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Sensory innervation around immediately vs. delayed loaded implants: a pilot study

Yan Huang¹,², Jeroen van Dessel¹, Wendy Martens³, Ivo Lambrichts³, Wei-Jian Zhong⁴, Guo-Wu Ma⁴, Dan Lin⁵, Xin Liang⁴ and Reinilde Jacobs¹

Although neurophysiological and psychophysical proof of osseoperception is accumulating, histomorphometric evidence for the neural mechanisms of functional compensation following immediate and delayed implant loading is still lacking. For this randomized split-mouth study, six mongrel dogs randomly received one of four treatment protocols at 36 implant-recipient sites over 16 weeks (third maxillary incisor, third and fourth mandibular premolar): immediate implant placement and immediate loading (IIP+IL); delayed implant placement and delayed loading (DIP+DL); delayed implant placement and immediate loading (DIP+IL); and natural extraction socket healing (control). Histomorphometry was performed in the peri-implant bone and soft tissues within 300 μm around the implants. Immunocytochemistry and transmission electron microscopy were used to confirm the presence of neural structures and to reveal their ultrastructural characteristics, respectively. Myelinated nerve fibres densely populated the peri-implant crestal gingival and apical regions, although they were also identified in the woven bone and in the osteons near the implant threads. Compared with the control group in the mandible, the group that received IIP+IL showed a higher innervation (in N/mm²; 5.94±1.12 vs. 3.15±0.63, P<0.001) and smaller fibre diameter (in μm; 1.37±0.05 vs. 1.64±0.13, P=0.016), smaller axon diameter (in μm, 0.89±0.05 vs. 1.24±0.10, P=0.009) and g-ratio (0.64±0.04 vs. 0.76±0.05, P<0.001) in the middle region around the implants. Compared with DIP+IL in the mandible, IIP+IL had a higher nerve density (in N/mm²; 13.23±2.54 vs. 9.64±1.86, P=0.027), greater fibre diameter (in μm, 1.32±0.02 vs. 1.20±0.04, P=0.021), greater axon diameter (in μm, 0.92±0.01 vs. 0.89±0.03, P=0.035) and lower g-ratio (0.69±0.01 vs. 0.74±0.01, P=0.033) in the apical region around the implants. It may be assumed that the treatment protocol with IIP+IL is the preferred method to allow optimized peri-implant re-innervation, but further functional measurements are still required.
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INTRODUCTION

Periodontal mechanoreceptors play a crucial role during oral function.¹⁻² After tooth extraction, the type of amputation, oral motor behaviour and natural biting function³ may be changed as a consequence of excessive damage to periodontal mechanoreceptors and intradental nociceptors.⁴ Inspired by the report of lower limb amputees with bone-anchored prostheses⁵ who are able to differentiate between walking on different soils, the concept of ‘osseoperception’ emerged.⁶⁻⁸ Psychophysical and neurophysiological studies indicated (partial) recovery of tactile function⁹⁻¹¹ and even activation of the primary sensorimotor cortex upon dental implant stimulation,¹²⁻¹³ suggesting a restoration of the sensory feedback pathway in humans.

Meanwhile, histological evidence revealed the presence of specialized Ruffini mechanoreceptive terminals in the immediate vicinity of the implants¹⁴ and in the peri-implant epithelium,¹⁵⁻¹⁶ which were predominantly derived from myelinated fibres. Moreover, researchers identified abundant unmyelinated nerve fibres under the implant thread area, with implant loading increasing the number of free nerve endings.¹⁷ Although myelinated nerve fibres are known to be involved in numerous neurophysiological functions, the quantitative observation of these peri-implant fibres is rarely addressed or characterized histomorphologically. Furthermore, there are almost no data available on the influence of extraction and implant loading on nerve regeneration and activation.

