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Abstract: Slope stability strongly depends on the prevailing hydrological and hydrogeological conditions. The amount and intensity of precipitation and changing groundwater levels are important landslide triggering factors. Environmental tracers, including the chemical and stable isotope compositions of precipitation and groundwater, were used to gain insight into the groundwater dynamics of the Urbas landslide. The landslide is situated in a mountainous area with steep slopes and high precipitation amount and poses a high risk for the safety of the Koroška Bela settlement that lies downstream. The stable isotope analyses of oxygen-18 ($^{18}$O) and deuterium ($^{2}$H) in the precipitation and groundwater were used to estimate the groundwater mean residence time and the average altitude of the landslide recharge area. This information will help to plan and prioritize remedial landslide measures aiming to reduce the recharge of the landslide body and, thus, lower the risk of transformation of the sliding material into debris flow. The results of the chemical analysis of samples taken from springs and a piezometer show a Ca–HCO$_3$ water type. This indicates low water–rock interaction in a landslide area composed of Upper Carboniferous and Permian clastic rocks and points to upper laying carbonate rocks and scree deposits as the main recharge area. Water samples for stable isotope analyses of $\delta^{18}$O and $\delta^{2}$H were collected from a rain gauge, springs, and a piezometer over a two-year period (2018–2020). The estimated mean recharge altitude of the groundwater at sampling points was from approximately 1700 to 1800 m a.s.l. with a mean residence time of 2–5 months.
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1. Introduction

Rainfall is the main cause of slope failures in Slovenia [1,2]. Infiltrated water can contribute to the increase of pore pressures, higher saturation of the ground, and the rising of the groundwater table, all of which may cause slope failures [3]. In addition to the in situ infiltration, groundwater in the landslide can result from lateral flow or exfiltration from the bedrock [4,5]. Therefore, solid hydrogeological knowledge of a landslide assures a better understanding of its dynamics, better predictions of its behavior, and an optimized selection and better efficiency of remediation measures [6,7]. Interpretation of slope stability requires multiple sources of information, among other information on the aquifer’s structure, hydrodynamic parameters, and their spatial and temporal evolution related to slope deformation [6,8–10]. Recent studies on landslide hydrology and hydrogeology show extensive use of hydrogeochemical and water isotope methods for monitoring landslide development in space and time [11,12]. These methods can be used to determine the origin [13], the flow paths [11,14], the average recharge altitude [13], and residence time [15] of the groundwater within a landslide body. Using environmental tracers, such
as stable oxygen and hydrogen isotopes that are not particularly affected by water–rock reactions at normal temperatures [16,17], proved very useful in identifying the hydrological factors contributing to landslides in mountainous areas [14]. Similarly, the content of major ions in groundwater samples can provide us with information about the chemical characteristics of the aquifer (e.g., water type and mineral weathering), which are important for a proper characterization of the hydrogeological conditions in the landslide body and its recharge area [18–20]. However, the interpretation of aquifer systems based only on their chemical characteristics may oversimplify or even miss important processes [21,22]. Isotopic geochemistry can provide additional information that can clarify more complex hydrogeochemical processes and help to characterize groundwater systems [22].

The main objective of the study was to determine the origin of the groundwater in the landslide area and obtain information on groundwater flow patterns and dynamics, which will serve to support the planning of remedial landslide measures. Due to the steep topography and difficult access, remedial measures in the landslide area must be carefully planned. Knowledge of hydrological conditions serves as a basis for prioritization of remedial measures and implementation of a stepwise approach that can help to rationalize costs of remedial activities in the study area. To achieve this, the average recharge altitude and mean residence time (MRT) of the Urbas springs are estimated based on the local precipitation and the small nearby (5 km away) springs of Malnež and Španov vrh.

