - \theta] \times [-\theta, 0])}, \left| \frac{\partial^2 \alpha(a, t)}{\partial a^2} \right|_{C([-\theta, a_d - \theta] \times [-\theta, 0])}, \left| \frac{\partial^2 \alpha(a, t)}{\partial \theta^2} \right|_{C([-\theta, a_d - \theta] \times [-\theta, 0])}, \left| \frac{\partial \varphi(a)}{\partial t} \right|_{C([-\theta, a_d - \theta] \times [-\theta, 0])}, \left| \frac{\partial \varphi(a)}{\partial \theta} \right|_{C([-\theta, a_d - \theta] \times [-\theta, 0])}, \left| \frac{\partial^2 \varphi(a)}{\partial \theta^2} \right|_{C([-\theta, a_d - \theta] \times [-\theta, 0])}, \left| \frac{\partial^2 V_0(t)}{\partial \theta^2} \right|_{C([-\theta, a_d - \theta] \times [-\theta, 0])}. \tag{38}
\]
where

\[
\left( \Phi_S^{(2)} \right)_i^j = \max_{\xi_l} \left| A_1(a_m - a_r)h^2 \sum_{l=i}^{m-1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi^2} \left( \beta_s(\xi - t_j, t_j) \exp \left( -\int_0^{t_j} Q_S(\xi - t_j, \eta, \theta) d\eta \right) \right) \right| \times \varphi(\xi - t_j) \left|_{\xi_l \in \{a_l, a_{l+1}\}} \right| \exp \left( A_2 h^2 t_i \max_{\xi_l} \left| \sum_{l=j}^{l-i} \frac{\partial^2 Q_S(a_i - t_j, \xi, \theta)}{\partial \xi^2} \right|_{\xi_l \in \{t_l, t_{l+1}\}} \right) ~ O(h^2),
\]

\[
\left( \Phi_S^{(3)} \right)_i^j = \max_{\xi_l} \exp \left( A_2 h^2 t_i \sum_{l=j}^{l-i} \frac{\partial^2 Q_S(a_i - t_j, \xi, \theta)}{\partial \xi^2} \right|_{\xi_l \in \{t_l, t_{l+1}\}} \right) - 1 \times T \left( i_r, i_m, \beta_s(\xi, t_j) \varphi(\xi - t_j), \xi_i \right) \sim O(h^2),
\]

\[T \left( i_1, i_2, f(x_i), x_i \right) = \begin{cases} 0.5 h \left( f(x_{i_1}) + f(x_{i_2}) \right), & \text{if } i_2 - i_1 = 1, \\ 0.5 h \left( f(x_{i_1}) + f(x_{i_2}) \right) + h \sum_{i=i_{i_1+1}}^{i_{i_2-1}} f(x_i), & \text{if } i_2 - i_1 > 1, \end{cases}
\]

where \( A_1, A_2 \) are real constants, \( A_S^{(1)} > 0 \) is a constant, the value of which depends from the norms of coefficients and norm of their partial derivatives.

**APPENDIX B. ESTIMATON OF THE RESIDUAL OF INFECTIOUS CELLS SUBCLASD DENSITY.**

From Eqs. (33), (78) from [9] we obtain the residual of infectious cells density \( (\psi_i)_i^j = I(a_i, t_j) - I_i^j \) for \( 0 < t_j < a_i \leq a_d, \ 0 < t_i \leq \theta < a_r \):

\[
\left\| (\psi_i)_i^j \right\|_0 \leq h^2 \times A_0 \left( \theta, a_m - a_r, \| \varphi \|_{C([0,a_d] \times [-\theta,0])}, \| \alpha(a, t) \|_{C([-\theta,a_d-\theta] \times [-\theta,0])}, \right.
\]

\[
\| V_0(t) \|_{C([-\theta,0])}, \| d_s(a, t) \|_{C([0,a_d] \times [0,\theta])}, \left\| \bar{d}_q(a, t) \right\|_{C([0,a_d] \times [0,\theta])}, \| \partial d_s(a, t) \|_{C([0,a_d] \times [0,\theta])}, \| \partial d_q(a, t) \|_{C([0,a_d] \times [0,\theta])}, \| \partial^2 d_s(a, t) \|_{C([0,a_d] \times [0,\theta])}, \| \partial^2 d_q(a, t) \|_{C([0,a_d] \times [0,\theta])}, \| \partial \alpha(a, t) \|_{C([-\theta,a_d-\theta] \times [-\theta,0])}, \left\| \partial V_0(t) \right\|_{C([-\theta,0])}, \| \partial^2 V_0(t) \|_{C([-\theta,0])}.
\]

