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Abstract

We demonstrate a combinatorial approach integrating ion implantation followed by thermal annealing and simultaneous in situ ion beam analysis with thermal desorption spectroscopy in a single set-up. Atomic and molecular deuterium ions of 3 keV were implanted into bulk tungsten with doses exceeding $1 \times 10^{22}$ ions m$^{-2}$. Depth profiling of both, protium and deuterium was performed by elastic recoil detection analysis, while simultaneously the outgassing rates of molecular deuterium by thermal desorption spectroscopy were monitored during temperature ramps from room temperature to $\approx 1400$ K. The combination of the two techniques in situ is shown capable to identify the distinct retention behavior of deuterium at different temperatures and in different reservoirs, e.g. located close to the surface and diffused deep into the material. Ex-situ scanning electron microscopy confirmed blister formation, and recovery of the initial surface morphology after high temperature annealing, in analogy to comprehensive ex-situ studies.

1. Introduction

Understanding plasma-wall interactions is essential for effective operation of fusion devices [1–3]. Plasma-facing components (PFCs) are heavily modified as wall materials are continuously eroded and redeposited, forming a mixed layer [4]. Additionally, charge exchange neutrals as well as ions drifting across the scrape-off layer are escaping the plasma and are implanted in the walls, which enhances erosion by sputtering and affects the fuel cycle [5].

This highly challenging environment as well as different aspects of plasma physics lead to specific desirable characteristics of PFCs. For this purpose, several materials have been tested. Presently, two main candidates to be used in next generation fusion research reactors walls are tungsten and beryllium with extensive studies performed on the retention of hydrogen isotopes in these materials [6, 7]. Tungsten, which will be used for the ITER divertor [8], has a very high melting temperature (3695 K), low sputtering yield [9, 10] and exhibits a very low solubility of hydrogen isotopes [6]. The retention of deuterium in tungsten has been studied either by using samples obtained directly from tokamak PFCs [11] or deuterium loaded samples in laboratory conditions, i.e. by plasma exposure [12, 13], ion implantation [14, 15] or exposure to neutral atoms [16].

In this context, Ion Beam Analysis (IBA) techniques have proven to be powerful tools in analyzing plasma-exposed components from fusion reactors, as they offer near surface depth profiling and quantification capabilities [17]. Nuclear Reaction Analysis (NRA) and Elastic Recoil Detection Analysis (ERDA) are used in most IBA studies of plasma facing components, as they exhibit high isotope and light element sensitivity. At the same time, Thermal Desorption Spectroscopy (TDS) has been widely used to measure outgassing rates and trapping energies of hydrogen in materials [18] as well as in fusion related research for studying retention of
Polycrystalline tungsten bulk foils of \( \approx 10 \times 10 \text{ mm}^2 \) and 0.5 mm thickness commercially available from MaTeK GmbH (nominal purity of 99.9\%) were used for the presented investigations. The samples were ultrasonically cleaned in distilled water and isopropyl alcohol (99\%). After cleaning, sample surface and bulk chemical composition were investigated by ex-situ ion beam analysis using coincidence Time-of-Flight/Energy Elastic Recoil Detection Analysis (ToF-E ERDA) with 36 MeV \(^{4}\)He \(^{+}\) as probing beam at Uppsala University (details of the set-up in [28]). No bulk contaminants were found in the sample (quantification limit of the present technique <0.3 at.\%). The root-mean-square (RMS) roughness of the sample surface was found to be \( \approx 7 \) nm investigated by Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM) at TU Wien.

The in-situ measurements were performed using the SIGMA system (Set-up for In-situ Growth, Material modification and Analysis) [29], coupled to the 5-MV NEC-1SDDH-2 Tandem accelerator at Uppsala University [30]. The Ultra-High-Vacuum (UHV) (<10\(^{-8}\) mbar) set-up provides the capability of ion implantation using a Prevac IS40 ion source, electron beam sample annealing, non-destructive sample characterization by ion beams and TDS analysis with an RGA.

