Contrast agents for hepatic MRI
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Abstract

Liver specific contrast media (LSCM) can be subdivided according to different modalities of hepatic distribution: exclusive distribution to the hepatocellular compartment can be obtained using CM which accumulate within the hepatocytes after slow infusion; other CM demonstrate combined perfusion and hepatocyte-selective properties, with an initial distribution to the vascular-interstitial compartment (in an analogous manner to that of the conventional extracellular CM), thereafter, a fraction of the injected dose is taken up into the hepatocytes causing an increase in the signal intensity of the hepatic tissue. The use of the superparamagnetic effect of iron oxide particles is based on distribution in the reticuloendothelial system (RES), usually well represented in the normal parenchyma as well as in benign hepatocellular lesions, and absent in most malignant lesions. It is necessary to have an in-depth knowledge of either the biological and histological characteristics of focal liver lesions (FLL) or the enhancement mechanism of LSCM to gain significant accuracy in the differential diagnosis of FLL. Dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI is an important tool in the identification and characterization of FLL. With LSCM it is possible to differentiate benign from malignant lesions and hepatocellular lesions from non hepatocellular lesions with high accuracy. To understand the contrast behaviour after injection of LSCM it is necessary to correlate the contrast enhancement with both the biological and histological findings of FLL.
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Introduction

MRI is an established imaging method for the evaluation of focal liver lesions; in order to adequately characterize focal hepatic lesions on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), it is necessary to utilize contrast media (CM) which are able to modify the signal intensity of either the lesion or normal liver parenchyma and thus contribute towards the characterization of the lesion[1–4].

The sensitivity of MR to the variations of signal intensity induced by CM has led to the development of several different types of liver specific contrast media (LSCM), which utilize the paramagnetic properties of gadolinium or manganese or the superparamagnetic properties of iron. Non-specific gadolinium chelates such as gadolinium-diethylenetriamine penta-acetic acid (Gd-DTPA) (Magnevist, Schering AG, Berlin, Germany) and Gd-DTPA-bismethylamide (BMA) (Amersham Health, Oslo, Norway)[5] which distribute in the extracellular fluid (ECF) space are currently the most widely employed CM. These CM are most effective during the dynamic phase of contrast enhancement when differential blood flow between tumour and normal liver parenchyma leads to characteristic lesion enhancement patterns[2,3]. Unfortunately, dynamic phase imaging alone can, at times, prove unsatisfactory for the accurate diagnosis of hepatic lesions[6].

Classification of LSCM

The development of CM with liver-specific properties has increased the accuracy of MR for the identification and characterization of focal liver lesions[7–10]. The various CM can be distinguished on the basis of their distribution after intravenous injection.

Exclusive distribution to the hepatocellular compartment can be obtained using CM which – when injected by means of slow infusion – accumulate within the hepatocytes and cause an increase in the proton relaxation rate. In mangafodipir trisodium (Mn-DPDP, Teslascan, Nycomed, Oslo, Norway), the manganese ion
is chelated with four molecules of meglumine. The molecule is isotonic with blood and has a low viscosity. It is infused slowly (2–3 ml/min over a 10–20 min period) at a concentration of 10 mmol/ml, and at a dose of 0.5 ml/kg. After administration, the Mn$^{2+}$ ion contained in the molecule is gradually released into the blood from the DPDP chelate, and is substituted by zinc. The latter has an affinity for the chelant that is hundreds of times greater than that of Mn$^{2+}$. The free Mn$^{2+}$ is then available for uptake into parenchymal cells, particularly those of the liver, pancreas, kidneys and adrenals in which metabolism of this metal takes place. Maximum tissue enhancement is observed at the end of the infusion after approximately 20 min and lasts for around 4 h[11]. Tumours of non-hepatocytic origin show little or no tumour enhancement resulting in increased lesion conspicuity. Several studies have shown improved lesion detection on images obtained after infusion of mangafodipir trisodium compared with pre-contrast images[12,13]. In a multicenter study, mangafodipir trisodium enhanced MRI in 77 patients with histologically confirmed lesions and had a sensitivity and specificity in differentiating lesions of 91% and 67% respectively.[12,13] Gadolinium ethoxybenzyldiethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid exploits the ‘carrier’ used by hepatocytes for the uptake of bilirubin[28]. In an analogous manner to that of Gd-BOPTA, this CM distributes initially to the vascular-interstitial compartment after injection. With Gd-EOB-DTPA about 50% of the injected dose is taken up and eliminated via the hepatobiliary pathway. The maximum increase in liver parenchyma signal intensity is observed about 20 min after injection and lasts for approximately 2 h[29]. During the perfusion phase the dynamic enhancement patterns seen after injection of Gd-EOB-DTPA are similar to those seen with Gd-DTPA, while during the hepatobiliary phase Gd-EOB-DTPA-enhanced images have been shown to yield a statistically significant improvement in the detection rate of FLL compared with unenhanced and Gd-DTPA-enhanced images[10], with a modality of enhancement of the different FLL which is similar to that observed with Gd-BOPTA.

**Gadolinium ethoxybenzyldiethylenetriaminopentaacetic acid**

Gadolinium ethoxybenzyldiethylenetriaminopentaacetic acid exploits the ‘carrier’ used by hepatocytes for the uptake of bilirubin[28]. In an analogous manner to that of Gd-BOPTA, this CM distributes initially to the vascular-interstitial compartment after injection. With Gd-EOB-DTPA about 50% of the injected dose is taken up and eliminated via the hepatobiliary pathway. The maximum increase in liver parenchyma signal intensity is observed about 20 min after injection and lasts for approximately 2 h[29]. During the perfusion phase the dynamic enhancement patterns seen after injection of Gd-EOB-DTPA are similar to those seen with Gd-DTPA, while during the hepatobiliary phase Gd-EOB-DTPA-enhanced images have been shown to yield a statistically significant improvement in the detection rate of FLL compared with unenhanced and Gd-DTPA-enhanced images[10], with a modality of enhancement of the different FLL which is similar to that observed with Gd-BOPTA.

