Finite pseudo-Riemannian spectral triples and the standard model
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We interpret the physical symmetry preserving the lepton number as a shadow of a finite pseudo-Riemannian structure of the standard model. Using the pseudo-Riemannian generalizations of real spectral triples we describe the geometries with indefinite metric over finite-dimensional algebras and their Riemannian shadows. We apply the discussion to the standard model spectral triple, and classify possible time orientations leading to restrictions on the physical parameters and symmetries.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Noncommutative geometry offers an intriguing possibility of a new insight into the structure of all fundamental interactions, linking purely geometric gravity with the electroweak and strong interactions of elementary fermions [1]. The possibility is explored in one way into extending the geometric notions to describe models that could approximate spacetime [2] and, on the other hand, to gather the information about the structure of geometry underlying experimentally verified models of fundamental constituents of matter [3,4].

The crucial role in the understanding of the geometric interpretation of the standard model of particle physics is based on the finite geometry, linked to the finite-dimensional algebra $\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{H} \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C})$ and the related finite spectral triple. Even though finite spectral triples have been classified some time ago [5,6] and the model has been extensively studied, it still can surprise and shed new light on the structure of fundamental interaction. An example is the recent discovery of unexpected duality [7] in the standard model Clifford algebra (called Hodge duality) that is satisfied only for certain values of physical parameters (bare masses and mixing matrices).

Though the quest for the better understanding of the structure has already brought new results, some issues still remain unsolved, like the consistent Lorentzian framework for standard model description [8–10], the fermion doubling problem [11,12] or the classification of possible Dirac operators [13,14]. The latter appears to be, so far, the most important issue, as even with the requirement of some additional symmetries (second-order condition [7] later incorporated as originating from the Hodge duality [15]) there exist Dirac operators that allow for the $SU(3)$ symmetry breaking and lead to the unphysical leptoquarks [16].

In this paper we propose an alternative explanation of the observed quarks-leptons symmetry which prevents the $SU(3)$-breaking, as a shadow of the Lorentzian structure. We propose also that the consistent model-building for the physical interactions and possible extensions of the standard model within the noncommutative geometry framework should use possibly the pseudo-Riemannian extension of finite spectral triples, for which we present a consistent and clear framework. We demonstrate that the pseudo-Riemannian framework allows for more restrictions and, in the discussed case introduces an extra symmetry grading, which we interpret as the lepton-quark symmetry (that was postulated as the so-called $S_0$-symmetry in [6,17,18]). The physical interpretation of this symmetry is the lepton number conservation, which is strongly confirmed by current experimental data [19].

To finish the introduction let us briefly describe the notation and mathematical constructions used in this paper. We consistently use particle physics convention with positive sign of the metric for the time direction and negative sign for spatial directions. We use the notion of a Clifford algebra, which is a matrix algebra that encodes the $\gamma$ matrices of the Dirac operator. The definition of the Clifford algebra is taken so that for a vector space with a quadratic form of signature $(p,q)$ it is generated by $p$ matrices of square $1$ and $q$ of square $-1$ that anticommute with each other. We work with a complexified Clifford algebra, which represented on a space of complex spinors, however, with the real structure of the Clifford algebra encoded through an antilinear operator on the space of spinors. The signature of the metric is visible in an additional structure on the spinor space, which gives rise
to an indefinite scalar product (called Krein product). Details of these constructions and definitions are given in Sec. II A.

II. PSEUDO-RIEMANNIAN SPECTRAL TRIPLES

Let us recall that a real pseudo-Riemannian spectral triple of signature \((p, q)\) is a system \((\mathcal{A}, \pi, \mathcal{H}, D, J, \gamma, \beta)\) where \(\mathcal{A}\) is an involutive unital algebra, \(\pi\) its faithful \(*\)-representation on a Hilbert space \(\mathcal{H}\) such that the following conditions hold. First, for even \(p + q\) there exists a \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-grading \(\gamma = \gamma_+ = 1\) commuting with the representation of \(\mathcal{A}\), \(J\) is an antilinear isometry and for all \(a, b \in \mathcal{A}\) we have \([J\pi(a)J^{-1}, \pi(b)] = 0\). Furthermore, there exists an additional grading \(\beta = \beta^\dagger\), \(\beta^2 = 1\) also commuting with the representation of \(\mathcal{A}\), which defines the Krein structure on the Hilbert space. The latter is an indefinite bilinear form defined as \((\phi, \psi)_\beta = (\phi, \beta\psi)_\beta\), where \((\cdot, \cdot)\) is the usual positive definite scalar product on the Hilbert space. As a last requirement, we postulate the existence of a (possibly unbounded) densely defined operator \(D\), which is \(\beta\)-self-adjoint, i.e., \(D^\dagger = (-1)^p\beta D\beta\) and such that \([D, \pi(a)]\) is bounded for every \(a \in \mathcal{A}\), is odd with respect to \(\gamma\)-grading: \(D\gamma = -\gamma D\). The operators \(D, \gamma, J\) satisfy following (anti)commutation relations, which depend on the signature of the pseudo-Riemannian space through \(p - q\) modulo 8:

\[
DJ = \epsilon JD, \quad J^2 = e'\text{id}, \quad J\gamma = e''\gamma J, \quad (1)
\]

where \(\epsilon, \epsilon', \epsilon'' = \pm 1\) are given in the Table I:

The number \(p - q\) modulo 8, which determines the signs \(\epsilon, \epsilon', \epsilon''\), is called \(KO\)-dimension of the spectral triple as it relates to the real \(K\)-theory and periodicity (modulo 8) in real Clifford algebras.

