Effect of Dietary Plant Feedstuffs and Protein/Carbohydrate Ratio on Gilthead Seabream (*Sparus aurata*) Gut Health and Functionality
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Abstract: This study investigated, for the first time, the integrated effects of dietary protein source and protein/carbohydrate (P/CH) ratio on gilthead seabream gut histomorphology, microbiota composition, digestive enzymes activity, and immunological and oxidative stress-related gene expressions. Four isolipidic diets: two fishmeal-based (FM) and two plant feedstuff (PF)-based diets, with P/CH ratios of 50/10 or 40/20 each (FM-P50/CH10; FM-P40/CH20; PF-P50/CH10; PF-P40/CH20), were tested. PF-based diets lead to more histomorphological alterations than FM-based diets. P/CH ratio had no relevant effect on gut histomorphology. Gut mucosa of fish fed PF-based diets presented a higher number of operational taxonomic units, and richness and diversity indices, while the P/CH ratio did not affect those parameters. The α-amylase activity was lower in fish fed with PF-based diets and in fish fed the P40/CH20 diets. Regarding the immune-related genes, only cyclooxygenase-2 was affected, being higher in fish fed the P50/CH10 diets than the P40/CH20 diets. Fish fed the FM-based diets presented higher expression of glutathione reductase and glutathione peroxidase, while fish fed the P50/CH10 diet had higher expression of superoxide dismutase. In conclusion, PF-based diets can compromise gut absorptive and digestive metabolism, but decreasing the dietary P/CH ratio had little effect on the parameters measured.
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1. Introduction

Fishmeal (FM) was traditionally used as the main and most adequate protein source for carnivorous fish due to its high quality, high digestibility, and good palatability [1–3]. Presently, its use is in a clear downward trend [4]. This reduction is largely due to supply and price variation, coupled with the continuously increasing demand from the aquafeed industry [4]. Hence, the use of plant feedstuffs (PF) and the inclusion of carbohydrate (CH) sources in fish feeds have been good alternatives to, respectively, decreasing dietary FM inclusion as a protein source and sparing protein use for growth [5–10]. Gilthead seabream (*Sparus aurata*), one of the species with higher production in Europe, seems able to cope with a total replacement of dietary FM by PF [9]. This species requires about 45% of dietary protein [11]. However, if digestible CHs are provided in a suitable quantity, dietary protein might be spared for growth instead of being used as an energy source and, therefore, reduce nitrogen wastes and dietary costs [6,7,12]. Nonetheless, the maximum dietary CH inclusion that does not cause negative effects in gilthead seabream is limited to 20% [7]. Higher dietary CH inclusion may compromise growth and the digestive and absorptive capacities [6,12]. Several studies with gilthead seabream were already conducted to separately...
evaluate the effects of dietary inclusion of PF and the protein-sparing by CHs. Overall, results showed that PF-based diets often promoted gut morphological changes, modifications on microbiota composition, decreases in gut enzymatic activity, and increases in oxidative stress of fish [9,13–21]. The inclusion of 20% or more of dietary CHs also affected fish growth performance, digestive enzyme activities, and antioxidant status [7,22–24]. However, the interactive effects on gut functionality and the health of gilthead seabream fed diets with lower P/CH ratios and the replacement of FM by PF as a major dietary protein source has not received much attention, and the available information is somehow dispersed. For instance, Castro et al. [25] did not observe major changes in gut histomorphology, microbiota, α-amylase, and lipase activities of gilthead seabream fed diets with highly different P/CH ratios (50/17 and 66/0). Similarly, in the same species, Couto et al. [26] and Fountoulaki et al. [27] also did not find an effect of the dietary P/CH ratio on the proteolytic and amylolytic activities, nor did Castro et al. [23] on the gut oxidative status, or antioxidant enzymes activities. All these studies evaluating different dietary P/CH ratios were made with FM as the main dietary protein source. To our knowledge, only one study is available that evaluated dietary P/CH ratios using PF as the main protein source [12]. In this study, the authors reported that fish fed a P40/CH39 diet had higher lipase and trypsin activities and lower α-amylase activity than those fed a P46/CH19 diet.

Recently, we assessed the effects of FM- or PF-based diets with different P/CH ratios (50/10 and 40/20) in gilthead seabream growth, feed utilization, appetite regulation, and intermediary metabolism [28]. Results showed that diets only slightly modified fish appetite and metabolic parameters, although growth was higher in fish fed the FM-P50/CH10 diet than those fed the FM-P40/CH20 diet. Further, reducing the dietary P/CH ratio led to a decrease in the feed efficiency and an increase in the protein efficiency ratio.

The present study is a follow-up to our previous study [28]. While the previous study aimed to evaluate the effect of dietary protein sources (FM vs. PF) and P/CH ratio on gilthead seabream appetite regulation and intermediary metabolism, the present study aims to evaluate, for the first time, the effects of these factors (dietary protein source and P/CH ratio) on gilthead seabream gut function and health, by assessing gut histomorphology, gut microbiota composition, digestive enzymes activity, and gut immunological and oxidative stress genes expression.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Diets

Four isolipidic diets (18% crude lipids) were formulated to contain 100% FM or 20% FM + 80% PF as protein sources, and protein to carbohydrate (P/CH) ratios of P50/CH10 or P40/CH20 (diets FM-P50/CH10, FM-P40/CH20, PF-P50/CH10, and PF-P40/CH20). Details of diets, ingredient composition, and a proximate analysis are presented in the supplementary material (Table S1).

