Precise Distance Measurements in DNA G-Quadruplex Dimers and Sandwich Complexes by Pulsed Dipolar EPR Spectroscopy
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Abstract: DNA G-quadruplexes show a pronounced tendency to form higher-order structures, such as \( \pi \)-stacked dimers and aggregates with aromatic binding partners. Reliable methods for determining the structure of these non-covalent adducts are scarce. Here, we use artificial square-planar Cu(pyridine)\(_4\) complexes, covalently incorporated into tetramolecular G-quadruplexes, as rigid spin labels for detecting dimeric structures and measuring intermolecular Cu\(^{2+}\)–Cu\(^{2+}\) distances via pulsed dipolar EPR spectroscopy. A series of G-quadruplex dimers of different spatial dimensions, formed in tail-to-tail or head-to-head stacking mode, were unambiguously distinguished. Measured distances are in full agreement with results of molecular dynamics simulations. Furthermore, intercalation of two well-known G-quadruplex binders, PIPER and telomestatin, into G-quadruplex dimers resulting in sandwich complexes was investigated, and previously unknown binding modes were discovered. Additionally, we present evidence that free G-tetrads also intercalate into dimers. Our transition metal labeling approach, combined with pulsed EPR spectroscopy, opens new possibilities for examining structures of non-covalent DNA aggregates.

Introduction

DNA G-quadruplexes, formed from \( \pi \)-stacked tetrads of Hoogsteen hydrogen-bonded guanines, play important roles in several biological processes, including regulation of oncogene expression and maintenance of telomeric repeats upon cell division.\(^{[1–4]}\) Beyond their biological role, G-quadruplexes gained a lot of interest as a structural motif in the field of DNA nanotechnology.\(^{[5–7]}\) G-quadruplexes tend to form higher-order structures such as dimers,\(^{[8–11]}\) \( \pi \)-wires,\(^{[12,13]}\) and other motifs, which are thought to influence their function in vivo.\(^{[14–17]}\) It is, for example, not yet understood if and how the multitude of G-quadruplexes in direct neighborhood interact with each other within the telomeric overhang.\(^{[17–22]}\)

Due to their regulatory function in pathological processes, G-quadruplexes have been identified as interesting drug targets in anticancer research.\(^{[23–25]}\) Many small molecules\(^{[26]}\) and metal complexes,\(^{[27]}\) most of them possessing flat \( \pi \)-surfaces and positive charges, were found to bind and stabilize the folded secondary structure, thereby acting as potential anticancer drugs.\(^{[28]}\) One well-known G-quadruplex binder is the natural product telomestatin,\(^{[29,30]}\) which is a potent telomerase inhibitor due to its strong interaction with unmolecular G-quadruplexes found in the human telomeric sequence.\(^{[31–33]}\)

Another typical class of G-quadruplex binders is based on perylene diimides, such as \( N,N'\)-bis[2-(1-piperidino)ethyl]-3,4,9,10-perylenetetracarboxylic diimide dihydrochloride (PIPER).\(^{[34–36]}\) An early NMR-based investigation revealed the formation of a 1:2 sandwich complex of PIPER with tetramolecular G-quadruplexes, where the dye intercalates between two terminal G-quartet sites.\(^{[37]}\) Such ligand-mediated or direct non-covalent contacts between terminal G-quartets are anticipated to form and play an important role for the overall stability of quadruplexes, and thus their biological function. Therefore, analytical methods that provide key structural information about these \( \pi \)-stacked aggregates are required.

In the past years, pulsed dipolar electron paramagnetic resonance (PDEPR) methods\(^{[38,39]}\) have evolved into reliable and versatile tools for structure determination in structural and chemical biology. Pairs of paramagnetic centers based on either organic radicals or open-shell transition metal complexes are required for distance measurements in the nanometer range, providing valuable information on the structure of biomolecules.\(^{[40]}\) The potential of \( \text{Cu}^{2+} \) ions as spin labels for PDEPR-based studies has been well documented in the literature over the past years.\(^{[41–51]}\) While PDEPR is most commonly used to determine structural constraints in protein systems, its application to distance measurements within nucleic acids has been steadily expanding.\(^{[51–58]}\) So far, few reports describe PDEPR-based investigations on G-quadruplexes,\(^{[59–61]}\) including in-cell spin-labeled G-quadruplexes\(^{[62]}\) and quadruplex-metal complex adducts.\(^{[63–64]}\)

