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Abstract

We study the relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell system which describes large systems of particles interacting by means of their collectively generated forces. If the speed of light $c$ is considered as a parameter then it is known that in the Newtonian limit $c \to \infty$ the Vlasov-Poisson system is obtained. In this paper we determine the next order approximate system, which in the case of individual particles usually is called the Darwin approximation.

1 Introduction and Main Results

The relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell system

\[
\begin{aligned}
\partial_t f + \hat{v} \cdot \nabla_x f + (E + c^{-1} \hat{v} \times B) \cdot \nabla_v f &= 0, \\
c \nabla \times E &= -\partial_t B, \\
\nabla \cdot E &= 4\pi \rho, \\
\nabla \times B &= \partial_t E + 4\pi j, \\
\nabla \cdot B &= 0, \\
\rho &= \int f \, dv, \\
j &= \int \hat{v} f \, dv,
\end{aligned}
\]

(RVMc)

describes the time evolution of a single-species system of particles (with mass and charge normalized to unity) which interact by means of their collectively generated forces. The distribution of the large number of particles in configuration space is modelled through the non-negative density function $f(x,v,t)$, depending on position $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$, momentum $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$, and time $t \in \mathbb{R}$, whereas

\[
\hat{v} = (1 + c^{-2}v^2)^{-1/2} v \in \mathbb{R}^3
\]

is the relativistic velocity associated to $v$. The Lorentz force $E + c^{-1} \hat{v} \times B$ realizes the coupling of the Maxwell fields $E(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $B(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ to the Vlasov equation, and conversely the density function $f$ enters the field equations via the scalar charge density $\rho(x,t)$ and the current density $j(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^3$, which act as source terms for the Maxwell equations. It is supposed that
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collisions in the system are sufficiently rare so that they can be neglected. The parameter $c$ denotes
the speed of light, and $\int$ always means $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}$. At time $t = 0$, the initial data
\[ f(x, v, 0) = f^0(x, v), \quad E(x, 0) = E^0(x), \quad \text{and} \quad B(x, 0) = B^0(x) \]
are prescribed. In this work we treat the speed of light as a parameter and study the behavior
of the system as $c \to \infty$. Conditions will be establish under which the solutions of (\text{RVMc})
converge to a solution of an effective system. We recall that in [21] it has been shown that
as $c \to \infty$ the solutions of (\text{RVMc}) approach a solution of the Vlasov-Poisson system with the
rate $O(c^{-1})$; see [1, 5] for similar results and [15] for the case of two spatial dimensions. The
respective Newtonian limits of other related systems are derived in [20, 4]. It is the goal of
this paper to replace the Vlasov-Poisson system by another effective equation to achieve higher
order convergence and a more precise approximation. This will lead to an effective system whose
solution stays as close as $O(c^{-3})$ to a solution of the full Vlasov-Maxwell system, if the initial data
are matched appropriately. In the context of individual particles, this post-Newtonian order of
approximation is usually called the Darwin order, see [23, 13] and the references therein. Let us
also mention that weak convergence properties of other kinds of Darwin approximations for the
Vlasov-Maxwell system were studied in [6,2]. In the present paper we mainly view the Darwin
approximation as a rigorous intermediate step towards the next order, where in analogy to the
case of individual particles [13] radiation effects are expected to play a role for the first time. Since
at the radiation order the corresponding dynamics of the Vlasov-Maxwell system most likely will
have to be restricted to a center manifold-like domain in the infinite dimensional space of densities
(to avoid “run-away”-type solutions [23, 14]), it is clear that several new mathematical difficulties
will have to be surrounded in this next step. Then the ultimate goal would be to determine the
effective equation for the Vlasov-Maxwell system on the center manifold, which should finally lead
to a slightly dissipative Vlasov-like equation, free of “run-away” solutions; see [11, 12] for a model
of this equation and more motivation.

Compared to systems of coupled individual particles, for the Vlasov-Maxwell system one im-
mediately encounters the problem that so far in general only the existence of local solutions is
known. These solutions are global under additional conditions, for instance if a suitable a priori
bound on the velocities is available; see the pioneering work [8], and also [10, 3], where this result
is reproved by different methods. This means that from the onset we will have to restrict ourselves
to solutions of (\text{RVMc}) which are defined on some time interval $[0, T]$ that may be very small. On
the other hand, in [21] it has been shown that such a time interval can be found which is uniform
in $c \geq 1$, so it seems reasonable to accept this restriction.

In order to find the desired higher-order effective system, we formally expand all quantities
arising in (\text{RVMc}) in powers of $c^{-1}$:
\[ f = f_0 + c^{-1} f_1 + c^{-2} f_2 + \ldots, \]
\[ E = E_0 + c^{-1} E_1 + c^{-2} E_2 + \ldots, \]
\[ B = B_0 + c^{-1} B_1 + c^{-2} B_2 + \ldots, \]
\[ \rho = \rho_0 + c^{-1} \rho_1 + c^{-2} \rho_2 + \ldots, \]
\[ j = j_0 + c^{-1} j_1 + c^{-2} j_2 + \ldots, \]
where $\rho_k = \int f_k dv$ and $j_k = \int v f_k dv$ for $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$. Moreover, $\dot{v} = v - (c^{-2}/2)v^2v + \ldots$ by
(1.1), where $v^2 = |v|^2$. The expansions can be substituted into (\text{RVMc}), and comparing coefficients
at every order gives a sequence of equations for these coefficients.
At zeroth order we obtain
\[ \nabla \times E_0 = 0, \quad \nabla \cdot E_0 = 4\pi \rho_0, \quad \nabla \times B_0 = 0, \quad \nabla \cdot B_0 = 0. \] (1.2)

If we set
\[ B_0 = 0, \]
then the Vlasov-Poisson system
\[
\begin{aligned}
\partial_t f_0 + v \cdot \nabla_x f_0 + E_0 \cdot \nabla_v f_0 &= 0, \\
E_0(x, t) &= -\int |z|^{-2} \tilde{z} \rho_0(x + z, t) \, dz, \\
\rho_0 &= \int f_0 \, dv, \\
f_0(x, v, 0) &= f^0(x, v),
\end{aligned}
\]

(VP)
is found, with \( \tilde{z} = |z|^{-1}z \).

Next we consider the equations at first order in \( c^{-1} \). Here
\[
\begin{aligned}
\nabla \times E_1 &= -\partial_t B_0 = 0, \\
\nabla \cdot E_1 &= 4\pi \rho_1, \\
\nabla \times B_1 &= \partial_t E_0 + 4\pi j_0, \\
\nabla \cdot B_1 &= 0,
\end{aligned}
\]

(1.3)
needs to be satisfied for the fields; also see \[12\]. Using (1.2), we get \( \Delta B_1 = -4\pi \nabla \times j_0 \) and therefore define
\[
B_1(x, t) = \int |x - y|^{-1} \nabla \times j_0(y, t) \, dy = \int |z|^{-2} \tilde{z} \times j_0(x + z, t) \, dz.
\]

(1.4)

Regarding the density \( f_1 \), we obtain the linear Vlasov equation
\[
\partial_t f_1 + v \cdot \nabla_x f_1 + E_1 \cdot \nabla_v f_0 + E_0 \cdot \nabla_v f_1 = 0.
\]

Hence if we suppose that \( f_1(x, v, 0) = 0 \), then we can set
\[ f_1 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad E_1 = 0 \]
consistently.

The field equations at the order \( c^{-2} \) are
\[
\begin{aligned}
\nabla \times E_2 &= -\partial_t B_1, \\
\nabla \cdot E_2 &= 4\pi \rho_2, \\
\nabla \times B_2 &= \partial_t E_1 + 4\pi j_1 = 0, \\
\nabla \cdot B_2 &= 0.
\end{aligned}
\]
Therefore we can define
\[ B_2 = 0. \]

Calculating the equation for the density \( f_2 \) and taking into account (1.3), we arrive at the following inhomogeneous linearized Vlasov-Poisson system, for which we choose homogeneous initial data:
\[
\begin{aligned}
\partial_t f_2 + v \cdot \nabla_x f_2 - \frac{1}{2} v^2 v \cdot \nabla_x f_0 + E_0 \cdot \nabla_v f_2 + (E_2 + v \times B_1) \cdot \nabla_v f_0 &= 0, \\
\Delta E_2 &= \partial_t^2 E_0 + 4\pi (\nabla \rho_2 + \partial_t j_0), \\
f_2(x, v, 0) &= 0.
\end{aligned}
\]

(LVP)

At this point we need to discuss the solvability of the Poisson equation for \( E_2 \). Restricting our attention to initial data \( f^0 \) for \([RVMc]\) with compact support, it will turn out below that both \( \rho_2 \) and \( j_0 \) have compact support and thus lead to unproblematic sources. The first term \( \partial_t^2 E_0 \) has
to be examined more closely. Since \( \rho_0(\cdot, t) \) has compact support for all \( t \), see (2.1) below, we can calculate the iterated Poisson integrals

\[
\Delta^{-1}(\partial_t^2 E_0)(x, t) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int \frac{dy}{|x-y|} \partial_t^2 E_0(y, t)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{4\pi} \int \frac{dw}{|w|} \int |z|^{-2} \partial_t^2 \rho_0(x+w+z, t) \ dz
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{4\pi} \int dy \partial_t^2 \rho_0(y, t) \int du |y-x-u|^{-1}|u^{-3}u
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{dy}{|y-x|} (y-x) \partial_t^2 \rho_0(y, t) = \frac{1}{2} \int \bar{z} \partial_t^2 \rho_0(x+z, t) \ dz
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \int |z|^{-1}(v - (\bar{z} \cdot v) \bar{z}) \partial_t f_0(x+z, v, t) \ dz \ dv,
\]

where we used (VP), eq. (5.27) from the appendix, and \( \partial_t \rho_0 + \nabla \cdot j_0 = 0 \) in conjunction with an integration by parts, the continuity equation itself being a direct consequence of (VP). In view of (1.5) and (LVP) we thus define

\[
E_2(x, t) = \frac{1}{2} \int \bar{z} \partial_t^2 \rho_0(x+z, t) \ dz - \int |z|^{-1} \partial_t j_0(x+z, t) \ dz - \int |z|^{-2} \rho_2(x+z, t) \ dz. \tag{1.6}
\]

