Enhanced Sampling of an Atomic Model with Hybrid Nonequilibrium Molecular Dynamics—Monte Carlo Simulations Guided by a Coarse-Grained Model
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ABSTRACT: Molecular dynamics (MD) trajectories based on a classical equation of motion provide a straightforward, albeit somewhat inefficient approach, to explore and sample the configurational space of a complex molecular system. While a broad range of techniques can be used to accelerate and enhance the sampling efficiency of classical simulations, only algorithms that are consistent with the Boltzmann equilibrium distribution yield a proper statistical mechanical computational framework. Here, a multiscale hybrid algorithm relying simultaneously on all-atom fine-grained (FG) and coarse-grained (CG) representations of a system is designed to improve sampling efficiency by combining the strength of nonequilibrium molecular dynamics (neMD) and Metropolis Monte Carlo (MC). This CG-guided hybrid neMD-MC algorithm comprises six steps: (1) a FG configuration of an atomic system is dynamically propagated for some period of time using equilibrium MD; (2) the resulting FG configuration is mapped onto a simplified CG model; (3) the CG model is propagated for a brief time interval to yield a new CG configuration; (4) the resulting CG configuration is used as a target to guide the evolution of the FG system; (5) the FG configuration (from step 1) is driven via a nonequilibrium MD (neMD) simulation toward the CG target; (6) the resulting FG configuration at the end of the neMD trajectory is then accepted or rejected according to a Metropolis criterion before returning to step 1. A symmetric two-ends momentum reversal prescription is used for the neMD trajectories of the FG system to guarantee that the CG-guided hybrid neMD-MC algorithm obeys microscopic detailed balance and rigorously yields the equilibrium Boltzmann distribution. The enhanced sampling achieved with the method is illustrated with a model system with hindered diffusion and explicit-solvent peptide simulations. Illustrative tests indicate that the method can yield a speedup of about 80 times for the model system and up to 21 times for polyalanine and (AAQAA)$_3$ in water.

I. INTRODUCTION

Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations of detailed atomic models is a powerful tool to study the properties of complex biomolecular systems.1–3 However, while simulations based on realistic all-atom (AA) models arguably offer the most detailed information, such models evolve on a complex and rugged energy surface, and their dynamics is often burdened by a host of slow processes. For this reason, achieving an adequate sampling of all the relevant configurations of a system from straight MD simulations is often challenging. Most proposed approaches to enhance sampling by accelerating the exploration of configurational space are either not guaranteed to yield Boltzmann equilibrium or only applicable to a small subset of degrees of freedom;4–13 see refs 14–17 for reviews. The Metropolis Monte Carlo (MC) algorithm, which consists of generating a random walk in configuration space from a set of proposed moves that are attempted and then accepted or rejected,18,19 also offers a powerful method to generate a Boltzmann equilibrium distribution that is not, in principle, limited by dynamical processes. In practice, its application is only limited by the richness of the set of proposed moves that are attempted for generating a random walk in configurational space. Nevertheless, attempts to sample large conformational changes with MC remain completely ineffective for complex molecular systems in the presence of explicit solvent due to the rejection of all proposed new configurations.

An appealing alternative approach is to consider a simplified coarse-grained (CG) model that relies on an effective many-body potential of mean force (PMF) associated with a reduced set of degrees of freedom.19–23 Because the many-body PMF governing a CG model is expected to be generally smoother than the energy surface of its AA counterpart, its dynamics is often intrinsically simpler and faster. However, except for the simplest situations, the effective many-body PMF associated with the CG degrees of freedom is not known exactly. Commonly, an approximate PMF is constructed, which affects many thermodynamic and kinetic results. Force-matching, whereby information extracted from the fine-grained (FG) model via the mapping function is transferred toward the CG model, provides a formal route to improve the many-body PMF underlying a CG model.22,23 However, the accuracy of the resulting CG model remains inherently limited by the functional form chosen to represent the many-body PMF.

Even assuming that a long trajectory of a CG model has been generated, exploiting this information to enhance the configura-
tional sampling of the FG model is not straightforward. In principle, a set of configurations for the FG model could be generated by reconstructing, via a “reverse coarse-graining” (rCG) operation, the missing degrees of freedom onto snapshots extracted from a CG trajectory. Unfortunately, rCG generally involves mostly ad hoc empirical modeling procedures that do not yield a Boltzmann equilibrium distribution. In this sense, the information does not flow from the CG to the FG model. More rigorous approaches allowing the information to flow back and forth between a FG model and its associated CG model have sought to couple the two levels via a resolution-exchange strategy. In particular, resolution-exchange with incremental coarsening relies on a potential energy that interpolates between the FG and CG models according to a parameter $\lambda$. In particular, resolution-exchange with incremental coarsening relies on a potential energy that interpolates between the FG and CG models according to a parameter $\lambda$. The hope of resolution-exchange is to combine the efficiency of CG simulation and the accuracy of a FG model by swapping configurations between the two representations, although achieving considerable gains in computational efficiency remains a challenge. One proposed route to improve the efficiency of the algorithm has been to first relax configurations before an exchange is attempted, but this procedure yields the correct canonical sampling only if the rate of exchanges is sufficiently low. An alternative avenue is the multiscale enhanced sampling (MSES) method of Zhang and Chen, in which a small set of CG auxiliary particles evolving on a simplified potential energy surface is coupled to an all-atom system via harmonic springs of variable strength within a replica-exchange simulation framework.

