Perceptions about preventing hepatocellular carcinoma among patients with chronic hepatitis in Taiwan
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AIM: To measure patient perceptions about preventing hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) and to predict the factors that influence patient willingness to receive therapy.

METHODS: A cross-sectional descriptive study was conducted at an outpatient clinic of a medical institution in southern Taiwan. Four hundred patients with chronic hepatitis B/C were recruited as participants. Two structured questionnaires based on the health belief model were utilized in this study, including the scales of perceptions about preventing HCC and knowledge of hepatitis B/C.

RESULTS: The statistical results demonstrated that the participants' perceived susceptibility ($r = -0.22, P < 0.001$), benefits ($r = -0.11, P = 0.028$) and cues to action ($r = -0.12, P = 0.014$) about the prevention of HCC was significantly correlated with their age. The participants' perceptions were also associated with their educational levels, household incomes and knowledge of hepatitis. Older patients and those with a lower socioeconomic status tended to have negative perceptions and less knowledge of hepatitis. Multivariate logistic regression further indicated that the participants' age ($B = -0.044$, SE = 0.017, odds ratio = 0.957, $P = 0.008$, 95%CI: 0.926-0.989) and perceived barriers ($B = -0.111$, SE = 0.030, odds ratio = 0.895, $P < 0.001$, 95%CI: 0.845-0.949) were correlated with their willingness to receive antiviral therapy.

CONCLUSION: Healthcare professionals should provide appropriate and effective guidance to increase their patients' awareness and to decrease the perceived barriers for continuing surveillance and antiviral therapy.
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Core tip: Chronic hepatitis B/C carriers may benefit from regular surveillance for allowing an early diagnose of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). In addition, raising awareness of and health perceptions about HCC, and increasing willingness to receive antiviral therapy for preventing the development of HCC are crucial in patients with chronic hepatitis B/C, particularly in rural areas.
INTRODUCTION

Chronic liver disease is the eighth most common cause of death, and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the second leading cause of cancer-related death in Taiwan[1]. More than 80% of primary HCC is attributed to chronic infection with hepatitis B/C viruses[2,3]. To prevent further development of HCC, patients infected with hepatitis B/C should maintain a healthy lifestyle, reduce alcohol consumption, undergo regular liver function tests and abdominal sonography, and receive antiviral therapy, if necessary[4,5]. Chronic infection with hepatitis B/C is the most important cause of HCC. The early development of HCC is asymptomatic, and patients with chronic hepatitis are usually unaware of their carrier status, the symptoms and signs of HCC, and the importance of regular surveillance and treatment. During the early stage of HCC, when tumors are less than 2 cm in diameter, even expert radiologists have difficulty differentiating a cirrhotic nodule from a malignant tumor[6]. These tumors are highly heterogeneous, and HCC is nearly always fatal once the tumors cannot be eradicated by surgical or ablative approaches[7]. In addition, patients with HCC detected at the early asymptomatic stage have better survival rates than those who are diagnosed when symptomatic[8]. Therefore, increasing awareness of and health perceptions about HCC are crucial in patients with chronic hepatitis B/C, particularly in rural areas.

Perceptions about preventing HCC

Henchox et al[9] defined perceived health as “an individual's evaluation of his or her own health” which can determine personal health values and influence health behaviors[10]. Furthermore, Kartal et al[11] stated that “self-perceived health is a subjective measure that can be calculated at an individual level. It gives an indication of how an individual feels about the condition of his/her own health.” To examine patient perceptions about preventing HCC, a health belief model was utilized as a theoretical framework in the present study. The health belief model was formulated using five concepts to explain attitudes and behaviors of individuals, including perceived susceptibility, perceived severity, perceived benefits of action, perceived barriers to action, and cues to action[12]. The model was developed to predict compliance with preventive health recommendations[6,12] and to specify the individuals’ values and beliefs about health and their influence on choices, especially for explaining screening behaviors[2,3].

