Peroxidase Profiling Reveals Genetic Linkage between Peroxidase Gene Clusters and Basal Host and Non-Host Resistance to Rusts and Mildew in Barley
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Abstract

Background: Higher plants possess a large multigene family encoding secreted class III peroxidase (Prx) proteins. Peroxidases appear to be associated with plant disease resistance based on observations of induction during disease challenge and the presence or absence of isozymes in resistant vs susceptible varieties. Despite these associations, there is no evidence that allelic variation of peroxidases directly determines levels of disease resistance.

Methodology/Principal Findings: The current study introduces a new strategy called Prx-Profiling. We showed that with this strategy a large number of peroxidase genes can be mapped on the barley genome. In order to obtain an estimate of the total number of Prx clusters we followed a re-sampling procedure, which indicated that the barley genome contains about 40 peroxidase gene clusters. We examined the association between the Prxs mapped and the QTLs for resistance of barley to homologous and heterologous rusts, and to the barley powdery mildew fungus. We report that 61% of the QTLs for partial resistance to P. hordei, 61% of the QTLs for resistance to B. graminis and 47% of the QTLs for non-host resistance to other Puccinia species co-localize with Prx based markers.

Conclusions/Significance: We conclude that Prx-Profiling was effective in finding the genetic location of Prx genes on the barley genome. The finding that QTLs for basal resistance to rusts and powdery mildew fungi tend to co-locate with Prx clusters provides a base for exploring the functional role of Prx-related genes in determining natural differences in levels of basal resistance.
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Introduction

Class III plant peroxidases (EC 1.11.1.7; Prx) are enzymes that catalyze oxidoreduction between H₂O₂ and various reductants and are involved in a broad range of physiological processes, including plant defense [1]. Because they are induced by fungi [2], bacteria [3,4], viruses [5] and viroids [6], they are considered as pathogenesis-related (PR) proteins, belonging to the PR-protein 9 subfamily [7]. One of the roles of Prx in plant defense is the reinforcement of cell wall physical barriers and lignification [8–10].

There is evidence that defense-related genes like those encoding peroxidase (PR-9), superoxide dismutase and thaumatin-like protein (PR-5) are potential candidates to explain quantitative resistance to plant pathogens [11,12]. Indeed, in earlier studies we identified six Prx genes to map within 1cM from markers associated with several quantitative trait loci (QTLs) contributing to basal resistance to barley leaf rust (Puccinia hordei Otth; [13]) and non-host resistance to several unadapted rust fungi [14]. Others have shown that the barley HvPrx7 peroxidase mRNA accumulates in response to the powdery mildew fungus (Blumeria graminis f.sp. hordei) in barley leaves [13] and in roots as reaction to Pyrenophora graminea [16]. HvPrx7 was also implicated as a susceptibility factor in barley, enhancing successful haustorium formation by the powdery mildew fungus [17]. Another peroxidase of barley, HvPrx8, is pathogen-induced at the mRNA as well as protein level [15]. Transient overexpression of HvPrx40 enhanced the resistance of wheat (Triticum aestivum) and barley against wheat and barley powdery mildew, respectively [18]. The fact that basal host and non-host resistance to rusts and powdery mildew fungi are mediated by the formation of cell wall appositions (papillae) [19–21] also supports the qualification of Prx as candidate genes determining the level of resistance.
The various reports associating Prx activity to defense and stress responses justify an attempt to determine the number of Prx gene clusters in barley, and the degree of association of those clusters with QTLs for resistance against rust and mildew. Extensive work on various mapping populations and with various cereal and grass rust species and barley powdery mildew has resulted in a large number of mapped QTLs that contribute to quantitative resistance in barley [13,14,22,23].

We followed the Motif-directed Profiling approach [24,25] that targets conserved motifs in functional domains of gene family members, thus sampling genetic variation in and around members of a particular gene family. The nucleotide-binding site (NBS) Profiling technique is an example of Motif-directed Profiling that targets resistance genes (R-genes) and R-gene analogues (RGAs) by using degenerate primers that are homologous to conserved sequences in the NBS domain of the NBS-LRR (NBS leucine-rich repeat) class of R-genes [26].

The Motif-directed Profiling approach can be applied to any gene family that has multiple members (at least 30–40), and has one or more conserved sequence motif(s) to allow selective binding of a (degenerate) primer to many gene family members. Prx genes fulfill both requirements. Analyses of rice (138 peroxidase genes and 14 pseudogenes; [27]), Brachypodium distachyon (173 peroxidase genes; [28]) and Arabidopsis (73 peroxidase genes; [29]) genomes suggest a large number of Prx genes to be found in plants. They tend to occur in clusters in the genome [27]. In the cereal crop barley a similar level of complexity of class III peroxidases appears as in rice and Arabidopsis, with a total of 124 unigenes presently known (PeroxiBase, August 2009, http://peroxibase.isb-sib.ch/). Class III plant peroxidases also fulfill the condition of containing several conserved motifs [27,29,30,31].

