Complete visitation statistics of one-dimensional random walks
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We develop a framework to determine the complete statistical behavior of a fundamental quantity in the theory of random walks, namely, the probability that \( n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k \), distinct sites are visited at times \( t_1, t_2, \ldots \). From this multiple-time distribution, we show that the visitation statistics of one-dimensional random walks are temporally correlated, and we quantify the non-Markovian nature of the process. We exploit these ideas to derive unexpected results for the two-time trapping problem and to determine the visitation statistics of two important stochastic processes, the run-and-tumble particle and the biased random walk.
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I. INTRODUCTION

A key property of a diffusing particle is the territory that it covers both because of its fundamental utility (see, e.g., Refs. [1–5]) and because of its wide range of applications to diverse fields, such as chemical reactions [6–9], relaxation in disordered materials [10], and dynamics on the web [11,12]. For a lattice random walk, the territory covered is quantified by \( N(t) \), the number of distinct sites visited by the walk up to time \( t \). Underlying this quantity is the distribution of the number of distinct sites visited at time \( t \), \( \mathbb{P}(N(t)) \) [13–20].

For the purposes of this paper, it is important to emphasize that \( \mathbb{P}(N(t)) \) is a single-time quantity—the distribution of \( N(t) \) at the one time instant. It, thus, provides limited information about the full stochastic process \( \{N(t)\} \) where the braces denote the set of \( N(t) \) values for each time step of the walk (Fig. 1). This stochastic process is generally characterized by all its multiple-time distributions, namely, the probability \( \mathbb{P}(N(t_1) = n_1; \ldots; N(t_k) = n_k) \) that \( n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k \) distinct sites are visited at times \( t_1 < \cdots < t_k \), with \( n_1 \leq \cdots \leq n_k \) for any \( k \geq 2 \). In this paper, we develop a methodology to determine all these multitime distributions analytically for one-dimensional (1D) random walks and several fundamental generalizations.

One motivation for studying multitime visitation distributions comes from its central role in the celebrated trapping problem [6–9]. Here, a random walk wanders on a lattice that contains a fraction \( c \) of immobile and randomly distributed traps, and the walk dies whenever it encounters a trap [21]. The survival probability of the walk at \( t \) steps \( S(t) \) equals \( (1 - c)^{N(t)} \), where \( N(t) \) is the number of distinct sites the walk visits up to \( t \) steps (equivalently, the span of the walk in one dimension), and the angle brackets denote the average over all random-walk trajectories and all trap configurations. This average relies on the single-time distribution \( \mathbb{P}(N(t)) \).

An important extension of trapping is to the two-time trapping problem: for a walk that has survived until time \( t_1 \), what is the probability \( S(t_2|t_1) \) that it survives until time \( t_2 \)? This corresponds to the probability that the walk does not encounter any traps among its newly visited sites in the time interval \([t_1, t_2]\). Since none of the \( N(t_2) - N(t_1) \) sites is a trap, this two-time survival probability is

\[
S(t_2|t_1) \equiv \langle (1 - c)^{N(t_2) - N(t_1)} \rangle = \sum_{n_1,n_2} (1-c)^{n_2-n_1} \mathbb{P}(N(t_1) = n_1; N(t_2) = n_2),
\]

which, thus, relies on the the two-time span distribution. This trapping probability reveals a striking aging feature: if a walk survives until time \( t_1 \), its survival statistics at later times is strongly modified since we now have extra information about the location of traps. From the two-time span distribution, we will show the surprising effect that the survival probability \( S(t_2|t_1) \) goes to a nonzero value, independent of time and trap concentration when \( t_2 \) is a multiple of \( t_1 \).

We finally emphasize that \( \{N(t)\} \) is not a Gaussian process (even the single-time distribution \( \mathbb{P}(N(t)) \) is not Gaussian [4,13–18]), and, thus, is not fully characterized by the knowledge of its mean and covariance (partial results for the latter quantities are given in Refs. [22–24]). Thus, determining the full two-time span distribution requires new theoretical developments. We also stress that \( \{N(t)\} \) is not even a Markovian process. That is, knowledge of \( N(t') \) at time \( t' \) is insufficient to determine the properties of \( N(t) \) for \( t > t' \) because the position of the random walk at time \( t' \) is not known. As a consequence, not only the two-time distribution, but also all \( k \)-time distributions are needed to fully characterize the process \( \{N(t)\} \).

II. SINGLE-TIME DISTRIBUTION

To introduce our formalism, we first show how to recover the classic asymptotic distribution of \( N(t) \) for a nearest-neighbor symmetric random walk. Our approach relies on the random variable \( \tau_k \), defined as the elapsed time between visits to the \( k \)th and \((k + 1)\)st distinct sites. Crucially, these times \( \tau_0, \ldots, \tau_k \) are independent for a 1D symmetric nearest-neighbor random walk. This independence arises because the distribution of times for a random walker to visit a new site when it starts from the edge of an already visited interval...
depends only on the number of distinct sites already visited and nothing else.

We now relate the statistics of \( N(t) \) to that of the times \( \tau_k \) by noting that

\[
\mathbb{P}(N(t) \geq n) = \sum_{t=0}^{n} \mathbb{P}(\tau_0 + \cdots + \tau_{n-1} = k),
\]

with the convention \( N(0) = 1 \) and \( \tau_0 = 0 \). That is, to visit, at least, \( n \) distinct sites by time \( t \), the walk must visit \( n \) distinct sites by time \( t \) or earlier. We now define the discrete Laplace transform for any function \( f \) as

\[
\mathcal{L}[f(t)] \equiv \tilde{f}(s) \equiv \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} f(t)e^{-st},
\]

from which the Laplace transform of \( \mathbb{P}(N(t) \geq n) \) is

\[
\mathcal{L}[\mathbb{P}(N(t) \geq n)] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{t=0}^{k} e^{-st} \mathbb{P}(\tau_0 + \cdots + \tau_{n-1} = k)
\]

\[
= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-sk}}{1 - e^{-s}} \mathbb{P}(\tau_0 + \cdots + \tau_{n-1} = k)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{1 - e^{-s}} \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} F(s, k).
\]

In the first line, the sums over \( k \) and \( t \) have been interchanged, the last line exploits the independence of \( \tau_k \), and \( F(s, k) \) is the Laplace transform of the exit-time distribution from an interval of length \( k \) when the walk starts a unit distance from its edge [25]. Here, the exit from the interval corresponds to visiting a new site. We obtain the large-\( k \) asymptotic distribution of \( N(t) \) from the behavior of \( \hat{F}(s, k) \) in the limit \( k \to \infty \), \( s \to 0 \) with \( sk^2 \) finite. In Appendix A, Eq. (A3), we show that

\[
\hat{F}(s, k) = 1 + g(s, k) + o(\sqrt{s})
\]

with

\[
g(s, k) \equiv -\sqrt{2s} \tanh(\sqrt{sk^2/2}).
\]

The logarithm of the product in Eq. (3) is then asymptotically given by

\[
\ln \left[ \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \hat{F}(s, k) \right] \sim \int_0^n g(s, k)dk.
\]

Substituting this result in (3) yields the Laplace transform of the distribution of the number of distinct sites visited

\[
\mathcal{L}[\mathbb{P}(N(t) = n)] = -\partial_s \mathcal{L}[\mathbb{P}(N(t) \geq n)]
\]

\[
\sim -\frac{1}{s} \partial_s \left( \frac{h(s, 0)}{h(s, n)} \right).
\]

Laplace inversion of (6) finally gives the well-known expression for the asymptotic distribution of the number of distinct sites visited by a 1D nearest-neighbor symmetric random walk [4,13–18]; this is also equivalent to the distribution of the span of a 1D Brownian motion with diffusion constant \( D = 1/2 \) at any time.

