MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are members of the small non-coding RNAs, which are principally known for their functions as post-transcriptional regulators of target genes. Regulation by miRNAs is triggered by the translational repression or degradation of their complementary target messenger RNAs (mRNAs). The growing number of reported miRNAs and the estimate that hundreds or thousands of genes are regulated by them suggest a magnificent gene regulatory network in which these molecules are embedded. Indeed, recent reports have suggested critical roles for miRNAs in various biological functions, such as cell differentiation, development, oncogenesis, and the immune responses, which are mediated by systems-wide changes in gene expression profiles. Therefore, it is essential to analyze this complex regulatory network at the transcriptome and proteome levels, which should be possible with approaches that include both high-throughput experiments and computational methodologies. Here, we introduce several systems-level approaches that have been applied to miRNA research, and discuss their potential to reveal miRNA-guided gene regulatory systems and their impacts on biological functions.
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INTRODUCTION

The control of translation and messenger RNA (mRNA) degradation are important factors in appropriate gene expression, with key roles in various aspects of biology. A class of small non-coding RNAs, called microRNAs (miRNAs), with the ability to alter the expression of large populations of mRNAs has been identified (Ambros et al., 2003; Bartel, 2004; He and Hannon, 2004). miRNAs are transcribed as primary miRNAs (pri-miRNAs), processed to precursor miRNAs (pre-miRNAs), and then to mature miRNAs, which regulate their target genes. Within the Bilateria, mature miRNAs are incorporated to microRNA-induced silencing complexes (miRISCs), which interact mainly with the 3′ untranslated region (UTR) sequences of their target genes. Currently, ~15,000 miRNAs are registered in miRBase (Release 16), a comprehensive database of miRNAs derived from 142 species, including 1,048 from humans, 672 from the mouse, and even 235 from viral species (Griffiths-Jones et al., 2008). Various studies of a wide variety of species have shown the involvement of miRNA-guided gene regulation in a broad range of biological functions, such as development, cell division, cell differentiation, oncogenesis, immune responses, and cell death, possibly by regulating tens of thousands of mRNAs outside the miRNA-binding sites (Bartel, 2009; Thomas et al., 2010). Although computational predictions can provide a list of potential target genes relatively easily without experiments, they are not perfect. The major problem is that hundreds or even thousands of potential target genes are predicted, regardless of the specificity of their spatial and temporal expression. For example, the possible combinations of miRNA–mRNA defined only by computational prediction may contain false-positive results in terms of “functional” pairs, because the two molecules may not be expressed together. Therefore, it is essential to integrate experimental information with computational analysis, possibly collected using high-throughput approaches, to identify miRNA–mRNA interactions with higher specificity. This integrated approach will be critical to understanding the biological impact of miRNA-mediated gene regulation.
Here, we focus especially on methodologies that identify transcriptome and proteome profiles applied to miRNA research, and also transcriptome analysis combined with immunoprecipitation (IP). We also summarize some recent findings when these systems-level approaches have been used, which have begun to reveal the overall picture of the complex gene regulation mediated by miRNAs.

SYSTEMS-LEVEL APPROACHES TO ANALYZING miRNA EXPRESSION

Systems-level analysis of miRNA functions mainly consists of two components: miRNA expression profiles and target mRNA expression profiles. High-throughput experimentally based research has expanded from the detection of transcriptomes, in which the expression levels of both mature miRNAs, which are processed and functional form of miRNAs, and their target mRNAs are analyzed (Table 1). Among the several methods used in transcriptome analysis to detect the expression of mature miRNAs, the microarray is the most commonly used, most well developed, and most effective in inexpensively monitoring the expression of miRNAs and/or mRNAs. Recently, another method of transcriptome analysis has been developed that allows us to amplify a variety of mature miRNAs simultaneously with real-time PCR (Schmittgen et al., 2004; Jiang et al., 2005). This method has also been used in a variety of research fields (Bravo et al., 2007; Chen and Stallings, 2007; Tavazoie et al., 2008), because it has the advantages of requiring only small amounts of RNA and better sensitivity and specificity than the microarray (Chen et al., 2009). The major disadvantage of this technique is that it requires specifically designed primers, which could limit detection, as is also the case with the microarray. Another powerful method for transcriptome analysis is RNA-seq (deep sequencing). RNA-seq has an advantage over the microarray or the real-time PCR array in that it does not depend on genome annotation for prior probe or primer selection, which circumvents the problem of bias. Therefore, some genes with specific expression patterns or low abundance that cannot be detected with microarray analysis can be identified with this technique. RNA-seq is also useful for analyzing a variety of species for which no microarray platform is available. However, because the processing and analysis pipelines are relatively easier for the microarray, this method is still the preferred option, especially when large numbers of samples from model organisms with annotated genomes are analyzed.

