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Abstract

Metallosphaera sedula is a thermoacidophilic archaeon and has an incomplete TCA/glyoxylate cycle that is used for production of biosynthetic precursors of essential metabolites. Citrate synthase from M. sedula (MsCS) is an enzyme involved in the first step of the incomplete TCA/glyoxylate cycle by converting oxaloacetate and acetyl-CoA into citrate and coenzyme A. To elucidate the inhibition properties of MsCS, we determined its crystal structure at 1.7 Å resolution. Like other Type-I CSs, MsCS functions as a dimer and each monomer consists of two distinct domains, a large domain and a small domain. The oxaloacetate binding site locates at the cleft between the two domains, and the active site was more closed upon binding of the oxaloacetate substrate than binding of the citrate product. Interestingly, the inhibition kinetic analysis showed that, unlike other Type-I CSs, MsCS is non-competitively inhibited by NADH. Finally, amino acids and structural comparison of MsCS with other Type-II CSs, which were reported to be non-competitively inhibited by NADH, revealed that MsCS has quite unique NADH binding mode for non-competitive inhibition.

Introduction

Metallosphaera sedula belongs to the sulfolobaceae family. It is a thermoacidophilic archaea with optimum growth conditions of 73°C and pH 2.0 [1–3]. M. sedula grows chemolithoautotrophically on metal sulfides or molecular hydrogen, and obtains reducing power by biologically catalyzing iron oxidation and metal sulfide oxidation [2]. M. sedula gains access to a carbon source by immobilization of bicarbonate using the 3-hydroxypropionate/4-hydroxybutyrate (3-HP/4-HB) cycle [3–5]. The tricarboxylic acid (TCA)/glyoxylate cycle in this strain is incomplete due to the lack of 2-ketoglutarate dehydrogenase, which converts 2-ketoglutarate to succinyl-CoA, and is used for production of biosynthetic precursors including several amino acids and other essential metabolites in this microorganism [6]. Citrate synthase of M. sedula (MsCS) catalyzes the first step of the incomplete TCA/glyoxylate cycle in this strain.

CSs (EC 2.3.3.1) catalyze conversion of oxaloacetate and acetyl-CoA into citrate and coenzyme A and are well-conserved enzymes in most organisms [7–10] (Fig 1A). In the typical
TCA cycle, this reaction is irreversible and is considered a late-limiting step regulated by the ultimate products, which include citrate, ATP and NADH. The reaction proceeds through the non-covalently bound citryl-CoA intermediate in the two-step processes [9]. When the first substrate oxaloacetate binds to its binding site, a domain movement and significant conformational change at the loop region near the substrate binding pocket occur. These alterations induce the formation of an optimal binding pocket for the second substrate, acetyl-CoA [9, 11, 12].

CSs comprise Type-I and Type-II depending on their oligomeric status and an additional β-sheet at the N-terminus. Type-I CSs are homo-dimeric and found in gram-positive bacteria, archaea, and eukaryote [13]. On the other hand, Type-II CSs are mainly found in gram-negative bacteria as a hexamer and possess an additional β-sheet at their N-terminus [13–15]. Inhibition by NADH is an important biochemical feature that distinguishes these two types of CS. Type-I CSs are regulated by NADH by competitive inhibition, and Type-II CSs are regulated non-competitively with some exceptions [14, 16–19]. Although there have been several structural and biochemical studies on thermal resistance of the archaeal CSs, the enzyme inhibition properties are unclear [20–22]. Thus, it was of interest to understand the inhibition properties of Type-I CSs from archaea that have the incomplete TCA/glyoxylate cycle. In this study, we determined the crystal structures of MsCS in complex with citrate at 1.7 Å and in complex with oxaloacetate at 2.1 Å. The findings reveal that the protein functions as a dimer, similar to other Type-I CSs. Based on the complex structures with its substrate and product, we elucidated the substrate binding site formation and structural changes upon substrate binding. Interestingly, enzyme inhibition kinetic studies revealed that, unlike other Type-I CSs, MsCS is non-competitively inhibited by NADH, which is a unique biochemical property of the archaeal MsCS.