Therefore, the aim of this study is to histomorphometrically assess the effects of immediate and delayed implant loading on peri-implant innervation in a randomized split-mouth trial.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design

The protocol of this animal experiment was approved by the bioethics committees of the Dalian Medical University, the Hasselt University
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and KU Leuven (P059-2012-TK) and complied with the ARRIVE guidelines for preclinical studies (Supplementary Information). To reduce the number of animals used in the experiment and to avoid individual differences or even inter-subject regional heterogeneity, a split-mouth randomized design using four treatment protocols in six tooth positions of six male mongrel dogs was applied (Table 1). The investigators ensured the maximum degree of unpredictability of the allocation sequence by coin toss prior to the surgeries. The sample size calculation was based on our previous study on dogs. Briefly, six healthy male mongrel dogs (weight: 14.8–18.1 kg, age: 20–24 months) without any oral health or systemic diseases were included and housed individually in indoor cages. The dogs’ diet during the course of the experiment (including whole grain flour, corn meal, soybean cake, fishbone meal and eggs) abided by the general feeding program at the Experimental Animal Center of Dalian Medical University, China.

Surgical procedure
Before the surgery, all animals received 1 week of antibiotic prophylaxis (gentamicin sulphate, 1 600 000 U·day⁻¹; Lingrui Pharmaceutical, Zhengzhou, China). Custom-made threaded titanium implants (Grade V, machined surface, 3.1 mm diameter for the third maxillary incisors, and 4.1 mm diameter for the third and fourth mandibular premolars, L=11 mm long) were manufactured and sterilized for surgery. The surgical procedures were performed under general anaesthesia with Sumianxin (0.1 mL·kg⁻¹ xylazine hydrochloride; Changchun Military Academy of Medical Sciences, Changchun, China), while local anaesthesia (2–4 mL lidocaine 2% with epinephrine 1:100 000; Tianjin Pharmaceutical, Tianjin, China) was used only at the surgical sites. Postoperatively, the animals were given antibiotic treatment (gentamicin sulphate 300 mg; Tianjin Pharmaceutical, Tianjin, China) and analgesics (ibuprofen 400 mg; Tianjin Pharmaceutical, Tianjin, China) intramuscularly for 3 days. Each implant recipient site was randomly assigned to one of four treatment protocols that are frequently used clinically (Figure 1), and a general two-stage implant placement was applied accordingly: (i) immediate implant placement and immediate loading (IIP+IL); (ii) delayed implant placement (8 weeks after tooth extraction) and delayed loading (4 weeks after implant placement) (DIP+DL); (iii) delayed implant placement (8 weeks after tooth extraction) and immediate loading (DIP+IL); and (iv) control group, normal extraction socket healing. The intervals between the implant placement surgery and the animal euthanasia were all 8 weeks for the IIP+IL, DIP+DL and DIP+IL groups. One surgeon (WZ) with a decade of clinical experience in implant dentistry performed the entire surgical procedure on each subject. He was kept blinded to the allocation process until tooth extraction had been completed, as he could no longer be blinded to the further allocated implant placement.

Table 1 Random distribution of split-mouth design in six experimental dogs

| Teeth  | 1# dog | 2# dog | 3# dog | 4# dog | 5# dog | 6# dog |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| I (R)  | Control| DIP+DL | DIP+IL | IIP+IL | Control| DIP+DL |
| I (L)  | DIP+DL | DIP+IL | IIP+IL | Control| DIP+DL | DIP+IL |
| P3 (R) | DIP+DL | DIP+IL | IIP+IL | Control| DIP+DL | DIP+IL |
| P3 (L) | DIP+IL | IIP+IL | Control| DIP+DL | DIP+IL | IIP+IL |
| P4 (R) | DIP+IL | Control| DIP+DL | DIP+IL | IIP+IL | Control|
| P4 (L) | IIP+IL | Control| DIP+DL | DIP+IL | IIP+IL | Control|

DIP, delayed implant placement; DL, delayed loading; I, third maxillary incisors; IIP, immediate implant placement; IL, immediate loading; L, left side; P3, third mandibular premolars; P4, fourth mandibular premolars; R, right side.

In total, 36 samples were used in the study (control = 9; IIP+IL = 8; DIP+DL = 10; DIP+IL = 9).

