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Tissue Microbiome Profiling Identifies an Enrichment of Specific Enteric Bacteria in *Opisthorchis viverrini* Associated Cholangiocarcinoma
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1. Introduction

Cholangiocarcinoma (CCA) is a tumor that manifests from the malignant transformation and uncontrolled proliferation of biliary tree epithelial cells known as cholangiocytes (Lazaridis and Gores, 2005). Currently, CCA patients have poor prognosis and therapeutic options have limited efficay. CCA incidence fluctuates according to varying geographical regions where underlying risk factors differ (Tyson and El-Serag, 2011). Strikingly, there can be a large variation in incidence rates even among different regions in the same country. In northeast
Thailand where 85% of total primitive liver cancers are in the form of CCA, incidence rates can reach as high as 85 in 100,000 (Bragazzi et al., 2012). In comparison, the north, central and south of Thailand have much lower CCA incidence rates at 14.6/100,000, 14.4/100,000 and 5.7/100,000 respectively, correlating with the prevalence of liver fluke (Opisthorchis viverrini) infection in the region (Bragazzi et al., 2012). On the other hand, choleodochal cysts, hepatolithiasis and primary sclerosing cholangitis are the main risk factors for CCA in locations where liver fluke parasitism is not prevalent (Tyson and El-Serag, 2011). Although the clinical risk factors for CCA have been clearly delineated, the molecular mechanisms underlying the different risk factors for CCA development still remain unclear (Fava, 2010).

The interaction of microbes with host cells is known to have a major impact on the health of the host (Hooper et al., 2012; Kau et al., 2011) and has been implicated in diseases ranging from metabolic disorder (Qin et al., 2012) to cancer (Schwabe and Jobin, 2013; Sobhani et al., 2011; Nagarajan et al., 2012). Specifically, there is an increasing interest in understanding the role of the tissue microbiome in carcinogenesis, with extensive work primarily in the area of colon cancer (Kostic et al., 2013; Kostic et al., 2012). The bile duct is an important component of the digestive system carrying bile fluids from the liver and gall bladder to the intestine. Despite the bile duct’s proximity to the large microbial reservoir in the gastrointestinal tract, and its susceptibility to microbial infection (Carpenter, 1998), surprisingly little is known about the human bile duct microbiome (Brook, 1989; Olsson et al., 1998) and its association with CCA. This could partly be attributed to technical difficulties in the acquisition of a large enough cohort (CCA is a relatively rare cancer) and in profiling microbial abundances from small biopsies with low bacterial load (Lluch et al., 2015).

To comprehensively characterize the bile duct tissue microbiome, 131 samples were profiled using 16S RNA sequencing, including 60 tumor-normal pairs from CCA patients and 11 control samples (bile fluids, gastric and hepatic tissue). Bile duct tissues were observed to harbor a distinct microbiome, compared to bile fluids, gastric and hepatic tissues, dominated by the bacterial families Dietziaceae, Pseudomonadaceae and Oxalobacteraceae. Unlike in colorectal cancer, CCA cases exhibited a shared systemic perturbation in both tumor and adjacent normal tissue, with significant focal tumor vs normal differences restricted to opportunistic pathogens from the genus Stenotrophomonas. Distinct mutational patterns in liver fluke associated and non-associated CCA were previously observed (Chan-On et al., 2013; Ong et al., 2012), linked to their underlying etiologies and risk factors. To understand the contribution of the tissue microbiome, CCA patients from both liver fluke (O. viverrini) associated (OVa group, n = 28) and non-O. viverrini associated (non-OVa group, n = 32) groups were profiled. Comparison across groups revealed the enrichment of enteric bacteria belonging to the families Bifidobacteriaceae, Enterobacteriaceae and Enterococcaceae, some of which could have been directly introduced into the bile duct by the parasite. Inference of functional capacities of CCA microbiomes revealed a higher potential for producing bile acids and ammonia in OVA tissues, linking the altered microbiota to carcinogenesis (Debruyne et al., 2001; Tsujii et al., 1992).