APPLICATION OF HIGH-THROUGHPUT TRANSCRIPTOME ANALYSIS TO miRNA EXPRESSION PROFILING

When considering miRNA-mediated gene regulation, how miRNA expression is itself regulated is one of the first concerns. Initially, the microarray contributed greatly to establishing an overview of the types of miRNAs that are expressed under different conditions, such as in cells or tissues, at different stages of development, and in physiological or pathological states (Davison et al., 2006; Yin et al., 2008; Table 2). For examples, studies of miRNA expression patterns in diverse cells and tissues have shown that miR-142, miR-155, miR-181, and miR-223 were expressed specifically in 17 malignant hematopoietic cell lines (Ramkissoon et al., 2006), and miR-1, miR-133a, and miR-206 are thought to be highly expressed in the heart and skeletal muscle (Sood et al., 2006). Through these

| Approach | Method | Advantages | Disadvantages |
|----------|--------|------------|--------------|
| Transcriptome (miRNA and target mRNA) | Microarray | Technically well developed, relatively easily applied, and cost is low | Only applicable to those with designed probes, and not capable of detecting direct target genes |
| Deep sequencing (RNA-seq) | Capable of detecting genes without probe design, suitable for detecting expression of unknown genes | Not capable of detecting direct target genes, analysis is still complicated and cost is high compared to microarray |
| Real-time PCR array | Require only small amount of sample RNA, sensitivity and specificity is higher compared to microarray | Only applicable to those with designed TaqMan probes, and not capable of detecting direct target genes |
| Proteome | Proteome (SILAC) | Capable of detecting miRNA targets in protein level | Sensitivity is not as high as those for transcriptome analyses, not capable of detecting direct target genes, technically still difficult to apply for most labs, and higher costs |
| IP-based approach (miRNA and target mRNA) | IP-based methods | Higher specificity, and able to detect direct interactions | Requirement of highly effective antibody, not capable of detecting targeting miRNAs, and cost is still high when using deep sequencing |
| CLIP-based methods | Higher specificity, able to detect direct interactions, and capable of detecting targeting miRNAs | Requirement of highly effective antibody, cost is still high when using deep sequencing, and technical difficulties |

Table 1 | Systems-level approaches to identifying miRNA functions.
studies, several specific miRNAs have been inferred to be important in maintaining tissue and cell identities or functions, and the expression of miRNAs may have important functions in preventing disease. Microarray data have contributed greatly to clarifying the effects of miRNA expression on disease, including the roles of miRNAs in tumors such as lung cancer, colorectal neoplasia, glioblastoma, and breast cancer (Lu et al., 2005b; Cummins et al., 2006; Esquela-Kerscher and Slack, 2006). These studies have not only demonstrated distinct miRNA expression profiles in cancer samples and normal samples, but also within various tumor types. This indicates that some miRNAs can be used as biomarkers or as useful indices for the precise diagnosis of cancers. The recently developed real-time PCR array method (Keys et al., 2010) allows a more quantitative analysis of miRNA expression than do microarrays. Because the assay does not require a large amount of RNA, which is a major benefit, it has been successfully applied to the study of samples that are difficult to obtain in large populations. In one reported example, the expression profiles of 157 miRNAs were analyzed within 35 types of primary neuroblastoma tumors (Chen and Stallings, 2007). By comparing the miRNA expression profiles obtained, the authors observed that the miRNA expression levels were substantially altered in cells in which the MYCN gene was amplified, a gene involved in the aggressive pathogenicity of neuroblastoma. This finding suggests that this gene exerts a tumorigenic effect, possibly through regulation of miRNA gene expression.

