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Newly discovered coronavirus as the primary cause of severe acute respiratory syndrome
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Summary

Background The worldwide outbreak of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) is associated with a newly discovered coronavirus, SARS-associated coronavirus (SARS-CoV). We did clinical and experimental studies to assess the role of this virus in the cause of SARS.

Methods We tested clinical and postmortem samples from 436 SARS patients in six countries for infection with SARS-CoV, human metapneumovirus, and other respiratory pathogens. We infected four cynomolgus macaques (Macaca fascicularis) with SARS-CoV in an attempt to replicate SARS and did necropsies on day 6 after infection.

Findings SARS-CoV infection was diagnosed in 329 (75%) of 436 patients fitting the case definition of SARS; human metapneumovirus was diagnosed in 41 (12%) of 335, and other respiratory pathogens were diagnosed only sporadically. SARS-CoV was, therefore, the most likely causal agent of SARS. The four SARS-CoV-infected macaques excreted SARS-CoV from nose, mouth, and pharynx from 2 days after infection. Three of four macaques developed diffuse alveolar damage, similar to that in SARS patients, and characterised by epithelial necrosis, serosanguineous exudate, formation of hyaline membranes, type 2 pneumocyte hyperplasia, and the presence of syncytia. SARS-CoV was detected in pneumatic areas by virus isolation and RT-PCR, and was localised to alveolar epithelial cells and syncytia by immunohistochemistry and transmission electron microscopy.

Interpretation Replication in SARS-CoV-infected macaques of pneumonia similar to that in human beings with SARS, combined with the high prevalence of SARS-CoV infection in SARS patients, fulfill the criteria required to prove that SARS-CoV is the primary cause of SARS.
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Introduction

Severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) is an emergent disease that was first reported in Guangdong Province, People’s Republic of China, in November, 2002, from where it spread to other Asian countries, North America, and Europe.1,2 By July 3, 2003, this epidemic had resulted globally in 8439 reported cases, of which 812 were fatal.3

Pulmonary lesions in SARS patients have been diagnosed as diffuse alveolar damage. Histological changes include desquamation of pneumocytes, formation of hyaline membranes, flooding of alveolar lumina with oedema fluid mixed with inflammatory cells, and the presence of enlarged pneumocytes and syncytia. Alveolar walls are thickened by mild mononuclear infiltrate, and in later stages air spaces contain fibromyxoid-organising exudate,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11 Coronavirus-like particles have been detected by transmission electron microscopy in cells from a lung biopsy sample1 and in bronchoalveolar lavage sample,2 and in pneumocytes from a postmortem lung sample.4 Until now, immunohistochemical detection of SARS-associated coronavirus (SARS-CoV) in SARS-associated lesions has not succeeded.7

Identification of the causal agent of SARS is essential to make an accurate case definition, to diagnose the disease accurately, and to develop appropriate preventive and curative treatment. Several agents have been proposed in the course of investigation of SARS. During initial investigations in China, Mycoplasma pneumoniae and Chlamydia sp were suggested as possible causes.9,10 Subsequent studies ruled out these agents and other known viral and bacterial pathogens,1,2 except for human metapneumovirus.1 A previously unknown coronavirus was identified in patients with SARS.5,11 It was suggested that this coronavirus, alone or in combination with human metapneumovirus or other causal agents, might be the primary cause of SARS.

