Aspects of Selective Laser Melting Technology Considered in the Preparation of Trabecular Structures for Bone Tissue Substitution
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In this paper, the possibilities of selective laser melting (SLM), one of metal additive manufacturing technologies, in the preparation of trabecular structures are discussed. Despite great advantages in geometrical freedom, there are specific process-inherent aspects that must be considered before the production of such structures. To verify SLM capabilities, we tested different orientations (horizontal and vertical) and thicknesses (0.2-4.0 mm) of single struts. Significant irregularities in strut thickness and deviations from the designed cross-sectional area were observed. Horizontal struts showed greater geometrical deviations. Based on our observations, a trabecular structure was prepared with all struts inclined 45° from the building platform. Due to the 72% porosity, mechanical properties approached those of the bone, which is beneficial for the application of such structure in orthopaedics for bone tissue substitution.

Keywords: additive manufacturing, SLM, Ti6Al4V, bone, trabecular structure

1 Introduction

Nowadays, bone and joint replacement surgeries are being performed with a higher rate due to the increase of the average population age and more active lifestyle. Consequently, implants with improved performance are demanded. Especially in young patients who expose implants to greater mechanical stress over a longer period of time, implant longevity is desired to avoid reoperations necessary in case of implant failure [1].

Metals are the best choice for load-bearing implants because of their suitable mechanical properties. Among other biocompatible metals (e.g. stainless steel, cobalt alloys), titanium and its alloys are preferred thanks to their good stiffness-to-weight ratio and exceptional corrosion resistance. Appropriate stiffness of an implant is the main prerequisite for its reliability and durability [2].

Only fully dense metallic implants have been used for the replacement and stabilization of damaged bone tissue until recently; however, such implants have suffered from aseptic loosening or complete failure due to the stress-shielding effect. The stress-shielding effect results from the significantly higher elastic modulus of an implant that causes the nearby bone to be loaded insufficiently [3]. Consequently, bone resorbs, which leads to micromovements of an implant at the bone-implant interface and eventual premature failure. A lack of interfacial bonding arises also from the fact that commonly used biometals are inert, so do not chemically bond with the bone tissue. Only mechanical fixation is thus provided. To enhance the fixation, two approaches are possible: (1) an appropriate surface treatment inducing bioactivity (bonding of material with bone tissue); and/or (2) a favourable implant structure allowing the bone tissue to grow into the material, thus providing biological fixation [1].

Regarding the above-mentioned problems faced by orthopaedic surgery, cellular metals offer a promising solution. With porosity introduced to the material, effective implant stiffness is reduced; at a suitable porosity degree, a bone-like stiffness can be even achieved. Also, bone tissue is allowed to grow into the implant if open pores are available [4].

Despite a large number of techniques allowing preparation of metallic porous structures, additive manufacturing (AM) has been a breakthrough [5]. It enables to create net-shape objects while allowing to control their internal structure in each part of their volume. A wide range of cell morphologies can be applied to obtain highly porous cellular structures [6]. Mechanical properties of such structures can be finely tuned to match that of a particular patient’s bone. Future expectations are accurate copies of bone tissue mapped by medical imaging techniques (e.g. CT, MRI) [7]. Selective Laser Melting (SLM) studied in this paper is one of the AM technologies, which works on the principle of selective melting of powdered metal by a laser beam [8].

SLM has been already applied for the preparation of porous structures from biocompatible metals.
Many cell morphologies were investigated (e.g. cubic [9, 10], octahedron [11], rhombic dodecahedron [12], gyroid [11, 13]), their mechanical properties were measured [12, 14], and biocompatibility was verified by in vitro [15, 16] or even in vivo tests [17, 18]. However, not many papers have dealt with studies of single struts forming the trabecular structure. Weißmann et al. [19] compared the effect of two AM technologies – SLM and electron beam melting (EBM) – in performance of single struts. However, the study was done on specimens consisting of four struts. Round struts with 0° and 45° inclination were tested, with diameters between 1.1 and 1.7 mm only. Weißmann et al. demonstrated higher accuracy of SLM struts, related to the generally higher roughness of EBM specimens. Also two studies on solely EBM appeared [20, 21]. Therefore, our goal was to extend the data in this weakly researched area of single struts preparation, to characterize the structure and properties of the prepared struts and to use these findings as a basis for future modelling of specific trabecular structures.

