The blazar paradigm and its discontents
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Abstract. The standard blazar paradigm consists of a supermassive black hole that expels relativistic jets of magnetized plasma in our direction. This plasma entrains nonthermal synchrotron-emitting electrons that furthermore scatter internally-produced synchrotron photons as well as externally-produced photons from the accretion-disk, the broad-line region, and the infrared-emitting torus. This picture has been very successful in reproducing the two-humped blazar spectral energy distribution. Yet various discontents persist, including (1) ultra-short variability at TeV energies that is much shorter than the black hole’s dynamical timescale; (2) very-high energy (VHE; \( > 100 \text{ GeV} \)) radiation from FSRQs; (3) evidence for a hard spectral component in high-synchrotron peaked objects, found when de-absorbing the measured VHE spectrum using conventional extragalactic background light (EBL) models; (4) an unusual slowly varying class of BL Lac objects; (5) contrary evidence about the location of the \( \gamma \)-ray emission regions. Some of these problems can be resolved by introducing a hadronic component into the blazar paradigm, consistent with the hypothesis that blazars are sources of ultra-high energy cosmic rays. Gamma-ray observations with the Cherenkov Telescope Array and neutrino observations with IceCube will be important to test this hypothesis.
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1. Introduction

Heber D. Curtis, who was on the right side of the famous Curtis-Shapely debate on the nature of spiral nebulae, reported in 1918 that M87 displayed a "...curious straight ray...apparently connected with the nucleus by a thin line of matter." M87, which was discovered and cataloged even earlier by Charles Messier (1781), remains one of the most interesting sources for the study of radio galaxies and blazars. At a distance of 16.4 Mpc, and with a black hole mass of \( 3.2(\pm0.9) \times 10^9 M_{\odot} \) (Macchetto et al. 1997), Hubble Space Telescope observations of M87 show a one-sided jet with complex structure and optical knots traveling with apparent superluminal speeds as large as \((4 – 6)c\) (Biretta et al. 1999), larger than radio superluminal speeds and large for an FR1 radio galaxy. M87 also shows TeV variability on time scales of days (Aharonian et al. 2006a), a multiwavelength spectral energy distribution (SED) displaying a characteristic two-hump profile (Abdo et al. 2009a), and has been implicated as the source of enhanced arrival directions of ultra-high energy cosmic rays (UHECRs) with energies \( E \gtrsim 2 \times 10^{19} \text{ eV} \) (Stanev et al. 1995).

The proximity of M87 and the small angle, \( \sim 10^\circ \), of its jet to our line of sight, makes
it a prototypical radio galaxy for the study of blazars. Typical of $\gamma$-ray emitting radio galaxies, it has strong core dominance (Abdo et al. 2010) and displays properties in accord with schemes unifying FR1 radio galaxies and BL Lac objects (Urry & Padovani 1995).

Interest in the study of radio galaxies and blazars has received a tremendous boost with the launch of the Fermi Gamma ray Space Telescope and the development of imaging ground-based $\gamma$-ray air-Cherenkov arrays, notably HESS, VERITAS, and MAGIC. Here we review the blazar paradigm and its successes and discontents, and some possible ways forward. The upcoming Cherenkov Telescope Array will be crucial for testing whether blazars are the sources of UHECRs.

