Influence of different Processing Parameter on distortion and Residual Stress of Inconel 718 Alloys Fabricated by Selective Laser Melting (SLM)
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Selective Laser Melting (SLM) is one of additive manufacturing techniques which enable to build a complex structure model layer by layer with 3D CAD software. However, a higher research cost makes it hardly carry out by a traditional methodology, the best way to solve the problem is using simulation software. This paper aims to find an optimal processing parameters combination for a specimen with the smallest distortion and lowest residual stress through Simufact Additive (SA) software. Simulation results under an optimal processing parameters, which led to a smallest value of distortion and residual stress, was the combination of scan power with 300W, scan speed with 1.3m/s, scan interval with one spot diameter (0.12mm) and heat treatment holding time with 4h. In addition, the calculation results provide a novel study method to verify the influence of different processing parameters on Inconel 718 alloys fabricated by SLM.

Keywords: Additive manufacturing, Inconel 718 Ni-based alloys, inherent strain, optimal processing parameter, simufact additive software.

1. Introduction

Selective Laser Melting (SLM) is a rapid prototyping technology by utilizing high density energy laser to melt metal powder into a successive layer. With 3D CAD software, more complex structures can be formed easily, and the constructed objects are close to the full density of their bulk metals. Up to now, the SLM techniques in equipment and material preparation have been developed to a certain extent. This technology has been widely used in porous structure, rapid recovery of wear part, medical and dental applications.

Due to the rapid melting and cooling rate of the powder in SLM process, it is difficult to study the forming process by traditional research methods. Many researchers prefer to study SLM forming process by numerical simulation. Raghavan et al. has used a validated heat transfer and fluid flow laser welding model to research the relationships between top surface and subsurface temperatures and solidification parameters in Ti-6Al-4V. The simulation results indicated that the experimental thermography control systems were strengthened by incorporating analysis through mathematical modeling. Ivecovic et al. has investigated the influence of the SLM processing parameters on the melting and solidification behavior of tungsten and tungsten alloys. The optimal processing conditions resulted in parts with closed porosity was found and microstructural development, crack formation as well as the resulting texture in the finished parts was evaluated. Rudolph and Emmelmann has studied different statistical based methods for an automated and self-learning calculation for SLM. Amato et al. has studied the microstructures and mechanical behavior of Inconel 718 fabricated by SLM, and found that the corresponding tensile properties were comparable with wrought Inconel 718 alloy. Azizpour et al. has utilized a 3D finite element model to simulate the laser beam welding of Ti-6Al-4V sheet and got a good agreement result between simulation and experimentation. To evaluate metallic powders for fabrication of three dimensional models, Abe et al. has studied the solidifying behavior of metallic powder in selective laser melting process, and found that low vacuum and powder mixture could improve formability in SLM. Qi et al. has investigated the effects of scanning speed and defocusing distance on the melting mode transition in selective laser melting of Al7050 powder and obtained a very well agreement with the experimental result. Leitz et al. has acquired a deeper insight into the influence of powder characteristics in SLM by numerical simulations. Moser et al. has developed a discrete element model (DEM) to simulate the effective thermal conductivity of powder beds for selective laser sintering (SLS) applications. Lee et al. has simulated melt pool shape for multiple layer additive manufacturing.

As mentioned above, it is a fact that the numerical simulation is becoming a popular method on researching
of the SLM process. However, there are few studies on the influence of different process parameters on the forming quality of Inconel 718 Ni-based alloy fabricated by SLM. Aimed to study this issue, the deformation and residual stress were adopted as an indicator of forming quality. And this paper investigated an optimal process parameters combination for a smallest distortion and residual stress with a numerical simulation. This work preset four process parameters, the scan power, scan speed, scan interval and the heat treatment holding time, and each parameter took five levels. The whole working flow of this research is shown in Figure 1.

