Spherically Symmetric Cosmology in Presence of Perfect Fluid
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\textbf{Abstract} — The Spherically Symmetric Model has been obtained in the general theory of relativity. The source for energy–momentum tensor is assumed a perfect fluid. The field equation has been solved by using a special form of the average scale factor proposed by Cai et al. The physical properties and the bouncing behaviour of the model are also discussed.
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\section{INTRODUCTION}

In this paper bouncing behaviour of Spherically Symmetric cosmological model has been obtained in the general theory of relativity. This work is organised as follows in Section 2. The metric and field equations have been presented. The field equations have been solved in Section 3 by using a physical condition that the expansion scalar $\theta$ is proportional to shear scalar $\sigma$ and the special form of average scalar factor proposed by Cai et al. (2011). The physical and geometrical behaviour of the model have been discussed in Section 4 in the last section 5 concluding remarks have been expressed.

\section{METRIC AND FIELD EQUATIONS}

We consider five dimensional spherically symmetric metric of the form

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - a_1^2 dt^2 - a_2^2 \left[ d\theta_1^2 + \sin^2 \theta_1 d\theta_2^2 + \sin^2 \theta_1 \sin^2 \theta_2 d\theta_3^2 \right]$$

(1)

Where the metric functions $a_1, a_2$ are functions of cosmic time ‘t’ only.

The energy – momentum tensor for a perfect fluid is

$$T_i^j = (\rho + p) u_i u^j - g_i^j$$

(2)

where $p$ is the pressure, $\rho$ is the energy density and $g_i^j$ is a metric tensor. In co-moving coordinate system, $u_i'$ are the four co-moving velocity vectors which satisfy the condition

$$u_i u_i' = 0, \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3, 4$$

and

$$u_i u_i' = 1, \text{ for } i = 0$$

From equation (2) the components of energy – momentum tensor are
\[
T^0_0 = \rho, \quad T^1_1 = T^2_2 = T^3_3 = T^4_4 = -p
\]  
(3)

With the help of equation (3) the energy momentum tensor takes the form,

\[
T^i_j = \text{diag}(\rho, -p, -p, -p, -p)
\]  
(4)

For the perfect fluid, \( p \) and \( \rho \) are related by equation of state

\[
p = \omega \rho, \quad 0 \leq \omega \leq 1
\]  
(5)

The Einstein’s field equations are given by

\[
R^i_j - \frac{1}{2} g^i_j R = -T^i_j
\]  
(6)

where \( R^i_j \) is a Ricci tensor, \( R \) is the Ricci scalar.

The Ricci scalar for the spherically symmetric metric is given by

\[
R = 2 \left( \frac{3\ddot{a}_1}{a_1a_2} + \frac{3\ddot{a}_2}{a_2^2} + 3 \frac{\dot{a}_1^2}{a_2} + 3 \left( \frac{\dot{a}_2}{a_2} \right)^2 + \frac{3}{a_2^2} \right)
\]

With the help of equations (4) and (5) the field equations (6) for the metric (1) are

\[
\frac{3\ddot{a}_1}{a_1a_2} + 3 \left( \frac{\ddot{a}_2}{a_2} \right)^2 + \frac{3}{a_2^2} = \rho
\]  
(7)

\[
3 \frac{\ddot{a}_2}{a_2} + 3 \left( \frac{\ddot{a}_2}{a_2} \right)^2 + \frac{3}{a_2^2} = -\omega \rho
\]  
(8)

\[
\frac{\dddot{a}_1}{a_1} + \frac{\dddot{a}_2}{a_2} + 2 \frac{\dot{a}_1\dot{a}_2}{a_1a_2} + \left( \frac{\dot{a}_2}{a_2} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{a_2^2} = -\omega \rho
\]  
(9)

Here the over dot represents the differentiation with respect to \( t \).

### III- SOLUTIONS OF FIELD EQUATIONS

The field equations (7) to (9) are a system of three highly non-linear differential equations in four unknown’s \( a_1, a_2, \rho, \omega \). The system is thus initially undetermined. So, to obtain the determinate solution to above field equations we need one extra physical condition to solve the field equations completely. So, hence we assume the condition expansion scalar \( \theta \) is proportional to the shear scalar \( \sigma \). This condition may leads to (Chakraborty S. and Chakraborty A.K. 1992)

\[
a_1 = \eta (a_2)^m
\]

where \( \eta, m \) are constants

Here for simplicity and without loss of generality, we assume that

\[
\eta = 1
\]

Hence, we have

\[
a_1 = (a_2)^m, (m \neq 1)
\]  
(10)

Collins et al. have pointed out that for spatially homogenous metric, the normal congruence to the homogenous expansion satisfies that the condition \( \frac{\sigma}{\theta} \) is constant (Collins, Giass et al. 1980).

