Adalimumab: a review of the reference product and biosimilars
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Abstract: Adalimumab was the first fully humanized monoclonal antibody approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Launched in 2003, the clinical efficacy and safety of adalimumab were assessed in various trials in rheumatoid arthritis, ankylosing spondylitis, psoriasis, psoriatic arthritis, Crohn’s Disease, and ulcerative colitis, among others. At the global level, adalimumab is a major sales success among biologicals. It is still the greatest blockbuster among monoclonal antibodies. The date of its patent expiration paves the road for several potential biosimilars in various markets worldwide. This article discusses the current situation of molecules that are the main candidates to become adalimumab biosimilars. In addition, it also addresses the production processes, clinical studies, and relevant regulatory issues relative to the approval of those molecules, which must meet the challenge of demonstrating similar efficacy and safety to Humira®, but at a lower cost.
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Introduction
Tumor necrosis factor α (TNF-α) is an inflammatory cytokine produced by activated monocytes or macrophages. It is synthesized as a transmembrane TNF (tTNF) protein that is then cleaved into a soluble TNF (sTNF) molecule, and each form has biological functions of its own.1

Biological agents that are able to bind to TNF-α molecules are known as TNF-α antagonists (anti-TNF). These agents were the first biologicals approved for the treatment of rheumatic diseases and are widely used in clinical practice. To induce their therapeutic effect, the currently commercialized anti-TNF drugs bind to both sTNF and tTNF, reducing the selectivity of the resulting effects.2

The most widely used anti-TNF drugs are etanercept (Enbrel®; Immunex Corporation, Thousand Oaks, CA, USA), adalimumab (Humira®; AbbVie Inc., North Chicago, IL, USA), infliximab (Remicade®; Janssen Pharmaceutica, Beerse, Belgium), golimumab (Simponi®; Centocor Ortho Biotech Inc., Horsham, PA, USA), and certolizumab pegol (Cimzia®; Union Chimique Belge, Brussels, Belgium).3

With the patent expiration dates of the main biologicals approaching, pharmaceutical companies worldwide are developing biosimilars, which are ultimately similar in quality, efficacy, and safety to the corresponding reference products according to the regulatory guidelines formulated by the European Medicines Agency (EMA), the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA), and the Central Drugs Standard Control Organization (CDSCO).4 Despite inspection, some professionals are concerned with...
the clinical equivalence of biosimilars. The reason is that the reference products have undergone several changes since their original formulation, and thus the drugs currently administered to patients are not exactly identical but only similar to those approved by regulatory agencies.5

Adalimumab (Humira®) is a fully humanized monoclonal antibody that specifically binds to TNF-α molecules. It was originally approved in the US by the FDA in 2002 for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis (RA); later, it was also approved for other diseases, including juvenile idiopathic arthritis (JIA), ankylosing spondylitis (AS), psoriatic arthritis (PsA), Crohn’s disease (CD), and ulcerative colitis (UC).6

This article discusses the current situation of molecules that are the main candidates to become adalimumab biosimilars. In addition, it also addresses the production processes, clinical studies, and relevant regulatory issues relative to the approval of those molecules, which must meet the challenge of demonstrating similar efficacy and safety to Humira®, but at a lower cost.

**Adalimumab**

Adalimumab was the first fully humanized monoclonal antibody approved by the FDA. Launched in 2003, its sales increased 20% per year, totaling USD 8.5 billion.7 It was estimated that the net profit would reach USD 16 billion in 2016.8

Adalimumab is a tetramer composed of two light kappa chains and two heavy immunoglobulin G1 (IgG1) chains, each of the latter containing one N-glycosylation site.9 This biological is the result of a partnership that started in 1993 between BASF BioResearch Corporation and Cambridge Antibody Technology. The drug was first discovered by means of the “phage display” technique and was named D2E7. Then, it underwent the manufacturing process at BASF BioResearch Corporation and was developed by BASF Knoll. After Abbott Laboratories (Abbott Park, IL, USA) bought BASF, the former began manufacturing and commercializing the drug.

In January 2013, Abbott Laboratories was split into two independent companies, Abbott Laboratories and AbbVie Inc., and the latter was charged with the development and sale of Humira®.10

The efficacy and safety of adalimumab were assessed in various experimental systems.11 Its capacity to prevent polyarthritis was demonstrated in a rat model that mimicked the clinical and histopathological progression of human RA.12 The rats treated with adalimumab did not develop signs of arthritis during the 11-week study period, while the control group developed erosive arthritis. The preliminary results of clinical trials of adalimumab in RA were disclosed in 1999.13

According to the US Patent and Trademark Office, AbbVie Inc. requested a 326-day extension of the patent expiration date, which is February 9, 2016.14 With the expiration date approaching, several pharmaceutical companies are investigating and developing biosimilar adalimumab candidates.15

**Clinical trials and main indications**

A robust process of clinical development, including Phase II and III trials, was established for each of the diseases for which adalimumab was approved.

**Rheumatoid arthritis**

Humira® was approved by the FDA in December 2002 for the treatment of adults with moderate to severe RA and inadequate response to at least one disease-modifying antirheumatic drug (DMARD).16

The efficacy and safety of adalimumab were assessed in ~3,000 individuals with RA older than 18 years in five major multicenter randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trials. Currently, adalimumab is indicated in combination with methotrexate (MTX) to treat patients with moderate to severe RA and unsatisfactory previous responses to DMARDs or with active, severe, and progressive RA independently from previous use of other medications. The use is recommended in a single dose of 40 mg per subcutaneous route every other week.17

The ARMADA clinical trial included 271 patients with moderate to severe active RA with no response to MTX.18 In addition, the participants had not responded to treatment with at least one DMARD. The participants were given adalimumab 20 mg, 40 mg, and 80 mg per subcutaneous route every other week or placebo over 24 weeks.

