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Tandem Molecular Self-Assembly Selectively Inhibits Lung Cancer Cells by Inducing Endoplasmic Reticulum Stress
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The selective formation of nanomaterials in cancer cells and tumors holds great promise for cancer diagnostics and therapy. Until now, most strategies rely on a single trigger to control the formation of nanomaterials in situ. The combination of two or more triggers may provide for more sophisticated means of manipulation. In this study, we rationally designed a molecule (Comp. 1) capable of responding to two enzymes, alkaline phosphatase (ALP), and reductase. Since the A549 lung cancer cell line showed elevated levels of extracellular ALP and intracellular reductase, we demonstrated that Comp. 1 responded in a stepwise fashion to those two enzymes and displayed a tandem molecular self-assembly behavior. The selective formation of nanofibers in the mitochondria of the lung cancer cells led to the disruption of the mitochondrial membrane, resulting in an increased level of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and the release of cytochrome C (Cyt C). ROS can react with proteins, resulting in endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress and the unfolded protein response (UPR). This severe ER stress led to disruption of the ER, formation of vacuoles, and ultimately, apoptosis of the A549 cells. Therefore, Comp. 1 could selectively inhibit lung cancer cells in vitro and A549 xenograft tumors in vivo. Our study provides a novel strategy for the selective formation of nanomaterials in lung cancer cells, which is powerful and promising for the diagnosis and treatment of lung cancer.

1. Introduction

Nanomaterials [1, 2] are promising for cancer theranostics [3–9], but it has been documented that less than 1% of administered nanomedicines accumulate in tumors [10], thus leading to poor therapeutic efficacy of nanomedicines [11]. It remains a challenge to develop novel strategies to boost the therapeutic efficacy of nanomedicines. Recently, the in situ formation of nanomaterials in cancer cells and tumors has emerged as a promising strategy for cancer diagnosis and therapy due to the enhanced selectivity, permeation, and retention of the nanomaterials in tumors [12–20]. The successful examples that have been reported now primarily rely on using a single trigger to control the formation of the nanomaterials in situ. For example, nanofibers have been selectively formed in different kinds of cancer cells by the overexpression of enzymes, including alkaline phosphatase (ALP), matrix metalloproteinase (MMP), transglutaminase, and cathepsin B [21–32]. The combination of two or more triggers to form nanomaterials in situ may provide for more sophisticated means of control and manipulation, but this strategy has been reported only rarely [13, 33]. Lung cancer cells, including A549 cells, show elevated expression levels of both extracellular ALP and intracellular reductase...
Taking advantage of these two overexpressed enzymes in A549 cells, we reported, in this study, a peptide derivative capable of responding to these two enzymes and showing a selective tandem molecular self-assembly in A549 cells.

2. Results

2.1. Molecular Design and Compound Synthesis. In our pilot study, we reported a tandem molecular self-assembly controlled by ALP and glutathione (GSH), specifically in liver cancer cells [13]. We opted to design molecules capable of selectively self-assembling and forming nanomaterials in other types of cancer cells [22, 23, 37]. Most cancer cells exhibit high expression levels of extracellular ALP, which has been widely used for the formation of nanofibers around and inside cancer cells. In addition, we realized that lung cancer cells also show high expression levels of intracellular reductase. We therefore designed the molecule NBD-GFFpYG-N=N-ERGD (Comp. 1 in Figure 1(b)) to be capable of responding to both ALP and reductase. We hypothesized that the conversion from Comp. 1 to NBD-GFFFYG-N=N-ERGD (Comp. 2) by extracellular ALP might lead to the formation of nanoparticles or short nanofibers, which could be efficiently taken up by cells through endocytosis. The existence of the azo group in Comp. 2 could facilitate lysosomal escape and mitochondrial accumulation of the nanomaterials [38, 39]. Following mitochondrial accumulation, the reductase in the mitochondrial membrane could convert Comp. 2 to NBD-GFFFYG-aniline (Comp. 3 in Figure 1(b)), which could self-assemble into nanofibers in the mitochondria, leading to the disruption of the mitochondrial membrane and the release of cytochrome C (Cyt C), as well as the induction of oxidative stress, which can produce reactive oxygen species (ROS). The ROS could ultimately increase the ER stress and activate the unfolded protein response (UPR), leading to the selective cell death of the lung cancer cells.

The synthesis of Comp. 1 was simple and straightforward. We first synthesized the Fmoc-protected molecule containing an azobenzene (Comp. S2 in Scheme S1) that could be directly used for standard Fmoc-solid phase peptide synthesis (SPPS). The Comp. 1 was then obtained through standard SPPS using tritylchloride resin and purified by reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography. We also synthesized several control compounds via similar procedures, including NBD-GFFYG-N=N-ERGD (only reductase-responsive Comp. 2), NBD-GFFpYGERGD (only ALP-responsive Comp. 4), and NBD-GFFYGpERGD (non-ALP- and non-reductase-responsive Comp. 5).