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Clinical Specimens

Sixty primary tumor and adjacent matched normal samples (non-neoplastic liver) were obtained from the Singhealth Tissue Repository (Singapore), Srinagarind Hospital Khon Kaen University (Thailand) and the Fundeni Clinical Institute (Romania). Selected samples were subjected to pathology review to confirm that matched normal samples did not contain tumor cells. All samples were collected with signed informed consent from patients and study approved by SingHealth Centralized Institutional Review Board (2006/449/B and 2008/456/B), NHG Domain Specific Review Board (2000/00329), Ethics Committee of the Clinical Institute of Digestive Diseases and Liver Transplantation Fundeni (215/18.01.2010) and Human Research Ethics Committee at Khon Kaen University (HE471214). Five non-cancer hepatic tissues and two bile fluid samples were obtained from the NUHS Tissue repository. Four non-cancer gastric mucosa samples were collected under a study approved by NHG Domain Specific Review Board (Ref: 2000/00329). Clinico pathological information for subjects including age, gender, ancestry and tumor subtype was reviewed retrospectively (Supplementary File 1).

2.2. DNA Extraction

Tissue samples were thawed on ice and were transferred into Lysing Matrix E tubes (MP Biomedicals, Solon, U.S.A.). A volume of 650 μl of ATL Buffer (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) was added to each sample. Biliary fluid samples were first centrifuged at 8000g for 15 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was discarded and 650 μl of ATL Buffer was added to re-suspend the cell pellet before transferring into Lysing Matrix E tubes. Both tissue and bile fluid samples were then subjected to bead-beating with FastPrep-24 Instrument (MP Biomedicals, Solon, U.S.A.) at a speed of 6.0 m/s for 70 s. Following that, the samples were centrifuged at 16,000g for 5 min and 30 μl of Proteinase K (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) was added to the supernatant. Samples were then incubated at 56 °C for 15 min. Isolation of DNA was carried out using the EZ1 DNA Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) along with the automated EZ1 Advanced XL Instrument (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Purified DNA was quantified with Qubit dsDNA HS Assay Kit (Life Technologies, Eugene, U.S.A.) and stored at −20 °C.

2.3. 16S rRNA Gene Amplification

16S rRNA polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification was performed as previously described (Ong et al., 2013). Briefly, two hundred nanograms of extracted DNA was amplified using primers that target the V3 to V6 region of the 16S rRNA gene. The primer sequences that were used for 16S rRNA PCR amplification are 338_F: ACT CCT ACG and 806_R: GGA GGC WGC at a concentration of 0.5 μM and the addition of MgSO4 at a final concentration of 2 mM. PCR was set up with the following conditions: Initial denaturation at 95 °C for 5 min, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 30 s, annealing at 55 °C for 30 s and extension at 72 °C for 1 min. Lastly, PCR was completed with a step of final extension at 72 °C for 6 min. Agencourt AMPure XP (Beckman Coulter, Brea, U.S.A.) was used to purify the amplified products and purified products were visualized using Agilent Bioanalyzer, prepared with Agilent High Sensitivity DNA Kit (Agilent Technologies, Waldbronn, Germany). As controls for assay specificity, 16S rRNA PCR was performed with extraction controls and the absence of amplification products was confirmed using Agilent Bioanalyzer.

2.4. Library Construction

A standardized amount of 500 ng of PCR product was subjected to shearing using Adaptive Focused Acoustics™ (Covaris, Woburn, U.S.A.). Fragment sizes ranged from 100 to 400 bp. DNA libraries were built using Gene Read DNA Library I Core Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and were processed according to the manufacturer’s protocol except for using barcode adaptors in place of the recommended adapter set. DNA libraries were enriched using custom index-primers that would tag each sample with an index. The enrichment protocol was adapted from Multiplexing Sample Preparation Oligonucleotide kit (Illumina, San Diego, U.S.A.). Quantification of libraries was carried out using Agilent Bioanalyzer, prepared with Agilent High Sensitivity DNA Kit (Agilent Technologies, Waldbronn, Germany); An Illumina HiSeq2000
instrument was used to perform paired-end sequencing (2 × 101 bp or 2 × 75 bp reads) on all DNA libraries built.