The Study Area

The Urbas landslide (Figure 1) is situated in the Potoška planina area in NW Slovenia, in the Karavanke mountain range on the southern slopes of the Vajnež and Potoški Stol peaks. Several site investigations and monitoring projects have been carried out in recent years that have helped improve our knowledge about the landslide [23–28]. The landslide body extends over an area of some 180 m² with steep slopes (generally from 30° to 70°) at altitudes of 1150–1300 m a.s.l. [23]. The sliding mass is composed of tectonically deformed and weathered Upper Carboniferous to Permian claystone, siltstone, sandstone, and conglomerate as well as various Permian and Triassic carbonate and clastic rocks covered with a large amount of talus material. This material originates from upper lying Triassic to Lower Jurassic carbonate rocks and the higher-lying Dachstein limestone [24,29]. Landslide source areas and their boundaries were determined based on engineering–geological mapping [27]. The landslide was characterized as a deep-seated rotational slide with the main sliding surface at approximately 15 m below the surface [27]. The depth of the main sliding surface was interpreted using inclinometer measurements. With Global Navigation Satellite System estimated annual horizontal surface displacements are close to 6 cm for the upper part of the landslide and more than 40 cm for its lower part [26].

The average annual precipitation height measured at the nearest meteorological station in Javorniški Rovt (longitude = 14.1012, latitude = 46.4572), located 2.8 km NW at an altitude of 939 m a.s.l. in the observation period 1981–2010 was 1950 mm with two peaks, with the main one in autumn and the second in spring [30]. On average, 110 days per year with snow cover were observed over the period 1961–2009 [31,32], with an average annual air temperature for the period 1981–2010 of 4–6°C [30]. At meteorological station Javorniški Rovt, the total snow height was 385 cm, 162 cm, and 91 cm in winters 2017/2018, 2018/2019, and 2019/2020, respectively. On average, the snow cover at the Zgornja Radovna meteorological station (longitude = 13.9427, latitude = 46.4282, elevation = 755 m a.s.l.), located 12 km SW last for 108 days a year [33]. The climate at the Kredarica meteorological station (longitude = 13.8489, latitude = 46.3787, elevation = 2513 m a.s.l.), located 21 km SW, is even more significantly affected by more than 260 days a year of snow cover [34].

The karst-fissured aquifers are developed in carbonate rocks that form the upper part of the landslide recharge area. The groundwater level within these aquifers is strongly related to precipitation amounts and is highly variable [23]. No springs are observed in this area, and it is assumed that the groundwater level is deep below the surface, especially during low hydrological conditions. Groundwater can also be found in sediments formed...
by slowly slipping material induced by landslides. This material is heterogeneous and generally of low hydraulic conductivity, and the groundwater table is observed a few meters below the surface.

Figure 1. Geological map of the Urbas study area with landslide boundary (modified after [27]), sampling points, and cross-section (Figure 2).

Figure 2. Cross-section (A–B cross-section is shown on Figure 1) of the Urbas landslide.
The springs of Malnež and Španov vrh (Figure 1), located 5 km from the Urbas landslide, have small recharge areas (approximately 0.02 km²) and discharge less than 1 L/s.

Earlier investigations [23] identified that the landslide of Urbas could be mobilized as a debris flow, which is the greatest threat for the downstream settlement of Koroška Bela. This hazard is also confirmed by the fact that the settlement is built on sediments deposited by several debris flow events that occurred in the past [29]. An important condition required for debris flow initiation is the availability of a sufficient volume of water to bring the material to a state of almost complete saturation. To reduce the risk of debris flow development, water inflow into the landslide body must be limited.

The higher-laying carbonate rocks represent the largest part of the potential (topographic) water catchment area of the landslide. However, the pathways of the groundwater flows recharging the landslide cannot be certainly determined, and the role this lateral inflow on landslide stability has not been investigated in previous studies.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Water Sampling and Field Measurements

Sampling was carried out in the period June 2018–August 2020 and was not performed during the winter months due to the thick snow cover in the mountains and the associated risks (e.g., snow avalanches). A total of 20 samples were taken on the Urbas rain gauge; 23 on the springs Ui-1, Ui-4, and Ui-7; 22 on spring Ui-6. During the first monitoring period, when the location with all pumping and sampling equipment was still accessible, in order to conduct a more thorough examination of the deeper components of groundwater flow in a landslide body, water samples were also collected in piezometer Pp-4-Pgl in 2018 (Figures 1 and 2). This piezometer, which is 15 m deep and perforated at the interval between 6 and 12 m, was drilled in 2017 (Figure 2).

