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Inbred mouse populations exhibit substantial intergenerational changes in intestinal microbiota composition and function following introduction to a facility
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**Supplementary Material**

**Supplementary Figures and Tables**

**Figure S1.** Breeding scheme of C57BL/6J inbred mice generations from G1 to G6.
Figure S2. Non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) ordination plot based on the Bray Curtis distances of the faecal microbiota of C57BL/6J inbred mice from the founder (G1), second (G2), third (G3) and sixth (G6) generation within a single facility. Mice from the different generations and facility are labelled as indicated. The open and closed symbols represented female and male mice, respectively.
Figure S3. Phylum level relative abundances of the faecal microbial community of G1, G2, G3 and G6 mice populations of the C57BL/6J inbred strain from a single facility, and C57BL6J inbred mice from a different facility (AF2).
Figure S4. Relative abundances (proportion) of bacterial taxa that contribute (up to 70% cumulative variation) to the differences observed among the mice generations based on SIMPER analysis. Statistical analyses for each bacterial taxa was performed using ANOVA (litters nested within generation) with Bonferroni correction for multiple comparison between mice generations.
Figure S5. Alpha diversity analysis of C57BL/6J inbred mouse faecal microbiota from two different facilities, AF1 and AF2, based on (A) microbial richness, (B) Shannon-Wiener index \( H \) for microbial diversity and (C) Simpson index (1-D) for microbial evenness, which takes into account microbial richness. (D) The dispersion of microbial community within the two mouse populations were also assessed using PERMDISP analysis. Statistical analysis between the groups were performed using the Mann-Whitney test at a significance level of \( P < 0.05 \).
### Table S1. PERMANOVA analysis on Bray Curtis distances of the faecal microbiota of inbred mice over four different mice generations.

| Source   | df | SS      | MS     | Pseudo-\(F\) | Square root ECV | Permutations | \(P\)  |
|----------|----|---------|--------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|--------|
| Generation | 3  | 9703.4  | 3234.5 | 12.65        | 12.25          | 9916        | 0.0001 |
| Residual  | 73 | 19675   | 246.4  |              | 15.99          |              |        |
| Total     | 80 | 29378   |        |              |                 |              |        |

| Comparison   | \(t\) | Permutations | \(P\) | \(P^*\) |
|--------------|-------|--------------|-------|---------|
| G1 versus G2 | 4.2255 | 9939         | 0.0001| 0.0006  |
| G1 versus G3 | 4.7569 | 9941         | 0.0001| 0.0006  |
| G1 versus G6 | 4.6326 | 9931         | 0.0001| 0.0006  |
| G2 versus G3 | 2.2369 | 9919         | 0.0002| 0.0012  |
| G2 versus G6 | 2.0054 | 9930         | 0.0005| 0.0030  |
| G3 versus G6 | 2.9451 | 9942         | 0.0001| 0.0006  |

\(df\) = degree of freedom, \(SS\) = sum of squares, \(MS\) = mean sum of squares, \(ECV\) = estimates of components of variation, \(t\) = test statistic, Pseudo-\(F\) = \(F\) value based on permutation test, \(P\) = PERMANOVA \(P\)-value, \(P^*\) = Bonferroni-adjusted \(P\)-value.
Table S2. Median percentage relative abundance of bacterial taxa at the phylum level, of C57BL/6J inbred mice from four different generations and from a different animal institution.

| Phyla                  | Median relative abundances (%) |
|------------------------|--------------------------------|
|                        | G1    | G2    | G3    | G6 (AF1) | AF2   |
| Actinobacteria *\(a\)  | 0.45  | 1.15  | 2.11  | 0.63     | 0.53  |
| Bacteroidetes \(a\)    | 56.32 | 63.15 | 64.28 | 67.26    | 62.01 |
| TM7                    | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0        | 0.19  |
| Cyanobacteria          | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0        | 0     |
| Deferrribacteres       | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0        | 0     |
| Firmicutes \(a\)       | 21.66 | 34.22 | 25.60 | 30.20    | 22.54 |
| Fusobacteria           | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0        | 0     |
| Proteobacteria         | 0.02  | 0     | 0     | 0.033    | 5.59  |
| Tenericutes            | 0.49  | 0.33  | 0.44  | 0.33     | 0.13  |
| Verrucomicrobia *\(a\) | 16.87 | 0.47  | 4.03  | 1.78     | 8.32  |
| Unassigned             | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0.016 | 0        | 0.03  |
| Firmicutes/ Bacteroidetes | 0.41 | 0.54  | 0.38  | 0.46     | 0.37  |

