The role of obesity in exceptionally slow US mortality improvement
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Recent studies have described a reduction in the rate of improvement in American mortality. The pace of improvement is also slow by international standards. This paper attempts to identify the extent to which rising body mass index (BMI) is responsible for reductions in the rate of mortality improvement in the United States. The data for this study were obtained from subsequent cohorts of the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES III, 1988–1994; NHANES continuous, 1999–2010) and from the NHANES linked mortality files, which include follow-up into death records through December 2011. The role of BMI was estimated using Cox models comparing mortality trends in the presence and absence of adjustment for maximum lifetime BMI (Max BMI). Introducing Max BMI into a Cox model controlling for age and sex raised the annual rate of mortality decline by 0.54% (95% confidence interval 0.45–0.64%). Results were robust to the inclusion of other variables in the model, to differences in how Max BMI was measured, and to how trends were evaluated. The effect of rising Max BMI is large relative to international mortality trends and to alternative mortality futures simulated by the Social Security Administration. The increase in Max BMI over the period 1988–2011 is estimated to have reduced life expectancy at age 40 by 0.9 years in 2011 (95% confidence interval 0.7–1.1 years) and accounted for 186,000 excess deaths that year. Rising levels of BMI have prevented the United States from enjoying the full benefits of factors working to improve mortality.
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Two recent studies by the National Academy of Sciences documented a slowdown in rates of mortality improvement in the United States and an increasing US mortality disadvantage relative to other wealthy countries (1, 2). Middle-aged white populations, especially white women, have actually experienced rising mortality over much of the past several decades (3–5). Rising mortality rates occurred earlier and more dramatically in many US counties (6, 7).

Causes of death implicated in the poor performance of mortality indicators among US whites include accidental poisoning—linked to the epidemic of prescription opioids—suicide, and chronic liver disease (3, 5, 8, 9). The adverse trends in mortality persist, however, even after removing the effects of these so-called “deaths of despair,” suggesting that these causes do not provide a complete explanation for the slowdown (4). Consistent with this possibility, the steady declines in death rates from cardiovascular disease that have been observed for nearly 40 y have decelerated or halted altogether (4, 8, 10). Death rates from heart disease at ages 50–54 in the United States declined at a slower rate than in any of 13 comparison countries between 1999 and 2015 (8). Rates of decline in cancer mortality were also comparatively slow in the United States (8).

A leading candidate to account for the poor performance of mortality indicators in the United States is a rise in the prevalence of obesity. The age-standardized prevalence of obesity in US adults based on examination-measured height and weight increased from 15% in 1976–1980 to 38% in 2013–2014 (11, 12). Obesity is associated with a variety of outcomes, including diabetes, cardiovascular disease, cancer, and all-cause mortality (13–17). A growing body of evidence indicates that these associations may be causal (18–21). Ma et al. (10) suggest that the recent attenuation in declining death rates for heart disease, stroke, and diabetes may reflect the lagged consequences of increased obesity prevalence. Other analysts have endorsed this suggestion (6, 22, 23), including Tom Frieden, then Director of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (24). One suggestive association is that, among rich countries, the prevalence of adult obesity is highest in the United States (25). The increase in mean BMI in the United States in recent decades was also larger than in comparable European countries (26, 27).

In this paper, we used nationally representative data on the US adult population to estimate the contribution of changes in body mass index (BMI) to trends in US mortality at ages 40–84 during the period 1988–2011.

