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Abstract

We propose a spectral method by using the Jacobi functions for computing eigenvalue gaps and their distribution statistics of the fractional Schrödinger operator (FSO). In the problem, in order to get reliable gaps distribution statistics, we have to calculate accurately and efficiently a very large number of eigenvalues, e.g. up to thousands or even millions eigenvalues, of an eigenvalue problem related to the FSO. For simplicity, we start with the eigenvalue problem of FSO in one dimension (1D), reformulate it into a variational formulation and then discretize it by using the Jacobi spectral method. Our numerical results demonstrate that the proposed Jacobi spectral method has several advantages over the existing finite difference method (FDM) and finite element method (FEM) for the problem: (i) the Jacobi spectral method is spectral accurate, while the FDM and FEM are only first order accurate; and more importantly (ii) under a fixed number of degree of freedoms $M$, the Jacobi spectral method can calculate accurately a large number of eigenvalues with the number proportional to $M$, while the FDM and FEM perform badly when a large number of eigenvalues need to be calculated. Thus the proposed Jacobi spectral method is extremely suitable and demanded for the discretization of an eigenvalue problem when a large number of eigenvalues need to be calculated. Then the Jacobi spectral method is applied to study numerically the asymptotics of the nearest neighbour gaps, average gaps, minimum gaps, normalized gaps and their distribution statistics in 1D. Based on our numerical results, several interesting numerical observations (or conjectures) about eigenvalue gaps and their distribution statistics of the FSO in 1D are formulated. Finally, the Jacobi spectral method is extended to the directional fractional Schrödinger operator in high dimensions and extensive numerical results about eigenvalue gaps and their distribution statistics are reported.
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1. Introduction

Consider the eigenvalue problem of the fractional Schrödinger operator (FSO) (or time-independent fractional Schrödinger equation) in one dimension (1D):

Find $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and a nonzero real-valued function $u(x) \neq 0$ such that

$$L_{\text{FSO}} u(x) := \left[-\partial_{xx}^{\alpha/2} + V(x)\right] u(x) = \lambda u(x), \quad x \in \Omega := (a, b),$$

$$u(x) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega^c := \mathbb{R} \setminus \Omega,$$

(1.1)
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where $0 < \alpha \leq 2$, $V(x) \in L^2(\Omega)$ is a given real-valued function and the fractional Laplacian operator (FLO) $(-\partial_{xx})^{\alpha/2}$ is defined via the Fourier transform (see [63, 21, 40] and references therein) as

$$(-\partial_{xx})^{\alpha/2} u(x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(|\xi|^\alpha (\mathcal{F}u)(\xi)), \quad x, \xi \in \mathbb{R},$$

with $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ the Fourier transform and inverse Fourier transform [16, 40, 31], respectively. We remark here that an alternative way to define $(-\partial_{xx})^{\alpha/2}$ is through the principle value integral (see [56, 58, 25, 13, 24] and references therein) as

$$(-\partial_{xx})^{\alpha/2} u(x) := C_1^\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{1+\alpha}} dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $C_1^\alpha$ is a constant whose value can be computed explicitly as

$$C_1^\alpha = \frac{2^\alpha \Gamma((1 + \alpha)/2)}{\pi^{1/2} \Gamma(-\alpha/2)} = \frac{\alpha \Gamma((1 + \alpha)/2)}{2^{1-\alpha} \pi^{1/2} \Gamma(1 - \alpha/2)}.$$

Another remark here is that the problem (1.1) is equivalent to the problem defined on the whole $x$-axis $\mathbb{R}$ by taking the potential $V(x) = +\infty$ for $x \in \Omega^c$. When $\alpha = 2$, (1.1) collapses to the (classical) time-independent Schrödinger equation (or a standard Sturm-Liouville eigenvalue problem) which has been widely used for determining energy levels and their corresponding stationary states of a quantum particle within an external potential $V(x)$ in quantum physics and chemistry [23] and many other areas [12, 20, 22]. When $\alpha = 1$, the FLO $(-\Delta)^{1/2}$ and its variation $(\beta - \Delta)^{1/2}$ with $\beta > 0$ a constant have been widely adopted in representing Coulomb interaction and dipole-dipole interaction in two dimensions [5, 7, 17, 34] and modeling relativistic quantum mechanics for boson star [28, 6]. When $0 < \alpha < 2$, (1.1) is usually referred as the time-independent fractional Schrödinger equation (or fractional eigenvalue problem) which has been widely adopted for computing energy levels and their stationary states in fractional quantum mechanics [43, 7, 17], polariton condensation and quantum fluids of lights [18, 50], while the FSO can be interpreted via the Feynman path integral approach over Brownian-like quantum paths or over the Lévy-like quantum paths, see [61, 43, 55] and references therein.

Without loss of generality, we assume that $V(x)$ is non-negative, i.e. $V(x) \geq 0$ for $x \in \Omega$. Since all eigenvalues of (1.1) are distinct (or all spectrum are discrete and no continuous spectrum), we can rank (or order) all eigenvalues of (1.1) as

$$0 < \lambda_1^\alpha < \lambda_2^\alpha \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_n^\alpha \leq \ldots,$$

where the times that an eigenvalue $\lambda$ of (1.1) appears in the above sequence (1.4) is the same as its algebraic multiplicity. When $V(x) \equiv 0$ for $x \in \Omega$, all eigenvalues of (1.1) are simple eigenvalues, i.e. their algebraic multiplicities are all equal to 1, then all $\leq$ in (1.4) can be replaced by $\prec$. Define the nearest neighbor gaps as [33]

$$\delta_{nn}(N) := \lambda_{n+1}^\alpha - \lambda_n^\alpha, \quad N = 1, 2, 3, \ldots,$$

where when $N = 1$, i.e., $\delta_{nn}(1) = \lambda_2^\alpha - \lambda_1^\alpha := \delta_0^\alpha (\alpha)$ (i.e. the difference between the first two smallest eigenvalues) is called as the fundamental gap of the FSO (1.1), which has been studied analytically and/or numerically for $\alpha = 2$ [3, 18] and $0 < \alpha \leq 2$ [9, 13]; the minimum gaps as [13, 54]

$$\delta_{\text{min}}(N) := \min_{1 \leq n \leq N} \delta_{nn}(n) = \min_{1 \leq n \leq N} \lambda_{n+1}^\alpha - \lambda_n^\alpha, \quad N = 1, 2, 3, \ldots;$$

the average gaps as [33]

$$\delta_{\text{ave}}(N) := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \delta_{nn}(n) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (\lambda_{n+1}^\alpha - \lambda_n^\alpha) = \frac{\lambda_{N+1}^\alpha - \lambda_1^\alpha}{N}, \quad N = 1, 2, \cdots.$$

In addition, if there exist constants $\gamma > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{\lambda_n^\alpha}{n^\gamma} = C > 0,$$
then the normalized gaps (or “unfolding” local statistics in the physics literature) are defined as
\[ \delta_{\text{norm}}^\alpha (N) := y_{N+1}^\alpha - y_N^\alpha, \quad N = 1, 2, \ldots, \]  
(1.9)
where
\[ y_n^\alpha := \left( \frac{\lambda_n^\alpha}{\alpha + 1} \right)^{1/\gamma}, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots. \]  
(1.10)

Then an interesting question is to study their asymptotics, i.e. the behaviour of \( \delta_{\text{nn}}^\alpha(N) \), \( \delta_{\text{min}}^\alpha(N) \), \( \delta_{\text{ave}}^\alpha(N) \) and \( \delta_{\text{norm}}^\alpha(N) \) when \( N \rightarrow +\infty \), and another interesting and very challenging question is to study the level spacing distribution \( P_\alpha(s) := \text{limiting distribution of the normalized gaps } \delta_{\text{norm}}^\alpha(N) \), which is defined as
\[ \frac{\# \{ 1 \leq n \leq N \mid \delta_{\text{norm}}^\alpha(n) < x \} }{N} \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^x P_\alpha(s) ds, \quad 0 \leq x < +\infty, \]  
(1.11)
where \( \#S \) denotes the number of elements in the set \( S \).

When \( \alpha = 2 \) and \( V(x) \equiv 0 \) in (1.1), it collapses to a standard Sturm-Liouville eigenvalue problem of the Laplacian operator as
\[ L_{\text{SO}} u(x) := -\partial_{xx} u(x) = -u''(x) = \lambda u(x), \quad x \in \Omega = (a, b), \]  
\[ u(a) = u(b) = 0. \]  
(1.12)
The eigenvalues and their corresponding eigenfunctions of (1.12) can be obtained analytically via the sine series as
\[ \lambda_n^{\alpha=2} = \left( \frac{n\pi}{b-a} \right)^2, \quad u_n(x) = \sin \left( \frac{n\pi(x-a)}{b-a} \right), \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots. \]  
(1.13)
These results immediately imply that the fundamental gap \( \delta_{\text{lf}}(\alpha = 2) = \frac{3\pi^2}{(b-a)^2} \) and
\[ \delta_{\text{nn}}^{\alpha=2}(N) = \left( \frac{(N+1)\pi}{b-a} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{N\pi}{b-a} \right)^2 = \frac{\pi^2}{(b-a)^2}(2N+1), \]  
\[ \delta_{\text{min}}^{\alpha=2}(N) \equiv \delta_{\text{nn}}^{\alpha=2}(N = 1) = \frac{3\pi^2}{(b-a)^2}, \quad N = 1, 2, \ldots; \]  
(1.14)
\[ \delta_{\text{ave}}^{\alpha=2}(N) = \frac{1}{N} \left[ \left( \frac{(N+1)\pi}{b-a} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{\pi}{b-a} \right)^2 \right] = \frac{\pi^2}{(b-a)^2}(N+2), \]  
\[ \delta_{\text{norm}}^{\alpha=2}(N) = y_{N+1}^{\alpha=2} - y_N^{\alpha=2} = N + 1 - N \equiv 1, \]  
where
\[ y_n^{\alpha=2} = \sqrt{\lambda_n^{\alpha=2} / \left( \frac{\pi}{b-a} \right)^2} = \sqrt{n^2} = n, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots. \]  
From the last equation in (1.14), one can immediately obtain the level spacing distribution defined in (1.11) for \( \alpha = 2 \) as
\[ P_{\alpha=2}(s) = \delta(s-1), \quad s \geq 0, \]  
(1.15)
where \( \delta(\cdot) \) is the Dirac delta function.

When \( \alpha = 2 \) and \( V(x) \neq 0 \) in (1.1), it collapses to a standard Sturm-Liouville eigenvalue problem, which has been extensively studied in the literature. For analytical results, we refer to [38, 42, 32] and references therein. For numerical methods and results, we refer to [12, 4, 59] and references therein.

When \( 0 < \alpha < 2 \), in general, one cannot find the eigenvalues of the eigenvalue problem (1.1) analytically and/or explicitly. For mathematical theories of the eigenvalue problem (1.1), we refer to [27, 32] and references therein. Some numerical methods have been proposed to solve (1.1) numerically, including an asymptotic method was proposed in [63], a finite element method (FEM) [14] with piecewise linear element.
was presented in [35] and a finite difference method (FDM) was studied in [26]. The FDM and FEM are usually first order accurate when $0 < \alpha < 2$ and they can be adapted to compute the first several eigenvalues. However, if we want to compute accurately and efficiently a very large number of eigenvalues, e.g. up to thousands or even millions eigenvalues, of the eigenvalue problem (1.1) in order to obtain a reliable gaps distribution statistics, the FDM and FEM have severe drawbacks. The main aim of this paper is to propose a spectral method by using the generalized Jacobi functions for computing different eigenvalue gaps and their distribution statistics of the fractional eigenvalue problem related to FSO (1.1). The proposed numerical method has at least two advantages: (i) it is spectral accurate, and more importantly (ii) under a fixed number of degree of freedoms (DOF) $M$, it can calculate accurately a large number of eigenvalues with the number proportional to $M$. Thus this method is a very good candidate for solving our problem, i.e. to compute eigenvalue gaps and their distribution statistics of the fractional eigenvalue problem (1.1).

