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Abstract: An unprecedented quantum tunneling effect has been observed in catalytic Si–H bond activations at room temperature. The cationic hydrido-silyl-iridium-(III) complex, \( \text{Ir[SiMe(o-C_6H_4SMe)_2]_2(H)(PPh_3)(THF)-[BAr^F_4]} \), has proven to be a highly efficient catalyst for the hydrolysis and the alcoholysis of organosilanes. When triethylsilane was used as a substrate, the system revealed the largest kinetic isotopic effect (KIE \( \text{SiH/SiD} = 346 \pm 4 \)) ever reported for this type of reaction. This unexpectedly high KIE, measured at room temperature, together with the calculated Arrhenius preexponential factor ratio \( \text{(A_H/A_D = 0.0004)} \) and difference in the observed activation energy \( \text{[(E_a_SiH/E_a_SiD)] = 34.07 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}} \) are consistent with the participation of quantum tunneling in the catalytic process. DFT calculations have been used to unravel the reaction pathway and identify the rate-determining step. Additionally, isotopic effects were considered by different methods, and tunneling effects have been calculated to be crucial in the process.

Introduction

The metal-catalysed hydrolysis and alcoholysis of hydrosilanes are well-known processes. Early studies focused on the formed silanols/siloxides, considered versatile reaction intermediates in organic transformations.[1, 2] More recently, the attention drove towards the hydrogen evolved through this reaction, which converted hydrosilanes into promising candidates as hydrogen source materials.[3] The hydrolysis of the Si–H bonds is a thermodynamically favourable process, but it suffers from slow kinetics.[4] For this reason, many catalytic systems based on transition metals such as gold,[5, 6] iron,[7] ruthenium,[8, 9] rhenium,[10, 11] rhodium[12, 13] and iridium[14–20] have been developed. Among them, the iridium-based catalysts stand out due to their high activities (Figure 1). In 1989, Luo and Crabtree reported on the high catalytic activity of a cationic dihydride-Ir(III) complex in the methanolysis of triethylsilane (Figure 1).[15] In 2014, Oro et al. reported on a neutral hydrido-silyl-Ir(III) complex, which behaves as an excellent catalyst in the hydrolysis of \( \text{Et_3SiH} \) (Figure 1).[17] Among the different Ir(III) catalytic systems reported to date, cationic complexes seem to outperform neutral derivatives in both hydrolysis and alcoholysis of silanes (Figure 1).[15, 19] The efficiency of the cationic iridium systems is attributed to the electrophilic character of the metal centre.[15, 19] With these systems the hydrosilane activation should occur by coordination to the electrophilic metal centre, as \( \sigma-\text{H-SiR}_3 \) ligand, rather than through oxidative addition.

Figure 1. Schematic hydrolysis/alcoholysis of triethyilsilane and iridium precatalysts reported for this reaction (TOFs in h\(^{-1}\)).[15–20]
The catalytic cycle has been described as an outer-sphere nucleophilic attack of alcohol or water on the activated silicon center, generating an iridium-hydride and a solvent-stabilized silylium cation, which immediately reacts to form an iridium-dihydrogen complex and the corresponding silanol or silylether. Liberation of hydrogen from the metal center and coordination of a new hydrosilane close the catalytic cycle, requiring only one vacant coordination site on the metal to proceed (Scheme 1).

This mechanism, initially proposed by Luo and Crabtree in 1989,[15] has been accepted for the hydrolysis and alcoholysis of hydrosilanes involving different electrophilic catalysts.[7,17–26] While there are examples of electrophilic mechanism for the hydrolysis/alcoholysis of silanes with other transition metals,[7,21–26] mechanistic studies involving cationic iridium complexes are still very attractive due to its high capability to transfer electrophilicity inducing Si–H activation.[27,28] Considering iridium(III) complexes, this kind of mechanism has been well studied theoretically by Oro et al. in 2014[17] and Mata et al. in 2018.[20] Moreover, in 2016, the group of Djukic has extensively studied the mechanism of the alcoholysis of triethylsilane experimentally. The work reported by Djukic et al. includes the full characterization of a cationic iridium η^1-silane complex and other possible intermediates of this reaction, as for example hydride species.[19]

In the last years, our research group has been working on the synthesis and applications of rhodium and iridium complexes with silyl-thioether multidentate ligands. This kind of ligands proved useful to stabilize unsaturated rhodium and iridium cationic complexes leaving a coordinative vacant site in trans with respect to the coordinated silicon center (often occupied by a solvent molecule).[29,30] Assuming an electrophilic mechanism for the hydrolysis/alcoholysis of silanes (Scheme 1), unsaturated iridium(III) compounds are perfectly suited to activate hydrosilane through coordination prompting the subsequent nucleophilic attack of water/alcohol.

