Recent Geological Events and Intrinsic Behavior Influence the Population Genetic Structure of the Chiru and Tibetan Gazelle on the Tibetan Plateau
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Abstract

The extent to which a species responds to environmental changes is mediated not only by extrinsic processes such as time and space, but also by species-specific ecology. The Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau uplifted approximately 3000 m and experienced at least four major glaciations during the Pleistocene epoch in the Quaternary Period. Consequently, the area experienced concurrent changes in geomorphological structure and climate. Two species, the Tibetan antelope (Pantholops hodgsonii, chiru) and Tibetan gazelle (Procapra picticaudata), both are endemic on the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau, where their habitats overlap, but have different migratory behaviors: the chiru is inclined to have female-biased dispersal with a breeding migration during the calving season; in contrast, Tibetan gazelles are year-round residents and never migrate distantly. By using coalescence methods we compared mitochondrial control region DNA sequences and variation at nine microsatellite loci in these two species. Coalescent simulations indicate that the chiru and Tibetan gazelle do not share concordant patterns in their genealogies. The non-migratory Tibetan gazelle, that is more vulnerable to the impact of drastic geographic changes such as the elevation of the plateau, glaciations and so on, appears to have a strong population genetic structure with complicated demographic history. Specifically, the Tibetan gazelle population appears to have experienced isolation and divergence with population fluctuations since the Middle Pleistocene (0.781 Ma). However, it showed continued decline since the Upper Pleistocene (0.126 Ma), which may be attributed to the irreversible impact of increased human activities on the plateau. In contrast, the migratory chiru appears to have simply experienced population expansion. With substantial gene flow among regional populations, this species shows no historical population isolation and divergence. Thus, this study adds to many empirical studies that show historical and contemporary extrinsic and intrinsic processes shape the recent evolutionary history and population genetic structure of species.

Introduction

Comparisons of genetic structure among sympatric species provide insights into the extent to which extrinsic and intrinsic factors interact to influence the geographic scale of population differentiation [1–4]. For example, ecologically and phylogenetically disparate taxa may exhibit striking concordance in phylogeographic structure across historical barriers [5–7]. Conversely, relatively minor differences in life history traits [8,9] and ecology [10] among closely related species may translate into significant differences in the degree and scale of population structure.

In phylogeographic studies of animal taxa on the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau, the relationship between environmental history and ecology is particularly pertinent as the plateau uplifted several times by approximately 3000 m during the Quaternary Period [11]. Furthermore, at least four major glaciations occurred in South-Central Asia during the Pleistocene [12], and widespread mountain glaciations once covered the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau in the Lower Pleistocene epoch [13]. The uplift of the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau and the associated or contemporaneous climate changes are widely regarded as the most important factors influencing current spatial distribution of local species and their genetic diversity on the plateau [14,15]. In addition to the well-documented observation that population genetic structure is usually shaped by geographic and environmental factors [16,17], some species-intrinsic behaviors and life history traits, for example, migration, dispersal and mating, can also affect the population genetic structure and recent evolutionary history of species [18–22].

The chiru and Tibetan gazelle primarily inhabit the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau as sympatric bovines, but these species have distinct migrating behaviors. The chiru has wintering habitats and calving habitats. The female individuals migrate distantly (about 500 km) to the calving habitats in May and June each year to give
birth to their calves, then they migrate back with their calves in early August to reunite with males in the wintering habitats [23]. In contrast, Tibetan gazelles only move around their habitats during their lifespan and never migrate distantly [24]. Given the similarities of the distribution on the plateau as well as the difference of their behaviors, these closely related species provide an excellent opportunity to study how extrinsic and intrinsic processes affect gene flow and population genetic structure.

Previous studies on the mtDNA haplotype data for the chiru and Tibetan gazelle suggested discordant demographic histories [25,26]. Here we use mitochondrial control region sequence and highly polymorphic microsatellite variation to investigate population genetic structure, gene flow and genetic population history of the chiru and Tibetan gazelle. We first constructed population genetic structure and quantified population size by employing coalescent-based methods, then estimated gene flow rates and divergence times among populations. Specifically, by comparing the patterns of phylogeography and demography in the chiru and Tibetan gazelle species and taking advantage of the well-established geographical history of the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau, we test the hypothesis that along with the environmental changes during the geological events that play important roles in phylogeography and genealogy for species, the intrinsic migratory behavior causes a differentiation in the population genetic structure of these two species.

