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Abstract The Kaluza–Klein tower of higher spin-$S$ tensor meson resonances is here scrutinized in the AdS/QCD hard wall model, encompassing the already established resonances $\rho(770)$, $f_2(1270)$, $\omega_3(1670)$, $f_4(2050)$, $\rho_5(2350)$, $f_6(2510)$ in PDG. A hybrid model employs both information theory and AdS/QCD, where configurational-entropic Regge trajectories, relating the configurational entropy of the tensor mesons family to their $S$ spin, and also to their experimental mass spectra, are derived and analyzed. Therefore, the mass spectra of higher spin-$S$ tensor meson resonances is obtained and compared to the existing data in PDG.

1 Introduction

The concept of configurational entropy (CE) designates the measure of spatial correlations in any physical system. The CE is interpreted as a lossless compression rate limit of information, representing the minimum quantity of bits that can encrypt a message. No code takes less number of bits per symbol than the CE source, which consists of the informational measure of complexity of a system that has spatial profile, with respect to the system modes in the momentum space [1–3]. Hence, the lower the information entropy, the lower the information encoded in the frequency modes is required to represent the shape of the physical system. When a system is totally predictable, namely, the distribution of probability is unity for a particular symbol, and vanishes for all the others, then the CE vanishes. Localized solutions of PDEs are examples of non-trivial spatial complexity, as well as the correlation of thermal fluctuations in a system undergoing continuous phase transitions. Modes in a system corresponding to hadronic states with lower CE have been shown to be more dominant and configurationally stable, being more detectable and observable, from the experimental point of view [4]. The CE defines the best lossless compression of any communication inside a physical system. The higher the CE, the more unpredictable and configurationally unstable the system is. The expression for the CE is similar to the Boltzmann–Gibbs entropy, measuring the degree of disorder in a physical system. Hence, the CE is also a measure how much information is needed to determine a microstate. In this way, thermodynamic entropy is proportional to the quantity of Shannon information that is required to define the microscopic state of a given system. A thoroughly exposition of the CE paradigm, even in its quantum version and its relationship to the quantum von Neumann entropy are detailedly exposed by Witten [5].

The CE has been evidenced to be a prominent tool to study QCD, specially in regarding AdS/QCD, endowing QCD with new methods analyze and scrutinize physical phenomena. Indeed, the CE has been playing prominent roles in both the hard and soft wall AdS/QCD models, further studying new features of QCD and corroborating to data in PDG. Hadronic states with lower CE either require less energy to be yielded, or are more frequently observed as more dominant hadronic states, than their configurationally unstable counterparts, or even both. The recently introduced concept of configurational-entropic Regge trajectories have been employed to study and also to predict the mass spectra of the next generation of higher spin resonances of four light-flavour meson families, $a_1$, $f_0$ and $\rho$ [7,8]. Formerly, other features of mesonic resonances have been already studied under the CE paradigm [4]. Besides, the CE has been employed to study the configurational stability of scalar glueballs in AdS/QCD [9]. Ref. [10] used the CE to discuss quarkonia production at zero temperature, whereas a more intricate development, using the CE, approached the finite temperature case of bottomonium and charmonium resonances [11]. Besides, Ref. [12] derived, using AdS/QCD, the mass spectra of the next generation of $J^P = \frac{1}{2}^+$ and $J^P = \frac{3}{2}^-$ nucleon and higher spin baryon resonances both at
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zero and at finite temperatures, and them compared to already established baryonic states in PDG. Light- and heavy-flavour mesonic excitations in chiral condensates, glueball resonances, baryons and the quark-gluon plasma have been also investigated under various aspects of the CE that underlies QCD [13–16]. Other applications of the CE in QCD and in the standard model can be found in Refs. [17–23]. Besides, the CE was shown to be an appropriate paradigm to study phase transitions as CE critical points [24–31], including the stability of stellar distributions [32–35].

The AdS/QCD approach has proved to be an important tool to investigate non-perturbative aspects of QCD as confinement [6,36–41]. The construction of holographic models for QCD-like theories is implemented by considering deformations in the AdS/CFT correspondence [42–45]. For instance, the hard wall AdS/QCD model [46–48] consists of introducing an infrared (IR) cut-off, which corresponds to an IR mass in the gauge theory, into the AdS space in order to break conformal invariance. In the case of the soft wall model [6], the conformal invariance is broken introducing a dilaton field that acts as a smooth IR cut-off.

