Abstract: The present study is a follow-up to a recent authors contribution which describes the effect of the C/O (catalyst/oil) ratio on catalytic cracking activity and catalyst deactivation. This study, while valuable, was limited to one fluidized catalytic cracking (FCC) catalyst. The aim of the present study is to consider the C/O effect using three FCC catalysts with different activities and acidities. Catalysts were characterized in terms of crystallinity, total acidity, specific surface area (SSA), temperature programmed ammonia desorption (NH₃-TPD), and pyridine chemisorption. 1,3,5-TIPB (1,3,5-tri-isopropyl benzene) catalytic cracking runs were carried out in a bench-scale mini-fluidized batch unit CREC (chemical reactor engineering centre) riser simulator. All data were taken at 550 °C with a contact time of 7 s. Every experiment involved 0.2 g of 1,3,5-TIPB with the amount of catalyst changing in the 0.12–1 g range. The resulting 0.6–5 g oil/g cat ratios showed a consistent 1,3,5-TIPB conversion increasing first, then stabilizing, and finally decreasing modestly. On the other hand, coke formation and undesirable benzene selectivity always rose. Thus, the reported results show that catalyst density affects both catalyst coking and deactivation, displaying an optimum C/O ratio, achieving maximum hydrocarbon conversions in FCC units.
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1. Introduction

The FCC process is one of the most important feedstock conversion units in the oil refinery industry. FCC is a major contributor to the production of gasoline [1–5]. Catalytic cracking endothermic reactions are accompanied with coke formation. Coke leads to catalytic activity decay and product selectivity changes [6–8]. Furthermore, catalyst regeneration via coke combustion involves an exothermic reaction, which is a critical contributor to the thermal balance of the refinery [9,10].

The optimization of FCC riser and downer unit operation may enhance product selectivity, minimizing coke formation and reducing operational costs [11–13]. With this end in mind, kinetic descriptions of catalytic cracking reactions with different degrees of simplifications have been considered for the cracking of both VGO (vacuum gas oil) and model compounds [14–18]. Micro activity units (MAT), confined fluid bed reactors (CFBRs) (e.g., advanced cracking evaluation (ACE)), and pilot plant riser units [19,20] have also been employed in these studies. This has led to kinetic models with kinetic parameters accounting for feedstock type, catalyst usage, and reactor type [15,17].

In 1992, an experimental device, invented by de Lasa [21] and designated as the CREC riser simulator, was used to simulate catalytic cracking [22,23]. This mini-fluidized CREC riser simulator reactor operates as a batch unit. Conditions such as temperatures, contact times, and partial pressures are close to the ones of an industrial FCC unit. Additionally, the needed constitutive chemical species balance equations for data analysis, resembling the ones of a continuous FCC [24]. In recent years, the application of the CREC riser simulator has allowed researchers in various laboratories around
the world [24] to obtain kinetic data under short contact times (less than 10 s), for a diversity of catalytic reactions.

In spite of the reported progress in catalytic cracking kinetics, there is still a lack of understanding of the C/O ratio influence on FCC performance. There is a need for a fundamentally-based understanding of the processes affecting coke formation [25–27]. Issues with coke are particularly relevant for FCC riser operation and for the implementation of downflow reactors, where there are claims that higher catalyst/oil ratios (C/O) lead to enhanced unit performance [28–30].

To address this issue, the authors of this manuscript established in a recent article [31] the effect of the C/O on one FCC catalyst. Even if these findings are valuable, it was decided to reconsider the C/O ratio effect in a much broader context, using several FCC catalyst samples. To accomplish this, systematic runs of 1,3,5-TIPB catalytic cracking were developed in the CREC riser simulator. Experimental runs were adequately combined with catalyst characterization following cracking runs and catalyst regeneration using: XRD (X-ray diffraction), NH3-TPD (ammonia-temperature programmed desorption), pyridine-FTIR (Fourier transform infrared), and BET (Brunauer–Emmett–Teller) method. Based on the results obtained, this study supports the view that the C/O effect is a condition likely to be encountered in different FCC units. This is in spite of the potential differences of the properties of the FCC catalysts used.

