PEO Coatings with Active Protection Based on In-Situ Formed LDH-Nanocoatings
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In the present work, for the first time Zn-Al layered double hydroxide (LDH) nanocoatings were grown in-situ on the surface and in the pores of plasma electrolytic oxidation (PEO) layer and then loaded with a corrosion inhibitor to provide active protection. The developed PEO-based conversion process ensures partial sealing of the pores and provides an effective corrosion inhibition on demand leading to increased fault-tolerance and self-healing properties. The structure, morphology and composition of the LDH-sealed PEO coatings on 2024 aluminium alloy were investigated using SEM, TEM/EDX, XRD and GDOES. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy and scanning vibrating electrode techniques show a remarkable increase in the corrosion resistance and fault tolerance when PEO coating is sealed with a LDH-inhibitor treatment.
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Plasma electrolytic oxidation (PEO) is an advanced anodizing process which leads to the formation of ceramic-like coatings on the surface of many light alloys. The coatings form on the surface as a result of short-lived micro-discharges at high voltages in low-concentrated eco-friendly electrolytes. The oxide layers developed by PEO are usually hard, strongly-adherent to the substrate and confer both corrosion and wear resistance. The properties of PEO layers can be tuned for various applications such as biomedical, photocatalytic, thermal and decorative playing with composition of electrolytes and electrical parameters. In spite of many advantages, the PEO coatings are usually composed of relatively porous layers as a result of discharge breakdowns and gas evolution during the coating growth. Such an intrinsic porosity of the layer often compromises the barrier properties of even relatively thick coatings. Moreover, the pores are larger as the coating thickness increases in many cases. Several attempts have been made to reduce or seal such porosity. Optimization of current/voltage regimes, changing the electrolyte composition including systems with particles, different post-treatments and duplex coatings were tried among other strategies. However, in spite of offering certain improvement to the barrier properties, none of these approaches ensures active protection. Without active protection, the acceptance of PEO coatings for many high demanding applications such as aeronautics is limited. In previous works, a post-treatment immersion of PEO coated Mg alloys into inhibitor containing solution was tried to achieve active protection.

Recently, layered double hydroxides (LDH) have been widely investigated as environmentally-friendly containers for active corrosion protection of metals, in the form of conversion films and as inhibiting pigments being incorporated into the polymer coatings. The LDH particles offer a twofold effect of absorbing excess of chloride anions from the corrosive environment and releasing the corrosion inhibitor on demand. The LDH layer was successfully loaded with a corrosion inhibitor via anion-exchange process forming a nano-structured layer next to the metal surface. The application of LDH-based conversion process for sealing the pores in a tartaric acid (TSA) anodic layer on aluminum was also reported in a recent work. In this case, the LDH structures are formed in the pores of anodic layer and on its surface. The barrier properties of the anodic coating are drastically improved similarly to the hot-water sealing but active protection effect is also additionally achieved when vanadate ions are placed between the LDH layers via an ion-exchange reaction. The superior performance of such a sealing process was demonstrated in accelerated corrosion tests and using electrochemical methods. In the present work for the first time we propose an inhibitor-containing LDH-based post treatment for sealing the PEO layers aiming at additional active protection acting on demand and improved barrier properties. For this purpose the PEO treated AA2024 aluminum alloy was sealed with Zn-Al LDH and vanadate as an inhibitor (with possible efficiency of almost 100% in comparison with chromate treatment) was intercalated into the LDH galleries. This system was chosen as a model one for the aluminum protection, due to the combination of effective barrier properties, provided by PEO layer, and active protection, provided by LDH with inhibitor. The structure, morphology and properties of the PEO-LDH layer were investigated using diffraction and microscopic techniques, while the active corrosion protection and increased fault tolerance were studied by combination of integral and localized electrochemical techniques.