Despite these previous efforts, a robust framework that exploits the information from a CG model to generate the proper Boltzmann equilibrium distribution for a FG model is still needed. A promising avenue to address these issues is presented by the recent extensions to the MC algorithm that combines the strength of nonequilibrium molecular dynamics (neMD) and MC. In hybrid neMD-MC, the value of some chosen variable is altered gradually in a time-dependent controlled manner, while the remaining degrees of freedom are allowed to evolve freely according to the dynamical equations of motion. The configuration generated by the nonequilibrium switching process is then treated as a candidate that must be either accepted or rejected via a Metropolis criterion to generate the equilibrium Boltzmann distribution. This hybrid neMD-MC framework has most notably been used to formulate a constant-pH simulation algorithm.

Here, this idea is pursued further to design a novel multiscale method that exploits the evolution of a CG model to help generate target candidate configurations that are then used to guide the FG model during the neMD switching trajectories. The coarse-grained guided hybrid nonequilibrium molecular dynamics—Monte Carlo (CG-guided hybrid neMD-MC) algorithm, comprises six steps, illustrated schematically in Figure 1: (1) a FG configuration of an atomic system is dynamically propagated for some period of time using
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**Figure 1.** Flowchart of the CG-guided hybrid neMD-MC simulation method.
equilibrium MD; (2) the resulting FG configuration is mapped onto a simplified CG model; (3) the CG model is propagated for a brief time interval to yield a new CG configuration; (4) the resulting CG configuration is used as a target to guide the evolution of FG system; (5) the FG configuration (from step 1) is driven via a nonequilibrium MD (neMD) simulation toward the CG target; (6) the resulting FG configuration at the end of the neMD trajectory is then accepted or rejected according to a Metropolis criterion before returning to step 1. A symmetric two-ends momentum reversal prescription is used for the neMD trajectories of the FG system to guarantee that the CG-guided hybrid neMD-MC algorithm obeys microscopic detailed balance and rigorously yields the equilibrium Boltzmann distribution. It is shown that the CG-guided hybrid neMD-MC algorithm can be carefully engineered to achieve a reasonably high acceptance probability, even when using fairly short neMD switching trajectories. More importantly, because the transition probabilities are constructed to satisfy detailed balance, the CG-guided hybrid neMD-MC is guaranteed to yield the equilibrium Boltzmann distribution. In the next section, we formulate the theoretical basis of CG-guided hybrid neMD-MC. The performance of the method is illustrated with applications to simple model systems and solvated polypeptides.

II. THEORETICAL DEVELOPMENTS

Let the total energy of a system be \(E(x) = U(r) + K(p)\), where \(U\) is the potential energy, \(K\) is the kinetic energy, and \(x\) represents all of the degrees of freedom, including the coordinates \(r\) and the momenta \(p\). In Metropolis Monte Carlo, we seek to generate a stochastic random walk process that moves the system from a configuration \(x\) to a configuration \(x'\) and ensure that the random walk will obey detailed balance for the Boltzmann distribution:

\[
\pi(x) T(x \rightarrow x') = \pi(x') T(x' \rightarrow x) \tag{1}
\]

where \(\pi(x) = Q^{-1} \exp[-\beta E(x)]\) is the equilibrium probability \((\beta = 1/k_B T)\), and \(T\) is the transition probability. One common approach to construct such a random walk is to separate the transition probability into two stages: (1) the probability to generate a proposed move and (2) the probability to accept (or reject) this move,

\[
T(x \rightarrow x') = T_p(x \rightarrow x') T_s(x \rightarrow x') \tag{2}
\]

which leads to the condition

\[
\pi(x) T_p(x \rightarrow x') T_s(x \rightarrow x') = \pi(x') T_p(x' \rightarrow x) T_s(x' \rightarrow x) \tag{3}
\]

The probability of a proposed move describes the probability of reaction coordinates moving toward the target value. The probability to accept or reject the proposed move, however, is determined after the switch. The most common approach is when the transition probability of the proposed move is inherently symmetric, i.e., \(T_p(x \rightarrow x') = T_p(x' \rightarrow x)\), leading to the condition for the acceptance probability

\[
\pi(x) T_p(x \rightarrow x') = \pi(x') T_p(x' \rightarrow x) \tag{4}
\]

that is formally satisfied by the Metropolis criterion,

\[
T_s(x \rightarrow x') = \min[1, e^{-\beta [E(x')-E(x)]}] \tag{5}
\]

Examples include constant-pH simulation or biminima simulation, where the reaction coordinates of the neMD-MC can only choose predetermined values. For example, in a hybrid MD/neMD-MC constant-pH simulation, the reaction coordinate for neMD-MC is the protonation state. Therefore, the target value is always the deprotonated state when the initial value is the protonated state and vice versa. As a result, \(T_s\) is always 1. In the biminima simulation, the reaction coordinates are usually shifted for a fixed amount, and therefore \(T_s\) is also 1.

By substitution, it can be readily shown that

\[
\frac{T_p(x \rightarrow x')} {T_p(x' \rightarrow x)} T_s(x \rightarrow x') = \min[1, e^{-\beta [E(x')-E(x)]}] \tag{6}
\]

and therefore the Metropolis construct satisfies eq 1. In this case, if the constraint schedule is time reversible and the momentum is properly treated, the neMD-MC will generate the correct Boltzmann distribution.

If the long time scale relaxation of the system is known to be dominated by the dynamics along a set of coarse-grained (CG) variables, \(R\), it is possible to exploit this information to increase the efficiency of the hybrid neMD-MC algorithm. First, it is assumed that at any time, the CG variables \(R(t)\) are uniquely defined from \(x(t)\) through a mapping function as, \(R = M[x]\). The general idea is to separate the transition probability \(T(x \rightarrow x')\) into two distinct steps,

\[
T(x \rightarrow x') = T^{CG}(R \rightarrow R') T(x \rightarrow x'|R \rightarrow R') \tag{7}
\]

where \(T^{CG}\) represents the transition probability for a set of CG variables \(R\), and latter \(T\) is the transition probability for the FG variables \(x\), conditional on the transition \(R \rightarrow R'\) taking place. Here, the first step only involves changes in the CG variables.

