Nutritional status and lifestyle of liver cirrhotic patients in Kashmir

Abstract

Liver cirrhotic patients were investigated for their lifestyle, body mass index, biochemical and clinical signs of disease. The study included 500 liver cirrhotic patients who were visited and hospitalized in SKIMS and SMHS hospital Srinagar. The data was collected by using the self made questionnaire which was pretested on 10 patients. These ten patients were excluded from the study. Demographic data, level of education, biochemical values, clinical signs, weight, height and mid arm circumference was undertaken. Out of the 500 cirrhotic patients, 60% were from rural area and 40% were from urban area, 73.8% were males and 26.2% were females. It was observed that illiterates, laborers, married ones with nuclear type of family system from low economic status were being suffering from the liver cirrhosis. The disease is more seen in the age group of 46-60 years. Low BMI and muscle wasting were present in almost all of the studied patients. Hyperbilirubinemia, low albumin and protein levels were observed in studied patients. Anemia, PEM, ascites, edema, muscle wasting were prevalent in vast majority of the patients.
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Introduction

In today’s life people are not foremost concerned with one’s health and well being as they are busy with other priorities. They develop bad eating habits, making poor lifestyle choices and neglecting different aspects of health. In turn, these habits affect their body and overall health of the people. The liver is the second largest organ in human body. It is responsible for performing more functions than any other organ in the body, including metabolizing the food, filtering and detoxifying waste and toxic products, producing immune agents and enzymes; produces and secretes bile; and stores essential nutrients (like vitamin A, vitamin D, vitamin E, vitamin K, iron, copper, and zinc) and glucagon (which helps control blood sugar), digested food, and national blood proteins like globulin, albumin, and fibrinogen aids the formation of blood clots. It is also responsible for the production and destruction of blood cells and immune agents. Liver plays a very important role in eliminating drugs and their metabolites from the body. It is also an important organ for the production of blood proteins, which are essential for circulation and immunity.
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Materials and methods

It was a cross sectional study conducted among 500 liver cirrhotic patients who visited or were admitted in Gastroenterology Department of SKIMS Soura and SMHS hospital Srinagar. The tool used in the present study was essentially a questionnaire. This was pre-tested on 10 liver cirrhotic respondents in order to ensure the validity and feasibility of questionnaire before administering it on the entire sample. The patients were explained about the purpose of the study, and on obtaining their consent; data were collected from the participating patients. Anthropometric measurements were measured, such as weight, height and mid arm circumference. Weight was measured using a digital weighing scale, height with a wall-mounted stadiometer and mid arm circumference with a non-stretchable tape. The body mass index was calculated using the formula proposed by quiqet® BMI=weight(kg)/height (meter)^2. Biochemical information was obtained from medical records of concerned respondents.

Statistical analysis

All data were statistically analyzed through statistical package for social science (SPSS) software version 20.00, Microsoft excel and Minitab. Metric data was described as mean ± SD. It’s inter group comparisons were done by student’s t-test and Man Whitney U test. Non parametric data was expressed and described as percentages. The intergroup comparison for such data was done by Chi-square analysis, Man Whitney U test was used for two-group comparisons.
in nonparametric data. Mean, SD, median and Z-test were used for anthropometric, laboratory and nutritive variables. Significance was evaluated as follows:

P-value: >0.05 (no-significant).

P-value: <0.05 (significant).

P-value: <0.01 (highly significant).

Results

The total studied respondents were 500 out of which 300 were from rural area and 200 were from urban area. It was observed that out of 300 rural respondents 222 (44.4%) were males and 78 (15.6%) were females (Table 1). Further, it was observed that out of 200 urban respondents 147 (29.4%) were males and 53 (10.6%) were females.

Table 1 Distribution of Studied Respondents

| Gender | Rural (n=300) | Urban (n=200) | Total (n=500) |
|--------|--------------|---------------|--------------|
|        | N   | %     | N   | %     | N   | %     |
| Male   | 222 | 44.4  | 147 | 29.4  | 369 | 73.8  |
| Female | 78  | 15.6  | 53  | 10.6  | 131 | 26.2  |
| Total  | 300 | 60    | 200 | 40    | 500 | 100   |

