A New Strategy Based on Smrho Protein Loaded Chitosan Nanoparticles as a Candidate Oral Vaccine against Schistosomiasis
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Abstract

Background: Schistosomiasis is one of the most important neglected tropical diseases and an effective control is unlikely in the absence of improved sanitation and vaccination. A new approach of oral vaccination with alginate coated chitosan nanoparticles appears interesting because their great stability and the ease of target accessibility, besides of chitosan and alginate immunostimulatory properties. Here we propose a candidate vaccine based on the combination of chitosan-based nanoparticles containing the antigen SmRho and coated with sodium alginate.

Methods and Findings: Our results showed an efficient performance of protein loading of nanoparticles before and after coating with alginate. Characterization of the resulting nanoparticles reported a size around 430 nm and a negative zeta potential. In vitro release studies of protein showed great stability of coated nanoparticles in simulated gastric fluid (SGF) and simulated intestinal fluid (SIF). Further in vivo studies was performed with different formulations of chitosan nanoparticles and it showed that oral immunization was not able to induce high levels of antibodies, otherwise intramuscular immunization induced high levels of both subtypes IgG1 and IgG2a SmRho specific antibodies. Mice immunized with nanoparticles associated to CpG showed significant modulation of granuloma reaction. Mice from all groups immunized orally with nanoparticles presented significant levels of protection against infection challenge with S. mansoni worms, suggesting an important role of chitosan in inducing a protective immune response. Finally, mice immunized with nanoparticles associated with the antigen SmRho plus CpG had 38% of the granuloma area reduced and also presented 48% of protection against of S. mansoni infection.

Conclusions: Taken together, this results support this new strategy as an efficient delivery system and a potential vaccine against schistosomiasis.
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Introduction

Schistosomiasis remains one of the most prevalent diseases in the world and so a significant public health problem, especially in developing countries [1]. This parasitic disease affects more than 240 million people worldwide, with a further 700 million individuals living at risk of infection [2] and it causes up to 250000 deaths per year [3].

Current schistosomiasis control strategies are mainly based on chemotherapy but, despite decades of mass treatment, the number of infected people remains constant [4]. Extensive endemic areas and constant reinfestation of individuals, together with poor sanitary conditions in developing countries, make drug treatment alone inefficient [5]. Many consider that the best long-term strategy to control schistosomiasis is through immunization combined with drug treatment [6]. An anti-schistosomiasis vaccine that induces even a partial reduction in worm burdens could considerably reduce pathology and limit parasite transmission [7].

The current schistosoma vaccine candidates prove not to be the most effective, so it is important to identify new antigens and to explore alternative vaccination strategies, including new adjuvants to improve vaccine efficacy [8]. In schistosomiasis, there is evidence indicating the involvement of low molecular weight proteins that bind GTP (guanosine triphosphate) during the
process of maturation and deposition of eggs by the females of *S. mansoni* [9]. Over expression in female worms may be attributed to the involvement of Rho-GTPase in female reproduction processes, especially on vitelline cell maturation and/or egg laying. Immunolocalisation of *S. mansoni* Rho1 on the parenchymal cells surrounding the vitellaria adds support to this suggestion [10]. This brings an interest in understanding the role of this protein in immunological processes resulting from schistosomiasis and on the evaluation of its potential as a vaccine candidate. Considering that schistosome infection occurs predominantly in areas of rural poverty in sub-Saharan Africa, Southeast Asia and tropical regions of the Americas [11] a candidate vaccine that could be administered by oral route could offer an economical and effective solution to mass immunization. The main advantages presented by oral vaccine delivery are the target accessibility and enhanced patient compliance owing to the non-invasive delivery method. On the other hand, for effective oral immunization, antigens and plasmids must be protected from the acidic and proteolytic environment of the gastrointestinal tract and efficiently taken up by cells of the gut associated lymphoid tissue (GALT) [26].

With this in mind, several studies have been done and showed that the association of antigens with nanoparticles increases the internalization by M cells and prevents the degradation in the gastrointestinal (GI) tract [12]. Another important aspect is that these carrier systems can act as immunostimulants or adjuvants, enhancing the immunogenicity of weak antigens [13]. Biodegradable and mucoadhesive polymeric delivery systems seem to be the most promising candidates for mucosal vaccines. Several polymers of synthetic and natural origin, such as poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA), chitosan, alginate, gelatin, etc., have been exploited for efficient release of mucosal vaccines and significant results have been already obtained [14].

Chitosan is the deacetylated form of chitin and has many properties suitable for vaccine delivery. It is a mucoadhesive polymer, biodegradable and biocompatible. In particular, its ability to stimulate cells from the immune system has been shown in several studies [15,16,17,18]. Nevertheless, the ability of chitosan in inducing a Th1, Th2 or mixed responses is still controversial as also the type of immune response induced by different administration routes [19,20]. Additionally, chitosan is a cationic polymer, easily form complexes or nanoparticles in aqueous medium with the possibility to adsorb proteins, antigens and DNA [21] [22] that may protect them from degradation [23]. The oral administration of antigen adsorbed nanoparticles is demanding as processes like rapid antigen desorption from the particles or the attack of the antigens by enzymes or acidic substances from the GI fluids may occur. These obstacles may be overcome by coating those antigen loading particles with an acid resistant polymer, like sodium alginate [24].

