A New Hybrid Ćuk DC-DC Converter with Coupled Inductors
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Abstract: This paper proposes a new hybrid Ćuk-type converter employing two inductors built on the same core which can be successfully used in applications requiring an output voltage considering higher than the input one. With few components added, in the proposed converter the static conversion ratio can be easily extended becoming wider compared to the classical Ćuk topology. At the same duty cycle range the output voltage is higher than in the classical Ćuk converter. The output voltage remains with negative polarity and with a reduced ripple. An advantage of the new converter is given by its two degrees of freedom. A DC and AC analysis is carried out, device stresses are evaluated and a comparative analysis of the proposed hybrid Ćuk topology to other indirect converters has also been performed. All the equations necessary for designing the converter are provided. The simulations performed together with the practical experiments carried out, all results confirm that the theoretical considerations are correct and validate the features that the proposed converter can provide a higher static conversion ratio without operating at high duty cycles.
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1. Introduction

Dc–dc converters are used in a large variety of applications: unidirectional and bidirectional chargers in automotive, renewable energies in photovoltaic cells for example, dc grids, cellphones, computers, laptops and so forth. These power circuits should be able to step-up [1–9], step-down [10–13] or step-up/step-down [14–21] the voltage from the input. The polarity of the output voltage can be the same [1–4,6–8] or reverse [5,22–29] compared to that of the input voltage. Regarding to the static conversion ratio, the converter can be used in applications where a small or large difference between the input and output voltages of the converter are encountered. Therefore, depending on the application requirements, considering the few parameters mentioned before, different types of converters exist on the market. Because this paper introduces a new Ćuk topology, different types of both step-down/step-up converters have been analyzed [14–32]. If isolation is not necessary, the classical Buck-Boost converter [30] can be used. It even has the advantage of a reduced number of components and simplicity; however, control is sometimes difficult because its transistor is floating. Another step-up/step-down structure it is the classical Ćuk converter [32]. This topology can be used with coupled [32] or uncoupled inductors [31]. The advantage of coupling the inductors is that the current through the one of the inductors can be made with a very small ripple. For increasing the step-down nature of the converter, the authors of References [25,27], are using a switched-capacitor or switched inductor structure [25,27] that it is inserted in the traditional Ćuk converter. The same work reported in References [25,27] improve the step-up capabilities by inserting a switched inductor.
structure in the classical Ćuk converter. In References [24,28], it is shown that coupling the inductors in the additional switching cell inserted in a Ćuk converter, this leads to a higher step-up conversion ratio than in the classical one. Different structures of isolated Ćuk converters are presented in References [33,34]. The step-up and step-down nature can be also found in quadratic converters [20].

How it was mentioned, in this paper a new hybrid Ćuk-type topology with coupled inductors exhibiting a higher static conversion ratio than in a classical Ćuk converter is introduced. The development of the new proposed converter, dc analysis and the main waveforms are depicted in Section 2. The semiconductors current and voltage stresses, the peak-to-peak ripples and the Continuous Conduction Mode (CCM) operation condition are derived in Section 3. In Section 4 a theoretical comparative study to other step-up/step-down topologies is performed and a design example is presented in Section 5.

In order to confirm the theoretical considerations at set of simulations are performed in Section 6. Finally, the practical experiments that validate the feasibility of the proposed converter are presented in Section 7, while Section 8 is devoted to the conclusions.

2. Description of Operation and Steady State Analysis of the Proposed Hybrid Ćuk Converter

The origin of the new proposed converter is the hybrid step-up converter with switching structure Up3 from Reference [25], enfaced in Figure 1.

![Figure 1. The initial Ćuk step-up converter with the switching cell Up3 [25].](image)

The first step in developing the proposed converter was to couple the inductors $L_1$ and $L_2$. Assuming perfect coupling and denoting by $N_1$ and $N_2$ the turns number corresponding to $L_1$ and $L_2$ respectively, the transformer ratio $n$ is assumed higher than unity.

The transformer ratio is defined as:

$$n = \frac{N_2}{N_1} > 1. \quad (1)$$

For $n > 1$, diode $D_3$ will be always off and therefore it can be removed from the circuit.

The new proposed converter, as Figure 2 reveals includes one transistor, three diodes, three inductors out of which two are coupled, therefore two magnetic cores are used and two capacitors.
Modelling the coupled inductors by an ideal transformer (IT) together with a magnetizing inductor, $L_M$, the equivalent schematic is represented in Figure 3. In this approach the magnetizing inductor $L_M$ is considered equal with $L_1$ and all components are assumed to be ideal.

