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Abstract

Literature has yet to establish an appropriate treatment strategy for large arteriovenous malformations (AVMs) and AVMs located in eloquent areas. In this study, the treatment outcomes of hypofractionated stereotactic radiotherapy (HSRT) with CyberKnife (CK) for large AVMs and AVMs in eloquent areas were evaluated. This study retrospectively evaluated 38 consecutive patients with AVMs treated with HSRT in the Japanese Red Cross Medical Center between August 2010 and July 2015. Obliteration rates and hemorrhage rates at 3- and 5-years of follow-up were calculated. Factors for hemorrhage and obliteration were analyzed with logistic regression analysis. Fourteen (36.8%) patients had a history of hemorrhage. Twenty (52.6%) AVMs were larger than 10 mL, and 34 (89.5%) AVMs were located in eloquent areas. The majority of the AVMs (84.2%) were classified into high grades (grades 3, 4, and 5) using the Spetzler-Martin grading scale. The median modified radiosurgery-based AVM score was 2.05, and the median Virginia Radiosurgery AVM Score was 3. The mean marginal dose was 24.5 ± 2.5 Gy. Twenty-three and 15 patients received three- and five-fraction stereotactic radiotherapy, respectively. At 3 and 5 years posttreatment, two (2.0%/year) and six (6.7%/year) patients had hemorrhage with obliteration rates of 15.2% and 16.7%, respectively. AVM localization in eloquent areas was a risk factor for obliteration failure. This study revealed that HSRT with CK for large AVMs and AVMs located in eloquent areas contributed to hemorrhage risk reduction and obliteration, at least in the early stages.
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Introduction

CyberKnife (CK) is a relatively less-invasive modality among radiosurgical treatments. Hypofractionated stereotactic radiotherapy (HSRT) with CK can reduce radiation toxicity on the surrounding structures with short treatment duration and thus holds a distinguished efficacy for metastatic brain tumors,1 meningiomas,3 cavernous malformations,4 and small arteriovenous malformations (AVMs).5 However, it is believed that no reports exist regarding HSRT with CK for AVMs that are difficult to treat (e.g., large AVMs and AVMs located in eloquent areas). Herein, the treatment outcomes of HSRT with CK for large AVMs and AVMs located in eloquent areas are reported.

Materials and Methods

Patients

This study included 38 consecutive patients with AVMs treated with HSRT in the Japanese Red Cross Medical Center between August 2010 and July 2015. Clinical information, including age, sex, onset, history of hemorrhage, du-
ration between the last hemorrhage and treatment, and history of surgical resection or endovascular embolization for AVM, was extracted from medical charts. This study protocol will be approved by the Institutional Review Board at the authors’ hospital (#1398). Written informed consent from patients was waived because this is a retrospective and noninvasive study.

**AVM characteristics**

AVM nidus volume, drainage pattern, and location were evaluated using angiography, computed tomography (CT), and magnetic resonance (MR) imaging. In cases where patients had a history of surgical resection or endovascular embolization for AVM, the initial images acquired before any treatment were used for assessment. Based on the radiological and clinical information, Spetzler-Martin grading scale, the modified radiosurgery-based AVM score (m-RBAS), and the median Virginia Radiosurgery AVM Score (VRAS) were evaluated.

**Treatment**

The institution of the current study recommends observation, surgical resection, endovascular embolization, stereotactic radiosurgery, or any combination of these treatment procedures for small AVMs in noneloquent areas, considering individual clinical and radiological characteristics. In contrast, HSRT with CK is employed as a treatment tool for large AVMs or AVMs in eloquent areas because high-grade AVMs with Spetzler-Martin grading scale left untreated have high risks of morbidity and mortality.

