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Summary

The causative agent of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) has been identified as a novel coronavirus, SARS-CoV. The main protease of SARS-CoV, 3CLpro, is an attractive target for therapeutics against SARS owing to its fundamental role in viral replication. We sought to identify novel inhibitors of 3CLpro to advance the development of appropriate therapies in the treatment of SARS. 3CLpro was cloned, expressed, and purified from the Tor2 isolate. A quenched fluorescence resonance energy transfer assay was developed for 3CLpro to screen the protease against 50,000 drug-like small molecules on a fully automated system. The primary screen identified 572 hits; through a series of virtual and experimental filters, this number was reduced to five novel small molecules that show potent inhibitory activity (IC50 = 0.5–7 µM) toward SARS-CoV 3CLpro.

Introduction

The first wave of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS) was successfully contained by the summer of 2003 [1], but not until close to 8500 people worldwide were infected, and over 900 had died [2]. Upon the emergence of this syndrome, international response was quick to identify and characterize its causative agent as a novel coronavirus, SARS-CoV [3–7]. The reemergence of SARS in the Guangdong province of China in December 2003 [8] and the spring of 2004 [9], while not necessarily indicative of another global outbreak, illustrates the need to continue efforts to study this virus and develop appropriate therapeutics for its treatment.

SARS-CoV is an enveloped, positive-stranded RNA virus whose genome is predominated by two open reading frames that are connected by a ribosomal frameshift site and that encode the two replicase proteins, pp1a and pp1ab [10, 11]. These polyproteins are cleaved by the main proteinase 3CLpro [11] (also called Mpro [12, 13]) in the first step of the formation of the crucial replication-transcription complex. The activity of 3CLpro, so named for its similarity to 3C proteinases of Picornaviridae [14], is an attractive target for the development of therapeutics against SARS due to its fundamental role in viral replication. Homology modeling with other 3CLpro’s [12, 15], X-ray crystallography [13], and a recent mutagenesis study [16] have identified 3CLpro as a cysteine protease with a Cys-His catalytic dyad in the active site. Structure-based sequence alignments of pp1a and pp1ab of SARS-CoV with the equivalent polyproteins of human coronavirus 229E (HCoV), porcine transmissible gastroenteritis virus (TGEV), bovine coronavirus (BCoV), and avian infectious bronchitis virus (IBV) have identified the consensus sequence for proteolysis as (S, T, V, P, A)-(L, I, V, F, M)-Q/(A, S, G, N, C) [12]. In vitro studies have confirmed the ability of 3CLpro to process sites of this type, and that the proteinase has the highest specificity for the cleavage sites flanking 3CLpro in the pp1a/pp1ab polyprotein [11, 17].

A structural model of 3CLpro based on sequence homology with TGEV [12] as well as the solved crystal structure [13] have both been used in a number of studies to dock substrate mimics [12, 13, 18] and for virtual screening of collections of synthetic compounds, natural products, and approved antiviral therapies to evaluate their ability to inhibit 3CLpro [15, 19–22]. Compounds identified as potential inhibitors of 3CLpro from these studies include the HIV-1 protease inhibitor L-700,417 [15], the reverse transcriptase inhibitors calanolide A and nevirapine [20], glycovir, an α-glucosidase inhibitor [20], sabadinine, a natural product [21], and the general antiviral ribavirin [20]. Ribavirin has been shown to exhibit anti-SARS-CoV activity in vitro, but at concentrations that are cytotoxic [23]. At the onset of the initial SARS outbreak, this compound was used as a first-line defense both as a monotherapy [24] and in combination with corticosteroids [25] or Kaletra (an approved HIV protease inhibitor) [24]; however, it is now generally believed that ribavirin is not an effective treatment for SARS [26, 27] and references therein). At the time of writing, it is not known whether the other compounds identified through virtual means have yet been tested with 3CLpro or SARS-CoV to evaluate their actual inhibitory capabilities.

Several in vitro screens have also been recently reported that have assessed the ability of existing proteinase inhibitors to inhibit replication of SARS-CoV and, in some cases, 3CLpro specifically. The HIV-1 protease inhibitors indinavir, saquinavir, ritonavir, lopinavir, TYA5, TYB5, and KNI-272 were shown to be ineffective at inhibiting replication of SARS-CoV in vitro [23, 28]. Another HIV-1 protease inhibitor, nelfinavir, was shown to inhibit SARS-CoV replication with an EC50 of 48 nM [28], yet it has also been reported that complete inhibition of viral replication was not seen with compound concentrations up to 10 µM [23]. Another in vitro screen that similarly tested a library of 500 protease inhibitors resulted in only one compound that inhibited 3CLpro; this molecule
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had been developed as a transition-state analog inhibitor for HIV-1 protease and had a $K_i$ of 0.8 μM with 3CLpro [29].

