Geochemical and isotopic evidence for upward flow of saline fluid to the Mississippi River Valley alluvial aquifer, southeastern Arkansas, USA
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Abstract
Groundwater from the Quaternary Mississippi River Valley Alluvial (MRVA) aquifer in southeastern Arkansas (SE AR), USA, has higher salinity compared to other MRVA groundwater. Previous studies have argued for infiltration of evaporated soil water as a primary source for the elevated salinity, although seepage from local rivers and deep groundwater sources also have been considered. Geochemical and isotope data from irrigation, public supply, and industrial wells, as well as subsurface geologic data, are used to demonstrate that upward flow of saline water along regional faults is the primary source of salinity in MRVA aquifer groundwater in SE AR. Sodium, chloride (Cl⁻) and bromide (Br⁻) concentrations illustrate mixing relationships between MRVA aquifer groundwater and Jurassic Smackover Formation brine, with mixing percentages of <1% Smackover brine being the source of anomalously high Cl⁻, Br⁻, and other ions in MRVA groundwater with elevated salinity. Stable oxygen and hydrogen isotope data suggest substantial mixing of Paleogene Wilcox Formation water with that of the MRVA aquifer groundwater and varying degrees of evaporative concentration. Radiocarbon and helium isotope data argue for contributions of chloride-rich, pre-modern and relatively fresh modern water for recharge to the MRVA aquifer. Chloride concentration in MRVA aquifer waters closely follows the spatial distribution of earthquake-induced liquefaction features and known or suspected geologic faults in SE AR and northeastern Louisiana. A conceptual model is developed where deep-seated basinal fluids in overpressured reservoirs migrate upward along faults during and following Holocene earthquakes into the overlying MRVA over 100s to 1,000s of years.
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Introduction
Vertical upward migration of groundwater is known to affect water quality in near-surface aquifers. The conditions leading to upward migration vary, but most commonly stem from flow along preferential pathways from underlying rock units at elevated pore pressure (Carrillo-Rivera et al. 1996; Mehta et al. 2000; Frumkin and Gvirtzman 2006; Petitta et al. 2011), thermohaline convection (Evans et al. 1991; Magri et al. 2009), groundwater pumping in shallow aquifers (Howard and Mullings 1996; Cherry 2019), or cross-formational or up-dip flow (Ma et al. 2005). Changes in water chemistry due to upward groundwater flow or release of solutes due to dilation may also occur along faults during earthquakes (Favara et al. 2001; Claesson et al. 2004; Malakootian and Nouri 2010; Woith et al. 2013; Skelton et al. 2014; Rosen et al. 2018). Hydrologic conditions leading to upward migration of saline water into shallow aquifers, especially those utilized extensively for agricultural or industrial water supply, are not well understood. In particular, the degree to which extensive pumping versus natural processes cause upward fluid migration can be difficult to assess.

Groundwater pumped from the Mississippi River Valley Alluvial (MRVA) aquifer is used for irrigation throughout the south-central United States, with average annual pumping of 34 billion L/day (Clark et al. 2011). Water quality problems are generally mild in the aquifer, especially in northeastern Arkansas, western Tennessee, and southeastern Missouri.
(Waldron et al. 2011; Kingsbury et al. 2015). However, elevated salinity (as indicated by >100 mg/L chloride) has been observed in the MRVA aquifer in southeastern Arkansas (SE AR) since the 1950’s (Onellion and Criner 1955; Bedinger and Reed 1961; Kresse and Clark 2008). Sources for the elevated salinity have commonly been attributed to upward flow from underlying formations (Onellion and Criner 1955; Broom and Reed 1973; Fitzpatrick 1985; Kresse and Clark 2008), interactions with infiltrated river water (Bedinger and Jeffery 1964; Kresse and Fazio 2002), and infiltrated soil water that had been evaporatively concentrated (Kresse and Fazio 2002; Kresse and Clark 2008). Most recently, spatial analysis of groundwater quality data in the MRVA aquifer, Holocene earthquake liquefaction features, and surficial deposits in SE AR established a strong correspondence between salinity in the MRVA aquifer and liquefaction features (Paul et al. 2017), suggesting a prominent role of upward migration of deep subsurface fluids along faults. In the present study, chemical and isotopic hydrologic tracers from irrigation wells screened in the MRVA aquifer (Fig. 1), and limited sampling of wells screened in the Middle and Lower Claiborne aquifers are used to evaluate the role of upward migration of deep subsurface fluids versus shallow surface sources in development of elevated salinity in the MRVA aquifer in SE AR. Previous studies have generally taken a qualitative or graphical approach to assessing the contributions of various salinity sources, using little isotope or trace element data. Statistical analysis of existing water chemistry data was used to identify a limited number of wells for sampling major and trace element geochemistry, stable hydrogen, carbon and oxygen isotopes (δ2H, δ13C, and δ18O), tritium (3H), helium isotopes (3He/4He), noble gases and carbon-14 (14C). These data are used to evaluate the residence time, chemical and isotopic mixing relationships, and the geochemical evolution of shallow groundwater with potential mixing components. A hydrogeologic conceptual model for the distribution of saline groundwater in the MRVA aquifer in SE AR is presented that illustrates mechanisms that may be important in other regions, especially where both tectonic and anthropogenic processes may impact shallow groundwater quality.

**Geologic and hydrologic background**

Southeastern Arkansas is in the south-central portion of the Mississippi embayment (ME). The structural basin of the ME contains more than 1.5 km (km) of Mesozoic and Cenozoic sediments overlying metamorphosed sedimentary rocks of the Paleozoic Ouachita fold-thrust belt (Cushing et al. 1964; Cox and Van Arsdale 2002). The lower Mesozoic strata unconformably overlie the Paleozoic rocks and comprise Upper Triassic through Upper Jurassic continental, evaporative, and shallow marine deposits associated with rifting and development of the Gulf of Mexico (Cushing et al. 1964; Dickinson 1968; Harry and Londono 2004; Cox et al. 2013). The upper Mesozoic and Cenozoic strata unconformably overlie the lower Mesozoic sediments and comprise Cretaceous through Neogene marine and fluvial deposits of the ME structural basin (Cushing et al. 1964; Cox et al. 2013), which is attributed to the ME passing over the Bermuda hotspot (Cox and Van Arsdale 2002). Pleistocene and Holocene sediments include fluvial and lacustrine deposits of the Mississippi River and its tributaries in the lower Mississippi River alluvial valley (Saucier 1994). Recent geophysical and structural studies in SE AR provide evidence for several ancient and active faults that offset Jurassic through Pleistocene and Holocene deposits (Cox et al. 2006, 2010, 2012, 2013; Hao et al. 2015). Sand-blow fields observed throughout SE AR are interpreted to record liquefaction from local earthquakes during the Holocene (Cox et al. 2006, 2010). Pleistocene and Holocene deposits are offset along the Saline River fault zone in southern Arkansas (Cox et al. 2013), providing evidence for seismicity in the southern ME along the basement Alabama-Oklahoma transform zone.

Most recently, Hao et al. (2015) imaged the Arkansas River Fault Zone and two unnamed faults associated with the Monroe Uplift along the Mississippi River, the latter two faults show evidence for Quaternary movement.

The Cretaceous through Quaternary sediments comprise a system of aquifers and confining units in the ME (Fig. 2; Hart et al. 2008). Freshwater is produced for municipal and industrial uses extensively from the Eocene Sparta Sand (Middle Claiborne aquifer; Kingsbury et al. 2015), but water quality in the deeper Eocene Carrizo Sand (Lower Claiborne aquifer) and Paleocene Wilcox Formation is poor, with moderate to high total dissolved solids (Waldron et al. 2011).

**Hydrogeology of the MRVA aquifer in SE AR**

The MRVA aquifer is present beneath over 50,000 km² (km²) in parts of Missouri, Kentucky, Tennessee, Louisiana, and SE AR, with thicknesses ranging from 15 to 45 m (m). The aquifer materials include Quaternary sand and gravel deposited by the Mississippi River and its tributaries (Saucier 1994). The MRVA aquifer is capped by the Mississippi River Valley confining unit, which is composed of 3–15 m of Quaternary clay, silt, and fine-grained sand deposited in low energy environments of the Mississippi River and its tributaries (Ackerman 1996; Kresse et al. 2014). Relatively rapid natural and artificial recharge occurs over the aquifer’s geographic extent, except where the confining unit limits recharge (Kresse and Fazio 2002; Kresse et al. 2014). The aquifer is also in hydraulic connection with the Mississippi River and other regional rivers (Ackerman 1996).
The MRVA aquifer is used primarily for irrigation water and had the third largest irrigation water production in the US with a total economic impact of nearly 10 billion dollars per year in 2017 (Alhassan et al. 2019). The MRVA aquifer has an average hydraulic conductivity of 60 m per day (m/day) (Ackerman 1996) and a saturated thickness in excess of 15 m in most places, but water levels are continuing to decline over the region (Kresse et al. 2014). Over 4700 irrigation wells in the MRVA aquifer commonly produce over 1,800 L/min and upwards of 7,500 L/min in some instances (Czarnecki 2010) to irrigate fields of corn, cotton, rice, and soybeans (Kresse et al. 2014).

