Colonic transit time and pressure based on Bernoulli’s principle
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Purpose: Variations in the caliber of human large intestinal tract causes changes in pressure and the velocity of its contents, depending on flow volume, gravity, and density, which are all variables of Bernoulli’s principle. Therefore, it was hypothesized that constipation and diarrhea can occur due to changes in the colonic transit time (CTT), according to Bernoulli’s principle. In addition, it was hypothesized that high amplitude peristaltic contractions (HAPC), which are considered to be involved in defecation in healthy subjects, occur because of cecum pressure based on Bernoulli’s principle.

Methods: A virtual healthy model (VHM), a virtual constipation model and a virtual diarrhea model were set up. For each model, the CTT was decided according to the length of each part of the colon, and then calculating the velocity due to the cecum inflow volume. In the VHM, the pressure change was calculated, then its consistency with HAPC was verified.

Results: The CTT changed according to the difference between the cecum inflow volume and the caliber of the intestinal tract, and was inversely proportional to the cecum inflow volume. Compared with VHM, the CTT was prolonged in the virtual constipation model, and shortened in the virtual diarrhea model. The calculated pressure of the VHM and the gradient of the interlocked graph were similar to that of HAPC.

Conclusion: The CTT and HAPC can be explained by Bernoulli’s principle, and constipation and diarrhea may be fundamentally influenced by flow dynamics.
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Introduction

The colonic transit time (CTT) is an important variable in constipation and diarrhea. High amplitude peristaltic contractions (HAPC) are believed to be an important factor that can change the CTT. However, HAPC do not directly involve strong spontaneous contraction of smooth muscle, but indirectly implies high pressure conduction in the lumen. In practice, experiments involving HAPC of human colon in vivo have been performed by measuring the pressure increase after expansion of a balloon placed in a liquid-filled lumen. Therefore, it is undeniable that HAPC is not only a neurological reflex caused by the pacemaker’s spontaneous contraction and colonic distention, but also the continuous conduction of pressure generated by increasing flow volume. Studies with manometers have discussed increasing pressure by contractility of the intestines, but endoscopic research has shown that the pressure increases when the lumen expands. Namely, it is possible that HAPC is not only a continuous conduction...
of smooth muscle contraction, but is also a flow dynamic pressure transfer phenomenon. It is also known that amplitude of antegrade propagating pressure decreases in the ascending colon and increases from the descending colon to the sigmoid colon,\(^5\) and net hourly forward progress was greater in the left than right colon.\(^6\) The fact that HAPC is prone to awakening upon, and after, meals can be explained by an increase in the velocity of the colonic contents due to gravity.

The large intestine differs in caliber between the proximal and distal regions, and has 7 sphincters.\(^7\) In anatomical orbit studies,\(^6,8\) the colon transit markers have shown a tendency to stagnate just before the sphincters. This fact suggests that the low-velocity in the expanded part of the colon was caused by contraction of the distal section of the colon. The variations in CTT with inflow volume can be explained by the fact that bowel movement can be induced instantaneously with an antegrade continence enema (ACE), which involves injecting a large amount of liquid into the cecum from the cecostomy tube.\(^9\) Pressure, velocity, gravity, inflow volume, and caliber changes in the continuous lumen are all variables of Bernoulli’s principle, and equations of continuity. With these backgrounds, changes in transit time of the colon due to changes in the variables of Bernoulli’s principle were calculated. Specifically, pressure changes obtained from calculations were compared with HAPC, and the relationship between the changes in variables, and constipation and diarrhea, were further investigated.

**Methods**

**Two hypotheses**

- The CTT depends on caliber, cecum inflow volume, fluid density, fluid velocity, and gravity, and constipation and diarrhea are determined by Bernoulli’s principle.
- In the normal form of the large intestine, the change in pressure obtained by inflow volume is similar to HAPC.