Experimental

Chemicals.—The chemicals used in this work are: zinc nitrate hexahydrate (Zn(NO3)2·6H2O, >99%, Carl Roth, Germany), ammonium nitrate (NH4NO3, >98.5%, Merck KGaA, Germany), ammonia solution (NH3 H2O, 25%, Merck KGaA, Germany), sodium vana- dium oxide (NaVO3, 96%, Alfa Aesar, Germany), sodium metasilicate (Na2SiO3, 44–47% SiO2, Sigma Aldrich Chemie GmbH, Germany), sodium hydroxide (NaOH, >99%, Merck KGaA, Germany), sodium dihydrogen phosphate (Na2HPO4, 98%, Chempur, Germany), nitric acid (HNO3, 65%, Merck KGaA, Germany) and sodium chloride (NaCl, 99.98%, Fisher Chemical, UK). The solvent was deionized water.

Specimens preparation.—The used substrate was 2024-T3 aluminum alloy with a nominal composition in wt% : 3.8–4.9 Cu, 0.3–0.91 Zn, 0.2–0.25 Mg, 0.075–0.22 Mn, 0.1–0.25 Si, 0.0001–0.015 Fe, and balance Al.
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The used substrate was 2024-T3 aluminum alloy with a nominal composition in wt% : 3.8–4.9 Cu, 0.3–0.91 Zn, 0.2–0.25 Mg, 0.075–0.22 Mn, 0.1–0.25 Si, 0.0001–0.015 Fe, and balance Al.

In several recent studies, LDH films were prepared on bare aluminum alloys as a result of a conversion process and improved
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0.5 Fe, 0.1 Cr, 1.2–1.8 Mg, 0.3–0.9 Mn, 0.5 Si, 0.15 Ti, 0.25 Zn, 0.15 others and Al balance. The samples were cut from sheets into 20 mm × 30 mm × 2 mm coupons. Prior to the PEO processing, specimens were etched in 20 wt% sodium hydroxide solution for 60 s, rinsed in deionized water, desmutted in 65 wt% nitric acid solution for 60 s, rinsed in deionized water again and, finally, dried with warm air.

The PEO processing was conducted at a constant voltage of 400 V for 15 min using a pulsed DC power supply with a pulse ratio of ton:toff = 1 ms : 9 ms and a current density limit of 70 mA cm⁻². The electrolyte, containing 9 g L⁻¹ Na₂SiO₃, 2 g L⁻¹ NaOH and 11 g L⁻¹ Na₂H₂P₂O₇ dissolved in deionized water (methodology adopted from others), was continuously stirred during the treatment and kept at ± 2 °C by a water cooling system. The counter-electrode was made of stainless steel. After PEO treatment, the specimens were rinsed in deionized water and dried in warm air.

Zn-Al LDH-nitrate (LDH-NO₃) was grown on the surface of PEO covered AA2024 using the methodology adapted from the previous work on sealing TSA anodized aluminum. Briefly, Zn(NO₃)₂ · 6H₂O (0.01 mol) and NH₄NO₃ (0.06 mol) were dissolved in deionized water (100 ml); then the pH of the solution was adjusted to 6.5 by dropwise addition of 1 M NaOH and 11 g L⁻¹ Na₂H₂PO₄ · 2H₂O dissolved in deionized water (methodology adopted from others), was continuously stirred during the treatment and kept at 50 ± 2 °C by a water cooling system. The counter-electrode was made of stainless steel. After PEO treatment, the specimens were coated with PEO and sealed with LDH-VOx in frame of this work. Its structure and properties for active corrosion properties for operation at sealing TSA anodized aluminum were further discussed.

Techniques.—Tescan Vega3 SB scanning electron microscope (SEM) equipped with energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectrometer, operating at 17 kV was used to evaluate morphology and composition of the coatings. Cross-sections were prepared using standard metallographic techniques. Crystalline phases formed during PEO and LDH sealing were characterized using a PANalytical X’Pert Powder diffractometer (XRD, Ni-filtered Cu Kα radiation, step size 0.02°, dwell time ~1.5 s) at room temperature.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was carried out using FEI CM200 microscope operating at 200 kV. The cross-section specimens for TEM were prepared using focused ion beam (FIB) milling as described elsewhere. Glow discharge optical emission spectroscopy (GDOES) was used to analyze the coating composition profile across the formed coating layers (HORIBA GD-Profilor 2 with an anode of 4 mm in diameter).