2.2. Dual Enzyme-Triggered Tandem Molecular Self-Assembly. The Comp. 1 could form a clear solution (Figure 2(a)) in phosphate buffer saline (PBS, pH = 7.4) at a concentration of 200 μM (0.03 wt%), which was below its critical aggregation concentration (CAC = 263.6 μM, see the Supporting Information). The transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images revealed amorphous structures in the PBS solution of Comp. 1. These results indicated that Comp. 1 did not self-assemble into regular nanostructures at the concentration of 200 μM. We thereafter added the enzyme ALP (1 U·mL⁻¹) to the PBS solution of Comp. 1 at 37°C, and the solution remained clear after 12 h (Figure 2(a)). The LC-MS trace indicated that over 95% of Comp. 1 had been converted to Comp. 2 within 6 h (Figures 2(a) and S11). Accordingly, the nanofibers with a diameter of 6–10 nm formed in the resulting solution of Comp. 2 (Figure 2(c)). With the addition of rat liver microsomes (226 μg·mL⁻¹) and NADPH (50 equiv.) to trigger the conversion from azobenzene to aniline, a yellowish precipitate was clearly observed within 24 h (Figure 2(b)). The LC-MS trace indicated that over 70% of Comp. 2 had been converted into Comp. 3 within 24 h (Figures 2(b) and S12). The TEM image exhibited nanofibers with a diameter of 5-7 nm and nanoparticles with a diameter of 30–40 nm in the precipitate. The results obtained by the dodecyl sulfate sodium salt-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) indicated that the precipitate consisted of the proteins in the rat liver microsomes and both Comp. 2 and Comp. 3 (Figure S14). There were hardly any proteins contained in the supernatant, suggesting that the self-assembling peptide derivatives could form tight complexes with the proteins. The above observations strongly indicated the tandem molecular self-assembly behavior of Comp. 1 with the catalysis by ALP and reductase.

2.3. Intracellular Tandem Molecular Self-Assembly. Generally, cancer cells exhibit higher expression levels of extracellular ALP than normal cells. Before testing the tandem molecular self-assembly of Comp. 1 in cancer cells, we synthesized the compound TPE-GFFYG-N=N-EEEE to measure the expression levels of reductase in different cells. Tetraphenylethylene (TPE) is a fluorescent probe with an aggregation-induced emission (AIE) property [37], and TPE-GFFYG-N=N-EEEE can be converted to TPE-GFFYG-aniline by reductase. TPE-GFFYG-aniline could self-assemble into nanostructures and emit a stronger blue fluorescence than TPE-GFFYG-N=N-EEEE. Therefore, the intensity of blue fluorescence indicated the expression level of reductase in the cells. As shown in Figures S17 and S18, the A549 cells showed the strongest blue fluorescence in the confocal fluorescence microscopy images of all of the five tested cancer cells (A549, U87, MCF-7, PC-3, and HeLa cells), suggesting that A549 cells exhibited the highest expression level of reductase. The high expression levels of both extracellular ALP and intracellular reductase in A549 cells suggested that the tandem molecular self-assembly of Comp. 1 might work in A549 cells.

To test whether Comp. 1 could form nanofibers in live cells, Bio-TEM was first used. As shown in Figures 2(e) and S16A, the ultrathin sections of A549 cells at 6 h post administration of Comp. 1 (200 μM) displayed nanofibers with the diameters of 4–7 nm in the cytoplasm. These observations clearly indicated the good self-assembly property of our compound in live cells. We then incubated the A549 cells with 200 μM of Comp. 1 and obtained confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) images of the cells at different time points. As shown in Figures 3(a) and 3(b), there were many green fluorescent dots representing the NBD-peptide within
the A549 cells at the 1 h time point. The green fluorescence from NBD colocalized well with the red fluorescence from Lyso-Tracker, which indicated the efficient uptake of the NBD-peptide by cells through endocytosis pathways, in which the self-assemblies could bind to cell membranes by interacting with integrins (Figure S19). However, there was little overlap of the green (NBD) and red (Lyso-Tracker) fluorescence at the 4 h time point, suggesting that the assemblies of NBD-peptide efficiently escaped from the late endosomes/lysosomes. The Comp. 2 containing the azobenzene group but without the phosphate group had a small fraction of lysosomal escape (Figure S20) at the 4 h time point. Both Comp. 4 and Comp. 5 without the phosphate and the azobenzene groups barely escaped from the lysosomes within 4 h. The mean fluorescence intensity in the cells treated with Comp. 1 and Comp. 2 was similar, which was significantly higher than that in cells treated with Comp. 4 and Comp. 5. Therefore, it was reasonable to hypothesize that the azobenzene group played a vital role in the cellular uptake and the ability of lysosomal escape of the molecules. We increased the incubation time of cells treated with Comp. 1 (200 μM) to 8, 10, and 12 h. The results in Figure 3(c) indicated that the green fluorescence from NBD colocalized well with the red fluorescence from the Mito-Tracker in the cytosol at the 8 h time point, suggesting that the NBD-peptide that escaped from the
lysosome accumulated in the mitochondria. At 10 and 12 h time points (Figures 3(d), S21, and S22), we observed a massive cytoplasmic vacuolization, indicating that the cells were undergoing apoptosis. The occurrence of cytoplasmic vacuolization is generally associated with the dilation of the mitochondria and the ER, which is a classical feature of ER stress. However, as shown in Figures S23 and S24, Comp. 2 only caused slight ER stress, and both Comp. 4 and Comp. 5 could not induce ER stress.

We performed a time-dependent Western blot assay to monitor the expression levels of Cyt C at different time points. We prepared the cytosolic fraction from A549 cells treated with 50 μM Comp. 1 according to an established method for the assay. As shown in Figure 3(f), the concentration of Cyt C in the cytosol, released from mitochondria, increased dramatically during the first 6-8 h and remained at high levels in the following 24 h. JC-1 staining was also used to measure mitochondrial membrane potential. As shown in Figures 3(e) and S25, Comp. 1 caused mitochondrial depolarization at 4 h time point. We also prepared the whole-cell fraction (containing both cytosol and mitochondria) of A549 cells treated with Comp. 1; the time-dependent Western blot results indicated that the Cyt C in the whole-cell fraction remained constant during the 24 h (Figures 3(g) and S29b). These observations suggested that the self-assembly of Comp. 1 in the mitochondria led to the disruption of the mitochondrial membrane and the release of Cyt C to the cytosol. Normally, cytoplasmic vacuolization is related to ER stress. We therefore also used a time-dependent Western blot assay to examine the protein expression of ER stress-related signaling markers. As shown in Figures 3(h) and S29a, calnexin significantly increased after a 12 h treatment with Comp. 1, which promoted the unfolded protein response (UPR). The ER chaperone protein BiP, whose expression level was representative of ER stress, was obviously upregulated at the 3 h time point, which correlated well with the lysosomal escape of Comp. 2 at this time point. BiP was a short-lived protein, and its expression level decreased at the 6 h time point [22, 38]. This protein maintained high expression levels from 8 h to 24 h, representing high levels of ER stress. Since the PERK signaling pathway was activated by BiP, its downstream protein CHOP, a pro-apoptosis protein, was also upregulated, ultimately leading to cell death [39, 40]. Phosphorylated eIF2α (the indicator of PERK-UPR pathway) also was detected by Western blotting, as shown in Figure S28A, phosphorylation of eIF2α began to increase at 6 h, and the expression level increased significantly at 12 h. Taken together, the tandem molecular self-assembly of Comp. 1 selectively induced death of the non-small-cell cancer cell line (A549 cells) via the release of...
Cyt C from the mitochondria to the cytosol and the excessive activation of ER stress.

