Natural and Cultural Processes Influencing Gene Flow Among Wild (atoq papa), Weedy (araq papa and k’ipa papa), and Crop Potatoes in the Andean Region of Southern Peru
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The Andean region is one of the areas with the earliest signs of food production systems and highest agrobiodiversity of the world, which resulted from millennia of domestication in a context of high ecosystem heterogeneity and human cultures valuing diversity for risk management. FAO has reported nearly 4000 varieties of cultivated potatoes still grown in the Andes, 3000 of them currently occurring in Peru. Such diversity has enormous sources of variation in wild (atoq papa) and weedy (araq papa and k’ipa papa) potatoes that coexist with crops, but their variation, interactions and mechanisms influencing diversification processes still require studies. In order to have a panorama of the variation and mechanisms influencing it in a regional setting, we studied biocultural factors favoring potatoes diversity in communities of Cusco and Apurimac, Peru. Our study documented the regional variation of wild, weedy, and cultivated potatoes recognized by local Quechua people and conducted semi-structured interviews to document their use, cultural value, and strategies of gene flow management implemented. We also studied their phenology, floral biology, flower visitors, and conducted experimental crosses between the wild S. candolleanum and 30 varieties of cultivated potatoes. We identified the wild potatoes S. acaule, S. brevicaule and S. candolleanum and 53 varieties of araq papa used and managed by local people. The latter provide nearly one third of the annual consumption of tubers by people interviewed and are, therefore, highly valued, maintained and managed in crop fields (chacras). People recognized that crosses between wild, weedy, and cultivated potatoes occur, and identified flower visitors and frugivores consuming their berries. Overlap of blooming periods and flower visitors of wild, weedy, and cultivated potatoes was recorded. Almost all flower visitors are shared among the different potato species and
varieties, the bumble bees being particularly relevant in pollination of all taxa studied. We recorded seed production in nearly 35% of the experimental crosses. *K’ipa papas* are sets of mixtures of plants resulting from remaining tubers of cultivated potatoes, but also those from seeds that may result from hybridization of wild, weedy, and cultivated potatoes. Since local people commonly use *k’ipa papa* varieties and some of them are kept for planting in *chacras*, sexual reproduction in *k’ipa papas* is possibly one main mechanism of variation and source of new varieties of crops. Maintaining wild and weedy potatoes, and the natural and cultural mechanisms of gene flow is crucial for *in situ* conservation and generation of potato variation.
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**INTRODUCTION**

The Andean region has been recognized as one of the areas with the earliest signs of food production in the Americas and the highest agrobiodiversity of the world (Harlan, 1975; Hawkes, 1983; Vavilov, 1992; Brush et al., 1995; Zimmerer, 2003, 2016; Brush, 2004; Brack and Bravo, 2006; Clement et al., 2021). Agrobiodiversity is a term commonly associated to genetic diversity of crops, but it is a concept that includes the general richness and diversity of species of plants, animals, macromycetes, lichens, and microorganisms (bacteria, cyanobacteria, and fungi) that interact with crops in agroecosystems, as well as the heterogeneity of ecosystems in agricultural landscapes (FAO, 2016; Casas and Vallejo, 2019). In Peru, Brack and Bravo (2006) identified 180 native crop species, nearly 1700 plant species under some management type, most of them semi-domesticated, and nearly 4700 wild plant species whose products are used by people of the Andean, Amazonian, and the arid and semiarid zones of the Coastal region. Such diversity is representative of the remarkable agrobiodiversity of the area.

Domestication is an evolutionary process continually operating in the Andean region for more than 8,000 years, in contexts where ecosystems, technology and culture have also been dynamic. Human culture is one of the main drivers favoring crop attributes like forms, colors, textures, resistance against pests and other environmental challenges for food production, or that which confers adaptability to different elevations, soil types, rainfall regimes or conditions for storing the products harvested (Casas et al., 2016). Variation is the necessary condition for this process to operate, and such variation may be continually provided by wild and weedy potatoes when gene flow occurs (Casas et al., 2016). This study analyses the possible different sources of variation occurring in a particular area (the Andean region of southern Peru), the natural and human processes influencing on their state (ecological interactions and management techniques, respectively), and their possible role to explain the mechanisms favoring gene flow among such sources of variation. It is one approach to contextualize the processes influencing the population genetics of wild, weedy and crop potatoes in the area.

Native Andean crops have had an exceptional process of diversification, which can be explained in part due to the millenary history of food production and domestication in the region; but also, because local human cultures outstandingly value diversity as a basis to manage factors that put in risk the regional people’s subsistence. Management of diversity has been the keystone of what anthropologists have called risk management strategy, through the control of multiple vertical levels in Andean mountains (Murra, 1983). In addition, the outstanding Andean agrobiodiversity can be explained because of the also high heterogeneity of ecosystems and landscapes of the region (Brack and Bravo, 2006; Brack et al., 2008), which determines an extraordinary mosaic of microhabitats that in turn influences local adaptations and diversification of organisms through natural evolutionary processes. Finally, the extraordinary diversity is a result of reproductive interactions and gene flow between crops and their wild and weedy relatives. Potatoes and other Andean tubers stand among these exceptionally diverse components of agrobiodiversity, which are continually interacting with their non-crop relatives and have been domesticated by diverse cultures of the region. All wild, weedy, and cultivated potatoes are therefore important parts of the Andean agrobiodiversity.

Botanists and agronomists have identified and classified nearly 4,000 varieties of potato crops (Tobin et al., 2016), about 2800 to 3300 of them distributed in Peru (De Haan and Rodriguez, 2016). These varieties belong to the genus *Solanum* and have been considered to include 8 species (Hawkes, 1990), 7 species (Spooner and Salas, 2006), and, more recently, 4 species (*Solanum tuberosum* L., *Solanum ajanhuiri* Juz. and Bukasov, *Solanum curtisobum* Juz. and Bukasov and *Solanum juzepczukii* Juz., according to Spooner et al., 2014). Controversies about the correct status of classification of landraces, varieties and species involving the organisms recognized as potatoes have taken long time and continue until present, since the limits among these taxonomic units are rather fuzzy. Studies by taxonomists, throughout time, have gained new research tools to clarify their status and they still conduct research to understand the nature of potatoes, with increasing precision (Hawkes, 1990; Spooner and Salas, 2006; Spooner et al., 2014). Even fuzzier and complicated is the information documented
from anthropological research. For instance, the project ‘in situ conservation of native crops and their wild relatives’ in Peru, documented from ethnographic approaches that people of the Peruvian Andes recognize and name nearly 9,000 potato varieties, even after a careful work for discarding synonyms (Velázquez-Milla et al., 2011; Torres-Guevara et al., 2017). These traditional varieties are recognized based on potato attributes and qualities that are difficult to measure through conventional methods used by botanists and agronomists like food quality, pulp texture, properties for processing, among others (Velázquez-Milla et al., 2011). However, the resulting ciphers from both botanical and ethnographic studies are equally impressive and both sources of information deserve deeper integral studies. The high number of varieties of potatoes in the Andean region reveals the existence of a great regional biocultural diversity, not only in terms of varieties adapted to particular environments and cultural contexts, but also in relation to different types of production systems, technological elements, economic relations, and social organization (Brush, 1992; Brush et al., 1992; Lastra et al., 2019; Zimmerer et al., 2020).

