Prognostic value of soluble suppression of tumorigenesis-2 (sST2) for cardiovascular events in coronary artery disease patients with and without diabetes mellitus
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Abstract

Background: Soluble suppression of tumorigenesis-2 (sST2) is implicated in myocardial overload and has long been recognized as an inflammatory marker related to heart failure and acute coronary syndrome, but data on the prognostic value of sST2 in patients with coronary artery disease (CAD) remain limited. This study sought to investigate the prognostic value of sST2 in patients with established CAD and its predictive value in CAD patients with and without type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM).

Methods: A total of 3641 consecutive patients were included in this prospective cohort study. The primary end point was major adverse cardiovascular events (MACEs). The secondary end point was all-cause death. The association between sST2 and outcomes was investigated using multivariable Cox regression.

Results: During a median follow-up of 6.4 years, MACEs occurred in 775 patients, and 275 patients died. Multiple Cox regression models showed that a higher level of sST2 was an independent predictor of MACEs development (HR = 1.36, 95% CI 1.17–1.56, p < 0.001) and all-cause death (HR = 2.01, 95% CI 1.56–2.59, p < 0.001). The addition of sST2 to established risk factors significantly improved risk prediction of the composite outcome of MACEs and all-cause death (C-index, net reclassification index, and integrated discrimination improvement, all p < 0.05). In subgroup analysis depending on diabetes status, the diabetes group had a significantly higher level of sST2, which remained a significant predictor of MACEs and all-cause death in patients with and without T2DM in multivariable models. The area under the curve (AUC) of CAD patients with diabetes mellitus was significantly higher than that of those without T2DM. For MACEs, the AUC was 0.737 (patients with T2DM) vs 0.620 (patients without T2DM). For all-cause death, the AUC was 0.923 (patients with T2DM) vs 0.789 (patients without T2DM).

Conclusions: A higher level of sST2 is significantly associated with long-term MACEs and all-cause death in CAD patients with and without T2DM. sST2 has strong predictive value for cardiovascular adverse events in CAD patients with T2DM, and these results provide new evidence for the role of sST2.
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Background

Coronary artery disease (CAD) remains the leading cause of death worldwide [1]. Patients with previous coronary heart disease have a high probability of major adverse cardiac events (MACEs). The development of reliable
Suppression of tumorigenesis-2 (ST2) is an interleukin-1 (IL-1) receptor family member that exists in two isoforms: membrane-bound (ST2L) and soluble isoform soluble suppression of tumorigenesis-2 (sST2) forms [2]. Previous studies have suggested that IL-33 acts as an "alarm" to signal potential tissue stress or damage [3, 4]. IL-33 promotes the production of inflammatory cytokines and Th2 immune responses by signaling through a heterodimer receptor complex composed of ST2L and IL-1 receptor attachment proteins; sST2 is known to bind to IL-33, and it acts as a "decoy" receptor for IL-33 to inhibit IL-33/ST2L signaling [5, 6]. An increase in the circulating sST2 concentration attenuates the systemic biological effects of IL-33. Therefore, sST2 has long been recognized as a marker of the activation of both inflammatory and hemodynamic overload [7–9]. Subsequently, soluble sST2 has been shown to be a powerful independent prognostic indicator in patients with acute coronary syndrome (ACS) [10, 11], as well as heart failure (HF) [12–14]. However, it remains unclear whether sST2 is predictive of MACEs and all-cause death in long-term follow-up of CAD [13, 15–18]. In addition, type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is a known predictor of elevated sST2 [19, 20]. Thus, we performed a large-scale, prospective study to evaluate the prognostic value of sST2 for MACEs and all-cause mortality in patients with established CAD with and without T2DM over long-term follow-up.

Materials and methods

The study population

The design, details, and primary results of this study have been previously reported [21]. Briefly, the purpose of this study was to evaluate the prognostic value of different biomarkers for adverse cardiac events in patients with CAD. From March 2011 to December 2015, 4078 patients who underwent coronary angiography at the Chinese PLA General Hospital were recruited for this study. These patients underwent coronary angiography examination because of angina-like chest pain or positive noninvasive tests (such as the treadmill exercise test or coronary computed tomography angiography). Based on the angiography results, patients with stenosis ≥ 50% in at least one major coronary artery were diagnosed with CAD. Patients were excluded if they had severe heart failure, atrial fibrillation, aortic dissection, active infectious disease, history of malignancy, or end-stage renal disease if they were in a deep coma. In the current study, patients were also excluded if their blood samples or detailed data were not available. Finally, 3641 patients were included in the present study (Fig. 1).

