Assessment of Antepartum Depression and its Effect on Pregnancy Outcome in Two Primary Health Care Units in Qaliobia Governorate, Egypt
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Abstract

BACKGROUND: Depression during pregnancy occurs more often than most people realize. Early detection of depression during pregnancy is critical because depression can adversely affect birth outcome and neonatal health. Mental health care during pregnancy is a serious public health issue.

AIM: The objectives of the study were to estimate the prevalence of antepartum depression and its effects on pregnancy outcome; among pregnant women in the last trimester living in rural Egypt.

METHODS: The first part of the study was cross-sectional to assess the prevalence of antepartum depression. This was followed by a prospective part to detect the effects of antepartum depression on the outcome of pregnancy. The sample size was 300 consented women. A structured interview questionnaire was designed including sociodemographic and economic data, obstetric history, and neonatal information. Hamilton Rating Scale was used to detect the prevalence and level of depression.

RESULTS: About 68.7% of sampled women suffered from antepartum depression. The incidence of low birth weight was 4% and about one-fifth of babies were admitted in neonatal intensive care unit in the 1st month. Death in 1st month of life was 3%. The only significant predictor of unfavorable birth outcome using logistic regression was depression level. Females with severe depression were 9.43 at higher risk to have unfavorable birth outcome than normal ones. Furthermore, females with moderate depression had 1.4 higher risk to have unfavorable birth outcome than females without depression.

CONCLUSION: About 68.7% of women suffered from depression during pregnancy. Increasing level of depression was significantly associated with adverse birth outcome.

Introduction

Antepartum depression is a major public health problem affecting pregnant women all over the world, imposing negative effects on mothers and birth outcome [1].

With the slogan “no health without mental health,” The World Health Organization placed mental illness at the top of its agenda about a decade ago [2].

Antepartum depression affects 10% of pregnant women worldwide, reaching up to 15.6% more in developing countries [3]. Pregnant women living in low- and middle-income countries are at high risk of antepartum depression [4].

In developing countries, including Egypt, depression is currently considered to be a major public health issue and is expected to become the most common cause of disability. It is considered a barrier to maternal health improvement [5].

Depression is the most common pregnancy psychiatric disorder and is linked to psychosocial and obstetric factors [6].

Untreated psychiatric disorders may interrupt social life and can have adverse effects on fetal and neonatal growth, so recognizing women at risk of developing psychiatric disorders during pregnancy and implementing timely management is essential [7].

Previous studies from middle-income countries and community-based research were conducted to examine the relationship of antenal depression with adverse birth outcomes. Low birth weight (LBW) and preterm delivery were significantly associated with antepartum depression [8].

Although mental health promotion is a priority to reach the Sustainable Development Goals [9], antepartum depression is still not well known. It is a neglected component of the health care system in many low- and middle-income countries, including Egypt [8].

Health care staff delivering antenatal care (ANC) services are not qualified to provide mental health
services in the regular ANC visits, and therefore the diagnosis of antepartum depression is often missed [10].

This study aims at assessing the prevalence of antepartum depression among rural Egyptian pregnant women living in Shebien el Kanater, Qaliobia, Egypt. Moreover, to identify its effect on birth outcome such as LBW, prematurity, neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) admission, and death in 1st month of life.

Methods

Study design and setting

Study design
A prospective cohort design.

Study setting
The proposed study was carried out in Shebien el Kanater, a rural district located in Qaliobia Governorate, in the Nile Delta, North to Cairo (50 km). Qaliobia governorate is composed of seven major villages and 37 satellites. It is occupied by 473,276 inhabitants, mostly working in agriculture [11].

Study site
Two primary health care (PHC) units (out of all eight). (Nawa PHC and Alkashesh PHC).

Study participants

Eligibility criteria

Inclusion criteria
Any pregnant women in the third trimester, aged between 15 and 49 years, and attending a PHC unit in Shebein el Kanater, Qaliobia Governorate to receive her regular ANC services.