We investigated the causal role of the newly discovered SARS-CoV by analysis of the results of investigations done by the WHO network of laboratories, showing that SARS-CoV was the only agent seen consistently in patients with probable SARS. We also investigated whether respiratory lesions of SARS could be replicated in experimentally infected cynomolgus macaques (Macaca fascicularis). Replication was based on histopathology, as in a preliminary report,12 and on localisation of SARS-CoV to the typical pulmonary lesions by immunohistochemistry and transmission electron microscopy.
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eyelid, femoral bone marrow, heart muscle (left and right), ileum, inguinal lymph node, jejunum, kidney, lung (inflated with 10% neutral-buffered formalin), liver, mandibular lymph node, mesenteric lymph node, nasal septum, oesophagus, pancreas, primary bronchus, ovary, prostate, salivary gland, skin, spleen, stomach, testis, thymus, thyroid gland, tongue, tonsil, trachea, tracheobronchial lymph node, urinary bladder, and uterus. Tissues for light-microscope examination were fixed in 10% neutral-buffered formalin, embedded in paraffin, and 4 μm sections were stained with haematoxylin and eosin. Selected lung sections were stained with monoclonal antibody AE1/AE3 (Neomarkers, Fremont, CA, USA) for the identification of epithelial cells, and monoclonal antibody CD68 (Dako, Glostrup, Denmark) for the identification of macrophages according to standard immunohistochemical procedures. We developed an immunohistochemical method for detecting SARS-CoV antigen. Duplicate sections of all tissue samples were stained with an avidin-biotin complex peroxidase method. Paraffin was removed from sections, which were dehydrated and pretreated with protease (Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA) for 10 min at 37°C. Endogenous peroxidase was revealed with 4-chloro-1-naphthol (Sigma). Endogenous biotin was blocked with an avidin-biotin blocking kit (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA). Slides were briefly washed with 0.05% phosphate-buffered saline Tween 20 (Fluka, Buchs, Switzerland) and incubated with biotinylated purified human IgG from a convalescent SARS patient, negative control biotinylated purified human IgG, or the dilution buffer (phosphate-buffered saline containing 1% bovine serum albumin) for 1 h at room temperature. After washing, sections were incubated with avidin-biotin complex-horseradish peroxidase (Dako) for 1 h at room temperature. Horseradish peroxidase activity was revealed by incubating slides in 3-amin-9-ethylcarbazole (Sigma) solution for 10 min, resulting in a bright red precipitate. Sections were counterstained with haematoxylin. Tissue sections from cynomolgus macaques that had not been infected with SARS-CoV were included as negative controls. We included formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded SARS-CoV-infected or uninfected Vero 118 cells in each staining as positive and negative controls, respectively. For negative contrast electron microscopy, samples of tissue culture supernatants were centrifuged at 17000 g at 4°C, and the pelleted virus was resuspended in phosphate-buffered saline containing 1% bovine serum albumin and stained with phosphotungstic acid. Samples of lung from macaque 3 were fixed for transmission electron microscopy in 4% formaldehyde and 1% glutar(di)aldehyde after brief fixation in 10% neutral-buffered formalin, and post-fixed in 1% osmium tetroxide. After embedding in epoxy resin, thin sections were examined with a fluorescence microscope (Zeiss Axioscope, Oberkochen, Germany). We tested lung swabs and blood samples for bacterial pathogens at the Department of Medical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, Erasmus MC, Rotterdam, Netherlands, by routine bacteriological methods (with blood agar, chocolate agar, and MacConkey agar) under aerobic and anaerobic conditions. Lung-tissue homogenates were tested for the presence of *Chlamydia pneumoniae* with PCR using universal primers for *Chlamydia sp*¹¹ and specific primers for *C pneumoniae*.²²
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Results
Overall, 75% of patients who had suspected SARS according to the WHO case definition were diagnosed as being infected with probable SARS-CoV, whereas 12% were diagnosed as having human metapneumovirus infection (table 2). The high proportion of patients diagnosed with human metapneumovirus infection could be attributed mainly to cohort 4, in which 36% of patients were positive for this infection. Without this cohort, the overall proportion of human metapneumovirus diagnoses decreased to 4%. Alternative diagnoses in patients who tested negative for SARS-CoV infection were influenza (five), M pneumoniae infection (one), and Legionella sp infection (one).

