Carbapenem Resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Infections in Elderly Patients: Antimicrobial Resistance Profiles, Risk Factors and Impact on Clinical Outcomes
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**Objective:** The prevalence and clinical impact on mortality of carbapenem-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (CRPA) infection are unclear in elderly patients. Here, we aimed to clarify the prevalence, the clinical manifestations, antimicrobial resistance, risk factors and outcomes of elderly inpatients with CRPA infection.

**Methods:** A retrospective study of 600 elderly inpatients infected with *P. aeruginosa* was conducted at Yueyang Hospital of Integrated Traditional Chinese and Western Medicine from January 1st 2018 to December 31st 2020. All 155 patients with CRPA infection were designated as a case group. Patients with carbapenem-susceptible *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (CSPA) were randomly selected from remaining 445 cases in a 1:1 ratio to case group as a control group.

**Results:** Of 600 *P. aeruginosa* isolates, the overall rates of CRPA, MDR PA (multidrug-resistance *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*) were 25.8% (155), 22.3% (134), respectively. The rankings of the top five resistant rates of CRPA to tested antimicrobial drugs were imipenem (87.7%), meropenem (70.3%), ciprofloxacin (51.0%), levofloxacin (48.4%), cefoperazone (43.2%). Independent risk factors for patients with CRPA infection were cerebrovascular disease (OR = 3.517, \( P < 0.001 \)), foley catheter (OR = 2.073, \( P = 0.018 \)), length of hospital stay ≥ 14 days (OR = 1.980, \( P = 0.013 \)), albumin < 35 g/L (OR = 2.049, \( P = 0.020 \)), previous antibiotic exposure to carbapenems (OR = 7.022, \( P = 0.004 \)), previous antibiotic exposure to third- or fourth-generation cephalosporins (OR = 12.649, \( P = 0.002 \)). Of 155 patients with CRPA infection, the mortality rate was 16.8% (26/155). Independent risk factors for mortality were receiving mechanical ventilation (OR = 3.671, \( P = 0.007 \)) and neutrophil percentage ≥ 80% (OR = 2.908, \( P = 0.024 \)).

**Conclusion:** The study revealed high rates of CRPA, MDR PA among the hospitalized elderly patient with *P. aeruginosa* infection. The analysis of antimicrobial susceptibility emphasizes the necessity for antimicrobial stewardship and infection control in hospitals. These findings of risk factors are practical significant to identify patients at high risk for CRPA infection and mortality that may benefit from alternate empiric treatment.
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**Background**

*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (*P. aeruginosa*) is an important nosocomial pathogen that causes a wide spectrum of infections, such as pneumonia, urinary tract infections, surgical site infections, and bloodstream infections.\(^1\) In 2020, surveillance of nosocomial infections in China showed that *P. aeruginosa* was the fourth most frequently isolated Gram-negative bacilli, accounting for 8.4% of hospital-acquired infections in China Antimicrobial Surveillance Network (CHINET).\(^2\) Infections caused by *P. aeruginosa* isolates are typically difficult to treat and eradicate due to intrinsic antibiotic resistance and a remarkable ability to acquire resistance to multiple groups of antimicrobial agents.\(^3\)
Recent years have witnessed an increasing prevalence of multidrug-resistant (MDR) and extensively-resistant (XDR) *P. aeruginosa* strains, with rates of between 15% and 30% in some geographical areas.⁴⁻⁶ Carbapenem antibiotics reserved as agents of last resort for *P. aeruginosa* strains that are resistant to first-line broad-spectrum antibiotics were introduced to treat serious MDR *P. aeruginosa* (MDRPA) infections but eventually led to a soaring of carbapenem-resistant isolates around the global.⁷ In 2017, the World Health Organization placed CRPA as a critical priority pathogen that desperately requires new treatment options.⁸ The successful worldwide spread of the so-called “high-risk” clones of *P. aeruginosa* poses a threat to global public health that needs to be studied and managed with urgency and determination.

Patients with infections due to CRPA pathogens usually lead to worse clinical outcomes and higher mortality ranging between 20.0% and 30.8%.⁹ It is reported that this opportunistic pathogen has emerged as one of the leading causes of nosocomial infections among the immunocompromised and elderly patients undergoing invasive procedures or receiving mechanical ventilation, where the risk of infection with *P. aeruginosa* is elevated. The growing number of elderly patients usually produces an even greater number of immunocompromised individuals at risk of these infections. Hu Y also found CRPA was more prevalent among inpatients (aged ≥ 60 years).¹⁰ However, previous studies mainly focused on particular populations, such as patients with hematologic malignancies,¹¹ kidney transplant recipients,¹² bacteremia.¹³ So far, the prevalence, risk factors and clinical impact on mortality of CRPA infection are unclear in elderly patients. Here, we aimed to clarify the prevalence, the clinical manifestations, antimicrobial resistance, risk factors and outcomes of elderly inpatients with CRPA infection. It is anticipated that an improving understanding of antimicrobial resistance in *P. aeruginosa* may help ensure appropriate empirical therapy whilst minimizing the potential development of resistance and specific interventions may be designed according to the identified risk factors to curb the spread of CRPA in China.

**Materials and Methods**

**Patients and Data Collection**

A retrospective cohort study was conducted at Yueyang Hospital of Integrated Traditional Chinese and Western Medicine, a tertiary-care teaching hospital with around 1200-bed, located in the east region of China. All hospitalized patients diagnosed with *P. aeruginosa* nosocomial infection, aged ≥ 65 years, from January 1st 2018 and December 31st 2020 were eligible for inclusion in the study. During the study period, all *P. aeruginosa* infection patients were consecutively recruited and non-duplicated, only the first episode was reviewed and recorded. Patients infected with CRPA were designated as case group. For each CRPA case, one control (1:1 basis) that was positive for carbapenem-susceptible *P. aeruginosa* (CSPA) infection was randomly selected from patients admitted during the study period, which was set to control group for risk factor analysis of CRPA infection.

