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ABSTRACT

Theoretical investigations have been performed on hydrogen (H-) bonded complexes of two aromatic amines with anisole to investigate the effect of the methyl substituent on N – H⋯O and N – H⋯π interactions. Natural bond orbital (NBO) and quantum theory of atoms in molecules (QTAIM) analyses were done to elucidate the nature of H-bonding. In 1:1 complexes, the total interaction energy of N-methylaniline complex is higher than that of aniline complex. The existence of bond critical point between N–H of amine and oxygen of anisole confirms weak hydrogen bonding. The energy decomposition analysis showed the role of CT in stabilizing complexes.

1. Introduction

Aliphatic and aromatic ethers act as proton acceptors and they are used as solvents in many chemical industries. Aromatic amines such as aniline can be considered as models for biochemical systems such as nucleobases in DNA and RNA. The hydrogen bonding between base pairs in nucleic acid holds them together [1, 2, 3]. In proteins, the amino group interaction with π-electron cloud of aromatic ring was detected [4, 5]. Due to common existence of amino functional group in organic molecules, various studies have been carried out to characterize its interaction ability, effects on geometry and other physical properties. Amine-based solid adsorbents are used to capture flue gases such as CO₂, SO₂ by sorption [6]. Parthipan and Thenappan studied the dielectric and thermodynamic behavior of binary mixtures of anisole and aniline at different composition and temperatures to understand the molecular structures and intermolecular interactions [7]. Piani et al. concluded from the study of anisole-ammonia complex that the red shift of S₂ → S₀ transition in the Resonance-enhanced multi photon ionization spectrum is due to the interaction of hydrogen atom and lone pair on the nitrogen atom of the ammonia with π-electron density of aromatic ring and hydrogen atom of the methoxy group of the anisole respectively [8]. Recently, we established the formation of hydrogen bonded complexes between anisole and aromatic amines through ultrasonic and UV spectral studies (experimental). We found from the thermodynamic data of these complexes that of the two complexes, aniline formed more stable complex with anisole than N-methylaniline [9].

Major work on hydrogen-bonded complexes between aromatic amines which serve as proton donors is directed toward the frequency shifts infrared, ultraviolet and NMR spectra of amines as a result of complex formation [10]. Although there are thermodynamic data for the self-association of aniline and association of aniline and N-methylaniline with some heterocyclic compounds, there is no theoretical investigation of such studies with aromatic ethers [11]. Investigation of association of aniline or N-methylaniline with aromatic compounds indicated that they form 1:1 complexes in cyclohexane and also there was self-association of protic amine. These studies also revealed that formation of the N–H⋯π hydrogen bond of the electron-rich aromatic group may compete with N–H⋯N hydrogen bond [12]. The N–H⋯π hydrogen bonding interaction was studied for the 1-naphthylamine system and several proton donors by UV spectral method [13]. In this paper we present the results obtained in the DFT analysis of hydrogen bonded complexes of anisole with two aromatic amines (aniline and N-methylaniline), especially the role of N–H⋯O and N–H⋯π interactions in stabilizing these complexes.
2. Theory/calculation

Quantum chemical calculations of the complexes of anisole and two aromatic amines were performed by using Gaussian 09 program package [14]. The geometry optimizations of the isolated monomers and the hydrogen-bonded complexes were performed using ωB97X-D3 hybrid functional [15] which includes both long range exchange and Grimme’s dispersion of D3 version [16]. The 6–311++G(d,p) basis set was used for all atoms of the complexes [17, 18]. It may be pointed out that no imaginary vibrational frequencies were shown by the optimized geometries of stable complexes. In the calculation of interaction energies, counterpoise method was used to calculate the Basis set superposition error (BSSE) [19]. A conductor-like polarizable continuum model (CPCM) as implemented in GAUSSIAN 09 was applied to compute the electronic spectra of different hydrogen bonded complexes in n-hexane solvent [20, 21]. Arguslab 4.0.1 molecular modeling program was used to generate molecular electrostatic potential map [22]. Natural bond orbital (NBO) analysis was done to study the energy gap and hybridization bonds, natural atomic charge and electron transfer between levels in the hydrogen bonded complexes [23, 24]. AIM2000 was used to carry out topological analysis of the electron density of hydrogen bonded complexes and the corresponding wave function has been generated at ωB97X-D/6–311++G(d,p) level [25]. Chemcraft graphical program was used for visualization purposes [26].

