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Objective: Individuals taking antipsychotic medications have increased risk of obesity-related early morbidity/mortality. This report presents weight maintenance results from a successful weight loss and behavioral lifestyle change program developed for people taking antipsychotic medications.

Methods: STRIDE was a two-arm randomized controlled trial. Intervention participants attended weekly group meetings for 6 months, then monthly group meetings for 6 months. Assessments were completed at baseline and at 6, 12, and 24 months.

Results: At 24 months, intervention participants lost 3.7% of baseline weight and control participants 2.1%, a non-significant difference. Fasting glucose results followed a similar pattern. There was a statistically significant difference, however, for fasting insulin—the intervention group’s levels decreased between the end of the intensive intervention (at 6 months) and 24 months (10.1-7.91 µU/mL); control participants’ levels increased (11.66 to 12.92 µU/mL) during this period. There were also fewer medical hospitalizations among intervention participants (5.7%) than controls (21.1%; χ² = 8.47, P = 0.004) during the 12- to 24-month post-intervention maintenance period.

Conclusions: Weight change differences between arms diminished following the intervention period, though fasting insulin levels continued to improve. Reduced hospitalizations suggest that weight loss, even with regain, may have long-term positive benefits for people taking antipsychotic medications and may reduce costs.

Introduction

Individuals with serious mental illnesses are at greatly increased risk of early morbidity and mortality (1-3). Serious mental illnesses are associated with obesity-related risk factors, irrespective of treatment (4-6), while psychiatric medications add to risks of weight gain, diabetes, and metabolic syndrome (7,8).

Behavioral approaches to reducing cardiometabolic risk are considered the first line of treatment for adults with overweight and obesity in the general population (9). Recent evidence, including from the STRIDE project (10), suggests such interventions can be equally effective for adults with serious mental illnesses (11,12). During STRIDE’s 6-month intensive intervention period (weekly sessions), intervention participants lost 4.4 kg more than control participants. Following an additional 6 months of monthly sessions (maintenance intervention), intervention participants were 2.6 kg lighter than controls (10). Fasting glucose levels increased in controls at the 12-month measurement (from 106.0 to 109.5 mg dL⁻¹) but decreased among intervention participants (from 106.3 to 100.4 mg dL⁻¹) (10). Medical hospitalizations for the 12-month intervention period were significantly reduced in intervention compared to control participants, and at 12 months, 47% of intervention participants had lost at least 5% of baseline body weight, compared with 36% of controls (10).

Despite short-term successes, however, long-term maintenance of weight loss and improved lifestyle has been difficult to achieve in general populations (13), and, as of this writing, we are aware of only one study that has examined maintenance of weight loss and lifestyle change among people with serious mental illnesses (14). Predictors of long-term weight loss maintenance in general populations include: (1) reduced dietary fat, increased dietary fiber, increased exercise, and increased self-monitoring (15,16); (2) among Caucasians, better mental and physical health (17); consumption of diets with higher protein and lower glycemic indices (18); and, among women,
flexible cognitive restraint, lower levels of uncontrolled eating in response to stimuli, exercise self-efficacy, exercise intrinsic motivation, and body dissatisfaction (19). Among people with serious mental illnesses, the In SHAPE replication is the only study to date of long-term maintenance following a successful lifestyle intervention (14). In contrast to STRIDE’s group intervention model, In SHAPE (14) tested an individualized health coach plus fitness club membership intervention compared to fitness club membership alone. The intervention reduced weight and improved fitness, reducing cardiovascular risks. Most importantly, improvements (exercise levels, improved diet, weight loss, improved fitness) were sustained in the 6 months after the intervention was withdrawn, though the investigators did not report predictors of maintenance beyond sustained dietary change and exercise.

In this article, we report maintenance outcomes of the STRIDE weight loss and lifestyle change program for the period 12-24 months following the end of the intervention. We also report exploratory analyses of predictors of maintaining a weight loss of 5% or more of baseline weight 1 year after the intervention.