The definition of *P. aeruginosa* nosocomial infection was referred to diagnostic criteria for nosocomial infection released by the Ministry of Health, People’s Republic of China in 2001.¹⁴ Clear Criteria used for patient inclusion/exclusion with details was provided as Supplementary Table 1, in briefly: (1) *P. aeruginosa* isolate was cultured from qualified clinical specimens, (2) presence symptoms or signs of relevant organs’ or tissues’ infection, (3) elevated leukocyte count, neutrophil percentage, C-reactive protein and/or procalcitonin level, abnormal imaging examination, (4) the patient’s condition significantly improved after receiving treatment with sensitive antibiotics for 3–5 days.

**Definitions**

Previous antibiotic exposure was defined as any exposure to an antibiotic for at least 48 hours within the preceding 30 days. Co-carriage was defined as detection of both *P. aeruginosa* and one of the following bacterial pathogens in the same sample, including *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Acinetobacter baumannii*, carbapenem resistant *Enterobacteriaceae*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, and *Escherichia coli*. The survivor group was defined as patients who were discharged from the hospital alive and the non-survivor group who died during hospitalization.

Based on the antimicrobial susceptibility testing (AST) results, *P. aeruginosa* was classified as follows: CRPA was defined as an isolate with a MIC ≥ 4 μg/mL for imipenem, or disk zone diameter ≤ 19 mm for meropenem in accordance with the breakpoints of 2020 Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines.¹⁵ Additionally, MDR PA was
defined as non-susceptibility to at least one agent in three or more antimicrobial categories, XDR PA as non-susceptibility to at least one agent in all but two or fewer antimicrobial categories (ie, bacterial isolates remain susceptible to only one or two categories), and PDR PA as non-susceptibility to all antimicrobial agents tested.16

Clinical Data Collection
The following information was retrieved from medical records and included in the database: Date of admission, basic demographics (age and gender), comorbidities (cerebrovascular disease, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, coronary artery disease, cardiac dysfunction, renal dysfunction, biliary tract disease, malignancy), invasive operation (nasogastric tube, foley catheter, mechanical ventilation, central venous catheter), co-carriage with other bacterium (frequently isolated species including Klebsiella pneumoniae, Acinetobacter baumannii, Staphylococcus aureus, Escherichia coli), prior surgery (within 90 days), length of hospital stay (LOS), admitted to ICU, duration of P. aeruginosa infection occurrence (days from admission to positive culture), previous antibiotic exposure (within 30 days), patient’s clinical outcomes (survivor or no-survivor), and laboratory examination findings (the blood routine, C-reactive protein (CRP), and albumin (Alb)).

Microbiological Methods and Laboratory Tests
Bacterial species identification and antimicrobial susceptibility analysis were performed using an automated Vitek-2 system (bioMerieux, France), species identification was further confirmed with MALDI-TOF MS system (Autof, China), the antimicrobial susceptibility testing results were adopted in interpretation with the 2020 CLSI M100-S30 guidelines.15 Isolates were categorized as susceptible, intermediate, or resistant. The tested antimicrobial agents were as follows: aminoglycosides (amikacin, gentamicin, tobramycin), antipseudomonal fluoroquinolones (ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin), antipseudomonal penicillins +β-lactamase inhibitors (piperacillin-tazobactam), monobactam (aztreonam), antipseudomonal cephalosporins (ceftazidime, cefepime), cefoperazone, cefoperazone-sulbactam, antipseudomonal carbapenems (imipenem, meropenem). The susceptibility of meropenem was determined by a Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion method. Escherichia coli ATCC25922 and P. aeruginosa ATCC 27853 were tested as quality control isolates in each antimicrobialsusceptibility test. The hemoglobin (Hb), CRP, leukocytes count (WBC), neutrophil percentage, and ALB were determined as well.

Statistical Analysis
Continuous variables are described as mean and standard deviation (SD) or median and interquartile range (IQR). Categorical variables are described as frequencies and percentages. The Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was conducted to evaluate the normality of variable distribution. Continuous variables were compared using an independent-samples t-test or the Mann–Whitney U-test. The χ2 test or Fisher’s exact tests were conducted to evaluate the difference between categorical variables. All reported P values were two tailed, and P values <0.05 were evaluated as statistically significant. Factors that revealed statistical significance in univariate analysis were selected into a forward stepwise logistic regression analysis, which was used to analyze the risk factors for geriatric patients with CRPA infection and mortality. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 26.0 for Windows software (SPSS Inc.).

Results
Descriptive Epidemiology
A total of 600 nonduplicated patients with P. aeruginosa infection were included during the 3-year study period. The median age was 71 years, ranging from 65 to 99 years, 311 (51.8%) of them were male. Among culture-positive specimens, lower respiratory tract accounted for 80.8% (n=485), followed by pus 9.5% (n=54), urine 5.83% (n=35), blood 2.67% (n=16), bile 1.33% (n=8), and excretion 0.33% (n=2), respectively. If we stratified the patients based on departments of admission, the highest percentage (90, 15.0%) of P. aeruginosa was obtained from the geriatrics department, followed by intensive care unit (ICU) (69, 11.5%), emergency department (62, 10.3%), department distribution of P. aeruginosa isolates was shown in Figure 1 and detail data in Supplementary Table 2.
Antibiotic Resistance Profiling of *P. aeruginosa*

Table 1 showed the resistance profiles of 14 different antimicrobial agents used for treatment of cases diagnosed with *P. aeruginosa*. The total resistance rates of *P. aeruginosa* in descending order were: ciprofloxacin (27.7%), levofloxacin (24.8%), imipenem (22.7%), cefoperazone (19.5%), aztreonam (18.7%), meropenem (18.2%), gentamicin (14.5%), piperacillin (14.3%), ceftazidime (13.3%), tobramycin (12.2%), cefepime (9.5%), cefoperazone-sulbactam (8.2%), piperacillin-tazobactam (7.3%), and amikacin (6.2%).