2. The blazar paradigm

Blazars are active galaxies that exhibit rapid optical variability, strong radio and optical polarization, and superluminal motion. Based on extensive research, these observations are best understood in a paradigm where blazar emissions result from supermassive black holes powering relativistic jets that are pointed towards us. The apparent $\gamma$-ray luminosities of blazars averaged over time scales of years range from $\approx 10^{44}$ erg s$^{-1}$ to $\gtrsim 10^{49}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (Ackermann et al. 2011). During flaring episodes, the luminosity can be much higher. For example 3C 454.3 reached a record $\approx 2 \times 10^{49}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (Abdo et al. 2011a) during an exceptionally active 5-day period in 2010 November. The absolute luminosities are reduced from the apparent luminosities by a beaming factor $\sim 10^5$, which gives the fraction of the solid angle into which the radiation is beamed. Even so, the luminosities are enormous, considering that the Eddington luminosity for a black hole with mass $\sim 10^9 M_\odot$ is $\approx 10^{45}$ erg s$^{-1}$. With measured variability times $t_{\text{var}}$ less than a day, and in many cases much shorter, causality arguments for stationary emission sites require that the radiation originates from a compact region of light days or less. The light-crossing time corresponding to the Schwarzschild radius of a $10^9 M_\odot$ black hole is $\approx 10^4$ s. The simplest and only widely accepted explanation for the enormous luminosities and short timescales is that the blazar engine is a supermassive black hole.

2.1. Evidence for relativistic outflows

Even a black-hole explanation is insufficient to account for the large powers, short variability times, and other unusual features of blazars. The emission region must furthermore be in bulk plasma expelled in a relativistic collimated outflow, representing an “exhaust” valve for blazar engines quite distinct from those of radio-quiet AGNs which lack jets. Several lines of argument lead to this conclusion, including direct visual observations of jets in radio galaxies that are supposed to be misaligned blazars. The oldest such argument is the so-called Compton catastrophe (e.g., Jones et al. 1974). If the redshifts of blazars are really cosmological and their variable radio emission is nonthermal synchrotron from relativistic electrons, then the size scale implied by the variability time gives a radiation density so great that the radio-emitting electrons would Compton scatter the radio photons to high energies and make bright X-ray fluxes exceeding observations. Lacking such flux, it is important to note that the inferred internal radiation density is less for relativistic bulk plasma moving in our direction, so that the scattered X-ray flux will be less intense relative to the synchrotron emission. The second argument for relativistic jets is the phenomenon of superluminal motion, where the apparent transverse speed of radio-emitting blobs of plasma exceed $c$ (e.g. Unwin et al. 1985). As is well known, this is a kinematic effect resulting from radiating plasma moving at relativistic speeds $\beta c$ and bulk Lorentz factors $\Gamma$ at an angle $\theta \sim 1/\Gamma$ to our line of sight (predicted by Rees 1966).

The third argument, and the one that is most relevant to $\gamma$-ray astronomy, is the strong $\gamma\gamma$ opacity implied if the emission region is at rest. The EGRET instrument on the Compton Gamma ray Observatory showed that blazars are powerful sources of $\gtrsim 100$ MeV radiation (Hartman et al. 1992), but these $\gamma$ rays could never escape from the emission region due to strong pair production opacity from...
the process $\gamma + \gamma \rightarrow e^+ + e^-$. The threshold for $\gamma\gamma$ pair production of a $\gamma$ ray with energy $E_1 = h\nu_1/m_e c^2$ interacting with ambient photons with energy $\epsilon = E_\gamma/m_e c^2$ is simply $\epsilon E_1 > 1$. Suppose that the emission region is at rest with inferred size $R \approx c t_{var}$ and photon luminosity $L_\gamma$, then the photon density of the target radiation field is $n_\gamma \approx L_\gamma/4\pi R^2 c E_\gamma$. The $\gamma\gamma$ opacity $\tau_{\gamma\gamma} \approx \sigma_{\gamma\gamma} n_e R$, and $\sigma_{\gamma\gamma} \approx \sigma_T$ for photons that exceed the threshold for pair production. The opacity, or compactness parameter, is therefore

$$\tau_{\gamma\gamma} \approx \frac{\sigma_T L_\gamma}{4\pi n_e c^2 t_{var}} \approx 10^3 \frac{L_\gamma/(10^{48} \text{ erg s}^{-1})}{t_{var}(d)} \approx 10^3 \frac{L_\gamma}{D^2 \gamma^2 \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}} \approx 10^3 \frac{L_\gamma}{\Gamma^2 \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}} \frac{1}{c}. \quad (1)$$

The assumption of a stationary emission region leads to the conclusion that $\gamma$ rays would be strongly attenuated, whereas blazars are powerful $\gamma$-ray sources.