Methods

The STRIDE trial (10) tested an adaptation of the PREMIER lifestyle intervention with DASH eating plan (20). PREMIER/DASH successfully reduced weight and blood pressure among people in the general population (21), and the DASH eating plan has been shown to reduce other cardiometabolic risks, through increases in HDL cholesterol, lowered triglycerides, reduced fasting blood glucose levels, and improved insulin resistance (22-24). Details of the STRIDE study design, rationale, implementation process, and 12-month outcomes have been described elsewhere (10,25,26). The intervention manual, participant workbook, and other materials are also available: http://www.kpchr.org/research/public/stride/strided.htm.

The weight loss intervention used in STRIDE provided 6 months of weekly group meetings including 20-30 min of exercise, followed by 6 months of monthly maintenance meetings, also with exercise. No intervention activities were conducted between 12 and 24 months. This article presents 24-month outcomes.

STRIDE was implemented using a multi-site, parallel, two-arm (balanced 1:1) randomized controlled trial design. A full description of the protocol is available elsewhere (25) The focus of the intervention was on moderate caloric restriction, increased moderate exercise, and improved dietary practices (DASH Eating Plan: increased fruit, vegetable, and low-fat dairy consumption; reduced fat consumption). The intervention goal was weight loss of 4.5-6.8 kg (10-15 pounds) over 6 months, the same goal as the PREMIER intervention. The trial was registered on ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT00790517) ClinicalTrials.gov, NCT0790517; http://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/ NCT00790517?term=STRIDE&rank=1.

Settings

This study was conducted in Kaiser Permanente Northwest (KPNW), a not-for-profit integrated health system, and Community Mental Health Centers (CMHCS) in the Portland, Oregon metropolitan area (Cascadia Behavioral Healthcare [Cascadia] and LifeWorks Northwest [LifeWorks]). Most individuals served by these CMHCS are low-income; KPNW’s membership is insured and demographically representative of the surrounding metropolitan area. All settings provide mental health and addiction treatment; KPNW also provides medical treatment.

Participants

Participants were 200 adults (56 men, 144 women) age ≥18 years (mean age = 47.2, SD = 10.6). All had been taking antipsychotic agents for at least 30 days before enrollment, and had a body mass index (BMI) of 27 or more. Using a stratified randomized block design, 96 were randomized to the usual care control and 104 to intervention. Individuals were excluded if they were pregnant, breastfeeding, or planning a pregnancy during the study period; had an inpatient psychiatric hospitalization within 30 days (although we allowed deferred participation); had a history of, or plans for, bariatric surgery; had a history of a cancer diagnosis in the 2 years prior to enrollment; had experienced a heart attack or stroke within 6 months; or had cognitive impairment that could interfere with informed consent.

We collected data between July 2009 and October 2013. Detailed recruitment and participant demographic information are available elsewhere (25). Blinded staff collected data at all study periods, including scale-measured weights. Follow-up rates were 90.5% of participants at 6 months (n = 181), 85% at 1 year (n = 170), and 81.5% (n = 164) at 2 years (83.2% if 3 deaths are removed). We found no significant differences in attrition between study arms at any assessment point. Participants from all study arms who had elevated blood pressure or laboratory results were referred to primary or urgent care after each assessment. The KPNW Institutional Review Board reviewed, approved, and monitored all study sites and study procedures.

Measures

Our pre-specified outcome measures were weight change from baseline, BMI change from baseline, fasting glucose, fasting insulin, the homeostasis model assessment index for insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) (28), the Framingham Diabetes Risk Score (29), HDL and LDL cholesterol, triglycerides, and diastolic and systolic blood pressure. In addition, we collected data on a variety of other measures for exploratory analyses including: the SF-36v2 General Health subscale (30); sleep quality, measured with items from the Berlin sleep apnea scale (31); and the BASIS-24 measure of mental health (32). Other measures included the Patient Activation Measure of health-related self-efficacy; positive and negative social support for lifestyle changes; the B-WISE body image scale; the Wisconsin Quality of Life Index General Quality of Life Subscale; dietary self-efficacy; weight outcome expectancies; past 7-day exercise; and dietary content from Block Screeners (see Yarborough et al. (25) for details and citations for these secondary measures). We also assessed adverse events occurring in the periods between assessment visits, including all medical and psychiatric hospitalizations.

