SIRT3 regulates cancer cell proliferation through deacetylation of PYCR1 in proline metabolism

Abstract
SIRT3 is a major mitochondrial deacetylase, which regulates various metabolic pathways by deacetylation; however, the effect of SIRT3 on proline metabolism is not reported. Pyrroline-5-carboxylate reductase 1 (PYCR1) participates in proline synthesis process by catalyzing the reduction of P5C to proline with concomitant generation of NAD⁺ and NADP⁺. PYCR1 is highly expressed in various cancers, and it can promote the growth of tumor cells. Here, through immunoprecipitation and mass spectrometry, we found that PYCR1 is in SIRT3’s interacting network. PYCR1 directly binds to SIRT3 both in vivo and in vitro. CBP is the acetyltransferase for PYCR1, whereas SIRT3 deacetylates PYCR1. We further identified that K228 is the major acetylation site for PYCR1. Acetylation of PYCR1 at K228 reduced its enzymatic activity by impairing the formation of the decamer of PYCR1. As a result, acetylation of PYCR1 at K228 inhibits cell proliferation, while deacetylation of PYCR1 mediated by SIRT3 increases PYCR1’s activity. Our findings on the regulation of PYCR1 linked proline metabolism with SIRT3, CBP and cell growth, thus providing a potential approach for cancer therapy.

Introduction
SIRT3 is an NAD⁺-dependent deacetylase in mitochondria which contains 399 amino acids and two isoforms [1]. It regulates many cellular processes, including metabolism, oxidative stress, apoptosis and cell survival through deacetylation of mitochondrial proteins [2]. SIRT3 thus plays an important role in tumor-promotion or tumor-suppression [1,2].

Proline, a unique non-essential amino acid, plays a key role in stress protection and the maintenance of the redox balance in cells [3]. The NAD(P)H-dependent reduction of 1-pyrroline-5-carboxylate (P5C) is catalyzed by pyrroline-5-carboxylate reductase (P5CR), which is the final step in proline biosynthesis [4]. This reaction exists in almost all organisms and is highly conserved [5]. In humans, P5CR is also called PYCR; the human genome contains three homologous PYCR genes, which respectively encode PYCR1, PYCR2 and PYCRL (also called PYCR3). The PYCR1 protein is composed of five homodimers and is localized in mitochondria. The five homodimers form a circular groove, which is the binding site of the cofactor and the substrate [5]. Mutations of the PYCR1 gene are associated with autosomal recessive
cutis laxa (ARCL), a group of syndromal disorders characterized by wrinkled skin and a progeroid appearance [6].

PYCR1 participates in proline metabolism by catalyzing the reduction of P5C to proline with concomitant generation of NAD+ and NADP+, which may augment glycolysis and the pentose phosphate pathway, respectively [7]. The properties of proline as a compatible solute enable its anti-stress function in a variety of organisms. In addition to its natural osmolyte properties, proline can protect cells against ROS via the secondary amine of the pyrrolidine ring [8]. Proline can also minimize protein aggregation, thus playing a role in inhibiting the accumulation of misfolded proteins caused by endoplasmic reticulum stress. PYCR1, then, both combats oxidative stress and the endoplasmic reticulum stress through the biosynthesis of proline [9]. PYCR1 is overexpressed in various cancers, including prostate cancer, breast cancer, renal cell carcinoma, melanoma, non-small cell lung cancer, and tumors of the head, neck, esophagus and pancreas [4,10–20]. PYCR1 can promote the growth of tumor cells, and knockout of PYCR1 shows obvious inhibition of cell proliferation [4,10–20].

Post-translational modification of PYCR1 has not yet been reported and the relationship between proline metabolism and SIRT3 remains unknown. In this study, we find that SIRT3 interacts with and deacetylates PYCR1. Deacetylation of PYCR1 increases its enzymatic activity, thus enhancing cell proliferation. Our findings enrich the functions of SIRT3 and provide new insight into post-translational modification regulation of PYCR1.

Materials and methods

Cell culture and cell lines

HEK293T, H1299, MCF7 and U2OS cells were cultured in DMEM (Invitrogen) containing 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% penicillin–streptomycin at 37 °C and 5% CO2.

