Evaluation of the Prevalence and Production of Escherichia coli Common Pilus among Avian Pathogenic E. coli and Its Role in Virulence
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Abstract

Avian pathogenic Escherichia coli (APEC) strains cause systemic and localized infections in poultry, jointly termed colibacillosis. Avian colibacillosis is responsible for significant economic losses to the poultry industry due to disease treatment, decrease in growth rate and egg production, and mortality. APEC are also considered a potential zoonotic risk for humans. Fully elucidating the virulence and zoonotic potential of APEC is key for designing successful strategies against their infections and their transmission. Herein, we investigated the prevalence of a newly discovered E. coli common pilus (ECP) for the subunit protein of the ECP pilus (ecpA) and ECP expression amongst APEC strains as well as the role of ECP in virulence. A PCR-based ecpA survey of a collection of 167 APEC strains has shown that 76% (127/167) were ecpA+. An immunofluorescence assay using anti-EcpA antibodies, revealed that among the ecpA+ strains, 37.8% (48/127) expressed ECP when grown in DMEM +0.5% Mannose in contact with HeLa cells at 37 °C and/or in biofilm at 28 °C; 35.4% (17/48) expressed ECP in both conditions and 64.6% (31/48) expressed ECP in biofilm only. We determined that the ecp operon in the APEC strain χ7122 (ecpA+, ECP+) was not truncated; the failure to detect ECP in some strains possessing non-truncated ecp genes might be attributed to differential regulatory mechanisms between strains that respond to specific environmental signals. To evaluate the role of ECP in the virulence of APEC, we generated ecpA and/or ecpD-deficient mutants from the strain χ7503 (ecpA+, ECP+). Deletion of ecpA and/or ecpD abolished ECP synthesis and expression, and reduced biofilm formation and motility in vitro and virulence in vivo. All together our data show that ecpA is highly prevalent among APEC isolates and its expression could be differentially regulated in these strains, and that ECP plays a role in the virulence of APEC.

Introduction

Avian Pathogenic E. coli (APEC), a subgroup of Extraintestinal Pathogenic E. coli (ExPEC), is the etiologic agent of colibacillosis in birds. Colibacillosis, responsible for significant economic losses in the poultry industry worldwide, includes multiple extra-intestinal diseases often respiratory, leading to systemic or localized infections depending on the strain, age and the gender of the host, as well the immunologic status and the presence of predisposing environmental conditions [1,2].

Multiple virulence factors are associated with APEC and are determined to be involved in different steps of their infection and/or fitness, including colonization (Type I, P, AC/1, Stg fimbriae, type IV pili, curli, Tsh), invasion (IbeA, Tia), iron acquisition (aerobactin, salmochelin, SitABC), a heme utilization/transport protein ChuA), serum-complement resistance (TraT, Iss, LPS, K1 capsule), antiphagocytic activity (O and K antigens, SitABC), and virulence genes regulation (BarA-UvrY, Pts). At different steps of infection, ExPEC, including APEC could use alternative virulence factors. The nature and the combination of virulence factors associated with ExPEC could determine the degree of their virulence and their potential to cause specific diseases in specific hosts.

APEC share important virulence traits with human ExPEC, including uropathogenic E. coli (UPEC) and neonatal meningitis E. coli (NMEC), which render them a possible zoonotic risk or a reservoir of virulence genes for human strains [3].

E. coli common pilus (ECP), originally named Mat (meningitis-associated and temperature-regulated), was first identified in neonatal meningitis E. coli (NMEC) isolates [4] and later in all classes of pathogenic and non-pathogenic E. coli [5]. ECP, considered as a new variant of the chaperon- usher (CU) fimbriae family, is composed of a polymerized EcpD tip adhesin and a
major shaft major pilin EcpA [6], and is encoded by the operon ecpRABCD[5].

In vitro studies have shown that ECP plays a dual role in early-stage bacterial biofilm formation and host cell recognition in human pathogenic E. coli [7–9]. The purpose of this study is to evaluate the prevalence of ecp among APEC, its expression under two in vitro conditions and to determine its role in virulence in baby chicks. We present the first study on the role of ECP in a non-human pathogenic E. coli, APEC. Our data revealed new insights into ECP expression in E. coli and determined for the first time the role of ECP in vivo and in multiple virulence-associated phenotypes in APEC.