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was conducted in a stirred 0.5 wt% NaCl solution at 22 ± 0.5 °C using a Gill AC computer-controlled potentiostat. All potentials were measured against a Ag/AgCl reference electrode (3 M KCl as internal electrolyte) and a platinum mesh as the counter electrode. The measurements were conducted after different immersion times with a sinusoidal perturbation of 10 mV RMS and a frequency sweep from 30 kHz to 0.01 Hz. For all measurements the coated specimen served as the working electrode with an exposed area of 0.5 cm². The measurements were repeated at least twice to ensure reproducibility. ZView software was used for the analysis of impedance spectra (goodness of fit χ² < 0.01). The errors for the individual parameters of the equivalent electrical circuits (such as CPE and R) were <5%.

Scanning vibrating electrode technique (SVET) measurements were performed using Applicable Electronics Inc. (USA) instrument controlled with the ASET-LV4 software from ScienceWares (USA). The experimental details of the technique are described elsewhere. The QuickGrid (version 1.2) free software was used for the SVET map analysis.

Results and Discussion

PEO coating characterization and LDH formation.—The cross-sectional back-scattered electrons (BSE) micrograph and X-ray diffraction patterns of a typical PEO coating formed on AA2024 are demonstrated in Fig. 1. It can be seen that the layer has relatively non-uniform thickness in the range of 3–6 μm. The coating is mainly composed of amorphous and γ-Al₂O₃ (Fig. 1b) in agreement with previous research and consists of a typical PEO structure with an inner denser barrier layer followed by a more porous outer layer with through going discharge channels.

The SEM micrographs (top view) of the PEO coating on the surface of AA2024 aluminum alloy (PEO sample), PEO sample with LDH-NO₃ and PEO sample with LDH-VOx are demonstrated in Fig. 1. It can be seen that the layer has relatively non-uniform thickness in the range of 3–6 μm. The coating is mainly composed of amorphous and γ-Al₂O₃ (Fig. 1b) in agreement with previous research and consists of a typical PEO structure with an inner denser barrier layer followed by a more porous outer layer with through going discharge channels.
Figure 2. SEM micrographs of PEO sample (a), PEO with LDH-NO$_3$ (b) and PEO with LDH-VO$_x$ (c).

LDH-NO$_3$ and PEO sample with LDH-VO$_x$ modification are shown in Fig. 2.

The PEO sample (Fig. 2a) shows the typical surface morphology of plasma electrolytic oxidation layers with pores and some micro-cracks on the surface at the sites of the discharge channels, due to the gas evolution through the molten oxide material during the PEO process and thermal stresses, respectively. The surface appearance significantly changes after the LDH sealing treatment. A dense layer of flakes covers the PEO coating (Fig. 2b). The flake-like morphology persists after the loading of LDH with vanadates anions (Fig. 2c).

The distribution of the main elements (Al from the alloy, P and Si from the PEO coating, Zn and V from LDH treatment) was characterized with a qualitatively EDX elemental mapping using K$_{α}$ lines (Fig. 3).

The elemental distributions show (Fig. 3a) that PEO coating is enriched with phosphorus and silicon (with ratio of 0.45) from the electrolyte solution. Zinc and vanadium are not detected on the surface of this sample. The low intensity uniform contrast is due to the background noise. For the PEO sample treated with LDH-NO$_3$ a clear distribution of Zn can be observed at locations where strong formation of flakes occurs (Fig. 3b). The LDH distribution is not uniform and is more concentrated in the regions containing defects in the PEO coating. The sample subjected to anion-exchange clearly exhibits a V signal. It is worth noting that the location of V closely follows zinc distribution (Fig. 3c). The highest concentration of zinc and vanadium is observed in the areas containing LDH flakes and suggests the loading of vanadate into the LDH structure.

