Dear Readers, Dear Colleagues!

Good news for our journal, the *Polish Archives of Internal Medicine* (Pol Arch Med Wewn) – a monthly, peer-reviewed journal devoted to the publication of research on internal medicine and published on behalf of the Polish Society of Internal Medicine and in partnership with the publisher – Medycyna Praktyczna.

I am delighted to provide you with the latest impact factor. The 2012 Journal Citation Report has been released by Thomson Reuters. Our impact factor has increased from 1.367 in 2011 to 1.833 in 2012, which is our great success. I would like to thank you all – our authors, reviewers, and editorial and scientific board members – for your invaluable contribution to the journal’s success.

The impact factor in a given year is the average number of citations a paper published in that journal received during the two previous years. It is a widely acknowledged measure of journal quality and it is currently the best available bibliometric index. Therefore, I am proud of our achievement in 2012, in particular when compared with other Polish medical journals (Table 1).

However, the value and use of this evaluation system keep raising controversies as evidenced by some titles of the recently published articles, for example, “Overthrowing the tyranny of the journal impact factor” by Magnus B. Bruce Alberts, the Editor-in-Chief of *Science*, wrote on May 17, 2013: “The misuse of the journal impact factor is highly destructive, inviting a gaming of the metric that can bias journals against publishing important papers in fields that are much less cited than others.”

As stated in his editorial, scientists should not be “ranked by weighting each of their publications according to the impact factor of the journal in which it appeared.”

In most Polish medical universities, this is a rule which distorts (accompanied by an absurd mere number of all researcher’s papers) the actual researcher’s value, in particular, in clinical medicine.

---

**TABLE 1** Polish journals with the highest impact factor 2012

| Title                        | ISSN    | Impact factor |
|------------------------------|---------|---------------|
| Ann Agr Env Med              | 1232–1966 | 3.060          |
| Acta Astronom                | 0001–5237 | 2.682          |
| J Physiol Pharmacol          | 0867–5910 | 2.476          |
| Arch Immunol Ther Ex         | 0004-069X | 2.378          |
| Acta Neurobiol Exp           | 0065–1400 | 1.977          |
| Cell Mol Biol Lett           | 1425–8153 | 1.953          |
| J Appl Genet                 | 1234–1983 | 1.847          |
| Pol Arch Med Wewn            | 0032–3772 | 1.833          |
| Hered Cancer Clin Pr         | 1731–2302 | 1.714          |
| Acta Omithol                 | 0001–6454 | 1.681          |
| Geochronometria              | 1733–8387 | 1.653          |
| Acta Paleontol Pol           | 0567–7920 | 1.577          |
| Folia Neuropathol            | 1641–4640 | 1.547          |
| Psychiatr Pol                | 0033–2674 | 1.480          |
| Cent Eur J Energ Mat         | 1733–7178 | 1.327          |
Even if the impact factor has hard time, its value is still the best way to compare the journals and helps support the good ones by public funds. Coping with the continued financial problems, I still appreciate the financial support of the Pol Arch Med Wewn by the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education and do count on fundamental changes in the crippled system of supporting scientific journals by public funds in Poland.

The most cited papers published in 2010 or 2011 are listed in Table 2. Of note, the most cited paper among those published in 2010 and 2011 was an original paper and not a review, which usually contributes most to the journal’s impact factor. During our first year with the impact factor, a boost in submissions has been observed. Our journal attracts an increasing number of higher-quality papers and the scope of the submitted material is even broader. The rejection rate is going up to more than 70% and we can be more selective. The time to first decision is still about

| Article                        | Year of publication | Number of citations |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Szułdrzyński et al.           | 2010                | 10                  |
| Brodersen et al.              | 2010                | 7                   |
| Banach and Aronov             | 2011                | 6                   |
| Holecki and Wiecek            | 2010                | 6                   |
| Bijlsma                       | 2010                | 6                   |
| Staszcz et al.                | 2011                | 5                   |
| Rewiuk et al.                 | 2011                | 5                   |
| Smykal-Jankowiak et al.       | 2011                | 5                   |
| Douketis                      | 2011                | 5                   |

Even if the impact factor has hard time, its value is still the best way to compare the journals and helps support the good ones by public funds. Coping with the continued financial problems, I still appreciate the financial support of the Pol Arch Med Wewn by the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education and do count on fundamental changes in the crippled system of supporting scientific journals by public funds in Poland.

The most cited papers published in 2010 or 2011 are listed in Table 2. Of note, the most cited paper among those published in 2010 and 2011 was an original paper and not a review, which usually contributes most to the journal's impact factor. During our first year with the impact factor, a boost in submissions has been observed. Our journal attracts an increasing number of higher-quality papers and the scope of the submitted material is even broader. The rejection rate is going up to more than 70% and we can be more selective. The time to first decision is still about
22 days. The journal enjoys a good readership and I hope it continues to appeal to its original subscribers.

A few supporters and friends of our journal including the President of the Society of Internal Medicine do feel that the journal’s visibility is hampered by its Polish name, *Polskie Archiwum Medycyny Wewnętrznej* (Pol Arch Med Wewn, which stands for Polish Archives of Internal Medicine). It is the original title and has remained unchanged since 1923. The first issue of the journal, containing 14 articles on 144 pages, has been scanned with the permission of the Jagiellonian Library in Krakow and is now available on our website (www.pamw.pl) for all those who are interested in clinical problems that attracted the attention of Polish scientists and internists 90 years ago (FIGURE).

While the archival first issue is in Polish, the current issue, celebrating the 90th anniversary of the Pol Arch Med Wewn, contains 2 articles on most distinguished Polish internists and the history of the journal for English-speaking readers. So, is it now time to make the title of our journal “more international”, namely, the *Polish Archives of Internal Medicine*? Not in 2013. I agree that the change of the title, with its predicted effect on the quality and impact of submissions, could help promote Polish clinical science. However, since “a rose by any other name would smell as sweet” (William Shakespeare, *Romeo and Juliet*), my personal opinion is that our national journal could be increasingly acknowledged even with its original title in one of the most difficult Indo-European languages. Am I right? Time and members of our Society will tell.

I look forward to the ongoing support of Polish researchers and clinicians in the years to come, so that together we may continue to enhance the quality of science published in the *Pol Arch Med Wewn* with a further increase in the impact factor of 2 or more in 2013 and beyond.
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