For treatment planning, plain CT (1-mm slice), contrast-enhanced CT (Omnipark 350, 2 mL/kg iv, 1-mm slice), T2-weighted MR imaging (2-mm gapless), and MR angiogram were performed, and these images were fused with Muni-Plan, version 4.6 (Accuray Inc., Madison, WI, USA). Radiation areas were manually depicted to exclude eloquent regions and were then completed using the inverse planning method. The prescription dose was decided according to dose-volume histogram 95% (DVH95). Considering the AVM target volume and location, a three- or five-fraction radiotherapy approach was chosen three to five consecutive days, depending on the number of the fractions. All treatment planning was conducted by two senior authors (RN and KS) who are well-experienced neurosurgeons in the CK field. Patients put soft-shell masks on their faces during irradiation with CK (Accuray Inc.), and intravenous sedation was added when patients were restless. Automatic adjustments were performed to ensure accurate irradiation using the X-ray tracking system.

**Follow-up evaluations**

Patients were followed up every 6 months at the outpatient clinic of the current study or by referral physicians using physical examinations and MR imaging. Posttreatment hemorrhage was defined as intracranial hemorrhage confirmed by radiological investigations with acute-onset symptoms. Annual hemorrhage rates were calculated as the number of patients with hemorrhage divided by the number of patients under regular follow-ups and by post-treatment years. Angiography was then recommended for confirmation 3 years after treatment if MR imaging indicated complete obliteration. However, several patients refused angiography because of its invasiveness, and nidus disappearance with no draining veins on MR imaging was considered complete obliteration in such cases.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was conducted using IBM SPSS statistics, version 23 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Logistic regression analyses were used to analyze the data collected to identify factors for hemorrhage and obliteration 5 years after treatment. For analysis, continuous and categorical variables were divided into two groups based on the median as follows: sex, age (>36 years), history of hemorrhage, history of endovascular embolization, nidus volume (>12 mL), eloquent area, Spetzler-Martin grading scale (grades 3, 4, and 5), m-RBAS (>1.5), VRAS (3 and 4), three-fraction radiotherapy, marginal dose (>25.0 Gy), maximum dose (>30.7 Gy), and minimum dose (>21.0 Gy). Moreover, p < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**Clinical and treatment characteristics**

Patient and AVM characteristics are shown in Table 1. Twenty (53%) patients were men, and the mean age was 35 ± 18 years. Nine patients were children of <16 years old, with the youngest being 5 years old. Clinical onset included hemorrhage (9, 23.7%), headache (7, 18.4%), epilepsy (10, 26.3%), and incidental findings (12, 31.6%). Fourteen (36.8%) patients had a history of hemorrhage before CK, and the median interval period between the hemorrhagic event and HSRT was 5 months (range, 2-156 months). Eleven of these patients experienced a hemorrhagic event within 1 year before CK. Two (5.3%) and 20 (52.6%) patients had a history of surgical resection for AVMs and preceding endovascular embolization, respectively. None of the patients in the current study experienced radiosurgical treatment before HSRT. For endovascular treatment, coils (three AVMs), n-butyl cyanoacrylate (16 AVMs), and onyx (4 AVMs) were used as embolization tools. Multiple materials could be used for one AVM.

The median nidus volume was 12.0 mL (range, 0.6-91.1 mL). Twenty (52.6%) AVMs were large-sized (>10 mL), and 34 (89.5%) AVMs were located in eloquent areas. Using the Spetzler-Martin grading scale, 1 (2.6%) grade 1, 3 (7.9%) grade 2, 15 (39.5%) grade 3, 16 (42.1%) grade 4, and 1 (2.6%) grade 5 AVMs were identified. The drainage pattern could not be evaluated in two AVMs that were located in eloquent areas due to the lack of information from referral.
Table 1  Patient and AVM characteristics