The solution for these problems is bulk relativistic motion of the emission region. To illustrate, suppose that the emission region is briefly illuminated on a comoving time scale $\Delta t' \sim \Delta t'/c$, then due to strong beaming, an observer detects radiation that is emitted within the Doppler cone subtending an angle $\theta_{\text{Doppler}} \approx 1/\Gamma$. Because of the time delay from off-axis emission regions, the radiation will vary to an observer on a time scale of $R(1 - \cos \theta_{\text{Doppler}})/c \approx R/2\Gamma^2 c$ (provided $\Delta t'$ is sufficiently small), so that the emission region radius $R \sim 2\Gamma^2 c t_{var}$, which is $\sim \Gamma^2$ times larger than values inferred for a stationary emission region. In a shell geometry, the photon energy density in the comoving jet frame $u' \sim L'/4\pi R^2 \Gamma^2 c \sim L'/4\pi c^2 t_{var} \Gamma$. By comparison in a blob geometry with observing angle $\theta$, the comoving luminosity $L'_\gamma$ is Doppler boosted to the observer by four powers of the Doppler factor $\delta_D \equiv [\Gamma(1 - \beta \cos \theta)]^{-1}$, two powers for the reduction in solid angle into which the emission is directed, one power for the energy boost, and another power for the reduction in time over which the emission is received. Thus

$$L_\gamma \approx \delta_D^4 L'_\gamma \approx 4\pi c R^2 \delta_D^4 u'_\gamma \approx 4\pi c^3 \delta_D^4 t_{var} u'_\gamma, \quad (2)$$

where the relation $R' \approx c\delta_D t_{var}$ characterizes the comoving size scale of the emission region (compare the similar expression for $u'$ in a shell geometry). Consequently, the inferred photon energy density and number density are $\propto \delta_D^4$ and $\propto \delta_D^3$, respectively. This more dilute internal radiation field allows the $\gamma$ rays to escape without absorption. The condition $\tau_{\gamma\gamma} < 1$ requires only that $\Gamma > 10$ in most blazars, though exceptional cases like PKS 2155-304 require $\delta_D \gtrsim 60$ during an exceptional flaring state (Aharonian et al. 2007a; Begelman et al. 2008).

### 2.2. Blazar SEDs

Because of their extremely variable nature, multiwavelength campaigns have to be organized in order to obtain simultaneous or contemporaneous measurements of blazar SEDs. As a result of these campaigns, the multiwavelength spectra for perhaps a few dozen blazars are fairly well measured from radio to VHE (very high energy; $> 100$ GeV) $\gamma$-ray energies, excepting the $\sim 0.1 - 50$ MeV regime where $\gamma$-ray telescopes still have relatively poor sensitivity. As has been apparent since the EGRET days, blazar SEDs exhibit a characteristic two-hump profile, where the lower frequency hump, which peaks in $\nu F_\nu$, from $\approx 10^{13.5} - 10^{18}$ Hz, is universally thought to be nonthermal synchrotron radiation from relativistic jet electrons.

The higher frequency humps, which peak from $\lesssim 10$ MeV to VHE, are widely argued to originate from Compton scattering of ambient photons by the same electrons that radiate the synchrotron radiation. The ambient synchrotron radiation provides a target field in all models. In synchrotron self-Compton (SSC) models, only the synchrotron photons provide targets. In external Compton (EC) models, photons are produced outside the jet and intercept the jet to be scattered. Models differ depending on the nature of the target photons for Compton scattering. For one-zone models, the principal external radiation fields in terms of energy density in the comoving jet frame (Sikora et al. 2009) are the direct accretion-disk field, the line radiation produced in the
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broad line region (BLR), the accretion-disk field scattered by electrons in the BLR, and the infrared radiation from a dusty torus surrounding the active nucleus (see, e.g., Böttcher et al. 2012, for review). In multi-zone models, additional radiation fields from different parts of the jet are considered, as in the decelerating jet model (Georganopoulos & Kazanas 2003) or the spine-layer model (Chiaberge et al. 2000).

