Mass production of chemicals from biomass-derived oil by directly atmospheric distillation coupled with co-pyrolysis
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Production of renewable commodity chemicals from bio-oil derived from fast pyrolysis of biomass has received considerable interests, but hindered by the presence of innumerable components in bio-oil. In present work, we proposed and experimentally demonstrated an innovative approach combining atmospheric distillation of bio-oil with co-pyrolysis for mass production of renewable chemicals from biomass, in which no waste was produced. It was estimated that 51.86 wt.% of distillate just containing dozens of separable organic components could be recovered using this approach. Ten protogenetic and three epigenetic compounds in distillate were qualitatively identified by gas chromatography/mass spectrometry and quantified by gas chromatography. Among them, the recovery efficiencies of acetic acid, propanoic acid, and furfural were all higher than 80 wt.%. Formation pathways of the distillate components in this process were explored. This work opens up a fascinating prospect for mass production of chemical feedstock from waste biomass.

The past century has witnessed the huge success of petrochemical industry, but the rapid depletion of petroleum reserves and increased global concern on the sustainability of chemical industry have motivated substantial research on conversion of renewable and carbon-neutral biomass energy into marketable chemicals. Fast pyrolysis is a scalable thermochemical technology, which can depolymerize lignocellulosic biomass in a single oxygen-starved reactor at a very short reaction time and moderate temperature (673–873 K). The liquid product from fast pyrolysis, known as bio-oil, is a storable and transportable oxygenated biofuel and can be directly used for heat and electricity generation in static burners. Particularly, further processing bio-oil to transportation fuels and value-added chemicals has attracted tremendous interests in the past decade.

The production of commodity and/or specialty chemicals from bio-oil is attractive, but it is also faced with several challenges. Unlike petroleum oil, bio-oil is a very complex mixture of water, carboxylic acids, aldehydes, ketones, alcohols, esters, and anhydrosugars, to name a few. Hundreds of organic molecules have been identified in bio-oil. The organic fraction in bio-oil is characterized by the wide distribution of polarity and molecular weight, which poses challenges to the efficient separation. Even worse, bio-oil is produced under thermodynamically non-equilibrium conditions, thus some oxygenated components in bio-oil tend to react with each other (e.g., polymerization, condensation, esterification, and etherification) at room or higher temperatures, leading to pronounced thermal and storage instability of bio-oil. To date, many techniques, such as catalytic steam reforming, aqueous phase processing, have been developed to convert bio-oil and/or its fractions into specific chemicals. Direct processing the raw bio-oil catalytically seemed to be unfavorable because severe coke deposition causes a rapid deactivation of catalysts at temperature over 353 K. Several methods have been proposed to break the micro-emulsion of raw bio-oil, e.g., adding inorganic salts or a sufficient amount of water at first, then the resulting two phases could be further treated to obtain respective target products. Such a pre-treatment simplifies the problem to some extent. Vispute et al. reported that hydrogen, C1–C6 alkanes, polyols, and chemical feedstocks (aromatics and olefins) could be produced through water extraction by adding a large amount of water into bio-oil (the volume of water quadrupled that of bio-oil) and effectively hydrogenating the aqueous phase, but they could not resolve the catalyst deactivation problem. Furthermore, the economic feasibility of such a process is disputable because of the low concentration of organics in water and the requirement for external hydrogen supply.
Conventional atmospheric distillation and rectification are a simple, mature, and extensively used separation technique in petrochemical refinery. To date, it is also the only economically feasible and scalable pathway to separate the complex bio-oil mixture into chemical fractions in biorefinery. However, two serious problems have hindered research works, which has resulted in almost no publications in this area in the past two decades: 1) the production of difficult-to-handle residues (atmospheric distillation residue, ADR) by aging of bio-oil at high temperatures; and 2) the formation of unpredictable chemicals in distillation process. Hence, tremendous efforts have been invested to mitigate the aforementioned problems. Molecular distillation\(^\text{17}\) and reduced pressure distillation required a lower distillation temperature, but they merely reduced the occurrence of ADR and aging reaction, rather than to eliminate it. Whereas atmospheric distillation of bio-oil in a high-boiling-point alcohol\(^\text{18,19}\), e.g., \(n\)-butanol and glycerol, was reported to obtain chemicals without producing ADR, but dose of solvent drastically increased the costs.

