Comparative Characterization of Foxtail Millet, Physico-Chemical Approach for its Suitability to Celiacs
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Abstract

This investigation focused on comparative physico-chemical characterization of foxtail millet in the form of pearling and germination and the changes evaluated with raw grains and staple cereals as well. Proximate outcomes showed no marked differences after treatments. These pre-treatments resulted in 58% and 83% reduction in total polyphenols, 43% and 53% reduction in phytic acid for germinated and pearled flour respectively. Calcium, Iron and Zinc content lies at 24.1 mg/g, 4.2227 mg/g and 1.0499 mg/g respectively in germinated grains, which decreased to 18.86 mg/g, 2.6504 mg/g and 0.4635 mg/g after pearling. Observations for comparable antioxidant capacity of bran depict its nutraceutical characteristics. Lowering of peak viscosity value from 46.20 cP for pearled to 4.17 cP for germinated proves its suitability for bakery consideration. Results from the above investigation can be a basis to consume it as staple diet.
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Introduction

Whole world consume cereals in various forms in their staple diet, ignoring the value and nutritional richness of millets. Cereals, no doubt are good but deficient in certain essential nutrients [1]. These cereals by certain group of peoples can’t be consumed due to their ill effects. Wheat and its interrelated species i.e. rye (secalins), barley (hordeins) and oat (avenins) etc. are not consumed by gluten allergic population [2]. Celiac patients are sensitive towards gluten protein present in wheat and its cross bread varieties. These patients strict to a lifelong gluten free diet. The number of celiacs patients in north Indian community is 1 in 96. It is more common than is recognized in India [3]. Prevalence of celiac disease is absent in Japan where rice is the staple diet [4].

The minor millets are (as opposed to other millets like pearl millet and sorghum) also described as gluten free nutritious grain and have received far less attention than other crops in regard of crop improvement, cultivation practices and utilization due to presence of some antinutrients [5]. These anti-nutrients reside in the form of tannin that hinders protein absorption, oxalates that restrict calcium absorption and the major phytic acid that restricts the minerals bioavailability, eradicated by applying minimal pre-processing treatments. The phytic acid is the primary source of storage phosphorous in plant seeds, but this form of phosphorous is unavailable to monogastric animals i.e. human beings because of lack of intestinal digestive enzyme phytase required for dephosphorylation of phytic acid [6]. Phytate is also known by the name myoinositol (1,2,3,4,5,6) hexakisphosphoric acid. This unique structure of phytate possesses the chelating characteristics for divalent cations i.e. Ca2+, Zn2+, Mg2+, Mn2+ and Fe2+ thus lowers their bioavailability by making insoluble salts [7]. So as to make these divalent cations bioavailable, pretreatments in the form of germination activates the phytase enzyme which feeds on phytate [8]. Soaking leaches the phytate (water soluble) in water, pearling or debranning or decortications removes outer layers of seed (maximum antinutrients prone area) and fermentation with lactic cultures results in lowering of pH as production of lactic or acetic acid, making conditions suitable for phytase [9-13]. In addition, Phytate forms a strong complex with few of the proteins of human concern and resists their proteolysis [14]. Phytate and protein interaction is totally pH and calcium dependent because divalent calcium is necessary for the activity of trypsin, protease and α-amylase [15].

Keeping in view the above aspects, experiments were conducted to study the various physico-chemical properties of foxtail millet (Setaria italica) for its use as basic ingredients in designing gluten free diet and antinutrients minimization as well, by spreading awareness about minimal pre-processing treatments.

Materials and Methods

Grains were procured from local market of Guntur (Andhra Pradesh). To study the effect of various treatments on physico-chemical properties of foxtail millet, these were germinated and pearled. The treated as well as raw grains were analysed for proximate value, antioxidant activity, phytic acid test, pasting property, colour value evaluation, mineral estimation and total phenols.

Sample preparation

Millet grains were used after thorough cleaning and removal of soil and extraneous matter. Grains were directly ground using homemade Natraj atta chakki to obtain a fine powder passing through a mesh 16 sieve. Another portion of whole millet grains was dehulled using pearler (Vivek mandua agricultural implements). The hull was separated by air winnowing. A portion of dehulled grains and bran were ground separately to obtain fine powder and sieved through 16 mesh size. One more portion of whole millet seeds was allowed to germinate at 25°C for 48 to 72 h till the achievements of 3 to 4 mm long rootlets. Separation of rootlets from grains was done by hand rubbing after tray drying for 18 to 24 h at 55°C and both the fractions were ground and sieved separately in a similar manner.

