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Abstract

We study the integrability of a Hamiltonian system describing the stationary solutions in Bose–Fermi mixtures in one dimensional optical lattices. We prove that the system is integrable only when it is separable. The proof is based on the Differential Galois approach and Ziglin-Morales-Ramis method.
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1 Introduction

In this paper we study the integrability of the system that comes from the time dependent mean field equations of Bose–Fermi mixture (BFM) in one dimensional optical lattices. The interest in BFM arises after the discovery of Bose–Einstein Condensates (BEC) in 1995 and the desire to understand strongly interacting and strongly correlated systems, with applications in solid state physics, nuclear physics, astrophysics, quantum computing and nanotechnologies. For more detailed physical background of BFM we refer to [8, 19, 4, 5, 9] and the literature therein.

At mean field approximation we consider the following $N_f+1$ coupled nonlinear Schrödinger equations

\begin{align}
    i\hbar \frac{\partial \Psi^b}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2m_B} \frac{\partial^2 \Psi^b}{\partial x^2} - V \Psi^b - g_{BB} |\Psi^b|^2 \Psi^b - g_{BF} \rho_f \Psi^b &= 0, \\
    i\hbar \frac{\partial \Psi^f_j}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2m_F} \frac{\partial^2 \Psi^f_j}{\partial x^2} - V \Psi^f_j - g_{BF} |\Psi^b|^2 \Psi^f_j &= 0, \quad j = 1, \ldots, N_f,
\end{align}

where the wavefunctions $\Psi^f_j$ describe each of $N_f$ fermions and $\Psi^b$ is the wavefunction for the bosonic component, $\rho_f = \sum_{i=1}^{N_f} |\Psi^f_i|^2$ and $g_{BB}, g_{BF}, m_F, m_B$ are certain physical constants. In
particular, $g_{BB}$ and $g_{BF}$ are related with the s-wave collisions for boson-boson and boson-fermion interactions, respectively. The potential $V$ is usually of the form $V = V_0 sn^2(\alpha x, \kappa)$, where $sn(\alpha x, \kappa)$ is the Jacobi elliptic sine function. In this paper we take $V_0 = 0$ as in [4].

We are interested in the stationary solutions to the system (1.1), (1.2) of the kind

$$
\Psi^b(x, t) = q_0(x) \exp \left( -i \frac{\omega_0}{\hbar} t + i \Theta_0(x) + i \kappa_0 \right),
$$

$$
\Psi^j_j(x, t) = q_j(x) \exp \left( -i \frac{\omega_j}{\hbar} t + i \Theta_j(x) + i \kappa_{0,j} \right),
\quad j = 1, \ldots, N_f,
$$

where $\kappa_0, \kappa_{0,j}$ are constant phases, $q_0, q_j$ and $\Theta_0, \Theta_j$ are real-valued functions related by

$$
\Theta_0(x) = C_0 \int_0^x \frac{dx'}{q_0^2(x')}, \quad \Theta_j(x) = C_j \int_0^x \frac{dx'}{q_j^2(x')}, \quad j = 1, \ldots, N_f
$$

$C_0, C_j$, being constants of integration. After substituting (1.3), (1.4) in equations (1.1), (1.2) and separating the real and imaginary part we get

$$
\frac{1}{2m_B} \ddot{q}_0 + g_{BB} q_0^2 - g_{BF} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N_f} q_i^2 \right) q_0^4 + \omega_0 q_0^2 = \frac{C_0^2}{2m_B},
$$

$$
\frac{1}{2m_F} \ddot{q}_j + g_{BF} q_j^2 - g_{BB} q_0 q_j^4 + \omega_j q_j^4 = \frac{C_j^2}{2m_F}, \quad j = 1, \ldots, N_f.
$$

Kostov et al. [9] have found plenty of particular (quasiperiodic, periodic and soliton) solutions to the system (1.6) and therefore, stationary solutions to the system (1.1), (1.2). It is natural to ask whether we can obtain more, that is, for what set of constants the system (1.6) has enough first integrals to be integrable. Note that when $g_{BF} = 0$ the equations separate, i.e., the system is solvable.

Before giving our main result let first get rid of the inessential (for integrability) parameters. In what follows we assume that the parameters $\omega_0, \omega_j, m_F, m_B, g_{BB}$ are positive since they have an origin from physics, and $C_0, C_j, g_{BF}$ are arbitrary real parameters. We put $q_0 = \beta \tilde{q}_0, q_j = \alpha \tilde{q}_j, x = \gamma \tilde{x}$. Then we choose $\alpha = \sqrt{m_F}, \beta = \sqrt{m_B}, \gamma = 1/(m_B \sqrt{g_{BB}})$, $g_{BB} \neq 0$. Denoting $\tilde{g}_{BF} = g_{BF} \alpha^2 \gamma^2 m_B, \tilde{\omega}_0 = \omega_0 \gamma^2 m_B, \tilde{\omega}_j = \omega_j \gamma^2 m_F, \tilde{C}_j^2 = C_j^2 \gamma^2 / \alpha^4, \tilde{C}_0^2 = C_0^2 \gamma^2 / \beta^4$ we reach

$$
\frac{1}{2} \ddot{\tilde{q}}_0 - \tilde{g}_{BF} \sum_{i=1}^{N_f} \tilde{q}_i^2 \tilde{q}_0 + \tilde{\omega}_0 \tilde{q}_0 = \frac{\tilde{C}_0^2}{2 \tilde{q}_0^3},
$$

$$
\frac{1}{2} \ddot{\tilde{q}}_j - \tilde{g}_{BF} \tilde{q}_0^2 \tilde{q}_j + \tilde{\omega}_j \tilde{q}_j = \frac{\tilde{C}_j^2}{2 \tilde{q}_j^3}, \quad j = 1, \ldots, N_f.
$$

To simplify notations we skip the tildas, write $t$ instead of $x$ and denote $p_j = \dot{q}_j, j = 0, \ldots, N_f, (t = d/dt)$. Then the system (1.7) can be presented as a Hamiltonian system with the Hamiltonian

$$
H = \frac{p_0^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1}^{N_f} p_j^2 + \omega_0 q_0^2 + \sum_{1}^{N_f} \omega_j q_j^2 - g_{BF} q_0^2 \sum_{1}^{N_f} q_j^2 - \frac{q_0^4}{2} + \frac{C_0^2}{2 q_0^2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1}^{N_f} \frac{C_j^2}{q_j^2}.
$$
For the Hamiltonian system with the Hamiltonian (1.8) we consider the cases:
1) \( C_0 = 0, C_j \neq 0, \omega_j = \omega^2/2, j = 1, \ldots, N_f \);
2) \( C_0 \neq 0, C_j = 0, j = 1, \ldots, N_f \);
3) \( C_0 \neq 0, C_1 \neq 0, N_f = 1, g_{BF} \) sufficiently small.

Our result is the following:

**Theorem 1.** For the cases given above, the Hamiltonian system corresponding to (1.8) is non-integrable in Liouville sense unless \( g_{BF} = 0 \).

In other words, the Hamiltonian system under consideration is integrable only when it is separable.

The proof of the above result is based on the Differential Galois approach and Ziglin-Morales-Ramis method. This method has been applied for the studying the integrability to a number of Hamiltonian systems, in particular systems with homogeneous potentials, see [12, 13, 14, 17]. The classification of all integrable two degrees of freedom systems with polynomial potentials of degree 3 is obtained in [10]. In particular, the above mentioned approach is used in [1] for obtaining non-integrability results for some two degrees of freedom Hamiltonians with rational potentials. Note that the system in this paper is not of that kind.

For the natural Hamiltonian systems with two degrees of freedom, similar to (1.8)

\[
H = \frac{p_1^2 + p_2^2}{2} + U(q_1, q_2)
\]

there is an integrable generalization of Garnier’s system found by Wojciechowski [21], namely

\[
U = Aq_1^2 + Bq_2^2 + (q_1^2 + q_2^2) + \frac{C}{q_1^2} + \frac{D}{q_2^2},
\]

with a rational first integral depending on \( A, B, C, D \) (see also [16]). Note that in the system under consideration, the symmetry is lost, so it is natural to expect integrability only in the separable case.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section we recall some facts about Differential Galois groups and Morales-Ramis method which we use. Then, Section 3 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1. We finish with some comments.

