Effect of prophylactic balloon occlusion of internal iliac artery in pregnancies complicated by placenta previa and accreta
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Abstract

Background: Placenta previa and accreta are serious obstetric conditions that are associated with a high risk of intraoperative massive hemorrhage, the prophylactic intravascular balloon occlusion technique is increasingly used in managing uncontrolled hemorrhage in cesarean section (CS). We aim to examine the clinical effectiveness of prophylactic balloon occlusion of the internal iliac artery (PBOIIA) during CS in improving maternal outcomes for patients with placenta previa and accreta.

Methods: A total of 420 women with placenta previa and accreta who underwent CS from January 2014 to December 2018 were included retrospectively. Patients were divided into balloon group in which patients had PBOIIA (n = 248) and the control group in which patients did not have PBOIIA (n = 172). Meanwhile, we performed a subgroup analysis in whether taking parallel transverse uterine incision (PTUI) surgery. Information on conditions of patients and newborns, perioperative blood indicators, surgical outcomes were collected.

Results: Median estimated blood loss (mEBL) was 2200 mL in the balloon group and 2150 mL in the control group respectively, there was no significant difference between two-groups comparison (P > 0.05), and the rate of patients with hysterectomy was also has no difference between the two groups (36.3% versus 35.5%, P > 0.05), while there is a significant difference between two groups in the amount of PRBCs transfused [3 (0–31.5) versus 3 (0–39), P <0.05], moreover, the proportion of PRBCS> 8 units in the balloon group is significantly lower than that in control group (11.29% versus 23.26%, P<0.05). However, the total hospitalization costs (45,624.4 ± 11,061.9 versus 37,523.1 ± 14,662.2, CYN) and surgery costs (19,910.6 ± 2622.6 versus 11,850.5 ± 3146.1, CYN) in balloon group were significantly higher than those in control group (P <0.05). Subgroup analysis showed PTUI surgery had no significant differences in EBL (P >0.05), but it could significantly decrease hysterectomy rates (P <0.05).

Conclusions: PBOIIA has no significant effect on reducing intraoperative EBL and hysterectomy rate in patients with placenta previa and accreta. and although it could reduce the intraoperative PRBCs in patients with massive hemorrhage, it significantly increases the financial cost for patients. Therefore, PBOIIA should not be routinely recommended to patients with placenta previa and accreta.
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Background
Placenta previa and accreta associated with previous cesarean section are related to severe adverse maternal–fetal pregnancy outcomes and are even accountable for a high risk of maternal death [1]. These placental conditions can cause disseminated intravascular coagulation, shock, and a high rate of hysterectomy [2]. Placenta previa and placenta accreta are the major causes of postpartum hemorrhage, and is currently a leading cause of maternal death worldwide [3]. Massive hemorrhage during cesarean section (CS), which is hard to predict and control, is the massive threaten to the life of patients with placenta previa and accreta. Cesarean hysterectomy is an important treatment for placenta previa and accreta, but it should be performed with caution [4]. Recently, a lot of conservative management has been conducted to reduce intraoperative hemorrhage and the hysterectomy rate, and to ensure maternal and newborn safety during CS. The prophylactic intravascular balloon occlusion technique is increasingly used in managing uncontrolled hemorrhage in CS. This technique includes prophylactic intravascular balloon occlusion of the internal iliac arteries (PBOIIA) and abdominal aorta arteries (PBOAA) [5].

Owing to the low risk of vascular complications [6], PBOIIA has been used in our hospital for patients with placenta previa and accreta since 2014. The effectiveness of PBOIIA remains controversial because of the inconsistent results of different research. Fan, Zhou et al. reported that PBOIIA was an effective method of hemostasis in CS. However, Feng, Salim, Chen et al. showed that PBOIIA had no benefit in reducing estimated blood loss (EBL) and improving maternal outcomes for patients with placenta previa and accreta. There is still a lack of large-sample studies on the effectiveness of internal iliac artery balloons. Therefore, we performed a large-sample, retrospective cohort study and aimed to evaluate the effectiveness and practicality of PBOIIA in improving maternal outcomes for patients with placenta previa and accreta.

