Independent control of gamma and theta activity by distinct interneuron networks in the olfactory bulb
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Circuits in the brain possess the ability to orchestrate activities on different timescales, but the manner in which distinct circuits interact to sculpt diverse rhythms remains unresolved. The olfactory bulb is a classic example of a place in which slow theta and fast gamma rhythms coexist. Furthermore, inhibitory interneurons that are generally implicated in rhythm generation are segregated into distinct layers, neatly separating local and global motifs. We combined intracellular recordings in vivo with circuit-specific optogenetic interference to examine the contribution of inhibition to rhythmic activity in the mouse olfactory bulb. We found that the two inhibitory circuits controlled rhythms on distinct timescales: local, glomerular networks coordinated theta activity, regulating baseline and odor-evoked inhibition, whereas granule cells orchestrated gamma synchrony and spike timing. Notably, granule cells did not contribute to baseline rhythms or sniff-coupled odor-evoked inhibition. Thus, activities on theta and gamma timescales are controlled by separate, dissociable inhibitory networks in the olfactory bulb.

Temporal structure is an essential aspect of communication in the brain. This is particularly evident in olfaction, where neural representations are defined by sniffing1, animals are able to distinguish stimuli arriving at precise times in a sniff cycle2 and evidence for timing sensitivities in higher olfactory regions are beginning to emerge3. Generally, given the importance of inhibitory circuits in neural computation, they are likely to have crucial roles in shaping such temporal structures in a wide range of frequencies4–8. Interactions between inhibitory and excitatory neurons are thought to underlie synchronous activities at fast gamma frequencies4,5, as well as on slower theta timescales7. Although modeling studies4 and in vitro recordings8 often predict circuit properties underlying rhythm generation, demonstrations of direct causal links in vivo remain scarce5,6. In addition, mechanisms underlying oscillations in a particular band are often studied in isolation, yet activities on different timescales coexist during many brain functions6; both slow and fast rhythms are expressed by the same individual principal neurons9, interneuron activities show distinct phase relations to slow and fast rhythms simultaneously7, and the same molecularly defined interneuron class is, for example, implicated in driving gamma oscillations5 and setting theta phase6. Thus, it is not clear whether mechanisms govern both timescales or whether circuits underlying slow and fast activity might be dissociable. Experimental dissection of these hypotheses in vivo faces particular challenges, largely because specific interneurons involved in generating and coordinating different rhythms are often found to overlap anatomically as well as molecularly7,8.

The mammalian olfactory bulb (OB) provides a unique advantage in such quests. Here, two key classes of inhibitory circuits are anatomically segregated (Supplementary Fig. 1a), making dissection of circuits contributing to temporal structuring potentially feasible. The dynamics in the OB are characterized by activities spanning several distinct timescales, most notably in slow theta (1–12 Hz; Supplementary Fig. 1b,c)10,11 and fast gamma (40–100 Hz; Supplementary Fig. 1d)4,10,11 band activities. The former primarily arises from olfactory inputs robustly locking to the sniff rhythm1,12–18. However, even in the absence of odorous stimuli, distinct classes of projection neurons lock to different respiration phases15–17 (Supplementary Fig. 1b). It is inhibition in the OB that causes this temporal segregation16, where one class of projection neurons, mitral cells (MCs), is delayed relative to the other, tufted cells (TCs). Previous reports suggested that granule cells (GCs) are involved in shaping respiration coupling of projection neurons, as these interneurons exhibit robust sniff locking14,17–19. Recent computational work, on the other hand, postulated glomerular feedforward circuitry as an underlying mechanism16. In addition to the baseline rhythm, odor presentations often evoke a variety of activities in the theta range10,12–14,18,20, notably inhibitory responses (Supplementary Fig. 1c). Such inhibitory responses are implicated in contrast enhancement and are widely thought to be mediated by lateral inhibition via GCs19–21, although more recent computational work has proposed alternative explanations based on feedforward inhibition22. Theta rhythms in turn provide a framework to the latter, fast, gamma-range activities generated in the OB, which occur nested in sniff rhythms10 (Supplementary Fig. 1d). Such fast activities are observed under various behavioral states10,23 and are correlated with higher task demands10,23. It is evident from pharmacological studies in vitro and in vivo that GABA A-mediated inhibition is a basis for gamma activity24,25. Modeling26, current-source density analysis10, localized pharmacology in brain slices24 and constitutive genetic alteration of GC excitation27 have pointed toward a prominent contribution from GCs to gamma activity, yet conclusive evidence is lacking.
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Thus, although inhibition is clearly implicated in defining both gamma- and theta-range activities, the specific circuits behind the variety of temporal structures in the OB remain largely enigmatic. We used whole-cell recordings from interneurons and projection neurons and local field potential (LFP) recordings in the OB in brain slices, in anesthetized and awake mice, in combination with pharmacological and circuit-specific optogenetic interference to dissect the contribution of GC and glomerular layer interneurons to gamma and theta activity in the OB.

