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Abstract—We address photon-number-assisted, polarization-based, binary communication systems equipped with photon counting receivers. In these channels, information is encoded in the value of polarization phase-shift but the carrier has an additional degree of freedom, i.e., its photon distribution, which may be exploited to implement binary-input multiple-output (BIMO) channels also in the presence of a phase diffusion noise affecting the polarization. Here, we analyze the performances of these channels, which approach capacity by means of iteratively decoded error correcting codes. In this paper, we use soft-metric-based low-density parity-check codes for this purpose. In order to take full advantage of all the information available at the output of a photon counting receiver, soft information is generated in the form of log-likelihood ratios, leading to improved frame error rate and bit error rate compared to binary symmetric channels. We evaluate the classical capacity of the considered BIMO channel and show the potential gains that may be provided by photon counting detectors in realistic implementations.

Index Terms—Quantum communication, photon detectors.

I. INTRODUCTION

In binary optical communication, the logical information is encoded onto two different states of the radiation field. After the propagation, the receiver should perform a measurement, aimed at discriminating the two signals. Currently, most of the long-distance amplification-free optical classical communication schemes employ relatively weak laser sources leading to small mean photon count values at the receiver. The same is true for quantum-enhanced secure cryptographic protocols. In fact, laser radiation, which is described by coherent states, preserves its Poissonian photon-number statistics and polarization also in the presence of losses. On the other hand, operating in the regime of low number of detected photons gives rise to the problem of discriminating the signals by quantum-limited measurements [1]–[3]. Indeed, the binary discrimination problem for coherent states has been thoroughly investigated, both for its fundamental interest and for practical purposes [4]–[9]. It should be mentioned however that in order to exploit the phase properties of coherent states, one should implement phase sensitive receivers [10], [11] with nearly optimal performances also in the presence of dissipation and noise [7], [12]. This is a challenging task, since it is generally difficult, and sometimes impossible, to have a suitable and reliable phase reference in order to implement this kind of receiver [13].

The simplest choice for a detection scheme involving radiation is given by detectors which simply reveal the presence or the absence of radiation (on/off detectors) with acceptable dead-time values and dark count rates. A natural evolution of such schemes would be to employ photon counting receivers. Indeed, development of photon counters has been extensively pursued in the last decades, as well as of methods to extract the photon distribution by other schemes [14]–[18]. Given that one could use photon counting detectors for weak-energy optical communications, a question arises on whether and how such detectors may be employed to improve the system performance. A possible way to answer this question is to determine the capacity of the corresponding optical channels, and the achievable residual bit error rate (BER) and frame error rate (FER) of practical communication schemes over these channels. A photon counting detector is clearly able to extract more information than a simple on/off detector. The practical consequence is that a photon counting detector allows one to generate a meaningful log-likelihood (i.e., a soft-metric), as opposed to a hard-metric allowed by a hard- (or on/off) detector. Furthermore, soft-metrics lead to improved performances when exploited by powerful iteratively decoded forward error correcting codes.

Recently, a simple polarization-based communication scheme involving weak coherent optical signals and low-complexity photon counting receivers has been presented [1], and its performances have been analyzed based on an equivalent binary symmetric channel (BSC) model of the overall scheme. In this paper, we extend the scheme of [1] and model the effect of the photon distribution of the coherent signals as a time varying binary input-multiple output (BIMO) channel. In particular, we employ soft-metric based low density parity check (LDPC) codes for transmission over the BIMO channel to approach capacity using iteratively decoded error correcting codes and investigate the potential improvements that may be obtained in terms of classical capacity and residual BER using photon counting receivers [27]. It is worth noting that recently photon-counting detectors have been proposed to enhance the discrimination of weak optical signal in the case of...
The receiver introduced in [1] is based on an optical setup for one-parameter qubit gate optimal estimation [2], [21]. In this scheme, the qubit is encoded in the polarization degree of freedom of a light beam, whose intensity (photon) degree of freedom has been prepared in a coherent state, and the one-parameter gate corresponds to a polarization transformation. In the ideal case, orthogonal polarization states can be perfectly discriminated. However, in a realistic scenario and especially in free-space communication, non-dissipative (diffusive) noise affecting the channel is detrimental. Remarkably, since our receiver is phase-insensitive, the scheme works as well as when phase-diffusion noise is affecting the channel. This also holds in the case of phase-randomized coherent states [22] which can be easily generated, characterized and manipulated [23] and are useful for enhancing security in decoy state quantum key distribution [24], [25].

