Meta-analysis of multiple hematological biomarkers as prognostic predictors of survival in bladder cancer
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Abstract
Background: Accumulating emerging studies have demonstrated that systemic inflammation can obviously affect tumor occurrence and progression. Nevertheless, the prognostic value of hematological inflammation biomarkers in bladder cancer is controversial. Thus, we conducted a meta-analysis to evaluate the key hematological biomarkers with various clinical outcomes in bladder cancer.

Methods: We used online databases PUBMED and EMBASE to search relevant studies published prior to August 2019. After collecting the basic characteristics and prognostic data from the studies included, overall survival (OS), cancer-specific survival (CSS) and progression-free survival (PFS) were used as primary results. Subgroup analyses were performed according to ethnicity, the number of samples, survival outcomes, the value of cut-off, follow-up time and metastasis stage.

Results: Thirty-three independent studies with 17,087 bladder cancer patients were added in the present analysis. The collected results showed that the increased neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio was associated with a poor OS (hazard ratio [HR]=1.48, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.32–1.67, \(P<.0001\)), CSS (HR=1.71, 95%CI: 1.35–2.18, \(P<.0001\)) and PFS (HR=1.59, 95%CI: 1.38–1.83, \(P<.00001\)). Additionally, the elevated platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio was related to a poor OS (HR=1.29, 95% CI: 1.07–1.54, \(P=.007\)), CSS (HR=1.14, 95%CI=0.98–1.34, \(P=0.2\)) and PFS (HR=1.2, 95%CI: 1.08–1.34, \(P=.0009\)). Moreover, a decreased lymphocyte-to-monocyte ratio was associated with a poor OS (HR=0.77, 95% CI: 0.70–0.84, \(P=.001\)), CSS (HR=0.76, 95%CI: 0.70–0.84). An elevated modified Glasgow prognostic score was also associated with a poor OS (HR=2.71, 95%CI: 1.08–2.82, \(P=.003\)), CSS (HR=1.50, 95%CI: 0.56–4.05) and PFS (HR=1.52, 95%CI: 1.23–1.88, \(P=.001\)).

Conclusions: Our study indicated that the pretreatment hematological biomarkers (neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio, platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio, lymphocyte-to-monocyte ratio, and modified Glasgow prognostic score) were predictive biomarkers of prognosis in bladder cancer patients. Further research is needed to conduct further prospective and multicenter studies to confirm our findings.

Abbreviations: CI = confidence interval, CSS = cancer-specific survival, HR = hazard ratio, mGPS = modified Glasgow prognostic score, NLR = neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio, OS = overall survival, PFS = progression-free survival, PLR = platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio, TURBT = transurethral resection of bladder tumor.
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1. Introduction
Bladder cancer has become one of the most commonly seen malignancies of the urinary system in the United States of America. An American research estimates that 80,470 new bladder cancer patients and 17,677 deaths in 2019. In China, the mortality and morbidity rate associated with bladder cancer ranked second compared to all other malignancies of urinary system. Bladder cancer is generally divided into 2 types, muscle-invasive bladder cancer (20%–30%) and non-muscle invasive bladder cancer (70%–80%). For muscle-invasive bladder cancer, OS after radical cystectomy is poor and about 50% of patients have distant metastasis and death after radical cystectomy. The transurethral resection of bladder tumor (TURBT) marks the foremost step for patients with non-muscle invasive bladder cancer and recurrent tumors are also usually treated by repeat TURBT surgery. However, it is very difficult to sort out the eligible patients because of the weak prognostic value of the traditional TNM staging system. Therefore, finding novel and effective prognostic biomarkers is significant for improving survival rate for patients with bladder cancer.

Accumulating emerging studies have demonstrated that systemic inflammation could obviously affect tumor occurrence and progression like albumin-to-globulin ratio, C-reactive protein/albumin ratio, inflammation-based index, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR), platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio (PLR), lymphocyte-to-monocyte ratio (LMR) and modified Glasgow prognostic score (mGPS). These novel biomarkers are more easily accessible and inexpensive compared with traditional biomarkers. However, the prognostic function of these aforementioned hematological biomarkers in bladder cancer have not been completely expounded. Thus, we conducted a meta-analysis to evaluate the key hematological biomarkers (NLR, PLR, LMR and mGPS) with various survival outcomes in bladder cancer.

