ON THE COHOMOLOGY OF MODULI SPACES OF (WEIGHTED) STABLE RATIONAL CURVES
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Abstract. We give a recursive algorithm to compute the character of the cohomology of the moduli space \( \overline{M}_{0,n} \) of stable \( n \)-pointed genus zero curves as a representation of the symmetric group \( S_n \) on \( n \) letters. Using the algorithm we can show a formula for the maximum length of this character. Our main tool is connected to the moduli spaces of weighted stable curves introduced by Hassett.

1. Introduction

Let \( \overline{M}_{0,n} \) be the moduli space of stable \( n \)-pointed curves of genus zero. It parametrizes the isomorphism classes of stable curves \((C, x_1, \ldots, x_n)\), where \( C \) is a curve of genus zero and \((x_1, \ldots, x_n)\) are distinct smooth marked points on it. The symmetric group \( S_n \) on \( n \) letters acts on \( \overline{M}_{0,n} \) by permuting the points \( x_1, \ldots, x_n \). Hence we have a representation of \( S_n \) on the cohomology group \( H^\ast(\overline{M}_{0,n}, \mathbb{Q}) \). The aim of this paper is to study this representation.

Our first goal is to give a recursive algorithm to compute the character of the \( S_n \)-module \( H^\ast(\overline{M}_{0,n}, \mathbb{Q}) \). Formulas for this character have been obtained earlier by Getzler–Kapranov [4] and Getzler [3] by developing the theory of modular operads. Our method is based on the moduli spaces of weighted stable curves constructed by Hassett [5].

A weighted pointed curve consists of a curve and a set of marked points to which rational numbers (called weights) between zero and one are assigned. Marked points may coincide if the sum of their weights is not greater than one. Hassett constructed moduli spaces for the weighted pointed curves that are stable. Since the weights determine the stability conditions for the curves, the moduli spaces may change as the weights are varied. It is shown in [5] that there are induced birational morphisms between these moduli spaces if the weights are varied in certain ways.

Now we explain the idea to compute the character of \( H^\ast(\overline{M}_{0,n}, \mathbb{Q}) \) using the weighted stable curves. Let \( (\mathbb{P}^1)^n \! / \! \text{PGL}(2) \) be the Geometric Invariant Theory (GIT) quotient of the product of \( n \) copies of the projective line by the diagonal action of PGL(2) with respect to the symmetric linearization \( \bigotimes_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \). This space, as well as \( \overline{M}_{0,n} \), can be interpreted as the moduli spaces of weighted stable curves for certain weights. These weights are related in such a way that there is an \( S_n \)-equivariant birational morphism \( \overline{M}_{0,n} \to (\mathbb{P}^1)^n \! / \! \text{PGL}(2) \).
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1 More precisely, when \( n \) is even, we have to consider the resolution of \( (\mathbb{P}^1)^n \! / \! \text{PGL}(2) \) constructed by Kirwan [8], see Lemma 4.4 below.
This morphism factorizes into a sequence of blow-ups whose centers are transversal unions of smooth subvarieties. Furthermore, each component of the centers is isomorphic to the moduli space of weighted stable rational curves with fewer than \( n \) marked points. Based on this observation, we give a recursive formula to compute the \( \mathbb{S}_n \)-character of \( H^*(\overline{M}_{0,n}, \mathbb{Q}) \), see Theorem 3.2 for further details.

The second goal of this paper is to show a formula for the length of the \( \mathbb{S}_n \)-module \( H^*(\overline{M}_{0,n}, \mathbb{Q}) \). Recall that the irreducible \( \mathbb{S}_n \)-modules are indexed by the partitions of \( n \). By definition, the length of an irreducible \( \mathbb{S}_n \)-module is the number of parts in the corresponding partition. For a finite-dimensional \( \mathbb{S}_n \)-module \( V \), we denote the maximum of the lengths of the irreducible representations occurring in \( V \) by \( l(V) \). In [2], Faber and Pandharipande showed that

\[
l(H^{2i}(\overline{M}_{0,n}, \mathbb{Q})) \leq \min(i + 1, n - i + 2).
\]

Using our algorithm we show that the above bound is indeed sharp. Actually, we can show a refined statement, see Theorem 5.1.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we summarize necessary facts on weighted stable curves. The recursive formula for the character of \( H^*(\overline{M}_{0,n}, \mathbb{Q}) \) is presented in Section 3. It is made more explicit in Section 4 using symmetric functions, where we also give some examples. We then prove the formula for \( l(H^{2i}(\overline{M}_{0,n}, \mathbb{Q})) \) in Section 5. In Appendix A we give a formula for the cohomology of the blow-up used to derive the formula for \( H^*(\overline{M}_{0,n}, \mathbb{Q}) \) in Theorem 3.2. In Appendix B we recall some facts about symmetric functions and characters of symmetric groups. In Appendix C we prove a formula for the length of some induced representation used in the proof of Theorem 5.1.
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2. Preliminaries

We recall the definition of weighted pointed stable curves and some properties of their moduli spaces. In the following, a curve is a compact and connected curve over \( \mathbb{C} \) with at most nodal singularities and the genus of a curve is the arithmetic one.

2.1. Weighted pointed stable rational curves.

**Definition 2.1.** Let \( n \geq 3 \). An element \( \mathbf{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Q}^n \) satisfying \( 0 < a_j \leq 1 \) and \( a_1 + \cdots + a_n > 2 \) is called a weight.

**Definition 2.2.** Given a weight \( \mathbf{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \), an \( \mathbf{a} \)-weighted stable \( n \)-pointed rational curve \( (C, x_1, \ldots, x_n) \) consists of a curve \( C \) of genus 0 and \( n \) marked points \( (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \) on \( C \) which satisfy the following conditions:
(i) all the marked points are non-singular points of \( C \),
(ii) \( x_{i_1} = \cdots = x_{i_k} \) may happen if \( \sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{i_j} \leq 1 \), where \( \{i_1, \ldots, i_k\} \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\} \),
(iii) (stability) the number of singularities plus the sum of the weights of the marked points on each irreducible component of \( C \) should be strictly greater than 2.

