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The gut microbiota is the largest symbiotic ecosystem with the host and has been proven to play an important role in maintaining the stability of the intestinal environment. The imbalance of the gut microbiota is caused by the imbalance between the symbiotic microbiota and the pathogenic microbiota. The commensal microbiome regulates intestinal motility, while the pathogenic microbiome causes intestinal motility disorder, resulting in disease development. Intestinal motility is a relatively general term, and its meaning may include intestinal muscle contraction, intestinal wall biomechanics, intestinal compliance, and transmission. The role of intestinal microecology and intestinal motility are interrelated, intestinal flora disorder mediates intestinal motility, and abnormal intestinal motility affects colonization of the intestinal flora. In this review, we briefly outlined the interaction between gut microbiota and intestinal motility and provided a reference for future studies.

1. Introduction

1.1. Gut Microbiota. The intestine of mammals contains a microbial community, defined as the microbiome, including bacteria, viruses, and fungi. The microbial genome sequence contains $3 \times 10^6$ genes, which is about 150 times the length of the human genome [1]. Gut microbiota (GM) includes 1000 to 1500 types of bacteria; however, a person contains only approximately 160 bacterial species, which indicates that there are substantial differences in the composition of the microbiome between individuals [2]. In recent decades, next-generation sequence technologies have contributed to understanding the complex relationship between the microbiome and related diseases. 16Sr RNA sequence results show that Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes account for approximately 92% of the human GM [3]. The distribution and composition of the intestinal flora often vary among individuals based on their age [4], physical condition [5], diet [6], and living environment [7]. Generally, the relationship between the intestinal flora and the host is dynamic and relatively harmonious [8]. The GM plays an important role in protecting the host of pathogenic microbes, modulating immunity, and regulating metabolic processes, and is even regarded as an endocrine organ [9].

The symbiotic relationship between the GM and the host is mutually beneficial. The host provides important habitat and nutrients for the GM. The GM is not evenly distributed among the gastrointestinal tract, and there are certain differences in the type, distribution, and quantity of the microbiota [10]. The number of bacteria gradually increases from top to bottom from the direction of the digestive tract, with the largest number in the colon [11]. The GM supports the development of the metabolic system and maintains normal intestinal motility by providing beneficial nutrients, such as the synthesis of vitamins and short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) [12, 13]. Hence, the interaction between the microbiome and intestinal motility system is essential to maintain the normal function of intestinal motility.

1.2. Intestinal Motility. “Intestinal motility” is a more general term, which may include intestinal muscle contraction movement, intestinal wall biomechanics (tension movement), intestinal cavity compliance and related activities, and transmission [14–16]. The contraction activity of intestinal smooth muscle cells is called slow-wave activity, which is also a temporal (short) contraction [17]. Intestinal tension movement (long-term contraction) usually occurs...
after eating and is regulated by mechanical or neural stimulation [18]. Intestinal compliance refers to the ability of the intestine to locally adapt to the expansion of the cavity, which is the ratio of volume changes to pressure changes [19]. Transmission refers to the time it takes for food or other substances to pass through a specific area of the intestine, reflecting the overall result of the interaction of a variety of intricate parameters (contraction activity, tension, compliance, etc.) [20]. In addition to the realization of propulsive movement, the motor function of the colon also includes receptivity or storage and rapid emptying during defecation. The main modes of propulsive movement include intestinal migrating motor complexes (CMMC), high amplitude propagated contractions (HAPCs), and giant migration contraction (GMCs) [21]. Intestinal motility is mainly regulated by the neuroendocrine system, which consists of 3 levels [22]: local regulation of the enteric nervous system (ENS); regulation of prevertebral ganglia on the enteric nervous system and central nervous system information; the central nervous system passes through the autonomic nervous system and the enteric nervous system, which is also called the brain-gut axis [23]. The regulation of intestinal function or power through this biological axis is called brain-gut or gut-brain interactions [24]. Among them, the enteric nervous system is the most important, followed by the autonomic nervous system and the enteric nervous system. At the same time, GM is also involved in the regulation of intestinal motility [25]. In the past ten years, a large number of studies have confirmed that functional bowel disease is accompanied by GM imbalance [26].

