Agriculture is the base to all strategies for planned socio-economic development of most of the countries. Studies have shown that 40% of food production is achieved from 17% of the irrigated areas in the world. As it is not possible to expand agricultural area and possibility of increasing production per unit area of available land and water resources are minimal, it is the need of time that surface and groundwater should be used in conjunction for optimal utilization of water resources. It is imperative to optimize the good quality available water and land resources to achieve maximum agricultural revenues. The optimal allocation of resources can be achieved by using an optimization model. Linear programming technique is presented to arrive at an optimal allocation of resources for the maximization of farm income. The study area taken in this study is commanded by the 23-R, distributary which is a part of the Panam irrigation project. The present study was undertaken for optimizing the cropping pattern under different scenarios including available surface and groundwater resources for maximizing the net return in the study area using linear programming optimization technique. In this study optimum cropping pattern was proposed for maximum net return for the major crops in the distributaries. Besides farmers affinity design and existing canal water supply rate and different scenarios of groundwater and surface water were considered. It is found in this study that by using the optimization techniques the net return of the major crops such as Wheat, Maize and Fodder can be increased by 4.8, 6.7 and 11.7 times respectively compared to the existing cropping pattern in the canal distributary.
Introduction

In India 91.6% of the water is used for irrigation purpose as compared to 84% in overall Asia & 71% in the world (1). The ultimate irrigation potential of India has been estimated as 140 Mha. Out of this, 76 Mha would come from surface water and 64 Mha from ground water resources (1). Groundwater represents the second-most abundantly available freshwater resources and constitutes about 30% of fresh water resources of the globe (2). Conjunctive use of surface water and groundwater combines the advantage of groundwater with surface water system and serves both a remedial and corrective measures for efficient management. Therefore, it is always desired that surface and groundwater should be used in conjunction for optimal utilization of water resources and minimization of delirious effects of isolated development. Moreover, the climate change impacts and deteriorating water quality due to contamination of different water sources, further limit the water availability (3). Therefore, it is imperative to optimize the good quality available water and land resources to achieve maximum agricultural revenues (4). Therefore, the present study was undertaken for optimal cropping pattern for available surface and groundwater resources in the Panam canal command area using linear programming optimization technique.

Materials and Methods

Study area

Location

The study area is located in Sahera village, which comes under the periphery of Panchmahal district in Gujarat state. It is commanded by the 23-R, distributary which is a part of the Panam irrigation project. The Cultural Command Area (CCA) of 23-R, is 2070 ha. The 23-R distributary is located at around 22.95° N latitude and 73.63° East longitude near Sahera City. A Location Map of study Area is presented in Fig.1.

Climate

The study area experiences the similar climatic condition as that of the Panam basin which contains two climatic regions, the northern part of the basin comprises subtropical wet climate (generally basin area occupied by Rajasthan). The major part of basin comprises tropical wet climate, caused mainly due to existence of Vindhys & the Western Ghats.

The project area experiences minimum temperature of 4.8°C in January and maximum temperature 43.5°C in May. Average annual rainfall in the area is 940 mm. About 80% of the rainfall occurs during July and August. On an average there are only 35 to 40 rainy-days per annum, which mostly fall during the period mid – June to mid–September. There are frequent dry spells occurring over years.

Major crops

The major crops grown in the study area are Paddy, Castor, Jowar/Bajra/Maize and Wheat. Paddy is the major crop cultivated during Kharif season and wheat is the major crop grown in Rabi season.

Soil

The soils of the study area are medium textured. Depending upon the land types, physical as well as chemical properties of soil vary markedly. The soils are slightly alkaline in nature with pH value ranging between 7.9 and 8.2.
Irrigation

In the study area canal irrigation system is mostly in use. Irrigation water is diverted from the Panam reservoir through a network of canals including the Panam main canal, 23/R distributary and its minors, water courses and field channels etc. Irrigation details of 23/R distributary are given in following table 3.

Model description

A linear programming model was formulated that allocates available land and water resources in order to maximize net farm income from the command area. The model consists of a linear objective function, a set of linear constraints, and a set of non-negativity constraints. The model description follows.

