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1. DFT calculations

Figure S1 – DFT optimized structures of models [Fe(L)₂][ClO₄] and no anion, for spin states \( S = \frac{5}{2} \) (left) and \( S = \frac{1}{2} \) (right), with some relevant distances (Å) and relative energies in kJ mol\(^{-1}\).
2. Magnetisation measurements

![Graph of \( \chi_m T \) vs Temperature (K)](image)

**Figure S2** – Scan rate dependent \( \chi_m T \) for \([\text{Fe}(5-\text{Br-salEen})_2]\text{ClO}_4\).

![Graph of \( \chi_m T \) vs Time (h)](image)

**Figure S3** – Time dependent \( \chi_m T \) for \([\text{Fe}(5-\text{Br-salEen})_2]\text{ClO}_4\).
3. Thermogravimetry experiments

TGA experiments in the range of 295 K to 425 K were carried out at a heating rate of 5 K min\(^{-1}\) on a Perkin Elmer TGA7 apparatus. The balance chamber was kept under a positive flow of nitrogen (Air Liquide N45) of 38 cm\(^3\) min\(^{-1}\). The sample purge gas was also nitrogen (Air Liquide N45) at a flow rate of 22.5 cm\(^3\) min\(^{-1}\). The samples with masses of ~6 mg were placed in an open platinum crucible. The mass scale of the instrument was calibrated with a standard 100 mg weight and the temperature calibration was based on the measurement of the of the Curie points \(T_C\) of alumel alloy (Perkin-Elmer, \(T_C = 427.35\)K) and nickel (Perkin-Elmer, mass fraction 0.9999, \(T_C = 628.45\) K) standard reference materials.

The results of the TG experiments are shown in Figure S4 and Table S1 where \(m\) is the initial mass of sample; \(\Delta m\) is the overall mass loss; and \(T_{on}, T_m,\) and \(T_{end}\) are the temperatures corresponding to the onset, inflection point and end of the mass loss process, respectively. The mean values of the obtained data are: \(T_{on} = 342.7 \pm 3.0\) K, \(T_m = 342.9 \pm 3.0\) K, \(T_{end} = 343.7 \pm 2.8\) K, and \(\Delta m = 0.038 \pm 0.010\) mg. The mean mass loss in percentage is \(100\Delta m/m = 0.57 \pm 0.15\%\). The uncertainties quoted for all quantities are twice the standard error of the mean.\(^1\)

| \(m\) (mg) | \(T_{on}\) (K) | \(T_m\) (K) | \(T_{end}\) (K) | \(\Delta m\) (mg) |
|-----------|---------------|-------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 6.941     | 337.82        | 338.11      | 339.7          | 0.022           |
| 6.575     | 347.26        | 347.65      | 348.28         | 0.040           |
| 6.700     | 343.88        | 343.99      | 344.43         | 0.051           |
| 6.863     | 342.25        | 342.64      | 342.92         | 0.035           |
| 6.574     | 342.18        | 342.23      | 343.07         | 0.042           |

\(\Delta m = 0.038 \pm 0.010\) mg.

\(\Delta m/m = 0.57 \pm 0.15\%\).

The uncertainties quoted for all quantities are twice the standard error of the mean.\(^1\)

Figure S4 – TGA measuring curve for \([\text{Fe}(5\text{-Br-salEen})_2]\text{ClO}_4\) \((m = 6.575\) mg\) obtained at a heating rate \(\beta = 5\) K min\(^{-1}\).
4. Differential scanning calorimetry

Table S2 – Results of the DSC experiments

| Run Nr. | $\beta$ (K min$^{-1}$) | Mode | $T_{\text{range}}$ (K) | $m$ (mg) | $T_{\text{on}}$ (K) | $T_{\text{max}}$ (K) | $\Delta_{\text{m}}H^0$ (kJ mol$^{-1}$) | $\Delta_{\text{m}}S^0$ (J K$^{-1}$ mol$^{-1}$) |
|---------|-----------------|------|-----------------|--------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 1       | 5               | Heating | 153-393        | 2.375  | 331.42          | 340.40           | 7.8              | 23.54            |
|         | 5               | Cooling | 393-143        | 2.375  | 255.46          | 246.68           | 3.6              | 14.09            |
| 2       | 5               | Heating | 153-380        | 2.375  | 331.40          | 339.88           | 8.3              | 25.05            |
| 3       | 12              | Heating | 383-143        | 2.375  | 254.49          | 245.06           | 3.2              | 12.57            |

