Simulation Experiment to Predict the Critical Friction Area of Acetabular Liner Component on Hip Joint Replacement Implant During Movement
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ABSTRACT

This paper has shown that simulation experiment to predict the critical friction area of acetabular liner component on hip joint replacement implant during movement. With the simulation method, that can help find the friction and stress area. The results of the friction simulation, the area friction of acetabular liner is 378,32 mm² and 22,49 mm² for the femoral head. Based on simulation stress analysis of acetabular liner shown the maximum value of von-Mises stress is 0.3994 MPa and for the femoral head is 0.3411 MPa. From the simulation results, a map of the critical contact area is obtained, which is an important reference in the development of optimal designs for the acetabular liner and femoral head components.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The degenerative disease that frequently affects elderly patients is osteoarthritis that attacks the joints in different parts of the body; one of them is the hip joint. Complaints that occur can take the form of severe pain and often cause movement disorders and addiction to supports or help from others. One of the treatments given is surgery by replacing the hip joint with artificial materials that will permanently bond. The surgical procedure which removes the cartilage (see figure 1.a) and bones in the damaged hip joint (see Figure 1.b) and replaces them with artificial components is called a total hip joint replacement.

Hip joint replacement is currently one of the most performed procedures in orthopaedic practice in the United States. Since its introduction in this country in 1969, it has proven very successful in relieving pain and restoring hip function in diseases such as osteoarthritis (OA) [2]. Approximately 170,000 hip joint replacements are estimated to be performed annually in this country and about 300,000 worldwide. However, in Indonesia, the total hip joint replacement patient data published to date are only 216 patients from 2008-2017 (see figure 2) [3].

![Figure 1 Osteoarthritis of the hip; (a). Parts of the hip; (b). Arthritis on the hip joint [1].](image)

![Figure 2 The trend of hip replacement growth patients in Indonesia [3].](image)
Even so, it seems that the number of patients treated is inadequate due to several reasons, among others, because of the high costs required to carry out the operation. The high price is due, among other things, to the expensive components for replacement joints and still must import from abroad. Besides, surgery can lead to further complications, including the appearance of tears on the surface of artificial joints, artificial joints that become loose and can even be separated, so repeated operations are frequently carried out to repair them [4]. The efforts made to overcome these advanced complications include the use of better materials. Also, striving for the fitting of joints between the parts to be more suitable, which in this case is often less than optimal because the available sizes are foreign standard sizes. Thus, making it more comfortable and safer to use [5].

There are four factors for the success of the total hip joint replacement implant surgery, that is, patient [6][7]; surgical technique [4]; implant design [8]; and implant manufacturing [9]. Implant design is the most critical factor and easy to perform optimization [8]. Compatibility of dimension [8], contact area [10] and material [11] are the three crucial points in implant design.

Based on references not showing the importance of component friction from sitting to standing position, this study aims to predict the friction area and stress of the acetabular liner component during movement from sitting to standing. Critical contact during friction movement is one of the causes of implant damage. With the simulation will get a mapping critical contact area to do the development of the component design. The method used is an experiment using software to simulate friction and stress on the acetabular liner component design.

2. METHOD

Figure 3 as shown the steps in the research process. This study uses a simulation-based experimental method with Inventor and Ansys software. Total Hip Replacement (THR) design in this study is a customized product based on the geometry of the patient's hip bone. THR provides a comfortable fit and comfort to the implant users [12]. The geometric data obtained from the computed tomography (CT) results are two-dimensional images of the hip with osteoarthritis problems. The CT acquisition data converted into point cloud data to reconstructed into a 3D model. After obtaining the 3D hip model, the following step is to design the hip implant component based on the geometry that has obtained using the Inventor software.

THR is composed of four components; they are femoral stems, femoral head, acetabular liner and acetabular cup. For stress and friction simulation analysis in this study, only two components the femoral head and the acetabular liner. The femoral head made from stainless steel 316L (SS 316L) and the acetabular liner uses Ultra-High Molecular Weight Polyethylene (UHMWPE) material. Stress simulation analysis uses Inventor software, while for friction Ansys software is applied.
The friction and stress load parameters in the case study of the sitting to standing motion, as shown in Figure 4. Figure 4.a illustrated in the seating position, or the start point. Figure 4.b. shows the wake up position when the weight of the bearing with the highest value is distributed to the lower limb of the body weight [13][14]. Figure 4.c is get up position where the most significant impact moves to the ankle [13][14], while 4.d is standing position with the load on the lower limb [13]. Assuming the patient's body weight used is 70 kg. Its, therefore, assumed that the body load distributed between the lower limb [13][14]. Based on this, the load carried by each hip is 35 kg.