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Optimized geometries and interaction energies

The hydrogen atoms of amino group of aniline and N-methylaniline can interact with oxygen atom or π-electron cloud of aromatic ring of anisole forming 1:1 and/or 1:2 complexes [27, 28, 29]. The structures of the 1:1 complexes (a1 and n1) were built by placing the amino group hydrogen of aniline and N-methylaniline close to the ethereal oxygen atom of anisole. However, in the 1:2 complexes (a2 and n2) stacking structure is observed in which the monomers are arranged in stacked fashion such that their substituents lie above the aromatic rings of one another (Fig. 1). In the case of 1:2 complexes (a3), one aniline and two anisole molecules are used for the N−H⋯O type hydrogen bonding. Absence of imaginary frequencies in all these structures confirms true minima. The structure of aniline (a) differs from N-methylaniline (n) just by methyl group substitution on the nitrogen atom. The H−N−H angle of aniline is 3.1° smaller than the H−N−CH₃ angle of N-methylaniline. With introduction of methyl group on nitrogen atom, the molecule becomes more planar with decrease in C−N and N−H bond length [30].

Table 1 contains the counterpoise corrected interaction energies between aromatic amines and anisole along with structural parameters of optimized geometry of monomers and complexes. The interaction

| Complex | ΔE (kJ/mol) | N−H bond length (Å) | C−N bond length (Å) | N−H−X angle (°) |
|---------|-------------|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| a      | -25.00      | 1.012, 1.017         | 1.392              | 161.6           |
| n      | -30.76      | 1.010                | 1.388              | 134.7           |
| a2     | -36.10      | 1.009, 1.010         | 1.392, 1.392       | 126.5, 124.6    |
| n2     | -38.76      | 1.009                | 1.385              | 157.8           |
| a3     | -48.52      | 1.011, 1.011         | 1.388, 1.388       | 207.1, 163.3    |

Table 1. Structural parameters such as bond lengths (Å), bond angles (degrees) and interaction energies (kJ/mol) of aniline, N-methylaniline and their hydrogen bonded complexes computed at the ωB97XD/6–311++G(d,p) level.

![Fig. 1. Optimized geometries of aniline, N-methylaniline and their hydrogen bonded complexes calculated at the ωB97XD/6–311++G (d,p) level of the theory.](image-url)
energies of stacking model of a2 and n2 depicting N–H⋯π type hydrogen bonding are -36.10 and -38.76 kJ/mol respectively. In 1:1 a1 and n1 complexes, the N–H⋯O interaction energies are -25 and -30.76 kJ/mol respectively. The calculated hydrogen bond lengths between H of amino group and O of anisole is 2.086 and 2.305 Å for the a1 and n1 complexes, which is much less than N–H⋯π bond length in a2 and n2 complexes. This difference is reflected in the N–H bond length. The a1 and n1 complexes have higher N–H bond elongation than in a2 and n2 complexes. This clearly shows that the interaction energy of a2 and n2 complexes includes other possible non-covalent interactions besides classical N–H⋯O hydrogen bonding. In a1 and n1, the interaction energy includes only N–H⋯O interaction. The difference in interaction energy, N–H and N–H⋯O bond length between a1 and n1 is mainly due to effect of methyl group substitution. The interaction energy of n1 is 5.76 kJ/mol lower than that of a1 complex. The a3 complex has the interaction energy of 48.52 kJ/mol, which is the highest among all the possible complexes with shortest N–H⋯O distance of about 2.07 Å. It may be noted that the formation of 1:2 complex (a3) formation is more probable only when aniline concentration is at least twice that of anisole concentration. In systems containing equi-molar concentration of amine and anisole the formation of 1:1 complex is more probable.

