Genotypic, physiological and biochemical features of *Desulfovibrio* strains in a sulfidogenic microbial community isolated from the soil of ferrosphere
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**Abstract.** The purpose of this work was the isolation of the predominant representatives of sulfate-reducing bacteria (SRB) of the sulfidogenic microbial community separated from the soil ferrosphere and the examination of their morphological, physiological, biochemical and genotypic peculiarities, the evaluation of some physiological processes under co-culturing with their satellite species *Anaerotignum propionicum*. During the study two isolates of sulfate-reducing bacteria NUChC SRB1 and NUChC SRB2 were obtained from sulfidogenic microbial community isolated from soil ferrosphere on Postgate’s “B” medium and their belonging to different strains (using ISSR-PCR method) was proved. As a result of molecular-genetic analysis of the strains, a 16S rRNA gene fragments of 613 bp and 522 bp were amplified and sequenced. The strains were identified as *Desulfovibrio oryzae* by the complex of microbiological, physiological and biochemical features and on the basis of 16S rRNA gene sequences (phylogenetic analysis). The 16S rRNA gene sequences were submitted in GenBank as MT102713 (NUChC SRB1) and MT102714 (NUChC SRB2). The co-cultivation of isolated SRB strains with *A. propionicum* NUChC Sat1 strain (in the absence of electron donors, the presence of sulfates and yeast extract) showed the formation of sulfur-reducing bacteria of hydrogen sulfide, which was not observed during their mono-cultivation. In this case, the phenomenon of syntrophy probably takes place- co-growth on the nutrient substrate, and the electron donor appears due to the use of the yeast extract compounds by the NUChC Sat1 strain. Therefore, in the sulfidogenic community isolated from the soil ferrosphere, there is a mutual growth of the association of bacteria *D. oryzae* and *A. propionicum*, which is caused by trophic interaction. Possibly the contribution of these associated bacteria to the corrosion process lies in the utilization of hydrogen (D. oryzae) and the formation of substrate products of SRB metabolism (hydrogen and organic acids), which are both corrosive compounds (A. propionicum). Without a doubt the corrosion process involving this association needs further investigation.
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**Abbreviations**

- ISSR-PCR – inter-simple sequence repeat polymerase chain reaction
- MIC – microbially influenced corrosion
- SRB – sulfate-reducing bacteria

**1. Introduction**

In the soil that contacts with the surface of metal structures (in the ferrosphere), microbial communities develop. Sulfate-reducing bacteria (SRB) are permanent members of these communities (Beech & Gaylarde, 1999; Marchal, 1999; Andreyuk et al., 2005). Hydrogen sulfide generated
by them activates corrosion of metal structures and leads to undesirable environmental consequences (Beech & Gaylarde, 1999; Marchal, 1999; Andreyuk et al., 2005).

Currently, sulphidogenic microbial community, consortia in which SRB are the dominant group, are actively involved in microbiologically influenced corrosion (MIC) (Beech & Gaylarde, 1999; Marchal, 1999; Andreyuk et al., 2005; Purish & Asaulenko, 2007). The study of the life processes of representatives of sulphidogenic community is important for the development and search of more effective measures against microbiologically influenced corrosion (Andreyuk et al., 2005).

In sulphidogenic community, sulfate-reducing bacteria participate in close interactions, particularly trophic ones, with bacteria of other physiological groups (Netrusov et al., 2004; Suarez et al., 2019). The most important trophic pathways in the anaerobic community to which the sulphidogen community belongs are hydrogen and acetate pathways (Netrusov et al., 2004).

Sulphidogens are linked by close trophic bonds to anaerobic heterotrophs using H₂, organic acids and other metabolites of these bacteria (Peck & Lissolo, 1988; Rozanova & Nazina, 1989a). Hydrogen sulfide, hydrogen, organic acids are corrosive compounds of metabolites of SRB and heterotrophic organic acids-producing bacteria (Beech & Gaylarde, 1999; Andreyuk et al., 2005) with which SRB are associated (Herro & Port, 1993; AlAbbas et al., 2013). Machuca et al. (2017) have recently demonstrated that localised corrosion underneath a complex oilfield deposit was greatly accelerated by fermenting, thiosulphate-reducing bacteria. Bacteria with a fermentative type of metabolism can exert potential MIC reactions such as:

- Anodic reaction (iron oxidation) Fe → Fe²⁺ + 2e⁻;
- Cathodic reaction (proton reduction) 2H⁺ + 2e⁻ → H₂;
- Chemical reaction Fe(s) + 2HAc → Fe²⁺ + 2Ac⁻ + H₂;

Dahle & Birkeland, 2006; Duncan, 2010; Madigan et al., 2014; Li et al., 2018).