Here we report the effective use of PeroxiBase Profiling to map new dedicated markers homologous to Prx genes in barley. Identification and mapping of Prx genes in barley provides new markers for genetic mapping and for the discovery of sequences that may characterize resistance QTLs. Our aims were to: (1) assess the efficiency of the Prx Profiling method to develop Prx-based markers in a segregating barley progeny; (2) determine the overall genomic organization of peroxidases in barley and predict the total number of Prx clusters in barley; (3) investigate whether the QTLs for resistance to barley rust, barley mildew and heterologous rust fungi tend to be located in Prx clusters.

**Results**

**Prx Profiling and Level of Polymorphism**

First we examined all 36 combinations of 12 primers with three restriction enzymes (*MseI*, *RsaI*, and *AluI*) on 11 barley genotypes (parents of mapping populations), including Vada, L94 and SusPtrit, to select combinations with optimal number of polymorphic bands. Once the optimal primer–enzyme combinations were identified, Prx Profiling was applied on both mapping populations (L94 × Vada, Vada × SusPtrit).

Twelve degenerate primer–enzyme combinations were used for mapping: PERO1. *MseI*, PERO2. *MseI*, PERO3. *MseI*, PERO4. *MsiI*, PERO5. *MsiI*, PERO6. *MsiI*, PERO1. *RsaI*, PERO3. *RsaI*, PERO4. *RsaI*, PERO5. *AluI*, PERO1. *AluI*. These combinations produced 1292 bands, 185 of which were polymorphic: 93 and 92 polymorphic bands in L94 × Vada and Vada × SusPtrit crosses, respectively (Table 1; Figure S1). Mean polymorphism rates detected using *MseI*, *RsaI* and *AluI* as restriction enzymes were 14%, 13% and 18%, respectively. Mean number of polymorphic bands per enzyme-primer combination was 15.4, ranging from 4 (PERO3. *MseI*) to 30 (PERO2. *MseI*) polymorphic bands. The populations did not differ from each other in their level of polymorphism (14.2 and 14.4%).

The FHDCFV-derived primers produced fewer amplified bands (637 FHDCFV-derived bands vs 655 VSCADI-derived bands), but more polymorphic bands for all primer/enzyme combinations (115 FHDCFV-derived bands vs 70 VSCADI-derived bands).

Primers targeting the same conserved motif (FHDCFV or VSCADI) but at slightly different positions and with slightly different nucleotide compositions produced different DNA fingerprints, indicating that different subsets of Prx-genes were targeted by these primers. The primers can be used in other plant species as well since the motifs targeted by the degenerated primers are known to be highly conserved in the plant kingdom [31]. DNA fingerprints with some of the primers presented here were also successfully produced for potato and Miscanthus (work in progress).

**Table 1.** Level of polymorphism of the 12 primer–enzyme combinations used for Prx profiling of barley RIL populations.

| Primer. Enzyme combination | Amplified bands | Polymorphic bands |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
|                            | SusPtrit × V     | L94 × V           |
| PERO1. *MseI*              | 74              | 9                 |
| PERO2. *MseI*              | 128             | 21                |
| PERO3. *MseI*              | 70              | 0                 |
| PERO4. *MseI*              | 155             | 9                 |
| PERO5. *MseI*              | 156             | 9                 |
| PERO6. *MseI*              | 125             | 2                 |
| PERO1. *RsaI*              | 86              | 7                 |
| PERO3. *RsaI*              | 63              | 4                 |
| PERO4. *RsaI*              | 100             | 5                 |
| PERO5. *RsaI*              | 119             | 6                 |
| PERO1. *AluI*              | 105             | 12                |
| PERO2. *AluI*              | 111             | 8                 |
| Total                      | 1292            | 92                |

DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0010495.t001

**Genetic Mapping**

Nine of the polymorphic bands in the L94 × Vada and eight in the Vada × SusPtrit RILs were excluded because they could not be mapped to linkage groups without changing marker order and genetic distances. Finally, 168 polymorphic bands (84 in each population) were mapped and placed on an integrated map of barley [22] (Table S1). These 168 Prx Profiling markers (identified by the label PERO in the marker name, e.g. Figures 1 and 2), were added to 32 Prx markers that were mapped previously [13,22], and that were considered to be Defense Gene Homologues (DGH). This made a total of 200 Prx-based markers. These were not homogeneously distributed among the seven chromosomes and tended to map in clusters (Figures 1 and 2). Both populations showed a similar distribution of the markers, with the lowest number of Prx Profiling markers for chromosome 4H (4 PERO-markers for Vada × SusPtrit and 1 for L94 × Vada), Chromosomes 1H and 7H had the highest number of markers (with 21 PERO-markers on 1H and 16 PERO-markers on 7H for Vada × SusPtrit and 20 PERO-markers on 1H and 15 PERO-markers on 7H for L94 × Vada).
Both populations shared Vada as parental line. Out of the 168 mapped \textit{Prx} Profiling markers, only 12 were unambiguously common to both populations (Table S1): seven amplified from Vada, and five amplified from L94 and SusPtrit. These markers were obtained with the same primer/enzyme combination, and had the same fragment size and the same or almost the same marker position on the integrated map. In addition to these common markers between populations, within each population there were 50 bands (25 pairs: 12 in Vada × SusPtrit and 13 in L94 × Vada; Table S1) that may represent alternative alleles of the same gene. They were produced by
the same primer/enzyme combination, generated a band of different size in both parents and mapped at the same location. These markers could be paralogous genes in close proximity of each other or the same genes. These markers are indicated separately in Figures 1 and 2, and are counted as distinct markers.

