Isoeugenol affects expression pattern of conidial hydrophobin gene *RodA* and transcriptional regulators *MedA* and *SomA* responsible for adherence and biofilm formation in *Aspergillus fumigatus*
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Abstract

*Aspergillus fumigatus* is one of the major pathogenic fungal species, causing life-threatening infections. Due to a limited spectrum of available antifungals, exploration of new drug targets as well as potential antifungal molecules has become pertinent. Rodlet layer plays an important role in adherence of fungal conidia to hydrophobic cell surfaces in host, which also leads to *A. fumigatus* biofilm formation, contributing factor to fungal pathogenicity. From decades, natural sources have been known for the development of new active molecules. The present study investigates effect of isoeugenol on genes responsible for hydrophobins (*RodA*), adhesion as well as biofilm formation (*MedA* and *SomA*) of *A. fumigatus*. Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC and IC50) of isoeugenol against *A. fumigatus* were determined using broth microdilution assay. The IC50 results showed reduced hydrophobicity and biofilm formation as well as eradication after treatment with the compound and electron micrograph data corroborated these findings. The qRT-PCR showed a significant downregulation of genes *RodA, MedA, SomA* and *pksP* involved in hydrophobicity and biofilm formation. SwissADME studies potentiated drug-like propensity for isoeugenol which formed four hydrogen bonds with low binding energy (−4.54 kcal/mol) at the catalytic site of RodA protein studied via AutoDock4. Hence, the findings conclude that isoeugenol inhibits conidial hydrophobicity and biofilm formation of *A. fumigatus* and further investigations are warranted in this direction.
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Introduction

Aspergillosis has become the second most common invasive fungal infection in patients with weak immune response, particularly neutropenic patients with hematopoietic stem cell transplantation, solid organ transplantation, and those receiving chemotherapy (Abastabar et al. 2019). Recently, COVID-19 associated pulmonary aspergillosis was reported as a lethal complication affecting critically ill patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome (Borman et al. 2020). This life-threatening fungal disease is caused by ubiquitous filamentous mold, *Aspergillus fumigatus* that produces large number of small size airborne spores capable of surviving under harsh biotic as well as abiotic stress conditions (Kasprzyk 2008). The mainstay antifungal drugs against *A. fumigatus* infections mainly target fungal cell membrane components and enzymes involved in its biosynthesis. Despite availability of the antifungal drugs, there are many limitations, including poor oral bioavailability, narrow therapeutic indices and emerging drug resistance resulting from their excessive use (Hagiwara et al. 2016; Mota Fernandes et al. 2021). Thus, the discovery of a novel antifungal with broad-spectrum activity, low toxicity, minimal side effects and enhanced bioavailability is highly warranted (Brauer et al. 2019).

*A. fumigatus* possesses multiple virulence determinants like, conidial cell surface molecules [rodlet layer,
DHN-melanin, pathogen associated molecular patterns (PAMPs)), secondary metabolite gliotoxin, thermotolerance, biofilm formation, oxidative stress components (Abad et al. 2010; Croft et al. 2016; Voltersen et al. 2018; Gupta et al. 2021). Adherence to a host cell represents the most crucial step of fungal pathogenesis (Lin et al. 2015). A. fumigatus spores adhere to the lung epithelial cells of the host upon direct inhalation, with subsequent germination and hyphal outgrowth representing the first stage of infection (Espo\-bar et al. 2016). Its conidia possess a surface protein coat known as rodlet layer, which masks their recognition by the host immune response (Amanianda et al. 2009). The rodlet layer is a thin coating of regularly arranged hydrophobin proteins covalently bound to conidial cell wall through glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI)-remnants. The rodlet layer is composed of multiple hydrophobin (rod) proteins encoded by their respective genes (RodA-G) (Cerqueira et al. 2014). Among all the Rod proteins, RodA is the only essential hydrophobin responsible for outer layer permeability, stability, hydrophobicity and immune inertia of the conidial cell wall surface (Valsecchi et al. 2019). Deletion of RodA gene modifies the properties of the conidial cell wall surface (Valsecchi et al. 2017). The decrease in surface RodA rodlets results in increased phagocytosis of A. fumigatus spores (Dagenais et al. 2010). It has been reported that adherence of conidia to the host epithelial lining is reduced due to loss of conidial hydrophobin RodA (Croft et al. 2016). RodB was also found to be present in conidia but disruption of rodB gene did not affect the rodlet formation (Paris et al. 2003). The significance of other hydrophobins (RodC-G) in A. fumigatus is still unknown (Valsecchi et al. 2017). According to Gravelat et al (2010), the preliminary expression analysis of putative A. fumigatus adhesins also indicated down-regulation of the expression of a GPI-anchored protein Afu3g00880.