Exclusion criteria
Pregnant women with any medical complications during pregnancy, or is suffering from preexisting psychiatric disorder (as mentioned by the participant or reported in her record), and those who refused to participate in the study.

Sample size and type
Based on the study performed by Apter et al., 2013, the prevalence of antepartum depression was 20% (precision ±5%), and the target population susceptible to suffer antepartum depression in the study area was about 1500 pregnant women in the reproductive age (15–49 years). Using OpenEpi sample size calculator [12], the required sample size was estimated to be 212 women within the same age group, with power of 95% and design effect of 1.0. An additional 10% was added to compensate for the non-response and dropouts; hence, the total sample size was calculated to be 232 pregnant women in the reproductive age (15–49 years).

Sample type
A multistage random technique was employed for selecting pregnant women. In the first stage, 2 PHC units (out of all 8) were selected by cluster random sample; the number of women recruited from each unit was determined in relation to number of registered women within the age group. Within each unit, women were selected using a simple random sample. The selected women were interviewed and this interview lasted for 25–30 min.

Procedures and data collection

Preparatory phase

Pilot study
The data collection tools were tested on 30 pregnant women to assess comprehension, practicability, and reliability of the questionnaires. The required modifications were added to the study tools, which were mainly the simplification of Hamilton questions. They were not included in the study sample.

Data collection phase

Eligible women (examined by obstetrician, healthy, and free from complications) were invited for an interview using a structured questionnaire form. The interview took place at the ANC room within the unit. Collection of data was performed twice weekly, interviewing 10 pregnant women per day. The data collection form gathered the following data using closed-ended question format:

1. Sociodemographic and economic status of the interviewees using previously validated socioeconomic status (SES) scale and most commonly used in health research, proposed by Fahmy and El-Sherbini. It was originally published in 1983 [13] and updated in 2015 [14]. This scale covers seven domains with a total score of 48 points, namely 1 – wife/husband education, 2 – wife/husband employment, 3 – family number, 4 – crowding index, 5 – home sanitation, 6 – economic level,
and 7 – computer use. The total score of SES was calculated and the cutoff points to be used for SES classification, where a high level was indicated as at least 70% (33.6–48), a medium level as 40–<70% (19.2–33.6), and a low level as <40% (>19.2).

2. Obstetric history: To gather information about previous pregnancies, abortion, number of children, and mode of delivery.

3. The Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression (HRSD) [15]: Which is considered the gold standard among existing instruments for assessing depressive symptoms [16].

HRSD is the most frequently used measure of depression severity in clinical trials [17] and depression studies [18]. In addition to that, it has been used as a reference for the development of new scales for assessing depression severity [19]. Furthermore, it has been a favored scale in the evaluation of depression treatment [15].

The scale comprises altogether 17 items; items are scored on a continuum of 0–4, so the higher the score, the more severe the depression.

Possible risk to participants
None.

Ethical considerations

• Ethical review committee approval of the study was obtained from the review board of Public Health Department, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University. Informed consent was obtained from all participants before their enrolment in the study.

• Data confidentiality and informants’ identity privacy were maintained throughout the study. Filled questionnaires were coded and accessed by the researchers only.

Results

The mean age of participant mothers was 25.9 ± 4.8 years (min is 18 and max is 40) and the mean age at marriage was 19.1 ± 2.6 years (min is 14 and max is 32).

About one-fifth of the participants were illiterate or could only read and write and about one-fifth had a college education or higher. About one-tenth of their husbands were illiterate or could only read and write and almost one-fifth had a college education or higher. The majority of participant mothers were unemployed, while four-fifths of their husbands were employed. More than three-quarters of participants reported that their family income was just enough. Little more than two-thirds of participants had a family size of <5 members and the majority have a crowding index of 2 or more. More than two-fifths of participants reported that they had never used a computer, as shown in Table 1. The vast majority of women reported having refuse disposal but no sewage disposal.
The vast majority of mothers had 1–5 previous pregnancies. More than four-fifths had no previous abortions. Among participant mothers, four-fifth had 1–3 living children, and a minority suffered from death of previous children (<1%). More than two-thirds of the mothers had a C-section in the last delivery, while a quarter of them had previous normal vaginal deliveries, as shown in Table 2.

