THE RESOLVED STRUCTURE AND DYNAMICS OF AN ISOLATED DWARF GALAXY: A VLT AND KECK SPECTROSCOPIC SURVEY OF WLM
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ABSTRACT

We present spectroscopic data for 180 red giant branch (RGB) stars in the isolated dwarf irregular galaxy Wolf–Lundmark–Mellot (WLM). Observations of the calcium II triplet lines in spectra of RGB stars covering the entire galaxy were obtained with FORS2 at the Very Large Telescope and DEIMOS on Keck II, allowing us to derive velocities, metallicities, and ages for the stars. With accompanying photometric and radio data we have measured the structural parameters of the stellar and gaseous populations over the full galaxy. The stellar populations show an intrinsically thick configuration with 0.39 < q0 < 0.57. The stellar rotation in WLM is measured to be 17 ± 1 km s⁻¹; however, the ratio of rotation to pressure support for the stars is V/σ ∼ 1, in contrast to the gas, whose ratio is seven times larger. This, along with the structural data and alignment of the kinematic and photometric axes, suggests we are viewing WLM as a highly inclined oblate spheroid. Stellar rotation curves, corrected for asymmetric drift, are used to compute a dynamical mass of (4.3 ± 0.3) × 10⁸ M⊙ at the half-light radius (rₕ = 1656 ± 49 pc). The stellar velocity dispersion increases with stellar age in a manner consistent with giant molecular cloud and substructure interactions producing the heating in WLM. Coupled with WLM’s isolation, this suggests that the extended vertical structure of its stellar and gaseous components and increase in stellar velocity dispersion with age are due to internal feedback, rather than tidally driven evolution. These represent some of the first observational results from an isolated Local Group dwarf galaxy that can offer important constraints on how strongly internal feedback and secular processes modulate star formation and dynamical evolution in low-mass isolated objects.

Key words: galaxies: abundances – galaxies: dwarf – galaxies: evolution – galaxies: individual (WLM) – galaxies: kinematics and dynamics

Online-only material: color figures

1. INTRODUCTION

In models of hierarchical structure formation such as the current ΛCDM cosmologies, dwarf galaxies are fundamental components of the mass assembly history of larger galaxies, such as our own Milky Way (MW). With dynamical masses below 10¹⁰ M⊙ these objects offer important observational tests for models, as they represent the smallest groupings of baryons through reionization (e.g., Navarro et al. 1997; Madau et al. 2001; Ricotti & Gnedin 2005; Bovill & Ricotti 2011; Sawala et al. 2011)? The apparent morphology–density relation of these low-mass objects, particularly through reionization, is often invoked as evidence that environmental feedback alters the gas content and structure of dwarf galaxies, certainly tidal and ram pressure stripping by the MW could drastically alter the gas content and structure of dwarf galaxies, certainly playing a role in how their stellar content evolves over a cosmic time (e.g., Mayer et al. 2001b; Kazantzidis et al. 2011; Łokas et al. 2010). Environmental feedback offers one possibility for influencing the stellar mass assembly rate. For example, is there a minimum halo mass that determines a galaxy’s likelihood of retaining baryons through reionization (e.g., Navarro et al. 1997; Madau et al. 2001; Ricotti & Gnedin 2005; Bovill & Ricotti 2011; Sawala et al. 2011)?

Environmental feedback has played an active role in low-mass dwarfs. In this case both tidal and ram pressure stripping by the MW could drastically alter the gas content and structure of dwarf galaxies, certainly playing a role in how their stellar content evolves over a cosmic time (e.g., Mayer et al. 2001b; Kazantzidis et al. 2011; Łokas et al. 2010). Internal feedback such as SF and supernova (SN) driven winds may also play a role in modulating the stellar mass buildup of dwarf galaxies. In that case, due to the low potential well of the dwarf galaxies, the gas may not be retained during large episodes of SF, shortening the SF lifetime in some cases (Dekel & Woo 2003). This has been shown to have effects on not...
just the chemical enrichment history, but also the structure of low-mass dwarfs (Stinson et al. 2009; Sotnikova & Rodionov 2003; Governato et al. 2010). With or without gas loss internal heating may regulate the SF efficiency, leading to lower SF rates (SFRs; Brooks et al. 2007) and thicker structure (Kaufmann et al. 2007). Alternatively, low SF efficiencies in dwarf galaxies may be due to the dependency of SF on H$_2$. Recent work (Bigiel et al. 2008; Leroy et al. 2008; Schruba et al. 2011) indicates that SF density in a galaxy is most directly correlated with cold molecular hydrogen, H$_2$, rather than the total gas surface density. Lower mass systems with low gas column densities do not allow self-shielding of the H$_2$, resulting in dissociation by the local radiation field (Robertson & Kravtsov 2008; Kuhlen et al. 2011).

Further suppression of H$_2$ formation is expected for low interstellar medium (ISM) metallicities, where fewer sites for dust formation and longer cooling times for the gas would be present (Krumholz & Dekel 2011). If the SF efficiencies in dwarf galaxies are lowered due to their intrinsic low mass and metal content (both of which hinder the gas reaching the molecular phase), the amount of feedback need not be as large in order to explain the extended low level of SF (Kuhlen et al. 2011). However, the constraints on what relative contribution feedback still must play are not well known currently. Establishing whether low SFRs in dwarf galaxies are due to feedback or H$_2$ regulated mechanisms can be answered in part through analysis of nearby dwarf galaxies.

Mechanisms that shape the evolution of the dwarf galaxy, whether internal or external, may impart observational signatures onto the stellar and gaseous populations in the galaxies. For example, the amount of pressure versus rotational support is often tracked in simulations of dwarf galaxy evolution (Kazantzidis et al. 2011). In the simulations of Mayer et al. (2001b), Kazantzidis et al. (2011), and Łokas et al. (2010), dIrrs with thin cold gas disks ($2 < V/\sigma < 5$) are tidally perturbed upon pericenter passage of the MW—a process invoked to explain the gas loss and change in stellar orbits that would be necessary to transform them into dSphs ($V/\sigma < 0.5$). However, alternative theories exist in which the progenitors of dSphs form in hotter, thicker disks, or spheroids (Kaufmann et al. 2007)—this may in turn influence the SF efficiency as the molecular gas formation rate would be influenced by the disk morphology (Robertson & Kravtsov 2008). And recent theoretical (Governato et al. 2010) and observational work (Sánchez-Janssen et al. 2010; Roychowdhury et al. 2010) suggests that significant rearrangement and evolution of baryonic structure can occur through secular evolution—whether via feedback (SF and SNe), stellar migration, or global disk instabilities (Stinson et al. 2009; Sotnikova & Rodionov 2003). However, to connect the enrichment and kinematic history of the galaxy over most of the age of the universe (the last $\sim 12$ Gyr), spectroscopic data (of resolved red giant branch (RGB) stars) are required. In particular, the relative amount of rotation and velocity dispersion of the stellar populations will evolve over the lifetime of the dwarf galaxy and can be traced as a function of time. These spectroscopic observations characterize the changing dynamics of the tracer populations over the lifetime of the dwarf (e.g., van der Marel 2006). This can be combined with deep photometric imaging studies, which trace the current structure of the galaxy (Irwin & Hatzidimitriou 1995). Additionally, stellar density profiles yield an estimate of the baryonic concentration, crucial for understanding the mass profiles (van den Bosch 2001). Finally, coarse metallicity information on a global scale can reveal chemical gradients across the galaxy.

Therefore, with structural analyses from photometric data, and the kinematics and ages from spectroscopic observations, it is possible to build a picture of how the mass distribution and dynamics of the galaxy evolved. While both internal and environmental feedback may have clear signatures on the dynamics of stellar and gaseous populations in low-mass dwarfs, the question remains to what degree one effect dominates for a given dwarf galaxy. In isolated dwarf galaxies any changes are more likely due to internal effects offering a window into how effective secular processes are at transforming a galaxy in the absence of strong effects. Any limits that can be placed on how feedback operates in these remote dwarf galaxies will offer important constraints in simulations of SF in low-mass dwarfs—giving an observational framework that can constrain models of internal feedback mechanisms.

Constraining the relative amount of internal-feedback-driven evolution requires studying galaxies that are likely to have been isolated over most of their history, unlike the nearby dSphs that have been influenced by the MW. While dSph distances ($\lesssim 250$ kpc) put them well within the reach of modern 8 m class spectrographs and imagers, they have most likely been tidally influenced by the MW, complicating interpretation. To date the large distances ($\gtrsim 500$ kpc) of isolated dIrrs have prevented substantive spectroscopic surveys, although these are the prime candidates to unravel the nature versus nurture question. Stellar structure and dynamics of the populations in the LMC have been studied in the past (cf. van der Marel 2006), but due to its larger mass, close distance to the MW, and interaction with the SMC, it is not ideal for studies of internal-feedback-driven evolution in a low-mass dwarf galaxy. Ideally we wish to study a dwarf galaxy at large galactocentric distance, in which the evolved stars will likely have had fewer tidal distortions. With this we can understand for the first time the dynamical state of the stellar component in an isolated dIrr. This can help constrain the initial conditions used for dwarf galaxy tidal transformation scenarios (i.e., Mayer et al. 2006), which may be important for the relative timescales of those models (Kazantzidis et al. 2011).