To generate SIRT3 stable overexpression cell lines, the SIRT3-FLAG-HA sequence was cloned into a pCI-N4 vector. The plasmid was transfected into H1299 cells and the transfected cells were selected by 1 mg/ml G418 for two weeks.

CRISPR-Cas9 knockout cell lines: we cloned the sgRNA sequence: (SIRT3:5′-CACCGCTTACAGCGAAGATCGA-3′; PYCR1:5′-CACCGCATGACCAACACTCCAGTC-3′). To generate SIRT3 stable overexpression cell lines, the SIRT3-FLAG-HA sequence was cloned into pCI-N4 vector. The plasmid was transfected into H1299 cells and the transfected cells were selected by 1 mg/ml G418 for two weeks.

CRISPR-Cas9 cohort knockdown cell lines: we cloned the sgRNA sequence: (SIRT3:5′-CACCGCTTTACAGCGAAGATCGA-3′; PYCR1:5′-CACCGCATGACCAACACTCCAGTC-3′) into a LentiCRISPR V2 vector and transfected the plasmids with packaging plasmids (psPAX2 and pMD2G) into HEK293T cells. The medium was changed after 8–10 hours and we collected the viral supernatant and filtered it into the target cells (U2OS or MCF7) with a certain amount of serum 48 hours later. Then, we selected the infected cells with 1 μg/ml puromycin for two weeks.

MCF7 rescued cell lines: we cloned flag tagged PYCR1-WT/228R/K228Q sequence into pQXIH retrovirus vector and transfected the plasmids with packaging plasmids (psVSVG and gag-pol) into HEK293T cells. The medium was changed after 6–8 hours and we collected the viral supernatant and filtered it into MCF7 PYCR1 KO cells with a certain amount of serum 24 hours later. Then we repeated the steps from transfection and selected the infected cells with 150 μg/ml hygromycin for two weeks.

Mitochondrial isolation

SIRT3-FLAG-HA stable cell lines and control cells were harvested and homogenized. The homogenate was then centrifuged for 5 min at 740 g, and then the supernatant was collected and centrifuged for 10 min at 9000 g. The pellet was collected and thus the crude mitochondria was obtained [21]. The crude mitochondria were lysed by BC100 buffer and filtered by 0.45 μm filter, thus the mitochondrial protein lysates were obtained [22].

Co-immunoprecipitation and western blotting

Whole cells were lysed by BC100 buffer (100 mM NaCl, 20 mM pH 7.3 Tris, 20% glycerol, 0.1% NP-40). The cell lysates were incubated with anti-Flag M2 (Sigma) /HA affinity gel (Roche) overnight at 4 °C. The beads were washed with BC100 6 times and eluted by Flag peptide (Sigma) at 4 °C. The elution was subjected to western blot and immunoblotted with antibodies. Flag (Sigma), HA (Pierce), α-tubulin (Santa Cruz), β-actin (Santa Cruz), GAPDH (Cell Signaling Technology), SIRT3 (Cell Signaling Technology), SIRT4 (Abiocode), PYCR1 (proteintech), pan-acetyllysine (Cell Signaling Technology /PTM Biolabs).

GST pull-down and in vitro acetylation assay

The GST fusion proteins, purified from Rosetta cells, were incubated with GST resin (Novagen) overnight at 4 °C. The beads were washed with BC100 and eluted by Glutathione (GH). The elution was added to the 30 μl reaction system[3 μl 10× Ac Buffer1(200 mM PH8.0 HEPES,10 mM DTT,10 mM PMSF,1 mg/ml BSA),1 μl acetyl-CoA(17 ng/μl) and 0.1 μl CBP] at 37 °C for 2 hours; the acetylation levels were detected by western blot and the amount of protein was detected by Coomassie blue staining.

In vitro deacetylation assay

The 30 μl reaction system [3 μl 10 × Ac Buffer1, 15 μl 2 × DeAc Buffer2 (8 mM MgCl2, 100 mM NaCl, 20% glycerol) and 1 μg acetylated PYCR1 (PYCR1-FLAG) was co-transfected with HA-CBP in HEK293T cells; the cells were then treated with trichostatin A (TSA) and Nicotinamide (NAM) for 8 hours, and then we purified the PYCR1-FLAG]) was present or absent of 2 μg SIRT3/SIRT4 and 3 μl NAD+ (1 mM) and reacted at 37 °C for 2 hours.