The overall rates of CRPA, MDR PA, and XDR PA were 25.8% (155), 22.3% (134), and 6.5% (39), respectively. As shown in Table 1, the resistant rates of CRPA isolates to the tested antimicrobial drugs were relatively higher compared to CSPA isolates, the rankings of the top five resistant rates were imipenem (87.7%), meropenem (70.3%), ciprofloxacin (51.0%), levofloxacin (48.4%), and cefoperazone (43.2%).

Risk Factors for Elderly Patients Infected with CRPA

All 155 patients with CRPA infection were set to case group. The control group, patients with CSPA infection, was randomly selected from the remaining 445 CSPA infection patients as the ratio 1:1. Finally, the medical records of the 310 index patients were reviewed. Patients’ characteristics and the result of univariate logistic analysis for CRPA and CSPA were illustrated in Table 2. There was no significant difference in sex distribution between the both groups of patients, whereas age showed a statistically significant difference (*P*<0.05), the median ages were 83.0 (74.0–89.0) years for the CRPA group and 80.0 (71.0–86.0) years for the CSPA group, respectively.

Univariate analysis of patients between CRPA group and CSPA group (Table 2) revealed age (75–84 years old, ≥ 85 years old), comorbidities (cerebrovascular disease, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, coronary artery disease), admitted to ICU, invasive operation (nasogastric tube, foley catheter, mechanical ventilation), length of hospital stay (7–13 days, ≥ 14 days), laboratory findings (CRP > 30 mg/L, mild anemia (90–120 g/L), ALB < 35 g/L), co-carriage (*Klebsiella pneumoniae*), previous antibiotic exposure within 30 days (combination of antibiotics, carbapenems, third- or fourth-generation cephalosporins) were risk factors for patients with CRPA infection (all *P*<0.05).

In a multivariate conditional logistic regression analysis, significant risk factors related to CRPA infection included age 75–84 years (odds ratio [OR]=0.458, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.263–0.800, *P*=0.006), cerebrovascular disease (OR=3.517, 95% CI: 2.054–6.021, *P*<0.001), foley catheter (OR=2.073, 95% CI: 1.135–3.784, *P*=0.018), length of...
# Table 1 Susceptibility of *P. aeruginosa* to Antimicrobial Agents During the Period from 2018 Through 2020

| Antimicrobial Agents | CRPA (N= 155) | CSPA (N= 445) | Overall (N= 600) |
|----------------------|---------------|---------------|------------------|
|                      | Resistance n (%) | Intermediate n (%) | Susceptibility n (%) | Resistance n (%) | Intermediate n (%) | Susceptibility n (%) | Resistance n (%) | Intermediate n (%) | Susceptibility n (%) |
| Ciprofloxacin        | 79 (51.0)      | 15 (9.7)      | 61 (39.4)        | 87 (19.6)       | 23 (5.2)          | 335 (75.3)        | 166 (27.7)       | 38 (6.3)            | 396 (66.0)          |
| Levofloxacin         | 75 (48.4)      | 10 (6.5)      | 70 (45.2)        | 74 (16.6)       | 27 (6.1)          | 344 (77.3)        | 149 (24.8)       | 37 (6.2)            | 414 (69.0)          |
| Aztreonam            | 56 (36.1)      | 58 (37.4)     | 41 (26.5)        | 56 (12.6)       | 177 (39.8)        | 212 (47.6)        | 112 (18.7)       | 235 (39.2)         | 253 (42.2)          |
| Cefoperazone         | 67 (43.2)      | 43 (27.7)     | 45 (29.0)        | 50 (11.2)       | 99 (22.3)         | 296 (66.5)        | 117 (19.5)       | 142 (23.7)         | 341 (56.8)          |
| Gentamicin           | 44 (28.4)      | 8 (5.2)       | 103 (66.5)       | 43 (9.7)        | 21 (4.7)          | 381 (85.6)        | 87 (14.5)        | 29 (4.8)            | 484 (80.7)          |
| Piperacillin         | 45 (29.0)      | 53 (34.2)     | 57 (36.8)        | 41 (6.8)        | 82 (18.4)         | 322 (72.4)        | 86 (14.3)        | 135 (22.5)         | 379 (63.2)          |
| Ceftazidime          | 43 (27.7)      | 25 (16.1)     | 87 (56.1)        | 37 (8.3)        | 19 (4.3)          | 389 (87.4)        | 80 (13.3)        | 44 (7.3)            | 476 (79.3)          |
| Tobramycin           | 42 (27.1)      | 12 (7.7)      | 101 (65.2)       | 31 (7.0)        | 7 (1.6)           | 407 (91.5)        | 73 (12.2)        | 19 (3.2)            | 508 (84.7)          |
| Cefepime             | 36 (23.2)      | 15 (9.7)      | 104 (67.1)       | 21 (4.7)        | 34 (7.6)          | 390 (87.6)        | 57 (9.5)         | 49 (8.2)            | 494 (82.3)          |
| Cefoperazone-sulbactam | 34 (21.9)  | 40 (25.8)     | 81 (52.3)        | 15 (3.4)        | 45 (10.1)         | 385 (86.5)        | 49 (8.2)         | 85 (14.2)          | 466 (77.7)          |
| Piperacillin-tazobactam | 31 (20.0) | 40 (25.8)     | 84 (54.2)        | 13 (2.9)        | 37 (8.3)          | 395 (88.8)        | 44 (7.3)         | 77 (12.8)          | 479 (79.8)          |
| Amikacin             | 27 (17.4)      | 4 (2.6)       | 124 (80.0)       | 10 (2.3)        | 5 (1.1)           | 430 (96.6)        | 37 (6.2)         | 9 (1.5)             | 554 (92.3)          |
| Meropenem            | 109 (70.3)     | 19 (12.3)     | 27 (17.4)        | 0 (0.0)         | 0 (0.0)           | 445 (100.0)       | 109 (18.2)       | 19 (3.2)            | 472 (78.7)          |
| Imipenem             | 136 (87.7)     | 19 (12.3)     | 0 (0.0)          | 0 (0.0)         | 0 (0.0)           | 455 (100.0)       | 136 (22.7)       | 19 (3.2)            | 445 (74.2)          |

