Description of Laimaphelenchus sinensis n. sp. (Nematoda: Aphelenchoididae) from declining Chinese pine, Pinus tabuliformis in Beijing, China
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Abstract

Laimaphelenchus sinensis n. sp. isolated from declining Chinese pine, Pinus tabuliformis Carrière, is described and characterized morphologically and molecularly. The new species has four incisures in the lateral field and the excretory pore situated posterior to the nerve ring; the female has a vulval flap and vaginal sclerotization is quite prominent in majority of specimens. The female tail is conoid, ventrally curved having a single stalk-like terminus with 8 to 10 projections. The male spicules are 14.0 (13.2-15) μm long along curved median line and tail is ventrally curved typical of the genus; however, the projections are less prominent as compared to those of female. The male has two pairs of caudal papillae and Bursa is absent. Phylogenetically, the ribosomal DNA sequences of the new species placed it within Laimaphelenchus clade and are morphologically similar to L. persicus, L. preissii, L. simlaensis and L. unituberculus.
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Genus Laimaphelenchus has been defined by the presence of pedunculate tubercles that expanded to finger-like projections on the tail terminus, although some species with this character have been demonstrated to be polyphyletic and transferred to Aphelenchoides (Zhao et al., 2006a, 2007; Asghari et al., 2012; Carta et al., 2016; Maleita et al., 2018). At present, the genus contains 15 species distributed across different climatic zones and environment (Hunt, 1993; Swart, 1997; Peneva and Chipev, 1999; Asghari et al., 2012; Fang et al., 2019). Members of this genus are known to exhibit a global distribution as they have been reported from six continents (Hunt, 1993; Swart, 1997; Peneva and Chipev, 1999; Zhao et al., 2007; Negi et al., 2009; Pedram et al., 2018). Due to the presence of potential pest species in family Aphelenchoididae, members of this family are diagnosed with caution. However, none of the Laimaphelenchus species were reported to cause potential damage to conifers (Raghavendra and Newcombe, 2013), although their possible association with oak decline syndrome was suggested by Pedram et al. (2018).

During the present study, a population of Laimaphelenchus species was isolated from declining Chinese pine, Pinus tabuliformis Carrière, in Beijing, China, in November, 2018. The population was examined carefully and preliminary studies reveal the status of this species as a new species. Therefore, the objectives of the study are: to provide morphological and molecular characterization of L. sinensis n. sp.; and to demonstrate phylogenetic relationships of the new species with related aphelenchids.
Materials and methods

Nematode isolation and morphological study

Several twigs collected from declining Chinese pine (*Pinus tabuliformis*) were sliced into small pieces approximately 1 cm wide. The nematodes were isolated by the modified Baermann funnel technique for 24 hr. Adults for observation and measurements were collected from the declining twig samples as the cultures were unsuccessful. Permanent slides were prepared by heat-killed nematodes fixed with FA 4:1 and ethanol-glycerin dehydration according to Seinhorst (1959) as modified by De Grisse (1969). Morphometrics, drawings and light micrographs of nematodes were made with the aid of a Zeiss microscope equipped with a Zeiss AxioCam MRm CCD camera (Carl Zeiss Shanghai Co. Ltd. Shanghai, China).

Molecular and phylogenetic analyses

DNA samples were prepared according to Li et al. (2008). Four sets of primers (synthesized by Majorbio, Shanghai, China) were used in the PCR analyses to amplify the near full length 18S, full length ITS region and D2-D3 expansion segments of the 28S ribosomal RNA genes (rDNA). The near full length 18S region was amplified as two partially overlapping fragments; for the first fragment, 988F (5'-CTC AAA GAT TAA GCC ATG C-3') and 1912R (5'-TTT ACG GTC AGA ACT AGG G-3') were used and for the second fragment 1813F (5'-CTG CGT GAG AGG TGA AAT-3') and 2646R (5'-GCT ACC TTG TTA CGA CTT TT-3') (Holterman et al., 2006). The full length ITS region was amplified with the forward primer TW81 (5'-GTT TCC GTA GGT GAA CCT GC-3') and the reverse primer AB28 (5'-ATA TGC TTA AGT TCA GCG GGT-3') (Joyce et al., 1994). The full length D2-D3 region was amplified with the forward primer D2A (5'-ACA AGT ACC GTG AGG GAA AGT TG-3') and the reverse primer D3B (5'-TCG GAA GGA ACC AGC TAC TA-3') (De Ley et al., 1999). PCR conditions were as described by Li et al. (2008) and Ye et al. (2007). PCR products were separated on 1.5% agarose gels and visualized by staining with ethidium bromide. PCR products of sufficiently high quality were sent for sequencing by Invitrogen, Shanghai, China.