2.4. Mechanism Study of ER Stress and Evaluation of Inhibiting Cancer Cells In Vitro.

As oxidative stress and ER stress are integrally interconnected, ROS can directly disturb the ER protein-folding environment, reduce proper protein folding, and induce UPR activation. Damaged mitochondria can produce oxidative stress, resulting in the production of intracellular ROS. The resulting ROS can react indiscriminately with proteins. To understand the mechanism of cytoplasmic vacuolization and cell death, the production of ROS was measured at different time points using H2DCFDA. The A549 cells were incubated with Comp. 1 (200 μM) at different time points. As the incubation time increased, the amount of ROS produced increased (Figure 4(a)), indicating that Comp. 1 could cause oxidative stress in the mitochondria and the production of ROS. Pretreating the cells with the antioxidant N-acetyl cysteine (NAC) before treatment with Comp. 1 could inhibit cytoplasmic vacuolization (Figure S26) and reduce cytotoxicity (Figure S27) and the expression of ER stress markers (Figure S28B). Taken together, the tandem molecular self-assembly of Comp. 1 first disrupted the mitochondrial membrane, subsequently causing oxidative stress and increasing the levels of ROS, ultimately inducing the UPR, endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress [41, 43, 44], and cell death.

We then investigated the inhibitory capacity of our compounds against different cancer cell lines. As shown in Figures 4(b) and S30, Comp. 1 exhibited an excellent inhibitory capacity in human A549 cells, with a half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC50) of approximately 2.49 μM. The IC50 value in A549 cells for the control compound NBD-GFFYG-N=H-ERGD (Comp. 2) was 82.7 μM (Figure 4(c)), and the values for NBD-GFFpYGERGD (Comp. 4) and NBD-GFFpYGERGD (Comp. 5) were over 500 μM (Figure S31). The cytotoxicity of Comp. 1 showed a good selectivity for the A549 cells (IC50 = 2.49 μM), and its IC50 values in other cancer cells (U87, MCF-7, U87).
PC-3, and HeLa cells) and normal human alveolar epithelial cells (HPA-EpiC) were approximately 5.07, 15.86, 20.51, 74.68, and 40.1 μM, respectively. Since there were no significant differences in the cellular uptake of Comp. 1 by these different cells (Figure S32), the higher toxicity of Comp. 1 in A549 cells compared with the other cells was probably due to its selective tandem molecular self-assembly in the A549 cells.

2.5. Evaluation of Antitumor Efficacy In Vivo. We further evaluated the in vivo antitumor effects of different compounds in a xenograft tumor model, which was established by the subcutaneous injection of A549 cells in nude mice. When the tumor volume reached approximately 50–100 mm³, the mice were treated with Comp. 1, Comp. 2, Comp. 4, or Comp. 5 at the same dosage (5 mg kg⁻¹, once every three days) via tail vein injection. As shown in Figures 5(a) and 5(b), the final tumor volumes at day 15 in mice treated with Comp. 1, Comp. 2, Comp. 4, and Comp. 5 were approximately 16.2, 40.0, 61.5, and 62.9%, respectively, compared with that in the PBS group. This result clearly indicated the profound capacity of Comp. 1 to suppress tumor growth. Meanwhile, the mice treated with Comp. 1 did not exhibit a loss of body weight, implying the minimal systemic toxicity of Comp. 1 (Figure S33). We thereafter used hematoxylin-eosin (H&E) staining to evaluate the therapeutic efficacy of the various compounds. As shown in Figures 5(c) and 5(d), the tumor cells in mice treated with Comp. 1 were severely apoptotic, indicating a great capacity of Comp. 1 to induce apoptosis in A549 cancer cells in vivo. The tumor cells in mice treated with Comp. 2 also underwent apoptosis. By contrast, we observed dense nuclei and uniform cytoplasms in the tumor tissues of mice treated with Comp. 4, Comp. 5, and PBS (Figures 5(e)–5(g)). Overall, these observations demonstrated that Comp. 1, possessing a tandem molecular self-assembly ability, could selectively inhibit lung cancer cells and tumors.

