Imaging Findings and Differential Diagnosis of Hepatic Sinusoidal Occlusion Syndrome and Budd-Chiari Syndrome
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1. Abstract

1.1. Objective: To retrospectively analyze the clinical and imaging manifestations differential points between hepatic sinusoidal obstruction syndrome (SOS) and Budd-Chiari syndrome (BCS).

1.2. Material: The clinical symptoms, laboratory examination and imaging findings of 15 cases of hepatic sinusoidal obstruction syndrome and 33 cases of Budd-Chiari syndrome were statistically analyzed, find the identification points. The study used the Fisher test, P values of 0.05 or less were considered to indicate significant differences. The retrospective study was approved by the hospital's ethics committee.

1.3. Results: Laboratory tests: There were significant differences in total protein reduction rate (66.7% vs 9.1%, p<0.01), albumin reduction rate (66.7% vs 9.1%, p<0.01), Gamma-glutamyl transferase elevation rate (100% vs 6.1%, P<0.01), alkaline phosphatase elevation rate (60% vs 6.1%, p<0.01), and abnormal rate of prothrombin time (100% vs 21.2%, p<0.01) between the two groups (BCS vs SOS). It also indicates that the liver function of SOS patients is more seriously impaired. Image findings: The following image findings were observed significant more frequently in SOS than in BCS and were statistically significant: gallbladder wall thickening (66.7% vs 78.2%, p<0.01), ascites (80% vs 27.3%, p<0.01), cloverleaf or claw-like shapes (80% vs 0%, p<0.01). The following images appeared more frequently in BCS than in BCS and were statistically significant: caudate lobe enlargement (33.3% vs 75.8%, p<0.01), collateral circulation (46.7% vs 93.9%, p<0.01), diffuse patchy enhancement (20% vs 93.9%, p<0.01), homogeneous in delayed phase (13.3% vs 90.1%, p<0.01).

1.4. Conclusion: Gallbladder wall thickening and cloverleaf or claw-like shapes were observed significant more frequently in SOS, collateral circulation, diffuse patchy enhancement, homogeneous in delayed phase appeared more frequently in BCS, combine the two with laboratory tests to improve diagnostic accuracy.

2. Introduction

Sinusoidal obstruction syndrome, also known as veno-occlusive disease (SOS), is a potentially life-threatening complication after hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HCT). The severity of SOS varies widely, mild cases go into remission within a few weeks, while severe cases develop multiple organ failure, the mortality rate was as high as 84.3% [1, 2]. SOS is commonly seen in HCT in western countries and is mainly caused by herb, such as Gynura segetum in China and some other Asian countries [3-6]. The imaging features of hemodynamic changes, liver parenchymal heterogeneity, liver function damage and portal hypertension provide important information for SOS diag-
nosis [7-9]. However, SOS and Budd-Chiari syndrome (BCS) often share similar clinical manifestations, such as hepatomegaly, hepatic distention pain, jaundice, ascites, and weight gain, which have always been the difficulties in clinical differentiation. The purpose of this paper is to investigate the clinical, imaging and pathological features of SOS and BCS, and to provide useful help for their differentiation.

3. Patients and Methods

This retrospective study has been approved by the ethics Committee of our hospital. There were 15 consecutive SOS pathologically diagnosed by biopsy at our institution from January, 2013 to October June, 2020. The following were the inclusion criteria: (a) patients who underwent contrast-enhanced dynamic CT and/or MR imaging within 2 weeks before biopsy; (b) all SOS cases were confirmed by histopathology in our hospital; (c) all the BCS cases had complete contrast-enhanced dynamic CT and/or MR imaging, DSA, among which 15 cases underwent liver biopsy. The study included 15 cases of SOS, 9 cases of inducement of gymura segetum, 2 cases of anti-rejection drugs, 1 case of chemotherapy drugs, 3 cases of Chinese herbal medicine: 7 males and 8 females with mean age 45 years old (range: 16-70 years). There were 33 cases of BCS: 19 males and 14 females with mean age of 43 years old (range: 18-64 years). Laboratory tests include: tumor markers, blood routine examination, liver function, total bilirubin, total protein, albumin, alkaline phosphatase, gamma-glutamyl transferase, proteinuria and coagulation function.

