School environment and school injuries
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INTRODUCTION

From the perspective of sociology, schools are one of the basic institutions in the society or perhaps as sub-systems of a society as Parsons (1) wrote. The fact is that schools are working in every society in the world. Thus the safety in schools is essential for the whole society.

In Finland, there are a total of 3026 primary schools, of which 3.1% were private schools and others are public schools. The average number of pupils was 175 (2). Finnish children between 10 and 14 years of age spent an average of 2 h and 22 min in schools every work day (3), whereas in Germany as much as 25–50% of their waking hours (4). Safety at schools is thus an important factor for public health.

Injuries at school are more common among boys than girls (5–9). However, girls are nearly twice as likely to be injured as boys at the school playground in Tucson, AZ, USA (10). In French schools, girls were injured during sports and physical training more often than boys (11), whereas in Swedish schools boys injured more often during sports and breaks (12).

Playgrounds exceeded all other sites of school injury for all age groups concluded a review of literature (6). However, another review showed that playground injuries were more frequent in elementary schools or kindergartens than in secondary schools (13). For instance, injury-risk situations occurred every 3 min among schoolchildren playing in a New England school playground. Boys were involved in these situations more often than girls, and were more likely to perform aggressive actions. Climbing and swinging equipments, in particular the slide, were identified as contributors to injury-risk situations (14). The injury rate at playgrounds was more than twice that of sports areas among, for example, Vancouver elementary school students (15). Fractures also occurred most often at playgrounds among elementary school children in Seattle (16), as did almost one fourth (23%) of school injuries in Alexandria, Egypt (17).

In Greek schools, playgrounds were dry during the occurrence of 74% of injuries, and the playground was covered by asphalt in 29% of cases (18). Head injuries were more frequent at the playground, whereas injuries to the lower and upper extremities were most frequent during sports in Swedish schools (19). There was a difference of up to 40 times in rates of equipment injury between schools in Tucson, AZ, USA (20). Playground equipment caused 38% of all playground injuries in Boulder Valley School District in Colorado (21) and the injury rate in Utah school playgrounds covered by asphalt was six times higher than that of those covered by sand (22). Hard surface on playground increased only boys’ activity (23). Playground injuries differed in their nature and body site affected from injuries sustained on the athletic field or in the gym (21).

Breaks and physical education lessons are school time which is spent on school grounds including playgrounds. A review showed...
As a part of a larger project on school injuries in Finland (36), nine comprehensive schools from four Finnish cities registered injuries. The total number of pupils was 2900 and their age ranged from 7 to 15. The schools participated in this study on a voluntary basis and could be estimated to be the main reason for the injury occurrence: for example, pupil stepped on a door holder and fell. A suspected environmental factor concerned injuries in which the environmental factor was seen as a contributing factor but not an immediate cause; for example, the narrowness of a corridor contributing to the collision of pupils, causing injury. If the school environment was different kind, the school children should behave in safe way in the situations where the injuries happened. Other injuries were classified as: no definite evidence that the environmental played a major role.

### Results

Over half of the injuries recorded in the registry occurred during breaks, and one out of four injuries during sports lessons. Boys were injured more often during breaks, whereas girls sustained injuries more often during sport lessons (36).

An example of a contributing factor is: "A pupil rode his/her bicycle to the end of the asphalt and fell" (injury number 720). Another injury where the environment was a contributing factor was: "A pupil fell down the stairs outside" (injury number 197), and "A pupil slipped and fell on his/her right hand" (injury number 431).

An example of a contributing factor is: "A pupil rode his/her bicycle to the end of the asphalt and fell" (injury number 720). Another injury where the environment was a contributing factor is: "A pupil’s left shoulder rubbed against the railing in the swimming pool causing a bruise" (injury number 643). Ice was the most frequently mentioned environmental factor (in 5.8% of injuries) associated with school injuries in the school yard. For example: "A pupil fell on the icy school ground, breaking one front tooth and splitting a lip" (injury number 42). An example for icy school playground is shown in Figure 1.
Table 1 | Environmental factors related to school injuries.

