The role of polyelectrolyte-solvent interactions, among other non-Coulomb interactions, in dictating the thermodynamics and kinetics of polyelectrolyte complexation is prominent, yet sparingly studied. In this article, we present systematic comparisons of the binodal phase behavior of polyelectrolyte complexes (PECs) comprising polyelectrolytes with varying quality of backbone-solvent interactions. Experimental phase diagrams of polyelectrolyte complexes with either a peptide or an aliphatic backbone highlight the influence of backbone chemistry on the composition of complexes and their salt resistance. Corresponding theoretical phase diagrams, obtained from a framework combining the random phase approximation and Flory- Huggins approach, reveal a transition from closed phase boundaries with confined two-phase regions for PECs in good solvents to open phase boundaries, wherein two-phase systems are predicted to exist even at very high salt concentrations, for PECs in poor solvents. These predictions compare fittingly with experimental observations of low salt resistance (≈1 M NaCl) of PECs comprising hydrophilic polyelectrolytes and persistence of complexes, stabilized by short-range hydrophobic interactions, even at very high salt concentrations (≈6 M NaCl) for PECs comprising hydrophobic polyelectrolytes.
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ABSTRACT: The role of polyelectrolyte-solvent interactions, among other non-Coulomb interactions, in dictating the thermodynamics and kinetics of polyelectrolyte complexation is prominent, yet sparingly studied. In this article, we present systematic comparisons of the binodal phase behavior of polyelectrolyte complexes (PECs) comprising polyelectrolytes with varying quality of backbone-solvent interactions. Experimental phase diagrams of polyelectrolyte complexes with either a peptide or an aliphatic backbone highlight the influence of backbone chemistry on the composition of complexes and their salt resistance. Corresponding theoretical phase diagrams, obtained from a framework combining the random phase approximation and Flory-Huggins approach, reveal a transition from closed phase boundaries with confined two-phase regions for PECs in good solvents to open phase boundaries, wherein two-phase systems are predicted to exist even at very high salt concentrations, for PECs in poor solvents. These predictions compare fittingly with experimental observations of low salt resistance (~1 M NaCl) of PECs comprising hydrophilic polyelectrolytes and persistence of complexes, stabilized by short-range hydrophobic interactions, even at very high salt concentrations (~6 M NaCl) for PECs comprising hydrophobic polyelectrolytes.

I. INTRODUCTION

Polyelectrolyte complexes (PECs) have garnered recent interest as model systems for gene delivery vehicles,1–4 bioadhesives5,6 and membraneless organelle mimics.7,8 An increasing number of investigations have focused on thermodynamics,9–11 morphology,12–17 and mechanical properties18–21 of PECs comprising biologically-derived22–24 as well as synthetic12,15,21,25,26 polyelectrolytes. Experiments,9,11 theory,27–30 and computer simulations31–33 have shown that complexation proceeds by associative phase separation of oppositely charged polyelectrolytes in aqueous solutions driven by cooperative electrostatic interactions and entropy of counterion release.34–37 Correspondingly, the effects of polyelectrolyte molecular weights, architectures, charge densities, mixing ratio, and solution conditions including pH, salt concentration, and temperature on PEC characteristics have been detailed extensively.12,15,25,30,38–45

Inter-polyelectrolyte and polyelectrolyte-solvent interaction,20,23,46,47 even while being acknowledged in early treatments48–50 to influence thermodynamics and kinetics of complexation, have received relatively scant attention. This can be ascribed primarily to a lack of polyelectrolyte systems that facilitate systematic and comprehensive examination of the interplay of electrostatic and hydrophobic interactions in solution. Contemporary efforts have incorporated an ad hoc Flory-Huggins χ parameter in the classic Voorn-Overbeek theory of polyelectrolyte complexation51 to model the phase behavior and salt stability of PECs.26,34,47 More recently, a systematic investigation of the effect of hydrophobicity of the functional groups of poly(4-vinylpyridine), quaternized with methyl, ethyl, and propyl substituents, on the PEC stability, rheology and swelling characteristics was discussed by Sadman et al., showing increasing salt resistance with longer alkyl chains.52 However, these reports primarily focused on evaluating the influence of charged functional side groups on the physical attributes of the complexes; the role of the polymeric backbone in dictating PEC characteristics remain to be clarified.

