Interconnected marine habitats form a single continental-scale reef system in South America
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Large gaps in reef distribution may hinder the dispersal of marine organisms, interrupting processes vital to the maintenance of biodiversity. Here we show the presence and location of extensive reef habitats on the continental shelf between the Amazon Reef System (ARS) and the Eastern Brazilian Reef System (ERS), two reef complexes off eastern South America. Formations located 20–50 m deep include both biogenic and geogenic structures. The presence of diverse reef assemblages suggests the widespread occurrence of rocky substrates below 50 m. These habitats represent an expansion of both the ARS and ERS and the closure of the only remaining large-scale gap (~1000 km) among West Atlantic reef environments. This indicates that the SW Atlantic harbors a single, yet heterogeneous, reef system that stretches for about 4000 km, and thus, represents one of the largest semi-continuous tropical marine ecosystems in the world.

Large clusters of interconnected reefs extending for hundreds to thousands of square kilometers—referred to as reef systems1—are an important feature of tropical coasts. Along the South Atlantic Ocean two main reef systems have been recognized to date: the Eastern Brazilian Reef System (ERS), which comprises shallow-water (<30 m depth) and mesophotic (30–150 m) reefs along Brazil’s eastern continental shelf2–4; and the Amazon Reef System (ARS), encompassing mesophotic and rariophotic (30–220 m depth) ecosystems located on the Brazilian Equatorial Margin5–10 (Fig. 1). In both cases, reefs are associated with other habitats, such as rhodolith beds, sponge bottoms and seagrass meadows, and the reef systems are more properly a mosaic of interconnected benthic habitats8,10,11. Furthermore, the ARS may provide a bridge between the SW Atlantic and Caribbean, serving as an ecological corridor allowing the exchange of reef species between biogeographic provinces7,12–14.
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Between the ARS and ERS (from coordinates 5° S 36° W to 2° S 44° W) is the Brazilian semi-arid coast (SAC)\(^1\). This area extends for about 1000 km in the SE-NW direction, and has distinct environmental conditions, such as a large interannual variability in rainfall, a predominance of small rivers, and a strong influence of wind on coastal landscapes\(^1\). Historically, the continental shelf along the SAC is considered poor in terms of biogenic reef occurrence\(^1\)–\(^3\). The shallower sector of this continental shelf is subject to intense wind-driven longshore sediment drifts\(^1\), and large biogenic reefs are absent\(^4\). In nearshore areas, only fossil biogenic formations or small coral assemblages on intertidal rock outcrops have been reported, which are usually referred to as sandstone reefs\(^2\). However, the warm, clear, and oligotrophic waters make the deeper sectors of the SAC continental shelf suitable for the growth of calcifying organisms, and these areas do have a significant modern CaCO\(_3\) production\(^5\)–\(^7\). Accordingly, the occurrence of biodiverse “coral banks” have been reported for these deeper sectors at least since the 1970s\(^1\), and some maps from that decade even mention deep-water “algal reefs”\(^8\). However, these reports were rather uncertain and mentioned structures were hardly ever described as reefs by subsequent authors, who mostly reported unconsolidated carbonate substrates such as rhodolith beds or carbonate sand and gravel\(^9\)–\(^12\). Therefore the SAC is considered to be depauperate in the most recent reviews on Brazilian reefs\(^1\)–\(^3\),\(^15\)–\(^18\),\(^27\),\(^28\).

Nevertheless, in the past decade a growing number of studies have reported the occurrence of hard substrates in this region, located from the intertidal zone to tens of meters below the surface\(^13\)–\(^14\). Biological and geological characterizations of these substrates are only beginning, but many sustain a diverse reef-associated biota (i.e., reef fishes and epilithic benthos), which play an important role in the economy and ecology of the region\(^12\),\(^13\)–\(^15\). Furthermore, there are similarities between the SAC hard substrates and the biogenic reefs from the ARS and ERS\(^1\),\(^2\),\(^3\),\(^23\),\(^24\).

