1. INTRODUCTION

The Yale/San Juan Southern Proper Motion (SPM) Program was originally intended as a southern-sky complement to the Lick Northern Proper Motion (NPM) program (Klemola et al. 1987). Photographic $BV$ photometry, positions, and absolute proper motions would be determined for a significant fraction of the southern sky, as outlined by van Altena et al. (1990, 1994). The photographic plates on which the SPM is based extend to approximately $V=18$. Previous versions of the SPM Catalog were derived from PDS microdensitometer measures of these plates. Limited by the throughput of the PDS system, inclusion of all measurable images on the plates was impossible. Instead, an input list was compiled, consisting of stars of special interest gleaned from the literature, all measurable galaxies (needed for the absolute proper-motion reference frame), and a randomly selected sample of stars intended for kinematic study.

Such an input list was used to construct the first SPM Catalog, which covered an area of 720 deg$^2$ at the Southern Galactic Pole (SGP) and included roughly 50,000 stars and 9000 galaxies (Platais et al. 1998, hereafter Paper II). The second SPM Catalog extended this area to a 3700 deg$^2$ band in declination, from approximately $-20^\circ$ to $-45^\circ$ but excluding the Galactic plane fields. This second catalog, the SPM2, also included a rederivation of the measures that composed the SPM1 Catalog. The SPM2 contained 287,000 stars and 35,000 extragalactic objects. This represents less than 3% of the total number of measurable stars on these plates.

The present catalog, SPM3, is based on the same plates and covers the same area as the SPM2. However, the plates have now been rescanned using the Precision Measuring Machine (PMM) of the US Naval Observatory (USNO), Flagstaff Station (Monet et al. 2003 includes a description). This allows all identifiable images to be measured, albeit to a slightly reduced precision relative to that attainable with the Yale PDS. The result is a catalog of absolute proper motions, positions, and $BV$ photometry that is approximately complete to $V=17.5$. (We estimate the incompleteness due to confusion caused by overlapping images and unidentified high proper motion stars to be 5%). The SPM3 contains a total of 10.7 million objects over the same 3700 deg$^2$ covered by the SPM2.

As with earlier versions of the SPM Catalog, an explicit correction has been made for magnitude equation—the magnitude-dependent systematic shift in image position that afflicts all photographic material to some extent. Among the various photographically based proper-motion catalogs currently available, the SPM is unique in this important regard. Magnitude equation and its correction is discussed in detail by Girard et al. (1998, hereafter Paper I). Whereas earlier versions of the SPM Catalog used external galaxies to set the zero point of the absolute proper-motion frame, the SPM3 is on the proper-motion system of the International Celestial Reference System (ICRS) via the Hipparcos catalog (ESA 1997).

In the following section the plate material and plate measurement are described. In §3 the astrometric and photometric...
The PMM uses a two-dimensional CCD detector (1312 × 1033 useful pixels) to snap a series of “footprint” images of the photographic plate. The plates, holding the plate, is repositioned precisely before each exposure in a manner such that the entire 17 inch plate is scanned by an array of 26 × 34 such footprints. There is a small amount of overlap between adjacent footprints. The effective pixel size is 0.75 arcmin.

Each footprint, consisting of an array of transmission values, is processed in real time by the PMM software pipeline. A “blob”-detecting routine identifies groups of pixels above a locally determined sky background. A five-parameter functional fit is then performed, by least squares, to the transmission values, \( T(x, y) \), minus background, \( B_T \). The circularly symmetric function used in the PMM pipeline is the following:

\[
T(x,y) - B_T = A \left( e^{a \left[ (x-x_0)^2 + (y-y_0)^2 - r_0^2 \right]} + 1 \right)^{-1},
\]

where \( A \) is the image’s amplitude, \( x_0 \) and \( y_0 \) are its position, and \( r_0 \) and \( a \) represent a saturation radius and a measure of the wings’ extent, respectively. The values of \( x \) and \( y \) within the footprint are simply the CCD pixel coordinates multiplied by the nominal pixel scale.

The position of the CCD at the time the footprint is taken is measured accurately by a laser interferometer. This is combined with the derived image center, \( (x_0, y_0) \), to yield the plate coordinates of each image detected and centered. At the time the SPM plates were scanned, the PMM pipeline made a scalar correction to the nominal pixel scale in each footprint. We found this was inadequate and instead determined a general linear correction (with relative scale, rotation, and skew terms) per footprint by minimizing the differences in position for multiply measured stars in the overlap regions of the footprints. (Subsequently, the PMM pipeline has also been modified to include a field-dependent “refocus” correction based on the overlap images.)

Finally, the PMM software calculates additional image parameters. These include a magnitude estimate based on the integrated flux of the pixels used to perform the functional fit. It is this instrumental magnitude estimate that will be used in our photometric reduction.
3. SPM CATALOG CONSTRUCTION

The goal of the SPM3 is to be as complete as possible to a limiting magnitude determined by the plate material. The most obvious approach is to construct the catalog from the complete list of images detected by the PMM software, setting a cutoff at some specified signal-to-noise threshold level. Unfortunately, the presence of the grating images (in both long and short exposures), as well as a large number of spurious detections and multiple detections, makes it difficult to implement such a straightforward approach.

Instead, we have chosen to first construct an input catalog from existing, external catalogs; namely, the Hipparcos catalog (ESA 1997), the Tycho-2 catalog (Høg et al. 2000), the USNO CCD Astrograph Catalog 0.9 (UCAC0.9; see Zacharias et al. 2000 for a description of the UCAC1), and the Two Micron All Sky Survey (2MASS) point-source and extended-source catalogs. The external catalogs are merged in the order listed above to provide an input catalog that is as complete as possible.