Materials and Methods

Ethics statement

The chiru and Tibetan gazelle are listed in the Category I and Category II in the National Key Protected Wild Animal Species under the Wild Animal Protection Law of China respectively. Sample collection of these protected animals and field studies in Kekexili and other regions on the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau adhered to the Wild Animals Protection Law of the People’s Republic of China. All necessary permits were obtained for the described field studies.

Sampling

Before the field expeditions, we obtained permission to conduct research and collect samples on the chiru and Tibetan gazelle from the Kekexili Nature Reserve, Hargai Nature Reserve, Changtang Nature Reserve and Arjinshan Nature Reserve. A total of 61 chiru muscle/skin samples were collected from the calving habitat of Zhuolaihu Lake, Kekexili, Qinghai Province (Fig. 1) in June and July 2005, when thousands of female chiru migrated there from wintering habitats to breed. The details of all the samples are listed in Table 1 and 2. All of the muscle and skin samples were collected from calves that died naturally. MtDNA sequences of the chiru from wintering habitats were derived from a previous [25] study (Table 1). We collected muscle and skin samples of the Tibetan gazelle from calves that died naturally or from the collections of several museums of different Nature
Reserves; hair samples were from Tibetan gazelles in zoos or sick and/or injured ones rescued by the wardens of nature reserves, details are listed in Table 2. These samples represent the Tibetan gazelle populations through its distribution area on the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau including Qinghai Province, Tibet Autonomous Region and adjacent Gansu Province, Sichuan Province, and Xining Uigur Autonomous Region. A total of 51 samples of the Tibetan gazelle (Table 2) from five geographic populations at 12 sites (Fig. 1) were collected from February 2004 to September 2006.

DNA extraction, PCR, DNA sequencing and genotyping

Total genomic DNA was extracted from the muscle, hair and skin samples using the standard proteinase K digestion and phenol/chloroform extraction procedures, after washing with excess NTE (0.05 M Tris–HCl, 0.01 M NaCl, 0.02 M EDTA, pH 8.0) to remove possible protease or PCR inhibitors. Approximately 580 bp of the mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) control region was amplified from 51 Tibetan gazelle and 61 chiru samples. Forty-six samples of the Tibetan gazelle were used in a previous study; others are new to this study (Fig. 1). Primers, polymerase chain reaction (PCR) conditions and sequencing protocols were reported previously [26].

Nine microsatellite loci, originally isolated in cattle and pronghorn (ETH225, HLST35, Aam7, CSSM43, TGLA122, BM1824, BM4107, BM1225, and BM1818) [27–31], were amplified reliably and polymorphic in the two species. With one primer labeled by fluorochrome for each locus (FAM, HEX or TAMRA, Invitrogen), PCR amplifications were carried out in a volume of 15 μL using 2.0 mM MgCl2, 200 mM dNTPs, 0.4 mM of each primer, and 0.5 U of Taq DNA polymerase (Ferment). Amplified fragments were separated by capillary electrophoresis on an ABI PRISM 377-Avant Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems). Allele sizes were determined relative to standard marker GeneScan-500 ROX (Applied Biosystems) using GENESCAN 3.7.

Mitochondrial DNA sequence analysis

MtDNA control region sequences for all individuals were aligned using CLUSTAL X [32] and checked by eye. Intraspecific genetic diversity was estimated using haplotype diversity (h) and nucleotide diversity (π) as implemented in DnaSP version 4.0 [33].

Phylogeographic analysis. Genealogy of haplotypes within species were estimated using maximum-likelihood (ML), neighbor-joining (NJ) and maximum-parsimony (MP) by PAUP*4.0b8 [34] separately. The robustness of these analyses was assessed using bootstrap replications [35], with 1000 replications for MP and NJ and 100 replications for ML. In addition, Bayesian analysis was conducted using the Monte Carlo Markov chains (MCMC) method implemented in BEAST v1.7.2 [36]. We used a strict clock rate, with the substitution rate of 2 × 10−8 substitutions per site per year (S/S/year) [37–39]. Two replicates were run for 25 million generations with tree and parameter sampling every 1,000 generations. A burn-in of 10% was used and the convergence of all parameters was assessed using the software TRACER (within the BEAST package). The Bayes factor (BF) was used to assess alternative phylogenetic hypothesis in Bayesian framework (estim-
mated in TRACER). A log (BF)>3 was considered positive support for one hypothesis versus another given the data [40]. Subsequently, the resulting samples under the BF-preferred model were summarized using the software TreeAnnotator using a posterior probability limit of 0.5, setting the height of each node in the tree to the median height across the entire sample of trees for that clad, and trees were visualized with FigTree [41]. The settings for the best-fit DNA substitution model were selected by the Akaike Information Criterion using MODELTEST 3.06 [42] and PAUP*. Due to the low variation at the intraspecific level, traditional phylogenetic analyses often result in poorly resolved haplotype trees. In addition, coexistence of a persistent ancestral haplotype and its multiple descendants results in a haplotype tree with multifurcations [43]. Network approaches take these population-level phenomena into account, allowing more appropriate analysis of intraspecific data [44]. Network analysis was performed using the statistical parsimony algorithm implemented in TCS ver. 1.21 [45]. All sequences were included in the datasets to allow the calculation of haplotype frequencies.

