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Abstract

The Interstate Teacher Assessment and Support Consortium (InTASC) Model Core Teaching Standards and Learning Progressions for Teachers 1.0, developed by the Council of Chief State School Officers (CCSSO, 2013) in the United States, provide a set of expectations for essential knowledge, critical disposition, and performance needed for high-quality teaching. In this article, there are two parts. Part I addresses issues found in a current mandatory policy—edTPA. Part II explores how teacher educators can use the national teacher education standards to create a learning community where the voices of preservice teachers, teacher educators, and school personnel are equally valued.
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1. Introduction

The Interstate Teacher Assessment and Support Consortium (InTASC) Model Core Teaching Standards and Learning Progressions for Teachers 1.0 are developed by the Council of Chief State School Officers (CCSSO, 2013) in the United States. The InTASC standards and learning progressions provide a set of expectations for essential knowledge, critical disposition, and performances needed for high-quality teaching. These standards allow educators, both inservice and preservice teachers, to show “the increasing complexity and sophistication of teaching practice for each core standard across three developmental levels.” (CCSSO, 2013, p. 3). In particular, the learning progressions are to: 1) provide “a robust formative and supportive improvement process to help teachers become more effective,” and 2) to articulate “a continuum of growth and higher levels of performance with some specificity before holding practitioners accountable for those levels of performance” (p. 10). The InTASC standards and learning progressions facilitate educators in monitoring their own
progress as well and identifying professional learning suitable for their teaching context. This paper involves two parts. Part I addresses issues found in a current teacher performance assessment—edTPA. Part II explores how teacher educators can use the national teacher education standards to create a learning community where the voices of preservice teachers, teacher educators, clinical teachers, and school administrators are valued and it has no cost to preservice teachers.

2. Part One—Issues in the edTPA

The edTPA is a high-stakes assessment published by Pearson Education Incorporated in partnership with the Stanford Center for Assessment, Learning, and Equity. At present, eighteen states in the nation adopt edTPA. The majority of the states (32 states) use their own state teacher licensure testing and performance assessment. It is stated by the center that the 2014 edTPA is aligned with the 2013 InTASC model core teaching standards (SCALE, 2014). In special education, for example, preservice teachers are required to complete three tasks: planning, instruction, and assessment. This includes three to five lesson plans within the same learning unit, supportive documentation, video clips of teaching, and narrative commentaries on their teaching across planning, instruction, and assessment. To receive a good score, or at least a passing score, preservice teachers need to write all the information into a detailed set of edTPA rubrics (Au, 2013; Ratner & Kolman, 2016).

To submit their edTPA, preservice teachers have to convert the tasks into appropriate formats and upload them to a designated website. Preservice teachers can use the Pearson ePortfolio system or an integrated edTPA platform provider system such as Chalk & Wire, Data180, Digionication, Edthena, Folio180, Foliotek, Lessoncast, LiveText, PASS-PORT, TaskStream, Tk20, TORSH Talent, or Tumblefeed (edTPA, 2017a). Many universities require their preservice teachers to purchase an integrated edTPA platform provider system. Although Pearson Education Incorporated does not require preservice teachers to use an integrated edTPA platform provider system, many universities require preservice teachers to pass internal edTPA rubrics developed by the universities. To use the integrated edTPA platform provider system, preservice teachers are required to sign an agreement form for their university faculty to view, evaluate, and/or use their edTPA materials for training purposes. If preservice teachers’ edTPA portfolios do not pass the internal edTPA rubrics developed by the universities, they will be discouraged from submitting their edTPA portfolios to the Pearson ePortfolio system.

There are fifteen rubrics, five for each of the tasks. Scorers are hired by Pearson Education Incorporated and for scoring edTPA portfolios. Pearson Education Incorporated will send universities reports indicating their preservice teachers’ scores. No written feedback or suggestions for improvement are provided to preservice teachers or universities – only numbers. Parents must waive privacy rights and permit videotaping their children if they agree to help preservice teachers with edTPA. University faculty are permitted to use former preservice teachers’ completed edTPA for training purposes (edTPA, 2015). If preservice teachers fail edTPA, they are not allowed to make changes to their previous materials. They need to submit new edTPA materials for scoring (edTPA, 2017b). Currently, retaking one task
is $100, retaking two tasks is $200, and retaking three tasks is $300. Pearson Education Incorporated accepts edTPA portfolio submissions eleven months per year (except January).

2.1 A Mirror of No Child Left Act (NCLB)

The edTPA, like NCLB, punishes institutions for their learners’ failure based on grades. For example, “the New York State Department of Education (NYSED) has recommended that if fewer than 80% of a program’s candidates pass the edTPA, the teacher preparation program must submit a ‘professional development plan’ to the state” (O’Brien & Robb, 2017, p. 4). Like NCLB, to maintain a good standardized assessment passing rate, all kinds of inappropriate practices begin to appear in higher education teacher preparation programs. For instance, regardless of the high risk of revealing the identities of both former preservice teachers and their target learners, the prior meticulously-detailed edTPA materials are used in university classes for training purposes. Moreover, to avoid risk to the institution’s edTPA passing rate, universities become reluctant to place their preservice teachers in difficult schools with less support or classes that have too many challenging students (Chiu, 2014). Schools which do not buy into the edTPA or do not support preservice teachers in completing the edTPA portfolio will no longer receive preservice teachers from universities. Due to the fact that the edTPA only provides numerical feedback and the scorers “bring their particular backgrounds and teaching experiences that are difficult to completely separate from the evaluation process” (Othman, Robinson, & Molfenter, 2017, p. 4), it is unclear how much students’ scores are affected by the edTPA scorers’ backgrounds and experiences.

Au (2013) argues that the edTPA is a misuse of the thoughtfully-developed InTASC standards and learning progressions because it turns these standards into a high-stakes, standardized test. The initial value of the InTASC standards and learning progressions of emphasizing formative and useful feedback has now been replaced by a one-time summative assessment. A teacher educator in New York commented, “the edTPA moves teaching and teacher education in the wrong direction and was preventing candidates from engaging in important learning during their capstone student teaching experience” (Ratner & Kolman, 2016, p. 12). A former student of our institution (who has passed edTPA and is now a formal teacher) commented on the edTPA and said: “The edTPA does not tell us what specifically made us get that score! Just the number! The number does not give us information on ways to improve our instruction.” Bartlett, Otis-Wilborn, and Peters’s (2017) study further shows that teacher educators in three institution of higher education that prepared special educators, two in the Midwest and one in the Northeast, felt hard-pressed to find ways to support preservice teachers who were not successful on edTPA just based on the number. Overall, the numerical feedback from edTPA gives very little information about how preservice teachers should further improve.