Galaxies such as the Wolf–Lundmark–Melotte dIrr (WLM, DDO 221; Wolf 1910; Melotte 1926) sit at large galactocentric distances, and their complex stellar and gaseous populations trace how their structure and dynamics evolve over a cosmic lifetime, with minimal external environmental influence. Observations of the bright supergiant population (Venn et al. 2004; Bresolin et al. 2006; Urbaneja et al. 2008) and ISM (Skillman et al. 1989; Hodge & Miller 1995; Lee et al. 2005) sampled the young populations of WLM but offered little insight into the earlier epochs of formation and evolution. Similarly, the photometric studies (Ables & Ables 1977; Minniti & Zijlstra 1997; Hodge et al. 1999; Battinelli & Demers 2004; McConnachie et al. 2005; Jackson et al. 2007) were only able to provide global views of the evolved population and were subject to degeneracies in age and metallicity. We seek to answer two main questions on dwarf galaxy evolution: (1) what is the role of internal versus external feedback in shaping the structural and dynamical properties of the dwarf? and (2) what modulates the SF efficiencies in low-metallicity, low-mass systems—SN feedback or H$_2$ regulated SF modes? To answer these, there needs to be a spectroscopic survey of RGB stars spanning 10 Gyr in age, which produces abundances and velocities in an isolated dwarf galaxy like WLM. In Leaman et al. (2009, hereafter Paper I), we presented spectra for 78 stars from FORS2 on Very Large
Figure 1. Distance from M31 and the Milky Way for Local Group dwarf irregulars (blue), dwarf spheroidals (red), and transition dwarfs (green). Shown are the projected galactocentric standard of rest (GSR) and Local Group standard of rest velocities for WLM. Evident is WLM’s large isolation from the two massive spiral galaxies, as well as other dwarf galaxies. Coordinates are taken from Mateo (1998). Shaded areas correspond to the approximate virial radii of massive spiral galaxies, as well as other dwarf galaxies. Coordinates are taken from Mateo (1998). Shaded areas correspond to the approximate virial radii of the Milky Way and M31. (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Telescopes (VLT), which represented the first medium-scale resolved spectroscopic study of an isolated dwarf galaxy in the Local Group. In this paper we more than double our sample size, allowing for the first time a chance to study these questions in a truly isolated dwarf galaxy.

1.1. Quantifying WLM’s Isolation

It is useful at this point to discuss the environment that WLM occupies within the Local Group, as the goal of this paper is to minimize the relative importance of external (tides and ram pressure) effects in order to learn more about the possible impact of internal feedback effects.

Derived and adopted properties for WLM are shown in Table 1 for clarity. WLM lies approximately 1 Mpc (Górski et al. 2011) from both the MW and M31, with its nearest neighbor being the small dSph Cetus (Mbul 9 × 10^7 M⊙; Walker et al. 2009), at 250 kpc away (Whiting et al. 1999), shown in Figure 1. Karachentsev (2005) calculate a tidal index for WLM of Θ = 0.3, where they define Θ = max[log(M_b/D^6_b)] + C to be the amount a galaxy is acted on by its largest tidal disturber. With the exception of Tucana (~0.2), Pegasus (~0.1), Aquarius (~0.1), and Leo A (0.2), WLM is one of the five least tidally disturbed and most isolated galaxies within a ~1 Mpc sphere of the MW. Projection of WLM’s heliocentric velocity (v_sys ~ −130 km s⁻¹) toward the Local Group center of mass results in a velocity with respect to the barycenter of v_b = −32 km s⁻¹, implying that it has just passed apocenter. With its current distance, that velocity, and an inferred Local Group mass of 5.6 × 10^{12} M⊙ (based on M31-MW orbit timing arguments that assume an age of 13.7 Gyr for the universe; cf. Lynden-Bell 1981), the maximum apocenter that WLM could have is approximately 1.3 Mpc. Assuming a completely radial orbit, the implied orbital period for WLM to reach its pericenter with the MW is 11–17 Gyr. Thus, WLM, in addition to being currently quite isolated, has had at most one pericenter passage in its lifetime (which would have been at least 11 Gyr ago at z = 2.5), meaning that its total evolution has been much less dominated by tidal and ram pressure effects from the MW than other Local Group galaxies.

The paper will be presented as follows: in Section 2 we will present the data sets with a focus on the observations and reductions. Section 3 will discuss the spectral analysis techniques used to reduce and analyze the data in a homogeneous way to extract the chemodynamical properties for this study. Section 4 will present results on the structure and kinematics of the stellar and gaseous populations, Section 5 will present a discussion of the mass estimates, and Section 6 will focus on the evolution of the stellar dynamics.

2. OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTIONS

The data presented in this paper come from observational campaigns on several instruments and telescopes. Seventy-eight stars were observed with the FORS2 (Appenzeller et al. 1998) spectrograph on VLT, and these data were presented in Leaman et al. (2009). These spectroscopic observations are supplemented here with spectra of 140 new stars observed at higher resolution with the DEIMOS (Faber et al. 2003) spectrograph on Keck II. V- and I-band photometry from the Isaac Newton Telescope (INT) Wide Field Camera covering a 36′ × 36′ field of view was used for several analysis steps. These photometric data are presented in McConnell et al. (2005) and have been converted to V and I using the calibration in that work. Near-infrared JHK data from the UKIRT/WFCAM telescope were also used (Tatton et al. 2010). In addition, we use Very Large Array (VLA) radio observations of WLM from Kepley et al. (2007), which the authors kindly made available for use here (A. Kepley 2009, private communication). Figure 2 illustrates the coverage of our slit masks for both the FORS2 and DEIMOS observations.

The new DEIMOS observations were taken during three nights of 2009 September. Two 16′ × 5′ slit masks covering the body of WLM were observed (see Figure 2) during seeing that ranged between 0′′.7 and 1′′.2, with a median value of 0′′.8. The instrument setup used the 1200 line mm⁻¹ grating with OG550 blocking filter, which yielded a spectral resolution of ~1.4 Å through I′ slits. The spectral range spanned roughly 7800–9300 Å. Wavelength calibration was aided with the use of NeArKrXe lamp exposures, in addition to the standard, quartz flat-field-calibrating exposures. Each mask had 10 × 30 minute exposures plus 3 × 20 minute exposures, all taken during good seeing and weather conditions, yielding 6 hr integration time per slit.

As the DEEP2 reduction pipeline is not optimized for faint stellar spectra, the data were pipeline processed using a privately written reduction procedure (R. Ibata). In brief the pipeline performs the requisite calibration tasks to characterize the slit position on the CCD and remove any instrumental signatures and non-orthogonal projection biases. Details of the reduction procedure used for the DEIMOS spectra are described in Ibata et al. (2011), and references therein. Extraction of the spectra in
each slit results in a single stellar source that is further subject to wavelength calibration and continuum normalized, before being radial velocity corrected via cross-correlation against a suite of template spectra. Due to the extreme faintness of the stars, even 10 m class telescopes require co-addition of (S/N) ≥ 10 Å −1 data appropriate for resolved chemodynamic studies such as this. Due to concerns about the poor performance of the flexure compensation system on DEIMOS at the time of our observations, the spectra were extracted individually and processed as single data products and co-added later. The S/N of the individual frames necessitated that the individual slit errors were minimized in the combination and correction steps. The typical shift in the individual exposures and applied prior to combining the combined spectra, rather than on each individual image. As such, heliocentric velocity corrections were tailored to the individual exposures and applied prior to combining the spectra, due to the long temporal baseline (roughly four months) of the FORS2 observations. Once shifted and combined, the spectra were ready for radial velocity computation with the aid of template stars and a Fourier cross-correlation routine (fxcor). For the FORS2 data, a total of 23 template radial velocity stars observed with the same instrument setup were used with the cross-correlation routine. In the case of the DEIMOS data, the stellar spectra were cross correlated against a single synthetic template around the CaT region. Both computations provided in-line error estimates, with the velocity errors ranging from 1.0 ≤ δVhel ≤ 8.0 km s −1 with a mean of ⟨δVhel⟩ = ±2.3 km s −1 for the DEIMOS stars, and a range of 3.0 ≤ δVhel ≤ 10.0 km s −1 with a mean of ⟨δVhel⟩ = ±5.0 km s −1 for the FORS2 stars. For the FORS2 reductions systematic velocity errors due to a star’s position in the slit were removed by centroiding the stars relative to the slit center. The fact that this procedure was done on combined spectra resulted in small absolute corrections, as the √n statistics meant that the individual slit errors were minimized in the combination and correction steps. The typical shift for the slit error on an individual exposure is approximately 6–9 km s −1 for the FORS2 stars, and we note that this shift produces negligible uncertainties in the equivalent width error. The final average absolute corrections to the slit centering errors on the combined spectra were on the order of ≲1.5 km s −1 for the FORS2 stars.

3. SPECTRAL ANALYSIS

3.1. Radial Velocity Measurements

Radial velocities were measured from the three strong calcium II triplet (CaT) lines (λ ≈ 8498, 8542, 8662 Å) that, in the case of the DEIMOS data, had a velocity correction applied from the sky OH lines in order to mitigate errors due to the instrument rotation. The average rms of the wavelength solution for the blue and red sides of the DEIMOS chips was 0.25 and 0.27 km s −1, respectively. For the FORS2 spectra, the low S/N of the individual frames necessitated that we perform cross-correlation radial velocity calculations on the combined spectra, rather than on each individual image.