Data collection

Baseline data on demographic characteristics, lifestyle risk factors, cardiac history, and medications were collected at the time of enrollment. Blood samples were collected in the early morning. According to the protocol, blood was collected into EDTA-anticoagulated plastic tubes. All of the blood samples were centrifuged at 1000×g for 10 min, and plasma samples were stored at −80 °C. Lipid profiles were measured using an automatic biochemistry analyzer (Hitachi 7150, Tokyo, Japan). Specifically, total cholesterol (TC) and triglyceride (TG) levels were analyzed by enzymatic methods. The low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) concentration was determined by the selective solubilization method (low-density lipoprotein cholesterol test kit; Kyowa Medex, Tokyo, Japan). The high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) concentration was determined by a homogeneous method (Determiner L HDL; Kyowa Medex, Tokyo, Japan). Patients whose blood pressure was > 140/90 mm Hg and those who were treated with antihypertensive medications were considered to have hypertension. Hyperlipidemia was defined when one of the following criteria was met: LDL cholesterol ≥ 160 mg/dL; total cholesterol ≥ 240 mg/dL; triglyceride ≥ 220 mg/dL; HDL cholesterol < 35 mg/dL; or use of statin medication. Diabetes mellitus (DM) was defined as the presence of diabetes symptoms and a resting plasma glucose concentration ≥ 200 mg/dL, a fasting glucose plasma concentration ≥ 126 mg/dL, or a 2-h plasma glucose concentration ≥ 200 mg/dL after a 75-g oral glucose tolerance test; or the use of a hypoglycemic agent or other medications for DM. Patients were considered current smokers if they reported any tobacco use in the previous 30 days.

Plasma sST2 detection

Blood samples were collected within 24 h of hospital admission after at least 8 h of fasting. The sST2 levels in the plasma were determined in single measurements using a quantitative sandwich monoclonal enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (Presage sST2 Assay, Critical Diagnostics, Inc., San Diego, California, USA). A standard curve was constructed. Analysts were blinded to the patients’ characteristics and endpoints of the study participants.

Outcome assessment

Patients were followed-up until May 2020 or until the occurrence of cardiovascular events. All of the participants were followed up by analyses of clinical materials and telephone contact semiannually. The primary endpoint was the development of MACEs, and the second
endpoint was all-cause death. A MACE was defined as cardiac death, myocardial infarction, unstable angina or unplanned revascularization. All deaths were considered to be cardiac related unless a definitive non-cardiac cause was established. Unstable angina pectoris was defined as new or accelerating symptoms of myocardial ischemia accompanied by new ischemic ST-T changes. Myocardial infarction was defined as an increase in cardiac biomarkers with evidence of myocardial ischemia. Unplanned revascularization was diagnosed if the patient underwent percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) or coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) with evidence of myocardial ischemia. We obtained follow-up data for all of the patients until the primary outcome or date of censoring. All-cause death was defined as death from any cause. The follow-up time was calculated from the date of cardiac event onset to the date of event occurrence or the date of the last follow-up. Written informed consent was obtained from all of the study participants, and the study was approved by the ethics committee of the Chinese PLA General Hospital.

Statistical analysis
Differences in baseline characteristics between the two groups were evaluated by the chi-square test (categorical variables) and analysis of variance, as appropriate. Variables with a normal distribution are presented as the mean ± standard deviation (SD), whereas in the case of non-normality, the medians are presented. Categorical data are presented as counts or percentages. Kaplan–Meier curves were used to estimate the cumulative incidence risks of outcomes across baseline sST2 levels, which were compared by log-rank tests. Cox proportional hazards models were used to evaluate the association of baseline sST2 levels with the study endpoints. The results are presented as the hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) according to the sST2 levels. We fitted two multivariate proportional hazards models. Model 1 was adjusted for clinical variables, including age, sex, BMI, current smoking status, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, DM, previous myocardial infarction (MI), and previous PCI/CABG, TC, TG, HDL-C, and LDL-C. Model 2 was based on Model 1, with the addition of sST2. The relationship between the sST2 levels and outcomes is presented with Cox proportional hazard models both with sST2 as a continuous variable and with sST2 as a categorical variable. The area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC) was used to compare the predictive ability of the parameters of interest. Furthermore, C-index, continuous net reclassification index
(NRI) and integrated discrimination improvement (IDI) were calculated to evaluate any improvement in prognostic prediction when sST2 was added to the established model. SPSS software, (version 22.0) and R software, version 4.0.0 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing) were used for descriptive data analysis. All of the statistical tests were 2 tailed, and p values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

