Topological pumping of edge states via adiabatic passage

Stefano Longhi

1 Dipartimento di Fisica, Politecnico di Milano and Istituto di Fotonica e Nanotecnologie del Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Piazza L. da Vinci 32, I-20133 Milano, Italy

Topological pumping of edge states in finite crystals or quasicrystals with non-trivial topological phases provides a powerful means for robust excitation transfer. In most schemes of topological pumping, the edge states become delocalized and immersed into the continuum during the adiabatic cycle, requiring extremely slow evolution to avoid nonadiabatic effects. Here a scheme of topological pumping based on adiabatic passage of edge and interface states is proposed, which is more robust to nonadiabatic effects and avoids delocalization of the state over the entire adiabatic cycle. The scheme is illustrated by considering adiabatic passage in a system sustaining two topologically-protected edge states and one interface state, realized by interfacing two dimerized Su-Schrieffer-Heeger chains with different topological order.

I. INTRODUCTION

Thouless pumping [1], i.e. the quantized transport in a one-dimensional cyclically modulated periodic potential, is a cornerstone of condensed matter physics and provides a fundamental example of topology in quantum systems [2-4]. Experimental demonstrations of Thouless pumping have been reported in a wide variety of physical systems, including few-body semiconductor quantum dots [5,6], ultra-cold atoms in optical lattices [7,10], photons in optical waveguide lattices [11,13], and artificial spin systems [14,15]. Pumping occurs when system parameters are varied in a cyclic manner and sufficiently slowly that the quantum system always remains in its ground state. The cyclic adiabatic pumping shows an intrinsic geometric character and the charge transport over one cycle is related to the Chern number, resulting in the quantization of the excitation transport [1,3]. Having a topological nature, the transport scheme turns out to be robust against disorder, making topological pumping particularly relevant in applications such as electronic transport in mesoscopic structures, quantum state transfer, quantum entanglement, quantum information processing, etc.

Interestingly, in finite systems with open boundaries sustaining topologically-protected edge states, topological pumping can be exploited to realize robust excitation transfer by adiabatic evolution of edge states [1,12,16,17]. This effect is connected to the appearance, in the Hamiltonian spectrum, of gapless points enclosed by the adiabatic loop in parameter space [3,4]. Adiabatic pumping of edge states goes beyond perfect crystal systems and can be observed for quasicrystals [11,12] and for non-Hermitian crystals [17]. Other methods for robust adiabatic transport of topological edge states have been reported, which do not require cyclic evolution of the Hamiltonian (see, for instance, [18,22]). Perhaps, the most studied one-dimensional model which enables topological pumping is the Su-Schrieffer-Heeger (SSH) model of polyacetylene [23,24]. This model entails a bipartite tight-binding chain for nearly-free π electrons, whose dynamics is coupled to the lattice degrees of freedom (i.e. deformations of the chain), displaying soliton-like localized excitations at domain walls, i.e. at inhomogeneities in the dimerization pattern of the chain [23,24]. Such solitons play a major role in charge-transfer hopping mechanism and in the conduction properties of semiconductor polymers [21,22]. The coupling between electronic and structural excitations and the related charge transport can be described analytically in the continuous limit of the SSH model [27], where simple expression of drifting solitons at moving dimerization inhomogeneities can be obtained. On the other hand, in the most recent studies dealing with topological properties of the SSH model [3,4] the ‘electronic’ excitations in the chain are assumed independent of the structural (phononic) degrees of freedom, and inhomogeneities in the dimerization pattern, sustaining topologically-protected localized states, are introduced as structural defects. In this case robust excitation transport arises from external adiabatic change of the hopping rates in the dimerization pattern of the chain (see e.g. [21]) according to the original idea of Thouless pumping.

A different yet important protocol of robust excitation transfer that has received an increasing interest in recent years is provided by coherent tunneling by adiabatic passage (CTAP) [28,39]. CTAP can be regarded as the spatial analogue of stimulated Raman adiabatic passage, earlier introduced in atomic ad molecular physics [31,42]. Like Thouless pumping, the transport in CTAP is of geometric nature and thus shows topological protection [33]. The multi-level extension of CTAP [36,42,44,45] can realize, in principle, topological transport of excitation between edge sites of long chains in a fashion which is similar to the topological pumping scheme introduced in more recent works [21]. Like any adiabatic method, Thouless pumping of edge states requires strict adiabatic evolution of the Hamiltonian. In fact, despite its topological nature, Thouless pumping is not robust to nonadiabatic effects, which are revealed by non-quantized charge transport [5,16].
topological pumping of edge states, nonadiabatic effects result in degradation of transfer efficiency (or fidelity in quantum state transfer problems). Extremely slow evolution is thus required to realize excitation transfer in long chains, where over one modulation cycle the topological edge states delocalize and get immersed or touch the continuum bands \[4, 11\]. Similar restrictions arise in multi-state CTAP protocols.

