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The membranes that encompass the brain and spinal cord become inflamed by the potentially fatal infectious disease called pneumococcal meningitis. Pneumonia and meningitis “coinfection” refers to the presence of both conditions in a single host. In this work, we accounted for the dynamics of pneumonia and meningitis coinfection in communities by erroneously using a compartment model to analyze and suggest management techniques to stakeholders. We have used the next generation matrix approach and derived the effective reproduction numbers. When the reproduction number is less than one, the constructed model yields a locally asymptotically stable disease-free equilibrium point. Additionally, we conducted a sensitivity analysis to determine how different factors affected the incidence and transmission rate, which revealed that both the pneumonia and meningitis transmission rates are extremely sensitive. The performance of our numerical simulation demonstrates that the endemic equilibrium point of the pneumonia and meningitis coinfection model is locally asymptotically stable when \( \max \{ R_1, R_2 \} > 1 \). Finally, as preventative and control measures for the coinfection of pneumonia and meningitis illness, the stakeholders must concentrate on reducing the transmission rates, reducing vaccination wane rates, and boosting the portion of vaccination rates for both pneumonia and meningitis.

1. Introduction

The word “epidemiology” is derived from the Greek term “demos,” which means “people,” and “logos,” which means “the study of.” In other words, the term “epidemiology” has its roots in the study of the experiences of a population. Despite the fact that many definitions have been provided, the one that best encapsulates the fundamental ideas and public health perspective of epidemiology is: “Epidemiology is the study of the prevalence and causes of health-related conditions or incidents in particular populations, as well as the application of this information to the prevention or treatment of health issues” [1, 2]. In epidemiology, the frequency and distribution of health events in a population are studied.

By the term “frequency,” we not only mean the number of health events, such as the number of cases of meningitis or diabetes in a population, but also the correlation between that number and the size of the population [2, 3].

The underlying premise of epidemiology is that disease does not develop in a community at random but rather develops only when an individual has the proper confluence of risk factors or determinants. Individuals are the “patients” of clinicians, whereas communities are the “patients” of epidemiologists. In light of this, while dealing with a patient who is unwell, the clinician and the epidemiologist have
separate duties. When a patient with diarrheal illness first shows up, for instance, both parties are concerned with making the right diagnosis [3–5].

A potentially fatal infection called pneumococcal meningitis inflames the membranes that cover the brain and spinal cord. The meninges, which are these layers, serve to shield the brain from damage and infection [5]. Millions of people have died as a result of pneumonia, an airborne disease that is caused by breathing harmful organisms, primarily Streptococcus pneumonia. Other illnesses, including meningitis, ear infections, and sinus infections, are also brought on by these bacteria [5–7]. Moreover, these illnesses can afflict people of all ages, from infants to the elderly. Pneumonia is particularly hazardous when the immune system is weakened, as in infants or the elderly, or when it is concomitant with another illness like meningitis [7, 8]. Pneumonia is a common coinfection that occurs at the time of admission in cases of bacterial meningitis and is independently linked to a poor prognosis and death [9].

The most frequent pneumococcal infection in children are caused by 13 different varieties of pneumococcal bacteria. There are vaccines named PCV13, which can protect against these types, and PPV23, which can protect against 23 other types. For the sake of this investigation, we have studied prior work by other researchers who used mathematical modeling to explain the transmission and spread of coinfections with pneumonia and meningitis, such as [7, 10–13]. The majority of these investigations were carried out to identify community-level infectious disease control methods. To our knowledge, no one has created and examined the three kinds of vaccine independently in a mathematical model of meningitis and pneumonia coinfection in a specific community. As a result, this recently proposed study considers the dynamics of meningitis and pneumonia in communities, utilizing a deterministic compartmental model to analyze and recommend appropriate management techniques to actors. Therefore, we are driven and intrigued to investigate the three vaccine kinds for meningitis and pneumonia coinfection in this work by developing a mathematical model of meningitis and pneumonia coinfection combined with regulating techniques. We have laid up the basic framework for this investigation as follows: in Section 2, we outline and develop the compartmental mathematical model of coinfection with meningitis and pneumonia. The model analysis includes the equilibrium points, fundamental reproduction numbers, and stability analysis of the submodels and the main model also presented in Section 2. Numerical simulations and sensitivity analysis are presented in Section 3. The study’s discussion and conclusion were then finalized.

2. Mathematical Model Formulations and Its Qualitative Analysis

In this section we have proposed a mathematical model which depend upon the assumption and present the qualitative properties of the constructed model.

2.1. Baseline Model Formulation and Assumptions. We have developed the new model by expanding the model which was developed previously by another researcher based on the following hypotheses. Under this study, we consider homogenous population and that the factors such as sex, social status, and race do not affect the probability of being infected. The model subdivides the human total population $N(t)$ into nine mutually-exclusive compartments, namely, susceptible population $S(t)$, pneumoniainfected only person $P_I(t)$, meningitis-infect only infected $M_I(t)$, meningitis and pneumonia coinfectious $M_P(t)$, treated class $T(t)$, meningitis vaccinated $M_V(t)$, pneumonia vaccinated $P_V(t)$, class of people who take both vaccines of pneumonia and meningitis (PCV13 Pneumococcal conjugate vaccine) group $MP_V(t)$, and recovered class $R(t)$. The recovery from natural immunity and the effects of vertical transmission to pneumonia and meningitis were assumed to be insignificant in this study. Epidemiologically, individuals in the removed/recovered compartment $R(t)$ do not attain permanent immunity so that we are assigned such case by the parameter $Y$.

In this study the mass action-incidence rate of new infections are used, and the modification parameters $\omega_1$ and $\omega_2$ are the factors that describe the fact of how infectiousness level of pneumonia increases the susceptibility level to meningitis disease and vice versa, respectively. The meningitis disease is assumed to be transmitted after effective contact between the susceptible and meningitis infectious classes with effective contact rate $a$, where $a$ is a composite parameter that measures the meningitis contact rate $\kappa$ and the probability of transmission upon contact $q$. Additionally, pneumonia disease is assumed to be transmitted after effective contact between the susceptible and pneumonia infectious classes with effective contact rate $b$, where $b$ is a composite parameter which measure the pneumonia infectious contact rate $\epsilon$ and the probability of transmission upon contact $p$. Individuals can get meningitis by contact rate $a$ from a meningitis-only infected or coinfected person with force of infection of meningitis $\alpha_1 = a(M_I + \epsilon MP_I)$ and join $M_I$ compartment where $\alpha_1$ is the modification parameter.

An individual can get pneumonia with contact rate of $b$ from a pneumonia-only infected or coinfected person with force of infection of pneumonia $\alpha_2 = b(P_I + \epsilon MP_I)$ and join the $P_I$ compartment with modification parameter $\epsilon_2$. Pneumonia-only infected individuals also can get an additional meningitis infection with force of infection and modification parameter $\omega_2 \alpha_2$ and join the coinfected compartment $MP_I$. The coinfected compartment increases because of individuals that come from meningitis-only infected compartment are infected by pneumonia with force of infection and modification parameter $\omega_2 \alpha_2$. Since the coinfected individuals are aware of the disease, they remain there in the treated compartment even if they are free from either pneumonia or meningitis until they are free from all the diseases. The parameters used in the model are described in Table 1.

Using the above assumptions and parameters, we have constructed the following schematic diagram that is given in Figure 1.
From the diagram given in Figure 1, the corresponding dynamical systems will be as follows from the assumptions of the model and using the above basic model assumption we have the following flow chart.

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dS}{dt} &= (1 - \pi)\lambda + \phi P_V + \varphi M_V + YR - (\alpha_2 + \mu)S, \\
\frac{dM_V}{dt} &= (1 - \rho)\pi\lambda - (\mu + \varphi + \varepsilon_1)M_V, \\
\frac{dP_V}{dt} &= \rho\pi\lambda - (\mu + \varphi + \varepsilon_2)P_V, \\
\frac{dMP_V}{dt} &= \varepsilon_1M_V + \varepsilon_2P_V - \mu MP_V, \\
\frac{dM_I}{dt} &= \alpha_1S - (\omega_2\alpha_2 + \tau_1 + \delta_1 + \mu)M_I, \\
\frac{dP_I}{dt} &= \alpha_2S - (\omega_1\alpha_1 + \tau_2 + \delta_2 + \mu)P_I, \\
\frac{dMP_I}{dt} &= \omega_1\alpha_1P_I + \omega_2\alpha_2M_I - (\tau_2 + \delta_1 + \mu)MP_I, \\
\frac{dT}{dt} &= \tau_1M_I + \tau_2P_I + \tau_3MP_I - (\beta + \mu)T, \\
\frac{dR}{dt} &= \beta T - (Y + \mu)R.
\end{align*}
\] (1)

This system of differential equation is the mathematical representation of full meningitis and pneumonia model which is the combination of the two diseases. In the next section, we have studied the qualitative behavior of the constructed model. For simplification of our work, we split the full meningitis-pneumonia coinfection model into submodels, which are meningitis-only and pneumonia-only models. First, we will study the qualitative behavior of the submodel and then qualitative behavior of the full model is followed.