To date most of the studies along the SAC have been limited and it is not possible to delineate the extent and distribution of these hard-bottom environments, or to put them in a context among other Brazilian reef systems. A better understanding of the distribution of reefal habitats along the SAC is required to improve the uncertainty regarding connectivity between these tropical reef systems. Large gaps between suitable habitats could, for example, hinder the dispersal of marine organisms\(^16\),\(^17\). In this context, the North Brazil Current (NBC), which flows northwestward off shelf, has surface velocities around 60–100 cm s\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 1). But wind-driven shelf currents are slower, with an average velocity of about 20 cm s\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 1). At this speed, pelagic larvae would take around 60 days to cross the entire SAC, from the northern ERS tip to eastern ARS tip, which is longer than the planktonic larval duration of many reef species\(^18\),\(^19\). Accordingly, there seems to be a low demographic connectivity among populations of certain reef species along the equatorial SW Atlantic\(^2\). So rocky substrates along the SAC region may
act as stepping-stones, aiding biological flux between ERS and ARS. As such, an assessment of the types and locations of reef habitats along this area could help to clarify such a role.

Here we assess the occurrence and distribution of shallow-water and mesophotic hard-bottom habitats along the SAC continental shelf, by integrating new data with published and unpublished records. This integration of data provides evidence that ARS and ERS are spatially connected by numerous hard-bottom habitats distributed along the continental margin, and thus form a single extensive reef ecosystem extending from the southern Caribbean to the tropical southwestern Atlantic Ocean.

Results
Occurrence and distribution of Hard-bottom environments. There are records of hard substrates along most of the SAC continental margin. Eleven studies have reported a total of 192 sites of geo-referenced hard-bottom habitats, particularly between 20 and 50 m depth, including at least 59 named formations (Supplementary Table S1). Although the geological composition of these hard substrates was not determined in most of these studies, three articles reported CaCO$_3$-cemented sandstones, most likely submerged beachrock, and one described a limestone covered by a well-developed coral assemblage (the Açu reefs), thus confirming the occurrence of biogenic reefs along the SAC (Supplementary Table S1). It is important to note that only primary studies are being reported here, and the nature of both the sandstone and limestone formations have been supported by subsequent studies (32,36,38,46–49). Another two studies (32,36) mentioned large coral assemblages on unspecified substrates, but only one (32) quantified the benthic cover, reporting a dominance by seaweeds and sponges, with live corals and calcareous algae covering together 9.2% of the substrate. In the present study, many of these rocky structures could be identified and mapped by Landsat images and bathymetry. This mapping shows a semi-continuous ridge of consolidated substrates parallel to the coast extending along the entire SAC middle continental shelf (Fig. 2).

In addition to these confirmed hard-bottom substrates, there are abundant records of epilithic communities along the SAC continental shelf (Supplementary Table S1). The literature and collection surveys resulted in 193 geo-referenced records of benthic assemblages: 99 records of sponge bottoms, with up to 47 species (mean 5.6 ± 7.0); 17 records of coral assemblages (Hexacorallia and Octocorallia), with up to 19 species (mean 3.4 ± 5.0); and 77 records of macroalgae, with up to 8 species (mean 1.7 ± 1.2). Many of these biotic communities co-occur with known rocky substrates, but some diverse assemblages were also found far from currently known hard substrates (Fig. 2), particularly along the continental shelf break where depth and distance from the coast prevent confirmation by satellite images or conventional scuba diving.

