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The neurofibromin-1 tumor suppressor gene (NF1) is altered in approximately 20% of sporadic glioblastoma (GBM) cases. NF1 deficient GBM frequently shows a mesenchymal gene expression signature, suggesting a relationship between NF1 status and the tumor microenvironment. To identify changes in the production of secreted cytokines/chemokines in NF1 deficient glioma, we applied cytokine arrays to conditioned media from a panel of three GBM cell lines after siRNA-mediated NF1 knockdown. We identified increased secretion of platelet-derived growth factor AA (PDGF-AA), chitinase-3-like protein 1 (CHI3L1), interleukin-8 (IL-8), and endoglin (ENG) in different subsets of these cell lines. Secretion was associated with induction of the corresponding messenger RNA, suggesting a mechanism involving transcriptional upregulation. By contrast, in non-transformed immortalized normal human astrocytes, PDGF-AA secretion was increased upon NF1 knockdown, while secreted CHI3L1, ENG, and IL-8 were reduced or unchanged. Analysis of The Cancer Genome Atlas confirmed a relationship between glioma NF1 status and ENG and CHI3L1 in tumor samples. Overall, this study identifies candidate changes in secreted proteins from NF1 deficient glioma cells that could influence the tumor microenvironment, and suggests a direct link between NF1 loss and increased tumor cell production of CHI3L1 and endoglin, two factors implicated in mesenchymal identity in glioblastoma.

Mutation in the NF1 gene cause neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1), which predisposes patients to the development of peripheral and central nervous system (CNS) tumors, along with several other clinical manifestations. The most common CNS neoplasm in the setting of NF1 is a WHO grade I pilocytic astrocytoma. However, NF1 patients are also predisposed to developing higher-grade infiltrating gliomas1. In 2008, The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) analysis of glioblastoma (GBM) revealed NF1 mutations or other alterations in approximately 20% of sporadic GBM, i.e. in patients without NF12. Since this discovery, there has been considerable interest in identifying a role for NF1 alterations in sporadic GBM.

NF1 encodes neurofibromin, a GTPase activating protein that serves as a negative regulator of Ras signaling and Ras-associated downstream signaling pathways3. In NF1, several tumor types have been shown to have inactivation of the wild-type NF1 allele, supporting the notion that NF1 is a bona fide tumor suppressor gene4,5. In sporadic glioblastoma, a post-translational mechanism for neurofibromin degradation has also been described6. Compared to diffusely infiltrating gliomas with intact NF1, lower grade gliomas with NF1 alteration have a worse prognosis, while GBM with NF1 alteration has a similar clinical outcome to cases without NF1 alterations7. Gene expression profiling of GBM has shown that NF1 alterations are associated with a so-called mesenchymal gene expression pattern8,9. Recent data suggest that the mesenchymal gene expression pattern may reflect features in the tumor microenvironment, rather than an intrinsic feature of the neoplastic cells8,10. This association between NF1 loss and the mesenchymal gene expression pattern suggests a direct role for GBM NF1 status influencing the tumor microenvironment. Supporting this possibility, numerous inflammatory mediators–including some factors that are regulated by signaling pathways downstream of Ras, such as MEK-ERK and Akt–have been implicated in GBM initiation and progression12,13. Tumor NF1 status strongly predicts the
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presence of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes, and a recent mechanistic study showed that conditioned media from NF1-deficient glioma cells increases macrophage recruitment in vitro. In order to study the role of NF1 as a mediator of the tumor microenvironment in GBM, we examined how NF1 loss influences secreted factors from neoplastic cells by applying glioma cell line conditioned media to cytokine arrays. Surprisingly, only a few recurrent changes were identified across a panel of three glioma cell lines. Here, we report that neurofibromin knockdown was associated with increases in expression and secretion of platelet-derived growth factor AA (PDGF-AA) and interleukin-8 (IL-8), two factors that influence tumor angiogenesis and inflammatory cell recruitment, and chitinase-3-like protein 1 (CHI3L1) and endoglin (ENG), two markers of the mesenchymal gene expression subclass in GBM. Correlation of our in vitro finding with TCGA data suggests an in vivo association between NF1 status and tumor production of CHI3L1 and ENG, supporting an association between NF1 and mesenchymal identity in human GBM samples.

