Cardiac output estimation using multi-beat analysis of the radial arterial blood pressure waveform: a method comparison study in patients having off-pump coronary artery bypass surgery using intermittent pulmonary artery thermodilution as the reference method
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Abstract
Pulse wave analysis enables stroke volume to be estimated from an arterial blood pressure waveform. Multi-beat analysis is a novel pulse wave analysis method. We aimed to investigate cardiac output (CO) estimations using multi-beat analysis of the radial arterial blood pressure waveform in patients undergoing off-pump coronary artery bypass surgery (OPCAB) using intermittent pulmonary artery thermodilution (PATD) as the reference method. This was a prospective clinical method comparison study. In 58 patients, we measured CO using PATD (PATD-CO; reference method) and simultaneously recorded the radial arterial blood pressure waveform that we used for off-line estimation of CO based on multi-beat analysis (MBA-CO; test method) using the Argos CO monitor (Retia Medical; Valhalla, NY, USA). The final analysis was performed using 572 paired CO measurements. We performed Bland–Altman analysis accounting for multiple observations per patient. To describe the ability of the test method to track changes in CO over time we computed four-quadrant plots using a central exclusion zone of 15% and calculated the concordance rate. Mean PATD-CO was 4.13 ± 1.26 L/min and mean MBA-CO was 4.31 ± 1.25 L/min. The mean of the differences between PATD-CO and MBA-CO was −0.20 L/min with a standard deviation of ± 1.14 L/min and 95% limits of agreement of −2.48 to +2.08 L/min. The concordance rate for CO changes between PATD-CO and MBA-CO was 89%. CO estimations using multi-beat analysis (Argos monitor) show reasonable agreement and trending ability compared with PATD-CO as the reference method in adult patients during OPCAB.
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1 Introduction
Cardiac output (CO) monitoring is recommended in high-risk surgical and critically ill patients [1–3]. Various methods for CO estimation with different physical measurement principles and degrees of invasiveness are available [4, 5]. Uncalibrated pulse wave analysis, the estimation of stroke volume based on the analysis of the arterial blood pressure waveform, constitutes a minimally invasive method and enables CO to be estimated continuously [3, 6–8].

One method to mathematically analyze the radial arterial blood pressure waveform to estimate stroke volume and CO is the so-called multi-beat analysis (MBA) [9, 10]. This method assumes a Windkessel model of the cardiovascular system. When estimating the Windkessel model parameters
it attenuates the confounding effects of peripheral pulse wave reflections due to changes in the peripheral vascular resistance. The method works by reverse-engineering the arterial tree impulse response by analyzing the arterial blood pressure waveform over time scales greater than a cardiac cycle (i.e., over multiple heart beats) in order to extract a waveform that would be present in the absence of high frequency wave reflections [9, 10]. An arterial compliance scale factor is then applied according to a proprietary formula that includes the measured blood pressure and patient age, height, weight, and sex. Thus, CO is estimated over several cardiac cycles and stroke volume is calculated knowing the heart rate. No external calibration is needed.

Measurements of CO using multi-beat analysis have been prospectively compared with aortic flow probe-derived CO measurements in swine [10] and retrospectively with thermodilution CO measurements in hemodynamically stable intensive care unit patients (using arterial blood pressure waveforms from the freely accessible Multiparameter Intelligent Monitoring in Intensive Care (MIMIC II) database) [9, 11, 12]. Just recently, a commercially available monitor using MBA for CO estimation from a radial arterial blood pressure signal in adults—the Argos CO monitor (Retia Medical; Valhalla, NY, USA)—became available and was cleared by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA). The device estimates and displays CO every 5 s using multi-beat analysis over 20 s or 5 min.

To the best of our knowledge, there are no clinical data on CO measurements using multi-beat analysis in patients having cardiac surgery who often show rapid changes in cardiovascular dynamics. We, therefore, investigated CO estimations using multi-beat analysis of the radial arterial blood pressure waveform in a clinical method comparison study in patients having off-pump coronary artery bypass surgery (OPCAB) using intermittent pulmonary artery thermodilution (PATD) as the reference method.

2 Methods

2.1 Study design and setting

The study was reviewed and approved by the local ethics committee (Ethikkommission der Ärztekammer Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany) and all patients gave written informed consent to participate in the study. For this clinical method comparison study we prospectively included patients scheduled for OPCAB in the University Heart Center Hamburg of the University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf (Hamburg, Germany). The study was performed between May 2016 and January 2017. During surgery, we performed intermittent PATD measurements to assess reference CO values and simultaneously recorded the radial arterial blood pressure waveform that we used for off-line estimation of CO by MBA using the Argos CO monitor (test method). All patients were mechanically ventilated in a pressure-controlled mode with a tidal volume of 6–8 mL/kg predicted bodyweight to maintain normocapnia.

2.2 Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Adult patients scheduled for OPCAB in whom continuous invasive arterial blood pressure monitoring using a radial arterial catheter and advanced hemodynamic monitoring using a pulmonary artery catheter were planned for clinical indications were eligible for study inclusion. Exclusion criteria were age < 18 years, atrial fibrillation or other high-grade cardiac arrhythmias or missing informed consent.