**Basic formula for the research hypothesis**

**Bernoulli’s principle**

\[
\frac{1}{2} v^2 + \frac{P}{\rho} + gh = \text{Constant}
\]

\(\rho = \text{density of fluid, } v = \text{velocity of the fluid, } g = \text{gravitational acceleration, } h = \text{height, } P = \text{pressure}\)

**Equation of continuity**

\[Q = A_1 v_1 = A_2 v_2\]

\(A = \text{cross-sectional area, } Q = \text{flow volume}\)

**CTT calculation method**

CTT was calculated using Bernoulli’s principle and the equation of continuity, as shown in Figure 1.

**Setting the conditions for testing the hypothesis:**

1. Ignore the surface tension and compliance of the expanded intestinal wall.

**Figure 1** CTT calculation method using Bernoulli’s principle.

**Notes:** The transit time can be calculated from the inflow volume into the lumen, the diameter, and the length of the lumen.

**Abbreviation:** CTT, colonic transit time.
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2. Ignore friction by feces and tribology caused by mucus.
3. Ignore haustra and semilunar fold.
4. The caliber of the normal model, constipation model, and diarrhea model were set according to analysis of measured values, and figures based on past literature.1,10–14

Hypothesis verification method

Does the CTT depend on caliber, cecum inflow volume, fluid density, fluid velocity and gravity; and are constipation and diarrhea determined by Bernoulli’s principle?
The hypothesis was verified by calculating the velocity and CTT under the following conditions:

Virtual healthy model (VHM)
The caliber and length of a normal lumen and sphincter were set (Figure 2A). The caliber of the 7 lumen sphincters (Busi ring, Hirsch ring, Cannon ring, Payr–Strauss ring, Balli ring, Moul tier ring, Rossi ring) were set to 1 cm, and their length was set to 2 cm. From the cecum to the ascending colon, the cecum radius was 4 cm and the ascending colon radius was set to 3.25 cm. The negative influence by positional energy was calculated by gravity and height, and the stool density was set to 1.0 g cm\(^{-3}\). For the transverse colon, the radius was set to 2.7 cm, the stool density was set at 1.3 g cm\(^{-3}\), and the positional energy involvement was excluded. From the descending colon to the sigmoid colon, the radius of the sigmoid colon from the descending colon was set to 1.5 cm, the stool density was set at 1.3 g cm\(^{-3}\), and the positive influence by positional energy was calculated by gravity and height. For the sigmoid colon, the radius was set to 1.5 cm, the stool density was set to 1.3 g cm\(^{-3}\), and the positive influence by positional energy was calculated by gravity and height. The CTT was calculated by adding the transit times in each segment from the velocity obtained by the above.

Virtual constipation model (VCM)
The VCM was set primarily with reference to constipation with megacolon (Figure 2B).1,10–13 The wide caliber, without the 7 sphincters, was set as follows: the radius of the cecum was set to 6 cm; the radius of the ascending colon was set to 4 cm; the radius of the transverse colon, descending colon, and sigmoid colon were set to 3.25 cm; the radius of the rectum was set to 4.5 cm. Gravity and density were assumed to be equal to that of the VHM.

Virtual diarrhea model (VDM)
Irritable bowel syndrome with diarrhea was assumed as a type of diarrhea, and values were set with reference to findings from the 1950s, using colorectal contrast, continuously observed without using antispasmodic agent (Figure 2C).14 Namely, in addition to the 7 sphincters, it was assumed that there were a total of 15 narrow lumen, their calibers were set to 0.5 cm, similar to the sphincter. The different calibers were set as follows: the radius of the cecum was set to 2.5 cm, the radius of the ascending colon was set to 2 cm, the radius of the transverse colon was set to 1.5 cm, the radius of the descending colon and the sigmoid colon were set to 1.2 cm, and the radius of the rectum was set to 2 cm. Gravity and density were assumed to be equal to that of the VHM.

In order to clarify the velocity change according to the size of the lumen, each of the velocities were compared in VHM, VCM, and VDM under the cecal pressure of 80 mmHg and flow volume of 1 mL s\(^{-1}\). In addition, comparison of the CTT in the VHM, VCM, and VDM were calculated, giving the range of cecal flow volume as 0.1 to 1 mL s\(^{-1}\).

