A Modified Approach for Single-Port Laparoscopic Repair of Inguinal Hernias in Children

Abstract

**Background:** Although traditional open groin incision repair has been used routinely for decades, the benefit of the minimally invasive surgery has challenged the traditional open method. Nowadays, laparoscopic herniorrhaphy has evolved to making it more minimally invasive from 3 to 2 and now single port and from intracorporeal to extracorporeal knotting. This study aimed to evaluate a new modification of single port laparoscopic herniorrhaphy in children with congenital inguinal hernia.

**Materials and Methods:** In this single-institution randomized case-control study 190 children who suffered from congenital inguinal hernia were divided into two groups randomly: Group 1 (N = 73) undergone a single-port modified extracorporeal purse-string sutures (laparoscopic surgery [LS]) and Group 2 (N = 117) undergone open surgery (OS). **Results:** The mean age of the patients at operation time was 28.79 ± 11.45 months. Overall, three patients (1.57%) had intraoperative retroperitoneal hemorrhage. The duration of anesthesia and operation for those undergoing bilateral operation were significantly shorter in LS group. There was no statistically significant difference in individual postoperative complication rates between the groups. The proportion of overall post-operative complications was 4 (5.4%) in LS and 13 (11.1%) in OS group (P = 0.18). Proportion of trapped cryptorchidism was significantly higher in the OS group. **Conclusion:** In conclusion for children with inguinal hernia, we had found the benefits of single-port modified extracorporeal purse-string for reduction the operative time, trapped testes and better cosmetics and parents’ satisfaction.
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Introduction

Congenital inguinal hernia is the most prevalent inguinal-scrotal disorders in neonates and children that occurs because of failure of closure of processus vaginalis.[1] Although traditional open groin incision repair with dissecting the hernia sac from the spermatic cord and suture ligating of its base has been used routinely for decades, during recent years, the benefit of the minimally invasive surgery to decrease access trauma and operative time and ability to assess the contralateral side has challenged the traditional open method.[2-5] However, current trends in pediatric hospitals continue to favor the use of open technique, especially so, in developing countries with limited access to tertiary facilities and low-volume hospitals for inguinal hernia. Laparoscopic approach in inguinal herniorrhaphy in children is the method of choice, because it has lower risks for testicular atrophy, surgical site infection (SSI), secondary descended testis (UDT) and bladder damage. Laparoscopic approach also provides the possibility of exploration of the contralateral inguinal canal and furthermore therapeutic actions.[6]

Nowadays, with improvement in diagnostic and technical methods in surgical and anesthetic practices, laparoscopic herniorrhaphy has evolved to make it more minimally invasive from 3 to 2 and now single port and from intracorporeal to extracorporeal knotting. In a meta-analysis conducted by Lo et al.[7] which has compared the results of multi-port and single-port laparoscopic hernia repair techniques, it was shown that in unilateral hernias, the average duration of surgery in single-port method is greater than multi-port, although in bilateral hernias there was no difference.

The first report of single-port technique was introduced by Ozgediz et al.[8] in 2005 and in 2013 Kumar and Ramakrishnan.[9] was used this technique without the use of Tuohy
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needle, however, the major limitations of these techniques are difficulty or inability to curve the needle to encircle the large hernia sacs.

Therefore, we conducted a single-institution, randomized, case-control study to evaluate a new modification of single-port laparoscopic herniorrhaphy in children with congenital inguinal hernia undergoing hernia repair.

Materials and Methods

This is a prospective case-control study, carried out in the department of pediatric surgery, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences (IUMS), from July 2017 to 2018. After obtaining the approval of the Ethical research committee of IUMS and obtaining of written consent from all parents of patients, we prospectively included children (aged between 3 months and 10 years of age) with symptomatic congenital inguinal hernia that referred to our center for operation. Patients with past history of connective tissue disorders, ascites, age <9 months, previous abdominal surgery, emergency admissions and patients with noncongenital hernia were excluded. Patient eligibility included the age between 3 months and 10 years, an elective hernia repair and parental consent.

