Measures of excess body weight and anthropometry among adult Albertans: cross-sectional results from Alberta’s tomorrow project cohort
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Abstract

Background: Excess body weight during adulthood has been consistently associated with all-cause mortality, cardiovascular disease, and cancer at multiple sites among other chronic diseases. We describe the prevalence of excess body weight and abdominal obesity reported by participants enrolled in Alberta’s Tomorrow Project (ATP).

Methods: ATP is a geographically-based cohort study conducted among adults aged 35–69 years from across the province of Alberta. Participants completed anthropometric measures and health and lifestyle questionnaires at enrolment. Overweight and obese were categorized as a body mass index (BMI) of 25.0–29.9 kg/m² and ≥30 kg/m², respectively. Abdominal obesity was categorized using cut-offs of waist circumference of >94 cm for men and >80 cm for women and waist-tp-hip ratio cut-offs of >0.90 for men and >0.85 for women.

Results: BMI and hip and waist circumference data were obtained from 12,062 men and 18,853 women enrolled between 2001 and 2009. Overall, 76.8% of men and 59.5% of women reported a BMI ≥25 kg/m². The proportions of overweight and obese were significantly higher in older age groups (p < 0.001). In addition, the proportion of participants reporting being overweight and obese was higher among lower education (p < 0.001) and lower income groups (p < 0.001). Overall, approximately two thirds of men and women in ATP cohort reported abdominal obesity. Overweight, obesity and abdominal obesity were all associated with a history of several cardiometabolic chronic conditions including hypertension, heart attack, angina, high cholesterol, stroke and diabetes.

Conclusion: A large majority of ATP participants were overweight and carried excess abdominal fat. Strategies to improve energy balance among Albertans are encouraged and may have a notable impact on future chronic disease burden.
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Background
Excess body weight has been consistently related to a broad array of adverse health outcomes including diabetes, cardiovascular disease and multiple cancer sites, among other chronic diseases and all-cause mortality [1, 2]. The increased caloric density in Western diets, increases in sedentary behaviours/sedentary time and decreases in recreational and transport-related physical activity have all led to a shift in caloric balance at the population level [3]. Sustained imbalance has led to rising obesity rates and specifically, abdominal obesity. Globally, the prevalence of overweight rose from 24.6% in 1980 to 34.4% in 2008 while the prevalence of obesity rose from 6.4% to 12.0%. [4] National surveys conducted by the Public Health Agency of Canada have shown that the prevalence of obesity (body mass index [BMI] >30 kg/m2) is on the rise. Based on a 2011 report, the overall proportion of Canadian adults affected by obesity (>18 years of age) almost doubled between 1978 (13.8%) and 2008 (25.4%) [5].

Two separate analyses using comparable methods estimated that obesity costs the Canadian economy between five and seven billion dollars annually through direct healthcare costs and indirect costs [5, 6]. Therefore, the rising rates of obesity in the population are cause for immediate concern from both public health and economic perspectives.

In this study, we examined the predictors of overweight, obesity and abdominal obesity in the adult Albertan population, which have not yet been well characterized. To quantify the population-level burden of obesity among adult Alberta’s Tomorrow Project (ATP) participants, we estimated the prevalence of overweight/obesity using BMI and waist-to-hip ratio as well as abdominal obesity using waist circumference as reported by participants enrolled in ATP. We also examined the relation between body size and the prevalence of chronic disease in the ATP population.

Methods
ATP is a geographically-based prospective cohort comprised of 54,942 Albertans between the ages of 35–69 years established to examine the association between various lifestyle factors and chronic disease outcomes. The present analyses were conducted on a subset of the cohort, which included only the 30,915 participants recruited during the first phase of recruitment (2001–2009) who completed the anthropometric section of the Health and Lifestyle Questionnaire (HLQ) at enrolment. Detailed information on the feasibility of recruitment methods, enrollment and data collection for ATP has been previously published [7, 8].

Participants were asked to use a scale to measure weight (in pounds) and were supplied with a tape measure (divided in 1/8” sections) and detailed instructions, supported by diagrams, to record height (in feet and inches), and waist and hip circumferences (in inches). In addition, Participants were asked to record their anthropometric measurements in a single session at least two hours after a meal, preferably with the assistance of another adult, and to record each measure twice. Participants who were more than 12 weeks pregnant, or less than six months postpartum, were not included in these analyses. Missing, contradictory, ambiguous or out of range anthropometric measures were identified by two data reviewers, and participants were contacted by phone for clarification.

BMI was calculated using participants’ self-reported height and weight measurements (kg/m²). BMI categories were classified as normal weight (BMI < 25), overweight (BMI ≥ 25, < 30) and obese (BMI ≥ 30). Waist and hip circumferences measured in inches were converted to centimetres. Participants were categorized according to World Health Organization (WHO) waist circumference guidelines that recommend using cut-offs of >94 cm for men and >80 cm for women to categorize Western populations into those with abdominal obesity [9]. Additionally, the WHO has provided another set of waist circumference cut-offs that have been found to be associated with substantially higher risk of cardiometabolic complications (>102 cm for men and >88 cm for women). Waist-to-hip ratio was also calculated, and participants were classified according to WHO guidelines that suggest individuals with a waist-to-hip ratio > 0.90 for men and >0.85 for women are at an increased risk for metabolic complications [9].