2.2. Positivity of Solutions and Invariant Region of the Only Pneumonia-Infected Model. In this subsection, we have considered the model of pneumonia only in the absence of meningitis disease. This procedure will help us to summarize and conclude some properties of the full coinfected model depending on the properties of sub models. To gate this submodel from the full model, we set, \(M_I = MP_I = 0\), and we have the following dynamical system.

The corresponding dynamical systems are as follow.

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dS}{dt} &= (1 - \pi)\lambda + \phi P_V + \varphi M_V + YR - (\alpha_2 + \mu)S, \\
\frac{dP_I}{dt} &= \alpha_2S - (\tau_2 + \delta_2 + \mu)P_I, \\
\frac{dP_V}{dt} &= \pi\lambda - (\mu + \phi)P_V, \\
\frac{dT}{dt} &= \tau_2P_I - (\beta + \mu)T, \\
\frac{dR}{dt} &= \beta T - (Y + \mu)R.
\end{align*}
\] (2)

From the diagram given in Figure 1, the corresponding systems are as follows from the assumptions of the model and using the above basic model assumption we have the following flow chart.

| Parameter | Description |
|-----------|-------------|
| \(a\)    | Meningitis effective contact rate |
| \(b\)    | Pneumonia effective contact rate |
| \(\tau_1\) | The rate at which meningitis-infected individuals are treated enter to and treated class |
| \(\tau_2\) | The rate at which pneumonia-infected individuals are treated enter to treated class |
| \(\tau_3\) | The rate at which meningitis and pneumonia coinfected individuals are treated enter to treated class |
| \(\beta\) | The rate at which treated class recovered |
| \(\mu\) | Natural death rate |
| \(\delta_1\) | Meningitis-only caused death rate |
| \(\delta_2\) | Pneumonia-only caused death rate |
| \(\delta_3\) | Meningitis and pneumonia coinfection death rate |
| \(\omega_1\) | Modification parameter and \(\omega_1 \geq 1\) |
| \(\omega_2\) | The modification parameter and \(\omega_2 \geq 1\) |
| \(Y\) | Rate of loss of immunity |
| \(\pi\) | The portion of vaccinated new born |
| \(\lambda\) | Recruitment rate |
| \(\varepsilon_2\) | The rate at which a pneumonia vaccinated individual takes meningitis vaccination |
| \(\varepsilon_1\) | The rate at which a meningitis vaccinated individual takes pneumonia vaccination |
| \(\phi\) | Pneumonia vaccine wanes rate |
| \(\varphi\) | Meningitis vaccine wanes rate |
| \(\rho\) | The portion in which pneumonia vaccine is given |

For the dynamical systems to be epidemiologically meaningful as well as well-posed, we need to prove that all the state variables of dynamical systems are nonnegative.

**Theorem 1.** All the populations of the system with positive initial conditions are positive.

Proof: assume \(S(0) > 0, P_I(0) > 0, P_V(0) > 0, T(0) > 0\) and \(R(0) > 0\) are positive for time \(t > 0\) and for all nonnegative parameters.

First, let us take \(T = \sup \{t > 0 \text{ such that } S(t') > 0, P_I(t') > 0, P_V(t') > 0, T(t') > 0 \text{ and } R(t') > 0, t' \in [0, t]\}\).

From the first equation of system (2), we do have \(dS/dt = (1 - \pi)\lambda + \phi P_V + \varphi M_V + YR - (\alpha_2 + \mu)S \Rightarrow S(t) = S(0)e^{-\int_0^t(\alpha_2 + \mu)dt'} + e^{-\int_0^t(\alpha_2 + \mu)dt'}[\int_0^t(\alpha_2 + \mu)dt'][(1 - \pi)\lambda + YR + \phi P_V]dt > 0 \Rightarrow S(t) > 0\).

There, \(S(t)\) is positive. Following the same procedure, all the remaining state variables are nonnegative. Therefore, from proof, we can conclude that whenever the initial values of the systems are all nonnegative, then all the solutions of our dynamical system are positive.
Theorem 2. The total human population of the dynamical system (2) is positively closed in the closed invariant set $\Omega = \{(S, P, P_V, T, R) | e_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+^5 : N_1 \leq (\lambda/\mu)\}$. Furthermore, the system's nonnegative solutions are all constrained, and it may exhibit the persistence property under any nonnegative initial concentration conditions [14].

Proof: assume the total population of the model is $N_1$. To get an invariant region, which shows boundedness of solution, it can be obtained as follows.

$$ N_1 = S + P_1 + P_V + T + R \Rightarrow \frac{dN_1}{dt} = \lambda - \mu S - \delta sP_1 - \mu P_1 - \mu P_V - \mu T - \mu R, $$

$$ \frac{dN_1}{dt} = \lambda - \mu N_1 - \delta sP_1 \Rightarrow \frac{dN_1}{dt} + \mu N_1 \leq \lambda, $$

$$ N_1(t) \leq N_0 e^{-\mu t} + \frac{\lambda}{\mu} \Rightarrow \lim_{t \to \infty} N_1(t) \leq \frac{\lambda}{\mu}. $$

Therefore, the dynamical system that we do have is bounded.

2.3. Existence and Stability of Disease-Free Equilibrium Point. The disease-free equilibrium point is obtained by making all the equations equal to zero, provided that $P_1 = 0$ and the obtained disease-free equilibrium point is given by

$$ E_0^P = (S^0, P_V^0, P_1^0, T^0, R^0) = \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu} \left[\frac{(1 - \pi)(\mu + \phi) + \pi \phi}{(\mu + \phi)}\right], \frac{\pi \lambda}{(\mu + \phi)}, 0, 0, 0\right). $$

2.3.1. Effective Reproduction Number. The reproduction number is the number of secondary cases produced by one infectious individual joining in a completely susceptible population during its infectious period [15–17].

Using the next generation matrix method, we have obtained the effective reproduction number of pneumonia-infected-only submodel, which is $R_{ef(p)} = (\lambda b/\mu)(1 - \pi)(\mu + \phi) + \pi \phi/(\mu + \phi)(\tau_2 + \delta_2 + \mu)$.

Theorem 3. The disease-free equilibrium point $E_0^P$ of the model in system (2) is locally asymptotically stable if the effective reproduction number $R_{ef(p)} < 1$ and is unstable if $R_{ef(p)} > 1$.

Proof: from the jacobian matrix $J(E_0^P)$ of the model (2), with respect to $(S, P, P_V, T, R)$ at the disease-free equilibrium point, we have the following characteristics equation.

$$ (-\mu - \lambda_1)((r_1 - r_2) - \lambda_2)) (-\mu + \phi) - \lambda_3) \cdot (-\beta + \mu) - \lambda_4)((-\beta + \mu) - \lambda_5) = 0 $$

$$ \Rightarrow \lambda_1 = -\mu, \lambda_3 = (-\mu + \phi), \lambda_4 = -\beta + \mu, \lambda_5 = -(Y + \mu). $$

Hence, all the parameters are nonnegative, and all the eigenvalues of the corresponding jacobian matrix are negative. But $\lambda_2 = (r_1 - r_2) = R_{ef(p)}(r_2 - r_2 + 1) = R_{ef(p)} - 1$,

$$ \Rightarrow \lambda_2 = R_{ef(p)} - 1, $$

$$ \Rightarrow \lambda_2 < 0 \text{ if } R_{ef(p)} < 1. \text{ Therefore, the disease-free equilibrium point is locally asymptotically stable if and only if } R_{ef(p)} < 1, \text{ otherwise it is unstable, that is, if } R_{ef(p)} > 1. $$

2.3.2. Global Stability of Disease-Free Equilibrium Point of the Model. To verify the global stability of the disease-free equilibrium point of the pneumonia monoinfection model, we have used an adopted method of Castillo-Chavez et al. used by others scholar such as [18, 19].
Lemma 4. If the pneumonia monoinfection model can be written as

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dY}{dt} &= G(Y, W), \\
\frac{dZ}{dt} &= H(Y, W), H(Y, 0) = 0,
\end{align*}
\]

where \(Y \in \mathbb{R}^m\) be the components of noninfected individuals and \(W \in \mathbb{R}^n\) be the components of infected individuals including treated class and \(E_0^p = (Y^*, 0)\) denotes the disease-free equilibrium point of dynamical system (2). Assume

(i) For \((dY/dt) = G(Y, 0), Y^*\) is globally asymptotically stable (GAS)

(ii) \(H(Y, W) = BW - H'(Y, W), H'(Y, W) \geq 0\) for \((Y, W) \in \Omega_1\) where \(B = D_{W}H'(Y^*, 0)\) is an M-matrix, i.e., the off diagonal elements of \(B\) are nonnegative and \(\Omega_1\) is the region in which the system makes biological sense. Then the fixed point \(E_0^p = (Y^*, 0)\) is globally asymptotically stable equilibrium point of the system (2) whenever \(R_{ef(p)} < 1\).