With the insights drawn from our previous investigations10,12,13 and a companion paper that discusses the phase behavior of oppositely charged polyelectrolytes with hydrophobic backbones,53 we employ polyelectrolytes with peptide and aliphatic backbones, but with side groups having identical functionality, to investigate quantitatively the effect of backbone chemistry on PEC phase behavior. The polyelectrolytes - poly(L-lysine hydrochloride) (PLK), poly(D,L-glutamic acid sodium salt) (PRE), poly(allylamine hydrochloride) (PAH), and poly(acrylic acid sodium salt) (PAA) were accompanied by sodium or chloride counterions. Composition maps of mixtures of polycation, polyanion, sodium chloride and water were elucidated through thermogravimetric analysis. The strength of electrostatic interactions and entropy contributions within four polyelectrolyte pairs were expected to be similar; the major differences of their phase behaviors were anticipated to originate from polyelectrolyte backbone interactions. Interpretation of the experimental data was facilitated by comparisons with predictions from theoretical analysis based on the random phase approximation (RPA) theory and Flory-
Morphological transitions from phase plates (Costar, Corning Inc.) for concentrations

...determined hydrophobicity polyelectrolyte compounds were vortexed again for 30 sec. Next, the required amounts of water stock solutions were added, and the solution was vortexed for 1 minute. The polypeptide stock solutions were sonicated for 30 minutes as per manufacturer’s instructions to obtain clear solutions, and the PAA and PAM stock solutions were sonicated for 6 hours to fully dissolve the polymers for clear solutions. 5 and 6 M NaCl stock solutions were prepared for further sample preparation.

Preparation of Polyelectrolyte Complexes. Polyelectrolyte complexes were prepared by mixing polyelectrolyte stock solutions, NaCl stock solution and water in appropriate ratios to achieve desired total polyelectrolyte concentration ($\phi_{p,e}$) and added salt concentration ($\Lambda_S$) while maintaining 1:1 stoichiometric ratio of polyelectrolyte charge. The required amounts of chosen polycation stock solutions were added to a solution containing the desired amounts of MilliQ water and NaCl stock solution (5 M) in a 1.5 mL Eppendorf tube and vortexed for 30 sec. Next, the required amounts of chosen polyanion stock solutions were added, and the solution mixtures were vortexed again for 30 sec. Four pairs of polyelectrolyte complexation systems with varying degrees of hydrophobicity were investigated: PAA with PAM, PAA with PLK, PRE with PAM, and PRE with PLK.

Salt Resistance Measurements by Microscopy. The added salt concentrations required for complete dissolution of PECs, referred to as the salt resistance ($\Lambda_S^\star$) of the PECs, were determined by phase contrast optical microscopy (Leica DMI 6000B) of the PEC samples prepared at total polyelectrolyte concentrations $\phi_{p,e} = 1\%$ wt/wt with varying added salt concentrations ($\Lambda_S$). 200 µl of solution mixtures prepared as described above were placed in ultra-low attachment 96-well plates (Costar, Corning Inc.) for microscopic imaging. Morphological transitions from phase-separated complexes to one homogenous phase were recorded with increased concentration of added salt.

**II. EXPERIMENTAL AND THEORETICAL METHODS**

**Materials and Preparation of Stock Solution.** Poly(L-lysine hydrochloride) (PLK, degree of polymerization $N = 100$, molecular weight = 16000 g/mol) and poly(D,L-glutamic acid sodium salt) (PREG, $N = 100$, molecular weight = 15,000 g/mol) were purchased from Alomanda Polymers (Huntsville, AL USA). Poly(acrylic acid sodium salt) (PAANa, $N = 158$, molecular weight = 14800 g/mol) was purchased from Polymer Source Inc. (Dorval, Canada). Poly(allylamine hydrochloride) (PAH, $N = 160$, molecular weight = 15,000 g/mol) was purchased from AK Scientific Inc. (Union City, CA USA). Sodium chloride (NaCl, ACS grade) was purchased from Millipore Sigma (St. Louis, MO). All chemicals were used without further purification. 10% wt/v stock polyelectrolyte solutions in MilliQ water were prepared and vortexed for 1 minute. The polypeptide stock solutions were sonicated for 30 minutes as per manufacturer’s instructions to obtain clear solutions, and the PAA and PAM stock solutions were sonicated for 6 hours to fully dissolve the polymers for clear solutions.

**Preparation of Polyelectrolyte Complexes.** Polyelectrolyte complexes were prepared by mixing polyelectrolyte stock solutions, NaCl stock solution and water in appropriate ratios to achieve desired total polyelectrolyte concentration ($\phi_{p,e}$) and added salt concentration ($\Lambda_S$) while maintaining 1:1 stoichiometric ratio of polyelectrolyte charge. The required amounts of chosen polycation stock solutions were added to a solution containing the desired amounts of MilliQ water and NaCl stock solution (5 M) in a 1.5 mL Eppendorf tube and vortexed for 30 sec. Next, the required amounts of chosen polyanion stock solutions were added, and the solution mixtures were vortexed again for 30 sec. Four pairs of polyelectrolyte complexation systems with varying degrees of hydrophobicity were investigated: PAA with PAM, PAA with PLK, PRE with PAM, and PRE with PLK.