## 2 Differential Galois Theory and Integrability

Here we summarize some notions and results related to Ziglin-Morales-Ramis theory.

A differential field is a field with derivation \( \partial = ' \), i.e. an additive mapping satisfying Leibnitz rule. A differential automorphism of \( K \) is an automorphism commuting with the derivation.

Consider a linear system

\[
\dot{x} = A(t)x, \quad x \in \mathbb{C}^n
\]

with \( t \) defined on some Riemann surface. Denote the coefficient field in (2.1) by \( K \). Let \( x_{ij} \) be the elements of the fundamental matrix \( X(t) \). Let \( L(x_{ij}) \) be the extension of \( K \) generated by \( K \) and \( x_{ij} \) — a differential field. This extension is called Picard-Vessiot extension. Similarly to classical Galois Theory we define the Galois group \( G := Gal_K(L) = Gal(L/K) \) to be the group of all differential automorphisms of \( L \) leaving the elements of \( K \) fixed. The Galois
group is, in fact, an algebraic group. It has a unique connected component $G^0$ which contains the identity and which is a normal subgroup of finite index. The Galois group $G$ can be represented as an algebraic linear subgroup of $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ by

$$\sigma(X(t)) = X(t)R_\sigma,$$

where $\sigma \in G$ and $R_\sigma \in GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ (see e.g. [20]).

Consider now a Hamiltonian system

$$\dot{x} = X_H(x), \quad t \in \mathbb{C}, \quad x \in M \quad (2.2)$$

corresponding to an analytic Hamiltonian $H$, defined on the complex $2n$-dimensional manifold $M$. Suppose the system (2.2) has a non-equilibrium solution $\Psi(t)$. Denote by $\Gamma$ its phase curve. We can write the equation in variation (VE) along this solution

$$\dot{\xi} = DX_H(\Psi(t))\xi, \quad \xi \in T_{\Gamma}M. \quad (2.3)$$

Further, using the integral $dH$ we can reduce the variational equation. Consider the normal bundle of $\Gamma$, $F := T_{\Gamma}M/TM$ and let $\pi : T_{\Gamma}M \to F$ be the natural projection. The equation (2.3) induces an equation on $F$

$$\dot{\eta} = \pi^*(DX_H(\Psi(t))\pi^{-1}\eta), \quad \eta \in F. \quad (2.4)$$

which is called the normal variational equation (NVE).

It is natural to assume that if the system (2.2) is integrable, then the linear equations (VE) and (NVE) are also integrable.

The solutions of (2.3) define an extension $L_1$ of the coefficient field $K$ of (VE). This naturally defines a differential Galois group $G = Gal(L_1/K)$. Then, the following result has established

**Theorem 2.** (Morales-Ruiz-Ramis [12]) Suppose that a Hamiltonian system has $n$ meromorphic first integrals in involution. Then the identity component $G^0$ of the Galois group $G = Gal(L_1/K)$ is abelian.

Once it is proven, that $G^0$ is not abelian, the respective Hamiltonian system is non-integrable in the Liouville sense. Note that the fact that $G^0$ is abelian doesn’t imply necessarily integrability of the Hamiltonian system. Thus, one needs other obstructions to the integrability. A method based on the higher variational equations has been introduced in [12] and the previous Theorem has been extended in [13]. Before formulating this result let us give an idea of higher variational equations. For the system (2.2) with a particular solution $\Psi(t)$ we put

$$x = \Psi(t) + \varepsilon\xi^{(1)} + \varepsilon^2\xi^{(2)} + \ldots + \varepsilon^k\xi^{(k)} + \ldots, \quad (2.5)$$

where $\varepsilon$ is a formal small parameter. Substituting the above expression into Eq. (2.2) and comparing terms with the same order in $\varepsilon$ we obtain the following chain of linear non-homogeneous equations

$$\dot{\xi}^{(k)} = A(t)\xi^{(k)} + f_k(\xi^{(1)}, \ldots, \xi^{(k-1)}), \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, \quad (2.6)$$

where $A(t) = DX_H(\Psi(t))$ and $f_1 \equiv 0$. The equation (2.6) is called $k$-th variational equation (VE$_k$). Let $X(t)$ be the fundamental matrix of (VE$_1$)

$$\dot{X} = A(t)X.$$
Then the solutions of \((VE_k), k > 1\) can be found by

\[
\xi^{(k)} = X(t)c(t),
\]

where \(c(t)\) is a solution of

\[
\dot{c} = X^{-1}(t)f_k.
\]

Although \((VE_k)\) are not actually homogeneous equations, they can be put in that frame, and therefore, one can define successive extensions \(K \subset L_1 \subset L_2 \subset \ldots \subset L_k\), where \(L_k\) is the extension obtained by adjoining the solutions of \((VE_k)\). Correspondingly one can define the Galois groups \(Gal(L_1/K), \ldots, Gal(L_k/K)\). The following result is proven in [13].

**Theorem 3.** If the Hamiltonian system \((2.2)\) is integrable in Liouville sense then the identity component of every Galois group \(Gal(L_k/K)\) is abelian.

Note that we apply Theorem 3 in the situation when the identity component of the Galois group \(Gal(L_1/K)\) is abelian. This means that the first variational equation is solvable. Once we have the solution of \((VE_1)\), then the solutions of \((VE_k)\) can be found by the method of variations of constants as explained above. Hence, the Galois groups \(Gal(L_k/K)\) are solvable. One possible way to show that some of them is not commutative is to find a logarithmic term in the corresponding solution (see detailed descriptions and explanations in [12, 13, 14]).

Now we recall a perturbational technique which is still related to the Differential Galois approach. Let \(M_0\) be a two-dimensional complex analytic symplectic manifold, \(H_0(q,p)\) be a holomorphic Hamiltonian and \(X_{H_0}\) be the corresponding Hamiltonian vector field. Assume that the system

\[
\dot{q} = H_{0,p}, \quad \dot{p} = -H_{0,q}
\]

has a hyperbolic equilibrium \((q_0,p_0)\). Then the system \((2.9)\) has a separatrix

\[
\Gamma_0 : (q_0(t), p_0(t)), \lim_{t \to \infty} q_0(t) = q_0, \lim_{t \to \infty} p_0(t) = p_0.
\]

The functions \(q_0(t), p_0(t)\) are meromorphic in \(t \in \mathbb{C}\). Let

\[
H(q,p,t,\varepsilon) = H_0(q,p) + \varepsilon H_1(q,p,t) + \ldots
\]

be a meromorphic small (complex) perturbation of \(H_0\) satisfying \(H_1(q,p,t+\omega) = H_1(q,p,t)\) with a period \(\omega \in \mathbb{C}\). This function \(H\) is defined over \(M = M_0 \times F_\omega, F_\omega = \mathbb{C}/\omega\mathbb{Z}\). We can write the Hamiltonian system defined by \(H(q,p,\varphi)\) over \(M\) as

\[
\dot{q} = H_p, \quad \dot{p} = -H_q, \quad \varphi = 1, \quad (q,p,\varphi) \in M.
\]

When \(\varepsilon = 0\) the system \((2.12)\) reduces to

\[
\dot{q} = H_{0,p}, \quad \dot{p} = -H_{0,q}, \quad \varphi = 1, \quad (q,p,\varphi) \in M.
\]

The unperturbed system \((2.13)\) has a hyperbolic \(\omega\)-periodic orbit \(\Pi_0 := (q_0,p_0,\varphi = t(\mod \omega))\). It is well known that for small \(|\varepsilon|\) the perturbed system \((2.12)\) has also an \(\omega\)-periodic orbit \(\Pi_\varepsilon := (q(t,\varepsilon),p(t,\varepsilon),\varphi = t - t_0(\mod \omega))\), such that \((q(0,\varepsilon),p(0,\varepsilon)) = (q_0,p_0)\).

We define the (stable) complex separatrix \(\Lambda^+_\varepsilon\) of the system \((2.12)\) as the set of integral curves of \((2.12)\) asymptotic to \(\Pi_\varepsilon\) as \(t \to \infty\). For fixed \(\varepsilon\), it is a two-dimensional complex surface. This separatrix can have transverse self-intersections.
**Remark 1.** Recall that in the real case the separatrices can not have transverse self-intersections. Such intersections can occur between stable and unstable separatrices. For real Hamiltonian systems, the existence of such transverse orbits is considered as a source of chaotic behavior and is an obstruction to existence of an analytic first integral.

