Single rhodium atoms anchored in micropores for efficient transformation of methane under mild conditions
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Catalytic transformation of CH₄ under a mild condition is significant for efficient utilization of shale gas under the circumstance of switching raw materials of chemical industries to shale gas. Here, we report the transformation of CH₄ to acetic acid and methanol through coupling of CH₄, CO and O₂ on single-site Rh₁O₅ anchored in microporous aluminosilicates in solution at ≤150 °C. The activity of these singly dispersed precious metal sites for production of organic oxygenates can reach about 0.10 acetic acid molecules on a Rh₁O₅ site per second at 150 °C with a selectivity of ~70% for production of acetic acid. It is higher than the activity of free Rh cations by >1000 times. Computational studies suggest that the first C–H bond of CH₄ is activated by Rh₁O₅ anchored on the wall of micropores of ZSM-5; the formed CH₃ then couples with CO and OH, to produce acetic acid over a low activation barrier.
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Acetic acid is one of the important intermediates of chemical industries. The global demand is 6.5 million metric tons per year (Mt/a). Currently, it is produced from methanol carbonylation, in which CO reacts with methanol to form acetic acid. However, methanol is synthesized from CO and H₂, which are produced from steam reforming processes of either methane or coal at high temperatures.10 Replacement of the current high-temperature catalysis toward production of acetic acid with catalysis at low temperatures would be feasible if a catalytic process on a heterogeneous catalyst could efficiently, directly transform CH₄ to acetic acid under a mild condition. Transformations of methane to methanol and acetic acid on isolated palladium and rhodium atoms anchored in zeolite in liquid solution were simultaneously explored in our group since early 2012. We reported oxidation of methane to form methanol on Pd₁O₄ anchored in ZSM-5 in aqueous solution at low temperature in 2016. Other than the mild oxidation of CH₄ to CH₃OH with supported isolated Pd atoms anchored in ZSM-5, we have simultaneously studied oxidation of methane through coupling with CO and O₂ to methanol and acetic acid on isolated Rh atom anchored in ZSM-5 since 2012 and the Rh₁O₄/ZSM-5 catalyst was synthesized and its high activity was confirmed and its structure was identified before summer of 2014.

Formation of single sites is a significant approach to developing catalyst toward high catalytic activity and selectivity. Separately anchoring catalytic sites (M₁) on an oxide support (M₁/AₓOᵧ) tunes the electronic state of catalytic sites of metal atoms (M₁), which are typically continuously packed on surface of a metal nanoparticle or periodically located in a surface lattice of a metal oxide nanoparticle (M₁Oₓ). Compared to continuously packed M atoms on surface of a metal nanoparticle (···M-M-M····) and periodically packed M cations in surface lattice of a metal oxide nanoparticle (···O-M₁-O-M₁-O-M₁-O-M₁-O····), these isolated cation sites (M₁′) anchored on surface of a substrate oxide (AₓOᵧ), O-A-O-M₁′-O-A-O-A, exhibit a distinctly different coordination of M atoms. Thus, those isolated cations (M₁′) could exhibit a catalytic performance distinctly different from a metal oxide nanoparticle (M₁Oₓ) or a metal nanoparticle.

We separately anchored Rh cations on the internal surface of micropores of an aluminosilicate, H-ZSM-5 through ion exchange between Rh³⁺ in solution and H⁺ on the internal surface of micropore, similar to the isolated palladium atoms in ZSM-5. As these Bronsted sites are typically isolated, Rh³⁺ cations can be separately anchored on Bronsted sites. Production of acetic acid through coupling CH₄ with CO and O₂ (2CH₄+2CO+O₂→2CH₃COOH) is efficiently catalyzed by these singly dispersed Rh sites, Rh₁O₄. Different from rhodium atoms anchored on nonporous silicate and other nonporous oxide, this heterogeneous catalyst exhibits high activity in transforming CH₄ to acetic acid, about 0.1 CH₃COOH molecules per Rh site per second with a selectivity of ~70% for production of acetic acid. Isotope-labeled experiments using CH₃CH₄ and CH₃CO show that CH₃ of CH₃COOH forms from activation of CH₄ to CH₃ and C=O of CH₃COOH from insertion CO to Rh-OH. Density functional theory (DFT) calculation suggests that activation of C–H of CH₄ and O–O of O₂ are performed on a Rh₁ atom and thus CH₂=O and HO are formed on the Rh₁ atom. Insertion of CO to Rh₁–O bond of –Rh₁–OH to form a –Rh₁–C=O–OH on Rh₁ atom; the formed –Rh₁–C=O–OH couples with CH₃ adsorbed on the same Rh₁ atom, generating the first product molecule, CH₃C=O–OH. The left –Rh₁–=O activates the second CH₄ to form CH₂ and HO to the formed second acetic acid molecule.