Let \( \overline{M}_{0,a} \) be the moduli space of \( a \)-weighted stable \( n \)-pointed rational curves. It exists and it is an irreducible smooth projective variety of dimension \( n - 3 \), see [5, Theorem 2.1]. For \( a = (1, \ldots, 1) \) we have \( \overline{M}_{0,a} = \overline{M}_{0,n} \).

2.2. Reduction morphisms. Let \( a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \) and \( b = (b_1, \ldots, b_n) \) be two weights of the same length with \( a_i \geq b_i \) for all \( i \). There exists a birational surjective map

\[
\rho_{b,a} : \overline{M}_{0,a} \to \overline{M}_{0,b}
\]

called a reduction morphism, see [5], Theorem 4.1. For \( (C, x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \overline{M}_{0,a} \) we obtain \( \rho_{b,a}(C, x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \overline{M}_{0,b} \) by successively collapsing the components of \( C \) which become unstable with respect to the weight \( b \). That is, the components of \( C \) are collapsed for which condition \( (iii) \) of Definition 2.2 is no longer fulfilled when the weight \( a \) is replaced by the weight \( b \).

Remark 2.3. Throughout this paper, the coefficients of all cohomology groups will be \( \mathbb{Q} \). Note that the pullback \( \rho_{b,a}^* : H^*(\overline{M}_{0,b}) \to H^*(\overline{M}_{0,a}) \) is injective (see e.g. [12, Lemma 7.28]) and that \( \overline{M}_{0,n} \) has no odd cohomology by a result of Keel [6, p. 549]. It follows that \( \overline{M}_{0,a} \) has no odd cohomology for any weight \( a \), see also [1, Theorem 1].

3. The blow-up formula

3.1. The blow-up sequence. For a weight

\[
a = (1, \ldots, 1, \underbrace{\frac{1}{k}, \ldots, \frac{1}{k}}_{n-k}), \quad 0 \leq k \leq n,
\]

let \( \overline{M}_{k,l}^a := \overline{M}_{0,a} \) be the moduli space of \( a \)-weighted stable rational curves and note that \( \overline{M}_{0,1}^a \cong \overline{M}_{0,n} \). The subgroup \( S_k \times S_{n-k} \) of \( S_n \) preserves the weight \( a \) and therefore acts on \( \overline{M}_{k,l}^a \). We have the following sequence of reduction morphisms:

\[
(3.1) \quad \overline{M}_{k,1}^a \to \overline{M}_{k,2}^a \to \cdots \to \overline{M}_{k,r(n,k)}^a,
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
 r(n,k) : & \begin{cases} 
 \frac{n-k}{2} & \text{if } k = 0, \\
 n-2 & \text{if } k = 1, \\
 n-k & \text{if } k \geq 2.
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

The sequence \( 3.1 \) is equivariant under the action of \( S_k \times S_{n-k} \). If \( k \geq 2 \) then clearly \( \overline{M}_{k,l}^a \to \overline{M}_{k,l+1}^a \) is an isomorphism for \( l \geq r(n,k) \). Moreover, the first reduction morphism
\( \overline{M}_{k,1} \rightarrow \overline{M}_{k,2} \) is an isomorphism, because the fibers consist of products of the space \( \overline{M}_{0,3} \), which is isomorphic to a point.

We describe the exceptional locus of an arrow \( \rho_{k,l}^n : \overline{M}_{k,l}^n \rightarrow \overline{M}_{k,l+1}^n \) in the sequence \([3,1]\).

For a subset \( I = \{i_1, \ldots, i_{l+1}\} \) of \( \{k+1, \ldots, n\} \), let \( \overline{M}_{k,l+1}^n(I) \) be the closure in \( \overline{M}_{k,l+1}^n \) of the locus where the marked points \( x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_{l+1}} \) collide.

**Lemma 3.1.** The reduction morphism \( \rho_{k,l}^n : \overline{M}_{k,l}^n \rightarrow \overline{M}_{k,l+1}^n \) is the blow-up along the union \( \cup_I \overline{M}_{k,l+1}^n(I) \) where \( I \) runs over all subsets of \( \{k+1, \ldots, n\} \) of cardinality \( l+1 \).

**Proof.** See \([5]\), Proposition 4.5 and Remark 4.6. \( \Box \)

### 3.2. Intersections of components

Now we describe intersections between the spaces \( \overline{M}_{k,l+1}^n(I) \) for different choices of \( I \). Let \( I_1, \ldots, I_m \) be any subsets of \( \{k+1, \ldots, n\} \) such that \#I\_j = l + 1, then \( \cap_{j=1}^m \overline{M}_{k,l+1}^n(I_j) \neq \emptyset \) if and only if \( I_j \cap I_{j'} = \emptyset \) for all \( j \neq j' \). When this condition is satisfied we have

\[
\cap_{j=1}^m \overline{M}_{k,l+1}^n(I_j) \cong \overline{M}_{k+m,l+1}^n \, ,
\]

which follows from \([5]\) Proposition 4.5]. Let \( G_{k,m,l+1}^n \) be the subgroup of \( S_k \times S_{n-k} \) which preserves the set \( \{I_1, \ldots, I_m\} \). We have

\[
G_{k,m,l+1}^n \cong S_k \times [(S_{l+1})^m \times S_m] \times S_{n-k-(l+1)m} \, .
\]

The group \( G_{k,m,l+1}^n \) acts on the intersection \( \cap_{j=1}^m \overline{M}_{k,l+1}^n(I_j) \).

### 3.3. The main theorem

As we have mentioned, \( S_k \times S_{n-k} \) acts on \( \overline{M}_{k,l}^n \) by permuting the marked points. This makes the cohomology groups \( H^i(\overline{M}_{k,l}^n) \) into representations of \( S_k \times S_{n-k} \).

