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Abstract
In this paper we introduce the Dirac and spin-Dirac operators associated to a connection on Riemann-Cartan space(time) and standard Dirac and spin-Dirac operators associated with a Levi-Civita connection on a Riemannian (Lorentzian) space(time) and calculate the square of these operators, which play an important role in several topics of modern Mathematics, in particular in the study of the geometry of moduli spaces of a class of black holes, the geometry of NS-5 brane solutions of type II supergravity theories and BPS solitons in some string theories. We obtain a generalized Lichnerowicz formula, decompositions of the Dirac and spin-Dirac operators and their squares in terms of the standard Dirac and spin-Dirac operators and using the fact that spinor fields (sections of a spin-Clifford bundle) have representatives in the Clifford bundle we present also a noticeable relation involving the spin-Dirac and the Dirac operators.
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1 Introduction

Recently, in several applications of theoretical physics and differential geometry, in a way or another the Dirac operator and its square on a Riemann-Cartan space(time) has been used. In, e.g., [12] Rapoport proposed to give a Clifford bundle approach to his theory of generalized Brownian motion; in [1] Agricola and Friedrich investigate the holonomy group of a linear metric connection with skew-symmetric torsion and in [2] they introduced also an elliptic, second-order operator acting on a spinor field, and in the case of a naturally reductive space they calculated the Casimir operator of the isometry group. The square of the spin-Dirac operator also appears naturally in the study of the geometry of moduli spaces of a class of black holes, the geometry of NS-5 brane solutions of type II supergravity theories and BPS solitons in some string theories ([5]) and many other important topics of modern mathematics (see [3, 6]). Some of the works just quoted present extremely sophisticated and really complicated calculations and sometimes even erroneous ones.

This brings to mind that a simple theory of Dirac operators and their squares acting on sections of the Clifford and Spin-Clifford bundles on Riemann-Cartan space(times) has been presented in [15], and further developed in [14]. Using that theory, in Section 2 we first introduce the standard Dirac operator \( \partial \) (associated with a Levi-Civita connection \( D \) of a metric field \( g \)) acting on sections of the Clifford bundle of differential forms \( \mathcal{C}_\ell(M, g) \) and next, in section 2.1 we introduce the Dirac operator \( \vartheta \) (associated with an arbitrary connection \( \nabla \)) and also acting on sections of \( \mathcal{C}_\ell(M, g) \). Next, we calculate in Section 2.2 in a simple and direct way the square of the Dirac operator on Riemann-Cartan space, and then specialize the result for the simplest case of a scalar function \( f \in \sec \bigwedge^0 T^*M \rightarrow \sec \mathcal{C}_\ell(M, g) \) in order to compare our results with the ones presented in [12]. We give two calculations, one using the decomposition of the Dirac operator into the standard Dirac operator plus a term depending on the torsion tensor (see Eq. (16) below) and another one, which follows directly from the definition of the Dirac operator without using the standard Dirac operator. Next we present a relation between the square of the Dirac and the standard Dirac operators (acting on a scalar function) in terms of the torsion tensor and investigate also in Section 2.3 the relation between those operators in the case of a null strain tensor. In Section 3, we present a brief summary of the theory of the Spin-Clifford bundles (\( \mathcal{C}_\ell^{\text{Spin}_{1,3}}(M, g) \) and \( \mathcal{C}_\ell^{\text{Spin}_{1,3}}(M, g) \)) and their sections (spinor fields) and their representatives in a Clifford bundle (\( \mathcal{C}_\ell(M, g) \)) following [10, 14]. We recall in Section 3.1 some important formulas from the general theory of the covariant derivatives of Clifford and spin-Clifford fields and in Section 3.2 we recall the definition of the spin-Dirac operator \( \vartheta^s \) (associated with a Riemann-Cartan connection \( \nabla \)) acting on sections of a spin-Clifford bundle. In section 3.3 we introduce the representatives of spinor fields in the Clifford bundle and the important concept of the representative of \( \vartheta^s \) (denoted \( \vartheta^{(s)} \)) that acts on the representatives of spinor fields (see [10, 14] for details). To make clear the similarities and differences between \( \mathcal{C}_\ell(M, g) \) and
we write, in Section 4, Maxwell equation in both formalisms. In Section 5.1 we first find the commutator of the covariant derivative of spinor fields on a Riemann-Cartan space(time) and compare our result with one that can be found in [11], which seems to neglect a term. Next in Section 5.2 we calculate the square of the spin-Dirac operator on a Riemann-Cartan spacetime and find a generalized Lichnerowicz formula. In Section 6, taking advantage that any $\psi \in \sec C^\ell_{\text{Spin}^{1,3}}(M, g)$ can be written as $\psi = A_1 \xi$ with $A \in \sec C^\ell(M, g)$ and $\xi \in \sec C^\ell_{\text{Spin}^{1,3}}(M, g)$ we find two noticeable formulas: the first relates the square of the spin-Dirac operator $(\theta^a \nabla_{e_a})$ acting on $\psi$ with the square of the Dirac operator $(\theta^a D_{e_a})$ acting on $A$; the second formula relates the square of the spin-Dirac operator $(\theta^a \nabla_{e_a})$ acting on $\psi$ with the square of the standard Dirac operator $(\theta^a D_{e_a})$. In Section 7 we present our conclusions.

2 The Standard Dirac Operator

Let $M$ be a smooth differentiable manifold, $g \in \sec T^0_2M$ a smooth metric field, $\nabla$ a connection and $T$ and $R$, respectively the torsion and curvature tensors of the connection $\nabla$.

Definition 1 Given a triple $(M, g, \nabla)$:

a) it is called a Riemann-Cartan space if and only if

$$\nabla g = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad T[\nabla] \neq 0.$$

b) it is called a Riemann space if and only if

$$\nabla g = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad T[\nabla] = 0.$$

For each metric tensor defined on the manifold $M$ there exists one and only one connection that satisfies these conditions. It is called the Levi-Civita connection of the metric considered and is denoted by $D$. When $\dim M = 4$ and the metric $g$ has signature $(1,3)$ the triple $(M, g, \nabla)$ is called a Riemann-Cartan spacetime and the triple $(M, g, D)$ a Lorentzian spacetime.$^1$

c) it is called a Riemann-Cartan-Weyl space if and only if

$$\nabla g \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad T[\nabla] \neq 0.$$

For the computation of the square of the Dirac operator on a Riemann-Cartan space, we need first to introduce on the Clifford bundle of differential form $C^\ell(M, g)$ a differential operator $\hat{\partial}$, called the standard Dirac operator $[11]$, which is associated with the Levi-Civita connection of the Riemannian (or

$^1$We recall (see, e.g., [14]) that a Riemann-Cartan or a Lorentzian spacetime must be orientable and time orientable.
Lorentzian) structure \((M, g, D)\). A Lorentzian spacetime for which \(\mathbf{R} = 0\) is called a Minkowski spacetime. Note that we denoted by \(g \in \text{sec} T^*M\) the metric tensor of the cotangent bundle.

Given \(u \in \text{sec} TM\) and \(u \in \text{sec} \wedge^1 T^*M \hookrightarrow \text{sec} C\ell(M, g)\) consider the tensorial mapping \(A \mapsto -u D_u A, A \in \text{sec} C\ell(M, g)\). Since \(D_u J_g \subseteq J_g\), where \(J_g\) is the ideal used in the definition of \(C\ell(M, g)\) (see, e.g., [14] for details), the notion of covariant derivative (related to the Levi-Civita connection) passes to the quotient bundle \(C\ell(M, g)\).

Let \(U \subset M\) an open set and \(\{e_\alpha\}\) on \(TU \subset TM\) a moving frame with dual moving frame \(\{\theta^\alpha\}\), where \(\theta^\alpha \in \text{sec} \wedge^1 T^*M \hookrightarrow \text{sec} C\ell(M, g)\).

**Definition 2** The standard Dirac operator is the first order differential operator

\[
\hat{\theta} = \theta^\alpha D_{e_\alpha}.
\]  

(1)

For \(A \in \text{sec} C\ell(M, g)\),

\[
\hat{\theta} A = \theta^\alpha (D_{e_\alpha} A) = \theta^\alpha \downarrow (D_{e_\alpha} A) + \theta^\alpha \wedge (D_{e_\alpha} A)
\]

and then we define

\[
\hat{\theta} \downarrow A = \theta^\alpha \downarrow (D_{e_\alpha} A)
\]

\[
\hat{\theta} \wedge A = \theta^\alpha \wedge (D_{e_\alpha} A)
\]

(2)

in order to have

\[
\hat{\theta} = \hat{\theta} \downarrow + \hat{\theta} \wedge.
\]

**Proposition 1** The standard Dirac operator \(\hat{\theta}\) is related to the exterior derivative \(d\) and to the Hodge codifferential \(\delta\) by

\[
\hat{\theta} = d - \delta,
\]

that is, we have \(\hat{\theta} \wedge = d\) and \(\hat{\theta} \downarrow = -\delta\). For proof see, e.g., [14].

**2.1 The Dirac Operator in Riemann-Cartan Space**

We now consider a Riemann-Cartan-Weyl structure \((M, g, \nabla)\) where \(\nabla\) is an arbitrary linear connection, which in general, is not metric compatible. In this general case, the notion of covariant derivative does not pass to the quotient bundle \(C\ell(M, g)\) [4]. Despite this fact, it is still a well defined operation and in analogy with the earlier section, we can associate with it, acting on the sections of the Clifford bundle \(C\ell(M, g)\), the operator

\[
\partial = \theta^\alpha \nabla_{e_\alpha},
\]

(3)

where \(\{\theta^\alpha\}\) is a moving frame on \(T^*U\), dual to the moving frame \(\{e_\alpha\}\) on \(TU \subset TM\).

**Definition 3** The operator \(\partial\) is called the Dirac operator (or Dirac derivative, or sometimes the gradient) acting on sections of the Clifford bundle.
We also define
\[\begin{align*}
\partial \cdot A &= \theta^\alpha \cdot (\nabla_{e_\alpha} A), \\
\partial \wedge A &= \theta^\alpha \wedge (\nabla_{e_\alpha} A), \\
\partial &= \partial \cdot + \partial \wedge.
\end{align*}\] (4)

The operator \(\partial \wedge\) satisfies \([14]\), for every \(A, B \in \text{sec } \mathcal{C} \ell (M, g)\)
\[\partial \wedge (A \wedge B) = (\partial \wedge A) \wedge B + \hat{A} \wedge (\partial \wedge B),\]
where \(\hat{A}\) denote the main involution (or graded involution) of \(A \in \text{sec } \mathcal{C} \ell (M, g)\).