In Continuous Conduction Mode (CCM), depending on the state of the power transistor, two topological states are possible. The switching frequency and the corresponding switching period are denoted $f_s$ and $T_s$ respectively. The converter is controlled by a pulse width modulated signal of duty cycle $D$. The first topological state, last from 0 to $D \cdot T_s$ and the configured circuits is presented in Figure 4a, where transistor $Q$ and diode $D_1$ are on, while the other two diodes $D_2$ and $D_3$ are off, as they are reversely biased. From $D \cdot T_s$ to $T_s$, the second topological state is configured with transistor $Q$ and diode $D_3$ off, while diodes $D_2$ and $D_4$ are on. The corresponding circuit is depicted in Figure 4b. Taking into consideration the notations from Figure 3, the ideal transformer equations are:

$$\begin{align*} 
\frac{v_1}{1} &= \frac{v_2}{n} \\
\frac{1}{n} + n \cdot i_2 &= 0 
\end{align*}$$

The small ripple assumptions is assumed for the capacitor voltages and inductor currents, therefore in the subsequent analysis these variable are assumed constant and equal to their dc value.
Figure 4. The equivalent circuits of the proposed hybrid Ćuk dc-dc converter with coupled inductors in CCM: (a) First topological state; (b) Second topological state.

Invoking the volt-second balance principle for the magnetizing inductor $L_M$ and inductor $L_3$, the equations are:

\[
\begin{align*}
D \cdot V_g + (1 - D) \cdot \frac{V_g - V_C}{1 + n} &= 0 \\
D \cdot (V_C - V_{CO}) + (1 - D) \cdot (-V_{CO}) &= 0
\end{align*}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

After solving system (3), the dc voltages across the internal capacitor $C$ and across the output capacitor $C_O$ can be written as:

\[
V_C = \frac{1 + n \cdot D}{1 - D} \cdot V_g
\]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

\[
V_{CO} = (1 + n \cdot D) \cdot \frac{D}{1 - D} \cdot V_g
\]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

As $V_{CO} = V_o$, the ideal static conversion ratio $M$ of the new converter is given by (5):

\[
M = (1 + n \cdot D) \cdot \frac{D}{1 - D}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

From (6), the duty cycle can be expressed in terms of static conversion ratio as:

\[
D = \frac{1 - M + \sqrt{(1 + M)^2 + 4nM}}{2n}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (7)

Examining the Equation (6), after some simple algebra, it follows that if the duty cycle is higher than $\frac{\sqrt{1 + n^2} - 1}{n}$ the static conversion ratio is higher than unity. For $n = 2$, we have $\frac{\sqrt{1 + n^2} - 1}{n} = 0.365$, for $n = 3$, $\frac{\sqrt{1 + n^2} - 1}{n} = 0.33$, which means that the proposed converter from Figure 2 has a both step-up and step-down nature. However, compared to the Buck-Boost or the Ćuk converter the converter starts to step-up as a considerably lower duty cycle, in the example provided around 0.33 compared to the
value of 0.5. The higher the value of \( n \) the lower will be the duty cycle that defines the step-up region. In conclusion, the step-up region is more extended compared to the Buck-Boost and the Ćuk converter.

For calculating the dc magnetizing inductor current \( I_{LM} \) and the dc output inductor current \( I_{L3} \), the charge balance principle related to the capacitor \( C \) and \( C_O \) is invoked:

\[
\begin{align*}
D \cdot (-I_{L3}) + (1 - D) \cdot \frac{I_{LM}}{1 + n} &= 0 \\
D \cdot \left( I_{L3} - \frac{V_{CO}}{R} \right) + (1 - D) \cdot \left( I_{L3} - \frac{V_{CO}}{R} \right) &= 0
\end{align*}
\]

Solving (8) the dc magnetizing inductor current \( I_{LM} \) is:

\[
I_{LM} = \frac{D^2 \cdot (1 + n \cdot D) \cdot (1 + n)}{(1 - D)^2} \cdot \frac{V_g}{R},
\]

and, the dc output inductor current \( I_{L3} \) is:

\[
I_{L3} = D \cdot \frac{(1 + n \cdot D)}{1 - D} \cdot \frac{V_g}{R}.
\]

The steady state waveforms for the reactive components and semiconductor devices are drawn in Figures 5–7.

The control voltage \( q(t) \) associated to the transistor is chosen as a reference, where \( q(t) \) is given by:

\[
q(t) = \begin{cases} 
1, & Q - ON \\
0, & Q - OFF
\end{cases}
\]

Because the inductive voltages are piecewise constant, exhibiting a rectangular shape, the inductive currents will be piecewise linear, increasing if the voltage is positive and decreasing if the voltage is negative. Similarly, the internal capacitor current is piecewise constant, resulting in a piecewise linear internal capacitor voltage. As the output node is a non-switched one, the current through the output capacitance, \( C_O \), is piecewise linear - has the same shape as \( i_{L3} \) but with zero dc value. Since the current of the capacitance \( C_O \) is piecewise linear, its voltage will be piecewise parabolic.
Figure 5. Main waveforms associated to the magnetizing inductor $L_M$ and the inductor $L_3$. 
Figure 6. Main waveforms associated to the internal capacitor $C$ and output capacitor $C_o$. 
Figure 7. Main semiconductor waveforms.
3. Semiconductor Stresses and Inductor Currents and Capacitor Voltages Peak-to-Peak Ripple

In the design process of the converter and practical implementation is necessary that the current and voltage stresses related to the semiconductors to be known. These stresses will be expressed in terms of the supply voltage \( V_g \), the control duty cycle \( D \), load \( R \) and the circuit parameters.

