An Analysis of Exhaust Emission of the Internal Combustion Engine Treated by the Non-Thermal Plasma
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Abstract: Industries’ air pollution causes serious challenges to modern society, among them exhaust gases from internal combustion engines, which are currently one of the main sources. This study proposes a non-thermal plasma (NTP) system for placement in the exhaust system of internal combustion engines to reduce the toxic contaminants (HC, CO, and NOx) of exhaust gases. This NTP system generates a high-voltage discharge that not only responds to the ion chemical reaction to eliminate NOx and CO, but that also generates a combustion reaction at the local high temperature of plasma to reduce HC. The NTP system was designed on both the front and rear of the exhaust pipe to analyze the difference of different exhaust flow rates under the specified frequency. The results indicate that the NTP system can greatly reduce toxic contaminants. The NTP reactor placed in the front of exhaust pipe gave HC and CO removal efficiency of about 34.5% and 16.0%, respectively, while the NTP reactor placed in the rear of exhaust pipe gave NOx removal efficiency of about 41.3%. In addition, the voltage and material directly affect the exhaust gases obviously. In conclusion, the proposed NTP system installed in the exhaust system can significantly reduce air pollutants. These results suggest that applying NTP to the combustion engine should be a useful tool to simultaneously reduce both emissions of NOx and CO.
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1. Introduction

The last few years have witnessed rapid development in industrial technology, with an increasing demand for fuel-based energy. The combustion of fuel is the primary source of energy for vehicle engines, aircraft engines, and industrial machinery. Fuel combustion produces a combination of chemical energy, thermal energy, and exhaust gases. Portions of combustion gases harmful to the environment such as unburned hydrocarbon, nitrogen oxides, carbon monoxide, and particulate matter are released into the environment. The exhaust gases emitted into the atmosphere cause air pollution due to the incomplete combustion of carbonaceous fuel. Inhaling gases are harmful to humans and cause health issues such as lung cancer, asthma, cardiovascular diseases [1–3]. Inhaling the
exhaust gases damages the airway and may impair their function, especially the lungs [4]. Therefore, with mounting environmental concerns, the purification of exhaust gases from the internal combustion engine is becoming increasingly urgent to address. Balki et al. (2014) investigated the exhaust emission of an SI engine using gasoline, ethanol, and methanol at different engine speeds and observed that the use of alcohol instead of gasoline as the fuel in a low power engine caused a decline mainly in NO\textsubscript{x}, hydrocarbons (HC) and CO emissions. In addition, when methanol and ethanol were used in the combustion engine, the emissions of NO\textsubscript{x}, CO, and HC were reduced by 49% and 47.6%, 22.6% and 21.25%, and 21.6% and 19.13%, respectively [5]. Hsueh et al. (2016) studied a fuel temperature control device using a thermoelectric module (TEC) chip to measure engine performance and exhaust emission at various fuel temperatures and air/fuel (A/F) ratios. The emission ratios of HC and CO decreased but that of NO\textsubscript{x} increased as the fuel temperature increased [6]. Do˘gan et al. (2017) investigated ethanol-gasoline blends as fuel for a four-cylinder and four-stroke spark-ignition engine and found that ethanol added to gasoline in the combustion engine fuel caused a reduction in CO and NO\textsubscript{x} emission ratios [7].

In recent years, the development of non-thermal plasma (NTP) has attracted high interest and is considered as the green strategy for exhaust pollutant remediation. It can be used to remove various air pollutants such as SO\textsubscript{2}, NO\textsubscript{x}, HC, CO, and VOC [8,9]. In NTP, the electron temperature is higher than the gas temperature, which is close to room temperature. High energy electrons collide with surrounding gas molecules to produce reactive species such as dissociated molecules, ions, free radicals, and secondary electrons [10]. The reactive species actively combine with the environmental pollutants to form a decomposition product [11]. Various NTP systems such as the spark, dielectric barrier discharges, gliding arc, corona, microwave, and glow have been investigated for the direct conversion of exhaust gases [12–16]. The use of a plasma reactor with a catalyst to treat exhaust gases from gasoline engines was investigated by Dan et al. (2005), who observed that particulate matter removal efficiency ranged approximately from 25 to 57% [17]. Kim et al. (2017) investigated the effects of NTP on a lean premixed model gas turbine combustor of NO\textsubscript{x} and CO by changing the mixing nozzle exit velocity and the equivalence ratio. The result showed a reduction in NO\textsubscript{x} and CO emissions [18]. Adnan et al. (2017) tried to increase the rate of NTP decomposition by increasing the flow rates of the exhaust gases and could reduce the concentrations of CO, CO\textsubscript{2}, HC, and NO\textsubscript{x} by more than 95% [19].

In this study, the variation of toxic contaminants in the exhaust system of the internal gasoline engine was investigated by using the NTP system. The NTP reactor was placed in the front or rear of the exhaust pipe to treat exhaust gas and the variation of emission was analyzed. The exhaust gas variation of the engine was observed by controlling the position, voltage, and types of NTP reactors with different engine speeds.

