A MEASUREMENT OF THE SPATIAL DISTRIBUTION OF DIFFUSE TeV GAMMA-RAY EMISSION FROM THE GALACTIC PLANE WITH MILAGRO
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ABSTRACT

Diffuse γ-ray emission produced by the interaction of cosmic-ray particles with matter and radiation in the Galaxy can be used to probe the distribution of cosmic rays and their sources in different regions of the Galaxy. With its large field of view and long observation time, the Milagro Gamma Ray Observatory is an ideal instrument for surveying large regions of the northern hemisphere sky and for detecting diffuse γ-ray emission at very high energies. Here the spatial distribution and the flux of the diffuse γ-ray emission in the TeV energy range with a median energy of 15 TeV for Galactic longitude between 30° and 110° and between 136° and 216° and for Galactic latitude between −10° and 10° are determined. The measured fluxes are consistent with predictions of the GALPROP model everywhere, except for the Cygnus region (l ∈ [65°, 85°]). For the Cygnus region, the flux is twice the predicted value. This excess can be explained by the presence of active cosmic-ray sources accelerating hadrons, which interact with the local dense interstellar medium and produce gamma rays through pion decay.

Subject headings: gamma rays; observations

1. INTRODUCTION

One hundred years after their discovery, the origin, acceleration, and propagation of Galactic cosmic rays is still unclear. Supernova remnants (SNRs) and pulsars are the preferred candidate sources of Galactic cosmic rays. The detection of TeV γ-rays and X-rays from the same locations within SNRs provides strong evidence that electrons are accelerated in SNRs (Aharonian et al. 2006a). However, no compelling evidence for the acceleration of hadrons in SNRs has yet been found. The Galactic diffuse γ-ray emission originates from the interactions of cosmic rays (hadrons and electrons) with the matter and radiation fields in the Galaxy. Cosmic-ray hadrons interact with matter, producing neutral pions, which in turn decay into γ-rays, while cosmic-ray electrons produce TeV γ-rays by inverse Compton (IC) scattering off the interstellar radiation fields. Therefore, γ-rays can provide information about the density and spectra of cosmic rays throughout the Galaxy. Gamma rays above 10 TeV, produced by the highest energy particles accelerated in SNR shocks, can be used to probe the acceleration processes in Galactic sources. The spatial distribution of these TeV γ-rays can be compared to model predictions of the diffuse γ-ray production from π0 decays and IC scattering, and thus the relative contribution of hadronic and leptonic mechanisms can be investigated.

Energetic Gamma-Ray Experiment Telescope (EGRET) data on the diffuse emission from the Galactic plane (Hunter et al. 1997) show a harder γ-ray spectrum from the inner Galaxy than expected on the basis of the cosmic-ray spectrum and intensity measured at Earth (Bertsch et al. 1993; Strong et al. 2004a, 2004b). Many explanations for this GeV excess have been proposed, including a harder Galactic proton or electron spectrum (Gralewicz et al. 1997; Aharonian & Atoyan 2000; Strong et al. 2004a, 2004b; Porter & Protheroe 1997) and the annihilation of dark matter particles (de Boer et al. 2005). Recent studies of the EGRET data have also investigated experimental uncertainties associated with the determination of the Galactic diffuse emission and are discussed in Moskalenko et al. (2007). Stecker et al. (2008) concluded that the EGRET sensitivity above 1 GeV may have been overestimated, while Bughman et al. (2008) concluded it may have been underestimated, leaving the situation unclear.

The first measurement of diffuse emission above 3.5 TeV from a large region of the Galactic plane (Galactic longitude 40° < l < 100°) indicated the existence of a TeV excess (Atkins et al. 2005; Prodanovic et al. 2007; Casanova & Dingus 2008). More recent measurements of the diffuse emission near 12 TeV from the Cygnus region of the Galaxy (Abdo et al. 2007a) also show an excess when compared to predictions of GALPROP,
a numerical model of cosmic-ray propagation in the Galaxy. Recently, H.E.S.S. has detected very high energy (VHE) diffuse emission from the Galactic center ridge, which is correlated with giant molecular clouds. The spectrum of the diffuse emission from the Galactic center ridge is significantly harder than the spectrum of the diffuse emission predicted by assuming the local cosmic-ray spectrum (Aharonian et al. 2006b). These previous results from Milagro and H.E.S.S. support the hypothesis that the cosmic-ray flux is likely to vary throughout the Galaxy.

