Active Bromoaniline–Aldehyde Conjugate Systems and Their Complexes as Versatile Sensors of Multiple Cations with Logic Formulation and Efficient DNA/HSA-Binding Efficacy: Combined Experimental and Theoretical Approach
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ABSTRACT: Two fluorescence active bromoaniline-based Schiff base chemosensors, namely, (E)-4-bromo-2-(((4-bromophenyl)imino)methyl)phenol (HL1) and (E)-2-(((4-bromophenyl)imino)methyl)phenol (HL2), have been employed for the selective and notable detection of Cu2+ and Zn2+ ions, respectively, with the simultaneous formation of two new metal complexes [Cu(L1)2] (1) and [Zn(L2)2] (2). X-ray single crystal analyses indicate that complexes 1 and 2 are tetra-coordinated systems with substantial CH⋯π/π⋯π stacking interactions in the solid-state crystal structures. These two complexes are exploited for the next step detection of Al3+ and Hg2+ where complex 2 exhibits impressive results via turn-off fluorescence quenching in (DMSO/H2O) HEPES buffer medium. The sensing phenomena are optimized by UV−vis spectral analyses as well as theoretical calculations (density functional theory and time-dependent density functional theory). The combined detection phenomena of the ligand (HL2) and complex 2 are exclusively utilized for the first time to construct a molecular memory device, intensifying their multisensoric properties. Furthermore, the DNA- and human serum albumin (HSA)-binding efficacies of these two complexes are examined by adopting electronic and fluorometric titration methods. Complex 2 shows a higher DNA-binding ability in comparison with complex 1, whereas in the case of HSA, the reverse situation is observed. Finally, the binding modes of both the complexes with DNA and HSA have been investigated through molecular docking studies, suggesting good agreement with the experimental results.

INTRODUCTION

Fluorescence-based techniques have gained great momentum in modern-day research for efficient and ultrafast detection of metal ions having physiological and environmental pertinences.1−4 The upsurge of research efforts for the construction of fluorescence-based chemosensors could be attributed to their better applicability for the selective and specific recognition of analytes compared to other analytical methods such as cyclic voltammetry,5 inductively coupled plasma mass spectroscopy,6 inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectroscopy, EPR spectral studies, etc. that are mostly expensive, single-analyte-driven scripulous systems. The implementation of metal–organic complexes as a possible chemosensory platform attracts much attention to this end due to their ease of synthesis, large choice of building precursors, diverse structural features, and most importantly potential luminescence properties.6−10 However, to endow a chemosensor with all such prerequisites, the organic skeleton should be rationally engineered and synthesized, which demands extensive future studies.

Aluminum and mercury are two well-known metals where the former is largely used in the cosmetics industry, pharmaceutical industry, food and packaging industry, etc., and the latter is one of the large-scale heavy metal contaminants that are discharged with industrial effluents.11−13 The abnormal accumulation of both these metals may cause several life-threatening diseases such as Alzheimer’s disease, Minamata disease, Parkinson’s disease, Hunter–Russel Syndrome, etc.14−18 Abnormal chromosomal disorder is also a malicious effect of Hg2+ poisoning, leading to long-term genetic deformities. Hence, the detection of these two metals is an utmost requirement. However, due to the inconvenience of the traditional analytical methods, finding a suitable recognition
tool seems more challenging to chemists. According to our previous discussion, fluorescence spectroscopic techniques could be an effective alternative, involving the synthesis of fluorescence active sensors appropriately designed for the recognition of metal ions of interest. In fact, this process could also be advantageous for the detection of other physiologically important metals such as Cu, Zn, etc.\textsuperscript{19–27} These two metals play an indispensable role in numerous biological processes.\textsuperscript{28–34} Unfortunately, the industrial revolution has led to critical environmental problems, which introduce these essential elements in a new perspective as “pollutants”. The excess release of Cu\textsuperscript{11} and Zn\textsuperscript{11} ions in the soil and ground and surface water causes severe risk to the ecosystem at its current levels of exposure.\textsuperscript{35,36} Thus, the detection of these two biologically and environmentally related metal ions is as an urgent need.

Apart from metal sensing, the fluorometric detection platform can also be utilized to study the interactions of metal–organic complexes with primary macromolecules present within living systems.\textsuperscript{37} This investigation is not only important to establish a relationship between the two disciplines, chemistry and biology, but at the same time, it is highly interesting in pharmacology to realize the probable interaction of drug molecules with system proteins. Designing metal complexes having structural and compositional variations and investigation of their binding efficacy with DNA are proved to be advantageous for developing effective cancer therapeutic drugs.\textsuperscript{38–46} The determination of different binding modes of DNA with studied complexes also helps in the systematic modulation of the drug molecules to have better efficacy. On the other hand, human serum albumin (HSA), the most abundant circulating protein present in human blood plasma, binds and transports therapeutic agents, acting as a potential drug carriage system.\textsuperscript{41} The HSA–drug interactions generally govern the extent of transportation, distribution, toxic side effects, and finally excretion of drug molecules in the human body.\textsuperscript{32,43} Hence, the exploration of the interaction of metal-based pharmaceuticals with DNA/HSA is still under investigation.

Addressing all these requirements, primarily, we have developed two fluorescence active Schiff base probes HL\textsubscript{1} and HL\textsubscript{2} by coupling of bromoaniline with salicylaldehyde and S-bromo salicylaldehyde, respectively (Scheme 1). The ligands HL\textsubscript{1} and HL\textsubscript{2} induce remarkable UV colorimetric and fluorometric changes, specifically for Cu\textsuperscript{2+} and Zn\textsuperscript{2+} among different metal cations, with the crystallization of two new complexes [Cu(L\textsubscript{1})\textsubscript{2}] (1) and [Zn(L\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{2}] (2), respectively (Scheme 1). The strong emissive nature of complex 2 is further utilized for the specific and rapid detection of Al\textsuperscript{3+} and Hg\textsuperscript{2+} with a low detection limit. Density functional theory (DFT) and time-dependent density functional theory (TD-DFT) calculations reveal the probability of formation of a bimetallic complex between Al\textsuperscript{3+} and complex 2 governing such efficient sensing phenomena. Considering the two-step detection achieved by the successive selection of HL\textsubscript{2} and its complex (2), a molecular memory device is formulated. Finally, the binding efficacies of both the complexes with ctDNA and HSA are studied thoroughly. The binding modes as well as the extent of binding of both the complexes are revealed via the help of combined experimental and theoretical techniques involving electronic and fluorescence spectral titration, circular dichroism (CD) analysis, and molecular docking studies.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### Synthesis and General Characterization