2. Experimental Apparatus and Techniques

2.1. Experimental Setup

The schematic of the experimental setup is shown in Figure 1, which mainly includes three sections, the production of exhaust gas by the motorcycle engine, the device for exhaust emissions reduction by the NTP system, and the exhaust gas analyzer of the detection system. The engine used for this experimental test was the model GP-125 manufactured by KYMCO Co. Ltd. (Taiwan), and there was no catalyst inside the exhaust pipe. The specifications of the engine in the power and torque measurement test are mentioned in Table 1. The experimental control conditions of the engine are mentioned in Table 2. The engine speeds were set in the range of 4000–6000 rpm, a frequently used range for practical motorcycle engines. The detailed experimental setup of the NTP system is shown in Figure 2, which is consisted mainly of three parts, the NTP reactor, the voltage converter, and the NTP control device. The NTP reactors used for this experiment test were model CR8EGP and CR8EIX manufactured by NGK Spark Plug Co., Ltd. (Aichi, Japan). The specifications of NTP reactors are mentioned in Table 3. NTP reactor was placed in the model A, in the front of the exhaust pipe or model
B, in the rear of the exhaust pipe, as described in Figures 2 and 3. The voltage converter used for this experiment test was model 3051A-LGL3–900 (KYMCO Co. Ltd., Taiwan). The NTP control device used for this experiment test was model DP-30032 (HILA International Inc, Taiwan). The specifications of the NTP control device are mentioned in Table 4. Pulsed voltages with a frequency of 10 Hz and adjustable amplitudes from 2 to 5 V were applied to the electrodes to produce a spark. The exhaust gas analyzer used for this experiment test was model EF-306EN (Exford, Taiwan), which measures the range of each exhaust gas, as mentioned in Table 5. The exhaust gas was measured at the end of the exhaust pipe and the data were recorded for 5 min under normal conditions to calculate an average. The A/F ratio was maintained at 13.7 to fix the stable fuel supply condition and observe the change in engine power performance and emission.

Figure 1. Schematic of this experiment and setup location.

| Parameter         | Value               |
|-------------------|---------------------|
| Model             | GP125               |
| Stoke             | four-stoke          |
| Engine type       | Single cylinder     |
| Displacement (c.c.) | 124.6              |
| Bore × Stoke (mm) | 52.4 × 57.8         |
| Compression ratio | 9.9:1               |
| Fuel              | Unleaded gasoline   |
| Max power (kw/rpm)| 7.8/7500            |
| Max torque (N-m/rpm)| 10.3/5500         |
| Wheelbase (mm)    | 1220                |
| Air/fuel ratio (A/F)| 13.7               |

Table 1. The engine specification of motorcycle.

| Parameter                  | Value          |
|----------------------------|----------------|
| Engine speed (rpm)         | 4000, 5000, 6000 |
| Fuel octane rating         | 95             |
| Engine temperature (°C)    | 100–110        |
| Intake air temperature (°C)| 22–26          |

Table 2. The control conditions of engine.

Figure 2. The setup of non-thermal plasma (NTP) system.
Table 3. The types of NTP reactor.

| Parameter                        | Value                |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|
| Model                            | NGK-CR8EGP           |
| Material                         | Platinum             |
| Discharging Gap (before used) (mm)| 0.7                  |
| Discharging Gap (after used) (mm)| 0.7                  |
| Center electrode diameter (mm)   | 0.8                  |
| Outer electrode diameter (mm)    | 2.20                 |
| Type One                         | NGK-CR8EIX           |
| Material                         | Iridium alloy        |
| Discharging Gap (before used) (mm)| 0.8                  |
| Discharging Gap (after used) (mm)| 0.7                  |
| Center electrode diameter (mm)   | 0.8                  |
| Outer electrode diameter (mm)    | 1.85                 |

Figure 3. Photographic view of the device set up on (a) model A and (b) model B of the experimental motorcycle.

Table 4. The specification of NTP control device.

| Parameter                        | Value                                    |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Model                            | DP-30032                                 |
| Main output voltage (V)          | 0–30                                     |
| Main output current (A)          | 0–3                                      |
| Fixed output voltage (V)         | 2.5/3.3/5                                |
| Fixed output current (A)         | 3                                        |
| Resolution (mV/mA)              | 100/10                                   |
| Precision                        | ±(1% reading + 2 digits)                 |
| Power supply (V, Hz)             | AC110/220 ± 10% selectable, 50/60        |
| Dimensions (mm)                  | 250W × 150H × 310D                       |

Table 5. The range of each exhaust gas.

| Parameter | Measuring Range | Tolerance |
|-----------|-----------------|-----------|
| HC (ppm)  | 0–2000          | ±12       |
| CO (%)    | 0–10            | ±0.06     |
| CO₂ (%)   | 0–20            | ±0.5      |
| NOₓ (ppm) | 0–5000          | ±25       |

2.2. Experimental Procedure

The measurement equipment was adjusted and corrected before the experiment began. The preparation and measurement procedures were as follows:

