Solar chemical composition in the hot gas of cool-core ellipticals, groups, and clusters of galaxies
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The hot intracluster medium (ICM) pervading galaxy clusters and groups is rich in metals, which were synthesized by billions of supernovae and have accumulated in cluster gravitational wells for several gigayears. Since the products of both Type Ia and core-collapse supernovae – expected to explode over different time-scales – are found in the ICM, constraining accurately the chemical composition of these hot atmospheres can provide invaluable information on the history of the enrichment of large-scale structures. Recently, Hitomi observations reported solar abundance ratios in the core of the Perseus cluster, in tension with previous XMM–Newton measurements obtained for 44 cool-core clusters, groups, and massive ellipticals (the CHEERS sample). In this work, we revisit the CHEERS results by using an updated version of the spectral code used to fit the data (SPEXACT v3), the same that was used to obtain the Hitomi measurements. Despite limitations in the spectral resolution, the average Cr/Fe and Ni/Fe ratios are now found to be remarkably consistent with unity and in excellent agreement with the Hitomi results. Our updated measurements suggest that the solar composition of the ICM of Perseus is a common feature in nearby cool-core systems.
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2 METHODS

2.1 The sample

The sample, the data reduction, and the spectral analysis and strategies are all described in detail in Paper I. Like our present work that previous study focused on the XMM–Newton observations of 44 nearby (z < 0.1) cool-core clusters, groups, and ellipticals, all being part of the CHEERS project (see also Pinto et al. 2015; de Plaa et al. 2017). The main criterion of the sample is the detection of the O VIII emission line measured by the RGS instrument with > 5σ of significance. In this way, we ensure selecting clusters with prominent metal lines in their cores. This allows a robust determination of most of the abundances also with the EPIC instruments.

As explained in Paper I, by selection the 24 hottest systems of the sample (kT > 1.7 keV; ‘clusters’) are investigated within 0.2r500 while the remaining 20 cooler systems (kT < 1.7 keV; ‘groups/ellipticals’) could only be studied within 0.05r500. The only exception is the very nearby elliptical galaxy M 87, whose central temperature is about ∼2 keV but whose 0.2r500 limit stands beyond the EPIC field of view. This system is thus studied within 0.05r500. In Paper I, we showed that adopting these different extraction radii has negligible impact on our final results.

2.2 Reanalysis of our data

Since 1996, the original MEKAL code, used to model thermal plasmas (Mewe 1972; Mewe, Gronenschild & van den Oord 1985; Mewe, Lemen & van den Oord 1986), had been developed independently within the SPEX spectral fitting package (Kaastra et al. 1996) and gradually improved. Up to the version 2.06, the code used an atomic data base and a collection of routines that are all referred to SPEXACT (SPEX Atomic Code and Tables) v2. Since 2016, however, a major update (version 3.04, hereafter SPEXACT v3) has been released on both the atomic data base and the corresponding routines. As described in de Plaa et al. (2017) and Mernier et al. (2018), the main changes include the incorporation of ~400 times more transitions (including higher principal quantum numbers for H-like and He-like ions) as well as (i) a more realistic treatment of the radiative recombination emission (Mao & Kaastra 2016), (ii) improvements in collisional ionization calculations (Urdampilleta, Kaastra & Mehdipour 2017), and (iii) updates of collisional (de-)excitation rates, radiative transition probabilities, autoionization, and dielectronic recombination rates.

In Paper I, the O/Fe and Ne/Fe ratios were already corrected to their SPEXACT v3 estimates; therefore, there is no need to reconsider them further and we devote this Letter to the EPIC measurements of Mg/Fe, Si/Fe, S/Fe, Ar/Fe, Ca/Fe, Cr/Fe, Mn/Fe, and Ni/Fe. The general fitting procedure, including (i) the estimation of the hydrogen column density nH, (ii) the treatment of the EPIC background, and (iii) the approach of refitting K-shell lines locally, is described extensively in Paper I. The only exception regarding (iii) is M 87, which shows significantly different ‘full band’ and ‘local’ Fe values, and for which we adopt the latter (since its Fe-L complex may suffer from unexpected biases, such as a complex temperature structure). In addition, we account for the multitemperature state of the plasma by adopting the approach described in Mernier et al. (2018). In short, three thermal components are assumed, each with free temperatures. The emission measures of the hotter and cooler...
component, however, are tied to half that of the main component (of temperature $kT_{\text{mean}}$) in order to approximate a Gaussian temperature distribution (the gdem model; see e.g. Paper I) with reasonable computing resources.