2. Methods
2.1. Search strategy
This meta-analysis was done with regards to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses guidelines. In August 2019, a systematic literature search was conducted with the help of PubMed and EMBASE. The search terms were as follows: “hematologic biomarkers”, “NLR”, “LMR”, “PLR”, “mGPS”, “prognosis” and “bladder cancer”.

2.2. Studies inclusion and exclusion criteria
We added some studies that met the inclusion criteria given below:

(1) the patients with bladder cancer;
(2) studies with a clear presentation of the main outcomes including at least 1 hematologic biomarker, such as NLR, PLR, LMR, and mGPS;
(3) must contain risk estimates, such as hazard ratio (HR), with 95% confidence intervals (95%CIs).

The exclusion criteria:

(1) reviews, letters, laboratory studies, case reports and meta-analysis;
(2) studies without survival data, such as overall survival (OS), cancer-specific survival (CSS), disease-specific survival, progression-free survival (PFS), recurrence-free survival, disease-free survival and modified Glasgow prognostic score (mGPS);
(3) article not published in English.

2.3. Data extraction and quality assessment
Data extraction and quality evaluation were independently performed by 2 investigators (Longqing Li, Junxiao Liu). Any disagreements were decided by another author (Lianghao Zhang). The following information was recorded: ethnicity, sample size, survival outcomes, cut-off value, follow-up time, disease stage, HRs and 95%CIs. The quality of the included articles was assessed by the Newcastle-Ottawa Quality Scale (NOS). The NOS includes the following 3 parts:

(1) selection (0–4 points);
(2) comparability (0–2 points); and
(3) outcome (0–3 points).

The maximum score is 9 points and NOS scores ≥ 6 were considered as high-quality studies.

2.4. Statistical analyses
Considering the similar survival outcomes, we combined disease-specific survival and CSS and regarded them as CSS. In addition, recurrence-free survival and PFS were combined as PFS. Meanwhile, pooled HRs and corresponding 95%CIs were used to analyze the association between hematological biomarkers and OS, CSS and PFS for patients with bladder cancer. We measured the heterogeneity among studies was measured by Cochrane Q test and the I² statistic. A random-effects model (DerSimonian-Laird method) was selected if there was significant heterogeneity ($I^2 > 50\%$, $P < .05$). Otherwise, the fixed-effect model (Mantel-Haenszel method) was adopted. In addition, we performed subgroup analyses to examine the heterogeneity by treatment method, ethnicity, sample size, cut-off and tumor stage of NLR, PLR, and LMR. Publication bias was evaluated by Beggs funnel plots and Egger tests. The statistical analyses were conducted using RevMan5.3 (Cochrane Collaboration) and $P < .05$ was considered statistically significant.

3. Results
The flowchart of the literature selection process was shown in Figure 1. We initially identified 485 potentially relevant articles, after removing 124 duplicates, 361 studies remained. After title and/or abstract examination, 193 papers were excluded and 168 records were evaluated by full-text reading. Among these 168 studies, 43 full text studies were eliminated because of various reasons. Thirty-three studies enrolling 17,087 participants met the eligible criteria strictly and were included in the final analysis (Table 1).

3.1. The prognostic significance of NLR in bladder cancer
Twenty studies comprising 11,013 patients provided data for estimating the association between NLR and OS in bladder cancer patients. In these studies, high NLR was significantly correlated with poor OS, HR was 1.48 (95%CI: 1.32–1.67, $P < .00001$), and had significant heterogeneity ($I^2 = 81\%$, $P < .00001$; Fig. 2).
In total, 14 studies, including 9602 patient, studied in the NLR analysis of CSS. As demonstrated in Figure 2, the higher NLR was correlated with poor CSS and the pooled HR was 1.71 (95%CI: 1.35–2.18, \( P < .0001 \)) but with moderate heterogeneity (\( I^2 = 65\% \), \( P = .003 \); Fig. 2).

Finally, the association between NLR and PFS was investigated in 13 studies involving 9539 bladder cancer patients. NLR had a significant prognostic effect on PFS and the pooled HR was 1.59 (95%CI: 1.38–1.83, \( P < .0001 \)) and with significant heterogeneity (\( I^2 = 71\% \), \( P < .0001 \); Fig. 2).

The subgroup analysis (Table 2) shown that the significant prognostic value for NLR on OS, CSS and PFS in most subgroups but the CSS TURBT group had no significant prognostic value.

### 3.2. The prognostic significance of PLR in bladder cancer

There were ten researches, including 4281 patients, providing data for estimating the prognostic effect of PLR on OS in patients with bladder cancer. The pooled analysis illustrated that a high PLR was associated with poor OS, with the pooled HR was 1.29 (95%CI: 1.07–1.54, \( P = .007 \)) with significant heterogeneity (\( I^2 = 80.0\% \), \( P < .0001 \); Fig. 2).