**Abbreviations:** CRPA, carbapenem-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*; CSPA, carbapenem-susceptible *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. 
Table 2 Univariate and Multivariate Analysis of Risk Factors for Inpatients with CRPA Infection

| Variable                          | CRPA (N = 155) | CSPA (N = 445) | Univariate Analysis | P-value | Multivariate Analysis | P-value |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|---------|-----------------------|---------|
| **Age (Years), Median (IQR)**     | 83 (74.0–89.0) | 80 (71.0–86.0) | NA                 | 0.013   | 0.458 (0.263–0.800)   | 0.006   |
| **Age in year, n (%)**            |                |                |                    |         |                       |         |
| 65–74                             | 24 (15.5)      | 17 (11.0)      | 0.672 (0.346–1.308)| 0.241   | 0.672 (0.346–1.308)   | 0.241   |
| 75–84                             | 72 (46.5)      | 103 (66.5)     | 2.283 (1.443–3.614)| 0.001   | 0.458 (0.263–0.800)   | 0.006   |
| ≥85                               | 59 (38.1)      | 35 (22.6)      | 0.475 (0.289–0.780)| 0.003   | 0.475 (0.289–0.780)   | 0.003   |
| **Male sex, n (%)**               |                |                |                    | 0.763   | 0.763 (0.483–1.205)   | 0.245   |
| **Quarter, n (%)**                |                |                |                    |         |                       |         |
| January-March                     | 50 (32.3)      | 61 (39.4)      | 1.363 (0.855–2.172)| 0.193   | 1.363 (0.855–2.172)   | 0.193   |
| April-June                        | 33 (21.3)      | 28 (18.1)      | 0.815 (0.465–1.429)| 0.475   | 0.815 (0.465–1.429)   | 0.475   |
| July-September                    | 38 (24.5)      | 34 (21.9)      | 0.865 (0.510–1.467)| 0.591   | 0.865 (0.510–1.467)   | 0.591   |
| October-December                  | 34 (21.9)      | 32 (20.6)      | 0.926 (0.537–1.595)| 0.781   | 0.926 (0.537–1.595)   | 0.781   |
| **Comorbidities, n (%)**          |                |                |                    |         |                       |         |
| Cerebrovascular disease           | 101 (65.2)     | 53 (34.2)      | 0.278 (0.174–0.444)| <0.001  | 3.517 (2.054–6.021)   | <0.001  |
| Hypertension                      | 100 (64.5)     | 76 (49.0)      | 0.529 (0.336–0.834)| 0.006   | 0.529 (0.336–0.834)   | 0.006   |
| Diabetes mellitus                 | 53 (34.2)      | 34 (21.9)      | 0.541 (0.326–0.896)| 0.016   | 0.541 (0.326–0.896)   | 0.016   |
| Coronary artery disease           | 49 (31.6)      | 27 (17.4)      | 0.456 (0.267–0.780)| 0.004   | 0.456 (0.267–0.780)   | 0.004   |
| Cardiac dysfunction               | 28 (18.1)      | 16 (10.3)      | 0.522 (0.270–1.010)| 0.051   | 0.522 (0.270–1.010)   | 0.051   |
| Renal dysfunction                 | 23 (14.8)      | 20 (12.9)      | 0.850 (0.446–1.621)| 0.622   | 0.850 (0.446–1.621)   | 0.622   |
| Biliary tract disease             | 12 (7.7)       | 16 (10.3)      | 1.372 (0.626–3.004)| 0.428   | 1.372 (0.626–3.004)   | 0.428   |
| Bronchiectasis                    | 15 (9.7)       | 19 (12.3)      | 1.304 (0.637–2.671)| 0.467   | 1.304 (0.637–2.671)   | 0.467   |
| Malignancy                        | 8 (5.2)        | 14 (9.0)       | 1.824 (0.743–4.482)| 0.184   | 1.824 (0.743–4.482)   | 0.184   |
| Admitted to Intensive care unit, n (%) | 26 (16.8) | 12 (7.7) | 0.416 (0.202–0.859) | 0.015 | 0.416 (0.202–0.859) | 0.015 |
| **Duration of (CR/CS) PA infection occurrence (days), median (IQR)** | 3.8 (2–4) | 3.3 (2–4) | NA | 0.190 | 2.054 (0.606–6.969) | 0.239 |
| **Prior surgery (within 90 days), n (%)** | 10 (24.5) | 7 (14.2) | 0.686 (0.254–1.885) | 0.619 | 0.686 (0.254–1.885) | 0.619 |
| **Receiving invasive operation, n (%)** | 78 (50.3) | 48 (31.0) | 0.443 (0.279–0.704) | 0.001 | 2.073 (1.135–3.784) | 0.018 |
| Nasogastric tube                  | 59 (38.1)      | 32 (20.6)      | 0.423 (0.255–0.702)| <0.001  | 2.073 (1.135–3.784)   | 0.018   |
| Foley catheter                    | 35 (22.6)      | 18 (11.6)      | 0.450 (0.243–0.837)| 0.010   | 0.450 (0.243–0.837)   | 0.010   |
| Mechanical ventilation            | 23 (14.8)      | 16 (10.3)      | 0.661 (0.334–1.305)| 0.231   | 0.661 (0.334–1.305)   | 0.231   |
| Central venous catheter           |                |                |                    |         |                       |         |
| **Length of hospital stay (days), n (%)** | 4 (2.6) | 8 (5.2) | 2.054 (0.606–6.969) | 0.239 | 2.054 (0.606–6.969) | 0.239 |
| < 7                               | 54 (34.8)      | 74 (47.7)      | 1.709 (1.082–2.698)| 0.021   | 1.709 (1.082–2.698)   | 0.021   |
| 7–13                              | 97 (62.6)      | 66 (42.6)      | 0.443 (0.281–0.699)| <0.001  | 1.980 (1.154–3.399)   | 0.013   |
| Laboratory findings, n (%) |  |  |  |  |  |
|---------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|
| C-reactive protein 10–30 mg/L | 42 (27.1) | 33 (21.3) | 0.728 (0.431–1.228) | 0.233 |
| C-reactive protein > 30 mg/L | 111 (71.6) | 87 (56.1) | 0.507 (0.316–0.813) | 0.005 |
| White blood cell count > 9.5 × 10⁹/L | 62 (40.0) | 52 (33.5) | 0.757 (0.477–1.203) | 0.239 |
| Mild anemia (hemoglobin 90–120 g/L) | 124 (80.0) | 98 (63.2) | 0.430 (0.258–0.717) | 0.001 |
| Moderate anemia (hemoglobin 60–90 g/L) | 4 (2.6) | 2 (1.3) | 0.493 (0.089–2.734) | 0.684 |
| Neutrophil percentage > 75% | 87 (56.1) | 81 (52.3) | 0.856 (0.547–1.338) | 0.494 |
| Albumin < 35 g/L | 100 (64.5) | 67 (43.2) | 0.419 (0.265–0.662) | <0.001 |