Enzymatic activity assays for PYCR1

The reaction system (300 mM PH = 6.8 Tris, 0.5 mM NADH, 5 mM P5C, 0.2 mg/ml BSA, 10% glycerol, 1 mM DTT) was added with 0.01 μg different status of PYCR1 proteins on ice, and the changes of absorption in 340 nm at room temperature were detected immediately. The reduction of OD value in 7 minutes was the quantitative value of enzymatic activity of PYCR1.

Real-time PCR

Total RNA was extracted by Trizol reagent (Sigma). 2 μg RNA were reverse transcribed to cDNA using the qPCR RT Kit (TOYOBO). 7500 Fast real-time PCR System (Applied Biosystems) and qPCR SYBR green master mix (Vazyme) were used to perform real-time PCR. The amount of mRNA was calculated by ΔΔCt method and normalized to actin. The primer sequences were as follow:

| Primer Name                     | Forward Primer Sequences                              | Reverse Primer Sequences                            |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| PYCR1 forward primer           | CATGACCAACACTCCAGTCG                                   | PYCR1 reverse primer                                |
| PYCR1 reverse primer           | CTTGGAATGCATCTCCATCTTCA                                 | PYCR1 reverse primer                                |
| PYCR2 forward primer           | ATAGCCAACATCTCCAGAAAT                                  | PYCR2 reverse primer                                |
| PYCR2 reverse primer           | CTCCACAGAGCTGTAGGTGTA                                   | PYCR2 reverse primer                                |
| PYCRL forward primer           | GGCTCATGAGACAGGGAA                                     | PYCRL reverse primer                                |
| PYCRL reverse primer           | CACGGACACACAGAGGTT                                      | PYCRL reverse primer                                |
| actin forward primer           | TACTCCTGCTTGCATGCA                                     | actin forward primer                                |
| actin reverse primer           | TACTCCTGCTTGCATGCA                                     | actin reverse primer                                |
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Cell proliferation and colony formation

$5.0 \times 10^4$ cells were seeded into 6-well plates and we counted the cells every day. Cells were stained by 0.2% trypan blue and counted by Countstar IC1000.

CCK8 assay: Cell proliferation rates were measured using Cell Counting Kit 8 (CCK8, Dojindo). 2500 cells were seeded into 96-well plates and cultured for 3 days, 10 $\mu$l CCK8 was added 1 hour before we detected the changes of absorption in 450 nm at room temperature.

10000 cells were seeded into 6 cm dishes and cultured for 7 days, then fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and stained with 0.2% crystal violet. We used 33% acetic acid to dissolve crystal violet and detected the changes of absorption at 570 nm, the quantitative value of which represented relative colony formation rates.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed with unpaired Student’s t-test, one-way ANOVA and two-way ANOVA by prism 6.0. Data were expressed as mean ± SEM and $P < .05$ was considered statistically significant. * denotes $P < .05$, ** denotes $P < .01$, *** denotes $P < .001$ and **** denotes $P < .0001$.

Results

Identify the SIRT3 interacting proteins

The SIRT3 stably expressed cell line was established and the mitochondria were extracted from these and the control cells [21]. The protein lysates were immunoprecipitated by M2 beads and HA beads,

**Figure 1. Identify the SIRT3 interacting proteins.** (A) Schematic diagram showed the method of identifying the SIRT3 interaction protein. (B) Silver staining and mass-spectrometry analysis. (C to G) Exogenous hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase-like protein 2 (HSDL2)/citrate synthetase (CS)/pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase isoform 2 (PDK2)/acyl-CoA dehydrogenase family member 9 (ACAD9)/ethylmalonic encephalopathy 1 protein (ETHE1) and SIRT3 were co-expressed in HEK293T cells, and co-immunoprecipitation assay showed the interaction between HSDL2/CS/PDK2/ACAD9/ETHE1 and SIRT3 in vivo.
respectively (Figure 1A), and the elution was analyzed by SDS-PAGE to separate the proteins for observing the interacting proteins by silver staining (Figure 1B). The unique bands in SIRT3 IP were further analyzed by mass-spectrometry to identify the SIRT3 interacting proteins. There were a large number of SIRT3 reported substrates in the obtained results such as GDH and VLCAD, which proved the reliability of the results [23–26]. According to the amount of peptides and function of the proteins in the mass-spectrum, we chose several proteins as candidates and identified the interaction between SIRT3 and some of those proteins to further confirm the reliability of mass-spectrometry results (Figure 1C-G). Since the relationship between SIRT3 and proline metabolism had not been reported, we chose the protein PYCR1, which involved in proline synthesis, as the object of further study. The 33KD protein PYCR1 was visible in silver staining (red arrow), which was the band above the 28KD band of SIRT3 (black arrow, Figure 1B).