The Milagro (Atkins et al. 2004) experiment is a water-Cerenkov detector at an altitude of 2630 m. It is composed of a central 60 m × 80 m pond with a sparse 200 m × 200 m array of 175 "outrigger" tanks surrounding it. The pond is instrumented with two layers of photomultiplier tubes. The top (air shower) layer consists of 450 photomultiplier tubes (PMTs) under 1.4 m of water, while the bottom (muon) layer has 273 PMTs located 6 m below the surface. The air-shower layer allows the accurate measurement of shower particle arrival times used for direction reconstruction and triggering. The greater depth of the muon layer is used to detect penetrating muons and hadrons. The outrigger array, added in 2003, improved the angular resolution of the detector from ~0.75° to ~0.45° by providing a longer lever arm with which to reconstruct events. Milagro’s large field of view (~2 sr) and high duty cycle (~90%) allow it to monitor the entire overhead sky continuously, making it well suited to measuring diffuse emission.

Here the Milagro measurement of the diffuse emission around 15 TeV from a region of the Galactic plane of longitude $l \in [30°, 110°]$ and $l \in [136°, 216°]$ and latitude $b \in [-10°, 10°]$ is presented. The measured $\gamma$-ray flux and the latitudinal and longitudinal profiles of the emission are reported and compared to predictions of the GALPROP model (Strong et al. 2000, 2004a, 2004b; Porter et al. 2008). In GALPROP, first the propagation of cosmic rays in the Galaxy is modeled, and then the $\gamma$-ray emissivities are calculated using the propagated spectra of cosmic rays and the gas and radiation densities. The conventional model is tuned to reproduce the local direct cosmic-ray measurements. The optimized model has been designed to reproduce the EGRET data by relaxing the restriction from the local cosmic-ray measurements. In this version of the model, the proton spectrum is constrained by the cosmic-ray antiproton measurements, and the electron spectrum is constrained using the EGRET data themselves.

Below, the course of the analysis is described, followed by the presentation of the results and a comparison with GALPROP predictions. In § 4 likely interpretations of the observations are discussed.

2. ANALYSIS

The Milagro data, collected between 2000 July and 2007 November, were analyzed using the method described in Abdo et al. (2007a). Only events with a zenith angle less than 45° are included, which corresponds to declinations between $-7°$ and $81°$. The event excess is calculated using the background estimation method described in Atkins et al. (2003) with the modification that the events are weighted by a factor dependent on the $\gamma$-hadron separation parameter $A_4$ (Abdo et al. 2007a). Only declinations <70° are considered. This choice is governed by the fact that for $\delta > 70°$ the Galactic equator turns parallel to the right ascension axis. This causes the ratio of $\alpha$ to $\delta$ to be calculated using the background separation parameter $A_4$ (Abdo et al. 2007a). Only declinations <70° are considered. This choice is governed by the fact that for $\delta > 70°$ the Galactic equator turns parallel to the right ascension axis. This causes the ratio of $\alpha$ to $\delta$ to be

Within the region studied here, Milagro has previously detected four sources and four source candidates (Abdo et al. 2007a, 2007b). The contribution from these sources is taken into account by modeling each source as a two-dimensional Gaussian plus a constant. The source location (R.A., decl.), the amplitude and radial width of the Gaussian, and the constant are determined using a $\chi^2$ minimization. The excess from each source is then calculated bin by bin using the resulting Gaussian function and subtracted from the total excess in the $0.1° \times 0.1°$ bin event excess map of the Galactic plane. The resulting diffuse event excess is converted to a flux with a Monte Carlo simulation of extensive air showers (CORSIKA; Heck et al. 1998) and of the Milagro detector (GEANT4; Agostinelli et al. 2003). The diffuse flux is calculated assuming a power-law photon spectrum with a differential spectral index $\alpha = -2.75$. This spectral index was chosen to match the cosmic-ray spectrum in the energy range of this analysis (around 10 TeV). For a spectral index of $\alpha$, the median energy of detected events used in this analysis is 15 TeV.