Another study investigated the efficacy of adalimumab monotherapy in patients refractory to MTX.19 Over 26 weeks, 54 volunteers were given adalimumab 20 mg every other week, 20 mg every week, 40 mg every other week, 40 mg every week, or placebo.

A third study investigated the efficacy of adalimumab to inhibit structural damage, to reduce the signs and symptoms of disease, and to improve the functional performance of patients with RA receiving concomitant MTX.20 The study included 619 individuals with active RA who had not responded to MTX. The participants were randomized to receive adalimumab 40 mg every other week, 20 mg every week, or placebo combined with MTX over 52 weeks. After the first 52 weeks of treatment, 457 participants were included in an open-label extension study in which they were given 40 mg in alternate doses >10 years. The study assessed
radiographic progression at week 52 using the modified total Sharp score (TSS), clinical progression at week 24, and physical function at week 52 based on the disability index of the Health Assessment Questionnaire. At week 52, there was significantly less radiographic progression, as measured by the change in TSS, in the patients receiving adalimumab 40 mg compared to the group given 20 mg weekly or placebo. The functional response was better in the patients given adalimumab in either regime compared to the placebo.²⁰

The clinical trial, Safety Trial of Adalimumab in Rheumatoid Arthritis, assessed the safety of adalimumab in combination with standard antirheumatic treatment in 636 patients with RA who were not adequately responding to these therapies.²¹ Standard treatment included DMARDs, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), low-dose corticosteroids, and/or analgesics. The participants were randomized to receive adalimumab 40 mg every other week or placebo over 24 weeks. At 24 weeks, there were no significant differences between the adalimumab and placebo groups in their respective rates of adverse events (86.5% vs 82.7%), serious adverse events (5.3% vs 6.9%), life-threatening events (11.9% vs 15.4%), or those leading to withdrawal (2.8% vs 2.2%). There were also no significant differences in the rates of infections (52.2% vs 49.4%) between the groups. The study showed that the addition of adalimumab 40 mg every other week to patients using concomitant standard antirheumatic therapy is well tolerated and provides significant improvements in signs and symptoms of RA.²¹

The PREMIER study compared the efficacy and safety of adalimumab combined with MTX vs MTX monotherapy or adalimumab monotherapy in patients with early, active, and moderate-to-severe RA who had not previously received MTX treatment.²² The sample consisted of 799 patients with <3 years of disease. Treatments included adalimumab 40 mg every other week plus MTX 20 mg every week, adalimumab 40 mg every other week combined with placebo every week, and weekly MTX in alternation with placebo for 2 years. The primary outcomes were American College of Rheumatology 50% improvement (ACR50) and change from baseline in modified TSS. A total of 539 volunteers completed the study.²²

Combination therapy was superior to both MTX and adalimumab monotherapy in all assessed parameters. There was significantly less radiographic progression at 6 months, 1 year, and 2 years among the patients receiving combination therapy compared to those given MTX or adalimumab monotherapy. In addition, at 2 years, the rate of disease progression in the group that was given combination therapy was half compared to that in the first year of treatment. Clinical remission, defined as Disease Activity Score below 2.6, was observed in 43% of the patients receiving combination therapy vs 23% in the group receiving adalimumab monotherapy and 21% in the group receiving MTX monotherapy. The response was maintained >2 years.

PsA and psoriasis
The use of adalimumab to reduce the signs and symptoms of arthritis in patients with PsA was approved in June 2005 by the EMA and in October of the same year by the FDA. Use in patients with severe psoriasis and chronic plaque psoriasis but without signs of arthritis was approved by the FDA in November 2007 and January 2008, respectively.²³

The effectiveness of adalimumab in the treatment of PsA was demonstrated in Adalimumab Effectiveness in Psoriatic Arthritis Trial (ADEPT),²⁴ which was published in 2005. A total of 315 patients with severe PsA and unsatisfactory response to NSAIDs were randomized to receive adalimumab 40 mg or placebo every other week for 24 weeks. One of the primary efficacy end points was ACR20 response at week 12; the results showed that 58% of the participants given adalimumab achieved ACR20 vs 14% in the placebo group. Secondary end points were measures of joint disease disability and quality of life in all the patients, along with the severity of skin disease evaluated at the end of the study. The results showed that adalimumab improved the skin lesions, as indicated by Psoriasis Area and Severity Index (PASI), which exhibited 75% improvement in 59% of the patients vs 1% in the placebo group. Based on the ADEPT data, Gladman et al²⁵ showed that treatment with adalimumab significantly reduced the overall risk of radiographic progression of PsA and provided the greatest radiographic benefit for patients with the greatest concentrations of C-reactive protein (CRP) at baseline.

A similar study²⁶ conducted in 2007 corroborated the results of ADEPT. In this case, the patients were treated with adalimumab 40 mg per subcutaneous route every other week over 12 weeks of blinded therapy and 12 weeks of open-label therapy. The ACR20 response was attained by 39% of the participants given adalimumab vs 16% in the placebo group. PsA response criteria were attained by 51% of the participants given adalimumab vs 24% in the placebo group. In addition, the skin lesions exhibited significant improvement in the adalimumab group.