Three macaques (1, 2, and 4) became lethargic from days 2–3 after infection onwards. Macaques 1 and 2 developed a temporary skin rash at day 4 after infection. Macaque 2 had respiratory distress, consisting of an increased respiratory rate and dyspnoea, from day 4 after infection onwards. Macaques 2–4 had multiple foci of pulmonary consolidation in both lungs. The consolidated lung tissue was grey-red, firm, level, and less buoyant than normal. The tracheobronchial lymph nodes and spleen in these macaques were about twice the normal size. The other organs in these three macaques, as well as the respiratory tract and other organs of macaque 1 were normal on microscopic inspection.

Table 2: Diagnosis of SARS-CoV and human metapneumovirus in patients fitting the WHO SARS case definition

| Origin      | SARS-CoV                  | MPNV                  |
|-------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
|             | Number with serological results (n/total) | Number with virological results (n/total) | Total number with results (n/total [%]) | Number with serological results (n/total) | Number with virological results (n/total) | Total number with results (n/total [%]) |
| Cohort number |                             |                       |                                      |                             |                       |                                      |
| 1           | Hong Kong                  | 32/32                 | 34/46                               | 45/50                 | 0/32                 | 0/50                               | 0/50 (0)                              |
| 2           | Hong Kong                  | 70/75                 | 69/75                               | 70/75 (93%)           | ND                   | ND                                 | ND                                    |
| 3           | Hong Kong                  | 6/6                   | 5/6                                 | 6/6 (100)            | 0/6                  | 0/6                                | 0/6 (0)                               |
| 4           | Hong Kong                  | 84/84                 | 84/84*                              | 84/84 (100)          | 1/7                  | 0/8                                | 1/8 (13)                              |
| 5           | Hong Kong                  | 8/8                   | 8/8                                 | 8/8 (100)            | ND                   | ND                                 | ND                                    |
| 6           | Hong Kong                  | 9/9                   | 14/14‡                              | 14/14 (100)          | ND                   | 2/14                               | 2/14 (14)                             |
| 7           | Hong Kong                  | 1/1                   | 15/26‡                              | 15/26 (58)           | ND                   | 2/26                               | 2/26 (8)                              |
| 8           | Singapore                  | 48/50                 | 23/94                               | 68/125 (64)          | 2/59                 | 0/85                               | 2/116 (2)                             |
| 9           | Germany                    | 3/3                   | 3/3                                 | 3/3 (100)            | ND                   | 0/2                                | 0/2 (0)                               |
| 10          | UK                         | 1/9                   | 1/9                                 | 1/9 (111§)           | ND                   | 0/9                                | 0/9 (0)                               |
| 11          | Vietnam                    | ND                    | 11/17                               | 11/17 (65)           | ND                   | 1/10                               | 1/10 (10)                             |
| 12          | France                     | 2/5¶                  | 3/19                                | 4/19 (2111)          | ND                   | 3/10                               | 3/10 (30)**                           |
| Total       |                           | 264/282               | 270/401                             | 329/436 (75)         | 33/188               | 38/304                             | 41/335 (12)                           |

HMPV=human metapneumovirus, ND=not done. *All positive Vero cell cultures also showed cytopathic effect 2–3 days after passage on to another Vero cell culture tube. ‡Nucleotide sequence of all RT-PCR products identical to F gene fragment of a published HMPV strain with GenBank accession number NC 004148; reference 24. Inoculation of all HMPV RT-PCR-positive cell cultures on to another LLC-MK2 cell culture tube resulted in similar cytopathic effect, characterised by focal refractile rounding of cells that progressed slowly to cell detachment. By electron microscopy, HMPV particles were seen in all five randomly selected cell culture supernatants. §15 coronavirus isolates obtained from these RT-PCR positive patients. ¶Of eight patients not diagnosed with SARS-CoV infection, three diagnosed with influenza, one with M pneumoniae infection, and one with Legionella sp infection. ||All four patients were probable SARS cases. **All three patients suspected SARS cases. Of suspect SARS cases, two of 12 tested positive for influenza A virus (H3).