Sequence Analysis of the Prx Bands
In order to verify whether the amplified fragments represent Prx genes/pseudogenes, a sample of bands was excised from polyacrylamide gels and sequenced with both specific and adapter primers. For only 35 (57%) of 61 excised bands we obtained reliable
sequences (Tables S2 and S3). The relatively low rate of successful sequencing reactions is not surprising since the excision of single bands from polyacrylamide gels is not a trivial task. The most likely cause for bad sequence quality is that more than a single product is excised from the gel and sequenced, especially if the target band migrates very close to other bands of nearly similar sizes.

Of the 35 bands from which we obtained a useful sequence (Tables S2 and S3), ten were monomorphic in the populations tested, one was polymorphic in L94 × Vada but unmapped, and 24 were polymorphic and mapped in one of the two barley populations (Table 2).

Out of the 35 successfully sequenced amplified fragments, 20 (57%) had strong homology (E value < 10^-5) to peroxidase protein sequences in PeroxiBase and NCBI after BLASTX analysis (Tables 2 and S2). Amplified fragments based on a FHDCFV-containing motif primer (PERO1, PERO2) had much more frequently a strong homology (E value < 10^-5) to peroxidase protein sequences in PeroxiBase and NCBI after BLASTX analysis (18 out of 23) than the ones based on a VSCADI-containing motif primer (PERO4, PERO5, PERO6) (Table S2). The finding that a large proportion of the excised bands (30 out of 35) had a BLASTX hit in PeroxiBase suggests that the majority of PERO-markers indeed are located in Prx gene sequences.

**Table 2.** Details of sequences obtained from peroxidase profiling amplified bands and their homology to known peroxidase sequences.

| Primer | Motif | Markers | Seq. | Marker seq. | Pox hits \(^{(E <1)}\) | Pox hits \(^{(E <10^{-5})}\) | Barley Pox hits \(^{(E <1)}\) |
|--------|-------|---------|------|-------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| PERO1  | FHDCFV| 55      | 17   | 16          | 15            | 13             | 15             |
| PERO2  | FHDCFV| 42      | 6    | 6           | 6             | 5              | 5              |
| PERO3  | FHDCFV| 10      | 0    | -           | -             | -              | -              |
| PERO4  | VSCADI| 29      | 7    | 1           | 7             | 2              | 3              |
| PERO5  | VSCADI| 22      | 2    | 0           | 1             | 0              | 0              |
| PERO6  | VSCADI| 10      | 3    | 1           | 1             | 0              | 0              |
| Total  |       | 168     | 35   | 24          | 30            | 20             | 23             |

1Conserved peroxidase motif on which the Pox primer was developed;
2Number of Pox profiling markers mapped on the barley integrated map;
3Number of sequences obtained;
4Number of the sequences obtained that correspond to a mapped marker;
5Number of sequences having a BLASTX hit in the PeroxiBase (http://peroxibase.isb-sib.ch/) with the corresponding E value.

Since there is no a priori theoretical basis to fit a saturation curve of a certain type, we decided to try two types, i.e., the exponential and (rectangular) hyperbolic ones. Though both types of curves fitted almost equally well (in both cases more than 98% variance explained by regression), they had clearly different horizontal asymptotes. Therefore, we investigated the general predictive power of these two types of curves in the following way.

We took a random sample of given size from the 200 Prx markers and — for the current purpose — considered this to be the true constellation of markers and clusters. Then, the re-sampling procedure as described above was applied to this supposedly true constellation. The predicted upper limits were determined by fitting two alternative curves (exponential and hyperbolic). This procedure was applied to a number of samples of various sizes that were considered as ‘true constellations’. It turned out that fitted exponential curves predicted the number of clusters slightly better than hyperbolic curves. For that reason we eventually applied exponential curve fitting to our data.

**Association of Prx Based Markers with QTLs for Resistance**

The possible association between Prx based markers and several types of resistance loci was investigated in this study. The integrated map was divided into 217 BINs of approximately 5 cM. A BIN can either be occupied by one or more Prx based marker(s), or by one or more QTL peak marker(s), or by both (or by neither of them). This enabled the construction of 2×2 contingency tables that allow tests of independence regarding the occupancy by Prx based markers and QTL peak markers (cf. [13,14]). The 200 Prx based markers occupied only 63 BINs due to strong clustering (Table 3).

We compared the position of Prx based markers on the integrated map with the position of QTLs in five of the populations composing the integrated map: QTLs for basal resistance to barley leaf rust (19 QTLs), powdery mildew (23 QTLs) and non-host resistance to seven heterologous rusts (63 QTLs) mapped on the integrated barley map. We also compared the position of the Prx based markers with the position of QTLs for morphological and agronomic traits mapped on various barley mapping populations: days to heading (52 QTLs), diastatic power (15 QTLs), plant height (31 QTLs), kernel weight (13 QTLs), test weight (18 QTLs) and yield (24 QTLs). QTL position data sets

---
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were downloaded from the publicly available GrainGenes 2.0 database (http://wheat.pw.usda.gov/GG2/index.shtml).