Fig. 1 Location of sampling sites in Chicot and Desha counties, Arkansas, overlain on the distribution of chloride concentrations in groundwater samples from irrigation wells screened in the MRVA aquifer (modified from Kresse and Clark 2008). Surface-water sites (USGS data) are shown for the Arkansas River, Bayou Bartholomew, and Mississippi River. Red markers indicate groundwater and and black markers signify surface water. Inset shows location of study area in North America.
Overall, the quality of groundwater from the MRVA aquifer throughout much of the ME is good (Kresse et al. 2014). The groundwater has a mixed cation-bicarbonate composition (Waldron et al. 2011), but elevated concentrations of hardness, iron, and manganese commonly limit domestic and municipal water supply use (Kresse et al. 2014). Anomalously high chloride concentrations in the MRVA aquifer were first discovered during the late 1940's during a period of increased demand for irrigation water (Kresse and Clark 2008). Onellion and Criner (1955) measured chloride concentrations of MRVA aquifer groundwater from Chicot County in excess of 1,400 mg/L, whereas Bedinger and Reed (1961) noted a north–south trending band of elevated (>50 mg/L) chloride concentrations in Desha County with concentrations in some wells as high as 182 mg/L. Kresse and Clark (2008) showed anomalously high chloride concentrations in two areas (Fig. 1). “Area I” is a diffuse, northwest–southeast trending zone of moderately elevated chloride concentrations, with the highest concentrations in Desha County (Kresse and Clark 2008). Groundwater from 203 irrigation wells in Desha County yielded an average chloride content of 86 mg/L and a maximum of 361 mg/L (Kresse and Clark 2008). “Area II” is a narrow, north-south trending zone of anomalously chloride-rich waters in Chicot County. The chloride anomaly is more pronounced in area II compared to area I, where a 225 km² area produces irrigation water with chloride contents in excess of 200 mg/L, with some as high as 1,639 mg/L (Kresse and Clark 2008). This is significant considering the Arkansas Cooperative Extension Service recommended limit of chloride concentration in rice irrigation water is 100 mg/L (Tacker et al. 1994).

The origin of the anomalously high chloride concentrations in groundwater in SE AR remains unclear. Previous authors have suggested the chloride anomalies in Chicot County are due to the upward flow of groundwater from deeper formations (Onellion and Criner 1955; Broom and Reed 1973; Fitzpatrick 1985; Huff and Bonck 1993; Kresse and Clark 2008). Fitzpatrick (1985) suggested the chloride anomalies in Desha County were also due to upward flow of groundwater from deeper aquifers, whereas other authors have argued the chloride anomalies are due to aquifer transmissivity (Broom and Reed 1973) or evaporative processes in surface soils (Kresse and Clark 2008). Bedinger and Reed (1961) and Fitzpatrick (1985) also noted some chloride anomalies in Desha County were proximal to the Arkansas River. Paul et al. (2017) showed strong spatial correlation between earthquake-induced liquefaction sand-blows (Cox et al. 2004; Cox et al. 2006) and chloride anomalies in the MRVA aquifer in Desha County (Kresse and Clark 2008), suggesting the groundwater anomalies are related to tectonic processes.

Application of hydrologic tracers in the MRVA aquifer in SE AR

Isotopic and chemical hydrologic tracer data were obtained from the MRVA aquifer in select wells to test hypotheses regarding the source of elevated chloride in SE AR. The presence of tritium (³H) and tritiogenic ³He above background concentrations indicate the presence of modern water (<60 years old), thus, distinguishing near-surface recharge sources from older, deeper sources (e.g., Zhong et al. 2019; Palcsu et al. 2017; McMahon et al. 2011; Cool and Bohike 2000). Helium-4 (⁴He) concentration and ³He/⁴He are useful for identifying geologically older groundwater of deep crustal origin (e.g., Vautour et al. 2015; Gardner and Heilweil 2014; Solomon 2000). Carbon-14 (¹⁴C) can provide age constraints for groundwater that is hundreds to tens of thousands of years old (Cook and Dogramaci 2019; Kalin 2000), clarifying residence times of aquifer storage. Stable oxygen and hydrogen isotope ratio tracers are sensitive to evaporation and water-
rock interactions (Coplen et al. 2000) and provide information regarding specific recharge sources, especially those from infiltrated stream or soil water (e.g., Kendall and Coplen 2001). Noble gases indicate recharge processes by providing recharge temperatures and excess gas contents (Mazor 1976; Aeschbach-Hertig et al. 2000). Elevated trace element concentrations are commonly associated with specific groundwater sources or hydrochemical processes and provide useful tracers where unique end-member compositions can be defined (e.g., deep basin brines; Carpenter and Trout 1978). None of these tracers by themselves provides definitive data for testing the proposed sources of chloride, but as an ensemble the data permit elimination or support for specific hypotheses.

Methods

Well selection

The well selection strategy was designed to sample groundwater from wells screened in the MRVA aquifer with varying chloride concentrations and beneath different soil types. For comparison, two wells screened in the Middle Claiborne aquifer and one flowing artesian well screened in the Lower Claiborne aquifer were also sampled. Factor analysis was performed on existing groundwater quality data from the United States Geological Survey (USGS) database (Kresse and Clark 2008), which are shown in Fig. 1, and additional data (T. Kresse, US Geological Survey, personal communication, Kresse et al. 2014) to identify a limited number of wells for sampling (e.g., Kreamer et al. 1996). Factor analysis is a statistical method for identifying systematic variations in a data set by which each member of the data set is related to a series of eigenvectors, in this case based on chemical data. The eigenvectors represent trends in the variance of the chemical data allowing specific groups to be identified based on chloride content or salinity.

Identification of wells appropriate for sampling further required contacting individual farmers for permission to sample the wells. In many cases, the wells identified for sampling by the factor analysis were no longer operational and proximal substitutes were found. Because of the sensitivity of farmers to revealing the salinity of groundwater on their property, individual sampled wells are indicated only approximately in Fig. 1. Information about the well depth and construction was obtained from the farmers or municipalities. The depth of the top of screen in the flowing artesian well was estimated using the water temperature, measured heat flow in the study area (Blackwell et al. 2011), thermal conductivity values of geologic materials (Eppelbaum et al. 2014), and an estimation of sand and clay content in geophysical borehole logs from the study area (Hart et al. 2008).

Sampling procedures

MRVA aquifer groundwater samples were collected from six irrigation wells in Chicot and Desha Counties, Arkansas (Table 1). The irrigation wells were sampled in July 2013 after being extensively pumped during the spring and early summer to obtain groundwater samples from the MRVA aquifer under stressed conditions. Samples were collected by connecting into the air-release pipe on top the distribution outflow pipe of the well assembly with the use of a 5-cm (2-in.) steel couple stepped-down with PVC couples to a 1.3 cm (0.5 in.) brass barb. Teflon tape was applied to all couple threads to ensure an air-tight seal. Teflon tubing (1.3 cm inner diameter) was attached to the barb through which water flowed into a YSI 6600 flow-through cell and discharged through a supply line for sample collection. Backpressure was applied to the wells if bubbles were observed in the sampling string.

Middle Claiborne aquifer groundwater samples were collected from two municipal supply wells in Desha County. Samples were collected by connecting into a tap on the outflow pipe from the pump where water quality samples are collected. Teflon tubing (1.3 cm inner diameter) was attached to the tap through which water flowed into the YSI flow-through cell and discharged through a supply line for sample collection. A Lower Claiborne aquifer groundwater sample was obtained from a flowing artesian well at an abandoned fish farm in Chicot County. The well was inaccessible, but a sample was collected by placing 1.3-cm Teflon tubing into the throat of the discharge pipe from the well. The Teflon tubing was connected to a YSI 6600 flow-through cell through which water would flow for sample collection.

Field measurements were made with a YSI 6600 multiprobe sonde and included dissolved oxygen (DO), oxidation-reduction potential (ORP), pH, specific conductance (SC), and water temperature (°C). Samples were collected after the field measurements had stabilized. Field alkalinity was performed using a Hach titration kit with 1.6 normal (N) sulfuric acid into 25 ml of sample water with bromoresol green-methyl red indicator. Total gas pressure (TGP) was measured using a calibrated probe and meter. Filtered and unpreserved samples were collected in 125-ml plastic bottles for anion and stable isotope analysis. Cation and trace metal samples were field-filtered and acidified with 1 ml of 2 N nitric acid and collected in 125-ml plastic bottles. Field duplicate and blank samples were collected for anion, cation, and trace metals analyses to evaluate sampling bias and lab analysis quality. Tritium samples were obtained in 1-L amber glass bottles by submerging the bottle in a metal bucket to avoid contact with the atmosphere and eliminate headspace. Carbon-14 samples were collected in a similar fashion in 125-ml glass bottles, except that 100 ml of mercury chloride preservative was added to the stopper volume and Apiezon grease was applied to the stopper to effectively seal the bottle.
Noble gas samples were collected using tubing with flow control to minimize air bubbles and retained in copper tubes sealed with compression clamps.