Based on our extensive numerical results and observations, we speculate the following:

Conjecture (Gaps and their distribution statistics of FSO in (1.1) without potential) Assume $0 < \alpha < 2$ and $V(x) \equiv 0$ in (1.1), then we have the following asymptotics of its eigenvalues:

$$
\lambda_n^\alpha = \left( \frac{n\pi}{b-a} \right)^\alpha - \left( \frac{\pi}{b-a} \right)^\alpha \frac{\alpha(2 - \alpha)}{4} n^{\alpha-1} + O(n^{\alpha-2}) = \lambda_{loc}^\alpha(n) \left[ 1 - \frac{\alpha(2 - \alpha)}{4n} + O(n^{-2}) \right], \quad n \geq 1, \quad (1.16)
$$

where $\lambda_{loc}^\alpha(n) = \left( \frac{n\pi}{b-a} \right)^\alpha (n = 1, 2, \ldots)$ are the eigenvalues of the local fractional Laplacian operator on $\Omega = (a, b)$ with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition [8]. From (1.16), we obtain immediately the following approximations of different gaps:

$$
\delta_{\text{in}}^\alpha(N) \approx \left( \frac{\pi}{b-a} \right)^\alpha \left[ \alpha N^{\alpha-1} + \frac{\alpha(\alpha - 1)(2 + \alpha)}{4} N^{\alpha-2} + O(N^{\alpha-3}) \right], \quad 0 < \alpha < 1,
$$

$$
\delta_{\text{min}}^\alpha(N) = \begin{cases} 
\alpha N^{\alpha-1} + \frac{\alpha(\alpha - 1)(2 + \alpha)}{4} N^{\alpha-2} + O(N^{\alpha-3}) & , \quad 0 < \alpha < 1 \\
\delta_{\text{in}}^\alpha(N) = \lambda_{N+1}^\alpha - \lambda_N^\alpha \approx \alpha \left( \frac{\pi}{b-a} \right)^\alpha N^{\alpha-1} & , \quad 0 < \alpha < 1 \\
\delta_{\text{ave}}^\alpha(N) \approx \left( \frac{\pi}{b-a} \right)^\alpha \left[ 1 + \frac{\alpha(2 + \alpha)}{4} N^{\alpha-2} + O(N^{\alpha-1}) \right], \quad 1 < \alpha < 2 \\
\delta_{\text{norm}}^\alpha(N) \approx \left[ N^{\alpha-1} + \frac{\alpha(2 + \alpha)}{4} N^{\alpha-2} + O(N^{\alpha-1}) \right], \quad 0 < \alpha < 1 \\
\end{cases} \quad (1.17)
$$

In addition, for the gaps distribution statistics defined in (1.1), we have

$$
P_\alpha(s) = \delta(s - 1), \quad s \geq 0, \quad 0 < \alpha \leq 2. \quad (1.18)
$$

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we begin with some scaling properties of (1.1) and propose a spectral-Galerkin method by using the generalized Jacobi functions to discretize the fractional eigenvalue problem (1.1). In Section 3, we test the accuracy and resolution capacity (or trust region) with respect to the DOF $M$ of the proposed Jacobi spectral method and compare it with the existing numerical methods such as FDM and FEM. In Section 4, we apply the proposed numerical method to study numerically asymptotics of different eigenvalue gaps and their distribution statistics of (1.1) without potential and formulate several interesting numerical observations (or conjectures). Similar results are reported in Section 5 for (1.1) with potential. Extensions of the numerical method and results to the directional fractional Schrödinger operator in high dimensions are presented in Section 6. Finally, some conclusions are drawn in Section 7.
2. A Jacobi spectral method

In this section, we begin with a scaling argument to the problem (1.1) so as to reduce it on a standard interval \((-1, 1)\), then reformulate it into a variational formulation and discretize the problem by using the Jacobi spectral method.

2.1. Scaling property

Introduce
\[
x_0 = \frac{a + b}{2}, \quad L = \frac{b - a}{2}, \quad \tilde{x} = \frac{x - x_0}{L}, \quad \tilde{V}(\tilde{x}) = L^\alpha V(x), \quad x \in \Omega = (a, b),
\]
and consider the re-scaled fractional eigenvalue problem:
\[
\tilde{L}_{\text{FSO}} \tilde{u}(\tilde{x}) := \left[(-\partial_{\tilde{x}})^{\alpha/2} + \tilde{V}(\tilde{x})\right] \tilde{u}(\tilde{x}) = \tilde{\lambda} \tilde{u}(\tilde{x}), \quad \tilde{x} \in \tilde{\Omega} := (-1, 1),
\]
then we have

Lemma 2.1. Let \(\tilde{\lambda}\) be an eigenvalue of (2.2) and \(\tilde{u} := \tilde{u}(\tilde{x})\) be the corresponding eigenfunction, then \(\lambda = L^{-\alpha} \tilde{\lambda}\) is an eigenvalue of (1.1) and \(u := u(x) = \tilde{u}(\frac{x - x_0}{L})\) is the corresponding eigenfunction. Assume that \(0 < \tilde{\lambda}_1 < \tilde{\lambda}_2 \leq \ldots \leq \tilde{\lambda}_N \leq \ldots\) (ranked as in (1.4)) with \(\tilde{\lambda}_n = L^{-\alpha} \lambda_n\) \((n = 1, 2, \ldots)\) are all eigenvalues of (2.2), then \(0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_N \leq \ldots\) \((\text{ranked as in (1.4))\) with \(\lambda_n = L^{-\alpha} \lambda_{\ast n}\) \((n = 1, 2, \ldots)\) are all eigenvalues of (1.1). In addition, we have the scaling property on the different gaps as
\[
\delta_{\text{un}}(N) = L^{-\alpha} \delta_{\text{un}}(N), \quad \text{with} \quad \delta_{\text{un}}(N) := \tilde{\lambda}_N - \tilde{\lambda}_{N+1},
\]
\[
\delta_{\text{min}}(N) = L^{-\alpha} \delta_{\text{min}}(N), \quad \text{with} \quad \delta_{\text{min}}(N) := \min_{1 \leq n \leq N} \delta_{\text{in}}(n),
\]
\[
\delta_{\text{ave}}(N) = L^{-\alpha} \delta_{\text{ave}}(N), \quad \text{with} \quad \delta_{\text{ave}}(N) := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \delta_{\text{in}}(n), \quad N = 1, 2, \ldots;
\]
\[
\delta_{\text{norm}}(N) = \delta_{\text{norm}}(N), \quad \text{with} \quad \delta_{\text{norm}}(N) := \tilde{y}_N - \tilde{y}_{N+1}, \quad \tilde{y}_N = \left(\frac{\tilde{\lambda}_N}{L^\alpha C}\right)^{1/\gamma},
\]
which immediately imply that the level spacing distribution \(P_\alpha(s)\) of (1.1) does not change under the rescaling (2.1), i.e. the problems (1.1) and (2.2) have the same level spacing distribution.

Proof: From (1.3) and noticing (2.1), a direct computation implies the scaling property of the fractional Laplacian operator
\[
(-\partial_{\tilde{x}})^{\alpha/2} u(x) = C_1^\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{1+\alpha}} \, dy = C_1^\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{u(x_0 + L\tilde{x}) - u(x_0 + L\tilde{y})}{|x_0 + L\tilde{x} - x_0 - L\tilde{y}|^{1+\alpha}} \, L \, d\tilde{y} = L^{-\alpha} C_1^\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\tilde{u}(\tilde{x}) - \tilde{u}(\tilde{y})}{|\tilde{x} - \tilde{y}|^{1+\alpha}} \, d\tilde{y} = L^{-\alpha} (-\partial_{\tilde{x}})^{\alpha/2} \tilde{u}(\tilde{x}), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad \tilde{x} \in \tilde{\Omega}.
\]

Noticing
\[
u(x) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega^c \iff \tilde{u}(\tilde{x}) = 0, \quad \tilde{x} \in \tilde{\Omega}^c.
\]
Substituting (2.4) into (2.2), noting (1.1), we get
\[
\tilde{\lambda} \tilde{u}(\tilde{x}) = \left[(-\partial_{\tilde{x}})^{\alpha/2} + \tilde{V}(\tilde{x})\right] \tilde{u}(\tilde{x}) = \left[L^\alpha \left(-\partial_{\tilde{x}}\right)^{\alpha/2} + \tilde{V}\left(\frac{x - x_0}{L}\right)\right] u(x) = L^\alpha \left(-\partial_{\tilde{x}}\right)^{\alpha/2} + L^{-\alpha} \tilde{V}\left(\frac{x - x_0}{L}\right) \right] u(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad \tilde{x} \in \tilde{\Omega}.
\]
which immediately implies that \( u(x) \) is an eigenfunction of the operator \((-\partial_{xx})^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} + V(x)\) with the eigenvalue \( \lambda = L^{-\alpha}\lambda \).

From the assumption \((1.4)\) with \( \Omega = (-1, 1) \) that \( 0 < \tilde{\lambda}_1 < \tilde{\lambda}_2 < \ldots \leq \tilde{\lambda}_n \leq \ldots \) are all eigenvalues of \((2.2)\), we get immediately that \( 0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_n \leq \ldots \) with \( \lambda_n = L^{-\alpha}\lambda_n \) \((n = 1, 2, \ldots)\) are all eigenvalues of the eigenvalue problem \((1.1)\). Then the scaling property on the different gaps \((2.3)\) can be obtained straightforward by using \( \lambda_n = L^n\lambda_n \) \((n = 1, 2, \ldots)\).

\[ \square \]

2.2. A variational formulation

Following those in the literature \([39, 30]\), we introduce the fractional functional space \( H^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}) \) through the Fourier transform

\[ H^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}) = \{ v \in D'(\mathbb{R}) \mid \| v \|_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, \mathbb{R}} < \infty \}, \quad (2.7) \]

where the norms are defined as

\[ |v|_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, \mathbb{R}} = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\xi|^{\alpha} |(Fv)(\xi)|^2 \, d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \| v \|_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, \mathbb{R}} = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} |(Fv)(\xi)|^2 \, d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}; \quad (2.8) \]

and then the fractional functional space \( H^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\Omega) \) can be obtained from \( H^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}) \) by extension \([39, 30]\)

\[ H^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\Omega) = \{ v : \Omega \to \mathbb{R} \mid \hat{v} = E_{\Omega}v \in H^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}) \}, \quad (2.9) \]

where the norms are defined as

\[ |v|_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, \Omega} := |v|_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, \mathbb{R}} = |E_{\Omega}v|_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, \mathbb{R}}, \quad \| v \|_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, \Omega} := \| E_{\Omega}v \|_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, \mathbb{R}}, \quad \forall v \in H^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\Omega), \quad (2.10) \]

with \( \hat{v}(x) = (E_{\Omega}v)(x) \) \((x \in \Omega)\) defined as

\[ \hat{v}(x) = (E_{\Omega}v)(x) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} v(x), & x \in \Omega, \\ 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}\setminus\Omega. \end{array} \right. \quad (2.11) \]

For any \( v \in H^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\Omega) \), multiplying \( v \) to \((1.1)\) and integrating over \( \Omega \) and using integration by parts, we obtain the variational (or weak) formulation of the fractional eigenvalue problem \((1.1)\) as:

\[ a(u, v) = \lambda b(u, v), \quad \forall v \in H^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\Omega), \quad (2.12) \]

where the bilinear forms \( a(\cdot, \cdot) \) and \( b(\cdot, \cdot) \) are given as

\[ a(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} \left[ (-\partial_{xx})^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u + V(x)u \right] v \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \left[ (-\partial_{xx})^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u (-\partial_{xx})^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} v + V(x)uv \right] \, dx, \]

\[ b(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} u(x)v(x) \, dx, \quad \forall u, v \in H^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\Omega). \quad (2.13) \]

2.3. A spectral discretization by using the Jacobi functions

Since we are mainly interested in gaps and their distribution statistics, from the results in Lemma 2.1, without loss of generality, from now on, we always assume that \( \Omega = (-1, 1) \), i.e. \( a = -1 \) and \( b = 1 \) in \((1.1)\).

