Skin prick testing does not reflect the presence of IgE against food allergens in adult eosinophilic esophagitis patients: a case study
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Abstract
Skin prick testing is widely used to predict the presence of allergen-specific IgE. In eosinophilic esophagitis patients, who frequently exhibit polysensitization and broad reactivity upon skin prick testing, this is commonly used to aid avoidance recommendations in the clinical management of their disease. We present here the predictive value of skin prick testing for the presence of allergen-specific IgE, in 12 patients, determined by immunoblot against the allergen extracts using individual-matched serum. Our results demonstrate a high degree of predictive value for aeroallergens but a poor predictive value for food allergens. This suggests that skin prick testing likely identifies IgE reactivity towards aeroallergens in adult eosinophilic esophagitis but this is not true for foods. Consequently, IgE immunoblotting might be required for determining food avoidance in these patients.

Background
Eosinophilic esophagitis (EoE) is a gastrointestinal disorder that is associated with allergic disease. Studies have described personal or family histories of asthma or allergic rhinitis in adult EoE patients [1,2] and a murine model has shown that aeroallergen sensitization may be responsible for the eosinophilic response in the esophagus [3]. Adult EoE patients display a broad range of reactivity to multiple allergens that spans both aero and food allergens. In one study, 81% of EoE patients had poly-reactivity to environmental allergens on skin prick testing (SPT) [4]. In light of such broad reactivity, we queried the reliability of SPT for determining actual IgE-mediated reactions in this patient population.

Case Study
Here, we compared the presence of specific IgE (determined by Western blots) to SPT in patients with biopsy-proven EoE. Blood was drawn from 12 EoE patients (demographics, EoE diagnostic criteria, allergic status and therapeutic treatments shown in Table 1) who were SPT positive (wheal size greater than 3 mm with surrounding erythema) to a minimum of one aero and one food allergen. None of the patients has a history of IgE-mediated food allergy and, instead had been determined as EoE patients due to a history of dysphagia, presence of endoscopic characteristics (e.g. rings, furrows, strictures) and pathological assessment of eosinophils in esophageal biopsy tissue that were greater than 25 per high powered field (hpf). Western blot-based screening for IgE-specific recognition of proteins in 5 aero and 5 food allergen extracts was undertaken and compared to the SPT outcomes towards the same extracts. In determining the positive or negative responses by Western blot, each extract was resolved, transferred and probed with patient specific serum. The presence of IgE specific to bands within the extracts was determined using HRP-labeled anti-human IgE. While several bands were observed, the results reflect the presence or absence of any recognition.

The overall match comparison between SPT and immunoblot for all allergens was 75.6% (Table 2). However, aeroallergens vastly outweighed food allergens in their SPT reliability. The combined reliability for aeroallergens was 89% while foods were only 56%. The positive predictive value of skin testing for aeroallergens was 95%, with only 3 false positives; all were to maple. Cat was responsible for most false negatives (3/12). Conversely, food allergen SPT correlated poorly with immunoblot reactivity, with false negatives common for wheat.
and whole milk (6/12 and 7/12) and false positives for peanut (2/12). In all cases, patients displayed negative reactivity for some of the extracts, indicating the specificity of the immunoblot approach for determining positive or negative IgE binding to the specific allergen extracts.

Consequently, SPT for adult EoE patients may be a reliable method for determining IgE-associated reactivity towards aeroallergens. However, while patients demonstrated the presence of food protein-specific serum IgE, SPT appears inadequate for determining this. Alternatively, EoE patients may be refractory to food specific IgE triggering by SPT via an unknown mechanism.

