Lower limits on the Hubble Constant from models of Type Ia Supernovae
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Abstract By coupling observations of type Ia supernovae with results obtained from the best available numerical models we constrain the Hubble constant, independently of any external calibrators. We find an absolute lower limit of $H_0 \geq 50$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$. In addition, we construct a Hubble diagram with UVOIR light curves of 12 type Ia supernovae located in the Hubble flow, and when adopting the most likely values (obtained from 1-D and 3-D deflagration simulations) of the amount of $^{56}\text{Ni}$ produced in a typical event, we find values of $H_0 \geq 66 \pm 8$ and $\geq 78 \pm 9$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$, respectively. Our result may be difficult to reconcile with recent discussions in the literature as it seems that an Einstein-de Sitter universe requires $H_0 \sim 46$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$ in order to fit the temperature power spectrum of the cosmic microwave background and maintain the age constraints of the oldest stars.
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1. Introduction

Due to their high intrinsic luminosity and apparent uniformity, type Ia supernovae (hereafter referred to as SNe Ia) have become an important distance indicator in modern cosmology. Today, they are utilized to determine the value of the Hubble constant ($H_0$) and measure the changes of the past cosmic expansion. For this reason a number of substantial observing campaigns have recently been conducted for SNe Ia at nearby redshifts (see Leibundgut 2000, for a list). As a result there is now a considerable number of events available with superb temporal and photometric coverage. However, there has been little effort made to use these high quality data sets to link observations with the physics of SNe Ia in a systematic way. The purpose of this article is to combine results obtained from theoretical models with modern data in order to constrain the value of $H_0$.

Prior attempts to couple observations with explosion models of SNe Ia in order to determine the value of $H_0$ include the pioneering investigations of Arnett et al. (1985), followed by Branch (1992), Leibundgut & Pinto (1992), Nugent et al. (1995) and Höflich & Khokhlov (1996). These works gave promising results, constraining the Hubble constant between $45 \leq H_0 \leq 105$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$, and revealed that with few assumptions, SNe Ia used in such a manner provide an attractive way to measure $H_0$, while circumventing many problems associated with the extragalactic distance ladder (see Böhm-Vitense 1997, Livio et al. 1997).

Although several progenitor models for SNe Ia are discussed, the common view favors an accreting carbon oxygen (C-O) white dwarf in a binary system, which undergoes thermonuclear incineration at or near the Chandrasekhar mass (for reviews see Hillebrandt & Niemeyer 2000, Arnett 1996, Woosley & Weaver 1986). The energy released from burning to nuclear statistical equilibrium (NSE) at the density and temperatures expected in these explosions completely disrupts the C-O white dwarf, while the subsequent light curve is powered by the Comptonization or “thermalization” of γ rays produced from the radioactive decay of $^{56}\text{Ni} \rightarrow ^{56}\text{Co} \rightarrow ^{56}\text{Fe}$. The single degenerate model became favored over the double degenerate model after strong Hα emission was observed in SN 2002ic (Hamuy et al. 2003). The Hα emission in this SN Ia is thought to come from circumstellar material originating from the white dwarf’s evolved companion star (Hamuy et al. 2003, Nomoto et al. 2004).

Here we use bolometric light curves of SNe Ia as a means to link observations with results obtained from models of an exploding C-O white dwarf. Bolometric light curves constructed from observations provide a simple and direct route to probe the complicated explosion physics and radiation transport. As it is typically more straightforward to extract the total flux (hence luminosity) of a SN Ia from models rather than the...
flux for individual filters, which require complicated multi-
group calculations (Leibundgut & Pinto 1992; Eastman 1997;
Höflich 1997; Leibundgut 2000). Bolometric light curves pro-
vide a sorely needed tool to connect observations with models.
In addition, observed bolometric light curves are easily con-
structed from the integration of broad-band photometry, and
are adequate where the energy inputs from the radioactive decays and
near maximum light they reflect the fraction of $\gamma$ rays thermal-
ized. Consequently $\approx 80\%$ or more (Suntzeff 1996, 2003) of
the thermalized flux from the $\gamma$ rays is emitted at optical and
near-infrared wavelengths (3000–10000 Å), therefore what we
manufacture from the observed photometry has been coined a
UVOIR bolometric light curve. It must be noted that by neglect-
ing the small amount of flux outside the UVOIR wavelength
regime we introduce a systematic underestimate on the cal-
culated values of $H_0$. However, we address this systematic er-
or when placing constraints on $H_0$ (see below). The summed
UVOIR flux also offers the advantage that we do not need to
apply any K-corrections, which are necessary when just using
individual filter passbands of SNe Ia located in the Hubble flow.
Essentially, we can reduce the problem to one of energy bal-
ances where the energy inputs from the radioactive decays and
the losses due to $\gamma$ ray escape can be compared to the observed
wavelength-integrated flux of the SNe Ia.

In the following we utilize a set of well observed SNe Ia
to demonstrate, via two methods, (see below §4 and §5)
that —under the assumption that SNe Ia are a product of
the thermonuclear disruption of a Chandrasekhar-mass C-
O white dwarf— it proves to be rather difficult to obtain a
value of $H_0 < 50 \, \text{km s}^{-1} \, \text{Mpc}^{-1}$. Our results, along with
recent detection of the integrated Sachs-Wolfe (ISW) effect
(Boughn & Crittenden 2004; 2005) observed in the Wilkinson
Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) data, (Bennett et al.
2003; Spergel et al. 2003) cast doubts on recent discussions in
the literature (see Blanchard et al. 2003; Shank, 2004), which
suggest “alternatives” to the concordance cosmological model.
Spatially flat, matter-dominated Einstein-de Sitter models may
produce a temperature power spectrum that can fit cosmic mic-
wrowave background (CMB) observations just as accurately as
the best concordance model, which sports a dark energy com-
ponent. However, Einstein-de Sitter models require very low
values of the Hubble constant (e.g. $H_0 \approx 46 \, \text{km s}^{-1} \, \text{Mpc}^{-1}$)
and are unable to account for the observed ISW effect.