**Methods**

**Cell lines.** Normal human astrocytes immortalized by expression of the catalytic subunit of telomerase and the E6/E7 oncoproteins were generated in our laboratory and have been previously described. T98G cells were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). SF268 and SF295 cells were provided by the University of California San Francisco (UCSF) Brain Tumor Research Center Tissue Core. Media was obtained from the UCSF Cell Culture Facility. Cell lines were maintained at 37°C in a humidified 5% CO₂ incubator in high glucose Dulbecco's modified Eagles media (DMEM-H21) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 1% penicillin/streptomycin, and 1% fungizone. All cell lines were negative for mycoplasma by PCR analysis.

**siRNA Transfection.** ON-TARGETplus siRNA SMARTpools were purchased from Dharmacon (Lafayette, CO) and reconstituted according to the manufacturer's instructions. Cells were seeded at 0.1 × 10⁶ cells per well in triplicate wells of 6-well tissue culture plates in DMEM-H21 10% FBS without antibiotics. Twenty-four hours later, cells were transfected with 20 nM siControl (product number D-001206-14-05) or siNF1 (product number L-003916-00-005) SMARTpools, using DharmaFECT 1 reagent according to the manufacturer's protocol. Eighteen hours after transfection, the transfection medium was replaced with DMEM 10% FBS with antibiotics, and cells were incubated for a total of 72 hours from initial transfection before harvesting the conditioned media for ELISA, and the cells for total RNA and protein extraction.

**Western Blotting.** Cells were harvested by washing once with ice-cold phosphate buffered saline (PBS), then scraping into ice-cold PBS, followed by centrifugation for 3 minutes at 200 × g and resuspension in lysis buffer (20 mM Tris-HCL pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl, 300 mM sucrose, 6 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM EGTA, 0.5% Triton X-100) supplemented with 1 × PhosStop/protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO). Protein was extracted by overnight incubation at 4°C with gentle rotation, followed by clarifying lysates by centrifugation at 16,000 × g at 4°C for 10 minutes. Protein concentrations were determined by DC Protein Assay (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA). Total protein (40 ng) in 1 × loading dye with 0.1 M DTT was fractionated on Novex 4%-20% Tris-Glycine gels (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) for 2 hours at 100 volts, then transferred to Immuno-Blot PVDF membranes (Bio-Rad Laboratories) overnight at 4°C for 18 hours in transfer buffer without methanol. Membranes were blocked for 1 hour in 5% nonfat milk (Bio-Rad Laboratories) suspended in 1 × tris-buffered saline with 0.05% Tween-20 (TBST; Teknova, Hollister, CA). Primary antibodies were diluted in 1 × TBST 5% milk or 5% bovine serum albumin (BSA, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) and applied for 18 hours at 4°C with gentle rocking. Membranes were washed 3 times quickly and then 3 times for 15 minutes in 1 × TBST at room temperature before applying HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies diluted 1:20,000 in 5% milk TBST (anti-mouse HRP sc-2031 and anti-rabbit HRP sc-2030 from Santa Cruz Biotechnologies, Dallas, TX). After repeating the 1 × TBST wash steps, bands were detected by ECL reagent (GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL). Primary antibodies were obtained from Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA), ERK #9102, diluted 1:2000 in 5% BSA 1 × TBST, phospho-ERK #9106, diluted 1:2000 in 5% milk 1 × TBST, and GAPDH #2118, diluted 1:5000 in 5% BSA 1 × TBST, or Santa Cruz Biotechnologies, NF1 H-12 mouse monoclonal sc-376886 diluted 1:100 in 5% milk 1 × TBST.