Lemma 5. The disease-free equilibrium point \(E_0^p\) of the pneumonia monoinfection model (2) is globally asymptotically stable if \(R_{ef(p)} < 1\) and the two sufficient conditions given in Lemma 4 are satisfied.

Proof: here we are applying Lemma 5 on the pneumonia monoinfection model (2) and we have gotten the following matrices.

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dY}{dt} &= G(Y, W) = \left[ \begin{array}{ccc}
(1-\pi)\lambda + \phi P_V + YR - (\alpha_2 + \mu)S \\
\pi\lambda - (\mu + \phi)P_V
\end{array} \right], \\
\frac{dW}{dt} &= H(Y, W) = \left[ \begin{array}{ccc}
\alpha_2S - (\tau_2 + \delta_2 + \mu)P_V \\
\tau_2P_V - (\beta + \mu)T
\end{array} \right],
\end{align*}
\]

\[
G(Y, 0) = \left[ \begin{array}{c}
(1-\pi)\lambda + \phi P_V - \mu S \\
\pi\lambda - (\mu + \phi)P_V
\end{array} \right], \quad \frac{dY}{dt} = G(Y, W), \quad dW/dt = H(Y, W),
\]

and

\[
\begin{align*}
H'(Y, W) &= \left[ \begin{array}{ccc}
H'_{1}(Y, W) \\
H'_{2}(Y, W)
\end{array} \right] = \left[ \begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{b\lambda}{\mu} (1-\pi)(\mu + \phi + \phi P_V) \\
\mu (1-\pi)(\mu + \phi + \phi P_V)
\end{array} \right] P_V - \alpha_2S \\
H'(Y, W) &= \left[ \begin{array}{c}
H'_{1}(Y, W) \\
H'_{2}(Y, W)
\end{array} \right] = \left[ \begin{array}{c}
H'_{1}(Y, W) \\
H'_{2}(Y, W)
\end{array} \right] = \left[ \begin{array}{c}
(\pi - \delta_2 + \mu)P_V \\
\tau_2P_V - (\beta + \mu)T
\end{array} \right],
\end{align*}
\]

Since \(S \leq S_0\), we have \(H'(Y, W) \geq 0\), thus, the disease-free equilibrium point \(E_0^p\) is globally asymptotically stable if \(R_{ef(p)} < 1\). Biologically, whenever \(R_{ef(p)} < 1\), the only pneumonia infection disease dies out while the total population increases [18].

2.4. The Existence and Stability of Endemic Equilibrium Point. The endemic equilibrium point of the dynamical system of (2) is obtained by making the right side of the system equal to zero, providing that \(P_1 \neq 0\). We have supposed that the endemic equilibrium point of the model is denoted by \(E_p^*(S^*, P_1^*, P_V^*, T^*, R^*)\) and the corresponding force of infection is \(\alpha_2(t) = b(P_V^*(t))\).

For simplification of algebraic manipulation, we have assumed the parameters in the model by another variable as follows, \(k_1 = (1-\pi)\lambda, k_2 = \tau_2 + \mu, k_3 = \tau_2 + \delta_2 + \mu, k_4 = \pi \lambda, k_5 = \phi + \mu, k_6 = \beta + \mu, k_7 = Y + \mu, k_8 = \gamma_1, k_9 = k_3k_7, k_{10} = k_1 + (\phi k_3/k_7), k_{11} = k_9k_{10}, k_{12} = k_7, k_{13} = k_3k_{12}\) and \(k_{14} = k_9k_7\). Now the equation of force of infection can be rearranged as

\[
\alpha_2^* (k_{14} + b_{11} - k_{13}) = 0 \Rightarrow \alpha_2^* = 0 \text{ or } k_{14} \alpha_2^* + b_{11} - k_{13} = 0. \quad (13)
\]

\[
\Rightarrow \alpha_2^* = k_{13} - b_{11}/k_{14} \quad \text{but } \alpha_2^* = k_{13} - b_{11}/k_{14} = (\beta + \mu)(Y + \mu)((\tau_2 + \delta_2 + \mu)(\tau_2 + \mu)(\phi + \mu) - \lambda((\phi + \mu)(1 - \pi) - \phi \pi)Y)\gamma_1 = (\beta + \mu)(Y + \mu)((\tau_2 + \delta_2 + \mu)(\tau_2 + \mu)\phi + \mu) - \lambda((\phi + \mu)(1 - \pi) + \phi \pi)Y)\gamma_1 = \alpha_2^* = (\beta + \mu)(Y + \mu)((\tau_2 + \delta_2 + \mu)(\tau_2 + \mu)\phi + \mu) - \lambda((\phi + \mu)(1 - \pi) + \phi \pi)Y)\gamma_1 \Rightarrow \alpha_2^* = (\beta + \mu)(Y + \mu)((\tau_2 + \delta_2 + \mu)(\tau_2 + \mu)\phi + \mu) - \lambda((\phi + \mu)(1 - \pi) + \phi \pi)Y)\gamma_1 \Rightarrow \alpha_2^* = 0 \text{ if } R_{ef(p)} > 1.
\]

Therefore, there is a unique endemic equilibrium point for pneumonia monoinfected as given by \(E_p^* = (S^*, P_1^*, P_V^*, T^*, R^*)\) where

\[
S^* = \left[ \frac{\gamma_2 a_2^*}{(1 - a_2^*)} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c}
S_0 - S_0^*P_V \\
S_0^*P_V
\end{array} \right], \quad P_1^* = \left[ \frac{\gamma_2 a_2^*}{(1 - a_2^*)} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c}
P_1 - S_0^*P_V \\
S_0^*P_V
\end{array} \right], \quad P_V^* = \frac{a_2^*}{\phi + \mu} \left[ \begin{array}{c}
P_V \\
(\phi + \mu)P_V
\end{array} \right], \quad T^* = \frac{a_2^*}{\phi + \mu} \left[ \begin{array}{c}
T \\
(\phi + \mu)T
\end{array} \right], \quad R^* = \frac{a_2^*}{\phi + \mu} \left[ \begin{array}{c}
R \\
(\phi + \mu)R
\end{array} \right].
\]

Theorem 6. The endemic equilibrium point of system (2) \(E_p^* = (S^*, P_1^*, P_V^*, T^*, R^*)\) is locally asymptotically stable for the reproduction number \(R_{ef(p)} > 1\).

Proof: to show that the local stability of the endemic equilibrium point, we have used the method of the Jacobian matrix and the Routh Hurwitz stability criteria.
Then the corresponding characteristic equation is obtained from the determinant of
\[
\begin{vmatrix}
A - \lambda & B & C & 0 & D \\
E & F - \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & G - \lambda & 0 & 0 \\
0 & H & 0 & I - \lambda & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & J & K - \lambda
\end{vmatrix} = 0, \tag{15}
\]
where \( A = -(a_2 + \mu), \) \( B = -a_2S^*, \) \( C = \phi, \) \( D = Y, \) \( E = a_2S^*, \) \( F = a_2S^* - (\tau_2 + \delta_2 + \mu), \)
\[G = -(\mu + \phi), \] \( H = \tau_2, \) \( I = -(\beta + \mu), \) \( J = \beta \) and \( k = -(Y + \mu), \)
\[= a_0\lambda^5 + a_1\lambda^4 + a_2\lambda^3 + a_4\lambda + a_5 = 0, \tag{16}\]
where \( a_0 = 1, -(I + A + F + G + K) = a_1, \) \( (IA + IF + AF + IG + AG + FG + IK + AK + FK + GK) = a_2, \) \( (-B + IAF + IAG + IFG + AFG + IAK + IFK + AFK + IGK + AGK + FGK) = a_3, \) \( (IB - IAFG + DEHJ + BK - IAFK - IAGK - IFG - AFGK) = a_4, \) \( a_5 = DEGHJ + IBK - IAFGK. \)

To apply the Routh-Hurwitz stability criteria, it is obligatory to check if the necessary condition of all the coefficients have the same sign or not. Since \( a_0 = 1 \) is positive in sign, all \( a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, \) and \( a_5 \) should be positive in sign. All the coefficients of the characteristic’s polynomial are positives whenever \( \mathcal{R}_{ef}(p) > 1. \) We have observed that the first column of the Routh Hurwitz array has no sign change, thus the root of the characteristics equation of the dynamical system are negative. Hence, the endemic equilibrium point of the dynamical system is locally asymptotically stable.

