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Visible light-driven water oxidation using a covalently-linked molecular catalyst–sensitizer dyad assembled on a TiO₂ electrode†

Masanori Yamamoto, Lei Wang, Fusheng Li, Takashi Fukushima, Koji Tanaka, Licheng Sun and Hiroshi Imahori

The combination of porphyrin as a sensitizer and a ruthenium complex as a water oxidation catalyst (WOC) is promising to exploit highly efficient molecular artificial photosynthetic systems. A covalently-linked ruthenium-based WOC–zinc porphyrin (ZnP) sensitizer dyad was assembled on a TiO₂ electrode for visible-light driven water oxidation. The water oxidation activity was found to be improved in comparison to the reference systems with the simple combination of the individual WOC and ZnP as well as with ZnP solely, demonstrating the advantage of the covalent linking approach over the non-covalent one. More importantly, via vectorial multi-step electron transfer triggered by visible light, the dye-sensitized photoelectrochemical cell (DSPEC) achieved a broader PEC response in the visible region than DSPECs with conventional ruthenium-based sensitzers. Initial incident photon-to-current efficiencies of 18% at 424 nm and 6.4% at 564 nm were attained under monochromatic illumination and an external bias of −0.2 V vs. NHE. Fast electron transfer from the WOC to the photogenerated radical cation of the sensitizer through the covalent linkage may suppress undesirable charge recombination, realizing the moderate performance of water oxidation. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopic analysis of the photoanodes before and after the DSPEC operation suggested that most of the ruthenium species exist at higher oxidation states, implying that the insufficient oxidation potential of the ZnP moiety for further oxidizing the intermediate ruthenium species at the photoanode is at least the bottleneck of the system.

Introduction

Finding renewable energy sources is a crucial task in making our society sustainable. In this regard, the exploitation of sunlight as an infinite energy source is fascinating. In particular, realizing artificial photosynthesis, i.e., integration of light-harvesting, multi-step electron and proton transfer, and water oxidation for the efficient production of fuels like hydrogen is challenging in chemistry.¹–⁶ For this purpose, dye-sensitized photoelectrochemical cells (DSPECs) have been investigated,⁷–¹² as heterogeneous water splitting on inorganic semiconductors is promising for the upcoming large scale device operation. In DSPECs, a molecular sensitizer adsorbed on a semiconducting electrode captures visible light and injects an electron from the sensitizer’s excited state (S*) to the conduction band (CB) of the electrode. Then, the sensitizer’s radical cation (S⁺*) extracts an electron from the water oxidation catalyst (WOC) to regenerate the sensitizer and one-electron oxidized WOC. After repeating the cycle, high oxidation states of the WOC are generated, eventually converting two water molecules into four protons and one oxygen molecule. The sensitizer bis[2,2’:bipyridine](4,4’-diphosphonato-2,2’-bipyridine)ruthenium(II) (RuP)¹³ has been frequently used for the construction of molecular artificial photosynthetic systems,⁷,¹⁰–¹²,¹⁴ due to its sufficient first oxidation potential for water oxidation and the long lifetime of its excited state for electron injection (Fig. 1).¹⁵ However, the light-harvesting ability of RuP is rather poor in the visible region beyond 500 nm. Considering that yellow to red photons mainly shower down on Earth from the Sun,¹⁶ the utilization of photons in the visible region is essential for the efficient chemical conversion of sunlight. In this context, porphyrins¹⁷ are attractive as sensitizers because of their excellent light-harvesting properties in the visible region and the facile tuning of their excited states and redox properties via their peripheral functionalization. Nevertheless, molecular artificial photosynthetic systems with porphyrins as sensitizers are so far limited²¹ seventeen owing to their poor performance. One
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plausible reason is the occurrence of fast charge recombination (CR) between the electron injected into the CB of TiO₂ (denoted as TiO₂(e⁻)) and S²⁻. CR from TiO₂(e⁻) to the oxidized WOC is also reported to take place within a few microseconds. Undesirable CR from TiO₂(e⁻) to water is also suggested. Therefore, to overcome these drawbacks, it is significant to modulate the electron transfer (ET) properties using covalent linkage.

Meanwhile, it is also necessary to use highly efficient WOCs as building blocks of DSPECs. Homogeneous WOCs with manganese, copper, nickel, iron, iridium, cobalt, ruthenium and organic molecules as the catalytic center have been explored. Among them, molecular ruthenium homogeneous catalysts with 2,2’-bipyridine-6,6’-dicarboxylate (bda²⁻) as an equatorial ligand (Ru(bda)) are prospective because they show extremely high catalytic water oxidation activity with efficient proton-coupled electron transfer (PCET) and subsequent O–O bond formation. In general, high-valence metal oxide species react with water to form an O–O bond, but the water nucleophilic attack (WNA) suffers from the high overpotential associated with the high activation energy. Instead, for Ru(bda), the dinuclear complexation of two Ru²⁺=O species generated via multiple electron extraction is believed to facilitate O–O bond formation, as seen in nature. Overall, a combination of porphyrin as the sensitizer and a ruthenium complex as the WOC is desirable to exploit a highly efficient molecular artificial photosynthetic system.

