Association between Lifetime Exposure to Inorganic Arsenic in Drinking Water and Coronary Heart Disease in Colorado Residents
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Methods

We investigated the relationship between lifetime inorganic arsenic exposures and the risk of incident CHD using a case-cohort design within the San Luis Valley Diabetes Study (SLVDS). SLVDS is a population-based prospective study conducted from 1984 through 1998 in Alamosa and Conejos counties of south central Colorado. The study investigated the risk factors for diabetes mellitus (DM) and other related chronic diseases in Hispanic and non-Hispanic whites 20–74 years old. SLVDS data collection methods and participant recruitment have been described elsewhere (Hamman et al. 1989). In brief, researchers collected clinical, behavioral, and demographic data and diagnostic assessments including diagnoses of CHD from 1984 through 1998 (Hamman et al. 1990; 1992, 1995, 2007; Sohel et al. 2003).

Conclusions

Lifetime exposure to low-level inorganic arsenic in drinking water was associated with increased risk for CHD in this population.
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Introduction

Nonoccupational exposure to inorganic arsenic occurs mainly through drinking contaminated water (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency [EPA] 1988). In recent decades, research has identified a relationship between exposure to high concentrations of inorganic arsenic in drinking water and the risk of coronary heart disease (CHD); however, the risk at lower levels is ambiguous. Studies from Asia, where water concentrations of inorganic arsenic can be >1,000 μg/L, have reported inorganic arsenic in drinking water to be associated with ischemic heart disease and carotid atherosclerosis (Chen CJ et al. 1996; Tseng et al. 2003; Wang et al. 2002), hypertension (Chen CJ et al. 1995, 2007; Chen Y et al. 2006a; Rahman et al. 1999), and intermediate outcomes associated with CHD including carotid artery intimal–medial thickness (Chen Y et al. 2006b) and ECG changes (Wang et al. 2010).

An association of cardiovascular risk with low-level arsenic exposure in drinking water (<100 μg/L) has been suggested by recent studies (Chen Y et al. 2011; Moon et al. 2013). In a study by Chen Y et al. (2011), results suggested a higher cardiovascular mortality rate with exposure to drinking water arsenic concentrations >12 μg/L and an increasing trend in hazard ratios (HRs) with increasing arsenic exposure (log rank trend test = 0.0019) while controlling for known CHD risk factors. Positive associations were reported by other studies with similar exposure levels (<100 μg/L) (Medrano et al. 2010; Sohel et al. 2009). These findings suggest that increased risk for cardiovascular disease occurs at levels similar to concentrations found in drinking water in areas of the United States.

In the United States, where arsenic concentrations are generally <100 μg/L, ecologic studies (Engel and Smith 1994; Engel et al. 1994; Lewis et al. 1999; Meliker et al. 2007; Zierold et al. 2004) and review articles (Navas-Acien et al. 2005; Wang et al. 2007) have suggested a possible association of drinking-water arsenic with CHD, hypertension, and carotid intimal thickness. However, it has been only recently that chronic exposure to low to moderate levels inorganic arsenic in drinking water has been investigated as an independent risk factor for cardiovascular diseases in a prospective study. Moon et al. (2013) reported an association between urinary arsenic concentrations and coronary heart disease [HR = 1.16; 95% confidence interval (CI): 1.03, 1.30 per 9.9 μg/g adjusted for CHD risk factors] in U.S. American Indian communities. These findings in the Strong Heart Study (Moon et al. 2013) were the first to prospectively assess low- to moderate-level inorganic arsenic exposure in urine with cardiovascular disease at a community level; however, the study was limited in assessing exposure at the individual level. Future research that prospectively follows a cohort representative of U.S. communities with individual-level exposure assessment is necessary to further substantiate the association between inorganic arsenic exposure in drinking water and cardiovascular disease and elucidate the dose–response curve.