* phylum abundance significantly different across mice generation groups G2, G3 and G6 \((P < 0.05, \text{ANOVA (litter nested within generation) with Bonferroni’s correction})\)

\(a\) phylum abundance significantly different between G1 and one or more of the subsequent mice generation G2, G3 and G6 \((P < 0.05, \text{ANOVA with Bonferroni’s correction})\)
Table S3. Permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) analysis, based on time and mice as crossed factors, on Bray Curtis distances of the microbial community of C57BL/6J inbred mice faecal samples collected at 1 week, 5 weeks and 13 weeks post-weaning to assess within-individual variation.

| Source     | df | SS    | MS    | Pseudo-F | Square root ECV | Permutations | P     |
|------------|----|-------|-------|----------|-----------------|--------------|-------|
| Time       | 2  | 751.36| 375.68| 1.89     | 4.37            | 9927         | 0.1456|
| Mice       | 7  | 1698.40| 242.62| 1.87     |                | 9926         | 0.4416|
| Residual   | 22 | 5031.2| 228.7 | 15.12    |                |              |       |
| Total      | 24 | 5893.9|       |          |                 |              |       |

| Pairwise analysis | t     | Permutations | P    | P*    |
|-------------------|-------|--------------|------|-------|
| 1 wk pw versus 5 wks pw | 1.398 | 9915         | 0.1442 | 0.2884|
| 5 wk pw versus 13 wks pw | 1.145 | 9956         | 0.2883 | 0.5766|

df = degree of freedom, SS= sum of squares, MS = mean sum of squares, ECV= estimates of components of variation, Pseudo-F= F value based on permutation test, t = test statistic, P= PERMANOVA P-value, P*= Bonferroni-adjusted P-value, pw= post-weaning.
**Table S4.** PERMANOVA analysis on Bray Curtis distances of the faecal microbial composition over four mice generations with litter groups included as a factor.

| Source          | df | SS    | MS    | Pseudo-F | Square root ECV | Permutations | P    |
|-----------------|----|-------|-------|----------|-----------------|--------------|------|
| Generation      | 2  | 2173.3| 1086.6| 2.79     | 6.85            | 9924         | 0.0016|
| Litter (Gen)    | 10 | 4140.0| 414.0 | 2.01     | 7.22            | 9836         | 0.0005|
| Residual        | 41 | 8433.4| 205.7 | 14.34    |                 |              |      |
| Total           | 53 | 15481 |       |          |                 |              |      |

| Comparison      | t  | Permutations | P     | P*      |
|-----------------|----|--------------|-------|---------|
| G2 versus G3    | 1.748 | 9678 | 0.0043 | 0.0129  |
| G2 versus G6    | 1.456 | 8497 | 0.0517 | 0.1551  |
| G3 versus G6    | 1.693 | 5193 | 0.0098 | 0.0294  |

df = degree of freedom, SS = sum of squares, MS = mean sum of squares, ECV = estimates of components of variation, Pseudo-F = F value based on permutation test, t = test statistic, P = PERMANOVA P-value, P* = Bonferroni-adjusted P-value.
Table S5. PERMANOVA analysis on Bray Curtis distances of the faecal microbial composition of C57BL/6J mice over four different generations with sex of mice included as a factor.

