Loss of imprinting of $IGF_2$ as an epigenetic marker for the risk of human cancer
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Abstract. $IGF_2$ is the first gene discovered to be imprinted and expressed exclusively from the paternal allele in both human and mouse. $IGF_2$ is also the first imprinted gene displaying loss of imprinting (LOI) or aberrant imprinting in human cancers. Evidently, LOI or reactivation of the maternal allele of $IGF_2$ is associated with an increase of $IGF_2$ expression that may subsequently play an important role in the onset of human cancers. The most important discovery was the association of LOI of $IGF_2$ with the risk of developing human colorectal cancer. LOI occurs not only in colon cancer tissues, but also in matched normal tissues and peripheral blood cells. A pilot study indicated a significant relationship between LOI of $IGF_2$ and family history as well as personal history of colorectal cancer, suggesting that LOI of $IGF_2$ might be a valuable biomolecular marker of predicting an individual’s risk for colon cancer. A recent epigenetic progenitor model suggested that human cancers might have a common basis that involves an epigenetic disruption of progenitor cells mediated by “tumor progenitor genes” and proposed that non-neoplastic but epigenetically disrupted progenitor cells might be an important target for cancer risk assessment and prevention.
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1. Introduction

Genomic imprinting is an important phenomenon brought about through the allelic-specific epigenetic modification leading to the parent-of-origin specific gene expression. Genomic imprinting was initially suggested based on mouse oocyte manipulation. The idea that parthenogenetic animals with homozygous genomes would be useful in detecting harmful recessive genes in animal breeding applications impelled scientists to produce such animals in the laboratory [20]. Unfortunately, these attempts were unsuccessful. Nevertheless, it was found that unfertilized mouse eggs could be stimulated to begin division without the need of fertilization and that diploids could be formed by the suppression of division II of meiosis using the drug cytochalasin B. However, parthenogenone embryos were unable to develop fully after being injected into foster mothers although they could be aggregated with normal mouse eggs to form chimeras which were capable of developing into adulthood [53]. In 1984, results from two separate research groups provided evidence that revealed the paternal and maternal genomes as functional non-equivalents. Through experimentally manipulating one-cell embryos, the two research groups suggested that the presence of both paternal and maternal genome are essential for normal development and genomic imprinting in mammalian [30,55].

The $IGF_2$ gene encodes insulin-like growth factor II, which is structurally homologous to insulin and displays growth promoting and metabolic effects on various cell types. $IGF_2$ imprinting in mouse was discovered from an experiment that targeted the disruption of the $IGF_2$ gene in which transmission of the $IGF_2$ mutation through the male germline resulted in heterozygous progenies that were growth deficient, while transmission of the disrupted gene through the maternal germline resulted in heterozygous offspring that were phenotypically normal. Molecular biologi-
Pal analysis further indicated that only the paternal allele is expressed in embryos, while the maternal allele is silent [12]. The discovery of human IGF2 imprinting was derived from the studies of Wilms’ tumor and Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome (BWS) patients in three independent laboratories [39,41,46]. Using restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) and RNAase protection analysis, researchers discriminated the parental alleles of transcripts of IGF2 and found that IGF2 is expressed exclusively from paternal alleles. Not only were these results precisely consistent with those observations found in mouse, more importantly, two of the three laboratories occasionally found the loss of imprinting of IGF2, or the re-activation of maternal allele of IGF2 in human Wilms’ tumors [39,46]. These results, published in the same issue of Nature, were the first to associate aberrant imprinting with human tumor. Clearly, the discoveries of genomic imprinting and loss of imprinting depend largely on the development of modern molecular biological techniques.

2. Laboratory methods for IGF2 imprinting analysis

One of the most important characteristics of imprinted genes is its allelic-specific transcription. Imprinted genes could not be identified until modern molecular biology techniques enabled the discrimination of the two parental alleles of imprinted genes on the transcriptional level. Here I will describe several major methods for the examination of human IGF2 imprinting.