Occlusion restoration
The shoulder of the implant was placed at the level of the marginal bone under the same surgical conditions as the tooth extractions in terms of sterility, operation room and anaesthesia. Primary stability of the implants was confirmed by percussion testing. Customized posts with a Ni–Ti crown (College of Stomatology, Dalian Medical University, Dalian, China) were then set using resin cement (Relyx, Unicem, RX; 3M ESPE, St Paul, MN, USA). After the post-crown restoration, the edge-to-edge occlusion contacts during chewing between the implant-recipient sites and antagonist teeth were extensively assured. The loading pressure on the implants was kept similar using 0.02-mm articulating papers during the experimental period (Acufilm II, RX; 3M ESPE, St Paul, MN, USA). Plaque control was ensured at least once per week using a 0.2% chlorhexidine gel with a soft toothbrush.

Animal euthanasia and histology
At the sixteen week, euthanasia was performed with an overdose of xylazine hydrochloride (intravenous injection), and all animals were immediately perfused with 4% paraformaldehyde and 0.1% 5% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 mol·L⁻¹ phosphate buffer (pH 7.4). Specimen blocks with a 3- to 5-mm piece of peri-implant bone and gingiva tissue were retrieved and immersed in 0.5 mol·L⁻¹ ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) phosphate-buffered saline (pH 7.4) for 4 months, allowing the implants to be easily removed from the specimens using surgical forceps. Following dehydration and embedding in paraffin, thin serial sections (~6 μm) were prepared along the mesial–distal direction in the middle of the implant or extraction area, considering the vertical loading at the implant-recipient sites and abundant trabecular bone structures in the mesial–distal direction (more trabecular bone means more space for the development of neuroreceptors). All sections were stained with Masson’s trichrome stain.

Immunohistochemistry
Immunohistochemistry was performed on the same serial sections in each group to confirm the presence of myelinated nerve structures using the labelled avidin–biotin method, as previously described. After fixation, embedded sections were deparaffinized. Subsequently, the sections were microwaved in 10 mmol·L⁻¹ citrate buffer, pH 6.0, and endogenous peroxidase activity was quenched with 0.5% H₂O₂. Nonspecific binding sites were blocked with 10% normal goat serum. Then, the sections were stained with primary antibody mouse monoclonal anti-neurofilament (NF, 1:1000; Abcam, Cambridge, UK) or goat monoclonal anti-neuropeptide Y (NPY, 1:1000; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, CA, USA) followed by incubation with peroxidase-conjugated anti-mouse/goat IgG (Dako Cytomation, Glostrup, Denmark) for 30 min. Sections were counterstained with Mayer’s haematoxylin, coverslipped with an aqueous mounting medium and examined using a photomicroscope equipped with an automated camera (Nikon Eclipse 80i; Nikon, Tokyo, Japan). Controls from adjacent sections were subjected to the same staining with omission of the primary antibody.

Transmission electron microscopy
To analyse the ultrastructural characteristics of the nerve fibres, one decalcified sample from each group was randomly selected after isolation of the implants. Following fixation with 2% glutaraldehyde in 0.05 mol·L⁻¹ cacodylate buffer (pH 7.3), samples were post-fixed in 2% cacodylate buffer (pH 7.3), and post-fixed in 1% osmium tetroxide (1% OsO₄; EM grade, EM Sin, Beijing, China) for 1.5 h. Following dehydration and embedding in Epon 812 (Serva Electrophoresis, Germany), ultra-thin sections (100 nm) were cut with an ultramicrotome (Ultracut; Zeiss, Germany) and placed on 200-mesh copper grids. The sections were stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate, coverslipped with aqueous mounting medium, and examined using a transmission electron microscope (Hitachi H-7650, Tokyo, Japan).

Loading effects on peri-implant innervation
Y Huang et al
2% osmium tetroxide for 1 h and stained with 2% uranyl acetate in 10% acetone for 20 min. Subsequently, the samples were subjected to a dehydrating series of graded concentrations of acetone and embedded in araldite. Serial ultrathin sections (0.06 μm) were mounted on 0.7% Formvar-coated copper grids, contrasted with 0.5% uranyl acetate and a stabilized solution of lead citrate, and examined in a transmission electron microscope (EM 208; Philips, Eindhoven, The Netherlands) operated at 80 kV. The microscope was provided with a Morada Soft Imaging System (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) camera to acquire high-resolution images of the evaluated samples. The images were processed digitally with iTEM-FEI software (Morada Soft Imaging System; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan).