The voltage across switch \( Q \) is calculated from the second topological state as being equal to the voltage across the internal capacitor \( V_c \). By replacing \( V_c \) from (4), the transistor voltage stress is:

\[
V_Q = \frac{1+n \cdot D}{1-D} \cdot V_g. \tag{12}
\]

The dc transistor current stress is the sum between \( I_{LM} \) and \( I_{L3} \), so the dc transistor current is:

\[
I_Q = D \cdot (I_{LM} + I_{L3}) = \frac{D^2 \cdot (1+n \cdot D)^2 \cdot V_g}{(1-D)^2} \cdot \frac{1}{R}. \tag{13}
\]

The voltage stress across diode \( D_1 \) results from second topological state:

\[
V_{D1} = n \cdot \frac{V_g - V_c}{1 + n} = \frac{n \cdot D}{1 - D} \cdot V_g. \tag{14}
\]

The voltage across diode \( D_2 \) can be found from first topological state:

\[
V_{D2} = n \cdot V_g. \tag{16}
\]

The voltage across diode \( D_3 \) can be found from first topological state:

\[
V_{D3} = \frac{1+n \cdot D}{1-D} \cdot V_g. \tag{18}
\]

Regarding the ripples, the magnetizing peak-to-peak current ripple is given by:

\[
\Delta I_{LM} = \frac{D \cdot v_g}{L_M \cdot f_s}. \tag{20}
\]

The peak-to-peak current ripple for \( L_3 \) inductor can be expressed as:

\[
\Delta I_{L3} = \frac{D \cdot (1+n \cdot D) \cdot v_g}{L_3 \cdot f_s}. \tag{21}
\]

The internal capacitor voltage ripple, \( \Delta V_c \), is provided by:

\[
\Delta V_c = \frac{D^2 \cdot (1+n \cdot D) \cdot v_g}{1-D} \cdot \frac{1}{C \cdot R \cdot f_s}. \tag{22}
\]

In order to calculate the output capacitor voltage ripple, the same technique applied for the Buck converter output voltage ripple calculations is used. The charge injected in the capacitor between the time moments corresponded to the minimum and the maximum capacitor voltage is:

\[
\Delta Q = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{T_s}{2} \cdot \Delta I_{L3} \cdot \frac{1}{2}. \tag{23}
\]

Now, the capacitor voltage ripple can be easily estimated as:

\[
\Delta V_{CO} = \Delta V_d = \frac{\Delta Q}{C}. \tag{24}
\]
The CCM operation condition requires the diodes to be permanently on during the whole topological state they are assumed to conduct. This imposes the condition that diode currents have to stay positive during those topological states or equivalently the minimum current to be positive.

Because $D_1$ and $D_2$ currents are equal to $i_{LM}$ for these diodes the CCM condition is the same and imposes that the minimum magnetizing current, $I_{LMmin}$, to be positive. As, $I_{LMmin} = I_{LM} - \frac{1}{2} \Delta I_{LM}$, using (9) and (20), the final condition is:

$$\frac{2 \cdot L_M \cdot f_s}{R} \geq \frac{(1-D)^2}{D \cdot (1+n \cdot D) \cdot (1+n)}.$$

(25)

The CCM condition for diode $D_t$ takes into account the current flowing through it is $\frac{i_{LM}}{n+1} + i_{L3}$. Because $i_{LM}$ and $i_{L3}$ have the same monotonicity, the CCM condition results immediately.

$$\frac{2 \cdot L_e \cdot f_s}{R} \geq \frac{(1-D)^2}{1+n \cdot D}.$$

(26)

where, the equivalent inductor is:

$$L_e = (L_M \cdot (1+n \cdot D)) || \frac{L_3}{1+n}.$$

(27)

It can be remarked that (26) has the same form to that of Buck-Boost or Ćuk converter.

Although the inductors can be designed from the CCM operation conditions, a more realistic design comes from the small ripples condition. It is known that this small ripple conditions imposes the peak-to-peak current ripple to be less than 25% of the dc value of the corresponding inductor current:

$$\Delta I_{LM} \leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot I_{LM}$$

(28)

$$\Delta I_{L3} \leq \frac{1}{4} \cdot I_{L3}.$$

(29)

From Equations (9), (10), (20) and (21) the minimum inductor values results:

$$L_{Mmin} = \frac{4}{M \cdot (1+n)} \cdot R \cdot \frac{f_s}{(1-D)}.$$

(30)

$$L_{3min} = 4 \cdot (1-D) \cdot \frac{R}{f_s}.$$

(31)

