The application of production planning approach on a large number of residential building construction project post natural disaster
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Abstract. After a large-scale natural disaster struck an urban area a large number of residential buildings construction is often needed. Such residential buildings are often built with more or less similar size and model therefore the construction is repetitive. The project management approach is normally employed in managing a large-scale residential building project. This study argues that due to its repetitive nature the construction of a large number of similar houses can also be viewed as a production system in addition to a project view. This study investigates the utilization of the production planning approach with the aid of a discrete event simulation method in managing the construction of a large number of residential buildings. This study simulates the construction of 500 similar houses. The study results show that the production management approach has the potential in managing a large-scale residential building project in addition to the project management approach.

1 Introduction

An earthquake with 7.4 magnitudes along with tsunami and liquefaction hit Palu City and its surrounding areas on 28 September 2018. The triple large-scale natural disaster caused the loss of thousands of lives and houses. After the disaster, thousands of houses needed to be reconstructed in many locations in Palu and its surrounding areas. The model and size of the houses in one location are often more or less similar, therefore the construction process is repetitive and can be compared with the conveyor belt system in manufacturing [1-6]. This study analyses the completion time of large-scale house construction when it is viewed as a manufacturing process.

2 Literature Review

There are two methods frequently used in planning namely activity-based planning and workflow planning [2, 7].
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2.1 Activity-based planning method

Construction planning in conventional construction project mainly focuses on the project’s activities. This planning approach is called activity-based planning or project planning [7]. The critical path method is an example of an activity-based planning method [8].

In the activity-based planning method, the duration of each activity is a function of the work quantity and the production rate of the employed resources [9] as shown in Equation 1.

\[
\text{Activity duration} = \frac{\text{work quantity}}{\text{production rate}}
\]

The activity-based planning method implies that the project completion time is solely determined by the summation of all the critical activity durations. Hence, the project completion time is only changed when its critical activity durations are changed [10].

2.2 Workflow-based planning method

The workflow-based planning method is the basis of production system planning which is commonly used in the manufacturing industry. The workflow-based planning method is also called production planning [11-13]. In the workflow-based planning method, the cycle time is a function of the work in process and the throughput as shown in Equation 2 which is also known as Little’s Law [14].

\[
\text{Cycle time} = \frac{\text{Work in process}}{\text{Throughput}}
\]

The cycle time is the average time needed to finish one product, the work in process is the average number of products in the production system, and the throughput is the average output of the production system per time unit [14].

To analyze the correlation between the throughput, the work in process, and the cycle time in the construction of a large number of houses, this study uses the SIMUL8 application which is based on the discrete event simulation method. The discrete event simulation method is a simulation method that is commonly used in quantitative analysis of a construction process [15-17].

3 Definitions and assumptions

3.1 Definitions

In this study project duration is the completion time of 500 houses; the cycle time is the completion time of a single house; the throughput is the number of houses that can be completed per day; the work in process is the number of houses under construction; the inter-arrival time is the time between the commencement of each house.

3.1 Assumptions

In this study, it is assumed that all the houses have similar size and model; there is only one group of workers available for each activity; no parallel activities; and an activity duration is deterministic.
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3 Model and simulation

Typical activities in single-story house construction with their identifications and durations are shown in Table 1.

| No. | Activity              | ID | Duration (day) |
|-----|-----------------------|----|----------------|
| 1   | Foundation            | A  | 10             |
| 2   | Wall                  | B  | 14             |
| 3   | Roof and fascia       | C  | 7              |
| 4   | Ceiling frame         | D  | 3              |
| 5   | Electrical installation| E  | 3              |
| 6   | Ceiling fix           | F  | 3              |
| 7   | Plumbing              | G  | 6              |
| 8   | Floor tile            | H  | 5              |
| 9   | Bathroom and toilet   | I  | 5              |
| 10  | Doors and windows     | J  | 7              |
| 11  | Painting and finishing| K  | 5              |

Since this study assumes that there are no parallel activities, hence the construction starts with the foundation activity then followed by the wall, and so on as depicted in Figure 1.

By using the critical path method, it is determined that the completion time for a single house is 68 days.
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**Figure 1** Single story house construction sequence.

The single-story house construction sequence in Figure 1 is then simulated in SIMUL8 utilizing different inter-arrival times as depicted in Figure 2.

![Figure 2](image2.png)

**Figure 2** The simulation of single-story house construction in SIMUL8.
4 Results and discussion

The simulation result with various inter-arrival times ranging from twenty to ten days is shown in Table 2.

| Inter-arrival time (day) | Cycle time (day) | Standard deviation (day) | Throughput (house/day) | Work in process (house) |
|-------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| 20                      | 68               | 0.00                     | 0.050                  | 3.38                   |
| 19                      | 68               | 0.00                     | 0.052                  | 3.55                   |
| 18                      | 68               | 0.00                     | 0.055                  | 3.75                   |
| 17                      | 68               | 0.00                     | 0.058                  | 3.97                   |
| 16                      | 68               | 0.00                     | 0.062                  | 4.21                   |
| 15                      | 68               | 0.00                     | 0.066                  | 4.49                   |
| 14                      | 68               | 0.00                     | 0.071                  | 4.81                   |
| 13                      | 317.5            | 144                      | 0.071                  | 22.46                  |
| 12                      | 567              | 289                      | 0.071                  | 40.11                  |
| 11                      | 816.5            | 433                      | 0.071                  | 57.75                  |
| 10                      | 1066             | 578                      | 0.071                  | 75.39                  |

The correlation between the work in process, the cycle time, and the throughput is shown in Figure 3.
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**Figure 3** The correlation between work in process, cycle time, and throughput.

The simulation result in Table 2 shows that there is a negative correlation between the inter-arrival time and the work in process, that is the decrease in the inter-arrival time will increase the work in process.
The simulation results that are shown in Table 2 and Figure 3 can be construed as follows.

- The maximum production capacity of the project is equal to its maximum throughput that is 0.071 house per day on average.
- The cycle time stays constant at 68 days despite the increase in the work in process due to the decrease in the inter-arrival time. This condition stays the same until the maximum production capacity of the project or its maximum throughput is reached.
- The maximum throughput is reached when the work in process number is equal to 4.81 or when the inter-arrival time is equal to 14. Less than 14 inter-arrival time will not increase the throughput but will increase the cycle time.
- The optimum production system is achieved when the throughput is maximum and the cycle time is minimum, which is achieved by keeping the work in process at 4.81 or setting the inter-arrival time to 14 days.

5 Conclusions

This study demonstrates that workflow-based planning and simulation methods can effectively explain the correlation between throughput, work in process, and cycle time in a large number of house construction projects which is often the case after an earthquake, which cannot be explained by the activity-based planning method. Therefore, the utilization of the workflow-based planning method in a construction project with repetitive activities can complement the activity-based planning method which is commonly used in a construction project.
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