| Co-carriage, n (%) |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------------------|---|---|---|---|---|
| Klebsiella pneumoniae | 28 (18.1) | 12 (7.1) | 0.381 (0.186–0.780) | 0.007 |
| Acinetobacter baumannii | 27 (17.4) | 18 (11.6) | 0.623 (0.327–1.185) | 0.147 |
| Carbapenem resistant Enterobacteriaceae | 17 (11.0) | 10 (6.5) | 0.560 (0.248–1.265) | 0.159 |
| Staphylococcus aureus | 9 (5.8) | 3 (1.9) | 0.320 (0.085–1.206) | 0.138 |
| Escherichia coli | 5 (3.2) | 5 (3.2) | 1.000 (0.284–3.526) | 0.992 |

| Previous antibiotic exposure (within 30 days), n (%) |  |  |  |  |  |
|----------------------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|
| Combination of antibiotics | 52 (33.5) | 36 (23.2) | 0.599 (0.363–0.988) | 0.044 |
| Quinolones | 26 (16.8) | 21 (13.5) | 0.778 (0.417–1.451) | 0.428 |
| Carbapenems | 22 (14.2) | 3 (1.9) | 0.119 (0.035–0.408) | <0.001 |
| Third- or fourth-generation cephalosporins | 21 (13.5) | 2 (1.3) | 0.083 (0.019–0.362) | <0.001 |
| Macrolides antibiotics | 15 (9.7) | 20 (12.9) | 1.383 (0.680–2.812) | 0.370 |
| Glycopeptides | 6 (3.9) | 1 (0.6) | 0.161 (0.019–1.356) | 0.121 |
| Aminoglycosides | 4 (2.6) | 2 (1.3) | 0.493 (0.089–2.734) | 0.684 |

Notes: Data are expressed as n (%) of patients for categorical variables and median for continuous as appropriate. Bold face indicates values that are significant (P<0.05).

Abbreviations: CRPA, carbapenem-resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa; CSPA, carbapenem-susceptible Pseudomonas aeruginosa; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; IQR, interquartile range; NA, not available.
In the present study, we investigated the antimicrobial resistance profiles of \( \text{P. aeruginosa} \). Resistance acquisition driven by antipseudomonal agents exposure can be reached by either genemutation or producenewdrugresistancegenes for survival under thepressure ofantibiotics, resultingin changesin the drug resistance characteristicsofbacteria.

Among the carbapenems, resistance rates to imipenem \( (P=0.005) \), LOS \( \geq 20 \) days \( (P=0.002) \), LOS \( 10–19 \) days \( (P=0.005) \), LOS \( \geq 20 \) days \( (P=0.012) \), CRP \( \geq 10 \) mg/L \( (P=0.007) \), WBC \( > 9.5 \times 10^9 \) /L \( (P=0.003) \), neutrophil percentage \( \geq 80\% \) \( (P<0.001) \) were associated with a higher mortality. Multivariate logistic regression analysis showed that patients with receiving mechanical ventilation \( (OR=3.671, 95\% \text{ CI}: 1.424–9.467, P=0.007) \) and neutrophil percentage \( > 80\% \) \( (OR=2.908, 95\% \text{ CI}: 1.151–7.343, P=0.024) \) remained independent risk factors which associated with worse clinical outcomes (Table 3).