Methods
Study population
This study was conducted from January 2014 to December 2018. The retrospective, observational study was approved by the Ethics Review Committee of West China Second University Hospital of Sichuan University. And because of the nature of the retrospective, observational setting for our study, and the data are anonymous, an informed consent was waived by the Ethics Review Committee of West China Second University Hospital. All study methods of the retrospective study were conducted following the relevant regulations of a protocol, which were approved by the Institutional Review Board from the West China Second University Hospital of Sichuan University.

Placenta previa occurred when the placenta was wholly or partially implanted in the lower uterine segment. Placenta accreta was defined as the situation where the placental trophoblast invaded into the myometrium, according to the depth of villous tissue invasiveness. Placenta accreta has been subdivided by modern pathologists into “creta” or “adherenta”. An adherent placenta is where the villi adhere superficially to the myometrium without interposing the decidua. Placenta increta is where the villi penetrate deeply into the uterine myometrium down to the serosa. Placenta percreta is where the villous tissue perforates through the entire uterine wall and may invade the surrounding pelvic organs, such as the bladder [7, 8].

All included patients had at least one prior CS and were diagnosed with placenta previa or placenta accreta when the placenta covered a previous cesarean scar, which was examined by color Doppler ultrasonography and pelvic magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) examinations before delivery. The diagnosis was confirmed by intraoperative findings or histopathological examination after surgery. Patients with an adherent placenta were excluded in this study, because balloon occlusion was not routinely used for these patients in our clinical work. Therefore, we only included patients with placenta increta or percreta. Patients with serious medical and surgical diseases (mainly include heart disease, pancreatitis and severe hepatitis, liver and kidney dysfunction, tumor, severe infectious diseases, preeclampsia, etc.), incomplete data, multiple pregnancies, or those who delivered before 28 weeks of gestation were excluded.

Of 713 patients with placenta previa and accreta associated with previous CS who delivered in our hospital, 420 were included in this study finally (Fig. 1). The 420 patients were divided into two groups according to whether they had PBOIIA (balloon group) \( (n = 248, 59.0\%) \) and whether they did not have PBOIIA (control group) \( (n = 172, 41.0\%) \). In 2017, doctors in our hospital investigated a novel approach called parallel transverse uterine incision (PTUI) surgery. PTUI had a significant effect on reducing intraoperative blood loss and the hysterectomy rate for patients with placenta previa and accreta [9, 10]. Among our included patients, we found that some patients underwent PTUI surgery simultaneously. To avoid the effect of PTUI surgery on the results, we conducted a subgroup analysis on the two groups of patients according to whether they had PTUI surgery during CS. Among the 420 patients, 86 had PTUI surgery and 334 did not have PTUI surgery. In the PTUI group, the 86 women were subdivided into the balloon group that had PBOIIA (group A1; \( n = 58 \)) and the control group that did not have PBOIIA (group B1;
In the non-PTUI group, the 334 women were subdivided into the balloon group (group A2; \( n = 190 \)) and the control group (group B2; \( n = 144 \)).

Comprehensive management of patients with placenta previa and accreta required the cooperation of a multidisciplinary medical team in our hospital. All included patients should perform scheduled CS at 35–36 + 6 weeks of gestation [11], while some of patients may have been at a later gestational age when they were transferred to our center, and we performed a scheduled cesarean as soon as possible. Fluid transfusion, blood transfusion, strong uterine contraction drugs, and conservative surgical treatments were provided to patients during CS. If the conservative treatments were not effective, hysterectomy was performed to save the patient’s life. Since the effectiveness of PBOIIA in patients with placenta previa and accreta remains controversial and it is not definitively reliable, and there are complications associated with PBOIIA. The multidisciplinary team conducted a thorough discussion and evaluation of each patient’s condition and imaging indicators before the operation, and confirmed that the patients with placenta previa and accreta had the indications of placement of PBAIIO. The final decision of preoperative prophylactic placement of balloon occlusion was jointly made by the surgeon and patient after full communicating and informing about the pros and cons of balloon placement. All patients who accepted PBOIIA provided written informed consent, and balloon occlusion of the right and left internal iliac arteries was inflated in all cases.