Adalimumab can also be used for psoriasis alone, ie, without signs of arthritis.²⁷⁻³⁰ The validity of this use was demonstrated by Gordon et al³¹ in a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study that assessed
147 patients allocated into three groups. Use of adalimumab was associated with significant improvement of psoriasis, in addition to being well tolerated over 60 weeks. Corroborating those results, a Phase III study published in 2008 assessed the safety and efficacy of adalimumab in patients with moderate to severe psoriasis and found that 71% of the patients treated with adalimumab attained at least 75% improvement in PASI at week 16 vs 7% in the placebo group.

The CHAMPION study compared the efficacy of adalimumab vs MTX in the treatment of plaque psoriasis. A total of 271 patients were allocated to three groups: 108 patients received adalimumab 80 mg per subcutaneous route at week 0 and 40 mg every other week over the following 16 weeks, 110 patients received MTX 7.5 mg per oral route every week (eventually increased to up to 25 mg as needed), and 53 patients were given placebo. At week 16, 79.6% of the adalimumab-treated patients had achieved 75% improvement in PASI vs 35.5% in the MTX group and 18.9% in the placebo group. In addition to demonstrating the superiority of adalimumab in psoriasis, improvement occurred significantly faster. The efficacy of adalimumab was also demonstrated in patients with psoriasis with unsatisfactory responses to etanercept.

### Ankylosing spondylitis

Several articles published between 2002 and 2005 pointed to the efficacy of TNF antagonists in the treatment of AS. In June 2006, the EMA approved the use of adalimumab to reduce the signs and symptoms of AS in cases that do not respond to conventional therapy; the FDA approved the same indication the following month.

The efficacy of adalimumab in the treatment of AS was demonstrated in three randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trials. In 2006, Van Der Heijde et al published the results of the Adalimumab Trial Evaluating Long-Term Efficacy and Safety in AS (ATLAS) study with 315 patients. Two-thirds of the sample (206 patients) was randomized to receive adalimumab 40 mg per subcutaneous route every other week for 24 weeks. The primary efficacy end point was the percentage of patients with 20% response according to the Assessment in Ankylosing Spondylitis International Working Group criteria for improvement (ASAS20) at week 12. The results showed that 58.2% of the adalimumab-treated patients had attained ASAS20 vs 20.6% in the placebo group. The participants who did not achieve ASAS20 at week 12 were selected to continue treatment with the same dose of adalimumab every week for an additional 12 weeks. By week 24, 66.7% of the adalimumab-treated patients had achieved ASAS20 vs 0% in the placebo group. The ATLAS group continued the study, with the participants switched to an open-label trial for 2 years. At 24 months, 64.5% of the patients were ASAS20 responders, 50.6% were ASAS40 responders, and 33.5% had maintained ASAS-defined partial remission.

Another study demonstrated the efficacy of 12 weeks of treatment with adalimumab to reduce both spinal and sacroiliac joint inflammation, which are typical occurrences in AS, assessed using ASAS40; the response was maintained at week 52. The efficacy of adalimumab was also demonstrated in patients with spondyloarthritis without radiographically defined sacroiliitis.

### Crohn’s disease

In February 2007, the FDA approved the use of adalimumab to reduce the signs and symptoms and induce and maintain clinical remission in adults with moderately to severely active CD and inadequate response to conventional therapy. The indication also includes patients who do not benefit from treatment with infliximab. The EMA approved that indication the same year.

Three studies (CLASSIC-I, CHARM, and GAIN) played crucial roles in the assessment of the therapeutic response to adalimumab in CD among adults. In the CLASSIC-I trial, published in 2006 by Hanauer et al, 299 patients with moderate to severe CD were randomized to receive subcutaneous injections of adalimumab in three different doses or placebo at weeks 0 and 2. The rates of remission at week 4 (primary end point) in the adalimumab 40 mg/20 mg, 80 mg/40 mg, and 160 mg/80 mg groups were 18% (P = 0.36), 24% (P = 0.06), and 36% (P = 0.001), respectively, and 12% in the placebo group. The CLASSIC-I trial confirmed the superiority of adalimumab vs placebo for treatment of CD, and the drug was well tolerated under such conditions. The CHARM trial was performed to accomplish the same aims but was designed to assess the long-term efficacy of adalimumab for treatment of CD. A total of 854 patients received adalimumab 80 mg and 40 mg at weeks 0 and 2, respectively. In a second stage, the participants were randomized to receive adalimumab 40 mg every other week, 40 mg every week, or placebo for 56 weeks. The rates of response (remission) at week 56 were similar between the groups treated with adalimumab weekly or every other week, and both were superior to placebo (36%, 41%, and 12%, respectively; P < 0.001). Following on, the GAIN trial assessed 325 patients with CD who did not respond to infliximab.
The participants received adalimumab 160 mg at week 0 and 80 mg at week 2. The rates of remission at week 4 (primary end point) were 21% in the adalimumab-treated patients vs 7% in the placebo group (P<0.001), demonstrating that this drug represents an option for patients who do not respond to other anti-TNF agents.

