Evaluation of impact of Siddha Suthi (purification) processes on nut of Serankottai (Semecarpus anacardium L.)
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Abstract

Introduction: Serankottai (Semecarpus anacardium L.) is a Schedule E (1) drug and is considered for treating all kinds of Vatha diseases, venereal disease, skin disease and cancerous conditions. Suthi murai denotes the purification process before any drug is employed in medicine. This study is aimed at evaluating the impact of Siddha purification processes on macro-microscopical and physico-chemical characteristics of Serankottai so that a justification for such classical processes can be derived. Materials and Method: The raw (S1) and purified Serankottai (S2 to S6) samples were analyzed for their macroscopic, microscopic and powder microscopic analysis followed by the physicochemical parameters like loss on drying (LOD), total ash (TA), water soluble ash (WSA), acid insoluble ash (AIA), water soluble extractive (WSE), alcohol soluble extractive (ASE) and pH values. Results: Prismatic crystals, oil globules, sclereids, resin, fiber were observed in the microscopical studies of raw sample and these are found in the purified samples except S2 which has revealed charred cell structure. Physical nature of Serankottai has been maintained in all the purification methods except for S2. The LOD was 5.53%, 2.90%, 6.47%, 5.03%, 4.6%, 5.05% in sample S1, S2, S3, S4, S5 and S6 respectively. The TA values were 3.44%, 18.90%, 2.59%, 2.54% 2.7% and 3.65% in sample S1, S2, S3, S4, S5 and S6 respectively. Significant differences in physico-chemical parameters were observed in different purification methods. Conclusion: The present study revealed that the Siddha purification processes have impact on physicochemical characters of Semecarpus anacardium. The need of the purification, as mentioned in Siddha texts is hereby justified.
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Introduction

Serankottai or oriental cashew nut (dried nut with peduncle of Semecarpus anacardium L. – Anacardiaceae) is also called as marking nut since the black colored pigment from the nuts is used to make marks in fabrics for identification by the washer men(1). It is a moderate-sized deciduous tree found in the outer Himalayas and hotter parts of India up to 3500 ft(2). The important constituents of S. anacardium are bhilawanols, phenolic compounds(3,4), bioflavonoid(5), anacardoside(6), and semecarpetin(7). S. anacardium has been proved to possess important pharmacological activities like anti-inflammatory(8-12), anti-atherosclerotic(13), antioxidant(14,15), CNS nootropic(16), hypoglycemic(17), and anticancer(18,19).

The Drugs and Cosmetics Act, 1940 categorized some of the Siddha plant drugs under Schedule E (1) classifications, so that these drugs are toxic and advised to take with more precautions. It is recommended to assure these drugs undergo purification process before they are used in medicinal preparation. Serankottai, a Siddha drug is one among the Schedule E (1) drugs (20).

Siddha system emphasizes the Suthi (purification) process of a drug before it is incorporated in any medicinal preparations. The purification process is to remove toxic content before it is used in medicine to counteract the toxic symptoms and to enhance its efficacy is termed as Suthimurai (purification process) in Siddha system of medicine. Purification processes are employed for all poisonous drugs from herbs, minerals, metals and animal origins and it is recommended for all drugs to remove their kutram (impurities or unwanted toxic content). The purification is done by various pharmaceutical procedures like boiling, frying, washing, triturating with various plant juices; pudam (calcination) method;
grinding with specific organic or inorganic materials; soaking in specific media etc to minimize the toxicity of the substances and to strengthen the efficacy of the drug.

Purification is done to reduce the toxicity of the drug by potentiating the conversion of high toxic components to less toxic molecules or chemical structure. Siddha classical text have emphasized various Suthi muraigal (purification methods) to overcome the unwanted effects from various poisonous and non-poisonous drugs, involving different media specific to substances such as pasum chaanam (cow dung), komium (cow’s urine), erumai chaanam (buffalo dung), arisi kaadi (rice vinegar), herbal kasayams (decoctions), herbal juices like Aloe vera juice, fruit juices like lemon juice, etc.

*S. anacardium* nuts is also known as Senkottai, Vallathy, Vallathaki, Nandhiviththu and Erimugi in Tamil. It is bitter in taste, hot in nature and is indicated for many chronic diseases like perunoi (leprosy), ilaippunoi (tuberculosis), soolai (pricking pain), venpadai (leucoderma), moolam (piles), and gunnam (gastritis)(21). Medicines prepared from Serankottai in Siddha are Nandhimay, Serankottai legium, Serankottai nei (22), Seenavallathly urundai, Gandhavallathly, Sindhuwallathy, Amirdha Gandhi kukil vallathy, Vallathy churanam, etc (23).