Objective function

The objective function of the model is given as:
\[
\text{Max: } Z = \sum_{i=1}^{n} NR_i \cdot A_i \quad \ldots(1)
\]

Where,
- \(i\) = Number of different crops grown in canal command area i.e. \(i=1\) for Wheat, \(i=2\) for Maize, \(i=3\) for fodder crops, \(i=4\) for other crops, \(i=5\) for Castor and \(i=6\) for cotton
- \(NR_i\) = Net return of \(i\)th crop in Rs/ha
- \(A_i\) = Crop Area of \(i\)th crop in ha
- \(n\) = Total number of crops grown in canal command
- \(Z\) = Net return from the command area in Rs.

Model constraints

The objective function maximization is subject to the following constraints:

Land area

Land allocated to various crops during the Rabi seasons must not exceed CCA of Distributary.
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i \leq \text{CCA}; \quad \ldots (2)
\]

Where,
- \(CCA\) = Culturable command area of distributary in ha.

Irrigation requirement

The irrigation requirements of all the crops must be fully satisfied during Rabi seasons from the available canal water and groundwater resources.
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} GIR_i \cdot A_i \leq VGW + VCW \quad \ldots(3)
\]

Where,
- \(GIR_i\) = Gross irrigation requirement of \(i\)th crop (in ha-m)
- \(VGW\) = Volume of ground water in ha-m
- \(VCW\) = Volume of canal water in ha-m

Canal water supply

The availability of canal water for irrigation is limited. So, canal water allocation must not exceed the available canal water in a Rabi season.
\[
VCW \leq AVCW \quad \ldots (4)
\]

Where,
- \(AVCW\) = Volume of available canal water in ha-m
- \(VCW\) = Volume of canal water in ha-m

Groundwater balance

The water balance components of the aquifer are given as:
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} (VGW_i - RCL) \cdot A_i \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} (VGW_i + VCW_i) - RAB \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i \quad \ldots (5)
\]
Where,

\( \text{VGWi} \) = Volume of ground water used in ith crop in ha-m.

\( \text{RCL} \) = Recharge factor for conveyance losses of canal water (fraction) = 0.30

\( \text{VCWi} \) = Volume of canal water used in ith crop in ha-m.

\( \text{RAL} \) = Recharge factor for irrigation application losses (fraction) = 0.41

\( \text{RRF} \) = Recharge factor for rainfall (fraction) = 0.20

\( \text{RFi} \) = Rainfall amount during ith crop (in mm).

\( \text{Ai} \) = Crop area of ith crop in ha.

The recharge factor is the fraction of the water loss from the irrigation system that joins the ground water.

**Crop area**

Keeping in view the local food requirement, socio-economic issues, and prevailing cropping practices, a lower and upper limit of area under different crops was considered in the optimization model as constraint.

\[
\mu_i^{\text{min}} T A_i \leq A_i \leq \mu_i^{\text{max}} T A_i 
\]  

(6)

Where,

\( \mu_i^{\text{min}} \) = fraction to which the existing area of crop i can be decreased.

\( \mu_i^{\text{max}} \) = fraction to which the existing area of crop i can be increased.

\( TA_i \) = Existing area of crop i in ha.

\( Ai \) = Crop area of ith crop in ha.

**Affinity of the people to that crop**

While deciding the maximum and minimum allowable area under different crops, the survey was conducted in command area of 23/R for taking information from farmers about affinity of people towards different crops. From survey report maximum and minimum allowable crop area constraints were decided and crop area was optimized for maximization of net profit against different constraints.

From survey report following constraints were decided of different distributaries

In farmer’s affinity survey it was found that in different scenario of canal running days the farmer’s affinity toward different crops was changing even in same distributary.

**Non-negativity constraints**

\[ Ai \geq 0; \text{VCW} \geq 0; \text{VGW} \geq 0; \]  

(7)

**Different scenario of crop area optimization**

Considering the objective of net return optimization, the area allocated to different crop activities was optimized under different scenario for various level of water availability i.e. number of canal running days.

Scenario I. Existing running days at full supply

Scenario II. 60 running days at full supply

Scenario III. 90 running days at full supply

Scenario IV. 120 running days at full supply,

Scenario V. Existing running days at full supply with ground water,

Scenario VI. 60 running days at full supply with ground water,

Scenario VII. 90 running days at full supply with ground water

Scenario VIII. 120 running days at full supply with ground water.

