A Population Pharmacokinetic Meta-Analysis of Veliparib, a PARP Inhibitor, Across Phase 1/2/3 Trials in Cancer Patients
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Abstract
Veliparib (ABT-888) is a poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase inhibitor in development for the treatment of high-grade ovarian cancer or BRCA-mutated breast cancer in combination with carboplatin and paclitaxel. The population pharmacokinetics of veliparib were characterized using combined data from 1470 adult subjects with ovarian cancer, breast cancer, or other solid tumors enrolled in 6 phase 1 studies, 1 phase 2 study, and 2 phase 3 studies of veliparib oral doses of 10 to 400 mg twice daily as monotherapy or in combination with chemotherapy. A 1-compartment model with linear clearance and first-order absorption best characterized veliparib pharmacokinetics. The predicted apparent oral clearance (CL/F) and volume of distribution (Vc/F) were 479 L/day and 152 L, respectively. The significant covariates in the final model included albumin, creatinine clearance, strong inhibitors of cytochrome P450 (CYP) 2D6, and sex on CL/F and albumin, body weight, and sex on Vc/F. Mild and moderate renal impairment increased veliparib median (95% CI) steady-state AUC (AUCss) by 27.3% (23.7%-30.9%) and 65.4% (56.0%-75.5%), respectively, compared with normal renal function. Male subjects had 16.5% (7.53%-23.9%) lower AUCss compared with female subjects and coadministration with strong CYP2D6 inhibitors increased AUCss by 13.0% (6.11%-20.8%). Race, age, region, cancer type, or enzyme (CYP3A4, CYP2C19) or transporter (P-glycoprotein, multidrug and toxin extrusion protein 1/2, organic cation transporter 2) inhibiting/inducing comediations were not found to significantly impact veliparib pharmacokinetics. Other than baseline creatinine clearance and hence renal impairment effect on veliparib clearance, no other covariates had a clinically meaningful effect on veliparib exposure warranting dose adjustment.
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Veliparib (ABT-888) is an orally bioavailable small-molecule poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) inhibitor, which inhibits the repair of deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) single-strand breaks, inhibits PARylation, and traps PARP enzyme on DNA.¹ In a recent phase 3 study in patients with previously untreated high-grade serous ovarian carcinoma, veliparib in combination with carboplatin and paclitaxel for 6 cycles followed by veliparib maintenance therapy led to significantly longer progression-free survival (PFS) than carboplatin plus paclitaxel induction therapy alone in the entire population.² In another double-blind, randomized, controlled phase 3 study in patients with advanced BRCA-mutated breast cancer, veliparib treatment in combination with carboplatin/paclitaxel with the option to continue as monotherapy resulted in statistically significant and clinically meaningful improvement in PFS compared with the placebo plus carboplatin/paclitaxel treatment.³

Veliparib is a Biopharmaceutical Classification System class 1 compound exhibiting high solubility and permeability. It is absorbed rapidly, with a median $T_{\text{max}}$ of about 1.5 hours. Veliparib has previously been shown to display linear pharmacokinetics in the dose range of 10-400 mg and has an elimination half-life of about 6 hours.⁴ Veliparib is primarily cleared by renal excretion. The mean urinary recovery of unchanged veliparib was 73%, and the total urinary recovery of veliparib (as parent compound and M8 metabolite) was 90%.⁶ Veliparib also undergoes liver metabolism...
mediated primarily by CYP2D6 and, to a lesser extent, by CYP2C19 and CYP3A4. Veliparib has one major metabolite in human plasma, M8, a lactam derivative of the parent drug whose plasma AUC is about 20% that of the parent compound. The cellular PARP-inhibitory activity of M8 was 15-fold lower than veliparib and was not expected to contribute significantly to the pharmacological activity of veliparib.

Reports on population pharmacokinetic analysis of veliparib have been published previously. The current analysis includes the most comprehensive data (including the largest number of subjects [n = 1470] and more covariates) from the phase 1 through 3 trials.

The objective of this analysis was to characterize population pharmacokinetics following administration of veliparib to determine the relationship between various intrinsic and extrinsic factors and the pharmacokinetic parameters of veliparib that might explain intersubject variability in exposure following veliparib administration.

