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Abstract
Peripheral immune self-tolerance relies on protective mechanisms to control autoreactive T cells that escape deletion in the thymus. Suppression of autoreactive lymphocytes is necessary to avoid autoimmunity and immune cell–mediated damage of healthy tissues. An intriguing relationship has emerged between two mechanisms of peripheral tolerance—induction of anergy and Foxp3+ regulatory T (Treg) cells—and is not yet well understood. A subpopulation of autoreactive anergic CD4 T cells is a precursor of Treg cells. We now hypothesize that phenotypic and mechanistic features of Treg cells can provide insights to understand the mechanisms behind anergy-derived Treg cell differentiation. In this short review, we will highlight several inherent similarities between the anergic state in conventional CD4 T cells as compared with fully differentiated natural Foxp3+ Treg cells and then propose a model whereby modulations in metabolic programming lead to changes in DNA methylation at the Foxp3 locus to allow Foxp3 expression following the reversal of anergy.
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Introduction

Foxp3\(^3\) regulatory T (Treg) cells and the induction of anergy in conventional CD4 T cells each represent peripheral tolerance mechanisms designed to control autoreactive CD4 T cells that escape negative selection in the thymus\(^1\). Naturally occurring Treg cells are regularly generated in the thymus when a thymocyte encounters a high-affinity self-peptide/MHC II ligand and gains the expression of CD25 (Il2ra) and Foxp3 (Foxp3)\(^2,3\). Once differentiated in the thymus, natural Foxp3\(^3\) Treg cells move to the periphery where their purpose is to maintain conventional T-cell homeostasis through a process called suppression\(^4\). In contrast, anergy is established in the periphery when a conventional CD4 T cell recognizes a self-peptide/MHC II complex in the absence of infection or adjuvant\(^5\). Anergy in the normal polyclonal CD4 T-cell repertoire leads to a state of functional unresponsiveness characterized by a block in autocrine growth factor production—for example, interleukin-2 (IL-2)—that prevents dangerous autoimmune responses\(^6\). An interesting and close relationship between these two tolerance mechanisms has recently emerged, and it has been demonstrated that naturally occurring anergic polyclonal CD4 T cells contain a subpopulation of Treg progenitors that can differentiate into the Foxp3\(^3\) Treg lineage\(^7\). However, the physiological and biochemical mechanisms responsible for this generation of anergy-derived Foxp3\(^3\) Treg cells remain uncertain.

The differentiation of Foxp3\(^3\) Treg cells

Foxp3 gene expression defines the Treg lineage in mice and is essential to its counter-regulatory activities\(^8\). Both mice and humans lacking expression of a normal Foxp3 allele demonstrate spontaneous and potentially lethal autoimmune disease\(^9,10\). Foxp3 acts mainly as a transcriptional repressor during periods of inflammation, and a large fraction of its inhibited target genes are important for T-cell receptor (TCR) signaling, transcriptional activation, and chromatin remodeling\(^11,12\). Foxp3\(^3\) Treg cells cannot initiate autocrine growth factor production and proliferation yet demonstrate an ability to respond to IL-2 and other pro-inflammatory stimuli in a paracrine fashion to suppress the proliferation of dangerous conventional CD4 T cells\(^13,14\).

Floess et al.\(^15\) were the first to demonstrate that stable expression of the Foxp3 gene in Treg cells is associated with alterations in DNA methylation. A Treg-specific demethylated region (TSDR) enhancer element upstream of the Foxp3 promoter that contains a CpG island is uniquely unmethylated in natural Foxp3\(^3\) Treg cells. Soon thereafter, Kim and Leonard\(^16\) identified two additional Foxp3 CpG island-containing conserved non-coding sequences (CNS1 and CNS3) that were also fully unmethylated only in Treg cells. Interestingly, the stimulation of conventional Foxp3\(^3\)-CD4 T cells with the combination of CD3 and CD28 monoclonal antibodies plus IL-2 in the presence of either transforming growth factor-beta (TGF-\(\beta\)) or the DNA methyltransferase (DNMT) inhibitor 5-azacytidine was found to be sufficient to induce partial demethylation of these TSDR, CNS1, and CNS3 regions in association with new expression of Foxp3\(^15,16\).