2. Results of the Catalyst Characterization

2.1. X-Ray Diffraction Analysis

X-ray diffraction was used to identify the Y zeolite crystal structure and to determine zeolite unit cell size and crystallinity. One example of XRD diffractograms is reported for Catalyst B in Figure 1.

![Figure 1](image-url). XRD diffractograms for a CAT-B sample of the present study mixed with pure silicon. Characteristic peaks for silicon are shown at 28.47, 47.47, and 56.12 degrees in the 2θ scale and identified with the symbol x.

Figure 1 shows the characteristic XRD peaks for the Y-zeolites at 27.52, 31.12, and 36.2 degrees in the 2θ scale. These peaks are identified with crosses. These peaks were considered to establish both
the unit cell size and crystallinity following the ASTM D-3942.85. Table 1 reports both the relative crystallinity and unit cell size observed for the three catalysts of the present study.

Table 1. Properties of the studied catalysts.

| Sample | Particle SiO$_2$/Al$_2$O$_3$ (mol/mol) | Unit Cell Size (Å) | Crystallinity |
|--------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|
| CAT-A  | 0.86                                 | 24.30              | 0.094         |
| CAT-B  | 0.72                                 | 24.30              | 0.077         |
| CAT-C  | 0.92                                 | 24.29              | 0.078         |

2.2. NH$_3$-TPD (Temperature Programmed Desorption)

The NH$_3$-TPD spectrum were determined for CAT-A, CAT-B, and CAT-C. Figure 2 reports the NH$_3$-TPD for the CAT-B sample. Figure 2 reports that increasing the C/O ratio leads to a consistent reduction of the total acidity, pointing towards a progressive catalyst deactivation [30]. The NH$_3$-TPD for the CAT-A sample was already reported in [31] while NH$_3$-TPD for CAT-C is given in [32].

Table 2. NH$_3$-TPD for CAT-A, CAT-B, and CAT-C catalyst samples.

| Sample       | NH$_3$ uptake (cm$^3$ STP/g) | NH$_3$ uptake (cm$^3$ STP/g) | NH$_3$ uptake (cm$^3$ STP/g) |
|--------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Free of Coke | 3.36                         | 1.73                         | 1.47                         |
| Catoil = 1.25| 2.48                         | 1.24                         | 1.14                         |
| Catoil = 2.5 | 2.31                         | 1.24                         | 1.13                         |
| Catoil = 3.75| 2.24                         | 1.04                         | 0.94                         |
| Catoil = 5   | 2.23                         | 0.95                         | 0.84                         |

Figure 2. NH$_3$-TPD analyses for CAT-B. Notes: Continuous black line: FCC catalyst free of coke; continuous red line: C/O = 1.25; continuous blue line: C/O = 2.5; continuous violet line: C/O = 3.75; continuous green line: C/O = 5; continuous blue line: experiment baseline. Samples with coke were analyzed following catalytic cracking runs at 550 °C and 7 s.

Table 2 shows that CAT-A displays the highest acidity as measured by ammonia TPD at conditions free of coke: 3.37 cm$^3$ NH$_3$ STP/g in comparison with 1.73 cm$^3$ NH$_3$ STP/g and 1.47 cm$^3$ NH$_3$ STP/g for CAT-B and CAT-C, respectively. Thus, and on this basis, one can anticipate similar trends in 1,3,5-TIPB conversion and in coke formation.
Table 2 also shows a consistent behavior for the three catalysts with higher C/Os leading to a steady reduction in acidity as shown by NH$_3$-TPD. These findings are in line with a progressive reduction of catalyst acidity with increased coke deposition, as will be later reported in the upcoming sections of this article.

2.3. Pyridine-FTIR

FTIR analysis of chemisorbed pyridine was used to assess both Brönsted and Lewis acidities for the catalysts studied, under free of coke conditions. Figure 3 displays the pyridine FTIR for CAT-A, CAT-B, and CAT-C, with the characteristic Brönsted and Lewis acid site peaks identified at 1445 cm$^{-1}$ and 1545 cm$^{-1}$ wavenumbers. On this basis, Brönsted/Lewis acid strength ratios were calculated, with Table 3 showing that CAT-B displays the highest ratio, followed by CAT-C and CAT-A.