Methods

Analysis Population and Data

All studies were conducted in accordance with Good Clinical Practice and under the ethical principles established by the Declaration of Helsinki. The study protocols were approved by the institutional review boards of the individual study sites, and all study subjects gave written informed consent prior to enrollment.

The population pharmacokinetic analysis was performed using pharmacokinetic data obtained from 9 clinical studies of veliparib. Veliparib oral doses of 10 to 400 mg twice daily were administered as monotherapy or in combination with chemotherapy in adult subjects with ovarian cancer, breast cancer, or other solid tumors (Table 1).

All pharmacokinetic data from enrolled subjects who received at least 1 dose of veliparib and had at least 1 concentration measurement were included in the pharmacokinetic data set. All observed plasma concentrations below the lowest limit of quantitation (LLOQ) were set to LLOQ/2 and included in the analysis (M5 method).

An outlier identification and exclusion rule was applied to avoid bias in the population and individual pharmacokinetic parameter estimates because of possible inaccurate dosing or sample collection times. Because of the variability in the absorption phase, the method was only applied for data with time since last dose of more than 2.5 hours (longest mean \( T_{\text{max}} \) observed). A linear analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed using the natural logarithm of veliparib plasma concentrations as response variable (using the \( \text{lm} \) function from the stats package in R 3.5.2) at the binned time since last dose and dose at 2.5, 3, 5, 8, 11, 15, 20, 45, 100, and 168 hours. The upper and lower limits were defined as the exponent of the mean predicted natural logarithm of concentrations +2.33 times and −2.33 times the estimated standard deviation of the random errors, respectively, based on the ANOVA model, to exclude only 1% of normally distributed observations. All concentrations greater or less than the computed upper and lower limits, respectively, were excluded from the primary analysis. Sensitivity analysis including all concentrations classified as outliers was also performed.

Sample Collection and Quantification

Pharmacokinetic sampling was performed in each study as shown in Table 1. Blood samples were collected by venipuncture or indwelling catheter into potassium ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid tubes and stored on ice prior to centrifugation. Plasma concentrations of veliparib were determined using a validated online solid-phase extraction followed by liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometric detection. In 1 phase 1 study, veliparib concentrations were determined by simple protein precipitation extraction method and liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometric detection. The LLOQ of the veliparib assay was approximately 1 ng/mL (range, 1.0–1.13 ng/mL) in each study. The coefficient of variation ranged from 2% to 16.7%; the mean bias ranged from −9.5% to 11.6%.

Population Pharmacokinetic Methodology

A nonlinear mixed-effects modeling approach was used to analyze the observed veliparib plasma concentration-time profiles using NONMEM (version 7.4.3; ICON Development Solutions, Ellicott City, Maryland). The pharmacokinetic models were fitted to the data using the first-order conditional estimation method with \( \eta, \varepsilon \) interaction.

**Base Model.** The base model was parameterized in terms of apparent oral clearance (CL/F), apparent volume of distribution of the central compartment (\( V_{c}/F \)), and first-order absorption rate constant (\( k_a \)). The effect of a meal prior to the dose (fasting vs fed vs unknown [reference]) was included on \( k_a \) in the base model based on the effect of food on the absorption characteristics as determined from a phase 1 study. In the development of the base model, 1-compartment models with and without lag time were evaluated. Between-subject variability (BSV) in pharmacokinetic parameters was modeled using a multivariate log-normal distribution. Residual variability was evaluated using a combined (additive and proportional) error model.

**Covariate Model.** Once the structural model was identified, potential covariates were included in the model to evaluate the impact of patient demographics
Table 1. Studies Included in the Veliparib Population PK Meta-Analysis

