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ABSTRACT

Coronaviruses M proteins are well-represented in the major protein component of the viral envelope. During the viral assembly, they play an important role by association with all other viral structural proteins. Despite their crucial functions, very little information regarding the structures and functions of M proteins is available. Here we utilize bioinformatic tools from available sequences and 3D structures of SARS-CoV, SARS-CoV2, and MERS-CoV M proteins in order to predict potential B-cell epitopes and assessing antibody binding affinity. Such study aims to aid finding more effective vaccines and recognize neutralizing antibodies. we found some rather exciting differences between SARS-COV-2, SARS-Cov and MERS-CoV M proteins. Two SARS-CoV-2 peptides with significant antigen presentation scores for human cell surface proteins have been identified. The results reveal that N-terminal domains of M proteins of SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV2 are translocated (outside) whereas it is inside (cytoplasmic side) in MERS-CoV.

© 2021 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of King Saud University. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Coronaviruses (CoVs) family are mostly responsible for enzootic infections. In the last two decades, CoVs have noticeably arisen in human populations, each species within this family has its unique characteristic features but also shared some similarities. However, after the emergence of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) in 2002, this family has been widely known. They are a group of viruses that cause diseases in mammals and birds (Perlman and Netland, 2009). Unlike other species within this family such as SARS-CoV and Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV), SARS-CoV-2 (or 2019-nCoV) has highly spread in infected population (Huang et al., 2020). With the numbers infected rising well above a 56 million and confirmed deaths above 1.3 million as of 19th November 2020, it has noticeably become the paramount healthcare for the global community at present. The high mortality rate of some CoVs, along with their ease of transmission accelerates the demand for more investigation into CoV molecular biology which will help in the development of effective anti-coronaviral drugs. Improvement of effective therapeutic and prevent strategies are clearly limited by the lack of detailed structural information on viral proteins. Thought, such proteins are considered as a good model for this class of proteins (Armstrong et al., 1984).

The shape of the viral envelope is mainly determined by its membrane (M) protein, which is the most abundant structural protein in the CoVs family (Neuman et al., 2011). Analysis of several types of CoVs showed that the viral size presumably depends on the interaction of M protein with spike (S), nucleocapsid (N) proteins and viral genomic RNA (Neuman et al., 2011). It is also considered as the central organiser of CoVs assembly, due to its interaction with all other structural proteins (Masters, 2006). For example, interaction of M protein with S protein is required for retention of S protein in the ER-Golgi intermediate compartment and its integration into new virions (Opstelten et al., 1995). In addition, M protein plays important role in structure-stabilizing of N protein as it is located in the internal core of virions (Mortola and Roy, 2004; Glowacka et al., 2011; Narayanan et al., 2000). It has been demonstrated that M proteins of some CoVs have much higher immunogenicity for T-cell responses than the nonstructural viral proteins (Li et al., 2008). In addition, it plays a critical role in virus-specific B-cell response due to its ability to...
produce efficient neutralizing antibodies in SARS patients (Pang et al., 2004).

Vaccine advancement is considered as one of the most significant issues to prevent most infectious diseases mainly when treatment is not available yet. The infection rate of CoVs can be limited by developing a potential vaccine. Bioinformatics tools for prediction B-cell epitope candidates are currently being utilized in several applications including vaccine design, development of diagnostics and monitoring of unwanted immune responses against protein therapeutics (Larsen et al., 2010; Lund et al., 2011; Robson, 2020). Antibodies that are produced by B-cells are significant in predicting effective vaccines (Olsson et al., 2007).

Even though the ability of the human immune system to mount its antibodies against pathogens, only neutralizing antibodies can completely block the entry of pathogens into the human body (Suarez and Schultz-Cherry, 2000). The body’s high ability to produce neutralizing antibodies mainly depends on finding unique epitopic sites on viral surface proteins that those antibodies can bind to.