One hundred and ninety patients who suffered from congenital inguinal hernia were divided into two groups randomly using a random-number table sequence. Opaque sequentially numbered sealed envelopes were used to allocate: Group 1 \((N = 73)\) underwent single-port laparoscopic surgery (LS) and Group 2 \((N = 117)\) underwent open surgery (OS).

In order to evaluate the satisfaction level of the patients in both study groups, a questionnaire form was given to the parents. The results were obtained based on a number between 0 and 5 chosen by the parents with a description written beside the number. 0 was described as “bad,” 1 as “Moderate,” 2 as “Good,” 3 as “Very good,” 4 as “Excellent” and 5 was described as “Very Excellent.”

Technique

The preferred method in laparoscopic herniorrhaphy in children is extracorporeal method. In this method, the ligation of inguinal canal’s internal ring is approached extraperitoneally. Intracorporeal method is not used in children.\[^{10}\]

Laparoscopic surgery group

Under general anesthesia and intubation, in Trendelenburg’s position, a 5 mm port was introduced exactly through the umbilicus by open method. Pneumoperitoneum was created and pressure kept between 10 and 12 mm of Hg. A 5 mm, 30° laparoscope was introduced through the umbilical port to view the patent processus vaginalis (PPV) bilaterally.

After localizing the internal ring with a 26 G needle, a 2 mm stab incision was made on the skin. Then a large bore lumbo peritoneal shunt needle was introduced through the one corner of stab incision and encircled the half of the peritoneum of the neck of inguinal sac after skipping over the spermatic cord and the vas coalescence and introduced into the abdominal cavity. Second, large bore needle was introduced through the opposite side of stab incision to encircle opposite half of the hernia sac and introduced in to the abdominal cavity. A percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy (PEG) wire which is a catheter with a circular head introduced through one of the needles [Figure 1], and a needleless 3-0 polydioxanone (PDS) suture was introduced through the other needle and pass through the circle of PEG wire [Figure 2a]. By pulling out of wire through the first needle the end of the PDs string was brought out of the stab incision [Figure 2b]. Then needles were removed and the suture knotted extracorporeally [Figure 3].

Open surgery group

Open herniotomy was performed using a skin low crease incision. High ligation of the sac was performed using 3-0 PDS suture. The distal sac was slit to prevent postoperative hydrocele formation.

The database included the patient’s age, sex, body weight, and preoperative laterality. Primary end point data collected included the SSI, bleeding, peritonitis or peritoneal abscess, postoperative ileus, respiratory complications, size of scar, postoperative hydrocele, occult patent process vaginalis (PPV), postoperative pain, improvement of hernia symptoms recurrence of hernia, vas-deferens (Visual Analog Scale) injury, bladder injury, intestinal injury, umbilical hernia, and iatrogenic UDT.

To measure the severity of pain of the patient, if possible, the child scored her/his pain from zero to ten according to the Wong baker’s criterion.\[^{11}\]

The assessment of the symptoms in patients was performed by senior author of the study (A.F) daily during hospitalization and then every 3 months in the follow-up clinic. Recurrence was defined as reoperation on the preoperative symptomatic side.

Wound infections were diagnosed according to the following criteria:

---

**Figure 1:** Introduction of percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy wire through one of the needles
1. Infection occurs within 30 days of the surgery\(^{[12]}\)
2. Infection involves only the skin and subcutaneous tissue
3. At least one of the following is present:
   a. Purulent discharge from a superficial infection
   b. Organisms isolated from aseptically obtained wound culture.
4. At least one of the following signs of infection is present:
   a. Pain or tenderness
   b. Localized swelling
   c. Redness or heat.

The secondary endpoints of the study were hospital length of stay (LOS), parents or patient satisfaction, and umbilical hernia at the site of port insertion.