Table 3. Brönsted/Lewis acid site ratios using pyridine FTIR.

| Sample | Brönsted/Lewis Acid Sites Ratio |
|--------|-------------------------------|
| CAT-A  | 0.298                         |
| CAT-B  | 0.334                         |
| CAT-C  | 0.321                         |

2.4. N$_2$ Adsorption-Desorption Isotherms

Figure 4 reports the N$_2$ adsorption-desorption isotherms for CAT-B at various C/O ratios (0.8, 1.25, 2.5, 3.75, 5) and compares them with those of the free of coke catalyst. N$_2$ adsorption-desorption isotherms for CAT-A were already reported in [31] while the N$_2$ adsorption isotherms for CAT-C are given in Supplementary materials. One can then see that there is a significant isotherm shape change with increased C/O ratios. This is consistent with an increased coke amount, as will be reported later in this manuscript.

Table 4 summarizes the specific surface areas (SSA) for CAT-A, CAT-B, and CAT-C, which were established using the BET method. Table 4 also gives the total pore volume (PV) showing the differences between mesopores and micropores.

Figure 5 and Table 5 also show the influence of the C/O ratio on the micropores of CAT-B. Similar results were already reported in [31]. For CAT-C, results are given in Supplementary materials. One
can thus see that the micropore volume after every run is reduced, with this being more pronounced at the higher C/O ratios.

**Figure 4.** BET-nitrogen adsorption plot. N$_2$ adsorption-desorption isotherms obtained from different samples of CAT-B after a run at 550 °C and 7 s contact time.

**Table 4.** Specific surface areas and pore volumes of the free of coke catalysts.

|               | CAT-A | CAT-B | CAT-C |
|---------------|-------|-------|-------|
| BET (SSA)     | 99.6  | 118.5 | 102   |
| Pore Volume (PV) (cm$^3$/g) | 0.158 | 0.196 | 0.140 |
| Mesopores Volume (cm$^3$/g)    | 0.112 | 0.142 | 0.0916|
| Micropores Volume (cm$^3$/g)   | 0.0468| 0.0540| 0.0491|

**Figure 5.** Differential pore volume (dV/dD) as a function of the pore diameter (D) using the N$_2$-adsorption isotherms. (■) CAT-B free of coke; (●) CAT-B at C/O = 0.6 g/g; (▲) CAT-B at C/O = 0.8 g/g; (▼) CAT-B at C/O = 1.25 g/g; (▲) CAT-B at C/O = 2.5 g/g; and (●) CAT-B at C/O = 3.75 g/g. All samples were analyzed following catalytic cracking runs at 550 °C and 7 s.
Table 5. Specific surface areas (SSA) (m$^2$/g) and pore volumes (PV) (cm$^3$/g). Mesopore volumes (cm$^3$/g) for CAT-B are determined following catalytic cracking runs at 550 °C and 7 s, using different C/O ratios. SD on repeats: +/- 3 m$^2$/g.

| CAT-B Catalyst Samples | Free Coke | C/O = 0.6 | C/O = 0.8 | C/O = 1.25 | C/O = 2.5 | C/O = 3.75 |
|------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|
| BET (Specific Surface Area) cm$^3$/g | 102 | 93.37 | 91.88 | 89.18 | 88.92 | 81.56 |
| Pore Volume (PV) cm$^3$/g | 0.140 | 0.129 | 0.137 | 0.121 | 0.122 | 0.120 |
| Mesopores-Macropores Volume, cm$^3$/g | 0.0916 | 0.0864 | 0.0936 | 0.0785 | 0.0795 | 0.0815 |
| Micropores Volume cm$^3$/g | 0.0491 | 0.0435 | 0.0439 | 0.0430 | 0.0425 | 0.0390 |

Based on the above observations, the following conclusions for CAT-A, CAT-B, and CAT-C catalysts of this study were reached:

(a) FCC catalysts, when used together with cracking products, form coke. Coke alters both the structure and physicochemical properties of the catalyst: specific surface area, micropore volume, and acidity. Thus, catalyst regeneration with air is needed for the FCC catalysts to regain catalytic activity.

