INTRODUCTION

Tectonics, landscape evolution and stratigraphy are closely coupled in active continental rifts (e.g., Allen, 2008; Cowie et al., 2000; Gawthorpe & Leeder, 2000; Pechlivanidou et al., 2017). Growing normal faults influence the geometry of drainage networks and incision rates within upland catchments (e.g., Kirby & Whipple, 2012; Roda-Boluda & Whittaker, 2017), which in turn controls the location, magnitude and routing of sediment supply to neighbouring depocenters (e.g., Burov & Poliakov, 2001; Cowie et al., 2006; Buiter et al., 2008; Bialas & Buck, 2009;
et al., 2008; Stucky de Quay et al., 2017). Here, we present lift and subsidence (Hartley et al., 2011; Shaw-Champion and Zondervan et al., 2020). In modern rifts, we can analyse digital elevation models (DEMs), together with independent tectonic and stratigraphic constraints, to estimate the patterns and rates of fault evolution (e.g., Pechlivanidou et al., 2017; Quye-Sawyer et al., 2021; Watkins et al., 2020), but in many ancient rifts, similar rift-related palaeo-landscapes are absent. Consequently, time-averaged patterns of faulting must be reconstructed indirectly from structural measurements and stratigraphic observations (e.g., Gawthorpe & Leeder, 2000; Kent et al., 2017). If palaeo-landscapes can be found in the stratigraphic record of ancient rifts, they may reveal important new information about fault growth and evolution as landscapes can record tectonic forcing over timescales of $10^{5}$ to $10^{6}$ years (Whittaker & Boulton, 2012).

To our knowledge, the oldest reported 3D buried palaeo-landscapes are 55–58 Ma seismically imaged drainage networks offshore Scotland, UK, developed and preserved in response to Iceland plume-driven uplift and subsidence (Hartley et al., 2011; Shaw-Champion et al., 2008; Stucky de Quay et al., 2017). Here, we present an even older mountainous landscape, defined by an erosional surface carved into the Palaeozoic basement of the northern North Sea rift, offshore Norway, imaged in 3D seismic reflection data. The surface covers at least 542 km$^{2}$ and reveals a drainage system that developed at the onset of Permian-Triassic rifting (ca. 261 ± 10 Ma).

The 3D pre-stack depth-migrated seismic data (provided courtesy of CGG) used in this study were acquired with a late Permian-Early Triassic, E-W extension led to the development of large (>100 km long in some cases) normal faults, such as the W-dipping Tusse, Vette and Øygarden faults, which bound half-grabens and are the focus of this study (Figure 1a,b). By the Triassic, these faults had developed large displacements (up to 4 km, measured as the distance between hanging and footwall cut-offs of stratigraphic horizons) and were associated with relatively high slip rates (0.1–0.15 mm/yr; Bell et al., 2014); however, the relative age of these faults and the order in which they initiated remains unknown. The top of the basement in the footwall of the Vette Fault constitutes a distinct erosional surface (Figure 1b), which we describe and analyse in further detail below. The surface is carved into ‘basement’ rocks, which are interpreted to consist of Devonian sedimentary rocks, Caledonian allochthons and Proterozoic crystalline rocks based on the onshore geology and limited offshore boreholes penetrating acoustic basement (Fazlikhani et al., 2017). From the few wells that penetrate the sediments above the top basement surface, we know that it is capped by upper Permian rocks composed of unfossiliferous desert sandstones overlain by carbonates and evaporites formed under extreme climate conditions and Early Triassic rocks are comprised of continental redbed intervals deposited in alluvial-fan, fluvial, flood-basin and lacustrine environments (Evens, 2003), which we interpret as good evidence that the surface was formed by sub-aerial erosion.

2 | GEOLOGICAL SETTING

The study area is located in the northern North Sea rift, offshore Norway (Figure 1a). An up to 12-km-thick syn- and post-rift sedimentary succession was deposited on the crystalline basement during and after Late Permian-Early Triassic and Middle Jurassic-Early Cretaceous rifting (Bell et al., 2014; Færseth, 1996; Maystrenko et al., 2017). In the
G-Gun array consisting of 3 subarrays with a source array depth of 6–9 m—a source length of 16–18 m—a shot point interval of 18.75 m—a source separation of 37.5 m—a volume of 0.07456 m³ and an air pressure of 1.379 × 10⁷ Pa.