The Krein structure \(\beta\) satisfies alone relations which depend only on \(p\):

\[
\beta\gamma = (-1)^p\gamma\beta, \quad \beta J = (-1)^{p+1}\epsilon^p J\beta. \quad (2)
\]

Finally, to implement the condition that for manifolds \(D\) is a first order differential operator, we impose the 1st-order condition, requiring that for all \(a, b \in \mathcal{A}\)

\[
[J\pi(a)J^{-1}, [D, \pi(b)]] = 0. \quad (3)
\]

A spectral triple defined above is orientable if there exists a finite collection of elements from the algebra (which could be together combined in a so-called Hochschild cycle of dimension \(n = p + q\)), \((a^1, a^2, \ldots, a^k), i = 1, \ldots, k\) such that

\[
\sum_{i=1}^k (J\pi(a^i)J^{-1})\pi(a^i_0)[D, \pi(a^i_1)]\cdots[D, \pi(a^i_m)] = \begin{cases} 
\gamma & n \text{ even}, \\
1 & n \text{ odd}.
\end{cases} \quad (4)
\]

The orientation corresponds to the existence of the nowhere vanishing volume form.

In the pseudo-Riemannian case we can also define a separate notion of time-orientation (even if there are multi-dimensional times, that is \(p > 1\)) in the following way. We say that \(\beta\) is a time orientation if there exists a collection of elements from the algebra \((b^1, b_0^1, b^1_1, \ldots, b^1_p), i = 1, \ldots, k\) such that

\[
\beta = \sum_{i=1}^k (J\pi(b^i_0)J^{-1})\pi(b^i_0)[D, \pi(b^i_1)]\cdots[D, \pi(b^i_p)]. \quad (5)
\]

In the case of Lorentzian manifolds \((p = 1)\) the above notion of time orientability is equivalent to the existence of global timelike vector field.

Using \(\beta\) we can define the operator \(\langle D \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}DD^\dagger + D^\dagger D}\), which is self-adjoint on \(\mathcal{H}\). Then, if we require that \(\langle D \rangle\) has compact resolvent and \([\langle D \rangle, [D, \pi(a)]\) is bounded for all \(a \in \mathcal{A}\) we have a pseudo-Riemannian version of the regularity and spectral condition for the Dirac operator.

A. Clifford algebras of arbitrary signature

Before we proceed with the special case of finite-dimensional triples, let us briefly recall the conventions and basic properties of Clifford algebras for a metric of indefinite signature \((p, q)\), which motivate the above definition. We include this short paragraph so that the note is complete and self-contained.

Let us take the algebra generated by \(\gamma_{\mu}, a = 1, \ldots, p + q\), with the relations \(\gamma_{\alpha}\gamma_{\beta} + \gamma_{\beta}\gamma_{\alpha} = 2\eta_{\alpha\beta}\text{id}\), where \(\eta\) is diagonal with \(p\) pluses and \(q\) minuses. We use the convention that gamma matrices are unitary so that the “time” gammas (first \(p\) of them) are self-adjoint while the remaining ones are antself-adjoint: \(\gamma_{\dagger} = \gamma, i = 1, \ldots, p\) and \(\gamma_{\dagger} = -\gamma, i = p + 1, \ldots, p + q\).

In even dimensions \(p + q = 2\text{d}\), we can define: \(\gamma = \tilde{\eta} = \gamma_1\gamma_2 \cdots \gamma_{\text{p+q}}\), so that \(\gamma\) is self-adjoint and \(\gamma^2 = 1\). Now, from the properties of Clifford algebras we know that there exists a linear unitary operator \(B\), such that \(B\gamma_i = \epsilon_i\gamma_i B\) and \(BB^* = \epsilon\). If \(B\) is combined with complex conjugation on

| \(p - q\) mod 8 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|-----------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| \(\epsilon\)     | + | - | + | + | + | - | + | + |
| \(\epsilon'\)    | + | + | - | - | - | + | + | + |
| \(\epsilon''\)   | - | - | + | - | - | - | + | - |
spinors to give an antilinear operator \( J : \psi_1 = B\psi_2 \), then we have: \( J^2 = e^\gamma \) and \( JD = eD J \), where \( D \) is the Dirac operator ([20], Satz.3.1): \( D = -\sum_{\text{ir}} \overline{\rho} j_{\gamma} \partial_j \). In the case of even \( p + q \) we additionally have: \( B\gamma = e^\rho B \), where all signs are taken from the Table I.