Baseline characteristics

Baseline measurements of sST2 were available for 3641 patients. The median concentration of sST2 was 19 ng/mL. The baseline characteristics of the consecutive CAD patients are shown in Table 1. We divided the patients into two groups based on the median concentrations of sST2. The patients with higher concentrations of sST2 were older and more often men, had a higher prevalence of previous PCI/CABG, and were more likely to have ACS. These patients also had higher TG levels (Table 1).

The baseline characteristics of the study participants according to their T2DM status are summarized in Table 2. In all, 1163 (31.94%) CAD patients had T2DM. After adjusting for age and sex, the diabetes group still had a significantly higher sST2 level, a higher rate of hypertension, a higher TG level, higher BMI, a higher rate of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor (ACEI) and β-blocker medication use, and a higher rate of ACS than the group of patients without diabetes. Diabetes patients also had a lower rate of smoking and lower TC and LDL-C levels.

Association between plasma sST2 and the prognosis of MACEs and all-cause death

Primary endpoint

During the median follow-up of 6.4 years, MACEs occurred in 775 (21.29%) patients. Patients with higher sST2 levels had a significantly higher rate of MACEs than patients with lower levels (24.90% vs 17.66%, p < 0.001). After adjusting for the established factors included in Model 1 and using the lower level of sST2 as a reference, we found that patients with an sST2 level ≥ 19 ng/mL had a significantly higher risk of experiencing a primary outcome (HR = 1.36, 95% CI 1.17–1.56, p < 0.001) (Table 3). Kaplan–Meier curves showed the cumulative event curves for MACEs stratified according to sST2.

Table 1 Baseline clinical and laboratory characteristics of the study patients according to sST2 levels

| Total n = 3641 | sST2 (< 19 ng/mL) (n = 1818) | sST2 (≥ 19 ng/mL) (n = 1823) | p value for trend |
|---------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Age, years    | 61.40 (26–95)               | 61.03 (26–93)               | 61.86 (30–95)   | 0.031 |
| Male, n (%)   | 2632 (72.29)                | 1226 (67.44)                | 1406 (77.13)    | 0.000 |
| BMI (kg/m²)   | 25.64 (13.30–42.19)         | 25.70 (13.30–42.19)         | 25.60 (14.50–39.70) | 0.230 |
| Risk factors for atherosclerosis | | | |
| Current smokers, n (%) | 1668 (45.81) | 810 (44.55) | 858 (47.07) | 0.086 |
| Hypertension, n (%) | 2370 (65.09) | 1160 (63.80) | 1210 (66.37) | 0.090 |
| Hyperlipidemia, n (%) | 1120 (30.76) | 581 (31.96) | 539 (29.57) | 0.099 |
| Diabetes mellitus, n (%) | 1163 (31.94) | 590 (32.45) | 573 (31.43) | 0.943 |
| Cardiac history | | | |
| Previous MI, n (%) | 254 (6.98) | 125 (6.88) | 129 (7.08) | 0.085 |
| Previous PCI/CABG, n (%) | 299 (8.21) | 127 (6.99) | 172 (9.43) | 0.003 |
| Laboratory data | | | |
| TC (mmol/L) | 4.03 ± 1.08 | 4.03 ± 1.07 | 4.03 ± 1.09 | 0.816 |
| HDL-C (mmol/L) | 1.07 ± 0.68 | 1.07 ± 0.71 | 1.07 ± 0.65 | 0.951 |
| LDL-C (mmol/L) | 2.40 ± 0.91 | 2.38 ± 0.85 | 2.41 ± 0.91 | 0.314 |
| TG (mmol/L) | 1.62 ± 1.21 | 1.54 ± 1.40 | 1.70 ± 0.98 | 0.000 |
| Medications | | | |
| Aspirin, n (%) | 3415 (93.79) | 1712 (94.17) | 1703 (93.42) | 0.138 |
| ACEI, n (%) | 1503 (41.28) | 720 (39.60) | 783 (42.95) | 0.530 |
| β-blocker, n (%) | 1821 (50.01) | 891 (49.01) | 930 (51.01) | 0.960 |
| Statins, n (%) | 3442 (94.53) | 1725 (94.88) | 1717 (94.19) | 0.153 |
| CAD classification | | | |
| SAP | 899 (24.69) | 486 (26.73) | 413 (22.65) | 0.950 |
| ACS | 2742 (75.3) | 1128 (62.05) | 1614 (88.54) | 0.030 |
The results showed that patients with higher levels of sST2 were more likely to have a higher MACEs rate (log-rank test, p < 0.001) (Fig. 2a).