**Laboratory procedures**

Major anion (bromide, chloride, fluoride, nitrate, nitrite, phosphate, and sulfate) and cation (calcium, magnesium, potassium, and sodium) analyses were performed on all groundwater samples at the University of Memphis using a Dionex 2000i ion chromatography unit and Varian FS220 atomic adsorption spectrometer, respectively. The accuracy of bromide, chloride, fluoride, and sulfate is within 6% of the mean. The precision of bromide, chloride, and sulfate is also within 6% of the mean. The reproducibility of magnesium, potassium, and sodium is within 3% of the mean. Duplicate sample analyses are within 10% for most major solutes, except bromide, nitrate and phosphate, which all had concentrations close to their respective method detection limits in the duplicate sample.

All but one sample have an ionic charge balance error less than 10% and most analyses are within 5%. Trace metals for all samples were analyzed by the University of Mississippi using inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry. Duplicates for most trace elemental analyses are within 10%, except $^{27}$Al and $^{66}$Zn, which are within 31 and 13%, respectively.

Stable isotopes of carbon, hydrogen, and oxygen were analyzed at the University of Arkansas Stable Isotope Lab. Stable carbon and oxygen isotope concentrations were determined through an elemental analyzer (EA) with an experimental error of less than 0.1% for $\delta^{13}$C. Dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) and dissolved organic carbon (DOC) concentrations were also measured at the University of Arkansas Stable Isotope Lab. Analyses of duplicate samples are within 7% for DIC and 3% for DOC.

Five copper tube and $^3$H bottle samples were submitted to the University of Utah Dissolved and Noble Gas Lab for analysis. Noble gas concentrations were measured by quadrupole mass spectrometry and isotopes of helium on a MAP 215–50 mass spectrometer at the University of Utah Dissolved Gas Lab to a precision of approximately ±0.5%. Tritium concentrations were determined through the helium in-growth method (Clarke et al. 1976) at the University of Utah lab with a practical detection limit of 0.05 TU (Solomon and Cook 2000). Four $^{14}$C samples were analyzed by the National Ocean Sciences Accelerator Mass Spectrometry (NOSAMS) facility in Woods Hole, Massachusetts. The carbon from the groundwater sample was sputtered with heated cesium and the ions produced were extracted and separated by mass. Stable carbon isotope ions were measured in Faraday cups, and the radioactive $^{14}$C ions were counted using gas ionization (NOSAMS 2015). The ratios between stable and radioactive carbon are used to correct for nonatmospheric sources of carbon and determine a radiocarbon age (NOSAMS 2015).

Helium-3 dissolved in groundwater arises from four sources: atmospheric equilibration, $^3$H decay, shallow subsurface nuclear reactions, and the mantle (Solomon and Cook 2000). The noble gas composition was used to determine the recharge temperature by the closed-equilibrium excess air (EA) model developed by Aeschbach-Hertig et al. (2000). Shallow subsurface nuclear reactions generate $^3$He from

### Table 1: Well and field data

| Well name          | Screen depth (m bgs) | Surface soil (Saucier 1994) | Sample date | Water temp. (°C) | SC (mS/cm) | TDS (mg/L) | DO (mg/L) | pH | Eh | Alkalinity (mg/L as CaCO₃) | Total gas pressure (mBar) |
|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|-------------|------------------|------------|------------|-----------|----|----|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| MRVA aquifer       |                      |                            |             |                  |            |            |           |     |    |                           |                          |
| Chicot 1           | 27                   | Backswamp                 | 07/08/13    | 19.85            | 3.24       | ND         | 13.43     | 6.5 | 98.8| 416                         | 799                       |
| Chicot 2           | <30                  | Point bar                 | 07/09/13    | 19.99            | 2.56       | 1,664      | 1.35      | 6.64| 109.6| 406                         | 803                       |
| Chicot 3           | <30                  | Point bar                 | 07/09/13    | 19.7             | 4.79       | 3,116      | 0.2       | 6.46| 104.2| 410                         | 814                       |
| Desha 1            | 27                   | Backswamp                 | 07/10/13    | 18.38            | 0.47       | 308        | 0.15      | 6.39| 63  | 230                         | 788                       |
| Desha 2            | 30                   | Backswamp                 | 07/10/13    | 19.54            | 2.05       | 1,333      | 0.68      | 6.16| 129.2| 348                         | 808                       |
| Desha 3            | 30                   | Point bar                 | 07/11/13    | 18.99            | 1.11       | 725        | 0.33      | 6.31| 95.8 | 358                         | 810                       |
| Middle Claiborne aquifer |        |                            |             |                  |            |            |           |     |    |                           |                          |
| Sparta 1           | 160                  | NA                        | 07/10/13    | 24.27            | 0.32       | 210        | 0.2       | 8.57| 53.9| 162.4                      | 806                       |
| Sparta 2           | 190                  | NA                        | 07/11/13    | 22.16            | 0.36       | 237        | 0.2       | 8.45| 9.2  | 166.2                      | 786                       |
| Lower Claiborne aquifer |       |                            |             |                  |            |            |           |     |    |                           |                          |
| Artesian           | ~220                 | NA                        | 07/09/13    | 36.38            | 4.28       | 2,781      | 0.05      | 7.99| 47.5| 1,012                      | 800                       |

*bgs below ground surface; C Celsius; SC specific conductance; TDS total dissolved solids; mS/cm milliSiemens per centimeter; DO dissolved oxygen; ORP oxidation reduction potential; mV milliVolt; mg/L milligrams per liter; mBar millibars of mercury; NA not applicable; ND not determined*
fission of ⁶Li produced during U- and Th-series decay. The resulting ³He/⁴He ratio from such reactions is approximately $1 \times 10^{-8}$, which is two orders of magnitude less than that of the atmosphere ($1.36 \times 10^{-6}$ at 10 °C). Relatively large amounts of ³He from shallow subsurface reactions are present for this to be an important factor. Nonetheless, ³He from shallow subsurface reactions are accounted for using ³He/⁴He ratio of terrigeneic (crustal–produced) helium and using neon to correct for atmospheric ⁴He contributions. Mantle helium has a ³He/⁴He ratio approximately ten times greater than that of atmospheric helium; thus, small contributions of mantle helium may be difficult to detect.

The ³H/³He age of a groundwater sample is defined by Eq. (1):

$$t = \lambda^{-1} \ln(³\text{He}_t /³\text{H} + 1)$$

where $\lambda$ is the tritium decay constant, ³He$_t$ is tritiogenic ³He, and $t$ is the age in years of the modern (<50 years old) component of the water. Tritiogenic helium is calculated using the EA model to correct for the excess atmospheric gas entrained during percolation of water through the vadose zone (Solomon and Cook 2000). Considering that all possible measures were taken to minimize error and gas loss, it is reasonable to assume, based on past sampling experience and replicate analyses, that the sum of the errors is less than 10% of the age determination.

**Results**

**Well sampling rationale**

Factor analysis of 165 chemical analyses revealed two chemically distinct groups of groundwater in the MRVA aquifer (Fig. 3). Eigenvector 1 accounts for 91% of the variance in groundwater chemistry between all samples and assigned negative loading to calcium, chloride, magnesium, sodium, and sulfate. Eigenvector 2 accounts for 4% of the variance of groundwater chemistry and has positive loading on chloride and sodium. Three other eigenvector chemical groups accounted for the remaining 5% of the variance in the factor analysis. The “Fresh” group of groundwater samples is highly clustered (Fig. 3a), reflecting water of similar composition that are largely unaffected by saline water sources. The “saline” group of groundwater samples is distributed, reflecting different degrees of salinity. The compositional characteristics of MRVA aquifer wells sampled in this study relative to the USGS database illustrate fresh to saline compositional characteristics (Fig. 3b).

Similar to the MRVA aquifer, two chemically distinct groups of groundwater are observed in the Middle Claiborne aquifer through factor analysis of 55 USGS groundwater samples (Fig. 4). Eigenvector 1 accounts for 84% of the variance in groundwater chemistry and has negative loading on chloride and sodium. Eigenvector 2 accounts for 9% of variance in groundwater chemistry and has positive loading on calcium and chloride and negative loading on sodium. Three other eigenvector chemical groups accounted for the remaining 7% of the variance in the factor analysis. Again, “fresh” and “saline” compositional groups are identified in the Middle Claiborne aquifer, similar to the MRVA aquifer (Fig. 4). The Middle Claiborne aquifer wells sampled in this study relative to the USGS data illustrate fresh compositional characteristics (Fig. 4).

