Sex Differences in Trends and In-Hospital Outcomes Among Patients With Critical Limb Ischemia: A Nationwide Analysis
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BACKGROUND: Critical limb ischemia (CLI) represents the most severe form of peripheral artery disease and is associated with significant mortality and morbidity. Contemporary data comparing the sex differences in trends, revascularization strategies, and in-hospital outcomes among patients with CLI are scarce.

METHODS AND RESULTS: Using the National Inpatient Sample database years 2002 to 2015, we identified hospitalizations for CLI. Temporal trends for hospitalizations for CLI were evaluated. The differences in demographics, revascularization, and in-hospital outcomes between both sexes were compared. Among 2,400,778 CLI hospitalizations, 43.6% were women. Women were older and had a higher prevalence of obesity, hypertension, heart failure, and prior stroke. Women were also less likely to receive any revascularization (34.7% versus 35.4%, \( P < 0.001 \)), but the trends of revascularization have been increasing among both sexes. Revascularization was associated with lower in-hospital mortality among women (adjusted odds ratio [OR], 0.76; 95% CI, 0.71–0.81) and men (adjusted OR, 0.69; 95% CI, 0.65–0.73). On multivariable analysis adjusting for patient- and hospital-related characteristics as well as revascularization, women had a higher incidence of in-hospital mortality, postoperative hemorrhage, need for blood transfusion, postoperative infection, ischemic stroke, and discharge to facilities compared with men.

CONCLUSIONS: In this nationwide contemporary analysis of CLI hospitalizations, women were older and less likely to undergo revascularization. Women had a higher incidence of in-hospital mortality and bleeding complications compared with men. Sex-specific studies and interventions are needed to minimize these gaps among this high-risk population.
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METHODS

Data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Data Source

Data for this investigation were obtained from the National Inpatient Sample (NIS) database for January 2002 through September 2015. The NIS is part of the Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project, sponsored by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. The NIS is the largest inpatient-care database in the United States. The NIS contains discharge data from a stratified sample of US hospitals through 2012. Since 2012, the NIS represents a sample of 20% discharges from all hospitals. The NIS provides a weight variable for establishing an estimate of national statistics. Because the NIS is a public database containing de-identified information, this study was exempt from institutional review board approval.

Study Population

Hospitalizations for adults aged ≥18 years with primary International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM) diagnostic codes for CLI were identified (Table S1). Using ICD-9-CM procedure codes, we identified hospitalizations receiving endovascular revascularization (peripheral balloon angioplasty, atherectomy, or stent placement), surgical revascularization, and combined endovascular/surgical revascularization (ie, hybrid revascularization) (Table S1). Cases with missing data on sex indicator or any of the study outcomes were excluded.

Patient and Hospital Characteristics

Baseline characteristics included patient demographics, relevant comorbidities (eg, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, diabetes, coronary artery disease, cardiomyopathy, heart failure, valvular heart disease, obesity, smoking history, chronic kidney disease, prior myocardial infarction, previous percutaneous coronary intervention, previous coronary artery bypass grafting, and prior stroke) were identified using corresponding ICD-9-CM codes. A list of ICD-9-CM codes used to identify the comorbidities and therapies is summarized in Table S1. Hospital-related characteristics included bed size (small, medium, and large), location (urban versus rural), hospital region (Northeast, Midwest, South, and West), and teaching status.

Outcome Measures

The temporal trends in hospitalizations and revascularization modalities in women versus men presenting with CLI were reported. The main study outcome was in-hospital mortality. Other secondary outcomes included amputation-free survival (defined as freedom from death or major amputation during the hospitalization), major amputation, minor amputation, postoperative infection, postoperative hemorrhage, acute stroke, and acute kidney injury (AKI). Procedures and inpatient outcomes were abstracted and reported using ICD-9-CM Clinical Classifications Software codes and Elixhauser comorbidities as reported by the Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project (Table S1).

Statistical Analysis

Discharge (trend) weights were used to compute national estimates. As per Healthcare Cost and Utilization

| CLINICAL PERSPECTIVE |

What Is New?  
- In this nationwide analysis of critical limb ischemia hospitalizations, women were older and less likely to undergo revascularization.  
- Women with critical limb ischemia had a higher incidence of adjusted in-hospital mortality, bleeding complications, and discharge to facilities compared with men.  
- Endovascular revascularization was associated with lower adjusted in-hospital mortality among both women and men compared with surgical revascularization.