2. Gut Microbiome and Intestinal Motility

2.1. The Dysbiosis of the GM Induces Intestinal Motility Disorder

2.1.1. GM Influences ENS Development. The GM is very important for the development and homeostasis of the ENS. Germ-free (GF) animals are an ideal model to explore the relationship between each other [27]. The number of enteric neurons in GF mice is reduced [28], the development of enteric glial cells (EGCs) is abnormal [29], and the excitability of intrinsic primary afferent neurons is weakened [30], which leads to defects in the assembly of enteric nerve circuits and the signal of the brain-gut axis, showing the time of gastric emptying and intestinal transit Prolongation, intestinal motility disorder [31]. Some studies have found that the network density of EGCs of GF mice is restored and the intestinal motility is improved after administration of normal flora [32, 33]. As far as we know, the GF mice model has several limitations as GF mice are born in aseptic conditions, which may not only affect the development of the gut motility system, but also the immunity system and metabolic function [34]. Therefore, some studies have used antibiotics to eliminate the intestinal flora to establish a pseudogerm-free (PGF) model to verify whether the effect of the microbiota on intestinal motility is reversible. Ge et al. [35] showed that PGF mice delayed gastrointestinal and colonic motility, and inhibition of phasic contractions of longitudinal muscle from the isolated proximal colon. Besides, serotonin, tryptophan hydroxylase 1, and secondary bile acids levels were decreased.

2.1.2. Changes in the Structure of the GM Affect Intestinal Motility. Mainly present with symptoms dominated by the gastrointestinal tract, such as constipation, diarrhea, and abdominal distension. The results of several adult constipation studies are quite consistent. In patients with functional constipation and constipation-type irritable bowel syndrome, there is a decrease in *Bifidobacterium* and *Lactobacillus* and an increase in *Bacteroides* [36, 37]. Researchers supplemented with probiotics VSL#3 (4 types of *Lactobacilli* + 3 types of *Bifidobacteria* + 1 type of *Streptococcus thermophilus*), which can effectively improve the frequency and characteristics of bowel movements and relieve symptoms of constipation [38]. The researchers implanted the fecal flora of STC patients into PGF mice to induce the latter to produce STC symptoms and accompanied by changes in the structure of the flora, in which the abundance of ecomania was significantly increased [39]. In recent years, it has been discovered that the intestinal flora can communicate with the brain-gut axis in two directions to regulate the movement and sensory function of the colon, and the concept of the “microbiota-brain-gut axis” has emerged [40].

2.1.3. Metabolites of the GM Affect Intestinal Dynamics. Short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) are the metabolites of dietary fiber fermented by a type of bacteria, mainly acetic acid, propionic acid, and butyric acid [41, 42]. SCFAs are nutrients for intestinal epithelial cells and are of great significance to host physiology [43]. SCFAs have anti-inflammatory [44], anticancer [45], intestinal barrier enhancement [46], and promotility effects [47]. The mechanism of SCFAs regulating intestinal motility is as follows: (i) SCFAs can upregulate the expression of TPH1, thereby promoting 5-HT synthesis [48], (ii) SCFAs activate Gprotein-coupled receptors such as GPR41 and GPR43 and mediate the secretion of glucagon-likepeptide-1 (GLP-1), thereby regulating gastrointestinal motility [49]. (iii) Butyrate combined with monocarboxylic acid transporter (MCT) 2, increases the ratio of intermuscular cholinergic neurons, adjusts the neurochemical phenotype of ENS, enhances the contraction of the colonic circular muscle, and speeds up transmission [50]. (iv) Butyrate has biphasic effects on colonic motility, it stimulates motility at low and inhibits motility at higher concentrations [51, 52]. In addition, bile acid hydrolase secreted by certain intestinal flora can metabolize bile acids produced by the liver into unconjugated bile acids, and it can promote intestinal motility and induce bile acid-related diarrhea under certain circumstances [52]. The gas produced by the intestinal flora metabolizing substrates, such as methane, can also affect the contraction of intestinal smooth muscle and slow down the transmission [53].
2.2. The Abnormal Intestinal Motility Affects GM