There exists also another unitary operator \( A \) such that \( A\gamma A^\dagger = (-1)^{p+1}\gamma A \), which satisfies: \( A^2 = (-1)^{\frac{p(p-1)}{2}} \), \( A\gamma = (-1)^{\rho\gamma} A \), and \( A^\dagger B = e^\rho BA \).

The simplest choice for \( A = \gamma_1 \ldots \gamma_p \). Note that the Dirac operator \( D \) will be \( A \) (anti)self-adjoint in the following sense:

\[
ADA^\dagger = A \sum_j (-\eta j) j_{\gamma} \partial_j A^\dagger
= (-1)^{p+1} \sum_j (-\eta j) j_{\gamma} \partial_j = (-1)^{p} D^\dagger.
\]

To translate the notation to that used in [21] we define \( \beta = e^\gamma e^{-\rho} A \), and then we have: \( \beta = \beta^\dagger \), \( \beta^2 = 1 \), \( \beta\gamma = (-1)^\rho A \), and \( J\beta = (-1)^{\frac{p(p-1)}{2}} e^\rho J \), where the last one follows from the observation that \( J\beta = \omega \alpha e^\rho J \), with \( \beta = \alpha A \).

The condition for the Dirac operator translates then to: \( \beta D\beta = (-1)^{p} D^\dagger \).

As a last remark, we note that the existence of \( \beta \) is equivalent to having the Krein product \((\cdot,\cdot)_{\beta}\) on the Hilbert space, where the scalar product and Krein product are related through \((\psi,\phi) = (\psi,\beta\phi)_{\beta} \). Then the (essentially) Krein self-adjointness of the operator \( T \) is equivalent to the following condition for the Hilbert adjoint \( T^\dagger \), \( T^\dagger = \beta\gamma T \). Therefore we see that the operator \( i^p D \) is (essentially) Krein self-adjoint, which is consistent with [20]-Satz.3.17, 3.19 and [22]-Thm. 3.17.

### B. Riemannian triples from pseudo-Riemannian

Let \( (A,\pi,H,D,J,\gamma,\beta) \) be a pseudo-Riemannian spectral triple of signature \((p,q)\). Since from the beginning we are working with the Hilbert space representation, a passage to the Riemannian spectral triple appears easy. Define \( D_+ = \frac{1}{2}(D + D^\dagger) \) and \( D_- = \frac{1}{2}(D - D^\dagger) \). Both \( D_\pm \) are by definition self-adjoint and since \( D\gamma = -\gamma D \) and \( \gamma \) is self-adjoint then also \( D_\pm \) anticommutes with \( \gamma \), therefore \( D_\pm\gamma = -\gamma D_\pm \). Using the \( \beta \)-self-adjointness we see that also \( D_\pm\gamma = e^\gamma e^{-\rho} A \) but (as \( J \) is antilinear) \( JD_- = eG_{-} A \). The first order condition also holds for both \( D_\pm \), since it holds for \( D \) and \( D^\dagger \): \( [D_\pm,\pi(b)] = (-1)^p \beta\pi(D,\pi(b))\beta \), and \( \beta J\pi(a)J^{-1} \beta = J\pi(a)J^{-1} \) for any \( a, b \in A \).

As a result we obtain a pair of Riemannian real spectral triples, \( (A,\pi,H,D_\pm,J,\gamma) \) which, however, differ by \( KO \)-dimension. Each of them has an additional grading \( \beta \), which commutes or anticommutes with the Dirac operator: \( \beta D_\pm = \pm(-1)^p D_\pm\beta \) and satisfies the conditions \( \beta^2 = 1, \beta\beta = \beta \) and \( \beta\gamma = (-1)^{p\gamma} \beta, \beta J = (-1)^{\frac{p(p-1)}{2}} e^\rho J \).

It is worth noting that in many cases the obtained triples are degenerate in the sense that the kernel of the commutator with each \( D_\pm \) is bigger than \( C \subset A \).

Yet using both \( D_+ \) and \( D_- \) we can reconstruct a Riemannian spectral triple. Let us define \( J_E = J\beta \).

Clearly, this is still an antunitary operator that satisfies:

\[
J_E^2 = e^\gamma e^p(-1)^{\frac{p(p-1)}{2}} J_E\gamma = (-1)^p e^\rho J_E \gamma.
\]

Furthermore, we have \( J_E D = (-1)^p eD^\dagger J_E \) and therefore for both \( D_+, D_- \):

\[
J_E D_\pm = (-1)^p eD_\pm.
\]

As a result, we see that with the choice \( D_E = D_+ + D_- \), \( (A,\pi,H,D,E,J_E,\gamma) \) becomes a Riemannian spectral triple. To match the signs in the Table I for the right \( KO \)-dimension we might, however, need to take (depending on \( p \)) \( J_E = J_E\gamma \), which would guarantee that for the even-dimensional triples we recover the appropriate convention for the signs.

