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Extended Abstract

We introduce and study a certain class of quadratic algebras, which are nonhomogenious in general, together with the distinguish set of mutually commuting elements inside of each, the so-called Dunkl elements. We describe relations among the Dunkl elements in the case of a family of quadratic algebras corresponding to a certain splitting of the universal classical Yang–Baxter relations into two three term relations. This result is a further extension and generalization of analogous results obtained in [26], [76] and [51]. As an application we describe explicitly the set of relations among the Gaudin elements in the group ring of the symmetric group, cf [71]. We also study relations among the Dunkl elements in the case of (nonhomogeneous) quadratic algebras related with the universal dynamical classical Yang–Baxter relations. Some relations of results obtained in papers [26], [52], [47] with those obtained in [35] are pointed out. We also identify a subalgebra generated by the generators corresponding to the simple roots in the extended Fomin–Kirillov algebra with the DAHA, see Section 4.3.

The set of generators of algebras in question, naturally corresponds to the set of edges of the complete graph $K_n$ (to the set of edges and loops of the complete graph with loops $\tilde{K}_n$ in dynamical case). More generally, starting from any subgraph $\Gamma$ of the complete graph with loops $\tilde{K}_n$ we define a (graded) subalgebra $3T_n^{(0)}(\Gamma)$ of the (graded) algebra $3T_n^{(0)}(\tilde{K}_n)$ [44]. In the case of loop-less graphs $\Gamma \subset K_n$ we state Conjecture which relates the Hilbert polynomial of the abelian quotient $3T_n^{(0)}(\Gamma)^{ab}$ of the algebra $3T_n^{(0)}(\Gamma)$ and the chromatic polynomial of
the graph \( \Gamma \) we started with. We check our Conjecture for the complete graphs \( K_n \) and the complete bipartite graphs \( K_{n,m} \). Besides, in the case of complete multipartite graph \( K_{n_1, \ldots, n_r} \), we identify the commutative subalgebra in the algebra \( 3F^{(0)}_N(K_{n_1, \ldots, n_r}) \), \( N = n_1 + \cdots + n_r \), generated by elements

\[
\theta_{j,k}^{(N)} := e_{k_j}(\theta_{N_{j-1}+1}^{(N)}, \ldots, \theta_{N_j}^{(N)}), \quad 1 \leq j \leq r, \quad 1 \leq k_j \leq n_j, \quad N_j := n_1 + \cdots + n_j, \quad N_0 = 0,
\]

with the cohomology ring \( H^*(F_{l_1, \ldots, l_r}, \mathbb{Z}) \) of the partial flag variety \( F_{l_1, \ldots, l_r} \). In other words, the set of (additive) Dunkl elements \( \{\theta_{N_{j-1}+1}^{(N)}, \ldots, \theta_{N_j}^{(N)}\} \) plays a role of the Chern roots of the tautological vector bundles \( \xi_j, j = 1, \ldots, r \), over the partial flag variety \( F_{l_1, \ldots, l_r} \), see Section 4.1.2 for details. In a similar fashion, the set of multiplicative Dunkl elements \( \{\Theta_{N_{j-1}+1}^{(N)}, \ldots, \Theta_{N_j}^{(N)}\} \) plays a role of the \( K \)-theoretic version of Chern roots of the tautological vector bundle \( \xi_j \) over the partial flag variety \( F_{l_1, \ldots, l_r} \). As a byproduct for a given set of weights \( \ell = \{\ell_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \) we compute the Tutte polynomial \( T(K_{n_1, \ldots, n_k}, x, y) \) of the \( \ell \)-weighted complete multipartite graph \( K_{n_1, \ldots, n_k} \), see Section 4, Definition 4.1 and Theorem 4.2.

More generally, we introduce universal Tutte polynomial

\[
T_n(\{q_{ij}\}, x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}[\{q_{ij}\}][x, y]
\]

in such a way that for any collection of non-negative integers \( m = \{m_{ij}\} \) and a subgraph \( \Gamma \subset K_n^{(m)} \) of the weighted complete graph on \( n \) labeled vertices with each edge \( (i, j) \in K_n^{(m)} \) appears with multiplicity \( m_{ij} \), the specialization

\[
q_{ij} \to 0, \text{ if edge } (i, j) \notin \Gamma, \quad q_{ij} \to [m_{ij}]_y := \frac{y^{m_{ij}} - 1}{y - 1}, \text{ if edge } (i, j) \in \Gamma
\]

of the universal Tutte polynomial is equal to the Tutte polynomial of graph \( \Gamma \) multiplied by \( (x - 1)^{c(\Gamma)} \), see Section 4.1.2, Theorem 4.3, and Comments and Examples, for details.

We also introduce and study a family of (super) 6-term relations algebras, and suggest a definition of “multiparameter quantum deformation” of the algebra of the curvature of 2-forms of the Hermitian linear bundles over the complete flag variety \( F_n \). This algebra can be treated as a natural generalization of the (multiparameter) quantum cohomology ring \( QH^*(F_n) \), see Section 4.2.

Yet another objective of our paper is to describe several combinatorial properties of some special elements in the associative quasi-classical Yang–Baxter algebra \([47]\), including among others the so-called Coxeter element and the longest element. In the case of Coxeter element we relate the corresponding reduced polynomials introduced in \([30]\), with the \( \beta \)-Grothendieck polynomials \([27]\) for some special permutations \( \pi_{k}^{(n)} \). More generally, we identify the \( \beta \)-Grothendieck polynomial \( G^{(\beta)}_{\pi_{k}^{(n)}}(X_n) \) with a certain weighted sum running over the set of \( k \)-dissections of a convex \( (n + k + 1) \)-gon. In particular we show that the specialization \( G^{(\beta)}_{\pi_{k}^{(n)}}(1) \) of the \( \beta \)-Grothendieck polynomial \( G^{(\beta)}_{\pi_{k}^{(n)}}(X_n) \) counts the number of \( k \)-dissections of a convex \( (n + k + 1) \)-gon according to the number of diagonals involved. When the number of diagonals in a \( k \)-dissection is the maximal possible (equals to \( n(2k - 1) - 1 \)), we recover the well-known fact that the number of \( k \)-triangulations of a convex \( (n + k + 1) \)-gon is equal to the value of a certain Catalan-Hankel determinant, see e.g. \([55]\).

We also show that for a certain 5-parameters family of vexillary permutations, the specialization \( x_i = 1, \forall i \geq 1 \), of the corresponding \( \beta \)-Schubert polynomials \( G^{(\beta)}_{\pi_{k}^{(n)}}(X_n) \) turns out to be
coincide either with the Fuss-Narayana polynomials and their generalizations, or with a \((q, \beta)\)-deformation of \(VSASM\) or that of \(CSTCPP\) numbers, see Corollary 5.2, (B). As examples we show that

(a) the reduced polynomial corresponding to a monomial \(x_{12}^n x_{23}^m\) counts the number of \((n, m)\)-Delannoy paths according to the number of \(NE\)-steps, see Lemma 5.2;

(b) if \(\beta = 0\), the reduced polynomial corresponding to monomial \((x_{12} x_{23})^n x_{34}^k\), \(n \geq k\), counts the number of of \(n\) up, \(n\) down permutations in the symmetric group \(S_{2n+k+1}\), see Proposition 5.9; see also Conjecture 18.

We also point out on a conjectural connection between the sets of maximal compatible sequences for the permutation \(\sigma_{n, 2n, 2, 0}\) and that \(\sigma_{n, 2n+1, 2, 0}\) from one side, and the set of \(VSASM(n)\) and that of \(CSTCPP(n)\) correspondingly, from the other, see Comments 5.7 for details. Finally, in Section 5.1.1 we introduce and study a multiparameter generalization of reduced polynomials introduced in [90], as well as that of the Catalan, Narayana and (small) Schröder numbers.

In the case of the longest element we relate the corresponding reduced polynomial with the Ehrhart polynomial of the Chan–Robbins–Yuen polytope, see Section 5.3. More generally, we relate the \((t, \beta)\)-reduced polynomial corresponding to monomial

\[
\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} x_{j,j+1}^{a_j} \prod_{j=2}^{n-2} \left( \prod_{k=j+2}^{n} x_{jk} \right), \quad a_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \forall j,
\]

with positive \(t\)-deformations of the Kostant partition function and that of the Ehrhart polynomial of some flow polytopes, see Section 5.3.
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1 Introduction

The Dunkl operators have been introduced in the later part of 80’s of the last century by Charles Dunkl [21], [22] as a powerful mean to study of harmonic and orthogonal polynomials related with finite Coxeter groups. In the present paper we don’t need the definition of Dunkl operators for arbitrary (finite) Coxeter groups, see e.g. [21], but only for the special case of the symmetric group $S_n$. 
Definition 1.1. Let \( P_n = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \) be the ring of polynomials in variables \( x_1, \ldots, x_n \). The type \( A_{n-1} \) (additive) rational Dunkl operators \( D_1, \ldots, D_n \) are the differential-difference operators of the following form

\[
D_i = \lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1 - s_{ij}}{x_i - x_j},
\]

(1.1)

Here \( s_{ij} \), \( 1 \leq i < j \leq n \), denotes the exchange (or permutation) operator, namely,

\[
s_{ij}(f)(x_1, \ldots, x_i, \ldots, x_j, \ldots, x_n) = f(x_1, \ldots, x_j, \ldots, x_i, \ldots, x_n);
\]

\( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \) stands for the derivative w.r.t. the variable \( x_i \);
\( \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \) is a parameter.

The key property of the Dunkl operators is the following result.

Theorem 1.1. (C.Dunkl [21]) For any finite Coxeter group \( (W, S) \), where \( S = \{s_1, \ldots, s_l\} \) denotes the set of simple reflections, the Dunkl operators \( D_i := D_{s_i} \) and \( D_j := D_{s_j} \) pairwise commute: \( D_i D_j = D_j D_i \), \( 1 \leq i, j \leq l \).

Another fundamental property of the Dunkl operators which finds a wide variety of applications in the theory of integrable systems, see e.g. [36], is the following statement: the operator

\[
\sum_{i=1}^l (D_i)^2
\]

“essentially” coincides with the Hamiltonian of the rational Calogero–Moser model related to the finite Coxeter group \( (W, S) \).

Definition 1.2. Truncated (additive) Dunkl operator (or the Dunkl operator at critical level), denoted by \( D_i \), \( i = 1, \ldots, l \), is an operator of the form (1.1) with parameter \( \lambda = 0 \).

For example, the type \( A_{n-1} \) rational truncated Dunkl operator has the following form

\[
D_i = \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1 - s_{ij}}{x_i - x_j}.
\]

Clearly the truncated Dunkl operators generate a commutative algebra.

The important property of the truncated Dunkl operators is the following result discovered and proved by C.Dunkl [22]; see also [4] for a more recent proof.

Theorem 1.2. (C.Dunkl [22], Y.Bazlov [4]) For any finite Coxeter group \( (W, S) \) the algebra over \( \mathbb{Q} \) generated by the truncated Dunkl operators \( D_1, \ldots, D_l \) is canonically isomorphic to the coinvariant algebra \( A_W \) of the Coxeter group \( (W, S) \).

Recall that for a finite crystallographic Coxeter group \( (W, S) \) the coinvariant algebra \( A_W \) is isomorphic to the cohomology ring \( H^\ast(G/B, \mathbb{Q}) \) of the flag variety \( G/B \), where \( G \) stands for the Lie group corresponding to the crystallographic Coxeter group \( (W, S) \) we started with.

Example 1.1. In the case when \( W = S_n \) is the symmetric group, Theorem 1.2 states that the algebra over \( \mathbb{Q} \) generated by the truncated Dunkl operators \( D_i = \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1 - s_{ij}}{x_i - x_j} \), \( i = 1, \ldots, n \), is canonically isomorphic to the cohomology ring of the full flag variety \( FL_n \) of type \( A_{n-1} \)

\[
\mathbb{Q}[D_1, \ldots, D_n] \cong \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/J_n,
\]

(1.2)

where \( J_n \) denotes the ideal generated by the elementary symmetric polynomials \( \{e_k(X_n), \ 1 \leq k \leq n\} \).
Recall that the elementary symmetric polynomials $e_i(X_n)$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, are defined through the generating function

$$1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i(X_n) \ t^i = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 + t x_i),$$

where we set $X_n := (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$. It is well-known that in the case $W = S_n$, the isomorphism (1.2) can be defined over the ring of integers $\mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem 1.2 by C. Dunkl has raised a number of natural questions:

(A) What is the algebra generated by the truncated
- trigonometric,
- elliptic,
- super, matrix, . . .,
(a) additive Dunkl operators ?
(b) Ruijsenaars–Schneider–Macdonald operators ?
(c) Gaudin operators ?
(B) Describe commutative subalgebra generated by the Jucys–Murphy elements in
- the group ring of the symmetric group;
- the Hecke algebra;
- the Brauer algebra, $BMV$ algebra, . . . .
(C) Does there exist an analogue of Theorem 1.2 for
- Classical and quantum equivariant cohomology and equivariant $K$-theory rings of the partial flag varieties ?
- Cohomology and $K$-theory rings of affine flag varieties ?
- Diagonal coinvariant algebras of finite Coxeter groups ?
- Complex reflection groups ?

The present paper is an extended Introduction to a few items from Section 5 of [47].

The main purpose of my paper “On some quadratic algebras, II” is to give some partial answers on the above questions basically in the case of the symmetric group $S_n$.

The purpose of the present paper is to draw attention to an interesting class of nonhomogeneous quadratic algebras closely connected (still mysteriously !) with different branches of Mathematics such as

- Classical and Quantum Schubert and Grothendieck Calculi,
- Low dimensional Topology,
- Classical, Basic and Elliptic Hypergeometric functions,
- Algebraic Combinatorics and Graph Theory,
- Integrable Systems,
- . . . . . . . . . . . .

What we try to explain in [47] is that upon passing to a suitable representation of the quadratic algebra in question, the subjects mentioned above, are a manifestation of certain general properties of that quadratic algebra.

From this point of view, we treat the commutative subalgebra generated by the additive (resp. multiplicative) truncated Dunkl elements in the algebra $3T_n(\beta)$, see Definition 3.1, as universal cohomology (resp. universal $K$-theory) ring of the complete flag variety $Fl_n$. The classical or quantum cohomology (resp. the classical or quantum $K$-theory) rings of the flag variety $Fl_n$ are certain quotients of that universal ring.

For example, in [50] we have computed relations among the (truncated) Dunkl elements $\{\theta_i, i = 1, \ldots, n\}$ in the elliptic representation of the algebra $3T_n(\beta = 0)$. We expect that the commutative subalgebra obtained is isomorphic to elliptic cohomology ring (not defined yet, but see [33, 32]) of the flag variety $Fl_n$. 
Another example from [47]. Consider the algebra $3T_n(\beta = 0)$. One can prove [47] the following identities in the algebra $3T_n(\beta = 0)$

(A) **Summation formula**

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left( \prod_{b=j+1}^{n-1} u_{b,b+1} \right) u_{1,n} \left( \prod_{b=1}^{j-1} u_{b,b+1} \right) = \prod_{a=1}^{n-1} u_{a,a+1}.$$ 

(B) **Duality transformation formula** Let $m \leq n$, then

$$\sum_{j=m}^{n-1} \left( \prod_{b=j+1}^{n-1} u_{b,b+1} \right) \left[ \prod_{a=1}^{m-1} u_{a,a+n-1} \prod_{a,n} u_{a,a+n} \right] u_{m,m+n-1} \left( \prod_{b=m}^{j-1} u_{b,b+1} \right) u_{1,n} =$$

$$\sum_{j=2}^{m} \left[ \prod_{a=j}^{m-1} u_{a,a+n-1} \prod_{a,a+n} u_{a,a+n} \right] \left( \prod_{b=m}^{n-1} u_{b,b+1} \right) \left( \prod_{a=1}^{j-1} u_{b,b+1} \right) \left[ \prod_{b=m}^{n-1} u_{b,b+1} \right] u_{a,a+n-1} u_{a,a+n} \right].$$

One can check that upon passing to the elliptic representation of the algebra $3T_n(\beta = 0)$, see Section 3.1, or [47], Section 5.1.7, or [50], for the definition of elliptic representation, the above identities (A) and (B) finally end up correspondingly, to be the Summation formula and the $N = 1$ case of the Duality transformation formula for multiple elliptic hypergeometric series (of type $A_{n-1}$), see e.g. [11], or Appendix V, for the explicit forms of the latter. After passing to the so-called Fay representation [47], the identities (A) and (B) become correspondingly to be the Summation formula and Duality transformation formula for the Riemann theta functions of genus $g > 0$, [47]. These formulas in the case $g \geq 2$ seems to be new.

Worthy to mention that the relation (A) above can be treated as a "non-commutative analogue" of the well-known recurrence relation among the Catalan numbers. The study of "descendent relations" in the quadratic algebras in question was originally motivated by the author attempts to construct a *monomial basis* in the algebra $3T_n^{(0)}$. This problem is still widely open, but gives rise the author to discovery of several interesting connections with

- classical and quantum Schubert and Grothendieck Calculi,
- combinatorics of reduced decomposition of some special elements in the symmetric group,
- combinatorics of generalized Chan–Robbins–Yuen polytopes,
- relations among the Dunkl and Gaudin elements,
- computation of Tutte and chromatic polynomials of the weighted complete multipartite graphs, *etc.*

A few words about the content of the present paper.

Example 1.1 can be viewed as an illustration of the main problems we are treaded in Sections 2 and 3 of the present paper, namely the following ones.

- Let $\{u_{ij}, 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$ be a set of generators of a certain algebra over a commutative ring $K$. The first problem we are interested in is to describe "a natural set of relations" among the generators $\{u_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ which implies the pair-wise commutativity of dynamical Dunkl elements

$$\theta_i = \theta_{i}^{(n)} =: \sum_{j=1}^{n} u_{ij}, \ 1 \leq i \leq n.$$ 

- Should this be the case then we are interested in to describe the algebra generated by ‘the integrals of motions’, i.e. to describe the quotient of the algebra of polynomials $K[y_1, \ldots, y_n]$ by the
two-sided ideal $J_n$ generated by non-zero polynomials $F(y_1,\ldots,y_n)$ such that $F(\theta_1,\ldots,\theta_n) = 0$ in the algebra over ring $K$ generated by the elements $\{u_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$.

- We are looking for a set of additional relations which imply that the values of elementary symmetric polynomials $\epsilon_k(y_1,\ldots,y_n)$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, on the Dunkl elements $\theta_1^{(n)},\ldots,\theta_n^{(n)}$ do not depend on the variables $\{u_{ij}\}$, $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$. If so, one can defined deformation of elementary symmetric polynomials, and make use of it and the Jacobi–Trudi formula, to define deformed Schur functions, for example. We try to realize this program in Sections 2 and 3.

In Section 2, see Definition 2.2, we introduce the so-called dynamical classical Yang–Baxter algebra as “a natural quadratic algebra” in which the Dunkl elements form a pair-wise commuting family. It is the study of the algebra generated by the (truncated) Dunkl elements that is the main objective of our investigation in [47] and the present paper. In subsection 2.1 we describe few representations of the dynamical classical Yang–Baxter algebra $DCYB_n$ related with
- quantum cohomology $QH^*(\mathcal{F}l_n)$ of the complete flag variety $\mathcal{F}l_n$, cf [25];
- quantum equivariant cohomology $QH^{\ast}_{T^n \times C^\ast}(\mathcal{T}^*\mathcal{F}l_n)$ of the cotangent bundle $\mathcal{T}^*\mathcal{F}l_n$ to the complete flag variety, cf [35];
- Dunkl–Gaudin and Dunkl–Uglov representations, cf [71], [91].

In Section 3, see Definition 3.1, we introduce the algebra $3HT_n(\beta)$, which seems to be the most general (noncommutative) deformation of the (even) Orlik–Solomon algebra of type $A_{n-1}$, such that it’s still possible to describe relations among the Dunkl elements, see Theorem 3.1. As an application we describe explicitly a set of relations among the (additive) Gaudin / Dunkl elements, cf [71].

It should be stressed at this place that we treat the Gaudin elements/operators (either additive or multiplicative) as images of the universal Dunkl elements/operators (additive or multiplicative) in the Gaudin representation of the algebra $3HT_n(0)$. There are several other important representations of that algebra, for example, the Calogero–Moser, Bruhat, Buchstaber–Felder–Veselov (elliptic), Fay trisecant ($r$-functions), adjoint, and so on, considered (among others) in [47]. Specific properties of a representation chosen (e.g. Gaudin representation) imply some additional relations among the images of the universal Dunkl elements (e.g. Gaudin elements) should to be unveiled.

We start Section 3 with definition of algebra $3T_n(\beta)$ and its “Hecke” $3HT_n(\beta)$ and “elliptic” $3MT_n(\beta)$ quotients. In particular we define an elliptic representation of the algebra $3T_n(0)$, [50], and show how the well-known elliptic solutions of the quantum Yang–Baxter equation due to A. Belavin and V. Drinfeld, see e.g. [5], S. Shibukawa and K. Ueno [80], and G. Felder and V. Pasquier [24], can be plug in to our construction, see Section 3.1.

In Subsection 3.2 we introduce a multiplicative analogue of the the Dunkl elements $\{\Theta_j \in 3T_n(\beta), 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ and describe the commutative subalgebra in the algebra $3T_n(\beta)$ generated by multiplicative Dunkl elements [51]. The latter commutative subalgebra turns out to be isomorphic to the quantum equivariant $K$-theory of the complete flag variety $\mathcal{F}l_n$ [51].

In Subsection 3.3 we describe relations among the truncated Dunkl–Gaudin elements. In this case the quantum parameters $q_{ij} = p_{ij}^2$, where parameters $\{p_{ij} = (z_i - z_j)^{-1}, 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$ satisfy the both Arnold and Plücker relations. This observation has made it possible to describe a set of additional rational relations among the Dunkl–Gaudin elements, cf [71].

\textsuperscript{4}For example, in the cases of either Calogero–Moser or Bruhat representations one has an additional constraint, namely, $u_{ij}^2 = 0$ for all $i \neq j$. In the case of Gaudin representation one has an additional constraint $u_{ij}^2 = p_{ij}$, where the (quantum) parameters $\{p_{ij} = \frac{1}{z_i - z_j}, i \neq j\}$, satisfy simultaneously the Arnold and Plücker relations, see Section 2, (I). Therefore, the (small) quantum cohomology ring of the type $A_{n-1}$ full flag variety $\mathcal{F}l_n$ and the Bethe subalgebra(s) (i.e. the subalgebra generated by Gaudin elements in the algebra $3HT_n(0)$) correspond to different specializations of “quantum parameters” $\{q_{ij} := u_{ij}^2\}$ of the universal cohomology ring (i.e. the subalgebra/ring in $3HT_n(0)$ generated by (universal) Dunkl elements). For more details and examples, see Section 2.1 and [47].
In Subsection 3.4 we introduce an equivariant version of multiplicative Dunkl elements, called shifted Dunkl elements in our paper, and describe (some) relations among the latter. This result is a generalization of that obtained in Section 3.1 and [51]. However we don’t know any geometric interpretation of the commutative subalgebra generated by shifted Dunkl elements. In Section 4.1 for any subgraph $\Gamma \subset K_n$ of the complete graph $K_n$ we introduce $3T_n(\Gamma)$ and $3T_n^{(0)}(\Gamma)$ which can be seen as analogues of algebras $3T_n$ and $3T_n^{(0)}$ correspondingly.

Analog of the algebras $3T_n$ and $3T_n^{(\beta)}$, $3HT_n$, etc treated in the present paper, can be defined for any (oriented or not) matroid $M$. We denote these algebras as $3T(M)$ and $3T^{(\beta)}(M)$. One can show (A.K.) that the abelianization of the algebra $3T^{(\beta)}(M)$, denoted by $3T^{(\beta)}(M)^{ab}$, is isomorphic to the Gelfand–Varchenko algebra corresponding to a matroid $M$, whereas the algebra $3T^{(\beta=0)}(M)^{ab}$ is isomorphic to the (even) Orlik–Solomon algebra $OS^+(M)$ of a matroid $M$. We consider and treat the algebras $3T(M)$, $3HT(M)$,... as equivariant noncommutative (or quantum) versions of the (even) Orlik–Solomon algebras associated with matroid (including hyperplane, graphic, ... arrangements). However a meaning of a quantum deformation of the (even or odd) Orlik–Solomon algebra suggested in the present paper, is missing, even for the braid arrangement of type $\mathbb{A}_n$. Generalizations of the Gelfand–Varchenko algebra has been suggested and studied in [45], [47] and in the present paper under the name quasi-associative Yang–Baxter algebra, see Section 5.

In the present paper we basically study the abelian quotient of the algebra $3T_n^{(0)}(\Gamma)$, where graph $\Gamma$ has no loops and multiple edges, since we expect some applications of our approach to the theory of chromatic polynomials of planar graphs, in particular to the complete multipartite graphs $K_{n_1,...,n_r}$ and the grid graphs $G_{m,n}$. Our main results hold for the complete multipartite, cyclic and line graphs. In particular we compute their chromatic polynomials, see Proposition 4.2 and Theorem 4.3. As a byproduct we compute the Tutte polynomial of the $\ell$-weighted complete multipartite graph $K_{n_1,...,n_r}$ where $\ell = \{\ell_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq r}$, is a collection of weights, i.e. a set of non-negative integers.

More generally, for a set of variables $\{\{q_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}, x, y\}$ we define universal Tutte polynomial $T_n(\{q_{ij}\},x,y) \in \mathbb{Z}[q_{ij}][x,y]$ such that for any collection on non-negative integers $\{m_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}$ and a subgraph $\Gamma \subset K_n^{(m)}$ of the complete graph $K_n$ with each edge $(i,j)$ comes with multiplicity $m_{ij}$, the specialization

$$q_{ij} \rightarrow 0, \text{ if edge } (i,j) \notin \Gamma, \quad q_{ij} \rightarrow [m_{ij}]_y := \frac{y^{m_{ij}} - 1}{y - 1} \text{ if edge } (i,j) \in \Gamma$$

of the universal Tutte polynomial $T_n(\{q_{ij}\},x,y)$ is equal to the Tutte polynomial of graph $\Gamma$ multiplied by the factor $(t - 1)^{\kappa(\Gamma)}$:

$$(x - 1)^{\kappa(\Gamma)}Tutte(\Gamma,x,y) := T_n(\{q_{ij}\},x,y)\bigg|_{q_{ij}=0, \text{ if } (i,j) \notin \Gamma}^{q_{ij}=[m_{ij}]_y, \text{ if } (i,j) \in \Gamma}.$$ 

Here and after $\kappa(\Gamma)$ denotes the number of connected components of a graph $\Gamma$. In other words, one can treat the universal Tutte polynomial $T_n(\{q_{ij}\},x,y)$ as a “reproducing kernel” for

---

4 Independently the algebra $3T_n^{(0)}(\Gamma)$ has been studied in [9], where the reader can find some examples and conjectures.

5 To avoid confusions, it must be emphasized that the defining relations for algebras $3T_n(\Gamma)$ and $3T_n^{(0)}(\Gamma)$ may have more then three terms.

6 For a definition and basic properties of the Orlik–Solomon algebra corresponding to a matroid see e.g. Y. Kawahara, On Matroids and Orlik-Solomon Algebras Annals of Combinatorics 8 (2004) 63-80.

7 See e.g. wolfram.com/GRIDGRAPH.htm for a definition of grid graph $G_{m,n}$.
the Tutte polynomials of all graphs with the number of vertices not exceeded $n$.

We also state Conjecture 4.2 that for any loopless graph $\Gamma$ (possibly with multiple edges) the algebra $3\Sigma_n(\Theta)$ is isomorphic to the even Orlik–Solomon algebra $OS^+(A_\Gamma)$ of the graphic arrangement associated with graph $\Gamma$ in question.

At the end we emphasize that the case of the complete graph $\Gamma = K_n$ reproduces the results of the present paper and those of [17], i.e. the case of the full flag variety $F_{l_n}$. The case of the complete multipartite graph $\Gamma = K_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}$ reproduces the analogue of results stated in the present paper for the case of full flag variety $F_{l_n}$, to the case of the partial flag variety $F_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}$, see [17] for details.

In Section 4.1.3 we sketch how to generalize our constructions and some of our results to the case of the Lie algebras of classical types.

In Section 4.2 we briefly overview our results concerning yet another interesting family of quadratic algebras, namely the six-term relations algebras $6T_n$, $6T_n(0)$ and related ones. These algebras also contain a distinguished set of mutually commuting elements called Dunkl elements $\{\theta_i, i = 1, \ldots, n\}$ given by $\theta_i = \sum_{j \neq i} r_{ij}$, see Definition 4.10.

In Subsection 4.2.2 we introduce and study the algebra $6T_n^\star$ in greater detail. In particular we introduce a “quantum deformation” of the algebra generated by the curvature of 2-forms of the Hermitian linear bundles over the flag variety $F_{l_n}$, cf [78].

In Subsection 4.2.3 we state our results concerning the classical Yang–Baxter algebra $CYB_n$ and the 6-term relation algebra $6T_n$. In particular we give formulas for the Hilbert series of these algebras. These formulas have been obtained independently in [3] The paper just mentioned, contains a description of a basis in the algebra $6T_n$, and much more.

In Subsection 4.2.4 we introduce a super analog of the algebra $6T_n$, denoted by $6T_{n,m}$, and compute its Hilbert series.

Finally, in Subsection 4.3 we introduce extended nil-three term relations algebra $3\Sigma_n$ and describe a subalgebra inside of it which is isomorphic to the double affine Hecke algebra of type $A_{n-1}$, cf [15].

In Section 5 we describe several combinatorial properties of some special elements in the associative quasi-classical Yang–Baxter algebra $\hat{ACYB}_n$, denoted by $\hat{ACYB}_n$. The main results in that direction were motivated and obtained as a by-product, in the process of the study of the structure of the algebra $3HT_n(\beta)$. More specifically, the main results of Section 5 were obtained in the course of “hunting for descendant relations” in the algebra mentioned, which is an important problem to be solved to construct a basis in the nil-quotient algebra $3T_n(0)$. This problem is still widely-open.

The results of Section 5.1, see Proposition 5.1, items (1)–(5), are more or less well-known among the specialists in the subject, while those of the item (6) seem to be new. Namely, we show that the polynomial $Q_n(x_{ij} = t_i)$ from [90, 6.2.8], (c), essentially coincides with the $\beta$-deformation [27] of the Lasoux-Schützenberger Grothendieck polynomial [57] for some particular permutation. The results of Proposition 5.1, (6), point out on a deep connection between reduced forms of monomials in the algebra $\hat{ACYB}_n$ and the Schubert and Grothendieck Calculi. This observation was the starting point for the study of some combinatorial properties of certain specializations of the Schubert, the $\beta$-Grothendieck [28] and the double $\beta$-Grothendieck polynomials in Section 5.2.

One of the main results of Section 5.2 can be stated as follows.

**Theorem 1.3.**

---

*One can define an analogue of the algebra $3\Sigma_n(0)$ for the root system of $BC_n$ and $C_n$ types as well, but we are omitted these cases in the present paper.

The algebra $\hat{ACYB}_n$ can be treated as “one-half” of the algebra $3T_n(\beta)$. It appears, see Lemma 5.1, that the basic relations among the Dunkl elements, which do not mutually commute anymore, are still valid, see Lemma 5.1.
(1) Let \( w \in S_n \) be a permutation, consider the specialization \( x_i := q, x_i = 1, \forall i \geq 2 \), of the \( \beta \)-Grothendieck polynomial \( \mathcal{G}_w^{(\beta)}(X_n) \). Then

\[
\mathcal{R}_w(q, \beta + 1) := \mathcal{G}_w^{(\beta)}(x_1 = q, x_i = 1, \forall i \geq 2) \in \mathbb{N}[q, 1 + \beta].
\]

In other words, the polynomial \( \mathcal{R}_w(q, \beta) \) has non-negative integer coefficients. For late use we define polynomials

\[
\mathcal{R}_w(q, \beta) := q^{1-w(1)} \mathcal{R}_w(q, \beta).
\]

(2) Let \( w \in S_n \) be a permutation, consider the specialization \( x_i := q, y_i = t, \forall i \geq 1 \), of the double \( \beta \)-Grothendieck polynomial \( \mathcal{G}_w^{(\beta)}(X_n, Y_n) \). Then

\[
\mathcal{G}_w^{(\beta-1)}(x_i := q, y_i := t, \forall i \geq 1) \in \mathbb{N}[q, t, \beta].
\]

(3) Let \( w \) be a permutation, then

\[
\mathcal{R}_w(1, \beta) = \mathcal{R}_w(0, \beta).
\]

Note that \( \mathcal{R}_w(1, \beta) = \mathcal{R}_w^{-1}(1, \beta) \), but \( \mathcal{R}_w(t, \beta) \neq \mathcal{R}_w^{-1}(t, \beta) \), in general.

For the reader convenience we collect some basic definitions and results concerning the \( \beta \)-Grothendieck polynomials in Appendix I.

Let us observe that \( \mathcal{R}_w(1, 1) = \mathcal{G}_w(1) \), where \( \mathcal{G}_w(1) \) denotes the specialization \( x_i := 1, \forall i \geq 1 \), of the Schubert polynomial \( \mathcal{G}_w(X_n) \) corresponding to permutation \( w \). Therefore, \( \mathcal{R}_w(1, 1) \) is equal to the number of compatible sequences [8] (or pipe dreams, see e.g. [85]) corresponding to permutation \( w \).

**Problem 1.1.**

Let \( w \in S_n \) be a permutation and \( l := \ell(w) \) be its length. Denote by \( CS(w) = \{ a = (a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \cdots \leq a_l) \in \mathbb{N}^l \} \) the set of compatible sequences [8] corresponding to permutation \( w \).

- Define statistics \( r(a) \) on the set of all compatible sequences \( CS_n := \prod_{w \in S_n} CS(w) \)
in a such way that

\[
\sum_{a \in CS(w)} q^{a_1} \beta^{r(a)} = \mathcal{R}_w(q, \beta).
\]

- Find a geometric interpretation, and investigate combinatorial and algebra-geometric properties of polynomials \( \mathcal{G}_w^{(\beta)}(X_n) \),

where for a permutation \( w \in S_n \) we denoted by \( \mathcal{G}_w^{(\beta)}(X_n) \) the \( \beta \)-Schubert polynomial defined as follows

\[
\mathcal{G}_w^{(\beta)}(X_n) = \sum_{a \in CS(w)} \beta^{r(a)} \prod_{i=1}^{l := \ell(w)} x_{a_i}.
\]

We expect that polynomial \( \mathcal{G}_w^{(\beta)}(1) \) coincides with the Hilbert polynomial of a certain graded commutative ring naturally associated to permutation \( w \).

**Remark 1.1.** It should be mentioned that, in general, the principal specialization

\[
\mathcal{G}_w^{(\beta-1)}(x_i := q^{-1}, \forall i \geq 1)
\]

of the \( (\beta - 1) \)-Grothendieck polynomial may have negative coefficients.\[10\]

---

\[10\] For a more general result see Appendix I, Corollary 6.2.
Our main objective in Section 5.2 is to study the polynomials $\mathcal{R}_w(q, \beta)$ for a special class of permutations in the symmetric group $S_\infty$. Namely, in Section 5.2 we study some combinatorial properties of polynomials $\mathcal{R}_{\varpi_{\lambda, \phi}}(q, \beta)$ for the five parameters family of vexillary permutations $\{\varpi_{\lambda, \phi}\}$ which have the shape

$$\lambda := (\lambda_{n,p}, b, n, p, b) = (p(n-1) + b, i = 1, \ldots, n + 1) \text{ and flag }$$

$$\phi := p_k = (k + r(i - 1), i = 1, \ldots, n + 1).$$

This class of permutations is notable for many reasons, including that the specialized value of the Schubert polynomial $G_{\varpi_{\lambda, \phi}}(1)$ admits a nice product formula, see Theorem 5.6. Moreover, we describe also some interesting connections of polynomials $\mathcal{R}_{\varpi_{\lambda, \phi}}(q, \beta)$ with plane partitions, the Fuss-Catalan numbers $\mathcal{C}$ and Fuss-Narayana polynomials, $k$-triangulations and $k$-dissections of a convex polygon, as well as a connection with two families of ASM. For example, let $\lambda = (b^n)$ and $\phi = (k^n)$ be rectangular shape partitions, then the polynomial $\mathcal{R}_{\varpi_{\lambda, \phi}}(q, \beta)$ defines a $(q, \beta)$-deformation of the number of (ordinary) plane partitions $\mathcal{C}$ sitting in the box $b \times k \times n$. It seems an interesting problem to find an algebra-geometric interpretation of polynomials $\mathcal{R}_w(q, \beta)$ in the general case.

**Question** Let $a$ and $b$ be mutually prime positive integers. Does there exist a family of permutations $w_{a,b} \in S_{ab(a+b)}$ such that the specialization $x_i = 1$ for all $i$ of the Schubert polynomial $G_{w_{a,b}}$ is equal to the rational Catalan number $C_{a/b}$? That is

$$G_{w_{a,b}}(1) = \frac{1}{a+b} \left(\frac{a+b}{a}\right).$$

Many of the computations in Section 5.2 are based on the following determinantal formula for $\beta$-Grothendieck polynomials corresponding to Grassmannian permutations, cf [59].

**Theorem 1.4.** (see Comments 5.5)

If $w = \sigma_\lambda$ is the Grassmannian permutation with shape $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$ and a unique descent at position $n$, then [13]

$$(A) \quad G_{\sigma_\lambda}^{(\beta)}(X_n) = \text{DET} \left| h_{\lambda_j + i,j}^{(\beta)}(X_n) \right|_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} = \frac{\text{DET} \left| x_i^{\lambda_j + n-j} (1 + \beta x_i)^{j-1} \right|_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_i - x_j)},$$

where $X_n = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$, and for any set of variables $X$,

$$h_{n,k}^{(\beta)}(X) = \sum_{a=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{a} h_{n-k+a}(X) \beta^a.$$

---

11 One can prove a product formula for the principal specialization $G_{\varpi_{\lambda, \phi}}(x_i := q^{i-1}, \forall i \geq 1)$ of the corresponding Schubert polynomial. We don’t need a such formula in the present paper.

12 We define the (generalized) Fuss-Catalan numbers to be $FC_n^{(p)}(b) := \frac{1+b}{1+(n-1)p} \binom{n+p+b}{n+b}$. Connection of the Fuss-Catalan numbers with the $p$-ballot numbers $Bal_p(m, n) := \frac{n-m+1}{m+1} \binom{m+n}{m}$ and the Rothe numbers $R_{\lambda}(a,b) := \frac{a}{a+b} \binom{a+b}{b}$ can be described as follows

$$FC_n^{(p)}(b) = R_n(b+1, p) = Bal_{p-1}(n, (n-1)p + b).$$

13 Let $\lambda$ be a partition. An ordinary plane partition (plane partition for short) bounded by $d$ and shape $\lambda$ is a filling of the shape $\lambda$ by the numbers from the set $\{0, 1, \ldots, d\}$ in such a way that the numbers along columns and rows are weakly decreasing.

A reverse plane partition bounded by $d$ and shape $\lambda$ is a filling of the shape $\lambda$ by the numbers from the set $\{0, 1, \ldots, d\}$ in such a way that the numbers along columns and rows are weakly increasing.

14 The equality

$$G_{\sigma_\lambda}^{(\beta)}(X_n) = \frac{\text{DET} \left| x_i^{\lambda_j + n-j} (1 + \beta x_i)^{j-1} \right|_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_i - x_j)},$$

has been proved independently in [70].
and $h_k(X)$ denotes the complete symmetric polynomial of degree $k$ in the variables from the set $X$.

\[(B) \quad \mathcal{E}_{\sigma}(X,Y) = \frac{\text{DET}\prod_{a=1}^{b+j+n-j}(x_i + y_a + \beta x_i y_a)(1 + \beta x_i)^{j-1}|_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}(x_i - x_j)}.\]

In Section 5.3 we give a partial answer on the question 6.C8(d) by R.Stanley [90]. In particular, we relate the reduced polynomial corresponding to monomial

\[\left( x_{12}^{a_2} \cdots x_{n-1,n}^{a_n} \right)^{n-2} \prod_{j=2}^{n} \prod_{k=j+2}^{n} x_{jk}, \quad a_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \forall j,\]

with the Ehrhart polynomial of the generalized Chan–Robbins–Yuen polytope, if $a_2 = \ldots = a_n = m + 1$, cf [66], with a $t$-deformation of the Kostant partition function of type $A_{n-1}$ and the Ehrhart polynomials of some flow polytopes, cf [67].

In Section 5.4 we investigate certain specializations of the reduced polynomials corresponding to monomials of the form

\[x_{12}^{m_1} \cdots x_{n-1,n}^{m_n}, \quad m_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \forall j.\]

First of all we observe that the corresponding specialized reduced polynomial appears to be a piece-wise polynomial function of parameters $m = (m_1, \ldots, m_n) \in (\mathbb{R}_{>0})^n$, denoted by $P_m$. It is an interesting problem to compute the Laplace transform of that piece-wise polynomial function. In the present paper we compute the value of the function $P_m$ in the dominant chamber $C_n = (m_1 \geq m_2 \geq \ldots \geq m_n \geq 0)$, and give a combinatorial interpretation of the values of that function in points $(n, m)$ and $(n, m, k)$, $n \geq m \geq k$.

For the reader convenience, in Appendix I–V we collect some useful auxiliary information about the subjects we are treated in the present paper.

Almost all results in Section 5 state that some two specific sets have the same number of elements. Our proofs of these results are pure algebraic. It is an interesting problem to find bijective proofs of results from Section 5 which generalize and extend remarkable bijective proofs presented in [98], [85], [91], [67] to the cases of

- the $\beta$-Grothendieck polynomials,
- the (small) Schröder numbers,
- $k$-dissections of a convex $(n + k + 1)$-gon,
- special values of reduced polynomials.

We are planning to treat and present these bijections in (a) separate publication(s).

We expect that the reduced polynomials corresponding to the higher-order powers of the Coxeter elements also admit an interesting combinatorial interpretation(s). Some preliminary results in this direction are discussed in Comments 5.8.

At the end of Introduction I want to add two remarks.

(a) After a suitable modification of the algebra $3HT_n$, see [52], and the case $\beta \neq 0$ in [47], one can compute the set of relations among the (additive) Dunkl elements (defined in Section 2, (2.1)). In the case $\beta = 0$ and $q_{ij} = q_i \delta_{j-i,1}, \ 1 \leq i < j \leq n$, where $\delta_{a,b}$ is the Kronecker delta symbol, the commutative algebra generated by additive Dunkl elements (2.3) appears to be “almost” isomorphic to the equivariant quantum cohomology ring of the flag variety $\mathcal{F}l_n$, see [52] for details. Using the multiplicative version of Dunkl elements (3.14), one can extend the results from [52] to the case of equivariant quantum $K$-theory of the flag variety $\mathcal{F}l_n$, see [47].
As it was pointed out previously, one can define an analogue of the algebra $3T_n^{(0)}$ for any (oriented) matroid $\mathcal{M}_n$, and state a conjecture which connects the Hilbert polynomial of the algebra $3T_n^{(0)}(\mathcal{M}_n)^{ab}, t)$ and the chromatic polynomial of matroid $\mathcal{M}_n$. We expect that algebra $3T_n^{(\beta=1)}(\mathcal{M}_n)^{ab}$ is isomorphic to the Gelfand–Varchenko algebra associated with matroid $\mathcal{M}$. It is an interesting problem to find a combinatorial meaning of the algebra $3T_n^{(\beta)}(\mathcal{M}_n)$ for $\beta = 0$ and $\beta \neq 0$.
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2 Dunkl elements

Let $\mathfrak{F}_n$ be the free associative algebra over $\mathbb{Z}$ with the set of generators $\{u_{ij}, 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$. In the subsequent text we will distinguish the set of generators $\{u_{ii}\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ from that $\{u_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n}$, and set

$$x_i := u_{ii}, \ i = 1, \ldots, n.$$  

Definition 2.1. (Additive Dunkl elements)

The (additive) Dunkl elements $\theta_i, i = 1, \ldots, n,$ in the algebra $\mathfrak{F}_n$ are defined to be

$$\theta_i = x_i + \sum_{j=1 \atop j \neq i}^n u_{ij}. \quad (2.1)$$

We are interested in to find “natural relations” among the generators $\{u_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ such that the Dunkl elements (2.1) are pair-wise commute. One of the natural conditions which is the commonly accepted in the theory of integrable systems, is

- (Locality conditions)
  - (a) $[x_i, x_j] = 0,$ if $i \neq j,$
  - (b) $u_{ij} u_{kl} = u_{kl} u_{ij},$ if $i \neq j,$ $k \neq l$ and $\{i, j\} \cap \{k, l\} = \emptyset.$ \hspace{1cm} (2.2)

Lemma 2.1.

Assume that elements $\{u_{ij}\}$ satisfy the locality condition (2.1). If $i \neq j,$ then

$$[\theta_i, \theta_j] = \left[ x_i + \sum_{k \neq i, j}^n u_{ik}, u_{ij} + u_{ji} \right] + \left[ u_{ij}, \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \right] + \sum_{k \neq i, j} w_{ijk},$$

where

$$w_{ijk} = [u_{ij}, u_{ik} + u_{jk}] + [u_{ik}, u_{jk}] + [x_i, u_{jk}] + [u_{ik}, x_j] + [x_k, u_{ij}]. \quad (2.3)$$

Therefore in order to ensure that the Dunkl elements form a pair-wise commuting family, it’s natural to assume that the following conditions hold

- (Unitarity)
  $$[u_{ij} + u_{ji} u_{kl}] = 0 = [u_{ij} + u_{ji} x_k], \text{ for all distinct } i, j, k, l,$$ \hspace{1cm} (2.4)

i.e. the elements $u_{ij} + u_{ji}$ are central.

- (“Conservation laws”)
  $$\sum_{k=1}^n x_k, u_{ij} = 0 \text{ for all } i, j,$$ \hspace{1cm} (2.5)

i.e. the element $E := \sum_{k=1}^n x_k$ is central.

- (Unitary dynamical classical Yang–Baxter relations)
  $$[u_{ij}, u_{ik} + u_{jk}] + [u_{ik}, u_{jk}] + [x_i, u_{jk}] + [u_{ik}, x_j] + [x_k, u_{ij}] = 0,$$ \hspace{1cm} (2.6)

if $i, j, k$ are pair-wise distinct.
Definition 2.2. (Dynamical six term relations algebra $6DT_n$)

We denote by $6DT_n$ the quotient of the algebra $F_n$ by the two-sided ideal generated by relations (2.2) – (2.6).

Clearly, the Dunkl elements (2.1) generate a commutative subalgebra inside of the algebra $6DT_n$, and the sum $\sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ belongs to the center of the algebra $6DT_n$.

Remark Occasionally we will call the Dunkl elements of the form (2.1) by dynamical Dunkl elements to distinguish the latter from truncated Dunkl elements, corresponding to the case $x_i = 0, \forall i$.

2.1 Some representations of the algebra $6DT_n$

2.1.1 Dynamical Dunkl elements and equivariant quantum cohomology

(I) (cf [25]) Given a set $q_1, \ldots, q_{n-1}$ of mutually commuting parameters, define

$$q_{ij} = \prod_{a=i}^{j-1} q_a, \quad \text{if } i < j,$$

and set $q_{ij} = q_{ji}$ in the case $i > j$. Clearly, that if $i < j < k$, then $q_{ij}q_{jk} = q_{ik}$.

Let $z_1, \ldots, z_n$ be a set of (mutually commuting) variables. Denote by $P_n := \mathbb{Z}[z_1, \ldots, z_n]$ the corresponding ring of polynomials. We consider the variable $z_i, \; i = 1, \ldots, n$, also as the operator acting on the ring of polynomials $P_n$ by multiplication on the variable $z_i$.

Let $s_{ij} \in S_n$ be the transposition that swaps the letters $i$ and $j$ and fixes all other letters $k \neq i, j$. We consider the transposition $s_{ij}$ also as the operator which acts on the ring $P_n$ by interchanging $z_i$ and $z_j$, and fixes all other variables. We denote by

$$\partial_{ij} = \frac{1 - s_{ij}}{z_i - z_j}, \quad \partial_i := \partial_{i,i+1},$$

the divided difference operators corresponding to the transposition $s_{ij}$ and the simple transposition $s_i := s_{i,i+1}$ correspondingly. Finally we define operator (cf [25])

$$\partial_{(ij)} := \partial_i \cdots \partial_{j-1} \partial_j \partial_{j-1} \cdots \partial_i, \quad \text{if } i < j.$$  

The operators $\partial_{(ij)}, \; 1 \leq i < j \leq n$, satisfy (among other things) the following set of relations (cf [25])

- $[z_j, \partial_{(ik)}] = 0, \quad \text{if } j \notin [i,k], \quad \text{[} \partial_{(ij)}, \sum_{a=i}^j z_a \text{]} = 0,$
- $[\partial_{(ij)}, \partial_{(kl)}] = \delta_{jk} [z_j, \partial_{(il)}] + \delta_{il} [\partial_{(kj)}, z_i], \quad \text{if } \ i < j, \quad k < l.$

Therefore, if we set $u_{ij} = q_{ij} \partial_{(ij)}, \quad \text{if } i < j, \quad \text{and } u_{(ij)} = -u_{(ji)}, \quad \text{if } i > j$, then for a triple $i < j < k$ we will have

$$[u_{ij}, u_{ik} + u_{jk}] + [u_{ik}, u_{jk}] + [z_i, u_{jk}] + [u_{ik}, z_j] + [z_k, u_{jk}] = q_{ij}q_{jk}[\partial_{(ij)}, \partial_{(jk)}] + q_{ik}[\partial_{(ik)}, z_j] = 0.$$

Thus the elements $\{z_i, i = 1, \ldots, n\}$ and $\{u_{ij}, 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$ define a representation of the algebra $DCY B_n$, and therefore the Dunkl elements

$$\theta_i := z_i + \sum_{j \neq i} u_{ij} = z_i - \sum_{j < i} q_{ji} \partial_{(ji)} + \sum_{j > i} q_{ij} \partial_{(ij)}$$

form a pairwise commuting family of operators acting on the ring of polynomials $\mathbb{Z}[q_1, \ldots, q_{n-1}][z_1, \ldots, z_n]$, cf [25]. This representation has been used in [25] to construct the small quantum cohomology ring of the complete flag variety of type $A_{n-1}$.
(II) Consider degenerate affine Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_n$ generated by the central element $h$, the elements of the symmetric group $S_n$, and the mutually commuting elements $y_1, \ldots, y_n$, subject to relations

$$s_iy_i - y_{i+1}s_i = h, \quad 1 \leq i < n, \quad s_iy_j = y_js_i, \quad j \neq i, i + 1,$$

where $s_i$ stand for the simple transposition that swaps only indices $i$ and $i + 1$. For $i < j$, let $s_{ij} = s_i \cdots s_{j-1}s_{j-1} \cdots s_i$ denotes the permutation that swaps only indices $i$ and $j$. It is an easy exercise to show that

- $[y_j, s_{ik}] = h[s_{ij}, s_{jk}]$, if $i < j < k$,
- $y_is_{ik} - s_{ik}y_k = h + h s_{ik} \sum_{i<j<k} s_{jk}$, if $i < k$.

Finally, consider a set of mutually commuting parameters $\{p_{ij}, 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n, p_{ij} + p_{ji} = 0\}$, subject to the constraints

$$p_{ij}p_{jk} = p_{ik}p_{ij} + p_{jk}p_{ik} + p_{ik}, \quad i < j < k.$$

**Comments 2.1.** If parameters $\{p_{ij}\}$ are invertible, and satisfy relations

$$p_{ij}p_{jk} = p_{ik}p_{ij} + p_{jk}p_{ik} + \beta p_{ik}, \quad i < j < k,$$

then one can rewrite the above displayed relations in the following form:

$$1 + \frac{\beta}{p_{ik}} = \left(1 + \frac{\beta}{p_{ij}}\right)\left(1 + \frac{\beta}{p_{jk}}\right), \quad 1 \leq i < j < k \leq n.$$

Therefore there exist parameters $\{q_1, \ldots, q_n\}$ such that

$$1 + \beta/p_{ij} = q_i/q_j, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq n.$$

In other words, $p_{ij} = \beta q_i/q_j$, $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. However in general, there are many other types of solutions, for example, solutions related to the Heaviside function $\text{H}(x)$, namely, $p_{ij} = \text{H}(x_i - x_j)$, $x_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $\forall i$, and its discrete analogue, see Example (III) below. In the both cases $\beta = -1$; see also Comments 2.3 for other examples.

To continue presentation of Example (II), define elements $u_{ij} = p_{ij}s_{ij}$, $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$.

**Lemma 2.2.** *(Dynamical classical Yang–Baxter relations)*

$$[u_{ij}, u_{ik} + u_{jk}] + [u_{ik}, u_{jk}] + [u_{ik}, y_j] = 0, \quad 1 < i < j < k \leq n. \quad (2.7)$$

Indeed,

$$u_{ij}u_{jk} = u_{ik}u_{ij} + u_{jk}u_{ik} + h p_{ik}s_{ij}s_{jk}, \quad u_{jk}u_{ij} = u_{ij}u_{ik} + u_{ik}u_{jk} + h p_{ik}s_{jk}s_{ik},$$

and moreover, $[y_j, u_{ik}] = h p_{ik}[s_{ij}, s_{jk}]$.

Therefore, the elements

$$\theta_i = y_i - h \sum_{j<i} u_{ij} + h \sum_{i<j} u_{ij}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n,$$

form a mutually commuting set of elements in the algebra $\mathbb{Z}[[p_{ij}]] \otimes \mathcal{H}_n$.

**Theorem 2.1.** Define matrix $M_n = (m_{i,j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ as follows:

$$m_{i,j}(u; z_1, \ldots, z_n) = \begin{cases} u - z_i & \text{if } i = j, \\
-h - p_{ij} & \text{if } i < j, \\
p_{ij} & \text{if } i > j. \end{cases}$$

---

15 http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Heaviside_step_function
Then
\[
\det \begin{vmatrix} M_n(u; \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n) \end{vmatrix} = \prod_{j=1}^n (u - y_j). 
\]

Moreover, let us set \( q_{ij} := h^2(p_{ij} + p_{ij}^2) = h^2 q_i q_j (q_i - q_j)^{-2}, \ i < j, \) then
\[
e_k(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n) = e_k^{(q)}(y_1, \ldots, y_n), \ 1 \leq k \leq n,
\]
where \( e_k(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \) and \( e_k^{(q)}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \) denote correspondingly the classical and multiparameter quantum [26] elementary polynomials [16].

Let’s stress that the elements \( y_i \) and \( \theta_j \) do not commute in the algebra \( \mathcal{H}_n \), but the symmetric functions of \( y_1, \ldots, y_n \), i.e. the center of the algebra \( \mathcal{H}_n \), do.

A few remarks in order. First of all, \( u^2_{ij} = p_{ij}^2 \) are central elements. Secondly, in the case \( h = 0 \) and \( y_i = 0, \forall i \), the equality
\[
\det \begin{vmatrix} M_n(u; x_1, \ldots, x_n) \end{vmatrix} = u^n
\]
describes the set of polynomial relations among the Dunkl–Gaudin elements (with the following choice of parameters \( p_{ij} = (q_i - q_j)^{-1} \) are taken). And our final remark is that according to [35], Section 8, the quotient ring
\[
\mathcal{H}_n^q := \mathbb{Q}[y_1, \ldots, y_n]^{S_n} \otimes \mathbb{Q}[\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n] \otimes \mathbb{Q}[h] / \left\langle M_n(u; \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n) = \prod_{j=1}^n (u - y_j) \right\rangle
\]
is isomorphic to the quantum equivariant cohomology ring of the cotangent bundle \( T^*F_{l_n} \) of the complete flag variety of type \( A_{n-1} \), namely,
\[
\mathcal{H}_n^q \cong \mathbb{Q} H_{T^n \times \mathbb{C}^*}(T^*F_{l_n})
\]
with the following choice of quantum parameters:
\[
Q_i := h q_{i+1}/q_i, \ i = 1, \ldots, n - 1.
\]

On the other hand, in [52] we computed the so-called multiparameter deformation of the equivariant cohomology ring of the complete flag variety of type \( A_{n-1} \).

A deformation defined in [52] depends on parameters \( \{q_{ij}, 1 \leq i < j \leq n\} \) without any constraints are imposed. For the special choice of parameters
\[
q_{ij} := h^2 \frac{q_i q_j}{(q_i - q_j)^2}
\]
the multiparameter deformation of the equivariant cohomology ring of the type \( A_{n-1} \) complete flag variety \( F_{l_n} \) constructed in [52], is isomorphic to the ring \( \mathcal{H}_n^q \).

\[\text{16} \] For the reader convenience we remind [26] a definition of the quantum elementary polynomial \( e_k^{(q)}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \). Let \( q := \{q_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \) be a collection of “quantum parameters”, then
\[
e_k^{(q)}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \sum_{i} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} e_{k-2r}(X_{\ell J}) \prod_{a=1}^{\ell} q_{i_a,j_a},
\]
where \( I = (i_1, \ldots, i_\ell) \), \( J = (j_1, \ldots, j_\ell) \) should be distinct elements of the set \( \{1, \ldots, n\} \), and \( X_{\ell J} \) denotes set of variables \( x_a \) for which the subscript \( a \) is neither one of \( i_m \) nor one of the \( j_m \).
Comments 2.2. Let us fix a set of independent parameters \( \{ q_1, \ldots, q_n \} \) and define new parameters

\[
q_{ij} := h \ p_{ij}(p_{ij} + h) = h^2 \ \frac{q_i q_j}{(q_i - q_j)}, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq n, \text{ where } p_{ij} = \frac{q_i}{q_i - q_j}, \ i < j.
\]

We set \( \deg(q_{ij}) = 2, \deg(p_{ij}) = 1, \ deg(h) = 1. \)

The new parameters \( \{ q_{ij} \}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \) do not free anymore, but satisfy rather complicated algebraic relations. We display some of these relations soon, having in mind a question:

is there some intrinsic meaning of the algebraic variety defined by the set of defining relations among the “quantum parameters” \( \{ q_{ij} \} \)?

Let us denote by \( A_{n,h} \) the quotient ring of the ring of polynomials \( \mathbb{Q}[h][x_{ij}, \ 1 \leq i < j \leq n] \) modulo the ideal generated by polynomials \( f(x_{ij}) \) such that the specialization \( x_{ij} = q_{ij} \) of a polynomial \( f(x_{ij}) \), namely \( f(q_{ij}) \), is equal to zero. The algebra \( A_{n,h} \) has a natural filtration, and we denote by \( A_n = gr A_{n,h} \) the corresponding associated graded algebra.