### III. TWO-TIME DISTRIBUTION

We now generalize and determine the multiple-time distributions of \( \{N(t)\} \), starting with the two-time distribution. Parallel to the one-time distribution, note that for \( t_1 \leq t_2 \) and \( n_1 \leq n_2 \), we have

\[
\mathbb{P}(N(t_1) \geq n_1; N(t_2) \geq n_2) = \mathbb{P}(\tau_0 + \cdots + \tau_{n_1-1} \leq t_1; \tau_0 + \cdots + \tau_{n_2-1} \leq t_2)
\]

\[
= \sum_{k_1=0}^{n_1} \sum_{k_2=0}^{n_2} \mathbb{P}(\tau_0 + \cdots + \tau_{n_1-1}=k_1; \tau_{n_1} + \cdots + \tau_{n_2-1} = k_2).
\]

Taking the (two-variable) discrete Laplace transform, exploiting the independence of \( \tau_k \), and noting that the upper bound of the second sum depends on the argument of the first sum \((k_2 \leq k_1 - 1)\), we obtain

\[
\mathcal{L}[\mathbb{P}(N(t_1) \geq n_1; N(t_2) \geq n_2)] = \frac{\hat{F}(s_1 + s_2, 0)\cdots \hat{F}(s_1 + s_2, n_1 - 1)\hat{F}(s_2, n_1)\cdots \hat{F}(s_2, n_2 - 1)}{(1 - e^{-s_1})(1 - e^{-s_2})},
\]
where the argument $s_1 + s_2$ comes from the upper bound dependency. Using Eq. (4), we find, in the large-time (small-$s$) limit,

$$
\mathcal{L}\left[\mathbb{P}(N(t_1) \geq n_1; N(t_2) \geq n_2)\right] \\
\sim \frac{1}{s_1 s_2} \hat{F}(s_1 + s_2, 0) \cdots \hat{F}(s_1 + s_2, n_1 - 1) \\
\times \hat{F}(s_2, n_1) \cdots \hat{F}(s_2, n_2 - 1) \\
\sim \frac{1}{s_1 s_2} \exp \left[ \int_{0}^{n_1} g(s_1 + s_2, k) dk + \int_{n_1}^{n_2} g(s_1 + s_2, k) dk \right] \\
\sim \frac{1}{s_1 s_2} \frac{h(s_1 + s_2, 0) h(s_2, n_1)}{h(s_1 + s_2, n_2)}
$$

(9)

for $n_1 \leq n_2$. We then Laplace invert this formula to obtain the expression for the asymptotic two-time distribution that appears in Eq. (A10) of Appendix A.

Equation (9) has several important consequences:

(i) First, we may verify that the covariance of the span, as obtained in Ref. [24], follows from the complete two-time distribution Eq. (9) (see Appendix A); (ii) second, for $t_2/t_1 \to \infty$, with $t_1, n_1 \to \infty$ and $n_1/t_1^{1/2} = a_i$ fixed for $i = 1, 2$, the deviation between the two-time distribution and the product of one-time distributions reduces to

$$
\mathbb{P}(N(t_1) = n_1; N(t_2) = n_2) - \mathbb{P}(N(t_1) = n_1) \mathbb{P}(N(t_2) = n_2) \\
\sim C_{a_1, a_2} \frac{n_1^{1/2}}{t_2^{1/2}},
$$

(10)

where the expression for the constant $C_{a_1, a_2}$ is given in Eq. (A19) of Appendix A. Thus, temporal correlations in the two-time distribution are long range, and statistical independence of $N(t_1)$ and $N(t_2)$ is recovered only in the limit $t_1, t_2 \to \infty$ with $t_2 \gg t_1$; (iii) third, we also obtain the conditional two-time distribution,

$$
\mathbb{P}(N(t_2) = n_2 | N(t_1) = n_1) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(N(t_2) = n_2; N(t_1) = n_1)}{\mathbb{P}(N(t_1) = n_1)}.
$$

Figure 2 illustrates the slow convergence of the conditional two-time distribution to the single-time distribution $\mathbb{P}(N(t_2) = n_2 | N(t_1) = n_1) \to \mathbb{P}(N(t_2) = n_2)$ when $t_2 \gg t_1$.

IV. $k$-TIME DISTRIBUTIONS

Following our theoretical approach, the Laplace transform of the $k$-time span distribution is given by [compare with

$$
\mathcal{L}[\mathbb{P}(N(t_1) = n_1; \ldots; N(t_k) = n_k)] \\
\sim \frac{1}{s_1 \cdots s_k} \frac{h(s_1 + \cdots + s_k, 0) h(s_2 + \cdots + s_k, n_1)}{h(s_2 + \cdots + s_k, n_2)} \\
\times \cdots \times \frac{h(s_k, n_{k-1})}{h(s_k, n_k)}.
$$

(11)

We can derive and Laplace invert this expression to obtain the expression given by Eq. (B2) of Appendix B, namely,

$$
\mathbb{P}(N(t_1) = n_1, \ldots, N(t_k) = n_k).
$$

We highlight the non-Markovian property of $\mathbb{P}(N(t))$ by comparing $\mathbb{P}(N(t_1) = n_1 | N(t_1) = n_1; N(t_2) = n_2)$ and $\mathbb{P}(N(t_1) = n_1 | N(t_2) = n_2)$ as shown in Fig. 3. For given $N(t_1)$ and $N(t_2)$, specifying both observables can change the distribution of the span at later times compared to the distribution when only $N(t_2)$ is specified. This quantifies how the distribution of visited sites at a particular time depends on previous values of $N(t)$.

We may also use Eq. (11) to calculate the difference between the $k$-time distribution and the product of $k$ one-time distributions, analogous to Eq. (10), for $t_1 \ll t_2 \ll \cdots \ll t_k$,

$$
\mathbb{P}(N(t_1) = n_1; \ldots; N(t_k) = n_k) - \mathbb{P}(N(t_1) = n_1) \cdots \mathbb{P}(N(t_k) = n_k) \\
\sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{t_1 \cdots t_k}} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} t_{i+1} C^{a_i, \ldots, a_i}_{a_i, \ldots, a_i}.
$$

(12)

with $a_i = n_i / \sqrt{t_i}$ fixed, and where the expression for the constant $C^{a_i, \ldots, a_i}_{a_i, \ldots, a_i}$ is given in Eq. (B6) of Appendix B. This slow temporal decay means that span correlations between $k$ time points are long range and are controlled by the largest ratio $t_i/t_{i+1}$ between successive times.

We emphasize that Eq. (11) fully characterizes the stochastic process $\mathbb{P}(N(t))$ in that we can compute any functional of $\mathbb{P}(N(t))$. Two important and natural examples are general-order moments of the distribution at arbitrary time points, $\mathbb{E}[N(t_1)^{\alpha_1} \cdots N(t_k)^{\alpha_k}]$, and the joint statistics
FIG. 4. Long-time limit of the two-time survival probability, $S(t_2|t_1)$ [see Eq. (1)], of the 1D random walk at time $t_2$ knowing that it survived up until time $t_1$. Here $z = t_2/t_1$ is a constant with $t_1, t_2 \to \infty$. The black line represents the asymptotic theoretical value, Eq. (C5) of Appendix C. Symbols correspond to numerical simulations, for different values of the fraction $c$ of immobile and randomly distributed traps.

\[ \mathbb{P}(T_{n_1} = t_1; \ldots; T_{n_k} = t_k) \] of the time to first visit $n$ distinct sites $T_n \equiv \min\{t | N(t) > n\}$.

V. THE TWO-TIME TRAPPING PROBLEM

We can obtain the exact two-time survival probability $S(t_2|t_1)$ defined above by substituting the two-time span distribution (9) into Eq. (1). The explicit form for this two-time survival probability is given as Eq. (C2) of Appendix C. Three distinct cases arise: (a) $t_2 - t_1 \ll t_1$, where $S(t_2|t_1) \to 1$, (b) $t_2 - t_1 \gg t_1$, where $S(t_2|t_1) \to 0$, and (c) $t_1, t_2 \to \infty$ with $z \equiv t_2/t_1$ as a constant. Whereas the behavior of $S(t_2|t_1)$ in the first two regimes can be qualitatively inferred from the one-time span distribution, the last case is subtle and requires the knowledge of two-time quantities. As shown in Fig. 4, the survival probability up to time $t_2$ goes to a nonzero value that depends only on the ratio $z \equiv t_2/t_1$, and not on the trap concentration. Since the typical size of the new territory explored beyond time $t_1$, $(N(t_2) - N(t_1)) \propto \sqrt{D t_1 (z - 1)}$, diverges at long times, we would naively expect that the probability to encounter a trap among these newly visited sites will be close to 1 for $t_2 \to \infty$. Thus, the walk should be trapped with high probability at time $t_2$, even when conditioned to survive to time $t_1$.

In contrast, the conditional survival probability goes to a nonzero value. This behavior corresponds to the probability for the walk to not discover any new sites in the time interval $[t_1, t_2]$, $\mathbb{P}(N(t_1) = N(t_2))$ [see Eq. (C5) in Appendix C]. Surprisingly, this expression is concentration independent for the particular choice $t_2 = z t_1$, and this result reveals itself only through the two-time distribution. Thus correlations between the number of distinct sites visited at different times are crucial for understanding observables that are functionals of several of these variables.

VI. RUN-AND-TUMBLE PARTICLE

More generally, our formalism for the multiple-time span distribution can be applied to any type of random walk with:

(i) a simply connected span (i.e., no “holes” in the trajectory),
(ii) translation invariance (i.e., the distribution for the next step is independent of location),
(iii) symmetry so that the exit-time statistics starting from either end of the interval of visited sites are the same. An important example is the continuous run-and-tumble particle, a classical model of bacteria motility (see, e.g., Refs. [26–29]). Such a particle moves ballistically at a constant speed $v$ during a flight time that is exponentially distributed with average duration $\tau$ (a “run”), after which the particle “tumbles”, i.e., chooses a new direction.

