Impact of Fano and Breit-Wigner resonances in the thermoelectric properties of nanoscale junctions
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We show that the thermoelectric properties of nanoscale junctions featuring states near the Fermi level strongly depend on the type of resonance generated by such states, which can be either Fano or Breit-Wigner-like. We give general expressions for the thermoelectric coefficients generated by the two types of resonances and calculate the thermoelectric properties of these systems, which encompass most nanoelectronics junctions. We include simulations of real junctions where metalloporphyrin dithiolate molecules bridge gold electrodes and prove that for some metallic elements the thermoelectric properties show a large variability with respect to the position of the resonance near the Fermi level. We find that the thermopower and figure of merit are largely enhanced when the resonance gets close to the Fermi level and reach values higher than typical values found in other nanoscale junctions. The specific value and temperature dependence are determined by a series of factors such as the strength of the coupling between the state and other molecular states, the symmetry of the state, the strength of the coupling between the molecule and the leads and the spin filtering behavior of the junction.

PACS numbers: 72.20.Pa,73.63.-b,85.35.Ds,71.15.-m

I. INTRODUCTION

The use of molecules as electronic components is expected to surpass, at least temporally, one of the limits imposed to Moore’s law as the size of the electronic components shrinks towards the atomic limit. Their particular properties would allow to develop more involved and efficient circuits and electronic devices with sizes much smaller that conventional silicon-based devices. Among the properties that molecules in metallic junctions can show are rectifying, negative differential resistance, switching, memory and sensing. Adding a thermal gradient or a coupling to a thermal bath would also allow molecules to work as nanometer-size thermoelectric devices, which could be used in applications ranging from chip cooling to building refrigerators. One of the thermoelectric coefficients, the Seebeck coefficient $S$, is also specially suited to gather information on the mechanisms of molecular conduction and the chemistry of the junction. For instance, from the sign of $S$ it is possible to deduce if the Fermi level lies close to the HOMO or the LUMO orbital. A positive (negative) sign indicates $p$-type ($n$-type) conduction, which means the Fermi level lies near the HOMO (LUMO). This implies that the sign and magnitude of the thermopower can be changed by gating the molecule. The Seebeck coefficient is also a very sensible magnitude that depends on factors such as the molecular length, the molecular conformation, the contact group, the side groups, the surface reconstruction and the type of electrodes.

The most important quantity that measures the thermoelectric efficiency of a system is the dimensionless figure of merit $ZT = S^2GT/κ$, which is proportional to the square of the Seebeck coefficient ($S$) and the conductance ($G$), and inversely proportional to the thermal conductance ($κ$). This number, which determines how easy it is to transform heat into electricity, should be as high as possible (closer to 1 or higher) in order for a thermoelectric device to work effectively. Values larger than 1 ($\sim 2$) have already been measured in inorganic superlattice devices. In the field of molecular electronics, however, despite current efforts, the measured $S$ and $ZT$ are not yet very high ($|S| \sim 33 \, \mu V/K$ and $ZT \sim 0.25\mu eV/\kappa$). Theoretical calculations predict that much higher values should be achieved when Fano resonances or interference-related peaks cross the Fermi level, but such predictions have not been confirmed experimentally yet. These values, calculated in the framework of coherent transport, should also be corrected by taking into account the phonon thermal conductance, inelastic scattering and, in general, coupling to phonons.

In this article we calculate the thermoelectric coefficients and figure of merit of molecules which show interference-related features around the Fermi level in the form of Breit-Wigner-like and Fano resonances. In section II we give a brief theoretical introduction on the thermoelectric coefficients. In next section, we include ab-initio simulations of molecular wires (metalloporphyrins dithiolate). Finally, in section IV we present a model that can be used to study the evolution of the thermoelectric properties of molecular wires as a function of a series of parameters, among which are included the type of resonance that crosses the Fermi level, which is related to the symmetry of the state, the strength of coupling between the resonance and other molecular levels and the coupling between the molecule and the electrodes.