2.2. Experimental Conditions and Sampling

Fish-rearing conditions are described in detail in Basto-Silva et al. [28]. Briefly, 180 gilthead seabream (140 ± 0.1 g, initial body weight) were randomly distributed to twelve 300-L water capacity tanks in a temperature-controlled recirculation life-support system. The diets were randomly distributed to triplicate groups, and fish were fed with the corresponding diet by hand until apparent visual satiation—two meals per day, for 41 days, 6 days a week. The length of the trial was chosen based on previous studies conducted on fish, also including gilthead seabream, which show that this duration was enough to induce dietary effects at intestinal level [17,29].

At the end of the 41 days, 6 fish per tank were sampled 5 h after the first meal of the day and euthanized with a sharp blow to the head (Figure 1). Three fish were sampled for midgut, pyloric caeca (PC), and stomach, all with digestive content, for digestive enzymes evaluation. From the same fish, midgut and PC were also collected for histomorphology evaluation. The remaining 3 fish were sampled to collect midgut to perform
gene expression analysis. Two of these three fish were also sampled for allochthonous (digesta) and autochthonous (mucosa) microbiota characterization. Digesta samples were collected by squeezing the entire gut, and mucosa samples were obtained by scraping the internal surface of gut. Midgut was considered as the portion which began after the PC and finished before the hindgut, which is the final section of the gut [30], and the portions collected were the ones from the beginning of the midgut. Samples for enzymes activity and microbiota characterization were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80 °C until analyses. Histology and gene expression samples were freed from the adjacent adipose and connective tissue, rinsed in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), and the excess PBS was removed using a paper towel before being stored. Histology samples were fixed in phosphate-buffered formalin (4%, pH 7.4) for 24 h and then transferred to ethanol (70%) until further processing. Samples for gene expression were stored in RNA later, left at 4 °C overnight, and afterwards stored at −80 °C until analysis.

At the end of the 41 days, 6 fish per tank were sampled 5 h after the first meal of the day and euthanized with a sharp blow to the head (Figure 1). Three fish were sampled for digestive enzymes 

**Figure 1.** Schematic representation of sampling methodology applied in the present work. * In microbiota, only 2 of 3 fish per tank were used, and the samples were pooled to reduce individual variation, accounting for n = 3 per treatment.

### 2.3. Histological Evaluation

PC and midgut samples were processed and sectioned using standard histological techniques, stained with hematoxylin and eosin, and evaluated through a blinded semi-quantitative method, as described in Castro et al. [25], with slight modifications, namely, considering the nucleus position and hyper-vacuolization within the enterocytes. A score of 1 was given to the tissue with the least changes, and subsequent scores (up to 5) accounted for increasing histomorphological alterations, as described by Penn et al. [31]. Digital images were acquired with Zen software (Blue Edition; Zeiss, Jena, Germany), and using a light microscope Axio Imager.A2 (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany).

### 2.4. Microbial Diversity Analysis

Digesta and mucosa samples of the 2 fish per tank were pooled to reduce individual variation, accounting for n = 3 per treatment, each representing the microbial community of 6 fish. DNA extractions, polymorphism analyses of 16S rRNA genes by denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE), band excisions, and re-amplifications were performed as described by Castro et al. [25], with each PCR product being loaded on a polyacrylamide
gel at 8%, made of a denaturing gradient of 30 to 60% 7 M urea/40% formamide. Ampli-
cons were sequenced to identify microbiota operational taxonomic units (OTUs), and a
phylogenetic analysis was performed to identify the closest known species as described in
Castro et al. [25].

2.5. Digestive Enzyme Activities and Zymograms

All samples were individually homogenized with a Ystral homogenizer—Laboratory
Series X10 (Ballrechten-Dottingen, Germany) in 4 parts of ice-cold 50-mM Tris-HCl buffer
pH 7.5, containing 0.1 mM EDTA (reference code E5134, Sigma-Aldrich, Sintra, Portugal),
and 0.1% (v/v) Triton X-100 (reference code T8787, Sigma-Aldrich, Sintra, Portugal). Ho-
mogenates were centrifuged (30,000 × g, 30 min, 4 °C) and supernatants were recovered
and stored at −80 °C until use.

Pepsin activity was measured in the stomach, as described in Alarcón et al. [32], total
protease activity was measured in PC and midgut, as described in Moyano et al. [33],
and lipase (EC 3.1.1.3) and α-amylase (EC 3.2.1.1) activities were measured in PC and
midgut using commercial kits from Spinreact (Girona, Spain), with code #1001275 and
#41201, respectively.

Pepsin and proteolytic activities were expressed as units (U) per mg of soluble protein,
and α-amylase and lipase as mU per mg of soluble protein, with one U of enzyme activity
deﬁned as the amount of enzyme that catalyzes the hydrolysis of 1 µmol/min of the
substrate at the assay temperature.

Protein concentration of the samples was measured according to Bradford [34], using
a Sigma-Aldrich (Sintra, Portugal) protein assay kit (reference code B6916) and albumin
bovine serum (BSA; reference code A4503, Sigma-Aldrich, Sintra, Portugal) as standard.

All enzyme activities were measured in a Multiskan GO microplate reader (model
51119200; Thermo Scientiﬁc, Nanjing, China).