2.1. Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism (RFLP) method

Human IGF2 has an Apa I digestion single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) within the exon 9. Both the Feinberg and Reeve laboratories used the RFLP method to identify IGF2 as an imprinted gene which is transcribed exclusively from the paternal allele while the maternal allele remains silent in normal human fetal tissues [39,46]. They first screened informative or heterozygous samples by running PCR on genomic DNA and then digested the PCR products with the Apa I enzyme. Next, RNA from the informative sample was reverse-transcribed and amplified using PCR. The PCR product was again digested with Apa I. Notably it is essential to treat RNA with DNase before reverse transcription to eliminate any contaminated genomic DNA for it can bias the analyzed imprinting result. Thus, in order to overcome any DNA contamination interference during imprinting analysis, Cui et al. treated RNA samples with DNase before reverse transcription [7]. At the same time, PCR primers were designed across an intron-exon boundary (exon 8-exon 9). This strategy easily distinguished whether the PCR products were derived from RNA or genomic DNA since the size of PCR products from genomic DNA is longer than that from cDNA. For the IGF2 imprinting quantitative analysis, one primer was labeled with isotope and hot PCR products were digested with Apa I and then soluted on 6% polyacrylamide and finally quantified on a Phosphorimager. The quantitative ratio of more abundant to less-abundant allele was then calculated. This method is simple and quick and has been widely applied by many laboratories. The only limitation is that it can only be used to check Apa I informative samples and the results obtained are only semi-quantitative. Later, Uejima et al further improved the RFLP method by the use of Hot-stop PCR, a simple and quantitative technique for the measurement of allele ratios that circumvents the problem of heteroduplex formation skewing the results of Apa I restriction endonuclease digestion of PCR products [58]. The strategy allowed a 5’ end-labelled primer to be added to the PCR reaction right before the last PCR step. After hot primer extension and restriction endonuclease digestion, the reaction product could finally be visualized with the exclusion of any possibilities of heteroduplexes of PCR products biasing imprinting results.

2.2. Ribonuclease Protection Assays (RPA) method

RPA is a sensitive technique for detection and quantification of RNA expression. It is also used to detect the length polymorphism and imprinting status of the IGF2 gene [14,41]. There exists a CA repeat length polymorphism within exon-9 of the human IGF2 gene. The Ohlsson lab first applied this technique to discriminate two alleles derived from different parents to identify human IGF2 imprinting. They prepared a RNA probe using T3 or T7 RNA polymerase with ^32P-UTP. The heterozygous sample was then identified by RPA with PCR product from genomic DNA. Next, RNA from the informative sample was directly subjected to RPA in order to check the imprinting status of IGF2 [41]. The RPA method can be used to directly analyze RNA samples without the need of RT PCR and hence it excludes any possibilities of DNA contamination that can bias IGF2 imprinting results. However, the method
also exposes researchers to isotopes. In addition, it can only be used to examine samples with CA repeat polymorphism in the IGF2 gene.

2.3. Allele-Specific in Situ Hybridization (ASISH) technique

The ASISH technique was developed based on RNA in situ hybridization performed by the Ohlsson lab [1, 40,42]. This method was based upon a single nucleotide difference within the Apa I polymorphic site within exon 9 of the IGF2 gene. Allelic-specific oligo DNA probes were designed to discriminate between expressed IGF2 alleles with similar efficiency and specificity in the thin sections of formalin-fixed heterozygous tissues. Cui et al. decisively contributed to the development of the ASISH technique by improving the purification of 35S-labelled oligonucleotide DNA probes with longer hot poly A tails that greatly increased the sensitivity of the ASISH technique [9]. An important improvement of ASISH is that the technique can identify spatial and temporal allelic-specific expression patterns on a cellular level rather than relying on a sum of results of allelic expression patterns like other assays based on the total RNA. It may be applied in research for the timing of the establishment of functional imprinting during embryo development. The ASISH assay has helped to find the heterogenous imprinting pattern of IGF2 in tumor tissues [42] as well as in determining the functional imprinting status of H19 and IGF2 at the cellular level in the development of the human placenta [1]. However, the main limitation of ASISH is that it is both time and labor consuming which are the reasons for its restricted use in laboratories.

