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Abstract Coastal students’ tense on grammar really affects their grammar mastery. Mostly the factors of the tense are neither from their relationship, environment, nor personal matters. It is from their perception on grammar itself. The purposes of this study are (1) to identify the stressors; (2) to describe the impacts of the stressors on grammar ability. The method used was descriptive quantitative. The setting of the research was in one of the universities in Tanjungpinang, Riau Archipelago, Indonesia. The population of this research was the second semester students comprising 78 students in the academic year of 2018/2019. Questionnaire and grammar test were used to collect the data, and it was analyzed by using Rasch Model. This study revealed (1) from the 30 items of the questionnaire about the students’ tense in academic and non-academic factors, only two items were the dominant stressors i.e. having grammar difficulties and facing grammar examinations and they were from the academic factors; (2) Those whose stress was high on grammar difficulties i.e. 29 (37.18%) students showed bad performance at grammar tenses but interestingly those whose stress was high on grammar difficulties i.e 17 (21.79%) students also had good performance at grammar tenses. There were 1 (1.28%) and 15 (19.23%) students who claimed that the grammar difficulties never and rarely stressed her or him but s/he went bad performance at grammar tenses. In fact, those whose claimed that the grammar difficulties rarely made them stressed had good performance at grammar tenses i.e. 16 (20.51%) students. Then, those whose stress was high on facing grammar examination i.e. 32 (41.03%) students had bad ability at grammar tenses. In contrary, those whose stress is high on facing grammar examination i.e. 17 (21.79%) students had also good performance at grammar tenses. The other students claimed that facing grammar examination rarely stressed her or him i.e. 1 (1.28%) got bad performance at grammar tenses; the other 2 (2.56%) students made good performance at grammar tenses. Facing grammar examination, 12 (15.38%) students who never stressed showed bad performance at grammar tenses and the other 14 (17.95%) students went good performance at grammar tenses. Therefore, students’ tense on grammar tense(s) is the matter of perception which should be changed for better grammar mastery.
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1. Introduction

Students’ tense with overload academic matters results in their academic stress for those who push themselves to be good students [1] and to pursue academic achievement [2, 3] taken place also in Asian countries [4, 5]. A college student may stress because of academic or sports failure, financial and health problems, or loss of a family member or a best friend. The causes of the stress are the so-called stressors. The stressor impact coming up in a person relies on how the tension is taken. If s/he perceives an event as a challenge in life positively and knows how to encounter it, s/he can reduce the stress gradually [6]. This stress level can lead to an unexpected effect on the students’ cognitive domain and learning process [7], in the aftermath of burnout [8]. The different levels of stress could be traced and analyzed by the subjective psychological measurement of an event whether it was threatening or not [9]. In spite of being common in all aspects of modern life style, college students’ stress levels are significantly dominant for students’ always dealing with new things with different challenges [10]. These challenges can be in the form of having new friends, adapting to a new living circumstance, and having financial problem [11]. Therefore, the stress plays its role in an academic context and it has been obviously established [12]. Furthermore, migraine attacks were found to be correlated with the amount of homework or with the timing of examinations (6, 15). Other studies showed that TTH in adolescents...
seems to be associated with social stress: adolescents with TTH had fewer peers, were more frequently exposed to conflicts within their families and their parents were more likely to be divorced (13, 16).