Studies of possible sources for systematic errors have been performed. The size of the fit region around the eight sources and source candidates was varied. The Gaussian fits to the event excesses were performed in boxes centered around the sources of $4° \times 4°$, $6° \times 6°$, and $8° \times 8°$. The flux determination was also repeated for spectral indices of $\alpha -2.4$ and $-2.9$. The variations of the calculated fluxes were found to be less than 18%. Another
study concerned the background estimation. The background is estimated using the method of direct integration, calculating a background map using events over a 2 hr time interval (Atkins et al. 2003). To account for possible contamination of the background from signal events, two prominent regions in the Milagro sky map are excluded when doing this, a $2^\circ$ by $2^\circ$ region around the Crab Nebula location and a $2^\circ$ by $5^\circ$ region around the Galactic plane. To investigate possible systematic effects in the background estimation, the size of the region of exclusion around the Galactic plane was increased to $5^\circ$. The flux variations were found to be less than 7%. These systematic errors are added in quadrature to a 30% systematic error, which is derived from the underestimation of the Milagro trigger rate by cosmic-ray shower simulations (Abdo et al. 2007a).

3. RESULTS

The top plot in Figure 1 shows the Galactic longitude profile of the $\gamma$-ray emission as measured by Milagro before and after source contributions are subtracted. A $\gamma$-ray flux increase toward the Galactic center is visible, as well as the Cygnus region ($l \in [65^\circ, 85^\circ]$) with a bump in the flux profile, even after source contributions are subtracted. The source-subtracted data points in the bottom plot of Figure 1 are overlaid with the $\gamma$-ray emission profiles as predicted by the optimized GALPROP model, version 53.6102129RG (Strong et al. 2004a, 2004b; Porter et al. 2008). The most significant discrepancy between model predictions and data appears in the Cygnus region. Table 1 lists the emission in four different regions, with the Cygnus region being the most significant, $8.6_{-0.8}^{+2.5}$ above the background. The predictions of the optimized and conventional GALPROP model are also given in Table 1. The measured diffuse flux from the Cygnus region is 2 (8) times higher than the optimized (conventional) GALPROP prediction. The measured flux from the inner Galaxy ($l \in [30^\circ, 65^\circ]$) is consistent with the optimized model and about 5 times higher than predicted by the conventional model. Calculating the ratio of the flux measured in the inner Galaxy to the flux measured in the Cygnus region cancels systematic detector effects such as the underestimation of the trigger rate. The
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**Fig. 2.**—Gamma-ray spectra of the diffuse emission as predicted by the optimized GALPROP model for the Galactic plane. Left: Inner Galaxy ($l \in [30^\circ, 65^\circ]$). Right: Cygnus region ($l \in [65^\circ, 85^\circ]$). The red bars represent EGRET data, and the black bar represents the Milagro measurement, where the length of the bar represents the statistical uncertainty only. The dark blue line represents the total diffuse flux predicted by the optimized GALPROP model, the dark gray line represents the extragalactic background, and the light blue line represents the bremsstrahlung component. The two contributions at Milagro energies are shown as the red line, the pion contribution, and the green line, the total IC contribution. The green dashed line shows the dominant IC contribution from scattering of electrons off the cosmic microwave background, which amounts to about 60%–70% of the IC component at Milagro energies. Other IC contributions, which are less important, such as infrared and optical, are not shown separately.
The energy spectra, as predicted by the optimized GALPROP model in the Cygnus region and in the inner Galaxy, \( l \in [30^\circ, 65^\circ] \), are shown in Figure 2, together with the EGRET and Milagro measurements. As can be seen, at Milagro energies the dominating GALPROP contribution to the diffuse flux is due to inverse Compton scattering of cosmic-ray electrons off the cosmic microwave background (CMB). The electron injection spectrum of the optimized GALPROP model is chosen such that the diffuse \( \gamma \)-ray emission spectrum matches the EGRET measurement at GeV energies. The injection spectrum is a broken power law with a spectral index of \(-1.5\) below 20 GeV and a spectral index of \(-2.42\) above 20 GeV, extending to a maximum electron energy of 1000 TeV, with an electron flux of \(1.4 \times 10^{-9}\) MeV\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) sr\(^{-1}\) at 34.5 GeV (Strong et al. 2004a, 2004b).