Statistical analysis
Descriptive statistics were used to characterize the cohort according to anthropometric measures. Means and standard deviations (SD) summarized continuous variables and frequencies and percentages were used to capture categorical variables. Variable distributions were examined and any outlying or aberrant values were verified using the original questionnaires. Pearson’s chi-square tests were conducted to compare demographic variables and chronic disease outcomes between groups of participants categorized by BMI, waist circumference and waist-to-hip ratio. Logistic regression models for BMI, waist circumference and waist-to-hip ratio were run separately for men and women and were adjusted for age, educational attainment, marital status, annual household income, employment status, smoking status and geographic location (urban/rural). For each anthropometric outcome, linear regression was used to determine if correlations between sociodemographic variables were significantly impacting the associations between the individual sociodemographic variables and BMI and waist circumference. All statistical tests were performed at a 5% level of significance using SAS version 9.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).
Table 1: Body mass index$^a$ of Alberta’s Tomorrow Project participants by socio-demographic categories$^b$.

| Variables                      | Men (n = 12,062) (%) |          |          |          | Women (n = 18,853) (%) |          |          |          |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|----------|----------|----------|------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
|                                | n                    | Underweight (0.2) | Normal (23.0) | Overweight (48.4) | Obese (28.4) | n                    | Underweight (1.1) | Normal (39.4) | Overweight (33.2) | Obese (26.4) |
| Age                            |                      |          |          |          |                        |          |          |          |
| 35–44                          | 3860                 | 0.2      | 27.1     | 48.0     | 24.7                   | 6173     | 1.5      | 48.0     | 29.2     | 21.3       |
| 45–54                          | 4283                 | 0.1      | 22.5     | 48.6     | 28.8                   | 6623     | 1.0      | 39.3     | 33.3     | 26.5       |
| 55–64                          | 2924                 | 0.1      | 20.0     | 48.2     | 31.7                   | 4479     | 0.7      | 31.4     | 36.6     | 31.3       |
| ≥ 65                           | 995                  | 0.2      | 18.6     | 50.2     | 31.1                   | 1578     | 1.1      | 29.2     | 38.2     | 31.5       |
| missing                        | 0                    |          |          |          |                        | 0        |          |          |
| Education                      |                      |          |          |          |                        |          |          |          |
| High school not completed      | 1323                 | 0.2      | 18.5     | 47.2     | 34.2                   | 1694     | 1.1      | 28.5     | 34.5     | 35.9       |
| High school completed          | 1793                 | 0.3      | 18.5     | 49.2     | 32.1                   | 3916     | 1.1      | 35.1     | 33.8     | 30.0       |
| Some post-secondary$^c$         | 2248                 | 0.1      | 20.6     | 46.9     | 32.4                   | 4197     | 1.2      | 36.4     | 34.2     | 28.2       |
| Post-secondary completed       | 6696                 | 0.2      | 25.9     | 49.0     | 24.9                   | 9042     | 1.0      | 44.7     | 32.2     | 22.1       |
| missing                        | 2                    |          |          |          |                        | 4        |          |          |
| Marital Status                 |                      |          |          |          |                        |          |          |          |
| Married/Living with partner    | 9959                 | 0.1      | 21.8     | 49.5     | 28.6                   | 14,251   | 1.0      | 40.3     | 33.6     | 25.1       |
| Single (never married)         | 792                  | 0.6      | 34.2     | 37.8     | 27.4                   | 1025     | 1.6      | 35.1     | 28.1     | 35.2       |
| Divorced/separated/widowed     | 1308                 | 0.1      | 25.8     | 46.6     | 27.5                   | 3571     | 1.3      | 37.2     | 32.9     | 28.7       |
| missing                        | 3                    |          |          |          |                        | 6        |          |          |
| Household Income$^d$            |                      |          |          |          |                        |          |          |          |
| < $30,000                      | 1107                 | 0.5      | 26.8     | 43.4     | 29.3                   | 2972     | 1.6      | 31.8     | 31.2     | 35.4       |
| $30,000–$49,000                | 2976                 | 0.2      | 24.9     | 45.9     | 29.0                   | 5347     | 1.0      | 35.1     | 34.9     | 29.0       |
| $50,000–$79,000                | 3333                 | 0.1      | 23.2     | 48.7     | 28.0                   | 4463     | 0.9      | 39.8     | 32.9     | 26.4       |
| ≥ $80,000                      | 4457                 | 0.1      | 20.7     | 51.1     | 28.1                   | 5507     | 1.0      | 47.8     | 32.1     | 19.1       |
| missing                        | 189                  |          |          |          |                        | 564      |          |          |
| Occupational Status            |                      |          |          |          |                        |          |          |          |
| Full Time                      | 9178                 | 0.1      | 23.5     | 49.0     | 27.4                   | 8452     | 1.0      | 39.9     | 32.7     | 26.4       |
| Part Time/Homemaker            | 777                  | 0.1      | 25.2     | 50.5     | 24.2                   | 6767     | 1.2      | 43.1     | 32.3     | 23.4       |
| Unemployed/Student             | 302                  | 0.0      | 25.8     | 42.1     | 32.1                   | 490      | 2.0      | 38.8     | 32.5     | 26.7       |
| Retired                        | 1419                 | 0.2      | 18.3     | 47.8     | 33.8                   | 2471     | 0.8      | 30.7     | 37.6     | 31.0       |
| Other                          | 383                  | 0.5      | 21.9     | 39.2     | 38.4                   | 664      | 1.4      | 28.0     | 31.6     | 39.0       |
| missing                        | 3                    |          |          |          |                        | 9        |          |          |
| Geographic Location$^e$         |                      |          |          |          |                        |          |          |          |
| Rural                          | 2755                 | 0.1      | 20.1     | 48.1     | 31.7                   | 4563     | 0.9      | 34.0     | 33.8     | 31.3       |
| Urban                          | 9307                 | 0.2      | 23.9     | 48.5     | 27.4                   | 14,290   | 1.1      | 41.2     | 33.0     | 24.8       |
| missing                        | 0                    |          |          |          |                        | 0        |          |          |
| Smoking Status                 |                      |          |          |          |                        |          |          |          |
| Current daily smoker           | 1918                 | 0.4      | 31.0     | 45.7     | 22.9                   | 2862     | 2.9      | 40.1     | 33.4     | 23.6       |
| Current occasional smoker      | 420                  | 0.0      | 21.4     | 49.5     | 29.1                   | 544      | 1.7      | 41.2     | 35.9     | 21.3       |
| Former smoker                  | 4730                 | 0.1      | 17.0     | 49.5     | 33.4                   | 6773     | 0.6      | 35.1     | 33.9     | 30.4       |
| Never smoker                   | 4985                 | 0.2      | 25.8     | 48.4     | 25.6                   | 8655     | 0.8      | 42.5     | 32.3     | 24.4       |
| missing                        | 9                    |          |          |          |                        | 19       |          |          |