Herein we present combined experimental and theoretical studies on the catalytic activity of a cationic iridium species {Ir[SiMe(o-C_6H_4SMe)_2](PPh_3)(THF)}[BARF_4] (1 in Figure 2) in the hydrolysis and alcoholysis of silanes. The involvement of hydrogen tunneling in the rate-determining step (RDS) of the process permitted us to explain the high catalytic activity and the unexpectedly large KIE_{Et_3SiH/Et_3SiD} observed at room temperature.[31–34]

**Results and Discussion**

Iridium(III) compounds 1 and 2[30] have been studied as precatalysts for the hydrolysis of Et_3SiH in THF under standard reaction conditions (1 mol % catalyst, [Et_3SiH] = 0.25 M, 10 equiv H_2O). The parent iridium dimer [Ir(cod)Cl]_2 has also been included in the initial screening for comparative purposes.[14] The reaction profiles obtained (equiv. of H_2 generated vs time) are shown in Figure 2. The hydrogen evolution during the reaction was continuously monitored through a pressure transducer (Man on the Moon X102 kit).

![Figure 2. Iridium precatalysts used in this work, hydrolysis of Et_3SiH catalyzed by 1, 2 and [Ir(cod)Cl], and reaction profiles (equiv. of H_2 generated vs time). Reaction conditions: Et_3SiH (0.25 mmol), H_2O (2.5 mmol), catalyst (0.0025 mmol based on iridium) in THF (1 mL) at 25 °C. H_2 production calculated by continuous monitoring of the pressure evolution using a pressure transducer (Man on the Moon X102 kit).](image-url)
liberating 1 equiv of H₂ in only 35 seconds (Table 1, entry 1). The activity observed (turnover frequency calculated at 50 % of conversion, TOF₁/₂) was 2.8 times larger than that observed for the dimeric iridium precursor [Ir(cod)Cl]₂ under identical reaction conditions. ¹H and ¹H-²⁹Si HMBC NMR analysis of evaporated samples at the end of the reaction permitted us to identify triethylsilanol as the only silane-containing product (Figure S1, Supporting Information). The robustness of the catalytic system derived from 1 was confirmed through successive additions of Et₃SiH. The sequential reaction profiles obtained showed that the catalyst maintained its activity for at least 10 successive cycles (Figure 3).

The hydrolytic reaction with precatalyst 1 was also studied using a range of hydrosilanes under standard conditions (see Table 1). In all cases, the corresponding silanol was obtained as the sole reaction product, as confirmed by ¹H and ¹H-²⁹Si HMBC NMR analysis of evaporated reaction mixtures (see Figures S2–S4, Supporting Information). The results obtained showed a clear electronic influence of the silane substituents on the reaction rate (entries 4, 5 and 6, Table 1), being faster when increasing the electron-donating nature of the substituents except for Et₃SiH. The low activity of Et₃SiH compared with Me₂PhSiH and MePh₂SiH could be attributed to the larger buried volume of the latter which would hinder it from approaching the metal center (see Figure S8, Supporting Information).

Table 1: Catalytic hydrolysis/alcoholysis of hydrosilanes.[a]

| Entry | Catalyst | Silane   | Nucleophile | TOF₁/₂ (h⁻¹)[b] |
|-------|----------|----------|-------------|-----------------|
| 1     | 1        | Et₃SiH   | H₂O         | 20 385(2850)    |
| 2     | 2        | Et₃SiH   | H₂O         | 0               |
| 3     | [Ir(cod)Cl]₂ | Et₃SiH   | H₂O         | 6276¹           |
| 4     | 1        | Me₂PhSiH | H₂O         | 13 5678(20 509) |
| 5     | 1        | MePh₂SiH | H₂O         | 44 776(237)     |
| 6     | 1        | Ph₃SiH   | H₂O         | 950(29)         |
| 7     | 1        | Et₃SiH   | MeOH        | 13 107(671)     |
| 8     | 1        | Et₃SiH   | EtOH        | 11 952(289)     |
| 9     | 1        | Et₃SiH   | iPrOH       | 1301(47)        |