Similarly, the minimum capacitor values result also from the small ripple conditions that in this case requires the capacitor voltage ripple to be less than a percent of its dc value. Using (4) and (22) the minimum required value is:

$$C_{min} = \frac{D^2 \cdot (1+n \cdot D)}{1-D} \cdot \frac{V_g}{\Delta V_C \cdot f_s \cdot R}.$$

(32)

The output capacitor is design such that to be high enough to assure an output voltage ripple less than the value imposed by specifications. From Equations (5) and (24) its minimum value is given by:

$$C_{o min} = \frac{1-D}{8 \cdot f_s \cdot L_3} \cdot \frac{V_{co}}{\Delta V_{CO}}.$$

(33)

4. Comparison to Similar Converter Topologies

A comparison between the proposed hybrid Ćuk dc-dc converter and different step-up/step-down topologies namely the classical Buck-Boost [30], classical Ćuk [32] and hybrid Ćuk from Reference [24] is presented in Table 1. In order the comparison to be fair, it is considered that they are supplied by the same input voltage $V_i$, they deliver the same output voltage $V_o$ on the same load $R$. Hence, the conversion ratio $M$ and the output power $P_o$ are the same. The comparative parameters are: the number of active and passive semiconductors, total number of components, the system order, the expression of the static conversion ratio $M$, the expression of the duty cycle $D$, the current and voltage semiconductor stresses.
Table 1. Comparison between main parameters of different step-up converters.

| Parameter                | Classical Buck-Boost [30] | Classical Ćuk [32] | Hybrid Ćuk [24] | Proposed Hybrid Ćuk |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| Switches                 | 1                         | 1                  | 1               | 1                    |
| Diodes                   | 1                         | 1                  | 3               | 3                    |
| Total no. of components  | 4                         | 6                  | 8               | 8                    |
| System order             | 2                         | 4                  | 4               | 4                    |
| Static conversion ratio-M | \( \frac{D}{1-D} \)       | \( \frac{D}{1-D} \) | \( \frac{D}{n-1} \) | \( \frac{D}{1+D} \) |
| Duty cycle-D             | \( \frac{M}{1+M} \)       | \( \frac{M}{1+M} \) | \( \frac{A-n}{1+M} \) | \( \frac{B}{1+M} \) |
| Switch current stress    | \( \frac{1}{M} \cdot \frac{V_g}{R} \) | \( \frac{1}{M} \cdot \frac{V_g}{R} \) | \( \frac{2}{M} \cdot \frac{V_g}{R} \) | \( \frac{2nM}{1+M} \cdot \frac{V_g}{R} \) |
| Switch voltage stress    | \( \frac{1}{M} \cdot \frac{V_g}{R} \) | \( \frac{1}{M} \cdot \frac{V_g}{R} \) | \( \frac{-n}{M} \cdot \frac{V_g}{R} \) | \( \frac{-1}{1-M} \cdot \frac{V_g}{R} \) |
| Maximum diode dc current stress | \( \frac{1}{M} \cdot \frac{V_g}{R} \) | \( \frac{1}{M} \cdot \frac{V_g}{R} \) | \( \frac{2}{M} \cdot \frac{V_g}{R} \) | \( \frac{2nM}{1+M} \cdot \frac{V_g}{R} \) |
| Maximum diode voltage stress | \( \frac{1}{M} \cdot \frac{V_g}{R} \) | \( \frac{1}{M} \cdot \frac{V_g}{R} \) | \( \frac{-n}{M} \cdot \frac{V_g}{R} \) | \( \frac{-1}{1-M} \cdot \frac{V_g}{R} \) |

where, \( A = \sqrt{n^2(1+M)^2 + 4nM} \), \( B = \sqrt{(1+M)^2 + 4nM} \)

From Table 1, it can be seen that each topology has only one transistor. In comparison to the classical Ćuk, the proposed topology has two additional diodes, the same system order but smaller transistor and diode dc current stresses. How it was demonstrated in Section 2, at the same duty cycle \( D \), the static conversion ratio of the proposed topology is higher than that of any of the compared converters. This is revealed in Figure 8, where the dependency of the static conversion ratios against duty cycle for classical Ćuk and the proposed topology, at different transformer ratios, is presented. Therefore, for step-up applications where a big difference between the input and output voltage is needed the proposed hybrid Ćuk topology is better suitable.

![Figure 8. Static conversion ratio against duty cycle for the proposed converter (for \( n = 1.3, 2.2 \) and 3.4) and for the classical Ćuk converter.](image-url)
5. Design Example

It is desired to design a hybrid Ćuk converter according to the following specifications:

- Input voltage: \( V_{i} = 24 \div 36 \text{ V} \)
- Output voltage: \( V_{o} = 120 \text{ V} \)
- Output power: \( P_{o} = 30 \div 50 \text{ W} \)
- Switching frequency: \( f_s = 100 \text{ kHz} \)

It is assumed that operation is in closed loop size that the output voltage is maintained constant. Because the input and output voltages are known, the maximum and minimum value of the static conversion ratio can be calculated:

\[
M_{\text{min}} = \frac{V_{o}}{V_{g_{\text{max}}}} = 3.33 \quad (34)
\]

\[
M_{\text{max}} = \frac{V_{o}}{V_{g_{\text{min}}}} = 5. \quad (35)
\]

We shall choose to operate at a moderate duty cycle range \( D \in [0.6, 0.7] \).