Despite the lack of detailed scientific descriptions, the outer SAC continental shelf is intensely fished for reef-fish species (Fig. 2). Three articles report at least 129 geo-referenced fishing grounds used primarily to the capture of reef species such as snappers (Lutjanidae) (Supplementary Table S1). Additionally, during the fishing campaigns monitored in the present study (Supplementary Table S2), 68.1% ± 12.9% of the biomass
and 51.3% ± 6.8% of the species richness was composed of primarily reef-associated fish. Snappers (*Lutjanus*), black groupers (*Myceteroperca bonaci*), nurse sharks (*Ginglymostoma cirratum*) and stingrays (*Hypanus*) were present in more than 90% of the fishing grounds and accounted for 60.8% of the total captured biomass. Their abundance, along with the diverse benthic assemblages, is a strong indication of the occurrence of consolidated reefal substrates along the SAC outer continental shelf.

**Ground-truthing.** Our ground-truthing of the reported rocky substrates using Scuba diving indicates that these habitats usually rise a few centimeters to a few meters above the surrounding sandy substrate, forming plateaus with positive relief (Fig. 2 and map in Fig. 3). All visited reefal formations sustain a diverse benthic community, usually with a cover of calcifying organisms, such as reef-building scleractinian corals (mostly *Montastraea cavernosa* and *Siderastrea spp.*) (Fig. 3a,d) and calcareous algae (Fig. 3f). Reef fish assemblages were also present. Habitats located at greater depths, such as the Manoel Salvador (Fig. 3a) and the Urucuia Channel (Fig. 3d), have significant live coral cover. Whereas shallower formations sustain a noticeable layer of calcareous algae (note, for example, the purplish color of the substrate in Fig. 3b,c). These observations indicate a considerable biological contribution to these structures.

Ground-truthing of four areas along the outer continental shelf by ROV also revealed complex habitats (Supplementary Video S1). Apparently, there are rocky ledges that do not rise distinctively above the surrounding sea floor, and often appeared covered by a sediment layer (Fig. 4). Due to this sand cover, the consolidated nature of these habitats is better seen in video than in still images. Scattered rocks, epilithic sponges (e.g., *Xestospongia cf. mutia*, *Aplysina fulva*, *Aplysina lacunosa*, and *Ircinia strobilina*) and soft- and hard-bottom dwelling octocorals (such as *Neopongodes atlantica*) also appeared in these areas (Fig. 4). They also sustained typically reef-associated fish, including *Acanthurus* sp. (Acanthuridae), *Bodianus* sp. and *Halichoreos* sp. (Labridae), *Holocentrus adscensionis* (Holocentridae), *Lutjanus jocu* (*Lutjanidae*), *Mycteroperca* sp. and *Epinephelus* sp. (Epinephelidae) (Fig. 4a–d). Due to their depths, the nature of these outer shelf habitats cannot be confirmed in the present study, therefore they are referred to as putative rocky substrates hereafter. Despite this uncertainty, confirmed and putative hard-bottom habitats occur relatively close to each other, forming a single reefal seascapes that extends about 34,000 km², and which is clearly aligned with ARS (to the west), possibly providing a bridge to ERS (to the east) along the equatorial margin of the SW Atlantic Ocean (Fig. 2).

**Relationships among south Atlantic reef systems.** The role of SAC formations as stepping-stones between ARS and ERS is supported by biogeographic patterns. The ordination analyses of two large datasets of marine species suggests a direct relationship between the ecological dissimilarities (in terms of species composition) and the geographic distances of ARS, SAC, ERS and other South American biogeographic regions (Fig. 5). Moreover, the superimposition of a minimum spanning tree on the ordination analyses provides an indication that biogeographic links between ARS and ERS consistently pass-through SAC (Fig. 5). This same connection pattern is observed both for the entire dataset, and for the majority (64%) of individual high-rank taxonomic groups in each dataset (Supplementary Figs. S1 and S2).

These connections among ARS, SAC and ERS indicate that these reef systems can be regarded as a single entity across the South Atlantic Ocean, at least at the broader scales of analysis. However, each reef system (or subsystem) has particularities that should not be disregarded in ecological studies (Table 1). Overall, mesophotic shelf-edge reefs seem to occur along most of the Brazilian continental margin, but as formations become shallower, their number, variety and biodiversity increase from north to south.