Table 1

| Table 1 | WLM Properties |
|----------------|----------------|
| Quantity        | Value           |
| (α, δ)          | (00 01 58, −15 27 45) |
| (l, b)          | (75.85, −73.63) |
| E(B − V)        | 0.035 (mag)     |
| D_MW            | 985 ± 33 kpc    |
| e               | 0.45–0.6        |
| q0              | −0.38–0.57      |
| z0              | 705 ± 28 pc     |
| r0              | 987 ± 30 pc     |
| r_{hel}         | 1656 ± 49 pc    |
| $h^2_{\text{MA}}$ | 1209 ± 23 pc    |
| $v^H_{\text{hel}}$ | −130 km s −1 |
| $\mu^H_{\text{rot}}$ | ~6 H i rotational to pressure support |
| $\mu^H_{\text{spec}}$ | ~1 Stellar rotational to pressure support |
| $M_V$           | −14.1 (mag)     |
| $M_{H1}$        | (6.3 ± 0.3) × 10^7 $M_\odot$ |
| $M_*$           | 1.1 × 10^7 $M_\odot$ |
| $M_{\alpha}$    | 0.86 Gas fraction (M_{\alpha} = M_{H1}/0.733) |
| $M_B/M_*$       | 0.05–0.10 Baryon fraction (M_B = M_∗ + M_{\alpha}) |
| $M_{\text{tot}}/L_{\odot}$ | 17–30 $M_\odot$ $L_{\odot}$ −1 Direct mass-to-light ratio (at r_{half} to r_{last}) |
| Y = $\frac{\sigma_{\text{phot}}}{\sigma_{\text{spec}}}$ | ~232 ± 31 $M_\odot$ $L_{\odot}$ −1 Theoretical mass-to-light ratio |
| [Fe/H]_{\text{phot}} | −1.45 ± 0.2 dex Photometric RGB metallicity |
| [Fe/H]_{\text{spec}} | −1.28 ± 0.02 dex Spectroscopic RGB metallicity |

Reference

(a) Leaman et al. (2012, 2014, 2016)
3.2. Membership Determination

Due to its distance off the plane of the MW \((l, b = 75.85, -73.63; \text{Gallouet et al. 1975})\), the contaminant fraction is expected to be very low in the direction of WLM. Nevertheless, the DEIMOS sample of WLM covers a much larger field of view than the FORS2 sample presented in Paper I, which means that the chance of foreground stars in the outer regions is increased. Additionally, as we are possibly sampling member stars at larger radii, they stand to be at larger velocities with respect to the central regions. Removing contaminants in a galaxy that is known to be rotating is not trivial, and in this case we culled the sample with an iterative maximum likelihood method. Rather than a global 3σ clipping, we adopted a spatially binned clipping routine that was much more robust, and by binning along the major axis we avoided artificially inflating the \(\sigma_v\) estimates. This procedure was based on the joint maximum likelihood method of Walker et al. (2006) (see also Gunn & Griffin 1979; Hargreaves et al. 1994), which we extended here to spatial bins of 25 stars each, so as to accurately throw out contaminants when the rotational profile enhances the spread of velocities at different positions in the galaxy. The algorithm simultaneously determines the dispersion \((\sigma_v)\) and average velocity \((\langle u \rangle)\) in a given bin by maximizing the probability:

\[
\ln(p_b) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \ln \left( \sigma_i^2 + \sigma_v^2 \right) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{(v_i - \langle u \rangle)^2}{\sigma_i^2 + \sigma_v^2} - \frac{N}{2} \ln(2\pi). \tag{1}
\]

With this we culled the sample to 180 total member stars, with only one of the previous FORS2 stars removed. Figure 4 shows the distribution of probable member and contaminant stars in our catalog. In Figure 5, we plot the two-dimensional (2D) projected map of WLM with the H\textsc{i} gas contours and stellar sources determined to be members in our sample, both color coded by velocity.

![Figure 2. Portion of an \(I\)-band image of WLM from the MOSAIC-II camera at the 4 m Blanco Telescope at CTIO (R. Leaman et al. 2012, in preparation). The total image is approximately 36′ × 36′, equal to the coverage from our INT WFC photometry. This figure shows a zoomed-in region of 22′ × 26′, with north being up and east to the left. The relative locations of the VLT FORS2 (blue) and Keck II DEIMOS (red) spectroscopic fields are shown. Magenta ellipse marks the half-light radius assuming an ellipticity of \(e = 0.55\) and photometric position angle of 179°. The two A-type supergiants from Venn et al. (2003) are located approximately in the center of our lower FORS2 field, along with the \text{H\textsc{i}} regions from Hodge & Miller (1995) and the B supergiants from Bresolin et al. (2006).

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

![Figure 3. Example DEIMOS co-added spectra for three member stars in our sample of varying magnitudes, plotted in arbitrary units of flux and continuum normalized and binned by a factor of four. Visible are the three strong Ca\textsc{ii} triplet lines at \(\lambda \sim 8498, 8542,\) and 8662 Å shown by the red dotted lines, as well as the DEIMOS chip gap (blue regions). The S/N per angstrom for the spectra are \(\sim 30, 19,\) and 15, respectively.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

5
Figure 4. Heliocentric velocity as a function of major-axis position for the 180 member RGB stars in WLM, as well as the non-member stars. Extremely large absolute velocities are typically stars with very low S/N, which rendered clean sky subtraction and radial velocity derivation difficult. The mean random uncertainty on velocity for the member stars is 4 km s\(^{-1}\) (smaller than the symbols in most cases). Due to the large distance off the plane of the galaxy \((b = -74^\circ)\), few Milky Way stars contaminate our spectroscopic catalogue.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Figure 5. WLM spectroscopic sample in tangent plane coordinates on the sky. H\textsc{i} velocity data from Kepley et al. (2007) are shown as contours, and the stellar velocities of RGB members are color coded to the same velocity scale. Dashed line shows the photometric minor axis, and the dotted line shows the kinematic velocities of RGB members are color coded to the same velocity scale. Dashed line shows the photometric minor axis, and the dotted line shows the kinematic velocities of RGB members are color coded to the same velocity scale.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

3.3. Spectroscopic Metallicities

As in Paper I, [Fe/H] derivations were done through the empirically calibrated CaT method (Armandroff & Da Costa 1991) whereby the summed equivalent width of these lines correlates with [Fe/H] on a scale calibrated to galactic GCs (Cole et al. 2004). We here use the recently updated calibration given in Starkenburg et al. (2010). The details of the equivalent width measurements and placement onto the metallicity scale will be discussed in a forthcoming partner paper (Leaman et al. 2012), which will deal with the chemical analysis and interpretation of WLM. Of our 180 radial velocity members, we have derived [Fe/H] measurements for 126 of the stars that had high enough S/N (\(\gtrsim 10 \, \text{A}^{-1}\)). The uncertainties were estimated in the fashion described in Paper I, with the average internal error on metallicity being \(\Delta_{\text{Fe/H}} = \pm 0.26 \, \text{dex}\).

3.3.1. Repeat Observations

While estimates of our errors exist for both [Fe/H] and velocity measurements, more information about how precise these measurements are can be gleaned from observations of stars that are in common between the FORS2 and DEIMOS data set. We ended up with six stars that overlapped between the sample for which to check velocities—and only two stars for which repeated [Fe/H] measurements can be made. The values for these repeat measurements are shown in Table 2. The mean offset \((\langle X \rangle = (1/N)\Sigma(x_i - x_j))\) and scaled median absolute deviation of the offsets \((S = 1.48 \times \text{med}(|x_i - \text{med}(x_j)|))\) are \(X_{\text{Fe/H}} = 0.24; S_{\text{Fe/H}} = 0.02 \, \text{dex}\), and \(x_{\text{vel}} = -2.5; S_{\text{vel}} = 13.9 \, \text{km s}^{-1}\), respectively. Given the velocity and metallicity uncertainties discussed in the preceding section, these repeat observations are consistent within the errors.

3.4. Age Derivations

At the distance of WLM, deriving ages for individual stars is not possible with precision greater than \(\sim 50%\) and in reality is simply providing a coarse correction to the assumption that metallicity and color are perfectly correlated. The reader is referred to Paper I for the discussion of the age derivations in detail, but relevant updates are briefly outlined here. The well-calibrated homogeneous photometry from McConnachie et al. (2005) is crucial in deriving accurate ages, but this method still suffers from difficulties (cf. Tolstoy 2003)—namely, the possibility of internal differential reddening and poorly constrained [\(\alpha/\text{Fe}\)] values for the RGB stars as discussed in Paper I. Given the extended star formation history of WLM (Mateo 1998; Dolphin 2000) and the gas-rich nature of dIrr galaxies, we expect a significant range in red giant ages in the data set.

With our current spectroscopic sample, [Fe/H] values are now found \(\leq -2.5 \, \text{dex}\), which necessitated using the Yale-Yonsei...
evolutionary tracks (Demarque et al. 2004), which sample much lower metallicities. In Paper I, we provided age estimates based on both $[\alpha/Fe] = +0.3$ and solar. Here, we use a compilation of high-dispersion spectroscopy of various stellar populations in WLM to constrain the mean $[\alpha/Fe]$ as a function of metallicity. Colucci et al. (2011) observed the lone GC of WLM and WLM-1 to have $[Ca/Fe] = 0.25$ and $[Fe/H] = −1.71$, which we adopt as the $[\alpha/Fe]$ values for all our targets with similar or lower metallicities. Of course GCs may undergo a separate formation and chemical evolution than field stars; however, in the MW, LMC, and Fornax the metal-poor clusters show similar $[\alpha/Fe]$ as the field stars (Pritzl et al. 2005; Hill 1997; Letarte et al. 2006), and Ca is not affected by GC mixing processes (e.g., Gratton et al. 2004). Stars more metal-rich than this are assigned an $[\alpha/Fe]$ based on a third-order polynomial, which is fit to the WLM-1 GC value, as well as the B and A supergiant studies of Venn et al. (2003) and Bresolin et al. (2006). The position of each star on the color–magnitude diagram was interpolated among a grid of Yale-Yonsei isochrones at appropriate values of $[Fe/H]$ and $[\alpha/Fe]$ for the star.

The random errors on ages for individual stars are ±50%. Systematic errors are present in these estimates due to the variable $\alpha$-enhancement, extinction, and asymptotic giant branch (AGB) contamination. We have estimated the magnitude of these systematic uncertainties in our ages using artificial star tests, which will be described in more detail in the forthcoming paper (Leaman et al. 2012). In the relevant figures in this work we have overlaid both the individual random error in a star’s age and the combined systematic uncertainties.