*Corresponding author: Ajay Singh, Department of Food Engineering and Technology, Sant Longowal Institute of Engineering and Technology, Sangrur (Punjab), India, Tel: +91-98963-00039; E-mail: ajay3singh@gmail.com

Received September 06, 2014; Accepted October 07, 2014; Published October 14, 2014

Citation: Singh A, Hathan BS (2014) Comparative Characterization of Foxtail Millet, Physico-Chemical Approach for its Suitability to Celiacs. J Food Process Technol 5: 382. doi:10.4172/2157-7110.1000382

Copyright: © 2014 Singh A, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.
Pre-treatment

Seeds were germinated after soaking for 24 h in aerated fresh water with 0.1% formaldehyde solution to avoid mould growth followed by washing with running potable water followed by Spreading on muslin cloth in 1mm thick layer and incubation was carried out at 25°C till achievement of rootlets [9]. After scrapping from muslin cloth, seeds were dried in tray drier at 55°C for 12 to 24 h to a moisture content of 12 to 14%. Dried seeds made free from rootlets.

Proximate study

By applying AOAC methods using Microkjehldal method for crude protein estimation followed by digestion of samples, distillation of digest with saturated boric acid and 50% NaOH and finally titration of it with 0.01N acid (AOAC, 2000), muffle furnace (Modern Industrial Corporation, Bombay) for total ash (AOAC, 2000), Soxplus (Pelican equipments) for crude fat (AOAC, 1995), Fibrplus (Pelican equipments) for crude fibre (AOAC, 2000), and hot air oven for moisture determination (AOAC, 1990). The carbohydrate component concentration was calculated by difference as.

Phytate content

Phytate was estimated through Megazyme phytic acid kit. After sample extraction from 1 g of flour using methanol, it was subjected to colour development using kit content (buffers, enzyme suspensions, ammonium molybdate and Vit. C). Reaction was stopped using Trichloro acetic acid solution (50% w/v), centrifuge it at 13000 rpm, supernatant was observed spectrophotometrically at 655nm against water as blank.

Colorimetric study

Foxtail millet grains and flour were measured for color difference using Colorflex colorimeter (Hunter Associated Laboratory, Inc., VA, USA) and described in terms of L* (Lightness), ranging from zero (black) to 100 (white), a* (Redness), ranging from +60 to -60 (green) and b* (yellowness), ranging from +60 (yellow) to -60 (blue) values using dual beam Xenon flash lamp as light source.

Mineral estimation

Minerals were elucidated by employing dry digestion method using AA-7000 atomic absorption spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Japan) using air-acetylene flame against range of standards and blank. Ash was prepared by taking 1 gm of milk samples in silica crucibles followed by heating on hot plate to complete charring. The charred samples were incinerated in a muffle furnace at 550°C for 3-4 h till white ash was obtained.

The dishes with samples were cooled in a dessicator to room temperature and 1 ml concentrated nitric acid was added to dissolve the ash, contents were then transferred to volumetric flask and volume was made up to dilution so that the concentration falls within the range of standard solutions concentration (Figure 1).

Total phenol estimation

Folin Ciocicaltau reagent was utilized for phenolic estimation in raw, germinated, pearled, hull and rootlets flour by extracting them in acidified methanol solution for a minimum of 2 h. After using 10% FC reagent, 15% Na2CO3, and incubation in dark OD were taken at 734 nm against water as blank.

Antioxidant study

Antioxidant capacity was established by evaluating it with Trolox standard curve using DPPH (2, 2-diphenyl-1-pikrahdyrazyl) solution [13]. The sample were analysed spectrophotometrically (Backman coulter) at 517 nm with a reference to distilled water as blank only after employing a controlled procedure of mixing of 0.1 mM DPPH solution with test sample and incubating it for about 30 minutes.

Pasting behaviour

Pasting properties of raw, germinated and pearled flour were determined using a Viscoamylograph Rapid Visco Analyser (RVA-4, Newport Scientific Pvt. Ltd., Warriewood, Australia) Model 3-D by Newport Scientific Method 1, Version 5, December 1997 with profile STD 1.

Statistical analysis

Statistical study in triplicates were analysed and compiled by statistical means using ANOVA (Analysis of Variation) through SPSS 8.0 computer software and depicts in significant form (p>0.05).

