Structure of Free Thermus flavus 5 S rRNA at 1.3 nm Resolution from Synchrotron X-ray Solution Scattering*
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The shape of free Thermus flavus 5 S rRNA in solution at 1.3 nm resolution is restored from synchrotron x-ray scattering data using an ab initio simulated annealing algorithm. The free 5 S rRNA is a bent elongated molecule displaying a compact central region and two projecting arms, similar to those of the tRNA. The atomic models of the 5 S RNA domains A-D-E and B-C in the form of elongated helices can be well accommodated within the shape, yielding a tentative model of the structure of the free 5 S rRNA in solution. Its comparison with the recent protein-RNA map in the ribosome (Svergun, D. I., and Nierhaus, K. H. (2000) J. Biol. Chem. 275, 14432–14439) indicates that the 5 S rRNA becomes essentially more compact upon complex formation with specific ribosomal proteins. A conceivable conformational change involves rotation of the B-C domain toward the A-D-E domain. The model of free 5 S rRNA displays no interactions between domains E and C, but such interactions are possible in the bound molecule.

Ribosomal 5 S rRNA, an essential component of the ribosome, is approximately 120 nucleotides long. The ribosomal particles lacking the 5 S rRNA have a strongly reduced activity in protein synthesis (1–3), in particular, reduced peptidyl transferase activity. Because of its functional importance and the fact that the 5 S rRNA interacts specifically with several ribosomal proteins (4), it is of great interest to know the three-dimensional structure or a reliable shape of this RNA molecule. Nearly 1000 different prokaryotic and eukaryotic 5 S RNA sequences have been determined so far. The predicted secondary structure (5) is shown in Fig. 1. The size of the 5 S rRNA limits the possibility of its three-dimensional structure determination by nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR). Therefore, in the past, we tried to crystallize several 5 S RNA species (6, 7). The crystals of an isolated 5 S rRNA suitable for the x-ray analysis were obtained particularly from the thermophilic bacterium Thermus flavus. These crystals, however, diffract only to about 0.75 nm resolution and are extremely sensitive to radiation, even under cryogenic conditions. The intrinsic flexibility of the whole 5 S rRNA molecule and small differences in the primary structure seem to influence significantly the quality of the crystals. The T. flavus 5 S rRNA was then divided into five domains, A through E, and these domains were chemically synthesized and crystallized for x-ray analysis (8, 9). In parallel, we began to analyze the shape of the entire 5 S rRNA in solution using small angle scattering, an established method of monitoring the low resolution structure of biological macromolecules (10). Recently, new approaches have been developed to ab initio restore low resolution structure from the scattering data (11, 12), and these were successfully applied to study proteins and ribosomes (13–15). In the present paper, an ab initio low resolution model of the free 5 S rRNA in solution is derived from synchrotron radiation small angle x-ray scattering (SAXS) data. The atomic models of the 5 S rRNA fragments are tentatively positioned inside the low resolution shape. The model obtained may be further used for crystallographic molecular replacement studies, as well as for the analysis of the complex formation with binding proteins in solution.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Isolation and Purification—The Escherichia coli cells from strain MRE600 were grown at 37 °C in a Luria broth medium. The 70 S ribosomes were isolated by previously described extraction and centrifugation steps (2). The intact ribosomes were dissociated into their 30 and 50 S subunits at magnesium concentrations below 5 mM (1). The 5 S rRNA was separated from the large ribosomal subunit by saccharose gradient, phenol extraction and subsequently purified by Sephadex G150 gel chromatography as well as hydrophobic affinity interaction chromatography. In addition T. flavus 5 S rRNA was produced by in vitro transcription. Because of large yields of up to 5 mg/ml, sufficient RNA material can be generated at low cost and within a short time compared with the conventional isolation procedure. The reconstitution of ribosomal proteins from Bacillus stearothermophilus with 5 S rRNA of different species resulted in functionally intact 50 S subunits active in polypeptide synthesis (3). This finding emphasizes that the three-dimensional structure of the ribosomal 5 S RNA is highly conserved, making it possible to replace the 5 S rRNA from E. coli by that from T. flavus. Two synthetic DNA oligonucleotides coding for the 5’ and 3’ regions of T. flavus 5 S rRNA with an overlapping area of 25 bases were annealed by stepwise cooling from 70 to 54 °C within 17 min. A double-stranded template was generated by elongation with Pfu polymerase for 10 min. Restriction sites and T7 promoter were introduced by primers used in the following polymerase chain reaction. The product was blunt end-ligated into the vector pUC18 (2686 base pair) and transformed into E. coli DH5α. The plasmid was sequenced and used to transform competent E. coli cells. The transformants were selected on LB plates containing 100 μg/ml ampicillin. The plasmids were purified and used for expression. The expression plasmid was transformed into E. coli MRE600 and total RNA was isolated from the bacteria using the acid guanidinium thiocyanate-phenol-chloroform method (8).