What Are the Clinical Implications?  
- Sex-specific studies and interventions are needed to minimize these gaps among patients with critical limb ischemia.

Nonstandard Abbreviations and Acronyms

| Acronym | Description                |
|---------|----------------------------|
| AKI     | acute kidney injury        |
| CLI     | critical limb ischemia     |
| NIS     | National Inpatient Sample |

on outcomes after surgical intervention. With the advancements in endovascular techniques, the use of percutaneous revascularization has increased exponentially for appropriate patients with CLI. To better address these knowledge gaps, we aimed to investigate the trends and revascularization strategies, and to compare in-hospital outcomes among women and men with CLI using a large nationally representative database.
Sex Differences in CLI

RESULTS

Study Cohort

Between January 2002 and September 2015, there were 2,401,110 hospitalizations with a primary diagnosis of CLI. After excluding cases with missing data on sex indicator (n=15) or missing study outcomes (n=317), the final analysis included 2,400,778 hospitalizations; 1,047,406 (43.6%) were women. Women with CLI were older and less likely White or Hispanic. Women had a higher prevalence of obesity, hypertension, heart failure, and prior stroke. Women had a lower prevalence of smoking, diabetes, coronary artery disease, prior myocardial infarction, prior percutaneous coronary intervention, and prior coronary artery bypass grafting.

Table 1 summarizes the baseline patient- and hospital-related characteristics.

Women were less likely to receive any revascularization during the index admission (34.7% versus 35.4%, P<0.001). Compared with nonrevascularized patients, women undergoing any revascularization had a lower incidence of in-hospital mortality (2.6% versus 4.2%; adjusted odds ratio [OR], 0.69; 95% CI, 0.65–0.73; P<0.001) as well as men (2.2% versus 3.8%; adjusted OR, 0.69; 95% CI, 0.65–0.73; P<0.001).

Women were more likely to receive endovascular revascularization (15.9% versus 14.7%, P<0.001) and less likely to receive surgical revascularization (12.5% versus 13.7%, P<0.001) compared with men.

Trends in Hospitalizations for CLI

Hospitalizations and Revascularization Strategies

During the study period, the rates of CLI hospitalizations did not change among women (82,706 in 2002 versus 75,193 in 2015, P<0.001) but increased among men (95,456 in 2002 versus 111,573 in 2015, P<0.001) (Figure 1). There was a decrease in the rates of in-hospital mortality among women (5.2% in 2002 versus 2.9% in 2015, P<0.001) and men (4.5% in 2002 versus 2.7% in 2015, P<0.001) (Figure 2).

There has been an increase in total revascularization regardless of strategy among women (28.8% in 2002 versus 36.7% in 2015, P<0.001) and men (29.8% in 2002 versus 38.1% in 2015, P<0.001). Among women, both endovascular revascularization (5.6% in 2002 versus 20.0% in 2015, P<0.001) and hybrid revascularization (2.3% in 2002 versus 8.9% in 2015, P<0.001) increased, but surgical revascularization...
decreased (20.9% in 2002 versus 7.7% in 2015, \( P_{\text{trend}}<0.001 \)). A similar pattern was observed among men with an observed increase in both endovascular revascularization (4.7% in 2002 versus 19.1% in 2015, \( P_{\text{trend}}<0.001 \)) and hybrid revascularization (2.5% in 2002 versus 9.5% in 2015, \( P_{\text{trend}}<0.001 \)), but a reduction in surgical revascularization (22.6% in 2002 versus 9.5% in 2015, \( P_{\text{trend}}<0.001 \)) (Figure 1).

### In-Hospital Outcomes

In the unadjusted analysis, women had a higher incidence of in-hospital mortality, postoperative
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harmorrhage, need for blood transfusion, postoperative infection, ischemic stroke, and discharge to facilities compared with men. Women were less likely to undergo major or minor amputations and had a lower incidence of AKI but a higher incidence of amputation-free survival (Table 2). These findings remained consistent in the multivariable logistic regression model adjusting for the patient- and hospital-related characteristics as well as the revascularization strategy. The secondary analysis using the propensity-score matching model also demonstrated similar findings (Table S3). Women had higher rates of in-hospital mortality across the various racial and ethnic groups (Table S4).

