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MANUAL ACOUSTIC TRACKING REVEALS THE SPATIAL ECOLOGY OF GIANT TREVALLY AT A REMOTE SOUTH PACIFIC ATOLL, WITH IMPLICATIONS FOR THEIR MANAGEMENT

ALEXANDER FILOUS1,3*, ROBERT J. LENNOX2, ANDY J. DANYLCHUK1, and ALAN M. FRIEDLANDER3,4

ABSTRACT

Giant trevally (Caranx ignobilis) are important predators on the reefs of the tropical Indo-Pacific and research into their spatial ecology is needed to improve our understanding of their behavior and assist fisheries management. We used active acoustic telemetry to describe the fine-scale movements of giant trevally at Tetiaroa Atoll, including their home range, site fidelity, habitat use and spatial overlap with a small (21 km²) Marine Protected Area (MPA). The home ranges of giant trevally were small but varied among individuals (Minimum Convex Polygon $\bar{X} = 3.2 \pm 2.5$ km²). All giant trevally exhibited site fidelity to their respective home ranges with a 31% average overlap in daily space use, but there was limited overlap in home ranges among individuals, with intra-individual spatial overlap significantly greater than inter-individual overlap ($t = -4.93$, df = 16.87, p-value = <0.001). There was only modest overlap (19 ± 19%) of giant trevally home ranges within the MPA and high spatial overlap of home ranges with deep lagoon habitats (90 ± 0.09 %). Our results indicate that MPAs could be an effective tool for the conservation of this species if they are implemented on an atoll-wide scale. However, in the case of managing recreational catch-and-release fisheries, rotational small-scale temporal closures could be effective in regulating the angling pressure imposed upon giant trevally, provided post-release mortality is minimized. The results of this study provide the first detailed account of habitat use in this species and highlight the need for additional research on the factors contributing to the survival of caught-and-released giant trevally in predator dominated atolls, especially as their popularity as a target of recreational fisheries continues to grow, and fishing operations and agencies are faced with the need to manage their fisheries.
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INTRODUCTION

Giant trevally (Caranx ignobilis) are important predators on the reefs of the tropical Indo-Pacific (Randall 2005). Revered for their strength and aggressive nature, the quest for giant trevally on the shallow water flats of remote atolls is for many recreational anglers the pinnacle of saltwater fishing (McLeod 2016). As a result, giant trevally have become a popular target for recreational anglers and their populations now support recreational fishing tourism throughout their range (McLeod 2016). In addition to their importance to recreational fisheries, these predators play an equally important role in maintaining the balance of coral reef ecosystems by imposing a top down effect on lower trophic groups (Sudekum et al. 1991). In parts of their distribution where commercial fisheries actively target giant trevally, their numbers have been reduced to a fraction of their historical abundance and they are nearly extirpated from many of these coastal ecosystems (Friedlander and DeMartini 2002; Friedlander et al. 2015). Large predators like trevally are likely to have a cascading effect on the behavior and abundance of their prey and competitors (Hammerschlag et al. 2015), and thus impacts to these predators have the potential to alter the function of the entire ecosystem (Friedlander and DeMartini 2002; Heithaus et al. 2008).

Considering the importance of giant trevally to fisheries and coral reef ecosystems, research on their spatial ecology, specifically their movements, site fidelity and home range is needed to inform the management of their fisheries. The home range of an organism encompasses the spatially and temporally defined area in which an animal lives and periodically travels while engaged in its normal activities, including foraging and the maintenance of homeostasis (Burt 1943; Börger et al. 2008; Morrissey et al. 2009). Detailed information on the size of home ranges and movements away from them can assist in the management of fisheries by informing the design and efficacy of Marine Protected Areas (MPAs) to ensure that they are appropriately located and sized. Additionally, this information can elucidate critical habitats that should be protected from anthropogenic development, identify a species’ risk of contaminant exposure and help identify other spatial/temporal conservation actions such as seasonal closures in spawning aggregation sites, to preserve the quality of a fishery (Roberts and Polunin 1991; Kramer and Chapman 1999; Roberts et al. 2002; Sale et al. 2005; Moffitt et al. 2009; Grüss et al. 2011; Wolfe and Lowe 2015).