Data and Methods

To assess the role of BMI in recent national mortality trends, we combined data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) III pertaining to the period 1988–1994 and data from the NHANES for the period 1999–2011 (28, 29). NHANES is a nationally representative survey of the noninstitutionalized US population and includes a questionnaire as well as clinical and laboratory components. The latest year to which NHANES surveys have been linked to death records from the National Death Index is 2011. We restricted the sample to medically examined adults eligible for mortality follow-up aged 40–79 without missing data on maximum BMI (n = 931) or missing data on sex, race/ethnicity, education, and smoking status (n = 71). We also excluded 19 individuals considered underweight, i.e., having values of Max BMI below 18.5, and 17 individuals with max BMI above 40.
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above 75. The final sample consists of 25,269 individuals, experiencing 4,620 deaths over 230,728 person-years of exposure. Our indicator of BMI combined an individual’s reported maximum weight (exclusive of pregnancy) with measured height at survey to calculate maximum body mass index (Max BMI). Using model selection criteria, this variable has repeatedly been shown to be superior to BMI at baseline in predicting mortality (15, 17). One suggested reason for its superior performance is that it is less susceptible to weight loss associated with illness, which biases estimates of mortality associated with baseline BMI. Another reason is that it conveys important elements of weight history which may have enduring effects on health (30). In the present analysis, we transformed Max BMI to reflect the number of BMI units above 25 kg/m², with values between 18.5–25 kg/m² assigned to zero. A BMI value of 25 represents the beginning of the “Overweight” range as defined by the World Health Organization.

We used a Cox proportional hazards model to estimate the relation between Max BMI and mortality, using time since survey as exposure time. Individuals remained exposed to the risk of death until they were censored by death, by reaching January 1, 2012, or by reaching age 85. A key variable is “calendar year,” a numeric value that corresponds to a particular year of exposure to the risk of death. After initial assignment to a particular year at survey, the “calendar year” variable increases by 1 y for each year of follow-up. Since the Cox model predicts the natural log of the death rate, the coefficient of calendar year is the estimated annual rate of mortality decline during the period under study. The focus of this paper is on how the rate of mortality change is altered when Max BMI is introduced into the model.

The effect of Max BMI on the mortality trend was first established using a model that controls only age and sex. We do not employ cohort identifiers in the models so we do not encounter the age-period-cohort identification problem. We subsequently introduced three other sets of variables into the models: race/ethnicity (white non-Hispanic, black non-Hispanic, Hispanic, other); educational attainment (<9 y, 9–11 y, 12 y, some college or an associate’s degree, 4-y college graduate); and smoking status (never, former, current). These variables are correlated with BMI and with the risk of death and, therefore, potentially confound the estimated impact of BMI as well as its effects on mortality trends (30). In preliminary analyses, we evaluated interactions between Max BMI and calendar year and between Max BMI and smoking. The interactions shown in Table 3 were insignificant and not retained in subsequent analyses. A second-degree term in Max BMI was also insignificant and was dropped.

Using national vital statistics from the Human Mortality Database (31), we calculated trends in age-standardized death rates over the same range of ages and years included in the NHANES analysis. We did this for the United States and 15 comparison countries chosen for their social and economic similarity to the United States. Rates were standardized using the age distribution of the United States in 2000. The rate of mortality change was estimated by an ordinary least squares linear regression of ln DR(t) on t, where DR(t) is the death rate in year t, t = 1988...2011. To avoid any influence from changing sex composition, DR(t) is the mean of male and female death rates.

We estimated the effect of changing Max BMI on national life expectancy over the period. To do so, we applied two sets of NHANES-based mortality changes to the US age-specific death rates in the official life table of 1988, one with and one without Max BMI controlled, and compared the projected life expectancies in 2011. Since our analysis did not extend beyond age 85, we used the actual mortality level in the official US life table for 2011 above age 85 to derive our projected life expectancies (32).

We explored the sensitivity of results to the following changes in procedure:

i) We treated time as a dichotomous variable rather than as a continuous variable by constructing observations for two baseline periods, 1988–1994 and 1994–2009. We censored individuals after 5 y of exposure so that data structures in the two periods were identical.

ii) We treated max BMI as a categorical variable using the World Health Organization-recommended BMI categories of <18.5, 18.5–24.9, 25–29.9, 30–34.9, 35–39.9, and ≥40. This model added 19 observations to the dataset, those with max BMI < 18.5.

iii) We investigated the effect of introducing an interaction between max BMI and age in its effect on mortality trends.

iv) We investigated the effect on estimated mortality trends of measuring Max BMI from a value of 18.5, the start of the “normal” BMI category recommended by the World Health Organization, rather than 25.0, the start of the overweight category.