Let us consider the graded representation

\[
\text{Res}_{S_k \times S_{l+1} \times S_{n-k-(l+1)m}}^{S_k \times S_m \times S_{n-k-(l+1)m}} H^*(\overline{M}_{k+m,l+1}^n) \, .
\]

We regard this as a graded representation of \( G_{k,m,l+1}^n \), where \( (S_{l+1})^m \) acts trivially, and we denote it by \( W_{k,m,l+1}^n \).

**Theorem 3.2.** We have the following identity in the ring of graded representations of \( S_k \times S_{n-k} \):

\[
H^*(\overline{M}_{k,l}^n) = H^*(\overline{M}_{k,l+1}^n) \oplus \bigoplus_{m=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{l+1} \rfloor} \text{Ind}_{G_{k,m,l+1}^n}^{S_k \times S_{n-k}} (W_{k,m,l+1}^n \otimes (H^+(\mathbb{P}^{l+1}))^\otimes m) \, ,
\]

where on the right hand side, \( H^+ \) is the part of the cohomology with positive degree. Moreover we regard \( (H^+(\mathbb{P}^{l+1}))^\otimes m \), on which \( S_m \) acts by permutation of the factors, as a representation of \( G_{k,m,l+1}^n \) where every factor except \( S_m \) acts trivially.
Proof. By Lemma 3.1 the reduction morphism \( \rho_{n,k}^l : \overline{M}_{k,l}^n \to \overline{M}_{k,l+1}^n \) is the blow-up along the transversal union of smooth subvarieties of codimension \( l \). Therefore we can apply the result in Appendix A. The theorem follows from Proposition A.1 after taking into account the action of \( S_k \times S_{n-k} \).

Formula (3.2) can be used inductively, knowing the \( S_m \)-representation \( \left( H^+ (\mathbb{P}^{l-1}) \right)^{\otimes m} \) (see Lemma 4.2) and using the fact that \( H^* (\overline{M}_{k,l}^n) \) equals \( \text{Res}^{S_0 \times S_{n-k}} \text{Res}^n H^* (\overline{M}_{0,1}^n) \).

**Corollary 3.3.** By induction, with the base cases being \( \overline{M}_{0,r(n,0)}^n \) for all \( n \geq 3 \), we can use formula (3.2) to compute the graded \( S_k \times S_{n-k} \)-representation \( H^* (\overline{M}_{k,l}^n) \) for any \( n, k \) and \( l \).

## 4. The algorithm

### 4.1. Poincaré-Serre polynomials

In this section we will make Corollary 3.3 more explicit using Poincaré-Serre polynomials, see Appendix B for the notation.

**Definition 4.1.** The \( (S_k \times S_{n-k}) \)-equivariant Poincaré-Serre polynomial of \( \overline{M}_{k,l}^n \) is defined by

\[
E^0_{k,l}(q) := \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \text{ch}_{k,n-k} \left( H^2 (\overline{M}_{k,l}^n) \right) q^i \in \Lambda^{x,y}[q].
\]

It is straightforward to show the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.2.** The graded \( S_m \)-character of \( \left( H^+ (\mathbb{P}^{l-1}) \right)^{\otimes m} \) is given in \( \Lambda^{x,y}[q] \) by

\[
F^y_{m,l}(q) := \sum_{k=1}^{m(l-1)} \left( \sum_{(m_1, \ldots, m_{l-1}) \in M_{l,k}} \prod_{j=1}^{l-1} s^y_{(m_j)} \right) q^k,
\]

where \( M_{l,k} := \{ (m_1, \ldots, m_{l-1}) : m_i \geq 0, \sum_i im_i = k, \sum_i m_i = m \} \).

**Proposition 4.3.** For any \( \nu \in \mathcal{P}(m) \) we write \( \frac{\partial}{\partial p^y} F^{n-1m}_{k+m,l+1}(q) = \sum_{\lambda, \mu} a^{\nu,\lambda,\mu}_{n,k,m,l}(q) p^y \mathcal{P}^y_{\lambda,\mu} \) for some \( a^{\nu,\lambda,\mu}_{n,k,m,l}(q) \in \mathbb{Q}[q] \). We then have

\[
E^n_{k,l}(q) = E^n_{k,l+1}(q) + \sum_{m=1}^{[\frac{n-1}{l-1}]} \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{P}(m)} \sum_{\lambda, \mu} a^{\nu,\lambda,\mu}_{n,k,m,l}(q) p^y \mathcal{P}^y_{\lambda,\mu} \left( (p^y_s \circ F^y_{m,l}(q)) \right) ,
\]

where \( \ast \) and \( \circ \) act trivially on \( q \).

**Proof.** This follows directly from Theorem 3.2 using Appendix B.

### 4.2. Base cases

Here we will find formulas for \( E^n_{0,r(n,0)}(q) \) which are the base cases in the induction.

**Lemma 4.4** ([7], Theorem 1.1).

(i) If \( n \) is odd, \( \overline{M}_{0,r(n,0)}^n \) is isomorphic to the GIT quotient \( (\mathbb{P}^1)^n / \text{PGL}(2) \).