Methods

We investigated the relationship between lifetime inorganic arsenic exposures and the risk of incident CHD using a case-cohort design within the San Luis Valley Diabetes Study (SLVDS). SLVDS is a population-based prospective study conducted from 1984 through 1998 in Alamosa and Conejos counties of south central Colorado. The study investigated the risk factors for diabetes mellitus (DM) and other related chronic diseases in Hispanic and non-Hispanic whites 20–74 years old. SLVDS data collection methods and participant recruitment have been described elsewhere (Hamman et al. 1989). In brief, researchers collected clinical, behavioral, and demographic data and diagnostic assessments including diagnoses of CHD from 1984 through 1998 (Hamman et al. 1990; 1992, 1995, 2007; Sohel et al. 2003).
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Estimating arsenic exposures. Residential history (determined from structured interviews or secondary data sources) was linked to a geospatial model of predicted inorganic arsenic in groundwater to reconstruct annual estimated exposure to inorganic arsenic in drinking water over each participant’s lifetime. The use of inorganic arsenic levels in residential drinking water to assess exposure was supported by findings from our research that correlated annual predicted arsenic exposure estimates with temporally concurrent specified inorganic arsenic concentrations in historically collected samples. Between 2006 and 2008, study subjects or next of kin of deceased subjects as designated in SLVDS (14.2%) were contacted by mail with information about the study, followed by a call to set up an appointment for a telephone interview and a water sample collection. During the interviews (n = 357; 64%) we collected data about past residences, past workplace/school locations, and history of drinking-water consumption at each location. For each location, data included addresses, residence dates, water source (well or public), water treatment device (if yes, type, model number), number of glasses of water consumed per day (nonbottled water), number of glasses of beverages made with water (e.g., coffee, tea, juice), whether they typically cooked with water from the tap in the home, and whether they had a vegetable garden (if yes, which vegetables). For subjects or next of kin who were not able to be located for an interview (n = 189, 33%), we used triangulation methods incorporating records from the county assessor’s office and SLVDS tracking database to reconstruct residential history. In brief, 189 participants were not interviewed (n = 2,023 person-years); therefore, the SLVDS contact tracking database was used to determine residence history back to 1975 and earlier for participants who reported living at the residence listed in 1975, before 1975. This left 914 person-years across 98 subjects still missing residential history who therefore were further investigated in county clerk records. In the end, there were 18 subjects with partial residential history (before 1975) (n = 126 person-years) with missing residential locations, and these were assigned the mean value for the last known city of residence.

Drinking-water samples were collected from the residential kitchen tap at time of interview and analyzed by the chemistry laboratory of the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment using standard ion chromatography and inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry with a detection limit of 1 μg/L. Samples with arsenic concentrations below the detection limit were given a value of half of the detection limit similar to other studies (Ayotte et al. 2006). Samples collected from private wells were assigned geographic coordinates using a global positioning system (GPS) unit (n = 248). Elsewhere we have provided methods for determining and validating the temporal and spatial variability of inorganic arsenic in groundwater in the SLV (James et al. 2013). In brief, findings indicate that naturally occurring inorganic arsenic concentrations in groundwater are stable over decades [consistent with other research (e.g., Steinmaus 2005)], justifying the use of geospatial models based on the mean arsenic concentration in individual private wells over decades to predict spatial variability of inorganic arsenic in groundwater. This was supported by a correlation analysis in a 10% sample of observed and predicted values (ρ = 0.715; 95% CI: 0.67, 0.75) (James et al. 2014).

An exposure matrix was developed to estimate each participant’s annual exposure to arsenic in drinking water. Each record included residential, employment, and school location and an estimate of the amount of water ingested and water arsenic concentration (either observed or predicted) for each participant for each year of life from birth to death or 1998, whichever came first. Three estimated exposure values—residential arsenic concentration (arsenic concentration in drinking water at residence), residential arsenic dose (residential arsenic concentration in drinking water times the amount of water consumed in liters per day), and total arsenic dose (residential arsenic dose plus workplace and/or school dose)—were each defined based on a time-weighted average (TWA). A time-weighted average (TWA) for each exposure metric was calculated by dividing cumulative per-person arsenic exposure by the number of years in each participant’s lifetime to get an annuitized exposure per year (Meliker et al. 2010).

To determine which TWA exposure estimate best approximated biologic exposure, we correlated these with speciated arsenic concentrations in historically collected urine samples (collected 1984–1991), adjusting for sex and creatinine (James et al. 2013). In brief, estimates of residential arsenic concentration ($R^2 = 0.37; ρ = 0.61$) were the strongest correlates of the sum of the toxic urine arsenic species [As$^{3+}$, As$^{5+}$, dimethylarsinic acid (DMA), monomethylarsenic acid (MMA)], as opposed to estimates that included water consumption (residential dose) ($R^2 = 0.21; ρ = 0.46$) or exposure at work or school (total dose) ($R^2 = 0.23; ρ = 0.48$).

