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To evaluate the protection performance of SPD (surge protective device) against electromagnetic pulse, the response ability of several typical surge protective devices to wide and narrow electromagnetic pulses was tested by using a SPD response ability test system. The results showed that SPD commonly used in lightning surge protection had certain ability to suppress electromagnetic pulse conduction disturbance. Gas discharge tubes presented typical clamping characteristics for wide pulses. MOV and TVS had obvious clamping effect on wide pulses, while had no clamping effect on narrow pulses, but could obviously reduce its peak value. Zener diodes had obvious clamping effect on narrow pulses, and the clamping voltage control accuracy was high.

1. Introduction

In some cases, lightning will produce powerful current or voltage pulses that strike the system like a surge. The surge voltage can be as high as thousands of volts and the surge current can be as high as hundreds to tens of thousands of amps. Generally, the ability of semiconductor devices to withstand impulse voltage is only 30–100 V, and the ability to withstand impulse current is about 100 mA~1A. If such surge voltage (current) enters the system, it will cause serious damage to electronic components and circuit components, and even breakdown or burning. Therefore, surge voltage (current) cannot be allowed to enter the equipment, and targeted protection and control measures must be taken to effectively suppress the amplitude of surge voltage (current) [1]. Surges can enter the system through power supplies, signal input ends, or ground wires, so they should be suppressed at ports on the surge input channels. At present, surge protective devices are widely used to suppress surges. Their function is to limit the input transient large voltage pulse and clamp it at a certain protection level, eliminate the input transient large pulse current by bypass shunt to prevent it from entering the system, and discharge the input surge energy to avoid damaging the system.

The indirect impact of lightning on vehicle-mounted (or airborne) systems/equipment is mostly caused by the coupling of external radiation field to the cable and equipment [2–4]. Therefore, the protection measures for indirect lightning effect of vehicle-mounted (or airborne) equipment mainly include the following three aspects: material selection of structure, enclosure shielding of equipment, and use of surge protective devices and filters. This research focuses on the protection performance of typical surge protection devices against electromagnetic pulse.

2. Surge Protection Technology

SPD is a device that protects equipment by suppressing transient overvoltage and bypass surge current, with nonlinear response characteristics [5]. This kind of device is mainly used for protection against surges on equipment lines due to lightning strikes [6, 7]. When the surge voltage exceeds a certain threshold, it acts immediately to clamp the potential or let the current be discharged through bypass shunt. This kind of protective measures is actually to discharge and reduce the energy of surge, so it is also called energy domain protection technology.

Commonly used SPDs are usually divided into voltage limiting type, voltage switching type, and combined type [8, 9]. Voltage switching SPD mainly includes spark gap and gas discharge tube, which shows a high resistance state.
when there is no surge, but its impedance becomes low when the surge increases to a certain extent. Voltage limiting SPD, including MOV (metal oxide varistor) and TVS (transient voltage suppressor), has the characteristics of decreasing impedance with the increase of surge, and its impedance is also in a high resistance state at ordinary times. Combined SPD is a combination of the above two and can present switching characteristics or voltage limiting characteristics according to the characteristics of the applied voltage.

Gas discharge tube has stronger surge protection ability than Zener diode and MOV. The instantaneous power consumed by the instant protective device is generated by the surge voltage flowing through it and the voltage drop through it. For the continuous surge current, the switching equipment, such as gas discharge tube, has small voltage drops while maintaining the conduction state [10]. However, the surge voltage of the Zener diode remains at a high value. In this way, the power consumed on the switching equipment is smaller than that of the Zener diode. If the line is protected by a gas discharge tube and the line is connected to an energy source (e.g., an energy supply bus), the energy source must be disconnected from the line before the gas discharge tube switches to its low resistance conduction state. It is usually necessary to install a circuit breaker on the line. Zener diode or MOV can quickly stop conduction when the voltage returns to its normal value without controlling the remote circuit breaker. All types of overcurrent protective devices reflect part of the surge energy to the energy source and divert the rest to another path. The purpose is to consume the surge energy on the ground and interconnection lines.

The basic characteristics of commonly used SPD are shown in Table 1.