3.2. Surface electrostatic potential analysis

Whenever a covalent bond is formed between atoms with different electronegativity values, it polarizes electronic charge on atom towards the bond region. This leads to the potential difference across the molecular surface due to anisotropy of electronic density. Therefore electrostatic potential mapping can be used to determine the specific sites where intermolecular hydrogen bonding can take place. As shown in Fig. 2, the electrostatic component is more negative at oxygen atom than at aromatic ring in anisole molecule. In aniline and N-methylaniline, the hydrogen atom attached to nitrogen has higher positive electrostatic potential which shows that it can form H-bonding with anisole. Based on atom to atom interaction, the N–H⋯O type interaction seems to be stronger than N–H⋯π type interaction. But multiple intermolecular atoms interactions in stacking model of a2 and n2 complexes can compete with N–H⋯O interaction of a1, n1 and a3 complexes.

3.3. Vibrational analysis

The hydrogen bond existence can be characterized by N–H stretching vibration frequency and intensity. Fig. 3 shows the infrared spectra over the range 3500–3800 cm⁻¹ for monomers and their anisole complexes. The results are summarized in Table 2. The symmetric and asymmetric primary amine peaks appear at 3611 and 3712 cm⁻¹ respectively. For a1 complex, both stretching vibrations of NH₂ group shift towards lower frequency at 3576 and 3699 cm⁻¹. In a2 complex, the peaks appeared at 3600 and 3687 cm⁻¹. On comparison with a1 complex, the NH₂ group stretching vibration of a3 displays much higher downshifts at 3557 and 3565 cm⁻¹. The vibration band of N–H in N-methylaniline appeared at 3668 cm⁻¹ for monomer and lower frequencies at 3630 and 3637 cm⁻¹ for n1 and n2 complexes respectively. The results are consistent with experimental data [31].

Both in aniline and N-methylaniline the N–H⋯O type hydrogen bond complexes show higher red shifts of amino group frequency than in N-

![Fig. 2. Molecular electrostatic potential map (-0.05 to +0.05 Hartrees) on 0.007 a. u. isosurface of aniline, N-methylaniline and anisole molecules calculated using Austin Model 1 (AM1) parameterization.](image)

![Fig. 3. Infrared spectra in the 3500-3800 cm⁻¹ spectral range of isolated monomers (a and n) and their hydrogen bonded complexes (a1, a2, a3, n1, n2) computed with the ωB97XD/6-311+G (d,p) method.](image)

Table 2

| Complex | N-H frequency (cm⁻¹) | Epsilon | Force constant (d, p) |
|---------|----------------------|---------|---------------------|
| a       | 3611, 3712           | 68, 60  | 8.05, 8.92           |
| n       | 3668                 | 77      | 8.53                |
| a1      | 3576, 3699           | 505, 252| 7.92, 8.84           |
| n1      | 3630                 | 255     | 8.35                |
| a2      | 3600, 3687           | 89, 27  | 8.01, 8.78           |
| n2      | 3637                 | 141     | 8.39                |
| a3      | 3567, 3675           | 425, 1122| 7.85, 7.77          |
H–π type hydrogen bond complexes. The extent of red shifts shows that the former type hydrogen bond is stronger than latter type. The increase in the strength of hydrogen bond lengthens the N–H bond by reducing force constant and varying infrared intensity [32].