Of course, these input catalogs contain substantial overlap, with almost all Hipparcos stars being in the Tycho-2 catalog, with the majority of these, as well as almost all UCAC stars, being contained in the 2MASS catalogs. For the purposes of preparing our master input catalog, duplicates were identified by simple positional coincidence using a matching tolerance of 1′.

With the input catalog in hand, the next step is to identify each PMM-detected image with an image system (e.g., long-exposure, central order) and a specific source from the input catalog. This is accomplished by forming vector differences between the (xy)-coordinates of the brightest PMM-measured images and the predicted plate coordinates of Tycho-2 stars, knowing the plate scale, orientation, and approximate plate-center tangent point. The discrete clusters of points in this vector-difference space make it possible to identify the various image-system identifications. Based on these preliminary image-system identifications and the predicted plate coordinates of the Tycho-2 stars in the input catalog, approximate transformations are found relating each of the image systems to celestial coordinates. The transformations are applied to each image system and an improved matching with the Tycho-2 stars is made by position, and from these matches a new set of image-system transformations is derived. The form of the final transformation equations is a general cubic polynomial.

Applying the transformations to all images detected on the plate, a spatial matching is performed with the full input catalog. A rather large matching tolerance of 100 µm (5′.5) is used. (A cleaning of improperly matched objects is made later.) All measured images, through third order in both long and short exposure, for each input catalog star are extracted and saved, along with their input-catalog identification. Once the measurements have been extracted for all four plates in an SPM field (B and V plate pairs at first and second epoch), the photometric and astrometric processing is performed on a field-by-field basis.

3.1. Photometric Reduction

The PMM software provides an instrumental magnitude index, p, for each image detected and centered. The calibration of these photometric indices to B, V estimates is a four-step process.

First, the indices are made nominally linear with true magnitude by use of the grating constant, which is assumed to be field and magnitude independent. A polynomial of up to fifth order plus magnitude-field terms is adopted for the form of the “linear” magnitude, m:

\[ m = a_1p + a_2p^2 + a_3p^3 + a_4p^4 + a_5p^5 + a_6px + a_7py. \]  (2)

The coefficients, \( a_k \), are determined by least squares using the condition that the difference between the first-order and central-order magnitude estimates for all stars for which both can be measured should be equal to the grating constant. A value of \( m_{(r-1)} - m_{(r=0)} = 3.85 \) is adopted for the grating constant. A separate set of \( a_k \) is determined in the long- and short-exposure systems and then applied to all images in that system. Note that had a constant term been included in equation (2), it would be indeterminable, as would pure field terms. In addition, any deviation in the actual grating constant from the nominal value of 3.85 will result in a nonunity scale term in the linearized magnitudes. All of these terms, which cannot be determined at this stage, are included in the third step of the photometric reduction process.

The second step in the photometric reduction places the short- and long-exposure photometric indices onto a common system. Because of the differences in the way in which the stellar image profiles vary across the plates in these two systems, this transformation allows for the inclusion of up to cubic field terms when they are deemed significant:

\[
m_{\text{long}} - m_{\text{short}} = b_1 + b_2x + b_3y + b_4x^2 + b_5xy + b_6y^2 + b_7x^3 + b_8x^2y + b_9xy^2 + b_{10}y^3.
\]  (3)

In actuality, the constant term in the above expression is redundant, but it is included for computational convenience.

After application of the above transformation to the short-exposure images, the photometric indices of all measured grating orders in both exposures, \( m \), will be on a common system to within a constant, i.e., not a function of magnitude. What remains is to determine the constants for the various grating and exposure systems, i.e., the magnitude difference between the central-order and various higher diffraction orders in both the long and short exposures, and any field dependence these constants might have. This is done in the third step of the photometry calibration process, using calibration reference stars of known magnitudes:

\[
m_{\text{ref}} = c_{1,k} + c_{2}x + c_{3}y + c_{4}x^2 + c_{5}xy + c_{6}y^2 + dm_k,
\]  (4)

where \( k = 1–8 \) indicates the grating-order/exposure system, central order through third order in both the long and short exposure. The reference star magnitudes were originally taken from three sources—the Guide Star Photometric Catalog (Lasker et al. 1988), a B, V CCD sequence taken with the CTIO 0.9 m telescope at the center of each of our SPM fields (specifically taken for the construction of earlier versions of the SPM Catalog; see Paper II), and the Tycho-2 catalog B, V values to provide the necessary leverage on the field terms. After some experimentation we found that the faint end of the calibration could be better determined by also including the (PDS-measured) SPM2 Catalog stars as photometric calibrators, providing thousands of reference stars per plate. Subsequent to this calibration step, multiple magnitude estimates of the same star, from different exposure/diffraction systems, are combined by weighted average using a scheme similar to that
detailed in Paper II. This gives a single $B$ and $V$ estimate per star per epoch.

The first- and second-epoch magnitude estimates are averaged to yield individual $B$ and $V$ estimates per star. Uncertainties are derived from the scatter in the magnitude estimates from the various exposure/diffraction systems and from the two different epochs.

Even with the additional SPM2 photometric reference stars, there remained systematic differences as a function of magnitude when compared with the SPM2 photometry. The bottom panel in Figure 2 shows a comparison between SPM2 and preliminary SPM3 $B$-magnitude estimates for a sample SPM field. The differences in $V$ are not shown but are similar to those in $B$. The large deviations in the magnitude calibrations are undoubtedly rooted in the raw photometric indices. There is a significant difference in the forms of the image-fitting functions used to reduce the PMM measures of the SPM3 and that of the PDS measures of the SPM1 and SPM2. As our photometric calibration pipeline was originally designed for the PDS measures, it is likely the simple application of the same routines to the PMM measures that accounts for the severe magnitude trends in the derived photometry and the SPM2 data are to be considered more reliable.