In the actual system, the actual protection performance of SPD is closely related to the surge waveform on the line and the device installation position. When used for electromagnetic pulse conduction disturbance protection, SPD protection system shall have the following three basic functions:

1. Fast response capability. The rise time of lightning electromagnetic pulse is microsecond, but due to the complexity of lightning environment inside the engine compartment, the rise time of induced current waveform may reach nanosecond level [11–13], so the response time of SPD should reach nanosecond level

2. Strong surge absorption capacity. Considering the induced voltage and current with wide duration formed by lightning, the waveform amplitude is more than thousands of volts and the width is less than 100 microseconds. Due to the limitation of line insulation strength, microelectronic equipment lines cannot withstand higher voltage amplitude, so the maximum amplitude of surge protection for port protection devices can be determined below 10 kV

3. Little impact on signal transmission. When used for the protection of RF (radio frequency) communication lines and data communication lines, the parasitic capacitance of SPD reduces the insertion loss after installation, and this effect is more significant with the increase of frequency [14, 15]. Therefore, the selected SPD must consider the specific working frequency range of the line and control the insertion loss within the allowable range of the system

Generally speaking, how to properly select the type of instant protection device depends on the surge energy. In general, the surge energy decreases as it moves away from the impact position. The estimated value of surge energy

| Table 1: Performance comparison of several types of surge protective devices. |
|---|---|---|---|
| Device name | Gas discharge tube | MOV | TVS |
| Nominal voltage | 60 V-30 kV | Tens of volts to 10 kV | Several to hundreds of volts |
| Surge absorption capacity | Strong (10^3 J) | Moderately strong (10^3 J) | Weak (10 J) |
| Response speed (s) | 10^{-9} | 10^{-9} | 10^{-9} |
| Clamp voltage to nominal voltage ratio | < 1 | 1.5 – 3 | 1.4 – 1.5 |
| Static capacitance (F) | 10^{-11} | 10^{-9} | 10^{-8} |

| Table 2: Suppression ability of gas discharge tube to wide and narrow electromagnetic pulses. |
|---|---|---|---|
| Pulse type | Narrow pulse (10/100 ns) | Wide pulse(10/700 μs) |
| Number of times | Injection voltage peak \( U_1 (V) \) | Device response residual voltage peak \( U_2 (V) \) | Injection voltage peak \( U_1 (V) \) | Device response residual voltage peak \( U_2 (V) \) |
| 1 | 820 | 154 | 520 | 516 |
| 2 | 1230 | 508 | 760 | 456 |
| 3 | 1490 | 792 | 1000 | 200 (31.6) |
| 4 | 1880 | 1000 | 1600 | 296 (48.3) |

Note: The voltage in brackets is the clamping voltage.
can be mainly determined according to the normal load energy of relevant circuits. Normally, the expected surge energy of a low-level circuit is lower than that of a moderate energy control circuit, and even lower than that of the main energy supply bus. Therefore, it is common to use a Zener diode on a single circuit board, MOV on a terminal board, and a gas discharge tube on a line connecting the main injection and outlet points. Low voltage protection can be provided by semiconductor diodes. However, the shunt capacity of diode is low, which cannot meet the requirements of surge current protection. Spark gap protector has great shunting ability, but its breakdown protection voltage is too high and its response time is slow, so it cannot be directly used for circuit protection. Therefore, different protective devices are often used in combination to achieve the best protection effect.

3. Response Performance Test of Typical SPD to Electromagnetic Pulse

To evaluate the electromagnetic pulse protection performance of the existing SPD in the market, a set of SPD response capability test system [16, 17] is designed, which is composed of
pulse generator, 50Ω shielded cable, test fixture, 50Ω load, and digital oscilloscope. All connecting cables adopt 50Ω shielded cables, which can meet the conditions of impedance matching and ensure the consistency of waveform in the whole test circuit [9, 18].

Using the established test system, the response characteristics of several types of SPD and different signal line protection devices in the market are experimentally studied. The test of each device is divided into two forms: narrow pulse and wide pulse. For narrow pulse waveform, $t_s = 10$ ns and $t_{hw} = 100$ ns. For wide pulse waveform, $t_s = 10\mu s$ and $t_{hw} = 700\mu s$.

### 3.1. Test Results of Common Gas Discharge Tube

Gas discharge tube, also known as arrester, is usually composed of two metal electrodes separated by electrolyte, and a fixed distance is maintained between the two poles. The discharge voltage is not only related to the composition, density, and geometry of electrolyte but also related to the voltage waveform. When the voltage rises rapidly, the discharge voltage is higher than that when the voltage rises slowly [19]. When the transient voltage occurs, the gas in the tube is ionized, so the voltage at both ends of the discharge tube quickly drops to a very low value, which transfers most of the transient

![Figure 3: Response waveform of coaxial gas discharge tube to narrow pulse (10/100 ns).](image-url)
energy, so as to protect the equipment from harassment or damage.

