Detection rate of common intestinal parasites in end stage renal disease patients under maintenance hemodialysis in Benha University Hospital, Egypt
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ABSTRACT

Background: Several parasites are responsible for life threatening infections in immunocompromised patients including those with chronic renal diseases. Determination of enteroparasitosis prevalence in patients with end stage renal disease (ESRD) experiencing hemodialysis (HD) showed elevated rates of infection, primarily by protozoa.

Objectives: To investigate the common intestinal parasites among patients with ESRD undergoing HD in Benha University Hospital.

Subjects and Methods: This case-control research was performed on 57 patients subjected to HD and 60 apparently healthy people as control group with no history of kidney disorders or other immunosuppressive conditions in the control group. Age of participants ranged from 20-70 years in both groups. Three consecutive fresh fecal samples from all participants were obtained after completion of the questionnaire and examined macroscopically and microscopically to identify various stages of common pathogenic intestinal parasites using iodine and modified Ziehl-Neelsen stains to detect protozoa.

Results: The total rate of parasite infection was 68.4% and 48.3% in ESRD patients and control group, respectively. The discrepancies between the two groups were statistically significant. E. histolytica/E. dispar was the commonest parasite compared to other parasites in the two groups.

Conclusion: In ESRD patients, a high detection rate of intestinal parasites was reported which can lead to severe complications in these patients being immunocompromised individuals. Hence, stool examination should also be integrated into the standard clinical care of these patients.
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INTRODUCTION

Among the most important causes of morbidity and mortality worldwide are intestinal parasitic infections (IPIs), especially in developing countries[1,2]. Protozoan organisms or helminths may be the cause. In tropical and subtropical regions of the developing world, the most prevalent parasites include G. lamblia, E. histolytica/E. dispar, Cryptosporidium spp, A. lumbricoides, A. duodenale, N. americanus, and T. trichiura[1,2].

Enteric infections represent a significant risk to immunocompromised patients including chronic kidney diseases patients[3]. In immunocompromised patients, infections that cause auto-limited diarrhea in immunocompetent individuals can cause profuse diarrhea, usually followed in some cases by weight loss, anorexia, malabsorption syndrome and also fever and abdominal pain. Parasites such as Cryptosporidium spp., Enterocytozoon bieneusi, Encephalitozoon intestinalis and S. stercoralis can spread to many organs in such patients. These organs includes the bronchi, liver, and bile ducts, causing symptoms that are unique to the affected organ[5-8].

Renal failure is an immunosuppressive disorder that makes patients, more vulnerable to infection by opportunistic protozoan parasites[9]. HD patients suffer from humoral and cell-mediated immune defects and have abnormalities in the acquired immune response to a number of antigens[10,11]. End-stage renal failure contributes to weakened cell-mediated immunity owing to malfunction of cluster of differentiation 4 (CD4) T cells and lymphopenia[10]. Moreover, pro-inflammatory conditions associated with uremia found in ESRD patients can lead to irreversible premature aging of T-cells[12,13]. According to the 9th annual study of the Egyptian Renal Registry submitted by the Egyptian Society of Nephrology and Transplantation[9], the prevalence of ESRD in Egypt increased to 483 patients per million.

In this research, we aimed to explore the detection rates of the most common prevalent intestinal parasites in ESRD patients under HD maintenance in Benha University Hospital in order to prescribe early treatment to avoid severe life-threatening complications.
SUBJECTS AND METHODS

This case-control research was conducted at Parasitology Department, Faculty of Medicine, Benha University, Egypt from August 2019 to December 2019.

Subjects: The study population included 57 ESRD patients attending HD unit in Benha University Hospital, with no other immunosuppressive condition; and 60 apparently healthy individuals as control group (from the surrounding individuals including nurses) without history of kidney problems or any other immunosuppressive condition (Table 1). Age ranged from 20 to 70 in both groups. In ESRD group, 34 were males, 23 were females, and 38 of them were from rural areas. In the control group, sex distribution was equal and 26 of them were from rural areas. The exclusion criteria included all participants who, one month before the research, had not obtained any anti-parasitic medications.

Table 1. Important socio-demographic characteristics among the studied groups.

|                | HD patients (n=57) | Control group (n=60) |
|----------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Sex            |                   |                     |
| Male           | 34 (59.65%)       | 30 (50%)            |
| Female         | 23 (40.35%)       | 30 (50%)            |
| Age            |                   |                     |
| < 30           | 13 (22.8%)        | 15 (25%)            |
| Average        | 52.3 ± 11.6       | 49.1 ± 7.3          |
| Residence      |                   |                     |
| Urban          | 19 (33.3%)        | 34 (56.67%)         |
| Rural          | 38 (66.7%)        | 26 (43.33%)         |
| Total          | 57                | 60                  |

Stool examination: From all study groups, three consecutive fresh fecal samples were collected in tight-fitting, dry-clean containers labelled with the name and transported immediately for analysis to the Benha University Parasitology Department. Direct stool examination was performed by wet and iodine-stained smears[13]. For the screening of ova and other stages of various intestinal parasites, the formol stained smears were examined under light microscopy at X10, X40 and X100 magnifications. Slides were stained with modified Ziehl-Neelsen (MZN) for entric coccidian and Cryptosporidium spp. detection[16]. This case-control research was conducted at Parasitology Department, Faculty of Medicine, Benha University, Egypt from August 2019 to December 2019.