Perceived susceptibility refers to a person’s experience with a potentially harmful condition[13]. This concept measures whether patients with asymptomatic hepatitis perceive themselves as being at high risk and believe that they could acquire HCC. Perceived severity indicates individual concerns about the seriousness and consequences to the quality of life upon development of cancer, including the physical, mental, and social perspectives[11]. This concept can measure the impact of chronic hepatitis on a person’s life and his or her belief that people infected could possibly die from liver cancer.

Perceived benefits of action refer to whether one believes the potential effectiveness of recommended health actions in preventing or reducing the risk or seriousness of a disease[11]. Perceived benefits of action can assess one’s beliefs that regular screening and antiviral therapy reduce the risks of progressing to liver cirrhosis and cancer.

Perceived barriers to action are the negative aspects of anticipated health behaviors that patients adopt for prevention or early detection of hepatic cancer, such as the inconvenience of seeing a doctor, the waiting time and pain involved in giving blood samples, and the side effects from taking medications. Patients may believe that regular screening of liver function and abdominal sonography are not necessary if they do not experience any symptoms of discomfort. Moreover, if patients receive antiviral therapy, they may develop physical and psychological side effects, such as fever, headache, fatigue, nausea, vomiting, anxiety and even depression. These adverse events often make patients feel uncomfortable, resulting in early withdrawal from therapy.

Cues to action measure the perceived social and environmental influences that stimulate an individual’s desire to take health-related action[11]. The concept can assess whether individuals with hepatitis have any family members, relatives or friends who are infected with hepatitis or whether the patients have ever followed the advice of healthcare professionals about regular check-ups of liver function, abdominal sonography, and antiviral therapy. Therefore, the five concepts of the health belief model were applied in the present study to explore health perceptions about preventing HCC among patients with chronic hepatitis B/C.

Knowledge of hepatitis

Most people are not aware of the routes of viral transmission of hepatitis B/C and that these viruses spread more easily than the human immunodeficiency virus[14]. Ma et al[15] found that populations with minimal education and low socioeconomic status are vulnerable to hepatitis infection. If high-risk groups with chronic hepatitis are not aware of the importance of regular surveillance and antiviral therapy, their condition could gradually progress to liver cirrhosis and hepatic cancer. Wai et al[16] identified that those hepatitis B carriers with high knowledge were significantly younger, and more likely to have received college education in Singapore. Treloar et al[17] conducted a cross-sectional survey and indicated that knowledge is a precursor to decisions about treatment of hepatitis C, particularly for those patients who are less engaged with hepatitis C treatment and those with lower literacy.

The prevention of HCC in high-risk populations depends on regular serum screening, abdominal sonography and antiviral therapy. Regular screening of liver function, including assays to measure serum aspartate aminotransferase and alanine aminotransferase levels, α-fetoprotein assays and abdominal sonography are strongly recom-
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participants
Four hundred patients with chronic hepatitis B/C from the outpatient clinic in a medical institution were recruited as participants. The teaching hospital is located in a rural area in southern Taiwan. The markers for hepatitis B are positive hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) and positive antibody for hepatitis C (anti-HCV)[18]. The inclusion criteria for participants were (1) HBsAg (+) and anti-HBs (-), and/or (2) anti-HCV (+) in serum tests for more than 6 mo. The patients who were younger than 18 years and those who did not meet the inclusion criteria were excluded from this study. The sampling schema is shown in Figure 1.

Instruments
This study had a cross-sectional, descriptive design. Two structured questionnaires developed by Chao et al.[19] and based on a health belief model were utilized in this investigation, namely, the scales of perceptions about preventing HCC and knowledge of hepatitis B/C. After obtaining permission from the original developers, a pilot test was conducted with 40 target adults (who did not participate in the main study) to examine whether there were any ambiguous statements in the questionnaires and to establish the reliability and validity of the instruments.

