High-Efficiency Multi-site Genomic Editing of *Pseudomonas putida* through Thermoinducible ssDNA Recombineering

**HIGHLIGHTS**

- *Pseudomonas putida* is a useful Synthetic Biology chassis for metabolic engineering
- Co-expression of Rec2 recombinase and mutL*E36K* allele empowers ssDNA recombineering
- Cyclic DNA replication fork invasion causes up to 10% single-site mutation frequency
- The experimental HEMSE pipeline eases multi-site genome editing of *P. putida*
High-Efficiency Multi-site Genomic Editing of Pseudomonas putida through Thermoinducible ssDNA Recombineering

Tomas Aparicio,1 Akos Nyerges,2,3 Esteban Martínez-García,1,* and Víctor de Lorenzo1,4,*

SUMMARY
Application of single-stranded DNA recombineering for genome editing of species other than enterobacteria is limited by the efficiency of the recombineering and the action of endogenous mismatch repair (MMR) systems. In this work we have set up a genetic system for entering multiple changes in the chromosome of the biotechnologically relevant strain EM42 of Pseudomonas putida. To this end high-level heat-inducible co-transcription of the rec2 recombinase and P. putida’s allele mutL\textsubscript{E36K}\textsuperscript{PP} was designed under the control of the P\textsubscript{L}/d857 system. Cycles of short thermal shifts followed by transformation with a suite of mutagenic oligos delivered different types of genomic changes at frequencies up to 10% per single modification. The same approach was instrumental to super-diversify short chromosomal portions for creating libraries of functional genomic segments—e.g., ribosomal-binding sites. These results enabled multiplexing of genome engineering of P. putida, as required for metabolic reprogramming of this important synthetic biology chassis.

INTRODUCTION
DNA recombineering was first developed in the early 2000s (Datsenko and Wanner, 2000; Yu et al., 2000) as a genetic technology for replacing genomic segments of E. coli with synthetic double-stranded (ds) DNA by means of the DNA exchange mechanism brought about by the Red system of phage lambda. Although the native approach involves three proteins (a β-recombinase, an exonuclease, and the γ protein, which protects free ds ends of DNA from degradation by RecBCD), it turned out that the Red-β protein sufficed to promote invasion of the replication fork by single-stranded (ss) oligonucleotides incorporated as Okazaki fragments (Ellis et al., 2001). If such oligonucleotides were designed to carry mutations, the resulting changes could be inherited at considerable frequencies upon subsequent rounds of DNA segregation. The key value of this approach is that by using cocktails of mutagenic oligonucleotides and either manual or automated cycles of Red expression/oligonucleotide transformation one can enter simultaneous changes in many genomic sites and/or saturate given DNA stretches with specific or random mutations (Wang et al., 2009; Nyerges et al., 2016, 2018). This technology gave rise to MAGE (multiplex automated genome engineering) in E. coli, a cycled and multiplexed application of recombineering that exploits the capabilities of the Red system for large-scale reprogramming of cells, i.e., metabolic engineering of lycopene production (Wang et al., 2009) or genome-wide codon replacements (Isaacs et al., 2011). These methods have been improved further by using host strains transiently disabled in mismatch repair (MMR) and by enriching mutants through Cas9/guide RNA-based counterselection of wild-type sequences (Costantino and Court, 2003; Jiang et al., 2013; Nyerges et al., 2014, 2016; Ronda et al., 2016; Oesterle et al., 2017). These technologies work well in E. coli, whereas they are difficult to transplant directly to non-enteric bacteria. Yet, their applicability to species such as Pseudomonas putida has a special interest because of the value of environmental microorganisms as useful platforms for metabolic engineering (Nikel et al., 2014; Nikel et al., 2016; Martínez-García and de Lorenzo, 2019). Attempts of functional expression of the lambda Red system in various species of Pseudomonas have been reported, but recombination frequencies were low in the absence of selection (Lesic and Rahme, 2008; Liang and Liu, 2010; Luo et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2018; Yin et al., 2019). Red-like counterparts found in Pseudomonas prophages have been more successful to the same ends. For example, the RecET recombinase/exonuclease pair of P. syringae has been instrumental for executing a suite of manipulations in this species (Swingle et al., 2010a; Bao et al., 2012). Furthermore, bioinformatic mining of Pseudomonas-borne recombineers from known protein families (i.e., Redβ, ERF, GP2-5, SAK, and SAK4; Lopes et al., 2010) followed by experimental validation of the most promising in a standardized recombineering test exposed two new enzymes (Ssr and Rec2: Aparicio et al., 2016; Ricaurte et al., 2018; Aparicio et al., 2020). These
recombinases delivered a comparatively high level of activity in the reference strains *P. putida* KT2440 and its genome-reduced derivative *P. putida* EM42. Still, numbers were way below those reported for *E. coli*. Furthermore, the action of the endogenous MMR system of this bacterium impeded single-nucleotide changes (i.e., A to T, mismatch A:A) that were efficiently fixed by the indigenous *mutS/mutL* device (Aparicio et al., 2016, 2019b).

In this work we have set out to overcome the above-mentioned bottlenecks to efficacious recombineering in *P. putida*. The approach builds on the apparently superior ability of the Rec2 recombinase to promote DNA annealing with exogenous synthetic oligonucleotides during chromosomal replication. By playing with a stringent expression system for *rec2*, applying multiple cycles of recombinase production/oligonucleotide transformation, and reversibly inhibiting the MMR system during a limited time window we report in the following discussion high-fidelity recombination frequencies that approach those achieved with the archetypal Red-based system (Datsenko and Wanner, 2000). This opens genome editing possibilities in this environmental bacterium that were thus far limited to strains of *E. coli*, closely related enteric species (Nyerges et al., 2018; Szili et al., 2019), and some lactic acid bacteria (van Pijkeren et al., 2012).

**RESULTS**

**Optimization of Rec2 and MutLE36KPP Delivery for ssDNA Recombineering**

The bicistronic gene cassette of pSEVA2514-*rec2-mutLE36KPP* (Figure 1A) was developed earlier for examining the hierarchy of recognition of different types of single-nucleotide mispairs by the native MMR system of *P. putida* (Aparicio et al., 2019b). In this construct *rec2* and *mutLE36KPP* were placed under the control of the thermo-inducible $P_L$/$cI857$ system, in which the product of $cI857$ represses the $P_L$ promoter at 30°C but becomes inactivated at 42°C, triggering the expression of the genes after a short thermal shift. During the course of that work, we noticed that a short, transient thermal induction of the Rec2 recombinase increased very significantly ssDNA recombineering (~1 order of magnitude) when compared with the same with an expression device responsive to 3-methylbenzoate (i.e., *xylS/Pm*). Although the reason for this improvement is not entirely clear, it may have resulted from (1) the short-lived, high-level transcription of the otherwise toxic recombinase—when compared with the permanent hyperexpression caused by the chemically inducible system; (2) thermal inactivation of ssDNA nucleases and thus...
improved survival of the mutagenic oligonucleotides in vivo; or (3) a combination of both. In any case, the average frequency of single-base replacements in just one single-shot recombineering test was in the range of $1 \times 10^{-2}$ mutants per viable cell. This was high when compared with previous recombineering efforts in this bacterium (Aparicio et al., 2016) but still low for identifying mutations without a selectable phenotype. We, however, speculated that by multi-cycling the procedure with short thermal pulses of recombinease induction and transformation with mutagenic oligos, such frequencies could be added at each cycle, eventually resulting in high nucleotide replacement rates. A second realization (Aparicio et al., 2019b) was that transient co-expression of the dominant allele MutLE36K<sup>PP</sup> of the MMR system of <i>P. putida</i> along with the rec2 gene in plasmid pSEVA2514-rec2-mutL<sub>EM42</sub><sup>PP</sup> (Figure 1) virtually eliminated recognition of any type of base mispairings in DNA. This allowed entering all classes of nucleotide replacements that would otherwise be conditioned by MMR—without triggering a general mutagenic regime. Yet, note that both activities (Rec2 and MutLE36K<sup>PP</sup>) were delivered <i>in vivo</i> with a high-copy-number vector with an origin or replication (RSF1010) of unknown thermal sensitivity. This may result in some instability upon thermal cycling of the procedure for boosting recombineering efficiency (see below).

