Normal foetal kidney volume in offspring of women treated for gestational diabetes
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Abstract
Aims: The worldwide prevalence of gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) is increasing. Studies in rodent models indicate that hyperglycaemia during pregnancy alters kidney development, yet few studies have examined if this is so in humans. The objective of this study was to evaluate the association of treated GDM with foetal kidney size.

Materials and Methods: Participants were recruited from an Australian tertiary hospital, and clinical data were collected from women without GDM and women diagnosed and treated for GDM and their offspring. Participants underwent an obstetric ultrasound at 32-34 weeks gestation for foetal biometry and foetal kidney volume measurement.

Results: Sixty-four non-GDM and 64 GDM women participated in the study. Thirty percent of GDM women were diagnosed with fasting hyperglycaemia, while 89%
1 | INTRODUCTION

The prevalence of gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM), defined as any degree of glucose intolerance with onset or first recognition during pregnancy, is increasing and affects up to 20% of pregnancies. Prevalence rates vary due to local screening practice and diagnostic criteria as well as population characteristics such as obesity, maternal age, Type 2 diabetes and ethnicity. GDM can have short- and long-term implications for both maternal and infant health.

In humans, kidney development begins around week 5 of gestation with the first nephrons formed at gestational week 9. Nephrogenesis continues until approximately 34-36 weeks gestation, generating the entire complement of nephrons for life. There is evidence that low nephron number is associated with renal disease in adulthood, with a multitude of animal studies identifying that a 20%-30% reduction in nephron number is important. Precise and accurate estimation of nephron number by noninvasive methods is not currently feasible, although a direct relation between foetal weight and kidney size, birth weight and kidney function, and between kidney mass and total nephron number has been reported. As renal mass is proportional to renal volume in infants, kidney volume is currently considered a surrogate measure of nephron number. This concept is supported by findings that smaller foetal kidney size is associated with lower kidney function in school-aged children, that both low birth weight and Indigenous offspring, who are at increased risk of renal disease, present with lower kidney volumes at birth, and that lower childhood kidney volume is associated with reduced kidney function.

Evidence from animal studies indicates that maternal hyperglycaemia can be detrimental to renal development and kidney function in exposed offspring. GDM is usually detected in mid-pregnancy (typically at 24-28 weeks gestation) by routine population screening. The period between onset, and diagnosis and treatment, coincides with a period of rapid nephron formation and kidney growth. In humans, renal dysfunction and disease have been reported in adults whose mothers had Type 1 or Type 2 diabetes. Despite the high prevalence of GDM, only a handful of studies have examined kidney development or renal function in offspring of women with GDM.

Available data from reports of kidney volume in offspring of mothers with GDM are extremely limited and contradictory. While one study found normal foetal kidney volume in diabetic pregnancies (pregestational diabetes and GDM pregnancies grouped together), another found increased foetal kidney volume in pregnancies of women with GDM. A third preliminary study reported reduced renal cortical volume and increased albumin excretion in 3-year-old children whose mothers had GDM, taken to suggest a reduction in nephron endowment and glomerular impairment.

Overall, evidence for the extent to which GDM affects developing human kidneys is not robust. It is unclear what effect a period of hyperglycaemia has on foetal kidney development and growth, and subsequent renal physiology and function. While treatment of GDM to control glucose levels can reduce the risk of some adverse perinatal and infant outcomes, evidence of treatment benefits are often unsupported, and there is uncertainty regarding optimal diagnostic thresholds and optimal glycemic targets. The objective of this study was to evaluate the association of treated GDM with foetal kidney size in late pregnancy.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Study design and subject recruitment

This study was conducted between June 2013 and August 2016 at Monash Medical Centre, a large public university teaching hospital in metropolitan Melbourne, Australia, with over 4000 births annually. All procedures were approved by the Monash University Human Research Ethics Committee and the Monash Health Human Research Ethics Committee (13041B). Academic research staff attended on average one routine pregnancy clinic and one diabetic pregnancy clinic per week during the recruitment period. Four hundred and thirty-five women with a singleton pregnancy, aged 18-40 years and who spoke English were approached by academic research staff. Written informed consent was obtained from 169 women to measure foetal kidney volume at 32-34 weeks gestation by ultrasound. Consent was also sought to collect maternal information including: body weight and body mass index (BMI) at hospital booking (first antenatal appointment), age, self-reported ethnicity, parity, GDM test
results and treatment, pre-existing medical and obstetric conditions (eg pregnancy-induced hypertension, thyroid disorder), birth and neonatal outcomes (gestational age from early ultrasound estimates and date of last menstrual period, mode of birth, birth weight, birth centile, and 1 and 5 minute Apgar scores).