In this work we combine the concepts of topological pumping of edge states and CTAP, suggesting a scheme for efficient topological transfer which is more robust to nonadiabatic effects as compared to Thouless pumping and multi-state CTAP. The main idea is illustrated by considering CTAP among topologically-protected edge and interface states obtained by interfacing two dimerized SSH chains with different topological order \[47\]. Contrary to other topological pumping schemes, such as Thouless pumping in the Rice-Mele model \[2, 3, 4, 9\], quasicrystals \[12\], or topological pumping in the dimerized SSH chain \[21\], in the present protocol the energies of localized states remain in the gap and do not enter in the continuum, i.e. edge and interface states remain localized during the entire adiabatic cycle, making the adiabatic restriction less stringent and the transport process faster.

II. COHERENT TUNNELING BY ADIABATIC PASSAGE OF TOPOLOGICAL STATES

A. Model

We consider a tight-binding chain with open boundary conditions comprising an odd number \((2N-1)\) of sites, with \(N\) even, obtained by interfacing two SSH chains with different topological order \[47\], as schematically shown in Fig.1(a). The second-quantization Hamiltonian of the system reads

\[
\hat{H} = \sum_{n,m} \hat{c}_n^\dagger H_{n,m} \hat{c}_m \tag{1}
\]

where \(\hat{c}_n^\dagger\) is the creation operator of a particle at site \(n\) \((n = 1, 2, ..., 2N - 1)\) and \(H\) is the \((2N-1) \times (2N-1)\) matrix of hopping amplitudes in the Wannier basis. In the nearest-neighbor approximation, the matrix \(H\) takes the form

\[
H_{n,m} = (H_0)_{n,m} + t'_1 (\delta_{n,N} \delta_{m,N+1} + \delta_{n,N+1} \delta_{m,N}). \tag{2}
\]

In the above equation, \(H_0\) is the Hamiltonian of the uncoupled SSH chains, namely

\[
H_0 = \begin{pmatrix}
H_1 & 0 \\
0 & H_2
\end{pmatrix}
\tag{3}
\]

The parameters \(t_1, t_2, t'_1\), entering in the above equations are the alternating hopping rates as shown in Fig.1(b). We assume \(t_1, t'_1 < t_2\), so that the chain sustains a topologically-protected interface state \(|C\rangle\) \[47\]. In addition, for open boundary conditions there are two additional edge states, localized at the left and right sides of the chain, which we denote by \(|L\rangle\) and \(|R\rangle\), respectively. In the thermodynamic limit \((N\) large), the three bound states \(|L\rangle, |C\rangle\) and \(|R\rangle\) are nearly degenerate zero-energy modes, with a typical distribution of excitation which is
schematically depicted in Fig. 1(b). The three states are defined by

\[ |L\rangle = N_L \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ X \\ 0 \\ X^2 \\ 0 \\ X^3 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}, \quad |R\rangle = N_R \begin{pmatrix} \vdots \\ 0 \\ Y^4 \\ 0 \\ Y^3 \\ 0 \\ Y^2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad |C\rangle = N_C \begin{pmatrix} \vdots \\ X^3 \\ 0 \\ X^2 \\ 0 \\ X \\ 1 \\ Y \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \]  

(6)

where

\[ X \equiv -t_1/t_2, \quad Y \equiv -t'_1/t_2 \]  

(7)

and \( N_{L,R,C} \) are normalization constants, given by

\[ N_L = \sqrt{\frac{X^2 - 1}{X^N - 1}} \]  

(8)

\[ N_R = \frac{\sqrt{Y^2 - 1}}{Y^N - 1} \]  

(9)

\[ N_C = \left( \frac{X^N - 1}{X^2 - 1} + \frac{Y^N - 1}{Y^2 - 1} - 1 \right)^{-1/2} \]  

(10)