For this continuous-space example, the number of distinct sites visited is replaced by the length of the span. We again define $g(s, k)$ as the leading contribution to $\tilde{F}(s, k) - 1$ for $s \to 0$ [see Eq. (4)]. Equation (11) still applies with $h(s, n)$ again given by $\exp\{ \int_0^g g(s, k) dk \}$. In Appendix D, we show that for this process,

\[ h(s, n) = \frac{1 + \sqrt{s^2 / v^2} \tanh(wn/2)}{1 - \sqrt{s^2 / v^2} \tanh(wn/2)} s^{-T + 1} + \frac{1}{s^T + 1}, \tag{13} \]

where $w^2 \equiv s^2 / v^2 + s / (v^2 T)$. Together with Eq. (11), we, thus, obtain the Laplace transform of the $k$-time distribution for the span of a run-and-tumble particle. By numerical inversion of this Laplace transform, we can compute any multiple-time distribution, see Appendix F.

For times much longer than the persistence timescale, namely, $t_i \gg T$, where $[t_i]$ is the set of times $t_1 \ll t_2 \ll \cdots \ll t_n$ at which the span is sampled, the run-and-tumble walk approaches a Brownian motion with diffusion constant $D = v^2 \tau$. The covariance of the span is shown in Appendix D to have a relative correction that is proportional to $T/t_1$ compared to a pure symmetric random walk. This shows that the span of a run-and-tumble walk converges algebraically towards that of Brownian motion. Moreover, it is the shortest of the sampling times $t_i$ that controls this relative difference.

VII. BIASED RANDOM WALK

We can generalize still further to treat a biased random walk that hops one site to the right with probability $p$ or one site to the left with probability $q = 1 - p$ in a single step. Whereas the previous point (iii) about the symmetry of the exit-time statistics no longer holds, it is again possible to compute the multiple-time span distributions. There are two new issues that we need to resolve to compute these distributions: (i) the asymmetry of exit-time statistics and (ii) the dependence of random variables $\tau_i$. For example, if the bias is to the right and a new site is reached at the right extremity of the visited region, then a small value of $\tau_i$ likely leads to a small value of $t_{i+1}$. These two difficulties can be overcome by introducing the coupled variables $(\tau_i, \delta_i)$, where $\delta_i$ denotes the direction (left or right end of the visited interval) of the random walker when the site $i + 1$ is first visited. We further need to replace the exit-time distributions $\tilde{F}(s, k)$ by $2 \times 2$ matrices of exit-time distributions, whose first index represents the start of the walk (left or right side of the interval), whereas the second index represents the exit side. The Laplace transform
of the $k$-time span distribution can now be expressed as
\[
\mathcal{L}\{\mathbb{P}(N(t_1) \geq n_1; \ldots; N(t_k) \geq n_k)\} \\
\sim \frac{1}{2s_1s_2 \cdots s_k} (1, 1)M(s_1 + \cdots + s_k, 0, n_1) \\
\times M(s_2 + \cdots + s_k, n_1, n_2) \cdots M(s_k, n_{k-1}, n_k)(1, 1)^T,
\]
where $M(s, m, n)$ is a $2 \times 2$ matrix defined in Eqs. (E23)–(E25) of Appendix E. This general $k$-time distribution reduces to the one-time distribution recently found in Ref. [30]. After numerical Laplace inversion (see Appendix F), it provides the $k$-time distributions, and, thus, the full characterization of the span of a biased random walk.

VIII. CONCLUSION

To summarize, we developed a new approach to compute the multiple-time distributions of the span of a one-dimensional random walk, which fully characterize the time evolution of the span of the walk. We showed that temporal correlations in the span decay slowly so that the span exhibits a long-time memory. We applied our formalism to uncover unexpected behavior of the two-time trapping problem, and we generalized our approach to determine the multiple-time span distribution for a run-and-tumble particle and a biased random walk.

A significant theoretical challenge is to extend our results to higher spatial dimensions. Whereas important results are available for the single-time visitation distribution [31], nothing is known for the multitime visitation distribution. Equation (2) holds generally and constitutes the starting point to determine multiple-time distributions of the number of distinct sites visited in any dimension $d$. However, for $d > 1$, nontrivial correlations between the $\tau_i$'s arise. To deal with these correlations developing new theoretical methods is crucial.
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APPENDIX A: THE TWO-TIME SPAN DISTRIBUTION

1. Derivation of Eq. (9) in the main text

We decompose the process $\{N(t)\}$ of the number of distinct visited sites at a set of times $\{t\}$ by using the fact that the times between visits to new sites $\{\tau_i\}$ are independent. Supposing that $n_1 \leq n_2$ we have
\[
\mathbb{P}(N(t_1) \geq n_1; N(t_2) \geq n_2) = \sum_{k=0}^{\min(t_1, t_2)} \mathbb{P}(\tau_0 + \cdots + \tau_{n_1-1} = k; \tau_{n_1} + \cdots + \tau_{n_2-1} \leq t_2 - k) \\
= \sum_{k=0}^{\min(t_1, t_2)} \mathbb{P}(\tau_0 + \cdots + \tau_{n_1-1} = k)\mathbb{P}(\tau_{n_1} + \cdots + \tau_{n_2-1} \leq t_2 - k).
\]
Performing the discrete Laplace transform $\mathcal{L}\{f(t)\} = \sum_{t>0} f(t)e^{-st} \equiv \hat{f}(s)$ on both the variables $t_1$ and $t_2$ gives
\[
\mathcal{L}\{\mathbb{P}(N(t_1) \geq n_1; N(t_2) \geq n_2)\} \equiv \sum_{s_1, s_2=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\min(t_1, t_2)} e^{-s_1\tau_1} e^{-s_2\tau_2} \mathbb{P}(\tau_0 + \cdots + \tau_{n_1-1} = k)\mathbb{P}(\tau_{n_1} + \cdots + \tau_{n_2-1} \leq t_2 - k) \\
= \frac{1}{1 - e^{-\tau_1}} \sum_{0 \leq k \leq \infty} e^{-(s_1+s_2)k} \mathbb{P}(\tau_0 + \cdots + \tau_{n_1-1} = k)\mathbb{P}(\tau_{n_1} + \cdots + \tau_{n_2-1} \leq t_2 - k) \\
\equiv \frac{1}{1 - e^{-\tau_1}} \hat{F}(s_1 + s_2, 0) \cdots \hat{F}(s_1 + s_2, n_1 - 1)\hat{F}(s_2, n_1) \cdots \hat{F}(s_2, n_2 - 1),
\]
where $\hat{F}(s, k)$ is the Laplace transform of the exit-time probability from an interval of length $k$ when a diffusing particle starts a distance 1 from the edge of the interval, see Eq. (2.2.10) of Ref. [25] [noting that $\hat{F}(s, 0) = 1$ as $t_0 = 0$. This probability is
\[
\hat{F}(s, k) \equiv \frac{\sinh(\sqrt{2}s) + \sinh[\sqrt{2}k(1 - 1)]}{\sinh(\sqrt{2}sk)} = 1 - \sqrt{2}s \tanh(\sqrt{2}sk/2) + o(\sqrt{s}) \equiv 1 + g(s, k) + o(\sqrt{s}),
\]
where the limit $s \to 0$ and $\sqrt{2}sk$ fixed is taken in the second line. For $s_1, s_2 \to 0$, we obtain the Laplace transform of the distribution $\mathcal{L}\{\mathbb{P}(N(t_1) \geq n_1; N(t_2) \geq n_2)\}$ as
\[
\mathcal{L}\{\mathbb{P}(N(t_1) \geq n_1; N(t_2) \geq n_2)\} \sim \frac{1}{s_1s_2} \exp\left(\int_0^{n_1} g(s_1 + s_2, k)dk + \int_{n_1}^{n_2} g(s_2, k)dk\right) \\
= \frac{1}{s_1s_2} \frac{1}{\cosh^2(n_1\sqrt{s_2/2})} \cosh^2(n_2\sqrt{s_2/2}).
\]
In the following, we indicate subscripts of the Laplace transform $\mathcal{L}$ only when the variable in the subscript is the only one which has been Laplace transformed. Otherwise, all the time variables are Laplace transformed.