Properties of this general operator are studied in \([14]\). Hereafter we suppose that \(\nabla\) is metric compatible, i.e., \((M, g, \nabla)\) is Riemann-Cartan space(time), and of course in this case \(\nabla\) defines a connection in \(\mathcal{C} \ell (M, g)\).

Let \(D_{e_\beta} \theta^\alpha = -\hat{\Gamma}_{\beta \rho}^\alpha \theta^\rho\), and \(\nabla_{e_\beta} \theta^\alpha = -\hat{\Gamma}_{\beta \rho}^\alpha \theta^\rho\), where the covariant derivative \(\nabla_{e_\beta}\) (which is now a \(g\)-compatible connection), has a non-zero torsion tensor whose components in the basis \(\{e_\alpha \otimes \theta^\beta \otimes \theta^\rho\}\) are \(T_{\beta \rho}^\alpha = \hat{\Gamma}_{\beta \rho}^\alpha - \Gamma_{\beta \rho}^\alpha - c_{\beta \rho}^\alpha\).

**Proposition 2** Let \(\Theta^\rho = \frac{1}{2} T_{\alpha \beta}^\rho \theta^\alpha \wedge \theta^\beta \in \text{sec } \bigwedge^2 T^*M \hookrightarrow \text{sec } \mathcal{C} \ell (M, g)\) the torsion 2-forms of the connection \(\nabla\) in an arbitrary moving frame \(\{\theta^\alpha\}\). Then
\[\begin{align*}
\partial \cdot &= \partial \cdot - \Theta^\rho \cdot \mathbf{j}_\rho, \\
\partial \wedge &= \partial \wedge - \Theta^\rho \cdot \mathbf{i}_\rho,
\end{align*}\] (6)
where \(\mathbf{j}_\rho A = \theta^\rho \cdot A, \mathbf{i}_\rho A = \theta^\rho \wedge A\), for every \(A \in \text{sec } \mathcal{C} \ell (M, g)\). For the proof, see \([14]\).

**Proposition 3** Let \(\Theta^\rho = \frac{1}{2} T_{\alpha \beta}^\rho \theta^\alpha \wedge \theta^\beta \in \text{sec } \bigwedge^2 T^*M \hookrightarrow \text{sec } \mathcal{C} \ell (M, g)\) the torsion 2-forms and \(f \in \text{sec } \bigwedge^0 T^*M \hookrightarrow \text{sec } \mathcal{C} \ell (M, g)\), a scalar function, then
\[\Theta^\rho \cdot (\theta^\rho \wedge (\partial f)) = -T_{\alpha \beta}^\rho \mathbf{e}^\beta (f).\] (7)

**Proof.** From the Eq.\((1)\) we have
\[\Theta^\rho \cdot (\theta^\rho \wedge (\partial f)) = \frac{1}{2} T_{\alpha \beta}^\rho (\theta^\alpha \wedge \theta^\beta) \cdot (\theta_{\rho} \wedge \theta^\delta D_{e_\delta} (f))\] (8)
and recalling that for any \(X_k, Y_k \in \text{sec } \bigwedge^k T^*M \hookrightarrow \text{sec } \mathcal{C} \ell (M, g)\), \(X_k \cdot Y_k = \vec{X}_k \cdot \vec{Y}_k = X_k \cdot Y_k\) (see, e.g., \([14]\)), where \(\vec{X}_k\) denote the reversion operator of \(X_k\), we can write,
\[(\theta^\alpha \wedge \theta^\beta) \cdot (\theta^\rho \wedge \theta^\delta) = -(\delta_{\rho}^{\alpha \beta} g^{\beta \delta} - \delta_{\rho}^{\alpha \delta} g^{\beta \delta}).\]
Then, Eq.\((8)\) we get after some algebra
\[\Theta^\rho \cdot (\theta^\rho \wedge (\partial f)) = -T_{\rho \beta}^\alpha \mathbf{e}^\beta (f)\]
and Eq.\((7)\) is proved. ■
Proposition 4 Let \( f \in \text{sec} \bigwedge^0 T^*M \mapsto \text{sec} \ Cl (M, g) \) a scalar function, \( d \) and \( \delta \), respectively the exterior derivative and the Hodge codifferential, then

\[
- \delta df = g^{\beta \alpha} \nabla e_\beta \nabla e_\alpha f - g^{\beta \rho} \Gamma^\alpha_{\beta \rho} e_\alpha (f) \tag{9}
\]

Proof. Using the Eqs. (2) we have

\[
- \delta df = \partial (\partial \wedge f) = \partial (\theta^\alpha \wedge D e_\alpha f) = \partial (\theta^\alpha e_\alpha (f)) = \theta^\beta \partial (D e_\beta (\theta^\alpha e_\alpha (f))) = g^{\beta \alpha} \nabla e_\beta \nabla e_\alpha f - g^{\beta \rho} \Gamma^\alpha_{\beta \rho} e_\alpha (f)
\]

and Eq. (9) is proved. \( \blacksquare \)

2.2 The Square of the Dirac Operator on a Riemann-Cartan Space(time)

Let us now compute the square of the Dirac operator on a Riemann-Cartan space(time). We have by definition,

\[
\partial^2 = (\partial \wedge \partial) (\partial \wedge \partial) = \partial \partial \partial + \partial \partial \wedge + \partial \wedge \partial + \partial \wedge \partial
\]

and writing

\[
L_+ = \partial \partial \wedge + \partial \wedge \partial,
\]

we get

\[
\partial^2 = \partial^2 + L_+ + \partial^2 \wedge.
\tag{10}
\]

The operator \( L_+ \) when applied to a scalar function corresponds, for the case of a Riemann-Cartan space, to the wave operator introduced by Rapoport [13] in his theory of Stochastic Mechanics. Obviously, for the case of the standard Dirac operator, \( L_+ \) reduces to the usual Hodge Laplacian of the manifold [15, 14].

Let us now compute the square of the Dirac operator on a scalar function \( f \in \text{sec} \bigwedge^0 T^*M \mapsto \text{sec} \ Cl (M, g) \) using Eq. (10).

First we calculate \( L_+ f \), which needs the calculation of \( (\partial \wedge \partial) f \) and \( (\partial \wedge \partial) f \).

a) Using Eqs. (8) and Proposition 3, we have

\[
(\partial \wedge \partial) f = \partial \wedge f - \Theta^\rho \wedge i_\rho f = \partial \wedge (\partial \wedge f - \Theta^\rho \wedge (\Theta^\rho \wedge f)) = \partial \wedge (\partial \wedge f - \Theta^\rho \wedge (\Theta^\rho \wedge f)) = \partial \wedge (\partial \wedge f - \Theta^\rho \wedge (\Theta^\rho \wedge (\partial \wedge f))) = -\delta df - \Theta^\rho \wedge (\theta_\rho \wedge (\partial \wedge f)). \tag{11}
\]

Then, substituting the Eqs. (9) and (7) into Eq. (11) we obtain

\[
(\partial \wedge \partial) f = g^{\beta \alpha} \nabla e_\beta \nabla e_\alpha f - g^{\beta \rho} \Gamma^\alpha_{\beta \rho} e_\alpha (f) + T^\alpha_{\alpha \beta} e_\beta (f) \tag{12}
\]
b) Now, using Eq. (6) we have
\[ (\bar{\partial} \wedge g \partial) f = \partial \wedge (\bar{\partial} \partial f - \Theta^\rho \wedge \partial \partial f) = \partial \wedge (\theta^\alpha \wedge \text{n}_\alpha (f) - \theta^\rho \wedge (\theta^\rho \wedge f)) = 0. \] (13)
So, from the Eqs (12) and (13), we obtain
\[ L_\alpha f = g^{\beta \alpha} \nabla_{e_\beta} \nabla_{e_\alpha} f - g^{\beta \rho} \Gamma_{\beta \rho}^{\alpha} e_\alpha (f) + T_{\alpha \beta}^{\sigma} e_\sigma (f). \] (14)

c) On the other hand, the first term of Eq. (10) is zero, i.e., \( \partial \partial f = 0 \), because \( \partial \partial f = \theta^\alpha \wedge \nabla_{e_\alpha} f = \theta^\alpha \wedge e_\alpha f = 0 \).

d) Now, using again Eq. (6) we calculate the last term of the Eq. (10),
\[ \partial \wedge \partial \wedge f = \partial \wedge (\bar{\partial} \partial f - \Theta^\rho \wedge \partial \partial f) = \partial \wedge (\bar{\partial} \partial f - \Theta^\rho \wedge \partial \partial f) \]
\[ = \partial \wedge \left( \theta^\rho \wedge f - \Theta^\rho \wedge (\theta^\rho \wedge f) \right) \]
\[ = \partial \wedge \left( \theta^\rho \wedge f - \Theta^\rho \wedge (\theta^\rho \wedge f) \right) \]
\[ = \theta^\rho \wedge (\Theta^\rho \wedge \theta^\rho \wedge f) = - \Theta^\rho \wedge (\theta^\rho \wedge f) \] (15)
\[ = - \Theta^\rho \wedge (\theta^\rho \wedge \theta^\alpha e_\alpha (f)) = - \Theta^\rho \delta^\alpha_\alpha e_\alpha (f) = - \Theta^\rho e_\alpha (f) \]
\[ = - \frac{1}{2} T_{\rho \sigma} \Theta^\rho \wedge \theta^\sigma \wedge e_\alpha (f). \]

Finally, from the Eqs (14) and (15) we get that \( \partial^2 f \) is given by
\[ \partial^2 f = g^{\beta \alpha} \nabla_{e_\beta} \nabla_{e_\alpha} f - g^{\beta \rho} \Gamma_{\beta \rho}^{\alpha} e_\alpha (f) + T_{\alpha \beta}^{\sigma} e_\sigma (f) - \frac{1}{2} T_{\rho \sigma} \Theta^\rho \wedge \theta^\sigma \wedge e_\alpha (f). \] (16)

We now define
\[ T_{\alpha \beta}^{\sigma} \equiv Q_{\beta}, \]
then the Eq. (16) can be written as
\[ \partial^2 f = g^{\beta \alpha} \nabla_{e_\beta} \nabla_{e_\alpha} f + g^{\beta \rho} \Gamma_{\beta \rho}^{\alpha} e_\alpha (f) + Q_{\beta} e_\beta (f) - \frac{1}{2} T_{\rho \sigma} \Theta^\rho \wedge \theta^\sigma \wedge e_\alpha (f). \] (17)