Note: all the variables tested were highly significantly different, assessed by Pearson chi-square test ($p < 0.001$)

$^a$BMI derived from participant self-reported height and weight. BMI is missing for 157 participants (54 men and 103 women)

$^b$Alberta’s Tomorrow Project data at enrolment

$^c$Some post-secondary includes combined responses to: some technical school/ college, completed technical school/ college, part of university degree completed

$^d$Income data are in response to a question about total household income before tax.

$^e$Geographic location determined using postal codes, with rural areas identified according to rural postal code classification (second digit = 0)
Results

Body mass index
Table 1 presents the BMI categories by socio-demographic variables. Of the participants enrolled between 2001 and 2009, information on BMI was available from 12,062 men and 18,853 women. Overall, 48.4% (n = 5843) of men and 33.2% (n = 6251) of women were classified as overweight while 28.4% (n = 3424) of men and 26.4% (n = 4967) of women were considered obese. Obesity was more prevalent in those who had completed lower levels of education and those who were retired, unemployed or students. The prevalence of obesity was lower in higher household income categories (p < 0.001). In both men and women, highest rates of obesity were observed in former smokers compared to other smoking statuses.

In order to compare measures of BMI to other population-based surveys in Canada, we examined the agreement with the Canadian Community Health Survey (CCHS) data collected in 2005 (Cycle 3.1), available through Statistics Canada [10]. Although we restricted the CCHS data to Albertan respondents aged 35–69 years to coincide with the ATP inclusion criteria, the CCHS data did include significantly more participants between the ages of 65–69 (Additional file 1: Table S1). In the ATP cohort, 76.8% of men and 59.6% of women were classified as overweight or obese compared to 65.4% of men and 48.1% of women in the CCHS subsample (Additional file 1: Table S1).

The results of the multinomial logistic regression for overweight, obesity and sociodemographic variables are presented in Table 2. In women, compared to those with a household income ≥$80,000, those with a household income of <$30,000 had a higher odds of being overweight (OR: 1.25, 95% CI: 1.10–1.42) or obese (OR: 2.15, 95% CI: 1.88–2.46). However, in men, having a household income <$80,000 was associated with a decreased odds of being overweight or obese. Living in a rural area compared to living in an urban area was associated with a greater odds of obesity in men (OR: 1.34, 95% CI: 1.18–1.52) and women (OR: 1.37, 95% CI: 1.25–1.49).

Compared to never smokers, male (OR: 1.71, 95% CI: 1.51–1.93) and female (OR: 1.41, 95% CI: 1.30–1.53) former smokers were more likely to be obese.

In both men and women, the prevalence of overweight and obesity in the ATP cohort was significantly higher in those with a history of hypertension, heart attack, angina, high cholesterol, stroke, diabetes and polyps in the colon (Table 3). In addition, 48.4% of men with Crohn’s disease were overweight.

Waist circumference
Table 4 presents sex-specific waist circumference cut-off categories by demographic variables. Information on waist circumference was available for 12,042 men and 18,787 women. Among participants, 67.4% of men reported waist circumference > 94 cm and 67.0% of women > 80 cm. The prevalence of participants with a waist circumference that exceeded the healthy cut-off was higher among older age groups. Conversely, the prevalence of participants meeting healthy waist circumference cut-offs was greater among individuals with higher household income and education attainment (Table 4). Among cohort participants, more women (44.3%) than men (40.7%) were observed in the extremely high risk waist circumference category. In current occasional smokers, 72.9% of participants had a waist circumference in the elevated risk category. For all other smoking statuses, the percent of participants in the elevated risk category ranged from 63.4% to 66.6%.

