Lossless Airy Surface Polaritons in a Metamaterial via Active Raman Gain
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We propose a scheme to realize a lossless propagation of linear and nonlinear Airy surface polaritons (SPs) via active Raman gain (ARG). The system we suggest is a planar interface superposed by a negative index metamaterial (NIMM) and a dielectric, where three-level quantum emitters are doped. By using the ARG from the quantum emitters and the destructive interference effect between the electric and magnetic responses from the NIMM, we show that not only the Ohmic loss of the NIMM but also the light absorption of the quantum emitters can be completely eliminated. As a result, nondiffractive Airy SPs may propagate for very long distance without attenuation. We also show that the Kerr nonlinearity of the system can be largely enhanced due to the introduction of the quantum emitters and hence lossless Airy surface polaritonic solitons with very low power can be generated in the system.

In a seminar paper, Berry and Balaze¹ showed that a quantum-mechanical wavepacket with the form of Airy function has the ability to resist dispersion and can freely accelerate without requiring any external potential. It was argued later on that such wavepacket may be used to represent a nonrelativistic particle falling in a gravitational field, and hence the phenomenon discovered in ref. 1 is related to Einstein's equivalence principle².

Since there is a similarity between the Schrödinger equation in quantum mechanics and the Maxwell equation in electrodynamics under a paraxial approximation, much efforts have been paid to the study of Airy light beams in recent years due to their many attractive properties³–⁵. Besides spatial-beam optics, Airy beams have also been demonstrated for temporal optical pulses, spin waves, plasma, and electron beams⁶–¹⁰. In addition, some nonlinear effects of Airy beams have also been explored¹¹–¹³. Airy beams have a wide range of applications, including trapping, guiding, sorting of micro-objects, manipulation of slow-light wavepackets in atomic gases, signal processing¹⁴–¹⁸, and so on.

On the other hand, surface plasmon polaritons (SPPs), i.e. surface electromagnetic waves coupled to charge-density waves and propagating along the planar interface between a metal and a dielectric material, have attracted great attention¹⁹,²⁰. SPPs have a field component decaying exponentially from metal-dielectric interface, thus can localize light within a subwavelength domain in the direction perpendicular the interface, making them ideal tools for enhancing light-matter interaction and hence for realizing many new types of nanoplasmonic devices²¹–²⁵. However, the diffraction of SPPs in one of the directions in the metal-dielectric interface still exists.

Recently, Airy beams were introduced to a metal-dielectric interface as a technique for an effective control of SPPs²⁶. The diffraction of SPPs in one of the directions in the interface, which is unavoidable in usual cases, can be eliminated by means of the non-diffractive property of Airy beams. Furthermore, some detrimental effects resulted from the imperfection of the interface can be suppressed based on the self-healing characteristics of Airy beams. Such study²⁶ opened a new avenue for realizing nondiffracting SPPs in all transverse directions and stimulated many experimental efforts²⁷–³³. However, the Airy SPPs realized with such a scheme have a very short propagation distance due to the existence of large Ohmic loss inherent in metals, which severely limits their practical applications.

In this article, we propose a scheme for generating linear and nonlinear Airy surface polaritons (SPs) and realize their lossless propagation in an active metamaterial (for active optical metamaterials, see the recent review³⁴). Different from previous studies²⁶–³⁴, the system we consider is a planar interface superposed by a NIMM and a dielectric where three-level quantum emitters are doped near the interface. By using the ARG from the quantum
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emitters and the destructive interference effect between the electric and magnetic responses in the NIMM, we show that not only the Ohmic loss of the NIMM but also the light absorption of the quantum emitters can be completely eliminated. As a result, non-diffractive Airy SPs obtained can propagate for a very long distance without attenuation and deformation. We also show that the Kerr nonlinearity of the system can be largely enhanced due to the introduction of the quantum emitters and hence lossless Airy surface polaritonic solitons propagating down the NIMM-dielectric interface with very low power can be realized.

Results

Model. We consider a system consisting of two superposed planar materials, i.e. a NIMM and a dielectric, with a planar NIMM-dielectric interface (Fig. 1). The NIMM in the lower half-plane \((x < 0)\) has frequency-dependent permittivity \(\varepsilon_1\) and permeability \(\mu_1\), and the dielectric in the upper half-plane \((x > 0)\) has frequency-independent permittivity \(\varepsilon_2\) and permeability \(\mu_2\). We assume that \(\Lambda\)-type three-level quantum emitters (e.g. atoms, quantum dots, rare-earth ions, denoted by black dots in the figure) are doped in the thin layer of the dielectric near the interface, and interact with a pump field of angular frequency \(\omega_p\) and a signal field of angular frequency \(\omega_s\); see the inset of Fig. 1. \(\Gamma_{1j}\) \((j = 1, 2, 3)\) represent the energy-levels of the quantum emitters. \(\Delta_2 = (\omega_p - \omega - (E_2 - E_3)/\hbar)\) is two-photon (one-photon) detuning, with \(E_j\) the eigenenergy of the level \(j\), \(\Gamma_{11}, \Gamma_{12}, \Gamma_{13}\) is the rate of spontaneous emission from \(\{3\}\) to \(\{1\}\), \(\{3\}\) to \(\{2\}\), \(\{1\}\) to \(\{2\}\). The three energy levels combined with the resonant pump and signal fields constitute a typical ARG scheme discussed in ref. 35. SPs can be excited in the NIMM-dielectric interface via an end-fire coupling for the signal field, with the pump field incident from the above of the dielectric.

The system described above is similar to that employed in refs 37–40, where all-optical control of SPs through an excitation scheme of electromagnetically induced transparency (EIT) was suggested. Differently, in stead of EIT, the excitation scheme of the quantum emitters employed in our system is ARG. Contrary to the EIT scheme where signal field operates in an absorption mode, the central idea of the ARG scheme is that the signal field operates in a stimulated Raman emission mode. It is just the use of such emission mode that makes the Ohmic loss of the NIMM and the light absorption in the quantum emitters eliminated and hence a robust propagation of the signal field realized, as shown below.