The streamer consisted of 12 up to 8-km-long cables with 636 channels each; a cable separation of 75 m and group spacing of 12.5 m; depths of 7–50 m covering offsets of 150–8100 m. The data were recorded with a 2 ms sample interval, 9000 ms recording length, a low cut filter (2 Hz–6 db/oct) and a high cut (200 Hz–370 db/oct) filter. The seismic data were processed in 90 steps including divergence compensation, a low cut filter (1.5, 2.5 Hz), noise attenuation (e.g., swelling, direct wave), spatial anti-aliasing filter (12.5 m group interval), direct wave attenuation, source de-signature, de-spike, a time-variant high cut filter, receiver motion correction and de-ghosting, FK filter, cold water and tidal statics, multiple modelling with adaptive subtraction, Tau-P mute, Radon de-multiple, far angle de-striping, multiple attenuations, binning (75 m interval, 107 offset planes), acquisition hole infill, 5D regularisation, 3D true amplitude Kirchhoff pre-stack depth migration, residual move-out correction, Linear FL Radon, full offset stack with time-variant inner and outer mute, acquisition footprint removal, cross-line K
filter, residual de-striping and dynamic Q-compensation. The seismic volume was zero phases processed with SEG normal polarity (peaks are white and troughs are black in seismic images). The data were depth converted using a velocity model calibrated using borehole checkshots. This results in a vertical seismic resolution (a quarter of the wavelength) of ca. 18 m at the depth of interest (ca. 4 km).

4 | METHODS AND RESULTS

In this section, we outline the steps required to recover rift evolution from ancient landscapes as well as present the fundamental methods. We also outline in this section the key findings from the application of each step. In the next section, we integrate these findings to reveal the landscape response to faulting.

4.1 | Seismic interpretation

We mapped the top of the acoustic basement at a spatial resolution (i.e., bin size) of 12.5x18.5 m, revealing a palaeo-landscape preserved in the footwall of the Vette Fault (Figure 1). The top of the acoustic basement is a high-amplitude normal polarity reflection originating from the impedance contrast between sedimentary rocks (above) and crystalline basement (below). In cross-section, the top basement surface is highly irregular, showing relatively steep slopes (up to 15°) and moderate relief (<800 m) (Figure 1b). In plan view, the erosional surface mapped from the seismic data (Figure 2a) reveals an intricate 3D landscape showing a small fault-bounded mountain range with relief up to 800 m, incised by a dendritic fluvial network, from which individual catchments can be identified with exceptional clarity, particularly in the north of the area (Figure 2a). The fault block into which the landscape is carved is bound by two major tectonic normal faults (the Øygarden and Vette faults), which we map using fault plane reflections and cut-offs of footwall and hanging wall strata (Figures 1b and S1). We also map two wedge-shaped, likely Permian–Triassic units (Units 1 and 2) overlying the crystalline basement, which allows us to (i) infer the timing of landscape formation and preservation and (ii) better understand the processes leading to the preservation of the studied landscape. The oldest strata (Unit 1) is defined by medium- to low-amplitude, continuous, sub-horizontal reflections and only occurs in the hanging wall of the Vette Fault, thinning westwards from 2.2 km immediately adjacent to the Vette Fault to 0.3 km on the footwall crest of the Tussé Fault. This thinning towards the Vette Fault can be seen explicitly in the depth of the paleo-surface onlap horizon within Unit 1 shown in Figure 2b. The younger, overlying unit, Unit 2, is defined by low-amplitude, discontinuous reflections and is also present in the hanging wall of the Vette Fault, but it is also developed in the hanging wall of the Øygarden Fault (i.e., it caps the footwall of the Vette Fault) (Figure 1b). The wedge-shaped geometries of units 1 and 2 suggest that they were deposited during active normal faulting, that is they are syn-tectonic. Although no wells penetrate these wedges directly, we estimate their age as Permian–Triassic based on the correlation of seismic reflections from areas where sediment age is constrained by drilling (e.g. Bell et al., 2014; Færseth, 1996; Heeremans & Faleide, 2004).

4.2 | Top basement restoration

To analyse the original geomorphology of this top basement surface, we created a DEM by restoring the top basement to its original geometry (cf. Hartley et al., 2011; Stucky de Quay et al., 2017) (Figure 2). First, we mapped the top basement surface (Figure 2a,b) and the first continuous onlap horizon overlying it (Figure 2c,d). Because this onlap horizon shows almost no topography, we can assume that it once was a more-or-less horizontal palaeo-surface. By subtracting the depth of this onlap surface from the basement surface, we can obtain an approximation of the basement morphology at the time of formation of the onlap surface (Figure 2e,f). We can then grid the basement surface, so it becomes a digital elevation model (DEM) with a cell size of 50x50 m. This reduction in spatial sampling smoothes the topography and removes seismic artefacts. We note, however, that this simple restoration method does not account for any tilting that occurred between the development of the paleo-landscape and the deposition of the onlap surface. Given the fact this paleo-landscape lies in the hanging wall of the Permian–Triassic active Øygarden fault, it is expected that some tilting occurred during the deposition of the sediments between the basement and onlap surface.