| Source       | df | SS     | MS   | Pseudo-$F$ | Square root ECV | Permutations | $P$       |
|--------------|----|--------|------|------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------|
| Generation   | 3  | 9229.5 | 3076.5 | 12.48      | 12.13           | 9901         | 0.0001    |
| Sex          | 1  | 819.25 | 3.3244| 3.32       | 4.03            | 9926         | 0.0024    |
| Gen x Sex    | 3  | 815.83 | 271.94| 1.10       | 1.63            | 9866         | 0.3164    |
| Residual     | 73 | 17990  | 246.43| 15.70      |                 |              |           |
| Total        | 80 | 29378  |      |            |                 |              |           |

| Generation | Group | $t$     | Permutations | $P$      | $P^*$  |
|------------|-------|---------|--------------|----------|--------|
| G1         | F vs M| 1.4715  | 9787         | 0.0463   | 0.1852 |
| G2         | F vs M| 1.0268  | 494          | 0.4205   | 1      |
| G3         | F vs M| 1.3222  | 9922         | 0.0810   | 0.3240 |
| G6         | F vs M| 1.3465  | 9837         | 0.0629   | 0.2516 |

df = degree of freedom, SS= sum of squares, MS = mean sum of squares, ECV= estimates of components of variation, Pseudo-$F$= F value based on permutation test, $t$ = test statistic, $P$= PERMANOVA $P$-value, $P^*$= Bonferroni-adjusted $P$-value.
Table S6. Potential markers identified to be significantly different between G1 and G6 mice based on S-plot analysis.

| m/z          | Biomarker mass | Adduct | Potential biomarker                                      | Formula     |
|--------------|----------------|--------|--------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| 180.1758     | 197.1780       | M      | N-methylundec-10-enamide                               | C12H23NO    |
| (Neutral mass= 197.1791 Da) |                |        | ((5R,8R)-5-propyloctahydroindolizin-8-yl)methanol       | C12H23NO    |
| 197.1780     | 197.1780       | M      | (5-ethyl-6-methyloctahydroindolizin-8-yl)methanol       | C12H23NO    |
| 197.1780     | 197.1780       | M      | 8-methyl-5-propyloctahydroindolizin-8-ol                | C12H23NO    |
| 226.1814     | N/A            | N/A    | N/A                                                    | N/A         |
| (Neutral mass= 243.1847 Da) |                |        |                                                        |             |
| 273.1686     | 290.1729       | [M+H-H2O]+ | 1-Octen-3-yl glucoside                               | C14H26O6    |
| 162.0563     | 163.0633       | [M-H]-  | 3-Methyldioxyindole                                    | C9H9NO2     |
|              | 163.0633       | [M-H]-  | 4-Oxo-1-(3-pyridyl)-1-butanone                         | C9H9NO2     |
|              | 163.0633       | [M-H]-  | 5-Hydroxy-3,4-dihydrocarbostyryl                       | C9H9NO2     |
|              | 163.0633       | [M-H]-  | p-Acetaminobenzaldehyde                                | C9H9NO2     |
|              | 163.0633       | [M-H]-  | 4-(3-Pyridyl)-3-butenoic acid                         | C9H9NO2     |
| 228.1608     | N/A            | N/A    | N/A                                                    | N/A         |
| 242.1778     | N/A            | N/A    | N/A                                                    | N/A         |
Table S7. Permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) analysis on Bray Curtis
distances of the faecal microbiota of G6 and AF2 group, which are C57BL/6J inbred mice from
different animal institutions. Pairwise analysis was performed between all generation of mice against
the inter-facility group, AF2.

| Source   | df | SS   | MS   | Pseudo-\(F\) | Square root ECV | Permutations | \(P\)  |
|----------|----|------|------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-------|
| Facility | 1  | 14430| 14430| 40.91        | 25.60           | 9939         | 0.0001|
| Residual | 41 | 14463| 352.8|              |                 |              |       |
| Total    | 42 | 28893|      |              |                 |              |       |

| Group          | \(t\) | Permutations | \(P\)       | \(P^*\)   |
|----------------|-------|--------------|-------------|-----------|
| G1, AF2        | 6.5802| 9936         | 0.0001      | 0.0004    |
| G2, AF2        | 6.3729| 9935         | 0.0001      | 0.0004    |
| G3, AF2        | 7.6081| 9928         | 0.0001      | 0.0004    |
| G6, AF2        | 6.3959| 9922         | 0.0001      | 0.0004    |