With \( D_{\text{max}} = 0.6 \), because the minimum static conversion ratio corresponds to \( D_{\text{min}} \), the necessary turns ratio results from Equations (6) and (34):

\[
\frac{n}{D_{\text{min}}} = \frac{M_{\text{min}} - M_{\text{min}}D_{\text{max}} - D_{\text{min}}}{D_{\text{min}}} = 2.03. \quad (36)
\]

From Equations (7), the maximum duty cycle, that corresponds to maximum static conversion ratio is:

\[
D_{\text{max}} = \frac{-1 - M_{\text{max}} + \sqrt{(1 + M_{\text{max}})^2 + 4nM_{\text{max}}}}{2n} = 0.68. \quad (37)
\]

The minimum and the maximum load resistor results from the maximum and the minimum output power.

\[
R_{\text{min}} = \frac{V_{o}^2}{P_{g_{\text{max}}}} = 288 \quad (38)
\]

\[
R_{\text{max}} = \frac{V_{o}^2}{P_{g_{\text{min}}}} = 480. \quad (39)
\]

The minimum value of the magnetizing inductor was calculated from Equation (30) in the worst case, that is for maximum load resistor and maximum input voltage \( L_{M_{\text{min}}} = 492.9 \mu \text{H} \) was obtained.

The value of \( L_1 \) will be equal to the value of \( L_{M_{\text{min}}} \), so \( L_{1_{\text{min}}} = L_{M_{\text{min}}} = 492.9 \mu \text{H} \) and \( L_{2_{\text{min}}} = n^2L_{M_{\text{min}}} = 2.03 \text{ mH} \). For practical implementation, a value of \( L_M = 773.38 \mu \text{H} \) was used. From (31) the minimum value for inductor \( L_3 \) is obtained for maximum input voltage and minimum output power. It results \( L_{3_{\text{min}}} = 3.1 \text{ mH} \) and \( L_3 = 3.45 \mu \text{H} \) was used.

The value of the internal capacitor can be calculated from (32), imposing that the voltage ripple to be less than 5% of its dc value. A minimum value of \( C_{\text{min}} = 24.4 \mu \text{F} \), results. A standard value of 33 \( \mu \text{F} \) is chosen. For the output capacitor the ripple is considered 10% of its dc value and from (33) a value of \( C_{g_{\text{min}}} = 2.08 \mu \text{F} \) is calculated. In this case a standard value of 3.3 \( \mu \text{F} \) is chosen.

The transistor voltage stress will be \( V_Q = 210 \text{ V} \), according to (12) and its average current using (13) is \( I_Q = 1.5 \text{ A} \), respectively. To evaluate the voltage and current stresses across the diodes, Equations (14)–(19) will be used. The highest stresses for diodes are \( V_D = 210 \text{ V} \) calculated from (18) and the maximum dc current \( I_D = 1.2 \text{ A} \), given by (15).

6. Simulation Results

In order to check the validity of the theoretical considerations in case of the ideal hybrid Ćuk converter, a set of simulation in Caspoc [35] tool were performed. Converter parameters were the same values used for implementing the practical prototype according with the previous design specifications:
$V_g = 35\, V$, $L_1 = 773.38\, \mu\text{H}$, $L_2 = 2.39\, \text{mH}$, $L_3 = 3.45\, \text{mH}$, $C = 33\, \mu\text{F}$, $C_0 = 3.3\, \mu\text{F}$, $R = 360\, \Omega$, $f_s = 100\, \text{kHz}$.

Since the inductors were practically realized, a small change to the initial value of transformer ratio is found. Therefore, the new transformer ratio is $n = 1.758$. With this value, the required duty cycle is calculated (7) as $D = 0.621$. The delivered dc output voltage $V_0$ resulted from the simulation, is shown in Figure 9.

![Figure 9. Caspoc Simulation. Output dc voltage.](image)

It can be remarked that the required value from the specifications is obtained.

The magnetizing inductor current cannot be directly displayed and only a part of the triangular shape will be seen, on the first winding, Figure 10 and the other part of triangular shape on the second winding in Figure 11. Also, the inductive voltages for the windings $L_1$ and $L_2$ are shown in these figures.

The correct CCM operation of the converter is proven both by the voltage and currents in the two windings and the triangular shape of the inductor current $i_{L3}$ together with the two-level inductor voltage $V_{L3}$, presented in Figure 12.
Figure 10. Voltage and current corresponding to the primary winding.

Figure 11. Voltage and current corresponding to the secondary winding.

Figure 12. Voltage and current corresponding to inductor \( L_3 \).