3 RESULTS

In Fig. 1, we report the Mg/Fe, Si/Fe, and S/Fe ratios of the CHEERS systems as a function of their $kT_{\text{mean}}$. We choose to report the EPIC MOS and pn results individually because these instruments are known to have slight but significant discrepancies in their best-fitting parameters (Mernier et al. 2015; Schellenberger et al. 2015). It clearly appears that these ratios remain very similar in the full range of $kT_{\text{mean}}$ considered here. In fact, when averaging these ratios over the ‘clusters’ and ‘groups/ellipticals’ subsamples (Fig. 1, dashed lines and filled areas), we find that except a moderate ($\sim 26$ per cent) decrease of Mg/Fe from ‘clusters’ to ‘groups/ellipticals’ in the MOS measurements, the other measurements show either $< 1\sigma$ consistent mean ratios, or very limited (i.e. less than 15 per cent) differences, with no systematic trend. Since Mg, Si, and S may be considered as reliable tracers of SNcc products while Fe originates predominantly from SNIa (Paper II), the similar chemical composition in all our systems strongly suggests that SNIa and SNcc enrich the hot atmosphere of clusters, groups, and ellipticals with the same relative importance. Similar conclusions were obtained by De Grandi & Molendi (2009), de Plaa et al. (2007), and in Paper I (albeit with more restricted samples and/or outdated spectral codes).

The remarkable similarity of the X/Fe ratios in all these systems also allows us to average them over the entire sample in order to obtain a combined abundance pattern, representative of the (nearby cool-core) ICM as a whole. These CHEERS average abundance ratios are shown in Fig. 2 for MOS and pn independently (along with the RGS measurements of O/Fe and Ne/Fe from Paper I). Because in most cases the statistical uncertainties are lower than the respective MOS-pn discrepancies, it is very important to account for the latter in our total uncertainties. In order to be conservative, for each ratio we consider the two extreme values reached by the individual MOS and pn measurements (and their 1σ statistical uncertainties) as the total MOS-pn cross-calibration uncertainties (green darker boxes in Fig. 2). This approach allows to define mean values for the X/Fe ratios and their associated conservative limits ($\sigma_{\text{cons}}$; including the statistical uncertainties and, when applicable, the MOS-pn discrepancies), as provided in Table 1.

The Ni/Fe ratio deserves some extra attention. In particular, the large discrepancy between MOS and pn measurements suggests that this ratio is very sensitive to the instrumental background. In fact, in pn spectra we note the presence of two strong and unstable fluorescent lines, at $\sim 7.5$ keV (Ni Kα) and $\sim 8.0$ keV (Cu Kα). Since these instrumental lines are partly blended with the Ni-K complex from the source, they strongly bias the pn measurements of the Ni abundance. On the contrary, no instrumental feature is reported in MOS spectra within this band. This explains the inconsistent values between MOS and pn, which previously led to large systematic

![Figure 1. Abundance ratios (top: Mg/Fe; middle: Si/Fe; bottom: S/Fe) as a function of the mean temperature of the CHEERS systems (using SPEXACT v3). EPIC MOS and pn measurements are shown separately. The vertical dotted line ($kT_{\text{mean}} = 1.7$ keV) separates the ‘groups/ellipticals’ and the ‘clusters’ subsamples. The blue and red horizontal dashed lines (and filled areas) indicate the mean values (and errors) averaged over these two subsamples for MOS and pn, respectively (see text).](https://academic.oup.com/mnrasl/article-abstract/480/1/L95/5057490)

![Figure 2. Average abundance ratios re-estimated from the entire CHEERS sample (using SPEXACT v3), for EPIC MOS and pn separately (blue and red data points), then adopting conservative limits accounting for the MOS-pn discrepancies (green darker boxes) and possible additional scatter (green lighter boxes). For Ni/Fe, only the MOS measurements are considered in these conservative limits (see text). The O/Fe and Ne/Fe ratios (grey boxes) are adopted from Paper I (already corrected from SPEXACT v3). The same conservative limits obtained when excluding Perseus from the sample are shown by the black contours.](https://academic.oup.com/mnrasl/article-abstract/480/1/L95/5057490)