df = degree of freedom, SS= sum of squares, MS = mean sum of squares, Pseudo-\(F\)= F value based
on permutation test, ECV= estimates of components of variation, \(t\) = test statistic, \(P\)= PERMANOVA
\(P\)-value, \(P^*\)= Bonferroni-adjusted \(P\)-value.
Table S8. Potential markers identified to be significantly different between inter-facility mice groups (G6 and AF2) based on S-plot analysis.

| Positive markers |  |
|------------------|--|
| **m/z** | Biomarker mass | Adduct | Potential biomarker | Formula |
| 162.0576 (Neutral mass= 161.0487 Da) | 161.0477 | M | 2-Indolecarboxylic acid | C9H7NO2 |
| 161.0477 | M | Indole-3-carboxylic acid | C9H7NO2 |
| 161.0477 | M | 4,6-Dihydroxyquinoline | C9H7NO2 |
| 161.0477 | M | 4,8-Dihydroxyquinoline | C9H7NO2 |
| 161.0477 | M | Quinoline-3,4-diol | C9H7NO2 |
| 161.0477 | M | Quinolin-2,8-diol | C9H7NO2 |
| 161.0477 | M | 3-Hydroxy-1H-quinolin-4-one | C9H7NO2 |
| 161.0477 | M | 4-Hydroxy-2-quinolone | C9H7NO2 |
| 161.0477 | M | 3-Formyl-6-hydroxyindole | C9H7NO2 |
| 287.1911 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 393.1977 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

| Negative markers |  |
|------------------|--|
| **m/z** | [M-H]- | Adduct | Formula |
| 285.1176 | Zolazepam | C15H15FN4O |
| 286.1230 | Gly Gly Gly Pro | C11H18N4O5 |
| 286.1277 | Gly Gly Pro Gly | C11H18N4O5 |
| 286.1277 | Gly Pro Gly Gly | C11H18N4O5 |
| 286.1277 | Pro Gly Gly Gly | C11H18N4O5 |
| Mass   | Charge State | Sequence      | Formula       |
|--------|--------------|---------------|---------------|
| 286.1277 | [M-H]-       | Asn Gly Pro   | C11H18N4O5    |
| 286.1277 | [M-H]-       | Pro Asn Gly   | C11H18N4O5    |
| 286.1277 | [M-H]-       | Gly Pro Asn   | C11H18N4O5    |
| 286.1277 | [M-H]-       | Gly Asn Pro   | C11H18N4O5    |
| 286.1277 | [M-H]-       | Asn Pro Gly   | C11H18N4O5    |
| 286.1277 | [M-H]-       | Pro Gly Asn   | C11H18N4O5    |
| 329.1088 |              |               |               |
| 330.1135 | [M-H]-       | Halofenozide  | C18H19ClN2O2  |
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Sample collection, DNA extraction, and 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing

Faecal samples were collected by placing individual mice in a clean cage. Fresh faecal pellets were
transferred using a sterile toothpick to a 1.5 mL Eppendorf tube and stored at -80°C prior to analysis.
Faecal pellets were dispersed in 1mL of phosphate buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.2) by vortexing, and
pelleted by centrifugation at 13 000 x g for 5 min. Supernatant was transferred to a sterile 2 mL
screwcap tube and stored at -80°C for liquid chromatography mass spectrometry (LC-MS) analysis,
while pellets underwent DNA extraction using a PowerSoil®-htp 96 Well Soil DNA Isolation kit
(MoBio Laboratories, Carlsbad, USA) with several modifications. Faecal samples were resuspended
in 750 µL of PowerSoil® bead solution and 60 uL of solution C1 for chemical cell lysis. Modifications
to manufacturer’s instructions were the inclusion of a 20 min incubation of the suspension at 65°C,
prior to mechanical lysis by bead-beating twice using a mixer mill MM400 96-well plate shaker at 20
vibrations/sec for 10 mins each time (Retsch GmbH, Haan, Germany). The beadplate was then
centrifuged at 3374 x g for 10 mins at room temperature and the supernatant transferred to a fresh C2
collection plate. Procedures involving solution C2, solution C3 and solution C4 was performed
according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The supernatant was then transferred onto a spin filter plate
and passed through the membrane filter using a vacuum manifold to capture the DNA onto the
membrane. DNA bound to the spin filter were washed with 500 uL of solution C5, an ethanol-based
solution, according to the protocol. Total DNA was eluted in 100 uL of sterile water and quantified
fluorometrically with a Qubit dsDNA HS Assay kit (Life Technologies, Melbourne, Australia).