In the normal form of the large intestine, is the change in pressure obtained by inflow volume similar to HAPC?
In the VHM, inflow volume was set to 1 mL s\(^{-1}\), cecal pressure was varied (20–80 mmHg), and the pressures transmitted distally were calculated. Finally, whether or not the connected pressure data was similar to that of HAPC was investigated.

Results

CTT depends on caliber, cecum inflow volume, fluid density, fluid velocity, and gravity, and constipation and diarrhea are determined by Bernoulli’s principle
Comparison of velocity in VHM, VCM, and VDM
A high-velocity spike was noted at the sphincter site in the VHM (Figure 3). In the VCM, the velocity was very slow due to the wide lumen. In the VDM, the high velocity spike occurred more frequently than the VHM, and the velocity of the baseline increased due to a narrow caliber.

Comparison of CTT in VHM, VCM, and VDM
Among the 4 sections: 1) cecum and ascending colon; 2) transverse colon; 3) descending colon; and 4) sigmoid colon and rectum, the CTT of VCM was long at any site and was short at VDM (Figure 4). Furthermore, the descending colon had the shortest time among all the models.
The CTT and inflow volume were inversely proportional to the model with the same caliber.

\[
TQ = \text{Constant}
\]

\[
T = \text{CTT}, Q = \text{Flow volume}
\]

Compared to the VHM, the curve of the VCM was steep, and the curve of the VDM was gentle (Figure 5). Even with

**Figure 2** Setting of caliber and length.

**Notes:** (A) Setting of caliber and length of virtual healthy model: narrow calibers due to the sphincters were set. (B) Setting of caliber and length of virtual constipation model. (C) Setting of caliber and length of virtual diarrhea model. All lengths are given in centimeters. Italic type indicates the diameter. The number on arrow lines is the length for calculation of velocity and pressure. The number on broken lines is the length for calculation of CTT.

**Abbreviations:** Ba, Balli ring; Bu, Busi ring; C, Cannon ring; Ce, cecum; CTT, colonic transit time; H, Hirsch ring; M, Moulter ring; PS, Payr–Strauss ring; R, rectum; Ro, Rossi ring.

**Inflow volume and CTT due to difference in caliber**

The CTT and inflow volume were inversely proportional to the model with the same caliber.
The same flow volume as the VHM, the CTT was longer in the VCM and the CTT was shortened in the VDM. It was suggested that more flow volume is needed to shorten the CTT in the VCM. For example, to obtain a CTT equivalent to 0.1 mL s\(^{-1}\) (360 mL h\(^{-1}\)) in the VHM, a flow volume of 3 times (0.3 mL s\(^{-1}\) = 1080 mL h\(^{-1}\)) is required for the VCM.

In the VHM, the change in pressure obtained by inflow volume is similar to HAPC

The pressure from the cecum to the ascending colon, within the lumen, was reduced by gravity (Figure 6). In the transverse colon, the pressure change was slight; however, it increased sharply in the descending colon. When the cecal pressure was 20 mmHg, it became zero in the transverse colon. However, in the descending colon, it became positive pressure again, which persisted in the sigmoid colon and the rectum.

From the above, the following results were obtained.

- The velocity and the CTT varied depending on the diameter of the lumen and the inflow volume to the cecum.
- A high velocity spike occurs at the sphincter lumen, and as the number of narrow lumens of similar caliber increases, the overall velocity increases by these spikes.
- Even if the cecum velocity was low, the velocity increased with the flow volume. However, the velocity was decreased at the rectum.
- Changes in the transit time of diarrhea and constipation can be explained by flow dynamics.
- Based on Bernoulli’s principle, intestinal pressure changed due to changes in lumen diameter and gravity.
- The calculated intestinal pressure values were similar to the HAPC of standing healthy people due to the contraction of the physiological sphincter and gravity.
- When the internal pressure of the cecum was 20 mmHg or less, continuous positive pressure disappeared in the transverse colon, and positive pressure was observed from the descending colon, due to gravity.

Figure 3 Comparison of the velocity in the VHM, VCM, and VDM.

