Compensatory Pelvic Retro-Rotation Associated with a Decreased Quality of Life in Patients with Normal Sagittal Balance
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Study Design: Cross-sectional observational study.

Purpose: To examine whether pelvic rotation as a compensatory mechanism for sagittal imbalance is related to quality of life (QOL).

Overview of Literature: Poor sagittal alignment is associated with compensatory pelvic retroversion and decreased QOL. Whether the compensatory pelvic tilt (PT) influences QOL is unclear.

Methods: Overall, 134 subjects aged ≥20 years with lower back pain were included (104 females; mean age, 70±9.8 years). Sagittal vertical alignment (SVA) and PT were analyzed radiographically. Patients were stratified into three groups based on SVA values: good alignment (group G), intermediate alignment (group I), and poor sagittal alignment (group P). Patients in group I were further categorized into two groups: low PT and high PT. The Japanese Orthopaedic Association Back Pain Evaluation Questionnaire (JOABPEQ) was used for clinical assessment, and the scores were compared between groups.

Results: As SVA increased, PT and lumbar lordosis (LL) increased and decreased, respectively. PT and LL differed significantly between groups G and P (\(p<0.01\) for each comparison). Within group I, there was no significant difference in SVA between the high PT and low PT groups, suggesting that the high PT group had acquired a compensated sagittal balance. Importantly, all domains in the JOABPEQ (except for lower back pain) were significantly lower in the high PT group than in the low PT group (\(p<0.05\) for every comparison).

Conclusions: This study showed that focusing solely on SVA as a single indicator can cause important losses in QOL to be overlooked in patients with lumbar disorders. Although pelvic retroversion can compensate for sagittal balance, it is associated with a significant decrease in QOL. To improve the assessment of patients with lumbar disorders, PT should be considered besides SVA.
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Introduction

Sagittal alignment of the spine and pelvis is closely related to the maintenance of a stable posture with minimum energy expenditure. As people age, the sagittal alignment and flexibility of the spine may be altered by various factors, including intervertebral disc degeneration, soft tissue degeneration, and traumatic vertebral body deformity [1,2]. The loss of lumbar lordosis (LL) and/or increase in pelvic tilt (PT) are typical signs of imbalanced sagittal alignment [3-5]. As sagittal imbalance can cause mechanical pain and neurological symptoms, mechanisms such as pelvic rotation, hip extension, and knee flexion are often gradually applied to compensate for spinal misalignment [6].

The use of such compensatory mechanisms can maintain posture during standing and walking, resulting in an unchanged sagittal vertical axis (SVA), an indicator of global sagittal alignment [7]. However, compensatory mechanisms eventually become insufficient because of the progression of the underlying pathology and longstanding excessive load, at which point the sagittal balance becomes abnormal [1,2]. This results in an increased SVA, which is associated with a decreased quality of life (QOL) [8,9]. However, in daily clinical practice, we encounter patients with back problems who have a normal SVA but an increased PT, raising the question of whether pelvic retroversion as a compensatory mechanism for sagittal imbalance could be associated with deterioration of QOL. However, information on compensated sagittal imbalance is scarce in the literature.

Thus, we conducted a study to elucidate the relationship between SVA and PT as an indicator of pelvic rotation and to investigate the association between PT and QOL within the context of lower back pain. We hypothesized that when SVA is compensated by PT, QOL is already declining.

Materials and Methods

1. Patient selection

This cross-sectional study included consecutive patients who visited the outpatient spine clinic of our hospital between April 2012 and September 2013 with the primary complaint of chronic lower back pain. The inclusion criteria were age ≥20 years and the accurate completion of the Japanese Orthopaedic Association Back Pain Evaluation Questionnaire (JOABPEQ). Exclusion criteria included lower extremity deformities and contracture, a history of spinal surgery, spinal tumors, acute spinal injury, neuromuscular diseases, rheumatoid arthritis, and collagen disease.

2. Statement of ethics

All patients provided written informed consent during their first visit. The institutional review board of Gifu University Hospital approved this study (approval no., 26-350).

3. Radiographic assessment

Sagittal radiographic parameters were calculated from whole spine sagittal radiographs obtained from patients in a freestanding posture while adopting the fists-on-clavicle position. SVA was defined as the horizontal distance from the posterior sacral plate corner to the vertebral body of C7. Pelvic incidence (PI) was measured as the angle between a line perpendicular to the sacral plate at its midpoint and a line connecting this point to the femoral head axis. PT was measured as the angle between the vertical line and a line joining the hip axis with the center of the sacral superior plate [10-12]. LL was measured from the superior endplate of L1 to the superior endplate of S1. To quantify the flattening of the lumbar spine in relation to pelvic morphology, the variable “PI minus LL” was calculated, and its contribution to QOL was assessed [9].