| Element | Mean value | $\sigma_{\text{cons}}$ | $\sigma_{\text{int}}$ | $\sigma_{\text{tot}}$ |
|---------|------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| O/Fe    | 0.817      | 0.018                  | 0.174                  | 0.175                  |
| Ne/Fe   | 0.724      | 0.028                  | 0.130                  | 0.133                  |
| Mg/Fe   | 0.937      | 0.071                  | 0.013                  | 0.072                  |
| Si/Fe   | 0.949      | 0.034                  | 0.051                  | 0.061                  |
| S/Fe    | 1.004      | 0.021                  | –                      | 0.021                  |
| Ar/Fe   | 0.980      | 0.085                  | –                      | 0.085                  |
| Ca/Fe   | 1.272      | 0.103                  | –                      | 0.103                  |
| Cr/Fe   | 0.986      | 0.188                  | –                      | 0.188                  |
| Mn/Fe   | 1.557      | 0.774                  | –                      | 0.774                  |
| Ni/Fe   | 0.959      | 0.073                  | 0.375                  | 0.382                  |
Figure 3. Comparison of our updated (SPEXACT v3) averaged abundance ratios (blue squares; thick error bars) with statistical uncertainties and MOS-pn discrepancies while thin error bars include additional scatter) with recent results from the literature. For comparison, current uncertainties on the solar ratios (Lodders et al. 2009) are shown by the grey boxes.

In addition, individual measurements may also have an (limited) intrinsic scatter, due to the slight differences in the chemical histories of each system. This additional uncertainty, $\sigma_{\text{int}}$, is evaluated as described in Paper I. In short, the combined MOS-pn measurements are fit with a constant. If $\chi^2$/d.o.f. $>$ 1, we increment $\sigma_{\text{int}}$ that we add in quadrature to all the individual measurements until $\chi^2$/d.o.f. reaches unity. This scatter (green lighter boxes in Fig. 2) is then added in quadrature to the MOS-pn discrepancies (or, for the Ni/Fe ratio, to the MOS results only) to obtain our final uncertainties, $\sigma_{\text{tot}}$ (see Table 1).

Our CHEERS abundance pattern is found to be remarkably consistent with the solar ratios. However, because (i) Perseus is the brightest object of the CHEERS sample and (ii) the abundance pattern of that system is already known to be solar (H17; S18), one might wonder how this particular system weights the results of our entire sample. In Fig. 2 (black contours), we show how the conservative limits presented above change when the Perseus measurements are excluded from the sample. The excellent consistency between the two patterns clearly illustrates that, like Perseus, most other systems also show a chemical composition that is surprisingly close to solar.

4 COMPARISON WITH PREVIOUS MEASUREMENTS

We have shown that, when applying the latest atomic data base to the CHEERS sample, the chemical composition of the ICM is found to be remarkably similar to that of the Solar neighbourhood. As shown in Fig. 3, this result is in excellent agreement with the recent measurements of several abundance ratios in the Perseus core by Hitomi (H17; see also S18), although the measurements were done on different systems (the CHEERS sample versus Perseus) and with different instruments (EPIC CCDs versus SXS micro-calorimeter). The solar Cr/Fe and Ni/Fe ratios found in this work differ from those in Paper I. We conclude that the previous discrepancies in Cr/Fe and Ni/Fe reported by H17 (see their fig. 2) were due to the use of different spectral code versions rather than the moderate spectral resolution of EPIC. These solar abundance ratios were also obtained in Perseus using the up-to-date version of APEC, with limited differences compared to SPEXACT v3 (S18; see also Hitomi Collaboration et al. 2018).

Among the SPEXACT v2–v3 differences seen in Fig. 3, the Ni/Fe ratio is the most striking. To better understand the reasons for such a decrease, we show in Fig. 4 the CIE emission calculated successively with these two versions for a moderately hot plasma ($kT = 3$ keV, solar abundances). Within the Ni-K energy band ($\sim 7.5$–$8$ keV), only Fe and Ni ions produce emission lines, and we separate the transitions of these two elements in the upper and lower panels of Fig. 4, respectively.

Although the emissivities of many Ni lines have been notably revised with the latest update of SPEXACT, we note that the overall equivalent width (EW) of all the Ni-K lines remain comparable between v2 and v3 (Fig. 4, lower panel). On the contrary, while SPEXACT v2 includes only one Fe transition in the Ni-K complex (Fe XXV at $\sim 7.88$ keV), SPEXACT v3 shows that many more Fe lines (mostly from Fe XXIII, Fe XXIV, and Fe XXV) contaminate this energy band (Fig. 4, upper panel). Assuming that SPEXACT v3 reproduces realistically all the transitions that contribute to the Ni-K bump observed with EPIC, the incorrectly high Ni/Fe ratio measured in Paper I can be naturally explained. Indeed, in order to compensate for the total EW of the unaccounted Fe lines in the Ni-K complex, SPEXACT v2 incorrectly raised the Ni parameter until it fully fits the Ni-K bump.

