Mealtime assistance and identification of eating difficulties prevent unintended weight loss in nursing home residents – A controlled trial
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Abstract

Background: The study evaluated an individualized multifactorial intervention to enhance the nutritional intake in nursing home residents.

Method: The study was carried out as a controlled intervention study. Baseline data was collected during the first month and the intervention was continued for twelve months. Five units participated. Three units were conveniently selected for intervention and the two units as control units. Residents were included consecutively during the study period. In total 163 residents were available. Nutritional risk, eating difficulties and weight were obtained at baseline and every 3 months for a year.

Interventions: An individual care plan was developed for each resident receiving extra mealtime assistance.

Results: Residents who received mealtime assistance increased the average body weight significantly whereas the residents in the control units decreased their weight.

Conclusion: Systematic identification of eating difficulties combined with sustained mealtime assistance is a safe and effective method to maintain body weight in nursing home residents.
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1 Introduction

The prevalence of European nursing home residents at risk of malnutrition being malnourished has been found to be 35% -70% [1-4]. Malnutrition, low body mass index (BMI) and unintentional weight loss are risk factors for mortality and have a negative influence on the functional status and psychosocial well-being [5, 6]. The risk of developing pressure ulcers [7] and infections is increased [8] and symptoms like fatigue and confusion (or disorientation) may also be caused by poor nutritional intake [9]. Good nutritional status and prevention of weight loss due to malnutrition is important to prevent frailty in elderly [6, 11].
1.1 Factors associated with malnutrition
Elderly people in general consume smaller meals and fewer snacks between meals, and they eat more slowly than younger [16]. Furthermore, gastrointestinal problems, mental disorders, depression and use of medicine are associated with low dietary intake [12-14]. Other factors such as poor appetite and eating alone increase the risk of low food intake and unintentional weight loss [1, 14, 15].

Moreover, the senses of smell and taste seems to decline and so does the pleasantness of the taste of the meal often resulting in a loss of appetite and a less varied food intake [17,18]. The inability to feed oneself for various reasons is a major risk factor of malnutrition [16, 19]. However, in most residential homes meals are concentrated to the hours during the day, and this may in itself cause malnutrition among the residents. In Danish nursing homes staffing is often reduced significantly after 3 pm [20, 21]. This increases the risk for prolonging the so-called night fasting [20]. If the night fasting becomes too long, the body starts breaking down muscle to access energy, which in turn can lead to weight loss and muscle weakness [20]. Staff might overlook residents at risk for malnutrition as screening for malnutrition is a neglected area in nursing homes [1].

1.2 Increasing nutritional intake
One of the major sources of pleasure in the elderly is the taste of food and therefore, it is a challenge to the health care providers to stimulate this enjoyment for as long as possible [12].

Few controlled studies have evaluated interventions that intend to increase nutritional intake and reduce unintentional weight loss in nursing home residents. Nutritional supplementation might cause positive outcomes in elderly especially in hospital settings [22-25]. In nursing homes nutrition supplements has been described as the least likely method to enhance energy intake in subjects with low body weight [24]. These findings have been supported by a Cochrane review that concluded that intervention with oral supplementation may not be suited to elderly people with long term reduction of food intake [25].

An individualised approach that focuses on a stimulating mealtime environment combined with systematic nutritional assessment and relevant mealtime assistance and feeding support has been suggested to improve the quality of life and prevent the decline in physical performance and weight loss in elderly people and nursing home residents [11, 26, 27]. Feeding assistance was effective to increase nutritional intake among 90% of nursing home residents [28]. Participants significantly increased their daily food intake either in response to face-to-face mealtime assistance or to snacks served between meals. It was noted that more staff was necessary to effectively implement the two types of interventions.

Nutritional intake in old people is affected by many factors and a strategy build on individualized care plans describing multifactorial interventions, systematic assessment of nutritional risk factors and supervision of staff [11] might prevent unintended weight loss. This is supported by a comprehensive review that identifies at least 37 factors that affect the nutritional intake in older adults [29].