2. Processing Parameters Design

This simulation designed a spot diameter 0.12mm laser power to melting the Inconel 718 Ni-based alloys powder, and the layer thick is 0.03mm. The material properties of Inconel 718 Ni-based alloys are shown in Table 1 and Figure 2.

In this paper, the four processing parameters were considered as independence, and each one takes five levels (Table 2). It will cost too much times while testing all groups \(5^4=625\) in this multi-factor and multi-level issue. For decreasing the number of simulating group meanwhile keeping a reliable result, an orthogonal design was adopted\(^\text{16}\), and all the parameter combinations are listed in Table 3.
The hexahedron is the simplest and the most widely used space geometry to analyze the deformation and stress field. This paper built a 10mm×10mm×5mm cuboid 3D model by a CAD software (Figure 3). After that, the model is imported into Simufact Additive (SA) software to generate mesh, supports and define the material properties. As shown in Figure 3, the metal powder was melted by a high density energy laser beam, and then solidified into a successive layer. Additionally, because of the limitation of the SA software functions, the model in this paper dose not consider some powder properties, such as particle size distribution, morphology or other characteristics.

The inherent stress and inherent strain are firstly put forward by Ueda et al.17. The internal existing stress in an object without external stress loading is named the inherent stress. While defining the unstressed and unstrained state as a base state, after a stress cycle, the strains composition in a stressed object is:

\[ \epsilon_{total} = \epsilon_{el} + \epsilon_{pl} + \epsilon_{th} + \epsilon_{ph} \]  

(1)

Where, \( \epsilon_{total} \) is the total strains, \( \epsilon_{el} \) is the elastic strains, \( \epsilon_{pl} \) is the plastic strains, \( \epsilon_{th} \) is the thermal strains and \( \epsilon_{ph} \) is the phase transformation. While removing from a stress state, the elastic deformation will recovery, the rest strains in Equation 1 are the inherent strains, therefore, the inherent strain \( \epsilon_{in} \) is defined:

\[ \epsilon_{in} = \epsilon_{pl} + \epsilon_{th} + \epsilon_{ph} \]  

(2)

\[ \sigma = [D](\epsilon - \epsilon_{in}) \]  

(3)

Where, \( \sigma \) is the stress state, \([D]\) is the elasto-plastic stiffness matrix, \(\epsilon\) is the strain state.

In a thermal-elastic-plastic theory, the temperature field will first be calculated, then the thermal increment is applied to calculate the stress field. During calculating a large model, the time consuming is too much with this theory. When the inherent strain theory is adopted, it only takes a few steps to calculate the deformation and stress field

Firstly, the model is meshed into some voxel elements and all of the voxel elements are treated as the same one in different location or direction; then by inputting the process parameters, such as power, scan speed, scan width, etc., the inherent strain of one element in different direction (\( \epsilon_{x}, \epsilon_{z}, \epsilon_{z} \)) is calculated. Secondly, Add the first layer voxel elements on the base metal, calculate the total distortion by the inherent strain theory is adopted, it only takes a few steps to calculate the deformation and stress field. When the inherent strain theory is adopted, it only takes a few steps to calculate the deformation and stress field.

In a thermal-elastic-plastic theory, the temperature field will first be calculated, then the thermal increment is applied to calculate the stress field. During calculating a large model, the time consuming is too much with this theory. When the inherent strain theory is adopted, it only takes a few steps to calculate the deformation and stress field.

Firstly, the model is meshed into some voxel elements and all of the voxel elements are treated as the same one in different location or direction; then by inputting the process parameters, such as power, scan speed, scan width, etc., the inherent strain of one element in different direction (\( \epsilon_{x}, \epsilon_{z}, \epsilon_{z} \)) is calculated. Secondly, Add the first layer voxel elements on the base metal, calculate the total distortion by the inherent strain, and then according to Equation 3 calculate the stress state, balance the force field and calculate other properties. Thirdly, add a new layer, correct the orientation (applied an incremental angle) and recalculate the strain field, stress field, force field, etc. It should be notice that each layer has itself scan direction, which corresponds to the scan strategies. In the SA software, a start angle \( \alpha_{0} \) and incremental angle \( \Delta \alpha \) are defined. The start angle the orientation with respect to the base plate x direction. It is applied to the first layer and default value is 0°. The incremental angle is the orientation of the new consecutive printed layers, and default value is 67°. The estimate dialog box and setting of scan strategies are shown in Figure 4.