Alkaline protease zymograms were obtained after resolving, by SDS-PAGE, the ho-
mogenates, as described in Castro et al. [35]. The commercial Precision Plus Protein™ All
Blue Prestained Standard (reference code 1610373, Bio-Rad Laboratories Lda., Amadora,
Portugal) was used to estimate the proteins’ molecular weight. The speciﬁc trypsin-like
and chymotrypsin-like activities were identiﬁed based on García-Meilán et al. [24], where 6
bands with protease activity were identiﬁed in gilthead seabream. Coomassie-stained gels
were imaged with a ChemiDoc XRS+ (Bio-Rad Laboratories Lda., Amadora, Portugal), and
qualitatively evaluated by the presence or absence of bands.

2.6. RNA Extraction, cDNA Synthesis and Quantitative Real-Time PCR (qPCR)

The total RNA extraction from intestinal samples, the RNA concentration, the purity
and integrity evaluation, the cDNA synthesis, and the quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR)
were performed as described in Basto-Silva et al. [28]. The forward and reverse primers
used (Table 1) were searched in the GenBank database [36], and their efﬁciency curves were
evaluated according to the assay conditions. Most of the primers’ ampliﬁcation efﬁciencies
were between 90% and 110%, which are the recommended efﬁciency values [37]. However,
as not all used primers conform to this criteria, we used the Pfaffl method [38] to ensure
the robustness of the data. The Bio-Rad CFX Manager 3.1 (California, CA, USA) was the
software used to measure the expression levels. Elongation factor 1α (ef1α) and ribosomal
protein S18 (rps18) were used as reference genes.
Table 1. Genes and primers used for qPCR.

| ID Primer | Sequence (5′–3′) | Accession n° | Tm (°C) | Efficiency (%) |
|-----------|------------------|--------------|---------|---------------|
| ef1α      | F: CTTCAACGCTGCTGTCATCAT<br>R: GCACACGGAAACGACCAAGGGGA | AF184170     | 60      | 87.2          |
| rps18     | F: GGGTGTIGCAAGTGTTAC<br>R: CTCTTGCTGTTGAGCAACA | AM490061.1   | 60      | 88.0          |
| hsp70     | F: AATGGTCTGCGATCATC<br>R: GCCTCCACCAAAGATCAAAGAA | EU805481     | 57      | 90.1          |
| cat       | F: TTCCCGGTCTCATTAC<br>R: CTCAGAGAAGTCCACACCACAT | JQ308823     | 60      | 98.5          |
| cox2      | F: GAGTACTGGAAGCCGACCA<br>R: GATACTACCTGCGCCTGAGT | AM296029     | 60      | 94.6          |
| gpx1      | F: GAAAGTGGATGCTGAATGGAAGATAG<br>R: CTGACGGGACTCAAATG | DQ524992     | 60      | 91.2          |
| gr        | F: GTTCAGCCACCACCCATCGG<br>R: GCGTGATAGTCGAGTGAATG | AJ938783     | 60      | 97.0          |
| igM       | F: CAGCCTGACAAAGGAAAAC<br>R: GAGGTTGACCAGGTGGTTG | AM493677     | 60      | 87.0          |
| Il1β      | F: GGGCTGAACAACAGCACTC<br>R: TTACACTCCAACCCTCCTC | AJ277166     | 60      | 99.0          |
| sod       | F: CCTGACCTGACCTGACATTG<br>R: AGTGCTCCTGATATTTTCTCT | JQ308833     | 60      | 91.6          |
| tnf α     | F: TCGTTCAGAGTCTCCTGCAG<br>R: CATTGACTGTGACTGGGGA | AJ13189      | 60      | 96.0          |

Cat: catalase; cox2: cyclooxygenase 2; ef1α: translation elongation factor 1α; F: forward; gpx1: glutathione peroxidase; gr: glutathione reductase; hsp70: 70 kilodalton heat shock proteins; igM: immunoglobulin M heavy chain; Il1β: interleukin 1β; R: reverse; rps18: ribosomal protein S18; sod: superoxide dismutase; Tm: melting temperature; tnf α: tumor necrosis factor α. 1 from the GenBank database [36].

2.7. Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were completed using SPSS 25 software package for Windows (IBM® SPSS® Statistics, New York, NY, USA). Homogeneity of variances and data normality were tested by the Levene and Shapiro–Wilk tests, respectively. When normality was not verified, data were transformed before ANOVA. However, all data are presented as the mean and standard error of the mean (SEM), without any transformation. Differences were considered statistically significant at \( p < 0.05 \).

Since histological data was not normal nor homogenous even after transformation, statistical analysis of the histomorphology evaluation was completed by the non-parametric Kruskal–Wallis test, followed by all-pairwise comparisons. Furthermore, the significance values were adjusted by the Bonferroni correction for multiple tests.

The remaining data were evaluated by two-way ANOVA tests, with the protein source and P/CH ratios as factors. In the case of interaction between factors, one-way ANOVA was performed for the P/CH ratio within each protein source, and for the protein source within each P/CH ratio.

Statistical analysis related to the DGGE was performed as described in Castro et al. [25].

3. Results

During the trial, all experimental diets were well-accepted by the fish, and the fish survival rate was 100%. Results of the rearing trial were not the aim of this study and are presented elsewhere [28].

Regarding the PC histomorphology, fish fed the PF-P50/CH10 diet presented a higher total mean score (2.23) than those in the remaining experimental conditions, where the total mean score ranged between 1.78 and 1.88 (Table 2). Lamina propria width was higher in fish fed the PF-P50/CH10 diet than in those fed the FM-based diets (Figure 2). Fish fed the PF-P50/CH10 diet also presented higher submucosa widths than those remaining in the experimental conditions (Figure 2II). Lamina propria cellularity was higher in fish fed
the FM-P50/CH10 and PF-P50/CH10 diets than the FM-P40/CH20 diet. The enterocytes vacuolization was higher in fish fed the PF-based diets.