Results and Discussion

ecpA is Highly Prevalent among APEC Isolates

ECP, first detected in NMEC isolates [4], was found to be common among pathogenic and non-pathogenic E. coli [5]. Recent studies have determined that ecpA, the gene of the major pilin of ECP, was prevalent among the majority of human pathogenic E. coli; it was in fact shown to be highly associated with atypical enteropathogenic E. coli (aEPEC) (86%) [10], enterosaggregative E. coli (EAEC) (96%) [7], and enterotoxigenic E. coli (ETEC) (80%) [11] isolates. In our previous study, we detected the presence of ecp in a few APEC strains tested along with other human pathogenic E. coli [5], but there are no studies on the prevalence of ecp among animal pathogenic E. coli, including APEC. Herein, for the first time, we assessed the prevalence of ecp among APEC isolates. A PCR-based ecpA survey performed on a collection of 167 strains of which 166 clinical isolates were from diseased chickens and turkeys with signs of colibacillosis [12] (This study), and one APEC reference strain χ7122 (O78:K80:H9) [13], has determined that, similar to human enteric and septicmic E. coli isolates, the vast majority (76%; 127/167) of APEC isolates possess the ecpA gene. These data confirm that APEC share virulence genes with human pathogenic E. coli, and this gene which is common among intestinal and extra-intestinal pathogenic E. coli could be involved in the persistence of these bacteria in some environments, such as intestines, where they have a commensal life-style before causing diseases in different sites.

APEC Strains Express ECP Differently in Biofilm and in Contact with HeLa Cells

Previous studies have shown that ECP expression in both diarrheogenic and meningitic E. coli is under the control of environmental cues [4,5,14]. Environmental conditions that upregulate ECP expression include low pH, high acetate concentration [14] and low growth temperature in NMEC [4] and DMEM with 5% CO2 in diarrheogenic E. coli [5]. In our study, evaluation of ECP expression in ecpA+ APEC strains grown in DMEM +0.5% Mannose in both biofilm at 28°C and in contact with HeLa cells at 37°C has shown that strains behave differently in their ECP expression. The immunofluorescence assay using anti-EcpA antibodies revealed that among the ecpA+ strains, 37.8% (48/127) expressed ECP when grown in DMEM +0.5% Mannose, both in contact with HeLa cells and/or in biofilm (Fig. 1 and 2); 35.4% (17/48) expressed ECP in both conditions and 64.6% (31/48) expressed ECP in biofilm only.

We suspected that the inability of some APEC strains to express ECP could be due to a truncation in their ecp genes. However, the analysis of the DNA of the ecp operon in the genome of the prototype APEC strain χ7122 (O78:K80:H9), which tested ecpA+ and ECP- (Fig. 2), has determined that the ecp operon in χ7122 was not truncated (Fig. S1). Comparison of the χ7122 ecp operon with those of two fully sequenced human strains, UPEC CFT073 and ETEC E2348/69, which ecp operons were determined to be functional [6,14], has confirmed the organization of its homologous genes ecpRABCD [6,9] in the genome of χ7122 (Fig. S1) and was similar to those of the two strains CFT073 (NC_004431.1) and E2348/69 (FM180568.1). The identities of the proteins encoded by the ecp operon were between 96%–99% similar (Table S1). The failure to detect ECP in some strains possessing ecp genes, including APEC χ7122, might be attributed to differential regulatory mechanisms between strains that respond to specific environmental signals [5]. We thus proceed with more analysis on the O-group and the ecp upstream region in the strains, as described below.

ECP Expression in APEC in the Conditions of this Study is not Serologically or Phylogenetically Group-associated

The first report on ECP expression in E. coli has determined that NMEC expressing ECP at 20°C were from the same serogroup O18:K1:H7 [4]. Herein, APEC isolates tested belong to different O-antigen groups (Fig. 2) and the expression of ECP in these APEC strains does not correlate with their O-antigen type. As a matter of fact, APEC that expressed ECP in the conditions tested were from different serogroups, including O1, O78, O9, O15, O18, O131, O55, O11, O8, O45, and O71 (Fig. 2). Moreover, strains from the same serogroup behaved differently in their ECP expression. For example, among seven O1 isolates tested for ECP expression, as described in material and methods, 3 were ECP-negative, 3 expressed ECP in biofilm only, and 1 expressed ECP in both biofilm and in contact with HeLa cells. Among the 18 O78 isolates tested, 13 did not express ECP, 3 expressed ECP in biofilm only, and 2 expressed ECP in both biofilm and in contact with HeLa cells (Fig. 2).

We next analyzed and compared the upstream ecp operon region of APEC isolates tested ecpA+ and ECP+. A study by Lehti et al. [15] has correlated ECP expression in E. coli with phylogenetic group-associated promoter lineages and according to their analysis, strains from the B2/D/E lineage groups grown in the host environmental conditions (low pH and high acetate concentration) expressed ECP, whereas strains from lineage A/B1 did not. Herein, some APEC strains grown in biofilm or in contact with HeLa cells expressed ECP either in both conditions or in biofilm only. To determine if the difference in ECP expression is related to the heterogeneity of their ecp promoter, we compared the nucleotide sequence in the upstream region of ecpR (~603 bp to −1 bp) in multiple APEC strains from which the sequences were available or sequenced in this study (Fig. 2). The phylogenetic tree generated confirmed that the operon region of ecpR in APEC strains are heterologous and are regrouped in different distinct clusters [14] (Fig. 2); but contrary to the study by Lehti et al. [15], the expression of ECP in APEC strains in our conditions does not correlate with the ecp upstream DNA sequence. However, the ECP expression in the conditions of this study was not phylogenetically group-associated.