The correlation between PLR and CSS was reported in 6 studies involving 3284 bladder cancer patients. Combined data of these 6 cohorts suggested non-significant prognostic effect of PLR on CSS and HR was 1.14 (95% CI: 0.98–1.34, \( P = .10 \); \( I^2 = 63\% \), \( P = .02 \); Fig. 3).

In terms of effect of PLR on PFS, there were 3 studies presenting it including 1214 bladder cancer patients. The pooled data showed that a high PLR was related to a poor PFS, HR was 1.2 (95%CI: 1.08–1.34, \( P = .0008 \)) without heterogeneity (\( I^2 = 0\% \), \( P = .60 \); Fig. 3).

The subgroup analysis (Table 3) shown that the significant prognostic value for PLR on OS TURBT group, OS Asian group, OS Sample size \( \geq 200 \) group had no significant prognostic value.

### 3.3. The prognostic significance of LMR in bladder cancer

Six studies including 4969 patients investigated the association between LMR and OS. The pooled HR was 0.77 (95%CI: 0.70–0.84, \( P = .0002 \)), which reveal that a high LMR was great connection with favorable OS (\( I^2 = 63\% \), \( P = .001 \); Fig. 4).

We also investigated the impact of LMR on CSS. The summary HR was 0.76 and the result indicated that a high LMR was related to favorable CSS (95%CI: 0.70–0.84, \( P < .00001 \)) in a random-effects model for bladder cancer patients. There was no heterogeneity among these studies (\( I^2 = 0\% \), \( P = .88 \); Fig. 4).
The subgroup analysis (Table 4) shown that the significant prognostic value for LMR on OS in most subgroups but the OS cut-off < 3.0 group had no significant prognostic value.

### 3.4. The prognostic significance of mGPS in bladder cancer

Three studies[46,50,51] presenting data dealing with the effect of GCSP on OS among 1221 different patients were observed. The gathered analysis showed that an elevated high GCSP was closely associated with a poor OS, HR was 2.71 (95% CI: 1.08–2.82, \(P = .003\)), with significant heterogeneity \(I^2 = 80.0\%, P = .007\); Fig. 5).

Then we also found 2 studies[46,51] containing 1154 bladder cancer patients, reported the effect of GCSP on CSS. The summary HR was 1.50 (95% CI: 0.56–4.05, \(P = .42\); Fig. 5) in a random-effects model for bladder cancer patients and both 2 cohorts suggested non-significant prognostic effect of GCSP on CSS.

At last, only 2 studies[53,52] including 2133 patients investigated had the association between mGPS and PFS, and the combined HR was 1.52 (95% CI: 1.23–1.88, \(P = .0001\)) without heterogeneity \(I^2 = 0\%, P = .73\); Fig. 5).

### 3.5. The Association of hematological biomarkers and clinicopathological factors

We analyzed eleven studies which reported the relationship between hematological biomarkers (NLR, PLR, LMR and mGPS) and clinicopathological factors including sex, tumor grade, tumor stage, age and tumor size. As shown in Table 5 and Fig. S1, http://links.lww.com/MD/E590, high PLR was found to be significantly associated with tumor grade G3 (OR = 2.58, 95% CI:1.67–3.99, \(P < .001\)).

### 4. Discussion

Among all the cancers in U.S, bladder carcinoma stands the 4th most commonly diagnosed among men and 10th most commonly diagnosed among women.[1] In previous studies, the role of several promising molecular prognostic biomarkers, like Ki-67 overexpression or fibroblast growth factor receptor 3 mutations, were not convincing. In addition, when combined with standard clinical and pathological parameters, some emerging biomarkers, such as tumor protein 53 mutations or p53 overexpression, have failed to show the clinical value.[13]
Figure 2. Forest plots of NLR for OS, CSS and PFS.
Nowadays, serum biomarkers are commonly used in the diagnosis of tumors, of which inflammation biomarkers are the most important. As early as 19th century, Rudolf Virchow observed leucocytes in neoplastic tissues and established the hypothesis about the relationship between inflammation and tumor.\cite{54} Due to the limitations of the times and technology, this speculation has been silent for many years. In recent years, more and more evidence suggest that inflammation of the tumor microenvironment promotes tumorigenesis, progression and metastasis and there is a link between inflammation and tumor.\cite{55-57}