Table 5 presents the results of the logistic regression model for waist circumference and sociodemographic variables. Compared to men who work full-time, men who are retired have a higher odds of having a waist circumference > 94 cm (OR: 1.29, 95% CI: 1.08–1.53) and > 102 (OR: 1.27, 95% CI: 1.09–1.47). The odds of having a waist circumference in the elevated risk category was greater for both rural men and women (OR: 1.19, 95% CI: 1.08–1.32) and women (OR: 1.19, 95% CI: 1.10–1.29). Compared to never smokers, former smokers were more likely to have a waist circumference in the elevated risk category in men (OR: 1.48, 95% CI: 1.35–1.62) and women (OR: 1.35, 95% CI: 1.26–1.45).

Sex-specific waist-to-hip ratio cut-off categories by demographic variables are also presented in Table 4. Information on waist-to-hip ratio was available for 11,919 men and 18,730 women in ATP cohort. Overall, 85.4% of men and 38.9% of women had waist-to-hip ratios that exceeded healthy cut-offs of ≥0.90 and ≥0.85, respectively. The prevalence of participants exceeding the cut-offs for waist-to-hip ratio was higher among older age groups, and was lower in groups that reported higher educational attainment and greater household income.

Based on the logistic regression model (Table 5), living in a rural area compared to an urban area was associated with a higher odds of having a waist-to-hip ratio above the cut-off in men (OR: 1.24, 95% CI: 1.09–1.41). In women, having a household income <$30,000 was associated with a higher odds of having a waist-to-hip ratio above the cut-off (OR: 1.68, 95% CI: 1.51–1.88). Compared to never
### Table 2: Odds of higher body mass index by baseline sociodemographic characteristics in Alberta’s Tomorrow Project Cohort from Multinomial Logistic Regression

| Sociodemographic factors | Men (n = 11,857) | Women (n = 18,259) |
|--------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
|                          | ≤24.9 kg/m²     | 25.0–29.9 kg/m²   | ≥30 kg/m² |
|                          | Normal          | Overweight        | Obese    | Normal          | Overweight | Obese    |
| Age                      |                 |                   |          |                 |            |          |
| 35–44                    | Ref             | 0.80 (0.63–1.01)  | 0.81 (0.63–1.05) | Ref             | 0.54 (0.45–0.64) | 0.54 (0.45–0.64) |
| 45–54                    | Ref             | 0.90 (0.72–1.13)  | 1.02 (0.80–1.32) | Ref             | 0.74 (0.62–0.87) | 0.79 (0.66–0.95) |
| 55–64                    | Ref             | 0.97 (0.78–1.21)  | 1.15 (0.91–1.45) | Ref             | 0.98 (0.83–1.14) | 1.06 (0.90–1.24) |
| 65–69*                   | Ref             | Ref               | Ref      | Ref             | Ref         | Ref      |
| Education                |                 |                   |          |                 |            |          |
| High school              | Ref             | 1.05 (0.86–1.29)  | 0.98 (0.79–1.22) | Ref             | 0.94 (0.81–1.09) | 0.86 (0.74–0.99) |
| Some post-secondary      | Ref             | 0.99 (0.73–1.38)  | 0.87 (0.71–1.07) | Ref             | 0.94 (0.81–1.09) | 0.80 (0.69–0.93) |
| Post secondary completed | Ref             | 0.71 (0.60–0.84)  | 0.52 (0.43–0.63) | Ref             | 0.79 (0.69–0.91) | 0.59 (0.51–0.68) |
| < High school            | Ref             | Ref               | Ref      | Ref             | Ref         | Ref      |
| Marital status           |                 |                   |          |                 |            |          |
| Single (never married)   | Ref             | 0.61 (0.51–0.73)  | 0.80 (0.66–0.98) | Ref             | 0.96 (0.81–1.13) | 1.51 (1.28–1.78) |
| Divorced/separated/widowed| Ref             | 0.91 (0.78–1.05)  | 0.91 (0.77–1.08) | Ref             | 0.86 (0.78–0.96) | 0.89 (0.80–0.99) |
| Married/Living with partner| Ref             | Ref               | Ref      | Ref             | Ref         | Ref      |
| Household Income (SCAN)  |                 |                   |          |                 |            |          |
| < $30,000                | Ref             | 0.64 (0.53–0.78)  | 0.63 (0.51–0.78) | Ref             | 1.25 (1.10–1.42) | 2.15 (1.88–2.46) |
| $30,000 – $49,000        | Ref             | 0.71 (0.63–0.81)  | 0.73 (0.63–0.84) | Ref             | 1.34 (1.22–1.48) | 1.74 (1.56–1.93) |
| $50,000 – $79,000        | Ref             | 0.81 (0.73–0.92)  | 0.81 (0.71–0.92) | Ref             | 1.18 (1.08–1.30) | 1.54 (1.38–1.71) |
| ≥ $80,000*               | Ref             | Ref               | Ref      | Ref             | Ref         | Ref      |
| Occupational status      |                 |                   |          |                 |            |          |
| Part Time                | Ref             | 0.97 (0.79–1.18)  | 0.78 (0.62–0.98) | Ref             | 0.85 (0.78–0.93) | 0.73 (0.66–0.80) |
| Retired                  | Ref             | 1.14 (0.94–1.39)  | 1.36 (1.10–1.68) | Ref             | 0.96 (0.83–1.10) | 0.85 (0.73–0.99) |
| Other*                   | Ref             | 0.94 (0.76–1.16)  | 1.41 (1.13–1.76) | Ref             | 0.93 (0.84–1.02) | 0.96 (0.86–1.06) |
| Full Time*               | Ref             | Ref               | Ref      | Ref             | Ref         | Ref      |
| Geographic Location      |                 |                   |          |                 |            |          |
| Rural                    | Ref             | 1.19 (1.06–1.34)  | 1.34 (1.18–1.52) | Ref             | 1.16 (1.06–1.26) | 1.37 (1.25–1.49) |
| Urban*                   | Ref             | Ref               | Ref      | Ref             | Ref         | Ref      |
| Smoking Status           |                 |                   |          |                 |            |          |
| Current daily smoker     | Ref             | 0.76 (0.66–0.86)  | 0.63 (0.54–0.73) | Ref             | 0.98 (0.88–1.09) | 0.79 (0.71–0.89) |
| Current occasional smoker| Ref             | 1.24 (0.96–1.62)  | 1.32 (0.99–1.76) | Ref             | 1.25 (1.02–1.52) | 0.95 (0.75–1.21) |
| Former smoker            | Ref             | 1.45 (1.30–1.61)  | 1.71 (1.51–1.93) | Ref             | 1.22 (1.13–1.32) | 1.41 (1.30–1.53) |
| Never smoker*            | Ref             | Ref               | Ref      | Ref             | Ref         | Ref      |