4. Imaging Techniques

4.1. CT Technique

The 31 patients were performed using 64-detector row CT scanners. The parameters were as follows: detector collimation, 0.625-1.25 mm; tube current, 380 mA; tube voltage, 120 KV; slice thickness, 5 mm; and pitch, 5 mm. Patients underwent a four-phase CT scan of the liver, including a non-contrast scan phase, a portal arterial phase, a delayed phase and a portal venous phase. An iodine contrast agent (370 mg I/ml (100 ml) was administered at a rate of 3 ml/s via a mechanical power injector (Medrad Stellant Dual Head Injector; Medrad, Warrendale, PA, USA) using a 20-gauge intravenous cannula placed in the antecubital vein. A smart prep contrast medium tracking technique was used during the arterial phase. When the CT value of the abdominal aorta reached or surpassed the threshold (150 HU), the scan was triggered. The venous phase was 65-70 s, and the delayed phase was 180-300 s. The thickness of the reconstructed image was 0.625 mm, and Multi Planar Reconstruction (MPR) was performed on the ADW 4.3 workstation. The latter two sets of spectral CT acquisitions were analyzed by GSI Viewer software 4.4 (GE Healthcare, Waukesha, Wisconsin) with a standard soft-tissue display window preset (WL 40 and WW 400).

4.2. MR Technique

The 15 patients were performed with a 3.0T MR scanner (TIM TRIO; Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) using a 32-channel body coil. The protocol consisted of a 3-dimensional model voxel T1-weighted (turbo-fast low angle shot (Turbo-FLASH), fast and small-angle excitation) breath-hold scanning sequence (TR/TE of 110.00 ms/2.46 ms, slice thickness and gap of 5/1.5 mm, matrix size of 320 x 154, and FOV of 440 mm x 640 mm), a T2-weighted (Turbo-FLASH, single excitation half Fourier collection fast spin-echo sequence) breath-hold scanning sequence (TR/TE of 1200 ms/88 ms, slice thickness and gap of 5/1.5 mm, matrix size of 384 x 200, and FOV of 616 mm x 768 mm), a diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) scanning sequence (b-values of 0, 150 and 800 s/mm²) with an echo-planar imaging (EPI) sequence, and a Gd-BOPTA dynamic enhanced scan (three-dimensional volume interpolation screen sequence (3D-VIBE) transaction imaging). Gd-BOPTA was administered at a dosage of 0.2 mmol/kg at a rate of 2 mL per second followed by a 20-mL saline flush. After administering the contrast agent, early arterial phase (22 s), late arterial phase (44 s), portal venous phase (60 s), equilibrium phase (3-10 min), and additional hepatobiliary phase (1-2 hours) images were obtained.

4.3. Image Analysis

2 radiologists and 2 pathologists read the film together. If there is any difference, a consensus diagnosis should be reached through consultation. Data analysis included clinical symptoms, laboratory tests, and histopathological examinations. Image analysis included liver and gallbladder morphology, ascites, collateral circulation, liver enhancement, lesion scope and lesion distribution.

4.4. Pathological Examination: The specimens were histopathologically examined by HE staining, followed by immunohistochemical examination. All pathological specimens were retrospectively analyzed by experienced pathologists.

4.5. Statistical Analysis: The prevalence of test results was determined by the percentage of patients with abnormalities. All data were analyzed by SPSS 22.0 statistical software, measurement data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (X±s), comparison of mean values between two groups was performed by T test, count data was expressed as number of cases (composition ratio), and comparison between groups was performed by Fisher test. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

5. Results

5.1. Clinical Manifestation

The clinical data came from electronic medical record of our hospital. The age and gender composition and clinical symptoms of the two groups of patients are listed in (Table 1). There was no statistically significant difference between the two groups except for right upper abdominal pain and weakness.

5.2. Laboratory Examination Results

Statistical data of laboratory indicators of the two groups of patients are listed in (Table 2). There were significant differences in total protein, albumin, Gamma-glutamyltransferase, alkaline phosphatase, and prothrombin time between the two groups. It also indicates that the liver function of SOS patients is more seriously impaired.
5.3. Imaging Findings