Figure 3 shows the Galactic latitude profiles for \( b \in [-10^\circ, 10^\circ] \) in three regions between Galactic longitude \( l \in [30^\circ, 65^\circ] \) and \( l \in [65^\circ, 85^\circ] \) (left) and \( l \in [65^\circ, 85^\circ] \) (middle) yield values for the mean consistent with \( b = 0^\circ \) and for \( \sigma = 0.9^\circ \pm 0.3^\circ \) and \( 2.0^\circ \pm 0.2^\circ \), respectively. Assuming a larger exclusion region around the Galactic plane in the background estimation (\S 2) gives the same narrow width. The emission profiles as predicted by the optimized GALPROP model are overlaid. The blue line shows the total flux prediction, the green line the inverse Compton, and the red line the pion contribution. For the GALPROP prediction, as well as for the data, the flux numbers become smaller and the distributions become wider farther away from the Galactic center. In order to compare the predicted profiles with the measured profiles, the \( \chi^2 \) in both regions is calculated. In the inner Galaxy region (\( l \in [30^\circ, 65^\circ] \) and \( b \in [-10^\circ, 10^\circ] \)), a \( \chi^2 \) of 18.3 with 20 degrees of freedom is derived, corresponding to a probability of 57\% that the \( \chi^2 \) for a correct model exceeds the observed one by chance. In the Cygnus region, this chance probability is calculated to be \(10^{-4}\). The discrepancy between the model prediction of the latitude profile and the data in the Cygnus region is investigated further by fitting the measured profile between \( b = 10^\circ \) and \( -10^\circ \) to a linear combination of the predicted pion and IC profiles, \( C_l p_l(b) + C_g p_g(b) \). The factors \( C_l \) and \( C_g \) are varied independently between 0.1 and 10 in steps of 0.1. The minimum value of \( \chi^2 \) is obtained for \( C_p = 6.9 \), i.e., an increase of the pion contribution with respect to the GALPROP prediction by a factor of 6.9, and \( C_{IC} = 0.1 \). The resulting chance probability is 3\%. Performing the same fit to the inner Galaxy latitude profile yields an increase in the pion contribution of \( C_p = 5.8 \) and a decrease in the IC contribution of \( C_{IC} = 0.1 \) with respect to the GALPROP prediction. The chance probability of this result is 93\%, showing that there is no significant improvement between the two cases.

Figure 3 also shows the Galactic latitude profile for \( b \in [-10^\circ, 10^\circ] \) in the region above Cygnus (\( l \in [85^\circ, 110^\circ] \)). No significant enhancement near the Galactic plane is visible.

4. DISCUSSION

Measurements of the diffuse TeV \( \gamma \)-ray flux from the Galactic plane, as well as its spatial distribution, the latitude and longitude profiles, have been presented. The diffuse \( \gamma \)-ray flux was compared to predictions based on both the conventional and the optimized GALPROP models. In the \( l \in [30^\circ, 65^\circ] \) range, the optimized GALPROP prediction is consistent with the Milagro measurement. According to the GALPROP model, the diffuse emission near 15 TeV is dominated by the inverse Compton component, which in turn is dominated by \( \sim 100 \) TeV electrons scattering off the CMB (60\%–70\% of the IC component; see Fig. 2). Therefore, Milagro measurements can be interpreted as showing the first indication of the cosmic-ray spectrum up and beyond 100 TeV using the predictions of the GALPROP optimized model. The propagated average electron spectrum calculated by the optimized GALPROP model predicts a flux of about 4 times the locally measured flux and extends above 100 TeV, with a differential spectral index of \(-3\). An alternative explanation would be a harder nucleon injection spectrum in the inner Galaxy than measured locally, but this would have to be checked against local antiproton measurements (Moskalenko et al. 1998; Strong et al. 2007). Studies of the lateral \( \gamma \)-ray emission profile in the inner Galaxy show that the profile is rather narrow and suggest a better agreement with the pion assumption. Better sensitivity is needed, however, to significantly differentiate between the IC and the pion hypotheses.

The Cygnus region is the region with the most significant flux excess in the Milagro spatial maps. The emission from the Cygnus region of the Galaxy at longitude \( l \in [65^\circ, 85^\circ] \) shows an excess by a factor of 2 when compared to the GALPROP optimized model predictions. Also for the Cygnus region, the model predictions are dominated by IC scattering of electrons off...
the CMB (see Fig. 2). However, the measured and predicted profiles are inconsistent (see Fig. 3). Decreasing the IC contribution (which has a broad profile distribution) and increasing the pion contribution (which has a narrow profile distribution) with respect to the model prediction improves the agreement in the shape, reflecting that the latitude distribution measured by Milagro is rather narrow compared to the distribution predicted by the optimized GALPROP model.