Recently, we incorporated new \( \text{Cu}^{2+} \)-based spin labels into tetramolecular DNA G-quadruplexes of varying G-tetrad count, which allowed us to determine intramolecular distances within the secondary structure with unprecedented accuracy.\(^{[65]}\) Key to obtain such precise data was a label design in which the magnetic orbitals of the square-planar coordinated \( \text{Cu}^{2+} \) cations were fixed in defined spatial orientations by equipping the four-stranded DNA construct with a set of...
four nitrogen donor ligands, forming a rigid chelate environment.

The approach was based on the concept of metal-mediated base pairing, where canonical nucleotides are replaced by artificial ones, carrying a ligand functionality to coordinate to transition metal cations. In earlier studies, we transferred this concept from duplex DNA to G-quadruplexes. Pyridine or imidazole donors were covalently incorporated into defined positions of G-quadruplex structures, equipping the folded strands with prearranged chelate environments suitable for binding transition metal ions such as Co(II), Ni(II), Cu(II), or Zn(II). Substantial thermal stabilization of the metal ion-bound structures was observed, and the system allows for metal-induced control of its folding topology and protein binding behavior.[73]

Here, we extend the use of the Cu(pyrindine) tetrad as a rigid spin label to detect intermolecular Cu(II)-Cu(II) distances in G-quadruplex dimers of different spatial dimensions. This approach also revealed new binding modes in related sandwich complexes with PIPER, telomestatin, and G-quartet assembled from free guanines. Our study delivers valuable information on binding stoichiometry and key structural parameters, which are in excellent agreement with MD simulation results.

Results and Discussion

Synthesis and Characterization of Cu(II)-Binding G-Quadruplexes

For this study, six short modified oligonucleotides were synthesized by solid-phase DNA synthesis (oligos A-F, Table 1). The sequences were chosen based on two known tandem repeat units found in the telomeric regions of different species (TTAGGG and TTGGGG), as these oligonucleotides and related tetramolecular G-quadruplexes are already well investigated.[9,11] Pyridine-modified nucleotides (L, Figure 1A) were incorporated next to the 5’-G-quartets, forming rigid Cu(II) spin labels at the 5’-ends while leaving the 3’-G-quartets exposed (oligos A and B) or blocked by additional thymidines (oligo C). Also, isomeric sequences of reverse order, carrying an unstructured G-stack at the 5’-end and the Cu(II) modification at the 3’-termini, were synthesized (oligos D-F).

We first examined the formation of G-quadruplex structures using CD spectroscopy. For all six oligonucleotides, with a high Na+ or K+ concentration at pH 7.2, formation of a parallel G-quadruplex topology was indicated in the absence as well as in the presence of Cu(II) ions (Figure 1).

In addition, UV-based thermal difference spectra and thermal denaturation profiles confirmed G-quadruplex formation. For all oligonucleotides, the presence of Cu(II) ions caused a significant increase of the thermal denaturation temperatures, as the formation of a Cu(pyrindine) complex was previously shown to raise the overall stability of the hybridized quadruplex structure.[68,69] The Cu(pyrindine) complex was transferred to DNA, and the Cu-pyrindine complex was then studied by CD spectroscopy, copper coordination to transition metal cations.[74–76] The best fit was obtained using the following spin-Hamiltonian parameters: gJ = 2.268, gL = 2.063, A0 = 545 MHz. These values are in good agreement with parameters for a Cu(II) ion coordinated by four nitrogen atoms.[74–76] We note that the choice of the modified oligonucleotide resulted in slight variations in the EPR line shape of the spin label (Figure S25). In agreement with previous studies,[66,77] unbound Cu(II) ions in solution were nearly EPR-silent at pH ≥ 7 and provided only a negligible contribution to the overall EPR line shape (Figure S26).
For rigid, orientationally correlated spin pairs, orientation selectivity in PDEPR leads to a deviation of dipolar spectra from a Pake pattern and to the dependence of the dipolar frequency on the selected g-tensor orientations.\cite{40,50} Furthermore, these experiments provide atomic-level structural information on the geometry of the spin system. Some examples include tyrosyl radicals in ribonucleotide reductase,\cite{80,81} spin-labeled DNA,\cite{86} Co\textsuperscript{3+} and Fe\textsuperscript{3+}-containing synthetic systems.\cite{84,85}

