Investigation of benthic marine litter in the Yumurtalık Fishing Port
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Abstract

Marine litter, which can be seen in almost all seas in recent years, is a serious problem for the environment. Similarly, the same problem also exists in Turkey's seas. This study was carried out in 4 different areas, each of which is 100m², in the Yumurtalık Fishing Port in İskenderun Bay. Marine litter was removed from the seabed by diving in these selected areas in April 2016 and June 2017. In the study, a total of 157.2 kg of rubber (49.5%), 118.9 kg of glass (37.4%), 21.61 kg of plastic (6.8%) and 19.94 kg of metal (6.3%) marine litter were detected and removed. It was determined that the marine litter extracted in 2017 was less by weight compared to 2016. Although collecting marine litter from underwater reduces their amount, it appears to occur again the next year. Our result shows that different solutions should be produced to reduce marine litter.
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Introduction

Marine litter, is one of the most serious, rapidly developing and worsening global environmental problems. It consists of an extremely wide variety of materials and sizes and originates from many unspecified sources. Approximately half of the global waste production, which is expected to be 8 billion tons in 2025, are non-biodegradable materials such as plastic and metal (Galgani et al., 2015). Therefore, it has become one of the important problems that have been focused on in recent years (Borja and Elliott, 2019). According to the Cheshire et al. (2009), marine litter is “waste, discarded or lost material resulting from human activities – marine litter is any such material that has made it into the marine environment, including material found on beaches or material that is floating or has sunk at sea”. Today, marine litter can be seen in the whole sea, with its ecological, economic and social effects (Cheshire et al., 2009; Thompson et al., 2009).

The abundance and spatial distribution of marine debris varies from hydrodynamics, geomorphology and human factors (Galgani et al., 2000). There are many different types of marine litter such as plastic, glass, metal and textiles on the sea surface or seafloor (Olguner et al., 2018). Marine litter is abundantly detected in the Mediterranean because of a dense coastal population, ship traffic and current (Barnes et al., 2009).

Many studies have been carried out by collecting marine litter in the Turkish coast of the Mediterranean. These studies concentrated on marine debris and litters prediction by artificial intelligence (Balas et al., 2004), distribution and composition (Olguner et al., 2018), identifying abundance and source (Aydın et al., 2016), microplastics (Gündoğdu, 2017; Gündoğdu et al., 2018) and mesoplastics (Gündoğdu and Çevik, 2017), microplastics-fish relationship (Güven et al., 2017), and fouling assemblage of benthic plastic debris as well (Gündoğdu et al., 2017).

The present study was carried out in the town of Yumurtalık, which has a high agricultural, tourism and fisheries potential. Yumurtalık is in the province of Adana and located on the Eastern Mediterranean coast of Turkey. Amateur anglers and tourists are mostly concentrated in the coastal area during the summer period and the Yumurtalık Fishing Port is one of the popular places frequented by the visitors. Although, mostly small-scale fishers use this port all year around, trawlers from Karataş and İskenderun districts also use the fishing port during the fishing season. While fishermen do the maintenance and repair of their boats and fishing gear on this dock, various restaurants and fishermen in the port serve the local people and visitors. For this reason, an increase in pollution is observed in this area, especially at the end of each summer season.

This study aims to identify and reveal the marine litter in the seabed of Yumurtalık Fishing Port, which is an area of ecologically important. Thus, by looking at the current situation, determining the factors that cause marine litter, and providing the data that can form a basis for the studies to take the necessary precautions and to be carried out to minimize the pollution were aimed.

Material and Method

Sampling was performed in April 2016 and June 2017 in the Yumurtalık Fishing Port of Adana at four selected areas (Lat: 36,767778 – Long: 35,791667; Lat: 36,767778 – Long: 35,794722; Lat: 36,766111- Long: 35,794444; Lat: 36,766111- Long: 35,791944) (Figure 1).

Of all the areas around the entrance of the fishing port and inside, each of them covers about 100 m² and is between 0.5 and 2.5 meters in depth. The study area was divided into four regions according to usage density. The first (area 1) region was determined as the most used area and the other areas were numbered up to 4 according to their usage intensity.