**Secondary endpoint**

During the follow-up, 275 (7.55%) patients died. Compared with participants with a lower level of sST2, the group with a higher level had a significantly higher incidence of all-cause death (10.15% vs 4.95%). After adjusting for the established factors included in Model 1 and using the lower level of sST2 as a reference, we found that patients with an sST2 level ≥ 19 ng/mL had a higher risk of all-cause death (HR = 2.01, 95% CI 1.56–2.59, p < 0.001) (Table 3). Kaplan–Meier curves illustrated the cumulative event curves for all-cause death stratified according to sST2 levels, and patients with higher levels of sST2 were more likely to experience all-cause death (log-rank test, p < 0.001) (Fig. 2b).

**Incremental value of sST2 over conventional risk factors**

For MACEs, we further examined whether the addition of sST2 to the clinical model consisting of traditional risk factors could improve the prediction performance of the risk model. As shown in Table 4, the addition of sST2 significantly improved the C-index from 0.586 (95% CI

---

Table 2: The baseline characteristics of the groups with and without diabetes

|                        | Diabetic (n = 1163) | Non-diabetic (n = 2478) | p value for trend |
|------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| Age, years             | 62.10 (27–92)       | 61.10 (28–95)           | 0.019             |
| Male, n%               | 809 (69.56)         | 1823 (73.57)            | 0.017             |
| BMI (kg/m²)            | 26.06 ± 3.40        | 25.45 ± 3.56            | 0.000             |
| Risk factors for atherosclerosis |               |                         |                   |
| Current smokers, n (%) | 494 (42.48)         | 1174 (47.38)            | 0.007             |
| Hypertension, n (%)    | 839 (72.14)         | 1531 (61.78)            | 0.000             |
| Hyperlipidemia, n (%)  | 342 (29.41)         | 778 (31.40)             | 0.234             |
| Cardiac history        |                     |                         |                   |
| Previous MI, n (%)     | 81 (6.94)           | 173 (6.98)              | 0.288             |
| Previous PCI/CABG, n (%) | 95 (8.17)         | 204 (8.23)              | 0.312             |
| Laboratory data        |                     |                         |                   |
| TC (mmol/L)            | 3.96 ± 1.06         | 4.07 ± 1.10             | 0.044             |
| HDL-C (mmol/L)         | 1.05 ± 0.87         | 1.09 ± 0.58             | 0.193             |
| LDL-C (mmol/L)         | 2.32 ± 0.85         | 2.44 ± 0.94             | 0.000             |
| TG (mmol/L)            | 1.75 ± 1.32         | 1.57 ± 1.15             | 0.000             |
| sST2                   | 19.98 ± 13.97       | 18.43 ± 13.73           | 0.000             |
| Aspirin, n (%)         | 1085 (93.29)        | 2330 (94.03)            | 0.591             |
| ACEI, n (%)            | 537 (46.17)         | 966 (38.98)             | 0.000             |
| β-blocker, n (%)       | 632 (54.34)         | 1189 (47.98)            | 0.039             |
| Statins, n (%)         | 1097 (94.33)        | 2345 (94.63)            | 0.918             |
| CAD classification     |                     |                         |                   |
| SAP                    | 285 (24.51)         | 614 (24.78)             | 0.065             |
| ACS                    | 954 (82.03)         | 1788 (72.15)            | 0.000             |