Analyses

We examined distributions for all outcomes to determine whether transformations or different models were needed, and used generalized estimating equations (GEE) because they estimate population-averaged effects while controlling for the non-independence of observations inherent in repeated-measures data (33). Time was
TABLE 1 Adjusted time-by-group coefficients and confidence intervals for intent-to-treat generalized estimating equation (GEE) analyses for 2-year outcomes

| Outcome                        | Δ Baseline—2 year | 95% CI (lower, upper) | Δ 6 mo—2 year | 95% CI (lower, upper) | Δ 12 mo—2 year | 95% CI (lower, upper) | Omnibus; P value
|--------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| Weight (kg)                    | 2.098             | −0.958, 5.154         | −2.449        | −5.995, 1.097         | −0.596        | −3.090, 1.899         | 0.007           |
| BMI (kg/m²)                    | 0.708             | −0.405, 1.822         | −0.907        | −2.179, 0.365         | −0.299        | −1.232, 0.635         | 0.007           |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) | −0.470            | −4.157, 3.218         | −2.135        | −5.933, 1.670         | −0.370        | −4.123, 3.383         | 0.676           |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)| −0.984            | −3.494, 1.525         | −2.307        | −5.022, 0.407         | −1.354        | −3.915, 1.207         | 0.412           |
| Fasting glucose (mg/dL)        | 0.047             | −0.017, 0.111         | 0.032         | −0.024, 0.089         | −0.042        | −0.101, 0.018         | 0.049           |
| Fasting triglycerides (mg/dL)  | 0.231             | −0.080, 0.543         | 0.347a        | 0.054, 0.640          | 0.225         | −0.224, 0.675         | 0.118           |
| Fasting insulin (µU/mL)        | 0.100             | −0.149, 0.349         | 0.180         | −0.089, 0.448         | −0.035        | −0.276, 0.207         | 0.352           |
| HOMA-IRd                       | −0.269            | −2.015, 1.478         | −0.099        | −1.917, 1.720         | −1.612        | −3.336, 0.111         | 0.225           |
| Diabetes riskc                 | 0.070             | −0.066, 0.205         | 0.056         | −0.086, 0.198         | 0.006         | −0.122, 0.134         | 0.653           |
| Fasting triglycerides (mg/dL)  | −6.313            | −15.703, 3.076        | −8.369        | −17.390, 0.651        | −6.188        | −15.011, 2.636        | 0.343           |
| Fasting HDL (mg/dL)            | −2.152            | −5.420, 1.116         | −0.676        | −3.838, 2.486         | 0.285         | −3.262, 3.831         | 0.193           |

*aP < 0.05 for the difference between the end of the intensive intervention at 6 months and the 2-year follow-up assessment. No other comparisons were significant at the 2-year follow-up.

*bCoef = coefficient for the time-by-group indicators estimated from the GEE models.

cOmnibus Wald test assessing whether the joint effect of the time-by-group indicators is 0; all significant omnibus tests reflect significant differences during the intervention periods (intensive or maintenance).

*dThe homeostasis model assessment index for insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) is calculated as follows: fasting glucose (mmol/L) × fasting insulin (µU/mL)/22.5. Lower scores indicate lower risk for developing insulin resistance. Coefficients represent the change in the natural log of the HOMA-IR.

*eBased on the Framingham Diabetes Risk Scale. Lower scores represent decreased risk of developing diabetes.

d(week, month) Coef. <lower, upper> 95% CI

Results

Outcomes at 24 months

We found no significant differences in intent-to-treat analyses comparing weight at 24 months to weight at previous assessments: baseline (2.10, 95% CI [−0.96, 5.15]); 6 months (−2.45, 95% CI [−6.00, 1.10]); or 12 months (−0.60, 95% CI [−3.09, 1.90]) (the omnibus test for the interaction was significant because there was a difference in the weight change between groups during the intervention phase) (see Table 1).

