Influence of Blood Collection Methods and Long-Term Plasma Storage on Quorum-Sensing Peptide Stability
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ABSTRACT: Finding adequate biomarkers for rapid and accurate disease detection, prognosis, and therapy is increasingly important. Quorum-sensing peptides are herein a new emerging group, produced by bacteria, fungi, protozoa, and viruses, with blood being the most straightforward sample type to detect/quantitate them. However, detailed information about suitable blood sample collection methods and storage conditions for measuring these quorum-sensing peptides hampers further clinical research and development. Here, we first tested the time-dependent stability of a set of chemically diverse quorum-sensing peptides, spiked in blood at different temperatures (4, 21, and 37 °C) in four different ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA)-containing plasma tubes (with different protein-stabilizing additives) over a period of up to 7.5 h. Next, we determined the storage stability of these quorum-sensing peptides in plasma at different temperatures (4, −35, and −80 °C). UPLC/MS–MS was used to selectively detect and quantify the spiked quorum-sensing peptides. The results of this study indicate that a cost-effective tube, designed for traditional proteomics and stored at 4 °C, is the preferred collection condition when quorum-sensing peptides need to be detected/quantified in human plasma. When the tubes are handled at room temperature (21 °C), a more specialized tube is required. Long-term storage of plasma samples, even under low-temperature conditions (−80 °C), indicates rapid degradation of certain quorum-sensing peptides.

1. INTRODUCTION

Clinical diagnostics and therapeutics are moving from large cohort-based approaches toward personal-based strategies, thereby requiring adequate biomarkers. Because of its accessibility and ease of downstream processing, human blood is predominantly investigated and used for the identification of suitable biomarkers. Different chemical classes of possible blood biomarkers exist, e.g., proteins, circulating DNA, microRNA, and small-molecule metabolites such as organic acids. Recently, a growing interest is seen in peptides as potential blood biomarkers. Peptides can be considered as an intermediate class between small molecules (metabolomics) and proteins (proteomics). They differ from proteins not only because they are shorter (traditionally considered below 50 amino acids) but also because of their less complex three-dimensional structure. These different physicochemical characteristics influence the biological behavior of peptides. For example, peptides often show an increased renal clearance and/or enzymatic degradation in vivo. They also require different analytical methodologies compared to small metabolites and large proteins. For example, the sample preparation and chromatography for peptides are completely different. Recently, a novel class of peptides, i.e., the quorum-sensing peptides from bacterial, fungal, protozoal, and viral origin, has been identified as an interesting group of peptides, as they influence different types of host cells and hence play a role in the health of the host.

To date, only a few studies have investigated to some extent the influence of some blood collection parameters and the effects of long-term human plasma storage on peptide stability. However, they investigated only one specific peptide and/or one specific factor. None of the reported studies investigated simultaneously the three critical variables in blood collection (temperature, choice of stabilizing additive, and time) on a broad range of peptides. Moreover, the quorum-sensing peptides, with their specific peptide structure and diversity, have never been investigated.

The aim of the present study was therefore to investigate the influence of the blood collection method and long-term plasma storage on quorum-sensing peptide stability. For the blood collection experiments, time, temperature, and choice of different protease/peptidase-inhibitor additives were simultaneously considered as variables. The optimal blood collection method was then used to study the quorum-sensing peptide...
stability in plasma at different storage temperatures. Quantitative ultraperformance liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry (UPLC/MS–MS) was used to detect and quantify the different quorum-sensing peptides, selected to cover as much as possible the chemical quorum-sensing peptide space. As such, the results of this study can be useful in the research of clinical blood and plasma quorum-sensing peptidomics.

2. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The human peptidome consists not only of cryptides, i.e., peptides formed by cleavage of endogeneous or food-derived proteins, but also of small open reading frame (smORF) encoded peptides (SEPs) and of peptides from our microbiome, including quorum-sensing peptides from bacteria, fungi, and viruses. Recently, these microbiologically derived peptides have been shown to interact with human host cells. Consequently, their biological functionality makes them possible biomarker candidates to be quantified in biofluids like blood. In light of this emerging new group of biomarkers, there is a need for suitable blood collection and storage procedures to reliably measure them. To address this question, we investigated three critical variables in parallel for blood collection: temperature, choice of stabilizing additives (i.e., tube type), and time. Four types of tubes were used, i.e., a conventional ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA) plasma-sampling tube (EDTA), a conventional EDTA tube with a protease-inhibitor cocktail from Sigma-Aldrich (EDTA +), and two different plasma tubes from Becton Dickinson with EDTA and proprietary human protease/peptidase inhibitors (P100 and P800). Moreover, in contrast to some other plasma peptidomic studies, we investigated the quorum-sensing peptide stability also in blood, i.e., prior to centrifugation of the blood sample for obtaining plasma. As it is often not feasible to centrifuge the plasma tubes immediately after blood withdrawal (e.g., physician or research offices from which the blood samples have to be transported to a separate laboratory for processing and analysis), the peptide stability in blood before centrifugation is a time-critical step. To rule out freeze–thaw effects, our blood collection stability experiments were conducted on fresh samples, i.e., not frozen. As peptidome analysis is, in most clinical situations, only possible after plasma has been stored, the long-term storage in plasma was also investigated. For this experiment, plasma from the BD 100 tube, conferring the largest whole-blood peptide stability (described in Section 2.1), was used. Long-term storage at three temperatures that are common in clinical and research settings (4, −35, and −80 °C) was compared. UPLC/MS–MS was used for the detection and quantitation of the peptides in all experiments, as this method enabled us to achieve high selectivity combined with quantification characteristics. Figure 1 shows a representative liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry (LC–MS) chromatogram. Inherent to our study design, the conclusions are focused on peptide stability sensu stricto. This means that we did not take into consideration either protein stability or possible increases in peptide concentration caused by blood cellular excretion or hemolysis. For example, even if clotting is inhibited by EDTA, platelets are activated under certain conditions in EDTA tubes, excreting specific peptides and proteins. Therefore, caution is warranted to extrapolate our quorum-sensing peptide findings to peptides also intracellularly present in blood cells. We did not find analytical matrix effects caused by the protease/peptidase inhibitors present in the plasma-sampling tubes (Figure S1). Nevertheless, the general caution for interference was applied as recommended when using data-dependent acquisition in peptidomics. Additionally, no time-trend in matrix effects was noticed (Figure S2). This confirms...
that the noticed decrease in analytical LC−MS peptide response was due to degradation and not by changes in the matrix effect.

2.1. Blood Collection. The half-lives for the five peptides (Table 5) of the training set in the different blood collection tubes at different temperatures are shown in Table 1. The calculated half-lives (T_{50}) exceed twice the time period under investigation. Therefore, the T_{90} values, the time where 90% of the peptide is still present (=10% degradation), were used as more representative for bioanalytical peptidomics. Figure 2 graphically shows the T_{90} results, with paired Tukey’s multiple comparisons test conducted on the pooled results to detect statistically significant differences between the different tubes at each temperature. Our study aims to find the optimal

| peptide | temperature (°C) | P100 | P800 | EDTA+ | EDTA |
|---------|------------------|------|------|-------|------|
| Q019    | 4                | 49.8 h ± 13.6 | 29.1 h ± 5.6 | 9.9 h ± 1.0 | 3.3 h ± 0.2 |
|         | 21               | 7.4 h ± 2.2  | 13.1 h ± 3.3 | 2.1 h ± 0.2 | 2.5 h ± 0.5 |
|         | 37               | 5.9 h ± 1.4  | 5.8 h ± 0.4  | 3.2 h ± 0.9 | 4.3 h ± 0.4 |
| Q076    | 4                | 36.7 h ± 5.6  | 7.6 h ± 1.1  | 14.9 h ± 4.4 | 4.1 h ± 0.4 |
|         | 21               | 3.9 h ± 0.8  | 6.1 h ± 0.4  | 3.3 h ± 0.3 | 1.9 h ± 0.3 |
|         | 37               | 2.5 h ± 0.3  | 3.8 h ± 0.6  | 4.1 h ± 1.7 | 2.8 h ± 0.3 |
| Q164    | 4                | 12.4 h ± 2.3  | 9.2 h ± 2.3  | 3.3 h ± 0.3 | 2.5 h ± 0.2 |
|         | 21               | 3.5 h ± 0.5  | 6.8 h ± 0.4  | 3.8 h ± 0.6 | 1.3 h ± 0.2 |
|         | 37               | 3.0 h ± 0.1  | 6.9 h ± 1.2  | 3.3 h ± 1.7 | 4.7 h ± 0.5 |
| Q176    | 4                | 24.2 h ± 7.9  | 6.8 h ± 1.2  | 7.7 h ± 4.5  | 9.2 h ± 2.1 |
|         | 21               | 6.9 h ± 2.2  | 16.7 h ± 2.2 | 6.6 h ± 1.3 | 8.2 h ± 1.8 |
|         | 37               | 9.0 h ± 2.4  | 5.6 h ± 0.4  | 5.8 h ± 0.6 | 20.2 h ± 6.3 |
| Q184    | 4                | n.a.          | n.a.          | n.a.          | n.a.          |
|         | 21               | n.a.          | n.a.          | n.a.          | n.a.          |
|         | 37               | 33.1 h ± 9.9  | 29.4 h ± 10.0 | 77.5 h ± 66.4 | 23.5 h ± 2.4 |