The structure of this paper is as follows: in §2 we briefly
discuss the basic details and information that we can extract
from a UVOIR/bolometric light curve. This is followed by a
short discussion of the data we have compiled and the method
with which we construct our UVOIR light curves. In §3 we dis-
cuss different models of SNe Ia and the $^{56}\text{Ni}$ yields we adopt
for a typical SN Ia. We then present in §4 a method to derive
$H_0$ through the combination of observations and the theoreti-
cal $^{56}\text{Ni}$ masses calculated in explosion models. Section 5 con-
ains a discussion of the classical way to derive $H_0$ through the
Hubble diagram of SNe Ia. Contrary to previous methods we
employ here the bolometric flux. We conclude in §6.

2. Bolometric Lightcurves of SNe Ia

2.1. UVOIR Bolometric Lightcurves

Here we provide a basic description of a typical UVOIR light
curve and the physics that is thought to be driving its evolution
and specific characteristics at different epochs. During max-
imum light the dynamical time (i.e. time since explosion) is
approximately equal to the diffusion time for photons trapped
within the ejecta. This causes a reduction in the opacity, which
then allows a larger fraction of photons to escape the expand-
ing ejecta (Pinto & Eastman 2001). The majority of the ob-
serveable supernova flux is emitted in the optical. After maxi-
mum light an ever increasing fraction of $\gamma$ rays escape freely
and no longer deposit their energy in the ejecta. They are
lost in the energy balance. In addition, due to a lack of near-
infrared ($JHK$-passbands) data, we neglect a small contribu-
tion of flux (no more than $\sim 5\%$ near maximum light) in our
constructed UVOIR light curves (Contardo 2001). Right af-
fter maximum light as both the $\gamma$-ray deposition rate and the
temperature, hence opacity, decrease, it is believed that there
is a release of ‘old’ photons, causing the observed luminosity
to briefly overshoot the energy input from radioactive decay.
After the release of stored energy the light curve declines in
luminosity until between 20 and 40 days after maximum light,
where an inflection point is seen in most events (see Suntzeff
1996) and (Contardo et al. 2000)). After $\sim 60$ days the bol-
ometric light curve begins to follow a nearly linear decline of
0.026±0.002 mag/day (Contardo et al. 2000) as the energy in-
put from radioactive decay decreases exponentially and less
energy is deposited by the $\gamma$ rays. At this time the infrared
contribution rises to around 10% (Contardo et al. 2000). About
a year after maximum the nebula no longer traps any $\gamma$ rays
and the UVOIR light curve is completely powered by positrons
Milne, The, & Leising 1999).

With UVOIR light curves and accurate distances we are
able to obtain a measure of the total luminosity and, through
application of Arnett’s Rule, the quantity of $^{56}\text{Ni}$ produced from
burning to NSE (Arnett 1982, Arnett et al. 1985). Arnett’s Rule
simply states that during the epoch of maximum light the lu-
iminosity of a SN Ia is equal to the instantaneous energy de-
position rate from the radioactive decays within the expand-
ing ejecta (see also Pinto & Eastman 2000a, b). This rule has
been utilized by Suntzeff (1996), Vacca & Leibundgut (1997),
Contardo et al. (2000), Strolger et al. (2002), and Candia et al.
(2003) to determine the amount of $^{56}\text{Ni}$ produced in a num-
ber of SNe Ia. These efforts have revealed that the explosions
of SNe Ia do indeed produce a range in the amount of $^{56}\text{Ni}$
synthesized from $\sim 0.1 \, M_\odot$ associated with the subluminous
variety of SNe Ia to $\approx 1 \, M_\odot$ for the most luminous ones. We
are now in the position to use UVOIR light curves of a fair
sample of well-observed SNe Ia to probe the explosion mech-
anism. Hopefully in the near future it will be possible to place
constraints on models as they become more sophisticated. In
a subsequent paper we will provide a detailed analysis of the
bolometric light curves and derived $^{56}\text{Ni}$ masses for a large
number of well observed SNe Ia.
2.2. Observational data

As mentioned above, there are a number of past (and present) dedicated monitoring programs located around the world that have assembled large collections of SNe Ia data. Programs which we have used here include: the Calán/Tololo Survey (Hamuy et al. 1995, 1996a), the Center for Astrophysics (Riess et al. 1999a; Jha 2002), and the Supernova Optical Infrared Survey (SOIRS) (Hamuy et al. 2001).

We selected only SNe Ia located in the Hubble flow (≥ 3000 km s⁻¹) with excellent (U)BVRI-band observations and that contain at least two pre-maximum observations in most photometric bands. Four of the SNe Ia compiled here include U-band photometry, and for those events without U-band observations we added a correction (see below). At this stage, no corrections were made to account for contributions by UV-flux blueward of the atmospheric cutoff and near-infrared JHK-band photometry.

Table 1 lists all the SNe Ia (and references) we have used along with information pertaining to the amount of reddening that we have adopted for each event. Values listed for Galactic reddening were taken from the COBE dust maps of Schlegel et al. (1998), while host galaxy reddenings were procured from a variety of literature sources. To be as consistent as possible we used reddenings given in Phillips et al. (1999) for all SNe Ia that coincided with our sample. For those events not included in Phillips et al. (1999) we adopted values from the literature giving preference to those calculated via the Phillips method. The reddening for the host galaxy of SN 1999dq was taken from Riess et al. (2004).