| Study/NCT # | Study Description | Safety Data Set, n | Tumor Type | Veliparib Doses | Veliparib PK Sampling |
|-------------|------------------|-------------------|------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| 1/00526617  | Phase 1 multiple-dose, dose-escalation, open-label study; veliparib with temozolomide | 42/42 | Metastatic melanomas and nonhematologic malignancies | 10, 20, 30, 40, 60, 80 mg BID | Cycle 1 day 3 and cycle 1 day 7 prior to dose and 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 4, and 6 hours after morning veliparib dose. |
| 2/01063816  | Phase 1 open-label, multiple-dose, dose-escalation study; veliparib with carboplatin and gemcitabine | 75/74 | Advanced solid tumors | 30, 60, 80, 140, 210, 250, 310 mg BID | Dose-escalation cohort—cycle 2 day 1 prior to dose and 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 3, 4, 6, and 8 hours after morning veliparib dose. Expanded safety cohort—cycle 1 day—1 and day 1 prior to dose and 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 3, 4, 6, and 8 hours after morning veliparib dose. |
| 3/01199224  | Phase 1 open-label, 2-stage, single-dose, randomized, 4-period crossover study; veliparib monotherapy | 27/27 | Solid tumors | 40-mg single dose | Day 1 of each period prior to dosing and 0.25, 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, and 24 hours after morning veliparib dosing. |
| 4/02009631  | Phase 1 single-dose, double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomized, 3-period, 6-sequence crossover study; veliparib monotherapy | 47/47 | Relapsed or refractory solid tumors | 200, 400 mg single dose | Day 1 of each period prior to dosing and 0.5, 1, 2, 3, and 10 hours after veliparib dosing. |
| 5/01506609  | Phase 2 randomized, partially blinded study; veliparib with temozolomide or carboplatin and paclitaxel | 294/183 | Breast cancer | 40, 120 mg BID | Cycle 1 day 1 at 0.5, 1, 2, and 3 hours after morning veliparib dose and cycle 1 day 3 prior to dose and 0.5, 1, 2, and 3 hours after morning veliparib dose. |
| 6/02163694  | Phase 3 randomized, double-blind study; veliparib with carboplatin and paclitaxel | 507/333 | Breast cancer | 120 mg BID | Cycle 1 day 1 prior to dose and 1 and 3 hours after morning dose of veliparib. Cycle 2 day 1 prior to morning veliparib dose. |
| 7/02470585  | Phase 3 randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind, stratified study; veliparib with carboplatin and paclitaxel | 1124/739 | Ovarian, fallopian tube, and primary peritoneal cancer | 150, 300 mg BID | Cycle 1 day 1 prior to dose and 1, 2, and 3 hours after morning dose of veliparib. Cycles 2, 3, and 4 day 1 prior to morning veliparib dose. |
| 8/02210663  | Phase 1 open-label, dose-escalation study; veliparib monotherapy | 16/16 | Japanese subjects with advanced solid tumors | 200, 300, 400 mg BID | Cycle 1 day 1 prior to dose and 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, and 24 hours after morning veliparib dose. |
| 9/02483104  | Phase 1 open-label, dose-escalation study; veliparib with carboplatin and paclitaxel | 9/9 | Japanese subjects with ovarian cancer | 100, 150 mg BID | Cycle 1 day 1 prior to dose and 1, 2, 2.5, 3, 4, 6, 8, and 24 hours after morning veliparib dose. |

BID, twice-daily dosing; NCT, national clinical trial.
a Subjects who received study drug (veliparib or placebo).
and baseline characteristics on veliparib pharmacokinetics. The covariates evaluated are shown in Table 2. Continuous covariates were included in the model using power functions scaled by a reference value (Table 2) of each covariate in the data set using the following equation:

\[
\text{TVP} = \theta \times \left( \frac{\text{COV}}{\text{Reference value}} \right)^{\theta_{\text{cat}}}
\]

where TVP is the typical value of the population PK parameter, \( \theta \) is the pharmacokinetic parameter estimate at the reference value of the covariate (COV), and \( \theta_{\text{cat}} \) is the power exponent for the covariate effect. Categorical covariates were tested with a multiplicative model to obtain the fractional difference of pharmacokinetic parameters between the tested categorical groups using the following equation:

\[
\text{TVP} = \theta \times (1 + I_{\text{cat}} \times \theta_{\text{cat}})
\]

where TVP is the typical value of the population pharmacokinetic parameter when \( I_{\text{cat}} \) is 0 (binary categorical covariate), and \( \theta_{\text{cat}} \) is the proportional change in TVP when \( I_{\text{cat}} \) is 1. Significance of the covariates was determined based on a stepwise forward-inclusion and backward-elimination covariate model-building procedure. Forward inclusion and backward elimination steps were conducted at significance levels of \( \alpha = 0.01 \) and \( \alpha = 0.001 \), respectively, using the likelihood ratio test.