The first water samples at springs Ui-1, Ui-4, Ui-6, and Ui-7 and piezometer Pp-4-Pgl were collected in June 2018. Analyses of major cations (i.e., Na⁺, K⁺, Ca²⁺, and Mg²⁺) and anions (i.e., Cl⁻, SO₄²⁻, NO₃⁻, and HCO₃⁻) were used to determine the hydrogeochemical water type. The water samples for this analysis were collected in a 1 L PE bottle, cooled, and transported to the laboratory the same day.

Precipitation and groundwater samples for δ¹⁸O and δ²H analyses was collected in 2018 (June–November), 2019 (July–December), and 2020 (May–August). Exceptionally, we collected samples three times in October 2019 and twice in June, July, and August 2020. The water samples for stable isotope analysis were collected in a 1 dL plastic bottle filled to the top and capped tightly [35] to prevent the evaporation and exchange of samples with atmospheric water vapor [36].

In situ measurements of temperature (T—°C), electrical conductivity (EC—µS/cm), pH value (pH), redox potential (Eh—mV), and dissolved oxygen (DO—mg/L) or oxygen content (O₂—%) were conducted at each sampling using portable multimeters by manufacturer WTW pH/Cond 340i, SenTix ORP 900 and FDO 925 with an accuracy of ±0.01 (pH), ±0.5% (EC), ±0.1 °C (T), ±0.01 mg/L (O₂), and ±0.1% (O₂) [37–39].

2.2. Chemical and Isotopic Analysis

2.2.1. Analysis of Major Cations and Anions

The analysis was performed by the Water Supply Company Vodovod-Kanalizacija d.o.o., Ljubljana accredited laboratory. Major cations and anions were analyzed using an ion chromatograph Metrohm MIC-3, Switzerland measurement (coverage factor K = 2, reliability 95%).

2.2.2. Oxygen-18 and Deuterium analyses

The stable isotope analyses of δ¹⁸O and δ²H were performed using a laser isotopic analyzer Picarro L2130-I, by Picarro, Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA based on wavelength-scanned cavity ring-down spectroscopy (WS-CRDS) with a precision of (1 δ) 0.03/0.1%.
for $\delta^{18}$O/$\delta^{2}$H \[40,41\]. Performance of the isotope analysis was evaluated by IAEA Water Stable Isotope Intercomparation \[42\]. The individual water sample was injected using the Autosampler control software (A0325) into a vaporizer and measured five times. Due to the memory effect, the first three injections of each vial should be ignored. The memory effect was 99/98% for $\delta^{18}$O/$\delta^{2}$H after the 4th injection \[41\]. The result for each sample is represented as the average value of the fourth and fifth $\delta^{18}$O and $\delta^{2}$H measurements and corrected. The correction equation was determined based on the measurement of the primary standards USGS 46, 47, and 48 with known values \[43–45\] together with in-house standards calibrated periodically against primary USGS standards. Thus, the defined secondary standards were used to normalize the data and to monitor the drift between sample measurements. The Picarro L2130-I drift over 24 h was an almost imperceptible change in $\delta^{18}$O, while for $\delta^{2}$H there was a weak drift of ~0.2‰. Typical drift over 24 h without recalibration is 0.08‰ and 0.3‰ for $\delta^{18}$O and $\delta^{2}$H, respectively \[41\]. Stable isotopic composition of hydrogen and oxygen are normally reported as delta ($\delta$) values in units of parts per thousand (‰) relative to a standard VSMOW:

$$\delta YZ (\text{‰}) = \left(\frac{R_X}{R_S} - 1\right) \cdot 1000$$  \(1\)

where $YZ$ is $^{18}$O or $^{2}$H, $R$ denotes the ratio of the heavy (less abundant) to light (more abundant) isotope (e.g., $^{2}$H/$^{1}$H, $^{18}$O/$^{16}$O), and $R_X$ and $R_S$ are the ratios in the sample (x) and standard (s) \[46\].

2.3. Statistical Data Analysis

Extreme values or outliers differ significantly from other measurements and may indicate measurement errors or measurement uncertainties. We used the Kolmogorov–Smirnov (KS) test \[47\] to test for normality of data distribution and identified outliers as data that deviated from the mean by more than three standard deviations (3σ) \[48\]. For non-normally distributed data (skewed distribution), we used a non-parametric method interquartile range rule (IQR) and identified outliers as data that were below $Q_1 - 1.5 \times \text{IQR}$ or above $Q_3 + 1.5 \times \text{IQR}$ \[49\].