Alginate coated chitosan nanoparticles was recently described [24], and it has the particular advantage of being constructed under very mild conditions (aqueous medium and mild agitation), which is a great benefit for the encapsulation of proteins, peptides and antigens. Moreover, Borges and co-workers [25] have demonstrated that these coated nanoparticles were able to be taken up by rat Peyer’s patches which is one of the essential features to internalize, deliver and target the intact antigen to specialized immune cells from the gut associated lymphoid tissue (GALT) [26].

Herein, we proposed to evaluate *in vitro* characteristics of chitosan nanoparticles associated to protein, for which the antigen Rho1-GTPase of *S. mansoni* was chosen, to be used as a candidate oral vaccine against schistosomiasis. Once *in vitro* characterization showed favorable data, its *in vivo* role was evaluated through mouse immunization. Added to that, chitosan was evaluated not only for its performance as a delivery system but also for its contribution due to its adjuvant properties.

Additionally, since a mixed Th1/Th2 response seems to be optimal for a schistosomiasis vaccine, then bacterial CpG motifs (which induce the production of IL-12 by DCs and macrophages that express the appropriate TLR95) can be used as adjuvants to boost immunity and also with the aim of inducing a TH1-like immune response that can prevent the normal Th1 to Th2 transition. With this in mind we investigated the co-administration of synthetic unmethylated oligodeoxynucleotides containing immunostimulatory CpG motifs (CpG ODN), a TLR-9 ligand, with chitosan nanoparticles.

With this in mind, on this work we will report a new strategy of vaccination against schistosomiasis based on chitosan nanoparticles associated to SmRho antigen plus the adjuvant CpG, and coated with sodium alginate.

### Materials and Methods

**Materials**

Chitosan (CH) (Chimarin DA 13%, apparent viscosity 8 mPa.s) was supplied by Medicarb, Sweden. CH was purified by filtration of an acidic chitosan solution and subsequent alkali precipitation (1 M NaOH). The purified polymer was characterized by gel permeation chromatography (GPC) and Fourier Transform-Infrared Spectroscopy (FT-IR). The average weight molecular weight of the material was found to be 1.2 x 10^5 (GPC in 0.5 M CH₃COOH - 0.2 M CH₃COONa, 25°C). The degree of acetylation determined by FT-IR according to Brugnerotto et al. [27] was found to be 16%. Endotoxin levels of the purified chitosan extracts were assessed according to Nakagawa et al. [28] using the Limulus amebocyte lysate (LAL) QCL- 1000 assay (Cambrex) and found to be lower than 0.1 EU/mL, respecting the US Department of Health and Human Services guidelines [29] for implantable devices. Imidazole modified chitosan (CHimi) was prepared as previously described [30] and it was used to prepare DNA chitosan particles, with the aim of obtaining higher rates of
transfection, as reported by Moreira and co-workers [31] and also by our group [31]. Low viscosity pharmaceutical grade sodium alginate was kindly donated by ISP Technologies Inc., Surrey, UK. Class C, CpG ODN (2395) (5’-tcgtcgttttcggcgc:gcgccg-3’) was purchased from InvivoGen (San Diego, CA, USA). All the others reagents used were of analytical grade.

Cloning and sequencing of SmRho cDNA

The SmRho cDNA sequence was amplified from an adult extract worm cDNA using specific oligonucleotides (Table 1) designed and used in a PCR reaction to amplify the complete open reading frame of SmRho (GenBank accession number AF140785). PCR was performed using Platinum Pfx enzyme (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, USA); the reaction was initiated with one cycle of 2 min at 94°C, followed by 25 cycles of 15 s at 94°C, 30 s at 56°C, and 1 min at 68°C and finalized with a step of 68°C of 3 min. PCR products were cloned by a BP recombination reaction into pDONR 221 cloning vector (Invitrogen, USA), according to manufacturer specifications. After producing the entry clone, a LR recombination reaction was performed with pDONR-rSmRho and pET-DEST42 to clone the full-length cDNA sequence of rSmRho into an expression vector (Invitrogen, USA), according to manufacturer instructions. The resulting plasmid was then sequenced to confirm its identity.

Expression and purification of recombinant SmRho

To produce a recombinant (r) SmRho, the full-length DNA sequence was cloned into the expression vector pDEST42 (to produce a protein that contains a C-terminal hexahistidine tag). The resulting plasmid was transformed into Escherichia coli BL21 pRARE for protein expression. The recombinant protein was then purified using HiTrap Chelating HP according to the manufacturer instructions. Some adaptations. Briefly, the solution of SmRho protein (1 μg/μL) was incubated with chitosan particles under mild agitation at 18°C. The loading efficacy of the uncoated particles was calculated by an indirect way, quantifying the protein that remained in solution. After 1 h of incubation, an aliquot of the particle suspension was centrifuged at 15700 g for 30 min and the protein in supernatant was quantified by BCA-protein assay (PIERCE, Rockford, USA) using a microplate reader with a 590 nm filter (Multiskan-EX 355). The absorbance reading value was corrected subtracting the average absorbance reading obtained in the BCA-protein assay from that one of the supernatants of unloaded nanoparticles prepared exactly in the same conditions. The correct OD value was then used to calculate the concentration using the standard curve prepared at same time from individual protein standards.

