Abstract

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is a noninvasive imaging technology that offers unparalleled anatomical and functional detail, along with diagnostic sensitivity. MRI is suitable for longitudinal studies due to the lack of exposure to ionizing radiation. Before undertaking preclinical MRI investigations of the kidney, the appropriate MRI hardware should be carefully chosen to balance the competing demands of image quality, spatial resolution, and imaging speed, tailored to the specific scientific objectives of the investigation. Here we describe the equipment needed to perform renal MRI in rodents, with the aim to guide the appropriate hardware selection to meet the needs of renal MRI applications.

This publication is based upon work from the COST Action PARENCHIMA, a community-driven network funded by the European Cooperation in Science and Technology (COST) program of the European Union, which aims to improve the reproducibility and standardization of renal MRI biomarkers. This chapter on hardware considerations for renal MRI in small animals is complemented by two separate publications describing the experimental procedure and data analysis.
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1 Introduction

The detection, staging, and monitoring of kidney diseases (KD) using measurements of renal function and structure is critical in clinical nephrology. The use of animal models in kidney research promotes the understanding of disease and therapy mechanisms. While current imaging modalities such as computed tomography or ultrasound can provide some information on structural changes in the kidney, these approaches offer limited insights into functional changes.

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is a noninvasive imaging technology that offers an unparalleled level of anatomical and functional detail, along with diagnostic sensitivity. MRI is suitable
for longitudinal studies due to the lack of exposure to ionizing radiation. Together with established imaging techniques, novel approaches such as dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI (DCE-MRI), arterial spin labeling (ASL), blood oxygen level dependent MRI (BOLD), and diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) can provide a broad characterization of the kidney, including renal vascular perfusion, oxygenation, and glomerular filtration rate.

For performing dedicated MR measurements of the kidney, the appropriate MRI hardware should be carefully chosen to balance the competing demands of image quality, spatial resolution and imaging speed, tailored to the specific scientific objectives of the investigation. This chapter is intended to outline the key hardware components of an MRI scanner and to provide an overview of currently established technologies, with the goal to guide the appropriate hardware selection that meets the needs of preclinical MRI of the kidney.

This chapter on hardware considerations for renal MRI in small animals is part of the book Pohlmann A, Niendorf T (eds) (2020) Preclinical MRI of the Kidney–Methods and Protocols. Springer, New York.

2 Static Magnetic Field Strength (B₀)

A superconducting magnet that generates a constant homogeneous magnetic field is the core hardware component of an MRI scanner. This strength of this static magnetic field (B₀) is proportional to the net polarization produced within the sample. The detected MR signal on a receive RF coil depends on B₀², whereas the noise increases linearly with B₀ [1]. B₀ governs the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR), which is the currency for MR image quality. This provides strong motivation and momentum to move to increasingly higher magnetic field strengths for preclinical and clinical renal MRI applications [2–4]. The proportionality of SNR with B₀ is linear in the quasi-static regime, as demonstrated theoretically [5, 6] and experimentally [7]. For higher magnetic field strengths, wave propagation and the increasing resonance frequencies must be considered [2]. Additionally, an experimental study on intrinsic SNR behaviour with increasing B₀ field strengths (3.0, 7.0, and 9.4 T) in the human brain reported a superlinear increase in SNR (SNR ∝ B₀¹.65) [7]. This observation was also confirmed in a simulation study [8].

Relaxation time constants of biological tissues also change with the magnetic field strength, thus influencing the SNR as well as the contrast-to-noise ratio (CNR), which ultimately has an impact on the image quality. The longitudinal relaxation time (T₁) increases nonlinearly with increasing B₀ [1, 9–11]. The transversal relaxation (T₂) and T₂* decrease at different slopes linearly [1, 9, 12–14]. These dependencies on B₀ are responsible for the reduced
T1-weighted contrast and increased BOLD effect at higher magnetic field strengths (see Note 1). MRI methods based on T2*-weighting (e.g., susceptibility weighted imaging, BOLD) will benefit from the T2* shortening, and thus increase in sensitivity at high magnetic field strengths, which underlies their broad application in renal MRI. An example of renal T2*-mapping ($R_2^* = 1/T_2^*$) is the study of oxygenation and haemodynamics in renal disorders (for a review see [15]). MR spectroscopy also benefits from the enhanced signal dispersion achieved at higher magnetic field strengths, which results in better resolved spectra, and permits improved accuracy of metabolite identification and quantification [1, 16].

However, MRI at high magnetic field strengths is susceptible to more severe B0 and B1 inhomogeneities, compared to lower field strengths. These inhomogeneities are primarily due to differences in the magnetic susceptibility at tissue interfaces. Susceptibility artifacts present themselves as T2*-induced signal losses (or even signal voids) in areas with very high B0 gradients, low phase-encoding bandwidth-related image distortion and off-resonance effects caused by $\Delta B_0$-induced frequency dispersions. MRI of the kidney poses additional challenges due to the anatomical context. The kidneys are adjacent to bowels, in close proximity to skin/fat/muscle boundaries (e.g., perirenal fat) or in areas containing cavities might be particularly prone to susceptibility artifacts.

Artifacts are visible as signal losses at interfaces between fat and tissue or air and tissue (susceptibility artifacts), image blurring, image distortion, and broadening of spectral lines in MRS, which interferes with the reliable differentiation of metabolites. B0 inhomogeneities can be mitigated using B0 shimming (see Subheading 3).