In this paper, we report the results of the initial study aimed at describing specific process-inherent aspects that must be considered before the production of trabecular structures by SLM. To verify SLM capabilities, we tested different orientations and thicknesses of single struts being the cornerstones of trabecular structures. Surface condition and geometrical precision were evaluated. Consequently, based on our observations, an entire trabecular structure was produced and its mechanical performance was tested.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Design of struts

As a starting step for the development of trabecular structures, single struts were designed. To be directly usable for the evaluation of mechanical properties in tension, the struts were designed in the shape of a flat tensile specimen (technical drawing in Fig. 1a). The width of the struts was kept at a constant value of 0.5 mm. Values of the gage thickness ranged from 0.2 to 4.0 mm.

To assess the influence of strut inclination with respect to the building direction, two basic orientations were used for this initial study: vertical and horizontal. For a clear idea, the relation between the strut orientation and the building direction is schematically shown in Fig. 1b. For horizontal orientation, only struts with a width of 0.5 mm and higher were printed successfully. Thinner struts deformed by the action of thermally-induced stresses.

After the characterization of the single struts, a whole trabecular structure with a rhombic dodecahedron unit cell. The struts were designed 0.24 mm in thickness and 1 mm in length. The structure was oriented in such a way that all struts were inclined by 45° with respect to the SLM building plate.

![Fig. 1](http://www.scopus.com)
2.4 Evaluation of mechanical properties

Mechanical properties of the prepared trabecular structure were measured under compressive loading using a universal loading machine LabTest 5.2508P1-VM. Measurement was carried out at room temperature at a deformation rate of 0.001 s\(^{-1}\). Four cubic specimens (\(a = 5\) mm) were tested. Tensile properties of individual struts were measured at our partner institution by specialized miniature tensile tests and are the content of another publication.

3 Results and Discussion

To understand the building of trabecular structures by SLM and their properties, this work deals with only single struts being their cornerstones. Average trabeculae thickness in humans ranges between 60 and 200 µm (~60 µm for iliac trabecular bone [22], 180–200 µm for metacarpal head [23]). Such low dimensions are already at the edge of SLM resolution. Therefore, thicknesses in the range from 0.2 mm to 4 mm were studied to identify general trends.

3.1 Differences between vertical and horizontal struts

The orientation of struts in the SLM chamber influences the building process and surface characteristics of struts. Figure 1b schematically shows that vertical struts are formed by a much higher number of layers. However, the area melted by laser beam in each layer is significantly lower. Conversely, horizontal struts are built in less layers, but of much larger area.

The difference in specimen area per layer and number of layers yields also differences in specimen surface. The characteristic surfaces of the vertical and horizontal struts are displayed in Fig. 2. In both cases, spherical particles adhering to the surface are observed. The diameters of these spheres fall into the range of powder size distribution, which suggests that the adherent spheres are unmelted particles of input powder that adhere to the strut surface by thermal diffusion effects or partial melting [24]. Very small spheres can be attributed to the ‘balling’ effect, which increases with melt pool inconsistency and changes of wettability [25]. Such particles are more abundant in horizontal struts (Fig. 2b), where longer scan vectors yield in lower residual heat effect, lower temperature of a melt pool and thus decrease in melt flowability [26].

