Functional Compatibility of Local Flaps for Coverage of Digital Skin Defects
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ABSTRACT

Objective: To determine if local flap coverage is functionally compatible of digital defects.
Study Design: Observational study.
Place and Duration of Study: Department of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, Fauji Foundation Hospital, Rawalpindi, from January 2017 to June 2019.
Methodology: Patients with small and medium skin defects on digits were included. Data was collected for both independent and dependent variables, emphasising on functional outcome based on five parameters (adequate coverage of the defect, functional length of the digit, painless scar, finger-tip sensation, and inter-phalangeal joint motion). Follow-up was done for six months.
Results: Total number of patients included in the study was 96, with 45 male and 51 female patients. Mean age of study participants was 26.6 ± 16.9 years. Small to medium size defects were included in the study for coverage by local flaps like cross figure, lateral proximal phalanx, flag, thenar, homo-digital flaps etc. Results were studied in terms of functional outcomes. All the five parameters were achieved in 84 (87.5%) patients. Complications were observed in 10 (10.4%) patients. The most frequent complication was wound infection, which was observed in 2 (2.1%) patients.
Conclusion: Local flaps for finger defects are a very effective way of providing durable soft tissue coverage.
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INTRODUCTION

The hand is a specialised organ. Its function depends upon an intricate balance of its soft tissue covering and underlying structures. Its role in day-to-day activities makes it vulnerable to frequent injuries. These injuries often lead to soft tissue loss, requiring coverage to restore its function as well as appearance.

Various techniques have been described in the literature for the soft tissue coverage of the digital defects. As plastic surgery evolved, so did these techniques. The choice of technique depends upon number of factors, like size of the defect, type of the defect, available donor areas, and surgeon’s expertise etc.

The abundance of options sometimes leads to confusion in choosing the best option, especially to the novice mind. The question arises which technique is better for a particular defect that fulfills all the goals of reconstruction, and achieves adequate coverage in minimal time and with least donor-site morbidity. The purpose of this study was to analyse cases of digital hand injuries, amenable to coverage by local flaps for its functional compatibility.

METHODOLOGY

It was a prospectively conducted, observational study done in the Department of Plastic Surgery, Fauji Foundation Hospital, Rawalpindi after approval from the hospital’s Ethical Committee. The study period was from January 2017 to June 2019. All patients presenting to the Plastic Surgery Department with small to medium size skin defects of digits (small being 1.5 cm or less, and medium being 1.5 to 3 cm in widest dimension) and a minimum follow-up of six months post-surgery. Exclusion criteria were, use of a hybrid technique (graft plus flap) and extensive damage, i.e. of more than three structures of the digit.

All the flaps were performed in a standard fashion. The independent variables studied were age, gender, etiology of the defect, location of the defect, size of the defect, and type of local flap used. The dependent variables included were complications &
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Functional outcome. The functional outcome was studied, based on five factors which were: ability of the flap to adequately cover the wound, painless scar, ability to preserve functional length of the digit, sensibility of the fingertip, and preservation of inter-phalangeal joint motion. Adequate coverage of the wound was taken sufficient, if more than 95% of the original wound was covered with the flap and healed without secondary intention. Pain in the scar was recorded using visual analogue scale of 0 to 10, and was studied at the end of six months after the surgical intervention. The functional length of the digit was taken as more than or equal to the 90% of original. Sensibility of the fingertip was assessed using two point discrimination and was labelled as sufficient, if it is 10mm or less at the end of six months. Preservation of inter-phalangeal joint motion was taken as adequate, if it was 90% or more of the full range of motion of that joint (examined by goniometer).

Data was entered and analysed using SPSS version 22. Numerical variables were described as mean ± SD and categorical variables were described as frequencies and percentages.

Table I: Baseline information.

| Age (mean years ± SD) | 26.6±16.9 |
|-----------------------|-----------|
| Age groups n (%)      |           |
| ≤18 years             | 35 (36.5) |
| 19-50 years           | 52 (54.2) |
| >50 years             | 9 (9.3)   |
| Gender n (%)          |           |
| Males                 | 45 (46.9) |
| Females               | 51 (53.1) |
| Size of defect n (%)  |           |
| Small                 | 59 (61.5) |
| Medium                | 37 (38.5) |
| Etiology n (%)        |           |
| Post contracture release | 52 (54.2) |
| Acute trauma          | 31 (32.3) |
| Tumor excision        | 3 (3.1)   |
| Miscellaneous         | 10 (10.4) |
| Location              |           |
| Volar digital         | 68 (70.8) |
| Volar and dorsal thumb| 12 (12.5) |
| Dorsal digital        | 10 (10.4) |
| Web space             | 6 (6.3)   |
| Type of flaps         |           |
| Cross finger flap     | 22 (22.9) |
| Z plasty              | 21 (21.9) |
| Lateral proximal phalanx flap | 18 (18.8) |
| V-Y advancement       | 8 (8.3)   |
| DCMA flap*            | 7 (7.3)   |
| Flag flap             | 7 (7.3)   |
| Thenar flap           | 5 (5.2)   |
| Homodigital flap      | 4 (4.2)   |
| Moberg flap           | 4 (4.2)   |

* Dorsal metacarpal artery flap.