**Cytokine Array.** Cells were transfected as described in the siRNA protocol, except the medium was replaced with serum- and antibiotic-free DMEM-H21 for the final 24 hours of the incubation. Medium from 3 wells was pooled, clarified by centrifugation at 200 × g at 4°C, and immediately applied to Proteome Profiler Human XL cytokine arrays according to the manufacturer's instructions (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN). Cytokine array signal was detected at multiple exposure times ranging from 15 seconds to 10 minutes. Film was scanned using an Epson Perfection V78 Pro transmission mode scanner and Epson SilverFast software. Signal levels were measured using ImageJ software with the Protein Array Analyzer plugin. Values from duplicate spots were averaged, and the relative signal was calculated in siNF1 treated sample, compared to siControl treated cells.

**Quantitative RT-PCR.** When cells were harvested for protein extraction, an aliquot of the PBS resuspended cells was removed, centrifuged at 300 × g for 5 minutes, and cell pellets were stored at −80°C. Total RNA was extracted with RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and cDNA was synthesized from 1000 ng of total RNA in a 40 μL reaction using Superscript II Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen) and oligo-dT primers from Integrated DNA Technologies (Coralville, IA). 1 μL of the CDNA reaction was used for quantitative RT-PCR using the Rotor-Gene System (Qiagen) and the following qPCR primers obtained from Integrated DNA Technologies: HPR1 (forward 5'-GGTCAGCGAGTCCTGAATTCAAGGAGCT-3', reverse 5'-GAAGCGGTCAAGGGGTCTCTGTTACCT-3').
(forward 5'-GGACTCTAAGATCAACACCCTG-3', reverse 5'-CACCACACTCTGCAACAATTCCAT-3', reference), CHI3L1 (forward 5'-GTGAAGGGGTCTCAAAACGG-3', reverse 5'-GAAAGGGGTCAAGGCTCT-3'), PDGFA (forward 5'-GCAAGACAGGGACGGTCTAT-3', reverse 5'-GGCACTTGACACTGTGT-3'), CXCL8/IL8 (forward 5'-GCTCTGCCAACAACCATCTG-3', reverse 5'-ACTCTCTCAACCCACTTCG-3'), and ENG (forward 5'-TGACTTGGCCTACAATTTCA-3', reverse 5'-AGCTGCCCACCTCAGATCT-3'). Some qPCR primers were picked using the PrimerBank qPCR primer database. Reaction conditions were as follows: 95 °C for 10 minutes, 40 cycles of 95 °C 10 seconds, 54 °C 15 seconds, and 72 °C 20 seconds). Differences in RNA expression between siNF1 and siControl treated cells was determined by the ∆∆Ct method.

ELISA. Medium from three wells of siRNA treated cells was pooled and clarified by centrifugation at 200 × g for 5 minutes at 4 °C, and aliquots were stored at −20 °C. ELISA for secreted factors was performed using kits, according to the manufacturer’s instructions (CHI3L1 product MC3L10 from R&D Systems, PDGF-AA product ab100622 from Abcam [Cambridge, United Kingdom], endoglin product DNDG00 from R&D Systems, and IL-8 product D8000C from R&D Systems). Conditioned medium from three independent transfections was analyzed.

TCGA Analysis. Data were retrieved from the cBioPortal database in August 2017. Glioblastoma TCGA data for 291 complete samples was queried for mutations, copy number alterations (GISTIC), and RNA expression z-scores from TCGA were analyzed by Mann-Whitney test, also using GraphPad software. Each cell line were adjusted for multiple comparisons (i.e. 4 comparisons for each cell line). Gene expression mRNA upregulation (i.e. mRNA z-score > 2, N = 6) were excluded from the analysis.

Statistical Analysis. Data analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism software. Graphs of ELISA and qPCR data show the mean ± standard deviation of three independent experiments. Significance levels are from paired bidirectional T-tests, with *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, and ***p < 0.001. Statistical tests on the qPCR data for each cell line were adjusted for multiple comparisons (i.e. 4 comparisons for each cell line). Gene expression z-scores from TCGA were analyzed by Mann-Whitney test, also using GraphPad software.

