Exposure of Soil Microbial Communities to Chromium and Arsenic Alters Their Diversity and Structure
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Abstract

Extensive use of chromium (Cr) and arsenic (As) based preservatives from the leather tanning industry in Pakistan has had a deleterious effect on the soils surrounding production facilities. Bacteria have been shown to be an active component in the geochemical cycling of both Cr and As, but it is unknown how these compounds affect microbial community composition or the prevalence and form of metal resistance. Therefore, we sought to understand the effects that long-term exposure to As and Cr had on the diversity and structure of soil microbial communities. Soils from three spatially isolated tanning facilities in the Punjab province of Pakistan were analyzed. The structure, diversity and abundance of microbial 16S rRNA genes were highly influenced by the concentration and presence of hexavalent chromium (Cr (VI)) and arsenic. When compared to control soils, contaminated soils were dominated by Proteobacteria while Actinobacteria and Acidobacteria (which are generally abundant in pristine soils) were minor components of the bacterial community. Shifts in community composition were significant and revealed that Cr (VI)-containing soils were more similar to each other than to As contaminated soils lacking Cr (VI). Diversity of the arsenic resistance genes, arsB and ACR3 were also determined. Results showed that ACR3 becomes less diverse as arsenic concentrations increase with a single OTU dominating at the highest concentration. Chronic exposure to either Cr or As not only alters the composition of the soil bacterial community in general, but affects the arsenic resistant individuals in different ways.

Introduction

Anthropogenic metal contamination is a problem frequently encountered near long-term industrialized areas [1]. In Pakistan, the leather industry has left soils surrounding production facilities contaminated with chromium (VI & III) and arsenic. Accumulation of Cr (VI) and arsenic in soils is of concern due to their mutagenic and carcinogenic properties in humans [2,3]. Geochemical cycling of chromium and arsenic can be microbially mediated in both aerobic [4,5] and anaerobic [6,7] systems. Within the environment, chromium is found as chromate [Cr(III)] or chromate [Cr(VI)] [8]. Chromate is less toxic and poorly mobile due to its low water solubility at neutral pH and its sorption characteristics [8]. In contrast, chromate is acutely toxic, mutagenic, teratogenic and carcinogenic (USEPA, 1998b). It is soluble in water and mobile in soils and sediments [8]. Cr (VI) resistance is thought to involve the use of a chromium efflux pump [9,10] while detoxification (i.e. reduction to Cr (III)) is less understood but several genes have been proposed [11].

Inorganic arsenic, much like chromium, is primarily found in two valence states in the environment, pentavalent [arsenate, As (V)] and trivalent [arsenite- As (III)] [12]. In contrast to chromium, the reduction of arsenate to arsenite increases the solubility and toxicity, as arsenite is uncharged at pH <9 [13]. Several resistance/reduction mechanisms for arsenate are well described (for a review, see Öremland and Stolz 2003; 2005). The ars genes have been shown to confer arsenate resistance via enzymatic reduction followed by efflux of arsenite through a transmembrane pump [14–16]. The ars operon has been found primarily in bacteria [15,17]. A functionally similar group of genes (ACR) were originally observed in fungi [18,19] until recent discovery of an efflux pump-encoding gene genetically similar to ACR in several bacterial species [14,20]. To date, many of the pure-culture isolates resistant to or capable of transforming chromium or arsenic are predominantly associated with the bacterial phyla Proteobacteria, Firmicutes, and Actinobacteria [8]. However, due to the dearth of bacterial isolates with known As & Cr resistance mechanisms, it is unclear whether resistance to transformation of chromium and arsenic is common in underrepresented phyla or underrepresented clades within well-characterized phyla.

The diversity of soil microbial communities is exceedingly rich [21–23]; however it is still not possible to predict how microbial communities will respond when exposed to metals, as in some cases diversity (i.e. the richness and evenness of species within a sample) and microbial biomass have been observed to decrease.
While in others, they show no correlation [27]. In systems acutely exposed to contamination, diversity may be maintained within the community through either natural resistance [28] or the ability of organisms to lay dormant until favorable growth conditions return [29]. Conversely, chronic exposure to contamination will likely have deleterious effects on the structure and ultimately the function of the community, as dormancy may not be a useful survival option. The degree of species loss will likely be a function of the mobile nature of resistance genes (i.e., horizontal gene transfer) [30] and the behavior of the metal species in the environment [31]. Soil microbial communities are known to share fewer species as distance between sites increases [24,32]. However, it has been observed that landscape and pH can select for similar communities despite spatial separation [33]. In the face of long-term exposure to metals, restructuring of microbial communities is likely [34], but it is unclear which groups of microorganisms are more tolerant to chromium and arsenic contamination and how metal resistance genes respond. Therefore, we sought to understand the effects of chronic chromium and arsenic contamination on soil bacterial communities from three spatially distant, long-term leather production areas in the Punjab province of Pakistan. We found that in the presence of metals novel Proteobacteria were abundant community members and that arsenic concentration dramatically influenced the diversity of arsenic resistance genes.