APEC Strain χ7234 Opsonized with Anti-ECP Antibodies was Deficient in Adherence to Epithelial Cells

The first step of bacterial infection is host-pathogen recognition. The tropism of bacteria is determined by the nature of their fimbriae/adhesins and along with other virulence factors, they cause specific diseases. APEC and human ExPEC share virulence factors and some APEC strains have the potential to cause human ExPEC diseases, especially urinary tract infection [16]. In this study, similar to human pathogenic E. coli [5,7,9,17], APEC grown...
in the conditions that upregulate ECP expression adhered to HeLa (human cervical) cells (Fig. 3). Zhao et al. [18] have previously determined that UPEC and APEC strains sharing the same virulence gene profiles were both virulent in chickens and showed the same tendency of iron-acquisition gene expression in a murine model of human UTI. Our data showed that opsonization of bacteria with anti-ECP antibodies resulted in substantial inhibition of bacterial adherence (Fig. 3). These data imply that ECP could be a good antigen candidate to use to protect against ExPEC infection. Our team is in the process of evaluating this antigen to protect chickens against APEC and humans against UPEC, using mouse model for UTI.

Deletion of ecpA and/or ecpD Abolishes Synthesis and Expression of ECP in APEC

APEC χ7503 ΔecpA, ΔecpD, and ΔecpAΔecpD mutant strains were generated (Table 1) to elucidate the role of ECP in various virulence-associated mechanisms in APEC. The two genes ecpA and ecpD encode for the major pilin EcpA and the polymerized tip adhesin EcpD of the ECP fimbraria, respectively [6]. Similar to studies on human E. coli, we have shown that both single mutants and the double mutant in an APEC strain were deficient in ECP synthesis and expression as analyzed by TEM and immunoblotting (Fig. 4). It was obvious that deletion of ecpA would affect ECP synthesis; however, the absence of ECP expression in the ecpD mutant was surprising and previously explained by the fact that EcpD is required for the stability of EcpA, whereas the absence of EcpA does not affect the expression of EcpD [6]. Complementation of the mutant strains ΔecpA and ΔecpD with the plasmids pMAT9 and pDB5 respectively (Fig. 4), has fully recovered the expression of ECP in the strains. Since deletion of ecpD abolishes both EcpD and EcpA expression [6], only the mutant ecpD complemented with pDB5 was included in the in vitro and in vivo assays below.

Diversity of ECP-associated Virulence Phenotypes in APEC χ7503

Biofilm formation provides multiple advantages to bacteria, as it is an important determinant in the pathogenicity of ExPEC, increases survivability of bacteria in the environment outside of the host, and provides an environment for genetic material exchange [19]. Resistance of biofilm-forming bacteria to antimicrobial drugs and detergents complicates the elimination of these bacteria in medical and industrial settings. A set of genes expressions in E. coli facilitate biofilm formation at its different stages including initiation, attachment and maturation. A previous study has shown that ECP was involved in the early stage of biofilm development in NMEC at 20°C and ECP production was detected in biofilm-attached bacteria [8]. Herein, the deletion of ecpA and/or ecpD in the APEC strain χ7503 has decreased biofilm production in the mutant strains when grown at 28°C in LB or DMEM, and the difference compared to the wild-type was statistically significant (Fig. 5), which confirms that ECP plays a role in biofilm formation in APEC.

Lehti et al. [8] have shown that overexpression of matA (ecpR) in NMEC IHE 3034 abolishes the motility of the strain by decreasing the expression of the flagella operon [8]; and the inactivation of matA had only a minor effect on flagellation. No studies have been undertaken to evaluate the role of the ECP fimbraria in the motility of bacteria yet. In our present study, we compared the APEC strain χ7503 and its derivative ΔecpA, ΔecpD, and ΔecpAΔecpD mutants for their motility in a swimming assay on semi-solid agar plates (Fig. 6) and determined that although deletion of ecpA in χ7503 had little effect on motility of bacteria, the absence of ecpD in both single and double mutants significantly decreased the motility of bacteria compared to their wild-type at both 28°C and 37°C. Complementation of the ecpD mutant with the plasmid pDB5 containing the ecpD gene has restored the motility of the bacteria to the level of the wild-type (Fig. 6). The mechanism by which ECP is involved in the motility is unclear and has to be elucidated in the future.