We now want the inverse Laplace transform of the quantity \((A4)\). First, we perform the inverse transform on the variable $s_1$. We use the residue theorem to compute the complex integral coming from the inverse Laplace transform,

$$
\mathcal{L}_{t_2 \to t_1}[\mathbb{P}[N(t_1) \geq n_1, N(t_2) \geq n_2]] = \int_{-i\infty}^{+i\infty} \frac{dz}{2\pi i} e^{zt_1} \frac{1}{2s_2} \frac{1}{\cosh^2(n_1 \sqrt{s_2/2})} \cosh^2(n_1 \sqrt{s_2/2}) \frac{\tanh(n_1 \sqrt{z + s_2})}{z + s_2} \tag{A5}
$$

$$
= \frac{\cosh^2(n_1 \sqrt{s_2/2})}{s_2 \cosh^2(n_2 \sqrt{s_2/2})} \frac{1}{\cosh^2(n_1 \sqrt{s_2/2})} \cosh^2(n_1 \sqrt{s_2/2}) \frac{\tanh(n_1 \sqrt{z + s_2})}{z + s_2} \tag{A6}
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{s_2} \frac{\cosh^2(n_1 \sqrt{s_2/2})}{\cosh^2(n_2 \sqrt{s_2/2})} \frac{1}{\cosh^2(n_1 \sqrt{s_2/2})} \cosh^2(n_1 \sqrt{s_2/2}) \frac{\tanh(n_1 \sqrt{z + s_2})}{z + s_2} \tag{A7}
$$

$$
\gamma \text{ being a positive number such that the real part of any poles is smaller than } \gamma. \text{ In the rest of the text, we will take } \gamma \rightarrow 0^+ \text{ as all poles have real parts } \leq 0. \text{ Performing the inverse Laplace transform } s_2 \rightarrow t_2 \text{ in a similar manner, we obtain}
$$

$$
\mathbb{P}(N(t_1) \geq n_1; N(t_2) \geq n_2) = \int_{-i\infty}^{+i\infty} \frac{dz}{2\pi i} e^{zt_1} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2s_2} \partial_{s_2} \left\{ -\cosh^2 \left( n_1 \sqrt{\frac{z}{2}} \right) \tanh \left( n_2 \sqrt{\frac{z}{2}} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial n_1} \right\} \tag{A9}
$$

$$
= \frac{\partial}{\partial n_2} \left\{ \left( \frac{2}{\pi^2} \right)^2 \sum_{k=0}^{n_2} (k+1/2)^2 e^{-\frac{2\pi^2}{\pi^2} (k+1/2)^2 t_1 t_2} \cos^2 \left( \frac{n_1}{n_2} (\pi/2 + k \pi) \right) \right\} \tag{A10}
$$

with $\mathbb{P}(N(t) \geq n)$ which can be found in Ref. [30]. Thus, we find for $n_1 < n_2$,

$$
\mathbb{P}(N(t_1) = n_1; N(t_2) = n_2) = \frac{\partial^3}{\partial n_2^3 \partial n_1} \left\{ \left( \frac{2}{\pi^2} \right)^2 \sum_{k=0}^{n_2} (k+1/2)^2 e^{-\frac{2\pi^2}{\pi^2} (k+1/2)^2 t_1 t_2} \cos^2 \left( \frac{n_1}{n_2} (\pi/2 + k \pi) \right) \right\} \tag{A11}
$$

The case $n_1 = n_2$ can be obtained from Eqs. (A10) and (A11),

$$
\mathbb{P}(N(t_1) = n_1; N(t_2) = n_1) = \mathbb{P}(N(t_1) = n_1) - \mathbb{P}(N(t_1) = n_1, N(t_2) > n_1)
$$

$$
= \lim_{n_1 \rightarrow n_2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial n_2 \partial n_1} \left\{ \left( \frac{2}{\pi^2} \right)^2 \sum_{k=0}^{n_2} (k+1/2)^2 e^{-\frac{2\pi^2}{\pi^2} (k+1/2)^2 t_1 t_2} \cos^2 \left( \frac{n_1}{n_2} (\pi/2 + k \pi) \right) \right\}. \tag{A12}
$$
whose Laplace transform in both time variables is

\[ \mathcal{L}[\mathbb{P}(N(t_1) = n_1; N(t_2) = n_1)] = \frac{\sqrt{2s_2} \tanh (n_1 \sqrt{\frac{z}{2}}) + \sqrt{2s_1} \tanh (n_1 \sqrt{\frac{z}{2}}) - \sqrt{2}(s_1 + s_2) \tanh (n_1 \sqrt{\frac{z+2}{2}})}{s_1 s_2 \cosh^2 (n_1 \sqrt{\frac{z+2}{2}})}. \] \hspace{1cm} (A13)

2. Covariance of the span

Here we show that the Laplace transform of the covariance of the span of a 1D Brownian motion obtained in Ref. [24] is retrieved in our formalism. We note the span process of the 1D Brownian motion, i.e., the length of the visited domain at time \( t \) as \( N_D(t) \). We compare our result directly with the formula for the covariance as a function of time obtained in Ref. [24] (taking the diffusion coefficient \( D = 1/2 \)),

\[ H(s_1, s_2) \equiv \mathbb{E}(\tilde{N}_D(s_1) \tilde{N}_D(s_2)) \]

\[ \begin{align*}
&= \int dt_1 dt_2 e^{-s_1 t_1 - s_2 t_2} \max (t_1, t_2) \left\{ 6 \frac{\sqrt{z}}{\pi} (1 - z) - 1 + \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin(\sqrt{z}) + \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{z^{3/2}}{1 - z} \left[ \sqrt{1 - z} \right] \right. \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\pi} \left[ \left( i + \sqrt{\frac{z}{1 - z}} \right) g_1 \left( i + \sqrt{\frac{z}{1 - z}} \right) + \left( -i + \sqrt{\frac{z}{1 - z}} \right) g_1 \left( -i + \sqrt{\frac{z}{1 - z}} \right) \right],
\end{align*} \]

\hspace{1cm} (A14)

with

\[ z \equiv \min \left( \frac{t_1}{t_2}, \frac{t_2}{t_1} \right) \]

and

\[ g_1(\phi) \equiv \frac{1}{\phi^2} \int_0^1 \frac{d\beta}{\beta^2} \left( 1 - \frac{\pi \phi \beta}{\sinh (\pi \phi \beta)} \right). \]

We compare the result in Eq. (A14) from Ref. [24] with the one obtained from our Eqs. (A4) and (A13) by splitting the integral into the domains \( n_1 < n_2, n_1 = n_2, \) and \( n_2 < n_1, \)

\[ L(s_1, s_2) \equiv \ell(s_1, s_2) + m(s_1, s_2) + \ell(s_2, s_1), \]

(A15)

with

\[ \ell(s_1, s_2) = \frac{1}{s_1 s_2} \int_{n_1 < n_2} dn_1 dn_2 n_1 n_2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial n_1 \partial n_2} \left[ \frac{1}{\cosh^2 (n_1 \sqrt{\frac{z}{2}})} \cosh^2 (n_1 \sqrt{\frac{z}{2}}) \right] \]

\[ = \frac{2}{s_1 s_2} \int_0^\infty du \left( -u + u \tanh (u) - \frac{u^2}{\cosh^2 (u)} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \left[ \frac{\cosh^2 (u)}{\cosh^2 (u \sqrt{\frac{z}{2}} + 1)} \right], \]

(A16)

and

\[ m(s_1, s_2) = \int_0^\infty n_2^2 \sqrt{2s_2} \tanh (n_1 \sqrt{\frac{z}{2}}) + \sqrt{2s_1} \tanh (n_1 \sqrt{\frac{z}{2}}) - \sqrt{2}(s_1 + s_2) \tanh (n_1 \sqrt{\frac{z+2}{2}}) \left( n_1 \sqrt{\frac{z+2}{2}} \right) \]

\[ \times s_1 s_2 \cosh^2 (n_1 \sqrt{\frac{z+2}{2}}) \]

\hspace{1cm} (A17)

The numerically calculated functions \( H \) and \( L \) coincide as shown in Fig. 5.