1. The engine condition of the motorcycle, such as engine oil, air filter, and fuel was checked.
2. The exhaust gas analyzer was calibrated and warmed up.
3. The engine was initiated for 30 min for the temperature to reach 100 °C.
4. The NTP system was checked and the type of NTP reactor was selected.
5. Before each experiment, a new NTP reactor was replaced.
In this study, the engine speed was 4000, 5000, and 6000 rpm, and the engine combustion produced exhaust gas when the engine started. The exhaust gas was discharged to the exhaust gas analyzer after passing through the NTP reactor. The data were directly recorded with the help of Microsoft Excel. The sparking frequency of the NTP control device was 10 Hz. The experimental procedure is presented in Figure 4. In these experiments, the different rpm of the engine were measured and compared to determine the optimal type and location of the NTP system. Based on the results of this experiment, the optimal condition of the NTP system was obtained and later applied to an actual vehicle.

![Figure 4. The procedure of experimental.](image)

The dissociation process of exhaust gas was defined as:

The removal efficiency of pollutants (RE) for HC, CO, and NOx:

\[
RE(\%) = \frac{[\text{Gas}]_{\text{off}} - [\text{Gas}]_{\text{on}}}{[\text{Gas}]_{\text{off}}} \times 100\% \tag{1}
\]

The conversion efficiency of pollutants (CE) for CO2:

\[
CE(\%) = \frac{[\text{Gas}]_{\text{on}} - [\text{Gas}]_{\text{off}}}{[\text{Gas}]_{\text{off}}} \times 100\% \tag{2}
\]

where \([\text{Gas}]_{\text{off}}\) is the exhaust emission value without the NTP system; \([\text{Gas}]_{\text{on}}\) is the exhaust emission value with the NTP system.

2.3. NTP Reactor

The spark is generated by the electrode gap of the NTP reactor. (Tables 3 and 4). The combustible gas is ignited in the exhaust gas. The exhaust gas composition of engine combustion includes HC, CO, CO2, NOx, SO2, and PM2.5, etc. But the instrument used in this article can only measure HC, CO, CO2, and NOx. Therefore, the major reactions in the exhaust gas reduction by the NTP reactor are listed below [20–23].
The short high current spark with excited atomic radicals, excited molecules, and ions are generated, and the temperature during the spark phase can be as high as 3000 K. Therefore, we considered the Zeldovich thermal mechanism (see the equations below) of NO\textsubscript{x} generation.

\[ N_2 + O \rightarrow NO + N \]  
\[ N + O_2 \rightarrow NO + O \]  
\[ \text{N}_2 + e^- \rightarrow \text{N} + \text{N} \]  
\[ \text{N} + \text{N} \rightarrow \text{N}_2 \]  
\[ \text{H}_2\text{O} + e^- \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{H} \]  
\[ \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{O} \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{OH} \]  
\[ \text{OH} + \text{O} \rightarrow \text{O}_2 + \text{H} \]  
\[ \text{OH} + \text{O}_3 \rightarrow \text{HO}_2 + \text{O}_2 \]  
\[ \text{OH} + \text{HO}_2 \rightarrow \text{O}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \]  
\[ \text{CO}_2 + e^- \rightarrow \text{CO} + \text{O} \]  
\[ \text{CO}_2 + e^- \rightarrow \text{C} + \text{O}_2 \]  
\[ \text{CO} + \text{O} \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 \]  
\[ \text{CO} + e^- \rightarrow \text{C} + \text{O} \]  
\[ \text{CO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2 \]  
\[ \text{C} + \text{O}_3 \rightarrow \text{CO} + \text{O}_2 \]  
\[ \text{O}_2 + \text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{O} + \text{O} \]  
\[ \text{O} + \text{O} \rightarrow \text{O}_2 \]  
\[ \text{O}_3 + \text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{O}_2 + \text{O} \]  
\[ \text{O} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{O}_3 \]  
\[ \text{O} + \text{O}_3 \rightarrow \text{O}_2 + \text{O}_2 \]  
\[ \text{OH} + \text{O}_3 \rightarrow \text{HO}_2 + \text{O}_2 \]  
\[ \text{OH} + \text{HO}_2 \rightarrow \text{O}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \]  

Under a higher energy electron collision, \text{N}_2 transforms into \text{N} radicals and the water molecules partially dissociate to form active species, such as \text{OH} and \text{H} radicals. The results of \text{H}_2\text{O} and \text{N}_2 molecules at higher energy electron collision reactions are listed below [21,23].

\[ \text{N}_2 + e^- \rightarrow \text{N} + \text{N} \]  
\[ \text{N} + \text{N} \rightarrow \text{N}_2 \]  
\[ \text{H}_2\text{O} + e^- \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{H} \]  
\[ \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{O} \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{OH} \]  
\[ \text{OH} + \text{O} \rightarrow \text{O}_2 + \text{H} \]  
\[ \text{OH} + \text{O}_3 \rightarrow \text{HO}_2 + \text{O}_2 \]  
\[ \text{OH} + \text{HO}_2 \rightarrow \text{O}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \]  