Finally, in Table 1 we list the two observables that are employed to constrain H₀. This includes the host galaxy recession velocity and the UVOIR bolometric flux at maximum light. Heliocentric velocities obtained from NED were converted to velocity and the UVOIR bolometric flux at maximum light. Note, corrections are employed to account for overlaps and gaps between passbands. We also included a compensation in a manner similar to Contardo et al. (2000) for those SNe Ia that have no U-band photometry. Contardo et al. used a correction based on SN 1994D (Richmond et al. 1995; Patat et al. 1996; Meikle et al. 1996; Smith et al. 2000), however, this event had an unusually blue color at maximum and corrections based on it tend to overestimate the fraction of flux associated with the U-band photometry. We instead employed a correction derived from SN 1992A (Suntzeff 1996a), which is the only well observed normal SN Ia with no host galaxy reddening. We estimate an additional 2% error is incurred on each UVOIR light curve that has our U-band correction.

3. 56Ni Yields From Explosion Models

A key ingredient for the methods presented below (see § 4 and § 5) is the amount of 56Ni produced in a typical SN Ia explosion. Both methods depend on the total energy radiated by the supernova to establish its distance. Contardo (2001) showed for a small sample of SNe Ia that up to a factor of 10 difference in the yield of 56Ni can exist between individual events. An absolute upper limit for the amount of 56Ni synthesized is the Chandrasekhar mass (∼ 1.4 M☉), when the star becomes unstable and either collapses or explodes. However, due to the presence of intermediate mass elements (IMEs) observed in spectra taken near maximum light, we know that the white dwarf is not completely burned to 56Ni. A lower limit is provided by the subluminous events. Although only a few of these SNe Ia have been observed in detail (due to selection effects) three well observed events indicate ∼ 0.10 M☉ of 56Ni is synthesized (Stritzinger 2005).

To obtain a more quantitative value we turn our attention to recent nucleosynthesis calculations performed at the Max-Planck-Institut für Astrophysik (MPA) (Travaglio et al. 2004), which are based on 3-D Eulerian hydrodynamical simulations (Reinecke et al. 2002a,b) of an exploding white dwarf, that burns via a purely turbulent deflagration flame. Based on their highest resolution 3-D simulation (i.e. model b30, 3d, 768), which consisted of 30 “burning” bubbles and a grid size of 768³ for 1 octant of a sphere, Travaglio et al. found the total yield of 56Ni is produced without resorting to templates that may wash out subtleties of each filtered light curve. The ten fitted parameters and several other interesting quantities can be used to explore the finer details of SNe Ia light curves (see Contardo 2001; Stritzinger 2005).

To produce a UVOIR light curve we first fit the light curve of each passband. Each magnitude is then converted to its corresponding flux at the effective wavelength and a reddening correction is applied. The flux for each filter at a given epoch is then integrated over wavelengths to get the total flux. Note, corrections are employed to account for overlaps and gaps between passbands. We also included a compensation in a manner similar to Contardo et al. (2000) for those SNe Ia that have no U-band photometry. Contardo et al. used a correction based on SN 1994D (Richmond et al. 1995; Patat et al. 1996; Meikle et al. 1996; Smith et al. 2000), however, this event had an unusually blue color at maximum and corrections based on it tend to overestimate the fraction of flux associated with the U-band photometry. We instead employed a correction derived from SN 1992A (Suntzeff 1996a), which is the only well observed normal SN Ia with no host galaxy reddening. We estimate an additional 2% error is incurred on each UVOIR light curve that has our U-band correction.

1 Note that in 3-D deflagration simulations, once the initial conditions are set (i.e. T, ρ, and chemical composition) the only parameter that may be adjusted is the manner in which the flame is ignited. Thus the amount of material burned is determined by the adopted sub-grid model and the fluid motions on the resolved scales (Reinecke et al. 2002a,b). Unlike 1-D simulations it is impossible to fine tune the amount of material burned at a given density.
$^{56}\text{Ni}$ to be 0.42 M$_\odot$. However, they found that as the number of ignition spots is increased, more explosion energy is liberated, which may lead to a larger yield of $^{56}\text{Ni}$. The number of these ignition spots is strictly dependent on the grid resolution of the simulation, which is limited by the computational facilities available. One may therefore expect a larger yield of $^{56}\text{Ni}$ as the computational power and hence grid resolution is increased. In addition, more recent calculations that employ ignition conditions representing a foam-like structure, consisting of overlapping and individual bubbles, indicate that it may be possible to liberate a larger fraction of nuclear energy as one employs different ignition conditions (Ropke & Hillebrand 2004).

We considered a range of results produced by other deflagration models available in the literature, in particular the phenomenological parametrized 1-D model - W7 (Nomoto, Thielemann, & Yokoi 1984). Recent nucleosynthesis calculations show that W7 synthesizes 0.59 M$_\odot$ of $^{56}\text{Ni}$ (Iwamoto et al. 1999). It must be noted, however, that 1-D models compared to 3-D calculations are expected to provide a less realistic representation of the physical processes that occur during thermonuclear combustions because they do not properly model the turbulent flame physics. Additionally, multidimensional effects are neglected which do have an important influence on the flame propagation. Nevertheless, W7 is a well established model that can fit the observed spectra rather well (Harkness 1991; Mazzali et al. 1995; Mazzali 2001) and has been used extensively over the last two decades to investigate SNe Ia explosions.

Despite the success of deflagration models, they are currently unable to account for the more luminous SNe Ia, and predict appreciable amounts of unburned carbon, oxygen, and IMEs leftover in the inner ashes of the ejecta, which has not yet been conclusively observed. These shortcomings were the motivation for the delayed detonation models (DDM) (Khokhlov 1991; Woosley 1994; Woosley & Weaver 1994; Höflich & Khokhlov 1996). In these models the explosions start as a deflagration flame until a transition occurs, causing the flame to propagate supersonically thus the explosion becomes a detonation. Höflich (1995) provided a series of DDMs that range in $^{56}\text{Ni}$ mass between ~0.34 and 0.67 M$_\odot$. His best fit model (M36) for the well observed SN 1994D produces 0.60 M$_\odot$ of $^{56}\text{Ni}$. The main difference to the pure deflagration models is that DDMs contain an additional free parameter that describes the local sound speed ahead of the flame. This free parameter is not physically understood but is essential to force the transition from deflagration to detonation.