On subgroup analysis according to age, women aged ≥50 years had higher adjusted in-hospital mortality compared with men (adjusted OR, 1.08; 95% CI, 1.04–1.12), but there was no difference in the adjusted in-hospital mortality rates between women and men aged <50 years (adjusted OR, 1.07; 95% CI, 0.87–1.32). In addition, women had higher adjusted postoperative hemorrhage in the subgroup of those aged ≥50 years (adjusted OR, 1.19; 95% CI, 1.16–1.22) and <50 years (adjusted OR, 1.23; 95% CI, 1.10–1.37) compared with men (Figure S1). Women aged ≥50 years had higher adjusted in-hospital mortality rates (adjusted OR, 2.55; 95% CI, 2.12–3.06) and postoperative hemorrhage (adjusted OR, 1.15; 95% CI 1.03–1.28) compared with women aged <50 years.

Among admissions in urban areas, adjusted in-hospital mortality (adjusted OR, 1.08; 95% CI, 1.04–1.12) and postoperative hemorrhage (adjusted OR, 1.19; 95% CI, 1.16–1.22) was higher in women versus men. However, there was no significant difference in in-hospital mortality between women versus men in rural areas (adjusted OR, 0.97; 95% CI, 0.84–1.10) (Figure S1).

Outcomes of Endovascular Versus Surgical Revascularization

Among women with CLI who underwent either endovascular or surgical intervention (n=297 658), 128 604

---

**Figure 1. Summary for the findings of the study.**
Temporal trend in hospitalizations and revascularization modalities for critical limb ischemia (CLI) among women and men. NIS, National Inpatient Sample; OR, odds ratio.
who had endovascular revascularization were matched with 127,353 who had surgical revascularization. The absolute standardized differences for the patient- and hospital-related characteristics were <10% in both groups (Figure S2A). Compared with surgical revascularization, endovascular revascularization was associated with a lower incidence of in-hospital mortality, minor amputation, postoperative hemorrhage, need for blood transfusion, postoperative infection, and discharge to facilities, but a higher incidence of major amputation and AKI (Table 3). In addition, endovascular revascularization was associated with a shorter length of stay (5 days [interquartile range, 2–10 days] versus 7 days [interquartile range, 4–13 days], *P*<0.001).

Similarly, propensity analysis matched 162,857 male patients with CLI undergoing endovascular revascularization and 161,498 undergoing surgical revascularization. There were minimal differences (ie, <10%) in the absolute standardized differences after matching (Figure 2B). Endovascular revascularization was associated with a lower incidence of in-hospital mortality, postoperative hemorrhage, need for blood transfusion, postoperative infection, length of stay, and discharge to facilities, but a higher incidence of major amputation and AKI (Table 4).

![Graph](image)

**Figure 2.** Temporal trends of in-hospital mortality for hospitalization with critical limb ischemia (CLI) among women and men.

| Outcome                          | Incidences, % | Unadjusted odds ratio | 95% CI | Adjusted odds ratio* | 95% CI | *P* value |
|----------------------------------|---------------|------------------------|--------|-----------------------|--------|-----------|
| **Mortality**                    |               |                        |        |                       |        |           |
| Women                            | 3.7           | 1.13                   | 1.10–1.17 | 1.07                   | 1.03–1.11 | <0.001    |
| Men                              | 3.2           |                        |        |                       |        |           |
| **Amputation-free survival**     |               | 1.05                   | 1.03–1.06 | 1.10                   | 1.10–1.12 | <0.001    |
| **Major amputation**             |               | 0.92                   | 0.91–0.94 | 0.88                   | 0.86–0.89 | <0.001    |
| **Minor amputation**             |               | 0.63                   | 0.62–0.65 | 0.66                   | 0.65–0.67 | <0.001    |
| **Postoperative infection**      |               | 1.10                   | 1.06–1.15 | 1.20                   | 1.14–1.25 | <0.001    |
| **Postoperative hemorrhage**     |               | 1.16                   | 1.13–1.18 | 1.19                   | 1.16–1.22 | <0.001    |
| **Blood transfusion**            |               | 1.19                   | 1.17–1.21 | 1.17                   | 1.15–1.19 | <0.001    |
| **Acute myocardial infarction**  |               | 0.95                   | 0.92–0.99 | 1.02                   | 0.98–1.06 | 0.24      |
| **Ischemic stroke**              | 0.9           | 1.27                   | 1.19–1.35 | 1.20                   | 1.12–1.29 | <0.001    |
| **Acute kidney injury**          | 11.2          | 0.88                   | 0.87–0.90 | 0.86                   | 0.84–0.88 | <0.001    |
| **Facility discharge**           | 40.2          | 1.24                   | 1.22–1.25 | 1.04                   | 1.03–1.06 | <0.001    |