**SIRT3 interacts with PYCR1**

We confirmed the interaction between SIRT3 and PYCR1. SIRT3 and PYCR1 were overexpressed in HEK293T cells, SIRT3-HA was co-immunoprecipitated by PYCR1-FLAG (Figure 2A), and PYCR1-HA was also co-immunoprecipitated by SIRT3-FLAG (Figure 2B). Endogenous PYCR1 was also co-immunoprecipitated by endogenous SIRT3, indicating the interaction between PYCR1 and SIRT3 in vivo (Figure 2C). Through the GST pull down assay, we found that SIRT3-FLAG was pulled down by GST-PYCR1 (Figure 2D), and PYCR1-FLAG was also pulled down by GST-SIRT3 (Figure 2E), further demonstrating the direct interaction between PYCR1 and SIRT3 in vitro.

**CBP is the acetyltransferase of PYCR1**

The interaction of PYCR1 and SIRT3 prompted us to examine if PYCR1 is a novel target for SIRT3. We first tested if PYCR1 could be modified by acetylation. The first step was to find the acetyltransferase for PYCR1. We examined several common acetyltransferases, such as CBP, P300, TIP60, PCAF, and ACAT1, and only CBP dramatically increased the acetylation levels of PYCR1 (Figure 3A). We divided PYCR1 into three fragments according to its structure: the first fragment is the NAD (P) binding domain at the N-terminal, the second fragment the dimerization domain in the middle and the third fragment the C-terminal of PYCR1. Using these fragments, we examined their acetylation levels through in vitro acetylation assay. The acetylation levels of full-length PYCR1 was the strongest; the first and second fragments were weaker. However, it was hard to detect the acetylation levels of the third fragment, indicating that CBP directly acetylated PYCR1 in vitro, and the acetylation sites mainly existed in the first and the second fragments (Figure 3B). We found that PYCR1-FLAG was co-immunoprecipitated by HA-CBP (Figure 3C), and HA-CBP was also co-immunoprecipitated by PYCR1-FLAG (Figure 3D) further verifying the interaction of PYCR1 and CBP in vivo. CBP acetylated PYCR1 in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 3E). G AR , a n i n h i b i t o r o f CBP/P300, reduced the acetylation levels of PYCR1 by inhibiting the acetyltransferase activity of CBP (Figure 3F). All these results validate that CBP is the acetyltransferase of PYCR1, and it can acetylate PYCR1 both in vivo and in vitro.

**SIRT3 is the deacetylase of PYCR1**

To investigate whether SIRT3 is able to deacetylate PYCR1 in cells, we first treated cells with HDAC1, II family inhibitor TSA and the Sirtuin family inhibitor nicotinamide and found that both TSA and nicotinamide increased the acetylation levels of PYCR1, suggesting that PYCR1 was deacetylated by both HDAC1, II family and the Sirtuin family. Nicotinamide increased the acetylation levels of PYCR1 more significantly than TSA (Figure 4A) and in a...
dose-dependent manner (Figure 4B), indicating that PYCR1 acetylation levels were mainly regulated by the Sirtuin family. As PYCR1 is located in mitochondria, we examined PYCR1 acetylation levels with SIRT3, SIRT4 or SIRT5 co-expressed, which are the Sirtuins located in mitochondria, and found that both SIRT3 and SIRT4 deacetylated PYCR1. We compared the amount of SIRT3 with SIRT4 in input and found that much more SIRT4 was required to produce the same deacetylation effect on PYCR1 (Figure 4C), indicating that SIRT3 was more efficient in deacetylating PYCR1. To further confirm the major deacetylase of PYCR1, we used in vitro deacetylation assay to compare the deacetylation levels of SIRT3 and SIRT4 for PYCR1. The acetylation levels of PYCR1 decreased only in the group where both NAD⁺ and SIRT3 were added but not in the group where both NAD⁺ and SIRT4 were added (Figure 4D). This result suggests that SIRT3 is the major deacetylase for PYCR1 and SIRT4 deacetylates PYCR1 in an indirect manner, perhaps through interaction with proteins such as SIRT3. Furthermore, SIRT3 deacetylated PYCR1 in a dose-dependent manner in cells (Figure 4E).

