5G: ARCHITECTURE OVERVIEW AND DEPLOYMENTS SCENARIOS
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ABSTRACT:

Due to the exclusory, growth in the number of connected devices- predicted 50 billion connected devices in 2020 (Gary Davis, 2020) that demand internet access, an upgraded network is required. The fifth generation is the newest mobile network replacing the 4G technology. Deployed from 2020 in many countries, 5G will provide revolutionary innovations in mobile technologies that will reach various high-level goals to the costumers as well as Companies. To benefit from 5G network services, the whole word is growing up rapidly towards the 5G by developing a clear perspective to include the main challenges, opportunities and key technology components. In this paper, an attempt has been made to provide an overview about the evolution of mobile generations from 1G to 5G by comparing the challenges and features that have evolved from each generation, describe the various scenario of 5G deployment, and discuss the best choice Implementation of 5G NR.

1. EVOLUTION OF 1G TO 5G TECHNOLOGY

Cellular Mobile communication has generations as shown in the figure. Below a brief description of every generation:

![Figure 1. Block diagram of evolution of wireless network.](image1)

1.1 1G: Analogue Voice Technology

The first generation wireless mobile communication system is an analogue technology developed in 1974 and completed in 1984 (Ms. Anju Uttam Gawas, 2015).

It was used to voice services based on an Advance Mobile Phone Service (AMPS) technology (Mohammad Meraj, 2015). AMPS system rely on frequency modulation radio system using Frequency Division Multiple Access (FDM) it allows only voice calls. Its speed up to 2.4 Kbps (S. Venkata Krishna Kumar, 2014). There are few drawbacks in the 1G mobile system. First, it has a poor Voice Quality, poor Battery Life Large phone size. Secondly, No Security is required and Global Roaming Service was not possible. Finally, it does not have data service to convert the voice into digital signals.

1.2 2G: Going Digital

2G denotes the second generation of mobile networks, which were the next stage in the development of mobile communication after 1G. 2G was started at 1980’s and completed at 1990’s, which were mainly for voice transmission with digital signals and the speed up to 64 kbps.

In 2G, two schemes such as Time Division Multiple Access (TDMA) and Code Division Multiple Access (CDMA) were used. Moreover, GSM (Global System for Mobile Communication) is the most widely used 2G mobile standard and it was the first one to support international roaming (Mohammad Meraj, 2015).

2G was based on digital technology while 1G was analog. CDMA & GSM appeared with the 2G network. It brought in other popular services we use today such as inter-nal roaming, MMS, SMS, conference calling, call hold, etc.
The limitation of 2G reside in the fact that 2G requires strong digital signals to help mobile phones work. If there is no network coverage in any specific area, digital signals would weak. These systems are unable to handle complex data such as Videos.

1.3 3G: Driving data rates upwards with UMTS technology

3G is the third generation of mobile phone standards and technology, superseding 2G, and preceding 4G. It was introduced in 2000; the goal of 3G systems was to offer increased data rates from 144kbps to 384kbps in wide coverage areas and 2Mbps in local coverage areas (Mohammad Meraj, 2015) and growth with minimum investment. High Bandwidth, Higher Speed, Price, Always Online Devices, Associated Costs, Power Requirements, Functions, Getting Information.

The 3G network is one of the main reasons for the popularity of smartphones; with 3G, we were able to browse faster, check emails, streaming videos, social media sharing, etc.

3G mobile system was called as UMTS (Universal Mobile Telecommunication Sys-tem) in Europe, while CDMA2000 is the name of American 3G variant. In addition, the IMT2000 has accepted a new 3G standard from China, i.e. TD-SCDMA. WCDMA is the air-interface technology for UMTS (S. Ahmadi, 1999).

The basic difference between 3G and 4G is in data transfer and signal quality. 3G 4G Data Transfer Rate 3.1 MB/sec 100 MB/sec Internet Services Broadband Ultra Broadband Low High Bandwidth 5-20 MHz 100MHz Frequency 1.6-2 GHz 2-8 GHz Download and upload 5.8 Mbps 14 Mbps Technology Mobile TV Resolution.

Moreover, there is no Circuit Switched Domain in 4G.

1.4 4G: All IP Network with LTE

Work on 4G standardization started in 2004, just as 3G started to take-off. 4G refers to Long Term Evolution (LTE) (Linge, N., and Sutton, A., 2014) and was all IP based network system.