Our main aim here is to study the $\rho(770)$, $f_2(1270)$, $o_3(1670)$, $f_4(2050)$, $p_5(2350)$, $f_6(2510)$ family of tensor mesons in PDG [49], in the hard wall AdS/QCD, under the CE. The hard wall AdS/QCD can derive their masses with a good experimental accuracy up to spin $S = 5$ [37]. However for $S \geq 6$ there is already a gap of 14.6% between the predicted and the experimental mass of the $f_6(2510)$ tensor meson resonance. To derive a more trustworthy mass spectra for the higher spin-$S$ resonances in the tensor meson family, for $S = 7, 8, 9$, the information content of AdS/QCD will be explored, using the CE together with the experimental masses of the already observed tensor mesons in PDG [49]. As neither the soft wall nor the hard wall AdS/QCD model match experimental data corresponding to heavier Kaluza–Klein (KK) resonances, we focus our analysis in the lightest KK excitations, corresponding to the $\rho(770)$, $f_2(1270)$, $o_3(1670)$, $f_4(2050)$, $p_5(2350)$, $f_6(2510)$ family of tensor mesons in PDG [49]. Therefore, equipped with the apparatus involving the CE that underlies the hard wall AdS/QCD model, configurational-entropic Regge trajectories, for the tensor mesons family, will be derived and scrutinized. Here tensor meson resonances up to spin $S = 9$ are analyzed. Two types of configurational-entropic Regge trajectories to be studied, relating the tensor meson family CE both to the spin-$S$ tensor resonances and their observed mass spectra in PDG [49]. Analyzing both types of Regge-like trajectories can, then, derive a reliable prediction for the mass spectra of higher spin-$S$ tensor meson resonances.

This paper is organized as follows: Sect. 2 briefly introduces the hard wall AdS/QCD model, presenting the spin-$S$ tensor meson resonances and the obtention of their mass spectra. The CE is then calculated for the tensor meson resonances. Hence, two types of configurational-entropic Regge trajectories are interpolated, relating the CE to both the spin and the tensor mesons mass spectra, being the mass spectra of higher spin-$S$ tensor mesons inferred. The mass spectra of the subsequent members of the tensor mesons family is computed, based upon the experimental mass spectra of the already existing members in PDG [49]. Section 3 discusses the results and main conclusions.

2 Tensor mesons in the hard wall AdS/QCD and configurational entropy

The hard wall AdS/QCD model is employed to derive the tensor mesons family mass spectra. The gauge/gravity duality between a 4D CFT, on the AdS bulk boundary, and 5D gravity on AdS asserts, in the QCD case, that the fifth dimension along the bulk, $x^5 \equiv z$, corresponds to an energy scale in the 4D boundary theory [6]. Conformal coordinates make the AdS bulk metric to read

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{z^2} (dx_\mu \cdot dx^\mu - dz^2).$$

Although almost conformal in the UV, small $z$ limit, QCD is a strongly coupled theory that breaks conformal symmetry in the IR, large $z$, regime. Therefore, a bulk cut-off at $z = z_0$ is needed to preclude this ill-defined region $0 \leq z \leq z_0$. Here $z_0 \sim 1/\Lambda_{QCD}$, meaning that the $\Lambda_{QCD}$ coupling constant decreases as the energy scale increases. Operators in QCD correspond to fields in the AdS bulk [42–45].