By (1.6), (VP), and a further integration by parts, we obtain the alternative expression

\[
E_2(x, t) = \frac{1}{2} \int \int |z|^{-2} \bar{z} (3(\bar{z} \cdot v)^2 - v^2) f_0(x+z, v, t) \ dz \ dv
\]

\[
- \frac{1}{2} \int |z|^{-1}(1 + \bar{z} \otimes \bar{z}) (E_0 \rho_0)(x+z, t) \ dz - \int |z|^{-2} \rho_2(x+z, t) \ dz. \tag{1.7}
\]

The first aim of this paper is to show that

\[
\begin{align*}
B_0 & := f_0 + c^{-2} f_2, \\
E_0 & := E_0 + c^{-2} E_2, \\
B_1 & := c^{-1} B_1,
\end{align*}
\]

yields a higher-order pointwise approximation of (RVMc) than the Vlasov-Poisson system; we call (1.8) the Darwin approximation. It is clear that for achieving this improved approximation property also the initial data of (RVMc) have to be matched appropriately by the data for the Darwin system. For a prescribed initial density \( f^0 \), we are able to calculate \( (f_0, E_0), B_1 \), and \( (f_2, E_2) \) according to what has been outlined above. We then consider (RVMc) with initial data

\[
\begin{cases}
  f(x, v, 0) = f^0(x, v), \\
  E(x, 0) = E^0(x) := E_0(x, 0) + c^{-2} E_2(x, 0), \\
  B(x, 0) = B^0(x) := c^{-1} B_1(x, 0).
\end{cases} \tag{IC}
\]

Before we formulate our main theorem let us recall that solutions of (RVMc) with initial data (IC) exist at least on some time interval \([0, T]\) which is independent of \( c \geq 1 \); see [21] Thm. 1], and cf. Proposition 2.2 below for a more precise statement. This time interval \([0, T]\) is fixed throughout the paper.
Theorem 1.1 Assume that \( f^0 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3) \) is nonnegative and has compact support. From \( f^0 \) calculate \((f_0, E_0), B_1, \) and \((f_2, E_2)\), and then define initial data for \((\text{RVMC})\) by \((IC)\). Let \((f, E, B)\) denote the solution of \((\text{RVMC})\) with initial data \((IC)\) and let \((f^D, E^D, B^D)\) be defined as in \((1.3)\). Then there exists a constant \( M > 0 \), and also for every \( R > 0 \) there is \( M_R > 0 \), such that

\[
|f(x, v, t) - f^D(x, v, t)| \leq Mc^{-3} \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^3),
\]

\[
|E(x, t) - E^D(x, t)| \leq Mc^{-3} \quad (|x| \leq R),
\]

\[
|B(x, t) - B^D(x, t)| \leq Mc^{-3} \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^3),
\]

for all \( v \in \mathbb{R}^3, \ t \in [0, T], \) and \( c \geq 1 \).

The constants \( M \) and \( M_R \) are independent of \( c \geq 1 \), but do depend on the initial data. Note that if \((\text{RVMC})\) is compared to the Vlasov-Poisson system \((\text{VP})\) only, one obtains the estimate

\[
|f(x, v, t) - f_0(x, v, t)| + |E(x, t) - E_0(x, t)| + |B(x, t)| \leq Mc^{-1}; \quad \text{see} \ [21, \text{Thm. 2B}].
\]

Approximate models have the big advantage that, since by now the Vlasov-Poisson system is well understood, the existence of \((f_0, E_0)\), and here also of \((B_1, E_2)\), does no longer pose serious problems; note that in \((\text{DVM})\) the equation for \( f_2 \) is linear. Therefore one can hope to get more information on \((\text{RVMC})\) by studying the approximate equations. As a drawback of the above hierarchy, one has to deal with two densities \( f_0, f_2 \) and two electric fields \( E_0, E_2 \) to define \( f^D \) and \( E^D \). Therefore it is natural to look for a model which can be written down using only one density and one field. It turns out that the appropriate (Hamiltonian) system is

\[
\begin{aligned}
\partial_t f + (1 - \frac{1}{2} c^{-2} v^2) v \cdot \nabla_x f + (E + c^{-1} v \times B) \cdot \nabla_v f &= 0, \\
c \nabla \times E &= -\partial_t B, \quad \nabla \cdot E = 4\pi \rho, \\
c \Delta B &= -4\pi \nabla \times j, \\
\rho &= \int f \, dv, \quad j = \int (1 - \frac{1}{2} c^{-2} v^2) v f \, dv,
\end{aligned}
\]

which we call the Darwin-Vlasov-Maxwell system. We note that \((f^D, E^D, B^D)\) solves \((\text{DVMc})\) up to an error of the order \( c^{-3} \).

Theorem 1.2 Assume that \( f^0 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3) \) is nonnegative and has compact support. Then there exist \( c^* \geq 1 \) and \( T^* > 0 \) such that the following holds for \( c \geq c^* \).

(a) If there is a local solution of \((\text{DVMc})\), then the initial data \( E^0 \) and \( B^0 \) of \((\text{DVMc})\) at \( t = 0 \) are uniquely determined by the initial density \( f^0 \).

(b) The system \((\text{DVMc})\) has a unique \( C^2 \)-solution \((f^*, E^*, B^*)\) on \([0, T^*]\) attaining that initial data \((f^0, E^0, B^0)\) at \( t = 0 \). This solution conserves the energy

\[
\mathcal{H} = \int \int \left( \frac{1}{2} v^2 - \frac{1}{8} c^{-2} v^4 \right) f^* \, dv \, dx + \frac{1}{8\pi} \int \left( |\nabla \phi^*|^2 + |\nabla \wedge A^*|^2 \right) \, dx,
\]

where the potentials \( \phi^* \) and \( A^* \) are chosen in such a way that \( B^* = \nabla \wedge A^*, \nabla \cdot A^* = 0, \) and \( -\nabla \phi^* = E^* + c^{-1} \partial_t A^* \).

(c) Let \((f, E, B)\) denote the solution of \((\text{RVMc})\) with initial data \((f^0, E^0, B^0)\). Then there exists a constant \( M > 0 \), and also for every \( R > 0 \) there is \( M_R > 0 \), such that

\[
|f(x, v, t) - f^*(x, v, t)| \leq Mc^{-3} \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^3),
\]

\[
|E(x, t) - E^*(x, t)| \leq M_R c^{-3} \quad (|x| \leq R),
\]

\[
|B(x, t) - B^*(x, t)| \leq Mc^{-3} \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^3),
\]

for all \( v \in \mathbb{R}^3, \ t \in [0, \min\{T, T^*\}], \) and \( c \geq c^* \).
Instead of performing the limit $c \to \infty$ in (RVMc) it is possible to reformulate Theorem 1.1 in terms of a suitable dimensionless parameter. Taking this viewpoint means that we consider (RVMc) at a fixed $c$ (say $c = 1$) by rescaling a prescribed nonnegative initial density $f^o$, for which we suppose that $f^o \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ has compact support. To be more precise, let

$$\bar{v} = \int \int \hat{v} f^o(x, v) \, dx \, dv,$$

where $\hat{v}$ is taken for $c = \varepsilon^{-1/2}$; cf. (1.1). Then $\bar{v}$ is viewed as an average velocity of the system. Now we introduce

$$f^{\varepsilon, \circ}(x, v, t) = \varepsilon^{3/2} f^o(\varepsilon x, \varepsilon^{-1/2} v)$$

and consider $f^{\varepsilon, \circ}$ for $c = 1$. It follows that

$$\bar{v}^\varepsilon = \int \int \hat{v} f^{\varepsilon, \circ}(x, v) \, dx \, dv = \sqrt{\varepsilon} \int \int \hat{v} f^o(y, w) \, dy \, dw = \sqrt{\varepsilon} \bar{v},$$

i.e., the system with initial distribution function $f^{\varepsilon, \circ}$ has small velocities compared to the system associated to $f^o$. Starting from $f^o$, we next determine $(f_0, E_0, B_1)$, and $(f_2, E_2)$, and then the initial data for (RVMc) via (IC) with $c = \varepsilon^{-1/2}$, as in Theorem 1.1. Next we note that $(f, E, B)$ is a solution of (RVMc) with $c = \varepsilon^{-1/2}$ if and only if

$$f^{\varepsilon}(x, v, t) = \varepsilon^{3/2} f(\varepsilon x, \varepsilon^{-1/2} v, \varepsilon^{3/2} t),$$

$$E^{\varepsilon}(x, t) = \varepsilon^2 E(\varepsilon x, \varepsilon^{3/2} t),$$

$$B^{\varepsilon}(x, t) = \varepsilon^2 B(\varepsilon x, \varepsilon^{3/2} t),$$

is a solution of (RVMc) with $c = 1$. We further introduce

$$f_0^{\varepsilon}(x, v, t) = \varepsilon^{3/2} f_0(\varepsilon x, \varepsilon^{-1/2} v, \varepsilon^{3/2} t),$$

$$E_0^{\varepsilon}(x, t) = \varepsilon^2 E_0(\varepsilon x, \varepsilon^{3/2} t),$$

$$B_1^{\varepsilon}(x, t) = \varepsilon^{5/2} B_1(\varepsilon x, \varepsilon^{3/2} t),$$

$$f_2^{\varepsilon}(x, v, t) = \varepsilon^{5/2} f_2(\varepsilon x, \varepsilon^{-1/2} v, \varepsilon^{3/2} t),$$

$$E_2^{\varepsilon}(x, t) = \varepsilon^3 E_2(\varepsilon x, \varepsilon^{3/2} t),$$

$$\rho_0^{\varepsilon}(x, t) = \int f_0^{\varepsilon}(x, v, t) \, dv = \varepsilon^3 \rho_0(\varepsilon x, \varepsilon^{3/2} t),$$

$$j_0^{\varepsilon}(x, t) = \int v f_0^{\varepsilon}(x, v, t) \, dv = \varepsilon^{7/2} j_0(\varepsilon x, \varepsilon^{3/2} t),$$

$$\rho_2^{\varepsilon}(x, t) = \int f_2^{\varepsilon}(x, v, t) \, dv = \varepsilon^4 \rho_2(\varepsilon x, \varepsilon^{3/2} t).$$