Complexes 1 and 2 are synthesized by reacting CuCl\textsubscript{2} and ZnCl\textsubscript{2} solution with HL\textsubscript{1} and HL\textsubscript{2} in respective solvents and are subjected to the following spectral analysis. The FT-IR spectral outcomes are primarily assessed to get an initial idea of the structural skeleton. The “C==N” stretching is observed in two cases within 1630–1660 cm\textsuperscript{-1}, suggesting the presence of an imine bond. The sharp bands ranging from 1410 to 1430 cm\textsuperscript{-1} could be attributed to the skeleton benzene vibration in both complexes (Figure S1 in the Supporting Information). The electronic spectra of complexes 1 and 2 are recorded in DMSO medium (Figure S2 in the Supporting Information). The absorption bands arise due to charge transfer transition in complexes 1 and 2 appearing at 337 nm and 401 nm, respectively. Unlike complex 2, the absorption band at 568 nm (visible region) for complex 1 is presumably due to the d–d transition. The \textsuperscript{1}H NMR (d\textsubscript{6}-DMSO at 25 °C) spectrum of complex 2 shows a signal for two imine protons at δ = 8.6 ppm, whereas all of the aromatic protons appeared at δ = 7.2–7.5 as a multiplet.

#### Structure Description of Complexes

**Complex 1** ([Cu(L\textsubscript{1})\textsubscript{2}]). Complex 1 is a mononuclear Cu complex that crystallizes in the monoclinic \textit{P}2\textsubscript{1}/c space group. The asymmetric unit comprises one fully occupied L\textsubscript{1} ligand and a half occupied Cu\textsuperscript{2+} ion located over a crystallographic inversion center. The central metal ion crystallizes in a square planar geometry in which the four positions are coordinated with two imine nitrogen and two phenoxy oxygen arising from the ligand backbone, resulting in six-membered chelate rings (Figure 1a). The obtained O1–Cu1–O1 and N1–Cu1–N1 bond angles are equal to 180°, indicating the formation of a perfect planar environment around the central atom. Structural analysis indicates that the phenolic −OH residue of the ligand undergoes deprotonation during crystallization, producing phenoxy ions, which further bears the counter charge, maintaining the electroneutrality of the complex. The crystal packing presumably takes place, favoring CH...π interactions [CH...centroid 2.874 Å] between the adjacent structural units (Figure 1b).

The observed Cu1–N1 and Cu1–O1 bond...
lengths are 2.011 and 1.888 Å, respectively. The other relevant bond lengths and bond angles are tabulated in Table S1 in the Supporting Information.

**Complex 2** ([Zn(L₂)₂]). Complex 2 crystallizes in the monoclinic C₂/c space group with the central metal ion located on a twofold crystallographic axis with the center of symmetry passing through it. Thus, the asymmetric unit contains one fully occupied L₂ ligand and one Zn²⁺ center situated over a special position (Figure 2), as mentioned above. The immediate environment around the central metal is a distorted tetrahedral where the four positions of the tetrahedron is coordinated with two imine nitrogen and two phenoxo oxygen arising from the ligand skeleton. Similar to the previous complex, here also the ligand undergoes deprotona-

---

**Figure 1.** (a) ORTEP view of complex 1 with 40% ellipsoid probability (H atoms are not shown for clarity) and (b) crystal packing favoring CH...π interactions.

**Figure 2.** (a) ORTEP view with 40% ellipsoid probability (H atoms are not shown for clarity), (b) polyhedral view around the central atom, and (c) crystal assembly.

**Figure 3.** Color changes visible by the naked eye after addition of (a) Cu²⁺ in the HL₁ and (b) Zn²⁺ in HL₂ ligand; fluorescence emission spectral change of (c) HL₁ ligand (4 × 10⁻⁷ M) at 485 nm in the presence of different cations, showing considerable fluorescence quenching for Cu²⁺ ions and (d) HL₂ ligand (4 × 10⁻⁷ M) at 471 nm in the presence of different cations, showing considerable fluorescence quenching for Cu²⁺ ions in 9:1 (DMSO/H₂O) HEPES buffer (pH = 7.4) solution.
tion during crystallization. The contiguous units are stacked with each other through extensive π...π stacking interactions [centroid...centroid 3.73 Å]. The Zn1—N1 and Zn1—O1 bond distances are found to be 2.022 and 1.898 Å, respectively. The other required bond lengths and the bond angles are provided in Table S1 in the Supporting Information.

Fluorescence and UV–vis Spectroscopic Signature of Ligand HL1 and HL2. As both the prepared ligands exhibit potential luminescence features, we commence our work by investigating the sensing power of HL1 and HL2 toward various cations such as Co2+, Fe3+, Na+, Cu2+, Ni2+, Cd2+, Mn2+, Zn2+, and Hg2+ in DMSO/water (9:1) HEPES buffer (pH 7.4). Under a UV lamp (λ = 365 nm), a prominent change in the luminescence of HL1 and HL2 was visualized by the naked eye via the selective addition of Cu2+ and Zn2+, respectively. No such significant changes were observed for other analytes, which instigated us to investigate the fluorescence spectral changes of the ligand via the addition of the said metal ions (Figure 3 and Figure S3 in the Supporting Information).

The ligands HL1 and HL2 showed emission maxima at 485 and 471 nm, respectively. To obtain a quantitative appraisal uniting the change in the fluorescence intensity of HL1 and HL2 with the added amount of Cu2+ and Zn2+, detailed fluorometric titration experiments were conducted in two cases, and the titration results are shown in Figure 4. The figure clearly depicts that after incremental addition of Cu2+ to HL1, the fluorescence intensity, centered at 485 nm, gradually decreases along with a blue shift of 6 nm (Figure S3 in the Supporting Information), whereas a considerable enhancement in the fluorescence intensity, centered at 471 nm, was observed when Zn2+ was added to HL2 solution (red shift 5 nm). The quenching constant for HL1 was determined to be 1.7 × 105 M−1 using the Stern–Volmer equation, \( F_0/F = K_{SV}[Q] + 1 \); where \( F_0 \) and \( F \) denote the emission intensity in the absence and presence of the analyte, respectively, [Q] stands for the concentration of the added analyte, and \( K_{SV} \) refers to the quenching constant value (Figure S4 in the Supporting Information). The limit of detection (LOD) was found to be 31.74 × 10−8 M and 39.8 × 10−8 M for HL1 to Cu2+ and to Zn2+, respectively, based on LOD = \( K \sigma/S \), where \( K = 3, \sigma \) indicates the standard deviation of the blank solution and \( S \) stands for the slope of the calibration curves (Figure S5 in the Supporting Information).