**Placement of the occlusion balloon**

In the balloon group, all included pregnant women were fully informed of the benefits and complications of PBOIIA by their doctors before CS. For the surgical procedure, after routine disinfection and laying of towels, bilateral femoral arteries were punctured by the Seldinger technique and a 5-French vascular sheath was inserted. A 5-French Cobra and 0.035-in. guidewire were used to guide the balloon catheter into the bilateral internal iliac artery through the vascular sheath, with the balloon catheter tip slightly above the opening of the bilateral uterine artery (low-profile PTA balloon dilatation catheter PTA5–35–80-8-6.0; Cook Medical Inc., Bloomington, IN, USA). An indwelling catheter was fixed in both lower limbs and the patients were brought into the operating room. During the operation, approximately 2 mL of eunepiac, which is a diluent contrast agent, was injected to temporarily inflate the internal iliac artery balloon after the fetus was delivered and the umbilical cord was cut. This diluent contrast agent can block blood flow of bilateral internal iliac arteries and reduce the amount of uterine bleeding [12], while the balloon will be released for 5 min every 20–30 min to ensure the patient’s normal lower extremity circulation, and avoid
rupture and bleeding of the collateral circulation vessels (Fig. 2).

Clinical characteristics and outcomes
The clinical characteristics of the included patients were collected by reviewing the medical records. The clinical indicators were retrospectively collected by two obstetricians, and if any discrepancy existed, it was resolved by a third obstetrician. All reviewers were blinded to the selection of therapy and surgery conditions of patients. The clinical indicators included maternal and neonatal characteristics, perioperative blood indicators, and surgical outcomes during hospitalization. Maternal and neonatal characteristics included maternal age, gravidity, parity, number of previous CSs and abortions, gestational age at delivery, body mass index (BMI), birth weight of the newborn, 1-min Apgar score, neonatal intensive care unit (ICU) admission, neonatal asphyxia, and neonatal death. Ultrasound characteristics included cervical canal length, thickness of the placenta above the cervix, thickness of the placenta in the lower uterine segment, placenta position, myometrial thinning, multiple placental sinusoids, dilation of the cervical canal, loss of retroplacental clear zone, abnormal blood flow in retroplacental space, bladder wall interruption. Perioperative blood indicators included hemoglobin (HGB), hematocrit, platelets, prothrombin time, activated partial thromboplastin time, and fibrinogen. Surgical outcomes included intraoperative EBL, cesarean hysterectomy, packed red blood cell (PRBC) transfusion (in China, one unit of PRBC is approximately equal to 200 mL of whole blood), fresh frozen plasma transfusion, platelet transfusion, the volume of autologous blood transfusion, blood loss within 24 h postoperatively, maternal ICU admission rate, postoperative pyrexia (≥38.5 °C), anemia (HGB < 100 g/L), total hospitalization costs, and surgery costs. Among the clinical outcomes, intraoperative EBL, cesarean hysterectomy rate and blood transfusion are the primary outcomes of this study.

Statistical analysis
Categorical variables are presented as number/proportion (%) and were analyzed by the chi-square test. The Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was performed to determine the normality of continuous variables. Data are shown as mean ± standard for normally distributed variables. If variables were normally distributed, the independent t-test was used for analysis. The Mann–Whitney U test was used for the analysis of non-normally distributed data and data are shown as the median (range). All statistical analyses and data processing was conducted by SPSS18.0 statistical software (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). P values and 95% confidence intervals are shown for assessment of clinical indictors of patients with placenta previa and accreta. P < 0.05 indicates that the difference was significant.