For odd \( KO \)-dimensions of the pseudo-Riemannian spectral triple we always get a Riemannian spectral triple with \( J_E \) as a real structure whereas for even \( KO \)-dimensions we choose \( J_E = J_E \gamma \) as a real structure for \( p \) even and \( J_E = J_E\gamma \) for \( p \) odd.

The resulting values of \( KO \)-dimensions of the Riemannian spectral triple \( (A,\pi,H,D,E,J_E,\gamma) \), dependent on the value of \( p \) (mod 4), are collected in the Table II.

Note that comparing the result with Table I we see that it is consistent with passing from the signature \((p,q)\) to \((0,-(p+q))\).

This procedure of obtaining a Riemannian spectral triple from a pseudo-Riemannian one could be illustrated easily in many commutative and noncommutative examples, including the noncommutative torus. For example, it is easy to demonstrate that the above procedure applied to the Lorentzian spectral triple constructed for the noncommutative torus \( T^2_\theta \) in [8], Sec. III, gives the usual Riemannian spectral triple over \( T^2_\theta \).

### Table II. \( KO \)-dimension (mod 8) for the Riemannian triple obtained from the pseudo-Riemannian triple of signature \((p,q)\).

| \( p \) (mod 4) | \( 0 \) | \( 1 \) | \( 2 \) | \( 3 \) | \( 4 \) | \( 5 \) | \( 6 \) | \( 7 \) |
|----------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| \( p - q \) (mod 8) | \( 0 \) | \( 1 \) | \( 2 \) | \( 3 \) | \( 4 \) | \( 5 \) | \( 6 \) | \( 7 \) |
|----------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 1 |
| 2 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 3 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
A more interesting application, however, is to the finite spectral triples and, in particular, one of the standard model.

III. FINITE SPECTRAL TRIPLES

A finite spectral triple is a spectral triple over an algebra, which is a finite direct sum of (complex) matrix algebras. From the general consideration (see [5]) we know that for a finite real spectral triple the algebra \( \mathcal{A} \) and the Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H} \) can be decomposed as

\[
\mathcal{A} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{N} M_{n_i}(\mathbb{C}), \quad \mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{ij} \mathcal{H}_{ij},
\]

with \( \mathcal{H}_{ij} = \pi(P_i)J\pi(P_j)J^{-1}\mathcal{H} \), where \( P_i \in \mathcal{A} \) is the identity matrix in the \( i \)-th entry and zeroes elsewhere. Each of the subspaces \( \mathcal{H}_{ij} \) could be written as \( \mathcal{H}_{ij} = \mathbb{C}^{n_i} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n_j} \), where \( r_{ij} \in \mathbb{N} \) with the convention that \( r_{ij} = 0 \) means \( H_{ij} = 0 \). The representation \( \pi \) is \( \pi(a)\xi_{ij} = (a^{ij}1 \otimes 1)\xi_{ij} \), where \( a_i = P_i a = a P_i \) and \( \xi_{ij} \in \mathcal{H}_{ij} \). The opposite representation (conjugated by \( J \)) is \( J\pi(a')^{-1} \xi_{ij} = \xi_{ij} (1 \otimes 1 \otimes a_i^{T}) \), where the latter denotes the matrix multiplication from the right and \( T \) is the matrix transposition.

We can recall here some of the results of [5], which do not depend on the fact that we extend the triple to be (possibly) pseudo-Riemannian. First, in case \( p + q \) is even, there exists a grading \( \gamma \), which when restricted to \( \mathcal{H}_{ij} \) is determined by an internal self-adjoint grading \( \Gamma_{ij} \) on \( \mathbb{C}^{r_{ij}} \): \( \gamma|_{\mathcal{H}_{ij}} = \gamma_{ij} = 1_{n_i} \otimes \Gamma_{ij} \otimes 1_{n_j} \). The antiunitary operator \( J \) maps \( \mathcal{H}_{ij} \) onto \( \mathcal{H}_{ji} \) and therefore \( r_{ij} = r_{ji} \). Observe that \( \gamma_{ij} = e^{\pi\gamma_{ij}} \) and therefore \( q_{ij} := r_{ij}\gamma_{ij} \) is a matrix which is symmetric for \( KO \)-dimensions 0 and 4 and antisymmetric for \( KO \)-dimensions 2 and 6.

The construction of the Dirac operator follows again the procedure of [5] and (apart from one condition) is again independent of the signature. First, we define a linear map \( D_{i,j,k,l} : \mathcal{H}_{kl} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{ij} \), which is \( D_{i,j,k,l} = (\pi(P_i) J\pi(P_j) J^{-1})D(\pi(P_k) J\pi(P_l) J^{-1}) \).