**Model inputs determination**

The model inputs include determination of the crop yields with different qualities of irrigation water (5), groundwater mining allowance, permissible cost of cultivation,
irrigation requirement of crops, and net return of crops. The cost of land is not taken into account in calculating the cost of cultivation because it is farmers’ own land. Description of different input parameters follows:

**Irrigation requirement**

The water requirement of crops was computed by the method recommended by (6). A reference crop evapotranspiration (ETo) was first calculated from the weather data using (7) as:

\[ \text{ET}_O = 0.0023 \times \text{Ra} \times (\text{T}_{\text{avg}} + 17.8) \times (\text{T}_{\text{max}} - \text{T}_{\text{min}})^{0.5} \]  

...(8)

Where,
- \( \text{ET}_O \) = Reference crop evapotranspiration (mm/d)
- \( \text{Ra} \) = Extraterrestrial solar radiation (mm/d)
- \( \text{T}_{\text{avg}} \) = Daily mean air temperature (°C)
- \( \text{T}_{\text{max}} \) = Daily maximum air temperature (°C)
- \( \text{T}_{\text{min}} \) = Daily minimum air temperature (°C)

From the ETi values, the potential crop evapotranspiration (ETc) was calculated for each crop by using suitable crop coefficients (Kc) (Allen *et al.*, 1998) using the following relationship:

\[ \text{ET}_C = \text{ET}_O \times K_C \]  

...(9)

Seasonal effective rainfall (Reff) was determined by FAO method (8). According to this method the Reff was estimated from daily rainfall (R) as:

\[ \text{Reff}(t) = 0.7R(t), \text{ for non-rice crops} \]  

...(10)

\[ \text{Reff}(t) = 0.8R(t), \text{ for rice} \]  

...(11)

The gross irrigation requirement (GIR) of each crop during both the seasons was calculated by considering seasonal Reff data as:

\[ \text{GIR} = \frac{\text{ET}_C - \text{Reff}}{\text{Ep}} + \text{SPR} - \text{SMC} - \text{GWC} \]  

...(12)

Where,
- \( \text{Ep} \) = Overall project efficiency;
- \( \text{SPR} \) = special purpose water requirement (mm) (200 mm for paddy and 70 mm for other crops)
- \( \text{SMC} \) = soil moisture contribution (mm)
- \( \text{GWC} \) = contribution from groundwater (mm)
- \( \text{Ep} \) was computed as

\[ \text{Ep} = \text{E}_b \times \text{E}_a \]  

...(13)

Where,
- \( \text{Ep} \) = Overall project efficiency
- \( \text{E}_b \) = Field channel conveyance efficiency (fraction)
- \( \text{E}_a \) = application efficiency (fraction)

Based on the information available for the similar soil and agro-hydro-climatic conditions, the values of \( \text{E}_b \) and \( \text{E}_a \) were taken as 0.70 and 0.59, respectively (9).

**Production cost of crops**

The production cost of various crops, excluding the cost of irrigation water, was collected from the Department of Agricultural Economics of B. A. College of Agriculture, Anand Agricultural University, Anand, Gujarat and office of the Executive Engineer, Panam Irrigation Subdivision, Project circle, Godhara. The minimum support price, the lowest price government fixes for a crop procurement, of different crops was taken as the market price of specific crops. The net revenue of individual crops with different qualities of irrigation water was determined by the production functions and prices of grain and its byproducts.
**Permissible groundwater mining**

Permissible annual groundwater mining allowance of the aquifer (GWM) was calculated as:

\[ GWM = \Delta h \times A \times 10^{-1} \times S_y \]  

Where,
- \( \Delta h \) = Average annual water table fluctuations (m)
- \( A \) = Area under considerations (ha)
- \( S_y \) = Specific yield of the aquifer (fraction).

**Canal running days**

Table 12: Running Days with Break Period of 23-R Distributary for Year 2015-16

**Results and Discussion**

**Existing status of the command area**

The existing cropping pattern in the command area of 23-R distributary includes Wheat, Maize and Fodder crops in Rabi Season. The area under these crops is shown in Fig. 3. As per existing cropping pattern the maximum area during Rabi season is put under Wheat (234.72 ha) and minimum under Fodder (36.3 ha). The actual achievement in the net benefit was Rs. 89.86 lakh.

**Conjunctive use plan by optimization**

**Optimal irrigation plan for command area**

**Under existing canal running days at design supply rate**

The optimized cropping pattern in the command area of 23-R distributary includes Wheat, Maize, Fodder and Other in Rabi Season. The area under these crops is shown in Fig. 5. As per optimized Cropping pattern under 60 canal running days at design supply rate, the maximum area during Rabi season is put under Wheat (621 ha) and minimum under Other (19 ha). The potential achievement in the net benefit was Rs. 352.93 lakh.