The inherent aggressiveness of MIC is thought to be due to the synergistic and syntrophic activities of microorganisms within a consortium. For decades, microbial syntrophic (cross-feeding) associations have been a topic of interest in the field of MIC (Suarez et al., 2019). Today the relationship between sulphate-reducing microorganisms and methanogens has been identified as an essential mechanism for corrosion (Deutzmann et al., 2015; Ozuelmez et al., 2015; Conlette, 2016).

At the same time, organic acid-producing bacteria from sulphidogenic microbial consortia have received little attention, despite their important role in such community, in particular as producers of nutrient substrates for SRB. At present, it is believed that acid-producing bacteria play only a minor role in microbiologically influenced corrosion processes (Gu, 2014).

Previously, anaerobic satellite of SRB – Anaerotignum (Clostridium) propionicum, which (by the characteristic of the species) forms organic acids and intensively produces H₂ (Bergey’s Manual of Systematic Bacteriology, 2009) was isolated (Tkachuk et al., 2018), but predominant representatives of the ecological-trophic group SRB of the sulphidogenic microbial community isolated from the soil ferrosphere were not obtained.

Therefore, the purpose of this work was the isolation of the predominant representatives of SRB of the sulphidogenic microbial community isolated from the soil ferrosphere and the examination of their morphological, physiological, biochemical and genotypic peculiarities, the evaluation of some physiological processes under co-culturing with their satellite species A. propionicum.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Sample collection

Soil sample, which was in direct contact with the surface of the metal structure (ferrosphere) was taken from a depth of 0.7 m and used to isolate of the pure bacterial cultures (Andreyuk et al., 2005). Sample was collected using sterile glass flask and was immediately transported to the laboratory and stored at 4 ºC in the refrigerator.

2.2. Organisms and growing conditions

Pure cultures of NUChC SRB1 and NUChC SRB2 isolated from the sulphidogenic community of the soil ferrosphere by conventional method were used for the study (Romanenko & Kuznetsov, 1974).

We also used a strain of organic acid-producing bacteria A. propionicum NUChC Sat1, previously isolated by us from the same sulphidogenic community (Tkachuk et al., 2018), which was submitted in GenBank as MG924747.

Investigation of the purity of cultures was performed by microscopy under a light microscope (Delta Optical Genetic Pro microscope) with immersion at magnification x1000. Preparations-smears were made and stained with a solution of crystalline violet according to the simple conventional method (Pimenova et al., 1983).

The cultivation was carried out in liquid Postgate’s “C” medium under anaerobic conditions, completely filling the tubes with medium and closing them with rubber stoppers. The incubation was carried out for 14 days at 29°C.
2.3. Investigation of some microbiological and physiological-biochemical properties of the isolated strains of SRB

The study of bacterial morphology was performed using light microscopy (Delta Optical Genetic Pro microscope) for magnification x 400 and x1000, and electron microscopy (electron microscope BS-540 (Tesla, Czechoslovakia) for magnification x22000.

Cells of microorganisms were stained by Gram’s method in Kalina’s modification (Dikiy et al., 2002). The morphological analysis of the colonies was carried out according to the conventional scheme. The ability of bacteria to form endospores was investigated by the conventional method (Pimenova et al., 1983). The investigations of the presence of catalase and oxidase were performed by conventional methods (Pimenova et al., 1983; Methods of General Bacteriology, 1984; Dikiy et al., 2002).

The ability of bacteria to use different electron donors such as organic acids (formate, acetate, propionate, lactate, fumarate and malate) and carbohydrates (glucose and fructose) was investigated. The compounds were added at a concentration of 5 μM to Postgate’s «C» medium without yeast extract (except for the formate in which the yeast extract was added in an amount of 1 g/L medium).

The ability of bacteria to use different electron acceptors such as sulfate, sulfite, thiosulfate, fumarate in the amount of 4.5 g/L (Asaulenko et al., 2010) and nitrate (Peretyatko & Gudz, 2011) was investigated in Postgate’s “C” medium. Ferum (II) sulfate was replaced by ferric (II) chloride. Anaerobic conditions were created by pouring the medium to the edges of the tubes and closing them with rubber stoppers. The cultivation temperature was 29°C.