In analyses of complete cases at 2 years, intervention participants had lost 3.7% of their baseline weight, and control participants had lost 2.1% of baseline weight, F(1,156) = 12, P = 0.29. Table 1 presents adjusted time-by-group coefficients and confidence intervals for intent-to-treat analyses. Figures 1 and 2 show estimated marginal means for all study outcomes (Table 2 provides the estimated marginal means for 12 and 24 months).

There was no evidence that the intervention group was more likely to be at or below their baseline weight at 24 months compared to...
Figure 1: Adjusted mean weight and adjusted BMI of intervention and control groups from baseline to 24 months. [Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at wileyonlinelibrary.com.]

Figure 2: Adjusted mean outcomes of intervention and control groups from baseline to 24 months. [Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at wileyonlinelibrary.com.]
Estimated marginal means at 12 and 24 months for control and intervention arms

|                | Control 12 months | Control 24 months | Intervention 12 months | Intervention 24 months |
|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Weight (kg)    | 105.241           | 105.290           | 103.725                | 104.369                |
| BMI (kg/m²)    | 37.735            | 37.713            | 36.649                 | 36.927                 |
| HOMA-IR        | 0.707             | 0.714             | 0.367                  | 0.408                  |
| Fasting insulin (µU/mL) | 12.186          | 12.929            | 9.343                  | 7.912                  |
| Fasting HDL (mg/dL) | 44.656           | 45.833            | 49.321                 | 50.213                 |
| Fasting LDL (mg/dL) | 103.508          | 104.571           | 105.117                | 112.367                |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 79.836          | 78.683            | 78.058                 | 78.259                 |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 121.046         | 121.084           | 118.942                | 119.351                |
| Fasting triglycerides (mg/dL) | 4.996            | 4.988             | 4.896                  | 4.883                  |
| Diabetes risk (%) | 14.594           | 14.458            | 12.620                 | 14.096                 |
| Fasting glucose (µU/mL) | 110.112         | 108.557           | 100.974                | 103.776                |

*The homeostasis model assessment index for insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) is calculated as follows: fasting glucose (mmol/L) x fasting insulin (µU/mL)/22.5. Lower scores indicate lower risk for developing insulin resistance. Means represent the change in the natural log of the HOMA-IR.

*Means represent the change in the natural log of fasting triglycerides.

*Based on the Framingham Diabetes Risk Scale. Lower scores represent decreased risk of developing diabetes.

Changes in systolic and diastolic blood pressure were not significant, though because average values were within normal ranges at baseline, no significant change was expected. Time-by-group interactions were not significant for triglycerides, LDL, or HDL cholesterol; average LDL cholesterol was also within normal range at baseline.

Explanatory analyses

We also conducted exploratory analyses of factors associated with maintenance of weight loss of 5% or more of baseline weight, irrespective of study arm. Individuals who reported better 24-month body image were more likely to have lost 5% or more of their baseline weight at 24 months (odds ratio = 1.22; 95% CI [1.07, 1.41], P = 0.004), adjusting for all other predictors in the model. Their 24-month outcomes expectancies also differed from those who were unable to achieve and maintain this level of weight loss. Those who felt more strongly that they would achieve their goal weight (odds ratio = 2.11; 95% CI [1.23, 3.63], P = 0.007) and reported a smaller difference between their actual weight and goal weight (odds ratio = 0.93; 95% CI [0.87, 0.99], P = 0.026) were more likely to have maintained a 5% or greater weight loss. Factors not found to be associated with weight loss maintenance in this sample were general or mental health, sleep quality, confidence in ability to change eating habits, patient activation/health-related self-efficacy, general quality of life, positive or negative social support for lifestyle changes, marital status, 7-day exercise frequency, or dietary content