Straightforward calculations then confirm the following statements:

(a) $(f_0, E_0)$ is a solution to (VP) with initial data $f^o$ if and only if $(f_0^{\varepsilon}, E_0^{\varepsilon})$ is a solution to (VP) with initial data $f^{\varepsilon, \circ}$,

(b) $B_1$ solves $\Delta B_1 = -4\pi \nabla \times j_0$ if and only if $B_1^{\varepsilon}$ solves $\Delta B_1^{\varepsilon} = -4\pi \nabla \times j_0^{\varepsilon}$,

(c) $(f_2, E_2)$ is a solution to (LVP) if and only if $(f_2^{\varepsilon}, E_2^{\varepsilon})$ is a solution to

$$\begin{cases}
\partial_t f_2^{\varepsilon} + v \cdot \nabla_x f_2^{\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{2} v^2 v \cdot \nabla_x f_2^{\varepsilon} + E_0^{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla_x f_2^{\varepsilon} + (E_2^{\varepsilon} + v \cdot B_1^{\varepsilon}) \cdot \nabla_v f_2^{\varepsilon} = 0, \\
\Delta E_2^{\varepsilon} = \partial_t^2 E_0^{\varepsilon} + 4\pi (\nabla \rho_2^{\varepsilon} + \partial_t j_0^{\varepsilon}), \\
f_2^{\varepsilon}(x, v, 0) = 0.
\end{cases}$$
Therefore Theorem 1.1 may be reformulated in a way which parallels [13, Thm. 2.2], where the case of individual particles is considered which are far apart (of order $O(\varepsilon^{-1})$) and have small velocities (of order $O(\sqrt{\varepsilon})$) initially. Note that in this result the Lorentz force is determined up to an error of order $O(\varepsilon^{7/2})$, and the dynamics of the full and the effective system can be compared over long times of order $O(\varepsilon^{-3/2})$; see [13, p. 448].

**Theorem 1.3** Assume that $f^0 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ is nonnegative and has compact support. From $f^0$ calculate $(f_0, E_0, B_1)$, and $(f_2, E_2)$, and then define initial data for $(RVMc)$ by (IC) with $c = \varepsilon^{-1/2}$. Let $(f, E, B)$ denote the solution of $(RVMc)$ on $[0, T]$ for $c = \varepsilon^{-1/2}$ with initial data (IC). Moreover, let $f^{\varepsilon, 0}$, $f^\varepsilon$, $E^\varepsilon$, $B^\varepsilon$, $f^\varepsilon_0$, $E^\varepsilon_0$, $B^\varepsilon_1$, $f^\varepsilon_2$, $E^\varepsilon_2$, $\rho^\varepsilon_0$, $J^\varepsilon_0$, and $\rho^\varepsilon_1$ be defined as above. Then $(f^\varepsilon, E^\varepsilon, B^\varepsilon)$ is a solution of $(RVMc)$ on $[0, \varepsilon^{-3/2}T]$ for $c = 1$ with initial data

$$(f^\varepsilon, E^\varepsilon, B^\varepsilon)(x, v, 0) = (f^{\varepsilon, \varepsilon}(x, v), E^\varepsilon_0(x, 0) + E^\varepsilon_2(x, 0), B^\varepsilon_1(x, 0)).$$

In addition, there exists a constant $M > 0$, and also for every $R > 0$ there is $M_R > 0$, such that

$$|f^\varepsilon(x, v, t) - f^{\varepsilon, \varepsilon}(x, v, t) - f^\varepsilon_2(x, v, t)| \leq M\varepsilon^3 \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^3),$$
$$|E^\varepsilon(x, t) - E^\varepsilon_0(x, t) - E^\varepsilon_2(x, t)| \leq M_R \varepsilon^{7/2} \quad (|x| \leq \varepsilon^{-1}R),$$
$$|B^\varepsilon(x, t) - B^\varepsilon_1(x, t)| \leq M\varepsilon^{7/2} \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^3),$$

for all $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $t \in [0, \varepsilon^{-3/2}T]$, and $\varepsilon \leq 1$. The constants are independent of $\varepsilon$.

By definition of the rescaled fields, these fields are slowly varying in their space and time variables, which means that we are considering an adiabatic limit. It is clear that also Theorem 1.2 could be restated in an analogous $\varepsilon$-dependent version.

The paper is organized as follows. Some facts concerning (VP), (LVP), and (RVMc) are collected in Section 2. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is elaborated in Section 3, whereas Section 4 contains the proof of Theorem 1.2. For the proofs we will mostly rely on suitable representation formulas for the fields (refined versions of those used in [8, 21]), which are derived in the appendix, Section 5.

**Notation:** $B(0, R)$ denotes the closed ball in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with center at $x = 0$ or $v = 0$ and radius $R > 0$. The usual $L^\infty$-norm of a function $\varphi = \varphi(x)$ over $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is written as $\|\varphi\|_x$, and if $\varphi = \varphi(x, v)$, we modify this to $\|\varphi\|_{x,v}$. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ the $W^{m, \infty}$-norms are denoted by $\|\varphi\|_{m,x}$, etc. If $T > 0$ is fixed, then we write

$$g(x, v, t, c) = O(c^{m})$$

if for all $R > 0$ there is a constant $M = M_R > 0$ such that

$$|g(x, v, t, c)| \leq Mc^{-m} \quad (1.10)$$

for $|x| \leq R$, $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $t \in [0, T]$, and $c \geq 1$. Similarly, we write

$$g(x, v, t, c) = O(c^{-m})$$

if there is a constant $M > 0$ such that (1.10) holds for all $x, v \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $t \in [0, T]$, and $c \geq 1$. In general, generic constants are denoted by $M$. 
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2 Some properties of (VP), (LVP), and (RVMc)

There is a vast literature on (VP), see e.g. [7, Sect. 4] or [19] and the references therein. For our purposes we collect a few well known facts about classical solutions of (VP).

Proposition 2.1 Assume that $f^o \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ is nonnegative and has compact support. Then there exists a unique global $C^1$-solution $(f_0, E_0)$ of (VP), and there are nondecreasing continuous functions $P_{VP}, K_{VP} : [0, \infty[ \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\|f_0(t)\|_{x,v} \leq \|f^o\|_{x,v},
$$

$$
\text{supp} f_0(\cdot, \cdot, t) \subset B(0, P_{VP}(t)) \times B(0, P_{VP}(t)),
$$

$$
\|f_0(t)\|_{1,x,v} + \|E_0(t)\|_{1,x} \leq K_{VP}(t),
$$

for $t \in [0, \infty[.$

This result was first established by Pfaffelmoser [18], and simplified versions of the proof were obtained by Schaeffer [22] and Horst [9]; a proof along different lines is due to Lions and Perthame [17].

For our approximation scheme we also need bounds on higher derivatives of the solution. This point was elaborated in [16], where it was shown that if $f^o \in C^k(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3)$, then $(f_0, E_0)$ possesses continuous partial derivatives w.r.t. $x$ and $v$ up to order $k$. The existence of continuous time-derivatives then follows from the Vlasov equation. Thus $(f_0, E_0)$ are $C^\infty$, if $f^o$ is $C^\infty$, and by a redefinition of $K_{VP}$ we can assume that

$$
\|f_0(t)\|_{3,x,v} \leq K_{VP}(t), \quad t \in [0, \infty[.
$$

The existence of a unique $C^1$-solution $(f_2, E_2)$ of (LVP) follows by a contraction argument, but we omit the details. Furthermore it can be shown that there are nondecreasing continuous functions $P_{LVP}, K_{LVP} : [0, \infty[ \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\text{supp} f_2(\cdot, \cdot, t) \subset B(0, P_{LVP}(t)) \times B(0, P_{LVP}(t)),
$$

$$
\|f_2(t)\|_{1,x,v} + \|E_2(t)\|_{1,x} \leq K_{LVP}(t),
$$

for $t \in [0, \infty[.$

Concerning solutions of (RVMc), we have from [21] Thm. 1 the following

Proposition 2.2 Assume that $f^o \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ is nonnegative and has compact support. If $E^o$ and $B^o$ are defined by (IC), then there exits $T > 0$ (independent of $c$) such that for all $c \geq 1$ the system (RVMc) with initial data (IC) has a unique $C^1$-solution $(f, E, B)$ on the time interval $[0, T]$. In addition, there are nondecreasing continuous functions (independent of $c$) $P_{VM}, K_{VM} : [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
f(x,v,t) = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad |v| \geq P_{VM}(t),
$$

$$
|E(x,t)| + |B(x,t)| \leq K_{VM}(t),
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $t \in [0, T]$, and $c \geq 1$.