Quantitative geomorphic analyses based on the basement surface DEM produced by simply subtracting the onlap surface from the basement surface produced some anomalous drainage patterns, including catchments draining internally or away from the Vette Fault (i.e., to the east) (Figure 3). This suggested, as we expected, that a small degree of tilting between exposure of the landscape and deposition of the onlap surface had occurred. After testing a range of rotations, we found that tilting the surface by 3° to the west, relative to the Vette Fault, was enough to remove the effect of eastward fault block rotation prior to onlap, producing more realistic looking drainage catchments (Figure 3D). Consequently, we
proceed below using the palaeo-surface DEM with this 3° correction applied.

4.3 Geomorphic analysis

To recover the evolution of the Vette and Øygarden faults that cut the basement surface and control the ancient drainage surface, we performed a quantitative geomorphic analysis. Such analyses are much more and commonly performed on modern systems, where different topographic models can be compared and where properties such as precipitation rate, river discharge and bedrock strength can be well constrained (e.g., Crosby & Whipple, 2006; DiBiase et al., 2014; Goren et al., 2014; Kent et al., 2021; Smith et al., 2022; Whittaker et al., 2007 and references therein). Here, we cannot measure or estimate bedrock erodibility (or changes thereof), because the surface is buried under 4 km of sedimentary strata and the basement rocks, into which the surface is eroded are not fully characterised (Fazlikhani et al., 2017). Similarly, although the climate appears to have been consistently arid during late Permian-early Triassic times (e.g., Würtzen et al., 2021), there are no reliable estimates of precipitation rates for that time interval. Consequently, the geomorphic analysis of buried landscapes is by necessity more limited than what can be achieved with modern topographies. Although we cannot analyse the effects of these variables on the surface, we can still (1) extract a drainage network from the surface, (2) analyse channel steepness variations,
(3) extract knickpoints from river elevation profiles (where present) and (4) estimate a range of knickpoint migration times. These steps help us to understand the evolution of the palaeo-landscape as well as give unique insights into the history of the Vette and Øygarden faults at the beginning of Permian–Triassic rifting.

4.3.1 | Stream network extraction

To analyse the drainage network, we first extract it from the restored basement surface DEM using TopoToolbox 2 (Schwanghart & Scherler, 2014). First, we fill the DEM to remove any erroneous elevation values to generate a consistent hydrological surface (Figure 4). As the maximum filling depth, we select the seismic resolution (18 m, i.e., quarter of the wavelength at the depth of interest) of our data set (Figure 4b). Our ancillary analysis of filling depth sensitivity shows that when this value is too high, river networks are straightened and begin to show unrealistic geometries (Figure 4d,e). Next, we recover stream networks and river-long profiles using a D8 flow routing algorithm with a flow accumulation threshold of 0.25 km² typically used in modern systems (e.g., Whittaker & Boulton, 2012) (Figure 5e). This threshold corresponds to the minimum area draining into one cell belonging to a river. If this value is too low, we introduce an unrealistically large number of first-order tributaries (Figure 5a–c).

4.3.1.1 | Channel steepness calculation

To highlight deviations in channel gradient with respect to the fault, we calculate the normalised channel steepness across our network. River incision upstream of active faults is often well described by a family of stream power erosion ‘laws’ (Kirby & Whipple, 2012; Tucker & Whipple, 2002; Whittaker et al., 2007; Whittaker & Walker, 2015). In these cases, channel slope ($S$) is related to the upstream drainage area ($A$) by a power law, which would imply that rivers in the topographic steady state exhibit a concave up profile that represents a balance between a downstream increase in discharge and the downstream decrease in channel gradient. For a classic stream power model, where a river is in the topographic steady state (i.e., erosion rate = uplift rate), we can write:

$$S = \left(\frac{U}{K}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}} A^{-\frac{m}{n}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $U$ represents a rock uplift rate (e.g., driven by faulting), $K$ is a coefficient that describes a number of factors modulating the efficiency of erosion (e.g., bedrock erodibility) and $m$ and $n$ are positive exponents which are related to both the long-term erosional dynamics of the system and
FIGURE 4  Basement surface with stream network and drainage divide for different amounts of topographic filling. Note that high filling values result in straightened streams and rivers. To remove erroneous elevation values, we use the seismic resolution of 18 m (b) as the maximum filling depth of the DEM. Note that unrealistically high filling values result in the artificial straightening of rivers and streams (see arrows).