2.3 Study measurements

During surgery, we systematically performed two sets of study CO measurements at six different time points (resulting in a total of 12 study CO measurements). One study CO measurement consisted of intermittent PATD to assess PATD-CO (reference method) and simultaneous recording of the radial arterial blood pressure waveform that we later used to estimate CO using MBA (MBA-CO; test method). In some patients, we were not able to perform all six planned interventions because of a shorter than expected duration of surgery: We performed measurements around six interventions in 22 patients, five interventions in 20 patients, four interventions in 7 patients, three interventions in 8 patients, and two interventions in 1 patient. The time points for study CO measurements were not pre-defined but determined by clinical interventions expected to markedly change the patient’s cardiovascular dynamics (e.g., administration of fluid and vasopressive or inotropic medication). We performed one set of study CO measurements prior to the clinical intervention and one shortly after the intervention.

Intermittent PATD was performed via an ARROW pulmonary artery catheter (Teleflex Medical Europe; Co Westmeath, Ireland). For PATD-CO measurements we performed four consecutive injections of the thermal indicator (10 mL ice-cold sodium chloride) randomly over the respiratory cycle and averaged the CO values resulting in an averaged PATD-CO.

The Argos CO monitor uses multi-beat analysis of the arterial blood pressure waveform to estimate CO and was the test method in this study. During PATD-CO measurements, we simultaneously recorded the arterial blood pressure waveform at a sampling rate of 100 Hz from the radial arterial catheter using a personal computer with dedicated data acquisition software (Dräger Data Grabber; Dräger, Lübeck, Germany). Since a good quality of the arterial blood pressure waveform is a prerequisite for pulse wave analysis...
we carefully leveled the pressure transducers to the height of the right atrium and zeroed the system to atmospheric pressure. In addition, we confirmed adequate damping properties of the tubing/transducer-system by square wave tests. In an off-line analysis, these blood pressure waveform segments (starting 20 s before the first and stopping 20 s after the last PATD measurement) were retrospectively fed into the Argos CO monitor along with patient age, sex, height, and weight. The Argos CO monitor accepts an analog arterial blood pressure waveform as an input (scaled to 100 mmHg/V). The recorded BP waveform was fed into the Argos CO monitor via a 3.5 mm stereo cable, using an MP150 digital-to-analog converter (BIOPAC System, Inc., Goleta, CA, USA). In this study, we used the Argos CO monitor in the 20-s mode.

2.4 Data processing

We performed a total of 665 study CO measurements in 60 patients (convenience sampling according to availability of investigators). We excluded 47 study CO measurements due to the unavailability of BP waveform segments matching the corresponding PATD-CO measurements. We further excluded one segment due to unstable PATD-CO measurements. We further excluded five segments due to instability and two segments due to artifact in the BP waveform. We were interested in paired measurements (before and after interventions) and therefore excluded 38 unpaired data segments. After this process of data exclusion, in 2 patients, no study CO measurement data remained. Final analyses were performed on the remaining 572 paired study CO measurements from 58 patients.

2.5 Statistical analysis

For statistical analyses we used R version 3.5.0 (The R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). Demographic, biometric, and clinical data are presented as absolute and relative frequencies (percentages) or mean ± standard deviation (SD). The mean CO values ± SD were separately calculated for PATD-CO and MBA-CO.

The correlation between PATD-CO and MBA-CO was computed within subjects taking repeated observations into account and removing the between subject variability (as required for method comparison studies) [13].

To assess the agreement between PATD-CO and MBA-CO we performed Bland–Altman analysis accounting for multiple observations per patient [14] and calculated the mean and SD of the differences, and the 95% limits of agreement (= mean difference ± 1.96 × SD of the difference), and the percentage error [15]. To describe the ability of the multi-beat analysis method to track changes in CO over time we computed four-quadrant plots showing relative CO changes using a central exclusion zone of 15% and calculated the concordance rate [16, 17].

3 Results

The demographic, biometric, and clinical data of the patients are shown in Table 1. Mean PATD-CO was 4.13 ± 1.26 L/min and mean MBA-CO was 4.31 ± 1.25 L/min. In Fig. 1, the relation and within-subject correlation of PATD-CO and of MBA-CO are shown. The mean of the differences between PATD-CO and MBA-CO was −0.20 L/min with a SD of ± 1.14 L/min and 95% limits of agreement of −2.48 to +2.08 L/min (Fig. 2). The percentage error was 50.8%.

Table 1 Baseline patient characteristics

| Data display as absolute and relative frequencies or mean and standard deviation |
|---------------------------------|------------------|
| Number of included patients, n  | 58               |
| Age (years)                     | 70 ± 10          |
| Sex, female [n (%)]             | 10 (17)          |
| Height (m)                      | 1.74 ± 0.09      |
| Weight (kg)                     | 81 ± 15          |
| BMI (kg m⁻²)                    | 26.8 ± 4.2       |

Fig. 1 Scatter plot illustrating the relation of cardiac output estimations obtained with multi-beat analysis (MBA-CO; test method) and cardiac output measurements with pulmonary artery thermodilution (PATD-CO; reference method). The within-subject correlation coefficient (r) is presented along a respective illustration of the intra-individual linear trend. Patients are distinguished by color.
The ability of MBA-CO to track changes in PATD-CO is illustrated in Fig. 3. The concordance rate for CO changes between PATD-CO and MBA-CO was 89%.