4. RESULTS

In this work, we have added DEIMOS spectroscopic observations of 103 member stars to supplement the 77 member stars from Paper I. We have determined the radial velocity values for these 180 individual member RGB stars in WLM, of which 126 also have $[Fe/H]$ and ages derived. These new objects allow us to sample out to a projected radius of 3.4 kpc (∼3.5 disk scale lengths) in WLM, assuming the adopted distance of 985 kpc. This spatially extended sample can be used to examine the structure, kinematics, and chemical evolution history of this galaxy for the first time in conjunction with derived age estimates. We now present an updated discussion of the structural and kinematic parameters.

4.1. Structural Parameters

To derive the structural parameters of WLM, we used the photometric $V, I,$ and $JHK$ catalogs, as well as the H$\alpha$ data of Kepley et al. (2007). The color–magnitude diagrams are shown in Figure 6, including the INT $V$ and $I$ photometry, as well as the infrared UKIRT/WFCAM photometry of WLM. We have isolated several population tracers, as shown by the colored areas in the figures. For the $V$ and $I$ photometry a strict RGB locus is shown by the red box. The tip of the RGB location was
taken from the analysis of McConnachie et al. (2005), which used the same photometric catalog as our present work. This selection represents the least contaminated RGB population in WLM. Outside of this lie primarily excessively young or metal-poor RGB and luminous AGB stars. In the \textit{JHK} diagram, the oxygen-rich M stars (light blue) and the young (∼1 Gyr) carbon-rich C stars are identified, as those AGB stars above the TRGB and to the appropriate side of the metallicity-dependent color cut found for WLM in the work of Tatton et al. (2010). The stellar density profiles for the full \textit{V}, \textit{I} (black), strict RGB (red), and C-star (blue) populations are shown in Figure 7, constructed by binning stars in geometrical radii that have been referred to an average ellipticity of $e = 0.55$ at a position angle of 179°. The inner regions of the two INT populations suffer from crowding, and so the subsequent fits of the surface density profiles exclude these regions. Four profile fits have been applied: Plummer (Plummer 1911), King (King 1962), de Vaucouleurs, and exponential profiles. For the rest of this paper, we adopt the best-fitting exponential surface brightness profiles, $\Sigma(R) = \Sigma_0 e^{-R/R_d}$, and convert the exponential scale length, $R_d = 3.45$ (for the full \textit{V} and \textit{I} samples), into the 2D projected half-light radius, $r_h = 5.78$, and where necessary the 3D deprojected half-light radius, $r_{h3} = 7.68$, using the relations of Wolf et al. (2010). The background level in each population was simultaneously fit as a constant and was found to be consistent with selecting out by eye isolated regions of our field of view to estimate the background level.

The resulting position angles and ellipticities are shown as a function of radius in Figure 9. There is excellent agreement between the \textit{H}1 and stellar structural properties, with only the evolved RGB population showing a slightly rounder ellipticity. This is consistent with literature values of past photometric levels, which we adopt in Figure 8. Density contours for the stellar and gaseous populations of WLM in the tangent plane. Contour levels start at $2\sigma$ above the background, and each subsequent contour level is $n$ times higher than the last, where $n = 1.2$.

Contour levels start at $2\sigma$ above the background, and each subsequent contour level is $n = 1.2$ times higher than the last. The \textit{H}1 radial extent is not significantly larger than the stellar component as reported in Kepley et al. (2007) and the recent single-dish observations of WLM by Hunter et al. (2011). The latter work also noted that the density profile of the \textit{H}1 shows a smooth drop-off to the detection limit, with no sharp truncation. The strict RGB population shows a slightly more spherical distribution than the \textit{H}1, but otherwise the general shape of the evolved stellar populations is consistent with the \textit{H}1 population. As expected, the young C-star population occupies the central regions of the galaxy, where the most recent SF has occurred (Dolphin 2000). These centrally concentrated younger populations are similar to what has been found in other Local Group dwarf galaxies (e.g., Battaglia et al. 2006; Hidalgo et al. 2009; de Boer et al. 2011). However, we note that with characteristic ages of ∼1 Gyr, these stars would still have experienced ∼3 rotation periods. Ellipticity and photometric position angle (PA$_{\text{phot}}$) are calculated as a function of radius by fitting ellipses using the task \texttt{MPFITELLIPSE} (Markwardt 2009) on the contours at various radii for each population.

The 2D projected spatial distributions of these three populations and the \textit{H}1 surface density are shown in Figure 8. The mean and standard deviation of the background level were determined from regions at the outer limits of our field of view.
4.2. Internal Kinematics

With nearly equal rotation and dispersion in the stellar component, care must be taken in interpreting WLM’s velocity data. In rotating systems, classical mass estimates rely on the H\textsc{i} gas, which has negligible random motion, making for relatively simple analyses equating the enclosed mass to some circular velocity. The underlying mass profile can also be ascertained through velocity dispersion profile based methods—such as spherical Jeans modeling (e.g., Battaglia et al. 2008). In each of these cases, only one type of dominant kinematics is present in the tracer population—rotation or dispersion. The challenge in our case is to convert WLM’s composite dynamical configuration into a singular rotating or dispersion-dominated data set. Without these corrections a number of systematic biases arise when interpreting rotational and pressure supported velocity distributions—such as encountered when analyzing an object in the configuration of WLM (cf. Łokas et al. 2010). Detailed dynamical modeling will be presented in a forthcoming paper (R. Leaman & G. Battaglia 2012, in preparation). We now proceed with analysis of the velocity dispersion and rotation profiles, with an emphasis on understanding the biases that arise when studying the kinematics of systems that simultaneously exhibit strong rotation and dispersion.

4.2.1. Stellar Velocity Field

As discussed in Paper I, the stellar velocities are markedly different in WLM from most of the dSph galaxies. The stars in WLM of all ages/metallicities appear to be rotating, in contrast to the purely random stellar motions in Local Group dSphs. We begin by looking at the velocity structure of the stellar and gaseous populations of WLM. As shown in Figure 10, WLM’s stellar velocities, while showing rotation greater than seen in the dSphs of the Local Group, rotate with half the velocity of the H\textsc{i} gas and lag behind the H\textsc{i} by \(\sim 15\) \(\text{km s}^{-1}\). Clearly the stellar rotation is decoupled from that of the H\textsc{i}, with the suppressed rotation velocity of the stars due to the effect of asymmetric drift, as we will demonstrate later. In Figure 11, we show the projection of the rotation velocity of the stars as a function of geometric radii (again referred to an ellipticity of \(e = 0.55\)). The binned data points are fit with an isothermal sphere rotation curve of the form \(V(r)^2 = 4\pi G \sigma^2(1 - a_1/r)(1 - \tan(r/a_1))\) (Kepley et al. 2007), which slowly rises to a value of \(\sim 17\) \(\text{km s}^{-1}\) at the last measured point.

As later we wish to derive a line-of-sight velocity dispersion profile, it is important that we accurately characterize the velocity field of WLM. We therefore explored different models to characterize the rotation of the stars in WLM. A few methods that were tested were as follows: fitting the stellar velocity field simply along a strip of the major axis, describing the rotation field by iterating through fits at differing position angles, or treating the stars with the classical “spider diagram” velocity field:

\[
\begin{align*}
  v(x, y) = v_{\text{sys}} + v_{\text{rot}}(R) \cos(\theta) \sin(i) + v_{\text{rad}}(R) \sin(\theta) \sin(i) \quad (2)
\end{align*}
\]

where \(\theta\) is the azimuthal angle and \(i\) is the inclination of the galaxy.

The most consistent determination, as judged by the smallest deviation at all radii in the rotation-subtracted velocities, was a simple linear fit along the velocity pattern of the major axis. The rotation-subtracted velocities are shown in the second panel of Figure 11, with the mean values of the stars staying close to the systemic velocity at all radii. The velocity of the stars off the major axis shows little deviation from the model, suggesting that the stars are rotating cylindrically; however, due to the uncertainties in the inclination and disk thickness of WLM, we cannot examine this in detail.

4.2.2. Velocity Dispersion Profile

Deriving a line-of-sight velocity dispersion (\(\sigma_v\)) profile for these stars requires removal of the rotation signature, so that the \(\sigma_v\) profile is not artificially enhanced at large radii. As we showed above, WLM exhibits significant rotation, so correcting for this magnitude of rotation in a galaxy with this structure is not trivial. Using the best-fit velocity field model described in the previous
paragraph, the rotation was removed and we could proceed to construct a velocity dispersion profile that was unbiased at large radii. The dispersion was calculated applying the likelihood method of Equation (1), using the rotation-subtracted velocities. Errors on the dispersion estimates were taken to be the value at the 1σ confidence interval of the likelihood parameter space. The resulting dispersion profile is shown in the third panel of Figure 11 as solid black dots. The open blue circles in that figure show the resulting $\sigma_v$ profile if we were to compute the dispersion profile on the raw velocities that had not been rotation subtracted. The artificial enhancement of the dispersion values at large radii is clearly evident due to the strong streaming motion of the stellar velocity field. The radial velocity dispersion profile for WLM does not appear to be flat, unlike what is seen in many dSphs (Walker et al. 2009); however, interestingly the dE NGC 147, which is of similar mass, luminosity, and shape as WLM, shows a drop-off in $\sigma_v$ at larger radii as well (Geha et al. 2010). This and other nuances of the dispersion profile will be discussed in depth in a forthcoming paper on the detailed mass profile of WLM (R. Leaman & G. Battaglia 2012, in preparation). In the bottom panel of Figure 11, we show the ratio of rotational to dispersion support in WLM as a function of radius, $V/\sigma$. The dashed line represents the expected value of $V/\sigma$ for an oblate isotropic rotator at the eccentricity of WLM. The flattening of WLM then is most likely due to rotation, rather than anisotropy in the outer regions. The value of $V/\sigma \sim 1$ within one effective radius is much less than the H1, which shows $V/\sigma \sim 7$. Nearly equal pressure and rotation support for the stellar component is also much lower than what simulations typically use for progenitor dIrrs (i.e., Mayer et al. 2001a; Kazantzidis et al. 2011). The measured $V/\sigma$ may reflect some minor interactions between WLM and other dwarfs in the Local Group; however, as discussed in the next section, the alignment of the kinematic and photometric axes of WLM rules out significant interactions. Therefore, if this value of $V/\sigma = 1$ for the isolated WLM dIrr is representative of typical dIrr progenitors, it would have implications for the efficiencies (and thus timescales) of dwarf galaxy tidal transformation scenarios in such simulations.

