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Abstract
Highlighting certain similarities between the two-dimensional Luttinger liquid model and the effective fermionic theory obtained from the hypercharge Lagrangian, we argue the case for a new type of Standard Model extension.
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1 Introduction

The fields of condensed matter and quantum field theory have a long history of cross-pollination. Recently Volovik [1] has written extensively on similarities between the electroweak sector of the Standard Model (SM) and the superfluid $^3$HeB and it seems logical to seek quantum field-theoretic analogues of recent developments in condensed matter. In this letter we argue that phenomena such as chiral surface states in unconventional superconductors e.g. Sr$_2$RuO$_4$ [2, 3] and Bi$_{1-x}$Ca$_x$MnO$_3$ [4], chiral superfluidity in $^3$HeA [1, 5] or the slave-boson technique [6, 7] may well have relevance to the non-perturbative sector of the Standard Model.

Recently we have learned that Terazawa [9] observed, in the context of minimal supersymmetric left-right SM extensions, that the 16 chiral fermions of a single family in the SM naturally decompose by a slave-boson approach into four fermions and four bosons. Moreover this scheme has been extended [10], [11] to include a gauged family symmetry. In fact our model [12], which has developed independently from the former, has the same basic ingredients: a restoration of left-right symmetry plus modification of the colour $SU(3)$ to produce fermion families.

Our motivation begins by noting the four-fermi terms obtained upon integrating the hypercharge boson from the $U(1)$ sector of the SM:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{hyp}} = \bar{\psi}i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi + c_L^2(\bar{\psi}_L \lambda^\alpha \gamma^\mu \psi_L)^2 + c_R^2(\bar{\psi}_R \lambda^\alpha \gamma^\mu \psi_R)^2 + c_{RL}(\bar{\psi}_L \lambda^\alpha \gamma^\mu \psi_L \bar{\psi}_R \lambda^\alpha \gamma^\mu \psi_R + \bar{\psi}_R \lambda^\alpha \gamma^\mu \psi_R \bar{\psi}_L \lambda^\alpha \gamma^\mu \psi_L)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Here $c_{L,R}$ denote the SM couplings while colour and isospin degrees of freedom are denoted generically by unitary matrices $\lambda^a$. We wish to compare this with the two-dimensional Luttinger liquid Lagrangian [7, 8],

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Lut}} = \bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi + g_2\bar{\psi}_L \lambda^\alpha \gamma^\mu \psi_L \bar{\psi}_R \lambda^\alpha \gamma^\mu \psi_R + g_4((\bar{\psi}_L \lambda^\alpha \gamma^\mu \psi_L)^2 + (\bar{\psi}_R \lambda^\alpha \gamma^\mu \psi_R)^2),$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where now the unitary matrices $\lambda$ denote an internal flavour symmetry.

If one identifies the terms $\bar{\psi}_{L,R} \gamma^\mu \psi_{L,R}$ with left-and right-moving charge density operators, which in the Luttinger liquid are of the form $\bar{\psi}_{L,R} \psi_{L,R}$, i.e. fermion-fermion condensates, then there is a, superficial at least, resemblance between the two:

The latter model has, in two dimensions, a number of remarkable features. The cross-term $g_2$ modifies the pole structure of the fermion propagator. Note that the Fierz re-ordering of the $c_{L,R}$ term in the four-dimensional theory, Eq. (1), also leads to an NJL-type four-fermi interaction, dynamically breaking chiral symmetry.
The $g_4$ term in Lagrangian (2) lifts any residual degeneracies, similar to a hopping matrix element between spin chains (7), and leads in two dimensions to the separation of fermionic degrees of freedom into charge and spin fluctuations. Here, the effect is signalled by the appearance of two poles in the fermion propagator: an attempt to inject a free fermion into the second unoccupied energy level above the Fermi surface causes a hole excitation. The resulting hole-electron pair (in the first unoccupied level) decomposes into spin and charge fluctuations which propagate through the medium with different velocities.