The abbreviations used are: SAXS, small angle x-ray scattering; DAM, dummy atoms model.
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into E. coli JM109 cells sensitive to blue/white screening. The correct sequence of the insert was checked by sequencing the isolated plasmids from several clones. After plasmid linearization with EcoRV (NEB), the wild-type 5 S rRNA of T. flavus was synthesized during run off transcription in the RiboMAX system (Promega). The RNA was purified by phenol extraction and gel filtration. The samples were concentrated by ethanol precipitation and resuspended in 5 mM MgCl2 buffer. Purity and homogeneity of the product were analyzed by UV spectroscopy, denaturating urea polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (6), and dynamic light scattering. Monodispersity of the samples was controlled by dynamic light scattering using a newly developed experimental set-up (16).

**Scattering Experiments and Data Processing**—The SAXS data were collected using standard procedures on the X33 camera (17–19) of EMBL at the storage ring DORIS III of the Deutsches Elektronen Synchrotron (DESY) and multiwire proportional chambers with a delay line readout (20). The scattering curves at the solute concentrations 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, and 10 mg/ml were measured at sample detector distances of 3.5 and 1.4 m, covering the momentum transfer ranges 0.25 < s < 1.8 nm⁻¹ and 0.40 < s < 5.5 nm⁻¹, respectively (s = 4π sinθ/λ, where 2θ is the scattering angle and λ = 0.15 nm is the x-ray wavelength). The data were normalized to the intensity of the incident beam corrected for the detector response, the scattering of the buffer was subtracted, and the difference curves were scaled for concentration using the program SAPOKO. The reduced data sets at low angles were extrapolated to zero concentration following standard procedures (10). The final composite scattering curve was obtained by merging the extrapolated low angle data with the curve recorded at higher angles at 10 mg/ml. The maximum dimension \( D_{\text{max}} \) of the 5 S rRNA was estimated from the experimental data using the orthogonal expansion program ORTOG-NOM (21). The radii of gyration \( R_g \) at different concentrations were evaluated using the Guinier approximation (10) and the indirect Fourier transform program GNOM (22, 23). The latter program was also used to compute the distance distribution function \( p(r) \). Prior to the shape analysis, a constant was subtracted from the experimental data to ensure that the intensity at higher angles decays as \( s^{-4} \) following Porod’s law (24) for homogeneous particles. The value of this constant is determined automatically by the shape determination program DAMMIN (described under “Shape Determination”) from the outer part of the curve by drawing a straight line in coordinates \( s^{-4} I(s) \) versus \( s^{-4} \). This procedure reduces the contribution from scattering due to the internal particle structure and yields an approximation of the “shape scattering” curve (i.e. scattering from the excluded volume of the particle filled by constant density). The Porod volume \( V_p \) (24) was calculated from the shape scattering curve as described (10).

---
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Shape Determination—The shape of the 5 S rRNA was restored from the experimental data using an *ab initio* method (12). A sphere of diameter $D_{\text{max}}$ is filled by a regular grid of points corresponding to a dense hexagonal packing of small spheres (dummy atoms) of radius $r_0 < D_{\text{max}}$. The structure of the dummy atoms model (DAM) is defined by a configuration vector $X$ assigning an index to each atom (0 corresponds to solvent and 1 to the solute particle). The scattering intensity $I(s)$ from the DAM is evaluated as

$$I(s) = 2\pi \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} |A_{lm}(s)|^2,$$  

(Eq. 1)

where the partial amplitudes $A_{lm}(s)$ are as follows.

$$A_{lm}(s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi \nu}} \sum_j j_l(r_j) Y_{lm}(\omega_j)$$  

(Eq. 2)

Here, the sum runs over the dummy atoms with $X_j = 1$ (particle atoms), $r_j$, $\omega_j$ are their polar coordinates, $\nu = (4\pi r_0^2/3)^{0.74}$ is the displaced volume per dummy atom, $j_l(x)$ and $Y_{lm}(\omega)$ denote the spherical Bessel function and the spherical harmonics, respectively. In keeping with the low resolution of the solution scattering data, the method searches for a compact interconnected configuration $X$, minimizing the discrepancy

