Contrasting objective and subjective Portuguese texts from heterogeneous sources
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Abstract

This paper contrasts the content and form of objective versus subjective texts. A collection of on-line newspaper news items serve as objective texts, while parliamentary speeches (debates) and blog posts form the basis of our subjective texts, all in Portuguese. The aim is to provide general linguistic patterns as used in objective written media and subjective speeches and blog posts, to help construct domain-independent templates for information extraction and opinion mining. Our hybrid approach combines statistical data along with linguistic knowledge to filter out irrelevant patterns. As resources for subjective classification are still limited for Portuguese, we use a parallel corpus and tools developed for English to build our subjective spoken corpus, through annotations produced for English projected onto a parallel corpus in Portuguese. A measure for the saliency of n-grams is used to extract relevant linguistic patterns deemed “objective” and “subjective”. Perhaps unsurprisingly, our contrastive approach shows that, in Portuguese at least, subjective texts are characterized by markers such as descriptive, reactive and opinionated terms, while objective texts are characterized mainly by the absence of subjective markers.

1 Introduction

During the last few years there has been a growing interest in the automatic extraction of elements related to feelings and emotions in texts, and to provide tools that can be integrated into a more global treatment of languages and their subjective aspect. Most research so far has focused on English, and this is mainly due to the availability of resources for the analysis of subjectivity in this language, such as lexicons and manually annotated corpora. In this paper, we contrast the subjective and the objective aspects of language for Portuguese.

Essentially, our approach will extract linguistic patterns (hopefully “objective” for newspaper news items and “subjective” for parliamentary speeches and blog posts) by comparing frequencies against a reference corpus. Our method is relevant for hybrid approaches as it combines linguistic and statistic information. Our reference corpus, the Reference Corpus of Contemporary Portuguese (CRPC)\(^1\), is an electronically based linguistic corpus of around 310 million tokens, taken by sampling from several types of written texts (literature, newspapers, science, economics, law, parliamentary debates, technical and didactic documents), pertaining to national and regional varieties of Portuguese. A random selection of 10,000 texts from the entire CRPC will be used for our experiment. The experiment flow-chart is shown in Figure 1. We define as objective short news items from newspapers that reports strictly a piece of news, without comments or analysis. A selection of blog post items and short verbal exchanges between member of the European parliament will serve as subjective texts.

2 Previous work

The task of extracting linguistic patterns for data mining is not new, albeit most research has so far dealt with English texts. Extracting subjective patterns represents a more recent and challenging task. For example, in the Text Analy-

\(^1\) http://www.clul.ul.pt/en/resources/183-reference-corpus-of-contemporary-portuguese-crpc
sis Conference (TAC 2009), it was decided to withdraw the task of creating summaries of opinions, present at TAC 2008, the organizers having agreed on the difficulty of extracting subjective elements of a text and organize them appropriately to produce a summary. Yet, there is already some relevant work in this area which may be mentioned here. For opinions, previous studies have mainly focused in the detection and the gradation of their emotional level, and this involves three main subtasks. The first subtask is to distinguish subjective from objectives texts (Yu and Hatzivassiloglou, 2003). The second subtask focuses on the classification of subjective texts into positive or negative (Turney, 2002). The third level of refinement is trying to determine the extent to which texts are positive or negative (Wilson et al., 2004). The momentum for this type of research came through events such as TREC Blog Opinion Task since 2006. It is also worth mentioning recent efforts to reintroduce language and discursive approaches (e.g. taking into account the modality of the speaker) in this area (Asher and Mathieu, 2008). The momentum for this type of research came through events such as TREC Blog Opinion Task since 2006. It is also worth mentioning recent efforts to reintroduce language and discursive approaches (e.g. taking into account the modality of the speaker) in this area (Asher and Mathieu, 2008). The approaches developed for automatic analysis of subjectivity have been used in a wide variety of applications, such as online monitoring of mood (Lloyd et al., 2005), the classification of opinions or comments (Pang et al., 2002) and their extraction (Hu an Liu, 2004) and the semantic analysis of texts (Esuli and Sebastiani, 2006). In (Mihalcea et al., 2007), a bilingual lexicon and a manually translated parallel corpus are used to generate a sentence classifier according to their level of subjectivity for Romanian. Although many recent studies in the analysis of subjectivity emphasize sentiment (a type of subjectivity, positive or negative), our work focuses on the recognition of subjectivity and objectivity in general. As stressed in some work (Banea et al., 2008), researchers have shown that in sentiment analysis, an approach in two steps is often beneficial, in which we first distinguish objective from subjective texts, and then classify subjective texts depending on their polarity (Kim and Hovy, 2006). In fact, the problem of distinguishing subjective versus objective texts has often been the most difficult of the two steps. Improvements in the first step will therefore necessarily have a beneficial impact on the second, which is also shown in some work (Takamura et al., 2006).