4. Clinical assessment

The JOABPEQ and Visual Analog Scale (VAS) scores were used to assess lower back pain, leg pain, and numbness. The JOABPEQ is a patient-based tool used to evaluate the QOL of patients with disorders characterized by lower back and/or leg pain [13-15]. It enables the specific evaluation of pain, gait, lumbar spine dysfunction, social activity impairment, and psychological impact. The score ranges from 0 to 100 points, with lower scores indicating a poorer QOL [16,17].

5. Definition and stratification of sagittal vertical axis and pelvic tilt

Among asymptomatic Japanese adults, an SVA of –2.3 to 3.0 cm (mean, 3.2±4.7 cm) is considered the standard spi-
pelvic sagittal alignment, and intermediate spinal alignment is defined by an SVA of 4–9.5 cm, according to the Scoliosis Research Society–Schwab adult spinal deformity classification [9,18,19]. Therefore, we stratified our patients into three groups based on SVA: good alignment (group G, SVA –3 to 3 cm), intermediate alignment (group I, SVA 3.1–8 cm), and poor alignment (group P, SVA >8 cm). Group I was further subdivided into a low PT group (no functioning pelvic compensatory mechanism, PT ≤25°) (Fig. 1A) and a high PT group (presence of a functioning pelvic compensatory mechanism, PT >25°) (Fig. 1B).

6. Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were conducted using Graph Pad Prism ver. 5.01 statistical software (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA). The minimum sample size needed was 111 patients. Statistical comparisons of sagittal parameters and JOABPEQ scores in each group were made using the Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney U-tests for non-parametric analysis. Pearson’s correlations were used to assess the correlation between PT and PI–LL and each domain of the JOABPEQ. The significance level was set at \( p < 0.05 \) for all analyses.

1. Patient characteristics

Based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria, 134 patients (104 females and 30 males) were included in this study (Fig. 2). Of these, 32 patients (23.9%) were stratified into group G, 57 (42.5%) into group I, and 45 (33.5%) into group P. The overall mean age was 70±9.8 years, and the mean ages in groups G, low PT, high PT, and P were 67.6, 69.0, 70.4, and 72.1 years, respectively. The male/female ratio was 9/23 in group G, 7/22 in the low PT group, 3/25 in the high PT group, and 11/34 in group P. There were no significant differences between groups in terms of age and male/female ratio.

2. Sagittal radiographic parameters

Fig. 3 shows the comparison of sagittal parameters between groups G, I, and P. In all groups, PT tended to increase along with increases in SVA. There were significant differences in PT between groups G and P (\( p < 0.01 \) for each comparison). Overall, LL decreased progressively as SVA increased. As PI did not change, PI–LL tended to increase accordingly. There were significant differences in LL and PI–LL between groups I and P (\( p < 0.01 \) for both comparisons).
Expectedly, PT was significantly higher in the high PT group than in the low PT group \( (p<0.01) \), but no significant difference in SVA was seen between the two groups. LL was significantly lower in the high PT group than in the low PT group \( (p<0.01) \), and PI–LL was significantly higher in the high PT group than in the low PT group \( (p<0.01) \).

### 3. Japanese Orthopaedic Association Back Pain Evaluation Questionnaire

Groups G, I, and P were compared for each domain of the JOABPEQ (Fig. 5). The scores for lower back pain, lumbar function, and walking ability differed significantly between groups I and P \( (p<0.05, 0.01, \text{and } 0.01, \text{respectively}) \) but not between groups G and I. The social life function score differed significantly between groups G and P \( (p<0.01) \) but not between groups G and I or between groups I and P. There were no significant differences in the mental health score between groups.

The low and high PT groups were also compared for each domain of the JOABPEQ (Fig. 6). The scores for lumbar function, walking ability, social life function, and mental health were significantly lower in the high PT group than in the low PT group \( (p<0.05 \text{ for each comparison}) \).

A significant correlation was found between PT and three domains of the JOABPEQ: lumbar function, walk-
ing ability, and mental health (Table 1).

4. Visual Analog Scale scores for pain and numbness

Analysis of VAS scores for lower back pain, leg pain, and numbness revealed no significant differences between groups G, I, and P or between the low and high PT groups (Fig. 7A, B).

Discussion

Several reports have suggested that increased PT is related to lower back pain and QOL [12,18]. However, when normal sagittal balance with compensatory pelvic retroversion was compared with non-compensated normal sagittal balance, a difference in QOL was not discernible. The most important finding in the present study was that in patients with intermediate sagittal balance, JOABPEQ scores were significantly lower in patients with high PT than in patients with low PT. This suggests that the use of a compensatory mechanism (pelvic retroversion) to correct spinal imbalance is associated with a decline in QOL, even when the SVA remains unchanged.