In the academic setting, students deal with culture shock. It is because university atmosphere is different from school atmosphere. Being unable to cope with the stressors in a short period of time may bring about low academic performance and boost of psychological distress [13]. Stress is a complex condition provoked by many multiple factors in a stressful situation. Its complexity, then, corresponds to the potentially altered personality traits which can be examined during a long-lasting stress [14]. Many researches were carried out to appraise the relationship between stress and academic achievement of undergraduate students [15] and the result was that stress affects students’ academic achievement [16]. The ten stress sources identified in the medical students and the stressors are (1) tests and examinations, (2) the big range of content to be learnt, (3) lack of time to do revision, (4) poor marks, (5) having self-expectation, (6) insufficient skill in medical practice, (7) failing to follow the reading schedule, (8) heavy workload, (9) having difficulty in understanding the content, and (10) failing to provide answers to teachers’ questions [17]. Severe stress caused by the imbalance school requirements can result in headaches in children and adolescents and can lead them to be off from their schools [18]. The stress can also come up with language learners who learn grammar in EFL context. They are successful to master the language skills but they show no progress to master grammar. The long debate about the existence of grammar learning in English curriculum has been taken for 50 years through scientific papers and arguments [19]. This is long-lasting stressor which has been driving researchers to relieve their stress by keeping offering new ways of grammar learning. Their offers are becoming triggers of debate among others. Reports exposed the English grammar learning and teaching around a selection of dichotomies [20]: accuracy versus fluency, focus on form [21, 22] versus focus on forms [23, 24, 25], direct versus indirect (grammar) instruction [26, 27, 28, 29]. Accuracy versus fluency: in the usages, accuracy [24] belongs to grammatical accuracy, but spelling and pronunciation can join together in accuracy. The ability to easily understand people’s spoken language is the implication of fluency [30]. EFL Students cannot learn grammar in old school styles because they can get easily bored i.e. in their classroom where their teachers merely present them grammatical rules [31, 28] or give them a series of lectures [32]. Grammar instruction has become a controversial topic in research and teaching of the second language (L2). The EFL teachers are also facing the same problem and proposing a question of how to teach grammar.

Traditional grammar instruction, such as that of Audio-Lingual or PPP (Present-Practice-Production) views language learning as learning about the structure or grammar rules of the target language [33]. Moreover, rules are not modified or replaced in the course of acquisition; instead, new rules whose properties may be contradictory or incompatible with other rules are simply added [31]. Apart from the lexical words in a language, there are grammatical forms such as auxiliary verbs, verb and noun endings, pronouns, and topic markers – including word order permutations – that reflect grammatical meaning, in the sense of tense, aspect, definiteness, doer of the verbal action, old versus new information, and so on [25].

Goodwin states that a core grammar object as a form and social practice is on producing upcoming communication through decomposition, reuse, and transformation of language structure [34]. Not only do teachers use traditional explicit-deductive grammar instruction but also the exposure of their students to certain approaches like inductive and implicit types of instruction should be engaged [29]. A different approach instead of the traditional ones is required by grammar instruction in the teaching of foreign language. Meaningful activities and drills should be able to help language learners to use correct tense, verb, or mode and they should be accessible to every language learner [35].

Problems in understanding the concepts and rules of grammar tenses always come to the Pre TESL students of UiTM Kuantan Campus [33]. Besides, the failure of understanding the sentence structure also strikes them. Neither the coherence from one clause to another nor the coherence of clauses in a paragraph shows no progress. In short, what stresses them is the complicated rules of grammar. In this way, form plays a great role in second language (L2) acquisition, whereas the focus on form will hamper them to come to the L2 which is ongoing to a better degree. Instead form, meaning also becomes an important role for L2 acquisition [36].

The reality which can be well-illustrated as found in Thai study. It shows that the tense selection becomes problem for them. In spite of being able to have access in all tenses comfortably, their low confidence leads them to be unsure to tense selection. In English grammar, tense is the most important part and one cannot deny that it is also the most difficult part in English grammar which non-native learners have to spend much time to understand and use it correctly and properly. Grammar is an access to understanding another language’s linguistic system. This includes the study of tenses, sentence structure and part of speech accordingly. Therefore, English tenses were taught in all level English classes in Thailand [37]. However, a high percentage of students (more than 60%) have failed the subject of the English Grammar and Lexis II in the last three years. This situation shows that there is a problem to be solved. Because the emphasis of the syllabus is on tenses and
aspect, it can be inferred that the main problem lies in the errors made by students in the use of English tenses and aspects [38].

Recent studies have focused on how students stressed, what made them stressed, and what the impacts on the students’ health with their stress in the academic settings generally. However, they have not touched the specific areas of the academic settings i.e. certain courses or school subjects. Certain courses might be some potential stressors for the students in higher education. The purposes of this research were: (1) to identify the stressors which make the students tense; and (2) to describe the impacts of the stressors on grammar tense(s). We came to the hypothesis that not all of the students with the high stress level had bad performance on grammar ability. They even showed better achievement on it.