Amplicons of the V4 hypervariable region of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene was amplified from faecal
DNA extracts as described previously (Choo et al., 2015). Modified universal bacterial primer pairs
515F (5'-TCGTCGGCAGCGTCAGATGTATAAGAGACAG-3')
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with Illumina adapter overhang sequences (indicated by underline) were used for the amplification of the V4 hypervariable region of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene. The amplicons were generated from DNA extracts (25 PCR cycles for amplicon generation and 8 PCR cycles for indexing), cleaned and sequenced according to the Illumina MiSeq 16S Metagenomic Sequencing Library Preparation protocol with certain modifications. Specifically, PCR for amplicon generation was performed at a melting temperature of 50°C.

Samples were multiplexed using a dual-index approach with the Nextera XT Index kit (Illumina, San Diego, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Amplicon library concentrations were determined using the Qubit HS dsDNA assay kit (Life Technologies, Melbourne, Australia). The final library was paired-end sequenced at 2 x 300 bp using a MiSeq Reagent Kit v3 on a Illumina MiSeq platform (Illumina, San Diego, USA), at the David R Gunn Genomics Facility, South Australian Health and Medical Research Institute.

**LC-MS analysis**

Faecal supernatant, derived from 2.5 mg of faecal matter in PBS, was thawed and vortexed. A 50 µL aliquot was placed in a pre-washed (1 mL acetonitrile) and equilibrated (1 mL 0.1% TFA aqueous) Oasis HLB 10 mg SPE cartridge (Waters Corporation, Milford, MA, USA). The sample was washed with 1 mL 0.1% TFA and eluted with 1 mL 0.1% TFA in 70% acetonitrile. The eluent was lyophilized overnight in a RVC 2-33CD plus rotational vacuum concentrator (Martin Christ Gefriertrocknungsanlagen GmbH, Osterode am Harz, Germany) operated at 10 mBar and room temperature. Samples were reconstituted in 50 µL 0.1% FA, vortexed and centrifuged at 16 000 x g
for 15 min. The supernatant was transferred into LC-MS-grade glass vials (avoiding the pellet) and stored at 6°C until use.

LC-MS was performed on a quadrupole orthogonal acceleration time-of-flight mass analyser (SYNAPT HDMS, Waters Corporation, Milford, MA, USA) coupled to a UPLC system (ACQUITY, Waters Corporation, Milford, MA, USA). Reverse-phase chromatographic separation used a Waters BEH C18 column, 1.7 µm particle size, 2.1 mm i.d. x 150 mm, (Milford, MA, USA).

To assess LC-MS system variability throughout an analysis run, a pooled biological quality control (PBQC) was prepared by combining 10 µL from each sample.

Samples were analysed in random order interspersed with PBQC measurements every fourth analysis.

Sample (12.5 µg faecal weight equiv) was loaded onto the analytical column in 98% mobile phase A (0.1% aqueous formic acid v/v) and 2% mobile phase B (0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile v/v) at a flow rate of 400 µL/min. The loading conditions were maintained for 0.5 min after which a 12 min linear solvent gradient was applied with a final concentration of 2% A. This concentration was held for 4 min to wash the column after which the solvent mix was returned to starting conditions (98% A) for 2.8 min to re-equilibrate the column ready for the next injection. The same gradient was used for both positive and negative ionisation mode experiments.