In order to confirm the LDH structure of these flakes and to prove intercalation of inhibiting anions between the layers, grazing angle XRD was used. The XRD patterns of the PEO sample, coatings with LDH-NO$_3$ and LDH-VO$_x$ sealing are shown in Fig. 4. No XRD lines were observed in the 2 theta range between 5$^\circ$ and 35$^\circ$ in the case of PEO coated AA2024. There is only a broad peak in the range of 17–35$^\circ$ which can be associated to presence of Al$_2$O$_3$ in amorphous or nano-crystalline form. In contrast, the diffractogram of PEO-LDH-NO$_3$ shows well-defined peaks at 9.71$^\circ$ and 19.66$^\circ$ which can be assigned to (003) and (006) reflections of LDH. These reflections correspond to a basal spacing of 9.13 Å given that the total thickness of Zn/Al hydroxide layer is about 4.77 Å, the interlayer space available for NO$_3^-$ corresponds to 4.36 Å.

The anion-exchange reaction between nitrate and vanadate anions leads to a remarkable shift of the peak positions in the XRD pattern (Fig. 4). The gallery height increases from 4.36 Å (d(003) = 9.13 Å) to 4.84 Å (d(003) = 9.61 Å). No reflections corresponding to the LDH-NO$_3$ were detected after the incorporation, indicating complete exchange of nitrate by vanadate. Immersion of LDH-VO$_x$ in...
chloride containing electrolyte (1 week) leads to another large shift of
diffraction peaks demonstrating possibility of further substitution of
vanadate anions by chlorides from the solution. Thus the XRD results
suggest that the flakes formed on PEO coatings most likely have LDH
structure with exchangeable interlayer anions.

In order to validate this assumption, electron diffraction measure-
ments using TEM were additionally performed. The TEM micro-
graphs of PEO coated AA2024, PEO sample with LDH-NO$_3$ and
PEO sample with LDH-VO$_x$ are illustrated in Fig. 5.

TEM study of the substrate/coating interface of the AA2024 alloy
after PEO treatment reveals the presence of an inner barrier layer of
$\sim$200 nm-thick with nanopores, probably related to the trapped gases
evolved during the process (Fig. 5a).$^{41,42}$ In the outer film region, the
observed cavity (connecting the substrate to the coating surface) is
associated with the discharge channel formed during the PEO pro-
cess. Molten alumina erupts through these channels, spills around
the crater and rapidly solidifies, leaving a gas-filled void inside the
and homogeneous dense material around the mouth of the crater as
seen in Fig. 5a; hence this process facilitates transport of new coating
material outwardly. However, due to differences in cooling rates and
temperatures of the individual microdischarges, the microstructure of
the coatings is characterized by locally variable surface porosity in
terms of shape and size of the pores.$^{43}$

The PEO-coated AA2024 contains an amorphous PEO layer as
indicated by the amorphous ring of the PEO diffraction pattern (Fig.
5a). Well defined LDH flakes can be observed in the case of sample
treated with LDH-NO$_3$ (Fig. 5b). These flakes were detected both
on the surface of the PEO layer and within the pores suggesting a
possibility of sealing. The diffraction pattern obtained on such parti-
cles shows both the ring like structure and the additional reflections
from the larger flakes. The rings correspond to $d$-spacing equal to
9.13 Å. The ring diameter tends to change after the anion exchange
with vanadate (Fig. 5c) resulting in the increased $d$-spacing. Thus
the TEM results additionally prove that the conversion treatment in
the zinc nitrate containing bath forms LDH structures throughout
the layer. Moreover the ion-exchange process allows incorporation of
vanadate between the LDH layers and results in formation of nanocon-
tainers with corrosion inhibitor.

Depth profile analysis for the main elements across the coating was
performed using GDOES in order to estimate penetration of LDH into
the pores of PEO layer (Fig. 6).