Different classes of blazars are defined according to various properties. BL Lac objects are typically defined if the equivalent width of the strongest optical emission line is < 5 Å. By contrast, flat spectrum radio quasars (FSRQs) have strong optical emission lines indicating the presence of dense BLR material and strong illuminating accretion-disk radiation. Radio galaxies can be defined according to their radio luminosity and radio morphology (Fanaroff & Riley 1974). The twin-jet morphology of radio galaxies is seen in low-power radio galaxies, whereas the lobe and edge-brightened morphology is found in high-power radio galaxies, with a dividing line at \( \approx 2 \times 10^{25} \) W/Hz at 178 MHz, or at a bolometric radio luminosity of \( \approx 2 \times 10^{41} \) erg s\(^{-1}\). Radio spectral hardness can also be used to characterize sources as flat spectrum, with number index harder than 1.5, and steep spectrum, with softer indices.

When there is sufficient multiwavelength coverage to reconstruct a spectrum from the radio through the optical and X-ray bands, blazars and radio galaxies can also be classified according to their broadband SEDs (Abdo et al. 2010b). If the peak frequency \( \nu_{\text{peak}}^{\text{syn}} \) of the synchrotron component of the SED is \(< 10^{14} \) Hz, then a source is called low synchrotron-peaked (LSP), whereas if the SED has \( \nu_{\text{peak}}^{\text{syn}} > 10^{15} \) Hz, then it is referred to as high synchrotron-peaked (HSP). Intermediate synchrotron-peaked (ISP) objects have \( 10^{14} \) Hz \(< \nu_{\text{peak}}^{\text{syn}} < 10^{15} \) Hz. Most FSRQs are LSP blazars, whereas BL Lac objects sample the LSP ISP, and HSP range. According to the unification scenario for radio-loud AGNs (Urry & Padovani 1995), radio galaxies are misaligned blazars, and FR1 and FR2 radio galaxies are the parent populations of BL Lac objects and FSRQs, respectively.

2.3. Blazar spectral modeling

The standard blazar paradigm consists of magnetized plasma ejected with relativistic speeds in a collimated outflow along the polar axes of a rotating black hole (reviewed by Dermer & Menon 2009; Böttcher et al. 2012). Nonthermal electrons are injected into the relativistic plasma and are assumed, for simplicity, to have an isotropic pitch-angle distribution in the comoving jet frame. The magnetic field \( B' \) in the jet frame is also assumed to be randomly oriented. The emission region is usually modeled as a comoving spherical emission region with radius \( R' \), though a spherical shell geometry produces similar results. Within this picture, the blazar SED is fit by assuming a form for the comoving electron distribution, choosing \( \Gamma (\delta D/s = \Gamma) \) is usually assumed except when information about the jet angle is available), \( B' \), and \( R' \). The size of the emission region is related to the variability time through the relation \( R' \approx c \delta D / t_{\text{var}} \).

Considerable success has been achieved within this framework by fitting BL Lac objects with SSC models and FSRQs with EC models. For snapshot models, the electron dis-
paradigm are given for 3C 279 by Böttcher et al. (2009), for 3C 454.3 by Bonnoli et al. (2011) and Cerruti et al. (2013a), etc.

2.4. The EBL

The extragalactic background light, or EBL, is dominated by the background radiation from all the stars that have ever existed, either directly to make the optical-UV EBL, or through absorption and re-radiation by dust to make the IR EBL. The EBL is important because it contains integrated information about the cosmic evolution of matter and radiation through star formation, dust extinction, and light absorption and re-emission by dust. Knowledge of EBL absorption is needed to infer the intrinsic blazar spectra of extragalactic sources of GeV and TeV γ radiation that are primarily attenuated by optical and IR EBL photons, respectively, through the same process, γ + γ → e⁺ + e⁻, discussed earlier with respect to internal γ-ray absorption.