Results

Preparation of isolated Rh catalytic site in ZSM-5. Rh cations were introduced to the internal surface of micropores of ZSM-5 through a method integrating vacuum pumping and incipient wetness impregnation (IWI). To minimize the amount of Rh cations to be deposited on external surface of a ZSM-5 particle, solution of Rh³⁺ with the same volume as the pore volume of ZSM-5 was slowly dropped to ZSM-5 powder with a syringe pump when the catalyst powder was continuously stirred and remained in vacuum. During IWI, Rh cations exchanged with singly dispersed Bronsted acid sites of H-ZSM-5, which was prepared through calcining NH₄-ZSM-5 at 400 °C for 12 h. After the introduction of Rh³⁺, the samples were further dried in an oven at 80 °C for 3 h and calcined in air at 550 °C for 3 h, forming the catalyst, Rh/ZSM-5. The evolution of the chemical environment of Rh cations in ZSM-5 was shown in Supplementary Fig. 1. The concentration of Rh cations in the as-synthesized catalyst was measured through inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES). Before an ICP-AES measurement, 28 mg of 0.10 wt%Rh/ZSM-5 was dissolved in aqua regia. For catalyst with a nominal mass ratio of Rh to aluminosilicate, 0.10 wt%, the measured weight percent was 0.10 wt%, which suggests no obvious loss of Rh atoms during the preparation. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) studies of the as-synthesized 0.10 wt%Rh/ZSM-5 show the lack of Rh atoms in surface region of catalyst particles (red spectrum in Fig. 1c). The lack of Rh atoms in surface region revealed with XPS together with the 0.10 wt%Rh in the as-synthesized catalyst measured with ICP-AES suggests that these introduced Rh atoms were anchored in micropores of ZSM-5 particles instead of the external surface of ZSM-5 particles. At a high loading (0.50 wt%Rh/ZSM-5), unfortunately rhodium oxide nanoparticles (2–4 nm) were formed as evidenced by the low contrast patches in transmission electron microscopy (TEM) image (Fig. 1b), consistent with the observed Rh 3d photoemission feature in studies of sample using XPS (black spectrum in Fig. 1c). The existence of Rh atoms in the micropores of ZSM-5 after catalysis was confirmed by the measured concentration of Rh atoms remained in micropores with ICP-AES, which was 0.098 %. Extended X-ray absorption fine structure spectroscopy (EXAFS) was used to characterize the chemical environment of anchored Rh atoms of used 0.10 wt%Rh/ZSM-5 (the catalyst after reaction). After catalysis, the used catalyst powder was centrifuged and thus washed with deionized H₂O several times and then dried in oven at 200 °C. The obtained powder was used for EXAFS studies in flowing He at 150 °C. r-space spectrum of K-edge of Rh atoms of the used catalyst show that Rh atoms bond with oxygen atoms and the average coordination number of oxygen atoms to a Rh atom is CN(Rh-O) of 5.23 ± 0.52 (Fig. 1e, f). Notably, no contribution of Rh–Rh metal bonds was needed to fit the r-space spectrum of Rh K-edge (Fig. 1e), suggesting that there is no evidence for formation of Rh–Rh metal bonds. This is consistent with the oxidation state of Rh shown in Fig. 1h. Similar to literature, the second shell of rhodium oxide at 2.60 Å in r-
**Fig. 1** Structural characterization of isolated rhodium atoms in ZSM-5. **a** TEM image of particles of 0.10 wt%Rh/ZSM-5; scale bar: 10 nm. **b** TEM image of particles of 0.50 wt%Rh/ZSM-5; scale bar: 10 nm. **c** Rh 3d XPS peak of 0.10 wt%Rh/ZSM-5 and 0.50 wt%Rh/ZSM-5. **d** Energy space of Rh K-edge of 0.10 wt%Rh/ZSM-5 and Rh foil (reference sample) of X-ray absorption near edge spectra (XANES). **e** r-space of Rh K-edge of experimental (black) and calculated (red) data of the k²-weighted Rh K-edge EXAFS spectra of used 0.10 wt%Rh/ZSM-5. **f** Coordination number and bond length on average of the used 0.10 wt%Rh/ZSM-5. **g** r-space of Rh K-edge of experimental (black) and calculated (red) data of the k²-weighted Rh K-edge EXAFS spectra of Rh metal foil. **h** r-space of Rh K-edge of experimental (black) and calculated (red) data of the k²-weighted Rh K-edge EXAFS spectra of Rh₂O₃ nanoparticles supported on Al₂O₃.
space spectrum (Fig. 1h) was clearly observed in our reference sample RhO₂ nanoparticles. However, there is lack of Rh–O–Rh peak at 2.60 Å in the r-space spectrum of 0.10 wt%Rh/ZSM-5 (black line in Fig. 1e). It shows Rh atoms of our used catalyst do not have the second coordination shell of Rh atoms in terms of lack of Rh–O–Rh and thus there are no rhodium oxide nanoclusters formed in our used catalyst (0.10 wt%Rh/ZSM-5). Inspired by work of Gates group⁴,⁵, particularly the assignment of intensity at about 2.7 Å in r-space spectrum of Rh K-edge to Rh–O–Al with a Rh–(O–)Al distance of 3.02 Å, we fit the small peak (a) at about 2.7 Å in Fig. 1e to Rh–O–Al. In addition, we fit the intensity at about 3.3 Å (b) in r-space of Rh K-edge to Rh–O–Si (Fig. 1e); the coordination numbers of Al to Rh through O atom and Si to Rh through O atom are 1.55 ± 0.66 and 1.68 ± 0.71, respectively; the distances of Al and Si atoms to the Rh atoms are 3.168 ± 0.032 Å and 3.514 ± 0.072 Å, respectively. Thus, these EXAFS studies show that Rh atoms of 0.10 wt%Rh/ZSM-5 are singly dispersed in micropores of ZSM-5 and each Rh atom bond with about five oxygen atoms on average. In the following paragraphs, sometimes we used RhO₂@ZSM-5 when we need to point out the coordination environment of the Rh atoms on average. Supplementary Fig. 1f schematically shows the structure of a catalytic site of RhO₂ anchored in micropores of ZSM-5.