Although a number of existing drugs that could potentially act as inhibitors of 3CLpro were identified through virtual screening, other than ribavirin, it is not known how active these compounds actually are toward the protease. Additionally, in vitro screening campaigns illustrate that out of over 500 existing protease inhibitors, only two were identified as active toward 3CLpro. These findings illustrate the need to look toward the development of innovative inhibitors for this protease. One such study has recently identified unique keto-glutamine analogs as inhibitors of 3CLpro with $IC_{50}$'s in the low micromolar range (J.C. Vederas, submitted). In an effort to advance this initiative, we sought to identify novel small molecules that specifically target 3CLpro. In this paper, we describe the cloning, expression, and purification of 3CLpro from the SARS-CoV Tor2 isolate, and subsequent screening campaign against a library of 50,000 small molecules. We herein report the finding of five novel inhibitors of 3CLpro.

Results and Discussion

In order to screen SARS-CoV 3CLpro against a library of 50,000 compounds, it was necessary to use an activity assay for the proteinase that could be adapted to an automated system. Ideally, such an assay would (1) work over a time scale of several minutes at most to facilitate the rapid evaluation of thousands of potential inhibitors; (2) be homogeneous for ease of automation; and (3) be sensitive to minimize background signal from the presence of the compounds tested. Quenched fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) assays have become a common tool to monitor proteinase activity [30] and fulfill the necessary criteria for use in an efficient and robust high-throughput screening (HTS) campaign. The basis for such an assay is the modification of a peptide substrate to include a fluorescent label and quencher on opposing sides of the proteinase cleavage site (Figure 1). Using this substrate, the activity of a proteinase can be measured directly without the need for additional steps to purify and/or characterize the products. This type of assay is also beneficial as it permits enzymatic reactions to be monitored in real time to obtain accurate reaction rates. This aspect of the quenched-FRET assay is particularly attractive since, in evaluating the activity of a proteinase in terms of a rate, the potential for false negatives to occur in the screen due to the presence of inherent fluorescence from the compounds being tested is reduced.

Two fluorogenic peptide substrates were synthesized to develop a continuous assay of 3CLpro proteolytic activity based on FRET. The peptides were designed principally on the sequences flanking 3CLpro in the polyprotein and solubility considerations. A peptide incorporating the anthranilate-nitrotyrosine donor-acceptor pair (Abz-SVTLSQG-Tyr(NO2)R) (Figure 1) was over an order of magnitude more sensitive in FRET-based assays than the equivalent Edans-Dabcyl peptide (data not shown) and was the preferred substrate for HTS. In the analysis of kinetic data using the Abz-Tyr(NO2) substrate, the primary data were corrected for inner filter effect [31]. This adjustment was essential as it was observed that even relatively low concentrations of substrate (2 μM), the fluorescence of the cleaved product was significantly quenched by the nitrotyrosine moiety of the intact substrate.

The $K_m$ for the Abz-Tyr(NO2) substrate with 3CLpro was 820 ± 130 μM (Figure 2A). This value is comparable to those seen recently for nonlabeled 11-mer peptides that also mimic the cleavage sites of the natural substrates of 3CLpro (0.286–1.94 mM) [17], implying that the labels incorporated for FRET were not detrimental to the association between enzyme and substrate. The calculated values of the apparent $k_{cat}$ and $k_{cat}/K_m$ for the labeled substrate were 1.01 ± 0.09 min⁻¹ and 1.2 ± 0.2 mM⁻¹ min⁻¹ respectively, and were also similar to those re-

![Figure 1. The Quenched-FRET Assay Used to Monitor 3CLpro Activity](image)

![Figure 2. Activity of 3CLpro with the Fluorogenic Peptide Substrate](image)
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Figure 3. Plot of the Residual Activity of the Controls from the Screen
High (Replicate 1, orange circles; Replicate 2, green diamonds) and low (Replicate 1, red triangles; Replicate 2, blue squares) controls are clustered around 100% and 0% residual activity, respectively. The Z’ of 0.60 is reflected in the well-defined hit window.