As in the case of adults, adalimumab is efficacious in the treatment of CD among children, including those without response to infliximab.46,47

Juvenile idiopathic arthritis
Adalimumab combined with MTX is indicated for the treatment of moderate to severe polyarticular JIA in patients aged 2 years or older and with unsatisfactory response to one or more DMARDs. In cases of adverse events with MTX, the biological can be used as monotherapy. Adalimumab has not yet been investigated in children <2 years old.17

In the European Union (EU), Humira® was approved in 2008 for the treatment of children and adolescents aged 4–17 years with polyarticular JIA; in 2013, the age range was extended to 2–17 years old.48

In the US, Humira® was approved in 2008 for patients older than 4 years with polyarticular JIA; the FDA approved extension to younger patients in October 2014.49

The safety and efficacy of adalimumab in the treatment of JIA were mainly assessed in a multicenter, prospective, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial.49 The sample included 171 children aged 4–17 years with active polyarticular JIA and with no response to treatment with NSAIDs. At the onset of the open-label phase, the participants were divided into two groups according to MTX use. All the patients were given 24 mg of adalimumab per square meter of body surface area, up to a maximum of 40 mg, every 2 weeks for 16 weeks. The participants were allowed to use NSAIDs and low-dose corticosteroids. Next, the patients who attained ACR pediatric 30% (ACR Pedi 30) were randomized to receive subcutaneous injections of adalimumab or placebo every other week for 32 weeks or up to aggravation of disease. During the double-blind phase, patients were monitored for disease flares. Patients who enrolled in the double-blind phase were eligible to receive open-label treatment with adalimumab in an extension phase of the study. At week 16, 64 out of 86 (74%) patients who were not using MTX and 80 out of 85 (94%) who were using MTX had achieved ACR Pedi 30 and were eligible for the double-blind phase. At week 48, the adalimumab-treated group exhibited better rates of ACR30, ACR50, ACR70, and ACR90 with or without concomitant use of MTX. Flares were less frequent in the adalimumab group compared to the placebo group. The response rates were sustained after 2 years of treatment. After 104 weeks of treatment, 40% of the patients achieved ACR Pedi 100. In addition, combination with MTX was associated with lower rates of anti-adalimumab antibody (AAA; 6% vs 26%). The most frequent adverse events were infections and injection-site reactions.

Adalimumab was also assessed in an open-label multicenter study conducted in 32 children aged 2–4 years (or older than 4 years with body weight <15 kg) with moderate to severe polyarticular JIA.50 The study lasted 24 weeks, and most participants made concomitant use of MTX. The rates of ACR Pedi 30 at weeks 12 and 24 were 93.5% and 95%, respectively, and were sustained over 60 weeks. The study demonstrated that adalimumab is safe in children aged 2 years or older.

Children with JIA are predisposed to uveitis, while 20%–25% of the cases of uveitis in childhood are associated with JIA.51,52 Despite screening for uveitis in patients with JIA, and the current treatments, 10%–15% of the children with JIA and uveitis have bilateral visual loss followed by amaurosis.53 Thus, more effective therapeutic options are necessary. Some studies with experimental models of autoimmune uveitis suggest that TNF-α plays an important role in the pathophysiology of eye inflammation.54

Behçet’s disease
Adalimumab was the first biological approved for the treatment of Behçet’s disease (BD) in Japan in 2003; however, this indication has not yet been approved by the FDA or the EMA.55

A multicenter study conducted in Japan in 2010 assessed the efficacy, safety, and pharmacokinetics (PKs) of adalimumab in patients with BD refractory to conventional therapies. A total of 20 patients were selected to receive adalimumab 160 mg at the onset of the study, 80 mg at week 2 and 40 mg from weeks 4 to 52.56 The rate of complete symptom remission was 20% at week 24 and was sustained until week 52. No adverse events were observed, and no deaths occurred. The study showed that adalimumab is efficacious and safe for the treatment of BD.

Ulcerative colitis
The use of adalimumab in patients with moderately to severely active UC and resistant to conventional treatment was approved by the FDA in September 201257 and by the EMA in April 2012.58

The efficacy and safety of adalimumab were demonstrated in two randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled
clinical trials, ie, ULTRA-1\textsuperscript{59} and ULTRA-2.\textsuperscript{60} In ULTRA-1, 390 patients were randomized to receive adalimumab (160 mg/80 mg) or placebo at weeks 0 and 2; a third arm consisted of patients who received 80 mg/40 mg at weeks 0 and 2. At week 8, partial response was achieved by 54.6% of the participants and complete remission of symptoms in 18.5%, which were significantly different compared to placebo in both arms of the study.\textsuperscript{59} In ULTRA-2, 494 patients with UC were randomized to receive adalimumab 160 mg at week 0, 80 mg at week 2, and 40 mg every other week until the end of the study (52 weeks). At 8 weeks, \~50.4% of the adalimumab-treated patients responded to treatment, while 16.5% achieved complete clinical remission. At week 52, \~34.6% of the cases maintained the response to treatment and 17.3% maintained clinical remission.\textsuperscript{60}

Following the initial approval of adalimumab for use in RA, the FDA and EMA approvals for other diseases described in the current article took place over more than a decade. Among the diseases mentioned in this article, it seems that the largest effect size corresponds to psoriasis, which may be the best sensitivity model for comparability studies of biosimilar candidates. Figure 1 shows different effect sizes of adalimumab.