A total of 16 divers took part in the study, and the study was completed in three weeks. Following the diving tables in the US Navy Diving Manual (Manual, 2011); the divers, in groups of two and at intervals of 60 minutes, dived with self-contained and surface-supplied diving systems. Marine litters found on the seabed were extracted with the dives. Litters were weighted and classified according to the Guidance on Monitoring of Marine Litter in European Seas of the EU Marine Strategy Framework Directive (MSFD) (Directive, 2013).

In the statistical tests, the weight values of the extracted materials in 2016 and 2017 were analyzed by using Shapiro-Wilk’s test and it was concluded that the values did not fit a normal distribution (P<0.05) (Shapiro & Wilk, 1965). In the analysis, normal distribution of the data was examined and a difference between the averages was found by using the Wilcoxon Test (P<0.05). All analysis was performed using the SPSS v20 package software at the 0.05 level of significance.

Results

The values according to their material type of the marine litter extracted from the areas (kg) in this study can be seen in table 1.

A considerable difference in the total values of the weight of extracted wastes between 2016 and 2017 was observed (P<0.05). The change in the four areas between 2016 and 2017 was also tested. A considerable difference between the years was found in area 1. The remaining three areas did not show a statistically significant change (P>0.05).

Discussion and Conclusions

Between 2013-2015, Yumurtalık Vocational School and Yumurtalık Municipality performed marine litter cleaning between Yumurtalık Fishing Port and the beach nearby (Anonymous, 2018). However, no records were kept about the marine litter generated. Although the working area was cleaned in 2013, 2014, and 2015, marine litters were found and extracted on seabed in 2016.
Table 1. Total weights (kg) of the collected marine litter in 2016-2017

| Marine litter type                  | Area 1  | Area 2  | Area 3  | Area 4  |
|-------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
|                                     | 2016    | 2017    | 2016    | 2017    | 2016    | 2017    | 2016    | 2017    |
| A. Plastics                         | 6.24    | 3.22    | 1.46    | 1.73    | 4.55    | 3.77    | 0.42    | 0.22    |
| A1. Bags                            | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    |
| A2. Bottles                         | 0.64    | 0.32    | 0.76    | 0.43    | 0.85    | 0.82    | 0.22    | 0.22    |
| A3. Food wrappers                   | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    |
| A4. Sheets                          | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    |
| A5. Other plastic objects           | 2.20    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.45    | 0.00    | 0.00    |
| A6. Fishing nets                    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    |
| A7. Fishing lines                   | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    |
| A8. Other fishing related           | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    |
| A9. Ropes/strapping bands           | 3.40    | 2.9     | 0.70    | 1.30    | 3.70    | 2.50    | 0.20    | 0.00    |
| A10. Sanitaries(diapers,etc.)       | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    |
| B. Rubber                           | 12.70   | 9.60    | 36.30   | 23.20   | 47.30   | 28.10   | 0.00    | 0.00    |
| B1. Tyres                           | 12.70   | 9.60    | 36.30   | 23.2    | 47.30   | 28.10   | 0.00    | 0.00    |
| B2. Other(gloves,shoes,etc.)        | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    |
| C. Metals                           | 0.96    | 0.68    | 7.13    | 3.19    | 3.64    | 3.28    | 0.53    | 0.53    |
| C1. Beverage cans                   | 0.26    | 0.18    | 0.31    | 0.29    | 0.54    | 0.48    | 0.13    | 0.18    |
| C2. Other food cans/wrappers        | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    |
| C3. Middle size containers          | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    |
| C4. Large metallic objects          | 0.00    | 0.00    | 5.52    | 1.70    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    |
| C5. Cables                          | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    |
| C6. Fishing related                 | 0.70    | 0.5     | 1.30    | 1.2     | 3.10    | 2.8     | 0.40    | 0.35    |
| D. Glass/ceramics                   | 12.40   | 9.70    | 15.30   | 11.60   | 23.70   | 17.20   | 16.40   | 12.60   |
| D1. Bottles                         | 12.40   | 9.70    | 15.30   | 11.60   | 23.70   | 17.20   | 16.40   | 12.60   |
| D2. Pieces of glass                 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    |
| D3. Ceramic jars                    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    |
| D4. Large objects(specify)          | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    |
| E. Textiles / natural fibers        | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    |
| E1. Clothing (clothes,shoes)        | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    |
| E2. Large pieces (carpet, etc.)     | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    |
| E3. Natural ropes                   | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    |
| F. Wood (processed)                 | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    |
| G. Paper / cardboard                | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    |
| H. Other (specify)                  | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    |
| I. Unspecified                      | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    |
| Total                               | 32.30   | 23.20   | 60.19   | 39.72   | 79.19   | 52.35   | 17.35   | 13.35   |
In the light of the obtained data, it has been understood that the pollution formed in time cannot be prevented completely. Although the litter accumulation detected during the 2017 study is relatively less than the previous year (P<0.05), studies carried out in both 2016 and 2017 revealed that such amount of litter accumulation can occur in a year period of time.