Complete demethylation of one other CpG island within the intronic Foxp3 CNS2 cis-acting element is now also understood to be key to maintaining the expression of the lineage-defining Foxp3 transcription factor in CD4 T cells\(^17\). Ohkura et al.\(^18\) showed that the establishment of a stable Foxp3\(^3\) Treg cell lineage requires both strong and continuous TCR stimulation during development. TCR engagement by self-peptide/MHC II triggers the initial transcription of Foxp3, whereas prolonged TCR signaling allows for the development of a natural Treg demethylation (nTreg-Me) signature that is associated with stable Foxp3 expression. This nTreg-Me signature is characterized as complete or near complete demethylation of CpG islands in Il2ra, Cils4, Ikzf4, and Tnfrsf18 as well as the Foxp3 CNS2 itself. Whereas de novo Foxp3\(^3\) Treg cell differentiation, survival, activation, and effector function depend on continuous TCR engagement and downstream signaling, the TCR itself ultimately becomes irrelevant either for the maintenance of Foxp3 gene expression or for the demethylation signature seen in stable natural Foxp3\(^3\) Treg cells\(^19,20\). Thus, demethylation of the Foxp3 CNS2 appears to be uniquely important to the stable expression of Foxp3\(^3\) and the maintenance of Treg cell suppressor function.

The intersection between cellular metabolism and Foxp3 CNS2 methylation/demethylation by DNA methyltransferases and ten-eleven translocation proteins

Data suggest that a balance between the activities of the DNMTs and the ten-eleven translocation (TET) proteins directly controls the state of CNS2 CpG methylation and the stability of Foxp3 gene expression. During the S phase of the cell cycle, DNMT1 can be expected to recognize hemi-methylated CNS2 CpG sequences when a replication fork enters the Foxp3 locus to catalyze the “maintenance methylation” of the newly replicated daughter DNA strand\(^20\). Once chromosomal replication ceases, a complex of DNMT1 and DNMT3b has the opportunity to bind 5-methylcytosines within the Foxp3 locus to promote the “de novo methylation” of any nearby unmethylated CpG groups\(^20,21\). Therefore, DNMT activity represents a significant potential barrier to CNS2 CpG demethylation and stable Foxp3\(^3\) expression. Nonetheless, during Treg cell differentiation, TET proteins compete with DNMT1 for binding to 5-methylcytosine and catalyze the oxidation of 5-methylcytosine to 5-hydroxymethylcytosine, ultimately leading to the complete demethylation of Foxp3 CpG islands in daughter cells during the course of cell cycle progression\(^22,23\). Perhaps consistent with such antagonism between DNMT1 and TET in Treg cells, knockdown of DNMT1 activity induces the expression of Foxp3 in conventional CD4 T cells whereas loss of TET protein activity leads to unstable Foxp3 expression\(^15,22-23\).

Both DNMT1 and TET enzymatic activities are highly sensitive to the metabolic state of T cells. Unlike T effector (Teff) cells that rely heavily on aerobic glycolysis for energy generation, stable Foxp3\(^3\) Treg cells generate little lactate in the presence of glucose and instead make use of lipid and glucose oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) and mitochondrial electron transport for ATP synthesis\(^24,25\). Initial Foxp3 expression and Treg differentiation appear independent of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase, Akt, and mechanistic target of rapamycin (mTOR) signaling, and mature natural Treg cells continue to demonstrate...
only low mTOR activity in the resting state\textsuperscript{3,39}. Expression of 
neuropilin 1 (Nrp1) and Foxp3 on Treg cells reinforces this low 
mTOR activity, thus restricting aerobic glycolysis during periods of 
immune homeostasis\textsuperscript{12,29}. Nevertheless, the activation and cell cycle 
progression of short-lived “effector” Treg cells require an increase in 
mTOR activity and the induction of aerobic glycolysis\textsuperscript{12,30}. 
mTOR mediates the upregulation of the glucose transporter 
Glut1 and other nutrient transporter systems and orchestrates the 
shift in cellular metabolism away from OXPHOS toward 
aerobic glycolysis. In addition, mTOR promotes the biogenesis of 
mitochondria through its control of mRNA translation\textsuperscript{30,32}. Both 
co-stimulatory receptors such as CD28 and \gamma-chain cytokines 
such as IL-2 and IL-7 trigger signaling to mTOR and drive this 
change in metabolic program\textsuperscript{3,30}.