Role of ECP in vivo

Available studies that assessed the role of ECP in virulence-associated phenotypes of bacteria were undertaken in vitro only [4–11,15,17]. The extent to which the ECP-associated virulence is expressed in vivo is speculative. In the present study, evaluation of wild-type APEC χ7503 and its derivative ecp mutants in day-old chicks has shown that the wild-type APEC strain χ7503 killed 87.5% of infected chicks at 24 and 100% at 48 hours post-infection (Fig. 7). The ecpA mutant killed 80% and 90% at respective times and 10% survived until the end of the experiment; the ecpD mutant killed 58.82% and 82.35% and 17.65% survived until the end of the experiment (Fig. 7); the double mutant ecpA and ecpD killed 70% and 80% respectively and 20% survived until the end of the experiment; and the complemented ecpD strain killed 50% and 100% respectively (Fig. 7).
| ECP production in | Glass biofilm | HeLa cells |
|------------------|---------------|------------|
| **Chi7503 (O1 APEC)** | + | - |
| 16924 (OND APEC) | + | + |
| **DH1 (O16)** | + | + |
| **UMN026 (O17:K52:H18 UPEC)** | + | + |
| **TW41359 (O157:H7 EHEC)** | + | + |
| **Chi7598 (OND APEC)** | + | + |
| **SMS-3-5 (O19:H34)** | + | + |
| **E234889 (O127:H6 EPEC)** | + | + |
| **CFT073 (O6;K2:H1 UPEC)** | + | + |
| 19268 (ONS APEC) | + | - |
| 17504 (O78 APEC) | + | - |
| 17840 (OND APEC) | + | + |
| **16331 (OND APEC)** | + | + |
| **17713 (OND APEC)** | + | - |
| **17669 (OND APEC)** | + | - |
| **Chi7535 (ONS APEC)** | + | - |
| **17355 (OND APEC)** | + | - |
| **Chi7564 (ONS APEC)** | + | + |
| **17328 (OND APEC)** | + | + |
| **Chi7254 (O1 APEC)** | + | - |
| **16423 (OND APEC)** | + | + |
| **Chi7545 (O78 APEC)** | + | + |
| **16269 (OND APEC)** | + | - |
| **Chi7555 (O78 APEC)** | + | + |
| **Chi7560 (ONS APEC)** | + | - |
| **Chi7528 (ONS APEC)** | + | - |
| **Chi7237 (O1 APEC)** | + | - |
| **Chi7244 (ONT APEC)** | + | + |
| **Chi7233 (O1 APEC)** | + | - |
| **APEC O1 (O1:K1:H7 APEC)** | + | - |
| **BEN79 (O18 APEC)** | + | - |
| **Chi7508 (ONT APEC)** | + | - |
| **BEN374 (O18 APEC)** | + | - |
| 17270 (OND APEC) | + | + |
| **Chi7514 (O131 APEC)** | + | - |
| **CB9615 (O55 EPEC)** | + | - |
| **17914 (OND APEC)** | + | + |
| **Chi7234 (O18 APEC)** | + | + |
| **17310 (OND APEC)** | + | + |
| **17343 (OND APEC)** | + | + |
| **17002 (OND APEC)** | + | + |
| **17162 (OND APEC)** | + | - |
| **Chi7543 (ONS APEC)** | + | - |
| **APEC O78 (O78 APEC)** | + | - |
| **Chi7258 (O78 APEC)** | + | - |
| **BL21 (DE3) (O7)** | + | - |
| **Chi7122 (O78:K80:H9 APEC)** | + | - |
| **E24377A (O139:H28 ETEC)** | + | - |
| **IMT2125 (O78 APEC)** | + | - |
| **789 (O78 APEC)** | + | - |
| **Chi7516 (O78 APEC)** | + | - |
| **Chi7499 (O9 APEC)** | + | - |
| **Chi7521 (O11 APEC)** | + | - |
| **55989 (O128:H2 EAEC)** | + | - |
| **Chi7523 (O8 APEC)** | + | - |
| **Chi7537 (O71 APEC)** | + | - |
| **Shigella boydii Sb227** | + | - |
| **Chi7520 (O65 APEC)** | + | - |
| **11368 (O26:H11 EHEC)** | + | - |
| **Chi7507 (O15 APEC)** | + | + |

*K. pneumoniae EcI8*
Additionally, to evaluate if ECP has a role in colonization during the infection process, day-old chicks were subcutaneously challenged with wild-type, ΔecpD mutant, and its complemented strain, respectively. Data of recovery of bacteria from blood and internal organs (spleen and liver) of infected animals at 12 hours post inoculation, has shown that compared to the wild-type, the ΔecpD mutant colonized the infected animals slowly and had lower mean bacterial populations in blood and internal organs (spleen and liver) and the difference (two logs reduction) was significant (P<0.05) in the blood (Fig. 8). Complementation of the mutant strain restored the colonization ability of the strain to the level of the wild-type or even slightly higher in the spleen (Fig. 8).

Although deletion of ecp has not drastically attenuated APEC strain χ7503, as tested in the lethality of day-old chick model, this is probably due to ability of bacteria to use alternative virulence factors; its absence has however decreased the colonization ability of the bacteria, especially in blood. To our knowledge, this is the first report on the role of ECP in virulence in vivo and we show for the first time a correlation between deletion of ecp and the decrease of virulence of APEC in chicks.

In the future studies, ecp mutants should be tested in other animal models of avian colibacillosis, such as subcutaneous injection and air sac inoculation [20] to determine their role in specific diseases, including cellulitis and systemic infection respectively.