**Salt Resistance Measurements by Microscopy.** The added salt concentrations required for complete dissolution of PECs, referred to as the salt resistance ($\Lambda_S^\star$) of the PECs, were determined by phase contrast optical microscopy (Leica DMI 6000B) of the PEC samples prepared at total polyelectrolyte concentrations $\phi_{p,e} = 1\%$ wt/wt with varying added salt concentrations ($\Lambda_S$). 200 µl of solution mixtures prepared as described above were placed in ultra-low attachment 96-well plates (Costar, Corning Inc.) for microscopic imaging. Morphological transitions from phase-separated complexes to one homogenous phase were recorded with increased concentration of added salt.

**Thermogravimetric Analysis.** 1.5 mL Eppendorf tubes containing 300 to 1000µl samples were centrifuged at 17000g for 15 min. 30 µL of the supernatant and an appropriate amount of the complex were extracted, transferred into separate aluminum pans, and placed in a Barnstead Thermolyne Furnace 1400 to for thermal processing. The operating atmosphere in the furnace was air. The following thermal processing protocol was used: samples were heated from room temperature to 110 °C and held for 2.5 h. Samples were subsequently cooled to room temperature, weighed to estimate weight loss corresponding to the water content. The samples were returned to the furnace and heated to 600 °C. After heating for 12 h, the samples were cooled to room temperature, and their weights were measured again to estimate the polymer and salt contents. At least 3 repeats were conducted for each PEC sample with prescribed total polyelectrolyte concentration ($\phi_{p,e}$) and added salt concentration ($\Lambda_S$). The weight fractions of water, polymer, and salt were recorded and converted to volume fractions $\phi_p$, $\phi_S$ and $\phi_W$, respectively, by assuming same density of polymer and salt in bulk and solution state. Densities $\rho_{PLK-PRE} = 1.2515$ g/mL, $\rho_{PAH-PAA} = 1.3308$ g/mL, $\rho_{PLK-PAA} = 1.3217$ g/mL, $\rho_{PAH-PRE} = 1.3033$ g/mL, $\rho_{salt} = 2.16$ g/mL, and $\rho_{water} = 1.0$ g/mL were used. Statistical analysis using Dixon’s Q test was performed for the identification and rejection of outliers.

**Theoretical Considerations.** We considered the theoretical situation of stoichiometrically equivalent solutions of oppositely charged polyelectrolytes (polycation and polyanion volume fractions $\phi_p = \phi_S$) capable of forming a complex phase coexisting with a dilute supernatant. Polycations and polyanions had equal statistical segment sizes $a$, equal number of segments, $N_p = N_a = N$, and contained equal fractions of ionic monomers, $f_a = f_p = f$, each carrying quenched charge $\pm e$. We focused on weakly charged polynomials, $f \ll 1$, which form complexes of low polymer density. Due to low polyelectrolyte volume fraction $\phi = 2\phi_a = 2\phi_p$ within the complex, $\phi \ll 1$, dielectric constants of the complex and supernatant phases were assumed to be equal to that of the solvent, $\epsilon$. The dimensionless Bjerrum length is $u = l_p/a = e^2/\epsilon a k_B T$. In aqueous solutions, where $l_p = 0.7$ nm and $u \approx 1$, effects of cross-chain ion pairing within the complex are negligible.52,53 Salt concentration $c_S$ was considered to be the number of cations and anions per $a^3$ volume. The free energy of the solution and its volume were denoted by $F$ and $V$, respectively.

Binodal phase boundaries of the solution were determined by estimating the free energy density $F$ expressed in $k_B T/a^3$ units, $F = \mathcal{F} a^3/V k_B T$, as the sum of three contributions

$$F = F_{corr} + F_{ex} + F_{FH}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

The first and the second terms, $F_{corr}$ and $F_{ex}$, describe the Coulombic interactions between all the charged species (polyelectrolytes and salt ions) and translational entropy of...
salt ions, respectively. The third term $F_{FH}$, expressed in the framework of the Flory-Huggins (FH) lattice model, is the free energy of the salt-free solution of the corresponding neutral polymers. In the mean-field (saddle-point) approximation, the free energy of electrostatic interactions is zero owing to the system being charge neutral. Coulombic attraction between polymers therefore arise from fluctuations (correlations) and were treated using the random phase approximation (RPA) formalism.\textsuperscript{27,53-60} The corresponding free energy density can be expressed as\textsuperscript{54,60-62}

$$F_{corr} = \frac{1}{12\pi (r_p/a)} (1 - s) \sqrt{2 + \frac{r_p}{r_d}}$$ \hspace{1cm} (2)