Herein, we report visible light-driven water oxidation using a covalently linked molecule-based porphyrin sensitizer–ruthenium complex WOC dyad, Ru–ZnP, assembled on a TiO₂ electrode (Chart 1 and Fig. 1). We expected that the ET directionality would be achieved by assembling the ruthenium catalyst and porphyrin sensitizer on TiO₂ through covalent linkage. Namely, (1) upon irradiation of visible light, the porphyrin singlet excited state (ZnP⁺) is formed, and (2) electron injection occurs from ZnP⁺ to the CB of TiO₂ (∼0.6 V vs. NHE at pH 7). Then, the ET takes place from the ruthenium catalyst to the zinc porphyrin radical cation (ZnP⁺⁺) to generate a one-electron oxidized WOC. (3) After forming high-valent RuV in the WOC via an iterative cycle, water is oxidized to dioxygen.

Although several covalently linked sensitizer–WOC dyads have been assembled on semiconducting electrodes, the visible light-driven water splitting efficiency is still not sufficient. We envisioned that a semi-flexible pyridylmethyl-substituted dialkoxyphenyl bridge between the ZnP and WOC moieties would facilitate the charge-shift from the WOC moiety to ZnP⁺⁺, while suppressing CR from the electron in the CB of TiO₂ to the oxidized WOC. Four trifluoromethyl groups were also introduced into the meta-positions of the meso-phenyl groups to realize the high oxidation ability of ZnP⁺⁺ for water oxidation. In this study we have examined the optical, electrochemical, and photoelectrochemical (PEC) properties of Ru–ZnP and its references ZnP-ref and Ru-ref as well as TiO₂ electrodes modified with these compounds to verify the utility of our approach.

**Experimental section**

**General**

¹H and ¹³C[¹H] NMR spectra were recorded using a JEOL AL300 (300 MHz for ¹H, 75.5 MHz for ¹³C) and JEOL ECX-400P (400 MHz for ¹H, 99.6 MHz for ¹³C) spectrometer with CDCl₃, CD₂Cl₂, CD₃OD, and THF-d₈, and the chemical shifts were noted in δ ppm with reference to the internal tetramethylsilane peak (Si(CH₃)₄, 0.00 ppm) and internal residual solvent peak (7.27 ppm for CHCl₃, 5.30 ppm for CH₂Cl₂, 3.33 ppm for CH₃OH, and 1.72 and 3.58 ppm for THF). Silica gel column chromatography was performed using Silica Gel 60 spherical,
neutrality (Nacalai tesque inc.). Alumina column chromatography was carried out using activated alumina (300 mesh, Wako). Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was conducted on aluminium plates coated with silica gel 60 F254 (Merck) or alumina oxide 60 F254 (Merck). Attenuated total reflectance-Fourier transform infrared (ATR-FTIR) spectra were taken using the golden gate diamond anvil ATR accessory (NICOLET 6700, Thermo scientific), using typically 64 scans at a resolution of 2 cm\(^{-1}\). High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were measured using a JEOL JMS-HX110A spectrometer. All reactions were performed under argon.

**Optical measurements**

Steady-state absorption spectra were measured using a Lambda 900 (Perkin-Elmer) UV/vis/NIR spectrometer with a data interval of 0.5 nm. These spectra were taken with \(ca.10^{-5}\) to \(10^{-6}\) M solutions in quartz cells with a path length of 1 cm. Steady-state fluorescence spectra were measured using a FluoroMax-3 (JOBIN YVON, HORIBA) spectrofluorophotometer with a data interval of 1 nm. Time correlated single photon counting was recorded in a 1 cm quartz cell using a HORIBA Jobin Yvon FluoroCube Fluorescence Lifetime System equipped with NanoLEDs and LDs. A Hamamatsu (R3809 U) photomultiplier and a thermoelectrically cooled TBX-04-D detector were used to detect the emitted photons. These spectra were taken with \(ca.10^{-6}\) M solutions in quartz cells with a path length of 1 cm. Solvents were degassed by bubbling with argon before use.

**Electrochemical measurements**

Cyclic voltammetry and differential pulse voltammetry measurements were performed on an ALS660A electrochemical analyzer in deaerated sodium phosphate buffered aqueous solution (pH 7.5, 0.1 M), or THF containing 0.1 M tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate (TBAPf6) as the supporting electrolyte. A conventional three-electrode cell was used with a glassy carbon working electrode and a platinum wire as the counter electrode. The measured potentials were recorded with respect to the Ag/AgCl (3 M NaCl aqueous solution) reference electrode for aqueous solutions or the Ag/AgNO3 reference electrode for DMF solutions. Redox potentials in aqueous solution were determined by using the following equation: \(E_{\text{NHE}} = E_{\text{Ag/AgCl}} + 0.207\ \text{V}\). Redox potentials in THF were measured by using the Fe/Fe\(^{2+}\) redox potential as the internal reference.