Conclusion

We have shown for the first time that ecpD is prevalent among APEC isolated from diseased chickens. Our data showed that ECP expression is regulated differently in biofilm and in contact with HeLa cells in ecpA+ APEC strains and is neither serogroup nor phylogenetic group related. Deletion of ecp genes in an APEC strain has decreased its biofilm production and swimming ability in vitro and has slightly decreased its virulence in day-old chicks and decreased the colonization ability of the strain, especially in bloodstream. Similar to human pathogenic E. coli, ECP in APEC

Figure 2. Neighbor-joining phylogenetic tree from analysis of ecp upstream region of APEC strains. Phylogenetic relationships between nucleotide sequences of putative ecp promoter sequences (~603 bp to ~1 bp from the start codon GTG of ecpR) of APEC strains sequenced in this study (n = 40) or those available on public database (n = 7) [APEC-O1 (NC_008563.1); BEN374 (JN377377); BEN79 (JN377376); 789 (JN377380), APEC-O78 (NC_020163.1), χ7122 (NZ_HE962388.1), IMT2125 (NZ_HE964769)]. Eleven related sequences of human pathogenic and non-pathogenic E. coli [DH1 (CP001637), UMMN026 (CU928163.1), TW14359 (CP001368), SMS-3-5 (CP000970), CB9615 (CP001846), CFT073 (NC_004431.1), E2348/69 (FM180568.1), E24377A (CP000800), BL21(DE3) (NC_012892.2), S5989 (CU928145.2), 11368 (AP010953)] and one sequence of Shigella boydii Sb227 (NR_076357.1) were included as controls and the sequence of Klebsiella pneumoniae Ec18 (NZ_MF36482.1) was used as outgroup. Results of ECP expression of APEC strains tested in biofilm or in contact with HeLa cells are shown on the right. Colored dots represent phylogenetic groups, Green (A), Blue (B1), Red (B2), Yellow (D), and Purple (E). Abbreviations: NS, non-specific; NT, non-typable; ND, not determined.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0086565.g002

Figure 3. Inhibition of adherence by anti-ECP antibodies. Giemsa staining showing inhibition of APEC χ7234 adherence to HeLa cells with anti-ECP antibodies. A, No antibody; B, 1:100; C, 1:50; D, 1:10.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0086565.g003
is involved in diverse phenotype-associated virulence/fitness and should be considered as a potential common antigen to use against pathogenic *E. coli* infections in both humans and animals.

Figure 4. Detection of ECP synthesis and expression on APEC 7503 strain and its derivatives. ECP expression on the surface of bacteria by immunoelectron microscopy (A) and ECP synthesis in total bacterial Western blotting using anti-ECP antibodies (B). The ECP synthesis and expression is shown in the wild-type strain. The single and double mutants were deficient in ECP synthesis and expression. The ECP synthesis and expression were restored in the complemented strains. (Scale bars, 500 nm.). Detection of DnaK with anti-DnaK antibody was used to ensure equal amounts of antigen tested. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0086565.g004
Table 1. *E. coli* strains and plasmids used in this study.

| Strains               | Characteristics/genotype       | Parent                              | Reference/source |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|
| χ7122                 | APEC O78,K80:H9, gyrA Nal^R Str^R |                                    | [13]             |
| χ7234                 | Wild-type APEC O18 isolated from a deceased turkey presenting signs of colibacillosis | Lab. collection |
| χ7503                 | Wild-type APEC O1 isolated from a deceased chick presenting signs of colibacillosis | Lab. collection |
| χ7615                 | ΔecpA::cat, Cm^R                 | χ7503                              | This study       |
| χ7616                 | ΔecpD::cat, Cm^R                 | χ7503                              | This study       |
| χ7617                 | ΔecpA::cat ΔecpD::km, Cm^R, Km^R | χ7615                              | This study       |
| χ7744                 | χ7615 (ΔecpA) complemented with pMAT9, Cm^R, Amp^R | χ7615                              | This study       |
| χ7745                 | χ7616 (ΔecpD) complemented with pDB5, Cm^R, Am^R | χ7616                              | This study       |

| Plasmids              |                                    |                                    |                  |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------|
| pKD46                 | Am^R, λ, Red recombinase expression, Temperature sensitive plasmid. |                                    | [21]             |
| pKD3                  | Plasmid containing the Cm cassette  |                                    | [21]             |
| pKD4                  | Plasmid containing the Km cassette  |                                    | [21]             |
| pMAT9                 | ecpAB in pSE380, Am^R              | pSE380                             | [4]              |
| pDB5                  | ecpD in pBR322, Am^R               | pBR322                             | [6]              |

Materials and Methods

Ethics Statement

Infection of chickens was performed in accordance with protocols approved by the Arizona State University (ASU) Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) in dedicated facilities at the Biodesign Institute, ASU (ASU IACUC Protocol number 1168R).

During the experiment, chicks were regularly monitored four times a day by our team and the facility animal caretakers, and further inspected by an ASU veterinarian. Chickens were monitored for death as an endpoint. However, any moribund chicks (very sick and no motility, obviously in pain and showing signs of severe and enduring distress) were euthanized by CO2 asphyxiation to minimize suffering of these animals.