2.4. Other methods

In addition to the methods mentioned above, there are several other techniques for the examination of IGF2 imprinting. The simplest method consists of directly sequencing RT PCR products with Apa I polymorphism to determine the IGF2 imprinting status according to its peak height at the SNP site. Clearly, direct sequencing is not quantitative for measuring allelic ratios while pyrosequencing, on the other hand, is a DNA sequencing technique based on the detection of released pyrophosphate (PPi) during DNA synthesis. In a cascade of enzymatic reactions, visible light is generated that is proportional to the number of incorporated nucleotides. The cascade starts with a nucleic acid polymerization reaction in which inorganic PPi is released due to nucleotide incorporation by polymerase. The released PPi is subsequently converted to ATP by ATP sulfurylase, which provides the energy to luciferase to oxidize luciferin and generate light [50]. Pyrosequencing has been used for DNA genotyping [17]. We are currently in the process of applying this technique for the examination of the IGF2 imprinting status. The pyrosequencing technique can precisely measure allelic ratios after RT PCR thus it is the fastest and most reliable method for the examination of IGF2 imprinting although it may be limited by the expense of the pyrosequencing equipment. It is based on these techniques that the abnormal imprinting of IGF2 was discovered in many human cancers.

3. LOI of IGF2 in Childhood Tumors

Loss of imprinting of IGF2 is the abnormal epigenetic activation of the normally silent maternal allele. IGF2 was the first imprinted gene discovered to have LOI in several childhood tumors associated with Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome (BWS) such as Wilms’ tumor, hepatoblastoma, rhabdomyosarcoma, etc.

3.1. Wilms’ tumor

Wilms’ tumor shows a uniform worldwide incidence of 1:10,000, accounting for 6% of childhood cancers. It is also the most common malignant renal tumor with a peak incidence between 2 and 5 years old. Wilms’ tumor usually occurs sporadically, but a low incidence (1-2%) of familial cases has been reported [3,4]. Even though it has been suggested that the formation of several cancers might be related to genetic and epigenetic abnormalities, Wilms’ tumor is currently the best explored. Rainier et al. [46] first found that 10 out of 13 informative Wilms’ tumor showed LOI of IGF2 (77%) while matched normal kidney tissues maintained normal imprinting. In the same issue of Nature, Ogawa et al. reported similar result that out of 6 informative Wilms’ tumors, 4 showed LOI of IGF2 (66%) [39]. Later, LOI of IGF2 was continually confirmed and it was further uncovered that LOI of IGF2 in Wilms’ tumors is linked to the abnormal methylation of H19-IGF2 locus and H19 silencing in Wilms’ tumor [8, 34,52,54,57,63]. Later, Ravenel et al. reported that LOI of IGF2 was associated with a 2.2-fold increase in IGF2 expression and children with LOI of IGF2 in
their Wilms’ tumors were statistically older at diagnosis than those whose tumors displayed normal imprinting. These results demonstrate the first evidence of LOI giving rise to the double dosage of expression of IGF2 [48].

3.2. Rhabdomyosarcoma

Rhabdomyosarcoma is the second children’s tumor identified to show LOI of IGF2. Like Wilms’ tumor, Rhabdomyosarcoma is also an embryonal tumor associated with BWS and is the most common soft-tissue sarcoma in those children who often displayed the over-expression of IGF2. Zhan et al. found that out of 7 informative rhabdomyosarcoma cases, 5 tumors and 1 cell line showed LOI of IGF2 and suggested that LOI might contribute to the over-expression of IGF2 as well as play an important role in the onset of rhabdomyosarcoma [73].