The remarkable quenching constant value for Cu2+–HL1 and significant enhancement of the fluorescence intensity for Zn2+–HL2 along with the shifting of spectral positions may be considered as the indication of the complex formation as the ligands contain potential donor centers that are able to form bonds with metal cores. To investigate and to evaluate the binding constants for HL1–Cu2+ and HL2–Zn2+ adducts, the UV metric titration was performed successively. The titration pictorial graph is depicted in Figure S6 in the Supporting Information. The stability of HL1 and HL2 in DMSO/water (9:1) solvent at a fixed pHe value (7.4) was confirmed by means of a time-scan UV–vis experiment (Figure S7, Supporting Information). At the same time, the stability of the two ligands are also examined at different pHe values and is shown in Figure S7, which justifies accomplishing the whole study at the fixed pHe 7.4. After the addition of incremental concentration of metal ions to ligands, the absorbance at 353 and 339 nm for HL1 and HL2 gradually decreases along with the formation of two isosbestic points at 382 and 371 nm, as expected, indicating the generation of single species in HL1–Cu2+ solution and HL2–Zn2+ solution. The calculated binding constants for the HL1–Cu2+ ion and HL2–Zn2+ ion adds are 1.019 × 105 M−1 and 4.59 × 105 M−1, respectively, indicating complex formation in two cases. According to the supposition, we were able to isolate two single crystals and characterize them accordingly, as we have mentioned in the previous section.

Response of Complex 2 toward Al3+ and Hg2+ by Fluorescence and UV–vis Spectroscopy. Among the two complexes, complex 2 possessed a potential luminescent character, as observed from the fluorescence responses. It displays a strong emission at 471 nm upon excitation with 360 nm light in DMSO/H2O (9:1) medium at pH 7.4. Thus, complex 2 can be reutilized for the second-step detection procedure. Keeping this point in mind, we further exploited complex 2 for the effective detection of metal ions where it showed the selective recognition of Al3+ and Hg2+ among all (Figure S8 in the Supporting Information). The fluorometric titration profile of complex 2 was recorded upon incremental addition of Al3+ and Hg2+ (5–100 μM) solutions to a fixed concentration of complex 2 in DMSO/H2O (9:1) HEPES
buffer solution at pH 7.4 (Figure 5). In both the cases, the fluorescence intensity rapidly diminishes with the blue shift of 13 nm and 22 nm, respectively. The quenching constant values \((K_{SV})\) are found to be \(1.78 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1}\) and \(1.19 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1}\) using the Stern–Volmer equation, as mentioned previously (Figure S9 in the Supporting Information). The detection limits of complex 2 for Al\(^{3+}\) and Hg\(^{2+}\) ions are found to be \(1.78 \times 10^{-8} \text{ M}\) and \(2.29 \times 10^{-8} \text{ M}\), respectively (Figure S10 in the Supporting Information). From the quenching constants and detection limit values, it can be further concluded that the sensing efficacy of complex 2 is higher in the case of Al\(^{3+}\) than in that of Hg\(^{2+}\) ions. The quenching constant values are very much comparable with other Al\(^{3+}\) and Hg\(^{2+}\) sensing organic probes,\(^{45,46}\) indicating the strong bonding association between the studied analytes and complex 2.

This fact is further substantiated by UV−vis spectral titration via the evaluation of the respective host−guest binding constants values. The absorbance is recorded after individual addition of Al\(^{3+}\) and Hg\(^{2+}\) (5−100 μM) to complex 2 in DMSO/H\(_2\)O HEPES buffer solution at pH 7.4 (Figure 5). As expected, the peak at 395 nm of free complex 2 gradually decreases and two new bands at 339 and 348 nm appeared after the addition of Al\(^{3+}\) and Hg\(^{2+}\), respectively. An isosbestic point at 360 and 375 nm, respectively, for Al\(^{3+}\) and Hg\(^{2+}\) appeared on the titration curve, indicating the existence of equilibrium, which further indicates the conversion of the free chemosensor to its bimetal complexes. The binding constants for complex 2 to Al\(^{3+}\) and complex 2 to the Hg\(^{2+}\) adduct are found to be \(1.5 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1}\) and \(5.5 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1}\), respectively. Due to the high binding constant value in Al\(^{3+}\) sensing, DFT studies and molecular advanced logic gate formation are performed for Al\(^{3+}\) sensing.

**DFT Calculations.** The lack of supporting experimental evidence regarding the formation of the Zn/Al bimetal complex, after the detection of Al\(^{3+}\) by complex 2, forces us to perform structure optimization with the help of DFT calculations. Since we have the crystal structure of complex 2, we again optimized the same in the B3LYP level of theory with SDD as a basis set in the gas phase. We observed that this basis set reproduces the geometrical parameters quite well. Hence, we have modeled the complex 2−Al\(^{3+}\) adduct and optimized with the identical level of theory and basis set to obtain the ground-state geometry. The polarizable continuum model (PCM) embedded in G09w has been used to create the solvent environment. Furthermore, it has been observed that CAM-B3LYP, which has the combination of the hybrid qualities of B3LYP and the long-range correction, can elucidate the UV−vis spectral properties efficiently.\(^{47,48}\) The geometry of the possible binding mode of complex 2 with Al\(^{3+}\) is shown in Scheme 2. The scheme depicts that after the detection of Al\(^{3+}\), a bimetal is formed where the Al\(^{3+}\) center occupies a distorted octahedral atmosphere. An experimentally performed UV−vis spectral titration exhibits a gradual decrease in the absorption maxima, centered at 394 nm, with the formation of a new band at 339 nm after the incremental addition of Al\(^{3+}\) to complex 2.