3. Discussion

In summary, we introduced a compound with selective tandem molecular self-assembly into the A549 lung cancer cell line. The Comp. 1 responded to extracellular ALP and intracellular reductase in a stepwise manner, showing a tandem molecular self-assembly behavior in the A549 cells. The tandem molecular self-assembly led to the release of Cyt C from the mitochondria to the cytosol, the generation of ROS, and an elevation in ER stress and UPR, successively. Subsequently, the severe ER stress led to disruption of the ER, formation of vacuoles, and ultimately, apoptosis of the A549 cells. We demonstrated that the Comp. 1 selectively inhibited lung cancer cells both in vitro and in vivo. Our study provided a novel strategy for the selective formation of nanomaterials in lung cancer cells, which is powerful and promising for the diagnostics and therapy of lung cancer.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Materials. 2-Chlorotrityl Chloride Resin (1.1 mmol/g) was purchased from Nankai University resin Co. Ltd. Fmoc-amino acids and o-benzotriazol-1-yl-N,N,N′,N′-tetramethyluronium hexafluorophosphate (HBTU) were obtained from GL Biochem (Shanghai, China). NADPH was bought from Roche Co. Ltd. Rat liver microsomes were purchased from Sigma. Alkaline phosphatase (30 U/μL) was obtained from Takara (D2250, Dalian, China) Bio. Inc. PERK antibody (YT3667, Immunoway Co., Ltd.), phospho-eIF2α (Ser51) antibody (3398, CST), GRP78 BiP antibody (YT5858, Immunoway), CHOP antibody (15204-1-AP, Proteintech), calnexin antibody (10427-2-AP, Proteintech), and cytochrome C (10993-1-AP). Commercially available reagents and solvents were used without further purification, unless noted otherwise.

4.2. General Methods. The synthesized compounds were characterized by 1H NMR (Bruker ARX-400) using
DMSO-d$_6$ as the solvent. HPLC was conducted at the LUMTECH HPLC (Germany) system using a C18 RP column with methanol (0.05% of TFA) and water (0.05% of TFA) as the eluents. LC-MS was conducted at the LCMS-20AD (Shimadzu) system. HR-MS was performed at the Agilent 6520 Q-TOF LC/MS using ESI-L low concentration tuning mix (Lot No. LB60116 from the Agilent Tech.). CMC values and size distribution of micelles were determined by dynamic light scattering (DLS); this experiment was conducted on a laser light scattering spectrometer (BI-200SM, Brookhaven, USA). The morphology conversion of the peptide derivatives was measured by TEM performed on a Tecnai G2 F20 system, operating at 200 kV. Cellular uptake and drug tracking images were taken by a confocal laser scanning microscopy (Leica TSC SP8, Germany).

4.3. Peptide Synthesis and Characterization. The peptide derivatives were prepared by solid phase peptide synthesis (SPPS) using 2-Chlorotrityl Chloride Resin, and the corresponding N-Fmoc protected amino acids with side chains properly protected by a tertbutyl group (tBu) or 2,2,5,7,8-pentamethyl-chroman-6-sulfonyl (Pmc). 20% piperidine DMF solution was used during deprotection of the Fmoc group. O-Benzotriazol-1-yl-N,N,N',N'-tetramethyluronium hexafluorophosphate (HBTU) was used as the coupling reagent. Finally, peptide derivative was cleaved using 95% of trifluoroacetic acid with 2.5% of PhSCH$_3$ and 2.5% of H$_2$O for 30 min. The crude products were purified by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) then frozen dried to obtain pure products.

4.4. Tandem Molecular Self-Assembly of Comp. 1. 1.6 mg of Comp. 1 was dissolved in 500 μL of PBS (pH = 7.4) as a stock solution (PBS was bubbled by Argon for 30 min in advance). The concentration of the stock solution was 200 μM. Na$_2$CO$_3$ (1 M) was added to the above solution to adjust the final pH to 7.4. The solution was incubated at 37°C. The alkali phosphatase (1 U/mL) was then added to the solution for 12 hours at 37°C to trigger the first step of self-assembly. After that, rat liver microsomes (226 μg/mL) and NADPH (50 equiv.) were added for 24 hours at 37°C to trigger the second step of self-assembly.

4.5. Critical Aggregation Concentration (CAC) Determination. The CAC value of compounds 1, 2, 4, and 5 in PBS solution (pH = 7.4) was determined by dynamic light scattering (DLS), and the light scattering intensity was recorded for each concentration analyzed.

4.6. SDS-PAGE Experiment. 15% polyacrylamide glycine gel was used to analyze protein content. 50 μL of supernatant and precipitate was boiled with SDS, respectively, at 100°C for 10 min. 50 μL of microsomes (226 μg/mL) was used as a control. 10 μL of sample was loaded.
4.7. Transmission Electron Microscopy. 10 μL of samples were added to the carbon-coated copper grids; excess samples were removed with filter paper, then uranyl acetate for negative staining. At last, samples were placed in the desiccator overnight and observed with transmission electron microscopy.

A549 cells reach to about 70% confluence in 10 cm culture dish, remove the culture medium, and add the fresh culture medium containing 200 μM compound 1. After 4 or 6 h, remove the culture medium, wash the cells by PBS for three times, and scrape the cells with a cell scraper, then centrifuge it for 5 min. The cells were fixed with a 2.5% glutaraldehyde solution at 4°C overnight.

4.8. Cell Experiments. PC-3, MCF-7, HeLa, U87, HPAEpiC, and A549 cells were purchased from the Type Culture Collection of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China); PC-3, MCF-7, HeLa, U87, and A549 cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% v/v fetal bovine serum (FBS), 100 μ/mL penicillin, and 100 μg/mL streptomycin; HPAEpiC cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 Medium supplemented with 10% v/v fetal bovine serum, 100 U/mL penicillin, and 100 μg/mL streptomycin. All cells were at 37°C and subsequently washed with PBS for three times.

4.9. Reductase Concentration Analysis. We synthesized reductase-based AIE probe TPE-GFFYEG-N=N-EEEE (TPE) to detect its concentration inside different cell lines. Cells were seeded in a CLSM cell culture plate at a concentration of 1×10⁵ cell. After incubation for 24 h, upper medium was removed then cell was incubated with TPE (5 μM) for 6 h. The medium was removed, and the cells were washed with DMEM for three times. We then used CLSM to observe fluorescence intensity and used ImageJ to analyze relative fluorescence intensity (λ_em = 405 nm, all test conditions are consistent).

