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Abstract

Objective: To describe a new murine model of cigarette smoke-induced emphysema.

Methods: Twenty-four male Wistar rats were divided into two groups: the cigarette smoke group, comprising 12 rats exposed to smoke from 12 commercial filter cigarettes three times a day (a total of 36 cigarettes per day) every day for 30 weeks; and the control group, comprising 12 rats exposed to room air three times a day every day for 30 weeks. Lung function was assessed by mechanical ventilation, and emphysema was morphometrically assessed by measurement of the mean linear intercept (Lm).

Results: The mean weight gain was significantly (approximately ten times) lower in the cigarette smoke group than in the control group. The Lm was 25.0% higher in the cigarette smoke group. There was a trend toward worsening of lung function parameters in the cigarette smoke group.

Conclusions: The new murine model of cigarette smoke-induced emphysema and the methodology employed in the present study are effective and reproducible, representing a promising and economically viable option for use in studies investigating the pathophysiology of and therapeutic approaches to COPD.
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Resumo

Objetivo: Descrever um novo modelo murino de enfisema induzido pela fumaça de cigarro.

Métodos: Vinte e quatro ratos Wistar foram divididos em dois grupos: o grupo fumaça de cigarro, com 12 ratos expostos à fumaça de 12 cigarros comerciais com filtro três vezes ao dia (um total de 36 cigarros por dia), sete dias por semana, durante 30 semanas e o grupo controle, com 12 animais expostos ao ar ambiente três vezes ao dia, sete dias por semana, durante 30 semanas. A função pulmonar foi avaliada por meio de ventilação mecânica, e o enfisema foi morfometricamente avaliado por meio do diâmetro alveolar médio (Lm).

Resultados: A média de ganho de peso foi significativamente menor (aproximadamente dez vezes menor) no grupo fumaça de cigarro do que no grupo controle. O Lm foi 25.0% maior no grupo fumaça de cigarro. Os parâmetros de função pulmonar tenderam a ser piores no grupo fumaça de cigarro.

Conclusões: O novo modelo murino de enfisema induzido pela fumaça de cigarro e a metodologia empregada neste estudo são eficazes e reproduzíveis; são, portanto, uma opção promissora e economicamente viável para estudos sobre a fisiopatologia e o tratamento da DPOC.

Descritores: Poluição por fumaça de tabaco; Emfisema; Modelos animais de doenças; Equipamentos e provisões.
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Introduction

Worldwide, COPD is an important public health problem, having a high prevalence and carrying high socioeconomic costs. It is currently the fourth leading cause of death worldwide, and estimates indicate that it will be the third by 2020.\textsuperscript{[1,2]}

Within the COPD spectrum, emphysema is defined as airspace enlargement distal to terminal bronchioles, accompanied by alveolar wall destruction, without significant fibrosis. Oxidative lung injury and inflammation in response to irritants (such as air pollution and cigarette smoke) speed up functional and morphological changes, gradually limiting gas exchange.\textsuperscript{[2-4]}

Given that treatment is limited to palliative care, animal models are central to studies investigating the pathophysiology of COPD and new therapeutic approaches to the disease. Emphysema can be experimentally induced by the use of proteases, by exposure to cigarette smoke, and by genetic manipulation.\textsuperscript{[5-9]}

Although animal models of enzyme-induced emphysema constitute an interesting methodological approach, they do not accurately reproduce the mechanisms of alveolar destruction resulting from smoke inhalation in human patients. Proteolytic activity leads to a significant increase in airspace size, not reproducing the sequence of pathological events characteristic of emphysema in humans.\textsuperscript{[6,10]} Animal models of cigarette smoke-induced emphysema are used in an attempt to reproduce human disease, especially the pathophysiological mechanisms involved in the natural history of emphysema.\textsuperscript{[6,11]}

However, the duration of smoke exposure and the number of cigarettes used vary widely across models. In general, the most effective results are obtained with chronic exposure periods (>6 months).\textsuperscript{[6,12]}

The objective of the present study was to describe a new experimental model of cigarette smoke-induced emphysema. The model involves Wistar rats and an apparatus developed in the Laboratory of Genetics and Cell Therapy of the Júlio de Mesquita Filho São Paulo State University at Assis School of Sciences and Languages, located in the city of Assis, Brazil. The model can contribute to a better understanding of the pathophysiological processes in COPD, as well as to the development of new treatment strategies.