### I. Mucosa villi analysis

![Representative histological sections of pyloric caeca mucosa villi](image)

**Figure 2.** Representative histological sections of pyloric caeca mucosa villi (I) and submucosa (II) stained with hematoxylin and eosin of fish fed FM-P50/CH10 (a, e), FM-P40/CH20 (b, f), PF-P50/CH10 (c, g), and PF-P40/CH20 (d, h). ▶ enterocytes vacuolization. (I): Lamina propria width was higher in fish fed the PF-P50/CH10 diet (c) than fish fed the FM-based diets (a, b). Enterocytes vacuolization was higher in fish fed the PF-based diets (c, d) than those in the remaining conditions (a, b). (II): Submucosa width was higher in fish fed diet PF-P50/CH10 (g), than those in the remaining conditions (e–h).
Table 2. Details of the score-based evaluation of the pyloric caeca histology of gilthead seabream fed the experimental diets.

| Protein Source          | FM   | PF   | SEM  | p-Value |
|-------------------------|------|------|------|---------|
| P/CH Ratio              | 50/10 | 40/20 | 50/10 | 40/20    |
| Gut fold height         | 1.44 | 1.33 | 1.72 | 1.50    | 0.14 | 0.97 |
| Lamina propria—width    | 1.61<sup>a</sup> | 1.61<sup>a</sup> | 2.22<sup>b</sup> | 1.94<sup>ab</sup> | 0.09 | 0.04 |
| Lamina propria—cellularity | 2.22<sup>b</sup> | 1.56<sup>a</sup> | 2.61<sup>b</sup> | 2.00<sup>ab</sup> | 0.12 | 0.03 |
| Submucosa—width         | 1.44<sup>a</sup> | 1.39<sup>a</sup> | 2.00<sup>b</sup> | 1.50<sup>a</sup> | 0.08 | 0.04 |
| Submucosa—cellularity   | 1.94 | 2.00 | 2.11 | 1.61    | 0.10 | 0.28 |
| Intraepithelial leucocytes infiltration | 2.78 | 2.83 | 2.67 | 2.06    | 0.13 | 0.11 |
| Eosinophilic granulocytes presence | 2.11 | 1.94 | 2.44 | 1.89    | 0.13 | 0.33 |
| Enterocytes nucleus alignment | 2.33 | 2.28 | 2.44 | 2.61    | 0.09 | 0.66 |
| Enterocytes vacuolization | 1.00<sup>a</sup> | 1.11<sup>a</sup> | 1.83<sup>b</sup> | 1.72<sup>b</sup> | 0.10 | 0.00 |
| Mean score              | 1.88<sup>a</sup> | 1.78<sup>a</sup> | 2.23<sup>b</sup> | 1.87<sup>a</sup> | 0.06 | 0.03 |

Values presented as means (n = 9) and standard error of the mean (SEM). Different lower-case letters stand for statistical differences across dietary groups as determined by the Kruskal–Wallis all-pairwise comparisons. Furthermore, the significance values have been adjusted by the Bonferroni correction for multiple tests. CH: carbohydrate; FM: fishmeal; PF: plant feedstuffs; P: protein.

Regarding midgut histomorphology, fish fed the PF-based diets presented a higher total mean score (2.77) and gut fold height than fish fed FM-based diets, which have a total mean score of 2.28 (Figure 3 and Table 3). No further differences between groups were detected.

![Figure 3](image-url)

**Figure 3.** Representative hematoxylin and eosin-stained histological sections of midgut from fish fed FM-P50/CH10 (a), FM-P40/CH20 (b), PF-P50/CH10 (c), and PF-P40/CH20 (d). IF, intestine fold; LP, lamina propria; M, muscularis layer; S, serosa layer; SM, submucosa layer. Intestine fold height showed higher histomorphology deformations in fish fed the PF-based diets (c,d) than in fish fed the FM-based diets (b), except for fish fed the FM-P50/CH10 diet (a), which was not significantly different from fish fed the PF-P40/CH20 (d).
Table 3. Details of the score-based evaluation of the midgut histology of gilthead seabream fed the experimental diets.

| Protein Source                  | FM 50/10 | 40/20 | PF 50/10 | 40/20 | SEM | p-Value |
|---------------------------------|----------|------|----------|------|-----|---------|
| Gut fold height                 | 1.50 a,b | 1.22 a | 2.33 c   | 2.00 b,c | 0.14 | 0.02    |
| Lamina propria—width            | 2.67     | 2.00 | 2.89     | 2.44  | 0.14 | 0.15    |
| Lamina propria—cellularity      | 3.00     | 2.67 | 3.11     | 2.78  | 0.14 | 0.62    |
| Submucosa—width                 | 2.88     | 2.11 | 3.13     | 3.29  | 0.18 | 0.16    |
| Submucosa—cellularity           | 2.75     | 2.44 | 3.25     | 3.29  | 0.15 | 0.08    |
| Intraepithelial leucocytes infiltration | 2.78     | 2.72 | 3.56     | 3.22  | 0.23 | 0.44    |
| Eosinophilic granulocytes presence | 3.11     | 2.78 | 3.13     | 3.56  | 0.14 | 0.39    |
| Enterocytes nucleus alignment    | 2.44     | 2.11 | 2.56     | 2.89  | 0.14 | 0.29    |
| Enterocytes vacuolization        | 1.00     | 1.00 | 1.22     | 1.22  | 0.05 | 0.22    |
| Mean score                      | 2.44 a,b | 2.12 a | 2.79 b   | 2.74 b | 0.09 | 0.01    |

Values presented as means (n = 9) and standard error of the mean (SEM). Different lower-case letters stand for statistical differences across dietary groups as determined by the Kruskal–Wallis all-pairwise comparisons. Furthermore, the significance values have been adjusted by the Bonferroni correction for multiple tests. CH: carbohydrate; FM: fishmeal; PF: plant feedstuffs; P: protein.