Throughout the following analysis we adopt results from the highest resolution MPA simulation and the 1-D model W7. Although these two models are not meant to represent the complete range in observed luminosity for the total population of SNe Ia, they produce results that are illustrative of the majority of observed events. Both of these models are not perfect and, as results shown below indicate, the MPA model may not be representative of the more luminous events. We take the $^{56}\text{Ni}$ masses of these two models to be representative of a fair fraction of observed SNe Ia.

As previously noted in § 1, just after maximum light the observed luminosity is expected to be larger than the radioactive luminosity, as the ejecta becomes optically thin and allows the release of stored UVOIR photons. At this epoch the photosphere rapidly recedes into the ejecta, revealing deeper layers of the progenitor allowing more spectral lines to radiate. Branch (1992) (see his Table 1) conducted a survey of the best numerical models at the time and found that the models which adequately treat the time dependent nature of the opacity near maximum light predict $\alpha$ (the ratio of energy radiated at the surface to the instantaneous energy production by the radioactive decays) to be slightly larger than unity. He concluded that $\alpha=1.2\pm0.2$ was the most applicable value and noted that the value of $\alpha$ appeared to be independent of the rise time.

The parameter $\alpha=1.2\pm0.2$ is nothing more than a correction factor that is applied to the measured luminosity derived from the model $^{56}\text{Ni}$ masses. In the following, we take this parameter into account in our discussion of the values of $H_\circ$ determined from the models. The $^{56}\text{Ni}$ masses of 0.42 and 0.59 M$_\odot$ correspond to an energy release after 19±3 days (the typical rise time of SNe Ia (Contardo et al. 2000)) of (8.40±1.26) x 10$^{42}$ erg $s^{-1}$ and (1.18±0.18) x 10$^{43}$ erg $s^{-1}$, respectively. If we combine the energy production with $\alpha=1.2\pm0.2$, the luminosity is increased to 1.01±0.23 x 10$^{43}$ erg $s^{-1}$ and 1.42±0.32 x 10$^{43}$ erg $s^{-1}$. We note, however, that radiation transport calculations based on two MPA 3-D simulations (Blinnikov, private comm.) give the same value of $\alpha$ as calculated by Arnett’s more simple analytic models (i.e. $\alpha=1$).

Finally, we note that the value of $\alpha$ may vary for different SNe Ia depending on the amount and distribution of radioactive isotopes, i.e. the opacity, in the ejecta. If $\alpha=1$ occurs before bolometric maximum, one would expect a smaller amount of stored photons. Therefore the luminosity would tend not to overshoot the instantaneous energy deposition rate. However, if $\alpha=1$ occurs after bolometric maximum, the light curve should in principle, be broader and flatter.

4. $H_\circ$ from model $^{56}\text{Ni}$ masses

In this section we derive a first analytic expression to constrain $H_\circ$ directly from model $^{56}\text{Ni}$ masses. This expression combines the UVOIR peak brightness of SNe Ia with explosion models via Arnett’s Rule (Arnett 1982).

4.1. Connecting $H_\circ$ and the Model Luminosities

First, we develop an analytic equation which uses a simple argument that allows one to connect $H_\circ$ with the amount of $^{56}\text{Ni}$ produced in a SN Ia explosion. This relation relies on the fact that $H_\circ$ is defined as the ratio of the local expansion velocity to the luminosity distance, which in turn is obtained from the inverse square law for the ratio of luminosity and the observed brightness. Therefore since the luminosity of a SN Ia depends on the amount of $^{56}\text{Ni}$, we can use the explosion models as our guide to the absolute luminosity. Combining this with both the measured brightness and recession velocity (or redshift) of any particular event, we can derive a value for $H_\circ$. The first expression to constrain $H_\circ$ therefore combines three...
elements: (1) Hubble’s law of local cosmic expansion, (2) the distance luminosity relation, and (3) Arnett’s Rule.

We combine Hubble’s law, which is defined by

$$H_0 = \frac{cz}{D_L}$$

(1)

with the distance luminosity relation given by

$$D_L = \left( \frac{L}{4\pi F} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

(2)

where $F$ corresponds to the UVOIR flux obtained from the bolometric light curves, $L$ is the luminosity of a fiducial SN Ia, and $D_L$ is the luminosity distance. We obtain

$$H_0 = cz \left( \frac{4\pi F}{L} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$  (3)

At maximum light the luminosity produced by the radioactive $^{56}$Ni can be expressed as

$$L_{\text{max}} = \alpha E_{\text{Ni}}(t_R),$$

(4)

where $E_{\text{Ni}}$ is the energy input from the decay of $^{56}$Ni, evaluated at the time of bolometric maximum (rise time $t_R$), and $\alpha$ accounts for any deviations from Arnett’s Rule (where $\alpha=1$). An expression for $E_{\text{Ni}}$ can be found in Nadyozhin (1994).

$$E_{\text{Ni}}(t_R) = \frac{\lambda_{\text{Ni}}\lambda_{\text{Co}}}{\lambda_{\text{Ni}} - \lambda_{\text{Co}}} \cdot \left\{ \frac{Q_{\text{Ni}}}{\lambda_{\text{Co}}} \left( \frac{\lambda_{\text{Ni}}}{\lambda_{\text{Co}}} - 1 \right) - Q_{\text{Co}} \right\} e^{-\lambda_{\text{Ni}}t} + Q_{\text{Co}} e^{-\lambda_{\text{Co}}t} \right\} M_{\text{Ni}} = \epsilon(t_R)M_{\text{Ni}},$$