4.3. Photometric and Kinematic Axes

Information on the orientation and potential of WLM comes from comparing the position angle of the kinematic ($\text{PA}_{\text{kin}}$) and photometric ($\text{PA}_{\text{phot}}$) data. The kinematic position angle

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure10.pdf}
\caption{WLM stellar and H\textsc{i} rotational velocities ($V_{\text{rot}} - V_{\text{sys}}$) as a function of major-axis offset. Small black dots represent the individual stellar data points, and the large circles represent the binned values with error on the mean shown. Results of the asymmetric drift correction to the stellar rotation are shown in blue. Also noted is the relative rotation over pressure support for the raw stellar and gaseous kinematics. (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure11.pdf}
\caption{Raw stellar rotational velocity (top), along with the optimal derotation achieved through a single fit through the major-axis velocity profile of WLM. Third panel shows velocity dispersion profile before (blue circles) and after rotation is subtracted—with the artificial enhancement of $\sigma_v$ at large radii removed in the latter case. Bottom panel shows ratio of rotational to pressure support, with dashed line showing the expected value for an oblate galaxy flattened by isotropic rotation to the ellipticity of WLM. (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)}
\end{figure}
defines the major axis of rotation of a galaxy and, in cases
where recent mergers have occurred or the observer is viewing
a triaxial system, may not always lie along the same axis as the
photometric position angle. To compute the $P_{\text{kin}}$, we proceed
as in, e.g., Walker et al. (2006). For every star, or interpolated $H_1$
velocity point in the tangent $(\xi, \eta)$ plane, an angle of bisection
is calculated based on the data point’s position off the above-
determined photometric minor axis. The galaxy is split along
this bisection line, and velocity difference is computed by
calculating the average velocities above and below the line and
subtracting them. The bisection line is then rotated by $1^\circ$ for the
$H_1$ or to the next star and the procedure is repeated, building up
the curves shown in Figure 12. A hyperbolic tangent function
was fit to the data and the position angle determined based on the
bisection angle where the velocity difference is minimized. With
a position angle of zero northward in the tangent plane on the sky,
the kinematic position angle is defined as $180^\circ + o r - the angle
of bisection where the minimum velocity difference occurs.

The uncertainty on the angle is determined by calculating the
difference from the bisection line that would be produced if the
velocity difference were changed by the average uncertainty in
a star’s velocity. We find consistent values for the kinematic
position angle of the stellar and gaseous components of WLM,
with the latter values in excellent agreement with the $H_1$
studies
of Jackson et al. (2004) and Kepley et al. (2007), who used other
methodology.

With the photometric and kinematic position angles calculated,
it is instructive to look at their relative alignment, typically
designated as the parameter $\Psi \equiv |P_{\text{kin}} - P_{\text{phot}}|$. The quantity
of $\Psi$ is sometimes used as a signature of mergers, where
the structural and dynamical misalignment would be high, or
to give insight into non-circular gaseous motions due to infall or
outflow (Fraternali et al. 2004). For galaxies in the ATLAS 3D
study $\sim$90% of galaxies were found to have alignments $\leq 15^\circ$
(Krajnovic et al. 2011). For the stellar component of WLM we
derive $\Psi = 1^\circ \pm 3^\circ$, and $\Psi = 2^\circ \pm 2^\circ$ for the $H_1$
The fact that the alignment of the kinematic and photometric position
angles is close also constrains the viewing angle and shape. As
discussed recently by Łokas et al. (2010), when rotation is sig-
nificant in a triaxial system viewed perpendicular to the longest
dimension, rotation other than the major axis is usually evident,
as the likelihood of the rotation being aligned with either axis
is small in a potential that permits more irregular orbits. In con-
trast, an axisymmetric system will show no rotation along the
minor or intermediary position angles; thus, the kinematic and
photometric position angles will show strong alignment like we
observe in WLM. Additional constraints against WLM being
triaxial come from its position on the $V/\sigma$ versus $e$
anisotropy
diagram. As we shall see in the next section, WLM is flat-
tened mostly by rotation rather than anisotropy, consistent with
the interpretation that we are viewing a highly inclined oblate
spheroid.

4.4. How Thick Is WLM?

From Figure 9, the ellipticity and position angle of the gas
and stars allow us to characterize the projected distribution of
those populations. To untangle the intrinsic shape of WLM is
more complicated. If we are observing an oblate spheroid that
is rotationally flattened, it will have an intrinsic thickness, $q_0$
(for this orientation, $q = c/a$, with $c$ being the short axis
and $a$ being the long axis)—which is difficult to disentangle
without a constraint on the viewing inclination, $i$. The relation
between the intrinsic and projected axial ratio is shown in
Figure 13 for the range of inclinations determined in the tilted
ring analysis of the $H_1$ data in Kepley et al. (2007). We note that
because their inclinations were derived assuming a thin disk
in the tilted ring analysis, they therefore provide lower limits
on the possible inclination. Thus, given the high inclination for

Figure 12. Kinematic position angle diagnostic plots for the $H_1$ (top) and stellar data (bottom). The velocity difference (see the text) between the stellar sample on
either half of a bisecting axis is plotted as a function of the bisection angle. Here, the bisection angle of zero degrees corresponds to the minor axis of WLM. Overlaid
is a function of the form $a_0\tanh(a_1(\theta - a_2))$. (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)
WLM, the range of measured projected axial ratios ($b/a$) for the stellar and gaseous components (horizontal lines) suggests that the intrinsic thickness ranges between 0.38 $\leq q_0 \leq$ 0.57. With the data presented here, we have evidence that WLM’s vertical structure is quite extended in both its stellar and gaseous components. This is confirmed using the techniques described in van der Marel et al. (2002), whereby WLM can be approximated as a flattened spheroid with axial ratio $q(R) = (2 + [2R_D/R(V_{rot}(R)/\sigma(R))^2])^{-1/2}$, assuming isotropic velocities for the stars (which are assumed to lie in a larger isothermal halo). Using the derived exponential scale length from Section 4.1, the intrinsic axial ratio ($q$) for WLM calculated using this method again lies between 0.38 and 0.56 at all radii—in good agreement with Figure 13.

This value is consistent with the recent study of Roychowdhury et al. (2010), who showed that the average intrinsic gas disk thickness of dIrrs is a much higher ($q_0 = 0.6$, instead of the 0.2 that is commonly assumed for stellar and gaseous disks in higher mass galaxies. Our values for the stellar thickness are also in line with studies of distant dwarf galaxies that seem to show thicker stellar structure (Sánchez-Janssen et al. 2010).

We might ask, how does this thick configuration compare to other galaxies where direct measurements of the vertical height are possible? While we are unable to determine whether the extended vertical structure in WLM is due to just a single component flattened spheroid or multiple disks of varying thickness, we can compare the vertical extent to studies of edge-on galaxies that exhibit thick disks. In Figure 14, we plot the radial and vertical scale heights for WLM along with a sample of edge-on spiral galaxies from Yoachim & Dalcanton (2006) and the two large spirals in the Local Group (cf. Collins et al. 2011).

The values for WLM were derived by fitting the major-axis stellar surface density with an exponential profile and the minor axis with a profile of the form $\Sigma(z) \propto \text{sech}^2(z/z_0)$, for which we derive a vertical scale height of $z_0 = 705 \pm 28$ pc. Assuming an inclination of $i = 79^\circ$, we show the corrected vertical scale height marked on the figure as the open circle. The best-fit lines are taken from Yoachim & Dalcanton (2006) and indicate that WLM has a similar relative vertical scale height as expected for its radial scale length. Direct confirmation of a distinct thick disk in WLM would only be possible after identifying a velocity lag or age difference in a statistical sense, which is beyond the scope of our spectroscopic sample. However, the vertical structure of WLM is clearly extended to an amount consistent with other galaxies that show increased vertical scale heights.

## 5. MASS ESTIMATES

Examination of the velocities shows that WLM is clearly in a different structural and dynamical state than dSphs; however, does that mean their mass estimates also differ? Detailed mass modeling can provide answers both to what the stellar velocities tell us about the past history of WLM and about the underlying potential. As we have both stellar and H$^1$ velocities, there exists the opportunity for much more detailed modeling than is available from dSph data sets. With this we can compare how various mass modeling techniques perform on a system where there is strong rotation and flattening. Various dynamical models to describe the mass profile of WLM will be presented in a forthcoming paper, but for now we can compute simple mass estimates in two ways.