![Fig. 2](image-url) Angiographic image of occlusion balloons placed within the internal iliac arteries. **A** balloon placed within the right internal iliac artery (allow); **B** balloon placed within the left internal iliac artery (allow); **C** A panoramic view of prophylactic balloon occlusion of internal iliac arteries: The position between the two arrows is the balloon (allows); **D** posture of patient with PBOIIA
Results
Characteristics of trial participants characteristics between balloon and control groups
The mean maternal age in the balloon group and control group was 32.48 ± 5.09 and 32.70 ± 4.72 years, respectively. The median values (range) of previous CSs were 1 (1–3) and 1 (1–3) in the balloon group and control group, and the median values (range) of gestational age at delivery in the balloon and control group were 36.5 ± 2.8 weeks and 36.7 ± 2.1 weeks respectively. There were no significant differences in maternal basic characteristics, such as maternal age, gravidity, parity, number of previous CSs and abortions, gestational age at delivery, and body mass index, between the balloon and control groups, which indicated that the two groups were comparable. With regards to neonatal characteristics, the newborn weight of the balloon group was significantly higher and the rate of neonatal ICU admission was lower than those in the control group (both P < 0.05). There were no differences in the neonatal asphyxia rate, neonatal death rate, and 1-min Apgar score between the balloon and control groups. Moreover, there were no significant differences in ultrasound indicators between the balloon and control groups (P > 0.05). Baseline and ultrasound characteristics were comparable between two groups (Table 1).

Comparison of perioperative blood indicators between the balloon and control groups
There were no significant differences in preoperative blood indicators and postoperative blood indicators, including HGB, hematocrit, platelets, prothrombin time, activated partial thromboplastin time, and fibrinogen, between the balloon and control groups (P > 0.05) (Table 2).

Comparison of surgical outcomes between the balloon and control groups
Median blood loss and the cesarean hysterectomy rate were not significantly different between the balloon and control groups, the median blood loss was 2200 mL (range, 500–12,000) in the balloon group and 2150 mL (range, 500–15,000) in the control group, and in balloon group, 36.3% patients with cesarean hysterectomy versus 35.5% in control group, which were no significant difference (P > 0.05). The amount of PRBC transfusion, PLT transfusion and the rate of maternal ICU admission were significantly lower in the balloon group than in the control group (P < 0.05). Moreover, we re-calculated the rate between two groups in amount of PRBCs > 4 units and 8 units, and found that there was no statistical difference in the proportion of PRBCs > 4 units between the two groups, however, the proportion of PRBCs>8 units in balloon group was significantly lower in compare to the control group. The total hospitalization and surgery costs in the balloon group were significantly higher than those in the control group (45,624.4 ± 11,061.9 versus 37,523.1 ± 14,662.2, CYN and 19,910.6 ± 2622.6 versus 11,850.5 ± 3146.1, CYN, both P < 0.05). However, there were no significant differences in FFP transfusion, volume of autologous blood transfusion, blood loss within postoperative 24 h, operative fluids transfusion, postoperative length of stay, postoperative pyrexia (≥38.5 °C), anemia (HGB < 100 g/L) and urologic complications (bladder injury, ureter injury and vesico vaginal fistula) between the balloon and control groups (P > 0.05) (Table 3).

Comparison of intraoperative conditions in the PTUI subgroup
We performed subgroup analysis on whether PTUI surgery was performed in the balloon and control groups. There was no significant difference in EBL [2200 (900–5500)] versus 2200 (500–12,000), P > 0.05] in patients of balloon group between Group A1 (PTUI surgery) and Group A2 (non-PTUI surgery), and there was also no significant difference in EBL [2200 (1000–6000) versus 2100 (500–15,000), mL P > 0.05] in patients of control group between Group B1 (PTUI surgery) and Group B2 (non-PTUI surgery). The hysterectomy rates of the PTUI surgery group (Group A1 and Group B1) were significantly lower than those of the non-PTUI surgery group (Group A2 and Group B2) [(5.17% versus 45.79%), (7.14% versus 55.0%); P < 0.05] (Tables 4 and 5).

Discussion
Placenta previa and accreta after previous CS are extremely serious obstetric conditions associated with a high risk of intraoperative massive hemorrhage. These conditions dramatically increase the risk of blood transfusion and hysterectomy during CS [13, 14]. Placenta previa and placenta accreta are the two major risk factors for postpartum hemorrhage [15]. The incidence of placenta previa after CS is 1.22% [16]. However, prior CS and placenta previa appear to be the major risk factors for placenta accreta. Previous studies have reported that the incidence of placenta accreta was 3.3, 11, 40, 61, 67, and 67% after first, second, third, fourth, fifth, and sixth or more cesarean deliveries respectively in pregnant women with placenta previa [11, 17].