Methods

A total of 24 male Wistar rats (age, 12 weeks; weight, 400-450 g) were divided into two groups: the cigarette smoke group, comprising 12 rats exposed to smoke from 12 commercial filter cigarettes (Derby Vibrante; Souza Cruz SA, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil)—containing 0.8 mg of nicotine, 10 mg of tar, and 10 mg of carbon monoxide (CO)—for three 1-h exposure periods (from 8:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m., from 12:00 p.m. to 1:00 p.m., and from 6:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m.) every day for 30 weeks; and the control group, comprising 12 rats exposed to room air for three 1-h exposure periods every day for 30 weeks. During each exposure period, the rats in the cigarette smoke group were exposed to smoke from 4 cigarettes for 15 min, followed by a 5-min rest period (during which room air was delivered to prevent hypoxia). During each 15-min period of exposure, the smoking apparatus was set to cycle with cigarette-suction periods of 10 s and rest periods of 15 s.

The apparatus consists of an animal containment system and a cigarette smoking device (Figure 1). The animal containment system comprises four metal cages (24 cm × 17 cm × 15 cm) closed at the top. The four cages are placed equidistant from each other inside a hermetically sealed acrylic box (70 cm × 70 cm × 20 cm). Four large rats or 16 mice can be placed in each cage. Two apparatuses were used in the present study: one for the animals in the cigarette smoke group and one for the animals in the control group. Each apparatus was placed in a separate room. The cigarette smoke delivery system consists of an external cigarette holder connected to a dynamic suction pump by a flexible hose. The pump can be programmed to alternate between periods of cigarette suction and periods of clean air suction, to prevent asphyxia. The pump generates negative pressure, which forces the air through a lit-up cigarette, generating cigarette smoke and pushing it toward the hose and into the acrylic box. The concentration of CO in the containment system was assessed by means of a CO meter (ToxiPro® Single Gas Detector; Honeywell Analytics Distribution Inc., Lincolnshire, IL, USA) and was found to be 350-400 ppm during the exposure periods.

All animals were weighed weekly on an analytical scale. For standardization purposes,
all animals were weighed on the same day of the week and at the same time of day.

Lung function was assessed by means of a ventilator for small animals (flexiVent™; SCIREQ, Montreal, QC, Canada). After 30 weeks of daily exposure to mainstream cigarette smoke or room air, the animals were anesthetized by intraperitoneal injection of 250 mg/kg of Thiopen® (Cristália - Produtos Químicos Farmacêuticos Ltda., Itapira, Brazil) and tracheostomized with a 20-G catheter connected to the ventilator, which was set to a tidal volume of 10 mL/kg, an RR of 120 breaths/min, and a positive end-expiratory pressure of 3 cmH₂O. Muscle paralysis was induced by intraperitoneal injection of pancuronium bromide (1 mg/kg). Lung function was expressed as airway resistance (Raw), respiratory system resistance, respiratory system compliance (Crs), tissue damping, and tissue elastance.

After mechanical evaluation of the respiratory system, the animals were euthanized and the lungs were removed. The trachea was cannulated and attached to the perfusion apparatus, the lungs being incubated in 4% paraformaldehyde solution for 24 h and kept inflated with sustained positive pressure (20 cmH₂O). The lung tissue was cut into 5-µm sections and stained with H&E for histological evaluation.

Emphysema was assessed by measurement of the mean linear intercept (Lm), in µm, as proposed by Weibel. After fixation, each lung was cut into four sagittal sections. The sections were embedded in a single paraffin block, and each block was cut into three 5-µm sections, three slides being therefore prepared for each block. For each slide, ten randomly selected nonoverlapping fields were examined under light microscopy (magnification, ×400).

The results were analyzed by means of the Student’s t-test and one-way ANOVA. In order to test the assumptions of normal distribution and homogeneity of variance, we performed the Shapiro-Wilk test and Levene’s test. When the assumptions were not met, we used the Mann-Whitney test and Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA. For all tests, values of p < 0.05 were considered significant. The study project was approved by the Animal Research Ethics Committee of the Julio de Mesquita Filho São Paulo State University at Assis School of Sciences and Languages (Protocol no. 001/2011).

**Results**

As can be seen in Figure 2, there were no significant differences between the rats in the cigarette smoke group and those in the control group in terms of their initial weight. From the second week of exposure onward, the mean weight gain was lower in the cigarette smoke group than in the control group. There was a significant difference (p < 0.05) between the two groups regarding weight gain after the third week of exposure. After 30 weeks of exposure, the mean weight gain was 212.00 ± 83.40 g in the control group and 44.92 ± 43.40 g in the cigarette smoke group, the mean weight gain
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in the cigarette smoke group corresponding to 21.2% of that in the control group.

As can be seen in Table 1, the values of Raw, tissue damping, respiratory system resistance, Crs, and tissue elastance were higher in the cigarette smoke group than in the control group. However, there was no significant difference between the two groups in terms of the respiratory parameters analyzed, which is probably due to the high variation among subjects in the same group (as evidenced by the high SD values).

