Detecting the Experience of a Swordsman and Sharpening Methods based on Bone Cut Marks: A Pilot Study
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**Abstract**

The study sought to identify and compare characteristics of cut marks produced by an experienced swordsman wielding a traditionally made and polished katana (using a slicing action) with those produced by an inexperienced swordsman (using a hacking action) on three different bone types (rib, flat, long), as well as to identify and compare striations in kerf walls produced by the different sharpening methods. Two different pig carcasses were struck (one carcass for each weapon type) and the resulting cut marks (experienced swordsman n=27; inexperienced n=32) were assessed and compared. The presence or absence of each of seven morphological characteristics were identified for each cut and recorded. A Scanning Electron Microscopic analysis was undertaken on all kerf walls using Mikrosol negative casts. The morphological characteristics of striations were compared clinically and statistically between the two weapon types.

Micro-curvature is more often present in cuts produced by an inexperienced swordsman compared to an experienced swordsman for the rib bones (70% and 27% respectively, p=0.09). For flat bones, flaking and feathering were identified only in cuts made by an inexperienced swordsman. For long bones, unilateral flaking was present in 50% of cuts produced by an inexperienced swordsman compared to only 10% of cuts made by an experienced swordsman (p=0.02) while scoop defect was present in 25% of cuts made by an inexperienced swordsman and absent in all cuts of experienced swordsman (p=0.27). Stiations produced by the traditionally polished/sharpened katana exhibited a smooth parallel step like pattern with a second smaller striation pattern on the top located along the step edge. Those produced by the display katana (factory machine sharpened) exhibited rough and mainly parallel striations with sharp edges.

The morphological diagnostic traits of katana cut marks and whether the cut marks were produced by a slicing or hacking action (experienced or inexperienced swordsman) or by a weapon sharpening method (traditional or factory) on different bone types will be of value to researchers in forensics and those investigating contemporary war crimes. The research to date in relation to cuts produced by a katana has concentrated on using long bones and the skull but has yet to include other bone types (flat bones, ribs), and has focused on obtaining 'the perfect' sample to obtain results, at the exclusion of imperfect cut marks which are most commonly evident in forensic cases. Furthermore, previous research has not examined differences between an experienced swordsman wielding a katana used in the correct manner (slicing action) to that of an inexperienced swordsman wielding a katana used in a non-traditional manner (hacking). As an experienced swordsman is trained to use the weapon in a traditional manner using a slicing action, it is expected that this action will be employed by an experienced swordsman regardless of whether the weapon was traditionally made or factory made. Similarly, an inexperienced swordsman is likely to have a 'natural' hacking action rather than an experienced slicing motion. The ability to identify whether a cut mark was produced by an experienced or inexperienced swordsman has the potential to further assist in the identification of the perpetrator in criminal investigations.

Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM), a tool regularly utilised in archaeology, is becoming more common in forensic experiments and has proven a valuable device in tool mark identification through identifying striations on kerf walls of bone caused by bladed weapons. The striations produced by a traditionally made and sharpened katana and those produced by a factory made and machine sharpened katana will also be examined.

The first aim was to devise an experiment that closely resembles a realistic forensic setting. The second aim was to compare the characteristics of cut marks made on bones by an experienced swordsman wielding a katana in a traditional manner with those made by an inexperienced swordsman wielding a katana in a non-traditional manner. Thirdly, it was of interest to determine if differences are present in kerf walls using SEM between cut marks made by the two different contemporary crime has led to the need to better identify cut marks made on bones by specific weapons and to develop standard investigation processes that may assist in the identification of a weapon type used in such crimes, particularly in the absence of eyewitness accounts. Hacking trauma caused by hacking implements such as machetes, cleavers and broad swords are relatively understudied [1-5]. Whilst the katana has been used in modern crime, particularly in the United Kingdom, it has been the subject of few studies, with all but one based on archaeological specimens [2,4,6-12]. The archaeological research identified cut marks made by a katana as being gashes, incisions and scratches [12], Lewis [3] examined hacking trauma caused by six types of hacking implements (including a katana) and identified eight characteristics (length, shape, flaking, feathering, cracking, breakage, shards, aspect) that assist in the identification of weapon class. Whilst hacking weapons can produce a wide range of morphologies, they are none the less distinguishable from other classes of bladed weapons. Previously identified characteristics of katana cut mark morphology include the presence of unilateral flaking, feathering and being ellipsoidal in shape.