Regulatory proteins governing conidiation, adherence, cell wall homeostasis and biofilm formation in A. fumigatus includes Medusa (MedA) and transcription factor SomA. MedA has been reported to control conidiation and biofilm formation along with expression of downstream adhesin genes in A. fumigatus (Abdallah et al. 2012). It is required for adhesion to several host surfaces like pulmonary epithelial cell, endothelial cells and fibronectin. The \( \Delta \)MedA mutant studies in Galleria mellonella as well as mammalian models revealed that the mutants were pigment less with reduced virulence (Gravelat et al. 2010). Also, conidiation was reported to be affected in MedA deletion strain of A. fumigatus. According to Gravelat et al (2010), in \( \Delta \)MedA strain delayed conidial pigmentation was observed along with reduced gene expression of six gene cluster involved in dihydroxynaphthalene (DHN)-melanin biosynthesis as compared to wild-type. In DHN-melanin biosynthesis, \( \text{pksp} \) gene deletion leads to loss of melanin which results in modification in the A. fumigatus cell wall (Bayry et al. 2014). The \( \text{pksp} \) mutant produces hydrophilic conidia due to deposition of glycoproteins on their conidial cell wall. Under normal conditions, transcriptional regulator SomA functions along with its co-regulator PtaB, and plays a critical role in conidial adhesion and biofilm formation. The galactosaminogalactan (GAG) is an important component of fungal cell wall that are important in fungal adhesion and also one of the components in extracellular matrix (ECM) formation. It has been reported that the galactose and galactosamine levels reduce in \( \Delta \text{SomA} \) mutants (Lin et al. 2015). SomA binds to the promoter site of GAG biosynthetic genes \( \text{adg3} \) and \( \text{ega3} \) (Chen et al. 2020) and affects its downstream gene expression. It also regulates the expression of genes \( \text{MedA}, \text{StuA}, \text{uge3} \) of A. fumigatus conidiation and adhesion. According to Lin et al (2015), SomA also affects the expression of RodA. The cell wall homeostasis in A. fumigatus is somewhat interlinked with the hydrophobins (RodA), and adhesion gene regulators (MedA and SomA).

Natural compounds, a rich source of novel prototypes of antifungals can be used to solve the problems associated such as nephrotoxicity, hepatotoxicity, bronchospasm etc. with the use of current antifungals available for the treatment of A. fumigatus infections (invasive aspergillosis, broncho pulmonary aspergillosis etc.) (Aldholmi et al. 2019). Isoeugenol is a phenylpropanoid and isomer of eugenol which is generally found in plants like Syzygium aromatum, Myristica fragrans, Cinnamomum verum, Ocimum tenuiflorum, Piper nigrum (Khalil et al. 2017). It has been reported to have antimicrobial, antioxidant, anti-tumor activities (Peixoto et al. 2017; Zarlah et al. 2014). Antifungal activity of isoeugenol has been reported against various Aspergillus spp. (Campaniello et al. 2010; Pizzolitto et al. 2015; Mutlu-Ingok et al. 2020). However, the mechanism of inhibitory action of isoeugenol against A. fumigatus is yet to be explored. Hence, in the present study, isoeugenol was investigated for its antifungal activity targeting conidial hydrophobicity and transcription regulators MedA and SomA involved in adherence, conidiation and biofilm formation in A. fumigatus.

Materials and methods

Fungal strain, culture maintenance and inoculum preparation

A. fumigatus (ATCC-46645; a gift from Prof. Axel Brakhage, Department of Molecular and Applied Microbiology, Leibniz Institute for Natural Product Research and Infection Biology-HKI, Germany), was sub-cultured on Czapek Dox agar (CzA) at 28 ± 2 °C for 4 days. Briefly, A. fumigatus spores were harvested in sterile phosphate buffered saline (1x PBS).
supplemented with 0.05% Tween 20. The conidial suspension was adjusted to the final concentration of 5 × 10⁴ conidia/mL according to CLSI M38-A2 reference method (Alexander and Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute 2017).

Isoeugenol (C₁₀H₁₂O₂) was procured from Sigma-Aldrich (India). The compound was solubilised in 100% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) to make a stock concentration of 100 mg/mL. For working solution, stock solution was further diluted in Czapek Dox broth (CzB). It was ensured that the final concentration of DMSO did not exceed an amount that had any detectable effect in assays (Szumilak et al. 2017).

**In-vitro antifungal activity**

Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and inhibitory concentration-50 (IC₅₀) was calculated according to the CLSI M38-A2 broth microdilution method for filamentous fungi (Alexander and Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute 2017). The experiment was carried out in triplicates in a 96-well polystyrene flat bottom plate (Tarsons, India). Isoeugenol was dissolved in DMSO and DMSO kept as solvent control. Two-fold dilutions of isoeugenol and DMSO (solvent control) were prepared in CzB. Conidial suspension (100 µL) was added to each well except negative control. Two-fold dilutions of isoeugenol and DMSO was added to each well except negative control. The plates were incubated statically for 4 days at 28 ± 2 °C. (100 µL) was added to conidial suspension, vortexed in Czapek Dox broth (CzB). It was ensured that the final concentration of DMSO did not exceed an amount that had any detectable effect in assays (Szumilak et al. 2017).