RNA-seq, a deep sequencing method, has contributed especially markedly to the identification of novel miRNAs (Creighton et al., 2009; Kong et al., 2009). The first examples of miRNA discovery using deep sequencing were reported in Arabidopsis thaliana and Caenorhabditis elegans (Lu et al., 2005a; Ruby et al., 2006). Both studies sequenced millions of small RNA reads, and in the case of C. elegans, these findings extended the number of identified miRNA sequences from 18 to 112. Moreover, software for analyzing the data obtained with deep sequencing, called “miRDeep,” was introduced and identified approximately 230 previously unreported miRNAs from C. elegans, human, and dog (Friedlander et al., 2008). Another study identified the expression patterns of 340, 303, and 205 distinct mature miRNAs from human, mouse, and rat, respectively, including 33 novel miRNAs. Expression data were obtained by deep sequencing 250 small RNA libraries from 26 different organs and cells of humans and rodents. A web-accessible database was constructed to contain these data (Landgraf et al., 2007). Deep sequencing has also been a powerful tool for the identification of miRNA expression profiles, as is the case for microarray and real-time PCR array analyses. It has been applied to a wide variety of research areas, determining specific miRNA expression patterns under a variety of conditions (Tarasov et al., 2007; Chiang et al., 2010; Shao et al., 2010; Umbach and Cullen, 2010), including during tumorigenesis (Lui et al., 2007; Kuchenbauer et al., 2008; Nygaard et al., 2009). One of these studies detected over 200 miRNAs, including 55 known miRNAs, in acute myeloid leukemia (AML)-progressing cells (Kuchenbauer et al., 2008). The large number of miRNAs expressed in AML cells and the nature of their differential expression during the progression of AML suggest that miRNA expression dictates leukemic progression.

MicroRNA expression profiles retrieved from high-throughput transcriptome analyses have been applied to various analyses performed from different perspectives. Among these, evolutionary

---

**Table 2 | Examples of studies using transcriptome analysis to identify miRNA expression.**

| Approach | Organism | Cell type | Analyzed system | Reference |
|----------|----------|-----------|----------------|-----------|
| Microarray | Human | 334 cancer samples | | Lu et al. (2005b) |
| | Human | T24 cells | | Saito et al. (2006) |
| | Human | Malignant hematopoietic cell lines | | Ramkissoon et al. (2006) |
| | Human | Heart and skeletal muscle | | Sood et al. (2006) |
| Real-time PCR array | Human | Primary neuroblastoma tumor cells | | Chen and Stallings (2007) |
| | Mouse | NT1 cells | | Bravo et al. (2007) |
| | Human | Primary neuroblastoma tumor cells | | Chen and Stallings (2007) |
| | Human, mouse | MDA-MB-231 cells, CN34 cancer cells | | Tavazoie et al. (2008) |
| | Human | Colorectal cancer (CRC) samples | | Bandres et al. (2009) |
| Deep sequencing | Arabidopsis | Whole organism | | Lu et al. (2005a) |
| Deep sequencing | Human, rodent | 26 different organs and cells | | Landgraf et al. (2007) |
| | Four organisms | Whole organism | | Grimson et al. (2008) |
| | Worm | Whole organism | | Ruby et al. (2006) |
| | Worm | Whole organism | | Friedlander et al. (2008) |
| | Mouse | N13 cells | | Kuchenbauer et al. (2008) |
| | Human | Breast cancer samples, teratoma cell lines | | Nygaard et al. (2009) |
| | Mouse | Various tissues | | Chiang et al. (2010) |
| | Worm | BC-3 cells (infected with KSHV) | | Umbach and Cullen (2010) |

*The species are Nematostella vectensis, Trichoplax adhaerens, Amphinemone queenslandica, and Monosiga brevicollis. KSHV; Kaposi’s sarcoma-associated herpesvirus.*
perspectives have provided insight into the emergence and functional roles of miRNAs through their conservation in a variety of species. In an interesting example in which small RNAs, including miRNAs and piwi-interacting RNAs (piRNAs), were analyzed with a deep sequencing method, using four organisms diverged before the emergence of the Bilateria (Grimson et al., 2008). The expression of small RNAs and their machinery proteins, such as Argonaute (AGO), Piwi, and Dicer, within these species suggest the emergence of small RNA functions before the bilaterian species evolved. Mature miRNAs and miRNA precursors that differ greatly from those of the Bilateria were also detected, suggesting the dynamic evolution of small RNAs as they shaped gene expression during the evolution of the animal phyla. Christodoulou et al. (2010) focused on the tissue specificities of the ancient miRNAs, and analyzed the expression patterns of miRNAs in Platynereis dumerilii, Strongylocentrotus, and Nematostella using deep sequencing and whole-mount in situ hybridization methods. They showed that not only the expression of some of the ancient miRNAs was conserved, but also their tissue and cell specificity. This suggests that miRNA evolution, the establishment of tissue identities, and possibly the relationships between their target genes (Takane et al., 2010) were closely coupled during bilaterian evolution.