The transition probability, \(T^{CG}\), is constructed such that it obeys the CG detailed balance relationship,

\[
\pi^{CG}(R) T^{CG}(R \rightarrow R') = \pi^{CG}(R') T^{CG}(R' \rightarrow R) \tag{8}
\]

where \(\pi^{CG}(R)\) is the equilibrium probability of the \(R\) coordinates associated with the CG model. Assuming that the CG model is constructed on the basis of a potential of mean force \(W(R)\), the probability ratio is,

\[
\frac{\pi^{CG}(R')}{\pi^{CG}(R)} = e^{-\beta [W(R')-W(R)]} \tag{9}
\]

In practice, a number of methods could be used to fulfill these conditions while propagating the CG coordinates (e.g., Brownian dynamics, Langevin dynamics, Metropolis MC, etc.). In the second step, the transition \(R \rightarrow R'\) in CG space must be used to guide the changes in the remaining atomic FG degrees of freedom. To formalize this idea, it is useful to rewrite the transition probability \(T\) as the product of the probability of a proposed move \(T_p\) and the probability to accept or reject the proposed move \(T_s\),

\[
T(x \rightarrow x'|R \rightarrow R') = T_p(x \rightarrow x'|R \rightarrow R') T_s(x \rightarrow x'|R \rightarrow R') \tag{10}
\]

In principle, generating the transition probability \(T_p\) for the proposed move in \(x\), conditional on the transition \(R \rightarrow R'\), could be a daunting task. Even simply mapping the set of FG variables \(x\) that is consistent with the CG variables \(R\), a problem that is commonly referred to as “reverse coarse graining”, can be extremely difficult in general. However, all issues with such a
reverse mapping problem are resolved naturally and rigorously if the system’s degrees of freedom $x(t)$ are generated from a neMD propagation under the influence of a time-dependent constraint dragging the CG variables from their initial $R$ to the final $R'$ value. During the neMD dynamical $R \rightarrow R'$ switching process, the evolution of the CG coordinates $R(t)$ is externally controlled and follows a fixed time-dependent schedule over a time interval $\tau_{\text{neMD}}$ while the remaining degrees of freedom are propagated freely

$$R(t) = R + (R' - R) \left( \frac{t}{\tau_{\text{neMD}}} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

The CG coordinates start in the initial state $R$ at the beginning of the neMD switch and are gradually altered in a time-dependent manner to reach the final state $R'$ at a time interval $\tau_{\text{neMD}}$ later. This process can be implemented by evolving the system under a time-dependent holonomic constraint, or by applying stiff harmonic restraints centered on $R(t)$. Finally, the CG-guided scheme must satisfy the global detailed balance relationship,

$$\frac{T_R(x \rightarrow x'|R \rightarrow R')}{T_R(x' \rightarrow x|R \rightarrow R')} = \frac{T_a(x \rightarrow x'|R \rightarrow R')}{T_a(x' \rightarrow x|R \rightarrow R')} \pi(x') \pi^{CG}(R' \rightarrow R) \pi(x) \pi^{CG}(R \rightarrow R')$$ \hspace{1cm} (12)

To guarantee detailed balance, the time-dependent $R(t)$ for the forward and backward switching, $R \rightarrow R'$ and $R' \rightarrow R$, must be consistent. This is automatically satisfied if $R(t)$ is constructed from the linear form eq 11. A nonlinear form could also be used for the switching, as long as it is symmetric and time-reversible. Assuming that the transition probability of the proposed move is symmetric,

$$\frac{T_R(x \rightarrow x'|R \rightarrow R')}{T_R(x' \rightarrow x|R \rightarrow R')} = 1$$ \hspace{1cm} (13)

which is verified if the dynamical propagation used to generate the neMD trajectory is deterministic and reversible (e.g., using a symplectic integrator), we obtain,

$$\frac{T_R(x \rightarrow x'|R \rightarrow R')}{T_R(x' \rightarrow x|R \rightarrow R')} = \frac{\pi(x') \pi^{CG}(R')}{\pi(x) \pi^{CG}(R)} = \frac{e^{-\beta[E(x')-W(R')]} - e^{-\beta[E(x)-W(R)]}}{e^{-\beta[E(x)-W(R)]}}$$ \hspace{1cm} (14)

It follows that in the CG-guided hybrid neMD-MC scheme, the Metropolis acceptance probability, $T_a$, is

$$T_a(x' \rightarrow x|R \rightarrow R) = \min[1, e^{-\beta[E(x')-E(x)+W(R')-W(R)]}]$$ \hspace{1cm} (15)

In the present development, it is assumed that the CG model is propagated via a thermalized dynamics satisfying the detailed balance condition eq 8. This is the reason why the energy difference between the CG configurations $(W(R') - W(R))$ appears in the acceptance criterion eq 15. Alternatively, one could carry out the dynamics of the CG system with a propagator that conserves energy, consistent with a microcanonical ensemble. In this case, the energy difference of CG configurations would not appear in the Metropolis criterion. It is also worth pointing out that the CG coordinates $R$ correspond to a subspace of the FG coordinate $x$ that is generated via the mapping function $M[x]$ through eq 11. In this sense, the acceptance criterion operates only in the FG space and this is the reason why the acceptance criterion does not involve a joint distribution in terms of $(x,R)$.