Thus, as a last step in the photometric reduction of the SPM3 Catalog, a final correction was applied to each star’s $B$ and $V$ estimate. This correction was a moving median, as a function of magnitude, of the differences SPM2 photometry minus SPM3 preliminary photometry, on a field-by-field basis. For each star in the SPM3 input list, the median difference of the 50 stars nearest in magnitude to the target star and in common with the SPM2 was used as a correction to the SPM3 magnitude. The bottom panel in Figure 3 shows the differences between SPM2 and SPM3 photometry after the moving median corrections have been applied for the same sample field shown in Figure 2. The scatter on the bright end is disappointingly large, but the systematic trends have been effectively removed. (The diagonal banding in the bottom panel of Fig. 3 is an artifact caused by the use of the SPM2 data both

Fig. 2.—Differences in positions, proper motions, and photometry, SPM2 minus preliminary SPM3, before recalibration with the SPM2 data. Differences along right ascension and in $B$ magnitudes are shown. The trends in the declination differences and in $V$ magnitudes are of similar size and appearance. The sample field shown is No. 333.

detailed in Paper II. This gives a single $B$ and $V$ estimate per star per epoch.

The first- and second-epoch magnitude estimates are averaged to yield individual $B$ and $V$ estimates per star. Uncertainties are derived from the scatter in the magnitude estimates from the various exposure/diffraction systems and from the two different epochs.

Even with the additional SPM2 photometric reference stars, there remained systematic differences as a function of magnitude when compared with the SPM2 photometry. The bottom panel in Figure 2 shows a comparison between SPM2 and preliminary SPM3 $B$-magnitude estimates for a sample SPM field. The differences in $V$ are not shown but are similar to those in $B$. The large deviations in the magnitude calibrations are undoubtedly rooted in the raw photometric indices. There is a significant difference in the forms of the image-fitting functions used to reduce the PMM measures of the SPM3 and that of the PDS measures of the SPM1 and SPM2. As our photometric calibration pipeline was originally designed for the PDS measures, it is likely the simple application of the same routines to the PMM measures that accounts for the severe magnitude trends in the derived photometry and the SPM2 data are to be considered more reliable.

Thus, as a last step in the photometric reduction of the SPM3 Catalog, a final correction was applied to each star’s $B$ and $V$ estimate. This correction was a moving median, as a function of magnitude, of the differences SPM2 photometry minus SPM3 preliminary photometry, on a field-by-field basis. For each star in the SPM3 input list, the median difference of the 50 stars nearest in magnitude to the target star and in common with the SPM2 was used as a correction to the SPM3 magnitude. The bottom panel in Figure 3 shows the differences between SPM2 and SPM3 photometry after the moving median corrections have been applied for the same sample field shown in Figure 2. The scatter on the bright end is disappointingly large, but the systematic trends have been effectively removed. (The diagonal banding in the bottom panel of Fig. 3 is an artifact caused by the use of the SPM2 data both

Fig. 3.—Differences in positions, proper motions, and photometry, SPM2 minus SPM3, after recalibration with the SPM2 data. The sample field is the same as in Fig. 2, No. 333.
to adjust the photometry and to assess it, as a function of the corrected photometry itself.)

3.2. Astrometric Reduction

The astrometric reduction procedure of the SPM3 differs from that of previous versions of the SPM Catalog but effectively achieves similar results by making use of the SPM2 data to calibrate the final astrometry. In the past relative proper motions were derived by using a large number of faint, anonymous stars to transform measures from a first-epoch plate into the system of the same passband second-epoch plate—a differential method. The correction to absolute proper motions was then a matter of using the galaxies’ relative proper motions to determine the constant offsets field by field.

For logistical reasons, preliminary SPM3 proper motions are derived directly from differences in equatorial coordinates determined separately at SPM first and second epoch. Tycho-2 stars are used to determine the polynomial plate-model coefficients. In theory, this will yield proper motions on the system of the ICRS. However, the number of high-quality Tycho-2 stars per field is insufficient to adequately determine the plate-model coefficients, producing systematic errors in the proper motions (i.e., modeling errors) as a function of position. These are removed by applying field-dependent adjustments calculated from the thousands of SPM2 stars per field, effectively transforming the proper motions to the (differentially determined) system of the SPM2.

As pointed out in Table 3 of Paper II, there were small but significant differences between the absolute proper motions of SPM1 and the Hipparcos catalog. These we believe can be attributed to residual magnitude equation in the “motions” of the galaxies used to set the proper-motion zero point of the SPM1 and SPM2 catalogs. The galaxy image profiles, of course, differ from the stellar profiles on which the magnitude equation corrections are based. An attempt was made to account for the expected difference in the form of the galaxies’ magnitude equation correction (see Paper I), but some residual error no doubt remained. For this reason, we have chosen to use Hipparcos catalog stars instead to set the zero point of the absolute proper motions in the SPM3. The following is a more detailed outline of the SPM3 Catalog’s astrometry reduction pipeline.

The first step in the astrometry reduction is a precorrection for differential refraction across the 6.3 field of view. Differential color refraction correction is not deemed necessary, as the plates are exposed centered on the meridian.

