Silver sputtering into a liquid matrix containing mercaptans: the systematic size control of silver nanoparticles in single nanometer-orders†
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We herein propose a novel methodology to synthesize silver nanoparticles with precisely controlled diameters in single nano-meter orders by sputtering into a liquid matrix (polyethylene glycol) containing mercaptans (11-mercaptoundecanoic acid) as an environmental friendly preparation without reductants.

Inorganic nanoparticles (NPs) such as metal NPs and quantum dots have recently attracted significant attention from materials science.1–6 These metal NPs exhibit unique physical characteristics that give rise to many potential applications such as catalysis,7 electronics,8 luminescence,9 solar energy conversion,10 as well as biomedicine.11 For these applications, the size control of metal NPs is the most important, and many amines and mercaptans1 have been used as stabilizing reagents due to their well coordination properties.

A typical approach for the preparation of metal NPs is the chemical reduction of the corresponding metal ions in a solution by employing external reducing agents such as NaBH₄, hydrazine, or citric acid, which may be associated with environmental and biological risks.1 This method has been extensively developed; however, one limitation is the contamination and the formation of by-products and impurities. Since the purification and separation of products require a complicated purification procedure, simple processes for preparing NPs have been demonstrated. There are only a few reports on environmentally friendly methods for the synthesis of metal NPs and their use.12–14

In this view, we have developed a simple technique, the matrix sputtering method,15,16 for the preparation of metal NPs without the formation of by-products (Fig. 1). Sputtering is a well-known method and is basically used for syntheses of thin films onto solid substrates. In our matrix sputtering process, argon is ionized by high voltage and then attacks the target metal and ejects the target atoms or clusters in a vacuum chamber.17 Ejected atoms and clusters aggregate not only in a gas phase but also inside or at the interface of the capturing liquid matrix, and form NPs.

Fig. 1 Schematic illustration of the matrix sputtering method and the chemical structures of PEG and MUA.

So far, several capturing matrices have been examined for the preparation of Au NPs by the matrix sputtering method and they can be categorized into (i) 6-mercaptophexyltrimethylammoniumbromide (6-MTAB)15 and pentaerythritol tetrakis(3-mercaptopropionate) (PEMP),16 and (ii) propane-1,2,3-triol,18 polyethylene glycol (PEG),17,19,20 ionic liquids,21,22 and pentaerythritol ethoxylate (PEEL).16 Group (i) depends on the affinity of the mercapto group and can form very small (~1.3 nm) fluorescent Au NPs, while group (ii) depends mainly on the viscosity of themselves and forms rather large Au NPs (2–5 nm). The difference in their size can be explained by the coordination of mercapto groups that can prevent the aggregation and growth of Au NPs inside or at the interface of the capture matrix.
media. From these results, it is expected that we can synthesize metal NPs with various sizes in single nanometer-order and thus can control the physical properties of NPs by the matrix sputtering method.

While most results were for Au NPs due to its high stability and lots of previous studies, it is difficult to find other metal NPs such as silver by this matrix sputtering method except for a few reports. In this paper, we propose novel methodology to control the size of Ag NPs by the matrix sputtering method. While previous approaches have used only the capturing liquid matrix, our new approach in this study uses both the capturing matrix and organic molecules with mercapto groups. The mercaptans can dissolve in capture media and prevent the aggregation and growth of Ag NPs inside or at the interface of the capturing matrix according to the concentration of mercaptans. As far as we know, this is the first example for the systematic size control of Ag NPs by sputtering techniques.

The capture media used here was polyethylene glycol (PEG) with an average molecular weight of 600 g mol\(^{-1}\) and the degree of polymerization is between 10 and 17. Since PEG does not have any functional groups stabilizing metal NPs, it has been reported that the viscosity affects the size of resultant NPs. Thus, in the current work the amount of PEG was constant under different thiol concentrations. The thiol stabilizer used here was 11-mercaptoundecanoic acid (MUA). The experimental procedure is described below (Fig. 1). At first, PEG and MUA were dried under vacuum (rotary oil pump) at 100 °C for 2 h to remove volatile substances including water in order to avoid boiling inside the vacuum sputtering chamber. 10 g of PEG and the corresponding amount of MUA were put in a glass petri dish with a diameter of 6.5 cm and was horizontally set against the sputtering target. The amount of MUA in PEG was set at 0, 1, 5, 10, 100, 1000 mg (corresponding to 0, 5.2 × 10\(^{-3}\), 2.6 × 10\(^{-3}\), 5.2 × 10\(^{-3}\), 5.2 × 10\(^{-2}\) and 5.2 × 10\(^{-1}\) M, respectively) and MUA completely dissolved in PEG under all conditions. Ag was sputtered by a current of 30 mA under Ar at a pressure of 2.0 Pa. The distance between the surface of PEG and the surface of the Ag target was set at 50 mm. Sputtering was carried out for 20 min at room temperature under stirring at 100 rpm. Further experimental details are described in the ESL†