Discussion

The weight loss intervention tested in this trial produced significant weight loss during the 1-year intervention period, but the difference in weight loss between the trial arms diminished after the intervention period ended and was no longer statistically significant. Similar relapse following the end of interventions is typical in weight loss studies conducted with the general population of adults with overweight and obesity. Our results suggest, however, that some health improvements were sustained over 2 years (see Figures 1 and 2), and that some had lasting clinical significance, as evidenced by continued reduced hospitalization rates among intervention participants during the second year of follow-up. These reductions are consistent with recent findings showing reduced hospitalizations among lifestyle intervention participants with diabetes (34) and findings of sustained cardiovascular risk reduction among people with serious mental illnesses who received health coaching and a fitness club membership (14). In a cost-effectiveness analysis conducted for this study, we estimated that reduced hospitalization costs would save $137,500/year in each of the 2 years studied (35). Furthermore, diabetes prevention studies have consistently shown that lifestyle interventions reduce diabetes risk long after the trials have ended (36,37). The steady decreases in fasting insulin levels found in this trial suggest that improved insulin sensitivity may help explain the long-term benefits of such lifestyle modification. Interestingly, improvements seen among control participants in the trial likely result from a combination of factors that could
inform future interventions. These include: the importance of being ready to change; the value of routine measurement and feedback about weight and other outcomes provided as part of participation; and the importance of routine monitoring and referral to treatment for at-risk lab or other values.

Analyses of qualitative interviews with participants indicated that the support, common mental health and medication-related experiences, and the accountability provided by group members were extremely important to participants, and that they had a much harder time maintaining lifestyle changes after the intervention ended (27). Together, these results are consistent with recent evidence suggesting that adopting a chronic disease perspective, with corresponding extended care for weight maintenance and other lifestyle changes, is likely to be more effective than shorter-term interventions (38). These results are also consistent with evidence that extended care can be beneficial even when it is of low intensity (39).

Given the special needs and risks experienced by individuals with serious mental illnesses taking antipsychotic medications, interventions that produce long-term changes in weight and cardiometabolic risks are needed to reduce this population’s rates of early cardiometabolic morbidity and mortality. In this context, it is noteworthy that participants reported that the end of intervention contacts at 1 year made their continued efforts to improve their lifestyles more difficult. Recent evidence in the general population suggests that extended care provided in group formats (as opposed to individual contacts with interventionists) is more effective for producing long-term weight maintenance and sustained lifestyle improvements (38). In contrast, however, In SHAPE’s weekly personalized one-on-one health coaching produced longer-term benefits without continued intervention, though the In SHAPE 6-month no-intervention period was not as long as STRIDE’s 12-month period, and outcomes may have changed after an additional 6 months (14). Nevertheless, it is possible that individuals with serious mental illnesses benefit more from individualized attention than other populations. Because such interventions are likely to be more costly to deliver than group interventions, future research should compare effects of longer-term follow-up in group contexts vs. shorter one-on-one interventions. Cost-effectiveness analyses of these different approaches could be useful to policy makers and program managers.

Our findings that better body image was associated with sustained weight loss, and that outcomes expectancies were more closely associated with actual weight loss among individuals who successfully maintained a 5% reduction in weight, have important implications beyond weight and health. First, there may be significant psychological benefits to weight loss resulting from improved body image. In this already stigmatized population, known for reduced social integration, both better feelings about oneself, and improved perceptions of others (40), could improve quality of life and facilitate mental health recovery. Second, specifically targeting outcomes expectancies as part of interventions to help participants develop more realistic expectations may help people feel more successful in their efforts and enhance their ability to sustain behavior changes.

Limitations

Regarding limitations of this study, participants in the intervention were not as representative of the target population as we had planned. Their average age was about 47 years (with well-established chronic illnesses), we had fewer male participants (28%) than expected, and we had few participants from racial or ethnic minorities (14%). While this pattern is typical of lifestyle change programs generally, it may limit the generalizability of these results to men and members of minority groups in this patient population. In addition, we report results for significant changes in fasting insulin through 6-24 months when the omnibus test was marginal. For this reason, these results should be interpreted with caution and examined in future research.

Conclusion

People with serious mental illnesses taking antipsychotic medications can lose weight and improve diabetes and other cardiometabolic risks when offered a group-based lifestyle intervention. Few changes are likely to be maintained without extended care, though significant clinical benefits may be sustained, as indicated by reduced hospitalizations beyond the intervention period.
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