Both active and passive acoustic telemetry have been widely implemented to study the movement, behavior and home range of marine fishes (Heupel et al. 2006; Meyer et al. 2007a; Papastamatiou et al. 2009, 2010), and as a result have become important tools in developing species-specific spatial management (Donaldson et al. 2014; Crossin et al. 2017; Lennox et al. 2018). Active acoustic telemetry involves outfitting a fish with an acoustic transmitter capable of emitting a signal at a short and continuous interval. This signal indicates a fish’s location and is decoded by a hydrophone permitting a fish to be precisely positioned for long periods, allowing for a nearly continuous record of daily movements (Voegeli et al. 2001). Detailed time series of individual positions provide information about the habitat preferences, home range, and behavior of an animal across short time scales (Meyer and Holland 2005; Papastamatiou et al. 2009). In contrast, passive acoustic telemetry involves tagging fish with a coded transmitter and provides a record of a fish’s presence or absence in a fixed array of acoustic receivers. The passive methodology allows for an investigation of long-term movement patterns beyond the capabilities of active tracking but lacks the spatial and temporal resolution provided by active tracking (Voegeli et al. 2001). However recent advances in tracking technologies have improved the spatial precision from passive monitoring arrays with Vemco Positioning Systems (VPS), but are expensive and require more resources than traditional monitoring (Andrews et al. 2011; Espinoza et al. 2011).

The broad-scale movements of giant trevally have been studied with passive acoustic telemetry (Meyer et al. 2007b; Lédée et al. 2015; Filous et al. 2017a); these studies suggest that giant trevally exhibit site fidelity to core areas on isolated islands and atolls. However, in these studies giant trevally periodically moved outside of acoustic arrays, and average residency index’s ranged from 50 to 53 percent with large scale trans-atoll and in some cases intra-island movements observed (Meyer et al. 2007b; Lédée et al. 2015; Filous et al. 2017a). The presence-absence data obtained from the movements of this species in these fixed arrays leaves gaps in our understanding of the extent of their daily space use and behavior. Active tracking is labor intensive but provides fine-scale data necessary to fill the knowledge gaps in the spatial ecology of this species (Meyer and
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Holland 2005; Afonso et al. 2009; Papastamatiou et al. 2009). Yet, apart from one study on the movements of juveniles, little research has been conducted to evaluate the fine-scale movements of adult giant trevally (Wetherbee et al. 2004). The objectives of this work were to utilize active acoustic telemetry to describe the natural movements and behavior of giant trevally including their home range size, site fidelity, habitat use and spatial overlap with a small MPA. Here, we present the first description of the fine-scale movements of this species in a remote South Pacific atoll, the results of which will contribute to the conservation of this species as their popularity continues to grow and fishing operations and agencies are faced with the need to manage their fisheries.

METHODS

Study Site

Tetiaroa is a closed atoll in the Society Islands, 53 km north of Tahiti, in French Polynesia. The atoll is comprised of 12 motu, small islands, encircling shallow sand flats around a lagoon that descends to a maximum depth of 30 m and is characterized by sand and silt substrate with scattered coral pinnacles. The atoll supports a recreational fishery in which visiting anglers target giant trevally, bonefish (*Albula glossodonta*) and other reef-associated species. Additionally, a small MPA (21 km²) divides the center of the atoll longitudinally, effectively restricting the harvest or interaction with marine life in the southern half of the lagoon. Fishing is permitted in the northern part of the atoll, however, netting and spearfishing at night are prohibited throughout its interior (Figure 1).

![Figure 1](image_url)

**Figure 1.** The location of Tetiaroa Atoll in French Polynesia, with its MPA designated by the white polygon.
Tagging and Active Tracking

Giant trevally were captured with fly-fishing equipment in the sector of Tetiaroa’s lagoon that is open to fishing. Angling was carried out with 12-weight fly rods, 80-100lb fluorocarbon leaders, and flies tied on single 4/0 barbless hooks. Upon capture, all giant trevally were brought on board the research vessel and placed on a padded surgical mat with a hose circulating sea water over their gills. Each fish was measured in fork length (FL cm) and surgically fitted with a V13-1L continuous acoustic transmitter (13 x 45 mm, Vemco, Halifax, Nova Scotia). Transmitters were surgically implanted into the body cavity of each animal through a 2 cm incision in the abdominal wall and closed with an interrupted nylon suture (Holland et al. 1999; Meyer and Honebrink 2005). The V13 continuous tags were programmed to transmit a single pulse at a unique frequency (65-70 kHz) every second for up to 30 d for individual identification. After release, all transmitters were located using a VR100 acoustic receiver (Vemco, Halifax, Nova Scotia).