NLR is the most meaningful hematological inflammation biomarker. Studies have shown that tumor infiltrating lymphocytes may limit the metastatic cascade of cancer cells while

### Table 2

Subgroup analysis of the combination between NLR and OS, CSS, PFS.

| Subgroup title | No. of studies | I² (%) | HR (95%CI) | P |
|----------------|---------------|--------|------------|---|
| OS             |               |        |            |   |
| Total          | 20            | 83     | 1.50 (1.33, 1.69) | P< .0001 |
| Treatment      |               |        |            |   |
| RC             | 11            | 83     | 1.50 (1.27, 1.77) | P< .0001 |
| NAC            | 5             | 72     | 1.38 (1.10, 1.75) | P=.006  |
| TURBT          | 3             | 44     | 1.08 (1.75, 9.53) | P=.001  |
| Mix            | 1             | NA     | 1.72 (1.43, 2.06) | P< .0001 |
| Ethnicity      |               |        |            |   |
| Asian          | 10            | 49     | 1.36 (1.16, 1.58) | P=.001  |
| Caucasian      | 10            | 79     | 1.36 (1.16, 1.58) | P=.001  |
| Sample size    |               |        |            |   |
| < 200          | 8             | 80     | 1.47 (1.19, 1.81) | P=.005  |
| ≥ 200          | 12            | 74     | 1.52 (1.29, 1.79) | P< .0001 |
| Cut-off        |               |        |            |   |
| < 3.0          | 11            | 76     | 1.56 (1.22, 1.99) | P< .0001 |
| ≥ 3.0          | 8             | 84     | 1.49 (1.25, 1.76) | P< .0001 |
| Metastatic     |               |        |            |   |
| Non            | 16            | 82     | 1.09 (1.07, 1.12) | P< .0001 |
| Metastasis     | 4             | 0      | 1.57 (1.33, 1.86) | P< .0001 |
| CSS            |               |        |            |   |
| Total          | 14            | 65     | 1.71 (1.35, 2.18) | P< .0001 |
| Treatment      |               |        |            |   |
| RC             | 8             | 87     | 1.47 (1.20, 1.81) | P=.0003 |
| NAC            | 2             | 0      | 1.29 (1.14, 1.46) | P< .0001 |
| TURBT          | 3             | 61     | 1.40 (0.97, 2.05) | P=.07   |
| Mix            | 1             | NA     | 5.44 (1.98, 14.94) | P=.001  |
| Ethnicity      |               |        |            |   |
| Asian          | 7             | 70     | 1.57 (1.16, 2.13) | P=.004  |
| Caucasian      | 7             | 89     | 1.40 (1.17, 1.68) | P=.0002 |
| Sample size    |               |        |            |   |
| < 200          | 5             | 88     | 1.55 (1.17, 2.05) | P=.002  |
| ≥ 200          | 9             | 68     | 1.42 (1.21, 1.66) | P< .0001 |
| Cut-off        |               |        |            |   |
| < 3.0          | 5             | 70     | 1.39 (1.20, 1.61) | P< .0001 |
| ≥ 3.0          | 4             | 87     | 1.65 (1.12, 2.45) | P=.01   |
| PFS            |               |        |            |   |
| Total          | 13            | 71     | 1.59 (1.38, 1.83) | P< .0001 |
| Treatment      |               |        |            |   |
| RC             | 6             | 48     | 1.40 (1.21, 1.63) | P< .0001 |
| NAC            | 2             | 38     | 1.33 (1.12, 1.57) | P=.001  |
| TURBT          | 4             | 0      | 2.06 (1.71, 2.48) | P< .0001 |
| Mix            | 1             | NA     | 4.26 (1.64, 11.07) | P=.003  |
| Ethnicity      |               |        |            |   |
| Asian          | 3             | 0      | 1.61 (1.21, 2.14) | P=.001  |
| Caucasian      | 10            | 77     | 1.58 (1.35, 1.86) | P< .00001 |
| Sample size    |               |        |            |   |
| < 200          | 4             | 69     | 1.99 (1.25, 3.19) | P=.004  |
| ≥ 200          | 7             | 76     | 1.57 (1.32, 1.87) | P< .00001 |
| Cut-off        |               |        |            |   |
| < 3.0          | 5             | 59     | 1.40 (1.22, 1.61) | P< .00001 |
| ≥ 3.0          | 6             | 47     | 1.77 (1.44, 2.18) | P< .00001 |

CSS = cancer-specific survival, NA = not available, NAC = neoadjuvant chemotherapy, NLR = neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio, OS = overall survival, PFS = progression-free survival, RC = radical cystectomy, TURBT = transurethral resection of bladder tumor.
neutrophils may contribute to tumor cell migration and metastasis by remodeling the extracellular matrix and promotion of angiogenesis.\[58–60\] Wu et al[61] has conducted a meta-analysis on the clinical use of NLR in bladder cancer patients, and their results are similar to ours. However, we added more latest qualified studies compared to theirs. What’s more, we have also used more detailed and in-depth methods, such as sensitivity analysis, “trim and fill” analysis to discover the potential heterogeneity and validate our conclusions.