3.3. Hepatoblastoma

Hepatoblastoma is another children’s tumor related to BWS. Davies first examined 3 informative hepatoblastoma samples and indicated the absence of LOI of IGF2 in hepatoblastoma [11]. Two laboratories later challenged the previous report and documented the presence of LOI of IGF2 in hepatoblastomas [32, 45]. They also found that in contrast to Wilms’ tumors, LOI of IGF2 in hepatoblastoma is not linked to the down-regulation of H19. Obviously, unlike Wilms’ tumor and rhabdomyosarcoma, hepatoblastomas has a low frequency of LOI of IGF2. Notably the IGF2 gene shows promoter-specific imprinting status and P2, P3 and P4 all display monoallelic activity in normal embryonic, neonatal and younger infants, whereas P1 is a non-imprinting promoter. From the embryonic period to 9 months of age, IGF2 shows monoallelic expression due to the activation of P2, P3 and P4 but afterwards the gene displays biallelic expression due to the activation of P1 in livers [14]. Therefore, it is important to exclude the possibility of P1 activation when identifying LOI of IGF2. Li et al. investigated three hepatoblastomas derived from patients from 9 months to 3 years of age and found that there is a down-regulation of the P1 promoter while the P2, P3 promoters were up regulated in the tumor compared to normal liver. One of three cases showed LOI of IGF2 in the tumor tissue [27]. Later, LOI of IGF2 in hepatoblastoma was further confirmed by various other laboratories [19,22].

4. LOI of IGF2 in adult tumors

The aberrant imprinting of IGF2 occurs not only in embryonic tumors, but also frequently in a majority of adult tumors including prostate cancer, breast cancer, lung cancer, colon cancer, live cancer, etc. Elkin et al. first reported to find LOI of IGF2 in bladder cancer despite the fact that they had only examined two informative samples [15]. Later, Jarrard et al. examined IGF2 imprinting status in prostate cancer, a common cancer for men, and found that as high as 83% (10/12) of these tumors show LOI [21]. LOI of IGF2 was also found in testicular tumor and 56% of these tumors displayed LOI [36]. Furthermore, aberrant imprinting was reported in women tumors as well, including breast cancer, ovarian cancer, and cervical carcinoma. Yballe et al. reported that 2 out of 17 breast tumors to have LOI [71] and Douc-Rasy et al. found abnormal imprinting of IGF2 in 50% (5/10) of cervical tumors [13]. In addition, LOI of IGF2 was reported repeatedly in ovarian cancer, another common cancer for women. Kim et al. found that as high as 55% (6/11) of these cancers displayed LOI [22]. Lung cancer and colon cancer, two of the most common cancers in the world for both males and females, are also associated with the imprinting disruption of IGF2 with 25% (5/20) and 27% (6/22), respectively [5,68]. Cui et al reported that LOI of IGF2 occurred in 44% of informative colorectal cancers that are linked to microsatellite instability [7]. Later, another group confirmed by reporting that 42% of sporadic colon cancers were related to LOI of IGF2 [35]. Other laboratories have also reported variable rates of LOI, ranging from 33% to 87% [56, 74]. Consistent LOI of IGF2 was also observed in lung cancer [26]; Kohda et al. later confirmed that 47% of these cases demonstrated LOI of IGF2 [25]. Aberrant imprinting of IGF2 was also found in human blood malignance. Wu et al. found that all of the 12 acute myeloid leukemia (AML) examined showed LOI of IGF2 [66]. Furthermore, two other groups demonstrated LOI of IGF2 in 72% (8/11) of AML and 54% (24/44) of acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL), respectively [29,62]. LOI of IGF2 was found in various other adult tumors (see Table 1).