Following surgery, fish were returned to the water, revived on a shallow sand flat and released after showing signs of increased vigor. After 96 h we resumed tracking these fish by systematically searching the lagoon from randomly selected locations, and upon recovery their diurnal movements were monitored to obtain estimates of daytime home range size and behavior. Although we were able to decode all fish simultaneously, we were only able to track a single fish at a given time. Nonetheless, we noted the presence and location of other fish when they were within range. During tracking events the behavior of giant trevally and their interactions with other marine life was visibly observed and photographed.

Data Analysis

Data Management

Data were filtered to ensure that at the time of detection a tracked fish was in proximity to the GPS locations recorded by the VR100 by excluding any detections less than 70 dB in signal strength.

Survival

To determine the survival of each fish, we observed tagged fish during tracking events and evaluated their survival based on the criteria that: (1) the fish was relocated and tracked at a minimum of two weeks after initial capture and release, and (2) each fish was observed during these later tracking events to ensure that the animal being tracked was still the instrumented animal and not a predator or scavenger that had assumed the tag of a giant trevally (Gibson et al. 2015).

Home Range and Site Fidelity

To describe the home range and site fidelity of giant trevally, the tracks of each fish were plotted in ArcGIS 10.2 (ESRI) and the size and location of their individual home ranges were estimated using three metrics: the minimum convex polygon (MCP), the 95% kernel utilization distribution (KUD), and the 50% KUD. The MCP is the area of the polygon formed when connecting the outer positions of a tracked fish (Heupel et al. 2004) and provides a measure of the size of this area. The 95% KUD is a probability distribution that provides a measure of space use within which there is a 95% probability of re-sighting an individual. This measure is considered the home range of an individual and similarly, a 50% KUD represents the area where there is a 50% chance of finding an individual and represents an area of core use (Heupel et al. 2004; Papastamatiou et al. 2009). To measure an individual’s entire home range, we calculated these metrics from all their recorded geographic locations during the study. These polygons and their areas were calculated with the mcp and kernelUD functions in the adehabitatHR package in R and visualized in ArcGIS 10.2 (ESRI).

To evaluate site fidelity exhibited by individual fish, we calculated the MCP of each fish’s daily tracks following the methods described above and calculated the Index of Refuge (IOR) between tracks of equivalent monitoring time.
IOR = \[\frac{OV (A1+A2)}{(A1+A2)}\]

IOR, the index of refuge, \([OV (A1+A2)]\), is the area of overlap between two daily home ranges, \((A1+A2)\) total area of both home ranges. A value of 0 indicates no overlap of use, and a measure of 1 indicating 100 percent overlap of use (Papastamatiou et al. 2009).

We calculated the location and size of the MCP for each daily fish track with the mcp function in the adehabitatHR package and calculated the area of overlap between tracks with the gIntersection function in the rgeos package in R. We then used linear regression to test for a relationship between fish size and daily IOR using the lm function in R. Finally, to examine intra-individual overlap and determine whether giant trevally exhibit spatial overlap in home range among conspecifics (Morrissey et al. 2009), we calculated the IOR of the total MCPs of each giant trevally against each other to describe the overlap of home ranges and evaluate inter-individual space use following the methods described above. We then tested for a difference between intra-individual and inter-individual IOR with a Welch Two Sample t-test with the t.test function in R, a test of the hypothesis that range use was independent of the individual.

Spatial Overlap with the MPA and Habitat Types

To evaluate the extent to which the atoll’s MPA provides protection to giant trevally, we calculated the spatial overlap between the MCP of each tracked fish and the area of the MPA. The area of overlap was estimated with the gIntersection function in the rgeos package in R, and these overlapping areas were converted to percentages of giant trevally home range by dividing the area of overlap with total home range area to evaluate whether giant trevally space use was independent of the protections afforded by the protected area designation. This process was replicated to describe their habitat preferences by calculating the percentages of home range overlap with the deep lagoon and shallow water sand flats, the two dominant habitat types in the interior of Tetiaroa Atoll.