Using the first order condition we conclude (using the same arguments as in [5]) that the components \( D_{i,j,k,l} \) vanish unless \( i = k \) or \( j = l \). If \( i = k \) then \( D \) commutes with the representation \( \pi \) and if \( j = l \) then it commutes with \( \pi^{*} \). Furthermore, we have an analogue of Lemma 7 from [5]: there exists \( \xi = \sum_{\pi \neq ij} P_i dP_i \) such that for every \( a \in \mathcal{A} \) we have \( da = [\xi, a] \) and moreover, for the Dirac operator we have \( D = \pi(\xi) + J\pi(\xi)J^{-1} + \delta \). Here \( \delta \) denotes the part of the Dirac operator (which, in principle can exists) that commutes both with the algebra as well as with the opposite algebra \( J\mathcal{A}J^{-1} \). The (anti)commutation relation with \( J \) enforces \( D_{i,j,k,l} = \epsilon JD_{ji,kl}J^{-1} \). We note that observations 5.-7. and Lemmas 8.-11. from [5] remains unchanged, since the proofs depend only on the properties of an algebra \( \mathcal{A} \) and the fact that \( da = [\xi, a] \). Moreover, the discussion in B.2 of [5] remains unchanged, since it uses only such properties of Dirac operator which do not depend on the signature.

A. The Krein structure for finite spectral triples

So far we have not considered the existence of \( \beta \). Let us check what this requirement implies for the rest of the spectral triple. First of all, observe that since \( \beta \) commutes with the algebra, it is uniquely determined by a family of \( \beta_{ij} : H_{ij} \rightarrow H_{ij} \) such that: \( \beta_{ij} = \beta_{ij}^{\dagger} \), \( \beta_{ii} = 1 \).

The first important statement concerns the existence of \( \beta \) for odd \( p \), which can be formulated in the following way. If at least one subspace \( H_{ij} \) such that \( r_{ij} > 0 \) has \( \gamma_{ij} = \pm 1 \) then there exists no pseudo-Riemannian spectral triple on it with \( p \) odd. Indeed, in the case of odd \( p \), we have: \( \beta_{ij} = -\gamma_{ij}\beta_{ij} \), so if \( \gamma_{ij} \) is proportional to the identity matrix we necessarily \( \beta_{ij} = 0 \) which contradicts \( \beta_{ij}^{\dagger} = 1 \).

Since \( D \) is \( \beta \)-self-adjoint and commutes with the representation \( \pi_{i} D_{i,j,k,l} = (-1)^{p}\beta_{i,j,k,l}\beta_{ij} \), for \( p = 2k \) with \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) we have \( \beta_{ij} = (-1)^{k}J\beta \) and since for even spectral triples we have \( p + q \in 2\mathbb{Z} \) then also the \( KO \)-dimension is even, and therefore \( DJ = JD \).

B. The Riemannian part of finite spectral triples

The procedure to obtain a Riemannian finite spectral triple from a pseudo-Riemannian one is again straightforward, yet the Riemannian spectral triples that are associated with \( D_{+} \) and \( D_{-} \) are potentially interesting as they both have an extra symmetry \( \beta \). Note that not every pseudo-Riemannian is possible, as if \( \gamma_{ij} = \pm 1 \) for at least one pair \( i, j \) then necessarily \( p \) needs to be even as on the subspace \( H_{ij} \) we must have \( \beta_{ij} = \gamma_{ij} \).

Nevertheless, bearing in mind that limitation, one can reformulate the problem of constructing a pseudo-Riemannian real finite spectral triple as equivalent to the construction of two Riemannian finite-dimensional real spectral triples together with a \( \mathbb{Z}_{2} \)-grading \( \beta \) that satisfies certain commutation relations with \( \gamma \) and \( J \) and Dirac operators that commute or anticommute with \( \beta \).

A simple example of this, could be illustrated by a finite spectral triple over an algebra with two summands. For simplicity we could take them both to be \( \mathbb{C} \), so that \( A = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} \) and \( H_{ij} = \mathbb{C} \) for \( i, j = 1, 2 \). This is the basic setup leading to the real spectral triple for an algebra of functions over two points. We should remark here that the Hilbert space is \( \mathbb{C}^{4} \) and we can easily write the representation and all operations using matrices in \( M_{4}(\mathbb{C}) \). Identifying \( \mathbb{C}^{4} \) as \( H_{11} \oplus H_{21} \oplus H_{12} \oplus H_{22} \) we have \( \pi, J, \gamma \).
\[
\pi(z \oplus w) = \begin{pmatrix}
z & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & z & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & w & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & w
\end{pmatrix},
\]
\[
\gamma = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix},
\]
\[
\beta = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1
\end{pmatrix},
\]
\[
D_+ = \begin{pmatrix}
d & d^* & 0 & 0 \\
d^* & 0 & 0 & c \\
0 & d & 0 & c^* \\
0 & -d^* & -c & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Now, we can easily identify a nontrivial additional symmetry (\(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-grading) \(\beta\) and construct a Dirac operator \(D_+\), which is real, satisfies first-order condition and commutes with \(\beta\):

\[
\beta = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1
\end{pmatrix},
\]
\[
D_+ = \begin{pmatrix}
d & d^* & 0 & 0 \\
d^* & 0 & 0 & c \\
0 & d & 0 & c^* \\
0 & -d^* & -c & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

This data gives us a Riemannian real spectral triple of \(KO\)-dimension 0 over the algebra of functions on two points, which has an additional symmetry. In contrast to the full Riemannian spectral triple, where the Dirac operator has two arbitrary complex entries (see [23] for details) the restriction due to the \(\beta\)-symmetry gives only one free parameter into the family of possible Dirac operators.