4.10. Lyssosome and Mitochondrial Colocalization. A549 cells was seeded in CLSM cultural dish at a density of 1×10⁵ cells. After incubation for 12 h, a medium was removed. The cells were then incubated with Comp. 1, 2, 4, or 5 (200 μM) for 1 or 4 h (lyssosome colocation). Cells were incubated with Comp. 1 (200 μM) for 8, 10, and 12 h (mitochondrial colocation). Next, the medium containing different compound was removed and washed by PBS for three times, and lysosome tracker (1×) was incubated with cells for 45 min. The medium was removed and the cells were washed by PBS three times. 1-2 mL of DMEM was added for imaging by live cell imaging systems (λ_exc = 488 nm, emission = 510-560 nm; λ_exc = 543 nm, emission = 650-750 nm). Mito-Tracker (1×) was incubated with cells for 30 min. The medium was then removed and washed by PBS three times. 1-2 mL of DMEM was added for imaging by live cell imaging systems (λ_exc = 488 nm, emission = 510-560 nm; λ_exc = 633 nm, emission = 650-750 nm, all test conditions are consistent).

4.11. JC-1 Staining. Mitochondrial membrane potential was assessed by staining with JC-1 dye (5,50,6,60-tetra-chloro-1,10,3,30-tetraethylbenzimidazol-carbocyanine iodide) (abcam). 1×10⁴ A549 cells per well, seeded in 96-well plates, were treated with Comp.1 (200 μM) for different time points and FCCP (100 μM) for 4 h. After treatment, a medium was removed and the cells were then incubated in 100 μL PBS containing JC-1 dye (20 μM) for 15 min at 37°C and subsequently washed with PBS for three times. The red fluorescence (λ_exc = 543 nm, emission = 570-620 nm) was determined by a microplate reader (BioTek).

A549 cells were seeded in CLSM cultural dish at a density of 1×10⁵ cells. After incubation for 12 h, a medium was removed. The cells were then incubated with Comp. 1 (200 μM) at different time points. The cells also were incubated with FCCP (100 μM) for 4 h as the positive control group. Then, removing medium, JC-1 staining kit (20 μM) was incubated with A549 cells for 15 min. The medium was removed, and the cells were washed by PBS three times. 1 mL of PBS was added for imaging by live cell imaging systems (green channel (depolarization) λ_exc = 488 nm, emission = 500-540 nm; red channel (hyperpolarization) λ_exc = 543 nm, emission = 570-620 nm, all test conditions are consistent).

4.12. ROS Detection. The fluorescent dyes H2DCFDA were used to measure the intracellular ROS, cancer cells were incubated with H2DCFDA for 60 min at 37°C in the dark and then washed with PBS thrice. The oxidation of H2DCFDA was detected using a fluorescence microscope.

4.13. Time-Dependent Western Bolt

4.13.1. Extracting Cyt C in Cytosol. A549 cells reach to about 50% confluence in 10 cm culture dish, remove the culture medium, and add the fresh culture medium that contains 50 μM compound 1 at different times. At desired time, collect cells by trypsin and centrifuge at 700 g for 5 min at 4°C. Resuspend cell with 2 mL of PBS then centrifuge at 700 g for 5 min at 4°C. Resuspend cell with 0.2 mL of cytosol extraction buffer containing DTI and protease inhibitors (cocktail and PMSF). Vortex it for 10 s and incubate on ice for 15 min, then centrifuge at 1000 g for 10 min at 4°C, collect the supernatant carefully, and discard the pellet then centrifuge at 10,000 g for 30 min at 4°C. Collect the 150 μL supernatant carefully.

4.13.2. Extracting ER-Related Protein and Cyt C for Whole Cell. A549 cells reach to about 50% confluence in 10 cm culture dish, remove the culture medium, and add the fresh culture medium containing 50 μM compound 1 at different times. At desired time, collect cells by trypsin and centrifuge at 700 g for 5 min at 4°C. Resuspend cell with 2 mL of PBS then centrifuge at 700 g for 5 min at 4°C. Resuspend cell with 0.2 mL of extraction buffer containing DTI and protease inhibitors (cocktail and PMSF). Vortex it for 10 s and incubate on ice for 30 min, then ultrasonic crushing for 1 min. Centrifuge at 10,000 g for 30 min at 4°C. Collect the 150 μL supernatant carefully. Use the BAC kit to quantify the concentration of protein. Perform standard Western blot. Grayscale statistics are processed by Photoshop CS6. Mean ± SEM, n = 3.
4.14. **Cell Proliferation.** In this experiment, all cell lines were incubated in a 96-well plate at a density of about 6000. According to previous method [45], cell was fixed by cold 10% trichloroacetic acid solution at 4°C overnight after incubated with compound for 48 h. Then, discarding the fixative solution and washed by water for three times, put the 96-well plate in a 37°C oven to dry. Next, 0.4% SRB solution was used to stain cell for 20 min at room temperature to form protein-bound dry, then using 1% AcOH solution to clean excessive SRB. Put the 96-well plate in a 37°C oven to dry and add 10 mM Tris base solution of 100 μL every well to solubilize the protein-bound dry for 30 min. Measure the OD at 570 nm in a microplate reader.

4.15. **Cell Uptakes.** Cells were incubated in 12-well plates at a density of 10 × 10⁶ cells. The cell cultural medium containing 200 μM of each compound was added to the cells, and the upper medium was removed at 6 h time point. The mice were washed for three times with PBS or DMEM. After being treated with cell lysis solution (1 mL per well contained 200 μL DMSO) for 15 min, the solutions were centrifuged at 14,000 rad/s for 10 min. The amount of compound in the upper solution was determined by a microplate reader at OD of 488 nm (Bio-Rad Mark™, America).

