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Abstract

Background: The purpose of this study is to provide Dutch norm data and to assess internal consistency and construct validity for the Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory Young Adult Generic Core Scales (PedsQL_YA) in Dutch young adults aged 18–30 years.

Methods: A sample of 649 young adults from the general Dutch population aged 18–30 years, stratified by age, sex, marital status and education, completed a socio-demographic questionnaire and the Dutch version of the PedsQL_YA online. Internal consistency of the PedsQL_YA scales was determined with Cronbach’s alphas. Norm scores were obtained by calculating the mean PedsQL scale scores by gender, age and health status. Differences in scale scores were analyzed for gender, age and health status (construct validity) using two-sample t-tests and effect sizes were calculated. Construct validity was determined by testing differences in PedsQL scores between healthy young adults and young adults with chronic health conditions.

Results: All scales of the PedsQL_YA showed satisfactory to excellent internal consistency, with Cronbach’s alphas between .77 and .94. Men reported higher scores (indicating better HRQOL) than women on all scales (p < .01), except for school/work functioning. No age differences were found. Young adults with chronic health conditions scored lower on all scales (p < .001) than healthy young adults, indicating good construct validity. Effect sizes varied from medium to large.

Conclusions: The Dutch version of the PedsQL_YA has adequate psychometric properties. With the availability of reliable norm data, the PedsQL_YA can be used as a tool in the evaluation of health related quality of life in healthy young adults and those with a chronic health condition.
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has the option of adding disease-specific modules to the generic core scales [16].

Research on children with chronic health conditions has shown that these children are at risk for HRQOL problems [17]. Due to advances in medicine, more children with chronic health conditions are now able to grow up as adults, which can lead to persistent HRQOL problems in adulthood. Research on young adults (YAs) with chronic health conditions has shown that these YAs report lower HRQOL and achieve fewer milestones in independence, psychosexual and social development [3,18]. Moreover, it has been found that HRQOL of adult patients with chronic health conditions is frequently impaired [19]. Therefore, it is important to monitor HRQOL from childhood into adulthood. Routine HRQOL assessment can facilitate detection and discussion of psychosocial issues related to chronic health conditions. Moreover, it can provide a chance to refer patients with significant risks of an unfavorable psychosocial outcome for interventions and to help these patients to achieve optimal development [20,21].

To be able to monitor HRQOL over time in children moving from adolescence into adulthood, appropriate instruments and normative data are needed [6,22]. It is strongly recommended by the literature to use the same mode of administration when comparing groups or changes over time [23]. In order to provide continuity of HRQOL measurement with the same instrument from childhood into young adulthood, Varni & Limbers [6] adapted the items of the PedsQL 4.0 Generic Core Scales Adolescent Version for the use among young adults aged 18–25 years. This resulted in the PedsQL Young Adult Version (PedsQL YA). The PedsQL YA as a self-report measure of generic HRQOL has been found to have good feasibility, reliability and validity in an American university student population and discriminates between young adults with chronic health conditions and healthy young adults [6].

In the Netherlands, no HRQOL instruments that can be used from childhood into adulthood are available; norm data for the PedsQL 4.0 Generic Core Scales have only been collected for children from 5 until 18 years old [24]. Although the PedsQL YA has been translated into Dutch by MAPI Institute (www.mapi-trust.org), psychometric properties of the Dutch PedsQL YA have not been studied and norm data for young adults in the Netherlands are not available yet. With the increase of research on young adults with a chronic health condition and for use in clinical practice, norm data for this age group have become indispensable. To fill this gap, the aim of this study is to assess reliability and construct validity and provide Dutch norm data for the Dutch PedsQL YA ages 18–30 years. With the approval of the authors of the PedsQL YA [6], we broadened the age range from 18–25 years to 18–30 years, because the transition into adulthood is not always fulfilled at the age of 25 [25].

In line with former studies on the PedsQL YA, we expect internal consistencies to be satisfactory. Regarding construct validity, we expect the PedsQL YA to distinguish between healthy young adults and those with a chronic health condition. Age and gender differences are examined explorative.

