Bench-to-bedside review: Contrast enhanced ultrasonography - a promising technique to assess renal perfusion in the ICU
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Abstract
Acute kidney injury (AKI) is common in critically ill patients and associated with important morbidity and mortality. Although alterations in renal perfusion are thought to play a causative role in the pathogenesis of AKI, there is, to date, no reliable technique that allows the assessment of renal perfusion that is applicable in the ICU. Contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS) is an ultrasound imaging technique that makes use of microbubble-based contrast agents. These microbubbles, when injected into the bloodstream, allow visualization of vascular structures and, with contrast-specific imaging modes, detection of blood flow at the capillary level. Some recent CEUS-derived approaches allow quantification of blood flow in several organs, including the kidney. Current generation ultrasound contrast agents have strong stability and safety profiles. Along with post-marketing surveillance, numerous studies report safe administration of these agents, including in critically ill patients. This review presents information on the physical principles underlying CEUS, the methods allowing blood flow quantification and the potential applications of CEUS in critical care nephrology, currently as a research tool but perhaps in the future as a way of monitoring renal perfusion.

Introduction
Acute kidney injury (AKI) is common and severe in critically ill patients, with a reported incidence between 1 and 30% and mortality between 28 and 90%, depending on the definition used. Uchino and colleagues [1] reported an incidence of 5.7% and a mortality of 60.3% in a recent multicenter study involving nearly 30,000 critically ill patients. In patients with multiple organ failure, AKI is an independent predictor of mortality [2,3].

The pathophysiology of AKI remains poorly understood. However, as renal function is intimately linked to organ blood flow, alterations in renal perfusion are considered key to the pathophysiology of AKI. Little, however, is known about renal perfusion in critically ill patients [4] or about its association with AKI. This is because methods currently available to assess and monitor renal perfusion are either inaccurate or not rapidly applicable in routine ICU patients. The physiological gold standard to estimate renal plasma flow is the calculation of para-amino-hippurate clearance. Unfortunately, this technique is inaccurate in the presence of oliguria [4,5]. Doppler ultrasound studies have been demonstrated to be inaccurate in estimating renal blood flow [6] and only provide information about flow in main arteries. In addition, all methods only give information about global organ perfusion and not about the renal microcirculation or on the intra-renal distribution of blood flow.

Imaging methods such as scintigraphy or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) are much more accurate and can provide valuable information on kidney perfusion [7,8]. However, their use in the ICU is limited by equipment availability, costs and their requirement for extensive and prolonged patient manipulation, which is associated with risk and major logistic challenges. These techniques can be used in research protocols but are not suitable for routine use in most ICU patients and cannot be repeated several times within the same day.

A method allowing reproducible renal perfusion quantification that would be applicable at the bedside, and would be minimally invasive or even non-invasive, would be ideal in the ICU. Such a method might increase our knowledge of the correlation between renal perfusion alterations and AKI. It could also potentially help clinicians detect patients at risk of renal failure and adapt treatment early to prevent AKI.
Contrast-enhanced ultrasound

Ultrasonography

Ultrasonography is an ultrasound-based diagnostic imaging technique. It relies on the property of sound waves to reflect at interfaces of media of different densities as they travel through them - the greater the difference in density (acoustic impedance), the more echogenic the interface [9,10].

Modern ultrasound equipment is portable, reasonably cheap and allows bedside examination without requiring patient manipulation. Standard ultrasound examinations are already performed in the ICU for diagnostic reasons (abdominal or liver studies, cardiac echography) and to guide interventions (central venous line placement, pleural effusion drainage). Such equipment is becoming widely available and echography is now a standard technique within most modern ICUs [11].

To evaluate circulation and blood flow, Doppler studies can be performed with standard echography equipment. The clinical use of Doppler studies is, however, limited by the lower limit of detection, its inability to detect slow flow velocity and its limited accuracy in quantifying renal blood flow. Microbubble-based contrast agents have lowered the detection threshold and now allow detection of blood in vessels as small as capillaries. Together with appropriate imaging modes and modern software, contrast-enhanced ultrasonography (CEUS) allows organ blood flow quantification.