The SP propagation in the system is controlled by Maxwell equation describing electromagnetic (EM) field and Bloch equation describing the quantum emitters. The Maxwell equation reads

\[
\nabla \times \left[ \nabla \times \mathbf{E}(r, t) \right] + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{E}}{\partial t^2} = -\mu_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{P}(r, t) - \mu_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[ \nabla \times \mathbf{M}(r, t) \right],
\]

where \(\mathbf{E}(r, t)\), \(\mathbf{P}(r, t)\), and \(\mathbf{M}(r, t)\) are intensity vectors of electric field, electric polarization, and magnetization, respectively. Throughout the text, for simplicity we assume all fields are continuous waves (CWs), i.e. the dispersion effects from both the host materials and the quantum emitters can be neglected. By assuming the SP propagates in z-direction, we have \(\mathbf{F}_a(r, t) = \mathbf{F}_a(r) e^{(\beta_a z - i\omega_a t)} + c.c.\). Here \(\mathbf{F}_a\) represents \(\mathbf{E}_a, \mathbf{P}_a,\) and \(\mathbf{M}_a\), and \(\mathbf{F}_a(r)\) is a slowly-varying function of \(r\), with \(\alpha = 1, \beta = 2\) standing for the quantity in the NIMM region where \(x < 0\) (the dielectric region where \(x > 0\); \(\beta_a \equiv \text{Re}(\beta_a) + i \text{Im}(\beta_a)\), with \(\text{Re}(\beta_a)\) (real part) denoting the propagation constant and \(\text{Im}(\beta_a)\) (imaginary part) denoting the attenuation (if \(\text{Im}(\beta_a) > 0\)) or growth (if \(\text{Im}(\beta_a) < 0\)) of the SP during propagation. Because constitution relations are different in the NIMM and the dielectric regions, we reduce Eq. (1) in different regions for the convenience of later calculations.

In the NIMM region, we have \(\mathbf{P}(r, t) = \varepsilon_0 (\varepsilon_1 - 1) \mathbf{E}_1(r) e^{(\beta_1 z - i\omega_1 t)} + c.c.\) and \(\nabla \times \mathbf{M}(r, t) = -i \omega_0 \varepsilon_1 (\mu_1 - 1) \mathbf{E}_1(r) e^{(\beta_1 z - i\omega_1 t)} + c.c.\). Then Eq. (1) reduces to

\[
\nabla \times \left[ \nabla \times (\mathbf{E}_1(r) e^{(i\omega_1 t)}) \right] + k_0^2 \varepsilon_1(\omega) \mu_1(\omega) \mathbf{E}_1(r) e^{(i\omega_1 t)} = 0,
\]

with \(k_0 = \omega/c\). Note that \(\varepsilon_1(\omega)\) and \(\mu_1(\omega)\) are respectively the permittivity and permeability of the NIMM, which can be parameterized by using the Drude model with \(\varepsilon_1(\omega) = \varepsilon_{\infty} - \omega_p^2/(\omega^2 + i\gamma_p \omega)\) and
\[ \mu_2(\omega) = \mu_\infty - \omega_0^2/(\omega^2 + i\gamma_2\omega), \]

where \(\omega_0\) and \(\omega_m\) are the electric and magnetic plasmon frequencies, \(\gamma_2\) and \(\gamma_m\) describe the corresponding decay rates, and \(\mu_\infty\) and \(\mu_a\) are background constants, respectively. Note that such permittivity and permeability can be obtained if the signal field is normally incident into the NIMM designed by a periodical array of silver-based double-fishnet structures of meta-atoms along \(z\) direction (see refs 41–45 and related references cited in ref. 34).

In the dielectric region, one has \(\mathbf{M}_2(\mathbf{r}, t) = 0\), and \(\mathbf{P}_2(\mathbf{r}, t) = \mathbf{P}_{\text{dielectric}}^2(\mathbf{r}, t) + \mathbf{P}_{\text{emitter}}^2(\mathbf{r}, t)\), where \(\mathbf{P}_{\text{dielectric}}^2(\mathbf{r}, t) = \epsilon_0(\epsilon_2 - 1)\mathbf{E}_2(\mathbf{r}) e^{i(\beta_2 z - \omega t)} + \text{c.c.} \) and \(\mathbf{P}_{\text{emitter}}^2(\mathbf{r}, t)\) can be obtained by solving the Bloch equation of the quantum emitters (see below), given by \(\mathbf{P}_{\text{emitter}}^2(\mathbf{r}, t) = N_a|\mathbf{P}_{23}\sigma_{32}|e^{-i\omega t} + \text{c.c.}\). Here \(N_a\) is the concentration of the emitters, \(\mathbf{P}_{23}\) (\(\sigma_{32}\)) is electric-dipole matrix element (density matrix element in interaction picture) related to the states \([2]\) and \([3]\). Using these relations, Eq. (1) is reduced to the form \(\nabla \times \left(\nabla \times (\mathbf{E}_2(\mathbf{r}) e^{i\beta_2 z})\right) + k_0^2 \mu_2 \left((\epsilon_2 + \chi_a^{(1)}) + \chi_a^{(3)} \right)|\mathbf{E}_2(\mathbf{r})|^2 e^{-2\omega t} = 0\), where

\[ \chi_a^{(1)} = \frac{N_a|\mathbf{P}_{32}|^2}{\epsilon_0\hbar} \sigma_{12}^{(1)}, \quad \chi_a^{(3)} = \frac{N_a|\mathbf{P}_{32}|^4}{\epsilon_0\hbar^3} \sigma_{32}^{(3)}, \]

are respectively the first-order and the third-order optical susceptibilities contributed by the quantum emitters, where the definitions of \(a_1^{(1)}\) and \(a_3^{(3)}\) can be found in Methods.

Since the oscillating frequency of the pump field is different from that of the signal field, the pump field has no contribution to the boundary conditions (BCs) of the signal-field envelopes at the NIMM-dielectric interface. Thus the BCs read \(\mathbf{e}_x \cdot (\mathbf{E}_2(\mathbf{r}) - \mathbf{E}_1(\mathbf{r})) = 0\) and \(\mathbf{e}_x \cdot (\mathbf{D}_2(\mathbf{r}) - \mathbf{D}_1(\mathbf{r})) = 0\) (where \(\mathbf{e}_x\) is the unit vector along \(x\)-direction), i.e.

\[ E_{1z}(\mathbf{r}) \mid_{z=0} = E_{2z}(\mathbf{r}) \mid_{z=0}, \quad E_{1y}(\mathbf{r}) \mid_{z=0} = E_{2y}(\mathbf{r}) \mid_{z=0}, \]

\[ \epsilon_0\epsilon_2 E_{1x}(\mathbf{r}) \mid_{z=0} = \left[\epsilon_0\epsilon_2 E_{2x}(\mathbf{r}) e^{i\beta_2 z} + N_a|\mathbf{P}_{23}\sigma_{32}|r\right] \mid_{z=0} , \]

where \(\mathbf{F}_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{r})\) represents the \(j\)-component \((j = x, y, z)\) of \(\mathbf{F}_\alpha(\mathbf{r}) \) \((\alpha = 1, 2)\). Note that Eq. (6) is a nonlinear BC since \(\sigma_{32}\) depends on \(\mathbf{E}_2(\mathbf{r})\) nonlinearly.