This, too reminds of the problem encountered in a Schwinger-Dyson Equation analysis of quenched hypercharge (12) where multiple unphysical “poles” related to several kinds of fermion-antifermion pairing modes were found. We now see a different possible interpretation of this feature as some kind of recombination of fermionic degrees of freedom.

A qualitative argument, based on the Dirac sea picture of the anomaly (13) is paraphrased as follows. The two-dimensional (for simplicity) hypercharge theory has Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{2D} = \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu (i\partial_\mu - (c_L\chi_L + c_R\chi_R))Z_\mu \psi $$ (3)

In the Dirac sea picture, second quantisation corresponds to filling all negative energy eigenmodes while leaving positive ones empty. Setting $Z_0 = 0$ and the potential $Z_1 = Z$ a space-time constant, the eigenmodes satisfy the 2-D Dirac equation

$$E = -\gamma^\mu (p_\mu - (c_L\chi_L - c_R\chi_R))Z_\mu$$

For $Z = 0$ the energy-momentum dispersion relation

$$E = \pm p$$

is shown in the upper left of Figure 1. The left- and right-hand branches correspond to the separate fermion chiralities. If $Z$ is adiabatically changed to a small (positive) value the relation is that of the upper right diagram,

$$E = \begin{cases} 
p + c_R\delta Z, \\
-(p + c_L\delta Z),
\end{cases}$$

where we have assumed both $c_L$ and $c_R$ are positive. Gauge transformations in this case cause a nett production of right antiparticles and left particles. While the total number of states is conserved, the separate left and right numbers are not.
Let us now consider an extra ingredient not contained in [13]: introducing a mass term $m\bar{\psi}\psi$ to the Lagrangian Eq. (3) would lead to the “gapped” lower left diagram in Fig 1.

$$E = \pm \left\{ \sqrt{(p + c_R\delta Z)^2 + m^2}, \sqrt{(p + c_L\delta Z)^2 + m^2} \right\}$$

Increasing the value $\delta Z$ has the effect of shifting the parabolas upwards and to the left for positive couplings $c_R, c_L$, (down and right for negative values) resulting in a net production of right-handed particles at the expense of left ones, as required by a hypothesised dynamical origin for fermion generations [14]. Using this analogy the anomaly-induced collapse of the left vacuum required in [14] would appear to break down if $c_L = -c_R$ whereby the left and right parabolas shift in opposite directions, such that there is no net change in chirality. This happens for the hypercharge couplings for the electron, up- and down-type quarks at the values $\sin^2\theta_W = 1/4, 3/8$
and 3/4 respectively. In this case an alternative scenario, such as a splitting of “charged” fermion operators into neutral fermions plus “charged”, “spinless” slave bosons, where here the terms in inverted commas make the analogy with the spin-charge separation in low-dimensional systems, could produce fermion generations in a manner outlined below.

Of course these dispersion relations do not correspond to the eigenmodes of a full Dirac fermion; each branch contains only half the required number of degrees of freedom. Up until now we have considered a single fermion, however the slave-boson ansatz is for a many-body phenomenon. If we consider a superposition of a fermion and antifermion to make up the requisite number of degrees of freedom it is apparent that this diagram could also correspond to dispersion relations for two distinct bosonic objects, as shown in the lower right picture, in the limit of a vanishing gap \( d \). These relations, of the form

\[
\begin{align*}
E_1^2 &= p^4 + m_1^2 \\
E_2^2 &= (p^2 + gS)^2 + (m_1^2 - d)
\end{align*}
\]

have a natural interpretation as those of composite bosons. \( E_1 \) and \( E_2 \) would then represent fluctuations in “charge” and “spin”-type degrees of freedom respectively.