The tissue- or developmental-stage-specific expression of miRNAs also allows us to infer their epigenetic regulation (Fabbri, 2008). Several studies have examined the impact of methylation on miRNA expression. In that research, miRNA expression profiles were measured before and after treatment with 5-aza-2’-deoxycytidine and 4-phenylbutyric acid, which inhibit DNA methylation and histone deacetylation, respectively, to identify the miRNAs whose expression is affected by these treatments (Saito et al., 2006; Bandres et al., 2009). The authors profiled 313 human miRNAs in human bladder carcinoma T24 cells with and without treatment with 5-aza-2’-deoxycytidine and 4-phenylbutyric acid, and extracted 17 miRNAs with significant expression differences using microarray analysis. Among those miRNAs was miR-127, which regulates the proto-oncogene BCL6. The authors hypothesized that the epigenetic silencing of miRNAs is related to tumorigenesis because miR-127, which is expressed in normal cells, is not expressed in cancer cells. The correlation between epigenetic silencing and miRNA regulation is one of the key issues that arises when miRNA expression is discussed, and further analysis, with the high-throughput detection of methylation sites, may reveal the precise relationship between these phenomena at the systems level. A detailed understanding of the condition-specific expression of miRNAs, as described in this section, will be a vital step toward understanding the miRNA-guided gene regulatory network.

**SYSTEMS-LEVEL APPROACHES TO IDENTIFY THE mRNA TARGETS OF miRNAs**

Identifying mRNAs that are regulated by miRNAs is a critical step toward understanding the biological roles of miRNAs, because the functions of a broad range of mRNAs are regulated by miRNAs. Therefore, expression profiling of the target mRNAs of miRNAs is an important part of the systems-level analysis of miRNA functions, together with the profiling of miRNA expression. In addition to transcriptome analysis, which was described in the previous section, proteome analysis and IP-based transcriptome analysis have been used to determine the expression profiles of mRNAs targeted by miRNAs (Table 1). Transcriptome analysis was developed before the other methods and served as the foundation for high-throughput approaches to understanding miRNA functions. Because some miRNA regulation is triggered by the translational regulation of their target genes, proteome analysis has also been used to demonstrate the effects of miRNAs at the protein level (Buck et al., 2008; Selbach et al., 2008). However, a major concern common to both transcriptomic and proteomic methods is that they cannot distinguish direct and indirect targets. To resolve this problem, IP has been combined with transcriptome analysis (Beitzinger et al., 2007; Karginov et al., 2007; Hendrickson et al., 2008). By analyzing a whole population of RNA sequences that has been co-immunoprecipitated with the miRISC, mRNAs that interact directly with miRNAs can be extracted. However, with this method, the interacting miRNA sequence remains unknown because only the target mRNA can be rescued by IP. Therefore, advanced IP-based methods, which basically require an additional UV cross-linking step, have been developed (Chi et al., 2009; Hafner et al., 2010; Zisoulis et al., 2010). With these methods, it is possible to identify both the miRNA and target mRNA sequences after immunoprecipitation with the miRISC protein by adding a cross-linking step to the protocol. Because this provides us with information about both the miRNAs and target mRNAs, the interactions involving each of the expressed endogenous miRNAs can be analyzed together.

To conduct high-throughput experiments effectively, a computational approach must play a core role in every step of the analysis (Figure 1). First, even before the experimental analysis is conducted, a pre-analysis can be performed using published data. The raw experimental data should be normalized to produce a list of significant genes with any variations in their expression. These lists of genes are analyzed with different approaches, such as miRNA target prediction and functional analysis, which is performed mainly by data mining against known information. These resources could also be distributed to the researchers by submitting them to databases. Modifying and applying these steps according to the purpose of the research should provide useful data sets.

**COMPUTATIONAL APPROACHES FOR miRNA TARGET GENE PREDICTION**

The computational analyses of genome-wide data provide a good starting point for a systems-level understanding of the complex regulatory networks formed by miRNAs (Figure 1). Among these computational approaches, the prediction of miRNA target genes has played a critical role in identifying the biological effects of miRNAs by focusing on the genes they target. A large number of software programs have been developed for the effective prediction of miRNA target sites (Watanabe et al., 2007; Alexiou et al., 2009; Bartel, 2009).