The paper is organized as follows; the physical system is described in Section II, where the corresponding channel model and log-likelihood metric are also defined. The associated channel capacity is evaluated in Section IV, while the achievable residual frame and bit error rate obtained with LDPC coding is presented in Section V. Section VI concludes the paper with some final remarks.

II. THE PHYSICAL CHANNEL

The channel we are going to investigate corresponds to the optical setup schematically depicted in Fig. 1. The information bit is encoded onto the polarization degree of freedom of a light beam prepared in a coherent state $|\alpha\rangle$, initially linearly polarized at 45° with respect to the $x$-axis, i.e.,:

$$|\alpha\rangle \otimes |+\rangle = |\alpha\rangle \otimes \left(\frac{|H\rangle + |V\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right),$$

where $|H\rangle$ and $|V\rangle$ denote horizontal and vertical polarization states with respect to the $x$-axis. The encoding rule for the bit $k = 0, 1$ is applied to the qubit by means of the polarization rotation $U(\phi_k) = e^{-i\frac{\phi_k}{2}\sigma_3}$, $\sigma_3$ being the Pauli matrix. Due to the analogy with the phase-shift encoding, from now on we will refer to $U(\phi_k)$ as "phase shift". In order to follow the scheme proposed in Ref. [1] and in view of a possible experimental verification reported in [19], [21], we assume that the encoding rule for the bit given in Table I.

The polarization rotation (phase shift) may be easily implemented by means of a KDP crystal driven by a high voltage generator, and corresponds to a change of the polarization from linear to elliptical. At the detection stage information is retrieved by intensity measurement, in a scheme involving a half-wave plate, a polarizing beam splitter (PBS) and two photon counters. This scheme has been experimentally tested to achieve one-parameter qubit gate optimal estimation [19], [21]. Furthermore, several examples of detectors now used by the quantum optics community, can be used as photon counters [15]–[17], [21]–[23]. The outcomes of the measurement are thus pairs of integer numbers $(n_0, n_1)$, where $n_k$ is the number of detected photons in the reflected $(k = 0)$ and transmitted $(k = 1)$ beam, respectively. Notice that the total number of detected photons $n = n_0 + n_1$ is varying shot by shot. We assume that no photon is lost at the beam splitter. The number of photons in the coherent carrier is a Poisson distributed random variable with mean value $N_c = |\alpha|^2$. Also the two beams after the PBS are coherent states and the joint probability of obtaining the outcome $(n_0, n_1)$ is the product of two factorized Poisson distributions. The mean values depend on the polarization phase-shift, i.e., on the bit value. Upon denoting by $N_k(\phi)$ the mean photon number in the reflected or transmitted beam when the imposed phase-shift is $\phi$, we have:

$$N_0(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} N_c (1 + \cos \phi), \quad N_1(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} N_c (1 - \cos \phi).$$

The probability of the event $(n_0, n_1)$ is thus given by:

$$p(n_0, n_1 | \phi) = e^{-N_c} \frac{N_0(\phi)^{n_0} N_1(\phi)^{n_1}}{n_0! n_1!}. \quad (1)$$

The overall scheme is suitable for working with weak optical signals, where the value of $N_c$ is typically small. The relevant observation to be made here is that the information is retrieved by photon counting, and therefore the discrete bit value $k$, encoded in the polarization qubit, is mapped at the detection stage onto pairs of integer numbers. The considered scheme can be modeled as shown in Fig. 2, i.e., with an equivalent BIMO channel that receives the binary random variable $k$ as input, and generates the two random variables $n_0, n_1$ as outputs. In particular, for a given number $n$ of detected photons, there are $n + 1$ pairs $n_0, n_1$ such that $n_0 + n_1 = n$. The availability of multiple
outputs, whose likelihood can be exploited for soft-information processing, is a crucial characteristic of the described scheme.