The drug loading efficiency (LE) were calculated from the following equation:

\[
LE(\%) = \frac{(\text{total amount of protein} - \text{non-bound protein})}{\text{total amount of protein}} \times 100
\]

Preparation of chitosan nanoparticles

Nanoparticles were prepared by mixing, while vortexing, equal volumes of protein-loaded particle suspension and 1% sodium alginate solution. The suspension of the particles was maintained under agitation with a magnetic stirrer for 20 min at 18°C. The suspension was then centrifuged for 30 min at 370 g and the supernatant was discarded. The chitosan nanoparticles in the supernatant were cross-linked with an aliquot of the chitosan particles re-suspended in 0.524 mM CaCl2 solution and kept under agitation for another 10 min.

Coating of the nanoparticles with sodium alginate

The coating was performed as described by Borges et al [24], with some adaptations. Briefly, the solution of SmRho protein (1 μg/μL) was incubated with chitosan particles under mild agitation at 18°C. The loading efficacy of the uncoated particles was calculated by an indirect way, quantifying the protein that remained in solution. After 1 h of incubation, an aliquot of the particle suspension was centrifuged at 15700 g for 30 min and the protein in supernatant was quantified by BCA-protein assay (PIERCE, Rockford, USA) using a microplate reader with a 590 nm filter (Multiskan-EX 355). The absorbance reading value was corrected subtracting the average absorbance reading obtained in the BCA-protein assay from that one of the supernatants of unloaded nanoparticles prepared exactly in the same conditions. The correct OD value was then used to calculate the concentration using the standard curve prepared at same time from individual protein standards.

The drug loading efficiency (LE) were calculated from the following equation:

\[
LE(\%) = \frac{(\text{total amount of protein} - \text{non-bound protein})}{\text{total amount of protein}} \times 100
\]
Preparation of coated SmRho-CpG-chitosan nanoparticles

SmRho+CpG ODN loaded alginate coated chitosan NPs were prepared by adding CpG and protein at same time during the loading process and before coating the nanoparticles. The loading of CpG with chitosan nanoparticles was assessed by electrophoresis in 1% (w/v) agarose (Cambrex) gel, with 0.05 μg/mL of ethidium bromide (Q-BioGene) and also by measuring the OD of the nanoparticle supernatants at 260 nm and calculate CpG by the difference. To eliminate background interference, the supernatant of unloaded particles were treated of the same way.

Characterization of the nanoparticles

**Size and zeta potential determinations.** To characterize the size and zeta potential, suspensions of particles loaded with SmRho protein were prepared as described above, with and without coating, and diluted in ultrapure water (Milli-Q, Millipore) to a final volume of 1 mL. The zeta potential and mean hydrodynamic size of the nanoparticles were assessed by using a Zetasizer Nano ZS (Malvern, UK). The Smoluchowski model was applied for determination of the zeta potential, and cumulant analysis was used for mean particle size determination. All measurements were performed in triplicate at 25°C.

**In vitro release studies.** The protein release from coated particles was performed in simulated intestinal fluid (SIF) and simulated gastric fluid (SGF). The nanoparticle suspensions were added (1:4) to individual tubes containing the release medium previously equilibrated at 37°C and placed in a shaker bath adjusted to 100 rpm. At appropriate time intervals, samples from each tube were collected and centrifuged for 15 min at 15700 g. The protein in supernatant was assayed with a BCA-protein assay. For each time evaluated, the samples were prepared in triplicate and a coated blank (without protein) nanoparticles suspension was submitted to the same conditions and was used as a blank for correction on the BCA-protein assay. In addition, non-bound protein in the alginate coated particle suspension was determined by BCA-protein assay in order to calculate the initial quantity of protein encapsulated in the beginning of the in vitro release study.

**Immunization studies**

**Mice and parasites.** Six-week-old female C57BL/6 mice were purchased from the Federal University of Minas Gerais (UFMG) animal facility. Animals had free access to food and water, with 12 h light/dark cycle.

*S. mansoni* cercariae were maintained routinely on Biomphalaria glabrata snails at the Center of Research Rene Rachou-Fiocruz (CPqRR). For infections, cercariae were prepared by exposing infected snails to light for 1 h to induce shedding. Cercariae numbers and viability were determined using a light microscope prior to infection.

**Treatment groups.** Six groups (n = 5) were submitted to different treatments, as is described in Table 2. In order to evaluate the adjuvant effect of chitosan, nanoparticles without protein were prepared (Group II). This property was also evaluated in the group VI which the antigen was administered, by intramuscular route, adsorbed in chitosan nanoparticles and coated with alginate, but without adding any other adjuvant commonly used. Coated SmRho-chitosan nanoparticles were also prepared with adding the adjuvant CpG in order to verify if it could potentiate the induced immune response and these nanoparticles were administered in groups V.

**Immunization schedule and collection of samples**

The different formulations were administered orally with a gavage-feeding needle (groups I–V) and intramuscularly with an injection in the tibialis anterior muscle (group VI). The primary immunization was followed by two immunizations with an interval of two weeks. Seven days after the last immunization mice were challenged and then, after 50 days mice were sacrificed.

Blood samples were collected from tail veins from mice of each experimental group at two-week intervals and the sera were prepared by centrifugation and stored at −20°C until further analysis. Serum was collected from immunized and control mice to measure kinetics of SmRho specific antibodies.