[a] Reaction conditions: Silane (0.25 mmol), H₂O or alcohol (2.5 mmol), 1 mol% of catalyst (based on iridium) in 1 mL of THF at 25 °C. [b] TOF₁/₂: turnover frequency calculated at a reaction time corresponding to 50% of conversion, values averaged from 3 runs, errors in parenthesis. [c] Only one run.

Figure 3. Reaction profiles obtained by successive additions of 0.25 mmol of Et₃SiH to a THF solution containing 0.0025 mmol of compound 1 and 5.5 mmol of H₂O. H₂ production calculated by continuous monitoring of the pressure evolution using a pressure transducer (Man on the Moon X102 kit).
solution was observed, suggesting the homogeneous nature of the catalytic process.\[30\] Therefore, the induction period was, at this point, tentatively attributed to decoordination of THF being required to obtain an active species to start the catalytic reaction.

A series of NMR experiments were performed to obtain some information about the reaction mechanism. Initially, the reactivity of \( \text{I} \) toward \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) was studied. \( \text{I} \) was reacted with 100 equivalents of \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) in THF-\( \text{d}_4 \), for 12 hours. \(^1\text{H} \) NMR spectrum of the starting compound \( \text{I} \) remained apparently unaltered apart from a small displacement (0.03 ppm) and broadening of the signal attributed to the coordinated hydride (Figure S20, Supporting Information). This can be explained by \( \text{Ir}–\text{H}/\text{H}_2\text{O} \) exchange via short-lived dihydrogen complexes\[37\] or because an \( \text{Ir}–\text{THF} \rightarrow \text{Ir}–\text{OH}_2 \) equilibrium is taking place. Both possibilities would involve a \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) coordination to the metal center. When the same reaction was performed in \( \text{CD}_2\text{Cl}_2 \), displacements of the \( \text{Ir}–\text{H} \) signal (0.82 ppm) and \( \text{Si}-\text{CH}_3 \) signal (0.1 ppm) were observed (Figure S21). This fact reinforces the idea of an \( \text{Ir}–\text{THF} \rightarrow \text{Ir}–\text{OH}_2 \) equilibrium. No hydrogen evolution was observed during these experiments, therefore, a mechanism operating through \( \text{Ir}^{\text{III}}\text{OH} \) intermediates, as proposed by Luo et al.,\[38\] can be excluded. To study the ability of complex \( \text{I} \) to activate \( \text{Et}_3\text{Si}–\text{H} \), as proposed within the electrophilic mechanism, compound \( \text{I} \) was reacted with 10 equivalents of \( \text{Et}_3\text{Si}–\text{H} \) in THF-\( \text{d}_4 \). \(^1\text{H} \) NMR spectrum shows a 1.1 ppm displacement on the \( \text{Ir}–\text{H} \) signal, which could indicate the formation of a cationic iridium \( \eta^1 \)-silane complex. Unfortunately, sigma interaction between \( \text{Et}_3\text{Si} \) and \( \text{I} \) was not observed in a static \(^1\text{H} \) NMR (\( –90^\circ \text{C} \)) in this solvent. Triethylsilyl iridium complex is not observed, which would exclude a mechanism via oxidative addition/reductive elimination steps. The same reaction (\( \text{I} \) and 10 equivalents of \( \text{Et}_3\text{Si}–\text{H} \)) was studied in \( \text{CD}_2\text{Cl}_2 \) at \( –90^\circ \text{C} \). The hydride region of the \(^1\text{H} \) NMR spectrum showed the transformation of the signal attributed to the hydride of \( \text{I} \) (\( \delta = -12.13, J_{\text{H-P}} = 18.5 \text{ Hz} \)), into two new signals at \( –3.88 \) and \( –13.27 \text{ ppm} \), respectively (Figure 4 and Figures S22 and S23 in Supporting Information).