Ported for the 11-mer peptides (0.847–12.2 min⁻¹ and 0.202–10.6 mM⁻¹ min⁻¹) [17]. These kinetic parameters are reported as apparent values since it has been recently shown [17, 32] that at the concentration of 3CLpro used in this study (1 μM) much of the enzyme is thought to be monomeric, whereas it is the dimeric form of the enzyme that is believed to be catalytically competent.

For the purposes of screening, it is desirable to use the lowest concentration of substrate that yields a reliable, reproducible signal. It was found that assays using 100 μM of the fluorogenic peptide gave a strong signal that was linear with varying 3CLpro concentration (Figure 2B). This enabled us to screen at substrate concentrations well below Km, which is advantageous for identifying inhibitors that compete with substrate for the enzyme active site [33] and are therefore more likely to be specific toward 3CLpro.

The compound library used to screen 3CLpro contained 50,000 small molecules with an average molecular mass of 325 g/mol. This particular collection was screened because of its high quality, diversity, drug-likeness [34], resupply availability, and past success with other targets [35]. The campaign was run in duplicate in 384-well microplate format on a fully automated system.

The statistical parameter Z’, which is described as a measure of the quality of an HTS campaign [36], is defined as

$$1 - Z' = \frac{3\sigma_{c+} + 3\sigma_{c-}}{|\mu_{c+} - \mu_{c-}|}$$

where \(\sigma_{c+}\), \(\sigma_{c-}\), \(\mu_{c+}\), and \(\mu_{c-}\) are the standard deviations (σ) and averages (μ) of the high (c+) and low (c-) controls. This value reflects both the error associated with the controls of a screen, as well as the size of the “hit window” (Figure 3). A Z’ of 0.5 or greater is indicative of a quality screen with a well-defined hit window [36]. The Z’ for the primary screen of SARS-CoV 3CLpro was 0.60 for each of the two replicates indicating that the assay used to detect activity of 3CLpro was robust and amenable to HTS. The replicate plot of residual enzyme activity with each of the tested library compounds (Figure 4) also illustrates the quality of the screen in terms of the reproducibility between the duplicate sets of data. This figure also indicates that 3CLpro appears to have been inhibited to some degree by a large number of compounds. The cutoff to determine the hit threshold was set to 50% residual enzyme activity in order to focus on the most potent inhibitors.

Five hundred and seventy-two hits (1.1% hit rate) were identified from the primary screen of 3CLpro. For the preliminary analyses of these hits, we opted to restrict the number of compounds entering secondary screening by a series of virtual and experimental filters. The hits were first classified by recursive partitioning into 126 groups based on similarities between molecular structure and activity in the primary screen [37, 38]. Within each of these groups, the compound that strongly inhibited 3CLpro was chosen as a representative candidate for further study in secondary analyses. The dose-response curves of the 126 representative compounds were determined using standard assay conditions (100 μM substrate, 1 μM 3CLpro and 90 nM–100 μM of tested compound. The dose-response relationship of 72 compounds that did not result in this typical curve were filtered out.

To eliminate nonspecific inhibitors, the effect of the remaining 72 compounds on 3CLpro activity in the presence of bovine serum albumin (BSA) was determined. If the degree of inhibition of 3CLpro by a compound is decreased in the presence of BSA, inhibition is not likely to be targeted exclusively toward 3CLpro. These so-called “promiscuous” inhibitors likely form aggregates in solution that nonspecifically absorb or adsorb the target enzyme [39]. Only three compounds showed any marked reduction in enzyme inhibition in the presence...
of BSA, with the greatest effect being a decrease of only 20%; these compounds were removed from the prospective inhibitor pool.

The remaining 69 potential candidates were predominated by compounds with at least one potentially reactive center (Table 1). The thiol side chain of the active site cysteine of 3CLpro is necessarily a good nucleophile and therefore has the capacity to combine with a variety of electrophilic functionalities to form covalent adducts. This reaction is the basis for several classes of existing peptidic inhibitors of cysteine proteases which include \( \text{R}-\text{unsaturated ketones, esters, and amides} [40, 41] \) (Table 1, 1–4) and nitriles [42] (Table 1, 15). In addition, \( \text{N}-\text{substituted maleimides} \) (Table 1, 14) have long been recognized as being reactive toward thiols in general, and cysteine proteases in particular [43]. The two identified sulfur containing compounds (Table 1, 13) are also capable of forming disulfide linkages with the catalytic thiol of 3CLpro to inactivate the enzyme [44].