The next step is to solve for the magnitude equation correction and simultaneously transform the gratings images onto the system of the central-order images. The procedure used is identical to that described in Paper I, using central image and first-order image pairs to derive the coefficients of a polynomial magnitude-equation correction, although in this instance we have confined the function to quadratic field terms plus a quadratic magnitude term:

$$\Delta X = F(m, X, Y), \quad \Delta Y = G(m, X, Y),$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where

$$F = f_1 m + f_2 mX + f_3 mY + f_4 mX^2 + f_5 mXY + f_6 mY^2 + f_7 m^2,$$

$$G = g_1 m + g_2 mX + g_3 mY + g_4 mX^2 + g_5 mXY + g_6 mY^2 + g_7 m^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

In the above, linearized instrumental magnitudes are used, i.e., after application of the photometric coefficients derived in equations (2) and (3). The coefficients, \(f_i\) and \(g_k\), are found by least-squares minimization of the differences in position between the central order and the average of the first-order pair of images, for all stars for which these three images can be measured:

$$X_{(n=1)} - X_{(n=0)} = F(m_{(n=1)}, X, Y) - F(m_{(n=0)}, X, Y),$$

$$Y_{(n=1)} - Y_{(n=0)} = G(m_{(n=1)}, X, Y) - G(m_{(n=0)}, X, Y).$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

Separate sets of coefficients are derived for the long and short-exposure systems, with typically thousands of stars used in the long-exposure solution and hundreds in the short. These are then applied to all images in each exposure system, central through third diffraction order.

We note that the same magnitude-equation correction has been applied regardless of whether the images are of stars or galaxies, while the correction is derived entirely from stellar images. For this reason, the proper motions of those objects identified as extended sources in the SPM3 should be used with caution.

After application of the magnitude-equation correction and averaging the positions of grating pairs, all images are on one of two systems; the long-exposure system, or the short-exposure system. These two are combined using yet another polynomial transformation, this time a general cubic model. The number of stars used to determine this “bridge” solution was on average 6500 per field.

With all \(X, Y\) measures now on a single system, the transformation to celestial coordinates can be calculated in a straightforward manner. Tycho-2 stars, trimmed somewhat by positional and proper-motion error, are used as reference stars. These are updated to the epoch of each SPM plate and then transformed to standard coordinates using the nominal field centers. As demonstrated by Platais et al. (1995), cubic field terms are necessary to properly describe the SPM plates. Thus, a general third-order polynomial transformation between plate coordinates and standard coordinates was assumed, i.e., a 10-term solution in each coordinate. The number of Tycho-2 reference stars varied from 170 to 1100, with an average of 400 per field. The standard error of unit weight per coordinate ranged from 65 to 200 mas, with a mode of 80 mas.

For stars with multiple grating and/or exposure-system measures, a single position is determined from the weighted average of the individual derived positions. There is simultaneously a trimming of discordant position estimates. The weighting and trimming procedures, as well as the procedure for estimating internal uncertainties, are similar to those used in previous SPM reductions, as described in Paper II. This yields positions, in general, from both the blue and visual plates at first and second epochs. Within each epoch, the blue- and visual-derived positions are averaged, weighted by the number of images per plate for each star.

In theory, having positions on the system of the ICRS at two epochs, one need only take differences and divide by the epoch difference to derive absolute proper motions. This we do, but the resulting proper motions are not considered final. In practice, the high-order polynomial transformation and the often insufficient number of Tycho-2 reference stars, can result in significant systematic effects in the derived positions and proper motions.
The top two panels of Figure 2 show differences between the so-derived preliminary positions and proper motions compared with those of the SPM2 Catalog, as a function of plate coordinate, for a sample SPM field. We show only the right ascension components of the position and proper-motion differences as a function of the $x$-coordinate, but the trends in the declination component and as a function of the $y$-coordinate are similar in appearance. The position-dependent effects seen are not unexpected. The proper motions of the SPM2 were derived in a differential manner, using a large number of anonymous faint stars to determine the difference between the first- and second-epoch plates' models. Thus, they are better determined than these preliminary SPM3 proper motions. As for the trends in positional differences, these are likely due to statistical uncertainty in the polynomial coefficients derived from different sets of reference stars: Tycho stars in the case of SPM2, and Tycho-2 stars in the case of SPM3. In both cases the number of reference stars is only marginally sufficient to determine the polynomial plate models. Fortunately, the large number of SPM2 stars in the SPM3 sample allows us to calibrate the preliminary SPM3 data onto the system of the SPM2.

The very differences shown in Figure 2 are used to perform this calibration. For both the SPM3 positions and the proper motions, separately a correction is determined based on the median difference with the corresponding SPM2 data. The 50 SPM2 stars nearest to each target SPM3 star (spatially, by two-dimensional separation) are used in determining the median correction vectors. The medians are calculated and applied star by star, one SPM field at a time; i.e., the corrections vary from field to field. The top two panels of Figure 3 show the differences with SPM2 after the corrections have been applied to the SPM3 astrometry.

At this stage the SPM3 positions and proper motions are on the system of the SPM2. The positions in the SPM2 itself, however, are known to suffer from a systematic field error when compared with Tycho-2 positions. This was attributed to the inadequacy of the third-order polynomial used to transform the SPM plate measures into celestial coordinates during construction of the SPM2 Catalog. The SPM3 positions, being on the system of the SPM2, are expected to suffer similarly, and thus we shall make an explicit correction for this systematic field pattern. The SPM2 proper motions, being differential, should not suffer from any such effects provided the telescope and plates remained unchanged between the two epochs of observation. Prompted by the referee of an earlier draft of this paper that described an earlier version of the SPM3 Catalog, we have investigated the proper motions of the SPM3 for any such field pattern as well. We were surprised to find that one exists. It implies that a systematic change has occurred in either the telescope or the stored plates, causing a difference in the form of the transformation from sky coordinates into plate coordinates between first and second epoch. Whatever its cause, the systematic effect in proper motions must be corrected for as well.