DNMT1-dependent DNA methylation depends on high levels of the 
metabolite S-adenosyl methionine (SAM-e), whose 
cellular concentration in turn is controlled by the activity of the 
nutrient-sensitive (that is, vitamin B\textsubscript{12}, folic acid, methionine, 
serine, and glutamine) one-carbon and SAM-e metabolic pathways\textsuperscript{8}. 
Following entry into the cell cycle, T cells generally shift from 
a dependence on oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) to an 
anabolic metabolic state that relies on both the upregulation of 
aerobic glycolysis and new mitochondrial biogenesis\textsuperscript{75}. In particular, 
mitochondrial enzymes and co-factors necessary for one-carbon 
metabolism are upregulated prior to the first G\textsubscript{1}-to-S phase transition 
to facilitate the conversion of homocysteine to methionine and 
ultimately SAM-e\textsuperscript{7}. Activity of TET, in contrast to DNMT1, 
depends on the citric acid cycle intermediate alpha-ketoglutarate 
(\alpha-KG) to act as a co-factor in 5-methylcytosine oxidation\textsuperscript{8}. 
The induction of enzyme activities associated with glutaminolysis 
during the initiation of cell cycle progression similarly leads to 
an increase in the generation of \alpha-KG\textsuperscript{36,39}. Thus, proliferating T cells 
are subject to dramatic increases in enzyme activities important 
to both DNA methylation and demethylation and this contributes to 
their differentiation plasticity during cell cycle progression.

**Anergic CD4 T cells are Treg progenitors**

One long-standing question in the investigation of anergy as a 
peripheral immune tolerance mechanism has been its purpose. 
Why should the immune system actively promote the survival of 
potentially dangerous self-reactive T cells when mechanisms exist 
to delete such cells from the repertoire? One attractive hypoth-
thesis is that anergy reversal can at times be protective—either to 
facilitate aggressive immunity against particular tissue-specific 
self-antigens during intracellular infection or cancer or to augment 
antigen-specific suppression in the face of immunopathology. 
In support of the latter hypothesis, anergic Foxp3\textsuperscript{+} T cells 
that failed to upregulate cell cycle progression when transferred to 
Tcra\textsuperscript{-/-} hosts lacking their own Foxp3\textsuperscript{+} Treg compartment. However, recipient mice seldom 
showed evidence of autoimmune disease because a subset of 
these donor anergic T cells eventually differentiated into the 
Foxp3\textsuperscript{+} Treg cell lineage accounting for as many as 20% of the 
descendant T cells. This was in contrast to mice treated with 
diphtheria toxin during the anergy reversal to inhibit the 
accumulation of anergy-derived Foxp3\textsuperscript{+} Treg cells, as these mice 
uniformly developed colitis associated with weight loss and 
generated autoantibodies that recognized gut, heart, liver, lung, 
salivary gland, kidney, and pancreas antigens in an organ-specific 
fashion. The formal proof that such polyclonal anergy-derived 
Foxp3\textsuperscript{+} Treg cells could be protective was obtained by using in vivo 
models of inflammatory bowel disease and autoimmune arthritis 
in which the adoptive transfer of anergy-derived Foxp3\textsuperscript{+} Treg cells 
suppressed disease development. Thus, anergy reversal and cell 
cycle progression in Treg-deficient Tcra\textsuperscript{-/-} hosts were associ-
ated with the differentiation of anergic CD4 T cells into Foxp3\textsuperscript{+} 
Treg cells that could protect the recipients from immunopathology.