The mechanism for the destruction of CO\textsubscript{2} in a non-thermal plasma involves the electron-induced dissociation of CO\textsubscript{2} to give CO and O followed by recombination of the oxygen atoms to give the final products, CO and O\textsubscript{2}. CO can also recombine with atomic oxygen to reform CO\textsubscript{2}. The reactions are listed below [19,20].

\[ \text{CO}_2 + e^- \rightarrow \text{CO} + \text{O} \]  
\[ \text{CO}_2 + e^- \rightarrow \text{C} + \text{O}_2 \]  
\[ \text{CO} + \text{O} \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 \]  
\[ \text{CO} + e^- \rightarrow \text{C} + \text{O} \]  
\[ \text{CO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2 \]  
\[ \text{C} + \text{O}_3 \rightarrow \text{CO} + \text{O}_2 \]  

The process of NO\textsubscript{x} removal mainly occurs through the oxidation pathway. Once N and O radicals are formed, the most important reactions of the oxidation of NO to NO\textsubscript{2} are listed below [21–23].

\[ \text{N} + \text{O} \rightarrow \text{NO} \]
N + O₃ → NO + O₂  \hspace{1cm} (24)
NO + e^- → N + O  \hspace{1cm} (25)
NO₂ + e^- → N + O + O  \hspace{1cm} (26)
NO + O → NO₂  \hspace{1cm} (27)
NO + O₃ → NO₂ + O₂  \hspace{1cm} (28)
NO + N → N₂ + O  \hspace{1cm} (29)
NO + H₂O → NO₂ + 2H  \hspace{1cm} (30)
NO₂ + O₃ → NO₃ + O₂  \hspace{1cm} (31)
NO₂ + HO₂ → HNO₃ + O  \hspace{1cm} (32)
NO₂ + OH → HNO₃  \hspace{1cm} (33)

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. HC

Figures 5–8 present the HC emission value and the removal efficiency using different materials (Table 3) and at various locations (Figures 2 and 3) of the NTP reactor. The HC emissions are known to be a result of the poor combustion of the fuel [24]. We found that the control voltage of 2 to 5 V in the NTP system could reduce the HC emissions at different engine speeds. The minimum HC removal efficiency was 1.2% at the control voltage 2 V for platinum (type one) at 6000 rpm and for reactor in the front of the exhaust system (model A). The control voltage 1 V exhibited little change in the HC removal efficiency, possibly because the voltage and the spark were too small. Therefore, it does not show in the figure. The control voltage 0 V indicates the original exhaust gas without the NTP system. Our results show that HC removal efficiency increased with increasing voltage because of the increase in shape and density of the spark with increasing voltage. With the increase in the contact area of the large spark and high density with the HC exhaust gas, the re-burning probability of the HC exhaust gas increased. Particularly, the shape and density of the spark approached the critical value at the control voltage 4 V, and the spark variation was small from the control voltage of 5 to 4 V.

![Figure 5](image_url)  
**Figure 5.** The HC (a) emission values and (b) removal efficiency (RE) using platinum in the front of the exhaust pipe.
The HC removal efficiency was 11.9% for platinum (type one) at 6000 rpm and for reactor in the front of the exhaust system (model B). The minimum HC removal efficiency was 34.5% for iridium alloy (type two) at 6000 rpm and for reactor in the rear of the exhaust system (model B). These results indicate that HC exhaust gas concentration increases with the increase in engine speed. This is because, with the increase in the engine speed, the gasoline fuel gets no time to burn in the engine. The NTP reactor materials of type one and type two reduce the HC exhaust gas concentration. In model A, the HC removal efficiency increased with decreasing engine speed because of the slow flow rate of exhaust gas with decreasing engine speed. The re-burning probability of HC exhaust gas increased.

Figures 9–11 show the HC emission value and removal efficiency at control voltage 5 V using different materials and at various locations of the NTP reactor. Table 6 shows the maximum and minimum values of HC removal efficiency at control voltage 5 V using different materials and at various locations of the NTP reactor. The maximum HC removal efficiency was 34.5% for iridium alloy (type two) at 6000 rpm and for reactor in the rear of the exhaust system (model B). The minimum HC removal efficiency was 11.9% for platinum (type one) at 6000 rpm and for the reactor in the front of the exhaust system (model A). These results indicate that HC exhaust gas concentration increases with the increase in engine speed. This is because, with the increase in the engine speed, the gasoline fuel gets no time to burn in the engine. The NTP reactor materials of type one and type two reduce the HC exhaust gas concentration and type two exhibited a better effect than the type one. This is because the low resistance and small electrode area of type two material cause the formation of a larger spark with a higher internal density. With the increase in the contact area of large spark and high density with the HC exhaust gas, the re-burning probability of HC exhaust gas increased. The NTP reactor location in models A and B reduced the HC exhaust gas concentration. In model A, the HC removal efficiency increased with decreasing engine speed because of the slow flow rate of exhaust gas with decreasing engine speed.
The reduction in HC removal efficiency increased with the increase in contact time between the spark and HC exhaust gas. In model B, the HC removal efficiency increased with an increase in engine speed. This is because the flow rate of exhaust gas was almost the same in model B. The re-burning probability of HC exhaust gas increased with an increase in HC gas flow per unit area. The reduction in HC removal efficiency of model B was higher than that of model A. This is because of the larger cross-sectional area in model B, which leads to the complete release of spark and slow gas flow rate, increasing the re-burning probability of HC exhaust gas. Therefore, HC exhaust gas emissions get reduced.