In fact $E^o$ and $B^o$ do not depend on $c$ in [21] Thm. 1], but an inspection of the proof shows that the assertions remain valid for initial fields defined by (IC).
3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In Section 5.1.1 below we will show that the approximate electric field $E^D$ from (1.3) admits the following representation:

$$E^D = E^D_{\text{ext}} + E^D_{\text{int}} + E^D_{\text{bd}} + \mathcal{O}(c^{-3}),$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.1)

with

$$E^D_{\text{ext}}(x, t) = - \int_{|z| > ct} |z|^{-2} \bar{z} (\rho_0 + c^{-2} \bar{\rho}_2)(x + z, t) \, dz$$

$$- c^{-2} \int_{|z| > ct} |z|^{-1} \partial_t \bar{j}_0(x + z, t) \, dz + \frac{1}{2} c^{-2} \int_{|z| > ct} \bar{z} \partial_t^2 \rho_0(x + z, t) \, dz,$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.2)

$$E^D_{\text{int}}(x, t) = - \int_{|z| \leq ct} |z|^{-2} \bar{z} (\rho_0 + c^{-2} \bar{\rho}_2)(x + z, \hat{t}(z)) \, dz$$

$$- c^{-1} \int_{|z| \leq ct} |z|^{-2} (v - 2(\bar{z} \cdot v) \bar{z}) f_0(x + z, v, \hat{t}(z)) \, dv \, dz$$

$$+ c^{-2} \int_{|z| \leq ct} |z|^{-2} (2(\bar{z} \cdot v)v + v^2 \bar{z} - 3 \bar{z}(\bar{z} \cdot v)^2) f_0(x + z, v, \hat{t}(z)) \, dv \, dz$$

$$+ c^{-2} \int_{|z| \leq ct} |z|^{-1} (\bar{z} \otimes \bar{z} - I) E_0 \rho_0(x + z, \hat{t}(z)) \, dz,$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.3)

$$E^D_{\text{bd}}(x, t) = c^{-1}(ct)^{-1} \int_{|z| = ct} (\bar{z} \cdot v) f^0(x + z, v) \, dv \, ds(z)$$

$$+ c^{-2}(ct)^{-1} \int_{|z| = ct} ((\bar{z} \cdot v)v - (\bar{z} \cdot v)^2 \bar{z}) f^0(x + z, v) \, dv \, ds(z),$$

where the subscripts ‘ext’, ‘int’, and ‘bd’ refer to the exterior, interior, and boundary integration in $z$. We also recall that $\bar{z} = |z|^{-1} z$ and $\hat{t}(z) = t - c^{-1}|z|$. On the other hand, according to Section 5.1.2 below we have

$$E = E_{\text{ext}} + E_{\text{int}} + E_{\text{bd}} + \mathcal{O}(c^{-3}),$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.4)

with

$$E_{\text{ext}}(x, t) = - \int_{|z| > ct} |z|^{-2} \bar{z} (\rho_0 + t \partial_t \rho_0 + \frac{1}{2} t^2 \partial_t^2 \rho_0)(x + z, 0) \, dz$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} c^{-2} \int_{|z| > ct} \bar{z} \partial_t^2 \rho_0(x + z, 0) \, dz - c^{-2} \int_{|z| > ct} |z|^{-1} \partial_t \bar{j}_0(x + z, 0) \, dz,$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.5)

$$E_{\text{int}}(x, t) = - \int_{|z| \leq ct} |z|^{-2} \bar{z} \rho(x + z, \hat{t}(z)) \, dz$$

$$+ c^{-1} \int_{|z| \leq ct} |z|^{-2} \int (2(\bar{z} \cdot v)\bar{z} - v) f(x + z, v, \hat{t}(z)) \, dv \, dz$$

$$+ c^{-2} \int_{|z| \leq ct} |z|^{-2} \int (v^2 \bar{z} + 2(\bar{z} \cdot v)v - 3(\bar{z} \cdot v)^2 \bar{z}) f(x + z, v, \hat{t}(z)) \, dv \, dz$$

$$+ c^{-2} \int_{|z| \leq ct} |z|^{-1} \int (\bar{z} \otimes \bar{z} - I) (Ef)(x + z, v, \hat{t}(z)) \, dv \, dz,$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.6)

$$E_{\text{bd}}(x, t) = E^D_{\text{bd}}(x, t).$$
In order to verify (1.9), we start by comparing the exterior fields. Let \( x \in B(0, R) \) with \( R > 0 \) be fixed. Then we obtain from (5.5) and (3.2), due to \(|\bar{z}| = 1\), and taking into account

\[
\rho_2(x, 0) = \int f_2(x, v, 0) \, dv = 0
\]

by (LVP), as well as (2.1), (2.2), (2.3), and (2.4),

\[
|E_{\text{ext}}(x, t) - E_{\text{ext}}^D(x, t)| \\
\leq \int_{|z| > ct} |z|^{-2} \left| \rho_0(x + z, t) - \rho_0(x + z, 0) - t \partial_t \rho_0(x + z, 0) - \frac{1}{2} t^2 \partial_t^2 \rho_0(x + z, 0) \right| \, dz \\
+ c^{-2} \int_{|z| > ct} |z|^{-2} |\rho_2(x + z, t) - \rho_2(x + z, 0)| \, dz \\
+ c^{-2} \int_{|z| > ct} |z|^{-1} \left| \int |v| |\partial_t f_0(x + z, v, 0) - \partial_t f_0(x + z, v, t)| \, dv \right| \, dz \\
+ \frac{1}{2} c^{-2} \int_{|z| > ct} \left| \int \partial^2_t f_0(x + z, v, t) - \partial^2_t f_0(x + z, v, t) | \, dv \right| \, dz \\
\leq M \int_{|z| > ct} |z|^{-2} \left( \int_0^t (t - s)^2 P_{\text{VP}}(s)^3 K_{\text{VP}}(s) 1_{B(0, P_{\text{VP}}(s))}(x + z) \, ds \right) \, dz \\
+ M c^{-2} \int_{|z| > ct} |z|^{-2} \left( \int_0^t P_{\text{LVP}}(s)^3 K_{\text{LVP}}(s) 1_{B(0, P_{\text{LVP}}(s))}(x + z) \, ds \right) \, dz \\
+ M c^{-2} \int_{|z| > ct} |z|^{-1} \left( \int_0^t P_{\text{VP}}(s)^4 K_{\text{VP}}(s) 1_{B(0, P_{\text{VP}}(s))}(x + z) \, ds \right) \, dz \\
+ M c^{-2} \int_{|z| > ct} \left( \int_0^t P_{\text{VP}}(s)^3 K_{\text{VP}}(s) 1_{B(0, P_{\text{VP}}(s))}(x + z) \, ds \right) \, dz \\
\leq M t^3 \int_{|z| > ct} |z|^{-2} 1_{B(0, R + M_0)}(z) \, dz + M t c^{-2} \int_{|z| > ct} |z|^{-1} |\partial_t f_0(x + z, v, t)| \, dv \, dz \\
\leq M R c^{-3};
\]  

(3.7)

note that here we have used

\[
M_0 = \max_{s \in [0, T]} \left( P_{\text{VP}}(s) + K_{\text{VP}}(s) + P_{\text{LVP}}(s) + K_{\text{LVP}}(s) \right) < \infty,
\]

(3.8)

and for instance

\[
t^3 \int_{|z| > ct} |z|^{-2} 1_{B(0, R + M_0)}(z) \, dz \leq (ct)^{-3} t^3 \int_{|z| \leq R + M_0} |z| \, dz \leq M R c^{-3}.
\]

To bound \(|E_{\text{int}}(x, t) - E_{\text{int}}^D(x, t)|\), we first recall from [21] Thm. 2B that

\[
|E(x, t) - E_0(x, t)| = O(c^{-1}).
\]

(3.9)

Actually the initial conditions in [21] are different, but we only added terms of order \(c^{-2}\), so that an inspection of the proof in [21] leads to (3.9). Next we define

\[
H(t) = \sup \{ |f(x, v, s) - f^D(x, v, s)| : x \in \mathbb{R}^3, v \in \mathbb{R}^3, s \in [0, t] \},
\]
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as well as
\[ M_1 = \max_{s \in [0, T]} \left( P_{VM}(s) + P_{VP}(s) + P_{LVP}(s) \right) < \infty. \]

Then \( f(x, v, s) = f_0(x, v, s) = f_2(x, v, s) = 0 \) for \( x \in \mathbb{R}^3, |v| \geq M_1, \) and \( s \in [0, T]. \) Also if \( R_0 > 0 \) is chosen such that \( f^0(x, v) = 0 \) for \( |x| \geq R_0, \) introducing the constant
\[ M_2 = R_0 + TM_1 + \max_{s \in [0, T]} \left( P_{VP}(s) + P_{LVP}(s) \right) < \infty \]

it follows that \( f(x, v, s) = f_0(x, v, s) = f_2(x, v, s) = 0 \) for \( |x| \geq M_2, v \in \mathbb{R}^3, \) and \( s \in [0, T]. \) Let \( x \in B(0, R) \) with \( R > 0 \) be fixed. From (3.6), (3.3), (3.8), (3.9), (10), and \( 0 \leq \hat{t}(z) \leq t \) for \( |z| \leq ct \) we obtain
\[
\begin{align*}
|E_{\text{int}}(x, t) &- E^D_{\text{int}}(x, t)| \\
&\leq \int_{|z| \leq ct} |z|^{-2} \left| \int (f - f_0 - c^{-2}f_2)(x + z, v, \hat{t}(z)) \, dv \right| \, dz \\
&+ c^{-1} \int_{|z| \leq ct} |z|^{-2} \left| \int (2(\hat{z} \cdot v)\hat{z} - v)(f - f_0 - c^{-2}f_2)(x + z, v, \hat{t}(z)) \, dv \right| \, dz \\
&+ c^{-3} \int_{|z| \leq ct} |z|^{-2} \left| \int (2(\hat{z} \cdot v)\hat{z} - v) f_2(x + z, v, \hat{t}(z)) \, dv \right| \, dz \\
&+ c^{-2} \int_{|z| \leq ct} |z|^{-2} \left| \int (v^2 \hat{z} + 2(\hat{z} \cdot v)v - 3(\hat{z} \cdot v)^2 \hat{z})(f - f_0 - c^{-2}f_2)(x + z, v, \hat{t}(z)) \, dv \right| \, dz \\
&+ c^{-4} \int_{|z| \leq ct} |z|^{-2} \left| \int (v^2 \hat{z} + 2(\hat{z} \cdot v)v - 3(\hat{z} \cdot v)^2 \hat{z}) f_2(x + z, v, \hat{t}(z)) \, dv \right| \, dz \\
&+ c^{-2} \int_{|z| \leq ct} |z|^{-1} \left| \int (1 - \hat{z} \otimes \hat{z})(E - E_0)(x + z, v, \hat{t}(z)) \, dv \right| \, dz \\
&\leq M(M_1^3 + M_1^4) H(t) \int_{|z| \leq ct} |z|^{-2} 1_{B(0, M_2)}(x + z) \, dz \\
&+ MM_1^4 M_0 c^{-3} \int_{|z| \leq ct} |z|^{-2} 1_{B(0, M_2)}(x + z) \, dz \\
&+ MM_1^3 M_0 c^{-2} \int_{|z| \leq ct} |z|^{-2} 1_{B(0, M_2)}(x + z) \, dz \\
&+ MM_1^2 M_0 c^{-4} \int_{|z| \leq ct} |z|^{-2} 1_{B(0, M_2)}(x + z) \, dz \\
&+ MM_1^3 \|f^0\|_{x,v} c^{-3} \int_{|z| \leq ct} |z|^{-1} 1_{B(0, M_2)}(x + z) \, dz \\
&\leq MR(c^{-3} + H(t)), \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
\]

since for instance
\[
\int_{|z| \leq ct} |z|^{-2} 1_{B(0, M_2)}(x + z) \, dz \leq \int_{|z| \leq R + M_2} |z|^{-2} \, dz \leq M_R.
\]

