The Disaster Taxon Lystrosaurus: A Paleontological Myth
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The term “disaster species” was a term originally conceived to describe marine microfossils that exhibited profound abundances in the wake of a biological crisis. The term was expanded in the 1990s to describe (as “disaster taxa”) opportunistic taxa that dominated their biota numerically (“bloomed”) during the survival interval of a mass extinction event. The Permo-Triassic tetrapod genus Lystrosaurus has been cited regularly as a “disaster taxon” of the end-Permian mass extinction. A review of the definitions that have been developed for disaster taxa, and data from recent biostratigraphic and phylogenetic studies that include species of Lystrosaurus, leads to the conclusion that the genus is not a “disaster taxon”. Further, the known biostratigraphy and tree topologies of species of Lystrosaurus do not satisfy more recent definitions that attribute diversification to disaster species. At most, species of Lystrosaurus that form the informal “Lystrosaurus abundant zone” in the lower Katberg Formation, Lower Triassic of South Africa, could be described as opportunistic species.

Keywords: Triassic, Permian, extinction, disaster, Lystrosaurus

EPIGRAPH

“There is an extinction, which is a disaster, and this taxon is abundant, so it must be a disaster taxon”—Webb and Leighton (2011, p. 202).

INTRODUCTION

Five mass extinctions have punctuated the evolutionary history of metazoans. Broad-scale studies of mass extinctions have yielded in rich nomenclature with which to identify and to describe the fates and/or the roles of biota that have been subject to a mass extinction (Kauffman and Erwin, 1995; Harries et al., 1996; Kauffman and Harries, 1996; Hallam and Wignall, 1997). Accordingly, evolutionary biologists (Harries et al., 1996; Hallam and Wignall, 1997) have theorized of such biological entities as “disaster species,” “disaster taxa,” “opportunistic taxa,” and “Lazarus taxa,” with respect to successive “extinction,” “survival,” and “recovery” phases of an extinction event (Figure 1).

The end-Permian mass extinction (EPME) of ca. 252 Ma is widely regarded to be the most severe of the “Big Five” extinctions. The diversities of late Permian marine and terrestrial organisms were greatly impacted, with many groups becoming extinct (e.g., trilobites, eurypterids, gorgonopinian synapsids, and pareiasaurian parareptiles), followed by a multi-million-year-long hiatus in coal deposition and reef formation (Erwin, 1993, 2006; Jin et al., 2000; Benton, 2003; Benton and Twitchett, 2003; Wignall, 2007). A major focus of research programs of the EPME has been to determine whether the marine and terrestrial extinctions were synchronous (e.g. Twitchett et al.,
Pursuant to that goal, Smith and Botha (2005) began a long-term collecting program in the Karoo Basin of South Africa to produce a species-level resolution of the biostratigraphic ranges of terrestrial vertebrates spanning the Permo-Triassic boundary (PTB). Smith and Botha (2005) identified “extinction,” “survivor,” and “recovery” faunas for PTB Karoo tetrapods, which were recognized in successive publications (Botha and Smith, 2006; Botha et al., 2007; Modesto and Botha-Brink, 2010), and refined in their more recent work (Smith and Botha-Brink, 2014).

A taxon that has played a chief role in research of tetrapod survivorship of the EPME is the anomodont synapsid genus *Lystrosaurus*. Species of this genus have been described from Asia (China and India), Europe (Russia), Africa (South Africa and Zambia), and Antarctica (e.g. Young, 1946; Cluver, 1971; Colbert, 1974; King, 1991; King and Jenkins, 1997; Ray, 2005; Surkov et al., 2005). *Lystrosaurus* fossils are the most commonly encountered vertebrate remains in lowermost Triassic rocks of the Karoo Basin, South Africa; Groenewald and Kitching (1995) estimated that 95% of the fossils from the *Lystrosaurus declivis* Assemblage Zone of the Karoo Basin are attributable to *Lystrosaurus*. As a consequence of this remarkable abundance and co-occurrence with the EPME, the genus *Lystrosaurus* is commonly described a “disaster taxon” (Wignall, 2007; Adams, 2008; Sahney and Benton, 2008; Benton, 2011; Kammerer et al., 2013; Botha-Brink et al., 2016; Brocklehurst et al., 2018).