Software for the prediction of miRNA targets mainly utilizes the features of the duplexes formed between the miRNAs and mRNAs and their evolutionary conservation. To predict miRNA targets, some software programs evaluate the accessibility of the target sites by focusing on the secondary structure of the mRNA sequence.
TargetScan was the earliest software developed for miRNA target prediction and was applied to a variety of research areas (Lewis et al., 2003, 2005; Grimson et al., 2007; Friedman et al., 2009). The first version of TargetScan mainly predicted miRNA target sequences by focusing on the region complementary to bases 2–8 from the 5′ end of the miRNA (the so-called “seed region”; Lewis et al., 2003). The algorithm has been improved by considering the conservation of the seed sequence across a large variety of species and some mRNA sequence features outside the target site (Lewis et al., 2005; Grimson et al., 2007). The most recent version of TargetScan takes into account mutational biases, dinucleotide conservation rates, and the conservation rates of individual UTRs to make target prediction more effective (Friedman et al., 2009). The PicTar software also predicts miRNA target sites like TargetScan, by focusing on the evolutionary conservation of the target sequences (Krek et al., 2005). The miRanda and RNA22 softwares both focus on the binding patterns between the miRNAs and their target mRNAs (John et al., 2004; Miranda et al., 2006). However, RNA22 is quite different from the other algorithms in that it does not rely upon cross-species conservation, making it possible for the user to predict the target sites for species-specific miRNAs. Another example of a highly effective miRNA target prediction algorithm is the PITA algorithm, which tries to predict the miRNA target sequence from a different perspective (Kertesz et al., 2007). This algorithm considers target site accessibility, which is defined by the “ΔΔG score” calculated by the free energy gained in the transition from the state in which the miRNA and the target are unbound to the state in which the miRNA binds its target. Several reviews are available for more comprehensive information on miRNA prediction software (Watanabe et al., 2007; Alexiou et al., 2009; Bartel, 2009).
Which algorithm is most effective in predicting miRNA target genes? This is still an open question. One of several good approaches that try to answer this question is an analysis that determines proteomic profiles using the overexpression or knock-out of miRNA target genes (Baek et al., 2008; Selbach et al., 2008). The researchers used a series of software to predict the miRNA target sites, and showed a relationship between the transcriptome and proteome data. From this analysis, the authors concluded that TargetScan and PicTar predictions correlated most strongly with their proteome data. However, the correlation between experimental and computational analyses can vary under each set of experimental conditions, and it is important to choose the most efficient software for the purpose of the research, possibly by comparing the signal-to-noise ratios of several software programs. For example, if you are analyzing the targets of miRNAs that are specific to a species, you may not want to take evolutionary conservation in account. In that case, software such as RNA22 or the more permissive version of PITA may be effective, because these algorithms do not require information about miRNA target site conservation across species. Moreover, some researchers have proposed that a combination of multiple methods is valid. For example, one study used a combination of the PITA and TargetScan algorithms to identify potential targets of miR-132, and extracted p300 as a strong candidate target gene, which they validated by further experimental analysis (Lagos et al., 2010).

Bioinformatics analyses using published “omics” data should be extremely useful for the analysis of miRNA-guided gene regulation, because of the large amount of experimental data available on various databases (van Dongen et al., 2008; Le Brigand et al., 2010; Table 3). As an example, the GenMir++ algorithm incorporates published expression profile information on miRNAs and mRNAs to effectively identify functional miRNA targets (Huang et al., 2007). It uses RNA expression data across 88 tissues and cell types and applies a Bayesian data analysis algorithm to identify a network of target predictions against 104 human miRNAs. Information can be obtained by examining the overall trends in various data sets, rather than by focusing on limited observations. This is exemplified by a study in which 151 published transfection experiments in seven different human cell types were examined to determine the effects of the transfection of small RNAs on the transcriptome, and in which the competition and saturation effects of miRNAs or the genes involved in miRNA biogenesis (Giraldez et al., 2006; Rodriguez et al., 2007; Baek et al., 2008). For example, a transcriptome analysis was performed in bic/miR-155-deficient CD4+ T cells and identified a wide spectrum of miR-155-affected genes. This provided an overview of miR-155 functions during homeostasis and in the functioning of the immune system (Rodriguez et al., 2007). Other studies have examined both the overexpression and inhibition of miRNAs (Nicolas et al., 2008; Ziegelbauer et al., 2009). The researchers used these experimental data to estimate the numbers of direct miRNA targets by focusing on the genes commonly regulated under these two conditions. Their results showed that only 49 genes were commonly regulated under both conditions, whereas 1,236 and 466 genes were regulated within miR-140-overexpressing and miR-140-inhibited cells, respectively. This suggests the existence of large numbers of indirect or false-positive targets detected within this series of experiments.