where

\[
\left( \Phi_I^{(1)} \right)_i^j = T \left( 0, j, Q_i(a_i - t_j, \xi, \theta) \right) \max_{\xi_l \in \{\xi_l\}} \exp \left( h^2 t_j \left( A_3 \times \sum_{l=p}^{l-1} \frac{\partial^2 d_q(a_i - t_j + \xi, \xi)}{\partial \xi^2} \right|_{\xi_l \in \{t_i, t_{i+1}\}} + A_4 \sum_{l=0}^{p-1} \frac{\partial^2 Q_s(a_i - t_j + \xi, \xi)}{\partial \xi^2} \right|_{\xi_l \in \{t_i, t_{i+1}\}} \right) - 1 \sim O(h^2),
\]
\[
\left( \Phi_I^{(2)} \right)_i^j = \max_{\{\xi_i\}} A_5 h^2 t_j \sum_{l=0}^{j-1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial\xi^2} \left( Q_I(a_i - t_j, \xi, \theta) \exp \left( - \int_{\xi}^{t_j} \tilde{d}_q(a_i - t_j + \eta, \eta) d\eta \right) \right) \\
\times \exp \left( - \int_{0}^{\xi} Q_S(a_i - t_j, \eta, \theta) d\eta \right) \bigg|_{\xi \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]} \sim O(h^2),
\]
(44)

\[
Q_I(a - t, \xi, \theta) = \alpha(a - t + \xi - \theta, \xi - \theta) V_0(\xi - \theta) \varphi(a - t),
\]
(45)

where \( \xi_i = lh; A_3, A_4, A_5 \) are real constants, \( A_I^{(0)} > 0 \) is a constant, the value of which depends from the norm of coefficients and norm of their partial derivatives. We use here the formula for the numerical error of trapezoidal rule of integration from [17], the Taylor remainder of zero order for the approximation of natural exponential function and the norm of functional space \( C \).

For \( k = 1, 0 < a_i \leq t_j \leq \theta < a_r \), we have:

\[
\left| (\psi)_i^j \right| = \left| F_2^{(1)}(a_i - t_j) W_2(a_i - t_j, t_j - a_i, t_j) + Z_2^{(1)}(a_i - t_j, t_j - a_i, t_j) - (F_2^{(1)})_{j-i} \right|
\]
(46)

\[
\leq h^2 A_I^{(1)} \left( \theta, a_m - a_r, \| \varphi \|_{C([0, a_d])}, \| \alpha(a, t) \|_{C([-\theta, a_d - \theta] \times [-\theta, 0])}, \right)
\]

\[
\| \beta_s(a, t) \|_{C([0, a_d] \times [0, \theta])}, \| \beta_q(a, t) \|_{C([0, a_d] \times [0, \theta])}, \| \bar{d}_q(a, t) \|_{C([0, a_d] \times [0, \theta])}, \| \tilde{d}_q(a, t) \|_{C([0, a_d] \times [0, \theta])}, \| \bar{V}_0(t) \|_{C([-\theta, 0])}, \| \tilde{V}_0(t) \|_{C([-\theta, 0])}, \right)
\]

where

\[
\left( \Phi_I^{(3)} \right)_i^j = T_z \left( i_r, i_m, \beta_q(a_q, t), t_z \right) T_z \left( 0, j, Q_I(a_q - t_j, \xi, \theta), \xi \right), a_q \right) \times \max_{\{\xi_i\} \cdot \{\xi_i\}} \left| \exp \left( h^2 t_{j-1-p} \right) \right|
\]
(47)

\[
\left( A_6 \sum_{q=p}^{j-1} \frac{\partial^2 \bar{d}_q(a_i - t_j + \xi, \xi)}{\partial \xi^2} \bigg|_{\xi \in [t_q, t_{q+1}]} + A_9 \sum_{l=1}^{i-1} \frac{\partial^2 Q_S(a_i - t_j, \zeta, \theta)}{\partial \xi^2} \bigg|_{\zeta \in [t_q, t_{q+1}]} \right) - 1 \sim O(h^2)
\]
(48)