Microbubble-based contrast agents

Gases are ideal contrast agents for echography since they are highly compressible (17,000 times more than water) and since their density is 1,000 times less than the density of blood [12]. Embedded within a shell, gases can be made to form microbubbles, which are extremely potent ultrasound reflectors, nine orders of magnitude higher than a solid particle of the same size. These microbubbles change shape when they interact with ultrasound waves, contracting during the compression (high pressure) phase and expanding during the rarefaction (low pressure) phase. At low-intermediate acoustic pressure, these microbubble oscillations result in the generation of non-linear signals [13].

The first reported echographic contrast agent was based on air microbubbles created by agitating saline, which was then rapidly injected intravenously. It was used to examine the right heart and detect right-to-left shunts. The clinical use of agitated saline is, however, very limited due to the very short life (a few seconds) of air microbubbles and their inability to traverse the pulmonary circulation. Safety concerns were also recently raised, cerebral ischemic events being reported after use of agitated saline, probably related to the broad and uncontrolled size distribution of such bubbles [14].

Technological improvements have resulted in the development of small-sized shell-stabilized microbubbles with adequate half-life and the capacity to cross the pulmonary circulation (Figures 1 and 2).

The first commercially available ultrasound contrast agent was Albunex® (Molecular Biosystems, Inc., USA). It was obtained by sonicking a 5% human albumin solution in the presence of air, resulting in the formation of air microbubbles stabilized by a thin denaturated albumin shell [15].

Current (second) generation microbubble contrast agents make use of inert, poorly soluble perfluorinated gases and are stabilized by phospholipids or albumin [16]. Their half-life in the circulation is a few minutes and the perfluorinated gas present in the microbubbles is totally excreted by the lungs [17].

After intravenous injection, microbubbles behave as pure blood agents as their size (1 to 6 μm) prevents them from diffusing through the endothelium. They allow vascular bed opacification and have opened the way to detecting the microcirculation [18]. The different commercially available ultrasound contrast agents and their compositions are detailed in Table 1.

Optimal ultrasound equipment settings

Parallel to the development of efficient contrast agents, novel imaging modes have been introduced. Harmonic B mode, phase or pulse inversion, power modulation, power pulse inversion, and coherent pulse sequencing are some of the contrast-specific imaging modes (also designated as ‘nonlinear’ imaging modes) available in modern ultrasound equipment [19]. Based on the non-linear properties of microbubbles submitted to acoustic pressure, these imaging modes use a combination of changes in pulse phase and amplitude to selectively minimize tissue echoes and enhance ultrasound contrast agent echoes. They all make use of low mechanical index (MI) imaging
or intermittent imaging or both, since microbubbles can be destroyed when subjected to high acoustic pressures (for example, MI >0.7). This unique property is used for perfusion quantification in the so-called destruction (flash) refilling approach.

Today, contrast-specific modes are available on most mid- to high-end ultrasound equipment.

**Safety**

As for any other drug, ultrasound contrast agents have been submitted to extensive clinical investigations both for safety and efficacy before approval by national health authorities.

Since microbubbles of gas are injected into the circulation, legitimate concerns about tissue embolism can be raised, especially because, as discussed earlier, initial attempts to generate contrast by agitating saline may have been associated with embolic events [14]. However, the microbubbles in commercial ultrasound contrast agents have a much smaller and uniform size than those produced by agitating saline. They are also much more stable and do not coalesce. Therefore, they have a very low potential for embolization. This has been confirmed by intravital microscopy in the cremaster or spinotrapezius muscle with different contrast agents [21-23]. These studies show that the microvascular rheology of ultrasound contrast agents is similar to that of red blood cells and that microbubble entrapment within the capillaries is negligible and transient.

Initial post-marketing surveillance over 5 years and in more than 1 million patients has demonstrated no medically significant risk other than allergic events, which appear to occur at a rate of approximately 1 per 10,000 [20]. Central nervous system reactions have also been rarely reported and may or may not be associated with hypersensitivity reactions. Reported adverse events are generally infrequent and mild and may include headache, fatigue, palpitations, nausea, dizziness, dry mouth, altered sense of smell or taste, dyspnea, urticaria, pruritus, back pain, chest pain or rash.