### 3.4. Electronic spectra

The time dependent density functional theory is used to generate an overlay electronic spectra of monomers and all complexes in n-hexan solvent are shown in Fig. 4 and their electronic excitation energies and corresponding oscillator strengths are presented in Table 3. The calculated absorption bands in aniline were found at 222 nm and 253 nm and in N-methylaniline at 228 nm and 260 nm were assigned to π→π* and n→π* transitions respectively. In aniline the π→π* transition mainly composed of a HOMO to LUMO transition. Similarly major contributions for π→π* transition in all hydrogen bonded complexes are listed in Table 3. All the hydrogen bonded complexes show 3–9 nm red shift of π→π* transitions with respect to the transitions observed in their monomers. If we compare 1:1 complexes of aniline and N-methylaniline, the electronic spectra showed π→π* transition of stacking model complex absorbed at higher wavelength than complex with N–H–O interaction. It may be noted that aniline based 1:2 N–H–O type complex showed the highest red shift. This red shift of transition displays existence of H-bonding between aromatic amines and anisole. Similar type of shifts was reported in the experimental study of same model complexes through ultrasonic application [9]. It was concluded from results that methylation of aniline and hydrogen bonding are responsible to shift π→π* transition towards higher wavelength.

### 3.5. NBO analysis

#### 3.5.1. Monomers

The hybridization state of a nitrogen atom and its effect on bonding order can provide clear image of methyl group effect on hydrogen bonding. Hybridization details with bond order and Wiberg total bond index of a and n based on the natural bond orbital (NBO) analysis is shown in Table 4. The nitrogen atom of aniline formed bonds with different atoms using different hybridized orbitals. In N-methylaniline, the presence of methyl substituent such pushes electron density towards nitrogen atom through inductive mechanism (+I effect). To stabilize these electrons, the σ-character of methyl bonded hybrid orbital of nitrogen atom increases with decrease in p-character. The difference in methyl bonded hybrid orbital reduces σ-character of remaining hybridized orbitals with increases in p-character. The decrease in σ-character of hybridized orbital holding lone pair reduces electron stabilization and increase in p-character make possible for lone pair electron to interact with π-electron of aromatic ring more firmly. This is reflected by decrease in nulliken charge on nitrogen atom from -0.348 in aniline to -0.136 in N-methylaniline. The combine effect of lone pair electron delocalization into aromatic ring and decrease in σ-character of hybrid orbital of nitrogen in C–N bond increases C–N bond order and Wiberg total bond index (number of covalent bonds) on nitrogen atom. Therefore N-methylaniline becomes more planar than aniline.

The decrease in σ-character of hybrid orbital of nitrogen in N–H bond makes electron free from nitrogen electonegative pull. As shown in Table 5, It was also observed that electron delocalization took place from σ[N-H]→σ[σπ-C(amine)] through hyper conjugation. The shared pair of electrons of N–H bond in N-methylaniline is more readily available for delocalization than in aniline. Hence bond order of N–H bond decreases. The better hyper conjugation in N-methylaniline increases polarity and reduces N–H bond length. These results show dominance of hyper conjugation effect over increase in p-character in N–H bond of N-methylaniline. The overall effect increases ionic character (INH) of N–H bond in N-methylaniline than in aniline.

#### 3.5.2. Hydrogen bonded complexes

The natural bonding orbital analysis has been reliable tool to study the charge transfer from donor to acceptor. In this method the electronic wave function is interpreted in terms of a set of occupied Lewis and a set of unoccupied non-Lewis localized orbitals. The second order perturbation energy $E^{(2)}$ associated with hydrogen bonding between a donor (i) and acceptor (j) is given by Eq. (1)

