In mammals, the structural basis for the interaction between U1 and U2 small nuclear ribonucleoproteins (snRNPs) during the early steps of splicing is still elusive. The binding of the ubiquitin-like (UBL) domain of SF3A1 to the stem-loop 4 of U1 snRNP (U1-SL4) contributes to this interaction. Here, we determined the 3D structure of the complex between the UBL of SF3A1 and U1-SL4 RNA. Our crystallography, NMR spectroscopy, and cross-linking mass spectrometry data show that SF3A1-UBL recognizes sequence specifically, the GCG/CGC RNA stem and the apical UUCG tetraloop of U1-SL4. In vitro and in vivo mutational analyses support the observed intermolecular contacts and demonstrate that the carboxy-terminal arginine-glycine-glycine-arginine (RGG) motif of SF3A1-UBL binds sequence specifically by inserting into the RNA major groove. Thus, the characterization of the SF3A1-UBL/U1-SL4 complex expands the repertoire of RNA binding domains and reveals the capacity of RGG/RG motifs to bind RNA in a sequence-specific manner.
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The evolutionarily conserved heterotrimeric complex SF3A, composed of subunits SF3A1 (SF3a120), SF3A2 (SF3a66), and SF3A3 (SF3a60), is essential for pre-messenger RNA (pre-mRNA) splicing (1). Together with the core U2 particle and the SF3B complex, SF3A forms the mature 17S U2 snRNP (small nuclear ribonucleoprotein) (2). During the early stages of the splicing reaction, U2 snRNP interacts with U1 snRNP while the latter is bound to the pre-mRNA at the 5′-splice site (ss) (3). In mammals, this interaction is mediated via a direct contact between SF3A1 and U1 snRNP stem-loop 4 (U1-SL4) that brings together the 5′- and 3′-ss to form the prespliceosomal A complex (4). Subsequently, the A complex recruits the preformed U4/U6/U5 tri-snRNP to generate the splicingomosal pre-B complex. Thus far, no 3D structures of any mammalian prespliceosomal A complex have been determined, and the cryogenic electron microscopy (cryo-EM) structures of the human 17S U2 snRNP contains SF3A1, but only part of the N terminus is visible (aa 160 to 286) (2). Recently, we reported that the carboxy-terminal UBL domain of SF3A1 is a noncanonical RNA binding domain interacting with U1-SL4 in mammals (16). Our study also indicated an involvement of SF3A1-UBL binding to U1-SL4 RNA is conserved across species; however, it lacks a structure analogous to the human U1-SL4 RNA binding domain of SF3A1.

Significance

Pre-messenger RNA (pre-mRNA) splicing is a key regulatory step in gene expression. The splicing reaction is mediated by the spliceosome, a dynamic complex comprising five small nuclear ribonucleoproteins (snRNPs), which assemble onto each intron in multiple steps. We present detailed structural analysis and supporting functional data of an important protein–RNA interaction between human U1 and U2 snRNPs. Our structure shows that an intrinsically disordered arginine-glycine (RGG/RG)–rich motif of a U2 snRNP subunit forms an RNA-sequence-specific connection with U1 snRNP. This study broadens the functional scope of unstructured RGG/RG-rich motifs in RNA binding proteins and provides a molecular basis of early steps of spliceosome assembly, which may help develop innovative therapeutic strategies against diseases originating from splicing defects.
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the positively charged carboxyl-terminal tail of SF3A1-UBL, containing an arginine-glycine-glycine-arginine motif (RGGR) followed by two lysines with U1-SL4 binding. However, atomic details of the SF3A-UBL/U1-SL4 interaction are not known, and the structural and sequence requirements remain poorly understood.

Here, we performed structural, biochemical, and functional analyses of the interaction between the human SF3A1 UBL...
domain and U1-SL4 (Fig. 1B). The presented crystal structure unravels the structural determinants for U1-SL4 recognition by SF3A1 and expands the repertoire of RNA-binding domains to UBL domains. Surprisingly, sequence specificity is achieved through the RGGR motif of SF3A1 C-terminal to the UBL domain, while the globular ubiquitin fold ensures the shape recognition of the structured UUCG tetraloop. Furthermore, our study provides structural evidence of how human U1 and U2 snRNP interact during the early steps of spliceosome assembly.

