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Abstract

New methods of urban heat island (UHI) center/movement and usage of standard deviation (SD) of temperature for the analysis of UHI intensity (UHII) are presented in this study. UHI deviation is defined as difference between temperature of a measurement point and mean temperature of all measuring points. New definition of UHII is used as the difference between maximum and minimum UHI deviations. The UHI center is set as gravity center of relatively hot area and the movement of the UHI can be observed by the course of the center. These UHI metrics are suitable in analyzing the dense network for UHI measurement. As an application of these method, AMeDAS data is used to analyze the UHI effect in Tokyo metropolitan area (TMA) from the point of view of UHI movement and UHII. Clear difference of summer and winter pattern of the UHI in TMA was observed. In the summer pattern, the monthly average UHI area with the high UHI deviation was located from the coastal area to the north inland. About hourly change in a day, the UHI located along the coast at night and after the sunrise, the UHI gradually extended to inland. With this change, the UHI center moved from south to north and returned from north to south. In the winter pattern, the high UHI deviation area was located along the coast and the UHI center was located in the same area for all the day. The method of movement analysis is very effective to clarify the UHI characteristics of the area, especially coastal areas. The relation between the UHII and the SD of the temperature was analyzed. The UHII has strong linear relationship with the SD and the UHII is nearly four times of the SD (UHII ≥ 4 × SD). Especially, in the observation of a dense network which has many measuring points, the SD is considered as more robust index of the UHII.
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1. Introduction

Urban heat island (UHI) effect has been measured in many cities and its characteristics were made clear by many studies (Arnfield, 2003; Memon et al., 2009). Tokyo Metropolitan Area (TMA), which includes neighboring prefectures, is the most populated area in the world and there are many researches on the heat island of this area. (Yamashita, 1996; Ichinose et al., 1999; Kusaka et al., 2000; Kusaka, 2008; Mikami and Yamato, 2011; Yamato et al., 2017).

In the traditional UHI studies, UHI was considered as almost static and UHI intensity (UHII) was defined as temperature difference between city center and remote suburban or rural area which surround the urban area (Oke, 1973). In previous studies, the UHII was calculated from one point in the city and one point in the rural area or several points of the city center and the rural area which are chosen in the area (Kim and Baik, 2002; Memon et al., 2009). In these studies, the measurement points of the network for UHI study was relatively few. Nowadays, there are many dense networks equipped in urban area for the study of the UHI (Kim and Baik, 2005; Muller et al., 2013; Honjo et al., 2015; Hu et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2017; Yamato et al., 2017; Chen et al., 2018). In these dense networks, it is relatively easy to define the typical urban and rural points in inland cities (Kim and Baik, 2005; Hu et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2017) but sometimes, it is difficult to find the typical points as urban center and as rural area to measure the UHII in coastal city (Chen et al., 2018).

In the coastal cities like TMA, it is difficult to define hot city center because in the daytime, cool sea breeze blows from sea to inland (Yamato et al., 2011, 2017). Sometimes the city center do not show the highest temperature because of the influence of the cool sea breeze. In this case, the UHI dynamically moves and the shape of the UHI distribution changes according with time. The traditional UHII definition, which is temperature difference between the fixed city center point and the rural point, is not necessarily an appropriate index of the UHII.

In this study, new methods of UHI center/movement and usage of standard deviation (SD) of the temperatures for the analysis of UHII are presented. As an application of the new methods, the characteristics and the dynamic movement of the UHI in TMA was analyzed by using AMeDAS data. UHI deviation is defined as difference between temperature of a measurement point and mean temperature of all measuring points. The UHII is defined as the difference between maximum and minimum UHI deviations (hereafter, this definition is called as “max-min UHII”). The UHI center is also defined as gravity center of relatively hot area and the movement of the UHI can be observed by the course of the center. These definitions are suitable for the analysis of the dense network for the UHI measurement in the coastal area. Because the observed temperature distribution varies its shape dynamically in the dense urban network, max-min UHII
can be one of the proper indices which represents the characteristics of the UHI in the area. In the analysis of max-min UHII, it was found that the SD of the temperature for all points show similar variation with the UHII. The SD is considered as more robust than max-min UHII if there are measurement points which show extreme high or low value. Thus, the relationship between the SD and the UHII was analyzed.