The MIC and IC₅₀ are defined as the lowest concentration of the compound, which inhibit 100% and 50% of microbial growth, respectively (Alexander and Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute 2017). The results were expressed in microgram per milliliters (µg/mL).

**Biochemical analysis of conidial cell surface hydrophobicity (CSH) in A. fumigatus**

Hydrophobicity of the conidial suspension was assayed by two-phase partitioning with hexadecane as the hydrocarbon phase (Pihet et al. 2009). Control (untreated) as well as isoeugenol treated A. fumigatus conidia were harvested in 1x PBS and absorbance was set to 0.30 at 630 nm. Hexadecane (500 µL) was added to conidial suspension, vortexed (2 min) at an interval of 30 s and incubated for 10 min at room temperature for phase separation. The absorbance of the aqueous phase was measured at 630 nm and compared to the initial absorbance.

Percentage reduction in cell surface hydrophobicity (%CSH) was calculated for treated as well as untreated A. fumigatus conidia using the formula:

\[
%CSH = \frac{A1−A2}{A1} \times 100
\]

where A1 is absorption before addition of hydrocarbon; A2 is absorption after addition of hydrocarbon. Another assay conducted further to check the conidial surface hydrophobicity was droplet deposition test. Treated and untreated fungal mycelia along with conidia were placed on the clean glass slide. Approximately, 10 µL of sterile water was placed on it for 5 min. The photograph was captured after 5 min following the deposition of droplets (Chau et al. 2010).

**Extraction of conidial melanin**

The extraction of conidial melanin from treated and control (untreated) A. fumigatus was performed as described by Kumar et al. (2011) with modifications. From 4 days grown cultures, conidia were harvested in 1x PBS by centrifugation (7000 g; 5 min). The pellet was washed twice with distilled water. For melanin extraction, pellet of A. fumigatus conidia was autoclaved by resuspending in 3 mL of 1 M KOH. Further, acid hydrolysis was done to purify the extracted melanin by adding 5 mL of 7 M HCl in a sealed glass vial for 2 h at 100 °C. After cooling, precipitate was recovered by centrifugation (5000 g; 10 min). The precipitate was suspended in 100 mM borate buffer and UV–visible absorption spectrum was recorded in the wavelength range (220–800 nm) on a UV–visible spectrophotometer. 100 mM borate buffer was used as a blank. The experiment was conducted in triplicates.

**Conidiation**

The effect of isoeugenol on conidiation was estimated spectrophotometrically using CLSI protocol. Agar blocks (1 cm³) was excised from the 5 days old CzA plate using a sterile surgical blade and transferred to test tube. 1x PBS supplemented with 0.25% Tween-20; 5 mL was added to each tube, shaken vigorously and absorbance was measured at 530 nm. The absorbance of treated and control (untreated) samples of A. fumigatus conidia were observed.

**Effect of isoeugenol on A. fumigatus biofilm formation and its eradication**

The biofilm of A. fumigatus was cultured in a 12-well polystyrene plate at calculated IC₅₀ of isoeugenol to analyse its effect (Manavathu et al. 2014). To check biofilm eradication property of isoeugenol, biofilm was fully grown on 12-well polystyrene plate for 48 h and then, treated with IC₅₀ of isoeugenol for another 24 h (Hoda et al. 2019; Sav et al. 2018). To visualise the effect of isoeugenol, scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) were performed and samples were processed as described by González-Ramírez et al (2016), with minor modifications. The A. fumigatus biofilm topology of isoeugenol treated and control (untreated) were viewed under SEM (Zeiss SEM, MA EVO -18 Special Edition). For CLSM, samples were stained with calcofluor white M2R (Sigma,
St. Louis, MO, USA) and viewed under Nikon Instruments A1 Confocal Laser Microscope Series with NIS elements C software, Japan.

**Scanning and transmission electron microscopy of treated A. fumigatus conidia**

Isoeugenol treated conidial surfaces of *A. fumigatus* were also analysed using SEM. The conidia were harvested, washed and fixed in 4% glutaraldehyde in 1x PBS under vacuum for 24 h. After washing, the cells were post-fixed with 1% osmium tetroxide for 60 min and dehydrated by passage through ethanol solutions of increasing concentration. The samples were then mounted on aluminium sheet and coated with gold–palladium alloy. The observations were made using a Zeiss SEM, MA EVO -18 Special Edition (Pihet et al. 2009).