**Results**

**Importance of the Carboxyl-terminal Tail of SF3A1-UBL for U1-SL4 Binding.** The previously determined solution structure of SF3A1-UBL by the Structural Genomics Consortium (Protein Data Bank, PDB ID: 1ZKH, aa 704 to 789) demonstrated that the domain adopts a globular UBL fold made of five β-strands that are packed against an α-helix. As the carboxyl-terminal residues including the RGGR motif were not present in this structure, we solved the solution structure of SF3A1-UBL comprising residues 704 to 793 by NMR spectroscopy (Fig. 1C; SI Appendix, Table S1). The protein backbone of the core domain in both structures (residues 704 to 785) is very similar with a backbone root-mean-square deviation (r.m.s.d.) deviation of 1.58 Å (SI Appendix, Fig. S1A). Consistent with the poor chemical shift dispersion of the amide signals (Fig. 1D) and with low and negative values of the $^{1}$H, $^{15}$N heteronuclear Overhauser effect (hetNOE) (Fig. 1E), the carboxyl-terminal tail of SF3A1-UBL is disordered in our structural ensemble (Fig. 1C). This tail extends the positively charged surface of the core domain by adding five conserved basic residues (SI Appendix, Fig. S1B). To analyze the binding of SF3A1-UBL to U1-SL4, we performed an NMR titration of the $^{15}$N-labeled protein with the 24-nucleotide U1-SL4 RNA (Fig. 1B). Upon addition of the RNA, the amide proton resonances underwent chemical shift perturbations (CSPs) (Fig. 1D; SI Appendix, Fig. S1C). Saturation of the CSP was obtained at equimolar protein and RNA concentrations, consistent with a 1:1 binding stoichiometry. Moderate CSP localized on the loop between β1 and β2 and on β3 and β4, while the strongest changes were observed for the carboxyl-terminal tail of the protein, which contains the RGGR motif (Fig. 1C and F). Interestingly, backbone dynamics data (hetNOE) indicate that the carboxyl-terminal tail of SF3A1-UBL becomes partially ordered upon RNA binding (Fig. 1E). However, formation of additional secondary structure elements was not observed based on the analysis of backbone chemical shifts (SI Appendix, Fig. S1D). These data confirmed an involvement of the RGGR-containing carboxy terminus of SF3A1 in U1-SL4 binding. To obtain an initial mapping of the interaction site on U1-SL4, NMR titrations were performed by following the resonances of the RNA. The U1-SL4 helical stem comprises eight Watson-Crick base pairs; an internal, pyrimidine-rich mismatched loop; and a structured UUCG tetraloop (Fig. 1B). Binding of the SF3A1-UBL to U1-SL4 induced global CSP of the RNA resonances (Fig. 1G). Upon addition of SF3A1-UBL, essentially all pyrimidine bases of U1-SL4 underwent CSP of their H5-H6 resonances, and the strongest changes were observed for C147, C153, and C155 that are located in the upper stem (Fig. 1G). In total, 12 imino signals were detected for U1-SL4, corresponding to the Watson-Crick base-paired helix and the closing noncanonical U-G base pair of the UUCG tetraloop (Fig. 1H and I; SI Appendix, Fig. S1E). Furthermore, two strong imino signals of U145 and U156 of the internal loop of U1-SL4 were observed, indicating base pairing between these nucleotides. An imino signal for U157, which might form a noncanonical base pair with C144 in the RNA helix, was not detected, as observed previously for an SL with an identical internal loop (17). Upon addition of the protein, the imino signals remained detectable and experienced CSP, in particular of the internal loop bases U145 and U156 (Fig. 1H and I; SI Appendix, Fig. S1F). These results suggest that SF3A1-UBL engages in contact with a large surface of U1-SL4 (from the internal loop to the tetraloop) and that the RNA base pairing is preserved upon complex formation.

**Structure of SF3A1-UBL Bound to U1-SL4.** In order to understand the structural basis of RNA recognition by SF3A1-UBL, we crystallized the complex of SF3A1-UBL and U1-SL4 (Table 1; SI Appendix, Fig. S2A). Crystals obtained belonged to space group I222 and diffracted to 1.56 Å resolution. The structure was solved by a combination of molecular replacement using the structures of SF3A1-UBL and a UUCG tetraloop and native single-wavelength anomalous dispersion (SAD). The crystals contained one protein–RNA complex per asymmetric unit, and all nucleotides of U1-SL4 (nucleotides 139 to 162), as well as most SF3A1-UBL residues (aa 704 to 790 and main chain of R791), were visible in the electron density map (SI Appendix, Fig. S2 B and C). In complex with U1-SL4 (Fig. 2A), the carboxyl-terminal tail of SF3A1-UBL essentially maintains the same structure as in its free form (r.m.s.d. of 1.08 Å for 82 Ca atoms of residues 704 to 785; SI Appendix, Fig. S2D). Consistent with our NMR data, SF3A1-UBL establishes extensive contacts with the upper part of the SL4 RNA, and the carboxyl-terminal tail adopts a rigid conformation (Fig. 2D). The carboxyl-terminal residues insert into the RNA major groove, thereby enabling direct contacts with the three G-C base pairs located between the UUCG tetraloop and pyrimidine-rich internal loop. The base identity of G146 just upstream of the internal loop is specifically recognized by hydrogen bonds involving the side chain of Arg788 (Fig. 2G). The guanidinium group of Arg788 aligns with the Hoogsteen edge of G146 to form hydrogen bonds with O6 and N7 atoms. Importantly, the main chain of Gly789 forms additional base-specific intermolecular hydrogen bonds to the major-groove edges of C155 (N4) via the carbonyl oxygen and of G154 (O6, N7) via the amide proton (Fig. 2 F and G). Furthermore, the main chain atoms of Gly790 and Arg791 interact with the phosphate oxygens of G154 and C155, respectively (Fig. 2 F and G). Thus, both arginines and both glycines of the RGGR motif mediate sequence-specific recognition of the GCG/CGC upper stem of U1-SL4. Additional sequence-specific contacts to the G148–C153 base pair are mediated by the side chain of Lys786 and the main chain oxygen of Glu787, which precede the RGGR motif (Fig. 2E). The involvement of the carboxyl-terminal residues in direct sequence readout is consistent with the large CSP observed in NMR titrations (Fig. 1E). Since the SF3A1-UBL tail and the bound section of U1-SL4 are not involved in crystal packing, the observed contacts are unlikely to result from crystallization artifacts (SI Appendix, Fig. S2E). The SF3A1-UBL folded core contacts the apical UUCG tetraloop through aromatic stacking and hydrogen-bonding interactions enabling shape- and sequence-specific readout (Fig. 2B). The aromatic ring of Phe763 stacks on the base of C151 within the UUCG tetraloop. This agrees well with strong intermolecular NOE cross-peaks observed between the side chain of Phe763 (H6) and the H1', H5, and H6 of C151 observed in the 2D $^{1}$H, $^{1}$H nuclear Overhauser effect spectroscopy (NOESY) spectrum and the chemical shift changes of C151 seen in the 2D $^{1}$H, $^{1}$H total correlation spectroscopy (TOCSY) spectrum (SI Appendix, Fig. S2F and Fig. 1G). Additionally, Lys765 forms a salt bridge with the phosphate backbone of U150. The amide group of the Lys765 main chain is stabilized by a hydrogen bond with the highly conserved Tyr773. This likely explains the fivefold decrease in affinity and reduced U1 snRNP pull-down efficiency previously observed for a SF3A1-UBL Tyr773Cys mutant (16). Furthermore, Lys756 and Lys786
side chains contribute to the recognition of the UUCG tetraloop. Lys756 of β forms hydrogen bonds with the bases of U149 and C151. Lys786 forms hydrogen bonds with U149 of the UUCG tetraloop and G148 of the stem (Fig. 2C). Lys756 of the carboxyl-terminal tail of SF3A1-UBL in the major groove. The internal loop in the SF3A1-UBL bound state adopts base-pairing configurations that differ from those found in the unbound state (SI Appendix, Fig. S2J). Similar base-pairing configurations have been reported before for such internal loops (17, 19). Consistently, NMR data of the imino RNA resonances around the internal loop indicated altered base stacking, and the conformational change of U1-SL4 could explain the CSP observed in the β1–β2 loop of SF3A1-UBL upon RNA binding (Fig. 1D, E, H, and I).

