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Abstract

Poor oral hygiene may lead to overgrowth of pathogenic oral bacteria, which may induce chronic inflammation to promote the oncogenesis of oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC). This study investigated the association between oral bacterial profile and OSCC risk in a case–control study of 138 OSCC cases and 151 controls (88 cases and 90 controls for the discovery group and 50 cases and 61 controls for the validation group). Oral bacterial profiles were characterized by targeted sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene. Three species of periodontopathogenic bacteria, Prevotella tannerae, Fusobacterium nucleatum, and Prevotella intermedia, were associated with an increased OSCC risk. This association was modified by the genetic polymorphisms of TLR2 and TLR4. Use of alcohol, betel quids and cigarettes and poor oral hygiene were associated with a higher percentage of oral periodontopathogenic bacteria. The association between alcohol and periodontopathogenic bacteria was modified by the genetic polymorphism of ALDH2, with a stronger positive association observed among the ALDH2-deficient individuals. The percentage of periodontopathogenic bacteria was positively correlated with the level of salivary IL1β, an inflammatory cytokine. Overall, our results showed a positive association between periodontopathogenic bacteria and OSCC risk and this relationship may be influenced by lifestyle and genetic factors. Our results provided further biological support for the established association between poor oral hygiene and OSCC risk. This suggested that improving oral hygiene may reduce OSCC risk and should be part of a public health campaign to prevent the occurrence of OSCC.

Introduction

Approximately 300,000 cases of oral cancer are diagnosed worldwide annually (1). More than 90% of the oral cancers are oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) and the remaining rare histologic subtypes include minor salivary gland carcinomas,
lymphomas and melanoma (2,3). The majority of oral cancers can be attributed to the use of alcohol, betel quids and cigarettes (2). However, most users of alcohol, betel quids and cigarettes will not develop oral cancer in their lifetime, suggesting the contribution of other factors.

Accumulating evidence strongly supports poor oral hygiene as an independent risk factor of oral cancer. An increased oral cancer risk has been consistently associated with infrequent tooth brushing (4–14). The majority of published studies reported a positive association between no regular dental visit and oral cancer (6, 11, 13–19). Poor oral hygiene may result in periodontitis. Many studies have examined the association between oral cancer and periodontitis either directly or indirectly with indicator variables, including gum bleeding and tooth loss. Among the nine studies investigating the association between gum bleeding and oral cancer, six reported a positive association (6, 9–11, 18, 20). In a meta-analysis of seven case–control studies, a linear positive dose response was observed between the number of tooth lost and oral cancer risk (21). Three studies examining the association between periodontitis and oral cancer all observed a strong positive association (22–24).

Poor oral hygiene may lead to overgrowth of the pathogenic oral bacteria and induce chronic inflammation to promote the oncogenesis of oral cancer. In the oral mucosa, Toll-like receptors (TLRs) are expressed by dendritic cells and the basal layer of the squamous oral mucosal epithelium. The binding of pathogen-associated molecular patterns on bacteria to the TLRs can elicit downstream signaling to induce inflammation. Normally, oral microorganisms are kept in check by the dendritic cells (25). When the integrity of oral epithelium is breached by invasive pathogenic oral bacteria or by the use of alcohol or cigarettes, oral bacteria may bind to the TLRs on the oral basal epithelium, resulting in overt inflammation (25).

Studies have increasingly recognized the roles of microorganisms in modulating and maintaining the biological functions of the human host. When the balanced relationship between microorganisms and the human host is disrupted (dysbiosis), whether by external agents (e.g. use of alcohol and cigarettes) or by infection with pathogenic microorganisms, the risk of many diseases, including cancer, may increase (26). The current study investigated the association between oral bacterial profile and OSCC risk. In addition, the interaction between oral bacterial profile and genetic or lifestyle factors of the human host on OSCC risk was explored. Finally, we evaluated whether oral bacteria profile could be influenced by lifestyle factors, including oral hygiene and use of alcohol, betel quids and cigarettes.

Materials and methods

This study was approved by the institutional review boards of the National Health Research Institutes and the National Cheng Kung University Hospital. A signed informed consent was obtained from each study participant.

Abbreviations

- **AUC**: area under the curve
- **CI**: confidence interval
- **OR**: odds ratio
- **OSCC**: oral squamous cell carcinoma
- **OTU**: operational taxonomic unit
- **ROC**: receiver operating characteristic curve
- **SNP**: single nucleotide polymorphism
- **TLR**: Toll-like receptor

Data collection by in-person interview

Each participant was interviewed to collect information on age, education, use of alcohol, betel quids and cigarettes, and oral hygiene. An ever regular alcohol drinker was defined as an individual who had drunk at least once per week. An ever betel quid chewer was defined as an individual who had chewed betel quid daily for 6 consecutive months or more in his lifetime. An ever cigarette smoker was an individual who had smoked more than 100 cigarettes in his lifetime. For oral hygiene, each study subject was asked about: (i) regular dental visits (yes/no and frequency); (ii) tooth brushing (number of times per day) and (iii) use of dental floss (yes/no).

Biological specimen collection and processing

For profiling of oral bacteria, saliva sample was collected from each participant using Omnigene-ORAL (OM505) (DNA Genotek Inc., Ottawa, Ontario, Canada). Before saliva collection, each study subject was instructed to refrain from drinking, smoking, eating or chewing gum for at least 30 min. DNA was extracted from saliva within 1 week of collection using a DNA extraction kit (QIAGEN QIAamp MinElute Virus Spin Kit, QIAGEN, Venlo, Netherlands). Saliva DNA samples were stored in −80°C refrigerator until ready to use.

To measure salivary cytokines, saliva sample was initially collected in a 50 ml centrifuge tube and subsequently transferred to an 1.5 ml eppendorf tube for centrifugation at 15 000g for 15 min. The supernatant was then stored in the −80°C refrigerator until ready to use.

For genotyping, peripheral blood was collected from each study participant in a vacutainer tube containing ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid. The blood was then centrifuged to separate out the buffy coat. A genomic DNA purification kit (Wizard Genomic DNA Purification Kit, Promega, Madison, WI) was used to extract genomic DNA from the buffy coat. Genomic DNA samples were then stored in the −80°C refrigerator until ready to use.

