Muscle radiodensity loss during cancer therapy is predictive for poor survival in advanced endometrial cancer
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Abstract

Background  Treatment-related toxicities and decreased levels of patient performance during cancer therapy might contribute to body composition changes and thereby impact outcomes. However, the effect of longitudinal body composition changes on outcomes in patients with advanced endometrial cancer is unknown. This study investigated the association between body composition changes during staging surgery and adjuvant chemoradiotherapy and outcomes in patients with stage III endometrial cancer.

Methods  Pretreatment and post-treatment computed tomography (CT) images of 131 patients with stage III endometrial cancer who were treated between 2008 and 2016 were analysed. All CT images were contrast enhanced and acquired according to the standardized protocol. The skeletal muscle index (SMI), skeletal muscle radiodensity (SMD), and total adipose tissue index were measured from two sets of CT images obtained at the level of the third lumbar vertebra. The skeletal muscle gauge was calculated by multiplying SMI by SMD (SMI × SMD). Predictors of overall survival and progression-free survival were identified using Cox regression models.

Results  The median follow-up was 50.6 (range 12.1–117.0) months. Overall, body mass index (BMI) changes during treatment were 0.4% per 210 days (95% confidence interval: −0.6 to 1.4; P = 0.41), and patients experienced an average SMD loss of 2.1% per 210 days (95% confidence interval: −4.0 to −0.2; P = 0.03). Weight loss and SMD loss ≥5% were observed in 23 (17.6%) and 54 (41.2%) patients, respectively. The changes in SMD did not correlate with those in BMI (Spearman’s r for SMD, −0.13; P = 0.13). SMD change (per 1 Hounsfield unit/210 days decrease) was independently associated with poorer overall survival (hazard ratio: 1.32, 95% confidence interval: 1.14–1.52; P < 0.001) and progression-free survival (hazard ratio: 1.28, 95% confidence interval: 1.12–1.43; P < 0.001). Our results did not show association between survival and pretreatment myosteatosis and sarcopenia or changes in SMI and total adipose tissue index during treatment. The pretreatment skeletal muscle gauge was associated with treatment modifications such as delays, dose reductions, and discontinuation of chemotherapy.

Conclusions  Skeletal muscle radiodensity decreased significantly during treatment and was independently associated with poorer survival in patients with stage III endometrial cancer who underwent staging surgery and adjuvant chemoradiotherapy. SMD loss was occult and occurred independently of BMI change.
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Introduction

Endometrial cancer (EC) is the most common gynecologic malignancy, and its incidence is on the rise, with an estimated 63,230 new cases and 11,350 deaths occurring in 2018. Current estimates, approximately 20% of women with EC will be diagnosed with locally advanced disease.\(^1\)

Endometrial cancer is staged surgically, as recommended by the International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO), since 1988.\(^2\) Patients with stage III EC are at increased risk of distant metastases and cancer-related death; however, the optimal adjuvant treatment is yet to be determined for these patients.\(^3\) In a randomized trial comparing adjuvant chemotherapy with radiotherapy, the radiotherapy was shown to achieve better pelvic control, while chemotherapy was shown to be more effective in controlling distant metastases. Neither approach significantly affected survival.\(^5\)

Combining radiotherapy and chemotherapy (CRT) might maximize treatment efficiency and be the preferred treatment for stage III EC.\(^6–13\) Recently, PORTEC-3 trial showed that CRT improved failure-free survival over radiotherapy alone for stage III EC, although the improvement in overall survival (OS) was marginal.\(^14\) The GOG 258 trial revealed that CRT reduced locoregional recurrence compared with chemotherapy alone.\(^15\) However, both PORTEC-3 and GOG 258 trials reported that CRT significantly increased the incidences of toxicities and decreased the patients’ functioning levels during treatment.\(^16,17\)