\[
\left( \Phi_I^{(4)} \right)_i^j = \max_{\{\zeta_l\}} A_8 h^2 (a_m - a_r) \sum_{l=1}^{i-1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi^2} \left( \beta_q(\zeta, t_j) \int_{0}^{t_j} \exp \left( - \int_{\zeta}^{t_j} \tilde{d}_q(\zeta - t_j + \eta, \eta) d\eta \right) \right)
\times Q_I(\zeta - t_j, \xi, \theta) \exp \left( - \int_{0}^{\xi} Q_S(\zeta - t_j, \eta, \theta) d\eta \right) \bigg|_{\xi \in [a_l, a_{l+1}]} \sim O(h^2)
\]
\begin{align*}
(\Phi_i^{(5)})^j &= T_z \left( i_r, i_m, \beta_q(a_p, t_j)h^2 t_j \max_{\{\xi_l\}} \left| A_9 \sum_{t=0}^{j-1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi^2} \left( \exp \left( - \int_{\xi}^{t_j} \tilde{d}_q(a_p - t_j + \eta, \eta) d\eta \right) \right) \right|_{\xi_l \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]} \right) \sim O(h^2) \\
& \times Q(t)(a_p - t_j, \xi, \theta) \exp \left( - \int_{0}^{\xi} Q_S(a_p - t_j, \eta, \theta) d\eta \right) \left|_{\xi_l \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]} \right| a_p \sim O(h^2) \\
(\Phi_i^{(6)})^j &= T_z \left( j-i, j, \alpha(a_i - t_j + \xi_l - \theta, \xi_l - \theta) \right) V_0(\xi_l - \theta) T_z \left( i_r, i_m, \beta_s(a_m, \xi_l) \times \varphi(a_m - t_j + \xi_l, a_m), \xi_l \right) \\
& \max_{\{\eta_p, \{\zeta_p\}, l \}} \left| \exp \left( h^2 t_j \left( A_{10} \sum_{p=l}^{j-1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \eta^2} \left( a_m - t_j + \eta, \eta \right) \right) \right|_{\eta_p \in [t_p, t_{p+1}]} \right) + A_{11} \sum_{p=0}^{l-1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi^2} \left| \zeta_p \in [t_p, t_{p+1}] \right) - 1 \sim O(h^2) \\
(\Phi_i^{(7)})^j &= h^2 t_{i-1} A_{12} \max_{\{\xi_l\}} \left| \sum_{l-j-i}^{j-1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi^2} \left( \exp \left( - \int_{\xi}^{t_j} \tilde{d}_q(a_i - t_j + \eta, \eta) d\eta \right) \times \alpha(a_i - t_j + \xi_l - \theta, \xi_l - \theta) \right) \right| \sim O(h^2) \\
& \times V_0(\xi_l - \theta) \int_{a_r}^{a_m} \beta_s(a, t_j) \varphi(a - t_j) \times \exp \left( - \int_{0}^{\xi} Q_S(a - t_j, \xi_l, \theta) d\eta \right) da \left|_{\xi_l \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]} \right| \sim O(h^2) \\
(\Phi_i^{(8)})^j &= T_z \left( i-r, j, \alpha(a_i - t_j + \xi_l - \theta, \xi_l - \theta) \right) V_0(\xi_l - \theta) \exp \left( - \int_{\xi_l}^{t_j} \tilde{d}_q(a_i - t_j + \eta, \eta) d\eta \right) \\
& \times h^2 A_{14} (a_m - a_r) \max_{\{\zeta_q\}} \left| \sum_{q=i_r}^{i_m-1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi^2} \left( \beta_s(\xi_l, t_j) \varphi(\xi_l - t_j + \xi_l) \right) \right| \sim O(h^2)
\end{align*}

where \( \xi_l = lh, a_m = mh; A_6 \ldots A_{14} \) are real constants, \( A_1^{(1)} > 0 \) is a constant, the value of which depends from the norm of coefficients and norm of their partial derivatives.

**APPENDIX C. ESTIMATION OF THE RESIDUAL OF PRECANCEROUS CELLS SUBCLASS DENSITY.**

By analogy with Eq. (35), from Eqs. (43), (91), (92) from [9] we obtain the residual of precancerous cells density \( (\psi_P)^j \mid = P(a_i, t_j) - P_i^j \) for \( 0 < t_j < a_i \leq a_d, 0 < t_j \leq \theta < a_r : \)

\[
\left| (\psi_P)^j \right| = \left| Z_3^{(0)}(a_i - t_j, 0, t_j) - \left( Z_3^{(0)} \right)^{j}_{i-j, 0} \right| \leq \sum_{q=1}^{3} \left( \Phi_P^{(q)} \right)^j_i
\]

\[
\leq h^2 \times A_{10}^{(0)} \left( \theta, a_m - a_r, \| \varphi \|_{C([0, a_d] \times [0, \theta])}, \| \alpha(a, t) \|_{C([-\theta, a_d - \theta] \times [-\theta, 0])}, \right)
\]

\[
\left\| \delta(a, t) \right\|_{C([0, a_d] \times [0, \theta])}, \left\| d_s(a, t) \right\|_{C([0, a_d] \times [0, \theta])}, \left\| \tilde{d}_q(a, t) \right\|_{C([0, a_d] \times [0, \theta])}, \left\| d_r(a, t) \right\|_{C([0, a_d] \times [0, \theta])}, \left\| \frac{\partial d_s(a, t)}{\partial a} \right\|_{C([0, a_d] \times [0, \theta])}, \left\| \frac{\partial^2 d_s(a, t)}{\partial a^2} \right\|_{C([0, a_d] \times [0, \theta])}, \left\| \frac{\partial \tilde{d}_q(a, t)}{\partial a} \right\|_{C([0, a_d] \times [0, \theta])}, \left\| \frac{\partial d_r(a, t)}{\partial a} \right\|_{C([0, a_d] \times [0, \theta])}, \right)
\]
where

\[
\Phi^{(1)}_P \bigg| = T_z \left( 0, j, \delta(a_i - t_j + \xi_l, \xi_l) \right) T_z \left( 0, l, Q_l (a_i - t_j, \eta_p, \theta) \right) \left| \frac{\partial^2 d_r(a_i - t_j + s, \xi)}{\partial \xi^2} \right|_{|s| \in [\tau_q, \tau_q+1]} + t_l - A_1 \sum_{\eta_q \in [\tau_q, \tau_q+1]} \left| \frac{\partial^2 \bar{d}_q(a_i - t_j + \zeta, \zeta)}{\partial \xi^2} \right|_{\zeta \in [\tau_q, \tau_q+1]} + t_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta^2} Q_S (a_i - t_j, \eta, \theta) \bigg|_{\eta \in [\tau_q, \tau_q+1]} \right) - 1 \sim O(h^2)
\]

\[
\Phi^{(2)}_P \bigg| = T_z \left( 0, j, \delta(a_i - t_j + \xi_l, \xi_l) \right) \exp \left( - \int \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} d_r(a_i - t_j + \eta, \eta) d\eta \right) h^2 A_1 t_j
\]