2.2 School Administrators’ and Preservice Teachers’ Challenges

The inconsistency about edTPA implementation, including passing scores and resources, has caused confusion and challenges to the field. In a qualitative study done by Bartlett, Otis-Wilborn, and Peters (2017), school administrators in urban schools who are already facing a great shortage of special education teachers commented on edTPA:
Are you kidding? We’re gonna have somebody on their second year who I finally got hired in the state that has an incredible alarming shortage of special educators. You’re gonna tell me you’re gonna test somebody in their second year, they’re not gonna pass and then they’re gonna skedaddle from teaching...you think I’m gonna tell them to make time to do this as a teacher in my school? No way! (p. 10)

In addition, retaking edTPA also causes undue stress to preservice teachers because they need to enroll in a course and pay tuition and fees to maintain their student status. A professor commented on edTPA and said:

You likely enroll in another credit of student teaching or at least have to pay to be placed somewhere to possibly re-do the edTPA. And the burden shifts from us to students which is interesting. If you have a student that struggles in the first place to pass the edTPA and, then, you don’t provide them with the same amount of support you did when they’re going through, the chance of them being able to pass the retake is possibly less than it was the first time they did it, right? (p. 7)

To prepare preservice teachers for writing edTPA reflective narratives, some universities purchase edTPA preparation materials like ATLAS teaching videos and books, which all add to preservice teachers’ tuition and fees. Furthermore, Greenblatt and O’Hara (2015) argue that “the challenges of the edTPA are exacerbated in schools in low-income communities where our k-12 students often are not scoring well on standardized tests” (p. 59). How can preservice teachers be held accountable for their performance on the edTPA if schools lack the conditions to make it possible for them to be successful? The mandatory nature of the edTPA may not cause any big difference in schools that are already well-funded and have rich resources as well as students who are from upper-class families and can afford additional tuition and fees. However, it does more harm than good to schools, which are already facing a great shortage of personnel and resources, as well as students who already have mounting debt to earn their college degree.

2.3 Educators’ Dual Roles

Preparing preservice teachers based on national teacher education standards is university faculty’s duty and responsibility. If teacher leaders are in partnership with university teacher education programs and they receive stipends from universities to supervise preservice teachers during student teaching, preparing preservice teachers based on these standards is also their duty and responsibility. However, some university faculty members and teacher leaders are concurrently employed by Pearson Education Incorporated to serve as edTPA national scorers. According to edTPA (2015), “overall, approximately 50 percent of scorers hired are faculty/supervisors and 50 percent are teacher leaders” (p. 1). By serving as edTPA national scorers, they earn money from each edTPA portfolio they grade. University faculty who are edTPA national scorers employed by Pearson Education Incorporated advocate the use of the edTPA in universities regardless of their students’ financial burden. Despite the fact that faculty members’ dual roles and practices are questionable, universities still want to hire faculty who are edTPA national scorers, so they can ensure that their preservice teachers get prepared by those who are directly trained by Pearson Education Incorporated. Universities
now become “test-preparation” institutions. When more and more university faculty and teacher leaders want to become edTPA national scorers and use their regular work time to work on externally paid jobs, it does not provide a good role model to future educators.

2.4 Artificial Teaching Environment for Cultivating Short-Lived Skills

Once student teaching placement is decided, university faculty members, clinical teachers, and school administrators have to work together to identify “ideal” target learners and classes for their preservice teachers to complete the edTPA. Such settings are often artificial and are contrary to the real-classroom practices. For instance, professors from the University of Wisconsin and the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction argue that in the field of special education:

_The teacher candidates are expected to teach a group of students with one focus learner. Regardless of school and district-level inclusion policies and practices, the edTPA requirement that a special education candidate select one focus learner is not aligned with the desired learning outcome of the student teaching placement for the candidate._

(Othman, Robinson, & Molfenter, 2017, p. 5)

No public school can afford to hire one special education teacher to focus only on one learner. The teacher must equally focus on all learners in a group. To help candidates pass the edTPA, schools are pushed to create a platform for preservice teachers in spite of the reality. Not just in the field of special education, similar problems are also found in the field of English as a secondary language. Chiu (2014) states, “the world within the various edTPA tasks is unrealistic, and the task demands do not take into consideration the real-life demands of teaching in a public school classroom” (p. 29). Preservice teachers are reluctant to be placed in difficult settings with challenging students (Chiu, 2014). Furthermore, schools are required to excuse preservice teachers for missing their practice during student teaching to attend edTPA seminars like writing boot camps. On average, the written reflections are close to 30 single-spaced pages plus detailed lesson plans (Au, 2013; Ratner & Kolman, 2016). One of our clinical teachers commented on edTPA and said, “it is impossible and unrealistic that teachers can write such a lengthy lesson plan (three to four single-spaced pages per lesson) for each 50-minute class every day.” It is found that the edTPA has created financial, time, and stress burdens for preservice teachers, and has interfered with their teaching responsibilities (Burns, Henry, & Lindauer, 2015; Greenblatt, 2016; O’Brien & Robb, 2017).

It is concerning when preservice teachers mainly focus their time and energy of student teaching on crafting three to five potentially high-scoring lesson plans, teaching videos, assessments, and commentaries for the edTPA. The other lesson plans, activities, and materials that will not be submitted for the edTPA are done with lower quality due to the exhaustion of their time and energy. This shows that the edTPA only cultivate preservice teachers’ short-lived skills. Preservice teachers do not continue or transfer the skills that they use for the edTPA lessons to non-edTPA lessons. With preservice teachers’ time and energy shifting to prepare their edTPA portfolios, it will in turns affect students, especially those who are not the target learners of these preservice teachers (Othman, Robinson, & Molfenter, 2017).
2.5 A Means to Add Test-Preparation Avails

The negative impact of edTPA does not stop in school practices. It also affects higher education. Teacher educators understand that their preservice teachers must pass edTPA in order to be certified teachers. Thus, they have to change course contents and fieldwork experiences to prepare preservice teachers for edTPA (Othman, Robinson, & Molfenter, 2017). Bartlett, Otis-Wilborn, and Peters’s (2017) study shows that “across institutions, teacher educators engaged in curriculum mapping activities that purposefully matched edTPA content and tasks with courses and teaching experiences” (p. 5). O’Brien and Robb (2017) state, “the edTPA is driving virtually all coursework and assessment throughout our program now” (p. 6). Because passing the edTPA is all that matters, the fifteen edTPA rubrics have become the core value of teacher education. Comparing with knowing the purpose and value of education, preservice teachers now care more about how to best capture their target learners on video and how to answer edTPA questions to receive a high score on the rubrics (Chiu, 2014). Because the expertise of university faculty is devalued and teacher education programs become test preparation programs, it will soon affect how future educators view a higher education. Because non-traditional teacher preparation programs are also required to prepare candidates for edTPA, the edTPA policy is likely to encourage the development of companies which provide short- and fast-pathways for teacher preparation and aim at a high passing rate of high-stake exams (O’Brien & Robb, 2017).