Changes in body composition during cancer therapy have been associated with treatment-related toxicity, physical inactivity, malnutrition, cancer invasiveness, and cancer therapy, which in turn could influence patient outcomes.\(^18–26\) Therefore, the prognostic value of body composition measurements in stage III EC needs to be evaluated. It has been shown that the cross-sectional areas of skeletal muscle and adipose tissue on a single computed tomography (CT) slice at the level of the third lumbar vertebra are strongly correlated with the total body skeletal muscle and adipose tissues.\(^27–29\) CT images could provide objective quantitative [skeletal muscle index (SMI)] and qualitative [skeletal muscle radiodensity (SMD)] measures of skeletal muscle. Skeletal muscle with low SMI is indicative of skeletal muscle mass depletion, also known as sarcopenia.\(^21\) SMD is a radiological characteristic, and skeletal muscle with low SMD is suggestive of fatty infiltration of the skeletal muscle (myosteatosis) leading to poor ‘quality’ skeletal muscle.\(^30\) SMI and SMD are defined independent of the other, and both are demonstrated prognostic indicators for cancer outcomes; integrating the SMI and SMD into a novel measure of skeletal muscle gauge (SMG) could lead to a more unified reporting for body composition and disease outcomes.\(^31\) Recently, SMG was reported as a better predictor of chemotherapy toxicities than either SMI or SMD.\(^32–34\) Adipose tissue content, including subcutaneous and visceral adipose tissues, has also been associated with cancer outcomes and can be assessed on CT imaging.\(^35–37\)

Sarcopenia and myosteatosis at the time of cancer diagnosis were previously shown to be associated with the outcome in EC.\(^38,39\) A longitudinal study of changes in body composition during cancer therapy may provide a more comprehensive understanding of the influences of body composition on cancer outcomes\(^40–51\); however, the changes in body composition during cancer therapy and its impact on outcomes in stage III EC patients were unclear. Moreover, current doses of chemotherapy are calculated based on body surface area (BSA), which takes only height and weight into account and not other potentially important body composition parameters.\(^32,33\) It has been shown that pharmacokinetics and chemotherapy toxicities are more related to lean body mass.\(^52–54\) Chemotherapy toxicities have been reported as common causes for treatment modifications in CRT, including delays, dose reductions, or discontinuation of chemotherapy, in advanced EC.\(^51–54\) However, the association between body composition and treatment modifications due to CRT toxicities was also unclear in stage III EC patients undergoing staging surgery and adjuvant CRT.

The aim of this study was to longitudinally assess the changes in body composition parameters using routine CT images acquired during staging and follow-up of EC patients and to determine whether body composition measures were associated with (i) survival outcomes and (ii) treatment modifications in patients with stage III EC undergoing staging surgery and adjuvant CRT.

Materials and methods

Patients

This retrospective study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Our Institutional Review Board approved the study and waived the requirement for patients’ informed consent owing to the retrospective and observational nature of this study. Patients at either of the two tertiary institutions with FIGO stage III EC, who had undergone surgical staging following hysterectomy, bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy, and lymphadenectomy and had also undergone adjuvant CRT between 2008 and 2016, were included. Patients were eligible for inclusion when the
following criteria were met: (i) a routine abdominal CT scan was performed before staging surgery and a second abdominal CT scan after the completion of the adjuvant CRT course, (ii) both CT scans were of sufficient quality to perform accurate measurements of tissue area, and (iii) sufficient relevant clinical data could be retrieved from the patient’s medical chart. Patients who received adjuvant chemotherapy alone ($n = 4$) or radiotherapy alone ($n = 2$) were excluded. Demographic, disease, and treatment characteristics were obtained from the patients’ medical records.

**Treatment**

The standard of care for patients with stage III EC at our institutions at the time of the study was adjuvant CRT. The timeline of diagnosis of EC, CT scans, and treatments for stage III EC is shown in Figure 1. Chemotherapy consisted of 3 cycles of intravenous paclitaxel $175 \text{ mg/m}^2$ and carboplatin AUC5 every 3 weeks prior to radiotherapy. Intensity-modulated radiotherapy was initiated within 4 weeks of completing the third cycle of chemotherapy. The standard radiation field...
was the pelvis with a prescribed dose of 45.0 to 50.4 Gy. Extended-field radiotherapy was considered for patients with positive para-aortic lymph nodes or at the physicians’ discretion. The prescribed dose for vaginal-cuff brachytherapy was 5 Gy at 0.5 cm below the vaginal surface for 4–6 fractions. Post-radiation chemotherapy was initiated within 4 weeks of completing radiotherapy and included 3 identical cycles of paclitaxel and carboplatin.