Taking into account the tensor meson resonances, the first KK excitation corresponds to the spin-1 $\rho(770)$ meson. This particle, in the hard wall AdS/QCD, is related to a bulk gauge field $V_\mu$, whose action $S = \int \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{g} F_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu} d^5 x$ reads [37]

$$S = \int \frac{1}{z} \left( \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu} - \frac{1}{2} F_{\mu \nu \rho \sigma J} F^{\mu \nu \rho \sigma} \right) d^5 x.$$

The $\rho(770)$ mesonic state can be modelled by the 4D projection $V_\mu(x^\mu, z)$ of the bulk gauge field. The other KK resonances, $\rho_n$, are boundary fields whose bulk profiles are governed by the following EOM in the AdS bulk,

$$\left( \partial_z^2 - \frac{1}{z} \partial_z + m_n^2 \right) v_n(z) = 0,$$

with solution $v_n(z) = A_n z (J_1(m_n z) + \alpha_n Y_1(m_n z))$, where $J_1$ and $N_1$ are Bessel functions. Neumann and Dirichlet conditions $\rho'(z_0) = 0 = \rho(0)$ yield $\alpha_n = 0$, thus quantizing the $\rho$ meson family mass spectra by $J_0(m_n z_0) = 0$. Normalizing
the \( \rho \) function as \( \int \frac{\rho(z)}{z^2} \, dz = 1 \) yields

\[
v(z) = \frac{2.72 z}{z_0} J_1 \left( \frac{2.40 z}{z_0} \right).
\]

The experimental \( \rho(770) \) mass, 775.49 MeV, yields \( z_0 = 3.105 \times 10^{-3} \) MeV\(^{-1}\).

The next member of the tensor meson family is the \( f_2(1270) \) particle resonance. To describe it, naturally a spin-2 bulk field \( h_{AB} \) must introduced, whose lightest KK mode correspond to the \( f_2(1270) \). A candidate for such a bulk spin-2 field is the graviton [37]. Expanding the AdS background

\[
ds^2 = \frac{1}{z} \left( (\eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}) dx^\mu dx^\nu - dz^2 \right)
\]

yields the bulk Einstein–Hilbert action to read

\[-\int_{\text{AdS}} \frac{1}{2z^2} \left( h_{\mu\nu} \Box h^{\mu\nu} - \partial_\mu h_{\nu\mu} \partial_\nu h^{\mu\nu} + \cdots \right) d^5 x.
\]

Each field has a tower of KK modes, determined by the EOM

\[
\left( \frac{\partial^2}{z^2} - \frac{3}{z} \partial_z + m^2 \right) g_n(z) = 0,
\]

whose solution reads

\[
g_n(z) = A_n z^2 \left( J_2(m_n z) + \alpha_n Y_2(m_n z) \right).
\]

Neumann and Dirichlet \( g'(z_0) = 0 = g(0) \) yield \( \alpha_n = 0 \), being the masses quantized by the zeros of the equation \( J_1(m_n z_0) = 0 \). Upon normalization, \( \int_0^{\infty} \frac{z^2}{z_0^2} \, dz = 1 \), the \( f_2 \) tensor meson can be described by

\[
g(z) = \frac{3.51 z^2}{z_0} J_2 \left( \frac{3.83 z}{z_0} \right).
\]

Hence, the lightest \( f_2 \) particle resonance mass is given by \( 3.83 z_0^{-1} = 1236 \) MeV. The experimental mass of \( f_2(1270) \) in PDG is 1275.1 \( \pm 1.2 \) MeV [49].

In addition to the \( f_2(1270) \), higher spin-\( S \) resonances constitute the lightest tensor meson family. The hard wall AdS/QCD can derive their masses with a good accuracy up to spin \( S = 5 \), however for \( S \geq 6 \) there is already a gap of 14.6% between the predicted and the experimental mass of the \( f_6(2510) \) tensor meson resonance. To derive a more reliable mass spectra for the subsequent members in the tensor meson family, information theory and AdS/QCD will be put together. The configurational entropy will play an important role, together with the experimental masses of the already observed tensor mesons in PDG [49]. Before it, high spin-\( S \) mesons must be briefly introduced [6,37].

| Tensor mesons mass spectra (MeV) | S |
|----------------------------------|---|
|                                 | \( \rho \) | \( f_2 \) | \( \omega_3 \) | \( f_4 \) | \( \rho_5 \) | \( f_6 \) |
|                                 | (770)    | (1270)  | (1670)  | (2050) | (2350) | (2510) |
| Experimental                    | 775.49 \( \pm 0.34 \) | 1275.1 \( \pm 1.2 \) | 1667 \( \pm 4 \) | 2018 \( \pm 11 \) | 2330 \( \pm 35 \) | 2469 \( \pm 29 \) |
| Hard wall                       | 776      | 1236    | 1657    | 2058   | 2448   | 2830   |
| Soft wall                       | 776      | 1097    | 1344    | 1552   | 1735   | 1901   |