Beneath the adherent spherical particles, smooth surface with overlapping melt pools can be observed. In horizontal struts (Fig. 2b), the melt pools are shallower because heat dissipation by previously solidified material is higher with larger specimen area per layer. That results in lower line energy and so lower melt pool depth [27]. Moreover, for shorter scan vector length, preheating from one vector to his adjacent neighbour yields deeper melt pool penetration and a larger heat affected zone [28].
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Last but not least, specimen orientation influences the formation of defects. The most common type of defects present in SLM Ti-6Al-4V alloy are so-called lack-of-fusion (LOF) defects. Such voids result from inappropriate interconnection of a melted material between individual successive layers and/or adjacent scan tracks. The LOF can be attributed to non-optimal set-up of process parameters resulting in melt pool width lower than the hatching distance or melt pool depth lower than the layer size. However, there might be also other effects that can disturb the thermal field [29, 30]. It has been shown [31] that irregularities formed by laser melting at the top of each layer can gradually accumulate during sample build-up layer by layer and then, at some point, defects are formed in between certain layers. If we consider only interlayer
defects, we can say that larger defects are formed in horizontally built samples with respect to the larger interfaces between layers. The larger the area melted in one layer, the higher is the probability of melt disturbances, accumulation of irregularities and formation of defects. An extreme example of such interlayer LOF defect is given in Fig. 3. In this case, defects accumulated in between layers approximately in the middle of the strut (Fig. 3a) and led to the interconnection between these layers almost across the whole strut length. Therefore, a channel-like void was observed on the fracture surface (Fig. 3b).

3.2 Dimensional inaccuracies

Due to the low thickness of single struts, close to the resolution limit of SLM, dimensional inaccuracies pronounce significantly. Although the width of all struts was designed as 0.5 mm, the actual widths varied. The average error in width value was 8% for vertical struts and 22% for horizontal struts. Furthermore, Fig. 4a visualises errors in thickness for the struts of all designed thicknesses. It is clear that the errors are higher for horizontal struts. Similar conclusion was drawn by Hanzl et al. [32]. Therefore, considering the errors in both dimensions, total errors in cross-sectional area (Fig. 4b) can reach up to 50%.

![Fig. 3 LOF defect in between layers of a horizontal strut](image)

![Fig. 4 Dimensional errors in (a) the thickness and (b) total cross-sectional area of the studied struts](image)
The reason for the higher dimensional inaccuracy of horizontal struts can be explained by their orientation within the ‘powder bed’. Powder particles that get into touch with a hot melt adhere to the final solidified part, as it is shown in Fig. 2. That occurs at the lower base of 3D-printed parts and along their entire surface. The lower base of products built by SLM has the lowest surface quality. That can be explained by the direct contact of hot melt with loose powder. Moreover, under the action of gravity, the melt flows into a free space in between loose powder particles and so a larger volume of loose powder is affected. What concerns the circumference of SLM parts, a contour laser track that set bounds of each layer can partially melt adjacent powder particles and adhesion by thermal diffusion takes place, too. Therefore, in case of vertical struts, adhered particles can be observed along the whole circumference and in case of those horizontal, predominantly on one side; the one which represents the lower base.

Great scattering of the data in the charts in Fig. 4 can be noticed. Such scattering tells of the low repeatability of the SLM process in case of thin struts. The cause may be the different location of struts in the SLM chamber. The SLM chamber is virtually divided into a chess-board with a square size of 5x5 mm². If a strut is located inside one square, it is melted continuously in one scanning step. However, if it is spread between two squares, then it is melted in two separate steps. That may yield in higher dimensional inaccuracy. Also, some irregularities in the uniform distribution of powder layers during powder raking, inert gas feed and other hardly predictable conditions may play their role. The thinnest struts (0.2 and 0.3 mm) shows the largest scattering because we move around the edge of SLM resolution and so the SLM result is unpredictable. Figure 4 have shown that some struts were printed almost precisely, while others deviated from their designed dimension by almost 50%.

When we look at single struts, even irregularities in the uniform shape can be observed. Two examples of a horizontal and a vertical strut are given in Fig. 5. While vertically built struts are more or less regular in shape (Fig. 5a), geometrical irregularities in horizontally built struts are obvious; the thickness of the horizontal struts varies over their length (indicated in Fig. 5b). We determined deviations from average thickness values and summarized them into a chart shown in Fig. 6a. The deviations decrease with increasing dimensions.