**Computed tomography-based body composition analysis**

Pretreatment and post-treatment CT images were retrieved for analysis; a routine pretreatment CT scan was performed before staging surgery, and a post-treatment CT scan was performed within 3 months after completing the course of adjuvant CRT (Figure 1). Body weight and height were also obtained from medical records within 2 weeks of the date of the initial and follow-up CT scans. All CT examinations were performed according to a standardized protocol. In our institutions, routine CT of the female abdomen and pelvis scans was obtained after intravenous administration of iohexol 300 (Omnipaque 300, GE Healthcare) or iopromide 300 (Ultravist 300, Bayer HealthCare) in a single uniphasic bolus dose of 80–100 mL via a power injector at 2 mL/s. The portal-venous phase was obtained with a fixed delay of 70 s after administration of the contrast material and a pitch between 1.0 and 1.5 before the contrast medium was excreted into the bladder. CT image parameters included the following information: contrast-enhanced, 5 mm slice thickness, 120 kVp, and approximately 290 mA. Two consecutive axial CT images extending from the third lumbar to the iliac crest were analysed using the Varian Eclipse software (Varian Medical Systems Inc., Palo Alto, CA, USA). The skeletal muscle area (including psoas, paraspinal, transversus abdominis, rectus abdominis, and internal and external oblique muscles) was calculated by using Hounsfield unit (HU) thresholds of −29 and +150.57,28,56 The mean skeletal muscle radiation attenuation of the entire cross-sectional muscle area was reported as SMD. Subcutaneous and intermuscular adipose tissues were calculated with a radiodensity between −190 and −30 HU, whereas visceral adipose tissue was calculated with a radiodensity between −150 and −50 HU.28 Total adipose tissue (TAT) was calculated by summing the subcutaneous, intermuscular, and visceral adipose tissue. Tissue cross-sectional areas (cm²) were calculated by summing the given tissue pixels and multiplying by the pixel surface area. Mean tissue areas for two consecutive images were calculated. One researcher, blinded to the patients’ information, measured the body composition parameters. The intraobserver coefficients of variation were 0.8%, 1.0%, and 0.9% for the skeletal muscle area, SMD, and TAT area, respectively, in a sample of 50 patients randomly selected from this cohort. The cross-sectional areas of skeletal muscle and TAT were normalized for the patients’ heights to calculate the indexes (cm²/m²) for skeletal muscle (SMI) and TAT (TATI). SMG was calculated by multiplying SMI by SMD.31 The actual units for SMI are (cm² tissue × average HU)/(m² height); for simplicity, we present them as arbitrary units.32,33

Given that the body composition varies greatly with the type and stage of the cancer, geographical regions, and ethnicities,57,58 we defined our own cut-off values for this cohort based on previous studies with similar population sizes.42,59 Cut-off values were set at the lowest tertile for SMI, SMD, and SMG and at the highest tertile for TATI.

Body composition change was assessed based on the differences between the pretreatment and post-treatment CT images. Overall, a complete course of treatment in an EC patient, including staging surgery and adjuvant CRT, would take 6 months or more.11–15 In this study, the median duration to complete these treatments was 198 days (interquartile range: 179–209 days); the median duration between pretreatment and post-treatment CT scans was 223 days (interquartile range: 205–236 days). To account for variations in the durations of scan intervals, changes in body composition were calculated as the change per 210 days to provide a standardized method with which to compare data between patients. The consensus definition of cachexia in patients with cancer is weight loss greater than 5% or weight loss greater than 2% in already symptomatic patients who have a body mass index (BMI) less than 20 kg/m².60 To simulate the definition of cachexia, patients with a reduction or increase in SMI, SMD, SMG, or TATI of ≥5.0% were classified as having ‘loss’ or ‘gain’, respectively.45

**Study endpoint**

The primary endpoint was OS, defined as the time from the date of diagnosis to that of death from any cause, and progression-free survival (PFS), defined as the time from the date of diagnosis to that of disease recurrence, progression, or death from any cause. The secondary endpoint was treatment modifications because of reported toxicities. Treatment modifications taken into account were delays, dose reductions, or discontinuation of chemotherapy because of toxicities during the course of CRT, whereas delays due to patient preference or vacation were not taken into consideration. Information on treatment modifications were obtained from medical records.