Of the 169 women recruited, 64 women without GDM and 64 women diagnosed with GDM participated. Overall, 24% (n = 41/169) of women enrolled in the study did not have an ultrasound at 32-34 weeks gestation, most often due to scheduling issues. A summary of participant participation and data collection is presented in Figure 1. Women with pre-existing diabetes were excluded. No women had renal anomalies or kidney disease.

Gestational diabetes mellitus was diagnosed by a routine 75 g oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) at 24-28 weeks gestation if fasting plasma glucose was ≥5.5 mmol/L and/or 2-hour plasma glucose was ≥8.0 mmol/L. One abnormal value was sufficient for diagnosis. Women with normal OGTT values were considered not to have diabetes. Women with and without GDM received routine antenatal care. In addition to routine antenatal care, women diagnosed with GDM received specialist support for glucose monitoring, diet and exercise and were made aware of the risks associated with GDM. They were provided information on home glucose monitoring to be performed on 3-4 occasions per day. Women attended frequent clinic review with a diabetes physician and were typically seen every 1-2 weeks until birth, dependent on clinical indication. Insulin therapy was commenced if women reported more than one in three home postprandial blood glucose readings per day > 7.0 mmol/L or fasting blood glucose levels > 5.5 mmol/L (GDM-Insulin n = 24, 32%).

2.2 Obstetric ultrasound

High-resolution obstetric ultrasound imaging was performed between 32 and 34 weeks gestation by qualified sonographers using a Philips iU22 xMatrix ultrasound system. As per standard Australian practices, estimated foetal weight (EFW) was calculated from measurements of bi-parietal diameter, head circumference, abdominal circumference and femur length (Hadlock IV). Umbilical artery pulsatility index, middle cerebral artery pulsatility index and amniotic fluid index were also recorded. Right and left foetal kidney dimensions (length, width [mediolateral diameter] and depth [anteroposterior diameter]) were measured (intra-observer variability 4.4%). Foetal kidney volume was calculated as the approximation of a prolate ellipsoid (volume = length × width × depth × 0.523) and total (combined left and right) kidney volume determined.

2.3 Statistical analyses

We considered a 20% difference in foetal kidney volume to be biologically relevant. Prior to the present study, a sample size calculation based on published values for male and female foetal kidney volume in late pregnancy (≥25 weeks gestation) was conducted. We calculated that 44 female and 54 male participants were required to detect a 20% difference in foetal kidney volume between groups, respectively. Power calculations conducted a posteriori on the kidney volume measurements obtained in the present study indicated a sample size of n = 50 in both groups was sufficient to detect a 20% difference (1 − β = 0.8). The 64 participants in each group were powered to 0.89 to detect a 20% difference in foetal kidney volume.

Data were analysed using STATA 15 statistical software. Descriptive statistics were used to compare maternal and offspring characteristics of normal and GDM pregnancies: Wilcoxon rank-sum test or independent-samples t test was used to compare means, and chi-square test or test of proportions were used to compare proportions, as appropriate. To assess the role of GDM treatment type (diet and exercise alone, or diet and exercise with insulin therapy) as an indication of glycemic control on maternal, foetal and birth characteristics ANOVA with Tukey’s post hoc test or Kruskal-Wallis rank test with Dunn’s multiple comparisons test to compare means, or chi-square test to compare proportions were used as appropriate. Ultrasounds were performed slightly earlier in women receiving insulin therapy; analyses of foetal characteristics between No-GDM, GDM-Diet & Exercise and GDM-Insulin were therefore adjusted for gestational age using ANCOVA with Tukey’s post hoc test. Unadjusted linear regressions were performed to assess relationships between total foetal kidney volume and maternal and foetal characteristics. Multiple regression analysis was also performed, adjusting for relevant potential confounders identified in the above group and correlation analyses. Multicolinearity was checked using variance inflation factor. P < .05 indicates statistical significance.