**Preparation of the photoanode**

TiO\(_2\)/FTO films were prepared via a screen printing or doctor blade method as previously reported.\(^{14,16}\) We used a TiO\(_2\) paste composed of nanocrystalline TiO\(_2\) particles (20 nm, CCIC:PST23NR, JGC-CCIC) for the preparation of the TiO\(_2\)/FTO films via screen printing. To prepare the working electrodes, the FTO glasses (solar 4 mm thickness, 10 \(\Omega\) cm\(^{-2}\), Nippon Sheet Glass) were first cleaned in a detergent solution using an ultrasonic bath for 10 min and then rinsed with distilled water and ethanol. After UV-O\(_3\) irradiation for 18 min, the FTO glass plates were immersed into a 40 mM aqueous TiCl\(_4\) solution at 70 \(^\circ\)C for 30 min and washed with distilled water and ethanol. A layer of the nanocrystalline TiO\(_2\) paste was coated onto the FTO glass plate through a screen-printing method, kept in a clean box for a few minutes, and then dried over 6 min at 125 \(^\circ\)C. This screen-printing procedure with the nanocrystalline TiO\(_2\) paste was repeated to reach a thickness of 4, 6, and 12 \(\mu\)m, to optimize the device performance. Finally, the electrodes coated with the TiO\(_2\) pastes were gradually heated under airflow at 325 \(^\circ\)C for 5 min, 375 \(^\circ\)C for 5 min, 450 \(^\circ\)C for 15 min, and 500 \(^\circ\)C for 15 min. The thickness of the films was determined using a surface profiler (SURFCOM 130A, ACCRETECH). The TiO\(_2\)/FTO films were treated again with 40 mM TiCl\(_4\) solution at 70 \(^\circ\)C for 30 min and then rinsed with distilled water and ethanol, sintered at 500 \(^\circ\)C for 30 min, and cooled to r.t. before being dipped into the dye solution. The printed area of TiO\(_2\) is 1 \(cm^2\) (1 \(\times\) 1 cm). The TiO\(_2\)/FTO films were immersed into a methanol solution (9 mL) of Ru–ZnP (1.0 \(\times\) \(10^{-4}\) M) at 25 \(^\circ\)C for 0.5 to 6 h, and then washed with methanol for the preparation of the Ru–ZnP-stained TiO\(_2\)/FTO electrode (denoted as Ru–ZnP/TiO\(_2\)/FTO). The porphyrin surface coverage adsorbed on the TiO\(_2\) films (\(f\) \(\text{mol cm}^{-2}\)) was determined by measuring the absorbance of the porphyrin solution that was dissolved from the porphyrin-stained TiO\(_2\) film into 0.1 M NaOH solution of a 1 : 1 mixture of THF and water.

**Photoelectrochemical measurements**

PEC measurements were performed using an ALS660A electrochemical analyzer and a three-electrode electrochemical cell with the Ru–ZnP/TiO\(_2\)/FTO working electrode, a platinum wire as the counter electrode, and the Ag/AgCl (3 M NaCl aqueous solution) reference electrode. The photocurrent was measured at \(-0.4\) V vs. Ag/AgCl without stirring and without iR compensation.\(^{26,28}\) A 100 W halogen lamp (MEJIRO PRECISION, PHL-100) was used as a white light source (\(\lambda > 380\) nm; input power, 35 mw cm\(^{-2}\)) for monitoring the photocurrent. A 300 W xenon lamp (Asahi Spectra Co., Ltd., MAX-303) was used as a white light source (\(\lambda > 400\) nm; input power, 200 mw cm\(^{-2}\)) for detecting the oxygen and hydrogen evolution. A 500 W xenon lamp (USHIO, XB-50101AAA) was used for incident photon-to-current efficiency (IPCE) measurement. Monochromatic light through a monochromator (Ritsu, MC-10N) was illuminated on the modified area of the working electrode (0.28 cm\(^2\)). The light intensity was monitored using an optical power meter (Anritsu, ML9002A) and corrected to calculate the IPCE values.

**X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS)**

XPS data were obtained using ULVAC-PHI 5500 MT equipped with a Mg K\(\alpha\) X-ray source (1253.6 eV) and a hemispherical energy analyzer as previously reported.\(^{15,28}\) The spectra were referenced to the In 3d\(_{5/2}\) peak of indium foil as the internal reference with a binding energy of 443.8 eV, and the signals were fitted using Gaussian functions with the program OriginPro 8.6.