In this study, we performed bioinformatic, and homology structural modeling analyses of three species of *betacoronaviruses*: SARS-CoV, SARS-CoV2, and MERS-CoV. We analysed the homology of M protein sequences of those three species and identified all of the amino acid changes in their M protein sequences. We also used IEDB to predict likely epitopes on the M proteins of those species that are likely to be recognized in humans.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. M protein sequences

In this study, M protein sequences of the three species of CoVs were retrieved from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI): namely SARS-CoV2 (protein ID: YP_009724393), SARS-CoV (protein ID: NP_828855), and MERS-CoV (protein ID: YP_009047210). These protein sequences were then subjected for comparison using different bioinformatics prediction tools.

2.2. Phylogenetic tree

We used M protein sequences of the three species of CoV as a query to search the NCBI Protein Database to identifying M proteins across diverse *Coronaviruses* species. Consequently, the full length amino acid sequences of those three species were selected for multiple alignment by using CLUSTALX 2.1 program (Thompson et al., 1997). A bootstrap re-sampling technique was used to ensure the robustness of the generated topological tree. Neighbor Joining (NJ) phylogenetic analysis was conducted in Geneious Prime software (Kearse et al., 2012).

2.3. Structure modeling

The secondary structure of M proteins of the three CoVs were generated using computer-based structure PSIPRED server (Mcguffin et al., 2000). Consequently, a three-dimensional (3D) structures of those proteins were predicted after submitting to Phyre2 server (Kelley et al., 2015).

2.4. B-cell epitope prediction

Immune-Epitope Data-base and Analysis Resource (IEDB) (Vita et al., 2015) have been utilized to list available data that are highly related to coronaviruses. BepiPred method in IEDB was used in order to predict linear B-cell epitopes (Jespersen et al., 2017) from the conserved regions with a default threshold value 0.35(81% Specificity and 29% Sensitivity). The method combines the predictions of a hidden Markov model and the tendency scale approach (Larsen et al., 2006). The complete M protein sequences of the three species were analyzed with BepiPred method to predict the potential B-cell epitopes.

3. Results

3.1. Hydrophobicity and hydrophilicity of M protein

The hydrophathy profile shows that M protein obviously consists of three domains-the amino (N)-terminal domain, the transmembrane domain (TMD), and the carboxy (C)-terminal domain. The hydrophobicity analysis of M proteins of SARS-CoV-2, SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV reveals that M protein has short hydrophilic region at N-terminal domain consisting of 10 amino acids, followed by a large hydrophobic region of approximately 90 amino acids at TMD, and ends with long hydrophilic carboxyl terminus consisting of 100 amino acids (Fig. 1a). In addition, we predicted the TMD in M protein using Hidden Markov Model (HMM) (Sonhammer et al., 1998) the model provides the most probable location and orientation of TMD in the M protein of MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 (Fig. 1b-d). The results reveal that M protein has three TMDs. Those regions constitute about 50% of CoV M protein. Which may emphasize the interactions of CoV M protein with other major structural proteins of CoVs family via those regions of the Cov M protein. Interestingly, the results also reveal that N-terminal domains of M proteins of SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV2 are translocated (outside) whereas it is inside (cytoplasmic side) in MERS-CoV.

3.2. Comparison of amino acid identity of the M protein

Comparison of SARS-CoV2 M protein sequence to sequences for SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV revealed a high degree of similarity between SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV, but a more limited similarity with MERS-CoV (Fig. 2). However, the multiple sequence alignment of SARS-CoV-2, SARS-CoV, and MERS-CoV M proteins reveals that this protein contains completely five conserved proline residues (green boxes). Unlike SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV M proteins, the inserted S4 residue can be observed in SARS-CoV-2 M protein, which may reflect a unique feature of SARS-CoV-2 M protein. Another different feature that distinguishes MERS-CoV M protein from SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 M proteins is that Methionine (M4) residue in MERS-CoV M protein corresponding to Asparagine (N4 and N5) residues in both SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV M proteins, respectively. However, the blocking mutation study in this residue of SARS-CoV M protein has not shown any major impacts on virus assembly (Voß et al., 2009). The three M proteins are identical at position 158 with Cysteine (C) residue (blue box) (Tseng et al., 2013), it has been reported that replacing this residue with S residue in SARS-CoV M protein led to a significant reduction in M protein secretion, but not for other C residues within this protein. The multiple sequence alignment analysis also shows the highly conserved dileucine (LL) motif at the C-terminal domains of all the three proteins (orange box). In addition, the highly conserved Phenylalanine (F95) and S110 residues play important role in virus assembly (Tseng et al., 2010).