(5)

where $\lambda_{\text{Ni}}$ and $\lambda_{\text{Co}}$ are e-folding decay times of 8.8 and 111.3 days for $^{56}$Ni and $^{56}$Co, respectively, and $Q_{\text{Ni}}$ and $Q_{\text{Co}}$ correspond to the mean energy released per decay of 1.75 and 3.73 MeV. For $1 M_{\odot}$ of $^{56}$Ni, equation (5) turns into

$$E_{\text{Ni}}(1 M_{\odot}) = 6.45 \times 10^{41} e^{-t_R/8.8} + 1.45 \times 10^{43} e^{-t_R/111.3}.$$

(6)

Riess et al. (1999b) found, for a normal SN Ia (e.g. $\Delta m_{15}(B)=1.1$ mag) with a peak magnitude $M_V = -19.45$, a rise time to $B$ maximum of $\sim 19.5$ days. Contardo et al. (2000) found the bolometric rise time to be within one day of the $B$-band for nearly all SNe Ia in their sample. Throughout this work we assume a bolometric rise time of 19.3 days. The adopted uncertainty should be adequate to account for intrinsic differences between the rise times of different SNe Ia. Using this rise time and assuming $\alpha=1.0$ (Arnett’s Rule) we can combine equations (4) and (6) and obtain the simple relation that gives for $1 M_{\odot}$ of $^{56}$Ni a total luminosity at maximum light of

$$L_{\text{max}}(1 M_{\odot}) = (2.0 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{43} \text{ erg s}^{-1} M_{\odot}^{-1},$$

(7)

where the error corresponds to the 3 day uncertainty in the adopted bolometric rise time.

Substituting equation (6) into equation (4) we can relate the luminosity to the mass of $^{56}$Ni via $E_{\text{Ni}}$ (equation(5)), and then if we include the factors that directly equate the luminosity with the $^{56}$Ni mass, we obtain our final relation to calculate the Hubble constant

$$H_0 = cz \left( \frac{4\pi F_{\text{bol}} \max}{L_{\text{max}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = cz \left( \frac{4\pi F_{\text{bol}} \max}{\alpha E_{\text{Ni}}(t_R)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = cz \left( \frac{4\pi F_{\text{bol}} \max}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$  (8)

With equation (8) only two observables – the bolometric flux ($F_{\text{bol}} \max$) at maximum and the redshift ($z$) – are required to determine the value of $H_0$.

Uncertainties come from the rise time, which determines the peak luminosity, the uncertainty in $\alpha$, which depends on the radiation escape from the explosion, and of course the amount of $^{56}$Ni synthesized in the explosion. Finally we note that our $^{56}$Ni mass is “error free” in the sense that the adopted value(s) for this parameter (hence fiducial luminosities) are completely model dependent.

We now have an analytic form for $H_0$ which is directly connected to the $^{56}$Ni produced in the explosion. The other parameters have to do with the radiation transport: the ratio of energy release to energy input and the time between explosion and maximum luminosity.

4.2 Results

In Fig. 1 we present results obtained using equation (8) for all SNe Ia listed in Table 1. For every supernova we show the derived $H_0$, assuming that its observed brightness would correspond to a given nickel mass (in steps of 0.1 $M_{\odot}$). The inverse square-root dependence of $H_0$ on the nickel mass is clearly visible. The “1-$\sigma$” error bars that accompany each point account for a recession velocity error of 400 km s$^{-1}$, an error associated with the reddening correction, a measurement error of the flux ($\lesssim 5\%$), a 3 day error for the assumed bolometric rise time, and a 2% error for those events that have a $U$-band correction. Note that the most dominant error is the uncertainty associated with the redshift due to peculiar velocities.

It is evident from this figure that for a given mass of $^{56}$Ni there exists a range of possible values of $H_0$. This is what we expect owing to the fact that there are known intrinsic differences between SNe Ia. Hence, if we (erroneously) assume a single $^{56}$Ni mass for all observed SNe Ia, we obtain a range of $H_0$ values as in Fig. 1.

Two SNe Ia (SN 1992bo and SN 1993H) are clearly situated below the rest of the objects and are both known to be red events with $\Delta m_{15}(B) = 1.69$ (Hamuy et al. 1996b). Both also show evidence of weak Ti features in their spectra (Phillips, private comm.). Because the models we have adopted in this work were designed for normal SNe Ia and these two events are subluminous in nature, we exclude them in the following discussion; however, they are included in the Hubble diagram.
Given the prediction of an explosion model, we can now read off the preferred value of the Hubble constant. Naively, one would like to take the mean of the distribution of the supernovae for a given $^{56}$Ni mass and derive a Hubble constant. However, the natural scatter of $^{56}$Ni (Contardo et al. 2000; Bowers et al. 1997; Cappellaro et al. 1997) prevents us from doing this because only one value is correct for a given event. The best we can do now is to derive a lower limit for $H_0$ by associating the nickel masses with the faintest supernovae and hence obtain an underestimate of the Hubble constant.

By choosing a $^{56}$Ni mass of 1 M$_\odot$ and associating it with faint SNe Ia we clearly reach a lower limit for $H_0$. Note that the highest $^{56}$Ni mass derived from a SN Ia with a Cepheid distance is 0.84 M$_\odot$ (Strolger et al. 2002). The solid horizontal line in Fig. 1 indicates that evidently more than 1 M$_\odot$ of $^{56}$Ni must be produced in a normal SNe Ia explosion in order to obtain $H_0 < 50$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$. Note that the two dotted vertical lines indicate our adopted $^{56}$Ni masses with $\alpha = 1.0$. For both cases an $H_0$ of more than 50 km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$ is favored. Only the two faint SNe Ia fall well below this value.

To obtain an absolute lower limit on $H_0$, we present in Fig. 2 the least luminous normal event in our sample – SN 1999aa – with 1-$\sigma$ and 3-$\sigma$ confidence levels. This SN Ia produces values of $H_0$ that are 9% below the mean determined from all 10 normal events. For our adopted $^{56}$Ni masses with $\alpha = 1$ we obtain a lower limit on $H_0$ with SN 1999aa (3-$\sigma$ away from the calculated values) to be $\geq 40$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$. The dashed line in Fig. 2 illustrates the effect of increasing $\alpha$ by 20%. We see that this gives us an additional systematic uncertainty that would decrease $H_0$ by 9%. The change incurred on the Hubble constant lies directly on top of the quoted lower 1-$\sigma$ confidence level. Finally, we note from equation (9) that by neglecting $\sim 10\%$ of the flux emitted outside of the optical, we systematically underestimate $H_0$ by 5%.