It is an easy exercise, which we omit, to construct a second Riemannian spectral triple, with a Dirac operator \(D_-\), which, in addition would satisfy \(D_-\beta = -\beta D_-\). Both these triples could be seen as Riemannian parts of a pseudo-Riemannian spectral triple with signature (4,4) or (0,0).

Again, it is easy to check that the full pseudo-Riemannian real spectral triple over the algebra of function on two points would have the full Dirac operator \(D\):

\[
D = \begin{pmatrix}
d & d^* & 0 & 0 \\
d^* & 0 & 0 & c \\
d & 0 & 0 & c^* \\
0 & -d^* & -c & 0
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where \(c, d\) are arbitrary complex numbers.

We shall explore this effect more for the spectral triple of the standard model.

### IV. THE STANDARD MODEL

In this section we shall discuss the finite spectral triple for standard model, using the conventions consistent with [4,7]. As an algebra we take

\[
A_F = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{H} \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C}),
\]

represented on the Hilbert space

\[
H_F = (H_l \oplus H_q) \oplus (H_l \oplus H_\bar{q}),
\]

where the basis for the leptonic space \(H_l\) is ordered as \(\{\nu_R, e_R, (\nu_L, e_L)\}\) and the quark space \(H_q\) have a basis \(\{u_R, d_R, (u_L, d_L)\}\) (in each color). The generic algebra element, \((\lambda \oplus \bar{\lambda} \oplus m)\) from \(\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{H} \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C})\), is represented on \(H_l\) and \(H_q\) (for each color) as \(\pi(\lambda, h, m) = \lambda \oplus \bar{\lambda} \oplus h\), whereas the representation on \(H_\bar{q}\) is by \(\lambda\) and on \(H_q\) by \(1_4 \otimes m\), where we used the fact that we have 3 colours. In the case when we consider \(N\) generations the Hilbert space is respectively enlarged by tensoring it with \(\mathbb{C}^N\) whereas the representation is extended diagonally.

The physical Dirac operator in this description of the standard model has a form

\[
D_F = \begin{pmatrix}
S & T^\dagger \\
T & \bar{S}
\end{pmatrix}, \quad S = \begin{pmatrix}
S_l & S_q \otimes 1_3
\end{pmatrix},
\]

with

\[
S_l = \begin{pmatrix}
Y_u & \thickspace & \thickspace \\
\thickspace & Y^\dagger_e & \thickspace \\
\thickspace & \thickspace & Y_e
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
S_q = \begin{pmatrix}
Y^\dagger_d & \thickspace & \thickspace \\
\thickspace & Y_u & \thickspace \\
\thickspace & \thickspace & Y_d
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where \(Y_u, Y_e, Y_u, Y_d\) are Yukawa mass matrices. The operator \(T\) is given by \(T_{\nu_R} = Y_R\bar{\nu}_R\), for a certain symmetric Majorana mass matrix \(Y_R \in M_N(\mathbb{C})\) and \(T\) gives zero on other fermions. It is well known that \((A_F, H_F, D_F)\) together with \(\gamma_F\) acting as 1 on right-handed and as \(-1\) on left-handed particles, and \(J_F\) conjugation composed with exchanging particles with antiparticles, form a spectral triple.

Note that this spectral triple is nonorientable [24]. The reason is that we have included sterile right-handed neutrinos and any operator of the form \(\sum_k \pi(\lambda_k, \ldots) J_F \pi(\mu_k, \ldots) J_F^{-1}\) acting on \(\nu_R\) and \(\bar{\nu}_R\) reproduces them with the same value \(\sum_k \lambda_k \bar{\mu}_k\), but \(\gamma_F \nu_R = \nu_R\) and \(\gamma_F \bar{\nu}_R = -\bar{\nu}_R\).
There is no such problem for right electron since the representation on electron differs by complex conjugation. Orientability can be restored if one assumes that there are only left-handed neutrinos, then one can easily find a Hochschild cycle that provides the orientability, however, with the expense that neutrino masses cannot be of the same origin as for other leptons and quarks but arising from an effective mass terms such as Weinberg effective Lagrangian [25]. It is also well known that the above Dirac operator is not unique (see [7,16]) within the model-building scheme of noncommutative geometry. Even the introduction of more constraints, like the second-order condition [7] or Hodge-duality [15] does not allow to exclude the terms, which would introduce the couplings between lepton and quarks and lead to the leptoquark fields [16].