The use of different weapons in war crimes, genocide and contemporary crime has led to the need to better identify cut marks made on bones by specific weapons and to develop standard investigation processes that may assist in the identification of a weapon type used in such crimes, particularly in the absence of eyewitness accounts. Hacking trauma caused by hacking implements such as machetes, cleavers and broad swords are relatively understudied [1-5]. Whilst the katana has been used in modern crime, particularly in the United Kingdom, it has been the subject of few studies, with all but one based on archaeological specimens [2,4,6-12]. The archaeological research identified cut marks made by a katana as being gashes, incisions and scratches [12], Lewis [3] examined hacking trauma caused by six types of hacking implements (including a katana) and identified eight characteristics (length, shape, flaking, feathering, cracking, breakage, shards, aspect) that assist in the identification of weapon class. Whilst hacking weapons can produce a wide range of morphologies, they are none the less distinguishable from other classes of bladed weapons. Previously identified characteristics of katana cut mark morphology include the presence of unilateral flaking, feathering and being ellipsoidal in shape.

The research to date in relation to cuts produced by a katana has concentrated on using long bones and the skull but has yet to include other bone types (flat bones, ribs), and has focused on obtaining 'the perfect' sample to obtain results, at the exclusion of imperfect cut marks which are most commonly evident in forensic cases. Furthermore, previous research has not examined differences between an experienced swordsman wielding a katana used in the correct manner (slicing action) to that of an inexperienced swordsman wielding a katana used in a non-traditional manner (hacking). As an experienced swordsman is trained to use the weapon in a traditional manner using a slicing action, it is expected that this action will be employed by an experienced swordsman regardless of whether the weapon was traditionally made or factory made. Similarly, an inexperienced swordsman is likely to have a 'natural' hacking action rather than an experienced slicing motion. The ability to identify whether a cut mark was produced by an experienced or inexperienced swordsman has the potential to further assist in the identification of the perpetrator in criminal investigations.

Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM), a tool regularly utilised in archaeology, is becoming more common in forensic experiments and has proven a valuable device in tool mark identification through identifying striations on kerf walls of bone caused by bladed weapons. The striations produced by a traditionally made and sharpened katana and those produced by a factory made and machine sharpened katana will also be examined.

The first aim was to devise an experiment that closely resembles a realistic forensic setting. The second aim was to compare the characteristics of cut marks made on bones by an experienced swordsman wielding a katana in a traditional manner with those made by an inexperienced swordsman wielding a katana in a non-traditional manner. Thirdly, it was of interest to determine if differences are present in kerf walls using SEM between cut marks made by the two different contemporary crime has led to the need to better identify cut marks made on bones by specific weapons and to develop standard investigation processes that may assist in the identification of a weapon type used in such crimes, particularly in the absence of eyewitness accounts. Hacking trauma caused by hacking implements such as machetes, cleavers and broad swords are relatively understudied [1-5]. Whilst the katana has been used in modern crime, particularly in the United Kingdom, it has been the subject of few studies, with all but one based on archaeological specimens [2,4,6-12]. The archaeological research identified cut marks made by a katana as being gashes, incisions and scratches [12], Lewis [3] examined hacking trauma caused by six types of hacking implements (including a katana) and identified eight characteristics (length, shape, flaking, feathering, cracking, breakage, shards, aspect) that assist in the identification of weapon class. Whilst hacking weapons can produce a wide range of morphologies, they are none the less distinguishable from other classes of bladed weapons. Previously identified characteristics of katana cut mark morphology include the presence of unilateral flaking, feathering and being ellipsoidal in shape.

The research to date in relation to cuts produced by a katana has concentrated on using long bones and the skull but has yet to include other bone types (flat bones, ribs), and has focused on obtaining 'the perfect' sample to obtain results, at the exclusion of imperfect cut marks which are most commonly evident in forensic cases. Furthermore, previous research has not examined differences between an experienced swordsman wielding a katana used in the correct manner (slicing action) to that of an inexperienced swordsman wielding a katana used in a non-traditional manner (hacking). As an experienced swordsman is trained to use the weapon in a traditional manner using a slicing action, it is expected that this action will be employed by an experienced swordsman regardless of whether the weapon was traditionally made or factory made. Similarly, an inexperienced swordsman is likely to have a 'natural' hacking action rather than an experienced slicing motion. The ability to identify whether a cut mark was produced by an experienced or inexperienced swordsman has the potential to further assist in the identification of the perpetrator in criminal investigations.

Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM), a tool regularly utilised in archaeology, is becoming more common in forensic experiments and has proven a valuable device in tool mark identification through identifying striations on kerf walls of bone caused by bladed weapons. The striations produced by a traditionally made and sharpened katana and those produced by a factory made and machine sharpened katana will also be examined.

The first aim was to devise an experiment that closely resembles a realistic forensic setting. The second aim was to compare the characteristics of cut marks made on bones by an experienced swordsman wielding a katana in a traditional manner with those made by an inexperienced swordsman wielding a katana in a non-traditional manner. Thirdly, it was of interest to determine if differences are present in kerf walls using SEM between cut marks made by the two different contemporary crime has led to the need to better identify cut marks made on bones by specific weapons and to develop standard investigation processes that may assist in the identification of a weapon type used in such crimes, particularly in the absence of eyewitness accounts. Hacking trauma caused by hacking implements such as machetes, cleavers and broad swords are relatively understudied [1-5]. Whilst the katana has been used in modern crime, particularly in the United Kingdom, it has been the subject of few studies, with all but one based on archaeological specimens [2,4,6-12]. The archaeological research identified cut marks made by a katana as being gashes, incisions and scratches [12], Lewis [3] examined hacking trauma caused by six types of hacking implements (including a katana) and identified eight characteristics (length, shape, flaking, feathering, cracking, breakage, shards, aspect) that assist in the identification of weapon class. Whilst hacking weapons can produce a wide range of morphologies, they are none the less distinguishable from other classes of bladed weapons. Previously identified characteristics of katana cut mark morphology include the presence of unilateral flaking, feathering and being ellipsoidal in shape.
weapon types (i.e. traditionally hand polished versus factory machine sharpened). Finally, as multiple bone types are often present in a forensic setting, three bone types were included in the research (long, ribs and flat) to examine and compare cut mark characteristics of the different bone types.

Materials and Methods

Juvenile domestic pig carcasses (Sus scrofa) were used as they are considered the closest representation of human flesh and bones and are most commonly used in forensic entomology (Dekeirsschieter et al., 2013). The sample included one whole carcass for each weapon and was purchased from the commercial sector and gutted the cavity then stuffed with styrofoam to simulate the soft tissue usually present. To ensure consistency of samples, all carcasses were male and weighed 45-50 kg. The carcasses were suspended from a stable frame to simulate a standing attack.

All cuts were included, regardless of their condition (fractured, broken, crushed or incomplete) as it is uncommon that a ‘perfect sample’ is obtained in a forensic setting where hacking trauma is present. The experienced swordsman (16 years as a swordsman, ranked fourth degree black belt, registered with the world Aikido headquarters, Japan) wielded a traditionally made to order katana that was traditionally polished (sharpened) and wielded in a traditional manner (slicing action). The inexperienced swordsman wielded a standard, internet purchased, mass produced display katana that was factory sharpened and wielded in a non-traditional manner (hacking action).

Each swordsman was instructed to produce at least 6 cuts to each of three bone types (ribs, flat, long bones), on one of two carcasses, producing a total of at least 18 cuts per carcass. The experienced swordsman had no difficulty producing the required sample size and the inexperienced swordsman had some difficulty with aiming and striking the carcass.

The cut bones were removed from the carcasses using a scalpel to remove the surrounding soft tissue, boiled in water to remove all remaining soft tissues and left to air dry for four weeks before assessment.

Visual observations of all cut marks were then undertaken and all characteristics noted and described. Each characteristic was recorded as absent or present.

Morphological characteristic comparison between bone types

Seven morphological characteristics were identified during the examination of the samples and are described in Table 1. Of these, two are consistent with those described by Lewis [3] and five new characteristics were identified. Each cut mark was assessed based on a morphological analysis which entailed identification of whether each characteristic was present or absent. Features such as cut length, width and depth were excluded as they were dependent on many other factors, including, but not limited to, the strength of the person wielding the weapon. The presence or absence of shards was also excluded as shards are typically easily lost during decomposition and the defleshing/cleaning process and shape was also excluded as this is considered a subjective trait when shape is not so clearly defined on bones that have been subjected to trauma/fractures.

