Effects of Kinesio taping of the knee on proprioception, balance, and functional performance in patients with anterior cruciate ligament rupture

A retrospective case series
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Abstract

To investigate whether Kinesio tape (KT) application improves proprioception, balance, and functional performance in patients with anterior cruciate ligament rupture (ACLr).

This retrospective analysis included 48 male patients with surgically-untreated ACLr who attended the Sports Medicine and Rehabilitation Center, Qingdao Municipal Hospital, China between June 2017 and June 2018. KT was applied to induce a detoning effect on the quadriceps muscle and toning effect on the ischiocrural muscles. Proprioception, balance, and functional performance were assessed before and 1 and 7 days after KT application using the Lysholm scale, anteroposterior shift of the tibia (APST), active angle reproduction test (AART), modified star excursion balance test (mSEBT), and single-hop distance (SHD).

KT resulted in significant improvements in Lysholm scale at 1 day (83.00 [6.50] vs. 76.00 [5.25], P < .001) and APST (8.00 [2.00] vs. 10.00 [2.00] mm, P < .001), AART (3.00 [1.00] vs. 4.00 [1.75] degrees, P < .001), mSEBT (96.08 [6.62] vs. 83.92 [7.31] %, P < .001) and SHD (120.96 [6.94] vs. 106.46 [9.03] %, P < .001) at 3 hours (median [interquartile range]). However, significant deficits remained when compared with the healthy side. Except for mSEBT posterolateral direction, those effects were maintained at 7 days.

KT has benefits in people with ACLr but cannot fully compensate for functional deficits. KT could be used to assist knee strengthening during rehabilitation.

Abbreviations: AART = active angle reproduction test, ACLr = anterior cruciate ligament rupture, APST = anteroposterior shift of the tibia, KT = Kinesio tape, mSEBT = modified star excursion balance test, SHD = single-hop distance.
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1. Introduction

Anterior cruciate ligament rupture (ACLr) is one of the most common sporting injuries.[1] ACLr is associated with joint instability and secondary meniscal and cartilage lesions that limit mobility and exercise capacity.[1] The functional abnormalities that occur after ACLr include a loss of proprioception and balance, a decrease in muscle power and functional performance, and changes in biomechanics.[2] Although ACL reconstruction is one of the most common operations performed in orthopedic surgery,[3] nonsurgical treatment options can also produce good outcomes.[4]

ACLr affects proprioception of the knee because of damage to proprioceptors in the joint.[5] Kinesio tape (KT) is a thin, elastic tape that is applied to the skin surface to produce toning or detoning effects on the underlying muscles with the aim of rehabilitating the affected musculature after injury.[6] The use of KT has been suggested to improve proprioception, blood circulation, lymph flow, and the stability of movement after sporting injuries.[6–8] However, very few studies have examined the clinical utility of KT in patients with ACLr. A preliminary study found that the use of KT led to more rapid improvements in range of motion, thigh circumference, and knee edema after surgery, with a decrease in the use of analgesics.[9] A subsequent randomized controlled trial of KT after ACL reconstruction also reported that pain was reduced in the early postoperative period, but there were no significant effects on knee swelling, range of motion, or Lysholm-Tegner knee scale score.[10] Furthermore, a recent study concluded that the application of KT in patients with ACLr not treated surgically resulted in improvements in proprioception, gait pattern, and subjectively perceived joint function.[11]
The objective of the present retrospective study was to assess the effects of KT on proprioception, balance, and functional performance of the knee with ACLr.

2. Patients and methods

2.1. Study design and participants

This was a retrospective analysis of consecutive patients with ACLr treated at the Sports Medicine and Rehabilitation Center, Qingdao Municipal Hospital, China, between June 2017 and June 2018. The inclusion criteria were:

(1) male;
(2) aged 18–30 years;
(3) diagnosis of ACLr based on the clinical history and results of the Lachman test, lever sign test,\textsuperscript{[12]} and magnetic resonance imaging;
(4) unilateral ACLr;
(5) no other lesions;
(6) time from injury of 1–6 months;
(7) Tegner activity level scale of 5–8; and
(8) receiving conservative treatment either as the primary therapy or while awaiting surgery.

The exclusion criteria were:

(1) injury to the contralateral knee joint;
(2) injuries to other ligaments in the ipsilateral knee joint; and
(3) intolerance toward KT or its components.

The ethics committee of Qingdao Municipal Hospital approved the study. Informed consent was waived due to the retrospective study design.