### Discussion

The emerging resistance of \( \text{P. aeruginosa} \) poses a global threat, as carbapenems antibiotics are widely used in clinics, the growing carbapenem resistance among \( \text{P. aeruginosa} \) has been reported in many large-scale surveillance data, but its prevalence varies across different geographic regions, specimen source, patient age, patient setting, and selective pressure from broad spectrum antibiotics. \( \text{In the present study, we investigated the antimicrobial resistance profiles of P. aeruginosa among elderly patients in a tertiary hospital for 3 years. We found the rates of CRPA and MDR PA (25.8\% and 22.3\%, respectively) among overall P. aeruginosa isolates were higher than in Australia (6.5\% and 7.4\%, respectively), but lower than in India (29.3\% and 28.2\%, respectively) from the antimicrobial testing leadership and surveillance (ATLAS) program from 2015 to 2019 report.} \)

Among the carbapenems, resistance rates to imipenem (22.7\%) and meropenem (18.2\%) were close to a report from CHINET in 2020 with national rates of 23.2\% for imipenem and 19.3\% for meropenem, but much lower than in a general hospital in Henan, reported with 56.7\% for imipenem and 47.3\% for meropenem. These varied results implied the prevalence of CRPA was significantly different between geographic and patient population. Our study results reckoned the overall resistances to antipseudomonal carbapenems and fluoroquinolones of \( \text{P. aeruginosa} \) were relative higher compared to aminoglycosides (amikacin, tobramycin, gentamicin), cephalosporins (cefepime, ceftazidime, piperacillin-tazobactam), which still demonstrated excellent susceptibility rates being 92.3\%, 84.7\%, 80.7\%, 82.3\%, 79.8\%, and 79.3\%, respectively. CRPA showed more resistant to all tested antimicrobial agents compared to CSPA, but the susceptible rates of CRPA were still high toward amikacin, cefepime, gentamicin, and tobramycin, which were 80.0\%, 67.1\%, 66.5\%, and 65.2\%, respectively. The data was important for empiric antimicrobial therapy in clinical practice.

The study identified several independent predictors of elderly inpatients of infection with CRPA, including prior antimicrobial use, cerebrovascular disease, receiving foley catheter, albumin \( < 35 \) g/L and LOS \( \geq 14 \) days. An important predisposing factor for CRPA infection having the history of using carbapenems and third- or fourth-generation cephalosporins within 30 days increased the risk about seven and thirteen times higher, which concurs with other previous studies. Resistance acquisition driven by antipseudomonal agents exposure can be reached by either selecting mutants in patients previously colonized or infected by susceptible phenotypes or promoting selection of an already resistant strain. Long-term and high frequency usage of such antibiotics causes \( \text{P. aeruginosa} \) to undergo gene mutation or produce new drug resistance genes for survival under the pressure of antibiotics, resulting in changes in the drug resistance characteristics of bacteria. Carbapenems had the shortest duration of prior antibiotic exposure for carbapenem-resistant \( \text{P. aeruginosa} \) (3.5 days), whereas piperacillin-tazobactam for piperacillin-tazobactam-resistant \( \text{P. aeruginosa} \) (3 days). Therefore, physicians may predict resistance development in accordance with previous carbapenems and third- or fourth-generation cephalosporins exposure and duration. More attention must be paid to these kinds of antibiotic agents’ stewardship in order to delay the emergence of resistance.