II. THERMOELECTRIC COEFFICIENTS

When a junction is subject to an electrostatic potential difference and a temperature gradient, electric and heat current flow from one electrode to another. With just an electrostatic potential, electrons move from the negative to the positive electrode, whereas the electric current is defined to flow in the opposite direction. With a temperature gradient, however, there is no rule of thumb that allows one to determine how
the current flows without accurate information on the electronic structure, i.e. the transmission, of the junction. If the transmission below the Fermi level is higher, electrons flow from the cold to the hot electrode, whereas the contrary happens if the transmission is higher above the Fermi level. The electrostatic potential and the temperature gradient generate also a heat current which in general flows from the hot to the cold electrode. For a system with spin polarization, this is summarized in the following equation:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
I \\
\dot{Q}
\end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\hbar} \begin{pmatrix}
e^2 L_0 & \Psi L_1 \\
e L_1 & L_2\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
\Delta V \\
\Delta T
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(1)

where \(I\) and \(\dot{Q}\) are the electric and heat currents, respectively, and the moments \(L_n = L_n^+ + L_n^- (n = 0, 1, 2)\) of the transmission coefficients are given by:

\[
L_n = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (E - E_F)^n T(E) \frac{\partial f(E, V, T)}{\partial E} dE
\]

(2)

where \(f\) is the Fermi distribution function, which depends on voltage and temperature. Equation (1) can be expressed in terms of measurable thermoelectric quantities: the electric conductance \(G\), thermopower \(S\), Peltier coefficient \(\Pi\) and the electronic contribution to the thermal conductance \(\kappa\):

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\Delta V \\
\Delta T
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
R & S \\
\Pi & \kappa
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
I \\
\Delta T
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(3)

where

\[
G = \frac{e^2}{\hbar} L_0
\]

(4)

\[
S = -\frac{1}{e T} L_1
\]

(5)

\[
\Pi = \frac{1}{e} \frac{L_1}{L_0}
\]

(6)

\[
\kappa = \frac{1}{\hbar T} \left( L_2 - \frac{L_1^2}{L_0} \right)
\]

(7)

The figure of merit can also be expressed in terms of the transmission moments by substituting the above expressions in the \(ZT\) definition:

\[
ZT = \frac{1}{\frac{G_0}{\epsilon_0^2} + \frac{G_1}{\epsilon_1^2}} - 1
\]

(8)

In this case the figure of merit becomes large when the factor of the moments in the denominator decreases towards 1.

Approximations to these expressions can be obtained in the limit of low temperatures by expanding \(T(E)\) about \(E = E_F\), which we take equal to 0 eV. In case of a single level coupled to featureless leads, whose transmission is given by a Breit-Wigner resonance,

\[
T(E) = \frac{\Gamma^2}{(E - \epsilon_0)^2 + \Gamma^2}
\]

(9)

where \(\Gamma\) is the strength of coupling of the level to the leads and \(\epsilon_0\) is the energy of the level, the low-T bias conductance, Seebeck coefficient and figure of merit are given by:

\[
G = \frac{G_0 \Gamma^2}{\epsilon_0^2 + \Gamma^2}
\]

(10)

\[
S = -G_{th} \frac{2h}{e} \frac{\epsilon_0}{\epsilon_0^2 + \Gamma^2}
\]

(11)

\[
ZT = \frac{4 S_0 \epsilon_0^2}{(\epsilon_0^2 + \Gamma^2)^2 - 4 S_0 \epsilon_0^2}
\]

(12)

where \(G_0 = 2e^2/h\) and \(G_{th} = \pi^2 k_B^2 T/3h\) are the electrical and thermal conductance quantum units, and \(S_0 = h T G_{th}\).