Since the Cu\textsuperscript{2+} spin label within a G-quadruplex monomer is fixed in a highly rigid fashion,\cite{40} and the total width of a Cu\textsuperscript{2+} EPR spectrum (148 mT, about 4 GHz) is significantly larger than a typical pulse bandwidth (ca. 50 MHz), orientation selectivity is expected to affect PDEPR dipolar spectra. Indeed, both DEER and RIDME data acquired at different field positions for quadruplexes composed of oligos A, B, D and E showed strong dependence of the dipolar frequency on the excited g-tensor orientation, displaying the rigidity of the systems (Figures 2B and S28–S33). While there was a clear difference between RIDME and DEER modulation depths, the two methods produced almost identical dipolar spectra, particularly at orientations that correspond to the distance vector perpendicular and parallel to the magnetic field vector (Figure S29). In the text below, we focus on the analysis of DEER-derived Cu\textsuperscript{2+}–Cu\textsuperscript{2+} distances.

PeldorFit,\cite{80} which explicitly takes orientation selectivity into account when deriving distances, was used to analyze the PDEPR data. It allowed us to reproduce DEER time traces including both perpendicular and parallel orientations of the dipolar coupling tensor with one set of parameters (Figure 2B). Importantly, this analysis showed that g-axes of the two Cu\textsuperscript{2+} spin labels within a G-quadruplex dimer are aligned collinearly, perfectly fitting the expected structure for two rigid coplanar Cu\textsuperscript{2+} complexes and a tight π-stacking interface between the monomers (see Figures S34–S35 and Table S2 for details).

Analysis of DEER data from the [Cu\textsuperscript{2+}@A\textsubscript{2}]\textsubscript{2} sample revealed a single Cu\textsuperscript{2+}–Cu\textsuperscript{2+} mean distance of \(d_{\text{Cu}^2-\text{Cu}^2} = 2.55\text{ nm}\) with a very narrow distance distribution of \(\sigma = 0.02\text{ nm}\) (\(\sigma\) is the standard deviation of the distribution, which is assumed Gaussian, Figures 2 and S30). The detected distance was in the expected range for a G-quadruplex dimer formed through tail-to-tail stacking of the 3'-terminal G-tetrads. For a sample of dimer [Cu\textsuperscript{2+}@B\textsubscript{2}], a larger mean distance of \(d_{\text{B}^2-\text{B}^2} = 3.21\text{ nm}\) (\(\sigma = 0.02\text{ nm}\), Figure S31) was obtained, which was about two \(\pi\)-stacking distances longer than that of the shorter [Cu\textsuperscript{2+}@A\textsubscript{2}]\textsubscript{2} dimer (\(d_{\text{A}^2-\text{A}^2} = 0.66\text{ nm}\)). Since each [Cu\textsuperscript{2+}@B\textsubscript{2}] monomer contains one additional G-tetrad, this result is in perfect agreement with the expected distance.

Next, isomeric G-quadruplex dimers with inverted sequences, [Cu\textsuperscript{2+}@D\textsubscript{2}]\textsubscript{2} and [Cu\textsuperscript{2+}@E\textsubscript{2}]\textsubscript{2}, were investigated, with the Cu(pyridine)\textsubscript{3} complex located at the 3'-end and the exposed terminal G-tetrad at the 5'-end. In this case, the hyperfine structure of the Cu\textsuperscript{2+} EPR spectrum was less pronounced, indicating a somewhat different magnetic environment at the 3'-end (Figure S25). Additionally, DEER-derived distances of \(d_{\text{D}^2-\text{D}^2} = 2.48\text{ nm}\) (\(\sigma = 0.04\text{ nm}\), Figure S32) for [Cu\textsuperscript{2+}@D\textsubscript{2}] and \(d_{\text{E}^2-\text{E}^2} = 3.17\text{ nm}\) (\(\sigma = 0.04\text{ nm}\), Figure S33) for [Cu\textsuperscript{2+}@E\textsubscript{2}] were slightly shorter than those of their respective