If propagation of the light beam occurs in an environment, which perturbs the polarization but preserves the energy, then the state impinging onto the PBS has no longer a well-defined polarization (phase): If the initial state is \(|\phi_k\rangle \otimes |\alpha\rangle\), where \(|\phi_k\rangle = U(\phi_k)|+\rangle\) refers to the polarization qubit, the phase-diffusion noise affects the polarization according to the map \([19], [21]\):

\[
|\phi_k\rangle \rightarrow \theta_k = \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\varphi g(\varphi, \Delta) U(\varphi) |\phi_k\rangle \langle U(\varphi), \quad (2)
\]

where \(\theta_k\) represents the density matrix of the degraded polarization qubit and \(g(\varphi, \Delta)\) is a normal distribution of the variable \(\varphi\) with zero mean and standard deviation \(\Delta\). From the physical point of view, Eq. (2) follows from a master equation approach \([30]\) which represents a dynamics in which the quantum state of light undergoes an energy conserving scattering affecting the polarization. Overall, this corresponds to applying a random polarization rotation (or phase shift) of the input polarization distributed according to \(g(\varphi, \Delta)\). The probabilities of the outcomes are still given by Eq. (1), however with the mean photon numbers modified to:

\[
N_0(\varphi, \Delta) \equiv N_0(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2} N_c (1 + e^{-\Delta^2 \cos \varphi}), \quad (3)
\]

\[
N_1(\varphi, \Delta) \equiv N_1(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2} N_c (1 - e^{-\Delta^2 \cos \varphi}). \quad (4)
\]

III. EVALUATION OF THE LOG-LIKELIHOOD RATIOS (LLR)

Soft-decoding algorithms are typically based on the use of LLR. In our particular case, the LLR values associated to the channel model of Fig. 2 can be evaluated as:

\[
\text{LLR}(n_0, n_1) = \log_2 \left[ \frac{p(\phi_k|n_0, n_1)}{p(\phi_k|n_0, n_1)} \right] \quad (5)
\]

where,

\[
p(\phi_k|n_0, n_1) \quad k = 0, 1
\]

is the probability that the transmitted bit was “k” given the outcomes \((n_0, n_1)\). Using Bayes theorem, Eq. (5) may be rewritten as:

\[
\text{LLR}(n_0, n_1) = \log_2 \left[ \frac{p(n_0, n_1|\phi_1)}{p(n_0, n_1|\phi_0)} \right]. \quad (7)
\]

Finally, using Eq. (1) we arrive at:

\[
\text{LLR}(n_0, n_1) = (n_0 - n_1) \log_2 \left( \frac{q}{1 - q} \right) \quad (8)
\]

where,

\[
q = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 - e^{-\Delta^2 \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{4} \right)} \right], \quad (9)
\]

for the chosen encoding. The system described up to this point represents, for a given \(n\), a BIMO discrete memoryless channel (DMC) \([20]\) with binary input \(k\) and \(n + 1 = n_0 + n_1 + 1\) outputs \((n_0, n_1)\), where \(n\) is a Poisson distributed random variable. In the next section we will evaluate the capacity of this channel.

IV. EVALUATION OF CAPACITY

A sufficient statistic for detection with photon counting detectors is the difference photocurrent at the output, i.e., \(D = n_1 - n_0\). Since the two random variables \(n_0\) and \(n_1\) are Poisson distributed, the outcome \(d\) of \(D\) is Skellam distributed, namely:

\[
p_D(d|\phi_k) = e^{-N_c} \left( \frac{N_1(\phi)}{N_0(\phi)} \right)^{d/2} I_{|d|} \left( 2 \sqrt{N_1(\phi)N_0(\phi)} \right), \quad (10)
\]

where \(I_{|d|}(z)\) is the modified Bessel function of the first kind, such that:

\[
p_D(d|\phi_k) = e^{-N_c} \left( \frac{q}{1 - q} \right)^{d/2} I_{|d|} \left( 2N_c \sqrt{q(1 - q)} \right), \quad (11)
\]

Upon denoting by \(\Phi\) the input binary variable, the relevant figure of merit to evaluate the channel capacity is the mutual information:

\[
I(\Phi, D) = H(\Phi) - H(\Phi|D),
\]

where,

\[
H(\Phi) = -z_0 \log_2 z_0 - z_1 \log_2 z_1,
\]

is the Shannon entropy of the input alphabet, \(z_0 (z_1 = 1 - z_0)\) being the \(a\) priori probability of sending the bit \(k = 0 (k = 1)\) and \(H(\Phi|D)\) is the conditional entropy:

\[
H(\Phi|D) = -\sum_{k,d} p_D(d|\phi_k)p(\phi_k|d) \log_2 p(\phi_k|d)
\]

and,

\[
p_D(d) = z_0 p_D(d|\phi_0) + (1 - z_0)p_D(d|\phi_1)
\]

is the overall probability of the outcome \(d\), irrespective of the input bit.