Methods
Participants and procedures
Data collection of the PedsQL YA was part of a large Dutch study aiming at establishing normative data for diverse questionnaires measuring various psychosocial concepts (Course of Life Questionnaire and PedsQL YA Fatigue). Young adults were invited to participate in July 2012 and online data collection was carried out in cooperation with the Taylor Nelson Sofres Netherlands Institute for Public Opinion (TNS NIPO), a Dutch market research agency. TNS NIPO provides access to respondents of TNS NIPObase. TNS NIPObase is a database with a panel of 150,000 respondents who have indicated that they are willing to participate in TNS NIPO research on a regular basis. With the objective of obtaining at least 700 respondents, a stratified sample of 969 young adults in the age of 18–30 years was drawn from the panel. In order to meet the participation criteria for this study, the young adults had to be fluent in Dutch. TNS NIPO uses the software program ‘DIANA’ (www.niposoftware.com) for sampling and weighting procedures. The sample was stratified based on Dutch population figures regarding key demographics (age, sex, marital status and education). A stratified random sampling technique was used to minimize sample variance and to increase precision.

Prior to the data collection, informed consent was obtained from all participants. Responders were able to answer the questions online, on their own computer at home. Participants were told that the study was anonymous. The security of the website was guaranteed. The study was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of the Academic Medical Centre, the Netherlands.

Measures
Socio-demographics
To assess the socio-demographics of the participants, questions from the Course of Life Questionnaire (CoLQ) [26] were used regarding age, gender, ethnicity, education, employment and marital status. Education was divided into three categories according to the classification of Statistics Netherlands: low (primary education, lower vocational education, lower and middle general secondary education), intermediate (middle vocational education, higher
secondary education, pre-university education), high (higher vocational education, university).

In addition, the respondents were asked about the presence and type of chronic health condition. The answers to this question were checked according to the definition by Mokkink et al. [27]. The term ‘chronic health condition’ refers to conditions that can be determined with the help of medical-scientific knowledge and by means of valid measures, which cannot be cured (yet) and conditions that exist at least three months or have been present in three episodes for the past year.

**PedsQL 4.0 (Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory) generic core scales young adult version**

The PedsQL_YA is a generic self-report HRQOL instrument developed for young adults aged 18–25 years and contains 23 items in four scales; physical health (8 items), emotional functioning (5 items), social functioning (5 items) and work/school functioning (5 items). A psychosocial health scale score and a total scale score can be computed. The psychosocial health scale score (15 items) was computed by adding up the items of the emotional, social and work/school functioning scales and dividing them by the number of items answered. The total scale score was computed as the sum of all items divided by the number of items answered on all scales. Each of the 23 items states a problem, for example ‘it is hard for me to run’ and refers to the past week (acute version). On a 5-point Likert scale, the young adult indicates whether he/she had problems with that item. The Likert scale consists of the options ‘never’ (0), ‘almost never’ (1), ‘sometimes’ (2), ‘often’ (3) and ‘almost always’ (4). Each answer is reversed scored and rescaled to a 0–100 scale (0 = 100, 1 = 75, 2 = 50, 3 = 25 and 4 = 0). Higher scores on the PedsQL_YA indicate better reported HRQOL. Completing the PedsQL_YA takes approximately 5 minutes [6].

For this study, we used the PedsQL_YA provided by MAPI. This version was translated into Dutch according to the guidelines set by MAPI Research Trust, but not linguistically validated. After comparing this translated version to the validated Dutch version of the PedsQL for adolescents aged 13–18 years, several small differences were found: in the PedsQL_YA scale ‘social functioning’ the word ‘teenagers’ or ‘peers’ was substituted by ‘young adults’. Moreover, the scale ‘school functioning’ has been changed into ‘work/school functioning’. In this scale, the words ‘school’ or ‘class’ have been supplemented with ‘work’. The word ‘homework’ has been supplemented by ‘work or studies’. Because there were no linguistic differences between the Dutch MAPI PedsQL_YA and the Dutch PedsQL 13–18, we assume that the PedsQL_YA is linguistically valid. When comparing the Dutch PedsQL_YA to the English PedsQL_YA version, no differences in content were found.

**Statistical analyses**

The Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20.0 [28] for Windows was used for all statistical analyses. First, descriptive analyses were performed to describe the sample. To compare demographics of this sample to the stratified sample, we performed one sample t-tests (age) and binomial tests (gender and education as dichotomous variable). Furthermore, PedsQL scores were computed according to the PedsQL manual. The PedsQL data were normally distributed, so parametric tests were performed.