Profilling of oral bacteria

Characterization of oral bacterial profile was based on targeted sequencing of 16S rRNA gene. Each run of the experiment included approximately half cases and half controls to minimize the impact of batch effect. PCR amplification of the V3-V5 regions of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene was performed using the pair of primers 341F/926R (27,28). For compatibility with Illumina MiSeq System, overhang adapter sequences (in unbolded letters) were added to the primers (in bold letters): 16S-v5-926-F: TCTCGCAGCAGTCAGATGTGTATAAGAGACAGCCGTCAAGGAGGCAGCAG; 16S-v5-926-R: GTCTCGTGGGCTCGGAGATGTGTATAAGAGACAGCTACGGGNGGCWGCAG; 16S-v5-926-E: GGACTACHVGGGTATCTTARCAAAAGACAGCCGTCAAGGAGGCAGCAG; 16S-v5-926-D: TTCCTACGGGTTGACTTATTTGACAAAAAGACAGCCGTCAAGGAGGCAGCAG. The amplicon library was quantified with Illumina MiSeq System, overhang adapter sequences (in unbolded letters) were added to the primers (in bold letters): 16S-v5-926-F: TCTCGCAGCAGTCAGATGTGTATAAGAGACAGCCGTCAAGGAGGCAGCAG; 16S-v5-926-R: GTCTCGTGGGCTCGGAGATGTGTATAAGAGACAGCTACGGGNGGCWGCAG; 16S-v5-926-E: GGACTACHVGGGTATCTTARCAAAAGACAGCCGTCAAGGAGGCAGCAG; 16S-v5-926-D: TTCCTACGGGTTGACTTATTTGACAAAAAGACAGCCGTCAAGGAGGCAGCAG. PCR amplification was conducted with HiFi PCR Master Mix (KAPA BioSystems, Wilmington, MA) to generate a single amplicon of approximately 700 bp. Each run of the PCR included a negative control with ddH2O to detect background contamination. The amplicon library was purified with Agencourt AMPure XP Magnetic Beads (Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA). Qubit dsDNA HS Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) was used to quantify the concentration of the amplicon library. The

Study subjects

The study subjects in this analysis were from an ongoing case–control study of head and neck cancer. Subject recruitment started on 1 September 2010 at the Department of Otolaryngology and the Department of Stomatology at the National Cheng Kung University Hospital. The eligibility criteria for the cases were: (i) diagnosis of pathologically confirmed squamous cell carcinoma located in the oral cavity, oropharynx, hypopharynx and larynx (ICD-10 codes: C00-C10, C12-C14, C32); (ii) no previous cancer diagnosis; (iii) age ≥20 years; (iv) Han ethnicity and (v) ability to provide informed consent. Controls were frequency-matched to cases on sex and age (±5 years). The eligibility criteria for the controls were: (i) diagnosis of non-cancerous conditions of the head and neck that are not related to the use of alcohol, betel quids and cigarettes and required surgery; (ii) no previous cancer diagnosis; (iii) age ≥20 years; (iv) Han ethnicity and (v) ability to provide informed consent.

The saliva collection for characterizing oral bacterial profiles began in November 2013. The current study included only male OSCC cases and controls. The subjects were divided into the discovery group (88 cases and 90 controls) and the validation group (50 cases and 61 controls).
size distribution of the amplicon library was checked by Caliper LabChip (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA). In addition, all PCR products were examined with gel electrophoresis to ensure the presence of the 700 bp amplicons. Targeted sequencing of 16S rRNA gene was performed on Illumina MiSeq System (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). Sequences with quality score (Phred quality score) <30 (probability of incorrect base call = 1 in 1000 or 99.9%) were removed. From the saliva samples of the 289 subjects in the study, a total of 77,595,460 high-quality bacterial 16S rRNA sequence reads were obtained. Then number of reads were similar between OSCC cases and controls (mean = 270,449 reads for OSCC cases versus 266,712 reads for controls, P = 0.80). Related sequences were grouped into operational taxonomic units (OTUs). The grouping of the related sequences at the species level was achieved by the sharing of 57% sequence identity (29). Taxonomic classification of OTUs was performed by sequence alignment with Greengenes 16S rDNA database (30,31), version 13.5, using Classify Reads function of the Illumina 16S Metagenomics App (Illumina). Classify Reads is a high-performance implementation of the Ribosomal Database Project (RDP) Classifier (32). The original RDP classifier can only classify the OTUs to the genus level whereas Classify Reads, with an improved algorithm (details available on https://support.illumina.com/content/dam/illumina-support/documents/software_documentation/basespace/16s-metagenomics-user-guide-15055860-a.pdf), could classify the OTUs to the species level with an accuracy of 98.24% (33). For each saliva sample, an oral bacterial profile was generated by summarizing the OTU counts into percentages to indicate the relative abundance of each bacterial taxon.

Genotyping of single nucleotide polymorphisms

Genotyping of five single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), ADH1B rs1229984, ALDH2 rs671, TL2 rs3804099, TL2 rs3804100 and TL2 rs11536889, was performed using Taqman-based allelic discrimination method on an Applied Biosystems 7500 Real-Time Polymerase Chain Reaction System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). For quality control, 10% of the samples were randomly selected for duplicate genotyping and the duplicates were 100% concordant. All SNPs were in Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium.

Measurement of salivary cytokines

Salivary cytokines and chemokines were measured using Milliplex map Human TH17 Magnetic Bead Panel (EMD Millipore Corporation, Billerica, MA) on Luminex 200 system (Luminex, Austin, TX). We were particularly interested in IL17, IL6 and TNFα because these three pro-inflammatory cytokines have been consistently associated with oral cancer (34–37). For completeness, we also included other cytokines and chemokines on the panel in our analysis, including CCL20, IFNγ, IL2, IL5, IL9, IL10, IL12p70, IL13, IL15, IL17A, IL21 and IL33. Some cytokines, including IL4, IL17E, IL17F, IL22, IL23, IL27, IL28A, IL31 and TNFα, were excluded from our analysis due to low detection levels.

Statistical analysis

The distributions of sex, age, education, consumption of alcohol, betel quids and cigarettes, and dental care habits were compared between cases and controls in the discovery and validation groups using t-tests (for continuous variables) and chi-squared tests (for categorical variables). T-tests were used to compare the percentage of individual bacterial species between cases and controls in the discovery group. The analyses were limited to those species with a relative abundance of ≥1% among either the cases or controls. The species that reached statistical significance after Benferroni correction were compared between the cases and the controls in the validation group.