To describe (a part of) relations among the parameters \( \{ q_{ij} \} \) let us observe that parameters \( \{ p_{ij} \} \) and \( \{ q_{ij} \} \) are related by the following identity

\[
q_{ij} q_{jk} - q_{ik}(q_{ij} + q_{jk}) + h^2 q_{ik} = 2 \ p_{ij} p_{ik} p_{jk} (p_{ik} + h), \quad \text{if } \ i < j < k.
\]

Using this identity we can find the following relations among parameters in question

\[
q_{ij}^2 q_{jk} + q_{ij}^2 q_{ik} + h^2 q_{ik}^2 q_{jk} - 2 \ q_{ij} q_{ik} q_{jk} (q_{ij} + q_{jk} + q_{ik}) - 2 \ h^2 q_{ik} (q_{ij} q_{jk} + q_{ij} q_{ik} + q_{jk} q_{ik}) = 8 \ h \ q_{ij} q_{ik} q_{jk} P_{ik},
\]

if \( 1 \leq i < j < k \leq n. \)

Finally, we come to a relation of degree 8 among the “quantum parameters” \( \{ q_{ij} \} \)

\[
\left( LHS(2.8) \right)^2 = 64 \ h^2 \ q_{ij}^2 q_{ik}^2 q_{jk}^2, \quad 1 \leq i < j < k \leq n.
\]

There are also higher degree relations among the parameters \( \{ q_{ij} \} \) some of whose in degree 16 follow from the deformed Plücker relation between parameters \( \{ p_{ij} \} \):

\[
\frac{1}{p_{ik} p_{jl}} = \frac{1}{p_{ij} p_{kl}} + \frac{h}{p_{ij} p_{jk} p_{kl}}, \quad i < j < k < l.
\]

However, we don’t know how to describe the algebra \( A_{n,h} \) generated by quantum parameters \( \{ q_{ij} \}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \) even for \( n=4. \)

The algebra \( A_n = gr A_{n,h} \) is isomorphic to the quotient algebra of \( \mathbb{Q}[x_{ij}, \ 1 \leq i < j \leq n] \) modulo the ideal generated by the set of relations between “quantum parameters”

\[
\{ \overline{q}_{ij} := \left( \frac{1}{z_i - z_j} \right)^2 \}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n},
\]

which correspond to the Dunkl–Gaudin elements \( \{ \theta_i \}_{1 \leq i \leq n} \), see Section 3.2 below for details. In this case the parameters \( \{ \overline{q}_{ij} \} \) satisfy the following relations

\[
(\overline{q}_{ij}^2 q_{jk} + \overline{q}_{ij}^2 q_{ik} + \overline{q}_{jk}^2 q_{ik}) = 2 \ \overline{q}_{ij} \overline{q}_{ik} \overline{q}_{jk} (\overline{q}_{ij} + \overline{q}_{jk} + \overline{q}_{ik})
\]

which correspond to the relations (2.8) in the special case \( h = 0 \). One can find a set of relations in degrees 6, 7 and 8, namely for a given pair-wise distinct integers \( 1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq n \), one has

- one relation in degree 6

\[
\overline{q}_{ij}^2 \overline{q}_{ik} \overline{q}_{il} + \overline{q}_{ij}^2 \overline{q}_{jk} \overline{q}_{jl} + \overline{q}_{ik}^2 \overline{q}_{jk} \overline{q}_{kl} + \overline{q}_{il}^2 \overline{q}_{jl} \overline{q}_{kl} -
\]
\[
2 \overline{q}_{ij} \overline{q}_{ik} \overline{q}_{id} \overline{q}_{jk} \overline{q}_{jl} \overline{q}_{kl} \left( \overline{q}_{il} + \overline{q}_{ij} + \overline{q}_{ik} + \overline{q}_{il} + \overline{q}_{jk} + \overline{q}_{il} \right) + 8 \overline{q}_{ij} \overline{q}_{ik} \overline{q}_{id} \overline{q}_{jk} \overline{q}_{jl} \overline{q}_{kl} = 0;
\]

- three relations in degree 7
\[
\overline{q}_{ik} \left( \overline{q}_{ij} \overline{q}_{id} \overline{q}_{kl} - \overline{q}_{ij} \overline{q}_{il} \overline{q}_{jk} + \overline{q}_{ij} \overline{q}_{ik} \overline{q}_{kl} - \overline{q}_{il} \overline{q}_{jk} \overline{q}_{kl} \right)^2 =
8 \overline{q}_{ij} \overline{q}_{ik} \overline{q}_{id} \overline{q}_{jk} \overline{q}_{jl} \overline{q}_{kl} \left( \overline{q}_{il} + \overline{q}_{jl} + \overline{q}_{kl} \right) - 4 \overline{q}_{ij} \overline{q}_{il} \overline{q}_{jl} \left( \overline{q}_{ij}^2 + \overline{q}_{il}^2 \right),
\]

- one relation in degree 8
\[
\overline{q}_{ij} \overline{q}_{il} \overline{q}_{jk} \overline{q}_{kl} \overline{q}_{kl} + \overline{q}_{ij} \overline{q}_{ik} \overline{q}_{jl} \overline{q}_{kl} + \overline{q}_{ik} \overline{q}_{jl} \overline{q}_{kl} = 2 \overline{q}_{ij} \overline{q}_{ik} \overline{q}_{il} \overline{q}_{jk} \overline{q}_{jl} \overline{q}_{kl} \left( \overline{q}_{il} \overline{q}_{jk} + \overline{q}_{ik} \overline{q}_{jl} + \overline{q}_{i} \overline{q}_{jk} \right),
\]

However, we don’t know does the list of relations displayed above, contains the all independent relations among the elements \( \{\overline{q}_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \) in degrees 6, 7 and 8, even for \( n = 4 \). In degrees \( \geq 9 \) and \( n \geq 5 \) some independent relations should appear.

Notice that the parameters \( \{p_{ij} = \frac{h q_i}{q_i - q_j}, i < j\} \) satisfy the so-called Gelfand–Varchenko relations, see e.g. \[15\]
\[p_{ij} p_{jk} = p_{ik} p_{ij} + p_{jk} p_{ik} + h p_{ik}, \quad i < j < k,\]
whereas parameters \( \{\overline{p}_{ij} = \frac{1}{q_i - q_j}, i < j\} \) satisfy the so-called Arnold relations
\[\overline{p}_{ij} \overline{p}_{jk} = \overline{p}_{ik} \overline{p}_{ij} + \overline{p}_{jk} \overline{p}_{ik}, \quad i < j < k.\]

**Project 2.1.** \[17\] Find Hilbert series \( \text{Hilb}(A_n, t) \) for \( n \geq 4 \).

For example, \( \text{Hilb}(A_3, t) = \frac{(1+2)(1+t^2)}{(1-t)^4} \).

Finally, if we set \( q_i := \exp(h z_i) \) and take the limit \( \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{h^2 q_i q_j}{(q_i - q_j)^2} \), as a result we obtain the Dunkl–Gaudin parameter \( \overline{q}_{ij} = \frac{1}{(z_i - z_j)^2} \).

(III) Consider the following representation of the degenerate affine Hecke algebra \( \mathfrak{h}_n \) on the ring of polynomials \( P_n = \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \):
- the symmetric group \( S_n \) acts on \( P_n \) by means of operators
  \[
  \overline{s}_i = 1 + (x_i + 1 - x_i - h) \partial_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n - 1,
  \]
- \( y_i \) acts on the ring \( P_n \) by multiplication on the variable \( x_i \):
  \[
y_i(f(x)) = x_i f(x), \quad f \in P_n. \]
Clearly,
\[
y_i(\overline{s}_i) = \overline{s}_i + y_i(\overline{s}_i - 1) = (\overline{s}_i - 1)y_i + x_i + 1 - x_i - h.
\]

In the subsequent discussion we will identify the operator of multiplication by the variable \( x_i \), namely the operator \( y_i \), with \( x_i \).

This time define \( u_{ij} = p_{ij} (\overline{s}_i - 1) \), if \( i < j \) and set \( u_{ij} = -u_{ji} \) if \( i > j \), where parameters \( \{p_{ij}\} \) satisfy the same conditions as in the previous example.

---

\[17\] This is a particular case of more general problem we are interested in. Namely, let \( \{f_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]\}_{1 \leq \alpha \leq N} \) be a collection of linear forms, and \( k \geq 2 \) be an integer. Denote by \( I(\{f_{\alpha}\}) \) the ideal in the ring of polynomials \( \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \) generated by polynomials \( \Phi(z_1, \ldots, z_N) \) such that
\[
\Phi(f_{1-k}, \ldots, f_{N-k}) = 0.
\]
Compute the Hilbert polynomial of the quotient algebra \( \mathbb{R}[z_1, \ldots, z_N]/I(\{f_{\alpha}\}) \).
Lemma 2.3. The elements \{u_{ij},\ 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}, satisfy the dynamical classical Yang–Baxter relations displayed in Lemma 2.2, (2.7).

Therefore, the Dunkl elements

$$\bar{\theta}_i := \sum_{j \neq i}^n u_{ij}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n,$$

form a commutative set of elements.

Theorem 2.2. Define matrix \(\mathbf{M}_n = (m_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}\) as follows

$$m_{i,j}(u; z_1, \ldots, z_n) = \begin{cases} u - z_i + \sum_{j \neq i} h p_{ij} & \text{if } i = j, \\ -h - p_{ij} & \text{if } i < j, \\ p_{ij} & \text{if } i > j. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\text{DET} \left| \mathbf{M}_n(u; \bar{\theta}_1, \ldots, \bar{\theta}_n) \right| = \prod_{j=1}^n (u - x_j).$$

Comments 2.3. Let us list a few more representations of the dynamical classical Yang–Baxter relations.

- (Trigonometric Calogero–Moser representation) Let \(i < j\), define

$$u_{ij} = \frac{x_j}{x_i - x_j} (s_{ij} - \epsilon), \quad \epsilon = 0 \text{ or } 1; \quad s_{ij}(x_i) = x_j, \quad s_{ij}(x_j) = x_i, \quad s_{ij}(x_k) = x_k, \quad \forall k \neq i, j.$$

- (Mixed representation)

$$u_{ij} = \left( \frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} - \frac{x_j}{x_i - x_j} \right) (s_{ij} - \epsilon), \quad \epsilon = 0 \text{ or } 1; \quad s_{ij}(\lambda_k) = \lambda_k, \quad \forall k.$$

We set \(u_{ij} = -u_{ji}\) if \(i > j\). In all cases we define Dunkl elements to be \(\theta_i = \sum_{j \neq i} u_{ij}\).

Note that operators

$$r_{ij} = \left( \frac{\lambda_i + \lambda_j}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} - \frac{x_i + x_j}{x_i - x_j} \right) s_{ij}$$

satisfy the three term relations: \(r_{ij}r_{jk} = r_{ik}r_{ij} + r_{jk}r_{ik}\), and \(r_{jk}r_{ij} = r_{ij}r_{jk} + r_{ik}r_{jk}\), and thus satisfy the classical Yang–Baxter relations.

2.1.2 Dunkl–Uglov representation of degenerate affine Hecke algebra [94]

(Step functions and the Dunkl–Uglov representations of the degenerate affine Hecke algebras)

Consider step functions \(\eta^\pm : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}\)

(Heaviside function) \(\eta^+(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \geq 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } x < 0; \end{cases}\)

\(\eta^-(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x > 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } x \leq 0. \end{cases}\)

For any two real numbers \(x_i\) and \(x_j\) set \(\eta^\pm_{ij} = \eta^\pm(x_i - x_j)\).
Lemma 2.5. The functions $\eta_{ij}$ satisfy the following relations
- $\eta_{ij}^+ + \eta_{ji}^- = 1 + \delta_{x_i,x_j}$, $(\eta_{ij}^+)^2 = \eta_{ij}^+$,
- $\eta_{ij}^+ \eta_{jk}^+ = \eta_{ik}^+ \eta_{ij}^- + \eta_{jk}^- \eta_{ik}^+ - \eta_{ik}^+$,
where $\delta_{x_i,x_j}$ denotes the Kronecker delta function.

To introduce the Dunkl–Uglov operators \[94\] we need a few more definitions and notation. To start with, denote by $\Delta_i^\pm$ the finite difference operators: $\Delta_i^\pm(f)(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = f(\ldots,x_i \pm 1,\ldots)$. Let as before, \{s_{ij}, 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n, s_{ij} = s_{ji}\}, denotes the set of transpositions in the symmetric group $S_n$. Recall that $s_{ij}(x_i) = x_j \ s_{ij}(x_k) = x_k \ \forall k \neq i,j$. Finally define Dunkl–Uglov operators $d_i^\pm : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ to be
\[
d_i^\pm = \Delta_i^\pm + \sum_{j < i} \delta_{x_i,x_j} - \sum_{j < i} \eta_{ij}^- s_{ij} + \sum_{j > i} \eta_{ij}^+ s_{ij}.
\]

To simplify notation, set $u_{ij}^\pm := \eta_{ij}^+ s_{ij}$, if $i < j$, and $\tilde{\Delta}_i^\pm = \Delta_i^\pm + \sum_{j < i} \delta_{x_i,x_j}$.

Lemma 2.5. The operators $\{u_{ij}^\pm, 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$ satisfy the following relations
\[
[u_{ij}^\pm, u_{ik}^\pm + u_{jk}^\pm] + [u_{ik}^\pm, u_{jk}^\pm] + [u_{ik}^\pm, \sum_{j < i} \delta_{x_i,x_j}] = 0, \quad \text{if} \quad i < j < k. \tag{2.9}
\]

From now on we assume that $x_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \ \forall i$, that is, we will work with the restriction of the all operators defined at beginning of Example (2.1 (c)), to the subset $\mathbb{Z}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. It is easy to see that under the assumptions $x_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \ \forall i$, we will have
\[
\Delta_j^\pm \eta_{ij}^- = (\eta_{ij}^+ \delta_{x_i,x_j}) \Delta_i^\pm.
\tag{2.10}
\]

Moreover, using relations (2.13), (2.14) one can prove that

Lemma 2.6.
- $[u_{ij}^\pm, \tilde{\Delta}_i^\pm + \tilde{\Delta}_j^\pm] = 0$,
- $[u_{ik}^\pm, \tilde{\Delta}_j^\pm] = [u_{ik}^\pm, \sum_{j < i} \delta_{x_i,x_j}]$, $i < j < k$.

Corollary 2.1.
- The operators $\{u_{ij}^\pm, 1 \leq i < j \leq k \leq n,\}$ and $\tilde{\Delta}_i^\pm, i = 1,\ldots,n$ satisfy the dynamical classical Yang–Baxter relations
\[
[u_{ij}^\pm, u_{ik}^\pm + u_{jk}^\pm] + [u_{ik}^\pm, u_{jk}^\pm] + [u_{ik}^\pm, \tilde{\Delta}_j^\pm] = 0, \quad \text{if} \quad i < j < k. \tag{2.9}
\]

- \[94\] The operators $\{s_i := s_{i,i+1}, 1 \leq i < n, \text{ and } \tilde{\Delta}_i^\pm, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ give rise to two representations of the degenerate affine Hecke algebra $H_n$. In particular, the Dunkl–Uglov operators are mutually commute: $[d_i^\pm, d_j^\pm] = 0$.

\[\square\]

2.1.3 Extended Kohno–Drinfeld algebra and Yangian Dunkl–Gaudin elements

Definition 2.3. Extended Kohno–Drinfeld algebra is an associative algebra over $\mathbb{Q}[\beta]$ generated by the elements \{z_1,\ldots,z_n\} and \{y_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n} subject to the set of relations

(i) The elements \{y_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n} satisfy the Kohno–Drinfeld relations
- $y_{ij} = y_{ji}$, \quad \{y_{ij}, y_{kl}\} = 0, \quad \text{if} \quad i,j,k,l \text{ are distinct},$
- $[y_{ij}, y_{ik} + y_{jk}] = 0 = [y_{ij} + y_{ik}, y_{jk}], \quad \text{if} \quad i < j < k.$

(ii) The elements $z_1,\ldots,z_n$ generate the free associative algebra $F_n$.

(iii) (Crossing relations)
- $[z_i, y_{jk}] = 0, \quad \text{if} \quad i \neq j, k, \quad [z_i, z_j] = \beta \ [y_{ij}, z_i], \quad \text{if} \quad i \neq j$.  

\[\square\]
To define the (yangian) Dunkl-Gaudin elements, cf.\cite{35}, let us consider a set of elements \( \{p_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n} \) subject to relations:

- \( p_{ij} + p_{ji} = \beta, \quad [p_{ij}, y_k] = 0 = [p_{ij}, z_k] \) for all \( i, j, k \).
- \( p_{ij} p_{jk} = p_{ik}(p_{jk} - p_{ji}) \), if \( i < j < k \).

Let us set \( u_{ij} = p_{ij} y_{ij}, \quad i \neq j \), and define the (yangian) Dunkl-Gaudin elements as follows:

\[
\theta_i = z_i + \sum_{j \neq i} u_{ij}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n.
\]

**Proposition 2.1.** (Cf.\cite{35}, Lemma 3.5)

The elements \( \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n \) form a mutually commuting family.

Indeed, let \( i < j \), then 

\[
[\theta_i, \theta_j] = [z_i, z_j] + \beta[z_i, y_{ij}] + p_{ij}[y_{ij}, z_i + z_j] + \sum_{k \neq i, j} \left( p_{ik} p_{jk} \left[ y_{ij} + y_{ik}, y_{jk} \right] + p_{ik} p_{ji} \left[ y_{ij}, y_{ik} + y_{jk} \right] \right) = 0.
\]

A representation of the extended Kohno–Drinfeld algebra has been constructed in \cite{35}, namely one can take

\[
y_{ij} := T_{ij}^{(1)} T_{ji}^{(1)} - T_{jj}^{(1)} = y_{ji}, \quad z_i := \beta T_{ii}^{(2)} - \frac{\beta}{2} T_{ii}^{(1)} (T_{ii}^{(1)} - 1), \quad p_{ij} := \frac{\beta q_j}{q_i - q_j}, \quad i \neq j,
\]

where \( q_1, \ldots, q_n \) stands for a set of mutually commuting quantum parameters, and \( \{T_{ij}^{(s)}\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq n, s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \) denotes the set of generators of the Yangian \( Y(\mathfrak{gl}_n) \), see e.g.\cite{69}.

A proof that the elements \( \{z_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n} \) and \( \{y_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \) satisfy the extended Kohno–Drinfeld algebra relations is based on the following relations, see e.g.\cite{35}, Section 3

\[
[T_{ij}^{(1)}, T_{kl}^{(s)}] = \delta_{il} T_{kj}^{(s)} - \delta_{jk} T_{il}^{(s)}, \quad i, j, k, l = 1, \ldots, n, \quad s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.
\]

### 2.2 “Compatible” Dunkl elements and Manin matrices

(“Compatible” Dunkl elements, Manin matrices and algebras related with weighted complete graphs \( rK_n \))

Let us consider a collection of generators \( \{u_{ij}^{(\alpha)}, 1 \leq i, j \leq n, \alpha = 1, \ldots, r\} \), subject to the following relations:

- either the unitarity (the case of sign “+”), or the symmetry relations (the case of sign “-”)

\[
: u_{ij}^{(\alpha)} \pm u_{ji}^{(\alpha)} = 0, \forall \alpha, i, j, (2.11)
\]

- (local 3-term relations)

\[
u_{ij}^{(\alpha)} u_{jk}^{(\alpha)} + u_{jk}^{(\alpha)} u_{ki}^{(\alpha)} + u_{ki}^{(\alpha)} u_{ij}^{(\alpha)} = 0. \quad \text{if } i, j, k \text{ are distinct, } 1 \leq \alpha \leq r. \quad (2.12)
\]

\[18\] More generally one can impose the \( q \)-symmetry conditions

\[
u_{ij} + qu_{ji} = 0, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq n
\]

and ask about relations among the local Dunkl elements to ensure the commutativity of the global ones. As one might expect, the matrix \( Q := (\theta_{ij}^{(\alpha)})_{1 \leq i, j \leq r} \) composed from the local Dunkl elements should be a \( q \)-Manin matrix. See e.g.\cite{10}, or en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Manin.matrix for a definition and basic properties of the latter.
We define global 3-term relations algebra $3T_{n,r}^{(±)}$ as "compatible product" of the local 3-term relations algebras. Namely, we require that the elements

$$U_{ij}^{(λ)} := \sum_{α=1}^{r} λ_α u_{ij}^{(α)}, \quad 1 ≤ i, j ≤ n,$$

satisfy the 3-term relations (1.4) for all values of parameters $\{λ_α ∈ \mathbb{R}, \quad 1 ≤ α ≤ r\}$.

It is easy to check that our request is equivalent to a validity of the following sets of relations among the generators $\{u_{ij}^{(α)}\}$

1. (local 3-term relations) $u_{ij}^{(α)} u_{jk}^{(α)} + u_{jk}^{(α)} u_{ki}^{(α)} + u_{ki}^{(α)} u_{ij}^{(α)} = 0$,
2. (6-term crossing relations)

$$u_{ij}^{(α)} u_{jk}^{(β)} + u_{jk}^{(α)} u_{ki}^{(α)} + u_{ki}^{(β)} u_{ij}^{(α)} + u_{ki}^{(α)} u_{jk}^{(β)} + u_{jk}^{(β)} u_{ki}^{(α)} = 0,$$

$i, j, k$ are distinct, $α ≠ β$.

Now let us consider local Dunkl elements

$$θ_i^{(α)} := \sum_{j ≠ i} u_{ij}^{(α)}, \quad j = 1, . . . , n, \quad α = 1, . . . , r.$$

It follows from the local 3-term relations (⋆) that for a fixed $α ∈ [1, r]$ the local Dunkl elements $\{θ_i^{(α)}\}_{1 ≤ i ≤ n}$ either mutually commute (the sign “+”), or pairwise anticommute (the sign “-”). Similarly, the global 3-term relations imply that the global Dunkl elements

$$θ_i^{(λ)} := θ_i^{(1)} + \cdots + θ_i^{(r)} = \sum_{j ≠ i} U_{ij}^{(λ)} \quad i = 1, . . . , n$$

also either mutually commute (the case “+”) or pairwise anticommute (the case “-”).

Now we are looking for a set of relations among the local Dunkl elements which is a consequence of the commutativity (anticommutativity) of the global Dunkl elements. It is quite clear that if $i < j$, then

$$[θ_i^{(a)}, u_{ij}^{(b)}] = \sum_{α=1}^{r} λ_α^2 [θ_i^{(α)}, u_{ij}^{(α)}] ± + \sum_{1 ≤ a < b ≤ r} λ_α λ_b \left[ [θ_i^{(α)}, u_{ij}^{(b)}] ± + [θ_i^{(b)}, u_{ij}^{(α)}] ± \right],$$

and the commutativity (or anticommutativity) of the global Dunkl elements for all $(λ_1, . . . , λ_r) ∈ \mathbb{R}^r$ is equivalent to the following set of relations

- $[θ_i^{(a)}, θ_i^{(b)}] ± = 0$,
- $[θ_i^{(a)}, θ_j^{(b)}] ± + [θ_i^{(b)}, θ_j^{(a)}] ± = 0, \quad a < b \quad \text{and} \quad i < j$,

where by definition we set $[a, b] ± := ab ± ba$.

In other words, the matrix $Θ_n := (θ_i^{(α)})_{1 ≤ α ≤ r, 1 ≤ i ≤ n}$ should be either a Manin matrix (the case “+”), or its super analogue (the case “-”). Clearly enough that a similar construction can be applied to the algebras studied in Section 2, I-III, and thus it produces some interesting examples of the Manin matrices. It is an interesting problem to describe the algebra generated by the local Dunkl elements $\{θ_i^{(α)}\}_{1 ≤ α ≤ r, 1 ≤ i ≤ n}$ and a commutative subalgebra generated by the global Dunkl elements inside the former. It is also an interesting question whether or not the coefficients $C_1, . . . , C_n$ of the column characteristic polynomial $Det^col | Θ_n - t I_n | = \sum_{k=0}^{n} C_k t^{n-k}$ of the Manin matrix $Θ_n$ generate a commutative subalgebra? For a definition of the column determinant of a matrix, see e.g. [16].
However a close look at this problem and the question posed needs an additional treatment and has been omitted from the content of the present paper.

Here we are looking for a “natural conditions” to be imposed on the set of generators \( \{u_{ij}^{(a)}\}_{1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq r} \) in order to ensure that the local Dunkl elements satisfy the commutativity (or anticommutativity) relations:

\[
[\theta_i^{(a)}, \theta_j^{(b)}]_\pm = 0, \quad \text{for all} \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq n \quad \text{and} \quad 1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq r.
\]

The “natural conditions” we have in mind are:

- **(locality relations)**

\[
[u_{ij}^{(a)}, u_{kl}^{(b)}]_\pm = 0,
\]

(2.13)

- **(twisted classical Yang–Baxter relations)**

\[
[u_{ij}^{(a)}, u_{jk}^{(b)}]_\pm + [u_{ij}^{(a)}, u_{ji}^{(b)}]_\pm + [u_{ik}^{(a)}, u_{jk}^{(b)}]_\pm = 0,
\]

(2.14)

if \( i, j, k, l \) are distinct and \( 1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq r \).

Finally we define a multiple analogue of the three term relations algebra, denoted by \( 3T^\pm (rK_n) \), to be the quotient of the global 3-term relations algebra \( 3T^\pm_{n,r} \) modulo the two-sided ideal generated by the left hand sides of relations (1.5), (1.6) and that of the following relations

- \( \left( u_{ij}^{(a)} \right)^2 = 0, \quad [u_{ij}^{(a)}, u_{ij}^{(b)}]_\pm = 0, \) for all \( i \neq j, \alpha \neq \beta \).

The outputs of this construction are

- noncommutative quadratic algebra \( 3T^{(\pm)}(rK_n) \) generated by the elements \( \{u_{ij}^{(a)}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \), \( \alpha = 1, \ldots, r \),

- a family of \( nr \) either mutually commuting (the case “+”), or pairwise anticommuting (the case “-”) local Dunkl elements \( \{\theta_i^{(a)}\}_{i=1, \ldots, n} \), \( \alpha = 1, \ldots, r \).

We expect that the subalgebra generated by local Dunkl elements in the algebra \( 3T^+(rK_n) \) is closely related (isomorphic for \( r = 2 \)) with the coinvariant algebra of the diagonal action of the symmetric group \( S_n \) on the ring of polynomials \( \mathbb{Q}[X_n^{(1)}, \ldots, X_n^{(r)}] \), where \( X_n^{(j)} \) stands for the set of variables \( \{x_n^{(j)}, \ldots, x_n^{(j)}\} \). The algebra \( (3T^-(2K_n))^{(\text{anti})} \) has been studied in [47], and [7]. In the present paper we state only our old conjecture.

**Conjecture 2.1.**  
(A.N. Kirillov, 2000)

\[
\text{Hilb}((3T^-(3K_n))^{\text{anti}}, t) = (1 + t)^n (1 + nt)^{n-2},
\]

where for any algebra \( A \) we denote by \( A^{\text{anti}} \) the quotient of algebra \( A \) by the two-sided ideal generated by the set of anticommutators \( \{ab + ba \mid (a, b) \in A \times A\} \).

According to observation of M. Haiman [37], the number \( 2^n (n + 1)^{n-2} \) is thought of as being equal to to the dimension of the space of triple coinvariants of the symmetric group \( S_n \).

### 2.3 Miscellany

#### 2.3.1 Non-unitary dynamical classical Yang–Baxter algebra \( DCYB_n \)

Let \( \widetilde{\mathfrak{A}}_n \) be the quotient of the algebra \( \mathfrak{A}_n \) by the two-sided ideal generated by the relations (2.2), (2.5) and (2.6). Consider elements

\[
\theta_i = x_i + \sum_{a \neq i} u_{ia}, \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\theta}_j = -x_j + \sum_{b \neq j} u_{bj}, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq n.
\]
Clearly, if \( i < j \), then
\[
[\theta_i, \theta_j] + [x_i, x_j] = \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k, u_{ij} \right] + \sum_{k \neq i, j} w_{ijk},
\]
where the elements \( w_{ijk}, i < j \), have been defined in Lemma 2.1, (2.3).

Therefore the elements \( \theta_i \) and \( \bar{\theta}_j \) commute in the algebra \( \tilde{A}_n \).

In the case when \( x_i = 0 \) for all \( i = 1, \ldots, n \), the relations

\[
w_{ijk} := [u_{ij}, u_{ik} + u_{jk}] + [u_{ik}, u_{jk}] = 0, \text{ if } i, j, k \text{ are all distinct}
\]

are well-known as the non-unitary classical Yang-Baxter relations. Note that for a given triple of pair-wise distinct \((i, j, k)\) we have in fact 6 relations. These six relations imply that \([\theta_i, \theta_j] = 0\). However, in general,

\[
[\theta_i, \theta_j] = \left[ \sum_{k \neq i, j} u_{ik}, u_{ij} + u_{ji} \right] \neq 0.
\]

- **(Dynamical classical Yang–Baxter algebra \( DCYB_n \))**

  In order to ensure the commutativity relations among the Dunkl elements (2.1), i.e. \([\theta_i, \theta_j] = 0\) for all \( i, j \), let us remark that if \( i \neq j \), then \([\theta, \theta_j] = [x_i + u_{ij}, x_j + u_{ji}] + [u_{ij}, x_j + u_{ji}] + [u_{ij}, u_{ik}] + [u_{ik}, u_{ij}] + [x_i, u_{jk}] + [x_j, u_{ij}]\).

\[
[x_i + x_j, u_{ij}] + \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k + \sum_{k \neq i, j} [u_{ij} + u_{ik}, u_{jk}] + [u_{ik}, u_{ij}] + [x_i, u_{jk}] + [x_j, u_{ij}] + [x_k, u_{ij}].
\]

**Definition 2.4.**

Define *dynamical non-unitary classical Yang–Baxter algebra* \( DNUCYB_n \) to be the quotient of the free associative algebra \( \mathbb{Q}\langle \{x_{1 \leq i \leq n}\}, \{u_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i < j} \rangle \) by the two-sided ideal generated by the following set of relations

- **(Zero curvature conditions)**
  \[
  [x_i + u_{ij}, x_j + u_{ji}] = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n,
  \]
  (2.15)

- **(Conservation lows conditions)**
  \[
  [u_{ij}, \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k] = 0, \text{ for all } i \neq j, \text{ and } k.
  \]

- **(Crossing relations)**
  \[
  [x_i + x_j, u_{ij}] = 0, \quad i \neq j.
  \]

- **(Twisted dynamical classical Yang–Baxter relations)**
  \[
  [u_{ij} + u_{ik}, u_{jk}] + [u_{ik}, u_{ij}] + [x_i, u_{jk}] + [u_{ik}, x_j] + [x_k, u_{ij}] = 0, \quad i, j, k \text{ are distinct},
  \]
  (2.16)

It is easy to see that the twisted classical Yang–Baxter relations

\[
[u_{ij} + u_{ik}, u_{jk}] + [u_{ik}, u_{ij}] = 0, \quad i, j, k \text{ are distinct},
\]

(2.17)

for a fixed triple of distinct indices \( i, j, k \) contain in fact 3 different relations whereas the non-unitary classical Yang–Baxter relations

\[
[u_{ij} + u_{ik}, u_{jk}] + [u_{ik}, u_{ij}], \quad i, j, k \text{ are distinct},
\]

contain 6 different relations for a fixed triple of distinct indices \( i, j, k \).
**Definition 2.5.**
- Define **dynamical classical Yang–Baxter algebra** $DCYB_n$ to be the quotient of the algebra $DNUCYB_n$ by the two-sided ideal generated by the elements

$$
\sum_{k \neq i,j} [u_{ik}, u_{ij} + u_{ji}], \text{ for all } i \neq j.
$$

- Define **classical Yang–Baxter algebra** $CYB_n$ to be the quotient of the dynamical classical Yang–Baxter algebra $DCYB_n$ by the set of relations

$$
x_i = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \ldots, n.
$$

**Examples 2.1.**
(a) Define

$$
p_{ij}(z_1, \ldots, z_n) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{z_i}{z_i - z_j}, & \text{if } 1 \leq i < j \leq n, \\
-\frac{z_j}{z_j - z_i}, & \text{if } n \geq i > j \geq 1.
\end{cases}
$$

Clearly, $p_{ij} + p_{ji} = 1$. Now define operators $u_{ij} = p_{ij} s_{ij}$, and the truncated Dunkl operators to be $\theta_i = \sum_{j \neq i} u_{ij}, i = 1, \ldots, n$. All these operators act on the field of rational functions $\mathbb{Q}(z_1, \ldots, z_n)$; the operator $s_{ij} = s_{ji}$ acts as the exchange operator, namely, $s_{ij}(z_i) = z_j$, $s_{ij}(z_j) = z_k \forall k \neq i, j$, $s_{ij}(z_j) = z_i$.

Note that this time one has

$$
p_{12} p_{23} = p_{13} p_{12} + p_{23} p_{13} - p_{13}.
$$

It is easy to see that the operators $\{u_{ij}, 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n\}$ satisfy relations (3.1), Section 3, and therefore, satisfy the twisted classical Yang–Baxter relations (2.11). As a corollary we obtain that the truncated Dunkl operators $\{\theta_i, i = 1, \ldots, n\}$ are pair-wise commute. Now consider the Dunkl operator $D_i = \partial_{z_i} + h \theta_i, i = 1, \ldots, n$, where $h$ is a parameter. Clearly that $[\partial_{z_i} + \partial_{z_j}, u_{ij}] = 0$, and therefore $[D_i, D_j] = 0 \forall i, j$. It easy to see that

$$
s_{i,i+1}D_i - D_{i+1}s_{i,i+1} = h, \quad [D_i, s_{j,j+1}] = 0, \quad \text{if } j \neq i, i + 1.
$$

In such a manner we come to the well-known representation of the degenerate affine Hecke algebra $H_n$.

### 2.3.2 Equivariant multiparameter 3-term relations algebras

Let $\beta, h = (h_2, \ldots, h_n)$, and $q = \{q_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n}$, $q_{ij} = q_{ji}$ be a collection of mutually commuting parameters.

**Definition 2.6.** Denote by $3QT_n(\beta, h)$ an associative algebra generated over the ring $\mathbb{Z}[\beta, h]\{q_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n}$ by the set of generators $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ and that $\{u_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n}$ subject to the set of relations

1. (Locality conditions)

$$
x_i x_j = 0, \quad [u_{ij}, u_{kl}] = 0, \quad [x_k, u_{ij}] = 0, \quad \text{if } i, j, k, l \text{ are pairwise distinct},
$$

2. (Unitarity conditions)

$$
u_{ij} + u_{ji} = \beta,
$$

3. (Hecke type conditions)

$$
u_{ij} u_{ji} = -q_{ij}, \quad \text{if } i \neq j,
$$

4. (Twisted 3-term relations)

$$
u_{ij} u_{jk} = u_{jk} u_{ik} - u_{ik} u_{ji}, \quad \text{if } i, j, k \text{ are distinct},
$$

5. (Crossing relations)

$$
x_i u_{ji} = -u_{ij} x_j - h_{\max(i,j)}, \quad \text{if } i \neq j.
$$
As before we define the (additive) Dunkl elements to be

\[ \theta_i = x_i + \sum_{j \neq i} u_{ij}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n. \]

It is clearly seen from the defining relations listed in Definition 2.3 that for any triple of distinct indices \((i, j, k)\) the elements \(\{x_i, x_j, x_k, u_{ij}, u_{ik}, u_{jk}\}\) satisfy the twisted dynamical Yang–Baxter relations, and thus the Dunkl elements \(\{\theta_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}\) generate a commutative subalgebra in the algebra \(3QT_n(\beta, \hbar)\).

**Theorem 2.3.** (Cf Theorem 3.3, Section 3)

Let \(k \geq 1\) be an integer. There exist polynomials

\[ R_k(\mathbf{q}, \hbar, z_1, \ldots, z_n) \in \mathbb{Z}[\beta, \mathbf{q}, \{h_j - h_i\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}][Z_n] \quad \text{and} \quad T_k(\beta, \hbar, z_1, \ldots, z_n) \in \mathbb{Z}[\beta, \hbar][Z_n]^{S_n} \]

such that

1. \( R_k(\mathbf{q}, \hbar, z_1, \ldots, z_n) = e_k^{(q+h)}(z_1, \ldots, z_n) + \text{monomials of total degree} \leq k - 2 \ \text{w.r.t. variables} \{z_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}, \)
2. \( T_k(\beta, \hbar, z_1, \ldots, z_n) = e_k(z_1, \ldots, z_n) + \sum_{j<k} c_{j,k} e_j(X_n), \quad c_{j,k} \in \mathbb{Z}[\beta, \hbar], \)
3. \( R_k(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n) = T_k(x_1, \ldots, x_n), \)

where \(e_k^{(q+h)}(z_1, \ldots, z_n)\) denotes the multiparameter quantum elementary polynomial corresponding to the set of parameters \(\{(q + \hbar)\} = \{q_{ij} + h_j\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}\).

It is not difficult to see that the unitarity and crossing conditions imply the following relations

\[ [x_i + x_j, u_{kl}] = 0 = [x_i x_j, u_{kl}], \quad \text{and} \quad [x_i^2, u_{kl}] = 0 \]

are valid for all indices \(i \neq j, k \neq l\). As a consequence of these relations one can deduce that the all symmetric polynomials \(e_k(X_n) := e_k(x_1, \ldots, x_n), k = 1, \ldots, n\) belong to the center of the algebra \(3QT_n(\mathbf{q}, \hbar)\), and therefore one has \(\{\theta_i, e_k(X_n)\} = 0\) for all \(i\) and \(k\). Let us denote by \(QH(\beta, \hbar)\) a commutative subalgebra in the algebra \(3QT_n(\beta, \hbar)\) generated by the elementary symmetric polynomials \(\{e_k(X_n)\}_{1 \leq k \leq n}\) and the Dunkl elements \(\{\theta_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}\). It is an interesting problem to give a geometric/cohomological interpretation of the commutative algebra \(QH(\beta, \hbar)\). We don’t know any geometric interpretation of that commutative algebra, except the special case \([52]\) \(\beta = 0, \ h_j = 1, \ \forall j, \ q_{ij} := q_i \delta_{i+1,j}. \quad (2.18)\)

**Proposition 2.2.** (\([52]\))

Under assumptions (2.12), the algebra \(QH(0,0)\) isomorphic to the equivariant quantum cohomology \(QH^*_F(\mathcal{F}l_n)\) of the complete flag variety \(\mathcal{F}l_n\).

**Examples 2.2.** Let us list the relations among the Dunkl elements in the algebra \(3QT_n(\beta, \hbar)\).

1. \( e_1(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n) = e_1(X_n) + \binom{n}{2} \beta, \)
2. \( e_2^{(q+h)}(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n) = e_2(X_n) + (n - 1) \beta e_1(X_n) + \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)(3n-1)}{24} \beta^2, \quad n \geq 3, \)
3. \( e_3^{(q+h)}(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3) = e_3(X_3) + h_3 \beta, \)
4. \( e_3^{(q+h)}(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4) = e_3(X_4) + \beta e_2(X_4) + 2 \beta^2 e_1(X_4) + 6 \beta^3 + \beta (h_3 + 3 h_4), \)
5. \( e_4^{(q+h)}(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4) = e_4(X_4) + \beta (h_4 - h_3) \theta_4 = e_4(X_4) + \beta h_4 e_1(X_4) + 5 \beta^2 h_4. \)

Note that \(\frac{n(n-1)(n-2)(3n-1)}{24} = s(n-2,2) = e_2(1,2,\ldots,n-1)\) is equal to the Stirling number of the first kind.

**Conjecture 2.2.** The polynomial \(R_k(\mathbf{q}, \hbar, Z_n)\), see Theorem 2.3, can be written as a polynomial in the variables \(\{h_{ij} := h_j - h_i, \ 1 \leq i < j \leq n, \ z_1, \ldots, z_n, \ \beta, \ q_{ij}, \ 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}\) with nonnegative coefficients.
On some quadratic algebras

Exercises 2.1.

1. (Pieri formula in the algebra $3T_n(0, h)$, \[52\])

Assume that $\beta = 0$ and $h_2 = \ldots = h_n = h$, and denote by $\theta_i^{(n)}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$ the Dunkl elements (2.1) in the algebra $3T_n(0, h)$.

Show that

$$e_k(\theta_1^{(n)}, \ldots, \theta_m^{(n)}) = \sum_{r \geq 0} (-h)^r N(m-k, 2r) \left\{ \sum_{\substack{S \subset [1,m] \setminus \{i_a\}, \ J = (j_a) \}} X_S x_{i_1, j_1} \cdots x_{i_{|I|}, j_{|J|}} \right\},$$

where

$$N(a, 2b) = (2b-1)!! \left( \begin{array}{c} a + 2b \\ 2b \end{array} \right),$$

and the second summation runs over triples of sets $\{S, I, J\}$ such that $S \subset [1, m]$, $I \subset [1, m] \setminus S$, $|I| + |S| + 2r = k$, $|I| = |J|$, $1 \leq i_a < m < j_a \leq n$ and $j_1 \leq \ldots \leq j_{|J|}$.

2.3.3 Algebra $3QL_n(\beta, h)$

Let $\beta = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{n-1})$, $h = (h_2, \ldots, h_n)$ and $\{q_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}$ be collections of mutually commuting parameters.

Definition 2.7.

Define the algebra $3QL_n(\beta, h)$ as an associative algebra over the ring of polynomials $\mathbb{Z}[\beta, h, \{q_{ij}\}]$ generated by the set of generators $\{x_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ and $\{u_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}$ subject to the relations (1), (3), (5) displayed in Definition 2.3, and

1. (generalized unitarity conditions)
   $$u_{ij} + u_{ji} = \beta_{\text{max}(i,j)-1},$$
2. (associative twisted 3-term relations)
   $$u_{ij} u_{jk} = u_{jk} u_{ik} - u_{ik} u_{ji}, \quad \text{if} \quad 1 \leq i < j < k \leq n.$$

We define the Dunkl elements $\theta_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, by the formula (2.1). It is necessary to stress that the Dunkl elements $\{\theta_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ do not commute in the algebra $3QL_n(\beta, h)$ but satisfy a noncommutative analogue of the relations displayed in Theorem 2.3. Namely, one needs to replace the both elementary polynomials $e_k(Z_n)$ and the quantum multiparameter elementary polynomials $e_k^{(q)}(Z_n)$ by its noncommutative versions. Recall that the noncommutative elementary polynomial $e_k^{(q)}(Z_n)$ is equal to

$$\sum_{1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \ldots < j_k \leq n} z_{j_1} z_{j_2} \cdots z_{j_k}$$

and the noncommutative quantum multiparameters elementary polynomial $e_k^{(q)}(Z_n)$ is equal to

$$\sum_{\ell} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \ldots < i_{\ell} \leq n} e_{k-2\ell}(Z_{I\cup J}) \prod_{a=1}^{\ell} u_{i_a, j_a},$$

where $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_{\ell})$, $J = (j_1, \ldots, j_{\ell})$ should be distinct elements of the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, and $Z_{I\cup J}$ denotes set of variables $z_a$ for which the subscript $a$ is neither one of $i_m$ nor one of the $j_m$.

Example 2.1.

- $e_2^{(q+h)}(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n) = e_2(X_n) + (\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \beta_j) e_1(X_n) + \sum_{1 \leq a < b \leq n-1} a b \beta_a \beta_b$. 
• $\ell_3^{(q+h)}(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4) + (\beta_3 - \beta_1)(\theta_3 \theta_4 + q_{34} + h_4 + \beta_2(\theta_1 + \theta_2)) + (\beta_3 - \beta_2)((\theta_1 + \theta_2)\theta_4 + q_{14} + q_{24} + 2h_4 + \beta_1 \theta_3) = e_3(X_4) + \beta_3 e_2(X_4) + (\beta_1 \beta_3 + \beta_2 \beta_3 + \beta_2^2 - \beta_1 \beta_2)e_1(X_4) + (3\beta_2^2 - \beta_1 \beta_2)(\beta_1 + 2\beta_2) + \beta_1(h_3 + h_4) + 2\beta_2 h_4$.

• $\ell_4^{(q+h)}(\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4) + (\beta_2 h_4 - \beta_1 h_3)\theta_4 + h_4(\beta_2 - \beta_1)\theta_3 = e_4(X_4) + \beta_2 h_4 e_1(X_4) + \beta_2 h_4(2\beta_2 + 3\beta_3)$.

**Project 2.2.** (Noncommutative universal Schubert polynomials)

Let $w \in S_n$ be a permutation and $\mathcal{S}_w(Z_n)$ be the corresponding Schubert polynomial.

1. There exists a (noncommutative) polynomial $\mathcal{S}_w(\{u_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n})$ with non-negative integer coefficients such that the following identity holds in the algebra $3T_n^{(0)}$ where $\{\theta_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq n}$ are the Dunkl elements in the algebra $3T_n^{(0)}$.

2. There exist polynomials $R_w(\beta, q, h, Z_n) \in \mathbb{N}[\beta, q, h_j - h_{i1} \leq i < j \leq n][Z_n]$ and $T_w(\beta, h, Z_n) \in \mathbb{Z}[\beta, h][Z_n]$ such that the following identity holds in the algebra $3QT_n(\beta, h)$.

3) Let $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ and $N = n_1 + \cdots + n_r$, $n_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, $j \geq 1$, $N_0 = 0$. Eliminate the Dunkl elements $\theta_{N_{r-1}+1}^{(N)} \cdots \theta_{N_j}^{(N)}$ from the set of relations among the Dunkl elements $\theta_1^{(N)}, \ldots, \theta_{N_j}^{(N)}$ in the algebra $3QT_n(\beta, h)$, by the use of the degree $1, \ldots, n_r$ relations among the former. As a result one obtains a set consisting of $N_{r-1}$ relations among the $N_{r-1}$ elements

$$\theta_{j,k_j}^{(N)} := (q_{k_j})_{N_{j-1}+1}^{(N)} \cdots \theta_{N_j}^{(N)}), \quad 1 \leq k_j \leq n_j, \quad 1 \leq j \leq r-1.$$

Give a geometric interpretation of the commutative subalgebra $QH_{n_1, \ldots, n_r}(\beta, h) \subset 3QT_n(\beta, h)$ generated by the set of elements $\theta_{j,k_j}^{(N)}$, $1 \leq k_j \leq n_j, j = 1, \ldots, r-1$.

### 2.3.4 Dunkl and Knizhnik–Zamolodchikov elements

- Assume that $\forall i, x_i = 0$, and generators $\{u_{ij}, 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$ satisfy the locality conditions (2.2) and the classical Yang–Baxter relations

$$[u_{ij}, u_{ik} + u_{jk}] + [u_{ik}, u_{jk}] = 0, \quad \text{if} \quad 1 \leq i < j < k \leq n.$$  

Let $y, z, t_1, \ldots, t_n$ be parameters, consider the rational function

$$F_{CYB}(z; t) := F_{CYB}(z; t_1, \ldots, t_n) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{(t_i - t_j)u_{ij}}{(z - t_i)(z - t_j)}.$$  

Then

$$[F_{CYB}(z; t), F_{CYB}(y; t)] = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Res}_{z=t_i} F_{CYB}(z; t) = \theta_i.$$  

- Now assume that a set of generators $\{c_{ij}, 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n\}$ satisfy the locality and symmetry (i.e., $c_{ij} = c_{ji}$) conditions, and the Kohno–Drinfeld relations:

$$[c_{ij}, c_{kl}] = 0, \quad \text{if} \quad \{i, j\} \cap \{k, l\} = \emptyset, \quad [c_{ij}, c_{jk} + c_{ik}] = 0 = [c_{ij} + c_{ik}, c_{jk}], \quad i < j < k.$$  

Let $y, z, t_1, \ldots, t_n$ be parameters, consider the rational function

$$F_{KD}(z; t) := F_{KD}(z; t_1, \ldots, t_n) = \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n} \frac{c_{ij}}{(z - t_i)(t_i - t_j)} = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{c_{ij}}{(z - t_i)(z - t_j)}.$$  

Then
\[ [F_{KD}(z;t), F_{KD}(y;t)] = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Res}_{z=t} F_{KD}(z;t) = KZ_i, \]

where
\[ KZ_i = \sum_{j \neq i}^{n} \frac{c_{ij}}{t_i - t_j} \]
denotes the truncated Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov element.

### 2.3.5 Dunkl and Gaudin operators

(a) (Rational Dunkl operators) Consider the quotient of the algebra DCYB_n, see Definition 2.2, by the two-sided ideal generated by elements
\[ \{[x_i + x_j, u_{ij}]\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{[x_k, u_{ij}], k \neq i, j\}. \]

Clearly the Dunkl elements (2.1) mutually commute. Now let us consider the so-called Calogero–Moser representation of the algebra DCYB_n on the ring of polynomials \( R_n := \mathbb{R}[z_1, \ldots, z_n] \) given by
\[ x_i(p(z)) = \lambda \frac{\partial p(z)}{\partial z_i}, \quad u_{ij}(p(z)) = \frac{1}{z_i - z_j} (1 - s_{ij}) p(z), \quad p(z) \in R_n. \]

The symmetric group \( S_n \) acts on the ring \( R_n \) by means of transpositions \( s_{ij} \in S_n : s_{ij}(z_i) = z_j, \ s_{ij}(z_j) = z_i, \ s_{ij}(z_k) = z_k, \ i f k \neq i, j. \)

In the Calogero–Moser representation the Dunkl elements \( \theta_i \) becomes the rational Dunkl operators [21], see Definition 1.1. Moreover, one has \( [x_k, u_{ij}] = 0, \) if \( k \neq i, j, \) and
\[ x_i u_{ij} = u_{ij} x_j + \frac{1}{z_i - z_j} (x_i - x_j - u_{ij}), \quad x_j u_{ij} = u_{ij} x_i - \frac{1}{z_i - z_j} (x_i - x_j - u_{ij}). \]

(b) (Gaudin operators)

The Dunkl–Gaudin representation of the algebra DCYB_n is defined on the field of rational functions \( K_n := \mathbb{R}(q_1, \ldots, q_n) \) and given by
\[ x_i(f(q)) := \lambda \frac{\partial f(q)}{\partial q_i}, \quad u_{ij} = \frac{s_{ij}}{q_i - q_j}, \quad f(q) \in K_n, \]

but this time we assume that \( w(q_i) = q_i, \forall i \in [1, n] \) and for all \( w \in S_n. \) In the Dunkl–Gaudin representation the Dunkl elements becomes the rational Gaudin operators, see e.g. [71]. Moreover, one has \( [x_k, u_{ij}] = 0, \) if \( k \neq i, j, \) and
\[ x_i u_{ij} = u_{ij} x_j - \frac{u_{ij}}{q_i - q_j}, \quad x_j u_{ij} = u_{ij} x_i + \frac{u_{ij}}{q_i - q_j}. \]

Comments 2.4.

It is easy to check that if \( f \in \mathbb{R}[z_1, \ldots, z_n], \) then the following commutation relations are true
\[ x_i f = f x_i + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}, \quad u_{ij} f = s_{ij}(f) u_{ij} + \partial_{z_i,z_j}(f). \]

Using these relations it easy to check that in the both cases (a) and (b) the elementary symmetric polynomials \( e_k(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \) commute with the all generators \( \{u_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \), and therefore commute with the all Dunkl elements \( \{\theta_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}. \) Let us stress that \( [\theta_i, x_k] \neq 0 \) for all \( 1 \leq i, k \leq n. \)

Project 2.3.

Describe a commutative algebra generated by the Dunkl elements \( \{\theta_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n} \) and the elementary symmetric polynomials \( \{e_k(x_1, \ldots, x_n)\}_{1 \leq k \leq n}. \)
2.3.6 Representation of the algebra $3T_n$ on the free algebra $\mathbb{Z}\langle t_1, \ldots, t_n \rangle$

Let $\mathcal{F}_n = \mathbb{Z}\langle t_1, \ldots, t_n \rangle$ be free associative algebra over the ring of integers $\mathbb{Z}$, equipped with the action of the symmetric group $S_n$: $s_{ij}(t_k) = t_j$, $s_{ij}(t_k) = t_k$, $\forall k \neq i, j$.

Define the action of $u_{ij} \in 3T_n$ on the set of generators of the algebra $\mathcal{F}_n$ as follows

$$u_{ij}(t_k) = \delta_{i,k} t_i t_j - \delta_{j,k} t_j t_i. \quad (2.19)$$

The action of generator $u_{ij}$ on the whole algebra $\mathcal{F}_n$ is defined by linearity and the twisted Leibniz rule:

$$u_{ij}(1) = 0, \quad u_{ij}(a+b) = u_{ij}(a) + u_{ij}(b), \quad u_{ij}(a b) = u_{ij}(a) b + s_{ij}(a) u_{ij}(b).$$

It is easy to see from (2.15) that

$$s_{ij} u_{jk} = u_{ik} s_{ij}, \quad s_{ij} u_{kl} = u_{kl} s_{ij}, \quad \text{if } \{i, j\} \cap \{k, l\} = \emptyset, \quad u_{ij} + u_{ji} = 0. \quad (2.20)$$

Now let us consider operator

$$u_{ijk} := u_{ij} u_{jk} - u_{ik} u_{jk} - u_{ij} u_{ij}, \quad 1 \leq i < j < k \leq n.$$

**Lemma 2.7.**

$$u_{ijk}(a b) = u_{ijk}(a) b + s_{ij} s_{jk}(a) u_{ijk}(b), \quad a, b \in \mathcal{F}_n.$$

**Lemma 2.8.**

$$u_{ijk}(a) = 0 \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{F}_n.$$

Indeed,

$$u_{ijk}(t_i) = -u_{jk}(u_{ij}(t_i)) - u_{ik}(u_{ij}(t_i)) = -t_i u_{jk}(t_k) - u_{ik}(t_k) t_j = t_i(t_k t_j) - (t_i t_k) t_j = 0.$$

$$u_{ijk}(t_k) = u_{ij}(u_{jk}(t_k)) - u_{ik}(u_{jk}(t_k)) = -u_{ij}(t_k t_j) + u_{jk}(t_k t_i) = t_k (u_{ij}(t_j) + u_{jk}(t_k) t_i) = 0.$$

$$u_{ijk}(t_j) = u_{ij}(u_{jk}(t_j)) - u_{ik}(u_{ij}(t_j)) = -u_{ij}(t_j) t_k - t_j u_{ik}(t_i) = (t_j t_i) t_k - t_j (t_i t_k) = 0.$$

Therefore Lemma 2.8 follows from Lemma 2.7.

Let $\mathcal{F}_n^\bullet$ be the quotient of the free algebra $\mathcal{F}_n$ by the two-sided ideal generated by elements $t_i^2 t_j - t_j t_i^2$, $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$. Since $u_{ij}^2(t_i) = t_i t_j - t_j t_i$, one can define a representation of the algebra $3T_n^{(0)}$ on that $\mathcal{F}_n^\bullet$. One can also define a representation of the algebra $3T_n^{(0)}$ on that $\mathcal{F}_n^{(0)}$, where $\mathcal{F}_n^{(0)}$ denotes the quotient of the algebra $\mathcal{F}_n$ by the two-sided ideal generated by elements $t_i^2$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Note that $(u_{i,k} u_{j,k} u_{i,j})(t_k) = [t_i t_j t_k] \neq 0$ in the algebra $\mathcal{F}_n^{(0)}$, but the elements $u_{i,i} u_{i,k} u_{i,j}$, $1 \leq i < j < k \leq n$, from the kernel of the Calogero–Moser representation, act trivially both on the algebras $\mathcal{F}_n^{(0)}$ and that $\mathcal{F}_n^\bullet$.

Note finally that the algebra $\mathcal{F}_n^{(0)}$ is Koszul and has Hilbert series $Hilb(\mathcal{F}_n^{(0)}, t) = \frac{1+t}{1-(n-1)t}$, whereas the algebra $\mathcal{F}_n^\bullet$ is not Koszul for $n \geq 3$, and

$$Hilb(\mathcal{F}_n^\bullet, t) = \frac{1}{(1-t)(1-(n-1)t)(1-t^2)^{n-1}}.$$
2.3.7 Fulton universal ring, multiparameter quantum cohomology and FKTL

(The Fulton universal ring [31], multiparameter quantum cohomology of flag varieties [26] and the full Kostant–Toda lattice [30])

Let $X_n = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ be a set of variables, and

$$g := g^{(n)} = \{g_a[b] \mid a \geq 1, \ b \geq 1, \ a + b \leq n\}$$

be a set of parameters; we put $\text{deg}(x_i) = 1$ and $\text{deg}(g_a[b]) = b + 1$ and $g_k[0] := x_k$, $k = 1, \ldots, n$. For a subset $S \subset [1, n]$ we denote by $X_S$ the set of variables $\{x_i \mid i \in S\}$.

Let $t$ be an auxiliary variable, denote by $M = (m_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ the matrix of size $n$ by $n$ with the following elements:

$$m_{i,j} = \begin{cases} x_i + t, & \text{if } i = j, \\ g_{i}[j-i], & \text{if } j > i, \\ -1, & \text{if } i - j = 1, \\ 0, & \text{if } i - j > 1. \end{cases}$$

Let $P_n(X_n, t) = \det[M]$. 

**Definition 2.8.** The Fulton universal ring $R_{n-1}$ is defined to be the quotient\(^\text{19}\)

$$R_{n-1} = \mathbb{Z}[g^{(n)}][x_1, \ldots, x_n] / \left\langle P_n(X_n, t) - t^n \right\rangle.$$ 

**Lemma 2.9.** Let $P_n(X_n, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} c_k(n) t^{n-k}$, $c_0(n) = 1$. Then

$$c_k(n) := c_k(n; X_n, g^{(n)}) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_a \leq n \\ j_1 \geq 1 \cdots j_s \geq 1 \\ m := \sum_{j_a+1 \leq n}}_{a=1} g_{i_a}[j_a] \ e_{k-m}(X_{[1,n]} \setminus \bigcup_{a=1}^{s} [i_a, i_a+j_a]), \quad (2.21)$$

where in the summation we assume additionally that the sets $[i_a, i_a+j_a] := \{i_a, i_a+1, \ldots, i_a+j_a\}$, $a = 1, \ldots, s$, are pairwise disjoint.

It is clear that $R_{n-1} = \mathbb{Z}[g^{(n)}][x_1, \ldots, x_n] / \left\langle c_1(1), \ldots, c_n(n) \right\rangle$.

One can easily see that the coefficients $c_k(n)$ and $g_m[k]$ satisfy the following recurrence relations [31]:

$$c_k(n) = c_k(n - 1) + \sum_{a=0}^{k-1} g_{n-a}[a] c_{k-a-1}(n - a - 1), \quad c_0(n) = 1, \quad (2.22)$$

$$g_n[k] = c_{k+1}(m + k) - c_{k+1}(m + k - 1) - \sum_{a=0}^{k-1} g_{m+k-a}[a] c_{k-a}(m + k - a), \quad g_m[0] := x_m.$$

On the other hand, let \{g_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} be a set of (quantum) parameters, and $e_k^{(q)}(X_n)$ be the multiparameter quantum elementary polynomial introduced in [26]. We are interested in to

\(^{19}\) If $P(t, X_n) = \sum_{k \geq 1} f_k(X_n) \ t^k$, $f_k(X_n) \in \mathbb{Q}[X_n]$ is a polynomial, we denote by

$$\left\langle P(t, X_n) \right\rangle$$

the ideal in the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Q}[X_n]$ generated by the coefficients $\{f_1, f_2, \ldots\}$. 

describe a set of relations between the parameters \( \{g_{ij}\}_{i \geq 1, j \geq 1}^{i+j \leq n} \) and the quantum parameters \( \{q_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \) which implies that

\[
c_k(n) = e_k^{(q)}(X_n), \quad \text{for } k = 1, \ldots, n.
\]

To start with, let us recall the recurrence relations among the quantum elementary polynomials, cf [76]. To do so, consider the generating function

\[
E_n(X_n; \{q_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} e_k^{(q)}(X_n) t^{n-k}.
\]

Lemma 2.10. ([25],[76]) One has

\[
E_n(X_n; \{q_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}) = (t + x_n) E_{n-1}(X_{n-1}; \{q_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-1}) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} q_{jn} E_{n-2}(X_{[1,n-1]} \setminus \{j\}; \{q_{a,b}\}_{a \leq b \leq n-1}^{a \neq j, b \neq j}).
\]

Proposition 2.3.