**Gas detection**

Analytical gas chromatography (GC) was carried out using Shimadzu GC-2014 equipped with a thermal conductivity detector.
(TCD-2014). A molecular sieve column was used as the stationary phase, and argon gas was employed as the mobile phase. The argon flow rate was 25 ml min⁻¹, and the column temperature was 40 °C. An aliquot of gas in the headspace of the PEC cells after the measurement was delivered to the GC system using a Hamilton SampleLock syringe to quantitatively analyze oxygen and hydrogen evolution. A FireSting Oxygen Monitor (BAS Inc.) was used for the time-course measurement of oxygen evolution. Argon with 1% oxygen was used for calibration.

**Synthesis**

Ru–ZnP and ZnP-ref were synthesized according to Scheme S1 in the ESL† Ru[bda](dmso)₂ (ref. 27d) and Ru-ref²ᵇ as the reference compound were also prepared according to the literature.

**Results and discussion**

**Synthesis**

Covalently-linked ruthenium catalyst–porphyrin dyad Ru–ZnP was synthesized according to Scheme S1 (see ESL†). Spacer moiety 4 was prepared via iridium catalysed direct borylation of 1,²⁽²⁾ followed by palladium catalysed cross-coupling of 2 with 4-(4-chlorobenzyl)pyridine³³ and subsequent reduction of 3 with LiAlH₄ and oxidation with Dess–Martin periodinane (DMP). The acid catalysed condensation of 4 with 4-(methoxycarbonyl) benzaldehyde and dipyrromethane provided porphyrin 5 in 7% yield. Porphyrin ZnP-ref was obtained via bromination of 5 with N-bromosuccinimide (NBS), followed by Suzuki coupling of 6 with 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl boronic acid⁴⁽⁴⁾ and subsequent base hydrolysis of 7 and zincation of 8. ZnP-ref was then reacted with in situ generated Ru[bda](dmso)[pic] (pic = 4-picoline) from Ru[bda](dmso)₂ in a THF/methanol solution at 40 °C to furnish Ru–ZnP in 59% yield. All new compounds were characterized using ¹H NMR, ¹H–¹H COSY, IR, and HRMS (see ESL and Fig. S2 and S3†).

**Optical properties**

The UV-visible absorption spectra of Ru–ZnP, ZnP-ref and Ru-ref were measured in methanol (Fig. S4†). The Soret and Q bands derived from the porphyrin moiety are evident at 425 and 556 nm. The peak positions and shapes of Ru–ZnP are similar to those of ZnP-ref, indicating that there is little electronic interaction between the porphyrin and ruthenium moieties in the ground state. There is significant absorption from the WOC moiety of Ru–ZnP at 300–400 nm.²⁵ For Ru–ZnP, the porphyrin moiety can be selectively excited at the Soret and Q bands, whereas both the porphyrin and WOC moieties can be excited at 450–530 nm (inset of Fig. S4a†). The fluorescence spectra of Ru–ZnP and ZnP-ref in methanol reveal emission maxima at 605 and 660 nm (Fig. S5a†). From the intersection of the absorption and fluorescence spectra of Ru–ZnP in methanol, the zero-zeroth transition energy (E₀₋₀) for the porphyrin moiety is calculated to be 2.06 eV (Table S1†). The E₀₋₀ value of the WOC moiety is reported to be 1.75 eV in alcoholic solution.¹⁴ The fluorescence of Ru–ZnP is reduced by 73% compared to that of ZnP-ref. In accordance with this quenching, Ru–ZnP has a fluorescence lifetime of 0.75 ns (Fig. S6†), which is also shortened by 69% in comparison with ZnP-ref (2.43 ns). These results indicate the occurrence of energy transfer (ET) or ET quenching by the WOC moiety in Ru–ZnP. On the other hand, the fluorescence intensity of the porphyrin moiety in Ru–ZnP is reduced by 23% in THF (Fig. S5b†). As the ET is more affected by the solvent polarity than ET,⁴⁴ ET quenching of ¹ZnP* by the WOC moiety, rather than the ET from ¹ZnP* to the WOC moiety, is likely to occur in Ru–ZnP (Fig. S1†). However, as electron injection from ¹ZnP* to the CB of TiO₂ is known to take place in a time scale of 0.1–100 ps,⁴⁵ such intramolecular quenching would not influence the PEC properties significantly.

**Electrochemical properties**

The electrochemical properties of Ru–ZnP were examined using cyclic voltammetry (CV) and differential pulse voltammetry (DPV) measurements in THF with a different volume ratio of sodium phosphate buffered aqueous solution (PB, 20 mM, pH 7.5) containing 0.1 M TBAPF₆ as the supporting electrolyte. Ru–ZnP exhibits Ru(II/III) and ZnP/ZnP⁺⁺ peaks at 0.61 V and 1.29 V vs. NHE in THF, respectively (Fig. S7a and Table S1†). On the other hand, in 50% THF aqueous solution (PB/THF, v/v = 1/1) Ru–ZnP shows Ru(II/III) and Ru(III/IV) peaks at 0.60 V and 0.96 V vs. NHE, respectively, while the ZnP/ZnP⁺⁺ peak disappears (Fig. S7d†).