Pharmacodynamics (PDs) and PKs

Adalimumab is a monoclonal antibody composed of variable IgG1 light and heavy chains (constant kappa regions).\textsuperscript{61} It is fully humanized, which means that it does not have any non-human component or artificially fused peptide sequences.\textsuperscript{62,63} It binds to TNF-\(\alpha\), blocking its interaction with the membrane receptors p55 and p75.\textsuperscript{64} Adalimumab has affinity and specificity for sTNF-\(\alpha\) only, and not for lymphotoxins.\textsuperscript{33}

Studies conducted in patients with RA showed that adalimumab is able to induce significant reductions in the acute-phase reactant levels (such as CRP and fibrinogen), erythrocyte sedimentation rate, concentration of some interleukins (IL-1, IL-6, IL-8), concentration of cartilage and synovial remodeling markers, the amount of macrophage colony-stimulating factors, and the concentration of adhesion molecules that account for leukocyte migration, such as intercellular adhesion molecule 1 and vascular cell adhesion molecule 1.\textsuperscript{65,66}

Similarly to infliximab, adalimumab is also able to induce lysis of TNF-\(\alpha\)-expressing cells in the presence of complement. It is believed that this function is the main mechanism of action of TNF-\(\alpha\) blockers in the pathophysiology of CD.\textsuperscript{66–69} Studies performed in animals showed that in the presence of adalimumab, activated mononuclear cells (monocytes with tTNF receptors and T lymphocytes) lead to apoptosis via the caspase pathway.\textsuperscript{68,69} In addition, in vitro studies showed that adalimumab influences the production of ILs by monocytes, resulting in reduced concentrations of IL-10 and IL-12, which inhibit the production of interferon-\(\gamma\).
The absolute number and density of epidermal Langerhans cells are reduced in patients with untreated psoriasis compared to patients without the disease. It is believed that Langerhans cells might have anti-inflammatory properties and that they are involved in the physiological differentiation of keratinocytes. Treatment with adalimumab restores the density of the epidermal Langerhans cells, and it is believed that this function is the main mechanism of action of this drug in plaque psoriasis.

Data on PKs of adalimumab in healthy volunteers and patients with RA have been published. The recommended dose of adalimumab in RA is 40 mg per subcutaneous route every other week. In psoriasis, the initial dose is 80 mg per subcutaneous route, followed by 40 mg every other week starting 1 week after the initial dose.

The maximum serum concentration ($C_{max}$) of the drug is 4.7±1.6 μg/mL; the concentration in the synovial fluid is 31%–96% of the serum concentration. The time to attain $C_{max}$ is 131±56 hours. The bioavailability of adalimumab is 64%. The drug elimination increases in the presence of AAA and is reduced in individuals older than 40 years. The distribution volume ($V_d$) is 4.7–6 L. The drug half-life ($t_{1/2}$) is 2 weeks (10–20 days).

Immunogenicity, pharmacovigilance, and safety: Not all patients exhibit favorable responses to anti-TNF-α antibodies, exhibited as either primary (no response since the beginning of treatment) or secondary (loss of efficacy during treatment) failure. Immunogenicity has been described as an important mechanism in secondary failure, whereby AAA is produced, which might neutralize the therapeutic action of the drug.

Studies such as M06-827 and M02-433 found the development of AAA in 3.9% of the patients with UC and 2.6% of the patients with CD, respectively. Production of AAA might be related to specific characteristics of patients, such as their genetic basis, comorbidities, and immunomodulatory therapy used concomitantly to adalimumab.

Formation of AAA reduces the drug bioavailability. AAA is associated with reduced clinical response in patients with RA and PsA. In patients with RA, combination with MTX seems to increase the available fraction of adalimumab, which suggests that MTX reduces AAA production in a dose-dependent manner. This association has not yet been confirmed in patients with PsA. Other DMARDs do not seem to influence the potentiating effects of adalimumab and MTX in combination and might even be used in combination with adalimumab in the absence of MTX. The effect of the latter on the adalimumab concentration is lower, but is nonetheless superior to adalimumab monotherapy.

In a prospective multicenter study, Jani et al showed that the presence of AAA is associated with poorer response in RA. Thus, measurement of AAA might be useful to predict the response to long-term treatment with adalimumab and to establish the need to increase the dose of the drug or to change the therapeutic regimen. Measurement of adalimumab and AAA in patients with SA seems to produce similar results compared to those of the study on RA.

One study assessed the cost–benefit relationship of individualized treatment of RA according to the measured serum drug concentration and showed that this strategy might be used in clinical practice.

According to the World Health Organization (WHO), pharmacovigilance is the science and activities relating to the detection, assessment, understanding, and prevention of adverse effects or any other drug-related problems. The legislation in force in the EU was established in July 2012, and with it, EMA formulated a new set of pharmacovigilance guidelines in collaboration with the FDA that allow for more systematic and unified exchange of information on drug safety.

Several programs were established based on the aforementioned guidelines, such as CARRA Consolidated Safety Registry, MyHumira, Alberta Biologics Pharmacovigilance Program, and Adverse Event Reporting System.

During more than 10 years of commercialization, clinical trials with long-term follow-up and recording of adverse events showed that adalimumab is associated with adverse effects, including severe infections, reactivation of the hepatitis B virus, allergic reactions, myocardial infarction, and autoimmune phenomena such as lupus-like syndrome, psoriasis, and neoplasms.

Recent safety and efficacy studies showed that the presence of AAA might influence the efficacy of adalimumab, in addition to being correlated with autoimmune phenomena such as lupus-like syndrome. Pharmacovigilance is crucial in the case of biosimilars, because the process for their manufacture is different to that of the reference products. In addition, many adverse events are detected after long-term use of a given drug in large populations of patients. Thus, both prescribers and the pharmaceutical industry must be aware of the importance of post-market surveillance of biologicals and biosimilars. A frequent doubt, particularly in emerging countries, is whether the plans for risk management of biosimilar manufacturers will be as rigorous as those required from manufacturers of innovative biologicals.
Manufacture of the reference product

In the first step of human monoclonal antibody production, several DNA sequences corresponding to the antibody variable fraction (Fv) heavy and light chains are inserted into phages to produce the desired molecule. Next, phages with different genetic sequences undergo biopanning to select those with the highest affinity and specificity for TNF-α. The last step consists of guided selection, in which chains with high affinity and specificity for TNF-α are randomly combined with heavy and light chains in the Fv of anti-TNF-α monoclonal antibodies obtained from immunized mice, known as MAK195. The result is a fully human antibody, but with the same affinity and binding properties as MAK195.