Socioeconomic status of the liver cirrhotic patients is presented in (Table 2). It was observed that 96.93% (71.6% males & 25.33% females) rural patients were married and in urban area 98.5%(73% males & 25.5% females) studied respondents were married. Most of the patients investigated were illiterate 62.66% rural and 58% urban respondents. Regarding occupation of the studied respondents, in rural area majority of the males were laborers (66.33%), 4.33% males were employed, 3.33% males were unemployed and 24.66% females were housewives. Further, it was observed that in urban area 63.5% males were laborers, 7.5% males were employed, 2.5% males were unemployed and 26% females were housewives. 91.33% rural & 95.5% urban had nuclear type family and only 8.66% rural respondents and 34.5% urban patients had joint type family system. It was observed that majority 95.33% of rural studied respondents (73% males & 22.33% females) and 94.5% urban studied respondents (72% males & 22.5% females) belonged to lower socioeconomic class whose monthly income is Rs <5000. Statistically it was observed that there is a no-significant difference between socio demographic characteristics of studied liver cirrhotic respondents (P>0.05).

Figure 1 shows the age wise distribution of respondents. It was found that the disease is more prevalent in the age group of 46-60years (30.8% were males and 13.4% were females) followed by the age group of 30-45years (28.8% were males & 9.8% were females).

Table 2 Socioeconomic status of liver cirrhotic patients (n=500)

| Characteristics | Residence | Gender | M(%) | F(%) | X² | P-value |
|-----------------|-----------|--------|------|------|----|---------|
| Marital status  | Urban     |        | 146  | 51   | 0.03 | >0.05   |
| Unmarried       | Rural     |        | 6    | 1    |    |         |
| Widow           | Rural     |        | 1    | 1    | 0.163 | >0.05  |
| Educational     | Urban     |        | 84   | 32   | 0.169 | >0.05   |
| Primary         | Rural     |        | 81   | 20   |    |         |
| Secondary       | Urban     |        | 49   | 16   | 0.54 | >0.05   |
| Occupation      | Urban     |        | 9    | 2    |    |         |
| Unemployed      | Rural     |        | 10   | 0    | 0.842 | >0.05   |
| Laborer         | Rural     |        | 5    | 0    | NA*  | NA*     |
| Retired         | Rural     |        | -    | -    | -   |         |
| House wife      | Rural     |        | 74   | 5    | 0.258 | >0.05   |
| Joint           | Urban     |        | 140  | 51   | 0.01 | >0.05   |
| Type of family  | Rural     |        | 20   | 6    | 0.003 | >0.05   |
| Urban           |          |        | 7    | 2    |      |         |
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Table 3 shows the average BMI and MAC values of the liver cirrhotic patients. The mean BMI of the rural male and female patients was (19.13±2.117 & 18.58±2.418) and in urban male and female patients it was (18.96±2.214 & 18.73±2.236). Mid arm circumference value of rural male and female patients was (19.31±2.839 & 18.82±2.788) and in urban male and female patients it was 18.68±3.056 & 18.25±3.170 respectively. Statistically it was observed that there is highly significant difference between weight of male and female respondents of both areas and height of urban male and female respondents (P<0.01).

Biochemical analysis showed that mean hemoglobin value of rural male and female respondents is (7.09±1.4507 & 7.24±1.419) and in case of urban male and female respondents (7.09±1.566 & 7.37±1.839) (Table 4) indicating they were anemic. Mean protein values of rural and urban patients were (3.57±1.712 & 4.27±1.940) and (3.43±1.705 & 3.93±1.719) respectively. Mean ± SD of albumin in rural patients is (1.56±1.0001 & 1.60±0.919) and in urban patients (1.50±1.152 & 1.85±1.056) and Mean ± SD of Creatinine in rural patients is (7.09±1.4507 & 5.32±1.839) and in urban patients is (4.76±8.168 & 5.46±8.61) respectively.

Table 3: Nutritional indices (BMI, MAC) of liver cirrhotic patients
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Careful perusal of the Figure 2 shows that all the studied respondents, both male and female had presence of all signs and symptoms of liver cirrhosis except ascites which was present only in 20% male respondents and 10% female respondents.