2. Materials and Methods

Descriptive quantitative approach [39, 40] was used in this research. The setting of the research was in Universitas Maritim Raja Ali Haji (UMRAH) which is located in Tanjungpinang, Indonesia. The respondents of this research were the second-semester students comprising 78 students in the academic year of 2018/2019. This study was conducted in April 2019. The instruments used in this research were questionnaire and grammar test. The questionnaire which consisted of 30 Likert scale questions i.e. on the students’ tense in academic (10 items) and non-academic (20 items) factors and as many as 40 question items on grammar mastery were given at the end of the final semester. In this study, Rasch model software called Winsteps version 3.73 [41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47] was used to analyze the polytomous data gathered from both instruments.

In this study, the Cronbach alpha (KR-20) value of the questionnaire was 0.76 with 0.73 logits on person reliability and 0.96 logits on item reliability. In addition, the Cronbach alpha value of grammar test was 0.82 with 0.82 logits on person reliability and 0.92 logits on item reliability. It can be mentioned that the Cronbach alpha values were accepted because they were above the minimum acceptable value, i.e. 0.05 [41, 42]. The item reliability values of questionnaire and grammar test, i.e. 0.96 and 0.92, belonged to excellent based on the instrument quality criteria [41].

3. Findings

How Rasch Model analyzed the questionnaire of this research can be seen through the following summary statistics by using Winsteps version 3.73. The obtained score of the reliability of persons was .73, which means that the students showed inconsistency to correspond to the items of the questionnaire. The reliability of items was .96, which means that the quality of the items was excellent. The score of the Alpha Cronbach (KR-20) for the questionnaire was .76, which means that the interaction between the students and the items was good. Based upon the questionnaire test, it can be concluded that the instrument fulfilled the requirement of the Rasch Model and it was reliable to conduct the research.

---

**Figure 1.** Wright map of questionnaire items of students’ tense
To know the quality of the questionnaire, the following measurement should be done. For the persons, the obtained score of the INFIT MNSQ was 1.01, while the ideal score of the INFIT MNSQ was 1.00. In addition, the obtained score of the OUTFIT MNSQ was 1.02, while the ideal score of the OUTFIT MNSQ was 1.00. The scores of INFIT and OUTFIT ZSTDs were -1.0 and 0.0, while the ideal score is 0.0. In addition, for the items, the obtained score of the INFIT MNSQ was 1.05, while the ideal score of the INFIT MNSQ was 1.02. In addition, the obtained score of the OUTFIT MNSQ was 1.02, while the ideal score of the OUTFIT MNSQ was 1.00. The scores of INFIT and OUTFIT ZSTDs were 1.0 and 0.0, while the ideal score is 0.0. The scores showed that they almost reached the ideal scores, which means that the instrument of the questionnaire came to good quality. The item separation score from the summary statistics was 4.61. The score could be rounded below to be 4, which means that the items were able to divide into four groups i.e. very difficult, difficult, easy, and very easy. The questionnaire items were good because they were discriminatory items. Figure 1 shows how the items of the questionnaire were grouped into four based upon the students’ perception. There was only 1 of 30 items which the students considered as the very difficult item to correspond to. There were 17 of 30 items which they thought as the difficult items. There were 7 of 30 items which they considered as the easy items. There were 5 of 30 items which they had in mind as the very easy ones.

From the 30 items of the questionnaire, the 10 items were provided to know their tenses on grammar factors. The 4 items were distributed to know whether the relationship factors were as source of stress or not. The other 16 items were to expose whether the environment factors were as source of stress or not. Through the obtained results of their responses to the questionnaire, there were 2 items which stressed them much i.e. “grammar difficulties” and “facing grammar examination”. For the grammar difficulties, the highest frequency which made students tense dealing with went to “Often” with 44.87% (35 students), “always” with 14.10% (11 students), “Rarely” with 59.74% (39 students), and “Never” with 1.28% (1 student). It means that the 46 students got tense on “grammar difficulties” from the combination of the frequencies of “Often and Always”. Figure 2 describes how they were spread away into some frequencies.