The PEO coating demonstrates a typical distribution of elements
with increase of the main elements present in the alloy while ap-
proaching the surface. In contrast, silicon, phosphorus and oxygen
are found in the PEO layer and their signals decrease down to noise
levels during sputtering of the alloy. The very low detected zinc sig-
nal is due to the sputtering of alloying impurities from the AA2024.
No vanadium was detected in the blank PEO sample. In the case of
the PEO-LDH-NO$_3$ sample, an additional higher zinc signal can be
observed at the beginning of sputtering (Fig. 6b, zone I), due to the
formation of Zn-Al-NO$_3$ LDH on the surface, which is sputtered be-
fore the PEO layer. During sputtering of the PEO layer (zone II) and
the beginning of AA2024 alloy sputtering, the zinc signal decreases
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**Figure 4.** X-ray diffraction patterns of the PEO sample (a), PEO sample with LDH-NO$_3$ (b), PEO sample with LDH-VO$_x$ (c) and PEO sample with LDH-Cl (d).
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**Figure 5.** TEM micrographs and associated diffraction patterns showing PEO sample (a), PEO sample with LDH-NO$_3$ (b) and PEO sample with LDH-VO$_x$ (c).
The combination of the XRD, SEM, TEM, EDX, and GDOES results bring all the evidences that the suggested hydrothermal treatment leads to formation of Zn-Al LDH in the pores of PEO coating as a result of conversion process. The LDH growth depends strongly on the dissolution of amorphous and γ-Al2O3 (in the presence of hydroxides) from the PEO coating or from AA2024 substrate through the pores (dissolution of amorphous natural oxide from the AA2024 surface). Generally, Zn-Al LDH synthesis could be explained via following chemical reactions:

\[
\begin{align*}
  \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 + 3\text{H}_2\text{O} + 2\text{OH}^- & \rightarrow 2\text{Al(OH)}_3^- \quad [1] \\
  \text{Zn}^{2+} + \text{OH}^- & \rightarrow \text{Zn(OH)}^+ \quad [2] \\
  \text{Zn(OH)}^+ + \text{Al(OH)}_3^- + \text{NO}_3^- + \text{H}_2\text{O} & \rightarrow \text{LDH-NO}_3 \quad [3]
\end{align*}
\]

The ion-exchange step allows incorporating vanadate ions within the LDH gallery and creates nanocontainers of corrosion inhibitors directly inside the PEO layer and most importantly next to the interface with metal.

**Corrosion protection and self-healing properties of PEO/LDH systems.**—A combination of integral and localized electrochemical techniques was employed to evaluate the effect of LDH sealing on the corrosion protection performance of the PEO coatings and to confirm its improved fault tolerance.

Fig. 7 shows Bode plots for the parental PEO coated alloy (Figs. 7a, 7b), PEO with LDH-NO3 (Figs. 7c, 7d) and PEO with LDH-VOx (Figs. 7e, 7f) after different time of immersion in 0.5 wt% NaCl solution.

The impedance response for the coated substrates indicates presence of several relaxation processes. The time constants cannot always be easily separated due to a strong overlapping especially in the case of PEO-LDH-VOx system.

The relaxation process observed at high-frequency (10^7 Hz) is ascribed to the response of the porous part of the coating, whereas the middle frequency response of the system (10^3–10^5 Hz) can be attributed to the inner barrier layer of the PEO coating. These two components are hard to separate especially in the beginning of immersion. The response of the electrochemical activities on the alloy surface can be attributed to the low-frequency time constant (0.1 Hz).

The higher impedance modulus at low frequencies (0.01 Hz) reveals the beneficial effect of LDH-VOx on the corrosion resistance of PEO coatings for all immersion times. For short times of immersion (up to 1 h) high values of the impedance modulus (10^6–10^7 Ω · cm^2) at low frequencies are obtained for all the samples due to the good protective character of the PEO layers at this stage. The lowest value obtained for PEO-LDH can be associated with a loss of the protective barrier properties of the coating during the formation of LDH under conditions described in Specimens preparation section. However, after 1 day of immersion PEO coating with LDH treatment maintains the value of low frequency impedance while the blank PEO system demonstrates a significant drop. This effect can be related to the trapping of Cl^- ions in the pores close to metal/oxide interface by the LDH structure and preventing fast development of chloride-induced corrosion processes.

After longer immersion, all the coatings show the typical behavior for this type of layers with a continuous decrease of low-frequency impedance modulus over up to 2 orders of magnitude. However, PEO-LDH-VOx showed the highest values of total impedance for all times of immersion with values up to 1 order of magnitude higher compared to PEO and PEO-LDH. This improvement after LDH-VOx post-treatment might be associated with different factors: i) additional sealing effect: large-sized pores (Fig. 2) were partially filled with LDH flakes delaying the permeation of the electrolyte into the inner layer, ii) incorporation of inhibitor species: suppression of corrosion activity via release of vanadate when chloride penetrates.