**Methods**

**Sampling Site, Collection and DNA Extraction**

Three sampling sites near the University of Punjab in Lahore, Pakistan were selected based on their longstanding history (>40 years) of industrial waste disposal primarily from the chrome tanning industry. No specific permits were required for the sampling of these selected sample sites, and they were not privately owned or protected in any way. The sampling and studies performed also did not affect or involve any endangered or protected species in the area. The three sites selected were spatially separated by ~50–200 km and located within or near the cities of Lahore (Control: 31°44′44.24″, 74°15′54.61″; Contaminated: 31°44′44.22″, 74°15′52.92″), Sialkot (Control: 32°28′21.10″, 74°30′57.53″; Contaminated: 32°28′18.57″, 74°30′39.57″), and Kasur (Control: 31°06′33.89″, 74°27′24.45″; Contaminated: 31°06′18.53″, 74°27′34.17″) (see Table 1 for habitat type). We employed a paired sampling design, such that control soil samples were collected near contaminated sites (<0.5 km) and had similar soil properties. Contamination and soil type were taken into consideration and minimized as much as possible when choosing control and contaminated site pairs. Soil was collected from each site in 0.1 m² blocks using a sterile shovel, placed into gamma-sterilized polyethylene bags, transported on ice back to the laboratory, and stored at −20°C. Soil blocks were broken apart, homogenized and partitioned for DNA extraction and soil characterization. Soil texture, chemistry, and metal analyses were performed by the Pakistan Council Scientific and Industrial Research (PCSIR) (Lahore, Pakistan). Metals were extracted using concentrated HNO₃:HCl v/v. Soils were incubated for two hours (1 hr) with intermittent shaking. Soil texture was determined by particle size distribution ISO 11277:2009. DNA was extracted from 7.0 g of homogenized soil taken from the upper 20 cm of the block from each site using a MoBio PowerMax soil DNA isolation kit (MoBio, Carlsbad, CA, 92010, USA). DNA was precipitated and dried according to the manufacturer’s directions and then shipped to the University of Oklahoma for further analysis. All DNA was resuspended in 2.0 ml of sterile nuclease free water.

**Pyrosequencing and Quantitative PCR**

PCR libraries were generated using the modified 338 (5′-ACHCCTACGGGAWGGGWC-3′) forward and 518 (5′-CGM5GKGGCTGGCA-3′) reverse primers [25]. Modifications to the primer set were done according to Hamady et al. [36], which included: adding the B-adapter and a unique 8 base barcode to the 5′ end of the 518 reverse primer while the A-adapter was added to the 5′ end of the forward primer (sequences for A and B FLX adapters were taken from http://www.454.com). DNA was extracted from soil with distilled water and assayed spectrophotometrically at 540 nm using the diphenylcarbazide protocol [35]. Soil pH was measured by creating a soil slurry of distilled water and 10g soil, followed by room temperature incubation (1 hr) with intermittent shaking. Soil texture was determined by particle size distribution ISO 11277:2009. DNA was extracted from 7.0 g of homogenized soil taken from the upper 20 cm of the block from each site using a MoBio PowerMax soil DNA isolation kit (MoBio, Carlsbad, CA, 92010, USA). DNA was precipitated and dried according to the manufacturer’s directions and then shipped to the University of Oklahoma for further analysis. All DNA was resuspended in 2.0 ml of sterile nuclease free water.

Two volumes of 100% ethanol and 1/10 volume of 3M sodium acetate/ethanol method. Two volumes of 100% ethanol and 1/10 volume of 3M sodium acetate (pH = 4.5) were added to pooled PCR products, incubated at −80°C for 30 min, and centrifuged for 30 min at 4°C. PCR precipitate was dried and resuspended in 50 μl of sterile nuclease free water. Concentrated PCR products were screened using a 2% agarose gel and bands of appropriate size were extracted and recovered using a Freeze ‘N Squeeze DNA gel extraction spin column (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, 94547, USA). Purified products were quantified using a NanoDrop 1000 (NanoDrop products, Wilmington, DE, 19810, USA) and pooled at equal concentrations. PCR products were then sent to Engencore (http://engencore.sc.edu/) for pyrosequencing using FLX chemistry. A full description of Quantitative PCR (QPCR) methods for the universal bacterial primer set 338F–518R can be found in Sheik et al. [25]. Briefly, a plasmid standard containing the 338–518 16S rRNA gene fragment from Escherichia coli was quantified using a NanoDrop 1000 (NanoDrop Products), diluted to 10⁶ copies per μl and then ten-fold serially diluted. The serial dilutions (10⁻⁴–10⁶) were used to generate a standard curve to calculate the gene copy number in the environmental samples. QPCR was performed in triplicate on each soil using the Bio-Rad MyIQ real-time PCR system (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). Each reaction mixture (25-μl total volume) consisted of 12.5 μl IQ SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad), 9.5 μl of water, 1.0 μl (10 μM) of each primer, and 2.0 μl of diluted DNA. QPCR generally followed a standard two-step protocol consisting of 5 min at 95°C, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 56°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 30 s. Thermocycler protocols were optimized to achieve a qPCR amplification efficiencies of nearly ~3.3.
Table 1. Location and key geochernical data of contaminated and control study sites.

| Site                  | Contamination Status | pH    | As (mg kg\(^{-1}\)) | Cr (VI) (mg kg\(^{-1}\)) | Total Cr (g kg\(^{-1}\)) |
|-----------------------|----------------------|-------|----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Kala Shah Kaku        | Control              | 6.5   | BD\(^1\)             | BD                       | 3.82                     |
| Cr (VI) and As contam |                      | 7.4   | 6.6                  | 1.2                      | 6.24                     |
| Kasur                 | Control              | 6.4   | BD                   | BD                       | 1.61                     |
| Cr (VI) contam        |                      | 8     | BD                   | 4.21                     | 24.78                    |
| Sialkot               | Control              | 6.8   | BD                   | BD                       | 2.36                     |
| As contam             |                      | 7     | 13.9                 | BD                       | 0.23                     |

Additional soil and chemical analyses are presented in Table S1.