4.16. **In Vivo Antitumor Assay.** A549 cells were maintained in our lab. The BALB/c nude (6 weeks old, female) mice purchased from Beijing Vital River Laboratory Animal Technology Co., Ltd. were used. 5 × 10⁶ A549 cells (100 μL) mixed with growth factor-reduced Matrigel (50 μL, BD Biosciences) were subcutaneously injected into the right flank of each mouse. The drug treatment was started when tumor volume reached 80 mm³: the tumor volume was calculated by the formula: length * width²/2. Mice (n = 5) received compounds 1, 2, 4, and 5 (5.0 mg/kg) in PBS by i.v. injection, whereas the control group (n = 5) received PBS only. The compound was injected every three days. The mice were subcutaneously injected into the right flank of each mouse. The drug treatment was started when tumor volume reached 80 mm³; the tumor volume was calculated by the formula: length * width²/2. Mice (n = 5) received compounds 1, 2, 4, and 5 (5.0 mg/kg) in PBS by i.v. injection, whereas the control group (n = 5) received PBS only. The compound was injected every three days. The mice were weighed, and tumors were measured every two days during the treatment period.

4.17. **H&E Staining of Tumor Tissue.** The mice were sacrificed to obtain tumor tissues; tumor tissues were fixed by 4% formalin over 48 h, embedded in paraffin, cut into 5-6 μm sections for H&E staining, and evaluated using light microscopy as previously described method.
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Figure S1: 1H-NMR spectrum of 3-nitrosobenzoic acid. Figure S2: 1H-NMR spectrum of Comp. S1. Scheme S1: synthetic route to Comp. S2. Figure S3: 1H-NMR spectrum of Fmoc-Gly-Azo-benzene. Scheme S2: reaction route from Comp. S2 to Comp. S3 by sodium dithionite (5 equiv.) for 10 min. Figure S4: LC-MS traces to indicate the conversion from Comp. S2 to Comp. S3 by sodium dithionite (5 equiv.) for 10 min. Figure S5: HR-MS spectrum of Comp. 1. Figure S6: HR-MS spectrum of Comp. 2. Figure S7: HR-MS spectrum of Comp. 4. Figure S8: HR-MS spectrum of Comp. 5. Figure S9: HR-MS spectrum of TPE-GFFYEGN=N-EEE. Figure S10: MALDI-TOF spectrum of TPE-GFFYEGN=N-EEE. Figure S11: LC traces to show the conversion from Comp. 1 to Comp. 2 by adding ALP (A) for 1 h and (B) for 6 h; (C) mass spectrum of Comp. 2 detected in above process. Figure S12: LC traces to show the conversion from Comp. 2 to Comp. 3 by adding NADPH (50 equiv.) and rat liver microsomes (226 μg/mL) for (A) 6 h and (B) 24 h; (C) mass spectrum of Comp. 3 detected in above process. Figure S13: critical aggregation concentration of (A) Comp. 1, (B) Comp. 2, (C) Comp. 4, and (D) Comp. 5. Figure S14: protein content analysis in precipitation and supernatant via SDS-PAGE. Figure S15: TEM images of rat liver microsomes; (A) scale bars represent 500 nm; (B) scale bars represent 250 nm. Figure S16: TEM images of ultrathin sections of A549 cells (A) at 4 h post administration of Comp. 1 (200 μM); the red arrow represents nanofiber; (B) without Comp. 1, scale bar represents 500 nm; (C) without Comp. 1, scale bar represents 200 nm. Figure S17: CLSM images of reductase expression detected by TPE (5μm) for different cell lines; scale bars represent 25 μm. Figure S18: relative concentration of reductase for different cell lines detected by AIE-probe. Mean and standard deviation is plotted for 3 replicates. Figure S19: CLSM images of cells treated with Comp. 1 for 4 h in the presence of the RGD (1 mM) (A) scale bars represent 10 μm; (B) scale bars represent 25 μm. Figure S20: CLSM images of A549 cells treated with Comp. 2, Comp. 4, and Comp. 5 (200 μM) for 4 h and then stained with Lyso-Tracker. Scale bars represent 25 μm. Figure S21: confocal laser scanning microscopy images of A549 cells treated with Comp. 1 (200 μM) for 10 h and then stained with Mito-Tracker. Scale bars represent 10 μm. Figure S22: morphology of A549 cells treated with Comp. 1 (200 μM) for 12 h; the red arrows represent the cytoplasmic vacuolization. Scale bars represent 7.5 μm. Figure S23: CLSM images of A549 cells treated with Comp. 2, Comp. 4, and Comp. 5 (200 μM) for 12 h and then stained with Mito-Tracker. Scale bars represent 7.5 μm. Figure S24: CLSM images of A549 cells stained with Mito-Tracker. Scale bars represent 7.5 μm. Figure S25: CLSM images of JC-1 staining of the A549 cell incubated with Comp. 1 (200 μM) for different time points and FCCP (100 μM) for 4 h, respectively. Scale bar represents 10 μm. Figure S26: CLSM images of cells treated with Comp. 1 (200 μM) for 12 h in the presence of the NAC (40 μM) or NAC (500 μM), scale bar represents 10 μm. Figure S27: the viability of A549 cells in the presence of NAC (500 μM) treated with Comp. 1 for (A) 6h, (B) 12h, and (C) 24h. Figure S28: (A) time-dependent Western blot analysis...
of ER stress-related marker expression in A549 cancer cell after being treated with Comp. 1 (50 μM). (B) ER stress signaling in the presence or absence of NAC. Figure S29: (a) the ration of mean gray value quantified from the Western blot of ER stress; (b) the ration of mean gray value quantified from the western blot of Cyt C. Mean ± SEM, n = 3. Figure S30: inhibition curve of Comp. 1 for different cell lines. Mean ± SEM, n = 3. Figure S31: (A) percentage of inhibition for A549 cells incubated with Comp. 1, Comp. 2, Comp. 4, and Comp. 5, respectively. Mean ± SEM, n = 3. Figure S32: cellular uptake of compounds in different cell lines. Mean ± SEM, n = 3. Figure S33: (A) weight change of mice treated by different compound and PBS. Mean ± SEM, n = 3.