To determine the best plasmid frame for rec2-mutL<sub>EM42</sub><sup>PP</sup> transient expression, the cognate DNA segment was recloned in vectors pSEVA2214 (RK2 origin or replication, low copy number) and pSEVA2314 (pBRR1 origin, medium copy number) as shown in Figure 1A. Recombineering tests were then carried out with oligonucleotide NR, which generated a double mutation in gyrA endowing resistance to nalidixic acid (Na<sup>i</sup>) by means of two MMR-sensitive changes G → A and C → T. In parallel, another MMR-insensitive change A → C was also tested with oligonucleotide SR that mutated <i>rpsL</i> for making cells resistant to streptomycin (Sm<sup>3</sup>), and recombineering assays were run in non-induced and heat-induced cultures. The results of this test indicated that thermal induction of rec2 and mutL<sub>EM42</sub><sup>PP</sup> genes enhances recombineering by 3–4 logs with efficiencies in the range of $1 \times 10^{-2}$ mutants/viable cell for the three plasmids assayed. Performance comparison points to pSEVA2314-rec2-mutL<sub>EM42</sub><sup>PP</sup> as the preferred construct of reference for the multi-site mutagenesis platform presented in the following discussion. On the basis of this we set out to re-create in <i>P. putida</i> the same conditions that enabled implementation in <i>E. coli</i> of high-efficiency ssDNA recombineering protocols such as MAGE (Wang et al., 2009), DIVERGE (directed evolution with random genomic mutations; Nyerges et al., 2018), and PORTMAGE (portable MAGE; Nyerges et al., 2016)—and thus expand frontline genomic editing methods toward this environmentally and industrially important bacterium.

**Cyclic Pulses of rec2/mutL<sub>EM42</sub><sup>PP</sup> Expression Enable a High Level of Single-Nucleotide Substitutions**

The first issue at stake was determining the frequencies of mutations caused by using a cocktail of oligonucleotides targeting five genes representative of diverse genomic locations, different types of nucleotide changes, and associated or not with selectable traits upon multiple ssDNA recombineering cycles. The genes at stake, their position in the chromosomal map, the cognate phenotypes, and the type of replacements brought about by the corresponding mutagenic ssDNAs are summarized in Figure 2. They were all designed to pair sequences in the lagging strand of the replication fork in each of the replicohores of the <i>P. putida</i> genome according to Aparicio et al. (2016). Note that the experiments were run with <i>P. putida</i> EM42, not with the archetypal strain KT2440. This is because it is a recA<sup>A</sup> derivative of the EM383 genome-streamlined variant that has higher endogenous levels of ATP and NAD(P)H and has thus become a preferred metabolic engineering platform (Martínez-García et al., 2014). Moreover, the modifications entered in <i>P putida</i> EM42 make this strain more tolerant to pulses of high temperature (Aparicio et al., 2019a), as repeatedly applied throughout this work (see later). The cyclic recombineering protocol (see Transparent Methods for details) is summarized in Figure 3, and it basically involves four steps: (1) growing cells, (2) triggering thermal induction of rec2 and mutL<sub>EM42</sub><sup>PP</sup> genes by a short heat shock, (3) preparing competent cells for electroporation with the mutagenic oligonucleotides, and (4) recovering the culture for a new cycle.

The results of applying multiple recombineering cycles to <i>P. putida</i> EM42 (pSEVA2314-rec2-mutL<sub>EM42</sub><sup>PP</sup>) with the oligonucleotides listed in Figure 2B are shown in Figure 4A. Note that the frequencies of mutant appearance increased during the runs from $2.8 \times 10^{-3}$ (1 cycle) to $9.3 \times 10^{-2}$ (10 cycles) in the case of gyrA, and from $4.8 \times 10^{-2}$ to $2.0 \times 10^{-1}$ for pyrF under the same conditions. In the best-case scenario (i.e., gene <i>rpsL</i>), the frequencies multiplied by 24-fold, reaching a remarkable 21%. After the 10th cycle, these figures are thus close to the rates reported in <i>E. coli</i> with the archetypal Red-β system of phage lambda and also to the theoretical limit of recombineering frequencies (25%) that stems from segregation of
one allelic change after two rounds of genome replication (Wang et al., 2009; Nyerges et al., 2016). It is worth mentioning that control strain *P. putida* EM42 harboring insertless vector pSEVA2314—but transformed with the same mutagenic oligonucleotides—gave rise to recombineering frequencies 13/10 mutants/viable cells per cycle for single changes (Figure S1). Given that these background levels are higher with thermal induction than with chemical induction (Ricaurte et al., 2018), it is plausible that heat shock intrinsically improves recombineering regardless of the action of heterologous recombinases. As a matter of fact, purely endogenous ssDNA recombineering at significant frequencies has been reported in a variety of Gram-negative bacteria, including *E. coli* and *Pseudomonas syringae* (Swingle et al., 2010b), a fact that plays in our favor for establishing the methodology in *P. putida*.

The most remarkable outcome of the operations shown in Figure 4A was that such high figures enabled manual screening of inconspicuous mutations, thus avoiding the need of adding a genetic counterselection device (e.g., CRISPR/Cas9) for identifying rare changes. As these results accredited the value of multi-cycling thermoinduction of the bicistronic rec2-mutL<sub>E36K</sub> operon of pSEVA2314-rec2-mutL<sub>E36K</sub> for raising ssDNA recombineering efficiency, the next obvious question was whether the high figures could afford simultaneous multi-site genomic editing with mixtures of mutagenic oligos, in a fashion reminiscent of the MAGE process available for *E. coli*.

**Multi-site Editing of Non-Adjacent Genomic Locations**

Given average individual mutation rates of 10% after 10 thermal recombineering cycles and assuming they are separately maintained when cells face a cocktail of mutagenic oligonucleotides one can

---

**Figure 2. Target Genes and Recombineering Oligonucleotides Used for HEMSE**

(A) The five genes selected as targets for recombineering are represented in the chromosomal map of *P. putida* KT2440 with gene coordinates and strand orientation. oriC and dif regions are shown to define the two replichores in the genomic map. Pictures are not drawn to scale.

(B) The main features of recombineering oligonucleotides used to assay HEMSE are shown: name of oligo, target gene with its locus tag, type of allelic replacement, level of MMR sensitivity of the allelic changes, and the cognate phenotypes produced. See Tables S1 and S3 for additional information.
predict frequencies of 1% double changes all the way to 0.001% mutants \((1 \times 10^{-9})\) of genomes with all the five changes in the absence of any phenotypic advantage. To test this prediction, we subjected a culture of \(P.\ putida\) EM42 \((pSEVA2314-rec2-mutLE36K_{P}\) to 10 cycles of thermo-induced recombineering (see Transparent Methods) with re-transformation in each cycle with an equimolar mixture of oligos SR, NR, RR, PR, and CR (Figure 2B; Table S1) so that all possible changes could be entered in the same cells. Emergence of multiple (i.e., quadruple and quintuple) mutations in the population was then monitored at cycles I, V, and X and their frequencies recorded. Figure 4B shows the results of such a procedure. The data exposed a good match between the theoretical expectation of multiple changes and the actual figures, although the evolution of the mutation rates was not linear. At cycle #1, single changes showed recombineering frequencies barely below \(1 \times 10^{-2}\) mutants per viable cell. If we take that as a reference, theoretical frequencies of acquisition of four and five changes would be \(1 \times 10^{-8}\) and \(1 \times 10^{-10}\) respectively, whereas the actual numbers were way higher \((6 \times 10^{-6}\) and \(2 \times 10^{-7}\)). By cycle #5, single changes reached average recombineering above \(5 \times 10^{-2}\). The gross theoretical prediction for simultaneous appearance of four and five changes would be as low as \(6 \times 10^{-6}\) and \(3 \times 10^{-7}\). Yet, again, the actual experiments yield \(1.3 \times 10^{-4}\) and \(2.3 \times 10^{-6}\) mutants per viable cell for quadruple and quintuple mutants. By cycle #10, however, the scenario was different. Single changes appeared at frequencies ~ \(1 \times 10^{-1}\), close to the theoretical maximum of recombineering efficiency \((2.5 \times 10^{-1})\). In this case, predicted frequencies for four and five changes were \(1 \times 10^{-4}\) and \(1 \times 10^{-5}\), which were very similar to the actual numbers delivered by the experiment, i.e., \(2 \times 10^{-4}\) and \(6 \times 10^{-6}\).

