The Oxygen Release Instrument: Space Mission Reactive Oxygen Species Measurements for Habitation Characterization, Biosignature Preservation Potential Assessment, and Evaluation of Human Health Hazards
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Abstract: We describe the design of an instrument, the OxR (for Oxygen Release), for the enzymatically specific and non-enzymatic detection and quantification of the reactive oxidant species (ROS), superoxide radicals (O2•−), and peroxides (O22−, e.g., H2O2) on the surface of Mars and Moon. The OxR instrument is designed to characterize planetary habitability, evaluate human health hazards, and identify sites with high biosignature preservation potential. The instrument can also be used for missions to the icy satellites of Saturn’s Titan and Enceladus, and Jupiter’s Europa. The principle of the OxR instrument is based on the conversion of (i) O2•− to O2 via its enzymatic dismutation (which also releases H2O2), and of (ii) H2O2 (free or released by the hydrolysis of peroxides and by the dismutation of O2•−) to O2 via enzymatic decomposition. At stages i and ii, released O2 is quantitatively detected by an O2 sensor and stoichiometrically converted to moles of O2•− and H2O2. A non-enzymatic alternative approach is also designed. These methods serve as the design basis for the construction of a new small-footprint instrument for specific oxidant detection. The minimum detection limit of the OxR instrument for O2•− and O22− in Mars, Lunar, and Titan regolith, and in Europa and Enceladus ice is projected to be 10 ppb. The methodology of the OxR instrument can be rapidly advanced to flight readiness by leveraging the Phoenix Wet Chemical Laboratory, or microfluidic sample processing technologies.
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1. Introduction

On Earth, the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in soils is typically associated with the relatively high abundance of O2(g) in the atmosphere [1]. In other solar system environments, or space environments beyond our solar system, where O2(g) exists only in trace amounts (e.g., Mars [2], the Earth’s Moon [3,4], Europa [5], Saturn’s rings [6], interstellar clouds [7]) the production and accumulation of ROS is not precluded.

Even in planetary environments lacking O2(g), ROS can be produced by many well-known natural processes, for example, environments containing H2O, CO, and/or CO2 [8]. On the Moon (and presumably on Mars), ROS can be generated by the interaction of H2O ice with cosmic rays [9]. Experiments indicate that Lunar (and presumably Martian) dust can generate hydroxyl free radicals (•OH) via the Fenton reaction as demonstrated with Lunar simulants [10] and Fe-rich silicate...
minerals [11]. Freshly fractured Lunar regolith can produce large amounts of H₂O₂ and other ROS [12], which are considered to play a role in Lunar dust toxicity [13]. Although, none of the curated Apollo mission Lunar samples exist in a state that fully preserves the reactive chemical surfaces aspects (i.e., ROS) that would be expected to be present on the lunar surface, freshly ground Lunar soil has been shown to produce *OH upon contact with H₂O [14]. On Mars, reactive O₂⁺ and O₂⁻ can form through the release of reactive oxygen via scattering of CO₂ ions from solid surfaces; where oxygen produced is preferentially ionized by charge transfer from the surface over the predominant atomic oxygen product [8]. ROS may also be produced by Martian regolith via silicate abrasion during dust storms [15] (e.g., by mechano-radical production [16]). Silica fracturing is known to generate surface free radicals (homolytic and heterolytic fracturing form Si⁺/SiO•, and Si⁺/SiO⁻, respectively), which upon reaction with H₂O or H₂O₂ generate *OH [17]. Such dust/silica-induced radicals may pose a serious human health hazard (verified by toxicity studies on mammalian cells [18]), during future manned missions to Mars and Moon.

Beyond Mars and the Moon, complex interactions between Saturn and its satellites Titan and Enceladus can cause the generation and movement of oxygen from the latter to the former [19,20]. Ice water from Enceladus south polar plumes can be radiolytically oxidized to H₂O₂ and O₂, by energetic particles from Saturn's radiation belts (mostly electrons). Such ROS emanating from this radiolytic gas-driven cryovolcanism can be continuously accumulated deep in icy regolith [19]. Concurrently, H₂O molecules escaping from Enceladus’ plumes should be split by magnetospheric plasma (protons, H⁺, water group ions) into neutral and charged particles (O⁺), which can enter Titan’s atmosphere and be captured by fullerenes (a hollow carbon atom shell, e.g., of C₆₀). Exogenic keV O⁺ could become free oxygen within those fullerenic aerosols, and eventually, fall free onto Titan’s surface. Such a process could be driven by cosmic ray interactions with aerosols at all heights, and can eventually, drive pre-biotic chemistry [20]. It has been suggested that ice-covered worlds require an external source of oxidants to maintain biological viability [21]. Hand et al. 2007, have proposed that oxidants produced by UV and ionizing radiation on the surface of icy worlds, such as Europa, can be carried down to the water column to react with reduced species to provide a source of redox energy [22].

In light of all these considerations, measurement of planetary ROS is of great interest for astrobiology, including the exploration of Titan, Enceladus, and Europa, and important for human missions to the Moon and Mars. However, instruments for the in situ specific detection of the key ROS O₂⁺ and O₂⁻ (e.g., H₂O₂) in these extreme environments have not yet been developed.

