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Abstract

Background: Assessment of the presence and the characteristics of sexual harassment in academic medicine is a global issue. Only limited international data are available so far.

Methods:

Aim: To assess the extent of sexual harassment and to identify the perpetrators in the student population of the medical school of Münster, Germany.

A survey was undertaken, using the Medical Womens’ International Association sexual harassment questionnaire translated into German. The anonymous online questionnaire was sent as a link to all medical undergraduates at Münster Medical School via a mailing list between 1\textsuperscript{st} October and the 30\textsuperscript{th} November 2018. Identifying and potentially identifying data was not collected.

Data were analyzed by descriptive statistical methods such as categorical variables. Baseline characteristics, e.g. answers by male or female medical students, were correlated with their individual sexual harassing experiences and perpetrator groups by means of univariate analysis.

Results: A total of 2162 medical students were asked to participate: 623 (28.8\%) completed the survey. Sexual harassment is a significant issue among medical students at Münster, over half (58.9\%) of all undergraduates were exposed to sexually harassing behavior: 31.8 \% of all participants reported to have experienced unwanted physical sexual contact such as e.g. unwanted physical touch; 87.6\% of the victims were female. 41.3 \% of all students did personally experience verbal sexual harassment of which 87.4 \% were female. 8.5\% of all undergraduates faced forced sexual contact e.g. such as oral, anal or vaginal penetration, intercourse and rape; all victims were female. Perpetrators in these cases were mostly male medical superiors (7.0\%) and male patients (18.3\%). In general, the majority of perpetrators were patients, followed by medical superiors and educators, and less frequently by colleagues.

Conclusions: Sexual harassment in medical education and the medical working place are a significant problem in a German medical school. The majority of students experiencing sexual harassment are females. Female students also experience the more serious forms of sexual harassment more often.

Background

This study investigates the presence of sexual harassment among undergraduate medical students in a German medical school. The World Health Organization (WHO) definition of sexual harassment was used: Sexual harassment means any unwelcome sexual advance, request for sexual favours, or other verbal or physical conduct of a sexual nature, when it interferes with work, is made a condition of employment, or creates an intimidating, hostile or offensive work environment \textsuperscript{1}.

Sexual harassment results in physical and psychological sufferings \textsuperscript{1-6} such as depression, social isolation, fear and associated cardiovascular symptoms. Four of ten female physicians from the U.K. reported similar
findings\textsuperscript{7-8} and physicians at the Charité in Berlin (Germany) further corroborated this\textsuperscript{9}. Moreover, when sexually harassment occurred it was often not reported\textsuperscript{10-11}.

The goal of our study was to assess sexual harassment in undergraduates at the medical school in Münster, Germany, where 60 to 70\% of medical students being female. Münster medical school is one of the largest in Germany. Confronting individual stories of sexual harassment in Münster was the driver for this study. A questionnaire on this topic was conducted among medical undergraduates to better describe the problem with baseline data to assist and inform future educational practice and policy.

Methods

A validated sexual harassment questionnaire in the medical working environment is not available in German speaking countries. We translated an international questionnaire from the Medical Women´s Medical Association (MWIA) into German\textsuperscript{12}. MWIA drafted the questionnaire for their own global survey in 2017. The original English version of the survey can be found in the supplementary material. The MWIA study and questionnaire used was approved by the Monash University Human Research Ethics Committee, Melbourne, Australia (Project ID 10064) and was designed by an international team on experts in medical education led by Prof. Jan Coles. The German version of questionnaire was anonymous and collected no identifying or potentially identifying personal data, for this reason Human Research Ethics Committee approval was not required after discussion with the local ethics panel.

The German questionnaire was distributed via a mailing list of all our medical students as a link. We started, after asking for the gender affiliation, with the definitions and the legal aspects concerning sexual harassment and bullying as well as equality under public law in Germany. The definition of sexual harassment was in accordance with the WHO definition outlined in the introduction. We also applied definitions from the German Penal code. The Penal Code in Germany starts with an anti-discrimination statement\textsuperscript{17}:

1. Prohibition of Discrimination Under Civil Law

   1. Any discrimination on the grounds of race or ethnic origin, sex, religion, disability, age or sexual orientation shall be illegal when founding, executing or terminating civil-law obligations\textsuperscript{16}, and further refers to employer and employee duties and rights.

2. Penal Law: Enforcement

   (1) Where a breach of the prohibition of discrimination occurs, the disadvantaged person may, regardless of further claims being asserted, demand that the discriminatory conduct be stopped. Where other discrimination is to be feared, he or she may sue for an injunction.