*Multivariate logistic regression model adjusting for patient- and hospital-related characteristics and revascularization strategy.
DISCUSSION

In this nationally representative observational analysis of ≈2.4 million hospitalizations with CLI, we found that women with CLI were older and had a higher prevalence of certain cardiovascular risk factors such as obesity and hypertension compared with men. The rates of hospitalizations for CLI did not change among women but increased in men. Women with CLI were less likely to undergo any revascularization compared with men. Furthermore, women with CLI had a higher incidence of adjusted in-hospital mortality, bleeding complications, and discharge to facilities compared with men. Endovascular revascularization was associated with lower adjusted in-hospital mortality among women and men, compared with surgical revascularization. These findings suggest that although the rates of CLI might be higher among men, the prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors and revascularization-related complications are likely higher among women, and women with CLI are less likely to receive any revascularization.

Prior studies exploring the sex differences among patients with PAD showed that women are older and have a higher prevalence of hypertension and obesity\textsuperscript{10,11}; however, these studies included only a small proportion of patients with CLI. Our findings extend this knowledge to a large cohort and confirm the trends seen in earlier studies. A prior analysis has shown that the rates of CLI hospitalizations remained constant from 2003 to 2011 in the United States.\textsuperscript{17} In this study, we showed that the rates of CLI hospitalizations did not change among women but have modestly increased among men. This could be attributed to the hesitancy for hospital admittance in patients with CLI who are women or less serious presentation among women, requiring hospitalization less often. Similar to prior CLI studies that had a predominant male representation,\textsuperscript{21,22} we found that the rates of revascularization were overall low (<35%), but lower among women compared with men. This is concerning given that revascularization has been associated with lower mortality, as demonstrated in our analysis, and improved quality of life in this high-risk population.\textsuperscript{7,21} This further highlights the sex-related gaps in evidence-based therapies that has been previously demonstrated in other cardiovascular diseases.\textsuperscript{23,24} A previous analysis of the reduction of atherothrombosis for continued health (REACH) registry has shown that risk factor control is suboptimal among women compared with

| Outcome                        | Incidences, % | Odds ratio | 95% CI       | P value |
|-------------------------------|---------------|------------|--------------|---------|
| Mortality                     | 2.3           | 2.7        | 0.84         | 0.75–0.95 | 0.004   |
| Major amputation              | 6.5           | 5.6        | 1.17         | 1.09–1.26 | <0.001  |
| Minor amputation              | 11.7          | 12.5       | 0.93         | 0.88–0.98 | 0.01    |
| Postoperative infection       | 1.2           | 3.2        | 0.37         | 0.33–0.43 | <0.001  |
| Postoperative hemorrhage      | 10.1          | 16.1       | 0.58         | 0.55–0.62 | <0.001  |
| Blood transfusion             | 14.0          | 29.4       | 0.39         | 0.37–0.41 | <0.001  |
| Acute kidney injury           | 10.1          | 8.0        | 1.29         | 1.21–1.37 | <0.001  |
| Discharge to facility         | 29.6          | 39.7       | 0.64         | 0.61–0.67 | <0.001  |
men with PAD.25 Reassuringly, we found that the rates of in-hospital mortality are decreasing among women and men. This might be related to the rise in the rates of revascularization procedures, as well as the improvements in secondary preventative strategies including smoking cessation, statin therapy, and cardiac rehabilitation.15,21,26