The dose-response curves for these five compounds with 3CLpro yielded \( IC_{50} \) values of 0.5–7 \( \mu M \) (Figure 5). To evaluate the selectivity of each compound, we investigated their ability to inhibit four other proteinases with varying structural and mechanistic relatedness to SARS-CoV 3CLpro. The Hepatitis A virus (HAV) 3Cpro, like all picornaviral 3Cpros, is similar in structure, mechanism, and substrate specificity to 3CLpro [11, 14, 45]. The Hepatitis C Nonstructural 3 proteinase (NS3pro) and chymotrypsin are serine proteases with the same two \( \beta \)-barrel fold as 3CLpro [13, 46]. Finally, papain is a paradigm cysteine proteinase with an active site Cys/His/Asp catalytic triad whose structural fold does not resemble that shared by chymotrypsin, NS3pro, 3CLpro, and 3Cpro. It was difficult in some cases to obtain a full dose-response curve for every inhibitor with each of the proteinases due to interfering compound fluorescence and/or compound insolubility under each of the assay conditions. In such cases, if the lack of data at higher compound concentrations precluded interpolation of a reliable \( IC_{50} \) value, the \( IC_{50} \) is reported as a lower limit (Table 2). Alternatively, those compounds for which enzyme inhibition was not seen at the highest concentration tested, are indicated accordingly. It is important to note that in the absence of full mechanistic analyses, the \( IC_{50} \) values are intended to reveal profound differences in

### Table 1. Some of the Functional Groups of the 69 Filtered Hits from the Screening Campaign that Are Potentially Reactive with the Catalytic Thiol in the Active Site of 3CLpro

| General Structure\(^a\) | Group | X     | Y     | Z     | No. of Hits in Group\(^b\) |
|-------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------------------------|
|                         | 1     | O     | CH2   | CH    | 10                        |
|                         | 2     | O     | O     | CH    | 5                         |
|                         | 3     | O     | O     | N     | 2                         |
|                         | 4     | O     | N     | CH    | 9                         |
|                         | 5     | S     | N     | CH    | 1                         |
|                         | 6     | S     | N     | N     | 1                         |
|                         | 7     | O     | CBr   | —     | 1                         |
|                         | 8     | O     | —     | —     | 5                         |
|                         | 9     | N     | —     | —     | 12                        |
|                         | 10    | S     | —     | —     | 5                         |
|                         | 11    | S     | —     | —     | 4                         |
|                         | 12    | N     | —     | —     | 1                         |
|                         | 13    | —     | —     | —     | 2                         |
|                         | 14    | —     | —     | —     | 6                         |
|                         | 15    | —     | —     | —     | 16                        |

\(^a\) R1, R2, and R3 are a variety of aromatic and aliphatic substituents.

\(^b\) The sum of the number of compounds in each group is greater than 69 as several compounds contained more than one of the listed functionalities.
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Potency to provide insight into the selectivity of the inhibitors, and to aid in their prioritization as leads for continuing studies.

Compound MAC-22272 showed the least selectivity with respect to 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} ($IC_{50} = 2.6 \, \mu M$), as it was the only inhibitor capable of inactivating all of the proteases studied, although the response with NS3\textsuperscript{pro} ($IC_{50} > 500 \, \mu M$) was significantly lower than with the other enzymes. MAC-5576 showed some selectivity toward those proteases with a chymotrypsin-like fold, as it was seen to inhibit 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} ($IC_{50} = 0.5 \, \mu M$), HAV 3C\textsuperscript{pro} ($IC_{50} = 0.5 \, \mu M$), and chymotrypsin ($IC_{50} = 13 \, \mu M$), although no effect was seen with NS3\textsuperscript{pro}, which also shares this structural motif. Papain, which lacks this fold, was unaffected by MAC-5576. An important difference between 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} and 3C\textsuperscript{pro} is that the coronaviral enzyme is only functionally active as a dimer whereas 3C\textsuperscript{pro} is active as a monomer in vitro. The interaction between the 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} subunits is sufficiently weak that disrupting the dimerization interface has been proposed as a promising target for novel antiviral agents [32]. In view of the relatedness between 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} and HAV 3C\textsuperscript{pro}, it is therefore likely that of the five secondary hits, those that are also active toward HAV 3C\textsuperscript{pro} do not interact with 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} at its dimer interface, but at a site that is common to both proteases.

Considering the mechanistic and structural relationships between 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} and HAV 3C\textsuperscript{pro}, and to a lesser extent NS3\textsuperscript{pro}, we were interested to learn that some of the compounds showed highest activity against these related enzymes. In addition to inhibiting 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} with an $IC_{50}$ of 7 \, \mu M, compound MAC-30731 was observed to have relatively potent activity against HAV 3C\textsuperscript{pro} ($IC_{50} = 54 \, \mu M$) and NS3\textsuperscript{pro} ($IC_{50} = 71 \, \mu M$), with only weak impact on chymotrypsin ($IC_{50} > 800 \, \mu M$).