In the present study, different forms of *S. anacardium* nuts (unpurified and purified nuts following different Siddha methods of purification – Table 1) were analyzed to evaluated the macro-microscopic and physicochemical characters.

### Table 1. Siddha methods of purification of Serankottai

| Process | Method with classical reference | Sample |
|---------|---------------------------------|--------|
| 1       | The peduncles removed SA nuts were placed in the midst of limestone, the palm toddy were sprinkled on it. This process was repeated for 6 times and the SA nuts were washed and dried (21). | S2     |
| 2       | SA nuts were kept in a white cotton cloth and tied at the top to make kizhi. This kizhi was kept in the mud pot and boiled in decoction of tamarind leaves, decoction of *Butea monosperma*, filtrate of cow dung mixed with water and Aloe vera juice separately (21). | S3     |
| 3       | SA nuts were taken in a mud pot without removing the cotyledons. Palm toddy and card prepared from the milk of red colour cow were mixed and SA nuts were immersed in the mixture for 9 days continuously (21). | S4     |
| 4       | The cotyledons removed SA nuts were soaked in the kazhuneer (kaikuthalarisi soaked water) for 3 h. Then the SA nuts were soaked in buffalo milk for 3 h and were used for analysis after drying (24). | S5     |

### Materials and methods

The nuts of *S. anacardium* with peduncles were purchased from raw drug store K. Ramasamy Chetty country raw drug store, Paris corner, Chennai and authenticated at the Department of Pharmacognosy, SCRI, Chennai. The raw sample of *Serankottai* (S1) was analyzed followed by samples purified by five processes (S2, S3, S4, S5, and S6) mentioned in classical Siddha texts (Table 1). The samples were analyzed for macro-microscopical and physicochemical parameters and the results were discussed.

### Macro-microscopic examinations

The nuts of *Semecarpus anacardium* of six samples were subjected to macroscopic, microscopic and powder microscopic characters following standard procedures (26, 27).

### Physicochemical Analysis

Physicochemical studies of the unpurified SA nut sample S1 and purified SA nut samples S2 to S6 were done according to the PLIM guidelines (26, 27).

### Organoleptic characters

The organoleptic characters of the sample drug were evaluated in self. The samples S1-S6 were taken and the color, texture, smells, taste were tested (26, 27).

### Loss on Drying

Accurately weighed 4 g of the powder drug was dried in the oven at 105°C to constant weight and percentage moisture content was calculated.

### Determination of Ash Values

#### Total Ash

Four grams of the test drug was accurately weighed and incinerated in silica crucible at 600°C until free from carbon. It was then cooled and weighed. The % w/w of ash with reference to the air dried powder was calculated.

#### Water Soluble Ash

The total ash was obtained as per the above mentioned method. The ash was boiled for 5 min with
25ml water. The insoluble ashes were collected using ashless filter paper and washed with hot water and then transferred to the silica crucible, ignited the ashless filter paper for 15 min at 600°C. The silica crucible and residue were weighed until constant weight is attained. The weight of the watersoluble ash was determined by subtracting the weight of insoluble ash from the weight of total ash.

**Acid insoluble Ash**

The ash obtained from the above was boiled for 5 min with 25 ml 10% HCl. The insoluble ashes were filtered and collected in a crucible. Washed with hot water and ignited to constant weight. Percentage of acid insoluble ash was calculated.

**Determination of Extractive Value**

**Alcohol Soluble Extractive**

Four grams of test drug were weighed and macerated with 100 ml of absolute alcohol in a closed conical flask. The solution was shaken continuously for 6 h and allowed to stand and soak for 18 h. The solution was filtered and the filtrate was evaporated in a petri dish at 105°C, then cooled and weighed. Percentage of alcohol soluble extractive value was calculated.

**Water soluble Extractive**

Four grams of test drug powder was weighed and macerated with 100 ml of water, in a closed conical flask. The resulting solution was shaken continuously for 18 h and allowed to stand and soak for 18 h and then filtered. 25 ml of the filtrate was evaporated to dryness in an evaporating dish, dried at 105°C and weighed. Percentage of water soluble extractive value was calculated.

**pH**

10% solution of SA nuts was prepared in distilled water (w/v) and pH was determined by using digital pH meter.