**SP solution and linear dispersion relation.** Now we present the propagating modes of SPs in the system. Different from metal-dielectric interfaces, our system allows both TE and TM modes. Here we concentrate on the TM mode, which has the form \(\mathbf{E}_\alpha(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{e}_x E_{\alpha x}(x) + \mathbf{e}_y E_{\alpha y}(x)\). By solving Eqs (2) and (3) under BCs (5) and (6) in linear level, we obtain

\[ \mathbf{E}_1(\mathbf{r}) = \left[\mathbf{e}_z - e_z\frac{i\beta_1}{k_1}\right] A e^{k_1 x}, \]

\[ \mathbf{E}_2(\mathbf{r}) = \left[\mathbf{e}_z + e_z\frac{i\beta_2}{k_2}\right] A e^{-k_2 x}, \]

with \(k_1 = (\beta_1^2 - k_0^2\epsilon_1\mu_1)^{1/2}\) and \(k_2 = [\beta_2^2 - k_0^2\mu_2(\epsilon_2 + \chi_a^{(1)})]^{1/2}\), where \(A\) is a constant. The linear dispersion relation (propagation constant) reads

\[ \beta_\alpha(\omega) = \frac{\omega}{c} \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_1(\epsilon_2 + \chi_a^{(1)})[(\epsilon_2 + \chi_a^{(1)})\mu_1 - \epsilon_1\mu_2]}{(\epsilon_2 + \chi_a^{(1)})^2 - \epsilon_1^2}}. \]

We first discuss the case where the emitters are absent (i.e. \(N_a = 0\) and hence \(\chi_a^{(1)} = 0\)). In this case, Eq. (9) reduces to

\[ \beta(\omega) = \frac{\omega}{c} \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_1\epsilon_2(\epsilon_2\mu_1 - \epsilon_1\mu_2)}{\epsilon_2^2 - \epsilon_1^2}}. \]

For illustrating the character of the above result, we consider a realistic physical system with a silver-based NIMM. The parameters for the permittivity are given by \(\epsilon_m = 9 \times 10^{13} \text{s}^{-1}\), \(\omega_m = 1.37 \times 10^{15} \text{s}^{-1}\), \(\epsilon_\infty = 1.7\), where \(\gamma_\infty\) is assumed to be three larger than that of bulk silver. The parameters for the permeability are given by \(\gamma_m = 5 \times 10^9 \text{m}^{-1}\), \(\omega_m = 3.15 \times 10^{13} \text{s}^{-1}\), and \(\mu_\infty = 1.7\), within the reasonable value scope.
Line 1 (blue solid line) and line 2 (red dashed line) in Fig. 2 show Im(β) and Re(1/k_z) of the SP excited in the NIMM-dielectric interface as a function of ω, respectively. When plotting the figure, we have chosen the dielectric with ε_2 = 2.5 and μ_2 = 1. We see that Im(β) is nearly vanishing at ω = ω_{cri} = 2π × 3.69 × 10^{14} s^{-1}, which means that the Ohmic loss at ω_{cri} is largely suppressed. The reason for the suppression of the Ohmic loss is contributed by the destructive interference of the electric and magnetic responses because ε_1 and μ_1 in the NIMM can be simultaneously negative. For comparison, Im(β) for a metal (silver)-dielectric interface is also shown in the figure (i.e. line 3; black dotted line), where the permittivity and permeability of silver are respectively ε_{metal} = 1 − ω^2/(ω^2 + iγω) and μ_{metal} = 1. Obviously, the metal-dielectric interface has much larger Ohmic loss than the NIMM-dielectric interface.

Unfortunately, the suppression of the SP loss in the NIMM-dielectric interface is always accompanied by a de-confinement (or called de-localization) of the SP because at ω = ω_{cri}, Re(1/k_z) → ∞; see line 2 in Fig. 2 (the red dashed line). In order to acquire an acceptable suppression of the SP loss and a required SP confinement simultaneously, we are forced to select the signal-field frequency ω to have a small deviation from ω_{cri}. However, the deviation from ω_{cri} will make the electric field of the SP decay during propagation. For instance, if taking ω = ω_{cri} = 2π × 3.84 × 10^{14} s^{-1}, one has Im(β)_{ω=ω_{cri}} = 32.97 cm^{-1} (see the large blue solid circle on the line 1 of Fig. 2). That is to say, although the electromagnetic field can have a tight confinement within a scale of k_z^{-1} ≈ 985 nm, which is still superior to conventional slab dielectric waveguides (without NIMM), a small loss exists simultaneously. In particular, the loss will be significant for a long-distance propagation, hindering practical applications of SPs.

Such difficulty can be overcome by using the quantum emitters doped in the NIMM-dielectric interface and working in the ARG scheme. If N_q ≠ 0, the positive imaginary part in the propagation constant caused by the Ohmic loss inherent in the NIMM can be completely eliminated by the negative imaginary part of χ^{(1)}_a contributed by the gain from the quantum emitters. As an example of our model, we choose 87Rb atoms as the quantum emitters with [1] = [5^2S_{1/2}, F = 2], [2] = [5^2S_{1/2}, F = 1], [3] = [5^2S_{1/2}, F = 2]. The system parameters are given as Φ = Γ_1 = Γ_2 = Γ_3/2 = π × 6.07 MHz, Γ_4 = 0.5 kHz, Γ_5 = 0.01 kHz, |p_{13}| = 3.58 × 10^{-27} C · cm, k^2 = 2.7 × 10^6 s^{-1}, Δ_a = 10^4 s^{-1}, N_q = 9.18 × 10^{12} cm^{-3}, and Ω_p = 1.4 × 10^7 s^{-1}. We obtain Im(β)_{ω=ω_{cri}} = 0 with Δω = −10^4 s^{-1}, which means that the quantum emitters can indeed provide a gain to compensate for the Ohmic loss in the NIMM. Thus the contradiction between the confinement and the suppression of the Ohmic loss is resolved satisfactorily.

**Linear Lossless Airy SPs.** We now explore the possibility to get lossless Airy SPs excited at the NIMM-dielectric interface working with quantum emitters. To obtain linear Airy SP solutions, we solve Eqs (2) and (3) under BCs (5) and (6) by employing the asymptotic expansion (similar to that used in ref. 47) E_a(r) = g E_0^{(1)} + g^3/2 E_0^{(2)} + g^5/2 E_0^{(3)} + ... , with g = (ω − ω_0)/ω_0 and E^{(m)} = (E_x^{(m)}, E_y^{(m)}, E_z^{(m)}) (m = 1, 2, 3, ...) being functions of x, y, z = z_0. Here ω_0 = ω_a + εω_a a particular frequency determining a pure real propagation constant β_0 = β_{a|ω_a} as discussed above. To give a consistent expansion, we further assume ε_2 + χ^{(1)}_a = ε_{20} + ε_{21}, with ε_{20} = (ε_2 + χ^{(1)}_a)_{ω=ω_a}, and ε_{21} = (ω − ω_0) · ∂ε_2/∂ω|_{ω=ω_a}.