Evidence on sex-specific differences in the outcomes of patients with PAD have been controversial and mostly noncontemporary.27–29 A sex-specific analysis of the examining use of ticagrelor in peripheral artery disease (EUCLID) trial found that women had a lower risk of all-cause mortality, but similar risk of limb adverse events at a mean of 30 months.10 Among studies focusing on patients with PAD who underwent endovascular revascularization, women were found to have higher rates of bleeding and vascular complications.8 However, the rate of in-hospital mortality was similar in one study,8 and long-term mortality was higher among women in another study.11 Studies evaluating sex-specific differences among patients with CLI have been limited.14,30 One study comparing the outcomes after surgical interventions showed that women had lower patency rates after bypass surgery.15 Another single-center study of 219 patients (44% women) demonstrated that women had higher rates of the composite of mortality, myocardial infarction, or stroke, and lower rates of postprocedural patency for infrapopliteal endovascular interventions.30 In our study, which focused on patients with CLI, we found that women had higher rates of in-hospital mortality, bleeding complications, but a lower rate of amputations and AKI. Several factors could contribute to these findings, such as the smaller blood vessel diameter along with the higher prevalence of more complex and multilevel disease among women.5,30 Importantly, we found that the higher rates of mortality and bleeding complications among women were mainly observed in those aged ≥50 years (ie, likely postmenopausal), supporting the hypothesis of the protective role for estrogen against potential limb ischemia in women.31

Randomized trial data comparing endovascular therapy versus surgical interventions are scarce.32 The bypass versus angioplasty in severe ischaemia of the leg (BASIL) trial demonstrated that an endovascular approach was comparable to surgery for CLI.32 However, endovascular techniques have evolved since the BASIL trial. Furthermore, sex-specific differences in the outcomes of both strategies have not been assessed in the BASIL trial.32 In the propensity-matched analysis, we showed that endovascular revascularization was associated with lower in-hospital mortality in both women and men. These findings should only be considered as hypothesis generating given the potential for selection bias. The ongoing best endovascular versus best surgical therapy for patients with critical limb ischemia (BEST-CLI) trial is comparing the outcomes of endovascular and surgical revascularization approaches among patients with CLI eligible for both modalities.33 Hopefully, the BEST-CLI trial will recruit a representative sample of women to evaluate sex differences in outcomes with both revascularization strategies.

The strengths of this analysis are driven from the large sample size with national representation, in a more contemporary population than previous studies. However, the findings of this analysis should be interpreted in the context of certain limitations. First, this is an observational nonrandomized study. Although we adjusted for potential confounders and conducted several statistical models, the risk of unmeasured confounding could not be excluded. Second, the NIS lacks data on outcomes beyond the index hospitalization. Specifically, for those with CLI that was untreated, there is no information on their long-term outcomes, and those untreated were more likely to be women. Third, the NIS is an administrative database that relies on ICD-9-CM codes, and thus is subject to miscoding and undercoding. Fourth, we did not have access to important clinical data, because imaging, extent of the disease, and medical therapies administered during the hospitalization were not available. Fifth, data on menopausal state and estrogen replacement therapy were not available in this data set, so a subgroup analysis for age <50 versus ≥50 years was performed, which is the mean age of menopause in the United States for the past 2 decades.34 Sixth, this analysis was not designed to directly compare the outcomes of different revascularization strategies (ie, endovascular or surgical) between women and men. Finally, the clinical reasoning for choosing one revascularization technique versus the other could not be determined. We attempted to mitigate this by performing a propensity-matched model.