Population pairwise \( F_{ST} \) and \( \Phi_{CT} \) values for mtDNA were calculated using the program ARLEQUIN (version 2.0) [46]. Based on the best-fit models of sequence evolution for each species evaluated using MODELTEST above, \( \Phi_{ST} \) was calculated using genetic distances estimated under the TVM model with specified gamma shape parameters for the chiru (\( \alpha = 0.65 \)), and under the HKY model with gamma distribution (\( \alpha = 0.41 \)) for the Tibetan gazelles. Because of the high proportion of unique haplotypes in the control region, estimates of population differentiation based on pairwise distances among haplotypes (\( \Phi_{CT} \)) [47] were more informative than differentiation estimates calculated from haplotype frequency (\( F_{ST} \)). For this reason, we reported \( \Phi_{CT} \) values only. The same program was used for analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) [47] to test for differentiation between geographical populations within species. In AMOVA the correlation of pairwise \( F_{ST} \) values only. The same program was used for analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) [47] to test for differentiation between geographical populations within species. In AMOVA the correlation of pairwise \( F_{ST} \) values and again with \( \Phi_{ST} \) values converted to zeros.

Microsatellites analysis

Intraspecific allelic richness and heterozygosity were calculated in FSTAT (version 2.9.3.2) [60]. Deviations from Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium, heterozygote deficits and linkage equilibrium were tested in GENEPOP [61]. The software STRUCTURE version 2.3.3 [62] was used to estimate maximum-likelihood migration rates among populations. This approach, based on coalescence using Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) searches, takes account of unequally effective population sizes and asymmetrical gene flow [50]. Effective population size and gene flow rate were estimated from \( F_{ST} \) values and were set as initial values. We performed 10 short chains (500 trees used out of 10 000 sampled) and three long chains (3000 trees used out of 100 000 sampled). These runs were repeated using the same condition until consistent results were obtained.

Estimate of demography. Historical population dynamics of the species were estimated using coalescent-based Bayesian skyline plots (BSP) [51] as well as mismatch distributions [52]. BSPs were implemented in BEAST. They were used to estimate the dynamics of past populations through time without requiring a pre-specified parametric model of demographic history. Uncertainty in the genealogy was controlled using a Bayesian approach under a coalescence model. The Bayesian Skyline Plot model with a strict clock was selected to construct the BSP in BEAST for each species. Chains were run for 10 million generations, sampled every 1000 generations and the first 10% of the trees were discarded as burn-in. The results were summarized using Tracer. Mismatch distributions were calculated using ARLEQUIN. Multimodal distributions were expected in populations at demographic equilibrium or in decline, and unimodal distributions were anticipated in populations having experienced a recent demographic expansion [53,54]. The expected distributions were generated by bootstrap resampling (10,000 replicates) using a model of sudden demographic expansion. The sum of square deviations and raggedness index between the observed and the expected mismatch were used as test statistics. P-values were calculated as the probability of simulations producing a greater value than the observed value. In addition, we chose two test statistics to test whether two data sets conform to expectations of neutrality, each with particular sensitivity to one demographic scenario. Fu and Li’s \( D^* \) is designed to detect an excess of old mutations, characteristics of a population that has experienced a historical reduction in effective population size [55,56]. In contrast, Fu’s \( F_3 \) is sensitive to an excess of recent mutations, a pattern typical to both demographic expansion and selective sweep [57,58]. Fu and Li’s \( D^* \) was calculated in DNASP (version 4.0), Fu’s \( F_3 \) differences were tested for significance with a coalescent simulation program (1000 simulations), as implemented in ARLEQUIN 2.000.