**Discussion**

The available data confirm that reefal seascapes associated with hard-bottoms are extensive and semi-continuous along 34,000 km² of the middle-to-out outer continental shelf of the SAC. This discovery of a spatial connection between ARS and ERS implies that this should be regarded as a single and extensive reef system, stretching for about 4000 km along the east coast of South America.

The mapping and physical characterization of this reef system should be improved in future studies. Due to the limited penetration of light into water, analyses based on satellite data are normally restricted to underwater features located no more than 40 m deep. Therefore, new reef areas along the SAC must be surveyed and validated, especially close to the shelf break. In addition, the shallower (< 10 m) and western sectors of the SAC continental shelf are generally more turbid and therefore more difficult to map with remote sensing techniques. The use of high spectral, spatial, and temporal resolution data, in addition to statistical methods and direct underwater assessments (via technical diving, ROVs and BRUVs) are essential to refine our initial estimates of the reef distribution.

The geological composition of SAC hard-bottom formations is uncertain, but, as we have shown here, include sandstones cemented by calcium carbonate (i.e., beachrocks) and limestones with well-developed coral communities (i.e., biogenic reefs). The only study that quantified the benthic cover on one of these formations, a rocky plateau at 23 m depth, reported that live corals and calcareous algae covered 9.2% (4.6% each) of the substrate. This number is within the range commonly observed in Brazilian biogenic reefs. However, maybe except for the mostly organic Açu reefs, it is generally not known how much these bioconstructors contribute to the framework of the SAC habitats. Therefore, ecosystems that develop on these hard substrates seem to range from deep and low-lying marginal biogenic reefs (to non-framework building communities, including coral grounds and carpets, which can be regarded as an integral part of the reef system along the SAC. At the same time, the prevalence of biogenic versus geogenic formations should be reassessed throughout the entire South American reef system.
Figure 3. Reefal environments on the continental shelf off the Brazilian semi-arid coast. (a) “Manoel Salvador” reef (~ 30 m deep) covered by *Montastraea cavernosa*, (b) “Pedrinha” (~ 17 m) with sponges, ascidians, and calcareous algae, (c) reef fish (*Holacanthus ciliaris*) on the “Cabeço Seco” (~ 13 m) (d) “Canal do Uruaú” mesophotic reef (~ 34 m) with large *Siderastrea* spp. coral colonies, (e) “Pedra Grande da Majorlandia” (~ 16 m) covered by fleshy algae, and (f) “Pedra Preta” (~ 13 m) covered by fleshy and calcareous algae. Map made using QGIS 3.22 (https://qgis.org/). Bathymetric data retrieved from CPRM (https://www.cprm.gov.br/) and country area from GADM (https://gadm.org/).
Outside the alignment of rocky-bottom habitats between 20 and 40 m deep, numerous localities along the SAC continental shelf support diverse epilithic biotas. For example, trawls made at mesophotic depths revealed a high species richness and biomass of reef benthic organisms, with biodiversity apparently increasing with depth\(^6\). The dominant taxon in these surveys was Porifera, which accounted for 90% of the total wet weight, especially due to the species *Agelas dispar*, *Ircinia strobilina*, *Leucascus* sp. and *Monanchora arbuscula*. Ascidians were the second most abundant group, with the species *Stomozoa gigantea* alone representing 5% of the total wet weight, followed by Cnidaria (3% of the biomass) and Bryozoa (2% of the biomass)\(^6\). Previous studies have shown that such assemblages are associated with the occurrence of geoforms, including reefs and associated habitats\(^6\). Additionally, similar biotic communities were found in the deeper zones of the eastern Brazilian continental shelf (along the ERS) and are also linked to the occurrence of mesophotic reef habitats\(^6\). Therefore, the presence of these assemblages is a strong indication of the occurrence of yet unmapped hard-bottom environments along the SAC, especially in the outer continental shelf.