To further shed light on the electrochemical behavior, we investigated the effect of the solvent polarity on the redox potentials (Fig. 2). With an increase in the solvent polarity (i.e., increasing a volume ratio of PB in the PB/THF mixture), the redox potential for ZnP/ZnP⁺⁺ is shifted in the negative direction from 1.29 V to 1.10 V vs. NHE. With an increase in the solvent polarity, the ZnP moiety is oxidized more easily due to the coulombic interaction. In contrast, both the redox potentials for Ru(II/III) (ca. 0.60 V vs. NHE) and Ru(III/IV) (ca. 0.95 V vs. NHE) are almost constant owing to the blocking effect of the ligands on Ru metal against the surrounding solvents.²⁴ This relationship implies that the use of a more hydrophobic environment around the sensitizer is beneficial for ensuring the higher
oxidation potential of the molecular sensitizer in DSPECs, which is a prerequisite for efficient water oxidation. In fact, the cyclic voltammogram of Ru-ZnP in 50% THF aqueous solution shows a significant catalytic current arising from water oxidation at an applied potential of >1.2 V vs. NHE. The catalytic onset is higher than that of typical Ru(bda) catalysts under homogeneous conditions, due to the predominant occurrence of WNA on high-valent RuV species over their radical coupling.\textsuperscript{35}

Preparation of the photoanodes

The choice of an immersion solvent for dye adsorption on a semiconducting electrode is crucial for dye-sensitized solar cells (DSSCs). In particular, in DSSCs with porphyrin sensitizers, the use of protic solvents including methanol and ethanol as the immersion solvent is reported to be effective at achieving high device performance.\textsuperscript{16,27} Since this seems to be the same as DSPECs, \textsuperscript{7b,27} methanol was employed as the immersion solvent for immobilization of Ru-ZnP on a TiO\textsubscript{2}/FTO electrode. A full surface coverage (\(I\)) of Ru-ZnP on TiO\textsubscript{2}/FTO with a TiO\textsubscript{2} thickness of 12 \(\mu\)m is attainable within 2 h, which is used for further measurements (Fig. S8\textsuperscript{†}).

Fig. S9\textsuperscript{†} displays the UV-visible absorption spectra of the TiO\textsubscript{2}/FTO electrode modified with Ru-ZnP. The Q bands of the porphyrin moiety in Ru-ZnP/TiO\textsubscript{2}/FTO are red-shifted and broadened compared to those of Ru-ZnP in PB/methanol (\(v/v = 1/1\)), suggesting considerable intermolecular interactions among the Ru-ZnP molecules on TiO\textsubscript{2}/FTO. By using Meyer’s method,\textsuperscript{28} the full \(I\) values of Ru-ZnP and ZnP-ref on TiO\textsubscript{2}/FTO with a TiO\textsubscript{2} thickness of 12 \(\mu\)m were calculated to be 7.1 \(\times\) 10\textsuperscript{−8} mol cm\textsuperscript{−2} and 9.5 \(\times\) 10\textsuperscript{−8} mol cm\textsuperscript{−2}, respectively. These values largely agreed with the corresponding ones of 9.5 \(\times\) 10\textsuperscript{−8} mol cm\textsuperscript{−2} for Ru-ZnP/TiO\textsubscript{2}/FTO and 1.0 \(\times\) 10\textsuperscript{−7} mol cm\textsuperscript{−2} for ZnP-ref/TiO\textsubscript{2}/FTO, which were obtained by desorbing Ru-ZnP and ZnP-ref from the electrodes under basic conditions.\textsuperscript{16,28}

Considering the surface area of mesoporous TiO\textsubscript{2} (68 m\textsuperscript{2} g\textsuperscript{−1}) and the \(I\) values obtained via dye desorption, the corrected \(I\) values were determined to be 7.9 \(\times\) 10\textsuperscript{−9} mol cm\textsuperscript{−2} for Ru-ZnP and 8.3 \(\times\) 10\textsuperscript{−11} mol cm\textsuperscript{−2} for ZnP-ref. These values correspond to an occupied area per molecule of 2.0–2.1 nm\textsuperscript{2} on TiO\textsubscript{2}/FTO. Assuming that Ru-ZnP and ZnP-ref are densely packed on TiO\textsubscript{2}/FTO with a perpendicular orientation, they occupy ca. 1.8 nm\textsuperscript{2}, which is largely consistent with the experimental values. The first oxidation potential (\(E_{\text{ox}}\)) of the ZnP moiety in Ru-ZnP/TiO\textsubscript{2}/FTO and ZnP-ref/TiO\textsubscript{2}/FTO is 1.00 V vs. NHE, which is determined via DPV measurement in PB (0.1 M, pH 7.3) (Fig. S10†). Thus, the oxidation potential of the ZnP moiety on TiO\textsubscript{2}/FTO is shifted in the negative direction by ca. 0.3 V as compared to that in THF. This may be due to the highly hydrophilic environment as well as the intermolecular interaction of the ZnP moieties on TiO\textsubscript{2}/FTO (\textit{vide supra}). The Ru\textsuperscript{II/III} peak originating from the WOC moiety appears at 0.63 V vs. NHE.