**Figure 4.** Scheme of the reaction of \( \text{I} \) with \( \text{Et}_3\text{SiH} \) in \( \text{CD}_2\text{Cl}_2 \) and hydride region of the \(^1\text{H} \) NMR spectra of \( \text{I} \) (bottom) and after addition of 10 equivalents of \( \text{Et}_3\text{SiH} \) (top), at \( –90^\circ \text{C} \).

In an effort to obtain evidence of the origin of the formed hydrogen, several catalytic reactions using \( \text{Et}_3\text{SiH}/\text{H}_2\text{O} \), \( \text{Et}_3\text{SiH}/\text{D}_2\text{O} \), and \( \text{Et}_3\text{SiD}/\text{H}_2\text{O} \) (ratio silane/water of 1/1 in all cases) were performed in a sealed NMR tube. As expected, using \( \text{Et}_3\text{SiH}/\text{H}_2\text{O} \), only \( \text{H}_2 \) was observed in the \(^1\text{H} \) NMR spectrum (Figure S19, Supporting Information). Therefore, the relative integration of the \( \text{H}_2 \) signals of the spectra compared to that of triethoxysilanol (used as internal reference) were used to calculate the total amount of gas dissolved in a fully converted sample. Under identical experimental conditions, the hydrolysis of \( \text{Et}_3\text{SiH} \) with \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) led to the formation of \( \text{H}_2 \), mainly (Figure S19, Supporting Information). Finally, when \( \text{Et}_3\text{SiH}/\text{D}_2\text{O} \) are used as reagents, a \( \text{H}_2/\text{HD} \) mixture in a ratio of 14/86 was observed by \(^1\text{H} \) NMR (Figure S19, Supporting Information). According to our calculations, this mixture corresponds to approxi- mately 10 % of the total gas evolved, being the main component \( \text{H}_2 \) NMR-blind \( \text{D}_2 \) (amount of \( \text{D}_2 \) estimated of 90 %, see Supporting Information for more details). The formation of \( \text{H}_2 \) (\( \approx 1.4 \% \)) would be due to traces of \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \). These results indicate that, eventually, the isotope compositions of the hydrogen released is determined by the water isotope used, which may be due to quick exchange between \( \text{Ir}–\text{H} \) and the water protons as previously reported by Himeda et al. for iodium-catalyzed formic acid dehydrogenation reactions.\[40\]