**90 canal running days at design supply rate**

The optimized cropping pattern in the command area of 23-R distributary include Wheat, Maize, Fodder and Other in Rabi Season. The Area under these crops is shown in Fig. 6. As per optimized Cropping pattern under 90 canal running days at design supply rate, the maximum area during Rabi season is put under Wheat (1035 ha) and minimum under Other (103.5 ha). The potential achievement in the net benefit was Rs. 575.8 lakh.

**120 canal running days at design supply rate**

The optimized cropping pattern in the command area of 23-R distributary include Wheat, Maize, Fodder and Other in Rabi Season. The area under these crops is shown in Fig. 7. As per optimized cropping pattern under 120 canal running days at design supply rate, the maximum area during Rabi season is put under Wheat (1035 ha) and minimum under Fodder (207 ha). The potential achievement in the net benefit was Rs. 629.8 lakh.
Existing canal running days at design supply rate with ground water

The optimized cropping pattern in the command area of 23-R distributary include Wheat, Maize, Fodder and other in Rabi season. The area under these crops is shown in Fig. 8. As per optimized cropping pattern under existing canal running days at design supply rate with ground water, the maximum area during Rabi season is put under Wheat (1138.5 ha) and minimum under other (0.5 ha). The potential achievement in the net benefit was Rs. 530.02 lakh.

60 canal running days at design supply rate with ground water

The optimized cropping pattern in the command area of 23-R distributary includes Wheat, Maize, Fodder and Other in Rabi Season. The Area under these crops is shown in fig. 9. As per optimized Cropping pattern under 60 canal running days at design supply rate with ground water, the maximum area during Rabi season is put under Wheat (931.5 ha) and minimum under Other (29.5 ha). The potential achievement in the net benefit was Rs. 379.3 lakh.

90 canal running days at design supply rate with ground water

The Optimized cropping pattern in the command area of 23-R distributary include Wheat, Maize, Fodder and Other in Rabi Season. The Area under these crops is shown in fig. 10. As per optimized Cropping pattern under 90 canal running days at design supply rate with ground water, the maximum area during Rabi season is put under Wheat (1138 ha) and minimum under Other (1 ha). The potential achievement in the net benefit was Rs. 500.58 lakh.

120 canal running days at design supply rate with ground water

The Optimized cropping pattern in the command area of 23-R distributary includes Wheat, Maize and Fodder in Rabi Season. The Area under these crops is shown in fig. 11. As per optimized Cropping pattern under 120 canal running days at design supply rate with ground water, the maximum area during Rabi season is put under Wheat (1138.5 ha) and minimum under Fodder (310.5 ha). The potential achievement in the net benefit was Rs. 500.13 lakh.

| 23/R          | Crop   | 2014-15 | 2015-16 |
|---------------|--------|---------|---------|
|               |        | Kharif  | Rabi    | Kharif  | Rabi    |
| Castor        | -      | -       | -       | -       | -       |
| Wheat         | -      | 236.2   | -       | 234.72  | -       |
| Others        | -      |         | -       |         |         |
| Maize         | -      | 98.65   | -       | 106.89  | -       |
| Paddy         | 586.3  | -       | 597.6   | -       |         |
| Fodder        | 22     | 32.65   | 20      | 36.30   |         |

Table.1 Areas (ha) under Major Crops under 23/R Distributary during 2014-2016
## Table 2 Properties of soils in the study area

| Sr. No. | Soil Properties | Details |
|---------|-----------------|---------|
| 1.      | Physical properties | Soil Type Medium black to loamy sand (Goradu) soils, Reddish brown to insity soil of East dark Brown to dark yellowish brown and grey in alluvial. 0 to 25 cm in hilly area and 90 to 180 cm in other areas. |
|         |                  | Surface colour                  |
|         |                  | Depth of the soil                |
| 2.      | Chemical properties | EC (micromhos/cm) More than 10 in most of the area. |
|         |                  | pH 7.9-8.2                       |
|         |                  | N Low                            |
|         |                  | P Medium                         |
|         |                  | K High                           |

## Table 3 Irrigation details of 23/R distributary

| Name of Canal | Off taking of Panam main canal (m) | Length (m) | Discharge (cu-f/s) | Cultural Command Area (ha) |
|---------------|------------------------------------|------------|--------------------|----------------------------|
| 23/R distributary | 38300                               | 7000       | 65                 | 2070                       |