We find that with white dwarfs as progenitors of SNe Ia it is very difficult to obtain a value of $H_0 < 50$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$. With 1 M$_\odot$ of $^{56}$Ni, one could expect $H_0 \sim 60$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$. Observations and models for the most luminous events indicate that no more than 1 M$_\odot$ of $^{56}$Ni is produced. With our adopted $^{56}$Ni masses (0.42 M$_\odot <$ $^{56}$Ni $< 0.59$ M$_\odot$) we find from the 1-$\sigma$ error bars in Fig. 2 the Hubble constant to be constrain between 70$\pm$6 $\leq H_0 \leq 83\pm7$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$.

The problem can, of course, also be inverted to derive a possible range of $^{56}$Ni mass given a value of $H_0$. This will be interesting to constrain the $^{56}$Ni mass for models, should $H_0$ be known to high accuracy. For $H_0 \approx 70$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$ we find from Fig. 1 a range in the amount of $^{56}$Ni produced in a SNe Ia explosion to be 0.5 M$_\odot <$ $^{56}$Ni $< 1.0$ M$_\odot$.

5. $H_0$ through the Hubble diagram of SNe Ia

With this method we determine $H_0$ from the Hubble diagram in a manner similar to what has been previously presented by Tammann & Leibundgut (1990) and Leibundgut & Pinto (1992) (see also Sandage & Tammann 1993, Hamuy et al. 1996a, Phillips et al. 1993, Parodi et al. 2000 for similar applications). We note that this method is similar to the previous method, however, here $H_0$ is calculated in a more traditional manner. An analytic expression to constrain $H_0$ from our Hubble diagram is trivial to derive from the distance luminosity relation. Solving equation (2) for $F_{bol}^\max$ and then taking the logarithm of both sides, we obtain

$$\log(F_{bol}^\max) = \log\left(\frac{L_{bol}^\max}{4\pi D_L^2}\right).$$

Substituting $cz/H_0$ for $D_L$ and rewriting the right hand side of equation (9) we obtain

$$\log(F_{bol}^\max) = -2\log(cz) + \log(L_{bol}^\max) - \log(4\pi) + 2\log(H_0).$$

There is a linear relation between $\log(F_{bol}^\max)$ and $\log(cz)$ as can be seen in Fig. 3. A linear regression to the data yields a slope of $2.01 \pm 0.25$, which is fully consistent with the expected slope of 2 for a linear local expansion derived in equation (10). From Fig. 3 it is also obvious that the two faint objects are outliers. If they are ignored, the fit sharpens up to a slope of 1.97 $\pm$ 0.10. With a fixed slope to this linear expansion value of 2 we derive the y-intercept, which corresponds to

$$b = -2\log(L_{bol}^\max) + \log(4\pi) - 2\log(H_0).$$

Solving equation (11) for $H_0$, we arrive at our final expression for the Hubble constant

$$H_0 = \left(\frac{4\pi}{10^8 L_{bol}^\max}\right)^\frac{1}{2}.$$ 

The Hubble constant is now simply calculated by plugging in the y-intercept, b, derived from the linear regression of the Hubble diagram and a fiducial luminosity defined by our adopted models.

5.1. Results

In Fig. 3 we present our Hubble diagram containing all SNe Ia listed in Table 1. Error bars for all events account for both a redshift uncertainty of 400 km s$^{-1}$ and the uncertainties associated with host galaxy reddening. A weighted least-squares fit to the Hubble diagram (for all 12 SNe Ia), with a fixed slope of 2, yields $b = 3.292 \pm 0.047$. Plugging this into equation (12) along with our adopted $^{56}$Ni masses of 0.42 M$_\odot$ and 0.59 M$_\odot$ we find $H_0$ to be $\geq 85\pm7$ and $\geq 72\pm6$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$ (1-$\sigma$ error) respectively. Accounting for $\alpha = 1.2 \pm 0.2$ we obtain lower values of the Hubble constant to be $H_0 \geq 78\pm9$ and $\geq 66\pm8$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$, respectively.

As an upper limit in the amount of $^{56}$Ni synthesized in the most luminous SNe Ia explosion is expected to be $\approx 1$ M$_\odot$, we can use the corresponding luminosity as a guide to obtain a lower limit on the Hubble constant through the Hubble diagram. Thus, for 1 M$_\odot$ of $^{56}$Ni and $\alpha = 1$ we obtain a value of $H_0 \geq 55\pm5$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$. As in the previous method, we are underestimating $H_0$ by $\sim 5\%$, due to flux outside the optical window.
6. Discussion

Under the main assumptions: (1) that the progenitors of SNe Ia are C-O white dwarfs that explode at or near the Chandrasekhar mass, and (2) that the amount of $^{56}\text{Ni}$ synthesized to first order determines the peak luminosity, we are able to use results obtained from state-of-the-art numerical simulations of explosion models to uniquely define the bolometric peak luminosity, and in concert with photometric observations constrain $H_0$. The attractiveness of this approach stems from the ability to bypass assumptions that are typically made when one attempts to determine $H_0$, i.e. the extragalactic distance ladder and its accumulation of error from rung to rung. We stress that our fitting method does not add any corrections to the data. In other words we do not normalize the flux to any decline rate relation (e.g. $\Delta M_{15}$ (Phillips et al. 1999), MLCS (Riess et al. 1996) or stretch (Perlmutter et al. 1997)).