Figure 9. The HC (a) emission values and (b) RE of control voltage 5 V using platinum.

Figure 10. The HC (a) emission values and (b) RE of control voltage 5 V using iridium alloy.

Figure 11. The HC RE at control voltage 5 V.

Table 6. The maximum and minimum values of HC removal efficiency at control voltage 5 V.

| Parameter                                                | HC RE Value |
|----------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| Platinum and in the front of the exhaust pipe (Type one and model A) | 15.3%       |
| Platinum and in the front of the exhaust pipe (Type two and model A) | 18.5%       |
| Iridium alloy and in the rear of the exhaust pipe (Type one and model B) | 29.8%       |
| Iridium alloy and in the rear of the exhaust pipe (Type two and model B) | 34.5%       |
3.2. CO

Figures 12–15 present the CO emission value and removal efficiency of the different types and locations of NTP reactor. The control voltage of 2 to 5 V in the NTP system could reduce the CO emissions at different engine speeds. The minimum CO removal efficiency was 1.2% at the control voltage 2 V for Platinum (type one) and for in the front of the exhaust system (model A) at 6000 rpm. The results show that the removal efficiency of CO increases with increasing voltage. This is because with increasing voltage, the shape of the spark gets larger, leading to an increase in the production of free radicals. Abundant reactive radicals and higher combustion reaction temperature caused the increase in the dissociation probability of CO exhaust gas.

![Figure 12](image1.png)

**Figure 12.** The CO (a) emission values and (b) RE using platinum in the front of the exhaust pipe.

![Figure 13](image2.png)

**Figure 13.** The CO (a) emission values and (b) RE using iridium alloy in the front of the exhaust pipe.

![Figure 14](image3.png)

**Figure 14.** The CO (a) emission values and (b) RE using platinum in the rear of the exhaust pipe.
The CO removal efficiency was 3.3% for platinum (type one) at 6000 rpm and for reactor in the front of the exhaust pipe (Type one and model A). The NTP reactor material of types one and type two reduced the CO exhaust gas concentration. The effect of type two NTP reactor material was found to be better than that of type one because, low resistance forms larger spark and high internal density. The production of free radicals increases by increasing the spark size. The larger amount of radicals and higher combustion reaction temperature caused an increase in the dissociation probability of CO exhaust gas. The dissociation of CO is broadly divided into two parts. In the first part, the dissociation of CO gives carbon and oxygen atoms. Carbon atoms get deposited on the surface of the NTP reactor, as shown in Figure 19. The second part involves the recombination of CO and oxygen atoms to form the CO$_2$. The location of the NTP reactor in models A and B reduce the CO exhaust gas concentration. In model A, the CO removal efficiency increased with a decrease in the engine speed, which caused the flow rate of exhaust gas to be slow. The dissociation probability of CO exhaust gas increased with the increase in contact time between the spark and CO exhaust gas. The CO removal efficiency was the highest in model B at 5000 rpm because the flow rate of exhaust gas was almost the same in model B. The dissociation probability of CO exhaust gas increased with an increase in CO gas flow per unit area. The reduction in CO removal efficiency of model B is higher than that of model A. This is because in model B, the dissociation of free radical and CO exhaust gas has a larger space, and the flow rate of exhaust gas was slow. This reduced the CO exhaust gas emissions. However, the amount of change in the CO exhaust gas measurement is small, there may be random errors in this experiment which may be caused by ambient temperature, humidity and dust. The random errors of CO exhaust values is about 0.1.