**RESULTS**

Efficient and selective silencing of interneurons in the OB

MCs and TCs both tightly lock to distinct, but opposite, phases of the sniff cycle, whose shift is established by inhibition in the OB.\(^{16}\) To assess the circuits underlying this phase segregation, we aimed to selectively silence interneurons in the GC layer (GCL) or glomerular layer in vivo. We first assessed the efficiency and selectivity of optogenetic silencing using GCL injections (Fig. 1). We injected adeno-associated virus (AAV1/2) to conditionally express the photo-activatable proton pump archaerhodopsin\(^{17}\) (Arch\(^{17}\))\(^{18}\) into transgenic mice expressing Cre recombinase from an IRES construct in the Gad\(\text{2}\) or Slc\(32\text{a}\text{1}\) (also known as Vgat) loci\(^{29,30}\), aiming to restrict silencing to GABAergic neurons (Fig. 1a). GAD2 has been reported to be expressed in 58% of GCL neurons\(^{31}\). Although no such report exists for VGAT expression, virtually all GABAergic neurons are thought to express VGAT\(^{30}\). With our deep GCL injections, more than 90% of Cre-expressing neurons in the GCL also expressed fluorescently tagged Arch\(^{17}\) (90.2 ± 2.4%, \(n = 8\) slices; Fig. 1a). To assess the extent and specificity of silencing, we performed whole-cell recordings from GCs and MCs in brain slices solely under infrared guidance for blind sampling. Consistent with the expected Cre-expression rate, 29 of 49 (59%) GCs in slices from Gad2-Cre mice hyperpolarized by more than 10 mV following white light presentations (Fig. 1c). In slices from Vgat-Cre mice, 22 of 26 GCs hyperpolarized by more than 10 mV, corresponding to efficient silencing of 85% of GCs in vitro (Fig. 1d). In vivo, blind whole-cell recordings from GCs mirrored the in vitro results: in Gad2-Cre mice with GCL injection, 50% (9 of 18 cells) of GCs hyperpolarized by more than 10 mV following light stimulation (Fig. 1e). The average evoked hyperpolarization for such infected cells was −20.4 ± 2.4 mV (Fig. 1e). In Vgat-Cre mice, this rate was 87% (13 of 15 cells; Fig. 1f). GCs consistently hyperpolarized by more than 20 mV at depths of 800 μm below the brain surface (Supplementary Fig. 2). Notably, excitatory odor responses evoked in GCs were consistently and completely suppressed (3 of 3 responses; Fig. 1g–i).
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**Figure 1** Efficiency and specificity of optogenetic silencing of granule cells in vitro and in vivo. (a) Expression pattern in the OB following GCL injection in a Gad2-Cre mouse (top). Inset, magnification of the area in the white box. GL, glomerular layer; MCL, mitral cell layer. Scale bars represent 0.5 mm and 0.1 mm (inset). See also the Supplementary Video 1. Bottom, immunohistochemical assessment of infection efficiency in a Vgat-Cre mouse. Scale bar represents 50 μm. Image section was taken from the center of the GCL. (b–f) Electrophysiological assessment of infection and silencing efficiency and selectivity. (b) Example whole-cell recordings from MCs in OB slices from Gad2-Cre mice injected with AAV-FLEX-Arch\(^{17}\) in the GCL during light presentation (left, yellow bar indicates light presentation). Scale bars represent 20 mV, 0.5 s. Right, proportion of recorded cells with corresponding light-evoked \(V_m\) deviation. \(V_m\) deviation more negative than 10 mV is defined as ‘silenced’. The number in the top right corner is the total number of cells recorded. (c,d) Data presented as in b, but recordings were from randomly selected GCs from GCL-injected Gad2-Cre (c) or Vgat-Cre (d) mice. (e,f) In vivo assessment of GC silencing in Gad2-Cre, anesthetized mice (e) and Vgat-Cre, awake, head-fixed mice (f). Data were from 5 and 3 mice, respectively. Traces in the middle are examples from different cells and numbers below indicate measured \(V_m\) deviation with the same color coding as the histograms. Scale bars represent 20 mV, 0.5 s. (g–i) GC silencing during excitatory odor responses in vivo. (g) Example of a reconstructed GC morphology. Scale bar represents 50 μm. (h) Top, action potentials evoked by 1-s odor presentation (gray) under the control condition. Bottom, response to the same odorant during light presentation. Scale bar represents 10 mV. (i) Summary data showing average number of APs evoked during 1-s odor presentation, for odor only and with additional light presentation (\(n = 3\) cells from 3 animals).
Deep injections resulted in infection highly restricted to the GCL: judged by immunohistochemistry, less than 2% of all infected neurons (1.57 ± 1.8%, n = 3 slices) were located outside the GCL, in the glomerular or external plexiform layers. In addition, recordings from 151 MCs in vitro from Gad2-Cre mice with GCL injection showed no hyperpolarization during light stimulation (Fig. 1b), indicating that infection was indeed specific to Cre-expressing neurons (Fig. 1a and Supplementary Video 1). For superficial injections, a local group of glomeruli was reliably targeted, selectively infecting almost 90% of all Cre-positive interneurons locally (89 ± 3.7%, n = 8 slices; Supplementary Fig. 2a,b). Thus, by taking advantage of the geometry of the OB and directed virus injections into deep and superficial layers, we were able to selectively and efficiently manipulate GC and glomerular layer inhibition, respectively (Fig. 2a,b).

**Theta phase segregation is mediated by glomerular layer circuits**

To assess whether GC or glomerular layers set theta phases of OB principal neurons, we made whole-cell recordings from M/TCs in vitro, combined with GCL or glomerular layer silencing in the anesthetized preparation (Fig. 2). Notably, despite suppressing activity in >85% of all GCs, no depolarization or increase in M/TC firing occurred (mean baseline firing rate: control, 3.8 ± 0.5 Hz; GCL silencing, 2.8 ± 0.4 Hz; P = 0.997 paired one-tailed t test; \( V_{m} \) change = −1.0 ± 0.3 mV, \( P = 0.997 \), one-tailed t test; \( n = 18 \) cells). Furthermore, slow rhythms in M/TC were unaffected and preferred phases were unaltered (\( P = 0.07 \), Fisher’s rank test on circular distributions; Fig. 2c,e).

On the other hand, silencing the glomerular layer resulted in a small and variable membrane potential change (mean baseline firing rate: control, 2.6 ± 0.48 Hz; glomerular silencing, 3.0 ± 1.45 Hz; \( V_{m} \) change = −3.9 ± 0.66 mV; \( n = 23 \) cells). Notably, sniff locking was markedly altered, including widespread phase shifts (ranging from −2.68 to 1.25 radians, \( P = 0.004 \), Fisher’s rank test, \( n = 23 \) cells; Fig. 2d,f). This phase shift occurred particularly in cells that, in the absence of external stimuli, depolarize later in the sniff cycle. These neurons corresponded to MCs (Fig. 2g), suggesting that the baseline theta rhythm in the OB is structured by the local, glomerular inhibitory circuitry rather than by global, granule cell–mediated inhibition.