Ziglin [22] proved that for complex Hamiltonian systems, the existence of transverse self-intersections for separatrices is also an obstruction to the integrability.

The unperturbed separatrix is given by \( \Lambda^+_0 = \Gamma_0 \times F_\omega \). It is foliated by the one-parameter family of integral curves

\[
\Gamma_{t_0} : (q_0(t), p_0(t), t - t_0),
\]

\( t_0 \in F_\omega \) being the parameter. Let \( \gamma : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{C} \) be a closed path in the complex plane with \( \gamma(0) = \gamma(1) \in \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{C} \). The following function on \( F_\omega \)

\[
d(t_0) := \int_\gamma \{H_0, H_1\}(q_0(t), p_0(t), t - t_0)dt
\]

(2.15)
is usually called Poincaré-Arnold-Melnikov integral. Here \( \{,\} \) is the Poisson bracket. Then the following result is valid:

**Theorem 4.** (Ziglin) If the function \( d(t_0) \) has a simple zero, then for sufficiently small \( |\varepsilon| \neq 0 \), the separatrix \( \Lambda^+_\varepsilon \) has a transversal self-intersection and the system (2.12) has no additional holomorphic first integral.

It appears that there is a relation between Theorem 2 and Theorem 4. Morales-Ruiz [15] proved that, under certain assumptions, the Ziglin’s condition about the Poincaré-Arnold-Melnikov integral can be interpreted by the fact that the Galois group of the perturbed variational equation along the integral curve \( \Gamma_0 \) is non-abelian. In other words, if Poincaré-Arnold-Melnikov integral \( d(t_0) \) is not identically zero, the Galois group of the perturbed variational equation is not abelian and the system is not integrable by means of meromorphic first integrals.

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1

In what follows we assume that \( t, q_0(t), q_j(t) \) are complex quantities, but we keep the parameters real. The proof goes in the following lines. For the first two cases we find particular solutions. Then we study the variational equation (VE) along these solutions. The first case is the simplest, that is why we start with it. The variational equation (VE) is reduced to a particular case of double confluent Heun equation, which Galois group is more or less known.

The second case needs more steps. The identity component of the Galois group of (VE) is not commutative except for some discrete values of \( g_{BF} \). By studying higher variational equations we find a logarithmic term in solutions of (VE\(_2\)) and (VE\(_3\)) when \( g_{BF} \neq 0 \), which implies non commutativity of the identity component of \( \text{Gal}(L_2/K) \) (\( \text{Gal}(L_3/K) \)) and hence, non-integrability of our Hamiltonian system.

For the third case we use a perturbational technique which is still related to the Differential Galois approach. We study the Poincaré-Arnold-Melnikov integral in order to show that a complex separatrix self-intersects.
3.1 The case $C_0 = 0, C_j \neq 0$.

In this case the Hamiltonian (1.8) becomes

$$
H = \frac{p_0^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N_f} p_j^2 + \omega_0 q_0^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{N_f} \omega_j q_j^2 - g_{BF} q_0^2 \sum_{j=1}^{N_f} q_j^2 - \frac{q_0^4}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N_f} C_j^2 q_j^2.
$$

(3.1)

The equations corresponding to the Hamiltonian (3.1) are

\[
\dot{q}_0 = p_0, \quad \dot{p}_0 = -2\omega_0 q_0 + 2q_0^3 + 2g_{BF} q_0 \sum_{j=1}^{N_f} q_j^2,
\]

\[
\dot{q}_j = p_j, \quad \dot{p}_j = -2\omega_j q_j + 2g_{BF} q_0^2 q_j + C_j^2 q_j^3, \quad j = 1, \ldots, N_f.
\]

(3.2)

**Proposition 1.** The system (3.2) has a particular solution of the form

$$
q_0 = p_0 = 0, \quad q_j^2 = \frac{C_j}{\sqrt{2\omega_j}} \sinh(2i\sqrt{2\omega_j} t), \quad p_j = \dot{q}_j, \quad j = 1, \ldots, N_f.
$$

(3.3)

**Proof.** We put $q_0 = p_0 = 0$ in (3.2). The general solution of the system with respect to $(q_j, p_j), j = 1, \ldots, N_f$ is

$$
q_j^2 = \frac{h_j}{2\omega_j} + \sqrt{\frac{C_j^2}{2\omega_j} - \frac{h_j^2}{4\omega_j^2}} \sinh(2i\sqrt{2\omega_j}(t - t_0)), \quad p_j = \dot{q}_j, \quad j = 1, \ldots, N_f,
$$

(3.4)

here $h_j$ are arbitrary constants. Then we set $h_j = 0$ and $t_0 = 0$ to obtain our particular solution.

Denote the variations by $\xi_0 = dq_0$ and $\eta_0 = dp_0$. It is easy to be seen that the (NVE) are written in variables $\xi_0, \eta_0$, namely

\[
\dot{\xi}_0 = \eta_0, \quad \dot{\eta}_0 = \left[-2\omega_0 + 2g_{BF} \sum_{j=1}^{N_f} q_j^2\right] \xi_0.
\]

(3.5)

We rewrite (3.5) as a second order equation

$$
\ddot{\xi}_0 + \left[2\omega_0 - 2g_{BF} \sum_{j=1}^{N_f} \frac{C_j}{\sqrt{2\omega_j}} \sinh(2i\sqrt{2\omega_j} t)\right] \xi_0 = 0.
$$

(3.6)

The study of the identity component of the Galois group of (3.6) is a difficult task. That is why we assume that all $\omega_j$ are equal. We put $\omega_j = \frac{\omega}{2}, j = 1, \ldots, N_f$. Then we get a variant of Mathieu equation

\[
\ddot{\xi}_0 + [A_1 + B_1 \sinh(2i\omega t)] \xi_0 = 0,
\]

(3.7)

where

$$
A_1 = 2\omega_0, \quad B_1 = -\frac{2}{\omega} g_{BF} \sum_{j=1}^{N_f} C_j.
$$

(3.8)
Since $C_j$ are constants of integration, we can always assume that $\sum C_j \neq 0$.

Next, by changing the independent variable $x = e^{2i\omega t}$ we get an algebraic version of (3.7)

$$
\xi'' + \frac{1}{x} \xi' + \left[ \frac{B}{x} + \frac{A}{x^2} - \frac{B}{x^3} \right] \xi_0 = 0,
$$

(3.9)

where $' = \frac{d}{dx}$, $A = -\frac{A_1}{4\omega^2}$, $B = -\frac{B_1}{8\omega^2}$. It is obvious that when $B = 0$ this equation becomes an Euler equation which is solvable. Further, we reduce (3.9) to the standard form by putting $y = \sqrt{x} \xi_0,$

$$
y'' = r(x)y, \quad r(x) = -\frac{B}{x} - \frac{A + \frac{1}{4} B}{x^2} + \frac{B}{x^3}.
$$

(3.10)

The equation (3.10) is a particular case of double confluent Heun equation. For this equation the points 0 and $\infty$ are irregular singular ones and one natural way to study the Galois group is the Kovacic algorithm. This is done by A. Duval and M. Loday-Richaud in [6] p.237. We just apply their result which simply says that if $B \neq 0$ the Galois group of (3.10) is $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$.

In our case

$$
B = \frac{g_{BF}}{4\omega^3} \sum_{1}^{N_f} C_j,
$$

which means that under the assumption $\sum_{1}^{N_f} C_j \neq 0$

$$
B = 0 \iff g_{BF} = 0,
$$

that is, the identity component of the Galois group is noncommutative if $g_{BF} \neq 0$. Therefore, by Theorem 1 the Hamiltonian system (3.11) is non-integrable unless $g_{BF} = 0$. This finishes the proof of this part of Theorem 1.

**Remark 2.** Let us note that in [1, 2, 3] a systematic procedure is presented, called Hamiltonian Algebrization, which transforms second order linear differential equations with non-rational coefficients into differential equations with rational coefficients. As an example, the Mathieu equation is considered, see for instance, section 2.1 in [1]. The conclusion is the same: the Mathieu equation is not integrable for $B \neq 0$.