The aforementioned results suggested that during the first five recombineering cycles a strong co-selection phenomenon occurs. Appearance of multiple mutations is 2–3 logs higher than expected, suggesting that cells undergoing ssDNA incorporation in specific loci are more prone to incorporate changes in other genomic locations. This phenomenon, which has been observed before (Carr et al., 2012; Gallagher et al., 2014), could be due to differences in the ability of single cells in a population to take up exogenous ssDNA upon electroporation. Regardless of the specific mechanisms, the results of Figure 4B show that multi-cycle recombineering boosts mutagenic frequencies through single to quintuple changes. Yet, whereas 10 cycles appear to reach saturation at single sites, it is plausible that additional runs could enrich further the population in multi-edited bacterial cells. Taken together, the experiments of Figure 4 document the power of the hereby described method for simultaneously targeting five genomic sites of \(P.\ putida\) for desired mutations. On this basis we propose to call the entire workflow high-efficiency multi-site genomic editing (or HEMSE). The method is conceptually comparable to MAGE developed for \(E.\ coli\) (Wang et al., 2009), but it lacks (thus far) the automation aspect.

As a growing culture of \(P.\ putida\) in lysogeny broth (LB) typically ranges from \(10^8\) to \(10^9\) cells/mL from early exponential to early stationary phase, we speculated that the maximum number of genes that could be edited in a HEMSE experiment of this sort with mixed oligos in the absence of any selective advantage or phenotypic screening could be ~ 8–9. This is clearly not enough for massive changes of the sort necessary, e.g., for recoding a whole genome (Isaacs et al., 2011) or reassigning/erasing specific triplets.
Fortunately, in most typical metabolic engineering endeavors, the issue is not so much entering many defined mutations in given chromosomal sites but fostering the system to explore a solution space by letting it come up with many combinations—the most successful of which can be enriched and subject to further mutation rounds. This effect can be exacerbated if the mutagenic oligos boost the diversification of, e.g., regulatory sequences, so their combination generates fluctuations in the stoichiometry of a multi-gene pathway (Hueso-Gil et al., 2020)—or they create variants of the same protein with different activities by diversifying specific segments. The technical issue shared by all these scenarios is the focusing of the diversification in a defined sequence window of the genomic DNA. In this context the question is whether the above-described HEMSE is instrumental to this end also—as the recombineering-based method to the same end called DIvERGE is in *E. coli* and related enterobacteria (Nyerges et al., 2018).

Diversification of the SD Motif Context Creates New Functional RBSs in *P. putida*

To have a tractable proxy of generation in vivo of large libraries of functional DNA sequences in the *P. putida* genome, the experimental setup shown in Figure 5 was developed. In it, a Tn7 mini-transposon vector was inserted with the *gfp* gene downstream of the constitutive promoter P*EM7* but lacking a recognizable Shine-Dalgarno (SD) sequence for translation initiation. The hybrid transposon was subsequently inserted in the cognate attTn7 site of the *P. putida* EM42 chromosome (see Transparent Methods) from which it was expectedly unable to produce any detectable fluorescence. The resulting strain (*P. putida* TA245, Table S2) was transformed with pSEVA2314-rec2- *mutLE36K*PP and used in recombineering
experiments with oligonucleotides designed for creating ribosomal binding site (RBS) variants. The busi-
ness parts of such oligonucleotides are shown in Figure 5B. As controls we used oligos named RBS-C6 and
RBS-C9. These ssDNA enter, respectively, a short and an extended SD sequence, 8 bp upstream of the start
codon of the \(\text{gfp}\) gene, using as a reference the \(P.\ putida\) 16S ribosomal gene and containing the core for
optimal translation of \(5\'\)-GAGG-3\'(Shine and Dalgarno, 1975; Kozak, 1983; Farasat et al., 2014). For RBS
diversification we used oligonucleotides RBS-Deg6 and RBS-Deg9 (Figure 5B), which include soft random-
ized sequences with discrete changes R (A or G) that cover six degenerated positions with a potential to
generate 64 (= 2\(^6\)) combinations. This was expected to create a large population of RBS of different effi-
cacies, which could be quantified through fluorescent emission of individual cells.

For the experiments described in the following discussion, \(P.\ putida\) TA245 (pSEVA2314-rec2-mutL\(E36K\)\(PP\))
was separately subject to one recombineering cycle with each of four oligos of Figure 5B, after which cells
were diluted and plated in charcoal-LB agar for easing visual detection of colonies emitting low fluores-
cence on a black background. Positive controls RBS-C6 and RBS-C9 allowed the estimation of editing fre-
quencies as GFP+ cells/total number of cells, which resulted in 5.9 ± 10\(^{-3}\) and 9.9 ± 10\(^{-4}\), respectively.
Those values were relatively low when compared with the recombineering efficiencies reported earlier
for single changes (1 ± 10\(^{-2}\)). This could be possibly due to the shorter homology arms of the oligos
(30 nucleotides [nts]) and the extended sequence inserted between them (Aparicio et al., 2020). Yet, these
figures provided a reference for subsequent quantification of the effect of soft-randomized oligos RBS-
Deg6 and RBS-Deg9. After treatment with these, cultures were diluted and plated for inspection of
9,000 colonies resulting of each recombineering experiment. Visual screening of the colonies revealed
the appearance of 67 and 53 fluorescent clones coming, respectively, from experiments with RBS-Deg6
and RBS-Deg9. These 120 clones were picked up for further analysis. PCR and sequencing of the region
upstream the \(gfp\) gene allowed identification of 14 variants of RBS-Deg6 and 17 variants of RBS-Deg9.

**Figure 5. Diversification of the \(gfp\) Shine-Dalgarno Motif**

(A) A mini-Tn7 transposon bearing the \(gfp\) gene devoid of its original SD sequence and under the control of the
constitutive \(P_{EM7}\) promoter was constructed. The elements depicted are: Tn7-L and Tn7-R, left and right Tn7 sites; \(T_0\),
\(T_1\), transcriptional terminators; \(Gm\), gentamicin resistance gene; \(P_{EM7}\), constitutive promoter; \(GFP\), green fluorescent
protein gene. A blue arrow represents the target region of recombineering oligonucleotides aimed to reconstruct the \(gfp\)
ribosome-binding site (shown as a red square).

(B) Partial sequence of the four recombineering oligonucleotides (Table S1) designed to introduce SD motifs upstream of
the \(gfp\) gene. RBS-C6 and RBS-C9 insert, respectively, the semi-canonical AGGAGG and the canonical TAAGGAGGT SD
motifs eight nucleotides upstream the ATGG start codon of the \(gfp\) (underlined); RBS-Deg6 and RBS-Deg9, insert, the
randomized sequences RRRRRR and TARRRRRRT, where R stands for A (adenine) or G (guanine). Each degenerated
oligonucleotide comprises a pool of 64 variants (\(2^6\)) with all possible combinations A/G.