The justification for this type of instrument is supported by the results of the Viking Mars mission. In 1976, the Viking Lander performed biological experiments designed to detect extant life in Martian regolith. The reactivity of the Martian regolith was first indicated by the release of O₂ in the Gas Exchange Experiment (GEX), and the decomposition of organics, contained a culture media, in the Labeled Release (LR) experiment [23–25]. In the GEX, up to ~770 nmoles O₂(g) was produced from regolith samples (1 cm⁻³) upon humidification or wetting. The persistence of O₂(g) release from samples that were heated to 145 °C for 3 h and then cooled prior to wetting or humidification, ruled out a biological explanation of the GEX results [23,26]. In the Viking LR, up to ~30 nmoles ¹⁴C labeled gas, presumed to be CO₂, was released after regolith samples (0.5 cm⁻³) were wetted with an aqueous solution containing ¹⁴C-labeled organics [27,28]. The release of ¹⁴C-labeled gas in the LR was eliminated by heating the sample to 160 °C for 3 h and then cooling prior to the addition of the labeled aqueous organics. These results lead to the conclusion that the Martian surface material contains more than one type of reactive oxidants [23]. Metal salts of O₂⁺ were among the earliest proposed explanations for the thermally stable agent responsible for O₂(g) release in the GEX. In the case of the LR, peroxyde was among the earliest explanations proposed for the thermally liable agent responsible for ¹⁴CO₂ release. In addition to the possible presence of metal salts of O₂⁺, it has been proposed that O₂⁻ is generated on Martian dust and regolith surfaces by a UV-induced mechanism [29]. Such a mechanism for O₂⁻ photo-generation has also been shown with Mars analog Mojave and Atacama regolith [1].
More recently, high levels of regolith perchlorate (ClO₄⁻) were directly detected at the Phoenix landing site [30]. Following up on this result, the presence of ClO₄⁻ at the Viking landing sites was inferred [31], and its presence at the Martian equator verified by the Sample Analysis at Mars (SAM) instrument on Mars Science Laboratory (MSL)-based on thermal analyses [32]. While the stability of ClO₄⁻ under the conditions of the GEX and LR preclude it as a direct explanation for these experiments, it has been suggested that ClO₄⁻ radiolysis products reproduce the major aspects of both experiments [25]. The form of the trapped O₂, in particular, derived from ClO₄⁻ radiolysis, was not identified, and it has been suggested that some fraction may exist as superoxide radical or peroxy [25,33]. This suggestion was confirmed by the finding that both of these oxidants are generated—together with •OH—by γ-ray exposure of ClO₄⁻ (mixed in Mars salt analogs) upon water wetting [34]. Preceding this finding, other possible oxidants present on the surface of Mars have been reviewed in detail [35]. In the context of instrument development for in situ analysis, it is useful to note that the expected concentration of oxidants, as inferred from the Viking Biology Experiments, is at the parts per million level (Table 1 in [36]).

Given the poorly understood nature and distributions of oxidants in Martian and Lunar regolith, there is a need for the development of flight instruments for their specific identification and quantification. The only flight instrument previously built for the quantitative, although non-specific, determination of O₂ in situ was the OxR instrument for the detection and quantification of O₂** adsorbed on [45].

**Table 1.** Aqueous decomposition of metal salts of O₂** and O₂²⁻

| Metal (Me) Salts of O₂** Release O₂ (?) and H₂O₂ by the Following Reactions [37,44] |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Adsorbed O₂**                               | 2 O₂** ads + 2 H₂O → 2 OH⁻ + H₂O₂ + O₂↑ | (1) |
| Metal salts of O₂**                          | 2 Me⁺ O₂** + 2 H₂O → 2 MeOH⁺ + H₂O₂ + O₂↑ | (2) |
| Metal-O₂** complexes                         | 2 Me₆⁺ - O₂** + 2 H₂O → 2 Me₆⁺ + 2 OH⁻ + H₂O₂ + O₂↑ | (3) |
| Metal Peroxides/Hydroperoxides Release H₂O₂ by the Following Reactions [37] |
| Me-salts of O₂²⁻                             | Me₂O₂²⁻ + 2 H₂O → 2 Me⁺OH⁻ + H₂O₂ | (4) |
| Me²⁺O₂²⁻ + 2 H₂O → Me²⁺(OH⁻)₂ + H₂O₂ | (5) |
| Me-hydroperoxides (MeO₂H)                   | MeOOH + H₂O → MeOH + H₂O₂ | (6) |

2. Principle of Operation of the OxR (for Oxygen Release) Instrument

The OxR instrument for the detection and quantification of O₂** and O₂²⁻ addresses priorities for human exploration of Mars and the Moon as highlighted in the NASA plan to “Explore Moon to Mars” which will use the Moon as “a testbed for Mars [ ... ] and beyond.” [45].

Our approach is to quantitatively convert peroxydes and superoxide radicals into O₂(g), which can then be detected easily, precisely, and with very high sensitivity. The principle of the OxR instrument is based on the enzymatic conversion of the dismutation and hydrolysis products of superoxide radicals (O₂** adsorbed on...
mineral surfaces, O$_2^{*-}$ ads, or released by the dissociation of metal salts of O$_2^{*-}$) and peroxide (O$_2^{2-}$ as H$_2$O$_2$ or released by the hydrolysis of metal peroxides) to O$_2$, followed by quantitative detection using an O$_2$ electrode.

The OxR instrument design includes a sealable, temperature- and pressure-controlled sample chamber. The chamber is equipped with an O$_2$-sensor, and inlets for the sequential dispensing of three reagents, after each of which the concentration of released O$_2$ is measured. The two enzymatic reagents (Cu/Zn-superoxide dismutase, SOD, and catalase, CAT) used are stored in a solid form separated from their aqueous solvents (to withstand cosmic radiation exposure). The third reagent, acetonitrile (ACN), is separately stored at any temperature above its melting point (−46°C). The enzymatic reagents are mixed with their solvents right before use either by storing them in separate reagent crucibles (analogous to those used in the Wet Chemistry Laboratory of the 2007 Phoenix Mars Scout Lander mission), or in (commercially available) dual-chamber pre-fillable syringes (one chamber for storing the enzyme reagent in solid form, and the other for its solvent, to be mixed upon piston movement), and their sequential dispensing in the chamber. The OxR instrument can detect released O$_2$ by electrochemical or solid state optical O$_2$-sensing electrodes. Both electrode types are commercially available. Optical O$_2$-sensing electrodes are based on the luminescence quenching by O$_2$, and are sensitive enough to measure O$_2$ at ~1 n mole O$_2$ per cubic cm (cm$^{-3}$) of regolith or water, i.e., much lower than that detected by the GEX (775 n moles cm$^{-3}$ regolith). This translates to a minimum instrument detection limit for metal salts of O$_2^{*-}$ and O$_2^{2-}$ of 0.01 ppm (= 10 ppb) for Martian and Lunar regolith or O$_2^{2-}$ in Europa and Enceladus water. This sensitivity corresponds to ~10 µg O$_2^{*-}$/O$_2^{2-}$ kg$^{-1}$ Mars or Lunar surface regolith (based on a density of 1.4–1.6 g cm$^{-3}$ for Mars [46,47], and for the Moon [48]).