The first source of these deviations is the additive manufacture itself due to the gradual processing of input material. For the ideal state, we can model logical deviations using an assumption that the laser beam forms a spot of 200 µm in diameter and the spot moves by a hatching distance of 80 µm. The minimal thickness of struts is thus 200 µm; such struts are formed by a single scan vector. For larger products, the thickness is gradually increased by steps of 80 µm because the laser scanning is always accomplished in a definite number of scan vectors. If a strut of 0.3 mm in thickness is about to be produced, 3 scan vectors are necessary. However, 3 vectors will result in a thickness of 360 µm (1st vector → 200 µm, 2nd vector → 280 µm, 3rd vector → 360 µm). The difference between the designed and obtained thickness is thus 60 µm, which already yields a logical error of 20%. With increasing thickness, the error decreases (see Fig. 6b).
Specimens of certain thickness values can be theoretically printed precisely; such values are represented by local minima in the graph. However, in reality, the spot size may be different based on thermal conditions dictated by the combination of numerous process parameters.

The general trend of the deviation evolution with increasing thickness in Fig. 6a is similar to that in Fig. 6b. Positions of local minima are comparable. Nevertheless, the deviations are more pronounced. In vertical struts, the deviations are increased by adhered powder particles and melt pools overlapping between adjacent layers. In horizontal struts, the deviations from required dimensions are strongly increased by the unevenness of the lower struts base caused by overhangs of solidified melt with large amount of affected powder particles adhering to them.

Based on the observed deviations, it can be expected that also mechanical properties of single struts will show certain deviations from expected values. Such deviations will be more pronounced in case of horizontal struts in logical agreement with their lower geometrical accuracy. Also, the thinner the struts, the larger will be the influence of uneven surface with adherent powder particles. Figure 6a has shown that in thin horizontal struts the geometrical deviation can reach up to |30-50|%. If there is a local reduction in load-bearing area by 50%, a strut will be first plastically deformed in this weakest part and so its yield strength will be reduced to a half value. In vertical struts, the surface is more regular. Local deviations keep below 10% for all thicknesses above 0.5 mm. Therefore, the surface would not effect mechanical properties so significantly.

3.3 Trabecular structure

Figure 7 shows a SEM image of the prepared trabecular structure. Similarly to single struts (Fig. 2), many adherent powder particles are visible on the strut surface. Consequently, the actual average strut thickness was higher than the designed one. The strut thickness was determined by optical measurement as 0.38±0.03 mm. The total porosity was then determined gravimetrically as 72.3%.

Trabecular bone reaches porosity in the range of 50–90%. Depending on the porosity, yield strength values can be attained from 4 to 12 MPa [33]. The measurement of mechanical properties provided the value of compressive yield strength of 55.3±1.7 MPa. The trabecular structure collapsed at a stress of 80.7±2.1 MPa. Then, the compressive stress-strain curves showed a plateau and a stress increase due to the compaction characteristic for trabecular structures [34]. Compared to fully dense titanium showing a yield strength of 560±5 MPa [35], introducing porosity have brought about a tenfold decrease in yield strength. Comparably, the elastic modulus decreased from 110 GPa (ASTM B265) to 0.98±0.09 GPa. Such stiffness is already suitable for replacement of trabecular bone which elastic modulus can reach 0.1-5 GPa [36].
4 Conclusions

The preparation of single struts and their examination have manifested significant deviations of actual strut dimensions over those designed. Studying the range of different strut thicknesses revealed that geometrical inaccuracies pronounce more and more with lower cross-sectional area of struts. When strut orientation is considered, higher dimensional deviations occur in horizontal struts compared to those vertical. Based on these observations, general recommendations for the development of trabecular structures can be drawn. If possible, it is suggested to orientate trabecular structures in such a manner that their struts are preferentially oriented vertically, so that the geometrical deviations are minimized and better mechanical performance can be expected. In this work, we prepared a trabecular structure in which all struts were inclined by 45° with respect to the SLM building plate. Porosity of 72.3% led to a tenfold decrease in the elastic modulus and compressive yield strength of dense titanium so that it approached mechanical properties of trabecular bone.
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