2.6 Lack of a Meaningful Mentorship during Student Teaching

Many practices in preparing preservice teachers for the edTPA are contrary to the expectations of the InTASC standards and learning progressions. In this way, how can we expect that teachers will practice these standards when they become teachers? For example, the InTASC standards and learning progressions encourage a mentorship to be the center of creating a collaborative learning culture. However, the edTPA makes it clear that teacher educators are not allowed to provide critical comments on preservice teachers’ edTPA materials (on which preservice teachers spend the majority of their time and energy during their student teaching). Teacher educators are not allowed to show their preservice teachers how they will teach differently in the same context or guide them to think critically on their edTPA lessons. With all the restrictions, there is not much meaningful mentorship between university faculty and preservice teachers in the final semester of the program.

The InTASC standards and learning progressions are supposed to encourage preservice teachers to be active learners in the process of pursuing their teaching certification. These standards and progressions provide a vehicle for every stakeholder to work jointly and go beyond any individual becoming the only target to be changed. However, the edTPA discourages the development of a shared sense of responsibility for improving education. In the edTPA, only the voice of scorers employed by Pearson Education Incorporated matters. These scorers hold the highest authority and can deny a candidate’s teaching license on the spot. Moreover, preservice teachers need better reading, writing, and technological skills than pedagogical skills to pass the edTPA, (Greenblatt & O’Hara, 2015). Such an assessment promotes preservice teachers to write skillfully (or even lie) to pass edTPA. Not only teacher
educators, clinical teachers, and school administrators but also preservice teachers struggle with how making teaching conform to the edTPA is relevant to the real-classroom practices. While research-valid approaches are encouraged to be used in the classroom, the mandatory policy of requiring teacher educators and preservice teachers to abide by edTPA rubrics is not based on any rigorous empirical studies that show its impact on students’ learning.

3. Part Two—An Alternative Way to Use the InTASC Standards

One responsibility of teacher educators at universities is to use meaningful and cost-effective assessments to evaluate preservice teachers’ essential knowledge, critical dispositions, and performance during fieldwork and the student teaching semester. Appendix 1 shows an example about how teacher educators can flexibly use the national standards to maximize preservice teachers’ learning opportunities while keeping the spirit of the original InTASC standards. Learning from the six issues found in the edTPA, this alternative way of using the InTASC standards aims to:

- Promote shared responsibility for preservice teachers’ performance
- Advocate the use of national standards at no extra cost to preservice teachers
- Uphold the integrity of teacher educators’ profession
- Broaden the curriculum, rather than narrowing, to prepare preservice teachers for real-world classrooms
- Hold higher education teacher preparation programs accountable for preservice teachers’ progression of knowledge, dispositions, and performance
- Encourage a meaningful mentorship during the student teaching semester

This alternative assessment based on the InTASC standards opens a dialogue for preservice teachers, university faculty, clinical teachers, and school administrators to discuss, refine, and decide how to use the standards in the real-world classroom practice. All personnel (i.e., preservice teachers, university faculty, and school personnel) are welcome to share their input and discuss the use of the assessment instrument. In this alternative assessment, the standards serve as the impetus to engage all personnel in debate and dialogues about how to teach professionally and ethically. These standards are not to be used as rubrics to fail preservice teachers or to punish institutions. Instead, they provide information for all personnel to consider, question, and examine the common issues that they are facing in order to share the responsibility for professional growth. Because the assessment results are used to celebrate strengths and identify areas for all personnel’s growth and improvement, it encourages educators to view the use of standards positively and to develop a meaningful mentorship during fieldwork and the student teaching semester.

3.1 Suggested Steps about Using the InTASC Standards

Teacher educators can easily modify the InTASC standards and use them as one of the assessments to evaluate preservice teachers’ performance during fieldwork or student
teaching. The following suggested steps assist preservice teachers, university faculty, and school personnel in creating an alternative assessment based on the InTASC standards.

Prior to fieldwork or student teaching, university faculty schedule a meeting with their supervised preservice teachers to discuss the use of this alternative assessment. University faculty should inform preservice teachers that the indicators under each InTASC standard only serve as foundational points. These existing indicators can be (and should be) revised based on the respective cultural context of the school where the preservice teacher is placed. For example, integrative teaching skills may be emphasized in a Science, Technology, Engineering, Arts, and Mathematics (STEAM) school more than in a non-STEAM school.

University faculty will then meet with the teacher candidate’s clinical teacher and/or school administrator to discuss the use of this alternative assessment to evaluate the teacher candidate’s performance. Changes in the indicators of the InTASC standards should be made as needed.

After meeting with preservice teachers, clinical teachers, and school administrators, university faculty will revise and finalize this alternative assessment. Taking into account contextual factors, one teacher candidate’s assessment may be slightly different from the other teacher candidate’s assessment. Furthermore, with a little help from university IT staff, teacher educators can make this alternative assessment (see Appendix 1) an editable PDF so that evaluators could type digitally in the final column. It will be even user-friendly to make the checkboxes clickable and code it so that the number of boxes will auto-fill. Overall, this alternative assessment allows both quantitative and qualitative feedback from all personnel to inform instructional support.

How often all personnel should communicate their feedback is decided based on their discussions. Take student teaching as an example, the feedback can be provided in the middle and at the end of the semester or as many times as needed. The evaluation data are to be used to inform ongoing instructional support to preservice teachers.

In addition to this alternative assessment, university faculty should consider multiple assessment data, both formal assessments (e.g., state teacher certification examinations) and informal assessments (e.g., feedback from students, parents, colleagues, and course
instructors of the preservice teacher). Based on preservice teachers’ overall performance across different data sources, university faculty can guide them for future professional development.