**Feedforward inhibition in the glomerulus shapes theta rhythms**

Glomerular circuits are highly intricate, where local interneurons collectively referred to as juxtaglomerular cells (JGCs) comprise several types partaking in a variety of feedforward, recurrent and local lateral inhibition31,32. In particular, inhibitory JGCs receiving direct olfactory nerve (ON) inputs, often referred to as ON-driven periglomerular cells or PGo cells, have been linked to the feedforward pathway33. Computational models postulated that such feedforward circuits likely inhibit MCs strongly, setting phase shift between MCs and TCs18. Understanding how such a circuit regulates theta range activity therefore requires distinguishing between these neurons in vivo. We achieved this by silencing projection neurons while recording from JGCs (Fig. 3a), allowing JGCs that are predominantly driven by OB projection neurons to be distinguished from those driven predominantly by other sources, possibly ON. To this end, we injected AAV to conditionally express the light-gated cation channel ChR2 into the granule cell layer of Gad2-Cre mice (Fig. 3a). Light presentation in vivo resulted in rapid and strong depolarization of, and action potential (AP) discharges in, GCs (Fig. 3b), which in turn consistently and strongly hyperpolarized M/TCs (9 of 9 cells...
Figure 3 Sniff coupling of distinct JGC populations. (a–g) Method used to distinguish putative PGos from other JGCs (JGr) in vivo. (a) Left, JGCs involved in the feedforward pathway (PGo) are predominantly driven by the olfactory nerve, whereas other types of JGCs (JGr) are substantially driven by M/TCs. Right, activation of GCs via ChR2 suppresses M/TC activities, which in turn deprives excitatory drives to JGr cells, but not to PGo cells. (b) Example of an infected GC excited by ChR2 activation in vivo (red bar = light presentation). Scale bars represent 20 μm and 0.5 s. (c) Example of suppression evoked in an M/TC during light presentation. Scale bars represent 20 μm and 0.5 s. (d) Summary of average M/TC firing rate for control and light-on period (n = 8 cells from 5 animals). (e) Example whole-cell recordings from two JGCs showing reduction in synaptic inputs during light presentation. Such cells are classified as JGr cells. Scale bar = 20 μm. Light was presented for 0.9 s. (f) Examples of cells not showing reduction in synaptic inputs, classified as PGo cells. (g) Summary histogram of changes in Vm variance during light presentation. Cells with statistically significant changes in Vm variance were classified JGr cells (gray), whereas those without significant change were categorized as putative PGos. (h–k) Respiratory-coupling properties of PGos. (h) Example Vm trace (black) from a PGo cell, aligned to respiratory rhythm. (i) Respiratory histogram of changes in Vm variance. Nasal flow template is shown below (gray). Scale bars represent 10 μV, 338 ms. (j) Histogram of AP phase from all PGo cells (n = 6 cells from 6 animals), normalized by the number of sniff cycles analyzed (284 ± 86 cycles per cell analyzed). Sniff-aligned average subthreshold Vm from morphologically identified MCs (n = 7 MCs; data reproduced from ref. 16) is overlaid for comparison (pink). Data is repeated over two cycles for illustration. (j) Sniff-aligned subthreshold Vm from all PGos (mean and 1 s.d. shown). Pink trace is the same as in i. (k) Summary polar histogram of preferred Vm phase of all PGos (gray), normalized by the total number of cells. Pink range indicates the preferred phase of MC hyperpolarization (ticks = 25, 50 and 75 percentiles, corresponding to 1.5, 2.0 and 3.0 radians). Scale bar represents 0.6 radians, corresponding to 32.1 ms when calibrated for the average cycle lengths of all cells analyzed. (l–o) Respiratory-coupling properties of JGr cells (412 ± 139 cycles per cell analyzed, n = 10 JGr cells from 8 animals). Scale bars represent 10 μV, 403 ms (l), and 32.7 ms (o).

showed 100% AP suppression; Fig. 3c,d). Whole-cell recordings from JGCs showed that spontaneous activity in some JGCs was markedly reduced as a consequence (Fig. 3e). Other JGCs showed no detectable alteration to spontaneous activity after silencing M/TCs, indicating that the dominant source of their excitatory drive was something other than OB projection neurons (Fig. 3f). We identified the latter population as putative PGo cells (Fig. 3g). PGo cells were physiologically more homogeneous, as judged by their respiration coupling: they preferentially depolarized in a narrow range of respiratory phase (mean preferred Vm phase = 1.79 radians, 25th and 75th percentiles = 1.45 radians and 2.08 radians, n = 6 cells, Fig. 3h–k), slightly preceding the trough of the MC theta rhythm (Fig. 3k), consistent with a role of PGo activity underlying hyperpolarization in MCs. JGCs in the residual population on the other hand (JGr cells) were individually tuned to respiration, albeit to vastly heterogeneous phases (Fig. 3l–o). Thus, direct recordings indicate that putative PGo cells in the feedforward pathway in glomeruli likely mediate the phase shift between MC and TC theta rhythms.

Glomerular layer interneurons mediate slow inhibition

OB activity undergoes marked changes in response to odors on both slow and fast timescales. On slow timescales, odors frequently cause suppression of baseline firing in the principal neurons, indicating that putative PGo cells in the feedforward pathway in glomeruli likely mediate the phase shift between MC and TC theta rhythms.

Glomerular layer interneurons mediate slow inhibition

Such pauses in action potentials are thought to underlie at least one form of contrast enhancement of olfactory representations and are generally thought to originate from the lateral inhibition mediated by GCs. To assess the synaptic basis of such pauses, we presented a panel of odors to anesthetized mice and measured the subthreshold activity in M/TCs. Consistent with earlier reports using extracellular recordings, sniff-locked inhibition (Fig. 4a) accounted for approximately one-fifth of all responses (Fig. 4b).

Glomerular feedforward circuits underlie slow inhibition

Some computational studies suggest that feedforward inhibition in the glomerulus is an alternative explanation for inhibition evoked by
In Odor presentation (gray) was for 1 s and overlapped with light presentation (top) during control (black) and during light presentation (green). Odor (odor + LED). Black points show mean ± s.e.m. (±).

**Figure 4** GL inhibition, rather than GC lateral inhibition, underlies slow odor-evoked inhibition. (a) Odors presented to the animal often evoke sniff-coupled hyperpolarization in M/TCs, as shown by two examples. Ticks represent the times of expiration peaks. Scale bars represent 10 mV, 0.5 s. (b) For all cell-odor pairs (n = 205 pairs, 55 cells), 36% showed detectable responses, of which 21% were purely hyperpolarizing.

(c) Two hypotheses for the source of the evoked inhibition. Left, M/TCs that receive excitatory input from neighboring glomeruli activate GCs, which in turn give lateral inhibition to the recorded cell. Right, feedforward inhibition in the glomerular underlies evoked inhibition. (d,e) AAV-FLEX-ArchT was injected into the GCL and glomerular layer of Gad2-Cre or Vgat-Cre mice for layer-selective silencing as described in [Figure 3](#). (d) Effect of GC silencing. Example Vm traces from an M/TC (top) during control (black) and during light presentation (green). Odor presentation (gray) was for 1 s and overlapped with light presentation (yellow) for the LED condition. Scale bars represent 10 mV, 0.5 s. Below, summary of evoked Vm during control (odor only) versus during GC silencing (+LED). Black points show mean ± s.e.m. (n = 19 cells from 13 animals). Odors used: isoamyl acetate, methyl salicylate and eugenol (0.04 mV; 0.5 s). (e) Odor-evoked inhibition. (sniff-coupled hyperpolarization in M/TCs, as shown by two examples. Other odors, and such odor-evoked APs occurred at times matching evoked inhibition in M/TCs (Supplementary Fig. 4a–d). Furthermore, increasing input strength by applying odors at increasing concentrations frequently converted inhibitory responses to excitatory responses (Supplementary Fig. 4e). This is again consistent with the involvement of parallel feedforward inhibition and excitation (Supplementary Fig. 4f), where inhibition to the projection neuron dominates at lower input strengths, potentially due to the higher input resistance of PGOs (see also ref. 22). This predicts that a total block of inhibition should unveil excitation at the input strength where inhibition normally dominates. To pharmacologically block inhibition in vivo, we applied a combination of GABA_A antagonist gabazine and agonist muscimol, which blocks phasic synaptic inhibition while leaving basic network properties intact (GABA_A clamp)24. GABA_A clamp not only completely abolished evoked, sniff-coupled inhibition, but actually converted responses to phasic depolarization (control, −3.4 ± 0.7 mV; GABA_A clamp, 1.7 ± 0.4 mV; P < 0.01, n = 11 cells; Fig. 5a and Supplementary Fig. 4f). In many cases, this was accompanied by action potential discharge (Supplementary Fig. 4h). This conversion to excitation occurred for the majority of evoked sniff-coupled inhibition, but not for odors without detectable response during the control period (control, −0.2 ± 0.2 mV; GABA_A clamp, −0.2 ± 0.1 mV; range: control, −0.80 ± 0.90 mV; GABA_A clamp, −0.66 ± 0.04 mV; P = 0.94, n = 7 cells; Fig. 5b and Supplementary Fig. 4f), which is again consistent with feedforward inhibition as a mechanism underlying slow odor-evoked inhibitory responses. Thus, in contrast with common belief19−21, it is the glomerular circuitry, likely via a feedforward inhibitory pathway, rather than lateral inhibition by GCs, that underlies evoked inhibition on slow timescales and potentially contrast enhancement22.