In general, we can calculate the square of the Dirac operator directly from the definition, i.e., without using of the standard Dirac operator. Indeed, we can write
\[ \partial^2 = (\theta^\beta \nabla_{e_\beta}) (\theta^\rho \nabla_{e_\rho}) \]
\[ = \theta^\beta \left[ \theta^\rho \left( \nabla_{e_\beta} \nabla_{e_\rho} \right) + (\nabla_{e_\beta} \theta^\rho) \nabla_{e_\rho} \right] \]
\[ = \theta^\beta \left[ \theta^\rho \left( \nabla_{e_\beta} \nabla_{e_\rho} \right) + (\nabla_{e_\beta} \theta^\rho) \nabla_{e_\rho} \right] + \theta^\beta \wedge \left[ \theta^\beta \left( \nabla_{e_\beta} \nabla_{e_\rho} \right) + (\nabla_{e_\beta} \theta^\rho) \nabla_{e_\rho} \right] \]
\[ = \theta^\beta \cdot \theta^\rho \left( \nabla_{e_\beta} \nabla_{e_\rho} \right) + \theta^\beta \wedge \left( - \Gamma_{\beta \alpha}^{\rho} \theta^\rho \right) \nabla_{e_\rho} \]
\[ + \theta^\beta \wedge \theta^\rho \left( \nabla_{e_\beta} \nabla_{e_\rho} \right) + \theta^\beta \wedge \left( \Gamma_{\beta \alpha}^{\rho} \theta^\rho \right) \nabla_{e_\rho} \]
\[ = g^{\beta \rho} \left[ \nabla_{e_\beta} \nabla_{e_\rho} - \Gamma_{\beta \rho}^{\alpha} \nabla_{e_\alpha} \right] + \theta^\beta \wedge \theta^\rho \left[ \nabla_{e_\beta} \nabla_{e_\rho} - \Gamma_{\beta \rho}^{\alpha} \nabla_{e_\alpha} \right]. \]
So, we have
\[ \mathbf{\theta}^2 = g^\beta_\rho \left[ \nabla_\beta \nabla_\rho - \Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\rho} \nabla_\alpha \right] + \theta^\alpha \wedge \theta^\rho \left[ \nabla_\beta \nabla_\rho - \Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\rho} \nabla_\alpha \right], \]  
where we wrote
\[ \theta^\alpha \left( -\Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\rho} \theta^\rho \right) \nabla_\rho = -\theta^\alpha \cdot \theta^\rho \Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\rho} \nabla_\rho = -g^\beta_\rho \Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\rho} \nabla_\alpha \]
and
\[ \theta^\alpha \wedge \left( -\Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\rho} \theta^\rho \right) \nabla_\rho = -\theta^\alpha \wedge \theta^\rho \Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\rho} \nabla_\rho = -\theta^\alpha \wedge \theta^\rho \Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\rho} \nabla_\alpha. \]

On the other hand, the second term of the right hand side of the Eq.(18) can be written as
\[ \theta^\alpha \wedge \theta^\rho \left[ \nabla_\beta \nabla_\rho - \Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\rho} \nabla_\alpha \right] = \frac{1}{2} \theta^\alpha \wedge \theta^\rho \left[ \nabla_\beta \nabla_\rho - \Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\rho} \nabla_\alpha \right] + \frac{1}{2} \theta^\alpha \wedge \theta^\rho \left[ \nabla_\rho \nabla_\beta - \Gamma^\alpha_{\rho\beta} \nabla_\alpha \right] \]
\[ = \frac{1}{2} \theta^\alpha \wedge \theta^\rho \left[ \nabla_\beta \nabla_\rho - \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta - \left( \Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\rho} - \Gamma^\alpha_{\rho\beta} \right) \nabla_\alpha \right]. \]

So, from the Eqs.(18) and (19) we get
\[ \mathbf{\theta}^2 = g^\beta_\rho \left[ \nabla_\beta \nabla_\rho - \Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\rho} \nabla_\alpha \right] \]
\[ + \frac{1}{2} \theta^\alpha \wedge \theta^\rho \left[ \nabla_\beta \nabla_\rho - \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta - \left( \Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\rho} - \Gamma^\alpha_{\rho\beta} \right) \nabla_\alpha \right]. \]

Now, let \( f \in \sec \bigwedge^0 TM \rightarrow \sec \text{Cl}(M, g) \). Then, using Eq.(20) we can calculate \( \mathbf{\theta}^2 f \) as follows.
\[ \mathbf{\theta}^2 f = g^\beta_\rho \left[ \nabla_\beta \nabla_\rho - \Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\rho} \nabla_\alpha \right] f \]
\[ + \frac{1}{2} \theta^\alpha \wedge \theta^\rho \left[ \nabla_\beta \nabla_\rho - \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta - \left( \Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\rho} - \Gamma^\alpha_{\rho\beta} \right) \nabla_\alpha \right] f. \]

On the other hand, observe that
\[ \nabla_\beta \nabla_\rho f - \nabla_\rho \nabla_\beta f = \left[ e_\beta, e_\rho \right] f = c^\alpha_{\beta\rho} e_\alpha (f), \]
and recalling that \( T^\alpha_{\beta\rho} \equiv \Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\rho} - \Gamma^\alpha_{\rho\beta} - c^\alpha_{\beta\rho} \), Eq.(21) can be written as
\[ \mathbf{\theta}^2 f = g^\beta_\rho \nabla_\beta \nabla_\rho f - g^\beta_\rho \Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\rho} e_\alpha (f) - \frac{1}{2} \theta^\alpha \wedge \theta^\rho T^\alpha_{\beta\rho} e_\alpha (f). \]

Note that, for the particular case of a coordinate basis, \( e_\alpha = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha } \), the \( c^\beta_{\beta\rho} = 0 \) and we have
\[ T^\alpha_{\beta\rho} \equiv \Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\rho} - \Gamma^\alpha_{\rho\beta}. \]

Now, we must show the equivalence of the Eqs.(22) and (16). For that, we use a well known relation between the covariant derivatives \( D \) and \( \nabla \) saying that (see, e.g., [14])
\[ K^\alpha_{\beta\rho} = \Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\rho} - \Gamma^\alpha_{\rho\beta}. \]
where $K^\alpha_{\beta \rho}$ is the so-called cotorsion tensor (see, e.g., [14]), given by
\[
K^\alpha_{\beta \rho} = -\frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha \sigma} \left[ g_{\mu \beta} T^\mu_{\sigma \rho} + g_{\mu \rho} T^\mu_{\beta \sigma} - g_{\mu \sigma} T^\mu_{\beta \rho} \right].
\] (24)

Comparing the Eq. (16) and Eq. (22), we must show that
\[
g^{\beta \rho} \tilde{\Gamma}^\alpha_{\beta \rho} e_\alpha (f) = g^{\beta \rho} \hat{\Gamma}^\alpha_{\beta \rho} e_\alpha (f) - T^\alpha_{\alpha \beta} g^{\beta \delta} e_\delta (f),
\] or
\[
g^{\beta \rho} \tilde{\Gamma}^\alpha_{\beta \rho} e_\alpha (f) = g^{\beta \rho} \hat{\Gamma}^\alpha_{\beta \rho} e_\alpha (f) - T^\alpha_{\alpha \beta} g^{\beta \rho} e_\rho (f).
\] (25)

From the Eq. (23), we see that
\[
g^{\beta \rho} \tilde{\Gamma}^\alpha_{\beta \rho} e_\alpha (f) = g^{\beta \rho} \hat{\Gamma}^\alpha_{\beta \rho} e_\alpha (f) + g^{\beta \rho} K^\alpha_{\beta \rho} e_\alpha (f).
\] (26)

The second term of the right side of Eq. (26) can be written as
\[
K^\alpha_{\beta \rho} g^{\beta \rho} = -\frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha \sigma} \left[ g_{\mu \beta} T^\mu_{\rho \sigma} + g_{\mu \rho} T^\mu_{\beta \sigma} - g_{\mu \sigma} T^\mu_{\beta \rho} \right] g^{\beta \rho} = -T^\rho_{\rho \sigma} g^{\sigma \alpha} + \frac{1}{2} T^\rho_{\beta \mu} g^{\beta \mu} - T^\rho_{\rho \sigma} g^{\sigma \alpha},
\] (27)

where we used the fact that $T^\rho_{\beta \mu} g^{\beta \mu} = 0$. Finally, inserting Eq. (27) into Eq. (26), we obtain
\[
g^{\beta \rho} \tilde{\Gamma}^\alpha_{\beta \rho} e_\alpha (f) = g^{\beta \rho} \hat{\Gamma}^\alpha_{\beta \rho} e_\alpha (f) - T^\rho_{\rho \sigma} g^{\sigma \alpha} e_\alpha (f),
\] and Eq. (25) is proved. Our result is to be compared with the one in [12], which is unfortunately equivocated.

**2.3 Relation Between the Dirac Operators Associated with $D$ and $\nabla$ for the Case of Null Strain Tensor**

Let us now write the square of the Dirac operator on a Riemann-Cartan space $(M, g, \nabla)$ in terms of the square of standard Dirac operator acting on a Riemannian (or Lorentzian) space(time) $(M, g, D)$, for the case of a null strain tensor.

We start recalling the well known relation between the connection coefficients (in an arbitrary basis) of a general Riemann-Cartan connection $\nabla$ and $D$, which in a is given by
\[
\Gamma^\rho_{\alpha \beta} = \tilde{\Gamma}^\rho_{\alpha \beta} + \frac{1}{2} T^\rho_{\alpha \beta} + \frac{1}{2} S^\rho_{\alpha \beta},
\] (29)

where as before $T^\rho_{\alpha \beta}$ are the components of the torsion tensor and $S^\rho_{\alpha \beta}$ are the components of the strain tensor of the connection (see details, e.g., in [14]). For what follows we are interested in the important case where $S^\rho_{\alpha \beta} = 0$, in which case Eq. (29) reduces to
\[
\Gamma^\rho_{\alpha \beta} = \hat{\Gamma}^\rho_{\alpha \beta} + \frac{1}{2} T^\rho_{\alpha \beta}.
\] (30)
Now, recalling that \( D_{e_\beta} e_\alpha = \tilde{\Gamma}_{\beta\alpha}^\rho e_\rho \), and \( \nabla_{e_\beta} e_\alpha = \Gamma_{\beta\alpha}^\rho e_\rho \) we have immediately

\[
\nabla_{e_\alpha} e_\beta = D_{e_\beta} e_\alpha + \frac{1}{2} \tau (e_\alpha, e_\beta) .
\]

(31)

where we recall that for any \( u, v \in \text{sec} TM \), with \( u = u^\alpha e_\alpha \) and \( v = v^\beta e_\beta \), the torsion operator is given by

\[
\tau (u, v) = \tau (u^\alpha e_\alpha, v^\beta e_\beta) = u^\alpha v^\beta \left[ \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\rho - \tilde{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}^\rho e_\rho \right] e_\rho = u^\alpha v^\beta T_{\alpha\beta}^\rho e_\rho, \quad (32)
\]

where \( c_{\alpha\beta} = [e_\alpha, e_\beta] \). Using Eq.(32) we can calculate \( \nabla_{e_\alpha} v \), in terms of \( D_{e_\alpha} v \).