CONCLUSIONS

In this nationwide contemporary analysis of CLI hospitalizations, we demonstrated that women with CLI were older and had a higher prevalence of certain cardiovascular risk factors such as obesity and hypertension compared with men. The rates of hospitalizations for CLI did not change among women but increased in men. Women with CLI were less likely to undergo any revascularization compared with men, but the trends of revascularization have been increasing among both sexes, particularly endovascular revascularization. Women with CLI had a higher incidence of adjusted in-hospital mortality, bleeding complications, and discharge to facilities compared with men. Endovascular revascularization was associated with lower adjusted in-hospital mortality among both women and men, compared with surgical revascularization, although the
interpretation of this finding is limited by the observational nature of the study.
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SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL
Table S1. International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Edition, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM) codes used to identify the baseline comorbidities, procedures, and in-hospital outcomes.

| Critical limb ischemia                      |  |
|--------------------------------------------|---|
| Atherosclerosis of native arteries of extremities |  |
| -with rest pain                            | 440.22 |
| -with gangrene                             | 440.24 |
| -with ulceration                           | 440.23, 707.9 |

**Critical limb ischemia** if following code is present along with a primary diagnosis code for PAD*

| Gangrene | 785.4 |
|----------|-------|
| Ulcer of lower limb (includes trophic ulcer) | 707.10, 707.11, 707.12, 707.13, 707.14, 707.15, 707.19 |
| Acute osteomyelitis of pelvic region and thigh | 730.05 |
| Acute osteomyelitis of lower extremity         | 730.06 |
| Acute osteomyelitis of ankle and foot          | 730.07 |
| Chronic osteomyelitis of pelvic region and thigh | 730.15 |
| Chronic osteomyelitis of lower extremity       | 730.16 |
| Chronic osteomyelitis of ankle and foot        | 730.17 |
| Cellulitis of lower extremity except foot      | 682.6 |
| Cellulitis of foot except toes                 | 682.7 |
| Cellulitis of toes                             | 681.1 |

*Primary PAD diagnosis

| Atherosclerosis of native arteries of extremities with intermittent claudication, rest pain, ulceration, gangrene or unspecified symptoms. | 440.2 (440.20-440.24, 440.29) |
| Atherosclerosis of bypass graft of extremities | 440.3 (440.0-440.32) |
| Atherosclerosis- generalized or unspecified | 440.9 |
| Atherosclerosis of Aorta | 440.0 |
| Diabetes mellitus with peripheral circulatory disorders | 249.70, 249.71, 250.70-250.73 |
| Peripheral angiopathy in other diseases | 443.81 |
| Condition                                                                 | Code   |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| Peripheral vascular disease, unspecified                                 | 443.9  |
| Buerger’s disease                                                        | 443.1  |
| Arterial embolism/ thrombosis of lower extremity or iliofemoral artery    | 444.22, 444.81 |

**Surgical Revascularization**

| Procedure                                                      | Code   |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| Aorto-iliac femoral bypass                                      | 39.25  |
| Peripheral bypass                                              | 39.29  |
| Incision of lower limb arteries                                 | 38.08  |
| Endarterectomy of abdominal arteries                            | 38.16  |
| Endarterectomy of lower limb arteries                           | 38.18  |
| Resection of vessel with anastomosis                            | 38.38  |
| Resection of vessel with replacement                            | 38.48  |

**Endovascular revascularization**

| Procedure                                                      | Code   |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| Angioplasty or atherectomy of non-coronary vessel               | 39.50  |
| Insertion of non-drug-eluting, non-coronary artery stent         | 39.90  |
| Insertion of drug eluting peripheral vessel stent                | 00.55  |

**Major amputation of lower extremity**

| Procedure                                                      | Code   |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| Lower limb amputation                                          | 84.10  |
| Disarticulation of ankle                                       | 84.13  |
| Amputation of ankle through malleoli of tibia and fibula        | 84.14  |
| Other amputation-below knee                                    | 84.15  |
| Disarticulation of knee                                        | 84.16  |
| Amputation above knee                                           | 84.17  |
| Revision of amputation stump                                    | 84.3   |

