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Abstract

Background

The influence of airborne particulate matter (PM) on skin has primarily been studied in patients with skin diseases such as atopic dermatitis. Recently, the effect of PM on healthy human skin has gained attention. This study aimed to evaluate the relationship between PM concentration and objective skin changes in healthy subjects.

Methods

This prospective study enrolled 25 healthy volunteers without any skin disease. Data regarding daily meteorological parameters and air pollution were collected during a high-PM period and a low-PM period for 14 days. Environmental and lifestyle factors that might influence skin conditions of subjects were also collected during the study period. Biophysical parameters of the skin such as transepidermal water loss (TEWL), hydration, erythema index, and melanin index were measured. Pores, wrinkles, sebum, and skin tone were evaluated using a facial analysis system.

Results

Mean TEWL value during the high-PM period was significantly higher than that during the low-PM period (10.16 g/m²/h vs. 5.99 g/m²/h, \( p = 0.0005 \)). Mean erythema index was significantly higher in the high-PM period than that in the low-PM period (4.3 vs. 3.42, \( p = 0.038 \)). For facial analysis system indices, uniformity of skin tone was higher in the low-PM period than that in the high-PM period (\( p < 0.0001 \)). In addition, with increasing PM\(_{10}\) and PM\(_{2.5}\), TEWL also showed increase when other environmental components were constant [regression coefficient (RC) = 0.1529, \( p < 0.0001 \) for PM\(_{10}\); RC = 0.2055, \( p = 0.0153 \) for PM\(_{2.5}\)].

Conclusions

Increased PM concentrations may contribute to disturbed barrier function, increased facial erythema, and uneven skin tone even in healthy human skin.

Background

Skin is the outermost barrier of the human body. Since it is in direct contact with the environment, it is directly affected by external changes [1, 2]. Among various environmental factors, particulate matter (PM) has gained attention in recent years. Airborne PM can be categorized by median aerodynamic particle diameters [3]. PM\(_{10}\) is a particle smaller than 10μm in diameter. It comprises dust, industrial emissions,
and traffic emissions [4]. PM$_{2.5}$, also called fine PM, is a particle with a diameter less than 2.5$\mu$m. It is composed of organic carbon compounds, nitrates, and sulfates [4, 5]. Ambient PM pollution, one of the major global cause of disability-adjusted life years, is associated with health disorders. It is the fourth leading risk factor in terms of attributable burden of disease in East Asia [6]. Many clinical, epidemiological and toxicological studies have demonstrated that PM exposure is associated with a high risk for cancer, respiratory diseases, pulmonary diseases and cardiovascular diseases [7–11]. Although effects of PM on skin have been recently reported, the number of such studies is relatively small compared to studies on effects of PM on other organs [12–14].

Recent studies have shown that high concentrations of PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ are associated with the development and exacerbation of various skin diseases such as atopic dermatitis, allergic skin diseases, and skin aging [5, 10, 13, 15, 16]. Our previous study has evaluated daily skin changes using a smartphone application and revealed that the cumulative effect of PM2.5 is significantly associated with increased wrinkle in volunteers without any skin disease ($p < 0.05$) [17]. A self-portrait smartphone application has an advantage in that it is easy to obtain daily skin condition. However, it has limitation in that it cannot clearly reveal the degree of pigmentation, erythema or hydration of the face since it is evaluated by resolution of the photograph. In practice, patients often complain of skin discomfort, such as troubles and blemishes, during days with high PM concentrations. Therefore, a comprehensive evaluation of facial skin characteristics using various non-invasive instruments and skin analysis systems would be useful to determine influences of PM on healthy human skin.

The aim of this study was to quantitatively measure the facial skin characteristics according to PM concentration. To determine the specific influence of PM on skin, data regarding other daily uses, lifestyle, and environmental factors that might influence the overall skin condition were also collected and adjusted in the analysis. Therefore, facial skin characteristics of the same person were evaluated during high- and low-PM periods to differentiate the exact effect of PM on skin.