### Mutational Analysis of the SF3A1-UBL/U1-SL4 Interaction.

To quantify the thermodynamic importance of the individual contacts between SF3A1-UBL and U1-SL4, we performed several mutational analyses and determined the binding affinities of several protein mutants by surface plasmon resonance (SPR) spectroscopy. Using this method, we found that SF3A1-UBL binds U1-SL4 with a dissociation constant (Kd) of 330 nM. We introduced changes in aa residues that mediated protein–RNA contacts in the crystal structure and exhibited NMR CSP upon RNA binding (SI Appendix, Fig. S2J) (18). This conformational difference could be explained by the presence of the tandem noncanonical 5’-CU-3’/5’-UU-3’ internal loop that allows the insertion of the carboxyl-terminal tail of SF3A1-UBL in the major groove.

#### Table 1. Data collection and refinement statistics

| Data set                  | SF3A1-UBL U1-SL4 (native) | SF3A1-UBL U1-SL4 (native SAD) |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Space group               | I222                      | I222                          |
| Unit cell                 |                           |                               |
| dimensions (a, b, c) (Å)  | 42.993, 62.722, 138.28    | 43.24, 62.78, 139.09          |
| angles (α, β, γ) (°)      | 90, 90, 90                | 90, 90, 90                    |
| Data collection*          |                           |                               |
| Wavelength (Å)            | 0.999987                  | 2.07505                       |
| Resolution range (Å)      | 41.06–1.56 (1.66–1.56)    | 41.05–2.00 (2.09–2.00)        |
| Rmerge, %                 | 4.3 (335.7)               | 5.9 (44.4)                    |
| Rp, %†                    | 1.2 (96.1)                | 0.6 (8.3)                     |
| Complementarity, %        | 99.6 (98.8)               | 99.58 (96.57)                 |
| Mean ln(I)                | 21.74 (0.75)              | 59.7 (6.0)                    |
| Multiplicity              | 13.3 (13.3)               | 85.6 (24.1)                   |
| CC12                      | 100 (66.6)                | 100 (98.7)                    |
| Wilson B                  | 42.83                     | 46.07                         |
| Refinement                |                           |                               |
| Data range (Å)            | 41.06–1.56                |                               |
| Rcryst, %                 | 21.79                     |                               |
| Rfree, %                  | 25.79                     |                               |
| No. of atoms per asymmetric unit |                       |                               |
| all atoms                 | 1,270                     |                               |
| protein                   | 699                       |                               |
| RNA                       | 506                       |                               |
| ligand                    | 17                        |                               |
| water                     | 48                        |                               |
| Average B-factor (Å²)     |                           |                               |
| all atoms                 | 75.97                     |                               |
| protein                   | 54.97                     |                               |
| RNA                       | 107.45                    |                               |
| ligand                    | 63.18                     |                               |
| water                     | 54.48                     |                               |
| Ramachandran plot         |                           |                               |
| favored regions, %        | 98.86                     |                               |
| disallowed regions, %     | 0                         |                               |
| Rmsd from ideal geometry  |                           |                               |
| bond lengths (Å)          | 0.007                     |                               |
| bond angles (°)           | 0.861                     |                               |

*Values in parentheses are for highest-resolution shell.
†Rmerge gives the precision of averaged intensities and is a better indicator for data quality in highly redundant datasets than Rfree, which penalizes redundancy (39).
compared to wild-type) (Table 2; SI Appendix, Fig. S3 C and D). A similarly strong effect was observed by mutating only Arg788 of the RGG motif, which forms two hydrogen bonds to guanine, to alanine (Kd higher than 25 μM and a more than 83-fold increase in Kd). Mutation of Arg788 to lysine, which has the same charge as arginine but can only form one hydrogen bond, also resulted in a more than 83-fold increase in Kd compared to wild-type (WT). However, the SPR sensograms for this mutant (SI Appendix, Fig. S3C) showed stronger responses at the same concentrations than for R788A, indicating higher affinity than the alanine mutant (R788A). These data demonstrate the important role of the two arginine-mediated hydrogen bonds of Arg788 for high-affinity binding of SF3A1-UBL to U1-SL4. Mutating the individual glycine residues of the RGG motif to bulky isoleucines

Table 2. Affinities and splicing activity of SF3A1-UBL mutants measured by SPR spectroscopy and in vivo reporter assays, respectively

| SF3A1-UBL construct | Kd [μM] | Fold increase of Kd compared to WT | Average exon 2 inclusion (%) | ΔPSI |
|---------------------|---------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|------|
| WT                  | 0.332 ± 0.03 | 1.0 | 57.46 | 0.00 |
| K717A               | 1.586 ± 0.27 | 4.9 | 50.05 | 7.41 |
| K754A               | 16.733 ± 4.38 | 52.0 | 46.78 | 10.68 |
| F763A               | 2.764 ± 0.3  | 8.6 | 49.25 | 8.21 |
| K765A               | 7.889 ± 0.71 | 24.5 | 50.19 | 7.27 |
| K786A               | >50     | >167 | 44.73 | 12.73 |
| R788A               | >25     | >83  | 42.97 | 14.49 |
| R788K               | >25     | >83  | n.d.  | n.d.  |
| RGG2AAA             | >50     | >167 | 50.52 | 6.94 |
| G789I               | 41.9 ± 5.7 | 130.1 | 46.12 | 11.34 |
| G790I               | 14.1 ± 3.12 | 43.8 | 49.65 | 7.81 |
| R791A               | 5.97 ± 0.5 | 18.5 | 48.98 | 8.48 |
| KK2AAA              | >25     | >83  | 51.76 | 6.38 |
| RKK2AAA             | n.d.    | n.d. | 51.76 | 5.7  |
| K754A/RGG2AAA       | n.d.    | n.d. | 42.33 | 15.13 |
| K765/RGG2AAA        | n.d.    | n.d. | 42.18 | 15.28 |