4. Conclusion

It is easy for university faculty not to do their job and simply ask preservice teachers to pay extra money to be evaluated by the outside profession. It is also attempting for university faculty to find a way to make extra money alongside their regular work hours. A policy like the mandate of the edTPA can cripple the development of a genuine education by encouraging people to work simply “by the book” (i.e., Just follow the edTPA handbook to prepare the teacher!). Education must empower and cultivate individuals to reject and resist an inappropriate policy in all its forms. After all, when a policy benefits interest groups more than the people it intends to serve, it will bring the whole system to halt. If following the national teacher education standards to prepare preservice teachers is university faculty’s duty and responsibility, we should ask: Do teacher educators really need a corporation to help them evaluate their teaching? If so, why is it at preservice teachers’ expense to “prove” that their universities are using the InTASC standards to prepare them?
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Appendix

Appendix 1. An Example of Using the InTASC Standards Alternatively

Standard 1: Learner Development

The teacher uses understanding of how learners grow and develop (in cognitive, linguistic, social, emotional, and physical areas) to design and implement developmentally appropriate and challenging learning experiences.

| 1: Essential | 2: Professional | 3: Exemplary | Evaluated by: |
|--------------|-----------------|--------------|---------------|
| Respects students for who they are | Supports and challenges students to uncover potential | Grows alongside students | Preserve teacher University faculty School personnel |
| □ Drawing on her/his understanding of child and adolescent development, the teacher observes learners, noting changes and patterns in learners across areas of development, and seeks resources, including from families and colleagues, to adjust teaching. | And… | And… | Progress monitoring: |
| □ The teacher actively seeks out information about learner interests in order to engage learners in developmentally appropriate learning experiences. | □ The teacher builds mental models of variations in typical development based on experience with each learner and uses those models to adjust instruction. | □ The teacher uses understanding of the interconnections among different areas of development to find entry point(s) to support learner development. | # of boxes checked/total # of boxes section |
| □ The teacher engages learners in a variety of learning experiences to capitalize on strengths and build areas of development that are weaker. | □ The teacher incorporates the perspectives of the child and their family/community to integrate new resources and strategies for learner development. | □ The teacher communicates regularly with families to mutually understand learner development and engages the learner in understanding, analyzing, and communicating their own growth and needs. | Descriptive feedback about needed support (e.g., training, task clarification, resources/materials, performance consequence, etc.) |
| | □ The teacher seeks and uses in-school and out-of-school resources to support and accelerate each student’s learning and development. | □ The teacher regularly analyzes and reflects on learners’ abilities in order to individualize instruction and take responsibility for the optimal development of each and every learner. |
| | □ The teacher recognizes individual learners’ development and calibrates learning experiences, using an appropriate balance of support and challenge, to move learners toward their next levels of development. | | Supportive documents: |

Note. Modified from the InTASC Model Core Teaching Standards and Learning Progressions for Teachers 1.0 (2013, Page 18).
Standards 2: Learning Differences

The teacher uses understanding of learners’ commonalities and individual differences within and across diverse communities to design inclusive learning experiences that enable each learner to meet high standards.

| 1: Essential | 2: Professional | 3: Exemplary | Evaluated by: |
|--------------|-----------------|--------------|---------------|
| Abides by legal requirements of accommodation | Continues to deepen understanding and adapt instruction | Challenges students, provides support, and cultivates respect for diverse culture | Preserve teacher University faculty School personnel |
| □ Drawing upon her/his understanding of second language acquisition, exceptional needs, and learners’ background knowledge, the teacher observes individual and groups of learners to identify specific needs and responds with individualized support. | And… | And… | Progress monitoring: |
| □ Recognizing how diverse learners process information and develop skills, the teacher incorporates multiple approaches to learning. | The teacher continuously expands and deepens her/his understanding of differences and their impact on learning, using interactions with learners and data on learner performance to adjust goals and instruction. | Across a range of differences, the teacher anticipates and enhances access to challenging learning experiences by providing appropriate guidance, instruction, and resources. | # of boxes checked/total # of boxes section |
| □ Using information on learners’ language proficiency levels, the teacher incorporates tools of language development into planning and instruction to make content and academic language accessible to linguistically diverse learners. | The teacher responds to student learning cues by pacing and adjusting instruction, enhancing access to challenging learning experiences, and making timely provisions for individual learners with particular learning differences or needs. | The teacher uses a variety of approaches to make concepts clear and provides extensions that engage learners in deepening academic content in real-world situations. | Descriptive feedback about needed support |
| □ The teacher strategically uses learners’ primary language to support transfer of language skills and content knowledge. | The teacher engages learners in assessing their strengths and learning preferences and identifies ways to promote each student’s growth. | The teacher challenges each learner by adapting, scaffolding, enriching, and accelerating instruction to facilitate higher order thinking such as inquiry and creative expression. | Supportive documents: |
| □ The teacher applies interventions, modifications, and accommodations based on IEPs, IFSPs, 504s and other legal requirements, seeking advice and support from specialized support staff and families. | The teacher refines her/his understanding of language proficiency levels, the teacher develops a range of supports to assist learners in developing content understanding and language proficiency. | The teacher guides learners in individualized goal-setting and progress monitoring. | |
| □ The teacher follows a process, designated by a school or district, for identifying and addressing learner needs and documents learner progress. | The teacher strategically uses learners’ primary language to support transfer of language skills and content knowledge. | The teacher guides learners in taking responsibility for their own learning. | |
| □ The teacher strategically uses learners’ primary language to support transfer of language skills and content knowledge. | The teacher facilitates learners’ understanding of diverse communities within and outside of their own communities. | The teacher works with language learners to build a common understanding of their experiences and needs, and collaborate on strategies to support language learning. | |
| □ The teacher adapts instruction and uses modified materials, resources, tools, and technology to address learners’ diverse needs. | And… | And… | |

*Note.* Modified from the InTASC Model Core Teaching Standards and Learning Progressions for Teachers 1.0 (2013, Page 19).
Standard 3: Learning Environment

The teacher collaborates with others to build a positive learning climate marked by respect, rigor, and responsibility and manages that learning environment to engage learners actively.