5. LOI of IGF2 as a potential marker of risk of human cancers

Even though it has been found that the aberrant imprinting or LOI of IGF2 is linked to many types of
human cancer, the most interesting discovery reveals the constitutional LOI in the normal tissues of cancer patients including matched normal tissues and peripheral blood lymphocytes. Although the exact mechanism by which aberrant imprinting leads to cancer is not yet known, it is believed that LOI of IGF2 may be a potential biomarker for the risk of various cancers. When Cui et al examined the imprinting status of IGF2 in colorectal cancer patients, they observed that LOI of IGF2 existed not only in cancer tissues but also in the matched normal colonic mucosa and peripheral blood cells of patients with LOI [7]. Surprisingly, they also found LOI of IGF2 in about 10% of colon mucosa and blood samples from the normal control group and thus first suggested that LOI might identify an important subset of the population with cancer or at risk of developing cancer. Next, a pilot study was conducted in which 172 patients at a colonoscopy clinic were evaluated and blood lymphocytes and colon biopsies were assayed. A significant association was reported between LOI of patients with family history or personal history of colorectal cancer, suggesting LOI of IGF2 might be a valuable predictive biomarker for an individual’s risk for colon cancer [10]. This interesting result was further confirmed by another colonoscopy screen study. Woodson et al. reported that 27.5% (11/40) of participants had LOI of IGF2 in their normal colonic mucosa tissues and LOI was associated with a fivefold increased risk of adenoma formation in women [65]. In addition to its link to colon cancer, LOI of IGF2 has also been investigated for an association with the risk of developing other malignancies. Vorwerk et al observed that 20% cord blood samples and 14% mononuclear cell (MNC) showed connection to LOI of IGF2 when 50% of LOI was found in acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) [62]. Unfortunately, the authors did not follow up their investigation and thus could not link LOI to the risk of the disease. In BWS, a children’s disease predisposing many to childhood cancers, LOI of IGF2 might be the best explanation for

| Types of tumor            | Frequency of IGF2 LOI | References                                    |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| Lung cancer               | 7/15 (46.6%)          | Kohda et al., 2001 [25]                        |
| Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) | 1/5 (20%)         | Li et al., 1997 [28]                          |
| Breast cancer             | 2/17 (12%)            | Yballe et al., 1996 [71]                      |
|                           | 3/4 (75%)             | Van Roozendaal et al., 1998 [61]              |
| Colorectal cancer         | 12/27 (44%)           | Cui et al., 1998 [7]                          |
|                           | 4/12 (33%)            | Takano et al., 2000 [56]                      |
|                           | 14/16 (87.5%)         | Zhang et al., 2003 [74]                       |
| Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) | 8/11 (72%)         | Liu et al., 2000 [29]                         |
| Chronic myelogenous leukemia (CML) | 24/44 (54%)        | Vormerk et al., 2003 [62]                     |
| Ovarian cancer            | 0/11 (0%)             | Yun et al., 1996 [72]                         |
|                           | 6/11 (55%)            | Jim et al., 1998 [22]                         |
|                           | 5/20 (25%)            | Chen et al., 2000 [5]                         |
|                           | 6/22 (27%)            | Xiong et al., 2002 [68]                       |
| Prostate cancer           | 10/12 (83%)           | Jarrard et al., 1995 [21]                     |
| Bladder carcinoma         | 1/2 (50%)             | Elkin et al., 1995 [15]                       |
| Cervical carcinoma        | 5/10 (50%)            | Douc-Rasy et al., 1996 [13]                   |
| Esophageal cancer         | 7/13 (54%)            | Mori et al., 1996 [33]                       |
| Glioma                    | 8/14 (57%)            | Uyeno et al., 1996 [60]                       |
| Testicular tumor          | 5/9 (55%)             | Nonomura et al., 1997 [36]                    |
| Renal cell carcinoma      | 9/16 (56%)            | Nonomura et al., 1997 [37]                    |
| Gynecologic tumor         | 7/14 (50%)            | Oda et al., 1998 [38]                         |
| Gastric adenocarcinoma    | 5/24 (21%)            | Yaginuma et al., 1997 [70]                    |
| Uterine leiomyoma         | 0/15 (0%)             | Wu et al., 1997 [67]                          |
| Pancreatic cancer         | 6/10 (60%)            | Michi et al., 1999 [31]                       |
| Head and neck squamous carcinoma | 11/27 (40%) | El-naggar et al., 1999 [16]                   |
| Osteosarcoma              | 7/28 (25%)            | Ulaner et al., 2003 [59]                      |
| Gestational trophoblastic tumors | 7/18 (39%) | Kim et al., 2003 [24]                        |
| Juvenile nasopharyngeal angiofibromas | 4/8 (50%) | Coutinho-Camilo et al., 2003 [6]               |
| Choriocarcinoma           | 6/10 (60%)            | Arima et al., 1997 [2]                        |
the reason why aberrant imprinting is linked to tumorigenesis. Weksberg et al. were able to demonstrate the existence of LOI of IGF2 in BWS fibroblast cells [64]. LOI may be a constitutional epigenetic aberration that can occur early in normal tissue and blood cells and may predispose to the development of malignancies. Recently, a mouse model involving LOI of IGF2 confirmed that LOI in mice increased the IGF2 expression level and promoted the development of intestinal tumor, suggesting that the altered maturation of non-neoplastic tissue might be one mechanism through which epigenetic changes can affect cancer risk [51]. Based on experimental data, Feinberg et al. recently suggested an epigenetic progenitor model for cancer. They proposed that various cancers might have a common basis that is grounded in a polyclonal epigenetic disruption of stem/progenitor cells, mediated by “tumor-progenitor genes”. Thus, non-neoplastic but epigenetically disrupted stem/progenitor cells might be a crucial target for cancer risk assessment and prevention [18].