In cosmology, the constant deceleration parameter is commonly used by several researchers (Akarsu and Kilinc 2010, Akarsu and Kilinc 2010, Saha, Amirhashchi et al. 2012, Kumar and Singh 2011), as it duly gives a power law for metric function or corresponding quantity.

The motivation to choose time-dependent deceleration parameter (Deceleration parameter) is the fact that the expansion of the universe was decelerating in the past and accelerating at present as observed by recent observations of Type Ia Supernova (Riess, Filippenko et al. 1998, Perlmutter, Aldering et al. 1999, Tonry, Schmidt et al. 2003) and CMB anisotropies (Bennett, Halpern et al. 2003, Hanany, Ade et al. 2000). Also, the transition red shift from deceleration expansion to accelerated expansion is about 0.5. Now for a Universe which was decelerating in the past and accelerating at present, the Deceleration parameter must show signature flipping (Amendola 2003, Padmanabhan and Choudhury 2003, Riess, Nugent et al. 2001). So, in general, the Deceleration parameter is not a constant but time variable. The motivation to choose the following scale factor is that it provides a time-dependent Deceleration parameter. So, we use a special form of deceleration parameter as
where \( R \) is average scale factor of the universe.

This form is proposed by Cai et al. (Cai, Qiu et al. 2007) and then modified by Sadatian (Sadatian 2014).

Integrating twice equation (11), the average scale factor which is time dependent is

\[
R(t) = \left( t - t_0 \right)^2 + \frac{t_0}{1 - \beta},
\]

where \( t_0 \) is initial time and \( \beta < 1 \) is constant.

For the metric (1), the scale factor \( R \) is given by

\[
R(t) = \left( a_1 a_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{3}}.
\]

Now, from the equations (12) and (13), we obtain

\[
\left( a_1 a_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} = \left( t - t_0 \right)^2 + \frac{t_0}{1 - \beta},
\]

\[
a_1 a_2 ^3 = \left( t - t_0 \right)^2 + \frac{t_0}{1 - \beta}.
\]

In view of equation (10), it becomes

\[
a_2 ^m a_2 ^3 = \left( t - t_0 \right)^2 + \frac{t_0}{1 - \beta}.
\]

Using equation (14), equation (10) leads to

\[
\theta = u' t;_1 = \left( \frac{\dot{a}_1}{a_1} + \frac{2 \dot{a}_2}{a_2} \right) = 4H.
\]

With the help of equations (14) and (15), the metric (1) becomes

\[
dt^2 = \left[ \left( t - t_0 \right)^2 + \frac{t_0}{1 - \beta} \right]^{-1} \left( t - t_0 \right)^2 + \frac{t_0}{1 - \beta} \left[ d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi_1^2 + \sin^2 \theta \sin^2 \varphi_1 d\varphi_2^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi_3^2 \right]
\]

which represents spherically symmetric 5-dimensional model in general relativity.

IV- PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF THE MODEL

For the spherically symmetric model (16), the physical quantities such as spatial volume \( V \), Hubble parameter \( H \), expansion scalar \( \dot{\theta} \), mean anisotropy \( A_m \), shear scalar \( \sigma^2 \), energy density \( \rho \), and the equation of state parameter \( \omega \) are obtained as follows:

The average scale factor \( R \) and volume scalar \( V \) are given by

\[
R^4 = V = a_1 a_2 ^3
\]

The generalized Hubble’s parameter \( H \) is defined by

\[
H = \frac{\dot{R}}{R} = \frac{1}{4} \left( H_0 + 3H_1 \right),
\]

where \( H_0 = \frac{\dot{a}_1}{a_1} \) and \( H_1 = \frac{\dot{a}_2}{a_2} \) are the directional Hubble’s parameter. An overhead dot denotes the differentiation with respect to cosmic time \( t \).

The expansion scalar \( \dot{\theta} \) and Shear scalar \( \sigma \) are given by

\[
\theta = u' t;_1 = \left( \frac{\dot{a}_1}{a_1} + \frac{2 \dot{a}_2}{a_2} \right) = 4H
\]
\[
\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} H_i^2 - 3H^2 \right].
\] (20)

The spatial volume is in the form
\[
V = R^4 = \left[ (t-t_0)^2 + \frac{t_0}{1-\beta} \right]^{4/\beta}.
\] (21)

The Hubble parameter is
\[
H = \frac{2(t-t_0)}{1-\beta} \left[ (t-t_0)^2 + \frac{t_0}{1-\beta} \right]^{3/\beta}.
\] (22)

From fig 4.1 (a), the Hubble parameter H < 0, for t < 1 and H > 0, for t > 1 indicating that H passes across zero (H = 0) at t = 1, which represents that the universe is bouncing at t = 1.

