Nutrition Index is Maintained for Five Years after Pylorus-Preserving Pancreatoduodenectomy
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Abstract

[Background/Objective] We assessed trends in serum nutritional status markers and body mass index (BMI) to evaluate the long-term nutritional status in patients with pylorus-preserving pancreatoduodenectomy (PPPD).

[Methods] From 240 patients who underwent PD from January 2005 to October 2014, we selected 45 patients who underwent PPPD and had survived without recurrence at 5-year postoperative follow-up. The following factors were analyzed preoperatively and at 2 weeks, and 1, 5 years, postoperatively: serum total protein (TP), serum albumin (Alb), and body mass index (BMI).

[Results] The mean TP value was 6.8 g/dL preoperatively and 6.3 and 7.1 g/dL at 2 weeks and 1 year after surgery, respectively (P<0.0001, P=0.026, respectively). TP remained greater than the preoperative values for up to 5 years. The mean Alb value was 4.1 g/dL preoperatively, decreased to 3.5 g/dL 2 weeks after surgery, but returned to 4.15 g/dL 1 year after surgery and to 4.13 g/dL 5 years after surgery, values approximately equivalent to the preoperative value (P=0.134, P=0.505, respectively). The mean preoperative BMI was 22.1 kg/m², decreased to 17.3 kg/m² 2 weeks after surgery (P<0.001), and then rose to 19.0 kg/m² by 5 years after surgery (P=0.005), but remained within the standard range in 29 patients (64.4%).

[Conclusion] Long-term nutritional status was adequately maintained postoperatively in patients who underwent PPPD.
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Introduction

Pancreatoduodenectomy (PD) is an invasive surgical technique.¹ ² During this procedure, the head of the pancreas and the duodenum, gall bladder and extrahepatic bile ducts are resected, leading to changes in the physiological function of gastric acid, pancreatic juice and bile, which affect postoperative nutritional status.³ However, PD is indicated for intractable cancer of the pancreatic head and distal cholangiocarcinoma, and although these conditions are typically challenging to treat, their prognoses have improved due to advances in surgical dissection techniques and chemotherapy in recent years.⁴ ⁵ As long-term survival has now become feasible after PD, we must consider issues such as patient fragility, which are based on poor nutrition in patients who are already elderly and frail, in addition to the complication of sarcopenia. It remains unclear whether nutritional status can be maintained over the long term after PD. Some studies have evaluated postoperative nutritional status using serum albumin (Alb) level and body weight as indicators over a short period of about 6 months to 1 year after PD, but few reports have examined nutritional status after a long period of time.⁶ ⁷ ⁸ In this study, we investigated the trends in serum nutritional status markers and body mass index (BMI) before and after surgery to evaluate the long-
term nutritional status in patients who underwent pylorus-preserving pancreatoduodenectomy (PPPD).

**Patients and Methods**

Our patients were selected from 240 patients who underwent PD at St. Marianna University Hospital from January 2005 to October 2014. Of these patients, 193 underwent PPPD, and 45 survived without recurrence at 5 years after surgery. Ultimately, we selected these 45 patients for inclusion in the present study. We evaluated serum TP, serum Alb, and BMI, and the preoperative values and the values at 2 weeks, 1 year, and 5 years after the operation were compared by paired $t$-test for each item. In addition, the time course and standard error of the average values at these time points are shown in line graphs. Furthermore, for TP and Alb, subgroup analysis was performed in cases in which the preoperative values were either at or above or below the standard values.

Continuous variables are summarized by the mean ± standard deviation, and discrete variables are summarized by number and percentage. The significance level of each test was 0.05. Statistical analysis was performed using JMP Ver.14 (SAS Institute Japan Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan).