Let \( \{ P_m^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(x) \}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) denote the classical Jacobi polynomials (or Gegenbauer polynomials) which are orthogonal with respect to the weight function \( \omega^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(x) = (1 - x^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \) over the interval \((-1, 1)\), i.e.

\[ \int_{-1}^{1} P_m^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(x) P_n^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(x) \omega^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(x) \, dx = C_n\delta_{nm}, \quad n, m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, \quad (2.14) \]

where \( \delta_{nm} \) is the kronecker delta and

\[ C_n = \frac{2n+1}{2n+\alpha+1} \frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha/2+1)^2}{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)n!}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \quad (2.15) \]
Define the generalized Jacobi functions
\[ J_n^{\alpha,-\beta}(x) = (1 - x^2)^{\beta/2} P_n^{\alpha,-\beta}(x) = \omega^{\alpha,\beta}(x) P_n^{\alpha,-\beta}(x), \quad -1 \leq x \leq 1, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, \]  
then by Theorem 2 in Ref. [44], we have
\[ (-\partial_{xx})^2 J_n^{\alpha,-\beta}(x) = \frac{\Gamma(n + \alpha + 1)}{n!} P_n^{\alpha,-\beta}(x), \quad -1 < x < 1, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots. \]  
Combining (2.16) and (2.17), we obtain
\[ \int_{-1}^{1} (-\partial_{xx})^2 J_n^{\alpha,-\beta}(x) J_m^{\alpha,-\beta}(x) dx = \int_{-1}^{1} J_n^{\alpha,-\beta}(x) (-\partial_{xx})^2 J_m^{\alpha,-\beta}(x) dx \]
\[ \quad = \frac{\Gamma(n + \alpha + 1)}{n!} \int_{-1}^{1} P_n^{\alpha,-\beta}(x) P_m^{\alpha,-\beta}(x) dx \]
\[ \quad = \frac{2^{n+1} \Gamma(n + \alpha/2 + 1)^2}{(n!)^2 (2n + \alpha + 1)} \delta_{nm}, \quad n, m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots. \]  
Introduce
\[ \phi_n(x) := \sqrt{2n + \alpha + 1} \frac{\sqrt{\Gamma(n + \alpha + 1)}}{2^{n/2 + 1} \Gamma(n + \alpha/2 + 1)} J_n^{\alpha,-\beta}(x), \quad -1 \leq x \leq 1, \quad n, m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots. \]  
Let \( M > 0 \) be a positive integer and define the finite dimensional space (which is an approximate subspace of \( H^{2\beta}(\Omega) \)) as
\[ \mathcal{W}_M := \text{span} \{ \phi_m(x), \ 0 \leq m \leq M - 1 \}, \]
then a Jacobi spectral method (JSM) for (2.12) is given as:
Find \( \lambda_M \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( 0 \neq u_M \in \mathcal{W}_M \) such that
\[ a(u_M, v_M) = \lambda_M b(u_M, v_M), \quad \forall v_M \in \mathcal{W}_M. \]  
In order to cast the eigenvalue problem (2.21) into matrix form, we express \( u_M \in \mathcal{W}_M \) as a combination of the basis functions as
\[ u_M(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \hat{u}_m \phi_m(x), \quad -1 \leq x \leq 1. \]  
Plugging (2.22) into (2.21) and noticing (2.18), after some detailed computation, we obtain the following standard matrix eigenvalue problem:
\[ (I_M + V) \hat{U} = \lambda_M B \hat{U}, \]  
where \( \hat{U} = (\hat{u}_0, \hat{u}_1, \ldots, \hat{u}_{M-1})^T \in \mathbb{R}^M \) is the eigenvector, \( I_M \) is the \( M \times M \) identity matrix, and \( V = (v_{nm})_{0 \leq n, m \leq M-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times M} \) and \( B = (b_{nm})_{0 \leq n, m \leq M-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times M} \) are given as
\[ v_{nm} = \int_{-1}^{1} V(x) \phi_n(x) \phi_m(x) dx, \quad n, m = 0, 1, \ldots, M-1. \]  
Plugging (2.19) into the second equation in (2.24), after a detailed computation, we get
\[ b_{nm} = \begin{cases} \frac{(-1)^{n+m}}{2^{n+m+1} \Gamma(\alpha + \frac{n+m}{2} + \frac{3}{2}) \Gamma(\alpha + \frac{n-1}{2} + 1) \Gamma(\frac{m-1}{2} + 1)(n + m)!}, & n + m \text{ even}, \\ 0, & n + m \text{ odd}. \end{cases} \]  
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If \( V(x) \equiv 0 \), then \( V = 0 \). Of course, if \( V(x) \neq 0 \), then the integrals in the first equation in (2.24) can be computed numerically via numerical quadratures with spectral accuracy [62, 11]. Finally the matrix eigenvalue problem (2.23) can be solved numerically by the standard eigenvalue solvers such as QR-method [46]

We remark here that different numerical methods have been proposed in the literature for discretizing the fractional Laplacian operator \((-\partial_{xx})^{\alpha/2}\) via the formulation \([13, 12]\) or \([11, 35, 14]\) or their equivalent forms for numerical simulation of fractional partial differential equations, see \([11, 62, 21, 14, 19, 53, 21]\) and references therein. In fact, a method to discretize the fractional Laplacian operator \((-\partial_{xx})^{\alpha/2}\) can directly generate a method to solve the fractional eigenvalue problem (1.1). For example, a finite element method (FEM) with piecewise linear elements was proposed and analyzed in \([35, 14]\) for computing the eigenvalues of (1.1). Similarly, if we adopt the standard finite difference method to discretize the fractional Laplacian operator \((-\partial_{xx})^{\alpha/2}\) \([19, 41]\) in (1.1), we can obtain a finite difference method (FDM) for computing the eigenvalues of (1.1). The details are omitted here for brevity.

3. Accuracy and comparison with existing methods

In this section, we test the accuracy and resolution capacity of the Jacobi spectral method (JSM) presented in the previous section and compare it with the fractional centered finite difference method (FDM) proposed in \([62, 19]\) and the finite element method (FEM) with piecewise linear element proposed in \([35]\) for the eigenvalue problem (1.1) with \( \Omega = (-1, 1) \). The ‘exact’ eigenvalues \( \lambda_n^\alpha \) \((n = 1, 2, \ldots)\) are obtained numerically by using the JSM (2.21) under a very large DOF \( M = M_0 \), e.g. \( M_0 = 12800 \). Let \( \lambda_{n,M}^\alpha \) be the numerical approximation of \( \lambda_n^\alpha \) \((n = 1, 2, \ldots, M)\) obtained by a numerical method with the DOF chosen as \( M \). Define the absolute and relative errors of \( \lambda_n^\alpha \) as

\[
e_n^\alpha := |\lambda_n^\alpha - \lambda_{n,M}^\alpha|, \quad e_{n,r}^\alpha := \frac{|\lambda_n^\alpha - \lambda_{n,M}^\alpha|}{\lambda_n^\alpha}, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots, \tag{3.1}\]

respectively.

3.1. Accuracy test

We first test the convergence rates of different numerical methods for the eigenvalue problem (1.1) including the JSM (2.21), FEM \([35, 14]\) and FDM \([62, 19, 26]\). Table 1 displays the absolute errors of computing the first eigenvalue of (1.1) with \( V(x) \equiv 0 \) and different \( \alpha \) by using our JSM (2.21), FEM \([35]\) and FDM \([62, 19]\); and Table 2 lists the absolute errors of computing the first, second, fifth and tenth eigenvalues of (1.1) with \( \alpha = 0.5 \) and \( V(x) \equiv 0 \) by using those methods. For comparison with existing results, Table 3 lists the first three eigenvalues of (1.1) with \( V(x) \equiv 0 \) and different \( \alpha \) obtained by using our JSM (2.21) under the DOF \( M = 160 \) and the asymptotic method in \([63]\) under the DOF \( M = 5000 \). Figure 1 shows convergence rates of our JSM (2.21) for computing the first, second, fifth and tenth eigenvalues of (1.1) with \( V(x) \equiv 0 \) and different \( \alpha \); and Figure 2 lists similar results of (1.1) with \( V(x) = \frac{\pi}{2} \) and different \( \alpha \).

From Tabs. 1 & 2 and Figs. 1 & 2 and extensive additional results not shown here for brevity, we can draw the following conclusions: (i) For fixed DOF \( M \) and \( \alpha \in (0, 2) \), the errors from our JSM (2.21) are significantly smaller than those from the FEM \([35]\) and FDM \([62, 19]\) (cf. Tabs. 1 & 2). (ii) Both the FEM \([35]\) and FDM \([62, 19]\) converge almost quadratically and linearly with respect to the DOF \( M \) when \( \alpha = 2 \) and \( 0 < \alpha < 2 \), respectively (cf. Tabs. 1 & 2). (iii) Our JSM method (2.21) converges spectrally and super-linearly (or sub-spectrally) with respect to the DOF \( M \) when \( \alpha = 2 \) and \( 0 < \alpha < 2 \), respectively (cf. Fig. 1 & 2). (iv) In Tab. 3 the numerical results reported by our JSM (2.21) have at least eight significant digits when the DOF \( M \geq 160 \), while the results by the asymptotic method in \([63]\) have at most four significant digits even when the DOF \( M = 5000 \)! Thus our JSM method (2.21) is significantly accurate than those low-order numerical methods in the literatures for computing eigenvalues of the eigenvalue problem (1.1).
In order to get reliable gaps and their distribution statistics, we have to calculate accurately and efficiently a very large number of eigenvalues, e.g., up to thousands or even millions eigenvalues. Specifically we need to make sure that the numerical errors are much smaller than the minimum gap of those gaps which are used to find numerically the distribution statistics. In general, to solve the eigenvalue problem (1.1) by a numerical method with a given DOF used to find numerically the distribution statistics, i.e., the errors to them are quite small. We remark here that for the approximate eigenvalues is quite accurate (or the errors are quite small) [59]. To see whether how many eigenvalues or what fraction among the approximate eigenvalues can be used to find numerically the distribution statistics, i.e., the errors to them are quite small. We remark here that for the numerical the distribution statistics, i.e., the errors to them are quite small. We remark here that for the