\(^1\) BD: Below detection. Detection limit for chromium is 0.004 mg kg\(^{-1}\) and 0.008 mg kg\(^{-1}\) for arsenic.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0040059.t001

Targeted PCR of ACR3 and arsB Genes from Soil Community DNA

Amplification of the gene encoding the ACR3 As (III) efflux pump was performed using two separate degenerate primer sets [14]. The primer set acr3F (5'-TGATCTGGGTATGACTCTTCCCATGAGTTGVT-3') and acr3R (5'-CCGCCCAGGCGCAGYTCTRAARARTT-3') was used to amplify the ACR3 gene from the subclade two-arsenite efflux pump. Primers darsb1F (5'-GGTTGGACATCGTCTGGAAYGC-NAC-3') and darsb1R (5'-CAGGCCCCTAGCACCACAGRTACTNCC-3') [14] were used on soil DNA to amplify the arsB genes from the community and isolate DNA. All described PCR reactions contained (final concentration): 0.2 μM of each primer, 1.5 U of Dreamtaq DNA polymerase (Fermentas, Glen Burnie, MA, USA), 1x Greenbuffer PCR buffer, 10 mM DNTPs and 2 μL of extracted soil DNA diluted 1/10 with nuclease free H₂O to alleviate inhibition. This mixture was then amplified with a 5 min denaturation at 94°C followed by 35 cycles of 94°C for 45 seconds, annealing at 57–52°C with a 0.5°C decrement per cycle during the first 10 cycles (45 seconds) and then 72°C for 30 seconds with a final extension of 72°C for 7 minutes [14]. All PCR products were purified using a PCR purification kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Purified PCR products were then digested with HaeIII (New England BioLabs, Ipswich, MA) at 37°C for 3 hours, and then the enzyme was inactivated at a temperature of 65°C for 15 minutes. The restriction digests were quality checked by gel electrophoresis before being sent for terminal fragment analysis (Microgen, Oklahoma City, OK). The internal size standards LIZ 600 (Applied Biosystems) were added by the sequencing facility prior to peak quantification.

Clone Libraries, Sequencing and Phylogenetic Analysis

Clone libraries were generated from the PCR amplicons of the ACR3 and arsB genes at each site. Clones were generated using a TOPO-TA clone kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) utilizing the optional blue/white screening test on LB media supplemented with ampicillin and S-Gal. Clones were randomly selected and checked for inserts by PCR prior to sequencing. Clone libraries, consisting of 48 clones for each gene and site, were replicated from stocks before being sequenced by Microgen (Microgen, Oklahoma City, OK). Sequencing was performed using an ABI 3730xl capillary sequencer (Applied Biosystems) using the RDP pyrosequencing pipeline (http://pyro.cme.msu.edu/index.jsp). Sequence reads were then imported into the phylogenetic software package Mothur version 1.14.0. 64 bit [39] (http://www.mothur.org) for OTU (Operational Taxonomic Unit) generation, diversity estimates and classification. Full descriptions of workflows can be found within the Mothur manual. Before alignment, sequences with more than eight homopolymer nucleotides and outside of our length requirement (140–180 bases) were removed. Within Mothur, sequences were aligned to the Silva core sequence set dendrograms using the Neighbor-Joining algorithm with the following parameters: Jukes-Cantor correction model for nucleotides and 1000 Bootstraps.

Analysis of ACR3 and arsB Genes from Isolated Organisms

Several arsenic tolerant bacterial isolates were cultured from arsenic contaminated sites in the same region as the original soil samples. DNA was extracted from these isolates and screened for the ACR3[2] as well as the arsB genes utilizing the PCR methods described above. Positive PCR reactions were sequenced. The sequences were then used in the phylogenetic analysis of the clone library for comparison to the data obtained from the community DNA.

T-RFLP Analysis of Diversity

DNA from each contaminated site was PCR amplified using methods outlined above with the darsB1R (5') primer was labeled with 6-carboxy-fluorescine (FAM). PCR products were screened by gel electrophoresis and subsequently purified using a PCR purification kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Purified PCR products were then digested with HaeIII (New England BioLabs, Ipswich, MA) at 37°C for 3 hours, and then the enzyme was inactivated at a temperature of 65°C for 15 minutes. The restriction digests were quality checked by gel electrophoresis before being sent for terminal fragment analysis (Microgen, Oklahoma City, OK). The internal size standards LIZ 600 (Applied Biosystems) were added by the sequencing facility prior to peak quantification. T-RFLP data were processed using the Peak Scanner software (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA, USA) to determine peak height and area. The diversity of T-RFLP patterns was estimated by calculating Shannon indices (Shannon Calculator, Chang Bioscience, Castro Valley, CA).