Statistical analyses. We used a Cox proportional-hazards model incorporating a robust variance estimator specific for case-cohort study designs (Barlow et al. 1999) to examine the association between TWA inorganic arsenic exposure and diagnosis of or death from CHD. We scaled the continuous arsenic exposure estimate to the interquartile range (IQR) (15 μg/L), along with other continuous covariates, similar to methods used by Lin and Huang (1995).

As described above, participants had longitudinal data from two to four study visits,
including information on known risk factors for CHD. Risk factors for CHD believed to be independent of the mechanistic pathways proposed for arsenic were included in the proportional-hazards multivariate model as time-dependent covariates [lipid measurements, body mass index (BMI), physical activity, smoking, and alcohol and water consumption]. The univariate model included TWA inorganic arsenic as a continuous value scaled to the IQR (15 μg/L). Person-years and exposure were censored for CHD cases at time of diagnosis. The full model included the demographic risk factors ethnicity (white non-Hispanic/Hispanic, sex (male/female), and annual household income (high ≥ $20,000/low < $20,000); the known risk factors first-degree family history of CHD (no/yes), BMI (IQR scaled, median = 26.7, IQR = 23.8–29.3), diabetes diagnosis before CHD (no/yes); behavioral risk factors including current smoking status (no/yes), alcohol consumption (low ≤ 168 g/week/high > 168 g/week), and physical activity level (active/sedentary) (Mayer 1991); and continuous clinical risk factors including serum lipid measurements [high-density lipoprotein (HDL), triglycerides, and low-density lipoprotein (LDL) in milligrams per deciliter], hypertension (blood pressure > 140/90 or use of anti-hypertensive medicine) and folate and selenium intake (micrograms). Triglyceride and HDL levels were determined using enzymatic methods, and LDL levels were calculated using the Friedewald equation (Friedewald et al. 1972); folate and selenium intake were estimated based on 24-hr diet recall involving two- and three-dimensional visual aids for portion approximation. Nutritional analysis was based on version 14 of the Nutrition Coordinating Center’s nutrient database released in 1987 (www.ncc.umn.edu/products/database.html). Vitamin supplement use was assessed through self-report using vitamin bottle labels.

In addition, a final parsimonious model incorporating statistically significant covariates to the model based on a 10% change to the HR for TWA arsenic exposure. Known independent risk factors, including sex and family history, that were significantly associated with the outcome were maintained in all models regardless of whether or not they met statistical criteria for confounding. Covariate data were assessed at each clinic visit (up to four visits) from 1984 through 1998. The risk associated with covariates was based on the covariate value at the clinic visit before the time of the CHD event. Clinic visits assessed all behavioral and clinical values for all covariates in this analysis. Missing data occurred when participants did not attend follow-up clinic visits; however, this was a very small number (7%), so covariate values from the baseline visit were used.

We also assessed the HR for CHD across arsenic exposure groups (TWA concentration, 20–30 μg/L, 30–45 μg/L, and 45–88 μg/L relative to < 20 μg/L). The cut-points for the exposure groups are based on arsenic concentrations in past research with significant associations with CHD (Chen Y et al. 2013; Moon et al. 2013).

We assessed whether hypertension might confound the association between inorganic arsenic exposure and CHD by reanalyzing the final model with hypertension as a dichotomous covariate. Last, we completed secondary analyses to data collection methods and exposure estimates. The first was an agreement analysis on 5% of the interviewed participants (n = 28) that compared residential address and year reported in the interview by the participant with the residential county clerk records. We compared residence and year for the years 1955–1985 as reported by both sources. The next secondary analysis was completed in a limited cohort (n = 462) to confirm any association found based on residential history using mean speciated urinary arsenic concentrations (As3+, As5+, MMA, and DMA). To complete this analysis, we compared urinary arsenic concentrations between cases and noncases as a secondary analysis. We used SAS 9.2 (PROC PHREG; SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC) for the statistical analyses. We complied with all applicable requirements of national and international regulations including approval from institutional review board, and human participants provided written informed consent before participating in the study.

Results

This study included a cohort of 555 participants, of whom 96 were cases, for a total of 6,773 person-years of follow-up (1984 through 1998 or CHD diagnosis). The subcohort had a median age of 57 years and was 53% white non-Hispanic (Table 1). Cases were 10 years older than noncases at the baseline visit, had higher percentages of non-Hispanic whites and males, and had higher LDL and triglyceride levels; however, cases and noncases were similar with respect to family history of CHD, household income, smoking, BMI, physical activity, and consumption of alcohol and water (data not shown). The distributions of most CHD risk factors were not statistically different across arsenic exposure groups except for the lower-income group, which had higher percent in the higher-exposure groups (Table 2).