SIRT3-H248Y, a mutant that abolishes the enzymatic activity of SIRT3 but does not affect the expression and its localization in mitochondria, failed to deacetylate PYCR1 (Figure 4F). The acetylation levels of PYCR1 were increased in SIRT3 knockout U2OS cells (Figure 4G). All these results indicate that SIRT3 is the major deacetylase for PYCR1, and it can directly deacetylate PYCR1 both in cells and in vitro.

**K228 is the major acetylation site of PYCR1**

In order to study the effect of acetylation on the physiological function of PYCR1, we first examined its influence on enzymatic activity. We found that overexpression of SIRT3 enhanced the enzymatic activity of PYCR1, indicating that PYCR1 with deacetylation status possessed higher enzymatic activity, and that SIRT3 thus increased the activity of PYCR1 through deacetylation (Figure 5A). Conversely, CBP reduced the enzymatic activity of PYCR1, which manifested that CBP mediated acetylation reduced the enzymatic activity of PYCR1 (Figure 5B). The enzymatic activity
of GST-PYCR1 decreased after in vitro acetylation, which further verified that the enzymatic activity of PYCR1 was affected by its acetylation levels (Figure 5C). We further mapped the acetylation sites of PYCR1 by purifying highly acetylated PYCR1 from HEK293T cells and identified the acetylation sites of PYCR1 by mass-spectrometry. Four lysine residues (K29, K71, K228 and K289) were identified. We mutated all the sites to R (mimicking the deacetylation status) or Q (mimicking the acetylation status) and examined their respective enzymatic activities (Figure 5D and E). We found that the mutations to R showed increased enzymatic activities everywhere but the K71 site, further verifying that PYCR1 with deacetylation status possessed a higher enzymatic activity. However, the mutations to Q showed basically unchanged or decreased activities, in which the activities of K71Q and K228Q showed dramatic reduction (Figure 5E). We noticed that both K71R and K71Q showed reduced enzymatic activities. The structural analysis showed that K71 is the key point at the NAD (P) H binding domain: the mutation on K71 may have led to the decrease of NAD (P) H binding, thus reducing PYCR1 activity. The enzymatic activity of K228R increased and the activity of K228Q decreased significantly, suggesting that acetylation of K228 had a great impact on the activity of PYCR1. The K228 site is highly conserved in evolution, indicating that K228 is very important for biological functions (Figure 5F). K228 mutation decreased the acetylation levels of PYCR1 in vivo (Figure 5G). Moreover, the mutation of K228 reduced the binding of acetyl-CoA in vitro (Figure 5H). These results confirm that K228 is the major acetylation site of PYCR1 to regulate its enzymatic activity.

Acetylation of K228 impairs the formation of decamers thus inhibiting enzymatic activity of PYCR1

Since K228 is located in the dimerization domain and only the decamer form of PYCR1 possesses catalytic activity and the ability to bind to cofactors, we further analyzed the impact of K228 acetylation on the structure of PYCR1. As shown in Figure 6A, the negatively charged D190 and the positively charged R199 on one monomer formed a stable pocket with D229 and K228 on another monomer, which is important for the two monomers to form a dimer [5]. When K228 binds to an acetyl group or mutates to K228Q, the positive charge there is neutralized, thus enlarging the distances between the residues and destroying the pocket. As a result, the mutation of K228Q makes the dimer unstable and disturbs the formation of decamers. We further compared the polymerization of WT, K228R and K228Q in vivo, and found that K228Q reduced the formation of homodimers and decamers, but K228R slightly increased the
formation (Figure 6B). It verifies that acetylation of K228 impairs decamer formation of PYCR1, the active form of PYCR1, thus inhibiting its enzymatic activity and revealing the mechanism by which acetylation reduces the activity of PYCR1. These results confirm that acetylation of K228 impairs the formation of decamers, thus inhibiting enzymatic activity of PYCR1.