**Figure 5** Feedforward circuit in the GL likely mediates the evoked inhibition. (a) Example traces from an M/TC cell that showed odor evoked inhibition during control (black) and the effect of pharmacological block of phasic GABA_A activation (GABA_A clamp, green; see ref. 16 and Online Methods). Dotted lines indicate the average Vm during baseline period. Scale bars represent 10 mV, 0.5 s. Bottom, summary of evoked potential during control and GABA_A clamp conditions where an odor evoked inhibition during control. Black points show mean ± s.e.m. (n = 11 cell-odor pairs from 8 animals). **P < 0.01. (b) Data presented as in a, but for cell-odor pairs where an odor did not evoke detectable response during control (n = 7 cell-odor pairs from 3 animals). Odors used: isoamyl acetate, eugenol, cinnamaldehyde, salicylaldehyde and anisaldehyde (n.s. indicates not significant, P = 0.94). See also Supplementary Figure 4.
Figure 6  Granule cells coordinate neuronal activities on a fast timescale. (a) Example spectrograms with odor only (top) and with additional GCL silencing (yellow bar, bottom). Hotter colors indicate greater power. Spectrograms are the average from 17 trials each. (b) Spectral density estimate for odor period normalized by that for baseline period (evoked power) averaged over all recordings (n = 10 recordings from 10 animals). Black = odor only, green = odor + LED. Data are presented as mean and one s.d. (c) Summary of evoked power averaged over gamma frequencies (40–100 Hz). Black points show mean ± s.e.m. **P < 0.01. (d–f) Gamma range activities on a single-cell level. (d) Example of excitatory response evoked in an example TC (top). Gray bar indicates time of odor presentation. Scale bars represent 20 mV, 0.4 s. Spike raster (middle) where timings of evoked APs are shown relative to a selected evoked spike (spikevec) for 40 randomly chosen spikevec. Spike autocorrelogram for this example cell is shown at the bottom (Online Methods). (e) Data presented as in d, but with additional GCL silencing (yellow bar, top). Scale bars represent 20 mV, 0.4 s. (f) Power spectra were obtained from the spike autocorrelogram for all TCs that showed excitatory responses (n = 9 cells from 8 animals) and averaged. Data are presented as mean ± s.e.m. are shown for odor only (black/gray) and with LED (green/pale green) conditions. Odors used: isoamyl acetate, salicylaldehyde, eugenol, methyl salicylate and cinnamaldehyde at 2–5% saturated vapor.

Control of gamma and theta activity in the awake animal

Thus, GCs seem to coordinate high-frequency gamma activity in the OB, but contribute only little to slower, theta rhythms or sniff-coupled inhibition evoked by odors. Although the anesthetized preparation offers stability, and therefore permits more extensive mechanistic investigations, there is accumulating evidence that neurons in the anesthetized and awake states may have different baseline excitability and/or firing rate. This raises the possibility that GCs have a more prominent role in shaping slow activity in the awake preparation. To probe this, we performed whole-cell recordings from GCs and MCs in vivo, this time in the awake, head-fixed preparation. GCs in the awake preparation fired at similarly low rates as the anesthetized cases (awake, 0.9 ± 0.3 Hz, n = 23 cells; anesthetized, 0.6 ± 0.3 Hz, n = 43 cells). To assess the GC contribution to OB dynamics, we applied optogenetic GC silencing in awake animals. In animals expressing ArchT in the GCL (AAV-FLEX-ArchT in...
Vgat-Cre animals), blind whole-cell patch-clamp recordings from GCs revealed that 13 of 15 cells (87%) hyperpolarized by more than 10 mV (Fig. 1f), indicating a high infection rate and efficient silencing in the awake preparation. Such cells hyperpolarized by −22.0 ± 2.0 mV at depths ranging from −962 to −499 µm from the brain surface (Supplementary Fig. 2). Light-evoked hyperpolarization was also strong enough to suppress odor-evoked excitatory responses in awake animals (Fig. 7a). To probe the contribution of GCs to fast network activity, we again recorded LFPs while silencing the GCL. Baseline LFP recordings in awake animals showed significantly more prominent gamma rhythms than the anesthetized state, consistent with recent reports25. Silencing GCs markedly reduced such gamma oscillations (raw γ power = 135.6 ± 19.3 µV² s versus 108.5 ± 14.4 µV² s, P < 0.01, n = 11 recordings; Fig. 7b,c) confirming the key role of GCs in gamma activity in the awake preparation. To analyze how GCs contribute to MC activity, we performed whole-cell recordings from MCs in the awake state (Fig. 7d,e). Again, firing rates were largely unaltered by silencing GCs (control, 3.94 ± 0.96 Hz; LED, 3.21 ± 1.04 Hz; P = 0.43, n = 12 cells; Fig. 7d). Moreover, odor-evoked slow inhibitory responses, which were also observed prominently in the awake (8 of 16 odor–cell pairs, with an average hyperpolarization of −1.19 ± 0.43 mV), were not suppressed with GCL silencing (−2.55 ± 0.81 mV during LED, P = 0.90, one-tailed paired t test; Fig. 7e). Thus, as in the anesthetized preparation, GCs in the awake state underlie fast gamma rhythms, but do not contribute to slow, respiration-coupled odor-evoked inhibition.

**DISCUSSION**

Altogether, our findings provide a mechanistic understanding of how inhibitory circuits in a brain region can shape signals in distinct ways. We found that inhibition from the local glomerular circuits sculpts slow rhythms by setting baseline phase as well as inhibiting OB output during odor presentations. Deep, global inhibitory circuits in the GCL, on the other hand, refine temporal coherence in the gamma range and spike precision during odor presentation. This suggests a dissociation between slow and fast inhibition in the olfactory bulb (Supplementary Fig. 1e), which is reminiscent of the pharmacological separation of slow and fast inhibition in insects23.