Figures 16–18 present the CO emission value and removal efficiency of control voltage 5 V using different materials and at various locations of the NTP reactor. Table 7 shows the maximum and minimum values of CO removal efficiency at control voltage 5 V using different materials and at various locations of the NTP reactor. The maximum CO removal efficiency was 16.0% for iridium alloy (type two) at 5000 rpm and for reactor in the rear of the exhaust system (model B). The minimum CO removal efficiency was 3.3% for platinum (type one) at 6000 rpm and for reactor in the front of the exhaust system (model A). The NTP reactor material of types one and type two reduced the CO exhaust gas concentration. The effect of type two NTP reactor material was found to be better than that of type one because, low resistance forms larger spark and high internal density. The production of free radicals increases by increasing the spark size. The larger amount of radicals and higher combustion reaction temperature caused an increase in the dissociation probability of CO exhaust gas. The dissociation of CO is broadly divided into two parts. In the first part, the dissociation of CO gives carbon and oxygen atoms. Carbon atoms get deposited on the surface of the NTP reactor, as shown in Figure 19. The second part involves the recombination of CO and oxygen atoms to form the CO$_2$. The location of the NTP reactor in models A and B reduce the CO exhaust gas concentration. In model A, the CO removal efficiency increased with a decrease in the engine speed, which caused the flow rate of exhaust gas to be slow. The dissociation probability of CO exhaust gas increased with the increase in contact time between the spark and CO exhaust gas. The CO removal efficiency was the highest in model B at 5000 rpm because the flow rate of exhaust gas was almost the same in model B. The dissociation probability of CO exhaust gas increased with an increase in CO gas flow per unit area. The reduction in CO removal efficiency of model B is higher than that of model A. This is because in model B, the dissociation of free radical and CO exhaust gas has a larger space, and the flow rate of exhaust gas was slow. This reduced the CO exhaust gas emissions. However, the amount of change in the CO exhaust gas measurement is small, there may be random errors in this experiment which may be caused by ambient temperature, humidity and dust. The random errors of CO exhaust values is about 0.1.
Figure 17. The CO (a) emission values and (b) RE of control voltage 5 V using iridium alloy.

![Figure 17](image)

Table 7. The maximum and minimum values of CO removal efficiency at control voltage 5 V.

| Parameter                                           | CO RE Value |
|-----------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| Platinum and in the front of the exhaust pipe       |             |
| (Type one and model A)                              | 7%          |
| Iridium alloy and in the front of the exhaust pipe  | 10.8%       |
| (Type two and model A)                              | 5.9%        |
| Platinum and in the rear of the exhaust pipe        |             |
| (Type one and model B)                              | 13%         |
| Iridium alloy and in the rear of the exhaust pipe   | 16%         |
| (Type two and model B)                              | 13.2%       |

Figure 18. The CO RE at control voltage 5 V.

![Figure 18](image)

Figure 19. A photographic view of NTP reactor (a) platinum and (c) iridium alloy before this experiment and (b) platinum and (d) iridium alloy after this experiment.

![Figure 19](image)

3.3. CO₂

Figures 20–23 present the CO₂ emission value and conversion efficiency using the different materials and at various locations of the NTP reactor. The control voltage of 2 to 5 V in the NTP
system could increase the CO$_2$ emissions at different engine speeds. The maximum CO$_2$ conversion efficiency was 0.9% at the control voltage 2 V for platinum (type one) at 4000 rpm and for reactor in the front of the exhaust system (model A). The results show that CO$_2$ conversion efficiency increases with decreasing voltage. This is because decreasing voltage leads to a smaller shape of spark and this reduction causes a decline in the production of free radicals. Ultimately, this reduces the dissociation probability of CO$_2$ exhaust gas.

Figures 24–26 show the CO$_2$ emission value and conversion efficiency at control voltage 5 V using different materials and at various locations of the NTP reactor. Table 8 shows the maximum and minimum values of CO$_2$ conversion efficiency at control voltage 5 V using different materials and at various locations of the NTP reactor. The maximum CO$_2$ conversion efficiency was 0.6% for platinum (type one) at 4000 rpm and for reactor in the front of the exhaust system (model A). The minimum CO$_2$ conversion efficiency was ~0.3% for iridium alloy (type two) at 4000 rpm and for reactor in the rear of the exhaust system (model B). Most of the NTP reactor materials of type one and type two increase CO$_2$ exhaust gas concentration, while the type one exhibits a better effect than the type two. The reduced production of free radicals causes the dissociation probability of CO$_2$ exhaust gas to decrease. CO$_2$ is also obtained from the combination of CO and oxygen atoms. The dissociation of CO$_2$ is divided into two parts. The first part involves the dissociation of CO$_2$ to give CO and oxygen atoms. In the second part, the dissociation of CO$_2$ gives carbon and oxygen atoms. Carbon atoms get deposited on the surface of the NTP reactor (Figure 19). Most of the NTP reactor locations of model A and model B increase the CO$_2$ exhaust gas concentration. This is because the amount of CO$_2$ dissociation is less than the amount of recombination of CO and oxygen atoms. This result is similar to that of CO exhaust gas emissions. However, the amount of change in the CO$_2$ exhaust gas measurement was small, thus, there may be errors in measurement.

Figure 20. The CO$_2$ (a) emission values and (b) CE at platinum in the front of the exhaust pipe.

Figure 21. The CO$_2$ (a) emission values and (b) CE using iridium alloy in the front of the exhaust pipe.
The results show that NOx removal reactor. The principal source of NOx emissions is the oxidation of atmospheric nitrogen. We found that a control voltage between 2 to 5 V in the NTP system, could reduce NOx emissions at different types and locations of the NTP reactor. The reduced production of free radicals causes the dissociation probability of CO2 exhaust gas to decrease. CO2 is also obtained from the combination of CO and oxygen atoms. The dissociation of CO2 gives carbon and oxygen atoms. Carbon atoms get deposited on the surface of the NTP reactor (Figure 19). Most of the NTP reactor locations of model A and model B increase the CO2 exhaust gas concentration. This is because the amount of CO2 conversion efficiency at control voltage 5 V. The maximum and minimum values of CO2 conversion efficiency at control voltage 5 V. The maximum and minimum values of CO2 conversion efficiency at control voltage 5 V. The maximum and minimum values of CO2 conversion efficiency at control voltage 5 V. The maximum and minimum values of CO2 conversion efficiency at control voltage 5 V.