(Supplementary Materials)

References

[1] T. Aida, E. W. Meijer, and S. I. Stupp, "Functional supramolecular polymers," Science, vol. 335, no. 6070, pp. 813–817, 2012.
[2] E. Gazit, "Aromatic dipeptides light up," Nature Nanotechnology, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 309–310, 2016.
[3] R. Duncan, "Polymer conjugates as anticancer nanomedicines," Nature Reviews Cancer, vol. 6, no. 9, pp. 688–701, 2006.
[4] P. Huang, Y. Gao, J. Lin et al., "Tumor-specific formation of enzyme-instructed supramolecular self-assemblies as cancer theranostics," ACS Nano, vol. 9, no. 10, pp. 9517–9527, 2015.
[5] E. K. Lim, T. Kim, S. Paik, S. Haam, Y. M. Huh, and K. Lee, "Nanomaterials for theranostics: recent advances and future challenges," Chemical Reviews, vol. 115, no. 1, pp. 327–394, 2015.
[6] T. Lammers, S. Aime, W. E. Hennink, G. Storm, and F. Kiessling, "Theranostic nanomedicine," Accounts of Chemical Research, vol. 44, no. 10, pp. 1029–1038, 2011.
[7] K. Sato, M. P. Hendrickx, L. C. Palmer, and S. I. Stupp, "Peptide supramolecular materials for therapeutics," Chemical Society Reviews, vol. 47, no. 20, pp. 7539–7551, 2018.
[8] P. Majumder, U. Baxa, S. T. R. Walsh, and J. P. Schneider, "Design of a multicompartment hydrogel that facilitates time-resolved delivery of combination therapy and synergized killing of glioblastoma," Angewandte Chemie International Edition, vol. 57, no. 46, pp. 15040–15044, 2018.
[9] B. Gril, A. N. Paranjape, S. Woditschka et al., "Reactive astrocryptic S1P3 signaling modulates the blood-tumor barrier in brain metastases," Nature Communications, vol. 9, no. 1, p. 2705, 2018.
[10] S. Wilhelm, A. J. Tavares, Q. Dai et al., "Analysis of nanoparticle delivery to tumours," Nature Reviews Materials, vol. 1, no. 5, 2016.
[11] V. J. Venditto and F. C. Szoka Jr., "Cancer nanomedicines: so many papers and so few drugs!," Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews, vol. 65, no. 1, pp. 80–88, 2013.
[12] D. B. Cheng, D. Wang, Y. J. Gao, L. Wang, Z. Y. Qiao, and H. Wang, "Autocatalytic morphology transformation platform for targeted drug accumulation," Journal of the American Chemical Society, vol. 141, no. 10, pp. 4406–4411, 2019.
[13] J. Zhan, Y. Cai, S. He, L. Wang, and Z. Yang, "Tandem molecular self-assembly in liver cancer cells," Angewandte Chemie International Edition, vol. 57, no. 7, pp. 1813–1816, 2018.
[14] Y. Cong, L. Ji, Y. J. Gao et al., "Microenvironment-induced in situ self-assembly of polymer-peptide conjugates that attack solid tumors deeply," Angewandte Chemie International Edition, vol. 58, no. 14, pp. 4632–4637, 2019.
[15] J. S. Rudra, T. Sun, K. C. Bird et al., "Modulating adaptive immune responses to peptide self-assemblies," ACS Nano, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 1557–1564, 2012.
[16] Z. Luo, Q. Wu, C. Yang et al., "A powerful CD8+ T-cell stimulating D-tetra-peptide hydrogel as a very promising vaccine adjuvant," Advanced Materials, vol. 29, no. 5, 2017.
[17] Y. Cai, H. Shen, J. Zhan et al., "Supramolecular "Trojan horse" for nuclear delivery of dual anticancer drugs," Journal of the American Chemical Society, vol. 139, no. 8, pp. 2876–2879, 2017.
[18] H. Su, W. Zhang, H. Wang, F. Wang, and H. Cui, "Paclitaxel-promoted supramolecular polymerization of peptide conjugates," Journal of the American Chemical Society, vol. 141, no. 30, pp. 11997–12004, 2019.
[19] R. W. Chakroun, F. Wang, R. Lin et al., "Fine-tuning the linear release rate of paclitaxel-bearing supramolecular filament hydrogels through molecular engineering," ACS Nano, vol. 13, no. 7, pp. 7780–7790, 2019.
[20] Q. Luo, Y. X. Lin, P. P. Yang et al., "A self-destructive nanosweeper that captures and clears amyloid β-peptides," Nature Communications, vol. 9, no. 1, p. 1802, 2018.
[21] S. Kiran, Z. Hai, Z. Ding et al., "Alkaline phosphatase-triggered assembly of etoposide enhances its anticancer effect," Chemical Communications, vol. 54, no. 15, pp. 1853–1856, 2018.
[22] Z. Feng, H. Wang, S. Wang et al., "Enzymatic assemblies disrupt the membrane and target endoplasmic reticulum for selective cancer cell death," Journal of the American Chemical Society, vol. 140, no. 30, pp. 9566–9573, 2018.
[23] H. Wang, Z. Feng, Y. Wang, R. Zhou, Z. Yang, and B. Xu, "Integrating enzymatic self-assembly and mitochondria targeting for selectively killing cancer cells without acquired drug resistance," Journal of the American Chemical Society, vol. 138, no. 49, pp. 16046–16055, 2016.
[24] Z. Hai, Y. Ni, D. Saimi et al., "γ-Glutamyltranspeptidase-Triggered intracellular gadolinium nanoparticle formation enhances the T2-weighted MR contrast of tumor," Nano Letters, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 2428–2433, 2019.
[25] T. Xu, Y. Cai, X. Zhong et al., "β-galactosidase instructed supramolecular hydrogelation for selective identification and removal of senescent cells," Chemical Communications, vol. 55, no. 50, pp. 7175–7178, 2019.
[26] Y. Gao, Y. Kuang, Z. F. Guo, Z. Guo, I. J. Krauss, and B. Xu, "Enzyme-instructed molecular self-assembly confers nanofibers and a supramolecular hydrogel of taxol derivative," Journal of the American Chemical Society, vol. 131, no. 38, pp. 13576–13577, 2009.
[27] M. Ikeda, T. Tanida, T. Yoshii et al., "Installing logic-gate responses to a variety of biological substances in supramolecular hydrogel-enzyme hybrids," Nature Chemistry, vol. 6, no. 6, pp. 511–518, 2014.
[28] H. Shigemitsu, T. Fujisaku, W. Tanaka et al., "An adaptive supramolecular hydrogel comprising self-sorting double nanofibre networks," Nature Nanotechnology, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 165–172, 2018.
[29] S. Y. Li, L. H. Liu, L. Rong et al., "A dual-FRET-based versatile prodrug for real-time drug release monitoring and in situ therapeutic efficacy evaluation," Advanced Functional Materials, vol. 25, no. 47, pp. 7317–7326, 2015.
[30] A. Tanaka, Y. Fukuoka, Y. Morimoto et al., “Cancer cell death induced by the intracellular self-assembly of an enzyme-responsive supramolecular gelator,” *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, vol. 137, no. 2, pp. 770–775, 2015.