Recalling that the \( E_{\text{bd}}(x, t) = E^D_{\text{bd}}(x, t) \), we can summarize (3.1), (3.4), (3.7), and (3.10) as
\[
|E(x, t) - E^D(x, t)| \leq M_R(c^{-3} + H(t)), \tag{3.11}
\]

\text{11}
for $|x| \leq R$ and $t \in [0,T]$. Formulas (5.11), (5.13), (5.23), (5.17), (5.18), and (5.19), and an analogous (actually more simple) calculation also leads to

$$|B(x,t) - B^D(x,t)| \leq M(c^{-3} + H(t)),$$

(3.12)

for $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $t \in [0,T]$. It remains to estimate $h = f - f^D$. Using (RVMC), (1.8), (VFP), and (LVP), it is found that

$$\partial_t h + \hat{v} \cdot \nabla h + (E + c^{-1}\hat{v} \times B) \cdot \nabla h$$

$$= -\partial_t f^D - \hat{v} \cdot \nabla f^D - (E + c^{-1}\hat{v} \times B) \cdot \nabla f^D$$

$$= \left(v - \frac{1}{2}e^{-2v^2}v - \hat{v}\right) \cdot \nabla f_0 + c^{-2}(v - \hat{v}) \cdot \nabla f_2$$

$$+(E^D - E) \cdot \nabla f_0 + c^{-2}(E^D - E) \cdot \nabla f_2 - c^{-4}E_2 \cdot \nabla f_2$$

$$+c^{-2}((v - \hat{v}) \times B_1) \cdot \nabla f_0 + c^{-1}(\hat{v} \times (B^D - B)) \cdot \nabla f_0 - c^{-3}(\hat{v} \times B) \cdot \nabla f_2.$$ If $|v| \leq M_1$, then also $|\hat{v}| = (1 + c^{-2}v^2)^{-1/2}|v| \leq |v| \leq M_1$ uniformly in $c$, and hence

$$\left|\hat{v} - \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}c^{-2}v^2\right)v\right| \leq Mc^{-4}.$$ Next we note the straightforward estimate $|B_1(x,t)| \leq M$ for $|x| \leq M_2$ and $t \in [0,T]$, with $B_1$ from (1.4). In view of the bounds (2.1), (2.4), and (2.6), thus by (3.11) and (3.12),

$$\left|\partial_t h(x,v,t) + \hat{v} \cdot \nabla h(x,v,t) + (E(x,t) + c^{-1}\hat{v} \times B(x,t)) \cdot \nabla h(x,v,t)\right|$$

$$\leq M(c^{-3} + H(t))$$

(3.13)

for $|x| \leq M_2$, $|v| \leq M_1$, and $t \in [0,T]$. But in $\{(x,v,t) : |x| > M_2\} \cup \{(x,v,t) : |v| > M_1\}$ we have $h = f - f^D = 0$ by the above definition of $M_1 > 0$ and $M_2 > 0$. Accordingly, (3.13) is satisfied for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$, and $t \in [0,T]$. Since $h(x,v,0) = 0$, the argument from [21, p. 416] yields

$$H(t) \leq \int_0^t M(c^{-3} + H(s)) \, ds,$$

and therefore $H(t) \leq Mc^{-3}$ for $t \in [0,T]$. Then due to (3.11) and (3.12), $|E(x,t) - E^D(x,t)| \leq M_Rc^{-3}$ for $|x| \leq R$ and $t \in [0,T]$, as well as $|B(x,t) - B^D(x,t)| \leq Mc^{-3}$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $t \in [0,T]$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section we will be sketchy and omit many details, since the proof is more or less a repetition of what has been said before. First let us assume that there is a $C^2$-solution $(f^*, E^*, B^*)$ of (LVMC), existing on a time interval $[0,T^*]$ for some $T^* > 0$, such that $\text{supp} f^*(\cdot, \cdot, t) \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$ is compact for all $t \in [0,T^*]$. Then

$$B^*(x,t) = c^{-1} \int |z|^{-2} \hat{z} \times j^*(x + z, t) \, dz,$$

$$\Delta E^*(x,t) = 4\pi \nabla \rho^*(x,t) + c^{-1}\partial_t \nabla \times B^*(x,t).$$

(4.1)

(4.2)
Since \( f^*(x, v, 0) = f^0(x, v) \) and \( j^*(x, 0) = \int (1 - \frac{1}{2} e^{-2v^2})v f^*(x, v, 0) \, dv = \int (1 - \frac{1}{2} e^{-2v^2})v f^0(x, v) \, dv \), it follows that \( B^*(x, 0) \) is determined by \( f^0 \). In order to compute the Poisson integral for \( E^* \), we calculate by means of the transformation \( y = w - z, \, dy = dw \), and using (5.27) below,

\[
\begin{align*}
c^{-1} \Delta^{-1} (\partial_t \nabla \times B^*)(x, t) & = - \frac{1}{4\pi c} \int \frac{dy}{|x-y|} \nabla \times \partial_t B^*(y, t) \\
& = - \frac{1}{4\pi c^2} \int \frac{dy}{|x-y|} \nabla_y \times \left( \int |z|^{-2} \tilde{z} \times \partial_t j^*(y + z, t) \, dz \right) \\
& = - \frac{1}{4\pi c^2} \int dw \int dz |z|^{-2} |x-w+z|^{-1} \left( \tilde{z} \nabla \cdot (\partial_t j^*)(w, t) - (\tilde{z} \cdot \nabla) \partial_t j^*(w, t) \right) \\
& = \frac{1}{2c^2} \int \frac{dw}{|x-w|} \left( [x-w] \nabla \cdot (\partial_t j^*)(w, t) - ([x-w] \cdot \nabla) \partial_t j^*(w, t) \right) \\
& = - \frac{1}{2c^2} \int \frac{dz}{|z|} (1 + \tilde{z} \otimes \tilde{z}) \partial_t j^*(x + z, t).
\end{align*}
\]

If we invoke the Vlasov equation for \( f^* \) and integrate by parts, this can be rewritten as

\[
\begin{align*}
c^{-1} \Delta^{-1} (\partial_t \nabla \times B^*)(x, t) & = - \frac{1}{2c^2} \int \frac{dz}{|z|} (1 + \tilde{z} \otimes \tilde{z}) \int \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} e^{-2v^2} \right) v \partial_t f^*(x + z, v, t) \, dv \\
& = \frac{1}{2c^2} \int \frac{dz}{|z|^2} \tilde{z} \int (3(\tilde{z} \cdot v)^2 - v^2) \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} e^{-2v^2} \right) f^*(x + z, v, t) \, dv \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{2c^2} \int \frac{dz}{|z|} (1 + \tilde{z} \otimes \tilde{z}) \int (E^* + c^{-1} v \times B^*) f^*(x + z, v, t) \, dv \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{4c^4} \int \frac{dz}{|z|} (1 + \tilde{z} \otimes \tilde{z}) \int v^2 \partial_t f^*(x + z, v, t) \, dv.
\end{align*}
\]

Therefore the solution \( E^* \) of (4.2) has the representation

\[
E^*(x, t) = 4\pi \Delta^{-1} (\nabla \rho^*)(x, t) + c^{-1} \Delta^{-1} (\partial_t \nabla \times B^*)(x, t)
\]

\[
= - \int |z|^{-2} \tilde{z} \rho^*(x + z, t) \, dz \\
\quad + \frac{1}{2c^2} \int \int |z|^{-2} \tilde{z} (3(\tilde{z} \cdot v)^2 - v^2) \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} e^{-2v^2} \right) f^*(x + z, v, t) \, dv \, dz \\
\quad - \frac{1}{2c^2} \int \int |z|^{-1} (1 + \tilde{z} \otimes \tilde{z}) \int (E^* + c^{-1} v \times B^*) f^*)(x + z, v, t) \, dv \, dz \\
\quad + \frac{1}{4c^4} \int \int |z|^{-1} v^2 (v + (\tilde{z} \cdot v) \tilde{z}) \partial_t f^*(x + z, v, t) \, dv \, dz.
\] (4.3)

Comparison with (VH) and (1.7) reveals the analogy to \( E^D \) at the relevant orders of \( c^{-1} \). In particular, if we evaluate this relation at \( t = 0 \), the Banach fixed point theorem applied in \( C_b(\mathbb{R}^3) \) shows that for \( c \geq c^* \) sufficiently large the function \( E^*(x, 0) \) is uniquely determined by \( f^0(x, v) = f^*(x, v, 0) \) alone. Thus \( f^0 \) alone already fixes \( E^0 \) and \( B^0 \). Concerning the local and uniform (in \( c \)) existence of a solution to (DVMc) and the conservation of energy, one can use (4.1), (4.3) to follow the usual method by setting up an iteration scheme for which convergence can be verified.
on a small time interval; cf. [7, Sect. 5.8]. Finally, by similar arguments as used in the proof of Theorem 5.1.3, it can be shown that solutions of (DVMc) approximate solutions of (RVMc) up to an error of order $c^{-3}$.