The molecule that results from guided selection, with more refined affinity and specificity, is known as D2E7. The obtained Fv is added to the antibody constant fraction, and this gene sequence is introduced into Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells. The antibodies produced by CHO cells contain mainly oligosaccharides that terminate in Gal residues in position 0, 1, or 2. This glycosylation profile is very similar to the human profile, and for this reason, these cells are widely used for monoclonal antibody production, as one of the main causes of the immunogenicity of biologicals is thus avoided.

Following the insertion of the gene sequence in CHO cells, the more productive clone is selected for the master cell bank. The latter undergoes the upstream process, stages of fermentation, and cell propagation. At this stage, the cells are transferred to bioreactors, which increase the cell density; this process is optimized through addition of pro-fermentation. At the preclinical stage, adalimumab was produced in a single bioreactor with 1,000 L of cell culture; in just 9 years, it increased to two 6,000 L bioreactors that could yield 100 kg of active substance per year.

Next, the downstream process is performed, which consists of protein retrieval and purification of the product through several chromatography steps, treatment at low pH, and nanofiltration to inactivate and remove the virus so that the active substance is obtained. Adalimumab is present in three active isoforms, which correspond to molecules carrying two, one, or no C-terminal lysines. The three components account for 85% of the material. Less than 15% of the active substance corresponds to acid groups, resulting mainly from fermentation.

The final steps include preparation of the pharmaceutical forms, packaging, and dosage to obtain the drug that will be commercialized. Eighteen changes have already been made in the process of manufacture of adalimumab since it was first approved, which are somewhat welcome because they are often associated with improvement in the drug’s safety and efficacy.

From the analytic point of view, biologicals will always differ as to their impurity levels and glycosylation profiles, among other characteristics. The techniques available up to the current time are clearly limited as to their capacity to fully analyze such differences. In any case, the more sensitive the techniques are, the higher the odds of demonstrating differences between biosimilars and their reference products. A set of critical quality attributes should be established for each reference product, as should the acceptable margin of differences in such attributes between reference products and biosimilars. In addition, it should be established which critical quality attributes most affect the efficacy and safety of each molecule. The current uncertainties as to the similarity relative to the aforementioned set of attributes might make regulatory agencies require more information from the manufacturers of a given biosimilar in the future, to check the extrapolation of indications.

Regulatory aspects

The EU formulated guidelines for the development of biosimilars of monoclonal antibodies in 2012, with special emphasis on clinical and nonclinical development. CTP-13, the first biosimilar monoclonal antibody, produced by Celltrion company (Celltrion, Incheon, South Korea), was approved in 2014 by the EMA and is currently sold in >60 countries.

The FDA published a preliminary guide for a revision of the development and regulation of biosimilars. Although no definitive regulation has yet been established, Sandoz's filgrastim (Zarzio®) was the first biosimilar approved in the US based on this preliminary legislation.

In India, biosimilars are known as “similar biologicals”, according to the guides formulated by the CDSCO, a branch of the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India. Together with the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, CDSCO established specific guidelines in 2012 listing the regulatory requirements for biosimilars to be approved for commercialization.

Considering the complexity of adalimumab and the limitations exhibited by the analytical techniques to establish whether a biosimilar is in fact an identical copy of its reference product, comparable clinical efficacy and safety must be demonstrated. To test the similarity between products, manufacturers must first perform the full physicochemical
and biological characterization of biosimilars in comparison to the corresponding reference products. In addition, binding to cell receptors is assessed based on trials and studies conducted in animals, which further include the evaluation of PDs and toxicity. The methods used to establish the comparability between biosimilars and the corresponding reference products must be sufficiently selective and specific to be able to detect differences. The importance of such differences can only be established in preclinical and clinical studies. To ensure that any biosimilar entering the market is similar in efficacy and clinical safety to its reference product, the regulatory agencies established appropriate procedures for approval. Clinical PK and PD studies are mandatory and should be performed as either an independent phase or the initial stage of Phase III studies. In those studies, the route of administration and dose of the biosimilar must be the same as those of its reference product. Trials must necessarily contribute to detect differences between biosimilars and their reference products whenever they are present. Equivalence studies (those that require upper and lower comparative limits) are the preferred option to compare data. Non-inferiority tests might be used under certain circumstances, again, when they are justified. The margins of non-inferiority/equivalence must be previously specified and justified and then communicated to regulatory agencies based on their clinical relevance. Differences in the effects of treatment should be acceptable to the medical community and should not have any negative impacts on patient treatment.111

The indications of the use of biosimilars should certainly reflect the results of clinical trials demonstrating their efficacy and safety. Although additional indications might be extrapolated according to the current guidelines (WHO), determining whether biosimilars are adequate to groups of related diseases (eg, inflammatory diseases) is a complex matter. The fact that a biosimilar meets the indications for a certain disease is no reason for it to be rated safe and efficacious for other indications just because they fall within the scope of its reference product. Therefore, the scientific evidence supporting extrapolations should be systematically and thoroughly evaluated.112