Statistical analysis: Data analysis was carried out using the SPSS software version 21 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). The Chi square test was used to compare prevalence of parasites among groups. Associations were tested using odd ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) after adjustments. Statistical significance was considered when P value < 0.05.

Ethical considerations: Official permission of study was obtained from the concerned department (Internal Medicine Department of Benha University). All participants agreed to share in accordance with the ethical standards and an informed consent was obtained. Infected participants were informed and accordingly treated.

RESULTS

The overall parasite infection rate was 68.4% in patients with ESRD and 48.3% in controls and the discrepancy between the two groups was statistically significant (P=0.024). Regarding enteroparasitosis (Table 2, and figure 1), E. histolytica/E. dispar was the commonest parasite compared to others, but the difference was not significant compared to control group. There was also no statistically relevant difference in both groups with respect to G. lamblia, A. lumbricoides and E. vermicularis infections. In four ESRD patients, Cryptosporidium spp. was detected while it was not detected in any subject in the control group and the relationship was statistically significant (P<0.001).

Regarding intestinal complaints, associated diarrhea was present in 23/57 (40.3%) of the HD group. No statistically significant difference was recorded between diarrheic and non-diarrheic patients according to type of parasite detected except in E. vermicularis infection which did not cause diarrhea in ESRD patients as shown in table (3).

Table 2. Rate of parasite infection in ESRD patients undergoing HD compared to the control group.

| Parasite detected       | HD group (n=57) | Control group (n=60) | Statistical analysis | P value |
|-------------------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------|
|                         |                |                     |                      |         |
| Protozoa                |                |                     |                      |         |
| G. lamblia              | 23 (40.3%)     | 21 (35%)            |                      | 0.980   |
| Cryptosporidium spp.    | 6 (10.53%)     | 3 (5%)              |                      | 0.262   |
|                         | 4 (7%)         | 0 (0%)              |                      | <0.001* |
| Helminths               | 3 (5%)         | 0 (0%)              |                      | 0.46    |
| A. lumbricoides         | 4 (7%)         | 3 (5%)              |                      | 0.648   |
| E. vermicularis         | 2 (3.51%)      | 2 (3.33%)           |                      | 0.648   |
| Total                   | 39 (68.4%)     | 29 (48.3%)          |                      | 0.024*  |

* Significant
In our study, according to the associated parasite infection, *E. histolytica/E. dispar* was the most predominant parasite in ESRD patients followed by *G. lamblia*, and *Cryptosporidium* spp (40%, 10% and 7% respectively). In accordance with our results, analysis of 111 stool samples by Botero et al.\[19\] from patients with suppressed immunity revealed *E. histolytica/E. dispar* in eleven samples, *G. lamblia* in eight samples, *Cryptosporidium* spp. in four, and *Microsporidia* spp. in two samples.

In contrast, Elkady et al.\[19\] reported that protozoa identified from stool testing in patients with ESRD and the control group were *C. parvum* (40% and 6%, respectively), *E. histolytica/E. dispar* (14% and 16%, respectively), and *G. lamblia* (12% and 4%, respectively). Out of 110 stool samples from ESRD patients investigated by Gil et al.\[17\], 57 (51.8%) screened positive for intestinal parasites. Parasites were documented as *Cryptosporidium* spp. (26.4%), *Blastocystis* spp. (24.5%), *Endolimax nana* (20.0%), *Entamoeba coli* (6.4%), *E. histolytica/E. dispar* (3.6%), *G. lamblia* (0.9%), and *S. stercoralis* (0.9%).

In our study, cryptosporidiosis was detected in only 7% of ESRD patients and in none from the control group.

### DISCUSSION

Severe complications or even death among immunocompromised patients, including ESRD patients, have been reported in relation to intestinal parasites, especially opportunistic types\[10\]. Studies to establish the prevalence of IPIs in ESRD patients under HD are uncommon in Egypt, generally and specifically in our locality\[18-20\].

The objective of our research was to investigate the prevalence of common intestinal parasites in patients with ESRD maintained on HD in Benha University Hospital. Documented results showed that the total rate of parasite infection was 68.4% in HD patients vs. 48.3% in control group. Difference between the two groups was of statistical significance (*P*=0.024). It is interesting that protozoa infection was more prevalent than helminthic infection in our sample of ESRD patients. Similarly, Shehata et al.\[21\] reported a significantly higher detection rate of IPIs in patients with ESRD compared to healthy persons (52.5% vs. 12%, respectively). In another study, higher rate of parasitic infection was found in HD patients when compared to the control (43.7% vs 12.7%, respectively)\[22\]. Also, Elkady et al.\[19\] reported high prevalence of enteroparasitosis in ESRD patients (66%) compared to 26% in the healthy control group.
In conclusion, our study results showed that intestinal parasitosis was more common in ESRD patients with HD than in the matched control group. Since patients under HD are immunocompromised, owing to their weakened immune system, intestinal parasites can cause life-threatening conditions. So, routine interval stool examination and early detection and treatment of intestinal parasitosis is important in this category of patients.
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