Although some characteristics described in Table 1 have also been found to be present on cut marks made by other hacking implements [1,3], it is often possible to distinguish cut marks on bone produced by different large bladed hacking implements. For example, a machete produces a distinctive cut mark that may exhibit flaking, feathering and/or a scoop defect, but also chattering (a common machete trait). Whilst hacking weapons can produce a wide range of morphologies, they are none the less distinguishable from other classes of bladed weapons and often within their own class.

Both kerf walls (obtuse or inner side and the acute or outer sides of cuts) were examined using both macro and micro-analysis to identify cut characteristics. Mikrosil casts were taken of both kerf walls of all cuts and subjected to Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM). Samples were mounted on aluminium studs and coated with gold. The SEM was undertaken at The University of Newcastle, Electron Microscope and X-Ray Unit (NSW, Australia). The SEM analysis was guided by previous SEM analysis of bladed weapon cut marks on bone [14-17]. An initial analysis was undertaken using the Philips XL30 SEM + Oxford ISIS EDS utilising the SE (secondary electron) to allow for rudimentary comparison. The specimens exhibiting the clearest characteristics from each bone type were re-examined using the Zeiss Sigma VP FESEM + Bruker light element SSD EDS detector, again using the SE detector. The analysis was undertaken at varying working distances in order to obtain a clear image for comparative analysis.

Statistical analysis

Cut characteristics were compared between weapon types using counts and percentages. Bivariate associations of weapon type by cut characteristics were assessed statistically using Pearson’s chi-square tests of independence and Fisher’s exact tests when the assumptions of Pearson’s chi-square test were not met.

Cluster analyses were also used to assess multiple characteristics simultaneously in an attempt to develop a profile of cut characteristics to determine if characteristics were naturally clustered based on the experience or lack of experience of a swordsman. This analysis

| Feature       | Description                                                                 |
|---------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Flaking       | Records the breaking off of pieces of bone next to the mark, is defined by a flake scar present or a flake piece that fits the scar. |
| Feathering    | Records the lateral feathering, or rising up, of the external bone in a type of feathering pattern, and is still attached |
| Peeling       | Records the lateral raising or peeling away of the external bone surface next to the cut mark and is still attached to the bone. An infraction (incomplete fracture) that is still attached at an unnatural angle |
| Micro-peeling | Records the micro lateral raising or peeling away of the external bone surface along the entry the cut mark and is still attached to the bone |
| Micro-curvature | Records the uniform micro curvature of bone at the entry                  |
| Scoop defect  | Records the presence of a fragment or wedge of bone removed during the removal of the blade, resulting in a concave defect with multiple facets |
| Exit notch    | Records the presence of a fragment or wedge of bone removed at the posterior of a complete cut mark |

Table 1: Cut mark characteristics.
will help ascertain whether certain cut trait characteristics better discriminate between the experienced and inexperienced swordsman. The two-stage cluster analysis method was employed (Everitt et al. 2011) with the Akaike Information Criterion used to select the most appropriate number of clusters. As the two-step cluster procedure was applied to categorical variables for the present study (experienced or inexperienced swordsman and the presence or absence of each cut trait), the log-likelihood measure was used to model the distances between the categorical variables. Cluster analysis does not differentiate between explanatory and response variables so all the variables from the first phase was used in the second phase to determine whether the clusters naturally group trait characteristics according to the experience of a swordsman. All statistical analyses were conducted using the statistical package SPSS v.23.0 [19].

**Results**

The experienced swordsman produced a total of 27 cut marks (11 rib; 6 flat; 10 long) and the inexperienced swordsman produced a total of 32 cut marks (10 rib; 0 flat; 2 long). Table 1 lists and describes the identified diagnostic morphological traits that that were examined.

**Morphological comparisons**

**Rib bone:** Micro-curvature was more than twice as likely present in cuts made by an inexperienced swordsman (70%) compared to an experienced swordsman (27%) (p = 0.09) (Table 2). Flaking was only present in cuts made by the experienced swordsman (18%), whilst feathering and exit notch were slightly more likely present for the inexperienced swordsman compared with the experienced (10% vs 0 and 100% vs 82% respectively); however, none of these cut mark characteristics were statistically significantly different at the 5% significance level nor clinically significantly different based on swordsman experience (Table 2). Peeling, chattering, micro-peeling and scoop defect were absent in cuts produced by all swordsmen so were therefore not useful for distinguishing between an experienced and inexperienced swordsman for the rib bone.