\[
\times \left| \sum_{\xi_l \in [\tau_q, \tau_q+1]} \left| \frac{\partial^2 d_r(a_i - t_j + \xi_l, \theta)}{\partial \xi^2} \right| \right| \exp \left( - \int \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} Q_S (a_i - t_j, \eta, \theta) d\eta \right) \bigg|_{\xi_l \in [\tau_q, \tau_q+1]} \bigg| \sim O(h^2)
\]

\[
\Phi^{(3)}_P \bigg| = \max_{\xi_l} A_1 h^2 t_j \sum_{\xi_l = 0}^{\xi_j} \left| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \xi^2} \left( \exp \left( - \int \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} d_r(a_i - t_j + \eta, \eta) d\eta \right) \right) \right|_{\xi_l \in [\tau_q, \tau_q+1]} \bigg| \sim O(h^2)
\]

where \( \eta_p = ph, \eta_q = qh, \xi_l = lh; A_1, \ldots, A_9 \) are real constants, \( A^{(0)}_P > 0 \) is a constant, the value of which depends from the norm of coefficients and norm of their partial derivatives.

Using the same technique as in Eq. (36), from Eqs. (48)-(53), (91) from [9] for \( k = 1, 0 < a_i \leq t_j \leq \theta < a_r, \) we have

\[
\left| \psi_3 \right| = \left| F_3^{(1)} (a_i - t_j) W_3 (a_i - t_j, t_j - a_i, t_j) + Z_3^{(1)} (a_i - t_j, t_j - a_i, t_j) \right|
\]

\[
- \left( F_3^{(1)} \right)_{j-i} \times \left( W_3 \right)_{j-i} - \left( Z_3^{(1)} \right)_{j-i} \right| \leq h^2 A^{(1)}_P \left( \theta, a_m - a_r, \rho, w, \varphi \right) \|C([0, a_d])
\]

\[
\| \beta_3 (a, t) \|_{C([0, a_d] \times [0, \theta])}, \| \beta_4 (a, t) \|_{C([0, a_d] \times [0, \theta])}, \| \delta (a, t) \|_{C([0, a_d] \times [0, \theta])}
\]
From Eqs. (58)-(60), (106)-(108) from [9] it follows that the residual of cancer-ous cells density \((\psi_C)_j = C(a_i, t_j) - C_j^0 = 0\) for \(0 < t_j < a_i \leq a_d, 0 < t_j \leq \theta < a_c\). From Eqs. (58), (59), (61), (107), from [9] for \(k = 1, 0 < a_i \leq t_j \leq \theta < a_r\), we have

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{j_{max}} \left( (\psi_C)_j = F_4^{(1)}(a_i - t_j)W_4(a_i - t_j, t_j - a_i, t_j) - \left( F_4^{(1)} \right)_{j_{-1}} \right)\frac{\partial\beta_p(a,t)}{\partial a} + \left( \frac{\partial^2\beta_p(a,t)}{\partial a^2} \right)_{j}\frac{\partial\beta_p(a,t)}{\partial a} + \left( \frac{\partial^2\beta_p(a,t)}{\partial a^2} \right)_{j}\frac{\partial\beta_p(a,t)}{\partial a} = 0
\]

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{j_{max}} \left( (\psi_C)_j = F_4^{(1)}(a_i - t_j)W_4(a_i - t_j, t_j - a_i, t_j) - \left( F_4^{(1)} \right)_{j_{-1}} \right)\frac{\partial\beta_p(a,t)}{\partial a} + \left( \frac{\partial^2\beta_p(a,t)}{\partial a^2} \right)_{j}\frac{\partial\beta_p(a,t)}{\partial a} + \left( \frac{\partial^2\beta_p(a,t)}{\partial a^2} \right)_{j}\frac{\partial\beta_p(a,t)}{\partial a} = 0
\]
where

\begin{equation}
\left( \Phi^{(1)}_C \right)_i^j = T_z \left( i_r, i_m, \beta_p(a_q, t_j)T_z \left( 0, j, \exp \left( - T_z(l, j, d_r(a_q - t_j + \eta_m, \eta_m, \eta_m) \right) \right) \right) \times \delta(a_q - t_j + \xi_l, \xi_l)T_z \left( 0, l, Q_I(a_q - t_j, \xi_l, \theta) \exp \left( - T_z(p, l, d_q(a_q - t_j + \eta_m, \eta_m, \eta_m) \right) - T_z(0, p, \eta_m, \eta_m) \right) \right) \times \exp \left( - T_z(j - i, i, d_c(a_i - t_j + \eta_m, \eta_m, \eta_m) \right) \right) \sim O(h^0) \tag{59}
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\left( \Phi^{(2)}_C \right)_{ilp} = \exp \left( h^2 \max \{ r_q \} \sum_{l=1}^{p} \frac{\partial^2 d_r(a_i - t_j + \xi, \xi)}{\partial \xi^2} \right) \left|_{\xi \in [t_q, t_{q+1}]} \right. \sim (1 + O(h^2)) \tag{60}
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\left( \Phi^{(3)}_C \right)_i = \max \{ r_q \} \exp \left( h^2 t_{2i-1} A_{23} \sum_{q=j-i}^{i} \frac{\partial^2 d_c(a_i - t_j + \eta, \eta)}{\partial \eta^2} \right) \left|_{\eta \in [t_q, t_{q+1}]} \right. \sim O(h^2) \tag{61}
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\left( \Phi^{(4)}_C \right)_i = 0, 5h \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{i d_i - 1}{2}} \left( \left( Z_3^{(0)} \right)^{i-j, 0} + \left( Z_3^{(0)} \right)^{i+1-j, 0} \right) \sim O(h^0) \tag{62}
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\left( \Phi^{(5)}_C \right)_i = h^2 (a_m - a_r) A_{25} \max \{ r_q \} \sum_{i=i_r}^{i_m-1} \frac{\partial^2 (\beta_p(\xi, t_j)}{\partial \xi^2} \left|_{\xi \in [a_i, a_{i+1}]} \right. \sim O(h^2) \tag{63}
\end{equation}