B1 inhomogeneities lead to variations in the flip angle driven by the RF pulse excitation. B1 inhomogeneities affect tissue contrast and SNR, appearing as signal intensity variations or losses across the field of view. Nonuniformities in B1 are caused by the RF coil (e.g., surface RF coil, see Subheading 4) or by the creation of standing waves and constructive/destructive interferences within the object under investigation, due to the reduction of the effective wavelength which at higher field strengths becomes shorter, and can approach the dimensions of the specific anatomical structures under study [17]. While the RF wavelength inside tissue at 3.0 T (~128 MHz) is about 30 cm, at 7.0 T (~300 MHz) it is reduced to about 13 cm [18] and to 6.5 cm at 14.0 T (~600 MHz). In preclinical renal MRI, this is not a major concern, as the size of the abdomen in small animals is typically much smaller than the RF wavelength.

RF power requirements for spin excitation increase quadratically with B0. This imposes major concerns, especially for human applications, since the RF power deposition can easily reach the regulatory limits specified by IEC guidelines for the specific
absorption rate (SAR) [16]. This constraint can be addressed by modification and adaptation of MR pulse sequences, acquisition strategies, and RF coils [18, 19]. In the case of preclinical studies, these issues have received less attention since there are no enforced SAR guidelines for small animals. Nevertheless, the physiological effects of tissue heating due to heavy RF deposition in experimental animals could be a serious confounding factor in preclinical studies that should not be ignored.

2.1 SNR Comparison for Magnetic Field Strength

The SNR gains resulting from increasing $B_0$, field have been computationally estimated for brain MRI to range from $B_0^{1.2}$ (close to the brain surface) up to $B_0^{2.1}$ (at the center of the head) [8]. Pohmann et al. [7] investigated the field dependence of SNR for magnetic field strengths ranging between 3.0 T and 9.4 T using gradient echo techniques, and reported that SNR increases superlinearly ($B_0^{1.65}$). Using this relationship, the calculated relative SNR (normalized for the typical case of a 3.0 T scanner) and resolution gains (in $\mu$m, in-plane resolution 1 mm) corresponding to all static magnetic field strengths from 1.5 T to 21.1 T are shown in Table 1.

The increase in SNR provided by higher magnetic field strengths can be invested in enhanced spatial resolution or improvements in imaging speed. The triad of $B_0$, spatial resolution, and imaging speed form the so-called SNR conundrum in which the competing constraints of SNR, CNR, spatial resolution, and imaging speed govern the image quality. For example, increasing the matrix size for a certain field of view will increase the spatial resolution—which will permit the visualization of more subtle anatomical structures in the kidney—but at a cost of reducing the SNR, or signal intensity per pixel/voxel. Although resolution in the frequency-encoding direction can be conveniently enhanced by increasing the matrix size without major scan time penalty, increasing spatial resolution along the phase-encoding direction substantially prolongs the scan time. Boosting the SNR is also possible by modifying the size of the field of view or the slice thickness: the larger these parameters are, the more signal is acquired. Another way to further increase SNR is signal averaging, which reduces the noise but comes at the cost longer scan times. Depending on the individual experimental context, longer scan times may be a reasonable price to pay (especially for ex vivo studies). For in vivo studies, animal welfare considerations call for the minimum scan time possible to achieve the scientific objective, and shorter scans promote higher throughput of individual animals to improve the experimental sample size.

Additional strategies to gain SNR include the use of SNR-promoting rapid imaging techniques such as RARE imaging, and dedicated RF coil technology, including cryogenically cooled RF coils (see Subheading 4).
To provide guidance as to which $B_0$ is necessary or sufficient for preclinical MRI of the kidney, a total of 121 publications published over the past 10 years were reviewed. Of these, 66 publications reported on renal MRI in rats, and 55 in mice. Figure 1 shows the number of publications found per magnetic field strength for renal MRI in rats and mice. In rats, a total of 25 publications (38%) were performed at 3.0 T, closely followed by 9.4 T with 20 papers (30%). In mice the preferred magnetic field strength was 7.0 T (25 publications, 46%). The magnetic field strengths of 9.4 T (8 papers, 15%), 3.0 T (7 papers, 13%), and 11.7 T (6 publications, 11%) were also used for MRI studies of mouse kidney.

Figure 2 illustrates the number of publications per magnetic field strength, listed by year for rats and mice. In rats, the number of studies performed at 3.0 and 9.4 T was quite stable for the last 7 years. Studies at 4.7 T (7 papers, 11%) were also widely reported, as shown in the trends displayed since 2009. The use of field strengths of 1.5 and 7.0 T (7 and 6 publications, respectively) varied during the period under investigation. In contrast, 7.0 T was established as the typical magnetic field strength for preclinical MRI of the kidney in mice during this period. In the last few years, 11.7 T and 16.4 T have also emerged as MR systems suitable for the study of the mouse kidney.

### Table 1
Relative SNR and resolution gain with increasing static magnetic field strength

| Magnetic field, $B_0$ (T) | Relative SNR gain | Resolution gain (in-plane 1 mm) ($\mu m^2$) |
|--------------------------|------------------|------------------------------------------|
| 1.5                      | 0.3              | (1550 \times 1550)                       |
| 3.0                      | 1.0              | (495 \times 495)                         |
| 4.7                      | 2.1              | (235 \times 235)                         |
| 7.0                      | 4.0              | (122 \times 122)                         |
| 9.4                      | 6.6              | (75 \times 75)                           |
| 11.7                     | 9.4              | (52 \times 52)                           |
| 14.0                     | 12.7             | (39 \times 39)                           |
| 16.4                     | 16.5             | (30 \times 30)                           |
| 17.6                     | 18.5             | (27 \times 27)                           |
| 21.1                     | 25.0             | (20 \times 20)                           |

SNR values were computed as proportional to $B^{1.65}$. The relative SNR gain was calculated relative to that of 3.0 T.
3 Gradient Systems

To enable the spatial localisation of the MR signal, magnetic field gradients are used, which are provided by gradient coils. Gradient coils produce a linear variation of the magnetic field as a function of the spatial position (X-, Y-, and Z-direction) modifying the resonance frequency for spatial encoding of the MR signal.

