Restore a 9 mm diameter osteochondral defect with gene enhanced tissue engineering followed mosaicplasty in a goat model
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ABSTRACT

Objective: The aim of this study was to evaluate the efficacy of gene enhanced tissue engineering followed mosaicplasty in a goat model.

Methods: An acute cylindrical defect 9 mm in diameter was created in the weight bearing area of the medial femoral condyle in a goat model. Thirty-six medial femoral condyles were divided into 6 groups using different proportion of gene enhanced tissue engineering and mosaicplasty to restore the defects. The specimen received gross and histology observation, which was evaluated by the histological grading scale of O’Driscoll, Keeley and Salter. Transmission electron microscope observation was also performed. Two factors analysis of variance and Student-Newman-Kewls test were used to compare the specimen.

Results: The gross and histology observation revealed that each defects of six groups had different restoration. The scores of the reparative tissue of three groups with gene enhancement were significantly higher than those in other three groups without gene enhancement (p < 0.05).

Conclusion: Gene enhanced tissue engineering followed mosaicplasty could restore a 9 mm diameter osteochondral defects in a goat model effectively. With the reduction of covering area of the graft, the advantages of the combined gene enhanced tissue engineering method can be better reflected.

© 2016 Turkish Association of Orthopaedics and Traumatology. Publishing services by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Introduction

Articular cartilage has a poor intrinsic capacity for healing. The lesions of cartilage may lead to early degenerative changes and consequent osteoarthritis if left untreated. For the treatment of chondral or osteochondral defects, Mosaicplasty is an effective method, which involves obtaining small-sized cylindrical osteochondral grafts from the minimal weight-bearing areas and transplanting them to prepared defect sites on the weight bearing surfaces. But as for autologous transplantation with limited donor area, mosaicplasty is not appropriate for large chondral or osteochondral defects. Regarding donor-site complications, the larger the defect, the higher the morbidity.

In the light of these advantages and disadvantages of mosaicplasty, the authors took into consideration whether something could be done to improve the effect of a larger osteochondral restoration based on the mosaicplasty. As it has been demonstrated that the gene enhanced tissue engineering enhanced the restoration of cartilage defects and Mosaicplasty associated with gene enhanced tissue engineering could solve the problem of the poor concrescence of the remnant defect and the integration of single mosaicplasty in authors’ previous study, the authors designed the restoration of a 9 mm diameter osteochondral defect with gene enhanced tissue engineering followed mosaicplasty in a goat model. The reduction of the mosaicplasty coverage area and the increase of the area filled by tissue engineering materials were done. The ratio was studied in order to reach an optimal combination. The objective of this study was to evaluate the efficacy of this technique expecting to find a suitable method for the clinical larger osteochondral restoration.

Methods

Defects restored by different proportion of gene enhanced tissue engineering and mosaicplasty

Eighteen masculine goats with a mean weight of 22.5 kg were used in this study. The goats were randomized into one of three
groups (Group I, Group II and Group III) with six in each group (Table 1). The Animal Research Committee of Shuguang Hospital affiliated to Shanghai University of Traditional Chinese Medicine approved this investigation.

General anesthesia was administered. An acute cylindrical defect 9 mm in diameter and 3 mm in depth was created in the weight bearing area of the medial femoral condyle using a punch on the 36 legs of eighteen goats. The lesion thus produced was a deep defect extending through all chondral layers into the subchondral bone plate.

In Group I, mosaicplasty was done on the both legs. On the left knee which marked Il four recipient bone holes 5 mm in depth were drilled on the defect by the drill of 3 mm in diameter. Four cylindrical osteochondral grafts 5.5 mm in length and 3 mm in diameter were harvested at the medial femoral condyle periphery of the patellofemoral joint using tubular chisel of 3 mm in internal diameter. The delivery tamp was used to pull the cylindrical osteochondral grafts into the bone holes and compress the bone softly for 0.5 mm to make the cylindrical osteochondral grafts to be flush with the original articular surface. When all of the four grafts were seated, the knee was put through a range of motion and varus, valgus stressed to get to know that the grafts were stable. The operative wounds were irrigated with physiological saline before closing the incision. All goats were allowed to move freely in their pens after surgery.