Parameters \( \{g_{a,b}\} \) can be expressed polynomially in terms of quantum parameters \( \{q_{ij}\} \) and variables \( x_1, \ldots, x_n \), in a such way that

\[
c_k(n) = e_k^{(q)}(X_n), \quad \forall k, n.
\]

Moreover,

- \( g_{a,b} = \sum_{k=1}^{a+b-1} q_{k,a+b} \prod_{j=a+1}^{a+b-1} (x_j - x_k) + \text{lower degree polynomials in } x_1, \ldots, x_n. \)

- The quantum parameters \( \{q_{ij}\} \) can be presented as rational functions in terms of variables \( x_1, \ldots, x_n \) and polynomially in terms of parameters \( \{g_{a,b}\} \) such that the equality \( c_k(n) = e_k^{(q)}(X_n) \) holds for all \( k, n. \)

In other words, the transformation

\[
\{q_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \leftrightarrow \{g_{a,b}\}_{a \geq 1, b \geq 1}^{a+b \leq n}
\]

defines a “birational transformation” between the algebra \( \mathbb{Z}[g^{(n)}][X_n]/\left\langle P_n(X_n, t) - t^n \right\rangle \) and multiparameter quantum deformation of the algebra \( H^*(F \ell_n, \mathbb{Z}). \)

Example 2.2. Clearly, \( g_{n-1}[1] = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} q_{j,n}, n \geq 2 \) and \( g_{n-2}[2] = \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} q_{jn} (x_{n-1} - x_j), n \geq 3. \) Moreover

\[
g_1[3] = q_{14} \left( (x_2 - x_1)(x_3 - x_1) + q_{23} - q_{12} \right) + q_{24} \left( q_{13} - q_{12} \right);
\]

\[
g_2[3] = q_{15} \left( (x_3 - x_1)(x_4 - x_1) + q_{24} + q_{34} - q_{12} - q_{13} \right)
\]

\[
+ q_{25} \left( (x_3 - x_2)(x_4 - x_2) + q_{14} + q_{34} - q_{12} - q_{23} \right) + q_{35} \left( q_{14} + q_{24} - q_{13} - q_{23} \right).
\]
Comments 2.5. The full Kostant–Toda lattice (FKTL for short) has been introduced in the end of 70’s of the last century by B. Kostant and since that time has been extensively studied both in Mathematical and Physical literature. We refer the reader to the original paper by B. Kostant [30] (a), and [30] (b), for the definition of the FKTL and its basic properties. In the present paper we just want to point out on a connection of the Fulton universal ring and hence the multiparameter deformation of the cohomology ring of complete flag varieties, and polynomial integral of motion of the FKTL. Namely,

Polynomials \( c_k(n; X_n, g^{(n)}) \) defined by (2.17) coincide with the polynomial integrals of motion of the FKTL.

It seems an interesting task to clarify a meaning of the FKTL rational integrals of motion in the context of the universal Schubert Calculus [31] and the algebra \( 3HT_n(0) \), as well as any meaning of universal Schubert or Grothendieck polynomials in the context of the Toda or full Kostant-Toda lattices.

3 Algebra \( 3HT_n \)

Consider the twisted classical Yang–Baxter relation

\[
[u_{ij} + u_{ia}, u_{ja}] + [u_{ia}, u_{ji}] = 0, \text{ where } i, j, k \text{ are distinct.}
\]

Having in mind applications of the Dunkl elements to Combinatorics and Algebraic Geometry, we split the above relation into two relations

\[
\begin{align*}
  u_{ij} u_{jk} &= u_{jk} u_{ik} - u_{ik} u_{ji}, \\
  u_{jk} u_{ij} &= u_{ik} u_{jk} - u_{ji} u_{ik},
\end{align*}
\]

and impose the following unitarity constraints

\[
  u_{ij} + u_{ji} = \beta,
\]

where \( \beta \) is a central element. Summarizing, we come to the following definition.

Definition 3.1.

Define algebra \( 3T_n(\beta) \) to be the quotient of the free associative algebra

\[
\mathbb{Z}[\beta] \langle \ u_{ij}, \ 1 \leq i < j \leq n \ \rangle
\]

by the set of relations

- (Locality) \( u_{ij} u_{kl} = u_{kl} u_{ij}, \text{ if } \{i, j\} \cap \{k, l\} = \emptyset, \)

- (3-term relations)

\[
\begin{align*}
  u_{ij} u_{jk} &= u_{ik} u_{ij} + u_{jk} u_{ik} - \beta u_{ik}, \text{ and } \\
  u_{jk} u_{ij} &= u_{ij} u_{ik} + u_{ik} u_{jk} - \beta u_{ik},
\end{align*}
\]

if \( 1 \leq i < j < k \leq n. \)

It is clear that the elements \( \{u_{ij}, u_{jk}, u_{ik}, \ 1 \leq i < j < k \leq n\} \) satisfy the classical Yang–Baxter relations, and therefore, the elements \( \{\theta_i := \sum_{j \neq i} u_{ij}, \ 1 = 1, \ldots, n\} \) form a mutually commuting set of elements in the algebra \( 3T_n(\beta) \).

Definition 3.2. We will call \( \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n \) by the (universal) additive Dunkl elements.

For each pair of indices \( i < j \), we define element \( q_{ij} := u_{ij}^2 - \beta u_{ij} \in 3T_n(\beta) \).
Lemma 3.1.

(1) The elements \( \{q_{ij}, 1 \leq i < j \leq n\} \) satisfy the Kohno–Drinfeld relations (known also as the horizontal four term relations)

\[
q_{ij} q_{kl} = q_{kl} q_{ij}, \quad \text{if} \quad \{i, j\} \cap \{k, l\} = \emptyset,
\]

\[
[q_{ij}, q_{ik} + q_{jk}] = 0, \quad [q_{ij} + q_{ik}, q_{jk}] = 0, \quad \text{if} \quad i < j < k.
\]

(2) For a triple \((i < j < k)\) define \(u_{ijk} := u_{ij} - u_{ik} + u_{jk}\). Then

\[
u_{ijk}^2 = \beta \, u_{ijk} + q_{ij} + q_{ik} + q_{jk}.
\]

(3) (Deviation from the Yang–Baxter and Coxeter relations)

\[
u_{ij} \nu_{ik} \nu_{jk} - \nu_{jk} \nu_{ik} \nu_{ij} = [u_{ik}, q_{ij}] = [q_{jk}, u_{ik}],
\]

\[
u_{ij} \nu_{ik} \nu_{jk} + \nu_{ki} \nu_{ij} \nu_{jk} = q_{ij} \, u_{ik} - u_{ik} \, q_{ij} q_{jk}.
\]

Comments 3.1. It is easy to see that the horizontal 4-term relations listed in Lemma 3.1, (1), are consequences of the locality conditions among the generators \(\{q_{ij}\}\), together with the commutativity conditions among the Jucys–Murphy elements

\[
d_i := \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} q_{ij}, \quad i = 2, \ldots, n,
\]

namely, \([d_i, d_j] = 0\). In [47] we describe some properties of a commutative subalgebra generated by the Jucys-Murphy elements in the (nil) Kohno–Drinfeld algebra. It is well-known that the Jucys–Murphy elements generate a maximal commutative subalgebra in the group ring of the symmetric group \(S_n\). It is an open problem to describe defining relations among the Jucys–Murphy elements in the group ring \(\mathbb{Z}[S_n]\).

Finally we introduce the “Hecke quotient” of the algebra \(3T_n(\beta)\), denoted by \(3HT_n(\beta)\).

Definition 3.3. Define algebra \(3HT_n(\beta)\) to be the quotient of the algebra \(3T_n(\beta)\) by the set of relations

\[
q_{ij} q_{kl} = q_{kl} q_{ij}, \quad \text{for all} \quad i, j, k, l.
\]

In other words we assume that the all elements \(\{q_{ij}, 1 \leq i < j \leq n\} \) are central in the algebra \(3T_n(\beta)\). From Lemma 3.1 follows immediately that in the algebra \(3HT_n(\beta)\) the elements \(\{u_{ij}\}\) satisfy the multiplicative (or quantum) Yang–Baxter relations

\[
u_{ij} \nu_{ik} \nu_{jk} = \nu_{jk} \nu_{ik} \nu_{ij}, \quad \text{if} \quad i < j < k.
\]

3.1 Modified three term relations algebra \(3MT_n(\beta, \psi)\)

Let \(\beta, \{q_{ij} = q_{ji}, \psi_{ij} = \psi_{ji}, 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}\), be a set of mutually commuting elements.

Definition 3.4. Modified 3-term relation algebra \(3MT_n(\beta, \psi)\) is an associative algebra over the ring of polynomials \(\mathbb{Z}[\beta, q_{ij}, \psi_{ij}]\) with the set of generators \(\{u_{ij}, 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}\) subject to the set of relations

- \(u_{ij} + u_{ji} = 0, \quad u_{ij} u_{kl} = u_{kl} u_{ij}, \quad \text{if} \quad \{i, j\} \cap \{k, l\} = \emptyset;\)
- (three term relations)

\[
u_{ij} \nu_{ik} u_{ij} + u_{jk} u_{ki} u_{ij} = 0, \quad \text{if} \quad i, j, k \quad \text{are distinct}.
\]
\[ u_{ij}^2 = \beta \, u_{ij} + q_{ij} + \psi_{ij}, \quad \text{if } i \neq j; \]
\[ u_{ij} \, \psi_{kl} = \psi_{kl} \, u_{ij}, \quad \text{if } \{i, j\} \cap \{k, l\} = \emptyset; \]
\[ \text{(exchange relations)} \quad u_{ij} \, \psi_{jk} = \psi_{ik} \, u_{ij}, \quad \text{if } i, j, k \text{ are distinct}; \]
\[ \text{elements } \beta, \{q_{ij}, \ 1 \leq i, j \leq n\} \text{ are central.} \]

It is easy to see that in the algebra \( 3MT_n(\beta, \psi) \) the generators \( \{u_{ij}\} \) satisfy the modified Coxeter and modified quantum Yang–Baxter relations, namely

- \text{(modified Coxeter relations)} \quad u_{ij} \, u_{jk} \, u_{ij} - u_{jk} \, u_{ij} \, u_{jk} = (q_{ij} - q_{jk}) \, u_{ik},
- \text{(modified quantum Yang–Baxter relations)}
  \[ u_{ij} \, u_{ik} \, u_{jk} - u_{jk} \, u_{ik} \, u_{ij} = (\psi_{jk} - \psi_{ij}) \, u_{ik}, \]

if \( i, j, k \) are distinct.

Clearly the additive Dunkl elements \( \{\theta_i := \sum_{j \neq i} u_{ij}, \ i = 1, \ldots, n\} \) generate a commutative subalgebra in \( 3MT_n(\beta, \psi) \).

It is still possible to describe relations among the additive Dunkl elements [47], cf [50]. However we don’t know any geometric interpretation of the commutative algebra obtained. It is not unlikely that this commutative subalgebra is a common generalization of the small quantum cohomology and elliptic cohomology (remains to be defined!) of complete flag varieties.

The algebra \( 3MT_n(\beta = 0, \psi) \) has an elliptic representation [47], [50]. Namely,

\[ u_{ij} := \sigma_{\lambda_i-\lambda_j}(z_i - z_j) \, s_{ij}, \quad q_{ij} = \wp(\lambda_i - \lambda_j), \quad \psi_{ij} = -\wp(z_i - z_j), \]

where \( \{\lambda_i, i = 1, \ldots, n\} \) is a set of parameters (e.g. complex numbers), and \( \{z_1, \ldots, z_n\} \) is a set of variables; \( s_{ij}, \ i < j, \) denotes the transposition that swaps \( i \) on \( j \) and fixes all other variables;

\[ \sigma_\lambda(z) := \frac{\theta(z - \lambda)}{\theta(z) \theta(\lambda)} \]

denotes the Kronecker sigma function; \( \wp(z) \) denotes the Weierstrass P-function.

Let \( q \) be parameter. In this place we will use the same symbol \( \theta(x) \) to denote the “multiplicative” version of the Riemann theta function

\[ \theta(x) := \theta(x; q) = (x; q)_\infty \, (q/x; q)_\infty, \]

where by definition \( (x; q)_\infty = (x)_\infty = \prod_{k \geq 0}(1 - x \, q^k) \). Let us state some well-known properties of the Riemann theta function:

- (Functional equation)
  \[ x/y \, \theta(u \, x^{\pm 1}) \, \theta(v \, y^{\pm 1}) + \theta(u \, v^{\pm 1}) \, \theta(x \, y^{\pm 1}) = \theta(u \, y^{\pm 1}) \, \theta(x \, v^{\pm 1}), \]

where by definition \( \theta(x \, y^{\pm 1}) := \theta(x \, y) \, \theta(x \, y^{-1}). \)

- \text{(Jacobi triple product identity)}
  \[ (q; q)_\infty \, \theta(x; q) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-x)^n \, q^{n^2}. \]

One can easily check that after the change of variables

\[ x := \left( \frac{w^2}{\lambda} \right)^{1/2}, \quad y := \left( \frac{w}{\lambda} \right)^{1/2}, \quad u := \left( \frac{w}{\lambda \, \mu^2} \right)^{1/2}, \quad v := (w \, \lambda)^{1/2}, \]

the functional equation for the Riemann theta function \( \theta(x) \) takes the following form

\[ \sigma_\lambda(z) \, \sigma_\mu(w) = \sigma_{\lambda\mu}(z) \sigma_{\mu}(w/z) + \sigma_{\lambda\mu}(w) \, \sigma_\lambda(z/w), \]

where \( \sigma_\lambda(z) \) denotes the transposition that swaps \( i, j \) for \( i < j \).
where

$$\sigma_{\lambda}(z) := \frac{\theta(z/\lambda)}{\theta(z) \theta(\lambda^{-1})}$$

denotes the Kronecker sigma function. Therefore, the operators

$$u_{ij}(f) := \sigma_{\lambda_i/\lambda_j}(z_i/z_j) s_{ij}(f),$$

where $s_{ij}$ denotes the exchange operator which swaps the variables $z_i$ and $z_j$, namely $s_{ij}(z_i) = z_j$, $s_{ij}(z_j) = z_i$, $s_{ij}(z_k) = z_k$, $\forall k \neq i, j$, and $s_{ij}$ acts trivially on dynamical parameters $\lambda_i$, namely, $s_{ij}(\lambda_k) = \lambda_k$, $\forall k$, give rise to a representation of the algebra $3MT_n(0, \psi)$.

The 3-term relations among the elements $\{u_{ij}\}$ are consequence (in fact equivalent) to the famous Jacobi-Riemann 3-term relation of degree 4 among the theta function $\theta(z)$, see e.g. [97], p.451, Example 5. In several cases, see Introduction, relations (A) and (B), identities among the Riemann theta functions can be rewritten in terms of the elliptic Kronecker sigma functions and turn out to be a consequence of certain relations in the algebra $3MT_n(0, \psi)$ for some integer $n$, and vice versa.

The algebra $3HT_n(\beta)$ is the quotient of algebra $3MT_n(\beta, \psi)$ by the two-sided ideal generated by the elements $\{\psi_{ij}\}$. Therefore the elements $\{u_{ij}\}$ of the algebra $3HT_n(\beta)$ satisfy the quantum Yang–Baxter relations $u_{ij} u_{ik} u_{jk} = u_{jk} u_{ik} u_{ij}$, $i < j < k$, and as a consequence, the multiplicative Dunkl elements

$$\Theta_i = \prod_{a=i-1}^1 (1 + h u_{a,i})^{-1} \prod_{a=i+1}^n (1 + h u_{i,a}), \quad i = 1, \ldots, n, \quad u_{0,i} = u_{i,n+1} = 0$$

generate a commutative subalgebra in the algebra $3HT_n(\beta)$, see Section 3.1. We emphasize that the Dunkl elements $\Theta_j$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$, do not pairwise commute in the algebra $3HT_n(\beta, \psi)$, if $\psi_{ij} \neq 0$ for some $i \neq j$. One way to construct a multiplicative analog of additive Dunkl elements $\theta_i := \sum_{j \neq i} u_{ij}$ is to add a new set of mutually commuting generators denoted by $\{\rho_{ij}, \rho_{ij} + \rho_{ji} = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n\}$ subject to crossing relations

- $\rho_{ij}$ commutes with $\beta$, $q_{kl}$ and $\psi_{k,l}$ for all $i,j,k,l$,
- $\rho_{ij} u_{kl} = u_{kl} \rho_{ij}$, if $\{i,j\} \cap \{k,l\} = \emptyset$,
- $\rho_{ij} u_{jk} = u_{jk} \rho_{ik}$, if $i,j,k$ are distinct,
- $\rho_{ij}^2 - \rho_{ij} + \psi_{ij} = \rho_{jk}^2 - \rho_{jk} + \psi_{jk}$ for all tripiles $1 \leq i < j < k \leq n$.

Under these assumptions one can check that elements

$$R_{ij} := \rho_{ij} + u_{ij}, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq n$$

satisfy the quantum Yang–Baxter relations

$$R_{ij} R_{ik} R_{jk} = R_{jk} R_{ik} R_{ij}, \quad i < j < k.$$ 

In the case of elliptic representation defined above, one can take

$$\rho_{ij} := \frac{\sigma_{\mu}(z_i - z_j)}{\sigma_{\mu}(z_i - z_j)},$$

where $\mu \in \mathbb{C}^*$ is a parameter. This solution to the quantum Yang–Baxter equation has been discovered in [86]. It can be seen as an operator form of the famous (finite dimensional) solution to QYBE due to A. Belavin and V. Drinfeld [5]. One can go one step more and add to the algebra in question new set of generators corresponding to the shift operators $T_{i,q} : z_i \mapsto q z_i$, cf [24]. In this case one can define multiplicative Dunkl elements which are closely related with the elliptic Ruijsenaars–Schneider–Macdonald operators.

---

20 It is commonly believed that any identity between the Riemann theta functions is a consequence of the Jacobi–Riemann three term relations among the former. However we do not expect that the all hypergeometric type identities among the Riemann theta functions can be obtained from certain relations in the algebra $3MT_n(0, \psi)$ after applying the elliptic representation of the latter.
3.2 Multiplicative Dunkl elements

Since the elements \( u_{ij}, u_{ik} \) and \( u_{jk}, i < j < k \), satisfy the classical and quantum Yang–Baxter relations (3.1) and (3.2), one can define a multiplicative analogue denoted by \( \Theta_i, \; 1 \leq i \leq n \), of the Dunkl elements \( \theta_i \). Namely, to start with, we define elements

\[
h_{ij} := h_{ij}(t) = 1 + t \; u_{ij}, \; i \neq j.
\]

We consider \( h_{ij}(t) \) as an element of the algebra \( 3\widetilde{HT}_n := 3HT_n(\beta) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[q_{ij}^\pm, t, x, y, \ldots] \), where we assume that the all parameters \( \{q_{ij}, t, x, y, \ldots\} \) are central in the algebra \( 3HT_n \).

**Lemma 3.2.**

1. \( h_{ij}(x) \; h_{ij}(y) = h_{ij}(x + y + \beta \; xy) + q_{ij} \; xy, \)
2. \( h_{ij}(x) \; h_{ji}(y) = h_{ij}(x - y) + \beta \; y - q_{ij} \; x \; y, \) if \( i < j \).
3. (Multiplicative Yang–Baxter relations)
   \[
h_{ij} \; h_{ik} \; h_{jk} = h_{jk} \; h_{ik} \; h_{ij}, \; \text{if} \; i < j < k.
\]
4. Define multiplicative Dunkl elements (in the algebra \( 3\widetilde{HT}_n \)) as follows
   \[
   \Theta_j := \Theta_j(t) = \left( \prod_{a=j-1}^{1} h_{aj}^{-1} \right) \left( \prod_{a=n}^{j+1} h_{ja} \right), \; 1 \leq j \leq n. \tag{3.3}
   \]

Then the multiplicative Dunkl elements pair-wise commute.

Clearly

\[
\prod_{j=1}^{n} \Theta_j = 1, \; \Theta_j = 1 + t \; \theta_j + t^2(\ldots), \; \text{and} \; \Theta_I \prod_{i<j \in I} (1 + t \beta - t^2 \; q_{ij}) \in 3HT_n.
\]

Here for a subset \( I \subset [1, n] \) we use notation \( \Theta_I = \prod_{a \in I} \Theta_a \).

Our main result of this Section is a description of relations among the multiplicative Dunkl elements.

**Theorem 3.1. (A.N. Kirillov and T.Maeno, [57])**

In the algebra \( 3HT_n(\beta) \) the following relations hold true

\[
\sum_{I \subset [1, n] \atop |I|=k} \Theta_I \prod_{i<j \in I} (1 + t \beta - t^2 \; q_{ij}) = \left[ \begin{array}{c} n \\ k \end{array} \right]_{1+t\beta}.
\]

Here \( \left[ \begin{array}{c} n \\ k \end{array} \right]_{q} \) denotes the \( q \)-Gaussian polynomial.

**Corollary 3.1.**

Assume that \( q_{ij} \neq 0 \) for all \( 1 \leq i < j \leq n \). Then the all elements \( \{u_{ij}\} \) are invertible and \( u_{ij}^{-1} = q_{ij}^{-1}(u_{ij} - \beta) \). Now define elements \( \Phi_i \in 3\widetilde{HT}_n \) as follows

\[
\Phi_i = \left\{ \prod_{a=i-1}^{1} u_{ai}^{-1} \right\} \left\{ \prod_{a=n}^{i+1} u_{ia} \right\}, \; i = 1, \ldots, n.
\]
Then we have

1. (Relationship among $\Theta_j$ and $\Phi_j$)

$$e^{n-2j+1} \Theta_j(t^{-1}) \big|_{t=0} = (-1)^j \Phi_j.$$ 

2. The elements $\{\Phi_i, 1 \leq i \leq n, \}$ generate a commutative subalgebra in the algebra $\widetilde{3HT}_n$.

3. For each $k = 1, \ldots, n$, the following relation in the algebra $\widetilde{3HT}_n$ among the elements $\{\Phi_i\}$ holds

$$\sum_{I \subset [1,n]} \prod_{i \in I, j \notin I} (-q_{ij}) \Phi_I = \beta^{k(n-k)},$$

where $\Phi_I := \prod_{a \in I} \Phi_a$.

In fact the element $\Phi_i$ admits the following “reduced expression” (i.e. one with the minimal number of terms involved) which is useful for proofs and applications

$$\Phi_i = \left\{ \prod_{j \in I} \left\{ \prod_{i \in I_j} u_{ij}^{-1} \right\} \right\} \left\{ \prod_{j \in I^+_n} \left\{ \prod_{i \in I_j} u_{ij} \right\} \right\}. \tag{3.4}$$

Let us explain notations. For any (totally) ordered set $I = (i_1 < i_2 < \ldots < i_k)$ we denote by $I^-$ the set $I$ with the opposite order, i.e. $I^- = (i_k > i_{k-1} > \ldots > i_1)$; if $I \subset [1,n]$, then we set $I^c := [1,n] \setminus I$. For any (totally) ordered set $I$ we denote by $\prod_{i \in I}$ the ordered product according to the order of the set $I$.

Note that the total number of terms in the RHS of (3.4) is equal to $i(n-i)$.

Finally, from the “reduced expression” (3.4) for the element $\Phi_i$ one can see that

$$\prod_{i \in I, j \notin I} (-q_{ij}) \Phi_I = \left\{ \prod_{j \in I} \left\{ \prod_{i \in I_j} (\beta - u_{ij}) \right\} \right\} \left\{ \prod_{j \in I^+_n} \left\{ \prod_{i \in I_j} u_{ij} \right\} \right\} := \widetilde{\Phi}_I \in \widetilde{3HT}_n.$$

Therefore the identity

$$\sum_{I \subset [1,n], |I|=k} \widetilde{\Phi}_I = \beta^{k(n-k)}$$

is true in the algebra $\widetilde{3HT}_n$ for any set of parameters $\{q_{ij}\}$.

Comments 3.2.

In fact from our proof of Theorem 3.1 we can deduce more general statement, namely, consider integers $m$ and $k$ such that $1 \leq k \leq m \leq n$. Then

$$\sum_{I \subset [1,m]} \Theta_I \prod_{i \in [1,m], j \notin I} (1 + t \beta - t^2 q_{ij}) = \sum_{A \subset [1,n], |A|=m} A_{A,B} \prod_{a=1}^m u_{a,j_a}.$$ 

where, by definition, for two sets $A = (i_1, \ldots, i_r)$ and $B = (j_1, \ldots, j_r)$ the symbol $u_{A,B}$ is equal to the (ordered) product $\prod_{a=1}^m u_{a,j_a}$. Moreover, the elements of the sets $A$ and $B$ have to satisfy the following conditions:

- for each $a = 1, \ldots, r$ one has $1 \leq i_a \leq m < j_a \leq n$, and $k \leq r \leq k(n-k)$.

Even more, if $r = k$, then sets $A$ and $B$ have to satisfy the following additional conditions:

- $B = (j_1 \leq j_2 \leq \ldots \leq j_k)$, and the elements of the set $A$ are pair-wise distinct.

In the case $\beta = 0$ and $r = k$, i.e. in the case of additive (truncated) Dunkl elements, the above statement, also known as the quantum Pieri formula, has been stated as Conjecture in [26], and has been proved later in [76].
Corollary 3.2. ([57])

In the case when $\beta = 0$ and $q_{ij} = q_i \delta_{j-i,1}$, the algebra over $\mathbb{Z}[q_1, \ldots, q_{n-1}]$ generated by the multiplicative Dunkl elements $\{\Theta_i, \Theta_i^{-1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ is canonically isomorphic to the quantum $K$-theory of the complete flag variety $Fl_n$ of type $A_{n-1}$.

It is still an open problem to describe explicitly the set of monomials $\{u_{A,B}\}$ which appear in the RHS of (3.5) when $r > k$.

### 3.3 Truncated Gaudin operators

Let $\{p_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n\}$ be a set of mutually commuting parameters. We assume that parameters $\{p_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}$ are invertible and satisfy the Arnold relations

$$\frac{1}{p_{ik}} = \frac{1}{p_{ij}} + \frac{1}{p_{jk}}, \quad i < j, k.$$

For example one can take $p_{ij} = (z_i - z_j)^{-1}$, where $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_n) \in (\mathbb{C} \setminus 0)^n$.

**Definition 3.5.** Truncated (rational) Gaudin operator corresponding to the set of parameters $\{p_{ij}\}$, is defined to be

$$G_i = \sum_{j \neq i} p_{ij}^{-1} s_{ij}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

where $s_{ij}$ denotes the exchange operator which switches variables $x_i$ and $x_j$, and fixes parameters $\{p_{ij}\}$.

We consider the Gaudin operator $G_i$ as an element of the group ring $\mathbb{Z}[\{p_{ij}^{\pm1}\}][S_n]$, call this element $G_i \in \mathbb{Z}[\{p_{ij}^{\pm1}\}][S_n], \ i = 1, \ldots, n$, by Gaudin element and denoted it by $\theta_i^{(n)}$.

It is easy to see that the elements $u_{ij} := p_{ij}^{-1} s_{ij}, \ 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$, define a representation of the algebra $3HT_n(\beta)$ with parameters $\beta = 0$ and $q_{ij} = u_{ij}^2 = p_{ij}^2$.

Therefore one can consider the (truncated) Gaudin elements as a special case of the (truncated) Dunkl elements. Now one can rewrite the relations among the Dunkl elements, as well as the quantum Pieri formula, [26], [61], in terms of the Gaudin elements.

The key observation which allows to rewrite the quantum Pieri formula as a certain relation among the Gaudin elements, is the following one:

parameters $\{p_{ij}\}$ satisfy the Plücker relations

$$\frac{1}{p_{ik}} \frac{1}{p_{jl}} = \frac{1}{p_{ij}} \frac{1}{p_{kl}} + \frac{1}{p_{il}} \frac{1}{p_{jk}}, \quad \text{if } i < j < k < l.$$

To describe relations among the Gaudin elements $\theta_i^{(n)}, \ i = 1, \ldots, n$, we need a bit of notation. Let $\{p_{ij}\}$ be a set of invertible parameters as before. $i_a < j_a, \ a = 1, \ldots, r$. Define polynomials in the variables $h = (h_1, \ldots, h_n)$

$$G_{m,k,r}^{(n)}(h; \{p_{ij}\}) = \sum_{I \subset [1, n-1]} \prod_{i \in I} p_{in} \sum_{J \subset [1, n]} \left( \prod_{i \in I} p_{jn} \right)^{\left| n - |I| \right|} \frac{1}{\left| n - |I| + 1 \right|} \tilde{h}_J, \quad (3.6)$$

where

$$\tilde{h}_J = \sum_{K \subset J, \ L \subseteq J \mid |K| = |L|, \ K \cap L = \emptyset} \left( \prod_{j \in J \setminus (K \cup L)} h_j \right) \prod_{k \in K} \prod_{j \in L} p_{k_a,l_a}^2,$$

and summation runs over subsets $K = \{k_1 < k_2 < \ldots < k_r\}$ and $L = \{l_1 < l_2 < \ldots < l_r\} \subset J$, such that $k_a < l_a, \ a = 1, \ldots, r.$
Theorem 3.2. (Relations among the Gaudin elements, [77], cf. [71]) Under the assumption that elements \( \{p_{ij}, 1 \leq i < j \leq n\} \) are invertible, mutually commute and satisfy the Arnold relations, one has

- \( C^{(n)}_{m,k,r}(\theta_1^{(n)}, \ldots, \theta_n^{(n)}, \{p_{ij}\}) = 0, \) if \( m > k, \) \( (3.7) \)
- \( G^{(n)}_{0,0,r}(\theta_1^{(n)}, \ldots, \theta_n^{(n)}, \{p_{ij}\}) = e_r(d_2, \ldots, d_n), \)

where \( d_2, \ldots, d_n \) denote the Jucys–Murphy elements in the group ring \( \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{S}_n] \) of the symmetric group \( \mathbb{S}_n, \) see Comments 3.1 for a definition of the Jucys–Murphy elements.

- Let \( J = \{j_1 < j_2 \ldots < j_r\} \subset [1, n], \) define matrix \( M_J := (m_{a,b})_{1 \leq a,b \leq r}, \) where

\[
m_{a,b} := m_{a,b}(h; \{p_{ij}\}) = \begin{cases} h_{j_a}, & \text{if } a = b, \\ p_{j_a,j_b}, & \text{if } a < b, \\ -p_{j_b,j_a}, & \text{if } a > b. \end{cases}
\]

Then

\[
\tilde{h}_J = \text{DET } |M_J|.
\]

Examples 3.1. (1) Let us display the polynomials \( G^{(n)}_{m,k,r}(h, \{p_{ij}\}) \) a few cases.

- \( G^{(n)}_{m,0,r}(h, \{p_{ij}\}) = \sum_{I \subseteq [1, n-1]} \left( \prod_{i \in I} p_{m}^{-1}\left( \sum_{J \subseteq [1, n], |J| = m+r} \tilde{h}_J \right) \right). \)

- \( G^{(n)}_{m,k,0}(h, \{p_{ij}\}) = \binom{n-m+k}{k} e^{q_{m-k}}(h_1, \ldots, h_n). \)

- \( G^{(n)}_{m,1,r}(h, \{p_{ij}\}) = \sum_{I \subseteq [1, n-1]} \left( \prod_{i \in I} p_{m}^{-1}\left( \sum_{J \subseteq [1, n], |J| = m+r} (n-m-r+1) \tilde{h}_J + \sum_{|J| = m+r} \tilde{h}_J \right) \right). \)

(2) Let us list the relations (3.6) among the Gaudin elements in the case \( n = 3. \) First of all, the Gaudin elements satisfy the “standard” relations among the Dunkl elements \( \theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3 = 0, \) \( \theta_1 \theta_2 + \theta_1 \theta_3 + \theta_2 \theta_3 + q_{12} + q_{13} + q_{23} = 0, \)

\( \theta_1 \theta_2 \theta_3 + q_{12} \theta_3 + q_{13} \theta_2 + q_{23} \theta_1 = 0. \) Moreover, we have additional relations which are specific for the Gaudin elements

\[
G^{(3)}_{2,0,1} = \frac{1}{p_{13}}(\theta_1 \theta_2 + \theta_1 \theta_3 + q_{12} + q_{13}) + \frac{1}{p_{23}}(\theta_1 \theta_2 + \theta_2 \theta_3 + q_{12} + q_{23}) = 0,
\]

the elements \( p_{23} \theta_1 + p_{13} \theta_2 \) and \( \theta_1 \theta_2 \) are central. \( \blacksquare \)

It is well-known that the elementary symmetric polynomials \( e_r(d_2, \ldots, d_n) := C_r, \) \( r = 1, \ldots, n-1, \) generate the center of the group ring \( \mathbb{Z}[p_{ij}^\pm][\mathbb{S}_n], \) whereas the Gaudin elements \( \{\theta_i^{(n)}, i = 1, \ldots, n\}, \) generate a maximal commutative subalgebra \( B(p_{ij}), \) the so-called Bethe subalgebra, in \( \mathbb{Z}[p_{ij}^\pm][\mathbb{S}_n]. \) It is well-known, see e.g. [71], that \( B(p_{ij}) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \vdash n} B_\lambda(p_{ij}), \) where \( B_\lambda(p_{ij}) \) is the \( \lambda \)-isotypic component of \( B(p_{ij}). \) On each \( \lambda \)-isotypic component the value of the central element
$C_k$ is the explicitly known constant $c_k(\lambda)$. It follows from [71] that the relations (3.6) together with relations
\[ G_{0,0,r}(\theta_1^{(n)}, \ldots, \theta_n^{(n)}, \{p_{ij}\}) = c_r(\lambda), \]
are the defining relations for the algebra $\mathcal{B}_\lambda(p_{ij})$.

Let us remark that in the definition of the Gaudin elements we can use any set of mutually commuting, invertible elements $\{p_{ij}\}$ which satisfies the Arnold conditions. For example, we can take
\[ p_{ij} := \frac{q^{j-2}(1-q)}{1-q^{j-i}}, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq n. \]

It is not difficult to see that in this case
\[ \lim_{q \to 0} \frac{\theta(n)}{p_{ij}} = -d_j = -\sum_{a=1}^{j-1} s_{aj}, \]
where $d_j$ denotes the Jucys–Murphy element in the group ring $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$ of the symmetric group $S_n$. Basically from relations (2.15) one can deduce the relations among the Jucys–Murphy elements $d_2, \ldots, d_n$ after plugging in (3.6) the values $p_{ij} := \frac{q^{j-2}(1-q)}{1-q^{j-i}}$ and passing to the limit $q \to 0$. However the real computations are rather involved.

Finally we note that the multiplicative Dunkl / Gaudin elements $\{\Theta_i, 1, \ldots, n\}$ also generate a maximal commutative subalgebra in the group ring $\mathbb{Z}[p_{ij}^{\pm 1}]|S_n|$. Some relations among the elements $\{\Theta_i\}$ follow from Theorem 3.2, but we don’t know an analogue of relations (3.6) for the multiplicative Gaudin elements, but see [71].

**Exercises 3.1.**

Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be a $2m \times 2m$ skew-symmetric matrix. The Pfaffian and Hafnian of $A$ are defined correspondingly by the equations
\[ \text{Pf}(A) = \frac{1}{2^m m!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{2m}} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{i=1}^{m} a_{\sigma(2i-1),\sigma(2i)}, \quad \text{Hf}(A) = \frac{1}{2^m m!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{2m}} \prod_{i=1}^{m} a_{\sigma(2i-1),\sigma(2i)} \]
where $S_{2m}$ is the symmetric group and $\text{sgn}(\sigma)$ is the signature of a permutation $\sigma \in S_{2m}$, see e.g. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pfaffian.

Now let $n$ be a positive integer, and $\{p_{ij}, 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n, p_{ij} + p_{ji} = 0\}$ be a set of skew-symmetric, invertible and mutually commuting elements. We set $p_{ii} = 0$ for all $i$, and $q := \{p_{ij}^2\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}$.

Now let us assume that the elements $\{p_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}$ satisfy the Plücker relations for the elements $\{p_{ij}^{-1}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}$, namely,
\[ \frac{1}{p_{ik} p_{jl}} = \frac{1}{p_{ij} p_{kl}} + \frac{1}{p_{il} p_{jk}} \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i < j < k < l \leq n, \]

(a) Let $n$ be an even positive integer. Let us define $A_n(p_{ij}) := (p_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ to be the $n \times n$ skew-symmetric matrix corresponding to the family $\{p_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}$.

Show that
\[ \text{DET} \mid A_n(p_{ij}) \mid = \text{Hf}(A_n(p_{ij}^2)). \]

(b) Let $n$ be a positive integer, and $z_1, \ldots, z_n$ be a set of mutually commuting variables, define polynomials $H_i(z_1, \ldots, z_n \mid \{p_{ij}\}), i = 1, \ldots, n$ from the equation
\[ \text{DET} \mid \text{diag}(t+z_1, \ldots, t+z_n) + A_n(p_{ij}) \mid = t^n + \sum_{i=1}^{n} H_i(z_1, \ldots, z_n \mid \{p_{ij}\}) t^{n-i}, \]
\[ \text{det}(tA_n(p_{ij}) + I_n) = t^n + \sum_{i=1}^{n} H_i(z_1, \ldots, z_n \mid \{p_{ij}\}) t^{n-i}. \]
where $\text{diag}(t + z_1, \ldots, t + z_n)$ means the diagonal matrix.

Show that

For $k = 1, \ldots, n$ the polynomial $H_k(z_1, \ldots, z_n | \{p_{ij}\})$ is equal to the multiparameter quantum elementary polynomial $e_k^{(q)}(z_1, \ldots, z_n)$, see e.g. [27], or Theorem 2.1.

For example, take $n = 4$, then $\det | A(p_{ij}) | = (p_{12} p_{34} - p_{13} p_{24} + p_{14} p_{23})^2 = p_{12}^2 p_{34}^2 + p_{13}^2 p_{24}^2 + p_{14}^2 p_{23}^2 - 2 p_{12}p_{13} p_{23} p_{14} p_{24} p_{23} \left( \frac{1}{p_{12} p_{34}} - \frac{1}{p_{13} p_{24}} + \frac{1}{p_{14} p_{23}} \right) = p_{12}^2 p_{34}^2 + p_{13}^2 p_{24}^2 + p_{14}^2 p_{23}^2 = H_f(A_4(\{p_{ij}\}))$.

On the other hand, if one assumes that a set of skew symmetric parameters $\{r_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}$, $r_{ij} + r_{ji} = 0$, satisfies the “standard” Plücker relations, namely

$$r_{ik} r_{jl} = r_{ij} r_{kl} + r_{il} r_{jk}, \quad i < j < k < l,$$

then $\det | A_n(r_{ij}) | = 0$.

### 3.4 Shifted Dunkl elements $\mathfrak{d}_i$ and $\mathfrak{D}_i$

As it was stated in Corollary 3.2, the truncated additive and multiplicative Dunkl elements in the algebra $3HT_n(0)$ generate over the ring of polynomials $\mathbb{Z}[q_1, \ldots, q_{n-1}]$ correspondingly the quantum cohomology and quantum $K$-theory rings of the full flag variety $\mathcal{F}_n$. In order to describe the corresponding equivariant theories, we will introduce the shifted additive and multiplicative Dunkl elements. To start with we need at first to introduce an extension of the algebra $3HT_n(\beta)$.

Let $\{z_1, \ldots, z_n\}$ be a set of mutually commuting elements and $\{\beta, h = (h_1, \ldots, h_n-1), t, q_{ij} = q_{ji}, \ 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$ be a set of parameters. We set $h_n := 0$.

**Definition 3.6.** Cf Definition 2.4.

Define algebra $3TH_n(\beta, h)$ to be the semi-direct product of the algebra $3HT_n(\beta)$ and the ring of polynomials $\mathbb{Z}[h, t][z_1, \ldots, z_n]$ with respect to the crossing relations

1. $z_i u_{kl} = u_{kl} z_i$ if $i \notin \{k, l\}$,
2. $z_i u_{ij} = u_{ij} z_i + \beta z_i + h_j$, $z_j u_{ij} = u_{ij} z_i - \beta z_i - h_{j-1}$, if $1 \leq i < j < k \leq n$.

Now we set as before $h_{ij} := h_{ij}(t) = 1 + t u_{ij}$.

**Definition 3.7.**

- Define shifted additive Dunkl elements to be
  $$\mathfrak{d}_i = z_i - \sum_{i < j} u_{ij} + \sum_{i < j} u_{ji}.$$  

- Define shifted multiplicative Dunkl elements to be
  $$\mathfrak{D}_i = \left( \prod_{a = i - 1}^{1} h_{ai}^{-1} \right) \left( 1 + z_i \right) \left( \prod_{a = n}^{i + 1} h_{ia} \right).$$

**Lemma 3.3.**

$$[\mathfrak{d}_i, \mathfrak{d}_j] = 0, \ [\mathfrak{D}_i, \mathfrak{D}_j] = 0 \ for \ all \ i, j.$$

Now we stated an analogue of Theorem 3.1. for shifted multiplicative Dunkl elements. As a preliminary step, for any subset $I \subset [1, n]$ let us set $\mathfrak{D}_I = \prod_{a \in I} \mathfrak{D}_a$. It is clear that

$$\mathfrak{D}_I \prod_{i < j} (1 + t \beta - t^2 q_{ij}) \in 3HT_n(\beta, h).$$
Theorem 3.3.
In the algebra $3HT_n(\beta, \hbar)$ the following relations hold true

$$
\sum_{I \subseteq [1, n]} \mathcal{D}_I \prod_{\substack{i \in I, j \in J \ni i < j \ni |I| = k}} (1 + t \beta - t^2 q_{ij}) = 
\sum_{I \subseteq [1, n]} \prod_{i < j \ni a \in J \ni |I| = k} \left(1 + (1 + t \beta)^{n-k-a} + 1 + h_i \frac{(1 + t \beta)^{i_a-a-1}}{\beta}\right).
$$

In particular, if $\beta = 0$, we will have

Corollary 3.3. In the algebra $3HT_n(0, \hbar)$ the following relations hold

$$
\sum_{I \subseteq [1, n]} \mathcal{D}_I \prod_{\substack{i \in I, j \in J \ni i < j \ni |I| = k}} (1 - t^2 q_{ij}) = \sum_{I \subseteq [1, n]} \prod_{i < j \ni a \in J \ni |I| = k} \left(z_{i_a} + 1 + t h_i (i_a - a)\right).
$$

Conjecture 3.1. If $h_1 = \cdots = h_{n-1} = 1$, $t = 1$ and $q_{ij} = \delta_{i,j+1}$, then the subalgebra generated by multiplicative Dunkl elements $\mathcal{D}_I$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, in the algebra $3HT_n(0, \hbar = 1)$ (and $t = 1$), is isomorphic to the equivariant quantum $K$-theory of the complete flag variety $F_n$.

Our proof is based on induction on $k$ and the following relations in the algebra $3HT_n(\beta, \hbar)$

$$
h_{ji} (x_{j} - x_{i}) = h_{j-1} + \beta_{i} x_{j} - x_{i} + (1 + x_{i}) h_{ji}, \quad h_{ji} h_{jk} = h_{jk} h_{ki} + h_{ik} h_{ji} - 1 - \beta,
$$

if $i < j < k$, and we set $h_{ij} := h_{ij}(1)$. These relations allow to reduce the left hand side of the relations listed in Theorem 3.3 to the case when $z_i = 0$, $h_i = 0$, $\forall i$. Under these assumptions one needs to proof the following relations in the algebra $3HT_n(\beta)$, see Theorem 3.1,

$$
\sum_{I \subseteq [1, n]} \mathcal{D}_I \prod_{\substack{i \in I, j \in J \ni i < j \ni |I| = k}} (1 + t \beta - t^2 q_{ij}) = \binom{n}{k} \frac{t^k}{1+t\beta}.
$$

In the case $\beta = 0$ the identity (3.9) has been proved in [51]

One of the main steps in our proof of Theorem 3.1, is the following explicit formula for the elements $\mathcal{D}_I$.

Lemma 3.4. One has

$$
\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_I := \mathcal{D}_I \prod_{\substack{i \not\in I, j \in J \ni i < j \ni |I| = k}} (1 + t \beta - t^2 q_{ij}) = \prod_{b \in I} \left(\prod_{a \in I, a < b} h_{ab}\right) \prod_{a \in I} \left((1 + z_a) \prod_{b \in I, a < b} h_{ab}\right).
$$

Note that if $a < b$, then $h_{ba} = 1 + \beta t - u_{ab}$. Here we have used the symbol

$$
\prod_{b \in I} \left(\prod_{a \in I, a < b} h_{ab}\right)
$$

to denote the following product. At first, for a given element $b \in I$ let us define the set $I(b) := \{a \in [1, n] \setminus I, a < b\} := (a_1^{(b)} < \ldots < a_p^{(b)})$ for some $p$ (depending on $b$). If $I = (b_1 < b_2 \ldots < b_k)$ i.e. $b_i = a_i^{(b)}$, then we set

$$
\prod_{b \in I} \left(\prod_{a \in I, a < b} h_{ab}\right) = \prod_{j=1}^k (u_{b_j,a_j} u_{b_j,a_j+1} \cdots u_{b_j,a_1}).
$$
For example, let us take \( n = 6 \) and \( I = (1, 3, 5) \), then
\[
\hat{S}_I = h_{32} h_{54} h_{52} (1 + z_1) h_{16} h_{14} h_{12} (1 + z_3) h_{36} h_{34} (1 + z_5) h_{56}.
\]

Let us stress that the element \( \hat{S}_I \in 3HT_n(\beta) \) is a linear combination of square free monomials and therefore, a computation of the left hand side of the equality stated in Theorem 3.3 can be performed in the “classical case” that is in the case \( q_{ij} = 0, \forall i < j \). This case corresponds to the computation of the classical equivariant cohomology of the type \( A_{n-1} \) complete flag variety \( F_{I_n} \), if \( h = 1 \).

A proof of the \( \beta = 0 \) case given in [51], Theorem 1, can be immediately extended to the case \( \beta \neq 0 \).

**Exercises 3.2.**

1. Show that
\[
\sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \ldots < i_k \leq n} \prod_{a=1}^{k} (1 + \beta)^{n-k-i_a+a} = \left[ \begin{array}{c} n \\ k \end{array} \right]_{1+i\beta}.
\]

2. \((\beta, h)\)-Stirling polynomials of the second type

Define polynomials \( S_{n,k}(\beta, h) \) as follows
\[
S_{n,k}(\beta, h) = \sum_{I \subset [1, n]} \prod_{a=1}^{k} \left( \beta^{n-k-i_a+a} + h \frac{\beta^{n-k-i_a+a} - 1}{\beta - 1} \right).
\]

Show that
\[
S_{n,k}(1, 1) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} n+1 \\ k+1 \end{array} \right\}, \quad S_{n,k}(\beta, 0) = \left[ \begin{array}{c} n \\ k \end{array} \right]_{\beta}.
\]

4 **Algebra \( 3T_n^{(0)}(\Gamma) \) and Tutte polynomial of graphs**

4.1 **Graph and nil-graph subalgebras, and partial flag varieties**

Let’s consider the set \( R_n := \{(i, j) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\} \) as the set of edges of the complete graph \( K_n \) on \( n \) labeled vertices \( v_1, \ldots, v_n \). Any subset \( S \subset R_n \) is the set of edges of a unique subgraph \( \Gamma := \Gamma_S \) of the complete graph \( K_n \).

**Definition 4.1.** (Graph and nil-graph subalgebras)

The graph subalgebra \( 3T_n(\Gamma) \) (resp. nil-graph subalgebra \( 3T_n^{(0)}(\Gamma) \)) corresponding to a subgraph \( \Gamma \subset K_n \) of the complete graph \( K_n \), is defined to be the subalgebra in the algebra \( 3T_n \) (resp. \( 3T_n^{(0)} \)) generated by the elements \( \{u_{ij} \mid (i, j) \in \Gamma\} \).

In subsequent Subsections 4.1.1 and 4.1.2 we will study some examples of graph subalgebras corresponding to the complete multipartite graphs, cycle graphs and linear graphs.

4.1.1 **NilCoxeter and affine nilCoxeter subalgebras in \( 3T_n^{(0)} \)**

Our first example is concerned with the case when the graph \( \Gamma \) corresponds to either the set \( S := \{(i, i+1) \mid i = 1, \ldots, n - 1\} \) of simple roots of type \( A_{n-1} \), or the set \( S^{aff} := S \cup \{(1, n)\} \) of affine simple roots of type \( A_{n-1}^{(1)} \).

**Definition 4.2.** (a) Denote by \( \widehat{NC}_n \) subalgebra in the algebra \( 3T_n^{(0)} \) generated by the elements \( u_{i,i+1}, 1 \leq i \leq n - 1 \).

(b) Denote by \( \widehat{ANC}_n \) subalgebra in the algebra \( 3T_n^{(0)} \) generated by the elements \( u_{i,i+1}, 1 \leq i \leq n - 1 \) and \( -u_{1,n} \).
Theorem 4.1.
(A) (cf [3]) The subalgebra \( \overline{NC}_n \) is canonically isomorphic to the NilCoxeter algebra \( NC_n \).
In particular, \( \text{Hilb}(\overline{NC}_n, t) = [n]_t! \).

(B) The subalgebra \( \overline{ANC}_n \) has finite dimension and its Hilbert polynomial is equal to
\[
\text{Hilb}(\overline{ANC}_n, t) = [n]_t! \prod_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} [j(n-j)]_t = [n]_t! \prod_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} [j]_{n-j}.
\]
In particular, \( \dim \overline{ANC}_n = (n-1)! n! \), \( \deg_t \text{Hilb}(\overline{ANC}_n, t) = (n+1) \).

(C) The kernel of the map \( \pi : \overline{ANC}_n \to \overline{NC}_n \), \( \pi(u_{1,n}) = 0 \), \( \pi(u_{i,i+1}) = u_{i,i+1} \), \( 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \), is generated by the following elements:
\[
f^{(k)}_n = \prod_{j=k}^1 \prod_{a=j}^{n-k+j-1} u_{a,a+1}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n-1.
\]

Note that \( \deg f^{(k)}_n = k(n-k) \).

The statement (C) of Theorem 4.1 means that the element \( f^{(k)}_n \) which does not contain the generator \( u_{1,n} \), can be written as a linear combination of degree \( k(n-k) \) monomials in the algebra \( \overline{ANC}_n \), each contains the generator \( u_{1,n} \) at least once. By this means we obtain a set of all extra relations (i.e. additional to those in the algebra \( \overline{NC}_n \)) in the algebra \( \overline{ANC}_n \). Moreover, each monomial \( M \) in all linear combinations mentioned above, appears with coefficient \( (-1)^{\#|u_{1,n} \in M|} \). For example,
\[
\begin{align*}
f^{(1)}_4 &:= u_{1,2}u_{2,3}u_{3,4} = u_{2,3}u_{3,4}u_{1,4} + u_{3,4}u_{1,4}u_{1,2} + u_{1,4}u_{1,2}u_{2,3}; \\
f^{(2)}_4 &:= u_{2,3}u_{3,4}u_{1,2}u_{2,3} = u_{1,2}u_{3,4}u_{2,3}u_{1,4} + u_{1,2}u_{2,3}u_{1,4}u_{1,2} + u_{2,3}u_{1,4}u_{1,2}u_{3,4} + u_{3,4}u_{2,3}u_{1,4}u_{3,4} - u_{1,4}u_{1,2}u_{3,4}u_{1,4}.
\end{align*}
\]

Remark 4.1. More generally, let \( (W, S) \) be a finite crystallographic Coxeter group of rank \( l \) with the set of exponents \( l = m_1 \leq m_2 \leq \cdots \leq m_l \).

Let \( \mathcal{B}_W \) be the corresponding Nichols–Woronowicz algebra, see e.g. [4]. Follow [4], denote by \( \overline{NC}_W \) the subalgebra in \( \mathcal{B}_W \) generated by the elements \( [\alpha_s] \in \mathcal{B}_W \) corresponding to simple roots \( s \in S \). Denote by \( \overline{WNC}_W \) the subalgebra in \( \mathcal{B}_W \) generated by \( \overline{NC}_W \) and the element \( [a_0] \), where \( [a_0] \) stands for the element in \( \mathcal{B}_W \) corresponding to the highest root \( \theta \) for \( W \). In other words, \( \overline{WNC}_W \) is the image of the algebra \( \overline{ANC}_W \) under the natural map \( \mathcal{B}(W) \to \mathcal{B}_W \), see e.g. [4], [9]. It follows from [4], Section 6, that \( \text{Hilb}(\overline{NC}_W, t) = \prod_{i=1}^l [m_i + 1]_t \).

Conjecture 4.1. (Y. Bazlov and A.N. Kirillov, 2002)
\[
\text{Hilb}(\overline{WNC}_W, t) = \prod_{i=1}^l \frac{1-t^{n+1-m_i}}{1-t^{m_i}} \prod_{i=1}^l \frac{1-t^{a_i}}{1-t} = P_{\text{aff}}(W, t) \prod_{i=1}^l (1-t^{a_i}),
\]
where
\[
P_{\text{aff}}(W, t) := \sum_{w \in W_{\text{aff}}} t^{l(w)} = \prod_{i=1}^l \frac{(1 + t + \cdots + t^{m_i})}{1-t^{m_i}}
\]
denotes the Poincaré polynomial corresponding to the affine Weyl group \( W_{\text{aff}} \), see [12], p.245; \( a_i := (2\rho, \alpha_i^\vee) \), \( 1 \leq i \leq l \), denote the coefficients of the decomposition of the sum of positive roots \( 2\rho \) in terms of the simple roots \( \alpha_i \).

In particular, \( \dim \overline{WNC}_W = |W| \prod_{i=1}^l a_i/m_i \) and \( \deg \text{Hilb}(\overline{WNC}_W, t) = \sum_{i=1}^l a_i \).

It is well-known that the product \( \prod_{i=1}^l \frac{1-t^{a_i}}{1-t^{m_i}} \) is a symmetric (and unimodal ?) polynomial with non–negative integer coefficients.
Example 4.1. (a)\[ Hilb(\text{nANC}_3, t) = [2]^2[3]t, \quad Hilb(\text{nANC}_4, t) = [3]^2[4]t, \quad Hilb(\text{nANC}_5, t) = [4]^2[5]t[6]t. \]
(b) \[ Hilb(BE_2, t) = (1 + t)^4(1 + t^2)^2, \]
\[ Hilb(\text{nANC}_B_2, t) = (1 + t)^3(1 + t^2)^2 = P_{\text{aff}}(B_2, t)(1 - t^3)(1 - t^4). \]
(c) \[ Hilb(\text{nANC}_B_3, t) = (1 + t)^3(1 + t^2)^2(1 + t^4)(1 + t + t^2)(1 + t^3 + t^6) = P_{\text{aff}}(B_3, t)(1 - t^5)(1 - t^8)(1 - t^9). \]
Indeed, \[ m_{B_3} = (1, 3, 5), \quad a_{B_3} = (5, 8, 9). \]

**Definition 4.3.** Let \( \langle \text{nANC}_n \rangle \) denote the two-sided ideal in \( 3T_n^{(0)} \) generated by the elements \( \{u_{i,i+1}\}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n - 1, \) and \( u_{1,n} \). Denote by \( U_n \) the quotient \( U_n = 3T_n^{(0)}/\langle \text{nANC}_n \rangle \).

**Proposition 4.1.**
\[ U_3 \cong \langle u_{1,3}, u_{2,4} \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2; \quad U_5 \cong \langle u_{1,4}, u_{2,4}, u_{2,5}, u_{3,5}, u_{1,3} \rangle \cong \text{nANC}_5. \]
In particular, \[ Hilb(3T_5^{(0)}, t) = [\text{Hilb}(\text{nANC}_5, t)]^2. \]

### 4.1.2 Parabolic 3-term relations algebras and partial flag varieties

In fact one can construct an analogue of the algebra \( 3HT_n \) and a commutative subalgebra inside it, for any graph \( \Gamma = (V, E) \) on \( n \) vertices, possibly with loops and multiple edges, [17]. We denote this algebra by \( 3T_n(\Gamma) \), and denote by \( 3T_n^{(0)}(\Gamma) \) its nil-quotient, which may be considered as a “classical limit of the algebra \( 3T_n(\Gamma) \)”.

The case of the complete graph \( \Gamma = K_n \) reproduces the results of the present paper and those of [17], i.e. the case of the full flag variety \( F_n \). The case of the complete multipartite graph \( \Gamma = K_{n_1, \ldots, n_r} \) reproduces the analogue of results stated in the present paper for the full flag variety \( F_n \), to the case of the partial flag variety \( F_{n_1, \ldots, n_r} \), see [17] for details.

We expect that in the case of the complete graph with all edges having the same multiplicity \( m \), denoted by \( \Gamma = K_n^{(m)} \), or \( mK_n \) in the present paper, the commutative subalgebra generated by the Dunkl elements in the algebra \( 3T_n^{(0)}(\Gamma) \) is related to the algebra of coinvariants of the diagonal action of the symmetric group \( S_n \) on the ring of polynomials \( \mathbb{Q}[X_n^{(1)}, \ldots, X_n^{(m)}] \), where we set \( X_n^{(i)} = \{x_1^{(i)}, \ldots, x_n^{(i)}\} \).

**Example 4.2.** Take \( \Gamma = K_{2,2} \). The algebra \( 3T^{(0)}(\Gamma) \) is generated by four elements \( \{a = u_{13}, b = u_{14}, c = u_{23}, d = u_{24}\} \) subject to the following set of (defining) relations
- \( a^2 = b^2 = c^2 = d^2 = 0 \), \( b = b \), \( a = d = d \),
- \( a b + b a = 0 = a c + c a, \quad b d + d b = 0 = c d + d c \),
- \( a b d + b d c + c a b = 0 = a c d - b a c - c d b + d b a, \quad a b c a + a b d c + a b a d + a b d = 0 = a b c a + a b d c + a b a d + a b d \).

It is not difficult to see that
\[ Hilb(3T^{(0)}(K_{2,2}), t) = [3]^2[4]^2t, \quad Hilb(3T^{(0)}(K_{2,2})^{ab}, t) = (1, 4, 6, 3). \]

Here for any algebra \( A \) we denote by \( A^{ab} \) its abelianization [22].

---

[21] Hereinafter we shall use notation \( (a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_k)_t := a_0 + a_1t + \cdots + a_k t^k. \)

[22] See groupprops.subwiki.org/wiki/Abelianization
The commutative subalgebra in $3T^{(0)}(K_{2,2})$, which corresponds to the intersection $3T^{(0)}(K_{2,2}) \cap \mathbb{Z}[\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4]$, is generated by the elements $c_1 := \theta_1 + \theta_2 = (a + b + c + d)$ and $c_2 := \theta_1 \theta_2 = (ac + ca + bd + db + ad + bc)$. The elements $c_1$ and $c_2$ commute and satisfy the following relations

$$c_1^3 - 2c_1 c_2 = 0, \quad c_2^2 - c_2 c_1 = 0.$$

The ring of polynomials $\mathbb{Z}[c_1, c_2]$ is isomorphic to the cohomology ring $H^*(Gr(2,4), \mathbb{Z})$ of the Grassmannian variety $Gr(2,4)$.

To continue exposition, let us take $m \leq n$, and consider the complete multipartite graph $K_{n,m}$ which corresponds to the grassman variety $Gr(n,m+n)$. One can show

$$Hilb(3T^{(0)}(K_{n,m})^{ab}, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k (1 + (n - k) t)^{n-1} \prod_{j=1}^{n-k} (1 + j t) \left\{ \begin{array}{c} n \\ n-k \end{array} \right\},$$

$$= t^{n+m-1} Tutte(K_{n,m}, 1 + t^{-1}, 0),$$

where $\left\{ \begin{array}{c} n \\ k \end{array} \right\} := S(n,k)$ denotes the Stirling numbers of the second kind, that is the number of ways to partition a set of $n$ labeled objects into $k$ nonempty unlabeled subsets, and for any graph $\Gamma$, $Tutte(\Gamma, x, y)$ denotes the Tutte polynomial corresponding to graph $\Gamma$.