Nineteen QTLs for resistance to P. hordei [13,14,32,33] were placed on the integrated map and occupied 18 BINs (Table S4). The chi-square test indicated a significant association between the distribution of Prx based markers and QTLs for basal resistance to P. hordei (P<0.05) (Table 3). In total, 11 BINs harbored a Prx based marker and a peak marker for basal resistance to barley leaf rust.

The expected number of co-occupied BINs would have been 5.2 under the assumption of independent distribution of those QTLs. The association between the distribution of Prx based markers and the distribution of 23 QTLs for basal resistance to Blumeria graminis [22,34] (Tables 3 and S4) was even stronger (P<0.001), with 14 observed co-occupied BINs against 6.7 expected in case of independent distribution.

The association between the distribution of Prx based markers and the distribution of four different sets of markers: 97 DGHs, 244 GBM and 34 scsr markers (EST SSRs), and 97 Bmac+Bsng (genomic SSRs) (Table 4). Thirty-two of the 97 DGH-based markers and QTLs for resistance against barley leaf rust, barley leaf rust, barley mildew and two heterologous rusts (Puccinia persistens and P. triticina). In total, 61% of the QTLs for partial resistance to P. hordei, 61% of the QTLs for resistance to B. graminis and 47% of the QTLs for non-host resistance to other Puccinia species co-localize with Prx based markers. Those QTLs that co-localized with Prx based markers did not differ from those that did not co-localize in their average percentage of explained variance of the resistance (Table S4).

Association of QTLs for resistance with Prx genes may be due to the occurrence of gene-rich areas rather than because of functional association. We tested whether Prx based markers were also associated with QTLs for days to heading (QTLdh), diastatic power (QTLdp), plant height (QTLph), kernel weight (QTLkw), test weight (QTLtw), and yield (QTLyi) (Table 3). These tests indicated a significant association between the Prx based markers and such agronomic trait QTLs. Moreover, we used the same method to test for possible associations between the distribution of all 105 QTLs for resistance (to P. hordei, B. graminis and heterologous rusts) that occupied 70 BINs, and the distribution of four different sets of markers: 97 DGHs, 244 GBM and 34 scsr markers (EST SSRs), and 97 Bmac+Bsng (genomic SSRs) (Table 4). Thirty-two of the 97 DGH-based markers involve Prx genes and they occupied 15 BINs. The DGH marker loci were not significantly associated with the resistance QTLs when excluding Prx-DGHs (65 DGHs), but they became significantly associated when we included the 15 BINs containing Prx-DGHs (97 DGHs). This change from not-significant to significant association was only partly due to the effect of the increased power of the test resulting from the increased number of involved BINs from 48 to 63. Out of the 15 extra BINs containing Prx-DGHs, nine also contained one or more resistance QTL.

**Discussion**

**Efficiency of Prx Profiling**

We present here an application of the Motif-directed Profiling approach that selectively targets peroxidase genes. To our knowledge, this is the first report on the application of the gene-targeted Profiling technique described by van der Linden and colleagues [14,35] and unpublished QTLs by Jafary and Niks, and Alemu et al. [32,33] and stub (B. graminis) to seven species of non-adapted rust fungi markers and QTLs for non-host resistance that were reported by independent distribution.

The FDR test indicated a significant association between the distribution of all 105 QTLs for resistance (to P. hordei, B. graminis and heterologous rusts) that occupied 70 BINs, and the distribution of four different sets of markers: 97 DGHs, 244 GBM and 34 scsr markers (EST SSRs), and 97 Bmac+Bmng (genomic SSRs) (Table 4). Thirty-two of the 97 DGH-based markers involve Prx genes and they occupied 15 BINs. The DGH marker loci were not significantly associated with the resistance QTLs when excluding Prx-DGHs (65 DGHs), but they became significantly associated when we included the 15 BINs containing Prx-DGHs (97 DGHs). This change from not-significant to significant association was only partly due to the effect of the increased power of the test resulting from the increased number of involved BINs from 48 to 63. Out of the 15 extra BINs containing Prx-DGHs, nine also contained one or more resistance QTL.

**Table 3.** Chi-square values on the probability of independent distribution of Prx based markers with barley QTLs for partial resistance to Puccinia hordei (QTLph), to Blumeria graminis (QTLbg), nonhost resistance to heterologous cereal and grass rusts (QTLnh), days to heading (QTLdh), diastatic power (QTLdp), plant height (QTLph), kernel weight (QTLkw), test weight (QTLtw) and yield (QTLyi).

| Marker no. ² | Prx | QTLph ³ | QTLbg | QTLnh | QTLdh | QTLdp | QTLph ³ | QTLkw | QTLtw | QTLyi |
|-------------|-----|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|-------|
| BIN no. ³   |     |         |       |       |       |       |         |       |       |       |
| O (E) ²     |     |         |       |       |       |       |         |       |       |       |
| χ² ³        |     |         |       |       |       |       |         |       |       |       |