See SI Appendix, Fig. S3 for the sensograms of the SPR measurements. See Fig. 5 for primer extension analysis that yield the exon 2 inclusions. n.d., not determined (55).
also reduced affinity (130- and 44-fold increase in $K_d$ for G789I and G790I, respectively). These larger side chains likely induced steric clashes with the RNA, thereby highlighting the importance of having glycine residues that allow the main chain to mediate sequence-specific contacts with the RNA major groove. Mutation of Arg791 to alanine reduced the affinity to a similar extent as mutating Lys765, which is in contact with the phosphate oxygens at the apical loop (19- and 25-fold increase in $K_d$, respectively). Interestingly, Lys792 and Lys793, which are not ordered in our crystal structure, showed a strong reduction in affinity when mutated to alanine (more than 83-fold increase in $K_d$). Although potentially too dynamic to be observed in the crystal structure, these two lysine residues seem to contribute to the interaction with U1-SL4. In agreement with this, shortening of U1-SL4 by removal of the last G-C base pair induced altered amide CSP of these lysine residues compared to the longer U1-SL4 used in our study (SI Appendix, Fig. S4 A–D), indicating a potential interaction with the G-C base pairs of the lower stem of U1-SL4. Alanine mutation of Phe763, which stacks on C151 of the UUCG tetraloop, had only a mild effect on RNA binding (ninefold increase in $K_d$). Similarly, Lys717, which forms a salt bridge with the phosphate backbone, showed a moderate decrease in affinity when mutated to alanine (fivefold increase in $K_d$). Overall, the protein mutation experiments are all in agreement with the intermolecular contacts identified in the crystal structure of SF3A1-UBL:U1-SL4.

We next studied the contribution of individual nucleotides in U1-SL4 to complex formation by electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSA). Replacing the UUCG tetraloop with a GNRA (N, any nucleotide; R, purine) type tetraloop (GAAA) or mutating U149 of the UUCG tetraloop to a C only mildly decreased RNA binding (Fig. 3 A and B; SI Appendix, Fig. S4 E–I). Similarly, introducing perfect Watson-Crick base pairing in the mismatched internal loop by replacing U156 and U157 with 5'-AG-3' to introduce a regular A-form helix also had only a mild effect on RNA binding (SI Appendix, Fig. S4F). In contrast, when we swapped the G-C base pairs in the upper part of U1-SL4 or replaced them with A-U base pairs (Fig. 3 D–F), all mutants strongly reduced binding because of unfavorable placement of the bases’ functional groups that disrupt crucial hydrogen bonds. Previous splicing assays performed with U1-SL4 mutants highlighted the importance of G-C base pairs of U1-SL4 for SF3A1 binding and are in agreement with these binding assays (4). These data clearly demonstrate that the sequence-specific contacts mediated by the carboxyl terminus of SF3A1 to the GCC/GCG stem are crucial for the SF3A1-UBL:U1-SL4 interaction.

Validation of the SF3A1-UBL/U1-SL4 Interface in the Context of U1 snRNP. Pull-down experiments from HeLa cell nuclear extracts previously showed that SF3A1-UBL binds U1-SL4 in the context of U1 snRNP (16). To examine the interaction of SF3A1-UBL and U1-SL4 in the context of U1 snRNP, we analyzed an in vitro reconstituted U1 snRNP/SF3A1-UBL complex using the cross-linking of isotope-labeled RNA coupled with tandem mass spectrometry (CLIR-MS/MS) method (20) (Fig. 4 A). U1 snRNP was reconstituted using recombinant components (21), and SF3A1-UBL was added before ultraviolet (UV) irradiation to induce protein–RNA cross-linking. The detection of all U1 snRNP proteins and protein–RNA cross-links for U1-70K and SmD2 fit well with the U1 snRNP structure and confirmed the correct reconstitution of the particle (SI Appendix, Fig. S5A). For SF3A1-UBL, most cross-links localized around β3 and β4, containing Lys756, Phe763, and Lys765 that contact the UUCG tetraloop of U1-SL4. Consistently, the cross-linked nucleotides could also be mapped to the UUCG tetraloop sequence (Fig. 4 C and E). This cross-linking site was also detected in a 1:1 complex of SF3A1-UBL:U1-SL4 and was in agreement with the crystal structure (Fig. 4 B; SI Appendix, Fig. S5B), highlighting that the interaction is identical in the context of both the isolated U1-SL4 and the U1 snRNP particle.

To further validate these results, chemical shifts of 13C-labeled methyl groups of isoleucine, leucine, and valine of SF3A1-UBL were monitored upon addition of in vitro reconstituted U1 snRNP using NMR spectroscopy. 2D H, 13C heteronuclear multiple quantum coherence (HMQC) spectra of SF3A1-UBL showed identical chemical shifts for the methyl groups when bound to U1 snRNP and U1-SL4, respectively (Fig. 4 E and F). Consistent with the crystal structure and the initial NMR titrations, CSP localized on the loop between β1 and β2, on β3 and β4, while the strongest CSP was found at Leu785 of the carboxyl terminus (SI Appendix, Fig. S5C). This confirmed that the contacts made by SF3A1-UBL with U1-SL4 in the context of U1
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**Fig. 3.** Mutational analysis of the U1-SL4 RNA. (A) EMSA experiments performed with SF3A1-UBL and U1-SL4. (B) Loop mutant of U1-SL4 probed for binding to SF3A1-UBL. Bases different from WT U1-SL4 are shown in red. (C) Binding curves of the indicated U1-SL4 variants. (D–F) Mutants of the upper helical part of U1-SL4. Respective $K_d$ values were derived from curve fitting to the relative bound fraction per lane. GST, glutathione-S-transferase.
snRNP are identical to those observed in the crystal structure, and structural modeling further showed that the conformation of SF3A1-UBL bound to U1-SL4 is compatible with the remainder of the U1 snRNP structure (Fig. 4G).