   (2) Where a violation of the prohibition of discrimination occurs, the person responsible for committing the discrimination shall be obligated to compensate for any damage arising therefrom. This shall not apply where the person committing the discrimination is not responsible for the breach of duty. The person
suffering discrimination may demand appropriate compensation in money for the damage, however not for economic loss.

(3) Claims in tort shall remain unaffected.

(4) The person responsible for committing the discrimination shall not be permitted to refer to an agreement which derogates from the prohibition of discrimination.

(5) Any claims arising from Subsections (1) and (2) must be asserted within a period of two months. After the expiry of the time limit the claim may only be asserted when the disadvantaged person was prevented from meeting the deadline through no fault of their own.

A total of 2162 medical students, 1427 females, at the Westfalian University of Münster were asked to participate deliberately and anonymously in the online survey between 10th October and 30th November 2018.

The survey was divided into two sections: one contained 10 statements on general and individual experiences and different forms of sexual harassment, including verbal and sexual contact, and forced physical sexual contact. The second section detailed the frequencies of specific sexual harassment experiences and perpetrator groups. Each part finished with a free text option on personal narratives, consequences and ideas for intervention. Inclusion criterion was completed anonymous data set. Incompletely answered surveys were excluded. Demographic data were solely gender affiliation. Agreement or disagreement to ten different statements followed in part 1 of the questionnaire. Answers could be given with a 5 step Likert scale.

Part 1: Statements to (dis-)agree are e.g. “Did you observe sexual harassment against males/females within your medical educational field?” Response options ranged from: “I agree completely; I agree partially; I do not know.; I disagree partially; and: I disagree completely.”

Answers in Part 2 contain e.g. “Have you ever felt that your job or your future job was dependent on you performing an unwanted sexual behavior?” They could choose between “never” to “once”, “two to five times” and “more than five times”.

In Part 2 questions on people who were responsible for sexual harassing actions e.g. “manager or supervisors?”, “colleagues?”, “patients?” or “other group of people” were asked.

A contingency plan was put in place to help survey respondents deal with the potential for emotional and/or psychological distress resulting from completing the survey and re-living potentially traumatic experiences. The Medical Faculty in Münster has a helpdesk for students, the leading psychologist, Mrs Janina Sensmeier, is co-author of the manuscript. In addition, a special support consultation was available in case of need as part of the study.

Statistical analysis: Continuous data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation (range) and categorical data are presented as the frequency (percentage). Continuous data are analysed using the
Mann-Whitney U test. Paired continuous data are compared using the Wilcoxon signed rank test. Proportions are compared using the Chi-square or Fisher-exact test, as appropriate and as necessary. Examples of qualitative data are presented but the formal analysis of the free text is yet to be completed. Data of partial and complete agreement were collapsed, and partial and complete disagreement, too.

Results

Victims

Six hundred twenty-three (28.8%) of the 2162 medical students answered the online questionnaire completely. Four hundred sixty-seven (74.8%) of them were female, 156 (25.1%) male. None defined themselves “diverse”. In Germany the category of gender affiliation “diverse” means LGBTQs (lesbian-gay-bi-and trans-sexual or queer individuals). 89 surveys were excluded for being incomplete; 50 of them (56.2%) were female participants.

Nearly a quarter of them (24.6%) observed different forms of sexual harassment (Table 1). The same proportion (24.6%) is aware that sexual harassment is present in medicine in general. When being asked about personal experiences of sexual harassment, the percentage increases to 58.9% of the 623 responding. The key results are summarized in Table 2.

Of note, those who have experienced physical sexual harassment and/or forced sexual contact were all females. In the free text answers further descriptions of physical sexual harassment such as “unwanted touch” were found. Physical assaults were “unambiguous” for the female undergraduates, but they reported being unable to say “no” or “stop”.

Perpetrators

Over forty-one percent (41.3%) of our students have experienced inappropriate sexually comments on their appearance, clothing, sexual orientation or behavior. Less frequently, offending verbal assault occurred electronically via email (1%) or short messaging (3.7%). Only 2.4% experienced offending phone calls. In 19.3% of cases patients were involved, in nearly ten percent educators/superiors (9.8%) or colleagues (9.3%) (Table 3).