3 RESULTS

3.1 Maternal characteristics

Maternal characteristics of the total study cohort are presented in Table 1. As expected, women with GDM had significantly higher fasting and 2-hour glucose levels at their routine OGTT at 24-28 weeks.
gestation as compared to nondiabetic women. Only 30% of GDM women (n = 19/64) were diagnosed with fasting hyperglycaemia; thus, the mean fasting glucose level for GDM women was below the diagnostic threshold. Eighty-nine percent (n = 57/64) had an elevated 2-hour glucose level (one abnormal OGTT value was deemed sufficient for diagnosis). There were no differences in maternal weight, BMI, age or parity between women with and without GDM, although fewer women with GDM were Caucasian. Overall, 91% of non-Caucasian women were of South-Asian, Southeast-Asian or East-Asian ethnicity. Previous GDM, pre-existing medical conditions and obstetric complications were uncommon and are presented in Table 1.

Comparison of maternal characteristics among GDM women requiring insulin therapy (GDM-Insulin; n = 22, 34%) to GDM women treated by diet and exercise alone (GDM-Diet & Exercise; n = 42, 66%) identified that women requiring insulin had higher fasting and 2-hour glucose levels during the OGTT (Table 1). Other maternal characteristics did not differ by treatment modality.

### 3.2 | Foetal biometry and foetal kidney size at 32-34 weeks

Foetal characteristics as measured by obstetric ultrasound at 32-34 weeks gestation are presented in Table 2. Foetal biometry, amniotic fluid index and umbilical artery pulsatility index were similar among foetuses of women with and without GDM, as was gestational age at ultrasound (P = .25). Mean values of foetal middle cerebral artery pulsatility index were lower in GDM pregnancies, although all values were within the normal range. Left and right foetal kidney dimensions and volumes were similar in foetuses of pregnant women with and without GDM. There was no difference in total foetal kidney volume between groups, including after adjustment for EFW or foetal abdominal circumference. Estimated foetal weight (P = .18) and total kidney volume (P = .21) did not differ by sex.

Biometry and kidney size in foetuses of women with GDM by treatment method are also presented in Table 2. Ultrasounds were performed on average half a week earlier in GDM women requiring insulin therapy; analyses were therefore adjusted for gestational age. Middle cerebral artery pulsatility index was lower in GDM women treated by diet and exercise alone compared to nondiabetic pregnancies. All other biometry and kidney measurements were similar in foetuses of GDM women, irrespective of maternal treatment.

### 3.3 | Associations between total foetal kidney volume and maternal and foetal characteristics

Unadjusted regression coefficients for the entire sample are presented in Table 3. Maternal OGTT glucose levels were not associated
with total foetal kidney volume. Kidney volume was positively associated with maternal Caucasian ethnicity, parity and foetal biometry measures. Multivariable regression analysis was performed relating total foetal kidney volume to maternal OGTT glucose levels and adjusting for maternal Caucasian ethnicity, parity and ultrasound-estimated foetal weight ($R^2 = .089$, $P = .006$, Table 4). Estimated foetal weight had a significant positive regression coefficient ($\beta = 0.210$, $P = .02$). Maternal characteristics did not contribute to the model.

### 3.4 | Birth outcomes

Birth outcomes are presented in Table 5. Birth weight trended lower in neonates of women with GDM ($P = .07$); women with GDM gave birth approximately 3-4 days earlier than nondiabetic women. There were no differences in the rate of labour induction ($P = .59$) or caesarean births between groups. The proportion of neonates with birth weights above the 90th centile (large for gestational age; LGA) or below the 10th centile (small for gestational age) were also similar. Male neonates were heavier than female neonates at birth (mean (SD): male 3397 (472) g; female 3208 (404) g; $P = .02$), although no interaction effect between sex and GDM status on birth weight was identified ($P = .41$). Birth outcomes did not differ by GDM treatment mode (Table 5).

### 4 | DISCUSSION

The present study of pregnant women with and without GDM indicated that foetal kidney size was normal among foetuses of women with GDM. Our further analyses of foetal kidney development revealed no differences in kidney dimensions between foetuses of women with GDM who required insulin therapy and to those of nondiabetic women. By investigating the continuous relation between OGTT glucose profiles and total foetal kidney volume, we also observed that kidney volume was normal in foetuses of women who had higher glucose levels at diagnosis. Our findings suggest that exposure to a limited period of hyperglycaemia prior to diagnosis and treatment of GDM does not perturb foetal kidney size at 32-34 weeks of gestation, a favourable finding given the increasing number of women diagnosed with GDM.