**Histomorphometric analysis**

Three serial sections from each sample were digitized using MiraxScan (Carl Zeiss, Göttingen, Germany) and were evaluated to obtain an overall mean value of the estimated parameter. One experienced observer (YH), who was blinded to implant groups, evaluated the density of nerve fibres (N/mm², number of myelinated nerve fibres per area). A magnification of ×100 with a 17-inch LCD monitor (Dell, CA, USA) and an image software package (Panoramic Viewer, Hungary) was used. Four regions of interest (ROIs) at a distance of 300 μm from the implant were selected (Figure 2) as an approximate width of the periodontal ligament (PDL) because this is the region that is most affected by loading transmission through implants. The following morphological predictors of regeneration were further estimated in four ROIs (Figure 3) in the peri-implant bone and crestal gingiva tissues above placed implants: fibre diameter (D, μm, the outer diameter of the myelinated fibre), axon diameter (d, μm, the diameter of the axon proper) and g-ratio (d/D, a functional and structural index of optimal axonal myelination). To acquire maximal precision, the $D$ and $d$ were measured using the ‘lesser fibre diameter’ method, defined as the maximum diameter across the lesser aspect of the nerve fibre and axon, to prevent any potential distortion. Partial fibres at the borderline of an ROI were excluded.
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**Figure 1** Flow chart of study design and timetable. IIP+IL (immediate implant placement and immediate loading); DIP+DL (delayed implant placement and delayed loading); DIP+IL (delayed implant placement and immediate loading); control (normal extraction socket healing); T0, baseline; T1, 8 weeks; T2, 12 weeks; T3, 16 weeks.
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**Figure 2** Schematic diagram of the ROIs for histomorphometrical analysis of peri-implant innervation. Four different ROIs were displayed in gingival, coronal, middle and apical regions along the axis of the implant at a mesial-distal view (width = 0.3 mm; height = 2 mm for each region). ROI, region of interest.
direction with statistical significance (P). In the peri-implant bone regions, the nerve density increased in the apical though this difference was not always significant in the maxilla. In the amount of innervation than any peri-implant bone region (Figure 5), myelinated nerve fibres were occasionally accompanied nerve fibres in the peri-implant bone and gingiva. Ultrastructurally reactivity (Figure 4g–4h) further confirmed the existence of myelinated were also located within natural extraction healing sockets and in the Haversian canal of an osteon (Figure 4e–4f). NF and NPY immuno-reactivity (Figure 4g–4h) further confirmed the existence of myelinated nerve fibres in the peri-implant bone and gingiva. Ultrastructurally (Figure 4i–4j), myelinated nerve fibres were occasionally accompanied by unmyelinated nerve fibres, and the peripherally oriented mitochondria were identified.

Gingival regions demonstrated a significantly greater (P<0.04) amount of innervation than any peri-implant bone region (Figure 5), though this difference was not always significant in the maxilla. In the peri-implant bone regions, the nerve density increased in the apical direction with statistical significance (P<0.02), except in the maxilla for the DIP+IL group (P>0.05). There was also a trend towards higher nerve density around mandible implants than around maxilla implants, especially in the peri-implant gingiva of the three implant groups. Nerve density in the implant groups was higher compared with the control bone sites, especially in the mandible (P<0.001). The

Statistical analysis
To fully consider the potential fixed and random effects in the split-mouth design, the linear mixed model was used after appropriate transformation of the raw data, including the fixed effects of implant groups, jaws (upper vs. lower), regions (from gingiva to apex), their two-way and three-way interaction terms and the random effects of dogs and error terms with the number of measurements in each region and each dog as weights. The region and implant group comparisons were performed due to the significance of the three-way interaction effect (group×jaw×region). All hypothesis testing was implemented in SAS 9.2 at a significance level of 0.05.