Typical parameters governing the performance of the gradient system are the peak gradient strength, the rise time, and the slew rate. The peak gradient strength is the maximum achievable gradient strength (in mT/m). Typical peak gradient amplitudes in preclinical scanners are in the range of 200–1000 mT/m. Clinical scanners used for preclinical MRI of the kidney provide maximum gradient amplitudes ranging between 45 mT/m and 82 mT/m. The gradient rise time is the time needed to change the gradient field from zero to the peak amplitude (in ms). The gradient slew rate is the most important parameter, and refers to the speed at which a gradient can be switched. It is defined as the peak gradient strength divided by the gradient rise time (in T/m/s). This defines the maximum scanning speed, since it influences the minimum achievable repetition time (TR) and echo time (TE), as well as the echo spacing in fast imaging techniques such as RARE or EPI (see Note 2). Typical slew rates in preclinical scanners are in the range of 640–9000 T/m/s. Clinical scanners used for preclinical MRI of the kidney offer slew rates ranging between 150 T/m/s and 200 T/m/s. Gradient coils can be driven in two modes: (a) constant rise time, in which the slew rate is adapted for each gradient amplitude and (b) maximum slew rate, where the rise is adjusted for each gradient amplitude.
Fig. 2 Number of selected publications ordered by magnetic field strength and year, within a total of 121 reports published in the past 10 years (2009–2018) for preclinical renal MRI in rats and mice.
The duty cycle defines the amount of time during which the gradient system can be run at maximum power amplitude (in % time). This parameter depends not only on the gradient system specifications mentioned above but also on the specific MR imaging technique used. Typical duty cycles in existing preclinical scanners can reach almost 100%. For this purpose, gradient coils are water-cooled to ensure proper heat dissipation and temperature management.

The accuracy of the spatial representation depends on the quality of the linear magnetic field gradients and on the homogeneity of the static magnetic field (typically given in parts per million, ppm). Any deviation will appear as object distortion in the image, and thus a correction procedure called “shimming” is typically performed. During system installation, the manufacturer places small metal plates inside the scanner bore to improve the uniformity of the main magnetic field in a static and permanent manner—this is “passive” shimming. The distribution of these ferromagnetic pieces is adjusted over several iterations until the achieved magnetic field uniformity meets the vendor’s specification. In addition to passive shimming, resistive shim coils are used for $B_0$ homogenization. These adjustments are performed with a shim calibration tool on an empty magnet, and therefore the $B_0$ homogeneity becomes impaired as soon as a sample or subject (human or animal) is placed inside the magnet bore.

An “active” shimming procedure can be conducted during measurement adjustments with every MR scan. This is crucial for techniques such as fat suppression or MR spectroscopy, since the introduction of any matter—an object or animal—inevitably introduces local magnetic field perturbations of the main static magnetic field, which then must be actively compensated for. Especially at the boundaries between tissue and air-filled areas, where magnetic field distortions are pronounced due to the different magnetic susceptibility which can cause severe signal losses and image distortions. Corrective magnetic fields produced by electrical RF coils, designated as shim gradients [20] which are superimposed upon the main magnetic field. Typically, the shim gradient system contains a set of first-order gradients which impose linear field changes in the $X$-, $Y$-, and $Z$-directions, and some second order gradients, which create spherical harmonics in the $Z^2$, $ZX$, $ZY X^2-Y^2$, and $XY$ directions [21]. Some MR systems are also equipped with more sophisticated shim gradients which provide third and fourth order correction terms [22].

Depending on the specific pulse sequence and imaging technique used, the scientist has to adjust these magnetic field corrections to meet certain quality prerequisites. Especially with $T_2^*$-weighted sequences (e.g., gradient-echo or EPI sequences) the image quality improves with improved $B_0$ homogeneity. MR spectroscopic methods are even more demanding [23]. Usually,
state-of-the-art MR systems provide automated methods to perform the $B_0$ shimming task, but the user still needs to check the performance and correct the shim settings manually if necessary.

For $B_0$ shimming a global approach covering the entire FOV, or a localized shim covering a target area can be used. In general, the smaller the region of interest the better the achievable $B_0$ homogeneity. In the case of renal MRI, the $B_0$ homogeneity achieved after shimming across one kidney will be better than that for the whole abdomen, with air-filled lungs and gut. In the case of tissues that are moving due to respiration, cardiac activity or peristaltic motion, the magnetic field distortions change over time, which require different strategies. The time-averaged global shim would be the fastest and easiest solution, if this is sufficient. Alternatively, shimming—and also data acquisition—would be performed in synchrony with the breathing by using a trigger signal from a physiological monitor [24].

4 Radio Frequency (RF) Coils

Besides the static magnetic field and the spatial encoding with the gradient system, MRI requires transmission of RF pulses and reception of the MR signal from the sample or subject.