For facing grammar examinations, the highest frequency came to the “Often” with 42.31% (33 students), “always” with 20.51% (16 students), “Rarely” with 33.33% (26 students), and “Never” with 3.85% (3 students). It means that facing grammar examinations led the 49 students to the tense condition from the combination of the frequencies of “Often and Always”. The other 29 students did not have tense on this condition. From their demographic information, it revealed that those who were able to reach good performance at grammar tenses emanate from coastal urban areas for 24 of 78 (30.77%) students. Then, those whose showed good performance at grammar tenses are from coastal rural areas for 10 of 78 (12.82) students. On the other hand, those who emanate from coastal urban areas for 12 of 78 (15.38%) students went to bad performance at grammar tenses. Then, those who come from coastal rural areas for 32 of 78 (41.03%) students had bad performance at grammar tenses.

![Figure 2. The frequencies of the students’ responses to the 4th and the 6th items of the questionnaire](image_url)
scores, the students’ response to the grammar items were good. The final score of the measured person of separation was 3. It means that there were three different groups of students’ responses through the grammar items.

Besides, the statistical summary of the measured item also described that the obtained and the ideal scores of the INFIT MNSQ were the same i.e. 1.00. The obtained and the ideal scores of the OUTFIT MNSQ was almost the same i.e. .99 and 1.00. Furthermore, the scores of INFIT and OUTFIT ZSTDS were 0.0 and -.1. The obtained scores showed that they almost reached the ideal scores. It means that the category of the items of grammar were good. The final score of the measured item of separation was 5. The score means that the levels of the item difficulty could be divided into five categories: (1) very difficult, (2) difficult, (3) moderate, (4) easy, and (5) very easy. The levels show that the grammar items were good because they were discriminatory items.

Table 1. Students’ sex and origin information

| No | Demographic Information | Number | Percentage |
|----|-------------------------|--------|------------|
| 1  | Sex                     |        |            |
|    | Female                  | 60     | 76.92%     |
|    | Male                    | 18     | 23.08%     |
| 2  | Origin                  |        |            |
|    | Rural                   | 42     | 53.85%     |
|    | Urban                   | 36     | 46.15%     |
|    | Total                   | 78     | 100%       |

The college students from one of the universities in Riau Archipelago became the subject of this research. The number of the students were 78. Related to their demographic information like sex and origin, 60 (76.92%) female students and 18 (23.08%) male students were involved in this research. There were 42 (53.85%) students from rural areas and 36 (46.15%) students from urban areas surrounding Riau Archipelago. Table 1 gives a clear description of the demographic information.

Grammar items: As seen on the right side of the Wright map of the person map through figure 3, the grammar items were well-spread almost to allow level of students’ ability from the easiest to the hardest items. They were categorized as discriminative items. There was one item which was above the average of the item logit i.e. I34 (+2.11). The item was “Aceh tsunami was so devastating on 2004”. This was the most difficult item for the students in general. That was about nominal sentence dealing with year. They still found it difficult to use the right preposition for year in the sentence even though they have been learning English for twelve years. In addition, some other items which were above the average of the item logit like I35 (+1.87), I32 (+1.47), I21 (+1.38), I7 (+1.29), I6 (+1.21), and I38 (+.98) were considered as more difficult items in logical order. These items exposed that they still had problems dealing with nominal sentence especially on plural nouns, demonstrative pronoun, copula, and adverbs misorder.
Figure 3. Wright map of grammar tense items
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Figure 4. Wright map of person on grammar tenses

The other items above the average of the item logit with the same level and measurement were I17 (+.31), I26 (+.31), I27 (+.25), and I33 (+.25). Those items measured the same aspects and had the same characteristics. The discriminatory level did not work at those items. The logit scores as previously mentioned showed that I17 and I26 had the same score logit. The items like I27 and I33 were in the same boat. Those items were nominal sentences dealing with complement, adverb, auxiliary verb, and the interrogative of the present perfect tense for negative.

The Wright map of the person map also displayed one item which were below the average of the item logit i.e. I5 (-2.26). The item was multiple choice “___________ is a smart student in her class”. The options were: (a) She, (b) He, (c) Tony, and (d) James. It means that this type of item was the very easy item. It reveals that the students did not have problem dealing with the nominal sentence for correct singular noun. They only had problem dealing with plural nouns.