The parameter $s$ is the reduced salt concentration, $s = \left(\frac{r_p}{r_d}\right)^2$, equal to the squared ratio between the screening radius by polymer, $r_p/a = (48\pi uf^2\phi)^{-1/4}$, and the Debye screening length, $r_d/a = (4\pi u_C)^{-1/2}$. Equation 2 implies that salt ions are considered as point-like charges, so that their translational entropy has an ideal-gas form

$$F_{tr} = c_s \ln c_s \hspace{1cm} (3)$$

The FH term, $F_{FH}$, incorporates the translational entropy of polyelectrolytes and solvent (water) as well as the short-range pairwise interactions between the polyelectrolytes and the solvent. The latter was written as $\chi_{+w}\phi_+\phi_w + \chi_{-w}\phi_-\phi_w + \chi_{+}\phi_+\phi_-$. Here $\chi_{+w}$, $\chi_{-w}$ and $\chi_{+}$ denote the interaction parameters between polycation monomers and solvent, polyanion monomers and solvent, and polycation and polyanion monomers, respectively. The solvent volume fraction $\phi_w = 1 - \phi$; salt ions were assumed to be point-like and do not contribute any volume to the system. Thus, an effective FH parameter of interaction $\chi$ between the polyelectrolyte pair and the solvent were defined as\textsuperscript{63}

$$\chi = \frac{\chi_{+w} + \chi_{-w} - \chi_{+}}{2}$$ \hspace{1cm} (4)

Omitting linear $\phi$ terms, $F_{FH}$ can therefore be written as

$$F_{FH} = \frac{\phi N}{2} \ln \frac{\phi}{2} + (1 - \phi) \ln(1 - \phi) - \chi \phi^2$$ \hspace{1cm} (5)

It could be argued that the effective solvent quality for the complex phase decreases when it decreases for each of the polyelectrolytes, i.e., $\chi$ is the increasing function of $\chi_{+w}$ and $\chi_{-w}$. However, immiscibility of the polyelectrolytes with each other, resulting from short-range repulsions between polyanions and polycations, can favor swelling of the complexes.\textsuperscript{62} At the same time, when the uncharged monomers of the oppositely charged polyelectrolytes are compatible, $\chi_{+w} = 0$, then the effective solvent quality for the complex phase can be approximated equal to the average of those for polyanion and polycation, $\chi = (\chi_{+w} + \chi_{-w})/2$.

Binodal phase boundaries of the solution are defined by the equality of the osmotic pressures, $\Pi$, as well as salt and polymer chemical potentials, $\mu_s$ and $\mu_p$, in the coexisting phases

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Pi(\phi^{\text{comp}}, c_s^{\text{comp}}) = \Pi(\phi^{\text{sup}}, c_s^{\text{sup}}) \\ \mu_s(\phi^{\text{comp}}, c_s^{\text{comp}}) = \mu_s(\phi^{\text{sup}}, c_s^{\text{sup}}) \\ \mu_p(\phi^{\text{comp}}, c_s^{\text{comp}}) = \mu_p(\phi^{\text{sup}}, c_s^{\text{sup}}) \end{array} \right.$$ \hspace{1cm} (6)

Chemical potentials are given by $\mu_s = \partial F/\partial c_s$ and $\mu_p = \partial F/\partial \phi_p$. Accordingly, the osmotic pressure can be calculated as $\Pi = c_s\mu_s + \phi_p\mu_p - F$. The effect of hydrophobicity of polyelectrolyte backbones on the complexation phenomenon was investigated by determining the binodal phase boundaries obtained from the numerical solution of the set of Equations 6 for different effective solvent qualities ranging between $\chi = 0.4$ (moderately good solvent) and $\chi = 0.6$ (moderately poor solvent). Note that at $\chi = 0.4$, solvent is good, but the deviation from the $\Theta$ point, $\chi = 0.5$, is low, so that the statistics of the polyelectrolytes within the complex phase could be considered Gaussian, even at small length scales.\textsuperscript{65} In this case, Equation 2 which was derived under the assumption that the polyelectrolytes within the complex phase have ideal-coil conformations, is applicable.\textsuperscript{61}

The RPA correlation correction is inaccurate at very low polymer concentrations.\textsuperscript{63-65} Detailed analysis\textsuperscript{53,66} shows that polyanions and polycations in supernatant (where polymer concentration is low) can form finite size complex aggregates; this fact is disregarded in our theoretical model. Nevertheless, fully-fluctuating field-theoretic simulations\textsuperscript{63-65} have shown that the predictions of the RPA on the density of the complex phase are highly reliable in the entire range of salt concentrations, except the vicinity of the critical point where mean-field theories generally break down.\textsuperscript{67}

