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**Wolbachia** Has Two Different Localization Patterns in Whitefly *Bemisia tabaci* AsiaII7 Species
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**Abstract**

The whitefly *Bemisia tabaci* is a cosmopolitan insect species complex that harbors the obligate primary symbiont *Portiera aleyrodidarum* and several facultative secondary symbionts including *Wolbachia*, which have diverse influences on the host biology. Here, for the first time, we revealed two different localization patterns of *Wolbachia* present in the immature and adult stages of *B. tabaci* AsiaII7 cryptic species. In the confined pattern, *Wolbachia* was restricted to the bacteriocytes, while in the scattered pattern *Wolbachia* localized in the bacteriocytes, haemolymph and other organs simultaneously. Our results further indicated that, the proportion of *B. tabaci* AsiaII7 individuals with scattered *Wolbachia* were significantly lower than that of confined *Wolbachia*, and the distribution patterns of *Wolbachia* were not associated with the developmental stage or sex of whitefly host. This study will provide a new insight into the various transmission routes of *Wolbachia* in different whitefly species.

**Introduction**

The associations among inherited bacterial symbionts and arthropods are very common in nature [1, 2], and these symbionts can be defined as primary or secondary ones as per their biological effects on arthropod hosts. The primary symbionts (such as *Portiera* in whitefly and *Carsonella* in psyllid) are obligate and have mutualism relationships with their hosts, providing essential nutrients under limited or unbalanced diets. Primary symbionts are generally localized in specialized cells called bacteriocytes, grouped together in a bacteriome [3]. In some cases, the primary endosymbionts become part of the “extended genome” of their host, being transferred vertically from a female host to her progeny [4]. Secondary endosymbionts are usually not required for the survival or reproduction of their hosts, but they may manipulate host reproduction, or help in the host’s defense against thermal stress, natural enemies and pathogens [5–8]. Similar to the primary endosymbionts, secondary endosymbionts are usually present in the gonads of hosts and can be transmitted vertically [9]. However, sometimes they are
also been found in haemolymph, malpighian tubules, salivary glands, fat body, ovarian cells, gut structures and brains of their hosts [10–14]. Among the secondary endosymbionts, Wolbachia is one of the most abundant species that infects insects, mites, spiders and isopods [9, 15–18]. It is well-established that Wolbachia can promote its own transmission throughout the host population by manipulating host reproduction. The typical manipulation includes cytoplasmic incompatibility (CI), parthenogenesis, feminization and male-killing [19].

Many arthropod individuals harbor more than one species of endosymbiont, and the possibilities of endosymbiont horizontal transmission may be highly associated with their localizations in hosts, which are known to be much diverse. To know the spatial distribution of endosymbionts in their hosts is the cornerstone in understanding the key aspects of symbiont-host interactions as well as the fitness, phenotype and dynamics of these bacteria. Caspi-Fluger et al. [3] found two distribution patterns of Rickettsia in the sweetpotato whitefly Bemisia tabaci, the scattered pattern located throughout the host hemocoel but not in the bacteriocytes, while another confined pattern was restricted to the bacteriocytes. Meanwhile, the scattered Rickettsia increased largely during the 21 days of post-adult emergence. The localization of Wolbachia within its arthropod hosts has been intensively studied, especially in Drosophila reproductive organs (ovaries and testes), but in whitefly hosts, only one study from Gottlieb et al. [20] revealed that Wolbachia was detected mostly at the circumference of and inside the bacteriocytes in the invasive B. tabaci Q biotype. Here, we investigate the infection dynamics and localization of Wolbachia in its B. tabaci AsiaII7 host via PCR and fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) methods. We propose that Wolbachia can also have varied localization patterns in B. tabaci AsiaII7 host and these patterns may not relate to the developmental stages of its whitefly host.