---

**Orientation-Selective PDEPR Reveals G-Quadruplex Dimers**

In each G-quadruplex, one terminal site was modified to carry the metal complex, while the other end remained unmodified to allow stacking of the terminal G-tetrads as observed in unmodified sequences.\cite{8,9,11} PDEPR experiments were performed to investigate dimer formation by detecting dipole–dipole interactions, and thus measuring distances between the two paramagnetic Cu\textsuperscript{2+} ions residing in the \(\pi\)-stacked G-quadruplex monomers. Both double electron–electron resonance (DEER, also known as PELDOR)\cite{78,79} and relaxation-induced dipolar modulation enhancement (RIDME)\cite{80,81} techniques were employed, the former providing more robust background correction and the latter resulting in larger modulation depths (\(\Delta\)) and being generally less prone to orientation selectivity.\cite{80,81}
isomers (\(d_A - d_B = 0.07\) nm and \(d_A - d_E = 0.04\) nm), most probably caused by small structural differences between the Cu(pyridine) complexes embedded at the 5'- or 3'-end.

As controls, G-quadruplexes [Cu\(^{2+}\)@CN1] and [Cu\(^{2+}\)@F1], both carrying obstructing thymidines next to the terminal G-quartets, were probed. DEER time traces showed no dipolar modulation of the Cu\(^{2+}\) EPR signal (Figure S36), indicating that no dimers were present in these samples. This result confirms that extra 3'- or 5'-terminal thymidines prevent G-quadruplex aggregation in solution.[8,9,11]

Our PDEPR experiments demonstrate that a variety of Cu\(^{2+}\)-binding G-quadruplex monomers of different lengths, carrying an exposed terminal G-tetrad at either 3'- or 5'-end, readily assemble dimers. Mean Cu\(^{2+}\)-Cu\(^{2+}\) distances and corresponding distributions for all dimers are listed in Table 2. The distance distributions achieved in this work were approximately 5 to 10 times narrower than those obtained for DNA and RNA structures labeled either with nitroxide-[53,55-57,59] or other, less structurally confined Cu\(^{2+}\)-based[51,63] spin labels. Such narrow distance distributions not only highlight the pronounced rigidity of our Cu(pyridine) spin label within its G-quadruplex environment, but also demonstrate the overall defined structure adopted by the G-quadruplex dimers investigated in this work.

Table 2: Comparison of Cu\(^{2+}\)-Cu\(^{2+}\) distances obtained from DEER experiments and MD simulations in G-quadruplex dimers and related sandwich complexes.

| G-quadruplex adduct          | \(\langle d \rangle\) [nm], PeldorFit\(^\text{[b]}\) | \(\langle d \rangle\) [nm], MD\(^\text{[c]}\) |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| [Cu\(^{2+}\)@A1]\(^\text{[d]}\) | 2.55 (0.02)                                    | 2.53 (0.03)                                    |
| [Cu\(^{2+}\)@B1]\(^\text{[d]}\) | 3.21 (0.02)                                    | 3.23 (0.03)                                    |
| [Cu\(^{2+}\)@C1]\(^\text{[d]}\) | No dimerization[1]                             | -                                             |
| [Cu\(^{2+}\)@D1]\(^\text{[d]}\) | 2.48 (0.04)                                    | 2.55 (0.04)                                    |
| [Cu\(^{2+}\)@E1]\(^\text{[d]}\) | 3.17 (0.04)                                    | 3.34 (0.04)                                    |
| [Cu\(^{2+}\)@F1]\(^\text{[d]}\) | No dimerization[1]                             | -                                             |
| PIPER@[Cu\(^{2+}\)@A1]\(^\text{[d]}\) | 2.82 (0.03)                                    | 2.84 (0.03)                                    |
| PIPER@[Cu\(^{2+}\)@B1]\(^\text{[d]}\) | 3.48 (0.05)                                    | 3.46 (0.05)                                    |
| 2PIPER@[Cu\(^{2+}\)@B1]\(^\text{[d]}\) | 3.21 (0.05)                                    | 3.18 (0.03)                                    |
| telomestatin@[Cu\(^{2+}\)@A1] | 2.88 (0.04)                                    | 2.88 (0.04)                                    |
| guanine@[Cu\(^{2+}\)@A1]  | 2.88 (0.03)                                    | -                                             |
| guanine@[Cu\(^{2+}\)@B1] | 3.54 (0.03)                                    | -                                             |
| guanosine@[Cu\(^{2+}\)@A1] | 2.88 (0.02)                                    | 2.88 (0.03)                                    |
| guanosine@[Cu\(^{2+}\)@B1] | 3.54 (0.01)                                    | 3.56 (0.03)                                    |