| Factor                  | Frequency | %  | Typical injury                                      |
|-------------------------|-----------|----|----------------------------------------------------|
| Door                    | 7         | 2.5| Pinched fingers in the door                        |
| Chair                   | 9         | 3.3| Coming down with a chair                          |
| Window                  | 6         | 2.2| Sway and window get broken                        |
| Floor                   | 4         | 1.4| Tumbled down on the roughness floor                |
| Steps, handrails        | 6         | 2.2| Falling on steps with bad condition                |
| Playgrounds             | 8         | 2.9| Get a piece of glass from playground               |
| Equipments, slides      | 7         | 2.5| Jump over bicycle stand and injured his knee       |
| Traffic arrangements    | 24        | 8.7| Crash with bicycle to a car                        |
| School yard arrangements| 59        | 21.3| Fall by sand on the asphalt                       |
| Space arrangements      | 12        | 4.3| Fall on the bench of the corridor                  |
| Slipping                | 12        | 4.3| Slipping and falling during the play               |
| Slippery                | 90        | 32.5| Slipping on icy school ground                      |
| Others                  | 33        | 11.9| Football hit to student's forehead                 |

Total 277 100.0

DISCUSSION
One of the main conclusions of this study is that in Finland, the architecture of school yards and playgrounds are designed for the summertime, and are not suitable during wintertime, when there is snow and ice on the ground. This explains why there are so many falls in Finnish schools.

Finnish children have long summer vacations, from June to mid-August, and attend school during the winter months. The snow and icy season varies depending on the location of the school: in southern Finland, the season is on average from November to March, whereas in the north it lasts from October to April.

Maintaining school yards during the winter months, recognizing ice as a significant risk factor, following the weather forecast for icy days, and passing on this information to maintenance personnel, could reduce school injuries in countries such as Finland. The results of this study led to a mandatory wintertime master plans for school yards in Finland including first elimination of ice and snow from the school yard and then sanding the yard. The high compensation of school injuries [even $50,000 Ref. (39)] increased the meaning of the injury prevention.

Another method to prevent injuries at school yards is the safety round method (40). The head master and a group of teachers and pupils walk around the school area and especially school yard observed the risk places of injuries. The important point is the participation of pupils, because they see risks from different perspectives than adults. This safety check should be done annually (41). Importance of this perspective is emphasized by the Lithuanian study with schoolchildren showing that feeling unsafe at school increased the risk of injury (42). Pupils’ perception of low justice increased the risk of being dissatisfied with school and absence due to truancy (43).

Rigorous, effective injury prevention efforts at school should address several factors: the environment, individual behavior, social norms, legislation, and policy. Improvements to the physical environment of the school through regular safety assessments, good quality maintenance, and repairing hazards immediately after they are identified, can contribute to school safety. To tackle these challenges, attention should be paid to both organizational and everyday routine practices in schools. In this way, we can guarantee children’s rights to a safe environment – a safe school environment.

Environmental modification and increased supervision can reduce school injuries (44). However, increased teacher supervision not necessarily help to prevent injuries, as 88% of injuries at Missouri schools, for instance, occurred while pupils were allegedly supervised by adults. Supervisor of school children is especially difficult during lunch break (45). High supervision increased both boys’ and girls’ physical activity at school yards in San Diego (46). On the other hand, a playground injury prevention plan (47) based on the S.A.F.E. model (48) could modify the school yard safer. Schools need also after-school program to prevent injuries in their playgrounds after the school hours (49).

The most important limitation of this study is that the schools participated in this study on a voluntary base. We cannot be sure that they reported all the injuries in their school. For example, Scottish schools under-reported injuries, even those requiring hospital treatment (50). In Canada, schools routinely reported only one out of five injuries and one out of two serious injuries (51). In Wales, a third of primary schools did not report their injuries to the authorities (52). We assume that the schools in this study also under-reported their injuries, but we cannot estimate the extent of this suspected under-reporting.
The other limitation of this study is that all participating schools situated in the cities. However, majority of 4300 Finnish primary schools worked at the country side, but they have at minimum one teacher and 5–10 pupils. Thus the unknown number of school injuries is a problem only in big schools and in the cities.
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