**Field measurements**

Field measurements collected during groundwater sampling are presented in Table 1. Water temperature, pH, DO, and ORP vary by aquifer. Water temperatures from the MRVA aquifer range from 19.0 to 20.0 °C. The Middle Claiborne aquifer water temperatures averaged 23.3 °C, whereas that of the Lower Claiborne well water was 36.4 °C. The pH values from the Middle and Lower Claiborne wells are slightly basic, whereas groundwater samples from the MRVA aquifer are slightly acidic. Based on DO and ORP values, groundwater from the MRVA aquifer is more oxidized compared to groundwater from the Middle and Lower Claiborne aquifers. The Chicot 1 DO value is anomalously high due to equipment malfunction.

Specific Conductance values from MRVA aquifer groundwater vary by well (Table 1). Sample Chicot 3 recorded the highest SC value, but other MRVA aquifer wells sampled in Chicot County produced relatively high SC values also. The SC of sample Desha 2 is similar to the Chicot County MRVA aquifer samples, but samples Desha 1 and Desha 3 have relatively low SC values, with Desha 1 being characteristic of the fresh water group (Fig. 4b). The two Middle Claiborne aquifer groundwater samples showed relatively low SC values and nearly identical values for other field values. The Lower Claiborne aquifer water sample had a similar SC value to Chicot 3.

**Major and minor solutes**

Major and minor element concentrations are reported in Table 2. The major solute composition of the samples collected in this study is shown on a Piper diagram (Fig. 5). Chemical data from streams in the study area, including the Arkansas River, Bayou Bartholomew, and Mississippi River, are also plotted for comparison (USGS NWIS 2014). The Piper diagram illustrates that the lower SC samples (Desha 1, Desha 3, Sparta 1, and Sparta 2) are bicarbonate-carbonate type waters, whereas the higher SC samples (Chicot 1, Chicot 2, Chicot 3, Desha 2, and Artesian) are mixed anion to chloride type
waters. MRVA aquifer groundwater samples display mixed proportions of calcium, magnesium, potassium, and sodium. The water samples from the Middle and Lower Claiborne aquifers are dominated by sodium and classified as sodium type waters.

Fig. 3  a Plot of principal eigenvectors showing distinct chemical groups of groundwater from the MRVA aquifer of SE AR. b Plot of the principal eigenvectors for chemistry of wells screened in the MRVA aquifer sampled in this study relative to the compositional range of USGS data

Molar concentrations of sodium and chloride in groundwater samples collected during this study are plotted with data from the Sparta, Lower Claiborne, and Wilcox aquifers in the northern ME (Haile 2011), Wilcox aquifers in the southern ME (McIntosh et al. 2010), Smackover Formation in southern

Fig. 4  Plot of the principal eigenvectors for wells screened in the Middle Claiborne aquifer showing distinct chemical groups of groundwater. The wells sampled in this study plot in the “fresh” group relative to the compositional range of USGS data
Arkansas (Carpenter and Trout 1978), and time-averaged data from the Arkansas River, Bayou Bartholomew, and Mississippi River (USGS NWIS 2014) (Fig. 6). The low SC MRVA aquifer water sample (Desha 1) has sodium and chloride contents similar to those of the rivers in the study area, unlike the higher SC samples (Desha 2, Chicot 1, Chicot 2, and Chicot 3). Desha 3 lies on the halite dissolution line but roughly along a mixing line between the rivers in the study area and the higher SC MRVA samples. However, the higher SC MRVA aquifer water samples follow a mixing relationship with water samples from the Smackover Formation.

Mixing relationships between MRVA aquifer and Smackover Formation waters are evident for molar chloride and bromide (Fig. 7) as well as most metals and bromide (Fig. 8) (Paul 2015). The higher SC water samples from the MRVA aquifer are enriched in bromide relative to chloride along a linear trend with the Smackover Formation that has a lower slope than that of seawater evaporation. The trend line between MRVA aquifer and Smackover Formation waters for calcium and bromide shows a deviation toward lower calcium with higher bromide in the MRVA water samples, suggesting either calcite precipitation or mixing with Lower Wilcox Formation water.

**Trace element data**

Trace element data for the groundwater samples are presented in Table 3. Trace element concentrations vary by aquifer with the MRVA aquifer water samples enriched in barium, strontium, and zinc relative to the Middle and Lower Claiborne aquifer samples. The Lower Claiborne aquifer sample is enriched in lithium compared to MRVA and Sparta aquifer samples. Lithium and strontium show a crude linear correlation with bromide (Paul 2015).

**Stable and radiocarbon isotopes**

Stable isotope, radiocarbon and associated data are tabulated in Table 4. Stable hydrogen and oxygen isotope values along with other relevant data are plotted to evaluate relationships to meteoric water and evaporative evolution (Fig. 9). The groundwater samples from this study plot to the right of the Global Meteoric Water Line (GMWL) and Arkansas MWL, suggesting influence by evaporative evolution, water-rock, mixing, or a combination of these processes. The MRVA aquifer water samples from SE AR also exhibit a linear trend with a shallower slope (m ~4.4) than those of the Global and Arkansas MWLs, consistent with evaporative fractionation. However, stable hydrogen and oxygen data from other wells screened in the MRVA aquifer in the southern ME (USGS NWIS 2020) do not show evidence of extensive evaporation, do not overlap with the data in this project, and overall exhibit a steeper slope (m ~5.2). Moreover, the trend of the MRVA,...
aquifer water samples fits mixing with water from the Lower Wilcox aquifer in the southern ME (McIntosh et al. 2010), with sample Chicot 2 plotting within the Lower Wilcox field. Stable carbon ($\delta^{13}C$) isotope values from DIC of groundwater samples collected in this study range between $-9.41$ and $-18.1\%e$ and vary by aquifer (Table 4). Typical stable carbon isotope values from the atmosphere are $-7$ to $-8\%e$ (Deines 1980), whereas typical stable carbon isotope values from plant material range between $-12$ and $-25\%e$ (Kendall and Doctor 2005). Thus, samples from the MRVA and Middle Claiborne aquifers indicate substantial oxidation of organic carbon, whereas that for the Lower Claiborne aquifer is closer to atmospheric fractionation.

Radiocarbon ages of the MRVA aquifer water samples ranged from 280 radiocarbon years before present (C-14 ybp) in sample Desha 1, the most dilute of the MRVA samples, to 1,530 C-14 ybp in sample Chicot 3, the most concentrated of the MRVA samples. The radiocarbon age of the Middle Claiborne aquifer water sample analyzed is considerably older at 47,200 C-14 ybp. The radiocarbon age of the MRVA aquifer samples increases with chloride content (Fig. 10), independently supporting mixing between young, fresh and old, saline sources of groundwater as discussed in the preceding sections.

**Noble gases and tritium**

Noble gas and tritium data are presented in Table 5. The recharge temperatures of the MRVA aquifer water samples range from 11.58 °C to 16.40 °C, whereas the recharge temperature from the Middle Claiborne aquifer water sample is 10.85 °C.

Helium isotopes are useful for determining reservoirs in the Earth from which helium in groundwater is derived. $R/R_a$ ratios, which measure the $^3\text{He}$ to $^4\text{He}$ ratio in a sample relative to an atmospheric standard, indicate the helium content in samples Desha 1, Desha 2, and Desha 3 is consistent with an atmospheric source (i.e. precipitation), whereas the low (< 1) $R/R_a$ value for Chicot 2 indicates that part of the helium content in the sample is derived from crustal sources (Kulongoski and Hilton 2011). The helium content in the Middle Claiborne
Aquifer water sample is much greater than atmospheric helium contents and is dominated by crustal $^4$He.