5 Appendix

5.1 Representation Formulas

5.1.1 Representation of the approximation fields $E^D$ and $B^D$

Here we will derive the representation formula (5.1) for the approximate field $E^D$ from (1.8). Since the calculations for the electric and the magnetic field are quite similar, we will only analyze in detail the electric field and simply state the result for its magnetic counterpart.

From (1.8) we recall $E^D = E_0 + c^{-2}E_2$, where

$$E_0(x, t) = - \int |z|^{-2} \rho_0(x + z, t) \, dz, \quad (5.1)$$

$$E_2(x, t) = \frac{1}{2} \int \tilde{z} \partial_t^2 \rho_0(x + z, t) \, dz - \int |z|^{-1} \partial_t j_0(x + z, t) \, dz - \int |z|^{-2} \rho_2(x + z, t) \, dz, \quad (5.2)$$

cf. (VP) and (1.6). We split the domain of integration in $\{ |z| > ct \}$ and $\{ |z| \leq ct \}$, and to handle the interior part $\{ |z| \leq ct \}$ we expand the densities w.r.t. $t$ about the retarded time

$$\hat{t}(z) := t - c^{-1}|z|.$$  

To begin with, we have

$$- \int_{|z| \leq ct} |z|^{-2} \rho_0(x + z, t) \, dz = - \int_{|z| \leq ct} |z|^{-2} \rho_0(x + z, \hat{t}(z)) \, dz$$

$$- c^{-1} \int_{|z| \leq ct} |z|^{-1} \partial_t \rho_0(x + z, \hat{t}(z)) \, dz - c^{-2} \frac{1}{2} \int_{|z| \leq ct} \tilde{z} \partial_t^2 \rho_0(x + z, \hat{t}(z)) \, dz$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \int_{|z| \leq ct} |z|^{-2} \int_{\hat{t}(z)}^t (t - s)^2 \partial_t^3 \rho_0(x + z, s) \, ds \, dz. \quad (5.3)$$

Using (2.1) and (2.2), the last term is $O_{opt}(c^{-3})$; note that $|x| \leq R$ for some $R > 0$ together with the support properties of $f_0$ imply that we only have to integrate in $z$ over a set which is uniformly bounded in $c \geq 1$. Since $\partial_t \rho_0 + \nabla \cdot j_0 = 0$ by (VP), we also find

$$- c^{-1} \int_{|z| \leq ct} |z|^{-1} \tilde{z} \partial_t j_0(x + z, \hat{t}(z)) \, dz = c^{-1} \int_{|z| \leq ct} |z|^{-1} \tilde{z} \nabla_x \cdot j_0(x + z, \hat{t}(z)) \, dz$$

$$= c^{-1} \int_{|z| \leq ct} |z|^{-1} \tilde{z} \cdot \nabla f_0(x + z, v, \hat{t}(z)) \, dv \, dz$$

$$= c^{-1} \int_{|z| \leq ct} |z|^{-1} \tilde{z} \cdot \left( \nabla_z [f_0(x + z, v, \hat{t}(z))] + c^{-1} \tilde{z} \partial_t f_0(x + z, v, \hat{t}(z)) \right) \, dv \, dz$$

$$= I + II, \quad (5.4)$$
with
\[
I = c^{-1} \int_{|z| \leq ct} \int |z|^{-1} \tilde{z} \cdot \nabla_z [f_0(x + z, v, \hat{t}(z))] \, dv \, dz
\]
\[
= -c^{-1} \int_{|z| \leq ct} \int (\nabla_z \cdot [z^{-1} \tilde{z}_t]) \cdot f_0(x + z, v, \hat{t}(z)) \, dv \, dz
\]
\[
+ c^{-1} (ct)^{-1} \int_{|z| = ct} \int \tilde{z}(\tilde{z} \cdot v) f^\circ (x + z, v) \, dv \, ds(z)
\]
\[
= -c^{-1} \int_{|z| \leq ct} \int |z|^{-2}(v - 2(\tilde{z} \cdot v)\tilde{z}) f_0(x + z, v, \hat{t}(z)) \, dv \, dz
\]
\[
+ c^{-1} (ct)^{-1} \int_{|z| = ct} \int \tilde{z}(\tilde{z} \cdot v) f^\circ (x + z, v) \, dv \, ds(z);
\] (5.5)

observe that \(\hat{t}(z) = 0\) for \(|z| = ct\) was used for the boundary term. Similarly, by (VF),
\[
II = c^{-2} \int_{|z| \leq ct} \int |z|^{-1} \tilde{z}(\tilde{z} \cdot v) \partial_t f_0(x + z, v, \hat{t}(z)) \, dv \, dz
\]
\[
= -c^{-2} \int_{|z| \leq ct} \int |z|^{-1} \tilde{z}(\tilde{z} \cdot v) (v \cdot \nabla_x f_0 + E_0 \cdot \nabla_v f_0)(x + z, v, \hat{t}(z)) \, dv \, dz
\]
\[
= c^{-2} \int_{|z| \leq ct} \int \nabla_z \cdot (|z|^{-1} \tilde{z}_t(\tilde{z} \cdot v)) f_0(x + z, v, \hat{t}(z)) \, dv \, dz
\]
\[
- c^{-2} (ct)^{-1} \int_{|z| = ct} \int \tilde{z}(\tilde{z} \cdot v)^2 f^\circ (x + z, v) \, dv \, ds(z)
\]
\[
- c^{-3} \int_{|z| \leq ct} \int |z|^{-1} \tilde{z}(\tilde{z} \cdot v)^2 \partial_t f_0(x + z, v, \hat{t}(z)) \, dv \, dz
\]
\[
+ c^{-2} \int_{|z| \leq ct} \int |z|^{-1} \tilde{z} \cdot E_0 f_0(x + z, v, \hat{t}(z)) \, dv \, dz
\]
\[
= c^{-2} \int_{|z| \leq ct} \int |z|^{-2}(\tilde{z} \cdot v + v^2 \tilde{z} - 3\tilde{z}(\tilde{z} \cdot v)^2) f_0(x + z, v, \hat{t}(z)) \, dv \, dz
\]
\[
+ c^{-2} \int_{|z| \leq ct} |z|^{-1} \tilde{z} \cdot E_0 \rho_0(x + z, \hat{t}(z)) \, dz
\]
\[
- c^{-2} (ct)^{-1} \int_{|z| = ct} \int \tilde{z}(\tilde{z} \cdot v)^2 f^\circ (x + z, v) \, dv \, ds(z) + \mathcal{O}_{c^{\text{pt}}}(c^{-3}).
\] (5.6)

Next, due to (2.1) and (2.2) we also have
\[
- c^{-2} \frac{1}{2} \int_{|z| \leq ct} \tilde{z} \partial_t^2 \rho_0(x + z, \hat{t}(z)) \, dz = - c^{-2} \frac{1}{2} \int_{|z| \leq ct} \tilde{z} \partial_t^2 \rho_0(x + z, t) \, dz + \mathcal{O}_{c^{\text{pt}}}(c^{-3}).
\] (5.7)
Thus so far by (5.1) and (5.3)–(5.7),

\[ E_0(x, t) = - \int_{|z| > ct} |z|^{-2} \rho_0(x + z, t) \, dz - \int_{|z| \leq ct} |z|^{-2} \rho_0(x + z, t) \, dz \]

\[ = - \int_{|z| > ct} |z|^{-2} \rho_0(x + z, t) \, dz \]

\[ - \int_{|z| \leq ct} |z|^{-2} \rho_0(x + z, \hat{t}(z)) \, dz - c^{-2} \frac{1}{2} \int_{|z| \leq ct} \hat{z} \partial_t^2 \rho_0(x + z, t) \, dz \]

\[ - c^{-1} \int_{|z| \leq ct} \int |z|^{-2} (v - 2(\hat{z} \cdot v) \hat{z}) f_0(x + z, v, \hat{t}(z)) \, dv \, dz \]

\[ + c^{-2} \int_{|z| \leq ct} \int |z|^{-2} ((\hat{z} \cdot v) v + v^2 \hat{z} - 3\hat{z} (\hat{z} \cdot v)^2) f_0(x + z, v, \hat{t}(z)) \, dv \, dz \]

\[ + c^{-2} \int_{|z| \leq ct} |z|^{-1} \hat{z} \cdot E_0 \rho_0(x + z, \hat{t}(z)) \, dz \]

\[ + c^{-1} (ct)^{-1} \int_{|z| = ct} \int (\hat{z} \cdot v) \hat{z} f^0(x + z, v) \, dv \, ds(z) \]

\[ - c^{-2} (ct)^{-1} \int_{|z| = ct} \int (\hat{z} \cdot v)^2 \hat{z} f^0(x + z, v) \, dv \, ds(z) + O_{\text{cpt}}(c^{-3}). \quad (5.8) \]