Although LOI of IGF2 is known to be associated with carcinogenesis, its mechanism is still uncertain. It has been reported that altered DNA methylation may link to LOI of IGF2. Wilms’ tumors with LOI of IGF2 indicated DNA hypermethylation in H19 DMR [8,34,52] while Colorectal cancers with LOI are linked to hypomethylation of IGF2 DMR. Although it has been reported that knocking out DNA methyltransferases lead to both reduced DNA methylation and LOI of IGF2 in human cell line [49], and mutation in DNMT3b gene, linked to hypomethylation in ICF syndrome [69], functional mutations could not be found in both Wilms’ tumors and colorectal cancers with LOI of IGF2 [10], thus suggesting DNA methyltransferases are not necessarily important in the maintenance of the normal imprinting of IGF2. A recent nutritional experiment showed that methyl donor-deficient diet induced LOI of Igf2 in weaning mice, suggesting that childhood diet could contribute to IGF2 LOI [63]. The mechanisms of LOI of IGF2 and its relationship with tumorigenesis will become one of the most important research topics in the future.

6. Conclusion

LOI is an epigenetic disruption with a much higher incidence rate than conventional genetic mutations in human cancers. LOI of IGF2 is involved in nearly all types of human cancers, including most of both childhood and adult cancers. It is well known that LOI of IGF2 occurs not only in cancer cells, but also in matched normal tissue cells as well as the peripheral blood cells of cancer patients, suggesting LOI of IGF2 to be an early epigenetic lesion that could predispose patients to the development of tumors. Furthermore, in contrast to conventional genetic mutations, this epigenetic lesion is generally considered reversible. Thus, research on the detection of LOI in human cancer patients will be of significant importance to the early diagnosis, prevention and even effective treatment of human cancers. It will also be very helpful in revealing the molecular mechanisms for the development of human cancers. Thus, future direction in research should focus on the search for a molecular epigenetic marker based on the DNA level, such as aberrant methylation corresponding to LOI on the RNA Level.
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