Comparison of maturation and physical performance in basketball athletes of different playing positions
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Abstract – The aim of this study was to compare the characteristics of somatic maturation, anthropometric and physical performance (vertical jump and aerobic power) in young basketball players of different playing positions (under 13 years) and analyze these relationships using Peak Height Velocity (PHV) as a measure of somatic maturation. For this, 26 male athletes were evaluated. Anthropometric variables were: body mass, standing and sitting height, and length of lower limbs. Maturation was determined by age at PHV. Physical performance was determined by lower limb power (counter movement jump - CMJ) and aerobic power (In- termittent Recovery Test) tests. MANOVA reported significant differences (p<0.05) among playing positions regarding variables Maturity Offset, estimated PHV age, standing height, sitting height, estimated leg length, body mass and Yo-Yo IR1. In addition, it was identified that point guards reached estimated PHV at later age than their peers who act as small forwards and centers. Regarding CMJ, no significant differences were identified among playing positions, but in relation to aerobic power, point guards and small forwards presented higher performance. These findings confirm that maturation has great effect on growth and physical performance measures and the estimated PHV age is an applicable tool in young athletes, mainly aiding professionals in structuring the teaching-learning-training process in this age group.
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INTRODUCTION

Basketball has become one of the most popular sports worldwide, especially among young adolescents. It is characterized as a high-intensity modality with short recovery periods, requiring aerobic power and muscle power, especially of lower limbs. During matches, although movements occur in small spaces, different tasks and actions such as sprints, jumps, accelerations, decelerations, and constant changes of direction are required from athletes. However, these characteristics may be influenced by the maturity of these individuals, since physical performance is directly related to maturational stage, body size and years of training in young athletes.

Maturation consists of structural and functional body changes during development to maturity. Thus, in order to identify athletes during the maturational process, a non-invasive instrument was proposed, which is easy to access and possible to be used in cross-sectional studies, predicting the distance (in years) that the individual is from peak height velocity (PHV). Some studies have shown that male athletes reach PHV at 14 years of age; however, there is maturity time variation among individuals, which consequently can be reflected in the different playing positions in Basketball.

Previous studies have shown that the game demands are related to the tactical, physical and anthropometric elements in basketball athletes. For example, Pehar et al. demonstrated that point guards have lower body mass and height indexes than centers, as well as better aerobic performance and vertical jump height when compared to their peers (small forwards and centers). However, there is no consensus in literature whether these differences demonstrate the same behavior after using estimated PHV age to control the effect of somatic maturation of different positions on young basketball athletes.

In this context, there seems to be a tendency for smaller basketball athletes, such as point guards, to reach the estimated PHV at later age, while centers reach it at an earlier age, i.e., with earlier maturation process. These differences indicate that the playing position may be influenced by maturational aspects, but few studies have analyzed this behavior in the U-13 category, age in which athletes approach PHV. In this sense, understanding these relationships during this process becomes relevant to professionals in the area, especially in helping them to prescribe training effectively and individually. Therefore, the primary aim of the present study was to compare the somatic maturation, anthropometric and physical performance (vertical jump and aerobic power) characteristics among playing positions and to analyze these relationships using PHV as a measure of the degree of somatic maturation interdependence in young basketball players (U-13).

METHOD

Participants
The sample consisted of 26 male basketball athletes divided into three
playing positions; small forwards (n = 12), point guards (n = 6) and centers (n = 8) belonging to the Parana U-13 team (Table 1). Data collection was performed during preparation to the National Championship, and in this phase, athletes trained between four and five times a week, with sessions lasting between 60 and 90 minutes in the afternoon, in addition to friendly matches. Specifically, athletes engaged in technical and tactical activities such as reduced games, activities with numerical superiority and inferiority, collective training, coordinative exercises, and conditioning skills were developed during these activities. In addition, the sample can be classified as elite athletes for participating in State and National competitions.