The corrections, made separately for the positions and proper motions, are based on residual "masks." In the case of the proper motions, residuals are formed between the Tycho-2 values and the SPM3 proper motions. The differences are binned as a function of position within each SPM field (i.e., by plate position) onto a $21 \times 21$ grid. The mean proper-motion differences, upon stacking the 156 SPM fields, are shown in Figure 4a. Bilinear interpolation within the grid is used to calculate the correction to be applied to each object in the SPM3 Catalog.

In the case of the positional correction mask, residuals are formed using UCAC0.9 and the SPM3. The much higher density of UCAC over Tycho-2 provides a better determination of the position vector residuals. The mean position differences are shown in Figure 4b. In calculating the residuals, the SPM3 proper motions are first mask-corrected and then used to bring the SPM3 positions to the UCAC epoch. As with the proper-motion correction, bilinear interpolation is used to calculate individual corrections to be applied. And as with the proper-motion mask, the mean correction across the entire field is forced to zero by means of a constant offset, thus keeping the field-by-field mean position and mean proper motion on the same system as the SPM2. The positions in
the SPM2 are on the 1991.25 system of the original Tycho catalog. Thus, the SPM3 positions will be on this same system, which in effect is the same as that of Tycho-2.

The proper-motion system of SPM2 is independent of Hipparcos and Tycho-2. As stated earlier and detailed in Paper II, the proper-motion zero point of each SPM2 field was determined from the mean relative motion of the galaxies in that field. The magnitude equation affecting the galaxy images is expected to be different in form from that affecting the stellar images on which the corrections are based. This was accounted for, to first-order, in earlier SPM reductions by solving for an effective magnitude offset between the stellar and galactic magnitude equation corrections (see Paper I).

Realizing this simple compensation may be inadequate, especially for the PMM-measured galaxies, we have decided to calculate the absolute proper-motion zero point of the SPM3 from Hipparcos catalog stars and their Hipparcos-measured absolute proper motions. For each SPM3 field, the correction to absolute proper motion is the median of the differences in proper motion between the Hipparcos values and the SPM3 measures, after being calibrated to the SPM2 system. We note that the proper-motion system of Hipparcos is less likely to be affected by uncorrected magnitude equation compared with that of Tycho-2, which relies on ground-based photographic material. For this reason, we choose to use Hipparcos to determine the absolute zero-point corrections of the SPM3 proper motions field by field.

Figure 5 shows the distribution of the corrections for the 156 fields that comprise the SPM3. Each point represents the correction applied to a particular field. The mean correction is effectively zero in \( \mu_\alpha \cos \delta \), but in \( \mu_\delta \) it is \(+0.55 \pm 0.12\) mas yr\(^{-1}\). This is similar to what was found in a comparison of SPM1 proper motions with those of Hipparcos, described in Paper II. The number of Hipparcos stars per field varies from 63 to 147, with an average of 102. As will be shown in § 4, the precision of the SPM3 proper motions at these magnitudes is roughly 4 mas yr\(^{-1}\). Thus, the accuracy with which the final SPM3 proper motions are on the system of Hipparcos is expected to be 0.4 mas yr\(^{-1}\).

3.3. Compilation

At the completion of the procedures described above, calibrated \( B, V \) photometry, celestial coordinates, and absolute proper motions will have been determined for all objects in the input list for each of the 156 SPM3 fields. What remains is to combine the data from all fields. First, however, a culling is made of improperly identified objects.

The SPM3's PMM-measured detections were identified by simple positional coincidence with the four input catalogs, dominated by the 2MASS point-source and extended-source catalogs. With no previously determined proper motions for the vast majority of the target objects, a relatively large positional coincidence tolerance of 5\(''\) was used to match target objects with PMM detections. This led to false identifications in some cases, in one or both epochs, as a result of the large number of spurious detections in the raw PMM measures.

Therefore, a final check of positional coincidence is made, this time at epoch 2000. All SPM3 objects are updated to this epoch using the SPM3 proper motions and compared with their input catalog positions. A matching tolerance of 2\(''\) is enforced. Roughly 8% of the tentatively identified objects were determined to be spurious and eliminated.

The final step is the merging of the 156 fields, averaging the measures of objects in the overlap area of adjacent fields. Again, a 2\(''\) positional matching tolerance is used for this purpose. Averages are weighted based on the estimated uncertainties in the quantities being averaged.

4. CATALOG PROPERTIES

The SPM3 Catalog includes 10,764,865 objects. It contains positions and absolute proper motions, on the system of the ICRS, and photographic \( B, V \) photometry and estimated uncertainties for each of these quantities. The positions are given at epoch 1991.25, the mean epoch of the Hipparcos and Tycho catalogs and very nearly the mean epoch of our second-epoch plate material. The positions and proper motions have been used to perform cross-referencing to other selected catalogs, including the original input source catalogs, by positional coincidence. This provides both complementary data, e.g., Hipparcos parallaxes, and comparable data, e.g., Tycho-2 proper motions. Table 1 summarizes the number of objects in the SPM3 that have been cross-identified in other catalogs.
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**Fig. 5.—**Corrections to absolute proper motion. The mean difference between Hipparcos proper motion and preliminary SPM3 proper motions on the system of the SPM2, defines the individual correction for each field. The mean correction in \( \mu_\alpha \cos \delta, \mu_\delta \) for the 156 fields is \((0.00, -0.55)\) mas yr\(^{-1}\) with dispersion \((1.12, 1.18)\) mas yr\(^{-1}\).