**Statistical analysis**

Continuous data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation or median and range, as applicable, while categorical data are presented as numbers and percentages. The
distributions of patient and clinical characteristics were compared using the chi-square test for categorical variables and analysis of variance for continuous variables. Tukey’s method was performed when the analysis of variance revealed a difference to identify where the difference occurred. Paired t-tests and the Wilcoxon signed-rank test were used to assess changes in body composition. Spearman’s correlation coefficient was used to assess relationships between BMI and body composition. Logistic regression analyses were used to test associations between treatment modifications and body composition measurement; BSA was also assessed, because in previous studies, lean body mass was reportedly better correlated with drug clearance pharmacokinetics than BSA.32–54 Survival curves were constructed using the Kaplan–Meier method with log-rank tests. Cox proportional hazards models were used to estimate the hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence interval (CIs) of body composition and risk of outcomes. All variables with a P < 0.05 on univariable analysis or with clinical relevance were subjected to multivariable analysis. The data were analysed using IBM SPSS software (version 21.0; IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). A P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

We identified 148 patients treated for stage III EC between 2008 and 2016 (Figure 2). After exclusion of 10 patients (patients with adjuvant chemotherapy alone, adjuvant radiotherapy alone, and/or patients without sufficient clinical data), CT measurements were evaluated in 138 patients. Another seven patients were excluded either because of missing post-treatment CT scans or because of insufficient quality of the scans. Final analysis was conducted on 131 patients with 262 CT scans. Baseline characteristics for the included patients are presented in Table 1. The median duration between diagnosis and pretreatment CT scans was 8 days (interquartile range: 4–11 days). The median duration between pretreatment CT scans and staging surgery was 7 days (interquartile range: 3–11 days).

Body composition at baseline and change during treatment

Table 2 summarizes the body composition at baseline and its changes during treatment. Overall, BMI changes during treatment were 0.4% per 210 days (95% CI: −0.6 to 1.4; P = 0.41), and 23 (17.6%) patients experienced weight loss of ≥5%. Patients lost an average of 2.1% of SMD per 210 days (95% CI: −4.0 to −0.2; P = 0.03), 0.2% of SMI per 210 days (95% CI: −1.5 to 1.0; P = 0.70), and 2.2% of SMG per 210 days (95% CI: −4.4 to 0.1; P = 0.06). Patients gained an average of 3.2% of TATI per 210 days (95% CI: −0.2 to 6.7; P = 0.07). Changes in SMD and SMG did not correlate with change in BMI (Spearman’s ρ for SMD, −0.13; P = 0.13; ρ for SMG, −0.01; P = 0.93). Changes in SMI and TATI weakly correlated with changes in BMI (Spearman’s ρ for SMI, 0.20; P = 0.02; ρ for TATI, 0.40; P = 0.001). Changes in SMD weakly correlated with changes in SMI and TATI (Spearman’s ρ for SMI, 0.31; P = 0.001; ρ for TATI, −0.22; P = 0.01).

![Figure 2](image-url) Flow chart for patient inclusion. CT, computed tomography.
The cut-off values for myosteatosis, sarcopenia, low SMG, and low TATI were SMD < 35.1 HU, SMI < 39.3 cm²/m², and TATI < 134.0 cm²/m² were defined as myosteatosis, sarcopenia, and low TATI, respectively. Non-endometrioid includes clear cell, mucinous, undifferentiated, and papillary serous carcinoma.

The patient and tumor characteristics according to pretreatment myosteatosis are summarized in Table 5.1. Age, BMI, and SMI were not significantly higher in the pretreatment myosteatosis group compared with the pretreatment non-myosteatosis group while the TATI were. In terms of SMD changes during treatment, 54 (41.2%), 42 (32.1%), and 35 (26.7%) patients were diagnosed with SMD loss, stable
SMD, and SMD gain, respectively. The patient characteristics according to SMD change are presented in Table 1. Patients in the SMD loss group lost significantly more SMI during treatment (P < 0.001). Pretreatment SMD was significantly lower in the SMD gain group, and pretreatment myosteatosis was present in 19 (54.3%) patients in the SMD gain group compared with 9 (21.4%) and 16 (29.6%) patients in the stable SMD and the SMD loss groups, respectively (P = 0.01).