For TEM analysis, conidia were harvested, washed and fixed overnight at room temperature with 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M sodium cacodylate buffer (pH 7.4). Conidia were incubated for 1.5 h at 20 °C in a solution of 4% formaldehyde -1% glutaraldehyde in 0.1% PBS and then incubated in 2% osmium tetroxide for 1.5 h. Dehydration was accomplished by serial washings in graded ethanol solutions of 50–95% for 10 min, followed by two final washes in 100% ethanol for 15 min. The cells were embedded in Spurr's resin, sectioned onto nickel grids and examined on a JEOL 2100F transmission electron microscope to obtain micrographs (Graham and Orenstein 2007).

**Gene expression analysis**

**Primer designing**

The conidial hydrophobin *RodA* gene, transcriptional regulator genes *MedA, SomA*, polyketide synthase gene *pksP* and tubulin (*Tub*) CDS gene sequences were downloaded from NCBI (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed) database for designing the primer for expression analysis. The primers were designed by Primer 3 software (http://primer3.ut.ee/) (Untergasser et al. 2012). The primer sequences were then analyzed for potential hairpin formation and self-complementarity (http://www.basic.northwestern.edu/biotools/oligocalc.html). The details of primers are given in Table 1.

**Expression profiling of genes by qRT-PCR**

Expression of the genes of interest was quantified by qRT-PCR analysis as described previously (Gupta et al. 2019). Two micrograms of total RNA of each sample (isoegenol treated and *A. fumigatus* control) were used to synthesize first-strand cDNA by oligo (dT)-18 primer using the Hi-cDNA synthesis Kit (HiMedia). Real-time PCR was performed using an ABI QuantStudio 3 (Applied Biosystems, Streetsville, Canada), and amplification products were detected with SYBR-green master mix (HiMedia) for fungal gene expression.

The relative quantification of individual gene expression was performed using the comparative threshold cycle method. The amplification program used for real time was 95 °C for 3 min, 40 cycles at 95 °C for 30 s, 60 °C for 30 s, 72 °C for 30 s. The melt curve analysis was at 95 °C for 15 s, 60 °C for 60 s, 72 °C for 30 s and holding stage 10 s. Fungal gene expression was normalized to *A. fumigatus* tubulin expression, and relative expression was estimated using the formula $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_t}$, where

$$\Delta\Delta C_t = \frac{[(Ct\ target\ gene)_{sample}\ -\ (Ct\ Tub)_{sample}]}{[(Ct\ target\ gene)_{reference}\ -\ (Ct\ Tub)_{reference}]}$$

The results were analyzed using ABI QuantStudio 3 software and genes were considered differentially expressed, if they were at least two-fold up- or down-regulated.

| S. no | Gene name | Gene reference ID | Primer sequence (5'-3') | Amplicon size (bp) |
|-------|-----------|-------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|
| 1     | *RodA*    | U06121.1          | F: TTG CAT ACC CATCCAGCCTC R: CTTGTC AAGGATGAT GG | 186 bp           |
| 2     | *MedA*    | XM_750565.1       | F: GACCTGTGCTAGTATGGA TTGT R: TGGTTCTTCTGAACCTTC | 348 bp           |
| 3     | *SomA*    | XM_741613.1       | F: ACGTGCTATCGATGAATGTGG T: TACGGTCTACGGTCTTTGG | 300 bp           |
| 4     | *pksP*    | NC_007195.1       | F: GTCCATCTCTTGTCCACAGACC R: CAGCGTGAGAGAGAGAGGAGG | 167 bp           |
| 5     | *Tub*     | NC_007194.1       | F: TGGAATCCACCTCAAGGAAGG R: GGAATCCACCTCAAGGAAGG | 174 bp           |
In-silico screening of isoeugenol for therapeutic activity

The qualitative measurements of absorption, distribution, metabolism, excretion, and toxicity of bioactive compound isoeugenol were predicted by using SwissADME program (http://www.swissadme.ch/index.php) (Sharma et al. 2020). The major ADME-Tox properties include molecular weight, H-bond acceptor, H-bond donors, predicted octanol/water partition coefficient (MLogP), total polar surface area (TPSA), Lipinski (drug likeness), Gastrointestinal (GI) absorption, Blood brain barrier (BBB) permeant and bioavailability score. The parameters deployed to predict the physicochemical properties of the compound and describe its disposition within the host organism are summarized in Table 2 (Lipinski et al. 2001).

Molecular docking

The protein structure of RodA hydrophobin from A. fumigatus (PDB ID: 6GCJ) was downloaded from RCSB protein databank (http://www.rcsb.org). Initially, different tasks were performed for protein preparation such as charge assignment, solvation parameters and fragmental volumes using SPDBV-4.10 version (Morris et al. 2009). The protein molecules were further optimized using AutoDock4 version 4.2.6 tool for molecular docking (Morris et al. 2009). The three-dimensional (3D) structure of isoeugenol was downloaded from Drugbank database.