As illustrated in Figure 1, the CG-guided hybrid neMD-MC algorithm comprises the following steps: (1) Propagate the FG system with equilibrium MD using the potential energy $U(x)$ for a period of time $\tau_{\text{MD}}$; (2) extract the CG coordinates $R$ from $x$ via the mapping function $M$; (3) propagate the simple CG on the free energy surface $W(R)$ (for a predetermined time $\tau_{CG}$ or until a chosen stopping criterion is met) to yield the new CG configuration $R'$. (4) save the final CG coordinates $R'$ to use as a target for the neMD trajectory; (5) propagate the FG model from $x$ to $x'$ under the time-dependent constraint that $M[x]$ changes linearly from $R$ to $R'$ over an interval $\tau_{\text{neMD}}$ and accept or reject the final configuration of the FG system according to the Metropolis probability eq 15 before returning to step 1.

By construction, the CG-guided hybrid algorithm yields the correct Boltzmann equilibrium distribution for the FG system, regardless of the underlying CG model that is chosen to generate the attempted moves. Nonetheless, the choice of an optimal CG model to achieve the highest efficiency is an important issue that needs further consideration. Generally, important sampling techniques aim at achieving variance reduction in computer simulations by sampling high and low probability regions with equal frequency while recovering a correct distribution by assigning a proper statistical weight to the different regions. The CG-guided hybrid algorithm can be designed according to the same guiding principles by recognizing that the potential energy driving the CG model actually governs the statistical weight attributed to the coordinates $R$. For a given FG model, the "exact" PMF with respect to the CG degrees of freedom is defined as,

$$e^{-\beta W^{\text{exact}}(R)} \equiv C \int dR \delta(R - M(x)) e^{-\beta U(x)}$$ \hspace{1cm} (16)

where $C$ is some constant. If $W^{\text{exact}}(R)$ were known, then using it in the algorithm would result in an optimal CG model. This is because the CG-guided neMD simulation would sample all regions with equal probability, as demonstrated by the following development:

$$\frac{\langle T(x \rightarrow x) \rangle_R}{\langle T(x' \rightarrow x) \rangle_R} = \frac{\langle \min[1, e^{-\beta[E(x')-E(x)+W^{\text{exact}}(R)-W^{\text{exact}}(R')]}] \rangle_R}{\langle \min[1, e^{-\beta[E(x')-E(x)+W^{\text{exact}}(R)-W^{\text{exact}}(R')]}] \rangle_R} = e^{-\beta W^{\text{exact}}(R)-W^{\text{exact}}(R') + W^{\text{exact}}(R)-W^{\text{exact}}(R')} = 1$$ \hspace{1cm} (17)

This relationship is valid for any $R$ and $R'$ within the CG space. In addition, the average acceptance probability for neMD transitions between $R$ and $R'$, which also affects the simulation efficiency, is

$$\frac{2}{\langle T(x \rightarrow x) \rangle_R^{-1} + \langle T(x' \rightarrow x) \rangle_R^{-1}}$$ \hspace{1cm} (18)

When increasing $W(R)$ relative to $W(R')$, $\langle T(x' \rightarrow x) \rangle_R$ increases while $\langle T(x \rightarrow x) \rangle_R$ decreases and vice versa; eq 18 reaches a maximum if the average acceptance probability is roughly equal, when using $W^{\text{exact}}(R)$ for the CG model. In practice, the exact PMF with respect to the CG degrees of
freedom is not known, but the above argument shows that, in an importance sampling sense, the optimal efficiency will be achieved if one chooses a reasonable approximation to $W_{\text{exact}}(\mathbf{R})$ that accurately captures the dominant effects.

III. ILLUSTRATIVE SIMULATIONS

(a). Linear Chain of Linked Particles. A one-dimensional linear chain system with 12 particles linked together in a periodic potential was designed to test and illustrate the enhanced sampling from the CG-guided hybrid neMD-MC. The system is shown in Figure 2. The FG system comprises 12 particles, each with a mass of 1, and each pair of adjacent particles is connected by a bond. The only two types of forces acting on each particles are the bond force and the potential force. The potential force, shown in Figure 3, is defined by $U(i) = \cos(2\pi x_i) - \cos(10\pi x_i)/2$, where $x_i$ is the coordinate, and $i$ is the index of the particle (going from 1 to 12). The first part of $U(i)$ defines the periodicity. The second part introduces additional ruggedness on the energy surface. The bond force is defined by $E_{\text{bond}}(ij) = 20(r_{ij} - 1)^2|\delta(i-j)-1|$, where $r_{ij}$ is the distance of the two particles, and the function $\delta$ indicates that only adjacent particles are connected by a bond. The periodic potential energy divides the configuration space into infinite number of wells along the $x$ axis, with the width of each well being 1 and the energy barrier between adjacent wells being around 2.6. The vertical dashed line in Figure 3 shows the boundary of each well. Since the optimal bond length is also 1, the most stable configuration of the molecule is to occupy 12 adjacent wells, each with one particle. To diffuse along the $x$ axis, a couple of particles, if not all, have to cross the energy barrier at the same time. Therefore, the diffusion constant from MD simulations is extremely low (see Figure 6a). The CG system contains only three effective particles, each with a mass of 4. No additional potential force is applied in the CG system. The bond force for the CG system is given by $E_{\text{bond}}^{\text{CG}}(ij) = 20(r_{ij} - 1)^2|\delta(i-j)-1|$. The CG particles 1, 2, and 3 correspond to the centers of mass of FG particles 1–4, 5–8, and 9–12, respectively. The simulation of this system is carried out using an in-house code written in c++. The temperature $k_B T$ is set to 1; diffusion constant to 0.5; time step to 0.005; and collision rate to 2.0. The lengths of equilibrium MD, CG, and neMD simulations are all 1000 steps. A flowchart of the simulation for this system is shown in Figure 2. Each simulation is carried out for $10^6$ rounds.