2.4. Determination of Water Type

First, we determined the ion charge balance error (ICBE) \[50\] to check the accuracy of the chemical analysis results. The ideal value of ICBE is zero. Due to the fact of sampling and instrumental errors, such accuracy can be rarely achieved. Generally, a balance error in the range of ±10% is assumed as acceptable \[51,52\].

The water type or hydro-chemical facies show the effect of hydro-chemical processes in the subsurface \[53\] occurring between the minerals of lithologic formation and groundwater \[54\]. The calculations of water type were performed using the program AquaChem 2014.2 \[55\]. The increase in total dissolved solids concentration depends on the water–rock interaction and is controlled by the network of flow paths, the residence time of the water, and the mixing with older water \[22,51\].

2.5. Estimation of the Local Meteoric Water Line (LMWL)

The relationship between $\delta^{2}$H and $\delta^{18}$O values in natural meteoric waters from many parts of the world has been determined; thus, isotopic composition relative to ocean water displays a linear correlation over the entire range for waters that have not undergone excessive evaporation \[56\]. When the isotopic composition of precipitation samples from all over the world are plotted relative to each other on $\delta^{18}$O and $\delta^{2}$H plots, the data form a linear band that can be described by the global meteoric water line (GMWL) \[36,56\]:

$$(\text{GMWL}) \delta^{2}$H = 8 · $\delta^{18}$O + 10$$  \(2\)

The distribution of water isotopic composition on a local scale is mostly controlled by the altitude effect and precipitation amount effect \[57–59\]. In order to assess groundwater recharge, the generated local meteoric water line (LMWL), based on the stable isotope composition of the local precipitation, is necessary \[57\].
According to the linear regression of $\delta^{18}$O and $\delta^2$H in local precipitation in Urbas, an estimated local meteoric water line can be given:

$$\delta^2H = m \cdot \delta^{18}O + b$$

(3)

where $m$ is the slope of the regression and $b$ the intercept.

A regression model is a good fit to the data when the correlation coefficient is large (approaching 1), as the standard error is relatively small considering the magnitude of the data, and the $p$-value is small, approaching less than 0.0001 [60].

2.6. Average Recharge Altitude Calculation

With increasing altitude, especially in mountainous regions, precipitation becomes depleted in heavy isotopes $\delta^{18}$O and $\delta^2$H [61]. The variation of stable isotope values of small springs as a function of altitude can be used to estimate the average recharge altitude for large springs [62]. For the estimations, it was hypothesized that the isotopic signature of small springs was due to a small recharge area corresponding to the isotopic signature of precipitation at the corresponding recharge altitude. Under this assumption, a simple linear model can be used to calculate local average recharge altitude ($Y$) [63]:

$$(Y) = m \cdot \delta^{18}O_{\text{mean}} + b$$

(4)

where $m$ is the slope, and $b$ is the intercept of the line. In a given study area, if water samples with known recharge altitude are available, the derived linear relationship (Equation (4)) can then be used to estimate the average recharge altitude of groundwater in a well or spring. Here, the water samples with estimated average recharge altitudes are considered to be the small springs (i.e., CV-2, CVi-4, Mi-14, Mi-28, Mi-32, and Mi-33; 0.1–1 L/s discharge) in the Španov vrh and Malnež areas. For each of these small springs, which were sampled on 5 August and 26 August 2020, the recharge area was determined based on topography, and the average altitude was calculated based on a digital elevation model. The groundwater residence times in the springs in Španov vrh and Malnež were much shorter compared to the residence times in the springs in the Urbas landslide. Therefore, we applied average $\delta^{18}$O values of samples collected in the Urbas landslide springs in the period from May to August 2020 to estimate the altitude effect on the Urbas landslide, which was the approximated groundwater residence time in these springs.

In this study, only calculations with $\delta^{18}$O were used, since calculations with $\delta^2$H values deviated excessively from the $\delta^{18}$O altitude estimations; thus, they have already been shown to be inadequate in recent studies [64,65].