To ensure consistency and rigorous accuracy of our theoretical considerations (at least in the treatment of the complex phase), we restricted our analysis to the case of weakly charged chains, $f < 1$.\textsuperscript{36,62} We noted the mismatch of this charge density regime with our experimental studies where the polyelectrolytes studied have high charge densities. However, the proposed theoretical model is able to account for the trends in the solution phase behavior, including the effect of the polyelectrolyte hydrophobicity on the stability of the complexes. To develop the quantitative theory of the described phenomena, which would be applicable at $f = 1$, readers may refer to transfer matrix formalism,\textsuperscript{66} liquid state theory approaches,\textsuperscript{30,69-71} and field-theoretic simulations.\textsuperscript{63-65} It must also be noted that all thermodynamic theories, including the formalism considered here, are applicable to equilibrium liquid complexes but not to solid complexes which may exist in kinetically arrested states.\textsuperscript{72,73}

### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### III.1 Effect of Polyelectrolyte Length on Phase Behavior of Complexes: Model Validation

Length of polyelectrolyte chains is known to influence the composition of complexes they form. Polyelectrolyte content and the height of the two-phase window both increase with increasing lengths, although the trends approach a plateau for long polyelectrolytes. Our previous investigations on phase
behavior of length-matched charge-matched PLK-PRE complexes highlighted these trends.\textsuperscript{12} Polyelectrolyte volume fraction of the complexes, $\phi_p$, increased prominently upon increasing chains lengths from 20-mers to 50-mers. Increasing chain lengths from 50-mers to 100-mers to 400-mers, however, did not produce distinguishable increases in $\phi_p$, particularly in the low salt volume fraction, $\phi_s$, regime (Figure S1).\textsuperscript{12} On the contrary, height of the two-phase window, a proxy for the salt resistance of the complexes, increased continually with longer chain lengths.\textsuperscript{12}

Binodal phase envelope predictions for mixtures of oppositely charged polyelectrolytes of varying lengths and fraction of ionic units $f = 0.1$ in an effective $\Theta$-solvent ($\chi = 0.5$) with dimensionless Bjerrum length $u = 1$ are shown in Figure 1. Evolution of the phase boundaries with $N$ followed expected trends; $\phi$ increased with $N$ in the range of $100 \leq N \leq 400$ before plateauing for $400 \leq N \leq 1600$. The vanishing translational entropy term as $N \to \infty$ in Equation 5 led to the saturation of the salt-free complex density as $N$ increases, in accordance with our previously published experimental data\textsuperscript{12} shown in Figure S1. However, salt concentration at critical point $e_S^{cr}$, an indicator of the height of the two-phase window, increased with $N$ continually, in agreement with our previous results\textsuperscript{12} and trends reported in the literature.\textsuperscript{21,24}

The inset in Figure 1 shows the salt concentration at critical point $e_S^{cr}$, obtained within the framework of the RPA considerations, increasing with $N$, along with the limiting scaling law $e_S^{cr} \sim N^{1/2}$ being valid for sufficiently long chains ($N \geq 500$ for the chosen values of parameters). The scaling predictions could be obtained by arguing that polyelectrolytes in $\Theta$ solvents adopt ideal-coil conformations at all length scales. Thus, the correlation length (blob size) within the complex is $\xi \approx f^{-1} = c_s f^2$, using the predictions of $\phi = f^2/c_s$ for polyelectrolyte complexes containing high salt concentrations.\textsuperscript{54,61,75} The complex phase can be envisioned to be composed of densely packed oppositely charged blobs, each of the size $\xi$ and containing $g \approx \xi^2 \approx c_s^2 f^4$ monomers. A positively charged blob is preferably surrounded by negatively charged blobs, and the energy of Coulomb attraction is of the same order as thermal energy, $k_B T$, per blob.\textsuperscript{61} For long polyelectrolyte chains, $N \gg g$, free energy gain per chain due to complexation far exceeds $k_B T$, and associative phase separation is thermodynamically favorable. However, as $g$ approaches $N$, Coulomb attraction between the chains scales as $k_B T$ per chain and becomes too weak to overcome their translational entropy. Neglecting numerical factors, salt concentration at the critical point can therefore be estimate as $e_S^{cr} \sim N^{1/2} f^2 \sim N^{1/2}$, in agreement with the accurate RPA results shown in the inset of Figure 1. It must be noted that this result is limited to the range of applicability of RPA theory, $c_s \ll 1$.\textsuperscript{61} Further, experimentally observed growth of $e_S^{cr}$ with $N$ is weaker than $N^{1/2}$, and can be ascribed to water being a good rather than a $\Theta$ solvent for the polypeptide chains. Nevertheless, the qualitative similarities between theoretical predictions and experimental trends endorse the capability of the theoretical framework to capture the essential physics of polyelectrolyte complexation and enable concurrent theoretical and experimental investigations of the effect of solvent quality on the complexation phenomena.