The developed models were evaluated via goodness-of-fit plots, prediction-corrected visual predictive checks (pcVPCs), and bootstrap analyses. Goodness-of-fit plots included population-predicted versus observed concentrations, individual predicted versus observed concentrations, conditional weighted residuals (CWRES) versus population-predicted concentrations, CWRES versus time, and CWRES versus time since last dose. Histograms and quantile-quantile plots of intersubject random effects (ETAs) and CWRES were examined to assess the underlying normal distribution, and shrinkage in ETAs was also evaluated. The pcVPCs with 500 simulated replicates of the pharmacokinetic data set were generated to evaluate the adequacy of the final model. Bootstrap evaluation was performed with 1000 replicated data sets to evaluate the stability and performance of the final model. For each bootstrap replicate, model parameters were estimated, and the resulting values from all replicates were used to estimate medians and confidence intervals. Bootstrap statistics were based on replicates that converged successfully. Model parameters based on the original data set were then compared against the bootstrap results.

**Results**

A total of 9160 veliparib plasma concentrations (9262 including outliers) collected from 1470 subjects following administration of veliparib doses ranging from 10 to 400 mg twice daily were included in the population pharmacokinetic model. About 2.9% of concentration records were below the LLOQ. Given the small fraction of concentrations below the limit of quantitation, the M5 imputation method was used by imputing concentrations below the LLOQ with LLOQ/2. Only a small fraction of concentrations (1.1%) were identified as outliers and capped at 120 mL/min in the first step, and the more significant improvement was taken forward.10

**Population Pharmacokinetic Model**

A 1-compartment model with linear clearance and first-order absorption best described the data. The effect of
### Table 3. Patient Demographics and Baseline Factors in Veliparib Population PK Data Set

| Study | All Subjects |
|-------|--------------|
|       | (n = 477)    |
| Study 1 | (n = 32)    |
| Study 2 | (n = 74)    |
| Study 3 | (n = 27)    |
| Study 4 | (n = 47)    |
| Study 5 | (n = 183)   |
| Study 6 | (n = 333)   |
| Study 7 | (n = 739)   |
| Study 8 | (n = 16)    |
| Study 9 | (n = 9)     |

#### Age (years)
- Mean (SD): 55.8 (12.7)
- Median: 57
- Min-Max: 16-52

#### Lean body weight (kg)
- Mean (SD): 47.6 (4.28)
- Median: 42.8
- Min-Max: 41.9-42.0

#### Body weight (kg)
- Mean (SD): 77.6 (17.8)
- Median: 76.0
- Min-Max: 68.0-68.5

#### Race
- White, n (%): 40 (95%)
- Asian, n (%): 1 (2%)
- Other, n (%): 1 (2%)

#### Sex
- Male, n (%): 15 (36%)
- Female, n (%): 27 (64%)
- Other, n (%): 1 (2%)

#### Body function
- Creatinine clearance (mL/min): 103 (77.7)
- Renal function (mL/min): 33.9 (166)

#### Other factors
- Cancer type
  - Breast cancer: 5 (12%)
  - Ovarian cancer: 10 (24%)
  - Other: 27 (64%)
- Strong CYP2D6 inhibitors
  - No: 42 (100%)
  - Yes: 7 (17%)
- Strong CYP3A inhibitors
  - No: 42 (100%)
  - Yes: 7 (17%)
- Strong P-gp inhibitors
  - No: 42 (100%)
  - Yes: 1 (2%)

(Continued)
a meal prior to the dose (fasting vs fed vs unknown [reference]) was included on the rate of absorption based on the known effect of food on the absorption characteristics in a phase 1 study.14

To account for differences in the accuracy of dosing and sampling time recordings, separate proportional error terms for phase 1 versus phase 2 and 3 studies and sampling time recordings, separate proportional characteristics in a phase 1 study.14 based on the known effect of food on the absorption a meal prior to the dose (fasting vs fed vs unknown [reference]) was included on the rate of absorption based on the known effect of food on the absorption characteristics in a phase 1 study.14