### TABLE 2 Foetal biometry and foetal kidney size

| Foetal biometry                              | No-GDM (n = 64) | GDM (n = 64) | $P^a$ | GDM-Diet & Exercise (n = 42) | GDM-Insulin (n = 22) | $P^b$ |
|----------------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|-------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-------|
| Gestational age, wk                          | 32.9 (0.7)      | 32.6 (0.7)  | .25   | 32.9 (0.7)                  | 32.4 (0.5)          | .01   |
| Estimated foetal weight (EFW), g             | 2158.0 (248.5)  | 2093.2 (277.3) | .17   | 2154.0 (248.2)             | 1993.2 (234.4)      | .27   |
| EFW percentile, median (IQR)                 | 55 (39-76)      | 45 (25-82)  | .29   | 50 (26-83)                 | 38 (23-62)          | .23   |
| Abdominal circumference (AC), cm             | 29.5 (1.4)      | 29.1 (1.7)  | .17   | 29.5 (1.5)                 | 28.4 (1.8)          | .14   |
| Amniotic fluid index                         | 16.0 (3.7)      | 15.1 (3.8)  | .09   | 15.4 (3.7)                 | 14.6 (4.0)          | .20   |
| Umbilical artery pulsatility index           | 1.01 (0.28)     | 1.04 (0.37) | .76   | 1.04 (0.41)                | 1.04 (0.24)         | .62   |
| Middle cerebral artery pulsatility index     | 2.13 (0.40)     | 1.95 (0.33) | .007  | 1.93 (0.31)                | 1.99 (0.37)         | .02   |
| Foetal kidney dimensions and volumes         |                |             |       |                             |                     |       |
| Right kidney length, cm                      | 4.07 (0.53)     | 3.98 (0.55) | .55   | 4.06 (0.59)                | 3.89 (0.42)         | .47   |
| Right kidney width, cm                       | 2.32 (0.38)     | 2.25 (0.37) | .24   | 2.26 (0.33)                | 2.23 (0.32)         | .41   |
| Right kidney depth, cm                       | 2.24 (0.28)     | 2.17 (0.38) | .30   | 2.19 (0.38)                | 2.11 (0.48)         | .31   |
| Right kidney volume, cm$^3$                  | 11.42 (4.31)    | 10.48 (4.02) | .20  | 10.78 (3.98)               | 9.96 (4.11)         | .35   |
| Left kidney length, cm                       | 3.93 (0.48)     | 3.98 (0.44) | .31   | 4.01 (0.44)                | 4.00 (0.38)         | .63   |
| Left kidney width, cm                        | 2.24 (0.37)     | 2.28 (0.39) | .43   | 2.16 (0.39)                | 2.24 (0.32)         | .71   |
| Left kidney depth, cm                        | 2.18 (0.30)     | 2.19 (0.32) | .92   | 2.19 (0.34)                | 2.18 (0.30)         | .89   |
| Left kidney volume, cm$^3$                   | 10.25 (3.43)    | 10.12 (3.50) | .80  | 10.17 (3.77)               | 10.00 (3.14)        | .97   |
| Total kidney volume, cm$^3$                  | 21.28 (7.58)    | 20.47 (7.26) | .52  | 21.09 (7.22)               | 18.98 (7.52)        | .79   |
| Total kidney volume: EFW ratio (cm$^3$/kg)   | 9.96 (3.38)     | 9.83 (3.45) | .84   | 9.66 (3.21)                | 10.38 (3.24)        | .96   |
| Total kidney volume: AC ratio (cm$^3$/cm)    | 0.72 (0.25)     | 0.70 (0.24) | .78   | 0.71 (0.24)                | 0.73 (0.24)         | .94   |

Note: Values are mean (SD) except where indicated otherwise.

$^a$Data analysed by Wilcoxon rank-sum test or independent-samples t test.

$^b$Data analysed by ANCOVA with Tukey’s post hoc test, adjusting for gestational age.

Bold values represent statistical significance.