3. Enzyme-Based ROS Specificity of the OxR Instrument

We have developed an enzymatic methodology (OxR assay) for the detection of total O$_2^{*-}$ (the sum of O$_2^{*-}$ ads, Me$^+$ O$_2^{*-}$, and Me$^{n+}$−O$_2^{*-}$) and total O$_2^{2-}$ (the sum of Me$^2+O_2^{2-}$, Me$^+$O$_2^{2-}$, and MeO$_2$H), for terrestrial field and planetary applications [49]. The use of enzymes for the OxR assay provides specificity and quantification of regolith O$_2^{*-}$ (reactions 1–3) and O$_2^{2-}$ (reactions 4–6) through the measurement of the O$_2$ that is enzymatically released during dismutation/hydrolysis. Specifically, this is achieved using the following enzymatic reactions [44]: (i) the SOD-catalyzed dismutation of 1 mole O$_2^{*-}$ to ½ mole O$_2$ and ½ mole H$_2$O$_2$, and (ii) the CAT-decomposition of 1 mole H$_2$O$_2$ (from dismutated O$_2^{*-}$ and hydrolyzed metal peroxides/hydroperoxides) to ½ mole O$_2$.

The enzymatic reaction steps of the OxR assay have been established by the following experimental testing [49]: (i) The effective scavenging of O$_2^{*-}$ via dismutation to O$_2$ and H$_2$O$_2$ by SOD; (ii) the decomposition of H$_2$O$_2$ (from the hydrolysis of peroxides and the dismutation of O$_2^{*-}$) to O$_2$ (by CAT) in the presence of ClO$_4^-$ and carbonate (CO$_2^-$; both Martian regolith constituents), and in potassium phosphate plus diethylene–triamine–pentaacetic acid (DTPA) buffer (pH 7.2). Phosphate is an H$_2$O$_2$-stabilizer [50], and DTPA acts as chelator of any soluble transition metal ions, which can destroy H$_2$O$_2$ via the Fenton reaction [51] and O$_2^{*-}$ via oxidation to O$_2$; (iii) the functional stability of the OxR assay enzymes SOD and CAT to cosmic rays upon exposure to γ-radiation; (iv) the simulation of the OxR assay by indirect testing on commercial analogues of metal salts of O$_2^{*-}$ and O$_2^{2-}$, and directly on O$_2^{*-}$ and H$_2$O$_2$. The enzymatic (and accompanying non-enzymatic) reactions involved in the OxR assay are presented in Table 2.