We have:

\[
\nabla_{e_\alpha} v = D_{e_\alpha} v + \frac{1}{2} \tau (e_\alpha, v) .
\]

(33)

On the other hand, recalling Eqs. (1) and (3),

\[
\partial = \theta^\alpha D_{e_\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_\dagger = \theta^\alpha \nabla_{e_\alpha},
\]

we have for \( A \in \text{sec} TM \)

\[
\partial A = \partial_\dagger A + \frac{1}{2} \theta^\alpha \tau (e_\alpha, A) .
\]

(34)

**Remark 1** Notice that if \( \tau (u, v) = 0 \), then \( \partial = \partial_\dagger \).

Now, from Eq.(33) we can exhibit a relation between \( \partial^2 \) and \( \partial_\dagger^2 \), a task that is made easier if we use of a pair of dual orthonormal basis \( \{ e^a \} \) and \( \{ \theta^b \} \) for \( T U \) and \( T^* U \) (\( U \subset M \)). Indeed, from Eq.(18) we immediately have

\[
\partial^2 = \partial \cdot \partial + \partial \wedge \partial
= \eta^{ab} \left[ \nabla_{e_a} \nabla_{e_b} - \Gamma_{ab}^c e_c \right] + \theta^a \wedge \theta^b \left[ \nabla_{e_a} \nabla_{e_b} - \Gamma_{ab}^c e_c \right] ,
\]

(35)

where \( \eta^{ab} = \eta_{ab} = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1) \).

In order to write Eq.(35) in terms of \( D \), first we calculate \( \nabla_{e_\alpha} \nabla_{e_\alpha} A \) where \( A \in \text{sec} TM \), then from Eq.(33) we have

\[
\nabla_{e_\alpha} \nabla_{e_\alpha} A = D_{e_\alpha} D_{e_\alpha} A + \frac{1}{2} \tau (e_\alpha, D_{e_\alpha} A) + \frac{1}{2} D_{e_\alpha} \tau (e_\alpha, A) + \frac{1}{4} \tau (e_\alpha, \tau (e_\alpha, A)) .
\]

(36)

On the other hand

\[
\Gamma_{ab}^c \nabla_{e_\alpha} A = \left( \tilde{\Gamma}_{ab}^c + \frac{1}{2} T_{ab}^c \right) \left( D_{e_\alpha} A + \frac{1}{2} \tau (e_\alpha, A) \right) .
\]

(37)
and from Eq.(36) and Eq.(37) we can write
\[
\partial \cdot \partial A = \eta^{ab} \left\{ D_{ea} D_{eb} A + \frac{1}{2} \tau (e_a, D_{eb} A) + \frac{1}{2} D_{ea} \tau (e_b, A) + \frac{1}{4} \tau (e_a, \tau (e_b, A)) - \left( \Gamma^c_{ab} + \frac{1}{2} T^c_{ab} \right) (D_{ec} A + \frac{1}{2} \tau (e_c, A)) \right\}
\]
\[
= \eta^{ab} \left\{ D_{ea} D_{eb} A - \Gamma^c_{ab} D_{eb} A + \frac{1}{2} \tau (e_a, D_{eb} A) + \frac{1}{2} D_{ea} \tau (e_b, A) + \frac{1}{4} \tau (e_a, \tau (e_b, A)) - \frac{1}{2} T^c_{ab} \tau (e_c, A) \right\}
\]
\[
\partial \cdot \partial A = \eta^{ab} \left\{ \phi \cdot \phi A + \frac{1}{2} \tau (e_a, D_{eb} A) + \frac{1}{2} D_{ea} \tau (e_b, A) - \frac{1}{2} T^c_{ab} D_{eb} A + \frac{1}{4} \tau (e_a, \tau (e_b, A)) - \frac{1}{2} T^c_{ab} \tau (e_c, A) \right\}.
\]
Thus, using Eq.(38) and recalling that \(\partial^2 = \partial \cdot \partial + \partial \wedge \partial\) we get
\[
\partial^2 A = (\phi)^2 A + g^{ab} S_1 A + g^a \wedge g^b S_1 A,
\]
where
\[
S_1 A = \frac{1}{2} \tau (e_a, D_{eb} A) + \frac{1}{2} D_{ea} \tau (e_b, A) - \frac{1}{2} T^c_{ab} D_{eb} A + \frac{1}{4} \tau (e_a, \tau (e_b, A)) - \frac{1}{4} T^c_{ab} \tau (e_c, A).
\]

3 Spinor Bundles and Spinor Fields

In what follows we assume that \((M, g)\) is a 4-dimensional spin manifold representing a spacetime \([9,14]\). We start by recalling the noticeable results of \([10,14]\) on the possibility of defining “unit sections” on various different vector bundles associated with the principal bundle \(P_{\text{Spin}^c_3}(M)\), the covering of \(P_{SO_1,3}(M)\), the orthonormal frame bundle.

Let
\[
\Phi_i : \pi^{-1} (U_i) \to U_i \times \text{Spin}^c_{1,3}, \quad \Phi_j : \pi^{-1} (U_j) \to U_j \times \text{Spin}^c_{1,3},
\]
be two local trivializations for \(P_{\text{Spin}^c_{1,3}}(M)\), with
\[
\Phi_i (u) = (\pi (u) = x, \phi_{i,x} (u)), \quad \Phi_j (u) = (\pi (u) = x, \phi_{j,x} (u)).
\]
Recall that the transition function \(h_{ij} : U_i \cap U_j \to \text{Spin}^c_{1,3}\) is then given by
\[
h_{ij} (x) = \phi_{i,x} \circ \phi_{j,x}^{-1},
\]
which does not depend on \(u\).

**Proposition 5** \(\text{Cl}(M, g)\) has a naturally defined global unit section\(^2\)

\(^2\)Recall that in a spin manifold the Clifford bundle \(\text{Cl}(M, g)\) can also be an associated vector bundle to the principal bundle \(P_{\text{Spin}^c_{1,3}}\), i.e., \(\text{Cl}^c_{\text{Spin}^c_{1,3}} (M, g) = P_{\text{Spin}^c_{1,3}} \times A_{d} \text{R}_{1,3}\). See details in, e.g., \([9,14]\).
Proof. For the associated bundle $\mathcal{C}l(M, \mathfrak{g})$, the transition functions corresponding to local trivializations
\[ \Psi_i : \pi^{-1}_c(U_i) \to U_i \times \mathbb{R}_{1,3}, \quad \Psi_j : \pi^{-1}_c(U_j) \to U_j \times \mathbb{R}_{1,3} \]
are given by $h_{ij}(x) = Ad_{h_{ij}(x)}$. Define the local sections
\[ 1_i(x) = \Psi_i^{-1}(x, 1), \quad 1_j(x) = \Psi_j^{-1}(x, 1), \]
where 1 is the unit element of $\mathbb{R}_{1,3}$ (the spacetime algebra, see [14]). Since
\[ h_{ij}(x) \cdot 1 = Ad_{h_{ij}(x)}(1) = h_{ij}(x) 1 h_{ij}(x)^{-1} = 1, \]
we see that the expressions above uniquely define a global section $1 \in \mathcal{C}l(M, \mathfrak{g})$ with $1|_{U_i} = 1_i$. This proves the proposition. ■

Definition 4 The left real spin-Clifford bundle of $M$ is the vector bundle
\[ \mathcal{C}l^l_{\text{Spin}_{1,3}}(M, \mathfrak{g}) = P_{\text{Spin}_{1,3}} \times I \mathbb{R}_{1,3} \]
where $l$ is the representation of $\text{Spin}_{1,3}$ on $\mathbb{R}_{1,3}$ given by $l(a)x = ax$. Sections of $\mathcal{C}l^l_{\text{Spin}_{1,3}}(M, \mathfrak{g})$ are called left spin-Clifford fields. In a similar way a right spin-Clifford bundle $\mathcal{C}l^r_{\text{Spin}_{1,3}}(M, \mathfrak{g})$ is defined, see [10][13].

Remark 2 It is clear that the above proposition can be immediately generalized for the Clifford bundle $\mathcal{C}l_{p, q}(M, \mathfrak{g})$, of any $n$-dimensional manifold endowed with a metric of arbitrary signature $(p, q)$ (where $n = p + q$). Now, we observe also that the left (and also the right) spin-Clifford bundle can be generalized in an obvious way for any spin manifold of arbitrary finite dimension $n = p + q$, with a metric of arbitrary signature $(p, q)$. However, another important difference between $\mathcal{C}l_{p, q}(M, \mathfrak{g})$ and $\mathcal{C}l^l_{\text{Spin}_{p, q}}(M, \mathfrak{g})$ or $\mathcal{C}l^r_{\text{Spin}_{p, q}}(M, \mathfrak{g})$ is that these latter bundles only admit a global unit section if they are trivial.

Proposition 6 There exists an unit section on $\mathcal{C}l^l_{\text{Spin}_{p, q}}(M, \mathfrak{g})$ (and also on $\mathcal{C}l^r_{\text{Spin}_{p, q}}(M, \mathfrak{g})$) if, and only if, $P_{\text{Spin}_{p, q}}(M)$ is trivial.

Proof. We show the necessity for the case of $\mathcal{C}l^l_{\text{Spin}_{p, q}}(M, \mathfrak{g})$\footnote{The proof for the case of $\mathcal{C}l^r_{\text{Spin}_{p, q}}(M, \mathfrak{g})$ is analogous.} the sufficiency is trivial. For $\mathcal{C}l^l_{\text{Spin}_{p, q}}(M, \mathfrak{g})$ the transition functions, corresponding to local trivializations
\[ \zeta_i : \pi^{-1}_{sc}(U_i) \to U_i \times \mathbb{R}_{p, q}, \quad \zeta_j : \pi^{-1}_{sc}(U_j) \to U_j \times \mathbb{R}_{p, q} \]
are given by $k_{ij}(x) = R_{h_{ij}(x)}$, with $R_a : \mathbb{R}_{p, q} \to \mathbb{R}_{p, q}, x \mapsto xa^{-1}$. Let 1 be the unit element of $\mathbb{R}_{p, q}$. An unit section in $\mathcal{C}l^l_{\text{Spin}_{p, q}}(M, \mathfrak{g})$—if it exists—is written in terms of these two trivializations as
\[ 1_i(x) = \zeta_i^{-1}(x, 1), \quad 1_j(x) = \zeta_j^{-1}(x, 1). \]
and we must have $1_i (x) = 1_j (x) \forall x \in U_i \cap U_j$. As $\zeta_i (1_i (x)) = (x, 1) = \zeta_j (1_j (x))$, we have $1_i (x) = 1_j (x) \Leftrightarrow 1 = k_{ij} (x) \cdot 1 \Leftrightarrow 1 = k_{ij} (x) \Leftrightarrow h_{ij} (x) = 1$. This proves the proposition. ■

We now, recall without proof a theorem (see Geroch [8]) that is crucial for these theories.