A number of miRNA-based regulatory events are known to occur at the translation level (He and Hannon, 2004), and in
Table 3 | Summary of recent studies using high-throughput approaches to identify miRNA target mRNAs.

| Method | Analyzed miRNA | Analyzed system | Reference |
|--------|----------------|-----------------|-----------|
|        |                | Human           | Linsley et al. (2005) |
|        |                | Mouse           | Krutzfeldt et al. (2005) |
|        |                | Human           | Nakamoto et al. (2005) |
|        |                | Zebrafish       | Giraldez et al. (2006) |
|        |                | Human           | Linsley et al. (2007) |
|        |                | Mouse           | Rodriguez et al. (2007) |
|        |                | Human           | Rodriguez et al. (2007) |
|        |                | Human           | Vinther et al. (2006) |
|        |                | Human           | Baek et al. (2008) |
|        |                | Human           | Baek et al. (2008) |
|        |                | Human           | Easow et al. (2007) |
|        |                | Human           | Zhang et al. (2007) |
|        |                | Human           | Karginov et al. (2007) |
|        |                | Human           | Landthaler et al. (2008) |
|        |                | Human           | Hendrickson et al. (2009) |
|        |                | Human           | Hauser et al. (2009) |
|        |                | Mouse           | Chi et al. (2009) |
|        |                | Worm            | Zisoulis et al. (2010) |
|        |                | Human           | Hafner et al. (2010) |

a The methods used within each study is indicated by “m”, “d” or a circle. T, transcriptome analysis; P, proteome analysis; IP, IP-based analysis. Where transcriptome analysis is divided into two groups, m, microarray; d, deep sequencing (RNA-seq).
this context, proteomic analysis has been used to identify miRNA targets (Vinther et al., 2006; Back et al., 2008; Selbach et al., 2008; Tian et al., 2008; Table 3). Stable isotope labeling with amino acids in cell culture (SILAC) of miRNA expression-modified cells, followed by mass spectrometric analysis, can be used to assess the effects of the loss or overexpression of miRNAs on global protein expression (Figure 2). Changes in mRNA expression correlated well with those in protein expression and suggested their utility in the detection of miRNA target genes (Baek et al., 2008; Selbach et al., 2008). However, the large variability in protein expression levels makes it difficult to resolve the whole proteome simultaneously with current methods, with a proportion of the protein expression data undetected. A recent report showed that over 84% of the reduced protein production mediated by miRNA-targeted proteins was uncharacterized with current methods, with a proportion of the protein expression data undetected. A recent report showed that over 84% of the reduced protein production mediated by miRNAs was attributable to reduced mRNA levels (Guo et al., 2010). This suggests that changes in mRNA levels closely reflect the reduced protein output. This finding has inspired active discussions of the gene regulatory mechanisms of miRNAs, specifically whether the expression of target mRNAs is reduced translationally or transcriptionally. The common problem shared with transcriptome analysis is that this methodology cannot distinguish the direct and indirect regulation of miRNAs.

**Figure 2** | Schematic representation of SILAC labeling and proteome analysis. Cells are split and cultured in heavy or light medium containing different amino acid isotopes. The miRNAs are then overexpressed or inhibited within these cells, and the cells are incubated for several more hours. The cells are collected and their proteins are purified for further mass spectrometric analysis. The protein levels in the two samples are compared by quantifying the heavy and light peptides, because isotopic labeling will affect their migration times.

**Table 3** | miRNA–mRNA interactions

| miRNA | mRNA | Effect |
| --- | --- | --- |
| miR-124a | C. elegans 5′ UTR sequences | Inhibition |

IP- AND CROSS-LINKING AND IMMUNOPRECIPITATION-BASED ANALYSES IDENTIFY miRNA–mRNA INTERACTIONS

To understand the miRNA–mRNA interactome with higher resolution, it is important to distinguish direct and indirect regulation by miRNAs. To do this, some approaches have combined IP with transcriptome analysis (Easow et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2007; Hauser et al., 2009; Hendrickson et al., 2009; Table 3). IP is performed with antibodies that target components of miRISC, such as AGO proteins and TNRC6A-C, to pull down the RNA sequences combined within this complex (Hafner et al., 2010). Those RNA sequences are identified using a microarray or deep sequencing.