Uncertainties that marginally affect (in decreasing order of importance) our results include: the abundances of peak Fe group metals – stable and radioactive – produced in the explosion models, the redshift peculiar velocities of each SN Ia, the total absorption, the assumed rise time to bolometric maximum, the exact nature of $\alpha$, which may slightly vary from SN to SN depending on the exact nature of the opacity and ionization structure of the expanding ejecta, and the amount of flux that we neglect outside of the optical window.

It is still unclear what parameters effect the amount of $^{56}\text{Ni}$ produced in a SN Ia explosion. Obvious candidates are the initial conditions prior to explosion. These include the metallicity of the C-O white dwarf, the central density and the ignition mechanism. If there is a considerable fraction of alpha elements such as $^{22}\text{Ne}$ within the progenitor one would expect more stable isotopes such as $^{58}\text{Ni}$ and $^{54,56}\text{Fe}$ to be produced from burning to NSE, thus reducing the $^{56}\text{Ni}$ yield (e.g. Brachwitz et al. 2000). A higher central density on the other hand would lead to a more robust explosion and hence an increased amount of $^{56}\text{Ni}$. As discussed earlier the explosion mechanism is still uncertain and different deflagration and detonation scenarios produce different amounts of peak Fe group elements. Nevertheless with a larger $^{56}\text{Ni}$ mass we obtain smaller values of $H_0$. Errors attributed to the adopted recession velocity and redshifts produce scatter in our Hubble diagram but have very little effect on our calculations of $H_0$ via equations (8) or (12). The $\pm 3$ day departure from our adopted rise has no more than a 10% effect on our calculations. A change in $\alpha$ by $\pm 20\%$ can affect $H_0$ by 9%. Finally, we reiterate from equation (12) that by neglecting $\sim 10\%$ of the flux emitted outside of the optical, we are underestimating $H_0$ by at least 5% or correspondingly more if more flux is unaccounted in our method.

In § 5.1 we determined a rather high value ($85$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$) for the Hubble constant when using results from the MPA model. This indicates that the amount of $^{56}\text{Ni}$ produced in these 3-D deflagration simulations currently are on the low side. And indeed a large sample of SNe Ia show that the average distribution of $^{56}\text{Ni}$ mass is slightly higher ($\sim 0.6$ M$_{\odot}$) (Stritzinger 2005). This suggests that their models may need more fine tuning in order to produce a larger amount of $^{56}\text{Ni}$, and hence match observations more accurately.

There have been many attempts since Kowal (1968) presented his Hubble diagram to exploit SNe Ia to determine $H_0$. We refer the reader to Branch (1998) for a detailed review of previous works that attempt to calculate $H_0$ based on SNe Ia. He concluded, from methods based on physical considerations similar to the methods presented in this work and methods which utilize SNe Ia that have been independently calibrated by Cepheids, a range in the Hubble constant of $54 \leq H_0 \leq 67$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$, with a “consensus” on $H_0 = 60 \pm 10$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$. More recent investigations of Suntzeff et al. (1995) and Thil (2002) give values of $H_0 = 64$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$. Finally, (Freedman et al. 2001) Spergel et al. 2003; Altavilla et al. 2004 have all measured slightly larger values of $H_0 \approx 70$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$ with 10% accuracy.

Another method independent of the extragalactic distance ladder which combines X-ray imaging of galactic clusters with the Sunyaev-Zel’dovich effect (SZE) has been recently used to place limits on $H_0$ (Myers et al. 1997; Mason et al. 2001; Jones et al. 2003; Reese et al. 2002). These works have provided the detailed study of 41 clusters giving distances which yield an averaged value of $H_0 \approx 61 \pm 3$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$ (for a review see Reese 2003).

We find from both methods presented here that the Hubble constant must be $> 50$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$ in order to be compatible with current supernova models. In addition, we stress that this lower limit is based on the assumption that 1 M$_C$ is an upper limit on the amount of $^{56}\text{Ni}$ produced in a SN Ia explosion, and not from our adopted models. This result, along with other methods to measure $H_0$ using SNe Ia calibrated with Cepheids, SZE/X-ray distances and evidence of the ISW effect, strongly suggest that we do not live in a matter dominated universe without some form of cosmological constant or similar agent.

Acknowledgements. We thank the anonymous referee for many helpful comments that significantly improved the presentation of this paper. M.S. acknowledges the International Max-Planck Research School on Astrophysics for a graduate fellowship. M.S. is grateful for helpful conversations with Sergei Blinnikov, Wolfgang Hillebrandt, Gert Hütsi, Kevin Krsicunus, Paolo Mazzali, Friedrich Röpke, and Stefanie Walch. Special thanks to Nick Suntzeff for his hospitality, strong mentorship, and access to SN 1992A data. This research has made use of the NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED), which is operated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.
References