**Theorem 1** For a 4-dimensional Lorentzian manifold $(M, g)$, a spin structure exists if and only if $P_{SO^{1,3}} (M)$ is a trivial bundle.

Recall that a principal bundle is trivial, if and only if, it admits a global section. Therefore, Geroch’s result says that a (non-compact) spacetime admits a spin structure, if and only if, it admits a (globally defined) Lorentz frame. In fact, it is possible to replace $P_{SO^{1,3}} (M)$ by $P_{Spin^{1,3}} (M)$ in the above theorem. In this way, when a (non-compact) spacetime admits a spin structure, the bundle $P_{Spin^{1,3}} (M)$ is trivial and, therefore, every bundle associated with it is trivial. For general spin manifolds, the bundle $P_{Spin^{p,q}} (M)$ is not necessarily trivial for arbitrary $(p, q)$, but Geroch’s theorem warrants that, for the special case $(p, q) = (1, 3)$ with $M$ non-compact, $P_{Spin^{1,3}} (M)$ is trivial. Then the above proposition implies that $C_\ell^0 (Spin^{1,3}) (M, g)$ and also on $C_\ell^0 (Spin^{1,3}) (M, g)$ have global "unit section". It is most important to note, however, that each different choice of a (global) trivialization $\zeta_i$ on $C_\ell^0 (Spin^{1,3}) (M, g)$ (respectively $C_\ell^0 (Spin^{1,3}) (M, g)$) induces a different global unit section $1_i$ (respectively $1_i^1$). Therefore, even in this case there is no canonical unit section on $C_\ell^0 (Spin^{p,q}) (M, g)$ (respectively on $C_\ell^0 (Spin^{1,3}) (M, g)$).

Then, when a (non-compact) spacetime $M$ is a spin manifold, the bundle $P_{Spin^{1,3}} (M)$ admits global sections. With this in mind, let us fix a spin frame $\Xi$ and its dual spin coframe $\Xi$ for $M$. This induces a global trivialization for $P_{Spin^{1,3}} (M)$ and of course of $P_{Spin^{1,3}} (M)$. We the trivialization of $P_{Spin^{1,3}} (M)$ by

$$\Phi_\Xi : P_{Spin^{1,3}} (M) \to M \times Spin^{1,3},$$

with $\Phi_\Xi^{-1} (x, 1) = \Xi (x)$. We recall that a spin coframe $\Xi \in sec P_{Spin^{1,3}} (M)$ can also be used to induce a certain fiducial global section on the various vector bundles associated with $P_{Spin^{1,3}} (M)$:

i) $C_\ell (M, g)$

Let $\{E^a\}$ be a fixed orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^{1,3} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{1,3}$ (which can be thought of as the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$, the Minkowski vector space). We define basis sections in $C_\ell (M, g) = P_{Spin^{1,3}} (M) \times_{Ad} \mathbb{R}_{1,3}$ by $\theta_a (x) = [(\Xi (x), E_a)]$. Of course, this induces a multiform basis $\{\theta_1 (x)\}$ for each $x \in M$. Note that a more precise notation for $\theta_a$ would be, for instance, $\theta_a (\Xi)$.  

ii) $C_\ell^0 (Spin^{1,3}) (M, g)$
Let $1^r_x \in \mathcal{Cl}^r_{\text{Spin}_{1,3}}(M, g)$ be defined by $1^r_x (x) \in [(\Xi (x), 1)]$. Then the natural right action of $\mathbb{R}_{1,3}$ on $\mathcal{Cl}^r_{\text{Spin}_{1,3}}(M, g)$ leads to $1^r_x (x) a \in [(\Xi (x), a)]$ for all $a \in \mathbb{R}_{1,3}$.

iii) $\mathcal{Cl}^r_{\text{Spin}_{1,3}}(M, g)$

Let $1^r_x \in \mathcal{Cl}^r_{\text{Spin}_{1,3}}(M, g)$ be defined by $1^r_x (x) \in [(\Xi (x), 1)]$. Then the natural left action of $\mathbb{R}_{1,3}$ on $\mathcal{Cl}^r_{\text{Spin}_{1,3}}(M, g)$ leads to $1^r_x (x) a \in [(\Xi (x), a)]$ for all $a \in \mathbb{R}_{1,3}$.

We now introduce without proof, some propositions which are crucial for our calculations (for details, see [14]).

**Proposition 7**

a) $E_a = 1^r_x (x) \theta_a (x) 1^l_x (x), \quad \forall x \in M,$

b) $1^l_x (x) 1^r_x (x) = 1 \in \mathcal{Cl}(M, g),$

c) $1^r_x (x) 1^l_x (x) = 1 \in \mathbb{R}_{1,3}.$

**Proposition 8** Let $\Xi, \Xi' \in \text{sec} P_{\text{Spin}_{1,3}}(M)$ be two spin coframes related by $\Xi' = \Xi u$, where $u : M \to \text{Spin}_{1,3}$. Then

\[
tilde{a}) \quad \theta_a^r = U \theta_a U^{-1} \\
\tilde{b}) \quad 1^l_x = 1^l_x u = U 1^l_x \\
\tilde{c}) \quad 1^r_x = u^{-1} 1^r_x = 1^r_x U^{-1}
\]

where $U \in \text{sec} \mathcal{Cl}(M, g)$ is the Clifford field associated with $u$ by $U(x) = [(\Xi (x), u(x))]$. Also, $b)$ and $c)$, $u$ and $u^{-1}$ respectively act on $1^l_x \in \mathcal{Cl}^l_{\text{Spin}_{1,3}}(M, g)$ and $1^r_x \in \mathcal{Cl}^r_{\text{Spin}_{1,3}}(M, g)$.

### 3.1 Covariant Derivatives of Clifford and Spinor Fields

Since the Clifford bundle of differential forms is $\mathcal{Cl}(M, g) = \tau M/J_\mathbb{R}$, it is clear that any linear connection $\nabla$ on the tensor bundle of covariant tensors $\tau M$ which is metric compatible ($\nabla g = 0$) passes to the quotient $\tau M/J_\mathbb{R}$, and thus define an algebra bundle connection [4]. On the other hand, the spinor bundle $\mathcal{Cl}^l_{\text{Spin}_{1,3}}(M, g)$ and $\mathcal{Cl}^r_{\text{Spin}_{1,3}}(M, g)$ are vector bundles, thus as in the case of Clifford fields we can use the general theory of covariant derivative operators on associated vector bundles to obtain formulas for the covariant derivatives on sections of these bundles. Given $\Psi \in \mathcal{Cl}^l_{\text{Spin}_{1,3}}(M, g)$ and $\Phi \in \mathcal{Cl}^r_{\text{Spin}_{1,3}}(M, g)$, we denote the corresponding covariant derivatives by $\nabla^\Phi \Psi$ and $\nabla^\Psi \Phi$.

We now recall some important formulas, without proof, concerning the covariant derivatives of Clifford and spinor fields (for details see [14]).

**Proposition 9** The covariant derivative (in a given gauge) of a Clifford field $A \in \mathcal{Cl}(M, g)$, in the direction of the vector field $V \in \text{sec} TM$ is given by

\[
\nabla_V A = \partial_V (A) + \frac{1}{2} [\omega_V, A],
\]

where $\omega_V : \mathcal{Cl}(M, g) \to \mathcal{Cl}(M, g)$ is the skew-symmetric covariant derivative operator associated with $V$.
where $\omega_V$ is the usual $\bigwedge^2 T^* M$-valued connection 1-form evaluated at the vector field $V \in \sec TM$ written in the basis $\{\theta_\alpha\}$ and, if $A = A^I \theta_I$, then $\partial_V$ is the (Pfaff) derivative operator such that $\partial_V(A) \equiv V(A^I) \theta_I$.

**Corollary 1** The covariant derivative $\nabla_V$ on $\mathcal{C}l(M, g)$ acts as a derivation on the algebra of sections, i.e., for $A, B \in \mathcal{C}l(M, g)$ and $V \in \sec TM$, it holds

$$\nabla_V(AB) = \nabla_V(A)B + A \nabla_V(B) \quad (47)$$

**Proposition 10** Given $\Psi \in \mathcal{C}l^f_{\text{Spin}^R,3}(M, g)$ and $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}l^r_{\text{Spin}^R,3}(M, g)$ we have,

$$\nabla_V^f \Psi = \partial_V(\Psi) + \frac{1}{2} \omega_V \Psi, \quad (48)$$

$$\nabla_V^r \Phi = \partial_V(\Phi) - \frac{1}{2} \Phi \omega_V. \quad (49)$$

Now recalling that $\mathcal{C}l^f_{\text{Spin}^R,3}(M, g)$ (or $\mathcal{C}l^r_{\text{Spin}^R,3}(M, g)$) is a module over $\mathcal{C}l(M, g)$, we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 11** Let $\nabla$ be the connection on $\mathcal{C}l(M, g)$ to which $\nabla^s$ is related. Then, for any $V \in \sec TM$, $A \in \mathcal{C}l(M, g)$, $\Psi \in \mathcal{C}l^f_{\text{Spin}^R,3}(M, g)$ and $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}l^r_{\text{Spin}^R,3}(M, g)$,

$$\nabla_V^f(A\Psi) = A \nabla_V^f(\Psi) + \nabla_V(\Phi) \Psi, \quad (50)$$

$$\nabla_V^r(A\Phi) = \Phi \nabla_V(\Phi) + \nabla_V^s(\Phi) A. \quad (51)$$

**Proposition 12** ([11]) Let $1^f_\Xi \in \mathcal{C}l^f_{\text{Spin}^R,3}(M, g)$ and $1^r_\Xi \in \mathcal{C}l^r_{\text{Spin}^R,3}(M, g)$ be the right and left unit section associated with spin coframe $\Xi$. Then

$$\nabla^s_{e_a} 1^f_\Xi = -\frac{1}{2} 1^r_\Xi \omega_a, \quad \nabla^s_{e_a} 1^r_\Xi = \frac{1}{2} \omega_a 1^f_\Xi. \quad (52)$$