As an example, a research group analyzed the functions of miR-124a by overexpressing and inhibiting this miRNA in HEK293 cells and immunoprecipitated epitope-tagged AGO2. The results showed the significant enrichment of 294 mRNAs, including several known targets of miR-124a. They also performed a luciferase assay using the 3′ UTR sequences of extracted candidates, and successfully validated 21 of the 30 tested targets (Karginov et al., 2007). The modes of action of miRNAs were analyzed as another example of the capacity of the IP-based approach. For instance, variations in an AGO1- or AGO2-bound target mRNA population was shown in humans. The authors showed that only a limited number of sequences are common to the AGO1 and AGO2 co-immunoprecipitated miRNAs, suggesting that many miRNA targets are specific for one or other AGO protein (Beitzinger et al., 2007). Further analysis showed redundant overlaps between the miRNA targets incorporated within different AGOs, suggesting partial overlaps between the AGO functions (Landthaler et al., 2008), but discussion of this issue is ongoing. IP-based methods are more effective in miRNA target identification compared to previously developed approaches (Karginov et al., 2007; Hendrickson et al., 2008). Although, the remaining difficulty in these approaches is that they cannot identify which miRNAs target the mRNAs enriched by co-IP with the miRISC protein. Using computational approach, miRNA target prediction has been applied to IP-based analyses, as in case of mirWIP algorithm. It predicts miRNA target genes using both the characteristics of the miRNA–mRNA duplex and information about the IP-enriched RNA sequences, and successfully detected miRNA–mRNA target relationships in C. elegans with high sensitivity and specificity compared to the other miRNA target prediction algorithms (Hammell et al., 2008). Moreover, to overcome this problem using experimental methods, improved IP-based methods have been introduced.

The key improvement on the IP-based methodology for miRNA target detection has been achieved by adding a cross-linking step to the protocol, in the processes of high-throughput sequencing by cross-linking and immunoprecipitation (HITS–CLIP; Chi et al., 2009), cross-linking and immunoprecipitation coupled to high-throughput sequencing (CLIP-seq; Zisoulis et al., 2010), and photoactivatable-ribonucleoside-enhanced cross-linking and immunoprecipitation (PAR-CLIP; Hafner et al., 2010). These methods directly identify miRNA–mRNA duplexes by IP. Unbound RNAs are digested, leaving the miRISC-protected RNA fragments, which are analyzed by high-throughput RNA sequencing to identify both the AGO-associated miRNAs and their targets. In PAR-CLIP, RNA recovery improved 100- to
1,000-fold when 4-thiouridine nucleosides were used. The reverse transcription of 4-thiouridine nucleotides leads to T → C transitions, which improved the accurate identification of the miRISC-interaction sites within the detected RNA sequences (Figure 3). From all the AGO IP experiments, 17,319 clusters of sequences were successfully identified (Hafner et al., 2010). Another interesting finding of this research was that about 50% of the detected miRNA-binding sites were located in the coding sequence (CDS). This suggests that gene expression is potentially regulated within the CDS region, although it has also been shown that the regulation induced by binding sites in the CDS is not as significant as that induced in the 3' UTR. This method was a breakthrough in detecting the direct interactions between miRNAs and their target genes in a high-throughput manner.

**SYSTEMS-LEVEL ANALYSES REVEAL miRNA FUNCTIONS IN GENE REGULATORY NETWORKS**

Integrating computational approaches with high-throughput experiments should provide a long list of potential miRNA target genes, and the next critical step will be the identification of their biological functions using that list. Because miRNAs have numerous target genes, with an average of 300 conserved targets per miRNA family (Bartel, 2009), it is conceivable that their interactive network, including their target genes, is enormous. It is also highly likely that miRNAs interact with a variety of genes that are functionally related to each other, playing essential roles as the “hubs” of gene regulatory networks and/or biological pathways (Ke et al., 2003; Herranz and Cohen, 2010). These functional analyses have predominantly been performed with data mining tools and databases (Figure 1), and have proven effective in extracting lists of the potential mRNA targets involved in a specific signal transduction pathway or biological function. Furthermore, the roles of miRNAs demonstrated within these pathways and functions have suggested that miRNAs can regulate positive or negative feedback systems, and this research has provided insight into how miRNAs play very specific roles in biological regulation (Herranz and Cohen, 2010).