Data analyses were performed using Stata version 15 (StataCorp), and all estimates were adjusted for the complex survey design of the NHANES. Variances were estimated with the SSV routine, which uses Taylor series linearization. Bootstrapping was performed to generate 95% confidence intervals on the estimate of the change in the coefficient of calendar year when Max BMI is introduced into a model including age, sex, and date of observation, as well as on the estimate of the subsequent change in life expectancy (33). We used the simulate command in Stata to perform 1,000 simulations, resampling with replacement.

**Results**

Table 1 shows some of the major characteristics of the cohorts under study. Between 1988 and 1994 and 2005 and 2010, the proportion of the US adult population aged 40–79 that had been obese at some point in their lives increased from 40 to 52% while the proportion reporting a history of smoking declined from 60 to 50%.

Fig. 1 shows annual rates of mortality decline at ages 40–84 in 16 countries between 1988 and 2011. At 1.53%/y, the United States had the slowest decline in mortality. The mean rate of decline for the remaining 15 countries was 2.21%/y.

Table 2 presents the rate of mortality decline in different models before and after the introduction of Max BMI. The models themselves are presented in Tables S1 and S2. The rate of mortality decline in a model including only age, sex, and date of observation was 0.0181, which is within one SD of the 0.0153 rate observed using national vital statistics. When Max BMI was introduced into the model including age, sex, and date of observation, the increase in the rate of mortality decline was 0.0054, with a 95% confidence interval on the change of 0.0045–0.0064. Thus, rising Max BMI is estimated to have slowed the annual rate of mortality decline during this period by 0.54%. This is equivalent to a 23% [(0.0054/0.0235) × 100] relative reduction in the rate of mortality decline as a result of rising obesity.

Across the five models shown in Table 2, the change in the coefficient of calendar year when Max BMI was introduced ranged only from 0.52 to 0.57%. Introducing smoking into a model that included all other variables changed the implied rate of decline from 1.70% to 1.47%. So it appears that rising Max BMI had a negative effect on mortality trends that was roughly double the positive effect of reduced smoking.

Table 3 presents results equivalent to those in Table 2 for several alternative models, all of which included age, sex, race/ethnicity, educational attainment, and smoking. Alternative A uses a dichotomous time variable and limits mortality follow-up to 5 y for each survey wave. The annual rate of mortality change was estimated as ln [B]11.57, where B is the coefficient of the variable representing the later period and 11.57 is the mean number of years separating the two sets of observations. The rate of mortality decline in the dichotomous-time specification before the addition of max BMI was 0.95%, compared with 0.90% in the comparable preferred model. The equivalent values after the introduction of Max BMI are 1.43% and 1.47%, respectively.

The remaining alternatives also induced little change in the estimated impact of including Max BMI. Alternative B in Table 3 used categorical values of Max BMI rather than continuous values. The change in the rate of mortality decline when the categorical values were introduced was 0.55%/y, compared with 0.57%/y in the preferred model. Alternative C added an interaction term between Max BMI and age. The interaction term was significant but its inclusion had only a small effect on the impact of Max BMI. In alternative D, we measured Max BMI from 18.5 rather than 25.0 with little effect.