Figure 3A shows the Lm for the right and left lungs in combination (for each group), and Figure 3B shows the Lm for the right and left lungs in isolation (for each group). As can be seen in Figure 3, there was a statistically significant difference in the Lm between the cigarette smoke group and the control group. When we analyzed the right and left lungs in combination, we found that the Lm was 25.0% higher in the cigarette smoke group than in the control group (Figure 3A). When the right and left lungs were analyzed separately, the Lm for the left lung was found to be 15.8% higher in the cigarette smoke group than in the control group (Figure 3B). Similarly, the Lm for the right lung was found to be 32.3% higher in the cigarette smoke group than in the control group (Figure 3B). These results suggest a difference in the degree of airspace enlargement between the right and left lungs in the cigarette smoke group. Alveolar septal destruction was significantly greater in the cigarette smoke group than in the control group, showing airspace enlargement resulting from increased lung parenchymal destruction in the cigarette smoke group, as assessed qualitatively by H&E staining of histological sections (Figure 4).

**Discussion**

Cigarette smoke-induced emphysema has been studied under various experimental conditions. Although some questions remain regarding the duration of exposure and the number of cigarettes used, animal models of cigarette smoke-induced emphysema are the experimental models that best mimic the clinical features of pulmonary emphysema in human patients and constitute an important tool in the study of the pathophysiological aspects of the disease.

Although animal models of cigarette smoke-induced emphysema have been used in several studies, only a few smoke exposure systems are commercially available, including the Cigarette Smoke Generator™ (TSE Systems, Inc., Chesterfield, MO, USA), the TE-2 Smoking Machine™ (Teague Enterprises, Woodland, CA, USA), and the inExpose™ (SCIREQ). All have similar characteristics, consisting of a cigarette smoke generator and an animal exposure chamber, where animals are confined and exposed to cigarette smoke (via whole-body or nose-only exposure). They all cost approximately US$ 75,000.00, which is approximately twenty times the final cost of our apparatus (i.e., US$ 3,000.00).

**Figure 2** - Mean weight gain in the Wistar rats in the cigarette smoke (CS) group and in those in the control group. *p < 0.05.

**Table 1** - Airway resistance, respiratory system resistance, tissue damping, tissue elastance, and respiratory system compliance in Wistar rats exposed to cigarette smoke (the cigarette smoke group) and in those exposed to room air (the control group).

| Groups   | Raw a  | Rrs a | G b  | H b  | Crs b |
|----------|--------|-------|------|------|-------|
| CS       | 0.09 ± 0.07 | 0.15 ± 0.07 | 0.35 ± 0.10 | 1.21 ± 0.24 | 1.37 ± 0.24 |
| Control  | 0.04 ± 0.02 | 0.12 ± 0.07 | 0.22 ± 0.18 | 0.96 ± 0.89 | 1.14 ± 0.25 |

a Values expressed in mean ± SD (cmH₂O • mL⁻¹ • s⁻¹). b Values expressed in mean ± SD (cmH₂O • mL⁻¹ • s⁻¹).
Several features of our apparatus are similar to those of commercially available systems used in previous studies. One interesting feature is that animals are exposed to cigarette smoke via whole-body exposure. Another feature is that the smoke that is pumped into the exposure chamber is generated by the puffing of a cigarette, as occurs during actual smoking. Like other systems on the market, our apparatus allows us to regulate the suction power and the time of cigarette suction, alternating with periods of air suction, by means of a timer. The main advantages of our apparatus over commercially available devices include its low cost, simple design, and ease of installation, as well as the fact that it can be assembled from commercially available parts, such as a suction pump and a timer (to regulate the cigarette-suction and air-suction times, as described in the Methods section). In addition, the cost of our apparatus is 20 times lower than that of commercially available devices.

The 30-week period of exposure employed in our study was based on a search for a model that offers greater reproducibility with respect to time. Previous studies of cigarette smoke-induced emphysema (employing different apparatuses) have reported exposure periods of 8-36 weeks. Although exposure periods of 6 months are common in animal model studies, exposure periods of up to 9 months have also been used.

In some protocols, animals are exposed to cigarette smoke 3-5 days a week, which is not enough to simulate daily smoking. The duration of daily exposure varies widely across studies. Li et al. adopted a two-step exposure protocol whereby animals were initially exposed to smoke from 8 cigarettes twice a day for 2 weeks (weeks 1 and 2) and subsequently exposed to smoke from 15 cigarettes three times a day for another 10 weeks (weeks 3-12). The animal model used in the present study simulates the pathophysiological conditions of active smoking, animals having been exposed to mainstream cigarette smoke daily (7 days a week) for 30 weeks.