The replacement of Brønsted acid sites (BAS) of H-ZSM-5 by Rh cations was confirmed with ¹H NMR (nuclear magnetic resonance) of 0.10 wt%Rh/ZSM-5 and H-ZSM-5. As shown in Supplementary Fig. 2a, the peak at 4.6 ppm was assigned to BAS site of H-ZSM-5 (Supplementary Table 1)²⁶,²⁷. It was not obviously observed in the same region of chemical shift in Supplementary Fig. 2b. This difference in Supplementary Fig. 2 suggests that the loss of some BAS sites due to ion exchange of Rh³⁺ with H⁺ in the IWI process.

**Catalytic performance of RhO₂@ZSM-5 at 150 °C.** Catalytic activities of pure H-ZSM-5 and as-prepared Rh/ZSM-5 catalysts were measured by adding 28 mg catalyst to 10 mL deionized water in a Parr high-pressure reactor (Supplementary Fig. 3b). The aqueous solution with dispersed catalyst particles was continuously, vigorously stirred by a magnetic bar coated with plastic materials at a speed of 600 rpm during catalysis. A mixture of CH₄, CO, and O₂ with different partial pressure was introduced to the Parr reactor at room temperature. A portion of these reactant gases with a relatively high-pressure can diffuse to micropores of catalyst dispersed in the solvent (H₂O or dodecane) and thus be catalyzed. Then, the reactor was heated to a set temperature. The reaction temperature of the solvent was directly controlled. This chemical transformation was performed for certain amount of time. The pressure, reaction temperature, and reaction time of each measurement of catalytic performance were given in the following figures and tables. Catalytic reaction under each condition was repeated at least four times.

After each catalytic reaction, the solution in the Parr reactor consisting the used catalyst powder and liquid products was filtered to separate the used catalyst powder. The clear liquid obtained after filtering the catalyst powder mainly contains acetic acid, methanol, formic acid, and solvent. The product solution was analyzed by ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR. The measurement was calibrated with 3-(trimethylsilyl)-1-propanesulfonic acid sodium salt (DSS) with chemical shift at δ = 0.00 ppm. A DSS solution was prepared by dissolving DSS to D₂O, making a solution with concentration of DSS in D₂O at 0.020 wt%. Typically, 0.70 mL of the obtained clear liquid solution was mixed with 0.10 mL of as-prepared DSS solution in an NMR tube before NMR analysis. The identified oxide products were acetic acid (δ = 2.08 ppm), formic acid (δ = 8.28 ppm) and methanol (δ = 3.33 ppm). A solvent suppression program was applied for minimizing the signal originating from H₂O, similar to our previous studies¹¹,²⁸. Supplementary Fig. 4 is a representative NMR spectrum of solution after catalysis; peak of DSS was marked on it. To quantify the amounts of products, standard curves of acetic acid, formic acid, and methanol were carefully established and shown in Supplementary Fig. 5. The analysis was described in the Methods section.

With 28 mg of 0.10 wt%Rh/ZSM-5, 226.1 μmol of total products (acetic acid, formic acid, and methanol) were produced at 150 °C in the first hour (entry 4 in Supplementary Table 2). Under the same condition, unfortunately the yields of the total organic compounds formed from 0.50 wt%Rh/ZSM-5 (entry 5 in Supplementary Table 2) are similar to 0.10 wt%Rh/ZSM-5. The similarity in catalytic performances of the two catalysts shows that the rhodium oxide nanoparticles formed on the surface of 0.50 wt%Rh/ZSM-5 are not active for this transformation. In other words, the catalytic activity of 0.10 wt%Rh/ZSM-5 in the production of acetic acid was contributed from the RhO₂ sites anchored in micropores of ZSM-5 instead of rhodium oxide nanoparticles supported on the external surface of ZSM-5. As the conversions of CH₄ in these studies of Fig. 2 and Table 1 are lower than 20%, we used these conversions and yields to calculate the turn-over rates with the equation:

\[
\text{TOR} = \frac{\text{Number of produced molecules}}{\text{Time of catalytic reaction (S)} \times \text{Number of active sites (RhO₂)}}
\]

This calculation is based on an assumption that all loaded Rh atoms are active sites. This calculation was further described in Supplementary Methods. The activities for production of acetic acid and total organic oxygenates including acetic acid, methanol and formic acid at 150 °C are 0.070 and 0.10 molecules per Rh atom per second (entry 2 of Table 1), respectively.
Table 1 Comparison of TOR for formation of acetic acid or oxygenates including acetic acid, formic acid and methanol on 0.10 wt %Rh/ZSM-5

| Entry | Catalyst | Catalytic temperature (°C) | TOR of acetic acid (molecule per site per second) | TOR of organic oxygenate (number of molecules per site per second) | Selectivity for production of acetic acid |
|-------|----------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| 1     | 0.10 wt%Rh/ZSM-5⁴ | 150 | 0.040⁵ | 0.099⁵ | 40.0% |
| 2     | 0.10 wt%Rh/ZSM-5⁴ | 150 | 0.070⁵ | 0.10⁵ | 70.1% |
| 3     | Rh(NO3)₃⁴ | 150 | 6.3 × 10⁻⁶ d | 2.4 × 10⁻⁵ d | 26.3% |

⁴ Here the organic oxygenates include acetic acid, formic acid and methanol (CO2 was not included). Calculations of TORs of these catalysts were described in Supplementary Methods.