2.5. Preprocessing of Sequencing Reads and 16S rRNA Profiling

Sequenced bases were trimmed off at the 3’ ends of reads, starting at bases with quality scores < 3. Only read pairs with both reads longer than 60 bp were kept. 16S reads were identified by mapping them to the 16S rDNA database (Pruesse et al., 2007) provided in EMIRGE (Miller et al., 2011) using BWA-MEM (Li and Durbin, 2009) and with default parameters (0.79a). A mapping was considered valid only if at least 80% of the bases matched in at least one of the reads in a pair. Read mappings were used to determine relative abundance of taxa as previously described (Ong et al., 2013). Briefly, EMIRGE (Miller et al., 2011), a probabilistic expectation-maximization based algorithm, was used to reconstruct and measure the abundances of the 16S rDNA sequences. The reconstructed sequences were then taxonomically classified by using BLAST to compare them to the NR database. The distribution of the number of 16S sequencing reads available for the samples in the cohort is detailed in Supplementary Fig. 1.

2.6. Computing Diversity Metrics

Shannon diversity index (H) is a metric commonly used in ecology for measuring the diversity of a community. For each sample we calculated the Shannon diversity index given as $H = - \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i \ln(p_i)$ using a custom R script where N is the number of families in each sample and $p_i$ is the relative proportion of a specific family i. Yue-Clayton theta ($\theta$) index (Yue and Clayton, 2005) was used for quantifying similarity across microbiomes. The index was also calculated with a custom R script using the formula, $\theta = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i q_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (p_i^2 + q_i^2)}$, where $p_i$ and $q_i$ are relative proportions of a specific family i in sample p and sample q respectively. Distances between microbial communities was computed using weighted and unweighted UniFrac distances (Lozupone and Knight, 2005) and tested for statistical significance with the Adonis test script in QIIME (Caporaso et al., 2010).

2.7. Assessing Differential Abundance of Bacterial Taxa

The non-parametric Wilcoxon rank sum test (for unpaired samples) and the Wilcoxon signed rank test (for paired samples) were used to test for differences in bacterial abundance. Correction for multiple hypothesis testing was done by computing false discovery rates using the R function “p.adjust”. Bacterial taxa with mean abundance lower than 0.5% (across all samples) were excluded from differential abundance analysis.

2.8. Whole Metagenome Profiling of the Liver Fluke Microbiome

Liver fluke genomic reads were obtained from a previous study (Young et al., 2014) (pool of > 10 flukes). Sequencing reads were preprocessed using the NGS QC Toolkit (Patel and Jain, 2012) (version 2.2.3, default parameters) to filter contamination from Illumina read adaptors (keeping only pairs where both reads were unfiltered). The liver fluke microbiome was then profiled using the program MetaPhlAn (Segata et al., 2012) (default parameters) with the filtered reads.

2.9. Microbiome Functional Analysis

We used the program PICRUSt (Langille et al., 2013) (version 1.0.0) to predict functional metagenomic content from the 16S marker gene data. Specifically, the EMIRGE (Miller et al., 2011) reconstructed amplicon sequences and abundances were converted to QIIME (Caporaso et al., 2010) format and each amplicon sequence was duplicated according to relative abundances reported by EMIRGE. We picked OTUs at 90% similarity (between the genus and family identity threshold (Yarza et al., 2014)) against Greengenes (McDonald et al., 2012) reference OTUs (gg_13.5_otus.tar.gz, provided along with the PICRUSt package) with QIIME’s pick_vanilla_OTUs.py script (version 1.8), following the closed reference OTU picking protocol. The output OTU table was then normalized by 16S copy numbers with PICRUSt’s normalize_by_number.py script. The predicted gene abundances were analyzed using HUMANN (Abubucker et al., 2012) (version 0.99) to estimate pathway abundances. Differential abundance testing was performed using the Wilcoxon rank-sum test.