**Methods**

**Determination of high-PM and low-PM periods**

As daily PM concentration varies, it is difficult to determine high and low PM on a daily basis. Previous studies have indicated that the average concentration of PM in Seoul is higher in spring than in other seasons due to transport of aerosols from the Asian continent [18, 19]. In contrast, in summer, frequent precipitation and low transport from the Asian continent will lead to a lower concentration of PM than in other seasons [19]. Cumulative effects of PM should also be considered in the analysis. Therefore, the high- and low-PM periods should be at least one month apart to wash out the cumulative effect. For these reasons, days with a high average PM concentration during spring and those with a low PM concentration during early summer were selected as study periods.

**Meteorological measurements and air pollution**
This study was performed in Gangnam-gu, a Seoul metropolitan region of Korea, located in the eastern part of Asia. Air pollution and meteorological information in Gangnam-gu were obtained from Korea Meteorological Administration (KMA) and AIRKOREA. KMA provides information regarding hourly outdoor temperature, relative humidity, wind velocity and ultraviolet (UV) index based on data measured at 76 automatic weather stations throughout Seoul area. Average daily values were used for each study period. The AIRKOREA website is operated by the Korean Ministry of Environment. It provides information regarding air quality level including PM$_{10}$, PM$_{2.5}$, O$_3$, NO$_2$, CO, and SO$_3$. Average daily concentration of each pollutant was calculated from the data acquired at the station located in Gangnam-gu. These methods of collecting meteorological information and air pollution data have been previously reported [17].

**Study population and study design**

This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki for ethical conduct of research involving human subjects. The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Samsung Medical Centre (2017-10-0085). Volunteers working and residing in Gangnam-gu of Seoul who were outdoors more than one hour a day during their commute were enrolled in this study. Subjects with significant skin disease (i.e., atopic dermatitis, allergic skin diseases or psoriasis), those who had complaints of sensitive skin, those who were taking medications that could interfere with study results (i.e. hormone replacement therapy), and those who had undergone any skin treatment (i.e., chemical peeling, microdermabrasion, collagen infection, retinoid treatment, or botulinum toxin injection) within 3 months prior to participating in this study were excluded. All volunteers provided informed consent before this study. During the study period, personal conditions were required as consistent as possible for better analysis. During high- and low-PM periods, volunteers were asked to record their daily life events that could affect skin condition (such as sleeping less than 6 hours, working at night for more than 6 hours, consuming alcohol, smoking, and having high glycemic index diet) every day for two weeks. Participants who performed outdoor activities for more than 8 hours were encouraged to detail their activities. They were required not to take a vacation that involved sunlight exposure. They were also asked not to change their lifestyles (i.e., cosmetics or habits of outdoor activity). They were instructed to apply regularly a sunscreen during study periods. This study was conducted for two periods in each individual. The high-PM period was occurred during spring of either 2017 or 2018, and the low-PM period was during early summer of either 2017 or 2018. Objective skin measurements were performed on Day 1 and Day 14 for each period. Since no specific treatment was applied during these periods, and there were no changes in external factors other than meteorological factors, analysis was performed using average values of measured data on Day 1 and Day 14.

**Assessment of facial skin characteristics**

At each visit, volunteers were asked to wash their face and arms followed by drying for 15–20 minutes before skin assessments. They were allowed to relax. They were then assessed in the same room, with temperature maintained between 20 and 22°C and a relative humidity between 30% and 40% [20, 21].
Transepidermal water loss (TEWL), melanin index and erythema index were measured at the front of both cheeks, which was the point with distance of 3 cm vertically from the mid-pupillary line. TEWL (g/m$^2$/h) was measured using a Tewameter® TM210 (Courage and Khazaka, Germany). The instrument was placed vertically on the skin, and the pressure was applied for 30–45 seconds. Using a Mexameter® MX16 (Courage and Khazaka, Germany), melanin and erythema indices were also measured. A slight pressure was applied vertically to the skin. Three repetitive measurements were performed at each site and their average values were used in further analyses. The skin on the upper inner arm was also measured. Its value was used as a reference value to adjust for seasonal influence. Values of erythema and melanin indices used for analyses were calculated as delta value between those measured on the face and the inner part of upper arm.