### 3.2 Spin-Dirac Operator

Let $\{\theta^a\} \in \sec P_{\text{SO}^R,3}(M)$, such that for $\Xi \in \sec P_{\text{Spin}^R,3}(M)$, we have (see, e.g., [14]) $s : \sec P_{\text{Spin}^R,3}(M) \to \sec P_{\text{SO}^R,3}(M)$ by

$$s(\Xi) = \{\theta^a\}, \theta^a \in \mathcal{C}l(M, g), \theta^a(e_b) = \delta_b^a, \quad \theta^a \theta^b + \theta^b \theta^a = 2\eta^{ab}, \quad a, b = 0, 1, 2, 3.$$

**Definition 5** The spin-Dirac operator acting on section of $\mathcal{C}l^f_{\text{Spin}^R,3}(M, g)$ (or $\mathcal{C}l^r_{\text{Spin}^R,3}(M, g)$) on a Riemann-Cartan spacetime is the first order differential operator

$$\mathcal{D}^s = \theta^a \nabla^s_{e_a} \quad (53)$$

where $\{e_a\}$ and $\{\theta^a\}$ are any pair of dual basis, and $\nabla^s_{e_a}$ is given by Eqs. (48) and (49).
3.3 Representative of the spin-Dirac operator on $\mathcal{C}\ell(M, g)$

In \cite{10, 14} it was shown in details that any spinor field $\Psi \in \text{sec} \mathcal{C}\ell_{\text{Spin}^e_{1,3}}(M, g)$ can be represented (once a spin frame is selected) by $\psi_\Xi \in \text{sec} \mathcal{C}\ell^{(0)}(M, g)$, called a representative of the spinor field in the Clifford bundle, and such that

$$\psi_\Xi = \Psi 1^r_\Xi, \quad (54)$$

with $1^r_\Xi \in \text{sec} \mathcal{C}\ell^{r}_{\text{Spin}^e_{1,3}}(M, g)$ a "unit" section. It was found that the representative of $\partial^s$ acting on $\Psi$ is $\partial^s = \partial^a \nabla_{e^a}^{(s)}$ acting on $\psi_\Xi \in \text{sec} \mathcal{C}\ell(M, g)$ where $\nabla_{e}^{(s)}$ is an "effective (spinorial) covariant derivative" acting on $\psi_\Xi$ by

$$\nabla_{e}^{(s)} \psi_\Xi := \nabla_{e_{a}} \psi_\Xi + \frac{1}{2} \psi_\Xi \omega_{e_{a}}, \quad (55)$$

from where it follows that

$$\nabla_{e_{a}}^{(s)} \psi_\Xi = \partial_{e_{a}} (\psi_\Xi) + \frac{1}{2} \omega_{e_{a}} \psi_\Xi, \quad (56)$$

which emulates the spinorial covariant derivative, as it should. We observe moreover that if $C \in \text{sec} \mathcal{C}\ell(M, g)$ and if $\psi_\Xi \in \text{sec} \mathcal{C}\ell^{(0)}(M, g)$ is a representative of a Dirac-Hestenes spinor field then

$$\nabla_{e_{a}}^{(s)} (C \psi_\Xi) = (\nabla_{e_{a}} C) \psi_\Xi + C \nabla_{e_{a}}^{(s)} \psi_\Xi. \quad (57)$$

4 Maxwell Equation on $\mathcal{C}\ell(M, g)$ and on $\mathcal{C}\ell^{r}_{\text{Spin}^e_{1,3}}(M, g)$

As a useful example of the analogies and differences between the Clifford and spin-Clifford bundles, we consider how to write the Maxwell equation in both formalisms.

The Maxwell equation in the Clifford bundle can be written, as well known (see, e.g., \cite{14}),

$$\partial F = J_e \quad (58)$$

where $F \in \text{sec} \bigwedge^2 T^* M \hookrightarrow \text{sec} \mathcal{C}\ell(M, g)$ and $J_e \in \text{sec} \bigwedge^1 T^* M \hookrightarrow \text{sec} \mathcal{C}\ell(M, g)$.

Now, let $\psi_\Xi = F 1^r_\Xi$ with $1^r_\Xi \in \text{sec} \mathcal{C}\ell^{r}_{\text{Spin}^e_{1,3}}(M, g)$. Then, recalling that $\mathcal{C}\ell^{r}_{\text{Spin}^e_{1,3}}(M, g)$ is a module over $\mathcal{C}\ell(M, g)$, we have $\psi_\Xi \in \text{sec} \mathcal{C}\ell^{r}_{\text{Spin}^e_{1,3}}(M, g)$, and recalling that $\nabla_{e_{a}} 1^r_\Xi = -\frac{1}{2} 1^r_\Xi \omega_{e_{a}}$, we have

$$\nabla_{e_{a}} \psi_\Xi = \nabla_{e_{a}}^s (F 1^r_\Xi) = (\nabla_{e_{a}} F) 1^r_\Xi + F (\nabla_{e_{a}} 1^r_\Xi) = (\nabla_{e_{a}} F) 1^r_\Xi - \frac{1}{2} F 1^r_\Xi \omega_{e_{a}}$$

or

$$\theta^a \nabla_{e_{a}}^s \psi_\Xi = (\theta^a \nabla_{e_{a}} F) 1^r_\Xi - \frac{1}{2} \theta^a F 1^r_\Xi \omega_{e_{a}}$$

\footnote{$\mathcal{C}\ell^{(0)}(M, g)$ denotes the even subbundle of $\mathcal{C}\ell(M, g)$.}
from where
\[ \partial^s \psi = (\partial F)^r e_\Xi - \frac{1}{2} \theta a \psi \omega e_a \]
and using Eq.(58) we end with
\[ \partial^s \psi + \frac{1}{2} \theta a \psi \omega e_a = J e_\Xi \]
(59)
where, of course, \( J e_\Xi \in \sec Cl_{\text{Spin}_3}(M, g) \). Eq.(59) is Maxwell equation written in a spin-Clifford bundle, obviously equivalent to the Maxwell equation written in the Clifford bundle.

Note that we can immediately recover Eq.(58) from Eq.(59). Indeed, if \( \psi = F e_\Xi \) satisfies Eq.(59) we can write
\[ \theta a \nabla^s (F e_\Xi)^r = J e_\Xi - \frac{1}{2} \theta a F e_\Xi \omega e_a. \]
Then
\[ (\theta a \nabla^s e_a F)^r e_\Xi + \theta a F \nabla^s e_a e_\Xi = J e_\Xi + \theta a F \nabla^s e_a e_\Xi, \]
from where
\[ (\partial F)^r e_\Xi = J e_\Xi, \]
and multiplying the above equation by \( e_\Xi \) on the right, we obtain the Eq. (58).

5 The Square of the spin-Dirac Operator on a Riemann-Cartan Spacetime and the Generalized Lichnerowicz Formula

5.1 Commutator of Covariant Derivatives of Spinor Fields

In this section we the commutator of the representatives of covariant derivatives of spinor fields and the square of the spin-Dirac operator of a Riemann-Cartan spacetime leading to the generalized Lichnerowicz formula. Let \( \psi \in \sec Cl(M, g) \) be a representative of a DHSF in a given spin frame \( \Xi \) defining the orthonormal basis \( \{ e_a \} \) for \( TM \) and a corresponding dual basis \( \{ \theta a \} \), \( \theta a \in \sec \bigwedge^1 T^* M \hookrightarrow \sec Cl(M, g) \). Let moreover, \( \{ \theta a \} \) be the reciprocal basis of \( \{ e_a \} \). We show that\(^5\)

\[ [\nabla^{(s)} e_a, \nabla^{(s)} e_b] \psi = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{R}(\theta a \wedge \theta b) \psi - (T^e_{ab} + \omega^e_{ab} + e^e_{ba}) \nabla^{(s)} e_a \psi, \]
(60)
where the biform valued curvature extensor field is (see details in \[14\]) is given by
\[ \mathcal{R}(u \wedge v) = \nabla u \omega v - \nabla v \omega u - \frac{1}{2} [\omega u, \omega v] - \omega[u, v], \]
(61)
\(^5\)Compare Eq.(60) with Eq.(6.4.54) of Rammond’s book \[11\], where there is a missing term.
with \( u = g(u) \), \( v = g(v) \), and \( u, v \in \sec TM \). Also \( \omega_v \) is the \( \bigwedge^2 T^* M \)-valued connection (in the gauge defined by \( \{ \theta_a \} \)) evaluated at \( v \).

Let us calculate \([\nabla^{(s)}_u, \nabla^{(s)}_v] \psi\). Taking into account that \( \nabla^{(s)}_u \psi = \nabla_u \psi + \frac{1}{2} \psi \omega_u \), we have

\[
\nabla^{(s)}_u \nabla^{(s)}_v \psi = \nabla_u \nabla_v \psi + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_v \psi) \omega_u + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_u \psi) \omega_v + \frac{1}{4} \omega_v \omega_u + \frac{1}{2} \psi \nabla_u \omega_v.
\]

Then,

\[
[\nabla^{(s)}_u, \nabla^{(s)}_v] \psi = [\nabla_u, \nabla_v] \psi + \frac{1}{2} \psi (\nabla_u \omega_v - \nabla_v \omega_u) - \frac{1}{2} [\omega_u, \omega_v]
\]

From Eq. (62), the Eq. (60) follows trivially.

### 5.2 The Generalized Lichnerowicz Formula

In this section we calculate the square of the spin-Dirac operator on a Riemann-Cartan spacetime acting on a representative \( \psi \) of the \( \Psi \in \sec \mathcal{C}^{(s)}_{\text{Spin}^c} (M, g) \).