For signal excitation, the RF transmit resonator, or RF coil, sends RF pulses with a particular frequency, bandwidth, shape, duration, amplitude, and phase modulation to tilt the net magnetization vector oriented in parallel with the main magnetic field during equilibrium. $B_0$ (typically assigned as the Z-direction), is tilted into the perpendicular transverse (X-Y) plane by a given flip angle $\alpha$. After excitation, the magnetization precesses back to equilibrium and induces a signal in the RF receive coil (for a comprehensive review see [25]).

Depending on the transmission and reception capabilities, three general types of RF coils are commonly used: (1) transmit-receive (Tx/Rx) or transceiver RF coils, (2) transmit-only (Tx) RF coils, and (3) receive-only (Rx) RF coils. These generally have two types of geometry: (1) volume RF coils and (2) surface RF coils. Both RF coil types and geometries determine the amount of tissue or organ coverage and sensitivity to the MR signal.

Volume RF resonators are designed to produce a uniform RF field over a large field-of-view (FOV), and are typically used as transmit-receive RF coils or transmit RF coils (using a surface RF coil for reception). The most widely used type of volume RF coils in both human and preclinical MRI are birdcage resonators [26, 27] driven in quadrature mode. This means that two spatially orthogonal RF channels are used for RF transmission as well as signal reception. This RF coil configuration provides a 41.4% SNR gain over simple single channel or “linear” RF coils, due to the coherent
signal increases which scale linearly with the number of channels, while the incoherent noise increases with the square root of the number of channels. For example, Chang et al. [28] used this RF coil setup in a renal hypoxia study in a mouse model after myocardial infarction of different sizes using BOLD MRI. Other types of volume resonators include saddle RF coils, Helmholtz RF coils, and the transverse electromagnetic (TEM) resonators, among others.

Smaller anatomical coverage and FOVs, but enhanced SNR, are provided by surface RF coils. Due to the principle of reciprocity, the closer the position of the RF coil is to the tissue of interest, the higher is the attainable SNR. However, the sensitivity drops with increasing distance to the RF coil surface, which thus results in a reduced FOV, and an inhomogeneous sensitivity profile [29]. Within a short distance of typically up to ~1 cm, a surface RF coil outperforms a volume RF coil in terms of sensitivity. Since the kidneys are located beneath the skin, surface RF coils positioned directly above are used for optimal signal reception in renal MRI. This is advantageous not only for X-nuclei MR imaging (see Subheading 5) but also for other applications with low SNR, such as perfusion or diffusion-weighted imaging.

Surface RF coils may be used as transmit/receive devices or as receive-only RF coils in conjunction with a volume RF coil used for homogeneous RF transmission. The first option is technically very simple since only one RF coil is necessary, which occupies less space. In the case of small animal imaging, it is most likely a simple single-turn loop with at least two tunable capacitances for tuning and matching. Images will show a strong spatially varying SNR decay, due to the addition of the inhomogeneous excitation and reception profiles [30]. This effect may be mitigated, for example, by using adiabatic excitation RF pulses [31].

The second option involves the use of two RF coils (Tx: volume RF coil, Rx: surface RF coil) which are tuned to the same resonant frequency. To avoid RF coupling between both coils, the surface RF coil must be detuned during transmission while the volume RF coil must be detuned during reception. Thus, both RF coils must include an option, using active and passive diodes, to allow for tuning and detuning during the MR experiment. This is the most common setup, since it improves the SNR in comparison with a Tx/Rx volume RF coil, while still maintaining a homogeneous B1. For example, Hüper et al. [32] used a four-element quadrature surface receive RF coil in combination with a transmit volume RF coil to investigate renal perfusion in acute and chronic renal allograft rejection in translational mouse models. The use of the surface RF coil improved the SNR from 15 (with a standard transceive volume RF coil) to 35.

Further SNR gains in small animal MRI are achieved with arrays of surface RF coils for signal reception [33], which are typically used together with a volume RF coil for signal excitation.
The use of RF coil arrays for brain imaging is especially widespread, and these are routinely offered by manufacturers. State-of-the-art small-animal MRI systems are usually equipped with 4–16 Rx channels, to which custom-tailored RF coils may be connected. Less popular are metamaterial-inspired RF coils, which have been developed for superficial tissue imaging in order to provide the best compromise of high SNR over a large FOV, while using only one receive channel [34].

Depending on the size of the sample and the RF coil, and their corresponding temperatures and resistances, their noise electronic contribution varies [35–37]. This factor is even more crucial in preclinical applications where the noise contribution of the RF coil, capacitors, sample, shield, receive electronics, and transmission lines are important [26]. This fact is exploited in small-animal imaging by the use of cryogenically cooled (cryo-cooled) RF coils. By lowering the temperature of the receiver chain, the noise contributions corresponding to temperatures and resistances can be reduced [38–40], thus achieving a significant increase in SNR, which has been reported in the literature (for a review see [15]). Unfortunately, only negligible effects are achieved in human MR [15, 41]. With increasing size of the sample, the relative contribution to the noise increases, and eventually becomes the dominating noise source—this is the default situation for human MR. Thus, only small animal MR applications will benefit from this cooling approach, since the noise contributions from the sample and RF coil are in the same order of magnitude. The SNR gain achieved with such cryo-cooled RF coils is higher at lower static magnetic fields [15, 26, 42]. A recognized limitation of cryo-cooled surface RF coils is that only transceive capabilities are supported, resulting in nonuniform excitation and reception profiles, which might hamper quantitative measurements.