### III.2 Effect of Polyelectrolyte Backbone Hydrophobicity on Phase Behavior of Complexes

Figure 2a displays optical micrographs depicting the morphological transitions of the complexes upon mixing polyelectrolyte pairs PAH-PAA,\textsuperscript{60} PLK-PAA, PAH-PRE, and PLK-PRE\textsuperscript{12,26} systems with total polyelectrolyte concentration $\phi_{P,0} = 1\% \: wt/\nu$ (i) in absence of any added salt ($\Lambda_s = 0$), and at (ii) low and (iii) high concentrations of added salt ($\Lambda_s$). PLK and PRE have hydrophilic backbones comprising peptide linkages, while PAH and PAA possess hydrophobic aliphatic backbones (chemical structures of the four polyelectrolytes are shown by Figure 2b). As illustrated in the micrographs, complexes comprising hydrophilic polyelectrolyte pair (PLK and PRE) existed as liquid coacervate droplets owning to their high water content, and complexation was completely suppressed upon exceeding the salt resistance ($\Lambda_s^* =1.025$ M, Figure 2c).\textsuperscript{12,26} On the contrary, in complexes comprising hydrophobic polyelectrolytes (PAH and PAA), amorphous precipitates formed at low added salt concentrations that transitioned to semi-solid soft structures to fluid droplets upon increasing addition of salt. It must be noted that complete dissolution of the PAH-PAA complexes was not observed in as high as 6 M salt concentration in solutions; salt
resistance of PAH-PAA complexes exceeded the solubility of NaCl in water at room temperature.\textsuperscript{44,47}

The morphology and response to addition of salt of complexes comprising polyelectrolytes with hydrophilic and hydrophobic backbones were expected to be between the trends observed for the hydrophobic-hydrophobic pair and the hydrophilic-hydrophilic pair of polyelectrolytes. PLK-PAA complexes were found to be flaky precipitates in the absence of added salt, transitioned to liquid droplets upon addition of salt before completely dissolving at $\Lambda_s^* = 1.9$ M (Figure 2c). PAH-PRE formed liquid coacervate droplets, albeit with a distinct morphology in the absence of added salt. The first image in the third row of Figure 2a shows small satellite droplets resting around and on top of primary coacervate droplets. We hypothesize that the diffusion of the smaller satellite droplets inside large primary droplets were remarkably hindered, resulting in the formation of inhomogeneous assemblies. Upon addition of salt, the droplets became homogenous, before dissolving completely at $\Lambda_s^* = 2.5$ M (Figure 2c). However, the formation of inhomogeneous liquid droplets in the PAH-PRE complexes in the absence of added salt was an unexpected observation.

Composition of the complex and supernatant phases obtained upon mixing oppositely charged polyelectrolytes with total polyelectrolyte concentration (\(\phi_P\)) and added salt concentration (\(\Lambda_s^*\)) are depicted in detailed binodal polymer concentration (\(\phi_P\)) – salt concentration (\(\Lambda_s^*\)) maps in Figure 3. Among the four polyelectrolyte-pairs, backbones of the PLK-PRE and PAH-PAA represent the two extremes of the hydrophilic-hydrophobic backbone spectrum. Correspondingly, compositions of the complex and the supernatant phase, as deduced from thermogravimetric analysis, evolved distinctively for these systems with varying $\phi_{P,0}$ and $\Lambda_s^*$ in solution. Polymer content in the complex phases (depicted by the right branch of the binodal phase boundaries) formed by the polypeptide PLK-PRE pair was generally less than those formed by the PAH-PAA pair with aliphatic backbones. Further, on the $\phi_P$-$\phi_s$ plane, the two branches representing the complex and supernatant phases merged into one for the PLK-PRE pair, indicating complete suppression of complexation irrespective of the total polymer concentration and a one-phase region for all $\phi_P$ values beyond a critical $\phi_s$ value. However, merging of the two branches representing the complex and supernatant phases was not observed for the PAH-PAA pair, and the polymer-
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\caption{Stabilities of complexes in salt containing environments. (a) Microscopic representatives of the phase separated complexation systems with varying degrees of hydrophobicity. PAH-PAA, the most hydrophobic pair, showed gel-like semi-solid aggregates. PLK-PRE, the most hydrophilic pair, showed liquid droplets. PLK-PAA and PAH-PRE, the intermediate pairs, showed transitional behaviors. (b) Chemical structures of polyelectrolytes employed. (c) NaCl salt resistance for the PECs comprising the respective polyelectrolyte pairs. PAH-PAA complexes did not dissolve even at the highest NaCl concentration (6 M) investigated.}
\end{figure}
Binodal phase diagrams of different PEC systems. The binodal composition data were plotted in salt volume fraction ($\phi_s$)-polymer volume fraction ($\phi_p$) map. The solid (complex phase) and open (supernatant phase) blue squares, green diamonds, orange triangles, and red circles were the results obtained from TGA measurements for PAH-PAA, PAH-PRE, PLK-PAA, and PLK-PRE pairs. The overall shapes of the binodal phase boundaries evolved from an open form of the most hydrophilic PAH-PAA complexes to a closed form for complexes containing at least one hydrophilic polyelectrolyte.