(ii) If \( n \) is even, \( \overline{M}_{0,r(n,0)}^n \) is isomorphic to Kirwan’s desingularization of \( (\mathbb{P}^1)^n / \text{PGL}(2) \) constructed in [8].
Remark 4.5. If \( n \leq 6 \) we see that \( \overline{M}_{0,r(n,0)}^n \) is isomorphic to \( \overline{M}_{0,1}^n \). Note also that \( \overline{M}_{1,r(n,1)}^n \) is isomorphic to \( \mathbb{P}^{n-3} \) and that

\[
E_{1,r(n,1)}^n(q) = s_{(1)}^q s_{(n-1)}^{n-3} \sum_{i=0}^{n-3} q^i.
\]

Definition 4.6. For \( n \geq 1 \) we define

\[
P_n(q) := \sum_{i=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor} s_{(n-i)} s_{(i)} (q^{n-i} - q^{i+1}) \in \Lambda[q].
\]

Lemma 4.7. If we suppose \( n = 2m + 1 \), then

\[
E_{0,m}^n(q) = \frac{P_n(q)}{q^3 - q}.
\]

Proof. This formula is an \( S_n \)-equivariant version of Proposition 6.1 in [11]. We first observe that the Poincaré-Serre polynomial of \( (\mathbb{P}^1)^n \) equals \( \sum_{i=0}^{n} s_{(n-i)} s_{(i)} q^i \). The unstable points in \( (\mathbb{P}^1)^n \) under the action of \( \text{PGL}(2) \) are the ones for which at least \( m + 1 \) coordinates are equal. The loci of points in \( (\mathbb{P}^1)^n \) where at least \( m + 1 \) coordinates are equal to a fixed point \( x \in \mathbb{P}^1 \) are disjoint for each choice of \( x \). Thus, we find the Poincaré-Serre polynomial of the locus of unstable points to be \( (q + 1) \sum_{i=0}^{m} s_{(n-i)} s_{(i)} q^i \). Removing this loci and dividing by \( \text{PGL}(2) \) gives the answer, using the additivity of Poincaré-Serre polynomials (defined using the Euler-characteristic in the non-projective case).

Lemma 4.8. Suppose \( n = 2m \), then we have

\[
E_{0,m-1}^n(q) = \frac{P_n(q) - s_{(m)}^2 q^m + (s_{(2)} \circ s_{(m)}) q + (s_{(1,1)} \circ s_{(m)}) q^2}{q^3 - q} + s_{(2)} \circ \left( s_{(m)} \sum_{i=0}^{m-2} q^i \right),
\]

where \( p_i \circ (p_j q^k) := p_{ij} q^k \).

Proof. This formula is an \( S_n \)-equivariant version of the formula in Section 9.1 in [8]. As in the odd case we begin by considering the locus in \( (\mathbb{P}^1)^n \) of unstable points, that is, points for which at least \( m + 1 \) of the coordinates are equal. The Poincaré-Serre polynomial of this locus equals \( (q + 1) \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} s_{(n-i)} s_{(i)} q^i \). The strictly semi-stable points of \( (\mathbb{P}^1)^n \) are the ones for which one can find a point in \( \mathbb{P}^1 \) such that precisely \( m \) of the coordinates are equal to this point. Consider first the sub-locus of strictly semi-stable points in \( (\mathbb{P}^1)^n \) where there are two distinct points such that there are \( m \) of the coordinates equal to each one of them. Its contribution equals \( (s_{(2)} \circ s_{(m)}) q^2 + (s_{(1,1)} \circ s_{(m)}) q \), which follows from the fact that the Poincaré-Serre polynomial of \( (\mathbb{P}^1)^2 \) is \( s_{(2)}(q^2 + q + 1) + s_{(1,1)} q \). The contribution from the rest of the semi-stable locus is directly found to be \( s_{(m)}^2(q + 1)(q^m - q) \). We can now remove the locus of strictly semi-stable points and divide by \( \text{PGL}(2) \).

We should then add the contribution coming from the moduli space \( M \) of weighted curves with two components, each component having \( m \)-marked points of weight \( 1/(m - 1) \). The node on such a component can be viewed as a point of weight 1 and hence \( M \) consists of copies of \( \text{Sym}^2(\overline{M}_{1,m-1}^{m+1}) \), one for each choice of distribution of labels of marked points on...
each component. The $S_n$-representation $H^*(M)$ will then equal the induced representation $\text{Ind}_{S_2 \sim S_n} H^*(\overline{M}_{1,0}^{n+1})$, where $S_2$ acts trivially. Since $E_{1,m-1}^{n+1}(q) = s_{(1)}^{x} s_{(m)}^{y} (\sum_{i=0}^{m-2} q^i)$, by Remark 4.3 we find the Poincaré-Serre polynomial of $M$ to be $s_{(2)}^{y} \circ (\frac{1}{\partial p_1} E_{1,m-1}^{n+1}(q))$. □

4.3. Examples. The first case for which $\overline{M}_{0,1}^{n}$ is not isomorphic to $\overline{M}_{0,r(0,n)}^{n}$ is when $n = 7$. We will now use equation (4.1) to compute the cohomology of this space.

To apply equation (4.1) for $n = 7$ and $l = 2$ we first need to find $E_{2,3}^{5}(q)$ and $E_{1,3}^{5}(q)$. We clearly have $E_{2,3}^{5}(q) = s_{(2)}^{y} s_{(1)}^{x}$. Lemma 4.7 tells us that $E_{0,1}^{5}(q) = E_{0,2}^{5}(q) = (q^2 + q + 1)s_{(5)}^{y} + qs_{(4,1)}$, and since $F_{1,2}^{y} = qs_{(1)}$ and $H^*(\overline{M}_{1,2}^{5}) = \text{Res}_{S_1 \times S_4} H^*(\overline{M}_{0,1}^{5})$, applying equation (4.1) for $n = 5$ and $l = 2$ gives

$$E_{1,3}^{5}(q) = s_{(1)}^{x} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial p_1} E_{0,2}^{5}(q) \right) - s_{(1)}^{x} s_{(1)}^{y} (qs_{(1)}^{y} \circ s_{(3)}^{y}) = s_{(1)}^{x} s_{(4)}^{y} (q^2 + q + 1).$$