Figure 1: Histological lesions in lungs from cynomolgus macaques infected with SARS-CoV
A: Early changes of diffuse alveolar damage, characterised by disruption of alveolar walls and flooding of alveolar lumina with serosanguineous exudate admixed with neutrophils and alveolar macrophages. B: More advanced changes of diffuse alveolar damage, characterised by thickened alveolar walls lined by type 2 pneumocytes, and mainly alveolar macrophages in alveolar lumina. C: Arrows show hyaline membranes on surfaces of alveoli. D: A characteristic change is presence of syncytia (arrowhead), here in the lumen of bronchiole. All slides haematoxylin and eosin stained.

Figure 2: Immunohistochemical identification of cells in lungs from cynomolgus macaques infected with SARS-CoV
A: Arrows show enlarged type 2 pneumocytes with abundant vesicular cytoplasm and large nucleus containing prominent nucleolus that frequently occur along alveolar walls; haematoxylin and eosin. B: Epithelial origin confirmed by positive staining with monoclonal antibody AE1/AE3, a pan-keratin marker; avidin-biotin complex immunoperoxidase with diaminobenzidine substrate and haematoxylin counterstain. C: Arrows show alveolar macrophages that are common in alveolar lumina; haematoxylin and eosin. D: Macrophage origin is confirmed by positive staining with monoclonal antibody CD68, a macrophage marker; avidin-biotin complex immunoperoxidase with diaminobenzidine substrate and haematoxylin counterstain.
The main lesion in the consolidated pulmonary tissue of macaques 2–4 involved the alveoli and bronchioles, and consisted of areas with acute or more advanced phases of diffuse alveolar damage. In such areas the lumina of alveoli and bronchioles were variably filled with protein-rich oedema fluid, fibrin, erythrocytes, and cellular debris, a moderate number of alveolar macrophages, and fewer neutrophils and lymphocytes (figure 1). The cytoplasm of some of these macrophages contained erythrocytes or pools of oedema fluid. There was extensive loss of epithelium from alveolar and bronchiolar walls. In areas with more advanced diffuse alveolar damage, the alveolar walls were moderately thickened and lined by cuboidal epithelial cells (type 2 pneumocyte hyperplasia), and the alveolar lumina contained mainly alveolar macrophages (figure 1). Regeneration of epithelium was seen in some bronchioles, visible as one irregular layer of squamous to high cuboidal epithelial cells with hyperchromatic nuclei. In some areas, the alveolar walls were lined by deep eosinophilic hyaline membranes (figure 1). There were occasional multinucleated giant cells (syncytia) in bronchioles and alveoli, either attached to the wall or free in the lumen (figure 1). These syncytia had up to about 30 peripheral nuclei, abundant hyaline eosinophilic cytoplasm, and, based on positive CD68 staining and negative pan-keratin staining, originated from macrophages. Enlarged type 2 pneumocytes with large vacuolated nuclei, prominent nucleoli, and abundant vesicular cytoplasm were frequently found attached to the alveolar walls (figure 2). The epithelial origin of these cells was confirmed by keratin expression (figure 2). By contrast, alveolar macrophages had smaller nuclei, less prominent nucleoli, and were generally loose in the alveolar lumina (figure 2). The identity of these cells as macrophages was confirmed by CD68 staining (figure 2).

Alveolar and bronchiolar walls were thickened by oedema fluid, mononuclear cells, and neutrophils. There were aggregates of lymphocytes around small pulmonary vessels. Moderate numbers of lymphocytes and macrophages were present in the lamina propria and submucosa of the bronchial walls, and a few neutrophils in the bronchial epithelium.