⁵ The catalysis condition of 0.10 wt%Rh/ZSM-5 mixture of 50 bar CH4, 10 bar CO, 8 bar O2; 2 h; the yields of acetic acid and all organic oxygenates were plotted in Fig. 2.

To check whether Rh atoms anchored in micropores of ZSM-5 could detach from ZSM-5, the clear solution was obtained by filtration for removal of Rh/ZSM-5 catalyst particles from the solution after catalysis at 150 °C for 12 h. ICP-AES test of this solution shows that only 2% of the total Rh atoms of 28 mg of 0.10 wt%Rh/ZSM-5 detached from ZSM-5 to solution. Thus, most Rh atoms remained in ZSM-5 after catalysis. Due to the negligible amount of Rh3+ detached from 0.10 wt%Rh/ZSM-5 and the extremely low TOF of free Rh3+ in solution evidenced in entry 3 in Table 1, contribution of the detached Rh3+ to the measured catalytic activity in formation of acetic acid is negligible. It suggests that the anchored Rh atoms are the active sites.

To further confirm the contribution of Rh3O5 sites to the formation of acetic acid, control experiments were performed on these catalysts including 28 mg of H-ZSM-5, 28 mg of 0.10 wt% Rh/SiO2 and 28 mg of 0.10 wt%Rh/Al2O3 under the exactly same condition as that of 28 mg 0.10 wt%Rh/ZSM-5 at 150 °C in the mixture of 10 bar CH4, 10 bar CO and 8 bar O2 for 4 h. As shown in Table 2, the amounts of acetic acid, formic acid, or methanol produced on 28 mg of 0.10 wt%Rh/SiO2 and 28 mg of 0.10 wt% Rh/Al2O3 are lower than 10 μmol, which are at the level of error bar. All the reported yields in this communication are the measured products formed from 28 mg catalyst. The yield could be shown as μmol/gram catalyst by multiplying a factor of 1000 mg/gram. For example, the measured yields of methanol and acetic acid on 28 mg of 0.10%Rh/SiO2 are 8.70 and 6.13 μmol, respectively; if they are multiplied by the factor 1000 mg/gram, they seem to indicate that 310 μmol methanol and 218 μmol acetic acid could form from one gram of 0.10%Rh/SiO2 catalyst. Here, the multiplication is not meaningful since the values in Table 2 are at the uncertainty level. As the measured 8.70 μmol methanol and 6.13 μmol acetic acid from 28 mg 0.10%Rh/SiO2 catalyst are in the range of error bar of these measurements, these values are not used to predict activity of 1 gram to compare with other catalysts. Even if the multiplication factor were applied, the activity of 0.10%Rh/SiO2 is significantly lower than 0.10 wt%Rh/ZSM-5. For instance, 218 μmol acetic acid from per gram 0.10% Rh/SiO2 calculated from the measured 6.13 μmol acetic acid per 28 mg is still much lower than 5000 μmol acetic acid from per gram 0.10 wt%Rh/ZSM-5 calculated from the measured 140 μmol acetic acid per 28 mg catalyst. In conclusion, these control samples in terms of Rh supported on these nonporous oxides and even on a couple of commonly used reducible oxides are not active for the production of acetic acid or methanol from coupling of CH4 with CO and O2. Thus, these studies suggest the significant contribution of Rh3O5 sites encapsulated in ZSM-5 to the formation of acetic acid.

Table 2 Catalytic performances of 28 mg catalysts of 0.10 wt%Rh supported on different supports in a mixture of 10 bar CH4, 10 bar CO, and 8 bar O2 at 150 °C for 3 h with 10 mL H2O in a high-pressure reactor

| Entry | Samples | Methanol (µmol) | Formic acid (µmol) | Acetic acid (µmol) | Total products (µmol) |
|-------|---------|----------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| 1     | H-ZSM-5 | 3.67           | 2.28               | 1.87              | 7.82 |
| 2     | 0.10%Rh/SiO2 | 8.70 | 4.62 | 6.13 | 19.46 |
| 3     | 0.10%Rh/Al2O3 | 5.68 | 0.91 | 3.05 | 9.64 |

To further confirm the direct participation of molecular O2, we performed catalysis at different pressures of O2 (2, 4, 8, 12, and 16 bar) but all other conditions are the same in these parallel studies; in each of these parallel studies, 28 mg of 0.10 wt%Rh/ZSM-5 was added to 10 mL H2O. The reaction was performed at 150 °C for 2 h in a mixture of 35 bar CH4, 10 bar CO and different pressures of O2, in order to investigate the correlation of yields of products (acetic acid, formic acid, and methanol) with pressure of O2. As shown in Fig. 3a, highest yields of acetic acid and formic acid were obtained from the catalysis using 8 bar O2. The increase of yield of acetic acid and formic acid along the increase of O2 pressure shows that O2 does participate in the formation of acetic acid.