### Table 1

| Parameter                          | Value   |
|-----------------------------------|---------|
| Sky coverage                      | \(\sim 3700\) deg\(^2\) |
| Magnitude range                   | \(4 \leq V \leq 17.5\) |
| Total number of SPM3 objects      | 10,764,865 |
| Number common to:                 |         |
| SPM2                              | 301,272 |
| 2MASS point sources               | 10,619,404 |
| 2MASS extended sources            | 113,510 |
| Tycho-2                           | 166,757 |
| Hipparcos                         | 10,901  |
The cross-identification data are available along with the SPM3 Catalog via the World Wide Web.2

The uncertainties in the SPM3 Catalog are derived from multiple measures of the same object, in different exposure systems and/or diffraction orders and/or passbands and/or fields, for stars in the overlap region of adjacent SPM fields. For those objects with only one image and one measurement per plate, a value is assigned based on the mean uncertainty of other (multiply measured) images with a similar signal-to-noise ratio. Figure 6 illustrates the catalog uncertainties as a function of magnitude. Only uncertainties along right ascension are shown to save space. The uncertainties along declination exhibit similar trends. The uncertainty estimates are quantized, particularly the photometric estimates. For the purpose of plotting, the uncertainties shown in Figure 6 have been smoothed slightly. The median uncertainty as a function of magnitude is shown for the positions and proper motions. The photometric uncertainties are severely quantized because of the adoption of a base level uncertainty of 0.25 mag early in the reduction procedure, during the magnitude linearization. Consequently, displaying the median of the photometric uncertainties is not instructive. Instead, a comparison is made with the CCD photometry of over 7000 stars used in the calibration of the photographic photometry. The dotted curve in the bottom panel of Figure 6 shows the dispersion of the differences with this CCD photometry. In addition, an external error estimate in proper motion is made at the bright and faint ends of the catalog distribution.

Based on approximately 11,000 *Hipparcos* stars, the internal proper-motion uncertainties underestimate the external values by about 30%. At the faint end, the dispersion in proper motion of roughly 300 quasars (originally identified in the SPM2) is 12 mas yr⁻¹, significantly larger than the internal error estimate. No external comparison is made of the SPM3 positions, but, as with the SPM2 Catalog, the internal uncertainty estimates most likely also underestimate the external values by about 30%. Thus, we estimate the positional precision to be 40 mas and the proper-motion precision to be 4 mas yr⁻¹, for well-measured stars in the SPM3. With approximately 100 *Hipparcos* stars per field available to set the proper-motion zero point, we estimate the accuracy of the SPM3 absolute proper-motion system to be 0.4 mas yr⁻¹. The photometric precision is ~0.15 mag for the best-measured stars.

The input catalog from which the list of SPM3 objects is derived is expected to be nearly complete to the limiting magnitude of the 2MASS Point Source Catalog. Of course, near this limit, the actual completeness of the SPM3 will be lower. Issues of image confusion and PMM detection idiosyncrasies affect the catalog completeness at all magnitudes but will be most severe for the faintest stars. Figure 7 indicates the magnitude distribution of the final SPM3 Catalog. For comparison, also shown are the distributions of other catalogs over the same area of sky, including the PDS-based SPM2 Catalog, which was not designed to be complete to any magnitude. The falloff of the SPM3 distribution beyond $V=17.5$ is remarkably abrupt, given that it must reflect both the variation in plate depth among the 156 fields and a broadening by the magnitude uncertainties.
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2 At http://www.astro.yale.edu/astrom.
It is not a trivial task to quantify the completeness of the SPM3 as a function of magnitude without an external catalog that is known to be 100% complete to a deeper limit and in a comparable passband. However, we can make an approximate estimate of the relative completeness between the SPM3 and the 2MASS Point Source Catalog. In order to make a direct comparison, as a function of SPM3 magnitude, we require an approximate transformation from 2MASS J, H, K magnitudes to $V$. We use a relation that we have determined empirically,

$$V \approx J + 2.79(J-K),$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

and find to be an adequate approximation for a large range of stellar types. Applying this relation, we calculate and display in Figure 8 the $V$ magnitude distribution of the 2MASS Point Source Catalog and compare it with that of the SPM3 in a sample SPM field. In the range $8 < V < 17.5$, the SPM3 distribution is similar to that of 2MASS, to within the statistical noise. The relative completeness drops from 1.03 at $V=17.5$ to 0.56 at $V=18.0$. Thus, the completeness magnitude limit of the SPM3 Catalog is taken to be $V=17.5$.

Even for stars brighter than this magnitude, image confusion and blending cause a low level of incompleteness. At the very bright end of the magnitude distribution, this incompleteness can be estimated using the Hipparcos catalog. All Hipparcos stars were included in the input target list, and yet the final number of Hipparcos stars in the SPM3 Catalog, 10,900, is 8% below the expected number of 11,790. By comparison, the SPM2 Catalog, based on PDS measurements and a far less automated reduction pipeline, contains 10,957 Hipparcos stars. A large fraction of these missing Hipparcos stars are brighter than $V=5$ and are not measurable on the SPM plates.

Perhaps the best estimate of the fraction of stars lost because of the more automated SPM3 reduction pipeline, as well as other factors, can be made using the SPM2 as a comparison. Assuming a conservative positional matching tolerance of 2", we find 6% of SPM2 stars and galaxies are absent from SPM3. Assuming a more liberal 3" tolerance, the percentage of absentees falls to 4%. Of these missing stars and galaxies, there is little dependence on magnitude. However, a significant fraction of the absent stars have large proper motions based on the SPM2 measures. For instance, of the 14,193 absentees (based on the 3" matching), 1939 are stars with total proper motions in excess of 180 mas yr$^{-1}$. This benchmark value of 180 mas yr$^{-1}$ is relevant given the ~30 yr mean difference between the first-epoch SPM plates and the 2MASS Catalog, (the dominant input catalog), and the matching tolerance of 5". Stars with proper motions in excess of this value and not in the Hipparcos or Tycho-2 catalogs would not have been properly identified and thus are absent from the SPM3. An effort was made to include these high proper-motion stars in the construction of the SPM2, but, for the sake of expediency, they were not specifically included in the SPM3. Thus, we caution the potential user of the SPM3 Catalog as to the severe incompleteness of faint, high proper motion stars.