| Table 2. Reactions of the OxR (Oxygen Release) assay. |
|--------------------------------------------------------|
| 1. Metal O$_2^{*-}$ (e.g., Me$^+$ O$_2^{*-}$) dissociation reaction: Me$^+$ O$_2^{*-}$ (in H$_2$O) → O$_2^{*-} + $ Me$^+$ |
| *Step 1.* Metal O$_2^{*-}$ (e.g., Me$^+$ O$_2^{*-}$) dissociation reaction: Me$^+$ O$_2^{*-}$ (in H$_2$O) → O$_2^{*-} + $ Me$^+$ | *Step 1.* Metal O$_2^{*-}$ (e.g., Me$^+$ O$_2^{*-}$) dissociation reaction: Me$^+$ O$_2^{*-}$ (in H$_2$O) → O$_2^{*-} + $ Me$^+$ |
| *Note:* Stock solution of stable O$_2^{*-}$ is obtained by dissociation of Me$^+$ O$_2^{*-}$ (e.g., KO$_2$) in anhydrous acetonitrile (ACN). | *Note:* Stock solution of stable O$_2^{*-}$ is obtained by dissociation of Me$^+$ O$_2^{*-}$ (e.g., KO$_2$) in anhydrous acetonitrile (ACN). |
| 2. Release of O$_2$ (and H$_2$O$_2$) via SOD-catalyzed dismutation of O$_2^{*-}$ (from 1, step 1): 2 O$_2^{*-} + $ 2 H$_2$O → 2 OH$^-$ + H$_2$O$_2$ + O$_2$ | 2. Release of O$_2$ (and H$_2$O$_2$) via SOD-catalyzed dismutation of O$_2^{*-}$ (from 1, step 1): 2 O$_2^{*-} + $ 2 H$_2$O → 2 OH$^-$ + H$_2$O$_2$ + O$_2$ |
| *Note:* The spontaneous dismutation of O$_2^{*-}$ by H$_2$O has a rate constant ~2x10$^{9}$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, while that with SOD is 32,000-fold faster; 6.4x10$^{9}$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ [52]. | *Note:* The spontaneous dismutation of O$_2^{*-}$ by H$_2$O has a rate constant ~2x10$^{9}$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, while that with SOD is 32,000-fold faster; 6.4x10$^{9}$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ [52]. |
| *Step 2.* Release of O$_2$ (and H$_2$O$_2$) via SOD-catalyzed dismutation of O$_2^{*-}$ (from 1, step 1): 2 O$_2^{*-} + $ 2 H$_2$O → 2 OH$^-$ + H$_2$O$_2$ + O$_2$ | *Step 2.* Release of O$_2$ (and H$_2$O$_2$) via SOD-catalyzed dismutation of O$_2^{*-}$ (from 1, step 1): 2 O$_2^{*-} + $ 2 H$_2$O → 2 OH$^-$ + H$_2$O$_2$ + O$_2$ |
| *Step 3.* Base (MeOH) formation: Me$_2$O$_2$ (from the hydrolysis of peroxides and the dismutation of O$_2^{*-}$) to O$_2$ (by CAT) in the presence of ClO$_4^-$ and carbonate (CO$_2^-$; both Martian regolith constituents), and in potassium phosphate plus diethylene–triamine–pentaacetic acid (DTPA) buffer (pH 7.2). Phosphate is an H$_2$O$_2$-stabilizer [50], and DTPA acts as chelator of any soluble transition metal ions, which can destroy H$_2$O$_2$ via the Fenton reaction [51] and O$_2^{*-}$ via oxidation to O$_2$; (iii) the functional stability of the OxR assay enzymes SOD and CAT to cosmic rays upon exposure to γ-radiation; (iv) the simulation of the OxR assay by indirect testing on commercial analogues of metal salts of O$_2^{*-}$ and O$_2^{2-}$, and directly on O$_2^{*-}$ and H$_2$O$_2$. The enzymatic (and accompanying non-enzymatic) reactions involved in the OxR assay are presented in Table 2. | *Step 3.* Base (MeOH) formation: Me$_2$O$_2$ (from the hydrolysis of peroxides and the dismutation of O$_2^{*-}$) to O$_2$ (by CAT) in the presence of ClO$_4^-$ and carbonate (CO$_2^-$; both Martian regolith constituents), and in potassium phosphate plus diethylene–triamine–pentaacetic acid (DTPA) buffer (pH 7.2). Phosphate is an H$_2$O$_2$-stabilizer [50], and DTPA acts as chelator of any soluble transition metal ions, which can destroy H$_2$O$_2$ via the Fenton reaction [51] and O$_2^{*-}$ via oxidation to O$_2$; (iii) the functional stability of the OxR assay enzymes SOD and CAT to cosmic rays upon exposure to γ-radiation; (iv) the simulation of the OxR assay by indirect testing on commercial analogues of metal salts of O$_2^{*-}$ and O$_2^{2-}$, and directly on O$_2^{*-}$ and H$_2$O$_2$. The enzymatic (and accompanying non-enzymatic) reactions involved in the OxR assay are presented in Table 2. |

Note: The spontaneous dismutation of O$_2^{*-}$ by H$_2$O has a rate constant ~2x10$^{9}$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, while that with SOD is 32,000-fold faster; 6.4x10$^{9}$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ [52].

Step 3. Base (MeOH) formation: Me$_2$O$_2$ → MeOH
Table 2. Cont.

| Step | Reaction Description |
|------|----------------------|
| II. | Dissociation reaction of metal O$_2$$^2$\(^{-}\) (e.g., Me$^+$O$_2$$^2$\(^{-}\)): Me$^+$O$_2$$^2$\(^{-}\) (in H$_2$O) → O$_2$$^2$\(^{-}\) + 2Me$^+$ |
| III. | Release of O$_2$ via CAT-catalyzed decomposition of H$_2$O$_2$ [44], resulting from I, step 2, and/or II, step 2: 2H$_2$O$_2$ → 2H$_2$O + O$_2$\(^{↑}\)  

(7)

Figure 1. Simulation of the OxR (Oxygen Release) assay on O$_2$$^•$\(^{-}\) and H$_2$O$_2$: It is performed in the presence/absence of Mars-like regolith from Mojave and Atacama deserts with a liquid-phase Clark-type O$_2$ electrode [49]. It is initiated (in step a) by the addition of 50 nmoles O$_2$$^•$\(^{-}\) (simulating regolith O$_2$$^•$\(^{-}\), represented as x O$_2$$^•$\(^{-}\) moles) in the absence or presence of 45 units Cu/Zn-superoxide dismutase (SOD), and the concentration of released O$_2$ (by the SOD-catalyzed dismutation reaction of O$_2$$^•$\(^{-}\)) is recorded (as reading $A_{dism} = \frac{1}{2}xO_2$; see Treatment A in text), which is equal to the second dismutation reaction product H$_2$O$_2$ (≡ $\frac{1}{2}xH_2O$). In a subsequent step b, the addition of catalase (CAT) causes the additional release of O$_2$ (via the CAT-catalyzed decomposition of H$_2$O$_2$, the second product of O$_2$$^•$\(^{-}\) dismutation), which is also recorded (as reading $A_{dism\text{-CAT}} = \frac{1}{4}xO_2$ plus the already released $\frac{1}{2}xO_2$; see Treatment B in text). If there are also metal O$_2$$^2$\(^{-}\) or free H$_2$O$_2$ present in regolith (represented as yH$_2$O$_2$ moles), these are simulated in Figure 1 by the addition of 40 nmoles H$_2$O$_2$ (in step c). These peroxides will also be decomposed by the CAT (added in step b) to $\frac{1}{2}yO_2$, and in this case, the total released O$_2$ will be recorded in step c as reading $A_{dism\text{-CAT}}$ (the sum $\frac{1}{2}xO_2 + \frac{1}{2}xO_2 + \frac{1}{2}yO_2$).
4. OxR Assay Simulation Verification on Mars-Analog Regolith

The OxR assay was performed using a semi-sealed liquid-phase O₂ electrode with known concentrations of O₂⁻ and H₂O₂, and in the presence/absence of Mars-like regolith from the Mojave (CIMA volcanic field) and the Atacama deserts. The assay was further validated on commercial sources of metal salts of O₂⁻ (K₂O₂) and O₂²⁻ (Na₂O₂, CaO₂, MgO₂) in the presence of CO²⁻ and ClO₂⁻ (both are present in Martian regolith). Gamma-radiation experiments were performed to evaluate the stability of the OxR assay enzymes CAT and SOD against cosmic radiation [49].