To estimate the effect of rising BMI on life expectancy, we compared results using the rates of mortality reduction in the model controlling only age and sex to those in the model controlling age, sex, and Max BMI. If age-specific death rates had fallen at the BMI-uncontrolled rate of 1.81%/y, life expectancy at age 40 would have risen from 37.6 y in 1988 (34) to 41.4 y in 2011. If death rates had fallen at the BMI-controlled rate of 2.35%/y, life expectancy at age 40 in 2011 would have risen to...
This comparison suggests that rising BMI reduced gains in life expectancy at age 40 by 0.9 y over this period, with a confidence interval on the effect of introducing Max BMI of 0.7–1.1 y. Note that actual US life expectancy in 2011 at age 40 was 40.6 y (32), so the actual gain in life expectancy was 3.0 y vs. our modeled gain of 3.8 y. This discrepancy is consistent with slightly faster declines noted earlier in age-standardized death rates in NHANES than in national vital statistics.

As a final check on the plausibility of our estimates, we combined directly observed changes in the Max BMI variable with the coefficient representing the estimated effect of Max BMI on mortality. As shown in Table 1, the mean value of Max BMI (measured from a starting value of 25) rose from 5.00 in 1988–94 to 6.93 in 2005–10, or by 1.93 units. The coefficient of Max BMI in the regression that also includes age, sex, and the mortality trend is 0.0433 (Table 2). Combining these two values gives a predicted change in the natural log of the death rate of 0.0433 × 1.93 = 0.0836. The mean length of time between the two sets of observations is 16.51 y, so the estimated effect on the annual rate of mortality change is 0.0836/16.51 = 0.051%. This value is closely aligned with the estimates shown in Tables 2 and 3, which range from 0.48 to 0.57%. Those latter estimates utilize substantially more detail on the exact timing of exposure and death during the 1988–2011 period.

### Discussion

It has been widely speculated that a rising prevalence of obesity is responsible for slowing gains in mortality and life expectancy in the United States. Such a relationship was predicted by Olshansky et al. (35) and has been hypothesized by several other analysts. The present paper is an effort to quantify the impact of rising obesity in recent decades on national mortality trends.

We estimate that rising Max BMI during the period 1988–2011 has lowered the rate of decline in US age-standardized death rates by ~0.5–0.6%/y. The estimate is robust to the inclusion of other major drivers of national mortality levels, including smoking, educational attainment, and racial composition. It is also robust to alternative ways of operationalizing BMI and identifying trends. Is a difference in rates of mortality decline of 0.5–0.6%/y large or small? One useful metric is provided by mortality projections.

### Table 1. Descriptive statistics of the sample of adults aged 40–79 at baseline in NHANES, 1988–1994 and 1999–2010

| Characteristics | 1988–1994 | 1999–2004 | 2005–2010 |
|-----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| **Obesity**     |           |           |           |
| Ever obese, %   | 39.81 [0.96] | 49.07 [1.05] | 52.00 [0.93] |
| Max BMI units above 25 kg/m² (mean) | 5.00 [0.12] | 6.25 [0.14] | 6.93 [0.12] |
| **Age (mean)**  |           |           |           |
| At baseline     | 56.28 [0.32] | 55.37 [0.17] | 55.75 [0.24] |
| At follow-up    | 72.46 [0.29] | 64.58 [0.17] | 59.57 [0.23] |
| Male, %         | 47.41 [0.66] | 47.81 [0.53] | 48.33 [0.53] |
| **Race/ethnicity, %** |       |           |           |
| Non-Hispanic white | 80.42 [1.29] | 76.21 [1.61] | 74.32 [1.79] |
| Non-Hispanic black | 9.67 [0.59] | 9.93 [0.97] | 10.80 [0.98] |
| Hispanic        | 7.07 [0.81] | 9.53 [1.40] | 9.48 [1.09] |
| Other           | 2.85 [0.54] | 4.32 [0.46] | 5.40 [0.55] |
| **Education, %** |       |           |           |
| <ninth grade    | 14.21 [0.89] | 7.65 [0.46] | 6.66 [0.55] |
| <High school degree | 14.03 [0.86] | 12.95 [0.64] | 11.52 [0.60] |
| High school degree | 33.03 [0.82] | 26.07 [0.79] | 25.34 [0.78] |
| Some college/AA degree | 17.1 [0.70] | 28.18 [0.77] | 28.20 [0.79] |
| BA or more      | 21.62 [1.26] | 25.16 [1.21] | 28.28 [1.21] |
| **Smoking status, %** |       |           |           |
| Never           | 40.25 [0.91] | 46.00 [0.88] | 49.61 [0.95] |
| Former          | 35.52 [0.82] | 32.35 [0.79] | 30.06 [0.80] |
| Current         | 24.23 [0.90] | 21.66 [0.71] | 20.33 [0.81] |
| N               | 8,395       | 7,593      | 9,281      |
| Deaths          | 3,018       | 1,175      | 427        |
| Person-years    | 127,788     | 69,024     | 33,917     |