**Results**

**Macroscopy of nuts**

The dried fruits of *Semecarpus anacardium* are laterally flattened, drupaceous, dark brown, obliquely ovoid nut, surface smooth and sometimes shining with residual receptacle. The fruits of the six samples differed slightly in their dimensions. The S4 sample was biggest among the six samples measuring 2.1 to 2.4 cm in length and 1.8 to 1.2 cm in breadth. The dimensions and the surface morphology of the individual samples are given in Figure 1 and Table 2.

**Microscopy**

Microscopically the raw nut sample showed the fruit wall differentiated into epicarp, mesocarp and endocarp. Epicarp shows epidermis consisting of single layer of elongated lignified cells arranged radially covered above by a thin layer of prominent cuticle. In some places some of epidermal cells rupture along with the cuticle and resin drops is exuded out. Mesocarp consists of broad zone of parenchymatous cells arranged in numerous (>25) layers. The cells of the upper layers are bigger in size while the lower layer cells are smaller. Rosette crystals are seen randomly scattered. Fibro vascular bundles are also seen scattered. Some of the mesocarp cells get dissolved to form the lysogenic cavities filled with resin. Endocarp is differentiated into outer shorter and thinner layers of cells followed by prismatic layer of radially arranged very elongated thick walled cells. Below the endocarp it was observed that the surface morphology of the nuts varied with the samples. Sample S6 had a nearly smooth surface followed by S1. Sample S2 had a charredlike surface may be due to the purification by treatment with lime. Samples S3 and S5 had nearly similar type of surfaces. In the sample S4 minute golden patches were visible which was absent in all the other five samples.

---

**Table 2. External Morphology of the *Semecarpus anacardium* nut samples**

| Sample | Length (cm) | Breadth (cm) | Colour     | Texture                      |
|--------|-------------|--------------|------------|------------------------------|
| S1     | 1.5 to 2    | 1.3 to 1.5   | Greyish black | Nearly even with minute depressions |
| S2     | 2 to 2.2    | 1.5 to 1.7   | Black with white patches | Rough surface with crevices and white deposits |
| S3     | 2.3 to 2.5  | 1 to 1.5     | Black      | Uneven with small protrusions |
| S4     | 2.1 to 2.4  | 1.8 to 1.2   | Black      | Smooth with golden patches   |
| S5     | 2 to 3.5    | 1.8 to 2.5   | Greyish black | Uneven with small protrusions |
| S6     | 2 to 2.8    | 1.5 to 2.2   | Shining black | Nearly even                   |
cotyledons are seen containing numerous fixed oil cells and oil globules (Figure 2).

**Figure 2. Microscopy of Semecarpus anacardium nut sample - S1**

| TS of pericarp | Epicarp and mesocarp |
|--------------|----------------------|
| Resin cavity in mesocarp | Vascular bundle and Rosette crystals |

Cu - Cuticle; Ec - Epicarp; Mec - Mesocarp; Res - Resin canal; RC - Rosette crystal; Ve - Vessel

Microscopically S2 showed charring of the cell wall, the contents of the cells such as resin content is not observed clearly (Figure 3).

**Figure 3. Microscopy of Semecarpus anacardium nut sample - S2**

| TS of pericarp shows no resin in cavities | A region enlarged showing charred cell wall |

Microscopically S3 showed characters similar to that of raw nuts (Figure 4).

**Figure 4. Microscopy of Semecarpus anacardium nut sample – S3**

| Epicarp and mesocarp | Mesocarp parenchyma without contents |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Resin cavity         | Vascular bundle                     |

Cu - Cuticle; Ec - Epicarp; Mec - Mesocarp; Res - Resin canal; Ve - Vessel

Microscopically S4 showed characters similar to that of raw nuts (Figure 5).

**Figure 5. Microscopy of Semecarpus anacardium nut sample – S4**

| Inner mesocarp | Vascular bundle |
|----------------|-----------------|

Cu - Cuticle; Ec - Epicarp; Mec - Mesocarp; Res - Resin canal; RC - Rosette crystal; Ve - Vessel

Microscopically S5 showed characters similar to that of raw nuts (Figure 6).

**Figure 6. Microscopy of Semecarpus anacardium nut sample – S5**

| Epicarp and mesocarp | Resin canals in mesocarp |
|----------------------|--------------------------|

Microscopically S6 showed characters similar to that of raw nuts (Figure 7).