**Measurement of specific anti-SmRho antibodies**

A measurement of specific anti-SmRho antibodies was performed using an indirect enzyme-linked immunoabsorbent assay (ELISA) as described elsewhere [32]. Briefly, maxisorp 96-well microtiter plates (Nunc, Roskilde, Denmark) were coated with 10 μg/mL of SmRho in carbonate-bicarbonate buffer, pH 9.6, for 16 h at 4°C, followed by washes and then blocked for 1 h at 37°C with 200 μL/well of PBS-casein (phosphate buffer saline, pH 7.2 with 1.6% of casein). Next, 100 μL of serum sample from individual mice diluted 1:100 in PBS-casein was added to each well and was incubated for 1 h at 37°C. Plate-bound antibodies were detected by peroxidase-conjugated anti-mouse IgG (SIG-MA), IgG1 and IgG2a (Southern Biotechnology Associates, Inc., Birmingham, AL, USA) diluted 1:5000 in PBS with 0.25% casein. The plates were revealed by the addition of 100 μL of detection solution (R&D systems, Minneapolis, USA) containing tetramethylbenzidine (Thermo Scientific Pierce) and H2O2 in each well; after 20 min reactions were stopped with the addition of 50 μL of 3% (v/v) of sulfuric acid per well. The absorbance was read at 450 nm in an ELISA plate reader (ELX 800 BIO-TEK Instruments Inc.).

---

**Table 2. Treatment groups and the formulation administered to each one.**

| Administration route: | Oral | Oral | Oral | Oral | Intramuscular |
|----------------------|------|------|------|------|--------------|
| Groups (n = 5)        | I    | II   | III  | IV   | V            |
| PBS                  | X    | X    | X    | X    | X            |
| Chitosan NPs*        | X    |      |      |      |              |
| SmRho (solution)     | 50 μg|       |      |      |              |
| SmRho loaded alginate coated chitosan NPs | 50 μg |       | 50 μg |      |              |
| SmRho-CpGODN loaded alginate coated chitosan NPs | 50 μg+10 μg |

*NPs: Nanoparticles.
doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0001894.t002
Challenge infection and worm burden assessment

Seven days after the last immunization, mice were challenged through percutaneous exposure of abdominal skin to water containing 25 cercariae (LE strain) for one hour. Fifty days after challenge, mice were sacrificed and adult worms were perfused from their portal veins [33]. Protection was calculated by comparing the number of worms recovered from each vaccinated group compared with control group using the following equation:

$$\text{PROTECTION(\%)} = \left( \frac{[C-I]}{C} \right) \times 100, \quad (2)$$

where C represents the worms recovered from the saline control group and I represents the worms recovered from the experimental group.

Cytokine analysis

**Antigen preparation.** Antigenic preparations were obtained from schistosome eggs and adult worms, prepared as soluble supernatant fluids from buffered saline homogenates of the respective life-cycle stages [34]. Cytokine production was evaluated when splenocytes were stimulated with rSmRho, SWAP or SEA.

**Cytokine experiment.** Cytokine experiments were performed using splenocyte cultures from individual mice of control and experimental groups. Splenocytes were isolated from macerated spleens of individual mice at day 50 post-infection. Cells were washed twice with sterile PBS and were plated at a concentration of 1 x 10^6 cells per well in RPMI 1640 medium (Gibco, Carlsbad, CA, USA) supplemented with 10% FBS, 100 U/mL of penicillin G sodium, 100 μg/mL of streptomycin sulfate, and 250 ng/mL of amphotericin B. Splenocytes were maintained in culture with medium alone or stimulated with SmRho (25 μg/mL), SWAP (25 μg/mL) or SEA (25 μg/mL). The 96-well plates (Nunc, Denmark) were maintained in an incubator at 37°C with 5% CO₂. Culture supernatants were collected after 72 h for IFN-γ and TGF-β analysis. IL-10, IFN-γ and TGF-β concentrations were measured using Duoset ELISA kit (R&D Systems, USA) according to the manufacturer instructions.

Hepatic granuloma analysis

Liver fragments from mice (5 mice per group) of control and experimental groups immunized and infected, were collected 50 days post-infection in order to evaluate the effect of immunization on granuloma formation. Livers fragments were fixed in 10% paraformaldehyde. Fragments processed for paraffin embedding and histopathological sections were cut using a microtome at 5 μm. Sections were stained on a slide with hematoxilin-eosin (HE). The areas of individual granulomas were obtained through the MacBiophotonics ImageJ software analyzer. Fifteen granulomas from each mouse with a single well-defined egg were randomly chosen using a microscope with the 4× objective lens; granulomas were then scanned using JVC TK-1270/RGB microcamera. Using a digital pad, the total area of each granuloma measured, and the results were expressed in square micrometers.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using the ANOVA test using the Graph Pad Prism 5 software package. The Bonferroni test was used to compare subgroups with the level of significance set at p<0.05.

Ethics statements

The studies regarding sera samples taken from patients were approved by Research Ethics Committee (COEP) of UFMG, the protocol number 523/07, and a written informed consent was obtained from each patient before blood collection. Experiments with animals were performed in compliance with the guidelines of the Institutional Animal Care and Committee on Ethics of Animal Experimentation (“Comité de Ética em Experimentação Animal” – CETEA, national guidelines, Law number 11.794, 8/10/2008) from Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais (UFMG); protocol number 204/2009 was approved on 24/03/2010.

Results

Cloning, expression and purification of recombinant SmRho

The full-length sequence of the S. mansoni cDNA encoding SmRho was obtained from an adult worm cDNA library using PCR with specific oligonucleotides. The resulting full-length cDNA displayed an ORF of 579 bp, encoding a protein of 193 amino acids with a predicted molecular mass of approximately 21.8 kDa and an isoelectric point of 5.70. BlastP comparisons of the deduced protein sequence in GenBank exhibit a complete identity and similarity to S. mansoni Rho-GTPase protein (EMBL-Bank CDS: AAD31508.1)(data not shown).