2.5. Positivity of Solutions and Invariant Region of the Only Meningitis-Infected Model. We have made \( P_j = MP_j = 0 \)
from the full pneumonia and meningitis coinfection model to obtain this submeningitis-only model, and got the following dynamical system.
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dS}{dt} & = (1 - \pi)\lambda + \phi M_V + YR - (\alpha_1 + \mu)S, \\
\frac{dM_I}{dt} & = a_1S - (\tau_1 + \delta_1 + \mu)M_I, \\
\frac{dM_V}{dt} & = \pi\lambda - (\mu + \phi)M_V, \\
\frac{dT}{dt} & = \tau_1M_I - (\beta + \mu)T, \\
\frac{dR}{dt} & = \beta T - (Y + \mu)R. \\
\end{align*} \tag{17}
\]

The above dynamical systems are needed to be epidemiologically meaningful as well as well-posed. To prove that, we have intimated that all the state variables of dynamical systems are nonnegative.

**Theorem 7.** All the populations of the system with positive initial conditions are positive.

**Proof:** assume \( S(0) > 0, M_I(0) > 0, M_V(0) > 0, T(0) > 0 \) and \( R(0) > 0 \) are positive for time \( t > 0 \) and for all nonnegative. First let us take \( T = \{ t \mid S(t) > 0, M_I(t) > 0, M_V(t) > 0, T(t) > 0 \) and \( R(t) > 0, t' \}
\[0, t]. \]

From the first equation of system (17), we do have
\[\frac{dS}{dt} = (1 - \pi)\lambda + \phi M_V + YR - (\alpha_1 + \mu)S, \]
\[S(t) = S(0)e^{-\int_0^t (\alpha_1 + \mu)dt'} + e^{-\int_0^t (\alpha_1 + \mu)dt'} \]
\[\cdot \left[ T_0 e^{\int_0^t (\alpha_1 + \mu)dt'} [(1 - \pi)\lambda + \phi M_V dt] > 0, \]
\[S(t) > 0. \tag{18}\]

Therefore, \( S(t) \) is positive. Subsequent to the same procedure, the remaining state variables are nonnegative. Therefore, from the stated proof, we can conclude that whenever the initial values of the systems are all nonnegative, then all the solutions of our dynamical system is positive.

**Theorem 8.** All the populations of the system with positive initial conditions are nonnegative.

The total human population of the dynamical system (17) is positively closed in the closed invariant set \( \Omega_2 = \{(S, M_I, M_V, T, R) \in \mathbb{R}_+^5 : N_2 \leq \lambda/\mu \}. \) Furthermore, the system’s nonnegative solutions are all constrained, and it may exhibit the persistence property under any nonnegative initial concentration conditions [14].

**Proof:** to get an invariant region, boundedness of solution is obtained as follow.
\[N_2 = S + M_I + M_V + T + R, \]
\[\frac{dN_2}{dt} = \lambda - \mu N_2 - \delta_1M_I \Rightarrow 0 \leq N_2 \leq \frac{\lambda}{\mu}, \tag{19}\]

Therefore, the dynamical system that we do have is bounded.

2.6. Existence and Stability of Disease-Free Equilibrium Point. The disease-free equilibrium point is obtained by making all the equations in the system equal to zero, provided that proving that \( M_I = 0. \) Therefore, the disease-free equilibrium point is
\[E_0 = (S^0, M_V^0, M_I^0, T^0, R^0) \]
\[= \left( \frac{\lambda}{\mu} \left[ (1 - \pi)(\mu + \phi) + \pi\phi \right], \frac{\pi\lambda}{(\mu + \phi)}, 0, 0, 0 \right). \tag{20}\]

2.6.1. Effective Reproduction Number. The reproduction number can be defined as a number of secondary cases produced by one infectious individual joining in a completely susceptible population during its infectious period [16, 17, 20].
To compute the reproduction number, first distinguishing the new infected from all other changes in the host population is mandatory as follows.

Let $\mathcal{F}_i(x)$: be the rate of appearance of new infected in compartment $i$,

$\mathcal{F}^{+,i}(x)$: be the rate of transfer of individuals in to compartment $i$,

$\mathcal{F}^{-,i}(x)$: be the rate of transfer of individuals out of compartment $i$.

And then $\mathcal{F}^{-,i}(x) = \mathcal{F}^{+,i}(x) - \mathcal{F}^{-,i}(x)$, but $F = [(\partial \mathcal{F}/\partial X_i)(X_0)]$ and $V = [(\partial \mathcal{F}/\partial X_i)(X_o)]$, where $F$ and $V$ are $m\times m$ matrix with $m$ is number of infected compartment. $FV^{-1}$ is the next generation matrix, and the spectral radius of next generation matrix is needed for the reproduction number we are seeking for.

Thus $\partial \mathcal{F}_i(x)/\partial X_i = aS$ and $\partial \mathcal{F}_i(x)/\partial X_i$ and $FV^{-1} = (\lambda a(\mu)(1 - \gamma)(\mu + \phi) + \pi \phi(\mu + \phi)(\tau_1 + \delta_1 + \mu))$.

Therefore, the effective reproduction number of meningitis monoinfected submodel is

$$R_{ef}(m) = \frac{\lambda a}{\mu} \left(\frac{1 - \gamma(\mu + \phi)}{\mu + \phi} + \pi \phi(\mu + \phi)(\tau_1 + \delta_1 + \mu)\right).$$

**Theorem 9.** The disease-free equilibrium point $E^0_m$ of the model in system (17) is locally asymptotically stable if the effective reproduction number $R_{ef}(m) < 1$, and it is unstable if $R_{ef}(m) > 1$.

**Proof:**

Using the Jacobian matrix $J(E^0_m)$ of the model (17) with respect to $(S, M, T, R)$ at the disease-free equilibrium point, we have the characteristic equation

$$\det(\lambda I - J(E^0_m)) = 0,$$

where $t_2 = R_{ef}(m)$ and $t_2 = (\tau_1 + \delta_1 + \mu)$,

$$\Rightarrow \lambda = -\mu, \lambda_1 = -(\mu + \phi), \lambda_2 = -(-\beta + \mu), \lambda_3 = -(-\beta + \mu).$$

Hence, all the parameters are nonnegative, all the eigenvalues of the corresponding Jacobian matrix are negative other than $\lambda_2$.

For $\lambda_2, \lambda_3 = t_2(R_{ef}(m) - 1) \Rightarrow \lambda_2 = t_2(R_{ef}(m) - 1)$,

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_2 < 0 \text{ iff } R_{ef}(m) < 1.$$

Therefore, the disease-free equilibrium point of the meningitis monoinfected model is locally asymptotically stable if the effective reproduction number $R_{ef}(m) < 1$ and is unstable if $R_{ef}(m) > 1$.

### 2.6.2. Global Stability of Disease-Free Equilibrium Point.

We utilized the approach developed by Castillo-Chavez et al. and used it to confirm the overall stability of the disease-free equilibrium point of the meningitis monoinfection model [18, 19].

**Lemma 10.** If the pneumonia monoinfection model can be written as

$$\frac{dY}{dt} = G(Y, W),$$

$$\frac{dZ}{dt} = H(Y, W), H(Y, 0) = 0,$$

where $Y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ be the components of noninfected individuals and $W \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be the components of infected individuals including the treated class, and $E^0_m = (Y^*, 0)$ denotes the disease-free equilibrium point of the dynamical system (3).

Assume

(i) For $(dY/dt) = G(Y, 0), Y^*$ is globally asymptotically stable (GAS).