One direct approach to the analysis of miRNA functions within specific instances of biological regulation should be to map miRNA interactions to known protein–protein interactions (PPIs). Several databases of known PPIs, such as the database of interacting proteins (DIP; Xenarios et al., 2000) and the mammalian protein–protein interaction database (MPP; Mewes et al., 2004), are good resources for these analyses, and PPI networks can be visualized together with miRNA interaction information on a platform such as Cytoscape (Cline et al., 2007). Another approach will be to map miRNA interactions to known biological pathways. The Kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes (KEGG) pathway (Ogata et al., 1999) is a good reference for groups of miRNA target genes that may share molecular interactions and reaction networks. This database provides biological pathway information, allowing us to determine whether groups of miRNA target genes are enriched in specific biological pathways. To determine the common functions of miRNA target genes and to connect miRNA gene regulatory pathways to PPI networks or biological pathways, functional annotation by gene ontology (GO) is commonly used. GO provides a controlled vocabulary or systematic language for the description of the attributes of genes and gene products, which can be used across genomic databases (Ashburner et al., 2000). This systematic ontology acts as a key tool to annotate common functions within large clusters of genes, as in the case of predicting miRNA targets (Enright et al., 2003; Shalgi et al., 2007), and provides clues to the interactions or pathways in which miRNAs are involved.

A number of studies have used these data mining tools to analyze the specific biological functions regulated by miRNAs (Liang and Li, 2007; Neilson et al., 2007; Bonci et al., 2008; Sarachana et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2010a). For example, in one approach, GO analysis was used together with KEGG pathway analysis to determine the roles of 21 miRNAs shown to be expressed in hepatic stellate cells (HSCs) in an miRNA microarray analysis (Guo et al., 2009). These results suggested that apoptosis was the most enriched transduction pathway when the top 25% of the computationally predicted miRNA targets were analyzed. Another example involved the analysis of the functions of the target genes of miR-24 (Lal et al., 2009a,b). Potential miRNA target genes were identified experimentally using microarray analysis, by detecting significantly downregulated genes among miR-24 transfection with potential miR-24 binding site. The functions of the potential miR-24 target genes were analyzed using GO analysis.
and the Ingenuity Pathways software. This approach suggested that miR-24 regulates cell-cycle progression and DNA repair. As shown in these cases, computational prediction is a valuable tool for identifying miRNA gene networks under physiological and pathological conditions. Other studies have suggested the miRNA-guided regulation of transcription factors, which underlines the key functions of miRNAs within whole gene regulatory networks (Marson et al., 2008; Ragusa et al., 2010; Osella et al., 2011; Ponomarev et al., 2011; Schlesinger et al., 2011; Starnes and Sorrentino, 2011). These analyses suggest that the overrepresentation of miRNAs within gene regulatory networks may reflect their essential roles in the mediation of feedback and feedforward regulation in cellular systems and the maintenance of cellular stability during environmental perturbation (Herranz and Cohen, 2010).

CONCLUSION

Using high-throughput experiments, we have retrieved large amounts of data containing a variety of information, including the expression profiles of miRNAs and/or mRNAs. This will allow us to identify and compare whole gene expression profiles in a systematic way under different biological conditions: in cells or tissues, at different stages of development, and in physiological or pathological states. Although the primary data obtained are a treasure trove, including much interesting information, it is also true that a large proportion of these are false-positive or insignificant information. The computational approach has played a key role in extracting lists of genes that warrant further analysis. These lists can be compared with one another, combined together to identify tendencies, incorporated with other information obtained from public databases, and so on. Using computational approaches, and in this way, a variety of biological knowledge can be extracted from an overview of these phenomena. The need to look at whole gene expression patterns is especially true for complex biological functions, such as those of miRNAs, where the target mRNAs are regulated in a one-to-many and a many-to-one manner and the degree of regulation varies case by case. When accumulated miRNA–mRNA interactions identify biological functions, it will be necessary to look at those interactions comprehensively and recognize them as part of a gene regulatory network. Therefore, we suggest that further weight should be given to high-throughput analyses combined with computational approaches, as an effective methodology to achieve a systems-level understanding of complex biological functions.
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