There are no studies involving a comparative morphometric analysis of alveolar damage in the right and left lungs. Comparative morphometric analysis is relevant because it allows the demonstration of the heterogeneity of parenchymal destruction in experimentally induced emphysema. Anatomical differences between the right and left lungs of rats provide a possible explanation for the results obtained. According to Cataneo and Reibscheid, the rat trachea shows a deviation to the right, and the rat bronchi are similar to the human bronchi (i.e., short and large). The right bronchus appears to be a continuation of the trachea, whereas the left bronchus looks like a branch. Therefore, it is possible that the right lung was more consistently exposed to cigarette smoke, which resulted in intense neutrophil and macrophage activation and increased secretion of proteases responsible for lung parenchymal destruction. These anatomical differences can explain why the Lm was higher in the right lung than in the left lung in the group of rats exposed to cigarette smoke.

Although functional testing is a valuable tool for assessing the development of emphysema in experimental models, it is less sensitive than morphometric analysis, which is more accurate in the assessment of parenchymal damage. Animals with moderate emphysema usually have normal functional values when compared with healthy
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Figure 4 - Photomicrographs of rat lung tissue stained with H&E (magnification: ×100 [A and B]; ×200 [C and D]; and ×400 [E and F]). In A, C, and E, lung tissue from rats exposed to room air (control group). In B, D, and F, lung tissue from rats exposed to mainstream cigarette smoke. Double arrows indicate merged alveoli resulting in airspace enlargement. Scale bars: 20 µm.

animals, whereas animals with severe disease show significant changes in respiratory parameters.\(^6\)

This has been reported by other research groups. Foronjy et al.\(^{25}\) exposed two strains of C57 mice to cigarette smoke for 6 months or 12 months and observed a significant increase in the Lm in comparison with the respective control groups. Nevertheless, there were no significant differences between the exposed animals and the respective control groups regarding the respiratory parameters. According to Wright et al.\(^6\) animals with moderate emphysema usually show borderline or normal functional values when compared with healthy animals; animals with advanced disease are the only ones that show significant changes in the respiratory parameters.
The results of the present study showed no significant differences in Raw or Crs between the animals in the cigarette smoke group and those in the control group. However, there was a trend toward increased Raw in the cigarette smoke group. The species under study plays a relevant role in the results of mechanical ventilation. Although Wistar rats allow researchers to perform a series of functional analyses, they are more resistant to the development of emphysema than are other rodent species. From a pathophysiological standpoint, the disease can be milder in rats; this can complicate the comparison of functional parameters between animals that were exposed and unexposed to cigarette smoke. This empirical finding can explain the results of the present study, which showed no statistically significant differences between the two groups of rats regarding the functional parameters assessed (Table 1), despite the morphometric evidence of emphysema (i.e., the Lm), as shown in Figure 3.

COPD can be considered a systemic disease, being characterized by skeletal changes, weakness, muscle dysfunction, and weight loss, which is due to oxidative stress and reduced caloric intake. In smokers with COPD, nicotine increases satiety and reduces food intake, smoking therefore contributing to weight loss, worsening emphysema, and generating a feedback circuit. Previous studies have shown that cigarette smoke can change hypothalamic appetite regulation in the central nervous system. Mineur et al. demonstrated that nicotine decreases food intake and body weight.

The inflammatory process is a fundamental component of the pathophysiology of COPD. Inflammation and other systemic effects of emphysema were not assessed in the present study, given that our main objective was to evaluate the feasibility of a new murine model of cigarette smoke-induced pulmonary emphysema. Other studies are currently under way in our laboratory, involving analysis of the lung for the presence of metalloproteinases 9 and 12, which play an important role in the inflammatory process, and assessment of inflammatory cells in BAL fluid from animals exposed and unexposed to cigarette smoke.

The sharp weight loss observed in the present study was not due to the exposure period, given the statistically significant difference between the cigarette smoke group and the control group as of the third week of exposure (Figure 2). The animals in the control group showed a mean weight gain of 212.0 ± 83.40 g, whereas those in the cigarette smoke group showed a mean weight gain of 44.92 ± 43.40 g, which corresponded to 21.2% of that in the control group. Our results also showed a large standard deviation regarding the mean weight gain of the exposed animals after 30 weeks (44.92 ± 43.40 g). These results might be due to the intensity of exposure to cigarette smoke in the present study, which resulted in pulmonary changes and widespread pathophysiological changes. As noted above, COPD should be considered a systemic disease, as in a feedback circuit in which the side effects of smoke exposure determine morphological and functional changes in the lung. These changes reflect and are aggravated by the systemic effects of nicotine and the large number of toxic substances in cigarette smoke and other air pollutants.

The results of the present study indicate that mainstream cigarette smoke leads to decreased weight gain and development of emphysema in exposed animals, as assessed by morphometric analysis (i.e., the Lm). The methodology employed in the present study is feasible, and the new apparatus is effective for use in animal models of cigarette smoke-induced emphysema. This new murine model of cigarette smoke-induced emphysema is a promising and economically viable option for use in studies investigating the pathophysiology of and therapeutic approaches to COPD.
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