Previous studies on the distribution of UHI using AMeDAS data usually deal with short-term phenomena in summer. For the improvement, this study analyzes the distribution with the period of more than one year. By the long-term analysis and usage of new method, interesting results can be obtained about the UHI in TMA.

2. Method

2.1 Analyzed area and AMeDAS data

Analyzed area is from 35.3N to 36.3N degree in latitude and from 139.2E to 140.2E degrees in longitude in TMA. In the area, there are 26 measuring points of AMeDAS shown in Fig. 1. The numbers of the points are arranged according with the distance from the Tokyo (the point No. 1 of Fig. 1 which is located in Otemachi, the center of Tokyo business district).

TMA is located in Kanto Plain and the flat area of Kanto Plain is populated area and the UHI is formed. The urban area of TMA is most populated in the world and is considered as high energy-consumption area (Ichinose et al., 1999). The UHI of TMA is surrounded by rural and mountain area where the temperature is relatively cooler than the center urban area. There have been studies on the UHI of TMA by simulation (Kusaka et al., 2000; Aoyagi et al., 2012; Adachi et al., 2014; Sato et al. 2016; Ohashi et al., 2016). They use the data of AMeDAS for the validation of the simulation.

AMeDAS data from August 2006 to September 2007 and August 2013 to September 2014 are used in the analysis. These periods are chosen because the summer UHI draw interests of us and they include typical hot summers. The data is one hour interval. Some definitions on the UHI are explained in the following sections.

2.2 UHI deviation

To know the characteristics of the UHI of the area, UHI deviation is defined. The UHI deviation of i th point is defined as difference between temperature of point i, \( t_i \) and mean temperature of all measuring points, \( t_{ave} \). For each of measurement points, the UHI deviation, \( h_i \) is defined as follows.

\[
 h_i = t_i - t_{ave} 
\]

\[
 t_{ave} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i 
\]

where, \( n \) is a number of all measuring points. Area of the positive UHI deviation value is considered as the UHI. To get the distribution of the UHI, the UHI deviation were interpolated by inverse distance weighing (IDW) method.

2.3 UHI center and cool area center

A UHI center is defined as the gravity center of the positive UHI deviation. Latitude of the UHI center, \( L_{HC} \) can be calculated by following formula. Longitude of the UHI center can be also obtained with same formula.

\[
 L_{HC} = \frac{\sum_{i} l_i \times h_i}{\sum_{i} h_i} \quad (\text{if } h_i \geq 0 \text{ then } h_i = h_i \text{ else } h_i = 0) 
\]

where, \( l_i \) is latitude of \( i \) th observation point. If \( l_i \) is longitude, \( L_{HC} \) is longitude of the UHI center.

In the same way, it is possible to define the cool area center. The cool area center is considered to be the gravity centers of the negative UHI deviation points. Latitude of the cool area center, \( L_{CC} \) can be calculated by following formula. Longitude can be also obtained with same formula.

\[
 L_{CC} = \frac{\sum_{i} l_i \times h_i}{\sum_{i} h_i} \quad (\text{if } h_i < 0 \text{ then } h_i = h_i \text{ else } h_i = 0) 
\]

From the movement of the UHI center and the cool area center, we can know the characteristics of the UHI in TMA. They do not necessarily represent the real center of the UHI or the cool area because they are calculated as the geometrical gravity centers based on the temperatures of the existing measuring points. They are considered as the points which show the spatial characteristics of the UHI.

According with the change of the distribution of UHI, positions of the UHI center and the cool area center also change. These movements are considered as an indicator of the UHI shape or distribution change especially in the coastal cities where the shape of the UHI distribution is changed dynamically by the influence of sea-land breeze. For example, the UHI center usually moves from sea to inland according with the penetration of the sea breeze to the inland in the daytime and the cool area center shows reverse movement.
Although the UHI center is only a spatially characteristic point, it greatly helps to understand the general position of the UHI and its movement.