The great diversity of potatoes in the Andean region is influenced by the presence of species of wild relatives, which are grouped within the Quechua names sacha papa or ataq papa (meaning “potatoes of the mountain” and “fox potatoes,” respectively) sometimes used as medicine but that are not part of the food system (De Haan et al., 2007; Figure 1). Wild relatives of potatoes include nearly 200 species, according to Hawkes (1990) or about 100, according to Spooner et al. (2014), of the genus Solanum section Petota, distributed from southwestern United States to south central Chile (Huamán and Spooner, 2002; Spooner and Salas, 2006). Wild potatoes have the capacity to maintain reproductive interaction and interchange of genes with crop potatoes, as demonstrated by several studies (Jackson and Hanneman, 1999; Bradshaw et al., 2006; Jansky, 2006; Scurrah et al., 2008; Bethke et al., 2017; Maune et al., 2018). In the study area of Apurimac and Cusco, Spooner et al. (1999) reported the presence of 22 wild species, but in a more recent taxonomic treatment by Spooner et al. (2014) those taxa were grouped into the following 9 species: S. candolleanum Berthault, S. acaule Bitter, S. brevicaule Bitter, S. buesti Vargas, S. raphanifolium Cárdenas and Hawkes, S. inacisium Ochoa, S. laxissimum Bitter, Solanum violaceimarmoratum Bitter, and S. pillahuatense Vargas. Solanum candolleanum is considered by Spooner et al. (2014) to include more than 30 taxa previously described as species now considered to be synonyms, among them S. bukasovi Juz., an important taxon of our study. Ahead, we will use the name S. candolleanum to refer to this taxon, following Spooner et al. (2014). Gene flow between wild and cultivated potatoes has been documented for some species and, although more research is needed, it has been identified as a primary source of variation in cultivated varieties. It is a continuous, silent process, which is possible because of the coexistence of wild and domesticated populations. But, besides ataq papas, different taxa called in Quechua araq papas and k'ipa papas also coexist and may interact with crop potatoes. However, their interactions have been scarcely studied.

The araq papas (approximately meaning “toward potatoes” in Quechua), are local taxa that behave like weedy plants and seem to have considerable intraspecific diversity. These plants are spread from Bolivia to Ecuador, where they are collected and consumed by Andean farmers, who name them as follows: Araq papa (central and southern Peru), chayka papa (central Peru), papa curao (central and northern Peru), lelekko yaya (Bolivia), and semillu (Bolivia) (De Haan and Rodriguez, 2016). Araq papa is commonly associated with corn in chacras (crop fields), and their root systems typically have a long stolon and thick tuber skin (De Haan et al., 2007). Araq papas have been reported to be collected by local people, being an important source of food for them (Brush, 1992). Araq papas have been managed by Andean peoples from ancient times and are at present valuable resources; they coexist with wild and crop potatoes, and even planted or grown by farmers, maintaining their own cultural and biological role, as we document in this study. Araq papas were originally classified as Solanum aracc-papa by the Russian researchers Sergei Vasilievic Juepczczuk and Vladimir Alekseevich Rybin. More recently other authors considered them as Solanum tuberosum subsp. andigena; in the classification by Spooner et al. (2014) this taxon would correspond to the Andigenous group. However, their high morphological variation raises the question whether one single taxon is involved, rather suggesting the occurrence of polyploidy, hybridization and other processes that make araq papas to be a complex of taxa that deserves deeper studies.

Other local taxa are grouped under the name of k'ipa papa (meaning approximately “from potatoes”, in Quechua) whose exact nature remains unknown (Figure 1). According to Bonnave et al. (2015), k'ipa “is a Quechua term meaning volunteer plants of a crop species emerging in sites of former fields in the years following cultivation of this crop”. K'ipa papa are remains of potatoes cultivated during a previous cultivation period, that is, plants deriving from tubers of domesticated or cultivated potatoes (De Haan et al., 2007) that were not collected by the agriculturalists (Figure 1). However, it is common that people identify new varieties derived from k'ipa papa, which suggests that these may in addition result from seeds and, therefore, sexual reproduction, a similar system documented for mashua (Tropaeolum tuberosum Ruiz et Pavon; Velázquez-Milla et al., 2011) and oca Oxalis tuberosa Molina (Bonnave et al., 2015). How frequent the interaction is of ataq papas, araq papas and k'ipa papas with potato crops, and how people use and manage these plants to favor their interaction, are relevant questions to understand biological and cultural processes associated with potato diversification. Our study aspires to contribute to answer some of these questions. The main research question motivating our study was what natural and human cultural mechanisms are relevant to analyze gene flow among wild, weedy, and cultivated potatoes. In particular, what processes influence the movement of pollen and the effectiveness of interspecific and inter-varietal cross-pollination, and what factors participate in seed dispersal and movement of tubers in the space. We hypothesized that if wild, weedy, and crop potatoes have synchronized flowering period, share flower visitors and their crosses are successful, then gene flow among them would be effective. This general hypothesis allows visualizing the need of different sources of information related to pollination biology, seed dispersal and movement of vegetative propagules. Although some cultivated
potatoes are sterile, and pollination and seed dispersal are irrelevant, wild and some weedy and cultivated potatoes’ seeds are effective propagules and their dispersal is relevant. We started our research on this topic by enquiring about what people know about flower visitors, and birds and mammals consuming fruits or the success of dispersal by simple fruit falling. The movement of tubers was expected to be particularly active in cultivated and weedy potatoes, influenced by their use value, which in turn influence the tolerance of weedy potatoes in crop fields, and propagation of tubers from desirable varieties from place to place, and other actions favoring their distribution and abundance in chacras.

Therefore, our study analyses social and ecological factors in the Andean area of southern Peru, in relation to the use and management of specific and intraspecific taxa of *Solanum*, considered to be potatoes and potatoes-relatives, which may contribute to explain mechanisms influencing potato diversification. Research on these topics is necessary not only to understand processes of potato diversification, but in addition for developing social and ecological bases for the conservation of those processes that dynamically generate agrobiodiversity and that are indispensable conditions to the *in situ* maintenance of this valuable biocultural heritage (Casas et al., 2016; Torres-Guevara et al., 2017).

**METHODS**

**Study Area**

Ethnobotanical studies and collecting of plant specimens were conducted in the communities of Quiñota and Pallpa pallpa, province of Chumbivilcas, in Cusco, as well as in Haquira, Huancacalla Chico, San Juan de Llaccua, Cconchayoc, Ccocha and Patahuasi province of Cotabambas, in the Department of Apurimac, at the Sierra Sur of Peru (Figure 2). In each community we interviewed as much people as possible, according to their time availability and disposition to collaborate in the study. In this research, our effort was focused on inventorying the species and varieties of potatoes existing in the region, their use and management. Elevation, climate aspects and population of the sites studied are summarized in Table 1.

**Ethnobotanical Studies**

Semi-structured interviews were carried out with a total of 67 persons (42 women and 25 men). Interviews were performed individually and in focus groups 2-10 people each to allow the interchange of experiences and views among participants. We asked participants to elaborate oral free lists (Newing et al., 2011) of the varieties of potatoes (*atoq, araq, and k’ipa papas*) and then asked questions in relation to their names and attributes, their distribution, association, phenological and morphological aspects, period of availability of tubers, forms of use, preparation, management, commercialization, storing techniques and eventual rise of new variants (Supplementary Material 1).

We collected and prepared voucher specimens of all varieties of *atoq, araq papas*, and samples of *k’ipa papas* available in the crop fields of people interviewed, each specimen was documented with the information mentioned above when collected. Photographs in crop fields and in fresh collected material were taken, and the plant material herborized. The plants collected were labeled to further collecting tubers from them. Additional samples of leaves were collected and maintained in bags with silica-gel for further molecular studies. Samples of
**k’ipa papas** were collected from random samples of 30 plants per chacra.