Materials and methods

Enzyme preparation of MsCS

The gene coding for MsCS was amplified from chromosomal DNA of M. sedula by polymerase chain reaction (PCR). The PCR products were digested by NdeI and XhoI restriction enzymes, and sub-cloned into the pET-30a expression vector, which contained a 6×His tag at the C-terminus of the target protein. The resulting expression vector pET-30a:MsCS, was transformed into an Escherichia coli BL21(DE3)-T1 strain, which was grown to an OD600 of 0.7 in fresh LB medium containing 50 mg L⁻¹ kanamycin at 310 K, and MsCS protein expression was induced by 0.5 mM 1-thio-β-D-galactopyranoside (IPTG). After 20 h at 293 K, the cells were harvested by centrifugation at 4,000 × g for 15 min at 277 K. The cell pellet was resuspended in ice-cold buffer A (40 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0) and disrupted by ultrasonication. The cell debris was removed by centrifugation at 13,000 × g for 30 min, and the lysate was applied onto a Ni-NTA agarose column (Qiagen). After washing with buffer B (40 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0 and 25 mM Imidazole), the bound proteins were eluted with buffer C (40 mM Tris-HCl pH, 8.0 and 300 mM Imidazole). Finally, the trace contaminants were removed by size-exclusion chromatography using a HiPrep 26/60 Sephacryl S-300 HR column (320mL, GE Healthcare Life Sciences) equilibrated with buffer A. The eluted protein had a molecular weight of approximately 85 kDa, indicating a dimeric structure. The protein was concentrated to 54 mg mL⁻¹ using spin column (Amicon Ultra Centrifugal Filter, 30 kDa pore size), and kept at 193 K for further experiments. All purification steps were performed at 277 K.
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**Scheme A**

- Oxaloacetate + Acetyl-CoA → Citrate

**Scheme B**

- Peptide sequences from Metallosphaera sedula citrate synthase (McCS2 and McCS1) and Sulfolobus acidocaldarius citrate synthase (ScCS) are shown.

**Scheme C**

- Diagram showing large and small domains of the citrate synthase molecule.

**Scheme D**

- Mol I and Mol II domains with 90° rotation.
Crystallization and data collection of MsCS

Crystallization of the purified MsCS protein was initially performed with commercially available sparse-matrix screens, including Index, PEG ion I and II (Hampton Research), and Wizard Classic I and II (Rigaku Reagents) using the sitting-drop vapor diffusion method with MRC Crystallization plate (Molecular Dimensions) at 295 K. Each experiment consisted of mixing 1.0 µL protein solution (54 mg mL⁻¹, 40mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0) with 1.0 µL reservoir solution and then equilibrating against 50 µL of reservoir solution. MsCS crystals were observed from several crystallization screening conditions. For crystal improvement, each experiment consisted of mixing 1.0 µL protein solution with 1.0 µL reservoir solution and then equilibrating it against 500 ml of the reservoir solution by the hanging-drop vapor-diffusion method at 295 K. The crystals of the best quality appeared in 0.8 M sodium phosphate monobasic, 1.2 M potassium phosphate dibasic, and 0.1 M sodium acetate pH 4.2. The actual pH condition of 0.8 M sodium phosphate monobasic, 1.2 M potassium phosphate dibasic, and 0.1 M sodium acetate pH 4.2 is pH 6.65. The crystals were transferred to a cryo-protectant solution containing 0.8 M sodium phosphate monobasic, 1.2 M potassium phosphate dibasic, 0.1 M sodium acetate/acetic acid, pH4.2 and 25% (v/v) glycerol. The crystals were harvested with a loop larger than the crystals, and flash-frozen in a nitrogen gas stream at 100 K. Data were collected to a resolution of 1.7 Å at 7A beamline of the Pohang Accelerator Laboratory (PAL, Pohang, Korea), using a Quantum 270 CCD detector (ADSC, USA). All data were indexed, integrated, and scaled together using the HKL2000 software package [23]. Crystals of MsCS in complex with citrate belonged to space group P1 with unit cell parameters a = 50.13 Å, b = 53.52 Å, c = 76.28 Å, α = 93.56°, β = 105.73°, and γ = 102.16°. Assuming two MsCS molecules in the asymmetric unit, the crystal volume per unit of protein mass was 2.12 Å³ Da⁻¹, which indicates a solvent content of approximately 42.15%. MsCS in complex with oxaloacetate was crystallized with the same method except for the addition of 20mM oxaloacetate to the protein solution (50 mg mL⁻¹, 40mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 20mM oxaloacetate). The crystals of the best quality appeared in 22% polyethylene glycol 3350 and 0.2 M potassium sodium tartrate tetrahydrate supplemented with 20mM of oxaloacetate. The actual pH condition of 22% polyethylene glycol 3350 and 0.2 M potassium sodium tartrate tetrahydrate is pH 7.24. Data were collected to a resolution of 2.0 Å at 7A beamline of the Pohang Accelerator Laboratory (PAL, Pohang, Korea), using a Quantum 270 CCD detector (ADSC, USA). All data were indexed, integrated, and scaled together using the HKL2000 software package. Crystals in complex with oxaloacetate belonged to space group P1 with unit cell parameters a = 50.13 Å, b = 55.97 Å, c = 76.97 Å, α = 83.73°, β = 73.94°, and γ = 102.16°. Assuming two molecules of MsCS per asymmetric unit, the crystal volume per unit of protein mass was 2.35 Å³ Da⁻¹, which corresponds to a solvent content of approximately 48% [24].