![Figure 5. Fluorescence spectral analyses of complex 2 in (DMSO/H\(_2\)O) HEPES buffer solution (pH = 7.3) (a) upon incremental addition of Al\(^{3+}\) ions (\(λ_{ex} = 360 \text{ nm} \) and \(λ_{em} = 481 \text{ nm} \)) and (b) upon incremental addition of Hg\(^{2+}\) ions (\(λ_{ex} = 360 \text{ nm} \) and \(λ_{em} = 481 \text{ nm} \)). Inset: visual color change observed under UV light (\(λ = 365 \text{ nm} \)) and UV−vis spectral change of complex 2 in DMSO/H\(_2\)O HEPES buffer solution upon incremental addition of (c) Al\(^{3+}\) and (d) Hg\(^{2+}\).](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.0c05189)
Scheme 2. (a) Formation Mechanism and Structure of the Zn/Al Bimetal Complex and (b) Major HOMO–LUMO Transitions in the Zn Complex and the Zn/Al Bimetal Complex

Theoretical calculations well supported the experimental results, indicating a decrease in the absorption maxima at 370 nm with the formation of a new band at 329 nm. From TD-DFT vertical excited-state calculations, the strong highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) (π type) to the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO; π* type) transition with an oscillator strength of \( f = 0.402 \) (329 nm) (Table 1) is observed in the case of the Zn/Al bimetal complex, whereas in complex 2, this energy gap is found to be slightly lower, with an oscillator strength of \( f = 0.2907 \) (370 nm). The energy diagram is shown in Scheme 2. This lower HOMO–LUMO energy gap in pure complex 2 in comparison with the bimetal complex may be attributed to the formation of a bimetal complex after the addition of Al\(^{3+}\) to complex 2.

**Molecular Logic Gate.** The photoluminescence experiment of the receptor HL\(_2\) forced us to investigate its behavior in numerous logic gates by successive addition of inputs like various cations such as Zn\(^{2+}\) and Al\(^{3+}\) and monitoring their photoluminescence changes at 471 nm as an output. HL\(_2\) has shown a much lower potential photoluminescence intensity than complex 2. Hence, here we investigated this experiment by considering the incremental photoluminescence properties of complex 2 and its quenching of emission intensity via sensing with Al\(^{3+}\). Inputs are contemplated as “1” in their presence and “0” in their absence. The results obtained by the instrument are considered as “1” when the emission intensity crosses the certain emission barrier (25% maximum of complex 2) and “0” when it failed to cross the emission barrier (Figure 6a). In the initial stage, in the absence of any inputs, no significant emission intensity was observed (ignoring the emission intensity of HL\(_2\)) and is marked as “0” (off state). Addition of input A (Zn\(^{2+}\)) to probe HL\(_2\) resulted in an output signal at 471 nm, marked as “1” (on state). With further addition of input B (Al\(^{3+}\)), no significant output signal is obtained. Again, with the simultaneous addition of both inputs to probe HL\(_2\), no output signal is observed and is expressed as “0” (off state). It is observed that HL\(_2\) exhibits a high photoluminescence output signal in this experiment with addition of input A. Now, we envision the consideration of “AND” operation and “NOT” operation with input B. Thereafter, it is noticed that the photoluminescence intensity decreases when both the inputs were present in the probe, which suggests the off state by examining the truth table. It can be concluded that this investigation is related to an “INHIBIT” logic gate, which consists of a specific arrangement of logical functions “AND” and “NOT” (Figure 6b,c).

**Molecular Memory Devices.** All of the information gathered from previous experimentation can further be congregated through successive logic circuits. These circuits hold the following response loop. In this memory device, one input is taken as the “memory element” by considering the output signal as an input. The memory device works on a binary logic gate function: either it will be “0” or “1,” alternatively the two crisp states. In the model that we have

| Table 1. Major Transitions with Osc. Strength and \( \lambda_{\text{ex}} \) of Complex 2 and Complex 2–Al Adduct Calculated* |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| compound        | wavelength (nm) | osc. strength   | major contributions |
| complex 2       | 359.37          | 0.2907          | H-1- > LUMO (56%), HOMO- > L + 1 (40%) |
|                 | 356.88          | 0.5296          | H-1- > L + 1 (43%), HOMO- > LUMO (54%) |
|                 | 296.44          | 0.1123          | H-1- > L + 1 (29%), HOMO- > LUMO (22%) |
|                 | 279.35          | 0.6025          | H-3- > LUMO (36%), H-2- > L + 1 (28%), H-1- > L + 1 (14%), HOMO- > LUMO (14%) |
|                 | 278.52          | 0.1389          | H-3- > L + 1 (27%), H-2- > LUMO (32%), H-1- > LUMO (14%), HOMO- > L + 1 (20%) |
|                 | 263.67          | 0.3036          | H-9- > LUMO (12%), H-5- > L + 1 (27%), H-4- > LUMO (24%), H-1- > L + 1 (12%) |
|                 | 451.55          | 0.1393          | HOMO(A)- > L + 6(A) (11%), HOMO(A)- > L + 8(A) (49%) |
|                 | 367.72          | 0.3161          | HOMO(A)- > L + 8(A) (12%), HOMO(B)- > L + 1(B) (33%) |
|                 | 363.44          | 0.3904          | HOMO(A)- > L + 1(A) (11%), HOMO(A)- > L + 1(B) (33%), HOMO(B)- > L + 1(B) (22%) |
|                 | 341.42          | 0.1553          | HOMO(B)- > LUMO(B) (73%) |
|                 | 329.62          | 0.402           | H-2(A)- > LUMO(A) (23%), H-2(B)- > LUMO(B) (36%), HOMO(B)- > LUMO(B) (18%) |
|                 | 295.34          | 0.3489          | H-4(A)- > LUMO(A) (38%), H-3(B)- > LUMO(B) (37%) |

*Bimetal complex using the CAM-B3LYP/SDD level of theory in DMSO solvent.
introduced here for the advancement of a significant mimicking of the memory element, we use Zn$^{2+}$ and Al$^{3+}$ designated as the set (S) and reset (R) inputs correspondingly and the photoluminescence was recorded at 524 nm as the output signal (Figure 7a). When Zn$^{2+}$ acts as an input in this memory function, the device recognizes the binary state “1,” while under the reset situation Al$^{3+}$ acts as an input. As a result, it is found that the device considered the binary state “0” (Figure 7b). Hence, it can be concluded that we have successfully constructed a consecutive logic circuit on the basis of the “Write—Read—Erase—Read” property. As a result, one can easily observe that by using the same solution of the complex, the write—erase cycles can be repeated many times without a significant decrease in the emission intensity. In summary, this system can be properly utilized in the technological field. Hence, molecular successive logic function circuits can be designed to show a comparable behavior with the logic devices of conventional semiconductors, and it can be expected that it can be a better technique for the construction of molecular microprocessors of integrated circuits. When Hg$^{2+}$ is used instead of Al$^{3+}$, the same result will be obtained.