Unlike the Tcra\textsuperscript{-/-} Foxp3\textsuperscript{+} Treg cells previously generated from 
TCR-transgenic anergic T cells, polyclonal anergy-derived 
Treg cells were shown to stably express both Foxp3 and Nrp1\textsuperscript{+}. 
Importantly, anergy-derived Nrp1\textsuperscript{+} Foxp3\textsuperscript{+} Treg cells also 
demonstrated a fully demethylated nTreg-Me gene signature 
in vivo. Foxp3\textsuperscript{+} Treg cells in contrast, 
the dangerous anergy-derived Teff cells that failed to upregulate 
Foxp3 expression had a completely methylated Foxp3\textsuperscript{+} Treg region 
after anergy reversal. Experiments established that some anergic 
Foxp3\textsuperscript{+} T cells previously generated from 
Tcra\textsuperscript{-/-} mice had undergone incomplete demethylation of CpG islands in the nTreg-Me signature genes. 
In particular, about half of the 
Ctla4 exon 2 sequenced DNA 
were recovered from HA-expressing double-transgenic hosts 
CD4 T cells from 
Foxp3\textsuperscript{30R/K.O} mice were shown to lose their anergy markers and undergo cell cycle progression when transferred to Tcra\textsuperscript{-/-} hosts lacking their own Foxp3\textsuperscript{+} Treg compartment. However, recipient mice seldom 
exhibited evidence of autoimmune disease because a subset of 
these donor anergic T cells eventually differentiated into the 
Foxp3\textsuperscript{+} Treg cell lineage accounting for as many as 20% of the 
descendant T cells. This was in contrast to mice treated with 
diphtheria toxin during the anergy reversal to inhibit the 
accumulation of anergy-derived Foxp3\textsuperscript{+} Treg cells, as these mice 
uniformly developed colitis associated with weight loss and 
generated autoantibodies that recognized gut, heart, liver, lung, 
salivary gland, kidney, and pancreas antigens in an organ-specific 
fashion. The formal proof that such polyclonal anergy-derived 
Foxp3\textsuperscript{+} Treg cells could be protective was obtained by using in vivo 
models of inflammatory bowel disease and autoimmune arthritis 
in which the adoptive transfer of anergy-derived Foxp3\textsuperscript{+} Treg cells 
suppressed disease development. Thus, anergy reversal and cell 
cycle progression in Treg-deficient Tcra\textsuperscript{-/-} hosts were associ-
ated with the differentiation of anergic CD4 T cells into Foxp3\textsuperscript{+} 
Treg cells that could protect the recipients from immunopathology.

Unlike the Tcra\textsuperscript{-/-} Foxp3\textsuperscript{+} Treg cells previously generated from 
TCR-transgenic anergic T cells, polyclonal anergy-derived 
Treg cells made anergic following in vitro stimulation with 
OVA-loaded immature bone marrow--derived dendritic cells also 
acquired a Tr1-like suppressive phenotype after repeated 
stimulation in association with the upregulation of Egr2, CTLA-4, 
IL-10, and CD25 but not Foxp3\textsuperscript{44}.