The results obtained using \( \text{I} \) as precatalyst showed a first-order dependence of the reaction rate on \( \text{Et}_3\text{SiH} \) and catalyst concentration, but a zero-order dependence on \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) \( (v = k[I][\text{Et}_3\text{SiH}] \) (Figures S11–S12, Supporting Information). Kinetic isotopic effect (KIE) was analyzed, using compound \( \text{I} \), on both the hydrolysis and the methanolysis of \( \text{Et}_3\text{SiH} \). The results obtained showed no effect on the reaction rate when a deuterated nucleophile was used (KIE \( \text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{D}_2\text{O} = \text{KIE}_{\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{D}_2\text{O}} = 1 \), which is consistent with the zero-order dependence of the reaction rate on \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) concentra- tion (see above). Surprisingly, extraordinarily high KIEs (25 °C) were calculated when the reaction rate using \( \text{Et}_3\text{SiD} \) was compared to that of \( \text{Et}_3\text{SiH} \) (KIE \( \text{Et}_3\text{SiH}/\text{Et}_3\text{SiD} \) (25 °C) = 346 ± 4 (hydrolysis); KIE \( \text{Et}_3\text{SiH}/\text{Et}_3\text{SiD} \) (25 °C) = 143 ± 1 (methanolysis), see Figures S14 and S16, Supporting Information. These results clearly point to \( \text{H}–\text{Si} \) bond activation being
involved in the rate-determining step (RDS) of the catalytic reaction, and suggest the possible participation of hydrogen quantum tunneling in this step of the process.\cite{31-34} Rate constants and KIEs were also calculated for the catalytic hydrolysis of other hydrosilanes (KIE$_{\text{EtSiH/EtSiD}}$ = 4.3 ± 0.5; KIE$_{\text{PhMeSiH/PhMeSiD}}$ = 16.8 ± 0.2 (Figure S17, Supporting Information). These values show that the KIE (and probability of quantum tunneling) strongly depends on the hydrosilane. Considering that with deuterated derivatives the probability of hydrogen tunneling is very low, the rate constants ($k_{\text{MeSiD}}$ = 50.6 ± 1.5 s$^{-1}$M$^{-1}$; $k_{\text{EtSiD}}$ = 0.10 ± 0.01 s$^{-1}$M$^{-1}$) would be indicative of a much lower classic barrier for the former. Therefore, the relatively low KIE observed for PhMe$_2$SiH (D) could be explained by an increase of the classical component to the overall rate that outruns tunneling. These observations would be in agreement with our mechanistic proposal (see below) revealing the importance of the Ir–H–Si distances in the active species for the reaction to proceed through quantum tunneling. When [Ir(cod)Cl]$_2$ was used as precatalyst, KIE$_{\text{H,D,O,O}}$ = 1.10 ± 0.03 and KIE$_{\text{EtSiH/EtSiD}}$ = 2.26 ± 0.06 (Figure S18, Supporting Information) were measured. These results also pointed to a related mechanism, with H–Si bond activation being involved in the RDS, but hydrogen quantum tunneling could not be claimed in this case. In fact, a comparison of rate constants between precatalysts I and [Ir(cod)Cl]$_2$, using Et$_3$SiD as a nucleophile ($k(\text{Ir})$ = 0.101 s$^{-1}$M$^{-1}$; $k([\text{Ir(cod)Cl]}_2)$ = 8.45 s$^{-1}$M$^{-1}$) reinforces the idea that the high activities observed for our system are caused by a quantum tunneling mechanism, strongly inhibited when deuterated silanes were used as nucleophiles. No tunneling has been observed for the methanolysis of Et$_3$SiH (D) using the cationic iridium(III) complex [Ir(Cp*)(phenylpyridine)MeCN)]$^+$.\cite{19} To reinforce the hypothesis that quantum tunneling participates in the RDS of this reaction, we looked for additional experimental pieces of evidence. As generally accepted, the observation of an unusually low Arrhenius preexponential factor ratio ($A_D/A_O$ < 0.7) and a difference in the observed activation energy larger than the ones expected from zero-point energy ($E_{\text{act}} - E_{\text{act}} > 5.02$ kJ mol$^{-1}$) would support this idea.\cite{41,42} Therefore, the hydrolysis of Et$_3$SiH and Et$_3$SiD were studied at different temperatures (5–30 °C) (Figures S13 and S15, Supporting Information). Comparative Eyring and Arrhenius analyses of the data obtained showed linear but non-parallel correlations (Figure 5). From these data a $A_D/A_O$ ratio of 0.0004 ± 0.0003 and $E_{\text{act}} - E_{\text{act}} = 34.1 ± 0.2$ kJ mol$^{-1}$ were calculated. These results are consistent with an important contribution of hydrogen tunneling to the process and most probably being responsible for the extraordinarily high activities observed when non-deuterated nucleophiles were used. Moreover, using the Eyring analysis, the activation barriers were determined: Et$_3$SiH ($\Delta H^* = 27 ± 2$ kJ mol$^{-1}$, $\Delta S^* = -125 ± 7$ J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ and $\Delta G(298)^* = 64 ± 4$ kJ mol$^{-1}$); Et$_3$SiD ($\Delta H^* = 60 ± 2$ kJ mol$^{-1}$, $\Delta S^* = -60 ± 6$ J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ and $\Delta G(298)^* = 79 ± 4$ kJ mol$^{-1}$). The significant negative activation entropy suggests a transition state considerably more ordered than the reactants, which agrees with a S$_2$2-type mechanism in the RDS, as has been previously reported for an iron catalyst.\cite{31} Moreover, negative entropies are known to be an indication for tunneling.\cite{43}

The high activities observed in the hydrolysis and alcoholysis of hydrosilanes, together with the experimental pieces of evidence pointing to the involvement of hydrogen tunneling in the process, prompted us to study the reaction from a theoretical point of view. DFT calculations\cite{44,45} have been carried out using the M06\cite{46} functional and considering the implicit solvent effect by means of IEFPCM\cite{47} method for both geometry optimizations and frequency calculations, as implemented in Gaussian16 program package.\cite{48} According to our calculations, described in the Supporting Information, the reactant complex would be the C$_4$ complex (Figure 6).