Note: In the VCM, the unit of velocity differed by 2 digits.

Abbreviations: VCM, virtual constipation model; VDM, virtual diarrhea model; VHM, virtual healthy model.
Figure 4 Comparison of transit times in each model.

Note: Results with bolus flow volume (1 mL s⁻¹)

Abbreviations: VCM, virtual constipation model; VDM, virtual diarrhea model; VHM, virtual healthy model.

Figure 5 Inflow volume and CTT in the VHM, VCM, and VDM.

Abbreviations: CTT, colonic transit time; VCM, virtual constipation model; VDM, virtual diarrhea model; VHM, virtual healthy model.
Constipation and diarrhea may be influenced by flow dynamics

Discussion

The empty colon has no motor activity. The myogenic control is exerted by oscillation of the smooth muscle membrane potential that occurs by stretching of the smooth muscle. The degree of this myogenic control can vary independently along the length and circumference of the gut wall. Constrictions that strongly occlude the lumen and propagate are effective in producing rapid propulsion in the direction of propagation of the contraction. Such movement may occur in a narrow lumen with contraction. However, will it occur in a wide lumen, with a weak contractile force in the colon? Increasing of inflow volume of the colon can stretch smooth muscle; however, the contraction force caused by the expansion is affected by the compliance of the colon wall. For example, in megacolon, where intestinal wall compliance is large, even the intestinal tract expands, meaning that effective pressure cannot be obtained: the volume at the same pressure increases compared to healthy subjects. However, even in patients with advanced colon failure (Hirschsprung’s disease, Ogilvie’s syndrome, chronic intestinal pseudo-obstruction, and megacolon) who do not respond to pharmacologic stimulation, there is evidence that ACE from cecostomy provides adequate defecation. This fact suggests that the factor of flow volume to the cecum can affect CTT. Namely, when fluid flows into the cecum, colon motor activity may be influenced not only by the contraction force and compliance of smooth muscle, but also by fluid dynamics. In the closed colon of experimental animals intraluminal pressure is increased according to peristaltic contractions. Pressure increase due to such contraction can be physically explained by Laplace’s law. However, in a living human without ileus, if another physical involvement within the colon is considered, because the colon is a long non-occluded space, Bernoulli’s principle rather than Laplace’s law should be applied. Recently, the author described the influence of Bernoulli’s principle in colon diverticular disease, obstructive colitis, and irritable bowel syndrome. These studies were informative in deducing that in vivo, in the large intestine lumen, which is a continuous tube of physiological stenosis and dilation, the substance can move according to flow dynamics. For this reason, in the present study, a virtual model of the large intestine was set, and it was investigated whether or not Bernoulli’s principle can be applied to constipation and diarrhea.

Colorectal movement is regulated by smooth muscle cells, interstitial cells of Cajal, Meissner’s plexus, Auerbach’s plexus, superior and inferior mesenteric nerve plexuses, and the parasympathetic nervous system. However, there are phenomena in colonic movement that cannot be explained by such nervous system control, for example, giant migrating contractions and HAPC. The details regarding their transmission are still unknown. Although it is known that the velocity of colonic contents decreases in the ascending colon, and increases from the descending colon to the sigmoid colon, the physiological reasons for this have not been elucidated. Some studies of normal subjects, measured using a wireless capsule (SmartPill), showed that the pressure was 60–80 mmHg in the cecum, which decreased to

Figure 6 The relationship between cecal pressure (20–80 mmHg) and pressure changes at each site.
20–40 mmHg in the central part of the large intestine, and then increased to 60–100 mmHg at the proximity of the rectum. Furthermore, this pressure fluctuation was very similar to the propagation data of high-amplitude propagating sequences, measured by the manometer in a healthy person. As shown in this study, such pressure fluctuations can be explained by positional energy due to the involvement of gravity. It is known that in conditions of zero gravity in space, the peak defecation activity that occurs due to waking and diet, decreases. It is also known that intestinal peristalsis decreases due to head-down bed rest, and there is evidence for the involvement of intestinal motility due to gravity.