| Variable                              | Value |
|---------------------------------------|-------|
| Sex, n (%)                            |       |
| Male                                  | 20 (52.6) |
| Female                                | 18 (47.4) |
| Age (years), median (range)           | 36 (5-63) |
| Clinical onset, n (%)                 |       |
| Hemorrhage                            | 9 (23.7) |
| Headache                              | 7 (18.4) |
| Epilepsy                              | 10 (26.3) |
| Incidental findings                   | 12 (31.6) |
| Hemorrhage before CK, n (%)           | 14 (36.8) |
| Treatment before CK, n (%)            |       |
| Resection                             | 2 (5.3) |
| Embolization                          | 20 (52.6) |
| Radiosurgery                          | 0 (0) |
| Nidus volume (mL) range               | 0.6-91.1 |
| median                               | 12.0 |
| mean ± SD                            | 16.8 ± 17.9 |
| Eloquent area, n (%)                  | 34 (89.5) |
| Spetzler-Martin grading scale, n (%)  |       |
| I                                     | 1 (2.6) |
| II                                    | 3 (7.9) |
| III                                   | 15 (39.5) |
| IV                                    | 16 (42.1) |
| V                                     | 1 (2.6) |
| m-RBAS, median (range)                | 2.05 (0.33-10.21) |
| m-RBAS distribution, n (%)            |       |
| <1                                    | 3 (7.9) |
| 1-1.5                                 | 8 (21.1) |
| 1.5-2                                 | 8 (21.1) |
| >2                                    | 19 (50.0) |
| VRAS, n (%)                           |       |
| 1                                     | 1 (2.6) |
| 2                                     | 9 (23.7) |
| 3                                     | 16 (42.1) |
| 4                                     | 12 (31.6) |

*AVM arteriovenous malformation, CK CyberKnife, m-RBAS modified radiosurgery-based AVM score, VRAS Virginia radiosurgery AVM score*

Table 2  Treatment characteristics

| Variable                              | Value |
|---------------------------------------|-------|
| Marginal dose (Gy) Range              | 20.0-30.0 |
| Median                               | 25.0 |
| Mean ± SD                            | 24.5 ± 2.5 |
| Maximum dose (Gy) Range               | 25.0-38.7 |
| Median                               | 30.7 |
| Mean ± SD                            | 30.7 ± 3.0 |
| Minimum dose (Gy) Range               | 14.5-26.2 |
| Median                               | 21.0 |
| Mean ± SD                            | 20.8 ± 2.6 |
| Conformity index, median (range)      | 1.3 (1.2-2.0) |
| Fraction, n (%) Range                 |       |
| 3                                     | 23 (60.5) |
| 5                                     | 15 (39.5) |

physicians. The median value of m-RBAS was 2.05 (range, 0.33-10.21) and that of VRAS was 3 (range, 1-4).

Table 2 summarizes the HSRT treatment methods with CK used in this study. The marginal, maximum, and minimum doses were 24.5 ± 2.5, 30.7 ± 3.0, and 21.0 ± 2.6 Gy, respectively, as mean values. The mean conformity index was 1.4 ± 0.2, which indicated good adaptions of target areas for AVM shapes. Twenty-three and 15 patients received three- and five-fraction radiotherapies, respectively.

Adverse effect

MR images of regular follow-ups could be assessed in 28 patients for 3 years. The rest of the evaluation was performed only by physician referrals. Among the 28 patients, six (21.4%), one (3.6%), and one (3.6%) presented with mild perifocal edema, severe perifocal edema treated with steroids, and edema along the corticospinal tract, respectively. In addition, asymptomatic microbleeding with preceding perifocal edema occurred in two (7.1%) patients. No radionecrosis or cyst formation was observed.

Hemorrhage

Among the 33 patients with a follow-up duration longer than 3 years, two suffered from posttreatment hemorrhage within 3 years (2.0%/year). Both did not have a history of hemorrhage. For patients with large AVMs, one of 20 developed hemorrhage (2.0%/year). At 5 years posttreatment, six of 18 AVMs and five of 12 large AVMs caused hemorrhage (6.7%/year and 8.3%/year, respectively). Half of them had a history of hemorrhage. None of the hemorrhage cases occurred after complete obliteration. Statistical analysis did not find any risk factor for hemorrhage at 5 years.