On the right knee which marked Ir of Group I, the acute defects creation and the restoration by mosaicplasty were the same as II. Then, the dead space between the cylindrical grafts and the host cartilage were injected with the suspension of hTGF-β1 gene transduced autogenous BMSCs in sodium alginate with the density of 5 × 10^7 cells mL^−1. The description on the methods of hTGF-β1 gene transduced autogenous BMSCs could be found on the authors’ previous study. Then, excessive 102 mmol/L CaCl_2 was dropped in

| Group   | Defect position (knee) | Defect size (diameter/depth) | Graft quantity | Restorative procedure                          | Mosaic repair ratio |
|---------|------------------------|------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Group II| Left                   | 9 mm/3 mm                    | 4              | Mosaicplasty                                  | 44.44%            |
| Group III| Right                  | 9 mm/3 mm                    | 4              | Mosaicplasty + gene enhanced tissue engineering | 44.44%            |
| Group III| Left                   | 9 mm/3 mm                    | 3              | Mosaicplasty                                  | 33.33%            |
| Group III| Right                  | 9 mm/3 mm                    | 3              | Mosaicplasty + gene enhanced tissue engineering | 33.33%            |
| Group III| Left                   | 9 mm/3 mm                    | 2              | Mosaicplasty                                  | 22.22%            |
| Group III| Right                  | 9 mm/3 mm                    | 2              | Mosaicplasty + gene enhanced tissue engineering | 22.22%            |

(continued on next page)
The CaCl₂ and the sodium alginate crossed link to form calcium alginate gels. When gelation was complete, the excess of the calcium chloride gelling solution was removed (Fig. 1). The incision was closed. All goats were allowed to move freely in their pens after surgery.

In Group II, the acute defects creation was the same as Group I. But in the mosaicplasty procedure, three cylindrical osteochondral grafts with the same diameter and length were harvested and then seated in the defect area. For the left knee which marked III, only mosaicplasty was done. For the right knee which marked IIr, the filling of the gels of gene transduced BMSCs in alginate followed mosaicplasty was done (Fig. 1).

In Group III, the acute defects creation was the same as Group I. But in the mosaicplasty procedure, two cylindrical osteochondral grafts with the same diameter and length were harvested and then seated in the defect area. For the left knee which marked III, only mosaicplasty was done. For the right knee which marked IIIr, the filling of the gels of gene transduced BMSCs in alginate followed mosaicplasty was done (Fig. 1).

Histopathological evaluation

At 24 weeks after the surgery, all goats were killed. The knees were examined grossly. The femoral condyles were excised and trimmed to include the filled defect and a thin rim of surrounding native bone and cartilage. Toluidine blue staining was used and transmission electron microscope was performed.

Statistical analysis

To evaluate the microscopic morphology, a histological grading scale described by O’Driscoll, Keeley and Salter was used (Table 2). The scored data were shown with average ± standard deviation. Two factors analysis of variance and Student—Newman—Kuels test were used to compare the specimen. The data disposal was processed by the SAS (6.12) statistics software.

Results

All of the goats could move freely after the surgery. There was no evidence of postoperative wound infection and all the wounds had healed uneventfully.

![Fig. 2. Macroscopic findings. A: Group II. The autologous cylindrical osteochondral grafts were clear and intact. The border was distinct. Gaps around the implanted plugs were detected. B: Group IIr. The configuration of the autologous osteochondral cylinder was integrated. The dead space between the cylindrical grafts was replaced by the white analogous cartilage tissue. C: Group III. All of the grafts and the surrounding cartilage had obvious degeneration with dark color and rough surface. The hollow among the cartilages was obvious. Part tissue proliferated. D: Group IIIr. The configuration of the autologous cylindrical osteochondral grafts was with integrity. The border was indistinct. Gaps were partly replaced by the proliferative tissue. The new tissue and peripheral tissue have obvious cracks.](image-url)
Gross findings and histological findings (Fig. 2)

Each group had different gross findings and histological findings. The situation of the autologous cylindrical osteochondral grafts, the border and gaps were the main features in gross findings. Cartilage degeneration, newborn tissue, surface regularity and structural integrity were mainly described in histological findings. See Table 3 (see Fig. 3).