We estimated that a 15-μg/L increase in the TWA for residential inorganic arsenic water concentration was associated with a 36% higher risk for CHD (HR = 1.36; 95% CI: 1.06, 1.75 per 15 μg/L) (univariate model, Table 3). In a secondary analysis with TWA exposure categorized by four groups (univariate model, Table 3), we found a significant increase in the HR with increasing levels of arsenic exposure in a log rank test for trend (p = 0.0007).

Estimates based on the final model also indicated a positive association with inorganic arsenic concentrations in drinking water (HR = 1.41; 95% CI: 1.09, 1.81 per 15 μg/L) (Table 3, full model). LDL and family history were significant risk factors, and being female was a protective factor. The final adjusted model (Table 3, final model) showed that time-weighted average inorganic arsenic exposure maintained an association with increased risk for CHD (HR = 1.38; 95% CI: 1.09, 1.78 per 15 μg/L) while adjusting for sex, family history of CHD, and LDL levels. When inorganic arsenic exposure was categorized, the HRs across

| Variable                              | Total subcohort (n = 555) |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Arsenic exposure TWA (μg/L-year)      |                           |
| 1–20                                  | 428 (77)                  |
| 20–30                                 | 86 (15)                   |
| 30–45                                 | 33 (6)                    |
| 45–88                                 | 8 (1)                     |
| Age (years, baseline)                 |                           |
| 5                                    | 57 (46–64)                |
| Ethnicity                            |                           |
| White non-Hispanic                    | 296 (53)                  |
| Hispanic                              | 259 (47)                  |
| Sex                                   |                           |
| Male                                  | 267 (46)                  |
| Female                                | 288 (54)                  |
| Income                                |                           |
| Low                                   | 304 (47)                  |
| High                                  | 251 (53)                  |
| First-degree family history of CHD   |                           |
| No                                    | 439 (81)                  |
| Yes                                   | 116 (19)                  |
| BMI (n = 1 missing)                   | 26.0 (23.7, 29.4)         |
| Diabetic (diagnosed at baseline visit)|                           |
| No                                    | 546 (98)                  |
| Yes                                   | 9 (2)                     |
| Current smoker                        | 262 (48)                  |
| No                                    | 293 (52)                  |
| Alcohol (g/week)                      |                           |
| ≤ 168                                 | 528 (95)                  |
| > 168                                 | 27 (5)                    |
| Water consumption (cups/day)          |                           |
| < 5                                   | 225 (39)                  |
| ≥ 5                                   | 230 (61)                  |
| Physical activity                     |                           |
| Sedentary                             | 367 (69)                  |
| Active                                | 188 (31)                  |
| Serum LDL (mg/dL) (n = 10 missing)    | 134 (108, 163)            |
| Serum HDL (mg/dL) (n = 4 missing)     | 46 (38, 56)               |
| Serum triglycerides (mg/dL) (n = 4 missing) | 145 (102, 197)     |
| Folate (mg)                           | 257 (183, 137)            |
| Selenium (mg) (dietary assessment estimate) | 98 (89, 48)              |

Values are n(%) or median (IQR).
Lifetime arsenic exposure and coronary heart disease

that cases had a statistically higher level of... that for every 15-μg/L increase in arsenic concentration in residential drinking water, the risk for CHD increased by 38%; and across increasing levels of exposure, risk increased in a dose-dependent trend (p = 0.0007) after adjusting for sex, family history of CHD, and serum LDL levels.

Table 2. Baseline demographic, clinical, and behavioral risk factors for CHD across time-weighted average arsenic exposure groups (n = 555).