RESULTS
All animals recovered well and without any clinical signs of inflammation during the experimental period, and all implants were clinically stable until euthanasia. The peri-implant bone and soft tissue were healthy overall.

Histological observation revealed myelinated nerve fibres in the peri-implant crestal gingiva, the woven bone around the implants and the osteons near the implant threads (Figure 4a–4d). Nerve fibres were mainly distributed perivascularly with frequent branching and orientated along the axis of the blood vessels. These myelinated fibres were also located within natural extraction healing sockets and in the Haversian canal of an osteon (Figure 4e–4f). NF and NPY immunoreactivity (Figure 4g–4h) further confirmed the existence of myelinated nerve fibres in the peri-implant bone and gingiva. Ultrastructurally (Figure 4i–4j), myelinated nerve fibres were occasionally accompanied by unmyelinated nerve fibres, and the peripherally oriented mitochondria were identified.

Gingival regions demonstrated a significantly greater (P<0.04) amount of innervation than any peri-implant bone region (Figure 5), though this difference was not always significant in the maxilla. In the peri-implant bone regions, the nerve density increased in the apical direction with statistical significance (P<0.02), except in the maxilla for the DIP+IL group (P>0.05). There was also a trend towards higher nerve density around mandible implants than around maxilla implants, especially in the peri-implant gingiva of the three implant groups. Nerve density in the implant groups was higher compared with the control bone sites, especially in the mandible (P<0.001). The

![Figure 3](image) Morphometric analyses of the histological sections stained with Masson’s trichrome stain (light microscopy). (a) Myelinated nerve fibres characterized by axons being surrounded by myelin sheaths formed by the Schwann cells; (b) a magnification of the selected box region in (a) showing the basic morphometric parameters of myelinated nerve fibres (scale bar = 10 μm). d, axon diameter; D, nerve fibre diameter; N, nerve fibres.

![Figure 4](image) Decalcified sections stained with Masson’s trichrome stain, neurofilament protein and neuropeptide Y. (a) Myelinated nerve fibres existed in the peri-implant crestal gingiva (DIP+IL group). (b) A magnification of (a) showing a bundle of nerve fibres and a blood vessel. (c) Myelinated nerve fibres presented in the woven bone area at the apical region (IIP+IL group). (d) A magnification of (c) showing several bundles of myelinated nerve fibres accompanied by a blood vessel. (e) A bundle of myelinated nerve fibres was observed at the middle region of a natural extraction healing socket (control group). (f) Myelinated nerve fibres were located in the Haversian canal of an osteon at the apical region (DIP+DL group). (g) Myelinated nerve fibres were identified in the crestal gingiva around the implants (control group). (h) cross-sectioned myelinated nerve fibres were located in peri-implant bone tissue (control group). (i) Unmyelinated afferent nerve ending enveloped by a thin lamina of Schwann cell sheets and characterized by the peripheral localisation of mitochondria (IIP+IL group). (j) A bundle of unmyelinated and one myelinated nerve fibre in the peri-implant bone tissue (DIP+IL group). Arrows indicate myelinated nerve fibres; B, bone; BV, blood vessel; G, gingiva; M, mitochondria; O, osteon; U, unmyelinated nerve fibres.
However, no significant differences in measured fibre diameter, axon difference could be related to an increased degree of myelination.

The distribution of the mean nerve density of myelinated axons in each region in different groups. (a) Maxilla (n=12); (b) mandible (n=20). The bar and each whisker end represent the mean of nerve density and standard error. Significant differences within regions in the same implant group are indicated by horizontal bars above and extending to the mid points of the histogram (P<0.05). Significant differences in the implant groups compared with the control group in the same region (*P<0.05; **P<0.001). Significant differences in other groups compared with the IIP+IL group in the same region (**P<0.05).