Our recent discovery of a repertoire of naturally occurring 
aneergic CD4 Treg cell progenitors in healthy mice has provided 
the opportunity to further explore this relationship between 
anergy induction and immunoregulation\textsuperscript{45}. Anergic polyclonal 
Foxp3\textsuperscript{+} FR4\textsuperscript{+} CD73\textsuperscript{+} Nrp1\textsuperscript{+} CD4 T cells from Foxp3\textsuperscript{30R/K.O} mice 
were shown to lose their anergy markers and undergo cell cycle progression when transferred to Tcra\textsuperscript{-/-} hosts lacking their own Foxp3\textsuperscript{+} Treg compartment. However, recipient mice seldom 
exhibited evidence of autoimmune disease because a subset of 
these donor anergic T cells eventually differentiated into the 
Foxp3\textsuperscript{+} Treg cell lineage accounting for as many as 20% of the 
descendant T cells. This was in contrast to mice treated with 
diphtheria toxin during the anergy reversal to inhibit the 
accumulation of anergy-derived Foxp3\textsuperscript{+} Treg cells, as these mice 
uniformly developed colitis associated with weight loss and 
generated autoantibodies that recognized gut, heart, liver, lung, 
salivary gland, kidney, and pancreas antigens in an organ-specific 
fashion. The formal proof that such polyclonal anergy-derived 
Foxp3\textsuperscript{+} Treg cells could be protective was obtained by using in vivo 
models of inflammatory bowel disease and autoimmune arthritis 
in which the adoptive transfer of anergy-derived Foxp3\textsuperscript{+} Treg cells 
suppressed disease development. Thus, anergy reversal and cell 
cycle progression in Treg-deficient Tcra\textsuperscript{-/-} hosts were associ-
ated with the differentiation of anergic CD4 T cells into Foxp3\textsuperscript{+} 
Treg cells that could protect the recipients from immunopathology.

A two-step model for anergy-derived Foxp3\textsuperscript{+} Treg 
differentiation

At this time, the molecular mechanisms that dictate the generation 
of anergy-derived Foxp3\textsuperscript{+} Treg cells versus acquisition of a 
dangerous Teff cell phenotype remain unknown. However,
natural Foxp3+ Treg cells may offer important clues as they can demonstrate similar lineage plasticity during periods of lymphopenia-induced proliferation or target tissue inflammation (for example, excess IL-6 and IL-23) where they risk the loss of both Foxp3 expression and suppressor activity (the so-called exFoxp3 cells)\textsuperscript{46,47}. CD25+ Helios+ Nrp1+ Foxp3+ Treg cells with their incomplete Foxp3 CNS2 CpG demethylation in particular are prone to loss of Foxp3 expression\textsuperscript{5,46-49}. We note that, similar to anergy reversal in Tcra–/– hosts, each of these pathophysiological settings that favor Treg lineage instability and the generation of exFoxp3 cells is marked by a period of TCR-mediated cell cycle progression. Therefore, DNMT1 activity and Foxp3 CNS2 maintenance methylation may destabilize Foxp3 expression in the setting of T-cell lymphopenia. Nevertheless, we understand that exFoxp3 cells can regain their capacity to express the Foxp3 gene and suppress CD4 T-cell responses following proliferation in the presence of IL-12,50. This predicts a role for CD25-triggered activation of mTOR-dependent glutaminolysis and aerobic glycolysis and the resultant upregulation of α-KG/TET-mediated antagonism of DNMT1 function during chromosomal replication. This shift away from OXPHOS metabolism may also reduce the availability of oxidized nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD\textsuperscript{+})\textsuperscript{41} and prevent the NAD-dependent deacetylase sirtuin 1 (Sirt1) from marking Foxp3 molecules for proteosomal degradation\textsuperscript{52,53}. These observations, therefore, may serve as a useful paradigm for the generation of anergy-derived Foxp3+ Treg cells, as described in the model below.

**Model step 1**

We hypothesize that, in the first step of this model, anergic Foxp3+ FR4+ CD73+ Nrp1+ CD4 T cells are prone to steady-state partial demethylation of mTreg-Me signature genes (Figure 1A). Despite the opportunity for DNMT1-dependent Foxp3 CNS2 CpG maintenance methylation early during the course of anergy induction, sustained α-KG/TET dioxygenase activity likely opposes the actions of DNMT1 and DNMT3b once anergy develops and proliferation ceases (Figure 2). As described above, mTOR activity is highly restricted in anergic T cells by the absence of IL-2, low CD28 co-stimulatory signaling, and the presence of Nrp1 during the induction of anergy. This results in a cellular metabolism that is biased toward a reliance on OXPHOS for ATP and NAD\textsuperscript{+} generation more so than aerobic glycolysis\textsuperscript{64,65}. Restricted mTOR-dependent anabolic signaling pathways, including the nutrient transport systems for glucose, lipids, vitamins, and essential amino acids (for example, methionine), may be expected to reduce one-carbon metabolism and the availability of SAM-e, thus reinforcing mTOR inactivation via the SAMTOR SAM-e nutrient sensor\textsuperscript{66}. This low SAM-e abundance may also interfere with DNMT1/DNMT3b-dependent de novo methylation at the CNS2 locus of anergic CD4 T cells. DNMT1 recognition of CNS2 5-methylcytosine nucleotides may also be adversely affected by the binding of TET proteins at the locus. Finally, the sustained level of OXPHOS mitochondrial metabolism observed in anergic T cells may be sufficient to ensure adequate levels of α-KG to support TET protein oxidation and allow for the partial demethylation of CpG nucleotides within the CNS2 locus.