Ordinary gas discharge tubes cannot eliminate continuous current. When it is used in a power supply circuit, the arc must be eliminated, which can be realized by removing the voltage (a circuit breaker made of fuses) or by adding components (such as emery or zinc oxide varistors) to insert resistance into the circuit [20]. With proper design, the gas discharge tube can automatically interrupt the freewheeling current when the applied voltage reaches 100 V. Since the gas discharge tube reflects more energy than it absorbs, a resistor should be added to reduce the ringing effect.

Figure 4: Response waveform of coaxial gas discharge tube to wide pulse.
Sometimes linear or nonlinear resistance is used to assist arc extinguishing.

Common gas discharge tubes in the market often contain trace tritium or other radioactive elements to reduce the impact of voltage waveform on discharge voltage [21].

Table 2 shows the test data of R600W0504 gas discharge tube.

Figures 1 and 2, respectively, show the waveforms before and after the narrow and wide electromagnetic pulses are applied to the gas discharge tube. As can be seen, the
suppression of narrow pulse voltage peak by gas discharge tube is not obvious, which is mainly due to the low response speed of gas discharge tube to pulse front. The clamping effect is better for wide pulse. For the narrow pulse, the gas discharge tube can significantly narrow the pulse width, indicating that it can also effectively absorb part of the energy of the narrow pulse. The above measured results show that the gas discharge tube can be considered the
Table 5: Test results of TVS’s ability to suppress electromagnetic pulse (TVS type is 1.5KE36CA).

| Number of times | Injection voltage peak \( U_1 \) (V) | Device response residual voltage peak \( U_2 \) (V) | Injection voltage peak \( U_1 \) (V) | Device response residual voltage peak \( U_2 \) (V) |
|----------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1              | 632                                  | 62.8                                 | 296                                  | 38.4 (35.2)                          |
| 2              | 944                                  | 80.4                                 | 976                                  | 39.6 (38.1)                          |
| 3              | 1590                                 | 109                                  | 1720                                 | 40.8 (38.5)                          |
| 4              | 1820                                 | 120                                  | 2680                                 | 42.1 (40.8)                          |
| 5              | 2260                                 | 144                                  | 3240                                 | 49.2 (41.1)                          |
| 6              | 2700                                 | 144                                  | 3600                                 | 49.6 (41.9)                          |

Note: The voltage in brackets is the clamping voltage, and the nominal value of the device is 36 V.

Figure 8: Response waveform of TVS to narrow pulse.
primary protection level of equipment and system, and its strong surge absorption ability can be used to reduce the energy of electromagnetic pulse.

3.2. Test Results of Coaxial Gas Discharge Tube. Coaxial gas discharge tube is a cylindrical structure composed of cylindrical metal inner conductor, cylindrical metal outer conductor, and ceramic sealing sheets at both ends. The tube is also filled with inert gas [22]. To test the suppression ability of this new surge protective device to electromagnetic pulse conduction disturbance, the coaxial gas discharge tube CMTZ-50 was tested.

Figures 3 and 4 show the test waveforms of wide and narrow electromagnetic pulses on coaxial gas discharge tubes, respectively. As can be seen from Figure 3, when the injection pulse voltage reaches a certain degree ($U_1 = 640$ V), the coaxial gas discharge tube begins to respond, which is used to narrow the pulse waveform. In addition, the higher the peak value of the injected pulse voltage ($U_1 = 1240$ V), the narrower the waveform becomes, indicating that it achieves the suppression effect by absorbing the pulse energy. Figures 3(a) and 3(b) are the waveforms when the device starts to respond to 10/100 ns narrow pulse and responds well, respectively, from which we can see the response process of the device with the increase of the peak value of the injection pulse. The initial response means that the residual voltage waveform ($t_{shw} = 48$ ns) of the device changes significantly compared with the injection pulse waveform ($t_{shw} = 91$ ns), which is caused by the initial action of the device. Good response means that the residual voltage waveform ($t_{shw} = 14$ ns) of the device changes greatly