**Efficiency and extent of interneuron silencing**

The lack of GC contribution to slow rhythms and sniff-coupled odor-evoked inhibition is particularly surprising. Immunohistochemistry and electrophysiological recordings revealed that virtually all GAD2- or VGAT-positive granule cells were infected in vivo and in vitro and hyperpolarized efficiently (Fig. 1 and Supplementary Video 1). Notably, in vivo, odor-evoked excitatory responses in GCs were reliably abolished. Thus, it is unlikely that the negative result can be attributed to omission of a substantial subpopulation of GCs from our experimental manipulation.

Lack of visible, slow depolarization in M/TCs following GC silencing may relate to the low firing rates of GCs at rest in vivo that we observed. Although it is conceivable that local AP-independent GABA release from GC dendrites might be affected less markedly, the widespread ArchT expression (including in the dendrites; Fig. 1), suppression of activities attributed to dendrodendritic inhibition (such as fast, recurrent IPSPs evoked in slices; Supplementary Fig. 5) and diminished gamma population responses in vivo (Figs. 6 and 7) make it unlikely that subthreshold dendritic release was completely unaffected by the optogenetic silencing of the GCL. Rather, the absence of any phase shift of slow rhythms or suppression of odor-evoked inhibition during GCL silencing strongly suggests that GCs are not involved in coordinating these slow activities. Furthermore, optogenetic silencing of only a local group of glomerular interneurons was sufficient to reduce phase shift in MCs and reduce evoked inhibition markedly (Figs. 2 and 4). The fact that glomerular silencing did not convert evoked inhibition into excitation as reliably as the pharmacological manipulation (Fig. 5) might indicate that, even though the M/TCs recorded but did not extend to the fringes where in the immediate vicinity of injection sites, they did not always extend their apical dendritic tufts into the core of the infected region, but did extend to the fringes where infection only incompletely covered the JGC population (Supplementary Fig. 3a). Although incomplete silencing would be potentially problematic for negative results, it is difficult to deny the glomerular layer contribution to slow inhibition from the strong effects that we observed during glomerular silencing. It is likely that our layer-selective silencing included some interneurons outside glomerular and GCLs, such as EPL interneurons. Although they are likely to contribute to OB function39,40, resulting from their broad odor tuning, they are unlikely to underlie the odor-specific inhibition of M/TCs described here.

**Mechanisms underlying gamma-band activity**

A number of studies based on models4, current source density analyses16, localized pharmacology24 and constitutive genetic modifications25,27 suggest that the dendro-dendritic GC-MC-GC synapse might underlie gamma activity. Our results from optogenetic silencing of the GCL provide direct evidence of a causal role for GCs in gamma oscillations in anesthetized and awake animals. The remaining gamma power during GC silencing may be explained by the autonomous dendritic mechanisms for GABA release not involving somatic APs38, as mentioned earlier, or the residual 10–15% of GCs that might have escaped the manipulation. Alternatively, the condition could have also silenced other interneuron types present in the GCL, such as Blanes cells, which inhibit GCs tonically41,42, possibly amplifying the remaining GC contributions.

Thus far, we have discussed gamma oscillations largely as one band. However, this band in the OB consists of at least two types, namely slow and fast gamma oscillations10, which are thought to involve MCs and TCs, respectively43. We have analyzed the standard broad gamma band (40–100 Hz)10 in the LFP, and only at the single-cell level did we manage to highlight fast gamma range activity as spike regularity in TCs thought to arise from their higher firing rates43. In both cases, gamma activities strongly relied on GCs, which is consistent with a dominant role of the GCL in coordinating synchrony on a fast timescale.

**Temporal separation by glomerular feedforward inhibition**

Rhythmic, sniff-coupled patterning of activity has attracted attention for more than 60 years11 and has been intensely studied, especially in the anesthetized preparation. Both principal neurons and interneurons have demonstrated a prominent subthreshold oscillation14,16,18. However, given that the difference in respiration coupling of M/TCs was reported only recently, mechanistic understanding here is much scarcer. Rhythmicity in GC activation and the consequent rhythmic inhibition of M/TCs have long been linked to the sniff-coupling patterns of M/TCs14,17,18, but recent computational models have suggested the glomerular feedforward circuitry as a possible mechanism behind the MC-TC phase shift16. Our results indicate that silencing GCs has a negligible effect on the baseline activity of M/TCs, including depth of modulation or preferred phase of discharge. Instead, marked alteration in sniff coupling was observed with glomerular layer silencing. The largest phase shift occurred in cells identified as MCs on the basis of their subthreshold
oscillations, consistent with our earlier report that temporal separation is established by delaying MCs by inhibition. Here we further attempted to dissect the intricate glomerular circuit. As there is no specific molecular marker for the neurons involved in the feedforward pathway, we relied on functional connectivity to distinguish JGC classes: by shutting down activity in M/TCs via optogenetic activation of GCL interneurons, we were able to identify JGCs on the basis of the source of excitatory drive. This included cells exclusively driven by the ON and may also have comprised other cell types, such as those driven by glomerular feedforward excitation observed in vitro. Most recorded JGCs locked tightly to respiration rhythms, as reported recently, but with a variety of phase preferences. The putative PGo population that was functionally distinguished was more homogeneous, depolarizing in a narrow phase window that corresponded to the MC hyperpolarization. This method for circuit analysis in vivo relied on the neatly layered structure of the OB, but might prove to be useful in other brain areas.

Taken together, our data exclude GCs as a major source of respiration-linked hyperpolarization in MCs, but strongly suggest PGo cell–mediated feedforward inhibition as the mechanism underlying the phase delay of MCs. This delay might be used for readout of OB cell–mediated feedforward inhibition as the mechanism underlying the scenario that emerges is one in which the glomerular circuit gives primary structure to olfactory inputs locally, producing diversity between M/TCs and possibly enhancing contrast non-topographically in neurons associated with one glomerular channel. The deeper granule layer in turn further refines signals by orchestrating activity over the OB using precise timing, which is likely critical for efficient integration in downstream cortical structures.

The general idea of dissociable rate and temporal codes has been explored, for example, in the hippocampus where firing rate and phase in a theta cycle have been suggested to represent different variables. In addition, APs in each theta cycle may be organized even more precisely through gamma rhythms nested in the theta rhythm to represent multiple items orderly. Alternatively, multiple bands might coexist as a mechanism for minimizing signal corruption by multiplexing signals. Recruitment of distinct circuits in a brain region for dissociable control of activities on such different timescales is likely to prove important in all of these cases.

**METHODS**

Methods and any associated references are available in the online version of the paper.
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ONLINE METHODS

All animal experiments were performed according to the guidelines of the German animal welfare law, approved by the local ethics panel and UK Home Office under the Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986. Mice used for the optogenetic experiments were from transgenic lines expressing Cre recombinase in GAD2-expressing or VGAT-expressing neurons (Gad2-ires-Cre or VGAT-ires-Cre; from Jackson Laboratories and B. Lowell, Harvard University). Both male and female mice were used. All reagents were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich unless noted otherwise.