The newly generated near full length 18S and 28S D2-D3 rDNA sequences of *L. sinensis* n. sp. were compared with other aphanellid sequences available in GenBank using the BLAST homology search program (Altschul et al., 1990). The alignments of selected sequences were conducted with MAFFT (Katoh and Standley, 2013) with the default parameters and edited with AliView (Larsson, 2014). The best-fitted model of DNA evolution and the base frequency, the proportion of invariable sites and the gamma distribution shape parameters and substitution rates were obtained using jModelTest2 (Darriba et al., 2012) with the Akaike information criterion. The phylogenetic tree for each gene was obtained separately using MrBayes 3.2.3 (Ronquist and Huelsenbeck, 2003) with four chains (three heated and one cold). The number of generations for the total analysis was set to 1 × 10^7, with the chain sampled every 1,000 generations and the burn-in value set at 25%. The Markov chain Monte Carlo method within a Bayesian framework was used to estimate the posterior probabilities of the phylogenetic trees using the 50% majority rule (Larget and Simon, 1999). The consensus trees were selected to represent the phylogenetic relationships as well as the branch length and support level, all visualized using TreeGraph 2 (Stöver and Müller, 2010).

Results

Systematics

*Laimaphelenchus sinensis* n. sp. (Figs 1 and 2).

Measurements

Measurements of the new species are given in Table 1.

Description

Female

Body is slender, cylindrical, and J-shaped when heat killed. Cuticle has fine annulations. Lateral field has four incisures. Lip region is convex in lateral view, offset, more than twice as broad as high. Stylet is 12.3 (11.8-12.6) μm long, divided into two parts with small basal swellings and conus occupying ca 40% of its total length. Procorpus is cylindrical, and metacorpus (median bulb) is strongly developed and oval shaped, with centrally situated valves. Dorsal pharyngeal gland orifice opens into lumen of metacorpus with ca one metacorpal valve length anterior to metacorpal valve. Pharyngo-intestinal junction is one metacorpal valve length posterior to the base of metacorpus. Nerve ring is less than one body diam. length posterior to metacorpus. Pharyngeal gland lobe is slender, overlapping intestine dorsally. Excretory pore is located slightly posterior to nerve ring. Reproductive tract is mono-prodelphic and located to the right of intestine. Organs are arranged as ovary, oviduct,
Figure 1: Line drawings of *Laimaphelenchus sinensis* n. sp. 
A: Entire female; B: Entire male; C: Anterior region; D: Female posterior region showing vulva and post-uterine sac; E: Lateral lines F, G: Female tail terminus; H: Male tail; I: Spicule. (Scale bars = A, B = 20 μm; C-I = 10 μm).

spermatheca, crustaformeria, uterus, vagina + vulva and post-uterine sac. Single, outstretched ovary, developing oocytes arranged in 1 to 2 rows, and several well-developed oocytes arranged in single row. Oviduct is short and connected with an ovoid-to oblonged-shaped spermatheca, filled with sperm cells. Spermatheca is formed by thick tissue, forming an expansion in the gonad, i.e. not forming a clear branch. Crustaformeria is not conspicuous. Vagina is slightly inclined anteriorly to body axis, with massive sclerotization, but sometimes invisible. Vulva is a traverse slit, and anterior vulval lip is modified into a small vulval flap covering the vulval region. Post-uterine sac and vagina are usually closed with no special structure such as a pair of three-celled structures. Post-uterine sac is long, extending for ca 39.7 to 55.8% of vulval–anus distance, and sometimes filled with sperm. Anus is distinct, and area at anal lips is slightly elevated. Tail is conoid, slightly ventrally curved with a mucron of about 2 μm long, and appears like a stalk-like terminus with multiple (8-10) projections.