Data Availability Statement. All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article, and its Supplementary Information files.

Results
To establish conditions for knocking down neurofibromin protein, we treated three glioblastoma cell lines (T98G, SF268, and SF295) and non-transformed immortalized normal human astrocytes (NHA-E6/E7-hTert) with a SMARTpool of four siRNA constructs targeting the NF1 transcript (siNF1) optimized for target specificity, or a non-targeting SMARTpool control (siControl). According to the canSAR database, T98G, SF295, and SF268 do not have any NF1 mutations, and all of the cell lines express neurofibromin protein, therefore the cell lines that we selected for this study are highly likely to be neurofibromin proficient at baseline. Seventy-two hours after the siRNA transfection, we observed a statistically significant reduction in NF1 knockdown as a result of oncogene-induced senescence in some glioma cell lines. Upon quantitation, this trend did not reach statistical significance due to high variability between replicates (Fig. 1C). None of the cell lines showed induction of p21 (data not shown), a senescence marker that can be associated with decreased phosphorylation across all cell lines, as assessed by Western blot with phospho-specific antibodies (Fig. 1A). This was associated with a detectable increase in ERK phosphorylation across all cell lines, as assessed by Western blot with phospho-specific antibodies (Fig. 1A).

To confirm the cytokine array findings, we tested clarified conditioned media from siControl or siNF1 transfected cells and applied the media to protein arrays that detect 105 human cytokines and chemokines (Fig. 2A). An example of the data generated by this analysis is shown in Fig. 2B,C for T98G cells, and the complete findings are summarized in Fig. 2D and Supplemental Figure S2. Quantitation of the relative signal intensity of siNF1 versus siControl conditioned medium showed a greater than 2-fold increase in secreted PDGF-AA in T98G, SF295, and NHA-E6/E7-hTert cell lines. Secreted CHI3L1 was increased by 7-fold in T98G and by 1.2-fold in SF295. Secreted IL-8 was increased by 2.5-fold in SF268 cells, and by 1.6-fold in SF295 cells. Secreted ENG was increased only in T98G cells, by 2.8-fold. The cytokine array results show that under in vitro conditions, knockdown of neurofibromin protein is associated with relatively few changes in secreted cytokines and chemokines, with a few common alterations in secreted proteins across the examined glioma cell lines.

To confirm the cytokine array findings, we tested clarified conditioned media from an independent set of triplicate samples of conditioned media from siControl or siNF1 treated cells by ELISA. We analyzed all four cell lines for changes in secreted CHI3L1, PDGF-AA, IL-8, and ENG, since these were the most consistently and/or significantly altered factors identified by the cytokine array analysis. The measured secreted cytokine values are listed in Table 1, and relative changes are shown in Fig. 3 and described here. Consistent with the cytokine array results, we detected an increase in secreted PDGF-AA in NF1 deficient T98G, SF295, and NHA-E6/E7-hTert cell lines, while secreted PDGF-AA was unchanged in SF268 (Fig. 3A). Also consistent with the cytokine array results, we observed increased secreted CHI3L1 from siNF1 transfected T98G cells, and an increase in secreted CHI3L1 from siNF1 transfected SF295 cells above a high baseline level of secretion in the control condition (Fig. 3B, and Table 1). Secreted CHI3L1 was reduced by siNF1 treatment in NHA-E6/E7-hTert (Fig. 3B), and was not detectable in SF268 conditioned media under either siRNA condition. Secreted ENG was increased in T98G cells and unchanged in NHA-E6/E7-hTert, but was not detected in SF268 or SF295 cells (Fig. 3C). ELISA for IL-8 was complicated by high inter-rePLICATE variability in the absolute measured IL-8 levels, possibly related to storage of the conditioned media, therefore the measured values in Table 1 are from 2 (rather than 3) experimental replicates.
However, when the IL-8 levels were expressed as a ratio of siNF1 to siControl levels across all three replicates, there was a consistent induction of secreted IL-8 in T98G, SF268, and SF295 cells, while in NHA-E6/E7-hTert the secreted IL-8 level was significantly reduced (Fig. 3D), closely paralleling the findings from the cytokine arrays. Taken together, the ELISA data confirm the cytokine array findings and implicate PDGF-AA, CHI3L1, IL-8, and ENG as secreted factors under negative regulatory control by neurofibromin in a subset of glial tumor cell lines in vitro.