**Preparation of Experiments in the Field**

We established an experimental field in the community of Huancacalla Chico sector Patabamba, where we got the permit for planting 30 varieties of native crop potatoes, and where naturally occur the wild species *S. candolleanum* and *S. acaule*, which grow associated to or near the chacras. Sowing of crop potatoes started the 16th of November 2017, maintaining 0.40 m among plants and 0.90 m among rows. Weeding was unnecessary but hilling was conducted in January 2017, 64 days after tuber planting. We used cow dung to fertilize soil in plots (nearly 20 g for each tuber), and after 64 days we practiced an additional application.

**Phenology**

We recorded directly different events of wild, weedy, and cultivated potatoes. We recorded the time when cultivated potatoes were planted and when the terrains where *araq papas* grew were tilled. In the case of *Solanum candolleanum* we recorded the time of the emergence of the aerial plant parts. Later, the blooming starting, flowering peak and the end of the period. Finally, the time when fruiting started and finished.

**Flower Visitors**

For identifying the flower visitors and their possible role in pollination we established 6 videorecorders (three for wild individuals and three for cultivated potato varieties). We carried out continual videorecording for sets of 4 consecutive days before...
Supplementary Material 2 was registered after treating them with gibberellic acid for 24 h. Andean Biodiversity (CRIBA). The number of germinating seeds were produced by cutting the berries transversally. Seeds were obtained from all successful fruits were dried and treated with one or two drops of chloroplasts, 3x: 9 -11, 4x:15 - 16 chloroplasts (Huamán, 1995). In the Laboratory of Entomology of the Faculty of Biology at the Universidad Nacional de San Antonio Abad de Cusco, following classification keys by Michener (2000), Silveira et al. (2002), and Fernández and Sharkey (2006). The specimens were deposited in the collection of the laboratory referred to, under the Márquez-Castellanos collection numbers.

Breeding Experiments
Experiments were conducted in the field, inter-crossing individuals from 30 different varieties of cultivated potatoes and the wild relative species Solanum candolleanum. Flowers of all crop varieties and the wild relative were pollen receptor and donors (female and male, respectively), each cross having from 3 to 5 repetitions. The fruit-set and seed number were calculated for all successful treatments. Seeds from all successful fruits were germinated and the percentage of germination was recorded. We emasculated 2-12 flower buds per plant. Then we removed other neighboring buds and flowers in the branches selected for the experiment and covered the flowers for the experiment with mosquito net bags. We obtained pollen from each cultivated variety and the wild relative from samples of flowers, which released pollen stimulated by vibration of a diapason. The pollen collected in Petri dishes was put in the stigma of the receptive flower and covered again with the bags, labeling in each case the source of pollen and date (Eijlander and Stiekema, 1994; Bamberg, 2020). Fruit harvesting was conducted 25, 40 and 45 days after the crosses, when fruits were mature. The successful fruits were transported to the National University San Antonio Abad de Cusco (UNSAAC) at Cusco, where we obtained the seeds produced by cutting the berries transversally. Seeds were then washed with clean water and counted. Seed germination was tested in a greenhouse at the Regional Centre of Research in Andean Biodiversity (CRIBA). The number of germinating seeds was registered after treating them with gibberellic acid for 24 h (see Supplementary Material 2).

Ploidy Levels
For evaluating the ploidy level, we followed the technique developed by Huamán (1995). We collected terminal leaflets from plants of each variety planted in our experimental field, as well as from S. candolleanum. Leaflets were maintained in 70% ethanol for one hour, then dried and treated with one or two drops of a solution of 1 g of KI, 1 g of I and 100 ml of 80% ethanol for five minutes. Leaflets were cut to obtain epidermic tissue placed in a slide, a drop of glycerine, coverslip, and observed in a microscope. We counted the chloroplasts of the stomatic guard cells estimating the ploidy following the relations 2x:7 - 8 chloroplasts, 3x: 9 -11, 4x:15 - 16 chloroplasts (Huamán, 1995).

Cultural Salience Analyses
Frequencies and order of mention of the varieties were recorded and the Smith and Sutrop salience indexes were calculated for each variety. The cognitive importance was estimated through free listing data with the index of Sutrop (S) with the formula 

\[ S = F/(N \cdot mP) \]

where \( F \) represents the frequency of the variety mentioned, \( N \) the total number of interviewed people, and \( mP \) is the medium position in which the variety was named (Sutrop, 2001; Rangel-Landa et al., 2016). We in addition calculated the index developed by Smith 

\[ S = (\sum (L-R_j + 1))/L/N \]

where \( S \) is the average rank of an item across all lists in the sample, weighted by the lengths of the lists in which the item actually occurs; \( L \) is the length of (number of items in) a list; \( R \) the rank of the item in the list (first = 1); and \( N \) the number of lists in the sample (Smith and Borgatti, 1998). We calculated these indexes with the software FLAME v1.0 (Penne et al., 2012). Use and management techniques recorded, as well as information on attributes recognized by people, were systematized following descriptive qualitative methods.

RESULTS

Quechua Nomenclature, Use and Management of atoq papa, araq papa, and k'ipa papa
All people interviewed, said to know the wild relatives of potatoes, which are called atoq papa, as well as those of other tubers like oca (Oxalis tuberosa), mashua (Tropaeolum tuberosum) and olluco (Ullucus tuberosus Caldas). People mentioned that these plants grow in the rocks, fences, around or inside the chacras. These plants are removed to avoid competition with crops; according to people these plants emerge when the rainy season starts. They said that these plants are medicinal, fruits are used for curdling the milk to prepare cheese and added that their ancestors used their tubers during hard times of hunger and in rituals as payments to Pachamama, but no information about their preparation for consumption was remembered. They have seen that their fruits and seeds are consumed and dispersed by domestic animals, mainly horses and donkeys, as well as some regional birds like the zorzal chiguancu (Turdis chiguancu Lafresnaye and d’Orbigny) and deer (Odocoileus virginianus peruvianus Gray). They identified the waña papa (Solanum acaule), the atoq lisa or k’ita lisa (Solanum candolleanum), which is medicinal, used as a plaster for treating traumas, and the atoq aña or Kita aña (Solanum brevicaule) whose flowers and leaves are used to prepare an infusion taken for illnesses of the prostate.

Another important group is araq papas. We recorded 53 varieties of these plants as shown in Table 2, all of them referred to in the interviews and focus groups and most of them occurring in the chacras of the households interviewed. In 2018, the winner of the “Araq papa Fair” in Quiñota, Cusco was a woman showing 76 varieties of araq papas, which indicates the great diversity of araq papas existing in the region (Figure 3). Araq papas grow at elevations from 3,000 m to 3,730 m, mainly in association with maize and fava beans (Vicia faba L.), but also with tarwi.
**Phenology**

In cultivated potatoes, the vegetative growth from planting to blooming took 78 days, while in *S. candolleanum* it took 45 days and in *araq papas* 40 to 50 days from the emergence of the aerial plant part to the starting of flowering. The flowering peak was observed about 92 days after planting the cultivated varieties, 63 days after the emergence of *S. candolleanum*, and 76 days after tilling the ground in the case of *araq papas*. Blooming period is 27-29 days in *S. candolleanum*, 26 to 29 days in *araq papas* and 25 to 29 days in crop potatoes. The general comparison of records of flowering monitored in wild and cultivated potatoes are shown in Figure 5. Although flowering peaks in wild and cultivated potatoes occur at different times, there is a general overlap of flowering that makes possible pollen interchange.