DGGE fingerprints of the hypervariable V3 region of the 16S rRNA genes present in digesta and mucosa gut samples revealed that, independently of the dietary treatment, gut bacterial communities maintained a similarity, near 40% within both gut samples (Figure 4). Moreover, two clusters were observed in both gut microbiota regions, corresponding to samples recovered from fish fed the FM- and the PF-based diets, except for the FM-P50/CH10 diet in the digesta, which did not cluster with the remaining FM-based diets, and the PF-P50/CH10 diet in the mucosa, which did not cluster with the remaining PF-based diets. Despite this clear cluster separation, in digesta samples, the dietary composition did not affect the average number of OTUs, richness, and diversity indices (Table 4). Only the similarity index was higher in fish fed PF-P50/CH10 than in fish fed the FM-P50/CH10 diet. In mucosa samples, PF-based diets led to a higher number of gut OTUs, richness, and diversity indices than FM-based diets, while the similarity index was not different between groups. Sequence analysis from DGGE-selected bands showed that the dominant allochthonous and autochthonous bacteria detected were either corresponding to uncultured bacteria not yet assigned to a specific taxon or were closely related to genera belonging to the phylum Firmicutes and Proteobacteria, namely, Lactobacillus, Pseudomonas, Klebsiella, and Vibrio (Table 5 and Figure 4). Except for band 15, which was only found in digesta, all other bands were detected in digesta and mucosa samples.

Concerning digestive enzymes, α-amylase activity was lower in fish fed the PF-based diets, for both PC and midgut, and in fish fed the P40/CH20 diet only in the PC (Table 6). Proteolytic activity was higher in the PC of fish fed the P50/CH10 diet, but only within the PF-based diet-fed fish. Pepsin and lipase activities were not affected by dietary composition.
Figure 4. Dendrogram and PCR-DGGE fingerprints of the microbiota found in digesta and mucosa samples recovered from the gut of gilthead seabream fed the experimental diets. Numbers (1–15) on top of the figure correspond to the gel bands sequenced to identify the corresponding bacterial species, described on Table 5.

Table 4. Ecological parameters obtained from PCR-DGGE fingerprints of gut microbiota of gilthead seabream fed the experimental diets.

| PS   | FM  | PF  | SEM | Two-Way ANOVA |
|------|-----|-----|-----|---------------|
|      | P/CH Ratio |  |     |                |
|      | 50/10 | 40/20 | 50/10 | 40/20 | PS | P/CH Ratio | I |
| Digesta |       |     |       |     |    |     |
| OTUs  | 8.7 | 13.7 | 10.0 | 11.3 | 0.9 | 0.76 | 0.08 | 0.29 |
| Richness | 0.88 | 1.38 | 1.02 | 1.14 | 0.09 | 0.75 | 0.10 | 0.28 |
| Diversity | 2.08 | 2.56 | 2.24 | 2.37 | 0.09 | 0.94 | 0.11 | 0.33 |
| SIMPER Similarity (%) | 34.1 | A | 57.0 | 80.4 | B | 65.9 | 6.0 |
| Mucosa |       |     |       |     |    |     |
| OTUs  | 6.0 | 8.3 | 14.0 | 11.7 | 1.1 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.11 |
| Richness | 0.60 | 0.87 | 1.41 | 1.15 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.97 | 0.08 |
| Diversity | 1.67 | 2.11 | 2.59 | 2.39 | 0.12 | 0.01 | 0.48 | 0.09 |
| SIMPER Similarity (%) | 65.3 | 71.2 | 72.8 | 83.8 | 4.3 | 0.29 | 0.37 | 0.78 |

Values presented as means (n = 3 per treatment pooled from 6 fish), and standard error of the mean (SEM). Different upper-case letters denote significant differences between dietary protein sources. In the case of interaction between factors, one-way ANOVA was performed for the P/CH ratio within each protein source, and for the protein source within each P/CH ratio. The significant interactions between the factors are presented in the upper part of the table. CH: carbohydrate; FM: fishmeal; I: interaction; OTUs: average number of operational taxonomic units; PF: plant feedstuffs; P: protein; PS: protein source. ¹ Margalef species richness: d = (S − 1)/log(N). ² Shannon’s diversity index: H’ = −∑(pi(lnpi)). ³ SIMPER: similarity percentage within group replicates.
Table 5. Identified bacterial species from the DNA sequencing of the allochthonous and autochthonous gut bacteria communities of gilthead seabream fed the experimental diets.