Participation of molecular O2 in synthesis of acetic acid. Here, we used low-cost molecular oxygen (O2) or compressed air as oxidant in oxidative transformation of CH4 and CO to acetic acid. To further confirm the direct participation of molecular O2, we performed catalysis at different pressures of O2 (2, 4, 8, 12, and 16 bar) but all other conditions are the same in these parallel studies; in each of these parallel studies, 28 mg of 0.10 wt%Rh/ZSM-5 was added to 10 mL H2O. The reaction was performed at 150 °C for 2 h in a mixture of 35 bar CH4, 10 bar CO and different pressures of O2, in order to investigate the correlation of yields of products (acetic acid, formic acid, and methanol) with pressure of O2. As shown in Fig. 3a, highest yields of acetic acid and formic acid were obtained from the catalysis using 8 bar O2. The increase of yield of acetic acid and formic acid along the increase of O2 pressure shows that O2 does participate in the formation of acetic acid.
acid and formic acid. It is expected that high coverage of oxygen atoms on a Rh atom achieved with high-pressure O₂ could saturate Rh₁ atom with oxygen atoms, which poisons catalytic sites and thus results in a low yield of oxygenates at high pressure of O₂.

Direct participation of CO to synthesis of acetic acid. To further confirm the participation of CO in the formation of acetic acid, influence of the partial pressure of CO on both the conversion of CH₄ and selectivity for production of acetic acid was investigated through parallel studies (Fig. 3b). In each of these studies, the partial pressures of CH₄ and O₂ were fixed at 50 and 8 bar, respectively. However, the pressures of CO in the five studies are 2, 5, 10, 15, and 30 bar. The increase of the amount of acetic acid while CO pressure was increased from 2 to 10 bar suggests that CO directly participates in the formation of acetic acid. However, the lack of activity for production of acetic acid at 30 bar CO showed that catalyst sites were blocked at such a high-pressure of CO. Clearly, CO molecules must have directly interacted with the Rh cations. At high-pressure of CO, high coverage of CO could saturate the coordination of a Rh₁ atom and thus deactivate this catalyst. We measured the concentrations of Rh in the liquid (α) after filtration of the catalyst experienced the catalysis at 10 bar CO, 50 bar CH₄, and 8 bar O₂ for 2.5 h, and in another liquid (β) after filtration of the catalyst experienced the catalysis at 30 bar CO, 50 bar CH₄, and 8 bar O₂. The amounts of Rh atoms in the liquids α and β are 2.0% and 13.0% of all Rh atoms of 28 mg of 0.10 wt%Rh/ZSM-5, respectively. Thus, the much larger loss of Rh atoms at high-pressure of CO (30 bar) suggests that Rh atoms formed carbonyl in CO at high-pressure and some of these formed rhodium carbonyl species desorbed from micropores and then dissolved in the solution. Thus, some Rh species detached at high pressure of CO.

A molecular-level evidence on direct participation of CO in the synthesis of acetic acid is the following isotope experiment. 0.7 bar ¹²CO (Aldrich, 99%), total pressure 2.5 bar was mixed with 6.3 bar of CO, 14 bar CH₄, and 8 bar O₂ for catalysis of 10 h (Fig. 4a). As the chemical shift of ¹³CH₃OH in ¹³C spectrum can be readily distinguished from acetic acid and formic acid, 40 μmol of ¹³CH₃OH (Aldrich, 99 at%) was added to the collected solution after catalysis as a reference to quantify the amount of potential isotope products ¹³CH₃COOH, CH₃₁²COOH, or HCOOH. As the unlabeled CO gas has a natural abundance of ¹³C of 1.10%, a small amount of CH₃₁²COOH or HCOOH can form from the natural 1.10% ¹³CO of unlabeled CO gas tank. Contrast experiments using the mixture of 7 bar of CO, 14 bar CH₄, and 8 bar O₂ were performed (Fig. 4b). The intensity ratio of the formed CH₃₁³COOH to ¹³CH₃OH in the solution of isotope experiment using ¹³CO (Fig. 4a) is obviously larger than those formed in the contrast experiment using unlabeled CO (Fig. 4b) by 6.4 times; in addition, the intensity ratio of HCOOH to ¹³CH₃OH in isotope experiment (Fig. 4a) is higher than that in the contrast experiment by 2.6 times (Fig. 4b). They suggested that the C atoms of CH₃₁³COOH and HC1³COOH came from CO molecules. Notably, the intensity ratio of CH₃₁³COOH to reference ¹³CH₃OH in isotope experiment (Fig. 4a) is the same as the ratio of the contrast experiment (Fig. 4b). It suggests that the C atoms of CH₃ of CH₃₁³COOH do not come from the reactant CO.

One potential pathway to form acetic acid is the coupling of CO with a formed formic acid molecule; if so, yield of acetic acid should increase along the increase of CO pressure. However, as shown in Fig. 3b yield of acetic acid decreases along with the increase of CO pressure (≥10 bar). Thus, coupling formic acid with CO to form acetic acid is not a pathway. To further check the possibility of reaction between HCOOH and CO to form acetic acid, we performed three control experiments at 150 °C for 3 h under the following conditions including mixture of 28 mg 0.10 wt%Rh/ZSM-5, 108 μmol HCOOH, and 10 mL DI H₂O without any CO, mixture of 28 mg 0.10 wt%Rh/ZSM-5, 108 μmol HCOOH, and 10 mL DI H₂O with 5 bar CO, and mixture of 28 mg 0.10 wt%Rh/ZSM-5, 108 μmol HCOOH, and 10 mL DI H₂O with 10 bar CO. As shown in Supplementary Fig. 7, no acetic acid was formed in these experiments.