As shown in Figure 1, the heat treatment is the last step of SLM simulation. After additive manufacture, base plate
and support removal, the specimen is in high stress state which should be heated to a higher temperature to release the stress. The specimen could not get its best mechanical properties until heat treatment processing finished. The heat treatment curve is shown in Figure 5.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Influences of processing parameters on distortion

In industrial production, SLM is used to form high-precision parts utilizing powder materials. However, the distortion and residual stress are the most two obvious problems. With the high cost of powder, it could not be researched by a traditional methodology. The use of simulation software to study the problems generated in the manufacturing process has become a unique method to reduce the costs. The contour map of simulation is shown in Figure 6. After calculating, each group results data were collected together, and then analyzed using orthogonal analysis method. By the orthogonal analysis, the effects of different process parameter on the distortion and residual stress are obtained, as shown in Figure 7 and Figure 8.

According to Figure 7, it is obvious that different parameters have different effect to distortion, and the wave range of diagrams indicates that the holding time of heat treatment is the most effective factor, the others in large to small order is scan power>scan velocity>scan interval. Therefore, it is essential to choose proper heat treatment holding time to achieving minimum distortion. However, it does not mean the other parameter is insignificant, and the main purpose of this work aims to find an optimum parameter combination to obtain the minimum distortion.

As shown in Figure 7a, b and c, all the curves have an S shape. The distortion is increasing with the scan power increasing in Figure 7a. While in Figure 7b, the distortion is decreasing with the increasing scan velocity within limits. Similar to Figure 7b, the tendency in Figure 7c is also first decrease then increase, but the wave range is smaller in the latter.

Different parameters lead to different heat input, and then result in different distortion and stress. The heat input equation is shown in Equation 4:

\[ E_h = \frac{\eta P L W H}{V W T} \]  

Where, \( E_h \) is the heat input, \( \eta \) is the efficiency, \( P \) is the power, \( L \) is the length of each layer along the scan direction, \( W \) is the width of each layer, \( H \) is the total height of the printing...
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Figure 7. The distortion influence of different process parameters.

Figure 8. The effect of different parameter to residual stress.
model and support, \( v \) is the scan velocity, \( W_i \) is the scan interval, \( T_i \) is the layer thickness.

In Figure 7a, the distortion is lower while the power less than 400W, and the distortion are increasing with the power increase. When the power is beyond 450W, the tendency of curve is growing slowly. From Equation 4, it could be seen that a bigger power leads to a larger heat input. For decreasing the distortion during SLM, the 300W is the optimal power.

In Figure 7b, it could be seen that the distortion decreases with a growth scan speed, which is consistent with Equation 4. While the scan speed is small, the power stayed time in a certain distance is much longer. Therefore, the distortion is much more obvious for a bigger heat input because of the greater segregation. As a consequence, the distortion decreases with the rising of scan speed. When the speed is much higher (i.e. 1400mm/s), the distribution of heat flux is uneven, resulting in larger deformation. To obtain the minimum distortion, the 1300mm/s is optimal in this study.

The heat input is inversely proportional to the scan interval, as shown in Equation 4. A small interval that narrows the distribution of heat input in a volume. Therefore, the distortion decreases as the scan interval increases. In Figure 7c, when the scan interval is much bigger, especially greater than the spot diameter 0.12mm, the heat flux distribution is not uniform, which will lead to large deformation. The optimum scan interval is 0.12mm.