**Male**

Body is slender, cylindrical, and slightly ventrally arcuate when heat-relaxed. Cuticle and anterior body region are similar to female. Testis, outstretched, located on the left side of intestine. Anterior part of testis contains developing spermatocytes in a single row and gradually develops into two rows. Cloacal lips are non-protruded. Spicules are paired, condylus is broad squarish to rounded shaped with triangular rostrum, capitulum is straight to slightly bent in some individuals, and lamina/calamus is complex and smoothly curved to distal end. Distal ends of spicule have rounded terminus. Two pairs of papillae are present: one pair is subventral precloacal papillae (P2) located at the same level of cloacal opening, and the other pair is subventral postcloacal papillae (P3) located at mid of the tail. Tail is conoid ventrally curved with several tubercles. Bursa is absent.

**Type host and locality**

The type material was isolated from declining Chinese pine, *Pinus tabuliformis* Carrière, in Beijing, China, on November, 2018.

**Type specimens**

Holotype female, four male and four female paratypes (slide numbers BJ1-1 to BJ1-3) were deposited in the nematode collection of Ningbo Entry-Exit Inspection and Quarantine Bureau, China. One paratype male and two paratype females (slide numbers T551) were deposited in the Canadian National Collection of Nematodes, Ottawa, ON, Canada.

**Etymology**

The species epithet is formed from the country of origin.

**Differential diagnosis**

The *L. sinensis* n. sp. can be characterized by the lateral field with four lines and the excretory pore situated posterior to the nerve ring. The male spicules
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Figure 2: Light photomicrographs of *Laimaphelenchus sinensis* n. sp. A: Entire female; B: Entire male; C: Lateral lines; D: Anterior region; E: Female posterior region showing vulva and post-uterine sac; F, G: Vulval regions; H: Female tail; I-K: Female tail terminus; L-N: Male tails arrows showing position of caudal papillae (Scale bars = A, B = 20 μm; C-N = 10 μm; Abbreviations: ex, excretory pore).

are 14.0 (13.2–15) μm long along the curved median line, condylus is broad squarish to rounded shaped with triangular rostrum, capitulum is straight to slightly bent in some individuals, and distal ends of spicule have a rounded terminus. Two pairs caudal papillae are present. Bursa is absent. Female have a vulval flap. Tail is conoid and ventrally curved with a single stalk-like terminus with 8 to 10 projections.
Table 1. Morphometrics data for *Laimaphelenchus sinensis* n. sp.