### Table 1: Absolute errors of computing the first eigenvalue of (1.1) with $\Omega = (-1, 1)$, $V(x) \equiv 0$ and different $\alpha$ by using our JSM (2.21), FEM [35] and FDM [62, 19]

| $\alpha$ | $M = 2$ | $M = 4$ | $M = 8$ | $M = 16$ | $M = 32$ | $M = 64$ | $M = 128$ | $M = 256$ |
|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| $\alpha = 0.1$ | JSM | 3.12E-2 | 6.75E-2 | 3.56E-2 | 1.15E-2 | 8.63E-3 | 5.41E-3 | 3.21E-3 | 1.66E-3 |
|          | FEM | 3.12E-2 | 3.56E-2 | 1.15E-2 | 8.63E-3 | 5.41E-3 | 3.21E-3 | 1.66E-3 | 8.53E-4 |
|          | FDM | 3.12E-2 | 3.56E-2 | 1.15E-2 | 8.63E-3 | 5.41E-3 | 3.21E-3 | 1.66E-3 | 8.53E-4 |

### Table 2: Absolute errors of computing the first, second, fifth and tenth eigenvalues of (1.1) with $\Omega = (-1, 1)$, $V(x) \equiv 0$ and different $\alpha$ by using our JSM (2.21), FEM [35] and FDM [62, 19]

| $\alpha$ | $e_1^\alpha$ | $e_2^\alpha$ | $e_5^\alpha$ | $e_{10}^\alpha$ |
|----------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| $\alpha = 0.1$ | JSM | 3.12E-2 | 3.12E-2 | 3.12E-2 | 3.12E-2 |
|          | FEM | 3.12E-2 | 3.12E-2 | 3.12E-2 | 3.12E-2 |
|          | FDM | 3.12E-2 | 3.12E-2 | 3.12E-2 | 3.12E-2 |

3.2. Resolution capacity (or trust region) test

In order to get reliable gaps and their distribution statistics, we have to calculate accurately and efficiently a very large number of eigenvalues, e.g., up to thousands or even millions eigenvalues. Specifically we need to make sure that the numerical errors are much smaller than the minimum gap of those gaps which are used to find numerically the distribution statistics. In general, to solve the eigenvalue problem (1.1) by a numerical method with a given DOF $M$, we can obtain $M$ approximate eigenvalues. A key question is that how many eigenvalues or what fraction among the $M$ approximate eigenvalues can be used to find numerically the distribution statistics, i.e., the errors to them are quite small. We remark here that for the Schrödinger operator, i.e., $\alpha = 2$ in (1.1), by using a spectral method, it is proved that about $\frac{1}{3}$ fraction of the $M$ approximate eigenvalues is quite accurate (or the errors are quite small) [59]. To see whether this property is still valid for our JSM (2.21) for the FSO (1.1), Figure 3 displays the relative errors $e_{n,r}^\alpha$ ($n = 1, 2, \ldots, 6400$) of (1.1) with $V(x) \equiv 0$ and different $\alpha$ by using our JSM (2.21), FEM [35] and FDM [62, 19].
Table 3: The first three eigenvalues of $1.1$ with $\Omega = (-1, 1)$, $V(x) \equiv 0$ and different $\alpha$ obtained numerically by our JSM \cite{22} under the DOF $M = 160$ and the asymptotic method in \cite{63} under the DOF $M = 5000$.

| $\alpha$  | JSM \cite{22} | Ref. \cite{63} | JSM \cite{22} | Ref. \cite{63} | JSM \cite{22} | Ref. \cite{63} |
|----------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| $\alpha = 1.99$ | 2.443691434   | 2.442          | 9.73318159     | 9.729          | 21.82868373  | 21.829         |
| $\alpha = 1.9$  | 2.240593592   | 2.243          | 8.59575252     | 8.593          | 18.71689400  | 18.718         |
| $\alpha = 1.8$  | 2.048734983   | 2.048          | 7.50311692     | 7.501          | 15.7989416   | 15.801         |
| $\alpha = 1.5$  | 1.597503545   | 1.597          | 5.05975992     | 5.059          | 9.59403576   | 9.597          |
| $\alpha = 1.0$  | 1.15773883    | 1.158          | 2.75475474     | 2.754          | 4.31680106   | 4.320          |
| $\alpha = 0.5$  | 0.970165419   | 0.970          | 1.60153773     | 1.601          | 2.0282105    | 2.031          |
| $\alpha = 0.2$  | 0.95464477    | 0.957          | 1.19653989     | 1.197          | 1.31909097   | 1.320          |
| $\alpha = 0.1$  | 0.972594401   | 0.973          | 1.09219649     | 1.092          | 1.14672244   | 1.148          |
| $\alpha = 0.01$ | 0.996634628   | 0.997          | 1.00871791     | 1.009          | 1.01374130   | 1.014          

Figure 1: Convergence rates of computing different eigenvalues of $1.1$ with $\Omega = (-1, 1)$, $V(x) \equiv 0$ and different $\alpha$ by using our JSM \cite{22} for: (a) the first eigenvalue $\lambda_1^\alpha$, (b) the second eigenvalue $\lambda_2^\alpha$, (c) the fifth eigenvalue $\lambda_5^\alpha$, and (d) the tenth eigenvalue $\lambda_{10}^\alpha$.

From Fig. 3, we can see that our JSM \cite{22} is significantly better than FEM and FDM when a large number of eigenvalues are to be computed accurately. In fact, FEM and FDM can be used to compute the first a few eigenvalues of $1.1$. However, when a large amount of eigenvalues are needed, one has to adapt a spectral method such as our JSM \cite{22}.

To quantify the resolution capacity of our JSM \cite{22}, Figure 4 displays the relative errors $e_{n,r}^\alpha$ ($n = 1, 2, \ldots, M$) of $1.1$ with $V(x) \equiv 0$ and different $\alpha$ under different DOFs $M$, i.e., $M = 512, 2048$ and $8192$; and Figure 5 shows similar results when $V(x) = x^2$.
From Figs. 4 & 5 we can see that our JSM (2.21) under a given DOF $M$ has the following resolution capacity (or trust region)

$$e_{\alpha n, r} := |\lambda_{\alpha n} - \lambda_{\alpha n, M}| / \lambda_{\alpha n} \leq \varepsilon_0 := 10^{-9}, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots, c_r M,$$

with $c_r \approx 2 \pi > \frac{1}{2}$. \hfill (3.2)

4. Numerical results of FSO in 1D without potential

In this section, we report numerical results on eigenvalues of (1.1) with $\Omega = (-1, 1)$ and $V(x) \equiv 0$ by using our JSM (2.21) under the DOF $M = 8192$. All results are based on the first 4096 eigenvalues, i.e. we use half of the eigenvalues obtained numerically to present the results and to calculate distribution statistics.

4.1. Eigenvalues and their approximations

Figure 6a plots eigenvalues $\lambda_{\alpha n}^0$ ($n = 1, 2, \ldots$) and their leading order approximations as $\lambda_{\alpha n}^0 \approx \tilde{\lambda}_{\alpha n}^0 := (n \pi \alpha)^{\alpha}$ ($n = 1, 2, \ldots$), while $\lambda_{\alpha n}^0$ ($n = 1, 2, \ldots$) are the eigenvalues of the local fractional Laplacian operator on $\Omega = (-1, 1)$ with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition \cite{8}. Figure 6a displays the relative errors of the eigenvalues and their leading order approximations, i.e. $e_{\alpha n, r} := (\lambda_{\alpha n}^0 - \lambda_{\alpha n}^0) / \tilde{\lambda}_{\alpha n}^0$, which immediately suggests a high order approximation at $\lambda_{\alpha n}^0 \approx \tilde{\lambda}_{\alpha n}^0 := \tilde{\lambda}_{\alpha n}^0 \left(1 - C_{\alpha n}^0 \right)$ ($n = 1, 2, \ldots$). By fitting our numerical results, we can obtain numerically $C_{\alpha n}^0 = \frac{\alpha(2-\alpha)}{4}$ which is plotted in Figure 6c. Finally Figure 6d displays the absolute errors of the eigenvalues and their high order approximations, i.e. $e_{\alpha n} := |\lambda_{\alpha n}^0 - \tilde{\lambda}_{\alpha n}^0|$.
Figure 3: Relative errors of the first 6400 eigenvalue, i.e., $\varepsilon_\alpha(n) = e^{\alpha n,r}(n = 1, 2, \ldots, 6400)$ of (1.1) with $\Omega = (-1, 1)$ and $V(x) \equiv 0$ by using our JSM (2.21), FEM (35) and FDM (62, 19) under the DOF $M = 8192$ for: (a) $\alpha = 1.95$, (b) $\alpha = 1.5$, (c) $\alpha = 1.0$, and (d) $\alpha = 0.5$. A horizontal (dash) line with $\varepsilon_0 := 10^{-9}$ and a vertical (dash) line with $n := M/2$ are added in each sub-figure.

From Fig. 6, we can obtain numerically the following approximations of the eigenvalues of (1.1) with $\Omega = (-1, 1)$ and $V(x) \equiv 0$ as

$$\tilde{\lambda}_n^\alpha = \tilde{\lambda}_n^\alpha + O(n^{\alpha-2}) = \tilde{\lambda}_n^\alpha \left[ 1 - \frac{\alpha(2 - \alpha)}{4n} + O(n^{-2}) \right], \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots, \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$\tilde{\lambda}_n^\alpha = \left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right)^\alpha, \quad \tilde{\lambda}_n^\alpha = \left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right)^\alpha - \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^\alpha \frac{\alpha(2 - \alpha)}{4n^{\alpha-1}} = \tilde{\lambda}_n^\alpha \left[ 1 - \frac{\alpha(2 - \alpha)}{4n} \right], \quad n \geq 1, \quad 0 < \alpha \leq 2. \quad (4.2)$$

Combining (4.1) and Lemma 2.1, we can immediately obtain the conclusion (1.16).

To demonstrate high accuracy of our numerical method, Table 4 lists eigenvalues of (1.1) with $\Omega = (-1, 1)$ and $V(x) \equiv 0$ for different $\alpha$.