2.2. Methods

Application of the KT (Kinesio Tex Classic, Kinesio Co. Ltd, Tokyo, Japan) was performed by the same experienced physiotherapist.\textsuperscript{[11]} KT was applied in a Y-shape (running either side of the patella from the tibial tuberosity to the anterior inferior iliac spine) with 10% tension to induce a detoning effect on the quadriceps muscle (Fig. 1). KT was also applied in a Y-shape (from the ischial tuberosity to the medial tibial condyle and upper aspect of the fibular head) with 10% tension to induce a toning effect on the ischiocrural muscle group (Fig. 1). An additional tape was applied over the tibial tuberosity with dorsal 20% tension (Fig. 1). During follow-up, the participants were instructed not to apply heat or water to the body area containing KT.

2.3. Methodology

All data were collected from the medical charts. Data were de-identified after extraction and for analysis. Data were stored in a password-protected Excel file. All data were kept for 10 years, after which the Excel file will be destroyed.

All the assessments described below were made before and 3 hours after the application of KT,\textsuperscript{[11]} except for subjective self-assessment of the injured knee joint, which was made the day after KT application. In our experience, the service life of KT is around 2–3 days (by which time the tape loses some of its elasticity); for this reason, the subjective assessments were made 1 day after KT rather than 3 days after taping, as were done in a previous study.\textsuperscript{[11]} Furthermore, these subjective assessments were also done 7 days after KT to observe the effects over a longer time.

Subjective self-assessment of the injured knee joint was carried out by the participants using the Lysholm scale.\textsuperscript{[12]} Anteroposterior shift of the tibia (APST)\textsuperscript{[13]} was measured with a KneeLux 3 joint arthrometer (Monitored Rehab Systems BV, Haarlem, Netherlands) under 30° knee flexion and 132N.

The active angle reproduction test (AART)\textsuperscript{[14]} was performed using the IsoMed 2000 isokinetic dynamometer (D. & R. Ferstl GmbH, Hemau, Germany). Each participant was seated comfortably with his vision shielded and legs dangling over the edge of the seat. The affected leg was passively extended from a starting position of 90° knee flexion to 30° knee flexion with an angular velocity of 2°/s, held in this position for 5 seconds and

Figure 1. Application of Kinesio tape (KT). KT was applied in a Y-shape (running either side of the patella from the tibial tuberosity to the anterior inferior iliac spine) with 10% tension to induce a detoning effect on the quadriceps muscle (black). KT was also applied in a Y-shape (from the ischial tuberosity to the medial tibial condyle and upper aspect of the fibular head) with 10% tension to induce a toning effect on the ischiocrural muscle group (pink). An additional tape was applied over the tibial tuberosity with dorsal 20% tension (blue).
then repositioned to the initial position. Following a 5 seconds rest, the participant was asked to perform active knee flexion to a 30° angle. The angle reached was measured, and its difference from the target angle was recorded. The test was repeated three times, and the mean value was used for the analysis. The AART was carried out by two physiotherapists who had received identical training. This test has a fair to good reliability.[15]

For the modified star excursion balance test (mSEBT),[16] each participant was asked to place the great toe of the affected leg at the center of three lines oriented in the anterior, posteromedial, and posterolateral directions, with his hands on his hips throughout the test procedure. The participant was then asked to maintain a single leg stance on the affected leg while reaching as far as possible with the other leg to complete a single non-weight-bearing toe touch in one of the test directions before returning the reaching leg to the starting position. Weight-bearing toe touches, lifts, or shifts of any part of the stance foot, or loss of balance were considered unsuccessful trials. Every participant was allowed to practice four times in each test direction to become familiar with the trial protocol. Three successful trials were recorded for each test direction, and the mean value was used as the reaching distance for each test direction, and the mean value was normalized to the participant’s leg length used as the (SHD). The SHD has been shown to be valid and reliable.[19]

### 2.4. Statistical analysis

No sample size analysis was done. Based on similar studies, a sample size of 48 participants was set. A post hoc power analysis based on the Wilcoxon signed-rank test and the Mann–Whitney U test showed powers of 99.7% and 99.9%, respectively.

Data were analyzed using SPSS for Windows version 22 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY). All continuous data were tested for normality and found to be non-normally distributed. Therefore, these data are presented as median (interquartile range) and were analyzed using the Wilcoxon signed-rank test (for comparisons before and after KT) or the Mann–Whitney U test (for comparisons between legs). The Friedman test was used to evaluate the effects during different time sets. \( P < .05 \) was taken to indicate statistical significance.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Baseline characteristics of the study participants

A total of 48 male patients with ACLr were included in the study. The baseline characteristics of the 48 participants are presented in Table 1. The participants were mostly young adults with some overweight.