| Variable                          | 1–20 μg/L-year (n = 428) | 20–30 μg/L-year (n = 86) | 30–45 μg/L-year (n = 33) | 45–88 μg/L-year (n = 8) |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| **Age (years)**                   |                          |                           |                          |                         |
| Male                              | 67 (59–73)               | 68 (62–73)                | 69 (63–73)               | 69 (66–75)              |
| Ethnicity                         |                          |                           |                          |                         |
| White non-Hispanic                | 218 (51)                 | 58 (67)                   | 15 (65)                  | 5 (63)                  |
| Hispanic                          | 210 (49)                 | 28 (33)                   | 18 (64)                  | 3 (37)                  |
| **Low income (< $20,000)**       | 257 (60)                 | 25 (29)                   | 18 (65)                  | 4 (50)                  |
| **Sex**                           |                          |                           |                          |                         |
| Male                              | 208 (49)                 | 40 (47)                   | 15 (45)                  | 4 (50)                  |
| Female                            | 223 (52)                 | 46 (53)                   | 17 (63)                  | 2 (25)                  |
| **Family history**                | 94 (22)                  | 17 (20)                   | 3 (9)                    | 2 (25)                  |
| **BMI**                           | 27.11                    | 26.43                     | 27.20                    | 25.31                   |
| **Diabetic (diagnosed at baseline)** | 23.51–29.52 (23.42–29.40) | (25.94–29.61) (21.02–29.22) |
| Current smoker                    | 0 (2)                    | 0                         | 1 (4)                    | 0                       |
| **Alcohol consumption > 168 g/week** | 18 (4)                   | 7 (9)                     | 2 (6)                    | 0                       |
| Water consumption > 5 cups/day    | 264 (62)                 | 39 (45)                   | 22 (66)                  | 5 (63)                  |
| Sedentary physical activity       | 291 (68)                 | 55 (64)                   | 18 (64)                  | 3 (37)                  |
| Serum LDL (mg/L)                  | 257 (104–159)            | 126 (102–154)             | 125 (107–151)            | 132 (101–151)           |
| Serum HDL (mg/L)                  | 88 (35–55)               | 48 (38–54)                | 43 (36–51)               | 43 (34–56)              |
| serum triglycerides (mg/L)        | 294 (90–208)             | 162 (139–227)             | 183 (138–227)            | 124 (87–139)            |
| Folate (mg)                       | 234 (158–157)            | 277 (225–217)             | 320 (229–304)            | 232 (176–66)            |
| Selenium (mg)                     | 89 (83–52)               | 119 (106–71)              | 114 (93–58)              | 98 (90–32)              |

Values are n (%) or median (IQR).

*Statistically different in chi-square test across groups, p < 0.05.

Table 3. Cox proportional-hazards modeling results for the primary analysis of the association between CHD and TWA inorganic arsenic exposure as a continuous variable.

| Variable                          | Univariate model | Full model | Final model |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|------------|-------------|
| Arsenic exposure TWA (15 μg/L)    | 1.36 (1.11, 1.82) | 1.41 (1.09, 1.81) | 1.38 (1.09, 1.78) |
| Female sex                        | 0.38 (0.23, 0.64) | 0.35 (0.19, 0.53) |             |
| Hispanic ethnicity                | 1.12 (0.70, 1.88) |            |             |
| Primary family member diagnosed with CHD | 1.88 (0.98, 2.89) | 1.75 (1.07, 2.88) |             |
| Low income                        | 0.117 (0.67, 2.06) | 0.18 (0.15, 9.52) |             |
| Diabetic (diagnosed at baseline)  | 0.81 (0.54, 1.20) | 1.11 (0.69, 1.79) |             |
| Current smoker                    | 1.02 (0.63, 1.65) | 1.02 (0.63, 1.65) |             |
| High alcohol consumption          | 1.76 (0.70, 4.41) | 1.47 (1.05, 2.07) | 1.40 (1.04, 1.88) |
| Low-density cholesterol (53 g/dL) | 0.84 (0.43, 1.61) | 0.84 (0.43, 1.61) |             |
| High-density cholesterol (17 g/dL) | 0.90 (0.53, 1.54) | 0.90 (0.53, 1.54) |             |
| Folate (57 μg)                    | 1.00 (0.98, 1.00) | 0.99 (0.98, 1.00) |             |
| Selenium (185 μg)                 |                  |             |             |

Univariate model: proportional-hazards model with TWA arsenic exposure (main risk factor) as independent variable. Full model: proportional-hazards model with TWA arsenic exposure (main risk factor) and all listed variables as time dependent independent variables. Final model: proportional-hazards model with TWA arsenic exposure (main risk factor) and statistically significant covariates (independent variables). Time-dependent covariates are BMI, physical activity, smoking status, alcohol consumption, serum lipid levels, and micronutrient intake.

* IQR of subcohort at baseline.
CHD and arsenic exposure. Also, although the study was adequately powered to investigate risk from arsenic exposure, there may be concern that well-known risk factors for CHD, including BMI and smoking, were not associated with CHD in this small cohort; however, other known CHD risk factors (family history of CHD, serum LDL levels, and sex) were significantly associated.