Isolation by distance. Mantel tests were employed to determine whether significant isolation-by-distance exists among populations by testing for correlation between pairwise \( \Phi_{ST} \) values and geographic distance using the Isolation-by-Distance Web Service 3.16 [59]. Mantel tests were performed with 20,000 iterations that included negative \( \Phi_{ST} \) values and again with negative \( \Phi_{CT} \) values converted to zeros.
Figure 3. Haplotype networks. TCS generated haplotype networks of A) chiru (Pantholops hodgsonii), and B) Tibetan gazelle (Procapra picticaudata) based on the mitochondrial DNA sequences. Numbers in the parentheses denote the haplotype frequencies.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0060712.g003
The program BOTTLENECK (version 1.2.02) [65] was used to detect potential recent bottlenecks in each species. Analyses can be run assuming an infinite alleles model (IAM), a stepwise-mutation (SMM) or a two-phase model (TPM), which incorporates a user-specified proportion of SMM into a multistep mutation model. We ran analyses under the IAM, SMM and TPM (with 30% SMM). Significant departures from the heterozygosity expectations estimated under a given mutation model reject a null hypothesis of mutation-drift equilibrium. A significant excess or deficit in heterozygosity is interpreted as evidence for a demographic expansion or contraction, respectively [65]. The rationale for these expectations is that following a significant reduction in effective population size, the observed number of alleles in a population will be less than that expected from the expected heterozygosity. Conversely, following a significant increase in effective population size, the observed number of alleles is expected to exceed that predicted from expected heterozygosity.

Results

Diversity indices

For mitochondrial control region DNA sequence, a total of 124 variable nucleotide sites were observed in the chiru, of which 76 were parsimony-informative, which defined 86 haplotypes (50 haplotypes were derived from GenBank, GenBank accession Nos. AY744081-AY744130; other 36 haplotypes were obtained in present study, GenBank accession Nos. JQ292928-JQ292963). For the Tibetan gazelle, 193 variable nucleotide sites were observed, and 130 were parsimony-informative, which defined 25 haplotypes (GenBank accession Nos. DQ017352-DQ017355 and DQ423488-DQ423508). For both species, the haplotype diversity was about the same (0.975–0.991), but the nucleotide diversity of the Tibetan gazelle was 4.2 times that of the chiru (Table 3).

For microsatellite loci, linkage disequilibrium was detected between one pair of the loci in the chiru, but no linkage disequilibrium was detected among any of the loci in Tibetan gazelles. Several departures from Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium were detected; two loci (BM1225 and BM1818) showed significant heterozygosity deficit in both species. Observed heterozygosity was 0.792 and 0.752 (Table 3) in the chiru and Tibetan gazelles respectively, and alleles per polymorphic locus ranged from 6 to 20.

Haplotype phylogenetic relationships

The gene tree topologies from maximum likelihood and Bayesian Inference (using BEAST) analyses are identical. The BF strongly favored the constant population model for the chiru (log (BF)>6), but positively supported Bayesian Skyline model for the Tibetan gazelle (log (BF)>3). Thus, Bayesian Inference analyses were performed under these models respectively. For the chiru, no strong geographic structure was inferred by the phylogenetic analysis of mtDNA (Fig. 2A), and the basal placement of haplotypes into three major clades suggests a pattern of population divergence. While one of the clades (clade I) showed very high posterior probability (posterior probability = 1, Fig. 2A), the posterior probability didn’t support the other clades (posterior probability = 0.46, Fig. 2A). In addition, all the three clades were either composed of haplotypes from wintering and calving habitats or mixed haplotypes from different wintering and calving habitats. Furthermore, the genetic network analysis of the mtDNA sequences of the chiru coincides with the haplotype tree patterns. Although TCS analysis resulted in three unconnected networks using statistical parsimony with a 95% confidence limit (Fig. 3A), these three independent clades (clade I, II and III) lack of clear geographic patterns as each of the clades includes chiru individuals from different geographic locations. Discordantly, the 25 Tibetan gazelle haplotypes showed three major clusters: Tibet, SCH and QH-ARJ-KKXL (Fig. 2B). The SCH cluster was formed with a moderate posterior probability (posterior probability = 0.56), but

Table 4. Pairwise population differentiation values and ΦST values for chiru (Pantholops hodgsonii).

| Population | XJ | QH | Tibet |
|------------|----|----|-------|
| XJ         | 17.41 | 25.83 | 0.017 |
| QH         | 0.79 | 16.40 | 0.053 |
| Tibet      | 0.16 | 0.091 | 21.98 |