For a Fano resonance produced by a side level with the same on-site energy as the backbone level \((\epsilon_0)\), and coupled to this last one by a matrix element \(V\), the transmission is

\[
T(E) = \frac{\Gamma^2 (E - \epsilon_0)^2}{((E - \epsilon_0)^2 - V^2)^2 + \Gamma^2 (E - \epsilon_0)^2}
\]

(13)

and the thermoelectric coefficients \(G\) and \(S\) and figure of merit are given by

\[
G = \frac{G_0 \Gamma^2 \epsilon_0^2}{(\epsilon_0^2 - V^2)^2 + \Gamma^2 \epsilon_0^2}
\]

(14)

\[
S = -G_{th} \frac{2h}{e} \frac{\epsilon_0}{\epsilon_0^2 + \Gamma^2}
\]

(15)

\[
ZT = \frac{S_0 \Delta^2 (0)}{\epsilon_0^2 \Gamma^2 - S_0 \Delta^2 (0)}
\]

(16)

where \(\Delta (0) = \left[(2 \epsilon_0^2 - 2 V^2 + \Gamma^2)T(0) - \Gamma^2\right].\) Notice the Fano resonance diverges at \(\epsilon_0 = 0\), which is an unphysical singularity. This can be avoided by including a background transmission due to other resonances, which are always present in realistic systems.
With these expressions it is then possible to calculate the thermoelectric coefficients in a given junction, provided the transmission is known. In general, in order to obtain large $S$ and $ZT$ it is convenient to have large derivatives around the Fermi level, since the first moment ($L_1$) is, at least at low temperatures, proportional to the derivative of the transmission. Notice again that these expressions can only be used at low temperatures, but qualitative trends derived from them (i.e. the overall shape of the thermoelectric coefficients as a function of the level position) are still valid at large temperatures.

III. FIRST PRINCIPLES CALCULATIONS

Molecular junctions that show sharp features around the Fermi level could very good candidates to act as thermoelectric enhancers. In particular, molecular junctions based on metalloporphyrin wires, which have recently been subjected to a lot of interest, both theoretically and experimentally, show, for certain metallic elements, a series of resonances close to the Fermi level which can be Breit-Wigner or Fano-like. This property makes them specially appealing for thermoelectricity, since such resonances can be employed to finely tune the thermoelectric response with a gate voltage (see below).

In order to have a clear picture of the influence of the electronic properties on the thermoelectric response we initially calculated from first principles the electronic and transport properties of metalloporphyrin dithiolate molecules between gold electrodes. In next section we pay attention to the most important features of these systems with the help of a simple model. The metallic elements which produce states close to the Fermi level are Fe and Cu atoms. We therefore focus on this study only on Fe and Cu metalloporphyrins dithiolate.

The first-principles calculations were performed in the framework of density functional theory (DFT). We used the SIESTA code, which employs norm conserving pseudopotentials and a basis set of pseudoatomic orbitals. We included non-linear core corrections in the transition-metal pseudopotentials to correctly account for the overlap between the valence and the core states. We used for gold a single-ζ basis (SZ) with explicit $s$ and $d$ orbitals as valence orbitals. For all the other elements (H, C, O, N, S and transition-metal) we used a double-ζ polarized basis (DZF). The exchange and correlation potential was approximated with the generalized gradient approximation (GGA), as parameterized by Perdew, Burke and Ernzerhof. We defined the real space grid with an energy cutoff of 400 Ry. We performed the structural relaxations and transport calculations in the Γ point, which was enough to relax the coordinates and correctly determine the transmission around the Fermi level. We also did tests with $k$-points (2x2 in the perpendicular directions) and the results were essentially the same around the Fermi level. We relaxed the coordinates until all forces were smaller than 0.01 eV/Å.

We corrected the self-interaction and other errors produced by DFT by using the DFT+$U$ approach, which yields qualitatively correct results in systems with transition-metal atoms.

To reproduce previous theoretical results for the gas-phase iron metalloporphyrin wire, we used $U = 4.5$ eV. This value was also employed in the molecule between electrodes and in other metallic elements. Notice that small differences in the $U$-term due to the electrodes or other metallic atoms do not affect the results and the only effect is a slight movement of the resonances around the Fermi level, which produces qualitatively similar results.