Helium-4 concentrations are plotted against $R/R_a$ values to further assess sources of helium in groundwater samples (Fig. 11). Equations (2) and (3) were used to model the theoretical $^4$He production curve. Equation (2) was used to calculate theoretical $^4$He production ($C_w$) in $\mu$cm$^3$ STP kg$^{-1}$ through time ($\tau$) (Solomon 2000)

$$\tau = \frac{C_w}{GC_1 \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)-1}$$

where $G$ is the $^4$He release rate per unit volume of solids per unit of time in atoms m$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$, $C_1$ is a conversion factor of...
\( 3.7197 \times 10^{-14} \ \text{in} \mu \text{cm}^3 \text{STP atom}^{-1} \), and \( n \) is the fractional porosity of the aquifer, which is dimensionless. \( G \) is assumed to be 2, which is an intermediate \(^4\text{He}\) production rate in sedimentary rocks (Solomon 2000). The fractional porosity is assumed to be 20\% for mixed sand, silt, and clay units (Fetter 2001). Equation (3) was used to calculate resultant \( R/R_a \) values based on \( C_w \) (Solomon 2000).

\[
R/R_a = \frac{^{3}\text{He}_{\text{atm}}}{[C_w + (^4\text{He}_{\text{Desha1}})/R_a]}
\]

### Table 3 Trace element data

| Well name       | Sample date | \(^{27}\text{Al} \) (\( \mu \text{g/L} \)) | \(^{137}\text{Ba} \) (\( \mu \text{g/L} \)) | \(^{59}\text{Co} \) (\( \mu \text{g/L} \)) | \(^{52}\text{Cr} \) (\( \mu \text{g/L} \)) | \(^{7}\text{Li} \) (\( \mu \text{g/L} \)) | \(^{85}\text{Rb} \) (\( \mu \text{g/L} \)) | \(^{88}\text{Sr} \) (\( \mu \text{g/L} \)) | \(^{66}\text{Zn} \) (\( \mu \text{g/L} \)) |
|-----------------|-------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| MRVA aquifer    |             |                                          |                                          |                                          |                                          |                                          |                                          |                                          |                                          |
| Chicot 1        | 07/08/13    | BD                                       | 38                                       | 0.17                                     | BD                                       | 19                                       | 4.0                                       | 3,200                                     | 29                                       |
| Chicot 2        | 07/09/13    | BD                                       | 94                                       | 0.30                                     | BD                                       | 16                                       | 4.1                                       | 2,900                                     | BD                                       |
| Chicot 3        | 07/09/13    | BD                                       | 210                                      | 0.11                                     | 0.45                                     | 24                                       | 4.7                                       | 5,300                                     | 32                                       |
| Desha 1         | 07/10/13    | BD                                       | 260                                      | 0.42                                     | 0.41                                     | 5.0                                       | 1.1                                       | 570                                       | BD                                       |
| Desha 2         | 07/10/13    | BD                                       | 270                                      | 0.44                                     | BD                                       | 8.5                                       | 2.3                                       | 2,400                                     | BD                                       |
| Desha 3         | 07/11/13    | BD                                       | 740                                      | 0.13                                     | BD                                       | 15                                       | 3.0                                       | 1,600                                     | 41                                       |
| Desha 3 duplicate | 07/11/13   | BD                                       | 700                                      | 0.14                                     | BD                                       | 15                                       | 2.8                                       | 1,500                                     | 47                                       |
| Field Blank     | 07/11/13    | 6.8                                      | 3.5                                      | 0.010                                    | 0.29                                     | 0.57                                     | 0.37                                      | 0.78                                      | 29                                       |
| Middle Claiborne aquifer | |                                          |                                          |                                          |                                          |                                          |                                          |                                          |                                          |
| Sparta 1        | 07/10/13    | 8.6                                      | 4.6                                      | 0.11                                     | BD                                       | 5.6                                       | 0.87                                      | 19                                       | BD                                       |
| Sparta 2        | 07/11/13    | 6.9                                      | BD                                       | 0.16                                     | 0.47                                     | 5.9                                       | 0.85                                      | 13                                       | BD                                       |
| Lower Claiborne aquifer | |                                          |                                          |                                          |                                          |                                          |                                          |                                          |                                          |
| Artesian        | 07/09/13    | BD                                       | 81                                       | 0.10                                     | BD                                       | 95                                       | 5.7                                       | 1,500                                     | BD                                       |

\( BD \) below detection
where $^3\text{He}_{\text{atm}}$ is the $^3\text{He}$ content of the atmosphere ($7.9995 \times 10^{-14}$ $\mu\text{cm}^3$ STP kg$^{-1}$ from Solomon 2000) and $^4\text{He}_{\text{Desha1}}$ is the $^4\text{He}$ content in $\mu\text{cm}^3$ STP kg$^{-1}$ from the "background" MRVA aquifer water sample.

Sample Chicot 2 shows enrichment of $^4\text{He}$ relative to Desha 1, Desha 2, and Desha 3. This indicates the $^4\text{He}$ content in Chicot 2 has been influenced by mixing with older, deeper groundwater sources with greater $^4\text{He}$ contents than "background" MRVA aquifer groundwater. This independently supports the mixing of crustal and atmospheric $^4\text{He}$ sources as discussed previously. The lower $R/R_a$ values for Desha 2 and Desha 3 relative to

**Table 4  Stable and radiocarbon isotope data**

| Well name            | Sample date | $\delta^2\text{H}$ (%) | $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ (%) | DIC (ppm) | $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ (%) | $^{14}\text{C}$ age (C-14 ybp) | $^{14}\text{C}$ age error (years) | Fraction modern carbon | Fraction modern carbon error |
|----------------------|-------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|
| MRVA aquifer         |             |                         |                           |           |                           |                                 |                          |                         |                             |
| Chicot 1             | 07/08/13    | −24.04                  | −3.25                     | 99.9      | −15.36                    | NA                             | NA                       | NA                      | NA                          |
| Chicot 2             | 07/09/13    | −14.81                  | −0.77                     | 105.6     | −16.09                    | NA                             | NA                       | NA                      | NA                          |
| Chicot 3             | 07/09/13    | −22.41                  | −2.99                     | 120.0     | −15.71                    | 1,530                          | 20                       | 0.8261                  | 0.0023                      |
| Desha 1              | 07/10/13    | −25.88                  | −3.35                     | 63.4      | −17.14                    | 280                            | 25                       | 0.9659                  | 0.0031                      |
| Desha 2              | 07/10/13    | −23.97                  | −2.85                     | 100.7     | −15.56                    | 500                            | 20                       | 0.9395                  | 0.0025                      |
| Desha 3              | 07/11/13    | −29.26                  | −3.94                     | 107.2     | −18.05                    | NA                             | NA                       | NA                      | NA                          |
| Desha 3 duplicate    | 07/11/13    | NA                      | NA                        | 104.6     | NA                       | NA                             | NA                       | NA                      | NA                          |
| Middle Claiborne aquifer |       |                         |                           |           |                           |                                 |                          |                         |                             |
| Sparta 1             | 07/10/13    | −33.80                  | −5.01                     | 41.0      | −13.60                    | 47,200                         | 690                      | 0.0028                  | 0.0002                      |
| Sparta 2             | 07/11/13    | −33.40                  | −5.11                     | 38.6      | −13.58                    | NA                             | NA                       | NA                      | NA                          |
| Lower Claiborne aquifer |          |                         |                           |           |                           |                                 |                          |                         |                             |
| Artesian             | 07/09/13    | −29.62                  | −4.48                     | 267.9     | −9.41                     | NA                             | NA                       | NA                      | NA                          |

DIC dissolved inorganic carbon; ppm parts per million; C-14 ybp Carbon-14 years before present (1950); NA not analyzed

where $^3\text{He}_{\text{atm}}$ is the $^3\text{He}$ content of the atmosphere ($7.9995 \times 10^{-14}$ $\mu\text{cm}^3$ STP kg$^{-1}$ from Solomon 2000) and $^4\text{He}_{\text{Desha1}}$ is the $^4\text{He}$ content in $\mu\text{cm}^3$ STP kg$^{-1}$ from the “background” MRVA aquifer water sample.

Sample Chicot 2 shows enrichment of $^4\text{He}$ relative to Desha 1, Desha 2, and Desha 3. This indicates the $^4\text{He}$ content in Chicot 2 has been influenced by mixing with older, deeper groundwater sources with greater $^4\text{He}$ contents than “background” MRVA aquifer groundwater. This independently supports the mixing of crustal and atmospheric $^4\text{He}$ sources as discussed previously. The lower $R/R_a$ values for Desha 2 and Desha 3 relative to

**Fig. 9** Stable hydrogen and oxygen isotope values of samples from this study as well as data from the Sparta, Lower Claiborne, and Wilcox aquifers in the northern ME (Haile2011), Wilcox aquifers in the southern ME (McIntosh et al. 2010), Smackover Formation in Arkansas and Louisiana (Moldovanyi and Walter 1993), MRVA aquifer in southern ME (USGS NWIS 2020), and Mississippi River (USGS NWIS 2015). The Global Meteoric Water Line (Global MWL; Craig 1961), and Arkansas Meteoric Water Line (Arkansas MWL; Kendall and Coplen 2001) are plotted for reference. Site symbols correspond with those in Fig. 1.
Desha 1 may also indicate $^4$He influences from crustal sources.

Tritium is used to detect the presence of modern groundwater (i.e. less than 60 years old). Tritium is detected in all MRVA aquifer water samples indicating each has a component of modern water recharge (Table 5). Sample Chicot 2 is lower in tritium relative to the other samples, whereas sample Desha 1 has higher tritium relative to the other samples. This is consistent with the trend showing sample Desha 1 as having significant modern water (Fig. 11). The lower tritium in sample Chicot 2 is consistent with prominence of pre-modern water in the sample relative to the other samples. This inference is quantified by the $^3$H/$^4$He age data (Table 5) showing sample Chicot 2 has an older age relative to the Desha County samples.

