Constraint Analysis for the Interaction of the Vector-Meson Octet with the Baryon Octet
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Abstract. We describe a constraint analysis for the interaction of the vector-meson octet with the baryon octet. Applying Dirac’s Hamiltonian method, we verify that the standard interaction in terms of two independent SU(3) structures is consistent at the classical level. We argue how the requirement of self consistency with respect to perturbative renormalizability may lead to relations among the renormalized coupling constants of the system.

1. Introduction
In quantum chromodynamics (QCD), the physics of strongly interacting particles is described in terms of quarks and gluons as the dynamical degrees of freedom (DOF). In the low-energy regime, QCD can be approximated by an effective field theory (EFT) using hadronic dynamical DOF. To that end, one writes down the most general Lagrangian consistent with the assumed symmetries of the fundamental theory [1]. In addition, one needs a power-counting scheme for the expansion of physical observables.

The interaction of the pseudoscalar octet ($\pi, K, \eta$) with the baryon octet is largely constrained by spontaneous symmetry breaking [2]. It is also interesting to investigate the coupling of the vector-meson octet to the baryon octet. The situation is more complex, because a Lorentz-invariant description of spin-1 systems introduces unphysical degrees of freedom. Therefore, one imposes constraints which, for an interacting theory, may lead to relations among the coupling constants of the Lagrangian.

We investigate the lowest-order effective Lagrangian for the interaction of the vector-meson octet with the baryon octet by performing a classical Dirac constraint analysis [3]. For the quantized theory we demand that it is perturbatively renormalizable in the sense of effective field theory [4]. For the pure vector-meson sector, such an investigation results in a massive SU(3) Yang-Mills theory [5, 6]. For the interaction of the vector mesons with the baryons we search for additional relations among the coupling constants.
2. Classical Dirac Constraint Analysis
The most general effective Lagrangian for a system of a massive vector-meson octet interacting with a massive baryon octet can be written as

\[ \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_1 + \mathcal{L}_{1/2} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} + \cdots, \]

\[ \mathcal{L}_1 = -\frac{1}{4} V_{a\mu} V_{a}^{\mu} + \frac{M_a^2}{2} V_{a\mu} V_{a}^{\mu} - g f_{abc} \partial_{\mu} V_{b\nu} V_{c}^{\nu} \mathcal{L}_{1/2} = \bar{\Psi}_a (i \gamma^\mu \partial_{\mu} - m) \Psi_a, \]

\[ \mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = i G_F f_{abc} \bar{\Psi}_a \gamma^\mu \Psi_b V_{c\mu} + G_D d_{abc} \bar{\Psi}_a \gamma^\mu \Psi_b V_{c\mu}, \]

where the SU(3) indices \( a, b, c \) range from 1 to 8. The three coupling constants \( g, G_F, \) and \( G_D \) are dimensionless. In Eq. (1), the ellipses stand for "nonrenormalizable" higher-order interactions, which we assume to be suppressed by powers of some large scale, as well as for interactions with other hadrons. We take the Lagrangian to be invariant under global SU(3) transformations.

For the vector-meson self interaction, the constraint analysis of Refs. [6, 7] has already been incorporated, leading to a reduction from five independent couplings to a single coupling \( g \).

In the optical analysis, the momentum field variables conjugate to the field variables are given by

\[ \pi_{a\mu} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{V}_{a}^{\mu}}, \quad \Pi_{\Psi a} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\Psi}_a}, \quad \Pi_{\psi_{1a}} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\psi}_{1a}}. \]

Because \( \psi \) and \( \psi^\dagger \) are anti-commuting variables, we consider the convention of taking fermionic derivatives from the left for the adjoint field and from the right for the field. Using these relations, we immediately see that the velocities cannot be expressed in terms of the momenta. In this case, we cannot go from the canonical formalism to the field variables. To define the Hamiltonian of the system, we introduce three so-called primary constraints [3],

\[ \theta_{1a}^{\dagger} = \pi_{a0} + g f_{abc} V_{b0} V_{c0} \approx 0, \quad \chi_{\Psi a} = \Pi_{\Psi a} - \frac{i}{2} \psi_{1a} \approx 0, \quad \chi_{\psi_{1a}} = \Pi_{\psi_{1a}} + \frac{i}{2} \psi_{1a} \approx 0. \]