XPS spectra of the photoanodes were measured to characterize the immobilization of Ru-ZnP on TiO\textsubscript{2}/FTO. The XPS spectrum of the Ru(bda)[pic\textsubscript{2}] solid shows a peak for Ru 3d\textsubscript{5/2} with a binding energy (BE) of 280.5 eV (Fig. 3 and S11†). The XPS spectrum of ZnP-ref/TiO\textsubscript{2}/FTO does not reveal any peak around 280 eV, verifying the assignment. On the other hand, Ru-ZnP/TiO\textsubscript{2}/FTO shows the peak stemming from Ru 3d\textsubscript{5/2}, although it is overlapped with a C 1s peak (Fig. 3). The presence of zinc (BE = 1021.2 eV) and fluorine (BE = 688.3 eV) atoms is evident for Ru-ZnP/TiO\textsubscript{2}/FTO (Fig. S12b and c†). The FTIR spectrum of the Ru-ZnP solid reveals the characteristic bands arising from \(\nu(C=O)\) of the carboxylic acid groups at around 1700 cm\textsuperscript{−1} (Fig. S13†). This diagnostic disappears for the FTIR spectrum of Ru-ZnP/TiO\textsubscript{2}/FTO. The FTIR spectrum exhibits a significant increase in the symmetric carbonyl band, \(\nu(COO_{\text{sym}})\), at 1400 cm\textsuperscript{−1} and asymmetric carbonyl band, \(\nu(COO_{\text{asym}})\), at around 1650 cm\textsuperscript{−1}. This corroborates that a proton is detached from the carboxylic acid group during adsorption, leading to the bidentate binding of the carboxylic group on TiO\textsubscript{2}/FTO.\textsuperscript{16,28}

Photoelectrochemical properties

From the optical and electrochemical measurements, the energy levels of Ru-ZnP/TiO\textsubscript{2}/FTO are determined (Fig. 1). The driving force for the electron injection from \(1^{+}\)ZnP to the CB of TiO\textsubscript{2} (\(\Delta G_{\text{inj}}\)) is 0.5 eV, which is sufficient for efficient ET. This is in sharp contrast to previous work with coadsorption of a porphyrin sensitizer and WOC on TiO\textsubscript{2} where the low electron injection efficiency (\(\phi_{\text{inj}}\)) from the free base porphyrin excited singlet state to the CB of TiO\textsubscript{2} limits the overall device performance of the DSPEC.\textsuperscript{36} The PEC properties were evaluated by using a standard three-electrode DSPEC. An \(I-V\) curve of the Ru-ZnP/TiO\textsubscript{2}/FTO electrode under irradiation shows that the photocurrent density reaches its maximum of 0.13 mA cm\textsuperscript{−2} at an external bias of \(-0.2\) V vs. NHE (Fig. S14†). The PEC responses of the anode electrodes were compared at the bias of \(-0.2\) V vs. NHE (Fig. 4). The photocurrent of the Ru-ZnP/TiO\textsubscript{2}/FTO electrode is enhanced compared to those of the reference anodes prepared via coadsorption of ZnP-ref and Ru-ref\textsuperscript{12b} (denoted as Ru-ref + ZnP-ref/TiO\textsubscript{2}/FTO, Ru-ref: ZnP-ref = 1:1) and adsorption of ZnP-ref as well as of Ru-ref on TiO\textsubscript{2}/FTO. As previously reported,\textsuperscript{8a,25} the ZnP moiety of Ru-ZnP exhibits...
irreversible anodic peaks in the CV measurements under aqueous environments (Fig. S7b–d†), implying the instability of ZnP⁺⁺ under aqueous conditions. In the case of the Ru–ZnP/TiO₂/FTO electrode, the photogenerated ZnP⁺⁺ can be rapidly quenched via ET from the nearby WOC with the help of the covalent linkage, exhibiting enhanced photocurrent generation relative to the reference electrodes. In other words, the lower performance of the Ru-ref + ZnP-ref/TiO₂/FTO electrode is probably due to slow intermolecular ET from the WOC to ZnP⁺⁺. This result demonstrates the advantage of the suitable covalent linkage between the sensitizer and WOC on TiO₂/FTO for visible light-driven water oxidation.