Bacterial Strains, Plasmids and Growth Conditions

The list and characteristics of *Escherichia coli* strains and plasmids used in this study are in Table 1. A collection of 166 *E. coli* strains isolated from chickens and turkeys presenting signs of colibacillosis [12] [This study, kindly provided by Dr. John Fairbrother (University of Montreal), and the APEC strain χ7122 [13] were used to study the distribution of the *ecp* gene among APEC using PCR. The APEC strain χ7503 from the serogroup O1 contains four plasmids (∼114 kb, ∼105 kb, ∼76.5 kb, and ∼55 kb); it was PCR-tested positive for six CoLV plasmid-associated genes (*iss, cai, ompT, iroN, iutA, and tsh*) that play a major role in virulence of APEC [12] and for colicin and siderophore production using methods previously described [19]. Unless otherwise stated, bacteria were routinely grown in Luria Bertani (LB) broth or on MacConkey agar supplemented with 0.1% glucose and 1% lactose respectively at 37°C. Strains were stored as stock cultures at −80°C in peptone-glycerol medium. Antibiotic susceptibility of strains was tested on LB-agar plates with and without antibiotics and on Muller Hinton agar using antibiotic disks. Antibiotics were added, as required, at the following concentrations (μg/ml): kanamycin (30); chloramphenicol (50); and ampicillin (100).

PCR Amplification, Sequencing and Computational Analysis

PCR amplification of DNA was performed using Gotaq® DNA Polymerase (Promega). PCR reaction products were resolved on a 1% agarose gel and visualized with Syber-green staining with UV or blue light source. Detection of *ecpA* in 167 APEC isolates and CoLV plasmid-associated genes (*iss, cai, ompT, iroN, iutA, and tsh*) in χ7503 was performed by PCR amplification using primers specified in Table 2 and as previously described [12]. For comparison purposes, the sequence of the operon *ecpABCDE* was derived from the whole genomic DNA of APEC χ7122 (NZ_HE963883.1), CFT073 (NC_004431.1), and E2348/69 (FM180568.1). BLAST programs [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov] were used to carefully review, confirm the annotation of every gene and compare between sequences.

For sequencing the *ecp* upstream region that includes the *ecp* promoter from selected APEC strains, DNA templates were
generated by PCR using primers specified in Table 2. The PCR products were purified from the agarose gel by using Qiaquick Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen) and sequenced by the DNA laboratory Sequencing Core at Arizona State University (https://sols.asu.edu/about-us/labs/dna). The sequence data have been deposited in the GenBank database under Accession numbers: (KF366455); \( \chi 7499 \) (KF366456); \( \chi 7237 \) (KF366457); \( \chi 7244 \) (KF366458); \( \chi 7503 \) (KF366459); \( \chi 7507 \) (KF366460); \( \chi 7514 \) (KF366461); \( \chi 7516 \) (KF366462); \( \chi 7258 \) (KF366463); \( \chi 7520 \) (KF366464); \( \chi 7233 \) (KF366465); \( \chi 7521 \) (KF366466); \( \chi 7234 \) (KF366467); \( \chi 7254 \) (KF366468); \( \chi 7523 \) (KF366469); \( \chi 7528 \) (KF366470); \( \chi 7533 \) (KF366471); \( \chi 7537 \) (KF366472); \( \chi 7543 \) (KF366473); \( \chi 7545 \) (KF366474); \( \chi 7554 \) (KF366475); \( \chi 7555 \) (KF366476); \( \chi 7560 \) (KF366477); \( \chi 16269 \) (KF907798); \( \chi 16423 \) (KF907799); \( \chi 16924 \) (KF907800); \( \chi 16998 \) (KF907801); \( \chi 17002 \) (KF907802); \( \chi 17162 \) (KF907803); \( \chi 17270 \) (KF907804); \( \chi 17310 \) (KF907805); \( \chi 17328 \) (KF907806); \( \chi 17343 \) (KF907807); \( \chi 17504 \) (KF907808); \( \chi 17669 \) (KF907809); \( \chi 17713 \) (KF907810); \( \chi 17840 \) (KF907811); \( \chi 17914 \) (KF907812); \( \chi 17914 \) (KF907813); and \( \chi 19268 \) (KF907814).