Patients were followed to 6 months. In cases, the patients did show for the follow-up visits, we called to remind them of coming to the clinic. The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS 22, Chicago, IL, USA) software was used for the data analysis. Mean ± standard deviation (SD) was used to express the quantitative data. Frequency and percentage were used to express qualitative data. Categorical variables were compared by the Chi-square and Fisher’s exact test. Variables were not normally distributed. The Mann–Whitney was used to determine any differences in the mean scores of groups. Data were expressed as mean ± SD. \( P \) value < 0.05 was considered significant. Based on the preliminary study results, \( \alpha = 0.05 \) and \( 1-\beta = 0.80 \), sample size was sufficient.

**Results**

In this study, a total of 190 infants with 245 hernia defects were enrolled as two groups: Group 1 (LS) including 73 patients with 95 hernia defects and Group 2 (OS) including 117 patients with 150 hernia defects. One hundred and forty-three (75.2%) patients were male and 47 (24.7%) were female. The mean age of patients at operation time was 28.77 ± 11.45 months. Seventy-five (39.4%) patients had right inguinal hernia, 60 (31.5%) patients had left inguinal hernia and in 55 (28.9) cases, it was bilaterally. The mean LOS was 1.4 ± 0.35 days. Baseline demographics did not differ between the groups [Table 1].

Table 2 shows intraoperative variables in groups. Overall, 3 patients (1.57%) had intraoperative retroperitoneal hemorrhage which managed by observation. The duration of anesthesia and operation for those undergoing bilateral operation were significantly shorter in LS group. Five (6.84%) patients in LS group had umbilical hernia at the site of port insertion. Pain control starts immediately after the surgery and continues for 24 h. There was no statistically significant difference in individual postoperative complication rates between the groups [Table 3]. The proportion of overall postoperative complications was 4 (5.4%) in LS and 11 (11.1%) in OS group \(( P = 0.18 )\). There was no significant difference between the LS groups \((4.5 ± 1.3)\) and OS group \((4.3 ± 1.1)\) \(( P = 0.2 )\) in occurrence of chronic pain. However, the proportion of trapped cryptorchidism was significantly higher in the OS group. We have no peritonitis or peritoneal abscess, postoperative ileus and respiratory complications in our patients. Size of scar was 4.6 ± 0.2 mm in LS and 24.3 ± 3.2 mm in OS group \(( P = 0.0001 )\). Mann–Whitney test showed higher rate of parents’ satisfaction in LS group as compared with OS [Table 4].

**Discussion**

Although OS is a conventional accepted method as the first choice treatment for inguinal hernia of children, its inherent problems such as access trauma due to dissection of the vas and vessels, large groin incision and inability to assess the contralateral side\(^{[13]}\) make the LS as an attractive alternative
method for repairing inguinal hernia in children. On the other hand, there are several cohort studies, randomized controlled trials and meta-analysis studies established the benefits of LS for ability to assess the contralateral side and cosmetic results.\[14-17\]

As highlighted by Fujiogi et al.,\[18\] there are conflicting results regarding postoperative complications, operative time postoperative pain, and recurrence following LS and OS.

While traditionally laparoscopic herniorrhaphy involves three separate skin incisions for ports, advancing technology has made single site laparoscopic herniorrhaphy possible. The first report of single-port technique was introduced by Ozgediz et al.\[8\] in 2005. In 2013, Kumar and Ramakrishnan.\[9\] were used this technique without the use of Tuohy needle; however, the major limitations of these techniques are difficulty or inability to curve the needle to encircle the large hernia sacs. Limited studies in the utilization of single-port LS (SPLS) for inguinal hernia prevents one from drawing conclusions about the benefit of this technique in children. Although few pilot studies on SPLS benefits in inguinal hernia in children have been published,\[19-22\] but for obtaining a powered multi-center study, we need more pilot studies in children.

The present study was designed to report a modified single-port laparoscopic approach for pediatric inguinal hernia repair using PEG guidewire technique and its clinical outcomes. We selected PEG guidewire to (i) its retractable circular head and (ii) the availability of PEG guidewire.