### 5.1. Rotationally Derived Mass

We can use the inherent rotation of the stars to compute an estimate of the circular velocity of WLM, provided that we remove the component of random motions that are suppressing the rotation curve. As noted earlier, the stellar rotation curve differs in magnitude to the H$^1$ due to the effect of asymmetric drift. To directly compare the rotation curves of the stars and gas and allow for independent circular velocity estimates, we must correct for this effect. To analyze the stellar kinematics in a purely rotational sense, corrections due to asymmetric drift were applied:

$$v_z^2 = v_\phi^2 + \sigma^2 + \sigma^2 \left[ \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial R} + \frac{\partial \ln \sigma_R^2}{\partial \ln R} + \left(1 - \frac{\sigma_z^2}{\sigma_R^2}\right) + R\sigma_\kappa^2 \frac{\partial \ln \rho \sigma_R^2}{\partial z} \right].$$

(3)

Here, $v_\phi$ is the inclination-corrected observed rotation velocity, $\rho$ is the stellar density, $\sigma$ is the velocity dispersion in a given component, and $v_c$ is the drift-corrected rotation velocity. We follow the outline and assumptions of Hinz et al. (2001), namely, that the last term can be neglected, $\sigma_z^2/\sigma_R^2 \approx 0.5$, the density profile is of the form $\rho \propto \exp(-R/R_d)$, and the slope of the radial component of the velocity dispersion is flat in the outer regions of the disk. The equation then reduces to $v_z^2 = v_\phi^2 + \sigma^2(2R/R_d - 1)$. We note that the assumed anisotropy is chosen primarily because it reproduces flat rotation curves in large galaxies; however, we have little in the way of constraints on it at this time. We use a nominal inclination of $i = 79^\circ$ for the inclination-corrected values of dispersion and rotation, allowing us to compute the corrected stellar circular velocity, $v_c$. As noted earlier, the tilted ring analysis of Kepley et al. (2007) assumed a thin disk; therefore, their inclination, which we adopt here, should provide a conservative lower limit. The results
of this correction are seen in Figure 10. The final inclination and asymmetric drift-corrected curve shown by the blue binned points matches well with the H\textsc{i} gas—giving us confidence that our dispersion measurements are correct for the stars.

With this corrected stellar rotation curve we have estimates of the circular velocity at various projected radii in WLM, with which we can compute the associated rotationally derived mass as $M(<r) = V^2 r / G$. In Figure 15, we show these dynamical mass estimates as a function of radius as the black squares. The black solid and dashed lines show the enclosed mass estimates as a function of radius. The NFW and isothermal sphere models that are fit to the stellar data imply halo masses at the half-light radius and 4.5 kpc (roughly the extent of the stellar and gaseous body of WLM), respectively.

5.2. Dispersion-based Mass

An alternative estimate of the dynamical mass can be taken from simple analytic expressions relating the mass to the line-of-sight velocity dispersion at a characteristic radius, as in the recent works of Walker et al. (2009), Wolf et al. (2010), and Amorisco & Evans (2012). Independently, the studies identified a characteristic radius where velocity anisotropy effects are minimized. With these formulae the enclosed mass is computed in accordance with simple virial estimates. The Wolf et al. (2010) relation requires a luminosity-weighted velocity dispersion, which may introduce bias when applied to composite populations that have spatially different luminosity distributions (cf. Amorisco & Evans 2012). Therefore, we use the relation of Walker et al. (2009), who found $M(r_h) = \mu r_h \sigma^2$, where $\mu \equiv 580 \, M_\odot \, \text{pc}^{-1} \, \text{km}^2 \, \text{s}^{-2}$. Being easily computed, this formula is used frequently to derive masses in the dSphs and ultra-faint dwarfs of the Local Group; however, there are several points of caution to be noted in this formula’s application to WLM. Any of these dispersion-based mass estimators assume a system that is spherically symmetric, without rotation, and with a flat radial velocity dispersion profile—all conditions that are violated to some degree in WLM. Nevertheless, it is instructive for the time being to compare the dispersion-based mass to other estimators. We leave discussion of the biases that violation of these assumptions creates to our forthcoming paper on the dynamical modeling of WLM. With these caveats, we proceed and compute the average velocity dispersion within $r_h$ using the rotation-subtracted velocity dispersion profile shown in any further detail in this work. With the above values and assuming a V-band luminosity of $5.2 \times 10^7 L_\odot$ (Mateo 1998), we find a mass-to-light ratio of $M/L = 8$ and $29 M_\odot L_\odot^{-1}$ at the half-light radius and 4.5 kpc (roughly the extent of the stellar and gaseous body of WLM), respectively.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Figure 14. Comparison of major-axis radial scale lengths versus vertical thick disk scale heights. Black dots are data from the work of Yoachim & Dalcanton (2006) and M31 and MW data taken from Collins et al. (2011), and references therein. Inset shows vertical scale heights as a function of $V_{200}$ for the same data plus six resolved stellar population studies from Seth et al. (2005). Black line is a fit of the form $Z_{0, \text{black}} = (1400 \, \text{pc}) (V_c / 100 \, \text{km s}^{-1})^{1.0}$ adopted by Yoachim & Dalcanton (2006) for their galaxy sample. Open circle for WLM shows the effect of the inclination correction as discussed in the text.
in Figure 11. With this the half mass from the Walker et al. (2009) relation is \( M_{\text{half}} = (2.1 \pm 0.3) \times 10^8 \, M_\odot \). In Table 3, we summarize the mass estimates for WLM for ease of comparison.

With the enclosed mass at the half-light radius, it is now instructive to compare WLM to the half-mass estimates of Local Group dSphs. In Figure 16, we show that WLM lies slightly on the more massive side of the sequence of dSphs. Tidal evolution tracks of Peñaarrubia et al. (2008) are overlaid, showing the expected evolution in mass and radius for a galaxy that has lost various percentages of its dark and luminous mass due to tidal stripping. This may provide an order-of-magnitude estimate for the impact of stripping on WLM, but the models assume a dwarf galaxy with a King profile and minimal rotation, so only a qualitative comparison is possible. While WLM's location on this diagram is not evidence itself for an isolated history, we can say that if it were to go through significant tidal evolution it would likely transition down into the sequence of the diagram occupied by the majority of the dSphs.

A more appropriate comparison may be to consider WLM with respect to the dwarf elliptical (dE) satellites of M31. Studies of NGC 147, NGC 185, and NGC 205 have shown them to be of similar total mass and metallicity as WLM (Bender et al. 1991; De Rijcke et al. 2006; Geha et al. 2010) although with higher luminosities and gas fractions more than two orders of magnitude smaller (Mateo 1998). Analyses of the velocity fields of NGC 147 and NGC 185 at large radii by Geha et al. (2010) suggest that these objects have similar kinematics as WLM, with all three exhibiting well-defined rotation. However, given the larger axial ratios of NGC 147 and NGC 185, their \( V/\sigma \) values are 0.95 and 0.91, respectively (Geha et al. 2010), compared to \( V/\sigma \) \( \approx 1.1 \) for WLM. Therefore, while the dEs are more similar dynamically to WLM than the dSphs, the dEs likely have stronger anisotropy among their stars compared to WLM based on this ratio. Additionally, the mass-to-light ratio \( (M/L) \) of WLM is larger than the dEs despite having similar total masses at comparable radii. Specifically, while the \( M/L \) ratios for the three dEs are all consistent with \( M/L = 4 \) in solar units (De Rijcke et al. 2006), WLM's

---

### Table 3

| Description                                      | Value             | Notes                        |
|--------------------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|
| Mass within \( r_h \)                           | \((2.1 \pm 0.3) \times 10^8 \, M_\odot\) | Equation (1) of Walker et al. (2009) |
| Mass within \( r_h \) from \( V^* \)            | \((4.3 \pm 0.3) \times 10^8 \, M_\odot\) | Last measured stellar bin = 2.9 kpc |
| Mass within \( r_h \) from \( V^* \)            | \((8.5 \pm 0.3) \times 10^8 \, M_\odot\) | Last measured stellar bin = 4.5 kpc |
| Mass within \( r_h \) from approaching side \( V^* \) | \((9.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^8 \, M_\odot\) | Last measured \( V^* \) point = 4.5 kpc |
| Mass within \( r_h \) from receding side \( V^* \) | \((2.2 \pm 0.1) \times 10^8 \, M_\odot\) | Last measured \( V^* \) point = 4.5 kpc |
| Mass within \( r_{200} \) from SIS Model         | \((1.2 \pm 0.1) \times 10^9 \, M_\odot\) | Last measured \( r_{200} \) point = 4.5 kpc |
| Mass within \( r_{200} \) from NFW Model         | \((1.6 \pm 0.1) \times 10^9 \, M_\odot\) | \( r_{200}(\text{SIS}) = 60.5 \, \text{kpc} \) |
| Mass within \( r_{200} \) from stellar SIS model | \((2.6 \pm 0.2) \times 10^9 \, M_\odot\) | \( r_{200}(\text{NFW}) = 42.0 \, \text{kpc} \) |

---

**Figure 15.** Enclosed mass as a function of geometrical radius. Black squares are rotationally derived mass estimates using the asymmetric drift-corrected stellar velocities at various radii. Black lines show the \( H_\text{i} \) rotation curve isothermal sphere estimates from the best-fit models of Kepley et al. (2007) to the receding and approaching sides of the galaxy. Cyan curve is the best-fitting isothermal sphere model fit to the six stellar data points (see the text). Green curve is NFW mass model fit to the same points. Inset shows stellar mass as a function of the implied halo mass from both the SIS and NFW models, with comparison to the relation (black line) from Guo et al. (2010), and references therein.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)
we estimate WLM’s dynamical mass at two effective radii to be measured point. From our circular velocity curve in Figure 15 and NGC 185 at similar radius (5 and WLM (blue). Overlaid are the expected tidal evolution tracks of Pe˜narrubia ranges from 16 \( \leq M/L \leq 30 \) over the half-light radius to the last measured point. From our circular velocity curve in Figure 15 we estimate WLM’s dynamical mass at two effective radii to be \( M(2R_e) \simeq 1 \times 10^9 M_\odot \). This is very near to the mass of NGC 147 and NGC 185 at similar radius (5.6 \( \times \) 10^9 and 7.2 \( \times \) 10^9; Geha et al. 2010), but slightly lower than that of NGC 205 (2 \( \times \) 10^9; Geha et al. 2006). While WLM dynamically resembles NGC 147 in particular, it would seem that the relative dark matter content of WLM is somewhat higher than all of the Local Group dEs. However, it is interesting to note that a conversion of WLM’s remaining gas mass to stellar mass would result in a \( M/L \simeq 4 \), consistent with the dEs.