A phylogenetic tree was generated by comparing the nucleotide levels of these sequences and the sequences of APEC available on the public database with those of related sequences of other bacteria obtained from the GenBank database by neighbor-joining (1,000 replicates) using Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis software version 4.0 (MEGA4) (http://megasoftware.net/). Bootstrap values are indicated at branch positions. GenBank accession numbers of nucleotide sequences of APEC and other bacteria used

---

**Figure 6. Swimming ability of bacteria in semi-solid LB medium.** \( \chi 7503 \) and its ecp-derivatives were compared for their swimming ability in semi-solid LB agar at both 28°C and 37°C. The data represent means and standard deviations of three independent experiments. Asterisks show significant difference versus the wild-type strain (*, P<0.05).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0086565.g006

**Figure 7. Pathogenicity of APEC \( \chi 7503 \) and its ecp-derivative strains in 1-day-old chicks.** The survival percentages were evaluated for groups of chicks inoculated subcutaneously at 7 days after inoculation with either wild-type strain \( \chi 7503 \) or its ecp-derivative strains. A group of chicks inoculated with PBS was used as a control.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0086565.g007
in this study are: \( \gamma \)7122 (NZ_HE962388.1), CFT073 (NC_004431.1), APEC O1 (NC_008563.1); BEN374 (JN377376); BEN79 (JN377376); CB9615 (CP000970); SMS-3-5 (CP001846); E2348/69 (FM180568.1); DH1 (CP001637); UMN026 (CU928163.1); 789 (JN377380); TW14359 (CP001368); IMT2125 (NZ_HE964769); E24377A (CP000800); APEC O78 (NC_020163.1); BL21(DE3) (NC_012892.2); 55989 (CU928145.2); 11368 (AP010953); Shigella boydii Sb227 (NR_076357.1); K. pneumoniae Ec18 (NZ_HF536482.1).

Construction of Mutants

Genes ecpA and/or ecpD were deleted in one of the APEC strains tested, named \( \gamma \)7503 (Table 1), using \( \lambda \), Red-recombineering technology [21], a one-step gene inactivation method using primers listed in Table 2. The strain \( \gamma \)7503 was selected because it tested ecpA\(^+\) and ECP\(^+\), the strain was from the serogroup O1 (Fig. 2), one of the most prevalent serotypes among APEC isolates [1], and tested positive for most genes associated with APEC as determined above. Insertions and deletions in generated mutant strains were verified by PCR using the primers in Table 2. The LPS profile of strains was evaluated by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and visualized by silver staining [22], to eliminate rough variants if they arise. Strains were verified for their similar growth and antibiotic sensitivity.

Bacterial Interaction with HeLa Cells

HeLa cells at 70–80% confluence were cultivated in 24-well tissue culture plates containing glass coverslips and DMEM supplemented with 10% bovine fetal serum (Gibco Invitrogen, USA) and 1% antibiotics (Gibco Invitrogen, USA). After one wash with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) pH 7.4, 1.0 mL of fresh medium (DMEM supplemented with 2% fetal bovine serum) was added to the cell monolayers [9]. APEC strains were grown overnight in DMEM broth without shaking at 28°C. Bacteria were diluted 1:100 in the medium contained in the microplates. After an incubation time of 6 hours at 37°C, cells were washed with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) to remove non-adherent bacteria. Cells were fixed with 2% formaldehyde and were either stained using a Giemsa staining kit or used for immunofluorescence microscopy as described below [5].

To examine the ability of anti-ECP antibodies to inhibit adherence, the bacterial inoculum was pre-incubated for 30 min with 1:10, 1:50, and 1:100 dilutions of the anti-ECP before addition to the cells.

Biofilm Assay

To measure biofilm formation in bacteria, assays were performed in 96-well polystyrene microtiter plates (Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ). An O/N standing LB culture of the strains was diluted 1:100 in fresh media of either LB or DMEM low glucose with 0.5% Mannose. Aliquots of 200 μL for

---

**Table 2. Primers used in this study.**

| Primer | Sequence | Target gene/purpose | Reference |
|--------|----------|---------------------|-----------|
| ecpA-L | GTAACGGTTTTACCGGCAT | ecpA (screening) | This study |
| ecpA-R | GATCATACGGTATGCCCAG | ecpA (screening) | This study |
| G60    | GTTCTGGCAATAGCTCTGTTTTACCGGCGTGATACGGCTGCTTC | ecpA (mutagenesis) | [5] |
| G61    | TTAACTGGTTCCAGGTCGCGTCGAACTGTACGCTAACCATATGAATATCCTCCTTAG | ecpA (mutagenesis) | [5] |
| G90    | AACAGCAATATTAGGGGCGTG | ecpA (screening mutant) | [5] |
| G91    | GGTCAACGGCGACAGGGGAGAAG | ecpA (mutating mutant) | [5] |
| ecpD-cat/km-L | GTGCCGCGACATACAGGCGCTCGGGCTTGAGGCTGAGGCTGCTTC | ecpD (mutagenesis) | This study |
| ecpD-cat/km-R | CATGGTTGGGGCTGGTACGGACAGGAGGAGAAGCATATGATTATATCTCCTCTTAG | ecpD (mutagenesis) | This study |
| ecpD-V-F | ATAGGCTGGATTACATG | ecpD (screening mutant) | This study |
| ecpD-V-R | GTGGCCTGGAAACTCAGGCA | ecpD (screening mutant) | This study |

doil:10.1371/journal.pone.0086565.t002
each dilution were dispensed per well into a microtiter plate. Each strain was tested in multiples of twelve, with wells containing sterile medium used as negative controls. After incubation for 48 h at 28°C, biofilms were quantified using Crystal Violet staining. The absorbance was measured at 570 nm in an absorbance spectrophotometer (SpectraMax M2, Molecular Devices). All tests were carried out at least three times, and the results were averaged.