Structurally, the gold electrodes were grown in the (001) direction. The sulfur atoms were contacted to the gold surfaces in the hollow position, which was found to be more stable than the top and bottom configurations, at a distance of 1.8 Å. The transport calculations were performed with the Smeagol and Gollum codes. According to the transport formalism junction was divided in three parts: left and right leads and extended molecule (EM), which included the central part of the junction and also some layers of the gold leads to make sure that the electronic structure was converged to the bulk electronic structure away from the surfaces.

We show in Fig. 1 the transmission of Fe and Cu metalloporphyrin dithiolate between gold electrodes, with and without the $U$ correction. As can be seen the bare Fe case shows a very clear Fano resonance with its antiresonance close to the Fermi level. When the $U$-term is added the resonance moves to higher energies and its effect on the transmission around the Fermi level decreases. In the Cu case, however, there seems to be a sharp Breit-Wigner resonance which moves also to higher energies when the $U$-term is included.

Adding this parameter to the central metallic atom was equivalent to adding a gate potential which moves the states associated to it, as we shall see. Take into account however that without a gate voltage only the results with $U$ can be trusted. To reproduce previous theoretical results for the gas-phase iron metalloporphyrin wire, we used $U = 4.5$ eV. This value was also employed in the molecular junction between electrodes and in other metallic elements. Notice that small differences in the $U$-term due to the electrodes or other metallic atoms do not affect the results and the only effect is a slight movement of the resonances around the Fermi level, which produces qualitatively similar results.

From the transmissions we calculate the thermoelectric properties by using the equations in section II. The results are shown in Figs. 2 and 3. The temperature dependence on the horizontal axis enters in the Fermi distribution function, as explained before. In the case of iron the electric conductance is almost constant, whereas the thermal conductance increases.

![Transmission Diagram](image-url)
FIG. 2: (Color online) Thermoelectric coefficients for Fe metalloporphyrins dithiolate between gold electrodes, calculated with GGA and $U = 0$ eV (1) and $U = 4.5$ eV (2). From top to bottom, conductance $G$ (a), Seebeck coefficient $S$ (b), thermal conductance $\kappa$ and figure of merit $ZT$.

FIG. 3: (Color online) Thermoelectric coefficients for Cu metalloporphyrins dithiolate between gold electrodes, calculated with GGA and $U = 0$ eV (1) and $U = 4.5$ eV (2). From top to bottom, conductance $G$ (a), Seebeck coefficient $S$ (b), thermal conductance $\kappa$ and figure of merit $ZT$.

roughly linearly with temperature. The Seebeck coefficient, which is negative and signals that the Fermi level is close to the LUMO, is relatively large and its evolution with temperature qualitatively changes when the $U$-term is included and the state moves to higher energies. The same happens to the figure of merit, but it is rather small. These evolutions can be explained by taking into account the spin-polarized Fano resonance, which is a bit above the Fermi level and produces large changes in the derivative of the transmission ($L_1$) without $U$ but move to higher energies when the $U$-term is included and therefore leave an smoother transmission at the Fermi level.

The copper case is more interesting, as the temperature evo-

FIG. 4: (Color online) Spin-polarized transmission as a function of energy (a) and Seebeck coefficient (b) and figure of merit (c) as a function of temperature for Cu metalloporphyrins dithiolate between gold electrodes, calculated with GGA, $U = 4.5$ eV and different gate potentials.

FIG. 5: (Color online) Spin-polarized transmission as a function of energy (a) and Seebeck coefficient (b) and figure of merit (c) as a function of temperature for stretched Cu metalloporphyrins dithiolate between gold electrodes (the contact distance between the molecule and the electrodes was increased 0.8 Å on each side from the equilibrium configuration), calculated with GGA, $U = 4.5$ eV and different gate potentials.
volution of some quantities has more features and changes more dramatically when the state moves. Again, the electric conductance is rather constant and the thermal conductance increases linearly. The Seebeck coefficient shows however a dip at low temperatures, and becomes almost constant as the temperature increases. The dip disappears when the state moves to higher energies and the magnitude decreases to more negative values with $T$. The figure of merit is again small but has a peak at low temperatures. Such peak disappears when the $U$-term is included and is substituted by a smooth increase. This evolution is a consequence of the presence at the Fermi level of a sharp spin-polarized resonance which moves closer to the LUMO when the $U$-term is included. Such resonance, which is just a bit above the Fermi level gives rise to large derivatives and therefore dramatically increases, in absolute value, the Seebeck coefficient $S$. This coefficient is however not very large because the sum of the transmission ($L_0$) of both spin channels is not small.