![Figure 9: Response waveform of TVS to wide pulse.](image)

| Pulse type | Injection voltage peak $U_1$ (V) | Device response residual voltage peak $U_2$ (V) |
|------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| 1          | 478                             | 187 (148)                                |
| 2          | 546                             | 189 (148)                                |
| 3          | 634                             | 193 (172)                                |
| 4          | 756                             | 195 (172)                                |
| 5          | 980                             | 197 (174)                                |
| 6          | 1084                            | 203 (176)                                |
| 7          | 1220                            | 205 (176)                                |
| 8          | 1550                            | 243 (189)                                |
| 9          | 1750                            | 271 (192)                                |
| 10         | 1850                            | 277 (195)                                |

Note: The voltage in brackets is the clamping voltage, and the nominal value of the device is 170 V.
compared with the injection pulse waveform (\( t_{shw} = 91 \text{ ns} \)), which indicates that the higher the injection voltage peak, the more obvious the action effect of the device. Figure 4 also shows similar results. For 10/700 \( \mu \text{s} \) wide pulse devices, the response starts when the injection voltage \( U_1 = 232 \text{ V} \).

By comparing the test data and waveforms of wide and narrow electromagnetic pulses, it can be found that the response voltage of the coaxial gas discharge tube to the electromagnetic pulse is closely related to the rise time of the injection pulse. The faster the rise time of the pulse, the higher the response voltage.

The following tests were carried out to determine the law of device response voltage and pulse rise time. The output of the pulse generator was adjusted by increasing the load and inductance, and the rise time of the output pulse waveform was changed. Then, the initial response voltage of the device under the action of pulses with different rise times was obtained through the test, as shown in Table 3.

A related estimation model is established to determine the initial response voltage of the device according to different rise times [23, 24]. Figure 5 (dotted line is the curve obtained through data fitting) shows the initial response voltage value of the device within the pulse rise time range of 3ns–30 ns. The first-order exponential value fitting is carried out to obtain the initial response voltage value estimation formula shown in equation (1). The response characteristics of the device in different electromagnetic environments can be estimated by the equation, and the applicability of the device can be determined according to the corresponding suppression index.

\[
U = 1127.6e^{-0.1915t_r} + 415 (3 \text{ns} \leq t_r \leq 30 \text{ns})
\] (1)

### Table 7: Test results of Zener diode’s ability to suppress narrow pulse (10/100 ns).

| Number of times | Injection voltage peak \( U_1 (\text{V}) \) | Device response residual voltage peak \( U_2 (\text{V}) \) | Injection voltage peak \( U_1 (\text{V}) \) | Device response residual voltage peak \( U_2 (\text{V}) \) |
|----------------|--------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------|----------------|
| 1              | 13.5                           | 1.6 (0.74)      | 53.0                           | 31.0 (23.0)    |
| 2              | 56                             | 5.08 (0.74)     | 78.4                           | 32.8 (28.0)    |
| 3              | 96                             | 8.76 (0.72)     | 101.0                          | 43.2 (28.0)    |
| 4              | 120                            | 9.12 (0.72)     | 132.0                          | 54.5 (29.2)    |
| 5              | 142                            | 10.2 (0.72)     | 162.0                          | 52.4 (29.2)    |
| 6              | 186                            | 21 (0.80)       | 224.0                          | 70.0 (30.0)    |
| 7              | 221                            | 22.8 (0.80)     | 249.0                          | 74.8 (30.0)    |

Note: The voltage in brackets is the clamping voltage value.

3.3. MOV Test Results. MOV is a metal oxide semiconductor nonlinear resistance element with zinc oxide as the main component. The resistance is sensitive to voltage. When the voltage reaches a certain value, the resistance conducts quickly. MOV works by means of energy absorption [25]. When the voltage at both ends of the electrode is higher than the varistor voltage, the MOV can clamp the circuit voltage to the varistor voltage, thus protecting the parallel equipment.

The action of MOV is very similar to the breakdown characteristics of semiconductor components, and the action delay is very small, which can generally be regarded as...
nanosecond. MOV has large parasitic capacitance and is suitable for protection in low-frequency systems [26]. When applied, it can be directly connected in parallel with the protected equipment to achieve the purpose of protection. MOV has cumulative characteristics in application, and each protection will cause irreparable damage to the interior. Therefore, the current capacity should also be increased to improve the reliability of application.