### Table 4 Biochemical values of liver cirrhotic patients

| Values               | Residence | Gender | Mean ± Sd       | Z value  | P- value |
|----------------------|-----------|--------|-----------------|----------|----------|
| Hemoglobin/dl        | Rural     | Male   | 7.091±1.4507    | -0.80269 | >0.05    |
|                      |           | Female | 7.242±1.419     |          |          |
|                      | Urban     | Male   | 7.099±1.566     | -0.98692 | >0.05    |
|                      |           | Female | 7.379±1.839     |          |          |
|                      | Rural     | Male   | 3.573±1.712     | -2.81567 |          |
|                      |           | Female | 4.271±1.940     | <0.01    |          |
| Total Protein g/dl   | Rural     | Male   | 3.433±1.705     | -1.82297 | >0.05    |
|                      |           | Female | 3.934±1.719     |          |          |
|                      | Urban     | Male   | 15.037±12.196   | -1.51184 | >0.05    |
|                      |           | Female | 18.08±16.24     |          |          |
| Bilirubin mg/dl      | Rural     | Male   | 17.44±13.99     | 3.889894 | <0.01    |
|                      |           | Female | 10.72±9.36      |          |          |
|                      | Urban     | Male   | 1.562±1.0001    | -0.37714 |          |
|                      |           | Female | 1.609±0.919     | >0.05    |          |
| Albumin g/dl         | Rural     | Male   | 1.503±1.1523    | -2.03788 | <0.01    |
|                      |           | Female | 1.857±1.056     |          |          |
|                      | Urban     | Male   | 7.091±1.4507    | 1.285315 |          |
|                      |           | Female | 5.32±12.14      | >0.05    |          |
| Creatinine mg/dl     | Rural     | Male   | 4.769±8.168     | -0.50768 | >0.05    |
|                      |           | Female | 5.46±8.61       |          |          |

**Discussion**

Liver cirrhosis is one of the common health problems in Kashmir. Infections and nutritional deficiency diseases are the “diseases of poverty.” Poverty predisposes to malnutrition, the major public health problem in Kashmir valley. Likely malnutrition is frequent and common in liver cirrhosis. In the present study, most of the cirrhotic patients (73.8%) males were more affected than females (26.2%) with liver cirrhosis in both areas. It was observed that the 44.2% of the study respondents belonged to the age group of 46-60 years, 38.6% of the study respondents belonged to 30-45 years, 11.60% to >60 years and 5.60% of the study respondents belonged to the age group of 14-29 years. The results of the present study were similar to the studies conducted by Singh et al.⁹ Teiusanu et al.¹⁰ Ullah,¹¹ Chalasani,¹² Arguedas¹³ and Nevens.⁰¹⁴ In the present study most of the patients were married, illiterate, laborers with nuclear type of family system and had low socio economic group are more vulnerable to this disease. These results were somewhat consistent with the reports of Idris SM et al.¹⁵ Khan R et al,¹⁶ Corrao G¹⁷ and Ahsan T.¹⁵ The present study observed that 47.8% males and 26.2% females had BMI <18.5Kg/m² and 22.6% males and 9.8% females had normal BMI value 18.5-22.9Kg/m². In a study conducted by Tail MS et al.¹⁹ on 36 Malaysia cirrhotic patients which revealed that anthropometric values in males was (BMI 18.1±1.6 vs 26.3±3.5Kg/m²) and females (BMI 19.4±2.7 vs 28.9±4.3Kg/m²). Roongpisuthipong C et al.²⁰ observed the same observation in their study that out of 60 studied patients 17.8% had body mass index below 18.5Kg/m². The present study also observed that muscle wasting was present in studied respondents. A study conducted by Teiusanu A et al.²¹ on 176 patients revealing that mid arm circumference was decreased according to the child score and significant correlation was found between MAC and severity of the disease.²¹ The present study identified a high prevalence of anemia, hyperbilirubinemia, low albumin levels, low serum protein levels, and high creatinine levels. These values are deviated from the normal
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values especially in the age group of 46-60 years. These signs interfere with the intake of dietary intake which leads to malnutrition in these patients. A study conducted by Carvalho et al. (2006) on 300 liver cirrhotic patients revealed that 55% of the studied respondents had insufficient food intake due to presence of discomforts like nausea, fatigue, vomiting and swelling. Our results also related with the findings of Ahsan T revealed that majorit of the studied respondents were suffering from ascites, edema, nausea, vomiting and muscle wasting.

Conclusion

This disease is more seen in males from rural areas having nuclear type of family system and belonged to low socioeconomic group. Malnutrition is highly prevalent among Kashmir individuals with liver cirrhosis. Patients with cirrhosis had relatively low BMI, mid arm circumference, hemoglobin, protein and albumin levels. There is significant correlation between these parameters and severity of the disease.
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