The Grammar tenses through the students’ perspective: Figure 4 describes that through the Wright map of the person map, the symbol (x) on the left side refers the grammar tenses created for this research. The other side symbolized with some letters and numbers belongs to students involved in this research. A student on the top logit (+2.89) was a very smart student among the others. S/he could answer 37 of 40 correct items. Whereas, a student below the average logit (-2.30) was the one who was necessary to have the very special treatment. Both students had different perspective on grammar tenses.
one whose average logit score was on the top regarded that the grammar tenses did not stress him or her. The other one considered that what made him or her stress badly was the grammar tenses.

| Item | MAP | Person | <rare> | <more> |
|------|-----|--------|--------|--------|
| 3    |     |        | Always High | 13RH |
|      |     |        |         | 65RH |
|      |     |        | Rarely High | The Highest Ability |
| 2    | X   |        | Te      | 598H |
|      |     |        |         | 178H |
|      |     |        | Higher Ability |
| 1    | X   |        | Sr      | 05AH |
|      | X   |        | 42AH    | 478H |
|      | X   |        | 468H    | 498H |
|      | XX  |        | 080H    | 438H |
|      | XX  |        | 160H    | 458H |
|      | XX  |        | 678H    | 678H |
|      | XXX |        | 020H    | 238H |
|      | XXX |        | 240H    | 388H |
|      | XXX |        | 510H    | 548H |
|      | XXX |        | 768H    | 318H |
|      | XXX |        | 780H    | 688H |
|      | XXX |        | 338H    |     |
|      | XXX |        | 260H    |     |
|      | XXX |        | 710H    |     |
| 0    |     |        |        | 190H |
|      |     |        |         | 358H |
|      |     |        |         | 728H |
|      |     |        | Person Logit Average | 0.00 Logit |
| -1   |     |        |        |     |
|      |     |        |        |     |
| -2   |     |        |        |     |
|      |     |        |        |     |
| -3   |     |        |        |     |

Figure 5. Wright map of person on grammar difficulties
The Tense versus reality 1: Figure 5 exposed that the highest frequency of the students’ responses through the questionnaire distribution (consisting of 30 items) about their stress caused by “facing grammar examinations” fell to “often” option. There were 33 of 78 (42.31%) students who said that facing grammar examinations often stressed them. The 26 of 78 (33.33%) students responded to the same item i.e. “rarely” option. The grammar tenses rarely made them stressed in the grammar examination. The other 16 of 78 (20.51%) students went to the “always” option. Dealing with grammar tenses always stressed them when they had the grammar examination. There were 3 of 78 (3.85%) students coming to the “never” option. The grammar tenses never stressed those three students. To see the reality of what they had responded to the questionnaire, the synchronization was done. The results gave other surprises. For option “often”, it was proven that there were 21 of 33 students who often stressed dealing with the grammar tenses. They had low performance at grammar tenses. The other 12 of 33 students were not proven that the grammar tenses often stressed them. Even though they claimed so, they got good performance at grammar tenses. For option “rarely”, there were 12 of 26 students who shared that the grammar tenses rarely made them stressed. The reality proved that differently. They even got low performance at grammar tenses. The other 14 of 26 students declared that the grammar tenses rarely made them stressed. The reality proved that true. They reached good performance at grammar tenses. For option “always”, there were 11 of 16 students who admitted that the grammar tenses always made them stressed. This fact was proven by their low performance at grammar tenses. The other 5 of 16 students came to the opposite result. They had good performance at grammar tenses. For option “never”, there was 1 of 3 students who reported that grammar difficulties never stressed him or her. The reality said it contrarily. In the examination time, s/he was at low performance at grammar tenses. The other 2 of 3 students proved that true. They showed good performance at grammar tenses in the examination time.

The Tense versus reality 2: Figure 6 revealed that the highest frequency of the students’ responses through the
## 4. Discussion

The stressors which made the students tense were the grammar difficulties and facing grammar examination. They affected the students’ performances at grammar tenses dominantly. The tense was getting serious when the students were reluctant to endeavor to study the grammar tenses well. Some of them made the grammar requirements like grammar exercises become slow motion. Actually, grammar takes part in the other language skills and language elements. In this way, their negative perspective toward grammar which is always difficult is necessary to change into positive one psychologically. The result of this research also exposed the same research
result from [13] saying that being unable to cope with the stressors in a short period of time may bring about low academic performance and boost of psychological distress. It is in line with [1] who found that students’ academic stress was resulted from their tense with overload academic matters. Students’ academic achievement was affected by their stress level [16, 7].