The voltage and current waveforms for the capacitors are illustrated in Figures 13 and 14. The triangular capacitor voltage shape is validated by the simulation and also its peak-to-peak ripple value. For the output capacitor \( C_0 \), the current through it is verified to have the same shape as \( i_{L_3} \) but with zero dc value, due to the non-switched output node.
In the following figures, Figures 15–18, the simulation results for current and voltage of the semiconductors are presented.
Figure 15. Voltage and current waveform for transistor Q.

Figure 16. Voltage and current corresponding to diode D1.
The simulation results have validated the theoretical considerations, not only qualitatively but also quantitatively with respect to dc currents, dc voltages and peak-to-peak ripples, as all this magnitude have been measured in the simulation and compared to the theoretical predictions.

7. Experimental Results

In order to validate the feasibility of the proposed converter, a prototype was built with the same parameters like in the design example. The chosen transistor was Infineon Mosfet STW37N60DM2AG and the chosen diodes $D_{1,2,4}$ was of RFN10NS6SFH type. In all the acquired waveforms the reference signal on the oscilloscope was the drain-to-source voltage of the transistor $Q$. The experiments were performed, with a fixed input voltage $V_g = 35\, \text{V}$, a fixed switching frequency $f_s = 100\, \text{kHz}$ and a load $R = 360\, \Omega$.

The acquired waveforms for a duty cycle $D = 0.2$ are shown in Figure 19. It can be remarked that at this low duty cycle the operation is in DCM with respect to diodes $D_1$ and $D_2$. This is confirmed by Equation (25).

The acquired waveforms for a duty cycle $D = 0.66$ are shown in Figures 20–23. The typical rectangular shape for inductor voltages and triangular shape for the inductor currents with corresponding monotonicity can be remarked, like in the theory. These waveforms also match the simulated ones and the rectangular shape of the inductor voltages and piece-wise linear shape of the inductor currents are verified both regarding monotonicity and phase.
Figure 19. Oscilloscope waveforms: drain-to-source voltage (dark blue-$v_{DS}$); gate-to-source voltage (purple-$v_{GS}$); current through $L_2$ at the duty cycle $D = 0.2$.

Figure 20. Oscilloscope waveforms: drain-to-source voltage (dark blue-$v_{DS}$); voltage across the primary (red-$v_{L1}$); the primary current $L_1$ (green-$i_{L1}$). Duty cycle $D = 0.66$. 
Figure 21. Oscilloscope waveforms: drain-to-source voltage (dark blue-$v_{DS}$); voltage across the secondary $L_2$ (red-$v_{L2}$); secondary current (green-$i_{L2}$). Duty cycle $D = 0.66$.

Figure 22. Oscilloscope waveforms: drain-to-source voltage (dark blue-$v_{DS}$); voltage across $L_3$ (red-$v_{L3}$); output voltage (light blue-$V_o$) and current through $L_3$ (green-$i_{L3}$). Duty cycle $D = 0.66$. 
The static conversion ratio for the prototype was measured modifying the duty cycle $D$ and measuring the output voltage, $V_o$. The comparison between the ideal conversion ratio, theoretical conversion ratio in the presence of the conduction losses and the measured one is presented in Figure 24. It can be remarked that: up to a duty cycle of 0.6, all three curves are almost overlapping. At higher duty cycles the measured curve deviates, which is a typical phenomenon encountered in converters with step-up nature. In Figure 25, the theoretical statical conversion ratio in the presence of losses is presented at very high duty cycles. It can be remarked that the theoretical curve in the presence of conduction losses reaches a maximum and then start to decrease. This is a typical behavior in step-up converters in which the maximum attainable conversion ratio is a finite and limited value. The dependency of the efficiency against the output power at a constant output voltage of 120 V is shown in Figure 26.
Figure 24. The experimental static conversion ratio against the duty cycle (blue) compared to the ideal one (purple) and the theoretical one in the presence of conduction losses (red).

Figure 25. The theoretical dependency of the static conversion ratio on the duty cycle in the presence of losses considering an extended duty cycle range, revealing the maximum attainable dc gain.
8. Conclusions

This paper introduces a new converter that has the conversion ratio \((1 + n \cdot D)\) times higher than the classical Ćuk topology. Therefore, in the step-up region, a wide static conversion ratio is achieved, being useful in applications where there is very big difference between the input and output voltage. Compared to the classical Ćuk converter, it includes two additional diodes but it exhibits lower semiconductor dc current stresses. Moreover, the turns ratio brings an additional degree of freedom that facilitate the design process. The operating principle, the steady state equations are revealed, the main waveforms are presented and the relationship for designing the converter are provided. The simulation and the experimental results validate the theoretical consideration, thus confirming the feasibility and the applicability of the proposed converter.