The electrode reaction chamber was filled with 1 mL potassium (K)-phosphate-DTPA buffer (0.25 M K-phosphate buffer, pH 7.2, containing 10 mM DTPA) to which the assay reagents (O₂⁻, H₂O₂, SOD, and CAT) were added at constant room temperature (RT). As already noted, DTPA chelates any soluble transition metal ions that can destroy H₂O₂ and O₂⁻. Moreover, DTPA will also prevent such chelated metals from inactivating the OxR assay protein reagents SOD and CAT via their oxidation by *OH (produced by way of the Fenton reaction) or via direct inhibition. The OxR assay was experimentally tested with known concentrations of O₂⁻ and H₂O₂ added in the Clark-type O₂ electrode, as illustrated in Figure 1 [49]. To validate the OxR assay enzymatic reactions 1 and 7 (in Table 2) in the Clark-type O₂ electrode chamber, the following treatments were performed (data are shown in Figure 1):

Treatment A (reaction 1, see Figure 1 step a): SOD-catalyzed dismutation of O₂⁻ to O₂ and H₂O₂. Seventy microliters of O₂⁰ stock solution was added (final 50 µM O₂⁻ or 50 nmoles) to the O₂ electrode chamber, which contained 1 mL K-phosphate-DTPA buffer and ± 45 units (U) SOD (10 µl of a 4500 U ml⁻¹ stock made in ddH₂O₂), and the released O₂ concentration was recorded until a plateau was reached.

Treatment B (reaction 7 in Table 2, see Figure 1 step b): CAT-catalyzed conversion to O₂ of H₂O₂ released via SOD-catalyzed dismutation O₂⁻ derived by O₂²⁻ hydrolysis, and H₂O₂ released from peroxides via hydrolysis. After measuring the 1st O₂(g) plateau (Treatment A), 20 U ml⁻¹ CAT (10 µl 2000 U ml⁻¹ stock) was added to the resulting reaction mixture, and after the 2nd O₂(g) plateau was reached, 10 µl 4 mM H₂O₂ (final 40 µM or 40 nmoles) was added, and the 3rd O₂(g) plateau was recorded (Figure 1 step c).

Mathematical treatment of the data derived from treatments A and B: Assuming the presence of x O₂⁻ and yH₂O₂ moles in the K-phosphate-DTPA buffer in the Clark O₂ electrode chamber, these supero/peroxidants were calculated from the experiments illustrated in Figure 1 as follows. The released O₂ concentrations measured by the O₂ electrode during treatments A and B (designated A_dism and A_dism_CAT, respectively) are described by the following molar equations and are based on the molar stoichiometry of the reactions 1 and 7 (in Table 2):

\[ A_{\text{dism}} = \frac{1}{2}xO_2; \]

simplified: \[ A_{\text{dism}} = \frac{1}{2}x, \] where x is O₂⁻ moles

\[ A_{\text{dism_CAT}} = \frac{1}{2}xO_2 + \frac{1}{4}xO_2 + \frac{1}{2}yO_2; \]

simplified: \[ A_{\text{dism_CAT}} = \frac{3}{4}x + \frac{1}{2}y, \] where y is H₂O₂ moles

The molar concentrations of xO₂⁻ and yH₂O₂ are then estimated using the following mathematical equations, derived by appropriately combining the molar equations A_dism and A_dism_CAT:

\[ O_2^{-} \text{ moles (} = x \text{)} = 2A_{\text{dism}} \]

\[ H_2O_2 \text{ moles (} = y \text{)} = 2A_{\text{dism_CAT}} - 3A_{\text{dism}} \]

The released O₂ concentrations (A_dism and A_dism_CAT) during the OxR assay (shown in Figure 1) matched the concentrations predicted by the stoichiometry of each of the assay reactions 1 and 7. Indeed, when the values A_dism (corresponding to 24 nmoles from step a) and A_dism_CAT (corresponding to...
37 nmoles from step b, or 56 nmoles from steps b plus c) are inserted to the above molar equations for \( \text{O}_2^{•−} \) and \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \), their calculated experimental concentrations are statistically equal to their concentrations which were added in the \( \text{O}_2 \) electrode chamber.

**Simulation of cosmic radiation effect on the OxR assay enzymic reagents:** Another consideration for the OxR instrument is whether its enzymatic reagents SOD and CAT would be functional upon exposure to cosmic radiation levels expected during missions to Mars, the Moon, and possibly icy satellites Jupiter and Saturn. To address this question for Mars and Moon, cosmic radiation simulation experiments were performed [49], where solid SOD and CAT were exposed to \( γ \)-radiation at a dose range comparable to that which would be received during a space mission. Activities were also determined for these enzymes in various concentrations (% v/v) of ACN since 100% ACN is used to wet the regolith sample to quantitatively purge out any unknown source trapped \( \text{O}_2 \). The SOD retained functional activity after exposure to a \( γ \)-radiation dose of 6 Gy (an equivalent to the cosmic radiation dose received from 38 round trips to Mars [53]). The CAT specific activity was unaffected up to ~3 Gy (equivalent to 19 round trips to Mars, and many more trips to the Moon) after which it decreased linearly to 40% (of its unexposed activity) at 6 Gy. SOD activity was unaffected in up to 50% ACN, while CAT activity decreased in a manner that matched the ACN concentration. For example, an initial CAT specific activity of ~3 U \( \mu \text{g}^{-1} \) at 0% ACN decreased by 50-fold at the maximum tested 50% ACN. This result indicates that for an OxR assay based on 3 U \( \mu \text{g}^{-1} \) CAT at 0% ACN in laboratory testing, the CAT concentration should be increased 50-fold (i.e., 150 U \( \mu \text{g}^{-1} \)) plus a margin for flight, if a 50% ACN concentration is optimum for instrument implementation.