3. Derivation of Eq. (10) in the main text

We compute the difference between the distributions \( \mathbb{P}(N(t_1) = n_1; N(t_2) = n_2) \) and \( \mathbb{P}(N(t_1) = n_1) \mathbb{P}(N(t_2) = n_2) \) in the limit \( t_1, n_1 \to \infty \) and \( n_1/t_1^{1/2} = a_i \) fixed for \( i = 1 \) and 2, and \( n_1/n_2 \to 0 \). Starting from Eq. (A11), we have

\[ \begin{align*}
\mathbb{P}(N(t_1) = n_1; N(t_2) = n_2) &\sim \frac{\partial^3}{\partial n_2^3 \partial n_1} \left( \left( \frac{2}{\pi^2} \right) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{n_2}{(k' + 1/2)^2} e^{-\frac{n_1}{n_2} (k' + 1/2)^2/2} \left[ 1 + t_2 \frac{2\pi n_1}{n_2} (k' + 1/2)^2 \right] \right) \\
&\times \frac{\partial}{\partial n_1} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{n_2}{(k + 1/2)^2} \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{k' + 1/2}{k + 1/2} \right) \right] e^{-\frac{n_1}{n_2} (k + 1/2)^2/2} \right) \\
&\sim \mathbb{P}(N(t_1) = n_1) \mathbb{P}(N(t_2) = n_2) + C_{1,2} \frac{t_1^{1/2}}{t_2^{1/2}},
\end{align*} \]

(A18)
FIG. 5. Comparison of the Laplace transform of the two point expectation \( H(s_1, s_2) \), obtained in Ref. [24] and the same quantity \( L(s_1, s_2) \) obtained using our formalism Eq. (A15).

with

\[
C_{a_1,a_2} = \frac{\partial^3}{\partial a_2^2 \partial a_1} \left\{ \left( \frac{2}{\pi^2} \right)^2 \sum_{k' = 0}^{\infty} \pi^2 2 - \frac{a_2^2 a_1^2}{a_2^2} e^{-\frac{a_1^2}{a_2^2} (k'+1/2)^2} \right\} \frac{\partial}{\partial a_1} \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_1^3}{(k+1/2)^2} e^{-\frac{a_1^2}{a_2^2} (k+1/2)^2} \right] \}
\]

+ \frac{\partial^3}{\partial a_2^2 \partial a_1} \left\{ \left( \frac{2}{\pi^2} \right)^2 \sum_{k' = 0}^{\infty} \pi^2 2 - \frac{a_2^2 a_1^2}{a_2^2} e^{-\frac{a_1^2}{a_2^2} (k'+1/2)^2} \right\} \frac{\partial}{\partial a_1} \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_1^3}{(k+1/2)^2} e^{-\frac{a_1^2}{a_2^2} (k+1/2)^2} \right] \}
\]

(\text{A19})

APPENDIX B: \( k \)-TIME SPAN DISTRIBUTIONS

1. Derivation of Eq. (11) in the main text

For the probability distribution of the events \( \{N(t_1) \geq n_1, \ldots, N(t_k) \geq n_k\} \), its multivariate Laplace transform is obtained by performing the same steps as those that led to (A2),

\[
\mathcal{L}[\mathbb{P}(N(t_1) \geq n_1; \ldots; N(t_k) \geq n_k)] = \frac{1}{s_1 \cdots s_k} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \hat{F}(s_i) = \frac{1}{s_1 \cdots s_k} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\cosh\left(n_i \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{s_i}}\right)}{\cosh\left(n_i \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{s_i}}\right)} \frac{\cosh\left(n_{k-1} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{s_k}}\right)}{\cosh\left(n_{k-1} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{s_k}}\right)}
\]

(B1)

The formula for the \( k \)-time span distribution in the time domain is given similarly to (A11) by recursively performing the inverse Laplace transforms, first on \( s_1 \), then on \( s_2 \), ... until \( s_k \) (here supposing that \( n_1 < n_2 < \cdots < n_k \)),

\[
\mathbb{P}(N(t_1) = n_1, \ldots, N(t_k) = n_k) = \partial_{n_1} \cdots \partial_{n_k} \left[ \frac{2}{\pi^2} \right]^k \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{n_1} \frac{n_1}{(i_1 + 1/2)^2} e^{-\frac{(\pi/2 + i_1 \pi)}{n_1} n_1 (i_1 + 1/2)^2} \cos^2 \left( \frac{n_{k-1}}{n_k} \pi/2 + i_k \pi \right) \right] \left[ \sum_{i_1=0}^{n_1} \frac{n_1}{(i_1 + 1/2)^2} e^{-\frac{(\pi/2 + i_1 \pi)}{n_1} n_1 (i_1 + 1/2)^2} \cos^2 \left( \frac{n_{k-1}}{n_k} \pi/2 + i_k \pi \right) \right] \cdots \frac{\partial}{\partial n_{k-1}} \left[ \sum_{i_{k-1}=0}^{n_{k-1}} \frac{n_{k-1}}{(i_{k-1} + 1/2)^2} e^{-\frac{(\pi/2 + i_{k-1} \pi)}{n_{k-1}} n_{k-1} (i_{k-1} + 1/2)^2} \cos^2 \left( \frac{n_{k-1}}{n_k} \pi/2 + i_k \pi \right) \right] \cdots \right]
\]

(B2)

Similar to Eq. (A12), the case of \( n_i = n_{i+1} \) for any \( i \) follows from Eq. (B2). Additionally, we obtain the expression for the \( k \)-time span distribution for a Brownian motion with arbitrary diffusion constant \( D \) by noting that the previous result pertains to the particular choice \( D = 1/2 \).
2. Derivation of Eq. (12) in the main text

We treat the limit $1 \ll t_1 \ll t_2 \ll \cdots \ll t_k$; i.e., $s_1 \gg s_2 \gg \cdots \gg s_k \gg 1$. Starting from Eq. (B1) with $n_i \sqrt{s_i} = a_i$ fixed, we have

$$
\mathcal{L}[\mathcal{P}(N(t_1) \geq n_1, \ldots, N(t_k) \geq n_k)] = \frac{1}{s_1 \cdots s_k} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \left[ \frac{1}{s_i} \cosh^2 \left( a_i \frac{s_{i+1} + s_i}{2s_i} \right) \cosh^2 \left( a_{i+1} \frac{s_i}{2s_{i+1}} \right) \cdots \cosh^2 \left( a_{k-1} \frac{s_k}{2s_{k-1}} \right) \right] + O(s_{k+1}/s_k).
$$

(B3)

Thus, we obtain a result similar to the two-time span distribution for the first-order correction to the product of independent one-time span distributions,

$$
\mathcal{L}[\mathcal{P}(N(t_1) = n_1; \ldots; N(t_k) = n_k)] = \mathcal{P}(N(t_1) = n_1) \cdots \mathcal{P}(N(t_k) = n_k) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{s_1} \cdots s_k} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{a_i^2}{2} - \tanh \left( \frac{a_i}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \frac{a_i}{\sqrt{2}} \right] + O(s_{k+1}/s_k).
$$

(B4)

Consequently, with $a_i = n_i / \sqrt{s_i}$, and using the Tauberian theorem,

$$
\mathcal{P}(N(t_1) = n_1; \ldots; N(t_k) = n_k) = \mathcal{P}(N(t_1) = n_1) \cdots \mathcal{P}(N(t_k) = n_k) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{s_1} \cdots s_k} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{t_i}{t_{i+1}} C_{a_i, \ldots, a_k}^d.
$$

(B5)

Here $C_{a_i, \ldots, a_k}^d$ can be obtained either by Laplace inversion of the $\ell$ term of the sum, or starting directly from (B2) and keeping the first-order term in $t_i/t_{i+1} \ll 1$,

$$
C_{a_i, \ldots, a_k}^d = \mathcal{P}(N_D(1) = a_1) \mathcal{P}(N_D(1) = a_2) \cdots \mathcal{P}(N_D(1) = a_{k-1}) \left( \frac{2}{\pi^2} \right)^2 \times \frac{2 - a_i^2}{a_i} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial a_i} \left[ \frac{a_i}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_i}{(i + 1/2)^2} e^{-\frac{a_i^2}{2}(i + 1/2)^2} \right] + e^{-\frac{a_i^2}{2}(i + 1/2)^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial a_i} \left[ \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_i^3}{(i + 1/2)^2 a_i} e^{-\frac{a_i^2}{2}(i + 1/2)^2} \right] \mathcal{P}(N_D(1) = a_2) \cdots \mathcal{P}(N_D(1) = a_k).
$$

(B6)

$N_D(t)$ being the span process of the Brownian motion of parameter $D$ as defined in Appendix A.2.