| Band | Closest Known Species (BLAST) | Phylum | Similarity (%) | Accession Number of Nearest Neighbor |
|------|-------------------------------|--------|----------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1    | Uncultured bacterium from Turkey fecal microbial community | -      | 99             | EU873831.1                           |
| 2    | Uncultured *Pseudomonas* sp.   | Proteobacteria | 100            | LC032367.1                           |
| 3    | *Lactobacillus aviarius* subsp. *aviarius* | Firmicutes | 96             | LC071825.1                           |
| 4    | Uncultured marine bacterium    | -      | 96             | HM437606.1                           |
| 5    | Uncultured *Lactobacillus* sp. | Firmicutes | 97             | LT571746.1                           |
| 6    | Uncultured *Pseudomonas* sp.   | Proteobacteria | 99             | GU250534.1                           |
| 7    | Uncultured bacterium from gut microbiota of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) | -      | 100            | EU009390.1                           |
| 8    | *Klebsiella pneumoniae*        | Proteobacteria | 100            | CP031798.1                           |
| 9    | Uncultured *Klebsiella* sp.   | Proteobacteria | 97             | MH767054.1                           |
| 10   | Uncultured bacterium from gut bacterial communities of *Mytilus separatus* | -      | 80             | QJ013040.1                           |
| 11   | Uncultured *Vibrio* sp.       | Proteobacteria | 97             | HM214586.1                           |
| 12   | Uncultured bacterium from environmental samples | -      | 95             | FJ785825.1                           |
| 13   | Uncultured bacterium from environmental samples | -      | 100            | LT720113.1                           |
| 14   | Uncultured bacterium from intestine of Atlantic cod (*Gadus morhua*) | -      | 98             | HM115943.1                           |
| 15   | Uncultured bacterium from environmental samples | -      | 100            | KC527347.1                           |

Table 6. Specific activity of pepsin (U mg protein$^{-1}$) in the stomach, and $\alpha$-amylase, lipase (mU mg protein$^{-1}$), and proteolytic activity (U mg protein$^{-1}$) in the pyloric caeca, and midgut of gilthead seabream fed the experimental diet.

|                | PS       | FM       | PF       | SEM | Two-Way ANOVA          |
|----------------|----------|----------|----------|-----|------------------------|
|                | P/CH Ratio | 50/10   | 40/20    | 50/10 | 40/20 | PS | P/CH Ratio | I   |
| Pepsin         |          |         |          |      |                        |
| Stomach        |          |         |          |      |                        |
| Stomach        |          |         |          |      |                        |
| Pepsin         | 34.7     | 23.7    | 22.4     | 18.6 | 3.7                    | 0.26 | 0.34 | 0.64 |
| $\alpha$-Amylase | 45.2   | 27.1    | 19.0     | 6.3  | 4.0                    | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.42 |
| Lipase         | 0.56     | 0.45    | 0.61     | 0.42 | 0.05                   | 0.91 | 0.17 | 0.71 |
| Proteolytic activity | 17.4 | 16.5   | 45.4$^b$ | 11.4$^a$ | 4.7                  | 0.67 | 0.09 | 0.03 |
| Pepsin         |          |         |          |      |                        |
| Stomach        |          |         |          |      |                        |
| Stomach        |          |         |          |      |                        |
| Pepsin         | 207.3    | 191.0   | 57.6     | 52.2 | 24.6                   | 0.00 | 0.69 | 0.39 |
| $\alpha$-Amylase | 3.58   | 4.19    | 2.86     | 3.52 | 0.39                   | 0.39 | 0.43 | 0.97 |
| Lipase         | 254.8    | 284.2   | 234.4    | 239.7| 30.3                   | 0.28 | 0.19 | 0.53 |
| Proteolytic activity |          |         |          |      |                        |

Values presented as means ($n = 9$), and standard error of the mean (SEM). Different lower-case letters denote significant differences between dietary P/CH ratios. In the case of interaction between factors, one-way ANOVA was performed for the P/CH ratio within each protein source, and protein source within each P/CH ratio. The significant interactions between the factors are presented in the upper part of the table. CH: carbohydrate; FM: fishmeal; I: interaction; PF: plant feedstuffs; P: protein; PS: protein source.

Alkaline protease zymograms, from both PC and midgut, revealed the presence of six bands with proteolytic activity against casein, three identified as trypsin-like proteases (90, 60, and 55 KDa), and the other three as chymotrypsin-like proteases (50, 30, and 25 KDa). All treatments presented the same number of proteolytic bands (Figure 5).
Alkaline protease zymograms, from both PC and midgut, revealed the presence of six bands with proteolytic activity against casein, three identified as trypsin-like proteases (90, 60, and 55 KDa), and the other three as chymotrypsin-like proteases (50, 30, and 25 KDa). All treatments presented the same number of proteolytic bands (Figure 5).

Concerning immune-related gene expressions, only cyclooxygenase-2 (cox2) presented significant changes, being higher in fish fed the P50/CH10 diet (Table 7). Gene expression of immunoglobulin M heavy chain (igM), interleukin-1β (il1β), and tumor necrosis factor-α (tnf-α) was not affected by dietary composition.

Figure 5. Representative model zymogram of alkaline proteases in pyloric caeca and midgut extracts. The molecular weight of each band with proteolytic activity is indicated. All samples were analyzed individually.