Obliteration

At 3 years posttreatment, five (15.2%) patients showed complete obliteration, three (9.1%) suggested no change, and the remaining patients demonstrated partial obliteration. At 5 years posttreatment, three (16.7%) patients demonstrated complete obliteration. Among the three patients with unchanged AVMs at 3 years, one patient had a hem-
orrage, one patient did not exhibit any changes, and the last one was lost to follow-up at 5 years.

A significant negative relationship between the eloquent area and obliteration at 5 years (OR, 0.036; 95% CI, 0.002-0.828; \( p = 0.038 \)) was noted. High scores with m-RBAS or VRAS were also related to obliteration failure (OR, 0.036; 95% CI, 0.002-0.828; \( p = 0.038 \), in both).

### Discussion

This study evaluated HSRT with CK treatment outcomes for challenging AVM cases. Current literature emphasizes various methods (e.g., surgical resection, endovascular treatment, radiosurgery, or any combination of them), but their outcomes have not reached a satisfactory level. When it comes to radiosurgical treatment, stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS) was initially confronted with high comorbidity risks, including surrounding brain edema. Consequently, dose- and volume-staged SRS commenced despite these treatment strategies suffering from distinct disadvantages (i.e., each radiosurgery required sufficient time intervals and every radiosurgery after intervals required new radiological investigations for the development of efficient treatment plans). HSRT is a promising method because it can reduce radiation toxicity on surrounding structures with short treatment duration while delivering sufficient radiation doses to the target areas.

### Hemorrhage

Literature reports that the annual hemorrhage rate of AVMs and that of recently ruptured AVMs are 2%-4%/year and 6%-15%/year, respectively. In this study, the hemorrhage rate was calculated as 2.0%/year at 3 years. Compared to the natural history of AVMs, this result demonstrates a relatively low hemorrhage risk, especially when considering that 28.9% of the AVMs in the current study had already ruptured within 1 year before CK treatment. The findings of the current study exhibit a similar efficiency with the treatment outcomes of HSRT with LINAC. However, the hemorrhage rate of the current study at 5 years was 6.7%/year, which is higher than expected. This may be due to the AVM characteristics in the current study under high hemorrhage risks (e.g., history of hemorrhage and large-sized AVMs). However, the statistical analysis of the current study does not suggest that these characteristics were indeed risk factors for hemorrhage—perhaps due to the small number of patients included. The results of the current study may indicate that additional treatment is necessary for AVMs that are not obliterated at 3 years after HSRT with CK.

### Obliteration

Complete obliteration was achieved in 15.2% and 16.7% of the patients in the current study at 3 and 5 years, respectively. Current literature outlines that the obliteration rates can vary from 18% at 5 years to 65% at 3 years after gamma knife and vary from 0% to 83% at 5 years after HSRT with LINAC. The results of the current study are not excellent, but they are certainly satisfactory. The relatively low obliteration rates may be related to the high proportion of high-grade AVMs with the Spetzler-Martin grading scale because they are difficult to be treated even with radiosurgery. An additional possibility may involve the high proportion of patients with paradoxical preceding endovascular embolization. Preceding embolization may decrease complete obliteration rates because embolization materials cause artifacts to undermine accurate treatment planning, and recanalization may occur. Recent studies have suggested that postradiosurgical embolization is more favorable than preradiosurgical embolization. The low radiation dose may also have affected the obliteration rates. In the future, dose escalation and increase of the maximal dose while carefully evaluating potential complications will be considered.