Such states are exact eigenvectors of \( H \) with zero energy only in the large \( N \) limit; for small \( N \) such states hybridize and energy degeneracy is lifted, as discussed in the next subsection [Fig. 2(a)]. Note that in the flat band limit \( t_1/t_2 \to 0, t'_1/t_2 \to 0 \) (i.e. \( X, Y \to 0 \)) the three eigenstates \( |L\rangle, |C\rangle \) and \( |R\rangle \) correspond to single-site occupation of sites \( n = 1 \), \( n = N \) and \( n = 2N - 1 \), respectively.

\[ |\psi(t)\rangle \simeq a_L(t)|L\rangle + a_C(t)|C\rangle + a_R(t)|R\rangle \]  

(12)

from the Schrödinger equation \( \imath \partial_t |\psi(t)\rangle = H(t)|\psi(t)\rangle \) the following reduced three-level equations for the evolution of the amplitudes \( a_{L,C,R}(t) \) can be obtained (see Ap-
hopping rates

FIG. 2. (color online) (a) Numerically-computed instantaneous energy spectrum of $H(t)$ for $t_1 = \Omega(t - \delta/2)$, $t'_1 = \Omega(t + \delta/2)$, with $\Omega(t) = \Omega_m \exp(-t^2/w^2)$. Parameter values are $t_2 = 1$, $\Omega_m = 0.9$, $w = 150$ and $\delta = w/3 = 50$. The chain comprises $2N - 1 = 31$ sites. The energy spectrum consists of two bands of delocalized (Bloch) states separated by an energy gap, and three localized states with energies in the gap. (b) Behavior of the hopping amplitudes $t_1$ and $t'_1$ versus time $t$. The inset shows the trajectory in the $(t_1, t'_1)$ plane. (c) Detailed behavior of the energies of the three localized modes versus time $t$. The dashed curves depict the energies of the localized modes as predicted by the three-mode CTAP approximation [Eq.(16)]. The insets show the amplitude distributions of the zero-energy localized state (dark state) for a few increasing values of $t$ ($t = -200, -100, 0, 100, 200$). Adiabatic evolution of the zero-energy topological state is ensured provided that the area $A$, indicated by the shaded area, is much larger than $\pi/2$.

pendix A)

$$t \frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} a_L \\ a_C \\ a_R \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Omega_L & 0 \\ \Omega_L & 0 & \Omega_R \\ 0 & \Omega_R & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_L \\ a_C \\ a_R \end{pmatrix} \equiv H_{red} \begin{pmatrix} a_L \\ a_C \\ a_R \end{pmatrix}$$ (13)

where we have set

$$\Omega_L(t) = \langle L|H|C \rangle = N_L N_C t_1 X^{(N/2-1)}$$ (14)

$$\Omega_R(t) = \langle R|H|C \rangle = N_R N_C t'_1 Y^{(N/2-1)}.$$ (15)

Clearly, Eqs.(13) are the basic equations of CTAP in a three-state system [34] [42]. The instantaneous eigenvalues $E_0$, $E_{\pm}$ and corresponding eigenvectors $|\phi_0\rangle$, $|\phi_{\pm}\rangle$ of the reduced matrix $H_{red}$ entering in the three-state dynamics [Eq.(13)] are given by

$$E_0 = 0, \quad E_{\pm} = \sqrt{\Omega_L^2 + \Omega_R^2}$$ (16)

and

$$|\phi_0\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\Omega_L^2 + \Omega_R^2} \\ 0 \\ - \sqrt{\Omega_L^2 + \Omega_R^2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad |\phi_{\pm}\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \Omega_L \\ \sqrt{\Omega^2 + \Omega_R^2 + E_{\pm}} \\ \Omega_R \\ \sqrt{\Omega^2 + \Omega_L^2 + E_{\pm}} \end{pmatrix}.$$ (17)

The zero-energy eigenstate $|\phi_0\rangle$ is referred to as the dark state since in this state the topological interface mode $|C\rangle$ is not excited, contrary to the other two eigenstates $|\phi_{\pm}\rangle$. For the time-dependence of hopping amplitudes $t_1$, $t_1'$ defined by Eq.(11), from Eqs.(14) and (15) it readily follows that $\Omega_L(t)/\Omega_R(t) \to 0$ as $t \to -\infty$ and $\Omega_R(t)/\Omega_L(t) \to 0$ as $t \to -\infty$, so that the dark state adiabatically evolves from $|\phi_0\rangle = (1, 0, 0)^T$ as $t \to -\infty$, to $|\phi_0\rangle = (0, 0, -1)^T$ as $t \to \infty$. The condition of global adiabaticity is expressed by the area condition [42]

$$A \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \sqrt{\Omega_L(t)^2 + \Omega_R(t)^2} \gg \pi/2.$$ (18)