Again, Lemma 4.7 tells us that

$$E_{0,3}^{7}(q) = (q^4 + q^3 + 2q^2 + q + 1)s_{(7)} + (q^3 + q^2 + q)s_{(6,1)} + q^2 s_{(5,2)},$$

and since $F_{2,2}^{y} = q^2 s_{(2)}^{y}$, applying equation (4.1) for $n = 7$ and $l = 2$ gives

$$E_{0,1}^{7}(q) = E_{0,2}^{7}(q) = E_{0,3}^{7}(q) + s_{(4)}(q^2 + q + 1)qs_{(3)} + s_{(1)} q^2 (s_{(2)} \circ s_{(3)})$$

$$= s_{(7)}(q^4 + 2q^3 + 4q^2 + 2q + 1) + s_{(6,1)}(2q^3 + 3q^2 + 2q) + s_{(5,2)}(q^3 + 3q^2 + q) +$$

$$+ s_{(4,3)}(q^3 + 2q^2 + q) + s_{(4,2,1)} q^2.$$

We now turn to $n = 8$, which is the first interesting even case. To apply equation (4.1) for $n = 8$ and $l = 2$ we need to find $E_{2,3}^{4}(q)$ and $E_{1,3}^{4}(q)$. The map $\overline{M}_{2,2} \to \overline{M}_{2,3}^{4}$ is an isomorphism, $H^*(\overline{M}_{2,2}^{4}) = \text{Res}_{S_2 \times S_2} H^*(\overline{M}_{0,1}^{4})$ and so $E_{2,3}^{4}(q) = (q + 1)s_{(2)}^{x} s_{(2)}^{y}$. Then it follows from Lemma 4.8 that

$$E_{0,1}^{8}(q) = E_{0,2}^{8}(q) = (q^5 + 2q^4 + 2q^3 + q^2 + q + 1)s_{(8)} + (q^4 + 2q^3 + 2q^2 + q)s_{(7,1)} + (q^4 + 2q^3 + 2q^2 + q)s_{(6,2)} +$$

$$+ (q^3 + q^2)s_{(5,3)} + (q^4 + q^3 + q^2 + q)s_{(4,4)}.$$
We then apply equation (4.1) for \( n = 8 \) and \( t = 2 \), which gives

\[
E^8_{0,1}(q) = E^8_{0,2}(q) = E^8_{0,3}(q) + \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial p^2} E^6_{1,3}(q) \right) q s^y_{(3)} + (q + 1) s^y_{(2)} q^2 \left( \mu^y_2 \circ s^y_{(3)} \right) = \\
= (q^5 + 3q^4 + 6q^3 + 6q^2 + 3q + 1) s_{(8)} + (2q^4 + 6q^3 + 6q^2 + 2q) s_{(7,1)} + (2q^4 + 7q^3 + 7q^2 + 2q) s_{(6,2)} + \\
+ (q^3 + q^2) s_{(6,1,1)} + (q^4 + 5q^3 + 5q^2 + q) s_{(5,3)} + (2q^3 + 2q^2) s_{(5,2,1)} + \\
+ (q^4 + 3q^3 + 3q^2 + q) s_{(4,4)} + (2q^3 + 2q^2) s_{(4,3,1)} + (q^3 + q^2) s_{(4,2,2)}.
\]

5. Lengths

The length of an irreducible representation of \( S_n \) is defined to be the length of the corresponding partition, this is written \( l(V_\lambda) = l(\lambda) \) in the notation of Appendix B. We then define the length \( l(V) \) of a representation \( V \) of \( S_n \) to be the maximum length of all of its irreducible constituents.

It is shown in [2, Theorem 5] that

\[
l(H^{2i}(\overline{M}_{0,n})) \leq \min(i + 1, n - i + 2),
\]

and this fact is used to prove similar bounds for higher genera. These bounds are in turn used to detect non-tautological classes in the cohomology of \( \overline{M}_{g,n} \) for higher genera. Here we prove Theorem 5.2 to show a result that implies that this bound is indeed sharp, compare the discussion in [2, Section 4.4]. We use the notation of Appendix C.

We will say that a partition \( \lambda \) has property \( \ast_{n,i} \), if \( \lambda'_1 = \lambda'_2 = \min(i + 1, n - i + 2) \) and \( \lambda'_3 \geq 1 \).

**Theorem 5.1.** Let us put \( w_{n,i} := w(\text{ch}_n \left( H^{2i}(\overline{M}_{0,n}) \right) ) \) and \( M_n := \{ \frac{n-4}{2}, \frac{n-2}{2} \} \) if \( n \) even and \( M_n := \{ \frac{n-3}{2} \} \) if \( n \) odd.

(i) If \( i \notin M_n \) then \( w_{n,i} \) fulfills property \( \ast_{n,i} \).

(ii) If \( i \in M_n \) then \( w_{n,i} = \lambda_{n,i} \) where \( \lambda_{n,i} := \begin{cases} (4, 2^{(n-4)/2}) & \text{if } n \text{ even}, \\ (4, 2^{(n-5)/2}, 1) & \text{if } n \text{ odd}. \end{cases} \)

Moreover, in the case (ii), the irreducible representation corresponding to \( \lambda_{n,i} \) appears in \( H^{2i}(\overline{M}_{0,n}) \) with multiplicity one.

**Proof.** Note first that by (5.1) we know that if \( w_{n,i} = \lambda \), then \( \lambda'_1 \) and \( \lambda'_2 \) are at most equal to \( \min(i + 1, n - i + 2) \).

(i) It is clear that \( w_{n,0} = (n) \) for every \( n \geq 3 \). Assume that \( 0 < i < n/2 - 2 \). We will use induction over \( n \), where the base cases are covered by the statement for \( w_{n,0} \). Since \( H^{2i-2}(\overline{M}_{1,2}) = \text{Res}_{\overline{M}_{i,3}} H^{2i-2}(\overline{M}_{0,2}) \), we know by induction that this cohomology group will contain a representation with character \( s^{y}_{(3)} s^y_{(i)} \), where \( \mu'_1 = \mu'_2 = i \). Consider the morphism \( \overline{M}_{1,2} \to \overline{M}_{1,3} \). From Theorem 3.2 it follows that either \( s^{y}_{(i)} s^y_{(i)} \) will also be found in \( H^{2i}(\overline{M}_{1,3}) \). In that case we apply Theorem 3.2 to the morphism \( \overline{M}_{0,2} \to \overline{M}_{0,3} \).