![Fig. 4. Electronic absorption spectra for π→π* transition of (a) a, a1, a2, a3 and (b) n, n1, n2 in n-hexane solvent calculated at the 6-311++G (d,p) level.](image-url)
The presence of hydrogen bonding is confirmed by topological analysis of the electron density using Bader’s quantum theory Atoms in Molecules (QTAIM). This method calculates the electron density \( \rho(r) \) and the Laplacian \( \nabla^2 \rho(r) \) of the electron density \( \rho(r) \) along bond path. The presence of a (3,1) bond critical point (BCP) between hydrogen donor and acceptor is considered as indicator of hydrogen bonding. The values of the electron density \( \rho(r) \) and the Laplacian of the electron density \( \nabla^2 \rho(r) \) at the BCP measure the strength of hydrogen bonding. The negative \( \nabla^2 \rho(r) \) value indicate electron accumulation and positive value indicate electron depletion. Koch and Popelier proposed the criteria for the existence of hydrogen bonding between atoms [34, 35]. For hydrogen bonding, \( \rho(r) \) is in the range of 0.002–0.034 a.u. and \( \nabla^2 \rho(r) \) lies within 0.024–0.139 a.u. The relation between topological parameters and \( \nabla^2 \rho(r) \) at the BCP can be obtained from virial theorem using Eqs. (2) and (3).

\[
E_1 = \frac{q_1}{e} \left( E_1 - E_2 \right)
\]

(1)

Where \( q_1 \) is the occupancy of the donor orbital, \( e_1 \) and \( e_2 \) are the respective donor and acceptor orbital energies and \( F_{ij} \) is the off-diagonal NBO Fock matrix element [33]. The NBOs involve in charge transfer between donor and acceptor are shown in Fig. 5. The transfer of electron (electrostatic interaction) of \( \text{A} \) and \( \text{B} \) is higher than aniline complex is due to higher ionic character of the aromatic ring of the aromatic amine to \( \sigma^* \) orbital of methoxy group of anisole was also considered. The second-order orbital interaction energies \( E_1 \), occupancies of acceptor and donor, amount of charge transferred and NBO deletion energy of hydrogen bonded complexes are listed in Table 6. In all complexes, there is small amount of charge transfer in the range of 0.16–0.36 a. u. from donor to acceptor. Both lone pair of oxygen atom of anisole are involved in charge transfer process. The stabilizing energy for \( n_p(O) \rightarrow \sigma^N-H \) orbital charge transfer is in the range of 0.16–0.36 a. u. from donor to acceptor. Both lone pair of oxygen atom of anisole are involved in charge transfer process. The stabilizing energy for \( n_p(O) \rightarrow \sigma^N-H \) orbitals charge transfer in a1, a1 and a3 are 20.81, 8.45 and 43.04 kJ/mol respectively. In stacking model, the stabilizing energy due to charge transfer from \( n_p(O) \rightarrow \sigma^N-H \) is 1.76 and 3.22 kJ/mol in a2 and 1.42 and 2.42 kJ/mol in a3 respectively. This results shows that charge transfer in N-H-N type hydrogen bond complexes is weaker than in N-H-O type complexes. The a2 complex shows highest stabilization energy than any other complex through \( n_p(O) \rightarrow \sigma^N-H \) charge transfer. The stability of hydrogen bonded complexes is reduced upon deleting the respective charge transfer orbitals involved in the H-bonding interactions. This specifies that higher NBO deletion energies is often associated with strong H-bonded complexes. Higher NBO deletion energy indicates higher destabilization of complexes. From NBO analysis it is found that both N-H-O and N-H-N type interactions of 1:1 N-methylaniline-anisole complex involves lesser charge transfer than in aniline complexes. Therefore, total interaction energy of N-methylaniline complexes is higher than aniline complex is due to higher ionic character (electrostatic interaction) of N-H bond. But it was reported experimentally that aniline can form more stable complex than N-methylaniline with anisole [9]. This may be due to the ability of aniline to form 1:2 complex along with 1:1 complexes, which is more stable than 1:1 complexes of N-methylaniline.