**Pollination Biology**

Duration of anthesis in wild and cultivated potatoes studied was 4-5 days, the process starting at dawn. During this period, we captured 38 specimens of flower visitors assigned to 11 species with some specimens unidentified (Table 3). *Bombus opifex* and *Tetragonix sp.* were collected but absent in all our videorecorded material, probably reflecting their scarcity.

*Kelita* sp., *Bombus baeri*, and *B. funebris* are the species with the highest frequencies of visits all of them effective visits (Table 4, and Figure 6). *Allograpta* sp. and *Anthomyiidae flies* had high frequency of visits but nearly half and 3% of them were effective, respectively. *Ruiathellia* sp. and *Astlys subannulatus* had high proportion of effective visits but their visits frequency...
### TABLE 2  
From top to bottom, the most salient araq papa varieties mentioned by 67 persons and found in the chacras sampled (n = 20) and their attributes.

| Variety                  | Frequency | Sutrop index | Smith index | Salient attributes                                                                                                                                 |
|--------------------------|-----------|--------------|-------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Runk’u, or lunku (3, 14, 19, 22, 34, 62) | 14        | 0.2390       | 0.5285      | Fast growth (early availability), floury, good flavor and color, fast cooking, high demand, wide distribution                                         |
| Yuraq ch’ak’iña (23, 27, 31, 35, 38, 56, 68, 72) | 9         | 0.2025       | 0.3725      | Good flavor, floury texture. It can be consumed alone, only boiled, long white tubers, high demand in markets. We recorded a monoculture of this variety |
| P’akush (4, 8, 9, 15)    | 8         | 0.1280       | 0.3049      | Short stolon, easy to harvest, floury, rapid cooking, only boiled                                                                                  |
| Wuaña muyu (5)           | 3         | 0.1205       | 0.075       | Slow growth, late production                                                                                                                        |
| Puka ch’ak’iña (63)      | 8         | 0.1143       | 0.2905      | Good flavor, floury texture, precocious production of tuber                                                                                         |
| Q’abka (1, 33, 39)       | 5         | 0.1040       | 0.2175      | Good flavor, floury texture. Can be consumed alone, only boiled. People said this is the tastiest                                                   |
| Ch’ak’iña kulli (PhR)    | 6         | 0.0857       | 0.1843      | Good flavor, floury texture. Can be consumed alone, only boiled                                                                                  |
| Sikapisayu p’akush (PhR) | 2         | 0.0730       | 0.05        | Short stolon, easy to harvest, floury, rapid cooking                                                                                               |
| Sikapisayu yunku (PhR)   | 2         | 0.0728       | 0.05        | Fast growth (early availability), floury, good flavor, fast cooking, high demand                                                                 |
| Anqas r’unku (62)        | 2         | 0.0667       | 0.095       | Fast growth (early availability), floury, good flavor, fast cooking, high demand                                                                 |
| Ch’ak’iña muyu (PhR)     | 2         | 0.0667       | 0.0938      | Good flavor, floury texture. Can be consumed alone, only boiled                                                                                  |
| Ch’ak’iña p’akush (PhR)  | 2         | 0.0646       | 0.025       | Short stolon, easy to harvest                                                                                                                        |
| Antama or antaña (PhR)   | 8         | 0.0593       | 0.1486      | Not floury (watery consistency), good for preparing soups                                                                                           |
| Anqas wuaña (21, 46)    | 7         | 0.0570       | 0.1464      | Slow growth late production, not good consistency but good for preparing soups                                                                  |
| Khuch qurunta (45, 53, 65)| 5         | 0.0446       | 0.1351      | Precocious, early availability, fast growth, floury, rapid cooking                                                                               |
| Yuraq p’akush (50, 73)   | 6         | 0.0439       | 0.1314      | Precocious, good flavor                                                                                                                           |
| Wuaña (42)               | 6         | 0.0419       | 0.1374      | Slow growth, late availability, good consistency for cooking only boiled                                                                         |
| Ch’ak’iña larga (PhR)    | 2         | 0.0400       | 0.0812      | Good flavor, floury texture, long tuber                                                                                                             |
| Chimaku (26, 30)         | 4         | 0.0381       | 0.1067      | Bitter flavor, small size, good for preparing soups                                                                                                |
| Wuaña larga (PhR)        | 3         | 0.0346       | 0.1052      | Slow growth, late availability, long tuber, long stolon                                                                                             |
| Yuraq wuaña (PhR)        | 3         | 0.0346       | 0.0867      | Slow growth, late availability of tubers                                                                                                            |
| Yana p’akush (PhR)       | 2         | 0.0342       | 0.025       | Good flavor, floury texture. Can be consumed alone, cooked only boiled                                                                            |
| Ichu wuaña (12)          | 5         | 0.0284       | 0.064       | Slow growth, late availability, long stolon, fast cooking                                                                                           |
| Puka r’unku (48)         | 3         | 0.0237       | 0.0869      | Fast growth (early availability), floury, good flavor, red color, fast cooking                                                                   |
| Waka cayu (36, 66)      | 1         | 0.0228       | 0.025       | Floury, fast cooking, precocious                                                                                                                    |
| Sinq’apa (PhR)           | 2         | 0.0222       | 0.0562      | Black, red and blue tubers, floury texture, fast cooking, consumed boiled, fast growing, precocious                                                |
| Puka antama (PhR)        | 3         | 0.0180       | 0.0496      | It is not floury, it is consumed fried or in soups, slow cooking. Intermediate duration of tuber production. Bitter flavor, thin skin                  |
| K’usullu (7, 11)         | 6         | 0.01756      | 0.0375      | Floury, fast cooking, precocious                                                                                                                    |
| Chu chu kurunta (41)     | 3         | 0.0173       | 0.0442      | Fast growth early availability                                                                                                                       |
| Sukuptko (10, 13, 47)    | 3         | 0.0173       | 0.035       | Rapid cooking, good for consuming only boiled                                                                                                         |
| R’unku pakus (PhR)       | 2         | 0.0105       | 0.0374      | White flesh with a red or purple halo, thick peel, floury, rapid cooking, precocious production of tuber, fast growth                               |
| Yana r’unku (6)          | 3         | 0.01003      | 0.0375      | Good flavor and texture, for consuming only boiled                                                                                                |
| Chak’iña tellu (PhR)     | 1         | 0.0096       | 0.0083      | Floury, white flesh with blue spots, rapid cooking, consumed mainly boiled, precocious production of tubers, fast growth. Long tuber, a bit bitter, thin skin |
| Yuraq sunku (PhR)        | 2         | 0.0095       | 0.0174      | White, not floury, used for preparing soup, intermediate duration of tuber production and growth                                                                 |
| T’ugña (PhR)             | 2         | 0.0080       | 0.0148      | Floury, slow growth and production of tubers                                                                                                         |
was low. *Epicauta zischkai* visited the flowers with low frequency and its visits were ineffective.

As shown in Table 5, all flower visitors identified are shared among wild and cultivated potatoes. However, it is notorious that the highest frequencies of visits were recorded in *Bombus funebris*, *Bombus baeri* and *Kelita* sp. in the wild relatives, as well as in *arauq papa*. *Ruizanthellia* sp., *Allograpta* sp. and *Astylus subannulatus* were more frequent in the cultivated potatoes with a medium effectiveness in their visits, as well as *Anthomyiidae* flies, which had low effective visits.