### Table 2: Reproductive history of the study participants

| Reproductive history | Number (300) | Percentage |
|----------------------|--------------|------------|
| Number of previous pregnancies | | |
| Primigravida | 14 | 4.7 |
| 1–5 previous pregnancies | 283 | 94.3 |
| Multiparous (>5) | 3 | 1 |
| Number of previous abortions | | |
| None | 251 | 83.7 |
| 1–2 | 46 | 15.3 |
| 3 and more | 3 | 1 |
| Number of living girls | | |
| None | 106 | 35.3 |
| 1–2 | 170 | 56.7 |
| 3 and more | 23 | 8 |
| Number of living boys | | |
| None | 74 | 24.7 |
| 1–2 | 217 | 72.3 |
| 3 and more | 9 | 3 |
| Number of living children | | |
| None | 14 | 4.7 |
| 1–3 | 244 | 81.3 |
| 4 and more | 42 | 14 |
| Number of previous children deaths | | |
| None | 297 | 99.1 |
| At birth | 1 | 0.3 |
| 1st month | 1 | 0.3 |
| 1st year | 1 | 0.3 |
| Type of last delivery | | |
| None | 14 | 4.7 |
| Normal vaginal | 78 | 26 |
| C-section | 208 | 69.3 |

Two-thirds of the interviewed mothers were suffering from variable degrees of depression. Table 3 and Figure 1 show the categories and the scores of HRSD of the interviewed mother.

### Table 3: Hamilton Rating Scale for depression of the interviewed mothers

| Hamilton categories | Number (300) | Percentage |
|---------------------|--------------|------------|
| Normal (0–1) | 94 | 31.3 |
| Mild depression (8–13) | 121 | 40.3 |
| Moderate depression (14–18) | 67 | 22.4 |
| Severe depression (19–22) | 12 | 4 |
| Very severe depression (23+) | 6 | 2 |

Table 4 displays the socioeconomic characters versus the outcome of pregnancy. As regards unfavorable outcome, it was found that the higher percentages of unfavorable outcome were found among mothers who had primary/preparatory/secondary education, higher education of husbands, unemployed mothers, employed husbands, divorced/widow mothers (significant association), living in husband's family's home, mothers who use a computer sometimes, those who did not have enough income, family size of 6 members, higher crowding index (equal or more than 4), and medium SE score.

Table 5 displays the reproductive health versus the outcome of pregnancy. As regards unfavorable outcome of pregnancy, it was found that the higher percentages of an unfavorable outcome of pregnancy were found in mothers with 1–5 pregnancies, 3 and more previous abortions, three or more living girls, no previous children's deaths, previous C-section, and no desire to current pregnancy. These differences were not statistically significant.
significant (p < 0.001). It was found that higher percentages of death in the 1st month of life were found in mothers with severe depression followed by those with moderate depression. On the other hand, death in the 1st month of life was not recorded in mothers who did not suffer from depression. These differences were highly statistically significant (p < 0.001).

Table 6 shows that NICU admission was reported in 62 infants (20.7%), death in 1st month of life were 9 infants (3%), preterm 5 infants (1.7%), and LBW 7 infants (2.3%). From Table 6 and Figure 2, one can conclude that increasing level of depression is significantly associated with the incidence of adverse birth outcome.