1 Mapping data were obtained from previous works [13,14,22,33,34,35].
2 The number of markers or QTL peak markers mapped on the integrated map of barley.
3 The number of barley BINs (5 cM) occupied by the (peak) markers for the respective class of QTLs or markers.
4 Number of BINs observed to be co-occupied by a QTL peak marker and a Prx-targeted marker/other marker (the expected number of co-occupied BINs is in brackets).
5 Chi-square values in bold indicate the rejection of independent distribution with a probability P<0.001 (with 1 d.f., P<0.001 for χ² = 10.83) or P<0.05 (with 1 d.f., P<0.05 for χ² = 3.84).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0010495.t003
Table 4. Chi-square values on the probability of independent distribution of QTLs for all types of resistance (QTLres) with DGH-based markers (with and without Prx), and three sets of microsatellites: GBM, scssr and Bmac+Bmag markers.

| QTLres 1 | DGH (Prx) | DGH | GBM | scssr | Bmac+Bmag |
|---------|-----------|-----|-----|-------|------------|
| Marker no.  | 105 | 97 | 65 | 244 | 34 | 97 |
| BIN no.  | 3 | 70 | 63 | 48 | 133 | 28 | 66 |
| O (E)  | 27 (20.3) | 18 (15.5) | 48 (42.9) | 7 (9) | 21 (21.3) |
| X 2  | 4.6 | 0.8 | 2.3 | 1.0 | 0.02 |

1Mapping data were obtained from previous works [13,14,22,32,33,34,35].
2The number of markers or QTL peak markers mapped on the integrated map of barley.
3The number of barley BINs (5 cM) occupied by the (peak) markers for the respective class of QTLs or markers.
4Number of BINs observed to be co-occupied by a QTL peak marker and a Prx-targeted marker/other marker (the expected number of co-occupied BINs is in brackets).
5Chi-square values in bold indicate the rejection of independent distribution with a probability P < 0.001 (with 1 d.f., \( \chi^2 = 3.84 \)) or \( \chi^2 < 0.05 \) (with 1 d.f., \( P = 0.005 \) for \( \chi^2 = 3.84 \)).
6DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0010495.0004

associates [26] to produce markers in Prx genes. The presented results demonstrate the utility of this technique for Prx mapping. The primers developed and applied in this paper were targeted to the DNA sequence encoding the conserved FHDCFV and VSCADI amino acid sequence motifs of peroxidase proteins. These motifs are conserved in class III peroxidases across plant species [27,29,30,31], and the primers also generated polymorphic DNA fingerprints in potato and Miscanthus (van der Linden, unpublished data). We tested twelve primers targeting both motifs with slight variation in the motifs, particularly at the 3' ends of the primers. The 3' ends of PCR primers should ideally be non-degenerate to increase the specificity and efficiency of amplification. All primers produced polymorphic DNA fingerprints with 4 to 30 polymorphic markers per primer/restiction enzyme combination. A large fraction of the amplified bands was homologous to Prx sequences, but more so for FHDCFV-derived than for VSCADI-derived primers. The number of Prx Profiling markers can be increased further by testing additional restriction enzymes.

Organization of Prx Profiling Markers in the Barley Genome

Most gene families in plant genomes seem to be organized in several large clusters of highly homologous genes, most likely resulting from various duplication events. Clustering of Prx genes has previously been observed in rice [27] and Arabidopsis [29]. The clustering of the markers mapped in this study adds to the evidence that many must indeed be targeting Prx genes. This clustering is in line with the fact that Prx genes belong to a gene family with evolutionary related tandemly repeated genes, or to allelic series [36].

The chromosomal distribution of FHDCFV and VSCADI-derived markers is very similar, and both typically mapped in the same clusters. We found 26 clusters with two or more Prx based markers. Fourteen clusters contained VSCADI markers as well as FHDCFV markers and/or DGH Prx markers (Table S5, Figures 1 and 2). One large cluster on 7H only contained thirteen VSCADI-derived PERO-markers, interspersed with some DGH Prx markers (Figures 1 and 2, Table S1). These findings suggest that VSCADI-derived bands correspond to Prx genes in spite of their lower E value compared to the FHDCFV-derived bands (Tables 2 and S2). Another cluster, on 2H, contained seven FHDCFV-derived PERO markers and three DGH markers. Such clusters of PERO-markers that are only based on the VSCADI or only on the FHDCFV motifs suggest that these clusters contain Prx genes that belong to a subfamily of highly similar genes.

Only few Prx genes had previously been mapped in barley, either as RFLP markers, viz. Prx2 [13], Prx7 [37], Prx4 [38], or from Prx-like EST sequences. They were recently located on transcript maps of barley [13,39,40]. The fact that nine of the presently mapped PERO-marker clusters also contain previously mapped Prx markers indicates that the Prx Profiling markers indeed are Prx specific. In our study the largest clusters were found on linkage group 1H (1H_9.2), which includes 26 Prx Profiling markers, and on linkage group 2H (2H_15.1) with 18 Prx based markers.