### 3.6. Topography of electron density of the hydrogen bonded complexes

The presence of hydrogen bonding is confirmed by topological analysis of the electron density using Bader’s quantum theory Atoms in Molecules (QTAIM). This method calculates the electron density \( \rho(r) \) and the Laplacian \( \nabla^2 \rho(r) \) of the electron density \( \rho(r) \) along bond path. The presence of a (3,1) bond critical point (BCP) between hydrogen donor and acceptor is considered as indicator of hydrogen bonding. The values of the electron density \( \rho(r) \) and the Laplacian of the electron density \( \nabla^2 \rho(r) \) at the BCP measure the strength of hydrogen bonding. The negative \( \nabla^2 \rho(r) \) value indicate electron accumulation and positive value indicate electron depletion. Koch and Popelier proposed the criteria for the existence of hydrogen bonding between atoms [34, 35]. For hydrogen bonding, \( \rho(r) \) is in the range of 0.002–0.034 a.u. and \( \nabla^2 \rho(r) \) lies within 0.024–0.139 a.u. The relation between topological parameters and \( \nabla^2 \rho(r) \) at the BCP can be obtained from virial theorem using Eqs. (2) and (3).

\[
E_1 = \frac{q_1}{e} \left( E_1 - E_2 \right)
\]

(1)

Where \( G(r) \), \( V(r) \) and \( H(r) \) are the electron kinetic energy density, electron potential energy density and total electron energy density at \( r \). The values of the electron density \( \rho(r) \) and the Laplacian of the electron density \( \nabla^2 \rho(r) \) at the BCP measure the strength of hydrogen bonding. The negative \( \nabla^2 \rho(r) \) value indicate electron accumulation and positive value indicate electron depletion. Koch and Popelier proposed the criteria for the existence of hydrogen bonding between atoms [34, 35]. For hydrogen bonding, \( \rho(r) \) is in the range of 0.002–0.034 a.u. and \( \nabla^2 \rho(r) \) lies within 0.024–0.139 a.u. The relation between topological parameters and \( \nabla^2 \rho(r) \) at the BCP can be obtained from virial theorem using Eqs. (2) and (3).

\[
H(r) = G(r) + V(r)
\]

(3)

Where \( G(r) \), \( V(r) \) and \( H(r) \) are the electron kinetic energy density, electron potential energy density and total electron energy density at \( r \). The values of the electron density \( \rho(r) \) and the Laplacian of the electron density \( \nabla^2 \rho(r) \) at the BCP measure the strength of hydrogen bonding. The negative \( \nabla^2 \rho(r) \) value indicate electron accumulation and positive value indicate electron depletion. Koch and Popelier proposed the criteria for the existence of hydrogen bonding between atoms [34, 35]. For hydrogen bonding, \( \rho(r) \) is in the range of 0.002–0.034 a.u. and \( \nabla^2 \rho(r) \) lies within 0.024–0.139 a.u. The relation between topological parameters and \( \nabla^2 \rho(r) \) at the BCP can be obtained from virial theorem using Eqs. (2) and (3).

### 3.7. Interaction energy decomposition analysis

It is important to know the composition of total interaction energy...

### Table 4

Change in %s and %p character of hybridized orbitals, bond parameters and natural ionicity parameter of monomers.

| Monomer | Hybridization of natural hybrid orbitals of nitrogen and its bonded atoms | N-H | N lone pair | Wiberg bond index of N atom | Bond order | is\(s,p^*\) |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------|-----|------------|---------------------------|-----------|------------|
| C\(\text{A}m\)-N | C\(\text{A}m\)-N | N-H | N lone pair | Wiberg bond index of N atom | Bond order | is\(s,p^*\) |
| a | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| n | 25.4% | 32.9% | 67.1% | 28.3% | - | 0.933 |

### Table 5

NBO Values of occupancy of donor and acceptor (in a.u.) and \( E_1 \) (kJ/mol) of monomers.