Author Contributions: The authors have equal contribution. Conceptualization, I.-M.P.-C., M.B. and D.L.; software, M.B. and D.L.; simulation, I.-M.P.-C. and M.B.; practical implementation I.-M.P.-C., M.B. and D.L.; validation, I.-M.P.-C. and D.L.; writing—original draft preparation, I.-M.P.-C. and M.B.; writing—review and editing, D.L.; supervision, I.-M.P.-C; funding acquisition, I.-M.P.-C. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was supported by a grant of the Romanian Ministry of Education and Research, CNCS-UEFISCDI, project number PN-III-P1-1.1-PD-2019-1006, within PNCDI III, PD 76/2020.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References
1. Abdel-Rahim, O.; Orabi, M.; Abdelkarim, E.; Ahmed, M.; Zoussef, M. Switched Inductor Boost Converter for PV Applications. In Proceedings of the 2012 27th Annual IEEE Applied Power Electronics Conference and Exposition (APEC), Orlando, FL, USA, 5–9 February 2012; pp. 2100–2106.
2. Tseng, S.Y.; Wang, H.Y. A photovoltaic power system using a high step-up converter for DC load applications. Energies 2013, 6, 1068–1100.
3. Pop-Calimanu, I.-M.; Lica, S.; Popescu, S.; Lascu, D.; Lie, I.; Mirsu, R. A New Hybrid Inductor-Based Boost DC-DC Converter Suitable for Applications in Photovoltaic Systems. *Energies* **2019**, *12*, 252.

4. Karmahapatra, A.; Choudhury, T.R.; Nayak, B.; Santra, S.B. Design and Analysis of a Quadratic Boost Derived High Step up Converter for DC Micro-grid Application. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Recent Innovations in Electrical, Electronics & Communication Engineering (ICRIEECE), Bhuananeswar, India, 27–28 July 2018; pp. 3151–3155.

5. Maroti, P.K.; Padmanaban, S.; Bhaskar, M.S.; Blaabjerg, F.; Wheeler, P. New Inverting Modified CUK Converter Configurations with Switched Inductor (MCC) for High-Voltage/Low-Current Renewable Applications. In Proceedings of the 20th European Conference on Power Electronics and Applications (EPE’18 ECCE Europe), Riga, Latvia, 17–21 September 2018; pp. 1–10.

6. Hasanpour, S.; Siwakoti, Y.P.; Mostaan, A.; Blaabjerg, F. New Semiquadratic High Step-Up DC/DC Converter for Renewable Energy Applications. *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.* **2020**, *36*, 433–446.

7. Malik, M.Z.; Chen, H.; Nazir, M.S.; Khan, I.A.; Abdalla, A.N.; Ali, A.; Chen, W. A New Efficient Step-Up Boost Converter with CLD Cell for Electric Vehicle and New Energy Systems. *Energies* **2020**, *13*, 1791.

8. Premkumar, M.; Kumar, C.; Sowmya, R. Analysis and Implementation of High-Performance DC-DC Step-Up Converter for Multi-level Boost Structure. *Front. Energy Res.* **2019**, *7*, 149.

9. Anasudheen, P.; Jayanand, B. Negative to positive voltage conversion cuk converter with high boost capability. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Power, Instrumentation, Control and Computing (PICC), Thrissur, India, 9–11 December 2015; pp. 1–5.

10. Umuhoko, J.; Zhang, Y.; Zhao, S.; Mantooth, H.A. An Adaptive Control Strategy for Power Balance and the Intermittency Mitigation in Battery-PV Energy System at Residential DC Microgrid Level. In Proceedings of the 2017 IEEE Applied Power Electronics Conference and Exposition (APEC), Tampa, FL, USA, 26–30 March 2017; pp. 1341–1345.

11. Basaran, K.; Cetin, N.S.; Borecki, S. Energy management for on-grid and off-grid wind/PV and battery hybrid systems. *IET Renew. Power Gener.* **2017**, *11*, 642–649.

12. Khambuya, R.; Khwan-on, S. A New High Step-down DC-DC Converter for Renewable Energy Systems Applications. *Procedia Comput. Sci.* **2016**, *86*, 349–352.

13. Cornea, O.; Hulea, D.; Muntean, N.; Andreescu, G.D. Step-Down Switched-Inductor Hybrid DC-DC Converter for Small Power Wind Energy Conversion Systems with Hybrid Storage. *IEEE Access* **2020**, *8*, 136092–136107.

14. Sun, Z.; Bae, S. Multiple-Input Soft-Switching Step-up/down Converter for Renewable Energy Systems. In Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Renewable Energy Research and Applications (ICRERA), Paris, France, 14–17 October 2018; pp. 632–636.

15. Wu, H.; Sun, K.; Chen, L.; Zhu, L.; Xing, Y. High Step-Up/Step-Down Soft-Switching Bidirectional DC-DC Converter with Coupled-Inductor and Voltage Matching Control for Energy Storage Systems. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.* **2016**, *63*, 2892–2903.

16. Siouane, S.; Jovanović, S.; Pouré, P.; Jamshidpour, E. An Efficient Fault Tolerant Cascaded Step-Up Step-Down Converter for Solar PV Modules. In Proceedings of the 2018 IEEE International Conference on Environment and Electrical Engineering and 2018 IEEE Industrial and Commercial Power Systems Europe (EEEIC/I&CPES Europe), Palermo, Italy, 12–15 June 2018; pp. 1–5.