**Discussion**

**Source of salinity the MRVA aquifer in SE AR**

Principal component analysis of existing and new chemistry data from SE AR support the geochemical trends in salinity in groundwater from the MRVA aquifer described by Kresse and Clark (2008) and previous workers. The saline group tends to have high chloride contents that correspond with proportionally lower calcium and sulfate. The saline group from the underlying Middle Claiborne aquifer has high chloride and calcium with proportionally lower sodium. This study did not sample any wells with saline groundwater in the Middle Claiborne aquifer, although the Sparta 1 well is in an area with saline water in the overlying MRVA aquifer (Kresse et al. 2014). The Lower Claiborne aquifer sample is chemically distinct from both the MRVA and Middle Claiborne groundwater, with a Na-Cl-HCO$_3$ composition. Neither the Middle Claiborne or Lower Claiborne aquifer samples plot along chemical trend lines with the MRVA aquifer samples, suggesting that they are not significant chemical mixing end-members for the MRVA aquifer water.

Speciation modeling using PHREEQC (Parkhurst and Appelo 1999) was completed to assess mineral control on the water composition. Modeling of the MRVA aquifer samples indicates that only iron phases (goethite, hematite, magnetite, and siderite) and witherite (BaCO$_3$) are consistently oversaturated in the water. Barite and dolomite are oversaturated in the high-chloride waters, and calcium and strontium carbonate phases (aragonite, calcite, and strontianite) are slightly undersaturated. For the Middle Claiborne aquifer samples, only iron phases (goethite, hematite, magnetite, and siderite) and fluorapatite [Ca$_5$(PO$_4$)$_3$F] are consistently oversaturated in the water. For the lower Claiborne sample, carbonate phases (aragonite, calcite, dolomite, strontianite, and witherite) and iron phases (goethite, hematite, and magnetite) are oversaturated. Although the salinity of the Lower Claiborne aquifer sample suggests that it may be a source for MRVA aquifer salinity, the predominance of sodium rather than calcium ions and supersaturation with calcite preclude it as a significant source for the MRVA waters.
The geochemical and hydrologic tracer results strongly support the principal source of the saline groundwater in the MRVA aquifer being due to the upward migration of pre-modern water with distinct chemical characteristics from depth in the ME. Mixing of fluids with chemical similarity to the Smackover Formation in southern Arkansas (Carpenter and Trout 1978; Moldovanyi and Walter 1993) and the Lower Wilcox aquifer in Louisiana (McIntosh et al. 2010) with MRVA aquifer water best explain the geochemical trends observed in the chemical data from wells sampled in the study in Chicot and Desha Counties (Figs. 7, 8, and 9). Simple dissolution of NaCl from salt domes in northern Louisiana will not produce the Cl-Br trends observed in the MRVA water (Fig. 7). Fluids from the Smackover Formation and Lower Wilcox aquifer would also have lower $^{14}$C activities, greater $^4$He contents, and lower $^3$H and $R/R_A$ than modern recharge, consistent with mixing of geological older, crustal water sources (Figs. 10 and 11; Table 5).

Near-surface evaporation of surface or river water cannot solely explain the geochemical and hydrologic tracer results in the chloride-rich MRVA aquifer water samples from this study. The Na-Cl trends and Cl/Br ratios of the chloride-rich MRVA waters are not consistent with evaporative concentration of local precipitation or river waters (Figs. 6 and 7). Although the stable hydrogen and oxygen trend of the chloride-rich MRVA aquifer waters is consistent with evaporation of regional isotopic values in the MRVA aquifer, the intercept with the Arkansas MWL and Global MWL ($\delta^2$H = −35‰; $\delta^{18}$O = −5.5‰; Fig. 9) is not consistent with Mississippi River water and is lower than typical stream discharge in the region (Kendall and Coplen 2001). The slope of the stable hydrogen and oxygen data ($m$ = 4.31) from MRVA aquifer water samples in this study is much lower than typical values in the region ($m$ = 5.2–6.25) and would be typical of an arid region (Kendall and Coplen 2001). As well, the association of decreasing $^{14}$C and increasing $^4$He with increasing chloride contents is inconsistent with an origin solely of evaporation of surface waters.

The distinct Cl/Br ratio of the chloride-rich MRVA aquifer waters is characteristic of pore waters from the Jurassic Smackover Formation and similar hypersaline brines (Hanor 1987). Smackover Formation brines are naturally enriched in bromide as a result of being expelled from evaporite deposits in the middle Jurassic Werner and Louann formations into the upper Jurassic Smackover Formation (Carpenter and Trout 1978; Hanor and McIntosh 2007). Evaporation of sea water to form the Werner and Louann evaporites allowed bromide to become enriched relative to chloride in the formational fluids. Increased calcium with bromide in the MRVA aquifer water samples (Fig. 8) is also consistent with mixing of Smackover Formation fluids because calcium was enriched in the formational fluid due to diagenetic dolomitization (Carpenter and Trout 1978; Hanor and McIntosh 2007), amongst other processes. The proportionally lower calcium contents of the

| Well name | Sample date | $N_2$ total (ccSTP/g) | $Ar$ total (ccSTP/g) | $Ne$ total (ccSTP/g) | $Kr$ total (ccSTP/g) | $Xe$ total (ccSTP/g) | $^3He$ (ccSTP/g) | $^4He$ (ccSTP/g) | Excess air (ccSTP/g) | $R/R_A$ | $3H$ (TU) | $^4He$ Trit. using EA (TAU) |
|-----------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------|--------|---------|--------------------------|
| MRVA aquifer | Chicot 1 | 07/09/13 | 1.95E-02 | 3.7E-04 | 2.1E-07 | 3.88E-07 | 1.17E-07 | 0.002 | 16.6 | 74.9 | NA | 0.34 |
| | Chicot 2 | 07/09/13 | 1.92E-02 | 3.7E-04 | 2.1E-07 | 3.97E-07 | 1.15E-07 | 0.002 | 16.6 | 74.9 | NA | 0.34 |
| | Desha 1 | 07/10/13 | 1.95E-02 | 3.8E-04 | 2.1E-07 | 3.92E-07 | 1.17E-07 | 0.002 | 16.6 | 74.9 | NA | 0.34 |
| | Desha 2 | 07/10/13 | 1.95E-02 | 3.8E-04 | 2.1E-07 | 3.92E-07 | 1.17E-07 | 0.002 | 16.6 | 74.9 | NA | 0.34 |
| | Desha 3 | 07/11/13 | 2.06E-02 | 3.90E-04 | 2.4E-07 | 3.90E-07 | 1.26E-07 | 0.003 | 16.6 | 74.9 | NA | 0.34 |
| | Sparta 1 | 07/09/13 | 2.41E-02 | 4.42E-04 | 2.4E-07 | 4.42E-07 | 1.26E-07 | 0.003 | 16.6 | 74.9 | NA | 0.34 |
| | Sparta 2 | 07/09/13 | 2.41E-02 | 4.42E-04 | 2.4E-07 | 4.42E-07 | 1.26E-07 | 0.003 | 16.6 | 74.9 | NA | 0.34 |

Table 5: Noble gas and tritium results

NA not analyzed

The geochemical and hydrologic tracer results strongly support the principal source of the saline groundwater in the MRVA aquifer being due to the upward migration of pre-modern water with distinct chemical characteristics from depth in the ME. Mixing of fluids with chemical similarity to the Smackover Formation in southern Arkansas (Carpenter and Trout 1978; Moldovanyi and Walter 1993) and the Lower Wilcox aquifer in Louisiana (McIntosh et al. 2010) with MRVA aquifer water best explain the geochemical trends observed in the chemical data from wells sampled in the study in Chicot and Desha Counties (Figs. 7, 8, and 9). Simple dissolution of NaCl from salt domes in northern Louisiana will not produce the Cl-Br trends observed in the MRVA water (Fig. 7). Fluids from the Smackover Formation and Lower Wilcox aquifer would also have lower $^{14}$C activities, greater $^4$He contents, and lower $^3$H and $R/R_A$ than modern recharge, consistent with mixing of geological older, crustal water sources (Figs. 10 and 11; Table 5).

Near-surface evaporation of surface or river water cannot solely explain the geochemical and hydrologic tracer results in the chloride-rich MRVA aquifer water samples from this study. The Na-Cl trends and Cl/Br ratios of the chloride-rich MRVA waters are not consistent with evaporative concentration of local precipitation or river waters (Figs. 6 and 7). Although the stable hydrogen and oxygen trend of the chloride-rich MRVA aquifer waters is consistent with evaporation of regional isotopic values in the MRVA aquifer, the intercept with the Arkansas MWL and Global MWL ($\delta^2$H = −35‰; $\delta^{18}$O = −5.5‰; Fig. 9) is not consistent with Mississippi River water and is lower than typical stream discharge in the region (Kendall and Coplen 2001). The slope of the stable hydrogen and oxygen data ($m$ = 4.31) from MRVA aquifer water samples in this study is much lower than typical values in the region ($m$ = 5.2–6.25) and would be typical of an arid region (Kendall and Coplen 2001). As well, the association of decreasing $^{14}$C and increasing $^4$He with increasing chloride contents is inconsistent with an origin solely of evaporation of surface waters.