The nucleotide sequence of this protein was cloned into a pET-DEST42 expression vector and the protein was expressed in E. coli BL21 pRARE strain. Protein extract of transformed bacteria showed a band at ~26 kDa when induced with IPTG, since the plasmid add a C-terminal hexahistidine tag in the protein expressed. The bacteria were then lysed and the lysate separated into soluble and insoluble fractions. The inclusion bodies were shown to contain the majority of the recombinant protein (Figure 1A), which was mostly solubilized by extraction with 0.9% (w/v) N-Laurylsarcosine. The protein was bound to a nickel-charged column under denaturing conditions, and purified by affinity chromatography through an imidazole linear gradient. Eluted fractions containing rSmRho were pooled, and protein yield after purification was estimated to be around 3 mg/L (Figure 1B). After buffer exchange the protein was used in further experiments.

Figure 1. Expression and purification of rSmRho. (A) Western Blotting profile of the E. coli (BL21 pRARE) transformed with the pDEST42-SmRho. Lane: MW – Prestained protein molecular weight marker (Fermentas). Lanes 1 and 2 represent a clone before and after induction with 0.5 mM IPTG, respectively. Lanes 3 and 4: soluble and insoluble fraction after E. coli (BL21 pRARE) lysis, respectively. (B) SDS-PAGE 12% profile of Ni⁺⁺ chromatography. Lanes: MW – Unstained protein molecular weight marker (Fermentas); 1 - flow through; 2–5 fractions of rSmRho–6×HIS-tag fusion protein eluted after Ni2⁺ chromatography. Positions of molecular mass standards (kDa) are indicated. Arrows indicate the purified rSmRho.

doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0001894.g001
Recognition of SmRho by sera of patients infected with S. mansoni

To confirm the immunogenicity of SmRho in S. mansoni infection, the recognition of the protein by sera of infected patients, who had received drug treatment or not, was evaluated. The results obtained in the ELISA test showed that SmRho was not recognized by sera of control patients, i.e. not infected with S. mansoni. On the contrary, sera of infected patients, in particular of drug treated ones, showed a specific reaction against SmRho (Figure 2A). Additionally, the immunogenicity of SmRho was evaluated by Western blot assay corroborating the above-mentioned result (Figure 2A). It was observed again the reactivity of the protein SmRho with sera of infected patients, drug treated and untreated, and no reaction in the control group. In the membrane incubated with sera of treated infected patient a protein band with a molecular weight close to SmRho band in the soluble extract of eggs (SEA) and adult worms (SWAP) was observed as well. This higher reactivity in treated group is probably due to a greater exposure of the protein after drug treatment which increases the recognition by immune system cells. Sera types incubated with each membrane are represented in Figure 2B.

Characterization of nanoparticles

The preparation of the delivery system was performed as described by Borges and colleagues [24] and it contains three main steps, the manufacturing of the chitosan particles, their protein loading by adsorption and finally the coating with sodium alginate. The efficiency of this process was assessed by the quantification of non-bound protein that remained in the supernatant after the loading and coating steps. In Table 3 one can observe that the encapsulation efficiency of the protein in the chitosan nanoparticles before the coating with alginate was around 95%. After the coating around 76% of the protein remained bound to the particles.

The association of CpG to alginate coated chitosan-SmRho nanoparticles was evaluated by two techniques using the supernatants of the particles. Both techniques indicated a CpGODN loading efficiency of about 100% since no DNA mobility in electrophoresis was verified as well as no absorbance at 260 nm was detected (data not shown).

The size of chitosan-SmRho particles, before and after coating, was determined by light scattering technique. One of the major currently described drawbacks of this methodology of particle

Figure 2. Reactivity of sera from control and S. mansoni infected patient, drug treated or untreated, against SmRho and SWAP observed by ELISA (A) and against SmRho, SWAP, SEA and schistosomula extract by Western Blotting (B). M: Standard molecular weight Page Ruler Unstained Protein Ladder (Fermentas), 1: SWAP; 2: SEA, 3: schistosomula antigens; 4: recombinant protein Rho1-GTPase de S. mansoni. The results are presented as the mean absorbance measured at 450 nm. Statistically significant differences of sera from infected patient with the control group, non infected patient, in each sera dilution evaluated, are indicated by (*) for p<0.05. The molecular weight markers, from top to bottom, are: 116, 66, 45, 35, 25, and 18 kDa.
doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0001894.g002
In vitro release studies

Release studies were performed to evaluate the stability of the coated nanoparticles and the profiles of SmRho protein desorption from these nanoparticles in simulated gastric fluid (SGF) and simulated intestinal fluid (SIF), at 37 °C. It can be observed in Figure 3 that coated nanoparticles presented a great stability in SGF, with less than 40% of the protein released after 2 hours and it was even better in SIF, where less than 15% of the protein was released after 20 hours of assay.