Using AutoDock4 tool, ligand isoeugenol was docked at the bioactive catalytic site of the targeted protein RodA hydrophobin. For protein–ligand interactions, the Lamarckian genetic algorithm was utilized to perform the docking with the pre-set parameters. The total number of poses was set to 50. Poses were further clustered using all atoms RMSD cut off value of 0.3 Å to remove redundancy and on an average 20 cluster representatives were kept. All other parameters for docking and scoring were used as default sets. The protein structure was kept rigid in all steps. To visualise the protein–ligand interactions, Discovery Studio Visualizer (v20.1.0.19295) was used (http://accelrys.com/products/collaborative-science/biovia-discovery-studio/visualization-download.php).

Statistical analysis

For the statistical analysis, unpaired t-test was used to compare the results of CSH assay and gene expression analysis for isoeugenol treated culture with wild type A. fumigatus. All experiments were conducted in biological triplicates. All the statistics was performed using GraphPad Prism software 8.0.2.263 version and Microsoft Excel. p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of isoeugenol

MIC and IC₅₀ of bioactive compound isoeugenol were calculated as 312 µg/mL and 156 µg/mL, respectively against A. fumigatus. Further, all biochemical and molecular assays of A. fumigatus were conducted at calculated IC₅₀ value of isoeugenol. Positive and solvent control well showed characteristic greenish-grey A. fumigatus conidia whereas isoeugenol treated well at IC₅₀ depicted white pigment-less conidia.

Biochemical analysis of conidial cell surface hydrophobicity (CSH) in A. fumigatus

For fungal colonization and pathogenesis, adhesion of pathogen on the host surface is a crucial step. In A. fumigatus, a statistical decrease in the biochemical CSH value was observed in isoeugenol treated culture as compared to the control (untreated). The calculated reduction in CSH percentage was 72.46% in isoeugenol treated A. fumigatus conidia. (Fig. 1A; p < 0.005). In addition, hydrophobicity of conidial surface was visualised by dropping sterile water onto the fungal surface. As water droplets were placed onto the fungal surface, it immediately soaked by isoeugenol treated culture. However, untreated dark pigmented conidia of A. fumigatus unable to absorb water due to its hydrophobic characteristic (Fig. 1B).

Extraction of conidial melanin

The absorption spectra showed characteristic absorption peaks in the UV region ranging from 250–290 nm in
isoeugenol treated and untreated \textit{A. fumigatus}, but not in the visible region. The overall characteristic absorption peak was shown at 270 nm (Fig. S1). In control and treated samples, optical density at 270 nm was 1.1 and 0.42 respectively. The spectra showed a significant reduction in melanin formation in isoeugenol treated conidia as compared to control (untreated).

**Conidiation**

Absorbance at 530 nm was increased in isoeugenol treated \textit{A. fumigatus} which corresponds to increase in conidial formation. The number of conidia formed in treated \textit{A. fumigatus} was high in comparison to the control (Fig. 2; \(p < 0.005\)).

**Scanning and transmission electron microscopy of treated \textit{A. fumigatus} conidia and its biofilm**

\textit{A. fumigatus} conidial surface morphology as well as changes in fungal biofilm after isoeugenol treatment were analyzed and compared to wild type control via SEM. Alteration in conidial cell surface morphology was observed at IC\(_{50}\) treated \textit{A. fumigatus}. Isoeugenol treated conidial surface was observed as smooth without any protrusions whereas the wild type conidia showed echinulate surface (Fig. 3A and B). In scanning electron micrographs, closely packed \textit{A. fumigatus} conidia were visualized in untreated control, on the other hand, the presence of isoeugenol reduced the hydrophobic interaction among conidia (Fig. 3C and D).

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analysis confirmed presence of protrusions in control conidia (untreated; Fig. 3E) as observed in Fig. 3A whereas isoeugenol treated conidial section revealed absence of protrusion on outer surface with visible clear inner cell wall surface indicating absence of melanin layer (Fig. 3F).

In SEM micrographs, defected \textit{A. fumigatus} biofilm viewed at IC\(_{50}\) of isoeugenol where only hyphal growth with lack of ECM (Fig. 4B) and similar results were obtained in pre-formed biofilm (Fig. 4D). However, in wild type control highly compact intermingled hyphae covered with high amount of ECM were observed which was the characteristic feature of fungal biofilm (Fig. 4A and C). Isoeugenol possess anti-biofilm effect on \textit{A. fumigatus} with absence of ECM; also, able to reduce the adherence
properties, responsible to initiate the fungal infection. Similar results were observed under CLSM, where the ECM components were analysed by co-localisation of the fluorochrome calcofluor white dye. In isoeugenol treated A. fumigatus biofilm, disintegrated hyphae without ECM component were visualised when stained with calcofluor white (Fig. 5). The treated and control (untreated) biofilm were illustrated in Fig. 5, with (a) calcofluor white dye (excitation: 405 nm and emission: 420–470 nm); (b) a bright field (without fluorochrome); and (c) overlapping image.