In Figure 7d, the distortion is decreasing with the heat treatment holding time extension. With the extension of heat treatment holding time, the segregation phase will be transformed into uniform phase. Therefore, a smaller distortion is generating and 1060°C+ 4h is the optimal chosen.

### 3.2 Influences of processing parameters on residual stress

As mentioned before, the larger scan power results in a larger heat input, then generating the high residual stress. From Figure 8a, it can be observed that a lower power will obtain the lower residual stress. Therefore, the optimal scan power is 300W.

It is similar to the distortion, the residual stress increases with the slowing down of scanning speed. From Figure 8b, when the speed is 1.4m/s, the residual stress is lowest. However, compared with 1.3m/s, the difference in stress is not much obvious (~1MPa). Considering the distortion is a minimum value at 1.3m/s, the optimal scan speed to obtain the minimum residual stress is 1.3m/s.

The influence of scan interval on residual stress is extremely unobvious than other parameters. From Figure 8c, it can be seen that the data wave range is only 0.79MPa. That means the scan interval is not the main factor of influence on residual stress. The optimum scan interval could be 0.12mm.

Despite carried out the heat treatment process, the residual stress is just released within a limited range. From Figure 8d, it could be seen that the minimum residual stress is at 1.0h, while the value at 3.0h is 0.3MPa higher than 1.0h. And all the results are above 295MPa but less than 297MPa, the value fluctuation is about 0.51% of the minimum value. Thus, it could be found that the residual stress is much less affected by holding time of heat treatment than which by the scan power or by the scan velocity. While comparing the effect of holding time of heat treatment on distortion (the value fluctuation is about 12.5% of the minimum value, when the holding time of heat treatment time exceeds 3.0h from Figure 7d), the range of the optimal holding time is 4.0h.

From references [20-22], it could be learned that a short heating and cooling time during SLM additive manufacturing may lead to a large number of segregation phases generation. The existing of segregation phase is the main factor generating residual stress, and the bigger ratio of segregation phase in the specimen results in a larger residual stress. The heat treatment process will homogenize the segregation. As stated by Liu et al. [20], when the heat treatment time is long enough, the \( \delta \) phase will be generated. Based on this, the effect of different parameter on residual stress could be explained.

### 3.3 Calculation results of optimal processing parameters

Aimed to achieve a smallest distortion or residual stress, this study finally obtained the optimal parameter combinations. The scan power is 300W, the scan velocity is 1.3m/s, the scan interval is one spot diameter (0.12mm) and the holding time of heat treatment is 4h. In order to
verify the validity of these parameters, a simulation is calculating again. The recalculated results are shown in Figure 9. The max distortion is 0.1634mm, which are the smallest compared with all the data in Figure 7. The residual stress is 294.34MPa, which is close to the minimum value (294.17MPa) in Figure 8a. Finally, the conclusion could be drawn that using simulation to obtain the optimal parameters combinations is workable and the results can be used as a guide for subsequent experiments.

4. Conclusions

In this paper, the relation between processing parameters and fabricating distortions and residual stress were analyzed. The following concluding remarks can be drawn:

1. The holding time of heat treatment has a significant effect on the deformation, and the order of influence of other parameters is scan power>scan velocity>scan interval. All of the influence curve of scan power, scan speed, scan interval on distortion show an S shape, however, the wave range of scan interval influence curve is smallest. When the scan power is less than 400W, scan speed is 1.2mm/s~1.3mm/s, scan interval is less than one spot diameter and the holding time of heat treatment is longer than 3h, the distortion would be smaller.

2. Residual stress is increasing with a growth of scan power, and increasing with a decreasing scan velocity. The optimal range of such two parameters is a less than 400W scan power and a scan speed 1.2mm/s~1.3mm/s. The influence of scan interval is not much obvious, and its best range is less than one spot diameter (0.12mm in this study). The optimal range of heat treatment holding time is greater than 3h. Using this rule determines a group of parameters is verified that has the smallest distortion and a similar stress value by recalculation.
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