The copper molecule could be a candidate to show large thermoelectric properties due to the presence of a resonance close to the Fermi level. However, the introduction of the $U$ moves the resonance to higher energies and decreases the thermoelectric response. It is then interesting to consider the case of applying a gate voltage that brings back the resonance to the Fermi level. We show that in Fig. 4. As can be seen, moving the resonance to lower energies increases the absolute value of both $S$ and $ZT$. The highest values are obtained when the largest slope of the resonance is just at the Fermi level ($V_G = 2.5$ V). Beyond that point $S$ and $ZT$ decrease ($V_G = 3.5$ V). The increase of the thermoelectric properties is not very spectacular however due to the fact that the resonance is not extremely sharp. More acute resonances can be however obtained by decreasing the coupling between the molecule and the gold electrodes, which reduces the width of all transmission features. We show in Fig. 5 results for a system where the distance between the gold electrodes and the sulphur atoms on each side increases by 0.8 Å relative to the equilibrium configuration. As can be seen, now the resonance is very sharp and the changes in the thermoelectric properties are more spectacular. Notice that the thermopower changes sign when the resonance crosses the Fermi level due to the change of slope. Based on these results we can claim that stretching molecular electronic junctions increase in general the thermoelectric performance.

### IV. TIGHT-BINDING MODEL

#### A. General properties

The most important features in the transmission of metalloporphins dithiolate junctions can be reproduced with a simple model. With such model we have analysed in detail the impact of Fano resonances on the charge transport properties of these systems. We have found that these molecules contribute with three broad resonances to the transmission coefficients of the junctions, which correspond to the HOMO-1 $(\pi$ molecular orbital), the HOMO and the LUMO (that has $\sigma$ character). In addition, the 3d-atom contributes with a spin-polarized strongly localized state, which hybridizes with the HOMO-1 or the LUMO, depending on the element, and gives rise to a Fano resonance. To model these junctions, we use the Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_{\text{gold}} + \hat{H}_M + \hat{H}_{\text{gold-M}}$$

(17)

$$\hat{H}_{\text{gold}} = \sum_{k,\sigma} \epsilon_k \hat{c}^\dagger_{k\sigma} \hat{c}_{k\sigma}$$

(18)

$$\hat{H}_M = \sum_{\sigma} \epsilon_{d\sigma} \hat{d}^\dagger_{\sigma} \hat{d}_{\sigma} + \sum_{i=1,2,3,\sigma} \epsilon_i \hat{c}^\dagger_{i\sigma} \hat{c}_{i\sigma} + \sum_{i=1,3} V_i \left( \hat{c}^\dagger_{i\sigma} \hat{d}_{\sigma} + \hat{d}^\dagger_{\sigma} \hat{c}_{i\sigma} \right)$$

(19)

$$\hat{H}_{\text{gold-M}} = \sum_{k,\sigma} T_{ik} \left( \hat{c}^\dagger_{i\sigma} \hat{c}_{k\sigma} + \hat{c}^\dagger_{k\sigma} \hat{c}_{i\sigma} \right)$$

(20)

where the operators $\hat{c}_{i,\sigma}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ represent the HOMO-1, HOMO and LUMO molecular levels. The operator $\hat{d}$ represents the $d$ level associated to the central metallic atom. We assume that this level couples only to either the HOMO-1 or to the LUMO levels (e.g.: only $V_1$ or $V_3$ are different from zero). We assume a wide band approximation for the band structure of the gold electrodes, so that its density of states $\rho_e$ and therefore the Gamma matrices ($\Gamma_1 = T_{ik}^\dagger \rho_e$) are constant. Finally, we assume that the $d$-level is spin-polarized so that only the spin-up $d$-level enters the relevant energy window.