**Gene expression analysis of hydrophobin gene, RodA**

The impact of isoeugenol treatment on rodlet gene RodA, transcriptional regulatory genes of A. fumigatus MedA, SomA and pksP was investigated by reverse transcription followed by qRT-PCR for differential gene expression. Isoeugenol treatment (0.156 mg/mL) led to a significant down-regulation of RodA gene as well as transcriptional regulators MedA, SomA and pksP gene transcripts in comparison with control (untreated) (Fig. 6). The complete expression data was normalized by housekeeping gene β-tubulin. Gene expression data expressed as $2^{-ΔΔCt}$ is the mean of at least 3 replicates ± standard error.

**In-silico screening of isoeugenol for therapeutic activity**

Isoeugenol was studied for its physicochemical properties and ADME to screen bioavailability via oral route. Table 2 shows all five key criteria required for any compound to possess drug-likeness properties. ADME analysis suggested that the isoeugenol has potential therapeutic abilities in reference to Lipinski’s rule of five: molecular weight less than 500 g/mol, hydrogen bond donor less than 5, hydrogen bond acceptor less than 10 and lipophilicity (Log P) value less than 5. Drug likeness properties are in favour as it is following Lipinski’s, Veber, Egan rule having bioavailability score of 0.55 with high GI absorption and BBB permeant.

The isoeugenol bioavailability radar (Fig. 7) revealed that coloured zone is a suitable physicochemical space for oral bioavailability. The parameters taken into consideration for analysis were flexibility (FLEX), lipophilicity (LIPO), saturation (INSATU), size (SIZE), polarity (POLAR) and solubility (INSOLU). All the mentioned parameters were in coloured zone except INSATU which was 0.20.

**Molecular docking**

Molecular docking was performed to evaluate the interaction of isoeugenol with RodA hydrophobin from A. fumigatus. Hydrophobin protein encoded by RodA gene was targeted...
and the docking pocket was formed at the catalytic sites of the respective protein. The docking pocket developed around the amino acid Cys-64 having coordinates (1.321, − 6.533, 2.078) in the chain A. Besides, the binding affinity of interacted compounds at the active site was assessed. The isoeugenol exhibited the docked score of − 4.54 kcal/mol and formed four hydrogen bonds with amino acid residues Lys-126, Cys-127, Gln-129 and Lys-128. It also formed a pi-pi bond with Cys-64 and Cys-127 amino acid in the catalytic domain (Fig. 8).

**Discussion**

Several different features of *A. fumigatus* physiology have been proposed that accounts for its high virulence, including conidial size, their ubiquitous abundance and hydrophobicity of the cell wall (Abad et al. 2010; van de Veerdonk et al. 2017). The surface of *A. fumigatus* conidia is masked by a layer of hydrophobin proteins (Linder et al. 2005; Amanianda et al. 2009) which forms rodlets on the surface of *A. fumigatus* conidia (Paris et al. 2003; Valsecchi et al. 2019). The rodlet layer is amphipathic and it renders the conidial surface resistant to wettability as well as facilitates easy spore dispersion. It consists of rodlet proteins RodA and RodB wherein only RodA contributes majorly towards conidial hydrophobicity, immune inertness against macrophages (Paris et al. 2003) and also restricts the entry of hydrophilic molecules like oxidant components of phagocytes (Rementeria et al. 2005). Along with hydrophobins, fungal adhesion to biotic and abiotic surfaces signifies initial stage towards establishment of fungal biofilms (Oshiro et al. 2019). According to the literature, biofilm is an important structure for fungal virulence and provide protection from host response as well as antifungal drugs. Hydrophobins do not play any significant role in biofilm formation and its adherence (Valsecchi et al. 2017). There are reported regulatory genes underlying biofilm formation that are SomA and MedA in *A. fumigatus*-mediated invasive diseases that has now been studied in detail (Gravelat et al. 2010; Zhang et al. 2018; Chen et al. 2020).

Various studies have reported that phenolic compounds are mainly responsible for the antimicrobial properties of plant essential oils (Gutiérrez-Larraínzar et al. 2012; Zabka and Pavela 2013; Medeiros et al. 2020). Antibacterial (gram positive/gram negative) and antifungal (*Candida* spp.) action

![Fig. 4](image-url)
of isoeugenol (isomer of phenolic compound eugenol) has been previously evaluated, suggesting that it targets cell membrane by triggering intracellular acidification and cell membrane breakage (Bhatia et al. 2012; Hyldgaard et al. 2015). The presence of free hydroxyl (–OH) from the aromatic chain of isoeugenol is one of the structural parameters involved in the antimicrobial activity of this phytoconstituent, however, molecular properties such as hydrophobicity, refractivity and molecular geometry also seem to be related to the inhibitory capacity of isoeugenol on Candida spp. (Dambolena et al. 2012; Siddiqui et al. 2013). It has been reported that it is an effective molecule in controlling the establishment and progression of candidiasis by inhibiting the formation of virulence structures (chlamydoconidia, blastoconidia and pseudo-hyphae) in Candida albicans (Mayer et al. 2013; Medeiros et al. 2020). Thus, the present study explored the effects of phenolic compound isoeugenol on conidial hydrophobicity and biofilm formation of A. fumigatus.