**Flat Bone:** Examining the differences between an experienced and inexperienced swordsman for the flat bone (Table 2), flaking was more likely present among the inexperienced compared with the experienced swordsmen (30% vs 0; p = 0.25). Feathering and peeling were present only for the inexperienced swordsman (20% and 10% respectively), although neither these nor any remaining characteristics were statistically nor clinically significantly different in terms of their occurrence based on experience (p > 0.05). The remaining traits, consistent with the rib bone, were absent or mostly absent for all swordsmen (figures not displayed in table) while exit notch was present for all cuts and thus also not considered useful for identifying experience.

**Long Bone:** Unilateral flaking was present in 50% of cut marks produced by an inexperienced swordsman compared with only

| Bone   | Cut Characteristic | Experienced n (%) | Inexperienced n (%) | P-value* |
|--------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|----------|
| RIB    |                     |                   |                     |          |
|        | Flaking             |                   |                     |          |
|        | unilateral          | 2 (18)            | 0                   | 0.48     |
|        | absent              | 9 (82)            | 10 (100)            |          |
|        | Feathering          |                   |                     |          |
|        | unilateral          | 0                 | 1 (10)              | 0.48     |
|        | absent              | 11 (100)          | 9 (90)              |          |
|        | Micro curvature     |                   |                     |          |
|        | unilateral          | 3 (27)            | 7 (70)              | 0.09     |
|        | absent              | 8 (73)            | 3 (30)              |          |
|        | Exit notch          |                   |                     |          |
|        | present             | 9 (82)            | 10 (100)            | 0.48     |
|        | absent              | 2 (18)            | 0                   |          |
|        | Flaking             |                   |                     |          |
|        | unilateral          | 0                 | 3 (30)              | 0.25     |
|        | absent              | 6 (100)           | 7 (70)              |          |
|        | Feathering          |                   |                     |          |
|        | unilateral          | 0                 | 2 (20)              | 0.5      |
|        | absent              | 6 (100)           | 8 (80)              |          |
|        | Peeling             |                   |                     |          |
|        | unilateral          | 0                 | 1 (10)              | 1        |
|        | absent              | 6 (100)           | 9 (90)              |          |
|        | Micro curvature     |                   |                     |          |
|        | unilateral          | 2 (33)            | 3 (30)              | 1        |
|        | absent              | 4 (67)            | 7 (70)              |          |
| FLAT   |                     |                   |                     |          |
|        | Flaking             |                   |                     |          |
|        | unilateral          | 1 (10)            | 6 (50)              | 0.02     |
|        | absent              | 9 (90)            | 6 (50)              |          |
|        | Feathering          |                   |                     |          |
|        | unilateral          | 1 (10)            | 1 (8)               | 1        |
|        | absent              | 9 (90)            | 11 (92)             |          |
|        | Peeling             |                   |                     |          |
|        | unilateral          | 1 (10)            | 0                   | 0.45     |
|        | absent              | 9 (90)            | 12 (100)            |          |
|        | Micro peeling       |                   |                     |          |
|        | unilateral          | 0                 | 1 (8)               | 1        |
|        | absent              | 10 (100)          | 11 (92)             |          |
|        | Micro curvature     |                   |                     |          |
|        | unilateral          | 1 (10)            | 4 (33)              | 0.32     |
|        | absent              | 9 (90)            | 8 (67)              |          |
|        | Scoop defect        |                   |                     |          |
|        | present             | 0                 | 3 (25)              | 0.22     |
|        | absent              | 10 (100)          | 9 (75)              |          |
|        | Exit notch          |                   |                     |          |
|        | bilateral           | 9 (90)            | 12 (100)            | 0.45     |
|        | absent              | 1 (10)            | 0                   |          |

*P-value resulting from the application of Fisher’s exact test

Table 2: Cross-Tabulation of weapon type (experience versus inexperienced) by cut characteristic.
10% of cuts made by an experienced swordsman ($p = 0.07$) (Table 2). Scoop defect, however, was only present in cuts made by the inexperienced swordsman (25%, $p = 0.22$). Micro-curvature, although not statistically significant, was present in 33% of cut marks produced by an inexperienced swordsman and in only 10% of cuts produced by an experienced swordsman ($p = 0.32$). This difference was considered clinically significant with respect to helping to identify experience. Exit notch was similar in prevalence based on experience ($p=0.45$), consistent with the other two bones. Chattering, peeling and micro-peeling were mostly absent in cut marks produced by all swordsmen.