By using this model we have found that the presence of two types of resonances can be explained by how the $d$ state couples to other molecular states. If the $d$ state couples to the HOMO-1, which is a $\sigma$-like molecular orbital, the state produces around the Fermi level a resonance which looks like a Breit-Wigner resonance. Such peak comes really from a Fano
resonance, whose dip is not seen because it is masked by the larger transmission around the Fermi level. If the $d$ state couples however to the LUMO orbital a clear Fano resonance appears around the Fermi level because the dip affects the transmission of the LUMO, which is not completely masked by the transmission of other states.

B. Thermoelectric properties

From the transmission it is easy to obtain the thermoelectric coefficients by using the equations in section II. We focus specially in the thermopower and figure of merit, which are the most relevant for thermoelectric efficiency. Since we can easily vary the parameters of the model we study different effects such as the movement of the states across the Fermi level and the change of the coupling between the $d$ state and other molecular states or between the molecule and the leads. We show in Fig. 6 the thermopower and figure of merit calculated at $T = 250$ K for Fano and Breit-Wigner-like resonances as a function of the position of the state that gives rise to them. As can be seen the thermopower shows a peak-dip structure, which is a consequence of the change of the derivative of the transmission as the resonance crosses $E_F$. Such structure is asymmetric in the first case because the Fano resonance is also asymmetric, as it is made of a resonance followed by an antiresonance. In the second case it is also slightly asymmetric because the resonance is not exactly in the middle of the HOMO-LUMO gap. The figure of merit, which reaches values as high as 0.86, follows roughly the square of the thermopower and therefore has two peaks and a dip, which corresponds to the highest point of each resonance. Notice also both quantities $S$ and $ZT$ are larger in the Fano case due to more pronounced changes in the derivative when the antiresonance follows the resonance.

One effect that can influence the values of the thermopower and figure of merit is the coupling $V$ between the $d$ level and the corresponding molecular level. This can be done e.g. by using a different metallic atom or straining/compressing the molecule. As can be seen, changing such coupling increases the absolute value of both quantities, specially in the Breit-Wigner-like case, but the change is not very large because the only difference in the transmission is due to an increase of the width of the resonances, which does not affect the derivative too much. This seems to indicate a relative robustness of the absolute value of $S$ and $ZT$ as a function of the metallic atom or small molecular conformation changes. When the coupling increase there is also a movement of the peaks and dips to lower or higher energies, which is produced by the increase of the separation between levels.

The total evolution of both quantities as a function of the level position and temperature, for both couplings, is shown in Figs. 7, 8, 9 and 10. As can be seen the peaks-dips structures remain the same for large temperature ranges. Both quantities show high peaks and dips at relatively low and intermediate temperatures, up to $\sim 300$ K, and tend to slightly decrease beyond room temperature. According to this, the most efficient heat to electricity conversion (large $S$ and $ZT$) can be achieved in the case Fano resonances at temperatures close to room temperature.

The figure of merit is large but not too much. As previously stated, the value of the figure of merit is capped due to the relatively large transmission at the Fermi level and the presence of the other spin channel. The transmission of both channels can however be decreased by reducing the coupling between the molecule and the electrodes, which decreases the width of all transmission resonances and reduces the transmission in the middle. We show in Fig. 11 the figure of merit calculated around the peaks-dip structure for various coupling strengths or $\Gamma$ matrixes between the levels and the electrodes. As can be seen, the smaller the coupling or the corresponding $\Gamma$ matrix, the larger the figure of merit. For small couplings it can reach values as large 3.7. For such small couplings a note of caution should be added however since the width of the resonances is so small that strong correlations could change the picture of the physical properties.