To determine whether there is transcriptional upregulation of the factors that we identified in the cytokine arrays and ELISA, we performed quantitative RT-PCR for CHI3L1, PDGFA, ENG and CXCL8/IL8 on all four cell lines transfected with siNF1 versus control siRNA (Fig. 4). In agreement with the ELISA data where secreted cytokines/chemokines were increased, we identified transcriptional upregulation of the following genes upon NF1 knockdown: PDGFA in NHA-E6/E7-hTert, T98G, and SF295 cells; CHI3L1 in T98G and SF295 cells; ENG in T98G cells, and CXCL8/IL8 in T98G, SF268, and SF295 cells. Also consistent with the reductions in secreted protein levels on ELISA, we observed a trend towards reduced CHI3L1 and CXCL8/IL8 in NHA-E6/E7-hTert cells, though this did not reach statistical significance. We observed transcriptional changes in some factors that were not detectable by ELSA in the same cell line, specifically induction of ENG in SF268 and SF295 and reduction of CHI3L1 in SF268, which may reflect the higher sensitivity of quantitative RT-PCR. In only two instances, significant changes in the mRNA transcript levels were observed without a corresponding change in secreted protein: PDGFA was induced in SF268 without increased secretion, and ENG was induced in NHA-E6/E7-hTert without increased secretion. With these two exceptions, the qPCR data was fully concordant with the ELISA results, suggesting that neurofibromin loss could influence the glioma cell secreted protein profile through regulation of transcription. A summary of the significant changes across three testing modalities (i.e. cytokine array, ELISA, and quantitative RT-PCR) is provided as Supplemental Figure S3.

We turned to The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) data to determine the in vivo relevance of our findings in human tumor samples. TCGA glioblastoma cases were classified into two categories: those with an alteration of the NF1 gene (i.e. mutation, deletion, or mRNA downregulation, N = 12) or no evidence of a deleterious NF1 alteration (N = 129). Cases in the NF1-altered group showed a significantly lower NF1 mRNA z-score compared to the NF1 intact group, confirming that these categories differ in their levels of NF1 mRNA expression (Fig. 5A). The NF1-altered group of tumors showed significantly higher expression of CHI3L1 and ENG (Fig. 5B,C), while the PDGFA and CXCL8/IL8 RNA levels were not significantly altered between the two groups (Supplemental

---

**Figure 1.** Confirmation of neurofibromin knockdown by Western blot (A) and quantitative RT-PCR (B) in four cell lines used in the study. All cell lines showed an increase in phosphorylated ERK protein by Western blot (A). (C) Quantitation of the increased phospho-ERK signal did not achieve statistical significance (values are mean +/− standard deviation of 3 independent replicates for NHA, T98G, and SF268, and of 2 replicates for SF295; in a third SF295 assay, P-Erk levels were too low for reliable quantitation).
Figure 2. Human cytokine array results from conditioned media of four cell lines after neurofibromin knockdown. (A) Reference key for cytokine array, adapted from the manufacturer's information. (B) Complete array images and (C) quantitation of resulting data from siControl and siNF1 treated T98G cells. Quantitation was performed on a 2 minute exposure, except for CHI3L1 (8 minute exposure). (D) Summary of array data for all factors with changes upon siNF1 treatment. Only factors with at least 2-fold increased expression upon NF1 knockdown are pictured, other changes are noted by text only. Complete array images and quantitations are provided in the supplementary information.