Substituting the above expansions into Eqs (2) and (3), we obtain the unified form...
\[
\left[
\begin{array}{cc}
k^2_\alpha & 0 \\
0 & \partial^2 / \partial x^2 - k^2_{\alpha}\n\end{array}\right]
\left[
\begin{array}{c}
g^{(m)}_{\alpha x} \\
n^{(m)}_{\alpha y}\n\end{array}\right] = \left[
\begin{array}{c}
g^{(m)}_{\alpha x} \\
n^{(m)}_{\alpha y}\n\end{array}\right]
\]  
(11)

\(m = 1, 2, \ldots\), which can be solved order by order. Here \(k_0 = (\beta^2_0 - k^2_0 \varepsilon_0 \mu_0)^{1/2}\) and \(k_2 = (\beta^2_0 - k^2_0 \varepsilon_2 \mu_2)^{1/2}\) (both valued at \(\omega = \omega_0\)). For saving space, the explicit expressions of \(n^{(m)}_{\alpha y}\) \((j = x, y, z; m = 1, 2, \ldots)\) and the expansions for BCs (5) and (6) are omitted here.

At the first order \((m = 1)\), we get the TM mode solution of Eq. (11)

\[
E^{(1)}_1(r) = \left(\mathbf{e}_2 - \mathbf{e}_2 \frac{i \beta_0}{k_1}\right) A(y_1, z_2) e^{i k_1 y},
\]

\[
E^{(1)}_2(r) = \left(\mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_2 \frac{i \beta_0}{k_1}\right) A(y_1, z_2) e^{-i k_1 y},
\]

which are similar to Eqs (7) and (8), but here \(A\) is an envelope function of the slow variables \(y_1\) and \(z_2\).

Solving Eq. (11) at the second order \((m = 2)\) gives the solution of the signal field \(E^{(2)}_1(r) = \mathbf{e}_2 B(y_1, z_2)e^{i k_2 y},\) \(E^{(2)}_2(r) = \mathbf{e}_2 B(y_1, z_2)e^{-i k_2 y}\), where \(B\) is another slowly-varying envelope function. The boundary condition for magnetic field \(H_m(r)\), i.e. \(\mathbf{e}_1 \times (\mathbf{H}_m(r) - H_0) = 0\) with \(\mathbf{H}_m(r) = [1/(\omega \varepsilon_0 \mu_0)] \nabla \times \mathbf{E}_m(r)\) \((\alpha = 1, 2)\), at this order yields the relation \(B = -(i/\beta_0) \partial A/\partial y\). We see that to this order the signal field is no longer a TM wave since a \(y\)-component of the electric field appears.

Similarly, solving Eq. (11) at the third order \((m = 3)\) we obtain the solution of the signal field, which is presented in Method. The BC at this order results in \(2i \beta_0 \partial A/\partial z_2 + \partial^2 A/\partial y^2 + f A = 0,\) where \(f = \varepsilon_2 D_0\) with \(k_0 = \omega_0 / \epsilon\), and \(D_0 = k_0^2 (\mu_0 k_1 + \mu_2 k_2) (\beta_0^2 + k_2^2)/(k_2 (k_1^2 - k_2^2)^2)\). Returning to original variables and making the transformation \(y = R_0 y, z = L_0 z,\) and \(A = U_0 \exp[\beta z/(2 \beta_0)]\), with \(R_0, L_0 = 1\), \(U_0\) being, respectively, typical beam radius, diffraction length, and amplitude of the signal field, we obtain the dimensionless equation

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial s} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} = 0,
\]

which admits the Airy beam solution\(^1\)

\[
u(\eta, s) = U_0 V_0 \text{Ai}
\left[
\eta - \frac{z^2}{4}
\right] e^{i \left[\eta - \frac{z^2}{4}\right] / \sigma},
\]

with \(V_0^{-1} = \max[\text{Ai}(\eta)]\), a constant introduced for making the peak intensity of \(u\) to be 1.

Using the above expressions, we obtain the explicit expression of \(E\) for the Airy SP propagating down to the NIMM-dielectric interface

\[
E_1(r, t; \omega_0) = \left(\mathbf{e}_2 - \mathbf{e}_2 \frac{i \beta_0}{k_1}\right) A(y, z) e^{i(\beta_0 z - \omega_0 t)} e^{i k_1 y} + \text{c.c.},
\]

\[
E_2(r, t; \omega_0) = \left(\mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}_2 \frac{i \beta_0}{k_1}\right) A(y, z) e^{i(\beta_0 z - \omega_0 t)} e^{-i k_1 y} + \text{c.c.},
\]

with \(A(y, z) = U_0 V_0 \text{Ai}
\left[
\frac{z^2}{R_0^2} - \frac{z^2}{4L_0^2}
\right] e^{i \left[\eta - \frac{z^2}{4}\right] / \sigma}.
\]

The Airy SP solution (16) and (17) has three notable features. (i) It is non-diffractive and bends along the parabolic trajectory \(y = z^2/(4 \beta_0^2 R_0^2)\). (ii) Generally, \(f\) is a complex number when \(\omega \neq \omega_0\) which means that the amplitude of the Airy SP increases or decreases during propagation. However, at \(\omega = \omega_0\) one has \(f = 0\) and hence the solution (16) and (17) has no attenuation upon propagation. We call such solution as lossless Airy SP. The reasons for the lossless propagation of the Airy SP are due to the contributions by the destructive interference effect between the electric and magnetic responses of the NIMM and by the ARG from the quantum emitters.