3 Creating a corpus of Subjective and Objective Portuguese Texts

To build our subjective spoken corpus (more than 2,000 texts), we used a parallel corpus of English-Portuguese speeches and a tool to automatically classify sentences in English as objective or subjective (OpinionFinder (Riloff et al., 2003)). We then projected the labels obtained for the sentences in English on the Portuguese sentences. The original parallel corpus is made of 1,783,437 pairs of parallel sentences, and after removing pervasive short sentences (e.g. “the House adjourned at ...”) or pairs of sentences with the ratio of their respective lengths far away from one (a sign of alignment or translation error), we are left with 1,153,875 pairs. A random selection of contiguous 20k pairs is selected for the experiment. The English sentences are submitted to OpinionFinder, which labels each of them as “unknown”, “subjective” or “objective”. OpinionFinder has labelled 11,694 of the 20k sentences as “subjective”. As our experiment aims at comparing frequencies between texts, we have automatically created segments of texts showing lexical similarities using Textiling (Hearst, 1997), leading to 2,025 texts. We haven’t made any attempt to improve or evaluate OpinionFinder and Textiling performance. This strategy is sensible as parliamentary speeches are a series of short opinionated interventions by members on specific

2European Parliament: http://www.statmt.org/europarl/
themes. The 11,694 subjective labels have been projected on each of the corresponding sentences of the Portuguese corpus to produce our final spoken corpus. Note that apart from a bridge (here a parallel corpus) between the source language (here English) and the target language (here Portuguese), our approach does not require any manual annotation. Thus, given a bridge between English and the target language, this approach can be applied to other languages. The considerable amount of work involved in the creation of these resources for English can therefore serve as a leverage for creating similar resources for other languages.

We decided to include a collection of blog posts as an additional source of subjective texts. We gathered a corpus of 1,110 blog posts using BootCat, a tool that allows the harvesting and cleaning of web pages on the basis of a set of seed terms.

For our treatment of objectivity and how news are reported in Portuguese newspapers, we have collected and cleaned a corpus of nearly 1500 articles from over a dozen major websites (Jornal de Notícias, Destak, Visão, A Bola, etc.).

After tokenizing and POS-tagging all sentences, we collected all n-grams (n = 1, 2 and 3) along with their corresponding frequency for each corpus (reference (CRPC), objective (news items) and subjective (parliamentary speeches and blog posts)), each gram being a combination of a token with its part-of-speech tag (e.g. falar_V, “speak_V”). The list of POS tags is provided in appendix A.

4 Experiments and Results

4.1 POS and n-grams

In our experiments we have compared all the n-grams (n = 1, 2 and 3) from the objective and subjective texts with the n-grams from the reference corpus. This kind of analysis aims essentially at the identification of salient expressions (with high log-odds ratio scores). The log-odds ratio method (Baroni and Bernardini, 2004) compares the frequency of occurrence of each n-gram in a specialized corpus (news, parliamentary speeches or blogs) to its frequency of occurrence in a reference corpus (CRPC). Applying this method solely on POS, we found that objective texts used predominantly verbs with an emphasis on past participles (PPT/PPA, adotado, “adopted”), which is consistent with the nature of reported news. In general, we observed that subjective texts have a higher number of adjectives (ADJ, ótimo, “optimum”): parliamentary speeches also include many infinitives (INF, fe-licitar “congratulate”), while blogs make use of interjections (ITJ, uau, “wow”). Tables 1, 2 and 3 show salient expressions for each type of texts. These expressions do not always point to a distinction between subjectivity and objectivity, but also to topics normally associated with each type of texts, a situation particularly acute in the case of parliamentary speeches. Nevertheless, we can make some very general observations. There is no clear pattern in news items, except for a slight tendency towards the use of a quantitative terminology (“save”, “spend”). Parliamentary speeches are concerned with societal issues (“socio-economic”, “biodegradable”) and forms of politeness (“wish to express/protest”). In blog posts we find terms related to opinions (“pinch of salt”), wishes (“I hope you enjoy”), reactions (“oups”) and descriptions (“creamy”).

4.2 Patterns around NPs

The n-gram approach can provide interesting patterns but it has its limits. In particular, it does not allow for generalization over larger constituents. One way to overcome this flaw is to chunk corpora into noun-phrases (NP). This is the approach taken in (Riloff and Wiebe, 2003) for English. In Riloff and Wiebe (2003), the patterns for English involved a very detailed linguistic analysis, such as the detection of grammatical functions as well
as active or passive forms. Without the proper resources needed to produce sophisticated linguistic annotations for Portuguese, we decided to simplify matters slightly by not making distinction of grammatical function or voice. That is, only NPs would matter for our analysis. We used the NP-chunker Yamcha\(^6\) trained on 1,000 manually annotated (NPs and POS-tags) sentences. The main idea here remains the same and is to find a set of syntactic patterns that are relevant to each group of texts, as we did for n-grams previously, each NP becoming a single 1-gram for this purpose. It is worth mentioning that NP-chunking becomes particularly challenging in the case of blogs, which are linguistically heterogeneous and noisy. Finally, log-odds ratio once again serves as a discriminative measure to highlight relevant patterns around NPs. Tables 4, 5 and 6 illustrate salient expressions from the three specialized corpora, presenting some of them in context.