Virus generation and stereotactic injections. AAV-EF1A-DIO-Chr2-EYFP\(^{50}\) and AAV-CAG-FLEX-Arch\(^{+}\)-GFP\(^{28}\) were produced using a protocol described elsewhere\(^{21}\) to titers of \(1.3 \times 10^{13}\) particles per ml and \(6.1 \times 10^{12}\) particles per ml, respectively.

Animals were anesthetized intraperitoneally with ketamine and xylazine (100 and 20 mg per kg of body weight, respectively) and prepared for surgery in a stereotactic frame (David Kopf Instruments). For injection of AAV, five coordinates were used for targeting the GCL, where virus was dispensed at 3 and 2 depths along the first and second tracks. The coordinates were: for the first track: +0.75 mm (anteroposterior), −0.75 mm (mediolateral, ML) from the midline rhinal fissure, at three dorsoventral (DV) depths, −0.7 mm (207 nl), −1.1 mm (103.5 nl) and −1.3 mm (103.5 nl); for the second track: +1.1 mm (anteroposterior), −0.75 mm (ML) from the midline rhinal fissure, at two depths, −0.7 mm (207 nl) and −1.15 mm (103.5 nl). For targeting the glomerular layer, the co-ordinate was +2.0 mm (anteroposterior), −1.0 mm (ML), −0.3 mm (DV), with 92 nl injected at a single site. This coordinate was chosen to target dorsolaterally located glomeruli, ideal for subsequently targeting MCs belonging to those glomeruli. Furthermore, for glomerular layer injections, the head of the animals was tilted leftwards and backwards by 15 degrees and 10 degrees, respectively, so that the craniotomy for virus injection was as far away as possible from the craniotomy for electrophysiological recordings. Such injections resulted in approximately 95% of all infected cells being located in the GL (Supplementary Fig. 3). Viruses were dispersed from a thin capillary tube using a Nanoject injector (Drummond Scientific) at a rate of 46 nl min\(^{-1}\). Mice were 28–45 d old at the time of injections.

Electrophysiology was carried out 1–4 weeks after the virus injections.

In vivo electrophysiology. Animals were anesthetized intraperitoneally with ketamine and xylazine (100 and 20 mg per kg, respectively, for induction; xylazine concentration was reduced to 10 mg per kg for maintenance) and kept warm (37 °C, DC temperature controller, FHc) for the duration of the experiments. A small craniotomy and durectomy of approximately 500 µm in diameter was made over the dorsolateral part of the left olfactory bulb, which was submerged in Ringer solution containing 135 mM NaCl, 5.4 mM KCl, 5 mM HEPES, 1 mM MgCl\(_2\), 1.8 mM CaCl\(_2\), and its pH adjusted to 7.2 and 280±25°m osm kg\(^{-1}\). Whole-cell recordings were made with borosilicate glass pipette filled with 130 mM KMeSO\(_4\), 10 mM HEPES, 7 mM KCl, 2 mM ATP, 2 mM MgATP-Mg, 0.5 mM GTP, 0.05 mM EGTA, 10 mM biocytin, with pH and osmolality adjusted to 7.3 and 275±28°m osm kg\(^{-1}\). Signals were amplified and filtered at 30 kHz by an Axoclamp 2B (Molecular Devices) and digitized at 20 kHz with a micro 1401 (Cambridge Electronic Design). Neurons were identified based on depth and passive membrane properties, and in some cases confirmed with morphology\(^{19}\) (Fig. 1g). PGO cells were functionally distinguished from JG cells by assessing the major source of excitatory drive (Fig. 3). Depths of recorded neurons are vertical distances from the brain surface. Respiration was recorded using a piezoelectric band (Kent Scientific) wrapped around the animal’s chest and calibrated against nasal flow as previously described\(^{16}\). For morphological identification of some recorded neurons, recorded OBs were sectioned and stained for biocytin using an avidin-biocytin reaction coupled to peroxidase/DAB reaction\(^{23}\) (ABC kit, Vector Labs). Stained cells were traced using a Neurolucida system (MBF Bioscience). LFP was recorded with a glass pipette filled with Ringer solution, placed in the GCL.

Recordings from awake animals were performed as described previously\(^{16}\). In brief, 30–50 d-old C57BL/6 mice or Ygat-Cre mice previously injected with AAV were implanted with a stainless steel head-plate and allowed to recover. On the day of the experiments, animals were given meloxicam (2.5 mg per kg, subcutaneous) or carprofen (5 mg per kg, subcutaneous) and lidocaine (1%, subcutaneous) or levobupivacaine (0.5%, subcutaneous) pre-operatively. Under isoflurane anesthesia (1.75% in air), a craniotomy approximately 2 mm in diameter was made over the dorsal surface of the olfactory bulb, which was subsequently covered with a thin layer of agarose (5% in the Ringer solution) for stability. The animals were allowed to recover from the isoflurane anesthesia for at least 30 min before recordings commenced. After this time, such head-fixed animals readily performed olfactory discrimination tasks during whole-cell recordings (Kolko, M., Schmautz, A., Fukunaga, I. & Schafer, A.T., Soc. Neurosci. Abstr. MM1, 2012).

Illustration for the optogenetic experiments in vivo in both anesthetized and awake preparations was presented by an XP-G LED (Cree) placed approximately 1 cm above the brain surface so that it produced –15 mW mm\(^{-2}\) of light intensity on the surface. For experiments with odor presentations, control trials and trials with LED were interleaved for all experiments. For effects on spontaneous activity, light was presented for 1 s every 10 s.

GABA\(_A\) clamp was carried out in a manner previously described\(^{16}\). Briefly, a solution containing gabazine and muscimol (0.45 mM and 2 mM, respectively; Tocris Biosciences) was superfused over the exposed brain using a peristaltic pump (Ismatec, IDEX Health and Science). The solution was superfused for at least 30 min for the drug condition. Odors at concentrations of 0.1–10% of saturated vapor (up to 35% in Supplementary Fig. 4e) were presented at 30-s intervals using a custom-made olfactometer timed to the peak of expiration detected from the chest distention signals (Spike-Height discriminator, FHc).

A panel of odors presented consisted of isomylacetate, methylsalicylate, salicylaldehyde, cinnamaldehyde, eugenol, acetonaphone, cineol, anisaldehyde and mineral oil (analytical standard). When all seven odors were presented, 47% of cells responded with excitation to at least one odor. Inhibition in response to at least one odor was observed in 16% of all cells.