The EBL is difficult to measure directly because of foreground zodiacal light and Galactic synchrotron radiation. Consequently, attempts are made to use γ-ray sources to infer the intensity of the EBL by examining its effects on blazar spectra. One approach is to argue from acceleration and radiation physics that the intrinsic blazar source spectrum cannot have a number spectral index harder than (i.e., greater than) −1.5 (Aharonian et al. 2006b). If the de-absorbed blazar VHE spectra is harder than this, the EBL model is ruled out. Another method (Georganopoulos et al. 2010) is to extrapolate the Fermi spectrum of a blazar into the TeV range, and impose the condition that the TeV range, and impose the condition that the deabsorbed VHE spectrum cannot exceed the extrapolation. A third method (Ackermann et al. 2012) is to examine spectral cutoffs in the high-energy VHE spectrum of a large sample of blazars at various redshifts z, and look for a systematic decrease in the γ-ray cutoff of the emission with increasing z.

The effects of the EBL on γ rays are represented by the value of $E_{\gamma\gamma}(z)$, which is defined as the measured photon energy emitted at redshift $z$ where the $\gamma\gamma$ opacity, $\tau_{\gamma\gamma}(E_{\gamma\gamma}, z)$, equals unity. The value of $E_{\gamma\gamma}(z) \approx 1$ TeV for a source
at \( z \approx 0.1 \) (e.g., Finke et al. 2010). For Mrk 421 at \( z = 0.03 \) and 3C 279 at \( z = 0.536 \), \( E_{\gamma\gamma} \approx 10 \) TeV and 200 GeV, respectively. The EBL corrections to the models shown in Figs. 1 and 2 are negligible, though fits to the VHE radiation of 3C 454.3, and in BL Lac objects discussed below, definitely require EBL absorption corrections.

3. Discontents in blazar studies

Though the standard blazar paradigm has been very successful in accounting for the large luminosities and intense \( \gamma \)-ray fluxes from blazars, and the overall shape of their SEDs, persistent problems remain. We now describe some of these discontents.

3.1. Rapid variability of BL Lac objects

Blazars, by definition, are highly variable. Contrary to simple expectations that the light-crossing time across the Schwarzschild radius of the black hole that powers blazars sets a minimum variability time—which would be a few hours for a \( 10^{9} M_{\odot} \) black hole—Mrk 421 at \( z = 0.03 \) (Fortson et al. 2012), Mrk 501 at \( z = 0.033 \) (Albert et al. 2007) and PKS 2155-304 at \( z = 0.116 \) (Aharonian et al. 2007a) all display large amplitude variability on timescales of 10 minutes or less. This is more than an order of magnitude shorter than naively expected, and represents a feature of blazar physics that is not fully understood.

3.2. Unusual weakly variable BL Lac class

In the opposite direction to the preceding item is the existence of a subclass of BL Lac objects that are unusually stable at TeV energies. These include 1ES 1101-232 at \( z = 0.186 \), 1ES 0347-121 at \( z = 0.185 \), and 1ES 0229+200 at \( z = 0.14 \). In the latter case, more than 8 separate \textit{H}ESS and \textit{V}ERITAS pointings over 7 years find \( > 300 \) GeV fluxes that are all within \( 2\sigma \) of the average flux (Cerruti et al. 2013b).

3.3. Hard blazar spectral components in deabsorbed VHE spectra

The intrinsic blazar spectrum is expected to be obtained by multiplying the measured flux by the factor \( \exp[\tau_{\gamma\gamma}(E; z)] \), where \( \tau_{\gamma\gamma}(E; z) \) is given for a specific EBL model. When this is done, the deabsorbed flux reveals a hard spectral component in several cases (see Fig. 8 in Finke et al. (2010)). This is true for a wide range of EBL models, as can be seen in Fig. 3 for 1ES 1101-232. Should this spectral component be real, it would violate assumptions used to infer the EBL intensity, namely that the VHE spectral index is softer than \( -1.5 \), or that the \( \gamma \)-ray SED monotonically declines with photon frequency.