Direct participation of CH₄ in formation of CH₃COOH. The influence of CH₄ pressure on the catalytic performance was explored at 150 °C under a mixture of 10 bar CO and 8 bar O₂ and different pressure of CH₄ (10, 20, 30, 40, and 50 bar) for 2 h (Fig. 3c). The progressive increase of yield of acetic acid along the increase of CH₄ pressure shows that CH₄ directly participates into the formation of acetic acid (Fig. 3c), which excluded a pathway in which CH₄ couples with formic acid to form acetic acid. If acetic acid were formed from a coupling of formic acid with CH₄, the amount of formic acid should have decreased along the increase of pressure of CH₄ since more formic acid should have been consumed along with the increased amount of CH₄.

To elucidate the source of carbon atoms at the molecular level, ¹³CH₄ isotope experiments were performed. 0.7 bar ¹³CH₄ (Aldrich, 99 at%) was mixed with 13.3 bar of CH₄, 7.0 bar CO, and 8.0 bar O₂ for isotope experiment on 28 mg of 0.10 wt%Rh/ZSM-5 at 170 °C for 10 h (Fig. 4c). A control experiment using 14
bar unlabeled CH4 was performed under the exactly same catalytic condition (Fig. 4d). 13CH3COOH were formed in the two experiments. However, their ratio of 13CH3COOH to reference (13CH3OH) when 13CH4 was used (Fig. 4c), is much larger than that when unlabeled CH4 was used (Fig. 4d). This difference shows that the carbon atom of CH3 of acetic acid comes from CH4 instead of CO. If C atoms of C=O of CH3COOH could come from CH4, the ratio of CH313COOH to reference (13CH3OH) in Fig. 4c would be much larger than the ratio in Fig. 4d since the experiment of Fig. 4d contains significant amount of 12CH4. In fact, in both experiments (Fig. 4c, d), we did observe small amount of CH313COOH but there is no difference between their ratios to reference (13CH3OH) in the experiments of both Fig. 4c, d. Here, the formation of CH313COOH is due to the natural abundance of 13C in unlabeled COH. Thus, CO does not contribute to the formation of CH3 of CH3COOH.

Direct coupling of reactants for formation of acetic acid. It is noted that the amounts of the observed methanol in any of our studies of this work are always much lower than acetic acid and formic acid. One potential argument for the low yield of methanol could be that methanol has been formed but it could have acted as an intermediate compound in the formation of acetic acid; in other words, formic acid could have acted as an intermediate compound in the formation of methanol by CO. Additionally, H13COOH was observed clearly in Fig. 5d, suggesting that 13CH3OH can be oxidized to H13COOH under the current catalytic condition. We also performed the dry reforming of CH4 by CO2 by introducing 30 bar CH4 and 30 bar CO2 to the reactor containing 10 mL H2O and well dispersed 28 mg of 0.10 wt%Rh/ZSM-5. The reactor was heated to 150 °C and remained at 150 °C for 5 h and then cooled to 10 °C in ice water. As shown in Supplementary Fig. 6d, NMR test shows none of these products (acetic acid, formic acid, and methanol) was formed.

Ready separation of products from hydrophobic solvent. The above chemical transformation was performed in aqueous solution. As the products of this chemical transformation, acetic acid, formic acid, and methanol are hydrophilic, it is not readily to separate these hydrophilic products from water. To make these hydrophilic products automatically separate from solvent after synthesis, a hydrophobic solvent, n-dodecane was used. As shown

![Fig. 4](image-url)
under a mixture of CH₄, CO, and O₂ if the transformation of CH₄, CO, and O₂ follows pathway α.

Potential catalytic products formed from 0.10 wt%Rh/ZSM-5 in the mixture of CH₃OH and H₂O in solution under mixture of CH₄, CO, and O₂ if the transformation of CH₄, CO, and O₂ follows pathway α, β, or both α and β.

Additional features of the mild oxidation of methane in solution:
- CH₄ and CO can be oxidized with different oxidants including O₂, concentrated H₂SO₄, or a superacid by using homogeneous catalysts, in which acetic acid and other products (formic acid and methanol) were formed. One control experiment using Rh(NO₃)₃ was done (entry 3 in Table 1); the turnover rate (TOR) and methanol were formed. One control experiment using Rh(NO₃)₃ was done (entry 3 in Table 1); the turn-over-rate (TOR) and methanol were formed. One control experiment using Rh(NO₃)₃ was done (entry 3 in Table 1); the turn-over-rate (TOR) and methanol were formed. One control experiment using Rh(NO₃)₃ was done (entry 3 in Table 1); the turn-over-rate (TOR) and methanol were formed.
- In Supplementary Fig. 8, 28 mg of 0.10 wt%Rh/ZSM-5 in 10 mL n-dodecane in the mixture of 30 bar CH₄, 10 bar CO, and 5 bar O₂ is definitely active for the formation of acetic acid. The significant advantage of using the hydrophobic solvent is that the hydrophilic products of this reaction including acetic acid, methanol, and formic acid can be readily separated from the hydrophobic solvent, without or with a low energy cost.