| Monomer | Donor (occupancy) | Acceptor (occupancy) | \( \Delta E_1 \) |
|---------|------------------|----------------------|--------------|
| A | \( \sigma_{\text{H}} \) (1.983) | \( \sigma^C(\text{amine}) \) (0.024) | 18.28 |
| A | \( \sigma_{\text{H}} \) (1.983) | \( \sigma^C(\text{amine}) \) (0.024) | 18.33 |
| N | \( \sigma_{\text{H}} \) (1.983) | \( \sigma^C(\text{amine}) \) (0.025) | 19.33 |
and the effect of methylation on this composition. The total interaction energy obtained during complex formation can be decomposed into charge transfer, ionic and deformation terms\(^3\). Eq. (4) was used to calculate the total interaction energy. Counterpoise method of Boys and Bernardi were included to avoid basis set superposition errors (BSSE).

\[
E_i = E^{(2)}_Q + E_Q + E_{DEF} + BSSE
\]  

Fig. 5. NBO computed orbital interactions corresponding to a1, n1, a2 and n2 of \(\pi_{\text{C} = \text{C(anisole)}} \rightarrow \sigma^{*}_{\text{N-H}}\text{ and/or } \pi_{\text{C} = \text{C(amine)}} \rightarrow \sigma^{*}_{\text{C-H}}\). Second order interaction energies given in parentheses are present in kJ/mol.
The amount of charge transfer (in electrons), occupancies of interacting donor-acceptor natural bond orbitals (units are in a.u.), their second-order perturbation stabilization energies (kJ/mol) and deletion energy (kJ/mol).

| Complex | Q<sub>CT</sub> | Donor (Occupancy) | Acceptor (Occupancy) | \(\Delta E^2\) | Deletion energy |
|---------|----------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------------|----------------|
| a1      | 0.33           | \(n_{\pi(1)}(1.957)\) | \(\sigma^*_{N-H}(0.017)\) | 18.74         | 57.07          |
|         |                | \(n_{\pi(2)}(1.862)\) |                      | 2.09          |                |
| n1      | 0.27           | \(n_{\pi(1)}(1.962)\) | \(\sigma^*_{N-H}(0.021)\) | 3.85          | 24.87          |
|         |                | \(n_{\pi(2)}(1.855)\) |                      | 4.60          |                |
| a2      | 0.24           | \(\pi_{C\equiv C}(1.696,1.711,1.651)\) | \(\sigma^*_{N-H}(0.008)\) | 1.38          | 12.17          |
|         | 0.25           |                      | \(\sigma^*_{N-H}(0.008)\) | 1.84          |                |
|         |                | \(\pi_{C\equiv C}(1.685,1.705,1.628)\) | \(\sigma^*_{C\equiv C}(0.017)\) | 1.76          |                |
| n2      | 0.24           | \(\pi_{C\equiv C}(1.695,1.711,1.651)\) | \(\sigma^*_{N-H}(0.018)\) | 0.54          | 11.30          |
|         | 0.18           |                      | \(\sigma^*_{C\equiv C}(0.017)\) | 1.42          |                |
| a3      | 0.36           | \(n_{\pi(1)}(1.956)\) | \(\sigma^*_{N-H}(0.018)\) | 21.25         | 117.38         |
|         | 0.36           | \(n_{\pi(2)}(1.862)\) | \(\sigma^*_{N-H}(0.018)\) | 0.29          |                |
|         |                | \(n_{\pi(3)}(1.862)\) |                      | 21.25         |                |

The deformation energy of each monomer is obtained from the difference in energy between the optimized geometry of isolated monomer and the deformed geometry of monomer within the complex. \(E_{\text{DEFF}}\) is calculated by adding deformation energy of all monomers involved in complex formation. The influence of \(E_{\text{DEFF}}\) is small on total interaction energy. The charge transfer term \(E_{\text{CT}}^{2}\) between interacting orbitals is obtained from NBO analysis. The ionic energy \(E_{\text{Q}}\) is calculated by substitution of all other terms in above equation. From energy decomposition analysis given in table, it is found that charge transfer is highest in \(a3\) and ionic interaction is highest in \(n1\) complex.