Altavilla, G., et al. 2004, MNRAS, 349, 1344
Arnett, W. D. 1982, ApJ, 253, 785
Arnett, W. D. 1996, Supernovae and Nucleosynthesis: An Investigation of the History of Matter, from the Big Bang to the Present (Princeton: Princeton Univ. Press)
Arnett, W. D., Branch, D., & Wheeler, J. C. 1985, Nature, 314, 337
Bennett, C. L., et al. 2003, ApJS, 148, 1
Blanchard, A., Douspis, M., Rowan-Robinson, M., & Sarkar, S. 2003, A&A, 412, 35
Blinnikov, S. (private communication)
Branch, D., Douspis, M., Rowan-Robinson, M., & Sarkar, S. 2003, A&A, 412, 35
Boughn, S., & Crittenden, R. 2004, Nature, 427, 45
Boughn, S., & Crittenden, R. 2004, astro-ph/0404348
Bowers, E.J.C., Meikle, W.P.S., Geballe, T.R., et al., MNRAS, submitted
Bohm-Vitense, E. 1997, AJ, 113, 13
Bennett, C. L., et al. 2003, ApJS, 148, 1
Bennett, C. L., et al. 2003, ApJS, 148, 1
Branch, D., Douspis, M., Rowan-Robinson, M., & Sarkar, S. 2003, A&A, 412, 35
Blinnikov, S. (private communication)
Boughn, S., & Crittenden, R. 2004, astro-ph/0404348
Bowers, E.J.C., Meikle, W.P.S., Geballe, T.R., et al., MNRAS, submitted
Bohm-Vitense, E. 1997, AJ, 113, 13
Bennett, C. L., et al. 2003, ApJS, 148, 1
Bennett, C. L., et al. 2003, ApJS, 148, 1
Branch, D., Douspis, M., Rowan-Robinson, M., & Sarkar, S. 2003, A&A, 412, 35
Blinnikov, S. (private communication)
Boughn, S., & Crittenden, R. 2004, astro-ph/0404348
Bowers, E.J.C., Meikle, W.P.S., Geballe, T.R., et al., MNRAS, submitted
Stritzinger, M. D., et al. 2002, AJ, 124, 2100
Stritzinger, M. D. 2005, in preparation
Suntzeff, N. B. 1996, in: IAU Colloquium 145, Supernovae and Supernova Remnants, ed. R. McCray, & Z. Wang, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 41
Suntzeff, N. B. 2003, in: From Twilight to Highlight, The Physics of Supernovae, ed. W. Hillebrandt & B. Leibundgut, Heidelberg:Springer, 183
Suntzeff, N. B. et al. 1999, AJ, 117, 1175
Tammann, G. A., & Leibundgut, B. 1990, A&A, 236, 9
Travaglio, C., et al. 2004, A&A, submitted, astro-ph/0406281
Vacca, W. D., & Leibundgut, B. 1996, ApJ, 471, L37
Vacca W. D., & Leibundgut B. 1997, in: Thermonuclear Supernovae, Ruiz-Lapuente P., Canal R., Isern J. ed. Kluwer, Dordrecht, 65
Woosley, S. W., 1990, in: Supernovae, eds, A. Petschek, Berlin:Springer-Verlag, 182
Woosley, S. W., Weaver, T. A. 1986, ARA&A, 24, 205
Woosley, S. W., Weaver, T. A. 1994, in: Supernovae, Les Houches Session LIV, eds, J. Audouze, S. Bludman, R. Mochovitch, J. Zinn-Justion, Amsterdam:Elsevier, 63
Table 1. Well-observed SNe Ia in the Hubble Flow

| SN    | Filters | Ref. | E(B-V)$_{gal}$ | E(B-V)$_{host}$ | $v_{CMB}$ | $F_{bol}^{max}$ |
|-------|---------|-----|----------------|----------------|------------|-----------------|
| SN1992bc | BVRI  | 1   | 0.022          | 0.000         | 5870       | (1.565±0.124)$\times10^{-11}$ |
| SN1992bo | BVRI  | 1   | 0.027          | 0.000         | 5151       | (9.106±0.967)$\times10^{-12}$ |
| SN1993H  | BVRI  | 1   | 0.060          | 0.050         | 7112       | (4.640±0.613)$\times10^{-12}$ |
| SN1995E  | BVRI  | 2   | 0.027          | 0.740         | 3478       | (5.726±0.622)$\times10^{-11}$ |
| SN1995ac | BVRI  | 2   | 0.042          | 0.080         | 14651      | (3.425±0.477)$\times10^{-12}$ |
| SN1995bd | BVRI  | 2   | 0.495          | 0.150         | 4266       | (2.542±0.521)$\times10^{-11}$ |
| SN1996bo | BVRI  | 2   | 0.078          | 0.280         | 4857       | (2.382±0.237)$\times10^{-11}$ |
| SN1999aa | UBVRI | 3, 4, 5 | 0.040     | 0.000         | 4546       | (2.333±0.446)$\times10^{-11}$ |
| SN1999aw | BVRI  | 5, 6 | 0.032          | 0.000         | 11754      | (3.525±0.700)$\times10^{-12}$ |
| SN1999dq | UBVRI | 3   | 0.024          | 0.139         | 4029       | (3.871±0.730)$\times10^{-11}$ |
| SN1999ee | UBVRI | 7   | 0.020          | 0.280         | 3169       | (5.781±0.881)$\times10^{-11}$ |
| SN1999gp | UBVRI | 3, 8 | 0.056          | 0.070         | 7783       | (9.270±1.082)$\times10^{-12}$ |

$^a$Taken from Schlegel et al. (1998) dust maps.

$^b$Heliocentric velocities from NED transformed to the cosmic microwave background frame. To account for peculiar velocities we assume throughout this work an error of 400 km s$^{-1}$ for all CMB distances.
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Figure 1 Hubble constant as a function of $^{56}\text{Ni}$ mass for 12 SNe Ia in the Hubble flow for $\alpha=1$. Error bars represent all uncertainties discussed in section 4.1. The dotted lines indicate the two $^{56}\text{Ni}$ masses from our adopted models. The solid vertical line indicates an upper limit on the production of $^{56}\text{Ni}$ expected from the thermonuclear explosion of a C-O white dwarf, and the solid horizontal line corresponds to the lower limit we derive for $H_0$.

Figure 2 To highlight the absolute lower limit we derive on $H_0$ we plot results obtained with SN 1999aa (the least luminous of our 10 normal SNe Ia) with 1-$\sigma$ (dark shading) and 3-$\sigma$ (light shading) confidence levels. Vertical solid lines indicate both the adopted $^{56}\text{Ni}$ masses and the 1 M$_\odot$ upper limit expected from an exploding C-O white dwarf. The dashed line illustrates the effect if $\alpha$ is increased by 20% (i.e. $\alpha=1.2$).

Figure 3 Hubble diagram of 12 SNe Ia in the Hubble flow. The negative logarithm of the UVOIR flux at maximum light is plotted against the logarithm of the recession velocity in the CMB frame. Error bars account for a peculiar velocity of 400 km s$^{-1}$ and uncertainties associated with host galaxy reddening.