Our study attempts to implement and evaluate an individualized multifactorial intervention to enhance the nutritional intake in nursing home residents in the everyday routines in a nursing home. The purpose was to identify residents with the highest risk of weight loss and to evaluate the effectiveness of individual care plans and increased meal time support during the day, afternoon and evening hours combined with the serving of nutritional enriched food and snacks between meals in order to prevent weight loss.

2 Methods
The study was carried out as a controlled intervention study in a large public nursing home in Copenhagen. Baseline data was collected during the first month and the intervention was by October 1st and continued to the end of September next
year. The nursing home provides housing for 360 residents. The study was carried out in five units, each of which had separate rooms for 25 residents. Three of the units were conveniently selected for intervention and the two units acted as control units. Residents were included consecutively during the study period. All residents that were living in one of the five units were included in the study from the start and if a resident died the new resident participated. In total 163 residents were available for this study.

All residents had their nutritional risk assessed using the Short Form of the Mini Nutritional Assessment (MNA-SF), were screened for eating difficulties and had their weight measured at baseline and every 3 months during the study period. Residents residing in the control units received usual care and residents living in the intervention units were eligible for extra personal mealtime assistance if they met three or more of the following criteria:

- MNA-SF – score of 7 points or below
- BMI < 20
- An unintentional weight loss of > 3 kg between two weighing
- The presence of severe eating difficulties, i.e. swallowing or chewing problems

All together 24 residents were assigned extra mealtime support during the study period. An individual care plan for the activities to be provided by the mealtime assistants was developed for each resident, and the plan was adjusted on the basis of the daily reports from the staff and mealtime assistants.

Although overweight and obesity may be an increasing problem among nursing home residents [30], residents with a BMI of \( \geq 28 \) kg/m\(^2\) were excluded in this study. This was done, as our aim was to prevent unintended weight loss in residents, and was anticipated that obese residents intentionally could lose weight.

### 2.1 Measurement of nutritional status

MNA-SF was used to identify residents at risk of malnutrition as it is developed for and validated on elderly people [2]. MNA-SF has proved to retain good diagnostic accuracy [31]. MNA-SF in Danish has been used in an earlier study, where it proved easy to administer [11]. The MNA-SF adds up to a maximum score of 14 points. A score of 11 points or below indicates risk of malnutrition.

In MNA-SF the following areas are assessed (see Table 1); Has food intake declined over the past three months due to loss of appetite, digestive problems, chewing or swallowing difficulties? Involuntary weight loss during the last three months? Mobility? Has the resident suffered psychological stress or disease the last three months? Neuropsychological problems? In our study severe dementia was recorded if the residents were unable to express themselves about their physical, social or psychological needs. Mild dementia was recorded, if the resident showed interest in taking care of their toilet needs and was able to express themselves about other kinds of needs. No psychological problems were recorded if the residents were alert and able to communicate and to take care of themselves.

### 2.2 Nutritional intervention

A care plan for each of the included residents was developed, identifying:

- The type of snacks between meals preferred by the resident. Preferred favourable dishes, and serving these whenever possible
- Preferred protein and energy enriched food between meals
Preferred physical activity, for instance taking the resident for a walk either outdoor or indoor or a drive in a wheelchair before a meal.

The care plan was available for all staff. On a daily basis (except Sundays) extra mealtime assistance was available from 4 p.m. to 8 p.m. The mealtime assistants were recruited among students from different health professions. They had a two day course focusing on nourishment of old people, appetite stimulation, availability of enriched food and snacks and received continuous supervision by the same nurse (local project leader - KK). Communication with demented people was trained. The mealtime assistant was responsible for making an individualised written care plan that encompassed information on how to:

- create a pleasant and quiet atmosphere during the meal
- avoid interruption during the meal by protecting the residents from distracting factors
- avoid social isolation by encouraging the resident to eat the meals together with others
- assist the resident for as long as it took to eat the meal.

2.3 Data collection

The weighing of the residents took place in the morning before residents were dressed. The same digital sitting scale on each unit was used to measure the body weight, and the weight was measured to the nearest 0.1 kg. The same weight was used to the same resident at each weighing. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated by dividing the weight in kilo by the height in m².