Post-treatment myosteatosis was present in 33 (61.1%) patients in the SMD loss group compared with 8 (19.0%) and 10 (28.6%) patients in the stable SMD and SMD gain groups, respectively (P < 0.001). Patients in the SMD loss group gain marginally more TATI during treatment than the stable SMD and SMD gain groups (P = 0.08). Demographic characteristics such as FIGO stage, histological grade and type, myometrial invasion, lymphovascular space invasion, cervical stromal involvement, and treatment were comparable among the SMD change groups. When integrating SMI and SMD into SMG, 51 (38.9%), 42 (32.1%), and 38 (29.0%) of the patients were diagnosed with SMG loss, stable SMG, and SMG gain, respectively.

**Treatment modifications**

One hundred and twenty-seven patients (96.9%) received 6 cycles of chemotherapy; all completed their planned radiotherapy. Treatment modifications resulting from toxicity occurred in 32 patients (24.4%), including delay in treatment in 6 (4.6%), chemotherapy dose reduction in 27 (20.6%), and discontinuation of chemotherapy in 4 (3.1%). When adjusting for age, BSA, and Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status, the pretreatment SMG was associated with treatment modification (odds ratio: 0.80, 95% CI: 0.69–0.93; P = 0.004). The SMI and SMD at baseline were marginally associated with treatment modification (Table 3).

**Body composition and outcomes**

The median follow-up period was 50.6 (range 12.1–117.0) months. The 5 year OS and PFS rates for all patients combined were 79.7% and 76.1%, respectively. All of the recurrences were distant metastases, as none of the patients were diagnosed with vaginal, pelvic, or para-aortic recurrences within the radiation field. The 5 year OS rates were 76.7% among patients in the pretreatment myosteatosis group and 81.3% among those in the pretreatment non-myosteatosis group (P = 0.47; Figure 3A), and the corresponding 5 year PFS rates were 70.5% and 80.7%, respectively (P = 0.24; Figure 3B). In terms of SMD changes during...
treatment, the 5 year OS rates for patients with SMD loss, stable SMD, and SMD gain were 59.7%, 94.0%, and 90.5%, respectively (P < 0.001; Figure 3C), and the corresponding PFS rates were 55.9%, 92.8%, and 85.7%, respectively (P < 0.001; Figure 3D). There were no significant differences in OS and PFS between the groups based on SMI change (Figure 3E and 3F). When integrating SMI and SMD into SMG, patients with SMG loss had significantly poorer OS and PFS compared with those in the stable SMG and SMG gain groups (Figure 3G and 3H). There were no differences in OS and PFS between the groups based on pretreatment sarcopenia, low SMG, low TATI, and TATI changes (Figure S1). On further subgroup analysis, patients with SMD loss had significantly poorer OS and non-statistically significant lower PFS in the pretreatment myosteatosis groups (Figure S2A). In the pretreatment non-myosteatosis group, patients with SMD loss had significantly poorer OS and PFS (Figure S2B).

On univariable analysis, changes in SMI, SMD, and SMG; histological grade and type; and cervical stromal involvement were predictors of OS and PFS (Table S2). Age was a predictor of PFS, but not of OS. On multivariable analysis (Table 4), SMD change (per 1 HU/210 days decrease) was independently associated with significantly poorer OS (HR: 1.32, 95% CI: 1.14–1.52; P < 0.001) and PFS (HR: 1.28, 95% CI: 1.12–1.43; P < 0.001). SMD loss was an independent prognostic factor for OS (HR: 11.08, 95% CI: 2.43–50.58; P = 0.002) and PFS.

Figure 3 Kaplan–Meier curves demonstrating overall survival and progression-free survival according to (A, B) pretreatment myosteatosis, (C, D) SMD change, (E, F) SMI change, and (G, H) SMG change groups. MST, myosteatosis; OS, overall survival; PFS, progression-free survival; SMD, skeletal muscle radiodensity; SMG, skeletal muscle gauge; SMI, skeletal muscle index.
Table 4  Multivariable Cox proportional hazards model for overall survival and progression-free survival (n = 131)