Data Processing of Pyrosequencing Reads

Raw pyrosequencing reads were binned by barcode, quality screened by using an average minimum quality score of twenty, and trimmed of primer sequence using the RDP pyrosequencing pipeline (http://pyro.cme.msu.edu/index.jsp). Sequence reads were then imported into the phylogenetic software package Mothur version 1.14.0. 64 bit [39] (http://www.mothur.org) for OTU (Operational Taxonomic Unit) generation, diversity estimates and classification. Full descriptions of workflows can be found within the Mothur manual. Before alignment, sequences with more than eight homopolymer nucleotides and outside of our length requirement (140–180 bases) were removed. Within Mothur, sequences were aligned to the Silva core sequence set
using the NAST algorithm [40]. Sequences were then chimera checked with Chimera Slayer, sequence distances were calculated with no penalization for end gaps, OTUs were clustered using the furthest neighbor algorithm and were then classified within Mothur using Greengenes taxonomy and the Silva database. OTU\textsubscript{0.03} (Species level Operational Taxonomic Units) taxonomy was obtained by consensus using a cutoff of 60%. Alpha diversity metrics (Abundance-based coverage estimator (ACE) [41], Chao (estimates total species richness) [42], Shannon Index (calculates diversity within a sample) [43], rarefaction curves and rarefied phylogenetic diversity) were also calculated with Mothur. In order to calculate beta diversity with UniFrac [44] and Faith’s phylogenetic diversity [45,46], a phylogenetic tree was generated with the program FastTree version 2.1 [47]. To build the phylogenetic tree OTU\textsubscript{0.03} from each sample were aligned to the Silva database and lane masked. UniFrac analysis was performed using the Fast UniFrac web interface [48]. Pearson correlation coefficients for environmental factors and diversity estimates were calculated in excel with the CORREL correlation function. Significance of the correlation was tested against a null Student’s t-test model using a two-tailed distribution to assess significance (P≤0.05) [49]. Redundancy analysis (RDA) was performed in R (http://cran.r-project.org/) using the vegan package [50] with normalized OTU abundance and environmental chemical data.

Pyrosequencing data has been deposited at NCBI Sequence Read Archive accession number SRA026044. Clone library sequences from ACR\textsubscript{B} and as\textsubscript{B} have been deposited in GenBank under the following accession numbers ACR\textsubscript{B} (JQ409060-JQ409100) and as\textsubscript{B} (JQ600478-JQ600496).

**Results**

**Geochemistry of Sampling Sites**

Contaminated soils differed in the presence and amounts of Cr (VI) and As (Table 1). Chromium was detected in all of the contaminated and control sites, the majority of which was Cr (III) (Table 1). Cr (VI) and As were not detected in any of the control soils. Despite the extensive use of both arsenic and chromium by the tanning industry, the combination of arsenic and chromium were each only detected in two of the three contaminated soils. The Kasur soil had the highest levels of Cr (VI) and total chromium, but undetectable levels of arsenic. The Sialkot soil on the other hand, contained the highest level of arsenic, but no detectable Cr (VI) and little total chromium. The Kala Shah Kaku soil contained high levels of Cr (VI), total chromium and arsenic. The Kala Shah Kaku and Kasur contaminated soils also had the highest pH, clay content, and total chromium levels (Table S1). Despite being spatially separated by 50–200 km, soil textures were similar with the exception of the contaminated Kala Shah Kaku and Kasur soils, which contained a higher silt and clay content than the other contaminated and uncontaminated soils (Table S1). Principal Components Analysis revealed that much of the variance in soil properties and chemistry between the contaminated soils was associated with pH, arsenic, total chromium, and Cr (VI) levels, while control soils were correlated with di and monovalent cations, bicarbonate, and phosphate concentrations (Figure 1). The pH of contaminated sites was slightly more alkaline (pH 7.0–8.0) than the paired control sites (6.4–6.8).