The saturation approach followed here suggests that in barley there are about 40 of such clusters (see Figure 3). Also studies in other crops indicated that multigene families of plant Prxs tend to cluster within the genome [27,29]. It would be of interest to compare whether the Prx clusters in barley coincide with Prx clusters on syntenic chromosome regions in other Gramineae, like rice, Brachypodium distachyon and maize, and whether they are also in those species associated with resistance to specialized biotrophic pathogens. Such a comparison was beyond the scope of the present paper.

Prx Profiling marker Sequences

We successfully demonstrated that a high proportion of the amplified DNA sequences generated by the Prx Profiling primers indeed have homology to known peroxidase genes (Table 2). Recently, a contig of three BAC clones covering nearly 300 Kb of barley cultivar Vada in the BIN 2H_15.1 was sequenced in our laboratory (unpublished data). A cluster of peroxidases previously identified in this region [13] was confirmed in the present study by 10 Prx Profiling markers mapping in the BIN 2H_15.1. Three of the genes annotated on the 300 Kb sequence are putative peroxidases. We searched the 300 Kb sequence for presence of PERO1 to PERO6 primer signature. PERO1 and PERO3 signatures did not detect anything, PERO4 and PERO6 specifically detected two of the three putative peroxidases, and PERO2 and PERO5 specifically detected all three putative peroxidases. Both conserved motifs were found in all three gene sequences, indicating that small variations at the DNA level determine whether the genes are recognized or not by PERO primers. This result provides further evidence of the specificity of the designed primers to detect peroxidase sequences and supports the idea that the primers targeting the VSCADI motif (PERO4, PERO5, PERO6) might be more specific than suggested by the sequences obtained in this study.

Role of Prx Genes in Basal Resistance

Our study on a possible association between Prx genes and basal resistance was only possible because of the recent mapping of over 100 QTLs for basal resistance to several rust fungal species and to barley powdery mildew (Table S4). The barley mapping populations in which those QTLs were mapped were also used to build the dense integrated barley marker map used in the present study and two of those populations also to map the PERO-markers. This coherent and extensive data set indicates that Prx Profiling markers are significantly associated with QTLs for basal resistance. The association of Prx genes with resistance QTLs has been documented [2,4,7,41], but their co-segregation had not been established until now. The most common way to identify a
candidate gene that explains the QTL-effect on resistance is to look for map co-segregation between genes of interest and the QTLs for resistance [42]. Genes coding for recognition, signaling, and defense components have been identified with this strategy as candidates to explain resistance QTLs in several plant species [13,14,21,22,43,44].

In a previous study [14], Jafari and associates found 13 co-localizations between QTLs for non-host resistance and DGH markers at less than 1cM; eight of the DGH markers were derived from Prx gene sequences. This suggests a higher association than one would expect to occur by chance of non-host resistance QTLs with Prx genes. These results were confirmed in the present study, and extended to basal resistance against barley powdery mildew.

We found a significant (P<0.001) association between QTLs for basal host and non-host resistances and Prx-based markers. Moreover, all QTLs for resistance showed a significant (P<0.05) association with DGH markers only when the 32 Prx-based markers were included in this group.

The highly significant genetic association between Prx based markers and QTLs for resistance to different fungi found in this study is consistent with previous reports, supporting the idea that peroxidases are involved in plant defense reactions. We did not find such an association between resistance QTLs and markers based on random gene sequences and genomic sequences, nor between Prx based markers and QTLs for other agronomical traits than resistance (Table 4). Therefore, the clustering of Prx sequences at the same position as the known resistance QTLs makes Prx genes strong candidates for explaining the natural differences in resistance levels. Some Prx Profiling markers are associated with more than one resistance QTL, some effective to barley pathogens, others to pathogens to which barley is a marginal host. Regions harboring QTLs against different pathogen species could be explained by the presence of Prx gene clusters in which each Prx gene may have an effect against a different pathogen species. QTLs for partial resistance to leaf rust and QTLs for partial resistance to powdery mildew are significantly associated with Prx Profiling markers while no association was found between both types of resistances. The observed specificity of QTLs identified in different populations [14,22], with different pathogen species [35] or even with different isolates of the same pathogen [33], resulted in more than 100 detected resistance QTLs in barley (Table S4, Table 4). If Prx genes indeed underlie many of the resistance QTLs, the observed abundance and specificity of resistance QTLs might be explained by the abundance of Prx genes and their varying allelic forms, each form having a narrow spectrum of effectiveness.

Definitive proof that peroxidases are involved in both types of basal resistance will nevertheless require transgenic complementation or Prx-gene specific gene silencing experiments.

Not all peroxidases may be involved in basal resistance, since they play a role in a broad range of physiological processes during the plant life cycle. Studies have suggested that peroxidases also play a role in germination, abiotic stresses, symbiosis, senescence and more [45]. Therefore, Prx Profiling may be useful for many other applications or traits of interest.

In our study 56% of the QTLs for resistance were linked to Prx Profiling markers (61% of QTLs for partial resistance to P. hordei, 61% for B. graminis and 47% for heterologous rusts). The QTLs not associated with Prx profiling markers may be associated with Prx genes not mapped in this study, or to other types of genes governing other defense mechanisms. Indeed not all resistance QTLs will be explained by Prx genes. Recently, the non-hypersensitive resistance gene Lr34 has been cloned [46], which turned out to be an ABC transporter. Nicks and Marcel [21] proposed that all kinds of genes involved in pathogen perception, signal transduction or defense are potential targets of effectors from would-be pathogens to suppress plant defenses. Our present study suggests that Prx genes may represent a substantial part of those targets.