Atomic and molecular species of deuterium were implanted in tungsten samples at fluences ranging from \( 1.1 \times 10^{22} \text{ D m}^{-2} \) to \( 1.7 \times 10^{22} \text{ D m}^{-2} \), assuming 7\% atomic (D\(^{+}\)) and 93\% molecular (D\(_2\)) beam [31]. The implantation flux was \( \approx 2.5 \times 10^{17} \text{ D m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \) and samples were kept at room temperature during implantation. As reflection of incident species (estimated \( \approx 45\% \) by TRIDYN [32] and \( \approx 38\% \) by SRIM [33]) and degassing of samples during irradiation have to be expected, the implanted doses are in the following referred to as ‘nominal’ doses representing an upper limit of the actual D-content. The accelerating voltage was kept at 3 kV for all implantations and the sample surface was tilted at 4\(^{\circ}\) with respect to surface normal to reduce possible texture effects and associated channeling. The samples were biased at \( +36 \) V during implantation to suppress secondary electrons potentially affecting the current measurement. In the following, mainly results of the in-situ investigation for a nominal dose of \( 1.34 \times 10^{22} \text{ D m}^{-2} \) are presented and discussed. Complementary ex-situ information on surface morphology was obtained from additional implantations on twin samples.

As illustrated in figure 1(a), samples were first implanted by deuterium ions, before they were rotated to a geometry suitable for IBA. The time between commencing implantation and IBA varied between 1 and 20 h, depending on the final dose. \(^{4}\)He \(^{+}\) beams of 3400 and 6200 keV were used to perform simultaneous ERDA and Particle Induced x-ray Emission (PIXE) measurements. The incident angle of the \(^{4}\)He \(^{+}\) beam was 70\(^{\circ}\) with respect to the surface normal. The beam spot size is approximately 2–3 mm\(^2\). A Passivated Implanted Planar Silicon (PIPS) detector with an energy resolution of 30 keV and a solid angle of (3.4 \( \pm \ 0.1 \) \( \times 10^{-3} \) Sr was used for ERDA. For low energy ERDA, the recoil detection angle was 27\(^{\circ}\) with respect to the beam direction and an Al absorber foil of 12.9 \( \mu \text{m} \) was mounted in front of the detector to stop scattered primary ions and W recoils. In the case of the high energy beam, detection angle and absorber thickness were 30\(^{\circ}\) and 33 \( \mu \text{m} \), respectively. The specific choice of energy, angle and absorber foil was made to maximize near-surface resolution and to employ well-known cross sections [34, 35]. For 3400 keV ERDA, the depth resolution for protium and deuterium is approximately 40 nm and the information depth is limited to approximately 350 nm. For 6200 keV the information depth is close to 1 \( \mu \text{m} \) but depth resolution deteriorates to approximately 140 nm for deuterium.
PIXE spectra were recorded simultaneously with ERDA, using a Silicon Drift Detector (SDD) with an energy resolution of 0.136 keV for the Fe–Kα characteristic energy and a solid angle of $(3.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-3}$ sr, placed at an angle of 135° with respect to the beam direction. A mylar foil of 204 μm thickness was placed in front of the x-ray detector to prevent backscattered particles hitting the detector and to reduce pile-up contribution in the electronics from Bremsstrahlung and excessive characteristic x-rays from tungsten L (and M) lines.

For sample annealing we used an electron beam heater, which was automatically controlled by a VG Scienta electron beam heating controller. Sample heating was controlled via a K-type thermocouple in contact to the surface of the sample and the temperature was recorded simultaneously with signals from IBA and RGA (panel b).

For the TDS, the RGA was set to record the partial pressure of species with a mass of 4 amu (corresponding to D2) during annealing and simultaneously with IBA. A plot showing the pressure of deuterium as a function of time during annealing is shown in figure 1(b).

Figure 1 also presents a comparison of several ERDA (panel c) and PIXE (panel d) spectra obtained during the annealing ramp ranging from 306 K to ~1360 K. The deuterium implanted in the sample and protium at the sample surface form two well separated peaks in the ERDA spectrum, while the tungsten L-lines are detected by PIXE. Both ERDA and PIXE spectra are normalized by the respective accumulated charge of each measurement. The primary beam current was measured by a Faraday Cup before and after each measurement, while fluctuations of the current during the measurement were tracked by changes in the PIXE W-Lα signal indicated by the highlighted region in the panel (d) spectra. As the PIXE yield from W-Lα is proportional to the beam current [36], this yield was always used to normalize hydrogen concentrations in the following for quantitative analysis. Deuterium and surface protium release at elevated temperatures is indicated by the decrease of detected recoils (panel c).

For quantitative analysis, ERDA spectra were fitted using the latest version of SIMNRA [37] and stopping power values from the latest version of SRIM [33]. The scattering cross-section for protium was retrieved from SigmaCalc [38]. For deuterium, we have measured the relative cross section at 27° and compared it to published values.
values at $30^\circ$ [34, 35]. A similar fit function as described in [35] was applied and no resonances were observed at the energies used in this work.