Biofilm-associated bacteria for evaluation of ECP production assay was performed in 24-well plates (Nunc) with glass cover slips. A 20-μl aliquot of a standing O/N culture of bacteria grown in LB broth was added to the wells containing 500 μl DMEM low glucose containing 0.5% mannose and incubated at 28°C for 48 h. Wells were washed three times with PBS to remove unbound bacteria and the biofilms were fixed with 2% formalin and processed for IFM or TEM as described below.

Detection of ECP Expression by Immunoblotting

Overnight bacterial cultures obtained from DMEM were adjusted to an absorbance of 1.1 at OD600. Equal numbers of bacteria were used to prepare whole-cell extracts after treatment with acidified water (pH 1.2), boiling for 5 min, addition of SDS-PAGE sample buffer and neutralization with 1 N NaOH as previously described [5]. The samples were electrophoresed in 16% polyacrylamide gels under denaturing SDS-PAGE conditions. Detection of DnaK with anti-DnaK antiserum (Sigma Aldrich) served as a control for equal amounts of protein loaded onto the gels. The proteins were electroblotted onto PVDF membranes, blocked with 1% dry milk, and the immobilized proteins were bound with primary antibodies against ECP, followed by incubation with goat anti-rabbit IgG conjugated to peroxidase (Sigma Aldrich). The substrate used was a chemoluminescent reagent (Amersham).

Detection of surface expression of ECP

Surface expression of ECP in biofilm- or cell-associated bacteria was visualized via immunofluorescence and TEM using Rabbit anti-EcpA antibodies [5]. Immunofluorescence was accomplished using Alexa Fluor conjugated anti-Rabbit antibodies (Green) at a concentration of 1:7,500 in PBS. Bacterial cells were stained with Propidium Iodide. ECP was visualized under TEM using Goat-anti-Rabbit IgG with 10 nm colloidal gold (MP Biomedicals) at a concentration of 1:250.

Ultrastructural Analysis of ECP Expression by Electron Microscopy

DMEM bacterial cultures were spotted onto 300-mesh carbon-Formvar copper grids, negatively stained with 10 μl of 1% phosphotungstic acid (pH 7.4) for 5 min, and analyzed for the presence of pili by transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Immuno-EM studies were performed to confirm the presence of ECP by incubating the bacteria for 1 h with rabbit anti-ECP antibody (diluted 1:10) in PBS containing 10% BSA and 1 h incubation with goat anti-rabbit IgG conjugated to 10-nm gold particles diluted 1:10 (BB International) as previously described [23].

Motility Assays

Isolated colonies of each strain from an O/N fresh LB plates were inoculated with sterile toothpicks on swimming plates (1.0% Difco Bactotryptone, 0.5% Difco Yeast Extract, 0.5% NaCl, and 0.3% Difco Agar) prepared the same day and dried for 6 hours before inoculation. Plates were incubated either O/N at room temperature or 6 hours at 37°C. Swimming halo diameters were measured. At least six colonies from each strain were tested, and the test was repeated at least twice.

Evaluation of the Virulence of the Strains in vivo

Specific-pathogen-free fertile White Leghorn chicken eggs were obtained from Charles River Labs (Wilmington, MA) and hatched at the animal facilities of the BioDesign Institute. During the study chickens were housed in isolators equipped with HEPA filters in the BSL2 facilities.

Lethality for 1-day-old chicks was assessed by subcutaneously inoculating 5 groups of 10 1-day-old chicks with 0.1 ml of either PBS or an overnight broth culture of strains (about 10^8 CFU) [24]. Death/survival was recorded for 7 days after inoculation.

The difference in the abilities of strains to disseminate in the bloodstream and internal organs of chicks was also determined. Briefly, 3 groups of 7 day-old chicks were subcutaneously inoculated with 10^8 CFU of either the wild-type, ΔecpD mutant, or its complemented strain respectively. Birds were observed every two hours and euthanized at 12 h post-infection by CO2 asphyxiation and then necropsied. Blood was collected in heparinized syringes and organs (spleen and liver) were aseptically removed and homogenized in PBS, the presence and number of bacteria were determined by plating serial dilutions of samples on MacConkey agar plates.

Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by Bonferroni’s multiple-comparison test (GraphPad Prism software, version 6.01). Differences between average values were also tested for significance by performing an unpaired, two-sided Student t test. The levels of significance (P values) are reported and values ≤0.05 were taken to be significant.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Schematic of the Genetic organization of the ecp operon of APEC 7122. Arrows represent genes of the ecp operon. The numbers inside of the arrows represent the size of the genes in base pairs (bp).

Table S1 Percent sequence identity and positive substitutions of ECP protein sequences of 7122 compared to two ECP+ strain (CFT073) [6] and E2348/69 [5,14] and one ECP- strain (APEC-O1) (NC_008563.1) (this study).
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