### 3.2 The case $C_0 \neq 0, C_j = 0$.

Let us find a particular solution first.

**Proposition 2.** The Hamiltonian system generated by the Hamiltonian (1.8) with $C_j = 0$ has a particular solution in the form

$$
\bar{q}_0^2(t) = \frac{2}{3} \omega_0 + \varphi(t; g_2, g_3), \quad \bar{p}_0(t) = \dot{\varphi}_0(t), \quad q_j = p_j = 0, \quad j = 1, \ldots, N_f,
$$

(3.11)

where $\varphi(t; g_2, g_3)$ is the Weierstrass elliptic function satisfying

$$
\Gamma : \dot{v}^2 = 4v^3 - g_2v - g_3
$$

(3.12)

with $g_2 = \frac{16}{3} \omega_0^2 - 4h$, $g_3 = 4C_0^2 - \frac{8}{3} \omega_0^3 + \frac{64}{27} \omega_0^3$ and $h$ is level of the Hamiltonian (1.8), chosen so that $\Delta = g_2^2 - 27g_3^3 \neq 0$. 
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\textbf{Proof.} We put \( q_j = p_j = 0, \ j = 1, \ldots, N_f \) (recall \( C_j = 0 \)) in (1.8) to obtain
\[
H = \frac{p_0^2}{2} + \omega_0 q_0^2 - \frac{q_4^2}{2} + \frac{C_0^2}{2q_0^2} = \frac{h}{2}.
\]
(3.13)
We rewrite this expression in the form
\[
\dot{q}_0^2 = - 2 \omega_0 q_0^2 + 4 p_0^2 - \frac{C_0^2}{q_0^2} + h.
\]
(3.14)
Then denoting \( u = q_0^2 \) and also \( u = v + \frac{2}{3} \omega_0 \) we obtain the general solution of (3.14)
\[
\bar{q}_0(t) = \frac{2}{3} \omega_0 + \wp(t - t_0; g_2, g_3), \quad \bar{p}_0(t) = \dot{q}_0(t).
\]
(3.15)
We set \( t_0 = 0 \) to get the desired result. \( \Box \)

Next we write the variational equations (VE) along the particular solution (3.11). Denote \( \xi_0 = dq_0, \eta_0 = dp_0, \xi_j = dq_j, \eta_j = dp_j \). Then the (VE) can be written as
\[
\dot{\xi}_0 = \eta_0, \quad \dot{\eta}_0 = \left( - 2 \omega_0 + 6 q_0^2(t) - \frac{3C_0^2}{q_0^2(t)} \right) \xi_0, \quad \dot{\xi}_j = \eta_j, \quad \dot{\eta}_j = \left( - 2 \omega_j + 2 g_{BF} q_0^2(t) \right) \xi_j, \quad j = 1, \ldots, N_f.
\]
(3.16)
(3.17)
The equation (3.16) forms the tangent part of (VE) and the equations (3.17) form the normal part of (VE), actually (NVE). It is seen from (3.17) that (NVE) splits into a system of \( N_f \) independent equations (NVE), \( j = 1, \ldots, N_f \). Hence, (NVE) is integrable if, and only if, each of (NVE) is integrable. In other words, the identity component of the Galois group of (NVE) is solvable (commutative) if, and only if, each of identity components of the Galois groups of the (NVE) is solvable (commutative). Therefore, it is enough to study one of them. Let us write (NVE) for certain particular \( j \) as a second order equation
\[
\ddot{\xi}_j + \left( 2 \omega_j - 2 g_{BF} q_0^2(t) \right) \xi_j = 0.
\]
(3.18)
Taking into account the particular solution (3.11) the Eq. (3.18) is a Lame equation
\[
\ddot{\xi}_j + \left( 2 \omega_j - \frac{4}{3} g_{BF} \omega_0 - 2 g_{BF} \wp(t) \right) \xi_j = 0.
\]
(3.19)
It can be proven that if \( g_{BF} \neq \frac{n(n+1)}{2}, \ n \in \mathbb{Z} \) the monodromy group of (3.19) is not Abelian (see e.g. [12]). Since the equation (3.19) is a Fuchsian one, the monodromy group generates the differential Galois group, and hence the Galois group is not abelian. Then due to Theorem 2 the Hamiltonian system with the Hamiltonian (1.8) is non integrable in Liouville sense.

Further, we study the tangential part of the (VE) - Eq. (3.16). The theory gives that its Galois group is solvable. In fact, we have

\textbf{Proposition 3.} The Galois group of (3.16) is abelian.

\textbf{Proof.} It is well known that the system (3.16) has a particular solution \( (\xi_{0,1}, \dot{\xi}_{0,1}) = (\bar{p}_0(t), \dot{\bar{p}}_0(t)) \). The other solution is obtained via D’Alembert’s formula
\[
\xi_{0,2} = \xi_{0,1} \int_0^t \frac{dt}{(\xi_{0,1})^2}.
\]
Denote the coefficient field of (3.16) by $K = \mathbb{C}(\varphi(t), \varphi'(t))$. This field is isomorphic to the field of meromorphic functions $\mathcal{M}(\Gamma)$ on $\Gamma$.

It can be seen from the obtained solutions that one part of them lie in a quadratic extension of the field $K$ and another part is obtained with single quadrature of the elements of this extension. Therefore the Galois group of (3.16) acts in the following way: $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$, $\sigma(\xi_{0,1}) = \xi_{0,1}$ and $\sigma(\xi_{0,2}) = \xi_{0,2} + \nu_0 \xi_{0,1}$, $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $\Xi(t)$ is the fundamental matrix of (3.16)

$\Xi = 
\begin{pmatrix}
\xi_{0,2} & \xi_{0,1} \\
\xi_{0,2} & \xi_{0,1}
\end{pmatrix}.$

Then $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$ can be represented by the matrix $R_{\nu_0}$, $\sigma \Xi(t) = \Xi(t) R_{\nu_0}$, where $R_{\nu_0} = 
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 \\
\nu_0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}$. It is clear that the group $\{ 
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 \\
\nu_0 & 1
\end{pmatrix} \}$ is abelian.

So far we have shown that if $g_{BF} \neq \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ the identity component of the Galois group of (NVE) is not abelian and hence the Hamiltonian system under consideration is non-integrable.

Now, let us consider the case when

$g_{BF} = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. (3.20)

Then every equation (3.19) is a Lamé equation in Weierstrass form

$\ddot{\xi}_j - [n(n+1)\varphi(t) + B_j] \xi_j = 0$, (3.21)

where $B_j = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} \omega_0 n(n+1) - 2 \omega_j$. The cases for which the Lamé equation (3.21) is solvable are well known:

(i) The Lamé and Hermite solutions. In this case $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $g_2, g_3, B$ are arbitrary parameters;

(ii) The Brioschi-Halphen-Crowford solutions. Here $m := n + 1/2 \in \mathbb{N}$ and the parameters $g_2, g_3, B$ must satisfy an algebraic equation.

(iii) The Baldassarri solutions. Now $n + 1/2 \in \frac{1}{3} \mathbb{Z} \cup \frac{1}{4} \mathbb{Z} \cup \frac{1}{5} \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ with additional algebraic relations between the other parameters.

Note that in the case (i) the identity component of the Galois group $G^0$ is of the form $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \nu_j & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and in the cases (ii) and (iii) $G^0 = \text{id}$ ($G$ is finite). And these are the all cases when the Lamé equation is integrable.

Therefore, together with the result of Proposition 2 we have that the identity component of Galois group of the (VE) is represented by the block-diagonal matrices of the kind

$\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\nu_0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \nu_j & 1 \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \nu_{N_f}
\end{pmatrix}$
calculate the coefficients of the polynomial $P$. If any of the above conditions is violated, then the system is non-integrable for this $N$.

Since in our case the (NVE) Lamé equations is studied in [11, 12]. We summarize the facts and the result (Theorem 5), and it is clearly commutative.

Now we are ready to apply Theorem 5 (see the Appendix).