2.10. Data Deposition

All 16S rDNA sequencing reads are available from the NCBI short read archive (SRA) under the bioproject number PRJNA297250. Liver fluke genomic reads (Young et al., 2014) are available from run ID SRR2529483.

3. Results

3.1. Diversity and Distinctness of Human Bile Duct Tissue Microbiome in CCA Patients

The bacterial families, Dietziaceae, Pseudomonadaceae and Oxalobacteraceae were found to be the major inhabitants of bile duct tissues in CCA patients (Fig. 1a; showing 4 representative OVA and non-OVA profiles). Pseudomonadaceae and Oxalobacteraceae have previously been reported to be dominant in the penis foreskin microbiome (Price et al., 2010). Dietzia are metabolically versatile and were previously found in the human skin and oral microbiome (Dewhirst et al., 2010; Koerner et al., 2009). Comparing the hepatic tissue microbiome of non-CCA subjects (n = 5) with bile duct tissue microbiomes (from CCA patients; n = 60) revealed several shared families (e.g. Dietziaceae, Pseudomonadaceae and Oxalobacteraceae; Fig. 1b). This is consistent with the fact that part of the biliary tree is embedded within the liver. In contrast, gastric mucosal tissue microbiomes (n = 4) were found to be clearly distinct from bile duct tissue microbiomes (Adonis test p-value = 0.001 for unweighted and weighted UniFrac distances) and dominated by Moraxellaceae, with lower abundances of Burkholderiaceae (Fig. 1c). These findings are consistent with current culture-based understanding of these tissues: Pseudomonas species have been frequently isolated from bile samples (Elmari et al., 1997; Sutter, 1968) while Acinetobacter species (Moraxellaceae family) have been reported to colonize gastric tissue and cause gastritis (Rathinavelu et al., 2003). Analysis of bile fluid samples (n = 2) showed that while Pseudomonadaceae was the main microbial component, there was substantial variability between the two samples, high diversity in one sample and a composition that deviates notably from bile duct (n = 60; Adonis test p-value = 0.001 and 0.009 for unweighted and weighted UniFrac distances, respectively) and liver tissue microbiomes (n = 5; Adonis test p-value = 0.044 and 0.001 for unweighted and weighted UniFrac distances, respectively; Fig. 1d and e). These observations indicate that bile fluids may not be a reliable proxy for studying the resident microbiome of bile duct tissues, though further studies with matched samples are needed to confirm this. Overall, bile duct tumor tissue microbiomes from CCA patients exhibited substantial variability but clustered with adjacent normals, and were distinct from gastric tissue microbiomes (Fig. 1f; Supplementary Fig. 2).

3.2. Enrichment of Specific Bacterial Taxa and Their Association With CCA Etiology

Microbiome compositional differences in CCA were investigated based on 16S rRNA profiling on paired tumor-normal tissues for 32
non-

**Comparison of microbiome profiles of normal hepatic tissues from non-CCA patients (n = 5) with adjacent normal (hepatic) tissues in CCA patients (n = 60) showed statistically significant differences (Adonis test p-value = 0.001 and 0.004 for unweighted and weighted UniFrac distances, respectively; OVs; n = 28); Adonis test p-value = 0.003 and 0.023 for unweighted and weighted UniFrac distances, respectively (non-OVs; n = 32)), in support of the existence of systemic microbiome alterations in CCA patients. At the bacterial family level, significant differences were observed for Enterobacteriaceae (FDR adjusted p-value = 0.048 (non-OVs)) and Lachnospiraceae (FDR adjusted p-value = 0.0048 (non-OVs)) with borderline significance for two other families (Sphingomonadaceae, Bifidobacteriaceae; Supplementary Fig. 5). Enterobacteriaceae is a family of gram-negative bacteria that includes many pathogens found in the digestive tract (e.g. *Klebsiella, Salmonella* and *Escherichia coli*), while *Lachnospiraceae* is a family of anaerobic bacteria that are frequently found in the human gut.