Habitats deeper than 40 m explored using ROV data show a relatively flat seascape and hard substrates can only be inferred from the presence of epilithic biotas. Such flat seascapes are not typical of reef environments,

---

**Figure 4.** Putative rocky substrates on the outer continental shelf off the Brazilian semi-arid coast. Massive sponges and reef-associated fishes (families Acanthuridae, Lutjanidae, and Epinephelidae) observed by Remotely Operated Underwater Vehicle (ROV) suggesting the occurrence of widespread hard substrates at mesophotic depths. (a) Massive sponges (*Agelas dispar*) and reef fishes (*Lutjanus jocu*, *Mycteroperca* sp., *Epinephelus* sp. and *Acanthurus* sp.) at 41 m deep, (b) benthic assemblage dominated by octocorals (*Neospongodes atlantica*) apparently on a rocky substrate at 61 m, (c) a massive sponge (*A. dispar*), a columnar sponge (*Aplysina* sp.), and a school of *Acanthusus* sp. on a low relief rocky substrate covered by a sand veneer at 36 m, (d) Oval sponge (*Ircinia strobilina*) on a small rocky outcrop surrounded by soft sediments at 41 m. Map made using QGIS 3.22 (https://qgis.org/). Bathymetric data retrieved from CPRM (https://www.cprm.gov.br/) and country area from GADM (https://gadm.org/).
Figure 5. Ordination analyses (Sammon mapping) of South Atlantic reef systems and biogeographic regions based on the Sørensen dissimilarities among their marine biotas. (a) Analysis on a dataset with 2412 reef species, published by Ref.51, (b) analysis on a dataset with 8375 marine species, published by Ref.50. A minimum spanning tree was superimposed to the ordination graph in order to highlight putative connection pathways among regions52,53. Note that SAC is between ARS and ERS in both cases. ARS Amazon reef system, SAC Brazilian semi-arid coast reef system, ERS Eastern Brazilian reef system.

Table 1. Summary description of the main sectors comprising the South American Reef System. a The Manuel Luís Reefs are exceptions. These reefs are located at the border of the Amazon and the Semi-Arid Reef Systems but are generally considered to belong to the former. They are coral-rich formations, which rise steeply to the surface and reach shallow depths54.
which, by definition, have three-dimensional profiles. Nevertheless, ROV data is localized, and sandy and rocky flats are a known feature of reefs along the SAC2,8,46. In fact many formations along the ARS5,9,8 and ERS48 are flat and largely covered by marine sediment. Consequently, these relatively flat habitats seem to be another important component of the South American reef system, particularly at mesophotic depths10,31,64. Due to their apparent ubiquity across ARS, SAC, and ERS, these mesophotic environments may form an extensive ecological corridor along most of the SW Atlantic coast.

Therefore, at a broad spatial scale, the SAC continental shelf harbors an extensive network of different types of consolidated substrates, which form a complex and heterogeneous reef system. Furthermore, much like the ARS and ERS, this reef system forms a seascape with other habitats, such as rhodolith beds, seagrass and seaweed meadows, marine animal forests, and unconsolidated sediment deposits23,65. Data on the structure and functioning of these ecosystems is scarce, but the few available comparisons, both from within and outside the SAC, indicate important differences in terms of species composition and ecological dynamics11,66. The recognition of these particularities is essential for the adequate management and conservation of these habitats. In parallel, the SAC reef system and neighboring habitats are all equally under the energetic oceanographic conditions across the equatorial Brazilian shelf26,33,67. As such, some of these environments may even be subject to seasonal cycles of burial and exposure, due to the transport of unconsolidated sediments and possible migration of subaqueous sand ripples and dunes68,69. This may explain why some of the hard-bottom habitats are dominated by few stress-tolerant and weedy reef-building corals adapted to sedimentation and moderate turbidity, such as M. cavernosa and Siderastrea spp.2,37,32,36,69.