Changes in other tissues of macaques 2–4 were diffuse lymphoid hyperplasia and sinus histiocytosis of the tracheobronchial lymph nodes. Macaques 2 and 3 also had diffuse intrafollicular hyalinosis of the spleen. There were minimum multifocal inflammatory lesions in the pulmonary tissue of macaque 1, consisting of increased numbers of alveolar macrophages (about ten per alveolus) and occasional syncytia in alveoli and bronchioles.

With use of a biotinylated IgG fraction from a SARS patient, SARS-CoV expression was detected in a few to moderate numbers of alveolar epithelial cells (type 2 pneumocytes; figure 3) and rare intrabronchiolar and intra-alveolar syncytia (figure 3) in inflamed lung tissue of macaques 2–4. Positive immunohistochemical staining for SARS-CoV was visible as diffuse red-brown staining in the cytoplasm. The character of the staining was similar to that in SARS-CoV-infected Vero 118 cells (positive control), whereas non-infected Vero 118 cells and lung tissue from non-infected macaques (negative controls) were negative on staining. The other tissues in these three macaques, as well as the lung and other tissues of macaque 1, showed no SARS-CoV expression by immunohistochemistry.

Figure 3: Immunohistochemical detection of SARS-CoV in lungs from experimentally infected cynomolgus macaques

A: Expression of SARS-CoV antigen by two alveolar epithelial cells, probably type 2 pneumocytes. Immunoglobulin G fraction of convalescent serum of SARS patient was used as specific antibody; avidin-biotin complex immunoperoxidase with diaminobenzidine substrate and haematoxylin counterstain. B: Expression of SARS-CoV antigen by syncytium in lumen of alveolar duct. Small cell along the duct wall also stains positive; avidin-biotin complex immunoperoxidase with 3-amino-9-ethylcarbazole substrate and haematoxylin counterstain.

The main lesion in the consolidated pulmonary tissue of macaques 2–4 involved the alveoli and bronchioles, and consisted of areas with acute or more advanced phases of diffuse alveolar damage. In such areas the lumina of alveoli and bronchioles were variably filled with protein-rich oedema fluid, fibrin, erythrocytes, and cellular debris, a moderate number of alveolar macrophages, and fewer neutrophils and lymphocytes (figure 1). The cytoplasm of some of these macrophages contained erythrocytes or pools of oedema fluid. There was extensive loss of epithelium from alveolar and bronchiolar walls. In areas with more advanced diffuse alveolar damage, the alveolar walls were moderately thickened and lined by cuboidal epithelial cells (type 2 pneumocyte hyperplasia), and the alveolar lumina contained mainly alveolar macrophages (figure 1). Regeneration of epithelium was seen in some bronchioles, visible as one irregular layer of squamous to high cuboidal epithelial cells with hyperchromatic nuclei. In some areas, the alveolar walls were lined by deep eosinophilic hyaline membranes (figure 1). There were occasional multinucleated giant cells (syncytia) in bronchioles and alveoli, either attached to the wall or free in the lumen (figure 1). These syncytia had up to about 30 peripheral nuclei, abundant hyaline eosinophilic cytoplasm, and, based on positive CD68 staining and negative pan-keratin staining, originated from macrophages. Enlarged type 2 pneumocytes with large vacuolated nuclei, prominent nucleoli, and abundant vesicular cytoplasm were frequently found attached to the alveolar walls (figure 2). The epithelial origin of these cells was confirmed by keratin expression (figure 2). By contrast, alveolar macrophages had smaller nuclei, less prominent nucleoli, and were generally loose in the alveolar lumina (figure 2). The identity of these cells as macrophages was confirmed by CD68 staining (figure 2). Alveolar and bronchiolar walls were thickened by oedema fluid, mononuclear cells, and neutrophils. There were aggregates of lymphocytes around small pulmonary vessels. Moderate numbers of lymphocytes and macrophages were present in the lamina propria and submucosa of the bronchial walls, and a few neutrophils in the bronchial epithelium.