One of the aims of SPLS in hernia would be reduce the anesthesia and operative time. Our results showed that SPLS was associates with a reduction in duration of anesthesia in bilateral operation; however, in unilateral hernia, there were no significant differences in anesthesia duration. In Fujiogi et al.’s study,\[18\] the duration of anesthesia was more in unilateral hernia in comparison of OS. It seems that by reducing the number of port insertion in SPLS, we can reduce the operating and anesthetic time and this may be one of the most important benefits of SPLS in children. The median reported time for 3 port technique was around 20 min.\[23\] In other study, od SPLS of inguinal hernia in children was 13–15 min for unilateral hernia and 20–25 min for bilateral hernia.\[24\]

The second finding of this study shows the proportion of 19.1% for occult PPV. This is another significant advantage of LS in hernia. The reported rates of occult PPV are 23%–37%\[8,25\] which is compatible with the finding of the present study, but in some studies\[11\] in evaluation of older children it was reduced, because up to 40% of PPV are closed by 2 months and 60% by 2 years of age.

In this study, we have eight cases of postoperative trapped cryptorchidism in which the testis was trapped in scar tissue above the dependent scrotum. These patients need re-operation surgery for orchidopexy.

In all the meta-analysis, difference in recurrence was insignificant between LS and OS,\[15\] which is compatible with our results. The reported recurrence rate for open herniotomy, 3 port laparoscopy, and SPLS was 2%-6%, 0%-5%, and 0%-4%, respectively, in children.\[20,21,18\]

Our results must be interpreted with caution, given the relatively small number of study participants. Moreover, we did not include postoperative testicular atrophy in our series, because it need longer follow-up; however, to limit this possible bias, we will rechecked the patients on regular basis in future.

In conclusion, for children with inguinal hernia who scheduled for operation, we had found the benefits of single-port laparoscopy for reduction the operative time, trapped testes and better cosmetics, and parents satisfaction.

| Table 2: Comparison of intraoperative variables in groups |
|----------------------------------------------------------|
| variables                  | LS (% | OS (%) | P    |
| Injuries to VAS and vessels | 0     | 0      | -    |
| Injuries to intestine      | 0     | 0      | -    |
| Bleeding (hematoma)        | 2 (2.7)| 1 (0.8)| 0.4  |
| Conversion to open surgery | 0     | Nil    |      |
| PPV                        | 14 (19.1)| Nil   |      |
| Duration of anesthesia     |        |        |      |
| Unilateral operation (min) | 45.1±10.3| 42.3±12.4| 0.1  |
| Bilateral operation (min)  | 51.2±15.7| 63.8±14.4| <0.0001|
| Operative time             |        |        |      |
| Unilateral operation (min) | 16.2±4.3| 16.8±2.9| 0.2  |
| Bilateral operation (min)  | 22.4±5.8| 32.8±7.4| <0.0001|
| LS: Laparoscopic surgery, OS: Open surgery, PPV: Patent process vaginalis, VAS: Visual Analog Scale |

| Table 3: Comparison of postoperative variables in groups |
|----------------------------------------------------------|
| variables                  | LS (% | OS (%) | P    |
| Hydrocele                  | 2 (2.7)| 1 (0.85)| 0.31 |
| Recurrence                 | 2 (2.7)| 2 (1.7) | 0.6  |
| Trapped UDT                | 0     | 8 (6.8) | 0.02 |
| SSI                        | 0     | 0       | -    |
| LS: Laparoscopic surgery, OS: Open surgery, SSI: surgical site infection, UDT: undescended testis |

| Table 4: Comparison of postoperative satisfaction in groups |
|----------------------------------------------------------|
| variables                  | LS (% | OS (%) | P    |
| Very excellent             | 1 (1.4)| 3 (2.6) | 0.001|
| Excellent                  | 20 (27.4)| 9 (7.7)|      |
| Good                       | 31 (42.5)| 34 (29.1)|      |
| Moderate                   | 11 (15.1)| 54 (46.2)|      |
| Bad                        | 2 (2.7)| 13 (11.1)|      |
| LS: Laparoscopic surgery, OS: Open surgery |
However a multi-center study is needed to more definitely determine this technique for children with inguinal hernia.
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