Binodal phase boundaries depicted by the green diamonds and yellow triangles in Figure 3 represent the compositions of the complex and the supernatant phases for solutions comprising polyelectrolyte pairs of PAH-PRE and PLK-PAA, respectively. The binodal boundaries for both these systems lay notably in between PAH-PAA and PLK-PRE phase boundaries. Further, both the systems exhibited “closed” binodal phase boundaries with regions of completely compressed phase boundaries. Further, both the systems exhibited “closed” binodal phase boundaries with regions of completely suppressed complexation. It is notable that in all the four pairs of polyelectrolytes considered here, the ionic groups on the oppositely charged polyelectrolytes were the same. Therefore, even though the strength of the electrostatic interactions remained the similar, the binding strength among the oppositely charged chains in the complexes that dictate the

rich phases that formed upon complexation were able to tolerate high concentrations of salt. Relatedly, $\phi_p$ in the complex phase decreased drastically upon addition of salt in the system composed of polypeptides, but the variations of $\phi_p$ with increasing amounts of added salt were suppressed, and even non-monotonic at low added salt concentrations, for the systems composed of aliphatic polyelectrolytes. For example, in the range of $\phi_s$ from 1% to 3% (0.5 M to 2 M), the complex branch of the binodal phase boundary for the PAH-PAA system (depicted by blue squares) was nearly vertical, denoting little compositional change, in contrast to the strongly sloped complex branch (depicted by the red circles) for the PLK-PRE system.

Interactions of the polyelectrolyte backbones with the solvent and with each other were encapsulated in the effective Flory-Huggins interaction parameter $\chi$ (Equation 4). Figure 4 shows binodal phase boundaries for polyelectrolyte complexes with systematically varying $\chi$ between 0.4 to 0.6, corresponding to the solvent conditions varying from poor solvent to good solvent. $N = 10^3$ and $f = 0.1$ were chosen to satisfy the limit of weakly charged polyelectrolytes where the RPA is rigorous and accurate. In the effectively $\Theta$-solvent ($\chi = 0.5$, phase boundaries shown by the green curve) PEC stability can be attributed solely to electrostatic interactions. Upon increasing salt concentration, Coulombic attractions became increasingly screened, resulting in polymer densities in the complex and the supernatant phases to approach each other readily, and eventually meeting near the critical point. In effectively good solvents for the polyelectrolytes with $\chi < 0.5$, the binodal phase boundaries, shown by red and orange curves, were smaller lower polymer density in the complex phase at comparable salt concentrations. Decreasing $\chi$ effectively led to a leftward shifting complex branch in the binodal phase maps. Correspondingly, PEC dissolution also occurred at lower salt concentrations. These transitions could be attributed to the absence of the effective short-range two-body repulsion between the monomers that exist in the effectively $\Theta$ solvent conditions ($\chi = 0.5$).

The trends in the binodal phase boundaries were, however, very different for polyelectrolytes in effectively poor solvents ($\chi > 0.5$). PEC stability was influenced by both (i) the electrostatic attraction between oppositely charged polyelectrolytes and (ii) the intermolecular and intramolecular short-range (hydrophobic) attractions of polyelectrolyte chains. The latter can result in formation of a dense polymer-rich phase of neutral (with strongly screened electrostatic interactions) hydrophobic polymer in poor solvent. At low salt concentrations, both factors contribute to phase separation of the polyelectrolytes, resulting in high macromolecular concentrations in the complex phase.

Addition of salt caused screening of electrostatics interactions, so that, at high salt concentrations, phase separation of the polyelectrolytes in the solution, if it occurs at all, would be driven solely due to short-range hydrophobic attraction of the polymer chains. For phase separation to occur in such solutions would require a threshold $\chi$ value which corresponds to weakly poor solvent and is given by

$$
\chi_{cr} = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \right)^2 \approx \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}
$$

Here, the $1/\sqrt{N}$ term is the contribution from the subdued translational entropy of polyelectrolyte chains. For polyelectrolytes with $N = 10^3$, $\chi_{cr} \approx 0.532$. Thus, polyelectrolytes with $\chi < \chi_{cr}$ are expected to phase separate.
Figure 4. Polymer volume fraction ($\phi$)-salt concentration ($c_s$) representation of the theoretical predictions of the binodal phase boundaries for polyelectrolyte complex solutions with varying hydrophobicity of polyelectrolytes governed by the effective Flory-Huggins parameter $\chi$. Curves are plotted for $f = 0.1$, $N = 10^3$, and $u = 1$.

and form complexes that would disintegrating upon addition of appropriate amounts of salt, while polyelectrolytes with $\chi \geq \chi_{cr}$ are expected to phase separate and the resulting phases are expected to remain stable even at high salt concentrations.