| Variable | OSa | P-value | PFSb | P-value |
|----------|-----|---------|------|---------|
| Model A  | HR (95% CI) |      |       |       |
| Pretreatment SMI, categorical | Reference |      |      |       |
| Non-sarcopenia | Reference |      |      |       |
| Sarcopenia | 0.63 (0.23–1.72) | 0.37 | 0.61 (0.25–1.48) | 0.28 |
| SMI change (% per 210 days) | 1.00 (0.94–1.06) | 0.94 | 1.00 (0.95–1.05) | 0.85 |
| Pretreatment SMD, categorical | Reference |      |      |       |
| Non-myosteatosis | Reference |      |      |       |
| Myosteatosis | 1.18 (0.48–2.86) | 0.72 | 1.19 (0.54–2.64) | 0.67 |
| SMD change (per 1 HU/210 days decrease) | 1.32 (1.14–1.52) | <0.001 | 1.28 (1.12–1.43) | <0.001 |
| TATI change (% per 210 days) | 0.99 (0.97–1.01) | 0.15 | 0.99 (0.97–1.01) | 0.13 |
| Model B  | HR (95% CI) |      |       |       |
| Pretreatment SMI, categorical | Reference |      |      |       |
| Non-sarcopenia | Reference |      |      |       |
| Sarcopenia | 0.67 (0.27–1.70) | 0.40 | 0.67 (0.29–1.52) | 0.33 |
| SMI change (% per 210 days) | 0.99 (0.93–1.04) | 0.60 | 0.99 (0.94–1.04) | 0.67 |
| Pretreatment SMD, categorical | Reference |      |      |       |
| Non-myosteatosis | Reference |      |      |       |
| Myosteatosis | 1.33 (0.54–3.28) | 0.54 | 1.19 (0.54–2.65) | 0.66 |
| SMD change, categorical | Reference |      |      |       |
| Stable SMD (≥±5.0%) | Reference |      |      |       |
| SMD loss (≤−5.0%) | 11.08 (2.43–50.58) | 0.002 | 8.24 (3.32–29.23) | 0.001 |
| SMD gain (≥+5.0%) | 1.68 (0.28–10.27) | 0.57 | 2.26 (0.53–9.62) | 0.27 |
| TATI change (% per 210 days) | 0.99 (0.96–1.01) | 0.19 | 0.99 (0.97–1.01) | 0.21 |
| Model C  | HR (95% CI) |      |       |       |
| Pretreatment SMGc, categorical | Reference |      |      |       |
| High SMG | Reference |      |      |       |
| Low SMG | 0.73 (0.29–1.79) | 0.49 | 0.63 (0.28–1.42) | 0.27 |
| SMG change, categorical | Reference |      |      |       |
| Stable SMG (<±5.0%) | Reference |      |      |       |
| SMG loss (≤−5.0%) | 10.63 (2.45–46.21) | 0.002 | 11.36 (2.67–48.35) | 0.001 |
| SMG gain (≥+5.0%) | 1.92 (0.31–11.74) | 0.48 | 4.93 (0.97–25.09) | 0.06 |
| TATI change (% per 210 days) | 0.99 (0.97–1.02) | 0.48 | 0.99 (0.97–1.01) | 0.39 |

Cl, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; OS, overall survival; PFS, progression-free survival; SMD, skeletal muscle radiodensity; SMG, skeletal muscle gauge; SMI, skeletal muscle index; TATI, total adipose tissue index.

aHazard ratio for death estimated by Cox model, adjusted for histological grade and type, and cervical stromal involvement.
bHazard ratio for death estimated by Cox model, adjusted for age, histological grade and type, and cervical stromal involvement.
cSMD < 35.1 HU, SMI < 39.3 cm²/m², and SMG < 1408.1 arbitrary unit were defined as myosteatosis, sarcopenia, and low SMG, respectively.

(HR: 8.24, 95% CI: 2.32–29.23; P = 0.001). When integrating the SMG and SMD into SMG, the SMG loss was independently associated with significantly worse OS (HR: 10.63, 95% CI: 2.45–46.21; P = 0.002) and PFS (HR: 11.36, 95% CI: 2.67–48.35; P = 0.001). Pretreatment sarcopenia; myosteatosis; low SMG; and changes in weight, SMI, and TATI during treatment were not associated with OS or PFS.

Discussion

This is the first study to examine the longitudinal changes in body composition and their associations with prognoses in patients with stage III EC who underwent staging surgery and adjuvant CRT. We found that patients experienced SMD loss during treatment and that this was associated with poorer outcomes independent of pretreatment sarcopenia and myosteatosis. The changes in SMD were not correlated with altered BMI, suggesting that SMD loss could be occult and occurring independently of BMI change. Moreover, pretreatment SMG was associated with treatment modification.