APPENDIX C: THE TWO-TIME TRAPPING PROBLEM

Starting from Eq. (1) of the main text, and going to the continuum limit, we have

$$
S(t_2|t_1) = \langle (1-c)^{N(t_2)-N(t_1)} \rangle = \int_{n_1 \leq n_2} dn_1 dn_2 (1-c)^{n_2-n_1} \mathcal{P}(N(t_1) = n_1, N(t_2) = n_2)
$$

(C1)

$$
= \mathcal{P}(N(t_1) = n_1) \mathcal{P}(N(t_2) = n_2) + \int_{n_1 < n_2} dn_1 dn_2 (1-c)^{n_2-n_1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial n_2 \partial n_1} \mathcal{P}(N(t_1) \geq n_1, N(t_2) \geq n_2).
$$

(C2)

We now discuss the different regimes:

(a) $t_2 - t_1 \gg t_1$: In this limit, $\mathcal{P}(N(t_1) = n_1; N(t_2) = n_2) \sim \mathcal{P}(N(t_1) = n_1) \mathcal{P}(N(t_2) = n_2)$ for $n_1 < n_2$, and $\mathcal{P}(N(t_1) = N(t_2))$ is a decreasing exponential at long times (since this quantity is related to the exit probability from an interval). Thus,

$$
S(t_2|t_1) \sim \int_{n_1 < n_2} dn_1 dn_2 (1-c)^{n_2-n_1} \mathcal{P}(N(t_1) = n_1) \mathcal{P}(t_2) = f(t_1) S(t_2),
$$

(C3)

where $f(t_1)$ depends only on $c$ and $t_1$ but not on $t_2$. 
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(b) $t_2 - t_1 \ll t_1$: From the scale invariance of Brownian motion as the law of $[N_D(t_1), N_D(t_2)]$ is the same as the one of $[N_D(t_1), N_D(t_2)/\sqrt{t_1}]$, the term $\mathbb{P}(N(t_1) = N(t_2)) \sim \mathbb{P}(N_D(t_1)\sqrt{t_1} = N_D(t_2)/t_1) = \mathbb{P}(N_D(t_1) = N_D(1 + \frac{t_2}{t_1})) \to 1$ dominates. We note that $1 - \mathbb{P}(N_D(1) = N_D(1 + \frac{t_2}{t_1}))$ corresponds to the probability of exiting an interval of unit size after a time $t = \frac{t_2}{t_1} \ll 1$, whose scaling behavior is known to be $\propto \sqrt{t}$, Eq. (5.219) of Ref. [3]. Thus,

$$1 - S(t_2|t_1) \sim 1 - \mathbb{P}(N_D(t_1) = N_D(t_2)) \propto \sqrt{\frac{t_2 - t_1}{t_1}}. \quad (C4)$$

(c) $t_2 = zt_1$: The term which dominates is still $\mathbb{P}(N(t_1) = N(t_2)) \sim \mathbb{P}(N_D(t_1) = N_D(z))$ as the integral in $n_1 \leq n_2$ is decreasing (at least, as a stretched exponential). Moreover, the conditional survival probability $S(t_2|t_1)$ converges to a value that is neither 0 nor 1,

$$S(t_2|t_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(N_D(1) = N_D(z)) = \int_0^\infty dn_1 \lim_{n_2 \to n_1^+} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial n_2 \partial n_1} \left\{ \left( \frac{2}{\pi^2} \right)^2 \sum_{k'=0}^{\infty} \frac{n_2 \cos^2 \left( \frac{2k'}{n_1} \pi / 2 + k' \pi \right)}{(k'+1/2)^2} e^{-\frac{2k'^2}{n_1^2} (k'+1/2)^2} \right\}. \quad (C5)$$

This limit is illustrated in Fig. 4 of the main text as a function of $z$.

**APPENDIX D: RUN-AND-TUMBLE PARTICLE**

1. Derivation of Eq. (13) in the main text

We consider the exit time distribution, $p_+(t, x)$ from the interval [0, $k$] for a run-and-tumble particle that starts at position $x$ with speed $\pm v$, respectively. This distribution obeys the following coupled differential equations:

$$\partial_t p_+(t, x) = v \partial_x p_+(t, x) + \frac{1}{2T} [p_+(t, x) - p_+(t, x)]; \quad \partial_t p_-(t, x) = -v \partial_x p_-(t, x) + \frac{1}{2T} [p_+(t, x) - p_-(t, x)], \quad (D1)$$

with $p_+(t, x) = p_-(t, 0, x) = 0$ and $p_+(t, k) = p_-(t, 0) = \delta(t)$. Laplace transforming Eq. (D1), we obtain the Laplace transform of the exit-time distribution from an interval of length $k$ when the walk starts at position $dk$,

$$\hat{F}(s, k) \equiv \hat{p}_+(s, dk) + \hat{p}_-(s, dk) = \frac{(2T)^{-1} \{ \sinh[wdk] + \sinh[w(k - dk)] + s \sinh[w(k - dk)] + vw \cosh[w(k - dk)] \}}{[s \sinh(wk) + vw \cosh(wk)] + (2T)^{-1} \sinh(wk)}, \quad (D2)$$

where $w^2 \equiv s^2/v^2 + s/(v^2 T)$. Keeping only the first-order terms in the limit $dk \ll k$, we have

$$\hat{F}(s, k) \approx 1 - w dk \frac{s \cosh(wk) + vw \sinh(wk) + (2T)^{-1} \cosh(wk) - 1}{s \sinh(wk) + vw \cosh(wk) + (2T)^{-1} \sinh(wk)} \equiv 1 + dk g(s, k), \quad (D3)$$

with

$$\int_0^\infty g(s, k)dk = \ln \left[ \frac{1 + \sqrt{\frac{s}{T+1}} \tanh(wn/2) sT + \cosh^2(wn/2)}{1 - \sqrt{\frac{s}{T+1}} \tanh(wn/2) sT + 1} \right] \equiv \ln[h(s, n)]. \quad (D4)$$

To obtain the exit-time distribution in the time domain from (D4) is difficult. However, in the diffusive limit $t \gg T$; i.e., $st \ll 1$ and $n\sqrt{T}$ fixed, one can obtain simple results. By keeping the first-order terms of $h$ in this limit, we get

$$h(s, n) \sim \cosh^2 \left( \frac{s}{\sqrt{T v^2} n} \right) \left[ 1 + 2\sqrt{sT} \tanh \left( \frac{s}{\sqrt{T v^2} n} \right) \right]. \quad (D5)$$

Thus, with $D = T v^2$, and defining $[N_{T,s}(t)]$ as the span process for a run-and-tumble particle and $[N_D(t)]$ the span process for a Brownian motion,

$$\mathcal{L}[\mathbb{P}(N_{T,s}(t) \geq n)] = \mathcal{L}[\mathbb{P}(N_D(t) \geq n)] - \sqrt{\frac{4T}{s}} \sinh \left( \frac{\sqrt{s}}{\sqrt{T v^2} n} \right) + o(\sqrt{T/s}). \quad (D6)$$
Consequently, we have that
\[
\mathbb{P}(N_{T,v}(t) \geq n) - \mathbb{P}(N_D(t) \geq n) \sim \delta_n^2 \left[ \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[ \frac{4D \sqrt{T}}{s^{3/2}} \tanh \left( \sqrt{\frac{s}{2}} \frac{n}{D} \right) \right] \right] - \delta_n^2 \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-4D\pi^2(k+1/2)^2\tau^2} \frac{4n\sqrt{DT}}{\pi^2(k+1/2)^2} \right].
\] (D7)

and differentiating this equation, we finally obtain
\[
\mathbb{P}(N_{T,v}(t) = n) = \mathbb{P}(N_D(t) = n) + \delta_n^3 \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-4D\pi^2(k+1/2)^2\tau^2} \frac{4n\sqrt{DT}}{\pi^2(k+1/2)^2} \right] + o(\sqrt{T}/t).
\] (D8)

2. Comment on Eq. (13) in the main text

a. First-order correction to the covariance

We look at the two-time span distribution in the limit \(s_2 \ll s_1 \ll T^{-1}\) and \(a_i = n_i \sqrt{s_i}\) fixed. We do the asymptotic development up to the first relevant order

\[
\mathcal{L}[\mathbb{P}(N_{T,v}(t_1) \geq n_1, N_{T,v}(t_2) \geq n_2)],
\]
\[
= \mathcal{L}[\mathbb{P}(N_{T,v}(t_1) \geq n_1) \mathbb{P}(N_{T,v}(t_2) \geq n_2)]
\] (D9a)
\[
+ \frac{s_2}{s_1} \left[ \frac{a_2^2 - 2a_1 \sqrt{D} \tanh (\frac{n_2}{\sqrt{D} T})}{4D} \right] \mathcal{L}[\mathbb{P}(N_D(t_1) \geq n_1) \mathbb{P}(N_D(t_2) \geq n_2)]
\] (D9b)
\[
+ \frac{\sqrt{T}}{s_1} \left[ -\frac{a_1^2}{2} - 2a_1 \sqrt{D} \tanh (\frac{n_1}{\sqrt{D} T}) \right] \tan (\frac{n_1}{\sqrt{D} T}) + D \left[ \frac{n_1}{\sqrt{D} T} + \frac{n_2}{\sqrt{D} T} \tan^2 (\frac{n_1}{\sqrt{D} T}) - 2 \tan (\frac{n_1}{\sqrt{D} T}) \right]
\] (D9c)
\[
+ \frac{T}{s_1} \left[ 8(a_1^2 + 3D) - 3(3a_1^2 + 8D) \cos^{-2} (\frac{n_1}{\sqrt{D} T}) - a_1 \tan (\frac{n_1}{\sqrt{D} T}) (a_1^2 - 24 \cos^{-2} (\frac{n_1}{\sqrt{D} T}) + 34) \right]
\]
\[
+ \frac{8D \cos^{-2} (\frac{n_1}{\sqrt{D} T}) \cosh^2 (\frac{n_1}{2\sqrt{D} T})}{8D \cosh^2 (\frac{n_1}{\sqrt{D} T}) \cosh^2 (\frac{n_2}{\sqrt{D} T})} + o(T/s_1).
\] (D9d)