Above diagonal: Pairwise ΦST values between populations. Diagonal elements: Average number of pairwise differences within population (Pi). Below diagonal: Corrected average pairwise difference (PiXY=(Pi+Pi+Py)/2). Pairwise ΦST values and corrected average pairwise differences that are statistically different are indicated. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0060712.t004

Table 5. AMOVA for grouping of populations estimated using Φ-statistics based on control region sequence for chiru (Pantholops hodgsonii).

| Groups | Among pops within groups (ΦST) | Within pops (ΦST) | Among groups (ΦCT) | % Among groups | P (Among groups) |
|--------|--------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| [Tibet] | 0.0557 | 0.0026 | 0.0562 | 0 | 1.000 |
| [QH] | 0.0205 | 0.0410 | 0.0603 | 6 | 0.8299 |
| [XJ] | 0.0259 | 0.0180 | 0.0081 | 0 | 0.3314 |

Table 6. Estimates of gene flow (Nem) and theta between regional groups of chiru (Pantholops hodgsonii).

| Population | Theta (2Neα) | Values of 2Nem (x= receiving population) |
|------------|-------------|------------------------------------------|
| XJ         | 0.06094 | 4.8418 | 131.9213 |
| Tibet      | 0.16855 | 256.5377 | 141.3462 |
| QH         | 0.08069 | 699.7087 | 1050.9922 |

Ne is the effective population size of females, μ is the mutation rate and m is the migration rate. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0060712.t006
Table 7. Pairwise population differentiation values and $\Phi_{ST}$ values for Tibetan gazelle (*Procapra picticaudata*).

|       | Tibet | ARJ   | SCH   | KKXL  | QH    |
|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Tibet | 0.000  | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| ARJ   | 0.000  | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| SCH   | 0.000  | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| KKXL  | 0.000  | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| QH    | 0.000  | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |

*Significant at 0.01 level.
**Significant at 0.001 level.
*Above diagonal: Pairwise $\Phi_{ST}$ values between populations. Diagonal elements: Average number of pairwise differences within population (PiX). Below diagonal: Corrected average pairwise difference (PiXY–(PiX+PiY)/2). Pairwise $\Phi_{ST}$ values and corrected average pairwise differences that are statistically different are indicated.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0060712.t007

The genetic network analysis of the mtDNA sequences connected Tibetan gazelles into three main unconnected networks under the 95% statistical parsimony criterion of TCS (Fig. 3B). Populations corresponding to [SCH], [Tibet] and [QH, ARJ] and KKXL] were three independently connected networks. Four haplotypes were outliers in the network.

Population structure and gene flow

Pairwise $\Phi_{CT}$ statistics of the mtDNA sequences showed no apparent subdivision in the chiru (Table 4). AMOVA indicated no significant $\Phi_{CT}$ value in possible population groupings. The genetic variation was explained by variation within populations relative to the whole sample ($\Phi_{ST}$; Table 5). Consistently, significant level of historical gene flow was detected from 5/6 possible source-recipient relationships between pairs of regional groups (Table 6). Conversely, for the Tibetan gazelle, the genetic differentiation was detected and significant between the SCH and each of the other four regional populations, as well as the Tibet versus the other three regional populations (excluding ARJ) in pairwise $\Phi_{CT}$ values (Table 7). Analysis of AMOVA indicated a single significant $\Phi_{CT}$ value in one of the possible population grouping patterns: Tibet, SCH and QH-ARJ-KKXL (Table 8, Fig. 1). Significant differences ($p<0.01$; Table 8, 3000 permutations) among the three groups was observed. In addition, this grouping pattern gave the highest $\Phi_{CT}$ value (0.0676). Consequently, no significant historical gene flow was detected from most of the possible source-recipient relationships between pairs of the regional Tibetan gazelle populations (14/20, Table 9). In addition, no significant gene flow was found in the six possible source-recipient relationships between pairs of phylogeographic groups inferred from pairwise $\Phi_{CT}$ statistics (Tibet, SCH and QH-ARJ-KKXL, Table 10).