**Significant Covariates**

The covariate forward-inclusion and backward-elimination process resulted in the addition of creatinine clearance (CrCL, capped at 120 mL/min), strong inhibitors of CYP2D6, albumin, and sex on CL/F and body weight, and albumin and sex on Vc/F for the full model. All covariates included in the full model were found to be significant in backward-elimination process and remained in the final model. Overall, by adding the covariates, the BSV was reduced by 25% and 32% for CL/F and Vc/F, respectively. Parameter estimates from the final model are presented in Table 4. All parameters were estimated with good precision. The typical values of CL/F, Vc/F, and ka from the final model were presented as follows:

\[
CL/F = 479 \cdot \left( \frac{WTKG}{100} \right)^{0.513} \cdot \left( \frac{ALB}{40} \right)^{0.427} \cdot 1.2^{\text{Male}} \cdot 0.88^{\text{CYP2D6} \geq 75} \cdot \frac{1}{\text{CrCL}}
\]

\[
Vc/F = 152 \cdot \left( \frac{WTKG}{100} \right)^{0.305} \cdot \left( \frac{ALB}{40} \right)^{0.280} \cdot 1.25^{\text{M}} \cdot 0.8^{\text{CYP2D6} \geq 75} \cdot \frac{1}{\text{CrCL}}
\]

\[
ka = 59.4 \cdot 1.1^{\text{Fast Inf}} \cdot 0.35^{\text{Fed}} \cdot \frac{1}{\text{CrCL}}
\]

where WTKG is body weight (kg), ALB is albumin (g/L), and CYP2D6 is strong CYP2D6 inhibitor comodication. For categorical covariates, the indicator function used was 1 if subject was in the respective category and 0 elsewise.

**Model Qualification**

The goodness-of-fit plots for the final model depicted in Figure 1a,b show a good agreement between observed and model-predicted veliparib plasma concentrations, indicating that the 1-compartment model adequately described most of the observed veliparib concentrations. The plots of CWRES versus population-predicted concentrations (Figure 1c,d) or time since last dose indicated that the model is unbiased.

Prediction-corrected visual predictive checks16 showed good agreement between simulated and observed concentrations with respect to both overall trend and variability, as shown in Figure 2. The small discrepancies in the absorption phase may be partly attributed to variability in the phase 1 studies that cannot be captured by the model because the estimation of additional individual parameters was not supported by the phase 2 and 3 data. The estimated pharmacokinetic parameter values based on the original data set were in good agreement with the medians of the parameter values estimated from the bootstrap (Table 4). The bootstrap analysis confirmed the robustness of the parameter estimates.

**Impact of Significant Covariates on Veliparib Exposure**

The impact of the covariates on the exposure (area under the plasma concentration-time curve at steady-state [AUCss], computed as dose divided by clearance) compared with a reference subject (female, no concomitant strong CYP2D6 inhibitors, CrCL ≥ 120 mL/min, albumin = 40 g/L) is shown in Figure 3. Mild (CrCL = 75 mL/min) and moderate (CrCL = 45 mL/min) renal impairment are predicted to result in a median increased veliparib AUCss of 27.3% (95% CI,
Table 4. Final Parameter Estimates for Veliparib Population Pharmacokinetic Final Model

| Parameter | Population Analysis | Bootstrap Analysis* |
|-----------|---------------------|---------------------|
| CL/F (L/day) | 479 (1.35) | 479 | 466-490 |
| Vc/F (L) | 152 (1.10) | 152 | 148-155 |
| k_a (1/day) | 59.4 (2.61) | 59.4 | 50.5-77.4 |
| Fed on k_a | 0.356 (3.93) | 0.350 | 0.257-0.447 |
| Fasting on k_a | 1.11 (4.05) | 1.10 | 0.726-1.55 |
| Albumin on CL/F | 0.427 (14.6) | 0.426 | 0.290-0.565 |
| Creatinine clearance on CL/F | 0.513 (5.98) | 0.513 | 0.453-0.571 |
| Strong inhibitors of CYP2D6 on CL/F | 0.885 (3.29) | 0.887 | 0.817-0.953 |
| Albumin on Vc/F | 0.260 (23.9) | 0.259 | 0.104-0.417 |
| Male on Vc/F | 1.25 (5.44) | 1.25 | 1.14-1.38 |
| Body weight on Vc/F | 0.505 (6.79) | 0.506 | 0.430-0.585 |
| Male on CL/F | 1.20 (4.95) | 1.20 | 1.09-1.32 |