Another option for the small-animal MR imaging of the kidney is the use of clinical scanners together with RF coils tailored for the human hand/wrist or knee. These are typically 8- to 16-element RF coil arrays which provide quasi-homogeneous excitation and reception profiles. Although these RF coils have a small size, they are not optimized for small-animal imaging and are not designed with matching/tuning capabilities. Moreover, RF coils tailored for rodent imaging are designed for a broad range of loadings (i.e., from almost empty to full loading, with a typical frequency-diameter product in the range 2–30 MHz · m [26]), in comparison to the clinical setups. Therefore, these clinical RF coils will achieve a lower efficiency than that of dedicated RF coils designed for preclinical MR systems. Alternatively, RF coils tailored for renal MRI of small rodents provided by RF coil manufacturers can be connected with clinical MR scanners to enhance the fill factor and SNR.
In the following subsections, examples of possible RF coil setups are shown from different vendors. A list of the available MR system and RF coil vendors can be found in Table 2.

### 4.1 Commercially Available RF Coils Suitable for Kidney MRI in Rats

Due to the considerable anatomical homology with humans, rats have become one of the most commonly used rodents in animal research, particularly, in preclinical MRI. With a weight of 150–450 g, both clinical and preclinical MR systems and RF coils can be used to perform renal MR experiments:

**Clinical MR System**
- Tx/Rx wrist RF coil (e.g., Siemens eight-channel wrist RF coil, Fig. 3A).
- Tx/Rx knee RF coil (e.g., Siemens 15-channel knee RF coil, Fig. 3B).

**Preclinical MR System**
- Tx/Rx volume resonator (e.g., Bruker Biospin, Ettlingen, Germany, quadrature rat body RF coil with inner diameter (ID) = 72 mm (Fig. 3C), 82 mm or 86 mm; RAPID Biomed, Rimpar, Germany 2 x 4-channel volumetric rat array with ID = 72 mm).
- Tx/Rx quadrature volume resonator (e.g., MRI.TOOLS GmbH, Berlin, Germany, supporting an ID ranging from 54 mm to 86 mm and magnetic field strengths of 3.0 T, 4.7 T, 7.0 T, 9.4 T, and 11.7 T (Fig. 3D).
- Rx surface RF coil (e.g., 2 X 2 RAPID Biomed, Rimpar, Germany) rat cardiac surface array RF coil; 4 x 1 element (e.g., Bruker Biospin, Ettlingen, Germany) rat cardiac surface array RF coil, Fig. 3E) in combination with Tx volume resonator (e.g., Bruker rat body RF coils with ID 72 mm (Fig. 3F), 82 mm or 86 mm).

### 4.2 Commercially Available RF Coils Suitable for Kidney MRI in Mice

Mice are the most commonly used rodent in biomedical research. Their genetic similarity to humans has made them extremely useful, allowing the development of mouse models of many human diseases. Mice have an average weight of 15–40 g, making them too small for standard human RF coils:

**Clinical System**
- There are no standard human RF coils that are small enough to be suitable for mice MRI. Alternatively, a Tx/Rx quadrature volume resonator (MRI.TOOLS GmbH, Berlin, Germany), supporting an ID ranging from 30 mm to 45 mm and magnetic field strengths of 3.0 and 7.0 T can be used for renal MRI with clinical MR scanners (Fig. 4A).
Preclinical System

- Tx/Rx volume resonator (Bruker Biospin, Ettlingen, Germany) quadrature mouse body RF coil with ID = 35 mm, Fig. 4B); 2 × 4-channel volumetric mouse array (RAPID Biomed, Rimpar, Germany) with 35 mm ID.

- Tx/Rx quadrature volume resonator (MRI.TOOLS GmbH, Berlin, Germany, supporting an ID ranging from 30 mm to 45 mm and magnetic field strengths of 3.0 T, 4.7 T, 7.0 T, 9.4 T, and 11.7T (Fig. 4A).

- Rx surface RF coil (e.g., RAPID Biomed, Rimpar, Germany) 2 × 2 mouse cardiac surface array RF coil; 2 × 2 element mouse cardiac surface array RF coil (e.g., Bruker Biospin, Ettlingen, Germany, Fig. 4B) in combination with Tx volume resonator (e.g., Bruker Biospin, Ettlingen, Germany) quadrature mouse body RF coils with ID 72 mm (as shown in Fig. 4E), 82 mm or 86 mm).

- Tx/Rx 2 × 2 element array rat/mouse CryoProbe (e.g., Bruker Biospin, Ettlingen, Germany, Fig. 4C).

---

### Table 2

| Vendor                              | Website                                    |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| **Clinical MRI**                    |                                            |
| Canon Medical Systems               | us.medical.canon                           |
| General Electric Medical Systems Inc. | www.gehealthcare.com                      |
| MRI Devices Corp.                   | www.allmri.com                              |
| MR Instruments Inc.                 | www.mrinstruments.com                      |
| MRI.TOOLS GmbH                      | www.mritools.de                            |
| NOVA Medical Inc.                   | www.novamedical.com                        |
| RAPID Biomedical GmbH               | www.rapidbiomed.de                         |
| Philips Medical Systems             | www.medical.philips.com                     |
| ScanMed                             | www.scanmed.com                            |
| Siemens Medical Systems             | www.siemens-healthineers.com               |
| Tesla Engineering Limited           | www.tesla.co.uk                            |
| **Preclinical MRI**                 |                                            |
| Bruker BioSpin MRI GmbH             | www.bruker.com                              |
| Doty Scientific, Inc.               | www.dotynmr.com                             |
| MR Solutions                        | www.mrsolutions.com                        |
| MRI.TOOLS GmbH                      | www.mritools.de                            |
| RAPID Biomedical GmbH               | www.rapidbiomed.de                         |
The most frequent MRI method in biomedical research is proton ($^1$H) imaging. Hydrogen is the most abundant nucleus in living organisms. It also is the nucleus which is the most sensitive to interactions with an external magnetic field, due to its high gyromagnetic ratio ($\gamma = 42.576 \text{ MHz/T}$).