![Figure 6](image-url). GDOES depth profile of PEO sample (a), PEO sample with LDH-NO3 (b) and PEO sample with LDH-VOx (c).

(due to the lower amount of LDH in pores than on the surface) and after that increases again next to the substrate interface. It can be concluded that growth of LDH preferably occurs at two different locations, namely the top surface of the PEO layer and the bottom of the porous layer in proximity to the alloy surface. During the alloy sputtering (zone III) the signal of zinc becomes negligible. Two different growth mechanisms can be suggested in this case, being related to dissolution of Al oxides/hydroxides from the PEO layer and the dissolution of aluminum close to the interface as main sources of trivalent metal cations for LDH formation. It should be mentioned, that aluminum alloys are always covered by a natural oxide layer \(^*\) and the dissolution of aluminum from the substrate should be considered as dissolution of natural oxide film.

After ion-exchange is performed a large increase of V element can be observed. Importantly the distribution of V closely follows the distribution of Zn, suggesting that the ion-exchange occurs not only in the LDH layer formed at top surface but also takes place in the pores near to the metal/oxide interface. Such a profile also confirms that the presence of V is associated with LDH flakes rather than with simple adsorption of vanadate on the walls of pores.

\[
\begin{align*}
  \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 + 3\text{H}_2\text{O} + 2\text{OH}^- & \rightarrow 2\text{Al(OH)}_3^- \\
  \text{Zn}^{2+} + \text{OH}^- & \rightarrow \text{Zn(OH)}^+ \\
  \text{Zn(OH)}^+ + \text{Al(OH)}_3^- + \text{NO}_3^- + \text{H}_2\text{O} & \rightarrow \text{LDH-NO}_3
\end{align*}
\]
The equivalent circuit used to fit the electrical parameters is shown in Fig. 8 along with an example of the fitting of the experimental data. For the equivalent circuit, the $R_s$ corresponds to the solution resistance, $CPE_{por}$ and $R_{por}$ are associated with the capacitive and resistive response of the porous part of the coating (through-going discharge channels, crack and pores), and $CPE_{bar}$ and $R_{bar}$ are ascribed to the capacitance and pore resistance of the dense inner barrier layer. The electrochemical activities can be described by the double layer capacitance of the electrolyte/metal interface ($CPE_{dl}$) and charge transfer resistance across it ($R_{ct}$). Constant Phase Elements (CPE) were used rather than capacitances to account for non-ideal behavior of the system.

In order to evaluate the degradation behavior of the PEO coatings and the influence of LDH-NO$_3$ and LDH-VO$_x$ treatments, the evolution of the resistance corresponding to the porous part of the coating ($R_{por}$) and the resistance corresponding to barrier inner layer ($R_{bar}$) has been plotted in Fig. 9.

The PEO-LDH-VO$_x$ coating shows the highest values of the pore resistance for all times of immersion (Fig. 9a). This is associated mainly with the better sealing of the pores after double post-treatments (LDH synthesis and anion-exchange) increasing the resistance of the pores which determines the total resistance of the outer part. PEO-LDH-NO$_3$ also shows a slight improvement of the outer part properties, particularly for shorter immersion times, as the LDH flakes cover the surface of the coating. For longer immersion times, there is a decrease in this resistance, indicating that the electrolyte can penetrate through the porous regions and the chemical degradation of the coating occurs, leading to the loss of the barrier properties of the outer layer. In fact, after 1 day of immersion the contribution of this resistance is relatively low for PEO and PEO-LDH-NO$_3$ (with values...
in the order of $10^3$ ohm cm$^2$), as reported previously for this type of coatings. However, PEO-LDH-VO$_x$ revealed an improvement in the pore resistance of outer layer values up to $10^5$ ohm cm$^2$. This can be related to the presence of vanadate, confirmed by EDX mapping and GDOES (Fig. 4).