Furthermore, cerebrovascular disease was significantly linked to CRPA infection. The elderly with cerebrovascular disease are often subjected to repeated silent aspiration pneumonia, bacteria colonized in the oral cavity and oropharynx easily enter the lung during sleep causing an infection. A previous study revealed high prevalence (38.5\%) and high
| Variable                        | CRPA (N = 26) | CSPA (N = 129) | Univariate Analysis | P-value | OR (95% CI) | P-value | Multivariate Analysis | OR (95% CI) | P-value |
|--------------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------------|---------|-------------|---------|-----------------------|-------------|---------|
| **Age (years), Median (IQR)**  | 84 (77.0–90.0)| 83 (74.0–88.0) | NA                  | 0.450   | NA          | NA      |                       |             |         |
| **Age in year, n (%)**         |               |                |                     |         |             |         |                       |             |         |
| 65–74                          | 1 (3.8)       | 23 (17.8)      | 0.184 (0.024–1.431) | 0.072   |             |         |                       |             |         |
| ≥ 75                           | 25 (96.2)     | 106 (82.1)     | 5.425 (0.699–42.095)| 0.072   |             |         |                       |             |         |
| **Male, sex, n (%)**           | 15 (57.7)     | 84 (65.1)      | 0.731 (0.310–1.723) | 0.472   |             |         |                       |             |         |
| **Quarter, n (%)**             |               |                |                     |         |             |         |                       |             |         |
| January-March                  | 10 (38.5)     | 40 (31.0)      | 1.391 (0.580–3.332) | 0.458   |             |         |                       |             |         |
| April-June                     | 5 (19.2)      | 28 (21.7)      | 0.859 (0.297–2.482) | 0.779   |             |         |                       |             |         |
| July-September                 | 5 (19.2)      | 33 (25.6)      | 0.693 (0.242–1.984) | 0.492   |             |         |                       |             |         |
| October-December               | 6 (23.1)      | 28 (21.7)      | 1.082 (0.397–2.953) | 0.877   |             |         |                       |             |         |
| **Comorbidities, n (%)**       |               |                |                     |         |             |         |                       |             |         |
| Cerebrovascular disease        | 14 (53.8)     | 87 (67.4)      | 0.563 (0.240–1.324) | 0.184   |             |         |                       |             |         |
| Hypertension                   | 18 (69.2)     | 82 (63.6)      | 1.290 (0.521–3.193) | 0.582   |             |         |                       |             |         |
| Diabetes mellitus              | 12 (46.2)     | 41 (31.8)      | 1.840 (0.782–4.328) | 0.159   |             |         |                       |             |         |
| Coronary artery disease        | 9 (34.6)      | 40 (31.0)      | 1.178 (0.484–2.868) | 0.718   |             |         |                       |             |         |
| Cardiac dysfunction            | 6 (23.1)      | 22 (17.1)      | 1.459 (0.526–4.051) | 0.466   |             |         |                       |             |         |
| Renal dysfunction              | 8 (30.8)      | 15 (11.6)      | 3.378 (1.253–9.106) | 0.012   |             |         |                       |             |         |
| Biliary tract disease          | 3 (11.5)      | 9 (7.0)        | 1.739 (0.437–6.918) | 0.427   |             |         |                       |             |         |
| Bronchiectasis                 | 5 (19.2)      | 10 (7.8)       | 2.833 (0.880–9.124) | 0.071   |             |         |                       |             |         |
| Malignancy                     | 1 (3.8)       | 7 (5.4)        | 0.697 (0.082–5.919) | 0.740   |             |         |                       |             |         |
| **Admitted to ICU, n (%)**     | 5 (19.2)      | 21 (16.3)      | 1.224 (0.415–3.611) | 0.713   |             |         |                       |             |         |
| **Prior surgery, n (%)**       | 3 (26.9)      | 7 (24.0)       | 2.273 (0.547–9.443) | 0.247   |             |         |                       |             |         |
| **Invasive operation, n (%)**  |               |                |                     |         |             |         |                       |             |         |
| Nasogastric tube               | 15 (57.7)     | 63 (48.8)      | 1.429 (0.610–3.346) | 0.410   |             |         |                       |             |         |
| Foley catheter                 | 16 (61.5)     | 43 (33.3)      | 3.200 (1.340–7.644) | 0.007   |             |         |                       |             |         |
| Mechanical ventilation         | 12 (46.2)     | 23 (17.8)      | 3.950 (1.617–9.650) | 0.002   | 3.671 (1.424–9.467) | 0.007 |
| Central venous catheter        | 6 (23.1)      | 17 (13.2)      | 1.976 (0.695–5.621) | 0.195   |             |         |                       |             |         |
| **Length of hospital stay (days), n (%)** |         |                |                     |         |             |         |                       |             |         |
| < 10                           | 3 (11.5)      | 10 (7.8)       | 1.552 (0.396–6.079) | 0.525   |             |         |                       |             |         |
| 10–19                          | 8 (30.8)      | 78 (60.5)      | 0.291 (0.118–0.718) | 0.005   |             |         |                       |             |         |
| ≥ 20                           | 15 (57.7)     | 41 (31.8)      | 2.927 (1.236–6.929) | 0.012   |             |         |                       |             |         |

(Continued)
| Variable                                           | CRPA (N = 26) | CSPA (N = 129) | Univariate Analysis | Multivariate Analysis |
|---------------------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| **Laboratory findings, n (%)**                    |               |               |                     |                      |
| C-reactive protein ≥ 10 mg/L                       | 25 (96.2)     | 92 (71.3)     | 10.054 (1.314–76.928) | 0.007                |
| Hemoglobin:<130 g/L (Male), <115 g/L (Female)     | 22 (84.6)     | 108 (83.7)    | 1.069 (0.334–3.423)  | 0.071                |
| Albumin < 35 g/L                                  | 17 (65.4)     | 83 (64.3)     | 1.047 (0.432–2.536)  | 0.919                |
| Neutrophil percentage, n (%)                      |               |               |                     |                      |
| 75–79                                             | 8 (30.8)      | 24 (18.6)     | 1.944 (0.757–4.995)  | 0.162                |
| ≥ 80                                              | 18 (69.2)     | 37 (28.7)     | 5.595 (2.238–13.983) | <0.001               |
| White blood cell count > 9.5×10^9 /L              | 18 (69.2)     | 48 (37.2)     | 3.797 (1.534–9.396)  | 0.003                |
| **Co-carrage, n (%)**                             |               |               |                     |                      |
| Klebsiella pneumoniae                            | 8 (30.8)      | 20 (15.5)     | 2.422 (0.928–6.324)  | 0.065                |
| Acinetobacter baumannii                          | 5 (19.2)      | 22 (17.1)     | 1.158 (0.394–3.403)  | 0.790                |
| Carbapenem resistant Enterobacteriaceae          | 3 (11.5)      | 14 (10.9)     | 1.071 (0.285–4.030)  | 1.000                |
| Staphylococcus aureus                            | 0 (0.0)       | 9 (7.0)       | NA                   | 0.226                |
| Escherichia coli                                 | 3 (11.5)      | 2 (1.60)      | 8.283 (1.311–52.334) | 0.034                |
| **Previous antibiotic exposure (within 30 days), n (%)** |               |               |                     |                      |
| Combination of antibiotics                       | 7 (26.9)      | 45 (34.9)     | 0.688 (0.269–1.759)  | 0.433                |
| Quinolones                                       | 4 (15.4)      | 22 (17.1)     | 0.884 (0.277–2.821)  | 1.000                |
| Carbapenems                                      | 1 (3.8)       | 21 (16.3)     | 0.206 (0.026–1.602)  | 0.127                |
| Third- or fourth-generation cephalosporins       | 2 (7.7)       | 19 (14.7)     | 0.482 (0.105–2.211)  | 0.382                |
| Glycopeptides                                     | 1 (3.8)       | 5 (3.9)       | 0.992 (0.111–8.860)  | 1.000                |
| Aminoglycosides                                   | 0 (0.0)       | 4 (3.1)       | NA                   | 0.607                |