In vitro electrophysiology. Dissected OBs were submerged in ice-cold artificial cerebrospinal fluid containing 125 mM NaCl, 25 mM NaHCO\(_3\), 1.25 mM NaH\(_2\)PO\(_4\), 25 mM glucose, 2.5 mM KCl, 2 mM MgCl\(_2\) and 1 mM CaCl\(_2\), equilibrated with a mixture of 95% O\(_2\) and 5% CO\(_2\) and adjusted to 310–320 mOsm osmolality, and horizontal slices 300 µm in thickness were made using a vibratome (Microm HM650V, Sigmam Elektronik). For electrophysiology, the concentration of MgCl\(_2\) and CaCl\(_2\) were changed to 1 mM and 2 mM, respectively, and the solution was warmed to 33–35 °C. Whole-cell recordings were made with borosilicate glass electrodes filled with the same internal solution used for in vivo electrophysiology, except the exclusion of bicocytin. Signals were amplified by a Multiclamp 700B (Molecular Devices), digitized at 16.67 kHz by an ITC 16 (HEKA) and acquired using the NClamp/Neuramatic package (J. Rothman, http://www.thinkrandoM.com) in Igor Pro (Wavemetrics). The halogen microscope light source (100 W, Zeiss) was used for optogenetic experiments in vitro.

Data analysis. Data was analyzed in Spike2 (CED), Matlab (Mathworks) and Igor Pro using custom-written routines. Unless otherwise stated, values stated in the text are the mean and the s.e.m. Sample sizes used are similar to those reported in the field and no statistical method was used to predetermine these. P values reported are, unless otherwise stated, from two-tailed paired t tests. For statistical tests requiring normal underlying distributions, normality was assumed while individual data points are displayed wherever possible. Data collection and analysis were not performed blind to the conditions of the experiments. One sniff cycle is defined to be from one expiration peak to the next expiration peak. Expiration peaks were the most reliable events for detection. On average, expiration peak occurs approximately 100 ms after the inhalation onset in anesthetized mice\(^{16}\). Recordings were aligned and normalized to the sniff cycle and significance of sniff coupling was assessed as described previously\(^{16}\).

\(V_m\) change with light for testing the optogenetic method. Average \(V_m\) during baseline period (a 500-ms window approximately 100 ms before light onset) was subtracted from average \(V_m\) during light (a 500-ms window approximately 150 ms after light onset).

Light-induced phase shift. Preferred phase of sniff aligned, subthreshold membrane potential average was obtained as described previously\(^{16}\) and compared with the baseline periods (5 s before the light onset) and during light (all complete sniff cycles during light presentations). Light presentation was for 1 s. This was repeated every 10 s at least 20 times. Preferred phase was calculated as the phase of an average vector, where sniff phase and the baseline-subtracted \(V_m\)
amplitude corresponded to the argument and magnitude of individual vectors. Phase-shift was the preferred phase during light minus the preferred phase during baseline, and is given in radians.

**Fisher's rank test on two circular distributions.** Non-parametric tests comparing two circular histograms were carried out as described previously53. Comparison was between the distribution of phaseshifts during silencing and the distribution of baseline phase fluctuations (\(\phi_{\text{baseline2}} - \phi_{\text{baseline1}}\)). \(\phi_{\text{baseline1}}\) was preferred phase during 5 s before each LED presentation, \(\phi_{\text{baseline2}}\) was preferred phase between 6 and 5 s before LED presentation. Phase shifts and baseline phase fluctuations were circularly ranked together53 and the resulting rank was compared against test statistics from randomly generated ranks (1,000 sets) to obtain the \(P\) values.

**Drift subtraction.** During light presentations, a small drift of baseline membrane potential (~0.82 ± 0.71 mV per cycle) was observed, which was subtracted for display purpose only (Fig. 2c,d).

**Color-coding of MC/TC likelihood.** The \([R \ G \ B]\) values were determined as \([1 - 0.9 \cdot V_{\text{mTC}}(\phi), 1 - 0.9 \cdot V_{\text{mMC}} - 0.5 \cdot V_{\text{mTC}}(\phi), 1 - 0.3 \cdot V_{\text{mMC}}(\phi)]\), where \(V_{\text{mTC}}(\phi)\) and \(V_{\text{mMC}}(\phi)\) correspond to the sniff-aligned average subthreshold membrane potential from identified TCs and MCs (measured as in ref. 16), respectively, at the corresponding phase. This resulted in cells that lock to the average MC phase to appear bright pink, cells locking to the average TC phase cyan and cells at the border between MC and TC phase region to be plotted in pale colors16.

**Detection of odor responses (Fig. 4).** Baseline \(V_{\text{m}}\) fluctuation was subtracted sniff-by-sniff56. A deviation greater than 2 s.d. of the baseline fluctuation during the first three sniff cycles after the valve opening was termed a response. A significantly negative deviation not accompanied by AP increase was classified as a purely hyperpolarizing response (slow inhibition). AP change was defined as an increase in the number of APs per sniff of more than 2 s.d. above the baseline fluctuation. Excitatory response was defined as a positive AP change during the first three sniff cycles after the valve opening.

\(V_{\text{m}}\) changes evoked by odor presentations (evoked \(V_{\text{m}}\)). Evoked \(V_{\text{m}}\) was obtained by subtracting the average baseline \(V_{\text{m}}\) (\(V_{\text{m}}\) during 5 s before odor onset) from average \(V_{\text{m}}\) during odor application (for 1 s since valve opening). Baseline drift due to light presentation alone was subtracted (~6.26 ± 1.29 mV for glomerular layer silencing, \(n = 7\) cells; no drift subtraction was necessary for GCL silencing). For illustration capacitive artifacts from LED/valve activation were removed in some figures.

**Spectral analysis.** Estimates of spectral densities were obtained using a multitaper method (http://chronux.org)54, with time window 0.5 s (T*W = 2, and 3 tapers used55). Density estimates from evoked period (1 s since odor onset) were divided by those from baseline period (1 s before odor onset).

**Spike precision during gc silencing.** Spikes evoked in tufted cells during odor presentations (\(n = 9\) cells) were analyzed. Display of spike regularity (Fig. 6d,e) was achieved by realigning spike times with respect to each spike (Spike ref56). Correlograms of spikes were obtained for spikes within and across trials, which were averaged and spectral density estimates obtained for each cell by the multitaper method (window = 0.5 s; T*W = 5, 9 tapers used).

**Baseline variability in firing rate.** The average firing rate during 1-s window before LED presentation (FR\(_{\text{Control1}}\)) and the average firing rate during another 1-s window starting 2 s before LED presentation (FR\(_{\text{Control2}}\)) were measured to obtain FR\(_{\text{Control2}}\)-FR\(_{\text{Control1}}\) (baseline variability). The average baseline variability for all LED trials for each cell was averaged and summarized in the histogram (Fig. 7d).

