Case report

A 74-year-old man presented to an outpatient clinic with progressive onset of dysphagia and odynophagia four days after a barbecue meal. He remembered a transient swallowing discomfort after taking the first bite of his meal but did not pay much attention to his symptomatology until experiencing a more permanent scraping sensation at his neck and upper thoracic region. The patient was supposed to have an otorhinolaryngologic consultation the following day. However, severe odynophagia forced him to visit the emergency services of our institution the same day. Chest radiograph showed a barely visible curvilinear metallic foreign body superior to the medial aspect of the left clavicle (Fig. 1).

A soluble-contrast esophagram showed a small wire extrinsic to the esophagus, and no esophageal perforation. There was, however, a mass effect medial to the wire with right deviation of the opacified proximal esophageal lumen (Fig. 2).

Complete extra-esophageal migration of a foreign object is rare. Its early and accurate diagnosis by complementary imaging modalities is crucial for a successful extraction. We present a case of ingested wire bristle, which completely traversed the esophageal mucosa to position itself in the soft tissue of the left neck adjacent to the internal carotid artery. We discuss the importance of imaging in the detection of migrating foreign bodies, and raise awareness of this serious complication.
The reformatted oblique CT reconstruction showed the foreign body anterior to C7 and behind the clavicle (Fig. 4). Rigid esophagoscopy showed an erythematous spot 20 cm from the incisor, suggestive of perforation with muco-purulent discharges. Left-neck surgical exploration was required to extract the swallowed wire bristle. The postoperative course was free of any complication.

Discussion

Because of a potentially high morbidity linked to vascular involvement following esophageal perforation by foreign bodies, prompt and accurate diagnosis is crucial for early surgical intervention. Treating physicians should obtain a pertinent clinical history and recognize symptoms of perforated esophagus with foreign bodies to initiate appropriate radiographic studies. The common triad signs of esophageal perforation are pain, fever, and subcutaneous and/or...
mediastinal emphysema (1). Pain is often localized to the site of perforation. Neck pain and sternocleidomastoid tenderness may be associated with cervical perforations; substernal pain worsening with swallowing and deep inspiration suggests thoracic perforations. When an esophageal perforation is suspected, upright chest radiographs may suggest the diagnosis in 90% of the cases, with the demonstration of extraesophageal foreign bodies, subcutaneous emphysema, pneumomediastinum, pneumothorax, and pleural effusion (1, 2). With cervical esophageal perforation, emphysematous air in the neck can be palpated and detected by radiographs in 60% and 95% of all patients, respectively (3, 4). However, with thoracic esophageal perforation, only 30% can be detected by palpation and 40% by radiographs. Conversely, right pleural effusion is seen only in less than 10% of patients with cervical rupture, while left pleural effusion occurs in 50% or more with thoracic injury (3, 4). It should be emphasized that radiographs may not be able to detect soft-tissue emphysema and pleural effusion if taken too soon after perforation.

Perforation with foreign objects commonly occurs at the hypopharynx and the esophagus. There are several reasons to account for these particular sites. First, the esophagus is anatomically narrowed at the pyriform fossa, the aortic arch, and the esophagogastric junction (1, 2). Second, the pyriform fossa has a tenuous blood supply with a lack of reinforcing muscle layer. Third, certain cervical spine deformities (for example, diffuse intervertebral hyperostosis, kyphosis, and hypertrophic spurs) can predispose the cervical esophagus to perforation. Injury to the aortic arch and esophagogastric junction has rarely been reported.

CT, with rapid cross-sectional and three-dimensional imaging capability, is presently the modality of choice for extra-esophageal migrating objects. CT provides the best anatomic localization of the foreign body with regard to vital cervical and mediastinal anatomic landmarks and allows the most accurate therapeutic planning (5, 6). In addition, certain indirect CT features such as thickened esophageal wall, mediastinal fluid collections and inflammation, contrast extravasation, and pleural effusion may contribute to the diagnosis of esophageal injury. Contrast esophagography is complementary to CT examination in detecting perforations and related complications, such as pneumothorax and pneumomediastinum (7, 8). Due to the potential of barium-induced mediastinitis, a water-soluble agent such as Gastrografin is used initially to detect perforations (8). When the Gastrografin study is negative in the setting of high suspicion for esophageal leaks, a barium study should be considered, because it has better coating properties and can detect smaller leaks (8). A CT scan should be performed first when in doubt, because CT contrast does not interfere with luminal studies.

In conclusion, we present a case of esophageal perforation by wire bristle and discuss the importance of clinical history gathering and the contribution of various imaging modalities to achieve prompt diagnosis and successful extraction of the foreign body. Ingested wire with esophageal perforation is rare but potentially dangerous.
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