2.4 Statistical analysis

Data were processed by means of the statistics programme Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS). The results are given as means ± 1 SD. Student’s paired and unpaired two-tailed t-test was used, if data were normally distributed. Mann-Whitney rank sum test was used if data were not normally distributed. To test for significance between normal- or ordinal-level data, the chi-square test ($\chi^2$) or the Mann-Whitney test was used. To obtain confidence limits we used statistic tables. $P$-values below 0.05 were considered significant.

2.5 Ethical considerations

It was voluntary to participate in the study, and if a resident refused to be weighed, this was fully respected. Privacy and anonymity was protected throughout the study. The study was assessed by the Scientific Ethical Committees of The Capital Region of Denmark, which concluded that this type of study focusing on the quality of care did not include an obligation to obtain a written consent from the residents (H-B-2007-102).

3 Results

Of the 163 residents 19 (12%) were excluded due to BMI< 28 kg/m². Of the remaining 144 residents 89 residents resided in the intervention units and 55 in the control units. No differences were found among residents in the intervention and the control units except for swallowing problems ($p = .017$) (see Table 1 & 2).

During the study period 33 residents died, and 34 new residents were successively included in the study. Ninety-four residents (65.3%) had their body weight measured at least four times (see Table 3).
Table 1. Baseline characteristic of residents in the intervention units and control units

| Baseline characteristics | Intervention N = 89 | Controls N = 55 | p * |
|--------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-----|
| Mean age in years (SD)   | 83.3 (±10.9)        | 85.6 (±8.8)     | .283† |
| Gender                   |                     |                 |     |
| Female                   | 64 (71.9%)          | 42 (76.4%)      | .693††|
| Male                     | 25 (28.1%)          | 13 (23.6%)      |     |
| Mean BMI (kg/m^2)        | 21.3 (±3.4)         | 21.9 (±2.8)     | .275‡ |
| Anthropometric measures  |                     |                 |     |
| Weight (kg) mean (SD)    | 56.4 (±11.5)        | 56.4 (±8.8)     | .971† |
| Height (cm) mean (SD)    | 162 (±11.0)         | 160 (±10.2)     | .239‡ |
| Mean BMI kg/m^2 mean (SD)| 21.2 (±3.4)         | 21.8 (±2.8)     | .275‡ |
| BMI categories *         |                     |                 |     |
| BMI < 19                 | 22 (25.9%)          | 10 (18.9%)      |     |
| BMI 19-20.9              | 14 (16.5%)          | 10 (18.9%)      |     |
| BMI 21-22.9              | 17 (20.0%)          | 13 (24.5%)      |     |
| BMI ≥ 23 (number & %)    | 32 (37.6%)          | 20 (37.7%)      |     |
| MNA –screening           |                     |                 |     |
| ≥ 12 point               | 6 (5.7%)            | 3 (7.1%)        | 1.000††|
| ≤ 11 point               | 79 (94.3%)          | 50 (92.9%)      |     |
| Loss of appetite within latest 3 months |             |                 |     |
| Severe loss of appetite  | 15 (16.9%)          | 3 (5.5%)        | .065†††|
| Mild loss of appetite    | 11 (12.4%)          | 12 (21.8%)      |     |
| No loss of appetite      | 63 (70.8%)          | 40 (72.7%)      |     |
| Mobility                 |                     |                 |     |
| Bed or chair bound       | 38 (42.7%)          | 17 (30.9%)      | .313 |
| Able to get out of bed/chair, but does not get out | 32 (36.0%) | 26 (47.3%) | |
| Goes out                 | 19 (21.3%)          | 12 (21.8%)      |     |
| Psychological stress or acute disease |             |                 |     |
| Yes                      | 54 (60.7%)          | 37 (67.3%)      | .479†† |
| No                       | 35 (39.3%)          | 18 (32.7%)      |     |
| Neuropsychological problems |                     |                 |     |
| Severe dementia          | 41 (46.1%)          | 19 (34.5%)      | .313†††|
| Mild dementia            | 38 (42.7%)          | 29 (52.7%)      |     |
| No psychological problems| 10 (11.2%)          | 7 (12.7%)       |     |