Parents or guardians of athletes received clarifications regarding the study purpose, collection procedures, benefits and possible risks of their children's participation in the present study. Subsequently, they were submitted to voluntary participation by signing the informed consent form, signed by parents, according to guidelines proposed by Resolution 466/12 of the National Health Council on research involving human beings, approved by the Ethics Research Committee of the State University of Western Paraná under CAAE number: 01334812.5.0000.0107.

**Experimental design**

Athletes participating in this study represented their clubs / schools in municipal, regional and state competitions; specifically during the first semester of 2017. After the end of this period, athletes were summoned by the Paraná basketball federation to compose the state team in the Brazilian championship of the respective category. Athletes participated in a 3-week training period prior to the national competition. Finally, before training, anthropometric and physical evaluations were performed to obtain individual information.

Participants underwent two data collection sessions, held at the local gym, scheduled on different days according to schedules agreed with the technical committee, with minimum interval of 24 and maximum interval of 48 hours. In the first session, anthropometric evaluation was conducted, followed by familiarization with the aerobic and lower limb power tests. In the second session, in the morning, countermovement jump Test (CMJ) was performed and in the afternoon, the Intermittent Recovery Test (Yo-Yo IR1). All participants were instructed not to exercise the day before, as well as not to eat high-energy foods and / or caffeine-containing drinks for a period prior to three hours before tests. Evaluations were performed in the morning between 09:00 am and 11:00 am and in afternoon between 02:00 pm and 05:00 pm, with temperature variation between 23 and 25°C.

**Collection Instruments**

Anthropometric variables were composed of body mass, standing and sitting height and lower limb length. Body mass (Toledo, model 2096, Brazil) and height (Sanny, Standard model, Brazil) were obtained according to procedures proposed by Alvarez and Pavan16. For trunk-cephalic
height, lower limb length was measured from the difference between trunk-cephalic height and individual’s height. Body mass index (BMI) was determined using the following formula: BMI = weight (kg) / (height $^2$ (m)).

PHV assessment was based on the proposal by Koziel and Malina\textsuperscript{17}, which could predict the distance in years that an individual is from the PHV age. The model was included of age and height interactions. From these data, the Maturity Offset formula for boys in years was used: $-7.9999994 + (0.0036124 \times (age \times height)$. The authors report that this new equation has reduced variation compared to original equations, being useful for assessing maturity more accurately, and PHV has higher consistency in biological classification, being considered an ideal measure to group subjects within a common maturation marker.

To assess lower limb power, CMJ height was used through a contact mat (Jump System Pro, Cefise, Brazil). Prior to performing the jumping protocol, lower limb joint warm-up was performed, followed by 5 sub-maximal jumps, respecting 5 minutes of rest until testing. Each participant performed three maximal random CMJs with a 20-second interval between each jump. To perform the jump, the athlete stood on the mat with hands fixed to the hip and at the signal of the evaluator, the athlete performed a squat, followed by a jump. Athletes were encouraged to perform as much effort as possible. The best result among jumps was recorded\textsuperscript{18}.

The Yo-Yo IR1 test was used to evaluate the aerobic power of athletes. It consisted of 2 shuttle run tests in a 20-meter cone-demarcated space, interspersed with 10 seconds of active recovery (controlled by “beep” signal performed by an amplified speaker) using a 5-meter space marked with cones. The Yo-Yo IR1 test started with 4 shuttle run tests between 10 and 13 km.h$^{-1}$ (0–160 m) followed by 7 shuttle run tests between 13.5 and 14 km.h$^{-1}$ (160–440 m), following an increase of 0.5 km.h$^{-1}$ every 8 runs. Athletes were familiarized with test before starting, and warm-up was also performed. Athletes were evaluated simultaneously and were verbally encouraged to perform as much effort as possible. The test was completed when the athlete reached voluntary exhaustion\textsuperscript{19}.