(b) However, changes of the catalyst structural and physicochemical properties increase at higher C/O ratios. This is the case given that higher C/O ratios lead to higher amounts of coke deposited, as is shown in the upcoming sections of this manuscript.

3. Thermal Cracking Runs

A series of 1,3,5-TIPB runs with the CREC riser simulator without catalyst were developed at different reaction times and temperatures. These runs allowed evaluating the effect of TIPB thermal cracking on the overall TIPB conversion. It was found that the thermal cracking effect on 1,3,5-TIPB catalytic conversion was limited to a 2% maximum. Seven seconds was the longest anticipated reaction time and 550 °C was the highest predicted temperature. Thus, the thermal cracking influence on the catalytic cracking runs was considered negligible. Additional details of the TIPB thermal cracking in the CREC riser simulator have been reported in a previous manuscript [31].

4. Catalytic Cracking Runs

A total number of 135 catalytic runs were conducted in the CREC riser simulator including at least five repeats per run. This allowed establishing conversions and selectivities with the required statistical indicators. Furthermore, and based on the detected propylene, DIPB, cumene, and benzene product species and their changes with reaction time, a series-parallel network was established as suggested by [31] and as further analyzed in Section 4.3.

Regarding catalytic cracking studies, a first series of systematic runs was developed with the CAT-A loaded in the CREC riser simulator and for a set C/O ratio of 2.5. Figure 6 reports that for 3 s, 5 s, and 7 s, there is a progressive increase of 1,3,5-TIPB conversion with temperature. These results are in line with the ones already reported in the technical literature by others [5,22,33,34] using the CREC riser simulator.
Figure 6. Effect of temperature and reaction time on the conversion of 1,3,5-TIPB using CAT-A. The C/O ratio was set to 2.5. Reported data and standard deviations (vertical bars) represent experimental data for at least five repeats.

4.1. Effect of Catalyst-to-Oil Ratio (Catoil C/O Ratio) ($g_{\text{cat}} g_{\text{feed}}^{-1}$)

Given the value of the results for FCC reported in a previous article [31] regarding the influence of the C/O ratios, or the equivalent of the apparent catalyst bed density (mass of catalyst per unit reactor volume), two additional catalysts (CAT-B and CAT-C) were studied. In every run, the 1,3,5-TIPB conversion, the coke formed, and the selectivity for the various product chemical species formed were determined. With this end in mind, runs were developed at set amounts of 0.2 g of feedstock, volume), two additional catalysts (CAT-B and CAT-C) were studied. In every run, the 1,3,5-TIPB conversion, the coke formed, and the selectivity for the various product chemical species formed were determined. With this end in mind, runs were developed at set amounts of 0.2 g of feedstock, by changing the catalyst load from 0.12 g to 1 g. Thus, the catalyst-to-oil ratio (C/O) employed was set at 0.6, 0.8, 1.25, 2.5, 3.75, and 5 during the runs.

Figures 7–9 report the changes in 1,3,5-TIPB conversion, hydrocarbon product, and coke selectivities using CAT-A, CAT-B, and CAT-C catalysts at various C/Os. In particular, Figure 7 shows a comparison of 1,3,5 TIPB conversions at various C/O ratios. It is apparent that CAT-A, with the higher acidity and crystallinity, showed the highest 1,3,5-TIPB conversions. CAT-B and CAT-C, on the other hand, displayed comparable lower levels of 1,3,5-TIPB conversion. These differences in catalytic activity are consistent with differences in crystallinity, total acidity, as well as density of stronger acid sites, as reported in Sections 2.1–2.3.

In spite of these differences and as shown in Figure 7, a common trend emerges when the changes in the 1,3,5-TIPB conversion with C/O ratio are examined. The 1,3,5-TIPB conversion increases first when C/Os are at 0.6–1.25. It levels off at the 2.5 intermediate C/O level, and decreases later at the higher 3.75–5 C/O values.