4 Discussion

This was a method comparison study investigating CO estimations using multi-beat analysis of the radial arterial blood pressure waveform (Argos monitor) in patients having OPCAB using intermittent PATD as the reference method. CO estimations using multi-beat analysis showed reasonable agreement and trending ability compared with PATD-CO.

The arterial system is a branching tree of elastic arteries; therefore, the mathematical analysis of the arterial blood pressure waveform is complex because various cardiovascular factors—including the contractility of the heart, vascular compliance, aortic impedance, and peripheral vascular resistance—influence blood flow wave propagation and reflection and thus the shape of the waveform [18]. Different mathematical models have been proposed to describe the blood flow through the arterial system [18, 19]. “Windkessel models” explain the shape of the arterial blood pressure waveform by the interactions between the blood flow (stroke volume) and the arterial compliance (i.e., the elastic properties and the buffering capacity of the aorta and the large arteries) and the peripheral resistance generated by the small arteries and arterioles [6, 18–21]. The addition of other parameters, the characteristic aortic impedance [22] and the inertia of the blood [23, 24], results in the more complex three-element and four-element Windkessel models [18, 19, 22].

The analysis of the arterial blood pressure waveform, referred to as pulse wave analysis, can be used to continuously estimate stroke volume and CO [3, 6–8]; various different methods and mathematical algorithms have been proposed for pulse wave analysis [7, 25, 26]. Assuming a linear relationship between pulse pressure and stroke volume and deriving arterial compliance from biometric factors and waveform characteristics, pulse pressure can be used as a surrogate for stroke volume [6, 26]. Other methods use the systolic part of the area under the arterial blood pressure curve to estimate stroke volume or perform a so-called “pulse power analysis” by converting the arterial blood pressure waveform into a sine wave and analyzing it using the root mean square method [6, 26]. However, because of wave phenomena and transmission effects within the arterial tree the shape of an individual arterial blood pressure waveform is not solely a function of stroke volume, but a complex function of cardiac ejection, wave propagation, and wave reflections.

The MBA method investigated in our study analyzes the waveform over longer time scales that include multiple heart beats, estimates the contribution of the different heart beats within this sequence, attenuates the confounding effects of complex wave reflections, and estimates a theoretical arterial blood pressure waveform that would be generated by one single isolated heart beat [9, 10, 27].
The analysis of this theoretical arterial blood pressure waveform enables peripheral vascular resistance to be estimated (assuming that arterial compliance is constant) and CO to be calculated using mean arterial pressure and peripheral vascular resistance [9, 10, 27].

In our study, CO estimations using multi-beat analysis (Argos monitor) showed reasonable agreement and trending ability compared with PATD-CO as the reference method in adult patients during OPCAB. Pulse wave analysis might especially be useful to indicate changes in CO. We revealed a concordance rate between changes in PATD-CO and changes in MBA-CO of 89%. In comparison to our study, the concordance rate was lower in two recent studies investigating the trending capabilities of an established pulse wave analysis algorithm (FloTrac; Edwards Lifesciences, Irvine; CA, USA) compared with PATD in patients having cardiac surgery using cardiopulmonary bypass [28, 29].

We solely included patients having OPCAB. We chose this patient collective for several reasons. First, patients having OPCAB in our institution are routinely monitored with a radial arterial catheter and a pulmonary artery catheter. This allows performing studies on pulse wave analysis in comparison with the clinical gold standard method (i.e., intermittent PATD) [4, 30]. Further, patients having OPCAB are highly complex with regard to alterations and changes in cardiovascular dynamics. Rapid changes in the hemodynamic status of the patients during OPCAB made it possible to assess the ability of multi-beat analysis to track rapid changes in CO. However, on the other hand, marked alterations and rapid changes in vasomotor tone are a well-described limitation of pulse wave analysis methods and may have influenced the measurement performance of multi-beat analysis in our study [6–8]. In addition, patients with coronary artery disease may have altered arterial compliance. Therefore, our results should not indiscriminately be transferred to other groups of surgical or critically ill patients, especially patients who are hemodynamically more stable. In addition, this was a single center study performed in a tertiary care medical university center. Therefore, our results might also not be generalizable to other clinical settings. Further, the blood pressure waveform segments were retrospectively fed into the Argos CO monitor for CO estimation (off-line analysis). Thus, further studies using the Argos CO monitor at the bedside in less complex patients and other clinical settings are needed.

5 Conclusions

CO estimations using multi-beat analysis (Argos monitor) show reasonable agreement and trending ability compared with PATD-CO as the reference method in adult patients during OPCAB.
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