Proposition C.6 and Lemma C.3 then show that there is a contribution of the form \( s^{y}_{(3)} s^y_{(3)} \in \)}
$H^2(\overline{M}_{0,2})$, and thus $w_{n,i}$ fulfills $\ast_{n,i}$. Or, Theorem 3.2 tells us that there is an $m$ such that $H^{2i-2-2m}(\overline{M}^{n-2-2m})$ will contain a representation with character $s_{(1)}^{\nu} s_{\mu}^{\ast}$ (the notation should be self-explanatory) where $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = i - m$. Applying Theorem 3.2 to $\overline{M}^m_{0,2} \to \overline{M}^m_{0,3}$. Proposition C.6 and Lemma C.3 tell us that $H^2(\overline{M}_{0,2})$ will contain a representation with character $s_{(2m)+\lambda}^{\nu}$, where $\mu = (2(n-6)/2)$ if $n$ even and $\mu = (2(n-7)/2, 1)$ if $n$ odd. This class will also appear in $\overline{M}^{n-4}_2$ because all contributions from $\overline{M}^{n-4-2m}_2$ will have smaller length. Applying Theorem 3.2 to $\overline{M}^m_{0,2} \to \overline{M}^m_{0,3}$ we now find that $w_{n,i} \geq \lambda_{n,i}$. The class $s_{(2)}^{\nu} s_{\mu}^{\ast}$ in $\overline{M}^{n-4}_2$ will make sure that no contribution of the form $s_{(1)}^{\nu} s_{\mu}^{\ast}$, where $\nu = (3,2(n-6)/2)$ if $n$ even and $\nu = (4,2(n-7)/2)$ if $n$ odd, appears in $\overline{M}^{n-2}_2$. To finish the proof we need to see that $w(H^2(\overline{M}^{0})), l \leq \lambda_{n,i}$. This holds because $l(H^2(\overline{M}^{0}))) \leq 2$ and if $l(H^2(\overline{M}^{0}))) = [(n-1)/2] - k$ for $j \in M_{n-(l-1)k}$ then, using the sequence $\overline{M}^{n-(l-1)k}_2 \to \overline{M}^{n-(l-1)k}_2 \to \overline{M}^{n-(l-1)k}_2 \to \overline{M}^{n-(l-1)k}_2$ we get a contradiction because $l(H^2(\overline{M}^{0}))) \leq [(n-(l-1)k-1)/2]$ by (5.1).

\begin{corollary}
For any $n$ and $i$ we have,

\[ l(H^2(\overline{M}^{0}))) = \min(i + 1, n - i + 2). \]
\end{corollary}

\section*{Appendix A. Cohomology of the blow-up}

First, we recall the fact that the cohomology of the blow-up $\widetilde{M}$ of a smooth projective variety $M$ along a smooth subvariety $Z$ of codimension $l$ is given by

\begin{equation}
H^k(\widetilde{M}) \cong H^k(M) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{l-1} (H^{k-2i}(Z) \otimes H^{2i}(\mathbb{P}^{l-1})),
\end{equation}

see e.g. [12, Theorem 7.31].

Let $X$ be a smooth projective variety and $Y = Y_1 \cup \cdots \cup Y_n$ be the union of smooth subvarieties of $X$. Let $\widetilde{X}$ be the blow-up of $X$ along $Y$. We assume that any non-empty intersection of irreducible components of $Y$ is transversal. Then it is known that $\widetilde{X}$ is obtained by a sequence of smooth blow-ups along the proper transforms of the irreducible components of $Y$ in any order, see e.g. [7, Proposition 2.10]. We further assume that $\text{codim}_X Y_i = l$ for any $i$. The aim of this appendix is to give a formula for $H^*(\widetilde{X})$. For a subset $I$ of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, we set $Y_I := \cap_{i \in I} Y_i$; which is a smooth subvariety of $X$ by the assumption of transversality.
Proposition A.1. Under the above assumptions, we have
\begin{equation}
H^*(\tilde{X}) \cong H^*(X) \oplus \bigoplus_{I \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}} \left( H^*(Y_I) \otimes (H^+(\mathbb{P}^{j-1}))^{\otimes |I|} \right),
\end{equation}
where $I$ runs over all subsets of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ for which $Y_I \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Let $X_n \xrightarrow{\pi_n} X_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_1 \xrightarrow{\pi_1} X_0$
be the sequence of blow-ups which we define inductively as follows.

(i) Let $\pi_1 : X_1 \to X_0 := X$ be the blow-up along $Y_1$.

(ii) For $i \geq 2$, we put $\pi_i : X_i \to X_{i-1}$ to be the blow-up along the proper transform of $Y_i$.

Note that $X_n$ is isomorphic to $\tilde{X}$. For $1 \leq j \leq i - 1$, we denote by $Y_{i,j}$ the proper transform of $Y_i$ under $\pi_j \circ \cdots \circ \pi_1 : X_j \to X_0$. In this notation, $\pi_i : X_i \to X_{i-1}$ is the blow-up along $Y_{i,i-1}$. Then it follows from (A.1) that
\begin{equation}
H^*(X_i) \cong H^*(X_{i-1}) \oplus \left( H^*(Y_{i-1}) \otimes H^+(\mathbb{P}^{j-1}) \right),
\end{equation}
as graded vector spaces. The equation (A.3) together with (A.4) given in Lemma A.2 below implies (A.2). \hfill \square