3.3. Sequence-based phylogenetic analysis of M protein

Taxonomically, SARS-CoV, SARS-CoV2 and MERS-CoV are all demonstrated to be *Betacoronaviruses* closely related to each others (Fig. 3). The analysis confirmed that all SARS-CoV2 M protein sequences clustered very closely with SARS-CoV M protein...
sequences, with the closest matching sequence corresponding to a bat coronavirus (bat-CoV) species called Bat-CoV-RaTG13. It is also estimated that the rate of molecular evolution of SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV2 M proteins are $1.9 \times 10^{-3}$ and $4.5 \times 10^{-4}$ substitutions per site, respectively. Furthermore, the result demonstrated that MERS-CoV M protein forms its own clade, with the closest match-
ing sequence corresponding to a Human betacoronavirus (human-CoV) species called 2c Jordan-N3 and the rate of molecular evolution of \(5.11 \times 10^{-4}\) substitutions per site. Phylogenetically, M protein sequences from HKU4 and BtCoV form a sub-clade that groups with MERS-CoV M protein sequence.

### 3.4. Secondary structure of M protein

For assessing the annotation of biological function of M protein, computer-based structure PSIPRED (Mcguffin et al., 2000) server has been used to predict the secondary structures of M proteins of SARS-CoV, SARS-CoV2 and MERS-CoV. The M proteins of SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV2 have been predicted to contain three closely spaced hydrophobic transmembrane helix signatures, followed by a comparatively large C-terminal endodomain (Fig. 4a-b). In contrast, the M protein of MERS-CoV assumes a topology in which part of the C-terminal endodomain forms two more transmembrane segments (Fig. 4c), thereby locating the C-terminal endodomain on the exterior of the virion (Risco et al., 1995). Several β-strands alternated by short coil loops are predicted at 120–200 amino acid positions. Furthermore, M proteins of SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV2 appear to have similar spatial topology compared to the one in MERS-CoV. For example, the results demonstrate the existence of three predicted α-helix domains in the M protein of SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV2, and indicate that the orientation of the TM region has its N-terminus in the extracellular side of the virion and its C-terminus in the cytoplasmic side (Fig. 4d-e). In contrast, the result reveals that M protein of MARS-CoV has four predicted α-helix domains. Interestingly, both N-terminal and C-terminal of MERS-CoV M protein are oriented in the extracellular side of the virion (Fig. 4f). Nevertheless, the determination of such orientation is more difficult but important to the subsequent function analysis as the interactions of M protein are mainly monitored by its transmembrane and endodomain segments (De Haan et al., 2000).

### 3.5. Production of disorder probabilities of the M protein

Firstly, we looked for longer regions of consecutively predicted disordered amino acids sequence because such regions are more likely to be truly intrinsic disordered regions in M protein. Meta-predictor has predicted two intrinsic disordered regions in SARS-CoV2 M protein: from position 1 to 7 and from position 205 to 222 with the average strength score of 0.82 and 0.65, respectively (Fig. 5a). Whereas, it revealed three intrinsic disordered regions in SARS-CoV M protein: from position 1 to 6 and from position 207 to 210 and position 216 to 221 with the average strength score of 0.82, 0.53 and 0.61, respectively (Fig. 5b). In addition, the predictor revealed that MERS-CoV M protein has two intrinsic disordered regions: from position 1 to 6 and position 216 to 219 with the average strength score of 0.85 and 0.61, respectively (Fig. 5c). The results show that the M-protein of SARS-CoV is characterized by the highest level of intrinsic disordered regions. We could clearly observe that each virus under study is characterized by a unique intrinsic disordered profile. This could be the reason of their differences in transmission modes. It has been shown that M-proteins of human coronaviruses manage to be more disordered than those of the animal coronaviruses (Goh et al., 2012). These differences can be due to the need of such viruses to adapt to the modifications in the environmental changes associated with the process of transmission between the viral hosts.