3.4. Flattening in the GeV - TeV spectral index difference with redshift

More distant sources have their VHE emission increasingly attenuated with respect to nearby sources. Therefore the difference in the absolute values of the photon spectral indices at TeV and GeV energies should monotonically increase with \( z \). Such a behavior was reported by Stecker & Scully (2006, 2010) for sources with \( z \lesssim 0.1 \), and by Essey & Kusenko (2012) for higher redshift sources. Note that detailed analysis of the data taking into account energy
3.5. Conflicting results for the location of the $\gamma$-ray emission site in blazars

From the discussion in Section 2.1, we saw that elementary kinematics indicates that $\gamma$-ray fluxes which vary on the time scale $t_{\text{var}}$ should be produced at a distance $R \lesssim \Gamma^2 c t_{\text{var}}/(1 + z)$ from the central black hole (now including the redshift factor). For very short variability times, as in the case of 4C +21.35 with $t_{\text{var}} \approx 10$ m at VHE energies (Aleksić et al. 2011a), this implies that the emission region is well within the BLR for typical values of $\Gamma \approx 10 – 30$ found in superluminal studies and spectral modeling of FSRQs. The VHE radiation would, however, be highly attenuated by $\gamma\gamma$ pair production if it originated inside the BLR (Dermer et al. 2012). Either $R > \sim 0.1$ pc, thereby requiring $\Gamma > \sim 100$, or the relationship between $t_{\text{var}}$ and $R$ is misunderstood (compare Section 3.1).

Conclusions about the location of the $\gamma$-ray production site. In the case of 3C 279, comparing Fermi-LAT $\gamma$-ray light curves with optical polarization and position angle directions suggests $\gamma$-ray emission in the pc-scale radio zone (Abdo et al. 2010d). By comparing radio, X-ray, and optical flux and polarization during a time when BL Lacertae was a TeV source, Marscher et al. (2008) argues that the $\gamma$-ray production occurs outside the radio core defined by synchrotron self-absorption. The GeV $\gamma$-ray emission site is argued to be $\sim 14$ pc away from the central engine in OJ 287 from multiwavelength light curve analysis of OJ 287 (Agudo et al. 2011).

Of corresponding interest is the identification of $\gamma\gamma$ opacity features in blazar SEDs. Poutanen & Stern (2010) and Stern & Poutanen (2011) argue that the GeV break in LSP blazars could be due to pair production off He Lyα and He recombination radiation, requiring $\gamma$-ray production deep in the BLR. The availability of long baseline data sets of bright blazars with the Fermi-LAT allows the $\gamma$-ray blazar SEDs to be examined in some cases to $\sim 100$ GeV (Britto et al. 2014, see also Stern & Poutanen (2014)). For a detailed treatment employing constraints arising from the intensity of the SSC component, see Nalewajko et al. (2014).

3.6. VHE ($> 100$ GeV) emission from distant FSRQs

Most FSRQs have peak frequencies of the $\gamma$-ray $\nu F$, SED in the $\sim 10$ MeV – 1 GeV range, and a break in the spectrum at a few GeV (Abdo et al. 2009b, 2010c). The standard external Compton model for FSRQs can explain the break as due to Klein-Nishina effects when relativistic jet electrons scatter Ly α and other line photons of the BLR (Cerruti et al. 2013a, see also Fig. 2), or to combined scattering effects (Finke & Dermer 2010). Nevertheless, episodes of intense VHE emission have been recorded from 3C 279 (Albert et al. 2008; Aleksić et al. 2011b), PKS 1510-089 (Wagner et al. 2010; Cortina et al. 2012), and PKS 1222+216 (Aleksić et al. 2011a). A hard emission episode was also observed with the Fermi Large Area Telescope from 3C 454.3 (Pacciani et al. 2014). Explanation of these features in leptonic scenarios requires unusual electron distributions far out of equilibrium.