\int_{0}^{\xi} \exp \left( - \int_{0}^{\xi} d_r(\xi - t_j + \eta, \eta) d\eta \right) \times \delta(\xi - t_j + \xi, \xi) \int_{0}^{\xi} \exp \left( - \int_{0}^{\xi} d_q(\xi - t_j + \eta, \eta) d\eta \right) \times \exp \left( - \int_{0}^{\xi} Q_S(\xi - t_j, \eta, \theta) d\xi d\eta \right) \left|_{\xi \in [a_i, a_{i+1}]} \right. \sim O(h^2),

where $a_i = i h$, $\eta_m = mh$, $\eta_q = q h$, $\xi_p = p h$, $\xi_l = l h$, $\xi_q = q h$, $\xi = ih$; $A_{20}, ..., A_{25}$ are real constants, $A_C^{(1)} > 0$ is a constant, the value of which depends from the norm of coefficients and norm of their partial derivatives of the first and second order.
APPENDIX E. ESTIMATION OF THE RESIDUAL OF HPV POPULATION DENSITY.

Using the Eqs. (65), (115)-(117) from [9] we can estimate the residual of virus population density \((\psi_V)^j = V(t_j) - V^j\) for \(0 < t_j < \theta < a_c\):

\[
\left| (\psi_V)^j \right| \leq \sum_{p=1}^{8} \left( \Phi^{(p)}_V \right)^j \leq h^2 A_V^{(1)} \left( \theta, a_m - a_t, ||\varphi||_{C([0,a_d])}, ||n||_{C([0,\theta])}, ||\Lambda||_{C([0,\theta])}, ||\delta(a,t)||_{C([0,a_d] \times [0,\theta])} \right),
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \tilde{d}_v(t)}{\partial t} \left|_{C([0,\theta])} \right|, \frac{\partial \tilde{d}_v(a,t)}{\partial \theta} \left|_{C([0,\theta] \times [0,\theta])} \right|, \frac{\partial \tilde{d}_v(a,t)}{\partial a} \left|_{C([0,\theta] \times [0,\theta])} \right|, \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{d}_v(a,t)}{\partial a^2} \left|_{C([0,\theta] \times [0,\theta])} \right|, \frac{\partial \tilde{d}_q(t)}{\partial \theta} \left|_{C([0,\theta])} \right|, \frac{\partial \tilde{d}_q(a,t)}{\partial a} \left|_{C([0,\theta] \times [0,\theta])} \right|, \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{d}_q(a,t)}{\partial a^2} \left|_{C([0,\theta] \times [0,\theta])} \right|,
\]

\[
\left| \frac{\partial \alpha(a,t)}{\partial \theta} \right|_{C([-\theta,a_d-\theta] \times [-\theta,0])}, \left| \frac{\partial^2 \alpha(a,t)}{\partial \theta^2} \right|_{C([-\theta,a_d-\theta] \times [-\theta,0])}, \left| \frac{\partial \alpha(a,t)}{\partial a} \right|_{C([-\theta,a_d-\theta] \times [-\theta,0])}, \left| \frac{\partial^2 \alpha(a,t)}{\partial a^2} \right|_{C([-\theta,a_d-\theta] \times [-\theta,0])},
\]

\[
||V_0(t)||_{C([0,\theta])}, \left| \frac{\partial V_0(t)}{\partial \theta} \right|_{C([0,\theta])}, \left| \frac{\partial^2 V_0(t)}{\partial \theta^2} \right|_{C([0,\theta])}, \left| \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \theta} \right|_{C([0,\theta])}, \left| \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial a} \right|_{C([0,\theta] \times [0,\theta])}, \left| \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial a^2} \right|_{C([0,\theta] \times [0,\theta])}
\]