In October 2007, following four deaths in patients with severe underlying conditions 1 to 12 hours after injection of Definity®, a ‘black box warning’ stating that use of these agents was contraindicated in unstable patients was released by the US Food and Drug Administration. This initiated an intense controversy. Many clinicians still

---

**Table 1. Commercially available ultrasound contrast agents**

| Contrast agent | Shell          | Gas                 | Registered in          |
|----------------|----------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| Optison       | Human albumin | Perfluoropropane    | USA, Canada            |
| Definity      | Phospholipids  | Perfluoropropane    | USA, Europe, Canada    |
| (Luminity)    |                |                     |                        |
| Sonovue       | Phospholipids  | Sulfur hexafluoride | Canada, Europe, China, |
|               |                |                     | India, Korea           |
| Sonazoid      | Phospholipids  | Perfluorobutane     | Japan                  |

---

![Figure 2. Optical microscopic view of microbubbles in rabbit blood (courtesy of Bracco SpA).](image)
remained convinced that ultrasound contrast agents were safe.

Since then, many studies, including one in a very large number of patients, have been published establishing CEUS as a safe procedure. For example, Kusnetzky [24] demonstrated that the background mortality of patients undergoing contrast echography was not significantly higher than that of patients undergoing non-contrast echocardiography. Dolan and colleagues [25] studied 42,408 patients from three centers that had received contrast agents for either resting or stress echocardiography and did not find any difference in morality or adverse events compared to matched controls. A multicenter registry including 58,254 hospitalized patients that underwent echocardiography published by Main and colleagues [26] actually showed a decrease in acute mortality compared with patients not receiving contrast agent. Wei and colleagues [27] reported a rate of severe reactions of 0.01% and no death in 78,383 patients, including 10,000 acutely ill patients (either in the ICU or with acute chest pain of possible cardiac origin) who had received ultrasound contrast agents.

Today, many investigators believe that ultrasound contrast agents can be considered safe even in unstable patients, even though the Food and Drug Administration has not yet withdrawn the black box warning. As for any drug or contrast agent, the risk of anaphylactic reaction remains present and the use of these products in unstable patients should be restricted to centers with full resuscitation capacities.

As discussed in detail in the next paragraph, blood flow quantification requires use of high MI ultrasound for very short periods of time (flashes). Some concerns have been raised about the safety of this procedure. Jiménez and colleagues [28] showed in a porcine model that repeated insonification of the kidney at high MI did not produce any histological change either immediately after the procedure or 4 hours later. There were no signs of inflammatory response and no signs of extravasation of erythrocytes from the capillary system.

**Blood flow quantification by CEUS**

Since microbubbles remain confined to the intravascular space, and have a rheology similar to that of red blood cells, contrast uptake as a function of time can be used to estimate quantitative perfusion parameters, such as regional blood volume or blood flow.

**Theories and methods**

Perfusion quantification by CEUS may be performed with a microbubble-destruction technique, introduced in 1998 by Wei and colleagues [29,30]. This technique was validated in a canine model with intermittent imaging with destructive frames at increasing imaging frame rates, microbubbles being continuously infused by means of a syringe pump. This allowed investigators to build a curve representing replenishment kinetics from a series of clips at different frame rates. Fitting of this curve allows derivation of two relative parameters representing perfusion in the tissue: the regional blood volume (plateau value) and blood velocity (initial slope of the replenishment curve).

The local blood flow, $F$, is thus the product of microbubble velocity by regional blood volume: $F \propto A \times \beta$, where $A$ corresponds to the plateau signal intensity and $\beta$ is the initial slope of the replenishment curve. Although these data were derived from the myocardium, the authors stated that this method was applicable to any tissue accessible to ultrasound.

This technique was later extended to non-destructive imaging at low MI with the application of a few destructive frames at higher MI to completely destroy the microbubbles in the scan plane [31]. The reperfusion (or replenishment) of microbubbles in the scan plane at low MI is recorded as a single clip, and analyzed using the model of Wei and colleagues to derive perfusion parameters.