Figure S4). Taken together with the in vitro findings, these data raise the possibility an in vivo link between loss of neurofibromin and increased expression of CHI3L1 and ENG, two factors that could reflect GBM mesenchymal identity.

Discussion
Mutations and structural alterations of the NF1 tumor suppressor gene are common in GBM, but the significance of these genetic alterations for tumor behavior is not fully understood. NF1 alterations are enriched in the mesenchymal gene expression subgroup of GBM, and recent studies suggest that this gene expression subclass is influenced at least partly by the tumor microenvironment11,23. This raises the question of how neurofibromin deficiency in neoplastic astrocytes could influence the microenvironment. To begin to understand this role for NF1 loss in GBM, we performed an in vitro study of glioma cell lines and compared the results to non-transformed immortalized
normal human astrocytes. These NHA-E6/E7-hTert cells have been extensively studied, grow rapidly, and are readily transfected, circumventing some of the technical limitations of non-immortalized primary human astrocytes. NF1 knockdown under our experimental conditions resulted in increased secretion of surprisingly few cytokines and chemokines in the glioma cell lines. We found evidence for an association between NF1 loss and transcriptional upregulation and secretion of CHI3L1 and ENG, two markers of mesenchymal lineage cell types that are expressed

Table 1. Measured concentrations of secreted PDGF-AA, CHI3L1, ENG, and IL-8 in conditioned media from siControl and siNF1 treated cell lines. Values are from three independent replicates, except for IL-8 (2 replicates).

| Factor   | Cell Line   | Mean ± SD (pg/mL) |
|----------|-------------|-------------------|
| PDGF-AA  | T98G        | 1691 ± 369        |
|          | SF268       | 1439 ± 422        |
|          | SF295       | 797 ± 185         |
|          | NHA E6/E7 hTert | 3014 ± 1246     |
| CHI3L1   | T98G        | 133 ± 69          |
|          | SF268       | Not detected      |
|          | SF295       | 13180 ± 1919      |
|          | NHA E6/E7 hTert | 780 ± 308      |
| ENG      | T98G        | 455 ± 140         |
|          | SF268       | Not detected      |
|          | SF295       | Not detected      |
|          | NHA E6/E7 hTert | 336 ± 96        |
| IL-8     | T98G        | 1747 ± 441        |
|          | SF268       | 2179 ± 180        |
|          | SF295       | 3076 ± 479        |
|          | NHA E6/E7 hTert | 4307 ± 181     |

Figure 3. ELISA confirmation of factors increased on cytokine array for (A) secreted PDGF-AA, (B) secreted CHI3L1, (C) secreted ENG, and (D) secreted IL-8. Graphs represent the mean fold-change +/− standard deviation of three replicates. N.D. indicates that the factor was not detected.
in a subset of GBM. We also identified transcriptional upregulation of PDGFA and CXCL8/IL8 and corresponding increased secretion of PDGF-AA and IL-8, two factors that can influence tumor inflammatory cell content and vascular proliferation. Interestingly, we observed that in NHA-E6/E7-hTert cells, secreted IL-8 and CHI3L1 levels were reduced by NF1 knockdown, and secreted ENG was unchanged, suggesting that the effects of NF1 loss on CHI3L1, IL-8, and ENG secretion is different in transformed versus non-transformed astrocytes. NHA-E6/E7-hTert cells are, however, different from primary astrocytes, so further study is required to confirm this relationship.

Figure 4. Quantitative RT-PCR for transcriptional induction or repression of the NF1 regulated factors that were identified by cytokine array in siNF1-treated cell lines.