Note that the area $A$ corresponds to the shaded area in the adiabatic diagram of Fig.2(c). Clearly, owing to the exponential dependence of $\Omega_L, \Omega_R$ on $N$ [Eqs.(14) and (15)], longer interaction times are required to ensure adiabatic following as the number of sites in the chain is increased.

An example of topological pumping for a SSH chain comprising $(2N - 1) = 31$ sites is shown in Fig.3. The values of parameters and the time variation of the hopping amplitudes $t_1$ and $t'_1$ are the same as in Fig.2. At initial time the system is prepared at the left edge site $n = 1$, and the evolution of the occupation probabilities $P_1(t)$ and $P_{2N-1}(t)$ of excitation at the left and right edge sites is exactly computed by numerically solving the Schrödinger equation $i \partial_t |\psi(t)\rangle = H(t)|\psi(t)\rangle$ for an interaction time $T = 800$. The inset in Fig.3 shows the corresponding evolution of the occupation probabilities $|\psi_{L,R}(t)|^2$ of instantaneous states $|L\rangle$ and $|R\rangle$ as computed by the approximate three-level CTAP equations (13). To estimate nonadiabatic effects, we numerically computed the transfer probability $P_{2N-1}(T)$ versus the interaction time $T$. The results are summarized in Fig.4. In the numerical simulations we assumed a Gaussian function $\Omega(t) = \Omega_m \exp(-t^2/w^2)$ with $w = 3T/10$ and a delay time $\delta = w/3$; other parameter values are $2N - 1 = 31$, $t_2 = 1$ and $\Omega_m = 0.9$. Figure 4 clearly shows that
nonadiabatic effects are important for interaction times shorter than $T \sim 160$, where the transfer excitation probability falls below 90%.

For a fixed interaction time, nonadiabatic effects become more pronounced as the number of sites in the chain is increased. The reason thereof is that the area $\mathcal{A}$ is an almost exponentially decreasing function of $N$, and thus the condition for adiabaticity requires a longer interaction time. This is shown, as an example, in Fig.5, where the numerically computed behavior of transfer probability is depicted for a few increasing values of the number of sites in the chain. In the simulations the interaction time is fixed at $T = 400$ and other parameters are as in Fig.4 ($w = 10T/3$, $\delta = w/3$, $\Omega_m = 0.9$, $t_2 = 1$). Clearly, for a number of sites in the chain larger than $\sim 45$, nonadiabatic effects become strong and the transfer process highly degraded.

III. COMPARISON WITH OTHER TOPOLOGICAL PUMPING SCHEMES

An interesting feature of the topological pumping method proposed in the previous section is that, contrary to Thouless pumping and other topological pumping schemes, the adiabatically evolving zero-energy eigenstate of the Hamiltonian remains in the gap. Such a feature is expected to provide a major robustness against nonadiabatic effects. A sufficient condition for adiabatic evolution is usually expressed by the so-called gap condition, i.e. the Hamiltonian change should be slow as compared to the frequency separation between the adiabatically evolving eigenstate and other instantaneous eigenstates of the Hamiltonian. The gap condition clearly requires that the adiabatic evolving state does not touch nor enter into the continuum of states. Although the gap condition is only a sufficient condition and its failure does not always mean the breakdown of the adiabatic approximation [45], it is likely that a wide gap can allow for a faster and more efficient transfer process. It is thus worth comparing nonadiabatic effects and speed of transfer in our topological pumping scheme with other methods where the gap condition is not met. To this aim, we compare transfer efficiency versus interaction time in a chain of the same size by considering other two topologically-protected transfer protocols: the Thouless pumping in the Rice-Mele model [3] [17] and the half-cycle topological pumping in the SSH chain [21].