5. CATALOG VERSIONS

With several versions of the SPM Catalog in existence, it is prudent to describe these briefly, for the sake of clarity. The original SPM 1.0 is based on PDS measures of 30 SPM fields around the South Galactic Pole. It covers roughly 720 deg$^2$ and contains 58,880 objects. The input catalog for the SPM 1.0 is composed of objects of interest gleaned from the literature, utility objects (i.e., stars necessary to the reduction procedure), visually confirmed galaxies for proper-motion reference, and a large number of randomly selected anonymous stars intended for kinematic study. The SPM 1.0 is not designed to be complete over any magnitude range.

The SPM 2.0 is based on a rereduction of the measures used to construct the SPM 1.0, in addition to PDS measures of other middeclination SPM fields for which first- and second-epoch plate material exists. It includes measures from a total of 156 SPM fields, covering an area of approximately 3700 deg$^2$. The fields are from the $-25^\circ$, $-30^\circ$, $-35^\circ$, and $-40^\circ$ declination bands, excluding fields near the Galactic plane. The input catalog for this version of the SPM Catalog was constructed in a similar fashion to that of the SPM 1.0. Thus, the SPM 2.0 is also not explicitly designed to be complete over any magnitude range. The SPM 2.0 contains 321,608 objects.

The reduction procedure for the SPM 2.0 incorporates one significant improvement over that of the SPM 1.0, that being the handling of the magnitude-equation correction for galaxies. As an aid to those who had made kinematic studies using the SPM 1.0, the SPM 1.1 was created by extracting all objects from the SPM 2.0 that fell within the boundary of sky defined by the SPM 1.0. A net gain of eight objects resulted, yielding 58,887 entries in the SPM 1.1. The SPM 1.1 is a subset of the SPM 2.0. The identification numbers of objects in the SPM 1.0 and SPM 1.1 are not the same.

The position system of the SPM 1.0, 1.1, and 2.0 is that of the original Tycho catalog, at epoch 1991.25. The absolute proper-motion system of these versions is based on PDS measures of galaxies on a field-by-field basis. The SPM 1.0 is described in Paper II.

The SPM 3 is constructed from PMM measures of the same 156 SPM fields that composed the SPM 2.0 Catalog. Actually, PMM measures were made of all existing first- and second-epoch SPM plates. As part of a project to construct an input catalog for the FAME mission, a reduction pipeline for these PMM data was created at the USNO. This pipeline

---

3 Details concerning the SPM 1.1 and SPM 2.0 can be found at http://www.astro.yale.edu/astrom.
transformed the PMM measures into celestial coordinates at plate epoch and incorporated many of the features of the reduction procedure of the earlier SPM Catalogs. The input catalog for this pipeline was much more complete though. It consisted of the union of the Hipparcos, Tycho-2, and UCAC0.9 catalogs. Later, so as to reach the SPM plate limit, a magnitude-trimmed version of the USNO-A2 Catalog was added to the list of input source catalogs.

Having this reduction pipeline in place, it was realized that it could be used to create a new version of the SPM Catalog, one nearly complete to the SPM plate limit. Thus, the reduction pipeline was modified slightly to allow it to be applied to the PMM measures of both first- and second-epoch SPM plates. (The original USNO project made use of only the first-epoch SPM material.) With first and second-epoch celestial coordinates, preliminary proper motions could be derived and then transformed to a better calibrated system, as described in detail elsewhere in this paper.

The SPM 3.0 was the first version to be based on PMM measures in this way. It was made available for only a short time and directly distributed to only a handful of colleagues. Upon the release of the full-sky 2MASS data, it was decided to replace the USNO-A2 as an input source catalog with the 2MASS Point Source Catalog (which does include the 2MASS extended sources). This resulted in the SPM 3.1 Catalog, with improved completeness properties along with the added bonus of cross-identification to the 2MASS photometry. This version of the SPM Catalog was also available only for a brief period and once again directly distributed to only a handful of researchers.

It was the comments of the referee on an earlier version of this paper that led us to discover the systematic pattern in the stacked proper-motion residuals of the SPM 3.1. As described in § 3, this systematic error now has been corrected, resulting in the current version of the catalog presented here, SPM 3.2. The SPM 3.2 supersedes both the SPM 3.0 and SPM 3.1. It differs from the previous major version of the SPM Catalog, the SPM 2.0, in two significant ways: The use of PMM measures as opposed to PDS measures results in a slightly degraded precision, but a far greater completeness in the SPM 3.2. And the use of Hipparcos star proper motions as opposed to external galaxies places the SPM 3.2 on the ICRS proper-motion system.

6. KINEMATICS OF METAL-POOR, MAIN-SEQUENCE A STARS

In a spectrophotometric survey at the South Galactic Pole (SGP), Rodgers, Harding, & Sadler (1981, hereafter RHS81) identified a group of A-type stars located between 1 and 4 kpc from the Galactic plane and with main-sequence surface gravities, rather than horizontal-branch ones as one might expect in an old-halo or thick-disk population. Lance (1988a, 1988b, hereafter L88) increased the sample and redetermined radial velocities, calcium abundances, surface gravities, and distances. She confirmed the previous results of RHS81. The calcium abundance of the main-sequence stars in Lance’s sample ranges between 0 and −0.6 dex, and the radial velocity dispersion is 62 km s\(^{-1}\), somewhat higher than the vertical velocity dispersion of the thick disk (∼40 km s\(^{-1}\), e.g., Edvardsson et al. 1993). On these grounds, L88 and RHS81 hypothesized that these stars were formed in a system accreted by the Milky Way. Rodgers & Roberts (1993) expanded this type of survey to two additional Galactic locations to determine the rotational properties of this population from radial velocities alone. They found that the kinematical properties of the A-type, main-sequence stars are similar to those of the thick disk. Thus, a new hypothesis was put forward: the stars in question may be thick-disk blue stragglers. However, Rodgers & Roberts (1993) concluded that this was unlikely, estimating that about a third of the thick-disk stars would have to be blue stragglers, a number that is too large when compared with the frequency of blue stragglers in globular clusters.