Results and Discussion

The outcomes were analysed on the basis of different pre-treatment effects on grain in a correlation with untreated grains. Germination and pearling have positive influence in lowering of antinutrients and increase in nutritional richness.

Proximate estimation

Present work under this heading shows its superiority in terms of protein, fat, mineral and crude fibre comparable to staple cereals where lower value of carbohydrate for FM (71.14 ± 3.5a) seems to be highly significant (p<0.05) in comparison to that of wheat (74.98 ± 6.89b) and rice (81.21 ± 7.80c) (Table 1). Ash and moisture content revealed non-significant differences for the same grains (p>0.05). On the other hand FM for protein (12.57 ± 1.22a), fat (3.22 ± 0.41a) and crude fibre (10.21 ± 1.67c) shows significant difference (p<0.05) in comparison with wheat and rice.

Quantitative estimation for phytic acid

When the Pre-treated seeds of foxtail millet in the form of germination and debranning, rootlets (germinated sprouts) and bran (pearled matter) ground to become flour and subjected to phytic acid estimation through megazyme kit. Germination resulted (g/100g) in the significant reduction of phytic acid content from 4.80 ± 0.89a (raw}
seed) to 2.56 ± 0.058 (Germinated) (Table 2). This is usually due to the action of phytase enzyme that feeds on phytate (phytic acid). As phytate is water soluble so prior soaking in aerated water overnight also has significant role in leaching of phytate into water [16]. Usually the pearling of raw samples results in reduction of phytic acid from 4.80 ± 0.89 (raw) to 2.18 ± 0.056 (Pearled). This higher reduction also has significant role in leaching of phytate into water [16]. Usually phytate is water soluble so prior soaking in aerated water overnight for seeds as well as flour that was not obeyed by germinated rootlets of seeds and flour both.

**Mineral content in germinated and pearled flour samples**

Calcium, Iron and Zinc values reside in 24.1 mg/kg, 4.2272 mg/kg and 10.0499 mg/kg respectively in germinated grains while for pearling results in shifting of these values as 18.88 mg/kg, 2.5504 mg/kg and 5.6635 mg/kg. These observations prove it the most efficient grain as these minerals are necessary for teeth, bone formation and various pro-enzymatic activities in the body.

**Total phenol estimation**

Phenols also recognized as antioxidants, have been known for their protective function against oxidative damage, but sometimes protein, minerals and carbohydrate digestibility gets impaired on its interaction with non protein components such as polyphenols (tannins), dietary fibre and phytate [17] (Table 2). Debranning and germination resulted (mg/g) in decrease phenol concentration from raw seeds 19.58 ± 1.78 to 4.80 ± 0.89 (Germinated) (Table 2). This higher reduction of antinutrients in pearling attributed to the reason that most of the phytate and tannins reside in the bran layer 3.11 ± 0.09 which on proper pearling get removed in the form of bran. Germinated rootlet shows comparable value of antinutrients 2.63 ± 0.05 that may be because of their leaching from endosperm and bran of seed to the germinal pore side. So, on the basis of these results, effectiveness of treatments, proving that removal of outer bran layer lowers the b* value range (Table 2). At last value of b* gets diminished slightly after the treatments, proving that removal of outer bran layer lowers the b* value for seeds as well as flour which was not obeyed by germinated rootlets of seeds and flour both.