Feature of this mild oxidation of methane in solution. CH₄ and CO can be oxidized with different oxidants including O₂, concentrated H₂SO₄, or a superacid by using homogeneous catalysts, in which acetic acid and other products (formic acid and methanol) were formed. One control experiment using Rh(NO₃)₃ was done (entry 3 in Table 1); the turn-over-rate (TOR) and methanol were formed. One control experiment using Rh(NO₃)₃ was done (entry 3 in Table 1); the turn-over-rate (TOR) and methanol were formed. One control experiment using Rh(NO₃)₃ was done (entry 3 in Table 1); the turn-over-rate (TOR) and methanol were formed.

Understanding reaction mechanism at molecular level. Based on the coordination environment of Rh₁ atoms suggested by EXAFS studies, we used a structural model whose Rh atom bonds to three oxygen atoms of the Si–O–Si framework and two oxygen atoms of reactant, making Rh₁ exhibit positive to 0.927 [e]

Isotope experiments suggest two necessary steps: activation of C–H bond of CH₄ to form CH₃ and insertion of CO to form...
Based on these experimental findings, reaction pathway on the Rh1O5 with lowest energy was simulated and transition states were located (Fig. 6). The energy profile and catalytic cycle are illustrated in Fig. 6b, c, respectively. The specific energies are listed in Supplementary Table 3. We found that the Rh1O5 active site (Fig. 6a) participates in the reaction by first activating C–H bond of methane (c2 and c3) with an activation barrier of 1.29 eV. It forms a methyl and hydroxyl adsorbed on the Rh atom (c4). Then, a CO molecule can insert to the Rh–O bond of Rh–O–H, forming a COOH adsorbed on Rh (c6). Then COOH can couple with the adsorbed methyl with a barrier of 1.11 eV (c7), forming a weakly adsorbed acetic acid (c8). Subsequent desorption yields the first CH3COOH molecule. The remaining Rh–O oxo group (c9) activates C–H bond of the second CH4 molecule to form a methyl and a hydroxyl group adsorbed on the Rh atom (c12). Following, or concurrently to this step, the second CO molecule binds to the unsaturated Rh site (c13). Then, the adsorbed CO inserts into the methyl–Rh bond with a barrier of 1.54 eV (c14), forming an acetyl group (c15). Finally, the hydroxyl group couples with carbon atom of C=O of the acetyl group to form the second acetic acid with a barrier of 0.72 eV (c17). Desorption of the second acetic acid molecule recovers the Rh site (c18), which then bonds with a molecular O2, forming a Rh1O5 site (c1) ready for next catalytic cycle.

Our experimental studies show that high pressure of CO (Fig. 3b) in fact decreased the activity for producing acetic acid and finally poisoned the active sites. Computational study explored the observed influence of CO pressure on the catalytic activity. It suggests that saturated coordination of Rh with CO molecules under CO gas at a high pressure can poison a Rh1 site and thus prevent it from forming acetic acid. In addition, the DFT calculations show the activation energy for C–H of CH4 is largely increased if the Rh1 pre-adsorbed two CO molecules at high-

**Fig. 6** Computational studies of reaction pathway. Minimum-energy paths and reaction schematic for formation of acetic acid from CH4, CO, and O2 on Rh1O5/ZSM-5. The formation of acetic acid is illustrated in a catalytic cycle starting with the singly dispersed Rh1O5 site. The balanced reaction cycle consumes one O2, two CH4, and two CO to make two CH3COOH molecules (2CH4+2CO+O2=2CH3COOH). a The optimized catalytic sites, Rh1O5 anchored on Brønsted site in microspore of ZSM-5. b Energy profile for pathway of transforming CH4, CO, and O2 to CH3COOH. c Intermediates and transition states for a complete catalytic cycle, starting with Rh1O5 (c1). Transition states are highlighted with the double dagger symbols.
pressure of CO (Supplementary Fig. 10b). More information can be found in Supplementary Discussion. In summary, the heterogeneous catalyst, 0.10 wt%Rh/ZSM-5 consisting of singly dispersed RhO$_x$ sites anchored in the micropores of microporous aluminate silicate was prepared. The anchored RhO$_x$ sites exhibit unprecedented catalytic activity in synthesis of acetic acid higher than free Rh$^{3+}$ in aqueous solution by >1000 times under mild conditions. This heterogeneous catalytic process opens a new route to synthesize acetic acid through direct utilization of methane under a mild condition at 150 °C or lower by using a low-cost oxidant, O$_2$ or air instead of current industrial process of synthesizing acetic acid through carbonylation of methanol.