**A Supplementary Methods Checklist is available.**
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Corrigendum: Independent control of gamma and theta activity by distinct interneuron networks in the olfactory bulb

Izumi Fukunaga, Jan T Herb, Mihaly Kollo, Edward S Boyden & Andreas T Schaefer
Nat. Neurosci.; doi:10.1038/nn.3760; published online 6 July 2014; corrected online 22 July 2014

In the version of this article initially published, the first construct under “Virus generation and stereotaxic injections” in the Online Methods was given as AAV-EF1A-DIO-Chr2-GFP. The correct construct is AAV-EF1A-DIO-Chr2-EYFP. The error has been corrected in the HTML and PDF versions of the article.
Supplementary Figure 1

Schematic of how inhibition in the OB structures the timing of activities.

(a) Simplified OB circuitry: glutamatergic inputs from the olfactory nerve (ON) are transmitted to principal neurons within glomeruli in the glomerular layer (GL). In the GL, juxtaglomerular cells (JGC) mediate largely local inhibition. Granule cells (GC) in the granule cell layer (GCL) inhibit the lateral dendrites of principal neurons across larger distances. (b) Inhibition in the OB establishes a phase-shift in sniff-coupled activities between MCs (pink) and TCs (blue) at rest. Gray trace (bottom) represents the nasal airflow, with lighter gray indicating inhalation. (c) Odor presentation frequently results in slow inhibition of MCs and TCs, causing a pause in their action potential discharge. (d) Faster, gamma range activities in the OB or its insect analogue are evoked by odors and require inhibition. (e) Schematic of the finding. Glomerular layer interneurons (orange) structure activities on the theta timescale, setting phase preferences and modulate amplitudes of sniff-coupled activities. Theta activities are regulated during baseline period, as well as during responses to odors. Activities on a faster timescale, here in the gamma frequency range, are observed often nested in theta rhythms, and are controlled by the circuits in the granule cell layer (blue). Thus the OB outputs are highly regulated in both theta and gamma range frequencies by actions of dissociable circuits in the glomerular layer and GC layer.
Supplementary Figure 2

GC silencing at different depths.

Optogenetic manipulations in vivo require light to penetrate thicker tissues to achieve sufficiently high light intensities at relevant light activatable receptors. Plots here show light evoked Vm deviation in GCs recorded at various depths (vertical distance from the OB surface) for Gad2-Cre animals (anaesthetized) and Vgat-Cre animals (awake, head-fixed) injected into the GCL with AAV-FLEX-ArchT. Each data point corresponds to one GC.
Sniff-coupled inhibition is reduced with glomerular layer silencing in both Gad2-Cre and Vgat-Cre mice.

(a) Example of local infection with glomerular injection of AAV-FLEX-ArchT in a Gad2-Cre animal. Scale bars = 1 mm (left) and 0.1 mm (right). Less than <0.05% of GCL interneurons were infected with glomerular injection (n = 2 OB). (b) Example high-resolution image from another animal. (c) Odor-evoked hyperpolarization under control (odor only) conditions and with light application (+LED) in Gad2-Cre (blue; n = 3 cells) and Vgat-Cre (brown; n = 4 cells) animals with glomerular layer injection of AAV-FLEX-ArchT.
Possible involvement of GL feedforward circuit in evoked inhibition.

(a) An example recording from an M/TC, where an odor evoked inhibition. Pink arrowhead indicates the preferred phase of hyperpolarization for this example cell. The dotted line indicates the onset of first inhalation after valve opening. Sniff cycle 1 is the first complete cycle after the opening of the odor valve. (b) An example of whole-cell recording from a PGo with an excitatory response to an odor. Pink arrowhead is the preferred phase of M/TC hyperpolarization as in (a). PGo was identified as described in Fig 3. (c) Summary PSTH from all action potential times recorded from all PGo cell – odor pairs where an excitatory response was observed (n= 3 PGo cells). Time = 0 is the inhalation onset. Pink arrowhead is the preferred phase of M/TC hyperpolarization as in (a). (d) Polar histogram of individual AP phase from the 3 PGo cells. The range shown in pink is the preferred phase of inhibition evoked in all M/TCs (n=31 cells) during sniff cycle 1 (a). Ticks indicate the 25, 50 & 75 percentiles. Scale bar = \( \pi/6 \) radians, corresponding to 33.7 ms when calibrated to the average sniff cycle length. (e) In some cells, as shown in this example, increasing the concentration of odor that at low
concentrations evoked hyperpolarization resulted in emergence of excitatory responses. No drugs were applied for this experiment. Values on the left are the concentrations of isoamylacetate presented (% of saturated vapour). (f) Data from Fig 5b is replotted, also as histograms (blue = hyperpolarizing response during control; black = no detectable response during control). (g) Histogram of evoked Vm in M/TCs recorded only in the GABA\textsubscript{A}-clamp condition, without paired control showing similar distribution to (f). (h) Conversion to depolarization in GABA\textsubscript{A}-clamp was often strong enough to elicit action potentials. Cell-odor pairs here showed evoked inhibition during control. n = 11 cells (same cells and odor as in Fig 5b). Each data point is the average of 2-6 repeated trials. (i) Top: Two example cells with odor-evoked activity during control and GABA\textsubscript{A}-clamp. Below: Relationship of odor-evoked depolarization/hyperpolarization between control and GABA\textsubscript{A}-clamp. Note the inverse relationship between responses evoked during control and unmasked with GABA\textsubscript{A}-clamp. r = -0.56, p = 0.01, n = 20 cells.
Supplementary Figure 5

Fast inhibitory transients are reduced during GCL silencing.

(a) Experimental configuration: whole-cell recordings were made in vitro from MCs in OB slices cut from Gad2-Cre animals with GC layer injection of AAV- FLEX-ArchT. Depolarising current was injected to elicit bursts of APs in MCs, which evoke recurrent inhibition in the recorded cell. (b) In addition to slow recurrent hyperpolarization, individual, fast recurrent IPSPs can be resolved (detected events indicated by gray arrowheads). (c) Same cell and conditions as in (b) but with light-evoked silencing of GCs. (+LED, green). Scale bars = 1 mV, 10 ms. (d) Summary histograms of the change in IPSP count due to light-mediated GCL silencing. (n = 25 cells).
Supplementary Figure 6

GC silencing does not cause significant change in firing rates.

(a) Experimental configuration: odors were presented to anaesthetized Vgat-Cre mice injected with AAV-FLEX-ArchT into the GC layer while whole-cell recordings from TCs were made. GCs were silenced for intermittent trials. (b) Examples of evoked depolarizing response to odor during control condition (above, black trace) and during GC silencing (below, green). Scale bars = 5 mV, 50 ms, same example as in Fig. 6e,f on a magnified scale. (c) Scatter plot of instantaneous firing rates for control (black dots) and during GC silencing (green). Each dot is the reciprocal of the interval between an action potential that occurs at time indicated (x axis) and the next action potential, in Hz. (Same cell as in b, n = 6 trials each for control and +LED). (d) Histogram of instantaneous firing rates for all cells (n = 9 TCs; the same as shown in Fig. 6). (e) Summary data showing average instantaneous firing rate for individual cells.