**Microbial Community Response to As and Cr Contamination Revealed by Pyrosequencing**

From the three-paired sampling sites, a total of 232,216 sequences were obtained after quality screening, resulting in an average of 15,000–28,000 sequence reads per sampling site (Table 2). One subsample from Kala Shah Kaku (1a) failed to generate enough sequence tags (approximately 1000) after the quality screening and was not further analyzed. Comparative analysis of the control and contaminated sites revealed several large shifts in the relative abundance of many of the dominant phyla. Uncontaminated sites all shared similar phyllum level profiles, whereby *Actinobacteria*, *Proteobacteria*, and *Chloroflexi* were the most abundant phyla present (Figure 2). This pattern is similar to phylum level profiles generated by other pyrosequencing studies of soil [23,25,51], suggesting that the chosen control sites provided an adequate baseline for comparison to contaminated soils. The contaminated soils all had a similar phylum-level abundance profile that was drastically different from their paired controls. In contrast to the control soils where *Actinobacteria* was the dominant phylum, *Proteobacteria* was the dominant phylum in contaminated soils (Figure 2). Within the *Proteobacteria*, *Alphaproteobacteria* or *Gammaproteobacteria* were the most abundant classes in all soils. In soils containing either As (Sialkot) or Cr (VI) (Kasur) only, *Alphaproteobacteria* was the most abundant class. However, when both As and Cr (VI) (Kala Shah Kaku) were present, *Gammaproteobacteria* was the most abundant class (Figure 2). *Betaproteobacteria* and *Deltoproteobacteria* and *Epsilonproteobacteria* were detected at all sites, but less abundant than *Alphaproteobacteria* or *Gammaproteobacteria* (Figure 2). *Chloroflexi* were more abundant than *Acidobacteria* in control soils (Figure 2). In contaminated soils with Cr (VI) (Kala Shah Kaku and Kasur), *Acidobacteria* were rare members of the community constituting less than 1% of their respective libraries. In Sialkot soils contaminated with arsenic but not Cr (VI), *Acidobacteria* was more abundant than its paired control. Several novel proteobacterial groups MND1, LO133, and WJ2 were identified by redundancy analysis (RDA) to respond significantly to the contamination (Figure 1). These groups have not been identified previously to respond to contamination; however, groups such as MND1 have been found in other contaminated environments [52]. Interestingly, many of these groups corresponded more to the presence of arsenic than chromium.

Quantification of total bacterial 16S rRNA gene copies using qPCR showed that the contaminated sites in general had higher 16S rRNA gene copy abundance than did their paired controls (Table 2). Soils with Cr (VI) (Kasur and Kala Shah Kaku) had the highest 16S rRNA gene copy abundance and showed the greatest disparity in 16S rRNA gene copy number compared to their paired control (~1.5–2 log fold differences) (Table 2).

**Shifts in Diversity Due to Contamination**

The contaminated sites all showed a 14 to 38% reduction in the number of total OTUs\textsubscript{0.03} relative to the paired controls when corrected for the total number of sequences in each library (Table 2). The presence of both As and Cr (VI) together had the greatest effect on diversity, whereby a 38% reduction in alpha diversity was observed at the Kala Shah Kaku contaminated site. In contrast, the diversity in the Sialkot contaminated soil, which had arsenic only and in the Kasur contaminated soil, which had Cr (VI) only, declined by 14% and 24%, respectively (Table 2). Calculation of species richness (Chao), evenness (ACE), and diversity (Shannon) indices all confirmed the decrease in diversity within the contaminated soils when compared to control sites (Table 2). Species richness estimators are known to be sensitive to
Figure 1. Redundancy analysis (RDA) of soil geochemical data and identification of significant Proteobacterial clades. Geochemical data from Table S1 were used to generate PCA and OTU abundance was used to generate the RDA. Factors and bacterial groups that had little influence on any of the sites (i.e. clustered near the center axis) or were similarly clustered were removed for clarity.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0040059.g001

Table 2. Pyrosequencing results, diversity estimates, and 16S rRNA gene copy abundance for each sampling site.

| Site          | Contamination Status | Total Sequences | Total OTUs | Diversity Estimates | 16S rRNA Abundance |
|---------------|-----------------------|-----------------|------------|--------------------|--------------------|
|               |                       |                 |            |                    |                    |
| Kala Shah Kaku| Control               | 15610±2730      | 6095±544   | 26008±1817         | 7.9±0.01           |
|               | Cr (VI) + As          | 20907±0         | 3191±0     | 9597±0             | 8.89±0.13          |
|               | contaminated          |                 |            |                    |                    |
| Kasur         | Control               | 21745±4737      | 6555±1076  | 23970±3825         | 7.5±0.12           |
|               | Cr (VI) contaminated   | 16221±1576      | 3754±354   | 15661±868          | 6.4±0.11           |
| Sialkot       | Control               | 28102±3860      | 8057±547   | 28055±706          | 7.7±0.01           |
|               | As contaminated        | 23979±315       | 5758±567   | 20483±3472         | 7.3±0.11           |

1Diversity Estimators Abbreviations: Abundance-based Coverage Estimator (ACE), Chao’s species richness estimator, and Shannon-Weiner Index.

2Species level, 97% similarity threshold used to define Operational Taxonomic Units (OTUs).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0040059.t002
sample size [51,53] however, rarefaction curves corroborated the results observed with species richness estimators. Thus exposure to arsenic, Cr (VI), and the combination of the two likely caused a marked reduction in microbial diversity as is clearly shown in rarefaction curves (Figure 3a). The decline in microbial diversity was not solely due to unequal sampling as Faith’s phylogenetic diversity (PD) is less sensitive to sampling size [54]. Phylogenetic diversity in addition to being less sensitive to sampling effort also incorporates phylogeny of OTUs such that closely related OTUs are considered redundant. Thus, a community with a larger PD will have a more phylogenetically dispersed community [54]. And Cr (VI) had the greatest effect on PD, decreasing it by 55% (Figure 3b). The second largest decrease in Faith’s phylogenetic diversity occurred in Kasur soils, which were contaminated primarily with Cr (VI). In the arsenic-contaminated soil (Sialkot) only a 12% reduction in Faith’s phylogenetic diversity was observed, while total diversity was not (Figure 3b).