Although limited to relatively simple syntactic patterns, this approach reveals a number of salient linguistic structures for the subjective texts. In parliamentary speeches, forms of politeness are clearly predominant (“ladies and <NP>”, “thank <NP>” and “<NP> wish to thank”). Unfortunately, the patterns extracted from blog posts are pervaded by “boiler-plate” material that were not filtered out during the cleaning phase and parasite the analysis: “published by <NP>”, “share on <NP>” and “posted by <NP>”. However, opinions (“<NP> is beautiful”) and opinion primer (“currently, <NP>”) remain present. News items are still characterized mainly by the absence of subjective structures (markers), albeit quantitative expressions can still be found (“spent”).

Obviously, a statistical approach yields a certain number of irrelevant (or at best “counter-intuitive”) expressions: our results are no exception to this reality. Clearly, in order to reveal insights or suggest meaningful implications, an external (human) evaluation of the patterns presented in this study would paint a clearer picture of the relevance of our results for information extraction and opinion mining, but we think they constitute a good starting point.

5 Conclusion and Future Work

We have presented a partly automated approach to extract subjective and objective patterns in se-
Table 3: Salient expressions in blogs.

| PORTUGUESE         | ENGLISH            |
|--------------------|--------------------|
| direto ADJ          | “direct”           |
| cremoso ADJ         | “creamy”           |
| crocante ADJ        | “crispy”           |
| atuais ADJ          | “current”          |
| coletiva ADJ        | “collective”       |
| muito ADV legal ADJ | “very legal”       |
| redes CN sociais ADJ| “social networks”  |
| ups ITJ             | “oups”             |
| hum ITJ             | “hum”              |
| atualmente ADV      | “currently”        |
| atrações CN         | “attractions”      |
| tenho V certeza CN  | “this is exactly”  |
| café CN da PREP+DA  | “morning coffee”   |
| manhã-CN            | “pinch of salt”    |
| pitada-CN de PREP   | “I hope”           |
| sal-CN              | you enjoy”         |
| espero V que CJ     |                    |
| gostem INF          |                    |

Table 4: NP-patterns in news
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Some NP-patterns in context

- **também ADV <NP> gostaria V**
  “also <NP> would like”

_Senhore Presidente, também <eu> gostaria de felicitar a relatora, . . ._

“Mr President, I would also like to congratulate the rapporteur,” . . .

- **senhoras ADJ e CJ <NP>**
  “ladies and <NP>”

_Senhore Presidente, Senhora Deputada McCarthy, Senhoras e <Senhores Deputados>, gostaria de começar . . ._

“Mr President, Mrs McCarthy, Ladies and gentlemen, let me begin . . .”

- **agradecer INF `a PREP+DA <NP>**
  “thank <NP>”

_Gostaria de agradecer à <minha colega, senhora deputada Echerer>, pela . . ._

“I would like to thank my colleague, Mrs Echerer for . . .”

Table 5: NP-patterns in parliamentary speeches

| Pattern | Example |
|---------|---------|
| • **também ADV <NP> gostaria V** | “also <NP> would like” |
| • **aguardo V com PREP <NP>** | “I look forward to <NP>” |
| • **considero V . . . PNT <NP>** | “I consider, <NP>” |

Table 6: NP-patterns in blogs

| Pattern | Example |
|---------|---------|
| • **desejo V agradecer INF** | “wish to thank” |
| • **associar INF aos PREP+DA <NP>** | “associate with <NP>” |
| • **é V linda ADJ** | “is beautiful” |

Some NP-patterns in context

- **publicada_V por PREP <NP>**
  “published by <NP>”

_Publicada por <Joaquim Trincheiras> em 07:30_

“Posted by Joaquim Trenches at 07:30”

- **partilhar INF no PREP no PREP+DA <NP>**
  “share on <NP>”

_Partilhar no <Twitter> . . ._

“Share on Twitter” . . .

- **postado PPA por PREP <NP>**
  “posted by <NP>”

_Postado por <Assuntos de Polícia> às 13:30.

“Posted by Police Affairs at 13:30.”

Other NP-patterns

- **<NP> por PREP lá ADV**
  “<NP> over there”

- **<NP> deixe V <NP>**
  “<NP> let <NP>”

- **atualmente ADV . . . PNT <NP>**
  “currently, <NP>”

- **<NP> é V linda ADJ**
  “<NP> is beautiful”

A List of POS-tags

ADJ (adjectives), ADV (adverbs), CJ (conjunctions), CL (clitics), CN (common nouns), DA (definite articles), DEM (demonstratives), INF (infinitives), ITJ (interjections), NP (noun phrases), PNT (punctuation marks) PPA/PPT (past participles), PREP (prepositions), UM (“um” or “uma”), V (other verbs).