2.4 UHI Intensity

UHI is usually defined as temperature difference between city center and rural area. But in the UHI measurement of TMA, it is difficult to find the appropriate measurement points for the representatives of the city center and the rural area because the points which show maximum or minimum temperature sometimes vary. In the dense meteorological network, one of the way to define the UHI is to use the max-min UHI expressed as follows.

\[ UHII = \text{Maximum temperature} - \text{Minimum temperature} \]  

The SD of the measured temperature, which is same as the SD of the UHI deviation, is also considered as one of the index for UHII, formulated as the following equation.

\[ SD = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (t_i - t_{ave})^2} \]

In this study, the relation between the max-min UHI and the SD of the temperature was analyzed and effectiveness of the usage of the SD as the index of the UHI was examined. SD of the 26 points were compared with the UHII.

3. Results

3.1 Temperature distribution

Distribution maps of monthly average temperature is shown in Fig. 2. Typical summer pattern and winter pattern are seen. In the summer pattern in August, the area of high-temperature extended from the coastal area to the north inland. In the winter pattern, the high-temperature area is located along the coast and the value of the UHI deviation in coastal area is higher than that in summer pattern.

The numbers in the Fig. 2 show the monthly averaged UHI deviation and location of the UHI center. In the summer pattern, the most south center can be observed at 7:00 and it reached to the most north point at 15:00. The center moved back to the coastal area in the evening. In the winter pattern, the center located only in the coastal area and the movement was very small.

The results of 2006–7 period were same as the Fig. 2. After Fig. 3, only the results of 2013–4 period are mostly shown because the characteristics of the results are quite same for both period.

In Fig. 3, distribution of the monthly averaged UHI deviations for each hour are shown. During the measurement period, same patterns of the UHI were observed for the each hour of the months in different years.

As Fig. 2, the characteristics of the variations for each hour also had summer and winter pattern. Figure 3a shows the typical summer pattern. The UHI locates along the coast at midnight to dawn (1:00 and 5:00 in Fig. 3a). After the sunrise, the UHI gradually extends to inland and relatively cool area was formed near the coast in the afternoon (13:00 and 17:00 in Fig. 3a).

Figure 3b shows the typical winter pattern and the UHI is observed along the coast for all the day. Especially, the UHII was strong at night (21:00, 1:00 and 5:00 in Fig. 3b) and was relatively weak in the daytime (13:00 in Fig. 3b).

About other months, characteristics of the months were mainly classified as summer patterns and winter patterns. Intermediate transition patterns (April, October) were also observed between them.

3.2 Hourly UHI Intensity and SD variation

Figure 4 shows the hourly UHI and SD variation for January, 2014. In Fig. 4, the UHII and SD mostly shows similar variation. In other months, characteristics are the same. In January, the UHII was relatively higher than summer months. The minimum UHII on the day was about 2°C and the maximum UHII was
mostly 10°C. The maximum UHII reached 15°C, which was very high value considering the size of the area.

3.3 Daily maximum UHI Intensity and SD variation

Figure 5 shows the daily maximum UHII and SD variation for all the analyzed period. Both periods of 2006–7 and 2013–4 show similar changes. Like the hourly variation, the daily maximum UHII and SD show similar variation. The higher values of the UHII was mostly observed in winter months from November to February. The lower daily maximum UHII was observed in summer months. When we focus only on the summer months from June to September, relatively higher value of UHII was observed in August.

The results of both periods show similar results. In the high value of the UHII (more than 10°C), some points are scattered but mostly they show good correlation.