The scale of perceptions about preventing HCC consisted of five dimensions and 34 items, including the dimensions of perceived susceptibility (3 items), perceived severity (5 items), perceived benefits (3 items), perceived barriers (15 items), and cues to action (8 items). Each item was designed as a 5-point Likert scale, ranging from 1 “strongly disagree” to 5 “strongly agree.” Higher scores indicated greater degrees of perceived susceptibility, severity, benefits, barriers and cues to action. Cronbach’s alpha values were as follows: whole scale, 0.8; perceived susceptibility, 0.71; perceived severity, 0.78; perceived benefits, 0.68; perceived barriers, 0.84; and cues to action, 0.77[19]. The internal consistency reliability with Cronbach’s alpha was 0.72 in this study. The values of Cronbach’s alpha for each dimension of perception indicated the reliability of the questionnaire utilized in this study.

The scale of knowledge of hepatitis B/C had 15 items, including liver function (2 items), blood tests for hepatitis (1 item), symptoms of hepatitis (2 items), definition of hepatitis (1 item), modes of viral transmission (4 items), screening to prevent liver cancer (2 items) and infectious status of hepatitis (3 items). Participants responded to these items using the following options: 0 = “do not know” or “false” and 1 = “true.” The total scores of 15 items represented a dimension of the participants’ knowledge. A higher score indicated a better understanding of hepatitis. The internal consistency reliability with the Kuder-Richardson coefficient was previously determined to be 0.71[19], whereas in the present study, the Kuder-Richardson coefficient was 0.62.

Procedure
Approval to conduct this study was obtained from the institutional review board. This study was conducted between January and December of 2010. When patients visited the outpatient hepatic clinic and met the inclusion criteria, the researchers used convenient sampling to choose the participants. Subsequently, the participants were placed in another room to complete the questionnaires without interruption. All of the questionnaires were anonymous and separated from the consent forms. Prior to administering the questionnaires, the researchers informed the patients of the purpose of this study and explained that the responses would not influence their treatment.

Statistical analysis
The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS, Chicago, IL, United States) version 17.0 was used to analyze the data. The data analysis for this study included descriptive statistics, independent-samples t-tests, the chi-square test, a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), Pearson’s correlation, and logistic regression.

RESULTS

Participants’ demographics
Four hundred questionnaires were distributed and completed by 174 females (43.5%) and 226 males (56.5%). The average age of the respondents was 54 years (range: 18-89 years). The participants’ demographic information is summarized in Table 1.

Participants’ hepatitis-related information
In this survey, 236 (59%) participants had hepatitis B, and 137 (34.3%) had hepatitis C. Twenty-four (6.0%) participants reported having both hepatitis B and C, and three (0.5%) did not know what types of hepatitis they had. In terms of vaccination, 298 patients (74.5%) responded
that they had never been vaccinated for hepatitis B. Thirty-eight patients (9.5%) had received the vaccine, and 64 (16.0%) did not know if they had been vaccinated.

Most of the patients \((n = 292, 73.0\%)\) reported that the virus had been found during a regular physical examination, 45 (11.3%) through the screening process for blood donation, 21 (5.3%) upon health screening in the community and 42 (10.5%) in other ways. Moreover, 332 patients (83.0%) were willing to receive antiviral therapy, 35 patients (8.8%) did not want to receive the therapy, and 33 patients (8.3%) were unaware that this antiviral therapy was available or whether they needed to receive this therapy.

Regarding the sources of hepatitis-related health information, 282 participants stated that they obtained this information from healthcare professionals, 189 from their relatives and friends, 220 from television, 173 from newspapers and magazines, and 70 from educational pamphlets or brochures. In addition, over one-half of the participants underwent regular blood screening every 3 mo \((n = 247, 61.8\%)\) and abdominal sonography every 6 mo \((n = 183, 45.8\%)\) according to the statistical results.

### Health perceptions and knowledge of hepatitis

The mean scores for each dimension of the health perceptions were as follows: perceived susceptibility, 10.26 ± 2.18; perceived severity, 20.43 ± 3.53; perceived benefits, 12.07 ± 1.63; perceived barriers, 37.60 ± 7.06; and cues to action, 27.01 ± 4.73. The mean score for knowledge of hepatitis B/C in this study was 7.36 ± 1.87.