The label of “disaster taxon” applied to *Lystrosaurus*—a genus of herbivorous tetrapods—is quite remarkable given the traditional application of this term to life of the past. The designation “disaster taxa” (nb. plural) was introduced by Copper (1994) to describe microbes, foraminiferans, and bryozoans of varying ranks (e.g. genus to ordinal) that became prolific in the wake of a biotic crisis. Hallam and Wignall (1997, p. 13) described disaster taxa as “usually long-ranged species of opportunists, whose presence in swarm abundances is a sure sign of elevated environmental stresses”. In the same work, they regarded the *Lystrosaurus Assemblage Zone of South Africa* (sensu Groenewald and Kitching 1995) as a “disaster-taxon assemblage” (Hallam and Wignall, 1997, p. 111). That description is the first to intimate, but not state specifically, that *Lystrosaurus* is a disaster taxon. Hallam and Wignall (1997) stated that the stratigraphic range of the genus *Lystrosaurus* is relatively short, especially if compared with that of the Capitanian–Anisian bivalve genus *Claraia*, but the range of the former genus is similar to that estimated for dinosaur genera (Dodson, 1990) and so may be considered unremarkable for a tetrapod genus. Accordingly, the recent branding of *Lystrosaurus* as a disaster taxon appears to be based largely upon its reported abundance and global distribution, and not the stratigraphic/longevity perspective attached to the concept (Hallam and Wignall, 1997; Rodland and Bottjer, 2001). However, the abundance of the genus *Lystrosaurus* varies in the basins where it has been found, and it is not known from every basin that preserves an Early Triassic tetrapod fauna (e.g. Dias-da-Silva et al., 2007; Dias-da-Silva et al., 2017). A further complication for statements that *Lystrosaurus* is a disaster taxon is that those works that have done so have neither provided a definition for “disaster taxon” nor cited a previously published definition; where such definitions appear in the literature, they vary from work to work.

In this paper I examine the basis, if any, for statements that the genus *Lystrosaurus* is a disaster taxon. Recent advances in the biostratigraphy of terrestrial vertebrates of the Karoo Basin of South Africa, which yields the most abundant fossils of *Lystrosaurus* (Botha and Smith, 2006; Botha and Smith, 2007; Botha and Smith, 2020; Viglietti et al., 2015), allow an assessment of the claim that *Lystrosaurus* is a disaster taxon.
DISCUSSION

**Lystrosaurus as a “Disaster Taxon”**

The term “disaster taxon” evolved from the earlier term “disaster species”. The latter was first used by Percival and Fischer (1977) to describe the calcareous nannoplankton that appeared in bloom-like abundances in the earliest Tertiary seas. The term was adopted by Kauffman (1984) and Harries and Kauffman (1990) in their reviews of marine-life survivorship of the end-Cretaceous mass extinction (ECME). Schubert and Bottjer (1992) introduced the term “disaster form” for Early Triassic stromatolites (nb. the term “disaster form” reflects the nature of fossil stromatolites as ichnotaxa). Finally, Copper (1994:3) described “…‘simpler’, stress resistant disaster taxa at the genus to ordinal (or even phylum) level” for marine invertebrates that bloomed in the wake of reef collapse.

Subsequent considerations of “disaster taxa” concept evolved in the context of analyzing a mass extinction event into extinction, survival, and recovery intervals, and restricting the term “disaster taxon” to low-level taxa (i.e. genus and/or species). Whereas Schubert and Bottjer (1992) and Schubert and Bottjer (1995) regarded “disaster forms” as “long-ranging opportunistic generalists that briefly proliferate in the aftermath of mass extinctions,” Kauffman and Erwin (1995), Kauffman and Harries (1996), and Harries et al. (1996) distinguished “disaster species” (disaster taxa) from “opportunistic species.” These categories of survivors were proposed to bloom successively in the survival interval of a mass extinction event (Figure 1); Kauffman and Harries (1996) suggested that disaster species were probably “r-strategists,” i.e. short-lived species that produce abundant offspring. Subsequently, Rodland and Bottjer (2001) conflated these survivor concepts and defined “disaster taxa” as “a subgroup of opportunistic taxa, characterised by long evolutionary histories, that invade vacant ecospace during the survival interval but which are forced into marginal settings during later phases of the recovery.” This concept has been embraced by many researchers (e.g., Petsios and Bottjer, 2016; Song et al., 2016; Lucas, 2017).