Table 7. Normalized gene expression of immunology and oxidative stress-related genes in midgut of gilthead seabream fed the experimental diets.

| PS/CH Ratio | Immunology | Oxidative Stress | SEM | Two-Way ANOVA |
|-------------|------------|------------------|-----|---------------|
| 50/10       | 0.20       | 195.1            | 0.01| 0.75          |
| 40/20       | 0.13       | 178.1            | 0.01| 0.33          | 0.50  | 0.42  |
| 50/10       | 0.19       | 168.2            | 0.13| 0.78          | 0.50  | 0.42  |
| 40/20       | 0.19       | 171.5            | 0.13| 0.33          | 0.26  | 0.77  |
| P/CH Ratio  |            |                  |     |               |
| 40/10       | 0.13       | 168.2            | 0.01| 0.01          | 0.29  | 0.06  |
| 40/20       | 0.11       | 171.5            | 0.01| 0.02          | 0.05  | 0.09  |
|             | 0.14       | 44.0             | 0.6  | 0.14          | 0.01  | 0.97  |

1 All values expressed as arbitrary unit × 10². Values presented as means (n = 9), and standard error of the mean (SEM). cat: catalase; CH: Carbohydrate; cox2: cyclooxygenase 2; FM: fishmeal; gpx1: glutathione peroxidase; gr: glutathione reductase; hsp70: 70 kilodalton heat shock proteins; igM: immunoglobulin M heavy chain; I: interaction; il1β: interleukin 1β; PF: plant feedstuffs; P: protein; PS: protein source; sod: superoxide dismutase; tnf-α: tumor necrosis factor α.
Regarding the oxidative stress-related genes, PF-based diets led to a lower expression of glutathione reductase (gr) and glutathione peroxidase (gpx1), while superoxide dismutase (sod) expression was lower in fish fed the P40/CH20 diet. The gene expression of 70 kilodalton heat shock proteins (hsp70) and catalase (cat) was not affected by dietary composition.

4. Discussion

The presence of antinutritional factors on PF, namely, in soybean products, was reported as leading to gut inflammation in gilthead seabream [15,18,20,21,39]. Among the observed gut morphological alterations caused by soybean meal were a decrease in gut fold height, an enlargement of submucosa and lamina propria, an increased number of inflammatory cells on tissues, and modifications on enterocytes vacuolization [15,18,20,21]. Although we have assessed the midgut and PC, and previous studies analyzed the distal gut, the present results agree with the reported observations in this species, since fish fed the PF-P50/CH10 diet, which has a higher soybean meal content (25% compared with 19% for PF-P40/CH20, and no soybean meal content for FM-based diets), also presented more histological alterations when compared with fish fed the other diets. The histological modifications observed in the midgut and PC were mainly in gut fold height, width and cellularity of lamina propria, width of the submucosa, and/or in enterocytes vacuolization. Similarly, gilthead seabream juveniles fed 30% soybean meal presented a moderately and diffusely expanded distal gut lamina propria [14], while juveniles fed soy saponins and phytosterols presented histomorphological alterations of the intestinal mucosal structure [17]. Nonetheless, during the on-growing period (fish of similar sizes to those of the present study) gilthead seabream showed a high tolerance to soy saponins and phytosterols [29]. This indicates that fish responses can be different, depending on the life stage, dietary ingredients/antinutrients combinations, and intestine portions.

Moreover, in the present study, PC seemed to be more sensitive to dietary composition changes than midgut, where fewer histomorphological alterations were observed. This agreed with the study of Couto et al. [29], which observed that dietary soy saponins and phytosterols affected PC histomorphology but not the distal gut of on-growing gilthead seabream.

However, it is important to add that the observed histomorphology modifications were not enough to consistently affect gilthead seabream growth [28]. Nonetheless, a longer experimental trial could have exposed those differences.

The composition of gut microbiota also affects gut functionality since, for instance, bacteria might have a role in nutrients’ digestion and immune functions, being affected by diet composition [39]. In the present study, protein source was the single factor affecting gut microbiota. The only detectable effect on digesta microbiota was an increase of the similarity index in fish fed the PF-P50/CH10 diet, indicating that this diet might modulate gut bacteria populations towards a higher similarity between samples. The absence of any other major effect on digesta microbiota in fish fed different dietary compositions was previously observed in gilthead seabream [25]. This lack of effect could be expected, since digesta microbiota comprises transient (allochthonous) microorganisms, which are often surrounded by the resident microbiota to the gut wall and, thus, do not last a long time in the gut [40].

The higher number of OTUs, richness, and diversity indices observed in the mucosa microbiota of fish fed the PF-based diets agree with what was previously reported for this species, at the juvenile stage, fed soybean meal-based diets compared with FM-based diets [16], and for other species also fed PF-based diets, such as Senegalese sole (Solea senegalensis) and Atlantic salmon [41–43]. These results could be explained by the presence of non-digestible carbohydrates on PF, which provide the required substrate for gut bacteria proliferation [44,45]. It should be noticed that higher richness and diversity indices, as in fish fed the PF-based diets, can be undesirable since they can be associated with the presence of pathogenic bacteria in gut microbiota [18,46]. On the other hand, a diverse gut microbiome, with the increase of microorganisms from the Firmicutes phylum, can
stimulate a fish’s innate immunity and reduce the gut surface area for the establishment of pathogenic bacteria, improving the fish’s health [47–49]. Although, in the present study, none of the immune-related genes measured were affected by the use of PF, the dominant allochthonous and autochthonous bacteria detected were indeed the most closely related to the Firmicutes and Proteobacteria phyla, as already described in gilthead seabream fed different dietary compositions [18,47]. However, in future studies, a higher-resolution method, such as next-generation sequencing and FISH, could improve the characterization of the bacterial communities under different dietary feeding regimes, providing not only the full identification of the species and/or subspecies of the bacteria, but also allowing for their quantification. This more in-depth characterization and quantification of the bacterial species and/or subspecies will possibly allow for a clearer connection between microbiota and gut functionality.