It is well-known that the Stirling numbers $S(n,k)$ satisfy the following identities

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k S(n,n-k) \prod_{j=1}^{n-k} (1 + j t) = (1 + t)^n, \quad \sum_{n \geq k} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} n \\ k \end{array} \right\} \frac{x^n}{n!} = \frac{e^x - 1)^k}{k!}.$$}

Let us observe that $\dim(3T^{(0)}(K_{n,n})^{ab}) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j (n + 1 - k)^{n-1} (n + 1 - k)! \left\{ \begin{array}{c} n \\ n-k \end{array} \right\} = A048163,$ \[87].

Moreover, if $m \geq 0$, then

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \dim(3T^{(0)}(K_{n,n+m})^{ab}) t^n = \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{k^{k+m-1} (k-1)! t^k}{\prod_{j=1}^{k-1} (1 + k j t)},$$

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} Hilb(3T^{(0)}(K_{n,n})^{ab}, t) z^{n-1} = \sum_{k \geq 0} (1 + k t)^{n-1} \prod_{j=1}^{k} \left( \frac{z (1 + j t)}{1 + j z} \right).$$

**Comments 4.1. Poly-Bernoulli numbers**

Based on the identities above involving the Stirling numbers $S(n,k)$, one can prove the following combinatorial formula

$$\dim(3T^{(0)}(K_{n,n})^{ab}) = \sum_{j=1}^{\min(n,m)} (j!)^2 \left\{ \begin{array}{c} n+1 \\ j+1 \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} m+1 \\ j+1 \end{array} \right\} = B_n^{(-m)} = B_m^{(-n)}, \quad (4.1)$$

\[23\] See e.g. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tutte.polynomial. It is well-known that $Tutte(\Gamma, 1 + t, 0) = (-1)^{\varkappa(\Gamma)} t^{-\kappa(\Gamma)} Chrom(\Gamma, -t)$, where for any graph $\Gamma$, $|\Gamma|$ is equal to the number of vertices and $\kappa(\Gamma)$ is equal to the number of connected components of $\Gamma$. Finally $Chrom(\Gamma, t)$ denotes the chromatic polynomial corresponding to graph $\Gamma$, see e.g., \[56\], or http://en.wikipedia/wiki/Chromatic.polynomial.
where \( B_n^{(k)} \) denotes the poly-Bernoulli number introduced by M. Kaneko [42].

For the reader’s convenient, we recall below a definition of poly-Bernoulli numbers. To start with, let \( k \) be an integer, consider the formal power series

\[
Li_k(z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n^k}.
\]

If \( k \geq 1 \), \( Li_k(z) \) is the \( k \)-th polylogarithm, and if \( k \leq 0 \), then \( Li_k(z) \) is a rational function. Clearly \( Li_1(z) = -\ln(1 - z) \). Now define poly-Bernoulli numbers through the generating function

\[
\frac{Li_k(1-e^{-z})}{1-e^{-z}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n^{(k)} \frac{z^n}{n!}.
\]

Note that a combinatorial formula for the numbers \( B_n^{(k)} \) stated in (4.1) is a consequence of the following identity [42]

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_n^{(-k)} \frac{x^n z^k}{n! k!} = \frac{e^{x+z}}{1 - (1-e^x)(1-e^z)}.
\]

Now let \( \theta^{(n+m)} = \sum_{i \neq j} u_{ij} \), \( 1 \leq i \leq n + m \), be the Dunkl elements in the algebra \( 3T^{(0)}(K_{n+m}) \), define the following elements the in the algebra \( 3T^{(0)}(K_{n,m}) \)

\[
c_k := e_k(\theta^{(n+m)}_1, \ldots, \theta^{(n+m)}_n), \quad 1 \leq k \leq n, \quad \bar{c}_r := e_r(\theta^{(n+m)}_{n+1}, \ldots, \theta^{(n+m)}_n), \quad 1 \leq r \leq m.
\]

Clearly,

\[
(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_k t^k)(1 + \sum_{r=1}^{m} \bar{c}_r t^r) = \prod_{j=1}^{n+m} (1 + \theta^{(n+m)}_j) = 1.
\]

Moreover, there exist the natural isomorphisms of algebras

\[
H^*(Gr(n, n + m), \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[c_1, \ldots, c_n]/\langle (1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_k t^k)(1 + \sum_{r=1}^{m} \bar{c}_r t^r) - 1 \rangle,
\]

\[
QH^*(Gr(n, n + m)) \cong \mathbb{Z}[q][c_1, \ldots, c_n]/\langle (1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_k t^k)(1 + \sum_{r=1}^{m} \bar{c}_r t^r) - 1 - q t^{n+m} \rangle.
\]

Let us recall, see Section 2, footnote 16, that for a commutative ring \( R \) and a polynomial \( p(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{s} g_j t^j \in R[t] \), we denote by \( \langle p(t) \rangle \) the ideal in the ring \( R \) generated by the coefficients \( g_1, \ldots, g_s \).

These examples are illustrative of the similar results valid for the general complete multipartite graphs \( K_{n_1, \ldots, n_r} \), i.e. for the partial flag varieties [17].

To state our results for partial flag varieties we need a bit of notation. Let \( N := n_1 + \ldots + n_r \), \( n_j > 0 \), \( \forall j \), be a composition of size \( N \). We set \( N_j := n_1 + \ldots + n_j, \) \( j = 1, \ldots, r \), and \( N_0 = 0 \). Now, consider the commutative subalgebra in the algebra \( 3T^{(0)}(N_0) \) generated by the set of Dunkl elements \( \{ \theta^{(N)}_1, \ldots, \theta^{(N)}_N \} \), and define elements \( \{ c^{(j,N)}_{k_j} \in 3T^{(0)}(N_{j+1, \ldots, N_r}) \} \) to be the degree \( k_j \) elementary symmetric polynomials of the Dunkl elements \( \theta^{(N)}_{N_j-1+1}, \ldots, \theta^{(N)}_{N_j} \), namely

\[
c^{(j,N)}_k := c^{(j,N)}_{k_j} = c_k(\theta^{(N)}_{N_j-1+1}, \ldots, \theta^{(N)}_{N_j}), \quad 1 \leq k_j \leq n_j, \quad j = 1, \ldots, r, \quad c^{(j)}_0 = 1, \quad \forall j.
\]
Clearly
\[ \prod_{j=1}^{r} \left( \sum_{a=0}^{n_j} c_{a}^{(j)} t^a \right) = \prod_{j=1}^{N} (1 + \theta_j^{(N)} t^j) = 1. \]

**Theorem 4.2.**
The commutative subalgebra generated by the elements \( \{ c_{k_j}^{(j)} , 1 \leq k_j \leq n_j , 1 \leq j \leq r - 1 \} \), in the algebra \( 3T_N^0 (K_{n_1 , \ldots , n_r}) \) is isomorphic to the cohomology ring \( H^* (\mathcal{F}l_{n_1 , \ldots , n_r}, \mathbb{Z}) \) of the partial flag variety \( \mathcal{F}l_{n_1 , \ldots , n_r} \).

\[ \Rightarrow \]
In other words, we treat the Dunkl elements \( \{ \theta_{N_j - 1 + a}^{(N)} , 1 \leq a \leq n_j , j = 1 , \ldots , r \} \), as the Chern roots of the vector bundles \( \{ \xi_j := \mathcal{F}j / \mathcal{F}j_1 , j = 1 , \ldots , r \} \), over the partial flag variety \( \mathcal{F}l_{n_1 , \ldots , n_r} \).

Recall that a point \( \textbf{F} \) of the partial flag variety \( \mathcal{F}l_{n_1 , \ldots , n_r} \), \( n_1 + \cdots + n_r = N \), is a sequence of embedded subspaces
\[ \textbf{F} = \{ 0 = F_0 \subset F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \cdots \subset F_r = \mathbb{C}^N \} \]
such that \( \dim (F_i / F_{i-1}) = n_i , i = 1 , \ldots , r \).

By definition, the fiber of the vector bundle \( \xi_i \) over a point \( \textbf{F} \in \mathcal{F}l_{n_1 , \ldots , n_r} \) is the \( n_i \)-dimensional vector space \( F_i / F_{i-1} \).

**Conjecture 4.2.**

(1) Let \( \Gamma = (V, E) \) be a connected subgraph of the complete graph \( K_n \) on \( n \) vertices. Then
\[ \text{Hilb} (3T_n^0 (\Gamma)^{ab} , t) = t^{|V|-1} \text{Tutte}(\Gamma; 1 + t^{-1} , 0). \]

(2) Let \( \Gamma = (V, E, \{ m_{ij} \}, (ij) \in E) \) be a connected subgraph of the complete graph \( K_n^m \) with multiple edges such that an edge \( (ij) \in K_n \) has the multiplicity \( m_{ij} \). Let \( 3T_n^0 (\Gamma , m) \) denotes the subalgebra in the algebra \( 3T_n^0 (m) \) generated by elements \( \{ u_{ij}^{(m)} , (ij) \in E , 1 \leq m_{ij} \leq m_{ij} \} \), see Section 4.2.5. Let \( \mathcal{A} (\Gamma , \{ m_{ij} \}) \) denotes the graphic arrangement corresponding to the graph \( (\Gamma , \{ m_{ij} \}) \), that is the set of hyperplanes \( \{ H_{ija} = (x_i - x_j = a) , 0 \leq a \leq m_{ij} - 1 , (ij) \in E \} \).

Then
\[ 3T_n^0 (\Gamma , m)^{ab} = OS^+ (\mathcal{A} (\Gamma , \{ m_{ij} \})), \]
where for any arrangements of hyperplanes \( \mathcal{A} , OS^+ (\mathcal{A}) \) denotes the even Orlik–Salamon algebra of the arrangement \( \mathcal{A} \), \( [75] \).

In the case when \( m_{ij} = 1 , \forall 1 \leq i < j \leq n \), \( 3T_n^0 (\Gamma)^{anti} = OS (\mathcal{A} (\Gamma)). \)

**Examples 4.1.**

(1) Let \( G = K_{2,2} \) be complete bipartite graph of type \((2,2)\). Then,
\[ \text{Hilb} (3T_4^0(2,2)^{ab} , t) = t^2 (1 + t) + t (1 + t)^2 (1 + t)^3, \]
and the Tutte polynomial for the graph \( K_{2,2} \) is equal to \( x + x^2 + x^3 + y \).

(2) Let \( G = K_{3,3} \) be complete bipartite graph of type \((3,2)\). Then,
\[ \text{Hilb} (3T_5^0(3,2)^{ab} , t) = t^3 (1 + t) + 3 t (1 + t)^2 + 2 t (1 + t)^3 + (1 + t)^4, \]
and the Tutte polynomial for the graph \( K_{3,3} \) is equal to \( x + 3 x^2 + 2 x^3 + x^4 + y + 3 x y + y^2 \).

(3) Let \( G = K_{3,3} \) be complete bipartite graph of type \((3,3)\). Then
\[ \text{Hilb} (3T_6^0(3,3)^{ab} , t) = t^5 (1 + t) + 4 t (1 + t)^4 + 10 t^2 (1 + t)^3 + 11 t^3 (1 + t)^2 + 5 t^4 (1 + t), \]
and the Tutte polynomial of the bipartite graph \( K_{3,3} \) is equal to
\[ 5x + 11x^2 + 10x^3 + 4x^4 + x^5 + 15xy + 9x^2 y + 6xy^2 + 5y + 9y^2 + 5y^3 + y^4. \]
(4) Consider complete multipartite graph $K_{2,2,2}$. One can show that

$$Hilb(3T_6^{(0)}(K_{2,2,2})^a, t) = (1, 12, 58, 137, 154, 64) =$$

$$11 t^4(1 + t) + 25 t^3(1 + t)^2 + 20 t^2(1 + t)^3 + 7 t(1 + t)^4 + (1 + t)^5,$$

and Tutte($K_{2,2,2}, x, y$) = $x(11, 25, 20, 7, 1)y + y(11, 46, 39, 8)x + y^2(32, 52, 12)x + y^3(40, 24)x + y^4(29, 6)x + 15y^5 + 5y^6 + y^7$.

The above examples show that the Hilbert polynomial $Hilb(3T_n^0(G)^a, t)$ appears to be a certain specialization of the Tutte polynomial of the corresponding graph $G$. Instead of using the Hilbert polynomial of the algebra $3T_n^0(G)^a$ one can consider the graded Betti numbers $\text{Betti}(3T_n^0(G)^a, x, y)$. For example,

$$\text{Betti}(3T_3^0(K_3)^a, x, y) = 1 + 4x + x^2(2y + 3y^2) + 2x^3y^2,$$

$$\text{Betti}(3T_2^0(K_{2,2})^a, x, y) = 1 + x(4y + y^2) + x^2(9y^2 + y^3) + x^3(3y^2 + 6y^3) + 3x^4y^3,$$

$$\text{Betti}(3T_4^0(K_4)^a, x, y) =$$

$$1 + 10x + x^2(10y + 24y^2) + x^3(46y^2 + 15y^3) + x^4(25y^2 + 36y^3) + x^5(6y^2 + 25y^3) + 6x^6y^3.$$

Claim Let $G = (V, E)$ be a connected graph without loops. Then $(n = |V(G)| = \text{number of vertices}, \ e = |E(G)| = \text{number of edges})$

$$\text{Betti}(3T_n^0(G)^a, -x, x) = (1 - x)^e Hilb(3T_n^0(G)^a, x),$$

Question Let $G$ be a connected subgraph of the complete graph $K_n$. Does the graded Betti polynomial $\text{Betti}(3T_n^0(G)^a, x, y)$ is a certain specialization of the Tutte polynomial $T(G, x, y)$?

Conjecture 4.3. Let $n = (n_1, \ldots, n_r)$ be a composition of $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, then

$$Hilb(3T^{(0)}(K_{n_1, \ldots, n_r})^a, t) = \sum_{k = (k_1, \ldots, k_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r} (-t)^{|n| - |k|} \prod_{j=1}^r \binom{n_j}{k_j} \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} (1 + jt),$$

where we set $|k| := k_1 + \ldots + k_r$.

Corollary 4.1. If Conjecture (4.3) is true, then

(a) $1 + t(t - 1) \sum_{(n_1, \ldots, n_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r} \text{dim}(3T^{(0)}(K_{n_1, \ldots, n_r})^a) \frac{x^{n_1}}{n_1!} \cdots \frac{x^{n_r}}{n_r!} =$

$$\left(1 + t \sum_{j=1}^r (e^{-x_j} - 1)\right)^{1-t} =$$

(b) $\sum_{(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r} \text{dim}(3T^{(0)}(K_{n_1, \ldots, n_r})^a) \frac{x^{n_1}}{n_1!} \cdots \frac{x^{n_r}}{n_r!} = -\log(1 - r + \sum_{j=1}^r e^{-x_j}).$

(c) $Hilb(3T^{(0)}(K_{n_1, \ldots, n_r})^a, t) = (-t)^{|n|} \text{Chrom}(K_{n_1, \ldots, n_r}, -t^{-1}),$

where for any graph $\Gamma$ we denote by $\text{Chrom}(\Gamma, x)$ the chromatic polynomial of that graph.
Proposition 4.2. If \( r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \), then
\[
\text{Chrom}(K_{n_1, ..., n_r}, t) = \sum_{k=(k_1, ..., k_r)} \prod_{j=1}^{r} \binom{n_j}{k_j} (t)^{|k|},
\]
where by definition \((t)_m := \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} (t - j), \ (t)_0 = 1, \ (t)_m = 0, \ if \ m < 0\).

Finally we describe explicitly the exponential generating function for the Tutte polynomials of the weighted complete multipartite graphs. We refer the reader to [68] for a definition and a list of basic properties of the Tutte polynomial of a graph.

Definition 4.4. Let \( r \geq 2 \) be a positive integer and \( \{S_1, \ldots, S_r\} \) be a collection of sets of cardinalities \#\( |S_j| = n_j \), \( j = 1, \ldots, r \). Let \( \ell := \{\ell_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \) be a collection of non-negative integers.

The \( \ell \)-weighted complete multipartite graph \( K_{n_1, ..., n_r}^{(\ell)} \) is a graph with the set of vertices equals to the disjoint union \( \bigcup_{j=1}^{r} S_j \) of the sets \( S_1, \ldots, S_r \), and the set of edges \( \{((\alpha_i, \beta_j), \alpha_i \in S_i, \ \beta_j \in S_j)_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \} \) of multiplicity \( \ell_{ij} \) each edge \( 9\alpha, 9\beta \).

Theorem 4.3. Let us fix an integer \( r \geq 2 \) and a collection of non-negative integers \( \ell : = \{\ell_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \). Then
\[
1 + \sum_{n=(n_1, ..., n_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r} (x-1)^{\kappa(\ell, n)} \cdot \frac{t_1^{n_1}}{n_1!} \cdots \frac{t_r^{n_r}}{n_r!} =
\]
\[
\left( \sum_{m=(m_1, ..., m_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r} y^{\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \ell_{ij} \cdot m_i \cdot m_j} (y-1)^{-|m|} \frac{t_1^{m_1}}{m_1!} \cdots \frac{t_r^{m_r}}{m_r!} \right) (x-1)(y-1),
\]
where \( \kappa(\ell, n) \) denotes the number of connected components of the graph \( K_{n_1, ..., n_r}^{(\ell)} \).

• (Comments and Examples)

(a) Clearly the condition \( \ell_{ij} = 0 \) means that there are no edges between vertices from the sets \( S_i \) and \( S_j \). Therefore Theorem 4.3 allows to compute the Tutte polynomial of any (finite) graph. For example,
\[
\text{Tutte}(K_{2,2,2,2}, x, y) = \{(0, 362, 927, 911, 451, 121, 17, 1)_x, (362, 2154, 2928, 1584, 374, 32)_x,
(1589, 4731, 3744, 1072, 96)_x, (3376, 6096, 2928, 448, 16)_x, (4828, 5736, 1764, 152)_x,
(5404, 4464, 900, 32)_x, (5140, 3040, 380)_x, (4340, 1840, 124)_x, (3325, 984, 24)_x, (2331, 448)_x,
(1492, 168)_x, (68, 48)_x, (544, 8)_x, 210, 84, 28, 7, 1)\}_y.
\]

(b) One can show that a formula for the chromatic polynomials from Proposition 4.2 corresponds to the specialization \( y = 0 \) (but not direct substitution!) of the formula for generating function for the Tutte polynomials stated in Theorem 4.3.

(c) The Tutte polynomial \( \text{Tutte}(K_{n_1, ..., n_r}, x, y) \) does not symmetric with respect to parameters \( \{\ell_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} \). For example, let us write \( \ell = (\ell_{12}, \ell_{23}, \ell_{13}, \ell_{14}, \ell_{24}, \ell_{34}) \), then \( \text{Tutte}(K_{2,2,2,2}^{(6,3,4,5,2,4)}, 1, 1) = \)

\[24\] If \( r = 1 \), the complete unipartite graph \( K_{(n)} \) consists of \( n \) distinct points, and
\[
\text{Chrom}(K_{(n)}, x) = x^n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k} (x)_k.
\]

Let us stress that to abuse of notation the complete unipartite graph \( K_{(n)} \) consists of \( n \) disjoint points with the Tutte polynomial equals to 1 for all \( n \geq 1 \), whereas the complete graph \( K_n \) is equal to the complete multipartite graph \( K_{(1^n)} \).
28 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 11^3 \cdot 241 = 1231760640. On the other hand, \( Tutte(K_{2,2,2}^{[6,4,3,5,2,4]}, 1, 1) = 2^{13 \cdot 3 \cdot 7 \cdot 11^2 \cdot 61} = 1269768192. \)

\[ \Rightarrow (d) \] (Universal Tutte polynomials)

Let \( m = (m_{ij}, 1 \leq i < j \leq n) \) be a collection of non-negative integers. Define generalized Tutte polynomial \( \widetilde{T}_n(m, x, y) \) as follows:

\[ \text{Coeff}_{\ell_1 \ldots \ell_n} \left( \sum_{\ell_1 \ldots \ell_n \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}} y^{\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} m_{ij} \ell_i \ell_j} (y-1)^{-\sum_j \ell_j \ell_1! \ell_n!} \frac{\ell_1^{\ell_1} \cdots \ell_n^{\ell_n}}{(x-1)(y-1)} \right). \]

Clearly that if \( \Gamma \subseteq K_n^{(\ell)} \) is a subgraph of the weighted complete graph \( K_n^{(\ell)} \defeq K_n^{(\ell)} \), then the Tutte polynomial of graph \( \Gamma \) multiplied by \( (x-1)^{\kappa(\Gamma)} \) is equal to the following specialization

\[ m_{ij} = 0, \text{ if edge } (i, j) \notin \Gamma, \quad m_{ij} = \ell_{ij}, \text{ if edge } (i, j) \in \Gamma \]

of the generalized Tutte polynomial

\[ (x-1)^{\kappa(\Gamma)} \text{Tutte}(\Gamma, x, y) = \widetilde{T}_n(m, x, y) \bigg|_{m_{ij}=0, \text{ if } (i, j) \notin \Gamma, \quad m_{ij} = \ell_{ij}, \text{ if } (i, j) \in \Gamma}. \]

For example,

(a) Take \( n = 6 \) and \( \Gamma = K_6 \setminus \{15, 16, 24, 25, 34, 36\} \), then \( Tutte(\Gamma, x, y) = \{(0, 4, 9, 8, 4, 1)_x, (4, 13, 9)_x, (8, 7)_x, (5, 1)_y\}. \)

(b) Take \( n = 6 \) and \( \Gamma = K_6 \setminus \{15, 26, 34\} \), then \( Tutte(\Gamma, x, y) = \{(0, 11, 25, 20, 7, 1)_x, (11, 46, 39, 8)_x, (32, 52, 12)_x, (40, 24)_x, (29, 6)_x, (15, 5, 1)_y\}. \)

(c) Take \( n = 6 \) and \( \Gamma = K_6 \setminus \{12, 34, 56\} = K_{2,2,2} \). As a result one obtains an expression for the Tutte polynomial of the graph \( K_{2,2,2} \) displayed in Example 4.1.

Now set us set

\[ q_{ij} := \frac{y^{m_{ij}} - 1}{y - 1}. \]

**Lemma 4.1.** The generalized Tutte polynomial \( \widetilde{T}_n(m, x, y) \) is a polynomial in the variables \( \{q_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}, x \) and \( y \).

**Definition 4.5.** The universal Tutte polynomial \( T_n(\{q_{ij}\}, x, y) \) is defined to be the polynomial in the variables \( \{q_{ij}\}, x, \) and \( y \) defined in Lemma 4.1.

Explicitly,

\[ T_n(\{q_{ij}\}, x, y) = \]

\[ \text{Coeff}_{\ell_1 \ldots \ell_n} \left( \sum_{\ell_1 \ldots \ell_n \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{q_{ij} (y-1) + 1}{\ell_i \ell_j} (y-1)^{-\sum_j \ell_j \ell_1! \ell_n!} \frac{\ell_1^{\ell_1} \cdots \ell_n^{\ell_n}}{(x-1)(y-1)} \right). \]

**Corollary 4.2.** Let \( \{m_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \) be a collection of positive integers. Then the specialization

\[ q_{ij} \rightarrow [m_{ij}]_y := \frac{y^{m_{ij}} - 1}{y - 1} \]

of the universal Tutte polynomial \( T_n(\{q_{ij}\}, x, y) \) is equal to the Tutte polynomial of the complete graph \( K_n \) with each edge \( (i, j) \) of the multiplicity \( m_{ij} \).

Further specialization \( q_{ij} \rightarrow 0, \text{ if edge } (i, j) \notin \Gamma \) allows to compute the Tutte polynomial for any graph. \( \blacksquare \)
Exercises 4.1.

(1) Assume that $\ell_{ij} = \ell$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq r$. Based on the above formula for the exponential generating function for the Tutte polynomials of the complete multipartite graphs $K_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}$, deduce the following well-known formula

$$Tutte(K_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}^{\ell}(1,1,1)) = \ell^{N-1} N^{r-2} \prod_{j=1}^{r} (N-n_j)^{n_j-1},$$

where $N := n_1 + \cdots + n_r$. It is well-known that the number $Tutte(\Gamma,1,1)$ is equal to the number of spanning trees of a connected graph $\Gamma$.

(2) Take $r = 3$ and let $n_1, n_2, n_3$ and $\ell_{12}, \ell_{13}, \ell_{23}$ be positive integers. Set $N := \ell_{12}\ell_{13}n_1 + \ell_{12}\ell_{23}n_2 + \ell_{13}\ell_{23}n_3$. Show that

$$Tutte(K_{n_1,n_2,n_3}^{\ell_1,\ell_2,\ell_3}(1,1,1)) = (\ell_{12}n_2 + \ell_{13}n_3)^{n_1-1}(\ell_{12}n_1 + \ell_{13}n_3)^{n_2-1}(\ell_{13}n_1 + \ell_{23}n_2)^{n_3-1}.$$  

(3) Let $r \geq 2$, consider weighted complete multipartite graph $K_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}^{\ell}$, where $\ell = (\ell_{ij})$ such that $\ell_{1j} = \ell$, $j = 1,\ldots,r$ and $\ell_{ij} = k$, $2 \leq i < j \leq r$. Show that

$$Tutte(K_{n_1,\ldots,n_r}^{\ell}(1,1,1)) = k^n (r-1)^{n-1} \left((r-1)\ell + k\right)^{r-2} \left((r-2)\ell + k\right)^{(r-1)(n-1)} n^{nr-1}.$$  

Let $\Gamma_n(\ast)$ be a spanning star subgraph of the complete graph $K_n$. For example, one can take for a graph $\Gamma_n(\ast)$ the subgraph $K_{1,n-1}$ with the set of vertices $V := \{1,2,\ldots,n\}$ and that of edges $E := \{(i,n), i = 1,\ldots,n-1\}$. The algebra $3T_n^{(0)}(K_{1,n-1})$ can be treated as a “noncommutative analog” of the projective space $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$.

We have $\theta_1 = u_{12} + u_{13} + \cdots + u_{1n}$. It is not difficult to see that $\Hilb(3T_n^{(0)})(K_{1,n-1})^{ab},t) = (1+t)^{n-1}$, and $\theta_1^{n} = 0$.

Let us observe that $\Chrom(\Gamma_n(\ast),t) = t(t-1)^{n-1}$.

Problem 4.1. Compute the Hilbert series of the algebra $3T_n^{(0)}(K_{n_1,\ldots,n_r})$.

The first non-trivial case is that of projective space, i.e. the case $r = 2, n_1 = 1, n_2 = 5$.

On the other hand, if $\Gamma_n = \{(1,2) \to (2,3) \to \ldots \to (n-1,n)\}$ is the Dynkin graph of type $A_{n-1}$, then the algebra $3T_n^{(0)}(\Gamma_n)$ is isomorphic to the nil-Coxeter algebra of type $A_{n-1}$, and if $\Gamma_n^{(aff)} = \{(1,2) \to (2,3) \to \ldots \to (n-1,n) \to -(1,n)\}$ is the Dynkin graph of type $A_{n-1}^{(1)}$, i.e. a cycle, then the algebra $3T_n^{(0)}(\Gamma_n^{(aff)})$ is isomorphic to a certain quotient of the affine nil-Coxeter algebra of type $A_{n-1}^{(1)}$ by the two-sided ideal which can be described explicitly [17]. Moreover, ibid,

$$\Hilb(3T_n^{(0)}(\Gamma_n^{(aff)}),t) = [n]_t \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} [j(n-j)]_t,$$

see Theorem 4.1. Therefore, the dimension $\dim(3T_n^{(0)}(\Gamma_n^{(aff)}))$ is equal to $n! (n-1)!$ and is equal also to the number of (directed) Hamiltonian cycles in the complete bipartite graph $K_{n,n}$, see [57], A010790.

It is not difficult to see that

$$\Hilb(3T_n^{(0)}(\Gamma_n)^{ab},t) = (t+1)^{n-1}, \quad \Hilb(3T_n^{(0)}(\Gamma_n^{(aff)})^{ab},t) = t^{-1} ((t+1)^n - t - 1),$$
whereas
\[ \text{Chrom}(\Gamma_n, t) = t(t - 1)^{n-1}, \quad \text{Chrom}(\Gamma_n^{aff}, t) = (t - 1)^n + (-1)^n (t - 1). \]

**Exercises 4.2.** Let \( K_{n_1, \ldots, n_r} \) be complete multipartite graph, \( N := n_1 + \cdots + n_r. \)

Show that
\[ \text{Hilb}(3T_N(K_{n_1, \ldots, n_r}), t) = \prod_{j=1}^r \prod_{i=1}^{n_j-1} (1 - a t \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} (1 - j t). \]

### 4.1.3 Quasi-classical and associative classical Yang–Baxter algebras of type \( B_n. \)

In this Section we introduce an analogue of the algebra \( 3T_n(\beta) \) for the classical root systems.

**Definition 4.6.**

(A) The quasi-classical Yang–Baxter algebra \( ACYB(B_n) \) of type \( B_n \) is an associative algebra with the set of generators \( \{x_{ij}, y_{ij}, z_i, 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n\} \) subject to the set of defining relations

1. \( x_{ij} + x_{ij} = 0, \quad y_{ij} = y_{ji}, \quad \text{if} \quad i \neq j, \)
2. \( z_i z_j = z_j z_i, \)
3. \( x_{ij} x_{kl} = x_{kl} x_{ij}, \quad x_{ij} y_{kl} = y_{kl} x_{ij}, \quad y_{ij} y_{kl} = y_{kl} y_{ij}, \quad \text{if} \quad i, j, k, l \text{ are distinct}, \)
4. \( z_i x_{kl} = x_{kl} z_i, \quad z_i y_{kl} = y_{kl} z_i, \quad \text{if} \quad i \neq k, l, \)
5. \( (\text{Three term relations}) \)
6. \( x_{ij} x_{jk} = x_{ik} x_{ij} + x_{jk} x_{ik} - \beta x_{ik}, \quad x_{ij} y_{jk} = y_{ik} x_{ij} + y_{jk} y_{ik} - \beta y_{ik}, \)
7. \( x_{ik} y_{jk} = y_{jk} y_{ij} + x_{ij} x_{ik} + \beta y_{ij}, \quad x_{ik} y_{jk} = y_{jk} x_{jk} y_{ij} + y_{ij} y_{ik} + \beta y_{ij}, \)
8. \( \text{if} \quad 1 \leq i < j < k \leq n, \)
9. \( (\text{Four term relations}) \)
10. \( x_{ij} z_j = z_i x_{ij} + y_{ij} z_i + z_j y_{ij} - \beta z_i, \quad \text{if} \quad i < j. \)

(B) The associative classical Yang–Baxter algebra \( ACYB(B_n) \) of type \( B_n \) is the special case \( \beta = 0 \) of the algebra \( ACYB(B_n) \).

**Comments 4.2.**

- In the case \( \beta = 0 \) the algebra \( ACYB(B_n) \) has a rational representation
  \[ x_{ij} \mapsto (x_i - x_j)^{-1}, \quad y_{ij} \mapsto (x_i + x_j)^{-1}, \quad z_i \mapsto x_i^{-1}. \]

- In the case \( \beta = 1 \) the algebra \( ACYB(B_n) \) has a “trigonometric” representation
  \[ x_{ij} \mapsto (1 - q^{x_i - x_j})^{-1}, \quad y_{ij} \mapsto (1 - q^{x_i + x_j})^{-1}, \quad z_i \mapsto (1 + q^{x_i})(1 - q^{x_i})^{-1}. \]

**Definition 4.7.** The bracket algebra \( E(B_n) \) of type \( B_n \) is an associative algebra with the set of generators \( \{x_{ij}, y_{ij}, z_i, 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n\} \) subject to the set of relations \( (1) - (6) \) listed in Definition 4.6, and the additional relations

(5a) \[ x_{jk} x_{ij} = x_{ij} x_{ik} + x_{ik} x_{jk} - \beta x_{ik}, \quad y_{jk} x_{ij} = x_{ij} y_{ik} + y_{ik} y_{jk} - \beta y_{ik}, \]
8. \( x_{ik} x_{jk} = y_{jk} y_{ij} + x_{ij} y_{ik} + \beta y_{ij}, \quad x_{ik} y_{jk} = y_{ij} x_{jk} + y_{jk} y_{ij} + \beta y_{ij}, \)
9. \( \text{if} \quad 1 \leq i < j < k \leq n, \)
10. \( (6a) \quad z_j x_{ij} = x_{ij} z_i + z_i y_{ij} + y_{ij} z_j - \beta z_i, \quad \text{if} \quad i < j. \)

\( ^{25} \) It should be remembered that to abuse of notation, the complete graph \( K_n, \) by definition, is equal to the complete multipartite graph \( K((1, \ldots, 1)), \) whereas the graph \( K(n) \) is a collection of \( n \) distinct points.
Definition 4.8. The quasi-classical Yang–Baxter algebra \( ACYB(D_n) \) of type \( D_n \), as well as the algebras \( ACYB(B_n) \) and \( E(D_n) \), are defined by putting \( z_i = 0 \), \( i = 1, \ldots, n \), in the corresponding \( B_n \)-versions of algebras in question.

Conjecture 4.4. The both algebras \( E(B_n) \) and \( E(D_n) \) are Koszul, and

\[
\text{Hilb}(E(B_n), t) = \left( \prod_{j=1}^{n} (1 - (2j - 1)t) \right)^{-1}; \quad \text{if} \quad n \geq 4, \quad \text{Hilb}(E(D_n), t) = \left( \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (1 - 2j t) \right)^{-1}.
\]

Example 4.3. \( \text{Hilb}(ACYB(B_2), t) = (1 - 4t + 2t^2)^{-1} \),
\( \text{Hilb}(ACYB(B_3), t) = (1 - 9t + 16t^2 - 4t^3)^{-1} \),
\( \text{Hilb}(ACYB(B_4), t) = (1 - 16t + 64t^2 - 60t^3 + 9t^4)^{-1} \),
\( \text{Hilb}(ACYB(B_5), t) = (1 - 25t + 180t^2 - 400t^3 + 221t^4 - 31t^5)^{-1} \).

Let us introduce the following Coxeter type elements:

\[
h_{B_n} := \prod_{a=1}^{n-1} x_{a,a+1} z_n \in E(B_n), \quad \text{and} \quad h_{D_n} := \prod_{a=1}^{n-1} x_{a,a+1} y_{n-1,n} \in E(D_n).
\]

Let us bring the element \( h_{B_n} \) (resp. \( h_{D_n} \)) to the reduced form in the algebra \( E(B_n) \) that is, let us consecutively apply the defining relations \((1) - (6)\), \((5a, 6a)\) to the element \( h_{B_n} \) (resp. apply to \( h_{D_n} \) the defining relations for algebra \( E(D_n) \)) in any order until unable to do so. Denote the the resulting (noncommutative) polynomial by \( P_{B_n}(x_{ij}, y_{ij}, z) \) (resp. \( P_{D_n}(x_{ij}, y_{ij}) \)). In principal, this polynomial itself can depend on the order in which the relations \((1) - (6)\), \((5a, 6a)\) are applied.

Conjecture 4.5. (Cf [9], 6.C5, (c))

(1) Apart from applying the commutativity relations \((1) - (4)\), the polynomial \( P_{B_n}(x_{ij}, y_{ij}, z) \) (resp. \( P_{D_n}(x_{ij}, y_{ij}) \)) does not depend on the order in which the defining relations have been applied.

(2) Define polynomial \( P_{B_n}(s, r, t) \) (resp. \( P_{D_n}(s, r) \)) to be the the image of that \( P_{B_n}(x_{ij}, y_{ij}, z) \) (resp. \( P_{D_n}(x_{ij}, y_{ij}) \)) under the specialization

\[
x_{ij} \rightarrow s, \quad y_{ij} \rightarrow r, \quad z_i \rightarrow t.
\]

Then

\[
P_{B_n}(1, 1, 1) = \frac{1}{2} \binom{2n}{n} = \frac{1}{2} \text{Cat}_{B_n}.
\]

Note that \( P_{B_n}(1, 0, 1) = \text{Cat}_{A_{n-1}} \).

Problem 4.2. Investigate the \( B_n \) and \( D_n \) types reduced polynomials corresponding to the Coxeter elements \((4.2)\), and the reduced polynomials corresponding to the longest elements

\[
w_{B_n} := \prod_{j=1}^{n} z_j \left( \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} x_{ij} y_{ij} \right), \quad w_{D_n} = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} x_{ij} y_{ij}.
\]

4.2 Super analogue of 6-term relations and classical Yang–Baxter algebras

4.2.1 Six term relations algebra \( 6T_n \), its quadratic dual \( (6T_n)^\dagger \), and algebra \( 6HT_n \)

Definition 4.9. The 6 term relations algebra \( 6T_n \) is an associative algebra (say over \( \mathbb{Q} \)) with the set of generators \( \{r_{i,j}, 1 \leq i \neq j < n\} \), subject to the following relations:
Lemma 4.2. The elements $b$ defined in a neighborhood of $(0_{\text{level 0}})$ trigonometric Knizhnik–Zamolodchikov operator where $a$ and the elements 4-term relations $[r_{ij}, r_{ik} + r_{jk}] + [r_{ik}, r_{jk}] = 0$, if $i, j, k$ are distinct.

We denote by CYBE$_n$, named by classical Yang–Baxter algebra, an associative algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$ generated by elements $\{r_{ij}, 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n\}$ subject to relations (1) and (3).

Note that the algebra $6T_n$ is given by $\binom{n}{3}$ generators and $\binom{n}{3} + 3 \binom{n}{4}$ quadratic relations.

Definition 4.10. Define Dunkl elements in the algebra $6T_n$ to be

$$\theta_i = \sum_{j \neq i} r_{ij}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n.$$ 

It easy to see that the Dunkl elements $\{\theta_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ generate a commutative subalgebra in the algebra $6T_n$.

Example 4.4. (Some “rational and trigonometric” representations of the algebra $6T_n$)

Let $A = U(sl(2))$ be the universal enveloping algebra of the Lie algebra $sl(2)$. Recall that the algebra $sl(2)$ is spanned by the elements $e, f, h$, such that $[h, e] = 2e$, $[h, f] = -2f$, $[e, f] = h$.

Let’s search for solutions to the CYBE in the form

$$r_{i,j} = a(u_i, u_j) h \otimes h + b(u_i, u_j) e \otimes f + c(u_i, u_j) f \otimes e,$$

where $a(u, v), b(u, v) \neq 0, c(u, v) \neq 0$ are meromorphic functions of the variables $(u, v) \in \mathbb{C}^2$, defined in a neighborhood of $(0,0)$, taking values in $A \otimes A$. Let $a_{ij} := a(u_i, u_j)$ (resp. $b_{ij} := b(u_i, u_j), c_{ij} := c(u_i, u_j)$).

Lemma 4.2. The elements $r_{i,j} := a_{ij} h \otimes h + b_{ij} e \otimes f + c_{ij} f \otimes e$ satisfy CYBE iff $b_{ij} b_{jk} c_{ik} = c_{ij} c_{jk} b_{ik}$ and $4 a_{ik} = b_{ij} b_{jk}/b_{ik} - b_{ik} c_{jk}/b_{ij} - b_{ik} c_{ij}/b_{jk}$, for $1 \leq i < j < k \leq n$.

It is not hard to see that

- there are three rational solutions:
  $$r_1(u, v) = \frac{1}{2} h \otimes h + \frac{e \otimes f + f \otimes e}{u - v}, \quad r_2(u, v) = \frac{u + v}{4(u - v)} h \otimes h + \frac{u}{u - v} e \otimes f + \frac{v}{u - v} f \otimes e,$$

  and $r_3(u, v) := -r_2(v, u)$.

- there is a trigonometric solution
  $$r_{\text{trig}}(u, v) = \frac{1}{4} \frac{q^{2u} + q^{2v}}{q^{2u} - q^{2v}} h \otimes h + \frac{q^{u+v}}{q^{2u} - q^{2v}} \left( e \otimes f + f \otimes e \right).$$

Notice that the Dunkl element $\theta_j := \sum_{a \neq j} r_{\text{trig}}(u_a, u_j)$ corresponds to the truncated (or level 0) trigonometric Knizhnik–Zamolodchikov operator.

In fact, the “$sl_n$-Casimir element” $\Omega = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n E_{ii} \otimes E_{ii} \right) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} E_{ij} \otimes E_{ji}$ satisfies the 4-term relations

$$[\Omega_{12}, \Omega_{13} + \Omega_{23}] = 0 = [\Omega_{12} + \Omega_{13}, \Omega_{23}],$$

and the elements $r_{ij} := \frac{\Omega_{ij}}{u_i - u_j}$, $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, satisfy the classical Yang–Baxter relations.

Recall that the set $\{E_{ij} : (\delta_{ik} \delta_{jl})_{1 \leq k, l \leq n}, \ 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$, stands for the standard basis of the algebra $\text{Mat}(n, \mathbb{R})$. \[\blacksquare\]
Definition 4.11. Denote by $6T_n^{(0)}$ the quotient of the algebra $6T_n$ by the (two-sided) ideal generated by the set of elements \{r_{i,j}^2, \ 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}.

More generally, let \{\beta, q_{i,j}, \ 1 \leq i < j \leq n\} be a set of parameters. Let $R := \mathbb{Q}[\beta][q_{i,j}^{\pm 1}]$.

Definition 4.12. Denote by $6HT_n$ the quotient of the algebra $6T_n \otimes R$ by the (two-sided) ideal generated by the set of elements \{r_{i,j}^2 - \beta r_{i,j} - q_{i,j}, \ 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}.

All these algebras are naturally graded, with $\text{deg}(r_{i,j}) = 1$, $\text{deg}(\beta) = 1$, $\text{deg}(q_{i,j}) = 2$. It is clear that the algebra $6T_n^{(0)}$ can be considered as the infinitesimal deformation $R_{i,j} := 1 + \epsilon r_{i,j}$, $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, of the Yang-Baxter group \footnote{For the reader convenience we recall the definition of the Yang-Baxter group} $YB_n$.

Corollary 4.3. Define $h_{i,j} = 1 + r_{i,j} \in 6HT_n$. Then the following relations in the algebra $6HT_n$ are satisfied:

1. $r_{i,j} r_{i,k} r_{j,k} = r_{j,k} r_{i,k} r_{i,j}$ for all pairwise distinct $i, j, k$;
2. [Yang-Baxter relations] $h_{i,j} h_{i,k} h_{j,k} = h_{j,k} h_{i,k} h_{i,j}$, if $1 \leq i < j < k \leq n$.

Note, the item (1) includes three relations in fact.

Proposition 4.3.

1. The quadratic dual $(6T_n)^!$ of the algebra $6T_n$ is a quadratic algebra generated by the elements \{t_{i,j}, \ 1 \leq i < j \leq n\} subject to the set of relations
   - (i) $t_{i,j}^2 = 0$ for all $i \neq j$;
   - (ii) (Anticommutativity) $t_{i,j} t_{k,l} + t_{k,l} t_{i,j} = 0$ for all $i \neq j$ and $k \neq l$;
   - (iii) $t_{i,j} t_{i,k} = t_{i,k} t_{i,j}$, if $i, j, k$ are distinct.
2. The quadratic dual $(6T_n^{(0)})^!$ of the algebra $6T_n^{(0)}$ is a quadratic algebra with generators \{t_{i,j}, \ 1 \leq i < j \leq n\} subject to the relations (ii)-(iii) above only.

4.2.2 Algebras $6T_n^{(0)}$ and $6T_n^*$

We are reminded that the algebra $6T_n^{(0)}$ is the quotient of the six term relation algebra $6T_n$ by the two-sided ideal generated by the elements \{r_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}$. Important consequence of the classical Yang–Baxter relations and relations $r_{ij}^2 = 0, \forall i \neq j$, is that the both additive Dunkl elements $\{\theta_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ and multiplicative ones $\{\Theta_i = \prod_{a=-1}^1 h_a^{-1} \prod_{a=i+1}^n h_a\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ generate commutative subalgebras in the algebra $6T_n^{(0)}$ (and in the algebra $6T_n$ as well), see Corollary 4.3. We are interested in, is to describe commutative subalgebras generated by additive (resp. multiplicative) Dunkl elements in the algebra $6T_n^{(0)}$. Notice that the subalgebra generated by additive Dunkl elements in the abelianization \footnote{See e.g. http://mathworld.wolfram.com/Abelianization.html} of the algebra $6T_n^{(0)}$ has been studied in \cite{5,78}. In order to state the result from \cite{78} we need, let us introduce a bit of notation. As before, let $\mathcal{F}l_n$ denotes the complete flag variety, and denote by $\mathcal{A}_n$ the algebra generated by the curvature of 2-forms of the standard Hermitian linear bundles over the flag variety $\mathcal{F}l_n$, see e.g \cite{78}. Finally, denote by $I_n$ the ideal in the ring of polynomials $\mathbb{Z}[t_1, \ldots, t_n]$ generated by the set of elements

$$(t_{i_1} + \cdots + t_{i_k})^{k(n-k)+1},$$

for the reader convenience we recall the definition of the Yang–Baxter group

Definition 4.13. The Yang–Baxter group $YB_n$ is a group generated by elements $\{R_{ij}^{\pm 1}, \ 1 \leq i < j \leq n\},$ subject to the set of defining relations

- $R_{ij} R_{kl} = R_{kl} R_{ij}$, if $i, j, k, l$ are distinct,
- [Quantum Yang–Baxter relations] $R_{ij} R_{ik} R_{jk} = R_{jk} R_{ik} R_{ij}$, if $1 \leq i < j < k \leq n$. 


for all sequences of indices $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \ldots < i_k \leq n$, $k = 1, \ldots, n$.

**Theorem 4.4.** ([185], [178])

(A) There exists a natural isomorphism

$$\mathcal{A}_n \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[t_1, \ldots, t_n]/I_n,$$

(B) $\text{Hilb}(\mathcal{A}_n, t) = t^{(n)}_1 \text{Tutte}(K_n, 1 + t, t^{-1})$.

Therefore the dimension of $\mathcal{A}_n$ (as a $\mathbb{Z}$-vector space) is equal to the number $\mathcal{F}(n)$ of forests on $n$ labeled vertices. It is well-known that

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{F}(n) \frac{x^n}{n!} = \exp \left( \sum_{n \geq 1} n^{n-1} \frac{x^n}{n!} \right) - 1.$$

For example, $\text{Hilb}(\mathcal{A}_3, t) = (1, 2, 3, 1)$, $\text{Hilb}(\mathcal{A}_4, t) = (1, 3, 6, 10, 11, 6, 1)$, $\text{Hilb}(\mathcal{A}_5, t) = (1, 4, 10, 20, 35, 51, 64, 60, 35, 10, 1)$, $\text{Hilb}(\mathcal{A}_6, t) = (1, 5, 15, 35, 70, 126, 204, 300, 405, 490, 511, 424, 245, 85, 15, 1)$.

**Problem 4.3.** Describe subalgebra in $(6T_n^{(0)})^{ab}$ generated by the multiplicative Dunkl elements \( \{\Theta_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n} \).

On the other hand, the commutative subalgebra $\mathcal{B}_n$ generated by the additive Dunkl elements in the algebra $6T_n^{(0)}$, $n \geq 3$, has infinite dimension. For example,

$$\mathcal{B}_3 \cong \mathbb{Z}[x, y]/(xy(x + y)),$$

and the Dunkl elements $\theta_j^{(3)}$, $j = 1, 2, 3$, have infinite order.

**Definition 4.14.** Define algebra $6T_n^\bullet$ to be the quotient of that $6T_n^{(0)}$ by the two-sided ideal generated by the set of “cyclic relations”

$$\sum_{j=2}^{m} \prod_{a=j}^{m} r_{i_1, i_a} \prod_{a=2}^{j} r_{i_1, i_a} = 0$$

for all sequences $\{1 \leq i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_m \leq n\}$ of pairwise distinct integers, and all integers $2 \leq m \leq n$.

For example,

- $\text{Hilb}(6T_3^\bullet, t) = (1, 3, 5, 4, 1) = (1 + t)(1, 2, 3, 1)$.
- Subalgebra (over $\mathbb{Z}$) in the algebra $6T_3^\bullet$ generated by Dunkl elements $\theta_1$ and $\theta_2$ has the Hilbert polynomial equal to $(1, 2, 3, 1)$, and the following presentation: $\mathbb{Z}[x, y]/I_3$, where $I_3$ denotes the ideal in $\mathbb{Z}[x, y]$ generated by $x^3, y^3$, and $(x + y)^3$.
- $\text{Hilb}(6T_4^\bullet, t) = (1, 6, 23, 65, 134, 164, 111, 43, 11, 1)_t$.

As a consequence of the cyclic relations, one can check that for any integer $n \geq 2$ the $n$-th power of the additive Dunkl element $\theta_i$ is equal to zero in the algebra $6T_n^\bullet$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Therefore, the Dunkl elements generate a finite dimensional commutative subalgebra in the algebra $6T_n^\bullet$.

There exist natural homomorphisms

$$6T_n^\bullet \longrightarrow 3T_n^{(0)}, \quad \mathcal{B}_n \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_n \longrightarrow H^\bullet(F\mathfrak{l}_n, \mathbb{Z}) \quad (4.3)$$

The first and third arrows in (4.19) are epimorphism. We expect that the map $\tilde{\pi}$ is also epimorphism [28] and looking for a description of the kernel $\ker(\tilde{\pi})$.

---

28 Contrary to the case of the map \( pr_n : \mathbb{Z}[\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n] \longrightarrow (3T_n^{(0)})^{ab}, \) where the image $\text{Im}(pr_n)$ has dimension equals to the number of permutations in $S_n$ with $(n-1)$ inversions see [87], A001892.
Comments 4.3.

- Let us denote by $\mathcal{B}_{n}^{\text{mult}}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{n}^{\text{mult}}$ the subalgebras generated by multiplicative Dunkl elements in the algebras $6T_n^{(0)}$ and $(6T_n^{(0)})^{ab}$ correspondingly. One can define a sequence of maps

$$
\mathcal{B}_{n}^{\text{mult}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{n}^{\text{mult}} \xrightarrow{\hat{\phi}} K^*(\mathcal{F}_n),
$$

which is a $K$-theoretic analog of that (4.3). It is an interesting problem to find a geometric interpretation of the algebra $\mathcal{A}_{n}^{\text{mult}}$ and the map $\hat{\phi}$.

- ("Quantization") Let $\beta$ and $\{q_{ij} \geq 1, 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$ be parameters.

Definition 4.15. Define algebra $6HT_n$ to be the quotient of the algebra $6T_n$ by the two sided ideal generated by the elements $\{r_{ij} - \beta r_{ij} - q_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$.

Lemma 4.3. The both additive $\{ \theta_i \}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ and multiplicative $\{ \Theta_i \}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ Dunkl elements generate commutative subalgebras in the algebra $6HT_n$.

Therefore one can define algebras $6HB_n$ and $6HA_n$ which are a “quantum deformation” of algebras $B_n$ and $A_n$ respectively. We expect that in the case $\beta = 0$ and a special choice of “arithmetic parameters” $\{q_{ij}\}$, the algebra $\mathcal{HA}_n$ is connected with the Arithmetic Schubert and Grothendieck Calculi, cf [63], [85]. Moreover, for a “general” set of parameters $\{q_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ and $\beta = 0$, we expect an existence of a natural homomorphism

$$
\mathcal{HA}_{n}^{\text{mult}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}K^*(\mathcal{F}_n),
$$

where $\mathcal{Q}K^*(\mathcal{F}_n)$ denotes a multiparameter quantum deformation of the K-theory ring $K^*(\mathcal{F}_n)$, [47], [51]; see also Section 3.1. Thus, we treat the algebra $\mathcal{HA}_{n}^{\text{mult}}$ as the $K$-theory version of a multiparameter quantum deformation of the algebra $\mathcal{A}_{n}^{\text{mult}}$ which is generated by the curvature of 2-forms of the Hermitian linear bundles over the flag variety $\mathcal{F}_n$.

- One can define an analogue of the algebras $6T_n^{(0)}$, $6HT_n$ etc, denoted by $6T(\Gamma)$, etc, for any subgraph $\Gamma \subset K_n$ of the complete graph $K_n$, and in fact for any oriented matroid. It is known that $\text{Hilb}((6T_n(\Gamma))^{ab}, t) = t^{\nu(\Gamma)} Tutte(\Gamma, 1 + t, t^{-1})$, see e.g. [6] and the literature quoted therein.

4.2.3 Hilbert series of algebras $CYP_n$ and $6T_n$\(^{29}\)

Examples 4.2. \text{Hilb}(6T_3, t) = (1 - 3t + t^2)^{-1}, \text{Hilb}(6T_4, t) = (1 - 6t + 7t^2 - t^3)^{-1}, \text{Hilb}(6T_5, t) = (1 - 10t + 25t^2 - 15t^3 + t^4)^{-1}, \text{Hilb}(6T_6, t) = (1 - 15t + 65t^2 - 90t^3 + 31t^4 - t^5)^{-1}.

$\text{Hilb}(6T_3^{(0)}, t) = [2][3](1 - t)^{-1}$, $\text{Hilb}(6T_4^{(0)}, t) = [4](1 - t)^{-2}(1 - 3t + t^2)^{-1}.$

In fact, the following statements are true.

Proposition 4.4. (Cf [63]) Let $n \geq 2$, then

- The algebras $6T_n$ and $CYP_n$ are Koszul;
- We have

$$
\text{Hilb}(6T_n, t) = \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k \binom{n}{n-k} t^k \right)^{-1},
$$

where $\binom{n}{k}$ stands for the Stirling numbers of the second kind, i.e. the number of ways to partition a set of $n$ things into $k$ nonempty subsets.

\(^{29}\)Results of this Subsection have been obtained independently in [3]. This paper contains, among other things, a description of a basis in the algebra $6T_n$, and much more.
\[ H\text{ilb}(CYB_n, t) = \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k \frac{(n, n) t^k}{k!} \right)^{-1}, \]

where \( N(k, n) = \frac{1}{n} \binom{n}{k} \frac{1}{n+1} \) denotes the Narayana number, i.e. the number of Dyck \( n \)-paths with exactly \( k \) peaks.

**Corollary 4.4.**

(A) The Hilbert polynomial of the quadratic dual of the algebra \( 6T_n \) is equal to

\[ H\text{ilb}(6T_n^!, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left\{ \frac{n}{n-k} \right\} t^k. \]

It is well-known that

\[ \sum_{n \geq 0} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left\{ \frac{n}{n-k} \right\} t^k \right) \frac{z^n}{n!} = \exp \left( \frac{\exp(zt) - 1}{t} \right). \]

Therefore,

\[ \dim(6T_n^!) = \text{Bell}_n, \]

where \( \text{Bell}_n \) denotes the \( n \)-th Bell number, i.e. the number of ways to partition \( n \) things into subsets, see \( \text{[87]} \).

Recall, that \( \sum_{n \geq 0} \text{Bell}_n \frac{z^n}{n!} = \exp(\exp(z) - 1) \).

(B) The Hilbert polynomial of the quadratic dual of the algebra \( CYB_n \) is equal to

\[ H\text{ilb}((CYB_n^!)^!, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (k+1)! \frac{(n, n) t^k}{k!} = (n-1)! L_{n-1}^{(n=1)}(-t^{-1}) t^{n-1}, \]

where \( L_n^{(\alpha)}(x) = x^{-\alpha} e^x \frac{d^n}{dx^n} (e^{-x} x^{n+\alpha}) \) denotes the generalized Laguerre polynomial.

It is well-known that

\[ \sum_{n \geq 0} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (k+1)! N(k, n) t^k \right) \frac{z^n}{n!} = \exp \left( z(1 - zt)^{-1} \right). \]

**Comments 4.4.** Let \( \mathcal{E}_n(u) \), \( u \neq 0, 1 \), be the Yokonuma–Hecke algebra, see e.g. \( \text{[83]} \) and the literature quoted therein. It is known that the dimension of the Yokonuma–Hecke algebra \( \mathcal{E}_n(u) \) is equal to \( n! B_n \), where \( B_n \) denotes as before the \( n \)-th Bell number. Therefore, \( \dim(\mathcal{E}_n(u)) = \dim((6T_n^!) \ltimes S_n) \), where \( (6T_n^!) \ltimes S_n \) denotes the semi-direct product of the algebra \( (6T_n^!) \) and the symmetric group \( S_n \). It seems an interesting task to check whether or not the algebras \( (6T_n^!) \ltimes S_n \) and \( \mathcal{E}_n(u) \) are isomorphic. \( \square \)

**Remark 4.2.** Denote by \( MYB_n \) the group algebra over \( \mathbb{Q} \) of the monoid corresponding to the Yang–Baxter group \( YB_n \), see e.g. Definition 4.10. Let \( P(MYB_n, s, t) \) denotes the Poincare polynomial of the algebra \( MYB_n \). One can show that

\[ H\text{ilb}(6T_n, s) = P(MYB_n, -s, 1)^{-1}. \]

For example,

\[ P(MYB_3, s, t) = 1 + 3s t + s^2 t^3, \quad P(MYB_4, s, t) = 1 + 6s t + s^2 (3t^2 + 4t^3) + s^3 t^6; \]
\[ P(MYB_5, s, t) = 1 + 10s t + s^2 (15t^2 + 10t^3) + s^3 (10t^4 + 5t^6) + s^4 t^{10}. \]

Note that \( H\text{ilb}(MYB_n, t) = P(MYB_n, -1, t)^{-1} \) and \( P(MYB_n, 1, 1) = \text{Bell}_n \), the \( n \)-th Bell number.
Conjecture 4.6. 
\[ P(\mathcal{MYB}_n,s,t) = \sum_{\pi} s^{\#(\pi)} t^{n(\pi)}, \]
where the sum runs over all partitions \( \pi = (I_1, \ldots, I_k) \) of the set \([n] := \{1, \ldots, n\}\) into nonempty subsets \(I_1, \ldots, I_k\), and we set by definition, \(\#(\pi) := n - k\), \(n(\pi) := \sum_{a=1}^{k} \binom{|I_a|}{2}\).

\[ \] 

Remark 4.3. For any finite Coxeter group \((W, S)\) one can define the algebra \(CYB(W) := CYB(W, S)\) which is an analog of the algebra \(CYB_n = CYB(A_{n-1})\) for other root systems.

Conjecture 4.7. (A.N. Kirillov, Y. Bazlov) Let \((W, S)\) be a finite Coxeter group with the root system \(\Phi\). Then

- the algebra \(CYB(W)\) is Koszul;
- \(\text{Hilb}(CYB(W), t) = \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor S \rfloor} r_k(\Phi) (-t)^k \right\}^{-1}\),

where \(r_k(\Phi)\) is equal to the number of subsets in \(\Phi^+\) which constitute the positive part of a root subsystem of rank \(k\). For example, \(r_1(\Phi) = |\Phi^+|\), and \(r_2(\Phi)\) is equal to the number of defining relations in a representation of the algebra \(CYB(W)\).

Example 4.5. \(\text{Hilb}(CYB(B_2)^i, t) = (1, 4, 3), \quad \text{Hilb}(CYB(B_3)^i, t) = (1, 9, 13, 2), \quad \text{Hilb}(CYB(B_4)^i, t) = (1, 16, 46, 28, 5), \quad \text{Hilb}(CYB(B_5)^i, t) = (1, 25, 130, 200, 101, 12); \quad \text{Hilb}(CYB(D_4)^i, t) = (1, 12, 34, 24, 4), \quad \text{Hilb}(CYB(D_5)^i, t) = (1, 20, 110, 190, 96, 11), \)

Exercises 4.3.