---

**Table 3** Summary of HSRT for large AVMs and AVMs located eloquent areas in the literature

| Authors & year       | Modality | Pretreatment hemorrhage | Pretreatment embolization | Nidus volume | SM grade III, IV, and V | Treatment dose (Gy) | Obliteration rate | Posttreatment hemorrhage |
|----------------------|----------|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| Aoyama et al., 2001  | LINAC    | 26 (12)                 | 1 (4%)                    | 2.26 cm (mean) | 14 (54)                 | 33.4 (mean)         | 53% at 3 years     | 3                    |
| Lindvall et al., 2003 | LINAC    | 29 (14)                 | 13 (45%)                  | 11.5 mL (mean) | 11 (38%)                | 32.6 (median)       | 48% at 2 years     | 2                    |
| Veznedaroglu et al., 2004 | LINAC | 7                       | 2 (29%)                   | 23.8 mL (mean) | 7 (100%)                | 42                  | 83% at 5 years     | NA                   |
| Zabel-du et al., 2006 | LINAC    | 23 (10)                 | 13 (57%)                  | 14.5 mL (mean) | 22 (96%)                | 30                  | 22% at 5 years     | NA                   |
| Xiao et al., 2010    | LINAC    | 23 (10)                 | 6 (27%)                   | 27 mL (median) | 15 (100%)               | 26 (median)         | 17% at 3 years     | 3                    |
| Lindvall et al., 2015 | LINAC    | 24 (9)                  | 16 (67%)                  | 18.5 mL (mean) | NA                     | 32.9 (mean)         | 70% at 3 years     | 3                    |
| Present study       | CK       | 38 (14)                 | 20 (53%)                  | 16.8 mL (mean) | 32 (84%)                | 25 (median)         | 15% at 3 years     | 2                    |

The numbers above indicate the numbers of the patients otherwise indicated.

AVM arteriovenous malformation, CK CyberKnife, NA not available, SM grade Spetzler-Martin grading scale
Statistical analysis revealed that anatomical localization in eloquent areas was a risk factor for obliteration failure. For AVMs in noneloquent areas, adequate irradiation to the marginal region was feasible, and it may contribute to obliteration. Minimum dose, marginal dose, and nidus volume were reported as factors related to obliteration, but no significant difference was suggested in this study. This may be simply due to the relatively small number of patients included. In contrast, m-RBAS and VRAS demonstrated a distinct relationship with obliteration, although these scales were originally proposed to predict treatment outcomes with gamma knife, and, thus, may also be feasible for HSRT with CK.

The majority of the AVMs treated by HSRT with CK in this study resulted in partial obliteration. Patients with partially obliterated AVMs may have lower hemorrhage risks than the natural course based on a report by Maruyama et al. that showed that radiosurgical treatment could reduce hemorrhage risk in the latency period. Moreover, in terms of partially obliterated AVMs, subsequent complete obliteration with additional treatment can be achieved more safely. Therefore, the majority of the patients in the current study with partial obliteration were considered to reap the benefits of using HSRT with CK. However, additional treatment procedures should be considered after 3 years from treatment to achieve the best result because the obliteration rate at 5 years did not significantly improve. In that case, volume-staged SRS is considered to be more favorable than dose-staged SRS regarding obliteration rates. Marginal doses ranging from 15 to 20 Gy in two to four stages should be delivered to achieve obliteration, although caution should be focused on adverse effects.

Limitations

The limitations of the findings of the current study stem from the retrospective nature of this study and the relatively small number of patients examined in a single institution. Complications were not adequately evaluated because of insufficient information on patients followed up by referral physicians. Despite these limitations, the findings of the current study are believed to contribute to treatment options for high-risk AVMs because reports on such AVMs are very limited in the current literature.

Conclusions

This report summarized the treatment strategies of HSRT with CK for AVMs performed in the institution of the current study. The treatment outcomes of HSRT with CK for large AVMs and AVMs located in eloquent areas were satisfactory when compared to the literature results of HSRT with LINAC. More specifically, the hemorrhage rate was 2.0%/year at 3 years and increased to 6.7%/year at 5 years, whereas the obliteration rate was 15.2% and 16.7% at 3 and at 5 years, respectively. Additional treatment should be considered when obliteration is not achieved within 3 years after HSRT with CK.
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