Table 7 shows the significant predictors of unfavorable birth outcome using logistic regression. All significant variables that resulted from bivariate analysis were entered in the model. The only significant predictor was depression level. Females with a severe level of depression had a 9.43 higher risk of incidence of unfavorable birth outcome than females without depression. Furthermore, females with a moderate level of depression had a 1.4 higher risk of incidence of unfavorable birth outcome than females without depression.
Discussion

Although antepartum depression, anxiety, and stress have serious long-term implications on both mother and baby [22], still mental health is a neglected issue in healthcare settings and remains of low priority in Egypt [23].

This study revealed that two-thirds of mothers suffered from antepartum depression. This with a cross-finding was a consistent sectional study conducted in Egypt in 2013 that included 376 pregnant women attending the ANC outpatient clinic at the largest university hospital (Kasr Alainy). That study reported that women who expressed simultaneous anxiety and depressive manifestations accounted for 63% [24].

A higher percentage of antepartum depression was reported by a study performed in Karachi, where the prevalence of antepartum depression was 81% [20].

On the other hand, lower prevalence of antepartum depression was reported in Australia (7%) [25] and in Hong Kong (4.4%) [26]. The differences in the prevalence of antepartum depression between the current study and the studies from other countries might be attributed to sociodemographic and economic differences. In addition to that, methodological differences between studies like types of screening tool used, gestational time point when screened for symptoms, sample size, and sample characteristics might explain those differences. Furthermore, there is a possibility of underestimation of antepartum depression in the other studies due to less participation of depressed mothers in research. This might be due to their fear, denial, and avoidance of stigma related to mental illness. It might be also due to a lack of seeking mental health services, probably because symptoms of depression could be mistaken for physiological and hormonal changes that occur during pregnancy.

The current study reported that unfavorable outcome of pregnancy, in the form of preterm birth (PTB), LBW, NICU admission, and death in the 1st month of life was reported with depressed pregnant mothers. This finding was consistent with a systematic review and meta-analysis conducted to investigate the burden of antepartum depression and its consequences on birth outcomes in low- and middle-income countries. It explored that the risk of adverse birth outcomes (LBW or PTB) was 1.59 times higher among pregnant mothers who had depression compared to those who did not [8].

Antepartum depression is often considered to be a predictor of increased incidence of PTB, miscarriages, retarded fetal growth, which can manifest as LBW [27].

A prospective cohort study included 799 pregnant women from health clinics of two states in east and west coasts of Malaysia. They studied the impact of maternal antepartum depressive and anxiety symptoms on birth outcomes. That study reported that pregnant women with depressive symptoms had an increased risk of giving birth to babies with LBW (relative risk 3.58; 95% confidence interval [CI] 2.16–5.94) compared to women without depressive symptoms [28].

In Egypt, a study performed on 54 pregnant mothers explored that women with depressive and anxiety symptoms in the third trimester exhibited an increased likelihood of having oligohydramnios, diminished placental perfusion. In addition, 45.5% of them showed intraterine growth restriction [29].

An increased PTB risk among mothers with depression was consistent with a meta-analysis published by Grigoriadis et al. [30]. Similarly, risk of PTB and risk of LBW were reported in a meta-analysis conducted by Grote, which is also in line with the current finding [31].

A similar finding was reported by a prospective cohort study in China, where 1377 pregnant women were recruited. It concluded that offspring born to women with antepartum depression was more likely to be of LBW than offspring born to women without antenatal depression (odds ratio 2.39, 95% CI: 1.17–4.89) [32].

A systematic review and meta-analysis were performed to study neonatal outcomes in women with untreated antepartum depression compared with women without depression. Untreated depression was found to be a significant risk of 2 perinatal outcomes; PTB and LBW [33].

Limitations of the study

The external validity of the results of the current study is limited due to including only rural women who received ANC from PHC units.

Conclusion

This prospective cohort study revealed that two-thirds of participating rural women (who were apparently healthy and free from medical problems after examination by obstetrician) suffered from variable degrees of antepartum depression as detected by HRSD. The level of depression was found to be the only significant predictor of unfavorable birth outcome in the form of preterm, LBW, NICU admission, and death in 1st month of life.