Table 3: HRs and 95% CIs of outcomes according to the categories of plasma sST2 in Model 2

| Outcomes                  | sST2 level | P trend |
|---------------------------|------------|---------|
|                           | < 19 ng/ml | ≥ 19 ng/ml |     |
| Primary outcome: MACEs     |            |          |     |
| Number of cases (%)       | 775        | 321 (17.66) | < 0.001 |
| Model 2 HR                | 1.00 (REF) | 1.36 (1.17–1.56) | < 0.001 |
| Cardiac death             | 163        | 48 (2.64) | < 0.001 |
| Number of cases (%)       | 115 (6.31) | 1.70 (1.50–1.98) | 0.033 |
| Model 2 HR                | 57         | 21 (1.1) | 0.066 |
| MI                        | 36 (1.8)   | 1.17 (0.67–2.04) | 0.579 |
| Number of cases (%)       | 550        | 245 (13.48) | 0.280 |
| Model 2 HR                | 305 (16.73) | 1.42 (1.25–1.98) | < 0.001 |
| Revascularization treatment | 5         | 2 (0.11) | 0.343 |
| Number of cases (%)       | 3 (0.16)   | 1.08 (0.94–1.17) | 0.265 |
| Model 2 HR                | 275        | 90 (4.95) | < 0.001 |
| Secondary outcome: all-cause death | 185 (10.15) | 2.01 (1.56–2.59) | < 0.001 |
0.559–0.603) to 0.619 (95% CI 0.605–0.638). A significant difference compared with the clinical model with sST2 (p<0.001) was observed (Fig. 3a). Furthermore, the addition of sST2 categories to Model 1 significantly improved the NRI = 0.178 (95% CI 0.094–0.262, p < 0.001) and IDI = 0.009 (95% CI 0.003–0.014, p < 0.001) (Table 4).

The prognostic value of sST2 in CAD patients with and without diabetes

The predictive value of sST2 for MACEs and all-cause death in CAD patients with and without T2DM is presented in Fig. 4. According to multivariable Cox regression analyses, sST2 remained a significant predictor of MACEs in patients with and without T2DM after adjusting for age, sex and other confounders. Among patients with T2DM, the AUC increased from 0.675 (95% CI 0.639–0.711) to 0.737 (95% CI 0.704–0.771) (p<0.001). In patients without T2DM, the AUC increased from 0.581 (95% CI 0.552–0.610) to 0.620 (95% CI 0.591–0.649) (p<0.001) (Fig. 4a, b). For all-cause death, in the multivariable Cox regression analyses, sST2 remained a significant predictor of all-cause death in patients with and without T2DM after adjusting for age, sex and other confounders. Among patients with T2DM, the AUC increased from 0.896 (95% CI 0.870–0.922) to 0.923 (95% CI 0.890–0.960) (p<0.001). In patients without T2DM, the AUC increased from 0.744 (95% CI 0.700–0.787) to 0.789 (95% CI 0.748–0.831) (p<0.001) (Fig. 4c, d).

Discussion

Our study established that a higher level of sST2 was a significant and independent predictor of cardiovascular events. We found that higher concentrations of sST2 (≥19 ng/mL) were associated with an increased risk of MACEs and all-cause death in patients with CAD. Higher

Table 4 Reclassification and discrimination statistics for clinical outcomes by plasma sST2