Electron microscope findings

The reparative tissue among the cartilage of the Group Ir and Group lIr specimens were taken for transmission electron microscope examination (Fig. 4).

| Group       | Grafts (Gross) | Border Gaps (Gross) | Cartilage degeneration Newborn tissue (micro) | Surface regularity Structural integrity (micro) |
|-------------|----------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| Group II    | Clear and intact | Distinct Detected A little | Incompletely differentiated cells | Fissure Disruption |
| Group I Ir  | Integrated     | Distinct            | Hyaline cartilage                         | Smooth, intact Normal                      |
| Group III   | Dark color, rough surface | Distinct Not obvious Obvious | Fibrous tissue Chondrocyte proliferation | Fissure Slight disruption Disintegration |
| Group IIr   | Clear and intact | Distinct            | Fibrous tissue                             | Disruption                                 |
| Group IIIr  | Dark color, rough surface | Distinct Obvious Obvious | Fibrous tissue                             | Disintegration                             |

Ir

Collagen fibers composed the matrix arounding the chondrocytes in the reparative tissue. The fibers were in disorderly rows. Periodic cross striation could be seen. lIr

The fibers in the reparative tissue were in tight orderly rows. Periodic cross striation was obvious.

Statistical analysis

The restoration tissues in the dead space and the osteochondral grafts were scored (Table 4). The data are expressed as the standard
deviation. The scored data processed by the SAS (6.12) statistics software showed that the scores of the reparative tissue of Group II, Ir and IIr were significantly higher than those in Group III, IIIl and IIIr. But no statistical difference was detected among the Group II, Ir and IIr.

Discussion

Treatment of a large area of full-thickness cartilage defects and osteochondral defects which is more than 4 cm² remains central to clinical orthopedics. The advantage of autologous osteochondral transplantation (mosaicplasty) was obvious including the simplicity of one-stage surgical procedure, low morbidity and cost, and a better clinical outcome.6,7 However, as donor sites are limited and the morbidity ascribed to the donor sites must also be considered, it is not suitable for mosaicplasty to treat the large, deep and crater like defects which need a large number of grafts.8 Clinical reports showed that the success rate of mosaicplasty was low in the patient with the defects area over 4 cm² or who had previous operation.9 The healing of the osteochondral graft and the integration of the autologous osteochondral grafts and the surrounding normal cartilage are also a potential factor to affect the long-term results. The use of large grafts can cause incongruity at the recipient site, which permanently alters the biomechanics of the joint. Therefore, the authors design the mosaicplasty combined with tissue engineering method to restore the osteochondral defects. The combination is not the simple sum of two kinds of treatment but the full embodiment of the superiority of the both to make it possible to get a better restoration effect.

The authors carried out in vitro amplification of a small amount of BMSCs, in accordance with the concentration of $5 \times 10^7$/ml cells to restore. Then the authors transfected hTGF-β1. HTGF-β1 is the first choice growth factor for the cartilage tissue engineering, which has multiple biological effects.12-14 After the implantation of hTGF-β1 transfected BMSCs, the persistent hTGF-β1 expression in the defect made the BMSCs differentiate into cartilage cells and form cartilage tissue with the assistance of intra-articular hypoxia conditions, joint force and various active factors in the synovial fluid in the surface lay of defects. In the bottom of the defect adjacent to medullary cavity, due to rich blood supply and high oxygen pressure, the BMSCs continued to differentiate into bone cell and form trabecular bone.15 Thus, the restoration of compound defect of the bone and cartilage was acquired and favorable integration was obtained.