*a.a., not applicable; no degradation of the peptide was seen within 7.5 h, i.e., the 95% confidence interval of λ includes zero.

Figure 2. T_{90} values (±SEM; n = 4) at different temperatures for the blood collection tubes. Left, per peptide. Right, per type of blood collection tube (average of all peptides together), with p-values indicated.
sampling and storage methods for a broad spectrum of quorum-sensing peptides. This implies that we are investigating the “largest common denominator” for blood peptide identification/quantitation and not an optimal method for each peptide individually.

The paired Tukey’s multiple-comparison one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) showed that at 4 °C, the P100 tube performed significantly better than the EDTA tube with the protease inhibitor (EDTA+) ($p = 0.024$) and the traditional EDTA tube ($p = 0.009$). Compared to the P800 tube, P100 almost doubled the stability of the peptides at 4 °C. Although this effect did not reach statistical significance ($p = 0.069$), the standardized mean difference (Cohen’s d) was between the different tubes. This is the initial temperature of blood at the moment of blood withdrawal. No signficant references were measured between the different tubes for all peptides. Although cooling freshly drawn blood to 4 °C can be done in a short time, inevitably there is a phase after withdrawal where the blood has a temperature between 4 and 37 °C. In that respect, the minimal half-lives of the peptides of 2.5 h at 37 °C are reassuring.

Based on the results of the training experiment, a validation experiment was conducted where the stability of four peptides, not included in the training experiment, was investigated at 4 °C in P100 tubes. These peptides were chosen from the two chemical clusters furthest apart from each other (two peptides from each cluster). The slopes of these curves ($\lambda$, $T_{50}$, $T_{90}$) values, are presented in Table 2. The long peptide half-life of >8 h, determined during the training experiment, was no longer applicable for two of the four peptides during the external validation. The two peptides that showed a lowered stability, i.e., Q554 and Q555, are almost identical, explaining the identical $T_{50}$ and suggesting a similar degradation mechanism.

A head-to-head comparison between P100 at 4 °C and EDTA at 4 °C was carried out to determine the gain in stability of Q055 when using a P100 sampling tube stored at 4 °C. The results are presented in Table 3, and although it was statistically nonsignificant, an increase in stability was noticed when using a P100 sampling tube.

| peptide   | decay constant ($\lambda$) | $T_{50}$ (h) | $T_{90}$ (h) |
|-----------|---------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Q044      | −0.00126 [±0.00025]        | 9.15 [±1.84] | 13.9 [±0.28] |
| Q051      | −0.00045 [±0.00061]        | 25.69 [±3.47] | 39.1 [±5.28] |
| Q054      | −0.00619 [±0.00056]        | 1.87 [±0.17] | 0.28 [±0.03] |
| Q055      | −0.00776 [±0.00064]        | 1.49 [±0.12] | 0.23 [±0.02] |

Table 2. Decay Constants, $T_{50}$ and $T_{90}$ [±SEM], of the Four QSPs Used for the External Validation

| sampling tube | decay constant ($\lambda$) | $T_{50}$ (h) | $T_{90}$ (h) |
|---------------|---------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| EDTA at 4 °C  | −0.00856 [±0.000210]      | 1.35 [±0.33] | 0.21 [±0.05] |
| P100 at 4 °C  | −0.00559 [±0.00098]       | 2.07 [±0.36] | 0.31 [±0.05] |

The results are similar to the results obtained in Table 3, supporting the consistency of our experiments.