1. SOS imaging findings: twelve patients (80%) showed hepatomegaly, and 5 patients (33.3%) showed enlarged caudate lobe. MDCT and MR T1WI plain scan showed that the liver parenchyma showed diffuse or geographically hypoattenuation or hypo-intensity areas and patchy slightly hyper-intensity on T2WI. Post-contrast MDCT and MR: hepatic artery and portal vein were clearly shown, heterogeneity patchy enhancement could be seen at portal vein phase and delayed phase in liver parenchyma, 12 cases (80%) were mainly distributed around hepatic main vein, and 3 cases (20%) presented diffuse distribution in liver (Figure 1-4). Post-contrast, 13 cases (86.7%) showed non-enhanced areas lasting to the delay phase, only 2 cases (13.3%) tended to enhancement homogeneity. 12 cases (80%) showed hepatic vein narrowing or disappearance, and 2 cases (13.3%) showed widening of periporal space. Ten patients were followed up for 1 month to 3 years, 2 underwent liver transplantation, 5 patients improved, and 3 remained unchanged. Improved cases first appeared 1 month’s later on-set, manifested in the enhanced area within the liver parenchyma was enlarged in the venous phase and delayed phase, and the development of hepatic main vein was thickening and clearer than before. MDCT and MR can well show the morphology, distribution and evolution process of abnormal enhancement in the liver.

2. BCS imaging findings: due to the obstruction of hepatic venous outflow, the 31 patients (80%) showed hepatomegaly, and 25 patients (75.8%) showed enlarged caudate lobe. The post-sinusoidal pressure was increased, the enhancement around the liver was weakened in the arterial phase, and the liver presented hypoattenuation or hypointensity on CT or MRI. The portal vein phase showed a reversal pattern, with the contrast agent flowing out of the central region and enhancement weakening, while the surrounding region gradually enhancement with the accumulation of contrast agent. In the delayed phase, 30 cases (90.1%) showed homogeneity enhancement (Figure 5-6). Due to separate venous drainage, caudate lobe enhancement was significant and enlarged (75.8%). Thrombi showed as intravascular filling defects on contrast-enhanced CT and MRI, hepatic venous thrombosis (42.4%), and inferior vena cava thrombosis (18.2%).

3. There were statistically significant differences between the two groups in caudate lobe enlargement, gallbladder wall thickening, ascites, collateral circulation, cloverleaf or claw-like enhancement around the main hepatic vein, and homogeneous enhancement in the delay period. Common imaging features were hepatic and splenic enlargement, periporal edema, and hepatic venous stenosis and dilatation. A list of these statistical results is provided in (Table 3).

### Table 1: Clinical manifestation of all included patients

| Clinical manifestations | 15 SOS (%) | 33 BCS (%) | P  |
|-------------------------|-----------|------------|----|
| Sex                     |           |            |    |
| Female                  | 8 (53.3%) | 14 (42.4%) |    |
| Male                    | 7 (46.7%) | 19 (57.6%) |    |
| Mean age                | 45        | 43         |    |
| Right upper pain        | 13 (86.7%)| 8 (24.2%)  | <0.01 |
| Nausea, vomiting        | 1 (6.7%)  | 2 (6.1%)   | 0.938 |
| Oliguria/anuria         | 2 (13.3%) | 2 (6.1%)   | 0.409 |
| Fatigue                 | 7 (46.7%) | 4 (12.1%)  | <0.01 |

### Table 2: Laboratory tests

| Laboratory tests       | 15 SOS (%) | 33 BCS (%) | P |
|------------------------|------------|------------|---|
| HGB (<110 g/L)         | 3 (20%)    | 7 (21.2%)  | 0.926 |
| Blood platelet (<10×10^9/L) | 1 (6.7%) | 0          | 0.140 |
| TBIL (>34.2 mmol/L)    | 15 (100%)  | 27 (81.2%) | 0.080 |
| ODBIL (>6.8 mmol/L)    | 15 (100%)  | 27 (81.2%) | 0.080 |
| Total protein (<60 g/L) | 10 (66.7%) | 9 (3.1%)   | <0.01 |
| Albumin (<35 g/L)      | 10 (66.7%) | 9 (3.1%)   | <0.01 |
| AKP (>135 U/L)         | 9 (60%)    | 2 (6.1%)   | <0.01 |
| γ-GT (>50 U/L)         | 15 (100%)  | 2 (6.1%)   | <0.01 |
| Prothrombin time (>14.5 s) | 15 (100%) | 7 (21.2%)  | <0.01 |