**Functional Splicing Data Support the SF3A1-UBL/U1-SL4 Structure.** To assess the functional importance of the SF3A1-UBL/U1-SL4 interface, we performed in vivo splicing assays using a mini-gene reporter in HeLa cells (Fig. 5A). This reporter contains three exons with a mutated 5′-ss downstream of the second exon, which impairs base pairing of the endogenous U1 snRNA and therefore requires coexpression of a complementary U1 snRNA from a plasmid (U1-5a) for efficient exon 2 inclusion (4). Endogenous SF3A1 was silenced by treatment with SF3A1-targeting small interfering RNA (siRNA, siSF3A1), and exon 2 inclusion of the reporter was rescued by cotransfection with RNA interference (RNAi)-resistant SF3A1 (siSF3A1), and exon 2 inclusion of the reporter was rescued by cotransfection with U1-5a snRNA from a plasmid (U1-5a) for efficient exon 2 inclusion (4). Endogenous SF3A1 drastically reduced exon 2 inclusion in the nucleus bound to U1 snRNP–specific proteins (14). Seven of the nine point mutations that were tested significantly impaired the capacity of SF3A1-RNAiR to rescue exon 2 inclusion, although the magnitude of the effect was surprisingly small (change in percent spliced in, Δ% > ~6 to 15% compared to WT) (Fig. 5B; Table 2). Importantly, mutant and WT proteins accumulated to a similar extent and incorporated into U2 snRNP particles with similar efficiencies (SI Appendix, Fig. S6D–G). Two of the mutations, Lys717Ala (K717A) and Gly790Ile (G790I) that had only a mild reduction of binding to U1-SL4 as determined by SPR (Table 2), were also found to
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**Fig. 4.** Analysis of SF3A1-UBL binding to U1 snRNP. (A) Schematic representation of the SF3A1-UBL/U1 snRNP complex analysis. (B) Protein–RNA cross-links identified for 1:1 complex of SF3A1-UBL and U1-SL4 by CLIR-MS/MS plotted on the sequence of SF3A1-UBL. The bar colors represent the nucleotide composition of the RNA adducts. Protein–RNA cross-links are shown as counts of cross-link spectrum matches. (C) Cross-links identified for SF3A1-UBL bound to 5′-ss downstream of the sec-
Fig. 5. Mutations in SF3A1-UBL interfere with splicing rescue of the Dup51p minigene reporter under SF3A1 knockdown conditions. (A) Schematic representation of three-exon/two-intron Dup51p reporters depicting the splicing pattern upon siRNA-mediated knockdown and rescue with an siRNA-resistant construct. The asterisk indicates a mutant 5’-ss. (B) Primer extension analysis monitors the inclusion of exon 2 in RNA isoforms of the Dup51p minigene reporter. The mRNA products are shown schematically to the left of the gel image. All cells were transfected with siSF3A1 and plasmid harboring WT or mutant FLAG-RNAIR (RNA interference-resistant)-SF3A1. In the absence of the RNAi-resistant clone, exon 2 inclusion is inhibited (lane 1). Cotransfection with the WT RNAi-resistant SF3A1 clone rescues exon 2 inclusion under siSF3A1 treatment (lane 2), which is reduced if splicing rescue is performed using mutant RNAi-resistant SF3A1 (lanes 3 to 16). Percent exon 2 inclusion (n = 3; *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001) is plotted below the gel.

Discussion

The UBL Domain of SF3A1 Is a Sequence-Specific RNA Binding Domain. In this study, we solved the crystal structure of the SF3A1-UBL in complex with U1-SL4 RNA and uncovered the molecular details of this recognition. In contrast to previously characterized ubiquitin-like domains, SF3A1 UBL is capable of binding RNA in a sequence-specific manner. This study might provide insights into nucleic acid recognition by other UBL domains, like the N-terminal domain of TDP-43, which was also shown to be capable of binding nucleic acids (22, 23). Additionally, we identified another type of UNCG (N, any nucleotide) tetraloop recognition by an RNA binding protein. The only other structural information of this recognition is the RSV nucleocapsid protein in complex with the μΨR RNA packaging signal. This protein engages in a very different mode of binding, as two tyrosine residues of a CCHC-type zinc knuckle motif sandwich a solvent-exposed guanosine in the minor groove side of a UGCG tetraloop (Fig. 6B) (24, 25).

not significantly reduce SF3A1 splicing activity. Although the Lys792Ala-Lys793Ala (KK2AA) double mutant was also not significantly changed in splicing ratios, the SF3A1 mutant harboring changes to the full RKK motif (Arg791Ala-Lys792Ala-Lys793Ala, RKK2AAA) exhibited a significant reduction in exon 2 inclusion compared to WT (ΔPSI = 5.7%). Additionally, combining mutations of residues that interact with the tetraloop and stem of U1-SL4 such as Lys765Ala (K765A, ΔPSI = 7.3%) and Arg788Ala-Gly789Ala-Gly790Ala (RGG2AAA, ΔPSI = 7.0%), respectively, had an additive effect on SF3A1 splicing activity, as in the mutant K765A/RGG2AAA (ΔPSI = 15.3%). Thus, mutations of SF3A1 residues involved in binding to U1-SL4 led to impaired splicing in cells.