Bacterial growth on medium with different electron donors and acceptors was evaluated visually by hydrogen sulfide formation and blackening of the medium.

2.4. Molecular-genetic analysis of isolated strains

To identify bacteria the sequencing and analysis of 16S rRNA gene were carried out. DNA isolation, amplification with 27F and 1492r primers, sequencing of 16S rRNA gene using 27F primer, phylogenetic analysis procedures were performed as described in (Tkachuk et al., 2017). The nucleotide sequences were deposited in GenBank as Desulfovibrio oryzae with accession numbers MT102713 (NUChC SRB1) and MT102714 (NUChC SRB2).

The basic sequence statistics of 16S rDNA, including conserved sites, variable sites, parsimony informative sites, singleton sites and calculation of pairwise distances were analyzed with MEGA6 software (Tamura et al., 2013). Genome variability and strain differentiation were analyzed by ISSR-PCR (Inter-simple sequence repeat polymerase chain reaction). Total DNA was isolated from bacterial cell suspension using GeneJet Genomic DNA Purification Kit (ThermoScientific), according to manufacturer’s procedure. PCR-mix (total volume 20 μl) consisted of 10 μl 2x DreamTaq PCR Master Mix (ThermoScientific), 30 pmol primer and 30 ng DNA. Each PCR-mix contained only one primer to dinucleotide repeats: (GA)₉C or (GA)₉T. Amplification was carried out with the thermocycler Mastercycler Personal 5332 (Eppendorf, Germany) under the following conditions: one cycle – 95°C, 2 min; 45 cycles – 95°C, 30 sec; 52°C, 45 sec; 72°C, 2 min; final extension – 72°C, 7 min. PCR products were electrophoretically separated on a 1.7% TBE-agarose gel in TBE buffer and stained with ethidium bromide. Results were visualized under UV-light.

2.5. The investigation of the growth of SRB NUChC SRB1 and NUChC SRB2 co-cultured together with A. propionicum NUChC Sat1 on a medium without electron donors

5-days cultures with an optical density of 0.5 McFarland were used. 1 ml of bacterial suspension was added to tubes with Postgate’s “C” medium (with sulfates and yeast extract without the addition of electron donor) as monocultures and their associations (NUChC Sat1 + NUChC SRB1 and NUChC Sat1 + NUChC SRB2). The growth of the cultures was evaluated visually by the turbidity of the medium and the formation of hydrogen sulfide. A sterile Postgate’s “C” medium with sulfates, yeast extract and without electron donor served as a control. The cultivation was carried out under anaerobic conditions, pouring the medium to the edges of the tubes and closing them with rubber stoppers. The incubation was carried out for 14 days at 29°C.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Microbiological properties of strains

During the investigation, two clear black SRB colonies with a diameter of 1 mm (NUChC SRB1 isolate) and 2 mm (NUChC SRB2 isolate) were isolated in Postgate’s “B” medium in a sulfidogenic microbial community isolated from the soil ferrosphere (Fig. 1). After repeated replanting on Postgate’s liquid and agar medium, two cultures were found to be pure and used in the further studies.
The shape of both colonies is round, the texture is soft. Bacteria NUChC SRB1 and NUChC SRB2 are mobile vibrios with rounded ends, 4.6 ± 0.3 μm and 1.8 ± 0.1 μm in length, respectively, monotrichs (Fig. 2). The cells are gram-negative (Fig. 3). Both isolates are catalase-negative, oxidase-positive. The endospores are not formed.

Therefore, microbiological characteristics according to Bergey’s Manual of Systematic Bacteriology (Bergey’s Manual of Systematic Bacteriology, 2005) prove that the isolated bacteria can belong to the *Desulfovibrionaceae* family.

### 3.2. Identification of isolates by 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis

To define taxonomic and systematic position of bacteria as a general rule sequencing and detailed analysis of 16S rDNA are exploited. Isolates of the same genus represent more than 95% similarity and those of the same species – more than 98% (Stackebrandt & Ebers, 2006).

