Transduction motif analysis of gastric cancer based on a human signaling network
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Abstract

To investigate signal regulation models of gastric cancer, databases and literature were used to construct the signaling network in humans. Topological characteristics of the network were analyzed by Cytoscape. After marking gastric cancer-related genes extracted from the CancerResource, GeneRIF, and COSMIC databases, the FANMOD software was used for the mining of gastric cancer-related motifs in a network with three vertices. The significant motif difference method was adopted to identify significantly different motifs in the normal and cancer states. Finally, we conducted a series of analyses of the significantly different motifs, including gene ontology, function annotation of genes, and model classification. A human signaling network was configured with 1643 nodes and 5089 regulating interactions. There were 57,942 motifs marked with gastric cancer-related genes out of a total of 69,492 motifs, and 264 motifs were selected as significantly different motifs by calculating the significant motif difference (SMD) scores. Genes in significantly different motifs were mainly enriched in functions associated with cancer genesis, such as regulation of cell death, amino acid phosphorylation of proteins, and intracellular signaling cascades. The top five significantly different motifs were mainly cascade and positive feedback types. Almost all genes in the five motifs were cancer related, including EPOR, MAPK14, BCL2L1, KRT18, PTPN6, CASP3, TGFBR2, AR, and CASP7. The development of cancer might be curbed by inhibiting signal transductions upstream and downstream of the selected motifs.
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Introduction

Numerous studies have shown that the abnormal transduction of cellular signaling is closely related to differentiation, apoptosis, and proliferation of cells, and to the occurrence, progression, and prognosis of disease (1). According to studies of intercellular protein-protein interaction networks, the regulation of local signaling in normal tissue is different from that in tumors (2). Network motifs are the specific combinations of functional vertices and the basic building blocks of a network. Motifs can react to external stimuli by regulating gene expression. Mining the cancer susceptibility genes, combined network motifs, and gene expression profiles (3) can improve the identification of target genes on tumor metastasis markedly (4,5).

About 90% of early gastric cancer patients with adequate treatment can survive for more than 5 years and be considered cured; however, the 5-year survival rate of advanced gastric cancer after treatment is less than 5% (6). Thus, early diagnosis is the key to improving treatment efficacy and increasing survival rate (7).

In this study, in order to screen for gastric cancer-related genes and then investigate the signal-regulating models, we constructed a human signaling network after integrating information from many databases and references. After analysis of topological properties, we mapped the verified genes onto the network, and mined the cancer-related motifs using three vertices. Finally, we selected the motifs that were significantly different in normal compared with gastric cancer cells. Genes in the significantly different motifs were the screened genes.

Material and Methods

Gene expression profiles

The Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) is currently the largest...
fully public gene expression resource. It provides flexible mining tools that enable users to easily query, filter, inspect and download data within the context of their specific interests (8). We downloaded the gene expression profile data of GSE2685 (9) from GEO, which was based on the GPL80 platform (HU6800; Affymetrix Human Full Length HugeneFL Array) data. A total of 30 samples were available, including primary human advanced gastric cancer tissues (n = 22), and noncancerous gastric tissues (n = 8). We downloaded the raw data and the probe annotation files from Affymetrix for further analysis. The probe-level data were converted into expression values, log2 transformed, and standardized using the median method (10).

**Extraction of gastric cancer-related genes**

Gastric cancer-related genes were extracted from CancerResource (11), GeneRIF (Gene Reference into Function) (12), and COSMIC (Catalogue of Somatic Mutations in Cancer) (13) databases.

**Human signaling network construction**

All cellular activities, including division, differentiation, and apoptosis are closely associated with signal transduction. The BioCarta database is the largest collection of information on human signaling pathways. We downloaded all the human signaling pathways from BioCarta (http://www.biocarta.com/genes/Cellsignaling.asp) (14), removed redundant information, and represented all proteins with their corresponding genes. In addition, 10 cancer-related pathways from Cancer CellMap (15) and pathways published by Le and Kwon (16) were also used to construct the signaling network associated with gastric cancer. Gastric cancer-related genes extracted from different databases were then marked into the signaling network. Finally, the network analyzer tool in CytoScape was used to calculate network topological characteristics such as degree distribution and clustering coefficient.

**Motif mining in human signaling network**

Many biological networks consist of specific combinations of subnets with frequencies of occurrence that are significantly higher than random. Topological motifs with high frequencies can be used to explain the principles of bio-network organization (17). The fast network motif detection (FANMOD) software (18) was used for motif mining in the human signaling network, because it can handle networks with colors in nodes and edges, and predict the mining time for the whole network with a high operating efficiency.