However, the Airy function expression (15) is of infinite energy, which is not realistic and unobservable. A finite energy (or truncated) Airy beam solution of Eq. (14) is given by \(u(\eta, s) = V_a \text{Ai}
\left[
\eta - \frac{z^2}{4} + i \alpha s
\right] e^{i \left[\eta - \frac{z^2}{4}\right] / \sigma}.
\]

where \(\alpha\) being a small, positive real number (apodization parameter) introduced to make the ideal Airy beam have an finite energy, and \(V_a \equiv \max[\text{Ai}(\eta) \exp(\text{ar})]\) is an auxiliary factor introduced for conveni-
ence (i.e. for setting the beam peak intensity to be 1 for any \( a \)). Then the explicit expression of electric field for the finite energy Airy SP upon propagation is still given by Eqs (16) and (17) but with

\[
A(y, z) = U_0 \mathcal{A} \left\{ \frac{y}{R_y} - \frac{z^2}{4L_{Diff}^2} + id \frac{z}{L_{Diff}} \right\} e^{-\left(\frac{2L_{Diff}^2}{R_y} + \frac{2L_{Diff}^2}{R_z} \right) a^2} e^{-\frac{1}{2} L_{Diff}^2 \frac{a^2}{2L_{Diff}^2}}.
\]

(18)

Shown in Fig. 3(a) is the intensity profile \( |u|^2 \) of the finite energy Airy SP propagating along the NIMM-dielectric interface (i.e. the \( y-z \) plane) for \( \omega = \omega_0 \). When plotting the figure, we have chosen \( a = 0.02 \) and \( R_y = 30 \mu m \) and the other system parameters the same as used above. Figure 3(b) shows the propagation of the intensity profile \( |u|^2 \) of the finite energy Airy SP in a similar system where the NIMM is replaced by a metal (i.e. a metal-dielectric interface). We see that the Airy SP undergoes no obvious absorption when propagating upon the NIMM-dielectric interface. In contrast, the Airy SP propagating along the metal-dielectric interface has a significant propagation loss and hence it attenuates very rapidly so that the bending of its motional trajectory cannot even be observed (Fig. 3(b)).

The system may acquire a neat gain through the quantum emitters. Figure 3(c) shows \( \text{Im}(f) \) for the NIMM-dielectric interface. For \( \omega = \omega_0 \) \( \text{Im}(f) = 0 \) (the green solid circle in Fig. 3(c)), and hence the system has an exact balance between loss and gain and a lossless Airy SP can be excited. For \( \omega > \omega_0 \) \( \text{Im}(f) < 0 \) (the red solid circle in Fig. 3(c)), and hence the system has a neat gain, which can be used to incompletely compensate the Ohmic loss in the NIMM and also the loss resulted by the introduction of the positive apodization parameter \( a \). In this case, the Airy SP can propagate to a long distance without any attenuation. Figure 3(d) shows the intensity profile \( |u|^2 \) of the Airy SP for \( \omega - \omega_0 = 220 \text{ s}^{-1} \) (corresponding the red solid circle in panel (c)).

**Airy surface polaritonic solitons.** Because for \( \omega > \omega_0 \) the system has a neat gain, the Airy SP will be amplified when propagating along the NIMM-dielectric interface. For a long propagation the Airy SP will be amplified significantly, the linear theory given above is no longer valid. Thus it is necessary to extend the linear theory to a nonlinear regime and consider the possibility to generate lossless Airy surface polaritonic solitons in the system.
To this end, we assume that the nonlinear effect in the system comes only from the quantum emitters due to the resonant character of the interaction between the EM field with the quantum emitters. To derive an envelope equation for the signal field with a weak nonlinearity, we assume the perturbation expansion $E_n(t) = g^{1/2}E_1^{(1)} + gE_1^{(2)} + g^{3/2}E_1^{(3)} + \cdots$ (with $E_n^{(m)} (m = 1, 2, 3, \ldots)$ the functions of the multi-scale variables $x, y, z$, and $\alpha = g\epsilon^2$). Substituting this expansion into Eqs (2) and (3), we obtain a set of equations similar to those given in Eq. (11), which can be solved order by order.

At the first two orders $(m = 1, 2)$, we obtain solutions of the signal field, which are the same as those given in the linear case presented above. The solution at the third order $(m = 3)$ is given in Method. The BC of at this order is nonlinear, which results in the nonlinear coefficient, with $k = \omega_p/c$, $W_{LW} = k^2(\mu_1 + \mu_2)k_1^2(k_2^2 + k_3^2)$ $(\beta^2 + k_1^2)(k_2^2 - k_1^2)|\text{Re}(k_2 + k_2)|$ and $\chi_0^{(3)} = \chi_0^{(3)}|_{x=0}$. The real part of $W$ (i.e. $\text{Re}(W)$) accounts for the self-phase modulation (SPM) effect corresponding to the self-focusing (for $\text{Re}(W) > 0$) or self-defocusing (for $\text{Re}(W) < 0$). Here we focus only on the self-focusing in order to generate bright Airy surface polaritonic solitons.

After returning to the original variables and making the transformation $y = R\eta, z = L_{Diff}^1, x = A = U_0u$, the above equation converts into the dimensionless form

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial s} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + i_0 + g, |u|^2 u = -i[I_0 + g, |u|^2 u],$$

(19)

with $I_0 = \text{Diff} \text{Re}(f')/(2\beta_0), I_0 = L_{Diff}^1 \text{Im}(f'/2\beta_0)$ and $g, = \text{Sgn}(|\text{Re}(W)|) L_{Diff}^1 / L_{NL}, g, = U_0^2 L_{Diff}^1 \text{Im}(W')/(2\beta_0)$, where $L_{NL} = 2/\beta_0/U_0^2 \text{Re}(W')$ is typical nonlinearity length. For obtaining a stable soliton, one requires a balance between the diffraction and the nonlinearity, i.e. $L_{Diff}^1 = L_{NL}$, and thus $U_0 = \sqrt{2/|L_{Diff}^1 \text{Re}(W')|}$.

Although Eq. (19) has complex coefficients, the imaginary parts of these coefficients can be made much smaller than their real parts due to the contribution by the ARG induced by the quantum emitters, and hence one can generate Airy surface polaritonic solitons when the initial profile of the signal-field envelope is an Airy function. For this aim, we give a realistic parameter set for the formation of an Airy surface polaritonic soliton in the system. By selecting $R_0 = 300 \text{nm}, \omega - \omega_p = 400 \text{ s}^{-1}$, and other parameters the same as those given in above discussion, we thus obtain $L_{Diff}^1 = L_{NL} = 1.14 \text{ cm}, U_0 = 1.23 \times 10^{-2} \text{ V/cm}$, and the dimensionless coefficients of the equations $i_0 = -4.59, i_0 = -0.35, g, = 1$, and $g, = -0.16 \times 10^{-2}$. One can see that the imaginary part of the coefficients are indeed much smaller than their corresponding real parts, hence in the leading order the terms in the right side of Eq. (19) can be safely neglected.

With the SPM coefficient $W$ given above it is easy to estimate the optical Kerr effect of the system by using the formulas $n = n_0 + n_2 I$ and $n_2 = W/(2\beta_0^3)$, where $n$ is total refractive index, $n_0$ is linear refractive index, $n_2$ is Kerr coefficient, and $I$ is the light intensity of the signal field. Based on the above parameters we obtain $n_2 = (4.58 - i0.73) \times 10^{-3} \text{ cm}^2/\text{V}^2$, which is quite large comparing with conventional systems (such as optical fibers).