3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Design of THR Components

As shown in Figure 5, the component design obtained from the results of CT scan data which converted into cloud data in the image format. From these data, a reconstruction process carried out to get hip geometry data (see Figure 6).

After getting the geometry data, the next step is to design the THR components using the existing geometry with Inventor software. THR composed by femoral stems, femoral head, acetabular liner and acetabular cup (see Figure 7). After the THR design generates, then simulate friction and stress using the Ansys software. The linear and non-linear contact models are evaluated by their ability to match simulation experimental peak and average contact pressures simultaneously. Matching both is necessary for the predicted friction and stress distribution to match the experiments. Contact force comparisons are not reported since the force is always compared exactly at the final static configuration.

3.2. Simulation of Friction and Stress Analysis

The materials used are medical grade were SS 316L for femoral head and UHMWPE for acetabular liner component. The mechanical properties of the materials categories can be seen in Table 1.
Table 1. Mechanical properties of material SS 316L and UHMWPE [15]

| Properties                  | Materials     |     |
|-----------------------------|---------------|-----|
|                             | SS 316L       | UHMWPE |
| Ultimate Tensile Strength   | 515 MPa       | 35 MPa |
| Yield Strength              | 205 MPa       | 20 MPa |
| Young’s Modulus             | 193 GPa       | 0.760 GPa |
| Poisson Ratio               | 0.252 ul      | 0.42 ul |
| Standard tension test       | ASTM E 8/E 8M - 08 | ASTM D638 - 14 |

Based on Ansys simulation on figure 8, the friction of the acetabular liner on inner area has a 378.32 mm$^2$ with 2.2145e-008 MPa Equivalent (von-Mises) Stress (see figure 8.a). Figure 8.b shown the results of the friction analysis for femoral head, the value of the total friction area is 22.49 mm$^2$ with 0.66963 MPa for Equivalent (von-Mises) Stress. The visual analysis of femoral head (figure 8.b) shows the critical position is on top and side area where there is friction with the acetabular liner.

Figure 8 Friction analysis; (a). Acetabular Liner; (b). Femoral head
Compared to the material characteristics of steel and polymer categories, the more excellent value of the von-Mises of this material yield strength means that it is necessary to check the design model in the critical area [10][16][17]. For the Poisson ratio of the material is smaller than the Poisson ratio simulation analysis results (see on table 2); in other words, the level of safety exceeds the design of the material used [17][18].

In Figure 9.a, the maximum von-Mises stress value on the acetabular liner is 0.3994 MPa, while the Yield Strength value of the UHMWPE material in table 1 (ASTM D638 – 14, Standard Test Method for Tensile Properties of Plastics) is 20 MPa. This condition shows that the acetabular liner design is safe. As for the femoral head, von-Mises stress the value of 0.3411 MPa maximum value 316L SS material Yield Strength in table 1 (ASTM E 8/E 8M – 08, Standard Test Methods for Tension Testing of Metallic Materials) equal to 205 MPa; then the design stated is safe.

| Table 2. Poisson ratio comparison between testing vs simulation result of material SS 316L and UHMWPE |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Poisson ratio                  | Materials                      |                               |
| Testing [15]                   | SS 316L                        | UHMWPE                         |
| Simulation                     | 0.252 ul                       | 0.42 ul                        |
|                                | 0.275 ul                       | 0.46 ul                        |

Stress analysis in Figure 9.a shows the location of the stress on the inner bottom side acetabular liner. This occurs at the bottom because there is pressure due to the simulated wake up position, as shown in Figure 4.b. Figure 9.b shows the pressure area on the femoral head component; in this figure, the pressure occurs in how many parts of the component. The maximum point of stress for the femoral head component is in the side in contact with the inner edge of the acetabular liner.

4. CONCLUSION

Based on simulation results, then obtained mapping of the critical contact from friction effect on the acetabular liner components during hip joint motion. The critical area is in the lower acetabular liner area, which is caused by the pressure of the femoral head on the standing position, where the weight of the body will lean towards the hip. The acetabular liner design is that the critical area requires more thickness than other areas so that the design is made thicker with 3 – 7 mm range of thickness based on ASTM F2033 - 20 for Standard Specification for Total Hip Joint Prosthesis [19]. Further research can be continued with the analysis of different movements.
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