1. Show that
\[ \exp(z (1 - zt)^{-q}) = 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \left( 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} \prod_{a=0}^{k-1} (a + (n - k) q) t^k \right) \frac{z^n}{n!}. \]

2. The even generic Orlik–Solomon algebra

Definition 4.16. The even generic Orlik–Solomon algebra \(OS^+ (\Gamma_n)\) is defined to be an associative algebra (say over \(\mathbb{Z}\)) generated by the set of mutually commuting elements \(y_{i,j}, \quad 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n, \quad \text{subject to the set of cyclic relations} \)
\[ y_{i,j} = y_{j,i}, \quad y_{i_1,i_2} y_{i_2,i_3} \cdots y_{i_{k-1},i_k} y_{i_1,i_k} = 0, \quad \text{for} \quad k = 2, \ldots, n, \]
and all sequences of pairwise distinct integers \(1 \leq i_1, \ldots, i_k \leq n\).

- Show that the number of degree \(k, k \geq 3\), relations in the definition of the Orlik–Solomon algebra \(OS^+ (\Gamma_n)\) is equal to \(\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{k - 1)! \binom{n}{k}\) and also is equal to the maximal number of k-cycles in the complete graph \(K_n\).

Note that if one replaces the commutativity condition in the above Definition on the condition that \(y_{i,j}'s\) pairwise anticommutate, then the resulting algebra appears to be isomorphic to the Orlik–Solomon algebra \(OS (\Gamma_n)\) corresponding to the generic hyperplane arrangement \(\Gamma_n\), see [79]. It is known, \textit{ibid}, Corollary 5.3, that
\[ \text{Hilb}(OS (\Gamma_n), t) = \sum_F t^{|F|}, \]
where the sum runs over all forests \(F\) on the vertices \(1, \ldots, n\), and \(|F|\) denotes the number of edges in a forest \(F\).
Theorem 4.5. Let

\[
\sum_{n \geq 1} \text{Hilb}(\text{OS}(\Gamma_n), t) \frac{z^n}{n!} = \exp \left( \sum_{n \geq 1} n^{n-2} t^{n-1} \frac{z^n}{n!} \right).
\]

It is not difficult to see that \( \text{Hilb}(\text{OS}^+(\Gamma_n), t) = \text{Hilb}(\text{OS}(\Gamma_n), t) \). In particular, \( \dim \text{OS}^+(\Gamma_n) = F(n) \). Note also that a sequence \( \{\text{Hilb}(\text{OS}(\Gamma_n), -1)\}_{n \geq 2} \) appears in [87], A057817. The polynomials \( \text{Hilb}(\mathcal{A}_n, t), F_n(x, t) \) and \( \text{Hilb}(\text{OS}^+(\Gamma_n), t) \) can be expressed, see e.g. [78], as certain specializations of the Tutte polynomial \( T(G; x, y) \) corresponding to the complete graph \( G := K_n \). Namely,

\[
\text{Hilb}(\mathcal{A}_n, t) = t^{\binom{n}{2}} T(K_n; 1 + t, t^{-1}), \quad \text{Hilb}(\text{OS}^+(\Gamma_n), t) = t^{n-1} T(K_n; 1 + t^{-1}, 1).
\]

4.2.4 Super analogue of 6-term relations algebra

Let \( n, m \) be non-negative integers.

Definition 4.17. The super 6-term relations algebra \( 6T_{n,m} \) is an associative algebra over \( \mathbb{Q} \) generated by the elements \( \{x_{i,j}, 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n\} \) and \( \{y_{\alpha,\beta}, 1 \leq \alpha \neq \beta \leq m\} \) subject to the relations

1. \( x_{i,j} + x_{j,i} = 0, \quad y_{\alpha,\beta} = y_{\beta,\alpha}; \)
2. \( x_{i,j} x_{k,l} = x_{k,l} x_{i,j}, \quad x_{i,j} y_{\alpha,\beta} = y_{\alpha,\beta} x_{i,j}, \quad y_{\alpha,\beta} y_{\gamma,\delta} + y_{\gamma,\delta} y_{\alpha,\beta} = 0, \)
   if tuples \( (i, j, k, l) \), \( (i, j, \alpha, \beta) \), as well as \( (\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) \) consist of pair-wise distinct integers;
3. \( (\text{Classical Yang–Baxter relations and theirs super analogue}) \)
   \[
   [x_{i,k}, [x_{i,j} + x_{j,k}], x_{j,k}] = 0,
   \]
   if \( 1 \leq i, j, k \leq n \) are distinct,
   \[
   [x_{i,k}, y_{j,i} + y_{j,k}], [x_{i,j}, y_{j,k}] = 0,
   \]
   if \( 1 \leq i, j, k \leq \min(n, m) \) are distinct,
   \[
   [y_{\alpha,\gamma}, y_{\beta,\alpha} + y_{\beta,\gamma}], [y_{\alpha,\beta}, y_{\beta,\gamma}] = 0,
   \]
   if \( 1 \leq \alpha, \beta, \gamma \leq m \) are distinct.

Recall that \([a, b]_+ := a + b \) denotes the anticommutator of elements \( a \) and \( b \).

Conjecture 4.8.

- The algebra \( 6T_{n,m} \) is Koszul.

Theorem 4.5. Let \( n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \), one has

- \( \text{Hilb}((6T_n)^1, t) \text{Hilb}((6T_m)^1, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\min(n,m)-1} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \min(n,m) \\ \min(n,m) - k \end{array} \right\} \text{Hilb}((6T_{n-k,m-k})^1, t) t^{2k}, \)

where as before \( \{n\}_{n-k} \) denotes the Stirling numbers of the second kind, see for e.g. [87], A008278.

Corollary 4.5. Let \( n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \). One has

(1) (Symmetry) \( \text{Hilb}(6T_{m,n}, t) = \text{Hilb}(6T_{m,n}, t) \).

(b) Let \( n \leq m \), then \( \text{Hilb}((6T_{m,n})^1, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} s(n-1, n-k) \text{Hilb}((6T_{n-k})^1, t) \text{Hilb}((6T_{m-k})^1, t) t^{2k}, \)
where \( s(n - 1, n - k) \) denotes the Stirling numbers of the first kind, i.e.

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} s(n - 1, n - k) \, t^k = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (1 - j \, t).
\]

(c) \( \dim(6T_{n,n}) \) is equal to the number of pairs of partitions of the set \( \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \) whose meet is the partition \( \{\{1\}, \{2\}, \ldots, \{n\}\} \), see e.g. [67], A059849.

**Example 4.6.** \( \text{Hilb}((6T_{3,2})^1, t) = \text{Hilb}((6T_{2,3})^1, t) = (1, 4, 3), \)
\( \text{Hilb}((6T_{4,2})^1, t) = \text{Hilb}((6T_{4,2})^1, t) = (1, 7, 12, 5), \quad \text{Hilb}((6T_{3,3})^1, t) = (1, 6, 8), \)
\( \text{Hilb}((6T_{2,5})^1, t) = \text{Hilb}((6T_{5,2})^1, t) = (1, 11, 34, 34, 9), \)
\( \text{Hilb}((6T_{3,4})^1, t) = \text{Hilb}((6T_{4,3})^1, t) = (1, 9, 23, 16), \quad \text{Hilb}((6T_{4,4})^1, t) = (1, 12, 44, 50, 6), \)
\( \text{Hilb}((6T_{3,5})^1, t) = \text{Hilb}((6T_{5,3})^1, t) = (1, 13, 53, 79, 34), \)
\( \text{Hilb}((6T_{4,5})^1, t) = \text{Hilb}((6T_{5,4})^1, t) = (1, 16, 86, 182, 131, 12), \)
\( \text{Hilb}((6T_{5,5})^1, t) = (1, 20, 140, 410, 462, 120). \)

Now let us define in the algebra \( 6T_{n,m} \) the Dunkl elements \( \theta_i := \sum_{j\neq i} x_{i,j}, 1 \leq i \leq n, \) and \( \bar{\theta}_\alpha := \sum_{\beta\neq\alpha} y_{\alpha,\beta}, 1 \leq \alpha \leq m. \)

**Lemma 4.4.** One has

- \([\theta_i, \theta_j] = 0,\]
- \([\theta_i, \theta_\alpha] = [x_{i,\alpha}, y_{i,\alpha}],\]
- \(\bar{\theta}_\alpha, \bar{\theta}_\beta = 2 y_{\alpha,\beta}^2, \) if \(\alpha \neq \beta.\)

**Remark 4.4.** ("Odd" six-term relations algebra) In particular, one can define an "odd" analog \( 6T_{n}^{(-)} = 6T_{0,n} \) of the six term relations algebra \( 6T_{n} \). Namely, the algebra \( 6T_{n}^{(-)} \) is given by the set of generators \( \{y_{ij}, 1 \leq i < j \leq n\} \), and that of relations:

1) \( y_{ij} \) and \( y_{kl} \) anticommute if \( i, j, k, l \) are pairwise distinct;
2) \( [y_{ij}, y_{ik}, y_{jk}]+ + [y_{ij}, y_{ik}, y_{jk}] = 0, \) if \( 1 \leq i < j < k < l \), where \([x, y] = xy + yx\) denotes the anticommutator of \( x \) and \( y \).

The "odd" three term relations algebra \( 3T_{n}^{(-)} \) can be obtained as the quotient of the algebra \( 6T_{n}^{(-)} \) by the two-sided ideal generated by the three term relations

\( y_{ij} y_{jk} + y_{jk} y_{ki} + y_{ki} y_{ij} = 0, \) if \( i, j, k \) are pairwise distinct.

One can show that the Dunkl elements \( \theta_i \) and \( \theta_j, i \neq j, \) given by formula

\( \theta_i = \sum_{j \neq i} y_{ij}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n, \)

form an anticommutative family of elements in the algebra \( 6T_{n}^{(-)}. \)

In a similar fashion one can define an "odd" analogue of the dynamical six term relations algebra \( 6DT_{n} \), see Definition 2.2 and Section 2.2, as well as define an "odd' analogues of the algebra \( 3HQ_n(\beta, 0) \), see Definition 2.6, the Kohno–Drinfeld algebra, the Hecke algebra and few others considered in the present paper. Details are omitted in the present paper.

More generally, one can ask what are natural \( q \)-analouges of the six term and three term relations algebras? In other words to describe relations which ensure the \( q \)-commutativity of Dunkl elements defined above. First of all it would appear natural that the "\( q \)-locality and \( q \)-symmetry conditions" hold among the set of generators \( \{y_{ij}, 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n\} \), that is

\( y_{ij} + q \, y_{ji} = 0, \quad y_{ij} \, y_{kl} = q \, y_{kl} \, y_{ij} \) if \( i < j, k < l, \) and \( \{i,j\} \cap \{k,l\} = \emptyset. \)

Another natural condition is the fulfillment of \( q \)-analogue of the classical Yang–Baxter relations, namely
\[ [y_{ik}, y_{jk}]_q + [y_{ik}, y_{ji}]_q + [y_{ij}, y_{jk}]_q = 0, \text{ if } i < j < k, \text{ where } [x, y]_q := x - q y x \text{ denotes the } q\text{-commutator. However we are not able to find the } q\text{-analogue of the classical Yang–Baxter relation listed above in the Mathematical and Physical literature yet. Only cases } q = 1 \text{ and } q = -1 \text{ have been extensively studied.} \]

### 4.2.5 Compatible Dunkl elements and Manin matrices

(Compatible Dunkl elements, Manin matrices and algebras related with weighted complete graphs \( rK_n \))

Let us consider a collection of generators \( \{u_{ij}^{(\alpha)}, 1 \leq i, j \leq n, \alpha = 1, \ldots, r\} \), subject to the following relations

- either the unitarity (the case of sign “+”), or the symmetry relations (the case of sign “-”)

\[
\begin{align*}
  u_{ij}^{(\alpha)} + u_{ji}^{(\alpha)} = 0, & \quad \forall \alpha, i, j, \\
  (\text{local } 3\text{-term relations}) & \quad u_{ij}^{(\alpha)} u_{jk}^{(\alpha)} + u_{jk}^{(\alpha)} u_{ki}^{(\alpha)} + u_{ki}^{(\alpha)} u_{ij}^{(\alpha)} = 0, \quad i, j, k \text{ are distinct, } 1 \leq \alpha \leq r.
\end{align*}
\]

We define global 3-term relations algebra \( 3T_{n,r}^{(\pm)} \) as “compatible product” of the local 3-term relations algebras. Namely, we require that the elements

\[
U_{ij}^{(\lambda)} := \sum_{\alpha=1}^r \lambda_\alpha u_{ij}^{(\alpha)}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n,
\]

satisfy the 3-term relations (1.4) for all values of parameters \( \{\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}, 1 \leq \alpha \leq r\} \).

It is easy to check that our request is equivalent to a validity of the following sets of relations among the generators \( \{u_{ij}^{(\alpha)}\} \)

\[
\begin{align*}
  (a) & \quad (\text{local } 3\text{-term relations}) \quad u_{ij}^{(\alpha)} u_{jk}^{(\alpha)} + u_{jk}^{(\alpha)} u_{ki}^{(\alpha)} + u_{ki}^{(\alpha)} u_{ij}^{(\alpha)} = 0, \\
  (b) & \quad (6\text{-term crossing relations}) \quad u_{ij}^{(\alpha)} u_{jk}^{(\beta)} + u_{jk}^{(\beta)} u_{ki}^{(\alpha)} u_{ij}^{(\alpha)} + u_{ki}^{(\alpha)} u_{jk}^{(\beta)} u_{ki}^{(\alpha)} = 0, \\
  i, j, k \text{ are distinct, } & \quad \alpha \neq \beta.
\end{align*}
\]

Now let us consider local Dunkl elements

\[
\theta_i^{(\alpha)} := \sum_{j \neq i} u_{ij}^{(\alpha)}, \quad j = 1, \ldots, n, \alpha = 1, \ldots, r.
\]

It follows from the local 3-term relations (\( \ast \)) that for a fixed \( \alpha \in [1, r] \) the local Dunkl elements \( \{\theta_i^{(\alpha)}\}_{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq \alpha \leq r} \) either mutually commute (the sign “+”), or pairwise anticommute (the sign “-”). Similarly, the global 3-term relations imply that the global Dunkl elements

\[
\theta_i^{(\lambda)} := \lambda_1 \theta_i^{(1)} + \cdots + \lambda_r \theta_i^{(r)} = \sum_{j \neq i} U_{ij}^{(\lambda)} \quad i = 1, \ldots, n
\]

more generally one can impose the \( q \)-symmetry conditions

\[
u_{ij} + qu_{ji} = 0, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq n
\]

and ask about relations among the local Dunkl elements to ensure the commutativity of the global ones. As one might expect, the matrix \( Q := (\theta_j^{(\alpha)})_{1 \leq j \leq r, 1 \leq \alpha \leq r} \) composed from the local Dunkl elements should be a \( q \)-Manin matrix. See e.g. [16], or en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Manin_matrix for a definition and basic properties of the latter.
also either mutually commute (the case $\alpha^+\beta$) or pairwise anticommutate (the case $\alpha^-\beta$).

Now we are looking for a set of relations among the local Dunkl elements which is a consequence of the commutativity (anticommutativity) of the global Dunkl elements. It is quite clear that if $i < j$, then
\[
[\theta_i^{(a)}, \theta_j^{(b)}]_{\pm} = \sum_{a=1}^{r} \lambda_a^2 [\theta_i^{(a)}, \theta_j^{(a)}]_{\pm} + \sum_{1 \leq a < b \leq r} \lambda_a \lambda_b \left( [\theta_i^{(a)}, \theta_j^{(b)}]_{\pm} + [\theta_j^{(b)}, \theta_i^{(a)}]_{\pm} \right),
\]
and the commutativity (or anticommutativity) of the global Dunkl elements for all $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r) \in \mathbb{R}^r$ is equivalent to the following set of relations

- $[\theta_i^{(a)}, \theta_j^{(a)}]_{\pm} = 0$,
- $[\theta_i^{(a)}, \theta_j^{(b)}]_{\pm} + [\theta_j^{(b)}, \theta_i^{(a)}]_{\pm} = 0$, $a < b$ and $i < j$,

where by definition we set $[a, b]_{\pm} := ab \mp ba$.

In other words, the matrix $\Theta_n := (\theta_i^{(a)})_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}$ should be either a Manin matrix (the case $\alpha^+\beta$), or its super analogue (the case $\alpha^-\beta$). Clearly enough that a similar construction can be applied to the algebras studied in Section 2, I-III, and thus it produces some interesting examples of the Manin matrices. It is an interesting problem to describe the algebra generated by the local Dunkl elements $\{\theta_i^{(a)}\}_{1 \leq a \leq r}$ and a commutative subalgebra generated by the global Dunkl elements inside the former. It is also an interesting question whether or not the coefficients $C_1, \ldots, C_n$ of the column characteristic polynomial $Det^{col} | \Theta_n - t I_n | = \sum_{k=0}^{n} C_k t^{n-k}$ of the Manin matrix $\Theta_n$ generate a commutative subalgebra? For a definition of the column determinant of a matrix, see e.g. [II].

However a close look at this problem and the question posed needs an additional treatment and has been omitted from the content of the present paper.

Here we are looking for a “natural conditions” to be imposed on the set of generators $\{u_{ij}^{(a)}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}$ in order to ensure that the local Dunkl elements satisfy the commutativity (or anticommutativity) relations:
\[
[\theta_i^{(a)}, \theta_j^{(b)}]_{\pm} = 0, \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i < j \leq n \quad \text{and} \quad 1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq r.
\]

The “natural conditions” we have in mind are:

- (locality relations)
  \[
  [u_{ij}^{(\alpha)}, u_{kl}^{(\beta)}]_{\pm} = 0, \tag{4.7}
  \]

- (twisted classical Yang–Baxter relations)
  \[
  [u_{ij}^{(\alpha)}, u_{jk}^{(\beta)}]_{\pm} + [u_{ik}^{(\alpha)}, u_{ji}^{(\beta)}]_{\pm} + [u_{ik}^{(\alpha)}, u_{jk}^{(\beta)}]_{\pm} = 0, \tag{4.8}
  \]

if $i, j, k, l$ are distinct and $1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq r$.

Finally we define a multiple analogue of the three term relations algebra, denoted by $3T^{\pm}(rK_n)$, to be the quotient of the global 3-term relations algebra $3T^{\pm}_{n,r}$ modulo the two-sided ideal generated by the left hand sides of relations (4.7), (4.8) and that of the following relations

- $\left( u_{ij}^{(\alpha)} \right)_i^2 = 0$, $[u_{ij}^{(\alpha)}, u_{ij}^{(\beta)}]_{\pm} = 0$, for all $i \neq j$, $\alpha \neq \beta$.

The outputs of this construction are

- noncommutative quadratic algebra $3T^{(\pm)}(rK_n)$ generated by the elements $\{u_{ij}^{(\alpha)}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}$,
- a family of $nr$ either mutually commuting (the case $\alpha^+\beta$), or pairwise anticommuting (the case $\alpha^-\beta$) local Dunkl elements $\{\theta_i^{(a)}\}_{a=1,\ldots,r}$.

We expect that the subalgebra generated by local Dunkl elements in the algebra $3T^{+}(rK_n)$ is closely related (isomorphic for $r = 2$) with the coinvariant algebra of the diagonal action of
the symmetric group $S_n$ on the ring of polynomials $\mathbb{Q}[X_n^{(1)}, \ldots, X_n^{(r)}]$, where $X_n^{(j)}$ stands for the set of variables $\{x_n^{(j)}, \ldots, x_n^{(j)}\}$. The algebra $(3T^*(2K_n))^{anti}$ has been studied in [17], and [7]. In the present paper we state only our old conjecture.

**Conjecture 4.9.** *(A.N. Kirillov, 2000)*

\[ Hilb((3T^*(2K_n))^{anti}, t) = (1 + t)^n(1 + nt)^{n-2}, \]

where for any algebra $A$ we denote by $A^{anti}$ the quotient of algebra $A$ by the two-sided ideal generated by the set of anticommutators $\{ab + ba \mid (a, b) \in A \times A\}$.

According to observation of M. Haiman [37], the number $2^n (n + 1)^{n-2}$ is thought of as being equal to the dimension of the space of triple coinvariants of the symmetric group $S_n$.

### 4.3 Four term relations algebras / Kohno–Drinfeld algebras

#### 4.3.1 Kohno–Drinfeld algebra $4T_n$ and that $CYB_n$

**Definition 4.18.** The *four term relations algebra* (or the Kohno–Drinfeld algebra, or infinitesimal pure braids algebra) $4T_n$ is an associative algebra (say over $\mathbb{Q}$) with the set of generators $y_{i,j}, 1 \leq i < j \leq n$, subject to the following relations

1) $y_{i,j}$ and $y_{k,l}$ are commute, if $i, j, k, l$ are all distinct;

2) $[y_{i,j}, y_{i,k} + y_{j,k}] = 0$, if $1 \leq i < j \leq k \leq n$.

Note that the algebra $4T_n$ is given by $\binom{n}{2}$ generators and $2 \binom{n}{3} + 3 \binom{n}{4}$ quadratic relations, and the element

\[ c := \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} y_{i,j} \]

belongs to the center of the Kohno–Drinfeld algebra.

**Definition 4.19.**

Denote by $4T_n^0$ the quotient of the algebra $4T_n$ by the (two-sided) ideal generated by the set of elements $\{y^2_{i,j}, 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$.

More generally, let $\beta, \{q_{ij}, 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$ be the set of parameters, denote by $4HT_n$ the quotient of the algebra $4T_n$ by the two-sided ideal generated by the set of elements $\{y^2_{i,j} - \beta y_{ij} - q_{ij}, 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$.

These algebras are naturally graded, with $\text{deg}(y_{i,j}) = 1, \text{deg}(\beta) = 1, \text{deg}(q_{ij}) = 2$, as well as each of that algebras has a natural filtration by setting $\text{deg}(y_{i,j}) = 1, \text{deg}(\beta) = 0, \text{deg}(q_{ij}) = 0, \forall i \neq j$.

It is clear that the algebra $4T_n$ can be considered as the infinitesimal deformation $g_{i,j} := 1 + \epsilon y_{i,j}, \epsilon \to 0$, of the pure braid group $P_n$.

There is a natural action of the symmetric group $S_n$ on the algebra $4T_n$ (and also on $4T_n^0$) which preserves the grading: it is defined by $w \cdot y_{i,j} = y_{w(i),w(j)}$ for $w \in S_n$. The semi-direct product $\mathbb{Q}S_n \times 4T_n$ (and also that $\mathbb{Q}S_n \times 4T_n^0$) is a Hopf algebra denoted by $B_n$ (respectively $B_n^0$).

**Remark 4.5.** There exists the natural map

\[ CYB_n \to 4T_n, \text{ given by } y_{i,j} := u_{i,j} + u_{j,i}. \]

Indeed, one can easily check that

\[ [y_{i,j}, y_{i,k} + y_{j,k}] = w_{i,j} + w_{i,k} - w_{k,j} - w_{k,i}, \]

see Section 2.3.1, Definition 2.5 for a definition of the classical Yang–Baxter algebra $CYB_n$, and Section 2, (2.3), for a definition of the element $w_{i,j,k}$. 
Remark 4.6. It follows from the classical 3-term identity (“Jacobi identity”)

\[
\frac{1}{(a - b)(a - c)} - \frac{1}{(a - b)(b - c)} + \frac{1}{(a - c)(b - c)} = 0,
\]

that if elements \(\{y_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}\) satisfy the 4-term algebra relations, see Definition 4.18, and \(t_1, \ldots, t_n\), a set of (pairwise) commuting parameters, then the elements

\[
r_{i,j} := \frac{y_{i,j}}{t_i - t_j}
\]

satisfy the 6-term relations algebra \(6T_n\), see Section 4.2.1, Definition 4.9. In particular, the Knizhnik–Zamolodchikov elements

\[
KZ_j := \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{y_{i,j}}{t_i - t_j}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n,
\]

form a pairwise commuting family (by definition, we put \(y_{i,j} = y_{j,i}\), if \(i > j\)).

Example 4.7. (1) (Cf Subsection 4.2.1, Example 4.4)

(Yang representation of the \(4T_n\)).

Let \(S_n\) be the symmetric group acting identically on the set of variables \(\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}\). Clearly that the elements \(\{y_{ij} := s_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}, \ y_{ij} := y_{ji}, \ i < j\), satisfy the Kohno-Drinfeld relations listed in Definition 4.18. Therefore the operators \(u_{ij}\) defined by

\[
u_{ij} = (x_i - x_j)^{-1} s_{ij}
\]

give rise to a representation of the algebra \(3T_n\) on the field of rational functions \(\mathbb{Q}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)\).

The Dunkl-Gaudin elements

\[
\theta_i = \sum_{j \neq i} y_{ij}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n
\]

correspond to the truncated Gaudin operators acting in the tensor space \((\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes n}\).

(2) Let \(A = U(sl(2))\) be the universal enveloping algebra of the Lie algebra \(sl(2)\). Recall that the algebra \(sl(2)\) is spanned by the elements \(e, f, h\), so that \([h, e] = 2e, [h, f] = -2f, [e, f] = h\). Consider the element \(\Omega = \frac{1}{2} h \otimes h + e \otimes f + f \otimes e\). Then the map \(y_{i,j} \mapsto \Omega_{i,j} \in A^{\otimes n}\) defines a representation of the Kohno–Drinfeld algebra \(4T_n\) on that \(A^{\otimes n}\). The element \(KZ_j\) defined above, corresponds to the truncated (or at critical level ) rational Knizhnik–Zamolodchikov operator.

Proposition 4.5. (T. Kohno, V. Drinfeld)

\[
\text{Hilb}(4T_n, t) = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (1 - jt)^{-1} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{n + k - 1}{n - 1} t^k,
\]

where \(\{\binom{n}{k}\}\) stands for the Stirling numbers of the second kind, i.e. the number of ways to partition a set of \(n\) things into \(k\) nonempty subsets.

Remark 4.7. It follows from [2] that \(\text{Hilb}(4T_n, t)\) is equal to the generating function

\[
1 + \sum_{d \geq 1} v_d^{(n)} t^d
\]

for the number \(v_d^{(n)}\) of Vassiliev invariants of order \(d\) for \(n\)-strand braids. Therefore, one has the following equality:

\[
v_d^{(n)} = \binom{n + d - 1}{n - 1},
\]
i.e. the number of Vassiliev invariants of order $d$ for $n$-strand braids is equal to the Stirling number of the second kind $\binom{n+d-1}{n-1}$.

We expect that the generating function

$$1 + \sum_{d \geq 1} \hat{c}_d^{(n)} t^d$$

for the number $\hat{c}_d^{(n)}$ of Vassiliev invariants of order $d$ for $n$-strand virtual braids is equal to the Hilbert series $Hilb(4NT_n, t)$ of the nonsymmetric Kohno-Drinfeld algebra $4NT_n$, see Section 4.3.2.

**Proposition 4.6.** (Cf [3]) The algebra $4NT_n(t)$ is Koszul, and

$$Hilb(4NT_n, t) = \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (k+1)! N(k, n) (-t)^k \right)^{-1}, \quad Hilb((4NT_n)_1, t) = (n-1)! L_{n-1}^{(n-1)} (-t^{-1}) t^{n-1},$$

where $N(k, n) := \frac{1}{n} \binom{n}{k} \binom{n}{k+1}$ denotes the Narayana number, i.e. the number of Dyck $n$-paths with exactly $k$ peaks;

$$L_n^{(\alpha)}(x) = \frac{x^\alpha}{n!} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} \left( e^x x^{n+\alpha} \right)$$

denotes the generalized Laguerre polynomial.

See also Theorem 4.6 below.

It is well-known that the quadratic dual $4T_n^\alpha$ of the Kohno–Drinfeld algebra $4T_n$ is isomorphic to the Orlik–Solomon algebra of type $A_{n-1}$, as well as the algebra $3T_n^{anti}$. However the algebra $4T_n^0$ is failed to be Koszul.

**Examples 4.3.**

$$Hilb(4T_3^0, t) = [2]^2 [3], \quad Hilb(4T_4^0, t) = (1, 6, 19, 42, 70, 90, 87, 57, 23, 6, 1),$$

$$Hilb((4T_3^0)_1, t)(1-t) = (1, 2, 2, 1), \quad Hilb((4T_4^0)_1, t)(1-t)^2 = (1, 4, 6, 2, -4, -3),$$

$$Hilb((4T_3^0)_1, t)(1-t)^2 = (1, 8, 26, 40, 24, -3, -6).$$

We expect that $Hilb((4T_n^0)_1, t)$ is a rational function with the only pole at $t = 1$ of order $[n/2]$, cf. Examples 4.1.

**Remark 4.8.** One can show that if $n \geq 4$, then $Hilb(4T_n^0, t) < Hilb(3T_n^0, t)$ contrary to the statement of Conjecture 9.6 from [45].

### 4.3.2 Nonsymmetric Kohno–Drinfeld algebra $4NT_n$, and McCool algebra $\mathcal{P}\Sigma_n$

(Nonsymmetric Kohno-Drinfeld algebra $4NT_n$, and McCool algebras $\mathcal{P}\Sigma_n$ and $\mathcal{P}\Sigma_n^+$)

**Definition 4.20.** The nonsymmetric 4 term relations algebra (or the nonsymmetric Kohno–Drinfeld algebra) $4NT_n$ is an associative algebra (say over $\mathbb{Q}$) with the set of generators $y_{i,j}, 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$, subject to the following relations

1) $y_{i,j}$ and $y_{k,l}$ are commute, if $i, j, k, l$ are all distinct;

2) $[y_{i,j}, y_{i,k} + y_{j,k}] = 0$, if $i, j, k$ are all distinct.

We denote by $4NT_n^+$ the quotient of the algebra $4NT_n$ by the two-sided ideal generated by the elements $\{y_{i,j} + y_{j,i} = 0, 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n\}$. 
Theorem 4.6. One has

\[ \text{Hilb}(4NT_n, t) = \text{Hilb}(CYB_n, t), \quad \text{Hilb}(4NT_n^+, t) = \text{Hilb}(6T_n, t) \]

for all \( n \geq 2 \).

We expect that the both algebras \( 4NT_n \) and \( 4NT_n^+ \) are Koszul.

Definition 4.21.

1. Define the McCool algebra \( P\Sigma_n \) to be the quotient of the nonsymmetric Kohno–Drinfeld algebra \( 4NT_n \) by the two-sided ideal generated by the elements

\[ \{y_{ik} y_{jk} - y_{jk} y_{ik}\} \]

for all pairwise distinct \( i, j \) and \( k \).

2. Define the upper triangular McCool algebra \( P\Sigma_n^+ \) to be the quotient of the McCool algebra \( P\Sigma_n \) by the two-sided ideal generated by the elements

\[ \{y_{ij} + y_{ji}\}, \quad 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n. \]

Theorem 4.7. The quadratic duals of the algebras \( P\Sigma_n \) and \( P\Sigma_n^+ \) have the following Hilbert polynomials

\[ \text{Hilb}(P\Sigma_n^!, t) = (1 + nt)^{n-1}, \quad \text{Hilb}((P\Sigma_n^+)!, t) = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (1 + jt). \]

Proposition 4.7.

1. The quadratic dual \( P\Sigma_n^! \) of the algebra \( P\Sigma_n \) admits the following description. It is generated over \( \mathbb{Z} \) by the set of pairwise anticommuting elements

\[ \{y_{ij}, \ 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n\}, \]

subject to the set of relations

(a) \( y_{ij}^2 = 0, y_{ij} y_{ji} = 0, \ 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n \),
(b) \( y_{ik} y_{jk} = 0, \) for all distinct \( i, j, k \),
(c) \( y_{ij} y_{jk} + y_{ik} y_{ij} + y_{kj} y_{ik} = 0, \) for all distinct \( i, j, k \).

2. The quadratic dual \( (P\Sigma_n^+)! \) of the algebra \( P\Sigma_n^+ \) admits the following description. It is generated over \( \mathbb{Z} \) by the set of pairwise anticommuting elements \( \{z_{ij}, \ 1 \leq i < j \leq n\} \), subject to the set of relations

(a) \( z_{ij}^2 = 0 \) for all \( i < j \),
(b) \( z_{ij} z_{jk} = z_{ij} z_{ik} \) for all \( 1 \leq i < j < k \leq n \).

Comments 4.5. The McCool groups and algebras

The McCool group \( P\Sigma_n \) is by definition, the group of pure symmetric automorphisms of the free group \( F_n \) consisting of all automorphism that, for a fixed basis \( \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \), send each \( x_i \) to a conjugate of itself. This group is generated by automorphisms \( \alpha_{ij}, 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n \), defined by

\[ \alpha_{ij}(x_k) = \begin{cases} x_j x_i x_j^{-1}, & k = i; \\ x_k, & k \neq i. \end{cases} \]
McCool have proved that the relations
\[
\begin{align*}
\{\alpha_{ij}, \alpha_{kl}\} &= 1, \quad i, j, k, l \text{ are distinct}, \\
\{\alpha_{ij}, \alpha_{ji}\} &= 1, \quad i \neq j, \\
\{\alpha_{ij}, \alpha_{ik} \alpha_{jk}\} &= 1, \quad i, j, k \text{ are distinct}.
\end{align*}
\]
form the set of defining relations for the group \(P\Sigma_n\). The subgroup of \(P\Sigma_n\) generated by the \(\alpha_{ij}\) for \(1 \leq i < j \leq n\) is denoted by \(P\Sigma_n^+\) and is called the upper triangular McCool group. It is easy to see that the McCool algebras \(P\Sigma_n\) and \(P\Sigma_n^+\) are the “infinitesimal deformations” of the McCool groups \(P\Sigma_n\) and \(P\Sigma_n^+\) respectively.

**Theorem 4.8.**

1. (59) There exists a natural isomorphism
\[
H^*(P\Sigma_n, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq P\Sigma_n^!
\]
of the quadratic dual \(P\Sigma_n^!\) of the McCool algebra \(P\Sigma_n\) and the cohomology ring \(H^*(P\Sigma_n, \mathbb{Z})\) of the McCool group \(P\Sigma_n\).

2. (17) There exists a natural isomorphism
\[
H^*(P\Sigma_n^+, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq (P\Sigma_n^+)!
\]
of the quadratic dual \((P\Sigma_n^+)!)\) of the upper triangular McCool algebra \(P\Sigma_n^+\) and the cohomology ring \(H^*(P\Sigma_n^+, \mathbb{Z})\) of the upper triangular McCool group \(P\Sigma_n^+\).

4.3.3 Algebras \(4TT_n\) and \(4ST_n\)

**Definition 4.22.**

1. **Algebra** \(4TT_n\) is generated over \(\mathbb{Z}\) by the set of elements \(\{x_{ij}, 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n\}\), subject to the set of relations
   \[
   \begin{align*}
   (1) \quad &x_{ij} x_{kl} = x_{kl} x_{ij}, \quad \text{if all } i, j, k, l \text{ are distinct,} \\
   (2) \quad &x_{ij} + x_{jk}, x_{ki} = 0, \quad [x_{ji} + x_{kj}, x_{ki}] = 0, \quad \text{if } i < j < k.
   \end{align*}
   \]
2. **Algebra** \(4ST_n\) is generated over \(\mathbb{Z}\) by the set of elements \(\{x_{ij}, 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n\}\), subject to the set of relations
   \[
   \begin{align*}
   (1) \quad &[x_{ij}, x_{kl}] = 0, \quad [x_{ij}, x_{ji}] = 0, \quad \text{if } i, j, k, l \text{ are distinct;} \\
   (2) \quad &[x_{ij}, x_{ik}] = [x_{ik}, x_{jk}] = [x_{jk}, x_{ij}], \quad [x_{ji}, x_{ki}] = [x_{ki}, x_{kj}] = [x_{kj}, x_{ii}], \\
   (3) \quad &[x_{ij}, x_{ki}] = [x_{kj}, x_{ij}] = [x_{ji}, x_{ik}] = [x_{ik}, x_{jk}] = [x_{kj}, x_{ji}], \\
   \quad \text{if } i < j < k.
   \end{align*}
   \]

**Proposition 4.8.** One has
\[
\sum_{n \geq 2} \frac{Hilb((4TT_n)^!, t) z^n}{n!} = \frac{\exp(-tz)}{(1-z)^{2t}} - 1 - tz.
\]

Therefore, \(\dim(4TT_n)^!\) is equal to the number of permutations of the set \([1, \ldots, n+1]\) having no substring \([k, k+1]\); also, for \(n \geq 1\) equals to the maximal permanent of a nonsingular \(n \times n\) \((0,1)\)-matrix, see [87]. A000255 \[^{31}\]. Moreover, one has
\[
Hilb((4ST_n)^!, t) = (1+t)^n (1+nt)^{n-2},
\]

\(^{31}\) See also a paper by F. Hivert, J-C. Novelli and J-Y. Thibon *Commutative combinatorial Hopf algebras*, J. Algebraic Combin. **28** (2008), no. 1, 65–95, Section 3.8.4, for yet another combinatorial interpretation of the dimension of the algebra \((4TT_n)^!\).
We expect that the both algebras $4T T_n$ and $4S T_n$ are Koszul.  

**Problem.** Give a combinatorial interpretation of polynomials $Hilb((4TT_n)^j, t)$ and construct a monomial basis in the algebras $(4TT_n)^j$ and $4S T_n$.

### 4.4 Subalgebra generated by Jucys–Murphy elements in $4T_n^0$

**Definition 4.23.** The Jucys–Murphy elements $d_j$, $2 \leq j \leq n$, in the quadratic algebra $4T_n$ are defined as follows

$$d_j = \sum_{1 \leq i < j} y_{i,j}, \quad j = 2, ..., n. \quad \text{(4.10)}$$

It is clear that Jucys–Murphy’s elements $d_j$ are the infinitesimal deformation of the elements $D_{1,j} \in P_n$.

**Theorem 4.9.**

1. The Jucys–Murphy elements $d_j$, $2 \leq j \leq n$, commute pairwise in the algebra $4T_n$.

2. In the algebra $4T_n^0$ the Jucys–Murphy elements $d_j$, $2 \leq j \leq n$, satisfy the following relations

$$(d_2 + \cdots + d_j) d_j^{j-3} = 0, \quad 2 \leq j \leq n.$$

3. Subalgebra (over $\mathbb{Z}$) in $4T_n^0$ generated by the Jucys–Murphy elements $d_2, \ldots, d_n$ has the following Hilbert polynomial $\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} [2j]$.

4. There exists an (birational) isomorphism $\mathbb{Z} [x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}] / J_{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} [d_2, \ldots, d_n]$ defined by $d_j :\mathbb{Z} [x_i] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} [d_i]$, $2 \leq j \leq n$, where $J_{n-1}$ is a (two-sided) ideal generated by $e_i(x_1^2, \ldots, x_{n-1}^2)$, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, and $e_i(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1})$ stands for the $i$-th elementary symmetric polynomial in the variables $x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}$.

**Remark 4.9.**

1. It is clearly seen that the commutativity of the Jucys–Murphy elements is equivalent to the validity of the Kohno-Drinfeld relations and the locality relations among the generators $\{y_{i,j}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}$.  

2. Let’s stress that $d_j^{j-2} \neq 0$ in the algebra $4T_n^0$, for $j = 3, \ldots, n$. For example, $d_3^3 = y_{13} y_{23} y_{13} y_{23} + y_{13} y_{23} y_{13} y_{23} - y_{13} \neq 0$ since $\dim(4T_n^0)_4 = 1$ and it is generated by the element $d_3^3$.

3. The map $\iota : y_{i,j} \mapsto y_{n+1-j,n+1-i}$ preserves the relations 1) and 2) in the definition of the algebra $4T_n^0$, and therefore defines an involution of the Kohno–Drinfeld algebra. Hence the elements

$$\tilde{d}_j :\mathbb{Z} [x_i] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} [x_i], \quad 1 \leq j \leq n - 1$$

also form a pairwise commuting family. 

**Problems 4.1.** (a) Compute Hilbert series of the algebra $4T_n^0$ and its quadratic dual algebra $(4T_n^0)^!$.

(b) Describe subalgebra in the algebra $4HT_n$ generated by the Jucys–Murphy elements $d_j$, $2 \leq j \leq n$.

It is well-known that the Kohno–Drinfeld algebra $4T_n$ is Koszul, and its quadratic dual $4T_n^!$ is isomorphic to the anticommutative quotient $3T_n^{0,anti}$ of the algebra $3T_n^{(-),0}$.

On the other hand, if $n \geq 3$ the algebra $4T_n^0$ is not Koszul, and its quadratic dual is isomorphic to the quotient of the ring of polynomials in the set of anticommutative variables $\{t_{i,j} \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$, where we do not impose conditions $t_{i,j}^2 = 0$, modulo the ideal generated by Arnold’s relations $\{t_{i,j} t_{j,k} + t_{i,k} (t_{i,j} - t_{j,k}) = 0\}$ for all pairwise distinct $i, j$ and $k$. 


4.5 Nonlocal Kohno–Drinfeld algebra $NLAT_n$

**Definition 4.24.** Nonlocal Kohno–Drinfeld algebra $NLAT_n$ is an associative algebra over $\mathbb{Z}$ with the set of generators $\{y_{ij}, 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$ subject to the set of relations

1. $y_{ij} y_{kl} = y_{kl} y_{ij}$ if $(i - k)(i - l)(j - k)(j - l) > 0$,
2. $[y_{ij}, \sum_{a=j}^{k-1} y_{ak}] = 0$, if $i < j < k$,
3. $[y_{jk}, \sum_{a=j}^{k-1} y_{ja}] = 0$, if $i < j < k$.

It's not difficult to see that relations (1) – (3) imply the following relations

4. $[x_{ij}, \sum_{a=i+1}^{n-1} (y_{ia} + y_{aj})] = 0$, if $i < j$.

Let's introduce in the nonlocal Kohno–Drinfeld algebra $NLAT_n$ the Jucys–Murphy elements (JM-elements for short) $d_j$ and the dual JM-elements $\hat{d}_j$ as follows

$$d_j = \sum_{a=1}^{j-1} y_{aj}, \quad \hat{d}_j = \sum_{a=n-j+2}^{n} y_{n-j+1,a} \quad j = 2, \ldots, n. \quad (4.11)$$

It follows from relations (1) and (2) (resp. (1) and (3)) that the Jucys–Murphy elements $d_2, \ldots, d_n$ (resp. $\hat{d}_2, \ldots, \hat{d}_n$) form a commutative subalgebra in the algebra $NLAT_n$. Moreover, it follows from relations (1) – (3) that the element $c_1 := \sum_{j=2}^{n} d_j = \sum_{j=2}^{n} \hat{d}_j$ belongs to the center of the algebra $NLAT_n$.

**Theorem 4.10.**

1. The algebra $NLAT_n$ is Koszul, and

$$\text{Hilb}((NLAT_n)^!, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} C_k \left( \begin{array}{c} n + k - 1 \\ 2k \end{array} \right) t^k,$$

where $C_k = \frac{1}{k+1} \binom{2k}{k}$ stands for the $k$-th Catalan number.

2. The quadratic dual $(NLAT_n)^!$ of the nonlocal Kohno–Drinfeld algebra $NLAT_n$ is an associative algebra generated by the set of mutually anticommuting elements $\{t_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$ subject to the set of relations

- $t_{ij}^2 = 0$, if $1 \leq i < j \leq n$,
- (Arnold’s relations) $t_{ij} t_{jk} + t_{ik} t_{ij} + t_{jk} t_{ik} = 0$, if $i < j < k$,
- (Disentanglement relations) $t_{ik} t_{ji} + t_{il} t_{ik} + t_{jk} t_{il} = 0$, if $i < j < k < l$.

Therefore the algebra $(NLAT_n)^!$ is the quotient of the the Orlik-Solomon algebra $OS_n$ by the ideal generated by Disentanglement relations, and $\text{dim}((NLAT_{n+1})^!)$ is equal to the number of Schroeder paths, i.e. paths from $(0,0)$ to $(2n,0)$ consisting of steps $U = (1,1), D = (1,-1), H = (2,0)$ and never going below the $x-axis$. The Hilbert polynomial $\text{Hilb}((NLAT_n)^!, t)$ is the generating function of such paths with respect to the number of $U$’s, see [S7], A088617.

**Remark 4.10.**

Denote by $H_n(q)$ “the normalized” Hecke algebra of type $A_n$, i.e. an associative algebra generated over $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ by elements $T_1, \ldots, T_{n-1}$ subject to the set of relations

(a) $T_i T_j = T_j T_i$, if $|i - j| > 1$, $T_i T_j T_i = T_j T_i T_j$, if $|i - j| = 1$,
(b) $T_i^2 = (q - q^{-1}) T_i + 1$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n - 1$.

If $1 \leq i < j \leq n - 1$, let’s consider elements $T_{(ij)} := T_i T_{i+1} \cdots T_{j-1} T_j T_{j-1} \cdots T_{i+1} T_i$.

**Lemma 4.5.** The elements $\{T_{(ij)}, 1 \leq i < j < n - 1\}$ satisfy the defining relations of the non-local Kohno-Drinfeld algebra $NLAT_{n-1}$, see Definition 4.23.

Therefore the map $y_{ij} \rightarrow H_{(ij)}$ defines an epimorphism $\iota_n : NLAT_n \rightarrow H_{n+1}(q)$. 

Definition 4.25. Denote by \( \mathcal{NLAT}_n \) the quotient of the non-local Kohno-Drinfeld algebra \( \mathcal{NLAT}_n \) by the two-sided ideal \( \mathcal{I}_n \) generated by the following set of degree three elements:

1. \( z_{ij} := y_{i,j+1} y_{ij} y_{j,j+1} y_{j,i+1} y_{j,i} \), if \( 1 \leq i < j \leq n \),

2. \( u_i := y_{i,i+1} \left( \sum_{a=1}^{i-1} \sum_{b=1, b \neq a}^{i-1} y_{a,b} y_{b,i+1} \right) - \left( \sum_{a=1}^{i-1} \sum_{b=1, b \neq a}^{i-1} y_{b,i+1} y_{a,i} \right) y_{i,i+1}, \) if \( 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \),

3. \( v_i := y_{i,i+1} \left( \sum_{a=i+1}^{n} \sum_{b=i+1}^{n} y_{i+1,a} y_{b,b} \right) - \left( \sum_{a=i+1}^{n} \sum_{b=i+1}^{n} y_{i+1,a} y_{i,b} \right) y_{i,i+1}, \) if \( 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \).

Proposition 4.9.

1. The ideal \( \mathcal{I}_n \) belongs to the kernel of the epimorphism \( i_n : \mathcal{I}_n \to \text{Ker}(i_n) \).

2. Let \( d_2, \ldots, d_n \) (resp. \( \hat{d}_2, \ldots, \hat{d}_n \)) be the Jucys-Murphy elements (resp. dual JM-elements) in the algebra \( \mathcal{NLAT}_n \) given by the formula (4.11).

Then the all elementary symmetric polynomials \( e_k(d_2, \ldots, d_n) \) (resp. \( e_k(\hat{d}_2, \ldots, \hat{d}_n) \)) of degree \( k \), \( 1 \leq k < n \), in the Jucys–Murphy elements \( d_2, \ldots, d_n \), (resp. in the dual JM-elements \( d_2, \ldots, d_n \)) commute in the algebra \( \mathcal{NLAT}_n \) with the all elements \( y_{i,i+1}, \) \( i = 1, \ldots, n-1 \).

Therefore, there exists an epimorphism of algebras \( \mathcal{NLAT}_n \to H_n(q) \), and images of the elements \( e_k(d_2, \ldots, d_n) \) (resp. \( e_k(\hat{d}_2, \ldots, \hat{d}_n) \) \( 1 \leq k < n \), belongs to the center of the “normalized” Hecke algebra \( H_n(q) \), and in fact generate the center of algebra \( H_n(q) \).

Few comments in order:

(A) Let \( \mathcal{N} \mathcal{L} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{T}_n \) be an associative algebra over \( \mathbb{Z} \) with the set of generators \( \{ y_{ij}, 1 \leq i < j \leq n \} \) subject to the set of relations

1. \( y_{ij} y_{kl} = y_{kl} y_{ij} \), if \( (i-k)(l-i)(j-k)(l-j) > 0 \),

2. \( [y_{ij}, \sum_{a=i}^{j} y_{ak}] = 0 \), if \( i < j < k \).

Proposition 4.10.

1. The algebra \( \mathcal{N} \mathcal{L} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{T}_n \) is Koszul and has the Hilbert series equals to

\[
\text{Hilb}(\mathcal{N} \mathcal{L} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{T}_n, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k n(k,n) t^k, \]

where \( n(k,n) := \frac{1}{n} \binom{n}{k} \binom{n}{k+1} \) denotes the Narayana number, i.e. the number of Dyck \( n \)-paths with exactly \( k \) peaks, see e.g. [87], A001263.

Therefore, \( \dim(\mathcal{N} \mathcal{L} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{T}_n)^! = \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n}, \) the \( n \)-th Catalan number.

2. Elementary symmetric polynomials \( e_k(d_2, \ldots, d_n) \) of degree \( k \), \( 1 \leq k < n \), in the Jucys–Murphy elements \( d_2, \ldots, d_n \), commute in the algebra \( \mathcal{N} \mathcal{L} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{T}_n \) with the all elements \( y_{i,i+1} \), \( i = 1, \ldots, n-1 \).

(B) The kernel of the epimorphism \( \mathcal{NLAT}_n \to H_n(q) \) contains the elements

\( \{ y_{i,i+1} y_{i+1,i+2} y_{i+1,i+1} y_{i+1,i+2} y_{i+1,i} y_{i+1,i+2}, \) \( i = 1, \ldots, n-2 \}, \)

as well as the following set of commutators

\( [y_{ij}, e_k(d_i, \ldots, d_j)], 1 \leq k \leq j - i + 1. \)

It is an interesting task to find defining relations among the Jucys–Murphy elements \( \{ d_j, j = 2, \ldots, n \} \) in the algebra \( \mathcal{NLAT}_n \) or that \( \mathcal{N} \mathcal{L} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{T}_n \). We expect that the Jucys–Murphy element \( d_k \) satisfies the following relation (= minimal polynomial) in the Hecke algebra \( H_n(q) \), \( n \geq k \),

\[
\prod_{a=1}^{k-1} (d_k - q^{-a+1} \frac{1}{1 - q^2}) (d_k + q^{-1} - q^{-2a-1} \frac{1}{1 - q^{-2}}) = 0.
\]

(4.12)
4.5.1 On relations among JM-elements in Hecke algebras

Let $H_n(q)$ be the “normalized” Hecke algebra of type $A_n$, see Remark 4.10. Let $\lambda \vdash n$ be a partition of $n$. For a box $x = (i, j) \in \lambda$ define

$$c_\lambda(x; q) := q \frac{1 - q^2 (j-i)}{1 - q^2}$$

(4.13)

It is clear that if $q = 1$, $c_{q=1}(x)$ is equal to the content $c(x)$ of a box $x \in \lambda$. Denote by

$$\Lambda_q^{(n)} = \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}][z_1, \ldots, z_n]^{S_n}$$

the space of symmetric polynomials over the ring $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ in variables $\{z_1, \ldots, z_n\}$.

**Definition 4.26.** Denote by $J_q^{(n)}$ the set of symmetric polynomials $f \in \Lambda_q^{(n)}$ such that for any partition $\lambda \vdash n$ one has

$$f(c_\lambda(x; q) \mid x \in \lambda) = 0.$$ 

For example, one can check that symmetric polynomial

$$e_1^2 - (q^2 + 1 + q^{-2}) e_2 - 2 (q - q^{-1}) e_1 - 3$$

belongs to the set $J_q^{(3)}$.

Finally, denote by $\mathcal{J}_q^{(n)}$ the ideal in the ring $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}][z_1, \ldots, z_n]$ generated by the set $J_q^{(n)}$.

**Conjecture 4.10.** The algebra over $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ generated by the Jucys–Murphy elements $d_2, \ldots, d_n$ corresponding to the the Hecke algebra $H_n(q)$ of type $A_{n-1}$, is isomorphic to the quotient of the algebra $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}][z_1, \ldots, z_n]$ by the ideal $\mathcal{J}_q^{(n)}$.

It seems an interesting **problem** to find a minimal set of generators for the ideal $\mathcal{J}_q^{(n)}$.

**Comments 4.6.** Denote by $JM(n)$ the algebra over $\mathbb{Z}$ generated by the JM-elements $d_2, \ldots, d_n$, deg$(d_i) = 1, \forall i$, corresponding to the symmetric group $S_n$. In this case one can check Conjecture 8 for $n < 8$, and compute the Hilbert polynomial(s) of the associated graded algebra(s) $\text{gr}(JM(n))$. For example:

$\text{Hilb}(\text{gr}(JM(2)), t) = (1, 1)$, $\text{Hilb}(\text{gr}(JM(3)), t) = (1, 2, 1)$, $\text{Hilb}(\text{gr}(JM(4)), t) = (1, 3, 4, 2)$,

$\text{Hilb}(\text{gr}(JM(5)), t) = (1, 4, 8, 9, 4)$, $\text{Hilb}(\text{gr}(JM(6)), t) = (1, 5, 13, 21, 21, 12, 3)$,

$\text{Hilb}(\text{gr}(JM(7)), t) = (1, 6, 19, 40, 59, 60, 37, 10)$.

It seems an interesting **task** to find a combinatorial interpretation of the polynomials $\text{Hilb}(\text{gr}(JM(n)), t)$ in terms of standard Young tableaux of size $n$.

Let $\{\chi^\lambda, \lambda \vdash n\}$ be the characters of the irreducible representations of the symmetric group $S_n$, which form a basis of the center $\mathbb{Z}_n$ of the group ring $\mathbb{Z}[S_n]$. The famous result by A. Jucys [10] states that for any symmetric polynomial $f(z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ the character expansion of $f(d_2, \ldots, d_n, 0) \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ is

$$f(d_2, \ldots, d_n, 0) = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \frac{f(C_\lambda)}{H_\lambda} \chi^\lambda,$$

(4.14)

where $H_\lambda = \prod_{x \in \lambda} h_x$ denotes the product of all hook-lengths of $\lambda$, and $C_\lambda := \{c(x)\}_{x \in \lambda}$ denotes the set of contents of all boxes of $\lambda$.

---

32 I would like to thank DR. S. Tsuchioka for computation the Hilbert polynomials $\text{Hilb}(JM(n), t)$, as well as the sets of defining relations among the Jucys–Murphy elements in the symmetric group $S_n$ for $n \leq 7$. 
Recall that the Jucys–Murphy elements \( \{d^H_j\}_{2 \leq j \leq n} \) in the (normalized) Hecke algebra \( H_n(q) \) are defined as follows: \( d^H_j := \sum_{i<j} T_{ij} \), where \( T_{ij} := T_i \cdots T_{j-1} T_j T_{j-1} \cdots T_i \). Finally denote by \( H_\lambda(q) \) and \( C^\lambda(q) \) the hook polynomial and the set \( \{c_\lambda x; q\} x \in \lambda \). Then for any symmetric polynomial \( f(z_1, \ldots, z_n) \) one has

\[
f(d^H_2, \ldots, d^H_n, 0) = \sum_{\lambda<\nu} f(C^\lambda(q)) H^\lambda(q) \chi^\lambda, \tag{4.15}
\]

where \( \chi^\lambda \) denotes the \( q \)-character of the algebra \( H_n(q) \).

Therefore, if \( f \in J_q^{(n)} \), then \( f(d^H_2, \ldots, d^H_n, 0) = 0 \). It is an open problem to prove/disprove that if \( f(d^H_2, \ldots, d^H_n, 0) = 0 \), then \( f(C^\lambda(q)) = 0 \) for all partitions of size \( n \) (even in the case \( q = 1 \)).

### 4.6 Extended nil-three term relations algebra and DAHA, cf [15]

Let \( A := \{q, t, a, b, c, h, e, f, \ldots, \} \) be a set of parameters.

**Definition 4.27.** Extended nil-three term relations algebra \( 3\Sigma_n \) is an associative algebra over \( \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}, t^{\pm 1}, a, b, c, h, e, \ldots] \) with the set of generators \( \{u_{i,j}, 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n, \ x_i, 1 \leq i \leq n, \ \pi\} \) subject to the set of relations

1. \( u_{i,j} + u_{j,i} = 0, \ u^2_{i,j} = 0, \)
2. \( x_i x_j = x_j x_i, \ u^l_{i,j} u^k_{k,l} = u^k_{k,l} u^l_{i,j}, \) if \( i, j, k, l \) are distinct,
3. \( x_i x_k = u_{i,k} x_i, \) if \( i \neq k, l, \)
4. \( x_i u_{i,j} = u_{i,j} x_i + 1, \ x_j u_{i,j} = u_{i,j} x_i - 1, \)
5. \( \pi x_i = x_{i+1} \pi, \) if \( 1 \leq i < n, \ \pi x_n = t^{-1} x_1 \pi, \)
6. \( \pi u_{ij} = u_{i+1,j+1}, \) if \( 1 \leq i < j < n, \ \pi^j u_{n-j+1,n} = t u_{1,j} \pi^j, \ 2 \leq j \leq n. \)

Note that the algebra \( 3\Sigma_n \) contains also the set of elements \( \{\pi^a u_{jn}, 1 \leq a \leq n - j\} \).

**Definition 4.28.** (Cf. [58]) Let \( 1 \leq i < j \leq n \), define

\[
T_{i,j} = a + (b x_i + c x_j + h + e x_i x_j) u_{i,j}.
\]

**Lemma 4.6.**

1. \( T_{i,j}^2 = (2a + b - c) T_{i,j} - a(a + b - c), \) if \( a = 0 \), then \( T_{i,j}^2 = (b - c) T_{i,j}. \)
2. (Coxeter relations) Relations

\[
T_{i,j} T_{j,k} T_{i,j} = T_{j,k} T_{i,j} T_{j,k},
\]

are valid, if and only if the following relation holds

\[
(a + b)(a - c) + h e = 0. \tag{4.16}
\]

3. (Yang–Baxter relations) Relations

\[
T_{i,j} T_{i,k} T_{j,k} = T_{j,k} T_{i,j} T_{i,j}
\]

are valid if and only if \( b = c = e = 0 \), i.e. \( T_{ij} = a + d u_{ij} \).

4. \( T_{ij}^2 = 1 \) if and only if \( a = \pm 1, c = b = 2, he = (b \pm 1)^2 \).

5. Assume that parameters \( a, b, c, h, e \) satisfy the conditions (4.16) and that \( b c + 1 = h e \). Then

\[
T_{ij} x_i T_{ij} = x_j + (h + (a + b)(x_i + x_j) + e x_i x_j) T_{ij}.
\]

6. (Quantum Yang–Baxterization) Assume that parameters \( a, b, c, h, e \) satisfy the conditions (4.5) and that \( \beta := 2a + b - c \neq 0 \). Then (cf [68], [38] and the literature quoted therein)
Denote by $R_{ij}(u,v) := 1 + \frac{\lambda_i - \mu_j}{\beta_{ij}}$ the operators $T_{ij}$ satisfy the twisted quantum Yang-Baxter relations
\[ R_{ij}(\lambda_i, \mu_j)R_{jk}(\lambda_i, \nu_k)R_{ij}(\mu_j, \nu_k) = R_{jk}(\mu_j, \nu_k)R_{ij}(\lambda_i, \nu_k)R_{jk}(\lambda_i, \mu_j), \quad i < j < k, \]
where $\{\lambda_i, \mu_j, \nu_k\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ are parameters.

**Corollary 4.6.** If $(a + b)(a - c) + bh = 0$, then for any permutation $w \in S_n$ the element
\[ T_w := T_{i_1} \cdots T_{i_l} \in 3S_n, \]
where $w = s_i \cdots s_i$ is any reduced decomposition of $w$, is well-defined.

**Example 4.8.** Each of the set of elements
\[ s_i^{(h)} = 1 + (x_{i+1} - x_i + h) u_{i,i+1} \quad \text{and} \quad 
\]
\[ t_i^{(h)} = -1 + (x_i - x_{i+1} + h(1 + x_i)(1 + x_{i+1})u_{ij}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n - 1, \]
by itself generate the symmetric group $S_n$.