Likewise, in microsatellite data set of the chiru, no evidence of population structure was detected in the Bayesian clustering analysis (with no prior population assignments) with the highest likelihood score at $K=1$ (Fig. 4A). Consistently, the delta $K$ plot of the chiru showed no clear peak for $K=2$–7 (Fig. 4B). However, for the Tibetan gazelle, Bayesian clustering analysis of the microsatellite variation inferred genetically distinct groups (between two and four). The peak likelihood score was at $K=3$, but were substantially worse at $K=2$ and $K=4$ (Fig. 4A). Furthermore, the $\Delta K$ showed a significant peak exactly at $K=3$ (Fig. 4B). Based on these results, we estimated the Q-plot of the Tibetan gazelle for $K=3$ (Fig. 4C). The Q-plot for $K=3$ inferred that the Tibetan gazelles from SCH corresponded to the cluster1, the individuals from Tibet corresponded to the cluster2, and the majority of the gazelles from Qinhai (QH), Arjinshan (ARJ) and Kekexili (KKXL) corresponded to the cluster 3, which was consistent with the mtDNA results.

No significant positive correlation between genetic and geographic distance (isolation by distance, IBD) was observed either among the chiru (Mantel test; $r=-0.333$, $p=0.30$) or Tibetan gazelle populations (Mantel test; $r=-0.347$, $p=0.21$) in mtDNA sequence data. The results indicate that the different population structure between these two species was not explained by geographic distance.

Demographic analysis

While the mismatch distribution of mtDNA was not unimodal for the chiru, the accumulation of low-frequency mutations was characteristic of nonequilibrium population dynamics (Fig. 5A). In addition, the sum of square deviations and raggedness index suggested no significant difference between the observed distribution and the distribution expected under a model of sudden demographic expansion. Further, Fu’s $F_{S}$ test detected highly significant departures from the neutral/equilibrium expectations ($p<0.001$). Fu and Li’s $D^*$ test showed result of no significance (Table 3). Consistently, 8/9 and 6/9 microsatellite loci showed heterozygote excess under the model IAM and TPM respectively, which also suggests a recent population expansion of the chiru (data not show). Further, the BSP analysis showed support for the hypothesis of population growth of the chiru during the

Table 8. AMOVA for grouping of populations estimated using $\Phi$-statistics based on control region sequence for Tibetan gazelle (*Procapra picticaudata*).

| Groups                          | Among groups ($\Phi_{ST}$) | Within pops ($\Phi_{ST}$) | Among groups ($\Phi_{CT}$) | % Among groups | $P$ (Among groups) |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|-------------------|
| [Tibet, QH, KKXL, ARJ & SCH]   | 0.0494                    | 0.0812                    | 0.0335                    | 3              | 0.1906            |
| [Tibet, QH, KKXL, ARJ] SCH    | 0.0190                    | 0.0854                    | 0.0676**                  | 7              | <0.01             |
| [Tibet, QH, KKXL, ARJ] SCH    | 0.0475                    | 0.1027                    | 0.0579                    | 6              | 0.1945            |
| [Tibet, QH, KKXL, ARJ] SCH    | 0.0139                    | 0.0750                    | 0.0620                    | 6              | 0.0968            |
| [Tibet, QH, KKXL, ARJ] SCH    | 0.0503                    | 0.0673                    | 0.0179                    | 2              | 0.0626            |
| [Tibet, QH, KKXL, ARJ] SCH    | 0.0538                    | 0.0704                    | 0.0176                    | 2              | 0.1046            |

**Significant at 0.01 level.

Table 7. AMOVA for grouping of populations estimated using $\Phi$-statistics based on control region sequence for Tibetan gazelle (*Procapra picticaudata*).

**Significant at 0.01 level.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0060712.t008
Pleistocene (Fig. 6A), although the Bayesian Factor (BF) favored the constant population model for this species. In contrast, for the Tibetan gazelle, the shape of the mismatch distribution derived from the mtDNA was ragged and multimodal, suggesting a long-term demographic stability or declining demography (Fig. 5B). Consistently, nonsignificant values for both Fu’s Fs (p = 0.712) and Fu and Li’s D* indicated that the sequence evolution of the Tibetan gazelle is consistent with the expectation of selective neutrality and stable demographic history. Results of the test for demographic fluctuation based on microsatellite heterozygosities of the Tibetan gazelle were nonsignificant under the IAM, SMM and TPM, and the microsatellite allele frequency distribution was L-shaped (data not shown), suggesting no obvious population expansion or bottleneck [66,67]. In addition, with the positive support by the BF, BSP analysis of the Tibetan gazelle provides further details. Although there were population fluctuations, the demographic trend of this species appears to remain relatively stable before the Upper Pleistocene (0.126 Ma). But after that, surprisingly, the population size of the Tibetan gazelle began to decrease sharply (Fig. 6B).