A cluster analysis further assisted with summarizing and highlighting the overall variable importance of cut mark characteristics based on experience. Applying the two-stage cluster analysis resulted in two clusters being identified for the rib bone (Table 3). The silhouette measure of cohesion and separation of 60% implied moderate discriminative ability between the two cluster groups. The groups differed significantly based on micro-curvature, with micro-curvature present only in cluster 2. Flaking for cluster 1 was more likely present compared with cluster 2; although this effect was marginal (cluster importance 0.15); while exit notch was more likely present in cluster 2 (marginal effect: cluster importance 0.15); while the swordsman was more likely experienced in cluster 1 and inexperienced in cluster 2 (cluster importance 0.24).

For the flat bone (Table 3), the silhouette measure of cohesion and separation of 70% implied good discriminative ability between cluster groups. The two cluster groups differed significantly from each other on weapon type, with all the experienced swordsmen included in cluster 1 and all the inexperienced in cluster 2. Flaking and feathering were only present for cluster 2 (inexperienced swordsmen) (30% and 20% of the time, respectively). After weapon type, flaking contributed the most to clusters (24%), followed by flaking and notch (both 15%), supporting micro-curvature as most likely present amongst the inexperienced swordsmen.

For the long bone (Table 3), the silhouette measure of cohesion and separation of 55% implied moderate discriminative ability between cluster groups. The two cluster groups differed significantly from each other on weapon type, with all the experienced swordsmen included in cluster 1 and all the inexperienced in cluster 2. Flaking and feathering were only present for cluster 2 (inexperienced swordsmen) (30% and 20% of the time, respectively). After weapon type, flaking contributed the most to clusters (24%), followed by flaking and notch (both 15%), supporting micro-curvature as most likely present amongst the inexperienced swordsmen.

Following the cluster analysis, the total variable importance of cut mark characteristics based on experience. Applying the two-stage cluster analysis resulted in two clusters being identified for the rib bone (Table 3). The silhouette measure of cohesion and separation of 60% implied moderate discriminative ability between the two cluster groups. The groups differed significantly based on micro-curvature, with micro-curvature present only in cluster 2. Flaking for cluster 1 was more likely present compared with cluster 2; although this effect was marginal (cluster importance 0.15); while exit notch was more likely present in cluster 2 (marginal effect: cluster importance 0.15); while the swordsman was more likely experienced in cluster 1 and inexperienced in cluster 2 (cluster importance 0.24). After weapon type, flaking contributed the most to clusters (24%), followed by flaking and notch (both 15%), supporting micro-curvature as most likely present amongst the inexperienced swordsmen.

For the flat bone (Table 3), the silhouette measure of cohesion and separation of 70% implied good discriminative ability between cluster groups. The two cluster groups differed significantly from each other on weapon type, with all the experienced swordsmen included in cluster 1 and all the inexperienced in cluster 2. Flaking and feathering were only present for cluster 2 (inexperienced swordsmen) (30% and 20% of the time, respectively). After weapon type, flaking contributed the most to clusters (24%), followed by flaking and notch (both 15%), supporting micro-curvature as most likely present amongst the inexperienced swordsmen.

Applying the cluster analysis to the long bone (Table 3), the silhouette measure of cohesion and separation of 55% implied moderate discriminative ability between cluster groups. The two cluster groups differed significantly from each other on weapon type, with all the experienced swordsmen included in cluster 1 and all the inexperienced in cluster 2. Flaking and feathering were only present for cluster 2 (inexperienced swordsmen) (30% and 20% of the time, respectively). After weapon type, flaking contributed the most to clusters (24%), followed by flaking and notch (both 15%), supporting micro-curvature as most likely present amongst the inexperienced swordsmen.