Integration of Eq. (D9a) gives the product of the first moments, Equation (D9b) gives the same contribution to the covariance as the symmetric random walk. Integration of Eq. (D9c) with respect to \(a_1\) and \(a_2\) leads to the first-order correction to the difference of the covariances. However, this integral vanishes. This means that one should compute the correction at the next order using (D9d). This leads to

\[
\text{Cov}[N_{T,v}(t_1), N_{T,v}(t_2)] - \text{Cov}[N_D(t_1), N_D(t_2)]
\]
\[
\sim \frac{2DT}{\Gamma(3/2)} \int_0^\infty da_1 \frac{8(a_1^2 + 3D) - 3(3a_1^2 + 8D) \cos^{-2} (\frac{n_1}{\sqrt{D} T}) - a_1 \tan (\frac{n_1}{\sqrt{D} T}) (a_1^2 - 24 \cos^{-2} (\frac{n_1}{\sqrt{D} T}) + 34)}{2D \cosh^2 (\frac{n_1}{\sqrt{D} T})}
\]
\[
\approx -2.957DT \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}.
\] (D10)

b. First-order correction to the span distribution

We study the correction to the diffusive limit of the two-time span distribution in the limit \(T \ll t_1 \ll t_2\); i.e., \(s_2 T \ll s_1 T \ll 1\). Keeping only the dominant terms, we have

\[
\mathcal{L}[\mathbb{P}(N_{T,v}(t_1) \geq n_1; N_{T,v}(t_2) \geq n_2)] = \mathcal{L}[\mathbb{P}(N_D(t_1) \geq n_1; N_D(t_2) \geq n_2)] \left[ 1 - 2\sqrt{s_1 T} \tan (\frac{s_1 n_1}{D/2}) + o(\sqrt{s_1 T}) \right].
\] (D11)

If we focus on the behavior of this distribution for a typical realization; i.e., situations for which \(n_i \sqrt{s_i} = a_i\) is fixed with \(s_i T \to 0\) and \(s_2/s_1 \to 0\), we have

\[
\mathcal{L}[\mathbb{P}(N_{T,v}(t_1) \geq n_1; N_{T,v}(t_2) \geq n_2) - \mathbb{P}(N_D(t_1) \geq n_1; N_D(t_2) \geq n_2)] \propto \frac{\sqrt{T}}{s_1^2 s_2}.
\] (D12)

This means that in the time domain the relative difference between the two cumulative distributions in the case where \(n_i/\sqrt{s_i} = a_i\) is fixed behaves as \(\propto \sqrt{T}/s_1\).
APPENDIX E: BIASED RANDOM WALK

1. Derivation of Eq. (14) in the main text

First, we write the continuum limit exit-time distribution of the biased random walk of speed $v = p - q$, see Eq. (2.2.28) of Ref. [25] when the walk starts at distance $dk = 1$ to the left boundary of the interval of size $k$ (and exits the interval either to the left or to the right side),

$$\hat{F}^{l-r}(s, k) = D\partial_t \left( \frac{e^{v(x-dk)/2D}}{Dw \sinh(wk)} \sinh(w(k-dk)) \right) \bigg|_{x=0} = \frac{e^{-vk/2D}}{\sinh(wk)} \sinh(w(k-dk)), $$

$$\hat{F}^{l-r}(s, k) = -D\partial_t \left( \frac{e^{v(x-dk)/2D}}{Dw \sinh(wk)} \sinh(w(k+x)) \right) \bigg|_{x=k} = \frac{e^{(k-dk)/2D}}{\sinh(wk)} \sinh(wdk), \quad \text{(E1)}$$

with $w \equiv \sqrt{v^2 + 4Ds}/(2D)$. Here the superscripts refer to the left and right ends of the interval. Similarly, by reversing the direction of the velocity, we have

$$\hat{F}^{r-l}(s, k) = \frac{e^{+vk/2D}}{\sinh(wk)} \sinh(w(k-dk)), \quad \hat{F}^{r-l}(s, k) = \frac{e^{-(k-dk)/2D}}{\sinh(wk)} \sinh(wdk). \quad \text{(E2)}$$

We use the convention $\hat{F}^{0-r}(s, 0) = \hat{F}^{0-l}(s, 0) = 1/2$, corresponding to starting with a single visited site at time 0, which is both on the left and on the right side of the interval of size 1. We define the indices $\delta_i$ direction (left, $\delta_i = l$, or right, $\delta_i = r$, end of the visited interval) of the random walker when the site $i + 1$ is first visited. Performing the same calculation as in Appendix B we get

$$\mathcal{L}[\mathbb{P}(N(t_1) \geq n_1; \ldots; N(t_k) \geq n_k)] = \frac{1}{s_1 \cdots s_k} \sum_{[l_i]} \hat{F}^{b_{b_{1-1}}}(s_1 + \cdots + s_k, 0) \cdots \hat{F}^{b_{b_{n-1}}}(s_1 + \cdots + s_k, n_1 - 1) \times \hat{F}^{b_{b_{k-1}}}(s_2 + \cdots + s_k, n_1) \cdots \hat{F}^{b_{b_{n-1}}}(s_k, n_k - 1). \quad \text{(E3)}$$

We use the transfer matrix technique by defining

$$\hat{F}(s, k) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \hat{F}^{l-r}(s, k) \\ \hat{F}^{r-l}(s, k) \end{pmatrix}. \quad \text{(E4)}$$

In the limit $dk \ll k$ [taking $w(s)k$ fixed], we obtain $\hat{F}(s, k) = I_2 + g(s, k)dk$ with

$$g(s, k) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \frac{w}{2D} - \frac{w}{\sinh(wk)} \\ \frac{w}{e^{-vk/2D}} \sinh(wk) \quad \frac{w}{2D} - \frac{w}{\sinh(wk)} \end{pmatrix}. \quad \text{(E5)}$$

Using this matrix notation, we have the simpler formula,

$$\mathcal{L}[\mathbb{P}(N(t_1) \geq n_1; \ldots; N(t_k) \geq n_k)] = \frac{1}{2s_1 s_2 \cdots s_k} U^T \hat{F}(s_1 + \cdots + s_k, 1) \cdots \hat{F}(s_1 + \cdots + s_k, n_1 - 1) \hat{F}(s_2 + \cdots + s_k, n_1) \cdots \hat{F}(s_k, n_k - 1) U. \quad \text{(E6)}$$

where the vector $U$ is $[1]$. Performing the product of matrices $\hat{F}(s, k)$ having the same argument $s$, we rewrite the previous equation as

$$\mathcal{L}[\mathbb{P}(N(t_1) \geq n_1; \ldots; N(t_k) \geq n_k)] = \frac{1}{2s_1 s_2 \cdots s_k} U^T M(s_1 + \cdots + s_k, 0, n_1) M(s_2 + \cdots + s_k, n_1, n_2) \cdots M(s_k, n_{k-1}, n_k) U, \quad \text{(E7)}$$

where

$$M(s, m, n) \equiv \exp \left( \int_m^n g(s, k) dk \right) : \text{ for } m < n, \quad \text{(E8)}$$

and $\cdots$ represents the $k$-ordering operator. Equation (E8) is equivalent to the set of partial differential equations $\partial_t M(s, m, n) = M(s, m, n)g(s, n)$ with $M(s, m, m) = I_2$. 
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whereas the circles are from Ref. [30].