RNA Sequence Readout by RGG Motif. Our structure highlights the importance of the carboxyl-terminal tail of SF3A1 for RNA binding. We found that this tail rigidifies in the complex with U1-SL4 and that the RGGR motif makes sequence-specific contacts in the major groove of the upper helical part of U1-SL4. RGGR/RG motifs are frequently found in RNA binding proteins and contribute to RNA binding; however, structural details of these interactions are limited (28, 29). The first arginine (Arg788) of the RGGR motif in SF3A1 mediates a specific readout of the major groove edge of guanine by a widespread type of interaction that has been previously described as the “arginine fork” (30, 31). This critical intermolecular contact of Arg788 to a guanine of U1-SL4 is followed by two glycine residues. The glycines are not acting as passive spacers between arginines but also provide base oxygens and amides. Overall, the RGGR motif in SF3A1 allows...
the sequence-specific readout of a GCG/CGC stem mediated by six intermolecular hydrogen bonds (Fig. 2D). Consistent with its important role in U1-SL4 binding, mutations of the carboxyl terminus, particularly the RGGR motif, lead to the strongest reductions in affinity. However, the shape-specific contacts of the SF3A1-UBL core to the U1-SL4 helix and its UUGC tetraloop help positioning of the carboxyl terminus inside the major groove, which enables the formation of the network of sequence-specific hydrogen bonds by the RGGR motif, illustrating the complementarity of the interaction sites. Although the overall arrangement and recognition of the RNA conformation are different, the molecular details of RNA binding by the RGGR motif bear parallels to the structure of a peptide of fragile X mental retardation protein (FMRP) bound to a systematic evolution of ligands by enrichment (SELEX)-derived, high-affinity G-quadruplex ligand (32, 33) (Fig. 6D). The FMRP peptide contacts mainly G-C base pairs at the duplex–quadruplex junction, including recognition of guanines by arginine forks. Interestingly, the RGGGRGGR motif of FMRP folds into a type 1 β-turn upon RNA interaction, which is stabilized by hydrogen bonds within this motif and with surrounding glycerine residues. Similar secondary structure formation was also suggested for the RGG box of nucleolin (34, 35). However, the shorter RGGR motif in the C terminus of SF3A1 does not form new secondary structure elements upon U1-SL4 binding. This shows that protein secondary structure formation is not a prerequisite for base-specific RNA binding of RGGR/RG motifs.

The sequence-specific modes of binding of RGGR/RG motifs seen here for SF3A1 and earlier for FMRP are probably only two of the many ways RGGR/RG motifs may contribute to RNA binding and protein function. RGGR/RG motifs have been shown to exhibit a preference for G-C rich sequences, however, with a certain degree of flexibility for RNA sequence and structure (28, 36, 37). We recently found that the RGG/RG motif of FUS binds to the minor groove of several SL RNAs mainly via unspecified contacts and without adopting a rigid conformation (Fig. 6E) (12, 38). RGG/RG motifs also play a key role in mediating liquid–liquid phase separation of RNA binding proteins with and without RNA (28). Overall, these examples illustrate the great versatility of this small domain for several different tasks depending on the interaction partners present in the cell.

SF3A1-UBL Binding to U1-SL4 Contributes to Spliceosomal Assembly.

The structure of SF3A1-UBL bound to SL4 of U1 snRNP also provides insights into the process of spliceosomal assembly. Previous studies have established the importance of U1-SL4 for splicing and alternative splicing regulation (4, 13, 39). Mutations in U1-SL4 do not completely abolish U1 function, suggesting a redundancy in the interface between U1 and U2 snRNP in the human A complex (3, 29). Similarly to yeast, two or more interfaces could mediate the contact of U1 and U2 snRNP in the A complex. These additional interfaces between U1 and U2 snRNP could explain the comparatively mild effects on splicing activity that we observed when SF3A1-UBL was mutated. Furthermore, they could explain the absence of a clear correlation between the loss of affinity for U1-SL4 binding in vitro and the relative splicing activity of the SF3A1-UBL mutants. The potential contacts between other spliceosomal components might be strengthened in the absence of the interaction between SF3A1 and U1-SL4 in order to compensate for the altered interactions. We recently identified an interaction of U1-SL3 with the RNA helicase UAP56 that enhances complex A formation (14), and there are likely other protein–protein or protein–RNA contacts involved during complex A formation. Splicing regulatory factors were found to associate with spliceosomal complexes and could contribute to the pairing between the ss (40). An interaction between U1-70K and the 3'-ss–bound protein U2AF mediated by SR proteins has been reported in mammals (41). In fission yeast, the SR-like protein Rsd1 and Prp5 were reported to form a bridge between U1A and the U2 snRNP–specific protein SF3B1 (42, 43). The human homolog of Rsd1, RBM39, was shown to bind SF3b155 (another component of U2 snRNP) and was found in spliceosomal A complexes (40, 44), suggesting alternative contacts that help
bridging U1 and U2 snRNPs in mammals, it is possible that other proteins can bind to U1SL4, thereby compensating for the SF3A1-U1L4 mutations and leading to the differences in exon inclusion observed in this study that do not fully correlate with the SF3A1-U1L4/U1SL4 binding affinities.

Importantly, the structure of the SF3A1-U1L1/U1SL4 complex suggests potential mechanisms for alternative splicing regulation. Arginine residues within RGG/RG motifs are the preferred substrate for protein arginine methyltransferases (45). Therefore, it is conceivable that posttranslational modifications of the carboxyl terminus of SF3A1 could regulate splicing. Additionally, PTBP1 and other heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoproteins (hnRNPs) were shown to bind U1SL4 (4, 13). Future studies will investigate whether alternative splicing factors such as PTBP1 could compete with SF3A1-U1L1 for binding to U1SL4.

Materials and Methods
Protein Preparation. SF3A1-U1L1 (residues 704 to 793) was cloned into pET24b (Novagen) in fusion with an N-terminal G81 solubility tag and a 6×His tag cleavable by tobacco etch virus (TEV) protease (pET24-G81-TEV-U1L1). Mutants were generated by site-directed mutagenesis using the quick-change protocol and specific primers listed in SI Appendix, Table S3. All plasmids were sequenced and transformed into Escherichia coli BL21-Codon Plus (DE3)-RIL cells (Agilent Technologies) for protein expression (detailed expression protocol can be found in SI Appendix). The protein was purified by Ni-affinity chromatography and size exclusion chromatography in the NMR buffer [10 mM sodium phosphate (pH 6), 50 mM NaCl] (see SI Appendix for a detailed purification protocol). Final protein purity was checked by sodium dodecyl sulfate gel and analyzed for nucleic acid contamination using A260nm/A280nm. Protein concentration was estimated using A280nm by calculating with the theoretical extinction coefficient of 9,970 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ and stored at −80°C. All point mutants were produced using the same protocol, and their correct fold was assessed by recording a 1D 1H NMR spectrum (SI Appendix, Fig. S3). GST-SF3A1-U1L1 for EMSA experiments was prepared as before (16). U1 snRNP in vitro reconstitution was performed as described previously (21).