To test which environmental factors were contributing to the variation in microbial diversity, Pearson correlation coefficients were calculated. Total chromium, Cr (VI), and pH, were all significantly-negatively correlated with Chao, ACE, and Shannon metrics (P<0.05, N=9) (Table 3, correlation coefficients are in

**Figure 2. Phylogenetic distribution of the dominant phyla identified by pyrosequencing.** The dominant phyla identified in pyrosequencing libraries are ordered by relative dominance in the pyrosequencing libraries. Each sampling site is represented by color and order is consistent for all phyla. Distribution of proteobacterial classes is described in the inset panel. Abundance was based on the total number of proteobacterial sequences recovered at each site. Little variation between sub-samples for each site was observed thus error bars were left out of figure for clarity.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0040059.g002
Table 3. Effect of metal contamination, pH and soil organic matter on diversity and evenness metrics of total soil communities.

| α-Diversity Metric | pH  | Organic Matter | As  | Cr (total) | Cr (IV) |
|--------------------|-----|----------------|-----|------------|---------|
| Chao               | ns  | ns             | *   | ns         | ns      |
| ACE                | ns  | ns             | *   | *          | *       |
| Shannon            | ns  | ns             | *   | ns         | ns      |
| Phylo Diversity    | ns  | ns             | ns  | ns         | ns      |

1 Significance was assessed using a two-tailed t-test (n = 9) and p-values are represented by: * ≤ 0.05, ** ≤ 0.01, and *** ≤ 0.001.

2 Abbreviations: Abundance-based Coverage Estimator (ACE), Chao’s species richness estimator, Shannon-Weiner Index, Faith’s Phylogenetic Diversity, and ns, not significantly different.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0040059.t003

In contaminated soils, the diversity of ACR3-like genes was much higher than expected, and likely under sampled. Interestingly, few ACR3-like OTUs were shared across our three study sites but those that were shared were dominant at all three sites.
Much like 16S libraries, many of the ACR3-like OTUs were categorized as rare (i.e. OTUs containing one to two representative sequences), and represent the bulk of the library. Furthermore many of these OTUs form unique clades including ACR3PAK17, which is loosely associated with *Hyphomonas neptunium*. Even more intriguing, these sequences were divergent from other ACR3 genes from isolated organisms, suggesting that these genes may represent novel uncultivated organisms.

We sequenced 53 clones from the *arsB* gene clone library. A number of the clones were shown to have little homology to *arsB* based on Blast analysis. Among the clones shown to be *arsB* homologs, a total of 9 OTUs were recovered and the library differed from the ACR3 library in that it contained several dominant *arsB*-like OTUs that were unique to each individual site (Figure 6). Of these OTUs, 8 were detected in the Sialkot (As) site (22 sequences), and only one was detected in the Kasur site (2 sequences). No *arsB* OTUs were recovered from Kala Shah Kaku soil. In addition, no OTUs were shared amongst all three sites. In the Sialkot (As) *arsB* library a single OTU, *arsBPAK2*, dominated the library and represented 32% of the total Sialkot clones. *arsBPAK2* is loosely affiliated within a clade of other *arsB* OTUs which include the gene from halophilic *Halomonas elongata*. Interestingly, *Halomonas*-like sequences were also detected in pyrosequencing libraries.

**ACR3 and arsB Sequences from Isolates**

In order to isolate As resistant strains from contaminated soils, 1 gram of contaminated soil from study sites near Kala (Sheikhu-pura) and Sialkot was serially diluted in 0.1% sterile saline and plated onto nutrient agar supplemented with 5 mM sodium arsenate. Diverse colony morphologies were chosen for further isolation and screened for arsenic resistance on Davis minimal broth [55] supplemented with 5 mM arsenic. A total of seven As resistant isolates (Table 4) were cultivated from contaminated soils near sites assessed by pyrosequencing. These were then screened for the presence of *ACR3* and *arsB* genes (Table 4). All isolates obtained belong to the *Alphaproteobacteria* and *Gammaproteobacteria*. Only one isolate (AIK-3) contained both the *ACR3* and *arsB* genes. None of the *ACR3* or *arsB* genes from isolates were similar to any of the OTUs obtained from clone libraries. Phylogeny of each isolate’s 16S rRNA gene and *ACR3* or *arsB* genes did not agree, which reaffirms that arsenic resistance genes are highly mobile with the microbial community.

**T-RFLP Analysis and Diversity Analysis**

As was observed in the *ACR3* clone analysis, T-RFLP results showed the *ACR3* gene was quite diverse when all sites were considered. However patterns of diversity were distinct for each site and inversely correlated with increasing arsenic concentrations (Figure 7). Diversity of *arsB* through T-RFLP was not included in this study due to the apparent non-specific amplification from the *arsB* primer set. Thus, the diversity of *arsB* genes was determined only from clone libraries and shows a positive increase in diversity as arsenic concentrations increase (Figure 6). However, due to the low recovery of *arsB* sequences from clone libraries, we add the caveat that further sequencing or development of more specific primers are needed to fully elucidate the *arsB* diversity pattern.