$^{1P_{ANOVA} < .05, No-GDM vs GDM-Diet & Exercise.}$

$^{2P_{ANOVA} < .05, No-GDM vs GDM-Insulin.}$

$^{3P_{ANOVA} < .05, GDM-Diet & Exercise vs GDM-Insulin.}$
It is important to note that the participating women in this study had relatively mild GDM, as few were diagnosed with fasting hyperglycaemia and few required insulin. The diagnosis of GDM at Monash Medical Centre was modified towards the end of the present study to incorporate the new guidelines for GDM diagnosis as recommended by The Australasian Diabetes in Pregnancy Society (fasting plasma glucose ≥5.1 mmol/L and/or 2-hour plasma glucose ≥8.5 mmol/L). The data presented here describe women classified only by the original set of criteria (fasting plasma glucose ≥5.5 mmol/L or 2 hours plasma glucose ≥8.0 mmol/L). Interestingly, upon reclassification, none of the 64 control women would have been diagnosed with GDM, yet 27% of GDM mothers would no longer have been diagnosed as such if the new criteria were applied to our study, highlighting the fact that our cohort included a number of women with mild or ‘borderline’ dysglycemia. It should be noted that supplementary analyses following reclassification of participants with the new diagnostic criteria did not alter offspring outcomes or our findings (data not shown).

Overall, women identified as having GDM and who participated in this study were an engaged and motivated group who regularly attended clinic, and were typically seen by a diabetes physician every 1-2 weeks until birth, dependent on clinical indication. Post-treatment glucose profiles were monitored by clinicians but were not consistently reported in clinical notes. However, all GDM women were managed and treated to normalize their blood glucose levels and may be regarded as having generally well-controlled diabetes, with only one third requiring insulin. The effective management of GDM was further evident given the absence of foetal overgrowth, even after allowing for earlier delivery of mothers with GDM. This study is therefore an important assessment of how mild treated GDM affects kidney size, rather than an assessment of GDM per se. Further studies assessing foetal kidney development in women with poorly controlled GDM or untreated GDM would be informative.

Women with and without GDM in our sample were broadly comparable to other obstetric cohorts reported at Monash Medical Centre with regards to age, BMI and ethnicity. We recognize that there were ethnic differences between women with and without GDM in our cohort, which reflects the ethnically diverse population receiving pregnancy care at Monash Medical Centre (where up to 60% of births are to women born outside Australia) and the increased prevalence of GDM in women from South Asia and Southeast Asia. In general, Asian women have a lower BMI and have neonates with lower birth weights and fewer LGA infants than Caucasian women. Ethnic differences may in part account for why elevated maternal BMI, foetal weight and birth weight values were not observed in GDM pregnancies in the present study. Other than ethnicity, most GDM women did not have recognized risk factors associated with GDM. Nor were there many women with medical and obstetric complications that may have influenced the current results.

Surprisingly, only two studies have previously reported foetal kidney volume in women with GDM. Neves et al serially measured kidney volume between 22 and 38 weeks gestation in 339 women without diabetes and 92 women with GDM. Foetal kidney volume was higher in GDM pregnancies throughout gestation (22-38 weeks). Excessive kidney growth was observed prior to GDM diagnosis and subsequently, despite the fact that approximately 80% of GDM women achieved glycemic control. However, although 339 women were included in the study, sample sizes at individual time points were low with n ranging from 2 to 26 in the GDM group, and maternal characteristics, glucose profiles, treatment modality and foetal and birth weight outcomes were not reported. In a second study, Verburg et al assessed the effect of various maternal and foetal factors on foetal kidney volume in 1215 pregnancies. Maternal diabetes (pre-existing and GDM combined) was not associated with

### TABLE 3  Unadjusted regressions between total foetal kidney volume and maternal and foetal characteristics

| Maternal characteristics | Total foetal kidney volume |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| OGGT fasting glucose     | -0.06                     |
| OGGT 2-h glucose         | -0.15                     |
| Body weight at hospital booking | 0.14                   |
| BMI at hospital booking  | 0.10                      |
| Parity                   | 0.32***                   |
| Ethnicity (Caucasian)    | 0.23*                     |

| Foetal characteristics   | Total foetal kidney volume |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| Estimated foetal weight (EFW) | 0.27**                  |
| EFW percentile           | 0.20*                     |
| Abdominal circumference   | 0.27**                   |
| Total kidney volume      |                          |

Note: Values are standardized regression coefficients (β). Bold values represent statistical significance.

*P < .05, **P < .01, ***P < .001.

### TABLE 4  Multivariable regression of total foetal kidney volume and maternal and foetal characteristics

|                          | Total foetal kidney volume |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|
|                          | B  | B SE | β   |
| OGGT 2-h glucose         | -0.197 | 0.350 | -0.053 |
| Parity                   | 1.861 | 1.309 | 0.129 |
| Ethnicity (Caucasian)    | 2.155 | 1.387 | 0.147 |
| Estimated foetal weight  | 0.006 | 0.002 | 0.210* |

Adjusted R² 0.089**

Abbreviations: B, unstandardized regression coefficient; B SE, standard error of coefficient; β, standardized regression coefficient; OGGT, oral glucose tolerance test. Bold values represent statistical significance.