### Relationships among the patients’ demographics, health perceptions, knowledge, screening, and willingness to receive antiviral therapy

Pearson’s correlation revealed significantly negative correlations between the participants’ age and their health perceptions, except for perceived severity and barriers. Likewise, the participants’ age was significantly and negatively correlated with their level of knowledge \((γ = -0.20, P < 0.001)\). The statistical results also suggested that the participants’ perceptions had a significantly positive correlation with their knowledge of hepatitis. In addition, the patients’ gender was not significantly correlated with their perceptions (except for perceived barriers) and knowledge, as presented in Table 2.

Moreover, a one-way ANOVA indicated that there were significantly positive correlations between the participants’ educational levels and their perceptions (except for perceived severity) and knowledge of hepatitis. Significant relationships were found between the patients’ frequency of blood screening for HCC prevention and the perceived severity \((F = 3.67, P = 0.001)\) as well as between the frequency of abdominal sonography and perceived barriers \((F = 3.66, P = 0.001)\).

Furthermore, the frequency of abdominal sonography was significantly correlated with the patients’ knowledge \((F = 2.74, P = 0.009)\) and with being vaccinated \((F = 13.88, P < 0.001)\). A significant correlation existed between the participants’ willingness to receive antiviral therapy and perceived barriers \((F = 3.55, P < 0.001)\). The researchers further divided the participants into three groups by age (18-39 years, 40-64 years, and 65-89 years old). A significantly positive correlation was found between the participants’ age and their willingness to receive antiviral therapy \((γ^2 = 13.97, P = 0.007)\), but there was no correlation between the participants’ gender and their willingness to receive therapy \((γ^2 = 2.35, P = 0.309)\).

### Predictors for willingness to receive antiviral therapy

The participants’ age, five dimensions of health perceptions and knowledge of hepatitis were analyzed as independent variables in the multivariate logistic regression. The results indicated that the participants’ age \((B = -0.044, SE = 0.017, \text{ odds ratio} = 0.957, P = 0.008, 95\% CI: 0.926-0.989)\) and perceived barriers \((B = -0.111, SE = 0.030, \text{ odds ratio} = 0.895, P < 0.001, 95\% CI: 0.845-0.949)\) were significantly associated with their willingness to receive antiviral therapy, as presented in Table 3. Adjusting for other effects in the logistic regression model, for every one-year increase in age, the participants were 0.957 times less likely to have received antiviral therapy.

### DISCUSSION

Most of the participants in this study had elementary and high school educations, and their household in-

---

| Table 1 Participants’ demographics \(n = 400\) \(n (\%)\) |
|---------------------------------|----------------|
| **Participants’ demographics**  | **Value**      |
| Gender                         |                |
| Female                         | 174 (43.5)     |
| Male                           | 226 (56.5)     |
| Marital status                 |                |
| Married                        | 353 (88.3)     |
| Single                         | 27 (6.8)       |
| Widowed                        | 10 (2.5)       |
| Divorced/separated             | 9 (2.3)        |
| Educational level              |                |
| Illiterate                     | 41 (10.3)      |
| Elementary school              | 109 (27.3)     |
| Junior high school             | 73 (18.3)      |
| High school                    | 104 (26)       |
| College/university             | 62 (15.5)      |
| Graduate school                | 11 (2.8)       |
| Occupation                     |                |
| Laborers                       | 82 (20.5)      |
| Businessmen                    | 61 (15.3)      |
| Farmers                        | 36 (9.0)       |
| Fishermen                      | 9 (2.3)        |
| Military personnel             | 2 (0.5)        |
| Government employees           | 19 (4.8)       |
| Homemakers                     | 78 (19.5)      |
| Others                         | 113 (28.3)     |
| Household income (NT dollars/mo)|                |
| Below 10000                    | 101 (25.3)     |
| 10000-20000                    | 63 (15.8)      |
| 21000-30000                    | 78 (19.5)      |
| 31000-40000                    | 47 (11.8)      |
| 41000-50000                    | 35 (8.8)       |
| Above 50000                    | 76 (19.0)      |
Table 2 Relationships between the participants' demographics, health perceptions, and knowledge of hepatitis (n = 400)