Despite the systemic impact on the community, specific bacteria may colonize differently in tumor vs adjacent normal tissues in CCA patients. A comparison across all profiled bacterial genera revealed a single genus (*Stenotrophomonas, Xanthomonadaceae* family), to be significantly enriched in tumor vs adjacent normal for non-OVs patients (FDR adjusted p-value = 0.039; Supplementary Fig. 6). Interestingly, *Stenotrophomonas* has been previously implicated in bile duct infections (Perez et al., 2014). Notably, *Stenotrophomonas* was not found to significantly differ in abundance in tumor vs adjacent normal tissues for the OVs group (Supplementary Fig. 6), reflecting the distinct etiologies of the two groups.

For the OVs group, there was no significant enrichment or depletion in bacterial taxa between tumor and adjacent normal tissues (n = 28; Adonis test p-value = 0.599 and 0.711 for unweighted and weighted UniFrac distances, respectively). However, comparing OVs vs non-OVs tissue showed significant differences (Adonis test p-value = 0.001 for unweighted UniFrac distance) and enrichment for *Bifidobacteriaceae* (FDR adjusted p-value = 5.91 × 10^{-7} for adjacent normal tissues and 7.80 × 10^{-7} for tumors), *Enterobacteriaceae* (FDR adjusted p-value = 0.028 for adjacent normal tissues and 0.0058 for tumors) and *Enterococcaceae* (with borderline significance; FDR adjusted p-value = 0.060 for adjacent normal tissues and 0.0056 for tumors with Fisher’s combined p-value = 0.02; Fig. 2c). The enrichment was exceptionally strong for *Bifidobacteriaceae* (a family of anaerobic bacteria that is frequently found in the gut, vagina and oral microbiota), which was detected in 41 (out of 56 adjacent normal and tumor) OVs samples vs 5 (out of 64 adjacent normal and tumor) non-OVs samples. Since these bacteria are enriched in both adjacent normal and tumor tissues,
their role in promoting tumorigenesis, if any, is likely to be mediated through a distal acting mechanism unlike that for *Fusobacterium* which is closely associated with colorectal tumor tissue (Kostic et al., 2012).

3.3. *Bifidobacteriaceae* Is the Dominant Member of the *O. viverrini* Microbiome

Based on the increased microbial diversity in *O. viverrini* associated tissues (Fig. 2b), a working hypothesis is that the introduction of novel microbes into bile duct tissues could be a consequence of *O. viverrini* parasitism. To explore this, reads originating from bacterial species in a previous *O. viverrini* shotgun sequencing study (pool of fluke samples from an animal model of opisthorchiasis) were examined to reconstruct the *O. viverrini* microbiome (Young et al., 2014). Notably, *Bifidobacteriaceae*, the bacterial family that is highly enriched in *O. viverrini* associated bile duct tissues (Fig. 2c), was found as the main component of the *O. viverrini* microbiome (Young et al., 2014). Notably, *Bifidobacteriaceae*, the bacterial family that is highly enriched in *O. viverrini* associated bile duct tissues (Fig. 2c), was found as the main component of the *O. viverrini* microbiome (Young et al., 2014). However, for the other two bacterial families (*Enterobacteriaceae* and *Enterococcaceae*) which were also enriched in OVA group tissues, we noted that they were either at very low abundances (*Enterobacteriaceae*) or not detectable at our threshold (*Enterococcaceae*) in the *O. viverrini* microbiome (Fig. 3). These results suggest that additional factors could have contributed to their enrichment in OVA group tissues.

3.4. Metabolic Pathways Enriched in *O. viverrini* Associated Tissue Microbiomes Are Linked to Tumorigenesis

The metabolic output of the microbiome can directly impact tumorigenesis (Louis et al., 2014; Swartz et al., 2012). To evaluate if this is the case in CCA, pathway abundances for tissue microbiomes were reconstructed and assessed based on their 16S rRNA profiles (see Materials and Methods). These were then compared between OVA and non-OVA tissue microbiomes to determine their functional differences. Strikingly, amino acid metabolism pathways (Arginine and Proline; Glycine, Serine and Threonine) emerged as the two enriched pathways in OVA vs non-OVA tissue microbiomes (mirroring recent studies showing glycine dependency in tumor-initiating cells (Zhang et al., 2012)), while genes in the phosphotransferase system and oxidative phosphorylation pathways were enriched in non-OVA tissue microbiomes vs their OVA counterparts (Fig. 4a). Pathways enriched in non-OVA tissue microbiomes are key for energy production and understanding their functional implications for carcinogenesis would require further investigation.