Changes in other tissues of macaques 2–4 were diffuse lymphoid hyperplasia and sinus histiocytosis of the tracheobronchial lymph nodes. Macaques 2 and 3 also had diffuse intrafollicular hyalinosis of the spleen. There were minimum multifocal inflammatory lesions in the pulmonary tissue of macaque 1, consisting of increased numbers of alveolar macrophages (about ten per alveolus) and occasional syncytia in alveoli and bronchioles.

With use of a biotinylated IgG fraction from a SARS patient, SARS-CoV expression was detected in a few to moderate numbers of alveolar epithelial cells (type 2 pneumocytes; figure 3) and rare intrabronchiolar and intra-alveolar syncytia (figure 3) in inflamed lung tissue of macaques 2–4. Positive immunohistochemical staining for SARS-CoV was visible as diffuse red-brown staining in the cytoplasm. The character of the staining was similar to that in SARS-CoV-infected Vero 118 cells (positive control), whereas non-infected Vero 118 cells and lung tissue from non-infected macaques (negative controls) were negative on staining. The other tissues in these three macaques, as well as the lung and other tissues of macaque 1, showed no SARS-CoV expression by immunohistochemistry.

Figure 4: Electron microscopy of SARS-CoV in inoculum, clinical samples, and tissue samples of experimentally infected cynomolgus macaques

A: Negative-contrast electron microscopy of virus stock used to inoculate cynomolgus macaques shows the typical club-shaped surface projections of coronavirus particles; negatively stained with phosphotungstic acid, bar=100 nm. B: Morphologically identical particles isolated from nasal swabs of infected macaques 2–4; negatively stained with phosphotungstic acid, bar=100 nm. C: Transmission electron microscopy of infected Vero 118 cell shows viral nucleocapsids with variably electron-dense and electron-lucent cores in smooth-walled vesicles in the cytoplasm; stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate, bar=500 nm. D: Morphologically similar particles occur in pulmonary lesions of infected macaques, within vesicles of the Golgi apparatus of pneumocytes; stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate; bar=500 nm.
particles were seen in cell cultures obtained from nasal samples were positive only by RT-PCR (table 3). By samples was not measured. Several other clinical symptoms were similar in size to coronavirus particles (figure 4). The particles in inflamed lung tissue from the throat swabs of macaque 4 at days 2, 4, and 6 after infection. The virus titre in these samples was isolated from the postmortem samples of macaques 1 or 3. Several other tissues were positive only by RT-PCR (table 4). No macaque had detectable antibody to SARS-CoV by day 6 after infection.

Virological examinations of nasal and pharyngeal swabs, and tracheal and lung samples from all four macaques by RT-PCR for influenza A and B virus, respiratory syncytial virus A and B, rhinovirus, coronavirus (OC43 and 229E) and human metapneumovirus were negative.

No relevant pathogens were identified on bacteriological culture of lung and blood samples. The lung homogenates tested negative for Chlamydia sp and Chlamydia pneumoniae by PCR.

Discussion

According to Koch’s postulates, as modified by Rivers for virus diseases, six criteria need to be fulfilled for a particular micro-organism to be the causal agent of a disease. Laboratory investigations of clinical and postmortem samples from SARS patients, as presented here and in earlier studies already fulfilled the first three criteria—isolation of the virus from diseased hosts, cultivation in experimental hosts or host cells, and proof of filterability (to exclude larger pathogens). The results of our studies on SARS-CoV-infected macaques fulfill the remaining postulates—production of a comparable disease in the original host or a related species, and reisolation of the virus. Detection of a specific immune response to the virus after experimental infection was already reported. Together, these findings prove that SARS-CoV is the primary cause of SARS.