In our case these coefficients are:

$$a_1 = \frac{4}{n(n+1)}, \quad a_2 = 0, \quad b_1 = -\frac{12B_j}{n(n+1)}, \quad b_2 = 0$$

$$c_1 = \frac{12B_j^2}{n(n+1)} - \frac{16}{3} \omega_j^2 n(n+1), \quad c_2 = 4n(n+1), \quad d_1 = \frac{16}{13} b_j \omega_j^2 n(n+1) - \frac{4}{n(n+1)} B_j^3 - n^2(n+1)^2 \left(4C_0^2 + \frac{64}{27} \omega_j^3 \right), \quad d_2 = 8n(n+1) \omega_j.$$

Now we are ready to apply Theorem 5 (see the Appendix).

The condition 3 is not fulfilled: $c_2 \neq 0$ and $c_2 b_1 - 3 a_1 d_2 = -32 \omega_0 n(n+1)$, which is nonzero by the assumption that $\omega_0, \omega_j$ are positive numbers, made in the very beginning. In particular, there are no Baldassarri solutions.

We proceed with the cases of the condition 2. In the case 2.1, $m = 1$, $b_1 = 0$ is equivalent to

$$B_j = 0, \quad \omega_j = \omega_0/4, \quad j = 1, \ldots, N_f.$$ (3.23)

If for some $j$ $B_j \neq 0$ then the system is not integrable for this $m$. We will consider the case when all $B_j = 0$ in what follows.

The case 2.2 $m = 2$ does not occur here since $c_2 \neq 0$.

In the case 2.3, $m = 3$ the necessary conditions

$$16 a_1 d_2 + 11 b_1 c_2 = 0, \quad 1024 a_1^2 d_1 + 704 a_1 b_1 c_1 + 45 b_1^3 = 0$$

yield correspondingly

$$B_j = \frac{32}{33} \omega_j, \quad (55 \omega_0 = 28 \omega_j), \quad 7^3 C_0^2 = 72 \omega_0^3.$$ (3.24)

If any of the above conditions is violated, then the system is non-integrable for this $m$. We will consider the case when relations (3.24) are valid for all $j$ in what follows.

Finally, the case 2.m, $m > 3$ does not occur here since $c_2 \neq 0$ and $d_2 \neq 0$.

In order to resolve the condition 1, the case 2.1 with (3.23) and the case 2.3 with (3.24) of the condition 2 in Theorem 5 we need to study the Galois groups of higher variational equations and to apply Theorem 3. To compute higher variations we put

$$q_0 = \bar{q}_0 + \varepsilon \xi_0^{(1)} + \varepsilon^2 \xi_0^{(2)} + \varepsilon^3 \xi_0^{(3)} + \ldots,$$

$$p_0 = \bar{p}_0 + \varepsilon \eta_0^{(1)} + \varepsilon^2 \eta_0^{(2)} + \varepsilon^3 \eta_0^{(3)} + \ldots,$$ (3.25)

$$q_j = 0 + \varepsilon \xi_j^{(1)} + \varepsilon^2 \xi_j^{(2)} + \varepsilon^3 \xi_j^{(3)} + \ldots,$$

$$p_j = 0 + \varepsilon \eta_j^{(1)} + \varepsilon^2 \eta_j^{(2)} + \varepsilon^3 \eta_j^{(3)} + \ldots, \quad j = 1, \ldots, N_f.$$
and substitute these expressions into the original Hamiltonian system. Comparing the terms with the same order in $\varepsilon$, we get consecutively the variational equations up to order 3.

The first variational equation is

\[
\dot{\xi}_0^{(1)} = \eta_0^{(1)}, \quad \dot{\eta}_0^{(1)} = \left( -2\omega_0 + 6\bar{q}_0 - \frac{3C_0^2}{\bar{q}_0^4} \right) \xi_0^{(1)},
\]

(3.26)

but of course we know it (see (3.16, (3.17)). For the second variational equation we have

\[
\dot{\xi}_j^{(2)} = \eta_j^{(2)}, \quad \dot{\eta}_j^{(2)} = \left( -2\omega_j + 2g_B\bar{q}_0^2 \right) \xi_j^{(1)} + K_j^{(2)}, \quad j = 1, \ldots, N_f.
\]

(3.27)

The third variational equation is

\[
\dot{\xi}_0^{(3)} = \eta_0^{(3)}, \quad \dot{\eta}_0^{(3)} = \left( -2\omega_0 + 6\bar{q}_0 - \frac{3C_0^2}{\bar{q}_0^4} \right) \xi_0^{(1)} + K_0^{(3)},
\]

(3.29)

\[
\dot{\xi}_j^{(3)} = \eta_j^{(3)}, \quad \dot{\eta}_j^{(3)} = \left( -2\omega_j + 2g_B\bar{q}_0^2 \right) \xi_j^{(2)} + K_j^{(3)}, \quad j = 1, \ldots, N_f.
\]

(3.30)

Here

\[
K_0^{(2)} = 2g_B\bar{q}_0 \sum (r_j^{(1)})^2 + 6\bar{q}_0(\xi_0^{(1)})^2 + 6C_0^2(\xi_0^{(1)})^2 \bar{q}_0^4,
\]

\[
K_j^{(2)} = 4g_B\bar{q}_0(\xi_0^{(1)})^2 j = 1, \ldots, N_f,
\]

\[
K_0^{(3)} = 2g_B \left[ 2\bar{q}_0 \sum r_j^{(1)} + \xi_0^{(1)} \sum (r_j^{(1)})^2 \right] + 2(\xi_0^{(1)})^3 + 12\bar{q}_0\xi_0^{(1)} \xi_0^{(2)}
\]

\[
\quad - \frac{C_0^2}{\bar{q}_0^4} \left[ 10(\xi_0^{(1)})^3 - 12\bar{q}_0\xi_0^{(1)} \xi_0^{(2)} \right],
\]

(3.32)

\[
K_j^{(3)} = 2g_B \left[ (\xi_0^{(1)})^2 r_j^{(1)} + 2\bar{q}_0 \left( \xi_0^{(1)} r_j^{(1)} + \xi_0^{(2)} \xi_0^{(1)} \right) \right], \quad j = 1, \ldots, N_f.
\]

Then, in our notation from Section 2, we have

\[
f_2 = \left[ 0, K_0^{(2)}, 0, K_1^{(2)}, \ldots, 0, K_{N_f}^{(2)} \right]^T,
\]

\[
f_3 = \left[ 0, K_0^{(3)}, 0, K_1^{(3)}, \ldots, 0, K_{N_f}^{(3)} \right]^T.
\]

(3.33)

First, we have to solve (VE1). Let $\xi_{0,1}, \xi_{0,2}$ be two linearly independent solutions of (3.26) with Wronskian equal to unity, i.e., $\xi_{0,1}\dot{\xi}_{0,2} - \dot{\xi}_{0,1}\xi_{0,2} = 1$. Similarly, $\xi_{j,1}, \xi_{j,2}$ are linearly independent solutions of (3.27) with Wronskian equal to unity. Then the fundamental matrix $X(t)$ of (3.26), (3.27) and its inverse have the block-diagonal form
The first variational equations \((VE_1)\) (3.26), (3.27) have a singular point at \(t = 0\) (the pole of \(\varphi(t)\)). We calculate the expansion of the solutions of variational equations around the point \(t = 0\). Note that

\[
\tilde{q}_0(t) = \frac{1}{t} + \frac{\omega_0}{3} t + \left( \frac{g_2}{40} - \frac{\omega_0^2}{18} \right) t^3 + \ldots.
\]  
(3.36)

Here and further dots denote the higher order terms with respect to \(t\). In a neighborhood of \(t = 0\) we have the following expansions for the solutions of the tangential part of \((VE_1)\) Eq. (3.26)

\[
\xi_{0,1}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{t^2} - \frac{\omega_0}{3} - \left( \frac{3g_2}{40} - \frac{\omega_0^2}{6} \right) t^2 + \ldots, \quad \xi_{0,2}^{(1)} = \frac{t^3}{5} + \frac{\omega_0}{35} t^5 + \ldots.
\]  
(3.37)

Now, we suppose that \(g_{BF} = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} \neq 0\).