2.2. Plasma Storage. To investigate the long-term stability of peptides in plasma of P100 tubes, plasma collected from P100 tubes was spiked with the same peptide mix as in the blood collection training experiment. Table 4 shows the $T_{90}$ values of the five peptides in plasma when stored at one of the three different long-term storage temperatures and thawed once. In line with expectations, maintaining the plasma samples at low temperatures slowed down the rate of peptide degradation (Figure 3). After 1 month of storage at ~80 °C, Q164 and Q176 still contained more than 95% of their original content. However, assuming that the same change in pattern will continue beyond the period covered by long-term data, $T_{90}$ values were obtained by extrapolation. It was surprising that some peptides had very low stabilities, even at ~80 °C. Q184, a relatively hydrophobic small peptide, showed a decrease of 10% degradation after only 2.6 days of storage at ~80 °C. After 1 month of storage at this low temperature, only ±25% of the peptide could be detected. This decrease could be caused by chemical degradation or other physical forces (e.g., adsorption).

These findings contrast the common thoughts, in both clinical and research settings, that long-term plasma storage at ~80 °C does not influence the stability of analytes. As mentioned above, many peptidomic studies store their samples at ~80 °C, without taking into account the chemical/physical stability at this temperature. Our results emphasize that this is
EDTA tubes. In the case of P100 tubes at 4 °C, thirty to sixty times more expensive than the conventional tubes. However, when deciding on therapeutic or diagnostic purposes, this cost difference comes with a mean T90 increase of 25 and 3.5 h, respectively (Table 1 and Figure 2). Depending on the purpose before being analyzed.

2.3. Cost Considerations. Based on the effectiveness experiments described above, P100 or P800 tubes are preferred above conventional EDTA tubes for quorum-sensing peptide analysis. However, when deciding on therapeutic or diagnostic tools, also costs are important to consider. The BD P100 and BD P800 tubes currently on the market are available for around 17–25 € each, while conventional EDTA tubes cost around 0.4–0.5 € each, i.e., P100 and P800 tubes are about thirty to sixty times more expensive than the conventional EDTA tubes. In the case of P100 tubes at 4 °C, this price difference comes with a mean T90 and T90 increase of 25 and 3.5 h, respectively (Table 1 and Figure 2). Depending on the purpose of the peptidome identification/quantitation, this cost increase may or may not be justified. In situations where one seeks to perform high-throughput experiments and/or identification/quantitation of high abundant peptides, conventional EDTA tubes may be fit-for-purpose. However, for detection/quantitation of low abundant peptides or small peptide concentration differences in a limited set of samples, the gain in peptidomic sensitivity of P100 tubes seems worth the extra costs. If, for example, EDTA blood samples are analyzed 1 h after withdrawal, a significant amount of peptide degradation will already have occurred (>20% degradation for a number of peptides). In this situation, low abundant peptides could fall below the limit of detection, or small peptide concentration differences could wrongly be attributed to biological differences (type I errors). Therefore, the choice of tube should also be based on the purpose of the peptidome analysis.

3. CONCLUSIONS

Cooling whole blood to 4 °C in BD P100 tubes provides overall the highest quorum-sensing peptide stability over time when compared to BD P800 tubes, classical EDTA tubes, and EDTA tubes supplemented with a protease-inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich) at different temperatures. However, when no fridge is available and blood samples are forced to be stored at room temperature (21 °C), the BD P800 collection tubes prove significantly better than the other tubes. For long-term plasma storage awaiting its quorum-sensing peptidome analysis, lower temperatures result in less peptide degradation over time. However, even at −80 °C, significant degradation cannot be ruled out. This study should assist researchers and clinicians in their choice for optimal blood collection and storage conditions of plasma for quorum-sensing peptidome analysis.