| 1: Essential | 2: Professional | 3: Exemplary | Evaluated by |
|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-------------|
| Conveys expectations for a respectful learning environment | Models respectful interactions | Collaborates with others to promote respectful interactions | Preserve teacher |
| And… | And… | And… | University faculty |
| ☐ The teacher sets appropriate expectations for the learning environment following school/district policies and communicates expectations clearly to families. | ☐ The teacher collaborates with learners in setting expectations for a learning climate that include openness, mutual respect, support, and inquiry and in sharing those expectations with learners’ families. | ☐ The teacher collaborates with learners, families, and colleagues to build a safe, positive learning climate. S/he engages learners, families, and colleagues in expressing mutual expectations for openness, respect, support, and inquiry. | School personnel |
| ☐ The teacher articulates explicit expectations for a safe, positive learning environment, including norms for behavior that include respect for others, as well as responsibility for preparation and completion of work. S/he develops purposeful routines that support these norms. | ☐ The teacher promotes positive peer relationships in support of the learning climate. | ☐ The teacher facilitates the development of school-wide norms and values related to respectful interaction, rigorous discussions, and individual and group responsibility for quality work. | |
| ☐ The teacher communicates verbally and nonverbally in ways that demonstrate respect for each learner. | ☐ The teacher guides the development of classroom norms and values related to respectful interaction, full engagement in discussions, and individual responsibility for quality work. | ☐ The teacher promotes celebration of learning by engaging learners in showcasing their learning and interacting with community members about the quality of their work. | |
| ☐ The teacher is a responsive and supportive listener, seeing the cultural backgrounds and differing perspectives learners bring as assets and resources in the learning environment. | ☐ The teacher models respectful interaction, verbally and nonverbally, and is responsive to the cultural backgrounds and differing perspectives learners bring to the learning environment. | ☐ The teacher supports learners’ independence and self-direction in identifying their learning needs, accessing resources, and using time to accelerate their learning. | |
| ☐ The teacher manages the learning environment, organizing, allocating and coordinating resources (e.g., time, space, materials) to promote learner engagement and minimize loss of instructional time. | ☐ The teacher actively involves learners in managing the learning environment and making full use of instructional time. S/he employs strategies to build learner self-direction and ownership of learning. | ☐ The teacher supports learners’ growing ability to participate in decision-making, problem solving, exploration, and invention, both suggesting resources and guiding their independent identification of resources. | |
| ☐ The teacher varies learning activities to involve whole group, small group and individual work, to develop a range of learner skills. | ☐ The teacher provides options and resources to engage learners with subject matter and to develop their skills in both collaborative and self-directed learning. | ☐ The teacher collaborates with learners in identifying possibilities for learning locally and globally through responsible use of interactive technologies. | |
| ☐ The teacher provides opportunities for learners to use interactive technologies responsibly. | ☐ The teacher expands the options for responsible use of interactive technologies to extend learning. | | |

Note. Modified from the InTASC Model Core Teaching Standards and Learning Progressions for Teachers 1.0 (2013, Pages 22-23).
Standard 4: Content Knowledge

The teacher understands the central concepts, tools of inquiry, and structures of the discipline(s). She/he creates learning experiences that make the discipline accessible and meaningful for learners to assure mastery of the content.

| 1: Essential | 2: Professional | 3: Exemplary | Evaluated by |
|--------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|
| Demonstrates accuracy and uses a variety of instructional methods | Expands and deepens knowledge and helps students connect to real-life application | Expands teaching repertoire through collaboration, and promotes critical thinking | Preserve teacher/University faculty/School personnel |
| The teacher accurately and effectively communicates concepts, processes and knowledge in the discipline, and her/his use of vocabulary and academic language is clear, correct and appropriate for learners. | The teacher seeks ways to expand or deepen her/his content knowledge and ways of representing it for learners, presenting diverse perspectives to engage learners in understanding, questioning, and analyzing ideas. | The teacher collaborates with others to expand her/his content knowledge in order to keep up with changes in the discipline. | # of boxes checked/total # of boxes section |
| The teacher draws upon her/his initial knowledge of common misconceptions in the content area, uses available resources to address them, and consults with colleagues on how to anticipate learners’ needs for explanations and experiences that create accurate understanding in the content area. | The teacher discovers additional learner misconceptions and uses the processes, vocabulary, and strategic tools of the discipline to build accurate and deep understanding. She seeks out or develops resources to fill gaps in learner understanding. | The teacher collaborates with colleagues to expand her/his repertoire of representations and explanations of content, including perspectives appropriate to learners from different cultures, linguistic backgrounds, and with varied interests, prior knowledge, and skill levels. | Descriptive feedback about needed support |
| The teacher uses multiple representations and explanations that capture key ideas in the discipline, guide learners through learning progressions, and promote each learner’s achievement of standards. | The teacher provides multiple representations and explanations of key ideas, with connections to varied learner background knowledge and experiences. She evaluates and modifies instructional resources and curriculum materials to be more accessible and meaningful for her/his learners. | The teacher collaborates with colleagues to expand her/his repertoire of representations and explanations of content, including perspectives appropriate to learners from different cultures, linguistic backgrounds, and with varied interests, prior knowledge, and skill levels. | Supportive documents: |
| The teacher engages learners in applying methods of inquiry used in the discipline. | The teacher guides learners in critiquing processes and conclusions using standards of evidence appropriate to the discipline. | The teacher facilitates learners’ independent use of methods of inquiry and standards of evidence in the discipline. | |
| The teacher links new concepts to familiar concepts and helps learners see them in connection to their prior experiences. | The teacher stimulates learner reflection on the connection between prior content knowledge and new ideas and concepts. | The teacher facilitates learner autonomy in examining new concepts in relationship to their growing base of content knowledge. | |
| The teacher models and provides opportunities for learners to understand academic language and to use vocabulary to engage in and express content learning. | The teacher uses a variety of methods to scaffold learner use of academic language allowing learners to engage in and express complex thinking. | The teacher engages learners in identifying diverse perspectives in discipline-specific inquiry to expand competence in the use of academic language. | |
| The teacher consults with other educators to make academic language accessible to learners with different backgrounds. | | | |

**Note.** Modified from the InTASC Model Core Teaching Standards and Learning Progressions for Teachers 1.0 (2013, Pages 25-26).
Standard 5: Application of Content

The teacher connects concepts, perspectives from varied disciplines, and interdisciplinary themes to real world problems and issues. She/he engages learners in critical thinking, creativity, collaboration, and communication to address authentic local and global issues.