We investigated the antifungal action of isoeugenol on filamentous fungi A. fumigatus. The minimum inhibition concentration of isoeugenol was observed at 0.312 mg/mL and IC₅₀ at 0.156 mg/mL. A study conducted on filamentous fungi Penicillium citrinum reported the MIC of isoeugenol in the range of 0.256–0.032 mg/mL (Ferreira et al. 2018) whereas antibacterial effect in range 0.6–1.0 mg/mL (Hyldgaard et al. 2015). Pizzolitto et al. (2015) reported that isoeugenol possesses higher antifungal activity as compared to eugenol and other phenolic compounds. Thus, isoeugenol is a promising candidate which can be further evaluated as a potential antifungal molecule with its molecular target approach.

In presence of isoeugenol, conidial cell surface hydrophobicity of A. fumigatus was analysed which showed a significant decrease with increased wettability of the conidia. Similar results on hydrophobicity have also been reported in A fumigatus mutant by Piher et al (2009) and Girardin et al (1999). Isoeugenol treated A. fumigatus produced

---

Fig. 5  Confocal laser scanning microscope image of A. fumigatus biofilm stained with calcofluor white dye depicted (A–C) control; D–F disintegrated hyphae without ECM in isoeugenol treated sample at 20× magnification. The chitin component shown in three images with and without fluorochrome and overlapping image. Scale Bar: 100 μm
pigment-less conidia whereas wild-type control was of greyish green colour. In addition, the UV–Vis spectrum showed that the DHN-melanin was reduced in treated conidia due to its inability to produce conidial pigment. Various other studies have also suggested that the absence of rodlet layer and melanin pigment corresponds to altered hydrophobicity of the \textit{A. fumigatus} conidia (Aimanianda et al. 2009; Zhang et al. 2011; Hoda et al. 2020). The white pigment-less, smooth walled conidia of \textit{A. fumigatus} have been reported in Δ\textit{pksP} (DHN-melanin biosynthesis pathway mutant) (Bernard and Latgé 2001) as well as rodlet mutant (Paris et al. 2003). Subsequently, scanning electron micrographs suggested an alteration in the spore cell wall with loss of protrusion in isoeugenol treated conidia whereas wild type conidia showed a distinct ornamentation on their surface. At low magnification, closely packed conidia as seen in control (untreated) sample, were not observed in isoeugenol treated \textit{A. fumigatus}. The conidial samples were later subjected to TEM observations where treated conidia revealed marked modifications in the outer membrane with loss of protrusions and lack of melanin content in comparison to wild type control. On other hand Fig. 4 depicted that presence of isoeugenol inhibited the ECM formation, which in turn decreases the compact hyphal structure of \textit{A. fumigatus} biofilm. For biofilm formation, ECM is an important characteristic which consists of galactomannans, galactosaminogalactan, glucans, proteins etc. (Rajendran et al. 2013). Herein, chemical composition of \textit{A. fumigatus} was observed by the co-localisation of fluorochrome attached to chitin and glucans under CLSM using calcofluor white dye. These micrographs suggested that isoeugenol plays a major role in inhibition of outer cell wall components via interfering their biosynthesis and its

Fig. 6 Relative quantification of \textit{RodA}, \textit{MedA SomA} and \textit{pksP} gene expression (normalised to house-keeping gene β-tubulin) in \textit{A. fumigatus} treated with IC_{50} of isoeugenol. Data reported as mean of fold changes with standard deviation from three independent experiments amplified in triplicates. \(p \leq 0.05\) was considered statistically significant.

Fig. 7 The oral bioavailability radar of isoeugenol using SwissADME predictor. The six physiochemical properties taken into account to consider a molecule as drug-like are FLEX, LIPO, INSATU, SIZE, POLAR and INSOLU. The pink area of radar plot showed that compound satisfies all the above-mentioned properties except saturation.
biofilm formation. In addition, the altered conidial surface could enhance drug sensitivity of *A. fumigatus*. Aiman-anda et al (2009) reported that altered conidial surface also induces inflammatory cytokines, chemokines and reactive oxygen intermediates to activate host immune response.