**Fig. 3** Example RF coils suitable for kidney MRI of the rat. (a) Siemens 8-channel wrist RF coil, (b) Siemens 15-channel knee RF coil, (c) Bruker quadrature rat body RF coil with inner diameter (ID) 72 mm, (d) MRI.TOOLS GmbH quadrature rat body RF coil with ID of 72 mm, (e) $4 \times 1$ element Bruker rat cardiac Rx surface array RF coil, (f) Bruker rat body Tx volume resonator with ID 72 mm.
Fig. 4 Example RF coils suitable for kidney MRI of the mouse. (a) MRI.TOOLS GmbH quadrature mouse body RF coil with an ID = 42 mm, (b) Bruker quadrature mouse body RF coil with 35 mm ID, (c) Bruker 2 × 2 element mouse cardiac surface array RF coil, (d) Tx/Rx quadrature surface RF coil with cryo-cooling technology (CryoProbe)
In preclinical renal MRI, structural and functional information is typically investigated using in vivo $^1$H MR imaging of the kidney. Techniques such as $T_2/T_2^*$ mapping, arterial spin labeling (ASL), dynamic contrast-enhanced imaging (DCE-MRI) or diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) are performed in order to study the oxygenation, perfusion, clearance rate, and water and metabolite motion within the kidneys.

Physiometabolic probing is supported by imaging and spectroscopic applications of other nuclei such as $^{13}$C, $^{17}$O, $^{23}$Na, $^{35}$Cl, $^{39}$K, $^{31}$P, and $^{19}$F [43]. The detection of X-nuclei is challenging because of the low intrinsic SNR due to the smaller $\gamma$, compared to $^1$H, and by the lower abundance of these nuclei in biological tissues.

Increasing $B_0$ is the most effective way to increase sensitivity—the benefits of higher $B_0$ are unique metabolic and functional information, as well as outstanding anatomical detail [44–47]. X-nuclei MR also benefits from the increase in SNR achieved with cryo-cooled transceive surface RF coils, which are currently available for $^{13}$C, $^{31}$P, $^{19}$F, and $^1$H [48, 49].

Changes in the biodistribution of endogenous metabolites that can be detected with $^{23}$Na- or $^{31}$P-MR have been demonstrated to be markers of renal disease [50–53]. For more details on preclinical $^{23}$Na-MRI protocols, see the chapters by Grist JT et al. “Sodium ($^{23}$Na) MRI of the Kidney: Basic Concept” and “Sodium ($^{23}$Na) MRI of the Kidney: Experimental Protocol.” Similarly, renal perfusion, oxygenation, and inflammatory cell infiltration can be investigated using $^{19}$F-MRI [54–57]. See the chapters by Grist JT et al. “Sodium ($^{23}$Na) MRI of the Kidney: Basic Concept” and “Sodium ($^{23}$Na) MRI of the Kidney: Experimental Protocol” on how to perform $^{19}$F-MRI renal studies in rodents.

Hyperpolarization techniques have great potential for explorations into renal metabolic diseases (e.g., hyperpolarized $^{13}$C-MRI), where SNR is boosted up to a 20,000-fold compared to conventional $^{13}$C-MRI [58]. Experimental protocols to perform hyperpolarized $^{13}$C-MRI in preclinical studies are provided in the chapters by von Morze C et al. “Hyperpolarized Carbon ($^{13}$C) MRI of the Kidneys: Basic Concept” and by Laustsen C et al. “Hyperpolarized Carbon ($^{13}$C) MRI of the Kidney: Experimental Protocol.”

### 6 Physiological Monitoring

A major difference between clinical and preclinical MRI is the need to anesthetize the animals prior to imaging. Since most anaesthetic drugs produce physiological changes (temperature decrease, depression of cardiorespiratory function, etc.), monitoring the anaesthetized animals is a very crucial aspect in preclinical MRI studies. Even in the most simple case, that is, the scanning of spontaneously breathing animals without any further intervention...
or treatment, respiration and temperature of the animal has to be monitored continuously during the scan in order to guarantee the appropriate anaesthetic status and to avoid hypothermia. In particular, hypothermia is a critical issue in rodent imaging, since mice have a low mass vs. a large surface which promotes heat loss [59, 60]. This problem is less severe in rats due to their larger weight, but it is not negligible [61]. Heating systems must be therefore used to maintain temperature homeostasis.

Physiological monitoring of the animal is even more essential in functional MR imaging, since changes may produce confounding effects on the outcome of the MR experiment [62]. Careful monitoring and recording of physiological data should be performed at all times during the experiment to ensure the validity of the results and their reproducibility. The frequency of physiological monitoring depends on the invasiveness of the experimental procedure as well as the time after induction of anaesthesia. As a rule of thumb, the more invasive the procedure and the longer the time under anaesthesia, the more frequent the physiological monitoring of the animal should be performed. Additionally, the correct positioning of the animals on the animal bed systems, along with careful intubation (if needed) are essential to ensure respiratory and circulatory comfort during imaging, and to promote recovery of the animal after the experiment.