Recommendations

The policymakers should consider delivering mental health services at health facilities during ANC.
This should be associated with increase health literacy of females about the importance of mental health. Future studies are recommended to assess the impact of improving awareness of mothers about antepartum depression on pregnancy outcome in the next birth cycle.

References

1. Lund C, Schneider M, Garman EC, Davies T, Munodawafa M, Honikman S, et al. Task-sharing of psychological treatment for antenatal depression in Khayelitsha, South Africa: Effects on antenatal and postnatal outcomes in an individual randomised controlled trial. Behav Res Ther 2020;130:103466. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2019.103466
PMid:31733813
2. Bindt C, Appiah-Poku J, Te Bonle M, Schoppen S, Feldt T, Barkmann C, et al. Antepartum depression and anxiety associated with disability in African women: Cross-sectional results from the CDS study in Ghana and Côte d’Ivoire. PLoS One. 2012;7(10):e48396. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0048396
PMid:23110236
3. World Health Organization. Other Common Mental Disorders: Global Health Estimates. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2017. p. 1-24.
4. Mossie TB, Sibhatu AK, Dargie A, Ayele AD. Prevalence of antenatal depression symptoms and associated factors among pregnant women in Maichew, North Ethiopia: An institution based study. Ethiop J Health Sci 2017;27(1):59-66. https://doi.org/10.4314/ejhs.v27i1.8
PMid:28458941
5. Union A. Status Report on Maternal Newborn Child and Adolescent Health: Focusing on Unfinished Business in Africa. Second Session of the Specialized Technical Committee on Health, Population and Drug Control (Stc-Hpdc-2) Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, 2017.
6. Ayele TA, Azale T, Alemu K, Abdissa Z, Mulat H, Fekadu A. Prevalence and associated factors of antenatal depression among women attending antenatal care service at Gondar University Hospital, Northwest Ethiopia. PLoS One. 2016;11(5):e0155125. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0155125
PMid:27153193
7. Sharma P, Singh N, Tempe A, Malhotra M. Psychiatric disorders during pregnancy and postpartum. J Pregnancy Child Health. 2017;4(317):2. https://doi.org/10.4172/2376-127x.1000317
8. Fekadu Dadi A, Miller ER, Mwanri L. Antenatal depression and its association with adverse birth outcomes in low and middle-income countries: A systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One. 2020;15(1):e0227323. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0227323
PMid:31923245
9. Anwar N, Thamarangsi T. Care for mental disorders and promotion of mental well-being in South-East Asia. WHO South East Asia J Public Health. 2017;6(1):1-4. https://doi.org/10.4103/2224-3151.206157
PMid:28597851
10. Joshi D, Shrestha S, Shrestha N. Understanding the antepartum depressive symptoms and its risk factors among the pregnant women visiting public health facilities of Nepal. PLoS One. 2019;14(4):e0214992. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0214992
PMid:30947251
11. CAPMAS. Central Agency for Public Mobilization and Statistics (CAPMAS), Egypt in Figures; 2014.
12. Available from: https://www.openepi.com/SampleSize/SSCohort.htm. [Last accessed on 10 Apr 2021].
13. Fahmy S. Determining simple parameters for social classifications for health research. Bull High Inst Public Health. 1983;13:95-108.
14. Fahmy SI, Nofal LM, Shehata SF, El Kady HM, Ibrahim HK. Updating indicators for scaling the socioeconomic level of families for health research. J Egypt Public Health Assoc. 2015;80(1):1-7. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.pone.000461924.05829.93
PMid:25853538
15. Hamilton M. A rating scale for depression. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 1960;23(1):56-62.
PMid:14392722
16. Bachner YG. Psychometric properties of responses to an Arabic version of the Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HAM-D6). J Am Psychiatr Assoc. 2016;72(11):27-30. https://doi.org/10.1017/jamaph.2016.299959
PMid:26929229
17. Santor DA, Gregus M, Welch A. Focus Article: Eight decades of measurement in depression. Measurement. 2006;4(3):135-55. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15366359mea0403_18
18. Vindbjerg E, Makransky G, Mortensen EL, Carlsson J. Cross-cultural psychometric properties of the hamilton depression rating scale. Can J Psychiatry. 2019;64(1):39-46. https://doi.org/10.1176/0706743718772516
PMid:29719964
19. Bagby RM, Ryder AG, Schuller DR, Marshall MB. The hamilton depression rating scale: Has the gold standard become a lead weight? Am J Psychiatry. 2004;161(12):2163-77. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.161.12.2163
PMid:15569884
20. Jafri SA, Ali M, Ali R, Shaikh S, Abid M, Aamir IS. Prevalence of depression among pregnant women attending antenatal clinics in Pakistan. Acta Psychiatr. 2017;3(5):54. https://doi.org/10.4172/2469-6676.100126
21. World Health Organization. Training Course on Child Growth Assessment. WHO Child Growth Standards. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2008.
22. Glover V. Prenatal Stress and its Effects on the Fetus and the Child: Possible Underlying Biological Mechanisms. Perinatal Programming of Neurodevelopment. Berlin: Springer; 2015. p. 269-83. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4699-1372-5_13
23. Khalfia DS, Glavin K, Bjernsen E, Lien L. Determinants of postnatal depression in Sudanese women at 3 months postpartum: A cross-sectional study. BMJ Open. 2016;6(3):e009443. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2015-009443
24. Abdelhai R, Mosleh H. Screening for antepartum anxiety and depression in Sudanese women at 3 months postpartum: A cross-sectional study. J Pregnancy Child Health. 2017;6(1):1-4. https://doi.org/10.4103/2224-3151.206157
PMid:28597851
27. Okagbue HI, Adamu PI, Bishop SA, Oguntunde PE, Opanuga AA, Akhmetshin EM. Systematic review of prevalence of antepartum depression during the trimesters of pregnancy. Open Access Maced J Med Sci. 2019;7(9):1555-60. https://doi.org/10.3889/oamjms.2019.270
PMid:31198472