RNA Preparation. RNA constructs of human U1-SL4 (RNA sequence: 5'-ACUGCGUUCGCGCUUUCCCCUGUCGA-3') were prepared as described previously (21). The and SPR experiments, respectively, were ordered from Integrated DNA Technologies. U1 snRNA used in this study contains an optimal 5'-SS fused to the U1 RNA sequence. This duplex is stabilized by an apical GNRA-type SL (5'-GGGUAA) and 14 known secondary structure. This SL3-M1d variant, cell culture, transfections, siRNA-mediated knockdown, and exon 2 inclusion of the reporter was rescued by cotransfection with RNAi-resistant SF3A1 constructs (SF3A1-RNAiR). Total RNA from transfections were used in primer extension reactions, and exon 2 inclusion of Dup51p was monitored by separation of reaction products on urea-PAGE in several different conditions at 18°C. The best diffraction crystal was obtained in 100 mM Tris (pH 8.5), 200 mM LiSO₄, and 40% polyethylene glycol 400 (PEG400). Crystals were cryoprotected using reservoir solution supplemented with 15% (vol/vol) glycerol and flash frozen in liquid nitrogen.

Clr-MS/MS. For CLR-MS/MS experiments, samples of SF3A1-U1L1 bound to U1SL4 and U1 snRNP were reconstituted as described in SI Appendix. Preparations of the CLR-MS/MS samples, including cross-linking by UV irradiation, protease and RNase digestion, TiO₂ metal oxide affinity chromatography, C₁₈ solid phase extraction, and subsequent liquid chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectrometry and data analysis are described in detail in SI Appendix.

Surface Plasmon Resonance. SPR experiments were essentially performed as described previously (16) with small modifications. In two replicates (Experiments 2 and 3), protein stocks were diluted to a 7.5 μM (wild-type), 10 μM (G780I), 15 μM (K717A), 20 μM (F763A), 25 μM (K765A, K22A, R788A, R788K), 40 μM (K754A), or 50 μM (K786A, RGG2AA, G789K) concentration in SPR running buffer and nine twofold serial dilutions and were injected over all flow cells. Another replicate (Experiment 1) was performed using protein stocks diluted to 1 μM (wild-type) and 20 μM (mutants) concentration and four to five twofold serial dilutions. Dissociation constants (K₈) shown in Table 2 are averages from three experiments (SI Appendix, Table S2), and fold change was calculated for mutant proteins relative to WT. For more details, see SI Appendix.

Electrophoretic Motility Shift Assays. EMSA experiments were performed as described previously (16), and more details can be found in SI Appendix.

In Vivo Splicing Assays. The three-exon/two-intron reporter pDUP51p and the U1 snRNA expression plasmid pM56U1 have been described previously (4). A construct expressing U1-5a snRNA carrying U1-SL3 mutation M1d (U1-5a-SL3-M1d) was used in all splicing assays. In HeLa cells, endogenous SF3A1 was silenced by treatment with SF3A1-targeting siRNA (siSF3A1) and exon 2 inclusion of the reporter was rescued by cotransfection with RNAi-resistant SF3A1 constructs (SF3A1-RNAiR). Total RNA from transfections were used in primer extension reactions, and exon 2 inclusion of Dup51p was monitored by separation of reaction products on urea-PAGE gels. Expression of RNAi-resistant SF3A1 protein in HeLa cells under knockdown conditions was confirmed by Western blot. For details on the U1-SL3-M1d variant, cell culture, transfections, siRNA-mediated knockdown, rescue experiments, primer extension, Western blot, and immunoprecipitation, see SI Appendix.

Data Availability. Coordinates of the SF3A1-U1L1 solution structure and the SF3A1-U1L1-U1SL4 crystal structure have been deposited at the Protein Data Bank under accession numbers 7P08 and 7P0V, respectively. The chemical shifts and NOE-ASSIGN spectra were used for structure determination at the NMR facility of ETH Zürich. The best diffraction data were recorded at a wavelength of 0.999987 Å on an EIGER 16M detector and DA- software at the PSI beamline of the Swiss Light Source (Paul Scherrer Institute, Villigen, Switzerland) at a temperature of 100 K. The data were processed, and the structure was solved and refined as described in SI Appendix. The structural model of SF3A1-U1L1 bound to U1-SL4 was deposited in Pymol by superimposing the coordinates of U1SL4 of the crystal structure with those of U1 snRNP of the precataytic spliceosome (pre-B complex, PDB ID: 6QX9).
Light Source PXI and PXIII beamslines, in particular Vincent Olieric (PSI, Switzerland) for support during data collection and Nenad Ban for access to crystallization equipment. This work was supported by ETH Zurich (Research Grant ETH-24 16-2 to A.L., F.H.-T.A.), the Swiss National Science Foundation through National Center of Competence in Research, RNA & Disease (NCCR RNA & Disease, grants to F.H.-T.A., A.L., and S.J.), project grant no. 310030_189379 (to F.H.-T.A.), and 3100A-179498 (to S.J.). K.S. was supported by a PhD fellowship of the German Academic Scholarship Foundation.

1. D. Nesic, A. Kramer, Domains in human splicing factors SF3a60 and SF3a66 required for binding to SF3a120, assembly of the 17S U2 snRNP, and prespliceosome formation. Mol. Cell. Biol. 21, 6406–6417 (2001).

2. Z. Zhang et al., Molecular architecture of the human 17S U2 snRNP. Nature 583, 310–313 (2020).

3. S. Campagne, C. J. Huang, F. Ferfoglia, F. Raleff, A. Kramer, The U1 small nuclear RNA-protein complex selectively binds a S′ splice site in vitro. Cell 33, 509–518 (1983).

4. S. Sharma, S. P. Wongpalee, A. Vashisht, J. A. Wohlschlegel, D. L. Black, Stem-loop 4 of U1 snRNA is essential for splicing and interacts with the U2 snRNP-specific SF3A1 protein during spliceosome assembly. Genes Dev. 28, 2518–2531 (2014).