**Adalimumab biosimilars**

Several companies are developing candidates for biosimilars of monoclonal antibodies and fusion proteins, the main focus falling on six reference products with substantial global sales, ie, adalimumab, bevacizumab, etanercept, infliximab, rituximab, and trastuzumab.113

| Primary sponsoring company | Product name | Stage of development |
|----------------------------|--------------|----------------------|
| AET BioTech/BioXpress      | –            | Biosimilar in pipeline. |
| Therapeutics, Germany/ Switzerland | –            | Development partnership announced in November 2012.141 |
| Fujifilm and Kyowa         | –            | 50:50 joint venture announced in March 2012. Planned to start clinical trials at the start of 2013, with market introduction after 4–5 years.142 |
| Hakko Kirin, Japan         | –            | –                    |
| Momenta Pharmaceuticals, USA| M923         | Targeting autoimmune and inflammatory indications, biosimilar of either Enbrel® or Humira® (adalimumab). Collaborating with Baxteron 6 biosimilars.143 |
| Oncobiologics/Viropro, USA | –            | One of six monoclonal antibody biosimilars for which the companies are collaborating.144 |
| Celltrion, Incheon, South Korea | CT-P17     | Biosimilar in pipeline. Development partnership announced in November 2011.145 |

There are a few reports in clinical trial databases on the development of candidates to adalimumab biosimilars. A large part of the information on clinical studies is recorded and indexed on the website Clinicaltrials.gov, US National Institutes of Health. Trials relative to the molecules listed in Table 1 were registered on that website up to the time this article was written.

The American multinational biopharmaceutical company Amgen is currently developing several biosimilar candidates and expects to launch five of them between 2017 and 2019.114 According to the EU Clinical Trials Register, Amgen initiated a Phase III clinical trial of an adalimumab biosimilar candidate in patients with severe RA (ABP 501). Positive results were recently communicated that demonstrate equivalence to Humira® relative to efficacy, comparative safety, immunogenicity, and effectiveness. A multicenter, randomized, double-blind, active-controlled trial with parallel group design was conducted in 526 patients aged 18 years and older.115,116

The safety and PKs of Boehringer Ingelheim’s biosimilar candidate, BI695501, have already been investigated. The Phase I study, including 193 male participants aged 18–55 years, was completed in July 2012.117,118 The randomized, open-label study with parallel-arm design included an active comparator arm that tested the biosimilar candidate in a single dose. The study population was allocated into three groups: two were given Humira® and the third the...
biosimilar candidate. The drug is currently undergoing Phase III studies.

Coherus and Pfizer, Inc. announced that their adalimumab biosimilar candidates, CHS-1420 and PF-06410293, respectively, achieved the primary end point of PK similarity relative to the reference product in clinical trials conducted in healthy individuals.

Sandoz, Novartis International AG’s division of generic drugs, started a Phase III study of its biosimilar candidate, GP2017. The multicenter, randomized, double-blind study is designed to demonstrate the candidate’s similarity, efficacy equivalence, and immunogenicity relative to its reference product (adalimumab) in patients with moderate to severe plaque psoriasis.

SB5, Samsung Bioepis’ Humira® biosimilar candidate, is currently being evaluated in a Phase III study. The randomized, double-blind study with parallel group design has aims to evaluate the safety, efficacy, PKs, immunogenicity, and tolerability of SB5 compared to Humira® in patients from Lithuania and Poland with moderate to severe RA. Another adalimumab biosimilar candidate currently undergoing a Phase III clinical study is FKB327, by Fujifilm Kyowa Kirin Biologics Co. The randomized, blind, active-controlled study is designed to compare the biosimilar candidate efficacy and safety against Humira® in patients with moderate to severe inadequately controlled RA despite MTX use.

Figure 2 lists the laboratories that are currently developing adalimumab biosimilars worldwide.

Several adalimumab biosimilars are undergoing preclinical studies aiming at their molecular characterization and evaluation in in vitro and in vivo models that are summarized in Table 2.

On December 9, 2014, in India, Cadila Healthcare Ltd. launched what it called the first adalimumab biosimilar, ZRC-3197. This molecule was produced in genetically manipulated CHO cells containing adalimumab heavy and light chains.

**Figure 2 Adalimumab biosimilars candidates.**

**Table 2** Biopharmaceuticals with similarity to adalimumab in preclinical phase

| Primary sponsoring company | Biosimilar condition | Current phase | Estimated recruitment | Experimental design | Dose (mg) | Parameter | References |
|----------------------------|----------------------|--------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| Amgen                      | ABP 501              | PsA          | III                  | 350                 | ABP 501 vs adalimumab | 40        | S, E       | 116        |
| Coherus BioSciences        | CHS-1420             | Healthy      | I                    | –                   | CHS-1420 vs adalimumab | 50        | PK, S      | 146        |
| Boehringer Ingelheim       | BI695501             | Healthy      | I                    | 193                 | BI695501 vs adalimumab | 40        | PK, S      | 117        |
| Pfizer, Inc.               | PF-06410293          | Healthy      | I                    | 210                 | PF-06410293 vs adalimumab | 40        | PK         | 147        |
| Sandoz                     | GP2017               | Ps           | III                  | 448                 | GP2017 vs adalimumab  | 40        | S, E, I    | 124        |
| Samsung Bioepis            | SB5                  | RA           | III                  | 490                 | SB5 vs adalimumab     | 40        | PK, S, I   | 126        |
| Fujifilm Kyowa Kirin Biologics Co. | FKB327          | RA           | III                  | 600                 | FKB327 vs adalimumab  | 40        | E, S       | 148        |