(C) The mini-Tn7 device was inserted in the attTn7 site of \(P.\ putida\) EM42. Upon transformation with pSEVA2314-rec2-
mutL\(E36K\)\(PP\), the resulting strain \(P.\ putida\) TA245 (pSEVA2314-rec2-mutL\(E36K\)\(PP\)) was subjected to one HEMSE cycle with the
recombineering oligos in independent experiments. After plating in LB-GmKm-charcoal, GFP-positive clones were
identified. A plate from the screening of RBS-Deg9 is also shown, with a magnification of a fluorescent colony.
the GFP levels of which were measured by flow cytometry. The results plotted in Figure 6 show that the different variants delivered emissions ranging from very low to high fluorescent levels across a 20-fold change span. It is worth highlighting that the best RBS of the series (Strain Code #33, Figure 6) has a perfect match with the complementary sequence of the last 9 nts of the 16S ribosomal RNA of *P. putida* (PP_16SA).

Other clones (e.g., #32; RBS = 5'-AAGGAG-3') also displayed high fluorescence levels. Interestingly, a few productive variants contained the same 6-nt sequence in the degenerated region regardless of the type of randomized oligo (e.g., #32 = #30, #26 = #16, #14 = #11, and #23 = #10). Although most high-signal variants belong to the longer RBS-C9-borne clones, a comparison of signals does not support the hypothesis that longer complementarity to the 16S ribosomal sequence correlates with more efficient translation. Other factors have been proposed to affect translation efficacy of RBS variants, such as the stability and secondary structure of RNA and transcriptional efficiency (Chen et al., 1994; Salis et al., 2009). Regardless of the possible biological significance of the results, the data of Figure 6 certifies the efficacy of the HEMSE platform to generate diversity in specific genomic segments—a welcome feature that can doubtlessly be multiplexed to other chromosomal locations as required.

**DISCUSSION**

In this work we have merged and adapted to *P. putida* and in a single platform three of the most efficacious genetic tools available to metabolic engineers for generating diversity in vivo focused on a predetermined number of chromosomal DNA segments: ssDNA recombineering (Wang et al., 2009), portable MAGE (Nyerges et al., 2016), and DiVERGE (Nyerges et al., 2018). Although conceptually identical to such methods already applied to *E. coli*, their recreation in a non-enterobacterial species involved (1) the search and testing of functional equivalents of the parts involved but recruited from *Pseudomonas* genomes and (2) adaptation and optimization to the distinct physiology of the species and strain at stake. Although we have not made a side-by-side comparison of the frequencies resulting from standard MAGE in *E. coli* and the ones presented in this work, numbers in the range of 10% replacements after 10 recombineering cycles could be sufficient to implement the same powerful method in *P. putida*. We are reluctant, however, to use the same acronym, because the automation feature is not in sight and the multiplexing is still problematic with the current efficiencies.

One can envision various ways through which HEMSE could be further improved. End-terminal degradation of the mutagenic oligos in vivo does not seem to be an issue: performance of 5'-phosphorothioated ssDNA (which cannot be degraded by exonucleases, Wang et al., 2009) is indistinguishable from non-phosphorothioated equivalents (Aparicio et al., 2020). However, the nature and origin of the recombinase
that catalyzes invasion of the DNA replication fork by the synthetic oligo makes a considerable difference (Chang et al., 2019). It is possible that such recombinases act in concert with additional endogenous proteins that could be characteristic of each species (Caldwell et al., 2019; Yin et al., 2019). It seems thus desirable that future alternatives to the Rec2 activity encoded in pSEVA2314-rec2-mutLe36KPP (Figure 1) are mined in species-specific Pseudomonas genomes and phages—by themselves or in combination with other complementary genes. It should be straightforward to then replace the rec2 of pSEVA2314-rec2-mutLe36KPP by the improved counterparts, should they appear (e.g., Wannier et al., 2020), while maintaining the rest of the hereby described HEMSE protocol.

Limitations of the Study
As stated, one key bottleneck for implementing ssDNA recombineering in non-enteric bacteria is the efficacy of the core recombinase adopted in the experimental workflow. The work presented in this article is based on the one named Rec2, which emerged as the best in a limited bioinformatic and wet survey of ssDNA-binding proteins able to promote ssDNA invasion of the chromosomal replication fork (Ricaurte et al., 2018). However, it is most likely that other recombinases, whether naturally occurring or purposely engineered, may work better and could easily replace the Rec2 variant in our genome-editing pipeline whenever available. A second limitation is the somewhat poor ability of P. putida to capture exogenously added DNA, let alone ssDNA, as required for the hereby described method. Any progress in making this species—or any other—a better recipient of synthetic DNA will instantly translate in improved recombineering frequencies. Finally, the whole experimental workflow for multiple-site ssDNA recombineering is thus far restricted to manual implementation of the corresponding cycles. Despite the potential for automation claimed in the earliest description of MAGE (Wang et al., 2009), the cell separation step necessary for transforming bacteria with the mutagenic oligonucleotides still remains as a technical bottleneck that needs to be satisfactorily solved.

METHODS
All methods can be found in the accompanying Transparent Methods supplemental file.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION
Supplemental Information can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2020.100946.
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Transparent Methods

Strains and media

The bacterial strains employed in this study are listed in Supplementary Table S2. E. coli and P. putida strains were grown in liquid LB with shaking (170 rpm) at 37 °C and 30 °C, respectively (Sambrook et al., 1989) with the exception of E. coli strains bearing SEVA plasmids endowed with the P_L/cI857 thermo-inducible expression system (cargo #14; i.e. pSEVA2514-rec2-mutL_E36k_PP and derivatives), which were grown at 30 °C to avoid promoter activation. After electroporation, recovery during recombineering experiments was performed in Terrific Broth without glycerol (TB: 12 g L⁻¹ tryptone, 24 g L⁻¹ yeast extract, 2 g L⁻¹ KH₂PO₄, 9.4 g L⁻¹ K₂HPO₄).

M9 minimal media was prepared according to (Sambrook et al., 1989). Solid media was prepared adding 15 g L⁻¹ of agar to liquid media. M9 solid media was supplemented with 0.2% (w/v) citrate and appropriate antibiotics to select P. putida cells in mating experiments. Liquid and solid media were added, when necessary, with 50 µg ml⁻¹ of kanamycin (Km), 15 µg ml⁻¹ of gentamicin (Gm) for P. putida and 10 µg ml⁻¹ of the same antibiotic for E. coli, 30 µg ml⁻¹ of chloramphenicol (Cm), 100 µg ml⁻¹ of streptomycin (Sm), 100 µg ml⁻¹ of rifampicin (Rif), 50 µg ml⁻¹ of nalidixic acid (Nal), 20 µg ml⁻¹ of Uracil (Ura), 250 µg ml⁻¹ of 5-fluoroorotic acid (5-FOA) and 5 mM of benzoic acid (pH 11). For screening of fluorescent colonies, LB solid media was prepared with 1 mg ml⁻¹ of activated charcoal (Sigma-Aldrich Ref. C9157-500G) in order to better discriminate low-signal colonies. Activated charcoal was added to the LB-Agar prior autoclaving and the media poured into 150 mm Petri dishes after vigorous shaking to evenly distribute the insoluble charcoal particles.

General procedures, primers and bacterial transformation

Standard DNA manipulations were carried out following routine protocols (Sambrook et al., 1989) and according to manufacturer recommendations. Isothermal Assembly was performed with Gibson Assembly® Master Mix (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA, USA). Plasmidic DNA was
purified with the QIAprep® Spin Miniprep Kit, both purchased from Qiagen (Valencia, CA, USA). DNA Amplitools Master Mix (Biotools, Madrid, Spain) was used for diagnosis PCRs and amplification of DNA fragments for cloning purposes was done with Q5 polymerase (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA, USA). Synthetic oligonucleotides used in this study are listed in Supplementary Table S1 and were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). PCR products were purified with the Nucleospin® Gel and PCR Clean-up Kit (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany). DNA sequencing was performed in Macrogen (Spain). Transformation of E. coli strains was carried out with chemically competent cells using the CaCl₂ method (Sambrook et al., 1989). Plasmids were introduced in P. putida strains via tripartite mating as described in (Martinez-Garcia and de Lorenzo, 2012) and selected in solid M9 minimal media supplemented with 0.2% w/v citrate and appropriate antibiotics. Tetra-parental mating was used as described by (Choi et al., 2005) to insert the mini-transposon Tn7-M-P<sub>EM7</sub>-gfp -RBS<sup>−</sup> into the attTn7 site of P. putida EM42, using M9-citrate-Gm as selective media (see below for details).