First, let us consider the condition 1: \(a_1 = \frac{1}{n(n+1)}, \; n \in \mathbb{Z}, \) i.e., the Lamé and Hermite case (i). We take \(n = 1\) for simplicity, but we keep writing \(g_{BF}\) instead 1. In the vicinity \(t = 0\) we have the following expansions for the solutions \(\xi_{j,1}^{(1)}, \xi_{j,2}^{(1)}\) of \((3.27)\) \((n = 1)\)

\[
\xi_{j,1}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{t} + \frac{B_j}{2} t + \left( \frac{g_2}{40} - \frac{B_j^2}{8} \right) t^3 + \ldots, \quad \xi_{j,2}^{(1)} = \frac{t^2}{3} - \frac{a_j}{30} t^4 + \ldots,
\]  
(3.38)

where \(B_j = 2\omega_j - 4\omega_0/3.\)

There are no logarithms in the expansions around \(t = 0\) of the local solutions of the second variational equation \((VE_2)\).

We will show that a logarithmic term appears in a local solution of \((VE_3)\). For this purpose, it is enough to show that at least one component of \(X^{-1} f_3\) has a nonzero residue at \(t = 0\), see formulae (2.7), (2.8). We calculate \(j\)-th component \(j = 1, \ldots, N_f\) of \(X^{-1} f_3\), which looks like

\[
(-\xi_{j,2}^{(1)} K_j^{(3)}, \xi_{j,1}^{(1)} K_j^{(3)})^T.
\]  
(3.39)
We take
\[ \xi_0^{(1)} = \xi_{0,2}^{(1)} \text{, } \xi_j^{(1)} = \xi_{j,1}^{(1)} \quad (3.40) \]
With this choice we find
\[ \xi_{0,1}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{t^2} + \frac{g_{BF}N_f}{2} \frac{1}{t} - \frac{\omega_0}{3} + \ldots \text{, } \xi_{0,2}^{(2)} = \frac{g_{BF}N_f}{2} \frac{1}{t} + \ldots \quad (3.41) \]
and
\[ \xi_{j,1}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{t} + \frac{B_j}{2} t + \ldots \text{, } \xi_{j,2}^{(2)} = \frac{t^2}{3} + \ldots \quad (3.42) \]
Taking the first term in (3.39), namely
\[ \mu_3 = -\xi_{j,2}^{(1)} K_j^{(3)} = -\xi_{j,2}^{(1)} 2 g_{BF} \left[ (\xi_0^{(1)})^2 \xi_j^{(1)} + 2 \tilde{\eta}_0 \left( \xi_0^{(1)} \xi_j^{(2)} + \xi_0^{(2)} \xi_j^{(1)} \right) \right] \]
with the choice (3.40) and \( \xi_0^{(2)} = \xi_{0,2}^{(2)} \) and \( \xi_j^{(2)} = \xi_{j,1}^{(2)} \) we can see that \( \mu \) has a simple pole at \( t = 0 \) with residue \( -2 g_{BF}^2 N_f / 3 \), which is non-zero. Therefore, the identity component of the Galois group of (VE_3) is not commutative and hence, in this case, the Hamiltonian system (1.8) is not integrable due to Theorem 3.

Similarly, for \( n = 2 \) and \( g_{BF} = 3 \) we have the following expansions for the solutions \( \xi_{j,1}^{(1)}, \xi_{j,2}^{(1)} \) of (3.21)
\[ \xi_{j,1}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{t^2} - \frac{B_j}{6} + O(t^2), \quad \xi_{j,2}^{(1)} = \frac{B_j}{5} + \frac{B_j t}{70} + \ldots \quad (3.43) \]
where \( B_j = 4 \omega_0 - 2 \omega_j(n = 2) \). Let us study first the expansions of the local solutions of the (VE_2) around \( t = 0 \). The calculation of \( \mu_2 = \xi_{j,1}^{(1)} K_j^{(2)} \) with \( \xi_0^{(1)} = \xi_{0,1}^{(1)}, \xi_j^{(1)} = \xi_{j,1}^{(1)} \) gives
\[ \mu_2 = \frac{12}{t} - \frac{4 B_j}{t^3} + \frac{4 B_j^2 - 12 g_2}{t^3} + \frac{B_j g_2 - \frac{1}{3} B_j^2}{t} + O(t) \]
Since \( g_2 \) depends on \( h \), which is arbitrary provided \( \Delta = g_2^3 - 27 g_3^2 \neq 0 \), the only possibility for the residue of \( \mu_2 \) to be zero is \( B_j = 0 \) or \( \omega_j = 2 \omega_0 \). If at least exists one \( \omega_j \), such that \( B_j \neq 0 \), then a logarithm appears in the solutions of (VE_2) around \( t = 0 \).

We proceed with the case when all \( B_j = 0 \), or equivalently, \( \omega_j = 2 \omega_0, j = 1, \ldots, N_f \). Choosing
\[ \xi_0^{(1)} = \xi_{0,1}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{t^2} - \frac{\omega_0}{3} + \ldots \text{, } \xi_j^{(1)} = \xi_{j,2}^{(1)} = \frac{t^3}{5} + \ldots \quad (3.44) \]
we find that
\[ \xi_{0,1}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{t^3} + \frac{1}{t^2} - \frac{\omega_0}{5t} - \frac{\omega_0}{3} + \ldots \text{, } \xi_{0,2}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{t^3} - \frac{\omega_0}{5t} + \ldots \]
and
\[ \xi_{j,1}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{t^2} + \ldots \text{, } \xi_{j,2}^{(2)} = \frac{3 t^2}{5} + \frac{t^3}{5} + \ldots \]
Taking the second term in (3.39) \( \mu_3 = \xi_{j,1}^{(1)} K_j^{(3)} \) with the choice (3.44) and \( \xi_0^{(2)} = \xi_{0,2}^{(2)}, \xi_j^{(2)} = \xi_{j,2}^{(2)} \) one can see that \( \mu_3 \) has a simple pole with a residue \( -\omega_0 72/25 \), which is nonzero since \( \omega_0 \neq 0 \) by assumption.

In either of the cases above, the identity component of the Galois group of (VE_2) or (VE_3) is not commutative and the Hamiltonian system (1.8) is not integrable due to Theorem 3.
Next we consider the case 2.1 with \((3.23)\). Here \(n = \frac{1}{2}\) and \(g_{BF} = \frac{3}{8}\). We take 
\[\xi_0^{(1)} = \xi_{0,1}^{(1)}, \quad \xi_j^{(1)} = \xi_{j,2}^{(1)}\]

There are no logarithms in the expansions around \(t = 0\) of the local solutions of the second variational equation (VE\(_2\)) due to \((3.23)\). With the above choice, we find that 
\[\xi_0^{(2)} = \xi_{0,2}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{t^3} + O(t), \quad \xi_j^{(2)} = \xi_{j,1}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} - \frac{3}{4}\sqrt{t} + O(t^{3/2}).\]

Then the first term in \((3.39)\) has the following expansion around \(t = 0\)
\[\mu_3 = -\xi_{j,2}^{(1)} K_j^{(3)} = -\frac{3}{8} \left[ \frac{2}{t^2} - \frac{2\omega_0}{3t} + \ldots \right],\]
that is, \(\mu_3\) has a pole at \(t = 0\) with non-zero residue \(\frac{2\omega_0}{3}\). Therefore, the identity component of the Galois group of (VE\(_3\)) is not abelian and hence, in this case, the Hamiltonian system (1.8) is not integrable due to Theorem 3.

Finally, we consider the case 2.3 with \((3.24)\). Here \(n = \frac{5}{2}\) and \(g_{BF} = \frac{35}{8}\). We take 
\[\xi_0^{(1)} = \xi_{0,1}^{(1)}, \quad \xi_j^{(1)} = \xi_{j,1}^{(1)}\]

There are no logarithms in the expansions around \(t = 0\) of the local solutions of the second variational equation (VE\(_2\)) due to \((3.24)\). With the above choice, we find that 
\[\xi_0^{(2)} = \xi_{0,2}^{(2)} = \frac{5N_f}{144 t^4} + \frac{1}{t^3} - \frac{N_f\omega_0}{224 t^2} - \frac{\omega_0}{5t} + O(t^0), \quad \xi_j^{(2)} = \xi_{j,2}^{(2)} = t^{7/2} (1 + O(t^2) + t^{-7/2} \left( \frac{5}{12} - \frac{\omega_j}{99} t^2 + \ldots \right)),\]

Again the first term in \((3.39)\) has the expansion around \(t = 0\)
\[\mu_3 = -\xi_{j,2}^{(1)} K_j^{(3)} = -\frac{175N_f}{1728 t^4} - \frac{35}{3t^3} - \frac{5N_f\omega_0}{576 t^2} + \frac{7\omega_0}{12t} + O(t^0),\]
that is, \(\mu_3\) has a non-zero residue \(\frac{7}{12}\omega_0\) at \(t = 0\). Therefore, the identity component of the Galois group of (VE\(_3\)) is not abelian and hence, in this case, the Hamiltonian system (1.8) is not integrable due to Theorem 3.