Materials and Methods

Plant Material

The RIL populations used in the present study have been developed at Wageningen University (Wageningen, The Netherlands), and consist of 103 lines derived from a cross between L94 and Vada [32] and 152 lines derived from a cross between Vada and SusPtrit [33].

Available Linkage Mapping Data

Recently, Aghnoum and associates [22] constructed a barley integrated map regrouping 6990 markers from 7 barley mapping populations, including L94 × Vada and Vada × SusPtrit ("Barley, Integrated, Marcel 2009" at http://wheat.pw.usda.gov/). The most represented types of molecular markers on this integrated map are RFLP (20%), AFLP (20%), SSR (9%), DAR T (19%) and TDM (23%) (≈ 91% of all markers).

Design of PCR Primers Targeting Peroxidase Sequences

For the design of degenerate primers that would recognize a broad spectrum of Prx genes, 105 protein sequences of peroxidase from barley were extracted from PeroxiBase [47] and aligned with ClustalX [48]. Twelve degenerate primers (named as PERO primers) were designed on those conserved motifs to amplify DNA towards the 5’ end of the targeted Prx sequences (Table 5).

Peroxidase Profiling Protocol

High DNA quality is an important prerequisite for Motif-directed Profiling. A combination of the classical CTAB-based protocol [49] complemented with additional purification steps (we

| Primer name | Motif | Sequence (in 5' - 3' order) |
|-------------|-------|-----------------------------|
| PERO1       | FHDCVF| tsywyttccacgactgtytgyt     |
| PERO2       | FHDCVF| tsmgbntsywyyttccacgactg   |
| PERO3       | FHDCVF| ccyvbvaacaarrctcgtgagaa  |
| PERO4       | VSCADI| sryntgsctvgtgcngacat      |
| PERO5       | VSCADI| sbktagtcngcrabgagac       |
| PERO6       | VSCADI| sbktagtgcngcrabgasaac    |
| PERO7       | FHDCVF| tsmgbntsywyyttccacgactg   |
| PERO8       | FHDCVF| tsywyttccacgactgtytgyt     |
| PERO9       | FHDCVF| ttccacagtytgytgytgytg     |
| PERO10      | FHDCVF| ttccacagtytgytgytgytg     |
| PERO11      | FHDCVF| ccyvbvaacaarrctcgtgagaa  |
| PERO12      | VSCADI| sryntgsctvgtgcngacat      |

†Ambiguous DNA characters are represented using the standard notation recommended by the International Union of Biochemistry.
added an additional CTAB step with a second incubation and repeated the isomyl-chloroform step twice more) was applied to extract DNA from all samples. The extracted DNA was diluted to a concentration of 50 ng/μl before being processed.

Prx Profiling was developed according to the protocol described in [26] with some modifications. Restriction digestion and adapter ligation were performed in a single reaction by incubating 200 ng of DNA at 37°C for 3 h in the appropriate buffer and using high-concentration ligase (5 U/μl). Amplification of Prx-specific fragments was performed in a single polymerase chain reaction with Prx primer and adapter primer as described in [50]. The PCR thermal profile was: 15 min at 95°C, 30 cycles at 95°C for 30 s for denaturing, 1 min 40 s at 60°C for annealing, 2 min at 72°C, and a final extension at 72°C for 20 min. Three different restriction enzymes (MosI, AluI and RsaI) were used in combination with the 12 Prx-specific degenerate primers. Examples of Prx – Profiling DNA fingerprints are given in Figure S1.

The PCR products were re-amplified using the adapter primer IRDyelabeled at Biolegio BV (Nijmegen, The Netherlands). The PCR reaction (5 μL of 10× diluted PCR mixture, 1 μL of 10× PCR buffer, 200 μM dNTPs, 3 pmol of Prx primer, 0.6 pmol of IRD labeled adapter primer and 0.2 U of SuperTag DNA in a final volume of 10 μL) was performed according to the following procedure: 3 min at 95°C followed by 35 cycles of 30 s at 95°C, 1.40 min at 60°C, and 2 min at 72°C; then a final extension step at 72°C for 20 min. The labeled PCR products were mixed with an equal volume (10 μL) of formamide-loading buffer (98% formamide, 10 mM EDTA pH 8.0 and 0.1% Bromo Phenol Blue) and an aliquot (0.8 μL) was analyzed on a LI-COR 4300 DNA Analysis System (LI-COR Biosciences). The labeled PCR products were separated on 6% polyacrylamide gel as shown in Figure S1.

Genetic Mapping

Polymorphic bands were scored for their presence/absence in the progeny. JoinMap 4 [51] was used to build the barley integrated map of 6990 markers [22] including the 168 scored PERO markers. The map also includes 32 Prx-based sequences that were mapped as CAPS, RFLP, SCAR or TDM markers [39,52,53].