### 3.6. Potential B-cell epitopes of M protein

M proteins of SARS-CoV, SARS-CoV2 and MERS-CoV were subjected to BepiPred linear epitope prediction (Fig. 6a-c). The prediction analysis performed with BepiPred based on the available M protein PDB structures of SARS-CoV, SARS-CoV2 and MERS-CoV shows two of the likely epitope regions (Fig. 6d-f). The average affinity score of M protein to B cell was >0.55 (corresponding to a specificity cutoff of 81%); all values equal or greater than this
threshold was predicted to be potential B-cell epitopes. The predicted epitope length with start and end positions were mentioned in Table 1. The results revealed that some regions on SARS-CoV M protein are dominant for B cell responses and that those regions also are highly conserved in terms of sequence with SARS-CoV2. Two regions were recognized in SARS-CoV2 (183–189, 200–217), SARS-CoV (183–188, 199–215) and MERS-CoV (180–188, 200–211) M proteins. Furthermore, the results proved that more mutations on these regions might lead to more chemical and physical features in M protein which in turn will induce possible changes of immunogenicity.

4. Discussion

The matter of the hydropathy of a particular sequence of amino acids supposes added significance when structural proteins are considered. Structural proteins are characterized by hydrophobicity and hydrophilicity scores using their amino acid sequences, the grand average hydrophylicity values (GRAVY) (Kyte and Doolittle, 1982). Which usually differ in range of ±4 where positive values state hydrophobic and negative values state hydrophilic regions in the protein. In order to know further for vaccine development, the hydrophilicity and hydrophobicity of M protein profile was investigated among its amino acid sequence. The hydrophobic sequence of M protein is mainly located at the predicted transmembrane domain, while the hydrophilic sequences at N- and C-terminal domains. Overall, CoVs M proteins differ in their amino acid content, but most share the same basic structural characteristics.

To achieve an initial assessment of shared and specific features of M protein, multiple sequence alignment was performed to compare the M protein sequence of SARS-CoV-2 with that of the SARC-CoV and MERS-CoV. The alignment model was based on the profile HMM. The M protein is conserved across the three coronaviruses. The multiple sequence alignment analysis also shows the highly conserved dileucine (LL) motif at the C-terminal domains of all the three proteins. The mutation in this motif leads to weaken the interaction and packaging between M and N proteins (Tseng et al., 2021). Which usually differ in range of ±4 where positive values state hydrophobic and negative values state hydrophilic regions in the protein. In order to know further for vaccine development, the hydrophilicity and hydrophobicity of M protein profile was investigated among its amino acid sequence. The hydrophobic sequence of M protein is mainly located at the predicted transmembrane domain, while the hydrophilic sequences at N- and C-terminal domains. Overall, CoVs M proteins differ in their amino acid content, but most share the same basic structural characteristics.

To achieve an initial assessment of shared and specific features of M protein, multiple sequence alignment was performed to compare the M protein sequence of SARS-CoV-2 with that of the SARC-CoV and MERS-CoV. The alignment model was based on the profile HMM. The M protein is conserved across the three coronaviruses. The multiple sequence alignment analysis also shows the highly conserved dileucine (LL) motif at the C-terminal domains of all the three proteins. The mutation in this motif leads to weaken the interaction and packaging between M and N proteins (Tseng et al., 2021). Which usually differ in range of ±4 where positive values state hydrophobic and negative values state hydrophilic regions in the protein. In order to know further for vaccine development, the hydrophilicity and hydrophobicity of M protein profile was investigated among its amino acid sequence. The hydrophobic sequence of M protein is mainly located at the predicted transmembrane domain, while the hydrophilic sequences at N- and C-terminal domains. Overall, CoVs M proteins differ in their amino acid content, but most share the same basic structural characteristics.