To gain further insight into the PEC properties of the anode electrodes, we evaluated the wavelength dependent IPCE spectra. The IPCE was calculated by normalizing the photocurrent density for the incident light energy and intensity and by using eqn (1):

\[
\text{IPCE} = 100 \times \frac{I (W_{\text{in}} \times \lambda)}{I (W_{\text{out}} \times \lambda)}
\]

where \(I\) is the photocurrent density (A cm⁻²), \(W_{\text{in}}\) is the incident light intensity (W cm⁻²), and \(\lambda\) is the excitation wavelength (nm). IPCE is divided into three components in the following eqn (2):

\[
\text{IPCE} = \text{LHE} \times \phi_{\text{inj}} \times \eta_{\text{col}}
\]

where the LHE (light-harvesting efficiency) is the number of absorbed photons per incident photons and \(\eta_{\text{col}}\) is the charge collection efficiency after electron injection.⁷⁷

The TiO₂ thickness was altered from 12 µm to 4 µm to optimize the IPCE. The Ru-ref + ZnP-ref/TiO₂/FTO electrode with a thickness of 6 µm gave the highest device performance with an IPCE of 18% at 424 nm (Fig. S15a and Table 1). The Ru–ZnP/TiO₂/FTO electrode spectra largely resemble the corresponding absorption spectra (Fig. S9b†). The IPCE and absorbed photon-to-current efficiency (APCE) values at the Q bands are considerably lower than those at the Soret band. First, the LHEs at >450 nm are smaller than those at the Soret band. Because the LHEs at >450 nm do not reach unity, incident photons in the region tend to be absorbed by the sensitizer on the TiO₂ film further away from the bottom of the FTO electrode depending on the molar absorption coefficient at each wavelength. Accordingly, the injected electron in the CB of TiO₂ would travel a longer distance through the network of the TiO₂ nanoparticles to reach the FTO, thereby leading to an increase in significant CR and a decrease in \(\eta_{\text{col}}\). Considering the plausible similar \(\phi_{\text{inj}}\) values at different wavelengths, the low LHE and \(\eta_{\text{col}}\) at the Q bands rationalize the difference. There is a significant depletion of the IPCE values at around 500 nm relative to the absorption spectrum. The excitation ratio of WOC : ZnP (ca. 1 : 1) at 460–520 nm is much larger than at the other wavelengths. The direct excitation of the WOC moiety may be responsible for the lower IPCE values because of the rapid decay of the excited state to the ground state within a few tens of picoseconds.¹⁴ From these considerations, the use of sensitizers with a larger molar absorption coefficient in the visible region as well as thinner TiO₂ films is needed to further improve the IPCE value.

To verify water oxidation using the photoanodes, both the O₂ and H₂ evolutions during the PEC operation were monitored quantitatively by using gas chromatography and an oxygen sensor (Fig. 6). For the ZnP-ref/TiO₂/FTO electrode, almost no oxygen and hydrogen were detected during the PEC experiment. On the other hand, the Ru–ZnP/TiO₂/FTO electrode shows 8.5 nmol of O₂ evolution under the same condition with a faradic efficiency of 33% after 1 h of PEC operation. At the same time the Ru–ZnP/TiO₂/FTO electrode reveals 41 nmol of H₂ evolution at the cathode side with a faradic efficiency of 77%. A turnover number (TON) of 6 for H₂ evolution and a TON of 1.3 for O₂ evolution are obtained.

Charaterization of the photoanodes after PEC operation

Fig. 4 also illustrates a gradual drop in the photocurrent intensity of the Ru–ZnP/TiO₂/FTO electrode. In fact, in the photocurrent action spectra, with repeating the wavelength scans from 400 nm to 800 with a scan rate of 2 nm s⁻¹, the IPCE values decrease gradually, reaching half of the initial value (Fig. S15b†). These indicate the degradation and/or chemical change of the photoanodes. Surprisingly, no significant change is observed for the UV-vis spectra of the Ru–ZnP/TiO₂/FTO electrodes before and after 60 min of the PEC operation (Fig. S9e†). The IR absorption characteristics of the bidentate carboxylate at around 1400 and 1650 cm⁻¹ remain even after the PEC operation (Fig. S11†), supporting the robust binding of Ru–ZnP on TiO₂/FTO. These results do not contradict the XPS results (Fig. 7a and b). The O 1s XPS spectra of the Ru–ZnP/TiO₂/FTO electrodes before and after the PEC operation are largely similar. They show two peaks at 530.8 eV and 529.7 eV, which can be assigned to the oxygen atoms of Ru–ZnP and TiO₂. Although the dye desorption from TiO₂ is reported to be one of the major reasons for photocurrent depletion,¹¹⁹,²⁸ the ZnP
moiety in this study is found to strongly bind to TiO2 even after long-term illumination. This is in stark contrast to RuP where even two phosphonates as anchoring groups are not sufficient for tight anchoring to TiO2 under visible light illumination at neutral to high pH.\(^8,9\) Therefore, the degradation or chemical change of the ZnP moiety can be ruled out. To further search the reason for photocurrent depletion, we performed Ru 3d\(_{5/2}\) XPS measurements for the Ru–ZnP/TiO\(_2\)/FTO electrodes before and after the PEC operation. They are fitted by two peaks with BEs of 280.5 eV and 281.1 eV (Fig. 7c and d). The former can be assigned to the Ru(bda) catalyst moiety (Fig. 3), whereas the latter is assigned to the ruthenium center with higher oxidation states (i.e., Ru\(^{\text{III}}\), Ru\(^{\text{IV}}\)).\(^{41,42}\) It is notable that the ratio of the higher oxidation species is dramatically increased after the PEC operation, implying the accumulation of the higher oxidation species on TiO\(_2\)/FTO.