Visit to the same flower varied in four consecutive days (Table 6). In the wild potatoes, we recorded that from a total of 101 visits, the flowers received 10% of the visits during the first day, 64% during the second day, 15% during the third day, and 11% during the fourth day. In the cultivated potatoes, from a total of 100 visits, none was recorded the first day, while 54% of the visits were recorded during the second day, and 18% and 28% were recorded during the third and fourth day, respectively.

Table 7 shows that the average duration of visits of all flower visitors was 27.84 ± 39.89 s, *Astylus subannulatus* being the species with the highest duration, although only 35% of their visits are effective. Most probably this beetle consumes flower parts. The most effective visitors, the Apidae bees conducted short visits, from 3 to 7 s on average, although *Kelita* sp. may have longer visits.

Activity of flower visitors was on average 31% between 9:00 and 12:00 h; it increased to nearly 60% (nearly 67% in wild populations) between 12:00 and 15:00 and decreased to nearly 11% from 15:00 to 17:00 (none in wild populations). All species of flower visitor had their highest activity between 12:00 and 16:00 h, but it is noteworthy that the most effective visitors, the Apidae bees visited flowers even from 15:00 to 17:00 h (Table 8).

Pollination is one of the main mechanisms of gene flow. One important condition for interchange of genes through pollination is synchronization of blooming and sharing of flower visitors, two aspects that, as described above, do occur. Another important aspect is the effectiveness of crosses among species and varieties. The reciprocal crosses among 30 varieties of cultivated potatoes and *S. candolleanum* were successful in nearly 35% of the cases, mainly in diploid and tetraploid varieties (Table 9). Also important, according to people interviewed, is the eventual dispersal of seeds by frugivores which currently is carried out by horses and donkeys and, of course, the active movement of tubers by humans. The latter is particularly important in the cases of

### Table 2 | Continued

| Variety               | Frequency | Sutrop index | Smith index | Salient attributes                                      |
|-----------------------|-----------|--------------|-------------|---------------------------------------------------------|
| *Ch’ak’iña* r’unku    | 2         | 0.057        | 0.167       | Floury, very good for preparing and consumed only boiled, fast growth |
| *Ch'apa k'ha* (24, 40, 43, 64) | 2         | 0.053        | 0.02        | Floury, White flesh, consumed only boiled, precocious, thick skin |
| *Wuña q’llu* (54)    | 2         | 0.048        | 0.02        | Slow growth, late availability                           |
| *Anqas sunku* (PhR)  | 1         | 0.047        | 0.005       | Floury, large tubers resembling the runcus varieties, rapid cooking, consumed boiled and in soup |
| *Quistinu puka* (PhR) | 1         | 0.043        | 0.0045      | Floury, fast cooking, precocious                         |
| *Azulñahui p’akush* (PhR) | 2         | 0.043        | 0.0143      | Floury, fast cooking, consumed boiled, thick skin, with several eyes, precocious |
| *Laicusha* (28)      | 2         | 0.040        | 0.0143      | Floury, blue flesh with white spots, fast cooking, thin skin, intermediate duration of tuber production |
| *Chuño araqa* (PhR)  | 2         | 0.033        | 0.0143      | Thinner peel, good for preparing chuño and soup          |
| *Loye qucha* (PhR)   | 1         | 0.032        | 0.0062      | White, floury, fast cooking, intermediate duration of tuber production |
| *Chuño araqa anqas* (PhR) | 2         | 0.026        | 0.0125      | Thinner tuber skin, good for preparing chuño             |
| *Kar u araqa* (PhR)  | 1         | 0.017        | 0.0125      | Not floury, slow cooking, good for preparing soup, slow growth, late production of tubers |
| *Yana kuty* (49)     | 1         | 0.014        | 0.01        | Bitter flavor                                           |
| *Sankapi sayaq* (18, 20) | 1         | 0.014        | 0.01        | Floury, rapid cooking, precocious                         |
| *Uropaglia* (PhR)    | 1         | 0.013        | 0.01        | Floury, rapid cooking, precocious                         |
| *Q’yyu p’akush* (16) | 1         | 0.010        | 0.0042      | Short stolon, easy to harvest                            |

The varieties are arranged from higher to lower salience and cultural meaning according to Sutrop and Smith indexes, which analyze the frequency and order of mention. Numbers in parentheses following variety names are voucher specimens of the collection Parra, Torres-Guevara and Casas which can be consulted at the Herbarium of the Universidad Nacional Agraria La Molina, Peru. The abbreviation PhR, indicates that no voucher specimens were collected but photographic records are available by consulting the first author.
cultivated varieties but also in the cases of *araq papas* and *kipa papas*.

**DISCUSSION**

**Use and Management of Potatoes and Their Gene Flow**

Since the classic reflections by Darwin (1859), variation of organisms under domestication has been associated to human selection, but it is now clear that selection is not the only evolutionary force influencing this process. In fact, all evolutionary forces currently recognized by evolutionary biologists, either natural or human-influenced are acknowledged to be involved (Casas et al., 2016). Outstandingly, gene flow, which has been managed and driven for long time by humans has been discussed as a powerful force operating in domestication (Parra et al., 2012). This is especially the case in areas where crops coexist with their wild relatives, like potatoes in the Andean region where wild, weedy, and domesticated varieties concur.
allowing the flow of genes among themselves, forming complex nets of continuous reproductive interactions. These interactions are increased where movement of propagules is conducted by humans in addition to natural means, and even more where wild and weedy relatives are used, valued, and managed by people, as in the case studied.

Wild aqoq or sacha papas are currently recognized and used by the Andean people in general and in the study region in particular; these potatoes are mainly used as medicine, but in this and other studies people say that these potatoes (particularly S. candolleanum) were consumed during hunger times in the past (Rumold and Aldenderfer, 2016), but probably also at present. It is known that wild potatoes contain high levels of glycoalkaloids toxic for humans (Ralla et al., 2012), however, several studies by Timothy Johns in the 1980s documented detoxification mechanisms (since toxicity is not neutralized by heat or by removing the peel) practiced by several North American and South American peoples by using different types of phyllosilicate clays (Johns, 1986; Johns and Keen, 1986; Johns and Alonso, 1990). In the Andean region, these clays are prepared and consumed as a kind of sauce called “chaco” (Castillo-Contreras and Frisancho-Velarde, 2015), a suspension of clays and salt aimed at attenuating the bitter flavor of toxic tubers that also has detoxification effects. Such mechanisms of food processing have been described from the 16th Century by chroniclers of the Spanish Conquest and recently confirmed by several studies (Ralla et al., 2012). In addition, it has been documented that other forms of processing potatoes for consumption, like chuño and moray, involving grounding,

**TABLE 3** Flower visitors identified in this study.

| Order       | Family     | Species                                |
|-------------|------------|----------------------------------------|
| Hymenoptera | Halictidae | Ruizanthedella sp.                     |
| Hymenoptera | Apidae     | Bombus baeri Vachal.                   |
| Hymenoptera | Apidae     | Bombus funebris Smith                  |
| Hymenoptera | Apidae     | Bombus opifex Smith                    |
| Hymenoptera | Apidae     | Kelita sp.                             |
| Hymenoptera | Apidae     | Apis mellifera L.                      |
| Coleoptera  | Melyridae  | Astylus subannulatus Pic               |
| Coleoptera  | Meloidae   | Epicauta zischkai Martinez             |
| Coleoptera  | Meloidae   | Tetragonix sp.                         |
| Diptera     | Anthomyiidae |                                      |
| Diptera     | Syrphidae  | Allograpta sp.                         |
freezing, and drying processes have detoxification effects (Melton et al., 2020). Also, some authors have reported that gene flow from cultivated/weedy to wild potatoes may confer attributes evolved through domestication (e.g., some aspects of weedy behavior for survival in disturbed areas), among them decrease of toxicity (see Kaiser et al., 2020), and this could explain that consumption of wild potatoes is feasible. In theory, wild potatoes occurring in the neighborhood of agricultural areas would be less toxic than those occurring far away, but this hypothesis must be tested. Documenting these aspects is relevant to explain the current consumption and cultural value of wild potatoes, as well as to analyze early stages of use and conditions that facilitated and enhanced domestication of potatoes (Johns, 1986, 1996; Rumold and Aldenderfer, 2016; Melton et al., 2020). Currently, atoq papas are potatoes respected by people in the study area and, although their abundance is not deliberately enhanced in . 