* Multinomial logistic regression is modelling the probability of overweight and obesity
*+ Considered reference category for the sociodemographic variable
a- Alberta’s Tomorrow Project baseline data, respondents to height and weight measurements
b- Continuous variable in years placed into categories
c- Completed high school
d- Completed some technical school/college training, or completed technical school/college training, or completed some part of university degree
e- Completed university degree, or completed some part of post-graduate university degree, or completed university post-graduate degree
f- Did not completed grade 8, or completed grade 8 but not high school
g- Single and never have been married
h- Divorced, or separated, or widowed
i- Married, or not married but living with a partner
j- Income data are in response to a question about total household income before tax
k- "Other" includes homemaker, unemployed, student and other category
l- Geographic Location was determined using postal codes, where the "0" as the middle numerical number indicates rural residence
† - Missing in body mass index or in at least one sociodemographic factor and hence excluded from the analysis (n = 259)
‡ - Missing in body mass index or in at least one sociodemographic factor and hence excluded from the analysis (n = 697)
smokers, the odds of having a waist-to-hip ratio above the cut-off was greater for former smokers in men (OR: 1.52, 95% CI: 1.35–1.72) and women (OR: 1.25, 95% CI: 1.17–1.34).

The prevalence of participants with a waist-to-hip ratio above the cut-off was higher among those with a history of hypertension, angina, high cholesterol, stroke, diabetes and Crohn’s disease (Table 6).

**Discussion**

In the ATP cohort, 77% of men and 60% of women had a BMI that exceeded the normal range, suggesting that more than two thirds of the participants were overweight or obese. The CCHS reported that 65.4% of men and 48.1% of women were classified as having a BMI above the normal range (Additional file 1: Table S1). Similar to the results observed in the present study, earlier analyses conducted on the first 11,865 participants enrolled in ATP showed a higher proportion of obesity in Alberta Tomorrow Project participants than CCHS (Cycle 1.1) participants residing in Alberta [7]. Some of the difference in prevalence of obesity between the CCHS and ATP could be due to the time periods in which the data were collected. The CCHS data were collected in 2005, but 40.8% of the ATP data were collected between 2006 and 2009, when rates of overweight and obesity were higher.

BMI has been widely criticized as a measure of body size in health research due to its methodological limitations, particularly in terms of failing to address body composition [11]. When examining waist circumference, we observed high rates of abdominal obesity. Over 40% of the cohort reported waist circumferences that are associated with a significantly elevated risk of metabolic complications [9], with a higher proportion observed among women. These sex differences highlight the limitations of BMI, where more men are classified as overweight, potentially because of their higher muscle mass, as compared to using waist circumference categories. In contrast, 57% of participants in the cohort had a waist-to-hip ratio above the cut-off established by the WHO, with substantially higher prevalence observed among men compared to women, which is expected given the differences in male and female body composition.

Consistent with previous research, excess body weight, as measured by BMI, waist circumference and waist-to-hip ratio, was more prevalent among ATP participants with lower household income and education [9]. Across the different measures, the proportion of the study population with excess body weight was slightly higher in rural populations. Similar trends have been observed in other Canadian population-based surveys [12, 13] as well as in the United States [14]. Although the reasons for greater prevalence of obesity in participants living in rural areas are not clear and warrant further investigation, one U.S. study showed that those living in rural areas were less physically active than those living in urban areas, which could contribute to the higher obesity rates [15].