| Clinical outcomes | Model | C-index Estimate (95%CI) | P value | Continuous NRI,% Estimate (95%CI) | P value | IDI,% Estimate (95%CI) | P value |
|-------------------|-------|--------------------------|---------|----------------------------------|---------|------------------------|---------|
| MACEs             | Model1 | 0.586(0.559–0.603)       | <0.001  | REF                              | <0.001  | REF                    | <0.002  |
|                   | Model1 + sST2 | 0.619(0.605–0.638)       |         | 17.8 (9.4–26.2)                 | 0.9 (0.3–1.4) |                      |         |
| Cardiac death     | Model1 | 0.746(0.703–0.789)       | <0.001  | REF                              | <0.001  | REF                    | <0.001  |
|                   | Model1 + sST2 | 0.783(0.743–0.823)       |         | 27.9 (15.9–34.5)                | 0.8 (0.2–1.6) |                      |         |
| MI                | Model1 | 0.644(0.564–0.715)       | 0.352   | REF                              | 0.561   | REF                    | 0.721   |
|                   | Model1 + sST2 | 0.655(0.582–0.729)       |         | 15.3 (9.7–20.0)                 | 1.2 (0.8–2.8) |                      |         |
| Unstable angina   | Model1 | 0.583(0.561–0.608)       | <0.001  | REF                              | 0.015   | REF                    | 0.001   |
|                   | Model1 + sST2 | 0.601(0.583–0.617)       |         | 18.2 (10.4–31.4)                | 0.07 (0.01–0.26) |                      |         |
| Revascularization | Model1 | 0.575(0.545–0.596)       | <0.001  | REF                              | <0.001  | REF                    | <0.001  |
|                   | Model1 + sST2 | 0.584(0.572–0.609)       |         | 16.7 (3.9–22.3)                 | 1.4 (0.6–1.8) |                      |         |
| All-cause death   | Model1 | 0.642(0.594–0.701)       | <0.001  | REF                              | <0.001  | REF                    | <0.001  |
|                   | Model1 + sST2 | 0.766(0.717–0.806)       |         | 34.2 (11.8–54.7)                | 1.2 (0.4–1.3) |                      |         |
concentrations of sST2 remained an independent indicator of MACEs and all-cause mortality after adjusting for established traditional risk factors for cardiovascular disease. Furthermore, our study confirmed the incremental prognostic value of sST2 for MACEs and all-cause mortality beyond the clinical model. In subgroup analysis depending on the diabetes status, sST2 remained a significant predictor of MACEs and all-cause death in patients with and without T2DM after adjusting for age, sex and other confounders. In summary, our results suggested that the addition of plasma sST2 measurements to established cardiovascular risk factors may further improve risk stratification in patients with CAD. Our results also provided updated information about the long-term prognostic role of sST2 in patients with established CAD with and without T2DM.

Prognostic value of biomarkers in CAD patients

Over the past two decades, biomarkers have become increasingly important tools that can help to improve patient prognosis [22–24]. Numerous biomarkers have been identified for the diagnostic, prognostic and risk prediction of cardiovascular disease, but few have been adopted in clinical practice [25]. The most extensively used cardiovascular biomarkers are natriuretic peptides for the diagnosis and prognosis of heart failure and cardiac troponins for the diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction. More in-depth experimental studies of the pathophysiology of atherosclerosis have identified a large number of molecules as potential prognostic biomarkers in cardiovascular disease [26]. To date, however, no marker has been shown to predict cardiovascular events with high accuracy. Therefore, the investigation of potential markers that can predict cardiovascular events is still of great value. Only 2 small studies have reported the prognostic value of sST2 in patients with CAD [16, 27]. One study showed that sST2 and IL-33 were associated with mortality in patients with ST elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) but not in patients with non-STEMI (NSTEMI) or stable angina pectoris (SAP) [27]. Another study showed increased concentrations of sST2 to be an independent predictor of all-cause mortality in patients with stable CAD [16]. Therefore, a large-sample study including patients with SAP and ACS is urgently needed to further demonstrate the predictive value of sST2 in CAD patients during long-term follow-up.

Prognostic value of sST2 in cardiovascular disease

Previous studies have suggested that sST2 might be a potential biological marker for mechanical overload in the heart. sST2 was shown to be markedly upregulated in mechanically stimulated cardiomyocytes. Furthermore, sST2 has been demonstrated to predict the outcomes in patients with HF [12, 14, 17, 18]. Recent evidence has suggested that sST2 may be predictive in patients with ACS [28, 29]. According to research by Eggers KM, sST2
levels were elevated early in NSTE-ACS and predicted 1-year mortality [10]. A study by Wang YP showed that the serum levels of sST2, IL-33 and BNP were positively correlated with MACEs in patients with AMI after PCI [30]. However, no studies have investigated the long-term value of sST2 in the prediction of MACEs or all-cause death in a large population of patients with CAD.

The underlying mechanisms

The inflammatory hypothesis of atherosclerosis suggests that inflammatory cell signaling drives the formation, development, and eventual instability of atherosclerotic plaques [31]. IL-33 was originally reported to be a modulator of inflammation that tips the balance toward CD4+ T helper-cell type 2-mediated immune responses [32]. The effect of IL-33 on the function of foam cells indicated the protective role of IL-33 in atherosclerosis [33]. sST2 acts as a decoy receptor for IL-33, thus blocking its protective effects. It has been reported that ApoE (-/-) mice treated with soluble sST2 developed significantly larger atherosclerotic plaques in the aortic sinus compared with control mice [34]. Researchers have found that sST2 is specifically expressed in arterial endothelial cells and is involved in the progression of atherosclerosis [35]. These results suggested that sST2 could be a marker of plaque burden and a predictor of future cardiovascular events [36]; therefore, the IL-33-ST2 pathway deserves consideration. Although the above data suggest that sST2 plays a role in the prognosis of patients presenting with ACS, whether sST2 contributes to cardiovascular risk
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prediction in a large population of CAD patients during long-term follow-up remains uncertain.