FIGURE 5  Basement surface with stream network and drainage divide for different flow accumulation cut-offs. Note that low cut-off values result in more tributaries. We chose a flow accumulation threshold of 0.25 km² (e) typically used in modern systems (e.g., Whittaker & Boulton, 2012). Note that low flow accumulation thresholds (e.g., 0.05, 0.1) result in unrealistic drainage networks consisting of a large number of extremely small streams.
its hydraulic geometry (e.g., Kirby & Whipple, 2012). From Equation (1), we can derive

$$ksn = \left(\frac{U}{K}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

This term represents the channel steepness index ($ksn$), which can be calculated from the slope ($S$) and the upstream drainage area ($A$) as well as the coefficients $m$ and $n$, which control the channel concavity ($\theta$), where

$$\theta = \frac{m}{n}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

A reference value of $\theta$ is often used to estimate a normalised channel steepness index, $ksn$, allowing comparisons between channels with different concavities (e.g., Gaillleton et al., 2021; Snyder et al., 2000; Wobus et al., 2006). Given a global mean concavity index for channels of 0.45 (Schwanghart & Scherler, 2014), and the fact that $\theta = 0.45$ is commonly used in many other comparable studies, we calculate normalised channel steepness along our stream network using this value (e.g., Roda-Boluda & Whittaker, 2017; Wobus et al., 2006).

4.3.1.2 | Knickpoint extraction

Knickpoints in individual catchments can be generated by a range of processes including base level change, rock strength variations and landslides (e.g., Scheingross et al., 2021). However, the presence of large profile convexities (knickpoints) located consistently in football catchments upstream of active normal faults very often reflects the transient response of fluvial systems to relative base level change such as a change in fault slip rate (e.g., Whittaker et al., 2007; Kent et al., 2021; Quye-Sawyer et al., 2021). In this scenario, the river reaches downstream of a knickpoint, but for instance upstream of an active fault, has steepened and incised in response to the tectonic perturbation, while upstream of the knickpoint has yet to respond to the fault-driven uplift and retains its pre-existing configuration (Kirby & Whipple, 2012). Knickpoints migrate upstream over time, eventually transmitting the relative tectonic perturbation throughout the catchment area over timescales of $10^6$ years or more (Harkins et al., 2007; Tucker & Whipple, 2002; Whittaker & Boulton, 2012). In this context, we identify knickpoints in the palaeo-river long profiles of our stream network using the knickpoint finder of TopoToolbox 2 (Schwanghart & Scherler, 2017). The knickpoint finder works by reconstructing the measured river elevation profile iteratively. During each iteration, the finder removes a constraint on the curvature at the locations with the maximum offset between the measured and reconstructed profile. After a few iterations, the vertical offset decreases to a minimum tolerance set by the user (Figures 6 and 7). Because this tolerance should reflect vertical uncertainty in the data (Schwanghart & Scherler, 2017), we use 2.5 times the seismic resolution of our survey (45 m; Figure 6c). This high tolerance assures that we extract only major knickpoints in the network (Figure 7c). While other knickpoints may arguably be identified in the data by inspection, we do not pick knickpoints manually to ensure that our results are robust and reproducible.

After the extraction, we measure the elevation of these knickpoints relative to the Vette Fault (where it cuts the river) to a precision of $\pm$25 m, and their distance upstream with a precision of $\pm$100 m (Table 2). Knickpoint height relative to the fault is compared to geological constraints on fault throw (cf. Bell et al., 2014), and knickpoint locations are compared to palaeo-catchment drainage area.

4.3.1.3 | Knickpoint migration time estimation

For any knickpoints located upstream of the footwall-bounding Vette Fault and interpreted to be generated by tectonic forcing, we estimate a timescale for their propagation upstream, assuming they started from the fault, using an estimated propagation velocity $V$, derived from a unit stream power erosion law (Tucker & Whipple, 2002; Whittaker & Boulton, 2012):

$$V \approx KA^{0.5}S^{1-n}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

For these calculations, we assume that $n$ is 1, as this is tractable and simple to first order. Moreover, past studies explicitly investigating football catchments upstream of active normal faults have indicated that $1-n$ is a reasonable approximation, based on observations of discharge-constrained unit stream power scaling with fault throw rates (e.g., Kent et al., 2021; Whittaker & Boulton, 2012; Zondervan et al., 2020). We acknowledge that the true value of $n$ in stream power erosion laws applied to modern systems is much discussed in the literature (e.g., Lague, 2014). However, it is not possible to constrain $n$ independently for this 250 Ma old landscape, so we argue $n = 1$ involves the fewest assumptions, and that it is better to privilege a simpler model over a more complex one. Similarly, if we assume $m - 0.5$, which is broadly consistent with global concavity values, we obtain a very simple dimensional relationship between the velocity of knickpoint retreat, $V$, and the drainage area, $A$, where $K$ has units of the inverse of time:

$$V \approx KA^{0.5}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

This equation means that migration rates decrease as knickpoints propagate upstream along rivers from the fault, and drainage areas upstream of the knickpoints reduce (e.g., Crosby & Whipple, 2006; DiBiase et al., 2014;
Goren et al., 2014; Mitchell & Yanites, 2019). In our case, we can capture this effect by computing the speed and distance the knickpoints can propagate from the fault, given the known length of the trunk channels with respect to their known drainage area at any point in the fluvial network. We can therefore estimate knickpoint migration time by stepwise summation of the product of the migration distance as a function of velocity-dependent drainage area as long as we have an estimate of $K$. We choose to use a range of values for $K$ for the calculation of knickpoint