**Table 2 Comparison of histomorphometric parameters of regenerated myelinated nerve fibres in peri-implant tissue for different groups**

| Histomorphometric perimeters | Maxilla (n=12) | Mandible (n=20) |
|-----------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Fibre diameter/µm           |               |                 |
| IIP+IL                      | 1.23 (0.02)   | 1.1 (0.02)*     |
| DIP+DL                      | 1.20 (0.05)*  | 1.07 (0.05)*    |
| DIP+IL                      | 1.21 (0.03)*  | 1.14 (0.09)*    |
| Control                     | 1.29 (0.03)   | 1.23 (0.19)     |
| Axon diameter/µm            |               |                 |
| IIP+IL                      | 0.87 (0.02)*  | 0.87 (0.05)     |
| DIP+DL                      | 0.86 (0.03)*  | 0.80 (0.05)*    |
| DIP+IL                      | 0.86 (0.03)*  | 0.86 (0.05)*    |
| Control                     | 0.97 (0.02)   | 0.93 (0.13)     |
| g-ratio                     |               |                 |
| IIP+IL                      | 0.68 (0.01)*  | 0.74 (0.03)     |
| DIP+DL                      | 0.73 (0.02)*  | 0.75 (0.07)     |
| DIP+IL                      | 0.71 (0.01)*  | 0.75 (0.04)     |
| Control                     | 0.75 (0.01)   | 0.76 (0.07)     |

Values are shown as estimated means (s.e.). Significant differences (*P<0.05; **P<0.001) between the implant groups and the control group in the same region. Significant differences (*P<0.05; ††P<0.001) between other groups and the IIP+IL group in the same region.

IIP+IL group had the highest density in both jaws, though the significant differences of nerve density between three implant groups were mostly noted in the gingival and apical regions (P<0.04). No difference was observed in the nerve density between the DIP+DL and DIP+IL groups (P>0.05).

Further morphological analyses on predictors of regeneration of myelinated nerve fibres indicated that all implant groups had slightly smaller fibre diameter when compared with the natural extraction and healing group (Table 2), especially in the mandible (P<0.02). The fibre and axon diameters in the IIP+IL group were greater than in the DIP+DL and DIP+IL groups (P=0.000 6–0.03). However, for the g-ratio, smaller values were observed in the IIP+IL group compared with those obtained in the DIP+DL and DIP+IL groups in both the gingiva and the bone regions around the implants (P<0.04). This difference could be related to an increased degree of myelination. However, no significant differences in measured fibre diameter, axon diameter or g-ratio were observed when compared with delayed implant placement, with or without immediate implant loading (DIP+DL vs. DIP+IL, P>0.05).

**DISCUSSION**

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time that a histomorphological change in myelinated nerve fibres following different loading protocols in the peri-implant hard and soft tissues has been described in a randomized controlled animal experiment. Moreover, this study focused on the clinical hypothesis that osseoperception of dental implants could potentially be improved by applying an immediate implant placement and loading protocol. The present findings may be of particular importance for understanding the underlying mechanisms of osseoperception and for optimising the physiological integration of implant-supported prostheses and its clinical significance.

To minimize the potential bias and variations (e.g., dynamic loading forces in the mouth) between experimental animals, a split-mouth...
design was used in this study to simplify the comparison under standardized healing and test conditions. Based on this unique design with randomized implant recipient site selection and isolated loading conditions of individual implants, the potential carryover effects could be excluded.22

After a 10-month complete decalcification process, we were able to confirm the preservation of myelinated nerve fibres adjacent to the implants and quantified their distribution features through maintaining the fine structure of the nerve fibres. As cytoskeletal elements in the axon and also as sympathetic neurotransmitters,27 NF and NPY were detected in the same nerve fibres. It has been suggested that these regenerated nerves next to the bone trabeculae could have a sensory and/or sympathetic origin, which is similar to the innervation in the tooth pulp.24 The transmission electron microscopy (TEM) observations achieved in this study were similar to those from an ultrastructure study supporting the possibility of sympathetic modulation of peri-implant mechanoreceptors.25 Notwithstanding the regularly identified mitochondria, which were assumed to exhibit A-delta nerve ending characteristics in this TEM observation,26 such TEM morphometric parameters alone are insufficient to further distinguish between A-beta and A-delta fibres. Neurophysiological aspects such as the conduction velocity of these nerve fibres would be indispensable for further differentiation.27