**Comments 4.7.** Let $A = (a, b, c, h, e)$ be a sequence of integers satisfying the conditions (4.5). Denote by $\partial_i^A$ the divided difference operator
\[ \partial_i^A = (a + b x_i + c x_{i+1} + h + e x_i x_{i+1}) \partial_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n - 1. \]
It follows from Lemma 4.5 that the operators $\{\partial_i^A\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ satisfy the Coxeter relations
\[ \partial_i^A \partial_{i+1}^A \partial_i^A = \partial_{i+1}^A \partial_i^A \partial_{i+1}^A, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n - 1. \]

**Definition 4.29.**

1. Let $w \in S_n$ be a permutation. Define the generalized Schubert polynomial corresponding to permutation $w$ as follows
\[ S^A_w(X_n) = \partial_{w^{-1}}^A w_0 x^\delta, \quad \text{where} \quad x^\delta := x_1^{n-1} x_2^{n-2} \cdots x_{n-1}, \]
and $w_0$ denotes the longest element in the symmetric group $S_n$.

2. Let $\alpha$ be a composition with at most $n$ parts, denote by $w_\alpha \in S_n$ the permutation such that $w_\alpha(\alpha) = \overline{\alpha}$, where $\overline{\alpha}$ denotes a unique partition corresponding to composition $\alpha$.

**Proposition 4.11.** (46) Let $w \in S_n$ be a permutation.
- If $A = (0, 0, 0, 1, 0)$, then $S^A_w(X_n)$ is equal to the Schubert polynomial $S_w(X_n)$.
- If $A = (-h, h, 0, 1, 0)$, then $S^A_w(X_n)$ is equal to the $\beta$-Grothendieck polynomial $S^{(\beta)}_w(X_n)$ introduced in \[27\].
- If $A = (0, 1, 0, 1, 0)$ then $S^A_w(X_n)$ is equal to the dual Grothendieck polynomial, \[52\]. \[46\].
- If $A = (-1, 2, 0, 1, 1)$, then $S^A_w(X_n)$ is equal to the Di-Francesco–Zinn-Justin polynomials introduced in \[18\] and \[46\].
In all cases listed above the polynomials $S^A_w(X_n)$ have non-negative integer coefficients.
- If $A = (1, -1, 1, -h, 0)$, then $S^A_w(X_n)$ is equal to the $h$-Schubert polynomials introduced in \[46\].

Define the generalized key or Demazure polynomial corresponding to a composition $\alpha$ as follows
\[ K^A_\alpha(X_n) = \partial_{w_\alpha} x^\overline{\alpha}. \]
On some quadratic algebras

- If $A = (1, 0, 1, 0, 0)$, then $K^A_{\alpha}(X_n)$ is equal to key (or Demazure) polynomial corresponding to $\alpha$.
- If $A = (0, 0, 1, 0, 0)$, then $K^A_{\alpha}(X_n)$ is equal to the reduced key polynomial introduced in \cite{46}.
- If $A = (1, 0, 1, 0, \beta)$, then $K^A_{\alpha}(X_n)$ is equal to the key Grothendieck polynomial $KG_{\alpha}(X_n)$ introduced in \cite{46}.
- If $A = (0, 0, 1, 0, \beta)$, then $K^A_{\alpha}(X_n)$ is equal to the reduced key Grothendieck polynomial, $\left[46\right]$.

In all cases listed above the polynomials $\mathcal{E}^A_w(X_n)$ have non-negative integer coefficients.

**Exercises 4.4.**

1. Let $b, c, h, e$ be a collection of integers, define elements $P_{ij} := f_{ij}x_{ij} \in 3\Sigma$, where $f_{ij} := bx_i + cx_j + h + ex_i x_j$.

- Show that
  - $P_{ij}^2 = (b - c)P_{ij}$,
  - $P_{ij}P_{jk}P_{kj} = f_{ij}f_{ik}f_{jk} u_{ij}u_{jk}u_{ij} + (bc - eh)P_{ij}$,
  - $P_{jk}P_{ij}P_{pj} = f_{ij}f_{jk}f_{ik} u_{ij}u_{jk}u_{ij} - (bc - eh)P_{kj}$.

2. Assume that $a = q$, $b = -q$, $c = q^{-1}$, $h = e = 0$, and introduce elements
   $$e_{ij} := (q x_i - q^{-1} x_j) u_{ij}, \quad 1 \leq i < j < k \leq n.$$  

   - Show that if $i, j, k$ are distinct, then
     $$e_{ij}e_{jk}e_{ij} = e_{ij} + (qx_i - q^{-1} x_j)(q x_i - q^{-1} x_k)(q x_j - q^{-1} x_k) u_{ij}u_{jk}u_{ij}, \quad e_{ij}^2 = (q + q^{-1}) e_{ij}.$$  

   - Assume additionally that
     $$u_{ij}u_{jk}u_{ij} = 0, \quad \text{if} \quad i, j, k \text{ are distinct.}$$

- Show that the elements $\{ e_i := e_{i,i+1}, \ i = 1, \ldots, n - 1 \}$, generate a subalgebra in $3\Sigma_n$ which is isomorphic to the Temperly–Lieb algebra $TL_n(q + q^{-1})$.

3. Let us set $T_i := T_{i,i+1}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n - 1$, and define
   $$T_0 := \pi T_{n-1} \pi^{-1}.$$

- Show that if $(a + b)(a - c) + eh = 0$, then
  $$T_1 T_0 T_1 = T_1 T_0 T_1, \quad T_{n-1} T_0 T_{n-1} = T_0 T_{n-1} T_0,$$

Recall that $T_i^2 = (2a + b - c)T_i - a(a + b - c), \ 0 \leq i \leq n - 1.$

In what follows we take $a = q, b = -q, c = q^{-1}, h = e = 0$. Therefore, $T_i^2 = (q - q^{-1})T_i - 1$. We denote by $\mathcal{H}_n(q)$ a subalgebra in $3\Sigma_n$ generated by the elements $T_i := T_{i,i+1}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n - 1$.

**Remark 4.11.** Let us stress on a difference between elements $T_{ij}$ as a part of generators of the algebra $3\Sigma_n$, and the elements

$$T_{(ij)} := T_i \cdots T_{j-1} T_j T_{j-1} \cdots T_i \in \mathcal{H}_n(q).$$

Whereas one has $[T_{ij}, T_{kl}] = 0$, if $i, j, k, l$ are distinct, the relation $[T_{(ij)}, T_{(kl)}] = 0$ in the algebra $\mathcal{H}_n(q)$ holds (for general $q$ and $i \leq k$) if and only if either one has $i < j < k < l$, or $i < k < l < j$. 

\[\blacksquare\]
Lemma 4.7.
(1) \( T_{ij} T_{kl} = T_{kl} T_{ij} \), if \( i, j, k, l \) are distinct,
(2) \( T_{i,j} x_i T_{i,j} = x_j \), if \( 1 \leq i < j \leq n \),
(3) \( \pi^j T_{n-j+1,n} = T_{1,j} \pi^j \).

Definition 4.30. Let \( 1 \leq i < j \leq n \), set
\[
Y_{i,j} = T_{i-1,j-1}^{-1} T_{i-2,j-2}^{-1} \cdots T_{i,j-i+1}^{-1} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}^j \pi^i T_{n-j+i,n} \cdots T_{i+1,j+1} T_{i,j}, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq n,
\]
and \( Y_n = T_{n-1,n}^{-1} \cdots T_{1,2}^{-1} \).

For example, \( Y_{1,j} = \pi^{j-1} T_{n-j+n} T_{n-j+1}, j \geq 2 \),
\( Y_{2,j} = T_{1,j-1}^{j-2} T_{n-j+2,n} T_{2,j} \), and so on.

Proposition 4.12.
(1) \( x_j x_j T_{ij} = T_{ij} x_j x_j \),
(2) \( Y_{i,j} = T_{i,j} Y_{i+1,j+1} T_{i,j} \), if \( 1 \leq i < j \leq n \),
(3) \( Y_{i,j} Y_{i+k,j+k} = Y_{i+k,j+k} Y_{i} \), if \( 1 \leq i < j \leq n-k \),
(4) One has
\[
x_{i-1} Y_{i,j}^{-1} x_{i-1} = T_{i-1,j-1}^{2} T_{i,j}, \quad 2 \leq i < j \leq n,
\]
(5) \( x_{i,j} x_{1} \cdots x_{n} = t \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}^j x_{i,j} \),
(6) \( x_{i} Y_{1} \cdots Y_{n} = t^{-1} Y_{1} \cdots Y_{n} x_{i} \),
where we set \( Y_{i} := Y_{i,i+1} \), \( 1 \leq i < j \leq n \).

Conjecture 4.11.
Subalgebra of \( 3 \Sigma_{n} \) generated by the elements \( \{ T_i := T_{i,i+1}, 1 \leq i < n, Y_i, \ldots, Y_n \} \), and \( x_1, \ldots, x_n \), is isomorphic to the double affine Hecke algebra DAHA_{q,t}(n).

Note that the algebra \( 3 \Sigma_{n} \) contains also two additional commutative subalgebras generated by additive \( \{ \Theta_i = \sum_{j \neq i}^n u_{ij} \} \) and multiplicative
\[
\{ \Theta_i = \prod_{a=1}^{i-1} (1-u_{ai}) \prod_{a=i+1}^{n} (1+u_{ia}) \}.
\]

Dunkl elements correspondingly.
Finally we introduce (cf \[15\]) a (projective) representation of the modular group \( SL(2, \mathbb{Z}) \) on the extended affine Hecke algebra \( \hat{H}_n \) over the ring \( \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}, t^{\pm 1}] \) generated by elements
\[
\{ T_1, \ldots, T_{n-1} \}, \quad \pi, \quad \text{and} \quad \{ x_1, \ldots, x_n \}.
\]

It is well-known that the group \( SL(2, \mathbb{Z}) \) can be generated by two matrices
\[
\tau_+ = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tau_- = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},
\]
which satisfy the following relations
\[
\tau_+ \tau_-^3 \tau_+ = \tau_-^3 \tau_+ \tau_-^3, \quad (\tau_+ \tau_-^3 \tau_+)^6 = I_{2x2}.
\]

Let us introduce operators \( \tau_+ \) and \( \tau_- \) acting on the extended affine algebra \( \hat{H}_n \). Namely,
\[
\tau_+(\pi) = x_1 \pi, \quad \tau_+ (T_i) = T_i, \quad \tau_+ (x_i) = x_i, \quad \forall \ i,
\]
\[
\tau_- (\pi) = \pi, \quad \tau_- (T_i) = T_i, \quad \tau_- (x_i) = \prod_{a=i+1}^{n} T_a \pi \prod_{a=i}^{n} T_a x_i.
\]
Lemma 4.8.
• \( \tau_+(Y_i) = \left( \prod_{a=i-1}^{i-1} T_a^{-1} \right) \left( \prod_{a=1}^{i-1} T_a^{-1} \right) x_i Y_i, \)
• \( \tau_-(x_i) = \left( \prod_{a=i-1}^{i-1} T_a \right) \left( \prod_{a=1}^{i-1} T_a \right) Y_i x_i, \)
• \( (\tau_+ \tau_- \tau_+)(x_i) = Y_i^{-1} = (\tau_- \tau_+ \tau_-)(x_i), \)
• \( (\tau_+ \tau_- \tau_+)(Y_i) = t x_i \left( \prod_{a=i-1}^{i-1} T_a \right) (T_1 \cdots T_{n-1}) \left( \prod_{a=n-1}^{n-1} T_a \right), \)

\( i = 1, \ldots, n. \)

In the last formula we set \( T_n = 1 \) for convenience.

5 Combinatorics of associative Yang–Baxter algebras

Let \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) be parameters.

Definition 5.1 ([17]).

1. The associative quasi-classical Yang–Baxter algebra of weight \((\alpha, \beta)\), denoted by \( \widetilde{\text{ACYB}}_n(\alpha, \beta) \), is an associative algebra, over the ring of polynomials \( \mathbb{Z}[\alpha, \beta] \), generated by the set of elements \( \{x_{ij}, 1 \leq i < j \leq n\} \), subject to the set of relations
   - (a) \( x_{ij} x_{kl} = x_{kl} x_{ij}, \) if \( \{i, j\} \cap \{k, l\} = \emptyset, \)
   - (b) \( x_{ij} x_{jk} = x_{ik} x_{ij} + x_{jk} x_{ik} + \beta x_{ik} + \alpha, \) if \( 1 \leq i < j \leq n. \)

2. Define associative quasi-classical Yang–Baxter algebra of weight \( \beta \), denoted by \( \widetilde{\text{ACYB}}_n(\beta) \), to be \( \widetilde{\text{ACYB}}_n(0, \beta). \)

Comments 5.1.

The algebra \( 3T_n(\beta) \), see Definition 3.1, is the quotient of the algebra \( \widetilde{\text{ACYB}}_n(-\beta) \), by the “dual relations”
\[
    x_{jk} x_{ij} - x_{ij} x_{ik} - x_{jk} x_{ik} + \beta x_{ik} = 0, \quad i < j < k.
\]
The (truncated) Dunkl elements \( \theta_i = \sum_{j \neq i} x_{ij}, \) \( i = 1, \ldots, n, \) do not commute in the algebra \( \widetilde{\text{ACYB}}_n(\beta) \). However a certain version of noncommutative elementary polynomial of degree \( k \geq 1 \), still is equal to zero after the substitution of Dunkl elements instead of variables, [17].

We state here the corresponding result only “in classical case”, i.e. if \( \beta = 0 \) and \( q_{ij} = 0 \) for all \( i, j. \)

Lemma 5.1. ([17]) Define noncommutative elementary polynomial \( L_k(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \) as follows
\[
    L_k(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \sum_{I=(i_1 < i_2 < \ldots < i_k) \subset [1, n]} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_k}.
\]
Then \( L_k(\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_n) = 0. \)

Moreover, if \( 1 \leq k \leq m \leq n, \) then one can show that the value of the noncommutative polynomial \( L_k(\theta_1^{(m)}, \ldots, \theta_m^{(m)}) \) in the algebra \( \widetilde{\text{ACYB}}_n(\beta) \) is given by the Pieri formula, see [26], [70].

5.1 Combinatorics of Coxeter element

Consider the “Coxeter element” \( w \in \widetilde{\text{ACYB}}_n(\alpha, \beta) \) which is equal to the ordered product of “simple generators”:
\[
    w := w_n = \prod_{a=1}^{n-1} x_{a, a+1}.
\]

Let us bring the element \( w \) to the reduced form in the algebra \( \widetilde{\text{ACYB}}_n(\alpha, \beta) \), that is, let us consecutively apply the defining relations (a) and (b) to the element \( w \) in any order until unable to do so. Denote the resulting (noncommutative) polynomial by \( P_n(x_{ij}; \alpha, \beta) \). In principal, the
polynomial itself can depend on the order in which the relations (a) and (b) are applied. We set
\( P_n(x_{ij}; \beta) := P_n(x_{ij}; 0, \beta) \).

**Proposition 5.1. (Cf [97], 8.C5, (c); [53])**

1. Apart from applying the relation (a) (commutativity), the polynomial \( P_n(x_{ij}; \beta) \) does not depend on the order in which relations (a) and (b) have been applied, and can be written in a unique way as a linear combination:

\[
P_n(x_{ij}; \beta) = \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} \beta^{n-s-1} \sum_{\{i_a\}} \prod_{a=1}^{s} x_{i_a,j_a},
\]

where the second summation runs over all sequences of integers \( \{i_a\}_{a=1}^{s} \) such that \( n-1 \geq i_1 \geq i_2 \geq \ldots \geq i_s = 1 \), and \( i_a \leq n-a \) for \( a = 1, \ldots, s-1 \); moreover, the corresponding sequence \( \{j_a\}_{a=1}^{n-1} \) can be defined uniquely by that \( \{i_a\}_{a=1}^{s} \).

- It is clear that the polynomial \( P(x_{ij}; \beta) \) also can be written in a unique way as a linear combination of monomials \( \prod_{a=1}^{s} x_{i_a,j_a} \) such that \( j_1 \geq j_2 \ldots \geq j_s \).

2. Let us set \( \deg(x_{ij}) = 1, \deg(\beta) = 0 \). Denote by \( T_n(k,r) \) the number of degree \( k \) monomials in the polynomial \( P(x_{ij}; \beta) \) which contain exactly \( r \) factors of the form \( x_{*,n} \). (Note that \( 1 \leq r \leq k \leq n-1 \).) Then

\[
T_n(k,r) = \frac{r}{k} \binom{n+k-r-2}{n-2} \binom{n-2}{k-1};
\]

In other words,

\[
P_n(t, \beta) = \sum_{1 \leq r \leq k < n} T_n(k,r) t^r \beta^{n-k-1},
\]

where \( P_n(t, \beta) \) denotes the following specialization

\[
x_{ij} \rightarrow 1, \text{ if } j < n, \quad x_{in} \rightarrow t, \quad \forall i = 1, \ldots, n-1
\]

of the polynomial \( P_n(x_{ij}; \beta) \).

In particular, \( T_n(k,k) = \binom{n-2}{k-1} \), and \( T_n(k,1) = T(n-2,k-1) \), where

\[
T(n,k) := \frac{1}{k+1} \binom{n+k}{k} \binom{n}{k}
\]

is equal to the number of Schröder paths (i.e. consisting of steps \( U = (1,1), D = (1,-1), H = (2,0) \) and never going below the \( x \)-axis) from \( (0,0) \) to \( (2n,0) \), having \( k \) \( U \)'s, see [87], A088617.

Moreover, \( T_n(n-1,r) = \text{Tab}(n-2,r-1) \), where

\[
\text{Tab}(n,k) := \frac{k+1}{n+1} \binom{2n-k}{n} = F_{n-k}^{(2)}(k)
\]

is equal to the number of standard Young tableaux of the shape \( (n,n-k) \), see [87], A009766. Recall that \( F_n^{(b)}(b) = \frac{k+b}{n} \binom{n+k}{n-1} \) stands for the generalized Fuss–Catalan number.

3. After the specialization \( x_{ij} \rightarrow 1 \) the polynomial \( P(x_{ij}) \) is transformed to the polynomial

\[
P_n(\beta) := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} N(n,k) (1 + \beta)^k,
\]
where \( N(n,k) := \frac{1}{n} \binom{n}{k} \binom{n}{k+1}, \) \( k = 0, \ldots, n-1, \) stand for the Narayana numbers. Furthermore, \( P_n(\beta) = \sum_{d=0}^{n-1} s_n(d) \beta^d, \) where

\[
s_n(d) = \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n-d}{n} \binom{n-1}{d}\]

is the number of ways to draw \( n-1-d \) diagonals in a convex \((n+2)\)-gon, such that no two diagonals intersect their interior.

Therefore, the number of (nonzero) terms in the polynomial \( P(x_{ij}; \beta) \) is equal to the \( n \)-th little Schröder number \( s_n := \sum_{d=0}^{n-1} s_n(d), \) also known as the \( n \)-th super-Catalan number, see e.g. [87], A001003.

(4) Upon the specialization \( x_{1j} \rightarrow t, \ 1 \leq j \leq n, \) and that \( x_{ij} \rightarrow 1, \) if \( 2 \leq i < j \leq n, \) the polynomial \( P(x_{ij}; \beta) \) is transformed to the polynomial

\[
P_n(\beta, t) = t \sum_{k=1}^{n} (1 + \beta)^{n-k} \sum_{\pi} p(\pi),
\]

where the second summation runs over the set of Dick paths \( \pi \) of length \( 2n \) with exactly \( k \) picks (UD-steps), and \( p(\pi) \) denotes the number of valleys (DU-steps) that touch upon the line \( x = 0. \)

(5) The polynomial \( P(x_{ij}; \beta) \) is invariant under the action of anti-involution \( \phi \circ \tau, \) see Section 5.1.1 [77] for definitions of \( \phi \) and \( \tau. \)

(6) Follow [77], 6.C8, (c), consider the specialization

\[
x_{ij} \rightarrow t_i, \ 1 \leq i < j \leq n,
\]

and define \( P_n(t_1, \ldots, t_{n-1}; \beta) = P_n(x_{ij} = t_i; \beta). \)

One can show, ibid., that

\[
P_n(t_1, \ldots, t_{n-1}; \beta) = \sum \beta^{n-k} t_{i_1} \cdots t_{i_k},
\]

where the sum runs over all pairs \( \{(a_1, \ldots, a_k), (i_1, \ldots, i_k) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\} \) such that \( 1 \leq a_1 < a_2 < \ldots < a_k, \ 1 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \ldots \leq i_k \leq n \) and \( i_j \leq a_j \) for all \( j. \)

Now we are ready to state our main result about polynomials \( P_n(t_1, \ldots, t_n; \beta). \)

Let \( \pi := \pi_n \in \mathfrak{S}_n \) be the permutation \( \pi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & \cdots & n \\ 1 & n & n-1 & \cdots & 2 \end{pmatrix}. \) Then

\[
P_n(t_1, \ldots, t_{n-1}; \beta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} t_i^{n-i} \mathfrak{G}_n(\beta)(t_1^{-1}, \ldots, t_{n-1}^{-1}),
\]

where \( \mathfrak{G}_n(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) \) denotes the \( \beta \)-Grothendieck polynomial corresponding to a permutation \( \nu \in \mathfrak{S}_n, [77], \) or Appendix I.

In particular,

\[
\mathfrak{G}_n(\beta)(x_1 = 1, \ldots, x_{n-1} = 1) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} N(n,k) (1 + \beta)^k,
\]

where \( N(n,k) \) denotes the Narayana numbers, see item (3) of Proposition 5.1.

More generally, write \( P_n(t, \beta) = \sum_k P_n^{(k)}(\beta) t^k. \) Then

\[
\mathfrak{G}_n(\beta)(x_1 = t, x_i = 1, \forall i \geq 2) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} P_n^{(k)}(\beta^{-1})\beta^k t^{n-1-k}.
\]
Comments 5.2.

- Note that if \( \beta = 0 \), then one has \( \mathcal{G}_w^{(\beta=0)}(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) = \mathcal{G}_w(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) \), that is the \( \beta \)-Grothendieck polynomial at \( \beta = 0 \), is equal to the Schubert polynomial corresponding to the same permutation \( w \). Therefore, if \( \pi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & \cdots & n \\ 1 & n & n-1 & \cdots & 2 \end{pmatrix} \), then
  \[
  \mathcal{G}_\pi(x_1 = 1, \ldots, t_{n-1} = 1) = C_{n-1},
  \]
  (5.2)

where \( C_m \) denotes the \( m \)-th Catalan number. Using the formula (5.20) it is not difficult to check that the following formula for the principal specialization of the Schubert polynomial \( \mathcal{G}_\pi(X_n) \) is true
  \[
  \mathcal{G}_\pi(1, q, \ldots, q^{n-1}) = q^{\binom{n-1}{3}} C_{n-1}(q),
  \]
  (5.3)

where \( C_m(q) \) denotes the Carlitz–Riordan \( q \)-analogue of the Catalan numbers, see e.g. [88]. The formula (5.20) has been proved in [29] using the observation that \( \pi \) is a vexillary permutation, see [61] for the a definition of the latter. A combinatorial/bijection proof of the formula (5.20) is is due to A. Woo [88].

- The Grothendieck polynomials defined by A. Lascoux and M.-P. Schützenberger, see e.g. [57], correspond to the case \( \beta = -1 \). In this case \( P_n(-1) = 1, \) if \( n \geq 0 \), and therefore the specialization \( \mathcal{G}_w^{(-1)}(x_1 = 1, \ldots, x_{n-1} = 1) = 1 \) for all \( w \in S_n \).

Exercises 5.1.

1. Let as before, \( \pi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & \cdots & n \\ 1 & n & n-1 & \cdots & 2 \end{pmatrix} \). Show that
  \[
  \mathcal{G}_\pi(x_1 = q, x_j = 1, \forall j \neq i) = \sum_{a=0}^{n-2} \frac{n-a-1}{n-1} \binom{n+a-2}{a} q^a.
  \]

Note that the number
  \[
  \frac{n-k+1}{n+1} \binom{n+k}{k}
  \]

is equal to the dimension of irreducible representation of the symmetric group \( \mathbb{S}_{n+k} \) that corresponds to partition \( (n+k,k) \).

2. Consider the commutative quotient \( \tilde{\text{ACYB}}_n^{ab}(\alpha, \beta) \) of the algebra \( \text{ACYB}_n(\alpha, \beta) \), i.e. assume that the all generators \( \{x_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n \} \) are mutually commute. Denote by \( \mathcal{T}_n(x_{ij}; \alpha, \beta) \) the image of polynomial the \( P_n(x_{ij}; \alpha, \beta) \in \tilde{\text{ACYB}}_n(\alpha, \beta) \) in the algebra \( \tilde{\text{ACYB}}_n^{ab}(\alpha, \beta) \).

Finally, define polynomials \( P_n(t, \alpha, \beta) \) to be the specialization
  \[
  x_{ij} \rightarrow 1, \text{ if } j < n, \quad x_{in} \rightarrow t, \text{ if } 1 \leq i < n.
  \]

Show that
  \( a \) Polynomial \( P_n(t, \alpha, \beta) \) does not depend on on order in which relations \( a \) and \( b \), see Definition 5.1, have been applied.

\( b \)

\[
P_n(1, \alpha = 1, \beta = 0) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(2n-2k)!}{k! (n+1-k)! (n-2k)!}.
\]

see [57], A052709(\( n \)), for combinatorial interpretations of these numbers.

For example,
  \[
P_7(t, \alpha, \beta) = t^7 + 6(1 + \beta) t^6 + \left[ (20, 35, 15) \beta + 6 \alpha \right] t^5 + \left[ (48, 112, 84, 20) \beta +
  \right]
\]
\[ \alpha(34, 29) \beta \] \[ t^4 + \left[(90, 252, 252, 105, 15) + \alpha(104, 155, 55) + 14\alpha^3 \right] t^3 + \]
\[ (132, 420, 504, 70, 6) + \alpha(216, 428, 265, 50) + \alpha^2(70, 49) \beta \] \[ t^2 + \]
\[ (132, 462, 630, 420, 140, 21, 1) + \alpha(300, 708, 580, 190, 20) + \alpha^2(168, 203, 56) + 14\alpha^3 \] \[ t + \alpha(132, 330, 300, 120, 20, 1) + \alpha^2(168, 252, 112, 14) + \alpha^3(42, 21) \beta. \]

(c) Show that in fact
\[ P_n(1, \alpha, 0) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{n + 1} \binom{2n - 2k}{n} \binom{n + 1}{k} \alpha^k = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{T_{n+2}(n-k,k+1)}{2n-1-2k} \alpha^k, \]
see Proposition 5.1, for definition of numbers \( T_n(k,r) \). As for a combinatorial interpretation of the polynomials \( P_n(1,\alpha,0) \), see \[87\], A117434, A085880.

(3) Consider polynomials \( P_n(t,\beta) \) as it has been defined in Proposition 5.1, (2).
Show that
\[ P_n(t,\beta) = 1 + \sum_{r=1}^{n} t^r \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-r} \frac{r}{n-r+k} \binom{n-r-1}{k} \right) (1+\beta)^{n-r-k}, \]
cf, e.g., \[87\], A033187.

A few comments in order. Several combinatorial interpretations of the integer numbers
\[ U_n(r,k) := \frac{r}{n+1} \binom{n+1}{k+r} \binom{n-r}{k} \]
are well-known. For example,
if \( r = 1 \), the numbers \( U_n(1,k) = \frac{1}{n} \binom{n}{k+1} \) are equal to the Narayana numbers, see e.g. \[87\], A001263;
if \( r = 2 \), the number \( U_n(2,k) \) counts the number of Dyck \( (n+1) \)-paths whose last descent has length 2 and which contain \( n-k \) peaks, see \[87\], A088383 for details.
Finally, it’s easily seen, that \( P_n(1,\beta) = A127529(n) \), and \( P_n(t,1) = A033184(n) \), see \[87\].

5.1.1 Multiparameter deformation of Catalan, Narayana and Schröder numbers
Let \( b = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{n-1}) \) be a set of mutually commuting parameters. We define a multiparameter analogue of the associative quasi-classical Yang–Baxter algebra \( \overline{MACYB}_n(b) \) as follows.

**Definition 5.2.** (Cf Definition 2.4) The multiparameter associative quasi-classical Yang–Baxter algebra of weight \( b \), denoted by \( \overline{MACYB}_n(b) \), is an associative algebra, over the ring of polynomials \( \mathbb{Z}[\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{n-1}] \), generated by the set of elements \( \{x_{ij}, 1 \leq i < j \leq n\} \), subject to the set of relations
(a) \( x_{ij} x_{kl} = x_{kl} x_{ij} \), if \( \{i,j\} \cap \{k,l\} = \emptyset \),
(b) \( x_{ij} x_{jk} = x_{ik} x_{ij} + x_{jk} x_{ik} + \beta_i x_{ik} \), if \( 1 \leq i < j \leq n \).

Consider the “Coxeter element” \( w_n \in \overline{MACYB}_n(b) \) which is equal to the ordered product of “simple generators”:
\[ w_n := \prod_{a=1}^{n-1} x_{a,a+1}. \]

Now we can use the same method as in \[90\], 8.C5, (c), see Section 5.1, to define the reduced form of the Coxeter element \( w_n \). Namely, let us bring the element \( w_n \) to the reduced form in the algebra \( \overline{MACYB}_n(b) \), that is, let us consecutively apply the defining relations (a) and (b).
to the element \( w_n \) in any order until unable to do so. Denote the resulting (noncommutative) polynomial by \( P(x_{ij}; b) \). In principal, the polynomial itself can depend on the order in which the relations (a) and (b) are applied.

**Proposition 5.2** (Cf [90], 8.5, (c); [65]). Apart from applying the relation (a) (commutativity), the polynomial \( P(x_{ij}; b) \) does not depend on the order in which relations (a) and (b) have been applied.

To state our main result of this Subsection, let us define polynomials

\[
Q(\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{n-1}) := P(x_{ij} = 1, \forall i, j; \beta_1 - 1, \beta_2 - 1, \ldots, \beta_{n-1} - 1). 
\]

**Example 5.1.**

\[
\begin{align*}
Q(\beta_1, \beta_2) &= 1 + 2 \beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_1^2, \\
Q(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3) &= 1 + 3\beta_1 + 2\beta_2 + \beta_3 + 3\beta_1^2 + \beta_1\beta_2 + \beta_1\beta_3 + \beta_2^2 + \beta_3^2, \\
Q(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4) &= 1 + 4\beta_1 + 3\beta_2 + 2\beta_3 + \beta_4 + \beta_1(6\beta_1 + 3\beta_2 + 3\beta_3 + 2\beta_4) + \beta_2(3\beta_2 + \beta_3 + \beta_4) + \beta_3^2 + \beta_4(4\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3 + \beta_4) + \beta_1(\beta_2^2 + \beta_3^2) + \beta_2^3 + \beta_3^3 + \beta_4.
\end{align*}
\]

**Theorem 5.1.**

Polynomial \( Q(\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{n-1}) \) has non-negative integer coefficients.

It follows from [90] and Proposition 5.1, that

\[
Q(\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{n-1}) \big|_{\beta_1 = 1, \ldots, \beta_{n-1} = 1} = \text{Cat}_n.
\]

Polynomials \( Q(\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{n-1}) \) and \( Q(\beta_1 + 1, \ldots, \beta_{n-1} + 1) \) can be considered as a multiparameter deformation of the Catalan and (small) Schröder numbers correspondingly, and the homogeneous degree \( k \) part of \( Q(\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{n-1}) \) as a multiparameter analogue of Narayana numbers.

### 5.2 Schröder paths and polynomials

**5.2.1 Schröder paths and polynomials**

**Definition 5.3.** A Schröder path of the length \( n \) is an over diagonal path from \((0, 0)\) to \((n, n)\) with steps \((1, 0), (0, 1)\) and steps \(D = (1, 1)\) without steps of type \(D\) on the diagonal \(x = y\).

If \( p \) is a Schröder path, we denote by \( d(p) \) the number of the diagonal steps resting on the path \( p \), and by \( a(p) \) the number of unit squares located between the path \( p \) and the diagonal \( x = y \). For each (unit) diagonal step \( D \) of a path \( p \) we denote by \( i(D) \) the \( x \)-coordinate of the column which contains the diagonal step \( D \). Finally, define the index \( i(p) \) of a path \( p \) as the some of the numbers \( i(D) \) for all diagonal steps of the path \( p \).

**Definition 5.4.** Define \( q \)-Schröder polynomial \( S_n(q; \beta) \) as follows

\[
S_n(q; \beta) = \sum_p q^{a(p) + i(p)} \beta^{d(p)},
\]

where the sum runs over the set of all Schröder paths of length \( n \).

**Example 5.2.**

\[
\begin{align*}
S_1(q; \beta) &= 1, \\
S_2(q; \beta) &= 1 + q + \beta q, \\
S_3(q; \beta) &= 1 + 2 q + q^2 + q^3 + \beta (q + 2q^2 + 2q^3) + \beta^2 q^3, \\
S_4(q; \beta) &= 1 + 3q + 3q^2 + 3q^3 + 2q^4 + q^5 + q^6 + \beta(q + 3q^2 + 5q^3 + 6q^4 + 3q^5 + 3q^6) + \beta^2(q^3 + 2q^4 + 3q^5 + 3q^6) + \beta^3 q^6.
\end{align*}
\]
The \( q \)-Schröder polynomials defined by the formula (5.22) are different from the \( q \)-analogue of Schröder polynomials which has been considered in [11]. It seems that there are no simple connections between the both.

**Proposition 5.3.** (Recurrence relations for \( q \)-Schröder polynomials)

The \( q \)-Schröder polynomials satisfy the following relations

\[
S_{n+1}(q; \beta) = (1 + q^n + \beta q^n) S_n(q; \beta) + \sum_{k=1}^{k=n-1} (q^k + \beta q^{n-k}) S_k(q; q^{n-k} \beta) S_{n-k}(q; \beta), \quad (5.5)
\]

and the initial condition \( S_1(q; \beta) = 1 \).

Note that \( P_n(\beta) = S_n(1; \beta) \) and in particular, the polynomials \( P_n(\beta) \) satisfy the following recurrence relations

\[
P_{n+1}(\beta) = (2 + \beta) P_n(\beta) + (1 + \beta) \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} P_k(\beta) P_{n-k}(\beta). \quad (5.6)
\]

**Theorem 5.2.** (Evaluation of the Schröder–Hankel Determinant)

Consider permutation

\[
\pi_k^{(n)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \ldots & k & k+1 & k+2 & \ldots & n \\ 1 & 2 & \ldots & k & n & n-1 & \ldots & k+1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Let as before

\[
P_n(\beta) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} N(n,j) (1 + \beta)^j, \quad n \geq 1, \quad (5.7)
\]

be Schröder polynomials. Then

\[
(1 + \beta)^{\binom{k}{2}} \varphi_{\pi_k^{(n)}}^{(\beta)}(x_1 = 1, \ldots, x_{n-k} = 1) = \text{Det} \left| P_{n+k-i-j}(\beta) \right|_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}. \quad (5.8)
\]

Proof is based on an observation that the permutation \( \pi_k^{(n)} \) is a vexillary one and the recurrence relations (5.5).

**Comments 5.4.**

1. In the case \( \beta = 0 \), i.e. in the case of Schubert polynomials, Theorem 5.1 has been proved in [29].

2. In the cases when \( \beta = 1 \) and \( 0 \leq n-k \leq 2 \), the value of the determinant in the RHS(5.8) is known, see e.g. [11], or M. Ichikawa talk *Hankel determinants of Catalan, Motzkin and Schröder numbers and its \( q \)-analogue*, http://denjoy.ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp. One can check that in the all cases mentioned above, the formula (5.8) gives the same results.

3. **Grothendieck and Narayana polynomials**

It follows from the expression (5.7) for the Narayana-Schröder polynomials that \( P_n(\beta-1) = \mathfrak{N}_n(\beta) \), where

\[
\mathfrak{N}_n(\beta) := \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{n} \binom{n}{j} \binom{n}{j+1} \beta^j,
\]

denotes the \( n \)-th Narayana polynomial. Therefore, \( P_n(\beta-1) = \mathfrak{N}_n(\beta) \) is a symmetric polynomial in \( \beta \) with non-negative integer coefficients. Moreover, the value of the polynomial \( P_n(\beta-1) \) at \( \beta = 1 \) is equal to the \( n \)-th Catalan number \( C_n := \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n} \).
It is well-known, see e.g. [37], that the Narayana polynomial $\mathcal{N}_n(\beta)$ is equal to the generating function of the statistics $\pi(p) = (\text{number of peaks of a Dick path } p) - 1$ on the set $\text{Dick}_n$ of Dick paths of the length $2n$

$$\mathcal{N}_n(\beta) = \sum_p \beta^{\pi(p)}.$$ 

Moreover, using the Lindström–Gessel–Viennot lemma, see e.g., http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lindström–Gessel–Viennot lemma, one can see that

$$\det |\mathcal{N}_{n+k-i-j}(\beta)|_{1 \leq i,j \leq k} = \sum_{(p_1, \ldots, p_k)} \beta^{\pi(p_1)+\ldots+\pi(p_k)}, \quad (5.9)$$

where the sum runs over $k$-tuple of non-crossing Dick paths $(p_1, \ldots, p_k)$ such that the path $p_i$ starts from the point $(i-1,0)$ and has length $2(n-i+1)$, $i = 1, \ldots, k$.

We denote the sum in the RHS $(5.9)$ by $\mathcal{N}_{n}^{(k)}(\beta)$. Note that $\mathcal{N}_{n+1}^{(k)}(\beta) = 1$ for all $k \geq 2$.

Thus, $\mathcal{N}_{n}^{(k)}(\beta)$ is a symmetric polynomial in $\beta$ with non-negative integer coefficients, and 

$$\mathcal{N}_{n}^{(k)}(\beta = 1) = C_{n}^{(k)} = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n-k} \frac{2k+i+j}{i+j} = \prod_{2 \leq a \leq n-k-1} \frac{(2n-2a)}{2k+2a+1}.$$ 

As a corollary we obtain the following statement.

**Proposition 5.4.** Let $n \geq k$, then

$$\mathfrak{S}_{n}^{(\pi^{(n)})} (x_1, \ldots, x_n = 1) = \mathcal{N}_{n}^{(k)}(\beta).$$

Summarizing, the specialization $\mathfrak{S}_{n}^{(\pi^{(n)})} (x_1, \ldots, x_n = 1)$ is a symmetric polynomial in $\beta$ with non-negative integer coefficients, and coincides with the generating function of the statistics $\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \pi(p_i)$ on the set $k$-Dick$_n$ of $k$-tuple of non-crossing Dick paths $(p_1, \ldots, p_k)$.

**Example 5.3.** Take $n = 5$, $k = 1$. Then $\pi_{1}^{(5)} = (15432)$ and one has 

$$\mathfrak{S}_{1}^{(\pi_{1}^{(5)})} (1, q, q^2, q^3) = q^4(1, 3, 3, 2, 1, 1) + q^5 (1, 3, 5, 6, 3, 3) \beta + q^7 (1, 2, 3, 3) \beta^2 + q^{10} \beta^3.$$ 

It is easy to compute the Carlitz-Riordan $q$-analogue of the Catalan number $C_5$, namely, $C_5(q) = (1, 3, 3, 2, 1, 1)$.

**Remark 5.1.** The value $\mathcal{N}_n(4)$ of the Narayana polynomial at $\beta = 4$ has the following combinatorial interpretation:

$\mathcal{N}_n(4)$ is equal to the number of different lattice paths from the point $(0,0)$ to that $(n,0)$ using steps from the set $\Sigma = \{(k,k) \text{ or } (k,-k), \ k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\}$, that never go below the $x$-axis, see [37], A059231.

**Exercises 5.2.** (a) Show that

$$\gamma_{k,n} := \frac{C_{n}^{(k+1)}}{C_{n}^{(k)}} = \frac{(2n-2k)! \ (2k+1)!}{(n-k)! \ (n+k+1)!}.$$ 

(b) Show that

$\gamma_{k,n} \leq 1$, if $k \leq n \leq 3k+1$, and $\gamma_{k,n} \geq 2^{n-3k-1}$, if $n > 3k + 1$. 

\[\square\]
On some quadratic algebras

(4) Polynomials \( \mathfrak{F}_w(\beta), \mathfrak{H}_w(\beta), \mathfrak{H}_w(q, t; \beta) \) and \( \mathcal{R}_w(q; \beta) \)

Let \( w \in S_n \) be a permutation and \( \mathfrak{G}_w(\beta)(X_n) \) and \( \mathfrak{G}_w(\beta)(X_n, Y_n) \) be the corresponding \( \beta \)-Grothendieck and double \( \beta \)-Grothendieck polynomials. We denote by \( \mathfrak{G}_w(\beta)(1) \) and by \( \mathfrak{G}_w(\beta)(1; 1) \) the specializations \( X_n := (x_1 = 1, \ldots, x_n = 1) \), \( Y_n := (y_1 = 1, \ldots, y_n = 1) \) of the \( \beta \)-Grothendieck polynomials introduced above.

**Theorem 5.3.** Let \( w \in S_n \) be a permutation. Then

(i) The polynomials \( \mathfrak{F}_w(\beta) := \mathfrak{H}_w(\beta)(1) \) and \( \mathfrak{H}_w(\beta) := \mathfrak{H}_w(\beta)(1; 1) \)

have both non-negative integer coefficients.

(ii) One has

\[
\mathfrak{F}_w(\beta) = (1 + \beta)^{\ell(w)} \mathfrak{F}_w(\beta^2).
\]

(iii) Let \( w \in S_n \) be a permutation, define polynomials

\[
\mathfrak{H}_w(q, t; \beta) := \mathfrak{G}_w(\beta)(x_1 = q, x_2 = q, \ldots, x_n = q, y_1 = t, y_2 = t, \ldots, y_n = t)
\]

to be the specialization \( \{x_i = q, y_i = t, \forall i\} \), of the double \( \beta \)-Grothendieck polynomial \( \mathfrak{G}_w(\beta)(X_n, Y_n) \). Then

\[
\mathfrak{H}_w(q, t; \beta) = (q + t + \beta q t)^{\ell(w)} \mathfrak{F}_w((1 + \beta q)(1 + \beta t)).
\]

In particular, \( \mathfrak{H}_w(1, 1; \beta) = (2 + \beta)^{\ell(w)} \mathfrak{F}_w((1 + \beta)^2) \).

(iv) Let \( w \in S_n \) be a permutation, define polynomial

\[
\mathcal{R}_w(q; \beta) := \mathfrak{G}_w(\beta)(1) \quad (x_1 = q, x_2 = 1, x_3 = 1, \ldots)
\]

to be the specialization \( \{x_1 = q, x_i = 1, \forall i \geq 2\} \), of the \( (\beta - 1) \)-Grothendieck polynomial \( \mathfrak{G}_w(\beta)(1; 1) \). Then

\[
\mathcal{R}_w(q; \beta) = q^{\ell(1) - 1} \mathfrak{R}_w(q; \beta)
\]

where \( \mathfrak{R}_w(q; \beta) \) is a polynomial in \( q \) and \( \beta \) with non-negative integer coefficients, and \( \mathfrak{R}_w(0; \beta = 0) = 1 \).

(v) Consider permutation \( w_n^{(1)} := [1, n, n - 1, n - 2, \ldots, 3, 2] \in S_n \).

Then \( \mathfrak{H}_w^{(1)}(1, 1; 1) = 3^{(n - 1)} \mathfrak{M}_n(4) \).

In particular, if \( w_n^{(k)} = (1, 2, \ldots, k, n, n - 1, \ldots, k + 1) \in S_n \), then

\[
\mathfrak{G}_w^{(\beta - 1)}(1; 1) = (1 + \beta)^{(n - k)} \mathfrak{G}_w^{(\beta - 1)}(\beta^2).
\]

See Remark 5.1 for a combinatorial interpretation of the number \( \mathfrak{M}_n(4) \).

**Example 5.4.**

Consider permutation \( v := [2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 1, 4, 7] \in S_9 \) of the length 12, and set \( x := (1 + \beta q)(1 + \beta t) \). One can check that

\[
\mathfrak{H}_v(q, t; \beta) = x^{12} (1 + 2 x)(1 + 6x + 19x^2 + 24x^3 + 13x^4),
\]

and \( \mathfrak{F}_v(\beta) = (1 + 2 \beta)(1 + 6 \beta + 19 \beta^2 + 24 \beta^3 + 13 \beta^4) \).

Note that \( \mathfrak{F}_v(\beta = 1) = 27 \times 7 \), and \( 7 = AMS(3), 26 = CSTCTPP(3) \), cf Conjecture 5.4, Section 5.2.4.

**Remark 5.2.**

One can show, cf [11], p. 89, that if \( w \in S_n \), then \( \mathcal{R}_w(1, 1) = \mathcal{R}_{w^{-1}}(1, 1) \). However, the equality \( \mathcal{R}_w(q, \beta) = \mathcal{R}_{w^{-1}}(q, \beta) \) can be violated, and it seems that in general, there are no simple connections between polynomials \( \mathfrak{R}_w(q, \beta) \) and \( \mathfrak{R}_{w^{-1}}(q, \beta) \), if so.

From this point we shall use the notation \((a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_r)_\beta := \sum_{j=0}^r a_j \beta^j\), etc.
Example 5.5. Let us take $w = [1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 2, 5, 8]$. Then $\mathcal{R}_w(q, \beta) =$

$$(1, 6, 21, 36, 51, 48, 26)_\beta + q\beta (6, 36, 126, 216, 306, 288, 156)_\beta +
q^3\beta^2 (20, 125, 242, 403, 460, 289)_\beta + q^3\beta^3 (6, 46, 114, 204, 170)_\beta.$$ 

Moreover, $\mathcal{R}_w(q, 1) = (189, 1134, 1539, 540)_q$. On the other hand,

$w^{-1} = [1, 8, 2, 3, 9, 4, 5, 10, 6, 7]$, and $\mathcal{R}_{w^{-1}}(q, \beta) = (1, 6, 21, 36, 51, 48, 26)_\beta +
q\beta (1, 6, 31, 56, 96, 110, 78)_\beta + q^2\beta (1, 6, 27, 58, 92, 122, 120, 78)_\beta +
q^3\beta (1, 6, 24, 58, 92, 126, 132, 102, 26)_\beta + q^4\beta (1, 6, 22, 57, 92, 127, 134, 105, 44)_\beta +
q^5\beta (1, 6, 21, 56, 91, 126, 133, 104, 50)_\beta.$

Moreover, $\mathcal{R}_{w^{-1}}(q, 1) = (189, 378, 504, 567, 588, 588, 588)_q$.

Notice that $w = 1 \times u$, where $u = [2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 1, 4, 7]$. One can show that

$\mathcal{R}_u(q, \beta) = (1, 6, 11, 16, 11)_\beta + q\beta^2 (10, 20, 35, 34)_\beta + q^2\beta^3 (5, 14, 26)_\beta.$

5.2.2 Grothendieck polynomials and k-dissections

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \geq k - 1$, be a integer, define a $k$-dissection of a convex $(n + k + 1)$-gon to be a collection $E$ of diagonals in $(n + k + 1)$-gon not containing $(k + 1)$-subset of pairwise crossing diagonals and such that at least $2(k - 1)$ diagonals are coming from each vertex of the $(n + k + 1)$-gon in question. One can show that the number of diagonals in any $k$-dissection $E$ of a convex $(n + k + 1)$-gon contains at least $(n + k + 1)(k - 1)$ and at most $n(2k - 1) - 1$ diagonals. We define the index of a $k$-dissection $E$ to be $i(E) = n(2k - 1) - 1 - |E|$. Dnote by

$$\mathcal{T}_n^{(k)}(\beta) = \sum_{E} \beta^{i(E)}$$

the generating function for the number of $k$-dissections with a fixed index, where the above sum runs over the set of all $k$-dissections of a convex $(n + k + 1)$-gon.

Theorem 5.4.

$$\mathcal{G}_n^{(k)}(x_1 = 1, \ldots, x_n = 1) = \mathcal{T}_n^{(k)}(\beta).$$

Moreover generally, let $n \geq k > 0$ be integers, consider a convex $(n + k + 1)$-gon $P_{n+k+1}$ and a vertex $v_0 \in P_{n+k+1}$. Let us label clockwise the vertices of $P_{n+k+1}$ by the numbers $1, 2, \ldots, n+k+1$ starting from the vertex $v_0$. Let $Dis(P_{n+k+1})$ denotes the set of all $k$-dissections of the $(n + k + 1)$-gon $P_{n+k+1}$. We denote by $D_0 := Dis_0(P_{n+k+1})$ the “minimal” $k$-dissection of the $(n + k + 1)$-gon $P_{n+k+1}$ in question consisting of the set of diagonals connecting vertices $v_a$ and $v_{a+r}$, where $2 \leq r \leq k$, $1 \leq a \leq n+k+1$, and for any positive integer $a$ we denote by $\overline{a}$ a unique integer such that $1 \leq \overline{a} \leq n + k + 1$ and $a \equiv \overline{a} (mod (n + k + 1))$. For example, if $k = 1$, then $Dis_0(P_{n+2}) = \emptyset$; if $k = 3$ and $n = 4$, in other words, $P_8$ is a octagon, the minimal 3-dissection consists of 16 diagonals connecting vertices with the following labels

$1 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 7 \rightarrow \overline{3} = 1; \quad 2 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow 8 \rightarrow \overline{10} = 2;\
1 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 7 \rightarrow \overline{10} = 2 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 8 \rightarrow \overline{13} = 3 \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow \overline{3} = 1.$

Now let $D \in Dis(P_{n+k+1})$ be a dissection. Consider a diagonal $d_{ij} \in (D \setminus D_0)$, $i < j$ which connects vertex $v_i$ with that $v_j$. We attach variable $x_i$ to the diagonal $d_{ij}$ in question and consider the following expression

$$\mathcal{T}_{P_{n+k+1}}(X_{n+k+1}) = \sum_{D \in Diss(P_{n+k+1})} \beta^{\#D \setminus D_0} \prod_{i < j} x_i.$$
Theorem 5.5. One has

\[ T_{P_{n+n+1}}(X_{n+k+1}) = \beta^{k(n-k)} \prod_{a=1}^{n} x_{a}^{\min(n-a+1,n-k)} \vartheta_{\pi_{n+k}}^{\beta-1}(x_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, x_{n}^{-1}). \]

Exercises 5.3. It is not difficult to check that

\[ \vartheta_{\pi_{15432}}^{\beta}(X_{5}) = \beta^{3} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{2} x_{3}^{2} x_{4} + \beta^{2} (x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{3} x_{3} + 2 x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{3} x_{4} + 3 x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{2} x_{3} x_{4} + 3 x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{3} x_{3} x_{4}) + \beta (x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{3} x_{3} + 2 x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{3} x_{4} + 3 x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{2} x_{3} x_{4} + 3 x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{3} x_{3} x_{4} + 3 x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2} x_{3}^{2} x_{4} + 3 x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2} x_{3} x_{4} + 3 x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2} x_{3} x_{4}). \]

Describe

bijection between dissections of hexagon \( P_{6} \) (the case \( k=1, n=4 \)) and the above listed monomials involved in the \( \beta \)-Grothendieck polynomial \( \vartheta_{15432}^{\beta}(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}) \).

A \( k \)-dissection of a convex \( (n+k+1) \)-gon with the maximal number of diagonals (which is equal to \( n(2k-1)-1 \)), is called \( k \)-triangulation. It is well-known that the number of \( k \)-triangulations of a convex \( (n+k+1) \)-gon is equal to the Catalan-Hankel number \( C_{n+1}^{(k)} \). Explicit bijection between the set of \( k \)-triangulations of a convex \( (n+k+1) \)-gon and the set of \( k \)-tuple of non-crossing Dick paths \( (\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{k}) \) such that the Dick path \( \gamma_{i} \) connects points \( (i-1, 0) \) and \( (2n-i-1, 0) \), has been constructed in [53, 91].

5.2.3 Grothendieck polynomials and \( q \)-Schröder polynomials

Let \( \pi_{n}^{(n)} = 1^{k} \times w_{0}^{(n-k)} \in S_{n} \) be the vexillary permutation as before, see Theorem 5.1. Recall that

\[ \pi_{n}^{(n)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \ldots & k & k+1 & k+2 & \ldots & n \\ 1 & 2 & \ldots & k & n & n-1 & \ldots & k+1 \end{pmatrix}. \]

(A) Principal specialization of the Schubert polynomial \( \vartheta_{\pi_{n}^{(n)}} \)

Note that \( \pi_{n}^{(n)} \) is a vexillary permutation of the staircase shape \( \lambda = (n-k-1, \ldots, 2, 1) \) and has the staircase flag \( \phi = (k+1, k+2, \ldots, n-1) \). It is known, see e.g. [53, 91], that for a vexillary permutation \( \omega \in S_{n} \) of the shape \( \lambda \) and flag \( \phi = (\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{r}) \), \( r = \ell(\lambda) \), the corresponding Schubert polynomial \( \vartheta_{\omega}(X_{n}) \) is equal to the multi-Schur polynomial \( s_{\lambda}(X_{\phi}) \), where \( X_{\phi} \) denotes the flagged set of variables, namely, \( X_{\phi} = (X_{\phi_{1}}, \ldots, X_{\phi_{r}}) \) and \( X_{m} = (x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}) \). Therefore we can write the following determinantal formula for the principal specialization of the Schubert polynomial corresponding to the vexillary permutation \( \pi_{n}^{(n)} \)

\[ \vartheta_{\pi_{n}^{(n)}}(1, q, q^{2}, \ldots) = DET \left( \begin{array}{c} n-i+j-1 \\ k+i-1 \end{array} \right)_{1 \leq i,j \leq n-k}, \]

where \( \left[ \begin{array}{c} n-i+j-1 \\ k+i-1 \end{array} \right]_{q} \) denotes the \( q \)-binomial coefficient.

Let us observe that the Carlitz–Riordan \( q \)-analogue \( C_{n}(q) \) of the Catalan number \( C_{n} \) is equal to the value of the \( q \)-Schröder polynomial at \( \beta = 0 \), namely, \( C_{n}(q) = S_{n}(q, 0) \).

Lemma 5.2. Let \( k, n \) be integers and \( n > k \), then

\[ \begin{align*}
(1) \quad DET \left( \begin{array}{c} n-i+j-1 \\ k+i-1 \end{array} \right)_{q} & = q^{\binom{n-k}{2}} C_{n}^{(k)}(q), \\
(2) \quad DET \left( C_{n+k-i-j}(q) \right)_{1 \leq i,j \leq k} & = q^{k(k-1)(6n-2k-5)/6} C_{n}^{(k)}(q).
\end{align*} \]
(B) Principal specialization of the Grothendieck polynomial \( \mathfrak{G}_{\pi(n)}^{(\beta)} \)

**Theorem 5.6.**

\[
q^{(n-k+1)-(k-1)(n-k)} DET|S_{n+k-i-j}(q; q^{i-1}\beta)|_{1\leq i,j\leq k} = q^{k(k-1)(4k+1)/6} \prod_{a=1}^{k-1} (1 + q^{a-1}\beta) \mathfrak{G}_{\pi(n)}^{(1, q, q^2, \ldots)}.
\]

**Corollary 5.1.** (1) If \( k = n - 1 \), then

\[
DET|S_{2n-1-i-j}(q; q^{i-1}\beta)|_{1\leq i,j\leq n-1} = q^{(n-1)(n-2)(4n-3)/6} \prod_{a=1}^{n-2} (1 + q^{a-1}\beta)^{n-a-1},
\]

(2) If \( k = n - 2 \), then

\[
q^{n-2} DET|S_{2n-2-i-j}(q; q^{i-1}\beta)|_{1\leq i,j\leq n-2} = q^{(n-2)(n-3)(4n-7)/6} \prod_{a=1}^{n-3} (1 + q^{a-1}\beta)^{n-a-2} \left\{ \frac{(1 + \beta)^{n-1} - 1}{\beta} \right\}.
\]

**Generalization**

Let \( \mathbf{n} = (n_1, \ldots, n_p) \in \mathbb{N}^p \) be a composition of \( n \) so that \( n = n_1 + \cdots + n_p \). We set \( n^{(j)} = n_1 + \cdots + n_j, \; j = 1, \ldots, p; \; n^{(0)} = 0 \).

Now consider the permutation \( w^{(\mathbf{n})} = w_0^{(n_1)} \times w_0^{(n_2)} \times \cdots \times w_0^{(n_p)} \in S_n \),

where \( w_0^{(m)} \in S_m \) denotes the longest permutation in the symmetric group \( S_m \). In other words,

\[
w^{(\mathbf{n})} = \left( \begin{array}{ccccccc}
1 & 2 & \cdots & n_1 & n^{(2)} & \cdots & n_1 + 1 & \cdots & n^{(p-1)} & \cdots & n
\end{array} \right).
\]

For the permutation \( w^{(\mathbf{n})} \) defined above, one has the following factorization formula for the Grothendieck polynomial corresponding to \( w^{(\mathbf{n})} \), [61],

\[
\mathfrak{G}_{w^{(\mathbf{n})}}^{(\beta)} = \mathfrak{G}_{w_0^{(n_1)}}^{(\beta)} \times \mathfrak{G}_{1^{n_1} \times w_0^{(n_2)}}^{(\beta)} \times \mathfrak{G}_{1^{n_1+n_2} \times w_0^{(n_3)}}^{(\beta)} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{G}_{1^{n_1+\cdots+n_{p-1}} \times w_0^{(n_p)}}^{(\beta)}.
\]

In particular, if

\[
w^{(\mathbf{n})} = w_0^{(n_1)} \times w_0^{(n_2)} \times \cdots \times w_0^{(n_p)} \in S_n, \tag{5.10}
\]

then the principal specialization \( \mathfrak{G}_{w^{(\mathbf{n})}}^{(\beta)} \) of the Grothendieck polynomial corresponding to the permutation \( w \), is the product of \( q \)-Schröder–Hankel polynomials. Finally, we observe that from discussions in Section 5.2.1, Grothendieck & Narayana polynomials, one can deduce that

\[
\mathfrak{G}_{w^{(\mathbf{n})}}^{(\beta-1)}(x_1 = 1, \ldots, x_n = 1) = \prod_{j=1}^{p-1} \mathfrak{N}_{n^{(j+1)}}^{(n^{(j)})}(\beta).
\]

In particular, the polynomial \( \mathfrak{G}_{w^{(\mathbf{n})}}^{(\beta-1)}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \) is a symmetric polynomial in \( \beta \) with non-negative integer coefficients.
Example 5.6.