Construction of plasmids and strains.

The medium-high copy number plasmid pSEVA2514-rec2-mut<sub>LE36K</sub>PP (Supplementary Table S2) was used for the construction of two derivatives bearing low- and medium- copy number origins of replication. This plasmid was cut with PacI/SpeI and the 4.2 Kb DNA band, containing the rec2 and mut<sub>LE36K</sub>PP genes under the control of the thermo-inducible system P<sub>L</sub>/c<sub>I857</sub>, was ligated to PacI/SpeI restricted plasmids pSEVA221 (low copy number) and pSEVA231 (medium copy number). Ligations were transformed into E. coli CC118 and selection was made in LB-Km plates, obtaining plasmids pSEVA2214-rec2-mut<sub>LE36K</sub>PP and pSEVA2314-rec2-mut<sub>LE36K</sub>PP. Both constructs were separately introduced in P. putida EM42 by tri-parental matings followed by selection in M9-citrate-Km solid media, obtaining the strains P. putida EM42 (pSEVA2214-rec2-mut<sub>LE36K</sub>PP) and P. putida EM42 (pSEVA2314-rec2-mut<sub>LE36K</sub>PP). P. putida EM42 was also transformed by the same method with pSEVA2314, generating the control strain P. putida EM42 (pSEVA2314). A Tn7 mini-transposon with the gfp gene under the control of the constitutive P<sub>EM7</sub> promoter, but lacking the ribosome binding site (RBS) sequence, was constructed. To this end, first the gfp gene was placed under the control of the P<sub>EM7</sub> promoter: plasmid pSEVA637 (Supplementary Table S2) was cut with HindIII/SpeI and the purified 0.7 Kb band (RBS + gfp
gene) was ligated to the pSEVA237R-PEM7 (Supplementary Table S2) backbone digested with HindIII/SpeI. Upon transformation in E. coli CC118 and selection on LB-Km plates, the resulting plasmid (pSEVA237-PEM7) was digested with PacI/SpeI and the purified 0.9 Kb band (containing the gfp gene under the control of the P_{EM7} and bearing a consensus 5'-AGGAGG-3' RBS sequence) was ligated to a pTn7-M plasmid restricted with the same enzymes. Ligation mixture was used to transform E. coli competent cells and selection was done in LB-KmGm plates. The resulting plasmid, pTn7-M-PEM7-GFP, was used as a template to eliminate the RBS sequence.

In order to achieve this, the plasmid was PCR amplified with primers Tn7-PEM7-F/ Tn7-PEM7-R (Tm= 58 °C, 2 min. elongation, Q5 polymerase). The primers were designed to i) amplify the whole plasmid with the exception of the 7-nt Shine Dalgarno motif 5'-AGGAGGA-3' located 7-nt away from the gfp start codon, ii) generate an PCR product sharing a 40-bp sequence at both sides of the molecule to allow isothermal assembly of the amplicon. The 3.9 Kb PCR product was purified and subjected to Gibson Assembly and the reaction was transformed into E. coli. Selection was made in LB-KmGm plates, thus obtaining the plasmid pTn7-M-PEM7-GFP^-RBS^-.

The region between the P_{EM7} promoter and the end of the gfp gene was fully sequenced with primers PS2 and PEM7-F to ensure the correct deletion of the RBS sequence. E. coli (pTn7-M-PEM7-GFP^-RBS^-) was used as the donor strain to introduce the mini-transposon in the attTn7 site of P. putida EM42. Both strains and the helper strains E. coli HB101 (pRK600) and E. coli (pTNS2) were used in a tetra-parental mating followed by selection in M9-citrate-Gm solid media. Colonies were streaked in the same media and subjected to two diagnostic PCRs to check the mini-transposon insertion. PCRs with primer pairs PS2/ PP5408-F (Tm= 60 °C, 1 min. 30 seconds elongation) and PEM7-F/Tn7-GlmS (Tm= 60 °C, 1 min. elongation) yielded bands of 2.2 Kb and 1.2 Kb, respectively, confirming the correct integration of the transposon in the attTn7 locus. The resulting strain P. putida EM42::Tn7-M-P_{EM7}gfp^-RBS^- (referred as P. putida TA245 in Supplementary Table S2) was transformed by tripartite mating with pSEVA2314-rec2-mutLE36KPP plasmid. After selection on M9-citrate-KmGm plates, the strain P. putida TA245 (pSEVA2314-rec2-mutLE36KPP) was obtained. Integrity of the constructs described above, either in E. coli or P. putida, was always checked by miniprep, restriction and agarose gel visualization.

Oligonucleotide design, recombineering protocol, cycling procedure and screening
The nine oligonucleotides used in this work for recombineering experiments (SR, NR, RR, PR, CR, RBS-C6, RBS-Deg6, RBS-C9, RBS-Deg9) were designed to introduce different allelic changes targeting the lagging strand of the *P. putida* chromosome. Supplementary Table S3 summarizes the main features of each oligonucleotide while complete sequence and additional details can be found in Supplementary Table S1. The recombineering protocol used here relies on the co-expression of the Rec2 recombinase and the MutLE36KPP dominant negative allele from plasmids endowed with the thermo-inducible P_L/cl857 expression system (pSEVA2214-rec2-mutLE36KPP, pSEVA2314-rec2-mutLE36KPP or pSEVA2514-rec2-mutLE36KPP). The protocol is basically identical to that described previously in (Aparicio et al., 2019b). Overnight cultures of *P. putida* strains harboring the proper plasmid were used to inoculate 20 ml of fresh LB-Km at OD<sub>600</sub> = 0.1 in 100 ml Erlenmeyer flasks. Cultures were incubated at 30 °C with vigorous shaking (170 rpm) until OD<sub>600</sub> ~ 1.0 and flasks were then placed in a water bath at 42 °C for 5 minutes to increase rapidly the temperature and induce the P<sub>L</sub> promoter. Ten additional minutes of incubation at 42 °C was performed in an air shaker at 250 rpm (induction total time at 42 °C= 15 minutes) to trigger the expression of rec2-mutLE36KPP genes, followed by 5 minutes in ice to cool down the bacterial culture and stop the induction. In non-induced cultures the heat-shock and cooling down steps were not performed. Competent cells were then prepared transferring 10 ml of each culture to 50-ml conical tubes and centrifuging the cells at 3,220 g/ 5 minutes. Cell pellets were resuspended in 10 ml of 300 mM sucrose and washed two additional times with 5 and 1 ml of the same solution. After centrifugation in a bench-top centrifuge (10,000 rpm, 1 minute), cellular pellets were finally resuspended in 200 µl of 300 mM sucrose and 100 µl of this suspension was added with the recombineering oligonucleotide. For single-oligonucleotide experiments, 1 µl from a 100 µM stock was used (1 µM final concentration). For multiplexed experiments, 10 µl of each oligonucleotide stock at 100 µM (SR, NR, RR, PR and CR) were mixed and 3 µl of this mixture were added to the competent cells (accounting for 0.6 µM of each oligo.). The cell suspension was mixed thoroughly by pipetting, placed in an electroporation cuvette (Bio-Rad, 2 mm-gap width) and electroporated at 2.5 kV in a Micropulser™ device (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA). Cells were immediately inoculated in 5 ml of fresh TB in 100 ml Erlenmeyer flasks and recovered at 30 °C/ 170 rpm. Before plating the cells for screening of allelic replacements, different recovery times and TB additions were used depending on the experiment. For one cycle recombineering experiments, overnight recovery was done in TB for assays with SR and NR
oligonucleotides while for experiments with oligonucleotides RBS-C₆, RBS-Deg₆, RBS-C₉ and RBS-Deg₉, TB supplemented with Km and Gm was preferred. Specifications for cycled recombineering assays (HEMSE) are depicted below.