Figure 22. The CO2 (a) emission values and (b) CE using platinum in the rear of the exhaust pipe.

Figure 23. The CO2 (a) emission values and (b) CE using iridium alloy in the rear of the exhaust pipe.

Figure 24. The CO2 (a) emission values and (b) CE of control voltage 5 V using platinum.

Figure 25. The CO2 (a) emission values and (b) CE of control voltage 5 V using iridium alloy.
Figure 26. The CO₂ CE at control voltage 5 V.

Table 8. The maximum and minimum values of CO₂ conversion efficiency at control voltage 5 V.

| Parameter                                                                 | CO₂ CE Value          |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|
|                                                                            | Maximum  | Minimum |
| Platinum and in the front of the exhaust pipe (Type one and model A)      | 0.6%     | 0.3%    |
| Iridium alloy and in the front of the exhaust pipe (Type two and model A) | 0.5%     | 0.3%    |
| Platinum and in the rear of the exhaust pipe (Type one and model B)       | 0.1%     | −0.1%   |
| Iridium alloy and in the rear of the exhaust pipe (Type two and model B)  | 0%       | −0.3%   |

3.4. NOₓ

Figure 27 presents the oil temperature of the engine at different engine speeds. Figures 28–31 present the NOₓ emission value and the removal efficiency at different types and locations of the NTP reactor. The principal source of NOₓ emissions is the oxidation of atmospheric nitrogen. We found that a control voltage between 2 to 5 V in the NTP system, could reduce NOₓ emissions at different engine speeds. The maximum NOₓ removal efficiency was 41.3% at the control voltage 4 V for iridium alloy (type two) at 3000 rpm and for reactor in the front of the exhaust system (model A). The minimum NOₓ removal efficiency was 4.7% at the control voltage 2 V for platinum (type one) at 6000 rpm and for reactor in the rear of the exhaust system (model B). The results show that NOₓ removal efficiency increased with increasing voltage, however, NOₓ removal efficiency began decreasing after reaching control voltage 4 V. This is because the change in the spark of control voltage 5 V to the control voltage 4 V was small. The free radicals and exhaust gas degradation appeared to be similar, but the combustion reaction temperature increased. With the increase in combustion reaction temperature, the amount of diatomic nitrogen dissociated from the monatomic nitrogen increased and finally resulted in high NOₓ.

Figure 27. The oil temperature of the engine at different engine speeds.
various locations of the NTP reactor. The maximum NO\textsubscript{x} removal efficiency was 37.6% for iridium alloy (type two) at 4000 rpm and for reactor in the front of the exhaust system (model A). The minimum NO\textsubscript{x} removal efficiency was 7.5% for platinum (type one) at 6000 rpm and for reactor in the rear of the exhaust system (model B). The results show an increase in NO\textsubscript{x} exhaust gas emissions are reduced.

The effect of type two material was found to be better than type one. The production of free radicals increased by increasing the spark size and the area of contact between the free radicals and NO\textsubscript{x}. This enhanced the probability of dissociation of NO\textsubscript{x} exhaust gas to give NO\textsubscript{2020} and finally resulted in high NO\textsubscript{x}.

Moreover, the NO\textsubscript{x} removal efficiency increased with decreasing engine speed. At high temperatures, nitrogen and oxygen atoms easily recombine to form NO\textsubscript{x}. The NTP reactor materials of type one and type two reduce the NO\textsubscript{x} exhaust gas concentration.

Figures 32–34 present NO\textsubscript{x} emission value and removal efficiency at control voltage 5 V using different materials and at various locations of the NTP reactor. Table 9 shows the maximum and minimum values of NO\textsubscript{x} removal efficiency at control voltage 5 V using different materials and at various locations of the NTP reactor. The maximum NO\textsubscript{x} removal efficiency was 37.6% for iridium alloy (type two) at 4000 rpm and for reactor in the front of the exhaust system (model A). The minimum

![Figure 28](image-url)  
Figure 28. The NO\textsubscript{x} (a) emission values and (b) RE using platinum in the front of the exhaust pipe.

![Figure 29](image-url)  
Figure 29. The NO\textsubscript{x} (a) emission values and (b) RE using iridium alloy in the front of the exhaust pipe.
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Figure 30. The NO\textsubscript{x} (a) emission values and (b) RE using platinum and in the rear of the exhaust pipe.