(1) Let us take (non vexillary) permutation \( w = 2143 = s_1 s_3 \). One can check that \( \mathfrak{S}_w^{(\beta)}(1,1,1,1) = 3 + 3 \beta + \beta^2 = 1 + (\beta + 1) + (\beta + 1)^2 \), and \( \mathfrak{M}_3(\beta) = (1,6,6,1), \mathfrak{M}_3(\beta) = (1,3,1) \). It is easy to see that
\[
\beta \mathfrak{S}_w^{(\beta)}(1,1,1,1) = \text{DET} \begin{vmatrix} \mathfrak{M}_4(\beta) & \mathfrak{M}_3(\beta) \\ \mathfrak{M}_3(\beta) & \mathfrak{M}_2(\beta) \end{vmatrix}.
\]
On the other hand,
\[
\text{DET} \begin{vmatrix} P_1(\beta) & P_3(\beta) & P_2(\beta) \\ (3,6,4,1) &= (3 + 3\beta + \beta^2) (1 + \beta). \quad \text{It is more involved to check that}
\]
\[
q^5(1 + \beta) \mathfrak{S}_w^{(\beta)}(1,q,q^2,q^3) = \text{DET} \begin{vmatrix} S_4(q;\beta) & S_3(q;\beta) & S_2(q;\beta) \\ S_3(q;\beta) & S_2(q;\beta) & S_1(q;\beta) \end{vmatrix}.
\]

(2) Let us illustrate Theorem 5.5 by a few examples. For the sake of simplicity, we consider the case \( \beta = 0 \), i.e. the case of Schubert polynomials. In this case \( P_\alpha(q;\beta = 0) = C_\alpha(q) \) is equal to the Carlitz–Riordan \( q \)-analogue of Catalan numbers. We are reminded that the \( q \)-Catalan–Hankel polynomials are defined as follows
\[
C_n^{(k)}(q) = q^{k(k-1)/2} \text{DET} C_{n-k-i-i}(q)_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}.
\]
In the case \( \beta = 0 \) the Theorem 5.5 states that if \( \mathbf{n} = (n_1, \ldots, n_p) \in \mathbb{N}^p \) and the permutation \( w(\mathbf{n}) \in S_n \) is defined by the use of (5.10), then
\[
\mathfrak{S}_{w(\mathbf{n})}(1,q,q^2, \ldots) = q^{\sum \binom{n_i}{2}} C_{n_1}^{(n_1)}(q) \times C_{n_1+n_2}^{(n_1+n_2)}(q) \times C_{n_1+n_2+n_3}^{(n_1+n_2+n_3)}(q) \times C_{n-n_p}^{(n-n_p)}(q).
\]
Now let us consider a few examples for \( n = 6 \).

- \( \mathbf{n} = (1,5) \Rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_{w(\mathbf{n})}(1,q,q^2, \ldots) = q^{10} C_4^{(1)}(q) = C_5(q). \)
- \( \mathbf{n} = (2,4) \Rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_{w(\mathbf{n})}(1,q,q^2, \ldots) = q^4 C_6^{(2)}(q) = \text{DET} \begin{vmatrix} C_6(q) & C_5(q) \\ C_5(q) & C_4(q) \end{vmatrix}. \)

Note that \( \mathfrak{S}_{w(2,4)}(1,q, \ldots) = \mathfrak{S}_{w(1,1,4)}(1,q, \ldots). \)

- \( \mathbf{n} = (2,2,2) \Rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_{w(\mathbf{n})}(1,q,q^2, \ldots) = C_4^{(2)}(q) \times C_6^{(4)}(q). \)
- \( \mathbf{n} = (1,1,4) \Rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_{w(\mathbf{n})}(1,q,q^2, \ldots) = q^4 C_2^{(1)}(q) C_4^{(2)}(q) = q^4 C_4^{(2)}(q), \)
the last equality follows from that \( C_{k+1}^{(k+1)}(q) = 1 \) for all \( k \geq 1 \).

- \( \mathbf{n} = (1,2,3) \Rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_{w(\mathbf{n})}(1,q,q^2, \ldots) = q C_3^{(1)}(q) C_6^{(3)}(q). \) On the other hand,

- \( \mathbf{n} = (3,2,1) \Rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_{w(\mathbf{n})}(1,q,q^2, \ldots) = q C_5^{(3)}(q) C_6^{(5)}(q) = q C_5^{(3)}(q) = C_5^{(3)}(q) = q(1,1,1,1). \)

Note that \( C_{k+1}^{(k+1)}(q) = [k+1]_q^q. \)

Exercises 5.4.

Let \( 1 \leq k \leq m \leq n \) be integers, \( n \geq 2k+1 \). Consider permutation
\[
w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \ldots & k & k+1 & \ldots & n \\ m & m-1 & \ldots & m-k+1 & n & \ldots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in S_n.
\]
Show that
\[
\mathfrak{S}_w(1,q, \ldots) = q^{n(D(w))} C_{n-m+k}^{(m)}(q),
\]
where for any permutation \( w \), \( n(D(w)) = \sum d_i^{(w)} \) and \( d_i^{(w)} \) denotes the number of boxes in the \( i \)-th column of the (Rothe) diagram \( D(w) \) of the permutation \( w \), see [GT], p.8.
(C) A determinantal formula for the Grothendieck polynomials $\Phi_{\pi_k(n)}^{(\beta)}$

Define polynomials

$$\Phi_n^{(m)}(X_n) = \sum_{a=m}^{n} e_a(X_n) \beta^{a-m},$$

$$A_{i,j}(X_{n+k-1}) = \frac{1}{(i-j)!} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \right)^{j-1} \Phi_{k+n-i}^{(n+1-i)}(X_{k+n-i}), \quad \text{if} \quad 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n,$$

and

$$A_{i,j}(X_{k+n-1}) = \sum_{a=0}^{i-j} e_{n-i-a}(X_{n+k-i}) \binom{i-j-1}{a}, \quad \text{if} \quad 1 \leq j < i \leq n.$$

Theorem 5.7.

$$\text{DET}|A_{i,j}|_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} = \Phi_{\pi_k(n)}^{(\beta)}(X_{k+n-1}).$$

Comments 5.5.

(a) One can compute the Grothendieck polynomials for yet another interesting family of permutations, namely, grassmannian permutations

$$\sigma_k^{(n)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \ldots & k-1 & k & k+1 & k+2 & \ldots & n+k \\ 1 & 2 & \ldots & k-1 & n+k & k & k+1 & \ldots & n+k-1 \end{pmatrix} = s_k s_{k+1} \ldots s_{n+k-1} \in S_{n+k}.$$

Then

$$\Phi_{\sigma_k^{(n)}}^{(\beta)}(x_1, \ldots, x_{n+k}) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} s_{(n,1^j)}(X_k) \beta^j,$$

where $s_{(n,1^j)}(X_k)$ denotes the Schur polynomial corresponding to the hook shape partition $(n,1^j)$ and the set of variables $X_k := (x_1, \ldots, x_k)$. In particular,

$$\Phi_{\sigma_k^{(n)}}^{(\beta)}(x_j = 1, \forall j) = \binom{n+k-1}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} s_{n+j}(X_j) \beta^j = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \binom{n+j-1}{j} (1+\beta)^j.$$

(b) Grothendieck polynomials for grassmannian permutations

In the case of a grassmannian permutation $w := \sigma_\lambda \in S_\infty$ of the shape $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_n)$ where $n$ is a unique descent of $w$, one can prove the following formulas for the $\beta$-Grothendieck polynomial

$$\Phi_{\sigma_\lambda}^{(\beta)}(X_n) = \frac{\text{DET}|x_i^{\lambda_i+n-j} (1+\beta x_i)^{j-1}|_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}(x_i - x_j)} \quad (5.11)$$

$$\text{DET}|h_{\lambda_{i,j}}^{(\beta)}(X_{[i,n]})|_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} = \text{DET}|h_{\lambda_{i,j}}^{(\beta)}(X_n)|_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}, \quad (5.12)$$

where $X_{[i,n]} = (x_i, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_n)$, and for any set of variables $X$,

$$h_{n,k}^{(\beta)}(X) = \sum_{a=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{a} h_{n-k+a}(X) \beta^a,$$

and $h_k(X)$ denotes the complete symmetric polynomial of degree $k$ in the variables from the set $X$. 
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A proof is a straightforward adaptation of the proof of special case $\beta = 0$ (the case of Schur polynomials) given by I. Macdonald [61], Section 2, (2.10) and Section 4, (4.8).

Indeed, consider $\beta$-divided difference operators $\pi_j^{(\beta)}$, $j = 1, \ldots, n - 1$, and $\pi_w^{(\beta)}$, $w \in S_n$, introduced in [27]. For example,

$$\pi_j^{(\beta)}(f) = \frac{1}{x_j - x_{j+1}} \left( (1 + \beta x_{j+1}) f(X_n) - (1 + \beta x_j) f(s_j(X_n)) \right).$$

Now let $w_0 := w_0(n)$ be the longest element in the symmetric group $S_n$. The same proves of the statements (2.10), (2.16) from [61] show that

$$\pi^{(\beta)}_{w_0} = a_\delta^{-1} w_0 \left( \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (-1)^{\ell(\sigma)} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (1 + \beta x_j)^{n-j} \sigma \right),$$

where $a_\delta = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_i - x_j)$.

On the other hand, the same arguments as in the proof of statement (4.8) from [61] show that

$$\mathcal{R}_{\sigma,\lambda}^{(\beta)}(X_n) = \pi^{(\beta)}_{w_0}(x^{\lambda+\delta_n}).$$

Application of the formula for operator $\pi^{(\beta)}_{w_0}$ displayed above to the monomial $x^{\lambda+\delta_n}$ finishes the proof of the first equality in (5.11). The statement that the right hand side of the equality (5.12) coincides with determinants displayed in the identity (5.12) can be checked by means of simple transformations.

\[\blacksquare\]

Problems 5.1.

1. Give a bijective prove of Theorem 3.3, i.e. construct a bijection between
   - the set of $k$-tuple of mutually non-crossing Schröder paths $(p_1, \ldots, p_k)$ of lengths $(n, n - 1, \ldots, n - k + 1)$ correspondingly, and
   - the set of pairs $(m, T)$, where $T$ is a $k$-dissection of a convex $(n + k + 1)$-gon, and $m$ is a upper triangle $(0, 1)$-matrix of size $(k - 1) \times (k - 1)$, which is compatible with natural statistics on the both sets.

2. Let $w \in S_n$ be a permutation, and $CS(w)$ be the set of compatible sequences corresponding to $w$, see e.g. [8].

Define statistics $c(\bullet)$ on the set $CS(w)$ such that

$$\mathcal{R}_{w}^{(\beta-1)}(x_1 = 1, x_2 = 1, \ldots) = \sum_{a \in CS(w)} \beta^{c(a)}.$$

3. Let $w$ be a vexillary permutation.

Find a determinantal formula for the $\beta$-Grothendieck polynomial $\mathcal{R}_{w}^{(\beta)}(X)$.

4. Let $w$ be a permutation.

Find a geometric interpretation of coefficients of the polynomials $\mathcal{R}_{w}^{(\beta)}(x_i = 1)$ and $\mathcal{R}_{w}^{(\beta)}(x_i = q, x_j = 1, \forall j \neq i)$.

For example, let $w \in S_n$ be an involution, i.e. $w^2 = 1$, and $w' \in S_{n+1}$ be the image of $w$ under the natural embedding $S_n \hookrightarrow S_{n+1}$ given by $w \in S_n \rightarrow (w, n + 1) \in S_{n+1}$.

It is well-known, see e.g. [33], [98], that the multiplicity $m_{e,w}$ of the 0-dimensional Schubert cell $\{pt\} = Y_{w_0(n+1)}$ in the Schubert variety $\overline{\mathcal{Y}}_{w'}$ is equal to the specialization $\mathcal{R}_{w}(x_i = 1)$ of the Schubert polynomial $\mathcal{R}_{w}(X_n)$. Therefore one can consider the polynomial $\mathcal{R}_{w}^{(\beta)}(x_i = 1)$ as a $\beta$-deformation of the multiplicity $m_{e,w}$.

Question What is a geometrical meaning of the coefficients of the polynomial $\mathcal{R}_{w}^{(\beta)}(x_i = 1) \in \mathbb{N}[\beta]$?

Conjecture 5.1. The polynomial $\mathcal{R}_{w}^{(\beta)}(x_i = 1)$ is a unimodal polynomial for any permutation $w$. 

5.2.4 Specialization of Schubert polynomials

Let \( n, k, r \) be positive integers and \( p, b \) be non-negative integers such that \( r \leq p + 1 \). It is well-known \cite{61} that in this case there exists a unique vexillary permutation \( \varpi := \varpi_{\lambda, \phi} \in S_\infty \) which has the shape \( \lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{n+1}) \) and the flag \( \phi = (\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_{n+1}) \), where

\[
\lambda_i = (n - i + 1) p + b, \quad \phi_i = k + 1 + r (i - 1), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n + 1 - \delta_{b,0}.
\]

According to a theorem by M. Wachs \cite{95}, the Schubert polynomial \( S_\varpi(X) \) admits the following determinantal representation

\[
S_\varpi(X) = DET\left( h_{\lambda_i - i} - j(X_{\phi_i}) \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n+1}.
\]

Therefore we have \( S_\varpi(1) := S_\varpi(x_1 = 1, x_2 = 1, \ldots) = \)

\[
DET\left( \begin{pmatrix} (n - i + 1)p + b - i + j + k + (i - 1)r \\ k + (i - 1)r \end{pmatrix} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n+1}.
\]

We denote the above determinant by \( D(n, k, r, b, p) \).

**Theorem 5.8.** \( D(n, k, r, b, p) = \)

\[
\prod_{(i, j) \in A_{n, k, r}} \frac{i + b + jp}{i} \prod_{(i, j) \in B_{n, k, r}} \frac{(k - i + 1)(p + 1) + (i + j - 1)r + r(b + np)}{k - i + 1 + (i + j - 1)r},
\]

where

\[
A_{n, k, r} = \left\{ (i, j) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2 \mid j \leq n, \ j < i \leq k + (r - 1)(n - j) \right\},
\]

\[
B_{n, k, r} = \left\{ (i, j) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}^2 \mid i + j \leq n + 1, \ i \neq k + 1 + r s, \ s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \right\}.
\]

It is convenient to re-write the above formula for \( D(n, k, r, b, p) \) in the following form

\[
D(n, k, r, b, p) = \prod_{j=1}^{n+1} \frac{(n - j + 1)p + b + k + (j - 1)(r - 1))! (n - j + 1)!}{(k + (j - 1)r)! (n - j + 1)(p + 1)!} \times \prod_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \left( (k - i + 1)(p + 1) + jr + (np + b)r \right).
\]

**Corollary 5.2. (Some special cases)**

(A) **The case** \( r = 1 \)

We consider below some special cases of Theorem 5.7 in the case \( r = 1 \). To simplify notation, we set \( D(n, k, b, p) := D(n, k, r = 1, b, p) \). Then we can rewrite the above formula for \( D(n, k, r, b, p) \) as follows

\[
D(n, k, b, p) = \prod_{j=1}^{n+1} \frac{(n + k - j + 1)(p + 1) + b)! (n - j + 1)(p + b + k)! (j - 1)!}{(n - j + 1)(p + 1) + b)! (k + n - j + 1)(p + b + k)! (k + j - 1)!}.
\]
Then where for any partition \( \mu, \ell \)

\[
\prod_{j=1}^{k} \binom{(n+k+1-j)(p+1)+b}{n-j+1} \binom{(k-j)p+b+k}{j} \frac{j! (k-j)! (n-j+1)!}{(n+k-j+1)!}.
\]

In particular,

\( D(n,1,b,p) = \frac{1+b}{1+b+(n+1)p} \binom{(p+1)(n+1)+b}{n+1} := F_{n+1}^{(p+1)}(b), \)

where \( F_n^{(p)}(b) := \frac{1+b}{1+b+(n-1)p} \binom{pn+b}{n} \) denotes the generalized Fuss-Catalan number.

- If \( k = 2 \), then

\[
D(n,2,b,p) = \frac{(2+b)(2+b+p)}{(1+b)(2+b+(n+1)p)(2+b+(n+2)p)} F_{n+1}^{(p+1)}(b) F_{n+2}^{(p+1)}(b).
\]

In particular,

\[
D(n,2,0,1) = \frac{6}{(n+3)(n+4)} \text{Cat}_{n+1} \text{Cat}_{n+2}.
\]

See [ST], A005700 for several combinatorial interpretations of these numbers.

(2) (R.A. Proctor [ST]) Consider the Young diagram

\[
\lambda := \lambda_{n,p,b} = \{(i,j) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n+1, 1 \leq j \leq (n+1-i)p + b\}.
\]

For each box \((i,j)\) in \( \lambda \) define the numbers \( c(i,j) := n+1-i+j \), and

\[
l_{(i,j)}(k) = \begin{cases} \frac{k+c(i,j)}{c(i,j)}, & \text{if } j \leq (n+1-i)(p-1)+b, \\ \frac{(p+1)k+c(i,j)}{c(i,j)}, & \text{if } (n+1-i)(p-1) < j-b \leq (n+1-i)p. 
\end{cases}
\]

Then

\[
D(n,k,b,p) = \prod_{(i,j) \in \lambda} l_{(i,j)}(k).
\]

(5.13)

Therefore, \( D(n,k,b,p) \) is a polynomial in \( k \) with rational coefficients.

(3) If \( p = 0 \), then

\[
D(n,k,b,0) = \dim V_{\mu}^{\text{gl}(b+k)} = \prod_{j=1}^{n+k} \frac{j+b}{j}^{\min(j,n+k+1-j)},
\]

where for any partition \( \mu, \ell(\mu) \leq m \), \( V_{\mu}^{\text{gl}(m)} \) denotes the irreducible \( \text{gl}(m) \)-module with the highest weight \( \mu \). In particular,

\[
D(n,2,b,0) = \frac{1}{n+2+b} \binom{n+2+b}{b} \binom{n+2+b}{b+1}
\]

is equal to the Narayana number \( N(n+b+2,b) \);

\[
D(1,k,b,0) = \frac{(b+k)! (b+k+1)!}{k!(k+1)! (b+1)!} := N(b+k+1,k),
\]
and therefore the number \( D(1, k, b, 0) \) counts the number of pairs of non-crossing lattice paths inside a rectangular of size \((b + 1) \times (k + 1)\), which go from the point \((1, 0)\) (resp. from that \((0, 1)\)) to the point \((b + 1, k)\) (resp. to that \((b, k + 1)\)), consisting of steps \( U = (1, 0) \) and \( R = (0, 1)\), see \[87\], A001263, for some list of combinatorial interpretations of the Narayana numbers.

(4) If \( p = b = 1 \), then

\[
D(n, k, 1, 1) = C_{n+k+1}^{(k)} := \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n+1} \frac{2k + i + j}{i + j}.
\]

(5) (R.A. Proctor \[80\], \[81\]) If \( p = 1 \) and \( b \) is odd integer, then \( D(n, k, b, 1) \) is equal to the dimension of the irreducible representation of the symplectic Lie algebra \( Sp(b + 2n + 1) \) with the highest weight \( k\omega_{n+1} \).

(6) If \( p = 1 \) and \( b = 0 \), then

\[
D(n, k, 1, 0) = D(n - 1, k, 1, 1) = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n} \frac{2k + i + j}{i + j} = C_{n+k}^{(k)},
\]

see subsection Grothendieck and Narayana polynomials.

(7) (Cf \[29\]) Let \( w_\lambda \) be a unique dominant permutation of shape \( \lambda := \lambda_{n,p,b} \) and \( \ell := \ell_{n,p,b} \) be its length. Then

\[
\sum_{a \in R(w_\lambda)} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} (x + a_i) = \ell! \, B(n, x, p, b).
\]

Here for any permutation \( w \) of length \( l \), we denote by \( R(w) \) the set \( \{a = (a_1, \ldots, a_l)\} \) of all reduced decompositions of \( w \).

Exercises 5.5.

Show that

- \( \text{DET} \left| F_{n+i+j-2}^{(2)}(b) \right|_{1 \leq i,j \leq k} = \prod_{j=1}^{k} F_{n+k-1}^{(2)}(b) \frac{(k+1)!}{\prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq k} (n+i+j)}. \)

- \( D(n, k, b, 1) = \prod_{j=1}^{k} F_{n+j}^{(2)} \frac{\prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq k} (b+i+j-1)}{\prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq k} (n+b+i+j+1)}. \)

Clearly that if \( b = 0 \), then \( F_{n+1}^{(2)}(0) = C_n \), and \( D(n, k, 0, 1) \) is equal to the Catalan–Hankel determinant \( C_{n}^{(k)} \).

Finally we recall that the generalized Fuss-Catalan number \( F_{n+1}^{(p+1)}(b) \) counts the number of lattice paths from \((0,0)\) to \((b+np,n)\) that do not go above the line \( x = py \), see e.g. \[53\].

Comments 5.6.

It is well-known, see e.g. \[82\], or \[88\], vol.2, Exercise 7.101.b, that the number \( D(n, k, b, p) \) is equal to the total number \( ppw_{n,p,b}(k) \) of plane partitions \[33\] bounded by \( k \) and contained in the shape \( \lambda_{n,b,p} \).

More generally, see e.g. \[29\], for any partition \( \lambda \) denote by \( w_\lambda \in \mathfrak{S}_\infty \) a unique dominant permutation of shape \( \lambda \), that is a unique permutation with the code \( c(w) = \lambda \). Now for any

\[33\] Let \( \lambda \) be a partition. A plane (ordinary) partition bounded by \( d \) and shape \( \lambda \) is a filling of the shape \( \lambda \) by the numbers from the set \( \{0, 1, \ldots, d\} \) in such a way that the numbers along columns and rows are weakly decreasing.

A reverse plane partition bounded by \( d \) and shape \( \lambda \) is a filling of the shape \( \lambda \) by the numbers from the set \( \{0, 1, \ldots, d\} \) in such a way that the numbers along columns and rows are weakly increasing.
On some quadratic algebras

Consider the so-called shifted dominant permutation $w^{(k)}_{\lambda}$ which has the shape $\lambda$ and the flag $\phi = (\phi_i = k + i - 1, i = 1, \ldots, \ell(\lambda))$. Then

$$G_{w^{(k)}_{\lambda}}(1) = \text{pp}^{\lambda}(\leq k),$$

where $\text{pp}^{\lambda}(\leq k)$ denotes the number of all plane partitions bounded by $k$ and contained in $\lambda$. Moreover,

$$\sum_{\pi \in \text{PP}^{\lambda}(\leq k)} q^{\pi} = q^{\lambda} G_{w^{(k)}_{\lambda}}(1, q^{-1}, q^{-2}, \ldots),$$

where $\text{PP}^{\lambda}(\leq k)$ denotes the set of all plane partitions bounded by $k$ and contained in $\lambda$.

**Exercises 5.6.**

1. Show that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} G_{w^{(k)}_{\lambda}}(1, q, q^2, \ldots) = \frac{q^{\lambda}}{H_{\lambda}(q)},$$

where $H_{\lambda}(q) = \prod_{x \in \lambda} (1 - q^{h(x)})$ denotes the hook polynomial corresponding to a given partition $\lambda$.

2. Let $\lambda = ((n + \ell)^{\ell}, \ell^n)$ be a fat hook. Show that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} q^{n(\lambda)} G_{w^{(k)}_{\lambda}}(1, q^{-1}, q^{-2}, \ldots) = q^{a(\ell, n)} \frac{K_{\lambda}(q)}{M_{\ell}(2n + 2\ell - 1; q)},$$

where $a(\ell, n)$ is a certain integer we don't need to specify in what follows;

$$M_{\ell}(N; q) = \prod_{j=1}^{N} \left( \frac{1}{1 - q^j} \right)^{\min(j, N+1-j, \ell)}$$

denotes the MacMahon generating function for the number of plane partitions fit inside the box $N \times N \times \ell$; $K_{\lambda}(q)$ is a polynomial in $q$ such that $K_{\lambda}(0) = 1$.

(a) Show that

$$\left(1 - q\right)^{\lambda} \left| \frac{K_{\lambda}(q)}{M_{\ell}(2n + 2\ell - 1; q)} \right|_{q=1} = \frac{1}{\prod_{x \in \lambda} h(x)}.$$

(b) Show that

$$K_{\lambda}(q) \in \mathbb{N}[q] \quad \text{and} \quad K_{\lambda}(1) = M(n, n, \ell),$$

where $M(a, b, c)$ denotes the number of plane partitions fit inside the box $a \times b \times c$. It is well-known, see e.g. [62], p. 81, that

$$M(a, b, c) = \prod_{\begin{smallmatrix} 1 \leq i \leq a, \\ 1 \leq j \leq b, \\ 1 \leq k \leq c \end{smallmatrix}} \frac{i + j + k - 1}{i + j + k - 2} = \prod_{i=1}^{c} \frac{(a + b + i - 1)! (i - 1)!}{(a + i - 1)! (b + 1 - i)!} = \dim V_{(a^c)}^{0b_{i+c}}.$$

- $K_{\lambda}(q) = \sum_{\pi \in B_{n,n,\ell}} q^{\text{wt}_{\ell}(\pi)},$

where the sum runs over the set of plane partitions $\pi = (\pi_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ fit inside the box $B_{n,n,\ell} := n \times n \times \ell$, and

$$\text{wt}_{\ell}(\pi) = \sum_{i,j} \pi_{ij} + \ell \sum_{i} \pi_{ii}.$$
(c) Assume as before that \( \lambda := ((n + \ell), \ell^n) \).

Show that
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} K_{\lambda}(q) = M_\ell(q) \sum_{\mu \leq \ell} q^{\mu} \left( \frac{q^{\mu} \prod_{x \in \mu} (1 - q^{h(x)})}{\prod_{x \in \mu} (1 - q^{h(x)})} \right)^2,
\]
where the sum runs over the set of partitions \( \mu \) with the number of parts at most \( \ell \), and \( n(\mu) = \sum_i (i - 1) \mu_i \);

\[
M_\ell(q) := \prod_{j \geq 1} (1 - q^{3j})^{\min(j, \ell)}.
\]

Therefore the generating function \( PP^{(\ell,0)}(q) := \sum_{\pi \in PP^{(\ell,0)}} q^{\pi} \) is equal to
\[
\sum_{\mu \leq \ell} q^{\mu} \left( \frac{q^{\mu} \prod_{x \in \mu} (1 - q^{h(x)})}{\prod_{x \in \mu} (1 - q^{h(x)})} \right)^2,
\]
where \( PP^{(\ell,k)} := \{ \pi = (\pi_{ij})_{i \geq 1} \mid \pi_{ij} \geq 0, \pi_{\ell+1, \ell+1} \leq k \}, \mid \pi \mid = \sum_i \pi_{ij} \).

(d) Show that
\[
PP^{(\ell,0)}(q) = \frac{1}{M_\ell(q)^2} \sum_{\mu \leq \ell} (-q)^{\mu} q^{n(\mu) + n(\mu')} \left( \dim_q V_{\mu}(\ell) \right)^2,
\]
where \( \mu' \) denotes the conjugate partition of \( \mu \), therefore \( n(\mu') = \sum_{i \geq 1} \binom{\mu_i}{2} \).

The formula (5.14) is the special case \( n = m \) of Theorem 1.2, [72]. In particular, if \( \ell = 1 \) then one come to following identity
\[
\frac{1}{(q; q)_\infty} \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k q^{(k+1) \binom{1}{2}} = \sum_{k \geq 0} q^k \left( \frac{1}{(q; q)_k} \right)^2.
\]

(e) Let \( k \geq 0, \ell \geq 1 \) be integers.

Show that the (fermionic) generating function for the number of plane partitions \( \pi = (\pi_{ij}) \in PP^{(\ell,k)} \) is equal to
\[
\sum_{\pi \in PP^{(\ell,k)}} q^{\pi} = \sum_{\mu \leq \ell \leq k} q^{\mu} \left( \frac{q^{n(\mu)} \prod_{x \in \mu} (1 - q^{h(x)})}{\prod_{x \in \mu} (1 - q^{h(x)})} \right)^2.
\]

\[ \square \]

(B) The case \( k = 0 \)

1. \( D(n, 0, 1, p, b) = 1 \) for all nonnegative \( n, p, b \).
2. \( D(n, 0, 2, 2, 1) = VSASM(n) \), i.e. the number of alternating sign \( 2n + 1 \times 2n + 1 \) matrices symmetric about the vertical axis, see e.g. [87], A005156.
3. \( D(n, 0, 2, 2, 1) = CSTCPP(n) \), i.e. the number of cyclically symmetric transpose complement plane partitions, see e.g. [S7], A051255.

Theorem 5.9. Let \( \varpi_{n,k} \) be a unique vexillary permutation of the shape \( \lambda_{n,p} := (n, n - 1, \ldots, 2, 1)^p \) and flag \( \phi_{n,k} := (k + 1, k + 2, \ldots, k + n - 1, k + n) \). Then

- \( G_{\varpi_{n,1,k}}(1) = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{n+1} \begin{pmatrix} n+1 \choose j \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (n+1)p \choose j-1 \end{pmatrix} \beta^{j-1} \).

- If \( k \geq 2 \), then \( G_{\varpi_{n,k,p}}(\beta) := G_{\varpi_{n,1,k}}(1) \) is a polynomial of degree \( nk \) in \( \beta \), and \( \text{Coeff}_{[\beta^n]}(G_{n,k,p}(\beta)) = D(n, k, 1, p-1, 0) \).
The polynomial
\[ \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{n} \binom{n}{j} \binom{pn}{j-1} t^{j-1} := \mathfrak{F}_n(t) \]
is known as the Fuss-Narayana polynomial and can be considered as a $t$-deformation of the Fuss-Catalan number $FC_n(0)$.

Recall that the number $\frac{1}{n^n} \binom{n}{\nu} \binom{pn}{\psi}$ counts paths from $(0,0)$ to $(np,0)$ in the first quadrant, consisting of steps $U = (1,1)$ and $D = (1,-p)$ and have $j$ peaks (i.e. UD’s), cf. [87], A108767.

For example, take $n = 3, k = 2, p = 3, r = 1, b = 0$. Then
\[ \mathfrak{w}_{3,2,3} = [1,2,12,9,6,3,4,5,7,8,10,11] \in \mathcal{S}_{12}, \text{ and } G_{3,2,3} = (1,18,171,747,1767,1995,1001). \] Therefore, $G_{3,2,3}(1) = 5700 = D(3,2,3,0)$ and $Coeff_{[\beta \nu]}(G_{3,2,3}(\beta)) = 1001 = D(3,2,2,0)$.

**Proposition 5.5.** ([73]) The value of the Fuss–Catalan polynomial at $t = 2$, that is the number
\[ \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{n} \binom{n}{j} \binom{pn}{j-1} 2^{j-1} \]
is equal to the number of hyperplactic classes of $p$-parking functions of length $n$, see [73] for definition of $p$-parking functions, its properties and connections with some combinatorial Hopf algebras.

Therefore, the value of the Grothendieck polynomial $G_{\mathfrak{w}_{n,1,p}}(\beta = 1)$ at $x_i = 1, \forall i$ is equal to the number of $p$-parking functions of length $n + 1$. It is an open problem to find combinatorial interpretations of the polynomials $G_{\mathfrak{w}_{n,k,p}}(\beta)$ in the case $k \geq 2$. Note finally, that in the case $p = 2, k = 1$ the values of the Fuss–Catalan polynomials at $t = 2$ one can find in [87], A034015.

**Comments 5.7.** ($\implies$) The case $r=0$

It follows from Theorem 5.7 that in the case $r = 0$ and $k \geq n$, one has
\[ D(n,k,0,p,b) = \dim V^{\mathfrak{w}(k+1)}_{\lambda_{n,p,b}} = (1 + p)^{(n+1)\frac{n}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^{n+1} \frac{\binom{n}{j-1} \binom{n+1}{k-1} - j \binom{n}{k-1} \binom{n}{j-1}}{\binom{n+1}{k-1} \binom{n}{j-1}}. \]

Now consider the conjugate $\nu := \nu_{n,p,b} := ((n+1)^b, n^p, (n-1)^p, \ldots, 1^p)$ of the partition $\lambda_{n,p,b}$, and a rectangular shape partition $\psi = (k, \ldots, k)$. If $k \geq np + b$, then there exists a unique grassmannian permutation $\sigma := \sigma_{n,k,p,b}$ of the shape $\nu$ and the flag $\psi$, [61]. It is easy to see from the above formula for $D(n,k,0,p,b)$, that
\[ \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_{n,k,p,b}}(1) = \dim V^{\mathfrak{w}(k-1)}_{\nu_{n,p,b}} = (1 + p)^{n \choose 2} \left( \frac{k + n - 1}{b} \right) \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{(n+1)(n-j+1)}{(n-j+1)(p+1)+b} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\binom{k}{j-1}}{\binom{n+j-1}{n+j-1}}. \]

After the substitution $k := np + b + 1$ in the above formula we will have
\[ \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_{n,np+b+1,p,b}}(1) = (1 + p)^{n \choose 2} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{(np+b+j-1)}{(n+j-1)p} \frac{((p+1)-1)}{(p+1)-1}. \]
In the case $b = 0$ some simplifications are happened, namely
\[ \mathfrak{S}_{\sigma_{n,k,p,0}}(1) = (1 + p)^{(\sigma_{2})} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{(n+j-1)_p}{(n-j+1)_p}, \]

Finally we observe that if $k = np + 1$, then
\[ \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{(np+j-1)}{(n-j+1)_p} = \prod_{j=2}^{n} \frac{(p+j-1)}{(j-1)} = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{j! (n+p-j-1)!}{((n-j)(p+1))! (n-j)(p+1) - 1)!} = A(p), \]

where the numbers $A(p)$ are integers that generalize the numbers of alternating sign matrices (ASM) of size $n \times n$, recovered in the case $p = 2$, see [74], [19] for details.

Examples 5.1.

(1) Let us consider polynomials $\mathfrak{S}_{n}(\beta) := \mathfrak{S}_{\sigma_{n,2\nu,2\nu}}(1)$.

- If $n = 2$, then $\mathfrak{S}_{2,2}(\beta) = (1,2,3) := 1 + 2\beta + 3\beta^2$.
  Moreover, $\mathfrak{R}_{\sigma_{2,4,2,0}}(q; \beta) = (1,2,3) q^2$.

- If $n = 3$, then $\mathfrak{R}_{\sigma_{3,6,2,0}}(q; \beta) = (1,6,11,16,11\beta) + q \beta^2(10,20,35,34,\beta) + q^2\beta^3(5,14,26,\beta)$; $\mathfrak{R}_{\sigma_{3,6,2,0}}(q; 1) = (45,99,45)$.

- If $n = 4$, then $\mathfrak{R}_{\sigma_{4,8,2,0}}(q; \beta) = (1,12,57,182,392,602,763,730,493,170)$.
  Moreover, $\mathfrak{R}_{\sigma_{4,8,2,0}}(q; 1) = (1,12,78,308,903,2016,3528,4984,5886,5696,4320,2280,646)$.

- If $n = 5$, then $\mathfrak{R}_{\sigma_{5,10,2}}(q; \beta) = (1,20,210,1420,7085,27636,87430,230240,516375,997790,1676587,2466840,3204065,3695650,3778095,3371612,2569795,1610910,782175,262200,45885)$.

One can show that $deg[\beta] \mathfrak{S}_{n}(\beta) = n(n-1)$. Let $a(n) := Coeff[b^{n(n-1)}] \left( \mathfrak{S}_{n}(\beta) \right)$. Then
\[ a(n) = VSASM(n) = OSASM(n) = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{(3j+2)(6j+3)!}{(4j+2)! (4j+3)!}, \]

Conjecture 5.2. Let $a(n) := Coeff[b^{n(n-1)}] \left( \mathfrak{S}_{n}(\beta) \right)$. Then
\[ a(n) = VSASM(n) = OSASM(n) = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{(3j+2)(6j+3)!}{(4j+2)! (4j+3)!}, \]
where

\( VSASM(n) \) is the number of alternating sign \( 2n + 1 \times 2n + 1 \) matrices symmetric about the vertical axis;

\( OSASM(n) \) is the number of \( 2n \times 2n \) off-diagonal symmetric alternating sign matrices.

See \[87\], A005156, [73] and references therein, for details.

**Conjecture 5.3.**

Polynomial \( R_{\sigma_{n,2n,2,0}}(q; 1) \) is symmetric and \( R_{\sigma_{n,2n,2,0}}(0; 1) = A20342(2n - 1) \), see \[87\].

(2) Let us consider polynomials \( \mathfrak{F}_n(\beta) := R_{\sigma_{n,2n+1,2,0}}(1) \).

- If \( n = 1 \), then \( \sigma_{1,3,2,0} = 1314 \in S_4 \), and \( \mathfrak{F}_2(\beta) = (1, 2) := 1 + 2\beta \).
- If \( n = 2 \), then \( \sigma_{2,5,2,0} = 1346725 \in S_7 \), and \( \mathfrak{F}_3(\beta) = (1, 6, 11, 16, 111) \).

Moreover, \( R_{\sigma_{2,5,2,0}}(q; \beta) = (1, 2, 3)\beta + q\beta(4, 8, 12)\beta + q^2\beta^3(4, 11)\beta \).

- If \( n = 3 \), then \( \sigma_{3,7,2,0} = [1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 2, 5, 8] \in S_{10} \), and \( \mathfrak{F}_4(\beta) = (1, 12, 57, 182, 392, 602, 763, 730, 493, 170) \).

Moreover,

\[
R_{\sigma_{3,7,2,0}}(q; \beta) = (1, 6, 21, 36, 51, 48, 26)\beta + q\beta(6, 36, 126, 216, 306, 288, 156)\beta + q^2\beta^3(20, 125, 242, 403, 460, 289)\beta + q^3\beta^5(6, 46, 114, 204, 170)\beta;
\]

\[
R_{\sigma_{3,7,2,0}}(q; 1) = (189, 1134, 1539, 540) q = (7, 42, 57, 20) q.
\]

- If \( n = 4 \), then \( \sigma_{4,9,2,0} = [1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 13, 2, 5, 8, 11] \in S_{13} \), and \( \mathfrak{F}_5(\beta) = (1, 20, 174, 988, 4025, 12516, 31402, 64760, 111510, 162170, 202957, 220200, 202493, 153106, 89355, 35972, 7429) \).

Moreover,

\[
R_{\sigma_{4,9,2,0}}(q; \beta) = (1, 12, 78, 308, 903, 2016, 3528, 4944, 5886, 5696, 4320, 2280, 646)\beta + q\beta(8, 96, 624, 2464, 7224, 16128, 28224, 39552, 47088, 45568, 34560, 18240, 5168)\beta + q^2\beta^3(56, 658, 3220, 11018, 27848, 53135, 78902, 100109, 103436, 84201, 47830, 14467)\beta + q^3\beta^5(56, 728, 3736, 12820, 29788, 50236, 72652, 85444, 78868, 50876, 17204)\beta + q^4\beta^7(8, 117, 696, 2724, 7272, 13962, 21240, 24012, 18768, 7429)\beta;
\]

\[
R_{\sigma_{4,9,2,0}}(q; 1) = (30618, 244944, 524880, 402408, 96228) q = 4374 (7, 56, 120, 92, 22) q.
\]

One can show that \( \mathfrak{F}_n(\beta) \) is a polynomial in \( \beta \) of degree \( n^2 \), and looking on the numbers \( 2, 11, 170, 7429 \) we made

**Conjecture 5.4.** Let \( b(n) := \text{Coeff}_{[\beta^{(n-1)}]} \left( \mathfrak{F}_n(\beta) \right) \). Then

\( b(n) = CSTCPP(n) \). In other words, \( b(n) \) is equal to the number of cyclically symmetric transpose complement plane partitions in an \( 2n \times 2n \times 2n \) box. This number is known to be

\[
\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{(3j + 1)(6j)! (2j)!}{(4j + 1)! (4j)!},
\]

see \[87\], A051255, [10], p.199.

It easy to see that polynomial \( R_{\sigma_{n,2n+1,2,0}}(q; 1) \) has degree \( n \).

**Conjecture 5.5.**

- \( \text{Coeff}_{[\beta^n]} \left( R_{\sigma_{n,2n+1,2,0}}(q; 1) \right) = A20342(2n) \),

see \[87\];

- \( R_{\sigma_{n,2n+1,2,0}}(0; 1) = A^{(1)}_{4T}(4n; 3) = 3^n n!/2 \) \( ASM(n) \),

see \[56\], Theorem 5, or \[87\], A059491.
Proposition 5.6. One has
\[ R_{\sigma_{2n+1,2n,0}}(0, \beta) = \Theta_n(\beta) = \Theta_{\sigma_{2n,2n,0}}^{(\beta-1)}(1), \quad R_{\sigma_{2n,2n,0}}(0, \beta) = \Theta_n(\beta) = \Theta_{\sigma_{2n+1,2n,0}}^{(\beta-1)}(1). \]

Finally we define \((\beta, q)\)-deformations of the numbers \(VSASM(n)\) and \(CSCTPP(n)\). To accomplish these ends, let us consider permutations
\[ w^- = (2, 4, \ldots, 2k, 2k + 2, 2k + 1, 2k - 1, \ldots, 3, 1) \quad \text{and} \quad w^+ = (2, 4, \ldots, 2k, 2k + 1, 2k - 1, \ldots, 3, 1). \]

Proposition 5.7. One has
\[ \Theta_{w^-}(1) = VSAM(k), \quad \Theta_{w^+}(1) = CSCTPP(k). \]

Therefore the polynomials \(\Theta_{w^-}^{(\beta-1)}(x=q, x_j = 1, \forall j \geq 2)\) and \(\Theta_{w^+}^{(\beta-1)}(x=q, x_j = 1, \forall j \geq 2)\) define \((\beta, q)\)-deformations of the numbers \(VSAM(k)\) and \(CSCTPP(k)\) respectively. Note that the inverse permutations \((w^-)^{-1} = (2k, 1, \ldots, 2k + 1 - i, i, \ldots, k + 1, k)\) and \((w^+)^{-1} = (2k + 1, 1, \ldots, 2k + 2 - j, j, \ldots, k + 2, k, k + 1)\) also define a \((\beta, q)\)-deformation of the numbers considered above.

Problem 5.1.

It is well-known, see e.g. [23], p.43, that the set \(VSASM(n)\) of alternating sign \((2n + 1) \times (2n + 1)\) matrices symmetric about the vertical axis has the same cardinality as the set \(SYT_2(\lambda(n), \leq n)\) of semistandard Young tableaux of the shape \(\lambda(n) := (2n - 1, 2n - 3, \ldots, 3, 1)\) filled by the numbers from the set \(\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}\), and such that the entries are weakly increasing down the anti-diagonals.

On the other hand, consider the set \(CS(w^-)\) of compatible sequences, see e.g. [8], [27], corresponding to the permutation \(w^- \in S_{2k}\).

**Challenge** Construct bijections between the sets \(CS(w^-), SYT_2(\lambda(k), \leq k)\) and \(VSASM(k)\).

Remarks 5.1. One can compute the principal specialization of the Schubert polynomial corresponding to the transposition \(t_{k,n} := (k, n - k) \in S_n\) that interchanges \(k\) and \(n - k\), and fixes all other elements of \([1, n]\).

Proposition 5.8. \(q^{(n-1)(k-1)} \Theta_{t_{n-k-1}}(1, q^{-1}, q^{-2}, q^{-3}, \ldots) = \)
\[ \sum_{j=1}^{k} (-1)^{j-1} q^{(\binom{j}{2})} \left( \frac{n-1}{k-j} q \left[ \frac{n-2+j}{k+j-1} \right] q \right) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} q^j \left( \frac{j+k-2}{k-1} \right)_q^2. \]

Exercises 5.7. (1) Show that if \(k \geq 1\), then
\[ \text{Coeff}_{[q^k, q^{2k}]}(R_{\sigma_{2n,2n,0}}(q,t)) = \binom{2n-1}{2k}, \quad \text{Coeff}_{[q^k, q^{2k-1}]}(R_{\sigma_{2n+1,2n,0}}(q,t)) = \binom{2n}{2k-1}. \]

(2) Let \(n \geq 1\) be a positive integer, consider “zig-zag” permutation
\[ w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & \ldots & 2k & 1 & 2k+1 & 2k+2 & \ldots & 2n-2 & 2n-1 & 2n \end{pmatrix} \in S_{2n}. \]

Show that
\[ R_w(q, \beta) = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \left( \frac{1 - \beta^{2k}}{1 - \beta} + q \beta^{2k} \right). \]
(3) Let $\sigma_{k,n,m}$ be grassmannian permutation with shape $\lambda = (n^m)$ and flag $\phi = (k+1)^m$, i.e.
$$\sigma_{k,n,m} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \ldots & k & k+1 & \ldots & k+n & k+n+1 & \ldots & k+n+m \\ 1 & 2 & \ldots & k & k+m+1 & \ldots & k+m+n & k+1 & \ldots & k+m \end{pmatrix}.$$ Clearly $\sigma_{k+1,n,m} = 1 \times \sigma_{k,n,m}$.

Show that
the coefficient $\text{Coeff}_{f_{\beta n}}\left( R_{\sigma_{k,n,m}}(1,\beta) \right)$ is equal to the Narayana number $N(k+n+m,k)$.

(4) Consider permutation $w := w^{(n)} = (w_1, \ldots, w_{2n+1})$, where $w_{2k-1} = 2k + 1$ for $k = 1, \ldots, n$, $w_{2n+1} = 2n$, $w_2 = 1$ and $w_{2k} = 2k - 2$ for $k = 2, \ldots, n$. For example, $w^{(3)} = (3152746)$. We set $w^{(0)} = 1$.

Show that
the polynomial $G^{(\beta)}_w(x_i = 1, \forall i)$ has degree $n(n-1)$ and the coefficient $\text{Coeff}_{f_{\beta n}}\left( G^{(\beta)}_w(x_i = 1, \forall i) \right)$ is equal to the $n$-th Catalan number $C_n$.

Note that the specialization $G^{(\beta)}_w(x_i = 1)|_{\beta = 1}$ is equal to the $2n$-th Euler (or up/down) number, see [87], A000111.

More generally, consider permutation $w^{(n)}_k := 1^k \times w^{(n)} \in \mathbb{S}_{k+2n+1}$, and polynomials
$$P_k(z) = \sum_{j \geq 0} (-1)^j G^{(j)}_{w^{(k-2)}_k}(x_i = 1) z^{k-2j}, \quad k \geq 0.$$ Show that
$$\sum_{k \geq 0} P_k(z) \frac{t^k}{k!} = \exp(tz) \operatorname{sech}(t).$$

The polynomials $P_k(z)$ are well-known as Swiss-Knife polynomials, see [87], A153641, where one can find an overview of some properties of the Swiss-Knife polynomials.

(5) Assume that $n = 2k+3, k \geq 1$, and consider permutation $v_n = (v_1, \ldots, v_n) \in \mathbb{S}_n$, where $v_{2a+1} = 2a + 3, a = 0, \ldots, n-1$, $v_2 = 1$ and $w_{2a} = 2a - 2, a = 2, \ldots, k+1$. For example, $v_4 = \{31527496, 11, 8, 10\}$ and $G^{(1)}_{v_4}(1) = 50521 = E_{10}$.

Show that
$$G_{v_n}(q, x_i = 1, \forall i \geq 2) = (n-2) E_{n-3} q^2 + \cdots + q^{k-1} (k-1)! q^{k+2}, \quad G_{v_n}(x_i = 1, \forall i \geq 1) = E_{n-1}.$$

(6) Consider permutation $u := u_n = (u_1, \ldots, u_{2n}) \in \mathbb{S}_{2n}, n \geq 2$, where $u_1 = 2, u_{2k+1} = 2k - 1, k = 1, \ldots, n$, $u_{2k} = 2k + 2, k = 1, \ldots, n-1, u_{2n} = 2n - 1$. For example, $u_4 = (24163857)$.

Now consider polynomial
$$R^{(k)}_n(q) = \mathbb{S}^{1^k \times u_n}(x_1 = q, x_i = 1, \forall i \geq 2).$$ Show that
- $R^{(k)}_n(1) = \binom{2n+k-1}{k}$ $E_{2n-1}$, where $E_{2k-1}, k \geq 1$, denotes the Euler number, see [87], A000111.
In particular, $R^{(1)}_n(1) = 2^{2n-1} G_n$, where $G_n$ denotes the unsigned Genocchi number, see [87], A110501.
- $\deg q R^{(k)}_n(q) = n$ and $\text{Coeff}_{q^n}\left( R^{(0)}_n(q) \right) = (2n - 3)!!$.

(7) Consider permutation $w_k := (2k + 1, 2k - 1, \ldots, 3, 1, 2k, 2k - 2, \ldots, 4, 2) \in \mathbb{S}_{2k+1}$, Show that
$$G^{(\beta-1)}_{w_k}(x_1 = q, x_j = 1, \forall j \geq 2) = q^{2k} (1 + \beta)^{n(1)}.$$
(8) Consider permutations $\sigma_k^+ = (1, 3, 5, \ldots, 2k+1, 2k+2, 2k, \ldots, 4, 2)$ and $\sigma_k^- = (1, 3, 5, \ldots, 2k+1, 2k, 2k-2, \ldots, 4, 2)$, and define polynomials

$$S_k^\pm(q) = \mathcal{G}_{\sigma_k^\pm}(x_1 = q, x_j = 1, \forall j \geq 2).$$

Show that $S_k^+(0) = VSASM(k)$, $S_k^+(1) = VSASM(k+1)$,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial q} S_k^+(q)|_{q=0} = 2k S_k^+(0) \text{ Coeff}_{q^k}(S_k^+(q)) = CSTCPP(k+1).$$

Show that $S_k^-(0) = CSTCPP(k)$, $S_k^-(1) = CSTCPP(k+1)$,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial q} S_k^-(q)|_{q=0} = (2k-1) S_k^-(0) \text{ Coeff}_{q^k}(S_k^-(q)) = VSASM(k).$$

Let’s observe that $\sigma_k^\pm = 1 \times \tau_{k-1}^\pm$, where $\tau_k^+ = (2, 4, \ldots, 2k, 2k+1, 2k-1, \ldots, 3, 1)$ and $\tau_k^- = (2, 4, \ldots, 2k, 2k-1, 2k-3, \ldots, 3, 1)$. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{G}_{\tau_k^\pm}(x_1 = q, x_j = 1, \forall j \geq 2) = q S_{k-1}^\pm(q).$$

Recall that $CSTCPP(n)$ denotes the number of cyclically symmetric transpose compliment plane partitions in a $2 \times 2 \times n$ box, see e.g. [87], A051255, and $VSASM(n)$ denotes the number of alternating sign $2 \times 2 \times n + 1$ matrices symmetric to the vertical axis, see e.g. [87], A05156.

- It might be well to point out that

$$\mathcal{G}_{\sigma_k^+}(x_1 = x, x_i = 1, \forall i \geq 2) = G_{2n-1,n-1}(x, y = 1),$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{\sigma_k^-}(x_1 = x, x_i = 1, \forall i \geq 2) = F_{2n,n-1}(x, y = 1),$$

where (homogeneous) polynomials $G_{m,n}(x,y)$ and $F_{m,n}(x,y)$ are defined in [77], and related with integral solutions to Pascals’s hexagon relations

$$f_{m-1,n} f_{m+1,n} + f_{m,n-1} f_{m,n+1} = f_{m-1,n-1} f_{m+1,n+1}, \quad (m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2.$$

(9) Consider permutation

$$u_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \ldots & n & n+1 & n+2 & n+3 & \ldots & 2n \\ 2 & 4 & \ldots & 2n & 1 & 3 & 5 & \ldots & 2n-1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and set $u_n^{(k)} := 1^{2k+1} \times u_n$.

Show that

$$\mathcal{G}_{u_n^{(k)}}(x_i = 1, \forall i \geq 1) = (1 + \beta)^{\binom{n+1}{2}} \mathcal{G}_{1^k \times u_0^{(n+1)}}(x_i = 1, \forall i \geq 1),$$

where $u_0^{(n+1)}$ denotes the permutation $(n+1, n, n-1, \ldots, 2, 1)$.

(10) Let $n \geq 0$ be an integer.

- Consider permutation $u_n = 1^n \times 321 \in S_{3+n}$.

Show that

$$\mathcal{G}_{u_n}(x_1 = t, x_i = 1, \forall i \geq 2) = \frac{1}{4} \binom{2n+2}{3} + n \binom{2n+2}{1} t + \frac{1}{2} \binom{2n+2}{1} t^2.$$

- Consider permutation $v_n := 1^n \times 4321 \in S_{n+4}$.

Show that

$$\mathcal{G}_{v_n}(x_1 = t, x_i = 1, \forall i \geq 2) = \frac{1}{24} \binom{2n+4}{5} \binom{2n+2}{1} + \frac{1}{2} \binom{2n+4}{5} t + \frac{n}{4} \binom{2n+4}{3} t^2 + \frac{1}{4} \binom{2n+4}{3} t^3.$$

(11) Show that

$$\sum_{(a,b,c) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^3} q^{a+b+c} \left[ \begin{array}{c} a + b \\ b \\ \end{array} \right]_q \left[ \begin{array}{c} a + c \\ c \\ \end{array} \right]_q \left[ \begin{array}{c} b + c \\ b \\ \end{array} \right]_q = \frac{1}{(q;q)_\infty^3} \left( \sum_{k \geq 2} (-1)^k \binom{k}{2} q^{(k-1)/2} \right).$$
It is not difficult to see that the left hand side sum of the above identity counts the weighted number of plane partitions $\pi = (\pi_{ij})$ such that

$$\pi_{i,j} \geq 0, \quad \pi_{ij} \geq \max(\pi_{i+1,j}, \pi_{i,j+1}), \quad \pi_{ij} \leq 1, \quad \text{if } i \geq 2 \text{ and } j \geq 2,$$

and the weight $wt(\pi) := \sum_{i,j} \pi_{ij}$.

(12) Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_p > 0)$ be a partition of size $n$. For an integer $k$ such that $1 \leq k \leq n-p$ define a grassmannian permutation

$$W_\lambda^{(k)} = [1, \ldots, k, \lambda_p + k + 1, \lambda_p-1 + k + 2, \ldots, \lambda_1 + k + p, a_1, \ldots, a_{n-p-k}],$$

where we denote by $(a_1 < a_2 < \ldots < a_{n-k-p})$ the complement $[1, n] \setminus (1, \ldots, k, \lambda_p + k + 1, \lambda_p-1 + k + 2, \ldots, \lambda_1 + k + p)]$.

- Show that the Grothendieck polynomial

$$G_\lambda(\beta) := \mathfrak{G}^{\beta-1}_{W_\lambda}(1^n)$$

is a polynomial of $\beta$ with nonnegative coefficients. Clearly, $G_\lambda(1) = \dim \mathcal{V}_{\lambda} = \mathfrak{G}^{(k+\ell(\lambda))}_{W_\lambda}(1^n)$.

- Find a combinatorial interpretations of polynomial $G_\lambda(\beta)$.

Final remark, it follows from the seventh exercise listed above, that the polynomials $\mathfrak{G}^{(\beta)}_{\sigma_k^+}(x_1 = q, x_j = 1, \forall j \geq 2)$ define a $(q, \beta)$-deformation of the number $VSASM(k)$ (the case $\sigma_k^+$) and the number $CSTCPP(k)$ (the case $\sigma_k^-$), respectively.

### 5.2.5 Specialization of Grothendieck polynomials

Let $p, b, n$ and $i, 2i < n$ be positive integers. Denote by $T_{p,b,n}^{(i)}$ the trapezoid, i.e. a convex quadrangle having vertices at the points

$$(ip, i), \quad (ip, n-i), \quad (b+ip, i) \quad \text{and} \quad (b+(n-i)p, n-i).$$

**Definition 5.5.** Denote by $FC_{b,p,n}^{(i)}$ the set of lattice path from the point $(ip, i)$ to that $(b+(n-i)p, n-i)$ with east steps $E = (0, 1)$ and north steps $N = (1, 0)$, which are located inside of the trapezoid $T_{p,b,n}^{(i)}$.

If $p \in FC_{b,p,n}^{(i)}$ is a path, we denote by $p(p)$ the number of peaks, i.e.

$$p(p) = NE(p) + E_{in}(p) + N_{end}(p),$$

where $NE(p)$ is equal to the number of steps $NE$ resting on path $p$; $E_{in}(p)$ is equal to 1, if the path $p$ starts with step $E$ and 0 otherwise; $N_{end}(p)$ is equal to 1, if the path $p$ ends by the step $N$ and 0 otherwise.

Note that the equality $N_{end}(p) = 1$ may happened only in the case $b = 0$.

**Definition 5.6.** Denote by $FC_{b,p,n}^{(k)}$ the set of $k$-tuples $\mathfrak{P} = (p_1, \ldots, p_k)$ of non-crossing lattice paths, where for each $i = 1, \ldots, k$, $p_i \in FC_{b,p,n}^{(i)}$.

Let

$$FC_{b,p,n}^{(k)}(\beta) := \sum_{\mathfrak{P} \in FC_{b,p,n}^{(k)}} \beta^{p(\mathfrak{P})}$$

denotes the generating function of the statistics $p(\mathfrak{P}) := \sum_{i=1}^k p(p_i) - k.$
Theorem 5.10. The following equality holds
\[ \Phi_{\sigma_n,k,p,b}^{(\beta)}(x_1 = 1, x_2 = 1, \ldots) = FC_{p,b,n+k}^{(k)}(\beta + 1), \]
where \( \sigma_{n,k,p,b} \) is a unique grassmannian permutation with shape \( ((n+1)^b, n^p, (n-1)^p, \ldots, 1^p) \) and flag \( (k, \ldots, k) \).

5.3 The "longest element" and Chan–Robbins–Yuen polytope

5.3.1 The Chan–Robbins–Yuen polytope \( \text{CRY}_{n} \)

Assume additionally, cf \([90], 6.C8, \) (d), that the condition \( (a) \) in Definition 5.1 is replaced by that
\[ (a') : x_{ij} \text{ and } x_{kl} \text{ commute for all } i, j, k \text{ and } l. \]

Consider the element \( w_{0}^{(n)} := \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} x_{ij} \). Let us bring the element \( w_{0}^{(n)} \) to the reduced form, that is, let us consecutively apply the defining relations \( (a') \) and \( (b) \) to the element \( w_{0}^{(n)} \) in any order until unable to do so. Denote the resulting polynomial by \( Q_n(x_{ij}; \alpha, \beta) \). Note that the polynomial itself depends on the order in which the relations \( (a') \) and \( (b) \) are applied.

We denote by \( Q_n(\beta) \) the specialization \( x_{ij} = 1 \) for all \( i, j \), of the polynomial \( Q_n(x_{ij}; \alpha = 0, \beta) \).

Example 5.7.

\[ Q_3(\beta) = (2, 1) = 1 + (\beta + 1), \quad Q_4(\beta) = (10, 13, 4) = 1 + 5(\beta + 1) + 4(\beta + 1)^2, \]
\[ Q_5(\beta) = (140, 336, 280, 92, 9) = 1 + 16(\beta + 1) + 58(\beta + 1)^2 + 56(\beta + 1)^3 + 9(\beta + 1)^4, \]
\[ Q_6(\beta) = 1 + 42(\beta + 1) + 448(\beta + 1)^2 + 1674(\beta + 1)^3 + 2364(\beta + 1)^4 + 1182(\beta + 1)^5 + 169(\beta + 1)^6. \]
\[ Q_7(\beta) = (1, 99, 2569, 25587, 114005, 242415, 248817, 118587, 22924, 1156)_{\beta + 1}. \]
\[ Q_8(\beta) = (1, 219, 12444, 279616, 2990335, 16804401, 52421688, 93221276, 94803125, 53910939, 16163947, 2255749, 108900)_{\beta + 1}. \]

What one can say about the polynomial \( Q_n(\beta) := Q_n(x_{ij}; \beta)|_{x_{ij} = 1, \forall i,j} \)?

It is known, \([90], 6.C8, \) (d), that the constant term of the polynomial \( Q_n(\beta) \) is equal to the product of Catalan numbers \( \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} C_j \). It is not difficult to see that if \( n \geq 3 \), then \( \text{Coef}_{n(\beta + 1)}(Q_n(\beta)) = 2^n - 1 - \binom{n+1}{2} \).

Theorem 5.11. One has
\[ Q_n(\beta - 1) = \left( \sum_{m \geq 0} i(CRY_{n+1}, m) \beta^m \right) (1 - \beta)^{n(\beta + 1) + 1}, \]
where \( CRY_{m} \) denotes the Chan–Robbins–Yuen polytope \([13, 14], \) i.e. the convex polytope given by the following conditions:
\( CRY_{m} = \{ (a_{ij}) \in \text{Mat}_{m \times m}(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}) \} \) such that
\begin{enumerate}
  \item \( \sum_{i} a_{ij} = 1, \quad \sum_{j} a_{ij} = 1, \)
  \item \( a_{ij} = 0, \ \text{if } j > i + 1. \)
\end{enumerate}

Here for any integral convex polytope \( \mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{Z}^d \), \( i(\mathcal{P}, n) \) denotes the number of integer points in the set \( n\mathcal{P} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \).