5. The Potential of the OxR Assay for a Field-Deployable Instrument

The OxR assay can be extended to the search of possible metal supero/peroxidant cycles in terrestrial and extraterrestrial ecosystems. We expect that the full instrument can be packaged in 1 U (i.e., CubeSat sized at 10 cm/side) using a reaction chamber scheme with an \( \text{O}_2 \)-sensor (to monitor the enzymatic release of \( \text{O}_2 \) from \( \text{O}_2^{•−} \) and \( \text{O}_2^{2−} \)) in a regolith sample during interaction (under constant mixing) with SOD and CAT, as illustrated and described in Figure 2.

During operation, the first step of mixing the regolith with anhydrous ACN is very crucial for the following reasons: ACN (actually containing 0.2 mM dicyclohexano-18-crown-6 ether, CE) flushes loosely bound \( \text{O}_2 \) from unknown sources (designated \( \approx \text{O}_2 \)) necessary for instrument calibration at the same time the CE component, will facilitate \( \text{O}_2^{•−} \) dissociation from superoxo metal salts [1,54,55], and together they stabilize \( \text{O}_2^{•−} \) for the subsequent enzymic steps 2 and 3 (Figure 2). In other words, the ACN-CE solvent used in step 1 prevents the dismutation of regolith \( \text{O}_2^{•−} \) to \( \text{O}_2 \) that would occur with the use of an aqueous solvent (see reaction 1 in Table 1), which would make the determination of background \( \approx \text{O}_2 \) (and, thus, of \( x \text{O}_2^{•−} \) and \( y\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) moles) impossible. It should also be noted that although the OxR assay can quantify \( \text{O}_2 \) released from unknown sources (i.e., \( \approx \text{O}_2 \)), it cannot discriminate the \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) generated by the hydrolysis of metal superoxide radicals and peroxides from that of any free \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) (possibly existing in mineral pore spaces). The accurate quantification of metal superoxide radicals and peroxides by the OxR requires that their initial hydrolysis products \( \text{O}_2^{•−} \) and \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \), respectively, remain stable for SOD and CAT treatment. It has been already noted, the metal chelator DTPA and the phosphate buffer reagents will scavenge inorganic cations that affect the stability of \( \text{O}_2^{•−} \) and \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \). Even if a fraction of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) converts to \( \text{O}_2 \) by factors other than CAT (e.g., by high \( \text{CO}_2^{−} \) concentration and high regolith alkalinity [49], or by the catalysts MnO\(_2\) [56], or silver, platinum, lead, ruthenate, and RuO\(_2\), which decompose \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) to \( \text{O}_2 \) in alkaline solution [57]), this will not affect the accurate determination of metal superoxide radicals and peroxide concentrations since these factors will complement the conversion of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) to \( \text{O}_2 \) by CAT.
Figure 2. Diagrammatic principle of an OxR assay-based field instrument for the identification/quantification of regolith superoxide radicals and peroxides (shown as \(x\text{O}_2^{-}\) and \(y\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\) moles, respectively): Regolith sample is subjected to the following released \(\text{O}_2\) recording procedures. In step 1, the regolith is wetted with anhydrous ACN to flush out loosely bound \(\text{O}_2\) (designated \(z\text{O}_2\) moles; enclosed in dotted squares) for (i) canceling out any background \(\text{O}_2\) and (ii) measuring it as coming from unidentified sources. In step 2, SOD is administered in the instrument chamber dissolved in K-phosphate-DTPA buffer (pH = 7.2) at an equal (at least) to ACN volume (resulting in at least 50% ACN), and the released \(\text{O}_2\) (enclosed in solid-line square) from the group of metal \(\text{O}_2^{-}\) via SOD-catalyzed dismutation of their hydrolysis product \(\text{O}_2^{2-}\), together with \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\) is recorded by the chamber \(\text{O}_2\) sensor as reading \(A_{\text{dism}}\). In a subsequent third step, K-phosphate-DTPA-buffered CAT is introduced in the same chamber, where the additional released \(\text{O}_2\) (from the decomposition of \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\) coming from the hydrolysis of both groups of metal \(\text{O}_2^{-}\) and \(\text{O}_2^{2-}\)) is summed to that released from step 2 (and enclosed in three solid-line squares), and is recorded as reading \(A_{\text{dismCAT}}\). The values of \(A_{\text{dism}}\) and \(A_{\text{dismCAT}}\) (their net values determined by the experimental values designated by the arrows pointing at them on the Y-axis) are then used to determine the moles of regolith \(\text{O}_2^{-}\) and \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\), using their respective equations: \(y\text{O}_2^{-} = 2A_{\text{dism}} (= x)\), and \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 = 2A_{\text{dismCAT}} - 3A_{\text{dism}}\) (derived as shown in Section 4, 'OxR assay simulation verification on Mars-analog regolith').