![Figure 5](image-url)  
**Figure 5.** Arrhenius plots for the hydrolysis of Et$_3$Si–H (red) and Et$_3$Si–D (blue) catalyzed by I, leading to values of $A$ (from the intercept) and $E_c$ (from the slope). From the differences of the plots the KIE at each temperature is calculated, errors in parenthesis.

![Figure 6](image-url)  
**Figure 6.** Calculated structure of the C$_4$ reactant complex.
This reactant complex is an iridium-aquo complex, formed by ligand exchange from the starting iridium-THF compound. A triethylsilane molecule (not located in the first coordination sphere; \[d \text{Ir} \cdots \text{Si} = 5.14 \text{ Å}\]), which contains a back-side \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) molecule solvated with two THF solvent molecules, is also part of \(\text{C}_0\). Starting from this reactant complex, the reaction may take place, and the calculated full reaction mechanism is depicted in Figure 7.\[^{[49]}\] The optimized geometry for \(\text{C}_0\), showed that the hydridic hydrogen atom of the hydrosilane is oriented towards one of the protic hydrogens of the coordinated \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) (\(d \text{H}^\cdots \text{H} = 1.80 \text{ Å}\)), which may indicate a kind of \(\text{H}^\cdots\text{H}\) interaction. Indeed, calculated Natural Bond Orbital charges (NBO)\[^{[50–52]}\] show values of 0.541 e and 0.271 e, for the \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) and \(\text{SiH}\), respectively. These values show that there is in fact a stabilizing \(\text{H}^\cdots\text{H}\) interaction that attracts the triethylsilane moiety towards the catalyst. In order to check for the importance of this interaction along the reaction mechanism, we have calculated the partial charges and interatomic distances all along the reaction steps, and the results are collected in Table 2.

Table 2: Calculated Natural Bond Orbital charges (NBO)\[^{[50–52]}\] for the protic and hydridic hydrogens, and the interatomic distances between them.

|       | \(\text{H}^\cdots\text{H}\) (e) | \(\text{H}^\cdots\text{H}\) (e) | \(d \text{H}^\cdots\text{H}\) (Å) |
|-------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| \(\text{C}_1\) | 0.518            | −0.290           | 4.49             |
| \(\text{C}_2\) | 0.541            | −0.271           | 1.80             |
| \(\text{TS}_1\) | 0.511            | −0.372           | 1.68             |
| \(\text{C}_3\) | 0.318            | −0.124           | 1.71             |
| \(\text{C}_4\) | 0.347            | −0.118           | 1.57             |
| \(\text{TS}_2\) | 0.379            | −0.139           | 0.99             |
| \(\text{C}_5\) | 0.147            | 0.115            | 0.83             |
| \(\text{C}_6\) | 0.001            | −0.001           | 0.74             |

One of the possible paths starting from \(\text{C}_0\) is the complete substitution of the coordinated \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) molecule by the \(\text{Et}_3\text{SiH}\), leading to the formation of \(\text{C}_1\) complex. In this vein, the \(\text{H}^\cdots\text{H}\) interaction found in \(\text{C}_0\) is broken, being the interatomic distance too long for such interaction (4.49 Å). This structure can be described as an iridium \(\eta^1\)-silane complex where the Si–Ir distance is 3.53 Å, which proved to be a stable structure. The consequence of such stability is that all attempts to calculate a nucleophilic attack to the Si atom eventually ended on the same structure, which shows that this is a non-reactive path for these species. It is worth noting that analogue species have been commonly proposed to be the reactant complex in related processes.\[^{[20,28]}\]