#### 3.2. Comparison of outcome measures for the affected leg before and after KT

The Lysholm scale score, APST, AART, mSEBT, and SHD for the affected leg showed significant improvements at day 1 after KT (all \( P < .001 \)), compared with the values obtained before the application of KT. At day 7 after KT, similar results were observed (all \( P < .001 \) vs. baseline) (Table 2). Taken together, the results suggest that the application of KT in participants with ACLr improves proprioception, balance, and functional performance and that the effects are maintained in time for at least 7 days.

#### 3.3. Comparison of outcome measures between the affected side after KT and the healthy side

At 1 day after the application of KT, the affected side had significantly higher values for APST (\( P = .008 \)) and AART

---

**Table 1**

Baseline characteristics of the 48 study participants.

| Characteristics       | Median (interquartile range) |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|
| Age (yr)              | 26.00 (4.75)                 |
| Time from injury (mo) | 4.50 (2.75)                  |
| Height (m)            | 1.78 (0.05)                  |
| Weight (kg)           | 84.50 (8.25)                 |
| Body mass index (kg/m²)| 26.70 (1.42)                 |

---

**Table 2**

Comparison of outcome measures for the affected leg before and after KT.

|                      | Before KT | KT Day 1 | KT Day 7 | \( P \) |
|----------------------|-----------|----------|----------|--------|
| Lysholm scale score  | 76.00 (5.25) | 83.00 (6.50) | 81.00 (3.00) | <.001  |
| APST (mm)            | 10.00 (2.00) | 8.00 (2.00) | 8.50 (1.88) | <.001  |
| AART (*)             | 4.00 (1.75) | 3.00 (1.00) | 3.00 (1.50) | <.001  |
| mSEBT overall (%)    | 83.92 (7.31) | 96.08 (6.62) | 90.26 (10.74) | <.001  |
| mSEBT anterior (%)   | 63.43 (5.24) | 70.41 (4.08) | 67.80 (5.78) | <.001  |
| mSEBT posteromedial (%) | 95.81 (8.08) | 115.39 (10.22) | 107.82 (17.20) | <.001  |
| mSEBT posterolateral (%) | 92.94 (8.21) | 107.82 (6.74) | 98.23 (9.59) | <.001  |
| SHD (normalized, %)  | 106.46 (8.63) | 120.96 (6.94) | 103.00 (13.00) | <.001  |

Data are presented as median (interquartile range). AART = active angle reproduction test, APST = anteroposterior shift of the tibia, KT = Kinesio taping, mSEBT = modified star excursion balance test, SHD = single-hop distance.

* Wilcoxon signed-rank test, statistically significant at \( P < .05 \), compared to before KT.

**Friedman test.**
Table 3

Comparison of outcome measures between the affected side after KT and the healthy side.

|                  | KT Day 1            | KT Day 7            | Healthy side     |
|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| APST (mm)        | 8.00 (2.00)†        | 8.50 (1.88)†        | 7.00 (1.75)      |
| AART (°)         | 3.00 (1.00)†        | 3.00 (1.50)         | 2.00 (1.00)      |
| mSEBT overall    | 96.08 (6.62)†       | 90.26 (10.74)†      | 101.54 (6.39)    |
| mSEBT anterior   | 70.41 (4.08)†       | 67.80 (5.78)        | 75.60 (6.10)     |
| mSEBT posterolateral | 115.39 (10.22)†    | 107.82 (17.20)†     | 117.61 (5.25)    |
| mSEBT posteromedial | 100.57 (8.74)†      | 98.23 (9.59)        | 111.63 (7.18)    |
| SHD (normalized) | 120.96 (6.94)†      | 103.00 (13.00)†     | 144.77 (6.73)    |

Data are presented as median (interquartile range). AART = active angle reproduction test, APST = anteroposterior shift of the tibia, KT = Kinesio taping, mSEBT = modified star excursion balance test, SHD = single-hop distance.

†Mann–Whitney U test, statistically significant at \( P < .05 \), compared to healthy side.