5. X. Zhan, C. Yan, X. Zhang, J. Lei, Y. Shi, Structures of the human pre-catalytic spliceosome and its precursor spliceosome. Cell Res. 28, 1129–1140 (2018).

6. C. Charenton, M. E. Wilkinson, K. Nagai, Mechanism of S′ splice site transfer for human spliceosome activation. Science 364, 362–367 (2019).

7. C. Plaschka, P. C. Lin, C. Charenton, K. Nagai, Prespliceosome structure provides insights into spliceosome assembly and regulation. Nature 559, 419–422 (2018).

8. C. van der Feltz, A. A. Hoskins, Structural and functional modularity of the U2 snRNP in pre-mRNA splicing. Crit. Rev. Biochem. Mol. Biol. 54, 443–465 (2019).

9. D. A. Pomerant Krummel, A. Kramer, Assembly of the 17S U2 snRNP, and prespliceosome formation. J. Mol. Biol. 340, 4650–4660 (2018).

10. A. Castello et al., Insights into RNA biology from an atlas of mammalian mRNA-binding proteins. Cell 149, 1393–1406 (2012).

11. B. J. Calnan, B. Tidor, S. Biancalana, D. Hudson, A. D. Frankel, Arginine-mediated RNA recognition: The arginine fork. Science 252, 1167–1171 (1991).

12. S. S. Chavali, C. E. Cavender, D. H. Mathews, J. E. Wedekind, Arginine forks are a widespread motif to recognize phosphate backbones and guanine nucleobases in the RNA major groove. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 142, 19835–19839 (2020).

13. A. T. Phan et al., Structure-function studies of FRMR RGG peptide recognition of an RNA-duplex-quadruplex junction. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 18, 796–804 (2011).

14. N. Vasilyev et al., Crystal structure reveals specific recognition of a G-quadruplex RNA by a G-turn in the RGG motif of FRMR. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 112, E5391–E5400 (2015).

15. L. Ghisolfi, G. Joseph, F. Amalric, M. Erard, The glycin-rich domain of nucleolin has an unusual supersecondary structure responsible for its RNA-helix-stabilizing properties. J. Biol. Chem. 287, 2935–2940 (1992).

16. F. Gao et al., J-turn formation by a six-residue linear peptide in solution. J. Pept. Res. 60, 75–80 (2002).

17. K. A. Corbin-Lickfett, J. H. Ben, M. J. Cocco, R. M. Sandri-Goldin, The HSV-1 ICP27 RGG box specifically binds, GC-rich sequences but not G-quartet structures. Nucleic Acids Res. 37, 7296–7301 (2009).

18. B. A. Ozdilek et al., Intrinsically disordered RGG/RR domains mediate degenerate specificity in RNA binding. Nucleic Acids Res. 45, 7984–7996 (2017).

19. E. F. Louglio et al., The solution structure of FUS bound to RNA reveals a bipartite mode of RNA recognition with both sequence and shape specificity. Mol. Cell 73, 490–504 (2019).

20. M. E. Rogalska et al., Therapeutic activity of modified U1 core spliceosomal particles. Nat. Commun. 7, 11168 (2016).

21. A. Hegele et al., Dynamic protein–protein interaction wiring of the human spliceosome. Mol. Cell 43, 567–580 (2012).

22. J. Y. Wu, T. Maniatis, Specific interactions between proteins implicated in splice site selection and regulated alternative splicing. Cell 75, 1061–1070 (1993).

23. W. Shao, H. S. Kim, Y. Cao, Y. Z. Xu, C. C. Query, A U1–U2 snRNP interaction network during intron definition. Mol. Cell. Biol. 32, 470–478 (2012).

24. Y. Z. Xu et al., PirSp bridges U1 and U2 snRNPs and enables stable U2 snRNP association with intron RNA. EMBO J. 23, 376–385 (2004).

25. S. Loerch, A. Mauzuer, V. Manceau, M. R. Green, C. L. Kielkopf, Cancer-relevant splicing factor CAPRIs engages the essential splicing factor SF3b155 in a specific ternary complex. J. Biol. Chem. 289, 17325–17337 (2014).

26. P. Thandapani, T. R. O’Connor, T. L. Bailey, S. Richard, Defining the RGG/RG motif. Mol. Cell 50, 613–623 (2013).

27. O. Duss, C. Mari, C. von Schroetter, F. H. T. Allain, A fast, efficient and sequence-independent method for flexible multiple segmental isoform labeling of RNA using ribozyme and RNAse H cleavage. Nucleic Acids Res. 38, e188 (2010).

28. G. Cathala, C. Brunel, Use of n-butanol for efficient recovery of minute amounts of small RNA fragments and branched nucleotides from dilute solutions. Nucleic Acids Res. 18, 201 (1990).

29. R. Keller, The Computer Aided Resonance Assignment Tutorial (Canotta Verlag, 2004).

30. T. Herrmann, P. Güntert, K. Wuthrich, Protein NMR structure determination with automated NOE-identification in the NOEYSI spectra using the new software ATNOS. J. Biomol. NMR 24, 171–189 (2002).

31. P. Güntert, “Automated NMR structure calculation With CYANA” in Protein NMR Techniques, A. K. Downing, Ed. (Humana Press, 2004), pp. 353–378.

32. Y. Shen, F. Delaglio, G. Cornieloux, A. Bax, TALOS+: A hybrid method for predicting protein backbone torsion angles from NMR chemical shifts. J. Biomol. NMR 44, 213–223 (2009).

33. D. A. Case et al., The Amber biomolecular simulation programs. J. Comput. Chem. 26, 1688–1688 (2005).

34. R. A. Laskowski, J. A. Rullmann, M. W. MacArthur, R. Kaptein, J. M. Thornton, AQUA and PROCHECK-NMR: Programs for checking the quality of protein structures solved by NMR. J. Biomol. NMR 8, 477–486 (1996).

35. J. A. Vizcaino et al., The Pholotomics IDentifications (PRIDE) database and associated tools: Status in 2013. Nucleic Acids Res. 41, D1603–D1609 (2013).

36. M. Weiss, Global indicators of X-ray data quality. J. Appl. Cryst. 34, 130–135 (2001).