Lemma A.2. Under the same assumptions and notation as above, we have
\begin{equation}
H^*(Y_{i,i-1}) \cong H^*(Y_i) \oplus \bigoplus_{I \subseteq \{1, \ldots, i-1\}} \left( H^*(Y_i \cap Y_I) \otimes (H^+(\mathbb{P}^{j-1}))^{\otimes |I|} \right),
\end{equation}
where $I$ runs over all the subsets of $\{1, \ldots, i-1\}$ for which $Y_i \cap Y_I \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Note that the proper transform $Y_{i,i-1}$ of $Y_i$ is obtained by the sequence of blow-ups
\[ Y_{i,i-1} \xrightarrow{\pi_{i-1}} Y_{i,i-2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow Y_{i,1} \xrightarrow{\pi_1} Y_{i,0} := Y_i, \]
and that the center of the blow-up $\pi_j : Y_{i,j} \to Y_{i,j-1}$ is $Y_{i,j-1} \cap Y_{j,j-1}$. In general, for $I \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $j < \min\{i \in I\}$ such that $Y_{i,j} := \cap_{i \in I} Y_{i,j} \neq \emptyset$, $Y_{i,j}$ is the blow-up of $Y_{i,j-1}$ along $Y_{i,j-1} \cap Y_{j,j-1}$. Using this structure and (A.1) recursively, we obtain
\begin{equation}
H^*(Y_{i,j}) \cong H^*(Y_i) \oplus \bigoplus_{I \subseteq \{1, \ldots, j\}} \left( H^*(Y_i \cap Y_I) \otimes (H^+(\mathbb{P}^{j-1}))^{\otimes |I|} \right),
\end{equation}
where $I$ runs over all the subsets of $\{1, \ldots, j\}$ for which $Y_I \cap Y_I \neq \emptyset$. The desired formula (A.4) is the case $j = i-1$ in (A.5). \hfill \square

Appendix B. Characters of representations of symmetric groups

Let $S_n$ be the symmetric group on $n$ letters. Let $\Lambda := \varprojlim \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]^{S_n}$ be the ring of symmetric functions. It is well known that $\Lambda \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}[p_1, p_2, \ldots]$ where $p_n$ are the power sums. We denote by $P(n)$ the set of partitions of $n$, and for $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{\ell(\lambda)}) \in P(n)$ we set $p_\lambda := \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\lambda)} p_{\lambda_i}$. We also set $\Lambda^x, \Lambda^y := \Lambda^x \otimes \Lambda^y$, where $\Lambda^x$ and $\Lambda^y$ are the ring of symmetric functions in $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots)$ and $y = (y_1, y_2, \ldots)$ respectively.
For a representation $V$ of $S_n$, we define
\[
\text{ch}_n(V) := \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{w \in S_n} \text{Tr}_V(w)p_{\rho(w)} \in \Lambda,
\]
where $\rho(w) \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ is the cycle type of $w \in S_n$. Similarly we define, for an $S_k \times S_{n-k}$ representation $V$,
\[
\text{ch}_{k,n-k}(V) := \frac{1}{k!(n-k)!} \sum_{(v,w) \in S_k \times S_{n-k}} \text{Tr}_V((v,w))p_{\rho(v)}^x p_{\rho(w)}^y \in \Lambda^x \otimes \Lambda^y,
\]
where $p_n^x$ and $p_n^y$ are the power sums in the variable $x$ and $y$ respectively.

If $V$ and $W$ are representations of $S_n$ we put
\[
\text{ch}_n(V) \ast \text{ch}_n(W) := \text{ch}_n(V \otimes W).
\]

For any $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(k)$, if we put $m_j(\lambda) := \#\{i \mid \lambda_i = j\}$ and
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{\lambda}^x} := \left( \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{m_i(\lambda)!} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{\lambda_1}^x} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{\lambda_2}^x} \ldots \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{\lambda_k}^x} \right)
\]
then
\[
\text{ch}_{n-k,k}(\text{Res}^{S_n}_{S_{n-k} \times S_k}(V)) \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(k)} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{\lambda}^x} \text{ch}_n(V) \right) p_{\lambda}^y.
\]

If $V_i$ are representations of $S_n$, for $1 \leq i \leq k$ then
\[
\text{ch}_{\sum_{i=1}^k n_i} \left( \text{Ind}^{S_{n-k}}_{S_{n-k} \times S_k}(V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes \ldots \otimes V_k) \right) = \prod_{i=1}^k \text{ch}_{n_i}(V_i).
\]

If $\circ$ denotes plethysm between symmetric functions, and $\sim$ denotes the wreath product, that is, $S_{n_1} \sim S_{n_2} := S_{n_1} \times (S_{n_2})^{n_1}$ where $S_{n_1}$ acts on $(S_{n_2})^{n_1}$ by permutation, then
\[
\text{ch}_{n_1, n_2} \left( \text{Ind}^{S_{n_1}}_{S_{n_1} \sim S_{n_2}}(V_1 \otimes \underbrace{V_2 \otimes \ldots \otimes V_2}_{n_1}) \right) = \text{ch}_{n_1}(V_1) \circ \text{ch}_{n_2}(V_2),
\]
see [9] Appendix A, p. 158).

Recall finally that irreducible representations of $S_n$ are indexed by $\mathcal{P}(n)$. For $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(n)$, let $V_\lambda$ be the irreducible representation corresponding to $\lambda$ and define the Schur function
\[
s_\lambda := \text{ch}_n(V_\lambda) \in \Lambda.
\]
It is well-known that $\{s_\lambda\}$, where $\lambda$ runs over all the partitions, is a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\Lambda$.

**Appendix C. An ordering of symmetric functions**

For any partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_{l(\lambda)})$ we denote its dual partition by $\lambda' = (\lambda'_1, \lambda'_2, \ldots)$, where $\lambda'_i := \{|j : \lambda_j \geq i\}|$. If $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_{l(\mu)})$ is another partition we define the partitions $\lambda + \mu$ as $(\lambda_1 + \mu_1, \lambda_2 + \mu_2, \ldots)$ and the partition $\lambda \cup \mu$ as the reordering of $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{l(\lambda)}, \mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_{l(\mu)})$. Note that $\lambda \cup \mu = (\lambda' + \mu')'$.