A. The pseudo-Riemannian shadow for the standard model

The solution to the problem lies in the introduction of an additional symmetry, in terms of the Z₂-grading, which distinguishes between lepton and quarks. This symmetry, which could be taken as a 0-cycle:

\[ \beta = \pi(1,1,-1)J_F \pi(1,1,-1)J_F^{-1}, \]  

has had various interpretations and originally was linked to K-theoretic origins [6,17]. However, in the light of the discussion of the pseudo-Riemannian spectral triples we propose, another explanation that uses the pseudo-Riemannian construction.

Observe that \( \beta \) (17) satisfies all commutation relations with \( \gamma_F \) and \( J_F \) that are consistent with \( p = 4k \). Since the total K0-dimension is unchanged when passing to the Riemannian restriction with \( D_+ \) then \( q = 4k + 2 \mod 8 \). For \( p = 0 \) (i.e., \( k = 0 \)) \( q \) has to be equal 2 \( \mod 8 \), hence the simplest choice for the (finite part of) standard model is the pseudo-Riemannian spectral triple of signature (0,2).

Therefore \( (A_F, H_F, D_F, \gamma_F, J_F, \beta) \) could be seen as a Riemannian restriction of a real even pseudo-Riemannian spectral triple of signature (0,2) [note that this choice is not unique and it is also possible to choose in a consistent way, e.g., the signature (4,6)].

B. Classification of pseudo-Riemannian shadows for the standard model

The example in the previous section demonstrated that the additional symmetry can be interpreted as a 0-cycle being the shadow of the pseudo-Riemannian structure. In this section we shall look for more general structures of this type, aiming to step towards their classification and study the physical consequences.

The approach we take here assumes as a starting point the general structure of the finite spectral triple for the standard model as discussed in [7], however, without further restrictions on the Dirac operator than order one condition. Then we shall look for all possible operators \( \beta \), which are 0-cycles and commute with the Dirac operator. This shall lead to constraints on the Dirac operator, which we shall then compare with the condition of Hodge duality discussed in [7].

Let us briefly recall the details of the standard model spectral triple. We take as a Hilbert space \( H_F = F \otimes F^* \) with vectors from \( H_F \) can be represented as a pair of matrices, \( v, w \in M_4(\mathbb{C}) \),

\[
\begin{bmatrix} v \\ w \end{bmatrix} \in H_F,
\]  

\[
v = \begin{bmatrix} \nu_R & u_1^R & u_2^R & u_3^R \\ e_R & d_2^R & d_3^R & d_1^R \\ \nu_L & u_1^L & u_2^L & u_3^L \\ e_L & d_2^L & d_3^L & d_1^L \end{bmatrix},
\]  

\[
w = \begin{bmatrix} \nu_R & e_R & \nu_L & e_L \\ u_1^R & d_2^R & u_1^L & d_2^L \\ u_2^R & d_3^R & u_2^L & d_3^L \\ u_3^R & d_1^R & u_3^L & d_1^L \end{bmatrix}.
\]

This presentation is more convenient to describe all possible Dirac operators and symmetries arising from pseudo-Riemannian structures. It is easy to identify \( H_I \) and \( H_q \) from (14) as the first column and, respectively, three last columns of the \( F \) matrix. The bonus, however, is in the identification of the algebra of all possible linear transformations of \( H_F \), as it could be described as elements of the \( M_4(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C}) \) algebra. A simple tensor from this algebra \( m_L \otimes m \otimes m_R \) acts on the vector composed from \( v, w \) in the following way: \( m_L \) acts by left matrix multiplication on \( v, w \); \( m_R \) acts by right matrix multiplication by its transpose (on \( v, w \)) while \( m \) acts a linear transformation on the pair \( [v, w] \). If we denote by \( e_{ij} \) a matrix with the 1 in position \( (i,j) \) and zero everywhere else, and use \( 1_k \) to denote the identity matrix in \( M_k(\mathbb{C}) \) then we can conveniently write the real structure and the grading \( \gamma \) as

\[
J \begin{bmatrix} v \\ w \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} w^* \\ v^* \end{bmatrix},
\]  

\[
\gamma = \begin{bmatrix} 1_2 & -1_2 \\ -1_2 & 1_2 \end{bmatrix} \otimes e_{11} \otimes 1_4 + 1_4 \otimes e_{22} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} -1_2 \\ 1_2 \end{bmatrix}.
\]
where the elements of the algebra \( A = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{H} \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C}) \) are represented on \( H_F \) by

\[
\pi(\lambda, q, m) = \begin{pmatrix}
\lambda & \gamma & 0 \\
-\gamma & \lambda & q \\
0 & 0 & m
\end{pmatrix} \otimes e_{11} \otimes 1_4 \\
+ \begin{pmatrix}
\lambda & 0 \\
0 & m
\end{pmatrix} \otimes e_{22} \otimes 1_4,
\]

(20)

where \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \), \( q \in \mathbb{H} \) and \( m \in M_3(\mathbb{C}) \).