### Table 3: Image findings

| Imaging findings       | 15 SOS (%) | 33 BCS (%) | P  |
|------------------------|------------|------------|----|
| Hepatomegaly           | 12 (80%)   | 31 (93.9%) | 0.149 |
| Caudate lobe enlargement| 5 (33.3%)  | 25 (75.8%) | <0.01 |
| Splenomegaly           | 12 (80%)   | 27 (81.8%) | 0.884 |
| Gallbladder wall thickening | 10 (66.7%) | 6 (18.2%) | <0.01 |
| Ascites                | 12 (80%)   | 9 (27.3%)  | <0.01 |
| Collateral circulation | 7 (46.7%)  | 31 (93.9%) | <0.01 |
| Periportal edema       | 2 (13.3%)  | 1 (3.0%)   | 0.179 |
| Hepatic vein stenosis  | 12 (80%)   | 7 (21.2%)  | 3.896 |
| Hepatic vein dilatation| 0          | 5 (15.2%)  | 0.116 |
| Cloverleaf or claw-like shapes | 12 (80%) | 0 | <0.01 |
| Diffuse patchy enhancement | 3 (20%)  | 31 (93.9%) | <0.01 |
| Homogeneous in DH      | 2 (13.3%)  | 30 (90.1%) | <0.01 |

Cloverleaf or claw-like shapes: enhancement around the main hepatic vein. DH: the delayed phase in CT or MR.
Figure 1: 53-year-old woman diagnosed with hepatic sinusoidal obstruction syndrome eight months after ingestion of *Gynura segetum*.  
A. Diffuse patchy slightly hyperintensity are demonstrated on fat-suppressed T2-weighted MRI.  
B. Contrast-enhanced MRI equilibrium phases scan demonstrates diffuse heterogeneity patchy liver enhancement with prominent distribution around hepatic veins, hepatic vein narrowing or vague (arrows).  
C. A month later, contrast-enhanced MRI scan shows that patchy enhanced area is enlarged in the venous phase and delayed phase, and hepatic vein was thickening and clearer than before (arrows).  
D. The hematoxylineosin (HE) staining at ×200 magnification shows expansion and congestion around the central hepatic vein and hepatic sinus, hepatocyte edema, and mild central venous fibrosis.

Figure 2: 59-year-old man diagnosed with hepatic sinusoidal obstruction syndrome six months after ingestion of Chinese herb.  
A. Contrast-enhanced delayed phase CT scans show diffuse patchy enhancement and claw-like distribution around hepatic veins, the left lobe of the liver is significantly enlarged and ascites.  
B. Three months later, contrast-enhanced CT scan shows that liver uniform enhancement and the hepatic veins showed clearly. The left lobe of the liver shrinks and ascites subsided.
Figure 3: 62-year-old man diagnosed with hepatic sinusoidal obstruction syndrome a months after ingestion of Gymura segetum.
A. Contrast-enhanced delayed phase CT scans demonstrate clover-like enhancement surrounding hepatic veins.
B. Seven weeks later, contrast-enhanced CT scan shows that patchy enhanced area is enlarged in the portal phase and delayed phase than before.
C. Masson staining at ×200 magnification shows the thickening of the inner vein or hepatic vein, and endovascular stenosis.
D. The hematoxylineosin (HE) staining at ×200 magnification shows hepatic sinus expansion and congestion with atrophy of the hepatocyte plate.

Figure 4: 62-year-old man diagnosed with hepatic sinusoidal obstruction syndrome a months after ingestion of Chinese herb for psoriasis.
A. Delayed phase contrast-enhanced CT scans demonstrate clover-like enhancement surrounding hepatic veins, massive ascites and pleural effusion.
B. Three months later, contrast-enhanced CT scan shows that liver uniform enhancement and the hepatic veins showed clearly, ascites and pleural effusion subsided.
C. The hematoxylineosin (HE) staining at ×200 magnification shows expansion and congestion around the central hepatic vein and hepatic sinus with atrophy of the hepatocyte plate.
Figure 5: 37-years-woman was admitted for hepatosplenomegaly.
A. Contrast-enhanced MRI equilibrium phase shows liver uniform enhancement, intrahepatic veno-venous collateral between the hepatic veins with conspicuous collateral veins.
B. Contrast-enhanced MRI portal phase shows obstruction of the IVC.
C. The hematoxylineosin (HE) staining at×200 magnification shows expansion central hepatic vein and hepatic sinus with atrophy of the hepatocyte plate.

Figure 6: 42-years-woman was admitted for hepatosplenomegaly.
A. Contrast-enhanced CT portal phase shows liver uniform enhancement with obstruction of the IVC.
B. DSA showed no development was seen in the superior hepatic segment of inferior vena cava.