Our BIMO DMC is neither symmetric nor weakly symmetric. Recall that a DMC is said to be symmetric if the rows (and the columns) of the channel transition probability matrix are permutations of each other. If, on the other hand, the rows are permutations of each other and the column sums are equal but the columns are not permutations of each other, the DMC is said to be weakly symmetric. It can be shown that for symmetric or weakly symmetric channels uniform probability on input maximizes the mutual information thus yielding capacity. However, it can be easily shown that the input probability distribution maximizing the mutual information in the BIMO case above is
the uniform one, i.e., $z_0 = z_1 = 1/2$. The channel capacity is thus given by:

$$C = \max_{\Phi} I(\Phi|D)$$

$$= 1 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,d} p_{D}(d|\phi_k)$$

$$+ p_{D}(d|\phi_1) p(\phi_1|d) \log_2 p(\phi_1|d).$$

(13)

Our goal is now to compare the capacity of the present photon counting receiver channel to that of the equivalent BSC resulting from the detection of optical signals by on/off receiver, which just discriminates the presence or the absence of radiation (i.e., performs hard decoding). The transition probability of the equivalent BSC associated with the considered photon counting receiver (i.e., the raw BER, denoted in the following as QBER) can be obtained as:

$$QBER = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} p_{D}(m|\phi_0) + \frac{1}{2} p_{D}(0|\phi_0),$$

(14)

and,

$$QBER = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} p_{D}(-m|\phi_1) + \frac{1}{2} p_{D}(0|\phi_1).$$

(15)

Essentially, assuming $\phi_0$ is true, a detection error occurs for a hard decision detector if $D = n_1 - n_0 > 0$. In case $D = 0$, the detector can toss a fair coin and assign a decoded bit arbitrarily, in which case the probability of error is $\frac{1}{2} p_{D}(0|\phi_1)$.

In our case, in the limit $N_c \gg 1$, we can write:

$$N_1(\phi_k) N_2(\phi_k) = p(1|\phi_k) p(0|\phi_k)$$

and,

$$N_1(\phi_k) N_2(\phi_k) = N_2^2 p(1|\phi_k) p(0|\phi_k).$$

When “0 is transmitted and it is mapped to $\phi_0$”, we get from Eqs. (3) and (4):

$$p_{D}(m|\phi_0) = e^{-N_c} \sqrt{\alpha_{\Delta}} B_m(\sqrt{\alpha_{\Delta}} \Delta)$$

analogously when “1 is transmitted and it is mapped to $\phi_1$”:

$$p_{D}(m|\phi_1) = e^{-N_c} \sqrt{\alpha_{\Delta}} B_m(\sqrt{\alpha_{\Delta}} \Delta),$$

where

$$\alpha_{\Delta} = \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{2} + e^{-\Delta^2}}{\sqrt{2} - e^{-\Delta^2}}},$$

and,

$$B_m(\sqrt{\alpha_{\Delta}} \Delta) = I_m \left( \frac{1}{2} e^{-2\Delta^2} \right).$$

After some manipulation we have:

$$p_{0,m} = p(\phi_0|D = m) = \frac{z_0}{z_0 (1 - \alpha_{\Delta}^m) + \alpha_{\Delta}^m},$$

$$p_{1,m} = p(\phi_1|D = m) = \frac{1 - z_0}{z_0 (1 - \alpha_{\Delta}^m) + \alpha_{\Delta}^m}.$$
applied to the two channels. This aspect is indeed investigated in the next section. The capacity improvement offered by the photon counting detector decreases as $N_c$ increases, in particular for low values of $\Delta$, as can be observed by both Figs. 3 and 4.

V. BER PERFORMANCE IN PRESENCE OF FORWARD ERROR CORRECTION (FEC)

This section investigates the performance obtainable with FEC codes applied to the scheme of Figs. 1 and 2. The $m$-bits codeword of a systematic FEC code with code rate $R_c$ is generated concatenating $L$ information bits and $r$ redundancy bits so that $m = L + r$ and $R_c = L/(L + r)$.

A systematic LDPC code has been selected as test FEC code, due to its capacity achieving performance (albeit at very large block lengths) and low complexity iterative decoding structure, and a simulation analysis has been performed to assess the potential performance improvements obtainable using the soft-metric of Eq. (8). Three different quantum channel models have been considered, all with the same equivalent uncoded raw BER value, that will be denoted as QBER. The simulation results are shown in Fig. 5, where each pair of BER-FER curves depicts the residual BER and FER after channel decoding. The following parameters have been considered:

- $R_c = 0.5$, $L = 500$, $r = 500$,
- $R_c = 0.61$, $L = 252$, $r = 156$,
- $R_c = 0.75$, $L = 750$, $r = 250$.