Owing to the high morbidity associated with placenta previa and accreta, accurate preoperative diagnosis and a multidisciplinary medical team for management of these conditions play a vital role [4, 18]. Prenatal ultrasound and MRI techniques have been used to diagnose and guide clinical management, and favorable outcomes have been achieved [19, 20]. With the wide use of ultrasound and MRI in the medical field, abnormal placenta accreta
can be diagnosed in advance, and a treatment plan can be provided for reducing intraoperative blood loss. Surgical hemostasis and uterine contractile agents are used to manage intraoperative hemorrhage and preserve the uterus during CS. If all methods of hemostasis fail to control the bleeding, hysterectomy is the ultimate solution for patients with placenta previa and accrete [21].

In recent years, an increasing amount of hemostasis methods have been applied in CS, such as the prophylactic intravascular balloon occlusion technique. As early as 1997, PBOIIA was first reported as a hemostatic method in CS for patients with placenta percreta [22]. With the increasing use of PBOIIA in CS for treating placenta previa and accreta, which plays an important role in managing uncontrolled hemorrhage for obstetricians and anesthetists. However, the efficacy of PBOIIA reported in the literature is controversial.

Some scholars reported that PBOIIA was an effective hemostasis method in CS. Fan et al. conducted a prospective observational study, which included 163 patients with placenta previa and accreta, and they found PBOIIA was an effective strategy for controlling severe hemorrhage, which can effectively reduce the amount of intraoperative blood loss in patients with placenta previa–accreta (1236.0 verus 1694.0 mL) [21]. Picel et al. reported that, in 151 patients with invasive placenta undergoing cesarean hysterectomy, there was a significant difference in blood loss (2000 verus 2500 mL) and PRBC transfusions (2 verus 5 U) in the balloon group compared with the control group [23]. Zhou et al. studied 83 patients with pernicious placenta previa coexisting with placenta accreta and found that PBOIIA was an effective method for managing postpartum hemorrhage [24]. These findings indicated that PBOIIA could reduce intraoperative blood loss and transfusion requirements. However, some researchers failed to show that PBOIIA improves maternal outcomes. Previous randomized, controlled trials included 13 patients who were diagnosed

### Table 1: Characteristics of trial participants characteristics between balloon and control groups

| Indicators                                      | Balloon group | Control group | P     | t/z/x² |
|------------------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|-------|-------|
| Maternal characteristics                       |               |               |       |       |
| Maternal age (years)                           | 32.5 ± 5.1    | 32.7 ± 4.7    | 0.654 | 0.448 |
| BMI (kg/m²)                                    | 21.7 ± 2.7    | 21.2 ± 2.5    | 0.081 | -1.744|
| Gravidity (n)                                  | 4 (2–13)      | 4 (2–10)      | 0.539 | -0.615|
| Parity (n)                                     | 1 (1–5)       | 1 (1–3)       | 0.123 | -1.543|
| Number of previous CSs (n)                     | 1 (1–3)       | 1 (1–3)       | 0.332 | -0.971|
| Number of previous abortions (n)               | 2 (0–7)       | 2 (0–8)       | 0.531 | -0.626|
| Gestational age at delivery (weeks)            | 36±3 (28±3–40±4) | 36±3 (28±1–39±3) | 0.137 | -1.487|
| Neonatal characteristics                       |               |               |       |       |
| Birthweight of the newborn (g)                 | 2778.3 ± 410.6| 2592.7 ± 477.4| 0.000 | -4.041|
| 1 min Apgar score                              | 9.1 ± 1.6     | 9.0 ± 1.8     | 0.696 | -0.399|
| Neonatal ICU admission (n%)                    | 50/248 (20.16%)| 55/172 (31.98%)| 0.006 | 7.562 |
| Neonatal asphyxia (n%)                         | 34/248 (13.71%)| 26/172 (15.12%)| 0.685 | 0.164 |
| Neonatal death (n%)                            | 2/248 (0.81%) | 4/172 (2.33%) | 0.197 | 1.664 |
| Ultrasound indicators                          |               |               |       |       |
| Cervical canal length                          | 2.89 ± 0.09   | 3.06 ± 0.05   | 0.116 | 1.578 |
| Thickness of the placenta above the cervix     | 3.91 ± 0.20   | 3.55 ± 0.15   | 0.139 | -1.468|
| Thickness of the placenta in the lower uterine segment | 3.41 ± 0.13 | 3.35 ± 0.11 | 0.664 | -0.435|
| Anterior placenta                              | 224 (90.3%)   | 151 (87.8%)   | 0.409 | 0.681 |
| Myometrial thinning                            | 169 (68.1%)   | 112 (65.1%)   | 0.421 | 0.517 |
| Multiple placental sinusoids                   | 206 (83.1%)   | 134 (77.9%)   | 0.186 | 1.752 |
| Dilation of the cervical canal                 | 71 (28.6%)    | 40 (23.3%)    | 0.219 | 1.508 |
| Loss of retroplacental clear zone              | 204 (82.3%)   | 132 (76.7%)   | 0.165 | 1.930 |
| Abnormal blood flow in retroplacental space    | 235 (94.8%)   | 158 (91.9%)   | 0.234 | 1.418 |
| Bladder wall interruption                      | 42 (16.9%)    | 23 (13.3%)    | 0.321 | 0.986 |

