In the present study conducted at AICRP Maize, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, sixty single cross hybrids were produced by crossing twenty female inbred lines to three divergent inbred male testers in line x tester design. The parental inbred lines along with test cross hybrids, resistant check, susceptible check and hybrid checks were evaluated for their disease reaction against turcicum leaf blight under artificial epiphytotic condition. Among the inbred lines, TLB-2, TLB-5, TLB-6, TLB-9, TLB-12, TLB-19 and TLB-20 showed resistant reaction against disease. Among the tester CM-111 was moderately resistant, GPM-549 showed moderate susceptibility and GPM-581 was susceptible to disease. Promising female inbred lines such as TLB-6 and TLB-16 produced resistant hybrids irrespective of male testers. Relatively a higher proportion of susceptible hybrids were obtained as two of the three testers were susceptible to disease. The male parent played a crucial role in determining the disease reaction of hybrids.
reducing the photosynthetic area of leaves thereby affecting the grain filling stage of the plant leading to small sized, curved, partially filled and malformed chaffy cobs with shrunken grains. There are recommended package of practices such as seed treatment with fungicides and chemical sprays. But since majority of the maize area during kharif season is under rainfed ecosystem farmers are unable to take up recommended control measures with chemical fungicides because of their economic status and holdings of large area. Under such a situation farmer need resistant hybrids and host plant resistance breeding is economical and environmentally friendly method to check the spread of disease. Thus, objective of the present study was to identify resistant inbred lines and single cross hybrids against turcicum leaf blight on maize.

Materials and Methods

The present experiment was carried out at AICRP, Maize, UAS Dharwad, Karnataka situated at 15° 26' N latitude and 75° 07' E longitude with an altitude of 678 m above mean sea level and mean annual rainfall of 740 mm. At AICRP, Maize in collaboration with CIMMYT nearly hundred lines were screened for TLB over a period of two years during kharif 2015 and 2016 under artificial epiphytotic condition and out of these twenty lines were selected based on theirperse performance and disease reaction to turcicum leaf blight (TLB). These selected twenty lines were crossed to three diverse testers CM-111, GPM-549 and GPM-581 in line x tester design to produce sixty test cross hybrids.

All the sixty hybrids along with inbred lines and hybrid checks namely GPMH-1101 (Local check), NK-6240 (National check) and 900 M (Private check) were raised in a single row of four meter length consisting of 20 plants per row by following a spacing of 60 cm x 20 cm in the experiment. Resistant check CI-4 and susceptible check CML-451 were interspersed among the genotypes in the experiment. The Exserohilum turcicum culture multiplied on sorghum grains with disease inoculum of previous year infected leaves. The crop was artificially inoculated at 30 to 40 DAS following whorl-drop method of inoculation (Frederiksen and Franklin, 1978). The plants were sprayed with water to create a humidity for spore germination and infection. Five randomly selected plants were scored during dry silk stage (DAS 85-90) for their disease severity on scale 1 (Resistant) to 9 (Susceptible) (Anon., 2016).

Results and Discussion

Among the parental inbred lines eight lines were resistant, six were moderately resistant, eight were moderately susceptible and one was susceptible. Disease reaction of the inbred lines, testers along with resistant and susceptible check is presented in Table 1. Among the lines, TLB-2, TLB-5, TLB-6, TLB-9, TLB-12, TLB-19 and TLB-20 showed resistance to turcicum leaf blight. While TLB-3, TLB-7, TLB-8, TLB-10, TLB-11, TLB-23 and TLB-24 were moderately resistant to disease. None of the lines were susceptible to disease. Among the testers, CM-111 was moderately resistant, GPM-549 was moderately susceptible and GPM-581 showed susceptibility against disease. Similarly, Mallikarjuna (1997) also reported CM-111 to be moderately resistant.