BMSCs could be implanted into the defects with the carrier. Then, a large number of tissue engineered cartilage can be obtained, which can make up the shortage of the osteochondral transplantation. The calcium alginate gel was injectable material, which could used to restore complex and irregular defect without residual.16,17 So it was more likely to get a high degree of integration with surrounding tissue. Early load carrying capacity of the osteochondral transplantation could provide support and protection for the tissue engineered cartilage, improve the mechanical properties, and avoid the damage of the tissue engineered cartilage before it was formed. The common cartilage surface, which was formed by the osteochondral transplantation and tissue engineered cartilage, could make the defect area obtain a smooth and intact articular surface with the

| Group      | Average ± standard deviation | Group II | Group Ir | Group III | Group IIr | Group IIII | Group IIIr |
|------------|------------------------------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|
| Group II   | 18.667 ± 1.506               | -        | -        | -         | -         | -          | -          |
| Group Ir   | 20.167 ± 1.169               | >0.05    | -        | -         | -         | -          | -          |
| Group III  | 8.167 ± 0.753                | <0.05    | <0.05    | <0.05     | <0.05     | <0.05      | <0.05      |
| Group IIr  | 19.833 ± 1.169               | >0.05    | >0.05    | <0.05     | <0.05     | <0.05      | <0.05      |
| Group IIII | 5.667 ± 1.211                | <0.05    | <0.05    | <0.05     | <0.05     | <0.05      | >0.05      |
| Group IIIr | 6.333 ± 1.633                | <0.05    | <0.05    | <0.05     | <0.05     | <0.05      | >0.05      |
surrounding normal cartilage. Therefore, the authors believe that the method of the mosaicplasty associated with genes enhanced tissue engineering is suitable for the treatment of large area of bone defect.

The diameter of the femoral condyle of goats was about 12 mm, so the authors considered that the defect of the 9 mm was a quite large defect relative to the goat. In the study, four or three or two cylindrical osteochondral grafts with 3 mm diameter were transplanted. The percentage of the restoration area was 44.44%, 33.33%, 22.22%, respectively with theoretical calculation (The percentage of the restoration area $\% = \frac{n \times \pi R^2}{\pi R^2}$). Each animal's left knee was restored with single osteochondral transplantation, and the gap around the osteochondral cylinder in the right knee was with further tissue engineering material filling. Analysing the restored organization as a whole, it was found that the restoration of the mosaicplasty associated with genes enhanced tissue engineering was better than the single mosaicplasty in the two groups with 44.44% mosaicplasty cover rates. The gap around the implanted plugs was restored with the immature cartilage tissue in 44.44% single group. But the overall score of the two 44.44% groups was not statistically different. The results showed at 24 weeks after surgery, if the mosaicplasty restoration area is enough large and the residual space is small, the tissues can be carried out satisfactory self-repair. The method of mosaicplasty associated with genes enhanced tissue engineering has no advantage. There was no statistical difference in the overall restoration effect among the 33.33% combined group and the two 44.44% groups. While the overall effect of the 33.33% single group was significantly worse than that of the 33.33% combined group and the two 44.44% groups. In the 33.33% single group, the gap around the osteochondral cylinder could not get a fibrous cartilage like tissue restoration. The formation of fibrous tissue, the existence of the depression, and abnormal stress subjected to the grafts and the surrounding cartilage resulted in the degeneration of the cartilage. Therefore, if the restoration area of autologous graft is more than 1/3 of the defect and the remaining defects are restored by gene enhanced tissue engineering method, a satisfactory restoration can also be obtained. Tissue engineering could solve the problem of the poor concrescence of the remnant defect followed the mosaicplasty. The overall restoration effects of the 22.22% single and combined groups were significantly worse than other groups. The degeneration of cartilage in the 22.22% simple group was even more obvious. The formation of tissue engineered cartilage failed in the 22.22% combined group. The space was partly filled with fibrous tissue, resulting in the degeneration of the grafts and the surrounding cartilage. The authors believe that the reason is that the calcium alginates have low strength and the biomechanical properties are weak. The limited number of grafts cannot provide protection to the calcium alginates, which leads to the inefficient formation of tissue engineered cartilage. In addition, the gap is large with a massive bleeding, resulting in the loss of calcium alginate gel.

Although the experiment object is the cartilage of goat, the structure and function of goat’s knee are similar to human. So it has some guidance for the treatment of acute human knee injury.

Conclusion

Gene enhanced tissue engineering followed mosaicplasty could restore a 9 mm diameter osteochondral defects in a goat model effectively. With the reduction of covering area of the graft, the advantages of the combined gene enhanced tissue engineering method can be better reflected.
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