Discussion

Overall agreement between genetic data and ecological associations indicates that genetic differentiation corresponds to biologically meaningful differences in environment and ecology (behavior). The deep level of population genetic structure in the Tibetan gazelle contrasts with the shallow divergence in the chiru, indicating the discordance of the population structure between these two sympatric species on the Tibetan Plateau. Although high haplotype diversity was detected both in the chiru and the Tibetan gazelle populations (Table 3), more population differentiation was found in the Tibetan gazelle (average \( \Phi_{CT} = 0.363 \) with 6/10 significantly differentiated population comparisons), comparing to that of the chiru (average \( \Phi_{CT} = 0.019 \) with no significantly differentiated population comparison). In addition, the Tibetan gazelle exhibits a stronger pattern of isolation between geographic populations (a significant \( \Phi_{CT} \) was found in AMova) than the chiru, but it seems this isolation is not related to the distance.

Whether the historical environmental changes have a differential or overriding impact on the population depends on the complicated interaction between various factors. Large sequence divergences have been reported, for example, among divergent mtDNA genotypes separated by geographical barriers or distance [68] or within hybrid zones [69,70]. In present study, IBD analyses showed no significant positive correlation between genetic and geographic distance both in the chiru and Tibetan gazelle. Thus the phylogeographic divergence between the populations of the Tibetan gazelle may be related to geographic barriers. For example, the Kunlun Mountains, Tanggula Mountains, Lanchang River, Nujiang River, Jinshajiang River, Qionglai Mountains and Daxueshan Mountains are all natural barriers that separate the Tibet, Qinghai and Sichuan populations of the Tibetan gazelle. Furthermore, the population divergence of the Tibetan gazelle is consistent with the historical environmental changes of the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau. According to previous studies, the substitution rate for the mammal mitochondrial control region (CR) sequence is 2–4% per million years [37–39], and based on the estimated divergence rate of the CR (average sequence divergence 15.4%, results not shown), a recent coalescence time of approximately 3.85–7.7 million years was predicted among the Tibetan gazelle samples, which matches the conclusion by An et al. [71] from their geographical study that enhanced uplift of the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau along the northern and eastern margins approximately 3.6–2.6 million years ago. Similarly, it was reported that the largest bovid living on the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau, Yak (Bos grunniens), and domestic cattle were estimated to have diverged approximately 4.9 million years ago [72]. Thus, this enhanced uplift of the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau could play an important role in the speciation of endemic species on the Plateau and divergence of the Tibetan gazelle populations.

In contrast, phylogeographic analyses for the chiru, even with haplotypes from all regions including the wintering habitats and calving habitat, indicate spatial homogeneity in mtDNA sequence variation, which is comparable to the findings in other migratory species [73]. Because genetic homogeneity typically implies sufficient gene flow (migration) to offset genetic divergence, it has generally been hypothesized [74,75] that extensive movement likely occurs during one or more life-history stages. Field investigations into the migratory population of the chiru have

![Table 9. Estimates of gene flow (Nem) and theta between regional groups of Tibetan gazelle (Procapra picticaudata).](image)

| Population (x) | Theta (2Nem) | Values of 2 Nm [x = receiving population] |
|---------------|-------------|-------------------------------------------|
| Tibet         | 0.05889     | -                                         |
| SCH           | 0.10051     | 1.25e–13                                  |
| ARJ           | 0.03743     | 47.4050                                   |
| KKXL          | 7.29e12     | 1.07e–06                                  |
| QH            | 0.01132     | 9.36e–14                                  |

- Ne is the effective population size of females, \( \mu \) is the mutation rate and m is the migration rate.

- doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0060712.t010

![Table 10. Estimates of gene flow (Nem) and theta between geographic groups of Tibetan gazelle (Procapra picticaudata).](image)

| Population (x) | Theta (2Nem) | Values of 2 Nm [x = receiving population] |
|---------------|-------------|-------------------------------------------|
| Tibet         | 0.0466      | -                                         |
| SCH           | 0.10730     | 2.8868                                    |
| QH-ARJ-KKXL   | 0.06508     | 16.0895                                   |

- Ne is the effective population size of females, \( \mu \) is the mutation rate and m is the migration rate.

- doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0060712.t010
found breeding associated migration in all geographic populations [76,77]. During the course of migration for calving, it is possible that a number of individual females and their calves migrate to other wintering habitats instead of their original locations [78], thereby helping to promote gene exchange between populations of different localities. The frequent and high matrilineal gene flow (the highest was 1051) between pairs of regional populations implies further explanation for genetic homogeneity of the chiru.

Despite the high overlap in current habitat associations and geographic distribution, demographic analyses for these two species demonstrate different population histories. BSP results suggested that the population size of the Tibetan gazelle was relatively constant over a long period of time, even during the periods with extensive geographic changes (the elevation of the plateau) and glaciations (Fig. 6B). This may be attributed to the topographical diversity on the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau that created networks for refugia for wild animals during glaciations [79]. However, surprisingly, the population of the Tibetan gazelle began to decrease sharply around the Upper Pleistocene Period. A previous report of population decrease in bison [80] around 37,000 years ago suggested that ecological changes might have been sufficient to affect this large mammal and stress its population. But Stiller et. al [81] found that the extinction of the cave bear about 24,000 years ago was unlikely to have been driven

---

**Figure 4.** $K$, delta $K$ scores and Q-plot. A) & B) $K$ and delta $K$ scores of the chiru (*Pantholops hodgsonii*) and Tibetan gazelle (*Procapra picticaudata*). The scores are based on microsatellite data with all loci included and prior assumptions of 1–7 genotypic clusters ($K$); C) Q-plot of the Tibetan gazelle (*Procapra picticaudata*) at $K = 3$.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0060712.g004

**Figure 5.** Mismatch distributions. Mismatch distributions of mtDNA control region for A) chiru (*Pantholops hodgsonii*) and B) Tibetan gazelle (*Procapra picticaudata*). The parameters of the goodness-of-fit test to the model of sudden expansion [52] are: sum of squared deviations (SSD), 0.0049 for the chiru ($p = 0.37$), and 0.0239 for the Tibetan gazelle ($p = 0.224$); $r$, raggedness index, 0.0024 for the chiru ($p = 0.6$), and 0.0216 for the Tibetan gazelle ($p = 0.047$).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0060712.g005
entirely by the climatic changes of the Last Glacial Maximum. Instead, it is possible that modern human activities (direct hunting or cave competition) were responsible for that. These studies support the possibility that the combination of environmental changes and human activities could have caused the population decline of the Tibetan gazelles. The topographical diversity of the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau might have created complex barriers for these species to expand. On the other hand, the appearing and increase of nomadic activities on the plateau [82], for example, the road and railway construction, hunting as well as other human activities restrict the gazelles into separated patches. Consequently, the disruption of the evolutionary processes [83] can easily cause the decrease of the population size of the non-migratory Tibetan gazelle.

The shape of the mismatch distribution is generally in good agreement with a model of population growth. Although Bayesian Factor showed no support for the hypothesis, the Bayesian skyline plot demonstrated that the population size of the chiru has been in a pattern of growth. But it was slightly suppressed during the Upper Pleistocene Period (around 45,000 years ago), which may lend support for the constant population hypothesis suggested by BF. This slight population decrease could also be the result of both environmental changes (geographic and climate changes) as well as increased human activities. Migration, the significant characteristics of the chiru, is clearly required for successful breeding. But during the glacial periods, the ice sheets could make the migration difficult or even impossible, which could limit the breeding behavior. Also, increased human activities and constructions [82] may limit the breeding migration of the chiru. Thus, the effective breeding population size will decrease, which eventually can lead to the contraction of the whole population. On the other hand, the migration behavior can keep sufficient gene flow after the retreat of the glaciations, which can counteract the negative impacts from the environment and human beings, and keep a constant population size or even result in a population expansion.

Our study underscores the intrinsic and extrinsic roles played by the elevation of the Tibetan Plateau, glaciations as well as migration in shaping the patterns of the genetic structure and demography among closely related species. For the Tibetan gazelle, historical separation and the absence of gene flow between localities would be expected, in time, to lead to heterogeneity of mtDNA haplotypes [85]. But for the chiru, although some factors such as glaciations and human activities affected the population slightly, the migration behavior may offset the population isolation and divergence, and even plays an important role in the maintaining and recovery of the population. Similar historical demography was found in the migratory and non-migratory Mexico free-tailed bat groups due to gender-biased migratory behavior [86]. The present study highlights the value of comparative analyses of closely related sympatric species, and the importance of considering multiple aspects of species ecology when developing and testing phylogeographic and demographic history hypotheses.
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