**Figure 6** Knickpoints in map view extracted for different tolerance values of (a) 27, (b) 36 and (c) 45 m.

**Figure 7** Knickpoints along elevation profiles extracted for different tolerance values of (a) 27, (b) 36 and (c) 45 m.
migration times taken directly from the knickpoint data compilation presented in Whittaker and Boulton (2012). These data show that for rivers of similar size to the catchments, we consider here and crossing active normal faults with comparable slip rates in both Italy and Turkey, $0.5 \times 10^{-6} \text{ yr}^{-1} < K < 2 \times 10^{-6} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ (Whittaker & Boulton, 2012).

We stress that this analysis aims to give a first-order estimate of knickpoint propagation rates and landscape response times. The use of larger or smaller values of this variable would scale calculated knickpoint migration times accordingly. Note that these calculations neglect the isostatic effect of unloading as the amount of the bedrock exhumation is not known.

### 4.4 Landscape response to active faulting at ca. 250 Ma

From this unique palaeo-landscape and from our sensitivity analyses in Figures 3–7, we extracted 68 palaeo-catchments with drainage areas up to 60 km² and longest trunk rivers of up to 20 km, which we analyse below (Table 1; Figure 8). Many catchments show abrupt channel steepness index variations (Figure 8b).

| Paleo-catchment number | River length (km) | Catchment area (km²) |
|------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| 1                      | 7.44              | 9.9                  |
| 2                      | 0.44              | 0.44                 |
| 3                      | 1.49              | 1.76                 |
| 4                      | 5.49              | 4.82                 |
| 5                      | 0.29              | 0.5                  |
| 6                      | 20.35             | 60.02                |
| 7                      | 1.94              | 3.44                 |
| 8                      | 2.93              | 5.09                 |
| 9                      | 6.62              | 18.27                |
| 10                     | 1.00              | 1.36                 |
| 11                     | 7.15              | 13.08                |
| 12                     | 0.07              | 0.23                 |
| 13                     | 18.41             | 55.83                |
| 14                     | 3.61              | 4.25                 |
| 15                     | 1.26              | 1.72                 |
| 16                     | 1.04              | 1.02                 |
| 17                     | 3.16              | 5.63                 |
| 18                     | 2.26              | 1.73                 |
| 19                     | 0.31              | 0.28                 |
| 20                     | 0.05              | 0.29                 |
| 21                     | 0.12              | 0.17                 |
| 22                     | 2.51              | 3.17                 |
| 23                     | 5.84              | 5.2                  |
| 24                     | 9.56              | 25.03                |
| 25                     | 3.08              | 2.64                 |
| 26                     | 1.71              | 1.02                 |
| 27                     | 0.24              | 0.47                 |
| 28                     | 1.81              | 1.28                 |
| 29                     | 0.12              | 0.38                 |
| 30                     | 2.43              | 2.93                 |
| 31                     | 6.06              | 5.3                  |
| 32                     | 4.64              | 3.55                 |
| 33                     | 0.45              | 0.47                 |
| 34                     | 5.17              | 8.54                 |
| 35                     | 3.67              | 8.63                 |
| 36                     | 5.45              | 3.64                 |
| 37                     | 4.79              | 8.43                 |
| 38                     | 0.81              | 0.8                  |
| 39                     | 0.17              | 0.2                  |
| 40                     | 0.42              | 0.53                 |
| 41                     | 3.92              | 3.13                 |
| 42                     | 3.31              | 3.15                 |
| 43                     | 0.70              | 0.59                 |
| 44                     | 2.09              | 0.42                 |
| 45                     | 0.26              | 2.71                 |

(Continues)
Significantly, high steepness index river segments (>50 m long) are mainly found in catchments that decrease in elevation and appear to drain westwards towards the Vette Fault (Figure 8b). Reconstructed palaeo-channel long profiles are not concave up but show distinct convexities on a range of length scales (Figure 8c,d). The channel long profiles are remarkably similar to those observed in modern fluvial systems draining across active normal faults (e.g., Goren et al., 2014; Kent et al., 2021; Whittaker & Boulton, 2012). Consequently, the sharp variations in channel steepness suggest that the palaeo-landscape was not in a topographic steady state when it was buried under stratigraphic units 1 and 2.