**Proposition 13**

\[
\left( \theta^{(s)} \right)^2 \psi = (\eta^{ab} \nabla^{(s)}_{e_a} - \eta^{ac} \omega^{(s)}_{ac}) \nabla^{(s)}_{e_b} \psi + \frac{1}{4} R^c \psi + J \psi - \Theta^c \nabla^{(s)}_{e_c} \psi
\]

**Proof.** Taking notice that since \( \theta^b \in \sec \mathcal{C}^{(s)}_\mathcal{M} \), then \( \nabla^{(s)}_{e_a} \theta^b = \nabla_{e_a} \theta^b \), we have

\[
\left( \theta^{(s)} \right)^2 = \left( \theta^a \nabla^{(s)}_{e_a} \right) \left( \theta^b \nabla^{(s)}_{e_b} \right)
\]

\[
= \theta^a \cdot \left( \nabla_{e_a} \theta^b \right) \nabla^{(s)}_{e_b} + \theta^b \nabla^{(s)}_{e_a} \nabla_{e_b}^{(s)}
\]

\[
= \theta^a \cdot \left( \nabla_{e_a} \theta^b \right) \nabla^{(s)}_{e_b} + \theta^b \nabla^{(s)}_{e_a} \nabla_{e_b}^{(s)}
\]

(63)

and since \( \nabla_{e_a} \theta^b = -\omega^{(s)}_{ac} \theta^c \) we get after some algebra

\[
\left( \theta^{(s)} \right)^2 = \eta^{ab} \left( \nabla^{(s)}_{e_a} \nabla^{(s)}_{e_b} - \omega^{(s)}_{ab} \nabla^{(s)}_{e_c} \right) + \theta^a \cdot \theta^b \left( \nabla^{(s)}_{e_a} \nabla^{(s)}_{e_b} - \omega^{(s)}_{ab} \nabla^{(s)}_{e_c} \right).
\]

(64)
Now, we define the operator
\[ \mathcal{D}^{(s)} \cdot \mathcal{D}^{(s)} = \eta^{ab} \left[ \nabla_{e_a}^{(s)} \nabla_{e_b}^{(s)} - \omega_{ab}^{c} \nabla_{e_c}^{(s)} \right], \tag{65} \]
which may be called the \textit{generalized spin Dalembertian}, and the operator
\[ \mathcal{D}^{(s)} \wedge \mathcal{D}^{(s)} = \theta^a \wedge \theta^b \left[ \nabla_{e_a}^{(s)} \nabla_{e_b}^{(s)} - \omega_{ab}^{c} \nabla_{e_c}^{(s)} \right], \tag{66} \]
which will be called \textit{twisted curvature operator}. Then, we can write
\[ \left( \mathcal{D}^{(s)} \right)^2 = \mathcal{D}^{(s)} \cdot \mathcal{D}^{(s)} + \mathcal{D}^{(s)} \wedge \mathcal{D}^{(s)}. \tag{67} \]
On the other hand, we have
\[ \mathcal{D}^{(s)} \cdot \mathcal{D}^{(s)} = \left[ \eta^{ab} \nabla_{e_a}^{(s)} - \eta^{ac} \omega_{ac}^{b} \right] \nabla_{e_b}^{(s)}, \tag{68} \]
and
\[ \mathcal{D}^{(s)} \wedge \mathcal{D}^{(s)} = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}^{(s)} \wedge \mathcal{D}^{(s)} + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}^{(s)} \wedge \mathcal{D}^{(s)} \]
\[ = \frac{1}{2} \theta^a \wedge \theta^b \left[ \nabla_{e_a}^{(s)} \nabla_{e_b}^{(s)} - \omega_{ab}^{c} \nabla_{e_c}^{(s)} \right] + \frac{1}{2} \theta^a \wedge \theta^b \left[ \nabla_{e_b}^{(s)} \nabla_{e_a}^{(s)} - \omega_{ab}^{c} \nabla_{e_c}^{(s)} \right] \]
\[ = \frac{1}{2} \theta^a \wedge \theta^b \left[ \nabla_{e_a}^{(s)} \nabla_{e_b}^{(s)} - \nabla_{e_b}^{(s)} \nabla_{e_a}^{(s)} - (\omega_{ab}^{c} - \omega_{ba}^{c}) \nabla_{e_c}^{(s)} \right] \]
\[ = \frac{1}{2} \theta^a \wedge \theta^b \left[ \nabla_{e_a}^{(s)} \nabla_{e_b}^{(s)} - \nabla_{e_b}^{(s)} \nabla_{e_a}^{(s)} - (\omega_{ab}^{c} - \omega_{ba}^{c}) \nabla_{e_c}^{(s)} \right]. \tag{69} \]
Taking into account that \( T_{ab}^{e} = \omega_{ab}^{c} - \omega_{ba}^{c} - \epsilon_{ab}^{c} \), we have from Eq.\,(65) and Eq.\,(69) that
\[ \left( \mathcal{D}^{(s)} \right)^2 = \left[ \eta^{ab} \nabla_{e_a}^{(s)} - \eta^{ac} \omega_{ac}^{b} \right] \nabla_{e_b}^{(s)} + \frac{1}{2} \theta^a \wedge \theta^b \left[ \nabla_{e_a}^{(s)} \nabla_{e_b}^{(s)} - \nabla_{e_b}^{(s)} \nabla_{e_a}^{(s)} - (\omega_{ab}^{c} - \omega_{ba}^{c}) \nabla_{e_c}^{(s)} \right]. \tag{70} \]
On the other hand, from Eq.\,(60), we have
\[ \left[ \nabla_{e_a}^{(s)} , \nabla_{e_b}^{(s)} \right] \psi = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{R} (\theta_a \wedge \theta_b) \psi + \epsilon_{ab}^{c} \nabla_{e_c}^{(s)} \psi \]
and then Eq.\,(70) becomes
\[ \left( \mathcal{D}^{(s)} \right)^2 \psi = \left[ \eta^{ab} \nabla_{e_a}^{(s)} - \eta^{ac} \omega_{ac}^{b} \right] \nabla_{e_b}^{(s)} \psi + \frac{1}{4} (\theta^a \wedge \theta^b) \mathcal{R} (\theta_a \wedge \theta_b) \psi \]
\[ - \frac{1}{2} \theta^a \wedge \theta^b T_{ab}^{c} \nabla_{e_c}^{(s)} \psi \]
\[ = \left[ \eta^{ab} \nabla_{e_a}^{(s)} - \eta^{ac} \omega_{ac}^{b} \right] \nabla_{e_b}^{(s)} \psi + \frac{1}{4} (\theta^a \wedge \theta^b) \mathcal{R} (\theta_a \wedge \theta_b) \psi - \Theta^{c} \nabla_{e_c}^{(s)} \psi. \]
We need to compute \( (\theta^a \wedge \theta^b) \mathcal{R} (\theta_a \wedge \theta_b) \). We have
\[ (\theta^a \wedge \theta^b) \mathcal{R} (\theta_a \wedge \theta_b) = ((\theta^a \wedge \theta^b) \mathcal{R} (\theta_a \wedge \theta_b))_0 + ((\theta^a \wedge \theta^b) \mathcal{R} (\theta_a \wedge \theta_b))_2 \]
\[ + ((\theta^a \wedge \theta^b) \mathcal{R} (\theta_a \wedge \theta_b))_4. \]
Now, we get
\[ \langle (\theta^a \wedge \theta^b) \mathcal{R} (\theta_a \wedge \theta_b) \rangle_0 := (\theta^a \wedge \theta^b) \mathcal{R} (\theta_a \wedge \theta_b) = - (\theta^a \wedge \theta^b) \cdot \mathcal{R} (\theta_a \wedge \theta_b) = R. \]

Also,
\[ \langle (\theta^a \wedge \theta^b) \mathcal{R} (\theta_a \wedge \theta_b) \rangle_2 = \theta^a \wedge (\theta^b \mathcal{R} (\theta_a \wedge \theta_b)) + \theta^a \wedge (\theta^b \mathcal{R} (\theta_a \wedge \theta_b)) \]
and recalling the identity (see [14])
\[ \theta^a \mathcal{R} (\theta_b \wedge \theta^c \mathcal{R} (\theta_a \wedge \theta_b)) = (\theta^a \cdot \theta^b) \mathcal{R} (\theta_a \wedge \theta_b), \]
it follows that
\[ \langle (\theta^a \wedge \theta^b) \mathcal{R} (\theta_a \wedge \theta_b) \rangle_2 = (\theta^a \cdot \theta^b) \mathcal{R} (\theta_a \wedge \theta_b) = \eta^{ab} \mathcal{R} (\theta_a \wedge \theta_b) = 0. \]

It remains to calculate \( \langle (\theta^a \wedge \theta^b) \mathcal{R} (\theta_a \wedge \theta_b) \rangle_4 \). We have
\[ \langle (\theta^a \wedge \theta^b) \mathcal{R} (\theta_a \wedge \theta_b) \rangle_4 = \theta^a \wedge \theta^b \wedge \mathcal{R} (\theta_a \wedge \theta_b) = \frac{1}{2} R_{abcd} \theta^a \wedge \theta^b \wedge \theta^c \wedge \theta^d \]
\[ = \frac{1}{6} (R_{abcd} \theta^a \wedge \theta^b \wedge \theta^c \wedge \theta^d) + R_{acdb} \theta^a \wedge \theta^c \wedge \theta^d \]
\[ = \frac{1}{6} (R_{abcd} + R_{acdb} + R_{adbc}) \eta^{abcd}. \]

Now, we recall a well known result (see, e.g., [14])
\[ R_{abcd} = \hat{R}_{abcd} + J_{ab[cd]}, \]
where \( \hat{R}_{abcd} \) are the components of the Riemann tensor of the Levi-Civita connection of \( g \) and
\[ J_{a[cd]} = \nabla_c K^b_{da} - K^b_{cd} K^k_{da} + K^k_{cd} K^b_{ka} \]
\[ J_{b[a|cd]} = J_{a[cd]} - J_{a|cd}, \]
with \( K^k_{cd} \) given by
\[ K^k_{cd} = - \frac{1}{2} \eta^{km} (\eta_{nc} T^n_{md} + \eta_{nd} T^n_{mc} - \eta_{nm} T^n_{cd}). \]

Moreover, taking into account the (well known) first Bianchi identity, \( \hat{R}_{abcd} + \hat{R}_{acdb} + \hat{R}_{adbc} = 0 \), we have
\[ \left( \theta^{(s)} \right)^2 \psi = \left[ \eta^{ab} \nabla_{e_a} - \eta^{ac} \omega_{b}^{(s)} \right] \nabla_{e_b} \psi + \frac{1}{4} R \psi + \mathbf{J} \psi - \Theta^{c} \nabla_{e_c} \psi, \]
\[ (71) \]

where
\[ \mathbf{J} = \frac{1}{6} (J_{ab[cd]} + J_{ac[db]} + J_{ad[bc]}) \eta^{abcd} \]
\[ = \frac{1}{6} (J_{ab[cd]} + J_{ac[db]} + J_{ad[bc]}) \tau_{0123}^{ab} \tau_g, \]
\[ (72) \]
and the proposition is proved. \( \blacksquare \)
Remark 3 Eq. (71) may be called the generalized Lichnerowicz formula and (equivalent expressions) appears in the case of a totally skew-symmetric torsion in many different contexts, like, e.g., in the geometry of moduli spaces of a class of black holes, the geometry of NS-5 brane solutions of type II supergravity theories and BPS solitons in some string theories (5) and many important topics of modern mathematics (see [3, 6]). For a Levi-Civita connection we have that J = 0 and Θ = 0 and we obtain the famous Lichnerowicz formula (7).