The extinction spectra of Ag NP suspension in PEG were measured in a quartz cell with 1 mm optical path immediately after the sputtering preparation without further purification or dilution (Fig. 2). Broad absorption peaks at around 440 nm (2.82 eV), which correspond to the localized surface plasmon absorption of Ag NPs, were observed. The intensity of plasmon absorption decreased as the concentration of MUA increased, and it disappeared in Ag NPs prepared at 5.2 × 10\(^{-2}\) and 5.2 × 10\(^{-1}\) M of MUA. Moreover, a new absorption shoulder was observed at 340 nm (3.65 eV) corresponding to the decrease of plasmon absorption. This absorption at 340 nm would be the absorption of very small Ag NPs. A similar absorption characteristic has been reported for glutathione stabilized Ag NPs\(^{24}\) with ca. 2 nm diameter (at 3.40 eV). Surface plasmon absorption is generated by the vibration of the group of free electrons in the surface region of NPs and they show the absorption peak corresponding to the vibration frequency. Therefore, the generation of plasmon absorption requires a certain particle size containing certain number of atoms.\(^{25,26}\) When the number of atoms in each particle decreases, the energy band gap becomes wider according to the quantum size effect and the particle becomes non-metallic. Then, plasmon absorption is not observable for such small particles. Judging from the absorption spectra in Fig. 2, the size of Ag NPs would decrease by the increase of MUA concentration, and those prepared with 5.2 × 10\(^{-2}\) and 5.2 × 10\(^{-1}\) M of MUA should be very small and thus no plasmon absorption was observed. The differences in their absorptions thus should be understood by particle diameters by transmission electron microscopy (TEM).

Fig. 3 shows representative TEM images and size-distribution histograms obtained from these images of Ag NPs prepared at (a) 0, (b) 5.2 × 10\(^{-3}\) and (c) 5.2 × 10\(^{-1}\) M concentrations of MUA (see other samples in Fig. S1, ESI†). The TEM image of Ag NPs prepared without MUA (Fig. 3a) showed poly-dispersed particles, and the shape of the histogram is rather different from the Gaussian distribution. Its average diameter is 7.4 ± 3.6 nm. Judging from this result, it is apparent that the preparation only by PEG without MUA cannot form the regularly ordered Ag NPs. Since the NP formation in PEG depends only on the viscosity of PEG, the aggregation of NPs in PEG solution is not suppressed. Thus, the induction of MUA, which can stabilize the Ag NPs by the coordination of its thiol group, is expected to control both the size and the distribution of Ag NPs in this method. With the induction of MUA, the average sizes are 3.0 ± 0.6 and 2.2 ± 0.5 nm for 5.2 × 10\(^{-3}\) and 5.2 × 10\(^{-1}\) M concentrations of MUA, respectively. Most importantly, the size of Ag NPs drastically decreased and the distribution histogram became symmetrical, compared to that of Fig. 3a.

The change in the particle size as a function of MUA concentration is shown in Fig. 3d. Judging from the results, it is obvious that higher MUA concentration makes smaller Ag NPs. This tendency can be simply explained by the collision probability of MUA to Ag NPs inside and at the interface of PEG.
Hence, the decrease in their particle sizes reflects the decrease of plasmon absorption in Fig. 2. It is known that UV-Vis spectroscopic method has been used as a quantitative means to measure the concentration of particles in one size. If the particle size changes, the peak position will shift, too. Thus, we consider that the decrease of plasmon absorption in the current experiment directly reflected the decrease of the number of particles that show plasmon absorption. As a result, the diameter reached to a “cluster region” and thus we observed a new absorption shoulder at around 340 nm that corresponds to Ag clusters. This result clearly suggests that the size of Ag NPs can be controlled by the concentration of the thiol-stabilizer in the capture media, as we expected.

To clarify whether the MUA actually attaches to the surface of Ag NPs, HAADF-STEM and EDX mapping observation were carried out (see the sample preparation method in the ESI†). Fig. 4 shows the HAADF-STEM image of purified Ag NPs (a), and EDX mapping images for Ag (b), S (c) and Ag + S (d) in the green square area in Fig. 4a. As can be seen from the EDX mapping, the S atoms were obviously concentrated at the surface of Ag NPs. These STEM images clearly indicate the stabilization of Ag NPs by MUA.

In conclusion, we proposed a novel and easy synthetic method for size-controlled silver nanoparticles (Ag NPs) by the matrix sputtering method. While previous studies on the sputtering method have used only the capturing liquid matrix, our new approach in this study uses both the capturing matrix (PEG) and organic molecules with mercapto groups (MUA). The control of MUA concentration in PEG directly controlled the size of resulting Ag NPs from 2.2 to 7.4 nm in diameter. According to their size, the plasmon absorption was observed at 440 nm in larger NPs (> 3.0 ± 0.6 nm) prepared at 0 to 5.2 × 10⁻³ M of MUA, however, it was not observed and new absorption was detected at 340 nm for smaller NPs (< 2.4 ± 0.5 nm).

The stabilization of Ag NPs by MUA was clarified by STEM-EDX mapping. We believe that the results presented in this paper will contribute as a novel and simple method for the preparation of functional metal NPs.

![Fig. 3 TEM images and size-distribution histograms of Ag NPs prepared at (a) 0, (b) 5.2 × 10⁻³ and (c) 5.2 × 10⁻¹ M concentration of MUA in PEG. The inset shows the expansion of histograms. (d) Average diameter of Ag NPs prepared at various concentrations of MUA from 0 to 5.2 × 10⁻¹ M. The inset shows the expansion plots from 0 to 0.007 M.](image1)

![Fig. 4 HAADF-STEM image of Ag NPs prepared under 5.2 × 10⁻³ M concentration of MUA in PEG (a), EDX mapping images of Ag (b) and S (c) and Ag + S (d). The green square in image (a) indicates the area for EDX mapping for (b)–(d).](image2)
Experimental section

Polyethylene glycol (PEG, Ave $M_w = 600$) was purchased from Wako. 11-Mercaptoundecanoic acid (MUA) was from Sigma-Aldrich. The Ag target (5 mm $\varnothing$, 99.9%) was supplied by Tanaka Precious Metals (Japan). Ag was sputtered by a current of 30 mA under Ar at a pressure of 2.0 Pa. The distance between the surface of PEG and the surface of the Ag target was set at 50 mm. Sputtering was carried out for 20 min at room temperature under stirring at 100 rpm.
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