28. Nasreen HE, Pasi HB, Rifin SM, Aris MA, Ab Rahman J, Rus RM, et al. Impact of maternal antepartum depressive and anxiety symptoms on birth outcomes and mode of delivery: A prospective cohort study in east and west coasts of Malaysia. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth. 2019;19(1):201. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-019-2349-9

29. Sabri Y, Nabel H. The impact of anxiety and depression during pregnancy on fetal growth and the birth outcome. Egypt J Psychiatry. 2015;36(2):95. https://doi.org/10.4103/1110-1105.158117

30. Grigoriadis S, VonderPorten EH, Mamisashvili L, Tomlinson G, Dennis CL, Koren G, et al. The impact of maternal depression during pregnancy on perinatal outcomes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Clin Psychiatry. 2013;74(4):e321-41. https://doi.org/10.4088/jcp.12r07968
PMid:23656857

31. Grote NK, Bridge JA, Gavin AR, Melville JL, Iyengar S, Katon WJ. A meta-analysis of depression during pregnancy and the risk of preterm birth, low birth weight, and intrauterine growth restriction. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2010;67(10):1012-24. https://doi.org/10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2010.111
PMid:20921117

32. Li X, Gao R, Dai X, Liu H, Zhang J, Liu X, et al. The association between symptoms of depression during pregnancy and low birth weight: A prospective study. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2020;20(1):147. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-020-2842-1
PMid:32138708

33. Jarde A, Morais M, Kingston D, Giallo R, MacQueen GM, Giglia L, et al. Neonatal outcomes in women with untreated antenatal depression compared with women without depression: A systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA Psychiatry. 2016;73(8):826-37. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2016.0934
PMid:27276520