The optimal adjuvant treatment for stage III EC has been unclear. The GOG 258 and PORTEC-3 trials found that adjuvant CRT did not improve OS, although radiotherapy significantly reduced vaginal and pelvic recurrences.14,15 In this study, the 5 year OS and PFS were similar to the final results of the PORTEC-3 trial. Moreover, none of the patients in our study had vaginal, pelvic, or para-aortic recurrences within the radiation field, highlighting the benefits of locoregional control using radiotherapy in patients with stage III EC.7,8 Additional trials aimed at identifying regimens that can maximize locoregional control using radiotherapy and also produce improvements in distant control (as seen with chemotherapy for patients with stage III EC) are needed.61

The body composition measurement using clinically available CT images could be imaging biomarkers of survival outcomes in advanced stage EC.38,39,47 CT images can provide objective body composition measures and are widely used in staging, radiotherapy planning, and follow-up in patients with advanced EC. Rodrigues and Chaves reported that pretreatment sarcopenia and myosteatosis were predictors of.
poor short-term survival. 38 We measured pretreatment and post-treatment body compositions. The deterioration in SMD during treatment was found to be an indicator of poor outcomes; with the increase in fat infiltration to the muscle (e.g. decreased in SMD), both PFS and OS are significantly reduced. However, pretreatment sarcopenia or myosteatosis was not a predictor of outcomes. In addition, we found pretreatment non-myosteatosis patients significantly lost SMD during treatment, while the SMD change was not significant in pretreatment myosteatosis patients (Table 2). Evaluating muscle at a single specific time point may not help in predicting survival and could not evaluate muscle loss. 49, 62 These findings suggested that a longitudinal study of changes in body composition may provide a more comprehensive understanding of the impacts of body composition on outcomes. 40–49

Body mass index is an imprecise measure of body composition, and monitoring BMI changes may be insufficient to promptly identify occult body composition changes. 18, 19 We found that changes in SMI and TATI were weakly correlated with the change in BMI, and changes in SMD and SMG showed no correlation with the BMI. In addition, BSA has traditionally been used to determine the dosages for chemotherapy; however, determining the dose based only on weight and height does not take into account body composition and its relation to weight. It has been shown that lean body mass correlates better with pharmacokinetics and drug toxicities. 52–54 The measurements of body composition other than BMI using readily available CT images might help guide individualized treatment and supportive care. 18, 58

Skeletal muscle gauge is a recently devised metric that incorporates both SMD and SMI and has already been shown to be very useful in predicting treatment-related toxicities. 31–34 Shachar et al. have shown that SMG was a better predictor of grade ≥3 chemotherapy toxicity compared with SMI or SMD in women with early-stage breast cancer undergoing anthracycline and taxane-based chemotherapy. 33 In colorectal cancer patients, SMG was better correlated with 5-fluorouracil toxicity than either SMI or SMD alone. 34 In the current study, pretreatment SMG was significantly associated with treatment modifications due to toxicities, although the association of SMD and SMI with such changes in the treatment plan was of borderline significance. However, it should be noted that SMG was derived by simply multiplying the skeletal measures (SMI × SMD); we hypothesized SMI and SMD to be equally weighted. Whether such hypothesis can affect outcomes is not known. The prognostic values of SMG need to be validated in future studies with larger patient populations.

The most commonly used cut-off values for identifying sarcopenia and myosteatosis were published by Prado et al. 20, 21 These cut-offs were established from Canadian population with respiratory or gastrointestinal tract cancer. However, the body composition may be affected by the type and stage of the cancer and by demographic factors such as age, sex, and ethnicity. 37, 58, 63 The BMI of the Asian population is relatively low compared with that of the Canadian population. 64 Hence, the cut-off values of Martin et al. may not be applicable to the Asian cohort, as they might skew the results. Fujiwara et al. have reported different cut-offs in a large Japanese cohort study compared with the study of Martin et al. 21, 37 They also reported that SMD was negatively correlated with BMI and adipose tissue indexes, which will result in the myosteatosis group having higher BMI and adipose tissue indexes. In this study, SMD at baseline was negatively correlated with BMI and TATI and weakly correlated with SMI (Figure S3). We defined our own cut-off values for our cohort based on previous studies with similar population sizes. 42, 59 Using the present cut-off value of SMD, the myosteatosis group had significantly higher adipose tissue indexes and non-significantly higher BMI. These findings suggested that the currently used cut-off values defining sarcopenia and myosteatosis need to be refined depending on ethnicity and the type and stage of the cancer.