RESULTS

Between August 8 and October 23, 2014, we tagged and tracked five adult *C. ignobilis* with V13-1L continuous transmitters ranging in size from 79 to 90 (83.8 ± 4.5 sd) cm FL. The movements of these five fish were monitored from 20 to 71 h across periods spanning 32 to 42 d, with a total of 233 h of giant trevally observations (Table 1).

Description of Home Range Size, Behavior, and Overlap with the MPA

The home range size of giant trevally was small but variable among individuals, with estimates of their MCPs ranging from 0.7 to 8 km² and a mean of 3.2 ± 2.5 SD km² (Figure 2). The 95% KUDs ranged in size from 1 to 10.3 km² with a mean of 4.1 ± 3.3 SD km². Areas of core use ranged from 0.1 to 2.6 km² and averaged 1.1 km² within the lagoon (Table 1). The overlap of giant trevally home range with Teriaroa’s MPA ranged from 0 to 52% with an average of 19 ± 19 SD % and giant trevally space use predominantly overlapped with deeper lagoon habitat, which comprised from 75% to 99% (90 ± 0.09 SD) of their home ranges (Table 1).

The giant trevally with the largest home range, CAIG5, used 8 km² of the lagoon (Figure 3). After recovery from tagging, we tracked this fish on three separate occasions and found that it consistently traversed the lagoon. During each tracking event, this individual began the day at the lagoon’s edge on the western portion of the atoll and moved east completing its day on the sand flats at the eastern part of the atoll. The home range of this fish had a 28% overlap with the MPA. The giant trevally with the second largest home range was CAIG4, which we monitored on five separate days where it utilized 3 km² of lagoon.
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Table 1. The size, monitoring information, home range estimations, and spatial overlap with the Marine Protected Area (MPA) for giant trevally at Tetiaroa Atoll.

| Fish ID | Fork length (cm) | Detection Span (days) | Days detected | Hours Monitored | MCP (km²) | 95% KUD (km²) | 50% KUD (km²) | % overlap with MPA | % overlap with lagoon | % overlap with flats |
|---------|------------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------|---------------|---------------|-------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| CAIG1   | 83               | 44                    | 4             | 20              | 0.7       | 1             | 0.1           | 52                | 92                   | 8                |
| CAIG2   | 90               | 32                    | 7             | 43              | 1.3       | 2.2           | 0.6           | 0                 | 99                   | 1                |
| CAIG3   | 79               | 39                    | 18            | 71              | 2.8       | 3.5           | 1             | 16                | 86                   | 14               |
| CAIG4   | 88               | 37                    | 15            | 62              | 3         | 3.3           | 0.9           | 0                 | 98                   | 2                |
| CAIG5   | 79               | 41                    | 10            | 37              | 8         | 10.3          | 2.6           | 28                | 75                   | 25               |

Mean ± sd 83.8±4.5 38.6±4.0 10.8±5.11 46.6±18.1 3.2±2.5 4.1±3.3 1.1±0.8 19 ±19 90±0.09 10±0.09

The movements of this fish remained restricted to the northern sector of the atoll and exhibited 0% overlap with the MPA (Figure 3).

CAIG3 was monitored for a total of 71 h and was detected within its home range 100% of the time we surveyed the eastern part of the lagoon, which suggests that this fish exhibits strong site fidelity to the eastern drop off (Figure 3). This fish spent the majority of its time making a circuit, traveling north and south along the lagoon’s eastern slope and exhibiting a 16% overlap with the MPA, repeatedly crossing its boundary. This individual utilized a home range (2.8 km²) similar to CAIG4 and the animal’s core use area (50% KUD) coincided with the southern part of the eastern drop off. The only time the fish was observed away from this area was while it made periodic visits to the central lagoon or while it was observed on the flats adjacent to the eastern drop off swimming in association with sting rays (*Himantura fai*). This association between giant trevally and sting rays was observed in three of the five individuals we tracked with some individual giant trevally spending up to 2 h swimming and feeding in association with these rays (Figure 4). The smallest recorded home ranges were 0.7 (CAIG1) and 1.3 km² (CAIG2), however, these figures likely underestimate the size of these fish’s home range, as both individuals made repeated and predictable excursions from the lagoon to the reef edge and we were unable to obtain complete coverage of their space use (Figure 2; Table 1).