2. The one-time span distribution

The one-time span distribution derived in Ref. [30] can be retrieved using Eq. (E7). Consider the Laplace transform of the distribution of the number of distinct sites visited at a single time,

\[ \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{P}(N(t) \geq n)) = \frac{1}{2\pi} U^T M(s, 0, n) U = \frac{1}{2\pi} x(s, n)^T U, \]

(E9)

where \( x(s, n) \) is solution of \( \partial_n x(s, n) = g(s, n)^T x(s, n) \) with \( x(s, 0) = U \) as follows from Eq. (E8). We solve the system of equations for \( n > 0 \) (for the sake of simplicity, we drop the argument \( s \) and write \( x(s, n) = [x_1(s, n), x_2(s, n)] = [x_1(n), x_2(n)] \),

\[ \partial_n x_1(n) = [-v/2D - w \ coth(wn)]x_1(n) + w \ \frac{\exp(-vn/2D)}{\sinh(wn)} x_2(n) \]

\[ \partial_n x_2(n) = [v/2D - w \ coth(wn)]x_2(n) + w \ \frac{\exp(vn/2D)}{\sinh(wn)} x_1(n). \]

(E10)

Solving these coupled equations, we obtain

\[ x_1(n) = [v/2D - w \ coth(wn)] \frac{2}{\sinh(wn)} \int_0^n e^{(\sigma-\sigma')/2D} \sinh(wn')d\sigma' + 2. \]

(E11)

To obtain the distribution of the number of distinct sites visited in the time domain, we perform the inverse Laplace transform of \( x_1(n)/s \), identifying the poles at \( s = 0 \) and \( w(s)n = ik\pi, k \in \mathbb{N} \),

\[ y_1(t, n) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dz}{2\pi i} e^{zt} x_1(z, n) \]

\[ = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dz}{2\pi i} e^{zt} \left( \frac{v/2D - w(z) \ coth[w(z)n]}{D \ \sinh(wn/2D)} \right) \frac{2}{\sinh(wnz)} \int_0^n e^{(\sigma-\sigma')/2D} \sinh[wn'z]d\sigma' + 2 \]

\[ = 2 + \left( \frac{v}{D \ \sinh(wn/2D)} - \frac{v \ \cosh(wn/2D)}{D \ \sinh(wn/2D)^2} \right) \int_0^n e^{(\sigma-\sigma')/2D} \sinh(wn'z)d\sigma' \]

\[ + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k \int_0^n d\sigma' e^{(\sigma-\sigma')/2D} e^{-\frac{\sigma'}{\nu^2}} \left[ \frac{2\pi \nu \ \sin(k\pi n'/\nu)}{\nu^2 n'/4D + k^2 \pi^2 D} e^{-\frac{\sigma'^2}{\nu^2}} + \partial_n \left( \frac{4k\pi D \ \sin(k\pi n'/\nu)}{\nu^2 n'/4D + k^2 \pi^2 D} e^{-\frac{\sigma'^2}{\nu^2}} \right) \right]. \]

(E13)

By reversing the velocity, \( v \rightarrow -v \), we get the inverse Laplace transform of \( x_1(n)/s \), namely, \( y_1(t, n) \). We check numerically in Fig. 6 that \( \mathbb{P}(N(t) \geq n) = \frac{1}{2}[y_1(t, n) + y_2(t, n)] \) is indeed the same as the expression obtained in Ref. [30].

3. Derivation of the \( k \)-time span distribution

For the \( k \)-time span distribution, we need the general expression of the matrices \( M_{m,n}(s) \). We solve the set of partial differential equations (E10) starting at \( m > 0 \) to \( n > m \) with arbitrary initial conditions \([x_1(m), x_2(m)]\). Defining \( \tilde{x}_1(n) \equiv x_1(n) \sinh(wn) \exp(vn/2D) \) and \( \tilde{x}_2(n) \equiv x_2(n) \sinh(wn) \exp(-vn/2D) \), we have that

\[ \partial_n \tilde{x}_1(n) = wx_1(n), \quad \partial_n \tilde{x}_2(n) = wx_2(n). \]

(E15)
Thus, as \( \bar{x}(m) = x_1(m) \exp(vm/2D) \sinh(wm) \) and \( \bar{x}_r(m) = x_r(m) \exp(-vm/2D) \sinh(wm), \)

\[
x_1(n) = w \frac{\exp(-vn/2D)}{\sinh(wn)} \left( \int_m^n x_1(n') dn' + w^{-1} x_1(m) \exp(vm/2D) \sinh(wm) \right) = w \frac{\exp(-vn/2D)}{\sinh(wn)} X_r(n)
\]

\[
x_r(n) = w \frac{\exp(vn/2D)}{\sinh(wn)} \left( \int_m^n x_r(n') dn' + w^{-1} x_r(m) \exp(-vm/2D) \sinh(wm) \right) = w \frac{\exp(vn/2D)}{\sinh(wn)} X_r(n).
\]

(E16)

This gives the equation for \( X_r \),

\[
\partial_n X_r(n) = [v/2D - w \coth(wn)] X_r(n) - [v/2D - w \coth(wm)] X_r(m) + x_r(m)
\]

(E17)

\[
= [v/2D - w \coth(wn)] X_r(n) + \alpha(m),
\]

(E18)

where \( \alpha(m) \) is defined as

\[
\alpha(m) \equiv w^{-1} [v/2D + w \coth(wn)] x_r(m) \exp(vm/2D) + x_r(m).
\]

(E19)

Equation (E18) solution is given by

\[
X_r(n) = \alpha(m) \frac{\exp(vn/2D)}{\sinh(wn)} \int_m^n \exp(-vn'/2D) \sinh(wn') X_r(n') \frac{\exp[w(n-m)/2D] \sinh(wm)}{\sinh(wn)},
\]

(E20)

and deriving this expression,

\[
x_r(n) = [v/2D - w \coth(wn)] \left( \alpha(m) \frac{\exp(vn/2D)}{\sinh(wn)} \int_m^n \exp(-vn'/2D) \sinh(wn') X_r(n') \frac{\exp[w(n-m)/2D] \sinh(wm)}{\sinh(wn)} \right)
\]

\[
+ \alpha(m).
\]

(E21)

We get the expression for \( x_r(n) \) as a function of \( x_1(m) \) and \( x_r(m) \),

\[
x_r(n) = x_r(m) \left( [v/2D - w \coth(wn)] \exp(vn/2D) \frac{\exp(-vn'/2D) \sinh(wn') dn'}{\sinh(wn)} + 1 \right)
\]

\[
+ x_1(m) \left[ -w^{-1} [v/2D - w \coth(wn)] [(v/2D - w \coth(wm))] \exp[w(m+n)/2D] \sinh(wm) \frac{\exp[w(n-m)/2D] \sinh(wm)}{\sinh(wn)} \right.
\]

\[
\times \int_m^n \exp(-vn'/2D) \sinh(wn') dn' - w^{-1} [v/2D - w \coth(wm)] [\exp(vm/2D) \sinh(wm)]
\]

\[
+ w^{-1} [v/2D - w \coth(wm)] [\exp(vm/2D) \sinh(wm)] \exp[-v(n-m)/2D] \frac{\sinh(wm)}{\sinh(wn)} \right].
\]

(E22)

The expression for \( x_1(n) \) is similar and is obtained by reversing the velocity \( v \rightarrow -v \) and the indices \( l \leftrightarrow r \). Thus, we obtain, using (E22), the exact expression of the matrix \( M(s, m, n) \),

\[
M(s, m, n) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \mu(-v, m, n) & v(v, m, n) \\ v(-v, m, n) & \mu(v, m, n) \end{pmatrix},
\]

(E23)

with

\[
\mu(v, m, n) \equiv 1 + [v/2D - w \coth(wn)] \left( \frac{\exp(vn/2D)}{\sinh(wn)} \int_m^n \exp(-vn'/2D) \sinh(wn') dn' \right)
\]

(E24)

\[
v(v, m, n) \equiv \frac{e^{vn/2D} \sinh(wm)}{w} \left[ [v/2D - w \coth(wn)] \left( -\frac{v/2D - w \coth(wm)}{\sinh(wn)} \int_m^n \exp(vn'/2D) \sinh(wn') dn' \right) \right.
\]

\[
+ \exp[v(n-m)/2D] \sinh(wm) \frac{\sinh(wm)}{\sinh(wn)} \left) - (v/2D - w \coth(wm)) \right],
\]

(E25)

and all the \( s \) dependence being within the variable \( w \). Hence, the knowledge of Eqs. (E7), (E23), (E24), and (E25) give us the full description of the stochastic process \( \{N(t)\} \), the span of a biased random walk.

**APPENDIX F: ILLUSTRATION OF Eqs. (13) AND (14)**

We numerically invert the Laplace transform of the two-time distributions of a run-and-tumble particle using Eq. (13) and of a biased random walk using Eq. (14) of the main text. As shown in Fig. 7, knowledge of the Laplace-transformed distributions can be used to extract numerical values of the statistics, besides the theoretical characterization.
FIG. 7. Two-time distribution for the persistent random walk for (a) parameters $T = v = 1$ and $t_1, t_2 = 10, 30$ as well as for (b) a biased random walk of parameters $v = 1$ and diffusion $D = 1$ at times $t_1, t_2 = 20, 30$. Numerical simulations are represented by the dots.
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