The Cygnus region hosts intense star formation activity and is abundant with molecular clouds and candidate cosmic-ray sources. The HEGRA source TeV J2032+413 is located in the area with the largest concentration of molecular and atomic hydrogen in the Cygnus region and is spatially coincident with the Milagro source MGRO J2031+41 (Abdo et al. 2007a). For TeV J2032+413 an association has been proposed with Cygnus OB2, the largest cluster of more than 2700 identified young stars at 5000 lt-yr distance (Aharonian et al. 2005; Anchordoqui et al. 2007). Very close to TeV J2032+4130, the Very Large Array (VLA) has detected a weak nonthermal shell supernova remnant (Butt et al. 2008), and more recent XMM-Newton observations have revealed the presence of an extended X-ray source colocated with TeV J2032+4130, which could be produced by an unknown population of faint X-ray sources (Horns et al. 2007). In order to explain the X-ray and TeV emissions from TeV J2032+413, Horns et al. (2007) proposed both a hadronic and a leptonic scenario. In the hadronic scenario, the X-ray emission would be produced by the synchrotron emission of secondary electrons, and the emission above 10 TeV should show a hard spectrum. If, instead, the X-ray and TeV emissions were of leptonic origin, the spectrum above 10 TeV should be rather soft, due to the unavoidable Klein-Nishina suppression of the inverse Compton cross section.

If cosmic rays are injected into the Galactic interstellar medium by any of these candidate counterparts to TeV J2032+4130 and MGRO J2031+41, then the newly accelerated cosmic rays interact with the local gas and produce $\gamma$-rays within 100 pc from the source with spectra that might be significantly different from the average $\gamma$-ray spectrum, because the cosmic-ray spectrum has not yet been steepened by diffusion (Aharonian & Atoyan 1996). Assuming a distance of about 1 kpc, the extension of the entire Cygnus region is about 300 pc, and thus a single accelerator might strongly influence the entire region. Assuming the diffusion process to be energy dependent, the emission from a molecular cloud close to such an accelerator could be due to high-energy protons that have been accelerated first, have left the remnant first, and have already reached the cloud, as they diffuse faster. Thus this emission would have a flatter spectrum and could produce VHE $\gamma$-rays in the Milagro energy range during the first 10,000 yr after the SN explosion (Gabici & Aharonian 2007; Moskalenko et al. 2008). Leptonic mechanisms for the production of VHE $\gamma$-rays are disfavored by the fast cooling of such highly energetic electrons. Assuming a cosmic-ray source of total energy $10^{51}$ ergs that exploded less than 10,000 yr ago in the ISM and a molecular cloud of total mass greater than $10^4$ and less than $10^6$ solar masses (Dobashi et al. 1994), the hard-spectrum cosmic-ray nucleons scattering off the targets in the Cygnus region would produce a flux between $10^{-15}$ and $10^{-14}$ TeV$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ near 15 TeV (see Fig. 1 of Gabici & Aharonian 2007). Calculated from Table 1, the emission measured by Milagro near 15 TeV not explained by GALPROP is roughly $2.9 \times 10^{-14}$ TeV$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, meaning that only a few strong young accelerators in the Cygnus region are needed to explain the excess emission measured by Milagro.

The results herein and previously presented by the Milagro Collaboration provide further evidence for the existence of cosmic-ray accelerators in the Cygnus region of the Galaxy, favoring hadronic production mechanisms (Beacom & Kistler 2007; Anchordoqui et al. 2007; Butt et al. 2008). If the emission originates from hadronic interactions of high-spectrum cosmic rays, a corresponding flux of neutrinos will arise from the decay of charged pions, and neutrino detectors such as IceCube could provide the conclusive probe of proton acceleration in the Galaxy (Beacom & Kistler 2007; Gabici & Aharonian 2007).

Experiments like the Gamma-Ray Large Area Space Telescope (GLAST, with its improved sensitivity and angular resolution with respect to EGRET) will be able to separate the truly diffuse $\gamma$-ray emission from a potentially unresolved source component and probe the spatial distribution of the diffuse emission at GeV energies (the GeV excess). Experiments such as the proposed High Altitude Water Cerenkov (HAWC) detector will be able to constantly survey large regions of the sky, in particular the Galactic plane, at $\gamma$-ray energies up to ~100 TeV with 10–15 times the sensitivity of Milagro. Because of its more southerly location, it will also be more sensitive to Galactic plane regions below $l = 65^\circ$. This will put stricter constraints on models such as GALPROP and thus provide crucial information about the propagation of cosmic rays above 100 TeV.
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