| Character                      | Holotype          | Paratypes                  | Paratypes                  |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| n                             | –                 | 6                          | 5                          |
| L                             | 914 ± 46.1        | 968 ± 69.1 (914–1064)      | 876 ± 69.1 (750–956)       |
| a                             | 42.1 ± 1.9        | 41.5 ± 0.6 (38.6–44.8)     | 46.2 ± 2.6 (42.4–50)       |
| b                             | 11.2 ± 0.9        | 11.7 ± 0.6 (11.2–12.7)     | 10.5 ± 0.6 (9.4–11.1)      |
| b’                            | 4.3 ± 0.4         | 4.8 ± 0.1 (4.3–5.6)        | 4.6 ± 0.3 (4.1–4.9)        |
| c                             | 25.3 ± 3.1        | 28 ± 1.4 (25–32.9)         | 19.9 ± 1.4 (17.9–22.3)     |
| c’                            | 2.6 ± 0.2         | 2.6 ± 0.2 (2.1–2.9)        | 2.7 ± 0.2 (2.4–2.9)        |
| V or T                         | 70.5 ± 0.9        | 69.1 ± 0.9 (67.7–70.7)     | 70.8 ± 4.4 (63.4–76.5)     |
| Lip region height              | 2.3 ± 0.1         | 2.4 ± 0.1 (2.2–2.6)        | 2.7 ± 0.1 (2.6–2.8)        |
| Lip region width               | 6.9 ± 0.3         | 7.1 ± 0.3 (6.8–7.4)        | 7.1 ± 0.3 (6.4–7.9)        |
| Stylet length                  | 12.2 ± 0.9        | 12.3 ± 0.9 (11.8–12.6)     | 12.3 ± 0.9 (11.1–12.9)     |
| Body diam.                     | 21.7 ± 0.9        | 23.4 ± 0.9 (21.6–26.4)     | 19 ± 1.1 (17.7–20.9)       |
| Median bulb width              | 18.9 ± 0.9        | 13.2 ± 0.9 (12.4–14)       | 12 ± 0.7 (11–12.8)         |
| Median bulb length              | 12.6 ± 0.9        | 18.5 ± 0.9 (17.6–18.9)     | 17.4 ± 0.8 (16.2–18)       |
| Median bulb length/diam. ratio | 1.5 ± 0.2         | 1.4 ± 0.2 (1.3–1.5)        | 1.5 ± 0.2 (1.4–1.5)        |
| Excretory pore from anterior end| 92.2 ± 4.7        | 96.3 ± 4.7 (88–104)        | 83.2 ± 2.5 (80.1–87)       |
| Ovary length or testis         | 430 ± 24          | 454 ± 24 (420–480)         | 622.6 ± 76.6 (476–690)     |
| Post-uterine sac               | 121 ± 6.1         | 130.3 ± 6.1 (119–138)      | –                          |
| Vulva to anus distance         | 270 ± 20.9        | 264.2 ± 20.9 (233–300)     | –                          |
| Post-uterine sac length/vulva to anus (%) | 44.8 ± 5.5 (39.7–55.8) | 49.8 ± 5.5 (39.7–55.8) | –                           |
| Anal (cloacal) body diameter   | 14.1 ± 0.7        | 13.3 ± 0.7 (12–14.1)       | 16.3 ± 1.0 (15.3–18.2)     |
| Tail length                    | 36.1 ± 3.2        | 34.9 ± 3.2 (29–38.6)       | 44.1 ± 1.8 (41.8–46.4)     |
| Spicule (curved median line)   | –                 | –                          | 14.0 ± 0.6 (13.2–15)       |
| Spicule (Chord)                | –                 | –                          | 15.6 ± 0.9 (14.1–16.6)     |

Note: All measurements are in µm and in the form of mean ± SD (range).

The new species has a vulval flap and four lateral lines, whereas none of the other *Laimaphelenchus* species exhibits this combination except these four species: *L. persicus* (Asghari et al., 2012); *L. preissii* (Zhao et al., 2006b); *L. simlaensis* (Negi et al., 2009) and *L. unituberculus* (Bajaj and Walia, 2000).

The new species can be differentiated from *L. persicus* by tail terminus morphology (single stalk with 8 to 10 projections vs 4 pedunculate tubercles ending with 4 to 6 finger-like protrusions), longer female body L = 968 (914–1064) vs 763 (615–925) µm, higher c value = 28 (25–32.9) vs 21.8 (17.5–24.7) and smaller spicule lengths 14.0 (13.2–15) vs 20.4 (19–21.0) µm; from *L. preissii* by size of anterior vulval lip (smaller vs elongated, well developed), spicule morphology (condylus broad squarish to rounded shaped with triangular rostrum distal ends of spicule with rounded terminus vs condylus and rostrum broad ellipsoidal with bluntly rounded terminus), bursa (absent vs present), smaller spicule lengths 14.0 (13.2–15) vs 22 to 28 µm, shorter body length of male 876 (750–956) vs 1,088 (1,000–1,218) and female 968 (914–1,064) vs 1,185 (1,007–1,386) µm and smaller of female tail.
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34.9 (29–38.6) vs 44 (32–64) µm; from *L. simlaensis* by the spicule morphology (condylus broad squarish to rounded shaped with triangular rostrum vs condylus broad rounded with pointed rostrum) and length 14.0 (13.2–15) vs 16 to 18 µm, spicule devoid of gubernaculum like structure vs present, female tail terminus morphology (single stalk with 8 to 10 projections vs 3 to 5 finger-like fine processes and male having 2 pairs of caudal papillae vs 3; from *L. unituberculus* by posterior position of excretory pore from anterior end (posterior to nerve ring vs at the same level of it), vaginal sclerotization (medium vs massive), the spicule morphology (condylus broad squarish to rounded shaped with triangular rostrum vs condylus conoid with pointed rostrum and straight condylus) longer body length of male 876 (750–956) vs 640 (520–720) µm and female 968 (914–1,064) vs 740 (690–800) µm and male having 2 pairs of caudal papillae vs 3.