A. Thouless pumping of edge states in the Rice-Mele model

Let us consider a one-dimensional dimerized chain with open boundary conditions comprising an even number $2N$ of sites with alternating hopping amplitudes $t_1$, $t_2$ and staggered potential energies $\pm \Delta$ [Fig.6(a)]. The system is described by the Rice-Mele Hamiltonian [3], i.e. by Eq.(1) with the following $(2N \times 2N)$ matrix of hopping
FIG. 6. (color online) (a) Schematic of the Rice-Mele model with open boundary conditions. A dimerized chain is composed by an integer number $N$ of dimers with intra-dimer hopping amplitude $t_1$ and inter-dimer hopping amplitude $t_2$. Staggered energy potentials $\pm \Delta$ are applied at alternating sites in the chain. (b) Schematic of an evolving Rice-Mele Hamiltonian in the $(t_2 - t_1, \Delta)$ plane for the protocol defined by Eq.(20). The closed path is an ellipse that encircles the origin $\Delta = t_2 - t_1 = 0$ (gap closing point). (c) Energy spectrum of $H$ versus the angle $\theta = \Omega t$ over one cycle for the protocol defined by Eq.(20). Parameter values are $t_0 = 0.5$, $\delta = 0.3$. Bold solid lines I and II correspond to left and right edge states at $\theta = 0$, respectively. The distributions of amplitudes $|c_n|^2$ of the adiabatically-evolving state labeled by the solid curve I are also shown for a few increasing values of the phase $\theta$.

amplitudes

$$H = \begin{pmatrix}
\Delta & t_1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
t_1 & -\Delta & t_2 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & t_2 & \Delta & t_1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & t_1 & -\Delta & t_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & t_1 & -\Delta & t_2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & t_2 & \Delta & t_1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & t_1 & -\Delta & 0
\end{pmatrix}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

In the thermodynamic limit ($N$ large), the Rice-Mele Hamiltonian shows two energy bands separated by a gap of width $\sqrt{(t_2 - t_1)^2 + \Delta^2}$, which vanishes at the critical point $\Delta = 0$ and $t_1 = t_2$. To realize topological pumping of edge states, the hopping amplitudes and potential energy are adiabatically varied along a closed loop that encircles the critical point in the $(t_2 - t_1, \Delta)$ plane $[4]$. For example let us assume

$$t_1 = t_0[1 - \cos(\Omega t)], \quad t_2 = t_0[1 + \cos(\Omega t)], \quad \Delta = \delta \sin(\Omega t)$$  \hspace{1cm} (20)

corresponding to encircling the critical point by an ellipse [Fig.6(b)]. Note that, at $t = 0$, one has $t_1 = t_0$ (flat band limit) and $\Delta = 0$, so that two zero-energy instantaneous eigenvectors of $H$, denoted by I and II, correspond to single site excitation at the two edge sites (either left or right) of the chain. Starting at $t = 0$ with one of the two eigenstates, for example with eigenstate I localized at the left edge, and adiabatically evolving such an eigenstate, after one cycle, i.e. at time $t = 2\pi/\Omega$, this state is transformed into the right edge state II, thus realizing topological pumping from left to right edge sites of the chain. This is illustrated in the example of Fig.6(c) for a chain comprising $2N = 30$ sites. Note that the instantaneous energies of the adiabatically-evolving eigenstates I and II turn out to touch the upper and lower bands, thus becoming fully delocalized states during the adiabatic cycle. Nonadiabatic effects are revealed by plotting the transfer probability $P_{2N}(T) = |c_{2N}(T)|^2$ versus the interaction time $T = 2\pi/\Omega$, which is obtained by exact numerical solution of the Schrödinger equation for the initial condition $c_n(0) = \delta_{n,1}$. The results are shown in Fig.7 for $t_0 = 0.5$ and for a few values of $\delta$. Note that for such parameter values the largest values taken by $t_1$ and $t_2$ in the oscillation cycle is one, i.e. the same value as in Figs.3 and 4, so that we can safely compare the transfer time and transfer efficiency in the two protocols. A comparison of Figs.4 and 7 clearly shows that nonadiabatic effects are more pronounced in the Thouless pumping scheme (Fig.7) than in the CTAP protocol (Fig.4), transfer probability larger than 90% requiring in the former case an interaction time larger than $\sim 1600$, i.e. one order of magnitude larger than the one required in the CTAP protocol. Interestingly, in the Thouless pumping protocol for $\delta \to 0$, i.e. when the ellipse encircling the gap closing point degenerate into a line on the horizontal axis and the gap closes, the transfer probability shows an oscillatory behavior versus the interaction time (see the inset of Fig.7), which is a signature of strong nonadiabaticity. Such an oscillatory behavior stems from Rabi-like oscillations of the edge states, which have been considered in some recent works $[49][52]$. 