4. METHODS

4.1. Blood Collection. Healthy adults were enrolled in this study after providing informed consent according to the protocol that was approved by the local ethical committee (EC number B670201734698). The protocol included a training experiment, followed by a validation experiment. Blood samples were collected by a medical doctor from the cubital vein. For the training group, four different tubes were used: EDTA plasma (Becton Dickinson, product 368861), EDTA plasma (Becton Dickinson, product 368861) spiked with protease-inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich, product P8340) at a 1:100 final dilution, BD P100 (Becton Dickinson, product 366448), and BD P800 (Becton Dickinson, product 366421). In the validation group, only EDTA plasma and BD P100 tubes were used. Immediately after blood withdrawal, the whole blood was brought to the indicated temperature (during 30 min). Three different temperatures were assessed, namely, 4, 21, and 37 °C. When the desired temperature was reached, i.e., after 30 min, the whole blood was spiked with the peptide mix, with each peptide at a final concentration of 10 nM. The sample preparation and analysis were performed at indicated timepoints after spiking.

4.2. Plasma Long-Term Storage. Plasma, collected into BD P100 tubes and separated from blood cells, was spiked with a peptide mix, with each peptide having a final concentration of 50 nM. The spiked plasma was aliquoted into 500 µL LoBind Eppendorf tubes (Eppendorf AG, product 022431064) and stored at three different temperatures, i.e., 4, −35, and −80 °C, for up to 1 month.

4.3. Quorum-Sensing Peptides. Bacterial quorum-sensing peptides (QSPs) were used to spike the whole blood and plasma because they exhibit very diverse chemical properties.21,36 Previous work conducted by our group defined different clusters of QSP based on characteristic chemical properties, including peptide size/compactness, hydrophilicity/lipophilicity, cyclization, and the presence of (unnatural) S-containing and aromatic amino acids. The QSPs used for the training (Q019, Q076, Q164, Q176, Q184) and validation (Q044, Q051, Q054, Q055) experiment were selected from different clusters, assuring that a reasonably large chemical space of peptides was involved in the experiments.21

Table 4. T90 [±SEM] in P100 Plasma of the Five Peptides (n = 4) a

| peptide | temperature (°C) | T90 in days (±SEM) |
|---------|-----------------|---------------------|
| Q019    | 4               | 0.21 (±0.03)        |
|         | −35             | 4.88 (±0.67)        |
|         | −80             | 7.48 (±2.21)        |
| Q076    | 4               | 1.24 (±0.03)        |
|         | −35             | 20.4 (±13.67)       |
|         | −80             | 13.2 (±4.80)        |
| Q164    | 4               | 0.95 (±0.23)        |
|         | −35             | 33.8 (±45.91)       |
|         | −80             | 96.3 (±136.01)      |
| Q176    | 4               | 0.48 (±0.02)        |
|         | −35             | 16.4 (±6.98)        |
|         | −80             | 46.4 (±64.18)       |
| Q184    | 4               | 2.24 (±0.20)        |
|         | −35             | 2.56 (±0.21)        |
|         | −80             | 2.61 (±0.23)        |

aT90 is extrapolated assuming that the same pattern will continue beyond the period covered by long-term data.

Figure 3. T90 values (±SEM) at different storage temperatures (average of all peptides together, n = 4 per peptide).
Table 5. Sequences and Some Physicochemical Descriptors of the Quorum-Sensing Peptides Used in This Study

| peptide (supplier) | sequence | log P | pI | MW |
|--------------------|----------|-------|----|----|
| Q019*              | NNWNN    | -7.17 | 5.29 | 660.7 |
| Q044               | EKMIG    | -2.57 | 6.21 | 576.8 |
| Q051               | ERNTN    | -6.8  | 6.17 | 632.7 |
| Q054               | ESRPKEFDFIFPRK   | -3.58 | 10.84 | 2187.0 |
| Q055               | ESRKIEFDIFPRK   | -5.44 | 10.94 | 2135.9 |
| Q076               | SNNCVCVSLFKKCN  | -6.35 | 8.41 | 1731.3 |
| Q164               | SDLPFEH   | -2.84 | 4.13 | 844.0 |
| Q176               | SGGSLSTFFRLFNRSFTQALGK | -9.69 | 11.00 | 2365.0 |
| Q184               | SIFTLYA   | 0.09  | 5.71 | 750.0 |

*Peptide numbering used in the Quorumpeps database (quorumpeps.ugent.be) is retained in this study. Peptides were synthesized with terminal free acids by. 