When the study fields were considered separately, the annual change of litter accumulation in area 1 was statistically significant, while the difference in the other three areas by years was not significant (P>0.05). In the study, it was observed that the amount of marine litter extracted from area 1 - 4 was less than that of areas 2 - 3. It is estimated that this may be due to the fact that the areas 1 and 4 are shallower than the others and the underwater is easily visible, and thus people probably avoid littering in these areas.

Marine litter constitutes a threat to the coastal and marine ecosystems, and has negative effects primarily on aquatic organisms and consequently on human health. In addition, marine litter accumulation is an undesirable situation in coastal settlements and touristic areas due to causing bad appearance and odour (Guneroglu, 2010). In recent years, various studies have been carried out on the pollution and marine litter accumulation on the coasts, sea floor and sea surface in the Black Sea and the Mediterranean, and many observational data have been obtained. While marine litter was mainly classified as artificial polymer materials, plastics, rubber, textiles, metal, paper/cardboard/cardboard, glass/ceramic, fishing materials, wood, natural products, hybrid and miscellaneous (Miladinova et al., 2020; Moncheva et al., 2016; Simeonova et al., 2020; Stanev and Ricker, 2019; UNEP/MAP, 2015), plastic constituted the majority of marine litter in most of these studies.

According to the report of UNEP/MAP (2015), the most of the marine litter in the Mediterranean comes from land-based sources and marine litter found on beaches originates from tourism and recreational activities and is composed mainly of plastics (bottles, bags, caps/lids, etc.), aluminium (cans, pull tabs) and glass (bottles). In another study, car tires were boarded on top of the vehicles as shelter as docking and mooring. Therefore, it was found remarkable that the vehicle tire was more than other areas in the materials submerged in area 2 and area 3. It is known that usually 3-4 car tires are boarded on top of each other as fenders by larger boats. As a result of friction in the shelter caused by seasonal effects, the tires fall into the water over time. Since falling tires cannot be noticed due to the invisible depth, this makes both the detection of the contaminant and the cleaning from the surface difficult.

Although very low amounts of glass/ceramic (10% and less) were found in marine litter in some of the studies (Guneroglu, 2010; Simeonova et al., 2020; UNEP/MAP, 2015), the second most common group among marine litter determined in the Yumurtalık Fishing Port study was glass/ceramic. Yumurtalık Fishing Port does not have a river connection and it is also a closed area to currents and strong winds. Therefore, the transportation of marine litter from remote areas to here does not seem possible. As stated in the report of UNEP (2005), people throwing marine litters directly and deliberately into the sea is one of the main reasons of marine litter pollution. Therefore, it can be speculated that the glass/ceramics and plastic marine litter accumulation in this port is caused by the local people and tourist activities.

When the marine litters produced in all four areas are examined according to their types, it is seen that the areas contain materials with different density from each other. It can be speculated that this is related to the intended use of the areas and the frequency of use. As a consequence, implementing routine underwater cleaning and fisherman training programs, and placing a large number of noticeable warning signs and making an arrangement of recycling litter storage areas for residents, fisherman and tourists using the area, can be considered to be effective in decreasing the pollution of the fishing port.
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