### 4. Conclusion

The effect of methyl group substitution on the strength of H-bonding has been studied with aniline and N-methylanilines as H-donors and anisole as H-acceptor at \(\omega B97X-D3/6-311++G(d,p)\) level of theory. We considered two types of interactions, namely, N–H...O and N–H...π in the formation of complexes. The possibility of both interactions was assured from molecular electrostatic potential map. The geometrical parameters and infrared spectra comparison showed red shift of N–H stretching frequency in all complexes. The \(\pi\rightarrow\pi^*\) transition in electronic spectra appeared between 220 and 240 nm and shifted to higher wavelength due to H-bonding. It may be noted that the charge transfer stabilizing energy is significant.

#### Table 7

Electron density \(\rho(r)\), Laplacian of the electron density \(\nabla^2\rho(r)\), the kinetic energy density \(G(r)\), the potential energy density \(V(r)\) and the total electron energy density \(H(r)\) (units are in a.u.) at BCPs of N–H...O and N–H...π type hydrogen bonds in both aniline and N-methylaniline complexes computed by QTAIM analysis.

| complex | Atom Pairs | \(\rho(r)\) | \(\nabla^2\rho(r)\) | \(G(r)\) | \(V(r)\) | \(H(r)\) |
|---------|------------|-------------|------------------|----------|---------|---------|
| a1      | H29–O23    | 0.0184      | 0.0684           | 0.0149   | -0.0127 | 0.0022  |
| n1      | H29–O23    | 0.0135      | 0.0436           | 0.0099   | -0.0089 | 0.0010  |
| a2      | H29–C1     | 0.0064      | 0.0184           | 0.0040   | -0.0034 | 0.0006  |
|         | H30–C4     | 0.0066      | 0.0184           | 0.0040   | -0.0034 | 0.0006  |
|         | C13–H24    | 0.0064      | 0.0192           | 0.0041   | -0.0034 | 0.0007  |
| n2      | H29–C3     | 0.0058      | 0.0180           | 0.0038   | -0.0031 | 0.0007  |
|         | C17–H24    | 0.0057      | 0.0156           | 0.0034   | -0.0029 | 0.0005  |
| a3      | H13–O26    | 0.0188      | 0.0712           | 0.0155   | -0.0132 | 0.0023  |
|         | H14–O42    | 0.0188      | 0.0712           | 0.0155   | -0.0132 | 0.0023  |

Fig. 6. Molecular graphs of a1, n1, a2, n2 and a3 complexes. Large circles correspond to attractors denote atomic positions: black-C, gray-H, blue-N and red-O. Small red circle denote bond critical points.
was higher for N–H⋯O interaction than N–H⋯π interaction. The hybrid orbitals of nitrogen atom are re-hybridized due to methyl substitution. This change in hybridization reduces C–N bond length due to increase in p-character and consequently N-methylaniline is made more planar than aniline. The AIM analysis at BCP on N–H⋯O and N–H⋯π interaction confirmed existence of H-bonding. The energy decomposition analysis provided evidence for increase in ionic energy with methyl substitution. The tuning of hydrogen bonding by changing substitution can find applications in biological systems, supramolecular chemistry and crystal engineering.

Fig. 7. NCI plots and plots of the RDG versus the electron density multiplied by the sign of the second Hessian eigenvalue (λ2) and RDG isosurface (s = 0.5) at the ωB97XD/6-311++G (d,p) level of the theory.
Table 8
Energy decomposition analysis (in kJ/mol) of a1, n1 and a3 complexes.

| complex | E1 | E2 | Eav | BSSE |
|---------|----|----|-----|------|
|         |     | aromatic amines | anisole |
| a1      | -25.00 | -20.83 | -8.01 | 0.18 | 0.43 | 3.23 |
| n1      | -30.76 | -8.45 | -27.05 | 0.85 | 0.34 | 3.55 |
| a3      | -48.52 | -21.54 | -12.09 | 0.49 | 0.32 | 5.52 |
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