MAC-8120 and MAC-13985 also demonstrated interesting selectivity profiles with good activity against SARS-CoV 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} ($IC_{50}$ Values were 4.3 and 7 \, \mu M, respectively) and no detectable inhibition of any of the other proteases tested. These particular compounds, however, proved to be difficult in the assays of HAV 3C\textsuperscript{pro} and NS3\textsuperscript{pro} due to background fluorescence, and could not be tested at concentrations greater than 5 \, \mu M. Nevertheless, no detectable inhibition was seen at that concentration, indicating that an $IC_{50}$ for these molecules would necessarily be much higher.

The protease selectivity data, therefore, point to two molecules with apparent selectivity for 3CL\textsuperscript{pro}, MAC-8120 and MAC-13985. These may well be the most promising leads for further mechanistic characterization and optimization efforts toward a protease-based antiviral for SARS-CoV. One molecule, MAC-30371, has an interesting selectivity for the picornaviral-like proteases and could prove to be a viable lead for this family of proteolytic enzymes.

A search of the literature for any studies involving the five secondary hits showed that at present, none have been identified as protease inhibitors. Of particular significance, however, is the finding that MAC-8120 has been identified as an inhibitor of triosephosphate isomerase from Trypanosoma cruzi, T. brucei, human, and yeast ($IC_{50} = 56 \, \mu M$ to 4 \, mM) [47]. This study examined the inhibition of the isomerase by a series of substituted benzothiazoles, and indicated that MAC-8120 interacted with cysteine residues on the enzyme that were previously shown to be central in dimerization. This interaction, although as yet uncharacterized, was reported to perturb the contact interface of the subunits of the homodimer to inactivate the enzyme. It is therefore quite possible that MAC-8120 associates with the active site cysteine of 3C\textsuperscript{pro} in a similar manner to disrupt its catalytic activity. The exact mechanism of inhibition of 3C\textsuperscript{pro} by MAC-8120 and the remaining secondary hits requires further study and characterization in order to evaluate their potential to act as leads for the development of novel therapeutics against SARS-CoV, and potentially, other picornaviral-like proteases. Additionally, the core structure of these compounds can be chemically modified to improve key physical properties such as potency and solubility.

It is clearly evident that the 3C\textsuperscript{pro} screening campaign has generated a wealth of data that can be mined for a number of studies. While it was our intention to identify novel small molecules that would lead to the development of therapeutics effective against SARS-CoV, the results generated by the screening campaign can be applied to other types of studies of 3C\textsuperscript{pro} and related proteases including the picornaviral 3C\textsuperscript{pro}. To this end,
Table 2. Summary of IC50 Values of the Five Secondary Hits with SARS-CoV 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} and Four Other Proteases

| Compound   | Structure   | 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} (µM) | HAV 3C\textsuperscript{pro} (µM) | NS3\textsuperscript{pro} (µM) | Chym\textsuperscript{a} (µM) | Papain (µM) |
|------------|-------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|
| MAC-5576   |             | 0.5 ± 0.3                     | 0.54 ± 0.09\textsuperscript{f}   | N.I. (500)                    | 13 ± 5                     | N.I. (500)  |
| MAC-8120   |             | 4.3 ± 0.5                     | N.I. (5)                         | N.I. (5)                      | N.I. (500)                 | N.I. (500)  |
| MAC-13985  |             | 7 ± 2                         | N.I. (5)                         | N.I. (5)                      | N.I. (500)                 | N.I. (500)  |
| MAC-22272  |             | 2.6 ± 0.4                     | 0.9 ± 0.1                        | >500\textsuperscript{g}       | 29 ± 12                    | 18 ± 9      |
| MAC-30731  |             | 7 ± 3                         | 54 ± 16                          | 71 ± 14                       | >800                       | N.I. (500)  |

\textsuperscript{a}To calculate IC50 values, dose-response data were fit to a 3-parameter equation (Equation 2), excluding †, in which a 4-parameter equation (Equation 3) was used (see Experimental Procedures).

\textsuperscript{b}Bovine pancreas chymotrypsin.

\textsuperscript{c}No inhibition seen at the concentrations of compound tested; highest concentration tested in brackets (in µM).