Note: Data are presented as mean ± SD, as median (Range) or as number (%)

BMI - body mass index; CS - cesarean section; ICU - intensive care unit
Table 2 The comparison of perioperative blood indicators between balloon group and control groups

| Blood Indicators | Balloon Group (n = 248) | Control Group (n = 172) | P  | t/z  |
|------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----|-----|
| HGB (g/L)        | Preoperative 110.2 ± 13.8 | 109.2 ± 14.4 | 0.468 | −0.727 |
|                  | Postoperative 95.1 ± 13.4 | 95.4 ± 15.8 | 0.818 | 0.23  |
| HCT (%)          | Preoperative 33.4 ± 3.6 | 33.1 ± 3.8 | 0.419 | −0.809 |
|                  | Postoperative 28.5 ± 3.9 | 28.5 ± 4.6 | 0.87  | −0.164 |
| PLT (10^9/L)     | Preoperative 157.0 (58.0–344.0) | 168.0 (57.0–365.0) | 0.28 | −1.081 |
|                  | Postoperative 135.0 (39.0–339.0) | 134.5 (26.0–300.0) | 0.982 | −0.023 |
| PT (s)           | Preoperative 11.9 (10.2–14.6) | 12.0 (10.2–13.7) | 0.279 | −1.082 |
|                  | Postoperative 12.4 (10.8–14.5) | 12.3 (10.8–14.4) | 0.644 | −0.462 |
| APTT (s)         | Preoperative 28.4 (12.4–45.7) | 28.2 (19.9–40.2) | 0.452 | −2.774 |
|                  | Postoperative 32.3 (20.1–45.3) | 31.4 (22.0–45.2) | 0.296 | −1.045 |
| FIG (mg/dL)      | Preoperative 384.5 (167–666) | 385.0 (79.0–795.0) | 0.938 | −0.078 |
|                  | Postoperative 326.0 (161.0–701.0) | 341.0 (154.0–641.0) | 0.269 | −1.106 |

Note—Data are presented as mean ± SD, or as median (Range)
HGB: hemoglobin; HCT: hematocrit; PLT: platelet; PT: prothrombin time, APTT: activated partial thromboplastin time; FIG: fibrinogen

Table 3 Comparison of surgical outcomes of 420 patients with placenta previa and accreta