In healthy humans, colonic motility exhibits multimodal rhythms over a periodicity that is close to 24 hours, with peak activity occurring in the morning after waking and following meals. In addition, both propagating and non-propagating motor activity of the colon are suppressed at night. Within 1–3 minutes of the initial bite of a meal, segmental contractions begin in the proximal and distal colon. Characteristic movement in the human colon, was thought to be: “reverse peristalsis” in the ascending colon, “pendular movements” in the transverse colon, and “mass peristalsis” in the descending colon. Gastrocolic reflex (GCR) was described in 1979 as “gastrocolic response” by Snape et al. They explained that this response was an increase in distal colon motor activity related to food intake. In a whole colon manometric study in 1989, GCR was observed in both the proximal and distal colon, but the response of the descending colon to eating was the most intense. In addition, GCR in the proximal colon increased early on, and was short-lived, but in the distal colon, there was a more sustained increase. GCR in the proximal colon is believed to be due to the stimulation of stomach dilatation, as a result of ingestion of food, that has reached the medulla oblongata via the vagus nerve, and then gone from the spinal cord to the pelvic splanchnic nerve. However, this theory is doubtful, as reactions like gastrocolic reflex occur even in spinal cord injured patients who block this pathway. Furthermore, even with spinal cord injury, it is possible to immediately induce defecation by ACE. For example, in ACE by polyethylene glycol (PEG) after cececostomy, the mean toilet-sitting time was 51.7 ± 3.5 minutes (range 10–180 minutes), with a mean irrigation dose of 847 ± 55 mL (23.0 ± mL/kg). For that reason, to examine the hypothesis of the pressure change in this study, the flow volume to the cecum was set at 1 mL s⁻¹ (60 mL min⁻¹), an amount that is consistent with gravity-infused ACE.

Mass movement is synonymous with mass peristalsis, and the relationship with HAPC was found using a pressure measurement experiment. The characteristics of HAPC are as follows: 1) occur spontaneously in response to pharmacological agents or colonic distention, 2) increase upon awakening, 3) are much more common during the day, 4) increase after meals, 5) a majority originate in the proximal colon; most do not propagate beyond the midcolon, and fewer than 5% reach the rectum, 6) can transfer colonic contents over long distances, 7) can be associated with internal anal sphincter relaxation, 8) are reduced in slow transit constipation and increased in diarrhea-predominant irritable bowel syndrome, and may explain disturbances of colonic transit in these conditions. Namely, changes in the propagation pressure that were calculated by the inflow amount of the cecum in this study, did not contradict the results of HAPC characteristics found in the previous report.

In the research of Bouchoucha et al, the determination of segmental CTT divided the colon into 3 parts: the “right colon” (cecum, ascending colon, hepatic flexure, right part of the transverse colon), the “left colon” (left part of the transverse colon, splenic flexure, descending colon), and the “terminal intestine” (sigmoid, rectum). Schematically, this division corresponds to the embryologic origin of the colon and its neural, vascular, and lymphatic correspondents, and to the physiological functions of these segments. The “right colon” is of mid-gut embryologic origin, and has a predominant function in the absorption of water, sodium, and chloride, and microbiota metabolism. The major part of the “left colon” is of hind-gut embryologic origin, and its main function is the transfer of feces. Finally, the “terminal intestine” plays a major role in defecation. Differences in the function of the left and right colon, as described above, may be due to the lower mobility of the right colon compared to the left. However, in vitro, smooth muscles of the human colon electrophysiology and mechanical characteristics showed no obvious difference between the right and left colon. For these reasons, the colon was regarded as a simple luminal organ, and the pure influence of colonic fluid dynamics was assessed. As a result of this study, it can be explained that the difference in the movement and function between the right and left colon is caused by a change in intestinal pressure and velocity due to the influence of fluid dynamics.