We have combined the radial-velocity data from L88 with SPM3 proper motions to determine three-dimensional velocities of 29 A-type, main-sequence stars at the SGP. There was no overlap between the Rodgers & Roberts (1993) sample and the SPM3 Catalog. For comparison, we also examine the A-type, main-sequence stars identified in Wilhelm et al.’s (1999, hereafter W99) Table 2C, a catalog of metal-poor stars. There are 79 stars in common between the SPM3 Catalog and the W99 data set. This latter sample has a metallicity range from −0.1 to −3.0 dex, much wider than that of L88. Thus, the W99 sample is expected to contain a significant fraction of halo stars.

We have determined velocity components in a cylindrical coordinate system in the Galactic rest frame. II is the radial component (positive outward from the Galactic center); θ is the rotational component (positive toward Galactic rotation); and W is perpendicular to the Galactic disk (positive toward the North Galactic Pole). In Figure 9 we show the velocity components of the L88 sample, while in Figure 10 we show those of W99. The majority of the L88 stars have velocities typical of the thick disk (θ ≈ 180 km s\(^{-1}\)), while the W99 velocities are characteristic of both the thick disk and halo. Roughly half of the stars in this latter sample have metallicities lower than −1.0 dex, the traditional cutoff between thick disk and halo.

The proper-motion results for the L88 sample thus support the case for thick-disc blue stragglers, rather than that for an accreted component with distinct kinematics. More recently, in a radial velocity study of blue metal-poor stars, Preston & Sneden (2000) (also supported by Carney et al. 2001) found a high fraction of binaries, leading them to suggest that the frequency of blue stragglers in the halo (i.e., field stars) is larger than that in globular clusters. Their findings lend support, as do ours to the notion that distant, A-type, main-sequence stars are more likely to be Galactic field blue stragglers, rather than being the remnants of a disrupted satellite.

7. SUMMARY

The recently released SPM3 Catalog provides positions, absolute proper motions, and photographic B, V photometry for 10.7 million objects, primarily stars, in an irregular decollation band in the southern hemisphere. Unlike previous versions of the SPM Catalog, we strive for completeness to the limiting magnitude of the plate material. This is attained by using the Precision Measuring Machine of the USNO to scan the first- and second-epoch plates. The resulting catalog is nearly complete in the range 5 < V < 17.5. In addition, this is the first SPM Catalog in which the zero point of the absolute proper-motion system is defined by Hipparcos stars as opposed to external galaxies. As with all previous versions of the catalog, a correction for magnitude equation is derived and applied to each individual plate. The statistics of the SPM3
Catalog are summarized in Table 1. The catalog, along with cross-identifications to other relevant catalogs, is available via electronic transfer.

As a brief example of the application of the SPM3 proper motions, we calculate three-dimensional velocities for metal-poor, main-sequence A stars, a group first identified by Rodgers (1971) and subsequently expanded upon. We find that these stars’ kinematics are predominantly similar to that of the thick-disk, lending support to their interpretation as thick-disk blue stragglers, as opposed to being the remnants of an accretion process. This is but a single, cursory example from among the large number of kinematic studies possible with the SPM3 data that are currently being pursued.

Future versions of the SPM Catalog, expanding coverage to a larger portion of the southern sky, will be based on the existing first-epoch photographic plate material and second-epoch CCD observations now underway. A CCD camera system is currently operating on the double astrograph of the Cesco Observatory and providing the necessary second-epoch SPM material. The system consists of an astrometric/photometric $4K \times 4K$ PixelVision camera mounted in the visual focal plane and a photometric $1K \times 1K$ Apogee camera in the blue focal plane. Specific regions of interest, e.g., globular-cluster fields and Milky Way satellite fields, are expected to be the first CCD-based SPM projects, with the continuation of the survey portion of the Southern Proper Motion program to follow.

The SPM3 Catalog would not be possible without the efforts of the numerous individuals who made the first- and second-epoch observations at Cesco Observatory, and those who performed the PMM scanning at USNO-Flagstaff. We are grateful to them all. We also are grateful for the collaborative

![Fig. 9.—Galactocentric velocities of metal-poor, main-sequence A stars. The sample is that of Lance 1988a, 1988b, (L88).]

![Fig. 10.—Galactocentric velocities of metal-poor, main-sequence A stars. The sample is that of Wilhelm et al. 1999 and covers a wider range in metallicity, i.e., extending to lower values, than the L88 sample shown in Fig. 9.]
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efforts of Tim Beers, who prompted us to construct this version of the catalog, while awaiting the accumulation of CCD data necessary for future versions. This project has also benefited from the assistance and helpful comments of Norbert Zacharias. Finally, insightful comments from Bob Hanson, who acted as referee to an earlier version of this paper, were extremely helpful in improving not only this paper, but the SPM3 Catalog itself. This publication makes use of data products from the Two Micron All Sky Survey, which is a joint project of the University of Massachusetts and the Infrared Processing and Analysis Center/California Institute of Technology, funded by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration and the National Science Foundation (NSF). The Southern Proper Motion program has been funded over the years by a number of grants from the NSF, including the most recent, AST 00-98548.
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