In order to be able to explain this behavior, one should consider coke formation at various conditions, including C/O changes as it is reported in the upcoming section.
4.3. Hydrocarbon Product Selectivity

In order to be able to explain this behavior, one should consider coke formation at various C/O ratios. Figure 8 shows how coke selectivity augments steadily with the C/O ratio, with this being true in all cases and for the three catalyst samples. One can thus see the differences in coke formation with increasing C/O ratios versus the 1,3,5-TIPB conversion trends reported in Figure 7.

Thus, and to be able to explain these consistent trends applicable to the three catalysts studied, one can claim a reaction mechanism as advanced in our previous paper [31]. Increases in C/Os lead to higher catalyst density and, as a result, an increased interaction of particles with adsorbed
hydrocarbon species. It is thus speculated that higher C/Os provide improved opportunities for bimolecular condensation reactions and, as a result, enhanced coke formation [4,12].

4.3. Hydrocarbon Product Selectivity

Given the value of establishing the influence of C/O ratios on FCC, one should also consider their effect on hydrocarbon product selectivity (product selectivity-M = moles of “i” product/ moles of 1,3,5-TIPB converted). Figure 9 reports the changes of hydrocarbon product hydrocarbon selectivity for the main gas phase chemical species. In this figure, product selectivity is quantified as a function of 1,3,5-TIPB conversion and C/O over CAT-B at 550 °C and 7 s. One should notice that the increasing C/O ratios for various runs, in the 0.6–5 range, is represented with “arrows”.

Figure 9. Effect of C/O on the product selectivity using TIPB on selected catalyst CAT-B. The temperature and contact time were kept constant at 550 °C and 7 s, respectively. Notes: a) direction of the “arrows” represent increasing C/O ratios; and b) reported data and standard deviations (vertical bars) represent average values for 4–7 repeat runs.

Thus, Figure 9 shows that 1,3 di-isopropyl benzene, cumene, and benzene selectivities always consistently increase with the C/O ratio. In contrast, propylene remains at essentially constant levels. Thus, aromatic product species display a consistent maximum at the highest C/O of 5. This trend is especially noticeable for benzene, which is a non-desirable terminal catalytic cracking product. In this respect, one can see that the product selectivity for the CAT-B catalyst is consistent with the data published in a previous contribution [31], and in agreement with the data reported in Supplementary materials for CAT-C.

Therefore, and on this basis, one can consider a reaction mechanism as outlined in Figure 10. The catalytic conversion of 1,3,5-TIPB encompasses a number of dealkylation steps, involving chemical and radical adsorbed species.
The 1,3,5-TIPB was selected as a model compound, given its special combination of aromatic and propyl radical left in the aromatic ring. Therefore, one can see that every reaction step also leads to the formation of an aromatic radical. Aromatic radicals may, however, be stabilized via catalyst H-transfer forming DIPB, cumene, and benzene with the potential of evolving later in the gas phase. Additionally, aromatic radicals may alternatively condense with other aromatic radicals forming coke.

Thus, while the 1,3,5-TIPB catalytic cracking is a single site-driven reaction with the rate of change being proportional to catalyst density, coke formation involves, instead, at least two catalyst sites located in either the same, or a close but different, particle. Thus, a higher C/O proportionally increases coke formation and this is the case when a given C/O (e.g., C/O = 2.5) value is surpassed.

As a result, the catalytic cracking of 1,3,5-TIPB with higher C/Os or higher catalyst densities in the CREC riser simulator, consistently displays as shown for three FCC catalysts, the following: (a) it leads to higher coke selectivities; (b) it promotes the formation of undesirable final cracking products, such as benzene; and (c) it yields 1,3,5-TIPB conversions increasing first, and later decreasing at the higher C/Os. This sets optimum C/O ratios for FCC unit operation.