All results reflect sample weighting, except N, deaths, and person-years. AA, associate of arts; BA, bachelor of arts.
done by the US Social Security Administration. In their most recent projections, the intermediate rate of mortality decline projected between 2013 and 2040 for all ages combined is 0.91%, whereas the low-cost alternative rate of decline is 0.45% (36). This difference of 0.46% is slightly smaller than the estimated effect of rising BMI on recent trends in mortality. At ages 65+, the difference between intermediate and low-cost projections is 0.42%, also below the estimated impact of rising BMI. So the rise in BMI appears to be a powerful factor in mortality relative to the amount of uncertainty embedded in Social Security projections.

A second metric is international. The SD of national rates of mortality decline shown in Fig. 1 is 0.30%. So rising BMI in the United States has generated an effect on mortality decline (0.50–0.60%) that is close to two international SD units. Relative to observed international variation in rates of mortality improvement, the effect of increasing body mass indices in the United States is large.

A third metric is the number of excess deaths. If death rates had declined since 1988 at the Max BMI-controlled rate of 2.35% rather than the uncontrolled rate of 1.81%, there would have been ~88.3% \((1 - e^{-0.0054(23)})\) as many deaths at ages 40–84 in 2011 as actually occurred that year (37). So in the age interval 40–84, we estimate that rising BMI is responsible for 11.7% of the 1,590,254 deaths, or a total of 186,000 excess deaths, in 2011 alone. The total number of deaths in 2011 at all ages combined was 2,515,458, so the excess deaths attributable to rising Max BMI at ages 40–84 represent 7.4% of the total deaths in the United States in 2011 (37).

The finding that rising BMI has translated into population-level effects is notable given the increased adoption of pharmacological treatments for several obesity-related chronic conditions, including hypertension, hyperglycemia, and dyslipidemia, over the period of investigation. It is possible that the increased uptake of these medications has blunted the impact of rising obesity (38). However, we did not find any evidence of secular declines in the individual-level associations between Max BMI and mortality.

The present study has several limitations. First, we treated BMI as a causal variable in its relation to mortality. BMI itself is an amalgam of genes, diet, and physical activity (13). In this paper, we do not attempt to identify the factors that help to produce high levels of BMI, factors which may have their own impact on mortality. Our results are based on observational data rather than on randomized trials. Many unmeasured factors, such as childhood poverty, may be positively correlated with BMI and, independently, with mortality. Their absence from the regression model is likely to bias upwards the estimated effect of rising BMI on mortality trends. The fact that introducing key socio-economic variables—educational attainment and race/ethnicity—into the model had almost no effect on the estimated impact of Max BMI on mortality trends is one indication that omitted socioeconomic variables may also have relatively little effect, but there is no assurance that the effect would be small.

The single most important risk factor in US mortality, cigarette smoking, is negatively correlated with Max BMI (39). Smoking is a complex exposure with multiple dimensions of duration and intensity and is difficult to measure precisely in self-reported data. Because of smoking’s negative correlation with BMI, measurement error in our smoking variable is likely to bias downward the estimated impact of BMI on mortality and mortality trends (40). The net effect of these several biases is difficult to predict (30).