$^a$The mass of sample was $m = 2.375$ mg in all runs and the molar quantities were calculated based on a molar mass $M(C_{22}H_{28}Br_2ClFeN_4O_6) = 695.5869$ g mol$^{-1}$.
5. Mössbauer spectroscopy measurements

The Mössbauer spectra were recorded in transmission mode at room temperature and at lower temperatures using a conventional constant-acceleration spectrometer and a 50 mCi $^{57}$Co source in a Rh matrix. The low temperature measurements were performed using a liquid helium flow cryostat with a temperature stability of ±0.5 K. The velocity scale was calibrated using an α-Fe foil. The spectra were fitted to Lorentzian lines using the WinNormos software program, and the isomer shifts reported are relative to metallic α-Fe at room temperature.

To understand the origin of the doublet asymmetry, spectra were also recorded in the magic angle configuration (54.7° between the α-ray direction and the normal to the absorber). As can be seen from Figure S5, the spectra collected in the “magic angle” geometry at 4.2 K still exhibits the asymmetric doublet, ruling out the texture effect as a possible cause for the doublet lines asymmetry.²,³

![Figure S5 – $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectrum for [Fe(5-Br-salEen)$_2$]ClO$_4$ at 4.2 K, measured at the “magic angle” (54.7°) in relation to the γ radiation direction.](image)

The spectra measured at different temperatures were also analysed considering two singlet lines. For all temperatures, the same line intensity but different widths were always obtained for the two singlets. Besides, from the guidelines of Figure S6, it is apparent that the asymmetry of the lines is lower at 290 K. These observations led to the conclusion that the Goldankii-Karyagin effect (based on the anisotropy of the Debye-Waller factor for a nucleus in a site with symmetry lower than cubic, predicting different intensities for the doublet lines and that the asymmetry increases with increasing temperature),⁴,⁵ could not explain the lines asymmetry for this complex.
Figure S6 – Superimposed $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectra for [Fe(5-Br-salEen)$_2$]ClO$_4$ measured at 4.2 K, 78 K and 290 K. The straight lines are guides to the eye, showing that the doublet asymmetry does not increase with temperature.

Figure S7 shows the Mössbauer spectrum at 4.2 K obtained from fittings based on one, two and four quadrupole doublets, assuming equal widths and intensities for both doublet lines. The fits considering either one or two sites did not reproduce correctly the experimental spectrum and the one with four sites gave rise to unreasonably different isomer shift and quadrupole splitting values. Figure S8 displays the same spectrum fitted with one quadrupole doublet, leaving the relative lines width (parameter $W_{21}$) as an adjustable parameter. Both figures and the fitting parameter values presented in Table S3 show that the best fit is the one displayed in Figure S8, indicating that the quadrupole doublet asymmetry should be related to a relaxation phenomenon. This behavior, found in other LS Fe(III) compounds,$^6$–$^9$ has been attributed to relatively long paramagnetic relaxation times of the iron when compared to the $^{57}$Fe nuclear Larmor precession time.
Figure S7 – $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectrum at 4.2 K fitted by one (a), two (b) and four (c) quadrupole doublets.
Figure S8 – $^{57}\text{Fe}$ Mössbauer spectrum measured at 4.2 K fitted by one quadrupole doublet leaving the relative line width parameter, $W_{21}$, as an adjustable parameter.

Table S3 – $\delta$: isomer shift (relative to $\alpha$-Fe at room temperature); $\Delta E_Q$: quadrupole splitting; $\Gamma$: Lorentzian line width at half maximum; $W_{21}$: width of line 2 relative to line 1; I: relative intensity; $\chi^2$: fitting qui-square. Statistical errors are given in parentheses.