**Screening for significant differences among motifs**

To investigate the differences of motifs in the normal and cancer states, the significant motif difference (SMD) method (19), based on variations of coexpression, was used to calculate the SMD scores of motifs. For a motif (MA) with three edges, E1, E2, and E3, the difference score (S) is defined as:

\[
S(M_A) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \text{abs}(E_k - E_k^\prime), \quad n = 3
\]

\[
E_k = |\text{Pearson}(X, Y)| = \frac{\text{cov}(X, Y)}{\sqrt{D(X)} \sqrt{D(Y)}},
\]

\[
E_k^\prime = |\text{Pearson}(X, Y^\prime)| = \frac{\text{cov}(X, Y^\prime)}{\sqrt{D(X)} \sqrt{D(Y^\prime)}},
\]

where X, Y are the gene expression values in the normal state and X', Y' are the gene expression values in the cancer state. E_k and E_k^\prime are the absolute values of Pearson correlated coefficients between the two genes connecting by edge k under normal and cancer states, respectively.

Motifs with SMD scores higher than threshold are the significantly different motifs, and the threshold is set according to the distributions of SMD scores. P = 0.05 was selected as the significance threshold.

**Functional annotations of significantly different motifs**

Gene ontology (GO) functional annotations (20) of genes in significantly different motifs were performed using the Database for Annotation, Visualization, and Integration Discovery (DAVID) (21). Functions with a corrected P value false discovery rate (FDR) of less than 0.05 were selected.

**Results**

**Gastric cancer-related genes**

By screening the expression profiles and extracting from three databases, 5515 and 778 related genes were obtained, respectively.

**Human signaling network construction**

The human signaling network was constructed combining the pathways obtained from databases and references. There were 1634 nodes and 5089 regulating interactions, including 2403 activated, 741 inhibited, and 1915 physical interactions in the network (Figure 1). The integrated network was hypothesized to have the same characteristics, such as small-world, scale-free, and hierarchy as protein-protein interaction networks, and gene networks (22). The CytoScape NetworkAnalyzer was used to calculate the degree distribution (Figure 2A) and clustering coefficient (Figure 2B) of the network. It turned out that the degree distribution followed a power law, and the network had scale-free and small-world characteristics. The average degree was 6.3, but was 10.5 for gastric cancer-related genes, almost all of which
were hub genes in the network (23). As shown in Figure 2B, the genes with a higher number of neighbors tended to have lower clustering coefficients.

**Human signaling network motif mining**

Biological networks are composed of recurring network models, and all models are usually combinations of motifs with three vertices. We conducted the motif mining using the FANMOD software for the gastric cancer-related motifs with three vertices. The nodes and edges in the network were marked in different colors. In the total of 92 models, 90 were marked with cancer-related genes. Of a total of 69,492 motifs, 57,942 were marked with cancer-related genes.

**Significantly different motif selection**

SMD scores of 57,942 motifs were computed using the gene expression profiles under normal and cancer states. In all, 26,354 motifs were selected with all three genes expressed, and the distributions of these motif scores were normally distributed (Figure 3). The SMD scores in the normal and cancer states were significantly different for 264 motifs ($P<0.05$).

**Functional annotations of significantly different motifs**

Genes in the significantly different motifs were mainly enriched in functions closely related to the occurrence of cancer, such as regulation of cell death, regulation of

---

**Figure 1.** Human signaling network. Light gray lines represent the physical interactions, dark black lines represent the inhibited interactions, and pink lines represent the activated interactions. The dark red nodes are cancer-related genes.

**Figure 2.** A. Degree distributions of the human signaling network. Numbers of nodes with higher degree were smaller than the other nodes, and all nodes approximated a power-law. B. Clustering coefficients distributions of the human signaling network. The average clustering coefficient of all nodes was plotted against the numbers of neighbors, and nodes with smaller coefficients tended to have fewer neighbors.

**Figure 3.** Distribution of significant motif difference (SMD) scores of motifs marked with gastric cancer-related genes.
programmed cell death, protein amino acid phosphorylation, and intracellular signaling cascades (Table 1). This result confirmed the relationship between the significantly different motifs and gastric cancer.

**Type and rank analysis of significantly different motifs**

First, we classified the types of significantly different motifs, and found that the types having more than five motifs were mainly cascades and positive feedback (Figure 4). Next, we ranked the motifs according to their SMD scores (Table 2), and queried for the relationship of genes of the top five motifs with gastric cancer. Among all the genes, only two, NCOR2 (human nuclear corepressor 2) and ARHGEF7 (rho guanine nucleotide exchange factor), were found to have no relation to gastric cancer. The relationships of EPOR (erythropoietin receptor), MAPK14 (mitogen-activated protein kinase 1), BCL2L1 (BCL2-like1), KRT18 (keratin 18), PTPN6 (protein tyrosine phosphatase nonreceptor 6), CASP3 (caspase-3), TGFBR2 (transforming growth factor-beta, TGFβ, type II receptor), AR (adrenergic receptor), and CASP7 (caspase-7) with gastric cancer were already known.