**DNA-Binding Studies.** In this section, the photophysical properties of complex 2 along with the sensing efficacy to different cations as a metalloreceptor have been discussed. Next, we evaluate these complexes with respect to their DNA interaction ability. Several spectroscopic techniques have been used to establish the binding potentiality of the complexes with ctDNA as well as to identify the mode of interaction. Initially, the electronic absorption spectroscopic technique has been adopted to determine the binding constant of the ctDNA—complex moiety. The binding efficacy of complexes 1 and 2 with ctDNA was monitored using a UV spectrophotometer with regular addition of ctDNA (10–100 and 10–100 μM for complexes 1 and 2, respectively) in a fixed concentration of every complex (60 μM for complexes 1 and 2). To eliminate the absorbance of DNA, the total absorbance is recorded within the 300–400 nm region to understand the interaction of complexes with ctDNA. The change in the absorption of complexes 1 and 2 with an addition of incremental concentration of ctDNA is shown in Figure S11 in the Supporting Information. The absorption titration spectrum clearly indicates that complexes 1 and 2 show the absorption maxima at 374 and 335 nm, respectively, and after the addition of ctDNA, the absorption maxima gradually decreases along with a blue shift of 2–5 nm. The binding constant $K_b$ of each complex is measured from the ratio of the slope to the intercept in plots [DNA]/$ε_a$ versus [DNA] using eq 1 (Experimental Section). The best fit of the experimental values for complexes 1 and 2 (using eq 1) is also represented in the inset of Figure S11 in the Supporting Information. The binding constant values of complexes 1 and 2 with ctDNA are 1.87 × 10$^5$ M$^{-1}$ and 3.4 × 10$^5$ M$^{-1}$, respectively, indicating a significant binding potential of complex 2 in comparison with complex 1. The partial intercalation of complex 2 inside the base pairs of DNA along with groove binding may be responsible for its relatively higher binding affinity, whereas complex 1 only showed groove binding with ctDNA due to its more hindered structure. This is elaborately discussed in the section molecular docking.

**Ethidium Bromide (EB) Displacement Study.** The electronic titration results clearly revealed the effective binding potentiality of the complexes with the ctDNA. To get a clear idea about the nature of bonding and also the binding power, EtBr displacement experiments have been carried out.$^{30,51}$ Actually, ethidium bromide (EtBr = 3,8-diamino-5-ethyl-6-phenyl phenanthridinium bromide) exhibits strong orange
Due to the fact that complex has a higher binding constant of complex than complex 1, this can be attributed to the fact that the planar phenanthidine ring is ideally fitted into the minor groove-binding mode of the complexes with complex 1 than complex 2. After addition of the complex to the DNA, there is some partial intercalation along with groove binding as further highlighted in the section molecular docking.

Circular Dichroism Study. After completion of fluorescence quenching experiments, the circular dichroism study was carried out to determine the minor groove-minding mode of the interaction of complexes 1 and 2 with ctDNA. The CD spectra of ctDNA in the presence and absence of the guest (complexes 1 and 2) are shown in Figure S14 in the Supporting Information. The figure clearly indicates that a positive lobe and a negative lobe appear at 278 and 248 nm for complexes 1 and 2, respectively. The quenching constant value (CD displacement), a conclusion can be drawn that complex 1 can bind with DNA via a pure groove-binding mode. However, in the case of complex 2, there is some partial intercalation along with groove binding as a result of which complex 2 shows a lower binding constant than 1 in the DAPI displacement study. This explanation is further highlighted in the section molecular docking.

**Figure 8.** Fluorescence quenching of (a) EB–DNA and (b) DAPI–DNA adduct after the addition of incremental concentration (10–70 μM) of complexes 1 and 2.

| Concentration of Complex 1 (μM) | Emission Intensity (a.u.) |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 10                            | 70                       |

| Concentration of Complex 2 (μM) | Emission Intensity (a.u.) |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 10                            | 70                       |

(DAPI) displacement assay is performed. DAPI is a highly fluorescence active dye and a good minor binder to DNA. In this study, actually the fluorescence intensity changes are noted after the gradual addition of the complex to the DAPI–DNA adduct. The displacement of DAPI from DNA–DAPI adducts in the presence of a complex decreases the fluorescence intensity, and this is the main approach used for this displacement study. Figure S13 in the Supporting Information shows the emission spectral change of the DAPI–DNA domain in the absence and presence of complexes 1 and 2. It is to be noted that the emission spectra range between 450 and 535 nm with an excitation of 390 nm. An exceptional fluorescence quenching is observed after the addition of complex 1 to the DAPI–DNA adduct compared to the addition of complex 2 to the DAPI–DNA adduct.
canonical B form will remain more or less unchanged; however, in the positive zone, a very small peak will appear in the \( \approx 300 \text{ nm} \) region, and this is observed in the CD spectrum (Figure S14) after the addition of the complex to DNA. This new small peak appears due to the presence of the guest, which interacts with DNA via groove binding. In the CD spectrum, this type of new small peak appears in the region of 345–550 nm after the addition of each complex to DNA. This peak is more prominent in the spectrum of complex 1 than in that of complex 2, indicating pure groove binding in the case of complex 1.

Protein-Binding Study. From the foregoing discussion, we have achieved the first-step success in the biomedical application of the synthesized complexes, which is the effective binding efficacy of the complexes with ctDNA. HSA, the most abundant protein in plasma, is a monomeric multidomain macromolecule, representing the principal determinant of plasma oncotic pressure and the chief indicator of macromolecule distribution in between different body compartments, as it increases drug solubility in plasma, decreases toxicity, and protects from oxidation. Hence, as a next target, it is necessary to investigate the binding potential of complexes 1 and 2 with HSA. The interaction mechanism of HSA with the metal complex is the fundamental point to realize pharmacodynamics and pharmacokinetics. To understand the binding mechanism between complexes 1 and 2 with HSA, the electronic titration and fluorescence quenching experiments have been carried out.