### Table 3: Results of applying the Two-Stage Cluster Analysis to the Rib, Flat and Long Bones.

| Trait         | Cluster Number | Cluster Importance |
|---------------|----------------|--------------------|
| **Rib**       |                |                    |
| Weapon        |                |                    |
| Experienced Swordman (73%) | Cluster 1 | Presence of Trait (%) | Inexperienced Swordman (70%) | Cluster 2 | Presence of Trait (%) |
| Curvature     | 0              | 100%               | 1                              |
| Flaking       | 18%            | 0                   | 0.15                           |
| Notch         | 82%            | 100%                | 0.15                           |
| Trait         | 1 (n=6)        | 2 (n=10)            |                                |
| **Flat**      |                |                    |
| Weapon        |                |                    |
| Experienced Swordman (100%) | Cluster 1 | Presence of Trait (%) | Inexperienced Swordman (100%) | Cluster 2 | Presence of Trait (%) |
| Flaking       | 0              | 30%                 | 0.21                           |
| Feathering    | 0              | 20%                 | 0.15                           |
| Trait         | 1 (n=10)       | 2 (n=12)            |                                |
| **Long**      |                |                    |
| Weapon        |                |                    |
| Experienced Swordman (60%) | Cluster 1 | Presence of Trait (%) | Inexperienced Swordman (86%) | Cluster 2 | Presence of Trait (%) |
| Flaking       | 0              | 100%                | 1                              |
| Curvature     | 33%            | 0                   | 0.19                           |
| Scoop         | 0              | 43%                 | 0.39                           |

**Scanning Electron Microscopic (SEM) analysis**

Striations caused by an experienced swordsman using a traditionally made and sharpened katana produced a smooth parallel step like pattern (Figures 1 and 2). These patterns were present on the cortical bone in all three bone types.

Further examination also revealed additional striations on top of the first striations along the step edge (Figures 3 and 4). These are also parallel but smaller spaces between each line. This is likely due to the polishing/sharpening methods used to sharpen a traditional sword.

Striations produced by the inexperienced swordsman using a typical manufactured factory sharpened display katana produced very different patterns. Mainly parallel, the striations are rough in appearance and have a sharp/pointed edge (Figures 5 and 6). These lines are not as clear as the experienced swordsman lines and are likely due to the factory sharpening methods. These patterns are present on the cortical bone of all three bone types.

This pattern is also evident in the cortical bone of those ribs where the cortical bone survives the hacking and cleaning process (Figures 7 and 8). All spongy bone was also examined under SEM and no clear striations were evident in any of the samples.

**Discussion**

Visual observations of the cut marks made to ribs, flat and long bones reveal correlations based on the experience of the swordsman and consequently the manner in which the katana is wielded to cut mark morphology, while the method of sharpening is evident in the striations. Seven characteristics of a cut produced by a katana include flaking, feathering, peeling, micro-peeling, micro-curvature, a scoop defect and an exit notch. Of those, micro-curvature was consistently produced by an inexperienced swordsman using a hacking motion. In addition to micro-curvature, the presence of unilateral flaking and a scoop defect

---
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Figure 1: Experienced #13 (long bone) inner cut.

Figure 2: Experienced #10 (rib bone) inner cut.

Figure 3: Experienced #13 inner cut.

Figure 4: Experienced #13 inner cut.

Figure 5: Inexperienced #15 (long bone) inner cut.

Figure 6: Inexperienced #15 outer cut.
The small sample size is a limiting factor in the research. Based on the observed sample sizes, a power of 80% and a significance level of 5%, the corresponding statistical tests have the ability to detect differences of at least 40% as statistically significant between weapon types for each bone. This implies that differences below these figures may not be picked up as being statistically significant by the associated statistical tests so clinical differences or effect sizes were also an important emphasis of this research. This study can be considered an important pilot study in an area that has had very limited research to date.

Conclusion

It is possible to distinguish cut marks on bone produced by different large bladed hacking implements. Whilst hacking weapons can produce a wide range of morphologies, they are distinguishable from other classes of bladed weapons and often within their own class. A katana is distinguished by the presence of one or all seven characteristics identified in this research. The presence of micro-curvature is a characteristic produced by an inexperienced swordsman and the rough striations with sharp edges is produced by a factory sharpened katana. While the slicing action of an experienced swordsman produced no specific characteristics identifying it, for cuts made by an experienced swordsman, the striations were unique and were able to identify the weapon as being traditionally polished/sharpened.

In the absence of eyewitness accounts, class and individual characteristics of tool marks may play a major role in weapon identification. This pilot study may further assist in the identification of a katana (traditional or display), trauma action (slicing or hacking) and/or possible sharpening techniques (traditional or factory) further assisting in weapon identification. Such identification has the potential to add another level of scientific inquiry when examining evidence at trials. Additionally, the identification of characteristics (both macro and micro) on flat and rib bones allows for such bones to be included in future research and forensic cases rather than excluded based on an assumption they are not likely to hold any useful information.
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