Materials and Methods
Whitefly B. tabaci AsiaII7 cryptic species (formerly Cv biotype), which is a serious pest of agriculture in South China [21], was used in the current study. The AsiaII7 B. tabaci was originally collected from hibiscus (Hibiscus rosa-sinensis) in 2013 at Yuancheng city (114°41'28"E, 23°44'13"N), Guangdong province of China. The population was firstly reared on the same plant species in separate greenhouses at South China Agricultural University (SCAU) with ambient temperature, photoperiod and humidity, and then a subcolony was reared under constant laboratory conditions (26.0±0.5°C, RH 70–80%, 14:10 L:D photoperiod; light intensity was approximately 3000Lux) for experimental use. The purities of both greenhouse and laboratory populations were monitored monthly by sequencing the mitochondrial COI DNA according to the methods described by Qiu et al. [21].

Detection of Wolbachia in AsiaII7 whitefly
The presence of Wolbachia in AsiaII7 B. tabaci at different developmental stages was detected by PCR method. The 3rd - 4th instar nymphs, male and female adults of AsiaII7 B. tabaci were individually homogenized in lysis buffer, while 15–20 eggs were homogenized together due to the potential low titer of Wolbachia within them. Whitefly DNA samples were extracted as previously described by Ahmed et al. [22]. The special primers used for Wolbachia detection were the Wolbachia surface protein (wsp) primers from Braig et al. [23] (wsp-81F: 5’-TGGTCCAA TAATGATGAAGAAAC-3’, wsp-691R: 5’-AAAAATTAAACGCTACTCACA-3’) and the 16S rDNA primer from Li et al. [24] (315f: 5’-GCGATGAGTAGAAAGGCC-3’, 628R: 5’-AGAT AGACGCTTGCACA-3’). The PCR procedure for wsp and 16S rDNA genes was as follows: firstly pre-denaturation at 95°C for 3 min then followed by 35 cycles of 94°C for 35 sec, 55°C for 30 sec and 72°C for 30 sec, and finally a 10 min extension period at 72°C. All PCRs were
performed in a 25μl reaction volume that included 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 200 mM for each dNTPs, 1μM of each primer, and 1 unit DNA Taq polymerase (Invitrogen, Guangzhou, China). After amplification, 5μl of the PCR product was visualized on a 1% agarose gel containing GoldView colourant and then photographed. When bands with the expected size were visible in the gels, the remaining 20μl of PCR product was sent for sequencing. Each PCR detection included a positive (DNA of Portiera aleyrodidarum) and negative (ddH₂O) control to identify the DNA quality. Between 25–30 individuals of nymphs, male and female adults were screened in this experiment.

FISH visualization of Wolbachia in AsiaII7 Whitefly

Eggs, 3rd instar nymphs, male and female adults of AsiaII7 B. tabaci (20 individuals for each stage) were randomly collected and placed in Carnoy’s fixative. FISH detections were performed with the symbiont-specific 16S rRNA of Wolbachia (W2-Cy3: 5’-CTTCTGTGAGTAC CGTCATTATC-3’) and the method described by Gottlieb et al. [25]. Stained whitefly samples were mounted and viewed under a Nikon eclipse Ti-U FluoView inverted microscope, and a no-probe staining AsiaII7 whitefly specimen was used as a negative control in the FISH detection. The individual numbers of different Wolbachia localization patterns in the nymph and adult stages of AsiaII7 were recorded. Experiments were repeated 3 times, and the proportion of whitefly individuals with scattered and confined Wolbachia were finally calculated.

In order to investigate whether the location patterns of Wolbachia change or not during the development of AsiaII7 immatures, the egg, nymph and adult samples (3–5 samples for each stage) from the same parent whitefly were collected. Wolbachia was visualized by FISH using the same probe and methods stated above. In an additional experiment, 5 females and their eggs (F1 generation) were collected separately. The location patterns of Wolbachia in the mother females and their eggs (F1 generation) were also examined by FISH. The experiments were repeated three times.

Data analysis

The mean percentages of different Wolbachia localization patterns in the egg, nymph and adult stages of whitefly were analyzed using Proc Means program (SAS 9.2), and the differences were compared using t-test (PRT program, SAS, 9.2) at a significance level α = 0.05.