4.2. Asymptotic behaviour of different gaps

Figure 7 plots different eigenvalue gaps of (1.1) with $\Omega = (-1, 1), V(x) \equiv 0$ and different $\alpha$. From Fig. 7, we can draw the following conclusions based on our numerical results: (i) the nearest neighbour gaps $\delta_{\text{nn}}^\alpha(N)$ increase and decrease with respect to $N$ when $1 < \alpha \leq 2$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$, respectively; and they are almost constant when $\alpha = 1$ (cf. Fig. 7a). (ii) The minimum gaps $\delta_{\text{min}}^\alpha(N)$ are almost constants and decrease with respect to $N$ when $1 \leq \alpha \leq 2$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$, respectively (cf. Fig. 7b). (iii) The average gaps $\delta_{\text{ave}}^\alpha(N)$ increase and decrease with respect to $N$ when $1 < \alpha \leq 2$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$, respectively; and they are almost constant when $\alpha = 1$ (cf. Fig. 7c). (iv) The normalized gaps $\delta_{\text{norm}}^\alpha(N) \approx 1$ when $N \gg 1$ (cf. Fig. 7d).
Figure 4: Relative errors of the eigenvalues of (1.1) with $\Omega = (-1, 1)$ and $V(x) \equiv 0$ by using our JSM (2.21) under different DOFs $M$ for: (a) $\alpha = 1.95$, (b) $\alpha = 1.5$, (c) $\alpha = 1.0$, and (d) $\alpha = 0.5$. A horizontal (dash) line with $\varepsilon_0 = 10^{-9}$ and vertical (dash) lines with $n := M/2$ are added in each sub-figure.

| $\lambda_1$ | $\alpha = 0.1$ | $\alpha = 0.5$ | $\alpha = 1.0$ | $\alpha = 1.5$ | $\alpha = 1.95$ | $\alpha = 2.0$ |
|-------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| $\lambda_1$ | 0.9725944      | 0.9701654      | 1.157773883    | 1.597503546    | 2.35198053244  | 2.4674011002   |
| $\lambda_2$ | 1.0921964      | 1.6015377      | 2.754754742    | 5.059759928    | 9.2081242662   | 9.8696044010   |
| $\lambda_3$ | 1.1473224      | 2.0288210      | 4.316801066    | 9.594305767    | 20.383201062    | 22.066090002   |
| $\lambda_4$ | 1.1868395      | 2.3871563      | 5.892147470    | 15.018766212    | 35.794341632    | 39.478417604   |
| $\lambda_5$ | 1.2165513      | 2.6947426      | 7.460175739    | 21.189425897    | 55.373634328    | 61.685027560   |
| $\lambda_6$ | 1.2412799      | 2.9728059      | 9.032532690    | 28.035207791    | 79.079375438    | 88.826439609   |
| $\lambda_7$ | 1.2619743      | 3.2256090      | 10.69229309    | 35.488011031    | 106.871259423   | 120.90265391   |
| $\lambda_8$ | 1.2801923      | 3.4610502      | 12.17411826    | 43.507108689    | 138.718756729   | 157.91367041   |
| $\lambda_9$ | 1.2961956      | 3.6805940      | 13.74410905    | 52.051027490    | 174.59405184    | 199.85948912   |
| $\lambda_{10}$ | 1.3107082    | 3.8884472      | 15.31555499    | 61.092457389    | 214.473975149    | 246.74011002   |
| $\lambda_{20}$ | 1.4082270    | 5.5522311      | 31.02390309    | 174.43784577    | 829.68415066    | 986.96044010   |
| $\lambda_{30}$ | 1.5111219    | 7.8894197      | 62.43917339    | 495.95713648    | 3207.64320222    | 3947.8417604   |
| $\lambda_{50}$ | 1.5742803    | 9.6777480      | 93.85508924    | 912.11187382    | 7073.79138904    | 8882.6439609   |

Table 4: Eigenvalues of (1.1) with $\Omega = (-1, 1)$ and $V(x) \equiv 0$ for different $\alpha$.

In fact, based on the numerical asymptotic approximation (4.1), we can formally obtain the following
approximation of the nearest neighbour gaps as

\[
\delta_{\text{nn}}^\alpha(N) = \lambda_{N+1}^{\alpha} - \lambda_N^{\alpha} \approx \lambda_{N+1}^\alpha - \lambda_N^\alpha
\]
\[
= \left(\frac{(N+1)^\alpha}{2}\right)^\alpha - \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^\alpha \frac{\alpha(2-\alpha)}{4} \left(N + 1\right)^{\alpha - 1} - \left(\frac{N\pi}{2}\right)^\alpha \frac{\alpha(2-\alpha)}{4} N^{\alpha - 1}
\]
\[
= \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^\alpha \left[\left(\frac{N+1}{N}\right)^\alpha - N^{\alpha} - \frac{\alpha(2-\alpha)}{4} \left((N + 1)^{\alpha - 1} - N^{\alpha - 1}\right)\right]
\]
\[
= \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^\alpha \left[\frac{\alpha}{N} \left(\frac{\alpha - 1}{N^2} + O(N^{-3})\right) - \frac{\alpha(2-\alpha)}{4} N^{\alpha - 1} \left(\frac{\alpha - 1}{N} + O(N^{-2})\right)\right]
\]
\[
= \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^\alpha \left[\alpha N^{\alpha - 1} + \frac{\alpha(\alpha - 1)(2+\alpha)}{4} N^{\alpha - 2} + O(N^{\alpha - 3})\right], \quad N = 1, 2, \ldots. \tag{4.3}
\]

Again, this asymptotic results also confirm that the nearest neighbour gaps \(\delta_{\text{nn}}^\alpha(N)\) increase and decrease with respect to \(N\) when \(1 < \alpha \leq 2\) and \(0 < \alpha < 1\), respectively; and they are almost constant when \(\alpha = 1\).

Based on the asymptotic results (4.3) and the numerical results in Fig. 7b, we can conclude that

\[
\delta_{\min}^\alpha(N) = \begin{cases} 
\delta_{\min}^\alpha(1) = \lambda_2^\alpha - \lambda_1^\alpha, & 1 < \alpha < 2, \\
\approx \delta_{\min}^\alpha(1) = \lambda_2^\alpha - \lambda_1^\alpha, & \alpha = 1, \\
\delta_{\min}^\alpha(N) \approx \alpha \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^\alpha N^{\alpha - 1}, & 0 < \alpha < 1.
\end{cases} \tag{4.4}
\]
Figure 6: (a) Eigenvalues $\lambda_n^\alpha$ ($n = 1, 2, \ldots, 4096$) of (1.1) with $\Omega = (-1, 1)$ and $V(x) \equiv 0$ for different $\alpha$ (symbols denote numerical results and solids lines are from the leading order approximation $\tilde{\lambda}_n^\alpha = (\frac{n \pi}{2})^\alpha$); (b) Relative errors $\tilde{e}_n^\alpha = \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_n^\alpha - \lambda_n^\alpha}{\tilde{\lambda}_n^\alpha}$ (symbols denote numerical results and solids lines are from fitting formula $C^\alpha_3 n^{-1}$ when $n \gg 1$); (c) Fitting results for $C^\alpha_3$; and (d) absolute errors $\tilde{e}_n^\alpha = |\lambda_n^\alpha - \hat{\lambda}_n^\alpha|$ with $\hat{\lambda}_n^\alpha = \tilde{\lambda}_n^\alpha (1 - C^\alpha_3 n^{-1})$.

Again, these asymptotic results suggest that the minimum gaps $\delta_{\text{min}}^\alpha(N)$ are almost constants and decrease with respect to $N$ when $1 \leq \alpha \leq 2$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$, respectively.

Similarly, we have the asymptotic results for the average gaps as

$$\delta_{\text{ave}}^\alpha(N) = \frac{\lambda_{N+1}^\alpha - \lambda_1^\alpha}{N} \approx \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_{N+1}^\alpha - \lambda_1^\alpha}{N}$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \left[ \left( \frac{(N+1)\pi}{2} \right)^\alpha - \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \right)^\alpha \frac{\alpha(2 - \alpha)}{4} (N+1)^{\alpha-1} - \lambda_1^\alpha \right]$$

$$= \frac{\pi}{2} \alpha \left[ N^{\alpha-1} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{N} \right)^\alpha - \alpha(2 - \alpha) \frac{4}{N^{\alpha-2}} \left( \frac{2}{\pi} \right)^\alpha N^{-1} \right]$$

$$= \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \right)^\alpha \left[ N^{\alpha-1} + \alpha (2 + \alpha) N^{\alpha-2} - \lambda_1^\alpha \left( \frac{2}{\pi} \right)^\alpha N^{-1} + O(N^{\alpha-3}) \right]$$

$$= \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \right)^\alpha \left[ N^{\alpha-1} + \alpha (2 + \alpha) N^{\alpha-2} - \lambda_1^\alpha \left( \frac{2}{\pi} \right)^\alpha N^{-1} + O(N^{\alpha-3}) \right], \quad N = 1, 2, \ldots \quad (4.5)$$
Thus when $1 < \alpha < 2$, we have
\[
\delta_{\text{ave}}^\alpha(N) = \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^\alpha \left[ N^{\alpha-1} + \frac{\alpha(2 + \alpha)}{4} N^{\alpha-2} + O(N^{-1}) \right], \quad N = 1, 2, \ldots ,
\]
and when $0 < \alpha < 1$, we have
\[
\delta_{\text{ave}}^\alpha(N) = \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^\alpha \left[ N^{\alpha-1} - \lambda_1^\alpha \left( \frac{2}{\pi} \right)^\alpha N^{\alpha-2} + O(N^{-2}) \right], \quad N = 1, 2, \ldots ,
\]
and when $\alpha = 1$, we get
\[
\delta_{\text{ave}}^\alpha(N) = \frac{\pi}{2} \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{3}{4} - \frac{2}{\pi} \lambda_1^{\alpha=1} \right) N^{-1} + O(N^{-2}) \right], \quad N = 1, 2, \ldots .
\]
Again, these asymptotic results suggest that the average gaps $\delta_{\text{ave}}^\alpha(N)$ increase and decrease with respect to $N$ when $1 < \alpha \leq 2$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$, respectively; and they are almost constants when $\alpha = 1$ (cf. Fig. 7).

Based on the asymptotic results of the eigenvalue $\lambda_n^\alpha$ in (4.1), noticing (1.8)-(1.10), we can get the
asymptotic results for the normalized gaps as

\[
\delta_{\text{norm}}^\alpha(N) = \frac{2}{\pi} \left[ (\lambda_{N+1}^\alpha)^{1/\alpha} - (\lambda_N^\alpha)^{1/\alpha} \right]
\]

\[
= (N + 1) \left( 1 - \frac{\alpha(2 - \alpha)}{4(N + 1)} + O((N + 1)^{-2}) \right)^{1/\alpha} - N \left( 1 - \frac{\alpha(2 - \alpha)}{4N} + O(N^{-2}) \right)^{1/\alpha}
\]

\[
= N + 1 - \frac{2 - \alpha}{4} - \frac{\bar{C}}{N + 1} + O((N + 1)^{-2}) - N + \frac{2 - \alpha}{4} + \frac{\bar{C}}{N} - O(N^{-2})
\]

\[
= 1 + \frac{\bar{C}}{N(N + 1)} + O(N^{-3}), \quad N = 1, 2, \ldots,
\]

(4.9)

where \(\bar{C}\) is a constant. Again, this asymptotic result suggests that the normalized gaps \(\delta_{\text{norm}}^\alpha(N) \approx 1\) when \(N \gg 1\) (cf. Fig. 7).

Finally, combining (4.3), (4.4), (4.6), (4.7), (4.8), (4.9) and (2.3), we can get the conjecture (1.17) stated in Section 1.

4.3. The gap distribution statistics

Figure 8 displays the histogram of the normalized gaps \(\{\delta_{\text{norm}}^\alpha(n) \mid 1 \leq n \leq N = 4096\}\) defined in (4.9) for \(1.1\) with \(\Omega = (-1, 1)\), \(V(x) \equiv 0\) and different \(\alpha\).

![Figure 8: The histogram of the normalized gaps \(\{\delta_{\text{norm}}^\alpha(n) \mid 1 \leq n \leq N = 4096\}\) of \(1.1\) with \(\Omega = (-1, 1)\) and \(V(x) \equiv 0\) for different \(\alpha\): (a) \(\alpha = 2.0\), (b) \(\alpha = 1.9\), (c) \(\alpha = \sqrt{3}\), (d) \(\alpha = 1.5\), (e) \(\alpha = 1.0\), and (f) \(\alpha = 0.9\).](image)

From Fig. 8, we can conclude that the gaps distribution statistics of \(1.1\) with \(V(x) \equiv 0\) is \(P_\alpha(s) = \delta(s - 1)\) for \(0 < \alpha \leq 2\).