Bacterial species that were successfully validated were further analyzed using data from the discovery and validation groups combined. Unconditional logistic regression was performed to estimate the odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) for the association between the percentage of bacteria and OSCC risk. The percentage of bacteria was analyzed: (i) as a dichotomous variable with the median among the controls as the cutoff and (ii) as a continuous variable. To evaluate the influence of alcohol, betel quids and cigarettes on the association between oral bacteria and OSCC, analyses on the association between OSCC and oral bacteria were performed stratified by the use of alcohol, betel quids or cigarettes. Heterogeneity between the strata was evaluated by log-likelihood ratio test comparing the unconditional logistic regression model with a product term (oral bacteria × alcohol or betel quids or cigarettes) to that without a product term. The interaction between oral bacteria and TL2 polymorphisms on OSCC risk was evaluated by analyzing the association between oral bacteria and OSCC stratified by the genotypes of TL2 rs3804099 and rs3804100 and TL2 rs11536889. The interaction was assessed by log-likelihood ratio test comparing the unconditional logistic regression model with a product term (oral bacteria × TL2 rs3804099 or TL2 rs3804100 or TL2 rs11536889) to that without a product term.

Two risk prediction models were compared to evaluate whether adding oral bacteria information may increase the prediction accuracy of OSCC. The first model included age, education, and consumption of alcohol, betel quids and cigarettes. In the second model, in addition to the variables contained in model 1, data on oral bacteria were also added. To evaluate the prediction accuracy of a model, a receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was constructed and area under the curve (AUC) was calculated using c-statistic. The two AUCs were compared using the nonparametric approach proposed by Delong et al. (38).

Data of the control subjects were analyzed to evaluate the factors, including alcohol drinking, betel quid chewing, cigarette smoking and poor oral hygiene, that may influence the percentage of oral bacteria using t-tests. We further stratified the data by ADH1B and ALDH2 genotypes to investigate whether the relationship between alcohol and oral bacteria might differ according to the ADH1B and ALDH2 genotypes, which may influence the efficiency of ethanol metabolism. For oral hygiene, each dental care behavior (frequency of tooth brushing, use of dental floss, and regular dental visit) was analyzed separately for its association with oral bacteria using t-tests. In addition, a dental care score was created by combining the three dental care behaviors. The dental care score = tooth brushing + use of dental floss + regular dental visit, with tooth brushing: 82 times per day = 0, <2 times per day = 1; use of dental floss: yes = 0, no = 1 and regular dental visit: yes = 0, no = 1. A dental care score of 0 or 1 = good oral hygiene, whereas 2 or 3 = poor oral hygiene. The percentages of oral bacteria were then compared between those with good oral hygiene to those with poor oral hygiene using t-tests.

The correlation between oral bacteria and salivary cytokines was evaluated by Pearson’s correlation and Spearman’s correlation. The level of each cytokine was natural log-transformed for the correlation analysis.

Results

Characteristics of the study subjects

This analysis included 88 OSCC cases and 90 controls for the discovery group and 50 OSCC cases and 61 controls for the validation group. Cases and controls were similar in mean age for both the discovery and validation groups (Supplementary Table 1, available at Carcinogenesis Online). For both groups, cases tended to have fewer years of education and were more likely to use alcohol, betel quids and cigarettes and have poorer oral hygiene compared to the controls. The participants in the discovery and validation groups were generally similar except that the discovery cases and the validation cases showed a significant difference in the distribution of educational level.

Comparing the relative abundance of oral bacteria between OSCC patients and controls

The sequences of approximately 2000 species of bacteria were identified from the saliva samples of the discovery group. After excluding those species with a relative abundance of <1%, 20 species of bacteria were included for case–control comparison (Table 1). After accounting for multiple comparison by Bonferroni correction (P needed to be smaller than 0.05/20 = 0.0025), four species of bacteria reached statistical significance. Among them, Prevotella tannerae, Fusobacterium nucleatum and Prevotella intermedia showed a significantly higher relative abundance and
Streptococcus tigurinus showed a lower relative abundance among the cases compared to the controls. Results of the *P. tannerae* and *F. nucleatum* were successfully validated (validation $P < 0.05$) and they were included for further analysis. Although the difference in the relative abundance of *P. intermedia* did not reach statistical significance in the validation analysis, it was included for further analysis for two reasons: (i) the difference in the relative abundance of *P. intermedia* in the validation group was in the same direction as that in the discovery group, and the non-statistical significance was partly due to a smaller sample size of the validation group and (ii) *P. tannerae*, *P. intermedia* and *F. nucleatum* are all periodontopathogenic bacteria associated with periodontitis (39–41). The result of *S. tigurinus* was not validated ($P = 0.41$) and in addition, the results in the discovery group and the validation group were in the opposite directions. Therefore, *S. tigurinus* was excluded from further analysis.

### Evaluating the OSCC risk associated with the three periodontopathogenic bacteria

We combined the data from the discovery and validation groups to evaluate the OSCC risk associated with the three periodontopathogenic bacteria (*P. tannerae*, *F. nucleatum* and *P. intermedia*) (Table 2). After adjusting for age, education, and use of alcohol, betel quids and cigarettes, all three periodontopathogenic bacteria were individually associated with an increased OSCC risk. When the three bacteria were combined, those with a relative abundance above the median had a 2.3 times increase in OSCC risk ($OR = 2.34$, 95% CI: 1.28–4.26). Every 1% increase in the total percentage of the periodontopathogenic bacteria was associated with a 28% increase in OSCC risk ($OR = 1.28$, 95% CI: 1.13–1.44).

The association between the periodontopathogenic bacteria and OSCC risk did not differ significantly ($P$-heterogeneity >