Second, to determine internal consistency of all PedsQL scales, Cronbach’s alpha coefficients were calculated based on average inter item correlation [29]. Cronbach’s alpha of < .70 was considered insufficient, ≥ .70 was regarded as satisfactory and ≥ .80 as good. Scales with reliabilities of .70 or greater are recommended for comparing patient groups, while Cronbach’s alpha’s of .90 are recommended for analyzing individual patient scale scores [30].

Third, to provide norm scores, the mean PedsQL_YA scale scores with standard deviations were calculated by gender, age and health status (construct validity). In order to provide precise norm data, we split the sample into two age groups: 18–25 years and 26–30 years. We chose this division, based on the age group studied by Varni et al. [6]. Differences in PedsQL scores between the age groups and between men and women were analyzed using two-sample t-tests. To get insight into the extent of these differences, pooled effect sizes were calculated by dividing the difference in mean scores (18–25 versus 26–30 and women versus men) by the pooled standard deviation.

Finally, construct validity was determined by testing differences in the PedsQL scores between the healthy sample and young adults with a chronic health condition also using two-sample t-tests. In this case, effect sizes were calculated by dividing the difference in mean scores of healthy young adults and young adults with a chronic health condition by the standard deviation of the healthy sample.

All effect sizes of about 0.2 were considered small, effect sizes of about 0.5 medium and effect sizes of about 0.8 large [31].

**Results**

**Socio-demographics**

In total, 649 young adults (response rate 67%) participated. The key demographics (age, sex, marital status and education) of the respondents are comparable to the key demographics of the total stratified sample drawn by TNS NIPO. Table 1 represents the socio-demographics of the sample. The average age of the 332 women (51.2%) and of the 317 men (48.8%) was 24.79 years (SD 3.76).
Table 1 also shows socio-demographics of the sample split into young adults with a chronic health condition and the healthy sample. The sample included 512 (78.9%) Dutch healthy young adults (mean age 24.7 years, SD 3.70) and 137 young adults (21.1%) who indicated to have a chronic health condition (mean age 25.1 years, SD 3.98). No differences were found in key demographics, except for gender.

### Internal consistency

Table 2 presents the Cronbach’s alpha coefficients of the PedsQL scales for the total sample and for the sample split by gender, age and health status. In the total sample, Cronbach’s alphas ranged from .82 (social functioning) to .93 (total score). The Cronbach’s alphas of the PedsQL scales for the sample split by gender, age groups and health status ranged from .77 to .94.

### PedsQL scores

Table 3 contains the PedsQL scale scores of the total sample and by gender, age and health status. The mean total PedsQL score was 83.93 (SD 13.07) in the total sample.

#### Gender

The mean of the total PedsQL score by gender (not split by age and health status), was 81.27 (SD 13.30) for women and 86.72 (SD 12.23) for men. Men reported higher scores than women on all scales (p < .01, effect sizes .20 to .51), except for school/work functioning.

#### Age

The mean of the total PedsQL score by age (not split by gender and health status) was 83.82 (SD 12.74) for age group 18–25 years and 84.09 (SD 13.55) for 26–30 years. When comparing the two age groups, no differences were found in any of the PedsQL scale scores.

#### Health status

The mean of the total PedsQL score for the healthy sample was significantly higher on all scales (p < .001, effect sizes .35 to .90), than the group of young adults with a chronic health condition. The mean of the total PedsQL score for the healthy sample was 85.88 (SD 11.45) and 76.65 (SD 15.92) for the chronic health condition.

#### Health status * gender

When looking at health status by gender, the healthy women scored significantly higher on all scales than women with a chronic health condition (p < .001, effect sizes .26 to .52), except for school and school/work functioning. The healthy men scored higher on all scales than men with a chronic health condition (p < .03, effect sizes .27 to .66).

#### Health status * age

When looking at health status by age, the healthy young adults aged 18–25 years scored significantly higher on...
all scales than the young adults aged 18–25 years with a chronic health condition (p < .00, effect sizes .49 to 1.00). The healthy young adults aged 26–30 years scored significantly higher on all scales than young adults aged 26–30 years with a chronic health condition (p < .01, effect sizes .45 to .77), except for emotional functioning.

Health status * age * gender
When looking at health status by gender in the age group 18–25 years, the healthy women and men reported better HRQOL on all scales than respectively women and men with a chronic health condition (p < .01, effect sizes .45 to .77), except for emotional functioning. In men aged 26–30 years, no differences in HRQOL scores were found between the healthy sample and the men with a chronic health condition.