2.2.1. "r/K Selection" Theory and GM. Normal intestinal motility is an important means to prevent the imbalance of intestinal flora. Normal movement in the digestive and interdigestive periods of the intestine can remove bacteria [54]. Studies have shown that intestinal motility disorders are mainly manifested in the colon, but there are also certain degrees of kinetic abnormalities in the small intestine and rectum [55]. The human intestine is a diverse ecosystem, containing trillions of microbial cells and hundreds of microbial species. Like other ecosystems, the intestinal flora can respond to changes in surrounding environmental factors, so abnormal intestinal motility will affect the composition and function of the microbiota. This view is in line with the “r/K selection” theory of environmental disturbance in ecology, which was proposed by the famous ecologists MacArthur and Wilson in 1967 on the life history strategy of “population reproduction” [56]. The “r/K choice” theory means that organisms will choose a suitable way of survival for their different living conditions. For example, when the intestinal transit is accelerated and the competitiveness of the intestinal environment is reduced, the bacteria with high fertility can better adapt to growth, which is called “r-selection”. On the contrary, when the intestinal transit time slows down and the surrounding competitive environment intensifies, high viability bacteria can grow slowly under unrestricted conditions, which is called “K-selection”. Therefore, the acceleration or slowdown of intestinal motility will affect the reproduction of suitable bacterial species [57].

2.2.2. Faster or Slower Gut Motility Selects Survival of Suitable GM. Normal intestinal motility is an important way to prevent intestinal dysbiosis, and normal intestinal motility during the digestive and interdigestive phases have a role in the removal of bacteria. Intestinal motility disorders are mainly characterized by accelerated or slowed motility, the most common clinical symptoms such as diarrhea or constipation. Just take, for instance, patients of irritable bowel syndrome with diarrhea (IBS-D), it was found that the abundance of Prevotella, Shigella, and Enterobacter was increased, while the abundance of Bifidobacterium, Bacteroides, and Lactobacillus was decreased [58]. In patients of irritable bowel syndrome with constipation (IBS-D), the abundance of Bacteroides, Enterobacter, and Eubacterium increased, and the abundance of Bifidobacterium, Faecalbacterium, and Prevotella decreased [59]. In patients with slow transit constipation (STC), the abundance of Veillonella, Faecalbacterium, Enterobacter, and Escherichia coli was increased, while the abundance of Prevotella, Bifidobacterium, Bacteroides, and Bacillus spp. degree reduction [60]. It is not difficult to see that the abundance of Prevotella increases in patients with diarrhea and decreases in patients with constipation; the abundance of beneficial bacteria such as Bifidobacterium, Bacteroides, and Lactobacillus decreases in patients with diarrhea and constipation. Therefore, the abnormality of intestinal motility will have a direct impact on specific groups in the microbiota and cause significant changes in the intestinal ecosystem.

In conclusion, the roles of gut flora and gut dynamics are interrelated. Dysbiosis mediates intestinal dynamics and abnormal intestinal dynamics affect intestinal population reproduction, and a model of the interaction between the two is shown in Figure 1.

3. Conclusions

In summary, intestinal microbes and their metabolites help maintain normal intestinal motility, intestinal motility affects the growth and reproduction of intestinal flora. Recent data have shown the pivotal role of intestinal microbiota in intestinal motility. An abnormal interaction between GM and intestinal motility is associated with the pathogenesis of intestinal motility disorders diseases, such as IBD-C, IBD-D, and STC, and it highlights the importance of exploring the function of microbiota in such diseases. Thus, GM has become an effective target for the development of new diagnostic methods. Balancing the GM will likely represent an effective treatment for intestinal motility disorders diseases. At the same time, the understanding of the relationship between the GM and intestinal motility needs to be improved, and further discussions about these mechanisms will be of great help in guiding clinical practices.
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