Table 1. Counting the DOF for the free vector, Dirac, and interacting theories, respectively.

| Case                  | Total DOF | Constraints | Physical DOF |
|-----------------------|-----------|-------------|--------------|
| Free vector fields    | 64        | 16          | 48           |
| Free Dirac fields     | 128       | 64          | 64           |
| Interacting theory    | 192       | 80          | 112          |
The requirement that Eqs. (3) have to be zero throughout all time results in

\[ \{ \theta_{V_a}^4, H_T \} = i G_F f_{abc} \psi^a \psi^b - G_D d_{abc} \psi^a \psi^b - \partial_t \pi_a - M_{V_a}^2 V_a \]

\[ + g f_{abc} \pi_b V_c - g f_{abc} \partial_t V_c V_a - g f_{abc} \partial_t V_b V_c \]

\[ = \theta_{V_a}^2 \approx 0, \quad \lambda_{V_a}^2, \quad 0, \quad \lambda_{V_a}^0 = 0, \quad \lambda_{V_a}^\pm = 0, \quad \lambda_{V_a} = 0, \]

where \( H_T = \int d^4x \mathcal{H}_T \). Equation (5) is a so-called secondary constraint, and, therefore, we obtain 8 additional constraints. Evaluating the Poisson bracket of \( \theta_{V_a}^2 \) and \( H_T \) results in an equation for the Lagrange multiplier \( \lambda_{V_a} \). From Eqs. (6) and (7) we can solve for the Lagrange multipliers \( \lambda_{V_a} \) and \( \lambda_{V_a} \), respectively. At this stage, we have solved for all the Lagrange multipliers and have generated the correct number of constraints. As a result of Dirac’s constraint analysis, at the classical level we have a self-consistent theory with the correct number of constraints and thus the correct number of physical DOF without any relation among the couplings.

### 3. Perturbative Renormalizability

Perturbative renormalizability in the sense of EFT requires that the ultraviolet divergences of loop diagrams can be absorbed in the redefinition of the masses, coupling constants, and fields of the most general effective Lagrangian. As in Refs. [5, 6, 7, 8], we expect additional relations among the coupling constants due to the perturbative renormalizability condition. Redefining the fields and parameters, the counter-term Lagrangian reads

\[ \mathcal{L}_{ct} = - \frac{1}{4} \delta Z V_{\mu \nu} V_{\alpha}^{\mu} + \frac{1}{2} \delta \{ M_{V_{\alpha}}^2 \} V_{\alpha} V_{\mu}^{\alpha} - \frac{1}{4} \delta \{ g_2 \} f_{abc} f_{ade} V_{\beta} V_{\alpha} V_{\mu}^{\beta} V_{\nu}^{\alpha} - \delta \{ g \} f_{abc} \partial_{\mu} V_{\alpha} V_{\nu}^{\mu} V_{\nu}^{\alpha} + i \delta Z \psi_{\mu} \gamma^\alpha \psi_{\alpha} - \delta \{ m \} \psi_{\alpha} \psi_{\mu} + i \delta \{ G_F \} f_{abc} \psi_{\alpha} \gamma^\mu \psi_{\beta} V_{\mu} - \delta \{ G_D \} d_{abc} \psi_{\alpha} \gamma^\mu \psi_{\beta} V_{\mu}. \]

By comparing the expression for \( \delta g \) obtained from the VVV- and VVVV-vertex functions, we can test whether there are relations among the coupling constants \( g \), \( G_F \), and \( G_D \). The “worst case” would be if all couplings were independent. A different scenario is a type of universality, relating \( g \) to a linear combination of \( G_F \) and \( G_D \). Finally, all couplings could be expressible in terms of a single parameter.

### 4. Conclusion

At the classical level, the standard lowest-order SU(3)-invariant Lagrangian involving three independent coupling constants \( g \), \( G_F \), and \( G_D \) is a self-consistent starting point. This was explicitly shown using Dirac’s method. Whether the requirement of perturbative renormalizability implies additional constraints among the couplings remains to be seen.
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