3. Results and discussion

For a quantitative evaluation of data obtained from ERDA, experimental spectra (shown in figure 1(b)) were split into different regions of interest. This selection permits to study the temperature dependence of the hydrogen inventory for different types of retained hydrogen. Apart from the distinct peaks that correspond to protium and deuterium in the near-surface region, the tail on the left side of the deuterium peak indicates D diffused deeper into the material. ERDA performed on virgin samples revealed only small amounts of protium below the sample surface ($<0.1$ at.-%-not shown). The expected range distribution for atomic and molecular species from implantation can be predicted by SRIM-2013 (see figure 2(b)). For the present conditions, the projected ion ranges do not exceed $\approx 45$ nm. Based on these results, for quantification of the D-inventory, we defined a near-surface region (region A) containing implanted particles as well as surface deuterium and a second region (region B) that corresponds to the bulk of the sample, where diffused deuterium is detected. The border between these two regions is illustrated in figure 3(b) (dashed line). The areal density of W in region A is $3 \times 10^{17}$ atoms cm$^{-2}$ and that of region B $1.8 \times 10^{18}$ atoms cm$^{-2}$, the latter corresponding to 285 nm of bulk tungsten. The thickness of region B was determined by the detectable diffused deuterium, i.e. the probing depth of ERDA. From the separation in two regions and individual species, quantitative depth profile reconstruction was achieved using the software SIMNRA, which yielded the simulated spectrum visualized in figure 2(a).

For the spectrum measured with 3400 keV $^4$He$^{++}$ presented in figure 2(a) (implantation dose of $1.34 \times 10^{22}$ atoms m$^{-2}$), deuterium contents of regions A and B are $4.5 \times 10^{20}$ atoms cm$^{-2}$ (12.5 at.%) and $1.6 \times 10^{20}$ atoms m$^{-2}$ (1 at.%) respectively. Region B can be further divided in two parts: energies above and below the protium peak. To make sure that the signal is exclusively attributed to deuterium, we tracked the signal between the two main peaks during annealing. The total amount of detected deuterium in both regions is 4.5% of the implanted dose, in good agreement with retention levels observed in other studies [39, 40]. As in earlier studies, we also observed blister formation (see Supplementary material (available online at stacks.iop.org/PS/96/124004/mmedia) for SEM-investigations), but preclude extensive trapping of deuterium beyond the range probed by ERDA [40, 41]. Figure 2(c) holds an ERDA spectrum of another implanted sample (fluence of $1.1 \times 10^{22}$ ions m$^{-2}$), recorded at higher beam energy (6200 keV $^4$He$^{++}$) and a recoil angle of $30^\circ$, which demonstrates how the depth profiling capability of ERDA scales with energy. This dataset, furthermore shows, that no large concentrations of trapped D have to be expected at larger depths. Note, however, that at present, recoil cross sections at such high energies are scarce and generally found lower than at more canonical energies.

Figure 2. Comparison of ERDA performed at different energies. (a), 3400 keV $^4$He enables tracing of deuterium down to a depth of 350 nm. (b) Implantation range distribution as predicted by SRIM and the two integration regions, A and B employed for data analysis (for details see text). (c) For a primary beam of 6200 keV $^4$He deuterium located up to $\sim 1 \mu$m below the surface can be detected, with, however, lower sensitivity.
The consequently required higher beam energies and/or longer data acquisition times can be detrimental in combination with TDS analysis. In addition to the above-mentioned difference in depth resolution, this rendered the 3400 keV ERDA option more attractive for the present study.

To check for a possible ion-beam-induced release of hydrogen species, ERDA spectra (see supplementary information) were recorded for the sample at room temperature using list-mode acquisition for in total 600 s. The total amount of deuterium and protium in the regions A and B of the sample was determined as a function of different integrated doses. No hydrogen release induced by the primary ion beam was detected throughout the measurement. This observation is also in accordance with TDS results, as no increase in D$_2$ partial pressure was recorded.

In the following, we analyze the results from the temperature ramping combining ERDA and residual gas analysis. ERDA was performed at eight temperature steps from room temperature up to $\approx$1373 K. The temperature increase between steps was automatically regulated within an annealing rate of 20 to 25 K min$^{-1}$ (100-200 K step$^{-1}$). Temperature was kept constant at each step during IBA and data acquisition lasted for 10 to 15 min per step, needed to both minimize the statistical error and track the deuterium evolution over time at a specific temperature.