---

**Table 1. Comparison of relative abundance of oral bacteria between OSCC patients and controls**

| Species                      | Discovery group Case, N = 88 | Control, N = 90 | Validation group Case, N = 50 | Control, N = 61 | Discovery group + validation group Case, N = 138 | Control, N = 151 |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------|
|                              | % (SE)                        | % (SE)          | % (SE)                        | % (SE)          | % (SE)                                        | % (SE)          |
| *Prevotella tannerae*        | 1.20 (0.16)                   | 0.49 (0.07)     | 0.98 (0.31)                   | 0.32 (0.06)     | 1.12 (0.15)                                   | 0.42 (0.05)     |
| *Fusobacterium nucleatum*   | 1.05 (0.12)                   | 0.57 (0.06)     | 0.48 (0.08)                   | 0.24 (0.04)     | 0.84 (0.08)                                   | 0.43 (0.04)     |
| *Prevotella intermedia*     | 2.61 (0.43)                   | 0.91 (0.19)     | 1.37 (0.41)                   | 0.80 (0.16)     | 2.16 (0.32)                                   | 0.86 (0.13)     |
| *Streptococcus tigurinus*   | 2.67 (0.22)                   | 4.02 (0.32)     | 5.83 (0.54)                   | 5.24 (0.48)     | 2.06 (0.22)                                   | 2.77 (0.22)     |
| *Veillonella atypica*       | 1.89 (0.20)                   | 2.77 (0.22)     | 0.98 (0.31)                   | 0.32 (0.06)     | 1.12 (0.15)                                   | 0.42 (0.05)     |
| *Streptococcus infantis*    | 1.53 (0.21)                   | 2.34 (0.18)     | 0.98 (0.31)                   | 0.32 (0.06)     | 1.12 (0.15)                                   | 0.42 (0.05)     |
| *Prevotella oris*           | 1.01 (0.24)                   | 0.37 (0.04)     | 0.98 (0.31)                   | 0.32 (0.06)     | 1.12 (0.15)                                   | 0.42 (0.05)     |
| *Fusobacterium periodonticum* | 0.79 (0.11)              | 1.22 (0.15)     | 0.98 (0.31)                   | 0.32 (0.06)     | 1.12 (0.15)                                   | 0.42 (0.05)     |
| *Prevotella melaninogenica* | 5.91 (0.54)                   | 7.62 (0.61)     | 5.83 (0.54)                   | 5.24 (0.48)     | 2.06 (0.22)                                   | 2.77 (0.22)     |
| *Neisseria lactamica*       | 4.51 (0.75)                   | 2.76 (0.41)     | 0.98 (0.31)                   | 0.32 (0.06)     | 1.12 (0.15)                                   | 0.42 (0.05)     |
| *Veillonella dispar*        | 0.82 (0.11)                   | 1.22 (0.16)     | 0.98 (0.31)                   | 0.32 (0.06)     | 1.12 (0.15)                                   | 0.42 (0.05)     |
| *Neisseria mucosa*          | 4.30 (0.53)                   | 5.96 (0.66)     | 5.83 (0.54)                   | 5.24 (0.48)     | 2.06 (0.22)                                   | 2.77 (0.22)     |
| *Prevotella histicola*      | 1.82 (0.29)                   | 2.54 (0.26)     | 0.98 (0.31)                   | 0.32 (0.06)     | 1.12 (0.15)                                   | 0.42 (0.05)     |
| *Porphyromonas gingivalis*  | 1.64 (0.32)                   | 0.96 (0.18)     | 0.98 (0.31)                   | 0.32 (0.06)     | 1.12 (0.15)                                   | 0.42 (0.05)     |
| *Haemophilus parainfluenzae* | 1.18 (0.15)              | 1.54 (0.12)     | 0.98 (0.31)                   | 0.32 (0.06)     | 1.12 (0.15)                                   | 0.42 (0.05)     |
| *Streptococcus pneumoniae*  | 4.36 (0.42)                   | 5.45 (0.47)     | 0.98 (0.31)                   | 0.32 (0.06)     | 1.12 (0.15)                                   | 0.42 (0.05)     |
| *Streptococcus oralis*      | 0.86 (0.09)                   | 1.04 (0.11)     | 0.98 (0.31)                   | 0.32 (0.06)     | 1.12 (0.15)                                   | 0.42 (0.05)     |
| *Neisseria subflava*        | 1.16 (0.34)                   | 1.66 (0.43)     | 0.98 (0.31)                   | 0.32 (0.06)     | 1.12 (0.15)                                   | 0.42 (0.05)     |
| *Prevotella pallens*        | 1.17 (0.19)                   | 1.07 (0.12)     | 0.98 (0.31)                   | 0.32 (0.06)     | 1.12 (0.15)                                   | 0.42 (0.05)     |
| *Neisseria flavescens*      | 0.95 (0.24)                   | 1.01 (0.23)     | 0.98 (0.31)                   | 0.32 (0.06)     | 1.12 (0.15)                                   | 0.42 (0.05)     |

*a* $P$ values were generated using T-tests.

---

Streptococcus tigurinus showed a lower relative abundance among the cases compared to the controls. Results of the *P. tannerae* and *F. nucleatum* were successfully validated (validation $P < 0.05$) and they were included for further analysis. Although the difference in the relative abundance of *P. intermedia* did not reach statistical significance in the validation analysis, it was included for further analysis for two reasons: (i) the difference in the relative abundance of *P. intermedia* in the discovery group was in the same direction as that in the discovery group, and the non-statistical significance was partly due to a smaller sample size of the validation group and (ii) *P. intermedia*, *P. tannerae* and *F. nucleatum* are all periodontopathogenic bacteria associated with periodontitis (39–41). The result of *S. tigurinus* was not validated ($P = 0.41$) and in addition, the results in the discovery group and the validation group were in the opposite directions. Therefore, *S. tigurinus* was excluded from further analysis.
Table 2. The association between periodontopathogenic bacteria and OSCC risk

| Bacteria                     | Case, N = 138 n (%) | Control, N = 151 n (%) | Crude OR (95% CI) | P value | Adjusted OR (95% CI) | P value |
|------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-------------------|---------|----------------------|---------|
| Prevotella tannerae          |                     |                        |                   |         |                      |         |
| Below median (0–0.2349%)     | 47 (34.1)           | 75 (49.7)              | Reference         |         | Reference            |         |
| Above median (0.235% or more)| 91 (65.9)           | 76 (50.3)              | 1.91 (1.19–3.07)  | 0.008   | 2.15 (1.21–3.82)     | 0.009   |
| Every 1 %                    |                     |                        |                   |         |                      |         |
| Fusobacterium nucleatum      |                     |                        |                   |         |                      |         |
| Below median (0–0.299%)      | 45 (32.6)           | 75 (49.7)              | Reference         |         | Reference            |         |
| Above median (0.30% or more) | 93 (67.4)           | 76 (50.3)              | 2.03 (1.27–3.29)  | 0.004   | 1.94 (1.10–3.42)     | 0.02    |
| Every 1 %                    |                     |                        |                   |         |                      |         |
| Prevotella intermedia        |                     |                        |                   |         |                      |         |
| Below median (0–0.2969%)     | 57 (41.3)           | 75 (49.7)              | Reference         |         | Reference            |         |
| Above median (0.297% and more)| 81 (58.7)         | 76 (50.3)              | 1.40 (0.88–2.23)  | 0.15    | 0.96 (0.54–1.73)     | 0.90    |
| Every 1 %                    |                     |                        |                   |         |                      |         |
| Periodontopathogenic bacteria* |                  |                        |                   |         |                      |         |
| Below median (0–1.099%)      | 33 (23.9)           | 75 (49.7)              | Reference         |         | Reference            |         |
| Above median (1.1% and more) | 105 (76.1)          | 76 (50.3)              | 3.14 (1.90–5.20)  | <0.0001 | 2.34 (1.28–4.26)     | 0.006   |
| Every 1 %                    |                     |                        |                   |         |                      |         |

aOR and 95% CI were calculated using unconditional logistic regression, adjusted for age, education, and consumption of alcohol, betel quids and cigarettes.

bSum of Prevotella tannerae + Fusobacterium nucleatum + Prevotella intermedia.