The most general Dirac operator is of the form \( D = D_0 + D_1 + D_R \), where \( D_1 = JD_0J^{-1} \) and \( D_R \) is \( J \) invariant. We consider a spectral triple of \( KO \)-dimension 6, with a self-adjoint Dirac operator, but such that commutes with \( \pi \).

We have \( D_0 = M^{\dagger} \otimes e_{11} \otimes e_{11} + N^{\dagger} \otimes e_{11} \otimes (1 - e_{11}) \)

\[ + \begin{pmatrix}
A & B \\
0 & 0
\end{pmatrix} \otimes e_{12} \otimes e_{11} + \begin{pmatrix}
A^\dagger & 0 \\
B^\dagger & 0
\end{pmatrix} \otimes e_{21} \otimes e_{11}, \]

(21)

where \( M, N, A, B \) are all in \( M_2(\mathbb{C}) \). Observe that the assumed form of \( D_0 \) includes already \( D_R \):

\[ D_R = e_{11} \otimes (A_{11}e_{21} + A_{11}^*e_{12}) \otimes e_{11}. \]

(22)

The presentation of the Dirac operator in (15)–(16) corresponds to \( M = S_1 \), \( N = S_2 \) and \( A = T \) (here to have the matrix equality \( T \) needs to be restricted to the subspace of right-handed leptons), \( B = 0 \).

In the next step of our search for all possible shadows of pseudo-Riemannian structures we look for a \( \beta \) that is a 0-cycle, i.e., a sum of elements of the form

\[ \beta = \pi(\lambda_1, q_1, m_1)J\pi(\lambda_2, q_2, m_2)J^{-1}, \]

(23)

with \( \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C} \), \( q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{H} \), \( m_1, m_2 \in M_3(\mathbb{C}) \). As we impose the condition that \( \beta^2 = 1 \), \( J\beta = \beta J \) and \( \beta \) commutes with the algebra, we obtain that all elements \( \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \) as well as the matrices \( q_1, q_2 \) and \( m_1, m_2 \) must be \( \pm 1 \) and pairwise equal to each other (that is \( \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 \), \( q_1 = q_2 \), \( m_1 = m_2 \)).

Therefore, up to a trivial rescaling (by \(-1\)), we have three possibilities. The one discussed in the preceding subsection is \( \lambda_1 = 1 \), \( q_1 = 1 \) and \( m_1 = -1 \).

Let us discuss all three cases. First, if \( \beta = \pi(1, 1, 1)J\pi(1, 1, 1)J^{-1} \) then the restrictions for the Dirac operator to commute with \( \beta \) are only \( M = N = 0 \), with no restriction for \( A, B \).

The second case, with \( \beta = \pi(-1, 1, 1)J\pi(-1, 1, 1)J^{-1} \) imposes similarly \( M, N = 0 \), but then additionally \( B = 0 \) and \( A \) that has to satisfy \( A = A \cdot \text{diag}(1, -1) \).

The last case, with \( \beta = \pi(1, -1, -1)J\pi(1, -1, -1)J^{-1} \), which was discussed in the preceding sections enforces \( B = 0 \) and \( A = A \cdot \text{diag}(1, -1) \) while putting no constraints on \( M \) and \( N \), consistent with the discussion at the beginning of Secs. IV and IVA. Note that the fact that \( A \) does not vanish is consistent with the existence of terms that involve only the sterile neutrino and thus compatible with physical data.

It is worth noting that both only the last situation allows for a physical Dirac operator (with Majorana mass terms for the neutrinos) and, moreover, in the view of the results of [7] it is the only case that satisfies the Hodge duality.

Therefore, as a consequence we see that the only possible 0-cycle for a real spectral triple over the standard model that can be interpreted shadow of a pseudo-Riemannian structure, which additionally allows Hodge duality is the one with \( \beta = \pi(1, 1, -1)J\pi(1, 1, -1)J^{-1} \), resulting in the symmetry that physically is interpreted as lepton number conservation.

V. CONCLUSIONS

As the finite-dimensional spectral triple of the standard model shares the property of degeneracy with the Riemannian parts of the pseudo-Riemannian triples, i.e., the \( SU(3) \) symmetry remains unbroken due to the apparent preserved symmetry between leptons and quarks, we conjecture that this is the genuine origin of that feature.

Of course, to consider the full standard model as an almost commutative geometry we need to construct the product of the standard triple over a manifold \( M \) with the above-defined triple. This could be in the Lorentzian setup only on an algebraic level, as the analytic tools leading to the spectral action and description of Yang-Mills and Higgs terms in the action can be carried out only in the Euclidean framework.

Moreover, the product geometry leads to the problem of fermion doubling [26], which has been discussed in both Euclidean and Lorentzian setup. However, the interpretation of the finite part as a Riemannian part of some possibly bigger, pseudo-Riemannian finite geometry can shed a new light on the issue, which we shall tackle in the next work.

Leaving these problems aside we can, however, offer a very broad and pairwise bigger, pseudo-Riemannian finite geometry can shed a new light on the issue, which we shall tackle in the next work.
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