Figure 5. TCGA analysis of gene expression levels in NF1 altered GBM. Z-scores of the mRNA expression values for (A) NF1, (B) CHI3L1, and (C) ENG are shown.
Chitinase-3-like protein 1 (CHI3L1; also called YKL-40) is a secreted chitin-binding glycoprotein that lacks chitinase activity. CHI3L1 is expressed in a subset of gliomas, and an *in vitro* study has implicated CHI3L1 in promoting glioma cell invasion and survival. A number of immune and mesenchymal cell types express CHI3L1 during cellular differentiation or pathologic inflammatory conditions, but astrocytes have also been shown to produce CHI3L1 *in vivo* under the control of proinflammatory cytokines produced by macrophages, and in the setting of glial neoplasms. Interestingly, CHI3L1 is an immunophenotypic marker of the mesenchymal gene expression subclass of GBM. This study is the first suggestion, to our knowledge, of a direct link between *NF1* loss in glioma and production of CHI3L1 by neoplastic cells. Since CHI3L1 is implicated as a driver of some aspects of mesenchymal behavior in GBM, our study raises the possibility of a mechanistic link between *NF1* and mesenchymal phenotype, mediated in part by CHI3L1 that is produced by the neoplastic glioma cells.

Endoglin (ENG; also called CD105) is a transmembrane protein component of the transforming growth factor beta (TGF-β) receptor complex that is expressed in approximately 40% of primary glioblastoma samples, but not in secondary GBM or normal brain. ENG expression is controlled, at least in part, by TGF-β signaling and contributes to mesodermal differentiation in primary GBM. As with CHI3L1, the results from our study raise the possibility of a direct link between *NF1* loss and mesenchymal identity *in vitro*. Interestingly, the relationship between GBM *NF1* status and increased expression of CHI3L1 and ENG was preserved in TCGA data, suggesting that there is *in vivo* relevance for these findings. The mechanistic significance of secreted ENG in glioma is not clear. In mouse models of skin carcinogenesis, membrane-associated ENG suppresses H-Ras mediated transformation. Shedding of the membrane-associated ENG is associated with increased TGF-β signaling and progression to spindle morphology in squamous cell carcinoma. The increase in secreted ENG that we observed in *NF1*-deficient T98G cells might therefore reflect an increase in TGF-β signaling, a pathway that is implicated in mesenchymal transition in GBM.

Interleukin-8 is expressed in GBM and mostly has pro-angiogenic effects, but is also implicated in neurophil chemotaxis and autocrine growth-promoting effects. In our study, *NF1* knockdown was associated with increased transcription and secretion of IL-8 in 2 of 3 glioma cell lines. However, we did not observe a relationship between GBM *NF1* status and CXCL8/IL8 mRNA levels *in vivo*, as assessed by TCGA analysis. Similarly, although we identified increased secretion of PDGF-AA in two glioma cell lines as well as immortalized normal human astrocytes upon *NF1* knockdown, this relationship was not preserved in the TCGA data.

Our study has a number of limitations. First, we assessed a small number of cell lines using *in vitro* approaches, so our findings do not reflect the complexity of the tumor/microenvironment interaction that occurs *in vivo*. Second, we did not seek to define the precise mechanisms by which *NF1* deficiency leads to increased secretion of such a small number of cytokines and chemokines, beyond suggesting that part of the mechanism is by transcriptional upregulation. Further studies are required to define a signaling pathway responsible for induction of the factors that we found in this work. Third, some of the relationships identified in our study are not supported by *in vivo* data from TCGA – specifically for PDGF-AA and IL-8. Part of this limitation can be attributed to tissue culture artifacts, but it is also likely related to the small number of *NF1*-deficient GBM samples in the database. Finally, although the siRNA constructs that we used are modified for maximum specificity, rescue experiments would be required to prove the findings are due to an on-target (i.e. neurofibromin-specific) effect. However, we note that the siRNA constructs that we employed have been validated and used in previous studies, we identified commonalities across different cell lines, and we used TCGA data to support the findings. Therefore, we consider it unlikely for our findings to be solely an off-target phenomenon.

As clinical next-generation sequencing for brain tumors becomes more common, more *NF1*-altered gliomas will be identified, and the identification of such tumors will be critical for further study. Our data suggest that *NF1* loss could directly alter the regulation and expression of factors that contribute to cellular mesenchymal identity and the tumor/microenvironment interaction.
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