Physical and Chemical Characterization of Dust Deposited in the Turan Lowland (Central Asia)
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Abstract. The desiccation of the Aral Sea ranks among the largest man-made ecological catastrophes and has become a global symbol for the overexploitation of limited resources and the environmental and socioeconomic consequences caused thereby. Formerly the fourth largest inland lake, large parts of the Aral Sea have been transformed into a salty desert – the Aralkum. The exposed lake bed sediments are subject to wind erosion, resulting in white sand and dust storms which have been tracked over several hundred kilometres using remote sensing images. Dust deposition data, on the other hand, requires excessive field work over prolonged periods of time and thus is scarce. But this kind of ground-based monitoring provides valuable insights into the physical and chemical composition of the transported material. The dust transported from the Aralkum contains, among other things, salts, heavy metals and agrochemicals deposited in the Aral Sea over decades. It can contribute to soil salinization, damage crops and technical infrastructure and impair the human health in the region surrounding the Aralkum, making the analysis of the aeolian dust deposition highly relevant. In the study presented here passive dust deposition data from 23 meteorological stations in the Turan lowland have been collected between 2003 and 2012 and analyzed for their grain size, mineralogical, and chemical characterization in order to identify the influence the newly formed Aralkum has on the Central Asian dust dynamic.

1 Introduction and Study Design

Water is a valuable resource and has to be managed efficiently, especially in Central Asia, a land-locked arid region with a population of 65.3 million. The water required for sustaining this fragile system comes from the Central Asian “water towers” [1] – the highly glaciated mountain areas of the Pamir and Tien Shan mountains. From there it feeds the two large Central Asian streams, the Amu-Darya and Syr-Darya. Together with the Aral Sea as their terminal lake they form the largest inland watershed worldwide [2, 3]. Steep mountain slopes, thin soils and low evaporation rates in the upper catchments lead to a rapid surface runoff, especially during the snow and glacier melt period in spring and summer, creating a good water supply in a region with overall little precipitation [4]. This combination of abundant water supply during the vegetative period and a hot and dry climate in the lowlands is well suited for agriculture. This was the basis for the elaboration of traditional irrigation schemes and the development of rich oasis cities in the land between those two streams (Transoxania = “Beyond the Oxus River”, the Oxus being an old name for the Amu-Darya) dating back for more than two millennia [5]. During the 20th century the land and water use intensified beyond sustainable measures and grand irrigation farming and hydropower plans were implemented. This overexploitation of the water resources led to a sizeable annual water deficit and to the largest man-made ecological disaster, known as the Aral Sea syndrome [6-9]. As less and less water reached the Aral Sea and the evaporation surpassed the freshwater inflow, the water volume and lake surface decreased, increasing the salinity of the lake’s water to toxic levels (from 10.2 g/l in 1947 to 80.0 g/l in 2005 [10]). The extensive use of agrochemicals (fertilizers based on Ammonium, Nitrogen, Phosphor and Potassium, pesticides like DDT, Phosalone, Lindane or Toxaphene [11]) and salts leached from salinized fields in the Aral Sea basin further contributed to the accumulation of hazardous substances in the lake for many decades [6, 12-18]. The desiccation of the Aral Sea exposed the polluted lake bed sediments to the forces of the wind. The lake turned into a desert – the Aralkum, covering more than 60,000 km² (Fig. 1) [6, 7, 19] – and wind erosion now remobilizes the salts and agrochemicals deposited in the lake over decades. These airborne pollutants are a potential health risk for the region surrounding this man-made desert [6, 7, 15, 20] and require a thorough monitoring. Due to the remoteness and size of the affected area of more than 1.5 million km² spread out predominately over three
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countries (Kazakhstan, Turkmenistan, Uzbekistan) most studies focus on remote sensing as their primary data for the monitoring of the aeolian sediment transport [21-24]. But while satellite data can provide an area-wide quantification of the transported material and can also provide insights into the qualitative nature of the airborne dust due to its spectral characteristics, on-site measurements of the dust deposition and laboratory analyses of its mineralogical and chemical properties are needed to complement such a monitoring.

The study presented here collected monthly dust deposition samples from 23 meteorological stations in four regions (Aralkum, Khorezm, Karakum and Kyzylkum; Fig. 1) between 2006 and 2012. All collected dust samples (inverted Frisbee design passive deposition samplers in 3 m height; [6]) were weighted and selected samples (based on the available sample material) were used for the analyses of the grain size composition the mineral composition (using wavelength dispersive X-ray diffraction), and the chemical composition (using atomic absorption spectroscopy and X-ray fluorescence).