| Indicators          | Balloon Group (n = 248) | Control Group (n = 172) | P  | t/z  | x² |
|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----|-----|---|
| EBL (ml)            | 2200 (500–12,000) | 2150 (500–15,000) | 0.897 | −0.13 |  |
| PRBCs transfusion (U) | 3 (0–31.5) | 3 (0–39) | 0.042 | −2.037 |  |
| PRBCs > 4 units transfused | 73/248 | 65/172 | 0.073 | 3.214  |  |
| PRBCs > 8 units transfused | 28/248 | 40/172 | 0.001 | 10.716  |  |
| FFP transfusion (U) | 0 (0–2250) | 0 (0–2800) | 0.171 | −1.37  |  |
| PLT transfusion (U) | 0 (0–1) | 0 (0–1) | 0.035 | −2.104  |  |
| Autologous blood transfusion (ml) | 220 (0–2700) | 217 (0–2144) | 0.527 | −0.633  |  |
| Operative fluids transfusion (ml) | 4000 (1000–15,600) | 3700 (1700–17,700) | 0.137 | −1.487  |  |
| Blood loss within postoperative 24 h (ml) | 35 (0–2210) | 40 (0–1908) | 0.555 | −0.590  |  |
| Postoperative length of stay (d) | 5 (2–17) | 5 (2–16) | 0.497 | −0.68  |  |
| Maternal ICU admission of days (d) | 2 (1–5) | 2 (1–6) | 0.310 | −1.015  |  |
| Total hospitalization costs (CYN) | 45,624.4 ± 11,061.9 | 37,523.1 ± 14,662.2 | 0.000 | −6.074  |  |
| Surgery costs (CYN) | 19,910.6 ± 2622.6 | 11,850.5 ± 3146.1 | 0.000 | −27.328  |  |
| Maternal ICU admission rate (n%) | 42/248 (16.94%) | 47/172 (27.33%) | 0.010 | 6.565  |  |
| Postoperative pyrexia (≥38.5 °C) n% | 24/248 (9.68%) | 10/172 (5.81%) | 0.153 | 2.038  |  |
| Postoperative anemia (HGB < 100 g/L, n%) | 158/248 (63.71%) | 103/172 (59.88%) | 0.427 | 0.632  |  |
| Cesarean hysterectomy (n%) | 90/248 (36.3%) | 61/172 (35.5%) | 0.862 | 0.03  |  |
| Bladder injury (%) | 8/248 (3.23%) | 5/172 (2.91%) | 0.835 | 0.034  |  |
| Ureter injury | 6/248 (2.42%) | 3/172 (1.74%) | 0.638 | 0.221  |  |
| Vesico vaginal fistula | 0/248 | 0/172 | / | /  |  |

Note—Data are presented as mean ± SD, or as median (Range) or as number (%)
EBL: estimated blood loss; PRBCs: packed red blood cells; FFP: fresh frozen plasma; PLT: platelet; ICU: intensive care unit
with placenta accreta in the intervention group and 14 cases in the control group found that there were no significant differences in calculated blood loss (4950 verus 4709 mL) and PRBC units transfused (5.2 verus 4.1 U) between the intervention and control groups [25, 26]. Chen et al. compared 83 patients with placenta previa and accreta who underwent cesarean hysterectomy in the balloon group and 31 patients in the control group, they found PBOIIA had no significant effects on reducing EBL (3000 vs 3700 mL) and improving maternal outcomes [27]. These findings suggested that PBOIIA had no benefit in patients with placenta accreta.

Plenty of studies have investigated the effect of PBOIIA, but the sample sizes were small and the power of the studies was limited. We conducted a large-sample study to evaluate the effectiveness and practicality of PBOIIA for patients with placenta previa and accreta. In our study, we included 420 patients with placenta previa and accreta, and divided them into the balloon (248 cases) and control groups (172 cases). The results of this study showed that PBOIIA could reduce the rate of intraoperative PRBCs>8 units (11.29% verus 23.26%), but it has no benefit in improving maternal outcomes of reducing intraoperative blood loss [2200 (500–12,000) verus 2150 (500–15,000)] and hysterectomy rates (36.3% verus 35.5%), which are consistent with the previous negative finding that PBOIIA had no significant effects on improving maternal outcomes. In clinical practice, it was found that the application of PBOIIA could reduce the intraoperative bleeding rate of patients to a certain extent, and then the surgeons and anesthesiologists could more accurately assess the amount of blood loss and achieve reasonable blood transfusion for patients taking the PBOIIA. Therefore, a more higher blood transfusion volume in the control group than that in the balloon group, even though there was no difference in blood loss, eventually leading to the inconsistency of intraoperative blood loss and blood transfusion between the two groups.