## 2 Standard Model Extensions

Consider now a free fermion with global \( U(2f)_L \otimes U(2f)_R \) “isoflavour” symmetry. Addition of charge density interactions Eq.\([2]\) breaks the symmetry

\[
U(2f)_L \otimes U(2f)_R \supset (SU(2f)_L \otimes U(1))_L \otimes (SU(2f)_R \otimes U(1)).
\]

For a single isoflavour, \( f = 1 \), the \( U(2) \) fermions decouple into commuting \( SU(2) \otimes U(1) \) sectors reminiscent of a left-right-symmetric electroweak theory. Upon breaking this to the QED scale, the degeneracy in the (iso)“spin” condensates is lifted, leading to fermion mass terms of the form

\[
m = m_\uparrow(1 + \tau_3)/2 + m_\downarrow(1 - \tau_3)/2.
\]

Note that a mass term of this form is used in Gribov’s calculation of the \( W \) and \( Z \) masses. The dominant contribution (from the heaviest fermion generation) to vacuum polarisation reproduces good approximations to both boson masses and the expected Higgs VEV.
Alternatively if instead of Abelian densities of left- and right-movers, as in the Luttinger lagrangian, interactions between “isospin” densities
\[ \sigma^a = \bar{\psi} \tau^a \psi, \ a = 1, \ldots 3 \]
where \( \tau^a \) are the \( SU(2) \) isospin matrices, the relevant decomposition into decoupled theories is [6]
\[ U(2f) \otimes U(2f) \supset [SU(f)_2 \otimes SU(2)_f \otimes U(1)]_L \otimes [SU(f)_2 \otimes SU(2)_f \otimes U(1)]_R \] (5)
Here the integer subscript denotes the fact that the interaction is in fact described by a chiral Wess-Zumino-Witten [16, 17] model, the value referring to the central charges.

If we identify \( f \) with the number of known fermion generations \( f = 3 \), then the model contains not only (iso)spin and (hyper)charge interactions but an \( SU(3) \) “flavour” sector also. In two dimensions [6] the analogous model contains a non-trivial fixed point which generates a mass gap for the fermion propagators. The bosonic spin fluctuations also acquire mass while the charge and flavour excitations remain gapless, strongly reminiscent of photons and gluons in the SM.

In this context we note the “dualised” standard model (DSM) [18] also associates the number of fermion generations with that of the fermionic colour degrees of freedom. This colour “dual”, analogous to the duality of electrodynamics under exchange of charge and magnetism, represents the same gauge symmetry as \( SU(3) \), differing only by parity. The question of whether the decomposition (5) is equivalent to the DSM written in “left-right” rather than “vector-axial” notation certainly warrants further investigation.

The fact that the centre of the group \( SU(3) \) is \( Z_3 \), the permutation group of three elements, also serves as a motivation for the self-consistent introduction of a \( Z_3 \)-symmetric “fundamental” fermion in the generational model of Kiselev [19]. The \( Z_3 \) would then be interpreted as a relic of the broken dual \( SU(3) \). Moreover a dual relic of the right-handed \( SU(2) \) sector of Eq.5 would be expected. In this context we note the chiral \( Z_3 \otimes Z_2 \) vacuum symmetry required [12] in a recently proposed modification to the Kiselev [19] mechanism.

The model has a natural three-step decomposition, from the “Luttinger” phase, through the left-right symmetric SM, step (6), down to the Standard Model in stage (7) before, finally, the conventional chiral symmetry breaking reproduces the familiar low-energy physics of stage (8):
\[ U(6)_L \otimes U(6)_R \supset [SU(3)_2 \otimes SU(2)_f \otimes U(1)]_L \otimes [SU(3)_2 \otimes SU(2)_f \otimes U(1)]_R \]
\[
\begin{align*}
\supseteq \quad SU(3)_2 \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_H \\
\supseteq \quad SU(3)_c \otimes U(1)_{QED}.
\end{align*}
\]

This symmetry breaking pattern is consistent with the hierarchy of the three critical chiral scales required for the proposal \cite{14} for a dynamical origin of fermion family structure and masses.

In conclusion we observe that certain recent developments in the field of condensed matter, in particular the behaviour of chiral fluids, are potentially fertile ground for understanding how the behaviour of the scalar sector of the SM gives rise to fermion mass, generation number and flavour mixing. In our opinion there is compelling evidence to investigate whether a “technicolour” version of the left-right symmetric SM might be analogous to certain types of slave-boson ansätze.
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