Conclusions
Clinically, while elemental diet-therapy has been attempted for EoE, it is often not well-tolerated in adults due to its stringency and limitations. Instead, specific food elimination (SFE) has been explored, the foods for which are generally determined by SPT. Various studies have reported SPE as less efficacious than elemental diets [5]. This could be partially explained by poor correlation of SPT with actual IgE-specific reactivity. A report in pediatric EoE, suggested to contain both IgE and non-IgE reactions, concluded that a combination of SPT with atopic patch test (to identify the non-IgE reaction) might be beneficial for determining foods to eliminate for SPE [6]. Our data supports previous findings [4] that adult EoE patients are highly IgE positive but now suggests that SPT may actually fail to identify the presence of food allergen-specific IgE and that immuno-blots are required to determine this. Alternatively, Immuno-CAP based determination could be useful in assessing the quantitative IgE levels, although the actual

Table 1 Patient demographics, allergic status and treatments

| Patient | Age | Gender | Allergic Rhinitis | Asthma | Eos per HPF | Elimination Diet | Swallowed steroids |
|---------|-----|--------|------------------|--------|-------------|-----------------|------------------|
| A       | 48  | M      | Yes              | No     | 50          | Yes             | No               |
| B       | 43  | M      | Yes              | Yes    | >25         | No              | No               |
| C       | 71  | M      | Yes              | Yes    | >25         | Yes             | No               |
| D       | 34  | M      | Yes              | No     | >25         | No              | No               |
| E       | 33  | F      | Yes              | Yes    | >25         | Yes             | No               |
| F       | 39  | F      | Yes              | No     | >150        | No              | Yes              |
| G       | 47  | F      | Yes              | Yes    | >25         | No              | Yes              |
| H       | 42  | F      | Yes              | Yes    | >25         | No              | No               |
| I       | 36  | M      | Yes              | No     | >25         | No              | Yes              |
| J       | 51  | F      | Yes              | Yes    | >25         | Yes             | Yes              |
| K       | 56  | F      | Yes              | No     | >25         | Yes             | No               |
| L       | 30  | M      | Yes              | Yes    | >25         | Yes             | Yes              |
| M       | 32  | M      | Yes              | No     | >25         | No              | Yes              |
| N       | 38  | M      | Yes              | No     | >25         | No              | No               |
| O       | 39  | F      | Yes              | No     | >25         | No              | No               |

Table 2 Results of SPT versus IgE-specific recognition by Western Blot

| Allergen       | Positive/Positive | Positive/Negative | Negative/Positive | Negative/Negative |
|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Cat            | 7                 | 0                 | 3                 | 2                 |
| Bermuda grass  | 11                | 0                 | 0                 | 1                 |
| Blue grass     | 10                | 0                 | 1                 | 1                 |
| Dust mite      | 11                | 0                 | 1                 | 0                 |
| Ragweed        | 12                | 0                 | 0                 | 0                 |
| Maple tree     | 7                 | 3                 | 2                 | 0                 |
| Wheat          | 5                 | 0                 | 7                 | 0                 |
| Whole milk     | 4                 | 0                 | 6                 | 2                 |
| Shrimp²        | 1                 | 0                 | 0                 | 0                 |
| Peanut         | 5                 | 2                 | 0                 | 5                 |
| Almond³        | 7                 | 0                 | 3                 | 1                 |
| Whole egg      | 1                 | 4                 | 2                 | 5                 |

1. Refers to number of skin tests positive/number of western blots positive
2. Only one patient had skin testing done to shrimp
3. Only eleven patients had skin testing done to almond
quantities of food allergen specific IgE are generally not thought to correlate with disease severity [7].

In conclusion, our data is the first study to determine the reliability of SPT as a detection method for determining the presence of allergen-specific IgE within adult EoE patients. Within this patient group, aeroallergen reactivity by SPT faithfully predicts the presence of specific IgE, despite such broad reactivity. Conversely, food allergens exhibit both false negatives and false positives that diminish confidence in the SPT response for concluding IgE presence. As a consequence, direct determination of food allergen-specific IgE may be beneficial in identifying the likely food triggers for clinicians considering food elimination therapy in EoE patients.
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