\textsuperscript{d}Protease inhibition was seen, but insufficient data were obtained to calculate a reliable IC50 value.

we have made the complete primary screening data set and corresponding compound structures available for download from the McMaster HTS Lab (http://hts.mcmaster.ca/sars). Interested parties are invited to review the data and mine it for their own studies.

Significance

In response to the initial SARS outbreak of 2003, a concerted effort by the international scientific community identified the causative agent of this infection as a novel coronavirus, SARS-CoV. Characterization of this virus and the development of appropriate therapies soon followed and remains a continuing global effort. The main proteinase of SARS-CoV, 3CL\textsuperscript{pro}, plays a crucial role in viral replication and is therefore an excellent target for therapeutics effective in treating SARS. Our contribution to the anti-SARS initiative was to employ a high-throughput screening strategy to identify small molecules that specifically target 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} in order to generate new therapeutic leads. HTS is an efficient and rapid means of assessing the effect of a large number of compounds on a target of interest; we feel that such campaigns should play an integral part of any initial investigations undertaken to combat newly emerging infectious agents.

A quenched-FRET assay was developed and used to evaluate the activity of 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} in the presence of 50,000 small drug-like molecules; of these compounds, 572 were shown to inhibit 3CL\textsuperscript{pro}. Utilizing a series of filters, this number of compounds was reduced to five potent hits (IC50 = 0.5–7 µM) that represent novel leads. Secondary studies of these leads will investigate their mode of inhibition of 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} and their potential to provide a basis for innovative antiviral therapies.

By virtue of the number of molecules evaluated, this campaign has resulted in an extensive data set. As well as identifying inhibitors of 3CL\textsuperscript{pro}, the primary data from the screen can be utilized in numerous studies, such as identifying structure-activity relationships, by others investigating 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} in particular and other main proteinases in general. For this reason, the authors have made the primary screening data set publicly available in the hope that researchers will find this information useful in future studies.

Experimental Procedures

Chemicals and Reagents

All chemicals were of analytical grade and used without further purification. Restriction enzymes were purchased from New England Biolabs (Pickering, ON, Canada). All peptide substrates and activating peptides were synthesized by the Peptide Synthesis Laboratory, NAPS Unit, University of British Columbia (Vancouver, BC, Canada).

Strains, Media, and Growth

DNA was propagated using Escherichia coli DH5\textsubscript{α}. The protease was expressed using E. coli GJ1158 [48] transformed with pT7-7 derivatives [49]. E. coli strains were cultured at 37°C and 250 rpm in Luria-Bertani (LB) broth supplemented with the appropriate antibiotics. For expression, E. coli GJ1158 was cultured in LB broth with 100 µg/mL ampicillin.

Construction of Plasmids and Overexpression

DNA was manipulated using standard protocols [50]. A vector was designed to express 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} as an N-terminal, cleavable his-tagged protein. The expression vector was derived from pT7HP20, a deriva-
tive of pT7-7 [49] containing an approximately 300 bp XbaI/HindIII fragment from pLEHP20 [51]. A plasmid containing the coding sequence of the SARS-CoV 3CL<sup>pe</sup> was a generous gift from Genome Sciences Centre (Vancouver, BC, Canada). The gene encoding 3CL<sup>pe</sup> was amplified by PCR using the following oligonucleotides: SP1 (5'-AGATAAGGCTCAAGGGTATG-3') and SP2 (5'-TGGTGGTGGAGTTGAATAACCC-3'). SP1 introduces an XbaI site (underlined) at the beginning of the gene and SP2 introduces a HindIII site (underlined) and a stop codon (boldface) at the end of the coding sequence. The PCR reaction was performed using the Expand High Fidelity DNA polymerase system (Roche Applied Sciences, Laval, QC, Canada) according to the manufacturer’s instructions using an annealing temperature of 50°C. The resulting amplicon was digested with XbaI and HindIII and cloned in pT7HP20 yielding the expression plasmid pT7HSP1. The cloned gene was sequenced using an ABI 373 Strich (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) using Big-Dye terminators to verify its authenticity. The amplified gene and the original clone had a deletion (corresponding to guanine at position 32 of the coding sequence). The mutation was repaired in pT7HS1 using a convenient Bsm1 site. Accordingly, the oligonucleotide S3CDM (5'-GAAAAAGGCTTCCTCGTCAGGGAAGCTGATGC-3') contains the BsmI restriction site (underlined) and the missing nucleotide (boldface). A second PCR reaction was performed using S3CDM and SP3 and Pwo DNA polymerase (Roche Applied Sciences) according to the manufacturer’s conditions, using an annealing temperature of 50°C. The resulting amplicon was digested using BsmI and HindIII, and was used to replace the corresponding fragment in pT7HSP1. This yielded pT7HSP2, whose nucleotide sequence was confirmed as described above.