Those whose tense was high on the grammar difficulties indeed came to the low performance at grammar. They also stressed much dealing with getting overload grammar assignments. They even stressed themselves when spending much time to study grammar. They did not enjoy the grammar course which led them to have tension due to grammar class. Their tenses drove them not to have self-confidence to construct English sentence of some grammar tenses which made them get low scores on grammar. Thai study also revealed that in spite of being able to have access in all tenses comfortably, students’ low confidence leads them to be unsure to tense selection [37]. This finding was supported by [33] stating that the students of UiTM Kuantan Campus always have problems in understanding the concepts and rules of grammar tenses. Even though some students claimed that the grammar difficulties often troubled them in learning grammar tenses, they could survive and had good performance at grammar tenses. It was because they did not consider that getting overload grammar assignments was a burden. They showed their seriousness to study grammar for hours. They kept turning in their grammar assignments and requirements on time. They rarely missed the grammar courses. They also had tension due to the grammar class, but they stayed focused to learn it. They enjoyed studying grammar in group. They were actively engaged to construct English sentences confidently. This finding correspond to [6]. They found that when someone is able to perceive the stressors of an event as a challenge in life positively and s/he knows how to encounter it, s/he can control the stress bit by bit.

5. Conclusions

To sum up, grammar difficulties and facing grammar examination are the two stressors which make the students tense. Both of them are from academic factors. These two stressors really affect the students’ performance at grammar tenses psychologically and academically. Those who are dragged over by those stressors cannot survive and even perform the low understanding on grammar tenses. On the other hand, those whose tenses are also high because of the stressors can obtain better achievement on grammar tenses. Low and high tenses dealing with grammar tenses are a matter of the students’ perspective or their “brain game” psychologically. New teaching approaches, methods, strategies, media, and techniques are needed to help and to solve the coastal students’ problems in Asian countries in the future. An invitation to researchers who are interested in conducting design-based researches should be extend in order to offer new ways of learning grammar to decrease students’ stress level and to give a better achievement at grammar tenses.

Acknowledgements

This research was funded by the Ministry of Research, Technology, and Higher Education of the Republic of Indonesia based upon the project entitled “Development of a Teaching Technique Stepping the 5 Stairs “B” on Grammar for College Students”. Tons of thanks go to LP3M UMRAH for the support. The great gratitude is to Bambang Sumintono, Ph.D. for his knowledge of Rasch model and his quick response to answer our questions.

REFERENCES

[1] V. S. Huan, Y. L. See, R. P. Ang and C. Har, "The Impact of Adolescent Concerns on their Academic Stress," Educational Review, p. 169–178, 2008.

[2] A. L. Duckworth and P. D. Quinn, "Development and validation of the short grit scale (GRiT eS)," Journal of Personality Assessment, pp. 166-174, 2019.

[3] D. S. Kaplan, R. X. Liu and H. B. Kaplan, "School Related Stress in Early Adolescence and Academic Performance Three Years Later: The Conditional Influence of Self-Expectations," Social Psychology of Education, p. 3–17, 2005.

[4] R. Ang, V. Huan and O. & Braman, "Factorial structure and invariance of the academic expectations stress inventory across Hispanic and Chinese adolescent samples," Child Psychiatry and Human Development, pp. 73-87, 2007.

[5] Y. Liu and Z. Lu, "The Chinese High School Student's Stress in the School and Academic Achievement," Educational Psychology: An International Journal of Experimental Educational Psychology, p. 27–35, 2011.

[6] H. Elias, W. S. Ping and M. C. Abdullah, "Stress and Academic Achievement among Undergraduate Students in Universiti Putra Malaysia," Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, p. 646–655, 2011.

[7] K. Sandra, B. Dawans, M. Heinrichs and R. Fuchs, "Does the Level of Physical Exercise Affect Physiological and Psychological Responses to Psychosocial Stress in Women," Psych Sport Exerc, pp. 266-274, 2013.

[8] L. Banovcinova and M. and Baskova, "Sources of work-related stress and their effect on burnout in midwifery," Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, p. 248–254, 2014.