Due to their large spatial and depth distribution, the hard-bottom formations along the SAC connect ARS and ERS. Together, these reef systems may also link the Caribbean and Brazilian biogeographic provinces. Hence, recognizing the presence and extension of the SAC hard bottoms implies closing the only remaining broad scale gap among Western Atlantic reef habitats. This physical connectivity is mediated by the shelf currents and the NBC, both of which flow primarily from east to west (Fig. 1). However, the NBC also curves back to the east (reflects) between July and December around 6–8° N (as shown in Fig. 1) shedding eddies and rings that may flow eastward, possibly helping to interconnect the reef environments30,71. In this scenario, the SAC hard substrates effectively constitute stepping-stones between ARS and ERS, providing adequate substrates for at least some species, aiding larval dispersion, ontogenetic migration, and small-scale movements of adult animals, which are key processes connecting Western Atlantic reef systems45,72,73. It is noteworthy that both ARS and SAC reefs are typically composed of subsets of the Tropical Atlantic biota, as their environmental conditions seem to be challenging for many coral reef species confined to either the ERS or Caribbean. Nevertheless, the spatial connection provided by the SAC may help to explain the biogeographical affinities observed in previous studies among SW Atlantic reef species, including sponges34, corals75, anemones8, gastropods77, and reef fish78. Furthermore, a multi-taxa study with reef organisms reports a relatively low beta diversity and high nestedness among Brazilian biogeographical provinces, suggesting considerable interconnectivity along the South American reef system41.

The connectivity between ARS and ERS provided by the SAC may affect the resilience of the SW Atlantic reefs against environmental and anthropogenic stressors75. Such human pressures are threatening the biodiversity of both shallow and mesophotic habitats and, thus, urgently require conservation and mitigation actions75. To be successful, such actions need to consider the biogeography and dynamics of metapopulations among SW Atlantic reefs, especially given the wide spatial distribution of such habitats75,79. Further study is urgently required to characterize the ecological dynamics of these tropical reef habitats at multiple spatial and temporal scales in order to sustainably maintain the numerous ecosystem services provided.

Methods

Data on the occurrence of submerged hard-bottom substrates in this equatorial area was compiled from published and unpublished literature. Three types of reef-related data were compiled: (a) location of known hard-bottom habitats—i.e. rocky substrate topologically distinct from the surrounding sediment deposits, (b) occurrence of sponge, scleractinian or octocoral assemblages typical of hard-bottom habitats recorded by trawling or other indirect methods, and (c) coordinates of fishing grounds used to catch reef fishes, whose bottoms had not been characterized, but which may include reefs, marine animal forests, and rhodolith beds. The literature survey included publications in English and Portuguese, but only primary data sources were considered (i.e., only studies mentioning the coordinates of a particular location for the first time were included, and subsequent papers were disregarded). A relevant proportion of the data was only available through gray literature, so we focused on institutional repositories of Brazilian universities (Universidade Federal do Ceará, Universidade Estadual do Ceará, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Norte, and Universidade Federal de Pernambuco), governmental agencies (Portal de Periódicos da Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior), and all-purpose search engines (Google Scholar, Scielo, Science Direct, and Web of Science). Search terms included combinations of “reef”, “beachrock”, “continental shelf”, “Northeast Brazil”, “fisheries”, “fishing grounds”, “corals”, “octocorals”, and “sponges”, with their respective Portuguese translations (a list of the data sources is available as Supplementary Table S1).