As a result of 16S rRNA gene sequencing 613-bp (NUChC SRB1) and 522-bp (NUChC SRB2) nucleotide fragments were obtained and compared with known 16S rRNA gene sequences from GenBank. 98-99% similarity

Figure 1. Colonies SRB on Postgate’s «B» agar medium (5th day of cultivation): a – isolate NUChC SRB1; b – NUChC SRB2 isolate

Figure 2. Electronic microphotographs of bacteria: (a) NUChC SRB1 and (b) NUChC SRB2 (magnification x22000)

Figure 3. Microphotographs of SRB cells: (a) NUChC SRB1 and (b) NUChC SRB2 (staining by Gram’s method in Kalina’s modification, light microscopy, immersion, x1000)
was revealed between NUChC SRB1 or NUChC SRB2 and strains representing various species of *Desulfovibrio* genus such as *D. longreachensis*, *D. termitidis*, *D. oxamicus*, *D. vulgaris* while the percent identity between two analyzed strains NUChC SRB1 and NUChC SRB2 was 99.6% with the query coverage of 85%.

To analyze nucleotide diversity within 16S rRNA gene fragment the basic sequences statistics between 9 representatives of *Desulfovibrio* genus was also performed. It included type strains of *D. longreachensis*, *D. termitidis*, *D. oxamicus*, *D. vulgaris*, *D. oryzae*, *D. burkinensis*, *D. aminophilus* and two new isolated strains – NUChC SRB1 and NUChC SRB2. The aligned 16S rDNA sequences were trimmed and compared. The length of fragments varied from 521 bp to 527 bp with an average size of 523 bp. The percentage of variable sites accounted 21%, 5% were parsimony informative sites and 15% represented singleton sites. Since parsimony informative and singleton sites between NUChC SRB1 and NUChC SRB2 were not detected it might indicate that the studied isolates belong to the same species.

To infer relationships between different species of *Desulfovibrio* genus the pairwise distances of 8 *Desulfovibrio* strains were calculated and a phylogenetic tree on the basis of 16S rDNA sequences was constructed (Fig. 4). The lowest values of genetic distance were detected between *D. oryzae* type strain and new isolates, the highest values – between *D. aminophilus* and the rest strains.

There were 2 clusters of *Desulfovibrio* species on the dendrogram. The first cluster consisted of *D. oryzae* group, type strain and 2 new isolated strains NUChC SRB1, NUChC SRB2, combined with *D. longreachensis* type strain. The second cluster was formed of *D. oxamicus* and *D. termitidis* type strains. These clusters joined together and differentiated from the others *Desulfovibrio* species – *D. vulgaris*, *D. burkinensis* and *D. aminophilus*. Thus, the classification of *Desulfovibrio* species on the dendrogram defined new isolates as *D. oryzae*.

Thus, on the basis of complex molecular-genetic features, *D. oryzae* is the closest species to the new strains.

It should be noted that the *D. oryzae* species is currently poorly studied. In the literature available to us there is only one brief description of the species (Salgar-Chaparro & Silva-Plata, 2008). Some *D. oryzae* strains have been isolated from a community of oil–related water associated with the corrosion process (Salgar-Chaparro & Silva-Plata, 2008; Duque et al., 2011).

In general, bacteria of the *Desulfovibrio* genus are the best-known examples of SRB which have been considered to be the most common stimulants of bio-corrosion (Chang et al., 2014).

Thus, the work of Purish et al. (2014) shows the development of sulfate-reducing bacteria, which differ in morphological and physiological properties, in areas of urban district heating systems in different temperature operating conditions. At the sites operated at temperatures of 35-45°C, the authors found bacteria of the genus *Desulfovibrio*, and at the sites with a temperature of 60°C – bacteria of the genus *Desulfotomaculum* and *Desulfomicrobium* were detected.

Unfortunately, researchers of microbiologically influenced corrosion often do not perform species-specific bacterial identification, focusing on generic identification. It ought to be remarked that the identification and biochemical characteristic of the properties of microbes isolated from microbiologically influenced corrosion is important because the knowledge of the species of microorganisms and their mechanisms of action is the basis for the detection, monitoring or control of MIC (Kan et al., 2011).

```
Desulfovibrio oryzae DDv (AF273083.1)
Desulfovibrio oryzae NUChC SRB1 (MT102713) *
Desulfovibrio oryzae NUChC SRB2 (MT102714)*
Desulfovibrio longreachensis 16910a (NR_029364.2)
Desulfovibrio oxamicus DSM 1925 (NR_043567.1)
Desulfovibrio termitidis H11 (NR_026255.1)
Desulfovibrio vulgaris DSM 644 (NR_041855.1)
Desulfovibrio burkinensis HDv (NR_024895.1)
Desulfovibrio aminophilus ALA-3 (NR_024916.1)
```

Figure 4. Results of phylogenetic analysis of the studied isolates and other representatives of the *Desulfovibrionaceae* family. The test isolates are indicated by an asterisk.
For species-specific identification of SRB, in particular *Desulfovibrio* species, research on the use of electron donors and acceptors is crucial (Bergey’s Manual of Systematic Bacteriology, 2005).