| $T / K$ | Site | $\delta$ (mm s$^{-1}$) | $\Delta E_Q$ (mm s$^{-1}$) | $\Gamma$ (mm s$^{-1}$) | $W_{21}$ (mm s$^{-1}$) | I (%) | $\chi^2$ | Figure |
|---------|------|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----|--------|--------|
| 4.2     | 1    | 0.216(1)             | 2.596(2)                 | 0.376(3)        | 1                   | 100 | 4.2    | S7-a   |
| 1       | 1a   | 0.25(1)              | 2.51(3)                  | 0.327(6)        | 1                   | 50  |        | S8     |
| 4.2     | 2a   | 0.17(1)              | 2.69(3)                  | 0.347(7)        | 1                   | 50  | 2.2    | S7-b   |
|         | 1b   | 0.282(4)             | 2.57(1)                  | 0.23(1)         | 1                   | 25  |        |        |
|         | 2b   | 0.12(2)              | 2.79(3)                  | 0.36(2)         | 1                   | 25  | 1.5    | S7-c   |
|         | 3b   | 0.166(4)             | 2.58(1)                  | 0.22(7)         | 1                   | 25  |        |        |
|         | 4b   | 0.34(2)              | 2.40(3)                  | 0.54(3)         | 1                   | 25  |        |        |
| 290     | (b.h.)*| 1                  | 0.137(2)                | 2.60(1)         | 0.41(1)             | 0.73(1) | 100 | S9     |
| 290     | (a.h.)**| 1                  | 0.24(1)                | 2.00(3)         | 1.79(5)             | 0.46(1) | 100 | S9     |

*b.h. – before heating; **a.h. – after heating the sample up to 370 K.
Figure S9 – $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectra measured at 290 K: a) before heating the sample; b) after heating the sample up to 370 K. The hyperfine fitting parameters are shown in Table S3.

As can be seen through Figure S9 and fitting parameters in Table S3, the 290 K spectrum obtained after heating the sample up to 370 K, although very broad, allows to deduce that the major contribution still comes from LS Fe ions. In fact, the $\delta$ value increases from 0.14 mm s$^{-1}$ (at 290 K before heating) to 0.24 mm s$^{-1}$ (at 290 K after heating) indicating a higher contribution of HS states, and the new $\Delta E_Q$ value (2.0 mm s$^{-1}$), although below the one obtained for the 290 K spectrum before heating (2.6 mm s$^{-1}$) is still well above the $\Delta E_Q$ values for HS Fe(III) in similar complexes (< 1 mm s$^{-1}$, work to be published). Therefore, both hyperfine parameters of the spectrum at 290 K after heating the sample up to 370 K indicate the predominance of LS states and reveal a spin flipping rate higher than $1/\tau_N$, where $\tau_N$ is the mean life time of the $^{57}$Fe first excited nuclear state (140 ns), not allowing to quantify the amount of LS and HS contributions.
4. Structural data

Table S4 – Crystal data and structure refinement for [Fe(5-Br-salEen)₂]ClO₄ at 125K↑.

| Property                              | Value                                      |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| Empirical formula                     | C₂₂H₂₈Br₂ClFeN₄O₆                         |
| Formula weight                        | 695.60                                     |
| Temperature                           | 125(2) K                                   |
| Wavelength                            | 0.71073 Å                                  |
| Crystal system                        | Orthorhombic                               |
| Space group                           | Pbcn                                       |
| Unit cell dimensions                  | a = 10.3027(4) Å, b = 14.2644(6) Å, c = 18.1624(7) Å |
| Volume                                | 2669.18(18) Å                             |
| Z                                     | 4                                          |
| Density (calculated)                  | 1.731 Mg/m³                                 |
| Absorption coefficient                | 3.703 mm⁻¹                                  |
| F(000)                                | 1396                                       |
| Crystal size                          | .12 x .09 x .07 mm³                       |
| Theta range for data collection       | 2.243 to 27.494°                          |
| Index ranges                          | -13<=h<=13, -14<=k<=18, -23<=l<=23         |
| Reflections collected                 | 36793                                      |
| Independent reflections               | 3074 [R(int) = 0.0303]                     |
| Completeness to theta = 25.242°       | 100.0 %                                    |
| Absorption correction                 | Semi-empirical from equivalents           |
| Max. and min. transmission            | 1.000000 and 0.772825                      |
| Refinement method                     | Full-matrix least-squares on F²           |
| Data / restraints / parameters        | 3074 / 0 / 168                             |
| Goodness-of-fit on F²                 | 1.044                                      |
| Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)]         | R1 = 0.0231, wR2 = 0.0575                  |
| R indices (all data)                  | R1 = 0.0309, wR2 = 0.0610                  |
| Extinction coefficient                | n/a                                        |
| Largest diff. peak and hole           | 0.575 and -0.424 e.Å⁻³                    |
Table S5 – Bond lengths [Å] and angles [°] for [Fe(5-Br-salEen)₂]ClO₄ at 125K↑.