The main goal of 4G technology is to provide high speed, high quality, high capacity, security and low cost services for voice and data services, multimedia and internet over IP. The reason for the transition to all IP is to have a common platform to all the technologies developed so far.

4G technology integrate different existing and future wireless technologies (e.g. OFDM, MC-CDMA, LAS-CDMA and Network-LMDS) to provide freedom of movement and uninterrupted roaming from one technology to another technology developed so far (Mohammad Meraj, 2015).

1.5 5G

Fifth Generation (5G) of mobile communication, started from late 2010, aims to provide a better level of connectivity and coverage, complete wireless communication with almost no limitations and enhanced quality of service (QoS). It is highly supportable to WWW (Wireless World Wide Web) (Jonathan Rodriguez, 2015).

The 5G NR is a new aerial interface developed for 5G. This is the radio frequency part of the circuit between the mobile terminal and the base station.

5G will initially be available through improvements in LTE, LTE-Advanced and LTE Pro technologies. However, it will soon be followed by a major step with the introduction of a new radio interface (Ericsson, 2019).
3GPP has defined two solutions for 5G networks as follows:

### 2.1 5G Standalone (SA):

The 5G Standalone architecture SA will depend on 5G New Radio (5G NR) and 5G Core Network (5GC). New capabilities like Network Slicing using E2E, CUPS, Virtualization, Multi-Gbps support, and Ultra low latency will be inherently built into the 5G SA Packet Core architecture (Zetao Xu, Yang Zhang, Ao Shen, Bao Guo, Yuehua Han and Yi Liu, 2019).

The salient features of SA implementation are:

- 5G will be used for both C-Plane and U-Plane.
- All radio control parameters will be exchanged through 5G.
- Paging channels will be monitored by UE on 5G.

### 2.2 5G Non-Standalone (NSA):

The Non-Standalone deployment based on the already existing LTE radio access and core network (EPC) as an anchor for mobility management and coverage to add the 5G carrier. This solution will be more cost-efficient and can be provided in a shorter time.

In NSA, 5G will only focus on U-Plane; all the C-plane messages like call origination/termination, location registration, etc. will be handled by the LTE eNB and EPC (Zetao Xu, Yang Zhang, Ao Shen, Bao Guo, Yuehua Han and Yi Liu, 2019).

### 2.3 Option 1: SA LTE under EPC

Option 1 represents current 4G (LTE) deployments. It is also called Standalone LTE or EPC connected system. At present, most operators may have already deployed this option.

The SA option is a simple solution for operators to manage and can be deployed as an independent network using the Handover between 4G and 5G for service continuity.

### 2.4 Option 2: SA NR under 5GC

This deployment scenario will be particularly beneficial in areas where there is no LTE system and where the operator wants to deploy a full-fledged 5G NR access system without 4G interconnection.
This option allows the operator to implement all types of 5G use cases such as eMBB, mMTC and URLLC.

A prerequisite for this option is that the operator should have multiple spectra so that they can provide ubiquitous 5G coverage and enjoy all the benefits.

Standalone option 2 is where radio access network consists of only gNBs and connects to 5G Core, and the 5GC interworks with EPC. SA option 2 has no impact on LTE radio and can fully support all 5G use cases.

This phase promises the greatest potential for future evolution and growth, with many new capabilities introduced in 5GC. The devices will also be able to handle many new services.

2.5 Option 3: NSA LTE and NR under EPC

Option 3 is a NSA scenario where the network still use LTE with NR radio access, but using only LTE’s EPC core to deliver control signals. In this option, LTE is used as the anchor of the control plan for NR, LTE and NR are used for user data traffic (User Plan).

It could also be called non-Standalone (NSA) NR in Evolved Packet System.

2.5.1 Option 3

In option 3, ENB is the master that send and receive all Uplink and Downlink data, also decide which part of the data would send to the 4G/5G station using X2 interface. Therefore, 5G gNB does not have any direct communication with LTE core network.

Hardware upgrade is probably required, because they would be more additional traffic to be handled.

2.5.2 Option 3a

This option allowed to 5G gNB and LTE ENB to communicate directly with 4G-core network without having communication between them over the X2 interface. There is only control plane traffic in the X2 interface. So the X2 traffic is very small.