**Discussion**

In Pakistan, the extensive use of chromium and arsenic by the leather tanning industry and historical lack of environmental regulation has left soils near metropolitan areas inundated with these hazardous metal contaminants. Bioremediation strategies targeting metal transforming bacteria are often chosen due to their relatively low cost and environmental impact when compared to chemical treatments [56]. However, stimulating microbially mediated metal reduction will have competing outcomes. Although the reduction of Cr (VI) to Cr (III) leads to detoxification and precipitation, As (III) is more mobile and toxic than As (V). Thus, it is important to understand the effects of long term arsenic and chromium contamination on the resident microbial communities to develop effective remediation strategies.

Alpha diversity (i.e. the species richness and evenness within a sample) of microbial communities has often been correlated with ecosystem stability and functionality [57,58]. In our study, we observed a marked reduction in bacterial alpha diversity (Shannon Index) in each of our contaminated soils relative to their paired
Reduction in alpha diversity has been shown in metal contaminated sediments for *Archaea* [34] and in soils for *Bacteria* [1]. Odum [59] suggested that the reduction in diversity is rooted in the organisms’ inability to physiologically cope with the stressor and, in these soils, the presence of both Cr (VI) and arsenic had the most pronounced effect on diversity (Figure 2). Furthermore, soils where Cr (VI) was present (Kala Shah Kaku and Kasur) saw a marked reduction in phylogenetic diversity especially when compared to the soil containing only As (Sialkot) (Figure 3). The reduction in alpha diversity was likely not pH dependent as pH differences were relatively small between As and Cr contaminated soils. Significant correlations with pH and alpha diversity were not due to shifts in pH but rather the discernable difference between controls and contaminated soils (Table 3). Furthermore, since both control and contaminated soils had circa-neutral pH, large differences in alpha diversity would not be anticipated as neutral pH soils have been shown to be relatively similar in total diversity [33]. Thus, the reduction in total diversity and phylogenetic diversity in the presence of either contaminant suggests that many microbial species could not cope with the long-term Cr (VI) or As stress. This may be because the resistance genes for chromium (VI) are less mobile throughout the community than arsenic resistance genes [30] or that chromium at the ambient concentrations exerts a higher level of stress on individual community members.

Phylogenetic analysis of species level OTUs (97% similarity cutoff for Operational Taxonomic Units) revealed a significant shift in dominance from *Actinobacteria* in control soils to *Proteobacteria*

![Figure 5. Phylogeny of ACR3 OTUs recovered from contaminated soil clone libraries.](https://www.plosone.org/doi/10.1371/journal.pone.0040059.g005)
in contaminated soils (Figure 3). The shift in phylum level dominance was independent of the site and suggests that Proteobacteria, as a group, may be the most metal tolerant organisms found at metal contaminated sites. Additionally, all except one (Rhodococcus) of the isolated As resistant species were determined to be Proteobacteria. Previous chromium and arsenic studies showed that Proteobacteria capable of metal transformation are routinely cultivated [8] and identified using molecular tools [60–62]. Additionally, Odum [59] suggests that r-selected organisms (rapidly reproducing), such as Proteobacteria [63,64], are favored after a stressor is applied to an ecosystem, which is perhaps a reason for their dominance. The distribution patterns of Proteobacteria differed between sites with either Alphaproteobacteria or Gammaproteobacteria being dominant (Figure 2) suggesting that these groups differentially respond to either chromium or arsenic. Several novel proteobacterial groups specifically LO11, MND1 and WJ2 were predominant in contaminated soils and heavily influenced by the presence of arsenic. Furthermore the occurrence of novel arsenic resistance gene clades (ACR3 and arsB) from contaminated sites implies the rare biosphere may harbor phylogenetically distinct resistance mechanisms. The presence of these groups suggests that novel microorganisms may play an important role in contaminated soils and that their presence may also provide a stabilizing element as these novel but abundant groups can be highly adapted to dealing with extreme environments [52].

Figure 6. Phylogeny of arsB OTUs recovered from contaminated clone libraries. Neighbor-joining tree showing relationships between the arsB clone library sequences, arsB genes from As-resistant isolates, and closely related sequences from the NCBI database. arsB sequences generated in this study are in bold and the inlaid graph shows the distribution of OTUs with more than 1 sequence. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0040059.g006
It is unclear whether the decrease of \textit{Actinobacteria} is attributed to chromium or arsenic toxicity. Some \textit{Actinobacteria} have been implicated in metal cycling [65], but the \textit{Actinobacteria} could have been negatively impacted by higher than normal soil moisture [66], as the contaminated soils were routinely exposed to waste effluents. The loss of \textit{Acidobacteria} in contaminated soils may stem from an increased pH rather than the presence of Cr (VI) as pH is known to be a strong regulator of \textit{Acidobacteria} abundance and diversity [67]. The loss of diversity among k-selected groups (highly adapted ecological groups) such as \textit{Actinobacteria} and \textit{Acidobacteria} [64] may ultimately decrease the stability of the system, particularly because these groups are thought to produce extracellular enzymes necessary for complex carbon degradation that likely supports growth of other microorganisms [68,69]. Nevertheless, the prominent shift in phylogeny towards \textit{Proteobacteria} suggests that resistance to chromium and arsenic are widespread within this phylum, and that novel families within the \textit{Proteobacteria} may play important ecological roles.