\( P < .001 \), and significantly lower values for mSEBT overall \( (P < .001) \), mSEBT anterior direction \( (P < .001) \), mSEBT posterolateral direction \( (P = .001) \), mSEBT posteromedial direction \( (P < .001) \), and SHD \( (P < .001) \) than the healthy side without KT application. At 7 days after the application of KT, the affected side had significantly higher values for APST \( (P < .001) \) and AART \( (P < .001) \), and significantly lower values for mSEBT overall \( (P < .001) \), mSEBT anterior direction \( (P < .001) \), mSEBT posteromedial direction \( (P = .018) \), and SHD \( (P < .001) \) than the healthy side without KT application. There was no difference in mSEBT posterolateral direction at 7 days after the KT application \( (P = .205) \) (Table 3). Taken together, despite improvements after KT, the results suggest that the application of KT in participants with ACLr does not completely restore the indicators of proprioception, balance, and functional performance compared with the healthy side.

4. Discussion

The main objective of this study was to investigate whether KT improves proprioception, balance, and functional performance in patients with ACLr during the early period after its application. The positive results showed that KT improved Lysholm score, APST, AART, mSEBT, and SHD within a short time (1 day) after its application and that the effect could last for several days, but seems to decline as time goes on. Moreover, there was still significant difference between the affected side after KT application and the healthy side.

KT is an adhesive elastic tape that can be extended up to 140% of its initial length. KT was first developed by Dr Kase in the 1970s, and it has shown promise as a treatment method for musculoskeletal rehabilitation and sports injury. KT exerts various effects according to the amount of extension and taping method used, and these effects include:

1. reducing edema, inhibiting pain, and improving blood flow and lymphatic drainage;\(^{20,21}\);
2. stimulating proprioceptors in the skin, muscle tissue, and joint capsule and thereby improving proprioception and balance;\(^{22,23}\); and
3. facilitating muscles, reducing muscle fatigue and enhancing movement performance.\(^{24}\)

Although the application of KT has been demonstrated to have positive effects on pain management,\(^{25}\) postural correction,\(^{26}\) and lymph flow,\(^{27}\) its influence on the proprioception and balance of an injured knee is still controversial. A study by Torres et al\(^{28}\) found that KT in healthy participants led to immediate and short-term improvements in the threshold for movement detection, but no significant effect on joint position sense. Ahn et al\(^{29}\) concluded that the use of KT on knee extensor muscles had no significant effect on joint position sense and static balance.

On the other hand, there is evidence that the KT application can improve knee proprioception and balance. Cho et al\(^{30}\) determined that KT over the quadriceps had beneficial short-term effects in elderly people with osteoarthritis, with significant improvements in activity, proprioception, and pain compared with those receiving a placebo treatment. Furthermore, a crossover study of healthy elderly people performed by Simon et al\(^{31}\) showed that KT application was associated with significant improvements in dynamic balance, postural stability, and knee proprioception during a 30-minute downhill path walk, as compared with non-application of KT.

There are several possible reasons for the negative outcomes of previous studies. First, the proprioceptors of healthy participants are normal; hence, KT would not be expected to produce a notable enhancement of proprioception. Indeed, most of the positive effects of KT application on proprioception observed previously were in participants with trauma.\(^{7,23,32}\) Second, positive outcomes with KT are more readily detectable when proprioception function is disturbed. Third, the initial levels of proprioception and balance function can affect the outcomes of experiments. A study of healthy young male participants revealed that the effect of KT application was significant in participants with poor primary balance function but not in those with good motor control.\(^{33}\)

ACLr would be expected to influence proprioception and balance functions because proprioceptors are present in the ACL. Furthermore, APST would be expected to disturb normal afferent proprioception, causing instability and pain. In our research, stretching induced by KT was able to excite proprioceptors, partly compensating for the decrease in proprioception caused by ACLr. Moreover, the detoning effect on the quadriceps muscle and toning effect on the hamstring muscles would together limit APST (as evidenced by the decrease in APST value in this study), thereby improving afferent proprioception and leading to positive outcomes. However, when the affected side after the KT application was compared with the healthy side, there were still significant differences in proprioception, balance, and functional performance. This shows that external enhancement cannot completely compensate for the loss of proprioception resulting from ACLr.
This study has some limitations. First, this was not a randomized controlled trial, and there is a risk of patient heterogeneity, so the quality of evidence is limited. Second, neither the participant nor investigator was blinded to the intervention because the tape was clearly visible, which may have introduced bias, particularly in self-reported outcomes. Third, this was a single-center study, so the generalizability of the findings is not known. Fourth, gait analysis was not performed. Multicenter randomized controlled trials are needed to confirm and extend our findings.

Kinesiology tape may have beneficial effects on proprioception, balance, and functional performance in people with ACLr, but it cannot completely compensate for the loss of proprioception. The application of KT during rehabilitation may be a good therapeutic option either before ACL reconstruction surgery or as a conservative treatment for ACLr.
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