| Parameter (BSV) | Estimate (%CV) b |
|----------------|------------------|
| BSV on CL/F | 0.085 (29.8) | 0.084 | 0.0753-0.0943 |
| BSV on Vc/F | 0.064 (25.7) | 0.062 | 0.0463-0.0818 |

| Parameter (RUV) | Estimate (%RSE) |
|----------------|-----------------|
| Additive error in absorption phase (μg/mL) | 0.004 (4.78) | 0.003 | 0.00137-0.00595 |
| Proportional error in absorption phase | 0.208 (2.95) | 0.209 | 0.193-0.226 |
| Additive error in elimination phase (μg/mL) | 2.96 × 10⁻⁷ (36.0) | 2.95 × 10⁻⁷ | 2.71 × 10⁻⁷ to 3.32 × 10⁻⁷ |
| Proportional error in elimination phase | 0.078 (1.44) | 0.078 | 0.0691-0.0863 |

BSV, between-subject variability; CI, confidence interval; CL/F, apparent oral clearance; CV, coefficient of variation; CYP, cytochrome P450; k_a, first order absorption rate constant; RSE, relative standard error; Vc/F, apparent volume of distribution of the central compartment.

*a All runs converged successfully.

b %CV is calculated as sqrt(exp[OMEGA(i,i)] - 1) × 100 from the NONMEM output.

Discussion

The population pharmacokinetics of veliparib were characterized in subjects with ovarian cancer, breast cancer, or other solid tumors. Previously reported veliparib population pharmacokinetic analyses included data primarily from phase 1 studies with 30-90 subjects8,10,11 or from a combination of phase 1 and phase 2 studies with up to 425 subjects.9,12 Current analysis involved the largest data set yet, with data from 1470 subjects obtained from a combination of 6 phase 1, 1 phase 2, and 2 phase 3 studies. The final model was a 1-compartment model with first-order absorption and first-order elimination. Although food effect was not identified as a significant covariate consistent with lack of significant food effect on veliparib pharmacokinetics, effect of food was included on the rate of absorption to best capture the delayed absorption in the presence of food in a small fraction of phase 1 patients in whom food effect was evaluated with extensive sampling in the absorption phase.14

An outlier identification and exclusion rule as described above was applied to the data during the postabsorption phase to avoid bias in the population and individual pharmacokinetic parameter estimates because of possible inaccurate dosing or sample collection times and resulted in less than 1.1% of data excluded from the analysis. A sensitivity analysis conducted with inclusion of the outliers resulted in the population estimates of the pharmacokinetic parameter estimates that were in close agreement with those estimated after exclusion of outliers. The goodness-of-fit plots and pcVPC plots were generally acceptable. Although the trough concentrations were captured well, small discrepancies in the absorption phase were

23.7%-30.9%) and 65.4% (95%CI, 56.0%-75.5%), respectively, compared with subjects with reference renal function (CrCL ≥ 120 mL/min). Male subjects were predicted to have 16.5% (7.53%-23.9%) lower AUCss compared with female subjects. Concomitant administration of strong CYP2D6 inhibitors was associated with a 13.0% (6.11%-20.8%) increase in AUCss. An increase or decrease in albumin of 5 g/L from the population median of 40 g/L was associated with a median decrease of 4.91% (95%CI, 3.53%-6.26%) or a median increase of 5.87% (95%CI, 4.16%-7.60%) in steady-state exposure (AUCss), respectively.
observed, which may be partly attributed to variability in the phase 1 studies (fasted vs. fed) that could not be captured by the model because the sparse pharmacokinetic data from phase 2 and 3 studies did not support estimation of additional pharmacokinetic parameters. The outlier detection and removal procedure further stabilized the model. The estimate of shrinkage for BSV on CL/F was small (13%), whereas that for Vc/F was slightly larger (28%). This is consistent with the majority of the data in the population pharmacokinetic data set being from phase 2 or 3 studies with sparse sampling and little data available in the absorption phase. Thus, the model was well suited for use in an exposure-response analysis that uses steady-state AUCs and average concentrations, whereas model-derived Cmax must be used with caution.