2.7. Seasonal Trends in $\delta^{18}$O Values

The annual variability of the isotope signal can be characterized by fitting a sinusoidal curve to the data [15]. Seasonal trends in the $\delta^{18}$O values were modeled using Origin Pro [66] through periodic regression analysis to fit seasonal sine wave curves to variations in the annual $\delta^{18}$O values of the precipitation and groundwater [48,49].

2.8. Estimation of Groundwater Residence Time

Isotopic analyses of $\delta^{18}$O and $\delta^2$H also enabled a rough estimation of groundwater MRT. Damping the amplitude of the isotopic curve represents a method for calculating MRTs, which is most often used for groundwater [15]. The following equation was used in the study area:

$$\text{MRT} = \frac{\pi}{2} \left(1 - C\right)^{1/2}/C$$

(5)

where $C$ is the amplitude damping given as $C = B/A$, $A$ is the amplitude of $\delta^{18}$O precipitation values, and $B$ is that of $\delta^{18}$O values in the groundwater [15,67].

At the Urbas landslide, the isotopic composition of precipitation was determined by samples from the Urbas rain gauge and of groundwater by samples from springs Ui-1, Ui-4, Ui-6, and Ui-7. Because no water samples were collected at the rain gauge during winter, the $\delta^{18}$O amplitude for precipitation at Urbas does not include samples with a predominant
snow component. We calculated the amount-weighted monthly mean values of $\delta^{18}$O and $\delta^{2}$H in precipitation at the Urbas landslide [46,68] for the samples collected more than once per month (three times in October 2019 and twice in June, July, and August 2020). Monthly $\delta^{18}$O precipitation data from the Zgornja Radovna meteorological station for the same observation period (2018–2020) were also used [69–71] to compare and evaluate the adequacy of the amplitude of $\delta^{18}$O precipitation values in the Urbas landslide.

### 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1. Hydro-Chemical Facies of Water in the Recharge Area

The ion charge balance error (ICBE) of the water samples collected on 21 June 2008 (Table 1) was in the range of ±1% with a mean value of 0.82%, which was acceptably accurate. Concentrations of major ions in groundwater indicate low mineralized waters.

#### Table 1. Physico-chemical field parameters and major ions in groundwater measured on 21 June 2018.

| Sampling Point | Object type | T ($^{\circ}$C) | EC ($\mu$S/cm) | pH (°/) | DO (mg/L) | $O_2$ (%) | Eh (mV) | Na$^+$ (mg/L) | K$^+$ (mg/L) | Ca$^{2+}$ (mg/L) | Mg$^{2+}$ (mg/L) | HCO$_3$ (mg/L) | SO$_4^{2-}$ (mg/L) | Cl$^-$ (mg/L) | NO$_3$ (mg/L) | ICBE (%) |
|----------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|---------|----------|----------|---------|--------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|--------|
| Ui-1 (1275.4 m a.s.l.) | spring | 3.9 | 175 | 8.3 | 11.9 | 105.3 | 347 | 1.0 | <0.25 * | 32 | 4.5 | 123 | <1.50 * | <2.2 * | 1.14 |
| Pp-4-Pgl (1229.7 m a.s.l.) | piezometer | 6.4 | 322 | 8.1 | 10.0 | 94 | 365 | 1.4 | 0.32 | 38 | 5.6 | 143 | 3.30 | <0.75 * | <2.2 * | 0.31 |
| Ui-4 (1237.45 m a.s.l.) | spring | 5.2 | 217 | 7.9 | 11.0 | 100 | 469 | 1.4 | 0.31 | 40 | 5.5 | 150 | 3.45 | <0.75 * | <2.2 * | 0.82 |
| Ui-6 (1218.5 m a.s.l.) | spring | 5.1 | 211 | 7.9 | 11.3 | 102.4 | 440 | 1.1 | <0.25 * | 39 | 5.5 | 143 | 1.89 | <0.75 * | <2.2 * | 0.78 |
| Ui-7 (1195.8 m a.s.l.) | spring | 6.6 | 235 | 8.0 | 10.7 | 100.4 | 442 | 1.5 | 0.35 | 44 | 5.7 | 157 | 3.84 | <0.75 * | <2.2 * | 1.05 |

*LOQ.