A KK-type splitting of higher spin-\( S \), with \( S \geq 3 \), string modes are described by the rank-\( S \) tensor fields, \( \psi_{N_1...N_S} \), corresponding to a spin-\( S \) state in QCD. Gauge invariance \( \delta \psi_{N_1...N_S} = \nabla(\xi_{N_1} \nabla_{N_2}...\nabla_{N_S}) \) and symmetry justification are usually used [37] to derive EOMs for the spin-\( S \) resonances. In fact, the existence of kinetic terms of higher spin-\( S \) fields in AdS, with the above gauge invariance yields the axial gauge choice \( \psi_{N_1...N_S} = 0 \) [6]. This gauge is preserved under \( \xi_{N_2...N_S}(x^\mu, z) = z^{2(1-S)} \xi_{N_2...N_S}(x^\mu) \). Hence, a field \( \psi(x^\mu, z) = z^{2(1-S)} \psi(x^\mu) \) can represent a zero mode. With respect to \( \psi \), the Lagrangian contains a kinetic term \( z^{1-2S} \partial_\mu \psi \partial^\mu \psi \). Hence, the KK modes for spin-\( S \) tensor mesons satisfy [37]

\[
\partial_z z^{1-2S} \partial_\mu \psi + m^2 z^{1-2S} \psi = 0,
\]

then generalizing Eqs. (3, 7) and leading to the known results for spin-1 and spin-2 modes.

The normalizable solutions of Eq. (10) are of the form \( z^S J_S(m z) \). When Neumann boundary conditions are imposed in the IR regime, Ref. [37] derives the mass of the lightest spin-\( S \) particle resonance as the first zero of the \( J_{S-1}(m z) \) function. This leads to the tensor mesons spin-\( S \) resonances in QCD. The first column in Table 1 encompasses the spin, \( S \), of the respective spin-\( S \) tensor meson resonances, whereas the second column depicts the \( \rho(770) \), \( f_2(1270) \), \( \omega_3(1670) \), \( f_4(2050) \), \( \rho_5(2350) \), \( f_6(2510) \) tensor resonances. The third and forth column indicate, respectively, the tensor mesons family mass spectra in PDG [49] and in AdS/QCD hard wall. The soft-wall in Ref. [6], have the mass spectra \( m_{S,SS}^2 = 4k^2(n + S) \), for \( k = 0.388 \) GeV, displayed in the fifth column of Table 1. This value of \( k \) is optimal in what concerns fixing the \( \rho(770) \) mass and is most usually employed in the literature.

One might argue whether the AdS/QCD soft wall model can better fit the experimental masses in Table 1. However the soft wall model poorly emulates the experimental mass spectra of the tensor mesons family, as seen in the fifth column of Table 1. The hard wall is much more appropriate for better emulating the mass spectra, as Table 1 illustrates. As the hard wall AdS/QCD model predicts the \( f_2(1270) \) mass that

\[
\text{Table 1 Mass spectra for the tensor mesons family resonances. The particles with an asterisk are left out the summary table in PDG [49]}
\]
A second type of configurational-entropic Regge trajectory, relating the CE to the tensor meson family (squared) mass spectra, is shown in Fig. 3, strictly for the tensor meson resonances in [49], corresponding to $S \leq 6$.

The dashed curves in Figs. 2 and 3 correspond, respectively, to Eqs. (15) and (16). For the plot in Fig. 3, the configurational-entropic Regge trajectory has the following

differs 3.1% from the experimental value, and a difference of 14.6% between the predicted and the experimental mass of the $f_0(2510)$ resonance, we propose a method based on the configurational entropy, for using the experimental data, involving the tensor mesons family mass spectra to derive the masses of the next generation of tensor mesons resonances.