Results

Wolbachia infection in AsiaII7 whitefly

The results of Wolbachia PCR screening based on wsp and 16S rDNA genes showed identical infection results both in the immature and adult stages of AsiaII7 B. tabaci including egg, nymph, male and female adults; all were infected with Wolbachia (Fig 1). The infection percentages of Wolbachia in nymph, male and female adults were 92.9% (26/28), 90.5% (19/21) and 96.2% (25/26), respectively, revealing a high infection status of Wolbachia in the AsiaII7 B. tabaci populations.

FISH visualization of Wolbachia in AsiaII7 whitefly

Results of fluorescence in situ hybridization revealed two localization patterns of Wolbachia in all the developmental stages of AsiaII7 B. tabaci, a scattered pattern and a confined pattern. In the eggs, the confined pattern of Wolbachia was very distinct, which was restricted in the bacteriome localized at one end of the whitefly egg (Fig 2a), while in the scattered pattern this
symbiont was pervasive in the whole egg (Fig 2b). Moreover, it seems that more *Wolbachia* was concentrated in the pedicel end of the egg than other parts in the scattered localization pattern.

When infecting the AsiaII7 whitefly nymph in a confined pattern, *Wolbachia* was detected mostly around or inside the bacteriomes located in the abdomen of hosts (Fig 3a). However, on the contrary, the scattered *Wolbachia* symbiont was visualized not only in the bacteriomes but also in different body regions of nymph (Fig 3b). The localization of *Wolbachia* in the adults of *AsiaII7 B. tabaci* was similar to that in the nymphs; confined symbiont was restricted to the bacteriomes in the abdomens of males and females, and scattered *Wolbachia* was found both in and outside of the bacteriomes, located in the organs of abdomen, thorax and head (Figs 4a and 4b, 5a and 5b). The detection of the location patterns of *Wolbachia* in the egg, nymph and adult samples from same parent whitefly, indicated that location patterns did not change during the whole developmental period. Adult females infected with confined *Wolbachia* always produced *Wolbachia*-confined eggs, while those infected with scattered *Wolbachia* always

---

**Fig 1.** The infection of *Wolbachia* in the different stages of AsiaII7 *Bemisia tabaci*. *Wolbachia* was detected by PCR with the specific wsp primers, an expected DNA band of approximately 610 bp positively appeared in all the samples. M: DNA marker; Lanes 1–6 are positive control (*Portiera*, ~1000 bp), negative control (ddH₂O), egg, nymph, male and female adults of AsiaII7 respectively.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0162558.g001
produced Wolbachia-scattered eggs. In addition, our results also indicated that the distribution patterns of Wolbachia symbiont were not associated with the sex of whitefly host.

Dynamics of Wolbachia localization in AsiaI7 whitefly

Although both the confined and scattered localization patterns of Wolbachia were detected in the AsiaI7 B. tabaci, FISH visualization results revealed that the scattered localization pattern was significantly lower than the confined one. The percentages of host individuals infected with scattered Wolbachia were 19.73 ±1.85%, 21.6 ±1.62%, 23.6±2.64% and 24.4±1.96% for egg nymph, adult males and adult females, respectively (Fig 6, S1 Table, M±SE). Again, it seems that the distribution patterns of Wolbachia symbiont are not associated to the developmental stage (nymph or adult) and sex of host (male or female).

Discussion

During the past two decades, the associations of bacterial endosymbionts and their arthropod hosts have become a matter of interest. A significant increase in studies on related topics suggests that these symbionts play significant roles in the biology of their hosts [8, 26–28]. Among arthropods, sap-feeding insects such as whitefly, aphid, psyllid and leafhopper, usually harbor
abundant species of secondary endosymbionts, including *Rickettsia*, *Wolbachia*, *Arsenophonus*, *Cardinium*, *Serratia* and *Regiella* [20, 29–33]. Endosymbionts are usually diverse in different host species, and even or in different geographical populations of the same host species. For example, *B. tabaci* is a small hemipterous insect that feeds on the phloem sap of numerous host plants. It is currently considered as a complex of at least 24 distinct cryptic species, which are morphologically indistinguishable but differ markedly in their host range, ability to transmit viruses and the endosymbionts they are infected with [28, 34]. Therefore, investigation on individual endosymbiont species and their distribution patterns has been an area of interest due to their important effects on host fitness. In this study, although the confocal microscope images of primary *Portiera* and secondary *Wolbachia* are not available, we have systematically and distinctly showed two different localization patterns of *Wolbachia* in all the developmental stages of the same whitefly host, **AsiaII7** *B. tabaci*. Moreover, the distribution patterns were similar to those previously found in *Rickettsia* symbiont. The differences in symbiont localization were thought to be the results of a genetic modification in host factors that control the movement of symbiont, or of a change in the bacterium itself so affecting mobility [3].