In the present work, an approach of atmospheric distillation of bio-oil without adding any reagent was proposed to obtain a distillate, which could be used as chemical feedstock (Fig. 1). We had no intention to avoid aging and coking of bio-oil as usual, but made full use of the ADR by circulating co-pyrolysis of rice husk and this ADR in an integrated system (see Fig. 1 and Supplementary Fig. S1). In such a system, no waste was discharged. The objectives of this work were: 1) to propose and experimentally verify a zero-waste process through combining atmospheric distillation with co-pyrolysis; 2) to obtain the stable chemical fractions in which the amount of compounds was decreased drastically; 3) to qualitatively identify and quantify the components and calculate the recovery efficiencies in fractions of distillate; and 4) to investigate the formation pathways of the distillated components.

**Results**

The biomass was pyrolyzed to produce bio-oil containing hundreds of components. The bio-oil was distillated to obtain fractions of distillate, and then all the ADR, which was concurrently produced along with distillate, was co-pyrolyzed with biomass. No waste was discharged from this integrated system. The integrated process is illustrated in Fig. S1 (Supplementary Information). The co-pyrolysis experiments using feedstock composed of ADR and rice husk at different ratios were carried out, and the results indicated that the bio-oil after co-pyrolysis had no detectable change in yield (Fig. S2) and chemical composition (Table S1 and Fig. S3). This implies that the integrated process could resume all the produced ADR in atmospheric distillation.

The major compounds of bio-oil were qualitatively identified by gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC/MS) (Table S1). The main constituents of the organic fraction of bio-oil were commonly found carboxylic acids (e.g., formic acid, acetic acid, propanoic acid, and \(n\)-hexadecanoic acid), furan derivatives (e.g., furfural, furfuryl alcohol, and hydroxymethylfurfural), phenolic compounds (e.g., phenols and guaiacols), anhydrosugars (mainly levoglucosan), cyclo-pentanones and other carbonyl compounds. To obtain different compositions of distillates, the fractions of distillate were gathered at different temperatures. Figure 2 shows that the color of the distillate fractions became deepened with the increase in distillation temperature, except for the Fraction VI. The color of all fractions was much lighter than that of the raw bio-oil. Accumulated distillate accounting for 51.86 wt.% of the raw bio-oil was obtained (Fig. 3a), and its chemical composition was analyzed using GC/MS (see Supplementary Fig. S5a for the GC/MS chromatogram and Supplementary Table S5 for major identified compounds). Then, the concentrations of 13 major compounds in the distillate fractions were quantified using gas chromatography-flame ionization detector (GC-FID) (Fig. 3b). The organic fraction of distillate was mainly composed of light oxygenated compounds, whose boiling points range from 353 to 521 K. It is worth mentioning that the distillate...
had a potential to be further separated into pure chemicals because of the difference in their boiling points.

Most compounds in distillate (e.g., phenols, guaiacols, furan derivatives, acetic acid, propanoic acid, and acetol) were commonly observed in bio-oils, suggesting that they were physically distilled off. Common compounds in Fractions I–VI were quantified and the results are shown in Figure 4. The water content of the distillate fractions decreased with the increase in temperature except for Fraction VI. This is because that most of water was evaporated at the first boiling point of bio-oil, and the water content in the remaining oil gradually decreased. After the temperature reached 513 K or higher, some compounds reacted with each other to generate water and caused the increase in water content in Fraction VI. The concentrations of higher boiling-point compounds in the distillate fractions, such as p-cresol, o-cresol, guaiacol, phenol, and furfuryl alcohol, increased with the increasing heating temperature, whereas those of the lower boiling-point compounds (e.g., n-butyric acid, furfuryl, propanoic acid, acetic acid) had a substantial decrease in Fraction VI. This implies that at such a high temperature these compounds were involved in the reaction of water generation (Figure 4a). Figure 4b shows that the yields of the distilled components based on the weight of the raw bio-oil. Higher recovery efficiencies were obtained by means of atmospheric distillation for acetic acid, propanoic acid, and furfural, which reached 88.34 wt.%, 91.80 wt.%, and 85.11 wt.%, respectively. The separation efficiencies of phenolic compounds were comparatively lower, attributed to their instability in the heating process, whereas the acids, alcohols, and aldehydes exhibited an obvious instability only at higher temperatures (>513K). This indicates that atmospheric distillation of bio-oil is a more favorable process for acids, alcohols, and aldehydes.