[31] W. Liyanage, P. W. Rubeo, and B. L. Nilsson, “Redox-sensitive reversible self-assembly of amino acid-naphthalene diimide conjugates,” *Interface Focus*, vol. 7, no. 6, article 20160099, 2017.

[32] D. Zheng, Z. Gao, T. Xu et al., “Responsive peptide-based supramolecular hydrogels constructed by self-immolative chemistry,” *Nanoscale*, vol. 10, no. 45, pp. 21459–21465, 2018.

[33] W. Tang, Z. Zhao, Y. Chong et al., “Tandem enzymatic self-assembly and slow release of dexamethasone enhances its antihypertensive fibrosis effect,” *ACS Nano*, vol. 12, no. 10, pp. 9966–9973, 2018.

[34] U. M. Zanger and M. Schwab, “Cytochrome P450 enzymes in drug metabolism: regulation of gene expression, enzyme activities, and impact of genetic variation,” *Pharmacology & Therapeutics*, vol. 138, no. 1, pp. 103–141, 2013.

[35] W. Piao, K. Hanaoka, T. Fujisawa et al., “Development of an azo-based photosensitizer activated under mild hypoxia for photodynamic therapy,” *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, vol. 139, no. 39, pp. 13713–13719, 2017.

[36] Y. Weng, C. Fang, R. J. Turesky, M. Behr, L. S. Kaminsky, and X. Ding, “Determination of the role of target tissue metabolism in lung carcinogenesis using conditional cytochrome P450 reductase-null mice,” *Cancer Research*, vol. 67, no. 16, pp. 7825–7832, 2007.

[37] A. Han, H. Wang, R. T. Kwok et al., “Peptide-induced AIEgen self-assembly: a new strategy to realize highly sensitive fluorescent light-up probes,” *Analytical Chemistry*, vol. 88, no. 7, pp. 3872–3878, 2016.

[38] S. M. Shim, H. R. Choi, K. W. Sung et al., “The endoplasmic reticulum-residing chaperone BiP is short-lived and metabolized through N-terminal arginylation,” *Science Signaling*, vol. 11, no. 511, article eaan0630, 2018.

[39] Y. Wang, S. Luo, C. Zhang et al., “An NIR-fluorophore-based therapeutic endoplasmic reticulum stress inducer,” *Advanced Materials*, vol. 30, no. 33, article 1800475, 2018.

[40] M. Wang and R. J. Kaufman, “The impact of the endoplasmic reticulum protein-folding environment on cancer development,” *Nature Reviews Cancer*, vol. 14, no. 9, pp. 581–597, 2014.

[41] C. D. Ochoa, R. F. Wu, and L. S. Terada, “ROS signaling and ER stress in cardiovascular disease,” *Molecular Aspects of Medicine*, vol. 63, pp. 18–29, 2018.

[42] A. Méndez-Garrido, M. Hernández-Rodríguez, R. Zamorano-Ulloa et al., “In vitro effect of H₂O₂, some transition metals and hydroxyl radical produced via Fenton and Fenton-like reactions, on the catalytic activity of AChE and the hydrolysis of ACh,” *Neurochemical Research*, vol. 39, no. 11, pp. 2093–2104, 2014.

[43] X. Y. Xiang, X. C. Yang, J. Su et al., “Inhibition of autophagic flux by ROS promotes apoptosis during DTT-induced ER/oxidative stress in HeLa cells,” *Oncology Reports*, vol. 35, no. 6, pp. 3471–3479, 2016.

[44] P. S. O'Brien, Y. Xi, J. R. Miller et al., “Disulfiram (Antabuse) activates ROS-dependent ER stress and apoptosis in oral cavity squamous cell carcinoma,” *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, vol. 8, no. 5, p. 611, 2019.

[45] V. Vichai and K. Kirtikara, “Sulforhodamine B colorimetric assay for cytotoxicity screening,” *Nature Protocols*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 1112–1116, 2006.