## Table 4 Crop area constraints of 23/R distributary under existing canal running days at full supply

| Season | Crop | Farmer’s Affinity |
|--------|------|-------------------|
| Rabi   | Wheat| Greater than 50% of CCA |
| Rabi   | Maize| Greater than 20% of CCA |
| Rabi   | Fodder| Greater than 10% of CCA |
| Rabi   | Other| Greater than 0% of CCA |
| Kharif | Paddy| Greater than 95% of CCA |
| Kharif | Maize| Less than 5% of CCA |
| Kharif | Fodder| Less than 5% of CCA |
| Kharif | Other| Less than 5% of CCA |

## Table 5 Crop area constraints of 23/R distributary under 60 canal running days at full supply

| Season | Crop | Farmer’s Affinity |
|--------|------|-------------------|
| Rabi   | Wheat| Greater than 30% of CCA |
| Rabi   | Maize| Greater than 20% of CCA |
| Rabi   | Fodder| Greater than 20% of CCA |
| Rabi   | Other| Greater than 0% of CCA |
| Kharif | Paddy| Greater than 95% of CCA |
| Kharif | Maize| Less than 5% of CCA |
| Kharif | Fodder| Less than 5% of CCA |
| Kharif | Other| Less than 5% of CCA |
Table 6 Crop Area Constraints of 23/R Distributary under 90 Canal Running Days at Full Supply

| Season | Crop   | Farmer’s Affinity          |
|--------|--------|----------------------------|
| Rabi   | Wheat  | Greater than 50% of CCA    |
| Rabi   | Maize  | Greater than 20% of CCA    |
| Rabi   | Fodder | Greater than 10%           |
| Rabi   | Other  | Greater than 2% of CCA     |
| Kharif | Paddy  | Greater than 95% of CCA    |
| Kharif | Maize  | Less than 5% of CCA        |
| Kharif | Fodder | Less than 5% of CCA        |
| Kharif | Other  | Less than 5% of CCA        |

Table 7 Crop Area Constraints of 23/R Distributary under 120 Canal Running Days at Full Supply

| Season | Crop | Farmer’s Affinity          |
|--------|------|----------------------------|
| Rabi   | Wheat | Greater than 50% of CCA    |
| Rabi   | Maize | Greater than 20% of CCA    |
| Rabi   | Fodder | more than 10% of CCA     |
| Rabi   | Other | more than 0% of CCA        |
| Kharif | Paddy | Greater than 95% of CCA    |
| Kharif | Maize | Less than 5% of CCA        |
| Kharif | Fodder | Less than 5% of CCA       |
| Kharif | Other | Less than 5% of CCA        |

Table 8 Crop Area Constraints of 23/R Distributary under Existing Canal Running Days at Full Supply with Ground Water

| Season | Crop   | Farmer’s Affinity          |
|--------|--------|----------------------------|
| Rabi   | Wheat  | Greater than 55% of CCA    |
| Rabi   | Maize  | Greater than 35% of CCA    |
| Rabi   | Fodder | Greater than 10% of CCA    |
| Rabi   | Other  | Greater than 0% of CCA     |
| Kharif | Paddy  | Greater than 95% of CCA    |
| Kharif | Maize  | Less than 5% of CCA        |
| Kharif | Fodder | Less than 5% of CCA        |
| Kharif | Other  | Less than 5% of CCA        |
**Table 9** Crop Area Constraints of 23/R Distributary under 60 Canal Running Days at Full Supply with Ground Water

| Season | Crop    | Farmer’s Affinity  |
|--------|---------|--------------------|
| Rabi   | Wheat   | Greater than 45% of CCA |
| Rabi   | Maize   | Greater than 20% of CCA |
| Rabi   | Fodder  | Greater than 10% of CCA |
| Rabi   | Other   | Greater than 0% of CCA |
| Kharif | Paddy   | Greater than 95% of CCA |
| Kharif | Maize   | Less than 5% of CCA |
| Kharif | Fodder  | Less than 5% of CCA |
| Kharif | Other   | Less than 5% of CCA |

**Table 10** Crop Area Constraints of 23/R Distributary under 90 Canal Running Days at Full Supply with Ground Water

| Season | Crop    | Farmer’s Affinity  |
|--------|---------|--------------------|
| Rabi   | Wheat   | Greater than 55% of CCA |
| Rabi   | Maize   | Greater than 30% of CCA |
| Rabi   | Fodder  | Greater than 15% |
| Rabi   | Other   | Greater than 0% of CCA |
| Kharif | Paddy   | Greater than 95% of CCA |
| Kharif | Maize   | Less than 5% of CCA |
| Kharif | Fodder  | Less than 5% of CCA |
| Kharif | Other   | Less than 5% of CCA |