(ii) $H(Y, W) = BW - H'(Y, W), H'(Y, W) \geq 0$ for $(Y, W) \in \Omega_2$ where $B = D_{Xo} H(Y^*, 0)$ is an M-matrix, i.e., the off diagonal elements of $B$ are nonnegative and $\Omega_2$ is the region in which the system makes biological sense.

Then the fixed point $E^0_m = (Y^*, 0)$ is globally asymptotically stable equilibrium point of the system (17) whenever $R_{ef}(m) < 1$.

**Lemma 11.** The disease-free equilibrium point $E^0_m$ of the pneumonia monoinfection model (17) is globally asymptotically stable if $R_{ef}(m) < 1$ and the two sufficient conditions given in Lemma 10 are satisfied.

**Proof:** Here we are applying Lemma 11 on the meningitis monoinfection model (17) and we have gotten the following matrices

$$\frac{dW}{dt} = H(Y, W) =$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{\alpha \pi}{\mu} (1 - \gamma(\mu + \phi)) - (\tau_1 + \delta_1 + \mu) & 0 & 0 \\
\tau_1 & -(-\beta + \mu) & 0 \\
0 & \beta & -(\mu + \phi) \\
\end{bmatrix},$$

$$G(Y, 0) =$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
(1 - \gamma(\mu + \phi))(\mu + \phi) - \mu S \\
\pi \lambda(\mu + \phi) \\
\end{bmatrix}.$$
meningitis monoinfection disease dies out while the total population increases [18].

2.7. Existence and Stability of Endemic Equilibrium Point.
The endemic equilibrium point of the dynamical system of (3) is obtained by making the right side of the system equal to zero, providing that \( M_2 \neq 0 \). We have supposed that the endemic equilibrium point of the model is denoted by \( E^*_m = (S^*, M^*_1, M^*_2, T^*, R^*) \) and the corresponding force of infection is \( a_1(t) = b(M_1^*(t)) \). For simplification of algebraic manipulation, we have assumed the parameters in the model by another variable as follows.

\[
\begin{align*}
n_1 &= (1 - \pi)\lambda, \quad n_2 = 1 + \mu, \quad n_3 = \delta_1 + \mu, \\
n_4 &= \pi\lambda, \quad n_5 = (\mu + \phi), \\
n_6 &= \beta + \mu, \quad n_7 = Y + \mu, \quad n_8 = \gamma \beta_1 n_1, \\
n_9 &= n_3 n_8, \\
n_{10} &= 1 + \gamma n_2/n_8, \\
n_{11} &= n_3 n_{10}, \\
n_{12} &= n_2 n_9,
\end{align*}
\]

\( n_{13} = n_1 n_3 \) and \( n_{14} = n_3 n_3 \). Now the equation of force of infection can be rearranged as

\[
\begin{align*}
a_1^* &= a_{12} = a_{13} = 0, \\
a_4 &= a_{14}^* = a_{15} = 0, \\
a_6 &= a_{16}^* - a_{16} = 0, \\
a_7 &= a_{17} = 0, \\
a_8 &= a_{18} = 0, \\
\end{align*}
\]

Therefore, the unique endemic equilibrium point for the meningitis monoinfected model is given by \( E^*_m = (S^*, M^*_1, M^*_2, T^*, R^*) \), where

\[
\begin{align*}
\lambda^* &= ((1 - \pi)\lambda + \mu)(1 - \pi)\lambda - \lambda T \lambda = \lambda T \lambda, \\
M^*_1 &= (\lambda T + \mu)(1 - \pi)\lambda - \lambda T \lambda, \\
M^*_2 &= (\lambda T + \mu)(1 - \pi)\lambda - \lambda T \lambda, \\
T^* &= (\lambda T + \mu)(1 - \pi)\lambda - \lambda T \lambda, \\
R^* &= (\lambda T + \mu)(1 - \pi)\lambda - \lambda T \lambda.
\end{align*}
\]

Theorem 12. The endemic equilibrium point of system (17) \( E^*_m = (S^*, M^*_1, M^*_2, T^*, R^*) \) is locally asymptotically stable for the reproduction number \( R_{eff}(p) > 1 \).

Proof: To show the local stability of the endemic equilibrium point we have used the method of Jacobian matrix and Routh Hurwitz stability criteria.

From Jacobian matrix, we have obtained the following characteristic equation

\[
(a - \lambda)(f - \lambda)(g - \lambda) - (\lambda + \mu)(\lambda + \mu) - b(\lambda + \mu)(\lambda + \mu) - d e(\lambda + \mu) = 0
\]

Where \( a = -a_1^* + a_{12}^* + a_{14}^* + a_{16}^* \), \( b = a_{12}^* + a_{14}^* \), \( c = \phi \), \( d = Y, \ e = a_{11}^* + a_{12}^* + a_{14}^* + a_{16}^* \).

\[
\begin{align*}
ga &= -\gamma \beta_1 - \beta + \mu, \\
h &= \tau_1, i = -b + a_{12}^* + a_{14}^* + a_{16}^*.
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
&\Rightarrow a_{12}^* + a_{14}^* + a_{16}^* = 0, \\
&\Rightarrow a_{12}^* + a_{14}^* + a_{16}^* = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

To apply Routh-Hurwitz stability criteria, it is the must to check the necessary condition of all the coefficients have the same sign or not. Since \( a_1^* > 0 \) is positive in sign, all \( a_1^* \), \( a_2^* \), \( a_3^* \), \( a_4^* \), \( a_5^* \), \( a_6^* \) should be positives in sign. All the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial are positives whenever \( R_{eff}(p) > 1 \).

We have observed that the first column of the Routh Hurwitz array has no sign change, thus the root of the characteristic equation of the dynamical system \( a_{12}^* + a_{14}^* + a_{16}^* + a_{12}^* + a_{14}^* + a_{16}^* = 0 \) are negative. Hence, the endemic equilibrium point of the dynamical system is locally asymptotically stable.

2.8. Positivity and Boundedness of Full Pneumonia and Meningitis Coinfected Model. The corresponding dynamical system of the full pneumonia and meningitis coinfected model is given in Equation (1).

The constructed model is expected to be meaningful epidemiologically as well as well-posed. We need to prove that all the state variables of the dynamical system are positive.

Theorem 13. All the population of the system with positive initial conditions are nonnegative

Proof: Assume \( S(0) > 0, M_1(0) > 0, P_1(0) > 0, M_2(0) > 0, M_2(0) > 0, P_2(0) > 0, MP_1(0) > 0, T(0) > 0, R(0) > 0 \) for positive time \( t > 0 \) and for all nonnegative parameters. Let us define \( \tau = sup \{ t > 0 \ such \ that \ S(t) > 0, M_1(t) > 0, P_1(t) > 0, M_2(t) > 0, P_2(t) > 0, MP_1(t) > 0, T(t) > 0, R(t) > 0, t \in [0, t] \} \).

Since all \( S(t), M_1(t), P_1(t), M_2(t), P_2(t), MP_1(t), T(t) \) and \( R(t) \) are continuous, we can say for \( \tau > 0 \).

Nevertheless, if \( 0 < \tau < +\infty \), then all the state variables are zeros.
From the first equation of system (1) we do have
\[
\frac{dS}{dt} = (1 - \pi)\lambda + \phi P_V + \phi M_V + YR - (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \mu)S(t)
\]
\[
= S(0)e^{-\int_0^t (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \mu) \, dt} + e^{-\int_0^t (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \mu) \, dt} \left( (1 - \pi)\lambda + \phi P_V + \phi M_V + YR \right)dt
\]
\[
> 0 \Rightarrow S(t) > 0
\]

(32)

Following same procedure, all the remaining state variables are nonnegative.

Therefore, from proof, we can conclude that whenever the initial values of the systems are all nonnegative, then all the solutions of our dynamical system are positive.

Theorem 14. The total human population is assumed to be N and the dynamical system (1) is positively invariant in the closed invariant set \( \Omega = \{(S, M_V, V, MP_V, M_I, P_I, MP_I, T, R) \in \mathbb{R}_+^3 : N \leq \lambda \mu \} \). Furthermore, the system’s nonnegative solutions are all constrained, and it may exhibit the persistence property under any nonnegative initial concentration conditions [24]. Proof: to get an invariant region, which shows boundedness of solutions, is obtained as follow.

\[\frac{dN}{dt} = \lambda - \mu N - \delta_2 P_I - \delta_1 M_I - \delta_3 MP_I,\]

\[\Rightarrow (\pi - 1)\lambda - \phi P_V - \phi M_V - YR + \mu N \Rightarrow 0 \leq N \leq \frac{\lambda}{\mu}.\]

Therefore, the dynamical system that we have constructed is bounded.