*) We were unable to calculate the BMI in six residents, because we were unable to calculate their height.
† Student’s t-test; †† Chi-square test; ††† Mann-Whitney test

Table 2. Baseline prevalence of eating problems among 144 nursing home residents in interventions and control units respectively

| Eating difficulties | Residents in intervention units n = 89 | Residents in control units n = 55 | p * |
|---------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----|
|                     | Yes (a) | %  | Yes (a) | %  |     |
| Chewing problems    | 22      | 24.7| 12      | 21.8| .840|
| Swallowing problems | 15      | 16.9| 2       | 3.6 | .017|
| Difficulties tolerating food | 6 | 10.9| 7 | 7.9 | .561|
| Difficulties cutting food | 47 | 52.8| 20 | 36.4| .061|
| Difficulties bringing food to the mouth | 17 | 19.1 | 8 | 14.5 | .651|
| Difficulties using a cup | 22 | 24.7| 10 | 18.2| .414|
| Difficulties using a glass | 20 | 22.5| 10 | 18.2| .674|
| Difficulties using cutlery | 21 | 23.6| 12 | 21.8| .841|
| Unable to remove drinks from refrigerator | 48 | 53.9| 29 | 52.7| 1.00|
| Unable remove food from refrigerator | 48 | 53.9| 30 | 54.5| 1.00|

* Chi-square test
Table 3. The cumulative proportion of residents surviving to have their body weight measured during the study

| Number of measurements of body weight | Intervention units | Control units | Total      |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|------------|
|                                     | N = 89 (61.8%)     | n = 55 (56.4%)| N = 144 (100%) |
| Measurement × 5                     | n                  | %     | n       | %     | N    | Cum % |
| Measurement × 4                     | 49                 | 55.1  | 32      | 58.2  | 81   | 56.3  |
| Measurement × 3                     | 8                  | 9.0   | 5       | 9.1   | 13   | 65.3  |
| Measurement × 2                     | 9                  | 10.1  | 4       | 7.3   | 13   | 84.0  |
| Measurement × 1                     | 13                 | 14.6  | 8       | 14.5  | 21   | 98.6  |
| Measurement × 0                     | 0                  | 0.0   | 2       | 2.2   | 2    | 100.0 |

Table 4 shows the average weight changes in residents at risk of being malnourished (MNA-SF score ≤11). The residents, who resided in the intervention units, increased their average weight with 1.3 kg, whereas the residents in the control units decreased their weight with 0.67 kg (p = .035).

Comparing weight changes (weight loss >3 kilo, weight stability, weight gain >3 kilo) we found no statistical significant differences between residents in the intervention and the control units in each of the four study periods. But we found that 20% (CI 95%: 10.00-33.7) of the residents in the intervention units versus 36.3% (CI 95%:20.6-57.7) in the control units had a weight loss of more than 3 kilo (p < .05).

Table 4. Average weight changes (kilo) among residents at risk of being malnourished (MNA-SF score of ≤11) in each of the study periods and in residents, who participated long enough to be have at least 4 consecutive weighing in intervention wards and control wards respectively

| Study periods       | Intervention | Control | *p   |
|---------------------|--------------|---------|------|
|                     | N     | kg    | SD  | n     | kg    | SD  |
| October quarter     | 55    | 0.136 | 3.7 | 33    | -0.318 | 2.6 | .545 |
| January quarter     | 56    | 0.834 | 3.0 | 36    | 0.389  | 2.4 | .455 |
| April quarter       | 53    | 0.464 | 3.2 | 37    | -1.624 | 3.1 | .003 |
| July quarter        | 53    | -0.417 | 2.4 | 35    | 0.314  | 2.6 | .186 |

*Student’s t-test

Table 5 shows that residents who had mealtime assistance, had significant lower body weight, lower BMI and lower MNA-score compared to the other residents in intervention and control units. Twenty-four residents received mealtime assistance for 8 months (range 3-10). During this period the residents increased the average body weight significantly with 1.273 kg whereas the residents in the control units decreased their weight with 0.976 kg (p = .046).