### 3.3. Use of electron donors and acceptors by the studied SRB

The results of the study of the use of donors and electron acceptors by the studied isolates and their comparison with bacteria *D. longreachensis*, *D. termitidis*, *D. oxamicus* and *D. oryzae* (Trinkerl et al., 1990; Redburn & Patel, 1994; Bergey’s Manual of Systematic Bacteriology, 2005; López-Cortés et al., 2006; Salgar-Chaparro & Silva-Plata, 2008; Kuever, 2014) are summarized in the Table 1.

It was found that the isolates under the study are able to use lactate and formate as electron donors. Acetate, fumarate, propionate, malate, fructose and glucose are not used as electron donors. As electron acceptors, the NUChC SRB1 isolate uses sulfate and thiosulfate, but does not use sulfite, fumarate and nitrate. NUChC SRB2 isolate uses sulfate, thiosulfate and fumarate as electron acceptors, but does not use sulfite and nitrate.

Thus, according to the complex of cultural-morphological, physiological-biochemical and genetic signs, SRB isolates NUChC SRB1 and NUChC SRB2 are classified as *Desulfovibrio oryzae*.

### 3.4. Genome variability of new isolates

Bacterial genomes contain a variety of nucleotide repeats that can be part of coding as well as non-coding sequences. Nucleotide repeats differ in their size of the repeating unit, the length or number of repeats, orientation, location, etc. (Smirnov, 2010). The satellite DNA can be defined depending on the size of repeating unit as micro-, mini- and macrosatellites. ISSR-PCR analysis was carried out in our study to evaluate genome variability and differentiate the isolates

---

**Table 1.** The use of some compounds as electron donors and acceptors by isolates of *Desulfovibrio* sp. NUChC SRB1 and *Desulfovibrio* sp. NUChC SRB2

| Compound   | *D. longreachensis* | *D. termitidis* | *D. oxamicus* | *D. oryzae* | Desulfovibrio sp. NUChC SRB1 | Desulfovibrio sp. NUChC SRB2 |
|------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| The electron donor |                     |                |               |             |                             |                             |
| Formate    | nd                  | +*             | +*            | nd          | +*                          | +*                          |
| Acetate    | –                   | –              | –             | –           | –                           | –                           |
| Lactate    | +                   | +              | +             | +           | +                           | +                           |
| Fumarate   | +                   | nd             | –             | +           | –                           | –                           |
| Propionate | –                   | nd             | nd            | nd          | –                           | –                           |
| Malate     | –                   | –              | nd            | nd          | –                           | –                           |
| Fructose   | nd                  | +              | –             | nd          | –                           | –                           |
| Glucose    | nd                  | +              | –             | nd          | –                           | –                           |
| The electron acceptor |                     |                |               |             |                             |                             |
| Sulfate    | +                   | +              | +             | +           | +                           | +                           |
| Sulfite    | +                   | +              | nd            | nd          | –                           | –                           |
| Thiosulfate| +                   | +              | nd            | +           | +                           | +                           |
| Fumarate   | +                   | nd             | nd            | –           | –                           | +                           |
| Nitrate    | nd                  | +              | –             | –           | –                           | –                           |

Note: “+” – the sign is inherent; “–” – the sign is not inherent; “nd” – not determined; “*” – in the presence of yeast extract.
new *D. oryzae* strains by assessing the distribution of microsatellite repeats in bacterial genome. For this purpose amplification with primers to short repeats, with the size of the repeating unit 2 nucleotides, was performed. Dinucleotide repeats are among the most changeable in numbers repeats and thus represents the highly polymorphic genome regions. ISSR-markers are widely used in gene mapping studies, genotyping bacterial species and strains, phylogenetic and taxonomic researches (Zelena et al., 2011).