**Table 11** Crop Area Constraints of 23/R Distributary under 120 Canal Running Days at Full Supply with Ground Water

| Season | Crop    | Farmer’s Affinity  |
|--------|---------|--------------------|
| Rabi   | Wheat   | Greater than 55% of CCA |
| Rabi   | Maize   | Greater than 30% of CCA |
| Rabi   | Fodder  | Greater than 15% |
| Rabi   | Other   | Greater than 0% of CCA |
| Kharif | Paddy   | Greater than 95% of CCA |
| Kharif | Maize   | Less than 5% of CCA |
| Kharif | Fodder  | Less than 5% of CCA |
| Kharif | Other   | Less than 5% of CCA |
Table 12 Running Days with Break Period of 23-R Distributary for Year 2015-16

| SI. No. | Running Periods (days) | Break Period (days) |
|---------|------------------------|---------------------|
| 1       | 10/07/2015-26/07/2015 (17 days) | 27/07/2015-11/08/2015 (16 days) |
| 2       | 12/08/2015-20/08/2015 (9 days) | 21/08/2015-27/08/2015 (7 days) |
| 3       | 28/08/2015-4/09/2015 (8 days) | 05/09/2015 - 06/09/2015 (2 days) |
| 4       | 7/09/2015-12/09/2015 (6 days) | 13/09/2015-08/10/2015 (26 days) |
| 5       | 9/10/2015-21-10-2015 (13 days) | 22/10/2015-1/12/2015 (41 days) |
| 6       | 2/12/2015-16/12/2015 (15 days) | 17/12/2015-30/12/2015 (14 days) |
| 7       | 31/12/2015 (1 day) | 1/1/2016-3/1/2016 (3 days) |
| 8       | 4/1/2016-15/1/2016 (12 days) | 16/1/2016-19/1/2016 (4 days) |
| 9       | 20/01/2016-27/01/2016 (8 days) | 28/01/2016-01/02/2016 (5 days) |
| 10      | 02/02/2016-16/02/2016 (15 days) | 17/02/2016-20/02/2016 (4 days) |
| 11      | 21/02/2016-29/02/2016 (9 days) | 1/03/2016-02/03/2016 (2 days) |
| 12      | 03/03/2016-14/03/2016 (12 days) | 15/03/2016-18/03/2016 (4 days) |
| 13      | 19/03/2016-22/03/2016 (4 days) | 23/03/2016-25/03/2016 (3 days) |
| 14      | 26/03/2016-1/04/2016 (8 days) | - |
| Total   | 137 days | 131 days |

Fig.1 Location Map of Study Area
**Fig. 2** Farmer’s Affinity Survey towards crops grown in canal command Area

**Fig. 3** Existing Cropping Pattern in the Command Area of 23-R Distributary

**Fig. 4** Optimized Cropping Pattern in the Command Area of 23-R Distributary under Existing Canal Running Days at Design Supply Rate
Comparison of net benefit under different optimization approaches with existing situation

Comparison of optimal solution with net profit maximization under different sets of constraints and existing cropping pattern of 23-R distributary is shown in Fig. 12. From Fig. 12, in case of wheat, the maximum net profit of Rs. 184.72 lakh was found in case of 137 days canal supply at design rate with ground water, 90 days canal supply at design rate with ground water and 120 days canal supply at design rate with ground water which is 4.8 times of net profit of existing supply conditions. In case of Maize, the maximum net profit of Rs. 314.65 lakh was found in case of 90 days canal supply at design rate, existing canal supply at design rate with ground water which is 6.7 times of net profit of existing supply conditions. In case of Fodder, the maximum net profit of Rs. 62.69 lakh was found in case of 60 days canal supply at design rate, which is 11.7 times of net profit of existing supply conditions. In case of other, the maximum net profit of Rs. 251.67 lakh was found in case of existing canal running days at design rate and 120 canal running days at design rate. In present study, there was no other crop in this command area. Results show that the developed model is capable to satisfy the demand of command area population.

Application of Research: Optimization modelling of conjunctive use of the irrigation water resources for agricultural sustainability in selected Panam canal command situated in Middle Gujarat.
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