(b). Solvated Peptide System. The algorithm was tested on four different AA peptide systems with explicit solvent: trialanine(AA3), penta-alanine(AA5), deca-alanine(AA10), and (AAQQAA)$_3$ (AAQQAA)3 is a 15 residue peptide, with residues 3, 8, and 13 as glutamine and the rest as alanine. The polypeptides have all $\alpha$-helical initial structures. For (AAQQAA)$_3$, different initial structures were prepared, one $\beta$-sheet like and another $\alpha$-helix like, as shown in Figure 4. AlA$_3$ and (AAQQAA)$_3$ have an acetylated N-terminus and an N-methylamide C-terminus. AlA$_3$ and AlA$_{10}$ have unblocked, charged termini. The potential energy of the solvated peptide systems is represented by the CHARMM36 force field$^{38}$ and TIP3 water potential.$^{39}$ AlA$_3$ is solvated in a 24 Å cubic box: AlA$_{10}$, 30 Å; AlA$_{10h}$, 40 Å; and (AAQQAA)$_3$, 60 Å. The solvent for the AlA$_3$ and AlA$_{10}$ systems is 1 M KCl aqueous solution. The CG systems for polypeptides and (AAQQAA)$_3$ systems include only a single atom type for the backbone $\alpha$ carbon (CGC). It has the atom mass of a normal carbon, 12.01. Each $\alpha$ carbon in the AA system is associated with one CGC atom. The total number of CGC atoms depends on the length of the peptide. Unless mentioned otherwise, the bond, angle and dihedral energy terms for CGC are empirically set as $E_{\text{bond}} = 100(r - 3.83)^2$, $E_{\text{angle}} = 94.84(\theta - 100.0)^2$, and $E_{\text{dihedral}} = 0.1(\chi - 55.0)^2$, where $r$, $\theta$, and $\chi$ are the bond length, angle, and dihedral, respectively. There is no water and no periodic boundary conditions (PBC) for the CG system.

The CHARMM program version c36b1$^{40}$ is used for MD simulations for both the AA and CG system. In-house Python scripts are used for the general control flow of the algorithm (generating CHARMM input files, controlling MC acceptance, etc.). Input files are generated at each attempted move with the newest parameters and constraints. Unbiased brute-force MD simulations are all 1000 steps. A flowchart of the simulation for this system is shown in Figure 2. Each simulation is carried out for $10^6$ rounds.
is generated by CG simulations. Theoretically, there could be a small “tracking” error between $R$ and $M[x]$ when harmonic restraints are used instead of holonomic constraints, but the tracking error is expected to be small with strong restraints, and does not affect the accuracy or the foundation of the theory. To propagate the CG system, a Langevin dynamics is used with a temperature of 300 K. The overall rotation and transition are removed from the CG configuration. In each cycle, the initial velocities of the CG model were generated according to the Maxwell distribution.

In the present implementation, the MD and neMD trajectories have a fixed length while the propagation of the CG coordinates is controlled by a “stopping criterion”. Choosing carefully the stopping criterion to control the magnitude of the displacement $R \rightarrow R'$ can greatly increase the efficiency of the method (see the Discussion section). Here, two different stopping criteria were tested. The first criterion is based on the root-mean-square-deviation (RMSD) of the set of CG coordinates; the CG simulation is stopped when the difference $\|R - R'\|$ exceeds a preset maximum allowed value, $\Delta_{RMSD}$. The second criterion is based on the deviation of angles within the set of CG coordinates and works also with a preset maximum allowed value, $\Delta_\theta$. Taking the (AAQAA)$_3$ system as an example, the CG system comprises 15 atoms and therefore 13 angles formed by adjacent atoms. The initial angles are recorded, the absolute change in degrees (between $-180$ to $180$) for each is monitored, and the CG simulation is stopped when the average absolute change exceeds the preset maximum allowed value. For Ala$_3$, Ala$_{10}$, and (AAQAA)$_3$, both were tested. The difference of efficiency is examined in the Discussion section. Lastly, to prevent sampling of the cis-peptide bond conformation, all target configurations generated by CG simulation with bond lengths shorter than 3.5 Å were discarded.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The general validity of the CG-guided hybrid neMD-MC was first ascertained using a simple model of a linear chain of linked particles in a one-dimensional periodic potential (Figure 2). In Figure 5, the distribution of each single particle, the center-of-mass of each particle group, and of the entire linear chain are plotted. For all degrees of freedom, the CG-guided hybrid neMD-MC and the equilibrium MD generate the exact same distributions. The global diffusion constant of the linked chain is a good indicator of the overall sampling efficiency of the simulation. For each scheme, 10 independent trajectories were generated. The diffusion constant along the $x$ axis is calculated as $\langle \|x_t - x_0\|^2 \rangle = D t$, where $\langle ... \rangle$ denotes the average of 10 trajectories. The evolution of the center-of-mass for the entire chain is shown in Figure 6. The diffusion constant is 0.53 per 1000 rounds for equilibrium MD and 43 for CG-guided hybrid neMD-MC. According to this estimator, the CG-guided hybrid neMD-MC gives a speedup of 80 times for this system. While the CG-guided hybrid neMD-MC algorithm can rigorously yield the proper Boltzmann equilibrium distributions, it is absolutely critical to satisfy all conditions of microscopic reversibility for a valid algorithm. For example, using a time-dependent constraint that is not symmetric with respect to $R$ and $R'$ for the switching schedule fails to reproduce the correct equilibrium distributions of each particle and particle group (results not shown).