*Bifidobacteriaceae, Enterobacteriaceae* and *Enterococcaceae*, shown earlier to be enriched in OVA tissue microbiomes, are known constituents of the gut microbiome (Fei and Zhao, 2013; Kostic et al., 2015; Matsuki et al., 1999). Metabolic activities of specific gut microbiota are known to result in the formation of carcinogens such as ammonia and bile acids (Fig. 4b), which have been implicated in colorectal cancer progression (Louis et al., 2014). The analysis of enriched microbial pathways points to a similar role for the tissue microbiome in *O. viverrini* associated CCA development. Specifically, the enrichment of amino acid metabolism pathways in the OVA tissue microbiome also increases its potential to generate ammonia as a side product (Fig. 4a). This was accompanied by significantly higher predicted (Langille et al., 2013) abundance of bile salt hydrolases (BSH) (*p*-value = 0.007 in normal tissue and *p*-value = 0.003 in tumor tissues) in OVA vs non-OVA tissue microbiomes (Fig. 4c). BSH is an important enzyme that is produced by gut bacteria to break down bile salts into primary bile acids which...
are further metabolized to secondary bile acids (Fig. 4b). Bile acids have been previously shown to lead to DNA damage in host cells, culminating in carcinogenesis (Yoshimoto et al., 2013). Together, these results provide a link between the altered microbiome in OVa tissues and its contribution to tumorigenesis.

4. Discussion

Until recently, many internal organs were believed to be sterile environments. Recent studies have however changed that view, showing that even healthy placentas consistently harbor microbial communities (Aagaard et al., 2014). This study serves to shed light on the complex microbial communities’ resident in parts of the hepatobiliary system. Crosstalk between these communities and those in the intestine could be mediated by the process of enterohepatic circulation, influencing key processes in the host such as nutrient acquisition and drug metabolism (Yip et al., unpublished).

Tumor microenvironment, defined as the assortment of host and microbial cells associated with tumors, is known to be critical in regulating carcinogenesis (Swartz et al., 2012). There has been increasing evidence that supports a role for microbiota in shaping the microenvironment through its metabolic output and interaction with host cells (Louis et al., 2014; Swartz et al., 2012). The biliary system is prone to microbial infections (Carpenter, 1998) and the interactions between bacteria and
bile profoundly impact human health (Begley et al., 2005). However, little is known about the biliary tissue microbiome and its contribution to bile duct tumorigenesis. Description of the biliary tissue microbiome has been typically generalized from results originating from bile fluid cultures and may not be reflective of the biliary tissue microenvironment. This study serves to shed light on the role of the bile duct tissue microbiome in CCA development based on extensive 16S rRNA profiling of O. viverrini associated and non-associated paired tumor-normal tissues. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to profile tumor and adjacent normal tissue microbiomes of the biliary tree and serves to further our understanding of the diversity and functional capacity of the resident community, complementing earlier studies that used bile fluids or unmatched samples (Aviles-Jimenez et al., 2016; Wu et al., 2013).