---

**Fig. 3.** *a*, low resolution models of the 5 S rRNA obtained *ab initio* in five independent shape determination runs (from left to right). The middle and bottom rows are rotated counterclockwise by 90° around the $y$ and $x$ axes, respectively. *b* and *c*, superposition of 10 low resolution models of the 5 S rRNA displayed as solid and semitransparent spheres, respectively.
between the calculated and the experimental curves,
\[
\chi = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left( \frac{I_{\text{exp}}(s_j) - I_{\text{calc}}(s_j)}{\sigma(s_j)} \right)^2}
\]
(Eq. 3)

where \(N\) is the number of the experimental points and \(I_{\text{exp}}(s_j)\) and \(\sigma(s_j)\) are the experimental intensity after a constant subtraction and its standard deviation in the \(k\)-th point, respectively. Starting from a random configuration, simulated annealing (25) is employed for the minimization. The details of the program DAMMIN are described elsewhere (Refs. 12, 14, and 15; see also the EMBL Web page).

**Atomic Models**—The atomic coordinates of the theoretical model of the 5 S rRNA from *Xenopus laevis* (26) were taken from the Protein Data Bank (27), entry code 1nro. The scattering curve from this model was computed using a modified version of the program CRY SOL (28). The atomic models of the 5 S rRNA fragments A, D, and E, available from x-ray crystallography (Refs. 29–31; entry codes 364D, 361D, and 353D, respectively), were used as templates to fit into the low resolution shape. The models of the 5 S rRNA were displayed on a SUN SPARC-20ZX work station using the program ASSA (32) and on a SGI O2 work station using the program O (33).

**RESULTS**

The apparent radius of gyration of the 5 S RNA in solution decreases with concentration (Fig. 2a), which is typical for solutions with repulsive interactions. The value \(R_g = 3.46 \pm 0.05\) nm is obtained after extrapolation to infinite dilution. The composite SAXS curve from the 5 S rRNA extrapolated to zero concentration is presented in Fig. 2b. The maximum dimension and the radius of gyration of the particle are 12.0 \pm 0.5 and 3.44 \pm 0.05 nm, respectively, in good agreement with the values reported in earlier solution scattering studies (34, 35). The distance distribution function \(p(r)\) in Fig. 2c is typical for an elongated particle. The shape scattering curve after a constant subtraction (Fig. 2b, curve 2) yields the Porod volume of \(37 \pm 2\) nm\(^3\). This volume corresponds well to the dry volume of the 5 S RNA (38.8 nm\(^3\)) computed from its molecular mass, assuming the partial specific volume of 0.53 cm\(^3\)g\(^{-1}\) (36). The above results confirm that the solutions of the 5 S RNA are monodisperse and that the shape scattering curve can be used for the shape analysis.

Ten independent *ab initio* shape determinations were performed starting from different random configurations within a spherical search volume with the diameter \(D_{\text{max}} = 12\) nm. Different packing radii of the dummy atoms were used \((r_0 = 0.4, 0.35, \text{and } 0.32\) nm, corresponding to the numbers of dummy atoms \(M = 2550, 3660, \text{and } 4897\), respectively). The independently restored models had the radius of gyration of 3.49 \pm 0.05 nm, volume 36.7 \pm 1.0 nm\(^3\) and the maximum dimension 11.7 \pm 0.3 nm; they all provided nearly identical fits to the data illustrated in Fig. 2b (curve 3) with the discrepancy \(\chi = 0.42\). As the method yields models at an arbitrary orientation and handedness, the models were appropriately rotated and shifted for comparison. The overall appearance of the models displayed in Fig. 3a for five restorations was very similar; all of them were bent elongated particles with a cross-section diameter of about 2 nm as expected for an RNA strand. However, differences were observed in finer structural details. Given that the independently restored models yield nearly identical scattering patterns, the ambiguity illustrated in Fig. 3a had to be attributed to the low resolution of the scattering data.

Analysis of the results of independent restorations permits one to further refine the solutions and to build the most probable model of the 5 S rRNA. For this process, all of the restored configurations rotated to best match each other were remapped onto a grid of densely packed spheres with \(r_s = 0.3\) nm. It is conceivable that the overlap of the 10 models in Fig. 3b encloses the actual shape of the 5 S rRNA in solution. Already a visual inspection of this overlap displayed as semitransparent spheres in Fig. 3c reveals the most probable shape of the particle as a darker core formed by the dummy atoms with highest repetition rates. To build the final model, the shape determination was performed using the overlap in Fig. 3b \((M = 705 \text{ dummy atoms})\) as a search volume instead of the spherical one. The shape of the 5 S rRNA thus obtained (Fig. 4a) is very similar to the probable shape represented in Fig. 3b. Independent restorations using the overlap in Fig. 3b as the search volume yielded only minor rearrangements of several dummy atom indices on the particle border compared with the final configuration in Fig. 4a.