This means that there can be no load sharing of data over a single bearer over 4G and 5G. That means, for example, that the 4G part only handles the VoLTE voice traffic for a user while his Internet traffic is only handled by the 5G part of the base station. Say that in most deployment scenarios, this is not really an option if mobile devices move in and out of 5G network coverage continuously.
2.5.3 Option 3x

3x is a combination of 3 and 3a, so both S1 and X2 interfaces are available for User plan so the traffic can be split based on the backhaul capacity of S1-U.

- Option 3x provides robust coverage in higher frequencies and aggregated peak bit rate of LTE and 5G for lower frequencies.
- Option 3x also provides near zero interrupt time LTE-5G mobility.
- Option-3x provides allows voice in LTE without using RAT fallback.
- This configuration can be used in scenarios where LTE coverage reach is superior to that of NR and thus leverages EPC.

2.6 Option 4: NSA NR and LTE under 5GC

With option 4, the gNB act as the master node and eNB is the secondary node, so the NR RAN will be in charge of C-Plan signalization.

2.6.1 Option 4

In option 4, there is no direct connectivity between ng-eNB and 5GC. All the information follows via Xn interface that should be newly designed.

2.6.2 Option 4a

In option 4a, there is no Xn interface between gn-eNB and gNB, gn-eNB is connected to 5GC via NG-U interface.

- This option also option 4 required an upgrade (release 15) of eLTE and a strong 5G coverage.

2.7 Option 5: SA LTE under 5GC

In this option, the network made the transition to the NGC, but continued the use of LTE access. LTE, in this case, is an advanced LTE RAN that includes new signage.

Given that most of the benefits of 5G will come from migrating to a new radio, this option seems unlikely.

Stand Alone (SA) Evolved E-UTRA (LTE) in 5G Standalone (5GS): This deployment scenario is particularly suited to areas that do not have an inherited LTE system and advanced E-UTRAN access systems are deployed. In this deployment, the ng-eNB is connected to 5GC.

2.8 Option 7: NSA LTE and NR under 5GC

The last NSA option is option 7 LTE assisted NR, instead of using EPC core in option 3, we use 5G core using the Ng interfaces rather than Xx interfaces, and to handle those interfaces the eNodeB must be upgraded to the next generation eNB (ng-eNB) (3GPP release 15).

We have three sub-options:

2.8.1 Option 7

In option 7 there is no interfaces between gNB and 5GC the data flows via Xn inter-faces.
The difference between 7 and 7a is that U-plane data is no longer sent via Xn, but via NG-U, so here appeared the characteristic of NSA option when they use ng-LTE as an anchor to have a connectivity with 5GC.

Figure 18. Option 7a

3. DISCUSSION

The objective of telecom companies is to be in tune with the rapid growth of technology markedly 5G and have the fastest or largest 5G networks. In addition, countries are competing to be the first to deploy fully functional, nationwide 5G, with the fastest smoothest and most efficient way possible.

As shown in this paper, there are many scenarios to deploy 5G, but with the pressure to improve performance and handle the increase demand of customers.

Option 3x is the best choice to deploy 5G as soon as possible without waiting a fully break up with LTE. Therefore, in option 3x, operators can take full advantages of the existent LTE legacy using only EPC to route command signals.

Adding that with Dual connectivity, option 3x will give to the customer’s opportunity to benefit from the eMBB, such as a higher data rate in Downlink NR, also an interesting coverage in the Uplink LTE.

5G NSA Option 3x will not introduce any changes to the existing roaming architecture and procedures. It is up to the VPLMN (Visited Public Land Mobile Network) operator to allow inbound roamers to use 5G NSA Option 3x or to only allow SA/LTE (Option 1).

In addition, option 3x is the shortest and lowest way to integrate 5G SA system and keeping the connectivity, interoperability with all generation specially the incoming generation 6G and 7G.

4. CONCLUSION

This paper introduces five network architectures of 5G non-standalone and standalone networking explain what is the most likely option that is going to be adopted by operators across the world and focuses on the option 3x in 5G non-standalone networking as a possible first step in the migration towards 5G-SA. Therefore, the operators can either start with Option 3x, upgrade to Option 7x and then migrate to Option 2 (SA), or go directly from Option 3x to Option 2.
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