We note that the derived mass for WLM is above the possible threshold for gas retention found in the dwarf galaxy simulations of Sawala et al. (2011). That paper, along with recent simulation and observation work in the last two years (Governato et al. 2010; Sánchez-Janssen et al. 2010), indicates that the global structural and dynamical properties of dwarf galaxies with gas are more strongly impacted by the initial halo mass, and their subsequent evolution driven by internal feedback or secular evolution, rather than tidal effects from a larger host galaxy. However, environment likely still plays some role given that WLM and the dEs (which both appear to have comparable total masses within two effective radii) have drastically different gas fractions and effective radii—presumably due to the fact that the dEs reside within the virial radius (\( \lesssim 200 \) kpc) of M31, where ram pressure and tidal stripping is expected to be efficient. Differences such as these make it even more important to characterize the evolution of isolated galaxies, and the analysis in this work suggests that WLM represents one possible outcome of dwarf galaxy evolution in the absence of strong environmental forces.

6. DISCUSSION

In the last sections we have presented data on the structure and dynamics of WLM, which shows a thick gaseous and stellar configuration, as well as equal pressure and rotation support in the stellar kinematics. Constraints based on the current position and velocity of WLM with respect to the Local Group barycenter indicate that WLM could only have had at most one, if any, pericenter passage(s) and spent most of its history in isolation, with minimal tidal interactions in its lifetime. Thus, we might ask how the extended structure of the gas and stellar disks/spheroids and relatively high pressure support in the stars came about. As discussed in Brooks et al. (2007), Oppenheimer & Davé (2008), Sánchez-Janssen et al. (2010), Governato et al. (2010), Roychowdhury et al. (2010), and Sawala et al. (2011), the effect of stellar feedback (SFR and SNe) is increasingly important in galaxies as their total mass decreases. Other internal processes that can work to transform the orbits and structure of stellar and gaseous components include global disk instabilities, bars, and giant molecular cloud (GMC) heating (Spitzer & Schwarzschild 1951, 1953; Sotnikova & Rodionov 2003). These effects may also increase with time in a system with ongoing SF like WLM. We can gain insight into these processes by studying the change in velocity dispersion over the course of WLM’s history.

6.1. Velocity Dispersion Evolution

In Figure 17, we show the velocity dispersion in stellar populations of different ages. There is a trend of increasing velocity dispersion with increasing stellar age, and at all ages the stellar dispersion is above that of the \( \text{H}_\text{i} \). It is useful now to discuss how exactly the stellar dispersions may have increased \( \sim 10 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) higher than the neutral gas velocity dispersion.

One interpretation of Figure 17 is that the stars of all ages are heated at some rate and originated with an initial, constant dispersion—which in our case agrees with the measured \( \text{H}_\text{i} \) velocity dispersion from Kepley et al. (2007; dotted line).\(^{10}\) Alternatively, stars of different ages could have formed with different dispersions depending on the ISM kinematics at the time of their birth. Given that it is possible that both interpretations are working in combination, we now briefly discuss a few processes that might be expected to contribute to heating of the stellar populations in WLM.

6.1.1. Tidal Heating

Mayer et al. (2001b, 2006) showed that tidal effects of a dwarf galaxy passing through pericenter on its orbit about the MW may trigger bar formation, which later undergoes a bending instability and then works to increase dispersion in the

\(^{10}\) The dispersion of the gas that stars formed from may be even colder than the \( \text{H}_\text{i} \) dispersion if one considers the formation occurring in the cold molecular phase.
stellar components. However, this bar formation is not expected to occur (at least to a strong degree) in populations that are already heated, or born thick (Kazantzidis et al. 2011). Recent simulations that use dIrr progenitors modeled with more realistic SF and feedback effects and inject them into the orbits of Mayer et al. (2006) seem to show that the structural and dynamical transformation is also possible through direct tidal interactions between the stars of the dwarf galaxy and the potential of the MW (Mayer 2011). This conclusion appears to be at odds with Peñarrubia et al. (2008), who showed that dispersion decreases at all radii as tidal stripping progresses—a trend that goes in the opposite direction than what is seen in Figure 17. However, if new stars continue to form as the dwarf is tidally stripped of mass (a scenario not included in Peñarrubia et al. 2008), perhaps both models could lead to lower velocity dispersion at younger ages. We also note that even the simulations of Mayer (2011) that produce hot spheroids through direct tidal heating only place their dwarfs on orbits that have apocenters of \( r_{apo} = 250 \text{ kpc} \). The implied apocenter of \( r_{apo} \geq 1 \text{ Mpc} \) for WLM (Figure 1) and orbital time of \( \geq 11 \text{ Gyr} \) limit it to having had at most one pericenter passage and would seem to rule out such a tidal scenario for WLM’s heating curve.

6.1.2. ISM Pressure Floor

Alternatively, Figure 17 could be interpreted as showing that the oldest stars formed from birth in an originally hotter configuration. Simulations by Kaufmann et al. (2007) found that in lower mass halos, an ISM temperature floor could produce initially thicker dwarf galaxies, as gas pressure support becomes increasingly dominant in lower mass halos. If this ISM temperature floor decreased with time, perhaps a fraction of the evolution of the stellar velocity dispersion could be attributed to the stars forming out of a hotter ISM at earlier epochs. In the “cold flow” picture (Kereš et al. 2005; Brooks et al. 2009), this galaxy should at all times have accreted gas “cold” (i.e., on the free-fall time), but at high redshift it is likely along filaments, while below \( z \sim 1-2 \) it would be more spherical accretion. In this case the filamentary accretion perhaps could drive turbulence in the ISM to a strong degree—which would naturally settle with time as the accretion became more spherical. Explicit evidence for accretion is difficult to obtain, but the metallicity distribution function for the WLM stars does show a deviation from a closed box chemical evolution model, and in addition the spread in metallicity shows a slight increase as a function of age (Leaman et al. 2012).

6.1.3. Giant Molecular Cloud and Dark Matter Subhalo Heating

The merger history of an object like WLM may also be important in dictating the evolution of its velocity dispersion and vertical thickness with time. Abadi et al. (2003) and Robertson et al. (2006) looked at the relative contribution of mergers of varying masses and gas fractions. The latter study noted the importance of gas-rich merging as a way to maintain rotation support in galaxies, while the work of Abadi et al. (2003) showed that a hot, thick stellar disk often forms early on, around the time of the last major merger. However, both studies could not include the high-resolution stellar feedback effects shown to be important in Governato et al. (2010) and were simulating galaxies that were several orders of magnitude more massive than WLM.

The case of heating by GMCs usually assumes that the clouds are occupying a relatively thin region of a galaxy and the encounter velocity is dictated by the peculiar velocity of the stars in a rotating frame. Therefore, \( \dot{\sigma}^2/\dot{t} \propto 1/\sigma \) (cf. Fuchs et al. 2001), and the heating is not particularly efficient as the rate drops as the random velocity component increases. This is due to the reduced encounter probability, as the stars spend more time outside the GMC “layer” as the dispersion increases. The
blue solid line in Figure 17 shows a canonical relation of the form
\[ \sigma(t) \propto (t - t_0)^\alpha. \] (4)

The maximum heating that is expected analytically and from simulations of GMC collisions alone is \( \alpha_{\text{GMC}} \leq 0.25 \) (Lacey 1984). While the mass spectrum of GMCs in WLM is not precisely known, there is observational evidence to suggest that the properties are not strongly dependent on host galaxy (Bolatto et al. 2008). This maximum GMC contribution (indicated by the blue line) produces a curve that comes quite close to reproducing the observed stellar dispersion values.