Non-enzymatic OxR instrument version: We have also developed a non-enzymatic OxR assay for cases where enzymatic stability may be insufficient (e.g., missions to Titan, Europa, and Enceladus) or when the required long-term −20 °C SOD and CAT storage is not possible. Moreover, some future rovers may long outlast their expected life times (as past ones have done), and for whichever rover carries an OxR instrument the reagent enzymes may degrade over the years, whereas the inorganics may be more durable. A non-enzymatic version of the OxR instrument is based on the following reagents, which we have preliminarily tested successfully (data not shown):

In place of SOD, CuSO\(_4\), MnCl\(_2\), and MnSO\(_4\) can be used:
1. CuSO\(_4\) (at 0.1 to 300 \(\mu\)M) and MnCl\(_2\) (at 0.1 to 100 \(\mu\)M) [58,59]; MnCl\(_2\) dismutates \(\text{O}_2^{2-}\) as effectively as SOD does [59].
2. MnSO₄ (at 0.1 mM) has a rate constant for O₂•− dismutation \( k = 2.3 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \) (in 5 mM HEPES, pH = 7.8) [52]. This is 10-fold higher than the rate constant for the spontaneous aqueous dismutation of O₂•− (2 O₂•− + 2 H₂O → 2 OH⁻ + H₂O₂ + O₂; \( k = 2 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \) at pH = 7.8 [60]).

In place of CAT, the following inorganic reagents can be used:
1. MnO₂ acts as CAT-mimetic (2H₂O₂ → 2H₂O + O₂) [56].
2. Ferricyanide [Fe(CN)₆³⁻; FECN]. FECN reacts with H₂O₂ at a different stoichiometry than that of its CAT-catalyzed decomposition \( \frac{1}{2} \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{Fe(CN)}_6^{3⁻} \rightarrow \text{Fe(CN)}_6^{4⁻} + \text{H}^⁺ + \frac{1}{2}\text{O}_2 \) [61,62]. However, the use of FECN modifies the set of the equations for the determination of O₂•− and H₂O₂ via released O₂ (specifically the equation for H₂O₂). These are the following, designating as \( A_{dism/FECN} \) as the reading value (by the O₂-electrode) for the released O₂ after treatment with FECN:

\[
\text{O}_2^{•−}\text{ moles} = 2A_{dism} \quad \text{(same as with the enzymatic version of the OxR instrument)}
\]

\[
\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \text{ moles} = A_{dism/FECN} - 2A_{dism}
\]

Concluding, the principle of the OxR assay can be used for the development of an instrument for the detection of planetary and terrestrial O₂•− and O₂²⁻ with the following considerations:
1. OxR assay enzymes SOD and CAT are used in excess; they are sufficient when used even in the amount of a few activity units.
2. SOD and CAT are stored (below −20 °C for long-term storage) separate from their aqueous solvents, and are mixed right before administration. This can be accomplished by storing them, for example, in two separate reagent crucibles (analogous to those used in the WCL instrument of the 2007 Phoenix Mars Scout Lander mission [63]), or in (commercially available) dual-chamber pre-fillable syringes (one chamber for storing the enzyme and one for its solvent, to be mixed upon piston movement), followed by their sequential dispensing in the instrument’s regolith chamber (under continuous mixing of its reagents).
3. The instrument can use solid state electrochemical or optical sensing O₂-electrodes of high sensitivity. There are commercially available O₂ probes (e.g., sensor type PSt6, by PreSens Precision Sensing GmbH, Regensburg, Germany) that are based on the luminescence quenching by O₂, and are sensitive enough to measure O₂ at much lower concentrations (−1 n mole O₂ cm⁻³ regolith) than that (775 nmoles) detected by the GEX [26]. For example, the typical detection limit of the PreSens sensor PSt6 is 0.002% O₂, with 1 ppb and 0.5 ppm for aqueous and gaseous O₂, respectively. The PreSens Precision Sensing O₂ probes come either as needle-type optical fiber probes (with a tip size < 50 µm, protected, e.g., inside a stainless-steel needle), or as implantable probes (with a tip size of < 50 to 140 µm, while the outer diameter ranges from 140 µm to 900 µm). Therefore, O₂ sensing by the OxR instrument with solid-state sensors can be done in both gaseous and liquid phase. Regarding released O₂ partition between liquid and headspace in the sample chamber, underestimation of the released reactive O₂ due to such exsolution can be addressed by either adding an extra gas phase O₂ sensor, or by the calculation of the partition between liquid and gas phase at the set pressure and temperature.
4. Respective ACN and SOD reagent process steps 1 and 2 are omitted in testing water samples (e.g., from Enceladus and Europa) by the OxR instrument, because O₂•− dismutates to H₂O₂ and O₂ under aqueous conditions (see reaction 1, Table 1). In such an application, the first step of the OxR instrument will record O₂ of unknown origin (for instrument calibration) in a melted ice sample. Following this step, CAT will be administered to convert to O₂ any present H₂O₂. This will be the only peroxidant specifically determined by the OxR instrument in the (melted) ice samples from the surface or plumes of Enceladus and Europa.

6. Implementation of the OxR Instrument

One approach for implementing the OxR assay for field instrument construction is to keep it compatible with the Wet Chemistry Laboratory (WCL) that flew as part of the Phoenix lander mission to Mars [30]. The WCL (Figure 3) consists of a lower beaker containing sensors designed to analyze the
chemical properties of the regolith and an upper actuator assembly for adding regolith, water, reagents, and stirring [63]. The WCL sensor set included an O₂ electrode, pressure sensor, and thermocouple needed for the OxR assay. A key part of the WCL system is the storage of liquid and dry reagents. Our prototype design uses a reagent dispenser assembly similar to WCL (which uses five crucibles to store the reagents to be dispensed). We will use the following three crucibles:

A crucible for dispensing into the beaker the anhydrous ACN to wash out from regolith any background O₂ (for recording its level).

A crucible divided into two compartments to store the SOD enzyme and its solvent (for recording O₂ released from the dismutation of regolith superoxide radicals; H₂O₂ will also be released by this dismutation) separately.

A crucible divided into two compartments to store the CAT enzyme and its solvent (for recording O₂ released from superoxide radical-derived H₂O₂, and that derived from regolith peroxides) separately.