The overall performance of the CG-guided hybrid neMD-MC algorithm was then examined for realistic atomic models of

Figure 4. Two initial structures of (AAQAA)$_3$. The magenta one has an all $\beta$-sheet configuration. The red one has an $\alpha$-helix for the first 10 residues and $\beta$-sheet for the rest of the 5 residues.
Biomolecules with explicit solvent. Those include tri-, penta-, and deca-alanine peptides solvated in water. To ascertain the formal correctness of the method, the population of the various conformations was calculated. The results of the simulation are summarized in Table 1. According to this analysis, CG-guided hybrid neMD-MC and equilibrium MD generate the same distributions. For a valid comparison, however, it is important that the equilibrium MD simulations be sufficiently long to reach proper convergence. The accuracy of the method was further examined for Ala3 using a set of simulation parameters for the CG-guided hybrid neMD-MC simulations ($\tau_{\text{MD}} = 2\text{ps}$, $\tau_{\text{neMD}} = 4\text{ps}$, and $\Delta_{\text{RMSD}} = 1.5$). The histogram of distances and angles of adjacent carbon-$\alpha$ is shown in Figure 7. The PMF along $\phi$ or $\psi$ is shown in Figure 8, and the 2D-PMF along $\phi$ and $\psi$ angles is shown in Figure 9. According to this analysis, the histograms and PMFs generated by CG-guided hybrid neMD-MC are very similar to those obtained from long equilibrium MD simulations. The similarity of the PMFs along $\phi$ or $\psi$ angles with previous results from Mu et al.43 provides additional confirmation of the validity of the CG-guided hybrid neMD-MC.

Transitions between the $\alpha$, $\beta$, pPII, and L-$\alpha$ backbone conformers are expected to be representative of the slowest motions of polyalanine peptides in solvent. To quantify the kinetic acceleration gained by the CG-guided hybrid neMD-MC algorithm, we define the speedup factor, $\eta$, as the ratio of the number of transition events from the CG-guided hybrid
For the sake of the comparison, the total simulation length ascribed to the CG-guided hybrid neMD-MC algorithm comprises the length of both the equilibrium MD and all of the attempted neMD switches (whether they are

Table 1. Results of Multi-alanine and (AAQAA)_3

| peptide/source | Δ<sup>a</sup> | n<sub>MD</sub> (ps) | n<sub>neMD</sub> (ps) | total (ns) | α<sup>d</sup> % | β % | ppII % | L-α % | g<sub>L</sub> | g<sub>η</sub> |
|----------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|-------------|-------|-------|-------|---------|---------|
| Ala<sub>3</sub> | 1.5         | 1               | 1               | 100      | 33          | 18    | 46    | 2     | 1.9     | 2.4     |
|                | 1.5         | 2               | 4               | 120      | 35          | 18    | 43    | 2     | 1.4     | 0.7     |
|                | 3           | 2               | 4               | 90       | 35          | 18    | 44    | 1     | 5.0     | 1.1     |
| equilibrium    |             |                 |                 | 120      | 32          | 18    | 46    | 2     | 1.7     | 1.1     |
| CG-guided hybrid neMD-MC | 10 | 2 | 4 | 160 | 24 | 18 | 50 | 6 | 5.0 | 0.7 |
|                | 7           | 2               | 4               | 160      | 19          | 20    | 56    | 2     | 1.5     | 0.7     |
|                | 15          | 2               | 8               | 160      | 31          | 17    | 41    | 9     | 6.9     | 0.4     |
| equilibrium    |             |                 |                 | 120      | 13          | 22    | 62    | 1     | 13      | 52      |
| (AAQAA)<sub>3</sub> | 5           | 2               | 2               | 20       | ε           |       |       |       | 5.7     | 2.3     |
|                | 5           | 2               | 4               | 20       | 6.7         | 2.6   |       |       |         |         |
|                | 10          | 2               | 2               | 20       | 10          | 2.0   |       |       |         |         |
|                | 10          | 2               | 4               | 20       | 15          | 1.3   |       |       |         |         |
| equilibrium    |             |                 |                 | 20       |             |       |       |       |         |         |
| (AAQAA)<sub>3</sub> | 15          | 4               | 8               | 120      | 30          | 20    | 41    | 8     | 8.7     | 9.8     |
|                | 15          | 4               | 8               | 120      | 32          | 20    | 34    | 12    | 21      | 5.9     |
| equilibrium    |             |                 |                 | 100      | 82          | 4     | 12    | 2     |         |         |
| (AAQAA)<sub>3</sub> | 15          | 4               | 8               | 120      | 30          | 20    | 41    | 8     | 8.7     | 9.8     |
|                |             |                 |                 | 120      | 32          | 20    | 34    | 12    | 21      | 5.9     |
|                |             |                 |                 | 100      | 82          | 4     | 12    | 2     |         |         |

“Using Δ<sub>B</sub>M for Ala<sub>3</sub> and Δ<sub>3</sub> for others.”

The simulation of (AAQAA)<sub>3</sub> had an initial structure with an α-helix (Figure 4, right). The following row presents result for CG-guided hybrid neMD-MC of (AAQAA)<sub>3</sub> with an initial structure with a β-sheet (Figure 4, left). Equilibrium MD simulation has initial structure with an α-helix.