2.9. Disease-Free Equilibrium Point and Its Stability. The disease-free equilibrium point of full pneumonia and meningitis coinfection model \( E_{pm}^0 \) is obtained by making all the right-hand-side of equation in system (1), providing that all the infectious classes are equal to zero.

\[
(0, M_V^0, V^0, MP_V^0, M_I^0, P_I^0, MP_I^0, T^0, R^0)
\]

\[
= \frac{(1 - \pi)\lambda}{(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \mu)} + \frac{\pi \lambda}{(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \mu)} \left( \frac{\phi}{\mu + \phi + \varepsilon_2} + \frac{(1 - \rho)\phi}{\mu + \phi + \varepsilon_1} \right),
\]

\[
\left( \frac{1 - \rho)\lambda}{(\mu + \phi + \varepsilon_1)} \right) + \frac{\rho \pi \lambda}{\mu(\mu + \phi + \varepsilon_1)} \left( 1 - \rho \right) + \frac{\rho \pi \lambda \varepsilon_2}{\mu(\mu + \phi + \varepsilon_1)} + 0, 0, 0, 0, 0.
\]

(36)

2.9.1. Effective Reproduction Number. The reproduction number is the average number of people that become infected because of the entry of one infectious person into a completely susceptible population in the absence of intervention. Moreover, reproduction number is utilized to determine the effect of the control measures and to understand the capability of the spread of the infection to disseminate in the entire community when the control strategies are applied [15, 17, 21].

The reproduction number of pneumonia and meningitis coinfection model denoted by \( R_{eff} \), which is manipulated by the Van den Driesch, Pauline, and James Warmouth next generation matrix approach [20], is the largest eigenvalue of the next generation matrix approach \( FV^{-1} = \left[ \partial F_i(E_r^0)/\partial x_j \right] \left[ \partial V_i(E_r^0)/\partial x_j \right]^{-1} \), where \( F_i \) is the rate of appearance of new infection in compartment \( i \), \( v_i \) is the transfer of infections from one compartment \( i \) to another, and \( E_r^0 \) is the disease-free equilibrium point.

The

\[
[F] = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & \frac{(1 - \pi)\lambda}{\mu} & \frac{0}{\mu} & \frac{1 - \rho)\phi}{\mu + \phi + \varepsilon_1} & \frac{1 - \rho)\rho}{\mu + \phi + \varepsilon_1} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Then

(37)
and

\[
V = \begin{bmatrix}
(\tau_1 + \delta_1 + \mu) & 0 & 0 \\
0 & (\tau_2 + \delta_2 + \mu) & 0 \\
0 & 0 & (\tau_3 + \mu)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[\Rightarrow F V^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix}
a & 0 & 0 \\
0 & b & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}\]  

(39)

The eigenvalues of the next generation matrix \(F V^{-1}\) are

\[
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_1 &= \frac{a \lambda (1 - \pi)}{(\tau_1 + \delta_1 + \mu)} + \frac{\phi (\mu + \varphi + \varepsilon)}{C} + \frac{(1 - \rho)(\mu + \varphi + \varepsilon)}{(\mu + \varphi + \varepsilon) C} \\
\lambda_2 &= \frac{\pi b}{C} \left(\frac{b\lambda (1 - \pi)}{(\tau_1 + \delta_1 + \mu)} \right) \left(\frac{(\tau_2 + \delta_2 + \mu)}{(\tau_2 + \delta_2 + \mu)}\right) \\
\lambda_3 &= \frac{\phi \varphi (\mu + \varphi + \varepsilon)}{(\mu + \varphi + \varepsilon) C} + \frac{(1 - \rho)(\mu + \varphi + \varepsilon)}{(\mu + \varphi + \varepsilon) C} \left(\frac{\pi b}{C}\right)
\end{aligned}
\]

(40)

Therefore, the effective reproduction number of full meningitis and pneumonia model is

\[
R_{\text{eff}} = \max \left\{R_1, R_2\right\} \text{ where } R_1 = \frac{a \lambda (1 - \pi) C (\tau_1 + \delta_1 + \mu) C + (\phi \varphi (\mu + \varphi + \varepsilon))}{C (\mu + \varphi + \varepsilon) C} \left(\frac{1}{(\mu + \varphi + \varepsilon) C}\right) \\
R_2 = b \left(\frac{\pi b}{C}\right) \left(\frac{(\tau_2 + \delta_2 + \mu)}{(\tau_2 + \delta_2 + \mu)}\right) \left(\frac{(\mu + \varphi + \varepsilon) C}{C}\right)
\]

(41)

Theorem 15. The disease-free equilibrium point \(E_{pm}^0\) of the model in system (17) is locally asymptotically stable if the effective reproduction number \(R_{\text{eff}} < 1\) and is unstable if \(R_{\text{eff}} > 1\).

Proof:

The Jacobian matrix \(J(E_{pm}^0)\) of the model (1) with respect to \((S, MV, PV, MPV, M, P, MP, \gamma, \rho)\) at the disease-free equilibrium point is the following:
Hence, all the parameters are nonnegative as well as all the eigenvalues of the corresponding Jacobean matrix are negative except \( \lambda_3 \) and \( \lambda_b \).

The sign of eigenvalues \( \lambda_3 \) and \( \lambda_b \) depends on the values of \( R_{\text{eff}}(m) \) and \( R_{\text{eff}}(p) \), respectively. Moreover, \( \lambda_3 < 0 \) whenever \( R_{\text{eff}}(m) < 1 \) and \( \lambda_b < 0 \) if and only if \( R_{\text{eff}}(p) < 1 \).

Therefore, the disease-free equilibrium point of the full meningitis and pneumonia coinfection model is local asymptotically stable only if \( R_{\text{eff}}(m) < 1 \) and \( R_{\text{eff}}(p) < 1 \), otherwise it is unstable.

2.9.2. Global Stability of Disease-Free Equilibrium Point. The disease-free equilibriums of the meningitis monoinfection model and the pneumonia monoinfection model are both globally asymptotically stable whenever their corresponding effective reproduction numbers values are smaller than unity, as demonstrated in Section 3 Figure 2 and 3, respectively. In light of this conclusion, the disease-free equilibrium point of the pneumonia and meningitis coinfection model (1) is globally asymptotically stable if \( R_{\text{eff}} = \max \{ R_1, R_2 \} < 1 \).

2.10. Endemic Equilibrium Point and Its Stability. The endemic equilibrium point of the dynamical system (1) is obtained by making the right side of the system equal to zero providing that \( M_I \neq 0 \), \( P_I \neq 0 \) and \( M_P \neq 0 \). We have supposed the endemic equilibrium point of the model is denoted by \( E_{\text{pm}}^* = (S^*, M^*_I, P^*_I, M^*_P, M^*_I^*, P^*_I^*, T^*, R^*) \) and the corresponding forces of infection are

\[
\alpha_1^* = a(M_I^* + \epsilon_1 M_P^*), \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_2^* = b(P_I^* + \epsilon_2 M_P^*),
\]

\[
S^* = \frac{1}{(\alpha_1^* + \alpha_2^* + \mu)} \cdot \left(1 - \pi \right) \lambda + \frac{\phi \rho \pi \lambda}{(\mu + \phi + \epsilon_2)} + \frac{(1 - \rho) \phi \rho \pi \lambda}{(\mu + \phi + \epsilon_1)} + \frac{\rho \pi \lambda}{(\mu + \phi + \epsilon_2)},
\]

\[
M_P^* = \frac{(\epsilon_1 + \frac{(1 - \rho) \pi \lambda}{(\mu + \phi + \epsilon_1)}} + \frac{\frac{\rho \pi \lambda}{(\mu + \phi + \epsilon_2)}}{Y + \mu},
\]

\[
M_I^* = \frac{\alpha_1}{(\omega \alpha_1^* + \tau_1 + \delta_1 + \mu)} \cdot \left(1 - \pi \right) \lambda + \frac{\phi \rho \pi \lambda}{(\mu + \phi + \epsilon_1)} + \frac{(1 - \rho) \phi \rho \pi \lambda}{(\mu + \phi + \epsilon_1)} + \frac{\rho \pi \lambda}{(\mu + \phi + \epsilon_2)},
\]

\[
P_I^* = \frac{\alpha_2}{(\omega \alpha_1^* + \tau_2 + \delta_2 + \mu)} \cdot \left(1 - \pi \right) \lambda + \frac{\phi \rho \pi \lambda}{(\mu + \phi + \epsilon_1)} + \frac{(1 - \rho) \phi \rho \pi \lambda}{(\mu + \phi + \epsilon_1)} + \frac{\rho \pi \lambda}{(\mu + \phi + \epsilon_2)}.
\]

\[
MP^*_I \cdot \frac{1}{(\tau_1 + \mu)} \cdot \frac{a_2}{(\omega \alpha_1^* + \tau_2 + \delta_2 + \mu)} \cdot \left(1 - \pi \right) \lambda + \frac{\phi \rho \pi \lambda}{(\mu + \phi + \epsilon_1)} + \frac{(1 - \rho) \phi \rho \pi \lambda}{(\mu + \phi + \epsilon_1)} + \frac{\rho \pi \lambda}{(\mu + \phi + \epsilon_2)}.
\]

\[
T^* = \frac{\tau_1 M^*_I + \tau_2 P^*_I + \tau_3 M^*_P^*}{(\beta + \mu)} - \frac{\beta T^*}{(Y + \mu)}.
\]