Pelvic rotation is considered to be an important mechanism to compensate for sagittal imbalance, up to the point at which physiological spinal alignment is lost [12,20]. Barrey et al. [2] proposed an algorithm to analyze a patient’s global balance status by considering the presence of compensatory mechanisms in the spine (excessive cervical lordosis and lumbar retroversion), pelvis (retroversion), and lower limb (knee flexion and extension of the ankle joint). In the present study, PT increased as SVA increased and became clearly high when SVA was above the normal range (group P). This indicates that PT may be an important compensation mechanism and indicator of worsening SVA, appearing immediately before SVA deviates from the normal range.

Several previous reports suggest that pelvis-related parameters are important when analyzing the misalignment associated with spinal deformity [6,21,22]. Although SVA is directly linked to QOL [8], there is wide inter-patient variability [9,18]; therefore, pelvic-related parameters have emerged as accurate predictors of changes in QOL. Lafage et al. [23] reported that pelvic retroversion and anterior tilt of the trunk are associated with a decreased QOL, with PT and SVA being two important factors in this regard. However, until this study, little detail was known about the relationship between PT as a compensatory mechanism and QOL.

In the present study, there was a significant difference in LL (but not in SVA) between the high PT and low PT groups, implying that pelvic retroversion compensates for sagittal imbalance to maintain spinal alignment. Interestingly, QOL was significantly lower in the high PT group than in the low PT group, despite the comparable SVA. Moreover, the QOL of the low PT group was equivalent to that of patients with normal SVA (group G), whereas the QOL of the high PT group did not differ from that of the patients with high SVA (group P, detailed data not shown), suggesting that the onset of PT as a compensatory mechanism is accompanied by a decrease in QOL. Furthermore, PT was closely related to changes in some of the domains of the JOABPEQ score (Table 1). Taken together, these results strongly suggest that in cases of sagittal imbalance where spinal alignment is effectively maintained by PT, the QOL is adversely affected to a degree similar to cases with poor spinal alignment. Thus, PT is an important predictor of QOL decline, as inferred from its close correlation with PI–LL, which is considered as a good QOL indicator [18].
Kanemura et al. [24] reported that the JOA score for lower back pain did not significantly differ between patients with compensatory sagittal alignment and those with normal sagittal alignment. Similarly, in the present study, there were no significant differences between patients with compensatory alignment (the high PT group) and those with normal sagittal alignment (the low PT group) in VAS scores for lower back pain, leg pain, or numbness nor in lower back pain score in the JOAB-PEQ. However, scores in other domains such as lumbar function, walking ability, social life function, and mental health were significantly lower in the high PT group than in the low PT group. These results show that pelvic retroversion affects lumbar function and ability rather than painful symptoms, implying that this compensatory mechanism causes lumbar spine function deterioration. Presumably, the persistent muscle contraction of the spine and hip extensors required to maintain pelvic retroversion could produce general and local muscle fatigue. Further studies assessing the relationship between pelvic retroversion and decreases in QOL in patients with compensated sagittal balance may provide a clinical answer to this hypothesis.

There are several limitations to this study. First, asymptomatic volunteers were not included. Understanding the distribution of SVA and PT in asymptomatic individuals would help to understand the relationship between spinal alignment and PT. Second, in Japan, the prevalence of lower back pain is higher in women than in men, and the tendency is especially higher in individuals above 60 years old [25], so a higher ratio of women is expected. However, the male-to-female ratio was more biased toward women in the present study than in previous reports. Third, although lower extremity deformity and contracture were excluded in our study, occult lower extremity degenerative diseases such as very early osteoarthritis or degenerative meniscus rupture might have affected activities of daily living (ADL) and influenced the present result. Additionally, possible lumbar spinal stenosis in several patients might have affected walking ability and social life, thus biasing the present results. Fourth, some lumbar spinal canal stenosis cases, in which LL may be affected, were probably included among our study sample, which was designed to enroll patients with adult spinal deformity. Fifth, our classification based on SVA and PT was in accordance with the Scoliosis Research Society–Schwab adult spinal deformity classification and mean spinal alignment values in the Japanese population but remains to be validated.

**Conclusions**

In our study, we confirmed the primary hypothesis; although pelvic retroversion can compensate for sagittal balance, this mechanism is associated with impaired QOL and ADL. Focusing on SVA as a single parameter could lead to significant losses in QOL being overlooked in patients with lumbar disorders. Understanding the severity of lumbar degenerative disease and its compensatory mechanisms could help predict changes in QOL and thus determine the optimal timing for surgery. Therefore, we consider that including PT in addition to SVA as a routine parameter in assessing lumbar disorders is essential for a better knowledge of the clinical problem and should lead to improved patient management.
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