The analysis of the free texts showed that in case of patients acting as perpetrators, the majority of them were “over 50-year old males” asking for repetitive intimate examination, or exhibitionism (7.5%). However, victims were not always sure this behavior was inappropriate “maybe he touched my breast accidentally”. Free texts revealed misbehavior of a male surgeon and educator towards his female student trainees several times. He came extremely close so that the female trainees and students were caught between him and the OR table.

Legally punishable acts according to German Penal Code were reported in 9.8% of cases in this survey with 8.5% of the students are willing to describe the assaults. Enforced sexual intercourse is reported in eight questionnaires (1.3% of the collective) without free text input. The perpetrators which were reported
were in three cases medical superiors (37.5%) and educators (37.5%), respectively and in one case a male patient (12.5%). “Other” perpetrators were not further specified in the free text section.

**Discussion**

This survey shows that sexual harassment in medical education and the medical environment exists as an important problem among undergraduate education at a large German medical school in Münster. Medical education contains clinical workplace-based teaching and assessment, and simulation, skills training and summative and formative assessment settings where sexual harassment may take place. Those who reported having personally experienced some form of sexual harassment (58.9 %) were mostly female (87.6%), while perpetrators are mostly males (89.7%) and involved patients (18.3% for sexual harassing behavior), too. We observed an increase when items and questions were repeated or triggered the individual perspective on that item. It is in accordance with findings of a large national survey on violence against women in Germany in 2004.

Our results in undergraduates corroborate similar findings on physicians from a German hospital in Berlin and other European countries and the US. The issue of sexual harassment in medicine is a long-standing problem, and the potential negative impact on patient’s treatment and physician's well-being is recognized. American psychiatrists reported that women who experienced sexual harassment struggle in isolation in their working environment and were not able to achieve their potential in careers and their research fields. In those women with trauma symptoms only 1-7% had filed a formal complaint; possibly due to a lack of role models or anticipated lack of success. The symptoms caused by the sexual harassment were stress, depression, obesity, and chronic illness, an increased absence from workplace and even cardiovascular diseases. 50% were bullied by a colleague, 30% by a patient. 40% experienced sexual harassment by superiors in Ireland. Our results further supported by a position paper of the IMO (Irish Medical Organization). They asked for experiences, too and differentiated female and male responses: 26.2% suffered from gender-based harassment the last two years (31.3% were females and 15.4% were males). Sexual harassment occurred in 18.3% within the last two years. They found that discrimination and sexual harassment influence specialty choice. Surgery was the only specialty in this study where the respondents felt that gender had career implications. Concerning the perpetrator groups Irish doctors showed different impact. 50% of the females were bullied by colleagues; 33% by patients and less by superiors.

Our data also indicate that the more severe forms of sexual harassment such as unwanted physical contact and forced physical contact have patients as the main perpetrator, while sexual assault has superiors and educators as the main perpetrators. It suggests that different strategies for education maybe needed targeting patients, staff and supervisors and not limited to students.

To the best of our knowledge no other study on undergraduates have been published so far in Germany. Our data clearly demonstrate that the problem exists from early on; starting at undergraduate level and is not confined to those working as physicians. This problem exists not only in Germany but
globally and warrants a raised awareness, re-action, and reflection on this challenging issue to better support and educate undergraduates. It may be assumed that, following sexual harassment, undergraduates will suffer similar symptoms as reported by physicians\textsuperscript{2,4,6}. Moreover, we believe that the incidence of sexual harassment is underreported and that measures must be put in place to interrupt the vicious cycle of silence. Interventions, policies and recommendations must be put in place to instill sustainable change.

The study highlights that sexual harassment is an issue at our faculty but the main limitation of this trial may be some pressure of time in achieving awareness. We missed to conduct a pilot testing of the questionnaire; especially concerning its translation. Another limitation might cause bias due to a relatively low responding rate of 28.8%.

In Münster, as consequence of our findings, an independent voluntary task force of important stakeholders at the medical faculty was formed. Main and consensus goals of the task force were based on the outcome of this survey among undergraduates and in accordance to the three key recommendations of the Irish position paper\textsuperscript{11}. After the identification of the extent, a first meeting with managers of all level had already been conducted and next measures discussed: We plan training related to sexual harassment on all levels: including physicians as well as students and nursing staff. Communication and reflection on sexual harassment will increase awareness campaign as started by our task force. Awareness of sexual harassment and its prevention will be emphasized as a part of the development of professional behaviors among our medical students. We are their role models as medical educators and supervisor and we must work towards eliminating sexual harassment amongst our students by awareness, reflection and communication, too.