### TABLE 4 | Foraging behavior of the flower visitors identified (F, frequency of visits; %, percentage of visits).

| Species          | Visitor     | Pollen robber | Effective visit | Total of visits |
|------------------|-------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                  | F | % | F | % | F | % |
| Anthomyiidae     | 25 | 80.6 | 5 | 16.1 | 1 | 3.2 | 31 |
| Ruzanthellia sp. | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 33.3 | 8 | 66.7 | 12 |
| Epicauta zischkai| 6 | 86.7 | 1 | 14.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 |
| Bombus funebris  | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 30 | 100.0 | 30 |
| Bombus baeri     | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 23 | 100.0 | 23 |
| Kelita sp.       | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 43 | 100.0 | 43 |
| Allograpta sp.   | 13 | 37.1 | 5 | 14.3 | 17 | 48.6 | 35 |
| Astylus subannulatus | 12 | 60.0 | 1 | 5.0 | 7 | 35.0 | 20 |

### TABLE 5 | Flower visitors recorded in flowers of wild and cultivated potatoes (F, frequency of visits; %, percentage of visits).

| Species          | Wild | Cultivated | Status |
|------------------|------|------------|--------|
|                  | F | % | F | % | F | % |
| Anthomyiidae     | 7 | 25.8 | 24 | 77.4 | Shared |
| Ruzanthellia sp. | 5 | 41.7 | 7 | 58.3 | Shared |
| Epicauta zischkai| 5 | 71.4 | 2 | 28.5 | Shared |
| Bombus funebris  | 18 | 60.0 | 12 | 40.0 | Shared |
| Bombus baeri     | 13 | 56.5 | 10 | 43.4 | Shared |
| Kelita sp.       | 29 | 67.4 | 14 | 32.6 | Shared |
| Allograpta sp.   | 16 | 45.7 | 19 | 54.2 | Shared |
| Astylus subannulatus | 8 | 40.0 | 12 | 60.0 | Shared |

### TABLE 6 | Foraging activity of flowers visitor throughout four consecutive days.

| Species          | Day 1 | Day 2 | Day 3 | Day 4 | Total of visits |
|------------------|------|------|------|------|----------------|
|                  | F | % | F | % | F | % | F | % | F | % |
| Ruzanthellia sp. | 1 | 8.3 | 10 | 83.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 8.3 | 12 |
| Epicauta zischkai| 5 | 71.4 | 1 | 14.3 | 1 | 14.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 |
| Anthomyiidae     | 1 | 3.2 | 10 | 32.3 | 7 | 22.8 | 13 | 41.9 | 31 |
| Bombus baeri     | 0 | 0.0 | 21 | 91.3 | 1 | 4.3 | 1 | 4.3 | 23 |
| Kelita sp.       | 0 | 0.0 | 18 | 41.9 | 17 | 39.5 | 8 | 18.6 | 43 |
| Astylus subannulatus | 0 | 0.0 | 13 | 65.0 | 2 | 10.0 | 5 | 25.0 | 20 |
| Allograpta sp.   | 3 | 8.6 | 20 | 57.1 | 4 | 11.4 | 8 | 22.9 | 35 |
| Bombus funebris  | 0 | 0.0 | 26 | 86.7 | 1 | 3.3 | 3 | 10.0 | 30 |

### TABLE 7 | Duration of visits (in seconds) of the species of insects visiting flowers of the potato species and varieties studied.

| Species          | Average ± SD | Maximum | Minimum | Number of visits |
|------------------|---------------|---------|---------|-----------------|
| Anthomyiidae     | 42.71 ± 21.2  | 281     | 1       | 31              |
| Ruzanthellia sp. | 7.17 ± 3.1    | 21      | 1       | 12              |
| Epicauta zischkai| 17.57 ± 7.4   | 35      | 2       | 7               |
| Bombus funebris  | 3.07 ± 1.2    | 10      | 1       | 30              |
| Bombus baeri     | 3.04 ± 1.1    | 14      | 1       | 23              |
| Kelita sp.       | 7.00 ± 6.9    | 70      | 1       | 43              |
| Allograpta sp.   | 28.40 ± 13.6  | 233     | 1       | 35              |
| Astylus subannulatus | 113.75 ± 41.2| 689     | 3       | 20              |

Average ± SD, maximum and minimum values recorded.

### TABLE 8 | Periods of higher activity of insects visiting flowers of the potato species and varieties studied.

| Species          | 9:00–12:00 h | 12:00–15:00 h | 15:00–17:00 h | Total |
|------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|-------|
|                  | F | % | F | % | F | % | F | % |
| Anthomyiidae     | 11 | 35.5 | 20 | 64.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 31 |
| Ruzanthellia sp. | 3 | 25.0 | 8 | 66.7 | 1 | 8.3 | 12 |
| Epicauta zischkai| 3 | 42.9 | 4 | 57.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 7 |
| Bombus funebris  | 3 | 10.0 | 17 | 56.7 | 10 | 33.3 | 30 |
| Bombus baeri     | 9 | 39.1 | 10 | 43.5 | 4 | 17.4 | 23 |
| Kelita sp.       | 16 | 37.2 | 24 | 55.8 | 3 | 7.0 | 43 |
| Allograpta sp.   | 12 | 34.3 | 19 | 54.3 | 4 | 11.4 | 35 |
| Astylus subannulatus | 6 | 30.0 | 14 | 70.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 20 |

faroq papas and other crops; moreover, we recorded some cases of the potato species and varieties studied.
of *araq papas* being intensively cultivated as monocrops because of their high value in regional markets, which is even higher than that of cultivated potatoes. In Quiñota and Chumbivilcas, a local traditional fair is carried out where farmers show and sell their varieties of *araq papas* (Begazo et al., 2019). The fair shows the high cultural and economic value of *araq papas* in the region; people go to the fairs to obtain tubers of varieties from different areas, which contribute to increase variation of *araq papas* managed by households and potentiates the gene flow among distant populations.

These forms of management are similar to those described for edible weeds of several species in Mesoamerica, Andes and Amazonia, which have been documented to involve processes of incipient domestication (Casas et al., 1996, 2007; Parra et al., 2010, 2012; Clement et al., 2021). Management of wild and weedy potatoes offer therefore the opportunity to analyze parallel similar processes of domestication in three of the most important settings of domestication in the Americas. This information has important consequences, one of them is that tolerance, enhancement, and cultivation may involve practices of human selection. People choose and favor particular varieties they like because of their differential attributes. But in addition, they choose particular phenotypes of each variety and favor their tolerance, care and propagation. We found this management patterns occurring with *araq papas*, people enhancing and removing desirable and undesirable individuals, respectively and selectively moving from place to place tubers of the varieties and individuals they prefer. This is human selection, operating through different mechanisms from those practiced on cultivated potatoes, but anyway human selection, related to a process of ongoing domestication occurring on weedy plants that maintain weedy behavior and ecological aspects. It is still necessary to evaluate the degree of phenotypic and genotypic differentiation of *araq papas* with respect to *atoq papa* and crop potatoes, but this fact illustrates that domestication can operate following several routes, not only crop cultivation.