Table 5. Baseline characteristics of residents with mealtime assistance compared to residents without mealtime assistance in intervention units and to residents in control units for residents with Mini Nutritional-SF score of ≤11

|                    | Intervention units | Control units | p    | **p** |
|--------------------|--------------------|---------------|------|-------|
|                    | Residents with mealtime assistance (n = 24) | Residents without mealtime assistance (n = 55) | p *   | Residents without mealtime assistance (n = 50) | p ** |
| Male (%)           | 6                  | 25.0          | 16   | 29.1  | 12   | 24.0  | .790  | 38  | .660  |
| Female (%)         | 18                 | 75.0          | 39   | 70.9  | 76   | 76.  | .669  | 49.6| .555  |
| Age years (SD)     | 84.6               | 10.4          | 83.5 | 10.2  | 84.6 | 9.3  | .002  | 56.1| .324  |
| Weight kg (SD)     | 50.0               | 8.7           | 58.5 | 11.2  | 9.2  | 9.2  | .002  | 21.9| .716  |
| BMI kg/m²          | 18.5               | 2.4           | 21.7 | 3.1   | 2.5  | 2.5  | .000  | 7.2 | .797  |
| MNA score (SD)     | 4.6                | 4.6           | 7.3  | 2.7   | 2.5  | 2.5  | .000  | 7.2 | .797  |

* Differences between residents with mealtime assistance compared to residents without mealtime assistance in intervention.
** Differences between residents without mealtime assistance in interventions and control wards.
No significant difference was found between the residents who received mealtime assistance and residents who did not receive mealtime assistance in the intervention units (see Table 6).

When we compared weight changes among residents with mealtime assistance we found that fewer had had a weight loss (9.1%; CI 95%:1-29.1) compared to the residents without mealtime assistance in the intervention units (21.6%; CI 95%:11.2-35.2) and this was statistically significant ($p < .05$).

Table 6. Average weight changes in residents (Mini Nutritional-SF score of $\leq 11$) mealtime assistance (a) compared to residents in the intervention units without mealtime assistance (b) and with the residents in control units (c)

| Study periods      | Residents with mealtime assistance (a) | Residents without mealtime assistance (b) | Residents without mealtime assistance in control units (c) |
|--------------------|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
|                    | n    | kg   | SD  | n    | kg  | SD  | p    | n    | kg   | SD  | p    |
| October quarter    | 19   | -0.626 | 4.2  | 44   | 0.393 | 3.3 | .308 | 33   | -0.318 | 3.3 | .697 |
| January quarter    | 19   | 1.200  | 2.7  | 44   | 0.311 | 3.4 | .321 | 31   | 0.403  | 3.4 | .312 |
| April quarter      | 18   | 0.828  | 2.5  | 41   | 0.495 | 3.6 | .722 | 30   | -1.207 | 3.6 | .013 |
| July quarter       | 17   | 0.129  | 2.6  | 42   | 0.067 | 2.7 | .310 | 28   | 0.382  | 2.7 | .834 |
| All study periods  | 24   | 1.273  | 4.4  | 52   | 0.657 | 4.3 | .578 | 33   | -0.976 | 4.3 | .046 |

4 Discussion

In both intervention and control units the baseline prevalence of residents BMI < 20 kg/m$^2$ was 40%, which corresponds with findings in earlier studies [1, 2, 4]. Two thirds of all residents had eating difficulties and 40% had more than four different eating problems, and nine out of ten suffered from neuropsychological problems and of these were 42% severely demented. Only a very small proportion of the residents were physically or mentally able to move around indoor or outdoor, and almost 40% of the residents died during the study period. These findings may be explained by the fact that since the 1970’s substantial efforts made to avoid institutionalization of older Danish citizens [32]. This development has left only those in need of care around the clock to be cared for in nursing homes.