4.4. Eigenfunctions and their singularity characteristics

Denote \(u_n^\alpha(x)\) be the eigenfunction satisfying \(\|u_n^\alpha\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 1\) and \(\frac{d u_n^\alpha(x)}{dx}\bigg|_{x=-1} > 0\), which corresponds to the eigenvalue \(\lambda_n^\alpha\) \((n = 1, 2, \ldots)\) of (1.1) with \(\Omega = (-1, 1)\) and \(V(x) \equiv 0\). The 'exact' eigenfunctions \(u_n^\alpha(x)\) \((n = 1, 2, \ldots)\) are obtained numerically by using the JSM (2.21) under a very large DOF \(M = M_0\),
Figure 9: Convergence rates of computing different eigenfunctions of (1.1) with \( \Omega = (-1, 1) \), \( V(x) \equiv 0 \) and different \( \alpha \) by using our JSM (2.21) for: (a) the first eigenfunction \( u^1_\alpha \), (b) the second eigenfunction \( u^2_\alpha \), (c) the fifth eigenfunction \( u^5_\alpha \), and (d) the tenth eigenfunction \( u^{10}_\alpha \).

Let \( M_0 = 512 \). Let \( u^\alpha_{n,M} \) be the numerical approximation of \( u^\alpha_n \) \((n = 1, 2, \ldots, M)\) obtained by a numerical method with the DOF chosen as \( M \). Define the absolute errors of \( u^\alpha_n \) as

\[
  e^{\alpha}_{u^n} := \| u^{\alpha}_n - u^{\alpha}_{n,M} \|_{L^2}, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots. \tag{4.10}
\]

Figure 9 shows convergence rates of our JSM (2.21) for computing the first, second, fifth and tenth eigenfunctions of (1.1) with \( \Omega = (-1, 1) \), \( V(x) \equiv 0 \) and different \( \alpha \). Figure 10 plots different eigenfunctions of (1.1) with \( \Omega = (-1, 1) \), \( V(x) \equiv 0 \) and different \( \alpha \). Finally, Figure 11 displays different eigenfunctions of (1.1) with \( \Omega = (-1, 1) \), \( V(x) \equiv 0 \) and different \( \alpha \) near the boundary layer \( 0 < \xi := x + 1 \ll 1 \) to show the singularity characteristics of the eigenfunctions \( u^\alpha_n \) at the boundary \( x = -1 \).

From Figs. 9-11 we can draw the following conclusions: (i) Our JSM method (2.21) converges superlinearly with respect to the DOF for computing the eigenfunctions \( u^\alpha_n \) (cf. Fig. 9). (ii) For fixed \( 0 < \alpha < 2 \), the eigenfunctions \( u^\alpha_n \) \((n = 1, 2, \ldots)\) can be characterised as

\[
  u^\alpha_n(x) = (1 - x^2)^{\alpha/2} v^\alpha_n(x), \quad -1 \leq x \leq 1, \tag{4.11}
\]

where \( v^\alpha_n \) \((n = 1, 2, \ldots)\) are smooth functions over the interval \( \bar{\Omega} = [-1, 1] \) (cf. Fig. 11). In addition, our numerical results indicate that, when \( n \to \infty \) (cf. Fig. 10), the eigenfunctions \( u^\alpha_n \) \((0 < \alpha < 2)\) of (1.1) with \( \Omega = (-1, 1) \) and \( V(x) \equiv 0 \) converge to the eigenfunction \( u^{2n=2}_\alpha = \sin(n \pi x) \) of (1.1) with \( \alpha = 2, \Omega = (-1, 1) \) and \( V(x) \equiv 0 \), i.e.

\[
  u^\alpha_n(x) \to \sin \left( \frac{n \pi (x + 1)}{2} \right) = u^{2n=2}_\alpha(x), \quad x \in \bar{\Omega}, \quad n \to \infty. \tag{4.12}
\]

Based on the above results, for the eigenvalue problem of the FSO in high dimensions:

\[
  \text{e.g.} \quad M_0 = 512.
\]
Figure 10: Plots of different eigenfunctions of (1.1) with $\Omega = (-1, 1)$, $V(x) \equiv 0$ and different $\alpha$ for: (a) the first eigenfunction $u_1^\alpha(x)$, (b) the second eigenfunction $u_2^\alpha(x)$, (c) the fifth eigenfunction $u_5^\alpha(x)$, and (d) the tenth eigenfunction $u_{10}^\alpha(x)$.

Find $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and a nonzero real-valued function $u(x) \neq 0$ such that

$$L_{\text{FSO}} u(x) := \left[ (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} + V(x) \right] u(x) = \lambda u(x), \quad x \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d,$$

$$u(x) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega^c := \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Omega,$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.13)

where $d \geq 2$, $0 < \alpha < 2$, $\Omega$ is a bounded domain and the fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}$ is defined via the Fourier transform \[16, 47\], we conjecture here that the eigenfunction $u(x)$ can be written as

$$u(x) = v(x) (\text{dist}(x, \partial \Omega))^{\alpha/2}, \quad x \in \bar{\Omega},$$

where $v(x)$ is a smooth function over $\bar{\Omega}$ and $\text{dist}(x, \partial \Omega)$ represents the distance from $x \in \Omega$ to $\partial \Omega$.

We remark here that the singularity characteristics of the eigenfunctions in (4.11) (or (4.14)) is quite different with the singularity characteristics given in \[13\] for fractional PDEs as

$$u(x) \approx (\text{dist}(x, \partial \Omega))^{\alpha/2} + v(x), \quad x \in \bar{\Omega},$$

where $v(x)$ is a smooth function over $\bar{\Omega}$. From our numerical results, we speculate that the correct singularity characteristics of the solution of fractional PDEs should be (4.14) instead of (4.15)!

5. Numerical results of FSO in 1D with potential

In this section, we report numerical results on eigenvalues of (1.1) with $\Omega = (-1, 1)$ and $V(x) \neq 0$ by using our JSM \[21\] under the DOF $M = 8192$. All results are based on the first 4096 eigenvalues, i.e. we
use half of the eigenvalues obtained numerically to present the results and to calculate distribution statistics. Here we consider four different external potentials given as:

Case I. \( V(x) = \frac{x^2}{2} \);
Case II. \( V(x) = 4x^2 \);
Case III. \( V(x) = 4x^2 + \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}x\right) \);
Case IV. \( V(x) = 50x^2 + \sin(2\pi x) \).

5.1. Eigenvalues and their asymptotics

Table 5 lists the eigenvalues of (1.1) with \( \Omega = (-1, 1) \) and \( V(x) = \frac{x^2}{2} \) for different \( \alpha \). Figure 12 plots the eigenvalues of (1.1) with \( \Omega = (-1, 1) \), different external potentials \( V(x) \) and different \( \alpha \).

From Fig. 12, we can conclude that, when \( n \gg 1 \), the leading order asymptotics of the eigenvalues \( \lambda^\alpha_n \) in (4.1) is still valid for the eigenvalue problem of FSO (1.1) with potential \( V(x) \).

5.2. Gaps and their distribution statistics

Figure 13 plots different eigenvalue gaps of (1.1) with \( \Omega = (-1, 1) \), \( V(x) = \frac{x^2}{2} \) and different \( \alpha \). Figure 14 displays the histogram of the normalized gaps \( \delta^\alpha_{\text{norm}}(n) \) defined in (4.9) for (1.1) with \( \Omega = (-1, 1) \), \( V(x) = \frac{x^2}{2} \) and different \( \alpha \). For other potentials, our numerical results show similar behavior on eigenvalues and their gaps, which are omitted here for brevity.

Again, from Figs. 13 and 14, we can conclude that, when \( n \gg 1 \), the asymptotics of the eigenvalue gaps given in (4.3), (4.4), (4.6), (4.7), (4.8) and (4.9) are still valid for the eigenvalue problem of FSO (1.1) with potential \( V(x) \). In addition, the gaps distribution statistics is still \( P^\alpha(s) = \delta(s - 1) \) for \( 0 < \alpha \leq 2 \) in this case.
\[ \alpha = 0.5 \]
\[ \alpha = 1.0 \]
\[ \alpha = 1.5 \]
\[ \alpha = 1.9 \]
\[ \alpha = 2.0 \]

\[ \lambda_0^\alpha = 1.0599238 \]
\[ \lambda_1^\alpha = 1.7684725 \]
\[ \lambda_2^\alpha = 2.1903345 \]
\[ \lambda_3^\alpha = 2.5518267 \]
\[ \lambda_4^\alpha = 2.8580498 \]
\[ \lambda_5^\alpha = 3.1370031 \]
\[ \lambda_6^\alpha = 3.3893161 \]
\[ \lambda_7^\alpha = 3.6251388 \]
\[ \lambda_8^\alpha = 3.8455449 \]
\[ \lambda_9^\alpha = 4.0526430 \]
\[ \lambda_{10}^\alpha = 5.5522311 \]
\[ \lambda_{20}^\alpha = 7.8894197 \]
\[ \lambda_{40}^\alpha = 9.6777450 \]

Table 5: Different eigenvalues of (1.1) with \( \Omega = (-1, 1) \), \( V(x) = \frac{x^2}{2} \) and different \( \alpha \) obtained numerically by our JSM (2.21).

\[ \lambda_n^\alpha - \lambda_n^{\alpha, 0} \]

Figure 12: Eigenvalues \( \lambda_n^\alpha \) (\( n = 1, 2, \ldots, 4096 \)) of (1.1) with \( \Omega = (-1, 1) \) and different \( \alpha \) for differential external potentials (symbols denote numerical results and solids lines are from fitting formula when \( n \gg 1 \)): (a) Case I, (b) Case II, (c) Case III, and (d) Case IV.

5.3. Comparison on eigenvalues of (1.1) without and with potential

Let \( 0 < \lambda_n^{\alpha, 0} < \lambda_n^{\alpha, 0} < \ldots < \lambda_n^{\alpha, 0} < \ldots \) be all eigenvalues of (1.1) with \( \Omega = (-1, 1) \) and \( V(x) \equiv 0 \), and denote all eigenvalues of (1.1) with a potential \( V \) as in (1.4). Figure 15 plots differences of the eigenvalues of (1.1) with a potential \( V \) and without a potential, i.e., \( \delta_n^V := \lambda_n^\alpha - \lambda_n^{\alpha, 0} - C_V \) (\( 1 \leq n \leq N = 4096 \)) for different potentials \( V(x) \) and \( \alpha \), where \( C_V = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} V(x) dx \).
Figure 13: Different eigenvalue gaps of (1.1) with \( \Omega = (-1, 1) \), \( V(x) = \frac{x^2}{2} \) and different \( \alpha \) for (symbols denote numerical results and solids lines are from fitting formula when \( N \gg 1 \) in a-c): (a) the nearest neighbour gaps \( \delta_{\alpha}^{nn}(N) \), (b) the minimum gaps \( \delta_{\alpha}^{min}(N) \), (c) the average gaps \( \delta_{\alpha}^{ave}(N) \), and (d) the normalized gaps \( \delta_{\alpha}^{norm}(N) \).