*P < .05, **P < .01.
foetal kidney volume at 30 weeks gestation, although only 16 cases of maternal diabetes were reported among these 1215 pregnancies. In contrast to these two previous studies, the current study presents data for a larger sample of 64 diabetic women, and outcomes are reported for women with GDM only, rather than combining potentially confounding results from women with GDM and pre-existing diabetes. We report data for a range of maternal and foetal characteristics, and analysed kidney size by assessing left and right kidney dimensions and volumes, as well as total kidney volume and total volume adjusted for foetal size.

We are aware of just two studies that assess postnatal kidney size in infants or children of diabetic mothers. Bos et al57 measured kidney length in 20 healthy newborn controls and 20 infants born to women with Type 1 diabetes. As in our study, diabetic mothers were tightly controlled and no differences in infant left or right kidney lengths were reported. Conversely, Cappuccini et al40 reported reduced renal cortical volume and microalbuminuria in 3-year-old children of women with pre-existing diabetes (n = 13) and GDM (n = 29), compared to 21 children whose mothers had not had diabetes. It is possible that women who had GDM in the latter study had greater levels of hyperglycaemia than women in the present study, as 86% of their participants required insulin. However, maternal glucose profiles were not reported.

In conclusion, our findings indicate that a period of mild hyperglycaemia prior to GDM diagnosis and treatment does not alter kidney volume at 32-34 weeks gestation. Further studies to assess kidney size and function in children and adults of both well controlled and poorly controlled (or untreated) GDM are warranted to validate the effectiveness of GDM treatment protocols—an important area of research given the limited number of studies in this field and the increasing number of women diagnosed with GDM.
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**TABLE 5**  Birth outcomes

| Birth outcomes                        | No-GDM (n = 64) | GDM (n = 64) | P   | GDM-Diet & Exercise (n = 42) | GDM-Insulin (n = 22) | P  |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|-----|-----------------------------|----------------------|----|
| Birth weight, g                       | 3373 (488)      | 3221 (388)   | .07 | 3224 (384)                  | 3214 (405)           | .16|
| Gestational age, wk                   | 39.5 (1.3)      | 39.1 (1.2)   | .07 | 39.1 (1.1)                  | 39.2 (1.3)           | .26|
| Birth weight percentile, median (IQR)| 50 (24-76)      | 40 (15-72)   | .25 | 30 (15-77)                  | 40 (23-55)           | .47|
| Caesarean birth, n (%)                | 17 (26.5)       | 21 (32.8)    | .67 | 14 (33.3)                   | 7 (31.8)             | .71|
| Apgar score, 1 min                    | 8.5 (1.4)       | 8.3 (1.3)    | .12 | 8.3 (1.4)                   | 8.5 (0.9)            | .63|
| Apgar score, 5 min                    | 8.9 (0.7)       | 8.9 (0.5)    | .99 | 8.9 (0.5)                   | 9.0 (0.0)            | .61|
| Male, n (%)                           | 31 (48.4)       | 30 (46.9)    | .91 | 18 (42.9)                   | 11 (50.0)            | .84|
| Large for gestational age (>90th centile), n (%) | 8 (12.5) | 5 (7.8) | .79 | 3 (7.1) | 2 (9.1) | .67|
| Small for gestational age (<10th centile), n (%) | 9 (14.0) | 10 (15.6) | .92 | 8 (19.0) | 2 (9.1) | .56|
| Low birth weight (<2500 g), n (%)     | 2 (3.1)         | 1 (1.6)      | -  | 1 (2.4)                     | 0                    | -  |
| Macrosomia (birth weight > 4500 g), n (%) | 1 (1.6)         | 0            | -  | 0                           | 0                    | -  |

Note: Values are mean (SD) except where indicated otherwise. ‘-‘ indicates insufficient observations for analysis.

*Data analysed by Wilcoxon rank-sum test, independent-samples t test or chi-square test.

*Data analysed by Kruskal-Wallis rank test with Dunn’s multiple comparisons test, one-way ANOVA with Dunn’s multiple comparisons test or chi-square test.
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