The evolution of the resistance of the inner barrier layer (Fig. 9b) for the three systems reveals a decrease with time. For all immersion times, PEO-LDH-VO$_x$ shows the highest values indicating better corrosion properties after the post-treatments with inhibitors. $R_{\text{bar}}$ results for shorter immersion times (up to 1 h) show slightly lower values of PEO-LDH-NO$_3$ compared to PEO coating. This might be related to the weakening of the inner barrier layer during the synthesis of LDH which can be explained by the dissolution of Al cations from the substrate and oxide barrier layer in order to form LDH.

The beneficial effect of post-sealing processes in terms of corrosion behavior, is well known for anodic layers (e.g. boiling water, silicates, polymer solutions and sol-gel coatings [48–50]) but for PEO coatings on Al only few investigations are reported, mostly based on sol-gel treatments.

Regarding sealing methods based on aqueous solutions, in previous work it was found that the total impedance of the PEO coatings on Al increased after the application of post-treatments based on salts of nickel, cerium, potassium permanganate and phosphonic acid. However, these types of sealing do not confer coatings with an active protection. In the present work, based only on EIS analysis, it is also not possible to confirm if there is a self-sealing effect due to the presence of inhibitors in the PEO coating or just a general improvement of corrosion protection originated from enhanced barrier properties.

The scanning vibrating electrode technique (SVET) was additionally used in order to prove the self-healing effect and validate the enhanced fault-tolerance of the developed coatings. SVET allows monitoring of evolution of the anodic and cathodic corrosion current densities in artificial micro-scale defects. Fig. 10 presents the microphotographs after 22 hours of immersion and SVET maps taken in 0.05 M NaCl after 2 h, 10 h, and 24 hours for the PEO coated AA2024 sample (a), PEO-LDH-NO$_3$ (b) and for PEO-LDH-VO$_x$ (c). All samples contain two artificially drilled defects (100 $\mu$m diameter and 20 $\mu$m depth in order to reach the aluminum substrate) with a distance of 1 mm between them. The fact that the PEO coating was removed and bare substrate was reached in the zone of defects was controlled via an electrical conductivity check.

Well defined corrosion activities are visible in the case of PEO coated AA2024. The corrosion starts not only at the artificial defects but also in other locations (can be seen in right top corner and left bottom corner in Fig. 10a at 24 hours measurement) confirming the need for a sealing post-treatment.

A significant delay in corrosion activities in the defect zone is observed for the sample with LDH-NO$_x$. The corrosion currents are detected in the defects only after 24 h of immersion. The rest of the surface stayed inactive in contrast to the unsealed PEO system. This improved behavior agrees well with the EIS results presented above and can be associated either with the active entrapment of aggressive chlorides or to the total improvement of barrier properties due to the pores sealing of PEO layer.

The best corrosion protection performance was observed when the corrosion inhibitor is loaded into LDH nanocontainers. The fault tol-
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**Figure 8.** a) An example of the fitting of experimental impedance spectrum and b) the equivalent circuit used to model the impedance data.
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**Figure 9.** Evolution of resistance for all the materials with the immersion time up to 3 days of immersion in 0.5 wt% NaCl solution a) $R_{\text{por}}$ b) $R_{\text{bar}}$. 
Figure 10. Micrographs and SVET maps of PEO sample (a), PEO sample with LDH-NO$_3$ (b) and PEO sample with LDH-VO$_x$ (c) after 2 h, 10 h and 24 h of immersion in 0.05 M NaCl.

erance of this system is drastically increased. After 24 h, only minor signs of electrochemical activity are visible at the artificial defects. These results provide a clear evidence of self-healing properties conferred by LDH-VO$_x$ sealing treatment rather than just improvement of the barrier layer due to the sealing. The cathodic currents detected in both artificial (see photomicrographs in Fig. 10) defects of the same sample can be related to the fact that cathodic processes are controlled by the diffusion of oxygen. Local removal of the coating accelerates the oxygen transport in defects, making them predominantly cathodic while the anodic activity is distributed over the larger area under the coating.