Five surgeons performed all surgeries, and the same surgical technique was used in all patients. Reconstruction after resection was accomplished using the modified Child method (Fig. 1) in which the elevated jejunum was anastomosed in the order of pancreas, bile duct and duodenum. The pancreatojejunostomy was undertaken by anastomosing two layers: the pancreatic duct was anastomosed to the jejunal mucosa using 5-0 absorbable suture, whereas the pancreatic parenchyma was sutured to the seromuscular layer of the jejunum using 4-0 non-absorbable suture. The cholangiojejunostomy was accomplished using interrupted sutures in all cases; 5-0 absorbable sutures were used if the bile duct diameter was <15 mm, and 4-0 absorbable sutures were used if the diameter was ≥15 mm. The duodenojejunostomy was manually sutured using the Albert-Lembert anastomosis method. All patients received a proton pump inhibitor (lansoprazole 30 mg orodispersible tablets [Towa Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Osaka, Japan] taken once after breakfast) and continued treatment for 5 years after discharge. Patients were also administered digestive enzymes (stomilase granules, 3 g in three divided doses after breakfast, lunch and dinner [Sumitomo Pharmaceuticals Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan]) over the 5 years after they started oral intake. This study was approved by the St. Marianna University School of Medicine Internal Review Board (IRB No. 4827), and patient consent was obtained by allowing them to opt out of the study.

**Results**

The patients’ characteristics are shown in Table 1. There were 31 men and 14 women with a mean age of 68 ± 9.2 years at the time of surgery. Histopathology tests showed that 17 patients had pancreatic cystic tumors, 12 had cholangiocarcinoma, 6 had cancer of the pancreatic head, 6 had duodenal papilla carcinoma, 1 had a neuroendocrine tumor in the pancreatic head, and 3 had other tumors.

In the first year after surgery, the mean TP value was 7.1 g/dL, which was significantly higher than the preoperative value, and in the fifth year, the mean value was 7.0 g/dL, which was significantly higher than the preoperative value (1 year: $P = 0.005$, 5 years: $P = 0.023$). We further divided the patients into those with preoperative TP levels at or above the reference value (≥6.7 g/dL, 25 patients) and those below the reference value (<6.7 g/dL, 20 patients) and performed further investigation. The mean preoperative TP value in patients with elevated preoperative levels (≥6.7 g/dL) was 7.19 g/dL. This decreased significantly to a mean value of 6.53 g/dL 2 weeks after

---

**Fig. 1.** In pancreatoduodenectomy, we always perform reconstruction by a modified Child method in which the elevated jejunum is anastomosed in the order of pancreas, bile duct, and gastrointestinal tract. (This figure is modified from a figure in Kobayashi et al.)

---
Table 1. Patient Characteristics

| Characteristic                           | Value               |
|-----------------------------------------|---------------------|
| n = 45                                  | 68 ± 9.2            |
| Age (years)                             |                     |
| Sex ratio (men:women)                   | 31:14               |
| Disease                                 |                     |
| Cholangiocarcinoma                      | 12                  |
| Cancer of the pancreatic head           | 6                   |
| Duodenal papilla carcinoma              | 6                   |
| Pancreatic cystic tumor                 | 17                  |
| Pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor         | 1                   |
| Chronic pancreatitis                    | 2                   |
| Autoimmune thyroiditis                  | 1                   |
| Diabetes mellitus (%)                   | 26.7                |
| Preoperative body height (cm)           | 160.7 ± 9.2         |
| Preoperative body weight (kg)           | 57.2 ± 9.3          |
| Preoperative BMI (kg/m²)                | 22.1 ± 2.8          |
| Mean preoperative TP value (g/dL)       | 6.8 ± 0.53          |
| Mean preoperative Alb value (g/dL)      | 4.1 ± 0.32          |

Fig. 2. Chronological trends in Total Protein (TP) in the 45 patients. The preoperative values were compared with the values at 2 weeks, 1 year and 5 years after surgery.

Paired t-test was used for comparison between two groups. Two weeks after surgery: P <0.0001, 1 year later: P = 0.005, 5 years later: P = 0.023.

Error bars indicate standard errors.

surgery (P <0.0001). The value improved to 7.1 g/dL by 1 year after surgery, approximately equivalent to the preoperative value and remained stable up to 5 years. The mean preoperative TP value in the 20 patients with decreased preoperative levels (<6.7 g/dL) was 6.34 g/dL. This decreased significantly to a mean value of 5.94 g/dL 2 weeks after surgery (P = 0.009). The mean value increased to 7.02 g/dL and exceeded the preoperative value at 1 year after surgery and was 6.84 g/dL at 5 years after surgery, significantly increased compared to that before surgery (P <0.0001 at 1 year, P = 0.0003 at 5 years; Fig. 3).