B. Topological pumping of edge states in the Su-Schrieffer-Heeger chain

Another adiabatic method for excitation transfer is provided by topological pumping in the SSH chain with an odd number \((2N-1)\) of sites [21]. Such a method is a slight variation of the multi-state CTAP considered in earlier works [34, 42, 44, 45]. The Hamiltonian of the system is defined by Eq.(1) with the following \((2N-1) \times (2N-1)\) hopping amplitude matrix [Fig.8(a)]

\[
H = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & t_1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
t_1 & 0 & t_2 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & t_2 & 0 & t_1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & t_1 & 0 & t_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & t_2 & 0 & t_1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & t_1 & 0 & t_2 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & t_2 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\] (21)

This Hamiltonian admits of an exact zero-energy eigenstate with site occupation amplitudes \(c_n = 0\) for \(n\) even, \(c_n = (-t_1/t_2)^{n-1}\) for \(n\) odd \((n = 1, 2, \ldots, 2N-1)\). This state is an edge state, localized at either the left or right edges of the chain for \(t_1 < t_2\) and \(t_1 > t_2\), respectively. The localization length diverges as the gap closing point \(t_1 = t_2\) is attained, where the zero-energy eigenmode is fully delocalized in the chain. To realize topological transfer of edge states, let us consider the following time variation of the hopping amplitudes [21]

\[
t_1(t) = t_0[1 - \cos(\pi t/T)] \quad , \quad t_2(t) = t_0[1 + \cos(\pi t/T)]
\] (22)

where \(T\) is the interaction time. The instantaneous energy spectrum of the Hamiltonian \(H\) versus \(\theta = \pi t/T\) is shown in Fig.8(b) for a SSH chain comprising \((2N-1) = 31\) sites. The zero-energy topological mode is fully localized at the left edge site of the chain for \(\theta = 0\) (i.t. at initial time \(t = 0\)), and at the right edge site for \(\theta = \pi\) (i.e. at final time \(t = T\)). Note that at \(\theta = \pi/2\), i.e. at time \(t = T/2\), the gap closes and the zero-energy mode touches the two bands. The behavior of the transfer probability versus the interaction time \(T\) is shown in Fig.8(c). From the figure one can see that a transfer probability higher than \(\sim 90\%\) requires an interaction time \(T\) larger than \(T \sim 800\), i.e. about five time longer than the interaction time required in the CTAP scheme [compare Figs.4 and 8(c)].

IV. CONCLUSIONS

Adiabatic pumping of topologically-protected edge states has emerged in recent years as a powerful tool for robust excitation transfer, with potential applications in several areas of physics such as mesoscopic quantum transport, quantum state transfer and quantum information processing. Adiabaticity is an essential requirement in topological pumping protocols which sets a lower limit of transfer speed. In most schemes of topological pumping, such as in Thouless pumping in crystals or qua-
sicrystals, the adiabatic state becomes delocalized and immersed into the continuum during the adiabatic cycle, requiring extremely slow evolution to avoid nonadiabatic effects. In this work we combined the concept of topological pumping and coherent tunneling by adiabatic passage to realize excitation transfer among edge and interface states, which is more robust against nonadiabatic effects as compared to traditional Thouless pumping methods. The scheme has been illustrated by considering adiabatic passage in a system sustaining two topologically-protected edge states and one interface state, realized by interfacing two dimerized SSH chains with different topological order. We compared the transfer speed of the topological CTAP protocol with other adiabatic pumping schemes in chains of the same size, such as Thouless pumping in the Rice-Mele chain and adiabatic pumping in the SSH chain with an odd number of sites. The topological CTAP transfer scheme allows one to speed up excitation transfer as compared to the other protocols, even without introducing shortcuts to adiabaticity methods. Further developments of the present study could be envisaged, for example one could extend the analysis considering counterdiabatic control or other methods of shortcuts to adiabaticity well developed in CTAP protocols to speed up the transfer process, or one could consider to apply CTAP topological pumping protocols to non-Hermitian crystals with enhanced selectivity of edge and interface states.