3.7. The Synchrotron Puzzle

In Fermi acceleration scenarios, the acceleration timescale $t_{\text{acc}}$ should be much longer than the Larmor timescale $t_{\text{L}}$, because a particle has to make several gyrations to accumulate a significant fraction of its energy. Equating the synchrotron energy-loss time scale with $t_{\text{L}}$ implies a maximum synchrotron energy $\sim 100$ F MeV (cf. de Jager & Harding 1992), many orders of magnitude greater than the peak or the maximum synchrotron frequency of blazars. The highest energy synchrotron photons from the Crab nebula or the delayed Fermi LAT emission from GRB 130427A (Ackermann et al. 2014) are in accord with or even exceed this
value, so it is surprising that the maximum synchrotron energies of blazars is so much lower.

4. Directions forward

Current research on blazars is focusing on these puzzles. Some new directions in blazar research are now summarized.

4.1. Acceleration physics

Items 3.1 and 3.6 above suggest that our understanding of the acceleration mechanism in blazar jets is lacking. One approach to explain the short variability time scales is to consider direct electric-field acceleration that can circumvent limitations of the Fermi mechanism. In magnetic reconnection models (Giannios et al. 2010), the short variability time is realized by an electron beam driven by reconnection taking place in a sub-volume of a larger region whose size scale is determined by the Schwarzschild radius, \(R_{\text{Sch}}\). To compensate for the small comoving size \(R' = f \Gamma R_{\text{Sch}}\), with \(f < 1\), reconnection is assumed to drive relativistic outflows or beams of particles with sufficiently large Lorentz factor that Doppler boosting compensates for the smaller available energy content in the small blob. The observed luminosity \(L_{\text{obs}} \approx L_{\text{iso}} f^2 \left( \delta_p/\delta_D \right)^4\) so, provided that the plasma Doppler factor \(\delta_p\) and jet Doppler factor \(\delta_D\) are suitably chosen, the large apparent power is preserved even from the smaller size scale of the region. Other approaches include jet-within-jet or turbulent cell models (e.g., Marscher & Jorstad 2010; Narayan & Piran 2012; Marscher et al. 2012), and Poynting-dominated jet models (Nalewajko et al. 2012). Plasma instability-induced short variability behavior has been considered by Subramanian et al. (2012).

4.2. Hadronic models

As discussed above, the deabsorbed SEDs of several BL Lac objects indicate the existence of a high-energy spectral component extending to TeV energies. VHE emission in FSRQs is difficult to understand with leptonic models (Böttcher et al. 2009), so a new spectral component may also be required in this blazar class. A plausible explanation for these components is hadronic acceleration in blazar jets, which can make VHE emission from photopion processes (Atoyan & Dermer 2003; Böttcher et al. 2009, 2013).

From the Hillas (1984) condition, the maximum particle energy is limited to energy \(E < \Gamma Z e B' R'\). If UHECRs are accelerated in the inner jets of BL Lac, then derived values of \(\delta_D\) and \(B'\) in SSC models for BL Lac objects show that protons can be accelerated to \(\lesssim 10^{19}\) eV, and Fe nuclei to super-GZK energies (Murase et al. 2012). Accelerated hadrons that escape the jet will retain the collimation of the jet in which they were made. If these UHECR protons are not dispersed before entering intergalactic space (Murase 2012), energy dissipated through Bethe-Heitler pair production \((p + \gamma \rightarrow e^+ + e^-)\) during transit through the intergalactic medium while interacting with the CMB and EBL can make a weakly or non-variable \(\gamma\)-ray spectral feature (Essey & Kusenko 2010; Essey et al. 2010; Essey & Kusenko 2012). This mechanism can account for the existence of a nonvariable VHE emission component in BL Lac objects, and is furthermore consistent with the Gpc distances of these weakly variable BL Lac objects which have redshifts \(z \approx 0.1 – 0.2\) required to extract a significant fraction of energy of escaping UHECR protons through the Bethe-Heitler process. The added emission from UHECRs in transit would also explain the alleged flattening of the Stecker & Scully (2006, 2010) behavior of the spectral indices described in Section 3.4 above (Essey & Kusenko 2012).