The appearance of the *ab initio* model is rather similar to that of the theoretically predicted structure of the 5 S rRNA from *X. laevis* by Westhof et al. (26) inasmuch as both models consist of two elongated arms (helices). This agreement was unexpected, as the integral parameters of the Westhof model \((R_g = 3.82\) nm, \(D_{\text{max}} = 14.0\) nm) differed significantly from the experimental values, and the calculated scattering profile (Fig. 2b, curve 4) yielded a poor fit to the experimental curve with \(\chi = 5.1\). Previous models of the free 5 S rRNA derived from SAXS data (34, 35) supported a Y configuration with two shorter and one longer helix. In contrast, our data indicate that the 5 S rRNA in solution consists of two longer and one shorter helix.

The obtained shape permits one to build a tentative model of the entire 5 S rRNA using the atomic models of its fragments. The structure of the separated and extended section including domains A, D, and E (Fig. 1) was available from Correll et al. (29), and the rest of the molecule (domains B and C) was taken from the Westhof theoretical model (26). These two fragments were interactively fitted into the low resolution model as displayed in Fig. 4a. The surface representation of the final model of the free 5 S rRNA in solution thus obtained is displayed in Fig. 4b.

**DISCUSSION**

According to the sampling theorem (37–38), the number of degrees of freedom associated with the scattering curve in Fig. 2A is \(N_s = D_{\text{max}} s_{\text{max}}/\pi \approx 20\). As the search models nominally contain \(M \approx 10^5\) parameters (indices of dummy atoms), a natural question arises whether the information content in the scattering data justifies the *ab initio* restoration. First, it should be stressed here that the DAMs in Figs. 3 and 4a are still low resolution models, despite the small radii of the dummy atoms used (from 0.3 to 0.4 nm). The resolution of a DAM, and thus that of the final model in Fig. 4a, is defined solely by the range of the data fitted (\(2m_{\text{max}}/s_{\text{max}} \approx 1.3\) nm); this is best illustrated by the models in Fig. 3a, which provide roughly the same degree of detail despite different packing radii used. On one side, the variety of models in Fig. 3a yielding identical scattering curves in the fitting range demonstrates that the solution of the *ab initio* shape determination problem is ambiguous. On the other side, all of these models display similar gross structure and differ only in the finer details. This situation resembles, to some extent, particular problems of NMR spectroscopy, where different chain configurations yield virtually the same discrepancy with the experimental data. The refined shape in Fig. 4a may be considered an analogue of the averaged structure provided by the NMR technique. The successful alignment of the atomic models of the 5 S rRNA fragments into this shape adds further credit to the *ab initio* model.

Earlier cross-linking experiments with phenylidiglycolal between residues 41 and 72 (39) suggested that tertiary interactions exist between domains E and C. According to our model (Fig. 4, a and b), these domains are well separated in the unbound 5 S rRNA in solution. Fig. 4c displays our model of the free 5 S rRNA along with the recent protein-RNA map of the 50 S ribosomal subunit *E. coli* obtained from x-ray and neutron.
scattering (15). The region of interest is at the top of the subunit, where an RNA fragment is complexed with three ribosomal proteins. This fragment is identified as a bound 5 S rRNA and the lower protein as L25 (15). The orientation of the free 5 S rRNA molecule in Fig. 4 was selected to have the domain E bound to L25 (40, 41). This comparison of the free and bound 5 S rRNA indicates that the 5 S rRNA becomes essentially more compact when forming a complex with specific ribosomal proteins. A conceivable structural rearrangement involves rotation of the domain B-C toward A-B-E (by about 60° clockwise in the plane of Fig. 4c). Such a rotation would also bring domains E and C closer together and enable the cross-links observed in former studies (39). Yet more information on the mechanism of the complex formation would be revealed by comparison with a bound 5 S rRNA expected to be identified in the x-ray crystallographic maps of the 50 S ribosomal subunit E. coli (15). Cyan spheres indicate the volumes occupied by ribosomal proteins, and transparent magenta spheres represent the rRNA moiety. The radius of the sphere, 0.5 nm. The tentative position of the ribosomal protein L25 and the domains on the free 5 S rRNA are labeled.
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