**Notes:** Data are expressed as n (%) of patients for categorical variables and median for continuous as appropriate. Bold face indicates values that are significant (P<0.05).

**Abbreviations:** CRPA, carbapenem-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*; CSPA, carbapenem-susceptible *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; IQR, interquartile range; NA, not available.
average bacterial number (2.00×10^8 CFU/mL) of *P. aeruginosa* were found in oropharyngeal microflora with cerebrovascular disease and dysphagia. This was consistent with our results 77.4% of enrolled patients with CRPA infection suffered from pulmonary infection and 80.0% of CRPA isolates were cultured from lower respiratory tract. Moreover, invasive operations such as tracheal intubation, tracheotomy and central venous catheterization are generally required in the rescue of patients with cerebrovascular diseases, which makes the incidence of nosocomial infection 5–10 times higher than that in other general patients.

Another one attributed to CRPA infection was indwelling devices in the patient’s body, similar to previous studies by Leihof RF and Kang JS, patients undergone foley catheter had approximately twice as high rate of CRPA infection occurrence as those without foley catheter. Indwelling catheter is easy to destroy patients with urethral or bladder mucosa, the mucosal barrier, during invasive operation and weaken its defensive effect, increasing the chance of bacterial invasion and resulting in increased chance of infection with *P. aeruginosa*. Therefore, it is vital to emphasize the importance of avoiding or limiting the use of this type of invasive device and improving the aseptic conditions during these procedures, especially in elderly patients.

Consistent with the previous study by Koichi Kitagawa, we found patients with lower albumin levels (< 35g/L) have a strong tendency to become CRPA infection compared to patients with normal albumin level. Hypoalbuminemia indicates protein-energy malnutrition and impaired immunological response. Elderly patients usually have more critical underlying diseases and low immune function, once they suffered infection it is difficult to treat and control, leading to further prolonged treatment and recovery time. Tsao LH reported the risk of CRPA infection increases 1% with each hospitalization day, which was in line with another independent risk factor LOS > 14 days. With the extension of hospital stay, they were more likely to infect *P. aeruginosa*, including endogenous infection by colonization in nasopharynx, respiratory tract, skin and intestinal tract, and exogenous infection by the prolonged survival of *P. aeruginosa* in hospital surroundings.

To explore the possible influence of CRPA pathogen on the outcome of elderly patients, patients’ characteristics were systematically evaluated. The overall mortality rate among patients with CRPA infection was 16.8% (26/155). Contrary to other studies’ results that higher mortality rates of *P. aeruginosa* infection were related to patients’ comorbidities, the site of primary infection, disease severity, multidrug resistance, and inappropriateness of empirical therapy, in this study, we found mechanical ventilation (OR=3.671, *P*=0.007) and neutrophil percentage ≥80% (OR=2.908, *P*=0.024) were the strongest risk factors associated with all-cause in hospital mortality. Mechanical ventilation is an important tool for critically ill patients, those patients who frequently require invasive medical devices are more susceptible to subsequent, recurrent infections, and to death due to infectious complications. Neutrophils are essential phagocytes in the defense system against *P. aeruginosa* infection in the lower respiratory tract, and alveolar macrophages play an important role in neutrophil accumulation by release of neutrophil chemotactic factor after the *P. aeruginosa* phagocytosis. A previous study reported by Kamoshida found *Acinetobacter baumannii* exploits human neutrophils by adhering to and inducing interleukin-8 release for bacterial portage. We speculated that neutrophils initially play an important role against *P. aeruginosa* infection, which also leads to the dissemination of *P. aeruginosa* in the body, leading the patient to worse clinical outcome.

Our study has several limitations. Firstly, this study focused on elderly patients was a single-center study conducted at a tertiary university hospital in the eastern region of China, and the number of CRPA samples was relatively small. Then, our results might not be extrapolated to other hospitals and regions of the country. Secondly, in our study, the detection of *P. aeruginosa* still mainly comes from sputum samples accounting for 80.8%, which is more difficult to identify whether the pathogen is a colonized or an infected strain and easily leads to overestimation of the detection rate of CRPA. Additionally, our study could not identify specific mechanisms associated with resistance given the retrospective study design.

**Conclusion**

Rates of CRPA, MDR PA were high in elderly patients. Cerebrovascular disease, foley catheter, length of hospital stay ≥ 14 days, Albumin < 35 g/L, previous antibiotic exposure to carbapenems, previous antibiotic exposure to third- or fourth-generation cephalosporins were significant risk predictors for acquisition of CRPA infections. Mechanical ventilation and neutrophils...
percentage ≥ 80% were two factors independently associated with mortality of CRPA infection. The analysis of antimicrobial susceptibility emphasizes the need for antimicrobial stewardship and infection control in hospitals. These findings of risk factors for patients with CRPA infection and mortality are useful in identifying patients at high risk for CRPA infection that may benefit from alternate empiric treatment.
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