[a] Mean values with standard deviations in parenthesis of PeldorFit-derived distance distributions, which were assumed Gaussian (see SI 5.6). [b] Mean values with standard deviations of MD-derived distance distributions. [c] Additional thymidines prevent dimerization by blocking the terminal G-tetrad. [d] Not determined.

Sandwich Complexes Based on G-Quadruplex Dimers

The ability to easily distinguish between dimeric species of different lengths with high resolution and accuracy prompted us to employ DEER to investigate intercalation of two well-known quadruplex binders.

Upon addition of 0.5 equiv of PIPER per G-quadruplex, CD and UV/Vis spectroscopy again confirmed formation of a parallel G-quadruplex topology for all samples in the absence and presence of Cu\(^{2+}\) ions. However, the addition of PIPER increased the thermal stability of the secondary structures (Figure 3). Furthermore, in the absence of G-quadruplex DNA, PIPER was not soluble at pH 7.2 and precipitated (Figure S1). In the presence of folded G-quadruplex DNA, red-colored PIPER stayed in solution, giving rise to a distinct absorbance signature at 450–600 nm (Figure 3). After thermal denaturation of the secondary structure, PIPER precipitated, and the absorbance signal in the visible region vanished. This observation supported a selective interaction of PIPER with G-quadruplex DNA as compared to single-stranded DNA[87] (see Figures S3–S24 for further CD and UV/Vis spectroscopy results).

EPR samples containing [Cu\(^{2+}\)@A1]\(^\text{[d]}\) dimers and stoichiometric PIPER concentration showed a new, lower modulation frequency in the DEER data and revealed a Cu\(^{2+}\)-Cu\(^{2+}\) distance of \(d_B = 2.82\) nm, larger than that of pure [Cu\(^{2+}\)@A1]\(^\text{[d]}\) dimers (\(d_A - d_B = 0.27\) nm, Figures S37–S38 and Figure 4A). A similar result was obtained with samples containing PIPER and [Cu\(^{2+}\)@B1]\(^\text{[d]}\) dimers (Figure S39). The increase in the spatial separation between the two Cu\(^{2+}\) ions demonstrated the formation of sandwich complexes (PIPER@[Cu\(^{2+}\)@A1] and PIPER@[Cu\(^{2+}\)@B1]), in which the flat organic molecule intercalates between the 3'-faces of the two G-quadruplex monomers.[17] However, the addition of PIPER to both [Cu\(^{2+}\)@D1] and [Cu\(^{2+}\)@E1] dimers did not affect the obtained distance distributions, demonstrating that PIPER does not intercalate into 5'-5'-stacked dimers (Figure S41), but prefers a different binding mode. This result is in agreement with the observed differences in CD signals of PIPER induced by the 3'- and 5'-modified quadruplexes (Figure S24).

Next, we investigated the effect of PIPER concentration on the sandwich complex formation. At a PIPER-to-[Cu\(^{2+}\)@A1]\(^\text{[d]}\) ratio of 0.5:1, the peak intensities corresponding to pure dimer (\(d_A = 2.55\) nm and PIPER@[Cu\(^{2+}\)@A1] com-
These results suggest a monomer–dimer equilibrium for the [Cu\(^{2+}\)@A\(_4\)] complex and the formation of a monomeric species, presumably PIPER@[Cu\(^{2+}\)@A\(_4\)], which contains only one Cu\(^{2+}\) center, and thus cannot be detected with PDEPR. There appears to be a marginal increase in Δ upon PIPER addition up to 2:1 PIPER-to-dimer ratio. Same has been observed for 5'-5'-stacked dimers, in which PIPER does not intercalate (Figure S41). These data suggest that up to two equivalents PIPER addition reduces the number of dimers by forming a small amount of monomeric adducts with quadruplexes.