*High-efficiency multi-site genomic editing protocol*

HEMSE is a cycled recombineering protocol run in a multiplexed fashion. The procedure involves a standard recombineering protocol in which, as explained before, cultures were subjected to electroporation with an equimolar mixture of several oligonucleotides. The recovery was performed in TB added with Km in order to maintain the plasmid along the cycles, and the incubation proceeded at 30 °C with vigorous shaking (170 rpm) until an OD₆₀₀ ~ 1.0 (Cycle-I). Culture aliquots were withdrawn for screening and the bacterial culture entered in the next round of recombineering by performing induction at 42 °C/ 15 minutes, competent cell preparation, oligonucleotide mixture electroporation and recovery till reaching again a cell density around 1.0 at 600 nm (Cycle-II). Further cycles proceeded in the same way (Fig. 3 of main text). Each cycle took one day in average and recovery, when necessary, was performed overnight at room temperature without shaking to avoid culture overgrowth. When recovery step was completed at the end of the day, cultures were stored at 4 °C overnight. A new cycle was started in the next morning incubating the culture 30 minutes at 30 °C (170 rpm) before the induction step. Screening of allelic changes after recombineering was performed plating aliquots of recovered cultures in the appropriate selective and/or non-selective solid media, as follows:

• In single-oligonucleotide experiments with SR and NR oligonucleotides (one cycle), overnight cultures were plated in LB-Sm (dilutions 10⁻⁴ and 10⁻⁵ for induced cultures and 10⁻² and 10⁻³ for non-induced bacteria) and LB-Nal (dilutions 10⁻⁴ and 10⁻⁵ for induced cultures and 10⁻² and 10⁻³ for non-induced bacteria), respectively, to estimate the allelic replacements, while dilutions 10⁻⁷ and 10⁻⁸ were done in LB without antibiotics to count viable cells. Plates were incubated 18 h. at 30 °C and CFUs annotated.

• In single-oligonucleotide experiments with RBS-C₆, RBS-Deg₆, RBS-C₉, RBS-Deg₉ oligos (one cycle), cultures recovered overnight were plated on 150 mm width LB-KmGm-activated charcoal
plates using $10^{-6}$ dilutions. This allowed an average of 500 colonies per plate. To facilitate the identification of colonies displaying low levels of fluorescence, plates were incubated at 30 °C for 5 days. Fluorescent colonies were streaked in the same media and insertion of putative ribosome binding sites upstream the \textit{gfp} gene were checked by PCR amplifying this DNA region with primers PS2/ PP5408-F (Tm= 60 °C, 1 min. 30 seconds elongation, 1.0 Kb product) and sequencing the amplicon with primer ME-I-Gm-ExtR. Non-redundant clones with different sequences inserted were selected and glycerol stocks made prior characterization by flow cytometry.

- Allelic replacements in HEMSE experiments were screened after recovery steps (OD$_{600}$ ~ 1.0) of cycle-I, cycle-V and cycle-X. Viable cells were estimated plating dilutions $10^{-7}$ and $10^{-8}$ in LB plates. Single mutants coming from SR-, NR-, RR- and PR-mediated recombineering were analyzed by plating dilutions $10^{-4}$ and $10^{-5}$ in LB-Sm, LB-Nal, LB-Rif and LB-5FOA-Ura plates. Plates were incubated 24 h at 30 °C and total CFUs of single mutants (Sm$^R$, Nal$^R$, Rif$^R$ and 5FOA$^R$) and viable cells were taken. Twenty 5FOA$^R$ colonies were replicated on M9-citrate and M9-citrate-5FOA-Ura plates in order to discriminate authentic \textit{pyrF} mutants (5FOA$^R$/Ura$^+$) from spontaneous 5FOA$^R$ mutants (5FOA$^R$/Ura$^-$), as stated in (Galvao and de Lorenzo, 2005; Aparicio et al., 2016). Colonies grown on both media were discounted of the total 5FOA$^R$ numbers as \textit{pyrF}-unrelated, spontaneous mutants. Dilutions $10^{-6}$ in LB-benzoate plates allowed the estimation of \textit{catA-I} mutants simply by counting the dark-brown colonies appeared after 10 days of incubation at 30 °C. \textit{catA-I} mutants accumulate catechol, which turns into brown intermediates after spontaneous oxidation and polymerization (Jimenez et al., 2014). In previous assays aimed to obtain \textit{catA-I} mutants through recombineering with CR oligo, it was noticed that long incubations were necessary to appreciate the colored phenotype in solid media (Fig. S2). The observed dark-brown colonies were always \textit{catA-I} mutants, as was demonstrated by amplification of \textit{catA-I} gene (primers catA-F/catA-R, Tm 55 °C, 1 minute elongation) and sequencing of the 0.5 Kb amplicon with primer catA-F (data not shown) in 20 selected colonies. Multiple gene editions were also analyzed plating cultures from cycles I, V and X on LB solid media supplemented either with Sm+Nal+ Rif+5FOA+Ura (four editions mediated by SR, NR, RR and PR oligonucleotides), 24 incubation at 30 °C, or with Sm+Nal+Rif+5FOA+Ura+benzoate (five editions mediated by the 5 oligonucleotides used in this study), 10 days incubation at 30 °C. For
this last experiment, there were considered quintuple mutants those colonies displaying resistance to Sm, Nal, Rif and 5FOA and also showing the characteristic brown phenotype of catA\(^{-}\) mutants. The recombineering frequency (RF) was calculated as the ratio between the number of colonies showing a given phenotype and the number of viable cells within the experiment, being this ratio normalized to 10\(^9\) viable cells for graphic representation.

In order to check the accuracy of the allelic replacements, 18 colonies showing the quintuple mutant phenotype (Sm\(^R\), Nal\(^R\), Rif\(^R\), 5FOA\(^R\) and catechol accumulation) were checked by PCR amplification and sequencing of the target genes. For each bacterial clone, five different PCRs were set up to amplify: rpsL (primers rpsL-Fw/ rpsL-Rv, Tm 57 °C, 45 seconds elongation, 0.8 Kb product), gyrA (primers gyrA-Fw/ gyrA-Rv, Tm 57 °C, 45 seconds elongation, 0.4 Kb product), rpoB (primers rpoB-F/rpoB-R, Tm 57 °C, 45 seconds elongation, 0.4 Kb product), pyrF (primers pyrF-F/pyrF-R, Tm 52 °C, 1 minute elongation, 1.2 Kb product) and catA-I (primers catA-F/catA-R, Tm 55 °C, 1 minute elongation, 0.5 Kb product). The purified PCR products were sequenced with the putative forward primers and the sequence analysed for the expected changes mediated by recombineering. All clones analysed (n=18; 100%) showed the correct changes, demonstrating that the observed phenotypes corresponded to mutations mediated by the HEMSE procedure. Single allelic replacements in HEMSE experiments were not confirmed by PCR and sequencing since previous works showed that virtually 100% of Sm\(^R\), Nal\(^R\) and pyrF\(^-\) mutants obtained by recombineering with oligos SR, NR and LM (almost identical to RR oligo used in this work) harbored the expected changes in the target genes rpsL, gyrA and pyrF (Ricaurte et al., 2018; Aparicio et al., 2019a). Preliminary studies in this work showed, on the other hand, that single Rif\(^R\) mutants also displayed 100% accuracy in the expected mutations of the target gene rpoB (data not shown). As explained before, catA-I\(^{-}\), dark-brown colonies were also analyzed by PCR and sequencing in previous test experiments (data not shown), with analogous results.