Methods

Preparation and characterization of catalyst. Two steps were involved in the preparation of a Rh/ZSM-5 catalyst. The first step is the preparation of H-ZSM-5 by calcining zeolite NH$_4$-ZSM-5 with a SiO$_2$/Al$_2$O$_3$ ratio of 23 (Alfa Aesar) in air at 400 °C for 12 h. Four Rh/ZSM-5 catalysts with different Rh concentrations (0.01 wt %, 0.05 wt %, 0.10 wt %, 0.50 wt %) were synthesized through a method integrating vacuum pumping and IWI of aqueous solution containing certain amount of rhodium(III) nitrate hydrate (~36% Rh basis, Sigma-Aldrich) at room temperature. Typically, 500 mg of H-ZSM-5 was placed in a 30 mL three-port flask. The three ports were sealed with three corks. One port was connected to a vacuum pump. Before injection of Rh(NO$_3$)$_3$ solution, air in the flask containing 100 mg H-ZSM-5 was purged for 3−5 h by a vacuum pump when the H-ZSM-5 powder was being stirred. The size of stirring bar is 6 mm for maximizing the amount of H-ZSM-5 to be stirred. 0.3 mL of 1.0 mg/mL Rh(NO$_3$)$_3$م aqueous solution was added to the H-ZSM-5, which had been pumped for 3−5 h. The injection needle quickly reached the powder to avoid the dispersion of solution to the wall of flask since the environment of flask is in vacuum. In addition, the tip of needle was buried in the middle of H-ZSM-5 powder during injection, minimizing diffusion of solution to the wall of flask. During the injection, the H-ZSM-5 should be continuously stirred. After the introduction of Rh$^{3+}$, the samples were further dried in an oven at 80 °C for 3 h and calcined in air at 550 °C for 3 h. Supplementary Fig. 1 schematically shows the evolution of the structure of the anchored Rh atoms in ZSM-5 of 0.10 wt%Rh/ZSM-5. Actual Rh contents were determined by inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-AES). TEM (FEI Titan 80–300) was used to characterize the morphology of the catalyst. EXAFS of Rh K-edge was taken at SPring-8. For EXAFS studies, the used catalyst of 0.10 wt%Rh/ZSM-5 was measured when the catalyst was kept at 150 °C in the flow of pure He. The adsorption fine structure spectra of Rh K-edge were fitted using IFEFFIT package and FEFF6 theory. Reference samples including Rh metal foil and Rh$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles supported on Al$_2$O$_3$ were studied with EXAFS. Their r-space spectra of these reference samples were fitted with the same software. XPS was performed using a PHI5000 VersaProbe Spectrometer with monochromated Al Kα as X-ray source.

Catalytic reactions. Transformation of methane to acetic acid on 0.10 wt%Rh/ZSM-5 was performed in a Parr high-pressure reactor (Series 4790, Parr) containing a reaction liner and pressure gauge. Supplementary Fig. 11 shows that Rh$^{3+}$-ZSM-5 was added to 10 mL H$_2$O in the reactor. After evacuating the air left in the reactor by flowing CH$_4$ (99.9%, Matheson) and purging for five times, the system was pressurized with reactant gases in a sequence of CH$_4$, CO (99.9%, Matheson) and O$_2$ (99.9%, Matheson) to their desired pressures. The high-pressure reactor was completely sealed and then heated to the desired reaction temperature (typically 150 °C) by placing it in an oil bath. The temperature controller of the heating plate (VWR International) was used to measure the temperature of solution in the Parr reactor through the thermocouple placed in solution of Parr reactor and to control the temperature through outputting tunable power to the heating plate. Once the desired catalysis temperature was reached, the solution was vigorously stirred at 1200 rpm and was maintained at the reaction temperature for certain amount of time. After completion of the reaction, the vessel was cooled in an ice bath to a temperature below 10 °C to minimize the loss of volatile products. The solution with liquid products was filtered from the catalyst powder. The clean liquid containing acetic acid, formic acid, and DSS residual signal at $\delta = 0.0$ ppm. Supplementary Fig. 4 is a typically NMR spectrum of products formed from CH$_4$ transformation. Obviously, the peak of DSS can be identified. Typically, 0.7 mL collected filtrate and 0.1 mL of D$_2$O (with 0.02 wt% DSS) were mixed in an NMR tube for analysis. The identified oxygenated products were acetic acid ($\delta = 2.0$ ppm), formic acid ($\delta = 8.24$ ppm) and methanol ($\delta = 3.34$ ppm). A solvent suppression program was applied in order to minimize the signal originating from H$_2$O, similar to our previous studies. To quantify the products, standard curves were built using the same method as that of our previous report. To establish a standard curve of a specific product such as acetic acid, a series of standard solutions with different concentrations of acetic acid were prepared. For instance, to establish a standard curve acetic acid, a series of standard solutions with different concentrations of acetic acid were plotted as a function of the concentrations of acetic acid. This graph is a standard curve of acetic acid (Supplementary Fig. 5a), formic acid (Supplementary Fig. 5b), and methanol (Supplementary Fig. 5c).

Concentration of a product (such as acetic acid) in a solution after catalysis in Parr reactor was determined by locating the ratio of the peak area of the product to the area of DSS on the y-axis of the standard curve (such as Supplementary Fig. 5a) and then finding the corresponding value on x-axis, which is the amount of the product of the solution after a catalysis in the unit of μmol. Gases in the head of Parr reactor after catalysis were analyzed with GC. Supplementary Table 1 presents the amounts of all reactants before catalysis and all products and left reactants after the catalysis. This catalysis was performed on 28 mg 0.10 wt%Rh/ZSM-5 dispersed in 10 mL deionized H$_2$O under 50 bar CH$_4$, 10 bar CO, and 8 bar O$_2$ for 3 h. Bruker Topspin 3.5 software was used to acquire, process, and visualize the data.

Data availability. All data are available from the authors upon reasonable request.
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