| 1: Essential | 2: Professional | 3: Exemplary | Evaluated by |
|--------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|
| Cultivates integrative, critical thinking, and effective communication | Collaborates with others across disciplines to promote inquiry and communication. | Applies knowledge to plan and carry out research to resolve real-life problems | Preserve teacher University faculty School personnel |

- The teacher helps learners see relationships across disciplines by making connections between curriculum materials in a content area and related perspectives from another content area or areas.
- The teacher engages learners in applying content knowledge and skills in authentic contexts.
- The teacher engages learners in learning and applying the critical thinking skills used in the content area(s). S/he introduces them to the kinds of problems or issues addressed by the content area(s) as well as the local/global contexts for those issues.
- The teacher engages learners in developing literacy and communication skills that support learning in the content area(s). S/he helps them recognize the disciplinary expectations for reading different types of text and for writing in specific contexts.
- The teacher provides opportunities for learners to show their understanding in unique ways.
- The teacher guides learners in gathering, organizing and evaluating information and ideas from digital and other resources and from different perspectives.
- The teacher structures interactions among learners and with local and global peers to support and deepen learning.

And…
- The teacher collaborates with colleagues in another discipline to create learning experiences that engage learners in working with interdisciplinary themes. S/he guides learners to apply knowledge from more than one discipline to address real world issues.
- The teacher uses problems or questions to guide learner practice in applying the critical thinking skills and other tools in the content area(s). S/he reinforces learners’ awareness of how they can use these skills.
- The teacher guides learners in understanding and applying literacy and communication skills in the content area(s) and helps learners reflect on how these skills support clear communication.
- The teacher supports learners in tailoring communications for different audiences and purposes, consistent with appropriate disciplinary conventions and standards.
- The teacher guides learners in developing possible solutions to real world problems through invention or combinations of ideas.
- The teacher fosters learners’ abilities to question and challenge assumptions embedded in source material.
- The teacher engages learners in connecting with local and global people and resources.

And…
- The teacher engages learners in identifying real world issues that they can explore through projects, using their acquired and expanding knowledge and skill in the content areas.
- The teacher facilitates learners’ connections with local and global resources to aid the exploration of their chosen focus.
- The teacher fosters learners’ abilities to independently identify issues or problems of interest in or across content area(s) and engages them in using critical thinking skills to explore possible solutions.
- The teacher provides a variety of opportunities for learners to apply literacy and communication skills in gathering and analyzing information and in preparing and delivering oral and/or written presentations of their work, marked by clarity, rigor, and suitability for audience.
- The teacher structures options that engage learners in focusing on a real world problem or issue, carrying out the design, and communicating their work.
- The teacher engages learners in independent work to plan and carry out a research project, requiring that they make explicit their evaluation of sources and their reasoning.
- The teacher fosters learner independence in identifying and accessing local and global resources.

| Progress monitoring: |
| # of boxes checked/total # of boxes section |
| Descriptive feedback about needed support |
| Supportive documents: |

**Note.** Modified from the InTASC Model Core Teaching Standards and Learning Progressions for Teachers 1.0 (2013, Pages 28-29).
Standard 6: Assessment

The teacher uses designs or adapts multiple methods of assessment to document, monitor, and support learner progress appropriate for learning objectives. She/he uses assessment to engage learners in their own growth and implements assessments in an ethical manner and minimizes bias to enable learners to display the full extent of their learning.

| 1: Essential | 2: Professional | 3: Exemplary | Evaluated by |
|--------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|
| Follows ethical codes and uses multiple assessments for monitoring progress | The teacher provides learners with multiple ways to demonstrate performance. | The teacher uses formative classroom assessments to maximize the development of knowledge, critical thinking, and problem solving skills. | Preserve teacher |
| | The teacher uses data to guide the design of differentiated individual learning experiences and assessments. | The teacher gather additional data needed to better understand what is affecting learner progress and to advocate for necessary change. | University faculty |
| | The teacher collaborates with colleagues to analyze performance on formative and summative assessments and engages in strategies for improving instruction and support. | The teacher collaborates with others to use summative assessment information to evaluate the effect of the curriculum and instruction on the learner. | School personnel |
| | The teacher engages learners in generating criteria for quality work on a particular assignment. S/he identifies key areas in the criteria on which to give individual feedback that will reinforce each learner’s strengths and identifies next steps for growth. S/he designs experiences that help learners apply the feedback and strengthen their performance. | The teacher collaborates with others in giving peers feedback on performance using criteria generated collaboratively. S/he builds learners’ metacognitive skills and proposes concrete strategies for improvement. | |
| | The teacher makes records of performance available to learners so that they can monitor their progress and identify needed support. | The teacher engages learners in analyzing their own records and work with regard to their progress toward learning objectives. | |
| | The teacher prepares learners for the content and cognitive demands of assessment formats. | The teacher uses multiple assessment methods/modes to scaffold individual learner development toward the learning objectives and to challenge learners to demonstrate understanding in a variety of ways. | |
| | The teacher modifies classroom assessments and testing conditions to enable all learners. | The teacher works with others to minimize bias in assessment practices to ensure that all learners have a variety of opportunities to demonstrate their learning. | |
| | The teacher identifies and advocates for learners needing modifications or adaptations. | | |

Note. Modified from the InTASC Model Core Teaching Standards and Learning Progressions for Teachers 1.0 (2013, Pages 31-33).
Standard 7: Planning for Instruction

The teacher selects, creates, and sequences learning experiences and performance tasks that support learners in reaching rigorous curriculum goals based on content standards and cross-disciplinary skills. She/he plans instruction based on information from formative and summative assessments as well as other sources and systematically adjusts plans to meet each student’s learning needs. She/he plans instruction by collaborating with colleagues, specialists, community resources, families and learners to meet individual learning needs.