| Variables       | Perceived susceptibility | Perceived severity | Perceived benefits | Perceived barriers | Cues to action | Knowledge |
|-----------------|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------------|-----------|
| Age             | -0.22 (< 0.001)          | -0.07 (0.162)      | -0.11 (0.028)      | 0.08 (0.121)      | -0.12 (0.014) | -0.20 (< 0.001) |
| Gender          | 1.53 (0.135)             | 0.92 (0.562)       | 0.23 (0.819)       | 2.14 (0.039)      | -0.04 (0.967) | -1.14 (0.254)  |
| Marital status  | 0.64 (0.589)             | 1.91 (0.127)       | 0.40 (0.753)       | 0.19 (0.905)      | 0.08 (0.973)  | 1.13 (0.336)   |
| Education level | 2.96 (0.008)             | 1.30 (0.257)       | 3.95 (0.001)       | 6.31 (<0.001)     | 2.27 (0.036)  | 9.17 (< 0.001) |
| Occupation      | 2.52 (0.015)             | 0.92 (0.489)       | 0.92 (0.940)       | 2.55 (0.014)      | 0.59 (0.767)  | 2.61 (0.012)   |
| Monthly income  | 2.27 (0.047)             | 0.63 (0.679)       | 3.91 (0.002)       | 3.91 (0.002)      | 2.87 (0.015)  | 6.59 (< 0.001) |
| Willingness to receive therapy | -0.75 (0.453) | -1.15 (0.252) | -0.42 (0.675) | 3.55 (<0.001) | -1.67 (0.096) | -0.48 (0.633) |
| Had received a vaccine | 2.96 (0.052) | 0.07 (0.937) | 2.04 (0.131) | 1.94 (0.145) | 3.14 (0.044) | 1.38 (< 0.001) |
| Frequency of blood screening | 1.28 (0.265) | 3.67 (0.001) | 0.43 (0.859) | 1.87 (0.084) | 1.66 (0.129) | 1.44 (0.198) |
| Frequency of Abd. sonography | 0.51 (0.830) | 1.44 (0.188) | 0.55 (0.798) | 3.66 (0.001) | 1.48 (0.173) | 2.74 (0.009) |

Table 3 Predictors of receiving antiviral therapy: Logistic regression (n = 364)

| Variables       | B      | SE     | OR     | P      | 95%CI   |
|-----------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Age             | -0.044 | 0.017  | 0.957  | 0.008  | 0.926-0.989 |
| Perceptions     |        |        |        |        |        |
| Susceptibility  | 0.011  | 0.096  | 1.011  | 0.912  | 0.857-1.220 |
| Severity        | 0.061  | 0.053  | 1.063  | 0.255  | 0.957-1.190 |
| Benefits        | -0.156 | 0.130  | 0.856  | 0.222  | 0.663-1.105 |
| Barriers        | -0.111 | 0.030  | 0.895  | < 0.001| 0.845-0.949 |
| Cues to action  | 0.059  | 0.042  | 1.060  | 0.160  | 0.977-1.150 |
| Knowledge       | -0.102 | 0.117  | 0.903  | 0.383  | 0.719-1.135 |

Data are presented as ‘r’ of Pearson’s correlation/ ‘t’ of independent t-test/ ‘F’ of one-way analysis of variance (P value).

Thus, it is necessary to focus on the low socioeconomic population and to increase their perceptions and knowledge to reduce health disparities about liver cancer in the community.

Moreover, the vast majority of the participants usually obtained hepatitis-related health information and knowledge from healthcare professionals, public media (such as television, newspapers and magazines), and educational brochures and pamphlets. Cacoub et al.[26] indicated that the therapeutic education for those hepatitis patients can improve the probability of compliance with antiviral therapy. Hence, to raise perceptions and knowledge related to HCC, appropriate and effective instruction based on the individual’s age and educational level is crucial, especially in rural areas.