**Figure 6. Microscopy of Semecarpus anacardium nut sample – S5**

| Vascular bundle | Mesocarp |
|-----------------|---------|

| Resin globule; PC - Prismatic crystal; RC - Rosette crystal; Ve - Vessel |

Microscopically S6 showed characters similar to that of raw nuts (Figure 7).

**Figure 6. Microscopy of Semecarpus anacardium nut sample – S5**
The effect of the purification processes performed on the drug was not evident as any change in the microscopical structures or content of the nut was not observed.

Powder of raw nuts (S1) showed characters as shown in the Figure 9.

Powder of S2 showed characters as shown in the Figure 10.

Powder of S3 showed characters as shown in the Figure 11.

Powder of S4 showed characters as shown in the Figure 12.

Powder of S5 showed characters as shown in the Figure 13.
Physico-chemical characterization showed differences in values for loss on drying, total ash, water soluble ash, acid insoluble ash, water soluble extractive, alcohol soluble extractive and pH between samples S1 to S6 (Table 3). Sample S2 showed vast difference in all the parameters tested when compared to other samples.

**Table 3: Comparison of Physicochemical Parameters in S1 to S6**

| Parameters             | S1        | S2        | S3        | S4        | S5        | S6        |
|------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| LOD                     | 5.53%     | 2.90%     | 6.47%     | 5.03%     | 4.6%      | 5.05%     |
| Total Ash              | 3.44%     | 18.90%    | 2.59%     | 2.54%     | 2.7%      | 3.65%     |
| Water soluble ash      | 1.30%     | 0.65%     | 0.70%     | 1.30%     | 1.00%     | 0.80%     |
| Acid insoluble ash     | 1.94%     | 9.05%     | 1.34%     | 0.05%     | 0.55%     | 2.50%     |
| Water soluble extractive| 11%      | 12.75%    | 13.05%    | 7.75%     | 11%       | 12.5%     |
| Alcohol soluble extractive| 27%     | 12.75%    | 23.13%    | 31%       | 27%       | 40%       |
| pH                     | 7.34      | 8.43      | 7.01      | 6.5       | 7.15      | 6.63      |

**Discussion**

Macroscopic, microscopic and powder microscopic features of six samples of *Semecarpus anacardium* nuts purified by different Siddha methods have been studied. Slight difference in the texture of fruit wall was found among samples; the texture in case of sample S2 was totally different showing charred appearance as it is treated with limestone. Microscopically no remarkable differences were observed except sample S2; cell structure and content of sample S2 have been altered remarkably by the limestone treatment. The results of macro-microscopic observations matched with that reported earlier in the literature (28). There are about 5 types of calcium oxalate crystals present in plants in the following forms such as prisms, styloids, raphides, druses and crystal sand (29, 30). Researchers reported that these crystals are not toxic component but they act as defense mechanism and protect the plant parts from foraging animals (31, 32). The prismatic crystals and rosette crystals are found in these samples. The crystals are used for identification a raw herb under microscope (33). The quality and purity of the drug has been studied by the pharmacognosy characters of anatomy and cell inclusions in all the 6 samples (34) which has been proved by the reduction of anacardic acid in *Semecarpus anacardium* nuts after the above mentioned purification processes (35).

The Loss on drying which reveals the moisture content of all the samples were within the limits (5 to 8%) as per WHO (27). Low moisture content in the samples indicates a better stability and shelflife. An herbal sample free of moisture and can be stored for a long time without the microbial contamination. The acid insoluble ash in all samples except S2 represents lower inorganic matter and less contamination, also it plays important role in absorption in the gut (36). Higher limit of acid insoluble ash in sample S2 maybe due to the presence of lime stone involved in the purification process. High extractive value indicates higher content of secondary metabolites; the test is indication of absence of exhausted material, adulteration and substitution (37). High alcohol soluble extractive compared to water soluble extractive shows that *Serankottai* is less soluble in water because of its oily nature. But other ingredients which are used in formulations may change its solubility. Extractive values usually represent presence of many phytoconstituents which can be identified by HPTLC. The alcohol soluble extractive values indicated the presence of polar constituents like phenols, alkaloids, steroids, flavonoids while the water soluble fraction might be due to presence of glycosides. Water soluble extractive values observed shows that the glycoside presence as evidenced from phytochemical quantification analysis. Further chemical studies may give some clue on possible effects of treatments with different media.

**Conclusion**

According to Siddhars, even a poisonous drug can be converted to a life saving medicine. SA nuts after
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