Structure determination of MsCS

The structure of the MsCS in complex with citrate and with oxaloacetate was determined by molecular replacement with the CCP4 [25] version of MOLREP [26], using the structure of CS
from *Sulfolobus tokodaii* (PDB code 1VGP) as a search model. Further model building was performed manually using the WinCoot [27], and refinement was performed with CCP4 REFMAC5[27]. The water molecules of model were built by WinCoot. The sigma level was a 0.4 e Å⁻³, 1 sigma at distance between 2.5–3.5 Å under 2Fo-Fc map. The refined models of *Ms*CS in complex with citrate and oxaloacetate were deposited in the Protein Data Bank [28] with PDB codes of 6ABX and 6ABY, respectively.

**Activity assay of *Ms*CS**

The activity of *Ms*CS was determined by measuring the increase of absorbance at 412 nm (extinction coefficient of 1.415 × 10⁴ M⁻¹ cm⁻¹). The enzyme reactions were performed with reaction mixtures of 0.5 mL total volume at 298 K. For the enzymatic activity curve versus pH, relative activity of *Ms*CS was measured under pH 6.0 to pH 10.0. Each reaction mixture contains 10 μM *Ms*CS protein, 50 μM acetyl-CoA, 0.1 mM oxaloacetate, 100 mM buffer (sodium citrate-citric acid pH 6.0–6.5, Tris-HCl pH 7.0–9.0, and Glycine-NaOH pH 9.5–10.0), 150 mM NaCl, and 50 μM DTNB. For the kinetic analysis of oxaloacetate, reaction mixtures containing 0.5 mM acetyl-CoA, 100 mM potassium phosphate, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 50 μM DTNB, and various concentration of oxaloacetate (0.01 to 5 mM) were used. For the kinetic analysis of acetyl-CoA, reaction mixture containing 100 mM potassium phosphate pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 50 μM DTNB and various concentrations of acetyl-CoA (10 to 200 μM) were used. All reactions were initiated by the addition of enzyme to a final concentration of 100 nM. In order to measure the enzyme inhibition, kinetic analysis was performed using various concentrations of citrate, ATP, and NADH. The kinetic statistics of the enzyme were calculated using Origin software.

**Results and discussion**

**Overall structure of *Ms*CS**

To understand the molecular mechanism of citrate synthase from *Metallosphaera sedula* (*Ms*CS), we determined its crystal structure at 1.7 Å resolution. The overall refinement and validation statistics are presented in Table 1. Overall, the refined structure present good stereochemistry. The monomeric structure of *Ms*CS consists of seventeen α-helices (α1-α17) and four β-strands (β1-β2), with distinct large domain and small domains (Fig 1B and 1C). The large domain (Met1-His214 and Gly318-Arg370) comprises twelve α-helices (α1-α10 and α16-α17) and two β-strands (β1 and β2). The two long α-helices (α6 and α16) located at the center of the large domain are surrounded by the other ten α-helices. Two β-strands (β1 and β2) form a small antiparallel β-sheet. (Fig 1C). The small domain (Gly215-Met317) consists of five α-helices (α11-α15) and constitutes the acetyl-CoA binding pocket. This domain is known to move upon oxaloacetate binding, which will be described in detail later.