(64)

where

\[
\left( \Phi^{(1)}_V \right)^j = V_0 \exp \left( -T_z(0,j,\tilde{d}_v(\eta_q),\eta_q) \right) \max_{\{\xi_q\}} 1 - \exp \left( h^2 t_j A_{26} \sum_{q=0}^{j-1} \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{d}_v(\xi_q)}{\partial \xi_q^2} |_{\xi_q \in [t_q,t_{q+1}]} \right) \sim O(h^2), \quad (65)
\]

\[
\left( \Phi^{(2)}_V \right)^j_i = T_z \left( 0, j, \Lambda(\eta) \right) \exp \left( -T_z(0,j,\tilde{d}_v(\xi_p),\xi_p) \right) \eta_i
\]

\[
\max_{\{\eta_q\},l} 1 - \exp \left( h^2 t_j A_{27} \sum_{q=1}^{j-1} \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{d}_v(\eta_q)}{\partial \eta_q^2} |_{\eta_q \in [t_q,t_{q+1}]} \right) \sim O(h^2) \quad (66)
\]

\[
\left( \Phi^{(3)}_V \right)^j_i = T_z \left( 0, j, n(\eta_q) \right) \exp \left( -T_z(l,j,\tilde{d}_v(\xi_p),\xi_p) \right) T_z \left( 0, N, d^*_q(a_i,\eta_i)T_z \left( 0, l, Q_I(a_i-t_j,\zeta_p,\theta) \right) \right.
\]

\[
\exp \left( -T_z(p,l,\tilde{d}_q(a_i-t_j+c_q,c_q),c_q) - T_z \left( 0, p, Q_S(a_i-t_j,c_q,\theta),c_q,\zeta_p,\xi_p,\eta_i \right) \right)
\]

\[
\max_{\{\xi_q\},\{c_q\},\{\xi_p\},\{\eta_i\}} \left[ 1 - \exp \left( h^2 t_{j-1-l} A_{28} \sum_{q=0}^{i-1} \frac{\partial^2 d_v(\xi_q)}{\partial \xi_q^2} |_{\xi_q \in [t_q,t_{q+1}]} + t_{i-p} A_{29} \right)
\]

\[
\times \sum_{q=p}^{l-1} \frac{\partial^2 d_q(a_i-t_j+c_q,c_q)}{\partial c_q^2} |_{c_q \in [t_q,t_{q+1}]} + t_p A_{30} \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} \frac{\partial^2 Q_S(a_i-t_j,\zeta_p,\theta)}{\partial \zeta_p^2} |_{\zeta_p \in [t_q,t_{q+1}]} \right) \sim O(h^2),
\]

\[
\left( \Phi^{(4)}_V \right)^j_i = T_z \left( 0, j, n(\eta_q) \right) \exp \left( -T_z(l,j,\tilde{d}_v(\xi_p),\xi_p) \right) T_z \left( 0, N, d^*_p(a_m,\eta_i)T_z \left( 0, l, \delta(a_m-t_j+\zeta_p,\zeta_p) \right) \right.
\]

\[
\exp \left( -T_z(p,l,\tilde{d}_r(a_m-t_j+\xi_p,\xi_p),\xi_p) \right) T_z \left( 0, p, Q_I(a_m-t_j,c_q,\theta) \right)
\]

\[
\exp \left( -T_z(q,p,\tilde{d}_q(a_m-t_j+\xi_p,\xi_p),\xi_p) - T_z \left( 0, q, Q_S(a_m-t_j,c_q,\theta),\xi_p,\zeta_p,\xi_p,\eta_i \right) \right)
\]

\[
\left( \Phi^{(5)}_V \right)^j_i = T_z \left( 0, j, n(\eta_q) \right) \exp \left( -T_z(l,j,\tilde{d}_v(\xi_p),\xi_p) \right) T_z \left( 0, N, d^*_q(a_i,\eta_i)T_z \left( 0, l, \delta(a_m-t_j+\zeta_p,\zeta_p) \right) \right.
\]

\[
\exp \left( -T_z(p,l,\tilde{d}_r(a_m-t_j+\xi_p,\xi_p),\xi_p) \right) T_z \left( 0, p, Q_I(a_m-t_j,c_q,\theta) \right)
\]

\[
\exp \left( -T_z(q,p,\tilde{d}_q(a_m-t_j+\xi_p,\xi_p),\xi_p) - T_z \left( 0, q, Q_S(a_m-t_j,c_q,\theta),\xi_p,\zeta_p,\xi_p,\eta_i \right) \right)
\]

\[
\left( \Phi^{(6)}_V \right)^j_i = T_z \left( 0, j, n(\eta_q) \right) \exp \left( -T_z(l,j,\tilde{d}_v(\xi_p),\xi_p) \right) T_z \left( 0, N, d^*_p(a_m,\eta_i)T_z \left( 0, l, \delta(a_m-t_j+\zeta_p,\zeta_p) \right) \right.
\]

\[
\exp \left( -T_z(p,l,\tilde{d}_r(a_m-t_j+\xi_p,\xi_p),\xi_p) \right) T_z \left( 0, p, Q_I(a_m-t_j,c_q,\theta) \right)
\]

\[
\exp \left( -T_z(q,p,\tilde{d}_q(a_m-t_j+\xi_p,\xi_p),\xi_p) - T_z \left( 0, q, Q_S(a_m-t_j,c_q,\theta),\xi_p,\zeta_p,\xi_p,\eta_i \right) \right)
\]
\[
\max_{\{\eta_m\}, \{\xi_m\}, \{\xi_m\}, l, q, p} \left| \frac{1 - \exp \left[ h^2 \left( t_{l-1-p} A_{31} \sum_{m=p}^{l-1} \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{d}_r(a_i-t_j+\eta, \eta)}{\partial \eta^2} \right) \right]}{\eta_m \in [t_m, t_{m+1}]} \right| \sim O(h^2)
\]