**Abbreviations:** E, efficacy; I, immunogenicity; PK, pharmacokinetics; PsA, psoriasis arthritis; Ps, psoriasis; RA, rheumatoid arthritis; S, safety.
light chain genes (DE27). ZRC-3197 had primary and secondary structures similar to those of adalimumab and similar levels of purity and heterogeneity. The product was approved by the Indian drug control agency and is sold under the name Exemptia® for the treatment of RA, JIA, PsA, and AS (CTRI/2013/10/004040). The corresponding clinical trial was conducted in India following the CDSCO (Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India) guidelines. According to the Indian regulatory agency, it followed the guidelines of the International Conference on Harmonization-Good Clinical Practice. The study consisted of a head-to-head comparison of ZRC-3197 and Humira®. According to the data available on the website of the Indian regulatory agency, the biosimilar exhibited high levels of similarity relative to efficacy, safety, and tolerability in patients with RA compared to the reference product. According to the Clinical Trial Registry of the National Institute of Medical Statistics of India, 120 patients were recruited for this Phase III study. The primary end points were PDs (efficacy) of adalimumab (ZRC-3197) and adalimumab in patients with RA compared to baseline, and the aim was to establish the proportion of patients who attained ACR20 in both groups on day 84. However, the data do not allow establishing whether the study was an equivalence or a non-inferiority study nor do they determine what margins the manufacturers and investigators defined for the assessment of comparative effects between the molecules. This information is essential to establish whether the sample size was adequate. These shortcomings notwithstanding, the product was extrapolated to all the indications of adalimumab. In addition, there is disagreement among authors as to whether patients with RA represent the most sensitive population or the best model for extrapolation of anti-TNF biosimilars to other diseases. Some authors believe that the product’s approval was not based on a regulatory process as rigorous as that required by the EU for clinical comparisons between biosimilars and their reference products.

**Discussion**

Adalimumab is a major sales success among biologicals at the global level. It is still the greatest blockbuster among monoclonal antibodies. The date of its patent expiration paves the road for several potential biosimilars in various markets worldwide.

Overall, it is expected that biosimilars will play a crucial role in the reduction of health costs and will improve the access to drugs able to save the lives of many patients, being an alternative to high-cost brand-name biologicals. However, the biosimilars must exhibit the same levels of safety and quality of the reference products. It is evident that with the development of more rigorous international regulations for the demonstration of biosimilarity, a lower number of candidates will be approved for commercialization, particularly in the European and North American markets. In addition, the vast amount of data available for adalimumab, accumulated over more than 10 years of commercialization, makes it very difficult for biosimilar manufacturers to demonstrate to the medical community that the safety profiles of their products are similar to that of the reference product relative to all of its approved indications. Although it might be demonstrated that a product has analytical similarity to its reference product, ie, similar biological, physical-chemical, and in vitro characteristics, which represent the basis for the extrapolation of indications applied by most regulatory agencies, a concern remains as to whether its comparative safety might be guaranteed based on a short-term clinical study with patients with a single disease model. It is frequently asserted that low-sensitivity clinical studies are less relevant than analytical tests for demonstrations of biosimilarity. When a biosimilar exhibits certain analytical characteristics, the clinical data are unlikely to detect deficiencies in efficacy and safety. However, clinically significant differences, especially in immunogenicity, detected in clinical trials seem to be more important than possible differences based on analytical and preclinical studies alone.

In contrast, any differences found either in vitro or in human beings during the development of biosimilars are sources of additional residual uncertainty. Thus, they might call for additional tests or eventually lead to decisions against complete or partial extrapolation.

The distribution across and penetration of monoclonal antibodies in the various tissues depends on certain PK properties, especially glycosylation. Variations in glycosylation patterns may modify the PK profile, influencing the biological activity of these molecules and resulting in different concentrations of their active principle in the main sites of action of the various indications. Some evidence indicates that although the therapeutic target is the same as what is typical in some rheumatic conditions and CD, the pathophysiological mechanisms and sites of action are quite different. From the clinical point of view, manufacturers of biologicals or biosimilars must make a continuous effort to develop more sensitive clinical tests to ensure that the effect sizes are very similar to those of the reference products relative to their various indications. In addition, to the maximum of the available scientific possibilities, they should include...
biomarkers based on the mechanisms of action of specific monoclonal antibodies in given stages of progression of the targeted diseases.

Comparison of the rates of placebo-adjusted responses in clinical trials of six indications of adalimumab in adults showed that RA was associated with one of the lowest effect sizes of treatment. Therefore, from the clinical point of view, RA seems to be a less sensitive model for detecting differences in efficacy between biosimilars and their reference products compared to other indications associated with greater treatment effect size, such as psoriasis and PsA.

Unfortunately, there is a lack of validated biomarkers to assess the response to and efficacy of anti-TNF agents. Neither the acute-phase proteins, such as CRP, nor anti-cyclic citrullinated peptide antibody or rheumatoid factor levels are clearly correlated with the effect of biologicals in the treatment of RA.

The FDA and EMA abbreviated paths represent a step forward in the demonstration of the biosimilarity of the copies relative to their reference products. The latest recommendations formulated by the EMA indicate that biosimilar candidates must include data supporting their extrapolation when there is evidence demonstrating different mechanisms of action in a given alleged indication.

The expectation of the international community is that the comparative efficacy and safety of many of the biosimilar candidates described in this article and many future candidates will be demonstrated and that those drugs will enter many markets around the world, thus promoting that which seems to be the most significant attribute of biosimilars, ie, increasing the access of patients to treatment with adalimumab thanks to the reduction of its cost.
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