We extract 25 knickpoints from the palaeo-river long profiles we obtain from our preferred DEM (Figure 8a,c,d; Table 2). Thirteen catchments have at least one significant knickpoint upstream of the Vette Fault and several have two. These knickpoints lie at distances between 0.44 and 10 km upstream of the Vette Fault, with elevations for the lower or single set of knickpoints varying between 45 and 300 m above the elevation where they cross the Vette Fault (Figure 8d). In terms of their size and scale, these catchments and knickpoints are directly comparable to modern fluvial systems crossing normal faults in Italy, Turkey, and Greece (Fernandez-Blanco et al., 2019; Kent et al., 2021; Roda-Boluda & Whittaker, 2017; Whittaker & Walker, 2015), where rivers have been shown to record changing fault slip rates over timescales of 1–5 million years.

When plotted along the strike of the Vette Fault (Figure 9c), the elevation of the knickpoints relative to the fault (i.e., the height of the knickpoint above the fault where it intersects the river downstream) is an order of magnitude smaller than the fault throw obtained from Bell et al. (2014) between 0 and 40 km along strike, with these values being comparable for the northern part of the fault between 40 and 60 km (Figure 9). Knickpoint elevations are smallest 25–40 km along strike, which is where the throw is presently greatest (Figure 9b,c). The majority of catchments crossing the fault have one knickpoint (red circles); some have two knickpoints identified (white circles). The upper set of knickpoints also have elevations that are considerably less than the geologic throw. Given that knickpoints can be created by a change in relative base level caused by faulting, such as an increase in fault slip rate, and given that knickpoint elevation, since formation, is known to scale predictably with fault slip rate (cf. Tucker & Whipple, 2002; Whittaker et al., 2008; Wobus et al., 2006), we deduce that the knickpoints are related to the active faulting which was happening at the time. Further, we infer for those knickpoints located to the east of the southern part of the Vette Fault, that they likely post-date the accumulation of some of the slip on the fault as their elevations are much less than the footwall relief of the paleo-surface (e.g., Whittaker & Walker, 2015). However, these knickpoints also clearly predate subsequent throw on the fault accumulated during the deposition of Units 1 and 2 (Bell et al., 2014), which we show to bury the landscape (Figure 1). We also note that