A second limitation is that our estimated annual rate of mortality decline among US adults using NHANES was somewhat faster than that estimated using data from vital statistics, although the latter value is within one SE of the former. That national vital statistics include the institutionalized population and NHANES does not may account for some of the discrepancy. Nonresponse in NHANES surveys may also play a role (41). Finally, our estimated

---

Table 2. Results from Cox regressions with and without control for maximum BMI in NHANES, 1988–2011

| Model                                      | BMI coefficient [SE] | Annual % decline without BMI* [SE] | Annual % decline with BMI* [SE] | Change in % decline from introducing BMI |
|--------------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Age + Sex                                  | 0.0433 [0.0034]      | 1.81 [0.46]                      | 2.35 [0.44]                    | 0.54                                   |
| Age + Sex + Race                          | 0.0412 [0.0035]      | 1.82 [0.44]                      | 2.35 [0.43]                    | 0.52                                   |
| Age + Sex + Smoking                       | 0.0455 [0.0035]      | 1.37 [0.42]                      | 1.92 [0.40]                    | 0.55                                   |
| Age + Sex + Race + Smoking                | 0.0368 [0.0036]      | 1.18 [0.43]                      | 1.70 [0.42]                    | 0.52                                   |
| Age + Sex + Race + Smoking + Education    | 0.0425 [0.0036]      | 0.90 [0.41]                      | 1.47 [0.40]                    | 0.57                                   |

All results reflect sample weighting.

*Annual percent decline calculated as calendar year coefficient multiplied by 100.

---

Table 3. Effects of alternative procedures on estimated impact of maximum BMI on mortality trends in NHANES, 1988–2011

| Model                                      | Annual % decline without BMI* [SE] | Annual % decline with BMI* [SE] | Change in % decline from introducing BMI |
|--------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Preferred                                  | 0.90 [0.41]                      | 1.47 [0.40]                    | 0.57                                   |
| A. Discrete time                           | 0.95 [0.66]                      | 1.43 [0.66]                    | 0.48                                   |
| B. Categorical BMI                         | 0.90 [0.41]                      | 1.45 [0.40]                    | 0.55                                   |
| C. Age interaction                         | 0.90 [0.41]                      | 1.42 [0.40]                    | 0.52                                   |
| D. BMI beginning at 18.5                   | 0.90 [0.41]                      | 1.46 [0.40]                    | 0.56                                   |

All results from Cox regressions reflect sample weighting and include covariates for age, sex, race/ethnicity, educational attainment, and smoking. Exclusion criteria identical across alternative analyses, except where indicated.

*Annual percent decline calculated as calendar year coefficient divided by 11.57, the mean number of years separating the two periods, multiplied by 100. Sample limited to years 1988–1994 and 1999–2006. n = 18,429; Deaths = 1,457; Person-years = 88,877.

†Categorical BMI classified using World Health Organization guidelines. Underweight: <18.5; Normal weight: 18.5–24.9; Overweight: 25.0–29.9; Class I obesity: 30.0–34.9; Class II obesity: 35.0–39.9; Class III obesity: ≥40.0. n = 25,288; Deaths = 4,625; Person-years = 230,870.

‡Model with BMI includes an interaction between maximum BMI and age at baseline.
impact of rising BMI on the number of deaths at ages 40–84 and on life expectancy at age 40 assumes that its impact is constant across these ages, an assumption that may or may not be accurate.

**Conclusion**

During the past several decades, rising levels of BMI have reduced rates of improvement in age-specific death rates and in life expectancy in the United States. The effect of rising BMI is quite large relative to international mortality trends and to alternative mortality futures simulated by the Social Security Administration. We estimate that rising Max BMI over the period 1988–2011 has reduced life expectancy at age 40 by 0.9 y in 2011 and accounted for roughly 186,000 excess deaths that year. The headwinds posed by increasing levels of BMI have prevented the United States from enjoying the full benefits of factors working to improve mortality, including reductions in smoking and advances in medical technology. Continued increases in BMI would threaten future gains in life expectancy as well (42).
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