For it, Ref. [6] analogously derives the EOM (10) from the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = e^{2A(z)(S-3)} D^N \psi_{\mu_1...\mu_S} D_N \psi_{\mu_1...\mu_S},$$

for $A(z) = -\log(z)$. Specifically to the tensor meson family, the main tool for computing the CE is any localized function that represents the tensor mesons in AdS/QCD, namely, the energy density, $\rho(z)$. This can be derived from a Lagrangian density, $\mathcal{L}$, with stress-momentum tensor components given by

$$T_{AB} = \frac{2}{g_{S}^{1/2}} \left( \frac{\partial (g^{1/2} \mathcal{L})}{\partial g_{AB}} - \partial \alpha \frac{\partial (g^{1/2} \mathcal{L})}{\partial \alpha_{AB}} \right).$$

Hence, the energy density is read off the $T_{00}(z)$ tensor component in Eq. (12). The Fourier transform $\rho(k) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \rho(z) e^{-ikz} dz$, with respect to the AdS bulk dimension, defines the normalized modal fraction [24, 26, 30]

$$\rho_{\text{norm}}(k) = \frac{|\rho(k)|^2}{\int_{0}^{\infty} |\rho(k)|^2 dk},$$

Therefore the CE is defined as [2, 3, 27]

$$\text{CE}[\rho] = -\int_{0}^{\infty} \rho_{\text{norm}}(k) \log \rho_{\text{norm}}(k) dk,$$

for $\rho_{\text{norm}}(k) = \rho_{\text{norm}}(k)/(\rho_{\text{norm}})_{\text{max}}(k)$.

According to this procedure, the CE is then computed by Eq. (14) for the lightest family of tensor meson resonances, $\rho(770), f_2(1270), \omega_3(1670), f_4(2050), \rho_5(2350), f_6(2510)$ [49], and subsequent elements in this family. Firstly, the CE is here computed with dependence on the $S$ spin of the tensor mesons, shown in Table 2. Let one denotes by $X_7$, $X_8$, and $X_9$ the next members of the tensor meson family in Table 2, with spin $S = 7$, $S = 8$, and $S = 9$, respectively.

The data in Table 2 is better represented by the points in Fig. 1 as a function of their $S$ spin. Besides, interpolation of this data makes possible to introduce a configurational-entropic Regge trajectory, for the tensor mesons resonances, as a dashed curve in Fig. 1.

The explicit expression of the configurational-entropic Regge trajectory reads

$$\text{CE}(S) = -0.00343 S^2 + 0.06572 S + 2.98663.$$

We opted to use a quadratic interpolation, as it is sufficient to delimit within $\sim 0.38\%$ the standard deviation.

| $S$ | Tensor meson | CE    |
|-----|--------------|-------|
| 1   | $\rho$ (770) | 3.0467|
| 2   | $f_2$ (1270) | 3.1073|
| 3   | $\omega_3$ (1670) | 3.1539|
| 4   | $f_4$ (2050) | 3.1931|
| 5   | $\rho_5$ (2350) | 3.2279|
| 6   | $f_6$ (2510) | 3.2589|
| 7   | $X_7$        | 3.2765|
| 8   | $X_8$        | 3.2936|
| 9   | $X_9$        | 3.3201|

Fig. 1 Mass spectra of the $\rho(770), f_2(1270), \omega_3(1670), f_4(2050), \rho_5(2350), f_6(2510)$ tensor meson resonances, as a function of their $S$ spin

Fig. 2 CE of the tensor family as a function of the $S$ spin

A second type of configurational-entropic Regge trajectory, relating the CE to the tensor meson family (squared) mass spectra, is shown in Fig. 3, strictly for the tensor meson resonances in [49], corresponding to $S \leq 6$. The dashed curves in Figs. 2 and 3 correspond, respectively, to Eqs. (15) and (16). For the plot in Fig. 3, the configurational-entropic Regge trajectory has the following
It provides a better accuracy to experimental data in PDG. Eq. (15) yields CE for the mass range $2675 \text{ MeV} \lesssim m \lesssim 2750 \text{ MeV}$.