In particular, the polynomial \( Q_n(\beta) \) does not depend on the order in which the relations \( (a') \) and \( (b) \) have been applied.

Now let us denote by \( Q_n(t; \alpha, \beta) \) the specialization
\[ x_{ij} = 1, \ \text{if } i < j < n, \ \text{and } x_{i,n} = t, \ \text{if } i = 1, \ldots, n - 1, \]
of the (reduced) polynomial $Q_n(x;\alpha,\beta)$ obtained by applying the relations (a') and (b) in a certain order. The polynomial itself depends on the order selected.

**Conjecture 5.6.** *(A)* Let $n \geq 4$ and write

$$Q_n(t = 1;\alpha,\beta) := \sum_{k\geq 0} (1 + \beta)^k c_{k,n}(\alpha),$$

then $c_{k,n}(\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[\alpha]$.

**(B)**

- The polynomial $Q_n(t,\beta)$ has degree $d_n := \frac{(n-1)^2}{4}$.
- Write

$$Q_n(t,\beta) = t^{n-2} \sum_{k=0}^{d_n} c_n^{(k)}(t).$$

Then

$$c_{n}^{(d_n)}(1) = a^2_n$$ for some non-negative integer $a_n$.

Moreover, there exists a polynomial $a_n(t) \in \mathbb{N}[t]$ such that

$$c_{n}^{(d_n)}(t) = a_n(1) a_n(t), \quad a_n(0) = a_{n-1}.$$

**(C)** The all roots of the polynomial $Q_n(\beta)$ belong to the set $\mathbb{R}_{< -1}$.

For example,

(a) $Q_4(t = 1;\alpha,\beta) = (1, 5, 4)_{\beta+1} + \alpha (5, 7)_{\beta+1} + 3 \alpha^2$, $Q_5(t = 1;\alpha,\beta) = (1, 16, 58, 56, 9)_{\beta+1} + \alpha (16, 109, 146, 29)_{\beta+1} + \alpha^2 (51, 125, 34)_{\beta+1} + \alpha^3 (35, 17)_{\beta+1}$.

(b) $c_6^{(6)} = 13 (2, 3, 3, 2)$, $c_7^{(9)}(t) = 34 (3, 5, 6, 6, 5, 3)$, $c_8^{(12)}(t) = 330 (13, 27, 37, 43, 45, 45, 43, 37, 27, 13)$.

**Comments 5.8.**

(1) We expect that for each integer $n \geq 2$ the set

$$\Psi_{n+1} := \{ w \in \mathbb{S}_{2n-1} \mid \mathcal{G}_w(1) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \text{Cat}_j \}$$

is non empty, whereas the set $\{ w \in \mathbb{S}_{2n-2} \mid \mathcal{G}_w(1) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \text{Cat}_j \}$ is empty. For example, $\Psi_4 = \{ [1, 5, 3, 4, 2] \}$, $\Psi_5 = \{ [1, 5, 7, 3, 2, 6, 4], [1, 5, 4, 7, 2, 6, 3] \}$, $\Psi_6 = \{ w := [1, 3, 2, 8, 6, 9, 4, 5, 7], w^{-1}, \ldots \}$, $\Psi_7 = \{ ??? \}$, but one can check that for $w = [2358, 10, 549, 12, 11] \in \mathbb{S}_{12}$, $\mathcal{G}_w(1) = 776160 = \prod_{j=2}^{6} \text{Cat}_j$.

More generally, for any positive integer $N$ define

$$\kappa(N) = \min \{ n \mid \exists w \in \mathbb{S}_n \text{ such that } \mathcal{G}_w(1) = N \}.$$

It is clear that $\kappa(N) \leq N + 1$.

**Problem** Compute the following numbers

$$\kappa(n!), \quad \kappa(\prod_{j=1}^{n} \text{Cat}_j), \quad \kappa(ASM(n)), \quad \kappa((n + 1)^{n-1})$$

For example, $10 \leq \kappa(ASM(6) = 7436) \leq 12$. Indeed, take $w = [716983254, 10, 12, 11] \in \mathbb{S}_{12}$. One can show that

$$\mathcal{G}_w(t_1 = t, x_i = 1, \forall i \geq 2) = 13t^6(t + 10)(15t + 37),$$
so that $\mathcal{G}_w(1) = ASM(6)$; $\kappa(6^4) = 9$, namely, one can take $w = [157364298]$.

**Question** Let $N$ be a positive integer. Does there exist a vexillary (grassmannian?) permutation $w \in S_n$ such that $n \leq 2\kappa(N)$ and $\mathcal{G}_w(1) = N$?

For example, $w = [1, 4, 5, 6, 8, 3, 5, 7] \in S_8$ is a grassmannian permutation such that $\mathcal{G}_w(1) = 140$, and $\mathfrak{G}_w(1, \beta) = (1, 9, 27, 43, 38, 18, 4)$.

**Remark 5.3.** We expect that for $n \geq 5$ there are no permutations $w \in S_\infty$ such that $Q_n(\beta) = \mathcal{G}_w^{(\beta)}(1)$.

(3) The numbers $c_n := \prod_{j=1}^n \text{Cat}_j$ appear also as the values of the Kostant partition function of the type $A_{n-1}$ on some special vectors. Namely,

$$c_n = K_{\Phi(1^n)}(\gamma_n), \text{ where } \gamma_n = (1, 2, 3, \ldots, n-1, \binom{n}{2}),$$

see e.g. [90, 6.C10, and 13], 173–178. More generally [33], (7,18), (7.25), one has

$$K_{\Phi(1^n)}(\gamma_{n,d}) = p p^{\delta_n}(d) c_{n-1} = \prod_{j=d}^{n+d-2} \frac{1}{2j+1} \binom{n+d+j}{2j},$$

where $\gamma_{n,d} = (d+1, d+2, \ldots, d+n-1, -n(2d+n-1)/2)$, $p p^{\delta_n}(d)$ denotes the set of reversed (weak) plane partitions bounded by $d$ and contained in the shape $\delta_n = (n-1, n-2, \ldots, 1)$. Clearly, $p p^{\delta_n}(1) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{i+j+1}{i+j+1} = C_n$, where $C_n$ is the $n$-th Catalan number $^{34}$. 

**Conjecture 5.7.**

For any permutation $w \in S_{\infty}$ there exists a graph $\Gamma_w = (V, E)$, possibly with multiple edges, such that the reduced volume $\text{vol}(\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_w})$ of the flow polytope $\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_w}$, see e.g. [88] for a definition of the former, is equal to $\mathcal{G}_w(1)$.

For a family of vexillary permutations $w_{n,p}$ of the shape $\lambda = p \delta_{n+1}$ and flag $\phi = (1, 2, \ldots, n-1, n)$ the corresponding graphs $\Gamma_{n,p}$ have been constructed in [66], Section 6. In this case the reduced volume of the flow polytope $\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_{n,p}}$ is equal to the Fuss-Catalan number $\frac{1}{1+(n+1)p} \binom{(n+1)(p+1)}{n+1} = \mathcal{G}_{w_{n,p}}(1)$, cf Corollary 5.2.

**Exercises 5.8.**

(a) Show that the polynomial $R_n(t) := t^{1-n} Q_n(t; 0, 0)$ is symmetric (unimodal?), and $R_n(0) = \prod_{k=1}^{n-2} \text{Cat}_k$.

For example, $R_4(t) = (1 + t)(2 + t + t^2)$, $R_5(t) = 2 (5, 10, 13, 14, 13, 10, 5)$, $R_6(t) = 10 (2, 3, 2) t (7, 10, 13, 10, 13, 7, 10, 7)$.

Note that $R_n(1) = \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \text{Cat}_k$.

(b) More generally, write $R_n(t, \beta) := Q_n(t; 0, \beta) = \sum_{k \geq 0} R_n^{(k)}(t) \beta^k$.

Show that the polynomials $R_n^{(k)}(t)$ are symmetric for all $k$.

(c) Consider a reduced polynomial $\overline{R}_n(\{x_{ij}\})$ of the element

$$\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n \atop \{i,j\} \neq \{n-1,n\}} x_{ij} \in \overline{ACYB}(\alpha = \beta = 0)^{ab},$$

$^{34}$ For example, if $n = 3$, there exist 5 reverse (weak) plane partitions of shape $\delta_3 = (2, 1)$ bounded by 1, namely reverse plane partitions $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$. 
see Definition 5.1. Here we assume additionally, that all elements \( \{x_{ij}\} \) are mutually commute. Define polynomial \( \widetilde{R}_n(q,t) \) to be the following specialization

\[
x_{ij} \rightarrow 1, \quad \text{if} \quad i < j < n - 1, \quad x_{i,n-1} \rightarrow q, \quad x_{i,n} \rightarrow t, \quad \forall i
\]
of the polynomial \( R_n(\{x_{ij}\}) \) in question.

Show that polynomials \( R_n(q,t) \) are well-defined, and

\[
\widetilde{R}_n(q,t) = \widetilde{R}_n(t,q).
\]

Examples 5.2.

\[
\begin{align*}
R_4(t,\beta) &= (2,3,3,2)_t + (4,5,4)_t \beta + (2,2)_t \beta^2, \quad R_5(t,\beta) = \\
&= (10,20,26,28,26,20,10)_t + (33,61,74,74,61,33)_t \beta + (39,65,72,65,39)_t \beta^2 + \\
&+ (19,27,27,19)_t \beta^3 + (3,3,3)_t \beta^4, \quad R_6(t,\beta) = \\
&= (140,350,550,700,790,820,790,700,550,350,700,550,350,140)_t + \\
&+ (686,1640,2478,3044,3232,3044,2478,1640,686)_t \beta + \\
&+ (1370,3106,4480,5280,5537,5280,4480,3106,1370)_t \beta^2 + \\
&+ (1420,3017,4113,4615,4615,4113,3017,1420)_t \beta^3 + \\
&+ (800,1565,1987,2105,1987,1565,800)_t \beta^4 + \\
&+ (230,403,465,465,403,230)_t \beta^5 + \\
&+ (26,39,39,26)_t \beta^6.
\end{align*}
\]

\[
R_6(1,\beta) = (5880,22340,34009,26330,10809,2196,169)_\beta.
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
R_7(t,\beta) &= (5880,17640,32340,47040,59790,69630,76230,79530,79530,76230, \\
&+ 69630,59790,47040,32340,17640,5880)_t + \\
&+ (39980,116510,208196,295954,368410,420850,452226,462648,452226,420850,368410, \\
&+ 295954,208196,116510,39980)_t \beta + \\
&+ (118179,333345,578812,802004,975555,1090913,1147982,1147982,1090913,975555, \\
&+ 802004,578812,333345,118179)_t \beta^2 + \\
&+ (198519,539551,906940,1221060,1447565,1580835,1624550,1658035,1447565,1221060, \\
&+ 906940,539551,198519)_t \beta^3 + \\
&+ (207712,540840,875969,1141589,1314942,1398556,1398556,1314942,1141589,875969, \\
&+ 540840,207712)_t \beta^4 + \\
&+ (139320,344910,535107,671897,749338,773900,749338,671897,535107,344910, \\
&+ 139320)_t \beta^5 + \\
&+ (59235,137985,203527,244815,263389,263389,244815,203527,137985,59235)_t \beta^6 + \\
&+ (15119,32635,45333,51865,53691,51865,45333,32635,15119)_t \beta^7 + \\
&+ (2034,3966,5132,5532,5532,5132,3966,2034)_t \beta^8 + (102,170,204,204,204,170,102)_t \beta^9.
\end{align*}
\]

\[
R_7(1,\beta) = (776160,4266900,10093580,13413490,10959216,5655044,1817902,343595,33328,1156)_\beta.
\]

\[\square\]

5.3.2 The Chan–Robbins–Mészáros polytope \( P_{n,m} \)

Let \( m \geq 0 \) and \( n \geq 2 \) be integers, consider the reduced polynomial \( Q_{n,m}(t,\beta) \) corresponding to the element

\[
M_{n,m} := \left( \prod_{j=2}^{n} x_{1j} \right)^{m+1} \prod_{j=2}^{n-2} \prod_{k=j+2}^{n} x_{jk}.
\]
Theorem 5.12. One has

\[ Q_{m,n}(1,1) = \prod_{k=1}^{n-2} \text{Cat}_k \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-1} \frac{2(m+1) + i + j - 1}{i + j - 1} \]

where \( \text{Cat}_k \) denotes the generalized Chan-Robbins-Yuen polytope defined in \([60]\), and for any integral convex polytope \( P \), \( \iota(P, k) \) denotes the Ehrhart polynomial of polytope \( P \).

Conjecture 5.8. Let \( n \geq 3, m \geq 0 \) be integers, write

\[ Q_{m,n}(t, \beta) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{m,n}^{(k)}(t) \beta^k, \]

and set \( b(m, n) := \max(k \mid c_{m,n}^{(k)}(t) \neq 0) \).

Denote by \( \tilde{c}_{m,n}(t) \) the polynomial obtained from \( c_{m,n}^{(b(m,n))}(t) \) by dividing the all coefficients of the latter on their GCD. Then

\[ \tilde{c}_{m,n}(t) = a_{n+m}(t), \]

where the polynomials \( a_n(t) := c_{0,n}(t) \) have been defined in Conjecture 16, (B).

For example, \( c_{2,5}(t) = 4 a_2(t) \), \( c_{2,6}(t) = 10 a_8(t) \), \( c_{3,5}(t) = a_8(t) \), \( c_{2,7}(t) = 10 (34, 78, 118, 148, 168, 178, 181, 178, 168, 148, 118, 78, 34) = 10 a_9(t) \).

It is known \([43], [65]\) that

\[ \prod_{k=1}^{n-2} \text{Cat}_k \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-1} \frac{2(m+1) + i + j - 1}{i + j - 1} = \prod_{j=m+1}^{m+n-2} \frac{1}{2j+1} \binom{n + m + j}{2j} = K_{A_{n-1}}(m + 1, m + 2, \ldots, n + m, -mn - \binom{n}{2}). \]

Conjecture 5.9.

Let \( a = (a_2, a_3, \ldots, a_n) \) be a sequence of non-negative integers, consider the following element

\[ M(a) = \left( \prod_{j=2}^{n} x_{1j}^{a_j} \right) \prod_{j=2}^{n-2} \left( \prod_{k=j+2}^{n} x_{jk} \right). \]

Then
(1) Let $R_a(t_1, \ldots, t_{n-1}, \alpha, \beta)$ be the following specialization

$$x_{ij} \rightarrow t_{j-1} \text{ for all } 1 \leq i < j \leq n$$

of the reduced polynomial $R_a(x_{ij})$ of monomial $M_a \in \overrightarrow{ACY}B_n(\alpha, \beta)$.

Then the polynomial $R_a(t_1, \ldots, t_{n-1}, \alpha, \beta)$ is well-defined, i.e. does not depend on an order in which relations (a') and (b'), Definition 5.1, have been applied.

(2) $Q_{M_a}(1, 1) = K_{A_n+1}(a_2 + 1, a_3 + 2, \ldots, a_n + n - 1, -\binom{n}{2} - \sum_{j=2}^{n} a_j)$.

(3) Write

$$Q_{M_a}(t, \beta) = \sum_{k \geq 0} c_a^{(k)}(t) \beta^k.$$  

The polynomials $c_a^{(k)}(t)$ are symmetric (unimodal ?) for all $k$.

Example 5.8. Let’s take $n = 5, a = (2, 1, 1, 0)$. One can show that the value of the Kostant partition function $K_{A_5}(3, 3, 4, 4, -14)$ is equal to 1967. On the other hand, one has

$Q_{(2,1,1,0)}(t, \beta) t^{-3} = (50, 118, 183, 233, 263, 273, 263, 233, 183, 118, 50) t + (214, 491, 738, 908, 992, 908, 738, 491, 214) t + (365, 808, 1167, 1379, 1448, 14379, 1167, 808, 365)t + (313, 661, 906, 1020, 1020, 906, 661, 313)t + (1967, 6686, 8886, 5800, 1903, 282, 13) = (1, 34, 279, 748, 688, 204, 13)\beta + (29, 52, 60, 60, 52, 29)t + (2, 3, 3, 2)t \beta^6.$

$Q_{(2,1,1,0)}(1, \beta) = (1967, 6686, 8886, 5800, 1903, 282, 13) = (1, 34, 279, 748, 688, 204, 13)\beta + 1$.

Exercises 5.9.

(1) Show that

$$R_n(t, -1) = t^{2(n-2)} R_{n-1}(-t^{-1}, 1).$$

(2) Show that the ratio

$$\frac{R_n(0, \beta)}{(1 + \beta)^{n-2}}$$

is a polynomial in $(\beta + 1)$ with non-negative coefficients.

(3) Show that polynomial $R_n(t, 1)$ has degree $\epsilon_n := (n+1)(n-2)/2$, and

$$\text{Coeff } [t^{\epsilon_n}] R_n(t, 1) = \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \text{Cat}_k.$$  

Problems 5.2.

(1) Assume additionally to the conditions (a') and (b) above that

$$x_{ij}^2 = \beta x_{ij} + 1, \text{ if } 1 \leq i < j \leq n.$$  

What one can say about a reduced form of the element $w_0$ in this case?

(2) According to a result by S. Matsumoto and J. Novak [63], if $\pi \in S_n$ is a permutation of the cyclic type $\lambda \vdash n$, then the total number of primitive factorizations (see definition in [63]) of $\pi$ into product of $n - \ell(\lambda)$ transpositions, denoted by $\text{Prim}_{n-\ell(\lambda)}(\lambda)$, is equal to the product of Catalan numbers:

$$\text{Prim}_{n-\ell(\lambda)}(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\lambda)} \text{Cat}_{\lambda_i-1}.$$
Recall that the Catalan number \( \text{Cat}_n := C_n = \frac{1}{n} \binom{2n}{n} \). Now take \( \lambda = (2, 3, \ldots, n + 1) \). Then

\[
Q_n(1) = \prod_{a=1}^{n} \text{Cat}_a = \text{Prim}_{\binom{n}{2}}(\lambda).
\]

Does there exist “a natural” bijection between the primitive factorizations and monomials which appear in the polynomial \( Q_n(x_{ij}; \beta) \)?

(3) Compute in the algebra \( \text{ACYB}_n(\alpha, \beta) \) the specialization

\[
x_{ij} \rightarrow 1, \quad \text{if} \quad j < n, \quad x_{ij} \rightarrow t, \quad 1 \leq i < n,
\]
denoted by \( P_{w_0}(t, \alpha, \beta) \), of the reduced polynomial \( P_{s_{ij}}(\{x_{ij}\}, \alpha, \beta) \) corresponding to the transposition \( s_{ij} := (\prod_{k=i}^{j-2} x_{k,k+1}) x_{j-1,j} (\prod_{k=j}^{n} x_{k,k+1}) \in \text{ACYB}_n(\alpha, \beta) \).

For example, \( P_{s_{14}}(t, \alpha, \beta) = t^5 + 3(1 + \beta)t^4 + ((3, 5, 2, 3 + 3\alpha)t^3 + (2(1 + \beta)^2 + \alpha(5 + 4\beta))t^2
\]

\[ (1 + \beta((1 + 3\alpha + 2\alpha^2)t + \alpha + \alpha^2). \]

### 5.4 Reduced polynomials of certain monomials

In this subsection we compute the reduced polynomials corresponding to dominant monomials of the form

\[
x_{m} := x_{1,2}^{m_1} x_{2,3}^{m_2} \cdots x_{n-1,n}^{m_n-1} \in (\text{ACYB}_n(\beta))^{ab},
\]

where \( m = (m_1 \geq m_2 \geq \ldots \geq m_{n-1} \geq 0) \) is a partition, and we apply the relations \( (a') \) and \( (b) \) in the algebra \( (\text{ACYB}_n(\beta))^{ab} \), see Definition 5.1, and Section 5.3.1, successively, starting from \( x_{12}^{m_1} x_{23} \).

**Proposition 5.9.** The function

\[
\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n-1}, \quad m \rightarrow P_m(t = 1; \beta = 1)
\]

can be extended to a piece-wise polynomial function on the space \( \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{n-1} \).

We start with the study of powers of Coxeter elements. Namely, for powers of Coxeter elements, one has \[35\]

\[
P_{(x_{12} x_{23})^2}(\beta) = (6, 6, 1), \quad P_{(x_{12} x_{23})^2}(\beta) = (71, 142, 91, 20, 1) = (1, 16, 37, 16, 1)_{\beta + 1},
\]

\[
P_{(x_{12} x_{23} x_{34})^2}(\beta) = (1301, 3903, 4407, 2309, 555, 51, 1) = (1, 45, 315, 579, 315, 45, 1)_{\beta + 1},
\]

\[
P_{(x_{12} x_{23} x_{34})^3}(\beta) = (1266, 3798, 4289, 2248, 541, 50, 1) = (1, 44, 306, 564, 306, 44, 1)_{\beta + 1},
\]

\[
P_{(x_{12} x_{23} x_{34})^3}(\beta = 1) = 12527, \quad P_{(x_{12} x_{23} x_{34})^4}(\beta = 0) = 26599,
\]

\[
P_{(x_{12} x_{23} x_{34})^4}(\beta = 1) = 539601, \quad P_{(x_{12} x_{23} x_{34})^5}(\beta = 1) = 12193,
\]

\[
P_{(x_{12} x_{23} x_{34} x_{45})^3}(\beta = 0) = 50000, \quad P_{(x_{12} x_{23} x_{34} x_{45})^3}(\beta = 1) = 1090199.
\]

**Lemma 5.3.** One has

\[
P_{x_{12} x_{23}^m}(\beta) = \sum_{k=0}^{\min(n,m)} \binom{n + m - k}{m} \binom{m}{k} \beta^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\min(n,m)} \binom{n}{k} \binom{m}{k} (1 + \beta)^k.
\]

Moreover,

- polynomial \( P_{(x_{12} x_{23} \cdots x_{n-1,n})^m}(\beta - 1) \) is a symmetric polynomial in \( \beta \) with non-negative coefficients.

- polynomial \( P_{(x_{12} x_{23} \cdots x_{n-1,n})^m}(\beta) \) counts the number of \((n,m)\)-Delannoy paths according to the number of NE steps \[36\]

---

35 To simplify notation we set \( P_w(\beta) := P_{w}(x_{ij} = 1; \beta) \).

36 Recall that a \((n,m)\)-Delannoy path is a lattice paths from \((0,0)\) to \((n,m)\) with steps \( E = (1,0) \), \( N = (0,1) \) and \( NE = (1,1) \) only.

For the definition and examples of the Delannoy paths and numbers, see [A001850](https://oeis.org/A001850), [A008288](https://oeis.org/A008288), and [http://mathworld.wolfram.com/DelannoyNumber.html](http://mathworld.wolfram.com/DelannoyNumber.html).
Proposition 5.10. Let \( n \) and \( k \), \( 0 \leq k \leq n \), be integers. The number

\[
P_{(x_{12}x_{23})^n} (x_{34})^k (\beta = 0)
\]

is equal to the number of \( n \) up, \( n \) down permutations in the symmetric group \( S_{2n+k+1} \), see [87], A229892 and Exercises 5.3, (2).

Conjecture 5.10. Let \( n,m,k \) be nonnegative integers. Then the number

\[
P_{x_{12}^n x_{23}^m x_{34}^k} (\beta = 0)
\]

is equal to the number of \( n \) up, \( m \) down and \( k \) up permutations in the symmetric group \( S_{n+m+k+1} \).

For example,

- Take \( n = 2, k = 0 \), the six permutations in \( S_5 \) with 2 up, 2 down are \( 12543, 13542, 14532, 23541, 24531, 34521 \).
- Take \( n = 3, m = 1, k = 1 \), the twenty permutations in \( S_7 \) with 3 up, 3 down are \( 1237654, 1247653, 1257643, 1267543, 1347652, 1357642, 1367542, 1457632, 1467532, 1567432, 2347651, 2357641, 2367541, 2457631, 2467531, 2567431, 3457621, 3467521, 3567421, 4567321 \), see [87], A229892.
- Take \( n = 3, m = 2, k = 1 \), the number of \( 3 \) up, \( 2 \) down and \( 1 \) up permutations in \( S_7 \) is equal to \( 50 = P_{321}(0) \) : \( 1237645, 1237564, \ldots, 4567321 \).
- Take \( n = 1, m = 3, k = 2 \), the number of \( 1 \) up, \( 3 \) down and \( 2 \) up permutations in \( S_7 \) is equal to \( 55 = P_{132}(0) \), as it can be easily checked.

On the other hand, \( P_{x_{12}^1 x_{23}^3 x_{34}^5} (\beta = 0) = 7203 < 7910 \), where 7910 is the number of 4 up, 3 down, 2 up and 1 down permutations in the symmetric group \( S_{11} \).

Conjecture 5.11. Let \( k_1, \ldots, k_{n-1} \) be a sequence of non-negative integer numbers, consider monomial \( M := x_{12}^{k_1} x_{23}^{k_2} \cdots x_{n-1,n}^{k_{n-1}} \). Then

- reduced polynomial \( P_M(\beta-1) \) is a unimodal polynomial in \( \beta \) with non-negative coefficients.

Example 5.9.

\[
P_{3,2,1}(\beta) = (1,14,27,8)_{\beta+1} = P_{1,2,3}(\beta), \quad P_{2,3,1}(\beta) = (1,15,30,9)_{\beta+1} = P_{1,3,2}(\beta),
\]
\[
P_{3,1,2}(\beta) = (1,11,18,4)_{\beta+1} = P_{1,2,3}(\beta), \quad P_{4,3,2,1}(\beta) = (1,74,837,2630,2708,885,68)_{\beta+1},
\]
\[
P_{4,3,2,1}(0) = 7203 = 3 \times 7^4, \quad P_{5,4,3,2,1}(\beta) = (1,394,19177,270210,1485163,3638790,4198361,2282942,553828,51945,1300)_{\beta+1}, \quad P_{5,4,3,2,1}(0) = 12502111 = 1019 \times 12269.
\]

Exercises 5.10.

1. Show that if \( n \geq m \), then

\[
x_{ij}^n x_{jk}^m \big|_{x_{ij}=x_{jk}} = \sum_{a=0}^{n} \binom{m+a-1}{a} \left( \sum_{p=0}^{n-a} \binom{m}{p} \beta^p \right) x_{ik}^{m+a}.
\]

2. Show that if \( n \geq m \geq k \), then

\[
P_{x_{12}^n x_{23}^m x_{34}^k} (\beta) = \sum_{a \geq 1} \left( \binom{m}{p} \binom{k}{a} (a-1) \binom{n+1}{p+a-b} \binom{m+a-1-b}{a} \right) \beta^{p+a}.
\]

In particular, if \( n \geq m \geq k \), then

\[
P_{x_{12}^n x_{23}^m x_{34}^k} (0) = \left( \binom{m+n}{n} + \sum_{a \geq 1} \binom{k}{a} \sum_{b=1}^{a} \binom{m+n+1}{m+b} (a-1) \binom{m+b-1}{b-1} \right) \frac{1}{a}.
\]
Denote by $A(M)$ the set of relations from the item (1) allows to give an explicit formula for the polynomial $P_M(\beta)$ for any dominant sequence $M = (m_1 \geq m_2 \geq \ldots \geq m_k) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{>0})^k$. Namely, $P_M(\beta + 1) = \sum_{a} \prod_{j=2}^{k} \left( \frac{m_j + a_{j-1} - 1}{a_{j-1}} \right) \left( \sum_{b} \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \left( \frac{m_{j+1}}{b_j} \right) \beta^{b_j} \right)$,

where the first sum runs over the following set $A(M)$ of integer sequences $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_{k-1})$

$$A(M) := \{ 0 \leq a_j \leq m_j + a_{j-1} \text{, } j = 1, \ldots, k-1 \}, \quad a_0 = 0,$$

and the second sum runs over the set $B(M)$ of all integer sequences $b = (b_1, \ldots, b_{k-1})$

$$B(M) := \bigcup_{a \in A(M)} \{ 0 \leq b_j \leq \min(m_{j+1}, m_j - a_j + a_{j-1}) \}, \quad j = 1, \ldots, k-1.$$

(3) Show that

$$\#|A(n, 1^{k-1})| = \frac{n+1}{k} \binom{2k+n}{k-1} = f(n, k),$$

where $f(n, k)$ denotes the number of standard Young tableaux of shape $(n+1, k)$. In particular, $\#|A(1^k)| = C_{k+1}$.

(4) Let $n \geq m \geq 1$ be integers and set $M = (n, m, 1^k)$. Show that

$$P_M(x_{ij} = 1; \beta = 0) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{m+p+1}{k} \binom{m+p+1}{p} \left( \frac{m+2k+p}{k-1} \right) := P_k(n, m).$$

In particular,

$$P_1(n, m) = \binom{n+m}{n} + m \binom{n+m+1}{n},$$

$$P_k(n, 1) = \frac{n+1}{k+1} \binom{2k+2+n}{k}, \quad P_k(2, 2) = \frac{(2k+2)!}{k! (k+5)!}.$$
See Lemma 5.2 for the case \( t = 1 \).

(8) Define polynomials \( \tilde{R}_n(t) \) as follows
\[
\tilde{R}_n(t) := P_{(x_{12}x_{23}x_{34})^n}(-t^{-1}, \beta = -1) (-t)^{3n}.
\]
Show that polynomials \( \tilde{R}_n(t) \) have non-negative coefficients, and
\[
\tilde{R}_n(0) = \frac{(3n)!}{6 (n!)^3}.
\]

(9) Consider reduced polynomial \( P_{n,2,2}(\beta) \) corresponding to monomial \( x_{12}^n (x_{23}x_{34})^2 \) and set \( \tilde{P}_{n,2,2}(\beta) := P_{n,2,2}(\beta - 1) \). Show that
\[
\tilde{P}_{n,2,2}(\beta) \in \mathbb{N}[\beta] \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{P}_{n,2,2}(1) = T(n+5,3),
\]
where the numbers \( T(n,k) \) are defined in [87], A110952, A001701.

**Conjecture 5.12.** Let \( \lambda \) be a partition. The element \( s_{\lambda}(\theta_1^{(n)}, \ldots, \theta_{\beta}^{(n)}) \) of the algebra \( 3T_n^{(0)} \) can be written in this algebra as a sum of
\[
\left( \prod_{x \in \lambda} h(x) \right) \times \dim V_{\lambda}^{(\mathfrak{gl}(n-m))} \times \dim V_{\lambda}^{(\mathfrak{gl}(m))}
\]
monomials with all coefficients equal to 1.

Here \( s_{\lambda}(x_1, \ldots, x_m) \) denotes the Schur function corresponding to the partition \( \lambda \) and the set of variables \( \{x_1, \ldots, x_m\} \); for \( x \in \lambda \), \( h(x) \) denotes the hook length corresponding to a box \( x \); \( V_{\lambda}^{(\mathfrak{gl}(n))} \) denotes the highest weight \( \lambda \) irreducible representation of the Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{gl}(n) \).

**Problems 5.3.**

1. Define a bijection between monomials of the form \( \prod_{a=1}^s x_{i_a} \), involved in the polynomial \( P(x_{i_1}; \beta) \), and dissections of a convex \( n + 2 \)-gon by \( s \) diagonals, such that no two diagonals intersect their interior.

2. Describe permutations \( w \in S_n \) such that the Grothendieck polynomial \( \mathcal{S}_w(t_1, \ldots, t_n) \) is equal to the “reduced polynomial” for a some monomial in the associative quasi-classical Yang–Baxter algebra \( \text{ACYB}_n(\beta) \).?

3. Study “reduced polynomials” corresponding to the monomials
   - (transposition) \( s_{1n} := (x_{12}x_{23} \cdots x_{n-2,n-1})^2 x_{n-1,n} \).
   - (powers of the Coxeter element) \( (x_{12}x_{23} \cdots x_{n-1,n})^k \).

   in the algebra \( \text{ACYB}_n(\alpha, \beta)^{ab} \).

4. Construct a bijection between the set of \( k \)-dissections of a convex \( n + k + 1 \)-gon and “pipe dreams” corresponding to the Grothendieck polynomial \( \mathcal{S}_w^{(\beta)}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \). As for a definition of “pipe dreams” for Grothendieck polynomials, see [34]; see also [27].

**Comments 5.9.** We don’t know any “good” combinatorial interpretation of polynomials which appear in Problem 5.3, (3) for general \( n \) and \( k \). For example, \( P_{s_{15}}(x_{ij} = 1; \beta) = (3, 2)_\beta \), \( P_{s_{14}}(x_{ij} = 1; \beta) = (26, 42, 19, 2)_\beta \), \( P_{s_{10}}(x_{ij} = 1; \beta) = (381, 988, 917, 362, 55, 2)_\beta \) and \( P_{s_{15}}(x_{ij} = 1; 1) = 2705 \). On the other hand, \( P_{(x_{12}x_{23})^2 x_{34} (x_{45})^2} \) is \( (252, 633, 565, 212, 30, 1) \), that is in deciding on different reduced decompositions of the transposition \( s_{1n} \), one obtains in general different reduced polynomials.

One can compare these formulas for polynomials \( P_{s_{ab}}(x_{ij} = 1; \beta) \) with those for the \( \beta \)-Grothendieck polynomials corresponding to transpositions \( (a, b) \), see Comments 5.5.
6 Appendixes

6.1 Appendix I Grothendieck polynomials

Definition 6.1. Let $\beta$ be a parameter. The Id-Coxeter algebra $\text{IdC}_n(\beta)$ is an associative algebra over the ring of polynomials $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ generated by elements $\langle e_1, \ldots, e_{n-1} \rangle$ subject to the set of relations

- $e_ie_j = e_je_i$, if $|i-j| \geq 2$,
- $e_ieje_i = e_jeie_j$, if $|i-j| = 1$,
- $e_i^2 = \beta\ e_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$.

It is well-known that the elements $\{e_w, w \in S_n\}$ form a $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$-linear basis of the algebra $\text{IdC}_n(\beta)$. Here for a permutation $w \in S_n$ we denoted by $e_w$ the product $e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\ldots e_{i_\ell} \in \text{IdC}_n(\beta)$, where $(i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_\ell)$ is any reduced word for a permutation $w$, i.e. $w = s_{i_1}s_{i_2}\ldots s_{i_\ell}$ and $\ell = \ell(w)$ is the length of $w$.

Let $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1}, x_n = y, x_{n+1} = z, \ldots$ be a set of mutually commuting variables. We assume that $x_i$ and $e_j$ commute for all values of $i$ and $j$. Let us define

$$h_i(x) = 1 + xe_i, \quad \text{and} \quad A_i(x) = \prod_{a=n-1}^i h_a(x), \quad i = 1, \ldots, n-1.$$

Lemma 6.1. One has

1. (Addition formula) $h_i(x) h_i(y) = h_i(x \oplus y)$,

where we set $(x \oplus y) := x + y + \beta xy$;

2. (Yang–Baxter relation) $h_i(x)h_{i+1}(x \oplus y)h_i(y) = h_{i+1}(y)h_i(x \oplus y)h_{i+1}(x)$.

Corollary 6.1.

1. $[h_{i+1}(x)h_i(x), h_{i+1}(y)h_i(y)] = 0$.
2. $[A_i(x), A_i(y)] = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$.

The second equality follows from the first one by induction using the Addition formula, whereas the first equality follows directly from the Yang–Baxter relation.

Definition 6.2. (Grothendieck expression)

$$\mathcal{E}_n(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) := A_1(x_1)A_2(x_2)\cdots A_{n-1}(x_{n-1}).$$

Theorem 6.1. (27) The following identity

$$\mathcal{E}_n(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) = \sum_{w \in S_n} \mathcal{E}_w^{(\beta)}(X_{n-1}) e_w$$

holds in the algebra $\text{IdC}_n \otimes \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}]$.

Definition 6.3. We will call polynomial $\mathcal{E}_w^{(\beta)}(X_{n-1})$ as the $\beta$-Grothendieck polynomial corresponding to a permutation $w$. 


Corollary 6.2.  
(1) If $\beta = -1$, the polynomials $\mathfrak{E}^{(-1)}_w(X_{n-1})$ coincide with the Grothendieck polynomials introduced by Lascoux and M.-P. Schützenberger [37].

(2) The $\beta$-Grothendieck polynomial $\mathfrak{E}^{(\beta)}_w(X_{n-1})$ is divisible by $x_1^{w(1)-1}$.

(3) For any integer $k \in [1, n-1]$ the polynomial $\mathfrak{E}^{(\beta-1)}_w(x_k = q, x_a = 1, \forall a \neq k)$ is a polynomial in the variables $q$ and $\beta$ with non-negative integer coefficients.

Proof (Sketch) It is enough to show that the specialized Grothendieck expression $\mathfrak{E}_n(x_k = q, x_a = 1, \forall a \neq k)$ can be written in the algebra $IdC_n(\beta - 1) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[q, \beta]$ as a linear combination of elements $\{e_w\}_{w \in \mathbb{S}_n}$ with coefficients which are polynomials in the variables $q$ and $\beta$ with non-negative coefficients. Observe that one can rewrite the relation $e_k^2 = (\beta - 1)e_k$ in the following form $e_k(e_k + 1) = \beta e_k$. Now, all possible negative contributions to the expression $\mathfrak{E}_n(x_k = q, x_a = 1, \forall a \neq k)$ can appear only from products of a form $c_a(q) := (1 + qe_k)(1 + e_k)^a$. But using the Addition formula one can see that $(1 + qe_k)(1 + e_k) = 1 + (1 + q\beta)e_k$. It follows by induction on $a$ that $c_a(q)$ is a polynomial in the variables $q$ and $\beta$ with non-negative coefficients.

Definition 6.4.
- The double $\beta$-Grothendieck expression $\mathfrak{E}_n(X_n, Y_n)$ is defined as follows
  $$\mathfrak{E}_n(X_n, Y_n) = \mathfrak{E}_n(X_n) \mathfrak{E}_n(-Y_n)^{-1} \in IdC_n(\beta) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[X_n, Y_n].$$

- The double $\beta$-Grothendieck polynomials $\{\mathfrak{E}_w(X_n, Y_n)\}_{w \in \mathbb{S}_n}$ are defined from the decomposition
  $$\mathfrak{E}_n(X_n, Y_n) = \sum_{w \in \mathbb{S}_n} \mathfrak{E}_w(X_n, Y_n) e_w$$

of the double $\beta$-Grothendieck expression in the algebra $IdC_n(\beta)$.

More details about $\beta$-Grothendieck and related polynomials can be found in [39], [48].

6.2 Appendix II  Cohomology of partial flag varieties

Let $n = n_1 + \cdots + n_k, n_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, be a composition of $n, k \geq 2$. For each $j = 1, \ldots, k$ define the numbers $N_j = n_1 + \cdots + n_j, N_0 = 0$, and $M_j = n_j + \cdots + n_k$. Denote by $X := X_{n_1, \ldots, n_k} = \{x_a^{(i)} | i = 1, \ldots, k, 1 \leq a \leq n_i\}$ (resp. $Y, \ldots$) a set of variables of the cardinality $n$. We set $deg(x_a^{(i)}) = a, i = 1, \ldots, k$. For each $i = 1, \ldots, k$ define quasihomogeneous polynomial of degree $n_i$ in variables $X^{(i)} = \{x_a^{(i)} | 1 \leq a \leq n_i\}$

$$p_{n_i}(X^{(i)}, t) = t^{n_i} + \sum_{a=1}^{n_i} x_a^{(i)} t^{n_i-a},$$

and put $p_{n_1, \ldots, n_k}(X, t) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_{n_i}(X^{(i)}, t)$. We summarize in the theorem below some well-known results about the classical and quantum cohomology and $K$-theory rings of type $A_{n-1}$ partial flag varieties $\mathcal{F}_{n_1, \ldots, n_k}$. Let $q_1, \ldots, q_k-1, deg(q_i) = n_i + n_{i+1}, i = 1, \ldots, k-1$, be a set of “quantum parameters.”

Theorem 6.2. There are canonical isomorphisms

$$H^*(\mathcal{F}_{n_1, \ldots, n_k}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[X_{n_1, \ldots, n_k}]/\langle p_{n_1, \ldots, n_k}(X, t) - t^{n} \rangle;$$
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Here for any polynomial
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we denote by
\[ \langle P(x, t) \rangle \]
the ideal in the ring \( \mathbb{Z}[x] \) generated by the coefficients
\[ b_0(x), \ldots, b_r(x) \]. A similar
meaning have the symbols
\[ \langle \prod_{i=1}^{k} \prod_{a=1}^{n_i} (x_a^{(i)} + t) - p_{n_1, \ldots, n_k}(y, t) \rangle, \langle \Delta_{n_1, \ldots, n_k}(x, t) - t^n \rangle \]
and so on.

Note that
\[ \text{dim}(F_{n_1, \ldots, n_k}) = \sum_{i<j} n_i n_j \]
and the Hilbert polynomial \( \text{Hilb}(F_{n_1, \ldots, n_k}, q) \) of the partial flag variety \( F_{n_1, \ldots, n_k} \) is equal to the \( q \)-multinomial coefficient
\[ n \]
and also is equal to the \( q \)-dimension of the weight \( (n_1, \ldots, n_k) \) subspace of the \( n \)-th tensor power \( (\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes n} \) of the fundamental representation of the Lie algebra \( gl(n) \).

Comments 6.1. The cohomology and (small) quantum cohomology rings \( H^* (F_{n_1, \ldots, n_k}, \mathbb{Z}) \) and
\[ QH^* (F_{n_1, \ldots, n_k}, \mathbb{Z}) \]
of the partial flag variety \( F_{n_1, \ldots, n_k} \) admit yet another representations we are going to present. To start with, let as before
\[ n = n_1 + \cdots + n_k \], \( n_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \) \( \forall i \), be a composition. Consider the set of variables
\[ \tilde{X} = X_{n_1, \ldots, n_k-1} := \{ x_a^{(i)} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n_a, a = 1, \ldots, k-1 \} \]
and set as before
\[ \text{deg } x_a^{(i)} = a \]. Note that the number of variables \( \tilde{X} \) is equal to
\[ n - n_k \]. To continue, let’s define elementary quasihomogeneous polynomials of degree \( r \)
\[ e_r(\tilde{X}) = \sum_{I, A} x_{a_1}^{(i_1)} \cdots x_{a_s}^{(i_s)} \]
where the sum runs over sequences of integers
\[ I = (i_1, \ldots, i_s) \] and \( A = (a_1, \ldots, a_s) \) such that
\begin{itemize}
  \item \( 1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_s \leq k-1 \),
  \item \( 1 \leq a_j \leq n_{i_j}, \quad j = 1, \ldots, s \), and \( r = a_1 + \cdots + a_s \),
\end{itemize}
and complete homogeneous polynomials of degree \( p \)
\[ h_p(\tilde{X}) = \text{det} | e_{j-i+1}(\tilde{X}) |_{1 \leq i, j \leq p} \]
Finally, let’s define the ideal
\[ J_{n_1, \ldots, n_k} \]
in the ring of polynomials \( \mathbb{Z}[X_{n_1, \ldots, n_k-1}] \) generated by polynomials
\[ h_{n_k+1}(\tilde{X}), \ldots, h_n(\tilde{X}) \]
Note that the ideal
\[ J_{n_1, \ldots, n_k} \]
is generated by
\[ n - n_k = \#(X_{n_1, \ldots, n_k-1}) \]
elements.

\[ \text{We prefer to use quantum parameters } \{ q_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq k-1 \} \] instead of the parameters
\[ \{ (-1)^i q_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq k-1 \} \] have been used in \[ [1] \].
Proposition 6.1. There exists an isomorphism of rings

\[ H^*(\mathcal{F}_{n_1, \ldots, n_k}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[X_{n_1, \ldots, n_k}]/J_{n_1, \ldots, n_k}. \]

In a similar way one can describe relations in the (small) quantum cohomology ring of the partial flag variety \( \mathcal{F}_{n_1, \ldots, n_k} \). To accomplish this let’s introduce quantum quasihomogeneous elementary polynomials of degree \( j \), \( e_j^\alpha(X_{n_1, \ldots, n_r}) \) through the decomposition

\[ \Delta_{n_1, \ldots, n_r}(X_{n_1, \ldots, n_r}) = \sum_{j=0}^r \sum_{i,j} e_j^\alpha(X_{n_1, \ldots, n_r}) t^{N_r-j}, \quad e_0^\alpha(x) = 1, \quad e_{-p}^\alpha(x) = 0, \quad \text{if} \ p > 0. \]

To exclude redundant variables \( \{y_a^{(k)}, \ 1 \leq a \leq n_k\} \), let us define quantum quasihomogeneous Schur polynomials \( s_n^\alpha(X_{n_1, \ldots, n_r}) \) corresponding to a composition \( \alpha = (\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 \leq \ldots \leq \alpha_p) \) as follows

\[ s_n^\alpha(X_{n_1, \ldots, n_r}) = \det[e_{j-i+\alpha}(X_{n_1, \ldots, n_r})]_{1 \leq i,j \leq p}. \]

Proposition 6.2. The (small) quantum cohomology ring \( QH^*(\mathcal{F}_{n_1, \ldots, n_k}, \mathbb{Z}) \) is isomorphic to the quotient of the ring of polynomials \( \mathbb{Z}[q_1, \ldots, q_{k-1}][X_{n_1, \ldots, n_{k-1}}] \) by the ideal \( I_{n_1, \ldots, n_{k-1}} \) generated by the elements

\[ g_r(X_{n_1, \ldots, n_{k-1}}) := s^{(q_1, \ldots, q_{k-1})}_r(X_{n_1, \ldots, n_{k-1}}) - q_{k-1} e_{r-n_{k-1}}^\alpha(X_{n_1, \ldots, n_{k-2}}), \]

where \( n_k + 1 \leq r \leq n \).

It is easy to see that the Jacobi matrix

\[ \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y_a^{(i)}} g_r(X_{n_1, \ldots, n_{k-1}}) \right)_{\{a=1, \ldots, n_{k-1}, \ 1 \leq i \leq n_a\ \text{and} \ n_k+1 \leq r \leq n}} \]

corresponding to the set of polynomials \( g_r(X_{n_1, \ldots, n_{k-1}}) \) \( n_k \leq r \leq n \), has nonzero determinant, and the component of maximal degree \( n_{\text{max}} := \sum_{i<j} n_i n_j \) in the ring \( QH^*(\mathcal{F}_{n_1, \ldots, n_k}, \mathbb{Z}) \) is a \( \mathbb{Z}[q_1, \ldots, q_{k-1}] \)-module of rank one with generator

\[ \Lambda = \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \prod_{a=1}^{n_a} x_a^{(ij)} M_i. \]

Therefore, one can define a scalar product (the Grothendieck residue)

\[ (\bullet, \bullet) : HQ^*(\mathcal{F}_{n_1, \ldots, n_k}, \mathbb{Z}) \times HQ^*(\mathcal{F}_{n_1, \ldots, n_k}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[a_1, \ldots, q_{k-1}] \]

setting for elements \( f \) and \( g \) of degrees \( a \) and \( b \), \( \langle f, h \rangle = 0 \), if \( a + b \neq n_{\text{max}} \), and \( \langle f, h \rangle = \lambda(q) \), if \( a + b = n_{\text{max}} \) and \( f h = \lambda(q) \Lambda \). It is well known that the Grothendieck pairing \( (\bullet, \bullet) \) is nondegenerate (for any choice of parameters \( q_1, \ldots, q_{k-1} \)).

Finally we state “a mirror presentation” of the small quantum cohomology ring of partial flag varieties. To start with, let \( n = n_1 + \ldots + n_k \), \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2} \) be a composition of size \( n \), and consider the set

\[ \Sigma(n) = \{(i, j) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} | 1 \leq i \leq N_a, \ M_{a+1} + 1 \leq j \leq M_a, \ a = 1, \ldots, k-1\}, \]

where \( N_a = n_1 + \ldots + n_a, N_0 = 0, N_k = n, M_a = n_{a+1} + \ldots + n_k, M_0 = n, M_k = 0 \).

With these data given, let us introduce the set of variables

\[ Z_n = \{ z_{i,j} | (i, j) \in \Sigma(n) \}, \]
and define “boundary conditions” as follows

- \( z_{i,M_a+1} = 0 \), if \( N_{a-1} + 2 \leq i \leq N_a, a = 1, \ldots, k - 1 \),
- \( z_{N_a+1,j} = \infty \), if \( M_{a+1} + 2 \leq j \leq M_a, a = 1, \ldots, k - 1 \),
- \( z_{N_a+1,M_a+1} = q_a, a = 1, \ldots, k \), where \( q_1, \ldots, q_k \) are “quantum parameters.

Now we are ready, follow [34], to define superpotential

\[
W_{q,n} = \sum_{(i,j) \in \Sigma(n)} \left( \frac{z_{i+1,j}}{z_{i,j}} + \frac{z_{i,j}}{z_{i,j+1}} \right).
\]

**Conjecture 6.1.** (Cf. [34]) There exists an isomorphism of rings

\[
QH^*[\mathcal{F} l_{n_1,\ldots,n_k}, \mathbb{Z}] \cong \mathbb{Z}[q_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, q_k^{\pm 1}][Z_n^{\pm 1}]/J(W_{q,n}),
\]

where \( QH^*[\mathcal{F} l_{n_1,\ldots,n_k}, \mathbb{Z}] \) denotes the subring of the ring \( QH^*(\mathcal{F} l_{n_1,\ldots,n_k}, \mathbb{Z}) \) generated by the elements from \( H^2(\mathcal{F} l_{n_1,\ldots,n_k}, \mathbb{Z}) \);

\( J(W_{q,n}) \) stands for the ideal generated by the partial derivatives of the superpotential \( W_{q,n} : \)

\[
J(W_{q,n}) = \left( \frac{\partial W_{q,n}}{\partial z_{i,j}} \right), (i,j) \in \Sigma(n).
\]

Note that variables \( \{z_{i,j} \in \Sigma(n), i \neq N_a + 1, a = 0, \ldots, k - 2\} \) are redundant, whereas the variables \( \{z_{a,j} := z_{N_a+1,j}^{-1}, j = 1, \ldots, n_a, a = 0, \ldots, k - 2\} \) satisfy the system of algebraic equations.

In the case of complete flag variety \( \mathcal{F} l_n \) corresponds to partition \( n = (1^n) \) and the superpotential \( W_{q,1^n} \) is equal to

\[
W_{q,1^n} = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-1} \left( \frac{z_{i+1,j}}{z_{i,j}} + \frac{z_{i,j}}{z_{i-1,j+1}} \right),
\]

where we set \( z_{i,n} := q_i, i = 1, \ldots, n \). The ideal \( J(W_{q,1^n}) \) is generated by elements

\[
\frac{\partial W_{q,1^n}}{z_{i,j}} = \frac{1}{z_{i,j-1}} + \frac{1}{z_{i-1,j+1}} - \frac{z_{i,j+1} + z_{i-1,j-1}}{z_{i,j}^2}.
\]

One can check that the ideal \( J(W_{q,1^n}) \) can be also generated by elements of the form

\[
\sum_{j=0}^i A_j^{(i)}(q_1, \ldots, q_{n-i+1}, z_{n-1}, \ldots, z_{n-i+1}) z_{n-i}^{j-i-1} = 1, \quad A_0^{(i)} = q_1 \cdots q_{n-i+1},
\]

where \( z_i := z_{1,i}^{-1}, i = 1, \ldots, n - 1 \). For example,

\[
q_1 q_2 q_3 z_{n-2}^3 - 2 q_1 q_2 q_3 z_{n-1} z_{n-2} z_{n-3} + q_2 q_3 z_{n-3}^2 + q_3 z_{n-4} = 1.
\]

Therefore the number of critical points of the superpotential \( W_q \) is equal to \( n! = \dim H^*(\mathcal{F} l_n, \mathbb{Z}) \), as it should be. Note also that \( QH^*(\mathcal{F} l_n, \mathbb{Z}) = QH^*[\mathcal{F} l_n, \mathbb{Z}] \).
6.3 Appendix III  Koszul dual of quadratic algebras and Betti numbers

Let $k$ be a field of zero characteristic, $F^{(n)} := k < x_1, \ldots, x_n > = \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} F_j^{(n)}$ be the free associative algebra generated by $\{x_i, \ 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. Let $A = F^{(n)}/I$ be a quadratic algebra, i.e. the ideal of relations $I$ is generated by the elements of degree 2, $I \subset F_2^{(n)}$. Let $F^{(n)*} = \text{Hom}(F_n, k) = \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} F^{(n)*}_j$ with a multiplication induced by the rule $fg(ab) = f(a)g(b)$, $f \in F_i^{(n)*}$, $g \in F_j^{(n)*}$, $a \in F_i^{(n)}$, $b \in F_j^{(n)}$. Let $I_2^1 = \{f \in F_2^{(n)*}, f(I_2) = 0\}$, and denote by $I^1$ the two-sided ideal in $F^{(n)*}$ generated by the set $I_2^1$.

**Definition 6.5.** The Koszul (or quadratic) dual $A^!$ of a quadratic algebra $A$ is defined to be $A^! := F^{(n)*}/I^1$.

The Koszul dual of a quadratic algebra $A$ is a quadratic algebra and $(A^!)^! = A$.

**Examples 6.1.** (1) Let $A = F^{(n)}$ be the free associative algebra, then the quadratic dual $A^! = k < y_1, \ldots, y_n >/ \langle y_i y_j, 1 \leq i, j \leq n \rangle$.

(2) If $A = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is the ring of polynomials, then

$$A^! = k[y_1, \ldots, y_n]/\langle [y_i, y_j], 1 \leq i, j \leq n \rangle,$$

where we put by definition $[y_i, y_j]_\_ = y_i y_j + y_j y_i$, if $i \neq j$, and $[y_i, y_i]_\_ = y_i^2$.

(3) (cf [63], (b), Chapter 5) Let $A = F^{(n)}/(f_1, \ldots, f_r)$, where $f_i = \sum_{1 \leq j, k \leq n} a_{ijk} x_j x_k$, $i = 1, \ldots, r$ are linear independent elements of degree 2 in $F^{(n)}$. Then the quadratic dual of $A$ is equal to the quotient algebra $A^! = k < y_1, \ldots, y_n >/ J$, where the ideal $J = \langle g_1, \ldots, g_s , s = n^2 - r \rangle$, is generated by elements $g_n = \sum_{1 \leq j, k \leq n} b_{ijk} y_j y_k$. The coefficients $b_{ijk}$, $m = l, \ldots, s$, $1 \leq j, k \leq n$, can be defined from the system of linear equations $\sum_{1 \leq j, k \leq n} a_{ijk} b_{mjk} = 0$, $i = 1, \ldots, m = 1, \ldots, s$.

Let $A = \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} A_j$ be a graded finitely generated algebra over field $k$.

**Definition 6.6.** The Hilbert series of a graded algebra $A$ is defined to be the generating function of dimensions of its homogeneous components: $\text{Hilb}(A, t) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \dim A_k t^k$.

The **Betti numbers** $B_A(n, m)$ of a graded algebra $A$ are defined to be $B_A(i, j) := \dim \text{Tor}^A_i(k, k)_j$.

The **Poincaré series** of a graded algebra $A$ is defined to be the generating function for the Betti numbers: $P_A(s, t) := \sum_{i \geq 0, j \geq 0} B_A(i, j) s^i t^j$.

**Definition 6.7.** A quadratic algebra $A$ is called **Koszul** iff the Betti numbers $B_A(i, j)$ are equal to zero unless $i = j$.

(*) It is well-known that $\text{Hilb}(A, t) P_A(-1, t) = 1$, and a quadratic algebra $A$ is Koszul, if and only if $B_A(i, j) = 0$ for all $i \neq j$. In this case $\text{Hilb}(A, t) = \text{Hilb}(A^!, -t) = 1$.

**Example 6.1.** Let $F_0^{(0)}$ be a quotient of the free associative algebra $F_0$ over field $k$ with the set of generators $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ by the two-sided ideal generated by the set of elements $\{x_1^2, \ldots, x_n^2\}$. Then the algebra $F_1^{(0)}$ is Koszul, and $\text{Hilb}(F_0^{(0)}, t) = \frac{1+t}{1-(n-1)t}$.

6.4 Appendix IV  Hilbert series $\text{Hilb}(3T_n^0, t)$ and $\text{Hilb}((3T_n^0)^!, t)$: Examples

---

Footnote: All computations in this Section were performed by using the computer system **Bergman**, except computations of $\text{Hilb}(3T_n^0, t)$ in degrees from twelfth till fifteenth. The last computations were made by J. Backelin, S. Lundqvist and J.-E. Roos from Stockholm University, using the computer algebra system **aalg**, mainly developed by S. Lundqvist.
Examples 6.2. \( \text{Hilb}(3T^0, t) = [2]^2[3], \) \( \text{Hilb}(3T^0_4, t) = [2]^2[3]^2[4]^2, \) \( \text{Hilb}(3T^0_6, t) = [4]^4[5]^2[6]^4, \) \( \text{Hilb}(3T^0_8, t) = [12]^4[13]^2[14]^4, \) \( \text{Hilb}(3T^0_6, t) = (1, 15, 125, 765, 3831, 16605, 64432, 228855, 755777, 2347365, 6916867, 19468980, 52632322, 137268120, 346652740, 850296030, \ldots). \)

\( \text{Hilb}(3T^0_3, t)(1, 5, 20, 70, 220, 640, 1751, 4560, 11386, 27425, 64015, 145330, 321843, 696960, 1478887, 3080190, \ldots). \)

\( \text{Hilb}(3T^0_4, t)(1, 6, 30, 135, 560, 2190, 8181, 29472, 103032, 351192, 1170377, \ldots). \)

\( \text{Hilb}(3T^0_5, t) = \text{Hilb}(3T^0_6, t)(1, 7, 42, 231, 1190, 5845, 27671, 127239, 571299, 2514463, \ldots). \)

\( \text{Hilb}(3T^0_4, t)(1 - t) = (1, 2, 2, 1), \) \( \text{Hilb}(3T^0_4, t)(1 - t)^2 = (1, 4, 6, 2, -5, -4, -1), \)

\( \text{Hilb}(3T^0_4, t)(1 - t)^3 = (1, 12, 58, 134, 109, -112, -245, -73, 68, 50, 12, 1), \)

\( \text{Hilb}(3T^0_4, t)(1 - t)^3 = (1, 18, 136, 545, 1169, 1022, -624, -1838, -837, 312, 374, 123, 18, 1). \)

We expect that \( \text{Hilb}(3T^0_n, t) \) is a rational function with the only pole at \( t = 1 \) of order \([n/2], \) and the polynomial \( \text{Hilb}(3T^0_n, t)(1 - t)^{[n/2]} \) has degree equals to \([5n/2] - 4, \) if \( n \geq 2. \)

6.5 Appendix V Summation and Duality transformation formulas [41]

Summation Formula Let \( a_1 + \cdots + a_m = b. \) Then

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{m} [a_i] \left( \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{[x_i - x_j + a_j]}{[x_i - x_j]} \right) \frac{[x_i + y - b]}{[x_i + y]} = [b] \prod_{1 \leq i \leq m} \frac{[y + x_i - a_i]}{[y + x_i]}
\]

Duality transformation, case \( N = 1 \) Let \( a_1 + \cdots + a_m = b_1 + \cdots + b_n. \) Then

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{m} [a_i] \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{[x_i - x_j + a_j]}{[x_i - x_j]} \prod_{1 \leq k \leq n} \frac{[x_i + y_k - b_k]}{[x_i + y_k]} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} [b_k] \prod_{l \neq k} \frac{[y_k - y_l + b_l]}{[y_k - y_l]} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq m} \frac{[y_k + x_i - a_i]}{[y_k + x_i]}
\]
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