Figure 14: The histogram of the normalized gaps \( \{ \delta_{\alpha}^{norm}(n) | 1 \leq n \leq N = 4096 \} \) of (1.1) with \( \Omega = (-1, 1) \) and \( V(x) = \frac{x^2}{2} \) for different \( \alpha \): (a) \( \alpha = 2.0 \), (b) \( \alpha = 1.9 \), (c) \( \alpha = \sqrt{3} \), (d) \( \alpha = 1.5 \), (e) \( \alpha = 1.0 \), and (f) \( \alpha = 0.5 \).
Figure 15: Differences of the eigenvalues of (1.1) with a potential $V$ and without a potential, i.e. $\delta V_n := \lambda_n^\alpha - \lambda_n^{\alpha,0} - C_V$ ($1 \leq n \leq N = 4096$) for different potentials $V(x)$ and $\alpha$: (a) $\alpha = 2$, (b) $\alpha = \sqrt{2}$, (c) $\alpha = 1$, and (d) $\alpha = 0.5$.

From Fig. 15 we can draw the following conclusion for the eigenvalues of (1.1) with a potential $V$:

$$\lambda_n^\alpha = \lambda_n^{\alpha,0} + C_V + O\left(n^{-\tau_1(\alpha)}\right), \quad n \gg 1,$$

where

$$\tau_1(\alpha) = \begin{cases} \alpha & 0 < \alpha \leq 2 \& \alpha \neq 1, \\ \approx 4.5 & \alpha = 1, \end{cases}$$

(5.1)

5.4. Eigenfunctions

Figure 16 plots different eigenfunctions $u_n^\alpha$ of (1.1) with $\Omega = (-1, 1)$ and $V(x) = x^2$ for different $\alpha$.

From Fig. 16, the singularity characteristics of the eigenfunction given in (4.11) is still valid for the eigenvalue problem of FSO (1.1) with potential $V(x)$. In addition, our numerical results indicate that, when $n \to \infty$ (cf. Fig. 10b), the eigenfunctions $u_n^\alpha$ ($0 < \alpha < 2$) of (1.1) with a potential $V$ converge to the eigenfunction $u_n^{\alpha=2} = \sin\left(\frac{n\pi(x+1)}{2}\right)$ which is the eigenfunction of (1.1) with $\alpha = 2$ and $V(x) \equiv 0$.

Finally, based on our extensive numerical results and observations, we speculate the following observation (or conjecture) for the FSO in (1.1) with potential:

**Conjecture II** (Gaps and their distribution statistics of FSO in (1.1) with potential) Assume $1 < \alpha \leq 2$ and $V(x) \in C(\Omega)$ in (1.1), then we have the following asymptotics of its eigenvalues:

$$\lambda_n^\alpha = \begin{cases} \frac{n\pi}{b-a} & 0 < \alpha < 1, \\ \frac{n\pi}{\alpha b-a} - \frac{\pi}{\alpha b-a} + C_V + O(n^{-1}), & \alpha = 1, \\ \frac{n\pi}{\alpha b-a} + C_V - \frac{\pi}{\alpha b-a} + C_V + O(n^{\alpha-1} - \alpha \frac{\alpha(2-\alpha)}{4} n^{\alpha-1} + O(n^{-\alpha}), & 1 < \alpha \leq 2, \end{cases}$$

(5.3)
Figure 16: Plots of different eigenfunctions of (1.1) with $\Omega = (-1, 1)$, $V(x) = \frac{x^2}{2}$ and different $\alpha$: (a) the first eigenfunction $u_1^\alpha(x)$, (b) the second eigenfunction $u_2^\alpha(x)$, (c) the fifth eigenfunction $u_5^\alpha(x)$, and (d) the tenth eigenfunction $u_{10}^\alpha(x)$.

where

$$C_V = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} V(x) dx = \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} V(x) dx.$$  \hfill (5.4)

In addition, we have the following asymptotics for different gaps:

$$\delta_{\text{nn}}^\alpha(N) \approx \left( \frac{\pi}{b-a} \right)^\alpha \left[ \alpha N^{\alpha - 1} + \frac{\alpha(\alpha - 1)(2 + \alpha)}{4} N^{\alpha - 2} + O(N^{\alpha - 3}) \right], \quad 0 < \alpha \leq 2, \hfill (5.5)$$

$$\delta_{\text{min}}^\alpha(N) = \lambda_{N+1}^\alpha - \lambda_N^\alpha \approx \alpha \left( \frac{\pi}{b-a} \right)^\alpha N^{\alpha - 1}, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1,$$

$$\delta_{\text{ave}}^\alpha(N) \approx \left( \frac{\pi}{b-a} \right)^\alpha \begin{cases} 
\left[ N^{\alpha - 1} + \frac{\alpha(2+\alpha)}{4} N^{\alpha - 2} + O(N^{\alpha - 3}) \right], & 1 < \alpha \leq 2, \\
\left[ 1 + \left( \frac{1}{4} - \frac{b-a}{\pi} \lambda_1^\alpha \right) N^{-1} + O(N^{-2}) \right], & \alpha = 1, \\
\left[ N^{\alpha - 1} - \left( \frac{b-a}{\pi} \right)^\alpha \lambda_1^\alpha N^{-1} + O(N^{\alpha - 2}) \right], & 0 < \alpha < 1,
\end{cases} \quad N \gg 1.$$  \hfill (5.5)

$$\delta_{\text{norm}}^\alpha(N) \approx 1 + O(N^{-2}), \quad 0 < \alpha \leq 2,$$

In addition, for the gaps distribution statistics defined in (1.11), we have

$$P_\alpha(s) = \delta(s - 1), \quad s \geq 0, \quad 0 < \alpha \leq 2. \hfill (5.6)$$

6. Extension to directional fractional Schrödinger operator in high dimensions

In this section, we extend the Jacobi spectral method (JSM) presented in Section 2 to directional fractional Schrödinger operator (D-FSO) in high dimensions and apply it to study numerically its eigenvalues.
and their gaps as well as gap distribution statistics.

6.1. D-FSO in high dimensions

Consider the eigenvalue problem related to the directional fractional Schrödinger operator (D-FSO) in high dimensions:

\[ L_{\text{D-FSO}} u(x) := [D^\alpha_x + V(x)] u(x) = \lambda u(x), \quad x \in \Omega := (-L_1, L_1) \times \cdots (-L_d, L_d) \subset \mathbb{R}^d, \]
\[ u(x) = 0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega, \]
where \( d \geq 2, x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_d)^T, 0 < \alpha \leq 2 \), \( V(x) \in L^2(\Omega) \) is a given real-valued function and the directional fractional Laplacian operator \( D^\alpha_x := \sum_{j=1}^d (-\partial_{x_j})^{\alpha/2} \) is defined via the Fourier transform (see [16, 47, 40] and references therein). Without loss of generality, we assume that \( L_1 \geq L_2 \geq \cdots \geq L_d > 0 \).

Again, since all eigenvalues of (6.1) are distinct (or all spectrum are discrete and no continuous spectrum), to find \( \lambda \) and \( u \) in (9.4), we can also rank (or order) all eigenvalues of (6.1) as (9.4), while again the times \( n \) of the problem (6.1) can be given as

\[ \delta_n(\alpha) = L^{-\alpha}_1 \lambda^\alpha_2 > \cdots \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots \]
and their corresponding eigenfunctions can be given as

\[ u^\alpha_{j_1 \ldots j_d}(x) = \prod_{l=1}^d u^0_{j_l} (x_l/L_l), \quad x \in \bar{\Omega}, \quad j_1, \ldots, j_d = 1, 2, \ldots \]

The above results immediately imply that the fundamental gap of (6.1) with \( V(x) \equiv 0 \) can be obtained as

\[ \delta_g(\alpha) = L^{-\alpha}_1 \lambda^\alpha_2 + \sum_{l=2}^d L^{-\alpha}_l \lambda^\alpha_l - \sum_{l=1}^d L^{-\alpha}_l \lambda^\alpha_l = L^{-\alpha}_1 \left( \lambda^\alpha_2 - \lambda^\alpha_1 \right) \geq \frac{\lambda^\alpha_2 - \lambda^\alpha_1}{(D/2)^\alpha}, \]

where \( D \) is the diameter of \( \Omega \).

The JSM presented in Section 2 can be easily extended to solve the eigenvalue problem (6.1) by tensor product [45]. The details are omitted here for brevity.
Figure 17: Eigenvalues of (6.1) with \( d = 2, L_1 = 1, V(x) \equiv 0 \) and different \( L_2 \) and \( \alpha \) (symbols denote numerical results and solids lines are from fitting formula \( C_2^{\alpha} n^{\alpha/2} \) when \( n \gg 1 \)): (a) \( \alpha = 1.9 \), (b) \( \alpha = 1.5 \), (c) \( \alpha = 1.0 \), and (d) \( \alpha = 0.5 \).

Figure 18: Numerical results of \( C_2^{\alpha} \) (symbols denote numerical results and solids lines are from fitting formula) for different areas \( S = |\Omega| = 4L_2 \) and \( \alpha \): (a) plots of \( C_2^{\alpha} \) as a function of \( S \) for different \( \alpha \), and (b) plots of \( C_2^{\alpha} \) as a function of \( \alpha \) for different \( S \).

6.2. Numerical results in two dimensions (2D) without potential

We take \( d = 2, L_1 = 1 \) and \( V(x) \equiv 0 \) in (6.1). In this case, noting (6.4) and (6.5) with \( d = 2 \), instead of using the JSM in 2D to compute eigenvalues and their corresponding eigenfunctions of (6.1), a simple and more efficient and accurate way is to first use the JSM in 1D to compute the eigenvalues and their corresponding eigenfunctions of (1.1) with \( \Omega = (-1, 1) \) and \( V(x) \equiv 0 \), and then to get the eigenvalues and their corresponding eigenfunctions of (6.1) with \( d = 2 \) and \( V(x) \equiv 0 \) via (6.4) and (6.5) with \( d = 2 \).

In our computations, we first use the JSM in 1D with \( M = 8192 \) to compute numerically the eigenvalues of (1.1) with \( \Omega = (-1, 1) \) and \( V(x) \equiv 0 \). Then we use the first \( N = 4096 \) computed eigenvalues to get...
Figure 19: Different eigenvalue gaps of (6.1) with $d = 2$, $L_1 = 1$, $V(x) \equiv 0$, $L_2 = \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2}$ and different $\alpha$ for: (a) the nearest neighbour gaps $\delta^{\alpha}_{nn}(N)$, (b) the minimum gaps $\delta^\alpha_{\text{min}}(N)$, (c) the average gaps $\delta^\alpha_{\text{ave}}(N)$ (symbols denote numerical results and solids lines are from fitting formula when $N \gg 1$), and (d) the normalized gaps $\delta^\alpha_{\text{norm}}(N)$.

The eigenvalues of (6.1) with $d = 2$ and $V(x) \equiv 0$ via (6.4) with $d = 2$ and then rank (or order) the total $4096 \times 4096$ eigenvalues of (6.1) as (1.4). Finally, we take (up to) the first $N = 4000000$ eigenvalues to compute the gaps and their distribution statistics.