Two mass spectrometry experiments were performed, one in negative and one in positive ionisation. For both modes the instrument was calibrated over the acquisition m/z range of 50 – 1500 prior to analysis and mass accuracy was maintained during data acquisition by infusion of a reference solution through the instrument’s lockmass channel (200 fmol/µL leucine enkephalin (Sigma-Aldrich, Castle Hill, NSW, Australia) in 1:1 methanol:0.1% aqueous formic acid v/v) which was sampled every 10 s.

Source conditions were optimised for each ionisation mode.
**Statistical analysis**

Microbial data were analysed for alpha diversity measures (taxa richness, $S$; Shannon-Wiener index, $H$; Simpson diversity index, $1-D$) of microbial community were determined using PAST (v.3.04) (Hammer et al., 2001). Operational taxonomic unit (OTU) relative abundance was imported into the Primer-E software (v.6, PRIMER-E Ltd, Plymouth, UK) for beta diversity analysis. Bray Curtis similarities were calculated based on the square root-transformed OTU relative abundances, and were used in the non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) ordination plot. Permutational analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) model was used for testing the null hypothesis of no difference (Anderson and Walsh, 2013), based on the parameters permutation of residuals under a reduced model and a type III sum of squares. The bacterial taxa that contributed to the dissimilarities between the groups were determined by SIMPER analysis (Clarke, 1993). PERMDISP was used to assess the dispersion of the microbial community within the groups (Anderson and Walsh, 2013). Comparisons between the microbial and metabolome abundance data was performed using the RELATE analysis (Clarke and Warwick, 2001). Differences in the relative abundance of phyla and genera between generation groups were, where possible, tested for statistical significance based on a nested ANOVA analysis (litters nested in generation), using a type III sum of squares approach on log transformed values in SPSS (v22.0). Multiple pairwise comparisons between groups were performed on the estimated marginal means, with Bonferroni correction applied. Comparisons between generation and within-individual variance was performed using the Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn’s multiple comparison test using GraphPad PRISM 6 (GraphPad Software Inc., La Jolla, USA). Heatmap was generated in R using the ggplots2 (v2.0.0) package (Team, 2015; Wickham and Chang, 2015). The unassigned taxa comprised of less than 0.1% of the relative abundance and were not included in the phyla relative abundance
comparison. The DNA and metabolome samples of two mice from G1 and one mouse from G6 failed quality control thresholds and were excluded from microbiota and metabolome analysis, respectively, as the number of observed taxa were very low (less than 20) and the sample was too dilute. G1 mice, and five mice of G6, were not included in assessment of litter effects as data for the maternal origins of these mice were not available.

LC-MS-based metabolomics data were processed using the Progenesis QI software (v2.2, Nonlinear Dynamics, Newcastle Upon Tyne, UK) using default settings. All LC-MS datasets were aligned on retention time, and m/z scales and peak areas were compared. For positive ion data, adduct ions (H\(^+\), Na\(^+\) and K\(^+\)) and neutral loss of water ions were identified automatically based on retention time and mass accuracy and combined. For reporting purposes data were filtered to only include markers based on the parameters of ANOVA p-value ≤ 0.005, and at least a 5-fold change in lowest and highest mean intensity between sample groups. Identified markers were exported to EZinfo (v3.0, Umetrics, Umea, Sweden) for OPLS-DA (Orthogonal Projection to Latent Structure-Discriminant Analysis) to generate the S-plots using centred, pareto-scaled data for the identification of discriminant markers between groups. The total variation explained by model (R\(^2\)Y) and predictability of the OPLS-DA model (Q\(^2\)) were determined according to EZinfo. Significant markers were searched in the METLIN database for potential biomarkers that were within the 10 ppm range of the m/z (or neutral mass if available), and against the [M-H] negative ions or [M+H], [M+Na] and [M+H-H2O] positive ions in the METLIN database (Smith et al., 2005). Positive markers with a neutral mass value were only compared against neutral mass searches in METLIN. All statistical analysis were performed at a significance level of 0.05.
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