Discussion
Based on the data of 649 young adults aged 18–30 years old, we conclude that the Dutch version of the PedsQL_YA has adequate psychometric properties and can
Table 3 PedsQL norms: mean scale scores by gender, age and health status

| PedsQL (sub) scale | Total | Healthy | Chronic | Chronic vs. healthy |
|--------------------|-------|---------|---------|---------------------|
|                    | N     | M      | SD     | N       | M      | SD     | p value | Effect size |
| **All participants** |       |         |        |         |        |        |         |            |
| Total score        | 649   | 83.93  | 13.07  | 512     | 85.88  | 11.45  | .00***  | 0.81       |
| Physical health    | 649   | 87.13  | 16.01  | 512     | 89.60  | 12.99  | .00***  | 0.90       |
| Psychosocial health| 649   | 82.22  | 13.75  | 512     | 83.89  | 12.78  | .00***  | 0.62       |
| Emotional functioning| 649  | 77.23  | 18.04  | 512     | 78.54  | 17.60  | .00***  | 0.35       |
| Social functioning  | 649   | 84.17  | 14.51  | 512     | 88.87  | 13.30  | .00***  | 0.57       |
| **Female**         |       |         |        |         |        |        |         |            |
| Total score        | 332   | 81.27  | 13.30  | 245     | 84.10  | 10.70  | .00***  | 1.01       |
| Physical health    | 332   | 83.61  | 17.61  | 245     | 87.53  | 12.89  | .00***  | 1.16       |
| Psychosocial health| 332   | 80.02  | 13.49  | 245     | 83.89  | 12.78  | .00***  | 0.72       |
| Emotional functioning| 332  | 72.86  | 17.56  | 245     | 74.39  | 17.05  | .00***  | 0.34       |
| Social functioning  | 332   | 85.78  | 14.76  | 245     | 88.04  | 13.31  | .00***  | 0.65       |
| **Male**           |       |         |        |         |        |        |         |            |
| Total score        | 317   | 86.72  | 12.23  | 267     | 87.51  | 11.88  | .00***  | 0.42       |
| Physical health    | 317   | 90.81  | 13.66  | 267     | 91.50  | 12.82  | .00***  | 0.34       |
| Psychosocial health| 317   | 84.53  | 13.66  | 267     | 85.38  | 13.37  | .00***  | 0.77       |
| Emotional functioning| 317  | 81.80  | 17.42  | 267     | 82.36  | 17.25  | .00***  | 0.21       |
| Social functioning  | 317   | 88.63  | 14.12  | 267     | 89.46  | 13.28  | .00***  | 0.40       |
| **Age group 18-25** |       |         |        |         |        |        |         |            |
| Total score        | 385   | 83.82°°| 12.74  | 310     | 85.90  | 11.18  | .00***  | 0.95       |
| Physical health    | 385   | 87.78°°| 15.16  | 310     | 90.20  | 12.45  | .00***  | 1.00       |
| Psychosocial health| 385   | 81.71° | 13.66  | 310     | 83.60  | 12.68  | .00***  | 0.77       |
| Emotional functioning| 385  | 76.73°°| 18.07  | 310     | 78.42  | 17.68  | .00***  | 0.49       |
| Social functioning  | 385   | 86.64° | 14.90  | 310     | 88.44  | 13.66  | .00***  | 0.68       |
| **Age group 18-25 female** | | | | | | | | |
| Total score        | 206   | 81.95  | 12.94  | 159     | 84.73  | 10.74  | .00***  | 1.13       |
| Physical health    | 206   | 85.48  | 16.09  | 159     | 88.95  | 12.05  | .00***  | 1.26       |
| Psychosocial health| 206   | 80.06  | 13.59  | 159     | 82.47  | 12.23  | .00***  | 0.86       |
| Emotional functioning| 206  | 72.52  | 18.05  | 159     | 74.62  | 17.86  | .00***  | 0.51       |
| Social functioning  | 206   | 85.85  | 14.99  | 159     | 88.11  | 13.58  | .00***  | 0.73       |
| **Age group 18-25 male** | | | | | | | | |
| Total score        | 179   | 85.97  | 12.20  | 151     | 87.13  | 11.54  | .01**   | 0.64       |
| Physical health    | 179   | 90.43  | 13.58  | 151     | 91.51  | 12.78  | .04*    | 0.54       |
| Psychosocial health| 179   | 83.59  | 13.54  | 151     | 84.79  | 13.07  | .01**   | 0.59       |
| Emotional functioning| 179  | 81.56  | 16.89  | 151     | 82.42  | 16.72  | .13     | 0.33       |
| Social functioning  | 179   | 87.54  | 14.78  | 151     | 88.77  | 13.77  | .04*    | 0.57       |
| **Age group 26-30** |       |         |        |         |        |        |         |            |
| Total score        | 264   | 84.09^^^| 13.55  | 202     | 85.85  | 11.87  | .00***  | 0.63       |
| Physical health    | 264   | 86.17^^^| 17.17  | 202     | 88.68  | 13.76  | .00***  | 0.77       |
| Psychosocial health| 264   | 82.98^^^| 13.86  | 202     | 84.34  | 12.96  | .00***  | 0.45       |
| Emotional functioning| 264  | 77.95^^^| 18.00  | 202     | 78.74  | 17.51  | .20     | 0.19       |
| Social functioning  | 264   | 87.95^^ | 13.92  | 202     | 89.31  | 12.75  | .01**   | 0.45       |
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Table 3 PedsQL norms: mean scale scores by gender, age and health status (Continued)