Acetylation of PYCR1 at K228 inhibits cell proliferation

As knockout of PYCR1 affected the growth of various tumor cells, we further examined the effect of its acetylation on cell proliferation. First, we generated PYCR1 KO MCF7 cell lines through CRISPR-Cas9 technique. The mRNA and protein expression levels of PYCR1 in knockout cells were obviously reduced, but not those of PYCR2 and PYCR1 (Supplementary Figure S1A and S1B), suggesting that the PYCR1 KO stable cell lines were reliable. The number of clones of PYCR1 KO cells was significantly fewer than those of the PYCR1 WT cells (Supplementary Figure S1C), and PYCR1 KO cells showed decreased proliferation rates (Supplementary Figure S1D). These results confirm that knockout of PYCR1 affects cell growth and PYCR1 plays an important role in cell proliferation of tumor cells.

In order to identify the effect of acetylation of PYCR1 at K228 on cell growth, we re-expressed empty vector (EV), flag-tagged WT, K228R and K228Q mutant of PYCR1 to the aforementioned PYCR1 KO cells. As shown in Figure 7A, the rescued cell lines were generated successfully and the expression levels of PYCR1 WT, K228R and K228Q were nearly the same. The number of clones of PYCR1 K228R cells was significantly more than those of PYCR1 K228Q cells (Figure 7B). Furthermore, MCF7 rescued PYCR1 K228Q cells showed clearly decreased cell proliferation rates compared to MCF7 rescued PYCR1 K228R and PYCR1 WT cells (Figure 7C). By conducting CCK8 assays that reflect cell
proliferation, we found that PYCR1 K228R cells grew most rapidly; however, PYCR1 K228Q cells grew slowly and PYCR1 WT cells grew at a rate just between the other two cell lines (Figure 7D). All the results above indicate that acetylation of PYCR1 at K228 inhibits cell growth and that deacetylation of PYCR1 at K228 by SIRT3 produces the opposite effect.

Discussion

There are only a few studies about SIRT3 regulation of amino acid metabolism. SIRT3 participates in ornithine and glutamate metabolism by activating OTC and GDH, and the regulation of proline metabolism has not been reported [2,24–27]. In current study, we found that SIRT3 participated in the regulation of proline metabolism through the deacetylation of PYCR1 and consequently enriched the function of SIRT3 on amino acid metabolism. CBP acetylates PYCR1, and the acetylation at K228 inhibits the formation of decamers, thus reducing the enzymatic activity of PYCR1. As PYCR1 plays an important role in proline biosynthesis and tumor cell proliferation, the acetylation of PYCR1 at K228 results in the inhibition of tumor cell growth. On the contrary, SIRT3 directly deacetylates PYCR1, and the deacetylation at K228 promotes the formation of decamers and enhances the enzymatic activity of PYCR1, thereby producing more proline and promoting tumor cell growth (Figure 7E).

SIRT3 regulates tumor cell growth by eliminating ROS, regulating metabolism, proliferative or apoptotic pathways. SIRT3 plays a dual role in the development of cancers, acting either as an oncogene or as a tumor suppressor in different types of tumor cells. Even in the same type of tumor cells, with different metabolic microenvironments, SIRT3 can act as a tumor-promotor or a tumor-suppressor by regulating different metabolic substrates [2,28,29]. SIRT3 is highly expressed in thyroidal, esophageal, melanoma, renal and oral squamous cancer, and knocking down SIRT3 inhibits the proliferation of cancer cells [1,2,30]. In ovarian, pancreatic, hepatocellular and basal cell cancers, overexpression of SIRT3 reduces cell proliferation and alleviates cancer progression [1,2,30]. SIRT3