Fig. 1. Dust sampling sites and the three major dust sources Karakum, Kyzylkum and Aralkum

2 Results

The grain size analysis showed that the majority of the material deposited in 3m height (85.8%-97.6% in the four regions of the study area) was part of the PM₃ group (fine silt and clay particles; <0.0063 mm) and that the average grain diameter ranged between 0.0018 mm and 0.0129 mm. The Karakum is characterized by a very different mineral composition while the other three regions are very similar to each other (Fig. 2). The grain size averages across all sampling sites also show a seasonal dynamic as the deposited material is coarser in spring and summer than in winter, but even in March the percentage of PM₃ material remains above 80%. Throughout the study period the average grain diameter increased from 0.0019 mm in 2006 to 0.0141 mm in 2012 (with a maximum of 0.0214 mm in 2011). This indicates an increase in the wind energy (from 1.3 m/s average wind speed during the first three years of the study period to 3.6 m/s during the last three years – based on monthly averages measured across all 23 meteorological stations) which also results in an intensification of the aeolian transport and the deposition rates (from 108.4 kg/ha average monthly dust deposition in 2006 to 195.6 kg/ha*month in 2012).

The mineral composition of the dust samples allows a more differentiated view at the individual regions. Dust from the Aralkum and the Karakum is characterized by very similar minerals. Quartz, calcite, and dolomite were detected in the samples from both regions in nearly identical percentages (for a total of 65.6% in the Aralkum and 65.2% in the Karakum). But there are differences as well. The Aralkum dust samples contain hardly any illite (0.56%) and showed the highest microcline contents across all regions (4.97%). The dust from the Karakum, on the other hand, contained only 1% of orthoclase, but the highest concentrations of albite (24.67%) and illite (3.84%). The Kyzylkum is characterized by a very different mineral composition with an even spread of quartz, calcite, albitol, dolomite, and orthoclase. The two latter minerals were far more common (18.8% each) in the Kyzylkum samples than in the other regions, while quartz and calcite showed in the Kyzylkum samples the lowest percentage across all regions. Khorezm, as the in-between region that is also not a dust source, but a densely populated agricultural center was characterized by the highest percentages of quartz (34.5%) and calcite (29.3%) and the lowest values for dolomite (9.7%). These results not only work as a mineralogical fingerprint of the different regions, but also give insights into the health risk that this mineral composition poses in aeolian dust of mostly PM₃ size. Especially quartz, albite, and illite have a high potential of causing respiratory diseases [25-27], which are one of the main health concerns in the Aral Sea region (especially in Karakalpakstan and Khorezm) [17, 20, 28].

Due to the mineral composition of the dust samples, SiO₂ is the most common compound detected in the Central Asian dust (on average 56.3%), followed by CaO (8.86%) and Al₂O₃ (7.8%). The Aralkum and the Kyzylkum are characterized by above average concentrations of the former two compounds (SiO₂: 60.1% and 61.4%, respectively; CaO: 11.7% and 13.4%, respectively) while the Karakum samples showed above average concentrations of Al₂O₃ (8.7%) and below average concentrations of CaO (6.4%), but these differences are, overall, minor. More pronounced differences were detected in the minor compounds and the trace elements. The Aralkum dust samples, for instance, were characterized by a much higher concentration of SO₄²⁻ compared to the Karakum and Kyzylkum (2,365 ppm vs. 232 ppm and 512 ppm). Khorezm also showed a high value for SO₄²⁻ (1,681 ppm) and had the highest concentration of P₂O₅ (1,857 ppm compared to 1,074 ppm in the Aralkum,
866 ppm in the Karakum and 465 ppm in the Kyzylkum). The high concentrations of phosphor in Khorezm and the Aralkum samples reflect the strong anthropogenic impact of local, agricultural dust sources (Khorezm) and the accumulation of agrochemicals in the Aral Sea sediments.

In order to characterize the four study regions further, the following ratios were calculated and compared to data from other dust sources: K₂O/SiO₂ (K/S-Ratio); SiO₂/Al₂O₃ (S/A-Ratio); K₂O/Al₂O₃ (K/A-Ratio); (Na₂O+K₂O)/SiO₂ (NK/S-Ratio).

Khorezm and the Karakum showed very similar results for the first three ratios (K/S: 0.0301 and 0.0305; S/A: 6.74 and 6.6; K/A: 0.2031 and 0.2012), indicating a strong chemical connection, either due to a similar geology or because of a stronger influence of dust from the Karakum and the nearby exposed floodplain of the Amu-Darya on Khorezm. The dust samples from these two regions also showed a characteristic as samples from Central Xinjiang, Inner Mongolia, and the Western Sahara [29, 30]. The Aralkum dust samples, on the other hand, were closer to the Kyzylkum samples and these two regions shared similarities with dust collected in Midwestern and Central China as well as with Southern Xinjiang [29, 31].

These results show that the four regions included in this study, even though they are close to each other, are exposed to the same climate, and have a similar geological background, show distinct differences in the collected dust samples. These reflect general physical characteristics (e.g. the much smaller average grain diameter in the Karakum) as well as anthropogenic influences (e.g. concentrations of phosphor in Khorezm and the Aralkum). These differences result in a unique fingerprint for each of these regions, which can be used to trace the dust from the three major dust sources in the Turan lowland – the Karakum, the Kyzylkum and the Aralkum. Continuing this research in the form of a long-term ground-based dust monitoring would allow a more accurate assessment of the often proclaimed health risk related to dust from the Aralkum.

Fig. 2. Grain size composition and the percentage of PM₅ material in dust samples from different regions, months and years (based on deposition samples from 23 stations)
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