The primary role of SARS-CoV in the cause of SARS is suggested by the cumulative studies at WHO laboratories, in which most SARS patients were diagnosed as having SARS-CoV infection, frequently in the absence of other respiratory pathogens. The most common co-infection in SARS patients was with human metapneumovirus. The SARS patients in cohort 4 co-infected with human metapneumovirus were mostly health-care workers from the same ward and who shared resting areas. The circulation of this infection among them during the SARS outbreak probably explains the frequency of the infection in cohort 4. Similarly, four SARS patients from Canada were infected with SARS-CoV and human metapneumovirus. The clinical symptoms of human metapneumovirus infection vary from mild upper-respiratory-tract disease to severe bronchiolitis and pneumonia. The possible role of human metapneumovirus infection in exacerbating SARS remains to be assessed.

Alternative diagnoses, such as influenza, were occasionally made among patients fitting the case definition of SARS but testing negative for SARS-CoV infection. On the basis of the current case definition, therefore, disease from SARS-CoV infection overlaps with respiratory illnesses of other causes. Alternative diagnoses are most likely in geographical areas where SARS is not endemic.

The pulmonary lesions in SARS-CoV-infected macaques are comparable to those in SARS patients, and to those in other respiratory coronaviruses infections, such as sialodacryoadenitis virus infection in rats, and porcine respiratory coronavirus infection in pigs.

Table 3: Excretion of SARS-CoV by experimentally inoculated cynomolgus macaques

| Time after infection (days) | 0 | 2 | 4 | 6 |
|----------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| Macaque number             | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Sputum samples             |   |   |   |   |
| 1                          | ND| ND| ND| ND|
| 2                          | ND| ND| ND| ND|
| 3                          | ND| ND| ND| ND|
| 4                          | + | + | + | + |
| Nasal swab                 | - | + | + | - |
| Pharyngeal swab            | - | + | + | + |
| Rectal swab                | - | + | + | - |

Results from RT-PCR or virus isolation. ND=not done.

Table 4: Virological detection of SARS-CoV in postmortem tissues of experimentally infected cynomolgus macaques

| Sample                               | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| Cerebrum                             | + | - | - | - |
| Duodenum                             | - | - | - | - |
| Kidney                               | - | + | - | - |
| Lung                                 | + | + | + | + |
| Nasal septum                         | - | + | - | - |
| Skin                                 | + | - | - | - |
| Spleen                               | - | - | - | + |
| Stomach                              | - | - | - | - |
| Trachea                              | - | + | - | - |
| Tracheobronchial lymph node           | - | + | - | - |
| Urinary bladder                      | + | + | + | + |

Results by RT-PCR or virus isolation. Tissues not listed had negative results.
Syncitia were found commonly in bronchioles, and less frequently in alveolar ducts and alveoli, of SARS-CoV-infected macaques. Syncitia were also prominent in the alveoli of SARS patients.\(^1\) Based on expression of CD68 and pan-keratin, the syncitia were of histiocyte origin in macaques (this study), and of histiocytic or epithelial origin in SARS patients.\(^2\) The formation of syncitia in these macaques may have been induced by SARS-CoV infection, because some syncitia were positive for SARS-CoV by immunohistochemistry, and the spike protein of coronavirus induces cell to cell fusion.\(^3\) Pneumocytes showing cytomegaly, enlarged nuclei, and prominent nucleoli were common both in SARS-CoV-infected macaques (this study) and in SARS patients.\(^4\) Such enlargement and cytologic atypia of hyperplastic type 2 pneumocytes occurs commonly in organising diffuse alveolar damage, and is non-specific.\(^5\)

The development of a specific immunohistochemical test to identify SARS-CoV antigen in histological samples allowed us to assess the cell tropism of SARS-CoV infection in macaques. Expression of SARS-CoV in the lung was restricted to pneumonic areas and localised to the cytoplasm of type 2 pneumocytes and syncytia. The infection of type 2 pneumocytes by coronavirus was confirmed by transmission electron microscopy. These findings correspond to the detection of coronavirus-like particles in pneumocytes of a postmortem lung sample of a SARS patient,\(^6\) and with the tropism of respiratory coronaviruses in pigs and rats for respiratory epithelium, and occasionally alveolar macrophages.\(^7\),\(^8\)