Our results suggest that application of CTAP to topologically-protected states sustained at the interfaces of topological materials with different topological order could provide an interesting route to speed up excitation transfer with potential applications in different physical systems of major relevance in frontrunners quantum technologies, such as superconducting quantum systems, cold atoms and integrated photonic structures.

Appendix A: Derivation of the three-level CTAP equations

In this Appendix we derive the three-level CTAP equation (13) given in the main text, which describes the approximate dynamical evolution of the system in the subspace of topological states $|L\rangle$, $|C\rangle$ and $|R\rangle$. To this aim, we use the variational method for time-dependent problems \cite{57, 58}. The Schrödinger equation

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|\psi\rangle = H(t)|\psi(t)\rangle$$

(A1)

can be derived from the variational principle

$$\delta \int dt L(\psi, \psi^*, \psi_t, \psi^*_t, t) = 0$$

(A2)

with the Lagrangian

$$L(\psi, \psi^*, \psi_t, \psi^*_t, t) = \frac{i}{2} \langle \psi|\psi_t \rangle - \frac{i}{2} \langle \psi_t|\psi \rangle - \langle \psi|H(t)|\psi \rangle$$

(A3)

Let us now make the following Ansatz for the state vector

$$|\psi(t)\rangle \simeq \sum_n a_n(t)|n\rangle$$

(A4)

with $n = L, C, R$. Such an Ansatz describes the approximate evolution of the state vector in the subspace of the topological states $|L\rangle$, $|C\rangle$ and $|R\rangle$. Substitution of Eq.(A4) into Eq.(A3) and from the Euler-Lagrangian equation one readily obtains the following equations for the temporal evolution of the occupation amplitudes $a_n$

$$i \sum_l \langle n|l \rangle \dot{a}_n = \sum_l \langle n|H(t)|l \rangle a_l - i \sum_l \langle n|l \rangle a_l$$

(A5)

$$(n,l = L,C,R)$$, where the dot denotes the derivative with respect to time $t$. From the form of $|L\rangle$, $|C\rangle$ and $|R\rangle$ states given by Eq.(6) in the main text, it readily follows that

$$\langle L|L \rangle = \langle C|C \rangle = \langle R|R \rangle = 1$$

$$\langle L|C \rangle = \langle L|R \rangle = 0$$

$$\langle C|L \rangle = \langle R|C \rangle = \langle R|L \rangle = 0$$

$$\langle L|H|L \rangle = \langle L|H|R \rangle = \langle C|H|C \rangle = \langle L|H|R \rangle = 0$$

Then Eq.(A5) takes the simplified form

$$i \dot{a}_L + i \langle L|R \rangle \dot{a}_R = \langle L|H|C \rangle a_C - i \langle L|R \rangle a_R$$

$$i \dot{a}_C = \langle C|H|L \rangle a_L + \langle C|H|R \rangle a_R$$

$$i \dot{a}_R + i \langle R|L \rangle \dot{a}_L = \langle R|H|C \rangle a_C - i \langle R|L \rangle a_L$$

(A6)\hspace{1cm}(A7)\hspace{1cm}(A8)

Since the states $|L\rangle$ and $|R\rangle$ are localized at the left and right edge sites, for a sufficiently long chain one has $|\langle L|R \rangle| \ll 1$, and hence one can set $\langle L|R \rangle = \langle R|L \rangle \simeq 0$ on the left hand side of Eqs.(A6) and (A8). Similarly, for a slow evolution of the Hamiltonian $H(t)$ the terms $\langle L|R \rangle$ and $\langle R|L \rangle$ are much smaller than $\langle L|H|C \rangle$ and $\langle R|H|C \rangle$, and thus they can be neglected on the right hand sides of Eqs.(A6) and (A8). Under such approximations, one obtains

$$i \dot{a}_L \simeq \langle L|H|C \rangle a_C$$

$$i \dot{a}_C = \langle C|H|L \rangle a_L + \langle C|H|R \rangle a_R$$

$$i \dot{a}_R \simeq \langle R|H|C \rangle a_C$$

(A9)\hspace{1cm}(A10)\hspace{1cm}(A11)

which are Eqs.(13) given in the main text. The scalar products $\Omega_L \equiv \langle L|H|C \rangle$ and $\Omega_R \equiv \langle R|H|C \rangle$ can be readily calculated from Eqs.(2-6) given in the main text, yielding the final result expressed by Eqs.(14) and (15).
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