The distinct Cl/Br ratio of the chloride-rich MRVA aquifer waters is characteristic of pore waters from the Jurassic Smackover Formation and similar hypersaline brines (Hanor 1987). Smackover Formation brines are naturally enriched in bromide as a result of being expelled from evaporite deposits in the middle Jurassic Werner and Louann formations into the upper Jurassic Smackover Formation (Carpenter and Trout 1978; Hanor and McIntosh 2007). Evaporation of sea water to form the Werner and Louann evaporites allowed bromide to become enriched relative to chloride in the formational fluids. Increased calcium with bromide in the MRVA aquifer water samples (Fig. 8) is also consistent with mixing of Smackover Formation fluids because calcium was enriched in the formational fluid due to diagenetic dolomitization (Carpenter and Trout 1978; Hanor and McIntosh 2007), amongst other processes. The proportionally lower calcium contents of the
high-chloride waters, which plot beneath the Ca-Br mixing line (Fig. 8), may reflect precipitation of calcite in the MRVA aquifer.

Mixing of only a small percentage of Smackover Formation fluid with other water is needed to create the geochemical trends in the MRVA aquifer water samples. Applying a binary mixing model (Eq. 4) for the most chloride-rich MRVA aquifer water sample (Chicot 3) using the average chloride concentration from Smackover Formation brine (Carpenter and Trout 1978) ($f_{\text{avg, Smackover}}$) and the relatively fresh Desha 1 water sample concentration ($f_{\text{Desha1}}$), requires less than 1% of Smackover Formation fluid.

$$f_{\text{Chicot3}} = f_{\text{Desha1}} / f_{\text{avg, Smackover}} - f_{\text{Desha1}}$$

Thus, several characteristics of the chemical composition of the chloride-rich MRVA water samples are likely due to a small quantity of mixed Smackover Formation fluid.

Although the chemistry of the chloride-rich MRVA aquifer water samples is largely determined by the Smackover Formation fluids, the stable isotope composition is mainly determined by mixing with waters similar to those of the Lower Wilcox Formation and some degree of evaporation. The samples from this study follow a trajectory into the range of stable oxygen and hydrogen isotope values of Lower Wilcox waters in Louisiana (Fig. 9), with sample Chicot 2 plotting within the Lower Wilcox field. Applying a binary mixing model similar to Eq. (4) to evaluate the Lower Wilcox contribution to sample Chicot 3 results in between 13% ($\delta^{18}O$) and 34% ($\delta^2H$) of Lower Wilcox water, resulting in chloride concentrations 5–15 times higher than those measured (190–500 mmol). Also, sample Chicot 2 cannot be almost entirely composed of a water similar to Lower Wilcox because the chloride concentration of average Lower Wilcox water is two orders of magnitude greater than that measured in sample Chicot 2. A more likely scenario is that some degree of evaporation affects the overall concentration and stable isotope composition of MRVA aquifer waters at some point in their evolution. One possibility is irrigation using chloride-rich MRVA aquifer water becomes partially evaporated agricultural runoff that is discharged into canals during the summer and seeps beneath the canal bed into the MRVA aquifer. The agricultural runoff becomes recharge that percolates downward in the MRVA aquifer to mix with older aquifer water and is then pumped to the surface in a subsequent season. This process would not affect the Cl/Br ratio or overall Na-Cl trend, but would impact the stable isotope composition as summertime evaporation may create a more enhanced evaporative isotopic trend under dry summer, temperate conditions (Putman et al. 2019).

Previous studies have inferred upward flow of saline water from depth into the MRVA aquifer mainly using chemical data. Huff and Bonck (1993) identified a linear trend of high-chloride water in the MRVA aquifer of northeastern Louisiana and used Br/Cl ratios to argue for the Smackover Formation being one of the sources. The linear trend identified by Huff and Bonck (1993) continues north to follow the trend observed in SE AR (Area II in Fig. 1). Kresse and Clark (2008) reviewed evidence for upward flow of high-chloride water from depth into the MRVA aquifer and show a similar narrow, NNE-trending distribution in the underlying Upper

Fig. 11 4He concentrations plotted against R/Ra values. A 4He production curve based on Eqs. (1) and (2) (Solomon 2000) as well as the atmospheric R/Ra value (Solomon 2000) are added for reference. Site symbols correspond with those in Fig. 1.
Claiborne aquifer, suggesting upward flow affected salinity in both the MRVA and upper Claiborne aquifers in Chicot County (Area II in Fig. 1). Kresse and Clark (2008) also indicated that the highest chloride concentrations also corresponded to a N–S trend of point-bar deposits in the MRVA (Saucier 1994) and local groundwater mound, suggesting that surficial deposits may also influence the distribution of salinity.

**Upward flow of deep basinal fluids into the MRVA aquifer**

Upward flow of deep basinal fluids into the MRVA aquifer requires excess fluid pressure at depth to drive fluids to the surface and the presence of conductive faults as pathways. Excess fluid pressure is evident from flowing artesian conditions in the Lower Claiborne aquifer (Artesian sample). Underlying formations similarly have hydraulic head greater than that of the land surface or are overpressured (Hanor and McIntosh 2007; McIntosh et al. 2010). The Jurassic Smackover Formation is known to have a high pressure gradient (24.0 kPa/m) for the ME (Parker 1976), which is indicative of overpressured conditions and conducive to upward flow to the surface. For reference, typical freshwater and saline-water petroleum reservoirs are 9.73 and 11.3 kPa/m, respectively (Spencer 1987). Hanor and McIntosh (2007) argued that upward migration of deep basinal brines into the upper Mesozoic section in Louisiana and Arkansas was not simply diffusive, but, rather, driven by a dynamic process such as that analogous to eddy diffusion in oceanic systems. Given the localized presence of high-chloride waters in the MRVA aquifer and underlying Cenozoic deposits in northern Louisiana and SE AR, Land and Prezbindowski’s (1981) model for updip and upfault migration of brine from overpressured zones in the Texas Gulf Coast seems more appropriate, with upward injection during periodic expulsion events.

Numerical modelling of upward brine migration in a multilayered aquifer system suggests that both heat flow and pressure will drive brine upward along fault pathways into overlying aquifers (Delfs et al. 2016). The permeability of the individual aquifers overlying the brine injection site influence not only the brine migration upwards, but also the degree of upward displacement of intermediate aquifer waters. Furthermore, Tillner et al. (2016) numerically modelled similar conditions and showed that maximum vertical migration of brines is achieved when intermediate aquifers are absent or relatively impermeable and fault damage zone volume is relatively small.

SE AR is within a structurally complex area with several intersecting fault zones that may provide pathways for upward flow of deep basal fluids (Fig. 12). The basement Alabama-Oklahoma transform (AOT) is the most significant tectonic structure that passes through the study area (Fig. 12; Thomas 1991, 2011; Cox et al. 2013). This structure is bound by the Arkansas River Fault Zone (ARFZ) to the north and Saline River Fault Zone (SRFZ) to the south. The ARFZ shows evidence of Quaternary deformation north of the study area (Hao et al. 2015), and the SRFZ shows evidence of Holocene surface rupture west of the study area and Holocene sand-blow development within the study area (Cox et al. 2013). At least one fault in the SRFZ that demonstrates Holocene surface rupture also penetrates the Jurassic section (Cox et al. 2013), which in theory would create a pathway to the shallow subsurface. The eastern margin of the Reelfoot rift extends from the northeast to Chicot County, where most maps show its termination (Thomas 2011; Hao et al. 2015), but Zimmerman (1992) shows extension to the south into northern Louisiana (Z-fault, Fig. 12).