Typical physiological parameters monitored during MR experiments include temperature, heart rate, and respiration rate. Many manufacturers already offer these three monitoring channels, and are ready to use these signals for the purpose of gating or triggering the MR imaging techniques. Additionally, information on blood pressure, blood oxygen saturation, transcutaneous pCO₂, or pH can also be collected. In some cases, invasive withdrawal of blood samples is necessary—this is a very difficult task since the sampling has to be executed using long tubes with considerable dead volumes and very limited visual control.

Finally, all equipment must allow for remote physiological monitoring, and strictly comply with MR safety requirements. Several integrated animal handling solutions for physiological monitoring and anaesthesia are commercially available. Examples are given in Table 3.

### 7 Practical Points to Consider When Planning a Study

The aim of this chapter is to outline the main hardware components required for preclinical MRI of the kidney and to guide the appropriate hardware selection to meet the individual needs.

This section is intended to provide a summary of the prerequisites needed and to help prepare for preclinical renal MR applications:
7.1 MRI System

7.1.1 Clinical or Preclinical?

With a 3.0 T clinical MR system, renal MRI of rats is feasible with acceptable image quality and spatial resolution. However, if possible, we recommend to use a dedicated ultrahigh field MR system tailored for small-animal imaging with a magnetic field strength of 7.0 or 9.4 T. The reason is that the SNR gain achieved with preclinical MR scanners compared to that at lower $B_0$ is instrumental for achieving good spatial and temporal resolution, as well as for reducing the scan times needed to perform MR examinations.

| Physiological parameter | Examples of vendor equipment |
|-------------------------|------------------------------|
| Temperature             | Omniflex (Neoptix, Inc., Canada)  |
|                         | Fiber optic temperature probes (SA Instruments, Inc. Stony Brook, NY, USA)  |
|                         | RightTemp (Kent Scientific Corp., Torrington, CT, USA)  |
|                         | MultiSens (Opsens Solutions, Canada)  |
| Respiration rate/ECG and gating | BioVet (m2m imaging, Cleveland, OH, USA)  |
|                         | Model 1030 Monitoring & Gating system (Small Animal Instruments, Inc. Stony Brook, NY, USA)  |
| Blood pressure          | CODA monitor (Kent Scientific Corp., Torrington, CT, USA)  |
| Blood oxygen saturation, heart rate | Pulse oximeter (SA Instruments, Inc. Stony Brook, NY, USA)  |
|                         | MouseOx (STARR Life Sciences Corp., USA)  |
| Transcutaneous pCO₂     | Capnograph (SA Instruments, Inc. Stony Brook, NY, USA)  |
|                         | CapnoScan (Kent Scientific Corp., Torrington, CT, USA)  |
| Several                 | PhysioSuite (Kent Scientific Corp., Torrington, CT, USA)  |
|                         | PLUGSYS (Harvard Apparatus, Holliston, MA, USA)  |

7.1.2 Should I Consider Moving to a High Magnetic Field Strength?

As discussed above (see Subheading 2), the use of a higher magnetic field strength results in an increase in SNR proportional to $B_0$ ($\text{SNR} \propto B_0^{1.65}$). This means that renal MR images will achieve greater SNR that can be traded off for to achieve higher spatial resolution or reduced scan time as needed (see Table 1). Also, higher $B_0$ increases the BOLD effect. In general, all methods based on $T_2^*$-weighting will benefit from an increase in the magnetic field strength. MR spectroscopy applications also profit from the increased $B_0$. Therefore, we strongly recommend the use of a high $B_0$ for these MR applications. However, not all facilities have such high field MR scanners readily available, in which case it might be advisable to perform the experiments using a lower magnetic field system, as opposed to moving animals, equipment, and personnel to another facility.
7.1.3 Higher Magnetic Fields Are All Rainbows and Unicorns, and Should Always Be Used, Right?

Not necessarily! Be aware that higher magnetic field strengths suffer from greater $B_0$ and $B_1$ inhomogeneities than lower field strengths, which must be corrected in order to fully benefit from the boost in SNR. Also, due to the $B_0$-dependent changes of the relaxation properties, the method-specific and protocol-specific imaging parameters have to be adopted in order to generate similar image contrasts that are comparable to those achieved at lower $B_0$. If the focus is the transfer of MR methods from preclinical models to clinical applications, use of the same static magnetic field strength is advisable. The “loss” of SNR may be partially compensated for by the use of cryo-cooled RF coils, which would be more cost-effective than the procurement of a high field small animal MR scanner.

7.1.4 OK, But ... Which $B_0$ Is Everyone Else Using?

The move to higher magnetic field strengths is supported by a large body of literature (see Figs. 1 and 2) which reports the most typical magnetic field strengths for rats to be 3.0 and 9.4 T and for mice to be 7.0 and 9.4 T. The continued use of 3.0 T scanners for rats is mainly due to the use of readily available clinical MR systems, which are then adapted for rat MR experiments. However, these are typically performed using hand/wrist or knee RF coils tailored for clinical applications in humans, and are not optimized for animal imaging, with the main disadvantages being a loss of signal due to incorrect loading, and the inability to match and tune the RF coil.

7.1.5 What About the Gradient System?

Depending on the MR application of your choice, a high gradient slew rate might be needed. Fast imaging techniques need fast gradient switching speeds, since this parameter influences the minimum achievable repetition time (TR), echo time (TE), and the echo spacing. However, a higher slew rate means a greater monetary investment will be needed at the acquisition of a new MR scanner. Equally, the duty cycle is a crucial parameter in hardware-demanding sequences, which generally includes all fast imaging techniques. We recommend the procurement of a gradient system tailored according to the individual experimental needs.