A total of ten hybrids showed resistance reaction against turcicum leaf blight (Table 3) while thirteen hybrids were moderately resistant, twenty-eight moderately susceptible and nine were susceptible to disease (Table 2). Local check GPMH-1101 showed moderate resistance, national check NK-6240 was moderately susceptible and private check 900 M was susceptible to turcicum leaf blight.
Table 1 Disease score and disease reaction of 23 inbred lines along with resistant and susceptible check

| Sl. No. | Inbred     | Disease score (1 – 9) | Disease reaction   |
|---------|------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| 1       | TLB-2      | 3                     | Resistant          |
| 2       | TLB-3      | 4                     | Moderately resistant|
| 3       | TLB-5      | 2                     | Resistant          |
| 4       | TLB-6      | 3                     | Resistant          |
| 5       | TLB-7      | 6                     | Moderately susceptible |
| 6       | TLB-8      | 6                     | Moderately susceptible |
| 7       | TLB-9      | 4                     | Resistant          |
| 8       | TLB-10     | 5                     | Moderately susceptible |
| 9       | TLB-11     | 4                     | Moderately resistant |
| 10      | TLB-12     | 3                     | Resistant          |
| 11      | TLB-13     | 7                     | Moderately susceptible |
| 12      | TLB-14     | 6                     | Moderately susceptible |
| 13      | TLB-16     | 6                     | Moderately susceptible |
| 14      | TLB-17     | 6                     | Moderately susceptible |
| 15      | TLB-19     | 3                     | Resistant          |
| 16      | TLB-20     | 3                     | Resistant          |
| 17      | TLB-21     | 6                     | Moderately susceptible |
| 18      | TLB-22     | 2                     | Resistant          |
| 19      | TLB-23     | 5                     | Moderately resistant |
| 20      | TLB-24     | 5                     | Moderately resistant |
|         | Testers    |                       |                    |
| 21      | CM-111     | 5                     | Moderately resistant |
| 22      | GPM-549    | 6                     | Moderately susceptible |
| 23      | GPM-581    | 8                     | Susceptible        |
|         | CI-4 (Resistant check) | 5 | Moderately resistant |
|         | CML-451 (Susceptible check) | 8 | Susceptible |

Table 2 Disease reaction of parental inbreds and experimental single cross hybrids

| Sl. No. | Disease Reaction            | No. of parental inbreds | No. of single cross hybrids |
|---------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1       | Resistance (1-3)            | 8                       | 9                           |
| 2       | Moderately Resistance (4-5) | 6                       | 10                          |
| 3       | Moderately Susceptible (6-7)| 8                       | 13                          |
| 4       | Susceptible (7-8)           | 1                       | 28                          |
**Table 3** Single cross hybrids showing resistance reaction against turcicum leaf blight

| Hybrids           | Pedigree                | Disease score (1-9) | Grain yield under optimum conditions (q/ha) |
|-------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| GH-1641           | TLB-16 x GPM-549        | 2                   | 63.99                                      |
| GH-1615           | TLB-6 x TLB-581         | 3                   | 60.09                                      |
| GH-1614           | TLB-6 x GPM-549         | 3                   | 68.40                                      |
| GH-1623           | TLB-9 x GPM-549         | 3                   | 53.88                                      |
| GH-1610           | TLB-5 x CM-111          | 3                   | 60.30                                      |
| GH-1613           | TLB-6 x CM-111          | 3                   | 61.87                                      |
| GH-1622           | TLB-9 x CM-111          | 3                   | 75.08                                      |
| GH-1625           | TLB-10 CM-111           | 3                   | 62.29                                      |
| GH-1640           | TLB-16 x CM-111         | 3                   | 56.31                                      |
| GH-1646           | TLB-18 x CM-111         | 3                   | 54.11                                      |

**Table 4** Number of hybrids under R x MR and R x S type of crosses

| R X MR | R | MR | MS | S | Total |
|--------|---|----|----|---|-------|
|        | 3 | 1  | 4  | 0 | 8     |
|        | 50 % | 50 % |    |   |       |
| R X S  | 1 | 1  | 5  | 1 | 8     |
|        | 20 % | 80 % |    |   |       |