Homology of PERO Marker Sequences with Prx Genes

To determine the level of homology to known Prx genes of the DNA fragments amplified with the PERO primers designed in this study, 61 bands were excised from polyacrylamide gels after scanning with an Odyssey infrared imaging system (LI-COR Biosciences, Lincoln, NE, U.S.A.). Most of the bands isolated for primers PERO1 and PERO2 corresponded to markers mapped in L94 × Vada or in Vada × SusPrit populations while most of the bands isolated for primers PERO4, PERO5 and PERO6 were monomorphic in both populations. The bands were recovered by puncturing the polyacrylamide gel with a standard pipette tip, eluting DNA from the tip in TE for about 60 min at room temperature, and reamplified using similar conditions as the ones described for the exponential PCR protocol. PCR products were analyzed on a 1% agarose gel. Products appearing as clear and single bands were directly sequenced with both Prx and adapter primers using the BigDye Terminator kit on a LI-COR 4300 DNA Analysis System sequencer from Applied Biosystems (U.S.A.).

The quality of each sequence was determined by inspecting the ABI chromatogram with BioEdit sequence alignment editor (Copyright® 1997-2007 Tom Hall), and only good quality sequences were analyzed further. These sequences were compared to the peroxidase protein sequences from PeroxiBase (http://peroxibase.isb-sib.ch/) and against the protein sequences from NCBI database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) using BLASTX [54] to determine their homology to known peroxidase sequences. The sequences were also compared with BLASTN against the DFCI Barley Gene Index database (http://compbio.dfc.oxford.ac.uk/tg/) to identify barley consensus EST sequences with highest homology to our sequences.

Prediction of the Number of Prx Gene Clusters on the Barley Genome

A re-sampling procedure was followed to obtain an estimate of the total number of Prx clusters in the barley genome. This procedure is analogous to the approach that is applied in ecology for estimating the number of species or OTU’s (operational taxonomic units) in a given geographic area or ecological niche [55]. We used the map positions of the PERO markers and other Prx-based markers to assess the number of observed clusters in our data. A Prx cluster was defined as a group of Prx based markers in which the largest distance between adjacent markers does not exceed a certain limit. This limit (the ‘gap distance’) was set to 5 cM. So the minimum distance between adjacent clusters is 5 cM. A special purpose program for the re-sampling procedure was written in C++, curve fitting was done with GenStat (VSN International Ltd., Oxford, UK). The re-sampling procedure ran as follows. From the total set of Prx markers a random sample, without replacement, was taken and these were arranged into clusters using the ‘gap size’ of 5 cM. For a given size of the sample this re-sampling was repeated 50,000 times and for each run the number of clusters in that sample was recorded. Finally, the average number of realized clusters over the 50,000 replications was calculated.

We obtained a ‘saturation curve’ that levels off to an asymptotic value by carrying out this procedure for a range of sample sizes and plotting the average number of realized clusters against sample size,

Association of Prx Profiling Markers with QTLs for Resistance

The map position of the 168 PERO markers and 32 other Prx-based sequences was compared with that of several QTLs, in order to test for independent distribution over the genome. QTL positions in five of the populations composing the integrated map (Table S4) were used to test for association between Prx based markers and resistances to barley leaf rust [13,14,32,33], to barley powdery mildew [22,34], and to heterologous rusts ([14,35] and unpublished QTLs by Jafary and Nils and Alemu and Nils). When resistance QTLs against a same pathogen species had overlapping confidence intervals on the integrated map, only one peak marker was considered. That peak marker from L94 × Vada or Vada × SusPrir was taken as the location of the QTL. In case the QTL to a same pathogen occurred in both populations, the peak marker with highest LOD value was taken as the position. If confidence intervals of QTLs for resistance to different heterologous rusts overlapped, they were still counted as different QTLs.

The co-segregations between Prx based and QTLs for basal host or non-host resistances were compared with the associations between Prx based markers and QTLs for agronomic traits, taken from GrainGenes database (http://wheat.pw.usda.gov/). We also tested for associations between QTLs for resistance and microsatellite markers derived from random expressed genes, viz. two sets
of EST-SSRs composed of 244 GBM markers [56] and 34 scsr markers [57], and microsatellite markers derived from unspecified genomic sequences, viz. one set of Bmae=Bmag markers. Finally, we determined the association between QTLs for resistance and markers that are based on 97 DGHs (Defense Gene Homologues) [13–14], and a subset of DGHs from which markers corresponding to Prx genes were omitted. The BIN system was used to realize chi-square tests to test the null hypothesis assuming independent distribution of BINs occupied with a Prx based markers and BINs occupied with a QTL peak marker or control molecular marker, as described previously [13–14].

Supporting Information

Table S1 Position of Prx Profiling markers on Vada×SusPrit and L94×Vada linkage maps and on the barley integrated map. Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0010495.s001 (0.05 MB XLS)

Table S2 Genetic position of PERO sequences on the barley integrated map and their homology to sequences from three different databases. Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0010495.s002 (0.05 MB XLS)

Table S3 55 PERO sequences in fasta format. Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0010495.s003 (0.01 MB TXT)

Table S4 Summary of QTLs conferring partial resistance to Puccinia hordei, to Blumeria graminis and to different heterologous Puccinia species.
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