Conidial surface study was coupled with the analysis of expression levels of hydrophobicity—related gene RodA, transcription regulators MedA, SomA and DHN-melanin biosynthesis gene *pksP* in isoeugenol treated as well as wild type *A. fumigatus*. Compared to wild type, the expression levels of studied genes (*RodA, MedA SomA* and *pksP*) were significantly downregulated at IC₅₀ treated *A. fumigatus* (Fig. 6). The gene expression of *RodA* gene responsible for rodlet formation and transcriptional regulator *MedA* and *SomA* governs adherence, conidiation, host interaction and biofilm formation in *A. fumigatus* (Gravelat et al. 2010; Lin et al. 2015; Valsecchi et al. 2019). Moreover, in mutant Δ*RodA* conidia, underneath layer gets exposed which is composed of glycoproteins, chitin and β-(1,3)-glucan (Valsecchi et al. 2020). These components are pathogen associated molecule patterns and their exposure on the conidial surface actively induces the host immune response. Deletion of *rodA* gene impacts drug sensitivity of the fungi (Valsecchi et al. 2019). Another study on Δ*MedA* mutant which analysed the role of gene *MedA* in the adherence of *A. fumigatus* to epithelial cells A549 as well as in biofilm formation (Gravelat et al. 2010) reported that Δ*MedA* mutant strain was unable to induce any epithelial cell damage with decreased adherence, and stimulation of cytokine production in comparison to the wild type. It is still unclear whether *MedA* directly interacts with substrates to mediate adherence or not. Probably, *MedA* serves as a regulatory protein controlling the expression of downstream adhesion genes (Gravelat et al. 2010). The Δ*MedA* and Δ*pksP* mutant conidia are also reported to undergo de-pigmented morphology and has been linked to *A. fumigatus* virulence (Gravelat et al. 2010; Hoda et al. 2020). *SomA* gene expression was highly downregulated in our study, these results corroborated by scanning electron micrographs depicted loss of ECM and biofilm formation in presence of isoeugenol. There are certain strong evidence suggesting downregulation of *SomA* gene and its effects on *A. fumigatus* biofilm formation and enhances sensitivity to cell wall stressors (Lin et al. 2015; Zhang et al. 2018; Chen et al. 2020). *SomA* governs GAG biosynthetic genes as well as cell wall related genes under normal and cell wall stress conditions.

Pharmacokinetic properties of isoeugenol were studied via *in-silico* analysis. SwissADME web tool was used to predict the physiochemical properties and drug likeness of molecule to further analyse the molecule on other parameters. It takes into account six important physicochemical properties including flexibility, lipophilicity, saturation, size, polarity and solubility (Pires et al. 2015). In the present study, drug likeness properties of isoeugenol were in favour as it follows Lipinski’s, Veber, Egan rule. The pink area of radar plot showed that isoeugenol satisfies all the mentioned properties except saturation which should be less than 0.25 (http://www.swissadme.ch/index.php). Lipinski’s rule of five states molecular weight less than 500, hydrogen bond acceptor less than 10, hydrogen bond donor less than 5 and logP value should be less than 5. On other hand, Veber rule states rotatable bonds should be less than 10 and polar surface area should not be more than 140 Å are orally active (Cheng et al. 2012). Isoeugenol’s computational ADME studies suggested that it can be considered as a drug-like molecule and similar results were reported by Ferreira et al (2018).

In present study, molecular docking was conducted at *A. fumigatus* RodA protein catalytic site that consists of 64 as one of the amino acids. The docking score obtained between ligand isoeugenol and cysteine residue active site of RodA protein was −4.54 kcal/mol with four hydrogen bonds formation. The secondary structure of RodA protein consists of a central β-barrel composed of two curved antiparallel β-sheets, two relatively long α-helices and two short 3₁₀ helices (Valsecchi et al. 2019). The structure of RodA protein comprises four-disulfide bridges formed between eight cysteine residues and two amyloidogenic regions. According to Valsecchi et al. (2020), any point mutations on this conserved region may lead to alteration in the cell wall organisation rendering conidial surface hydrophilic.

Fig. 8 Binding interactions of isoeugenol with the active site of RodA hydrophobin protein target site (PDB ID: 6GCJ). Green dotted lines depicted hydrogen bonds; pink dotted line showed pi-pi bond.
And, out of eight cysteine residues isoeugenol interacted with four cysteine residues: two formed hydrogen bonds and two formed Van der Waals interaction. The counting of these intermolecular interactions formed between receptor and ligand is calculated in terms of scoring function (Oda et al. 2006). Consequently, it is very important to understand molecular mechanisms that are involved in reduction of conidial hydrophobicity, biofilm formation in presence of isoeugenol as this work will provide new approaches to combat the infection caused by Aspergillus fumigatus.

Conclusion

The present study concluded that isoeugenol is capable of inhibiting hydrophobin formation on A. fumigatus conidia, which is one of the crucial factors for adherence as well as initiation of infection in the host cell. The compound also prevents its biofilm formation and has a potential to eradicate it. It downregulated the expression of RodA gene responsible for rodlet formation and transcriptional regulators MedA and SomA that regulates downstream genes responsible for adherence, virulence and biofilm formation in A. fumigatus. The compound also inhibited pksp gene expression which is first gene responsible for DHN-melanin biosynthesis in A. fumigatus.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s00203-022-02817-w.
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