**Discussion**

Figure 5 shows different plausible pathways for the conversion of lignocellulosic biomass into the value-added chemicals in distillate. Cellulose, hemicelluloses, and lignin are the three main organic constituents of rice husk. Considering the aromatic nature of lignin, phenols and guaiacols shown in Figure 5a were obviously the depolymerization products of lignin in the fast pyrolysis process, and they were further physically separated in the atmospheric distillation and were present in the distillate. Light oxygenated compounds, e.g., acetic acid and acetol, were reported to be formed in fast pyrolysis process, both in the ring scission reaction of carbohydrates and the depolymerization of lignin. Furfural, one of the most important platform chemicals in biorefinery, can also be obtained from the pyrolysis of cellulose, hemicelluloses, and lignin. The pyrolytic mechanism and primary reaction pathway of cellulose are still in debate despite of extensive studies on this topic. Levoglucosan, an anhydrosugar resulting from the gluicosidic bond cleavage, has been recognized as an important intermediate in the thermal decomposition of cellulose. Primary thermal decomposition of cellulose may involve either the initial decomposition to levoglucosan followed by the subsequent dehydration, retroaldol condensation, and fragmentation reactions of levoglucosan or depolymerization of cellulose or other intermediates, which competes with the formation of levoglucosan. Furan derivatives, cyclopentenones, together with other aliphatic oxygenates could be obtained through decomposition of cellulose, dehydration of levoglucosan, and both pathways and subsequent secondary reactions in the pyrolysis reactor. The direct atmospheric distillation of bio-oil is not only a physical separation process, but also involves various chemical reactions between bio-oil components. In other words, the direct atmospheric distillation of bio-oil, although without adding any reagent, can also be viewed as “reactive distillation”. Three pieces of evidence can support this conclusion. Firstly, the coke formation indicates the occurrence of reactions, which increase molecular weight and carbon chain length. Secondly, water was produced in the distillation process. Taking the distillation of the raw bio-oil as an example, the water content and yield of the distillate of original bio-oil were measured to be 71.59 and 51.86 wt.%, respectively. There was 37.13 wt.% (51.86% × 71.59%) of water in distillate, which is higher than the initial water content (30.3 wt.%) in the raw bio-oil. This phenomenon clearly indicates that several dehydration reactions occurred to some extent in the atmospheric distillation. Lastly, several compounds, i.e., 2,3-butanediol, 2-butanol, 2,3-pentandione, 3-penten-2-one, 1-acetoxy-2-butanol, and 1-(2-furanyl)-ethanone, were found in distillate, but not detected in the bio-oil. Thus, they were reckoned as newly formed compounds in the distillation process. Some reactions that possibly occurred in the distillation process are illustrated in Figure 5b. 2-butanone might be formed from the ketonic decarboxylation reaction of acetic acid and propanoic acid (Reaction 1 in Fig. 5b). The trace amount of inorganic minerals and entrained char fines in bio-oil might have an important catalytic effect on this type of reaction. As for 1-acetoxy-2-butanone, it was reckoned as the product of esterification reaction of acetic acid and 1-hydroxy-2-butanone (Reaction 2 in Fig. 5b). The removal of water in the distillation process shifted the chemical equilibrium in favor of formation of ester, and the acids in the complex bio-oil could also catalyze the esterification reaction. 2,3-butanediol was reported to be derived from the pyrolysis of levoglucosan, here it might also come from levoglucosan decomposition in the distillation process. Likewise, 2,3-pentanediol and 3-penten-2-one were also
detected in the pyrolysate of cellulose at pyrolysis temperatures of 773–923 K. Thus, it is reasonable to speculate that they were derived from the decomposition of levoglucosan and/or other anhydrosugar derivatives, which were intermediates in cellulose pyrolysis and present in bio-oil in the distillation process (Reactions (3–5) in Fig. 5b). Three types of newly formed compounds were quantified (Fig. 6). The concentrations of 2,3-butandione, 2-butanone, and 2,3-pentanedione reached their maximum content in the distillate.

Figure 4 | (a) The distribution of organic compounds in distillate fractions; (b) the distribution of organic compounds in distillate and raw bio-oil and their separation efficiency in distillation.
Fractions III, V, and IV, respectively. The formation of the new compounds in distillate indicates that the atmospheric distillation is a reactive process, and is different from the traditional distillation.

In summary, a direct atmospheric distillation coupled with co-pyrolysis was demonstrated as a zero-waste approach for production of chemical feedstock. Compared with bio-oil, the amount of constituents of distillate was drastically decreased and could be further separated. Since the yield of bio-oil in biomass pyrolysis process is higher than 50.0 wt.%, about 10 wt.% of chemical feedstock by weight of feeding rice husk can be produced (see Supplementary Fig. S13), and it could be further separated into pure fractions based on their different boiling points. This facile process may be the most simple and economical approach to convert solid lignocellulosic biomass to chemicals at a large scale so far.