As the IPCE is high during the early stage of illumination (Fig. S15b), the photoinduced first ET sequence starting from ZnP\(^+\) would take place efficiently. That is, ZnP excitation (eqn (3)) is followed by electron injection to the CB of TiO\(_2\) (eqn (4)), and intramolecular charge-shift from the WOC moiety to ZnP\(^+\) to afford Ru\(^{\text{III}}\)-ZnP/TiO\(_2\)(e\(^-\)) (eqn (5)).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Ru}^{\text{II}}-\text{ZnP}/\text{TiO}_2 + h\nu &\rightarrow \text{Ru}^{\text{II}}-\text{ZnP}^+/\text{TiO}_2 \quad (3) \\
\text{Ru}^{\text{II}}-\text{ZnP}^+/\text{TiO}_2 &\rightarrow \text{Ru}^{\text{III}}-\text{ZnP}^{+}/\text{TiO}_2(e^-) \quad (4)
\end{align*}
\]

The latter must compete with CR (eqn (6)), but the vectorially oriented covalently-linked dyad on TiO\(_2\) by the order of WOC, ZnP, and TiO\(_2\) suppresses the process.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Ru}^{\text{II}}-\text{ZnP}^{+}/\text{TiO}_2(e^-) &\rightarrow \text{Ru}^{\text{III}}-\text{ZnP}/\text{TiO}_2(e^-) \quad (5) \\
\text{Ru}^{\text{II}}-\text{ZnP}/\text{TiO}_2 &\rightarrow \text{Ru}^{\text{II}}-\text{ZnP}^+/\text{TiO}_2 \quad (6)
\end{align*}
\]

The generated Ru\(^{\text{III}}\)-ZnP/TiO\(_2\)(e\(^-\)) species can be regarded as a distant hole–electron pair, and therefore, the CR process (eqn (7)) is slow enough to eventually reduce protons at the counter electrode (eqn (8)).\(^{49}\)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Ru}^{\text{III}}-\text{ZnP}/\text{TiO}_2(e^-) &\rightarrow \text{Ru}^{\text{II}}-\text{ZnP}/\text{TiO}_2 + \text{H}_2 \quad (7) \\
\text{Ru}^{\text{II}}-\text{ZnP}^{+}/\text{TiO}_2(e^-) &\rightarrow \text{Ru}^{\text{II}}-\text{ZnP}^+/\text{TiO}_2 + \text{e}^- \quad (8)
\end{align*}
\]
The present conditions as well as the electrochemical degradation of the higher oxidation states of the ruthenium porphyrin sensitizer dyad was assembled on a TiO2 electrode for visible-light driven water oxidation. Evident vectorial ET was facilitated in this system.

Conclusions

A covalently-linked ruthenium-based water oxidation catalyst-porphyrin sensitizer dyad was assembled on a TiO2 electrode for visible-light driven water oxidation. Efficient vectorial ET was achieved by using the dyad on TiO2 with a broad IPCE response at longer wavelengths (up to 620 nm) compared to conventional ruthenium-based sensitizers. Initial incident photon-to-current efficiencies of 18% at 424 nm and 6.4% at 564 nm were attained under monochromatic illumination and an external bias of −0.2 V vs. NHE. The DSPEC performance was better than the reference systems with coadsorption of individual Ru(bda) and ZnP as well as with ZnP solely, corroborating the advantage of the covalent linking approach over the noncovalent one. The wavelength dependence of IPCE in the visible region indicates that a lower molar absorption coefficient of the ZnP moiety and the excitation of the Ru(bda) moiety lowers the IPCE as a result of the lower charge collection efficiency and the rapid decay of the Ru(bda) excited state to the ground state. XPS analysis of the photoanodes before and after the DSPEC operation suggests the accumulation of the RuIII and RuIV states in the WOC moiety after the operation, due to the insufficient oxidizing power of the ZnP radical cation, thereby deteriorating the catalytic activity. Accordingly, efficient water oxidation with the covalently-linked dyad may be achieved by tailoring the sensitizer with a higher molar extinction coefficient in the visible region and a higher oxidation potential under aqueous environments (>1.2 V vs. NHE at pH 7) as well as a more flexible structure for facilitating radical coupling mechanisms. In this context, porphyrins are still highly promising for the construction of efficient DSPECs, since their photophysical and electrochemical functions can be modulated via well-tailored molecular design.
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