![Figure 31](image-url)  
Figure 31. The NO\textsubscript{x} (a) emission values and (b) RE using iridium alloy in the rear of the exhaust pipe.
NOx removal efficiency was 7.5% for platinum (type one) at 6000 rpm and for reactor in the rear of the exhaust system (model B). The results show an increase in NOx exhaust gas concentration with an increase in engine speed, which then causes the temperature of the combustion chamber to increase. At high temperatures, nitrogen and oxygen atoms easily recombine to form NOx. The NTP reactor materials of type one and type two reduce the NOx exhaust gas concentration. The effect of type two material was found to be better than type one. The production of free radicals increased by increasing the spark size and the area of contact between the free radicals and NOx exhaust gas also increased. This enhanced the probability of dissociation of NOx exhaust gas to give nitrogen and oxygen atoms. The NTP reactor location in models A and B reduced the NOx exhaust gas concentration. Moreover, the NOx removal efficiency increased with decreasing engine speed because of the slower the flow rate of exhaust gas and the lower temperature of exhaust gas. The NOx removal efficiency of model A was better among the two models because the products of NOx dissociation can easily recombine to form the NOx at high temperatures. The combination possibility of nitrogen and oxygen atoms is lower at the faster flow rate of the exhaust gas. Therefore, the NOx exhaust gas emissions are reduced.

Table 9. The maximum and minimum values of NOx removal efficiency at control voltage 5 V.

| Parameter | Parameter | NOx RE Value |
|-----------|-----------|--------------|
| Control voltage 5 V | Maximum | Minimum |
| Platinum and in the front of the exhaust pipe (Type one and model A) | 24.8% | 9.8% |
| Iridium alloy and in the front of the exhaust pipe (Type two and model A) | 37.6% | 18% |
| Platinum and in the rear of the exhaust pipe (Type one and model B) | 19.3% | 7.5% |
| Iridium alloy and in the rear of the exhaust pipe (Type two and model B) | 30.1% | 17% |

Figure 32. The NOx (a) emission values and (b) RE of control voltage 5 V using platinum.

Figure 33. The NOx (a) emission values and (b) RE of control voltage 5 V using iridium alloy.
4. Conclusions

In this study, a motorcycle engine was examined using the NTP system to measure exhaust gas emission using different materials, voltage, and locations of the NTP reactor.

Considering the HC exhaust gas emissions, the NTP system reburns HC exhaust gas to reduce the HC exhaust gas concentration. The HC removal efficiency increases with increasing voltage. The shapes and density of the spark approached critical values at the control voltage 4 V. The HC exhaust gas emissions of model B were lower than model A. The effect of type two NTP reactor material was better than that of type one. The maximum reduction of HC removal efficiency obtained with the NTP system reached 34.5%, while the minimum HC removal efficiency was 1.2%.

Considering the CO exhaust gas emissions, the reaction between free radicals and CO exhaust gas gates mainly dissociated to obtain carbon and oxygen atoms. Carbon gets deposited on the surface of the NTP reactor and affects the life of the NTP reactor. Furthermore, CO and oxygen atoms also recombine to form CO$_2$. The CO removal efficiency increases with the increase in voltage. The CO removal efficiency of model B was found to be higher than that of model A. The effect of the type two NTP reactor material was better than type one. The maximum reduction of CO removal efficiency obtained with the NTP system reached 16.0%, while the minimum CO removal efficiency was 1.2%.

In terms of the CO$_2$ exhaust gas emissions, the CO$_2$ exhaust gas dissociates to form CO and oxygen atoms, and the dissociation of CO$_2$ obtains carbon atoms and oxygen atoms. Like CO, carbon gets deposited on the surface of the NTP reactor. However, most of the amount of dissociation was found to be less than the amount of HC re-burning and CO reduction. Therefore, the total amount of CO$_2$ increased and the CO$_2$ conversion efficiency increased with decreasing voltage. The CO$_2$ conversion efficiency of model A was higher than that of model B. The effect of type one NTP reactor material was better than that of type two. The maximum CO$_2$ conversion efficiency obtained with the NTP system reached 0.9%, while the minimum CO$_2$ conversion efficiency was −0.3%.

Considering the NO$_x$ exhaust gas emissions, the NO$_x$ gets dissociated by the NTP system to reduce the NO$_x$ exhaust gas concentration. The removal efficiency NO$_x$ increased with increasing voltage, but the NO$_x$ exhaust gas removal efficiency began to decrease after reaching control voltage 4 V. As the combustion reaction temperature increased, the amount of diatomic nitrogen dissociated from the monatomic nitrogen increased and finally resulted in high NO$_x$. The NO$_x$ exhaust gas emissions of model A were lower than that of model B. The effect of type two NTP reactor material was better than that of type one. The maximum reduction of NO$_x$ exhaust gas emissions removal efficiency obtained with the NTP system reached 41.3%, while the minimum NO$_x$ removal efficiency was 4.7%.

Finally, according to the above discussion, the effect of iridium alloy is better than platinum for HC, CO, CO$_2$, and NO$_x$ emission removal. The effect of the NTP reactor in the rear of the exhaust system is better than in the front of the exhaust system for HC, CO, and CO$_2$ emission removal. However, the NO$_x$ emission removal for the reactor in front of the exhaust system is better than that in the rear of the exhaust system.
5. Patents
Taiwan Patent M526623.
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