The atomic concentration of deuterium and protium in these two regions as a function of temperature are depicted in figure 3. Initially, the total deuterium integrated within region A (blue squares) is found to be 12.4 at.%, while in region B (green triangles) it does not exceed 1 at%. After remaining constant at the initial plateau extending up to about 400 K, the amount of deuterium in the bulk is decreasing in an approximately exponential manner with increasing temperature. In contrast, deuterium located in region A shows a different behavior. Initially, concentrations stay almost constant up to a temperature of $\approx$650 K, before a significant decrease can be observed. The decrease of surface protium shows an almost linear dependence on temperature (dotted line corresponding to a linear fit to guide the eye).

In figure 4, the normalized deuterium signal from the different inventories is shown in a linear scale as a function of temperature. This figure furthermore presents the TDS signal (red continuous line), which was recorded simultaneously to ERDA. TDS results were obtained by subtracting the background contribution from the RGA signal, integrating it over time and correlating the maximum value to the integral yield of desorbed deuterium. The inset of figure 4 shows the heating ramp with individual temperature steps noted by numbers.

Note, that the normalized TDS results show a very similar time and temperature dependence than observed for deuterium released from region A. No pronounced narrow desorption peaks have been detected.

Based on the experimental observations, we can draw a series of conclusions. The two different species of deuterium identified show a distinct behavior during the annealing process, which is clearly captured by the depth-resolved ERDA measurements. The dissolved deuterium (from deeper regions) is outgassing in an exponential manner, which can be understood from diffusion in the material. As shown in figure 3(b), region B is mostly beyond the implantation range of the implanted D ions. Similarly, the formation of ion-induced defects, which can act as trapping-sites will be negligible for region B. The de-trapping behavior from the deeper regions can be compared to previous investigations of low-energy D implantation in W. For example,
Ogorodnikova *et al* performed TDS measurements of D implanted at 200 eV [42], which is an energy that is too low to form any vacancies in W at reported minimal displacement energies of close to 40 eV [43]. Their results of the observed D desorption behavior are included in figure 4 as the black dashed line. This comparison shows a good agreement with the D de-trapping in the bulk region, showing nicely how ERDA allows to deduce the D retention behavior in undamaged W deeper in the bulk at the same time as in the damaged regions closer to the surface.

In such regions, where ion-induced displacements were created, the stronger bonds of the near surface species initially result in a threshold-like behavior that is followed by a linear decrease in the signal. Interestingly, the TDS signal shows a similar behavior. At the same time, the TDS-signal is expected to comprise the integral D-release from near-surface damage sites, interstitial sites and blister regions. The combination of TDS and IBA thus permits to extract additional differential information, confirming only a limited amount of D being retained in subsurface blisters due to the total amount of D detected by IBA. As described in figure 3, the D in both ERDA regions does not exceed 5% of the total nominal implanted dose. The diffusion of dissolved D to the surface is, as evidenced by ERDA, not expected to significantly contribute to the TDS-signal at elevated temperatures. The results also demonstrate, that protium, which is physically and chemisorbed to the surface, exhibits linearly decreasing concentration for increasing temperatures.

**4. Summary and conclusions**

Here, we presented a complete *in-situ* experimental procedure that included deuterium implantation in tungsten, followed by TDS and simultaneous protium and deuterium profiling by IBA. The demonstrated approach allows for quantitative, depth and isotope resolved analysis of the retention of fuel species in plasma facing components at different temperatures, relevant for development of fusion devices. As a well-studied reference system, we chose deuterium-implanted polycrystalline tungsten. The hydrogen inventory in tungsten bulk samples during annealing was reconstructed from simultaneously performed ERDA and TDS. Depth-resolved ERDA results allowed us to make a distinction between the deuterium trapped in near-surface defects and deuterium dissolved and diffused deeper in the material. The evolution of these inventories with temperature was found to be different in agreement with previous studies on deuterium retention in tungsten. For deuterium and protium located close to surface, no preferential release peaks are observed. The behavior of deuterium diffused deeper in the material suggests that interstitial deuterium atoms present higher mobility at lower annealing temperatures, in contrast to deuterium atoms trapped in defects in the implantation region in accordance with different trapping energies, as expected. The combination with TDS allows to extract additional differential information on deuterium retained deeper in defects and blisters formed along grain boundaries. The *in-situ* simultaneous operation of the two techniques makes the present approach also attractive for *in-situ* investigation of deuterium retention in other PFCs (as e.g., beryllium). To further increase the relevance of
results from such studies for operation of fusion devices, an upgrade of the implantation system, allowing for a wider range of fluxes and fluences is desirable.
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