Platelets can mediate tumor cell growth, angiogenesis, and proliferation by secreting vascular endothelial growth factor, basic fibroblast growth factor, platelet-derived growth factor and other angiogenesis and tumor growth factors, and also protect tumor cells from immune elimination and support tumor metastasis.\[62–64\] Previous researches have shown the prognostic function of PLR in different cancers, but these studies still have limitations, such as Xingmu Wang et al\[65\] only reported association between PLR and tumor metastasis for OS. In our study, we included more indicators that are prognostic and rationally combined them. Furthermore, we discovered that the prognostic function of high PLR for poorer OS, PFS and non-significant prognostic effect of PLR for CSS. The prognostic value of PLR could be insignificant as the follow-ups of CSS is comparatively short. Notably, in the research of the correlation between PLR and clinicopathological factors, we found that PLR was significantly associated with tumor grade G3 (P<.001). Tumor differentiation may be related to tumor microenvironment lymphocyte infiltration, however, research on this aspect is still insufficient. Research on tumor lymphocyte infiltration will become a hot spot in the future.

Recently, LMR, as an integrated inflammatory-based prognostic system, it has shown spectacular prognostic value in numerous cancers. Macrophages derived from circulating monocytes might accelerate tumor progression and angiogenesis.\[66\] In this meta-analysis, we explored the prognostic value of LMR in bladder cancer and focused on more outcome indicators contain OS and CSS than previous studies. Moreover, our study included more valuable significant biomarkers. None of these meta-analyses about prognostic significance of hematological biomarkers for bladder cancer concentrated on the value of mGPS until now. Furthermore, we investigated the prognostic value of NLR, PLR, LMR and mGPS in same 1 study for the first time and these biomarkers could show more reliable prognostic value in bladder cancer.

Figure 3. Forest plots of PLR for OS, CSS and PFS.
Nevertheless, our study also has following several limitations.

1. Despite we conducted a lot of subgroup analysis; we still cannot eliminate the significant heterogeneity between several studies. After discussion, we finally believe that the heterogeneity attributes to the grade of bladder cancer, histological type and individual patient difference.

2. In the studies we included, some did not have multivariate analysis data, so we just included a part of the univariate analysis data.

3. Most hematological biomarkers have different cut-off value.

4. Included studies were all retrospective studies.

5. Several biomarkers, such as C-reactive protein/albumin ratio and plasma fibrinogen have been studied too little to conduct meta-analysis. In the future, large-scale studies about these biomarkers are needed to validate the results.

Table 3: Subgroup analysis of the combination between PLR and OS, CSS.

| Subgroup title | No. of studies | I² (%) | HR (95% CI) | P
|----------------|----------------|--------|-------------|-----
| OS Total       | 10             | 80     | 1.29 (1.07, 1.54) | .007
| Treatment      |                |        |             |     
| RC             | 7              | 86     | 1.43 (1.14, 1.79) | .002
| TURBT          | 3              | 0      | 0.95 (0.74, 1.22) | .70
| Ethnicity      |                |        |             |     
| Asian          | 6              | 77     | 1.47 (0.99, 2.21) | .06
| Caucasian      | 4              | 69     | 1.12 (0.96, 1.30) | .15
| Sample size    |                |        |             |     
| <200           | 4              | 83     | 1.59 (0.91, 2.77) | .11
| ≥200           | 6              | 65     | 1.23 (0.98, 1.55) | .07
| Cut-off        |                |        |             |     
| <150           | 4              | 71     | 1.35 (0.97, 1.86) | .02
| ≥150           | 6              | 78     | 1.22 (0.98, 1.53) | .0004

Table 4: Subgroup analysis of the combination between LMR and OS.