5. Materials and Methods

5.1. Feedstock and Catalysts

In this study, 1,3,5-TIPB was chosen as a feedstock to evaluate the catalytic cracking of catalysts. The 1,3,5-TIPB was selected as a model compound, given its special combination of aromatic and iso-paraffin functionalities [35]. Additionally, the 1,3,5-TIPB used is considered a valuable model species given its 9.4 Å critical molecular diameter, which allows combined diffusional and catalytic effects in Y zeolites [36–39]. All products from 1,3,5-TIPB conversion were identified and quantified using GC-MS analyses. Three commercial equilibrium FCC Y-zeolite fluidizable catalysts with a 60–70 micron average particle size were selected for this study. These catalysts are designated in the present study as CAT-A, CAT-B, and CAT-C, with their properties reported in Table 1.

5.2. Catalyst Characterization

X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of the catalysts were obtained by Ni-filtered Cu Kα radiation (λ = 0.15406 nm). XRD diffractometry was used from 5–90° of the 2θ scale. The crystallinity and unit cell size per catalyst were determined by using the following ASTM D-3942-85 method. High purity
silicon powder (99%) was employed as a calibration standard. Table 1 summarizes the unit cell size and crystallinity calculated.

In addition, and for each catalyst studied, the specific surface area, the pore volume, and the pore size distribution (PSD) were determined by using an (ASAP 2010 Analyzer BET for nitrogen adsorption, Norcross, GA, USA) at 77K. Samples were degassed at 200 °C for 4 hours, prior to analysis. The pore size distribution (PSD) was established by plotting the dV/dD, or the differential pore volume as a function of the pore diameter (D) [40]. The integration of the differential pore volume function provided the total pore volume (PV). Furthermore, the consideration of the PSD allowed us to determine micropores (7Å-12Å) and mesopores (>12Å) [41].

NH$_3$-TPD was employed to determine the total acidity of the catalysts studied. This was achieved by measuring the amount of ammonia desorbed while heating catalyst samples (up to 550 °C), using a 15 °C/min heating ramp. For each TPD, 0.1–0.2 g of catalyst sample was first pretreated for 1 h at 650 °C while in contact with a 50 mL/min helium carrier. Following this, the catalyst sample was cooled down to 100 °C and was contacted with a 5% NH$_3$/He gas mixture for 1 h. Then, the catalyst sample was heated progressively at a rate of 15 °C/min under 50 mL/min helium flow. Heating continued until 650 °C was reached. Due to the progressive heating, ammonia was gradually desorbed from the catalyst and measured with a thermal conductivity detector (TCD).

FTIR spectroscopy was also employed in conjunction with pyridine adsorption-desorption to quantify Brönsted and Lewis acid sites. The pyridine analysis was conducted in a Bruker FTIR (Billerica, MA, USA). Prior to measurements, the zeolite samples were first dried in a furnace tube under nitrogen flow, at 550 °C for 2 h. Then, a pyridine/nitrogen gas mixture was contacted with the catalyst at 100 °C for 1 h. Following this, with the temperature at 100 °C, a nitrogen flow was introduced into the furnace tube to remove weakly adsorbed pyridine species. After this stage, the catalyst sample was placed on a sodium chloride wafer with the pyridine FTIR spectrum of adsorbed species being recorded using a diffuse reflectance Fourier-transform infrared spectrometer (DRIFTS).

5.3. Chemical Species Analytical Methods

An Agilent Varian 6890 gas chromatograph unit (Santa Clara, CA, USA) was used to quantify the various chemical species. This unit was equipped with a flame ionization detector (FID) and a 0.25 µm ID and 30 m length HP1 capillary column. During this analysis, the FID detector temperature was set to 320 °C, while the column temperature was augmented at a rate of 5 °C/min starting from 35 °C, up to 350 °C. The 350 °C temperature was maintained for 20 min. Additionally, an Agilent 5973N mass selective detector (MSD) was employed for the identification of various chemical product species, with the help of the MSD Chemstation software library.