Binary logistic regression analysis was applied for estimation of adjusted odds ratios (OR) or confidence intervals (CI) of OR for different variables and their independent influence on functional outcomes and complications. The significance level was set at p ≤0.05.

RESULTS

Total number of patients, who fulfilled the inclusion criteria, were 96. The mean age of study participants was 26.6 ± 16.9 years. Other baseline information is tabulated in Table I. All the functional outcomes were achieved in 84 (87.5%) patients (Table II, Figures 1-3).

Complications were observed in 10 (10.4%) patients. The most frequent complication was wound infection, which was observed in 4 (4.1%) patients. Other complications are mentioned in Table II.

Table II: Functional outcome achieved and complications observed.

| Complications                          | n (%) |
|----------------------------------------|-------|
| Wound infection                        | 4 (4.1)|
| Problematic scar                       | 3 (3.1)|
| Wound dehiscence                       | 3 (3.1)|
| Donor site graft loss                  | 2 (2.0)|
| Complete flap loss                     | 2 (2.0)|
| Partial flap loss                      | 2 (2.0)|
| Joint stiffness                         | 2 (2.0)|
| Functional outcome achieved (%)        |       |
| Adequate functional length             | 94 (97.9)|
| Adequate coverage                      | 93 (96.9)|
| Painless scar                          | 93 (96.9)|
| Range of Inter phalangeal joint motion | 92 (95.8)|
| Adequate sensation of fingertip         | 45 (66.17)|

Figure 1: (a) Preoperative picture; (b, c) Well healed Flag flap and donor site, (d) Good functional recovery.
Local flaps for coverage of digital skin defects

Significance of modifier was $p = 0.553$ for age effect, $p = 0.750$ for gender, $p = 0.584$ for size of defect, and $0.393$ for the type of flap.

DISCUSSION

Soft tissue digital defects are one of the commonest reconstructive problems seen by a plastic surgeon. To date, researchers are coming up with the new ideas, in quest of achieving an ideal coverage of these functionally important and cosmetically sensitive areas. This study was based on the evaluation of the commonly done procedures for these defects.

About 70% of these defects were on the volar side of the digits, which were mostly covered by cross finger flap. It can cover small to moderate size defects effectively with a modification to make it sensate. The main drawbacks are: use of skin graft for a visible donor site, procedure being two-staged and joint stiffness. Immediate mobilisation after this flap, results in early return to work due to less joint stiffness. In this series, the overall residual joint stiffness was observed in only 2% of the patients. The protocol was to start the rehabilitation after one week of suture removal.

The overall outcome in which all five parameters were achieved was 87.5% with the main aim being resumption of daily activities in a shorter time and with minimal short term and long term complications. These local flaps were able to achieve adequate wound coverage in almost 97% of defects making them a very reliable coverage option. Jiao et al. in their article of clinical experience of multiple flaps for the reconstruction of dorsal digital defects achieved adequate coverage with minimal complications.

Loss of digital length contributes in weakening of grip. Though the best way to preserve the length is by replantation, it is not always feasible. This series showed that the adequate functional length of the digits was preserved by the use of these local flaps in almost 98% of the patients, which shows that the local flaps are quite effective in distal digital coverage. Thus, every effort should be made to avoid shortening and primary closure of distal stump and a potential painful scar.

The infections were managed with the culture-specific antibiotics and general wound care. There was total flap loss in 2% cases (2 patients), which ultimately required secondary procedures. Both of these cases were of lateral proximal phalanx flap. Karjalainen et al. in their series of 851 cases of local flaps for fingertip reconstruction reported an unplanned secondary surgery in 3.6% of their cases.

The strengths of this study are that it was planned as prospective with adequate number of patients and a reasonable duration of follow-up to derive results from, studying all important aspects of functional outcomes. Its weaknesses are that it does not address the patient satisfaction and aesthetic outcomes of these local flaps for digital defect coverage.
CONCLUSION
Local flap for finger defect reconstruction is a very effective way of providing durable soft tissue coverage. It requires less operating time and expertise. However, these procedures are limited for small to moderate size defects.
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