[9] S. Folkman, "Stress: Coping and Anxiety. In M. Gellman, & J. R. Turner (Eds.), Encyclopedia of Behavioral Medicine, M.D.," Springer Science+Business Media, pp. 1913-1917, 2013.
[10] D. E. Pedersen and V. Jodin, "Stressors Associated with the School Spillover of College Undergraduates," The Social Science Journal, pp. 40-48, 2016.

[11] E. A. Larson, "Stress in the Lives of College Women: “Lots to Do and not Much Time”," Journal of Adolescent Research, pp. 579-606, 2006.

[12] G. Krammer, M. Sommer and M. Arendasy, "Realistic Job Expectations Predict Academic Achievement," Learning and Individual Differences, pp. 341-348, 2016.

[13] A. L. Dwyer and A. L. Cummings, "Stress, Self-Efficacy, Social Support, and Coping Strategies in University Students," Canadian Journal of Counselling, pp. 208-220, 2001.

[14] M. Ulrichová, "The Connection between Personality Traits and Resistance to Stress," Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, p. 1246–1254, 2014.

[15] A. J. Elliot, M. M. Shell, K. B. Henry and M. A. Maer, "Achievement Goals, Performance Contingencies, and Performance Attainment: An Experimental Test," Journal of Educational Psychology, pp. 630-640, 2005.

[16] Y. B. Choi, T. A. Abbott, M. A. Arthur and D. Hill, "Towards a Future Wireless Classroom Paradigm," International Journal of Innovation and Learning, pp. 14-25, 2007.

[17] M. S. B. Yusoff, A. F. A. Rahim and M. J. Yaacob, "Prevalence and Sources of Stress among Universiti Sains Malaysia Medical Students," Malaysia Journal of Medical Science, 2010.

[18] A. Milde-Busch, A. Blaschek, F. Heinen, I. Borggräfe, K. Inga, A. Straube, C. Schankin and R. d. v. Schankin, "Associations between Stress and Migraine and Tension-Type Headache: Results from a School-Based Study in Adolescents from Grammar Schools in Germany," Cephalalgia, pp. 774–785, 2011.

[19] D. A. Myhill, S. M. Jones, H. Lines and W. Annabel, "Re-thinking Grammar: The Impact of Embedded Grammar Teaching on Students' Writing and Students' Metalinguistic Understanding," Research Papers in Education, p. 139–166, 2012.

[20] T. Basoz, "Through the Eyes of Prospective Teachers of English: Explicit or Implicit Grammar Instruction?" Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, p. 377–382, 2014.

[21] M. Polczyńska, S. Curtisse, P. Walshawa, P. Siddartha, C. Benjaminia, B. D. Moseley, C. Vigila, M. Jonesa, D. Eliasheve and S. Bookheimer, "Grammar Tests Increase the Ability to Lateralize Language Function in the Wada Test," Epilepsy Research, pp. 1864-1873, 2014.

[22] C. Gascoigne, "Toward an Understanding of the Relationship between L2 Reading Comprehension and Grammar Competence," The Reading Matrix, pp. 1-14, 2005.

[23] M. Nishimuro and S. Borg, "Teacher Cognition and Grammar Teaching in a Japanese High School," JALT Journal, pp. 29-50, 2013.

[24] J. Davis, "Rule, Pattern, and Meaning in the Second-Language Teaching of Grammar," Acta Linguistica Hafniensia, p. 53–75, 2015.

[25] H. Marsden and R. Slabakova, "Grammatical Meaning and the Second Language Classroom: Introduction," Language Teaching Research, pp. 1-11, 2018.

[26] J. Lee, D. L. Schallert and E. Kim, "Effects of Extensive Reading and Translation Activities on Grammar Knowledge and Attitudes for EFL Adolescents," System, pp. 38-50, 2015.

[27] E. Petraki and D. Hill, "Effective Grammar Teaching: Lessons from Confident Grammar Teachers," TESOL in Context, pp. 34-51, 2011.

[28] J. C. Richards and R. Reppen, "Towards a Pedagogy of Grammar Instruction," RELC Journal, p. 5–25, 2014.