**Site Fidelity**

Despite the variation in home range size, the movements of each fish within their home ranges were spatially and temporally repeatable within individuals. IOR results indicate that all fish exhibited site fidelity to their respective home ranges with an average overlap in daily space use of 31% (± 9, range: 15-41%). These values suggest this species exhibits relatively high site fidelity considering the mobility of this species. However, there was a not a significant relationship between fish size and daily IOR (F1,7, p = 0.6), which may be due to the limited size range of the fish we tracked. The mean intra-individual spatial overlap (31%) was significantly higher than the mean (9%) inter-individual IOR (t = -4.93, df = 16.87, p = 0.0001; Figure 5).
Figure 2. Tetitaraa Atoll with its MPA designated by the white polygon and the Minimum Convex Polygons (MCP), indicating the size and location of giant trevally home ranges observed during the study.
Figure 3. Tetiaroa Atoll with the Kernel Density Distributions (KUD) of CAIG5 (A), CAIG4 (B), and CAIG3 (C). Their respective 95% KUDs are indicated by grey shading, while their 50% KUDs are indicated by red shading, and the MPA is designated by the white polygon that encompasses the southern half of Tetiaroa’s lagoon.
Figure 4. Images of CAIG3 hunting is association with a sting ray (*Himantura fai*), on the flats located in the Eastern side of Tetiaroa atoll. The red arrow indicates the position of the giant trevally and red circle highlights the sting ray.
An understanding of giant trevally spatial ecology is important to designing effective fisheries management plans and this research provides a unique high-resolution perspective of the behavior and movement of this species. Our results indicate, that at the time scale of this study (i.e., 32 to 44 days), giant trevally exhibit repetitive spatial and temporal patterns of habitat use. Each fish had a well-defined home range and exhibited behavior that qualitatively and quantitatively suggest they exhibit site fidelity (Cooper 1978). However, the comparison of inter-individual and intra-individual overlap in space use suggests that despite the small size of the atoll, there is only moderate overlap in the home ranges of giant trevally. The drop off along the eastern perimeter of the lagoon was the only region with high overlap in giant trevally home ranges, as it was periodically utilized by four of the five giant trevally we studied. The use of transition zones between habitats for feeding is a common behavior in other top-predators and our observations of giant trevally behavior were consistent with the space use of sharks in analogous marine systems (Heithaus et al. 2006; Papastamatiou et al. 2009). The limited degree of intra-individual overlap suggests that competitive exclusion within the species may drive giant trevally to different behavioral types in their daily foraging routines (Hardin 1960). During instances in which giant trevally were observed swimming together, there was no evidence of site defense between conspecifics, which suggests that differential home ranges is not due to territoriality (Brown and Orians 1970), but rather resource partitioning in crowded coral reef communities (Sale 2006; Ross 2018). On the shallow sand flats, giant trevally were commonly observed swimming in association with sting rays for extended periods of time; these fish were often feeding, and our observations suggest that hunting giant trevally take advantage of the rays acute sensory system, which is adapted to find small organisms hiding in the sand, but it is unclear whether this relationship is parasitism or commensalism (Losey 1978). These joint hunting or

**Figure 5.** The density distributions of Intra-individual and Inter-individual spatial overlap in space use, with black vertical dashed line indicating the mean (t = -4.9317, df = 16.866, p-value = 0.0001).
following relationships are common in marine trophic systems and presumably the collective foraging and or kleptoparasitism that occurs increases the fitness of the predators involved in the interaction (Karplus 1978; Ormond 1980; Strand 1988).

Acoustic telemetry has become an important tool for marine spatial planning and evaluating the efficacy of MPA’s (Lennox et al. 2018). Our results suggest the current MPA at Tetiaroa offers limited protection to this mobile species from extractive fisheries, illustrated by the fact that several giant trevally home ranges were not included or individuals regularly traversed the MPA boundaries (Grüss et al. 2011). These findings in adult giant trevally were comparable to Wetherbee et al. (2004), who observed well defined home ranges of juvenile giant trevally monitored with active acoustic telemetry and limited overlap with a very small MPA (0.3 km²) in Hawaii. However, it should be noted that the relatively small core use areas suggest small MPA’s could be effective in offering partial protection to this species. The high spatial overlap of giant trevally home range with the deeper lagoon indicates that in atoll environments this habitat must be incorporated into MPAs for them to be effective in protecting this species, with particular attention to the transition zone between the deep lagoon and shallow flats described above, as giant trevally core use coincided with the interface between these two habitat types. Giant trevally preference for deep lagoon habitats may drive the limited use of the MPA as only 15% of the available deep lagoon habitat is located within its boundaries.