**Flow cytometry**

The visual selection of fluorescent colonies from recombineering experiments with oligos RBS-Deg\(_6\) and RBS-Deg\(_9\) gave rise to a collection of 31 RBS insertion mutants showing a wide variety of fluorescent signals. Together with the negative controls of *P. putida* TA245 (insertion of Tn7-M-
P<sub>EM7-gfp-RBS</sub> and <i>P. putida</i> EM42 (no <i>gfp</i> gene), a total of 33 strains were characterized for GFP production. Each strain was inoculated from glycerol stocks in 2 ml of LB-KmGm (<i>P. putida</i> EM42 in LB; <i>P. putida</i> TA245 in LB-Gm) and cultured at 30 °C/170 rpm. 0.5 ml of overnight cultures (OD<sub>600</sub> ~ 2-3) were centrifuged and resuspended in 1 ml of filtered Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS) 1X (8 mM Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 1.5mM KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 3 mM KCl, 137 mM NaCl, pH 7.0). Fifty µl of each suspension was added to 450 µl of PBS 1X to obtain cellular samples with OD<sub>600</sub> ~ 0.1-0.15. Samples were analyzed in a MACSQuant<sup>TM</sup> VYB cytometer (Miltenyi Biotec, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany) to quantify the emission of fluorescence as indicated in (Martinez-Garcia et al., 2014). GFP was excited at 488 nm and the fluorescence signal was recovered with a 525±40 nm band-pass filter. For each sample, at least 100,000 events were analyzed and the FlowJo v. 9.6.2 software (FlowJo LLC, Ashland, OR, USA) was used to process the results. Population was gated to eliminate background noise and the median of the GFP-A channel of two biological replicas was used for graphical representation.

**Data and Software Availability**

GenBank accession numbers for the plasmids used in this study are the following:

- pSEVA2214-rec2-mutL<sub>38K</sub><sup>PP</sup> (MN688223)
- pSEVA2314-rec2-mutL<sub>38K</sub><sup>PP</sup> (MN688222)
- pSEVA2514-rec2-mutL<sub>38K</sub><sup>PP</sup> (MN180222)
**Figure S1.** Rec2-independent editing in HEMSE assays (related to Fig. 4 of main text)

Editing efficiencies of single and multiple changes in the control strain *P. putida* EM42 harboring the empty plasmid pSEVA2314 were assayed applying 10 cycles of HEMSE and an equimolar mixture of oligos SR, NR, RR, PR and CR, following the same procedure explained in Figure 4A and 4B. See more details in Transparent Methods section. Allelic replacements of catA-I gene were not determined in these assays (ND), while multiple editions could not be detected (0).

**Figure S2.** Phenotype of *P. putida* EM42 catA-I\(^{-}\) strain (related to Fig. 4 of main text).

*P. putida* EM42 (pSEVA2314-rec2-mutLE36K\(^{PP}\)) was subjected to recombineering with CR oligonucleotide (see Transparent Methods section). Three stop codons were inserted in the catA-I ORF, generating a mutant strain in which the metabolism of benzoic acid is impaired, leading to accumulation of catechol (Jimenez et al., 2014). Upon spontaneous oxidation and polymerization, catechol derivatives exhibit a characteristic dark-brown colour. *P. putida* EM42 and the catA-I mutant were grown in LB-Agar supplemented with benzoate 5 mM and incubated 10 days at 30 °C to allow the visualization of the colored phenotype.
### SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE S1. Oligonucleotides used in this study (related to Fig. 2 and Fig. 5 of main text).

| Name | (a) Sequence (5’ → 3’) | Usage / Source |
|------|------------------------|----------------|
| SR   | G*T*C*A*GACGCACACGGCATACTTTACGCAG TGCCGAGTTAGGTTTTGTGGCGTGTTGGTG TACACACGGGTGCAACACCCACGCAGTGCTGC | Recombineering oligo for *rpsL* gene: AAA (K43) changed to ACA (T43), mismatch A:G, confers Sm resistance (Ricaurte et al., 2018) |
| rpsL-Fw | GACATGAAATGTTGCCGATG | To amplify and sequence part of *rpsL* gene of *P. putida* (Ricaurte et al., 2018) |
| rpsL-Rv | CTGTTCCTGCGTGCTTTGAC | With rpsL-Fw, to amplify part of *rpsL* gene of *P. putida* (Ricaurte et al., 2018) |
| NR   | AACGAGAACGGCTGGCCATACCGACGATGG TAATTGACACGGCAGTGCGCCGTGGCGGTG GTA | Recombineering oligo for *gyrA* gene: GAC (D87) changed to AAT (N87), mismatches G:T and C:A, confers Nal resistance (Aparicio et al., 2019b) |
| gyrA-F | GGCCAAAGAAATCCTCCCGGTCAA | To amplify and sequence part of *gyrA* gene of *P. putida* (Aparicio et al., 2019b) |
| gyrA-R | AGCAGTTGGGAATACCGCGTGC | To amplify and sequence part of *gyrA* gene of *P. putida* (Aparicio et al., 2019b) |
| RR   | TCCGAGAGGGTTGTCTGTCATGAAACA GGGACACGGCTGGCTGGAACCAAGAAGACTCT | Recombineering oligo for *rpoB* gene: CAG (Q518) changed to CTG (L518), mismatch A:A, confers Rif resistance. This work |
| rpoB-F | CCTGGGTAACCGCTCGTACGGTG | To amplify and sequence part of *rpoB* gene of *P. putida*. This work |
| rpoB-R | CGCCTTCCTCACCACCGCGGTACG | To amplify and sequence part of *rpoB* gene of *P. putida*. This work |
| PR   | AGGTCAGGAACACTTCTAAGCGCCCTTGTCCACA CAGGGTTTGACAATGACCCGAGCCGACTGC | Recombineering oligo for *pyrF* gene: GAA (E50) changed to TAA |
| Oligo    | Sequence                                      | Description                                                                 |
|----------|-----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| GTGAACAGCTCCTTGCCA | (Stop), mismatch A:G, confers 5FOA resistance and uracil auxotrophy. This work |
| pyrF-F   | CGAGGGCTATGATGAGTATC                         | To amplify and sequence the pyrF gene of P. putida (Aparicio et al., 2016)   |
| pyrF-R   | GTCAGGTGAAGAGCAAGAG                         | To amplify and sequence the pyrF gene of P. putida (Aparicio et al., 2016)   |
| CR       | GCAGCACGCAGCAAATGATGAGTATC                  | Recombineering oligo for catA-I gene: insertion of three stop codons truncates the ORF, giving rise to brown colonies in presence of benzoate. This work |
| catA-F   | AACTCGTCTCGGTAATCTC                         | To amplify and sequence part of catA-I gene of P. putida. This work          |
| catA-R   | CAGCAATCAAGGAGATAACC                        | To amplify and sequence part of catA-I gene of P. putida. This work          |
| Tn7-PEM7-F | AAAACATATGAGTAAGGGAAGAAACTTTTCA             | To remove RBS sequence from pTn7-M-PEM7-GFP by Gibson Assembly. This work    |
| Tn7-PEM7-R | AACTCCAGTGAAAAAGTTCTTCTCCTTTACTCATATGTTTAAGGCAAGCATGCGCATGGTCG | To remove RBS sequence from pTn7-M-PEM7-GFP by Gibson Assembly. This work    |
| PEM7-F   | AATACGACAAGGAGGAAC                           | To amplify and sequence from P_EMT promoter. This work                       |
| PP5408-F | CGATTCATCAGTGGATTCG                         | To amplify and sequence mini-Tn7 insertions from PP_5408 locus of P. putida. This work |
| Tn7-GlmS | AATCTGGCCAAGTCGGGAC                          | To amplify and sequence mini-Tn7 insertions from glmS gene of P. putida (Lambertsen et al., 2004) |
| PS2      | GCGGCAACCGACGCGTTC                         | To amplify and sequence from T_0 terminator (Silva-Rocha et al., 2013)        |
| ME-I-Gm-ExtR | GTTCTGGACCAGTTGCGTGAG                      | To amplify and sequence from mini-Tn7 Gm resistance gene                     |
| Oligo       | Sequence                                                                 | Description                                                                                     |
|------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| RBS-C<sub>6</sub> | TCTAGAGTCGACCTGCAGGCATGCAAGCTT<br>GGAGGAACATATGAGTAAGGAGAAGAAC<br>CTTTT | Recombineering oligo to insert a consensus 6 nucleotide RBS sequence upstream the *gfp* gene in strains Tn<sup>7</sup>-M-P<sub>Emr</sub>-*gfp*-RBS<sup>-</sup>. This work |
| RBS-Deg<sub>6</sub> | TCTAGAGTCGACCTGCAGGCATGCAAGCTT<br>RRRRRRAACATATGAGTAAGGAGAAGAAC<br>CTTTT | Recombineering oligo to insert a degenerated (R=A,G) 6 nucleotide RBS sequence upstream the *gfp* gene in strains Tn<sup>7</sup>-M-P<sub>Emr</sub>-*gfp*-RBS<sup>-</sup>. This work |
| RBS-C<sub>9</sub> | TCTAGAGTCGACCTGCAGGCATGCAAGCTT<br>AGGAGGTAAAAACATATGAGTAAGGAGAAGAAC<br>ACTTTT | Recombineering oligo to insert a consensus 9 nucleotide RBS sequence upstream the *gfp* gene in strains Tn<sup>7</sup>-M-P<sub>Emr</sub>-*gfp*-RBS<sup>-</sup>. This work |
| RBS-Deg<sub>9</sub> | TCTAGAGTCGACCTGCAGGCATGCAAGCTT<br>RRRRRRTAAAAACATATGAGTAAGGAGAAGAAC<br>ACTTTT | Recombineering oligo to insert a degenerated (R=A,G) 9 nucleotide RBS sequence upstream the *gfp* gene in strains Tn<sup>7</sup>-M-P<sub>Emr</sub>-*gfp*-RBS<sup>-</sup>. This work |