The automated and sequential dispensing of the reagents is critical to the success of the prototype. A diagram of the Phoenix system is shown in Figure 4. The reagent dispenser assembly will be coupled to the construction and operational testing of the beaker (reaction cell). A diagram of the WCL reaction cell is shown in Figure 5. In contrast to the complex array of sensors in the WCL on Phoenix [63], we have only O₂ sensors, temperature, and pressure. Joining the reagent dispenser assembly and the reaction cell completes the prototype. However, the WCL instrument uses a 25-cc chamber to analyze 1 cc of regolith. For some missions, this is an important issue and motivates microfluidics approaches. An alternative instrument construction approach will be based on the microfluidic transport/delivery technology [64–69], already developed by the R.A. Mathies's Space Sciences Laboratory at Berkeley University. The chip for microscopic fluid transport between the components of an instrument such as OxR (e.g., reagent storage capsules, regolith sample chamber with O₂/temp/pressure sensors, and waste reservoirs), is analogous to digital electronic processors, and all that is needed is a change in the order of operations conducted by the device [66]. All macroscopic reagent volumes are contained within stainless steel bellows expanded or contracted by externally applied N₂ gas. The OxR instrument chip can be constructed as a scaled-down version (e.g., a 200 gr, 2 Watts, 10x10x10 cm package) of the Enceladus Organic Analyzer (EOA) chip (Figure 6).

The OxR instrument prototype will be tested in a laboratory setting and results compared to standard laboratory procedures, followed by field testing in the Mojave Desert. This has been a continuing test site for our studies [1], and, thus, we have a deep knowledge base of the site and the expected results providing a convenient basis for prototype testing.
Figure 4. Diagram of the reagent dispenser assembly with crucibles ready for deployment [63].

Figure 5. Diagram of the WCL reaction cell showing water storage and stirring rod.

Figure 6. Diagram of the Enceladus Organic Analyzer (EOA) data programmable chip (modified from [65]).

7. Studies with the OxR Instrument

The OxR instrument can have the following potential applications:

Identification of the ROS O$_2$•− and O$_2$•−, on the Moon and Mars, with extension to future missions to Jupiter’s satellite Europa and Saturn’s Enceladus and Titan.

Monitor the levels of ROS for astronaut health and safety, given that O$_2$•− can become biotoxic (via conversion of Fe$^{3+}$/Cu$^{2+}$ to Fe$^{2+}$/Cu$^+$, which, via the Fenton-reaction with the other ROS O$_2$•−, will generate the highly biotoxic free radical •OH [44]). Moreover, measuring dust/silica-induced ROS generation is crucial for the evaluation of possible health hazards [18] in future manned missions to Mars and Moon.

Identify mineral deposits rich in ROS to be used as an O$_2$g source for human consumption. O$_2$g can be easily produced on a large scale due to the following ROS reactions: O$_2$•− is converted to O$_2$g by mixing with (i) H$_2$O (also releasing H$_2$O$_2$), or (ii) Fe$^{3+}$ or Cu$^{2+}$ [44]. O$_2$g can be produced from
O$_2^{2-}$ (e.g., H$_2$O$_2$ also released from reaction (i)) by mixing with MnO$_2$ [56] or silver, platinum, lead, ruthenate, or RuO$_2$ [57].

Identify O$_2^{•−}$/O$_2^{2−}$ on the metal parts of manned space vehicles/stations. ROS can be generated by a combination of O$_2$ (in vehicle) with cosmic radiation [1]. O$_2^{•−}$ and O$_2^{2−}$ have implications for exploration because they can:

(i) cause corrosive oxidative deterioration of space vehicles/stations,
(ii) pose a serious risk for oxidative modification of stored foods, making them unsafe for astronauts,
(iii) compromise astronaut health due to their well-known biotoxic effects [44].

Identify locations on Mars and the Moon with low ROS levels which may be indicative of the high potential for biosignature (e.g., [70,71]) preservation. Of particular upcoming interest is the Dragonfly mission to Titan by NASA (launched in 2026, and landing in 2034), which will search for evidence of prebiotic chemical processes on the surface of Titan [72].

The instrument is also applicable to terrestrial research, with indicative studies being: (i) O$_2^{•−}$/O$_2^{−}$ association to microorganisms’ oxidative stress in extreme desert environments, with extension to life’s origin [1,73]; (ii) health hazard implications from measuring ROS-reactivity of (a) volcanic ash (due to •OH generation) [74], and (b) pyrites (from O$_2$/H$_2$O$_2$/surface-bound ferric iron-induced •OH generation during pyrite oxidation) in coal mining regions [75].

8. Conclusions

We have developed a sensitive assay for the use in a future Oxygen Release (OxR) instrument for the detection of ROS, with potential applications to the Mars, Moon, Europa, Titan, and Enceladus missions. The instrument can support the exploration of the Moon (including monitoring of astronaut health hazards), exploration on Mars, Moon, and Titan, and terrestrial studies. The OxR instrument is based on the selective and specific enzymatic decomposition of supero/peroxidants to O$_2$ and their quantification by the measurement of the released O$_2$. An alternative non-enzymatic option is also proposed. Laboratory simulations and the sensitivity of the commercially available O$_2$ sensors indicate that the OxR instrument can detect metal O$_2^{•−}$/O$_2^{−}$ in the Martian and Lunar regolith and also O$_2^{2−}$ in the icy waters of the satellites of Saturn Enceladus and Titan (in its regolith too) and of Jupiter’s Europa, at levels as low as 10 ppb. In terms of Technology Readiness Level, the OxR instrument is at 3 (method validated in the lab), and can be made flight-ready by leveraging the Phoenix Wet Chemical Laboratory hardware or a microfluidic transport/delivery technology.
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