Unlike the other ratios measured with EPIC, Cr/Fe and Mn/Fe mentioned in Paper I were not calculated with SPEXACT v2 but with a precursor of SPEXACT v3.00. Between that intermediate version and the one used in this work (v3.04), significant transitions have been included and/or updated. In particular, Cr xxii transitions significantly contribute to the total EW of the Cr-K complex, but were only incorporated later on, in the up-to-date version. The absence of such transitions in previous models explain why the Cr/Fe was significantly overestimated. Similarly, the EW of Mn-K transitions have been revised higher, which explains why the MOS average Mn/Fe ratio is now remarkably consistent with the solar value. Its pn counterpart, however, is measured significantly larger than solar (though with large error bars). The origin of such a discrepancy is, unfortunately, difficult to identify. Unlike the case of Ni, the Mn-K band does not contain instrumental lines that may affect one specific detector. Therefore, Mn cannot be well constrained with EPIC instruments (see also discussion in S18).

Another ratio of interest is Ca/Fe. Compared to Paper I, this ratio remains essentially unchanged and appears somewhat in tension with the (lower) value reported by S18. This discrepancy was already pointed out in Perseus only (S18; see their fig. 9); nevertheless this ratio is left unchanged when discarding Perseus from our analysis (Fig. 2). Interestingly, nucleosynthesis models are currently unable to reproduce the XMM–Newton measurements of Ca/Fe (de Plaa et al. 2007, Paper II). Several possibilities have been investigated, among which alternative SNIa models reproducing the spectral features of the Tycho supernova (de Plaa et al. 2007) or a significant contribution of Ca-rich gap transients to the ICM enrichment (Munchaey, Kasliwal & Kollmeier 2014, Paper II). However, the significantly lower Ca/Fe measurements of Perseus in both Hitomi and Suzaku suggest an issue that could be specific to the EPIC instruments.
5 IMPLICATIONS FOR THE CHEMICAL ENRICHMENT OF THE CENTRAL ICM

More than demonstrating the relative reliability of CCD instruments in deriving ICM abundances, our results strongly suggest that a solar chemical composition is a feature common to most (if not all) nearby cool-core systems, and not specific to Perseus only.

As already discussed by S18, such a ‘simple’ chemical composition of the central ICM is not trivial to explain. Stellar populations of massive early-type galaxies, which are often found in the centre of groups and clusters, exhibit supersolar α/Fe ratios, probably associated to relatively short star formation time-scales (Conroy, Graves & van Dokkum 2014). In fact, if the bulk of SNIa explode and enrich their surroundings with a significant delay compared to the end of the parent star formation, their products are not efficiently incorporated in the stellar population we see today (S18).

While enrichment by SNIa dominate in the brightest cluster galaxy (BCG) – where SNcc are rarely, if ever, observed, these α-enriched stars might also enrich the surrounding ICM via their stellar winds (Simionescu et al. 2009). It would be a surprising coincidence, however, that for nearly all the CHEERS systems these two sources of ICM enrichment would compensate each other to reach exactly the solar ratios within their current uncertainties. In addition, the remarkable uniformity in the chemical composition of the ICM from the very core to the outskirts (Simionescu et al. 2015; Mernier et al. 2017) may seriously question the role of the BCG in the central enrichment of clusters and groups.

In summary, our results strengthen the ‘ICM solar composition paradox’ already reported by H17 and S18, and extend it to a large number of cool-core clusters, groups, and ellipticals. Interesting ways of exploring this paradox in the future are to constrain (i) the redshift evolution of α/Fe ratios and (ii) the abundance of other (in particular odd-Z) elements in the ICM. While sodium (Na) or aluminium (Al) are crucial to constrain the initial metallicities of SNcc progenitors (Nomoto et al. 2013), lighter elements such as carbon (C) or nitrogen (N) are mostly enriched via asymptotic giant branch stars, and their C/Fe and N/Fe ratios are not necessarily expected to be solar (Werner et al. 2006; Grange et al. 2011, Mao et al. submitted). Extending abundance studies to all these unexplored ratios will definitely help to understand how and when the ICM has been enriched. This is out of the scope of this Letter, as deeper observations with micro-calorimeter instruments onboard future missions (e.g. XARM, Athena) are required.
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