Photopion production in FSRQs will make an escaping neutron beam that decays into protons (Atoyan & Dermer 2003). The escaping neutrons can deposit significant energy in FSRQs at the pc scale and beyond through photopion interactions with IR photons, which will preserve the short timescale variability of the inner jet to explain emission and rapid variability of VHE emission at the multi-pc scale in 4C +21.35 (Dermer et al. 2012).

UHECR production in FSRQs like 3C 279 might be revealed, as in the case of BL Lac...
objects, by detection of a weakly variable cascade radiation induced by photopion and photopair processes from beamed ultrarelativistic protons traveling through intergalactic space. Provided again that the UHECR beam can escape from the structured regions surrounding 3C 279 without being dispersed, a slowly varying UHECR-induced γ-ray halo should surround 3C 279. The MAGIC detection of VHE emission from 3C 279 shows, however, VHE emission that possibly varies on timescales less than a day. Alternately, UHECRs can make a VHE contribution to the blazar SED from hadronic processes taking place in the jet. It remains to be seen whether modifications of leptonic scenarios or hadronic models with proton synchrotron or photopion production and cascades (Böttcher et al. 2013) are preferred to make the VHE γ-ray spectra of FSRQs.

The Cherenkov Telescope Array (CTA) could solve the UHECR origin problem by distinguishing between photon-induced and UHECR proton-induced cascades. Figs. 4 and 5 show these differences for the blazars 1ES 0229+200 and KUV 00311-1938, respectively. In Fig. 5, the analyzed LAT data (green) are shown with a preliminary HESS spectrum (magenta) (Becherini et al. 2012). The differential sensitivity curves for a 50 hr observation with HESS, and the 5 and 50 hr sensitivity goals of the (CTA configuration E; Actis et al. 2011), are shown. Note that the the HESS and CTA sensitivity criteria differ, and a flux lower than the CTA sensitivity curve can be achieved under a relaxed criterion of wider energy-bins and lower significance for flux in each bin (see Takami et al. 2013, for details). The preliminary HESS data is in agreement with the predicted SED made by UHECRs, but will require CTA to confirm.

4.3. Neutrinos and new physics

The most direct method to identify UHECRs in blazars is through detection of neutrinos. The IceCube detection of 28 and 37 neutrinos above the cosmic-ray induced background (IceCube Collaboration et al. 2013, 2014) has generated much excitement, though the number of neutrinos is too small and directional uncertainties are too great to identify counterparts as yet (cf. Krauß et al. 2014). Padovani & Resconi (2014) find associations of neu-
trino arrival directions with BL Lac objects and pulsar wind nebulae, and compare photon and neutrino SEDs of possible counterparts. Both FSRQs (Murase et al. 2014; Dermer et al. 2014) and BL Lac objects (Tavecchio et al. 2014) have been argued to be the sources of the neutrinos.

Solutions involving new physics, in particular, dark-matter particles, have been proposed to resolve some problems in blazar physics. One example is the axion, a light dark matter particle introduced to solve the strong CP problem in QCD. Photon-axion conversion in the presence of a magnetic field can produce an oscillation of photons to axion-like particles (and vice versa), leading to an enhancement of the received flux from a distant source. This mechanism has been invoked to account for spectral features and GeV cutoffs in FSRQs (Tavecchio et al. 2012; Mena & Razzaque 2013).

5. Conclusions

Blazar activity is robust both at the blazar sources and by the blazar scientists. A standard paradigm has been accepted within which the overall properties and behaviors of blazars can be understood. Nevertheless, major puzzles have arisen that will require more study. Besides particle acceleration and cosmic rays, not even been mentioned in this short article are open questions in blazar unification, sequence and divide, and the use of blazars for measurements of the magnetic field in the IGM (for recent reviews, see Ghisellini 2013; Durrer & Neronov 2013). Solving these problems and obtaining a deeper grasp on reality, even if only the reality associated with supermassive black holes with jets, should keep us busy for a long time.
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