*Paper of Best et al.*

*α*<sub>is</sub> defined as −160 < ϕ < −20, −120 < ψ < 50 (Figure 9b, blue box); β < −180 < ϕ < −90, 50 < ψ < 180, or −180 < ϕ < −90, −180 < ψ < −120, or 160 < ϕ < 180, 110 < ψ < 180 (Figure 9b, cyan box); ppII < −90 < ϕ < −20, 50 < ψ < 180, or −90 < ϕ < −20, −180 < ψ < −120 (Figure 9b, magenta box); L-α 0 < ϕ < 120, −30 < ψ < 90 (Figure 9b, yellow box). For Ala<sub>3</sub> and (AAQAA)<sub>3</sub>, termini are blocked, and the averages of all residues are shown; for Ala<sub>5</sub> and Ala<sub>10</sub>, averages of all but two terminal residues are shown.

*Trajectories are too short to generate useful statistics.*

For Ala<sub>3</sub> and Ala<sub>5</sub>, only transitions of the middle residue are counted. For Ala<sub>10</sub>, the average of transitions of residues 3–8 is counted. For (AAQAA)<sub>3</sub>, the average of transitions of residues 3–13 is counted.
Figure 9. 2D PMF along $\phi$ and $\psi$ angles for Ala$_5$. The x axis is $\phi$-angle and the y axis $\psi$-angle. Subplots a–c present the PMF calculated from the simulation results of equilibrium MD; d–f of CG-guided hybrid neMD-MC. Subplots a and d present the PMF of residue 1; b and e of residue 2; and c and f of residue 3. The color key is shown in a. Definitions of $\alpha$ (blue box), $\beta$ (cyan box), ppII (magenta box), and L-$\alpha$ (yellow box) are shown in b.

accepted or rejected). To facilitate the discussion, it is useful to distinguish “global transitions”, corresponding to interconversions between the $\alpha$, L-$\alpha$, $\beta$, and ppII conformers (not including interconversions between $\beta$ and ppII), and “local transition”, corresponding to the interconversion between $\beta$ and ppII. In polyalanine, transitions from $\alpha$ to $\beta$ are opposed by a large energy barrier. However, the energy barrier for transitions from ppII to $\beta$ is relatively small. Accordingly, we determined the global and local speedup factors, $\eta_{\text{global}}$ and $\eta_{\text{local}}$ from the simulation data. On the basis of this analysis, the sampling efficiency appears to vary with the length of MD, $\tau_{\text{MD}}$, length of neMD, $\tau_{\text{neMD}}$, and the maximum allowed displacements $\Delta r$ or $\Delta_{\text{MD}}$. In almost all of the cases examined here, CG-guided hybrid neMD-MC has higher efficiency than straight equilibrium MD, going up to 15-fold acceleration.

According to eq 17, the accuracy of the underlying CG model affects the overall efficiency of the CG-guided hybrid neMD-MC algorithm. Specifically, optimal efficiency is achieved if the effective energy surface of the CG model is a reasonably good approximation to the exact PMF with respect to those degrees of freedom. To illustrate this important point, we examined the impact of an improved CG model on the sampling efficiency of the Ala$_5$ peptide. The energy terms of the improved CG model were set to $E_{\text{bond}} = 400$ ($r - 3.83$)$^2$, $E_{\text{angle}} = 94.84$ ($\theta - 100.0$)$^2$, and $E_{\text{dihedral}} = 0.1$ ($\chi - 55.0$)$^2$. The evolution of average population of $\alpha$-$\beta$, L-$\alpha$, and helix is shown in Figure 12. The CG-guided hybrid neMD-MC simulations starting from different conformations appear to quickly converge toward unique results. In contrast, the configurational exploration from equilibrium MD does not converge.

One process observed in the CG-guided hybrid neMD-MC simulations of the solvated peptides is the $cis$--$trans$ isomerization of the peptide linkages. This was somewhat unexpected because this process, opposed by a high energy barrier, is commonly never observed in equilibrium MD simulations at room temperature. In retrospect, however, it is clear that the occurrence of some $cis$ configuration is entirely consistent with the Boltzmann equilibrium distribution of the polypeptide system. In this sense, long equilibrium MD simulations only explore a fraction of all possible configurational space; they generate the equilibrium distribution of a polypeptide that is kinetically trapped in the $trans$ state. In contrast, CG-guided...
three successive residues are in $\alpha$-helix. The structure contains an $\alpha$-helix secondary structure when $
abla$-helix secondary structure is unfolded by a simulation method that truly seeks to enhance conformational sampling. This observation illustrates vividly the power unleashed by a simulation method that truly seeks to enhance configurational sampling. However, there are certainly circumstances where one would realistically want to restrict the conformational sampling to the subspace that is explored by equilibrium MD. In particular, in the present simulations we explicitly prevented cis–trans isomerization at the level of the CG model. Moreover, one could restrict the conformational sampling to the subspace accessible to equilibrium MD in various ways, for example, by introducing confinement potentials on different degrees of freedom.