We introduce an ordering. For any partitions $\lambda$ and $\mu$ we say that $\lambda > \mu$ if there is a $k$ such that $\lambda'_i = \mu'_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ and $\lambda'_k > \mu'_k$. 

Definition C.1. For any symmetric function \( f = \sum_{\lambda} a_{\lambda}s_{\lambda} \) we let \( w(f) \) be the maximal partition \( \lambda \) (w.r.t. \( > \)) such that \( a_{\lambda} \neq 0 \).

For any partition \( \lambda \), we put \( h_{\lambda} := \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\lambda)} s_{(\lambda_i)} \) and \( e_{\lambda} := \prod_{i=1}^{l(\lambda)} s_{(1^{\lambda_i})} \). The following is well known.

Lemma C.2. There are integers \( a_{\lambda,\mu} \) and \( b_{\lambda,\mu} \) such that
\[
s_{\lambda} = h_{\lambda} + \sum_{\mu \subset \lambda} a_{\lambda,\mu} h_{\mu} = e_{\lambda'} + \sum_{\mu \subset \lambda'} b_{\lambda,\mu} e_{\mu}.
\]

Lemma C.3. For any symmetric functions \( f \) and \( g \) we have, \( w(fg) = w(f) \cup w(g) \).

Proof. Since \( h_{\mu}h_{\nu} = h_{\mu \cup \nu} \), it follows from Lemma C.2 that there are integers \( c_{\lambda} \) such that \( fg = h_{w(f) \cup w(g)} + \sum_{\lambda < w(f) \cup w(g)} c_{\lambda} h_{\lambda} \).

Due to the lack of a suitable reference we will show here how \( w \) behaves with respect to plethysm between symmetric functions.

Lemma C.4. [9] p. 158] For any symmetric functions \( f, g \) and \( h \) we have,
\[
(f \circ h)(g \circ h) = (fg) \circ h.
\]

We denote by \( \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle \) the standard inner product on \( \Lambda \) for which Schur functions are orthonormal.

Proposition C.5. [10] Theorem I, IA] Say that \( s_{(k)} \circ s_{(m)} = \sum_{\lambda} a_{\lambda}s_{\lambda} \), then, for any \( 0 \leq i \leq k \),
\[
(s_{(i)} \circ s_{(m-1)}) (s_{(k-i)} \circ s_{(m)}) = \sum_{\lambda} a_{\lambda} (s_{(i)} s_{(1^{m-i})}) s_{\lambda}.
\]
Similarly, say that \( s_{(1^k)} \circ s_{(m)} = \sum_{\lambda} b_{\lambda}s_{\lambda} \), then, for any \( 0 \leq i \leq k \),
\[
(s_{(i)} \circ s_{(m-1)}) (s_{(1^{k-i})} \circ s_{(m)}) = \sum_{\lambda} b_{\lambda} (s_{(1^k)} s_{(1^{m-i})}) s_{\lambda}.
\]

Proposition C.6. For any \( m \geq 1 \) and partition \( \mu \) of \( k \) we have
\[
w(s_{\mu} \circ s_{(m)}) = \begin{cases} ((m-1)^k) + \mu & \text{if } m \text{ odd} \\ ((m-1)^k) + \mu' & \text{if } m \text{ even.} \end{cases}
\]

Proof. We first recall that \( l(s_{(k)} \circ s_{(m)}) \leq |\mu| \), see [9] Example 9, p. 140]. Let us then continue by proving Equation (C.3) for \( \mu = (k) \) and \( \mu = (1^k) \) by induction on \( m \). The equation clearly holds for \( m = 1 \). If \( l(s_{\nu}) = k \) and \( l(s_{\lambda}) \leq k \) then \( (s_{(1^k)} s_{\nu} | s_{\lambda}) \neq 0 \) implies that \( \lambda = \nu + (1^k) \). Moreover, if \( l(s_{\eta}) < k \), \( l(s_{\lambda}) \leq k \) and \( (s_{(1^k)} s_{\eta} | s_{\lambda}) \neq 0 \) then \( \lambda < \nu + (1^k) \) for any \( \nu \) such that \( l(\nu) = k \). Therefore, taking \( i = k \) in Equation (C.1) and applying the fact that \( l(s_{(k)} \circ s_{(m)}) \leq k \) we find by induction on \( m \) that \( w(s_{(k)} \circ s_{(m)}) = w(s_{(1^k)} \circ s_{(m-1)}) + (1^k) \). Similarly, using Equation (C.2) we find by induction on \( m \) that \( w(s_{(1^k)} \circ s_{(m)}) = w(s_{(k)} \circ s_{(m-1)}) + (1^k) \). This completes the induction.
Finally, let us prove the statement for any \( \mu \) and \( m \). From Lemma C.4 and from the formula for \( w(s(k) \circ s(m)) \) it follows, for \( m \) odd, that
\[
w(h_\mu \circ s(m)) = \bigcup_i w(s(\mu_i) \circ s(m)) = ((m - 1)^k) + \mu
\]
and similarly from the formula for \( w(s(1^k) \circ s(m)) \), for \( m \) even, that
\[
w(e_\mu \circ s(m)) = \bigcup_i w(s(1^{\mu_i}) \circ s(m)) = ((m - 1)^k) + \mu.
\]
Using Lemma C.3 it then follows, for \( m \) odd, that
\[
w(s_\mu \circ s(m)) = w(h_\mu + \sum_{\nu < \mu} a_{\nu} h_\nu) \circ s(m)) = w(h_\mu \circ s(m))
\]
and, for \( m \) even, that
\[
w(s_\mu \circ s(m)) = w(e_{\mu'} + \sum_{\nu < \mu'} b_{\nu} e_\nu) \circ s(m)) = w(e_{\mu'} \circ s(m)).
\]

\[\square\]
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