The his-tagged 3CL<sup>pe</sup> (ht-3CL<sup>pe</sup>) was expressed in E. coli GJ1158 freshly transformed with pT7HS2. The cultures were grown to an OD<sub>600</sub> of 0.5 in LB with low salt before inducing the expression of the protein by addition of 0.3 M NaCl. The cultures were incubated for an additional 12 hr before harvesting.

### Protein Purification

All buffers were prepared using water purified on a Barnstead NANopure UV apparatus to a resistivity of greater than 17 MΩ cm. Unless otherwise specified, all manipulations involving the protein were performed at 4°C. Cells from 4 liter of culture were harvested by centrifugation. The cell pellet (approximately 10 g) was washed twice with 500 ml of 20 mM sodium phosphate (pH 8.0), 10% glycerol and then was resuspended in 10 ml of the same buffer, containing 1 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, and 0.1 mg/ml DNase I. The cells were disrupted by three successive passages through a French Press (Spectronic Instruments Inc., Rochester, NY) using an operating pressure of 20,000 p.s.i. The cell debris was removed by ultracentrifugation at 37,000 rpm for 90 min in a T1250 rotor (DuPont Instruments, Wilmington, DE). The clear supernatant fluid (raw extract) was decanted.

The raw extract (approximately 20 ml) was loaded onto a glass Econo-Column column (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) containing 5 ml of Ni-NTA agarose resin (Qiagen Inc., Mississauga, ON, Canada) pre-equilibrated with 20 mM sodium phosphate (pH 8.0) and 10% glycerol. The column was then washed by the successive passage of 4 column volumes (CV) each of 20 mM sodium phosphate (pH 8.0); 20 mM sodium phosphate (pH 6.3); and 300 mM NaCl; and 20 mM sodium phosphate (pH 6.0), 20 mM imidazole, and 300 mM NaCl. The column was then washed with 2 CV of 20 mM sodium phosphate (pH 8.0) and 20 mM imidazole. The proteinase was eluted using 2 CV of 20 mM sodium phosphate (pH 8.0) and 150 mM imidazole. The eluted protein was exchanged into 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) by performing three rounds of concentration-dilution using a stirred cell concentrator equipped with a YM10 membrane (Amicon, Etobicoke, ON, Canada). The protein solution was concentrated to 16.8 mg/mL by centrifugation at 37,000 rpm for 90 min in a T1250 rotor (DuPont Instruments, Wilmington, DE). The clear supernatant fluid (raw extract) was decanted.

### SARS-CoV 3CL<sup>pe</sup> Kinetic Assay

SARS-CoV 3CL<sup>pe</sup> activity was measured by a quenched fluorescent resonance energy transfer (FRET) assay with the peptide substrate 2-aminobenzoyl-SVTLOSG-Tyr(NO<sub>2</sub>)-R. The rate of enzyme activity was determined by the increase in fluorescence upon continuous monitoring of reactions in 384-well black microplates (Naige Nunc International, Rochester, NY) (excitation wavelength = 330 nm, emission wavelength = 420 nm). Total assay volume was 50 μL and included 1 μM 3CL<sup>pe</sup>, 100 μM peptide substrate, 4.2% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (v/v) (Sigma, Oakville, ON, Canada), and 100 mM potassium phosphate (pH 6.0) (Sigma), unless noted otherwise. Reactions were done at room temperature, and were initiated by the addition of substrate. Steady-state kinetic parameters were evaluated using 16 μM–1 mM substrate and 1 μM 3CL<sup>pe</sup>; these data were corrected for inner filter effect by the method of Liu et al. [31] with the use of Abz-SVRLQ to calculate correction factors.

### Primary Screening

The screen of 3CL<sup>pe</sup> against 50,000 small molecules was fully automated with the use of a SAGIAN Core System (Beckman Coulter, Inc., Fullerton, CA) equipped with an ORCA arm for labware transportation, a Biomek FX with a 96-channel head for liquid handling, and an Analyst HT (Molecular Devices Corp., Sunnyvale, CA) for fluorescence detection; the entire system was integrated through SAMIL (v. 3.5, Beckman Coulter). Reactions were done in duplicate, and contained 10 μM library compounds sourced from Maybridge plc (Cornwall, UK), and peptide and 3CL<sup>pe</sup> as above. Wells containing high and low controls, where neat DMSO was added instead of library compounds, were included on each microplate; buffer was added to the reaction instead of 3CL<sup>pe</sup> for low controls. Primary hits were defined as those compounds that reduced the activity of 3CL<sup>pe</sup> to half of the average residual activity of the high controls.