**Statistical analysis**

To verify data normality, the Shapiro-Wilk test was used. Levene’s test was used to test homoscedasticity, while data sphericity was verified by the Mauchly’s test. When the last assumption was violated, the Greenhouse-Geisser correction was adopted. Due to the parametric non-violation, central tendency (mean) and dispersion (standard deviation) measures were used to describe the investigation variables. Effect size (Cohen’s) was used to investigate differences in maturity and estimated PHV age for each and among playing positions. The effect size (ES) was defined according to Cohen’s\textsuperscript{20} classification: $<0.2$: trivial; $0.2–0.5$: small; $0.5–0.8$: moderate; $>0.8$: large. Multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) was performed to determine differences in chronological age, PHV age, anthropometric characteristics and performance among playing positions. In addition,
multivariate analysis of covariance (MANCOVA) with chronological age and PHV age was used as dependent covariate for comparison of anthropometric and performance characteristics. Statistical procedures were performed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS, version 19.0) for Windows. The significance level adopted was p <0.05.

RESULTS

Comparison of anthropometric variables, estimated PHV age and lower limb aerobic power among playing positions are presented in table 1. MANOVA reported significant difference among playing positions in variables Maturity Offset, estimated PHV age, height, sitting height, estimated leg length (ELL) (p <0.001), body mass and Yo-Yo IR1 (p <0.05). No difference was found among playing positions in variables chronological age, BMI and CMJ (p > 0.05). In addition, ES presented moderate and large values in the following anthropometric variables: Maturity Offset, estimated PHV age, body mass, height, sitting height, ELL and BMI, especially centers in relation to the other positions. Moreover, variables CMJ and Yo-Yo IR1 presented moderate and large ES, and in the aerobic power test, centers reported shorter distance traveled compared to small forwards and point guards (ES = 1.05 and 1.16).

Table 2 presents the MANCOVA values using the estimated PHV age as covariate. After controlling differences with estimated PHV age among positions, variable ELL showed significant difference (p <0.05), and lower values were found for small forwards when compared to point guards (ES = moderate) and centers (ES = large). Similarly, significant differences were reported for the distance traveled (Yo-Yo IR1; p <0.05), and these values were lower for centers when compared to small forwards and point guards (ES = large).

Table 1. Descriptive statistics (mean ± SD) of age, somatic maturation, anthropometric characteristics and physical performance among playing positions, and MANOVA results with effect size (with 90% CI).

| Variables                | Small forwards [1] (n=12) | Point guards [2] (n=6) | Centers [3] (n=8) | F     | p-value | 1 vs. 2 | 1 vs. 3 | 2 vs. 3 |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|-------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Chronological age (years) | 13.13 ± 0.24             | 13.22 ± 0.30           | 13.30 ± 0.24      | 1.102 | 0.349   | -0.21   | -0.53   | -0.25   |
| Maturity Offset (years)  | 0.27 ± 0.34               | -0.27 ± 0.46           | 0.77 ± 0.37       | 13.174| 0.000   | 1.06    | -1.10   | -2.49   |
| Estimated PHV age (years)| 12.85 ± 0.33             | 13.51 ± 0.28           | 12.53 ± 0.19      | 20.113| 0.000   | -2.00   | 1.45    | 4.53    |
| Body mass (kg)           | 65.27 ± 9.07              | 49.58 ± 3.60           | 71.41 ± 14.72     | 7.858 | 0.003   | 3.67    | -0.37   | -1.32   |
| Height (cm)              | 174.42 ± 6.70             | 161.50 ± 7.06          | 182.50 ± 5.07     | 18.877| 0.000   | 1.60    | -1.33   | -3.68   |
| Sitting Height (cm)      | 109.08 ± 3.70             | 100.41 ± 3.77          | 111.00 ± 4.84     | 12.757| 0.000   | 1.99    | -0.30   | -1.94   |
| ELL (cm)                 | 65.30 ± 3.96              | 61.08 ± 5.14           | 71.50 ± 2.07      | 13.423| 0.000   | 0.73    | -2.55   | -4.47   |
| BMI (kg/m²)              | 21.47 ± 2.84              | 19.03 ± 1.22           | 21.37 ± 3.98      | 1.478 | 0.249   | 1.67    | 0.02    | -0.52   |
| CMJ (cm)                 | 31.00 ± 4.66              | 29.01 ± 2.70           | 29.85 ± 4.06      | 0.500 | 0.613   | 0.63    | 0.26    | -0.18   |
| Yo-YoIR1(m)              | 926.67 ± 196.76           | 953.33 ± 186.61        | 640.00 ± 240.00   | 5.579 | 0.011   | -0.14   | 1.05    | 1.16    |