Contrast-enhanced CT images may confound assessment of SMD. van Vugt et al. revealed that contrast enhancement strongly influenced SMD values. In their study, mean SMD was significantly lower for the unenhanced phase (30.9 ± 8.0 HU) compared with the arterial (38.0 ± 9.9 HU) and portal-venous (38.7 ± 9.2 HU) phases (both P < 0.001). No significant difference was found between SMD in the portal-venous and arterial phases (P = 0.161). van Vugt et al. also recommended using the portal-venous phase of contrast-enhanced CT for studies that describe the association between SMD and outcome measures to improve comparability between studies. 65 Evaluating the association between SMD and outcomes, using CT images acquired with standardized protocol, would provide more comparable results in body composition studies. 66 In this study, all CT images of patients were contrast enhanced and acquired with standardized protocol. We observed that SMD change was independently associated with poor survival, based on our choice of 5% change, which might not be a clinically useful cut-off value. The clinically optimal and practical cut-off values need to be determined in a larger cohort. 67 In addition, it should also be noted that SMD change during treatment may not be comparable between unenhanced and contrast-enhanced CT images. 55, 66 For example, contrast-enhanced pretreatment CT images are not comparable with unenhanced post-treatment CT images, as significant SMD decrease might be reported, which might be mainly due to the non-enhanced image and not muscle loss. Therefore, documentation of the phase of CT analysed and incorporation of standardized CT protocol may provide more comparable results in future studies.

The optimal intervention that best preserves skeletal muscles has yet to be determined. Pharmacotherapy, physical activity, structured nutritional supplements, and proper
guidance may all help preserve skeletal muscle. Wright et al. reported that, in patients with advanced cancer undergoing early-phase standard-of-care therapy, 7 weeks of adjunct testosterone treatment improved lean body mass as well as the quality of life and physical activity. Dieli-Conwright et al. recently reported that combined resistance and aerobic exercises significantly attenuated sarcopenic obesity in patients with breast cancer. A phase III clinical trial (NCT02330926) is currently evaluating whether multimodal interventions can improve the outcomes of cancer patients. Future studies are needed to investigate the role of any such interventions in patients with advanced EC.

Our study had several limitations. First, it was a retrospective investigation involving a small number of patients, which likely limited our statistical power to detect significant differences in some of the body composition measurements and outcomes. We were not able to identify any biomarkers that could predict which patients will have muscle loss during treatment at baseline. Second, measurements of food intake and physical activity were not available, even though they may also influence body composition. Muscle deterioration might also be due to reverse causality, wherein it may be considered as a marker for more invasive tumors which are in turn associated with higher mortality and more rapid progression. However, we were unable to identify a causal relationship between SMD loss and poor survival and could only reveal an association between them. It is unknown whether muscle deterioration can be reversed in cancer patients and if this reversal can affect prognosis. Third, our study included only Asian patients. Further studies of body composition changes during CRT in Western patients treated for advanced EC are needed to validate the findings of this study more broadly. Despite these limitations, the strength of our study is that patients received very similar treatments and consistently underwent pretreatment and post-treatment CT. The treatment outcomes were comparable with previous studies, and the follow-up period was also adequate. Taken together, our findings contribute strongly to the increasing body of research showing that prognoses are clearly associated with skeletal muscle changes during treatment.

In conclusion, SMD loss occurred in patients with stage III EC during staging surgery and adjuvant CRT; SMD loss was independently associated with poorer outcomes. The adipose tissue at baseline or its change during treatment did not influence outcomes. Changes in SMD did not correlate with changes in BMI, suggesting that body composition measurements using CT images obtained for staging and follow-up should be incorporated into the clinical setting as patient-specific indicators that can predict outcomes and potentially guide interventions aimed at preserving skeletal muscle. Future studies are required to devise optimal cancer therapy based on individual body composition phenotypes characteristics; this would serve to improve survival outcomes in patients with advanced stage EC.
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