<sup>(a)</sup> Asterisks denote phosphorothioate bonds. Single changes introduced by recombineering oligonucleotides SR, NR, RR and PR are highlighted in bold. The three stop codons inserted by oligo CR appear in blue. The sequences encompassing the stretch inserted by the four RBS-X oligos are shown in red color.
**SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE S2.** Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this work (related to Fig. 2 of main text)

| Strain or plasmid | Relevant characteristics | Reference or source |
|-------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| **Escherichia coli** |                          |                     |
| CC118             | Cloning host; Δ(ara-leu) araD ΔlacX74 galE galK phoA thiE1 rpsE(SpR) rpoB(RifR) argE(Am) recA1 | (Manoil and Beckwith, 1985) |
| HB101             | Helper strain used for conjugation; F− λ− hsdS20(rB− mB−) recA13 leuB6(Am) araC14 Δ(gpt-proA)62 lacY1 galK2(Oc) xyl-5 mtl-1 thiE1 rpsL20(SmR) glnX44 (AS) | (Boyer and Roulland-Dussoix, 1969) |
| CC118λpir         | CC118, λpir lysogen      | (Herrero et al., 1990) |
| **Pseudomonas putida** |                          |                     |
| EM42              | KT2440 derivative; Δprophage1 Δprophage4 Δprophage3 Δprophage2 ΔTn7 ΔendA-1 ΔendA-2 ΔhsdRMS Δflagellum ΔTn4652 | (Martinez-Garcia et al., 2014) |
| TA238             | EM42 derivative; rpsL−(SmR) gyrA−(NaR) rpoB−(RifR) pyrF−(5FOA²) catA−1− | This work |
| TA245             | EM42 derivative with mini-Tn7-M-P₇⁻gfp-RBS⁻ transposon inserted in the attTn7 site | This work |
| **Plasmids**      |                          |                     |
| pSEVA2314         | Inducible expression vector; oriV(pBBR1); cargo [Pₛ/cl857]; standard multiple cloning site; KmR | (Aparicio et al., 2019a) |
| pSEVA2214-rec2-mutLE₃⁶⁹PP | pSEVA2214 derivative bearing the rec2 recombinase and mutLE₃⁶⁹PP allele ; oriV(RK2); cargo [ cl857-P₇ → rec2-mutLE₃⁶⁹PP]; KmR | This work |
| pSEVA2314-rec2-mutLE₃⁶⁹PP | pSEVA2314 derivative bearing the rec2 recombinase and mutLE₃⁶⁹PP allele ; oriV(pBBR1); cargo [Pₛ/cl857 → rec2-mutLE₃⁶⁹PP]; KmR | This work |
| Plasmid Name                  | Description                                                                 | Reference(s)                           |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| pSEVA2514-rec2-mutL<sup>E36K<sup>PP</sup> | pSEVA2514 derivative bearing the rec2 recombinase and mutL<sup>E36K<sup>PP</sup> allele; oriV(RFS1010); cargo [ cl857-<sup>P</sup>L → <sup>rec2-mutL<sup>E36K<sup>PP</sup>]; Km<sup>R</sup> | (Aparicio et al., 2019b)  
| pSEVA637                      | oriV(pBBR1); cargo [gfp]; Gm<sup>R</sup>                                     | (Silva-Rocha et al., 2013)             |
| pSEVA237R-PEM7                | oriV(pBBR1); cargo [ P<sub>EMP</sub> → mCherry]; Km<sup>R</sup>              | (Silva-Rocha et al., 2013)             |
| pSEVA237-PEM7                 | oriV(pBBR1); cargo [ P<sub>EMP</sub> → gfp]; Km<sup>R</sup>                  | (Martinez-Garcia et al., 2015)         |
| pTn7-M                        | oriV(R6K); mini-Tn7 transposon; standard multiple cloning site; Km<sup>R</sup> Gm<sup>R</sup> | (Zobel et al., 2015)                   |
| pTn7-M-PEM7-GFP               | pTn7-M derivative with P<sub>EMP</sub>-gfp in the mini-Tn7 transposon; oriV(R6K); Km<sup>R</sup> Gm<sup>R</sup> | This work                             |
| pTn7-M-PEM7-GFP<sup>−RBS</sup> | pTn7-M-PEM7-GFP derivative lacking the gfp RBS;                              | This work                             |
| pRK600                        | Helper plasmid used for conjugation; oriV(ColE1), RK2 (mob<sup>*</sup> tra<sup>*</sup>); Cm<sup>R</sup> | (Kessler et al., 1992)                 |
| pTNS2                         | Helper plasmid for mini-Tn7 transposition; oriV(R6K), TnsABC+D specific transposition pathway; Ap<sup>R</sup> | (Choi et al., 2005)                   |

* Antibiotic markers: Ap, ampicillin; Cm, chloramphenicol; Km, kanamycin; 5FOA, 5-fluoro-orotic acid; Gm, gentamicin; Nal, nalidixic acid; Rif, rifampicin; Sm, streptomycin; Sp, spectinomycin.
**SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE S3.** Main features of recombineering oligonucleotides used in this study (related to Fig. 2 of main text)

| Name | P-thioate bonds | Length | Target gene | Change/mismatch | MMR sensitivity | ΔG (kcal/mol) | Phenotype          |
|------|-----------------|--------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|
| SR   | Four at 5'-end  | 94     | rpsL        | A→C/A;G         | Low             | -20.79        | SmR               |
| NR   | None            | 65     | gyrA        | G→A/G;T         | High            | -11.84        | NalR              |
| RR   | None            | 60     | rpoB        | A→T/A;A         | High            | -7.26         | RifR              |
| PR   | None            | 81     | pyrF        | G→T/G;A         | Low             | -14.03        | 5-FOA/R/Ura       |
| CR   | None            | 89     | catA-I      | Insertion 3 Stops | Low             | -12.84        | Catechol accumulation (Brown color) |
| RBS-C0 | None            | 67     | gfp UTR    | Insertion 7 nt  | Low             | -4.94         | Fluorescent       |
| RBS-DegE | None          | 67     | gfp UTR    | Insertion 7 nt (deg.) | Low         | Variable       | Fluorescent       |
| RBS-C9 | None            | 70     | gfp UTR    | Insertion 10 nt | Low             | -4.59         | Fluorescent       |
| RBS-Deg9 | None          | 70     | gfp UTR    | Insertion 10 nt (deg.) | Low      | Variable       | Fluorescent       |
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