Participants in ATP cohort who had excess body weight were more likely to report a history of hypertension, angina, diabetes, polyps in the colon, high cholesterol and Crohn’s disease. In addition, these participants were more likely to have had a heart attack or stroke. Although the biological mechanism linking overweight/obesity and chronic disease depends on the specific outcome, in general it involves bioactive mediators being released from adipose tissues, which in turn can lead to insulin resistance, inflammation and changes in blood pressure, lipid concentrations and coagulation [16].

Several limitations to the data presented in this analysis should be discussed. These data should not be considered...
Table 4  Waist circumference and waist-to-hip ratio\(^b\) categories of Alberta Tomorrow Project participants\(^b\) by socio-demographic variables

| Variable                  | Waist circumference (%) | Waist-to-hip Ratio (%) |  
|---------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
|                           | Elevated Risk\(^a\)    | Extremely High Risk\(^a\) |  
|                           | n  | No  | Yes  | n  | No  | Yes  | n  | <0.90 (Men), < 0.85 (Women) | 20.90 (Men), ≥ 0.85 (Women) |
| Sex                       |    |      |      |    |      |      |    |                          |                          |
| Men                       | 12,042 | 32.6 | 67.4  | 12,042 | 59.3 | 40.7  | 11,919 | 14.6 | 85.4 |
| Women                     | 18,787 | 33.0 | 67.0  | 18,787 | 55.8 | 44.3  | 18,730 | 14.6 | 85.4 |
| Age                       |    |      |      |    |      |      |    |                          |                          |
| 35–44                     | 10,019 | 42.6 | 57.4  | 10,019 | 62.2 | 37.8  | 9962  | 52.1 | 47.9 |
| 45–54                     | 10,871 | 32.3 | 67.7  | 10,871 | 57.4 | 42.6  | 10,805 | 42.4 | 57.6 |
| 55–64                     | 7375  | 24.4 | 75.6  | 7375  | 48.4 | 51.6  | 7339  | 35.4 | 64.7 |
| ≥ 65                      | 2564  | 21.3 | 78.7  | 2564  | 45.7 | 54.3  | 2543  | 31.9 | 68.1 |
| Education                 |    |      |      |    |      |      |    |                          |                          |
| High school not completed | 2981  | 23.2 | 76.8  | 2981  | 45.6 | 54.4  | 2946  | 30.1 | 69.9 |
| High school completed     | 5685  | 28.9 | 71.1  | 5685  | 52.7 | 47.3  | 5655  | 43.0 | 57.0 |
| Some post-secondary\(^d\) | 6432  | 30.4 | 69.6  | 6432  | 53.4 | 46.6  | 6391  | 42.7 | 57.3 |
| Post-secondary completed  | 15,725 | 37.1 | 62.9  | 15,725 | 62.5 | 37.6  | 15,652 | 45.5 | 54.5 |
| Marital Status            |    |      |      |    |      |      |    |                          |                          |
| Married/Living with partner | 24,159 | 32.8 | 67.2  | 24,159 | 57.9 | 42.1  | 24,015 | 42.7 | 57.3 |
| Single (never married)    | 1815  | 35.8 | 64.2  | 1815  | 55.4 | 44.6  | 1803  | 40.1 | 59.9 |
| Divorced/separated/widowed | 4847  | 31.8 | 68.2  | 4847  | 53.8 | 46.2  | 4823  | 45.7 | 54.3 |
| Household Income\(^e\)    |    |      |      |    |      |      |    |                          |                          |
| <$30,000                  | 4050  | 27.0 | 73.0  | 4050  | 51.9 | 48.1  | 4013  | 39.8 | 60.2 |
| $30,000 - $49,000         | 8309  | 30.1 | 69.9  | 8309  | 46.5 | 53.5  | 8259  | 42.0 | 58.0 |
| $50,000 - $79,000         | 7777  | 32.9 | 67.1  | 7777  | 42.0 | 58.0  | 7727  | 41.7 | 58.3 |
| ≥ $80,000                | 9995  | 37.8 | 62.2  | 9995  | 45.7 | 54.3  | 9918  | 45.7 | 54.3 |
| Occupational Status       |    |      |      |    |      |      |    |                          |                          |
| Full Time                 | 17,586 | 34.4 | 65.6  | 17,586 | 59.3 | 40.7  | 17,473 | 38.5 | 61.5 |
| Part Time/Homemaker       | 7523  | 35.9 | 64.1  | 7523  | 59.7 | 40.3  | 7495  | 58.5 | 41.5 |
| Unemployed/Student        | 795   | 34.5 | 65.5  | 795   | 55.5 | 44.5  | 790   | 46.1 | 53.9 |
| Retired                   | 3870  | 21.9 | 78.1  | 3870  | 46.1 | 53.9  | 3845  | 35.2 | 64.8 |
| Other                     | 1043  | 23.7 | 76.3  | 1043  | 44.7 | 55.3  | 1034  | 34.1 | 65.9 |
| Smoking Status            |    |      |      |    |      |      |    |                          |                          |
| Current daily smoker      | 4753  | 36.6 | 63.4  | 4753  | 58.5 | 41.5  | 4719  | 38.6 | 61.4 |
| Current occasional smoker | 963   | 27.1 | 72.9  | 963   | 59.2 | 40.8  | 953   | 42.5 | 57.5 |
| Former smoker             | 11,470 | 33.4 | 66.6  | 11,470 | 51.5 | 48.5  | 11,399 | 38.7 | 61.4 |
| Never smoker              | 13,612 | 35.9 | 64.2  | 13,612 | 61.3 | 38.7  | 13,547 | 48.2 | 51.8 |
| Geographic Location\(^f\) |    |      |      |    |      |      |    |                          |                          |
| Rural                     | 7286  | 28.6 | 71.4  | 7286  | 47.5 | 52.5  | 7236  | 40.5 | 59.6 |
| Urban                     | 23,543 | 34.2 | 65.8  | 23,543 | 43.8 | 56.2  | 23,413 | 43.8 | 56.2 |