Another important aspect documented in this study is that potatoes representing a gradient of management intensity are maintained and propagated in ways that favor gene flow among *araq papa* varieties, but also among *araq papa*, *atoq papa* and crop potatoes. People interviewed reported that fruits of the different types of potatoes produce seeds, new plants, and all of them form part of the *chacras* where *araq papas* are managed. We documented numerous varieties of *araq papa* and identified those with higher cultural value which are also the most frequent and widely distributed in *chacras*. We now can hypothesize that gene flow among the most salient and widely distributed *araq papas* (e.g., *runcu*, *yuraq ch'akiña*, *p'akush*, *wuña muyu*, *puca ch'ukiña*, *q'ubka* and *chukiña kulli*) with cultivated varieties would be the higher in the region studied. Therefore, characterizing genotypes of *araq papa* varieties, analyzing their phylogenetic relationships, and studying the population genetics of those varieties that are culturally and ecologically more important, together with the *atoq papa* and crop potatoes neighboring their distribution would be the way of evaluating the amount of gene flow between wild, weedy and crop potatoes of the region.

Some studies report the presence of *araq papas* in several regions of Perú, but these are still scarce. Among the most relevant are those conducted in Cajamarca and Huánuco by Centro Internacional de la Papa [CIP], and The Federación Departamental de Comunidades Campesinas [FEDECH] (2006) and Meza (2014), in Cusco, by Domínguez (2017) and in Huancavelica by De Haan (2009) and Meza (2014). Our report for Cusco and Apurimac adds information to these efforts. In this study 53 varieties of *araq papa* were recognized by farmers in 8 villages, while in Huancavelica, De Haan (2009) recorded that few farmers mentioned 2 to 4 different varieties of *araq papa*, in only one of eight communities studied, whereas Domínguez (2017) documented that in Chumbivilcas, Cusco, there were 19 different clones of *araq papa* in one village (Palpá palpá, which was sampled in our study, where we recorded 15 varieties). Domínguez (2017) recorded that each farmer had on average 9.45 different clones (we recorded 9.33 in that village, and 8 to

### Table 9

| Variety (local Quechua name) | Ploidy | S. c.♀ CV♂ | CV♀ S. b.♂ |
|------------------------------|--------|-------------|-------------|
| *Puka hawi p'ashka*         | 2n = 24 (2x) | No fruits | Fruits |
| *Azul hawi p'as'ashka*      | 2n = 24 (2x) | Fruits/seeds | Fruits |
| *Yuraq pitusiray*           | 2n = 24 (2x) | No fruits | Fruits |
| *Yana lini*                 | 2n = 24 (2x) | No fruits | Fruits/seeds |
| *Makustin*                  | 2n = 24 (2x) | No fruits | Fruits |
| *Yuraq kchulluna*           | 2n = 24 (2x) | No fruits | No fruits |
| *Puka kchuñuna*             | 2n = 24 (2x) | No fruits | Fruits |
| *K'ala hini*                | 2n = 24 (2x) | No fruits | Fruits |
| *Puka isakaña*              | 2n = 24 (2x) | No fruits | No fruits |
| *Manta koraqes*             | 2n = 36 (3x) | No fruits | No fruits |
| *Yana suwa llulla*          | 2n = 48 (4x) | Fruits/seeds | Fruits/seeds |
| *Lercay*                    | 2n = 48 (4x) | No fruits | Fruits |
| *Michi senqa*               | 2n = 48 (4x) | No fruits | Fruits |
| *Moro salamanca*            | 2n = 48 (4x) | No fruits | No fruits |
| *Puma maki*                 | 2n = 48 (4x) | No fruits | No fruits |
| *Yana p'utis*               | 2n = 48 (4x) | No fruits | No fruits |
| *Yana phq'oyqa*             | 2n = 60 (5x) | Fruits/seeds | No fruits |
| *Aloqcha papa*              | Nil | Fruits/seeds | Fruits/seeds |
| *Yuraq sunc'hu*             | Nil | No fruits | Fruits |
| *Puka suso*                 | Nil | No fruits | No fruits |
| *Yana suso*                 | Nil | No fruits | Fruits/seeds |
| *Yuraq wachala*             | Nil | No fruits | No fruits |
| *P'alta puallo*             | Nil | No fruits | Fruits |
| *Yuraq suso*                | Nil | No fruits | No fruits |
| *Sapo hini*                 | Nil | No fruits | No fruits |
| *Yana isakaña*              | Nil | No fruits | Fruits/seeds |
| *Daniel*                    | Nil | No fruits | No fruits |
| *Moro lini*                 | Nil | No fruits | No fruits |
| *P'alta suso*               | Nil | No fruits | Fruits |
| *Ch'akiña*                  | Nil | No fruits | No fruits |
| Total fruits                | 4 | 5 |
| Total fruits/seeds          | 12 |

TABLE 9 | Cultivated crop varieties (CV) of potatoes (*Solanum tuberosum* subsp. *tuberosum*) and their ploidy reported by Marquez-Castellanos et al. (2019), used in the experimental crosses with the diploid *Solanum candolleanum* (S. c.) (Spooner et al., 2014).
12 in the whole region), showing that deeper research is needed at household unit, village and region levels. More studies are also needed at national scale for a diagnosis of the variation of these plants in Peru, identifying their distribution and the areas with the highest diversity. Such information is important to design policies of in situ conservation of these plants and for reaching a complete panorama of interactions and gene flow among populations of the complex *atoq papa*, *araq papa*, *kipa papa* and cultivated potatoes. This should be an urgent research priority since the traditional crop fields where *araq papa* are grown are under constant threats to disappear or being replaced by modern crops (SPDA et al., 2015).

*Kipa papa* appear to have an exceptionally important role in the gene flow system and diversification of potato crops. These plants grow in fallow agricultural fields and sometimes emerge in *chacras* with other crop species as documented by Brush et al. (1981) and Tapia and Fries (2007), or as escaped plants as considered by De Haan (2009). These plants are generally considered to derive from cultivated potatoes and therefore their tubers are harvested and included in the stores where other potatoes are maintained. People of the Andean region tend to cultivate potatoes and other tubers through mixtures of varieties (Velásquez-Milla et al., 2011). Therefore, harvesting and storage places commonly include also mixtures of varieties, some of which are used for the following generation of potatoes. This fact favors the mechanism through which *kipa papa* derived from sexual means are included in the subsequent generations of potatoes propagated vegetatively, although farmers, as observed by Brush et al. (1981), are not generally aware about these mechanisms.