An alternative pathway was considered starting from \(\text{C}_0\) species. According to our calculations, the first step of this mechanism would be the substitution of the \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) molecule from the coordination sphere of the metal center by the H atom of the triethylsilane, leading to the \(\text{C}_1\) intermediate, where an Ir–H bond is formed. This step occurs through the transition state \(\text{TS}_1\), where the originally coordinated \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) molecule is already displaced from the Ir coordination sphere (\(d \text{Ir}–\text{O} = 3.98 \text{ Å}\)), leaving a vacant position for the H transfer. This H transfer needs a nucleophilic attack of the back-side water to happen, helped by the released front-side water, which is oriented towards the triethylsilane moiety (\(d \text{H}–\text{H} = 1.69 \text{ Å}\)). Notice that this short H–H distance suggests
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that the $\text{H}^{+}-\text{H}^+$ interaction observed in $\text{C}_4$ is present in the $\text{TS}_1$ as well. The H transfer occurs at $\text{Ir}^{-}\text{Si}$ distance of 4.44 Å, being the hydrogen atom aligned midway the Ir and Si atoms, at 2.54 Å and 2.05 Å, respectively. Accordingly, in $\text{TS}_2$, the Si atom is in a nbp coordination environment, as expected for the TS of a $\text{S}_2\text{C}$ nucleophilic attack.

$\text{C}_1$ could be described as the adduct formed by the neutral dihydroido-Ir compound and the tetracoordinated silylium cation formed, stabilized by the labile front-side H$_2$O molecule previously coordinated to the iridium center. This H$_2$O molecule is H-oriented towards the Ir→H moiety ($\angle \text{HO→H→Ir} = 1.71$ Å). The partial atomic charges are calculated to be 0.518 e and $-0.124$ e. Both bond lengths and atomic charges are clear indications of the previously mentioned $\text{H}^{+}-\text{H}^+$ interaction.

The next step would be the formation of a pentacoordinated silylium cation [Et$_3\text{Si(OH)}_2$]$^+$$^+$ which is the consequence of the coordination of the front-side H$_2$O molecule to the silylium cation. This front side H$_2$O is oriented like in C$_5$, keeping the silylium cation close to the dihydroido-iridium complex. The so-formed intermediate is labelled as C$_5$. In this complex, the electrostatic ($\text{H}^{+}+\text{H}^+$) interaction remains, as it may be concluded from the distance between the protonic H→OH the hydride H→Ir, 1.57 Å, and the partial charges 0.547 and $-0.118$ e, respectively. From this intermediate, the proton H atom is ready to be transferred from the front-side H$_2$O to the dihydroido-iridium complex through $\text{TS}_2$, leading to the formation of an iridium-H$_2$ complex (C$_6$) and Et$_3$Si-OH species after the decomplexation of the back-side H$_2$O.

Finally, the decomplexation of dihydrogen would result in the formation of an iridium complex with a coordinative vacancy (intermediate C$_7$), which would be occupied by a H$_2$O molecule, leading the reactant complex C$_6$ and closing the cycle. According to the calculated mechanism, the RDS would be the hydrogen transfer from the silicon to the iridium, through $\text{TS}_2$, which is in good agreement with the experimental observations that suggest that Si→H activation is involved in the RDS.

In an effort to demonstrate theoretically the importance of hydrogen tunneling in the hydrolysis of triethylsilane catalyzed by I, theoretical KIEs have been calculated using Eyring and Birgeleisen theories$^{[41, 42]}$ (Table S.2, Supporting Information). These data (KIE$_{\text{Eyring}} = 3.44$; KIE$_{\text{Bigeleisen}} = 3.32$), which does not include tunneling corrections, are very different from the experimental data (KIE$_{\text{exp}} = 346$). The difference between both values would be caused to the lack of tunneling corrections in the theoretical values, and are indicative of the importance of tunneling in these processes.$^{[37]}$ Hence, the inclusion of tunneling effects in the theoretical calculations should increase the calculated KIE values. In this vein and in a simple way, one-dimensional tunneling approaches, such as Wigner$^{[56, 57, 58]}$ and Bell’s inverse parabola$^{[56]}`$ corrections to the KIE calculated by the Bigeleisen method have been implemented (Table S.2, Supporting Information).$^{[56]}`$ As expected, these two approaches underestimate the tunneling corrections$^{[56, 57, 58]}$, but still are able to increase slightly the calculated KIE.