| 1: Essential | 2: Professional | 3: Exemplary | Evaluated by: |
|--------------|-----------------|--------------|---------------|
| Develop instruction based on standards, needs, and assessment. | Teach integrative and life skills and promote collaboration with others. | Cultivate self-advocacy, self-monitoring, and a wide repertoire of skills. | Preserve teacher University faculty School personnel |
| The teacher uses the provided curriculum materials and content standards to identify measurable learning objectives based on target knowledge and skills. | The teacher refers learning objectives based on student learning progressions and development. | The teacher collaborates with learners in identifying personalized learning objectives to reach long-term goals. | And… |
| The teacher plans and sequences common learning experiences linked to the learning objectives, and makes content relevant to learners. | The teacher plans a variety of resources and learning experiences that build cross-disciplinary skills and are matched to the experience, needs and interests of individuals. | The teacher works with learners to identify pathways to achieve goals. | And… |
| The teacher identifies learners who need additional support and/or acceleration and designs experiences to support. | The teacher integrates technology resources into instructional plans. | The teacher helps learners in taking responsibility for identifying learning challenges and using resources to support them. | And… |
| The teacher aggregates and uses data to plan for areas where learners will need supports or acceleration. | The teacher plans instruction using formative and summative data, developmental levels, prior learning, and interests. | The teacher incorporates technology in innovative ways in planning. | The teacher engages learners in assessing their own learning and uses this source of data to adjust and individualize plans. |
| The teacher plans instruction using formative and summative data, developmental levels, prior learning, and interests. | The teacher uses technology to support learners. | The teacher uses summative assessment data to plan for areas where learners will need supports or acceleration. | The teacher uses summative assessment data to plan for areas where learners will need supports or acceleration. |
| The teacher uses data to identify adjustments. | The teacher structures time to build prerequisite skills, support steady progress, and/or extend learning. | The teacher uses assessment data to adjust instruction, modify planned scaffolds, and/or to provide additional supports. | The teacher uses a wide repertoire of supports in planning to address individualized learner needs and interests. |
| The teacher uses data to identify adjustments. | The teacher anticipates specific needs or misconceptions and addresses them by differentiated instruction. | The teacher uses data and knowledge of learners to identify learning needs. S/he collaborates with colleagues to plan interventions and expand strategies. The teacher works with families to meet the needs of learners. | The teacher engages learners as partners in planning, identifying the learning pathways to pursue challenging goals. |
| The teacher uses learner performance data and her/his knowledge of learners to support or advance learning. S/he seeks assistance from colleagues for resources. | The teacher plans learning experiences that allow for learner choice and varied pathways to the goals. | The teacher collaboratively evaluates instruction to inform future planning. | The teacher collaborates with colleagues, specialists, and community members to address each student’s learning needs. S/he build a web of support to meet learners’ needs. |
| The teacher uses data on learner performance over time to inform planning. | The teacher aggregates and disaggregates assessment data, identifies patterns, and uses data for planning. | The teacher uses a wide repertoire of supports in planning to address individualized learner needs and interests. | The teacher engages learners as partners in planning, identifying the learning pathways to pursue challenging goals. |
| The teacher uses informal interactions with families to adjust plans and to incorporate home-based resources. | The teacher uses assessment data to adjust instruction, modify planned scaffolds, and/or to provide additional supports. | The teacher collaborates with colleagues, specialists, and community members to address each student’s learning needs. S/he build a web of support to meet learners’ needs. | The teacher collaborates with colleagues, specialists, and community members to address each student’s learning needs. S/he build a web of support to meet learners’ needs. |

Note. Modified from the InTASC Model Core Teaching Standards and Learning Progressions for Teachers 1.0 (2013, Pages 35-37).
Standard 8: Instructional Strategies

The teacher understands and uses a variety of instructional strategies and makes learning accessible to all learners. She/he encourages learners to develop deep understanding of content areas, makes connections across content, and applies content knowledge in meaningful ways.

| 1: Essential | 2: Professional | 3: Exemplary | Evaluated by: |
|--------------|-----------------|--------------|---------------|
| Grounds learning goals in standards and judges source trustworthiness | Plays different roles in teaching, offers students choices, and models concepts | Advocates for learning, promotes higher-order thinking, and real-life skills | Preserve teacher University faculty School personnel |
| The teacher directs learning experiences through instructional strategies linked to learning objectives and content standards. | The teacher varies her/his role in the instructional process, acting as instructor, facilitator, coach, and learner in response to the content. | The teacher serves as an advocate for learning by consciously selecting instructional roles to best meet the needs of learners. | Progress monitoring: |
| The teacher makes the learning objective(s) explicit and understandable to learners, providing graphic organizers, models, and representations. | The teacher offers learners choices about the topics and formats for major projects. S/he provides options for extensions and independent projects to build their critical and creative thinking skills. | The teacher engages learners in identifying their own strengths and needs as learners and to take responsibility for setting individual learning goals, identifying and using strategies to achieve the goals, and seeking resources to support ongoing growth. | # of boxes checked/total # of boxes section |
| The teacher prepares learners to use specific content-related processes and academic language. S/he incorporates strategies to build group work skills. | The teacher engages learners in identifying their strengths and specific needs for support and uses this for adaption. | The teacher engages learners in using learning skills and technology tools to access, interpret and apply knowledge that promotes understanding. | Descriptive feedback about needed support |
| The teacher analyzes individual learner needs as well as patterns and uses instructional strategies to respond to those needs. | The teacher scaffolds student learning of academic language in content areas. | The teacher develops learners' abilities to pose questions that guide exploration of concepts and application. | Supportive documents: |
| The teacher integrates primary language resources into instruction. | The teacher supports learners' use of their primary language to facilitate the transfer of language skills and content knowledge. | The teacher models higher-order questioning skills related to content areas and engages them in activities. | |
| The teacher seeks assistance to support language learners. | The teacher engages learners in using learning skills and technology tools to access, interpret and apply knowledge that promotes understanding. | The teacher engages learners in using group discussion to learn from each other. | |
| The teacher helps learners use varied sources access information. S/he helps students learn to evaluate the trustworthiness of sources and organize information. | The teacher supports learners' use of their primary language to facilitate the transfer of language skills and content knowledge. | Supportive documents: | |
| The teacher poses questions to elicit learner critical thinking about information. | The teacher engages learners in using learning skills and technology tools to access, interpret and apply knowledge that promotes understanding. | | |
| The teacher models the use of non-linguistic representations, concept mapping, and writing. | The teacher develops learners' abilities to pose questions that guide exploration of concepts and application. | | |
| The teacher develops learners' abilities to participate in respectful, constructive discussions of content. | The teacher models higher-order questioning skills related to content areas and engages them in activities. | | |

Note. Modified from the InTASC Model Core Teaching Standards and Learning Progressions for Teachers 1.0 (2013, Pages 39-40).
Standard 9: Professional Learning and Ethical Practice

The teacher engages in continuous professional learning to meet the needs of each learner more effectively. She/he uses evidence to evaluate continually the effects of her/his decisions on others and adapts professional practices to better meet learners’ needs. Moreover, She/he practices the profession in an ethical manner.