Fig. 3. Phylogenetic analysis of M protein sequences from 29 orthologues. The M protein sequences were aligned using MUSCLE alignment (Edgar, 2004). The Neighbor-joining tree was generated based on the alignment. The tree was rooted using Bat-CoV HKU9-5 M protein sequence as the outgroup. The highly related betacoronaviruses MERS-CoV are highlighted (blue color), SARS-CoV (red color), and SARS-CoV2 (green color). Number at nodes indicates bootstrap support (1000 replicates), and the scale bar 2 represents the estimated number of substitutions per site. Accession numbers of sequences used in the analyses are shown next each species.
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et al., 2013, 2010; Saikatendu et al., 2007). In addition, the highly conserved Phenylalanine (F95) and S110 residues play important role in virus assembly (Tseng et al., 2010). We constructed phylogenetic tree by using first structure sequences of M protein of those three species as query to retrieve 29 orthologues sequences derived from various CoV species (Fig. 3). In order to provide

Fig. 4. Secondary structures and possible topological transmembrane models of M protein (a) Secondary structure of SARS-CoV2 M protein. (b) Secondary structure of SARS-CoV M protein. (c) Secondary structure of MERS-CoV M protein. The yellow bars at the terminal ends of each sequences indicate possible disordered regions. (d) Topological transmembrane model of SARS-CoV2 M protein. (e) Topological transmembrane model of SARS-CoV M protein. (f) Topological transmembrane model of MERS-CoV M protein.
important insights into their evolutionary and functional relationships at protein levels.

B-cell epitopes are those sites on the protein that can be recognized by antibodies of the immune system. Determining such regions can be utilised in the design of suitable vaccines and diagnostics tests. The traditional experimental epitopes scanning method obviously not practicable on a genomic scale. Prediction approaches are less time-consuming and more cost effective and dependable methods. This study aimed to apply IEDB software in order to predict the appropriate CoV epitope vaccine against the well-known world population alleles via M protein and its modification sequence after the pandemic spread of SARS-CoV2 in late 2019. The results of this study revealed that the M proteins and their modified sequences of SARS-CoV, SARS-CoV-2 and MERS-CoV can be regarded as a defensive immunogenic with a strong conservation due to their highly capacities to determine neutraliz-

---

**Fig. 5.** Intrinsic disorder prediction of M proteins. (a) SARS-CoV2 M protein. (b) SARS-CoV M protein. (c) MERS-CoV M protein. The disorder profiles generated using three predictors: PONDR® VSL2 (purple line), PONDR® VL-XT (red line), PONDR® XL-1-XT (orange line).
We predicted likely human antibody binding sites (B-cell epitopes) on SARS-CoV, SARS-CoV-2 and MERS-CoV M protein with BepiPred. Because of the diversity of the existing of intrinsic disorder prediction methods, we decided to combine them into more accurate meta-prediction method (Xue et al., 2010a). In order to infer the potentially intrinsic disorder regions of M protein. In our study on the prediction of intrinsic disorder, three predictors were utilised to predict disordered regions. VSL2 (Peng et al., 2006) (Various Short Long, version 2), XL1-XT (Romero et al., 1997) and VL-XT (Li et al., 1999). All the three predictors employed the same attributes based on amino acid compositions. The amount and the peculiarity of distribution of such regions play important roles in behavior and transmission modes of Coronavirus (Goh et al., 2012, 2008a, 2008b; Xue et al., 2010b). In general, the amounts of disorder regions in the M proteins of coronaviruses are predicted to be less comparing to other structural viral proteins such as N proteins (Li et al., 1999). As the main function of M proteins is to protect the virion, it is strongly appealing to suppose that these diversities in the overall disorder regions of M proteins may associate with its mechanism to protect the viruses from different environment conditions which in return can reflect differences in the viral transmission mode. Further development on how coronavirus will behave in terms of transmission would be extraordinarily effective not just for medical but also fundamental research. Such a model will also provide a tool to aid the implementation of public health policies for dealing with old and even newly emerging pathogenic viruses.
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