|                  | d                | d_1           | d_2           | <(DHA) |
|------------------|------------------|---------------|---------------|--------|
| Fe(1)-O(1)#1     | 1.8684(12)       |               |               |        |
| Fe(1)-O(1)       | 1.8684(12)       |               |               |        |
| Fe(1)-N(2)#1     | 1.9361(14)       |               |               |        |
| Fe(1)-N(2)       | 1.9361(14)       |               |               |        |
| O(1)#1-Fe(1)-O(1)| 95.42(7)         |               |               |        |
| O(1)#1-Fe(1)-N(2)#1 | 92.89(6)      |               |               |        |
| O(1)-Fe(1)-N(2)#1 | 85.89(6)        |               |               |        |
| O(1)-Fe(1)-N(2)  | 85.89(5)         |               |               |        |
| N(2)#1-Fe(1)-N(2)| 178.20(8)        |               |               |        |
| O(1)#1-Fe(1)-N(1)#1 | 175.48(6)    |               |               |        |
| O(1)-Fe(1)-N(1)#1 | 87.31(6)        |               |               |        |
| N(2)#1-Fe(1)-N(1)#1 | 83.70(6)       |               |               |        |
| N(2)-Fe(1)-N(1)#1 | 97.58(6)        |               |               |        |
| O(1)#1-Fe(1)-N(1) | 87.31(6)        |               |               |        |
| O(1)-Fe(1)-N(1)  | 175.48(6)        |               |               |        |
| N(2)#1-Fe(1)-N(1) | 97.58(6)        |               |               |        |
| N(2)-Fe(1)-N(1)  | 83.70(6)         |               |               |        |
| N(1)#1-Fe(1)-N(1) | 90.19(8)        |               |               |        |

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:
#1 -x+1,y,-z+1/2

Table S6 – Hydrogen bonds for [Fe(5-Br-salEen)₂]ClO₄ at 125K↑ [Å and °].

| D-H...A          | d(D-H) | d(H...A) | d(D...A) | <(DHA) |
|------------------|--------|----------|----------|--------|
| C(2)-H(2A)...N(2)#1 | 0.99   | 2.59     | 3.086(2) | 111.1  |
| C(2)-H(2A)...Br(1)#2 | 0.99   | 3.08     | 3.8739(18) | 137.7  |
| C(2)-H(2B)...Br(1)#3 | 0.99   | 3.10     | 3.8290(19) | 131.2  |
| N(1)-H(1)...Cl(1) | 0.84(2)| 2.92(2)  | 3.7582(16) | 172.4(18) |
| N(1)-H(1)...O(2)  | 0.84(2)| 2.27(2)  | 3.060(2)  | 157.5(19) |
| N(1)-H(1)...O(2)#1 | 0.84(2)| 2.60(2)  | 3.333(2)  | 146.1(18) |
| C(3)-H(3B)...Br(1)#3 | 0.99   | 3.07     | 3.8778(18) | 139.6  |
| C(5)-H(5)...O(3)#4 | 0.95   | 2.57     | 3.406(3)  | 146.2  |

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:
#1 -x+1,y,-z+1/2  #2 x-1/2,y+1/2,-z+1/2  #3 -x+3/2,-y+3/2,z-1/2  #4 x+1/2,y-1/2,-z+1/2
Table S7 – Crystal data and structure refinement for [Fe(5-Br-salEen)$_2$]ClO$_4$ at 300K.

| Property                                      | Value                        |
|-----------------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Empirical formula                             | C$_{22}$ H$_{28}$ Br$_2$ Cl Fe N$_4$ O$_6$ |
| Formula weight                                | 695.60                       |
| Temperature                                   | 297(2) K                     |
| Wavelength                                    | 0.71073 Å                    |
| Crystal system                                | Orthorhombic                 |
| Space group                                   | Pbcn                         |
| Unit cell dimensions                          | a = 11.931(3) Å, α = 90°    |
|                                              | b = 12.170(3) Å, β = 90°    |
|                                              | c = 19.166(5) Å, γ = 90°    |
| Volume                                        | 2782.9(11) Å$^3$             |
| Z                                             | 4                            |
| Density (calculated)                          | 1.660 Mg/m$^3$               |
| Absorption coefficient                        | 3.552 mm$^{-1}$              |
| F(000)                                        | 1396                         |
| Crystal size                                  | 0.12 x 0.09 x 0.07 mm$^3$    |
| Theta range for data collection               | 2.125 to 24.997°             |
| Index ranges                                  | -14 <= h <= 14, -14 <= k <= 14, -22 <= l <= 22 |
| Reflections collected                         | 29032                        |
| Independent reflections                       | 2454 [R(int) = 0.0404]       |
| Completeness to theta = 24.997°               | 100.0 %                      |
| Absorption correction                         | Semi-empirical from equivalents |
| Max. and min. transmission                    | 1.000000 and 0.549286        |
| Refinement method                             | Full-matrix least-squares on F$^2$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters                | 2454 / 8 / 150               |
| Goodness-of-fit on F$^2$                      | 1.098                        |
| Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)]                 | R1 = 0.1001, wR2 = 0.2984   |
| R indices (all data)                          | R1 = 0.1583, wR2 = 0.3515   |
| Extinction coefficient                        | n/a                          |
| Largest diff. peak and hole                   | 1.772 and -2.296 e.Å$^{-3}$  |
### Table S8 – Bond lengths [Å] and angles [°] for [Fe(5-Br-salEen)_2]ClO_4 at 300K ↓.