**Remark 3.** For arbitrary \(n \in \mathbb{Z}\) in \(g_{BF} = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}\) one needs to know the exact coefficients in expansions of the Lamé solutions of (3.27) and eventually the expansions of the higher variations. The formulas are quite involved. However, it is unlikely that the system is integrable for some \(n > 2\).

This finishes the proof of this part of Theorem 1.

### 3.3 The case \(C_0 \neq 0, C_1 \neq 0\).

Here we consider the Hamiltonian (1.8) only for two degrees of freedom (see comments in the next section)
\[H = \frac{p_0^2}{2} + \omega_0 q_0^2 - \frac{q_0^4}{2} + \frac{C_0^2}{2q_0} + \frac{p_1^2}{2} + \omega_1 q_1^2 + \frac{C_1^2}{2q_1} - g_{BF} q_0^2 q_1^2.\]
Denote $\varepsilon := g_{BF}$ and assume that $\varepsilon$ is small enough. We can rewrite (3.45) as

$$H = H_0 + \varepsilon H_1,$$

(3.46)

where

$$H_0 = \frac{p_0^2}{2} + \omega_0 q_0^2 - \frac{q_0^4}{2} + \frac{C_0^2}{2q_0^2} + \frac{p_1^2}{2} + \omega_1 q_1^2 + \frac{C_1^2}{2q_1^2}, \quad H_1 = -q_0^2 q_1^2.$$  

(3.47)

The unperturbed system ($\varepsilon = 0$) is separable.

$$q_0 = p_0, \quad \dot{p}_0 = -2\omega_0 q_0 + 2q_0^3 + \frac{C_0^2}{q_0},$$

(3.48)

$$\dot{q}_1 = p_1, \quad \dot{p}_1 = -2\omega_1 q_1 + \frac{C_1^2}{q_1^2}.$$  

(3.49)

From the proof of Proposition 2 the general solution of (3.48) is found in (3.15). From the proof of Proposition 1 the general solution of (3.49) is

$$q_1^2 = \frac{h_1}{2\omega_1} + \sqrt{\frac{C_1^2}{2\omega_1} - \frac{h_1^2}{4\omega_1^2}} \sinh 2i\sqrt{2\omega_1}(t - t_0), \quad p_1 = \dot{q}_1.$$  

(3.50)

First, we put the Hamiltonian $H$ in the context of the theory recalled in Section 2. It is assumed that at this point the variables are real. We introduce action-angle variables $(I, \varphi)$, so that $H_0 = H_0(q_0, p_0, I)$. To do so, we need to find a generating function $S(I, q_1):

$$(p_1, q_1) \xrightarrow{S(I, q_1)} (I, \varphi), \quad p_1 = \frac{\partial S}{\partial q_1}, \quad \varphi = \frac{\partial S}{\partial I},$$

such that

$$\frac{p_1^2}{2} + \omega_1 q_1^2 + \frac{C_1^2}{2q_1^2} = h_1 \rightarrow h_1(I) := I.$$  

(3.51)

Note that the real ovals for the curve $(p_1, q_1)$ in (3.51) exist for $h_1 > \frac{C_1^2}{\sqrt{C_1^2}}$. Then the formula (3.50) becomes

$$q_1^2 = \frac{h_1}{2\omega_1} - \sqrt{\frac{h_1^2}{4\omega_1^2} - \frac{C_1^2}{2\omega_1}} \sin 2\sqrt{2\omega_1}(t - t_0).$$  

(3.52)

The generating function $S$ can be found explicitly, but we do not need it, we just set

$$I := \frac{p_1^2}{2} + \omega_1 q_1^2 + \frac{C_1^2}{2q_1^2}, \quad \varphi := \int \frac{dq_1}{p_1}.$$  

(3.53)

Note that $dI \wedge d\varphi = dp_1 \wedge dq_1$, $\varphi$ is multivalued, but $\varphi = 1$, that is, $t$ and $\varphi$ are interchangeable.

Next, we fix $I$ to an arbitrary constant greater than $\frac{C_1^2}{\sqrt{C_1^2}}$ and again consider $t, q_0(t), p_0(t)$ as complex variables. Our system becomes an one-and-a-half degrees of freedom system with a Hamiltonian $H = H_0 + \varepsilon H_1$, where

$$H_0 = \frac{p_0^2}{2} + \omega_0 q_0^2 - \frac{q_0^4}{2} + \frac{C_0^2}{2q_0^2} + I, \quad H_1 = -q_0^2 \left( \frac{I}{2\omega_1} - \sqrt{\frac{I^2}{4\omega_1^2} - \frac{C_1^2}{2\omega_1}} \sin 2\sqrt{2\omega_1}(t - t_0) \right).$$  

(3.54)
We need to find a separatrix in the dynamics of \((q_0, p_0)\). Denote \(\tilde{h} = h - I\) and \(\tilde{g}_2 = \frac{16}{3} \omega_0^2 - 4\tilde{h}\), \(\tilde{g}_3 = 4C_0^2 - \frac{8}{3} \omega_0 \tilde{h} + \frac{64}{27} \omega_0^3\) (compare with the corresponding formulas in Proposition 2). Let \(h^*\) be the biggest real root of
\[
\Delta(\tilde{h}) = \tilde{g}_2^3 - 27\tilde{g}_3^2 = -64 \left( \tilde{h}^3 - \omega_0^2 \tilde{h}^2 - 9C_0^2 \omega_0 \tilde{h} + 8C_0^2 \omega_0^3 + \frac{27}{4} C_0^4 \right) = 0. \tag{3.55}
\]
Assume that \(4 \omega_0^2 - 3h^* > 0\). Further, we denote
\[a := \frac{\sqrt{4 \omega_0^2 - 3h^*}}{3} > 0.\]
Then the unperturbed system \((3.54)\) has a separatrix
\[\Gamma_0 : q_0^2(t) = \frac{2}{3} \omega_0 + a + \frac{3a}{\sinh^2(\sqrt{3a} t)}, \quad p_0(t) = \dot{q}_0(t). \tag{3.56}\]
The perturbed variational equation (PVE) of \((3.54)\) along \(\Gamma_0\) is given by (see \([7, 15]\))
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \eta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} H_{0,qq_0} & H_{0,pp_0} & H_{1,pp_0} \\ -H_{0,qq_0} & -H_{0,pp_0} & -H_{1,pp_0} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \eta \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.57}
\]
where all coefficients are restricted to \(\Gamma_0\). In order to study the Galois group of (PVE) we fix the coefficient field \(K\) in \((3.57)\). From the expressions for the separatrix \((3.56)\) and the perturbation \(H_1\) \((3.54)\)
\[K := \mathbb{C}(e^{\sqrt{3a} t}, e^{2\sqrt{2}\omega_1 t}).\]
Then, to obtain the fundamental matrix of \((3.57)\) a quadrature is needed, namely \(\delta = \delta(t) = \int H_{0,pp_0} H_{0,qq_0} \frac{dt}{p_0(t)} \) (see \([15]\) for details). In our case \(\delta = \int \frac{dt}{p_0(t)}\) equals
\[
\delta = \frac{1}{(3a)^3} \left( \frac{2\omega_0 + 3a}{12 \sqrt{3a}} \sinh(\sqrt{3a} t) \cosh^3(\sqrt{3a} t) + \frac{10\omega_0 + 27a}{8 \sqrt{3a}} \sinh(\sqrt{3a} t) \cosh(\sqrt{3a} t) \right.
\]
\[+ \frac{2\omega_0 + 12a}{3\sqrt{3a}} \tanh(\sqrt{3a} t) + \frac{26\omega_0 + 99a}{8} t).\]
It is clear that \(\delta = \delta(t)\) is uniform and \(\delta \notin K\). Then, the Picard-Vessiot extension of \((3.57)\) is \(L_1 = K(\delta) = \mathbb{C}(e^{\sqrt{3a} t}, e^{2\sqrt{2}\omega_1 t}, t)\). It remains to find \(d(t_0)\). Let \(\gamma\) be a loop around the pole \(t = 0\). Then simple calculations give that the Poincaré-Arnold-Melnikov integral is
\[
d(t_0) = \int_{\gamma} \{H_0, H_1\}(q_0(t), p_0(t), t - t_0) dt = 12\pi a \sqrt{2\omega_1} \sqrt{\frac{I_2^2}{4\omega_1^2} - \frac{C_1^2}{2\omega_1}} \sin 2\sqrt{2\omega_1}t_0. \tag{3.58}
\]
It is seen that \(d(t_0)\) has simple zeroes and by Theorem 4, the perturbed separatrix self-intersects transversally. Also since \(d(t_0)\) is not identically zero, the Galois group of the perturbed variational equation is not abelian \([15]\). Hence, when \(\epsilon = g_{BF} \neq 0\) sufficiently small, there is no additional meromorphic first integral. This finishes the proof of this part and therefore, the proof of the Theorem 1.
4 Concluding Remarks