---

**FIGURE 8** (a) Best-fit restored basement surface with stream network and drainage divides. (b) Normalised channel steepness index of the stream network. (c) Knickpoints in map view extracted from stream network and used in our analysis. (d) Knickpoint elevations along long profile extracted from our stream network shown in (c).
| Knickpoint number | Height (m) | Downstream area (km²) | Distance from Vette fault (km) | Low K landscape response time (Myr) | Medium K landscape response time (Myr) | High K landscape response time (Myr) | Low K migration time (Myr) | Medium K migration time (Myr) | High K migration time (Myr) |
|------------------|------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| 1                | 158        | 3.71                    | 6.27                          | 2.49                             | 4.99                                | 9.98                              | 1.33                      | 2.67                      | 5.34                      |
| 2                | 51         | 1.89                    | 3.85                          | 1.43                             | 2.87                                | 5.73                              | 0.82                      | 1.64                      | 3.28                      |
| 3                | 96         | 3.94                    | 2.75                          | 1.42                             | 2.85                                | 5.7                               | 0.71                      | 1.43                      | 2.85                      |
| 4                | 59         | 1.81                    | 3.97                          | 2.49                             | 4.99                                | 9.98                              | 1.0                       | 2.01                      | 4.01                      |
| 5                | 85         | 11.02                   | 2.69                          | 0.93                             | 1.86                                | 3.71                              | 0.32                      | 0.63                      | 1.27                      |
| 6                | 52         | 4.27                    | 6.64                          | 1.22                             | 2.44                                | 4.88                              | 1.16                      | 2.32                      | 4.64                      |
| 7                | 108        | 2.91                    | 4.13                          | 1.68                             | 3.37                                | 6.74                              | 0.58                      | 1.17                      | 2.33                      |
| 8                | 50         | 0.28                    | 3.41                          | 1.58                             | 3.16                                | 6.31                              | 1.0                       | 2.01                      | 4.01                      |
| 9                | 56         | 1.33                    | 1.07                          | 1.21                             | 2.42                                | 4.84                              | 0.34                      | 0.69                      | 1.37                      |
| 10               | 60         | 0.05                    | 2.31                          | 0.69                             | 1.37                                | 2.75                              | 0.65                      | 1.29                      | 2.59                      |
| 11               | 64         | 5.9                     | 0.44                          | 1.44                             | 2.87                                | 5.75                              | 0.71                      | 1.42                      | 2.82                      |
| 12               | 75         | 1.9                     | 4.86                          | 1.44                             | 2.87                                | 5.75                              | 0.59                      | 1.18                      | 2.35                      |
| 13               | 45         | 5.95                    | 2.76                          | 1.5                              | 3.01                                | 6.01                              | 0.48                      | 0.97                      | 1.93                      |
| 14               | 89         | 0.62                    | 4.88                          | 1.15                             | 2.3                                 | 4.6                               | 0.69                      | 1.38                      | 2.77                      |
| 15               | 55         | 14.37                   | 3.37                          | 0.93                             | 1.86                                | 3.71                              | 0.54                      | 1.07                      | 2.15                      |
| 16               | 49         | 6.12                    | 7.58                          | 2.49                             | 4.99                                | 9.98                              | 0.47                      | 0.93                      | 1.86                      |
| 17               | 65         | 0.92                    | 4.95                          | 1.68                             | 3.37                                | 6.74                              | 0.85                      | 1.71                      | 3.41                      |
| 18               | 50         | 25                      | 1.92                          | 1.21                             | 2.42                                | 4.84                              | 0.14                      | 0.29                      | 0.57                      |
| 19               | 73         | 7.89                    | 10                            | 1.68                             | 3.37                                | 6.74                              | 0.95                      | 1.9                       | 3.79                      |
| 20               | 59         | 9.67                    | 5.62                          | 1.44                             | 2.87                                | 5.75                              | 0.69                      | 1.39                      | 2.78                      |
| 21               | 48         | 2.32                    | 6.22                          | 1.15                             | 2.3                                 | 4.6                               | 0.95                      | 1.9                       | 3.81                      |
| 22               | 45         | 4.54                    | 2.73                          | 1.68                             | 3.37                                | 6.74                              | 0.43                      | 0.87                      | 1.74                      |
| 23               | 58         | 0.68                    | 4.26                          | 1.44                             | 2.87                                | 5.75                              | 1.37                      | 2.74                      | 5.49                      |
| 24               | 48         | 4.73                    | 7.64                          | 1.44                             | 2.87                                | 5.75                              | 0.84                      | 1.68                      | 3.35                      |
| 25               | 46         | 0                       | 4.35                          | 1.44                             | 2.87                                | 5.75                              | 1.29                      | 2.57                      | 5.14                      |
along-strike changes in elevation appear to cluster into two zones, separated by low knickpoint point heights relative to the fault at approximately 30 km along the fault strike. These results resemble the distribution of knickpoints mapped upstream of modern fault segments (e.g., Roda-Boluda & Whittaker, 2017; Whittaker & Walker, 2015) so we infer the existence of two palaeo-fault segments (south: 0–30 km and north: 30–60 km) that were likely active early in the evolution of the Vette Fault—we explore this further in our discussion below.

Although there is considerable scatter, knickpoints on palaeo-catchments with bigger drainage areas are generally located further upstream (L = A^{0.38 ± 0.12}) (Figure 10). If all the knickpoints had been generated at a single time, for instance by a single fault linkage event that increased fault slip rate, we would expect the distance they had propagated to scale predictably with ca. A^{0.5} assuming similar values for K for all rivers (cf. Whittaker & Walker, 2015). The spread of data therefore suggests that the knickpoints we have documented were likely generated by more than one event, but several of them may have formed within a similar time window given that those located on big catchments are in some cases located predictably further upstream from the fault. Overall, we interpret these knickpoints to capture the transient response of the footwall landscape to the early growth of the Vette Fault near the onset of Permian–Triassic rifting. The palaeo-landscape was subsequently buried in the hanging wall of the Øygarden Fault before the transient tectonic signal had a chance to propagate fully through the fluvial system.