Electrical conductivity was between 175 (Ui-1) and 322 $\mu$S/cm (Pp-4-Pgl). Water temperature ranged from 3.9 to 6.9 $^{\circ}$C and pH values from 7.9 to 8.3. Low mineralized water and high oxygen content (10.0–11.7 mg/L) suggest a shallow groundwater circulation path and short residence times.

The hydro-chemical facies of groundwater was Ca–HCO$_3$. The evolution of rainwater to a Ca–HCO$_3$ water type upon interaction with sediments and bedrock typically occurs relatively rapidly [22]. Ca$^{2+}$ and HCO$_3^-$ ions dominated, indicating weathering calcite [18,51]. Low K$^+$, Na$^+$, Cl$^-$, NO$_3^-$, and SO$_4^{2-}$ ion content also indicates pure carbonate recharge conditions. The most significant differences among samples were observed in SO$_4^{2-}$, where slightly higher concentrations were analyzed in Pp-4-Pgl (3.30 mg/L), Ui-4 (3.45 mg/L), and Ui-7 (3.84 mg/L) than in Ui-1 (<1.50 mg/L) and Ui-6 (1.89 mg/L). However, the SO$_4^{2-}$ concentrations were still within the range of geogenic origin and could also be an indicator of shallow groundwater circulation.

Only Pp-4-Pgl from all of the water samples showed some discrepancy, especially considering values of electrical conductivity. This suggests a higher mineralization of groundwater, which is very likely due to the deeper groundwater flow path in the piezometer.

#### 3.2. Data Statistical Analysis

Basic statistical analysis (Table 2), including the Kolmogorov–Smirnov and the Shapiro–Wilk test; mean, minimum, and maximum values; were calculated to describe the variations...
in the data [46]. Statistical analyses for all stable isotope data showed that no outliers were found [72,73].

Table 2. Basic statistics of the isotopic composition of springs.

| Number of Samples | Mean   | Median | Minimum | Maximum | SD   |
|-------------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|------|
| δ18O (%)         | 24     | −10.64 | −10.39 | −11.90 | −9.61| 0.69 |
| δ2H (%)          | 24     | −72.9  | −73.0  | −80.9  | −64.0| 4.82 |

The precipitation sampling regime and the calculated amount-weighted monthly mean values of δ18O and δ2H in precipitation are represented in the Supplementary Materials (Table S1).

3.3. Stable Isotope Composition of Local Precipitation

The stable isotope composition of δ18O and δ2H in precipitation were included in the determination of the local meteoric water line (LMWL) using linear regression analyses.

The LMWL in the Urbas landslide recharge area was estimated with the equation (LMWL) δ2H = 7.91 · δ18O + 8.78 (R2 = 0.88) (Figure 3).

Figure 3. Estimated local meteoric water line.

3.4. Stable Isotope Composition of the Groundwater Samples

The LMWL for Kredarica (δ2H = 8.4 · δ18O + 19) and Zgornja Radovna (δ2H = 8 · δ18O + 11) [69] and GMWL [56] proved to be a meaningful comparison of the precipitation and groundwater isotopic composition also at the local level (Figure 4).
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Figure 4. Comparison of the LMWL of Urbas with the LMWL of Kredarica and Zgornja Radovna.

In 2018, snow cover at Javorniški Rovt was present till 7 April in 2019 until 7 May and 2020 till 3 April [30]. The investigated area was influenced by air masses of different origins that caused noticeable spatial and seasonal variability in the meteorological parameters [74]. As in the nearby Radovna Valley [64,65], a complex mixing of maritime and continental air masses were recorded.