Recent research has suggested that intake of folate and selenium can influence arsenic metabolism and the association between cardiovascular disease (George et al. 2013); however, in this study, folate and selenium intake levels did not significantly contribute to the hazards model nor significantly change the association. This difference in finding could be attributable to variations in estimation of micronutrient intake in this study compared with others, and therefore should be a consideration to improve measurements for future research.

There exists the possibility of misclassification bias due to the exposure estimation in the use of exposure predication models and residential history reconstruction. Although our groundwater modeled predictions were correlated with arsenic concentrations measured in urine samples (p = 0.63), misclassification cannot be ruled out. We also found in a limited cohort that cases had a statistically higher level of toxic urine arsenic species, suggesting that in a different metric of exposure an association between inorganic arsenic exposure and CHD also exists. Specific to the residential reconstruction, the primary method for data collection (interview) varied in response by case status (30% noncases, 45% cases) although not by exposure status, which could induce misclassification bias. We believe that misclassification bias would be small given the low migration of this population [5% migrated to the SLV as children, and only 10 participants (war veterans) lived outside of the SLV for > 6 months (< 3 years) through 1998] and the validation of clerk records and SLVDS database to complete residential history.

The exposure assessment does not include exposure resulting from ingesting contaminated food, inhalation of dust or soil, or use of tobacco products. In a comprehensive review of literature and analysis of arsenic, the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (2007) noted that in areas of the United States where arsenic levels in drinking water are > 10 μg/L, ingestion of drinking water are the dominant source of inorganic arsenic exposure relative to the U.S. diet and inhalation through air, and therefore confirms our use of drinking water as the main source of exposure (Tao and Bolger 1999).

The exposure assessment remains limited by potential misclassification bias. Thirty-three percent of the subjects had a residential history created through records at the county clerk office because they were not available (e.g., deceased) for interview. However, the use of county clerk records was confirmed in participants who were not interviewed, where we found that data collected from county clerk records had strong agreement with self-reported residential history. For the subjects with imputed residence (n = 18 subjects, 126 person-years), we looked at city for the address before and after the period with missing residence and found an 89% agreement, suggesting that many residents may move houses, but not necessarily out of the city or out of the San Luis Valley. Self-reported estimates of lifetime residential, employment, and schooling locations and duration, and number of cups of water consumed per day also are likely limited by inaccuracies leading to misclassification bias which could bias the findings.

Another limitation is that the arsenic exposure estimates were included in the proportional-hazards model under the assumption of no error. Past research has incorporated bootstrap methods to incorporate an error term for the estimate in logistic regression models, but to date has not been done in a proportional-hazards model. A future step would be to develop the statistical methodology for incorporating the error term associated with the predicted arsenic exposure into the proportional-hazards model.

A recent study from a high arsenic area reported an HR for CHD of 1.22 (95% CI: 0.65, 2.32) at arsenic levels of 12.1–62.0 μg/L, similar to levels found in the SLV, while controlling for known CHD risk factors (Chen Y et al. 2011). Using a comprehensive exposure assessment, our study found consistent results at lower levels (1–100 μg/L), with a proportional-hazards ratio of 1.75 for exposure levels from 30 to 45 μg/L relative to < 20 μg/L. Our findings plus those by Moon et al. (2013), who identified a similar association between incident cardiovascular disease and exposure to low to moderate arsenic levels with exposure defined through urine biomarkers, indicate that a dose–response relationship between arsenic and CHD exists at levels of arsenic that are not uncommon in many areas.

Inorganic arsenic exposure in drinking water has been identified as a cardiotoxic element at concentrations seen in drinking water supplies around the world which strengthens the importance of ensuring public water supplies meet the U.S. EPA maximum contaminant level (MCL) of 10 μg/L (U.S. EPA 1998). Currently, many areas of the United States have levels above the U.S. EPA MCL, including western states of Nevada, Colorado, and Arizona; Midwest areas including Michigan; and Northeastern areas of New Hampshire, Maine, and Connecticut (U.S. EPA 2011).

In conclusion, we observed an association between CHD risk and inorganic arsenic exposure in a chronic low-level arsenic area in the southwestern United States. Because arsenic in drinking water remains a common exposure source, our results and data will be useful for developing exposure metrics and future research.
exposure in the United States, the risk of CHD should provide motivation to public health officials to bring drinking water levels into compliance (< 10 μg/L) and to conduct further research to elucidate the role of arsenic in the pathobiology of CHD.
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