Among the included patients, some underwent PTUI surgery simultaneously. A previous study showed that PTUI had a significant effect on reducing intraoperative blood loss and the hysterectomy rate [10]. Therefore, we conducted subgroup analysis to examine the effectiveness of PBOIIA when eliminating the effect of PTUI surgery during CS. We found that there were no significant differences in intraoperative EBL, but the rate of hysterectomy was significant decreased when PTUI surgery was taken. Additionally, no significant differences in postoperative blood indicators were found between the two groups.

This finding indicated that PBOIIA may have no beneficial effect on recovery of the blood indicators in patients after CS. However, the total hospitalization cost and surgery costs in the balloon group were significantly higher than those in the control group, which greatly increased the financial burden of patients. Therefore, we should take a cautious attitude towards PBOIIA according to the individual situation of the patients. Abdominal aorta artery balloon occlusion is more effective than internal iliac artery occlusion in hemostasis in patients with placenta previa and accreta, but it is associated with a higher risk of vascular-related complications [5]. The indications of abdominal aorta artery balloon occlusion should be strictly controlled in clinical practice. Further studies are required to compare the advantages and disadvantages of the two types of intervention surgery in patients with placenta previa and accreta [5, 28].

Balloon occlusion-related complications are rarely reported, with a rate of approximately 6–15.8%, and these include ischemia, thrombosis, pain, fever, anemia, hematoma, and infection [29, 30]. In our study, there

| Indicators                  | Group A1 PTUI surgery (n = 58) | Group A2 non-PTUI surgery (n = 190) | P     | z/x²  |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------|-------|
| EBL (ml)                    | 2200 (900–5500)                | 2200 (500–12,000)                  | 0.456 | -0.745|
| Cesarean hysterectomy (n%)  | 3/58 (5.17%)                  | 87/190 (45.79%)                    | 0.000 | 31.707|

Note-Data are presented as mean ± SD, or as number (%)

PTUI parallel transverse uterine incision; EBL estimated blood loss

| Indicators                  | Group B1 PTUI surgery (n = 28) | Group B2 non-PTUI surgery (n = 144) | P     | t/x²  |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------|-------|
| EBL (ml)                    | 2200 (1000–6000)                | 2100 (500–15,000)                  | 0.771 | -0.291|
| Cesarean hysterectomy (n%)  | 2/28 (7.14%)                   | 59/144 (40.97%)                    | 0.001 | 11.72 |

Note-Data are presented as mean ± SD, or as number (%)

PTUI parallel transverse uterine incision; EBL estimated blood loss
were no significant differences in the rates of postoperative fever (≥38.5 °C) and anemia (HGB < 100 g/L). There were also no balloon occlusion-related complications in patients after major surgery in our study, such as ischemia and thrombosis.

Strengths and limitations
The main advantage of our study is that the number of included patients was larger than that in previous studies, and therefore, the results are more reliable. We also performed subgroup analysis to evaluate the effectiveness of PTUI surgery after eliminating the effect of PBOIIA on hemorrhage, further confirm the efficacy of PTUI surgery and provide a more reliable analysis of the results. Our study also bears some limitation. Firstly, our study is a retrospective, single-center study. Additionally, our study was observational, and the included subjects could not be randomly allocated. Therefore, the selection bias may have been present. However, we calculated the basic characteristics and preoperative imaging indicators of the two groups, and the demographic of the two selected groups were matched.

Conclusion
Our study shows that PBOIIA could reduce the intraoperative PRBCs in patients with massive hemorrhage, but it has no benefit in improving maternal outcomes of reducing intraoperative blood loss and hysterectomy rates for patients with placenta previa and accreta, additionally, PBOIIA significantly increased the financial burden of patients. When the effect of PTUI surgery on hemorrhage is eliminated, there is still no significant difference in intraoperative EBL and the hysterectomy rate. Therefore, PBOIIA should not be routinely recommended to patients with placenta previa and accreta.

Abbreviations
PBOIIA: prophylactic balloon occlusion of the internal iliac arteries; CS: cesarean section; PTUI: parallel transverse uterine incision; EBL: estimated blood loss
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