On the basis of histological changes, SARS-CoV infection in the macaques primarily affected the epithelium of alveoli and bronchioles. At the time of euthanasia, 6 days after infection, most pneumonic areas showed early to advanced type 2 pneumocyte hyperplasia, indicating repair of alveolar walls after loss of type 1 pneumocytes. The temporal sequence of the histological changes corresponds with that of experimental infection with porcine respiratory coronavirus in pigs, in which acute changes (loss of epithelium, presence of macrophages and fibrin in airway lumina) were seen at days 2–6 after infection, and more advanced changes (type 2 pneumocyte hyperplasia, interstitial mononuclear cell infiltration) were seen from days 7–11 after infection.\(^9\) Because diffuse alveolar damage from different causes follows a common pathway,\(^10\),\(^11\) more chronic changes in these macaques probably would have included organisation of the intra-alveolar exudate, resulting in alveolar fibrosis and bronchiolitis obliterans, as seen in SARS patients who died later in the course of disease.\(^11\) The development of fibrosis is dependent on the deposition of fibrin in the alveoli rather than on the continued presence of virus infection. Onset of fibrosis is a critical feature of chronic diffuse alveolar damage, because it leads to loss of alveolar function and is irreversible.\(^12\)

In respiratory coronavirus infections in pigs and rats, viral infection of respiratory epithelium is maximum at days 3–4 after infection, and is no longer measurable by days 6–9.\(^13\),\(^14\) The rapid disappearance of infected cells after initial infection might explain why SARS-CoV was not found in type 1 pneumocytes, and was only occasionally found in type 2 pneumocytes in these macaques. It also might decrease the chance of detecting SARS-CoV by immunohistochemistry in postmortem lung tissue of SARS patients who die after a protracted course of disease.

The lymphoid depletion of splenic follicles in experimentally infected macaques corresponds to that seen in a SARS patient\(^1\) and in pigs infection with porcine respiratory coronavirus.\(^2\) Based on these findings, together with the leucopenia observed in SARS patients,\(^3\),\(^4\) we speculate that SARS-CoV infection suppresses immunity and may predispose infected hosts to secondary infections, such as in measles virus infection.\(^5\)

Virological examination of clinical and postmortem samples of experimentally infected macaques indicates that the respiratory tract was the most important source of virus, as is probably the case in human beings.\(^6\) Unlike in SARS patients,\(^7\) SARS-CoV was not detected in urine or faeces of these macaques, although faeces did test positive in a previous experiment.\(^8\) This finding may be partly explained by the early cut-off point of the experiment (6 days after infection), because SARS-CoV RNA was detected in the faeces of SARS patients in the late convalescent phase.\(^9\) The sporadic detection by RT-PCR of SARS-CoV in the urinary bladder, stomach, duodenum, cerebrum, and spleen in infected macaques in the absence of evidence of viral replication—based on virus culture or immunohistochemistry—suggests overspill from other tissues, for example via blood. The isolation of SARS-CoV from the kidney of one macaque suggests viral replication at that site, but this theory could not be confirmed by immunohistochemistry.

The RT-PCR based on nucleoprotein primers proved to be about 100-fold more sensitive than the existing RT-PCR, based on polymerase primers. Presumably, this difference is due to the gradient in the transcription of coronavirus RNA, with high concentrations of nucleoprotein RNA and low concentrations of polymerase RNA.\(^10\)

Collectively, these results of laboratory studies of SARS patients and experimental infections of macaques prove that the newly discovered SARS-CoV is the primary causal agent of SARS. Based on histopathological and immunohistochemical analysis of postmortem tissues of these macaques, SARS-CoV infection primarily affects epithelium of the lower respiratory tract, with potentially severe consequences for respiratory function.
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