| Age group 26–30 female | Total score | 126 | 73.41 | 16.78 | 86 | 82.94 | 10.59 | 40 | 74.18 | 17.83 | .00*** | 0.83 |
|------------------------|-------------|-----|-------|-------|----|-------|-------|----|-------|-------|--------|------|
| Physical health        | 126         | 85.67| 14.11 | 86    | 84.88| 14.02 | 40    |    | 71.25 | 25.78 | .00*** | 0.97 |
| Psychosocial health    | 126         | 80.75| 17.12 | 86    | 81.90| 11.41 | 40    |    | 75.75 | 16.22 | .02*   | 0.54 |
| Emotional functioning  | 126         | 79.95| 13.37 | 86    | 73.95| 15.70 | 40    |    | 72.25 | 19.05 | .60    | 0.11 |
| Social functioning     | 126         | 80.16| 13.86 | 86    | 87.91| 12.87 | 40    |    | 80.88 | 16.52 | .01**  | 0.55 |
| School/work functioning| 126         | 80.56| 19.53 | 86    | 83.84| 13.99 | 40    |    | 74.13 | 21.15 | .00*** | 0.69 |

| Age group 26–30 male   | Total score | 138 | 82.10| 18.14 | 116 | 88.01| 12.34 | 22 | 85.97 | 11.85 | .48    | 0.17 |
|------------------------|-------------|-----|-------|-------|----|-------|-------|----|-------|-------|--------|------|
| Physical health        | 138         | 90.04| 13.13 | 116   | 91.49| 12.93 | 22   |    | 90.34 | 11.85 | .70    | 0.09 |
| Psychosocial health    | 138         | 85.11| 17.93 | 116   | 86.15| 13.77 | 22   |    | 83.64 | 13.92 | .44    | 0.18 |
| Emotional functioning  | 138         | 85.75| 13.77 | 116   | 82.28| 17.99 | 22   |    | 81.14 | 19.33 | .78    | 0.06 |
| Social functioning     | 138         | 87.68| 12.25 | 116   | 90.34| 12.61 | 22   |    | 88.41 | 15.84 | .53    | 0.15 |
| School/work functioning| 138         | 91.30| 12.73 | 116   | 85.82| 15.08 | 22   |    | 81.36 | 13.82 | .20    | 0.30 |

*p < .05, **p < .01, ***p < .001 chronic versus healthy.
*p < .01, males differed from females in the total group.
*p < .00, males differed from females in the total group.
*p < .01, males differed from females in age group 18–25.
*p < .00, males differed from females in age group 18–25.
Ap < .03, males differed from females in age group 26–30.
Ap < .01, males differed from females in age group 26–30.
Ap < .00, males differed from females in age group 26–30.

be used as an HRQOL measurement instrument for healthy YAs and YAs with a chronic health condition in the Netherlands.