Based on this information, we hypothesize that fallow agricultural fields where potatoes were cultivated in the previous agricultural cycle should include *kipa papa* derived from the remaining non-harvested tubers but, in addition, individuals derived from seeds, which may in turn result from crosses among wild, weedy, and cultivated species and variants. A similar system was documented for another Andean tuber, *Oxalis tuberosa* or “oca” in Bolivia (Bonnave et al., 2014, 2015), where unconscious introduction of *kipa oca*, plants originated from seedlings and others derived from tubers (without distinction by the farmers), Moscoe and Emshwiller (2016) recognized this as a possible mechanism of diversification for “oca” in the Southern Peruvian Andes, and in other vegetatively propagated tropical crops (McKey et al., 2010). It is also the case of *Tropaeolum tuberosa* according to reports by farmers describing the rise of new varieties in field crops (Velásquez-Milla et al., 2011), and reports by Pissard et al. (2008) who discuss that intraspecific diversity in *mashua* fields could result from the incorporation of varieties generated by sexual reproduction identified as new, selected, and conserved by peasants. Also similar is the occurrence of cassava plants originated from hybrid seeds produced by crosses between cassava and wild relatives, as well as between different cassava varieties, as reported in “roÁas” of the Brazilian Amazon by Martins and Oliveira (2009).

For *kipa papa*, very few studies from Peru have been documented. Zimmerer and Douches (1991) described how farmers incorporated seeds of native potatoes to the gene pool of cultivated types from *kipa papa*. In Paucartambo Valley, in Cusco, there is a practice of rotation known as *kutirpa*, where after two years of potato crops in fields, farmers cultivate *oca* and *mashua*, and in harvest time, volunteer tubers of potato developed from botanical seeds, are collected with the other two crops. Also, there are few cases of volunteer tubers of potato harvested from barley fields, after crop rotation. This information suggests that use and management of *kipas* of several crop species are rather deliberate.

However, these processes must be more deeply studied. A main challenge for research is to evaluate the proportion of *kipa papa* derived from seeds and that derived from tubers. To evaluate it, we sampled at random plants of *kipa papa* in *chacras*, to be analyzed through molecular markers. These plants would also help to explain how crops that are traditionally propagated by vegetative means are highly diverse and able to show high levels of intraspecific variability and have been able to adapt to so many different and changing growing conditions like those from mountain regions as the Andes (Bonnave et al., 2014).

For potatoes, studies in Peru and Bolivia have documented how farmers practice selection of tubers from volunteers originated by sexual seeds from berries or *tamborotoco* dropping to the ground (Jackson et al., 1980; Johns and Keen, 1986; Quiros et al., 1992) and some anecdotal information has been reported for Cusco, near to Calca and Paucartambo (Quiros et al., 1992). In a particular case, in Chincheros, Cusco, Franquemont et al. (1990), mentioned that an important source of new varieties of potato is that resulting from artificial selection and cultivation of seed from the best fruits of potato plants. In any case, sexual hybrids could enter the complex array of landrace cultivars (Spooner et al., 2010) and represent an important amount of phenotypic variability available as new tubers for selection by farmer, as documented by Quiros et al. (1992) using isozyme analysis after outcrossing experiments with farmer’s preferred potatoes cultivar for sexual propagation. Zimmerer and Douches (1991) discussed from their own findings using isozymes, that a moderate to large number of genotypes within single potato landraces, could probably result from sexual recombination and cultivation of seedlings in potato fields, therefore, these authors questioned the assumption that vegetatively crops are originated only by clonal propagation.

Therefore, *kipa papa* are probably important reservoirs of new variation and direct source for maintaining gene flow from wild and weedy plants to crops. How much? It is again a relevant question, which should be tested by sampling fallow agricultural fields and identifying those plants derived from previously cultivated potatoes and those that are hybrids with other varieties.

**Reproductive Biology**

One of the years we conducted studies on reproductive biology (2016-2017) was an ENSO year; however, our phenological data were similar to those reported by Román and Hurtado (2002); Ladrón de Guevara (2005), Sepulveda-Cano (2013), and Meza et al. (2014). Our records of anthesis
duration were also similar to those reported by Barrientos-Restrepo (2012); Sepúlveda-Cano (2013) and Meza et al. (2014). All this information shows the relative stability of temporal events of sexual reproduction, which could be an adaptation to long-term periods of recurrent climate alteration events.

Flowers of the genus Solanum have poricidal anthers visited by numerous insects, including bees, beetles, and flies (De Luca and Vallejo-Marín, 2013). For Solanum tuberosum, several studies, including Bravo et al. (2002) and Sistema de Informacion de Organismos Vivos Modificados [SIOVM] (2017) reported bees of the genus Apis and Bombus as main flower visitors. We found a pattern similar to that characterized in Junin, Cusco and Puno, in Peru by Scurrah et al. (2008), who reported that the potato variety Yungay, and its wild relatives shared the following flower visitors: A. stylosus sp., Anthophora sp., Apis mellifera, Bombus baeri, Bombus funebris, Bombus opifex, Lonchopria sp., Megachile sp., most of which, and others, were recorded in this study. Although finer studies are required to test differences among flowers with different colors and fertile and sterile varieties, the information referred to above and the pattern we found indicating that wild, weedy, and cultivated potatoes share flower visitors suggest that domestication has not changed flower attributes affecting visitors as occurring in wild plants.

Our videorecording of flower visits allowed observing that most visitors visited flowers on the days 2 and 3 of the anthesis period. Most probably the first day the pollen production was incipient while in the fourth day the availability of pollen decreased, as suggested by De Luca and Vallejo-Marín (2013), or because of the number of flowers, as suggested by Pantoja et al. (2014).

Although we did not test self-compatibility, several previous studies have reported that most diploid species are self-incompatible (Spooner et al., 2014). It calls attention that most successful crosses in our experiments were those in which the cultivated varieties were female (pollen receptors), but not males (pollen donors), which appears to be consistent with the observation by Abdalla and Hermosen (1972) that self-compatible species can be crossed as a female, but not as a male, to self-incompatible species and most cultivars are male sterile (Spooner et al., 2014).

We found that crosses between atoq papa with cultivated potatoes are possible and successful. In theory, aroq papas and crop potatoes are closer relatives, therefore, although we could not test the effectiveness of crosses among them, it is possible to hypothesize that these crosses would be more successful than between atoq papa and crop potatoes. The following step of our study will be testing this hypothesis and to evaluate through molecular markers how much gene flow occurs among populations.

The long history of evolution of wild populations and the great phenotypic variation of weedy potatoes allows suggesting that wild and weedy populations of potatoes are important reservoirs of genetic variation, and gene flow is a crucial process that allows these reservoirs to interchange and increase variation. Bees involved in pollen interchange and frugivores consuming fruits of wild, weedy, and cultivated potatoes, as well as the role of humans transferring vegetative propagules from place to place are all factors intervening in the movement of potatoes genes in the space. Maintaining populations of all plants and animals involved in these processes, including the human cultural motives, is crucial to maintain gene flow for ensuring potato diversification. Among the threats to bee populations are the use of insecticides, and the introduction of exotic parasites and the loss of floral resources (Goulson et al., 2015). But also, herbicides affecting populations of wild and weedy potatoes would interrupt crucial processes that explain the diversification of one of the most important crops sustaining human food security.

CONCLUSION

Ataq papas, aroq papas and kipa papas are plant resources valued by the Andean people, either for medicinal purposes, or because of their complementary role in food security and sovereignty. At the same time, the information available suggests that these are important reservoirs of genetic variation which is in active gene flow through reproductive interaction and spatial movement of propagules, allowed and enhanced by human activities. Such variation and processes are crucial for the generation of the high diversity of potatoes characterizing the Andean region. Conserving this biocultural diversity is highly important for the Andean people and humanity, and conserving such diversity requires strategies for maintaining species and varieties of plants and animals involved, as well as the biological and cultural processes that have acted in this direction for thousands of years. Documenting all these aspects is crucial for understanding the mechanisms operating to explain the diversification of potatoes and the biocultural conditions for conservation. But, in addition, it would be equally important to understand what is happening with other Andean tubers.
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