[29] M. Tammenga-Helmantel and M. Majala, "The Position of Grammar in Finnish, Dutch, and Global Course Books for German as a Foreign," Language Language Teaching Research, pp. 1-22, 2018.

[30] Y. Özdana and A. Kesenb, "The Third Way in Grammar Instruction," Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences, p. 1931–1935, 2009.

[31] D. Lardiere, "What is a Rule of Grammar?" Second Language Research, p. 41–46, 2014.

[32] S. Phocharoensil, "Language Corpora for EFL Teachers: An Exploration of English Grammar through Concordance Lines," Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, p. 507–514, 2012.

[33] H. Harun, N. Abdullah, N. A. Wahab and N. Zainuddin, "Concept Based Instruction: Enhancing Grammar Competence in L2 Learners," RELC Journal, pp. 1-17, 2017.

[34] D. Su, "Grammar Emerges through Reuse and Modification of Prior Utterances," Discourse Studies, p. 1–24, 2016.

[35] E. T. Vold, "Meaningful and Contextualised Grammar Instruction: What can Foreign Language Textbooks Offer?" The Language Learning Journal, pp. 1-15, 2017.

[36] T. N. S. T. D. Paris and R. L. Yusoff, "Use of 'Time Trap Board Game' to Teach Grammar," Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, p. 398 – 409, 2013.

[37] N. Sukasame, S. Kantho and P. Narrot, "A Study of Errors in Learning English Grammatical Structures on Tenses of MatthayomSuksa 4 Students of the Demonstration School, KhonKaen University," Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, p. 1934–1939, 2014.

[38] C. G. Garrido and C. R. Romero, "Errors in the use of English tenses," Ikala, pp. 285-296, 2012.

[39] J. W. Creswell, Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Method Approaches (4th Edition), California: SAGE Publications, Inc., 2014.

[40] E. Fauziati, "Native and Target Language Influence on the Students' Interlanguage Production: A Case of Indonesian EFL Compositions," Indonesian Journal of Applied Linguistics, pp. 54-63, 2017.

[41] B. Sumintono and W. Widhiarso, Aplikasi Model Rasch untuk Penelitian Ilmu-ilmu Sosial, Jakarta: Tim
Komunikata Publishing House, 2013.

[42] S. W. Chan, Z. Ismail and B. Sumintono, "A Rasch Model Analysis on Secondary Students’ Statistical Reasoning Ability in Descriptive Statistics," Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences 129, p. 133–139, 2014.

[43] A. A. Mutalib, H. A. Ghafar, S. Baharom and N. Hamzah, "Rasch Model Analysis of Implementation Effectiveness for Final Year Research Project Course in Civil & Structural Engineering Department, UKM," Journal of Engineering Science and Technology: Special Issue on UKM Teaching and Learning Congress 2013, p. 11–22, 2015.

[44] S. R. Ariffin, B. Omar, A. Isa and S. Sharif, "Validity and Reliability Multiple Intelligent Item Using Rasch Measurement Model," Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences 9, p. 729–733, 2010.

[45] D. Cecilio-Fernandes, H. Medema, C. F. Collares, L. Schuwirth, J. Cohen-Schotanus and R. A. Tio, "Comparison of Formula and Number-Right Scoring in Undergraduate Medical Training: A Rasch Model Analysis," BMC Medical Education 17, p. 1–9, 2013.

[46] S. Agust and G. M. A. Subroto, "Self-Actualization Measurement on Non-English Coastal Students’ Achievement: Rasch Model Analysis," International Journal of Pedagogy and Teacher Education (IJPTE), p. 389–400, 2018.

[47] M. E. E. M. Matore, S. M. Maat, H. M. M. S. Affandi and A. Z. Khairani, "Assessment of Psychometric Properties for Raven Advanced Progressive Matrices in Measuring Intellectual Quotient (IQ) Using Rasch Model," Asian Journal of Scientific Research, pp. 393-400, 2018.

[48] L. Amaral and T. Roeper, "Multiple Grammars and Second Language Representation," Second Language Research, pp. 3-36, 2014.

[49] J. K. Quagie, E. K. Klu and L. M. P. Mulaudzi, "Teaching English in Ghanaian Schools: Where is the Grammar?" International Journal of Educational Sciences, pp. 263-270, 2013.