**Molecular profiles and phylogenetic status**

The new species was molecularly characterized using near full length 18S, full length of ITS region and D2-D3 expansion segments of 28S rDNA sequences which were deposited in GenBank under the following accession numbers: MN401302 (18S, 1,675 bp), MN401304 (ITS, 820 bp) and MN401303 (28S, 726 bp). The 18S data set had 1,874 characters and the 28S data set had 976 characters after alignment.
and post-editing. Phylogenetic relationships among the isolates for each data set were assessed using Bayesian inference, with *Aphelenchus avenae* Bastian, 1865 (JQ348399) for 18S and (JQ348340) for 28S as the outgroup taxon. The 50% majority rule consensus phylogenetic trees were generated from both datasets under the TrN+I+G and TIM2+I+G models, respectively. Previously, none of the *Laimaphelenchus* species was characterized using ITS sequence; hence, ITS tree was not constructed during this study.

The 18S phylogenetic tree (Fig. 3) demonstrated *L. sinensis* n. sp. clustered with *L. preissii* and an unidentified *Aphelenchoideis* sp. (EU287591) as an independent clade with high support value (posterior probability = 100). The sequence comparison of the new species with *L. preissii* showed a sequence divergence of 1.67% (28/1,678 bp). Furthermore, the 18S sequence divergence of the new species with other molecularly characterized *Laimaphelenchus* species having a vulval flap, i.e. *L. belgradiensis* (KF881745) and *L. penardi* (EU306346, AY593918, AY593919) ranged from 4.88 to 6.68%.

The phylogenetic tree of 28S D2-D3 (Fig. 4) is similar to the 16S tree and *L. sinensis* n. sp. appears as a sister taxon to *L. preissii*. The sequence divergence of these two species is 12.67% (91/718 bp). Furthermore, the 28S sequence divergence of the new species with other
molecularly characterized Laimaphelenchus species having a vulval flap, i.e. L. beladiensis (KF881746), L. deconicki (KF998578), L. hyrcanus (KJ567061) and L. persicus (JN006987) ranged from 12.72 to 13.43%.

Discussion

Only the Laimaphelenchus species from the United States (L. pensorobins, L. penardi, L. pannocaudus and L. phaseolinii) were found in association with insects. The rest of the species were either described from the dead/weakened branches of coniferous/deciduous trees or from the soil rhizosphere and presumed to be associated with mosses or lichens growing on the host trees (Massey, 1966, 1974; Bajaj and Walla, 2000; Zhao et al., 2006a, 2007; Negi et al., 2009; Asghari et al., 2012; Oro, 2015; Maleita et al., 2018). Regarding this, it can be presumed that the Laimaphelenchus species may utilize insect vectors for their dispersal if there is any biological state associated with insects yet to be discovered.

The discovery of L. helicosoma from the remote region of Antarctica (Maslen, 1979; Peneva and Chipov, 1999) and the detection of L. penardi, L. deconicki (Asghari and Eskandari, 2014; Azizi et al., 2015) from Iran indicate the ancient origin of genus Laimaphelenchus which might date back to the geographical period even prior to the breakup of Pangaea and later it might have spread during the historic land connections. However, Laimaphelenchus species are not regarded as quarantine pests; therefore, the biogeographical distribution of this genus is poorly known.

The new species is the first Laimaphelenchus species described from China. It was discovered during the routine nematode inventory survey and no insects were detected on the wood samples. Additionally, this is the first Laimaphelenchus species that has been recovered from Pinus tabuliformis. The details regarding species biology and insect associations are unknown. However, this gap in the knowledge is a framework for further studies and will hopefully stimulate future research.
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