Note: all the variables tested were highly significantly different, assessed by Pearson chi-square test \((p < 0.005)\)

\(^a\)Waist circumference and waist-to-hip ratio derived from participant self-reported measurements. Waist circumference is missing for 243 participants and waist-to-hip ratio is missing for 423 participants

\(^b\)Alberta’s Tomorrow Project data at enrolment. Percentages are reported as frequency of each category divided by total number of participants within rows of sociodemographic factors and separately for men and women, such that proportion of participants falling into each category represent the proportion within that sociodemographic factor

\(^c\)Elevated risk for waist circumference defined as >94 cm for men and >80 cm for women. Extremely high risk defined as >102 cm for men and >88 cm for women

\(^d\)Some post-secondary includes combined responses to: some technical school/college, completed technical school/college, some part of university degree completed

\(^e\)Income data are in response to a question about total household income before tax

\(^f\)Geographic location determined using postal codes, with rural areas identified according to rural postal code classification (second digit = 0)
| Sociodemographic Factors | Waist circumference<sup>*n = 11,807</sup> | Waist-Hip Ratio<sup>*n = 11,857</sup> |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
|                          | Elevated Risk | Extremely High Risk | Elevated Risk | Extremely High Risk |
|                          | ≤ 89 cm (M), ≤ 80 cm (W)<sup>+</sup> | > 94 cm (men) > 80 (women) | ≤ 102 cm (M), ≤ 88 (W)* | >102 cm (men) >88 (women) |
|                          | OR [95% CI] | OR [95% CI] | OR [95% CI] | OR [95% CI] |
| **Occupational status**  |              |              |              |              |
| **Smoking Status**       |              |              |              |              |
| **Geographic Location**  |              |              |              |              |
| **Sociodemographic Factors** |              |              |              |              |
| Age<sup>b</sup>          | 35–44        | Ref          | Ref          | Ref          |
|                          | 0.54         | [0.44–0.66]  | 0.60         | [0.50–0.72]  |
|                          | 45–54        | Ref          | Ref          | Ref          |
|                          | 0.80         | [0.65–0.98]  | 0.84         | [0.70–0.99]  |
|                          | 55–64        | Ref          | Ref          | Ref          |
|                          | 0.96         | [0.79–1.16]  | 1.05         | [0.90–1.24]  |
|                          | 65–69<sup>*</sup> | Ref | Ref | Ref |
| Education                |              |              |              |              |
| High school<sup>c</sup>  | Ref          | Ref          | Ref          | Ref          |
|                          | 0.93         | [0.78–1.10]  | 0.89         | [0.77–1.03]  |
| Some post-secondary<sup>d</sup> | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref |
|                          | 0.87         | [0.74–1.02]  | 0.91         | [0.79–1.05]  |
| Post secondary completed<sup>e</sup> | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref |
|                          | 0.63         | [0.54–0.73]  | 0.65         | [0.57–0.74]  |
| < High school<sup>f</sup> | Ref          | Ref          | Ref          | Ref          |
| Marital status           |              |              |              |              |
| Single (never married)<sup>g</sup> | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref |
|                          | 0.74         | [0.63–0.86]  | 0.89         | [0.76–1.05]  |
| Divorced/separated/widowed<sup>h</sup> | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref |
|                          | 0.85         | [0.74–0.96]  | 0.94         | [0.83–1.07]  |
| Married/Living with partner<sup>i</sup> | Ref | Ref | Ref | Ref |
| Household income (SCAN)<sup>j</sup> |              |              |              |              |
| < ≤ 30,000               | Ref          | Ref          | Ref          | Ref          |
|                          | 0.82         | [0.70–0.97]  | 0.92         | [0.79–1.08]  |
| $30,000 - $49,000        | Ref          | Ref          | Ref          | Ref          |
|                          | 0.92         | [0.82–1.02]  | 0.91         | [0.82–1.02]  |
| $50,000 - $79,000        | Ref          | Ref          | Ref          | Ref          |
| ≥ $80,000<sup>*</sup>    | Ref          | Ref          | Ref          | Ref          |
| Occupational status      |              |              |              |              |
| Part Time                | Ref          | Ref          | Ref          | Ref          |
| Retired                  | 1.29         | [1.08–1.53]  | 1.27         | [1.09–1.47]  |
| Other<sup>k</sup>        | Ref          | Ref          | Ref          | Ref          |
| Full Time*<sup>l</sup>   | Ref          | Ref          | Ref          | Ref          |
| Geographic Location<sup>m</sup> |              |              |              |              |
| Rural                    | Ref          | Ref          | Ref          | Ref          |
| Urban<sup>n</sup>        | Ref          | Ref          | Ref          | Ref          |
| Smoking Status           |              |              |              |              |
| Current daily smoker     | Ref          | Ref          | Ref          | Ref          |
| Current occasional smoker | Ref          | Ref          | Ref          | Ref          |
| Former smoker            | Ref          | Ref          | Ref          | Ref          |
| Never smoker<sup>*</sup> | Ref          | Ref          | Ref          | Ref          |
Table 6 Cross-sectional associations between waist circumference, hip-to-waist ratio\( ^a \) and history of chronic diseases in Alberta’s Tomorrow Project Cohort