A comprehensive facial-analysis system (Janus®, PIE Co., Ltd, Seoul, Korea) was used in this study. It had a 10-megapixel, ultra-high-resolution camera for analyzing skin pore, wrinkle, sebum, and skin tone under normal, polarized, and UV light. It has Janus® light-control technology that uses a brightness reference to capture only within a certain brightness range to increase reproducibility of the data. This system uses the light source that is most suitable for each skin measurement parameter to increase reliability of the data. The area of the measurement site was analysed using a 100-point scale to determine the area occupied by pores or wrinkles. In particular, when using an UV light, it is possible to evaluate the degree of sebum as porphyrin produced by *P. acne* in sebum emits orange light. Higher scores correspond to higher numbers of pores, larger degrees of wrinkles, and larger amounts of sebum. Average values of red, green, and blue values of cheeks, nose, eyes, and forehead were calculated and converted to a 100-point skin tone grading, with a higher value indicating a brighter and more uniform skin.

**Statistical analysis**

Mean value and standard deviation (SD) were calculated for each outcome measured (24-hour averages for meteorological measurements and air pollution, and averages of day 1 and day 14 for facial skin characteristics). Wilcoxon signed rank test was used to compare demographic characteristics of the study population. Differences of meteorological variable between the two periods were tested using an autoregressive error model with first-order autocorrelation. The first-order autocorrelation was examined with Durbin-Watson statistics. Facial skin characteristics were also plotted for two periods and compared between the two periods using paired $t$-test or Wilcoxon signed-rank test. Effects of Meteorological measurements and air pollution on facial skin characteristics were analysed using generalized estimating equations due to repeated measurements for both univariable and multivariable analyses. Multicollinearity among meteorological variables was checked with VIF (Variance Influence Factor) before the multivariable analysis. Because temperature, NO$_2$, and CO had multicollinearity (VIF > 4) with other meteorological variables, they were excluded from the multivariate analysis. SAS software, version 9.4 was used for all analyses. Statistical significance was considered when defined as p-value was less than 0.05. All statistical analyses were conducted by two biostatistics specialists (SW Kim and MJ Kim).
Results

Study population

Baseline demographic characteristics of volunteers are presented in Table 1. Enrolled volunteers were 25 non-smoking females with a mean age of 36.7 years (range, 23–56 years). No participant spent any time outside the Gangnam-gu area during the study period. Of 700 total person-records, 350 were collected during the high-PM period and 350 were collected during the low-PM period. The total number of person-visits for measurement of facial skin characteristics was 100 (50 in the high-PM period and 50 in the low-PM period). There were no statistically significant differences in sleeping time, night-working time, or alcohol intake between the two periods. However, the frequency of high glycemic index diet was different during the two periods (1.32 times per 2 weeks in the high-PM period vs. 2.44 times per 2 weeks in the low-PM period).

Table 1

| Demographic characteristics of study population | High-PM period | Low-PM period | \( p \)-value |
|------------------------------------------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|
| Total number of volunteers                     | 25             |               |             |
| Age, y Mean (range)                            | 36.7 (23–56)   |               |             |
| Outdoor activity \( \geq 8\text{h}^* \)         | 0.72           | 0.40          | 0.3125      |
| Sleeping time \( \leq 6\text{h}^* \)           | 2.24           | 2.52          | 0.6589      |
| Night working \( \geq 6\text{h}^* \)           | 0.16           | 0.44          | 0.5000      |
| Drinking*                                       | 1.08           | 1.48          | 0.2451      |
| Smoking*                                        | 0              | 0             |             |
| High glycemic index diet*                      | 1.32           | 2.44          | 0.0042      |

\( SD \) standard deviation

*Average times per 2 weeks. Each individual recorded data daily for 14 days during high-PM period and low-PM period.

Wilcoxon signed rank test was used.