**Table 5** Pedigree information of promising lines with resistance to turcicum leaf blight

| Line | Entry No. | Source Germplasm | Description of source population | Description of inbred |
|------|-----------|------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| TLB-6| VL-1018527| P47              | Temperate/subtropical, intermediate maturing with deep white dent kernel and has been improved for resistance to south western corn borer and E. turcicum. | Line derived from CML-317 And belongs to sub-tropical. White, flint type, heterotic group sub-tropical B1. |
|      |           |                  |                                  |                       |
| TLB-16| VL-0536  | Pop. ZM609       | It is intermediate in maturity with good resistance to maize streak virus, E. turcicum and P. sorgh. | Line derived from the cross (CML-389/CML-176)  **Female parent:** CML-389 is of African origin, late maturing white grain, flint type and belongs to heterotic group sub-tropical A1.  **Male parent:** CML-176 is a sub-tropical line, white grain, flint type and belongs to heterotic group B1. |
|       |           |                  |                                  | Source: CIMMYT |
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**Fig. 1** Frequency distribution of hybrids from disease scale of 1 to 9
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Figure 1. Lines with unique alleles for resistance against turcicum leaf blight.

(a) TLB-6 (VL-1018527)

(b) TLB-16 (VL-0536)
Among the hybrids with GPM-549 and GPM-581 as male parents 55% and 80% of the hybrids were moderately susceptible and susceptible to disease respectively. From the frequency distribution graph (Figure 1) it can be observed that relatively a higher number of hybrids were with disease score of 6, 7 and 8 which fall under moderately susceptible (MS) and susceptible (S) category. These many high numbers of MS and S hybrids can be due to allelic contribution from moderately susceptible tester GPM-549 and susceptible tester GPM-549. Thus, most of the hybrids produced from these testers show susceptible reaction against the disease. From this disease reaction it could be observed that the contribution of male parent has played a crucial role in determining the disease reaction of hybrids.

Based on the disease reaction to turcicum leaf blight the hybrids were classified into R x MR and R x S type of crosses (Table 4). From the eight R x MR type of crosses among the resulting hybrids three were resistant, one was moderately resistant and four showed moderate susceptibility to disease. Though all the hybrids produced from R x MR type of cross would be expected to be resistant but some of the hybrids showed deviation from the expected level of resistance which could be probably due to epistasis (Muiru et al., 2010, Castiano et al., 2012 and Sartori et al., 2015). Resilience to TLB is controlled by many genes (polygenic) so that many genes interact each other during the crossing between progenitors.

Among the inbred lines, TLB-6 and TLB-16 as female parents in cross combination with any of the testers resulted in resistant hybrids (Figure 1). Pedigree wise these lines are tropical with white background and are good source of unique alleles which are dominant for resistance against turcicum leaf blight (Table 5). However, they need to be further validated using TLB specific markers and they can be used to develop base population for turcicum leaf blight resistance in addition with other resistant lines. The lines could also be further used to transfer unique alleles into established inbred lines through back crossbreeding. As reported by Ullstrup 1970, monogenic resistance confers less protection to the host than polygenic resistance under conditions of heavy epiphytotic of northern corn leaf blight. Hence breeding for TLB tolerance must be directed in having polygenic resistance to manage disease effectively.

In the present study the disease reaction of male parent has played a crucial role in determining the resistance of single cross hybrid. Promising inbred lines TLB-6 and TLB-16 are a source of unique alleles for production resistant single cross hybrids. These lines could be further used in back breeding to transfer resistant alleles and for synthesizing base population to extract new inbred lines having resistance turcicum leaf blight. A higher proportion of resistant hybrids can be obtained if both male and female parent show resistant reaction against turcicum leaf blight.
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