0.05) by the consumption of alcohol, betel quids and cigarettes (Supplementary Table 2, available at Carcinogenesis Online). Thus, regardless of the use of alcohol, betel quids and cigarettes, periodontopathogenic bacteria are an independent risk factor of OSCC.

ROC curve and AUC for evaluating the improvement in OSCC prediction with the addition of the periodontopathogenic bacteria variable

In Supplementary Figure 1 (available at Carcinogenesis Online), ROC curve 1 was constructed based on the prediction model 1 that included age, education, and use of alcohol, betel quids and cigarettes. ROC curve 2 was constructed based on the prediction model that included all of the variables in model 1 plus the periodontopathogenic bacteria variable. The AUC for ROC curve 1 was 0.778 and with the addition of the periodontopathogenic bacteria variable in ROC curve 2, the AUC improved to 0.825. The two AUCs were significantly different with P = 0.01.

Evaluating the interaction between periodontopathogenic bacteria and polymorphisms of TLR2 and TLR4 on OSCC risk

Because periodontopathogenic bacteria induce inflammation by interacting with the TLRs, particularly TLR2 and TLR4 (42), we decided to evaluate the interaction between the SNPs of TLR2 (rs3804099 and rs3804100) and TLR4 (rs11536889) and periodontopathogenic bacteria on OSCC risk (Table 3). No significant interaction was detected between periodontopathogenic bacteria and each SNP on OSCC risk. A significant interaction (P interaction = 0.01) was detected when TLR2 rs3804099 and TLR4 rs11536889 were combined. Periodontopathogenic bacteria were not associated with OC among individuals with the TLR2 rs3804099 CT or CC + TLR4 rs11536889 GG genotype combination (OR = 1.09, 95% CI: 0.93–1.26), while the OSCC risk associated with periodontopathogenic bacteria was significantly increased (OR = 1.46, 95% CI: 1.23–1.75) for all other genotype combinations.

Evaluating the influence of alcohol, betel quid and cigarette consumption on the percentage of periodontopathogenic bacteria

Alcohol drinking and betel quid chewing were associated with a significantly higher percentage of P. intermedia, while cigarette smoking was associated with a significantly higher percentage of F. nucleatum (Table 4). Individuals who used all three of these substances had the highest percentage of periodontopathogenic bacteria, followed by individuals who used one or two of these three substances, and individuals who did not use any had the lowest percentage of periodontopathogenic bacteria.

Assessing the influence of ADH1B and ALDH2 polymorphisms on the association between alcohol and the percentage of periodontopathogenic bacteria

The efficiency for ethanol metabolism may be influenced by the genetic polymorphisms of ADH1B and ALDH2. Our analysis showed that ADH1B polymorphism did not affect the association between alcohol and the percentage of periodontopathogenic bacteria (Table 5). For ALDH2 polymorphism, we found that among individuals with the ALDH2*1/*1 genotype, which is associated with normal ALDH2 activity, alcohol drinking showed no significant association with the percentage of periodontopathogenic bacteria. For individuals with either the ALDH2*1/*2 or ALDH2*2/*2 genotype, which are both associated with a deficient ALDH2 activity, alcohol drinking was associated with a significantly increased percentage of P. intermedia and of all three periodontopathogenic bacteria combined.
Evaluating the association between oral hygiene and the percentage of periodontopathogenic bacteria

Tooth brushing less than two times per day and lack of regular dental visit were both associated with a significantly higher percentage of P. tannerae, while no use of dental floss was associated with a significantly higher percentage of F. nucleatum (Table 6). When tooth brushing, use of dental floss and regular dental visit were combined into a dental care score (0, 1 = good and 2, 3 = poor), poor dental care was associated with a significantly higher percentage of P. tannerae, F. nucleatum and the three periodontopathogenic bacteria combined. Poor dental care was also associated with a higher percentage of P. intermedia, but statistical significance was not reached (P = 0.08).

Evaluating the correlation between periodontopathogenic bacteria and cytokine/chemokine levels in the saliva

We analyzed the correlation between the percentage of periodontopathogenic bacteria and the levels of 15 cytokines/chemokines in the saliva from the control subjects (Supplementary Table 3, available at Carcinogenesis Online). Because the saliva collection to measure salivary cytokines/chemokines started later than that for the profiling of oral bacteria, only 61 of the 151 controls had samples for cytokine/chemokine measurements. Our results showed that the percentage of periodontopathogenic bacteria was significantly positively correlated with the level of IL1β in the saliva (P = 0.0009 for Pearson correlation and P < 0.0001 for Spearman correlation) (Supplementary Figure 2 and Supplementary Table 3, available at Carcinogenesis Online). Although Spearman correlation indicated that IL2 was positively correlated with the percentage of periodontopathogenic bacteria (P = 0.02), chance finding with IL2 could not be ruled out due to multiple comparisons. There were no significant correlations between the percentage of periodontopathogenic bacteria and the levels of CCL20, IFNγ, IL5, IL6, IL9, IL10, IL12p70, IL13, IL15, IL17A, IL21, IL33 or TNFα.

Discussion

We found that three species of periodontopathogenic bacteria, P. tannerae, F. nucleatum and P. intermedia, were associated with an increased OSCC risk. This association was modified by the genetic polymorphisms of TLR2 and TLR4. The use of alcohol, betel quids and cigarettes and poor oral hygiene were associated with a higher percentage of periodontopathogenic bacteria in the saliva. The percentage of periodontopathogenic bacteria in the saliva was positively correlated with the level of salivary IL1β and possibly IL2.