6 Relation Between the Square of the Spin-Dirac Operator and the Dirac Operator

In this section taking advantage that any ψ ∈ secCℓ^{ℓ}_{Spin^c,3}(M, g) can be ψ = A1^ς with A ∈ secCℓ(M, g) and 1^ς ∈ secCℓ^{ℓ}_{Spin^c,3}(M, g) we find two noticeable formulas: the first relates the square of the spin-Dirac operator (θa∇_{e_a}) acting on ψ with the square of the Dirac operator (θ^a∇_{e_a}) acting on A associated with the covariant derivative ∇ of a Riemann-Cartan spacetime (M, g, ∇) admitting a spin structure; the second formula relates the square of the spin-Dirac operator (θ^a∇_{e_a}) acting on ψ with the square of the standard Dirac operator (θ^aD_{e_a}) associated with the covariant derivative D of a Lorentzian spacetime (M, g, D).

We already know that if ψ ∈ secCℓ^{ℓ}_{Spin^c,3}(M, g), then

\[ \nabla_{e_a}^s \psi = \partial_{e_a} \psi + \frac{1}{2} \omega_{e_a} \psi \]

and that if φ ∈ secCℓ^{ℓ}_{Spin^c,3}(M, g) then

\[ \nabla_{e_a}^s \phi = \partial_{e_a} \phi - \frac{1}{2} \phi \omega_{e_a}. \]

On the other hand, we recall that a direct calculation gives

\[ (\Theta^2)^2 = \eta^{ab} \left[ \nabla_{e_a}^s \nabla_{e_b}^s - \omega_{ab} \nabla_{e_a}^s \right] + \theta^a \wedge \theta^b \left[ \nabla_{e_a}^s \nabla_{e_b}^s - \omega_{ab} \nabla_{e_a}^s \right]. \]

(73)

Now, to calculate \nabla_{e_a}^s in term of \nabla_{e_a}, we must first recall that the domains of these operators are different. Let A ∈ secCℓ(M, g) and let 1^ς ∈ secCℓ^{ℓ}_{Spin^c,3}(M, g).

Then ψ = A1^ς ∈ secCℓ^{ℓ}_{Spin^c,3}(M, g) and we can write

\[
\nabla_{e_a}^s \psi = \nabla_{e_a} (A1^ς) = \partial_{e_a} (A1^ς) + \frac{1}{2} \omega_{e_a} A1^ς \\
= (\partial_{e_a} A) 1^ς + A \partial_{e_a} 1^ς + \frac{1}{2} \omega_{e_a} A1^ς - \frac{1}{2} A \omega_{e_a} 1^ς + \frac{1}{2} A \omega_{e_a} 1^ς \\
= (\partial_{e_a} A) 1^ς + \frac{1}{2} \omega_{e_a} A1^ς - \frac{1}{2} A \omega_{e_a} 1^ς + \frac{1}{2} A \omega_{e_a} 1^ς \\
= (\partial_{e_a} A + \frac{1}{2} \omega_{e_a} A - \frac{1}{2} A \omega_{e_a}) 1^ς + \frac{1}{2} A \omega_{e_a} 1^ς.
\]

Then,
\[ \nabla_{e_c}^s \psi = (\nabla_{e_c}^\ell A) 1_{e_c}^\ell + \frac{1}{2} A \omega_{e_c} 1_{e_c}^\ell, \] (74)

where we notice that \( \partial_{e_c} 1_{e_c}^\ell = 0 \). Using Eq. (74) we have

\[
\nabla_{e_a}^s \nabla_{e_b}^s \psi = \nabla_{e_a}^s ((\nabla_{e_b}^\ell A) 1_{e_b}^\ell + \frac{1}{2} A \omega_{e_b} 1_{e_b}^\ell) \\
= (\nabla_{e_b} \nabla_{e_b} A) 1_{e_b}^\ell + (\nabla_{e_b} A) \nabla_{e_a}^s 1_{e_a}^\ell + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_{e_a}^s (A \omega_{e_b} 1_{e_b}^\ell) \\
= (\nabla_{e_b} \nabla_{e_b} A) 1_{e_b}^\ell + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_{e_b} A) \omega_{e_a} 1_{e_a}^\ell + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_{e_a}^s (A \omega_{e_b} 1_{e_b}^\ell),
\] (75)

and using Eq. (74) and Eq. (75) we have

\[
(\nabla_{e_a}^s \nabla_{e_b}^s - \omega_{e_b}^c \nabla_{e_c}^s) \psi = (\nabla_{e_a} \nabla_{e_b} A - \omega_{e_b}^c \nabla_{e_c} A) 1_{e_a}^\ell + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_{e_b} A) \omega_{e_a} 1_{e_a}^\ell \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \nabla_{e_a}^s (A \omega_{e_b} 1_{e_b}^\ell) - \frac{1}{2} \omega_{e_b}^c A \omega_{e_a} 1_{e_a}^\ell.
\] (76)

Substituting Eq. (76) into Eq. (72) we obtain

\[
(\partial^s)^2 \psi = \eta^{ab} \left[ (\nabla_{e_a} \nabla_{e_b} A - \omega_{e_b}^c \nabla_{e_c} A) 1_{e_b}^\ell + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_{e_b} A) \omega_{e_a} 1_{e_a}^\ell \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \nabla_{e_a}^s (A \omega_{e_b} 1_{e_b}^\ell) - \frac{1}{2} \omega_{e_b}^c A \omega_{e_a} 1_{e_a}^\ell \right] \\
+ \omega^a \wedge \omega^b [ (\nabla_{e_a} \nabla_{e_b} A - \omega_{e_b}^c \nabla_{e_c} A) 1_{e_b}^\ell + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_{e_b} A) \omega_{e_a} 1_{e_a}^\ell \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \nabla_{e_a}^s (A \omega_{e_b} 1_{e_b}^\ell) - \frac{1}{2} \omega_{e_b}^c A \omega_{e_a} 1_{e_a}^\ell],
\] (77)

or

\[
(\partial^s)^2 \psi = (\partial^2 A) 1_{e_b}^\ell + \eta^{ab} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_{e_b} A) \omega_{e_a} 1_{e_a}^\ell + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_{e_a}^s (A \omega_{e_b} 1_{e_b}^\ell) - \frac{1}{2} \omega_{e_b}^c A \omega_{e_a} 1_{e_a}^\ell \right] \\
+ \omega^a \wedge \omega^b \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_{e_b} A) \omega_{e_a} 1_{e_a}^\ell + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_{e_a}^s (A \omega_{e_b} 1_{e_b}^\ell) - \frac{1}{2} \omega_{e_b}^c A \omega_{e_a} 1_{e_a}^\ell \right].
\] (77)

On the other hand,

\[
\nabla_{e_a}^s (A \omega_{e_b} 1_{e_b}^\ell) = (\nabla_{e_a} A \omega_{e_b}) 1_{e_b}^\ell + A \omega_{e_b} \nabla_{e_a}^s 1_{e_b}^\ell \\
= (\nabla_{e_b} A) \omega_{e_a} 1_{e_a}^\ell + A (\nabla_{e_b} \omega_{e_a}) 1_{e_a}^\ell + \frac{1}{2} A \omega_{e_a} \omega_{e_b} 1_{e_b}^\ell \\
= \left[ (\nabla_{e_b} A) \omega_{e_a} + A (\nabla_{e_b} \omega_{e_a}) + \frac{1}{2} A \omega_{e_a} \omega_{e_b} \right] 1_{e_b}^\ell.
\] (78)

Then from Eq. (77) and Eq. (78) we get

\[
(\partial^s)^2 \psi = \left[ (\partial^2 + \eta^{ab} \omega_{e_b} S_2 A + (\omega^a \wedge \omega^b) S_2 A \right] 1_{e_b}^\ell
\] (79)

where

\[
S_2 A = \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_{e_b} A) \omega_{e_a} + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_{e_a} A) \omega_{e_b} + \frac{1}{2} A (\nabla_{e_b} \omega_{e_a}) + \frac{1}{4} A \omega_{e_a} \omega_{e_b} - \frac{1}{2} \omega_{e_b}^c A \omega_{e_a}. 
\] (80)

Now taking into account Eq. (79) and the Eq. (70) we can write the following relation between \((\partial^s)^2\) and \(\partial^2\) :

\[
(\partial^s)^2 \psi = \left[ (\partial)^2 + \eta^{ab} (S_1 + S_2) A + (\omega^a \wedge \omega^b) (S_1 + S_2) A \right] 1_{e_b}^\ell.
\] (81)
or

\[
\left( (\partial^*)^2 \psi \right)_{\Sigma} = \left[ (\partial^\gamma)^2 A + \eta^{ab} (S_1 + S_2) A + (\theta^a \wedge \theta^b) (S_1 + S_2) A \right].
\]

where \( S_1 \) is given by the Eq. (40) and \( S_2 A \) can be written in terms of the Levi-Civita connection as

\[
S_2 A = \frac{1}{2} (D_{eb} A) \omega_{ea} + \frac{1}{2} (D_{ea} A) \omega_{eb} + \frac{1}{2} A (D_{ea} \omega_{eb}) + \frac{1}{4} A \omega_{eb} \omega_{ea} \\
- \frac{1}{2} \omega^e_{ab} A \omega_{ea} + \frac{1}{4} \tau (e_b, A) \omega_{ea} + \frac{1}{4} \tau (e_a, A) \omega_{eb} + \frac{1}{4} A \tau (e_a, \omega_{eb}) \omega_{ea}.
\]

(82)

Notice that in the above formulas, the action of \((\partial^*)^2\) is on \(\text{sec} C^\ell_{\text{Spin}^c,3}(M, g)\) and the action of the \(\partial^2\) and \(\partial^\gamma\) are on \(\text{sec} TM \hookrightarrow \text{sec} C\ell(M, g)\).

7 Summary

In this paper we studied the theory of the Dirac and spin-Dirac operators on Riemann-Cartan space(time) and on a Riemannian (Lorentzian) space(time) and introduce mathematical methods permitting the calculation of the square of these operators, playing important role in several important topics of modern Mathematics (in particular in the study of the geometry of moduli spaces of a class of black holes, the geometry of NS-5 brane solutions of type II supergravity theories and BPS solitons in some string theories) in a very simple way. We obtain a generalized Lichnerowicz formula, and several useful decomposition formulas for the Dirac and spin-Dirac operators in terms of the standard Dirac and spin-Dirac operators. Also, using the fact that spinor fields (sections of a spin-Clifford bundle) have representatives in the Clifford bundle we found a noticeable relation involving the spin-Dirac and the Dirac operators, which may be eventually useful in theories using superfields.
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