This literature survey was complemented by new information produced during this study. Surveys of scientific collections’ databases (Universidade Federal do Ceará, Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, Museu Nacional do Rio de Janeiro/Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, and Smithsonian National Museum of Natural History) were performed to assess the occurrence of sponges and corals (octocorals and scleractinians) along the SAC continental shelf, particularly near the shelf break (Supplementary Table S1). The location of fishing grounds was supplemented by data from a commercial fishing operation involving 35 fishing campaigns using bottom longlines along the SAC outer shelf (Supplementary Table S2). These commercial data were used to assess the occurrence and abundance of reef fish species (identified as such following78) closer to the shelf break. The fishing
campaigns targeted exclusively mesopredators and predators, particularly of the Lutjanidae and Epinephelidae families, and elasmobranchs. Therefore, these data do not represent the entire fish diversity on the SAC outer continental shelf. Nevertheless, the eventual occurrence of typical reef-fish assemblages should reflect the distribution of hard-bottom environments, thus meeting the objectives of the present study.

Based on these published and unpublished data, submerged rocky substrates were mapped using satellite imagery and bathymetric data. Landsat 8 (OLI sensor) and Landsat 5 (TM sensor) digital images were processed (morphological convolution filter, directional filters, mask, and color and band rendering) through bands 1 and 2 (0.45–0.52 μm), and RGB compositions (4–3–2 and 4–2–1) were produced to highlight the occurrence and distribution of hard substrates. Bathymetric contours were created to corroborate and complement satellite information, using bathymetric data from the Brazilian Navy (DHN), retrieved from board pages (no. 500, 600, and 700) and nautical charts (no. 21,700, 21,800, and 21,900). Owing to the clear oceanographic conditions along most of the tropical Brazilian coast, satellite data can be used effectively to map submerged reefs and other hard-bottom habitats. However, due to limited light penetration in water, such analyses are normally restricted to underwater features located shallower than 40 m deep. Additionally, the shallower (<10 m) and western sectors of the SAC continental shelf had higher sediment inputs and resuspension, and thus were more difficult to map with satellite images.

Ground-truthing of compiled data was performed for selected reef formations by Scuba diving and Remotely Operated Underwater Vehicle (ROV) assessments (Supplementary Video S1). In both cases, punctual surveys were performed over the selected formations and underwater images were taken to describe the reef habitats. Due to the large extension of the SAC continental shelf, ground-truthing surveys focused on a representative set of habitats. Due to the rough sea conditions in this area (e.g., intense wind speed and seasonal swell waves), this selection was based primarily on reef accessibility (depth and distance from the coast). Data on the location and nature of hard-bottom habitats, as well as species occurrence records, were integrated into a Geographic Information System (GIS) to evaluate the distribution of the reef habitats along the SAC continental shelf and estimate their positions and distances relative to ARS and ERS.

To investigate possible interconnections among ARS, SAC and ERS we re-analyzed two recently published datasets on the distribution of marine species along the tropical SW Atlantic coast. The first dataset contained literature data on 2412 typically reef species from eight coastal sectors along the Brazilian coast. The second dataset included records taken from the Ocean Biodiversity Information System (OBIS) for 8375 species (reefal and non-reefal) distributed across six marine ecoregions encompassing the Brazilian, Guianan, and Caribbean coasts. Both datasets were originally used to identify and describe connections among marine biogeographical regions along their studied coastlines, mainly through cluster analysis and the construction of dendrograms. In the present study, to highlight the interconnections among reef systems, we opted for an ordination analysis. A Sammon mapping, which is similar to a Multidimensional Scaling putting emphasis on the neighborhood of points, was used to visualize the data. Additionally, we have superimposed a minimum spanning tree, constructed with Prim’s algorithm, on the Sammon mapping to aid the visualization of connections. Variations of minimum spanning trees have been used in biogeography to investigate putative historical pathways of connection among marine areas. Considering the mostly unidirectional flow of oceanic and shelf currents along ERS, SAC and ARS the minimum spanning tree should also be able to reflect the interconnection among reef systems in a parsimonious way. The ordination analyses and the algorithm for the minimum spanning trees were executed in R 4.1.2, with packages vegan and MASS.

Data availability
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