It is well known that the obligate primary endosymbionts such as *Buchnera* in aphids, *Portiera* in whiteflies and *Carsonella* in psyllids are generally confined and localized in the special

---

**Fig 3. FISH visualization of Wolbachia in the nymphs of AsiaII7 Bemisia tabaci.** Panel a-b: confined and scattered *Wolbachia* in nymphs; panel c: the negative control whitefly nymph hybridization without specific probe. Left panels: fluorescence in dark field; right panels: fluorescence in bright field. B: bacteriome in whitefly host, H: haemolymph tissue of whitefly host.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0162558.g003
cell bacteriocytes, which are highly related to strictly vertical transmission from parents to offspring [3, 29, 35], while the secondary symbionts are generally distributed in other organs of host insects. Thus, it is speculated that the various locations of these symbionts may be highly associated with their different physiological roles in their hosts [6, 8, 36–38]. Primary symbionts are essential for host survival and development, providing the host with essential amino acids and vitamins [3]. Thus, the long term co-evolution of primary symbionts with an insect host has meant the forming of their own mechanisms to ensure their vertical transmission. For secondary symbionts, their distributions may indicate that they are not necessary for host survival, but they may have more functions relating to host biology, so affecting the phenotype of the host. In this study, the scattered Wolbachia was found both in and outside of the bacteriomes, distributed in the reproductive system and other tissues of AsiaII7 B. tabaci host, whereas the confined Wolbachia was only found within the bacteriomes. The DNA sequencing of 16S rDNA and wsp genes revealed that these two patterns of Wolbachia are 100% and 99.9% identical to each other (BLQ unpublished data), but whether their physiological functions are same or not, still needs to be further investigated.

Wolbachia is not the only endosymbiont with more than one localization pattern in its whitefly host. In B. tabaci Middle East-Asia Minor 1 cryptic species (MEAM1, formerly B
biotype), Caspi-Fluger et al. [3] revealed that the secondary symbiont *Rickettsia* presents two distinct localization patterns throughout development and adulthood in its whitefly host, which is similar to the *Wolbachia* location patterns discovered in current study: in the scattered pattern, *Rickettsia* is localized throughout the whitefly hemocoel, excluding the bacteriocytes, while in the confined pattern, *Rickettsia* is restricted to the bacteriocytes. In pea aphids, *Rickettsia*, *Hamiltonella*, *Serratia* and *Regiella* were found in three localizations within their hosts: secondary bacteriocytes, oocytes, sheath cells, salivary glands and haemolymph [31, 39, 40]. The localizations of these symbionts in these organs may give us some clues to their possible horizontal transmission routes. For example, by localizing in the reproductive system, male-borne symbionts can be acquired by females and subsequently established stable, maternally transmitted associations [41], by localizing in the salivary glands, *Rickettsia* can be inputted into the phloem of a plant by a donor whitefly and then easily taken up by a recipient whitefly feeding on the same plant leaves [42]. In addition, when localized in the haemolymph, *Wolbachia* has a high possibility to be phoretically picked up by parasitoids when they are probing to check a donor whitefly nymph and therefore input this symbiont into another individual during the next probing exercise [43].
In conclusion, during this study we have shown that one insect host can harbor different distribution patterns of *Wolbachia* in the bacteriocytes and haemolymph simultaneously. These new findings are helpful to understand why there is a high abundance of symbionts including *Wolbachia* in arthropod communities in nature. The physiological roles of these symbionts in different localization patterns should be further investigated, as most of them are directly involved in phenotype characteristics of their individual host species, including virus transmission, chemical resistance, heat tolerance, host’s immunity and also host protection against parasites and pathogens.
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