### Table 1: Proximate result for Foxtail Millet (raw and treated) in comparison with Wheat and Rice

| Kind of treatment grains (Seeds) | L*   | a*   | b*   | Phyric acid (g/100g) | Polyphenol Content (GAE mg/g) | Mineral Content (mg/100g) | Antioxidant activity (Trolox eq. µm/g) |
|---------------------------------|------|------|------|----------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| RFMS                           | 38.90 ± 3.89 | 7.70 ± 0.56 | 22.00 ± 2.34 | -                     | -                           | -                        | -                                   |
| RFM F                           | 61.36 ± 5.89 | 3.91 ± 0.12 | 16.20 ± 1.23 | 4.80 ± 0.89           | 16.40 ± 1.23                | 3.47 ± 0.22               | 26.44 ± 4.56                       |
| GFM F                           | 40.28 ± 2.69 | 6.53 ± 0.05 | 20.65 ± 0.98 | -                     | -                           | -                        | -                                   |
| GFMF                           | 65.43 ± 6.67 | 2.66 ± 0.34 | 12.55 ± 1.34 | 2.56 ± 0.05           | 11.49 ± 0.67                | 4.22 ± 0.09               | 24.1 ± 2.56                        |
| PFMS                           | 55.94 ± 2.45 | 5.06 ± 0.12 | 20.07 ± 2.57 | -                     | -                           | -                        | -                                   |
| PFMF F                           | 72.48 ± 4.56 | 2.38 ± 0.40 | 13.24 ± 0.09 | 2.18 ± 0.056          | 28.02 ± 1.67                | 98.05 ± 5.78              | 98.05 ± 5.78                       |
| Bran(Hull)                       | 40.11 ± 1.29 | 5.96 ± 0.34 | 18.56 ± 0.56 | -                     | -                           | -                        | -                                   |
| HF                              | 45.89 ± 3.56 | 5.95 ± 0.12 | 19.52 ± 0.86 | 3.11 ± 0.09           | 7.54 ± 0.45                 | -                        | -                                   |
| GR                              | 52.97 ± 0.98 | 5.68 ± 0.56 | 23.12 ± 3.56 | -                     | -                           | -                        | -                                   |
| GRF                             | 58.61 ± 4.56 | 5.15 ± 0.45 | 19.58 ± 2.45 | 2.63 ± 0.05           | 19.58 ± 1.78                | -                        | -                                   |

Data are presented as means ± SEM (n=3). Means with the different superscripts (a, b, c) in a row are significantly different (P<0.05) from each other. Statistical comparisons were made between samples using ANOVA single factor

**Table 2: Physico-chemical study of different kind of treatment grain**

| Parameters | Raw FM (mg/g) | GFM (mg/g) | PFMS (mg/g) | Wheat (mg/g) | Rice (mg/g) |
|------------|---------------|------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|
| Protein    | 12.57 ± 1.22a | 10.82 ± 1.34a | 12.02 ± 1.34a | 11.8 ± 0.57a | 6.8 ± 0.87a |
| Fat        | 3.22 ± 0.41a  | 2.75 ± 0.59a | 3.55 ± 0.59a | 1.5 ± 0.045a | 0.6 ± 0.21a |
| Minerals   | 3.14 ± 0.36a  | 3.05 ± 0.73a | 3.10 ± 0.73a | 1.5 ± 0.08a  | 1.5 ± 0.05a |
| Carbohydrates | 71.14 ± 3.9a | 71.91 ± 2.45a | 71.96 ± 2.45a | 74.98 ± 6.89a | 81.21 ± 7.80a |
| Crude fibre | 10.21 ± 1.67a | 10.03 ± 1.45a | 6.93 ± 1.45a | 1.2 ± 0.023a | 0.2 ± 0.01a |
| Moisture   | 9.93 ± 1.89a  | 10.07 ± 0.98a | 9.95 ± 0.98a | 10.22 ± 0.90a | 9.89 ± 1.23a |

Data are presented as means ± SEM (n=3). Means with the different superscripts (a, b) in a row and in a column (A, B) are significantly different (P<0.05) from each other.
content of germinated rootlets shows their nutraceutical characteristics recognized after high phenolic level. Probably this is the first report based on comparative study for foxtail millet that utilizes megazyme kit for quantitative phytic acid determination from bran, germinated rootlets along with raw, germinated and pearled grain flour samples as well. Not only this, the proximate results also scanned and put into the comparison with staple cereals after and before treatment to the test grains. Outcomes were analysed and compiled using statistical means in the form of ANOVA.
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Table 3: Results for pasting properties for treated and raw samples

|                        | Peak viscosity (cp) | Trough viscosity (cp) | Breakdown (cp) | Final viscosity (cp) | Setback (cp) |
|------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------|
| Raw                    | 36.88              | 34.86                 | 2.02           | 36.04                | 1.18        |
| Pearled                | 46.2               | 45.28                 | 0.92           | 46.12                | 0.84        |
| Germinated             | 4.17               | 0.33                  | 3.83           | 0.83                 | 0.5         |

Conclusions

Above study confirms the low glycemic index status for foxtail millet owe to its high fibre and low carbohydrate content. Pasting property through RVA for germinated millet flour confirms depletion of starch due to enzyme action, while pearled samples undergo best gelatinization due to availability of same, thus suitable for bakery use as well. Minerals shows reduction in their value after pearling as most of the minerals are confined to bran layers, removed during pearling. Higher phenolic
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