Antibody profile following mice immunization

Further in vivo studies were performed to investigate the potential utility of SmRho loaded chitosan-based nanoparticles in eliciting the production of antibodies or modulating the immune response following intramuscular or oral administration of the suspension of the particles. To evaluate the levels of SmRho-specific IgG antibodies serum samples from vaccinated animals from each group were tested by ELISA. The measures of IgG antibodies showed that nanoparticles were able to induce the production of specific SmRho antibodies mainly in the experimental group vaccinated with coated SmRho-chitosan nanoparticles by intramuscular route, which showed high levels of IgG that appeared at the day 45 (5 weeks after the first immunization) and presented the highest level at the day 75, compared to the control group (Figure 4). To determine the type of immune response induced after vaccination, the subclasses IgG1 and IgG2a were also analyzed. For this same experimental group, the levels of specific anti-SmRho IgG1 antibodies were increased since day 30 until day 90, when the animals were sacrificed, and a peak of IgG1 antibodies was observed at the day 60, after performed the three rounds of immunization. The levels of IgG2a were also increased after the three rounds of immunization, with a peak at the day 60, which remained elevated until the day 90. The high levels of IgG1 and IgG2a showed a mixed Th1/Th2 profile. In relation to the remaining groups, there was no significant production of specific SmRho antibodies during the period evaluated.

Table 3. SmRho loading efficiency on chitosan particles before and after coating with alginate.

| Loading Efficiency (mean ± SD) |
|------------------------------|
| Before coating with alginate  | 95.5%±0.9  |
| Alginate coated chitosan-SmRho particles | 76.6%±3.1 |

doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0001894.t003

Table 4. Size and zeta potential of chitosan particles before and after coating.

| Size (nm) mean ± SD | Polymers (PdI) | Zeta potential (mV) mean ± SD |
|---------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|
| Before coating with alginate | 743.3±107.6 | 0.550±0.116 | 33.5±2.7 |
| Alginate coated chitosan-SmRho particles | 435.0±119.4 | 0.475±0.096 | -38.6±3.8 |

doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0001894.t004

Protection effective of vaccination

To investigate the protective activity induced by vaccination with different formulations of chitosan nanoparticles in murine model of S. mansoni infection, immunized mice were challenged with 25 cercariae. The difference in the number of adult worms recovered in the experimental groups compared to control group was calculated 50 days post-challenge (Table 5). Groups immunized with SmRho nanoparticles, associated or not to CpG also presented the highest level of protection of 48% and 55%, respectively. Interesting, the group of animals immunized with CH nanoparticles without SmRho protein and challenged with cercariae showed a significant reduction of 47% in adult worm burden. This result suggests that chitosan has an important role in inducing nonspecific immunity against S. mansoni infection, and that the adjuvant CpG did not have a considerable contribution in reducing worm burden in this experiment.

Coated SmRho-CpGODN chitosan nanoparticles oral vaccination induced granuloma down-modulation

To evaluate the effect of the proposed vaccine on reducing granuloma reactions, histological analysis was performed by digital morphometry. Seven days after the third immunization, mice were challenged with 25 cercariae. After 50 days of challenge infection, mice were sacrificed and liver samples were taken for histological analysis. Hematoxilin and eosin stained liver sections were then used to measure the size of individual granulomas. Vaccination with coated SmRho-CpG-chitosan nanoparticles by oral route reduced liver granuloma area by 38.4% (Table 5), compared with mice that were immunized with PBS. The remaining groups also presented a considerably granuloma area reduction, however, these granulomas were not as small as those observed in groups above mentioned. These findings suggest that the antigen SmRho associated with CpG in nanoparticles was important to induce this anti-pathological effect.

Cytokine profiles induced by SmRho immunization

Cytokine profile evaluation was performed using splenocyte cultures from individual mice immunized with chitosan-based nanoparticles. The production of IFN-γ, IL-10 and TGF-β was measured in the supernatants of spleen cells cultured only with SmRho antigens that were coated with or without chitosan nanoparticles. The levels of these cytokines were measured by ELISA. The results showed that vaccination with SmRho nanoparticles induced a mixed Th1/Th2 profile of cytokines production. The levels of IFN-γ and IL-10 were increased significantly, whereas the levels of TGF-β were decreased. These findings suggest that SmRho antigens, when formulated with chitosan nanoparticles, were able to induce a Th1/Th2 cytokine profile, which is important in the induction of protective immune responses against S. mansoni infection.

Table 5. Cytokine levels in the supernatants of spleen cells cultured only with SmRho antigens that were coated with or without chitosan nanoparticles.

| Cytokine | Mean ± SD |
|----------|-----------|
| IFN-γ    | 205.3±11.6 |
| IL-10    | 150.5±10.2 |
| TGF-β    | 30.5±2.1  |

doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0001894.t005
complete RPMI medium or in the presence of SmRho, SEA (soluble egg antigens) or SWAP (soluble worm antigen preparation). The highest levels of the immunomodulatory cytokine IL-10 were produced by SEA-stimulated splenocytes from mice immunized with coated SmRho-CpG-chitosan nanoparticles, compared with the control-stimulated splenocytes. In the others experimental groups significant levels of IL-10 were also observed, although their levels were not so high compared with those above described (Figure 5A). Significant levels of IFN-γ, a cytokine typical of Th1-type immune response, were produced by SmRho-stimulated splenocytes from groups immunized with coated SmRho-chitosan and coated SmRho-CpG-chitosan (Figure 5B). Taken together, these results show that groups which presented the highest levels of the immunomodulatory cytokine IL-10, also were able to achieve a significant protective response and a reduced liver pathology, which is probably related to the prevention of an excessive Th1 and/or Th2 response by IL-10.