The values of coefficient in the following regression equation are also shown in Fig.6. The value of the coefficient, \( a \) is nearly four for all the cases.

\[
UHII = a \times SD
\]

3.5 The time of the maximum UHI Intensity

In Fig. 7, the time when the daily maximum UHII was observed is shown. It is clear that there are two clusters, one in the daytime (10:00–18:00) in summer months (from June to September) and another in the nighttime or early in the morning (21:00–7:00) in winter months (from October to March). Especially from December to March, most of the daily maximum UHII was observed in the nighttime or in the early morning. In many studies, the maximum UHII was found in the morning (Kim...
and Baik, 2002, 2005; Memon et al., 2009). In summer months, the maximum temperature was observed in northern inland and the UHII in the daytime is stronger than that in the nighttime.

3.6 The locations of the daily maximum UHI Intensity

Figure 8 shows the location numbers of maximum and minimum temperature at the time when the daily maximum UHII appear in Fig. 7. The numbers of the points are arranged according with the distance from the Tokyo No. 1.

In summer months, the location of the maximum temperature is frequently seen at Tatebayashi No. 25 which is north inland and the location of the minimum temperature is frequently seen at Tsujido No. 19 which is near the sea because the daily maximum UHII was observed in the afternoon when the inland is warmed and seashore is cooled by the sea wind.

In winter months, the location of the maximum temperature is mostly Haneda No. 4 which is near the sea and the location of the minimum temperature is mostly Hatoyama No. 20 which is north west inland. This relation is observed both in daytime and nighttime in winter. In this case, the daily maximum UHII is observed mostly at night as shown in Fig. 7.

4. Discussion

4.1 UHI center and movement

In former observations where the points in the city center were few, there was no choice but to consider the one of the points as the representative point of the UHI. In the dense network with many measuring points, it is difficult to find the representative point of the UHI. With the definition of the UHI center in this study, the representative point of the UHI can be objectively defined.

The UHI movement is the good indicator to explain the characteristics of the UHI in the area. In TMA, one of the important reasons for the movement can be explained by sea-land breeze (Fujibe, 2003; Yamato et al., 2011, 2017). In summer daytime, the sea breeze in TMA is relatively strong because of the large temperature difference between the sea and land. Clear effect of the cool sea wind from south Tokyo bay to the north inland of Kanto Plain can be observed in this case. Generally, this type of movements can be observed in the UHI of coastal cities.

The movement is also explained by the foehn wind in the
northern part of TMA. Extreme high-temperature was sometimes observed in Kumagaya and cities in the northern area of TMA by the foehn wind blowing over the Chichibu Mountains, which locates north west of TMA Takane and Kusaka, 2011; Takane et al., 2014, 2015. The typical north-south summer movements were observed on these day. The foehn wind is also considered as one of the cause of the UHI movement in summer.

4.2 UHI Intensity and SD

In the measurements of dense meteorological networks with many measuring points, using max-min UHII or SD is more reasonable than selecting one city center or one representative rural point arbitrarily. Approximately, the max-min UHII is four times of the SD in many cases of the analysis by using AMeDAS data.

The coefficients of SD in the equation (7) may vary according with the distribution of the temperature in the measurement network, shape of UHI distribution, setting of measurement points etc. By comparing SDs of many cities, the UHI characteristics can be clarified in the further studies.

4.3 Robustness of SD compared with UHI Intensity

To show the robustness of SD, the effect of abnormal value on the error of max-min UHII and SD is discussed in a simple case. The original data set of temperature, \( t_i \) \( (i = 1 \ldots n) \) has \( n \) measurement points of data and the variance, \( \sigma^2 \) can be expressed as follows.

\[
\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (t_i - \mu)^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i^2 - \mu^2
\]

where \( \mu \) is average of the data set \( (\mu = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i) \).