Now we turn to \( E_2 \), cf. (5.2). Since \( E_2 \) enters \( E^D \) with the factor \( c^{-2} \), we first note that

\[ c^{-2} \frac{1}{2} \int |z| \hat{z} \partial_t^2 \rho_0(x + z, t) \, dz \]

cancels a term on the right-hand side of (5.8). In addition, by analogous arguments,

\[ - c^{-2} \int_{|z| \leq ct} |z|^{-1} \partial_t j_0(x + z, t) \, dz \]

\[ = - c^{-2} \int_{|z| \leq ct} |z|^{-1} \partial_t j_0(x + z, \hat{t}(z)) \, dz - c^{-2} \int_{|z| \leq ct} |z|^{-1} \int_{\hat{t}(z)}^t \partial^2_j j_0(x + z, s) \, ds \, dz \]

\[ = - c^{-2} \int_{|z| \leq ct} |z|^{-1} \int v \partial_t f_0(x + z, v, \hat{t}(z)) \, dv \, dz + O_{\text{cpt}}(c^{-3}) \]

\[ = c^{-2} \int_{|z| \leq ct} |z|^{-1} \int v (v \cdot \nabla_x f_0 + E_0 \cdot \nabla_v f_0) (x + z, v, \hat{t}(z)) \, dv \, dz + O_{\text{cpt}}(c^{-3}) \]

\[ = c^{-2} \int_{|z| \leq ct} |z|^{-1} \int v v \cdot \left( \nabla_x [f_0(x + z, v, \hat{t}(z))] + c^{-1} \hat{z} \partial_t f_0(x + z, v, \hat{t}(z)) \right) \, dv \, dz \]

\[ + c^{-2} \int_{|z| \leq ct} |z|^{-1} \int v E_0 \cdot \nabla_v f_0(x + z, v, \hat{t}(z)) \, dv \, dz + O_{\text{cpt}}(c^{-3}) \]

\[ = c^{-2} \int_{|z| \leq ct} \int \hat{z} \cdot v v f_0(x + z, v, \hat{t}(z)) \, dv \, dz \]

\[ + c^{-2} (ct)^{-1} \int_{|z| = ct} \int (\hat{z} \cdot v) v f^0(x + z, v) \, dv \, ds(z) \]

\[ - c^{-2} \int_{|z| \leq ct} |z|^{-1} (E_0 \rho_0)(x + z, \hat{t}(z)) \, dz + O_{\text{cpt}}(c^{-3}). \quad (5.9) \]
Finally,
\[-c^{-2} \int_{|z| \leq ct} |z|^{-2} \rho_2(x + z, t) \, dz = -c^{-2} \int_{|z| \leq ct} |z|^{-2} \rho_2(x + z, \hat{t}(z)) \, dz + O_{ct}(c^{-3}). \quad (5.10)\]

Therefore if we write
\[c^{-2} E_2(x, t) = c^{-2} \frac{1}{2} \int_{|z| > ct} \bar{z} \partial_t^2 \rho_0(x + z, t) \, dz - c^{-2} \int_{|z| > ct} |z|^{-1} \partial_t j_0(x + z, t) \, dz \]
\[+c^{-2} \int_{|z| \leq ct} |z|^{-2} \bar{z} \times j_0(x + z, t) \, dz - c^{-2} \int_{|z| \leq ct} |z|^{-1} \partial_t j_0(x + z, t) \, dz - c^{-2} \int_{|z| \leq ct} \bar{z} \partial_t^2 \rho_0(x + z, t) \, dz, \]

use (5.9) and (5.10), and thereafter add the result to (5.8), it turns out that \( E^D = E_0 + c^{-2} E_2 \) can be decomposed as claimed in (3.1).

Similar calculations for \( B^D(x, t) = c^{-1} B_1(x, t) \) using (1.4) yield
\[B^D(x, t) = c^{-1} \int_{|z| > ct} |z|^{-2} \bar{z} \times j_0(x + z, t) \, dz + c^{-1} \int_{|z| \leq ct} |z|^{-2} \bar{z} \times j_0(x + z, \hat{t}(z)) \, dz \]
\[+c^{-2} \int_{|z| \leq ct} |z|^{-2} (\bar{z} \cdot v)(\bar{z} \times v) f_0(x + z, v, \hat{t}(z)) \, dv \, dz - c^{-2} \int_{|z| \leq ct} |z|^{-2} \bar{z} \times E_0 \rho_0(x + z, \hat{t}(z)) \, dz \]
\[+c^{-2} (ct)^{-1} \int_{|z| = ct} (\bar{z} \cdot v)(\bar{z} \times v) f^0(x + z, v) \, dv \, ds(z) + O(c^{-3}). \quad (5.11)\]

### 5.1.2 Representation of the Maxwell fields \( E \) and \( B \)

In this section we will verify the representation formula (3.4) for the full Maxwell field \( E \), by expanding the respective expressions from [8, 21] to higher orders. Once again the computation for the corresponding magnetic field \( B \) is very similar and therefore omitted. Let \((f, E, B)\) be a \( C^1 \)-solution of (RVMc) with initial data \((f^0, E^0, B^0)\). We recall the following representation from [21] (A13), (A14), (A3):

\[E = E_D + E_{DT} + E_T + E_S, \quad (5.12)\]
\[B = B_D + B_{DT} + B_T + B_S, \quad (5.13)\]

where

\[E_D(x, t) = \partial_t \left( \frac{t}{4\pi} \int_{|\omega| = 1} E^0(x + ct\omega) \, d\omega \right) + \frac{t}{4\pi} \int_{|\omega| = 1} \partial_t E(x + ct\omega, 0) \, d\omega, \]
\[E_{DT}(x, t) = -(ct)^{-1} \int_{|\omega| = ct} \int K_{DT}(\bar{z}, \hat{v}) f^0(x + z, v) \, dv \, ds(z), \]
\[E_T(x, t) = -\int_{|z| \leq ct} |z|^{-2} \int K_T(\bar{z}, \hat{v}) f(x + z, v, \hat{t}(z)) \, dv \, dz, \]
\[E_S(x, t) = -c^{-2} \int_{|z| \leq ct} |z|^{-1} \int K_S(\bar{z}, \hat{v})(E + c^{-1} \hat{v} \times B) f(x + z, v, \hat{t}(z)) \, dv \, dz, \]
Next we expand these fields in powers of $c^{-1}$. According to (2.5) we can assume that the $v$-support of $f(x, v, t)$ is uniformly bounded in $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $t \in [0, T]$, say $f(x, v, t) = 0$ for $|v| \geq P := \max_{t \in [0, T]} P_{VM}(t)$. Thus we may suppose that $|v| \leq P$ in each of the $v$-integrals, and hence also $|\hat{v}| = (1 + c^{-2}v^2)^{-1/2}|v| \leq |v| \leq P$ uniformly in $c$. It follows that

$$\hat{v} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}c^{-2}v^2\right)v + O(c^{-4}).$$

For instance, for the kernel $K_{DT}$ of $E_{DT}$ this yields

$$K_{DT}(x, v) = (1 + c^{-1}\hat{z} \cdot \hat{v})^{-1}(\hat{z} - c^{-2}(\hat{z} \cdot \hat{v})\hat{v})$$

$$= \left(1 - c^{-1}\hat{z} \cdot v + c^{-2}(\hat{z} \cdot v)^2 + O(c^{-3})\right)\left(\hat{z} - c^{-2}(\hat{z} \cdot v)v + O(c^{-4})\right)$$

$$= \hat{z} - c^{-1}(\hat{z} \cdot v)\hat{z} + c^{-2}(\hat{z} \cdot v)^2\hat{z} - c^{-2}(\hat{z} \cdot v)v + O(c^{-3}).$$

If we choose $R_0 > 0$ such that $f^0(x, v) = 0$ for $|x| \geq R_0$, then

$$-(ct)^{-1}\int_{|z|=ct} \int_{|v| \leq P} O(c^{-3}) 1_{B(0,R_0)}(x + z) dv \, ds(z) = \left(ct \int_{|\omega|=1} 1_{B(0,R_0)}(x + ct\omega) \, ds(\omega)\right)O(c^{-3})$$

$$= O(c^{-3}).$$
by \cite{lemma1}, uniformly in \(x \in \mathbb{R}^3, t \in [0, T]\), and \(c \geq 1\). Therefore we arrive at

\[
E_{DT}(x, t) = -(ct)^{-1} \int_{|z|=ct} \int \left( \bar{z} - c^{-1}(\bar{z} \cdot v)\bar{z} + c^{-2}[(\bar{z} \cdot v)^2 \bar{z} - (\bar{z} \cdot v)v] \right) f^\circ(x + z, v) \, dv \, ds(z) \\
+ \mathcal{O}(c^{-3}).
\]

(5.14)

Concerning \(E_T\), we note that \(f(x, v, t) = 0\) for \(|x| \geq R_0 + TP =: R_1\). Since, by distinguishing the cases \(|x - y| \geq 1\) and \(|x - y| \leq 1\),

\[
\int_{|z| \leq ct} |z|^{-2} 1_{B(0,R_1)}(x + z) \, dz = \int_{|x - y| \leq ct} |x - y|^{-2} 1_{B(0,R_1)}(y) \, dy = \mathcal{O}(1)
\]

uniformly in \(x \in \mathbb{R}^3, t \in [0, T]\), and \(c \geq 1\), similar computations as before show that

\[
E_T(x, t) = -c^{-2} \int_{|z| \leq ct} |z|^{-1} \int (1 - \bar{z} \otimes \bar{z})(Ef)(x + z, v, \hat{t}(z)) \, dv \, dz + \mathcal{O}(c^{-3}),
\]

(5.16)

In the same manner, elementary calculations using also \cite{lemma1} can be carried out to get

\[
E_S(x, t) = -c^{-2} \int_{|z| \leq ct} |z|^{-1} \int (1 - \bar{z} \otimes \bar{z})(Ef)(x + z, v, \hat{t}(z)) \, dv \, dz + \mathcal{O}(c^{-3}),
\]

(5.17)

\[
B_{DT}(x, t) = (ct)^{-1} \int_{|z|=ct} \int \left( c^{-1} \bar{z} \times v - c^{-2}(\bar{z} \cdot v)\bar{z} \times v \right) f^\circ(x + z, v) \, dv \, ds(z) \\
+ \mathcal{O}(c^{-3}),
\]

(5.18)

Next we consider the data term

\[
E_D(x, t) = \partial_t \left( \frac{t}{4 \pi} \int_{|\omega|=1} E^\circ(x + c \omega) \, d\omega \right) + \frac{t}{4 \pi} \int_{|\omega|=1} \partial_t E(x + c \omega, 0) \, d\omega,
\]

(5.20)

Next we consider the data term

\[
E_D(x, t) = \partial_t \left( \frac{t}{4 \pi} \int_{|\omega|=1} E^\circ(x + c \omega) \, d\omega \right) + \frac{t}{4 \pi} \int_{|\omega|=1} \partial_t E(x + c \omega, 0) \, d\omega,
\]