The present set of simulations allows us to draw some general principles regarding the main factors affecting the performance of the CG-guided hybrid neMD-MC algorithm. The magnitude of the displacement $R \rightarrow R'$ that should be taken from the CG model to generated proposed MC moves for the AA system is one particularly important factor to consider. Generally, one should avoid using a target configuration $R'$ corresponding to an exceedingly large conformational transition since the proposed move is unlikely to be accepted during the Metropolis step. However, using a target configuration $R'$ corresponding to a minuscule conformational transition is clearly unproductive and should also be avoided. The magnitude of the displacement can be controlled by using a fixed propagation time of the CG model ($\tau_{\text{neMD}}$) or by using a “stopping criterion” based on a maximum allowed displacement. One of the stopping criteria used here was based on a maximum allowed value for RMSD of the CG coordinates, $\Delta_{\text{RMSD}}$. For all of the solvated peptide systems examined here, the sampling performance was better with a RMSD-based stopping criterion than with a fixed propagation time (results not shown). The reason is that the change in conformation can vary substantially for CG simulation propagated by a fixed amount of time. However, RMSD-based stopping criteria can also become suboptimal for long peptides like Ala$_{10}$ or (AAQAA)$_3$ because global transitions for residues near the middle of the chain are not well sampled. The latter do not occur during the brief CG simulations as they correspond to RMSD values that greatly exceed the stopping criterion $\Delta_{\text{RMSD}}$. This observation led us to introduce an angle-based stopping criterion with a maximum allowed change in the angle, $\Delta_\theta$. The CG-guided hybrid neMD-MC simulations of deca-alanine and (AAQAA)$_3$ using the angle-dependent stopping criterion outperformed the ones with RMSD-dependent stopping criterion, at least 2-fold (results not shown). The angle-based stopping criterion succeeds in enhancing the sampling of these transitions because it treats the conformational change within each residue more uniformly than a RMSD-based stopping criterion. Consequently, a broad range of motions is accelerated, including large conformational change transition involving residues that are in the middle of the chain. It might be possible to design alternative stopping criterion to further accelerate global transition near the middle of the chain.

Ultimately, the performance of the CG-guided hybrid neMD-MC algorithm is sensitive to both the magnitude of the CG displacement, $R \rightarrow R'$, and the length of the neMD switching trajectory, $\tau_{\text{neMD}}$. A large $\tau_{\text{neMD}}$ is definitely required to obtain a reasonably high acceptance probability for large proposed MC moves. The simulations reported in Table 1 were designed so that a large $\tau_{\text{neMD}}$ was always used when a large displacement is allowed. In the final analysis, while the optimal combination of $\tau_{\text{neMD}}$ and maximum allowed CG displacement is probably system-dependent, it should be possible to adaptively refine the value of these parameters depending on the type of conformational change that needs to be enhanced. Never-
V. CONCLUSIONS

A typical AA model of a complex bimolecular system often contains a very large number of degrees of freedom. Straight unbiased MD simulations progress very slowly in this high dimensional space and are often inefficient to adequately sample all of the meaningful configurations. The CG-guided hybrid neMD-MC simulation described here offers a promising departure from equilibrium MD. It aims to increase the sampling efficiency by using the evolution of the simpler CG model as a guide to drive any chosen motions with the AA system that are thought to be intrinsically slow.

The CG-guided hybrid neMD-MC method produces a Boltzmann equilibrium sampling that is rigorously valid, for any reasonable choice of effective energy surface for the CG model. Technically, the CG model is used only as a guide to generate proposed MC moves that are then accepted or rejected via a Metropolis probability eq 15. Thus, a key feature of the CG-guided hybrid neMD-MC method is that the imperfections and inaccuracies of the CG model do not formally affect the ultimate outcome of the simulation. For example, the parameters of the CG model of polyalanine were not optimized, and the latter is clearly imperfect and inaccurate, as shown from the differences between the distributions with respect to the CG coordinates (Figure 7). Nonetheless, in trying to optimize the performance of the method, it is crucial to make sure that the microscopic reversibility of the CG simulation imposed by eq 8 is rigorously maintained.

A wide range of avenues could be explored to build on the present CG-guided hybrid neMD-MC framework. Of paramount importance is the choice of CG degrees of freedom and how they relate to slow motions within the AA system. To investigate a novel AA system with unknown properties, a sound strategy would be to first try to detect the relevant slow modes from the limited information from AA simulation data in order to construct a meaningful CG model, which could then be progressively refined. Another practical aspect that also affects the efficiency of the algorithm is the magnitude of the motion from the CG simulation that is utilized to generate proposed MC moves. Clearly, no substantial gain in sampling efficiency can be expected if the CG model is used only to generate very small displacements. However, being overly ambitious has also some drawbacks; the acceptance probability may become vanishingly small if very large displacements of the CG coordinates are used to drive the AA system with neMD simulations. To resolve this issue, one may conceive of an adaptive procedure aimed at maximizing the acceptance probability and the sampling efficacy of the CG-guided hybrid neMD-MC. In practice, the motion of the CG variables may be controlled either via the length of the CG trajectory or be determined on the basis of some maximum displacement criterion. For the solvated Ala$_3$, Ala$_5$, and (AAQAA)$_3$ systems, the best results were obtained with an angle dependent criterion; the CG simulations were stopped when the average difference of the angle was larger than a certain cutoff. This was chosen such that all residues were treated equivalently in terms of transition size.

Ultimately, while the CG-guided hybrid neMD-MC is rigorously valid for any CG model, the overall efficiency will be better if the effective energy surface of the CG model is reasonably accurate. For example, the average acceptance ratio in Figure 10 and Table 1 shows the additional gains in sampling efficiency with an improved CG model. However, if the CG model always generates proposed moves that are energetically forbidden for the AA model then most will be rejected, and the method becomes very inefficient. Our formal analysis based on eq 17 shows that the optimal choice is formally achieved when the effective energy surface of the CG model corresponds to the exact many-body PMF computed with respect to the CG degrees of freedom within the AA system. While the exact PMF with respect to the CG degrees of freedom is generally unknown, this analysis offers a direct route to improve efficiency by progressively constructing a reasonable approximation that captures the dominant effects. To achieve maximum sampling efficiency with the CG-guided hybrid neMD-MC algorithm, one could adopt an adaptive procedure in which the information accumulated from the AA simulation would serve to progressively improve the parameters of the CG model. For example, one could adjust the function $W^\text{exact}(R)$ “on-the-fly” during the simulation using a force-matching algorithm. Future work will explore this avenue in more detail.
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