Another formal approach to the estimation of flow, developed by Arditi and colleagues [32] for the low MI imaging approach, allows improved perfusion estimates by considering an echo power signal and taking into account the ultrasound beam geometry. This approach was recently implemented in the form of a prototype software (Bracco Research SpA, Geneva, Switzerland) for off-line processing of refilling sequences. Using this software, video data are first linearized to compute an echo-power signal whose amplitude is proportional to the local contrast agent concentration. As described in the approach by Tiemann and colleagues [31], fitting of these signals after destruction allows perfusion quantification. Here, the perfusion parameters considered are: relative blood volume ($rBV$), mean transit time ($mTT$), and blood flow ($rBV/mTT$) (Figure 3). The software further allows the generation of parametric maps, showing the spatial distribution of perfusion parameters at the pixel level. This approach establishes a basis for extracting information on the perfusion of vascular beds in vivo, and allows relative quantification between selected areas of interest, provided that appropriate instrument calibration is implemented for the data linearization phase.

**Clinical applications**

Several authors have subsequently used these methods and a general good agreement has been reported in several organs and tissues.

For example, Rim and colleagues [33] were able to quantify cerebral blood flow in dogs at baseline and after cerebral blood flow alteration through hypo- or hypercapnia. A good correlation was found between $A \times \beta$...
derived parameters and cerebral blood flow as measured by an accepted reference method (radiolabeled microspheres).

Vogel and colleagues [34] were able to quantify myocardial perfusion in humans. In their study, a linear correlation was found between myocardial CEUS-derived parameters and PET perfusion data in healthy volunteers at rest (N = 15) and during adenosine-induced hyperemia (N = 5). These investigators also compared CEUS with intracoronary Doppler measurements before and during intracoronary adenosine injection in patients undergoing coronary angiograms and found good agreement with coronary flow velocity reserve.

As far as renal perfusion is concerned, Kishimoto and colleagues [35] found a congruent modification of microcirculation with an infusion of dopamine in nine healthy subjects. They subsequently used the same technique [36] to study the effect of valsartan on renal perfusion in seven healthy volunteers and found a significant increase in microbubble velocity after oral administration of valsartan that correlated well with the increase in total renal blood flow determined by para-amino-hippurate clearance (P < 0.05). In a recently published study, Kalantarinia and colleagues [37] tested the utility of CEUS to monitor the expected increase in renal blood flow after a high protein meal in healthy adults. They found a statistically significant increase (by 42.8%) in renal blood flow (A × β parameter) compared with baseline (P = 0.002).

We recently performed a study in ten healthy volunteers evaluating changes in the perfusion index (PI; a variable that is proportional to blood flow) seen during intravenous infusion of angiotensin II and after oral captopril. We found a statistically significant and dose-dependent decrease in PI during increasing doses of angiotensin II compared to baseline. The decreases in PI were already detectable when the renal plasma flow (as estimated by para-amino-hippurate clearance) decreased by 15% [38].

To further illustrate the feasibility of CEUS in the ICU, we present the example of a 66-year-old man recently studied in our center. This patient had a past medical history of non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus, hypertension and hypercholesterolemia. He was complaining of chest pain and an angiogram revealed a severe triple vessel disease. He was scheduled for an elective coronary artery bypass surgery. We performed a renal CEUS before and after the operation. The contrast agent injections were perfectly tolerated. The images could be acquired in less than 15 minutes, including the
post-operative study, which was performed within 1 hour of admission to the ICU. Figure 4 presents some still images illustrating the destruction-refilling sequences in that patient.

Interestingly, we noted a decrease in the perfusion indices from a baseline of 10,523 (before the surgery) to 7,786 (-26.0%; Table 2). Clinically, a transient period of oliguria was noted around 12 hours post-surgery and the plasma creatinine concentration increased from 79 to 155 μmol/l (RIFLE F). With adequate fluid resuscitation and furosemide administration, the urinary output finally normalized but the plasma creatinine concentration remained elevated on hospital discharge (121 μmol/l).