Figure 17 displays eigenvalues (in increasing order) of (6.1) for different $L_2$ and $\alpha$, which suggests that $\lambda^\alpha_n \sim n^{\alpha/2}$ when $n \gg 1$ for $0 < \alpha \leq 2$. Then we fit numerically $\lambda^\alpha_n$ when $n \gg 1$ by $C^\alpha_\alpha n^{\alpha/2}$. Figure 18 displays the fitting results of $C^\alpha_\alpha$ with respect to the area $S = 4L_2$ of $\Omega$ and $\alpha$, which suggests that

$$C^\alpha_\alpha = \frac{4}{2 + \alpha} \left( \frac{4\pi}{S} \right)^{\alpha/2}, \quad 0 < \alpha \leq 2, \quad S = 4L_2 > 0. \quad (6.7)$$

These results immediately suggest that

$$\lambda^\alpha_n = \frac{4}{2 + \alpha} \left( \frac{4\pi}{S} \right)^{\alpha/2} n^{\alpha/2} + o(n^{\alpha/2}), \quad n \gg 1. \quad (6.8)$$

Specifically, when $\alpha = 2$, our numerical results suggest that

$$\lambda^{\alpha=2}_n \approx \frac{4\pi}{S} \left[ n + C_1 n^{1/2} + O(1) \right], \quad n \gg 1, \quad (6.9)$$

where $C_1 \approx 0.5943$ from our numerical results. In fact, (6.9) can be regarded as an improved Weyl law when $\alpha = 2$ [60], and (6.8) can be regarded as an extension of the Weyl law for $\alpha = 2$ [60] to $0 < \alpha \leq 2$, and we call (6.8) as the generalized Weyl law on the asymptotics of the eigenvalues of the D-FSO in 2D.
In fact, combining [6,8] and [1,7], we can obtain the asymptotic of the average gaps of the D-FSO in
as

\[
delta^\alpha_{\text{ave}}(N) = \frac{\lambda^N_{\alpha+1} - \lambda^N_{\alpha}}{N} \\
= \frac{1}{N} \left[ \frac{4}{2 + \alpha} \left( \frac{4\pi}{S} \right)^{\alpha/2} (N + 1)^{\alpha/2} + o((N + 1)^{\alpha/2}) - \lambda^\alpha \right] \\
= \frac{4}{2 + \alpha} \left( \frac{4\pi}{S} \right)^{\alpha/2} N^{(\alpha-2)/2} + o(N^{(\alpha-2)/2}) \\
= O(N^{(\alpha-2)/2}), \quad N \gg 1, \quad (6.10)
\]

which immediately implies that, when \( \alpha = 2 \), \( \delta^\alpha_{\text{ave}}(N) \sim 1 \) (i.e. almost a constant) when \( N \gg 1 \), and respectively, when \( 0 < \alpha < 2 \), \( \delta^\alpha_{\text{ave}}(N) \sim N^{(\alpha-2)/2} \) (decrease with respect to \( N \)) when \( N \gg 1 \).

In addition, Figure 20 displays different eigenvalue gaps of (6.1) with \( d = 2 \), \( L_1 = 1 \), \( V(x) \equiv 0 \), \( L_2 = \sqrt{2}/2 \) and different \( \alpha \). Figure 21 plots \( 1 - R^\alpha(N) \) vs \( N \) for different \( \alpha \) and \( \delta^\alpha_{\text{ave}}(N) \) (cf. Fig. 19a); and the average gaps \( \delta^\alpha_{\text{ave}}(N) \sim N^{(\alpha-2)/2} \) when \( N \gg 1 \) for \( 0 < \alpha < 2 \) (cf. Fig. 19b), which confirm the asymptotic results in (6.10).

From Figs. 19-21, we can draw the following conclusions:

(i) The minimum gaps \( \delta_{\min}(N) \to 0 \) when \( N \to +\infty \) (cf. Fig. 19b); and the average gaps \( \delta_{\text{ave}}(N) \sim 1 \) when \( N \gg 1 \) for \( \alpha = 2 \), and respectively, \( \delta_{\text{ave}}(N) \sim N^{(\alpha-2)/2} \) when \( N \gg 1 \) for \( 0 < \alpha < 2 \) (cf. Fig. 19b), which confirm the asymptotic results in (6.10).

(ii) When \( L_2 = 1 \) and \( 0 < \alpha \leq 2 \) or \( \alpha = 2 \) and \( L_2 \in \mathbb{Q} \) or \( \alpha = 1 \) and \( L_2 \in \mathbb{Q} \), the gaps distribution statistics \( P_\alpha(s) = \delta(s) \) (cf. Fig. 20a,b,d,g,h,j and Fig. 21). In these cases, \( R^\alpha(N) \to 1 \) when \( N \to \infty \) (cf. Fig. 21) and our numerical results suggest the following asymptotics: \( R^\alpha(N) = 1 - N^{-\tau_2(L_2)} \) when \( \alpha = 2 \) for different \( L_2 \in \mathbb{Q} \) (cf. Fig. 21a); \( R^\alpha(N) = 1 - N^{-1/2} \) when \( \alpha = 1 \) for different \( L_2 \in \mathbb{Q} \) (cf. Fig. 21b); and \( R^\alpha(N) = 1 - N^{-\tau_3(\alpha)} \) when \( L_2 = 1 \) for different \( 0 < \alpha \leq 2 \) (cf. Fig. 21). In addition, Figure 22 plots \( \tau_2(L_2) \) and \( \tau_3(\alpha) \) based on our numerical results.

(iii) When \( L_2 \notin \mathbb{Q} \) and \( 0 < \alpha < 1 \) or \( 1 < \alpha \leq 2 \), \( P_\alpha(s) \) can be well approximated by a Poisson distribution (cf. Fig. 20c,e,f,l,m), i.e.

\[
P_\alpha(s) = \tau(\alpha)e^{-\tau(\alpha)s}, \quad s \geq 0.
\]

In addition, Figure 23 plots \( \tau(\alpha) \), which suggests that

\[
\tau(\alpha) \approx \begin{cases} 
1, & 1 < \alpha \leq 2, \\
1.057\alpha^{-0.385}, & 0 < \alpha < 1.
\end{cases}
\]

(iv) When \( \alpha = 1 \) and \( L_2 \notin \mathbb{Q} \), \( P_\alpha(s) \) can be well approximated by a bimodal distribution (cf. Fig. 20).

(v) The classification of the gaps distribution statistics \( P_\alpha(s) \) for different \( 0 < \alpha \leq 2 \) and \( L_1 > 0 \) and \( L_2 > 0 \) is summarized in Table 6.

Figure 21: Plots of \( 1 - R^\alpha(N) \) vs \( N \) (\( N \gg 1 \)) for different \( \alpha \) and \( L_2 \): (a) \( \alpha = 2 \) for different \( L_2 \in \mathbb{Q} \); (b) \( \alpha = 1 \) for different \( L_2 \in \mathbb{Q} \), and (c) \( L_2 = 1 \) for different \( 0 < \alpha \leq 2 \).
Figure 22: Fitting results of $\tau_2(L_2)$ for different $L_2 \in \mathbb{Q}$ (left) and $\tau_3(\alpha)$ for different $\alpha$ (right).

Figure 23: Fitting results of $\tau(\alpha)$ for different $\alpha$.

Table 6: Summary of the eigenvalue gap distribution statistics of (6.1) with $d = 2$ and $V(x) \equiv 0$ for different $0 < \alpha \leq 2$ and $L_1 > 0$ and $L_2 > 0$.

| $L_2/L_1$ = 1 | $1 \neq L_2/L_1 \in \mathbb{Q}$ | $1 \neq L_2/L_1 \notin \mathbb{Q}$ |
|---------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| $\alpha = 2$  | $\delta(s)$                     | $\delta(s)$                       |
| $1 < \alpha < 2$ | $\delta(s)$                     | Poisson                           |
| $\alpha = 1$  | $\delta(s)$                     | Poisson                           |
| $0 < \alpha < 1$ | $\delta(s)$                     | Poisson                           |
|                | Poisson                          | Bimodal distribution               |

6.3. Numerical results in 2D with potential

Here we use the JSM in 2D to compute numerically the eigenvalues and their corresponding eigenfunctions of (6.1) with $d = 2$ and a non-zero potential $V(x,y)$. In our computations, we choose the total DOF $M = 144 \times 144$, i.e. with DOFs $M_1 = 144$ and $M_2 = 144$ in $x_1$ and $x_2$ directions, respectively. With the $M$ eigenvalues computed, we only use $M/4$ (or even less) numerical eigenvalues to compute gaps and their distribution statistics. We take $L_1 = 1$ and $V(x,y) = \frac{x^2+y^2}{2}$ in (6.1).

Figure 24 plots different eigenvalue gaps of (6.1) with $L_2 = \sqrt{2}/2$ for different $\alpha$, and Figure 25 displays the histogram of the normalized gaps $\{\delta_{\alpha\text{norm}}(n) | 1 \leq n \leq N = 4096\}$ for different $\alpha$ and $L_2$.

We also carry out numerical simulations on eigenvalues and their different gaps as well as their distribution statistics of (6.1) in 2D with different other potentials. Our numerical results suggest that the asymptotic behavior of the eigenvalue $\lambda_\alpha^n$ in (6.8) and (6.9) are still valid when (6.1) is with a potential $V(x) \in C(\Omega)$. In addition, similar to the 1D case, the gaps and their distribution statistics of (6.1) with a potential are quite similar to those without potential, which are reported in Figs. 19 & 20. Those numerical results are
omitted here for brevity.

Figure 24: Different gaps of (6.1) with $d = 2$, $L_1 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$ and $V(x,y) = \frac{x^2+y^2}{2}$: (a) the average gaps $\delta_{\text{ave}}^\alpha(N)$, and (b) the minimum gaps $\delta_{\text{min}}^\alpha(N)$ (symbols denote numerical results and solids lines are from fitting formula when $N \gg 1$).

Figure 25: The histogram of the normalized gaps $\{\delta_{\text{norm}}^\alpha(n) \mid 1 \leq n \leq N = 4096\}$ of (6.1) with $d = 2$ and $V(x,y) = \frac{x^2+y^2}{2}$: (a) $\alpha = 2$ and $L_2 = 1$; and (b) $\alpha = \sqrt{2}$ and $L_2 = \sqrt{2}/2$ (the solid line is a fitting curve by the Poisson distribution).

Finally, based on our extensive numerical results and observations, we speculate the following observation (or conjecture) for the D-FSO in (6.1) without/with potential:

**Conjecture III** (Gaps and their distribution statistics of D-FSO in (6.1) with $d = 2$) Assume $0 < \alpha \leq 2$ and $V(x) \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ in (6.1), then we have the following asymptotics of its eigenvalues:

$$\lambda_n^\alpha = \frac{4}{2 + \alpha} \left( \frac{4\pi}{S} \right)^{\alpha/2} n^{\alpha/2} + o(n^{\alpha/2}), \quad n \gg 1, \quad (6.13)$$

where $S$ is the area of $\Omega$. In addition, we have the following asymptotics of different gaps:

$$\delta_{\text{min}}^\alpha(N) \to 0, \quad N \to +\infty,$$

$$\delta_{\text{ave}}^\alpha(N) = \frac{4}{2 + \alpha} \left( \frac{4\pi}{S} \right)^{\alpha/2} N^{(\alpha-2)/2} + o(N^{(\alpha-2)/2}), \quad N \gg 1. \quad (6.14)$$

In addition, the gap distribution statistics summarized in Tab. 6 is also valid for (6.1) in 2D with the potential $V$. 
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7. Conclusion

We proposed a Jacobi-Galerkin spectral method for accurately computing a large amount of eigenvalues of the fractional Schrödinger operator (FSO). A very important advantage of the proposed numerical method is that, under a fixed number of degree of freedoms $M$, the Jacobi spectral method can calculate accurately a large number of eigenvalues with the number proportional to $M$. Based on the eigenvalues obtained numerically by the proposed method, we obtained several important and interesting results for the eigenvalues and their different gaps of FSO in 1D and directional FSO in 2D. Based on the gaps, the distribution statistics of the normalized gaps were obtained numerically for the FSO.
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