### Secondary Screening

The dose-response curves of the representative hits from primary screening were determined by 3CL<sup>pe</sup> activity assays (as described above), in the presence of seven concentrations of inhibitor (0.1–100 μM) and 100 μM substrate. Data was fit to

\[ v = \frac{a}{1 + IC_{50}/s} \]

using GraFit (v. 4.0.10, Erithacus Software Ltd., Surrey, UK) (where \( v \) is the background corrected reaction rate, \( a \) is the reaction rate in the absence of inhibitor, \( IC_{50} \) is the concentration of inhibitor, and \( s \) is the slope factor) to calculate \( IC_{50} \) values. Compounds exhibiting sigmoidal semilogarithmic dose-response curves were tested by the described 3CL<sup>pe</sup> activity assay (with 31.2 μM of tested compound) in the presence and absence of 0.01% (w/v) bovine serum albumin (BSA) (Sigma) and 1 mM 4,1-dithio-D-L-threitol (DTT) (BioShop Canada Inc., Burlington, ON, Canada) in two separate studies. Those compounds whose inhibition of 3CL<sup>pe</sup> was not affected by the presence of BSA or DTT were classified as secondary hits.

### Evaluation of Hit Specificity

The dose-response of the secondary hits was determined with papain (Calbiochem, La Jolla, CA), bovine pancreas chymotrypsin (Calbiochem), Hepatitis A 3C protease (HAV 3C<sup>pe</sup>) (puriﬁed according to [52]) and the Hepatitis C Nonstructural 3 protease (NS3<sup>pe</sup>) (181 amino-terminal portion of the enzyme puriﬁed according to [46]) in the presence of five to eleven concentrations of each inactivator (5 nM–500 μM). Activity assays for papain (5 nM) and chymotrypsin (200 PM) were done at room temperature in a total volume of 50 μl in black 384-well microplates (Corning, Corning, NY). Activity assays for HAV 3C<sup>pe</sup> (0.5 μM) and NS3<sup>pe</sup> (0.23 μM) were done in black 96-well microplates in a total volume of 200 μl at 37°C and 30°C, respectively. The substrate for papain and chymotrypsin was a casein derivative extensively labeled with the green-ﬂuorescent BOD-
IPY FL dye (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR) [50 and 10 nM substrate for papain and chymotrypsin assays, respectively]. Substrates for HAV 3C" and NS3" were 10 μM Dabcyl-GLRTQSNDD(Edans)G and 100 μM Azb-DDVPCSMSY(No2)T, respectively. Enzyme activity for all proteases was determined by the increase in fluorescence upon continuous monitoring of reactions using either the Analyst HT (Molecular Devices Corp.) or Victor (PerkinElmer, Woodbridge, ON, Canada) fluorescence plate readers. Excitation and emission wavelengths, respectively, for each protease assay were 485 and 530 nm (papain and chymotrypsin), 355 and 460 nm (HAV 3C"), and 340 and 430 nm (NS3""). Buffer systems for each protease were as follows: papain, 10 mM MES (pH 6.2), 200 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA, and 1 mM DTT; chymotrypsin, 50 mM Tris/HCl (pH 7.8); HAV 3C", 100 mM potassium phosphate (pH 7.5), and 2 mM EDTA; NS3", 50 mM HEPES (pH 7.3), 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% Triton X-100, and 1 mM DTT. For the NS3" activity assay, the enzyme was incubated with 15 μM 4A activating peptide (acetyl-KKKGSVIVGRILSQR-NH2) at 30°C for 15 min in reaction buffer, then incubated with inactivator for an additional 15 min before initiation of the reaction with substrate. Dose-response data for these proteases with the secondary hits were fit to Equation 2 above, excluding HAV 3C" with MAC-5576, which was fit to

\[ v = \frac{a}{1 + \frac{(IC_{50})^2}{[C]^2}} + c \]  

(3)

(where c is the calculated background) since enzyme activity at the highest concentration of inhibitor did not fall to zero.

Mass Spectrometry

The mass of each secondary hit was confirmed by the McMaster Regional Centre for Mass Spectrometry (Hamilton, ON, Canada) using a Quattro Ultima triple quadrupole mass spectrometer ( Waters/Micromass, Manchester, UK) using an electrospray ionization source.
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