However, in spite of the frailty of the residents we found a small but significant positive effect of the multi-factorial nutritional intervention implemented in this study. Residents in intervention units increased their body weight with 1.086 kg, on average whereas residents in control units decreased their average body weight with 1.298 kg (see Table 4), and fewer residents in the intervention units had a weight loss than residents in the control units. Several studies have found that older people with dementia are particularly vulnerable to malnutrition [19, 34, 35] and that eating difficulty related to dementia is a significant predictor of low food intake. When dementia advances the food intake is affected as a result of the damages of the brain, and these damages affect not only ingestion of nutrients or fluids, but also the feeding process itself. This process includes getting the food into the mouth and effective chewing and swallowing mechanisms. Impaired feeding difficulties may lead to inadequate food intake, weight loss, malnutrition and aspiration of food elements [36]. In our population we found significantly more residents in the intervention units than in the control units that suffered from swallowing difficulties, which could indicate that they suffered from more advanced stages of dementia than residents in the control units, and this may have diluted the effect of the intervention.

In a controlled trial of the effect of feeding assistance to nursing home residents the intervention group gained 0.75 units more in the final BMI and 4.2 pounds (1.9 kg) more in the final body weight [37]. Fifty-six per cent of the participants maintained or gained weight during the intervention phase compared with 28% in the control phase [37]. These findings support our findings showing that sustained optimal feeding assistance can prevent weight loss in residents at risk of weight loss due to inadequate food intake.

We could only show significant weight gain among the residents who received feeding assistance for a considerable length of time. This indicates that it takes a long time to improve the daily food intake to a degree that makes it possible to establish measurable lasting weight stability.
The nutritional care to those selected for feeding assistance was in most cases provided individually (one-on-one feeding), but in some cases it was possible to assist more than one resident during the meal. Unfortunately we did not systematically record the time spent on each resident, but it is estimated that the support lasted from 30-45 minutes. It might be argued that most Danish nursing homes may not have the necessary staff to provide this meal time support for all residents in need feeding assistance. However, Simons et al. [28, 38] have shown that group feeding assistance is as effective as one-to-one care.

Due to limited resources, it was not possible to provide effective mealtime assistance to all residents in need of this support, therefore it should be considered, if this type of care could be provided in a more feasible and less time consuming way.

**Strengths and limitations of the study**

The strength of this study was the length of the study lasting altogether a full year, which made it possible to implement this new concept of providing personal mealtime assistance to prevent unintentional weight loss, a process that took almost three months.

We used a simple, but validated instrument to assess risk of malnutrition. It strengthened the internal reliability of the measurements and thereby the validity of the study that all MNA-SF assessments were carried out by the same well-qualified registered nurse (local project leader KK) throughout the study period. It also strengthened the study that the local project leader acted as a supervisor for the mealtime assistants, meaning that immediate actions could be taken in accordance with the screening scores, and the mealtime assistants and the supervisor could evaluate the outcome of these actions on a day-to-day basis.

However, the study may also have a limitation related the assessment of dementia. In an earlier Danish study [32] the Geriatric Mental Schedule (GMS) was used to diagnose psychiatric morbidity. It was assessed that 61% of the nursing home residents fulfilled the criteria for organic dementia while nine percent suffered from depression. We used the MNA-SF screening tool to rate residents with neuropsychological problems, and therefore we were unable to discriminate between organic dementia and depression. We might have overestimated the prevalence of dementia. On the other hand; the previously Danish findings were based on data from 1995, and since then the general health among nursing home residents have deteriorated.

Before this study was implemented no systematic assessment procedures were carried out neither in the intervention or the control units. The implementation of regularly assessment of the nutritional status including weighing may have improved the nutritional care not just in the intervention units but also in the control units. This problem, known as the Hawthorne effect, may have caused a kind of placebo effect [39]. In the present study we might have found a higher effect of the intervention, had this effect not interfered with the results.

**5 Conclusion**

Systematic identification of eating difficulties combined with an individual care plan and sustained mealtime assistance is a safe and effective method to maintain body weight in nursing home residents.
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