3.5. Average Recharge Altitude of Springs

Table 3 shows the mean values of \( \delta^{18}\text{O} \) and \( \delta^2\text{H} \) and the estimated average recharge altitude (\( Y \)) of springs in Španov vrh and Malnež area, calculated based on the digital elevation model. The altitude and isotopic composition from these small springs were then plotted to compute the linear relationship (Equation (4)), as was then used to estimate the average recharge altitude (Figure 5) of the springs in the Urbas landslide area.

| Sampling Point | Number of Samples | Location | Altitude (m a.s.l.) | Estimated \( Y \) (m a.s.l.) | \( \delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{mean}} \) (%) | \( \delta^2\text{H}_{\text{mean}} \) (%) |
|----------------|-------------------|----------|--------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| ČV-2           | 2                 | Španov vrh | 1280.0             | 1311.9                      | −9.17          | −59.4          |
| ČVi-4          | 2                 | Španov vrh | 1307.0             | 1328.5                      | −9.17          | −59.8          |
| Mi-14          | 2                 | Malnež    | 717.1              | 865.1                       | −8.76          | −57.8          |
| Mi-28          | 2                 | Malnež    | 862.7              | 918.5                       | −8.77          | −58.2          |
| Mi-32          | 2                 | Malnež    | 873.0              | 905.0                       | −8.44          | −55.7          |
| Mi-33          | 2                 | Malnež    | 867.5              | 899.7                       | −8.34          | −54.9          |

The estimated altitude effect in the Urbas landslide area was \(-0.18\% \delta^{18}\text{O}/100 \text{ m}\) calculated based on data from six small springs. It was comparable to the altitude effect defined for the nearby Radovna Valley [64,65] and Bled area [75] (\(-0.11\% \delta^{18}\text{O}/100 \text{ m}\)), for the Slovenian Alps and Coastal region (\(-0.25\% \delta^{18}\text{O}/100 \text{ m}\)), and the altitude effect on precipitation across the Adriatic–Pannonian region (\(-0.12\% \delta^{18}\text{O}/100 \text{ m}\)) [76].

We checked the adequacy of using measured \( \delta^{18}\text{O} \) values from the small springs for estimating the altitude effect by comparing \( \delta^{18}\text{O} \) values from springs in Španov vrh and Malnež with the other small spring M-1 (629 m a.s.l.) near Bled, approximately 7.5 km SW of Urbas, where the sampling period also included samples from August 2020 [77]. Seven water samples in the M-1 spring were collected between 2019 and 2021 accounting for different water conditions and analyzed with the same method—laser isotopic analyzer Picarro L2130-i. The \( \delta^{18}\text{O} \) values measured in August in spring M-1 was approximately...
at the 67th percentile and was only 0.09‰ higher than its mean value [77]. Due to the small recharge area in these small springs in Španov vrh and Malnež, the variation in δ18O was likely to be small, as also suggested by the analysis of the spring M-1. Based on their estimated average recharge altitudes, the springs in the Urbas area can be classified into two groups: the Ui-6 and Ui-7 have an estimated average altitude of recharge (Y) below 1700 m a.s.l. and the Ui-1 and Ui-4 above 1700 m a.s.l. (Table 4).

![Figure 5. Average recharge altitude estimation.](image)

**Table 4.** Estimated average recharge altitude (Y) of springs in the Urbas landslide.

| Spring | Number of Samples | Altitude (m a.s.l.) | δ18Omean (‰) | (Y) (m a.s.l.) |
|--------|------------------|---------------------|---------------|--------------|
| Ui-1   | 6                | 1275.40             | −10.15        | 1778.5       |
| Ui-4   | 6                | 1237.45             | −10.15        | 1776.1       |
| Ui-6   | 6                | 1218.50             | −9.96         | 1674.8       |
| Ui-7   | 6                | 1195.80             | −9.92         | 1654.9       |

### 3.6. Estimated Mean Residence Time of Springs

Variations in the δ18O values in the precipitation and groundwater were modeled in Origin Pro [66] using the automatic fitting of seasonal sine wave curves (Figure 6). The best sinusoidal fit between the observed and modeled values provided an amplitude (Table 5) for groundwater MRT estimation according to Equation (5).