Table 3. The association between periodontopathogenic bacteria and OSCC by polymorphisms of TLR2 and TLR4

| TLR polymorphisms | OR for the association between every 1% of periodontopathogenic bacteria and OSCC (95% CI) | P value for heterogeneity |
|-------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| TLR2 rs3804099    |                                                               |                          |
| TT                | 1.48 (1.19–1.83)                                              | 0.19                     |
| CT+CC             | 1.19 (1.02–1.37)                                              |                          |
| TLR2 rs3804100    |                                                               |                          |
| TT                | 1.35 (1.13–1.61)                                              | 0.55                     |
| CT+CC             | 1.21 (1.03–1.43)                                              |                          |
| TLR4 rs11536889   |                                                               |                          |
| GG                | 1.19 (1.03–1.38)                                              | 0.14                     |
| CG+CC             | 1.48 (1.17–1.88)                                              |                          |
| TLR2 rs3804099/TLR4 rs11536889 |                                                      |                          |
| CT+CC/CG         | 1.09 (0.93–1.26)                                              | 0.07                     |
| CT+CC/CG+CC       | 2.04 (1.07–3.97)                                              |                          |
| TT/GG             | 1.66 (1.16–2.37)                                              |                          |
| TT/GG+CC          | 1.82 (1.03–3.23)                                              |                          |
| CT+CC/CG/CC       | 1.09 (0.93–1.26)                                              | 0.01                     |
| CT+CC/CG+CC or TT/GG or TT/CG+CC |                                      |                          |

*Periodontopathogenic bacteria = Prevotella tannerae + Fusobacterium nucleatum + Prevotella intermedia.

*OR and 95% CI were calculated using unconditional logistic regression, adjusted for age, education, and consumption of alcohol, betel quids and cigarettes.

Table 4. The association between use of alcohol, betel quid, cigarette and oral bacteria among control subjects

| Lifestyle factors | Prevotella tannerae | Fusobacterium nucleatum | Prevotella intermedia | Periodontopathogenic bacteria * |
|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|
|                   | Mean % (SE) | P       | Mean % (SE) | P       | Mean % (SE) | P       | Mean % (SE) | P       |
| Alcohol           |           |         |            |         |            |         |            |         |
| Ever regular drinker |         |         |            |         |            |         |            |         |
| No               | 0.46 (0.07) | 0.43    | 0.39 (0.04) | 0.21    | 0.59 (0.09) | 0.02    | 1.44 (0.14) | 0.08    |
| Yes              | 0.38 (0.06) | 0.49    | 0.49 (0.08) | 1.19    | 0.27        | 2.06    | 0.35        | 0.08    |
| Betel             |           |         |            |         |            |         |            |         |
| Ever betel quid chewer |         |         |            |         |            |         |            |         |
| No               | 0.44 (0.06) | 0.59    | 0.39 (0.04) | 0.13    | 0.68 (0.11) | 0.04    | 1.51 (0.16) | 0.08    |
| Yes              | 0.38 (0.08) | 0.52    | 0.49 (0.08) | 1.26    | 0.34        | 2.16    | 0.43        | 0.08    |
| Cigarette         |           |         |            |         |            |         |            |         |
| Ever cigarette smoker |         |         |            |         |            |         |            |         |
| No               | 0.44 (0.10) | 0.85    | 0.31 (0.04) | 0.03    | 0.50 (0.12) | 0.06    | 1.24 (0.20) | 0.07    |
| Yes              | 0.42 (0.05) | 0.49    | 0.49 (0.05) | 1.03    | 0.18        | 1.93    | 0.24        | 0.07    |
| Alcohol + betel quid + cigarette |         |         |            |         |            |         |            |         |
| No use            | 0.49 (0.12) | 0.70    | 0.33 (0.04) | 0.26    | 0.52 (0.14) | 0.01    | 1.34 (0.23) | 0.047   |
| Use 1 or 2        | 0.39 (0.06) | 0.45    | 0.46 (0.06) | 0.74    | 0.12        | 1.58    | 0.18        | 1.58    |
| Use all 3         | 0.42 (0.10) | 0.51    | 0.51 (0.11) | 1.58    | 0.48        | 2.51    | 0.61        | 2.51    |

*P values were generated using T-tests or analysis of variance.

*Periodontopathogenic bacteria = Prevotella tannerae + Fusobacterium nucleatum + Prevotella intermedia.
Our result on the positive association between the three species of periodontopathogenic bacteria, *P. tannerae*, *F. nucleatum* and *P. intermedia*, and OSCC gave support and provided a biological link for the association between poor oral hygiene and OC. Of the three periodontopathogenic bacteria, *F. nucleatum* has gained the most attention with its potential role in the development of cancer, including oral cancer, colorectal cancer and pancreatic cancer (43). *F. nucleatum* may potentially increase the occurrence and enhance the progression of cancer by promoting cell proliferation, increasing cellular migration and invasion, and inducing inflammation (43). Binder Gallimidi et al. showed that mice co-infected with *F. nucleatum* and *P. gingivalis* generated tongue tumors that were significantly larger and more invasive (44). Furthermore, co-incubation with either *F. nucleatum* or *P. gingivalis* alone or a mixture of both promoted the growth of human OSCC cells (44). This was achieved through the interaction between *F. nucleatum* and/or *P. gingivalis* and TLR2 expressed on the OSCC cells, which triggered the production of inflammatory cytokines.

| Table 5. The association between use of alcohol and oral bacteria among control subjects by ADH1B and ALDH2 genotypes |
|---------------------------------|-----------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Alcohol                        | N         | *Prevotella tannerae* | Mean % (SE) | *Fusobacterium nucleatum* | Mean % (SE) | *Prevotella intermedia* | Mean % (SE) | *Periodontopathogenic bacteria* | Mean % (SE) |
| ADH1B, Fast ADH1B*2/*2         |           |                      |             |                            |             |                            |             |                                 |             |
| Ever regular drinker           |           |                      |             |                            |             |                            |             |                                 |             |
| No                             | 44        | 0.43 (0.09)           | 0.39        | 0.39 (0.05)                | 0.18        | 0.66 (0.14)                | 0.08        | 1.47 (0.21)                    | 0.15        |
| Yes                            | 34        | 0.32 (0.07)           | 0.56 (0.13) | 1.25 (0.34)                | 2.13 (0.45) |                                 |             |                                 |             |
| Slow ADH1B*1/*1 + ADH1B*1/*2   |           |                      |             |                            |             |                            |             |                                 |             |
| Ever regular drinker           |           |                      |             |                            |             |                            |             |                                 |             |
| No                             | 39        | 0.49 (0.11)           | 0.75        | 0.39 (0.05)                | 0.83        | 0.53 (0.12)                | 0.16        | 1.40 (0.18)                    | 0.31        |
| Yes                            | 31        | 0.43 (0.11)           | 0.41 (0.09) | 1.13 (0.45)                | 1.97 (0.58) |                                 |             |                                 |             |
| ADH1B, Slow ADH1B*1/*1          |           |                      |             |                            |             |                            |             |                                 |             |
| Ever regular drinker           |           |                      |             |                            |             |                            |             |                                 |             |
| No                             | 17        | 0.51 (0.19)           | 0.50        | 0.40 (0.07)                | 0.72        | 0.59 (0.16)                | 0.32        | 1.50 (0.29)                    | 0.58        |
| Yes                            | 45        | 0.39 (0.08)           | 0.46 (0.09) | 0.95 (0.22)                | 1.80 (0.31) |                                 |             |                                 |             |
| ALDH2, Normal ALDH2*1/*1       |           |                      |             |                            |             |                            |             |                                 |             |
| Ever regular drinker           |           |                      |             |                            |             |                            |             |                                 |             |
| No                             | 17        | 0.51 (0.19)           | 0.50        | 0.40 (0.07)                | 0.72        | 0.59 (0.16)                | 0.32        | 1.50 (0.29)                    | 0.58        |
| Yes                            | 45        | 0.39 (0.08)           | 0.46 (0.09) | 0.95 (0.22)                | 1.80 (0.31) |                                 |             |                                 |             |
| ALDH2, Slow ALDH2*1/*2 + Non-functional ALDH2*2/*2 |   |                      |             |                            |             |                            |             |                                 |             |
| Ever regular drinker           |           |                      |             |                            |             |                            |             |                                 |             |
| No                             | 66        | 0.44 (0.07)           | 0.53        | 0.38 (0.04)                | 0.14        | 0.60 (0.11)                | 0.02        | 1.42 (0.16)                    | 0.04        |
| Yes                            | 20        | 0.35 (0.11)           | 0.56 (0.17) | 1.73 (0.76)                | 2.64 (0.95) |                                 |             |                                 |             |
| P values were generated using T-tests. |
| Periodontopathogenic bacteria = *Prevotella tannerae* + *Fusobacterium nucleatum* + *Prevotella intermedia*. |