| Subgroup title | No. of studies | I² (%) | HR (95% CI) | P
|----------------|----------------|--------|-------------|-----
| OS Total       | 6              | 75     | 0.77 (0.70, 0.84) | .0002
| Ethnicity      |                |        |             |     
| Asian          | 4              | 62     | 0.48 (0.36, 0.64) | .001
| Caucasian      | 5              | 0      | 0.81 (0.74, 0.89) | <.0001
| Sample size    |                |        |             |     
| <200           | 5              | 77     | 0.52 (0.34, 0.80) | .003
| ≥200           | 1              | NA     | 0.83 (0.73, 0.95) | .006
| Cut-off        |                |        |             |     
| <3.0           | 2              | 71     | 0.60 (0.30, 1.21) | .15
| ≥3.0           | 4              | 82     | 0.56 (0.35, 0.90) | .02

Figure 4. Forest plots of LMR for OS and CSS.
4.1. Publication bias

Publication bias was insignificant with respect to the prognostic value of NLR on OS ($P = .456$, Fig. 6A), NLR on CSS ($P = .381$, Fig. 6B) and PLR on OS ($P = .754$, Fig. 6C) according to the plots of publication given in Fig. 6. Begg’s funnel plots showed notable asymmetry regarding the NLR with PFS ($P = .033$, Fig. 6D) in bladder cancer patients. Moreover, we performed “trim and fill” analysis and the results suggested that there were 3 unpublished studies assessing NLR on PFS (Fig. 6E). The results of “trim and fill” showed no significant difference in the previous and new HRs (HR = 1.513, 95% CI: 1.310–1.747; $P < .001$).

5. Conclusions

Our study indicated that the pretreatment hematological inflammation biomarkers could be regarded as 1 of predictive biomarkers in bladder cancer patients. Therefore, hematologic biomarkers are promising and inexpensive biomarkers that can be used in clinical management and foresee survival outcome in bladder cancer patients.

Table 5

| Clinicopathological factors | No. of studies | OR (95% CI) | $P$ | $P$ (%) | $P$-value for heterogeneity | Analysis model |
|----------------------------|----------------|-------------|-----|---------|-----------------------------|----------------|
| NLR                        |                |             |     |         |                             |                |
| Sex (M vs F)               | 11             | 0.96 (0.78, 1.18) | .70 | 50      | .03                         | Random         |
| Tumor grade (G3 vs G1/G2)  | 5              | 1.46 (0.89, 2.39) | .74 | 90      | < .001                      | Random         |
| Tumor stage (T2-T4 vs Ta-T1) | 7           | 1.40 (0.93, 2.11) | .38 | 71      | .002                        | Random         |
| Age (yr) (≥65 vs <65)      | 2              | 1.13 (0.74, 1.74) | .56 | 29      | .23                         | Fixed          |
| Tumor size (cm) (≥3 vs <3) | 4              | 1.09 (0.03, 1.27) | .30 | 0       | .89                         | Fixed          |
| PLR                        |                |             |     |         |                             |                |
| Sex (M vs F)               | 3              | 1.06 (0.67, 1.66) | .81 | 13      | .32                         | Random         |
| Tumor grade (G3 vs G1/G2)  | 3              | 2.58 (1.67, 3.99) | .74 | 73      | .06                         | Random         |
| Tumor stage (T2-T4 vs Ta-T1) | 2           | 2.34 (0.88, 6.05) | .18 | 73      | .06                         | Random         |
| Age (yr) (≥65 vs <65)      | 2              | 0.93 (0.56, 1.55) | .77 | 62      | .11                         | Random         |
| LMR                        |                |             |     |         |                             |                |
| Sex (M vs F)               | 3              | 0.90 (0.51, 1.59) | .71 | 65      | .06                         | Random         |
| Tumor grade (G3 vs G1/G2)  | 4              | 1.43 (0.60, 3.39) | .42 | 84      | < .001                      | Random         |
| Tumor stage (T2-T4 vs Ta-T1) | 3           | 0.96 (0.80, 1.14) | .63 | 0       | .73                         | Fixed          |
| mGPS                       |                |             |     |         |                             |                |
| Sex (M vs F)               | 2              | 0.53 (0.25, 1.10) | .09 | 93      | < .001                      | Random         |
| Tumor grade (G3 vs G1/G2)  | 2              | 0.95 (0.63, 1.42) | .79 | 65      | .09                         | Random         |

CI = confidence interval, LMR = lymphocyte-to-monocyte ratio, mGPS = modified glasgow prognostic score, NLR = neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio, PLR = platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio.
bladder carcinoma. However, further prospective and innovative studies are required to validate our conclusions.
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