Hence, more sophisticated approaches are mandatory to account for the expected tunneling effects to explain the large observed experimental KIE. In this vein, tunneling transmission probability for both hydrogen and deuterium were calculated via the one-dimensional Wentzel-Kramers-Brillouin (WKB) semiclassical approach,$^{[59]}$ which with a reasonable accuracy/time-consuming balance provides a qualitatively accurate picture of the tunneling nature of the process. Thus, WKB method has been applied systematically, considering tunneling from all vibrational levels of H and D and their occupation probability using the Boltzmann distribution function (all the details are given in the Supporting Information), and the obtained results are collected in Table S.3. At 298 K, the KIE including the tunneling effect is calculated to be 26.7. Now, the calculated KIE is one order of magnitude larger than the calculated with Wigner and/or Bell’s tunneling corrections, being a clear indication of the importance of tunneling. Notice that even with this one-dimensional approach, the importance of tunneling appears to be clear. In addition, the temperature effect in the calculated KIEs has been also calculated (Table 3). As may be observed, the predicted KIE values decrease as the temperature increases, as experimental ones. In addition, notice that the transmission probabilities calculated for both H and D increase with temperature, as expected, but those of D increase more rapidly, leading to smaller KIE values (see Supporting Information for a full discussion). Moreover, from predicted tunneling rate constants a $\text{A}_\text{H}/\text{A}_\text{D}$ ratio of 0.27 and $\text{E}_{\text{H}}-\text{E}_{\text{D}} = 11.4$ $\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ were calculated, which are also indicative of tunnel effects.$^{[41, 42]}$ In this way, we have been able to demonstrate the participation of quantum tunneling by means of a one-dimensional simple model.

Summarizing the computational results, they confirm the key role of hydrogen tunneling in the reaction mechanism, and provide a detailed mechanism for this reaction (Scheme 2). Slight differences with the accepted electrophilic mechanism for the hydrolysis of silanes have been found: i) the n$^1$-silane complex (C$_1$ in Scheme 2) is not involved in the catalytic cycle; ii) the silylium cation is a pentacoordinated species ([Et$_3\text{Si(OH)}_2$]$^+$. C$_2$ in scheme 2). Scheme 2 shows the new alternative proposed mechanism for the hydrolysis of triethylsilane and the green dashed line represents the shortcut in the reaction pathway due to the quantum tunneling.

| $T$ [K] | $T(H)$ | $T(D)$ | KIE$_{\text{exp}}$ | KIE$_{\text{calc}}$ |
|---------|-----------|-----------|---------------------|---------------------|
| 278     | 3.98 $\times$ 10$^{-9}$ | 1.47 $\times$ 10$^{-10}$ | 37.5 | 943(24) |
| 283     | 4.81 $\times$ 10$^{-9}$ | 1.95 $\times$ 10$^{-10}$ | 34.3 | 821(11) |
| 288     | 5.78 $\times$ 10$^{-9}$ | 2.55 $\times$ 10$^{-10}$ | 31.4 | 578(10) |
| 293     | 6.92 $\times$ 10$^{-9}$ | 3.32 $\times$ 10$^{-10}$ | 28.9 | 484(7)  |
| 298     | 8.23 $\times$ 10$^{-9}$ | 4.27 $\times$ 10$^{-10}$ | 26.7 | 346(4)  |
| 303     | 9.73 $\times$ 10$^{-9}$ | 5.45 $\times$ 10$^{-10}$ | 24.7 | 299(7)  |
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Scheme 2. Catalytic cycle proposed for the hydrolysis of Et$_3$SiH when 1 is used as catalyst.

Conclusion

The cationic hydrido-silyl-Ir$^{III}$ complex 1, behaved as an efficient precatalyst for the hydrolysis/alcoholysis of tertiary silanes leading to the release of one equivalent of dihydrogen and the corresponding silanols/silyl ethers. Kinetic studies on the process revealed $k_{	ext{H/D}}/k_{	ext{H/D}}$ = 346, which represents the largest one involving Si–H/D bonds observed up to date. This huge KIE in addition to the calculated Arrhenius factor and the difference in the observed activation energy are experimental indications of the participation of hydrogen quantum tunneling in the RDS of the process at room temperature. Detailed DFT calculation on the reaction mechanism allowed us to propose a slightly modified electrophilic mechanism for the reaction compared to the previously reported ones. In addition to this, theoretically calculated KIEs at different temperatures using the WKB one-dimensional tunneling correction, support the importance of quantum tunneling. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first reported case of hydrosilane activation with the participation of quantum tunneling.
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