| Table 6. The association between dental care and periodontopathogenic bacteria among controls |
|---------------------------------|-----------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Cigarette                       | N         | *Prevotella tannerae* | Mean % (SE) | *Fusobacterium nucleatum* | Mean % (SE) | *Prevotella intermedia* | Mean % (SE) | *Periodontopathogenic bacteria* | Mean % (SE) |
| Tooth brushing                  |           |                      |             |                            |             |                            |             |                                 |             |
| 2 or more per day               | 104       | 0.36 (0.05)           | 0.04        | 0.41 (0.05)                | 0.34        | 0.81 (0.13)                | 0.52        | 1.57 (0.18)                    | 0.21        |
| <2 times per day                | 47        | 0.57 (0.09)           | 0.49 (0.07) | 0.99 (0.31)                | 2.04 (0.39) |                                 |             |                                 |             |
| Use of dental floss             |           |                      |             |                            |             |                            |             |                                 |             |
| Yes                             | 58        | 0.38 (0.07)           | 0.53        | 0.33 (0.04)                | 0.04        | 0.63 (0.15)                | 0.16        | 1.34 (0.20)                    | 0.09        |
| No                              | 93        | 0.45 (0.06)           | 0.50 (0.06) | 1.01 (0.19)                | 1.96 (0.25) |                                 |             |                                 |             |
| Regular dental visit            |           |                      |             |                            |             |                            |             |                                 |             |
| Yes                             | 52        | 0.28 (0.04)           | 0.40 (0.06) | 0.87 (0.30)                | 0.99        | 1.55 (0.37)                | 0.48        |                                 |             |
| No                              | 99        | 0.49 (0.07)           | 0.45 (0.05) | 0.86 (0.12)                | 1.81 (0.18) |                                 |             |                                 |             |
| Dental care score^c^            |           |                      |             |                            |             |                            |             |                                 |             |
| 0 + 1 (good)                    | 67        | 0.31 (0.06)           | 0.04        | 0.34 (0.04)                | 0.03        | 0.61 (0.14)                | 0.08        | 1.26 (0.18)                    | 0.02        |
| 2 + 3 (poor)                    | 84        | 0.51 (0.07)           | 0.51 (0.06) | 1.07 (0.21)                | 2.09 (0.28) |                                 |             |                                 |             |
| P values were generated using T-tests. |
| Periodontopathogenic bacteria = *Prevotella tannerae* + *Fusobacterium nucleatum* + *Prevotella intermedia*. |
| Dental care score = tooth brushing + use of dental floss + regular dental visit, with tooth brushing: ≥2 times per day = 0, <2 times per day = 1; use of dental floss: yes = 0, no = 1 and regular dental visit: yes = 0, no = 1. A dental care score of 0 or 1 was considered good oral hygiene where as a score of 2 or 3 was considered poor oral hygiene. |
of IL6 and the activation of STAT3, resulting in the induction of downstream effectors to promote the proliferation and invasion of OSCC cells (44). Data are lacking on the carcinogenicity of P. tannaeae and P. intermedia. More investigations are needed to decipher the biological mechanisms underlying the association between OSCC and periodontopathogenic bacteria.

Previous studies have reported inconsistent findings regarding the taxa of bacteria associated with oral cancer (45). The sources of heterogeneity may include: (i) different methods for analyzing bacteria (culture versus real-time PCR versus 16S rRNA metagenomics); (ii) different specimen types (saliva versus tissue) and (iii) different types of controls (specimens from healthy controls versus non-cancerous tissues from oral cancer patients) (45). Furthermore, studies with different ethnic groups and different geographic locations may provide additional sources of variations for the composition and diversity of human microbiome (46). Our results did not concur with those of the two studies that employed a similar study design as ours (47,48). However, these two studies had a small sample size [Pushalkar et al. included only 3 OSCC cases and 2 controls (47) and Guerrero-Preston et al. included 11 oropharyngeal cancer and 6 OSCC cases and 25 controls (48)] and lacked a validation group such that random variations and chance findings of their results could not be ruled out. More studies with a larger sample size are needed to investigate the association between oral microbiome and OSCC. In addition, race/ethnicity- and geography-specific references of microbiome composition need to be established.