Table 4 shows the test data of MOV with model TVR20621. As can be seen, the device has no clamping suppression effect on narrow pulses, but its peak value can be significantly reduced, and the ratio of peak voltage to peak current is constant in a certain range (the pulse suppression effect of the device is similar to that of linear network). For wide pulse, it shows obvious clamping effect. Figures 6 and 7, respectively, show the response voltage and current waveforms of the device when two pulses are injected.

3.4. Transient Voltage Suppressor Test Results. TVS is a high-efficiency protection device in the form of diode, and it suppresses the transient voltage by clamping through the avalanche effect of silicon PN junction [27, 28].

When there is no transient disturbance in the circuit, the TVS does not work. Once the transient overvoltage occurs, TVS will clamp the peak voltage to the safe voltage immediately. The model of TVS used in the test is 1.5KE36CA. As
can be seen from Table 5, TVS has no clamping inhibition effect on narrow pulse, but its peak value can be significantly reduced. For wide pulse, it shows obvious clamping effect. Figures 8 and 9, respectively, show the response voltage and current waveforms of the device when two pulses are injected. The TVS with the model of 1.5KE170CA was also tested. As can be seen from Table 6, TVS has an obvious clamping effect on wide pulses, and its volt ampere characteristic curve is shown in Figure 10 (the dotted line is the curve obtained after numerical fitting).

3.5. Zener Diode Test Results. Since the Zener diode operates in an avalanche area, it is usually more effective as a terminal protective device than as a signal diode. However, the energy carrying capacity of the Zener diode is not as strong as that of the gas discharge tube. The Zener diode enters the conduction state when the reverse bias voltage exceeds the specified value, and the current flowing through the tube increases rapidly from the high-resistance state to the low-resistance state [28–30]. The Zener diode LP025 was tested with 10/100 ns narrow pulse. It can be seen from the test data in Table 7 and the waveform in Figure 11 that the forward clamping voltage of the Zener diode is between 0.7 V and 0.8 V, and the reverse clamping voltage is between 27 V and 30 V. In practical use, the Zener diode is connected to the reverse working state. The nominal limiting voltage of the Zener diode is 25 V, and the measured pulse clamping voltage is near the nominal value (about 20% higher). The nominal response time of these devices is less than nanosecond, but some pulse peaks are still not controlled by clamp.

4. Conclusions

The following conclusions can be drawn from the test and research on the ability of several typical SPDs to resist electromagnetic pulse conduction disturbance.

(1) At present, SPDs commonly used in lightning protection have certain electromagnetic pulse conduction disturbance suppression ability. Due to the complexity of indirect effect of lightning stroke, the rise time and pulse width of electromagnetic pulse coupled by electronic equipment may be different from the lightning current waveform. Therefore, when testing the response ability of the above devices with 10/100 ns narrow pulse waveform, there is a large gap with the nominal value of the device.

(2) The gas discharge tube responds to narrow pulse by decreasing amplitude (about half) and pulse width (less than 20 ns) and presents typical clamping characteristics for wide pulse.

(3) MOV has obvious clamping effect on wide pulse, while it has no clamping effect on narrow pulse, but can significantly reduce its peak value. For wide pulses, the maximum clamping voltage of MOV is about 1.6 ~ 1.8 times of the nominal value. For narrow pulses, the suppression range of MOV to the narrow pulse voltage peak is about 1.4 ~ 2 times of the nominal value. The reason for this experimental phenomenon may be that the narrow pulse front time is very short (3 ~ 10 ns). It has rich high-frequency components from the perspective of frequency domain. At high frequency, the impedance of MOV decreases rapidly, and the current flowing through the device also increases, so that the energy of electromagnetic pulse is absorbed by the device to achieve the effect of suppression.

(4) TVS has a certain ability to suppress wide and narrow electromagnetic pulses. It has an obvious clamping effect on wide pulse, while it has no clamping effect on narrow pulse, but can significantly reduce its peak value. For wide pulses, the maximum clamping voltage of TVS is about 1.2 ~ 1.6 times of the nominal value. For narrow pulses, the suppression range of TVS to pulse voltage peak is about 3 ~ 4 times of the nominal value.

(5) The Zener diode has obvious clamping effect on narrow pulse, and the clamping voltage control precision is high, so it is more suitable to be used as the protection device of electronic equipment.

(6) The test results of gas discharge tube and MOV show that the clamping voltage is a function of the current flowing through the element and the pulse rise rate, which is also a problem that should be paid attention to when selecting electromagnetic pulse protection components.
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