Note: ELL: estimated leg length; BMI: body mass index; PHV: peak height velocity; SD: standard deviation; MANOVA: Multivariate analysis of variance.
DISCUSSION

The main findings of this study showed that there are significant variations among playing positions for somatic maturation measures, anthropometric variables and physical performance in young Brazilian basketball players. However, after using estimated PHV age as covariate to control the effect of somatic maturation, differences related to playing position were found only for estimated leg length and distance traveled in the Yo-Yo IR1 test. These findings suggest that inter-individual variations related to the growth and biological maturation process directly interfere with the decision making of coaches and other professionals involved in the selection process of young talents, especially regarding the definition and specialization of playing positions.

In general, the results of this study showed that point guards achieved estimated PHV at later age (13.51 ± 0.28 years) compared to small forwards (12.85 ± 0.33 years) and centers (12.53 ± 0.19 years). Due to this slower / late biological maturation rate, point guards are lighter, shorter, have lower sitting height and estimated leg length values than small forwards and centers.

In a previous study with 43 young Dutch basketball players, Wierike et al.¹⁰ also showed that point guards (13.73 ± 0.40 years) reached PHV at a later age compared to small forwards (12.78 ± 0.68 years) and centers (12.67 ± 0.76 years). These results are in agreement with the findings of other studies that reported that biological maturation and anthropometric characteristics have influenced the process of specialization of playing positions in basketball¹¹ and other collective modalities¹². Interestingly, these position-specific anthropometric characteristics identified in adolescents are similar to those observed in adult players¹². This denotes that coaches are relying especially on the characteristics of players from adult professional teams to select and train their adolescent athletes, who are still undergoing the growth and biological maturation process, in certain playing positions.

However, it is noteworthy that maturation-related differences tend to

| Variables | Mean ± SD | MANCOVA | Effect Sizes (Cohen’s d) |
|-----------|-----------|---------|--------------------------|
| Chronological age (years) | 13.13 ± 0.24 | 13.22 ± 0.30 | 13.30 ± 0.24 | F: 1.102 | p-value: 0.349 | 1 vs. 2: -0.10 | 1 vs. 3: -0.23 | 2 vs. 3: -0.11 |
| Body mass (kg) | 64.65 ± 2.91 | 56.94 ± 5.98 | 66.82 ± 4.46 | F: 0.682 | p-value: 0.516 | 1 vs. 2: 0.44 | 1 vs. 3: -0.15 | 2 vs. 3: -0.70 |
| Height (cm) | 174.42 ± 6.70 | 161.50 ± 7.06 | 182.50 ± 5.07 | F: 0.860 | p-value: 0.437 | 1 vs. 2: 0.63 | 1 vs. 3: -0.50 | 2 vs. 3: -1.30 |
| Sitting Height (cm) | 108.55 ± 0.82 | 106.72 ± 1.69 | 107.07 ± 1.26 | F: 1.036 | p-value: 0.372 | 1 vs. 2: 0.37 | 1 vs. 3: 0.37 | 2 vs. 3: -0.09 |
| ELL (cm) | 64.78 ± 0.70 | 67.32 ± 1.45 | 67.60 ± 1.08 | F: 4.012 | p-value: 0.033 | 1 vs. 2: -0.60 | 1 vs. 3: -0.82 | 2 vs. 3: -0.08 |
| BMI (kg/m²) | 21.52 ± 0.89 | 18.44 ± 1.82 | 21.74 ± 1.36 | F: 1.073 | p-value: 0.359 | 1 vs. 2: 0.58 | 1 vs. 3: -0.05 | 2 vs. 3: -0.76 |
| CMJ (cm) | 30.98 ± 1.22 | 29.18 ± 2.52 | 29.75 ± 1.88 | F: 0.386 | p-value: 0.684 | 1 vs. 2: 0.25 | 1 vs. 3: 0.21 | 2 vs. 3: -0.10 |
| Yo-YoIR1(m) | 927.31 ± 62.13 | 945.61 ± 127.55 | 644.80 ± 95.22 | F: 3.325 | p-value: 0.055 | 1 vs. 2: -0.05 | 1 vs. 3: 0.93 | 2 vs. 3: 0.99 |