7.2 RF Coil Setup

7.2.1 Which Kind of RF Coil Should I Use to Get the Most Juice from My Clinical MR Scanner?

The best option is to use a hand/wrist RF coil; a knee RF coil is a second-best option. Both of these are RF coil arrays which provide high SNR and full FOV coverage. However, these RF coils are tailored for clinical applications in humans and are not optimized for animal imaging, with the main disadvantages being a loss of signal due to incorrect loading and the inability to match and tune the RF coil. Also, the clinical MR system must be adapted for rat MR experiments (mice are too small and do not provide enough loading in clinical systems). Alternatively, dedicated RF coils provided by RF coil vendors which are customized for renal MRI of rats can be connected to the clinical MR scanner.
7.2.2 Which RF Coil Setups Are the Most Suitable for Renal Applications in My Preclinical MR Scanner?

The anatomical position of the kidneys suggests placement of the RF coil in close proximity to the dorsal skin of the animal. We recommend the use of a surface RF coil for signal reception together with a volume RF coil for excitation. This is the most common setup since it improves the SNR in comparison with a Tx/Rx volume RF coil, while still maintaining a homogeneous B1. Also, be aware that this setup needs the synchronized detuning of the RF coils in turns in order to avoid RF coupling between the two coils (the surface RF coil must be detuned during transmission while the volume RF coil must be detuned during reception).

7.3 X-Nuclei

7.3.1 What If I Want to Perform X-Nuclei MRI/MRS?

Broadband RF chains, including the transmission path and the receive path, together with RF coils specifically tailored for X-nuclei are needed. Due to the typically low SNR inherent to X-nuclei MR applications, a good option would be the use of a cryo-cooled RF coil. Imaging of a single kidney can be performed in mice using a mouse cryo-cooled RF coil. To image both kidneys simultaneously in mice, a rat cryo-cooled RF coil could be used. However, bear in mind that cryo-cooled RF coils are designed as transceive surface RF antennae, and provide inhomogeneous transmit and sensitivity profiles. Therefore, if your MR application needs exact T1 contrasts or quantification based on absolute signal intensities, a B1 correction must be performed.

7.4 Animal Handling and Physiological Monitoring

7.4.1 How Should I Position the Rodent in the Animal Bed Prior to the Experiment?

This depends on the kind of RF coil being used. Typically, the kidneys are measured from the dorsal side using a combination of a volume RF coil for RF pulse transmission and a surface RF coil to receive the MR signal. The volume RF coil will be placed inside the bore and fixed, while the surface RF coil is typically displaceable or is fixed on the animal bed. The position of the animal will then be adapted according to this setup.

7.4.2 What Is the Essential Physiological Monitoring that Should Be Performed During Small-Animal Renal MR Experiments?

The essential physiological monitoring includes respiration, which is also used for triggering, and body temperature, which should be maintained at a normal level.

7.4.3 What Other Physiological Parameters Can I Measure, and Which Equipment Should I Use?

A wide variety of MR compatible equipment exists in the market that allows for measurement of temperature, respiration rate, blood pressure, blood oxygen saturation and respiration rate, and transcutaneous pCO2, among others. See Table 3 for examples of commercially available equipment for physiological monitoring in preclinical MRI.
7.5 Image Acquisition

7.5.1 Should I Perform B₀ Shimming in Every MR Study?

Preferably, yes. Active shimming protocols homogenize the B₀ field in a small region of interest, and help to improve the performance of T₂*-weighted MR protocols such as EPI. For performing MRS of the kidney (or any other organ), shimming should always be completed during the MR study adjustments. In general, we recommend to always perform shimming in every renal MR study.

7.5.2 Should I Always Do Triggering of the Signal? What Are my Options?

Renal MR imaging should be synchronized with animal respiration, preferably triggered to the exhalation phase [32, 63]. If possible, the animals should be allowed to breathe spontaneously under anaesthesia.

8 Notes

1. After the decade of the brain and the (re)discovery of the brain–heart–kidney network, a new era of kidney imaging is expected. The most important brain research tool is fMRI, and it has served as strong motivation for continued technological improvements in hardware development. Similar techniques are valuable for renal imaging. BOLD-based and BV/BF-based techniques greatly benefit from higher magnetic fields, providing a higher SNR, a higher CNR, and better spatial resolution. Nevertheless, the use of higher magnetic fields brings new problems that call for new hardware solutions.

2. Although there is an increased demand on gradient performance, this will exacerbate the problems associated with acoustic noise. New gradient designs should take into account the acoustic noise pressure level as a parameter. Gradient systems that are targeted to the region of interest only may be a solution. The higher gradient performance with increased bandwidth, as well as the increased physiological noise, reduce the gain in the SNR. A limit of the tissue contribution to thermal noise may be found by new RF coil designs.

3. Modern MRI systems come equipped with multiple receiver units allowing parallel acquisition. A combination of small, multielement receive-only RF coils with parallel imaging is a game changer in the way MRI data are acquired. Reducing the RF coil size will allow for the acquisition of data from a small region of interest with higher sensitivity, because of the close proximity of the RF coil to the region, and thus limited noise contribution from tissue. The use of multiple elements, which are decoupled from each other, will help to achieve extended tissue coverage.

4. A problem associated with parallel imaging arises in the storage and processing of large amounts of data. Therefore, considerable effort must be invested into the handling of “Big Data.” Boosting the data transfer rate, the use of array processors and the application of renal MRI will push the limits of data science.
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