5.4. Liver Biopsy Pathology
SOS in the acute stage showed varying degrees of centrilobular sinusoidal congestion, dilatation, and hemorrhage with atrophy of the hepatocyte plate. The central veins showed intimal edema, endo-dermatitis and periphlebitis. In the later stage, it showed fibrosis or occlusion around the terminal hepatic venules, collagen deposition occurred in the congested area, and hepatocytes proliferated around the portal area to form inverted hepatic lobules.

In the acute stage of BCS, sinusoidal dilatation and hemorrhage were seen, and hepatocyte atrophy and loss were marked around the terminal hepatic venules. In the chronic phase, fibrosis occurred around the blocking veins, the vascular contour was unclear. The hepatocytes proliferated in non-venous occlusion area, and forming large regenerative nodules or pseudolobules.

6. Discussion
Sinusoidal Obstruction Syndrome (SOS), first reported by Jelliffe in 1954 [10]. In 1957, Stein discovered that the pathological mechanism of SOS is hepatic venules occlusion process, namely the thickening of the vessel walls caused by endophlebitis. Unlike classic BCS, there is no thrombosis in the hepatic vein or inferior vena cava. This oc-
Liu et al. observed that different pathological features upon different stages by animal models of PAs-induced HSOS. In acute stage, sinusoidal congestion and dilation, the hepatocyte necrosis and the extravasation of erythrocytes in zone 3. In addition, macrophages infiltrated into the space of Disse, and engulfed erythrocytes. In sub-acute stage, pathologic examination showed complete loss of pericentral hepatocytes, sinusoidal dilatation, deposition of pigment granules [18]. The varieties of pathological manifestations depend on age, the PAs dose, the period, and individual variation [19]. BCS and congestive liver disease can also show sinusoidal congestion and hepatocyte necrosis, which are sometimes difficult to distinguish from histologically [6]. Imaging techniques have experienced major progress since the 1980s and the initial definition of the criteria for diagnosis of SOS/VOD, raising the possibility that they may contribute to refining such diagnosis today [1]. CT and MRI showed hepaticomegaly, ascites, hepatic vein narrowing, gallbladder wall thickening, periportal edema, patchy signal enhancement of the liver. Zhou et al. reported that liver parenchyma surrounding the main hepatic veins demonstrated relatively normal enhancement compared to the rest of the patchy enhanced area of liver. This interesting finding is called "clover sign", suggest that the venules adjacent to the hepatic main vein are more likely to keep patent; the extent of abnormal patchy liver enhancement reflect the severity of the disease [20-24].

In present study, the SOS images showed that the enhancement distribution around the main hepatic vein was obvious, while the patchy enhancement was dominant in the BCS patients, which was related to the different pathogenesis, location and degree of liver parenchymal injury of the two diseases.

In practice, SOS is not only being distinguished from other rare cases of diffuse liver diseases, such as amyloidosis, glycogen storage disease, Wilson’s disease and α-1-antitrypsin deficiency, but also from the relatively rare BCS. BCS is a group of diseases characterized by partial or total hepatic veins outflow obstruction, with elevated sinusoidal pressure, portal hypertension, liver congestion, eventually hepatic fibrosis and cirrhosis [25, 26]. The clinical manifestation depends on the degree of venous obstruction and the patency of Intra- and extrahepatic collaterals. One quarter of patients have no underlying disease [27, 28]. Imaging findings included occlusion or compression of hepatic veins and/or inferior vena cava, formation of collateral circulation, caudate lobe enlargement, and delayed enhanced nodules formation [29]. This study showed that there was a statistical difference between the two diseases in the Intra- and extrahepatic collaterals circulation, hepatic venous obstruction, parenchyma enhancement pattern, delayed phase enhances uniformity, and SOS caused more serious liver damage. In addition, SOS showed heterogeneous enhancement in the delayed phase of enhanced CT and/or MR, this is caused by sinusoidal or hepatic venule obstruction that is not or poorly enhanced. This kind of imaging manifestation also conforms to the pathological mechanism of the two diseases and can be used as one of the important imaging differential point
of the two diseases. It is difficult to obtain timely and reliable diagnosis by using the current clinical diagnostic criteria. Noninvasive imaging is becoming more and more important in SOS diagnosis and differential diagnosis. Combined with liver hemodynamic changes, liver parenchymal heterogeneity, damaged hepatocyte function, and portal hypertension imaging features provide important information for the identification of these diseases. The limitation of this study is that there are few subjects. Secondly, some patients presented thrombocytopenia and coagulation abnormalities and massive ascites, so histological evidence could not be obtained, which also limited the inclusion of more cases.
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