**Model step 2**

Although this first step in the model generates Treg progenitor cells from conventional anergic CD4 T cells, our data suggest that this single step is insufficient to induce differentiation to the Foxp3+ Treg lineage. Abundant NAD\textsuperscript{+} and Sirt1 deacetylase activity present in anergic T cells likely prevent any accumulation of Foxp3 protein despite partial CNS2 demethylation\textsuperscript{67,68}. Anergic Treg progenitors must additionally undergo a period of anergy reversal to enter a more plastic state that facilitates the differentiation of Foxp3+ Treg cells (Figure 2). Such a two-step system ensures that potentially autoreactive anergic T cells are called out to undergo a clonal expansion only when relevant self-antigen–specific Foxp3+ Treg suppression is insufficient, thus preserving the balance between self-tolerance, immunity, and immunodeficiency.

In step 2 of this model, anergy reversal occurs after a period of cell cycle progression in response to TCR signaling plus a new mTOR-dependent shift in cellular metabolism away from OXPHOS and toward aerobic glycolysis. Self-peptide/MHC II complex recognition is a consistent feature of the anergic state and continues to be essential during anergy reversal, as the loss of TCR signaling simply leads to the disappearance of cells\textsuperscript{4}. mTOR activation is best achieved in anergic T cells by TCR engagement in the setting of an acute reduction in the host’s natural Foxp3+ Treg cell repertoire. Either Tcra–/– hosts deficient for all Treg cells or Foxp3\textsuperscript{Il-2R\gamma\textsuperscript{–/–}} mice treated with diphtheria toxin to acutely deplete Foxp3+ expressing Treg cells have been found to optimally support anergy reversal and anergy-derived Treg generation (unpublished observations, M. Silva Morales). We would suggest that anergy reversal is triggered by the pro-inflammatory milieu that accompanies a deficiency of functional Foxp3+ Treg cells and by a lack of Treg competition for relevant self-peptide/MHC II complexes, growth factors (for example, IL-2 and IL-7), nutrients, and co-stimulatory signals. mTOR becomes activated in this setting, leading to cell cycle progression (as evidenced by increasing Ki-67 expression and clonal expansion), chromosomal replication, and accompanying anergy reversal, including the loss of expression of FR4 and CD73 and the restoration of effector cytokine production in some daughter T cells\textsuperscript{5}.

One expected effect of this mTOR-dependent cell cycle progression is an increase in DNMT1 maintenance methylation activity. Increased serine, methionine, and folic acid uptake during anergy reversal would ensure optimal generation of SAM-e and high DNMT1 methyltransferase function. As a consequence, in some cells, methylated CpG nucleotides that persist within the Foxp3 CNS2 locus in anergic CD4 T cells will be recognized by DNMT1 to allow for the propagation of repressive fully methylated CpG epigenetic marks during DNA replication. Thus, we hypothesize that high DNMT1 and SAM-e levels, chromosomal replication, and Foxp3 CNS2 remethylation promote the differentiation of dangerous conventional CD4 Teff cells following the reversal of anergy. This is particularly true when an anergic T cell has accumulated only a modest number of hydroxymethylated and demethylated CpG nucleotides at the
Figure 1. Anergy induction and anergy-derived Foxp3+ Treg cell differentiation in a two-step model. (A) Anergy induction creates Treg cell progenitors with a partially demethylated nTreg-Me signature as a consequence of balanced DNMT1/DNMT3b methyltransferase and TET dioxygenase activities. (B, C) Anergy reversal is associated with changes in metabolism that control DNA methylation. Dominant DNMT1 function during chromosomal replication (B) generates daughter cells with fully methylated Foxp3 CNS2 CpG islands that differentiate into Foxp3− effector T cells, whereas dominant TET activity promotes fully demethylated daughter cells (C) that differentiate into Foxp3+ Treg cells. α-KG, alpha-ketoglutarate; CNS, conserved non-coding sequence; DNMT, DNA methyltransferase; SAM-e, S-adenosyl methionine; TET, ten-eleven translocation; Treg, regulatory T.