The black curves in Fig. 5 labeled “Q-BSC” are associated with an equivalent BSC with binary input $X = k$, binary output $Y$ and transition probability QBER derived from Eq. (15) (i.e., a receiver that does not use the additional information derived from the knowledge of $n_0$ and $n_1$ and simply performs on/off detection) with LLR values [26]:

$$\text{LLR}(Y) = \log_2 \left[ \frac{P(Y = 1|X)}{P(Y = 0|X)} \right]$$

$$= \begin{cases} 
\log_2 \left( \frac{1 - \text{QBER}}{\text{QBER}} \right), & \text{if } X = 1; \\
\log_2 \left( \frac{\text{QBER}}{1 - \text{QBER}} \right), & \text{if } X = 0. 
\end{cases}$$

The blue curves labeled as “Q-AWGN” represent the performance obtainable over a fictitious additive white gaussian noise (AWGN) channel model with a signal to noise ratio selected in order to achieve an uncoded bit error probability QBER with a binary antipodal scheme. The curves labeled as “Q-BIMO” represent the main result and are obtained transmitting through the BIMO DMC quantum channel model shown in Fig. 2 with equivalent uncoded bit error probability QBER and using as input soft-metrics for the LDPC decoder, the LLR values generated via photon counting according to Eq. (8).

As it is apparent from the results for the photon counting receiver, the BER and FER performance largely improve when the BIMO DMC and the LLR metrics from Eq. (8) are employed instead of the simpler BSC metrics. As an example, in the upper plot in Fig. 5 for QBER = 0.1 the BIMO DMC with soft-metric processing offers almost three orders of magnitude improvement in BER with respect to the BSC model and the associated

![Fig. 5. Simulated BER and FER values for a LDPC code with $L = 500$, $r = 500$ and $R_c = 0.5$ (top plot), $L = 252$, $r = 156$ and $R_c = 0.6$ (center plot) and $L = 750$, $r = 250$ and $R_c = 0.75$ (bottom plot), obtained with different models of the quantum channel: BSC (Q-BSC curves, black), AWGN (Q-AWGN curves, blue) and BIMO DMC (Q-BIMO curves, red).]
hard metric processing. We must note that the curves labeled as
“Q-AWGN” must only be used as reference, since with the small
number of photons we considered in our simulations the AWGN
channel model would not be appropriate.
A comparison among the residual FER and BER values
obtainable with the considered channel models for LDPC codes
with code rates 0.61 (center plot) and 0.75 (bottom plot) is
shown in Fig. 5. Also in these cases, both FER and BER values
improve up to several orders of magnitude when using a photon
counting receiver and the associated LLR values. Furthermore,
we can observe that as the code rate increases, the “Q-BIMO”
performances obtained with BIMO LLR metrics get closer to
the “Q-AWGN” performances obtained with classic AWGN
LLR metrics (although, as mentioned before, the AWGN model
is not applicable in case of low number of received photons).

Fig. 6 compares the BER values obtained with the BSC and
the BIMO channel models for different code rates, showing that,
as expected, for higher rates, a lower QBER value is required
before significant coding gains can be observed. From Fig. 7,
we can observe that for high values of $N_c$ (i.e., at low values
of QBER) the BIMO DMC model can be approximated with
an AWGN model, while the AWGN model approximation may
be unreliable at high QBER (low $N_c$) values, in particular at
lower code rate values. Finally, Fig. 8 shows the residual BER
obtained on the BIMO channel by LDPC codes with code rate
$R_c = 0.5, 0.61, 0.75$ for different values of $N_c$.

VI. CONCLUSION
In this paper a photon-number-assisted, polarization-based
binary transmission scheme equipped with a low-complexity
photon counting receiver has been considered, analyzing both
its capacity and its BER performance in presence of capacity
achieving LDPC codes. Different channel models applicable
to the considered transmission scheme have been compared,
proposing a time varying BIMO model and evaluating its LLR
metrics and channel capacity. It has been shown how the BIMO
channel model outperforms the corresponding BSC model, by
taking full advantage of the additional information offered by the
photon counting detector. It was also shown that, as expected,
the advantage offered by the photon counting detector deceases
as the mean photon number $N_c$ increases, and that the BIMO
model can be approximated by an AWGN model at low values
of QBER, i.e., for high values of $N_c$.
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