Corsetti et al reported that low-amplitude propagating sequences (LAPS) and pan-colonic pressurizations (PCP) were confirmed using new high-resolution colonic motor records. LAPS were both anterograde and retrograde; PCP were associated with relaxation of the anal sphincter and the simultaneous pressure rise in all colon regions; it is possible to explain these by pressure propagation of flow dynamics.
When theecal pressure is low, there is a possibility thatLAPS may occur, due to which positive pressure disappears during transmission (theoretically, when theecal pressureis 20 mmHg or less, it becomes negative pressure in the transverse colon). In addition, even the movement inside the lumen is quiescent due to release of the anal sphincter, which can be explained by pressure rise that occurs in the entire intestinal tract due to induction of flow dynamics movement, resulting in PCP.

The results of this study may bring about a debate regarding the clinical practice of gastroenterology. Even if 1000–2000 mL of water flows from the small intestine to the cecum in a healthy subject, it is absorbed in the large intestine, and the fecal water content is reduced to 100–200 mL. Therefore, water is absorbed in each segment of the large intestine. If the inflow amount decreases, the CTT will be extended, compared to the calculated result in this study. However, it is inferred that the relationship between the flow volume and CTT is the result of (Figure 5) substances that could be reduced by absorption, such as PEG. During saline lavage, fluid absorption of 2400–3200 mL could be expected, while 4000 mL of PEG would be expected to result in the absorption of only 190–250 mL over 3–4 hours of consumption. Namely, in the case of peroral ingestion of PEG, from the results shown in Figure 5, if total gut transit time, including the times of stomach and small intestine, the total time of whole gut cleaning is calculated to be about 4 hours when ingested at 0.2 mL s⁻¹(720 mL h⁻¹). This is not inconsistent with real clinical evidence. In the study of colonoscopy preparation, subjects given 4000 mL of PEG had a cleaning effect similar to subjects who were given 20 mg of bisacodyl, added to 2000 mL of PEG. As bisacodyl can induce HAPC, it is evident that increasing the non-absorbing liquid could have the same function as bisacodyl. Interestingly, 2000 mL of PEG plus bisacodyl was not superior to 4000 mL PEG for bowel cleansing for colonoscopy in patients with chronic constipation. This evidence suggests the usefulness of treatment of increasing the amount of fluid in chronic constipation. Indeed, there are many reports showing the effectiveness of PEG in chronic constipation in children, adults, and the elderly. In addition, not only the velocity of inflow, but also the total amount due to the inflow duration, influences the water absorption capacity in the large intestine. In the case of constipation without mega colon, the velocity increases as the inflow volume increases, so if VHM in this study causes constipation by low water content, lubiprostone and linaclotide, which increase the amount of fluid in the gastrointestinal tract, can cause a decrease in the CTT. However, differences in luminal diameter may be influenced not only by flow dynamics, but also by absorbable colonic mucosa surface area. Namely, in the case of chronic constipation with megacolon, the effect of laxatives in which increasing water content, may be limited by reabsorbed water. Ischemic colitis has been reported as a side effect of both stimulant laxatives and antidiarrheal drugs, but its mechanism is unknown. This enigma can be explained by Bernoulli’s principle because there is development of ischemia of the intestinal tract when the difference between the lumen with a wide caliber and narrow bore is large. In a colon with a narrow colonic lumen, partial rapid expansion with antidiarrheal drugs could cause partial high-pressure. Also, in the dilated colon, a partial strong contraction brought on by laxatives could cause high-pressure due to partial expansion of its proximal lumen. In addition, when many fermentations occur in the right colon, the gas build-up from fermentation reduces the velocity of movement in the right colon; as there is no positional energy in gas, a large quantity of gas can accumulate in the transverse colon. Therefore, a large amount of gas is an important problem to be solved for constipation therapy.

This study has many limitations, as indicated in the “Methods”. Several critical physiological features of relevance were ignored in order to test the hypothesis. In addition, stool properties other than stool density in the three models (VCM, VDM, and VHM) were not considered, including salt concentration, pH variation, as well as their simulative factors associated with microbiota and cytokines/hormones, and their interaction with colonic epithelial and smooth muscle layers. However, the functional significance of “control” could become clearer by elucidating the basic movement.
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