Overall, we found systemic differences in tumor and adjacent normal CCA tissue microbiomes compared to normal hepatic tissue, but limited divergence in paired tumor vs normal microbiomes. In fact, O. viverrini associated tissues did not exhibit any significant microbiome alterations between tumor-normal pairs. The systemic alterations in CCA tissue microbiomes suggest that the tumor and tissue-resident microbiomes influence each other using far-acting mechanisms. For non-O. viverrini associated tissue samples, the genus Stenotrophomonas was found to be more abundant in tumor tissue. This is similar to the distribution profile for Fusobacterium in colorectal carcinoma (Kostic et al., 2012). Stenotrophomonas is known to be involved in bile duct infections (Perez et al., 2014) and shown to elicit proinflammatory cytokine production in vitro (Rossetto et al., 2015). Furthermore, CCA development has been strongly linked to an inflammatory phenotype (Sia et al., 2013). Consequently, an inflammation mediated mechanism tying the enrichment of Stenotrophomonas to non-OVa CCA tumorigenesis deserves further investigation.

Compared to non-OVas, there was notable enrichment of specific enteric microbes such as Bifidobacteriaceae and Enterobacteriaceae in the OVA tissue microbiome. Bifidobacteriaceae was also seen as the major constituent of the O. viverrini microbiome (with Enterobacteriaceae at much lower abundance), providing a link between O. viverrini infection and CCA tissue microbiome alteration in humans, and extending on previous findings based on rodent models (Plieskatt et al., 2013). As O. viverrini infection in humans requires the consumption of infected raw fish, we hypothesize that it triggers the observed microbiome alterations and not vice versa. While an earlier study detected the presence of Helicobacter pylori in the bile of liver fluke infected CCA patients (Boonyanugomol et al., 2012), we did not detect H. pylori in our tissue samples, possibly due to the transient nature of bile fluids and their differences in comparison to bile duct tissue microbiomes as noted in this study.

Enteric bacteria have been implicated in cancer progression of the gut (Louis et al., 2014; Schwabe and Jobin, 2013). Their increased abundance in bile duct tissues could promote tumorigenesis in a similar manner. Indeed, the data in this study supports an increase in the microbiobial production of carcinogens such as bile acids (Yoshimoto et al., 2013) and ammonia (Louis et al., 2014) in the altered microbiome of OVA tissues. On the basis of these results, we propose a model involving (i) O. viverrini mediated introduction of specific enteric microbes into the bile duct, (ii) subsequent alterations in the metabolic output of bile duct tissue microbiomes, and (iii) increased levels of potentially carcinogenic metabolites, culminating in a tissue microenvironment primed towards malignant transformation. Recent data from a work that found elevated levels of bile acids in CCA patients, lends further support to this model (Jusakul et al., 2012). A recent study (Sivan et al., 2015) demonstrated a role for gut-dwelling Bifidobacteria in promoting systemic antitumor immunity. Further studies are thus needed to evaluate the role of increased Bifidobacteria in bile duct tissues with respect to OVA carcinogenesis.

Apart from O. viverrini, Opisthorchis felineus and sinensis Clonorchis are also known to infect the biliary tract. Indeed, C. sinensis infection is known as a risk factor for CCA (Tyson and El-Serag, 2011). Comparing microbiome alterations in biliary tissues associated with O. viverrini and C. sinensis infections could further clarify the commonalities and role of the biliary tissue microbiome in parasite-associated CCA.

Our study demonstrates that microbiomes of internal human organs are highly variable in composition but specialized to their respective distinct niches. The biological reciprocity between the human host and the tissue microbiome can influence the regulation of specific physiological processes. Taken together, the data in this study supports the notion that compositional shifts in the tissue microbiome following parasite infection can enable an altered microenvironment to drive tumorigenesis. Indeed, Bongers et al. (Bongers et al., 2014) and others have shown tumor development to be sustained by the crosstalk between the genetics of the host and the corresponding specific microbiome. The results in this work provide observational evidence for this model but further functional work is needed in the context of CCA carcinogenesis. In addition, as the study pools data from three different countries, other confounding clinical or epidemiological factors (such as the origin of OVA CCA samples in Thailand) could explain observed difference in OVs or non-OVa CCA microbiomes. Additional samples from other regions of the world and an integrated approach that combines information about host genetics (e.g. by sequencing the tumor genome), functional output (e.g. from proteomics and metabolomics) and environmental factors (e.g. microbiome profiling) is thus likely needed to dissect host and microbiome contributions to tumorigenesis.
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