Changes in meteorological measurements and air pollution

Meteorological data during high-PM and low-PM periods are summarized in Table 2. In the high-PM period, average temperature, humidity, and wind velocity were 14.26 ± 5.17°C, 58.49 ± 16.11%, and 1.90 ± 0.56 m/sec, respectively. In the low-PM period, average temperature, humidity, and wind velocity were 22.33 ± 4.77°C, 63.91 ± 12.12%, and 2.05 ± 0.67 m/sec, respectively. Daily temperatures were significantly higher in the low-PM period (\( p = 0.004 \)). The mean ± SD UV index were 4.75 ± 1.94 in the high-PM period,
and 4.37 ± 1.39 in the low-PM period. Mean values of PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ in high-PM period were 57 ± 25 µg/m$^3$ and 31.86 ± 20.24 µg/m$^3$, respectively. These values were 26.5 ± 13.76 µg/m$^3$ and 14.54 ± 8.56 µg/m$^3$ in the low-PM period ($p < 0.0001$ for PM$_{10}$, $p = 0.0001$ for PM$_{2.5}$). Mean concentration of O$_3$ was 17.98 ± 5.39 ppb in the high-PM period, and 18.21 ± 7.87 ppb in the low-PM period. Mean concentration of NO$_2$ was 44.26 ± 14.96 ppb in the high-PM period, and 25.89 ± 8.63 ppb in the low-PM period ($p < 0.0001$). Mean concentration of CO was 0.6 ± 0.13 ppm in the high-PM period, and 0.39 ± 0.10 ppm in the low-PM period ($p < 0.0001$). The mean concentration of SO$_3$ was 4.56 ± 1.53 ppb in the high-PM period, and 4.71 ± 0.58 ppb in the low-PM period.
Table 2
Levels of meteorological measurements and air pollutants during high-PM period and low-PM period

| Variable                  | High-PM period | Low-PM period | p-value  |
|---------------------------|----------------|---------------|----------|
| **Meteorological**        |                |               |          |
| **measurements**          |                |               |          |
| **& air pollutants†**     |                |               |          |
| Temperature (°C)          | 14.26 ± 5.17   | 22.33 ± 4.77  | **0.0040*** |
| (2.1–24)                  | (11.4–31.4)    |               |          |
| Relative humidity (%)     | 58.49 ± 16.11  | 63.91 ± 12.12 | 0.0989   |
| (22.9–97)                 | (42.5–95.6)    |               |          |
| Wind velocity (m/s)       | 1.90 ± 0.56    | 2.05 ± 0.67   | 0.2046   |
| (1.1–4.1)                 | (1.2–4)        |               |          |
| PM$_{10}$ (µg/m³)         | 57.25 ± 29.68  | 26.5 ± 13.76  | **< 0.0001*** |
| (10–127)                  | (6–70)         |               |          |
| PM$_{2.5}$ (µg/m³)        | 31.86 ± 20.24  | 14.54 ± 8.56  | **0.0001*** |
| (5–102)                   | (4–40)         |               |          |
| O$_3$ (ppb)               | 17.98 ± 5.39   | 18.21 ± 7.87  | 0.7701   |
| (6–37)                    | (7–46)         |               |          |
| NO$_2$ (ppb)              | 44.26 ± 14.96  | 25.89 ± 8.63  | **< 0.0001*** |
| (16–94)                   | (12–48)        |               |          |
| CO (ppm)                  | 0.6 ± 0.13     | 0.39 ± 0.10   | **< 0.0001*** |
| (0.3–0.9)                 | (0.2–0.6)      |               |          |
| SO$_3$ (ppb)              | 4.56 ± 1.53    | 4.71 ± 0.58   | 0.5939   |
| (2–8)                     | (1–6)          |               |          |
| UV index (AU)             | 4.75 ± 1.94    | 4.37 ± 1.39   | 0.3286   |
| (0.5–10)                  | (1.9–7.4)      |               |          |

low-PM period
PM particulate matter, UV ultraviolet, TEWL transepidermal water loss, SD standard deviation
All values are 24-hour averages
* Significance of differences between high-PM and low-PM periods by autoregressive error model with first-order autocorrelation