5 DISCUSSION

5.1 Duration of faulting and knickpoint migration

Once formed, tectonically driven knickpoints migrate upstream, as catchments progressively respond to the relative change in base level (Kirby & Whipple, 2012). We can thus use the vertical and plan view position of the knickpoints relative to the fault documented in this study as a proxy for the timescale of fault growth and the transient landscape response to this. Knickpoint elevation upstream of interacting fault segments is known to scale with the relative rate of base level changes and the time since this has taken place (Whittaker & Boulton, 2012). The maximum elevation of the lower knickpoints is ca. 250 m. If we divide this by published throw rates on the Vette Fault of 0.15 mm/yr (Bell et al., 2014) as a maximum, this would imply that the transient landscape represents a minimum of 1.7 Myr, similar to faulted landscapes in Greece (e.g., Whittaker & Walker, 2015). However, we do not have good constraints on fault throw rates in the early history of the Vette Fault, which may have been less than 0.15 mm/yr. Alternatively, we can calculate upstream migration rates of the knickpoints using plausible bedrock erodibilities based on an average of a compilation of modern systems upstream of active faults with similar drainage areas and slip rates (cf. ‘Data and Methods; Whittaker & Boulton, 2012), we obtain timescales of knickpoint propagation of 0.14–5.49 Myr (Table 2; Figure 11). These imply a median landscape response time of 2.98 Myr and extremes of 0.69–9.98 Myr if
we estimate the time taken for the knickpoints along strike to propagate to the headwaters of the catchments (i.e., $A < 1 \text{ km}^2$) (Table 2). The consistency of these estimates for the vertical and plan view timescale of knickpoint propagation indicates these values are plausible and consequently we deduce that the palaeo-landscape records fault growth and interaction over a period of a few million years (Figure 4c). Considering that dating of sedimentary rocks, fault rocks and dykes suggests that Permian–Triassic rifting began around $261 \pm 10$ (Fossen & Dunlap, 1999) and lasted tens of millions of years (Fossen et al., 2021; Ravnås et al., 2000), our results indicate that the Vette Fault was only this active for a fraction of this episode. This analysis therefore demonstrates how geomorphic techniques can provide further detail on the timescales of ancient fault growth which cannot be obtained from deeply buried synrift sediments which commonly lack sufficient age control (cf. Cowie et al., 2006). This suggests that looking for palaeo-landscapes in other seismic data sets of rifted margins would be useful for constraining the timescales and history of fault growth in conjunction with conventional stratigraphic and structural analyses.

5.2 | Landscape preservation—Strain migration

A key question is how the palaeo-landscape we have reconstructed was generated, buried and preserved. To understand this question, we reconstruct the tectono-stratigraphic context and evolution of the fault and landscape system through time, which we summarise in Figure 12. Given that the landscape is buried underneath a syn-tectonic (i.e., wedge-shaped) Permian–Triassic stratigraphic unit (Units 1 and 2), the landscape almost certainly developed during the onset of Permian–Triassic rifting in the northern North Sea. The underlying syn-tectonic (i.e., wedge-shaped) Permian–Triassic unit (Unit 1) formed in the hanging wall of the Vette Fault indicating that the fault was active when the landscape was at the surface (Figure 4a). At this time, there is no evidence that the neighbouring Øygarden Fault was active as Unit 1 is not found in its hangingwall, whereas Unit 2 thickens markedly towards this structure (Figure 12a). The knickpoints and overall landscape we have documented were created when early segments of the Vette Fault were actively creating footwall topography and displacing palaeostreams and rivers—their segments subsequently grew together and potentially linked, increasing fault slip rates as they did so (Figure 2c) (see also Bell et al., 2014). Our analysis shows that the landscape upstream of the Vette Fault at this stage was not in a topographic steady state, and that the knickpoints we document record a time period of $0.14–5.49$ Myr, the drainage system was rapidly subsided (but not below sea level), buried and preserved beneath sub-aerial Permian–Triassic strata (Unit 2) which also thickens towards the Øygarden Fault (Figures 1b and 4b). The rapid burial of the footwall of the Vette Fault, before the transient landscape had equilibrated, was likely
driven by strain migration from the Vette Fault to the larger Øygarden Fault during rift evolution on the eastern margin of the North Viking Graben. This interpretation is supported by the fact that the Øygarden Fault likely achieved a length of >100 km during the Permo-Triassic stage of rifting (Bell et al., 2014). Consequently, we hypothesise that the hanging wall of this fault produced subsidence rates that outpaced footwall uplift on the Vette Fault, leading to the rapid burial of the basement landscape. (Figure 12b).

### 6 | CONCLUSIONS

This study reveals a sub-aerial drainage system carved into the basement surface of the northern North Sea Rift. This system covers at least 542 km² and is bound by two major tectonic normal faults, the Øygarden Fault to the East and the Vette Fault to the West. A quantitative geomorphic analysis of the system reveals that many catchments draining across the Vette Fault have high (>60) steepness indices and that 13 of the 68 catchments have knickpoints indicating that the landscape preserved a period of transient tectonics driven by footwall uplift of the Vette Fault at the onset of Late Permain-Early Triassic rifting (ca. 250 Ma). Two independent estimates based on published fault slip and knickpoint migration rates suggest that the palaeo-landscape records fault growth and interaction over periods of a few million years. Finally, we interpret that this landscape was preserved by an eastward shift of fault activity from the Vette to the Øygarden Fault, effectively subsiding and burying the landscape beneath Permain–Triassic strata (Unit 2) in the hanging wall of the Øygarden Fault.
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