Theorem 16. The endemic equilibrium point \( E_{\text{pm}}^* \) is locally asymptotically stable if \( R_{\text{eff}} > 1 \), otherwise it is unstable.

Proof: the local stability of the endemic equilibrium point of the full model is verified by using a numerical simulation in Section 4 Figure 3.
3. Sensitivity Analysis and Numerical Simulations

In this section, we have carried out the sensitivity analysis to find the possible sensitive parameters having important implications to prevent and control the meningitis and pneumonia coinfection spread and the numerical simulations of model parameters and model solutions to approve the analytical results that we have done in Section 3.

In the numerical simulation of the meningitis and pneumonia coinfection model, we assessed the possible impact of controlling strategies on the dynamics of the disease.

3.1. Sensitivity Analysis. Definition. the normalized forward sensitivity index of a variable meningitis and pneumonia reproduction number denoted by the symbol $R_{eff}$ that depends differentially on a parameter $\xi$ is defined as $SI(\xi) = \frac{\partial R_{eff}}{\partial \xi} \times \xi / R_{eff}$ [2, 17].

Conducting sensitivity analysis provides a number of benefits for decision-makers. First, it acts as an in-depth study of all the variables. Secondly, it allows decision-makers to identify where they can make improvements in the future. In our case, it helps us to determine the relative and importance of different parameters in meningitis and pneumonia incidence and prevalence. The most sensitive parameter has the magnitude of the sensitivity index larger than that of all other parameters. We have manipulated the sensitivity index in terms of

$$R_{eff} = \max \left\{ \frac{a\lambda(1-\pi)}{(\tau_1 + \delta_1 + \mu)\mu} \right.$$ 

$$+ \frac{\phi_1(\mu + \phi + \epsilon_1)(\mu + \phi + \epsilon_2)}{(\mu + \phi + \epsilon_2)\mu}$$

$$\left( \frac{\pi \lambda a}{(\tau_1 + \delta_1 + \mu)} + \frac{b\lambda(1-\pi)}{(\tau_2 + \delta_2 + \mu)\mu} \right)$$

$$\frac{\phi_2(\mu + \phi + \epsilon_1)(\mu + \phi + \epsilon_2)}{(\mu + \phi + \epsilon_2)\mu}$$

$$\left( \frac{\pi \lambda b}{(\tau_2 + \delta_2 + \mu)} \right) \right\}. \quad (45)$$

We could have manipulated the sensitivity index in terms of $R_1$ and $R_2$ since $R_{eff} = \max \{R_1, R_2\}$.

Sensitivity analysis results and the numerical simulation are given in this section with parameter values given in Table 2 with the initial population of the full meningitis and pneumonia coinfection model.

3.1.1. The Sensitivity Indices for $R_1$. In this section, we have stated the sensitivity indices for $R_1$. Using the values of the parameters in Table 2, the sensitivity indices for $R_1$ is calculated in the following:

1. $SI(a) = \frac{\partial R_1}{\partial a} \times a / R_1 = \lambda[1-\pi]/\mu(\mu + \delta_1 + \tau_1) + (\pi \lambda \phi_1[1+\mu+\phi])/(\mu(1+\mu+\phi)(\mu + \phi + \epsilon_1)) / \mu(1+\mu+\phi)(\mu + \delta_1 + \tau_1) \Rightarrow SI(a) = 1$

2. $SI(\delta_1) = \frac{\partial R_1}{\partial \delta_1} \times \delta_1 / R_1$ \[=\]

$$\frac{\partial R_1}{\partial \delta_1} = -a\lambda[1-\pi]/\mu(\mu + \delta_1 + \tau_1) - (a \pi \lambda \phi_1[1+\mu+\phi])/(\mu(1+\mu+\phi)(\mu + \phi + \epsilon_1)) / \mu(1+\mu+\phi)(\mu + \delta_1 + \tau_1) \Rightarrow SI(\delta_1) = -\delta_1 / (\mu + \delta_1 + \tau_1)$

Following the procedures we have generalized and stated the sensitivity parameters as follows:

In this section, the obtained figure was explored with parameter values given in Table 2, and we have gotten $R_1 = 12.33$ at $a = 0.9$, which implies meningitis has been expanded throughout the considered community, and additionally, we have manipulated the sensitivity indices for other parameters as show in Figure 4. The obtained sensitivity analysis shows that the recruitment rate $\lambda$ and meningitis effective contact rate $a$ have the highest impact on $R_1$.

3.1.2. The Sensitivity Indices for $R_2$. In this section we have presented the sensitivity indices for $R_2$. Using the values of parameters in Table 2, the sensitivity indices for $R_2$ is calculated in following chart.

$$SI(b) = \frac{\partial R_2}{\partial b} \times b / R_2 = (\pi \lambda \phi_1[1+\mu+\phi]) / \mu(1+\mu+\phi)(\mu + \phi + \epsilon_1) / \mu(1+\mu+\phi)(\mu + \delta_2 + \tau_2) \Rightarrow SI(b) = 1$$
on the coinfected class is illustrated in Figure 6. The numerical simulations were conducted using the ODE 45, which produced the results shown in Figure 6. From Figure 6, we can observe that after a year, the solutions of meningitis and pneumonia coinfection are decreasing as the values of meningitis treatment rate, indicated by $\tau_3$, rise. To manage the disease in the community, public authorities must focus on increasing the values of the treatment rate $\tau_3$.

3.2.3. The Impact of Meningitis Treatment Rate on Coinfected Class. The endeavor we conducted about the impact of $\tau_3$ on the coinfected class is illustrated in Figure 7. The figure shows that the population with coinfections of meningitis and pneumonia decreases as the values of the meningitis treatment rate, indicated by $\tau_3$, rise. The most crucial thing to remember is that treating just meningitis-infected people can significantly reduce the cooccurrence of meningitis and pneumonia infections in communities, which is one of the study’s main findings.
As a result, we advise the stakeholders to maximize the meningitis treatment rate in order to confine and stop the spread of the meningitis and pneumonia coinfection illness in the community.

3.2.4. The Impact of Pneumonia Treatment Rate on Coinfected Class. The influence of pneumonia treatment rate ($\tau_2$) on the coinfected class is shown in Figure 8.

The graph shows that when the incidence of pneumonia treatment rises, the population with coinfections of meningitis and pneumonia declines. This study’s other key observation is that treating pneumonia-infected people only has a substantial impact on reducing the cooccurrence of meningitis and pneumonia infections in communities, which is perhaps most relevant. In order to prevent and slow the spread of the meningitis and pneumonia coinfection illness in the community, we advise the stakeholders to maximize the values of the pneumonia treatment rate of $\tau_2$.

3.2.5. The Impact of Parameters on $R_{eff} = \max \{ R_1, R_2 \}$. In this subsection, as we see in Figure 9, we have investigated the effect of vaccination ($\epsilon_2$) and the effective reproduction number $R_{eff} = \max \{ R_1, R_2 \}$. The figure reflects that when the value of $\epsilon_2$ increases, both $R_1$ and $R_2$ decrease, which implies that the maximum of them also declines.

Moreover, the value $R_{eff} = \max \{ R_1, R_2 \}$ becomes smaller than one when the value of $\epsilon_2 > 0.39$.