In order to illustrate that the self-healing action is indeed related to the release of corrosion inhibitor on demand via an ion-exchange mechanism, additional XRD studies were performed as briefly mentioned above. The PEO-LDH-VO$_x$ specimen was analyzed after 1 week of immersion in 0.5 wt% NaCl solution at room temperature. The XRD pattern from the resulting LDH is presented in Fig. 4 labeled PEO-LDH-Cl. The (003) peak of LDH is shifted to 11.43°, which corresponds to a gallery height equal to 7.68 Å. These results are in a good agreement with the reported structural data for LDH-Cl$^{52,53}$ and confirm that LDH may play an important role in “smart” anti-corrosion protection by: 1 the release of anti-corrosion inhibitor (vanadate) on demand in the presence of aggressive Cl$^-$ in the environment and 2 the absorption of Cl$^-$ into the LDH layer (valid both for LDH-NO$_3$ and LDH-VO$_x$ specimens).

Altogether, the XRD results support the role of LDH in the active corrosion protection concept by ion-exchange processes on demand and the SVET results have demonstrated the positive influence of LDH-VO$_x$ on the corrosion processes.

In summary, the possible mechanism of “smart” self-healing protection of LDH-sealed PEO coatings is schematized in Fig. 11. The corrosive degradation of PEO coated substrate is accelerated by effect of chloride ions present in the environment.$^{54}$ Partial entrapment of chloride anions via an ion-exchange mechanism is possible when the PEO layer is sealed with LDH (Fig. 11a). However, the inhibition effect provided by nitrate anions is significantly lower than can be expected in the case of vanadate.$^{55}$ Therefore the corrosion activity starts to accelerate with time as seen on respective SVET maps. In contrast; the vanadate anions released from the LDH in the presence of chlorides can confer an additional inhibition in the defect (Fig. 11b) ensuring long-term active protection.

It was previously shown by Salak et al.$^{56}$ that under the similar conditions LDH is loaded with pyrovanadate (V$_2$). These species are suitable for inhibition of aluminum corrosion$^{55,57}$ via suppression of oxygen reduction kinetics (schematically indicated in Fig. 11b) and suppression of intermetallic phase dissolution, reducing their cathodic activity. Supposedly, in the opened pores or in the artificial defects the vanadate species act in the same way as on bare surface in terms of inhibition mechanism (V$_1$-V$_3$ provide an effective inhibition for the aluminum alloy in accordance with previously published work$^{55}$).

However, in spite of promising results showing the effective combination of barrier properties, provided by PEO, and active protection, provided by LDH loaded with inhibitor, the authors would like to underline the novelty of the system and possibility to improve it more in frame of further works. The first improvement can be the search of more environmentally friendly inhibitor, rather than vanadate that was used in this work. Different organic and inorganic inhibitors can be considered, as long as they fulfill the requirement of effective corrosion protection, charge/size ratio and environmental regulations. The chemical composition (like different cations and their ratio) of LDH hydroxide layers can be also a matter of further work. It was recently shown, that LiAl LDHs can be already formed under conditions close to the room temperature.$^{58}$ They also can be loaded with corrosion inhibitors and used for active corrosion protection. Moreover, Li-based corrosion products can provide the inhibitive properties for aluminum alloys when LDH is used. All this will enable further improve the PEO-based protection system for aluminum alloys.
Figure 11. The possible mechanism of active corrosion protection (a) PEO sample with LDH-NO$_3$ and (b) PEO sample with LDH-VO$_x$.

Conclusions

A new method of active anti-corrosion sealing for PEO coatings on aluminum based substrates is reported in this work. The sealing is based on the in-situ formation of LDH-nanocontainers of corrosion inhibitor using sequence of hydrothermal conversion process followed by the ion-exchange reaction.

The hydrothermal treatment in Zn-containing bath causes the LDH structures to form on top and in the pores of the PEO layer. When loaded with corrosion inhibiting anions (vanadate in this case), LDH functions as “smart” active nanocontainers. The results show that such a sealing improves the corrosion performance and effectively heal the defects suppressing corrosion.

The LDH-based post-treatment is a promising potential candidate for Cr-free sealing of the PEO treated AA2024 alloy. Moreover it can be overpainted in order to ensure superior barrier properties still keeping the enhanced fault tolerance.
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