**Discussion**

The human signaling network we constructed was very large and could reveal additional signal-associated information about gastric cancer. Analysis of the topological characteristics of the network revealed that gastric cancer-related genes had a higher average degree than that of all the genes taken together, and that most of these cancer-related genes were hub genes in the network. This result further confirmed the importance of cancer-related genes (23). We also conducted cancer-related motif mining for a better understanding of the mechanisms of cancer occurrence and development. Cascade and positive feedback were the two types of motifs with significantly different normal and cancer state SMD scores, suggesting that they are disrupted in the cancer state, which may promote the speed of signal transduction. Various types of motifs are associated with cell functions. The significance of the cascade type lies in its influence on cell proliferation and differentiation, the negative feedback type participates in an adaptive response, and the positive feedback type can enhance signal robustness (24,25). Thus, efficient signal transduction may be the reason why cancer cells can proliferate so rapidly.

We mapped gene expression values to the signaling network and then screened the significantly different motifs according to differences in coexpression of motif genes between the normal and cancer states. Expression of genes in the selected motifs was mainly enriched in those functions implicated with cancer development, such as regulation of cell death, regulation of programmed cell death, protein amino acid phosphorylation, and intracellular signaling cascades.
signaling cascades. Recently, studies have shown that amino acids are not only cell signaling molecules but also regulators of gene expression and the protein phosphorylation cascade (26). The signaling pathways of the cellular response to accurate transmission of signals rely on protein phosphorylation and, ultimately, lead to the activation of specific transcription factors that induce the expression of appropriate target genes (27). Extracellular signals are transmitted from the cell membrane to genes in the nucleus via several communication lines known as intracellular signaling pathways, and the transmission of signals through these pathways involves sequential phosphorylation events, in many cases by protein kinases, that are termed kinase cascades (28). Among signal transduction events, protein phosphorylation modulated by protein kinases and phosphatases is an important posttranslational modification event in a variety of cells. Such phosphorylation plays a critical function in signal transduction, cell growth, differentiation, and oncogenesis (29). All the enriched functions in this network were involved in cancer development. Thus, the selected motifs were also related to gastric cancer.

**EPOR**, **MAPK14**, **BCL2L1**, **KRT18**, **PTPN6**, **CASp3**, **TGFBR2**, **AR**, and **CASP7** were genes in the five motifs with the highest SMD scores, and some of them are already known to be gastric cancer related. **NCOR2** and **ARHGEF** were the only two genes for which there have been no reports of a correlation with gastric cancer. **EPOR** is a member of the cytokine receptor superfamily, and the increased expression of **EPOR** is a potential, significant prognostic marker in the carcinogenesis, angiogenesis, and progression of gastric cancer (30). The protein tyrosine phosphatase (PTP) family plays an important part in the inhibition or control of growth, and members may exert oncogenic functions (31). Several studies have detected aberrant DNA methylation of the **PTPN6** gene in gastric cancer (32,33). **TGFBR2**, a constitutively active kinase, is reported to play a tumor suppressor role in the TGFβ pathway in gastric cancer (34). Studies have also detected the relevance of **AR** (35), **CASp3** (36), and **CASP7** (37) with gastric cancer. **NCOR2**, which participates in a co-repressor complex resulting in chromatin condensation, is involved with many cancers (38). It promotes the deacetylation of histone to silence genes. In addition, **ARHGEF7**, also known as PAK-interacting exchange factor, participates in the activation of Ras family genes (39). Based on these identifications, even though there is no direct evidence, **NCOR2** and **ARHGEF** may be the latent gastric cancer-related genes.

Gastric cancer is a common, fatal malignancy worldwide. At present, therapeutic decisions are based on clinical and pathological parameters, including age, tumor-involved lymph nodes, metastases, stage, and histological grade. Although useful, these factors often fail to differentiate more aggressive tumor types from less aggressive types (40). As a result, there is an urgent need to find specific markers. If motifs, as functional units, can be used as biomarkers, then the diagnostic efficiency will be greatly increased. We could then find the locations of the already known cancer-related genes in a motif, and see which genes they affect and which genes affect them. The development of cancers might then be suppressed by inhibiting the signal transductions of their upstream and downstream genes with new potential drugs for gastric cancer.
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