**Table 5.** Estimated MRT of water in springs in the Urbas landslide.

| Sampling Point | Object Type | Amplitude | R² | MRT (Years) Based on Urbas | MRT (Years) Based on Zgornja Radovna |
|----------------|-------------|-----------|----|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Urbas Rain gauge | Rain gauge | 2.66      | 0.21 | -                         | P                                   |
| Zgornja Radovna Spring | Spring | 0.88      | 0.35 | 0.23                      | 0.32                                |
| Ui-1 Spring | 1.00 | 0.38 | 0.19 | 0.19                      | 0.27                                |
| Ui-6 Spring | 0.55 | 0.09 | 0.42 | 0.42                      | 0.56                                |
| Ui-7 Spring | 0.74 | 0.24 | 0.29 | 0.29                      | 0.40                                |

The shortest MRTs of the springs in the Urbas landslide area were estimated at Ui-4 (71 days) and Ui-1 (86 days). These springs were situated at the lower boundary of the quaternary course-grained carbonate gravel deposits (Figures 1 and 7).
Figure 6. Seasonal sine wave fit between observed and modeled $\delta^{18}$O values in precipitation: rain gauge Urbas—weighted (a); rain gauge Zgornja Radovna (b); water in springs Ui-1 (c), Ui-4 (d), Ui-6 (e), and Ui-7 (f).
These coarse-grained deposits are highly permeable, and groundwater flow occurs at the contact of these deposits with underlying low permeable clastic deposits. Due to the steep slopes of the lithological boundary, groundwater flow recharging the springs is relatively fast and prevails over slow groundwater flow in deeper parts of the recharge area. The estimated MRTs of groundwater in springs Ui-6 (154 days) and Ui-7 (107 days) are longer compared to the aforementioned springs Ui-4 and Ui-1. This could be attributed to their downslope position and partly, especially in the case of spring Ui-6, to the higher share of slow groundwater flow originating from low permeable clastic deposits that prevail in the lower part of the landslide body.

4. Conclusions

In this study, major ions and stable isotopes $\delta^{18}$O and $\delta^2$H were used to characterize the groundwater flow regime in the Urbas landslide area. An attempt was made to evaluate the main flow components and their residence times. The results demonstrated that the stable isotope method can be used as an effective tool in evaluating mountain landslides such as Urbas, where the high topographic gradient in the recharge area produces a significant altitude effect on the isotopic compositions of water. As a result, they can also be applied to help better understand hydrological processes in steep, difficult-to-access terrain where the performance of hydrogeological field investigation methods is limited. In such terrain, which is typical of landslide-prone areas, regular sampling can rarely be carried out and uncertainties in results are difficult to avoid. However, the combination of the presented methods provided complementary results that formed a solid basis for the interpretation of hydrogeological conditions in the catchment area of the studied landslide. This demonstrates the potential of the presented approach for the study of landslide-prone areas in similar geological and topographic environments.

The spatial distribution of precipitation in this region is highly dependent on the elevation and exposure of the slopes and surrounding mountain ridges of the Julian Alps and Karavanks. The estimated average recharge altitude of springs originating at the landslide ranges from approximately 1700 to 1800 m a.s.l., with mean residence times ranging from 2 to 5 months. The estimated average recharge altitudes indicate the different origin of groundwaters in springs Ui-1 and Ui-4, compared to springs Ui-6 and Ui-7. In the first case, the average recharge area is located at a higher altitude. The same classification of springs can be implied based on mean residence time. The mean residence time of water in springs Ui-1 and Ui-4, is shorter. Very fast groundwater flow is the result of steep slopes and highly conductive channels and fissures in the uppermost carbonate rocks and the very high permeability of coarse-grained carbonate. Thus, the groundwater occurring in these springs is mainly the result of lateral inflow from Mesozoic and Quaternary carbonate rocks in the upper part of the recharge area. In springs Ui-6 and Ui-7, portions of locally
infiltrated rainfall are higher. Due to the low permeability of Paleozoic clastic deposits, the groundwater flow in the lower part of the landslide is slow and mean residence time is longer.

The results of this study can expand the pool of results of recent stable isotopes application studies cited in this article, thus supporting knowledge of the dynamics of stable isotopes $\delta^{18}O$ and $\delta^2H$ in groundwater and precipitation in the region. For the purposes of planning and optimizing prevention measures, prioritizing the capture of water from springs Ui-1 and Ui-4 is suggested. This measure could significantly reduce the recharge of the landslide body and contribute to the decrease in pore pressures, groundwater table, and saturation of landslide-prone material, all of which can lead to the increased stability of the studied landslide and significantly reduce the risk of transformation of the landslide material into debris flow.
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