In this subsection, as we can see in Figure 10, we have investigated the effect of vaccination ($\epsilon_1$) and the effective reproduction number $R_{eff} = \max \{ R_1, R_2 \}$. The figure reflects that when the value of $\epsilon_1$ increases, both $R_1$ and $R_2$ decrease, which implies that the maximum of them also declines.

Moreover, the value $R_{eff} = \max \{ R_1, R_2 \}$ becomes smaller than one when the value of $\epsilon_1 > 0.258$.

Figure 11 elaborates the investigation of effect contact rates $a$ and $b$ on $R_{eff} = \max \{ R_1, R_2 \}$. The figure represents that as the values of $a$ and $b$ increase, both $R_1$ and $R_2$ increase. To have the minimum values of $R_{eff} = \max \{ R_1, R_2 \}$, the value of $a$ and $b$ should be less than 0.19.

As a result, we urge all relevant parties to pay close attention.
to the effectiveness of the pneumonia vaccine in preventing the coinfection of meningitis and pneumonia in society.

In this subsection, as we see in Figure 12, we have investigated the effect of a portion of vaccination of pneumonia protection portion $\rho$ on the effective reproduction number $R_{\text{eff}} = \max \{ R_1, R_2 \}$. The figure reflects that when the value of $\rho$ increases, both $R_1$ and $R_2$ decrease, which implies that the maximum of them also declines. Moreover, when the value of $\rho > 0.629$, the value of $R_2$ is less than one; when the value of $\rho > 0.831$, the value of $R_1$ is less than one.

This suggests that if and only if the percentage of pneumonia vaccination rate is higher than 0.831, then $R_{\text{eff}} = \max \{ R_1, R_2 \} < 1$. Therefore, to avoid and regulate the dynamic transmission of meningitis and pneumonia coinfection, public authorities must look to increase the gains of the pneumonia vaccination portion.

Figure 13 elaborates the investigation of the effect of pneumonia vaccination wanes ($\phi$) on $R_{\text{eff}} = \max \{ R_1, R_2 \}$. The figure represents as the value of $\phi$ increases, both $R_1$ and $R_2$ increase. To have the minimum values of $R_{\text{eff}} = \max \{ R_1, R_2 \}$, the value $\phi$ should be less than 0.4. As a result, we urge all relevant parties to pay close attention to the effectiveness of the pneumonia vaccine in preventing the coinfection of meningitis and pneumonia in society.
Figure 13: Effect of pneumonia vaccination wanes rate on reproduction numbers.

Figure 14 explains the investigation of the effect of meningitis vaccination wanes rate ($\phi$) on $R_{eff} = \max \{R_1, R_2\}$. The graph illustrates how both $R_1$ and $R_2$ grow when the value of $\phi$ rises. Our conclusion from this finding is that when the value of $\phi$ is smaller than 0.396, the minimal value of $R_{eff} = \max \{R_1, R_2\}$ is reached. The effectiveness of the meningitis vaccination in avoiding the coinfection of meningitis and pneumonia in society should thus be closely monitored, thus we encourage all pertinent parties to pay attention for it.

Analytic solution of some differential equations is complicated and tough. In such case, we need a numerical simulation of the system. In our case we have used a numerical simulation for stability analysis of steady state and obtained the result; after a year, the solutions of the meningitis and pneumonia coinfection dynamical system will tend to the endemic equilibrium point of the model. This expression of the plot illustrates the biological meaning of the proliferation and spread of meningitis and pneumonia coinfection which is regularly happening throughout the population of which is confined to a certain location. Additionally, we have used a numerical simulation to investigate the effects of a number of traits (parameters) that are related to the meningitis and pneumonia coinfection illness, such as, treatment rate, meningitis treatment rate, pneumonia treatment rate, effect of vaccination, and effective contact rate and vaccination wanes rate. As a result, we have shown that increasing availability to meningitis and pneumonia prevention, treatment, and meningitis and pneumonia coinfection vaccine has a significant influence on the frequency of meningitis and pneumonia in a particular population.

4. Discussion

We addressed the epidemiology and historical context of meningitis and pneumonia in Section 1.

In Section 2, the deterministic meningitis and pneumonia coinfection dynamical system was built by dividing the entire human population into nine groups based on the severity of the infection. Moreover, we looked at the model’s descriptive phenomena, including the model’s prospective solutions being positive, the dynamical system being bounded, the existence of a disease-free equilibrium point, the existence of an effective reproduction number using the next generation matrix technique, the existence of endemic equilibriums, and the stability analysis of the disease-free equilibrium point using the Routh-Hurwitz criteria in this section. Additionally, the Routh-Hurwitz criteria were used to demonstrate the local stability of endemic equilibrium points of submodels, and we were able to conclude that these points are locally asymptotically stable if the reproduction number is less than one. Nevertheless, the endemic equilibrium point of the combined meningitis and pneumonia coinfection model is revealed by numerical simulation presented in Section 3 by Figure 3, i.e., the endemic equilibrium point is locally asymptotically stable just when $a = 0.9$, $b = 0.85$, and $R_{eff} = \max \{R_1 = 12.33, R_2 = 15.1\} > 1$.

Through the use of numerical simulation, we have examined the effects of several parameters on the effective reproduction rate as well as the prevention and control of the development of meningitis and pneumonia infections in Section 3. The results show that increasing availability to meningitis and pneumonia prevention, treatment, and meningitis and pneumonia coinfection vaccine has a significant influence on the frequency of meningitis and pneumonia in a particular population. The optimal control analysis of pneumonia and meningitis coinfection [8] having solely evaluated prevention for both meningitis and pneumonia, we found that preventative regulation had a significant influence on reducing the spread of meningitis, pneumonia, and their coinfection within the given time frame. Efforts to
avoid pneumonia and treat meningitis are two more techniques addressed in this study. They discovered that each of the stated measures is successful in reducing the growth of the infectious populations that only have meningitis, pneumonia, or both throughout the allotted time frame.

A mathematical model of seven nonlinear differential equations for the pneumonia and meningitis coinfection with PCV vaccination for a newly born population and treatment for coinfecting class has also been developed and entitled as “mathematical model analysis and numerical simulation for codynamics of meningitis and pneumonia infection with intervention” [5]. They have taken into account the PCV13 (pneumococcal conjugate vaccine), which is a vaccination given to infants and protects against a variety of pneumococcal bacteria that can cause the most severe forms of pneumococcal illness, such as pneumonia and meningitis. Additionally, researchers [7] have demonstrated that the availability of hospital beds, medicines, and other treatment options all contribute to a decline in the number of cases of bacterial meningitis. However, they observe that an increased recruitment rate causes a backward split in a town with few hospital beds.

Finally, they advise using vaccination when there is a large influx of new people. Numerous studies have demonstrated that meningitis and pneumonia infections may be wiped out in the community when treatment and immunization efforts are combined. Despite treatment interventions, these diseases and their combined forms continue to exist in the entire population. Three different vaccine combinations with similar treatments were not taken into account in any model across all studies. In contrast to the previous studies described above, the model developed in this study took into consideration all three vaccines, namely the meningitis vaccine, the pneumonia vaccine, and people who had received both the vaccine and therapy at the same time.

5. Conclusion

The study is aimed at building and examining a compartmental deterministic mathematical model of the dynamics of the spread of the coinfection of meningitis and pneumonia. In the population under the study, this model considered how vaccination and therapy of single infection of meningitis or pneumonia may reduce the risk of meningitis and pneumonia coinfection. When the effective reproduction number is smaller than unity, the model possesses a disease-free equilibrium point that is locally asymptotically stable. The outcome of the numerical simulation demonstrates that when $R_{eff} > 1$, the meningitis and pneumonia coinfection model’s endemic equilibrium point is locally asymptotically stable.

Besides obtaining the model’s matching effective reproduction number $R_{eff}$, we were able to identify the effects of changing specific parameter values and provide future guidance for the public’s partners. Our findings have significant public health implications because they affect whether a disease is eradicated or persists in the community under investigation.

As a result of our numerical findings which show that $R_{eff} = 15.1$ at $b = 0.85$ and $a = 0.9$, we notify public authorities to focus on raising or maximizing the values of the involved individuals’ meningitis vaccination, pneumonia vaccination, and meningitis and pneumonia coinfection vaccination as well as treatment rates in order to reduce and eradicate the disease from the study’s target community. Finally, other key results of this study are meningitis contact rates, pneumonia contact rates, and vaccination deficits of corresponding diseases that have contributed immensely to the spread of meningitis and pneumonia coinfection in the community.
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