Discussion

Schistosomiasis is one of the most important neglected tropical diseases and an effective control is unlikely in the absence of improved sanitation and vaccine. The antigens tested, so far as vaccine candidates, prove not to be so effective as desirable, consequently, it is important to continue identifying new target antigens [36]. The selection of a suitable delivery system and an adjuvant to aid in the stimulation of the appropriate immune response is a critical step in the path to the development and employment of successful anti-schistosome vaccines, and a number of approaches are being tested, with some success [8].

Here we proposed a candidate vaccine formulation based on SmRho antigen loaded chitosan nanoparticles, coated with alginate, as an alternative strategy to induce protection against S. mansoni infection. This vaccination strategy offers many technical advantages, including the possibility of administration by oral route, which makes the vaccine safer than injectable vaccines and facilitates its use mainly in underdeveloped areas [37].

The recombinant expression of SmRho was optimized using an E. coli pRARE lineage, which co-expresses rare tRNAs required for the synthesis of some eukaryotic proteins. The expression and purification of the protein of interest was obtained with high yield and showed a protein with approximately 26 kDa of molecular mass. The immunogenicity of SmRho protein was confirmed through the reaction with sera of patient infected with S. mansoni. Besides that, the presence of SmRho in soluble egg and adult worms extracts was verified, which is supported by Vermeire and co-workers reports [10].
The methodology for the preparation of coated chitosan nanoparticles was successfully adapted from Borges and co-workers [24] as demonstrated by particle characterization results. The protein loading of the nanoparticles was done by adsorption process based on electrostatic interaction [38] and this process was favored considering that SmRho has an isoelectric point of 6.5. Consequently, in a physiological solution that has a pH of 7.4, SmRho is negatively charged and can easily interact with the positively charged chitosan nanoparticles. In the present work, SmRho loading efficiency of uncoated particles was 95%, which are better than those results found in literature [39] [24]. After coating, the loading efficiency showed a significant decrease to 76%. Nevertheless, the result obtained here was still better than those found by Borges and co-workers [24] and Li and co-workers [39], which was 60% and 66%, respectively, for ovalbumin. A disturb of the protein adsorption equilibrium can occur and a new equilibrium can be established having alginate as a direct competitor for positive charges on chitosan surface which explain our results.

In vitro release studies were performed in SGF and SIF medium at 37 °C in order to evaluate the release profiles of protein SmRh into the medium. It is a consensus that the development of new and safe adjuvants is necessary not only for parenteral vaccination but also for the more challenging mucosal routes of administration in order to maximize the effectiveness of new antigens as well as those already available [40]. Within this perspective, and due to their unique and interesting properties recently reviewed in several scientific journals [41,42,43], chitosan-based nanoparticles have been used associated with various antigens as carrier system for vaccination and administered by different mucosal routes [12,44,45]. However, its potential as an adjuvant to parenteral vaccination has been less studied. In a previous study [16] a solution of chitosan was explored as an adjuvant for mice subcutaneous immunization with a model antigen. It was shown that chitosan was able to increase more than five times antibody titers and the proliferation of specific CD4+ T cells more than six times.

With respect to sodium alginate, some studies show that alginate microparticles are internalized by M cells of the mucosa [46] and were able to transport antigens to mucosa associated lymphoid tissues, inducing systemic and mucosal immune response in a variety of animal species following oral administration [47]. Moreover, alginate microparticles can be used not only as a carrier system, but also as an adjuvant, as it was shown to induce the

Table 5. Protective effect and liver granuloma size induced by C57BL/6 mice vaccination and challenged with 25 S. mansoni cercariae.

| Groups                | Total worms Mean ± SD | Percentage reduction of worm burden | Hepatic granuloma area (μm²) Mean ± SD | Percentage reduction of granuloma area |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| PBS (group I)         | 19.0±2.4              | -                                   | 112501±49914                          | -                                    |
| Chitosan NPs (group II) | 10.1±0.81*          | 47*                                 | 83269±50226                          | 26.0                                 |
| SmRho (group III)     | 14.7±3.1              | 22.8                                | 85076±60937                          | 24.3                                 |
| CH-SmRho-Alginlate (group IV) | 8.5±1.3*          | 55*                                 | 80473±40649                          | 28.5                                 |
| CH-SmRho-CpG-Alginlate (group V) | 10.0±4.2*        | 48*                                 | 69282±57918                          | 38.4*                                |
| CH-SmRho-Alginlate (i.m.) (group VI) | 13.0±2.0         | 31                                  | 86715±67237                          | 22.9                                 |

*Statistically significant compared with the control group (p<0.05).

doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0001894.t005
production of cytokines such as TNF-α, IL-6 and IL-1 [48] and it increases the antibodies production similarly to other adjuvants, such as incomplete Freund’s adjuvant and aluminum hydroxide [47]. Mata and colleagues also showed that immunization studies in Balb/c mice by intradermal route using alginate as adjuvant elicited higher humoral and cellular immune responses leading to more balanced Th1/Th2 profile [49].