Absorption Titration. In this process, actually the change in the absorbance is recorded after the addition of incremental concentration of HSA to a fixed concentration of the complex. Prior to this, we have recorded the UV–vis spectra of complexes 1 and 2 in the Tris buffer medium at different time intervals (12, 24, 36, and 48 h), which ascertain the extensive stability of the two complexes in the working buffer medium (Figure S15 in the Supporting Information). The UV–vis spectral changes of complexes 1 and 2 with the addition of HSA are shown in Figure 9. From this experiment, it is noted that the peak maxima of the UV–vis spectral band (in tris buffer) appear at 330 nm and 385 nm, respectively, for complexes 1 and 2 ([complex 1] = 80 μM; [complex 2] = 80 μM), and the absorbance of complexes 1 and 2 is decreased with a blue shift (3 nm for complex 1 and 5 nm for complex 2) after gradual addition of HSA (2–16 μM and 2–20 μM for complexes 1 and 2, respectively). The apparent binding constants (\( K_{qapp} \)) during the interaction of the compounds with HSA are measured by using eq 2 (Experimental Section). The association constants for complexes 1 and 2 are found to be 2.07 × 10^5 M\(^{-1}\) and 1.926 × 10^5 M\(^{-1}\), respectively. The binding constants indicate that both the complexes can effectively bind with HSA, but the binding constant is slightly higher for complex 1. This is clearly discussed in the molecular docking section.

Fluorometric Titration Study. HSA shows an inherent luminescence due to two chromophores, tryptophan (Trp) and tyrosine (Tyr). Under appropriate conditions, if tyrosine ionizes, then the emission intensity of HSA decreases, exhibiting the sole contribution of tryptophan. After analyzing the results obtained from the electronic titration profile, their interaction ability is checked using fluorometric analysis. In this procedure, the fluorescence intensity is recorded upon gradual addition of incremental concentration of complexes 1 and 2 to a fixed concentration of HSA. Impressively, a strong decrease in the emission maxima of HSA (40 μM) centered at 340 nm is observed after the addition of complex 1 (10–90 μM) and complex 2 (10–90 μM), adopting a concentration-dependent pathway (Figure 9). The titration profile is further utilized to measure the quenching constant value using the Stern–Volmer equation. The quenching constants for complexes 1 and 2 are found to be 2.1 × 10^5 M\(^{-1}\) and 1.4 × 10^5 M\(^{-1}\), respectively, which indicates the interaction ability of HSA with the two complexes. However, we have also calculated the bimolecular quenching rate constant (\( K_q \)) of HSA for both the complexes using the equation \( K_q = K_K \times \tau_0 \) where \( \tau_0 \) stands for the average decay lifetime of HSA in the absence of a quencher. The \( K_q \) values are found to be 3.91 × 10^13 M\(^{-1}\)S\(^{-1}\) and 2.60 × 10^13 M\(^{-1}\)S\(^{-1}\) for complexes 1 and 2, respectively. During the quenching procedure, the titration pictograph clearly showed that a hypsochromic shift is observed in both the cases. This
could be explained as a result of the association of the complexes with the Trp residue of HSA mainly in the hydrophobic domain. The higher binding efficacy of complex 1 to HSA is clearly explained in the molecular docking section.

Molecular Docking Study. Computer-aided docking techniques are very useful to measure the binding efficacy and identify the binding location of biologically active drug molecules in macromolecules. The mechanistic study of this binding procedure to determine the active sites of the targeted macromolecules is very essential to render biologically active drug molecules as therapeutic agents.57,58 In this perspective, after successful experimental studies with HSA and ctDNA of our synthesized complex 1 and complex 2, their active binding sites and binding locations have been interpreted theoretically. The docking space gives a chance to dock the whole binding sites of HSA and ctDNA molecules individually while generating the binding location with complexes 1 and 2. I n the perspective of HSA, mostly targeted molecules prefer the binding location either Sudlow site I (subdomain IIA) or Sudlow site II (sub-domain IIIA), depending on the nature of the functional groups.59,60 In our complexes, from Figure 10a,c it can be seen that both complexes 1 and 2 are buried inside the deep hydrophobic cavity between the protein subdomains IIA and IIIA and below IB. The most reasonable approach to represent the HSA–metal complex interaction is only through the residues in the 5 Å range around complexes 1 and 2, which are shown in Figure 10b,d. The attachment of complex 1 surrounded by the residues ALA-188, LYS-192, ARG-215, LYS-433, and TYR-449 is through five strong hydrogen bonds (1 H-bond 3.7 Å with LYS-433 and 1 H-bond 4.1 Å with TYR-449). However, binding residues present around complex 2 are LYS-192, LYS-433, and TYR-449 through three strong H bonds (1 H-bond 3.2 Å with LYS-192; 1 H-bond 2.3 Å with LYS-433; and 1 H-bond 3.3 Å with TYR-449). These H-bonding and van der Waals force of interaction play a major role in the binding affinity of the complexes inside the HSA domain. From the above investigated docking results, one can easily interpret that complex 1 binds more strongly with HSA than complex 2, and the respective docked binding energy values obviously follow similar trends, as shown in Table S3 (Supporting Information). The binding energy for the complex 1–HSA adduct is −8.96 kcal/mol higher than that of the complex 2–HSA adduct, having energy −8.29 kcal/mol. These theoretical findings are in agreement with UV–vis experimental results.

In the case of ctDNA–metal complexes, both complexes 1 and 2 are well fitted preferentially in the A–T-rich region of ctDNA, as shown in Figure 11. The docking results reveal that the binding positions of the metal complexes are within three A–T base pairs (DT8–DA17 to DA6–DT19) and one G–C pair (DG5–DC20). From the docked structure, it is interesting to see that complex 2 has the ability to entrap in the groove and slide between A and T base pairs as partial intercalation (Figure 11d–f). In this partial intercalation, the planar heterocyclic portion of complex 2 is present between adenine and thymine base pairs, whereas the remaining perpendicular part is extended in the minor groove of ctDNA. Alternatively, complex 1 manages to fit around the minor groove of DNA only. Decreasing the spatial hindrance around the sliding moiety increases the intercalative ability of complex 2.
compared to that of complex 1. From the binding energy values (−5.96 kcal/mol for complex 2 and −5.61 kcal/mol for complex 1), there is a clear reflection of the spatial hindrance effect, indicating that the partial intercalating complex 2 has greater binding energy than complex 1, which has groove-binding ability.