Alternatively of computing the lightest tensor spin-$S$ meson resonances mass spectra, as solutions read off the equation $J_{S-1}(mz) = 0$, one can use Eqs. (15, 16) together. It provides a better accuracy to experimental data in PDG [49]. Subsequently, the mass spectra of the next members of the tensor mesons family, with $S \geq 7$ are derived. Using the information content of the tensor meson resonances, it is a more realistic one, as it employs the experimental mass spectra of the $\rho(770)$, $f_2(1270)$, $\omega_3(1670)$, $f_4(2050)$, $\rho_5(2350)$, $f_6(2510)$ tensor meson resonances [49].

The mass spectra for the $X_7$, $X_8$ and $X_9$ elements can be easily inferred, by employing Eqs. (15, 16). In fact, for $S = 7$, Eq. (15) yields $CE = 3.27836$. Then substituting this value in the configurational entropic Regge trajectory (16), and solving the resulting equation, the solution is the mass $m_{X_7} = 2619 \text{ MeV}$, for the $X_7$ tensor meson. It yields a reliable range $2608 \text{ MeV} \lesssim m_{X_7} \lesssim 2630 \text{ MeV}$. Similarly for the next member $X_8$ of the tensor mesons family, substituting $S = 8$ into Eq. (15) implies that the corresponding CE has value $3.29254$. Hence, replacing this value into the configurational entropic Regge trajectory (16) yields $m_{X_8} = 2690 \text{ MeV}$ the mass range $2675 \text{ MeV} \lesssim m_{X_8} \lesssim 2705 \text{ MeV}$. Besides, the same can be accomplished for the $X_9$ tensor meson, when Eq. (15) implies that $CE_{X_9} = 3.32011$. When replaced into Eq. (15), it produces the mass $m_{X_9} = 2786 \text{ MeV}$, in the range $2769 \text{ MeV} \lesssim m_{X_9} \lesssim 3003 \text{ MeV}$.

### 3 Concluding remarks

Interplaying the CE and the hard wall AdS/QCD model made it possible to derive configurational-entropic Regge trajectories, for the tensor mesons resonances. It was reasonable to consider tensor meson resonances up to spin $S = 9$. Two types of Regge-like trajectories were derived, relating the tensor meson family CE to both their spin-$S$ and their mass spectra as well. Analyzing both types of Regge-like trajectories predicted the mass spectra of high spin-$S$ tensor meson resonances, for $S \geq 7$. Their masses are respectively given by $m_{X_7} = 2619 \pm 11 \text{ MeV}$, $m_{X_8} = 2690 \pm 15 \text{ MeV}$ and $m_{X_9} = 2786 \pm 19$. These results open two possibilities. Either the $X_7$, $X_8$ and $X_9$ tensor meson resonances are potentially new particles to be still detected or there exists already detected particles, in the PDG list of particles. In fact, trying to match the further members of the tensor meson family, there is the $X(2632)$ element in PDG [49], with mass $m = 2635.2 \pm 3.3$, with until unknown spin, that might be identified to the $X_7$ member of the tensor meson family. In addition, the element $X(2680)$ in PDG, with mass $m = 2676 \pm 27$, also having unknown spin, might correspond to the $X_8$ member of the tensor meson family. Analogously, $X(2750)$, with mass $m = 2747 \pm 32$, might speculatively be the $X_9$ member of the tensor meson family, although this last possibility is less feasible, as PDG lists tensor mesons such that $S \leq 7$, up to the 2018 PDG edition [49]. Finally, our method derived the masses $m_{X_7} = 2619 \pm 11 \text{ MeV}$, $m_{X_8} = 2690 \pm 15 \text{ MeV}$ and $m_{X_9} = 2786 \pm 19$, whereas the hard wall AdS/QCD model predicts $m_{X_7} = 3206.5 \text{ MeV}$, $m_{X_8} = 3577.7 \text{ MeV}$ and $m_{X_9} = 3945.2 \text{ MeV}$. One may assert that as already the $f_6(2510)$ element, corresponding to $(S = 6)$, already differs from the value in the PDG by 14.6%, higher spin tensor meson resonances in the hard wall are not quite reliable for $S > 6$. One can note that this result for the hard wall model disagrees with the expected behaviour of the Regge trajectory for higher spin-$S$ tensor mesons resonances, for $S > 6$. Therefore the procedure using the CE is more trustworthy.
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