For simplicity, we assume there is only one error in the maximum data and the error is \( e_{t_n} \).

\[
t'_n = t_n + e_{t_n}
\]

where \( t'_n \) is the value of the maximum data with error and the \( t_n \) is the real value without the error. The error of the max-min UHII is also \( e_{t_n} \). By the error, the mean of the data set become \( \mu \) to \( \mu' \) with the difference, \( \frac{e_{t_n}}{n} \).

\[
\mu' = \mu + \frac{e_{t_n}}{n}
\]

The variance become \( \sigma'^2 \) with the error expressed as follows.

\[
\sigma'^2 = \frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i^2 + t'_n^2 \right) - \mu'^2
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i^2 + (t_n + e_{t_n})^2 \right) - (\mu + \frac{e_{t_n}}{n})^2
\]

\[
= \sigma^2 + \frac{2 \cdot t_n \cdot e_{t_n}}{n} + \frac{e_{t_n}^2}{n} + \frac{2 \cdot \mu \cdot e_{t_n}}{n} + \frac{e_{t_n}^2}{n^2}
\]
From the equation (9), we can know the difference between $\sigma^2$ and $\sigma^2$. For simplicity, we rewrite $t_n-\mu$ and $e_t$ as follows.

\[
\begin{align*}
t_n-\mu &= p \cdot \sigma,
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
e_t &= r \cdot \sigma,
\end{align*}
\]

where $p$ and $r$ are coefficients. For example if $\sigma = 2$, $\mu = 25$, $t_n = 30$, and $e_t = 4$ then $p = 2.5$ and $r = 2$.

For most of the cases, we can assume $\sigma$ is less than 4°C from Fig. 6. Considering the distribution of temperature, $p$ is usually less than 3. If $r$ is over 3, we would recognize it as an abnormal value.

Then the equation (9) can be written as follow.

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma^2 &= \sigma^2 \left\{ 1 + \frac{r}{n} \cdot \left( 2 \cdot p + r - \frac{r}{n} \right) \right\} \\
&= \sigma^2 \left\{ 1 + \frac{r}{n} \cdot \left( 2 \cdot p + r - \frac{r}{n} \right) \right\} \\
&= \frac{\sigma^2}{1 + \frac{r}{n} \cdot \left( 2 \cdot p + r - \frac{r}{n} \right)}
\end{align*}
\] (10)

By using equation (10), we can define the following function, $f_{err} (n, p, r)$, which expresses the ratio of the error of SD, $(\sigma^2-\sigma)$, and the error of max-min UHII, $e_t$

\[
\begin{align*}
f_{err} (n, p, r) &= \frac{\sigma^2}{e_t} = \frac{\sigma^2}{\left( 1 + \frac{r}{n} \cdot \left( 2 \cdot p + r - \frac{r}{n} \right) \right)}
\end{align*}
\] (11)

The increase of the max-min UHII by the error, $e_t$, is the same as $e_t$. The increase of the SD by the error is $\sigma^2$. The decrease of the error on SD is less than 0.25 and it shows the robustness of the SD.

5. Conclusion

In this study, usage of the UHI center/movement, the max-min UHII and the SD is presented and effectiveness is shown for the analysis of AMeDAS data. Daily and seasonal patterns of the UHI were analyzed with the data during 2006–7 and 2013–4 periods. About the UHI distribution in TMA, it was found that there were winter and summer patterns in many aspects. The penetration of the UHI into inland in summer and the coastal UHI in winter were typical characteristics of the UHI in TMA. The values of UHII in winter months were higher than those in summer months. The daily maximum UHII was observed in daytime in summer and in nighttime in winter.

From the analysis of the dynamic movement of the center, north-south movement of the UHI center in summer and small movement in winter were found in TMA. Dynamic difference in hourly, daily and seasonal patterns of the UHI and the
movement of the UHI center were known. These characteristics are considered to be generally seen in many coastal cities. The method was very effective to clarify the UHI characteristics. In the further studies, the UHI center and the UHI movement proposed in this study will be applied to analyze and visualize the UHI characteristics in other UHI studies.

From the analysis of the relation between the UHII and the SD of the measured temperature, the UHII is about four times of the SD and the robustness of the SD as an index of the UHI is shown. The analysis on the relation between the UHII and SD extends the possibility of metrics of the UHII with the networks of many measurement points. By comparing the relation in many cities, the UHI characteristics can be clarified in the further studies.
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