Changes in facial skin characteristics
Changes in facial skin characteristics between high- and low-PM periods were analysed. Results are shown in Fig. 1. The mean TEWL value during the high-PM period was significantly higher than that during the low-PM period (10.16 ± 4.77 g/m²/h vs. 5.99 ± 2.87 g/m²/h, \( p = 0.0005 \)). The erythema index was also significantly higher in the high-PM period than in the low-PM period (4.3 ± 1.53 vs. 3.42 ± 2.08, \( p = 0.0383 \)). The melanin index was 35.12 ± 1.53 for the high-PM period and 35.12 ± 2.18 for the low-PM period. The mean Janus® index was 42.8 ± 9.31 for pores, 8.93 ± 4.08 for wrinkles, 30.38 ± 47.06 for sebum, and 56.87 ± 2.59 for skin tone during the high-PM period. It was 43.59 ± 9 for pores, 8.24 ± 3.71 for wrinkles, 36.56 ± 56.32 for sebum, and 58.04 ± 2.57 for skin tone during the low-PM period. Skin tone was significantly higher in the low-PM period than that in the high-PM period (\( p < 0.0001 \)).

### Effects of meteorological factors and air pollutants on facial skin characteristics

As PM\(_{10}\) increased, TEWL also significantly increased after adjusting for relative humidity, wind velocity, O\(_3\), SO\(_3\), and UV index (slope (standard error(SE)) = 0.1529 (0.0375), \( p < 0.0001 \)). As PM\(_{2.5}\) increased, TEWL also significantly increased adjusting for relative humidity, wind velocity, O\(_3\), SO\(_3\), and UV index (slope (SE) = 0.2055 (0.0848), \( p < 0.0001 \)). As PM\(_{10}\) increased, the erythema index also increased after adjusting for relative humidity, wind velocity, O\(_3\), SO\(_3\), and UV index (slope (SE) = 0.042 (0.0132), \( p = 0.7522 \)). As PM\(_{2.5}\) increased, erythema index also increased after adjusting for relative humidity, wind velocity, O\(_3\), SO\(_3\), and UV index (slope (SE) = 0.017 (0.0196), \( p = 0.3867 \)). As PM\(_{10}\) increased, skin tone also increased after adjusting for relative humidity, wind velocity, O\(_3\), SO\(_3\), and UV index (slope (SE) = 0.0175 (0.0201), \( p = 0.3836 \)). As PM\(_{2.5}\) increased, the erythema index also increased after adjusting for relative humidity, wind velocity, O\(_3\), SO\(_3\), and UV index (slope (SE) = 0.006 (0.0338), \( p = 0.8593 \)).

### Discussion

The present study revealed that mean PM concentrations in spring were higher than those in summer, consistent with other studies [13, 18, 19, 22]. In East Asia, PM\(_{10}\) levels peak in March through April [23]. In Korea, high PM concentrations are uncommon in summer due to frequent precipitation and less transport from the Asian continent [19]. Thus, effects of PM were observed by having high-PM (spring) and low-PM (early summer) periods in the present study. When dividing the study period, seasonal variation definitely influences the skin. Therefore, temperature, relative humidity, wind velocity and UV index were also taken into consideration for the evaluation of actual effects of PM on skin. Between the two study periods, temperature was statistically significantly different. However, relative humidity, wind velocity, and UV index failed to show significant differences. Dusts and sandstorms can exacerbate outdoor air pollution in Seoul from spring to early summer [17]. Thus, besides PM, other air pollutants such as O\(_3\), NO\(_2\), CO, and SO\(_3\), should also be evaluated. Both NO\(_2\) and CO levels in the high-PM period were significantly higher than those in the low-PM period. However, their levels were too low to show any significant association with facial skin characteristics.
TEWL can be used to assess skin barrier function and reflects the barrier activity of components of the stratum corneum and tight junctions. In this study, TEWL was significantly higher in the high-PM period than that in the low-PM period. The multivariate analysis after adjusting other factors showed a considerable increase of TEWL with increasing PM$_{10}$ or PM$_{2.5}$.