The structural examination revealed two *Ms*CS molecules and three glycerols in the asymmetric unit, corresponding to a dimeric form of the protein. Dimerization of *Ms*CS is mainly mediated by the large domain (Fig 1D). Four α-helices (α4, α5, α9, and α10) from one molecule interact with the corresponding α-helices from the other molecule (Fig 1D). The long loop region with a short α-helix (α17) at the C-terminus is also important in dimerization via wrapping the α-helical structure of the neighboring molecule. The PISA software [29] computed a buried surface of 4426.9 Å² and the percentage of involved residues is approximately 28.4%. Since archaeal CSs are known to function as a dimer, the dimeric conformation of *Ms*CS indicates that the protein shares the common oligomeric status found in archaeal CS enzymes.
Active site of MsCS

Although we did not add any compound during the purification and crystallization procedure, the citrate product was bound in the structure at pH 6.65 condition (Fig 2A). This provided an explanation of the active site conformation of MsCS. Three conserved catalytic residues, His214, His253, and Asp307, are located near the citrate molecule, indicating that MsCS catalyzes the reaction with a mode identical to other known CSs [10, 30–32] (Fig 2B). The citrate binding pocket is formed at the cleft between the large and small domains. The pocket mainly comprises positively-charged residues, such as arginine and histidine, to accommodate highly negatively-charged molecules. The Arg332 residue from the large domain forms a salt bridge

Table 1. Data collection and refinement statistics.

|                          | MsCS_Citrate            | MsCS_Oxaloacetate       |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| **Data collection**      |                         |                         |
| Wavelength (Å)           | 0.97934                 | 0.97934                 |
| Space group              | P1                      | P1                      |
| Cell dimensions          |                         |                         |
| a, b, c (Å)              | 50.2, 53.5, 76.5        | 50.1, 56.0, 77.0        |
| α, β, γ (˚)              | 93.557, 105.73, 102.16  | 83.729, 73.941, 72.218  |
| Resolution (Å)           | 50.00–1.70 (1.73–1.70)  | 50.00–2.00 (2.03–2.00)  |
| R_{sym} or R_{merge}     | 5.7 (26.3)              | 8.7 (30.6)              |
| I / σ (I)                | 26.6 (4.5)              | 29.1 (5.9)              |
| Completeness (%)         | 97.1 (94.4)             | 97.2 (96.9)             |
| Redundancy               | 3.5 (3.2)               | 1.9 (2.0)               |
| CC^1/2                   | 0.97 (0.89)             | 0.97 (0.67)             |
| **Refinement**           |                         |                         |
| Resolution (Å)           | 50.00–1.70 (1.73–1.70)  | 50.00–2.00 (2.03–2.00)  |
| No. reflections          | 75282 (5256)            | 48053 (3288)            |
| R_{work} / R_{free}      | 14.4 / 18.03 (20.5 / 24.6) | 14.7 / 19.2 (19.6 / 23.9) |
| No. atoms                | 6556                    | 6386                    |
| Protein                  | 5919                    | 5970                    |
| Ligand/ion               | 44                      | 88                      |
| Water                    | 593                     | 328                     |
| B-factors                | 21.07                   | 31.12                   |
| Protein                  | 30.17                   | 31.74                   |
| Ligand/ion               | 34.00                   | 49.91                   |
| Water                    | 21.15                   | 39.97                   |
| R.m.s. deviations        |                         |                         |
| Bond lengths (Å)         | 0.014                   | 0.012                   |
| Bond angles (˚)          | 1.69                    | 1.51                    |
| Planarity (Å)            | 0.009                   | 0.008                   |
| Chirality (Å³)           | 0.16                    | 0.08                    |
| Ramachandran plot        |                         |                         |
| Outliers (%)             | 0                       | 0                       |
| Favored (%)              | 97.2                    | 97.4                    |
| Rotamer outliers (%)     | 1 (8/662)               | 2 (15/662)              |
| C⁶ outliers (%)          | 0.29                    | 0                       |
| Clash score              | 3                       | 3                       |
| PDB code                 | 6ABX                    | 6ABY                    |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0212807.t001
**Fig 2. Active site of MsCS.**