The PedsQL_YA internal consistency reliabilities all exceed the recommended minimum alpha coefficients standard of .70 for group comparisons. Moreover, the total scale scores exceed an alpha of .90, recommended for analyzing individual patient scales [30,32]. The Dutch sample shows similar results in reliability across scales to the US sample [6], with slightly higher alphas. As far as we know, the PedsQL Generic Core scales is the only generic quality of life instrument to span ages 5–30 years in the Netherlands. With respect to construct validity, the PedsQL_YA differentiates between healthy young adults and young adults with a chronic health condition, in line with our hypothesis. In accordance with previous studies [6,15], young adults with a chronic health condition, report lower functioning in all HRQOL domains than their healthy peers. The lower emotional and social functioning of YAs with chronic health conditions may reflect the reduced social participation and delayed achievement of psychosocial developmental milestones in these YAs, compared to their healthy peers [18,33]. The lower HRQOL regarding school/work functioning might be explained by the typically higher rates of absences for individuals with chronic health conditions compared to healthy populations [34]. It has been found that YAs with chronic health conditions are less able to work than their healthy peers and have paid jobs less often [35,36]. Surprisingly, the differences described above seem not to apply for men ages 26–30. This finding might be explained by the small number of respondents in the subgroup of young men ages 26–30 with a chronic health condition.

The present findings of young adult men reporting better HRQOL, than young adult women are in line with previous literature [2,6,23]. The gender differences seem to reflect a true dissimilarity between men and women and therefore give further evidence for the validity of the PedsQL_YA as a sensitive measure of measuring HRQOL in YAs.

Unfortunately, limited research has been done on comparing HRQOL in young adults up to 25 years old and older adults. In our study no differences were found in HRQOL scores between the two age groups 18–25 and 26–30 years. Studies about young adults with a history of cancer suggest that young adults ages 18–25 years report better HRQOL than older young adults [37,38]. So, further research is needed to study the differences in HRQOL between these specific aged groups (18–25 and 26–30) from the general population.

A strong point of our study is that the PedsQL norm data collected in this study are an adequate representation of the general Dutch population due to the stratified sampling. Moreover, we have a large sample size without any missing data. The online method of data collection explains this, with missing values not being allowed. It has been shown that online data collection increases response rates and data quality [39]. Despite the strengths mentioned above, the present study has several limitations that need to be taken into account. First, the reliability of the assessment of the
health condition status was based on self-report rather than on physician-report. However, according to literature, self-reports of health status are consistent with proxy-reports of patient health status, including physician diagnoses of chronic health conditions [40]. Second, it is possible that more severely ill young adults did not participate in the study because they were not able to sit behind the computer and complete questionnaires due to the severity of their health condition or did not want to burden themselves with participation. Therefore, it is possible that our sample contains a slightly different type of chronic condition than encountered in clinical practice. Our study shows that 21% of young adults have a chronic health condition, which is comparable to 22.4% in the general population [41]. Third, even though nearly 700 participants were included, sample sizes were relatively small for some subgroups.

Based on the results of our study, we conclude that YAs with chronic health conditions experience lower HRQOL than their healthy peers. Especially for those YAs transitioning from pediatrics to adult healthcare, the PedsQL_YA can be of great added value. For all children, transition into adulthood is a critical phase. In addition, we know that stress of transition from childhood to adulthood is heightened for those with chronic health conditions [42]. Moving from pediatric to adult healthcare is an essential process in the lives of all young people with chronic health conditions and can be a demanding life event, as they must move from parental control of their healthcare needs to self-care [43,44]. By using electronic Patient Reported Outcomes (ePROs), such as the feedback of HRQOL questionnaires, in daily clinical practice, doctors, psychologists and nurses can identify specific problems in HRQOL domains of YAs with chronic health conditions [45,46]. Therefore, we suggest that both the medical and psychosocial situation of YAs with a chronic health condition should be monitored systematically by their healthcare providers. Consequently, more tailored care, guidance and advice can be given to these YAs. An example of a system to systematically monitor HRQOL is KLIV (www.hetklikt.nl/englishdemo), which is a web-based system to monitor HRQOL in daily clinical practice for children and YAs with chronic health conditions in the Netherlands. The Dutch PedsQL_YA is a suitable instrument for systematic HRQOL assessment in daily clinical practice, since this questionnaire is short and easy to administer [6,46].

Conclusions
In conclusion, the Dutch version of the PedsQL_YA Generic Core Scales demonstrates overall adequate psychometric properties. With the obtained norm data, the PedsQL_YA can be utilized as a tool to evaluate HRQOL in young adults.
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