**Methods**

**Materials.** The rice husk used throughout the study was kindly provided by Anhui Yineng Bio-energy Co., China, and collected from local rice mills. The rice husk was milled and screened, and the portion with a particle size below 180 mesh (88 μm) was collected for the subsequent studies. Prior to the fast pyrolysis experiment, the samples were dried in an oven at 378 K for 12 h to remove moisture. The resulting dry rice husk particles were collected for the subsequent fast pyrolysis and analysis.

**Atmospheric distillation of raw bio-oil.** The atmospheric distillation was carried out in a round-bottom flask placed in an oil bath, in which a weighed amount of bio-oil was slowly heated to ~513 K under vigorous magnetic stirring. In this batch-mode distillation, volatiles flew upwards and bio-oil was continually condensed with the elevation of temperature, leaving the residues increasingly viscous. The bath was kept at the highest temperature for 20 min before the round-bottom flask was moved out of the oil bath and cooled to room temperature. The viscous ADR turned out to be a black solid at room temperature. It was crushed, ground, and sieved to below 60 mesh (300 μm) and vacuum-dried at 343 K for 12 h to partially remove residual water and kept in a desiccator for further use.

**Fast co-pyrolysis.** The raw bio-oil was produced by the fast pyrolysis of rice husk alone. The fast co-pyrolysis experiments with certain proportions of a mixture of rice husk and ADR (originating from the distillation of raw bio-oil) were conducted in a downdraft fixed-bed fast pyrolyzer under nitrogen atmosphere at 723 K.

Prior to the pyrolysis reaction, feedstock sample (5.000 ± 0.002 g) was prepared by physically mixing rice husk and ADR at certain ratios. ADR content in feedstock was set to vary in a range of 0–35 wt.% when it was co-pyrolyzed with rice husk.
Considering the maximum yield of ADR, the ADR content in feedstock was not further increased.

The mixture was then placed in the feeder, the pyrolysis zone was heated to 723 K by an electric furnace, and the pyrolysis reactor was swept by nitrogen gas at a flow rate of 0.4 L/min for 20 min to wipe out air. Then, the feedstock entered the pyrolysis zone for 1–2 s. The resultant pyrolysis vapors were swept downstream by nitrogen at a flow rate of 0.16 L/min, of which the condensable fraction was quenched by cold ethanol (263 K) to obtain bio-oil. When pyrolysis was finished, the reactor was moved out of the heater, and the residual char therein was cooled to room temperature in the atmosphere of nitrogen. The bio-oil in the condenser and the char in the tubular reactor were weighed to estimate their yields. Each experiment was conducted twice to verify the results.

Characterization methods. Water contents of the raw bio-oil and the distillate were determined through Karl-Fischer titration using chloroform/methanol (3 : 1, v/v) as a solvent. The elemental compositions (C, H, and N) of the ADR, rice husk, whole distillate and bio-oils were determined on a Vario EL cube elemental analyzer (Elementar Analyensysteme GmbH, Germany). As for the proximate analysis of dry rice husk and ADR, the ash content was measured using the gravimetric method prescribed in ASTM D 3174-04, the content of volatile matter was determined using a non-isothermal thermogravimetric (TG) method39, and the content of fixed carbon was calculated by difference. In the TG method, 3.0-8.0 mg of samples were heated in a thermogravimetric analyzer (TGA-Q5000, TA Co., USA) at the atmosphere of 25 mL/min of N2. The temperature was programmed from room temperature to 383 K at a rate of 10.0 K/min and held for 10 min before ramped to 1173 K at a rate of 25.0 K/min. Apart from the contents of volatile matter, thermal characteristics of rice husk and ADR were also obtained by the TG method.

GC/MS was used to analyze the organic components of bio-oils qualitatively and semi-quantitatively. The separation was made on a HP-5MS capillary column (30 m × 0.25 mm × 0.25 μm). High-purity Helium was used as the carrier gas, and the gas flow rate was held constant at 1 mL/min. The GC oven temperature was held at 313 K for 5 min, and programmed to ramp at 4 K/min to 453 K and then at 10 K/min to 523 K. The oven was kept at the final temperature for 13 min. The injector temperature was 553 K, and an injection volume of 1 μL was adopted with the split ratio set as 20 : 1. The mass spectrometer was operated in full scan mode, and its mass range was 20–500 atomic mass units. The identification of the chromatographic peaks was based on an automatic library search (NIST library version 2.0). The quantification of compounds in liquid products is described in the Supplementary Information.
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