It can be noticed that complex binding is stabilized through H-bonding with adenine bases (2.8 Å for complex 1 and 4.1 Å for complex 2) and van der Waals interactions with the functional groups.

In partial intercalation, the gap between base pairs is slightly increased during the partial tilting of complex 2, and this orientation favored the possibility of strong van der Waals interaction in comparison with only the minor groove-binding complex 1.

The experimental results of UV−vis analysis is completely in agreement with the computational modeling results. Ligand efficiency and intermolecular energies are also tabulated in Table S2 in the Supporting Information, and they show the trends similar to binding energy. Therefore, from the above discussion on computational modeling, one can understand that Cu-based complex 1 has a high binding efficiency with HSA having strong H-bonding compared to Zn-based complex 2. In contrast, ctDNA favors less hindrance complex 2 through partial intercalation in A−T-rich base pairs compared to complex 1.

**CONCLUSIONS**

In summary, the treatment of bromoaniline with salicylaldehyde and 5-bromo salicylaldehyde leads to the formation of two fluorescence active Schiff base probe (E)-4-bromo-2-(((4-bromophenyl)imino)methyl)phenol (HL1) and (E)-2-(((4-bromophenyl)imino)methyl)phenol (HL2), having specific sensing ability toward Cu^{2+} and Zn^{2+} ions, respectively, through turn-off and turn-on fluorescence spectral changes. The electronic titration method reveals the high binding constant of the aforementioned metal ions with HL1 and HL2, which is in agreement with the formation of two new complexes, namely, [Cu(L1)2] (1) and [Zn(L2)2] (2). The sensing ability of complex 2 as a metalloreceptor for Al^{3+} and...
Hg²⁺ ions was tested by using fluorometric and electronic spectral titrations. DFT calculations have also been carried out to investigate the possible association of the mentioned analytes with complex 2. Furthermore, the two-step sensing phenomenon was utilized to formulate an INHIBIT logic circuit, which is additionally extended to construct a molecular memory device. Knowing the ability of the fluorometric method to determine the association of complexes with biomacromolecules, the binding efficacies of these two complexes with DNA and HSA are examined. On the basis of numerous spectroscopic investigations, it can be concluded that complex 2 has a higher binding potential toward DNA, whereas complex 1 shows better affinity toward HSA protein. Theoretical calculations and molecular docking studies have finally been performed to obtain a better view of the interaction region of the macromolecules with the newly developed complexes (1 and 2).

# EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

## Materials and Physical Measurements

All reagents and solvents used in this synthesis were commercially available. Reagent-grade chemicals were used in this experiment. Hence, no further purification was needed. Salicylaldehyde, 5-bromo salicylaldehyde, 4-bromo aniline, ctDNA, HSA, EB, and DAPI were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemicals. CuCl₂, ZnCl₂, and triethyl amine (Et₃N) were purchased from Merck. Methanol was used as solvent during synthesis. 1H NMR (CDCl₃, 25 °C) at 260 nm. ctDNA interaction studies were performed in a citrate-phosphate (CP) buffer of 10 mM [Na⁺] at pH 7.40 containing 0.5 mM Na₂HPO₄ and HSA interaction studies were performed in Tris buffer.

**Caution!** Mercury salts are extremely toxic, so proper caution should be taken before use.

## Synthetic Details

### Synthesis of Ligands HL₁ and HL₂

The ligands HL₁ and HL₂ were prepared by the condensation reaction of the corresponding amine and aldehydes following the literature procedure. 1H NMR [HL₁, CDCl₃, 25 °C]: δ = 6.630−7.524 (m, 7H), 8.604 (s, 1H), 12.988 (s, 1H); 13C NMR [HL₁, CDCl₃, 25 °C]: δ = 161.622 (Ar−C−O), 160.117 (HC=N), 147.042 (Ar−C=N), 135.714 (C, Ar−C), 133.435 (2C, Ar−C), 132.704 (Ar−C), 122.903 (2C, Ar), 119.515 (Ar−C), 110.800 (Ar−C). 1H NMR [HL₂, CDCl₃, 25 °C]: δ = 6.951−7.403 (m, 8H), 8.653 (s, 1H), 13.135 (s, 1H); 13C NMR [HL₂, CDCl₃, 25 °C]: δ = 163.092 (Ar−C−O), 161.117 (HC=N), 147.524 (Ar−C=N), 133.481 (2C, Ar−C), 132.586 (Ar−C), 132.000 (Ar−C), 122.903 (2C, Ar), 120.467 (Ar−C), 119.306 (Ar−C), 110.900 (Ar−C).

### Synthesis of [Cu(L₁)₂] (1)

CuCl₂ (0.119 g, 0.7 mmol) was dissolved in methanol (30 mL) and was added to 25 mL methanolic solution of the HL₁ ligand (0.248 g, 0.7 mmol) under continuous stirring conditions. The resulting solution was then refluxed for additional 3 h. The green colored solution formed was filtered, and from the filtrate single crystals were obtained within 1 day. Yield 0.462 g (75%).

### Synthesis of [Zn(L₂)] (2)

ZnCl₂ (0.995 g, 0.7 mmol) was dissolved in methanol and added to the HL₂ solution and by adopting the same method single crystals were obtained. Yield 0.356 g (72%).

### Sample Preparation for Fluorescence and UV Spectral Studies

The stock solutions of HL₁, HL₂, and complex 2 (3 × 10⁻² M) were prepared in DMSO/H₂O (9:1) HEPES buffer medium, and the stock solution of various metal ions (5 × 10⁻³ M) were prepared in the same medium by using their chloride salts.

### Computational Details

The energies of the optimized geometries of complex 2 and the Zn−Al bimetal complex were determined in the gas phase. For free and oxidized ligands, the solvent environment was determined by the DFT method with the Becke, three-parameter, Lee−Yang−Parr (B3LYP) hybrid functional for exchange correlation and SDD in the form of a basis set. To study the UV−vis spectral properties in detail, we have computed the major transitions by adopting the TD-DFT methodology using the CAM-B3LYP/SDD level of theory in DMSO solvent. The polarizable continuum model (PCM) has been used to account for the solvent effect. All of the calculations were performed using Gaussian 0987 and GaussSum software.