| Chronic Disease\( ^b \) | Waist Circumference (%) | | Waist-to-Hip Ratio |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
|                         | Elevated Risk\( ^c \)   | Extremely High Risk\( ^c \) | n <0.90 (Men), < 0.85 (Women) | ≥0.90 (Men), ≥ 0.85 (Women) |
|                         | No | Yes | No | Yes | n | No | Yes | 259 | n | No | Yes |
| Hypertension            | 7029 | 14.7 | 85.3 | 7029 | 35.0 | 65.0 | 6980 | 26.7 | 73.3 | 259 | 26.7 | 73.3 |
| Angina                  | 907 | 18.2 | 81.8 | 907 | 38.3 | 61.7 | 895 | 22.5 | 77.5 | 259 | 22.5 | 77.5 |
| High Cholesterol        | 8323 | 21.3 | 78.7 | 8323 | 45.2 | 54.8 | 8265 | 29.7 | 70.3 | 259 | 29.7 | 70.3 |
| Heart Attack            | 504 | 19.3 | 80.8 | 504 | 39.5 | 60.5 | 500 | 15.2 | 84.8 | 259 | 15.2 | 84.8 |
| Stroke                  | 264 | 18.9 | 81.1 | 264 | 36.7 | 63.3 | 261 | 27.6 | 72.4 | 259 | 27.6 | 72.4 |
| Diabetes                | 1495 | 11.9 | 88.1 | 1495 | 24.5 | 75.5 | 1478 | 16.0 | 84.0 | 259 | 16.0 | 84.0 |
| Polyps in colon         | 1507 | 21.5 | 78.5 | 1507 | 45.0 | 55.0 | 1501 | 33.0 | 67.0 | 259 | 33.0 | 67.0 |
| Crohn’s Disease         | 202 | 30.7 | 69.3 | 202 | 61.9 | 38.1 | 202 | 42.1 | 57.9 | 259 | 42.1 | 57.9 |

Note: all the variables tested were highly significantly different, assessed by Pearson chi-square test \( p < 0.001 \).

\( ^a \) Waist circumference and waist-to-hip ratio derived from participant self-reported measurements. Waist circumference measurements are missing for 243 participants, waist-to-hip ratio missing for 423 participants

\( ^b \) Chronic disease defined as self-report of a physician diagnosis

\( ^c \) Elevated risk for waist circumference defined as >94 cm for men and >80 cm for women. Extremely high risk defined as >102 cm for men and >88 cm for women

representative of the Alberta population as a whole. In addition, misclassification by respondent bias is a potential limitation that should be considered. It has been previously reported that weight-related values are underreported, while height is exaggerated [17]. The cohort does not include young adults (age 18- < 35), who have been shown to have a slightly lower prevalence of obesity than the general Alberta population [13]. We observed several significant associations in this analysis which may be in part due to the large sample sizes being examined.

Although we did not evaluate prospective trends in this analysis, national data suggest that abdominal obesity is especially on the rise [18, 19]. Overweight/obesity in Canada is widely known to be associated with various adverse health outcomes [20]. Furthermore, complications of obesity expand beyond physical health into possible psychological concerns [21] that can have an equally negative effect on the population and the healthcare system. Implementation of existing, and development of novel, interventions targeted at reducing obesity in Alberta should be a public health priority. Including measures of excess body weight in follow-up questionnaires will be important for understanding how measures of BMI, waist circumference and waist-to-hip ratio change over time and what impact these changes have on disease outcomes.

There are a wide range of factors that could be affecting the overweight/obesity trends in the Alberta cohort. It has been demonstrated repeatedly that socioeconomic factors have an overarching impact. For instance, it has been reported that 34% of BMI status can be attributed to educational background, marital status, smoking status and to a less significant extent, sleep deprivation based on results from a cohort study [22]. In the current study, education \( (p < 0.001) \), marital status \( (p < 0.001) \) and smoking status \( (p < 0.001) \) were significantly associated with BMI in both men and women. These relationships between socioeconomic status and BMI are possibly attributable to the differential distribution of certain lifestyle behaviours across socioeconomic categories [23].
Conclusion
These analyses suggest that excess body weight, as measured by BMI, waist circumference and waist-to-hip ratio is highly prevalent in the cohort. The prevalence of overweight/obesity was higher in the lower education and income groups. Our data further implies that there are multiple chronic conditions associated with excess body weight. Multi-faceted approaches targeting the governmental, community and individual-level changes will be required to improve the energy balance of Albertans and improve subsequent health outcomes.
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