| 1: Essential | 2: Professional | 3: Exemplary | Evaluated by: |
|--------------|----------------|--------------|---------------|
| Follows laws and ethics, conducts teaching reflection, and continues to learn | Takes responsibility for self-assessment, uses others’ feedback, and helps others | Collaborates with others and assists others for the betterment of professional practices | Preserve teacher University faculty School personnel |
| □ The teacher engages in professional learning opportunities to reflect on, identify, and address improvement needs and to enable him/her to provide learners with engaging curriculum/experiences. | And… | □ The teacher collaborates with colleagues to reflect upon, analyze, and improve practice to address learner, school, and professional needs. | And… | 
| □ The teacher completes professional learning processes and activities required by the state. | □ The teacher takes responsibility for self-evaluation of practice and professional learning. | □ The teacher engages in professional learning experiences that broaden her/his understanding of diverse learners. | 
| □ The teacher actively seeks professional growth. | □ The teacher reflects on and analyzes a wide range of evidence to evaluate the impact of instruction and set goals for improvement. | □ The teacher reflects on and analyzes a wide range of evidence to evaluate the impact of instruction and set goals for improvement. | 
| □ The teacher observes and reflects upon learners’ responses to instruction to identify areas and set goals for improved practice. | □ The teacher collaborates with colleagues and others to give, receive and analyze feedback on the effects of their actions. | □ The teacher collaborates with colleagues and others to give, receive and analyze feedback on the effects of their actions. | 
| □ The teacher seeks and reflects upon feedback from colleagues. | □ The teacher collaborates with others to gather, synthesize and analyze data to adapt planning, practices and other professional behavior to better meet individual learner needs. | □ The teacher collaborates with others to gather, synthesize and analyze data to adapt planning, practices and other professional behavior to better meet individual learner needs. | 
| □ The teacher gathers, synthesizes and analyzes a variety of data to adapt instructional practices to meet learners’ needs. | □ The teacher supports colleagues in exploring and making ethical decisions and adhering to professional standards. | □ The teacher supports colleagues in exploring and making ethical decisions and adhering to professional standards. | 
| □ The teacher follows ethical codes of conduct and professional standards. | □ The teacher supports colleagues in designing and implementing professional learning experiences to improve practice. | □ The teacher supports colleagues in designing and implementing professional learning experiences to improve practice. | 
| □ The teacher complies with laws, policies, and rules in their practices, including learners’ rights, access to information and use of technology. | □ The teacher leads other educators in using data to help evaluate the effects of their actions and set goals for improvement. | □ The teacher leads other educators in using data to help evaluate the effects of their actions and set goals for improvement. | 
| □ The teacher recognizes how her/his identity affects perceptions and biases and reflects on the fairness and equity of her/his decisions. | □ The teacher works with colleagues to deepen the awareness of the moral and ethical demands of practices. | □ The teacher works with colleagues to deepen the awareness of the moral and ethical demands of practices. | 
| □ The teacher deepens his/her understanding of the cultural, ethnic, gender and learning differences. | □ The teacher collaboratively evaluates how well laws and policies serve learners. | □ The teacher collaboratively evaluates how well laws and policies serve learners. | 
| □ The teacher reflects on the needs of individual learners and how well they are being addressed. | □ The teacher advocates for the safe, legal and ethical use of information and technology. | □ The teacher advocates for the safe, legal and ethical use of information and technology. | 

**Note.** Modified from the InTASAC Model Core Teaching Standards and Learning Progressions for Teachers 1.0 (2013, Pages 42-44).
Standard 10: Leadership and Collaboration

The teacher collaborates with learners, families, colleagues, other school professionals, and community members to ensure learner growth. She/he seeks appropriate leadership roles and opportunities to take responsibility for student learning and to advance the profession.

| 1: Essential | 2: Professional | 3: Exemplary | Evaluated by |
|--------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|
| Develops collaborative relationships | Seeks and offers feedback as well as conducts research | Adopts innovative practice advocates for others | Preserve teacher University faculty School personnel |

- **1: Essential**
  - The teacher participates on the instructional team(s) and uses advice and support from colleagues to meet the needs of all learners.
  - The teacher participates in school-wide efforts to implement a shared vision and contributes to a supportive culture.
  - The teacher elicits information about learners and their experiences from families and communities and uses this ongoing communication to support learner development and growth.
  - The teacher uses technology and other forms of communication to develop collaborative relationships with learners, families, colleagues and the local community.
  - The teacher leads in her/his own classroom, assuming responsibility for and directing student learning toward high expectations.
  - The teacher makes practice transparent by sharing plans and inviting observation and feedback.
  - The teacher works to improve practice through action research.

- **2: Professional**
  - The teacher collaborates with colleagues to probe data and seek and offer feedback on practices.
  - The teacher engages in school-wide decision making to identify common goals and evaluate progress toward the goals.
  - The teacher works with families to develop mutual expectations for learner performance and growth.
  - The teacher connects families with community resources that enhance student learning and family well-being.
  - The teacher structures interactions between learners and their local and global peers around projects that engage them in deep learning.
  - The teacher builds ongoing support for student learning, through exchanging information with families and colleagues.
  - The teacher works with other school professionals to plan and jointly meet diverse needs of learners.
  - The teacher contributes to the growth of others through mentoring, feedback, and/or sharing.
  - The teacher collaborates with colleagues to jointly conduct action research and share findings.
  - The teacher contributes to a climate of trust, critical reflection, and inclusivity where diverse perspectives are welcomed.

- **3: Exemplary**
  - The teacher brings innovative practices that meet learning needs to the instructional team(s) and supports colleagues.
  - The teacher advocates for continuous evaluation and improvement of the school-wide vision, mission and goals to ensure alignment with learner needs.
  - The teacher supports colleagues in developing communication, and collaboration with diverse families and communities.
  - The teacher advocates in the school and community to meet the needs of learners and their families.
  - The teacher works collaboratively across the learning community of learners, families, teachers, administrators, and others to support enhancement of student learning.
  - The teacher models effective instructional strategies for colleagues, leads professional learning activities, and serves in other leadership roles.
  - The teacher motivates colleagues to consider leadership roles.
  - The teacher works independently and collaboratively to generate research and use it as a way to impact education.
  - The teacher advocates for learners, the school, the community, and the profession through leadership roles at school/district/state/nation levels.

**Note.** Modified from the InTASC Model Core Teaching Standards and Learning Progressions for Teachers 1.0 (2013, Pages 46-47).
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