\[
+ \max_{\{\eta_q\}} \sum_{m=q}^{p-1} \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{d}_q(a_i-t_j+\zeta, \zeta)}{\partial \zeta^2} \left| \eta_q \in [t_q, t_{q+1}] \right| \sim O(h^2)
\]

\[
\bigg( \Phi_i^{(5)} \bigg)^j = T_z \left( 0, j, n(\eta_i) \max_{\{\eta_q\}} \left( - T_z \left( l, j, \tilde{d}_v(\eta), \xi_p, \eta \right) + h^2 t_j - 1 \eta A_{32} \right) \right) \times \delta(a_i-t_j + \zeta \eta, \xi_p) h^2 t_j - 1 A_{33}
\]

\[
\max_{\{\eta_q\}} \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{d}_q(a_i-t_j+\zeta, \zeta)}{\partial \zeta^2} \left( \exp \left( - \int_0^\zeta \tilde{d}_q(a_i-t_j+\zeta, \zeta) d\zeta \right) \right) \sim O(h^2)
\]

\[
\bigg( \Phi_i^{(6)} \bigg)^j = T_z \left( 0, j, n(\eta_i) \max_{\{\eta_q\}} \left( - T_z \left( l, j, \tilde{d}_v(\eta), \xi_p, \eta \right) + h^2 t_j - 1 \eta A_{34} \right) \right) \times \delta(a_i-t_j + \zeta \eta, \xi_p) h^2 t_j - 1 A_{35}
\]

\[
\max_{\{\eta_q\}} \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{d}_q(a_i-t_j+\zeta, \zeta)}{\partial \zeta^2} \left( \exp \left( - \int_0^\zeta \tilde{d}_q(a_i-t_j+\zeta, \zeta) d\zeta \right) \right) \sim O(h^2)
\]

\[
\bigg( \Phi_i^{(7)} \bigg)^j = T_z \left( 0, j, n(\eta_i) h^2 a_d A_{36} \max_{\{\eta_q\}} \left( - T_z \left( l, j, \tilde{d}_v(\eta), \xi_p, \eta \right) + h^2 t_j - 1 \eta A_{37} \right) \right) \times \delta(a_i-t_j + \zeta \eta, \xi_p) h^2 t_j - 1 A_{38}
\]

\[
\max_{\{\eta_q\}} \sum_{q=0}^{p-1} \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{d}_q(a_i-t_j+\zeta, \zeta)}{\partial \zeta^2} \left( \exp \left( - \int_0^\zeta \tilde{d}_q(a_i-t_j+\zeta, \zeta) d\zeta \right) \right) \sim O(h^2)
\]
\[
\times \int_0^{\eta} \delta(v - t_j, \zeta, \theta) \exp \left( - \int_0^{\eta} \tilde{d}_r(v - t_j + \xi, \xi) d\xi \right) \int_0^{\eta} \exp \left( - \int_0^{\eta} \tilde{d}_q(v - t_j + \xi, \xi) d\xi \right) \\
- \int_0^{\zeta} Q_S(v - t_j, \xi, \theta) d\xi \right) Q_I(v - t_j, s, \theta) ds d\zeta \right) \bigg|_{\epsilon \in [\eta_q, \eta_{q+1}]} \left| \eta \right) \sim O(h^2) \tag{71}
\]
\[
\left( \Phi_i^{(S)} \right)_i = h^2 t_j A_{39 \max} \sum_{\{n_q\}} \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \eta^2} \left( n(\eta) \exp \left( - \int_0^{\eta} \tilde{d}_v(\xi, \eta) d\xi \right) \right) \right) \right) \int_0^{\eta} Q_I(a - t_j, \xi, \theta) \\
- \int_0^{\eta} \tilde{d}_q(a - t_j + \xi, \xi) d\xi - \int_0^{\zeta} Q_S(a - t_j, \xi, \theta) d\zeta + \tilde{d}_p(a - t_j + \eta, \eta) \right) \right) \\
\exp \left( - \int_0^{\eta} \tilde{d}_q(a - t_j + \xi, \xi) d\xi \right) \times \int_0^{\zeta} \exp \left( - \int_0^{\eta} \tilde{d}_q(a - t_j + \xi, \xi) d\xi \right) \\
- \int_0^{\eta} \tilde{d}_r(a - t_j + \xi, \xi) d\xi \right) Q_I(a - t_j, \xi, \theta) d\zeta \right) \times da \bigg|_{\eta \in [\eta_q, \eta_{q+1}]} \sim O(h^2), \tag{72}
\]

where \( \alpha_i = i h, \eta_i = l h, \eta_q = q h, \eta_m = m h; \xi_p = ph, \xi_q = q h, \zeta_p = ph, \zeta_q = q h, \zeta_m = m h \). \( A_{26}, ..., A_{39} \) are real constants, \( A^{(1)}_V \) is a constant, the value of which depends from the norm of coefficients and norm of their partial derivatives of the first and second order.