**Conclusion**

Sexual harassment is an issue within our local medical educational settings due to a lack of awareness, reflection, and communication. It is an interprofessional challenge, and female students experience the majority of assaults. Our task is actually to take care and create transparency and eliminate sexual harassment.
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Tables

Table 1: Various forms of sexual harassment reported from undergraduates stratified by sex of our Münster medical school

1Educational setting encompasses the non-clinical setting in seminars and simulation-based assessments and trainings, as well bed-side teaching at Muenster medical school.

Explanation: Data of disagreement and „I do not know“ are not shown.
| Statements                                                                 | Answers of (partial) agreement (n) | Females (partial) agreement (n/%) | Males (partial) agreement (n/%) |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Observed sexual harassment in the medical field in general                | 365                               | 273                              | 92                            |
| Observed sexual harassment at the educational setting\(^1\)               | 153                               | 114                              | 39                            |
| Personally experienced sexual harassment                                   | 154                               | 135                              | 19                            |
| Job and career advancement was coupled with behaving in the desired way e.g. wearing clothes with deep neckline | 74                                | 70                               | 4                             |
| Physical sexual harassment, e.g. unwanted touching                         | 198                               | 193                              | 5                             |
| Verbal sexual harassment, e.g. sexualized comments, jokes                 | 257                               | 192                              | 65                            |
| Comments on sexual orientation                                             | 46                                | 35                               | 11                            |
| Unnecessary intimate examinations                                           | 60                                | 55                               | 5                             |
| Forced sexual contact                                                      | 53                                | 53                               | 0                             |
| Forced sexual intercourse                                                  | 8                                 | 8                                | 0                             |

Table 2:
Total percentage and percentage of different forms of experienced sexual harassment and statement results stratified by sex.

\(^1\) related to the total number of respondents (n = 623)

\(^2\) related to the total number of those who have personally experienced either verbal, physical or forced sexual contact.

The first three items present prevalence of personally experienced forms of harassment, while the statements combined several responses to one item.
| Key Results                                                                 | Total | Female  | Male  |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|---------|-------|
| Reported personally experienced verbal sexual harassment                    | 41.3  | 87.4    | 12.6  |
| Reported personally experienced physical sexual harassment                  | 31.8  | 87.6    | 12.4  |
| Reported personally experienced forced sexual contact/intercourse           | 8.5   | 94.0    | 6.0   |
| Statement 1: Our undergraduates are aware of sexual harassment within the medical field. | 74.8  | 74.6    | 25.4  |
| Statement 2: Our female students have personally experienced sexual harassment in general. | 21.7  | 87.6    | 12.3  |
| Statement 3: Physical sexual harassment and forced sexual contact is experienced by our female students. | 31.0  | 97.5    | 2.5   |
| Perpetrator groups as mentioned by students in the survey and sex of victims of sexual harassment stratified by Patients | 18.3  | 88.4    | 11.6  |
| superior                                                                  | 7.0   | 93.7    | 6.3   |

Table 3: Distribution and percentages of perpetrators of different forms of sexual harassment

1 related to the total number of those who had experienced a particular form of sexual harassment

2 related to the total number of respondents (n = 623)

Explanation: Data „no assault or contact“ and „I do not know“ are not shown.
| Forms of sexual harassment | Group of responsible perpetrators | Answers from female medical students $^1$ n (%) | Answers from male medical students$^1$ n (%) | Overall answers “yes”$^2$ n (%) |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| **Verbal sexual harassment** | Superiors, educators              | 58 (95.1)                                     | 3 (4.9)                         | 61 (9.8)        |
|                             | Patients                          | 110 (91.7)                                    | 10 (8.3)                        | 120 (19.3)      |
|                             | Colleagues                        | 44 (75.9)                                     | 14 (24.1)                       | 58 (9.3)        |
| **Physical sexual harassment** | Superiors, educators              | 24 (92.3)                                     | 2 (7.7)                         | 26 (4.2)        |
|                             | Patients                          | 92 (85.2)                                     | 16 (14.8)                       | 108 (17.3)      |
|                             | Colleagues                        | 29 (85.3)                                     | 5 (14.7)                        | 34 (5.5)        |
| **Forced sexual contact**   | Superiors, educators              | 15 (93.8)                                     | 1 (6.2)                         | 16 (2.6)        |
|                             | Patients                          | 15 (88.2)                                     | 2 (11.8)                        | 17 (2.7)        |
|                             | Colleagues                        | 5 (100)                                       | 0 (0)                           | 5 (0.8)         |