The mean preoperative Alb value was 4.1 g/dL (Fig. 4). This value decreased significantly to a mean value of 3.5 2 weeks after surgery but increased to 4.2 and 4.1 g/dL at 1 year and 3 years after surgery, respectively (1 year: P = 0.1345, 3 years: P = 0.504). We further divided the patients into those with preoperative Alb levels at or above the reference value (≥3.9 g/dL, 33 patients) and those below the reference value (<3.9 g/dL, 12 patients) and performed further investigation. The mean preoperative Alb value in patients with elevated preoperative levels was 4.2 g/dL. This value significantly decreased to 3.59 g/dL (P <0.0001). One year after surgery, it was 4.15 g/dL, and 5 years after the operation, it was 4.13 g/dL, which were not significantly different but maintained the same values as the preoperative value (P = 0.488, 0.431, respectively). The mean preoperative Alb value in patients with a decreased preoperative level was 3.69 g/dL. This decreased significantly to a mean value of 3.18 g/dL 2 weeks after surgery (P = 0.0018). It was 4.17 g/dL 1 year after surgery and 4.01 g/dL 5 years after surgery, which were significantly higher than the preoperative value (1 year: P = 0.0002, 5 years: P = 0.0031) (Fig. 5).
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Fig. 3. Chronological trends in total protein (TP) in the patients with TP at or above and below the standard value. Preoperative values were compared with the values at 2 weeks, 1 year and 5 years after surgery. Paired t-test was used for comparison between two groups. TP was above the standard value (≥6.7 g/dL) in 25 patients. Two weeks after surgery: P <0.0001, 1 year later: P = 0.636, 5 years later: P = 0.613. TP was below the standard value (<6.7 g/dL) in 20 patients. Two weeks after surgery: P = 0.0018, 1 year later: P = 0.0002, 5 years later: P = 0.0031. Error bars indicate standard errors.

LLN: lower limit of normal

The mean preoperative BMI was 22.1 kg/m². It decreased to 17.3 kg/m² 2 weeks after surgery (P = 0.0004), remained significantly decreased at 18.2 kg/m² 1 year after surgery (P = 0.0006), and then rose and remained at 19.0 kg/m² 5 years after surgery. Although the BMI was lower than the preoperative value (P = 0.005), in 29 patients (P = 0.005) 64.4% it had recovered to within the standard range (18.5–25 kg/m²) according Japanese BMI data (Fig. 6).

Discussion

PD is a highly invasive surgical technique that can seriously effect postoperative gastrointestinal absorption. However, the present study showed that despite the fact that the Alb value was significantly lower than preoperative value at 2 weeks after surgery, the value improved and was approximately equivalent to the preoperative value by 1 year after surgery. Furthermore, the value did not decrease even after 5 years, suggesting that PPPD may maintain sufficient nutritional status even after a long period of time. BMI was significantly lower than the preoperative value even at 5 years after surgery. The BMI standard range for Japanese is 18.5–25 kg/m², and 5 years after surgery, it had recovered to 19.0 kg/m², which is within the standard range.

As several organs are removed during PD, it could be difficult to imagine that the exacerbation of postoperative nutritional status would improve over the long term.³¹ PPPD, which was first proposed in 1978, is a surgical technique that preserves the stomach to retain function.¹⁰ Compared to the classical technique (PD that includes pyloric resection), numerous reports have suggested that there is less weight loss and better nutritional status with PPPD,¹¹,¹² but these reports are for short-term periods of about 6 to 24 months after surgery, and PD and PPPD over the long-term postoperative course have not been compared. Meanwhile, reports have indicated that delayed gastric emptying frequently occurs as a complication in patients who have undergone PPPD,¹³–¹⁵ and surgical techniques that resect the pyloric resection has been a crucial point in PPPD.
Fig. 5. Chronological trends in albumin (Alb) in the patients with Alb at or above and below the standard value. Preoperative values were compared with the values at 2 weeks, 1 year and 5 years after surgery. Paired t-test was used for comparison between two groups. Alb was above the standard value (≥3.9 g/dL) in 33 patients. Two weeks after surgery: P <0.0001, 1 year later: P = 0.488, 5 years later: P = 0.431. Alb was below the standard value (<3.9 g/dL) in 12 patients. Two weeks after surgery: P = 0.0018, 1 year later: P = 0.0002, 5 years later: P = 0.0031. Error bars indicate standard errors. LLN: lower limit of normal.