We numerically solve Eq. (19) by using a split-step Fourier method, with the initial condition given by $u(s = 0, \eta) = u_0 V_{Ai}(\eta) e^{i\phi}$, where $u_0$ is an amplitude parameter, and $V_{Ai}^{-1} \equiv \max[Ai(\eta) \exp(\phi)]$ is, as defined above, a normalization factor of the amplitude dependent on the apodization factor $\phi$. Figure 4 shows the evolution of $|u|$ as a function of $y/R_0$ and $z/L_{Diff}^1$ for different $u_0$ with $a = 0.06$. We see that for a smaller $u_0$ (i.e. $u_0 = 0.5$) the Airy beam has a shedding of CW radiations (Fig. 4(a)) during propagation. However, as $u_0$ increases (i.e. $u_0 = 1.3$), a static surface polaritonic soliton (i.e. the straight bright strip near $y = 0$) is shed from the Airy beam (Fig. 4(b)), with additional CW radiations. As $u_0$ increases further (i.e. $u_0 = 2.4$), besides the appearance of a static surface polaritonic soliton ("soliton 1") in Fig. 4(c) which displays an obvious oscillation along $z$-axis, a pair of moving surface polaritonic solitons (i.e. "soliton 2" and "soliton 3" in Fig. 4(c)) is also generated. Two solitons in the pair have the same amplitude and opposite velocity, ensuring the conservation of the total momentum in the system. In this case, except for the production of the static soliton and the moving soliton pair, some CW radiations are also appear. Although these phenomena are similar to those found in refs 12,13, what we explored here is for Airy surface polaritonic solitons, which are not reported in literature up to now.

The threshold of the optical power density for generating the Airy surface polaritonic solitons can be calculated by using Poynting’s vector’s, which reads $P_{max} = 1.47 \text{ nW}$. Thus for generating the Airy surface polaritonic solitons very low input power is needed.

Discussion

The analysis presented above showed that lossless propagation of linear and nonlinear Airy SPs can be realized indeed via ARG. We now make some remarks on them. First, we have assumed, like that done in refs 37–40, the NIMM is spatially homogeneous. Such assumption requires the lattice constant $d$ of the array of meta-atoms (i.e. artificial subwavelength building blocks) in the NIMM must be at least one order of magnitude smaller than the wavelength $\lambda$ of the signal field. In this situation, the NIMM can be taken as an effective and spatially homogeneous medium. In our model, $\lambda = 780 \text{ nm}$ (i.e. at the red end of visible spectrum), hence $d$ must be less than 100 nm. Such optical NIMMs may be designed by using double-fishnet structures and are now available experimentally (see refs 34,41–44). However, in NIMMs there exist inhomogeneities due to the roughness of sample and the fluctuations in the meta-atom size of the meta-atom array, which may result in an inhomogeneous broadening for the absorption spectrum of the signal field. Related calculation including such inhomogeneous broadening can be carried out in our theoretical scheme, which is, however, beyond the scope of the present work.
Second, in our analysis the influences from the lower boundary of the NIMM and the upper boundary of the dielectric have been neglected. Such assumption is valid when the thicknesses of both the NIMM and the dielectric are large enough. Additionally, because both the linear and nonlinear Airy SP beams have curved trajectories during propagation, the theoretical approach presented above maybe violate the assumption of paraxial approximation. By simple calculations based on the results in Figs 3 and 4, we obtain the deflection angles of the linear and nonlinear Airy SPs to be about $2.3 \times 10^{-3}$ rad and $2.6 \times 10^{-3}$ rad, respectively. Such small deflection angles ensure the validity of the paraxial approximation used in the derivation of the envelope equations (14) and (19). In the case of large deflection angle, the paraxial approximation is broken and hence the approach given above must be generalized.

Third, noise is usually an important problem for a system where SPs are compensated by a gain medium. In our analysis the noise problem is not considered since it is another topic beyond the scope of the present work. We should, however, point out that in our system the noise induced by the ARG gain is not significant because in our consideration the one-photon detuning $\Delta_1$ is taken to be large (order of GHz), and hence the population in the level $|3\rangle$ is very small ($N_{31}^{(0)} \approx 2.40 \times 10^{-5}$). As a result, the gain contributed by the quantum emitters is not large and thus the noise induced by the gain, attributing to amplified spontaneous emission, plays a negligible role. Note that a significant gain is not needed in our scheme because the Ohmic loss in the NIMM has already been greatly suppressed by the destructive interference effect between the electric and magnetic responses in the NIMM; see Fig. 2 and related discussions. In addition, in our system the photon number in the signal field is large ($\approx 5800$), and hence the noise induced by the quantum effect of the signal field can be neglected.

In summary, we have proposed a scheme for realizing a lossless propagation of linear and nonlinear Airy surface polaritons in a NIMM-dielectric interface where three-level quantum emitters working in an ARG regime are doped. By using the ARG from the quantum emitters and the destructive interference effect between the electric and magnetic responses from the NIMM, we have shown that not only the Ohmic loss of the NIMM but also the light absorption of the quantum emitters can be completely eliminated. As a result, non-diffractive Airy SPs can propagate for a very long distance without attenuation. We have also shown that the Kerr nonlinearity of the system can be largely enhanced due to the contribution of the quantum emitters, and hence lossless Airy surface polaritonic solitons propagating down the NIMM-dielectric interface with very low power can be realized in the system. The lossless Airy SPs predicted here may have not only fundamental interest in the research of nanophotonics but also promising applications for the light information processing and transmission by using active micro-nano structures.
Methods

Bloch equations and solution for $\sigma_{32}$. Explicit expression of the Bloch equation describing the motion of the quantum emitters with the three-level ARG configuration reads

$$i \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Gamma_{21} \right) \sigma_{11} - i \Gamma_{12} \sigma_{22} - i \Gamma_{13} \sigma_{33} - \Omega_p \sigma_{31}^{\dagger} + \Omega_p \sigma_{31} = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (20)

$$i \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Gamma_{12} \right) \sigma_{22} - i \Gamma_{21} \sigma_{11} - i \Gamma_{23} \sigma_{33} - \Omega_p \sigma_{32}^{\dagger} + \Omega_p \sigma_{32} = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (21)

$$i \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Gamma_{13} + \Gamma_{23} \right) \sigma_{33} + \Omega_p \sigma_{31}^{\dagger} - \Omega_p \sigma_{32}^{\dagger} + \Omega_p \sigma_{32} - \Omega_p \sigma_{31} = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (22)