Experience in transplant medicine

In renal transplant medicine, a detailed evaluation of blood flow in the subcapsular capillaries is highly desirable since the latter are primarily involved in acute rejection. Fischer and colleagues [39] examined 32 patients 5 to 7 days after kidney transplantation and were able to show that a temporal difference in the contrast agent arrival slopes between two main territories allowed the differentiation of acute graft rejection from a normal clinical course (where the slopes were uniform).

In 26 transplant patients, Schwenger and colleagues [40] reported a highly significant correlation ($P = 0.0004$) between renal blood flow as estimated by CEUS and serum creatinine. In the same study, these investigators found that the determination of renal blood flow by CEUS reached a higher sensitivity (91 versus 82%), specificity (82% versus 64%) and accuracy (85 versus 73%) for the diagnosis of chronic allograft nephropathy than conventional Doppler ultrasound. These findings where confirmed by Benozzi and colleagues [41], who performed CEUS in 39 kidney recipients at 5, 25 and 30 days after grafting. These researchers were able to show that some CEUS-derived parameters allowed the distinction between acute tubular necrosis and acute rejection episodes (cortico-medullar ratios of the rBV and mTT were lower in the acute tubular necrosis group compared to the control group, while another parameter, the time to peak, was higher than control in acute rejection events).

Perspectives: CEUS in acute kidney injury

CEUS is able to determine and quantify changes in renal perfusion and these changes play a role in clinical outcome as illustrated by the important findings in transplant medicine. In the critically ill patient, alterations in renal perfusion are expected to be found in various situations, such as septic shock, low cardiac output states, hepato-renal syndrome, and hypovolemia. However, it is still unclear whether these alterations are significant and related to renal outcome, whether they assist in diagnosis and early intervention or whether they represent the consequence rather than the cause of tubular injury. Given such uncertainty and the need to make rapid and repeated measurements early in the course of a patient’s illness, CEUS could become an important tool to evaluate and quantify renal perfusion alterations in these different pathologic conditions. More importantly, CEUS might enable the study of severity, timing, and change over time of renal perfusion as well as the intra-renal distribution of perfusion abnormalities. In a second step, these observations might help draw a link between perfusion abnormalities as shown by CEUS-derived parameters and AKI, and establish therapeutic targets and surrogate markers of adequate renal resuscitation. Finally, CEUS could be used to evaluate the renal perfusion effect of several of the hemodynamic interventions applied to patient care within the ICU.

However, in order to develop and validate this CEUS approach (as done in transplant medicine), specifically designed studies need to be performed in the setting of
the ICU to investigate the various CEUS-derived parameters in the main syndromes known to be associated with AKI and to develop and validate CEUS-derived indices as it was done in transplant medicine. The characteristics of CEUS appear to make this approach uniquely possible.

**CEUS in the ICU**

CEUS is particularly well designed for use in the ICU. It combines the advantages of being fast, safe, non-invasive and repeatedly applicable at the bedside. Second generation ultrasound contrast agents are safe and well tolerated. This should allow repeated scans throughout the day to monitor the evolution of renal perfusion and response to treatment. The kidney is relatively easy to scan in the supine position and obtaining good quality images is relatively easy in most ICU patients. The technology allowing renal perfusion evaluation is still under improvement but our initial experience shows that CEUS can detect a 15% decrease in renal blood flow. This level of sensitivity is probably well above the value of changes expected to occur during the major hemodynamic events/syndrome known to be associated with AKI [4]. Thus, it seems unlikely that false negative examinations would occur with this technology.

**Conclusion**

CEUS is a safe, non-invasive and reliable technique. In many ways, it is ideally designed to monitor renal blood flow in ICU patients. Studies in renal transplant patients have shown its potential utility in clinical practice. Similar studies should now be performed in ICU patients to determine whether CEUS parameters predict or facilitate the early diagnosis of AKI and whether they can help assess the impact of therapeutic interventions in real time. CEUS would then logically help identify patients at risk of AKI at an earlier time and allow clinicians to adapt therapy to optimize renal perfusion and perhaps prevent AKI.
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