Fig. 6. Chronological trends in body mass index (BMI) in all 45 patients. Preoperative values were compared with the values at 2 weeks, 1 year and 5 years after surgery. Paired t-test was used for comparison between two groups. Two weeks after surgery: P = 0.0004, 1 year later: P = 0.006, 5 years later: P = 0.05. Error bars indicate standard errors. LLSR: lower limit of standard range.

Loric sphincter are primarily performed to avoid this complication. However, based on long-term postoperative follow-up, if the pyloric sphincter is inadvertently resected, there are concerns that the patient will be less able to store food in the stomach. During a distal gastrectomy, preservation of the pyloric sphincter significantly suppresses postoperative weight loss, and significantly fewer symptoms of dumping syndrome are also reported. Even when performing PD, we consider the original purpose of the stomach, the necessity to store food for long periods of time, which is why it is advisable to preserve the pyloric sphincter. At our hospital, we proactively preserve the pyloric sphincter in patients who have responded favorably to radical treatment. However, during this study, we only investigated PPPD in a single arm, so we cannot make any conclusions about pyloric sphincter preservation. van Berge Henegouwen et al. compared patients who underwent standard PD with those who underwent PPPD at 15 months postoperatively and found that the PPPD patients had better outcomes, but they experienced weight loss. Melvin et al. examined body weight, BMI and serum Alb level in PPPD patients 5 years after surgery and reported a lack of improvement in nutritional status at this time. We think that numerous multicenter comparisons using larger sample sizes will be necessary to determine the superiority of PPPD over standard PD in terms of long-term nutritional status. There is an extreme decrease in both intra-pancreatic and extra-pancreatic endocrine function after standard PD, with the latter being responsible for the exacerbation of the nutritional status. The BMI in the patients of the present study also decreased significantly. Accordingly, body weight or nutritional status may improve as a result of supplementation with pancreatic digestive enzymes in patients whose pancreatic fluid exhibits reduced enzymatic activ-
Furthermore, the maintenance of nutritional status through supplementation with these digestive enzymes is reported to improve the prognosis for life expectancy, and we believe that administration of digestive enzymes is essential after PD. It was possible to maintain the nutritional status of the present study patients as a result of this supplementation. We expect the percentage of elderly patients who undergo PD to continue to increase as society continues to age. PD is a highly invasive procedure, so the risk to fragile elderly patients is high. Furthermore, patients who undergo this procedure have a decreased capacity for gastrointestinal absorption, and as a result, fragile elderly patients may be unable to maintain their nutritional status and physical strength, although the present study suggests that the effects on long-term nutritional status are not as severe after PPPD. Particularly in terms of the TP and Alb levels, it was extremely interesting to see that the values at 5 years after surgery were equal to or higher than the preoperative values, but surgery was performed to improve nutritional status in patients with preoperative malnutrition. The present study divided patients into groups in which the previous value was at or above the standard value and groups in which the preoperative value was below the standard value. As a result, the 5-year mean value of the group below the standard value recovered to the same level as that of the group at or above the standard value. We believe that the nutritional state improved in the patients with malignant tumors who exhibited a tumor-bearing state or whose nutrition was impaired preoperatively due to the effects of conditions such as jaundice. The present study only used serum markers of nutrition to evaluate the nutritional state. To perform a more accurate evaluation of the nutritional state, it is necessary to evaluate both exocrine function and muscle mass, among other factors, and thus, we believe that further studies will be required going forward. In conclusion, this study showed that it is possible to maintain nutritional status during long-term follow-up after PPPD.
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