Redness of the skin, a sign of skin irritation, was assessed using an erythema index by measuring haemoglobin content with a Mexameter®. In the high-PM period, the erythema index was significantly higher than that in the low-PM period. In a time-series study conducted from 2011 to 2015 in China [24], the risk of increased symptoms of eczema was associated with air pollutants (NO$_2$, SO$_2$, PM$_{10}$). Moreover, a meta-analysis [5] has shown that air pollution is correlated with atopic dermatitis and exacerbation of specific inflammatory skin disease symptoms in both children and adults. These studies have shown that air pollution is likely to exacerbate symptoms of chronic inflammatory skin diseases. The present study was performed for volunteers without any skin disease. Even in these volunteers, erythema index showed a statistically significant increase with increasing PM concentration. This suggests that PM can play a certain role in early symptoms of inflammatory skin diseases.

Skin tone level was also measured using the Janus® system as the average of red, green, and blue values of the face. The score of skin tone with the facial analysis system was significantly lower in the high-PM period than that in the low-PM period even after adjusting for possible seasonal effects. This result suggests that PM can exert some effects on healthy human skin in terms of skin tone evenness. Prior reports [10, 12, 25] have also implicated that exposure to high PM and other environmental toxicants can be responsible for senile lentigo and other aging symptoms. Although this study was performed for two weeks of high-PM and low-PM periods, high concentration of PM was found to be associated with skin tone unevenness. Chronic exposure of high PM is expected to aggravate skin tone and aging symptoms like senile lentigo.

How PM interacts with skin and eventually causes health problems remains controversial [26, 27]. Araviiskaia et al. have suggested that harmful effects of air pollutants on the skin are influenced by direct penetration into the skin surface or by indirect distribution with the systemic distribution through the respiratory system [28]. They reviewed the literature to report biochemical effects of air pollutants including PM by increasing reactive oxygen species via the aryl hydrocarbon receptor [28, 29]. Several epidemiological studies have shown that these pollutants including PM will eventually affect the exacerbation of symptoms of inflammatory diseases (e.g. eczema including atopic dermatitis) and skin aging [12, 13, 17, 24]. Our results suggest that PM might impair the epidermal barrier function, resulting in the development of skin irritation and exacerbation of skin diseases. However, the slope of PM in our study was too small. Thus the effect of PM on healthy skin might be less than that on underlying skin disease. Further investigation is needed to elucidate this possibility.

This study has strengths in that dermatological impact of atmospheric pollution, with a focus on PM, was assessed for each individual during a precise period of time. Such an approach provides a dynamic monitoring of environmental effects while classical clinical studies generally compare data obtained in a
static situation. Therefore, even if the number of volunteers is limited, information provided by this study might enrich the knowledge on pollution effects on skin.

Nevertheless, this study also has several limitations. First, the study duration was restricted to two weeks in spring and two weeks in early summer, making it difficult to recruit a sufficient cohort within that time frame. Although a large number of volunteers were not enrolled in this study, all 25 subjects adhered to the study protocol while daily PM concentrations were monitored. Possible confounding factors, such as sleep duration and outdoor activities, were also monitored during the study period and controlled for in the analyses. Second, hormonal levels could also be different based on seasonal variation. Particularly glucocorticoids could influence results. Third, we were unable to measure the amount of PM exposure for each subject individually. However, previous studies have shown that indoor PM environment in Seoul is highly dependent on outdoor conditions.\textsuperscript{31, 32} Therefore, daily average PM concentration in the Gangnam-gu area can be expected to be applicable to each participant in the study [30, 31]. However, future studies should consider indoor and outdoor air qualities.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to assess correlations between PM concentration and objective facial skin characteristics measured using various non-invasive instruments by comparing intra-subject data between low- and high-PM periods. In particular, high PM concentrations were found to be associated with impaired barrier function, erythema, and unevenness of skin tone. Based on our findings, we emphasize the importance of proper skin care during periods of high PM concentrations to maintain a healthy skin. In the future, large numbers of clinical cohort studies on healthy skin and physiological studies on human skin are necessary to confirm these findings.
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Figures
Figure 1

Changes in facial skin characteristics according to high-PM period and low-PM period. (a) TEWL, (b) erythema index, (c) melanin index, (d) pore, (e) wrinkle, (f) sebum and (g) skin tone. Box indicates lower and upper quartiles. Central line indicates median value; Black points at the ends of the whisker indicate upper and lower extreme values.