$$\left( i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + d_{21} \right) \sigma_{21} + \Omega_p (\sigma_{11} - \sigma_{22}) + \Omega_p \sigma_{21} = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)

$$\left( i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + d_{31} \right) \sigma_{31} + \Omega_p (\sigma_{11} - \sigma_{33}) + \Omega_p \sigma_{31} = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (24)

$$\left( i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + d_{32} \right) \sigma_{32} + \Omega_p (\sigma_{22} - \sigma_{33}) + \Omega_p \sigma_{32} = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (25)

where $\Omega_p (r) = \left( \mathbf{E}_p (r) \exp(i \beta_p r) \cdot \mathbf{p}_{32} \right) / h \left( \Omega_p = \left( \mathbf{E}_p \cdot \mathbf{p}_{32} \right) / h \right)$ is the half Rabi frequency of the signal (pump) field, with $\mathbf{E}_p$ being the electric field of the pump laser (which is a constant vector and given), $d_{21} = \Delta_2 + i \gamma_{21}$, $d_{31} = \Delta_3 + i \gamma_{31}$, and $d_{32} = \Delta_3 - \Delta_2 + i \gamma_{32}$. Here $\gamma_p = (\Gamma_2 + \Gamma_3) / 2 + \gamma_p^{\text{coh}}$ are coherence decay rates ($\Gamma_i \equiv \sum_{\langle j, k \rangle} \Gamma_{jk}$), with $\gamma_p^{\text{coh}}$ denoting the population decay rate and $\gamma_p^{\text{coh}}$ denoting the dipole dephasing rate from the state $|j\rangle$ to the state $|k\rangle$.

Solution of $\sigma_{32}$. We first give some remarks on the Eqs. (20–25). (i) In our ARG excitation scheme, the detuning $\Delta_3$ is assumed to be large enough so that inhomogeneous (energy-level) broadening of the emitters can be largely suppressed. (ii) As usual^3, the pump field is taken to be strong enough so that its depletion is negligible (i.e. $\Omega_p$ is a constant) during the propagation of the signal field. (iii) For CW excitations the time derivatives in Eqs. (20–25) can be safely neglected because the time duration $\tau_0$ of the pulsed signal field satisfies the condition $\tau_0 \gamma_{\text{max}} \gg 1$, with $\gamma_{\text{max}}$ being the maximum decay rate of the quantum emitters in the system. Therefore one can get $\sigma_{32}$ by solving Eqs. (20–25) algebraically.

For solving $\sigma_{32}$, we make the expansion $\Omega_p = \Omega_p^{(1)} + \Omega_p^{(2)} + \Omega_p^{(3)} + \cdots, \sigma_{jk} = \sigma_{jk}^{(0)} + \sigma_{jk}^{(1)} + \sigma_{jk}^{(2)} + \sigma_{jk}^{(3)} + \cdots$.

Then Eqs. (20–25) can be solved order by order. Base state solution reads

$$\sigma_{11}^{(0)} = \frac{\Gamma_{12}(\Gamma_{13} + \Gamma_{23} + D)}{(\Gamma_{12} + \Gamma_{21})(\Gamma_{13} + \Gamma_{23}) + (2\Gamma_{12} + \Gamma_{21} + \Gamma_{23})D},$$  \hspace{1cm} (26)

$$\sigma_{22}^{(0)} = \frac{\Gamma_{21}(\Gamma_{13} + \Gamma_{23}) + (\Gamma_{21} + \Gamma_{23})D}{(\Gamma_{12} + \Gamma_{21})(\Gamma_{13} + \Gamma_{23}) + (2\Gamma_{12} + \Gamma_{21} + \Gamma_{23})D},$$  \hspace{1cm} (27)

$$\sigma_{33}^{(0)} = \frac{\Gamma_{12}D}{(\Gamma_{12} + \Gamma_{21})(\Gamma_{13} + \Gamma_{23}) + (2\Gamma_{12} + \Gamma_{21} + \Gamma_{23})D},$$  \hspace{1cm} (28)

$$\sigma_{31}^{(0)} = -\frac{\Omega_p^{\text{coh}}}{d_{31}(\Gamma_{12} + \Gamma_{21})(\Gamma_{13} + \Gamma_{23}) + (2\Gamma_{12} + \Gamma_{21} + \Gamma_{23})D},$$  \hspace{1cm} (29)

and $\sigma_{32}^{(0)} = \sigma_{21}^{(0)} = 0$, with $D = 2\gamma_{31}[|\Omega_p|^{2} / |d_{31}|^{2}]$. For large $\Delta_3$, the above expressions are reduced to $\sigma_{11}^{(0)} \approx \Gamma_{12} / (\Gamma_{12} + \Gamma_{21}), \sigma_{22}^{(0)} \approx \Gamma_{21} / (\Gamma_{12} + \Gamma_{23}), \sigma_{33}^{(0)} \approx 0$, and $\sigma_{31}^{(0)} \approx -\Omega_p^{\text{coh}} / [d_{31}(\Gamma_{12} + \Gamma_{21})]$.

The solution of the first order reads $\sigma_{11}^{(1)} = d_{21}(\Omega_p^{(1)} - \sigma_{11}^{(0)}), \sigma_{22}^{(1)} = d_{31}(\Omega_p^{(1)} - \sigma_{22}^{(0)}), \sigma_{33}^{(1)} = d_{32}(\Omega_p^{(1)} - \sigma_{33}^{(0)}), \sigma_{31}^{(1)} = d_{12}(\Omega_p^{(1)} - \sigma_{31}^{(0)}), \sigma_{32}^{(1)} = d_{12}(\Omega_p^{(1)} - \sigma_{32}^{(0)})$, and other $\sigma_{jk}^{(1)} = 0$, where

$$a_{32}^{(1)} = \frac{\Omega_p^{(0)} \sigma_{31}^{(0)} + d_{31}(\sigma_{31}^{(0)} - \sigma_{22}^{(0)})}{|\Omega_p|^{2} + d_{31}d_{32}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (30)

$$a_{32}^{(1)} = \frac{d_{12}(\sigma_{31}^{(0)} - \sigma_{11}^{(0)} + \sigma_{31}^{(0)} - \sigma_{22}^{(0)})}{|\Omega_p|^{2} + d_{31}d_{32}}.$$