**Superparamagnetic iron oxide**

The use of the superparamagnetic effect of iron oxide particles is based on distribution in the reticuloendothelial system (RES). The presence of superparamagnetic iron oxide locally augments the externally applied magnetic field, producing magnetic field heterogeneity which in turn, promotes dephasing, and results in signal loss from enhanced T2 relaxation. Superparamagnetic
iron oxide (SPIO) particles are cleared from the blood by phagocytosis accomplished by RES so that uptake is observed in the normal liver, spleen, bone marrow, and lymph nodes\textsuperscript{30}. Inflammation, scarring, regeneration and shunting in cirrhotic liver reduces hepatic uptake of SPIO, shifts distribution to the spleen, and produces signal heterogeneity. Most focal liver lesions, mainly malignant ones, lack Kupffer’s cells or the capacity to take up particles. After SPIO injection, the darkening of normal liver parenchyma which surrounds focal liver lesions increases the contrast/noise ratio (CNR) of these lesions, usually slightly hyperintense on precontrast T2-weighted images, which appear more hyperintense at T2-weighted images.

**Ferumoxides**

Ferumoxides (Feridex IV, Berlex Laboratories Wayne, NY; and Endorem, Guerbet, Aulnay Sous Bois, France) were developed by Advanced Magnetics (Cambridge, MA) and referred to as AMI-25. Ferumoxides is an SPIO colloid with low molecular weight dextrane, with a particle size of 50–150 nm, according to the analytical system utilized (electron microscopy or photocorrelation spectroscopy)\textsuperscript{31}. At about 8 min following an intravenous injection, iron oxide particles are taken up by the reticuloendothelial cells in the liver (Kupffer cells) and in the spleen with an approximate uptake of 80% and 6–10%, respectively\textsuperscript{30}. Maximum signal loss is obtained after 1 h with an imaging window ranging from 30 min to 6 h after the injection\textsuperscript{32,33}. The recommended dose is 15 mmol/kg. To reduce the incidence of some side effects such as hypotension, ferumoxides is prepared as a dilution in 100 ml of 5% dextrose and administered as a drip infusion over about 30 min. Hypotension and lumbar pain represent the most frequent symptoms associated with SPIO administration with an incidence ranging from 2 to 10%\textsuperscript{31}.

The clinical efficacy of Ferumoxides for detection of focal liver lesions on T2-weighted images has been investigated in several trials. In a multicenter trial, ferumoxides-enhanced T2-weighted images revealed additional lesions not seen on unenhanced images in 27% of cases and additional lesions not seen by conventional (non-spiral) computed tomography (CT) scans in 40%: the additional information would have changed therapy in 59% of cases\textsuperscript{31}. A comparison with spiral CT has demonstrated a better sensitivity/probability of SPIO-enhanced MR images, but at the expense of reduced specificity with a higher number of false positive cases\textsuperscript{34}. Other studies have compared the efficacy of SPIO-enhanced T2-weighted MR images to computed tomography during arterial portography (CTAP), and these have shown a higher sensitivity and specificity of MR images, especially with T2*-weighted breath-hold gradient echo (GRE) images. A study demonstrated a better sensitivity of CTAP, when performed with spiral CT\textsuperscript{35}.

**SH U 555 A (Ferucarbotran)**

SH U 555 A (Ferucarbotran) is the code name of an SPIO contrast agent registered as Resovist® (Schering AG, Berlin, Germany) and commercially available since 2001. The active particles are carboxydextrane-coated super-paramagnetic iron oxide, with a hydrodynamic diameter ranging between 45 and 60 nm. The differing particle sizes determine the velocity of their uptake by cells of the RES, specially the Kupffer cells in the liver, as well as the relaxivity-related effects. It can be administered as a fast bolus. SH U 555 A has a strong effect on the shortening of both T1 and T2 relaxation time. Due to the high R2 relaxivity it is particularly suited to T2- and T2*-weighted imaging. Furthermore, SH U 555 A enables T1-weighted imaging with a tenth of the standard dose of extracellular contrast agents (Gd-DTPA) ensuring a valuable although less pronounced T1 effect. Fast bolus injection of SH U 555 A makes it possible to observe the early perfusion characteristics of the liver using T1- or T2*-weighted sequences. The accumulation phase imaging (RES phase) can be performed as early as 10 min post-injection utilising T1-, T2- and T2*-weighted sequences. The T2- and T2*-weighted accumulation phase imaging improves the visualisation, delineation and conspicuity of the lesions and hence improves detection\textsuperscript{36}. However, the combined approach of non-enhanced and SPIO-enhanced T2-weighted MR images together resulted in a significantly higher sensitivity as well as in significantly more accurate differentiation of benign from malignant lesions as compared with results from spiral CT images, non-enhanced T2-weighted MR images or SPIO-enhanced T2-weighted images alone\textsuperscript{37}.

**Conclusions**

The utilization of ECF gadolinium-based contrast agents with dynamic acquisition is the predominant means of contrast-enhanced liver MRI. However, increased utilization of targeted CM can improve the sensitivity and specificity of the MR study in the identification and characterization of focal liver lesions. Expertise with all these agents will further reduce the need for tissue sampling and allow a better non-invasive means to triage patients with hepatic malignancies.
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