EPR-based distance measurements were also performed in the presence of telomestatin,[29,30] another well-known G-quadruplex binder. The natural product was described to bind to unimolecular G-quadruplexes, interacting with terminal G-quartets via π-stacking.[31,32] Interestingly, samples of the [Cu\(^{2+}\)@A\(_4\)] dimer containing telomestatin (1 equiv per dimer, Figure S42) revealed a second peak in the distance distribution with an increased Cu\(^{2+}\)–Cu\(^{2+}\) distance of \(d_2 = 2.88 \text{ nm}\), with respect to that of the pure dimer (\(d_2 = 0.33 \text{ nm}\)). This observation strongly suggests that telomestatin can indeed intercalate into a 3'-3' π-stacked dimer formed from two tetramolecular [Cu\(^{2+}\)@A\(_4\)] monomers with parallel topology to build a telomestatin@[Cu\(^{2+}\)@A\(_4\)] sandwich complex. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time such a sandwich binding mode of telomestatin has been observed. Since human telomeric sequences are able to form (unimolecular) parallel G-quadruplexes with exposed terminal G-tetrads,[18,22] the discovered binding mode might play a role in the ability of telomestatin to inhibit telomerase.

**Intercalation of Free G-Quartets into G-Quadruplex Dimers**

Moreover, we investigated the interaction of derivatives of free guanine with G-quadruplex dimers. First, we added guanine (4 equiv per dimer) to samples of [Cu\(^{2+}\)@A\(_4\)] and [Cu\(^{2+}\)@B\(_4\)]. For both dimers, this resulted in the appearance of a second peak in the distance distribution, accounting for an increase in length of Δd = 0.33 nm as compared to the pure dimers (Figures S43–S44). The concentration ratio of the new extended dimeric species to the original one was approximately 1:1 for both samples (Figure 5, green traces). The new distance can be explained by a species where a whole unethered G-tetrad assembled from four free guanines intercalates between the two quadruplex monomers (guanine,[Cu\(^{2+}\)@A\(_4\)]; and guanine,[Cu\(^{2+}\)@B\(_4\)], Figure 5E and Table 2).

Next, the experiments were repeated with 7-deazaguanine (Figure 5, red traces), guanosine (blue traces), and guanosine intercalate between the monomers of a tail-to-tail arranged G-quadruplex dimer (2PIPER@[Cu\(^{2+}\)@A\(_4\)], Figures 4C and S40). To the best of our knowledge, this binding mode of PIPER to DNA G-quadruplexes has never been described before. It resembles a reported motif found in the solid state, where two naphthalene diimide derivatives intercalate into a head-to-head arranged dimer of unimolecular G-quadruplexes.[10] The modulation depth strongly decreased with an increase in the PIPER-to-dimer ratio beyond 2:1 (Figures 4B and S37). This suggests a disruption of the 2PIPER@[Cu\(^{2+}\)@A\(_4\)] complex and the formation of a monomeric species, presumably PIPER@[Cu\(^{2+}\)@A\(_4\)], which contains only one Cu\(^{2+}\) center, and thus cannot be detected with PDEPR. The same conclusion may be reached based on the DEER dipolar spectra (www.angewandte.org) the discovered binding mode might play a role in the stability of the whole G-quadruplex population, in interacting with terminal G-quadruplex binder. The natural product was described to bind to unimolecular G-quadruplexes, interacting with terminal G-quartets via π-stacking.[31,32] Interestingly, samples of the [Cu\(^{2+}\)@A\(_4\)] dimer containing telomestatin (1 equiv per dimer, Figure S42) revealed a second peak in the distance distribution with an increased Cu\(^{2+}\)–Cu\(^{2+}\) distance of \(d_2 = 2.88 \text{ nm}\), with respect to that of the pure dimer (\(d_2 = 0.33 \text{ nm}\)). This observation strongly suggests that telomestatin can indeed intercalate into a 3'-3' π-stacked dimer formed from two tetramolecular [Cu\(^{2+}\)@A\(_4\)] monomers with parallel topology to build a telomestatin@[Cu\(^{2+}\)@A\(_4\)] sandwich complex. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time such a sandwich binding mode of telomestatin has been observed. Since human telomeric sequences are able to form (unimolecular) parallel G-quadruplexes with exposed terminal G-tetrads,[18,22] the discovered binding mode might play a role in the ability of telomestatin to inhibit telomerase.