5.4. Catalytic Cracking Evaluation

Hydrocarbon catalytic cracking runs of 1,3,5-TIPB on three catalysts were performed in a novel bench-scale mini-fluidized batch unit CREC riser simulator [21,42]. Additional details of the experimental setup and experimental procedure have been described elsewhere [31]. All data were collected at 550 °C and at 7 s, in the 0.6–5 g-cat/g-oil C/O range, with the 1,3,5-TIPB amount injected being kept constant and the mass of the catalyst being varied.

While the 1,3,5-TIPB conversion was determined using a GC gas chromatography analysis of various gas phase products formed, coke on catalyst was measured with a total organic carbon TOC-VC$_{CPH}$ analyzer from Shimadzu (Kyoto, Japan). In the TOC analysis, an infrared detector measured the total moles of CO$_2$ formed by coke combustion. With this information, the moles of coke formed were calculated and the coke-on-catalyst (COC) was established as a weight fraction.
Thus, given the above, the following 1,3,5 TIPB conversion and product selectivity parameters were calculated using the following equation:

\[
1, 3, 5 \text{ TIPB Conversion (\%)} = \frac{M_{\text{propylene}} + M_{\text{DIPB}} + M_{\text{cumene}} + M_{\text{benzene}} + M_{\text{coke}}}{M_{1,3,5 \text{ TIPB}}} \times 100 \tag{1}
\]

\[
\text{Product Selectivity} - M = \frac{\text{Moles}_i \text{, product}}{\text{Moles of 1, 3, 5 TIPB Converted}} \tag{2}
\]

\[
\text{Coke Selectivity} - W = \frac{M_{\text{coke}}}{M_{1,3,5 \text{ TIPB}} \text{ Converted}} \tag{3}
\]

6. Conclusions

(a) It is shown that the 1,3,5-TIPB catalytic cracking displays common activity trends at increasing C/O ratios. This is shown using three Y-zeolite catalysts with different acidities and crystallinities.

(b) It is proven that the 1,3,5-TIPB catalytic cracking, when using these three catalysts, displays maximum 1,3,5 TIPB conversions at C/O ratios of 2.5.

(c) It is observed that the 1,3,5-TIPB cracking product selectivity shows the highest coke and the highest undesirable benzene selectivity at the maximum C/O of 5.

(d) It is thus anticipated that catalyst density, which is a main parameter that determines the C/O ratio, plays a critical role in achieving the highest 1,3,5-TIPB conversions. This phenomenon is of significant importance for the operation of scaled FCC units.
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Nomenclature

- D: Pore diameter (Å)
- M\textsubscript{i}: Number of moles of “i” species (moles) in the gas phase
- M\textsubscript{coke}: Number of moles of coke collected (moles)
- T: Reaction temperature, K
- t: Reaction time, s
- W\textsubscript{cat}: Mass of catalyst (g) loaded in the riser (basket), where catalytic cracking occurs.
- W\textsubscript{oil}: Total mass of hydrocarbons injected (g)
**Abreviations**

- BAS: Brønsted acid sites
- BET: Brunauer–Emmett–Teller
- CAT-A: Catalyst A
- CAT-B: Catalyst B
- CAT-C: Catalyst C
- Catoil (C/O): Catalyst-to-oil ratio (g/g)
- CREC: Chemical Reaction Engineering Center
- DRIFTS: Diffuse reflectance infrared Fourier transform spectroscopy
- FCC: Fluidized catalytic cracking
- FID: Flame ionization detector
- FTIR: Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy
- GC: Gas chromatography
- LAS: Lewis acid sites
- MSD: Mass spectrometer detector
- MAT: Micro activity tests units
- PSD: Pore size distribution
- PV: Pore volume (cm$^3$/g)
- Selectivity-M: Moles of product “i” species/moles of 1,3,5-TIPB converted
- Selectivity-W: Grams of coke/grams of TIPB converted.
- SSA: Specific surface area (m$^2$/g)
- TCD: Thermal conductivity detector
- 1,3,5-TIPB: 1,3,5-Tri-isopropyl benzene
- TOC: Total organic coke (g-coke/g-cat.)
- TPD: Temperature programmed desorption
- VGO: Vacuum gas oil
- XRD: X-ray diffraction
- XRF: X-ray fluorescence
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