Furthermore, the predictors for patient willingness to receive antiviral therapy were age and the perceived barriers. The participants’ age was negatively associated with their health perceptions and knowledge of hepatitis. This finding indicates that elderly patients tend to have lower perceived susceptibilities, benefits, and cues to action for prevention of liver cancer; less knowledge about hepatitis; and less willingness to receive antiviral therapy. Similarly, Henchoz et al.[7] found that the paradox between health status and health perception diverges with advancing age.

Conversely, the participants’ perceived barriers had a significantly negative relationship to their willingness to receive antiviral therapy. High barriers to screening and adverse consequences from antiviral therapy such as pain, high costs, and time expenditure may discourage patients from regular screenings and cause them to withdraw early from antiviral therapy. This finding is also consistent with previous studies.[2,19]. Thus, identifying the patients’ inherent barriers is the first crucial step for increasing participation in preventive behaviors.[2]

There were two limitations in the present study. Because the participants were restricted to an outpatient clinic of a rural medical institution, generalization beyond this population may be limited. Further research should be conducted in multiple diverse areas. In addition, this study had a cross-sectional descriptive design, and its analytic results do not indicate causality.

Table 2 Relationships between the participants’ demographics, health perceptions, and knowledge of hepatitis (n = 400)
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| Perceptions     |        |        |        |        |        |
| Susceptibility  | 0.011  | 0.096  | 1.011  | 0.912  | 0.857-1.220 |
| Severity        | 0.061  | 0.053  | 1.063  | 0.255  | 0.957-1.190 |
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Thus, it is necessary to focus on the low socioeconomic population and to increase their perceptions and knowledge to reduce health disparities about liver cancer in the community.

Moreover, the vast majority of the participants usually obtained hepatitis-related health information and knowledge from healthcare professionals, public media (such as television, newspapers and magazines), and educational brochures and pamphlets. Cacoub et al.[26] indicated that the therapeutic education for those hepatitis patients can improve the probability of compliance with antiviral therapy. Hence, to raise perceptions and knowledge related to HCC, appropriate and effective instruction based on the individual’s age and educational level is crucial, especially in rural areas.

Furthermore, the predictors for patient willingness to receive antiviral therapy were age and the perceived barriers. The participants’ age was negatively associated with their health perceptions and knowledge of hepatitis. This finding indicates that elderly patients tend to have lower perceived susceptibilities, benefits, and cues to action for prevention of liver cancer; less knowledge about hepatitis; and less willingness to receive antiviral therapy. Similarly, Henchoz et al.[7] found that the paradox between health status and health perception diverges with advancing age.

Conversely, the participants’ perceived barriers had a significantly negative relationship to their willingness to receive antiviral therapy. High barriers to screening and adverse consequences from antiviral therapy such as pain, high costs, and time expenditure may discourage patients from regular screenings and cause them to withdraw early from antiviral therapy. This finding is also consistent with previous studies.[2,19]. Thus, identifying the patients’ inherent barriers is the first crucial step for increasing participation in preventive behaviors.[2]

There were two limitations in the present study. Because the participants were restricted to an outpatient clinic of a rural medical institution, generalization beyond this population may be limited. Further research should be conducted in multiple diverse areas. In addition, this study had a cross-sectional descriptive design, and its analytic results do not indicate causality.
In conclusion, patient health perceptions about preventing HCC are related to their age, education levels, household income, and knowledge of hepatitis. Members of the high-risk population of hepatitis B/C carriers should be aware of the serious consequences of chronic active infection and should receive regular surveillance and treatment. To successfully complete a series of medical regimens, detailed explanation and communication regarding possible adverse effects are essential before starting antiviral therapy, especially in elderly individuals. In terms of the implications for clinical practice, healthcare professionals should reduce patient discomfort and inconvenience as much as possible and should strive to remove barriers to screening and treatment. Moreover, clinicians may utilize educational programs as an intervention to increase patient perception, knowledge and willingness to receive antiviral therapy.
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