The expansion scalar is
\[
\theta = \frac{8(t-t_0)}{1-\beta} \left[ (t-t_0)^2 + \frac{t_0}{1-\beta} \right]^{-1}.
\] (23)

The mean anisotropy parameter is
\[
A_m = \frac{3(m-1)^2}{(m+3)^2} = \text{const} \neq 0, \text{ for } m \neq 1.
\] (24)

The shear scalar is
\[
\sigma^2 = \frac{16(m-1)^2(t-t_0)^2}{(1-\beta)^2(m+3)^2} \left[ (t-t_0)^2 + \frac{t_0}{1-\beta} \right]^{-2}.
\] (25)

It is observed that 
\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\sigma^2}{\theta^2} = \frac{(m-1)^2}{4(m+3)^2} \neq 0 \text{ for } m \neq 1.
\] (26)

The mean anisotropy parameter \(A_m\) is constant and \(\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\sigma^2}{\theta^2} \neq 0\) is also constant. Hence the model is anisotropic throughout the evolution of the universe except at \(m = 1\) i.e., the model does not approach isotropy.

The matter-energy density is given by
\[
\rho = \frac{192(m+1)}{(1-\beta)^2(m+3)} \left[ (t-t_0)^2 + \frac{t_0}{1-\beta} \right]^2 + \frac{3}{(1-\beta)(m+3)} \left[ (t-t_0)^2 + \frac{t_0}{1-\beta} \right]^{4/\beta}.
\] (27)

The equation of state parameter (EoS) is given by
\[
\omega = \frac{\frac{192(m+1)}{(1-\beta)^2(m+3)} \left[ (t-t_0)^2 + \frac{t_0}{1-\beta} \right]^2 + \frac{3}{(1-\beta)(m+3)} \left[ (t-t_0)^2 + \frac{t_0}{1-\beta} \right]^{4/\beta}}{\frac{192(m+1)}{(1-\beta)^2(m+3)} \left[ (t-t_0)^2 + \frac{t_0}{1-\beta} \right]^2 + \frac{3}{(1-\beta)(m+3)} \left[ (t-t_0)^2 + \frac{t_0}{1-\beta} \right]^{4/\beta}}.
\]

To study the physical properties of spherically symmetric cosmological model, plots of time versus (a) average scale factor (b) spatial volume (c) Hubble parameter (d) energy density (e) EoS parameter for the values are shown in Fig (4.1).
Fig 4.1 Plots of time versus – (a) Average Scale factor (b) Spatial Volume (c) Hubble Parameter (d) Energy Density (e) EoS Parameter for the values $\beta = 0.5, t_0 = 1, m = 2$.

From Fig 4.1 (a), in the earlier stage, the average scale factor ($R$) is strictly decreasing ($R(t) < 0$) and in the expanding phase, it increases rapidly ($R(t) > 0$). Hence our model is bouncing at some finite time $t = 1$ ($R(t) = 0$).

From Fig 4.1 (d) the energy density decreases at the early stage of evolution when $t < 1$ and goes into the hot Big Bang era. The model bounces at $t = 1$ and after bouncing the energy density rapidly increases for $t > 1$.

It is seen that from fig.4.1 (e), before bouncing (at point $t = 1$), the EoS parameter $\omega < -1$ and after the bounce, $\omega > -1$ for $t > 1$. The equation of state parameter of the universe crosses from $\omega < -1$ to $\omega > -1$. Hence, our model is bouncing at $t = 1$. Thus, it is observed that, a bouncing universe model has an initial narrow state by a non – zero minimal radius and then develops to an expanding phase. After the bounce, the universe enters into the hot Big – Bang era.

V- CONCLUSIONS

The Spherically symmetric cosmological model has been investigated in the general theory of relativity. The source for energy – momentum tensor is a perfect fluid. The field equations have been solved by using time dependent deceleration parameter. The mean anisotropy
parameter $A_m$ is constant and $\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\sigma^2}{G^2} \neq 0$ is constant, hence the model is anisotropic throughout the evolution of the universe except at $m = 1$. It is interesting to note that a bouncing universe model has an initial narrow state by non-zero minimal radius and then develops to expanding phase. After the bounce, the universe enters into the Hot Big-Bang era. The model has a bounce at some finite time $t = t_0$. In particular, for the values $\beta = 0.5, t_0 = 1, m = 2$ the model is bouncing at finite time $t_0 = 1$.
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