In this paper we use variational equations to obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for integrability of a system which describes the stationary solutions in the time dependent mean field equations of Bose–Fermi mixture. Here we make some remarks.

We start with some restrictions to our methods. In subsection 3.1 we don’t know how to study the Galois group of a second order linear equation with quasi-periodic coefficient, that is why we assume that all $\omega_j$ are equal. It is an open problem to develop a Picard-Vessiot Theory for the coefficient field $K = \mathbb{C}(e^{\alpha_1 x}, \ldots, e^{\alpha_m x})$ with $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m \in \mathbb{C}$ and to relate this result with the integrability of the corresponding linear equation, see [18], p. 408.

In 3.2 we consider $n = 1$ and $n = 2$ only by technical reasons. It is highly unlikely that the system is integrable for $n > 2, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, which is justified by the result in 3.3. We notice that the non-integrability result obtained in this case are also valid for the limiting case $C_0 = 0$ and $C_j = 0, j = 1, \ldots, N_f$.

In the general case $C_0 \neq 0$ and $C_j \neq 0, j = 1, \ldots, N_f$ (VE) does not split in nice way as in the previous cases. Because of this reason, we consider the system (1.8) with two degrees of freedom. Even then, studying the Galois group of (NVE) is not so simple due to a number of parameters. That is why we use a perturbational approach, which is still related to the Differential Galois approach. Furthermore, this approach gives a dynamical meaning to the algebraic obstructions to integrability. Note that, the using Poincaré-Arnold-Melnikov integrals in more degrees of freedom for real Hamiltonian systems needs certain KAM-conditions.

The above results allow us to think that the system (1.8) is not integrable unless $g_{BF} = 0$. Moreover, the formulas (3.4) and (3.15) give the general solution to the separable system ($g_{BF} = 0$).
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A Necessary conditions for integrability of Hamiltonian systems which have (NVE) of Lamé type

In this appendix we recall some facts concerning the integrability of Hamiltonian systems with two degrees of freedom, an invariant plane and which (NVE) are of Lamé type. More details can be found in [11, 12]. In our case the (NVE) splits into a system of $N_f$ equations of Lamé type, and therefore, these arguments can be applied.

Classically the Lamé equation is written in the form

$$\ddot{\xi} - [n(n+1)\varphi(t) + B]\xi = 0, \quad (A.1)$$

where $\varphi(t)$ is the Weierstrass function with invariants $g_2$ and $g_3$, satisfying $\dot{\varphi}^2 = 4\varphi^3 - g_2\varphi - g_3$ with $\Delta = g_2^3 - 27g_3^2 \neq 0$.

The known (mutually exclusive) cases of closed form solutions of (A.1) are:

(i) The Lamé and Hermite solutions. In this case $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $g_2, g_3, B$ are arbitrary parameters;

(ii) The Brioschi-Halphen-Crowford solutions. Here $m := n + 1/2 \in \mathbb{N}$ and the parameters $g_2, g_3, B$ must satisfy an algebraic equation.

(iii) The Baldassarri solutions. Now $n + 1/2 \in \frac{1}{3}\mathbb{Z} \cup \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{Z} \cup \frac{1}{5}\mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ with additional algebraic relations between the other parameters.

Note that in the case (i) the identity component of the Galois group $G^0$ is of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \nu & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and in the cases (ii) and (iii) $G^0 = \text{id}$ ($G$ is finite). And these are the all cases when the Lamé equation is integrable.

Now consider a natural two degrees of freedom Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{1}{2}(p_1^2 + p_2^2) + V(q_1, q_2), \quad (A.2)$$

$q_j(t) \in \mathbb{C}, p_j(t) = \dot{q}_j, j = 1, 2$. We assume that there exists a family of solutions of the form

$$\Gamma_h : q_2 = p_2 = 0, \quad q_1 = q_1(t, h), \quad p_1(t, h) = \dot{q}_1(t, h)$$

and $q_1(t, h)$ is a solution of

$$\frac{1}{2}\dot{q}_1^2 + \varphi(q_1) = h, \quad h \in \mathbb{R}.$$  

The (NVE) along $\Gamma_h$ is

$$\ddot{\xi} - \alpha(t, h)\xi = 0, \quad (A.3)$$

where $\alpha(t, h) = \alpha(q_1(t, h))$ is such that (A.3) is of type (A.1).

In [11, 12] the type of the potentials $V$ with this property are obtained as well as the necessary conditions for the integrability of the Hamiltonian systems with the Hamiltonian (A.2). In order to formulate the result we need certain additional quantities.

Since $\alpha(t, h)$ depends linearly on $\varphi(t)$, then $\dot{\alpha}^2$ is a cubic polynomial in $\alpha$, depending also in $h$, namely

$$\dot{\alpha}^2 := P(\alpha, h) = P_1(\alpha) + hP_2(\alpha). \quad (A.4)$$

The following coefficients are introduced

$$P(\alpha, h) = (a_1 + ha_2)\alpha^3 + (b_1 + hb_2)\alpha^2 + (c_1 + hc_2)\alpha + (d_1 + hd_2). \quad (A.5)$$

Now we are ready to give the corresponding result. Note that the following Theorem gives necessary conditions only from the analysis of the first variational equation.
Theorem 5. Assume that a natural Hamiltonian system has (NVE) of Lagrangian type, associated to the family of solutions $\Gamma_h$, lying on the plane $q_2 = 0$ and parametrized by the energy $h$. Then, a necessary condition for integrability is that the related polynomials $P_1$ and $P_2$ satisfy $a_2 = 0$, and one of the following conditions holds:

1. $a_1 = \frac{4}{n(n+1)}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$;

2. $a_1 = \frac{16}{4m^2-1}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, assuming the conjecture above is true, one should have $b_2 = 0$ and we should be in one of the following cases:
   2.1) $m = 1$ and $b_1 = 0$,
   2.2) $m = 2$ and $c_2 = 0$, $16a_1c_1 + 3b_1^2 = 0$,
   2.3) $m = 3$ and $16a_1d_2 + 11b_1c_2 = 0$, $1024a_1^2d_1 + 704a_1b_1c_1 + 45b_1^3 = 0$,
   2.m) $m > 3$. Then, we should have $b_1 = 0$ and, furthermore, either $c_1 = c_2 = 0$ if $m$ is congruent with 1, 2, 4 or 5 modulo 6, or $d_1 = d_2 = 0$ if $m$ is odd;

3. $a_1 = \frac{4}{n(n+1)}$ with $n + 1/2 \in \{\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{5}\} \backslash \mathbb{Z}$, $b_2 = 0$ and either $c_2 = 0$, $b_1^2 - 3a_1c_1 = 0$ or $c_2b_1 - 3a_1d_2 = 0$, $2b_1^3 - 9a_1b_1c_1 + 27a_1^2d_1 = 0$.

It is clear that the condition 1. in the above Theorem gives the Lagrangian-Hermite solutions (i), the condition 2. – the Brioschi-Halphen-Crowder solutions (ii), and the condition 3. – the Baldassarri solutions (iii).
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