(5.20)

Since \(f_2(x, v, 0) = 0\) by \cite{lvp}, we have \(\rho_2(x, 0) = 0\). Thus we get from \cite{ic}, \cite{vp}, and \cite{lema},

\[
E^\circ(x) = E_0(x, 0) + c^{-2} E_2(x, 0)
\]

\[
= -\int |z|^{-2} \bar{z} \rho_0(x + z, 0) \, dz + c^{-2} \left( \int \bar{z} \partial_t \rho_0(x + z, 0) \, dz - \int |z|^{-1} \partial_t \rho_0(x + z, 0) \, dz \right).
\]
Using the formulas (5.25), (5.26), and (5.24) below, we calculate

\[
- \int_{|\omega|=1} |z|^{-2} \bar{z} \rho_0(x + \omega z, 0) \, dz \, d\omega = - \int \rho_0(y, 0) \int_{|\omega|=1} |y - x - \omega z|^{-3}(y - x - \omega z) \, d\omega \, dy \\
= -4\pi \int_{|z|>|c|} |z|^{-2} \bar{z} \rho_0(x + z, 0) \, dz,
\]

\[
\int_{|\omega|=1} \bar{z} \partial_t^2 \rho_0(x + c t \omega + z, 0) \, dz \, d\omega \\
= \int \partial_t^2 \rho_0(y, 0) \int_{|\omega|=1} |y - x - ct \omega|^{-1}(y - x - ct \omega) \, d\omega \, dy \\
= 4\pi \int_{|z|>|c|} \left( \bar{z} - \frac{1}{3}(ct)^2 |z|^{-2} \bar{z} \right) \partial_t^2 \rho_0(x + z, 0) \, dz + \frac{8\pi}{3ct} \int_{|z|<ct} z \partial_t^2 \rho_0(x + z, 0) \, dz,
\]

\[
- \int_{|\omega|=1} |z|^{-1} \partial_t j_0(x + \omega z, 0) \, dz \, d\omega \\
= - \int \partial_t j_0(y, 0) \int_{|\omega|=1} |y - x - ct \omega|^{-1} \, d\omega \, dy \\
= -4\pi \int_{|z|>|ct|} |z|^{-1} \partial_t j_0(x + z, 0) \, dz - \frac{4\pi}{ct} \int_{|z|<ct} \partial_t j_0(x + z, 0) \, dz.
\]

Therefore we get

\[
III = \partial_t \left( \frac{t}{4\pi} \int_{|\omega|=1} E^\circ(x + ct \omega) \, d\omega \right) \\
= \partial_t \left( -t \int_{|z|>|ct|} |z|^{-2} \bar{z} \rho_0(x + z, 0) \, dz + \frac{t}{2c^2} \int_{|z|>|ct|} \bar{z} \partial_t^2 \rho_0(x + z, 0) \, dz \\
- \frac{t^3}{6} \int_{|z|>|ct|} |z|^{-2} \bar{z} \partial_t^2 \rho_0(x + z, 0) \, dz + \frac{1}{3c^3} \int_{|z|<ct} z \partial_t^2 \rho_0(x + z, 0) \, dz \\
- \frac{t}{c^2} \int_{|z|>|ct|} |z|^{-1} \partial_t j_0(x + z, 0) \, dz - \frac{1}{c^3} \int_{|z|<ct} \partial_t j_0(x + z, 0) \, dz \right)
\]

\[
= - \int_{|z|>|ct|} |z|^{-2} \bar{z} \rho_0(x + z, 0) \, dz + \frac{1}{2} c^{-2} \int_{|z|>|ct|} \bar{z} \partial_t^2 \rho_0(x + z, 0) \, dz \\
- \frac{1}{2} t^2 \int_{|z|>|ct|} |z|^{-2} \bar{z} \partial_t^2 \rho_0(x + z, 0) \, dz - c^{-2} \int_{|z|>|ct|} |z|^{-1} \partial_t j_0(x + z, 0) \, dz
\]

\[
+ (ct)^{-1} \int_{|z|=ct} \bar{z} \rho_0(x + z, 0) \, ds(z), \tag{5.21}
\]

note that several terms have cancelled here. Now we discuss the second part IV of the data term $E_D$, cf. (5.20). To begin with, by (IC), (1.4), and (VP),

\[
B(x, 0) = B^0(x) = c^{-1} B_1(x, 0) = c^{-1} \int |z|^{-2} \bar{z} \times j_0(x + z, 0) \, dz \\
= c^{-1} \int \int |z|^{-2} (\bar{z} \times v) f_0(x + z, v, 0) \, dv \, dz,
\]
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due to \( j_0 = \int v f_0 \, dv \). Therefore using (VP) for \( f_0 \) and integration by parts, we obtain

\[
\nabla \times B(x, 0) = c^{-1} \nabla \times \int \int |z|^{-2} (\vec{z} \times v) f_0(x + z, v, 0) \, dv \, dz
\]

\[
= c^{-1} \int \int |z|^{-2} \nabla_x f_0 \times (\vec{z} \times v) \, dv \, dz
\]

\[
= c^{-1} \int \int |z|^{-2} \left( (v \cdot \nabla_x f_0) \vec{z} - (\vec{z} \cdot \nabla_x f_0) v \right) \, dv \, dz
\]

\[
= c^{-1} \int \int |z|^{-2} \vec{z} \left( -\partial_t f_0 - E_0 \cdot \nabla_v f \right) \, dv \, dz
\]

\[
- c^{-1} \int \int |z|^{-2} v \vec{z} \cdot \nabla_x [f_0(\ldots)] \, dv \, dz
\]

\[
= - c^{-1} \int \int |z|^{-2} \vec{z} \partial_t f_0(x + z, v, 0) \, dv \, dz + c^{-1} 4\pi \int v f_0(x, v, 0) \, dv,
\]

by observing that in general for a continuous function \( g \),

\[
- \int_{|z|>\varepsilon} |z|^{-2} \vec{z} \cdot \nabla_z g(x + z) \, dz = \int_{|\omega|=1} g(x + \varepsilon \omega) \, d\omega \to 4\pi g(x), \quad \varepsilon \to 0.
\]

From Maxwell’s equations we have \( \partial_t E(x, t) = c \nabla \times B(x, t) - 4\pi j(x, t) \), and thus in view of \( j(x, 0) = \int \hat{v} f(x, v, 0) \, dv = \int \hat{v} f^0(x, v) \, dv = \int \hat{v} f_0(x, v, 0) \, dv \),

\[
\partial_t E(x, 0) = c \nabla \times B(x, 0) - 4\pi j(x, 0)
\]

\[
= - \int \int |z|^{-2} \vec{z} \partial_t f_0(x + z, v, 0) \, dv \, dz + 4\pi \int (v - \hat{v}) f_0(x, v, 0) \, dv.
\]

Hence due to (5.25), \( v - \hat{v} = \mathcal{O}(c^{-2}) \), and by [21 Lemma 1],

\[
\begin{align*}
IV &= \frac{t}{4\pi} \int_{|\omega|=1} \partial_t E(x + ct \omega, 0) \, d\omega \\
&= - \frac{t}{4\pi} \int_{|\omega|=1} \int \int |z|^{-2} \vec{z} \partial_t f_0(x + ct \omega + z, v, 0) \, dv \, dz \, d\omega \\
& \quad + c^{-1}(ct) \int_{|\omega|=1} \int (v - \hat{v}) f^0(x + ct \omega, v) \, dv \, d\omega \\
&= - t \int_{|z|>ct} |z|^{-2} \vec{z} \partial_t \rho_0(x + z, 0) \, dz + \mathcal{O}(c^{-3}).
\end{align*}
\]

(5.22)

If we combine (5.12), (5.20), (5.21), (5.22), (5.14), (5.15), and (5.16), then we see that (3.4) is satisfied.

A similar calculation yields

\[
B_D(x, t) = c^{-1} \int_{|z|>ct} |z|^{-2} \vec{z} \times j_0(x + z, 0) \, dz + c^{-1} t \int_{|z|>ct} |z|^{-2} \vec{z} \times \partial_t j_0(x + z, 0) \, dz
\]

\[
- c^{-1}(ct)^{-1} \int_{|z|=ct} \vec{z} \times j_0(x + z, 0) \, ds(z),
\]

(5.23)

and an analogous decomposition of \( B \) into \( B = B_{ext} + B_{int} + B_{bd} + \mathcal{O}(c^{-3}) \).
5.2 Some explicit integrals

We point out some formulas that have been used in the previous sections. For $z \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $r > 0$ an elementary calculation yields

$$\int_{|\omega|=1} |z - r\omega|^{-1} d\omega = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 4\pi r^{-1} & : r \geq |z| \\ 4\pi |z|^{-1} & : r \leq |z| \end{array} \right..$$

(5.24)

Differentiation w.r.t. $z$ gives

$$\int_{|\omega|=1} |z - r\omega|^{-3}(z - r\omega) d\omega = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 0 & : r > |z| \\ 4\pi |z|^{-2}\bar{z} & : r < |z| \end{array} \right..$$

(5.25)

Similarly,

$$\int_{|\omega|=1} |z - r\omega| d\omega = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 4\pi r + \frac{4\pi}{3} z^2 r^{-1} & : r \geq |z| \\ 4\pi |z| + \frac{4\pi}{3} r^2 |z|^{-1} & : r \leq |z| \end{array} \right..$$

and thus by differentiation

$$\int_{|\omega|=1} |z - r\omega|^{-1}(z - r\omega) d\omega = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \frac{8\pi}{3} z & : r > |z| \\ 4\pi \bar{z} - \frac{4\pi}{3} r^2 |z|^{-2}\bar{z} & : r < |z| \end{array} \right..$$

(5.26)

Finally, for $z \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ also

$$\int |z - v|^{-1}|v|^{-3} v dv = 2\pi \bar{z}$$

(5.27)

can be computed.
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