An additional source of heating may be present due to interactions between dark matter subhalos and the stellar component of WLM (cf. Moore et al. 1999). These perturbations would have higher encounter velocities, and expected heating would be more or less isotropic (Fuchs et al. 2001). A simple calculation for the amount of heating that a host galaxy of halo mass \( M_h \) undergoes from minor mergers with dark matter subhalos of mass \( M_s \) can be derived assuming that the rate of heating for a subhalo to deposit energy into the disk component of the galaxy is
\[ \frac{\partial E_d}{\partial t} = \frac{\Delta E_d}{t_{\text{df}}}, \] (5)

where \( t_{\text{df}} \) is the dynamical friction timescale:
\[ t_{\text{df}} = \frac{1.17}{\ln(M_h/M_s)} \left( \frac{M_h}{M_s} \right) \frac{r_h}{V_c}. \] (6)

For a given interaction, the amount of energy deposited into the halo component relative to the disk component of WLM scales as \( \eta \propto 2.3M_h/M_d \geq 27 \) for WLM (Toth & Ostriker 1992). Thus, the actual energy input into the disk will not be a particularly large fraction of the satellite kinetic energy. Using the expected unevolved subhalo mass function, which is shown to be robust to halo size and over a range of redshifts (Giocoli et al. 2008),
\[ \frac{\partial n}{\partial \ln(M_s/M_h)} = A \left( \frac{M_s}{fM_h} \right)^{-p} \exp \left[ -\left( \frac{M_s}{fM_h} \right)^{q} \right]. \] (7)

Mo et al. (2010) show that the total heating rate by dark matter subhalos is
\[ \frac{\partial E_d}{\partial t} \propto \int_{m_{\text{min}}}^{m_{\text{max}}} n(M_s|M_h) M_s^2 dM_s, \] (8)

where the expected number of subhalos for a given primary halo can be further simplified to \( n(M_s/M_h) \propto M_s^{-1.8} \). In order to equate this heating rate by the dark matter halos to an observable, we make the assumption that the kinetic energy deposited in the disk by a single event is
\[ \Delta E_d = (1/2)M_d \sigma^2(R). \] (9)

The total heating rate due to dark matter subhalos from masses \( m_{\text{min}} \) to \( m_{\text{max}} \) can then be rewritten as
\[ \frac{\partial \sigma^2}{\partial t} \propto \frac{2\sqrt{3}M_s^{1.2}}{1.2M_d} \left( \frac{M_s}{M_d} \right)_{M_s=m_{\text{max}}}^{M_s=m_{\text{min}}}. \] (10)

Therefore, the expected total heating rate by dark matter substructure can be roughly estimated by fitting our velocity-dispersion–age data with a function of two variables: the maximum subhalo mass \( (m_{\text{max}}) \) and the WLM disk mass \( (M_d) \). We assume equipartition of energy in all velocity directions, which has been shown to be appropriate for satellites with circular orbits of various inclinations, and an isotropic Maxwellian distribution of orbits (Toth & Ostriker 1992). Similarly, assuming an average dispersion within \( t_\text{r} \), for an age bin is justified, as the scale affected by the energy injection of satellites has been shown to be at least that large (Sellwood et al. 1998). Therefore, it is a reasonable assumption for this simple model that disk stars at nearly all radii are heated. In red we overlay two examples for a heating model based solely on dark matter (DM) interactions. Both curves have a maximum perturber corresponding to \( m_{\text{max}} = 5 \times 10^8 M_\odot \), or \( \sim 5\% \) of the total halo mass of WLM. The dashed line uses a disk mass of \( 1 \times 10^8 M_\odot \), close to the present-day value, while the solid line assumes a disk mass of \( 8 \times 10^5 \), which is approximately the disk mass of WLM 11 Gyr ago using the scaling relations of Dutton & van den Bosch (2009). Given the assumptions discussed in this paragraph, both curves are strong upper limits on the amount of heating substructure would predict. Despite this, the fact that the energy deposited in the disk scales as the mass ratio of WLM’s disk to halo prevents strong contribution from this effect. Even computing substructure models with an unphysical value of \( \eta = 1 \) does not match the observed dispersion evolution. This is in good agreement with semianalytic and n-body simulations of disk heating such as Benson et al. (2004), which found similar inefficiencies for substructure heating.

In that study the authors showed that for lower mass primary galaxies, the contribution of heating from GMC encounters will dominate relative to substructure interactions. As an additional independent check, we compute the heating/thickening predicted by Equations (11) and (12) of Benson et al. (2004), expressed as a ratio of vertical to radial scale lengths, \( h = z_v/r_d \). With modest assumptions for the parameters of those equations, we find the same trend—GMC heating can produce a system with \( 0.32 \leq h \leq 0.57 \), close to the observed inclination-corrected axial ratio of WLM (see Section 4.4). Using the same range of disk and perturber masses in our Equation (10), we again find that the substructure mechanism is not enough to produce the observed vertical scale height—with only \( 0.02 \leq h \leq 0.09 \) found at the end of 12 Gyr in those models. However, recent simulations have shown that disk heating by dark subhalos may be more effective if higher mass ratio accretion events on plunging orbital orbits are considered; however, the probability of such events occurring is still thought to be low (T. Starkenburg 2012, private communication). While there are obvious degeneracies that prevent us from accurately knowing the exact portion of the heating that came from GMC or DM substructure encounters (especially given the uncertain merger rate as a function of time), combined they are clearly of the right order of magnitude to explain the increased velocity dispersion and thickening in WLM. Most importantly, these processes can occur in a galaxy in isolation and do not require influence from the MW or M31 to modify a galaxy.

Additional secular processes may work to heat the disk in the vertical direction, including long-scale length global disk instabilities, as well as transient spiral or overdensity features (cf. Sotnikova & Rodionov 2003). However, as those features may only last 1 Gyr, we cannot comment on their impact directly. Although we have not ruled out a scenario in which gas dispersion decreases with time (Section 6.1.2), the dispersion evolution presented in Figure 17, when coupled with WLM’s isolation, strongly suggests that the gaseous and stellar populations became heated and thickened through continual
internal effects such as GMC and substructure interactions, rather than tidal transformations triggered by the MW.

6.2. Comparing WLM to Evolutionary Models

How do these observations fit in with the larger picture of dwarf galaxy formation and evolution? The structure and dynamical evolution of this isolated dwarf galaxy can be examined in perhaps one scenario that is consistent with the findings presented in this paper.

From Sawala et al. (2011) we see that the ability of a dwarf galaxy to retain gas until the present day may be due in most part to the total halo mass of the dwarf—with a threshold of approximately $1 \times 10^9 \, M\odot$ below which the galaxies are less likely to retain gas. Gas-rich galaxies in that simulation also tended to have a late infall ($z \leq 0.2$) to the group and pericenter distances that were greater than 300 kpc. Because of this, dIrrs could have gas over a larger percentage of their history and experience ongoing SF and SNe—internal feedback that contributes to heating the dwarf, creating a slightly puffer system. Additionally, simulations by Schroyen et al. (2011) show that dwarf galaxies with high angular momentum tend to have SF continuously over the full radial extent of the galaxy—compared to non-rotating systems in which the gas can efficiently fall to the center and undergo extreme centralized SF and blowout events. As discussed in Robertson & Kravtsov (2008), if SF is regulated by the ability of the gas to settle into the molecular phase, a thick, hot ISM would naturally result in low-efficiency SF, in line with the high gas fraction seen for WLM today. Therefore, higher mass, rotating dwarf galaxies would more likely be the type where we would expect to see signatures of extended internal feedback effects—namely, a thick stellar configuration. These systems, if isolated, will be solely subject to internal feedback effects such as SF, GMC interactions, and secular global disk instabilities (cf. Sotnikova & Rodionov 2003), which only produce mild transformations in their structure and dynamics.

Thus, we could have a scenario where the initial mass dictates the probability of gas retention (i.e., Sawala et al. 2011), which, in combination with angular momentum (Schroyen et al. 2011; Koleva et al. 2009), sets the level of internal-feedback-driven heating. In this picture, WLM could be an example of an isolated dIrr at high enough mass and isolation to allow for ongoing SF-driven feedback that maintains a dynamically hot stellar structure, low SF rate, and high gas content.

7. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We have presented the results of a VLT and Keck II spectroscopic survey of 180 RGB stars in the isolated dIrr galaxy WLM. Broadband optical and infrared photometry and Hi radio observations allowed for the study of the structure and dynamics of stellar and gaseous populations in a galaxy at the outskirts of our Local Group—a unique opportunity in resolved spectroscopic studies of dwarf galaxies.

The main results from our analysis are as follows:

1. Given the high inclination, the apparent axial ratios of both the gas and stars indicate that WLM has a thickened structure ($q^2 = 0.39–0.57$). However, the data are not detailed enough to distinguish between a thick disk and flattened oblate spheroid. This thickened structure is consistent with observations of statistically large samples of dwarfs (Sánchez-Janssen et al. 2010; Roychowdhury et al. 2010).

2. The ellipticities and position angles of the Hi, young C stars, and evolved RGB stars are nearly identical. The photometric and kinematic position angles of the gas and stars are also closely aligned ($\Psi_{\text{gas}} = 1^\circ$ and $\Psi_{\text{gas}} = 2^\circ$).

3. We measure a maximum rotation in the stars of $V_{\text{rot}} = 17 \, \text{km} \, \text{s}^{-1}$, which after correction for asymmetric drift approaches $V_{\text{rot}} = 36 \, \text{km} \, \text{s}^{-1}$—in excellent agreement with the Hi. The velocity dispersion profile reaches a maximum of $\sigma \sim 17 \, \text{km} \, \text{s}^{-1}$ and declines with radius, unlike the flat profiles seen in dSphs. The ratio of rotation to pressure support, $V/\sigma$, is of order unity out to 1.5$r_h$, where it slowly rises to 2.5—in contrast to the Hi, which shows $V/\sigma \sim 7$.

4. The stellar velocities show $V/\sigma$ ratios that decrease with age, and the velocity dispersion grows with age at a rate that can be explained through primarily GMC heating and substructure interactions—with the former dominating. The evolution of $\sigma(t)$ is therefore consistent with internal feedback mechanisms, rather than external environmental effects such as tides.

5. Using rotationally derived mass estimates, we compute a mass at the half-light radius ($r_h = 1656 \, \pm \, 49 \, \text{pc}$) of $M_{\text{half}} \approx 4 \times 10^9 \, M\odot$.

6. Put together, the observations paint a picture in which WLM has spent the majority of its evolution in isolation, with its velocity dispersion and structural evolution governed primarily by conditions at formation and subsequent internal feedback effects, rather than tidal events.

This departure from dIrrs as thin rotationally supported cold disks may have implications for the timescales required for tidal transformation scenarios and for analysis of the statistical properties of dwarf galaxy populations on the group scale. WLM represents one look at how only the baseline secular processes in a galaxy will modify it over $\sim 12$ Gyr. Further studies of dIrr galaxies of differing masses and isolation levels will continue to disentangle to what degree internal feedback and environmental evolution contribute in forming the distinct classes of Local Group dwarf galaxies we see today.
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