Veliparib is primarily metabolized by CYP450 (CYP) 2D6 and, to a lesser extent, by CYP3A4 and CYP2C19 enzymes. Veliparib is also a substrate of P-glycoprotein (P-gp), organic cation transporter 2 (OCT2), and multidrug and toxin extrusion protein 1/2K (MATE1/2K) transporters. In addition to the patient demographics and baseline characteristics

---

**Figure 1.** Goodness-of-fit plots for the veliparib final population pharmacokinetic model.

**Figure 2.** Prediction-corrected visual predictive check for veliparib final population pharmacokinetic model. The gray circles denote the observed concentrations. The shaded blue areas represent the 90% prediction interval of the 5th and 95th percentiles of simulated concentrations, the red areas represent the 90% prediction interval of the 50th percentile of simulated concentrations, the solid black line represents the median of observed concentrations, and the dashed black lines represent the 5th and 95th percentiles of the observed concentrations.
Figure 3. Model-predicted covariate effects on veliparib steady-state AUC compared with a reference subject. Note: reference for sex was female, for CrCL $\geq$ 120 mL/min, CYP2D6 inhibitors other than strong, and for the other covariates the population median. Moderate and severe impairment meant CrCL of 75 and 45 mL/min, respectively. Covariate effects are shown as median % AUC$_{ss}$ fold increase/decrease with corresponding 95%CI.

Consistent with the previous reports, creatinine clearance and body weight were found to be significant covariates of systemic clearance (CL/F) and Vc/F, respectively. It is also consistent with renal clearance being the predominant route of elimination for veliparib. Mild (CrCL = 75 mL/min) and moderate (CrCL = 45 mL/min) renal impairment are predicted to increase veliparib steady-state AUC (AUC$_{ss}$) by 25% and 65%, respectively, compared with subjects with reference renal function (CrCL $\geq$ 120 mL/min). A wide range of CrCL values (28.2 to 289 mL/min) was observed in the data set with 9.4% of subjects below 60 mL/min (138 subjects) and 1.6% (23 subjects) below 45 mL/min, thus providing reasonable confidence in the estimated effect of renal impairment. However, additional risk-benefit analyses are required to inform dose adjustment in subjects with renal impairment.

Body weight was shown to have an impact on Vc/F. For a 10-kg change in body weight (in the range of 35.7 to 182 kg), the apparent volume of distribution changed only by about 7% and was considered not clinically relevant. Furthermore, body weight did not affect the model-predicted veliparib AUC$_{ss}$. In addition, albumin, strong CYP2D6 inhibitors, and sex were identified as statistically significant covariates on CL/F and albumin and sex on Vc/F. An increase or decrease in albumin of 5 g/L from the population median of 40 g/L was associated with a median < 5% decrease or < 6% increase in AUC$_{ss}$, respectively. Male subjects were predicted to have about 17% lower AUC$_{ss}$ compared with female subjects. Concomitant administration of strong CYP2D6 inhibitors was associated with a 13% increase in AUC$_{ss}$ and is consistent with metabolism playing a minor role in veliparib clearance. These effects, although statistically significant, were not considered clinically relevant changes in veliparib exposure and thus do not warrant any adjustment of veliparib dose.
inducers of CYP2C19 and CYP3A4, and inhibitors of transporters (P-gp, MATE1/2, OCT2) were not found to significantly impact veliparib pharmacokinetic parameters. Lack of effect of region or ethnicity on veliparib pharmacokinetics was consistent with results from a phase 1 study of veliparib monotherapy in Japanese subjects that showed comparable veliparib pharmacokinetics between Japanese and Western subjects.18

In summary, the robustness of the model, size of the data set and range of covariates suggest that the analysis adequately characterized the population pharmacokinetics of veliparib in the cancer population.

Conclusions
The pharmacokinetics of veliparib were extensively characterized in patients with ovarian cancer, breast cancer, and other solid tumors and evaluated the influence of patient demographics and baseline characteristics on veliparib disposition using a large data set across phase 1/2/3 trials. Other than creatinine clearance, no covariates had a clinically relevant effect on veliparib exposure. Dose adjustments of veliparib based on body weight, age, sex, race, ethnicity, tumor type, coadministration of enzyme inhibitors/inducers or transporter inhibitors, and liver dysfunction are not warranted.
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