**Minor amputation of lower extremity**

| Procedure                                                      | Code   |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| Toe amputation                                                 | 84.11  |
| Procedure                                                                 | Code   |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| Trans metatarsal amputation                                               | 84.12  |
| **Vascular procedures, non-specific, only used in**                      |        |
| **conjunction with lower extremity procedure codes**                     |        |
| Procedure on single vessel                                               | 00.40  |
| Procedure on two vessels                                                 | 00.41  |
| Procedure on three vessels                                               | 00.42  |
| Procedure on ≥ 4 vessels                                                 | 00.43  |
| Procedure on vessel bifurcation                                          | 00.44  |
| Insertion of one vascular stent                                          | 00.45  |
| Insertion of two vascular stents                                         | 00.46  |
| Insertion of three vascular stents                                       | 00.47  |
| Insertion of ≥ 4 vascular stents                                        | 00.48  |
| Non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction                                   | 410.7x |
| ST-elevation myocardial infarction                                       | 410.1x, 410.2x, 410.3x, 410.4x, 410.5x, 410.6x, 410.8x and 410.9x |
| Prior myocardial infarction                                              | 412.0  |
| Previous percutaneous coronary intervention                              | V45.82 |
| Previous coronary artery bypass grafting                                 | V45.81 |
| Previous CVA                                                             | V12.54 |
| Carotid artery disease                                                   | 433.10 |
| Cardiogenic shock                                                        | 785.51 |
| Cardiac arrest                                                           | CCS-107|
| Post-op hemorrhage                                                       | 998.11, 998.12, 285.1 |
| Transfusion                                                              | 99.01-99.09 |
| Condition                                | Code(s)                      |
|------------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Acute stroke                            | CCS-100                      |
| Respiratory complications                | 997.3, 997.31, 997.32, 997.39 |
| Permanent pacemaker                      | 37.80 37.83                  |
| Acute kidney injury                      | 584                          |
| Vascular complications                   | 39.31, 39.41, 39.49, 39.52, 39.53, 39.56, 39.57, 39.58, 39.59, 39.79 |
Table S2. List of variables used in the propensity score matching analysis comparing the revascularization strategies.

| Variable                          |
|----------------------------------|
| Age, race, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, obesity, history of heart failure, chronic lung disease, pulmonary circulation disorders, chronic liver disease, CKD, chronic anemia, coagulopathy, hypothyroidism, history of smoking, coronary artery disease, prior MI, history of implantable cardiac defibrillator, history of cardiac pacemaker, prior stroke, prior PCI, prior CABG, hospital bed-size, hospital region, hospital teaching status. |
| Outcome                      | Incidences | Adjusted odds ratio | 95% confidence interval | P-value |
|------------------------------|------------|---------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| Mortality                    | 3.7%       | 1.09                | 1.07-1.10               | <0.001  |
| Amputation free survival     | 82.0%      | 1.06                | 1.05-1.07               | <0.001  |
| Major amputation             | 15.3%      | 0.92                | 0.91-0.93               | <0.001  |
| Minor amputation             | 10.0%      | 0.67                | 0.66-0.67               | <0.001  |
| Post-operative infection     | 2.3%       | 1.17                | 1.15-1.20               | <0.001  |
| Post-operative hemorrhage    | 8.1%       | 1.16                | 1.15-1.18               | <0.001  |
| Blood transfusion            | 18.3%      | 1.17                | 1.17-1.18               | <0.001  |
| Acute myocardial infarction  | 3.0%       | 0.99                | 0.97-1.00               | 0.10    |
| Ischemic stroke              | 0.9%       | 1.25                | 1.22-1.29               | <0.001  |
| Acute kidney injury          | 11.3%      | 0.86                | 0.85-0.87               | <0.001  |
| Facility discharge           | 39.5%      | 1.09                | 1.09-1.10               | <0.001  |
Table S4. Sex-related differences in in-hospital mortality across various racial groups.

| Outcome | Incidences % | Odds ratio | 95% confidence interval | P-value |
|---------|--------------|------------|-------------------------|---------|
|         | Women | Men | | | |
| White   |        |     |                   |         |
| Mortality | 3.5% | 3.3% | 1.083 | 1.063 | 1.103 | <0.001 |
| Black   |        |     |                   |         |
| Mortality | 3.8% | 3.2% | 1.191 | 1.151 | 1.233 | <0.001 |
| Hispanic |        |     |                   |         |
| Mortality | 3.7% | 3.1% | 1.206 | 1.149 | 1.266 | <0.001 |
Figure S1. Subgroup analyses for in-hospital mortality and post-operative hemorrhage comparing age 50 years versus <50 years, and urban versus rural hospitals.
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Figure S2. Absolute standardized differences for the patient and hospital-related characteristics included in the propensity matched model comparing endovascular revascularization versus surgical revascularization among (A) women and (B) men.