|              | Bond Lengths [Å] | Bond Angles [°] |
|--------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Fe(1)-O(1)#1 | 1.868(7)         |                 |
| Fe(1)-O(1)   | 1.868(7)         |                 |
| Fe(1)-N(2)   | 2.002(8)         |                 |
| Fe(1)-N(2)#1 | 2.002(8)         |                 |
| Fe(1)-N(1)#1 | 2.103(8)         |                 |
| Fe(1)-N(1)   | 2.103(8)         |                 |
| O(1)#1-Fe(1)-O(1) | 93.8(5) |          |
| O(1)#1-Fe(1)-N(2) | 91.6(3) |          |
| O(1)-Fe(1)-N(2) | 92.3(3) |          |
| O(1)#1-Fe(1)-N(2)#1 | 92.3(3) |          |
| O(1)-Fe(1)-N(2)#1 | 91.6(3) |          |
| N(2)-Fe(1)-N(2)#1 | 174.3(5) |          |
| O(1)#1-Fe(1)-N(1)#1 | 173.6(3) |          |
| O(1)-Fe(1)-N(1)#1 | 88.5(4)  |          |
| N(2)-Fe(1)-N(1)#1 | 94.3(3)  |          |
| N(2)#1-Fe(1)-N(1)#1 | 81.7(3)  |          |
| O(1)#1-Fe(1)-N(1)   | 88.5(4)  |          |
| O(1)-Fe(1)-N(1)   | 173.6(3) |          |
| N(2)-Fe(1)-N(1)   | 81.7(3)  |          |
| N(2)#1-Fe(1)-N(1) | 94.3(3)  |          |
| N(1)#1-Fe(1)-N(1) | 89.9(5)  |          |

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:
#1 -x+1,y,-z+1/2

### Table S9 – Hydrogen bonds for [Fe(5-Br-salEen)_2]ClO_4 at 300K ↓ [Å and °].

|              | d(D-H) | d(H...A) | d(D...A) | <(DHA) |
|--------------|--------|----------|----------|--------|
| C(1)-H(1C)...Br(1)#2 | 0.96   | 3.06     | 3.847(17) | 140.1  |
| C(2)-H(2A)...O(1)#1  | 0.97   | 2.58     | 3.063(15) | 110.7  |
| C(2)-H(2B)...N(2)#1  | 0.97   | 2.59     | 3.142(14) | 115.9  |
| N(1)-H(1)...Cl(1)    | 0.98   | 2.76     | 3.736(11) | 174.6  |
| N(1)-H(1)...O(2)     | 0.98   | 2.26     | 3.144(14) | 148.8  |
| N(1)-H(1)...O(2)#1   | 0.98   | 2.40     | 3.302(14) | 153.5  |
| C(4)-H(4B)...O(2)    | 0.97   | 2.56     | 3.333(16) | 137.2  |
| C(5)-H(5)...O(2)#3   | 0.93   | 2.54     | 3.073(12) | 117.0  |

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:
#1 -x+1,y,-z+1/2  #2 -x+3/2,-y+3/2,z-1/2  #3 -x+3/2,y-1/2,z
Figure S10 – Strictly equivalent views of the ligand in the complex cation for the state i (125 K), on the left, and state iii (300 K↓), on the right, illustrating conformational differences.
Figure S11 – Powder patterns of [Fe(5-Br-salEen)₂]ClO₄ at different temperatures. The cooling sequence, starting from 370 K (state iii) is shown at the top; the heating sequence, starting from 140 K (state i) is shown at the bottom.
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