Previous passive tracking studies indicate that giant trevally exhibit site fidelity to specific reefs over relatively long time scales (i.e., 1 to 2 years), but abandon their home ranges seasonally to undertake long distance and in some cases inter-island movements, to discrete aggregation sites presumably for reproduction (Meyer et al. 2007b; Lédée et al. 2015; Filous et al. 2017a). Although we were unable to observe these spawning related movements in our study, giant trevally are known to form large spawning aggregations (Meyer et al. 2007b; da Silva et al. 2015) and the efficacy of MPAs for this species can be enhanced by incorporating these aggregation sites within their boundaries (De Mitcheson and Erisman 2012; Russell et al. 2012). Collectively, this body of evidence suggests that the utility of spatial protections for giant trevally is dependent on the scale of protection and conservation objectives of the management entity. Given their mobility, if the complete protection of giant trevally is desired, MPAs could be an effective tool for the conservation of this species if they are implemented on the scale of the entire atoll (Meyer et al. 2007b; Filous et al. 2017b). However, if the objective is not full-scale protection, but rather the management of catch-and-release recreational fisheries, then the relatively small size of giant trevally core use areas within their home ranges indicates that small closures, like that employed at Tetiaroa, could help reduce fishing pressure on individuals within their home ranges and spawning aggregations if properly sited. In this scenario, a temporal rotation of small spatial closures could be effective in regulating the angling pressure imposed on giant trevally, provided post release mortality is minimized (Bartholomew and Bohnsack 2005; Lewin et al. 2006; Armstrong et al. 2013).

Most giant trevally recreational fisheries adopt catch-and-release practices, but we know nothing about post-release survival rates beyond this study, in which all five animals survived. All of these fish were hooked in the corner of the jaw, suggesting fly-fishing may be a conservative way to effectively catch and release these fish, but our sample size is too small to make definitive conclusions and further research is needed to determine how factors such as gear type, hook placement, fight time, and the angler’s treatment of the fish affect their survival rates (Cooke and Philipp 2004; Cooke and Cowx 2006; Danylchuk et al. 2007; Lennox et al. 2017). Numerous physiologic changes from increased exercise, air exposure and tissue damage as a result of interactions with fishing gear can result in mortality (Brownscombe et al. 2013, 2015). Large predators such as giant trevally are inherently rarer than species at lower trophic levels (Purvis et al. 2000), and in the event that anglers mishandle fish by damaging the gills, fighting them to extreme exhaustion, or exposing them to air for extended periods of time while taking photos, they could negatively impact survival and alter population viability in a specific location (Sims and Danylchuk 2017). Furthermore, post release predation is an important consideration in the management of these fisheries. Other predators at Tetiaroa that could potentially threaten the survival of caught and released giant trevally include blacktip reef sharks (Carcharhinus melanopterus) and lemon sharks (Negaprion acutidens). Lennox et al. (2017) revealed that similar predator-rich coral atolls can yield high rates of post-release predation of fish released by recreational anglers, emphasizing the need for
anglers to handle species with care and that these environments require more attention from catch-and-release science.

Effective fisheries management requires a sound understanding the space use and habitat preferences of a species (Sale et al. 2005; Grüss et al. 2011), and reef predators like giant trevally play an essential role in economic development and ecosystem function throughout the atolls of the tropical Indo-Pacific. As the popularity of this species continues to grow, recreational catch and release tourism for giant trevally could provide alternative livelihoods that improve the stability of coastal communities (Allison and Ellis 2001; Wood et al. 2013; Watson et al. 2016). However, this species is increasingly threatened by exploitation and anthropogenic development, and as a result understanding their habitat needs is essential to their conservation (Friedlander and Dalzell 2004; Friedlander et al. 2015; McLeod 2016). This study provides unique insight into the intra- and inter-individual spatial demands of giant trevally, and ultimately, shows that giant trevally can range widely throughout their respective atolls but occupy relatively small core use areas that coincide with the interface between lagoon and shallow water habitats. These data could be applied to developing conservation plans and fisheries management tactics for this species by assisting in MPA site selection, identification of spatial size requirements and the inclusion of critical habitats in similar atolls throughout the region.
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