CNS2 locus (Figure 1B and Figure 2). Nevertheless, in this model, mTOR-dependent aerobic glycolysis, glutamine transport, and glutaminolysis are also upregulated to ensure optimal α-KG levels and TET dioxygenase activity. As a result, TET proteins can act to inhibit the recognition of hemi-methylated CNS2 DNA by DNMT1 during replication and ultimately allow for the generation of fully demethylated daughter cells that express Foxp3 at the completion of cell cycle progression. Accordingly, this model suggests that TET-dependent hydroxymethylation and demethylation events that had previously accumulated at the Foxp3 CNS2 region in step 1 will favor the complete demethylation of the locus at the end of cell cycle progression (Figure 1C). Once homeostasis is restored in anergy-derived Foxp3+ Treg cells and OXPHOS metabolism is re-established, remethylation of the locus by
Figure 2. Varying DNMT1 and TET protein activities during cell cycle progression control the differentiation state of CD4 T cells.

(A) Conventional CD4 T effector (Teff) cell differentiation occurs in highly proliferative cells with unopposed DNMT1 activity maintaining a fully methylated Foxp3 CNS2 region. (B) Anergy-derived Foxp3+ Treg cell differentiation is a two-step process. At the end of step 1, anergic T cells undergo partial demethylation at the CNS2 locus as a result of TET dioxygenase activity. Those anergic cells that accumulate the highest number of CNS2 CpG demethylation events become resistant to DNMT1 activity during anergy reversal. In step 2, such anergic Treg cell progenitors fully demethylate their natural Treg demethylation (nTreg-Me) signature genes during chromosomal replication to promote their differentiation to the Foxp3+ Treg cell lineage. CNS, conserved non-coding sequence; DNMT, DNA methyltransferase; TET, ten-eleven translocation; Treg, regulatory T.

DNMT1 can once again be inhibited by reduced SAM-e levels as well as increased competition for CNS2 methylcytosine binding by active α-KG/TET dioxygenase complexes.

Conclusions
Although the mechanisms responsible for conversion of anergic cells into Treg cells remain unclear, the phenotypic and biochemical similarities between anergic T cells and natural Foxp3+ Treg cells provide important clues. Two shared traits, in particular, may be important to the differentiation of anergy-derived Treg cells: (a) OXPHOS metabolism and the avoidance of mTOR-dependent nutrient uptake promote the accumulation of α-KG/TET-dependent hydroxymethylation events within the Foxp3 CNS2 cis-acting element of resting cells (step 1). (b) mTOR-dependent proliferation and chromosomal replication subsequently allow for the demethylation of all daughter-strand CNS2 CpG nucleotides as a consequence of the antagonism between DNMT1 and TET proteins, particularly when the locus is already partially demethylated (step 2). Taken together, this model now predicts that the degree of CpG demethylation acquired within the Foxp3 CNS2 locus in step 1 specifies the anergic T-cell fate in step 2. Furthermore, the model offers the possibility that metabolic intervention can modulate the level of CNS2 methylation in anergic CD4 T cells and influence the differentiation of anergy-derived Foxp3+ Treg cells. In particular, future investigations of the metabolic regulation of the DNA methyltransferase DNMT1 and the TET methylcytosine dioxygenases in anergic CD4 T cells may yield key insights.
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