The binodal phase boundaries for solutions comprising oppositely charged polyelectrolytes with effective $\chi = 0.532$ is shown in Figure 4 with the dark blue curve. It is evident that the polymer-rich and polymer-lean branches of the binodal boundaries did not converge even at salt concentrations as high as $c_s = 0.5$. Further examination of the evolution of the binodal phase boundaries revealed intriguing features. At comparable salt concentrations, polymer content in the polymer-rich phase increased progressively with increasing $\chi$. The binodal phase boundaries could be categorized in two regimes – systems with $\chi < \chi_{cr} = 0.532$ exhibited distinct two-phase and one-phase regions, while systems with $\chi \geq \chi_{cr}$ remained phase separated states even at the highest salt concentrations investigated here ($c_s = 0.5$). The polyelectrolyte density of complex phase gradually reduced upon salt addition at low $c_s$ before saturating at higher $c_s$. These behaviors are consistent with our expectations of a transition in solution behaviors at $\chi_{cr}$.

A comparison of our experimental observations and theoretical results, shown in Figures 3 and 4, respectively, highlighted broad agreements. The general shapes of binodal phase boundaries and their evolution with varying solvent quality were consistent between the experimental and theoretical treatments. The density of the complex phase increased as solvent quality became poorer, and the polymer-dense phases for polyelectrolytes with aliphatic backbones and correspondingly polyelectrolytes with $\chi \geq \chi_{cr}$ did not dissolve in high concentrations of salt. We note that stable complexes in poor solvents that persist even at high salt concentrations have been reported previously, and our experimental and theoretical results are in general agreement with these earlier findings. We also note that while there is general agreement between our experimental and theoretical treatments, the theoretical analysis is unable to capture two key aspects of the experimental data. Theory predicted higher salt concentration within the complex phase compared with the supernatant phase, which was contrary to experiments observations of preferential salt partitioning in the polymer-lean phases in solutions comprising fully charged polyelectrolytes. This could be attributed to the assumption of weakly charged polyelectrolytes ($f \ll 1$) and point-like salt ions in the theoretical considerations. Corresponding modifications in theoretical work would require a more thorough analysis of the salt behavior within the complex phase, including excluded volume, solvation and dielectric effects. Second, the decrease in $\phi_p$ in the polyelectrolyte-rich phase with polyelectrolytes composed of aliphatic hydrophobic backbones at extremely high salt concentration was not reproduced in the theoretical calculations since such high salt concentration were inaccessible in the theoretical framework adopted here. RPA applicability is limited to weak Coulomb correlations, which yields $c_s \ll 1$ in aqueous solutions.

**IV. CONCLUSIONS**

Complexed polyelectrolytes with aliphatic backbones serve as the basis of diverse materials including multifunctional membrane, ultrafiltration, and coatings understanding the role of solvent quality in their complexation would greatly improve the materials design. In this work, we provide insights on the effect of solvent quality on polyelectrolyte complexation among polyelectrolytes with nearly identical electrostatic interactions but varying solvent compatibilities. Systematic comparisons of the experimental binodal phase behaviors of four polyelectrolyte complexes with varying backbone hydrophobicity provided a route towards tuning the PEC phase behavior, compositions and salt stability. Exceptional stability of PAH-PAA complexes against NaCl addition contrasted starkly against the complete dissolution of PLK-PRE complexes at ~1 M NaCl. Corresponding theoretical considerations demonstrated complexation being driven exclusively by electrostatic attractions between charged species in good or theta solvents, while in poor solvents, backbone hydrophobicity played a vital role in dictating phase behavior leading to high salt resistances and lower water content of the resultant polymer-rich phases. This work offers a unique perspective by integrating results from multiple systems with diverse chemical structures and is expected to serve as a starting point for instructive comparison in future studies.
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Supplementary Figures S1-S2
Figure S1. Experimentally determined phase diagrams of PLK-PRE system. The solid (complex phase) and open (supernatant phase) red squares, yellow diamonds, blue circles, and green triangles were the results obtained from TGA measurements for PLK-PRE systems with chain length of 20, 50, 100, and 400 repeat units, respectively. The red, yellow, blue, and green crosses were the results converted from calculation based on salt resistance concentration measurements. Reprinted (adapted) with permission from L. Li et al., Macromolecules 51,8 (2018). Copyright (2018) American Chemical Society.
Figure S2. Individual phase diagrams of PLK-PRE (red), PLK-PAA (orange), PAH-PRE (green), and PAH-PAA (blue) systems. Selective tie-lines were drawn connecting complex phases (solid symbols) and corresponding supernatant phases (open symbols).