The Rodland and Bottjer (2001) disaster-taxon concept does not apply to the genus *Lystrosaurus* for several reasons. As mentioned in the Introduction, *Lystrosaurus* does not exhibit a remarkably long stratigraphic range compared to those known for other tetrapod genera. The lowermost occurrence for the genus is *L. maccagni* at the boundary between the *Dicynodon-Therioagnathus* (lower subzone) and *Lystrosaurus maccagni-Moschorhinus* (upper subzone) vertebrate subzones, respectively, of Viglietti (2020) of the *Daptocephalus Assemblage Zone* (uppermost Balfour Formation of South Africa; Changoingian; Viglietti et al., 2017; Viglietti, 2020), and the uppermost occurrence is *L. declivis* in the upper *Lystrosaurus declivis Assemblage Zone* (uppermost Katberg Formation of South Africa; Olenekian; Botha and Smith, 2007; Botha and Smith, 2020). As the *Lystrosaurus maccagni-Moschorhinus* vertebrate subzone of the *Daptocephalus Assemblage Zone* and the *Lystrosaurus declivis Assemblage Zone* are contained entirely within the Changoingian through to the Olenekian stages, which totals 6.94 Ma according to the International Committee for Stratigraphy (2020), this indicates that the genus ranged less than 7 Ma. To my knowledge, no one has gauged the longevity of non-mammalian synapsid genera, but Dodson (1990) estimated that dinosaur genera ranged 5–10.5 Ma, and estimated that 7.7 Ma was a likely average. Accordingly, the longevity of the genus *Lystrosaurus* seems quite comparable. In addition, there is no evidence that the youngest known representatives of the genus, *L. declivis* and *L. murrayi*, were forced into marginal (ecologically suboptimal) settings during later phases of the recovery: no herbivorous tetrapods co-occur with *L. declivis* and *L. murrayi* in the upper parts of their stratigraphic ranges in the Katberg Formation; the highest occurrences of these species lie below the contact between the Katberg Formation and the overlying Burgersdorp Formation, the upper portion of which preserves the herbivorous/omnivorous cynognathian *Diademodon tetragonus* (Hancox et al., 1995; Botha and Smith, 2007). Accordingly, there is a brief hiatus in the ranges of herbivorous tetrapods in the Karoo Basin of South Africa, so it is not possible to state that *L. declivis* and *L. murrayi* were forced into marginal settings later during the later recovery phase.

The primary impetus for regarding *Lystrosaurus* as a disaster taxon is its renowned abundance. However, *Lystrosaurus* fossils are not remarkably abundant outside of the Karoo Basin of South Africa, where they were estimated by Groenewald and Kitching (1995) to comprise 19 out of every 20 fossils found in the *Lystrosaurus declivis Assemblage Zone*. This estimate, of course, is drawn from recollection of those researchers' traditional field collecting at *Lystrosaurus AZ* localities, which predated the precise logging of later field workers (Ward et al., 2005; Smith and Botha, 2005; Botha and Smith, 2006; Botha and Smith, 2007; Botha-Brink et al., 2014; Botha et al., 2019). More recent field and collection assessments indicate that the Groenewald and Kitching (1995) figure is inflated, and that *Lystrosaurus* specimens actually comprise ca. 73% of the vertebrate fossils known from the *Lystrosaurus declivis AZ* (Smith et al., 2012). Smith and Botha (2005) suggested that the “over abundance” of *Lystrosaurus* specimens (implied by Groenewald and Kitching, 1995), particularly “monospecific bonebeds” in the lower Katberg Formation, was a taphonomic bias resulting from the concentration of these dicynodonts at lowland floodplain “waterholes,” which dried up periodically. They subsequently recognized a “*Lystrosaurus* abundant zone” in the lower Katberg Formation, which looks appreciably like a post-extinction “bloom” (Botha and Smith, 2006; Figure 7). However, this “*Lystrosaurus* abundant zone” lies ca. 50 m above the Permian-Triassic boundary and falls within Smith and Botha (2005) “recovery fauna,” a stratigraphic observation at odds with the general concept that disaster taxa bloom in the immediate aftermath of a mass extinction, a.k.a. the survivor interval (Kauffman and Erwin, 1995; Harries et al., 1996; Kauffman and Harries, 1996; Rodland and Bottjer, 2001). Accordingly, even though recent biostratigraphic work suggests that *Lystrosaurus* fossils form an anomalously abundant interval, this interval does not coincide with the expected survival interval of the EPME.
To conclude this section, the genus *Lystrosaurus* fails to meet all of the criteria that have been established for a disaster taxon (sensu Harries et al., 1996; Rodland and Bottjer, 2001). I suspect that any attempt to apply the term “disaster taxon” to any taxon above the species level, particularly a speciose group of organisms such as *Lystrosaurus*, is problematic, because there is always the possibility of attributing qualities of a subset of a species to the entire group.