(31)
The solution of the second order reads \( \sigma_{ij}^{(2)} = a_{ij}^{(2)} [\Omega_{ij}^{(1)}]^2 \) \((j = 1, 2, 3)\), \( \sigma_{31}^{(2)} = a_{31}^{(2)} [\Omega_{31}^{(1)}]^2 \), and other \( \sigma_{jk}^{(2)} = 0 \), where

\[
a_{31}^{(2)} = -\frac{1}{d_{31}} \left[ a_{21}^{(1)*} + \Omega_p (2a_{11}^{(2)} + a_{22}^{(2)}) \right],
\]

\[
a_{11}^{(2)} = i \frac{(\Gamma_{12} + \Gamma_{23})(\Omega_{11}^{(1)} - \Omega_{21}^{(1)} - 2\Omega_{12}^{(1)} + 2\Omega_{23}^{(1)} - D)(a_{11}^{(1)*} - a_{21}^{(1)}) + (\Gamma_{11} + \Gamma_{12} + D)(a_{12}^{(1)*} - a_{22}^{(1)})}{(\Gamma_{12} + \Gamma_{21})(\Gamma_{13} + \Gamma_{23}) + (2\Gamma_{12} + \Gamma_{21} + \Gamma_{23}) D},
\]

\[
a_{22}^{(2)} = \frac{(\Gamma_{21} - \Gamma_{23})(\Omega_{11}^{(1)} - \Omega_{21}^{(1)} - 2\Omega_{12}^{(1)} + 2\Omega_{23}^{(1)} - D)(a_{11}^{(1)*} - a_{21}^{(1)}) - (\Gamma_{21} + \Gamma_{23} + 2D)(a_{12}^{(1)*} - a_{22}^{(1)})}{(\Gamma_{12} + \Gamma_{21})(\Gamma_{13} + \Gamma_{23}) + (2\Gamma_{12} + \Gamma_{21} + \Gamma_{23}) D},
\]

\[
a_{33}^{(2)} = -(a_{11}^{(2)} + a_{22}^{(2)}),
\]

The solution of the third order reads \( \sigma_{ij}^{(3)} = a_{ij}^{(3)} [\Omega_{ij}^{(1)}]^3 \) \((j = 1, 2, 3)\), where

\[
a_{12}^{(3)} = \frac{2\Omega_p a_{12}^{(1)*}}{[\Omega_p]^2 + d_{11}^2 d_{32}},
\]

and others are omitted here.

With the above results and using the definition \( \Omega_p (r) = (E_2(r) \exp(i\beta,\varphi) \cdot \mathbf{p}_{32})/h \), we obtain [up to the third order in \( E_2(r) \)]

\[
\mathbf{p}_{32} \sigma_{32} = \frac{|\mathbf{p}_{32}|^2}{h} a_{12}^{(1)} E_2(r) e^{i\beta,\varphi} + \frac{|\mathbf{p}_{32}|^4}{h^3} a_{12}^{(3)} |E_2(r)|^2 e^{-2 \text{Im}(\beta)} E_2(r) e^{i\beta,\varphi}.
\]

**Expressions of the signal field at the third order** \((m = 3)\). In the linear case, the expression of the solution of the signal field at the third order is given by

\[
E_{1z}^{(3)} = \left[ A_1^{(3)} + \frac{L_{1z}}{2k_1} \right] e^{k_1 x},
\]

\[
E_{1x}^{(3)} = \left[ -\frac{i\beta_0}{k_1} A_1^{(3)} + \frac{i\beta_0}{2k_1} L_{1z} - \frac{i\beta_0}{k_1} L_{1x} + \frac{L_{1x}}{k_1} \right] e^{k_1 x},
\]

\[
E_{2z}^{(3)} = \left[ A_2^{(3)} - \frac{L_{2x}}{2k_2} \right] e^{-k_2 x},
\]

\[
E_{2x}^{(3)} = \left[ -\frac{i\beta_0}{k_2} A_2^{(3)} - \frac{i\beta_0}{2k_2} L_{2z} - \frac{i\beta_0}{k_2} L_{2x} + \frac{L_{2x}}{k_2} \right] e^{-k_2 x},
\]

where \( A_1^{(3)}, A_2^{(3)} \) \((\alpha = 1, 2)\) are constants, and

\[
L_{1x} = \frac{\beta_0^2 + \eps_{10} \mu_2 k_0^2}{k_1} \frac{\partial A}{\partial x} - k_1 \frac{\partial B}{\partial y} - \frac{i\beta_0}{k_1} \frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial y^2},
\]

\[
L_{2x} = -\frac{\beta_0^2 + \eps_{10} \mu_2 k_0^2}{k_2} \frac{\partial A}{\partial x} + k_2 \frac{\partial B}{\partial y} + \frac{i\beta_0}{k_2} \frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial y^2} + \frac{i\beta_0}{k_2} k_0^2 \eps_{21} A,
\]

\[
L_{1z} = -2i\beta_0 \frac{\partial A}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial y^2},
\]

\[
L_{2z} = -2i\beta_0 \frac{\partial A}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial^2 A}{\partial y^2} - k_0 \eps_{21} A,
\]
The expressions of $E_{z\alpha}^{(3)}$ are not needed and thus omitted here.

In the nonlinear case, the expressions of $E_{z\alpha}^{(3)} (j = x, z)$ at the third order are the same as those in the linear case given above, while the expressions of $E_{x\alpha}^{(3)} (j = x, z)$ read

$$E_{xz}^{(3)} = A_2^{(3)} \left[ -\frac{L_{z\alpha} x}{2k_2} \right] e^{-k_2 x} + \frac{N_x}{4 \text{Re}(k_2) [\text{Re}(k_2) + k_2]} e^{2 \text{Re}(k_2)x - k_2 x},$$

$$E_{xz}^{(3)} = \left[ \frac{i\beta_0}{k_2} A_2^{(3)} - \frac{i\beta_0}{k_2} L_{z\alpha} - \frac{i\beta_0}{k_2} L_{z\alpha} x + \frac{L_{z\alpha} x}{k_2} \right] e^{-k_2 x} + \frac{1}{k_2} \left[ N_x + \frac{i(\beta_0 N_x [2 \text{Re}(k_2) + k_2])}{4 \text{Re}(k_2) [\text{Re}(k_2) + k_2]} \right] e^{-2\text{Re}(k_2)x - k_2 x},$$

where $A_{z\alpha}^{(3)} (\alpha = 1, 2)$ are envelope functions (their concrete forms are not needed), and

$$N_x = k_0^2 \beta_0^2 + \left| k_2 \right|^2 |\chi_{a0}^{(3)}|^2 |A|^2 A,$$

$$N_x = \left( \frac{\beta_0^2 + \left| k_2 \right|^2 [2/|k_2|^2 \text{Re}(k_2) + \varepsilon_{20}k_0^2k_2^2]}{\varepsilon_{20}k_0^2 |k_2|^2} \right) |\chi_{a0}^{(3)}|^2 |A|^2 A.$$

The expressions of $E_{z\alpha}^{(3)} (\alpha = 1, 2)$ are not needed and thus omitted here.
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