In the present study, myelinated nerve fibres reached the highest density in the gingival and apical regions for all groups, which was most likely due to the abundant local blood supply and innervation originally present in the PDL. Similarly, it was reported that the apical region of the natural tooth is highly innervated where the PDL received the most loading.2,19 The differences in nerve density between the left and right sides of the jaws were not significant, which was consistent with another morphological study.28 Owing to the small sample size in the maxilla, there was only a tendency towards higher nerve density around mandible implants than around maxilla implants. Nevertheless, this trend added histological evidence to the clinical observation that mandibular teeth and implants may be more sensitive to tactile tests.29

Previous morphometric studies that reported the existence of free nerve endings in the peri-implant tissues were mostly qualitative or semiquantitative,30 without any comprehensive comparison between various implant protocols. The main results found in our study were a higher nerve density and greater fibre and axon diameters for implants immediately placed and loaded. It should be mentioned that not all comparisons reached statistical significance, yet the limits related to animal research, sample size and animal variability may have prevented those comparisons from reaching significance. The fact that the fibre and axon diameters increased when immediately placed implants were followed by immediate loading may indicate better peri-implant innervation recovery.31–32 Although the mechanism is still unclear, it can be assumed that immediate implant placement after extraction leaves the nerves in place with activation that prevents degeneration. Upon implant loading, sufficient stimulation of differentiated Schwann cells and/or activation of peri-implant nerve signals might occur to promote peri-implant nerve regeneration. Furthermore, these immediately placed and loaded implants also showed thicker myelin sheaths, in accordance with previous research.33

However, a higher innervation density together with a smaller fibre diameter and g-ratio was presented in the implant groups in comparison with the natural extraction healing group. It can be hypothesized that myelinated nerve fibres become more branched and smaller as they receive mechanical loading transmitted via the implant surface. As a consequence, the fibre diameter was smaller than those observed in the natural healing sites. Moreover, the g-ratio could significantly decrease when regeneration became stabilized in a long-term study.31 Despite a shorter loading time in DIP + DL, the regeneration of vascular and neural elements in the PDL was almost completed 4 weeks after reimplantation.34 Whether these regenerated nerves around implants could continue to mature and develop to axons with larger fibre diameters remains to be elucidated in further studies using longer follow-up periods.

However, it is worth noting some limitations of this study. Firstly, as we were aiming to compare immediate vs. delayed implant loading protocols, an unloaded implant control group was not included for comparison. Nevertheless, this concern might be addressed by the fact that more free nerve endings have already been found around delayed loaded implants compared with non-loaded control implants after a 3-month observation period.17 Secondly, despite the neurophysiological and psychophysical evidence, it is not yet fully clear whether those differences in morphometric parameters resulted in the actual sensory activation with clinical significance. There is evidence that neural receptors around implants could evoke sensory nerve action potentials of the inferior alveolar nerve stem following an electrical stimulus35 or could possess a higher tactile threshold after implant rehabilitation.31 The morphological findings in this study would, in turn, promote related mechanism studies and hypotheses, such as local grafts of Schwann cells or calcitonin gene-related peptide-alpha to improve the regeneration of peripheral nerve fibres after dental implant surgery.36–37 Finally, this study provided a general animal model for the assessment of re-innervation around implants, yet precisely defined loading frequency, implant surface designs, implant-supported restorations and masticatory behaviours were not included, which precludes the generalisability of our findings.

In conclusion, based on the histomorphometric exploration of the origin and distribution of peri-implant innervation, this study helped uncover the tendency that the immediately placed and loaded implant group may have an improved peri-implant innervation pattern, including higher nerve density, larger fibre diameter and axon diameter, and more axonal myelination. The current histological findings on these regenerated nerve fibres may expand the concept of osseointegration to more challenging levels and may evolve with osseointegration in the oral environment and in ear–nose–throat and orthopaedics specialties. Further research examining the functional role of the identified nerve fibres and establishing the potential morpho-physiological relationship is clearly required.
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