**Intercalation of Free G-Quartets into G-Quadruplex Dimers**

Moreover, we investigated the interaction of derivatives of free guanine with G-quadruplex dimers. First, we added guanine (4 equiv per dimer) to samples of [Cu\(^{2+}\)@A\(_4\)] and [Cu\(^{2+}\)@B\(_4\)]. For both dimers, this resulted in the appearance of a second peak in the distance distribution, accounting for an increase in length of Δd = 0.33 nm as compared to the pure dimers (Figures S43–S44). The concentration ratio of the new extended dimeric species to the original one was approximately 1:1 for both samples (Figure 5, green traces). The new distance can be explained by a species where a whole unethered G-tetrad assembled from four free guanines intercalates between the two quadruplex monomers (guanine@[Cu\(^{2+}\)@A\(_4\)]; and guanine@[Cu\(^{2+}\)@B\(_4\)], Figure 5E and Table 2).

Next, the experiments were repeated with 7-deazaguanine (Figure 5, red traces), guanosine (blue traces), and guanosine
monophosphate (GMP) under the same conditions (Figures S45–S47). 7-Deazaguanine lacks a nitrogen atom necessary for Hoogsteen hydrogen bonding, and thus is unable to form tetrads. As anticipated, its addition did not alter the distance distributions of the pure dimers, indicating that not as single nucleobase, but a quartet acts as intercalating species. The addition of GMP did not alter the distance distribution either, since tetrads formed from GMP are highly negatively charged at pH 7, and thus suffer from electrostatic repulsion. In contrast, guanosine (as free guanosine quartet) was found to intercalate even more efficiently than a guanine tetrad, as judged by the relative intensities in the dipolar spectra, presumably due to its higher solubility in water. Thus, all additional results support the hypothesis of an untethered G-

MD Simulation of Dimeric G-Quadruplex Structures and Sandwich Complexes

In order to relate experimentally determined distances to structural models, MD simulations were performed for each dimeric system. Starting structures were created assuming a tail-to-tail or head-to-head stacking of the terminal G-tetrads, and 50 ns MD runs in explicit TIP3P water with 100 mM KCl concentration were conducted (for more details, see the Supporting Information). The dimeric structures were preserved throughout the simulation time (Figures 6 and S48–S51) and the obtained intermolecular Cu²⁺–Cu²⁺ distances and distance distributions matched the experimental values very well (Table 2).
relative orientation of the two PIPER molecules with respect to each other. Throughout the MD run, the relative rotation angle was quite flexible at around 40–60° (Figure S57).

The overall agreement between experimentally obtained and MD-derived distances confirmed that PDEPR spectroscopy allows measuring intermolecular Cu²⁺–Cu²⁺ distances in π-stacked G-quadruplex dimers and related sandwich complexes with high accuracy.

**Conclusion**

We have shown that paramagnetic Cu(pyridine)₄ spin labels incorporated into DNA G-quadruplexes are suitable for the investigation of higher-order G-quadruplex structures such as dimers, by means of intermolecular Cu²⁺–Cu²⁺ distance measurements using PDEPR techniques. Due to the unprecedented rigidity of the spin label and the concomitant sharp peaks in the distance distributions, the method provides a simple readout for the unambiguous characterization of dimers of different lengths and composition. Moreover, intercalation of G-quadruplex-binding ligands such as PIPER and telomestatin was clearly demonstrated with the new method, revealing previously undescribed binding modes.

Surprisingly, we were also able to show that untethered G-quartets, composed of free guanines or guanosines, intercalate into G-quadruplex dimers. This observation may be relevant for applications exploiting the supramolecular interaction of functionalized G-quartet probes with G-quadruplexes of biological origin.²⁰³

Furthermore, the introduced methodology herein, based on an easy to synthesize DNA modification and an established EPR protocol, showcases the possibility to investigate more complex G-quadruplex systems, other higher-order oligonucleotide architectures, as well as DNA–protein interactions. We expect this method to provide valuable contributions to the investigation of structure and dynamics in both biological and DNA-nanotechnological contexts.
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