Both *Pseudomonas* sp. and *Lactobacillus* sp. can produce α-amylase [50]; however, as their presence was detected in fish fed all experimental diets, no link can be made between the presence of α-amylase-producing bacteria, the dietary ratios, and α-amylase activity measured. Indeed, the lack of differences in the gut microbiota of fish fed different dietary P/CH ratios could be partially explained by the use of pregelatinized maize starch as the main carbohydrate source. Gilthead seabream presents almost 100% starch digestibility of diets including 10 to 30% of this ingredient [26]; thus, pregelatinized maize starch does not seem to provide a substantial substrate for microbial fermentation and development. A similar lack of changes in gut microbiota was reported for gilthead seabream and other fish species fed also with highly digestible starch [26,51,52].

For diets’ digestion, several enzymes are needed, with each enzyme presenting a specific role. α-amylase, proteases, and lipase are, respectively, responsible for the enzymatic hydrolysis of starch, proteins, and lipids [51–53]. Despite that we did not observe any major effect on the feed intake of fish fed the different diets [28], in the present study, α-amylase activity in PC and midgut and proteolytic activity in PC were affected by the dietary composition. The α-amylase activity was lower in the PC and midgut of fish fed the P40/CH20 diet, and in the PC, it was also lower in fish fed the PF-based diets than those fed the FM-based diets. The influence of dietary P/CH ratio can be related to the adsorption of α-amylase by starch, as suggested by Spannhof and Plantikow [54], who observed that α-amylase secreted by fish during the digestive process was adsorbed by the starch present in the diets [55,56]. This lower α-amylase activity observed in fish fed the P40/CH20 diet can partially explain the lower feed efficiency observed in our previous study in fish fed the P40/CH20 diet, in comparison with those fed the P50/CH10 diet [28].

According to Hidalgo et al. [57] and Fernández et al. [58], α-amylase activity is more dependent on fish nutritional habits than the proteolytic activity, and this is further supported by the lack of effects on the proteolytic activity reported in gilthead seabream fed diets with different P/CH ratios [25–27]. However, studies in other fish species showed that higher dietary protein levels increased proteolytic activity [59–62]. In the present study, higher proteolytic activity in fish fed the diet with a higher protein content was also observed in the PC, but only in fish fed the PF-based diets. Moreover, no differences were found regarding the alkaline protease pattern, as observed in the zymograms of the different dietary treatments, suggesting the proteases present are the same independently of the diet offered. Differently, García-Mailán et al. [24] observed that, in gilthead seabream fed FM-based diets, PC proteolytic activity was higher in fish fed lower dietary protein-content diets (P35 and P38), while in the midgut, the proteolytic activity increased progressively as dietary protein increased, stabilizing at 41% to 47% of protein. Thus, more studies should be conducted to clarify the effects of dietary protein level and source on proteolytic activity in the gut.

In the present study, fish fed the PF-based diets presented lower *gr* and *gpx1* gene expression than those fed FM-based diets, which may indicate that the former were more
vulnerable to oxidative stress [63]. This evidence seems to be in agreement with the presence of soybean meal antinutritional factors, such as the β-conglycinin, which has been identified as one of the major feed allergens [64,65]. This allergen has an N-glycan structure, essential for the formation of di-tyrosine bridges, which trigger the process responsible for oxidative stress, increasing the malondialdehyde content, and causing oxidative damages [64].

Regarding dietary P/CH ratio effects on oxidative stress, the decrease of sod gene expression in fish fed the P40/CH20 diet may indicate that those fish were also more susceptible to oxidative stress. Nevertheless, Castro et al. [23] observed in gilthead seabream that the intestinal sod activity was not affected by the use of different dietary P/CH ratios. Indeed, a disconnection between the gene expression and enzymatic activity results was previously reported by other studies [22,66]. Thus, we might not disregard that the response at the biochemical level might be different of the one obtained at molecular level. Hence, future studies should also include enzymatic activities which, together with the gene expression analyses, will allow for a more complete conclusion.

Sitjá-Bobadilla et al. [13] and Kokou et al. [19,20] reported, in gilthead seabream fed PF-based diets, a synchronism between the immune and stress responses and the gut histomorphological alterations. A similar relationship was observed in the present study, although no effects were observed in the immune-related genes analyzed, except for cox2 expression, which was higher in fish fed the high-protein diets. Cox2 is linked mainly to inflammation [67,68], so it might be expected that an increase of cox2 gene expression would be accompanied by higher histomorphological scores in this group, which did not happen. The absence of effects on immune-related responses seems to agree with the lack of mortality or diseases observed in our previous study [28].

5. Conclusions

The present study aimed to provide an integrated view of the effects on gut health and functionality of gilthead seabream when fed diets with FM or PF as the main dietary protein sources and different P/CH ratios. However, no major statistical interactions between those two factors were observed, and in general, only independent effects were reported, which did not allow us to conclude on the cumulative effect of both factors. Dietary P/CH ratio has little effects on gut health or functionality; only a decrease of α-amylase activity and gut cox2 and sod gene expression were observed.

PF-based diets are more prone to compromise CH digestibility, induce gut histomorphological changes and modifications of gut mucosa microbiota profile, and decrease expression of oxidative stress-related genes. Overall, the present data demonstrates the need of fine-tuning fish feed formulations with PF to properly preserve fish intestinal physiology.
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