The results of PCR-analysis showed that each sample was characterized by specific set of amplicons suggesting the differences in the distribution of dinucleotide repeats in the primary DNA sequence of strains, and, consequently, distinguishing samples and defining them as different strains. In general, the total amplicon pattern when amplified with primer (GA)$_9$C consisted of 10 fragments, ranging in size from 400 to 3000 bp, and with primer (GA)$_8$T – 12 PCR-products the size of which varied within 300 – 3000 bp. (see Fig. 5). Therefore, the new isolated SRB belong to different strains.

The most corrosive damages are observed in the presence of multispecies communities. Researchers say that several microorganisms that cause corrosion in the biofilm can act synergistically and promote stronger corrosion than in the presence of only a single species (Zuo, 2007; Lee et al., 2013).

3.5. The growth of the tested SRB strains co-cultured together with *A. propionicum* NUChC Sat1

Further, during the study, the development of isolated strains of sulfate-reducing bacteria *D. oryzae* NUChC SRB1 and *D. oryzae* NUChC SRB2 on Postgate’s “C” medium (with sulfates, yeast extract and without electron donor) was visually evaluated both in the form of monocultures and associations with *A. propionicum* NUChC Sat1. The results of the study are summarized in the Table 2.

It was found that all the tested strains are able to grow on a Postgate’s “C” medium with sulfates and yeast extract without electron donors. However, sulfate reduction in SRB of NUChC SRB1 and NUChC SRB2 strains in monocultures was not observed under these conditions. In the presence of yeast extract without electron donor strains probably grow fermentatively using for this yeast extract, and are not capable of sulfate reduction.

During co-cultivation of the NUChC Sat1 strain with the NUChC SRB1 and NUChC SRB2 strains both SRB development and hydrogen sulfide formation were observed. This indicates the appearance of an electron donor in the medium, which is used by the SRB for the sulfate reduction process. As it is known yeast extract includes water-soluble yeast cell components, which are composed mainly
of amino acids, peptides, carbohydrates and salts (Yeast Extract. URL: http://hefeextrakt.info/public/documents/yeast-products/yeast_extract.pdf).

Apparently, *A. propionicum* NUChC Sat1 utilizes these compounds in the process of metabolism and forms metabolites (in particular H₂), which are electron donors for sulfate reduction process of the NUChC SRB1 and NUChC SRB2. In this case, the phenomenon of syntrophy is probably observed – mutual growth on the nutrient substrate (Netrusov et al., 2004).

The growth of *Desulfovibrio* strains due to fermentation (in the absence of oxidized sulfur compounds, nitrate and nitrite) or in syntrophic cultures is analyzed in work (Rozanova & Nazina, 1989b). In particular, the authors refer to the work of Phelps et al., 1985, which identified a change in metabolism aimed at intensification of hydrogen formation in the associations of *D. vulgaris* and *Methanosarcina barkeri* cultures. They noted a new type of associative bonds when due to the influence of a sulfate-reducing satellite, which catches the medium product, the direction of the catabolic process of another member of the association changes.

### 4. Conclusions

Thus, two isolates of sulfate-reducing bacteria NUChC SRB1 and NUChC SRB2 were obtained from sulfidogenic microbial community isolated from soil ferrosphere on Postgate’s “B” medium and their belonging to different strains was proved. According to the complex of cultural-morphological, physiological-biochemical and genetic traits, the strains NUChC SRB1 and NUChC SRB2 are classified as *Desulfovibrio oryzae*.

Co-cultivation of the studied strains with *Anaerotignum propionicum* NUChC Sat1 (without of electron donors, the presence of sulfates and yeast extract) showed the formation by sulfur-reducing bacteria of hydrogen sulfide, which was not observed in the case of cultivation of monocultures. In this case a phenomenon of syntrophy seems to take place and the electron donor appears due to the use of the yeast extract compounds by the NUChC Sat1 strain.

Therefore, in the sulfidogenic community isolated from the soil ferrosphere, there is a mutual growth of the association of bacteria *Desulfovibrio oryzae* and *Anaerotignum propionicum*, which is caused by trophic interaction. Possibly the contribution of these associated bacteria to the corrosion process lies in the utilization of hydrogen (*D. oryzae*) and the formation of substrate products of SRB metabolism (hydrogen and organic acids), which are both corrosive compounds (*A. propionicum*). The corrosion process with the involvement of this association needs further investigation.
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