It is clear that in these soils, chronic exposure to chromium and arsenic reduced diversity and shifted phylogeny (Figures 2 & 3 respectively). However, we were also interested in whether the structure of bacterial communities is conserved over a wide geographic area, especially in response to exposure to contaminants. Past work showed that few species are shared across spatially separated soils [24]. Yet environmental factors, such as pH [33] and salinity [70], have been shown to exert selective pressures on the microbial community, which increase the similarity between spatially isolated communities. In this study, the beta-diversity (i.e. community similarity between sites) of control soil communities was remarkably similar to each other despite being spatially separated. The bacterial communities in soils with Cr (VI) were more similar to each other than to the Sialkot bacterial community, whose soil contained As but not Cr (VI) (Figure 4). The similarity of the bacterial communities in Cr (VI) contaminated soils, despite their spatial isolation, suggests that chromium resistance may be acquired, as is the case with arsenic resistance. However, due to the highly oxidizing nature of Cr (VI), resistance may arise through non-specific interactions with proteins or secondary metabolites capable of donating electrons to Cr (VI). Thus, similar communities could arise if the genes

---

**Table 4.** Presence of \textit{arsB} and \textit{ACR3} in arsenic resistant isolates from Pakistani soils.

| Isolate ID | Most Similar Type Strain            | Similarity (%) | Site       | \textit{arsB} | \textit{ACR3} |
|------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|------------|--------------|--------------|
| SHB-6      | \textit{Enterobacter cloaca} str. ATCC 13047T | 99.4           | Sheikhupura | +            | –            |
| SHB-8      | \textit{Rhizobium selenireducens} str. B1 | 98.5           | Sheikhupura | –            | +            |
| SA-9       | \textit{Aeromonas punctata} str. NCIMB 13016 | 99.8           | Sialkot    | −            | +            |
| SH-A2      | \textit{Klebsiella pneumonia} str. ATCC 13884T | 98.3           | Sheikhupura | +            | –            |
| AIK-3      | \textit{Pseudomonas stutzeri} str. ATCC 17588 | 100            | Sialkot    | +            | +            |
| ME-1       | \textit{Pseudomonas stutzeri} str. ATCC 17588 | 100            | Sialkot    | +            | −            |
| ME-3       | \textit{Rhodococcus pyridinivorans} str. PD89 | 99.8           | Sialkot    | −            | +            |

1 Similarity assessed using RDP Seqmatch function on type strain sequences.

---

**Figure 7.** Diversity of \textit{ACR3} genes versus increasing arsenic concentrations. Graph shows the Shannon Weiner diversity indices for the clone libraries and T-RFLP results of the \textit{ACR3} gene, as well as the arsenic concentration of the three sample sites. The figure illustrates the diversity trends observed for \textit{ACR3} across the three sites, and how they relate to the most dominant OTU in the library. Shown are the diversity values for all three sites from Clone Library data, from T-RFLP data, and the abundance values for the most dominant OTU, ACR3PAK1.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0040059.g007
As and Cr Exposure Alters Microbial Communities

responsible for the formation of the proteins/metabolites are phylogenetically conserved at the genus and potentially family level, which is also a principal driver of beta diversity similarity. Furthermore, because chromium is essentially inert after reduction from oxidation state VI to III, specific and conserved efflux pumps that are commonly associated with arsenic resistance would not be required for chromium resistance.

The ACR3 gene was shown to have more singularly dominant OTUs (Figure 5) and less diversity (Figure 7) when exposed to higher arsenic concentrations. The drop in diversity of ACR3 genes at higher arsenic concentrations suggests that only a fraction of ACR3 proteins are able to function at the higher arsenic concentrations. A study done with arsenic-contaminated soils in China [71] showed that isolated bacteria containing ACR3 genes demonstrated a higher tolerance for arsenic compared to those containing only arsB. This suggests that high arsenic environments would favor ACR3, however, the high arsenic levels observed appeared to favor only a specific variant of ACR3, the ACR3PAK1 OTU. It is unclear from our data whether this dominance of a single ACR3 variant is due to an abundance of a single organism or if many organisms have acquired this variant from a horizontal gene exchange. Due to the previously mentioned limitations of the arsB primers, similar diversity analyses with clone libraries and T-RFLP could not be accurately obtained. However, an observed positive correlation between increasing arsenic concentrations and arsB diversity was observed. The differential response of ACR3 and arsB diversity under increasing arsenic concentration is puzzling as that long-term metal exposure has on the community structure, diversity and cell abundance. Despite being spatially isolated, soils exposed to chromium (VI) were similar in structure and each saw a large reduction in diversity. Interestingly, Cr (VI) presence appears to be a much stronger selector of community structure than As. Nonetheless, the marked reduction in microbial diversity across all contaminated soils and changes in phylogeny observed in the soils will likely have important effects on the ecosystem function and ultimately the restoration of the biome, as the physiological diversity of these soil microbial communities was also likely affected. However, inferring the functionality of the community is not possible at this point, as many of the bacteria identified in our study are uncultivated. The physiological response of these microbial communities as remediation and ultimately restoration of the biome proceeds will depend on the intrinsic genetic potential that still remains within the microbiome.
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