Pathology resulting from granuloma formation around the eggs in murine schistosomiasis is characterized by Th2-type of immune response and the granuloma size can be reduced by neutralization of IL-4 [50]. Thus, morbidity and mortality in murine schistosomiasis were hypothesized to be developed as a direct consequence of the egg-induced Th2 type of immune response. Herein, we suggest that the addition of CpG to nanoparticles formulation was important to induce, even in a small proportion, a shift from Th2 to Th1 immune response and also due a regulatory role for IL-10 secretion from T regulatory cells (Treg) could help to prevent an exacerbated granulomatous reaction. The role of CpG as an immune modulator was also described by Slutter and Jiskoot [52] and the mechanism by which CpG induces a reduced granuloma formation is probably the same as observed when CpG is used in therapy for asthma/allergy as has been widely reported [53]. In the present study the group immunized with formulations CH-Rho-Cpg-Alg, which showed a reduced granuloma area of 38%, also showed higher levels of the immunomodulatory cytokine IL-10 when splenocytes were stimulated with SEA. This probably contributed to reduce inflammation and liver pathology observed in this group. It has been reported that IL-10 plays a key regulatory role in preventing the development of severe pathology due to excessive Th1 and/or Th2 responses [54]. Additionally to these balance of cytokines produced in groups immunized with coated chitosan nanoparticles containing CpG, the presence of the antigen SmRho is also supposed to have an important role in the modulation of immunopathological responses of S. mansoni infection. This is likely due to the induction of INF-γ production that can prevent the normal Th1 to Th2 transition that occurs in infected hosts after the onset of egg production by the parasites, and therefore acts preventing the development of severe chronic morbidity [55]. These observations revealed an anti-pathological role of SmRho but more studies are required to obtain a better understanding of the involvement of this protein in the process of infection of S. mansoni.

Figure 5. Cytokine profiles of mice immunized with coated SmRho-chitosan nanoparticles. Splenocytes isolated from mice immunized with CH-Rho-Alg, CH-Rho-Cpg-Alg, and CH-Rho-Alg (i.m.) were assayed for IL-10 (A) and INF-γ (B) production in response to in vitro stimulation with SWAP (25 μg/ml), rSmRho (25 μg/ml), SEA (25 μg/ml) or medium alone as control. Results represent the mean ± SD of each group. *Statistically significant differences between cytokines produced after SWAP, rSmRho or SEA stimulation compared with unstimulated splenocytes (control) (p<0.05).
doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0001894.g005
To determine whether coated chitosan nanoparticles conferred protection against S. mansoni infection, immunized mice were challenged with cercariae and worm burdens were assessed. All groups immunized with coated chitosan nanoparticles by oral route showed a significant reduction in worm burden and even the group immunized with chitosan nanoparticles without protein showed a 47% of protection. It suggests that chitosan has an important role in inducing a protective immune response against schistosomiasis that is likely due to its immunostimulatory properties. Nevertheless, the mechanism behind the ability of chitosan in inducing protection is still unknown. Its role is more likely related to trigger an innate immune response, since chitin, which is a progenitor to chitosan, has been demonstrated to function as a PAMP and it is linked with the accumulation of innate cells including alternatively activated macrophages, eosinophils and basophils [56] [57]. At sites of infection with chitin-containing agents anti-inflammatory immune responses and local chitinases are believed to induce chitin fragmentation. The ability of chitin to induce an acute inflammatory response is already described, but it seems to act by different pathways depending of the size of the fragment and the time point of assessment. Da Silva and co-workers demonstrated that chitin fragments induced a macrophage- and neutrophil-rich inflammatory response with only a modest degree of cosinophil infiltration, while Rege et al. showed mainly eosinophil-based response [17,58]. When viewed in combination, these studies suggest that chitin induces an inflammatory response that is initially neutrophilic and becomes eosinophilic over time. In the early times, macrophages were shown to be stimulated by chitin particles in vivo and in vitro, by a TLR-2 dependent mechanism that utilizes a MyD88-dependent pathway to induce IL-17 elaboration and enhance the expression of the IL-17AR. These studies also demonstrated that this novel innate immune pathway plays an essential role in the regulation of macrophage cytokine production and the induction of acute inflammation [58]. Additionally, it is well described that IL-4/IL-13-activated alternative macrophages are essential for surviving acute schistosomiasis [59]. These facts cooperate with the convincing evidence that immune elimination of challenge parasites occurs in the lungs and macrophages is expected to mediate the protective response [60]. At later times Yasuda et al., suggested that the effects of IL-33 for the expansion and the activation of eosinophils might aid to expel infected worms from the lungs [18]. These reports support an important theory by which chitosan induce a protective immune response against S. mansoni infection, however it needs to be further investigated.

Mice immunized with coated chitosan nanoparticles associated or not with CpG also showed high percentage of protection 48% and 53%, respectively. The antigen and CpG seems not to have a high contribution in conferring protection against worm infection, nevertheless, they demonstrated important roles in granuloma down-modulation, as discussed before.

As a final conclusion of this work, we believe that the combination of chitosan nanoparticles associated to the antigen SmRho plus CpG is an efficient vaccine formulation candidate against schistosomiasis in light of the data obtained from murine studies, which was able to modulate the granuloma area, that represents the major pathological response in schistosomiasis and also to induce protection against infection of S. mansoni. It is important to highlight that these results were obtained with oral administration of the formulation and can be compared to those obtained from conventional routes of administration. Comparing with our previous work, in which DNA-chitosan nanoparticles were explored, this system achieved better results to be used as a vaccine because induced both protection as well as reduced the granulomatous reaction, while the first presented just an anti-pathological effect with granuloma modulation. Chitosan-based nanoparticles were also found to play an important role as adjuvant and this characteristic should be more explored with other antigens. Furthermore, the role of chitosan in inducing a protective immune response against schistosomiasis deserves special attention and requires more studies to confirm and understand this feature. Taken together, these results support this new strategy to find a safe and efficacious vaccine against schistosomiasis.
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