FIG. 7: Seebeck coefficient $S$ in units of $\mu$V/K for model metalloporphyrins dithiolate which have a Fano-like resonance around the Fermi level, as a function of temperature $T$ (in Kelvin) and the level position $\epsilon_0$ (in eV). The coupling between the $d$ state and the $\pi$ state is 0.2 eV (a) and 0.4 eV (b).
FIG. 8: Seebeck coefficient $S$ in units of $\mu$V/K for model metalloporphyrins dithiolate which have a Breit-Wigner-like resonance around the Fermi level, as a function of temperature $T$ (in Kelvin) and the level position $\epsilon_0$ (in eV). The coupling between the $d$ state and the $\sigma$ state is 0.4 eV (a) and 0.8 eV (b).

V. CONCLUSIONS

The thermoelectric properties of junctions with states close to the Fermi level have been calculated using analytical derivations and a simple model. A spin-polarized first-principles calculation of a junction made of a metalloporphyrin dithiolate molecule between gold electrodes has also been included as a realistic example. The Fano and Breit-Wigner-like resonances greatly enhance the thermopower and figure of merit when they cross the Fermi level. The maximum value of these quantities depends on the coupling between the state that gives rise to the resonance and the other molecular states. The bigger the coupling the bigger the thermopower and figure of merit. Their evolution with temperature has also been studied and it was found that the largest efficiency, corresponding to the largest figure of merit, can be achieved at temperatures close to room temperature. Finally, the coupling between the molecule and the electrodes was also taken into account and it was found that reducing it greatly enhances the figure of merit, which can reach values larger than 1.

FIG. 9: Figure of merit $ZT$ for model metalloporphyrins dithiolate which have a Fano-like resonance around the Fermi level, as a function of temperature $T$ (in Kelvin) and the level position $\epsilon_0$ (in eV). The coupling between the $d$ state and the $\pi$ state is 0.2 eV (a) and 0.4 eV (b).

The research presented here was funded by the Spanish MICINN through the grant FIS2012-34858 and by the Marie Curie network NanoCTM. VMGS thanks the Spanish Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad for a Ramón y Cajal fellowship (RYC-2010-06053). RRF thanks Consejería de Educación del Principado de Asturias for a Severo Ochoa grant (BP11-069).

1. J. Ferrer and V. M. García-Suárez, J. Mater. Chem. 19, 1696 (2009).
2. A. Aviram and M. A. Ratner, Chem. Phys. Lett. 52, 9071 (1974).
3. V. M. García-Suárez and C. J. Lambert, Nanotechnology 19, 455203 (2008).
4. P. G. Piva, G. A. DiLabio, J. L. Pitters, J. Zikovsky, M. Rezeg, S.
FIG. 10: Figure of merit $ZT$ for model metalloporphyrins dithiolate which have a Breit-Wigner-like resonance around the Fermi level, as a function of temperature $T$ (in Kelvin) and the level position $\epsilon_0$ (in eV). The coupling between the $d$ state and the $\sigma$ state is 0.4 eV (a) and 0.8 eV (b).

Dogel, W. A. Hofer and R. A. Wolkow, Nature 435, 658 (2005).

5 J. He, B. Chen, A. K. Flatt, J. J. Stephenson, C. D. Doyle, and J. M. Tour, Nature Mater. 5, 63 (2006).

6 D. P. Long, J. L. Lazarcik, B. A. Mantooth, M. H. Moore, M. A. Ratner, A. Troisi, Y. Yao, J. W. Ciszek, J. M. Tour and R. Shashidhar, Nat. Mater. 5, 901 (2006).

7 O. Entin-Wohlman, Y. Imry, and A. Aharony, Phys. Rev. B 82, 115314 (2010).

8 A. N. Jordan, B. Sothmann, R. Sánchez, and M. Büttiker, Phys. Rev. B 87, 075312 (2013).

9 Y. Dubi and M. Di Ventra, Rev. Mod. Phys. 83, 131 (2011).

10 J. Koch, F. von Oppen, Y. Oreg, and E. Sela, Phys. Rev. B 70, 195107 (2004).

11 K. Baheti, J. A. Malen, P. Doak, P. Reddy, S.-Y. Jang, T. D. Tilley, A. Majumdar, and R. A. Segalman, Nano Lett. 8, 715 (2008).