Figure 6. Acetylation of K228 impairs the formation of decamers thus inhibiting enzymatic activity of PYCR1. (A) Crystal structure of PYCR1. (B) PYCR1-WT, K228R or K228Q were overexpressed in HEK293T cells and the cell lysates were treated with or without 0.025% glutaraldehyde for 3 hours. The polymerizations of PYCR1 were detected by western blot.
Figure 7. Acetylation of PYCR1 at K228 inhibits cell proliferation. (A) The protein expression levels of MCF7 rescued EV/PYCR1 WT/PYCR1 K228R/PYCR1 K228Q cells were detected by western blot. (B) Clonogenic growth of MCF7 rescued EV/PYCR1 WT/PYCR1 K228R/PYCR1 K228Q cells was observed and the statistical chart was as shown. Error bars represent ±SEM (n = 3). (C) MCF7 rescued EV/PYCR1 WT/PYCR1 K228R/PYCR1 K228Q cells were counted every day. Error bars represent ±SEM (n = 3). (D) CCK8 assay was performed with MCF7 rescued EV/PYCR1 WT/PYCR1 K228R/PYCR1 K228Q cells. Error bars represent ±SEM (n = 3). (E) Work model illustrates the relationship between PYCR1 acetylation and tumor growth.
PYCR1 in tumor cells. The concomitant NAD+ and NADP+ in these functions of proline may relate to the high expression of autophagy caused by ROS, which is necessary for tumor survival [35]. Under hypoxic or hypoglycemic conditions, proline utilization contributes to ATP generation or ATP for cellular energy needs [35]. Outside of these functions, PYCR1 rescues endoplasmic reticulum stress and oxidative stress protection and the maintenance of the redox balance in cells [3]. Our data support this notion.

Although proline is a non-essential amino acid, it plays a key role in stress protection and the maintenance of the redox balance in cells [3]. PYCR1 rescues endoplasmic reticulum stress and oxidative stress through the biosynthesis of proline [8,9]. Outside of these functions, proline, degraded from collagen in extracellular matrix, also supplies ATP for cellular energy needs [35]. Under hypoxic or hypoglycemic conditions, proline utilization contributes to ATP generation or autophagy caused by ROS, which is necessary for tumor survival [35]. These functions of proline may relate to the high expression of PYCR1 in tumor cells. The concomitant NAD+ and NADP+ in proline biosynthesis catalyzed by PYCR1 augments glycolysis and the pentose phosphate pathway, respectively [7], which may be the reason why PYCR1 promotes tumor proliferation. PYCR1 is highly expressed in a variety of tumor cells including prostate cancer, breast cancer, renal cell carcinoma, melanoma, non-small cell lung cancer, and tumors of the head, neck, esophagus and pancreas [4,10–20]. The PYCR1 knockout MCF7 cells showed significant inhibition of cell proliferation and the formation of clones, further verifying the functions of PYCR1 in promoting tumor growth. Our findings on SIRT3 regulating PYCR1 enzymatic activity provides a new insight on proline production in tumor cell growth.

The structural analysis of PYCR1 manifests that the K71 is the key point at the NAD (P) H binding domain and that K228 is located in the dimerization domain. We speculate that mutation of K71 may lead to the decrease of NAD (P) H binding, thus reducing the activity of PYCR1. Since K71R and K71Q showed the same change of enzymatic activity (Figure 5D, E), K71 is likely not the major acetylation site to regulate PYCR1 enzymatic activity. In humans, the monomers of PYCR1 form a decamer to activate its enzymatic activity [5]. Deacetylation of K228 increased the formation of decamers and the enzymatic activity of PYCR1, whereas acetylation significantly impaired the polymerization of PYCR1 and reduced the enzymatic activity of PYCR1 (Figure 6B). K228 maintains deacetylation status in tumor cells, allowing the polymerization of PYCR1 and eventually forming the active form of decamers to biosynthesize the required proline, satisfying the needs of tumor cells to grow rapidly. When an acetyl group binds to the K228 site, it neutralizes the positive charge of the site and changes the charge characteristics of the dimerization domain (Figure 6A). As a result, the dimer becomes unstable and the formation of decamers is disturbed, which is the reason why acetylation reduces the enzymatic activity of PYCR1. As PYCR1 plays a pivotal role in proline biosynthesis and tumor cell proliferation, the acetylation of PYCR1 at K228 may result in the decrease in the amount of proline and the inhibition of tumor cell growth. These results indicate that the K228 site of PYCR1 may be a potential therapeutic target for cancer.

In general, our findings prove that SIRT3 and CBP participate in the regulation of proline metabolism through acetylation/deacetylation of PYCR1 and affect tumor cell growth by targeting the K228 site of PYCR1, thereby providing a new option for cancer treatment.
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