Our results showed an interaction between periodontopathogenic bacteria and SNPs of TLR2 and TLR4 to influence OSCC risk, with individuals carrying the genotype combination of TLR2 rs3804099 CT or CC and TLR4 rs11536889 GG having a weaker association between periodontopathogenic bacteria and OSCC. The peripheral blood monocytes of individuals with the rs11536889 CC genotype expressed higher levels of TLR4 protein than those with the GC or GG genotype; however, the mRNA expression levels did not differ by the genotypes, suggesting that rs11536889 regulates the translation and not the transcription of TLR4 (49). Further evidence suggested that the G allele created a binding site for two micro RNAs, hsa-miR-1236 and has-miR-642a, that down-regulated the level of TLR4 (49). Fukusaki et al. showed that the CC genotype of rs11536889 was positively associated with moderate and chronic periodontitis (50). This suggested that individuals with the rs11536889 GG genotype, with a lower level of TLR4, may develop a more contained inflammation in response to periodontopathogenic bacteria infection and thus explain the weaker association between the periodontopathogenic bacteria and OSCC among these individuals. TLR2 rs3804099 (AASN199ASN) is a synonymous SNP with unknown function. A synonymous SNP may influence the processing of mRNA by affecting the splice sites or the transcription factor binding site, alter the structure of mRNA and affect the translational speed for generating protein (51). Despite the unknown function, the CT and CC genotypes of rs3804099 were associated with a decreased cancer risk among Asians (52). This is consistent with our finding that the association between periodontopathogenic bacteria and OSCC risk was weaker for individuals with the rs3804099 CT or CC genotype compared to that for those with the TT genotype.

Our results showed that periodontopathogenic bacteria were positively correlated with the level of salivary IL1β, a pro-inflammatory cytokine. IL1β has been shown to promote the oncogenesis of OSCC by increasing the proliferation of dysplastic oral cells and stimulating the oncogenic cytokines (35). Lipopolysaccharides purified from F. nucleatum and P. intermedia were shown to induce a significant production of IL1β (53,54). Bui et al. showed that infection of gingival epithelial cells with F. nucleatum resulted in the translation of NF-kB into the nucleus to promote the expression of cytokine genes, including IL1β (55). Furthermore, F. nucleatum was shown to activate NLRP3 inflammasome and caspase-1, resulting in the secretion of IL1β (55). Together, these suggested that periodontopathogenic bacteria may promote the oncogenesis of OSCC by inducing inflammation, particularly through the effect of IL1β. Our result also indicated that the level of salivary IL2 might be positively correlated with the percentage of periodontopathogenic bacteria. Due to multiple testing with 15 cytokines, a weak P value of 0.02 could not rule out the chance finding with IL2; however, there may be a biological plausibility for this correlation. Infection with microbes can effectively stimulate the dentritic cells to produce IL2 (56) and this may explain the positive correlation between the percentage of periodontopathogenic bacteria and the level of salivary IL2 in our study.

Our results showed a positive association between the percentage of periodontopathogenic bacteria in the saliva and the use of alcohol, betel quids and cigarettes. In addition, to our knowledge, we are the first to show that ALDH2 polymorphism may modify the association between alcohol use and periodontopathogenic bacteria, with the association being stronger among individuals carrying the genotypes associated with a lower ALDH2 activity (i.e. slower ethanol metabolism). This gave further support to the influence of alcohol on the percentage of periodontopathogenic bacteria. Previous studies also reported that alcohol drinking and cigarette smoking may affect the composition of oral bacteria. Lages et al. observed that alcohol dependence was associated with higher levels of periodontal pathogens, including P. intermedia, F. nucleatum, and E. corrodens, in the subgingival samples (57). Moon et al. compared the subgingival samples from smoking and non-smoking chronic periodontitis patients and found that smoking was associated with a significantly higher abundance of seven bacterial species, including F. nucleatum (58). Mason et al. evaluated the subgingival microbiome of 200 individuals with no periodontal diseases and observed that the subgingival microbiome of smokers had a lower abundance of commensal bacteria and was enriched with systemic and periodontal pathogens, including F. nucleatum (59). In a study with 1204 adults, Wu et al. showed that smoking was associated with depletion of bacteria genera that are associated with carbohydrate and energy metabolism and xenobiotic metabolism (60). Ling et al. reported that betel quid use was associated with a higher probability of subgingival infection with periodontal pathogens (61). Overall, the use of alcohol, betel quids and cigarettes may potentially lead to dysbiosis of oral microbiome and increase OSCC risk.

This study has several limitations. First, due to the case–control study design, saliva samples were collected from the OSCC patients before treatment. Therefore, it may be difficult to determine the temporal relationship of our findings. Second, we used saliva to analyze the oral bacterial profiles, which may not be entirely representative due to the many niches of the oral cavity. However, bacterial compositions from the different niches of oral cavity are correlated. He et al. compared the bacterial loads of four periodontopathogenic bacteria, including F. nucleatum and P. intermedia, in the saliva and supragingival and subgingival plaque samples of 84 subjects (60 with chronic periodontitis and 24 with no periodontal disease). They observed that the bacterial loads of the periodontopathogenic bacteria in the saliva...
were significantly correlated with those of the supragingival and subgingival plaque samples (Spearman’s rank correlation coefficients ranged from 0.26 to 0.33 for F. nucleatum and 0.58 to 0.63 for P. intermedia) (62). Haririan et al. also concluded that saliva sample may be a reasonable alternative to subgingival plaque sample for analyzing bacteria associated with aggressive and chronic periodontitis (63). Finally, our study included only Taiwanese of Han ethnicity and thus the results may not be generalized to other ethnic populations. More investigations with other ethnic populations are needed to determine the similarities and differences.

This study has several strengths. First, our saliva samples were collected specifically to study the profiles of oral bacteria and not as secondary samples collected for other study purposes. We collected the saliva sample using Omnipore-ORAL (OMS05) (DNA Genotek Inc), which stabilizes microbial DNA at the time of saliva collection and ensures that the DNA composition is unchanged at room temperature for up to 3 weeks. This minimized the inaccuracy of the results due to continued bacterial growth after sample collection. Second, we accounted for multiple testing in our analysis and had an independent group of study subjects for validation to minimize false positive results. Finally, we not only showed the significant difference in the percentage of periodontopathogenic bacteria between OSCC cases and controls, we also provided other supporting data, including: (i) a significant gene–environment interaction (between periodontopathogenic bacteria and SNPs of TLR2 and TLR4) on OSCC risk; (ii) the positive association between the percentage of periodontopathogenic bacteria and the use of alcohol, betel quids and cigarettes and (iii) the positive correlation between the percentage of periodontopathogenic bacteria and the level of the pro-inflammatory cytokine IL1β in the saliva. These data together corroborated the role of periodontopathogenic bacteria in the development of OSCC.

Overall, our results indicated an increased OSCC risk associated with a higher percentage of oral periodontopathogenic bacteria, including P. tannaeae, F. nucleatum and P. Intermedia. This association may be influenced by lifestyle and genetic factors. Our results provided biological support for the association between poor oral hygiene and OSCC risk. This suggested that improving oral hygiene may reduce OSCC risk and should be part of a public health campaign to prevent the occurrence of OSCC.
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Supplementary data are available at Carcinogenesis online.
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