12 M. Paulsson and S. Datta, Phys. Rev. B 67, 241403(R) (2003).

13 A. Tan, S. Sadat, and P. Reddy, Appl. Phys. Lett. 96, 013110 (2010).

14 X. Zheng, W. Zheng, Y. Wei, Z. Zeng, and J. Wang, J. Chem. Phys. 121, 8537 (2004).

15 B. Wang, Y. Xing, L. Wan, Y. Wei, and J. Wang, Phys. Rev. B 71, 233406 (2005).

16 M. Wierzbicki and R. Swirkowicz, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 22, 185302 (2010).

17 F. Pauly, J. K. Viljas, and J. C. Cuevas, Phys. Rev. B 78, 035315 (2008).

18 A. Tan, J. Balachandran, S. Sadat, V. Gavini, B. D. Dunietz, S.-Y. Jang, and P. Reddy, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 133, 8838 (2011).

19 M. Bürkle, L. A. Zotti, J. K. Viljas, D. Vonlanthen, A. Mishchenko, T. Wandlowski, M. Mayor, G. Schön, and F. Pauly, Phys. Rev. B 86, 115304 (2012).

20 J. Balachandran, P. Reddy, B. D. Dunietz, and V. Gavini, Chem. Phys. Lett. 3, 1962 (2012).

21 M. Finch, V. M. García-Suárez, and C. J. Lambert, Phys. Rev. B 79, 033405 (2009).

22 Y.-S. Liu and Y.-C. Chen, Appl. Phys. Lett. 98, 213103 (2011).

23 R. Stadler and T. Markussen, J. Chem. Phys. 135, 154109 (2011).

24 B. C. Hsu, C.-Y. Lin, Y.-S. Hsieh, and Y.-C. Chen, Appl. Phys. Lett. 101, 243103 (2012).

25 D. Nozaki, H. Sevincli, W. Li, R. Gutiérrez, and G. Cuniberti, Phys. Rev. B 81, 235406 (2010).

26 R. Venkatasubramanian, E. Siivola, T. Colpitts, and B. O’Quinn, Nature 413, 597 (2001).

27 P. Reddy, S.-Y. Jang, R. A. Segalman, and A. Majumdar, Science 315, 1568 (2007).

28 J. A. Malen, P. Doak, K. Baheti, T. D. Tilley, A. Majumdar, and R. A. Segalman, Nano Lett. 9, 3406 (2009).

29 J. A. Malen, S. K. Yee, A. Majumdar, and R. A. Segalman, Chem. Phys. Lett. 491, 109 (2010).

30 S. K. Yee, J. A. Malen, A. Majumdar, and R. A. Segalman, Nano Lett. 11, 4089 (2011).

31 O. Bubnova, Z. U. Khan, A. Maiti, S. Braun, M. Fahlman, M. Berggren, and X. Crispin, Nature Materials 10, 429 (2011).

32 J. R. Widawsky, P. Darancet, J. B. Neaton, and L. Venkataraman, Nano Lett. 12, 354 (2011).

33 P. Trocha and J. Barnaš, Phys. Rev. B 85, 085408 (2012).

34 O. Karlström, H. Linke, G. Karlström, and A. Wacker, Phys. Rev. B 84, 113415 (2011).
Notice that in general, in cases with spin polarization and no spin-orbit coupling, the orientation between the spin and the lattice coordinates is arbitrary and therefore it is not possible to determine the spin-filtering behavior of the system without coupling to a ferromagnetic material.

As a simplification we assume the coupling of the HOMO-1 does not change. This is in general not correct since the coupling of all states changes when the molecule gradually uncouples from the leads, but will allow us to see just the effect of decreasing the coupling of the HOMO and LUMO. Notice decreasing the HOMO-1 coupling would further enhance the figure of merit, since the transmission would be even lower at the Fermi level. This is also the reason why the increase of the figure of merit saturates for very small couplings.