A key aspect of upward brine migration in SE AR is a triggering mechanism to release overpressured fluid from depth to migrate upward through the ME aquifer system to the MRVA aquifer. Although minimal earthquake activity is presently occurring in the southern ME, Cox et al. (2006, 2010, 2012) document evidence of past earthquake activity from surface exposures and liquefaction fields in northeastern Louisiana and SE AR (Fig. 12). These studies indicate three or more significant earthquakes (~M 5.5–7, depending on assumptions) affected the region between 6,800 and 800 years before present, creating localized liquefaction features in Holocene backswamp and Late Wisconsin terrace deposits (Fig. 12). The geographic correspondence of the liquefaction fields and high-chloride waters in the MRVA aquifer is striking (Fig. 12), although the high-chloride groundwater is displaced to the east of the liquefaction fields in northeastern Louisiana. Paul et al. (2017) showed a strong correspondence between sand-blow density and chloride concentrations in the MRVA aquifer in Desha County, suggesting that tectonic influences more strongly affected chloride concentrations than either infiltration through backswamp deposits or from major rivers. Hao et al. (2015) show that faults displacing Quaternary deposits in SE AR extend to at least 1.5 km beneath the surface (Fig. 12), which Cox et al. (2013) indicate as the approximate depth of the Smackover Formation in SE AR. Thus, Holocene earthquake activity along these faults may have developed a pathway for Smackover Formation brines to migrate upward into overlying aquifers. As Smackover brines migrated into overlying reservoirs or aquifers, they would have displaced the resident fluid upward, similar to that described by numerical modeling.
Fig. 12 Map of the study area and northeastern Louisiana showing distribution of earthquake-induced sand blow fields, zones of high chloride contents (>100 mg/L chloride), and regional structural features. Quaternary geologic map units, from Saucier (1994) and references therein. ARFZ Arkansas River fault zone.
Displacement of the resident fluid is dependent upon hydraulic conductivity and fluid pressure within a given hydrostratigraphic interval; thus, displacement is greatest in prominent aquifers with lower fluid pressures. The predominance of Wilcox and probably some Claiborne aquifer water along with a trace quantity of Smackover Formation brine in the MRVA aquifer adjacent to the affected faults is predicted by the modeling results. The rate of upward migration of brine is difficult to assess, but if overpressure and fault pathways persist, fluids may continue upward migration for 100s to 1,000s of years based on the numerical studies (Delfs et al. 2016; Tillner et al. 2016). Considering these results, the lack of temporal variation in chloride content of affected wells in the MRVA in SE AR during the past 75 years (Kresse and Clark 2008) is expected. Furthermore, upward migration of hot, saline fluids may contribute to the regional heat flow anomaly in SE AR and extending northwestward along the Arkansas River valley (Blackwell et al. 2006).

Continued extraction of water from the MRVA aquifer for irrigation in SE AR is likely to exacerbate the extent of high-chloride conditions by lowering head in the aquifer and potentially inducing infiltration of agricultural runoff. As of 2010, metered water use from the MRVA in Chicot and Desha counties was approximately 2 billion L/day, which, assuming 30% porosity, equates to ~2 mm of decline across the two counties. Water table decline in the two counties since 1977 ranges from 0.08 to 0.2 m/year, with a maximum of 9 m of decline in the water table within Desha County from 1960 to 2012 (Kresse et al. 2014). Two cones of depression in the potentiometric surface of the MRVA aquifer in Desha County (Schrader 2006) roughly correspond to the extent of high-chloride waters (Fig. 1). The degree of groundwater use increases potential for recharge by creating a lowered hydraulic head relative to surrounding areas. Given that the extent of high-chloride waters in the MRVA aquifer has not changed (Kresse et al. 2014), the continual extraction of water from the aquifer requires that the high-chloride water be replenished by some combination of upward flow of high-chloride water along faults and downward percolation of high-chloride agricultural runoff from canals. The results from the present study suggest that both of these processes may be important, but further sampling and analysis are required to elucidate the extent.
The results from the present study in the Mississippi embayment suggest that upward pore fluid migration into shallow aquifers can be induced by seismicity when specific conditions are met: (1) overpressured pore fluids at depth, (2) fault movement that abruptly creates permeable pathways for over pressured fluids to migrate to the surface, and (3) porous and permeable aquifer strata in hydraulic communications with the fault zone (Delfs et al. 2016; Rosen et al. 2018). Of critical importance are the properties of the fault zone (Bense et al. 2017; Tillner et al. 2016); however, an important consideration is also the regional hydrogeology of the aquifer system. In the case of the southern ME, a sedimentary basin with groundwater flow focused toward the north–south centerline of the basin, the conditions may be ideal for earthquake-induced upward flow of deep fluids into the shallow subsurface. The close association of seismic activity and upward flow of saline fluids argues for concern in similar seismically active sedimentary basins with shallow groundwater resources vulnerable to salinization. The results of the current study also provide needed field data to assess the geologic conditions under which upward flow of deep pore fluids, whether naturally present or anthropogenically injected, may be released by seismic activity into shallow aquifer systems. Clarification of the seismic energy release, pore-pressure conditions, and fault properties leading to upward flow of pore fluids need to be further addressed to understand the vulnerability of shallow groundwater resources in these settings (e.g., Meyers 2012; Flewelling et al. 2013).

Conclusions

The MRVA aquifer in SE AR is used extensively for agricultural irrigation, but is known to have areas of anomalously high salinity that limit agricultural use. This study used existing water chemistry data from wells in the MRVA aquifer in SE AR to identify a limited number of wells to sample for chemical and isotopic tracers to determine the source of saline waters, as evident by anomalously high (>100 mg/L) chloride contents. Additional wells in the Middle and Lower Claiborne aquifers were also sampled for comparison. Principal component analysis of the available chemical data for both the MRVA and Middle Claiborne aquifers resulted in two main factors, one representing relatively fresh groundwater and a second representing water affected by increased salinity. Wells selected for sampling from the MRVA in Desha and Chicot counties, Arkansas, represent the spectrum of salinity observed in the region. The Middle Claiborne wells sampled were both relatively freshwater and the Lower Claiborne well was a flowing artesian well.

The water chemistry of the sampled MRVA wells have anomalously low Cl/Br ratios that best fit a salinity source from the Jurassic Smackover Formation, which is approximately 1.5 km below the land surface. Calcium, lithium, and strontium correlation with bromide as well as the Na/C ratio of the sampled MRVA aquifer wells also support a Smackover Formation source. Stable hydrogen and oxygen isotope data favor mixing with a source water similar to that of the Wilcox Formation in Louisiana, with some modification by surface evaporation. Limited carbon-14 age data correlate with chloride concentrations, indicating that chloride is sourced from older fluids. Similarly, lower tritium, higher helium, and lower R/Ra correspond with higher chloride concentrations in the MRVA aquifer groundwater. Comparison of the ensemble tracer data with water chemistry and isotope composition of likely salinity sources indicate the presence of less than 1% Smackover Formation fluid in the most saline MRVA groundwater, with a significant proportion of the water originating from a Wilcox Formation-like basinal source. An additional source of salinity is likely evaporative concentration of saline groundwater from infiltration of summer agricultural runoff in the region.

The introduction of deep basinal fluids into the MRVA aquifer is conceptually modeled to have occurred by upward flow along regional faults. The Smackover Formation is overpressured, as are other petroleum reservoir units in the region, providing a driving mechanism for upward flow. Furthermore, numerical modeling of brine migration along faults in other sedimentary basins (Delfs et al. 2016; Tillner et al. 2016) indicates that fluids from shallower overpressured reservoirs would be displaced upward along the fault as the deep brine ascends. The resulting fluid mixture in the shallow aquifer would have a small fraction of deep basin brine with larger fractions of shallower displaced fluids, consistent with the mixing fractions of Smackover and Wilcox formation fluids in the MRVA groundwater.

The triggering mechanism for upward flow is argued to be Holocene earthquake movement along regional faults, which is evident from earthquake liquefaction features. The liquefaction features show a strong co-location with the high-chloride groundwater in the MRVA aquifer in SE AR and northeastern Louisiana. At least three major earthquakes are documented in the region, dating from 6,800 to 800 years BP (Cox et al. 2010). These earthquakes are argued to have created a continuous fracture system from the Smackover Formation to the MRVA, creating pathways for upward fluid movement. Based on numerical modeling in other sedimentary basins (Delfs et al. 2016; Tillner et al. 2016), persistent overpressure and open fault pathways would allow upward fluid flow for 100s to 1,000s of years. The current spatial and temporal pattern of high-chloride waters in the MRVA aquifer in SE
AR is consistent with continued upward migration of the deep basal fluids, perhaps exacerbated by continued agricultural extraction and infiltration of evaporatively concentrated saline agricultural runoff.

The conceptual model for anomalously high salinity in MRVA aquifer groundwater provides evidence that under specific conditions earthquakes result in the upward migration of deep basal fluids into shallow groundwater reservoirs. Numerous studies have shown changes in shallow groundwater chemistry immediately following earthquake events (Malakootian and Nouri 2010; Skelton et al. 2014; Rosen et al. 2018), but most of these studies suggest that the short-term water chemistry changes are related to release of pore fluids during earthquake-related dilation or changes in near fault hydrologic characteristics (Rosen et al. 2018). In at least one case, water chemistry changes following in earthquake indicate the rise of deep-seated fluids (Barberio et al. 2017). Despite these observations, numerous studies have shown evidence for upward migration of deep basin fluids and brines along faults to shallow aquifers and surface waters (Druhan et al. 2008; Petitta et al. 2011; Keating et al. 2013), suggesting that earthquakes may create pathways along faults for upward fluid movement from depth. The results from the current study provide a link between past earthquake activity and current water quality conditions indicating that earthquake activity may induce upward migration of deep basal fluids, especially where overpressured fluids exist at depth. The results also have implications for conditions under which induced seismicity may lead to upward flow of deep basal fluids, whether natural or injected, along faults.
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