**Does the Genus *Lystrosaurus* Include Any Disaster Species?**

As noted above, Smith and Botha (2005) informally recognized a “*Lystrosaurus* abundant zone” for *L. declivis* and *L. murrayi* in the lower Katberg Formation of South Africa. This putative “*Lystrosaurus* abundant zone” could be equated to the blooms that characterize the distribution profiles of disaster and opportunistic species of Kauffman and Harries (1996, Figure 1) and Harries et al. (1996, Figures 5, 6). However, the Smith and Botha (2005) identification of “extinction,” “survivor,” and “recovery” faunas among the Permo-Triassic tetrapods of the Karoo Basin is not compatible with the “extinction,” “survivor,” and “recovery” intervals of mass extinctions that were reconstructed in the 1990s (Kauffman and Erwin, 1995; Harries et al., 1996; Kauffman and Harries, 1996), in that the tetrapod faunas of Smith and Botha (2005) overlap in time whereas the mass-extinction intervals of Kauffman and his colleagues are discrete time units. The “extinction,” “survivor,” and “recovery” faunas of Smith and Botha (2005) suggest that the “extinction,” “survivor,” and “recovery” intervals theorized in the 1990s for mass extinctions are not a realistic reconstruction of biotic responses during and immediately after a mass extinction event. The Smith and Botha (2005) recognition of overlapping “extinction,” “survivor,” and “recovery” faunas for PTB tetrapods are echoed in the conclusions of Lindstrom and McLoughlin (2007) and Hochuli et al. (2010) for PTB plants in eastern Pangaea and north-central Laurasia, respectively.

The sparse vertebrate paleontology literature that touches upon disaster species has eschewed the association of disaster species with a particular substage of a mass extinction. Benton (2003, p. 303), for example, defined disaster species as “forms that are able to radiate soon after a crisis.” More recently, Benton et al. (2014) define disaster species as “a species that survives and diversifies in post-extinction conditions, but disappears without giving rise to major components of the longer-term ecosystem.” A fundamental problem with both of these definitions is that the terms “diversifies” and “radiate” imply that a disaster species undergoes additive speciation in “post-extinction conditions.” Neither definition applies to any of the species of *Lystrosaurus* because stratigraphic calibration of the currently accepted tree topologies for the genus (Figure 2) demonstrate clearly that speciation events in this genus occurred before the PTB; there...
is no compelling evidence for any post-extinction speciation within the genus *Lystrosaurus*.

To conclude this section, none of the species of *Lystrosaurus* meet the recent definitions for disaster species (Benton, 2003; Benton et al., 2014). Previously published definitions that associate disaster species with a critical time period (viz. “survival phase”) are called into question by recent studies that reconstruct overlapping extinction, survivor, and recovery biotas, and their utility is now doubtful, at least for continental biotas. Tetrapods such as *L. declivis* and *L. murrayi* that exhibit anomalously high post-extinction numbers could be identified, at most, as opportunistic species.

**CONCLUSION**

The dicynodont genus *Lystrosaurus* is commonly described as a disaster taxon in the recent literature on the end-Permian extinction event. However, those works that specifically describe *Lystrosaurus* as a disaster taxon neither refer to, nor provide, a definition of the term, and this problem is exacerbated by the numerous definitions that have appeared in the literature. The current phylogenetic and stratigraphic information available for species of *Lystrosaurus* fails to satisfy any of the published definitions for the term “disaster taxon,” leading to the conclusion that the term, at present, is too subjective and thus has questionable utility for studies of tetrapod survivorship of mass extinctions.

The concept of disaster species appears to be valid for many marine forms (e.g., Song et al., 2016), but those identified so far are suspension feeders (foraminifera, brachiopods, and bivalves). Their benthic marine ecology is very different from that of later Permian and Early Triassic Karoo tetrapods, which formed terrestrial vertebrate ecosystems of modern aspect (Sues and Reisz, 1998), where large numbers of herbivores support a relatively smaller number of carnivores (i.e. primary consumer biomass dwarfs that of secondary and higher consumers). In the case of the *Lystrosaurus declivis* Assemblage Zone fauna, *L. murrayi* and *L. declivis* comprised the “large number of herbivores” of the Karoo terrestrial vertebrate ecosystem, in lieu of that formed by a greater taxonomic diversity of herbivorous tetrapods recorded for the preceding late Permian *Dapocephalus* Assemblage Zone.
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