4.4. Sample Preparation. For the blood collection experiments (training and validation samples), the spiked whole-blood samples were first vortexed. Then, 500 µL samples were taken after 0, 2.5, 5, and 7.5 h and transferred to a 1.5 mL LoBind Eppendorf tube (Eppendorf AG, product 022431081). These were centrifuged at 1800g for 10 min at room temperature (RT). Fifty microliters of the obtained plasma was transferred to a 0.5 mL Eppendorf coated with an in-house-developed LC–MS-compatible antiadsorption diluent for peptide analysis. One hundred fifty microliters of acetonitrile (Biosolve BV, product 1204102BS) acified with 0.5% formic acid (Biosolve BV, product 6914143BS) was added to the plasma, after which the tube was closed. The samples were subsequently sonicated (5 min at RT), vortexed (5 s at RT), boiled (30 s at 100 °C), again vortexed (5 s at RT), and centrifuged at 20 000g for 20 min. Finally, the samples were put in the sample manager (10 °C) for UPLC–MS–MS analysis (60 °C). On average, the relative standard deviation (RSD) for the complete analytical workflow (spiking, sample preparation, and analytical method) was 19.5%, which is considered as an acceptable value (EMA and FDA Draft Guideline on validation of bioanalytical methods).

For the storage experiment, peptide concentrations in plasma were assessed before storage and after 1, 7, and 30 days of storage. Fifty microliters of the plasma was transferred to a coated 0.5 mL tube as described above, after which the same protocol was followed as in the blood collection experiments.

4.5. Peptide Identification and Quantitation by UPLC–MS/MS. Chromatographic separation was achieved on a Waters Acquity UPLC BEH amide column (2.1 mm × 100 mm; 1.7 µm particles), protected with a guard column. A mobile phase system consisting of solvent A (water, acetonitrile, and dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) at the ratio of 93:2:5 v/v/v and 0.1% formic acid m/v) and solvent B (water, acetonitrile, and DMSO in the ratio of 2:93:5 v/v/v and 0.1% formic acid m/v) with a gradient from 100 to 45% B in 5 min was applied. DMSO was added as a supercharger and to reduce carryover. The mobile phase was delivered at a flow rate of 0.5 mL/min, with a total run time of 15 min. The UPLC system was connected to a Xevo TQ-S spectrometer with electrospray ionization (set in the positive mode). The mass spectrometric conditions used throughout the analyses as well as the MRMs of the peptides are shown in Table S1.

4.6. Data Analysis. The areas were normalized to the area measured at T = 0 (for each tube at different temperatures), and subsequently, the natural logarithm (n) of each relative value was calculated. Assuming first-order kinetics, the decay constant (λ), obtained from the slope of the best-fitting linear degradation curve, was used to determine the half-life using the following formula

\[ T_{90} = \frac{-\ln(2)}{\lambda} \]

The standard error of the mean (SEM) on the half-life (T_{90}) was estimated using the propagation of errors with the following formula

\[ \text{SEM} (T_{90}) \simeq \frac{\ln(2)}{\lambda^2} \times \frac{\sigma(\lambda)}{\sqrt{n}} \]

with σ(λ) being the standard deviation on the decay constant. Similar calculations were conducted for the T_{90} i.e., the time where still 90% of the initial concentration is present

\[ T_{90} = \frac{-\ln(0.9)}{\lambda} \]

\[ \text{SEM} (T_{90}) \simeq \frac{\ln(0.9)}{\lambda^2} \times \frac{\sigma(\lambda)}{\sqrt{n}} \]

One-way ANOVA with paired multiple-comparison Tukey’s test was applied to detect statistical significant differences between tubes. All statistical analyses were done with GraphPad Prism Version 6 (San Diego, CA).
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