To evaluate the prognostic value of a biomarker in CVD, researchers must demonstrate the elevated risk of cardiovascular events associated with higher levels of the new biomarker with adjustment for other established risk factors. The results should be presented as hazard ratios or relative risk estimates obtained by a Cox model and a probability value test of significance of the marker in multivariable models [37]. According to our results, the adjusted HRs for MACEs and all-cause death were 1.36 and 2.01, respectively, in the Cox proportional hazards models after incorporating age, sex, and other clinically relevant covariates. Moreover, in previous studies, the follow-up time for the predictive value of sST2 was relatively short. Brown et al. assessed the prognostic value of sST2 for acute MI, ACS, and MACEs over a short-term follow-up of 30 days [38]. Aldous et al. revisited the prognostic value of sST2 in patients with chest pain over a longer follow-up of 18 months [39]. Two other reports were based on data from 3 clinical trials in patients with STEMI and provided data on the prognostic value of plasma sST2 for 30 days after MI for adverse events, while another study reported the prognostic performance over an average follow-up of 20 months [29, 40, 41]. Our results demonstrated that, after a median follow-up of 6.4 years, a higher level of sST2 was significantly associated with all-cause death and MACEs and provided incremental prognostic value beyond traditional risk factors.

**Prognostic value of sST2 in CAD patients with and without diabetes**

In our study, sST2 remained a significant predictor of MACEs in patients both with and without T2DM after adjusting for age, sex and other confounders. The level of sST2 was significantly higher in the diabetes group than the non-diabetes group, consistent with previous findings [19]. For MACEs, the AUC increased from 0.675 to 0.737 among patients with T2DM; the AUC increased from 0.581 to 0.620 in patients without T2DM. For all-cause death, among patients with T2DM, the AUC increased from 0.581 to 0.620 in patients without T2DM. For all-cause death, among patients with T2DM; the AUC increased from 0.581 to 0.620 in patients without T2DM. For MACEs, the AUC increased from 0.675 to 0.737 while another study reported the prognostic performance of plasma sST2 for 30 days after MI for adverse events, while another study reported the prognostic performance over an average follow-up of 20 months [29, 40, 41]. Our results demonstrated that, after a median follow-up of 6.4 years, a higher level of sST2 was significantly associated with all-cause death and MACEs and provided incremental prognostic value beyond traditional risk factors.

Limitations

Our study still has several important limitations. First, while the study provided a large, well-characterized study sample with adjudicated outcomes, the research was limited to a single center, and these data represent the results of an observational analysis. As in any observational study, we cannot exclude residual confounding. Second, other promising new biomarkers, such as high-sensitivity troponin I and high-sensitivity troponin T,
interleukin 6 and hs-CRP, were not included in our study. The combination of these biomarkers could have greater prognostic value in patients with established CAD. Third, according to our research, higher values of sST2 confer a markedly adverse prognosis, and the underlying mechanisms should be studied. Fourth, the results from the present study, which included only Chinese patients, cannot be extrapolated to other ethnic groups, and further studies are required.

Conclusions
Higher values of sST2 confer a markedly adverse prognosis characterized by excessive risk of MACEs and all-cause death over a long follow-up period. Our study demonstrated that sST2 is a useful predictor of adverse clinical outcomes in patients with CAD, suggesting that elevation of sST2 might provide long-term prognostic information for CAD patients. In subgroup analysis depending on diabetes status, the diabetes group still had a significantly higher level of sST2, and it remained a significant predictor of MACEs and all-cause death in patients with and without T2DM after adjusting for age, sex and other confounders. The AUC of CAD patients with diabetes mellitus was significantly higher than in those without diabetes mellitus. In summary, measurement of sST2 should be considered part of the approach to risk stratification in CAD patients with and without diabetes during long-term follow-up. sST2 has a high predictive value for cardiovascular adverse events in CAD patients with diabetes, and these findings provide new evidence for the role of sST2.
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