Note: ELL: estimated leg length; BMI: body mass index; PHV: peak height velocity. SD: standard deviation; MANCOVA: multivariate analysis of covariance.
disappear in late adolescence\(^\text{23,24}\), and that late-maturing athletes at the end of their biological maturation process can reach or even surpass their normal or early-maturing peers regarding anthropometric or physical performance characteristics\(^\text{24,25}\). Thus, coaches and other professionals responsible for structuring the teaching-learning-training process in young players of similar age to the present study (13 years) should stimulate the development of technical-tactical skills in a holistic way for the different playing positions, avoiding restricting the athletes’ development to a single tactical function due to their momentary anthropometric characteristic, which is directly influenced by the level of biological maturation.

In the present study, no significant differences were reported among playing positions for vertical jump performance. On the other hand, significant differences related to playing position were found for intermittent aerobic power assessed by the total distance traveled in the Yo-Yo IR1 test. Although differences among playing positions for intermittent aerobic power were reduced after controlling for the influence of somatic maturation (estimated PHV age), point guards and small forwards continued to outperform in the Yo-Yo IR1 test compared to centers, as demonstrated by the large ES (0.93 and 0.99, Table 2), respectively. Although it may seem inconsistent for less mature players (small forwards and point guards) to outperform Yo-Yo IR1 in relation to more mature players (centers), it is likely that metabolic and neuromuscular changes that occur with maturation may be used as a justification to explain these results. Studies available in literature have shown that metabolic and neuromuscular changes that occur with the biological maturation process maximize the development of anaerobic metabolism and adversely influence energy production through aerobic metabolism\(^\text{26,27}\). For example, Doncaster et al.\(^\text{28}\) have shown that soccer players of the same chronological age classified as pre-PHV (less mature) have higher “efficiency” in using the oxidative system for energy production (represented by faster oxygen uptake kinetics) compared to players who were near or beyond PHV (more mature). In addition, better running economy at submaximal intensities has been observed in late or normal maturing players compared to their “early” maturation peers\(^\text{28,29}\). These combined characteristics, indicating better use of the aerobic pathway and greater movement efficiency at submaximal intensities, may partially explain the better performance found in the Yo-Yo IR1 test of slower biological maturation players such as point guards.

Regarding aspects related to early specialization, especially related to playing positions, studies have shown that athletes should preferably start to specialize in a position from the age of 16\(^\text{29}\). Wierike et al.\(^\text{10}\) investigated whether young basketball athletes have changed positions over two consecutive seasons and concluded that most of them specialize in a specific position and remain in it throughout their development. Thus, athletes younger than 16 years of age should train more versatile with respect to playing position, and younger athletes should participate in more sports in order to develop fundamental motor skills\(^\text{10}\).
The limitations of this study were based on some situations, such as the use of mathematical formula to estimate the predicted PHV age, whereas the ideal would be the wrist radiography method (gold standard). The reduced number of subjects, as athletes are of different teams and consequently are submitted to different training models, as well as the impossibility of controlling intervening variables (food, sleep, among others), are other limitations.

CONCLUSION

The results of the present study demonstrate variations for somatic maturation, anthropometric variables and physical performance among playing positions in young basketball athletes. However, these differences are minimized when comparisons are controlled through estimated PHV age, as reported from ES values. These findings confirm that maturation has a significant effect on growth and physical performance measures, and these differences among playing positions may be due to maturity time variability.

In this sense, determining the estimated PHV age is an applicable tool in young athletes, especially to help professionals in structuring the teaching-learning-training process in this age group. Finally, further studies should explore maturational changes among playing positions over the seasons, taking into account the control of training loads, performance of physical and anthropometric capacities.
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