17. Chen, M.M.; Cheng, K.W.E. A new bidirectional DC-DC converter with a high step-up/down conversion ratio for renewable energy applications. In Proceedings of the 2016 International Symposium on Electrical Engineering (ISEE), Hong Kong, China, 16–18 March 2016; pp. 1–6.

18. Moury, S.; Lam, J. New soft-switched high frequency multi-input step-up/down converters for high voltage DC-distributed hybrid renewable systems. In Proceedings of the 2017 IEEE Energy Conversion Congress and Exposition (ECCE), Cincinnati, OH, USA, 1–5 October 2017; pp. 5537–5544.

19. Baliwant, B.B.; Gothane, A.R.; Waghmare, V.B. Hardware Implementation of DC-DC SEPIC Converter for Applications of Renewable Energy Using PWM Based Charge Controller. In Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Electronics, Communication and Aerospace Technology (ICECA), Coimbatore, India, 12–14 June 2019; pp. 562–565.

20. Ghelizadeh, H.; Sarikhani, A.; Hamzeh, M. A Transformerless Quadratic Buck-Boost Converter Suitable for Renewable Applications. In Proceedings of the 10th International Power Electronics, Drive Systems and Technologies Conference (PEDSTC), Shiraz, Iran, 12–14 February 2019; pp. 470–474.
21. Paul, A.K.; Paul, S.; Paul, B. Transformerless Buck-Boost Converter with Positive Output Voltage and Feedback. *Int. J. Eng. Res. Technol. (IJERT)* **2017**, *6*, 656–661.

22. Zhu, M.; Luo, F.L. Enhanced Self-Lift Cuk Converter for Negative-to-Positive Voltage Conversion. *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.* **2010**, *25*, 2227–2233.

23. Galea, F.; Apap, M.; Spiteri Staines, C.; Cilia, J. Design of a high efficiency wide input range isolated Cuk Dc-Dc converter for grid connected regenerative active loads. In Proceedings of the World Engineers’ Convention, Geneva, Switzerland, 4–9 September 2011.

24. Lica, S.; Pop-Calimanu, I.M.; Lascu, D.; Popescu, S.; Tomoroga, M.; Ciresan, A. A New Hybrid Cuk Converter. In Proceedings of the International Symposium on Electronics and Telecommunications (ISETC), Timisoara, Romania, 8–9 November 2018; pp. 1–4.

25. Axelrod, B.; Berkovich, Y.; Ioinovici, A. Switched capacitor/switched inductor structures for getting transformerless hybrid dc-dc PWM converters. *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. I Regul. Pap.* **2008**, *55*, 687–696.

26. Axelrod, B.; Berkovich, Y.; Ioinovici, A. Hybrid switched-capacitor-Cuk/Zeta/Sepic converters in step-up mode. In Proceedings of the IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems, Kobe, Japan, 23–26 May 2005; Volume 2, pp. 1310–1313.

27. Axelrod, B.; Berkovich, Y.; Ioinovici, A. Switched-capacitor (SC)/switched inductor (SI) structures for getting hybrid step-down Cuk/Sepic/Zeta converters. In Proceedings of the IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems, Island of Kos, Greece, 15–19 June 2008; pp. 3009–3014.

28. Maksimovic, D.; Cuk, S. Switching Converters with Wide DC Conversion Range. *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.* **1991**, *6*, 151–157.

29. Erickson, R.W.; Maksimovic, D. *Fundamentals of Power Electronics*, 2nd ed.; Kluwer Academic Publishers: New York, NY, USA, 2001.

30. Cuk, S. A new zero-ripple switching DC-to-DC converter and integrated magnetics. *IEEE Trans. Magn.* **1983**, *19*, 57–75.

31. Middlebrook, R.D.; Cuk, S. A general unified approach to modelling switching-converter power stages. In Proceedings of the IEEE Power Electronics Specialists Conference, Cleveland, OH, USA, 8–10 June 1976; pp. 18–34.

32. Anand, A.; Singh, B.; Chandra, A.; Al-Haddad, K. Isolated Cuk Converter with Two Symmetrical Output voltages for SRM Drive. In Proceedings of the IEEE Wireless Power Transfer Conference (WPTC), Montreal, QC, Canada, 3–7 June 2018; pp. 1–4.

33. Li, C.; Herrera, L.; Jia, J.; Fu, L.; Isurin, A.; Cook, A.; Huang, Y.; Wang, J. Design and Implementation of a Bidirectional Isolated Cuk Converter for Low-Voltage and High-Current Automotive DC Source Applications. *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.* **2014**, *63*, 2567–2577.

34. Simulation Research; Caspoc Simulation Program. Available online: http://www.simulationresearch (accessed on 22 October 2020).

**Publisher’s Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).