Continuing the estimation of residuals of susceptible, infectious, precancerous, cancer cells density and virus density at the next time intervals for \( 1 < k \leq K, \theta \leq t_j \leq T \), we obtain the evaluation of residuals at the final instant of time \( T \). Finally, for the norm of residuals

\[
\left\| (\psi_Y)^j \right\|_C = \max_{0 \leq j \leq N, \eta} \left\| (\psi_Y)^j \right\|, \quad Y = (S, I, P, C) \quad \text{and} \quad \left\| (\psi_V)^j \right\|_C = \max_{0 \leq j \leq M} \left\| (\psi_V)^j \right\|
\]

we have

\[
\left\| (\psi_S)^j \right\|_C < h^2 A^s, \left\| (\psi_I)^j \right\|_C < h^2 A^i, \left\| (\psi_P)^j \right\|_C < h^2 A^p, \left\| (\psi_C)^j \right\|_C < h^2 A^c, \left\| (\psi_V)^j \right\|_C < h^2 A^V \tag{73}
\]

where the positive constants \( A^s, A^i, A^p, A^c, A^V \) depend from the \( \theta^M_\epsilon, (a_m - \alpha)^M, a^M_Q, (a_g - \alpha)^M, \) \( T \), norm of coefficients of system (1)-(11) and norm of their partial derivatives of the first and second order.

**APPENDIX F. AUXILIARY NUMERICAL FORMULAE FOR INTEGRALS.**

For evaluation of the residual of approximate solution we use the formula for the numerical error of trapezoidal rule of integration from [17], the Taylor remainder of zero order for the approximation of natural exponential function and the norm of functional space \( C \). For the expressions with 1-d integral we use the approach:

\[
\left| \varphi(t_j) \exp \left( - \int_{a(t_j)}^{b(t_j)} f(x, t_j) dx \right) - \varphi_j \exp \left( - \int_{a(t_j)}^{b(t_j)} f(x, t_j) dx \right) \right| \\
= \left| \varphi_j \exp \left( - \int_{a(t_j)}^{b(t_j)} f(x, t_j) dx \right) \left( \exp \left( h^2 A_0(b(t_j) - a(t_j)) \right) \times \sum_{i=i_a}^{i_b-1} \frac{\partial^2 f(\xi, t_j)}{\xi^2} \bigg|_{\xi \in [x_i, x_{i+1}]} - 1 \right) \right|
\]
\[
\left\| \varphi \right\|_C \left| 2v h^2 A_0 (b(t_j) - a(t_j)) \right| + \sum_{i=t_a}^{t_b-1} \left| \frac{\partial^2 f(\xi, t_j)}{\partial \xi^2} \right|_{\xi, \xi \in [x_i, x_{i+1}]} \exp \left( v^2 h^2 A_0 (b(t_j) - a(t_j)) \right) \\
\times \sum_{i=t_a}^{t_b-1} \left| \frac{\partial^2 f(\xi, t_j)}{\partial \xi^2} \right|_{\xi, \xi \in [x_i, x_{i+1}]} < h^2 A_f \left( \left\| \varphi \right\|_C, \left\| f \right\|_C, (b(t_j) - a(t_j)), \left\| \frac{\partial^2 f(\xi, t_j)}{\partial \xi^2} \right\|_C \right)
\]

where \( x_i = ih, h = (b(t_j) - a(t_j))/N, x_{i_a} = a(t_j), x_{i_b} = b(t_j); A_0 \) and \( v \) are real constants, \( \xi_j \) is some point of interval \( [x_j, x_{j+1}] \) given in the formula of numerical error of trapezoidal rule [17], \( T_z(i_a, i_b, f(x_i, t_j), x_i) \) — is a trapezoidal rule for the integral \( \int_{a(t_j)}^{b(t_j)} f(x, t_j) dx \) given by Eq. (37), \( A_f \) is a positive constant the value of which does not depend from \( h \) and depends from the norm of functions and norm of their partial derivatives of the second order. For the expressions with multiple integrals we use the same approach:

\[
\left| \int_{a(t_j)}^{b(t_j)} \exp \left( - \int_{x}^{b(t_j)} g(\eta, t_j) d\eta \right) f(x, t_j) dx - T_z \left( i_a, i_b, \exp \left( - T_z(i_a, i_b, g(\eta, t_j), \eta) \right) \times f(x_i, t_j), x_i \right) \right|
\]

\[
\leq h^2 B_0 (b(t_j) - a(t_j)) \left| \sum_{i=t_a}^{t_b-1} \left| \frac{\partial^2 g(\eta, t_j)}{\partial \eta^2} \right|_{\eta, \eta \in [x_i, x_{i+1}]} \right| - 1
\]

\[
= h^2 B_0 (b(t_j) - a(t_j)) \left| \sum_{i=t_a}^{t_b-1} \left| \frac{\partial^2 g(\eta, t_j)}{\partial \eta^2} \right|_{\eta, \eta \in [x_i, x_{i+1}]} \right| - 1
\]

\[
\leq \max_i \left| \int_{a(t_j)}^{b(t_j)} \exp \left( - \int_{x}^{b(t_j)} g(\eta, t_j) d\eta \right) f(x, t_j) dx - T_z \left( i_a, i_b, g(\eta, t_j), \eta \right) \right|
\]

\[
\leq h^2 A_f \left( \left\| g \right\|_C, \left\| f \right\|_C, (b(t_j) - a(t_j)), \left\| \frac{\partial^2 f(\xi, t_j)}{\partial \xi^2} \right\|_C \right)
\]
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