## ctDNA/HSA Interaction Studies

**Absorption Spectral Titrations.** For the absorption spectral titration study, a fixed concentration of the metal complex was treated with an incremental concentration of ctDNA/HSA. The binding constant (K) for the association of complexes with ctDNA was calculated using eq 1.

\[
[DNA]/(e_a - e_i) = [DNA]/(e_b - e_f) + 1/k(e_a - e_f)
\]

where [DNA] stands for the concentration of ctDNA in the base pairs, \(e_a\) represents the apparent absorption coefficient corresponding to \(A_{obs}/[\text{Complex} 1, 2]\), \(e_f\) is the extinction coefficient of the free complex, and \(e_b\) is the extinction coefficient of the complex, fully bound to ctDNA. The intrinsic binding constant \(K\) could be calculated from the ratio of the slope to the intercept by using the graph of [DNA]/(\(e_a - e_f\)) vs [DNA].
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At the same time, considering an equilibrium binding system, a quantitative assessment of the association of HSA was realized by determining the equilibrium constant for complex formation adopting the double reciprocal equation (eq 2),

\[ 1/\Delta A = 1/(e_b - e_f)L_T + 1/(e_b - e_f)L_T \times K_a \times 1/M \]  

(eq 2)

Where \( \varepsilon \) represents the extinction coefficient, the subscripts \( b \), \( f \), and \( T \) stand for the bound, free, and total complex concentrations, \( L \) represents the metal complex concentration, \( M \) is the concentration of the macromolecule (HSA), and \( \Delta A \) stands for the absorbance change at a given wavelength. The association constant for complex formation \( (K_a) \) can be evaluated from the ratio of the intercept.

**Fluorescence Spectral Studies.** EB was used for the displacement assay study to understand the competitive binding ability of the two complexes with ctDNA. The fluorescence intensities were noted after incremental addition of every complex solution to the ctDNA−EB adduct separately.

For proper identification of the interaction mode, DAPI displacement assay was also performed. In this case, the change in the fluorescence intensity of the DAPI−ctDNA moiety was recorded after incremental addition of each complex solution into the ctDNA−DAPI adduct.

In the case of HSA protein, fluorescence titration was monitored within the region of 250–450 nm upon excitation at 240 nm. In this study, after gradual addition of complexes 1 and 2 separately into the HSA solution, an impressive decrease in the emission intensity was noted.

**Circular Dichroism Study.** CD titration was carried out after the addition of incremental concentrations of complexes 1 and 2 to a fixed concentration of DNA (60 \( \mu M \)). The molar ellipticity values \([\theta]/\theta = 100 \times C/(C \times l)\) where \( \theta \) stands for the observed ellipticity in millidegrees, \( C \) represents the concentration in mol/L, and \( l \) refers to the cell path length of the cuvette in cm. The molar ellipticity \([\theta]/\theta = 100 \times C/(C \times l)\) results are presented in terms of base pairs within the region of 200–400 nm.  

**Molecular Docking.** The crystal structures of Cu-based complex 1 and Zn-based complex 2 were used as received from the crystallographic data for the input file of Auto Dock 4.2 software. Macromolecular crystal structures of HSA and ctDNA were used as obtained from the Protein Data Bank (PDB) identifier 1UOR and 1COC, respectively. Polar hydrogen and Gasteiger charges were added as required. For grid preparation, all domains of the HSA structure were kept under inspection. In ctDNA, the grid was maintained in such a way that the A−T and G−C bases were emphasized equally. Among 20 several docked structures in each category of the complex-macromolecular adduct, a minimum energy conformer was taken for further analysis. Other docking parameters were kept as default, as obtained by software programming. The docked files from Auto Dock were finally analyzed using the PyMOL and Chimera software package.

---

**Table 2. Crystallographic Data and Refinement Parameters of Complexes 1 and 2**

|          | 1                  | 2                  |
|----------|--------------------|--------------------|
| CCDC number | 2006526            | 2006525            |
| empirical formula | \( \text{Cu}_2\text{H}_8\text{Br}_2\text{CuN}_2\text{O}_2 \) | \( \text{Cu}_2\text{H}_8\text{Br}_2\text{ZnN}_2\text{O}_2 \) |
| fw       | 771.56             | 615.61             |
| crystal size/mm | 0.21 × 0.16 × 0.09 | 0.17 × 0.12 × 0.089 |
| crystal system | monoclinic          | monoclinic          |
| space group | \( \text{P}2_1/c \) | \( \text{C}2/c \) |
| \( a/\text{Å} \) | 9.8183(6)          | 21.738(3)          |
| \( b/\text{Å} \) | 10.6368(5)         | 9.0322(14)         |
| \( c/\text{Å} \) | 12.0379(6)         | 11.8880(18)        |
| \( \alpha^\circ \) | 90                 | 90                 |
| \( \beta^\circ \) | 100.785(2)         | 96.105(7)          |
| \( \gamma^\circ \) | 90                 | 120.785(2)         |
| \( V/\text{Å}^3 \) | 1234.98(11)        | 2320.9(6)          |
| \( D_{\text{calc}}/\text{g cm}^{-3} \) | 1.762              | 1.762              |
| Z        | 2                  | 4                  |
| F(000)   | 742                | 1216.0             |
| \( \mu/\text{mm}^{-1}\text{Mo Kα radiation} \) | 7.384\( \lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å} \) | 4.528\( \lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å} \) |
| T/K      | 296(2)             | 296(2)             |
| \( R_{\text{int}} \) | 0.0618             | 0.0721             |
| range of \( h,k,l \) | −12/11,−13/11,−15/15 | −30/30,−12/12,−16/16 |
| \( \theta_{\text{HSA/metal}}/\text{°} \) | 3.120/27.127       | 2.444/30.414       |
| reflections collected/unique/observed \([1 > 2\sigma(I)]\) | 7951/2683/2091     | 28329/3503/2552    |
| data/restraints/parameters | 2683/0/160         | 3503/0/152         |
| GOF on \( F^2 \) | 1.047              | 1.065              |
| final \( R_{\text{factor}}/R_{\text{index}}/\text{all data} [1 > 2\sigma(I)] \) | \( R_{I} = 0.05388wR_{II} = 0.1390 \) | \( R_{I} = 0.0503wR_{II} = 0.1574 \) |
|          | \( R_{I} = 0.0713wR_{II} = 0.1498 \) | \( R_{I} = 0.0717wR_{II} = 0.1726 \) |

---
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