(A) Electron density map of the citrate product. The Fo-Fc electron density map is shown with a gray-colored mesh with 2.5 $\sigma$ contour. The citrate product is shown as a stick model with purple color. (B) Citrate binding mode of MsCS. The large and small domains of Mol I are distinguished by magenta and green colors, respectively, and Mol II is shown as a grey color. Residues involved in the formation of citrate binding pocket are shown as a stick model with appropriate labels. The citrate product bound in MsCS is shown as purple stick. The carbon numbers of citrate product are labeled with white color. Red dotted lines indicate polar contacts contributing to the citrate binding. (C) Electron density map of the oxaloacetate. The Fo-Fc electron density map of oxaloacetate is shown as a grey-colored mesh with 2.5 $\sigma$ contour. The oxaloacetate substrate is shown as a stick model with yellow color. (D) The binding mode of oxaloacetate substrate. The large and small domains are distinguished magenta and green colors, respectively. Residues involved in the
with the C3 carboxyl-group of citrate. His253 residue in the loop region (α10-α11), which connects the large and small domains, forms a hydrogen bond with the C3 hydroxyl-group, and Arg262 from the small domain is also involved in the stabilization of the C3 carboxyl- and hydroxyl-group of the citrate molecule. Interestingly, the Arg351 residue of the C-terminal long loop from the other molecule is also involved in the stabilization of C2 carboxyl-group of citrate molecule through a salt bridge (Fig 2B).

In order to reveal oxaloacetate binding mode of MsCS, high concentration of oxaloacetate was added to the purified MsCS protein at the crystallization step. MsCS crystals in complex with oxaloacetate were successfully obtained, and we determined its crystal structure at 2.0 Å at pH 7.24 condition (Fig 2C). The structural examination revealed two MsCS molecules and two glycerol molecules in the asymmetric unit. The oxaloacetate binding pocket is almost identical with the citrate binding pocket and is formed by two α-helices (α13 and α16) and three loop regions (α8-α9, α10-α11, and α12-α13). In the large domain, Asn182 interacts with the C2 and C3 carboxyl-groups and Arg332 stabilizes the C3 carboxyl-group of oxaloacetate via a salt bridge. In addition, His253 from the small domain interacts with the C3 carboxyl-group and Arg262 is associated with the stabilization of C3 carboxyl- and carboxyl-groups of oxaloacetate through hydrogen bonds (Fig 2D). Interestingly, Asn182 is not conserved in other CS proteins, and some other CS structures contain Pro at the corresponding position (Fig 1B), indicating that stabilization mode of oxaloacetate might be somewhat different among CSs.

Conformation change upon product formation

CSs undergo domain movement to the closed conformation upon binding of the oxaloacetate substrate, which facilities the formation of an optimal binding pocket for acetyl-CoA [8, 20, 33–35]. It has been also known that the citrate product inhibits the enzyme activity by binding to the substrate binding site, and the binding of citrate to the enzymes induces the closed conformation. However, detailed comparison of the structure of MsCS in complex with oxaloacetate with that in complex with citrate revealed that the conformation of these structures were somewhat different from each other. First the MsCS structure in complex with the citrate product shows a more closed conformation compared with that in complex with the oxaloacetate substrate (Fig 3A). When we superposed these two structures based on the large domain, the small domain of the structure in complex with the citrate product was positioned closer to the large domain (Fig 3A). When we calculate the rotation angle using DynDom [36], the small domain was rotated by 6.3˚ toward the large domain upon binding of citrate (Fig 3A). Second, the surrounding regions involved in the binding of the citrate product showed much lower B-factor values than those involved in the binding of the oxaloacetate substrate (Fig 3B and 3C), indicating that binding of the citrate product induces a tighter conformation. Third, the region comprising amino acids 212-PLHGGANSE-221 shows an extended α11 in the structure in complex with the citrate product, while the corresponding region in the structure in complex with the oxaloacetate substrate shows an untangled loop (Fig 3D). Since the untangled loop crashes with citrate upon the binding of the citrate product, it seems that the loop moves away from the citrate product forming an extended α-helix (Fig 3D). Finally, we observed differences in residues involved in the binding of these two compounds. While Arg351 interacts with the citrate product, this residue does not interact with the oxaloacetate.
substrate, but rather rotates away from the compound (Fig 3E). On the contrary, the Asn182 residue does not participate in the binding of citrate but is involved in the binding of oxaloacetate (Fig 3E). Based on these observations, we suggest that binding of the citrate product to the enzyme induces a more compact conformation than that of the oxaloacetate substrate, although both compounds induce domain movement and conformational changes towards the closed conformation.

Here, we need to consider that pHs of the crystallization mixtures for oxaloacetate- and citrate-bound forms were 7.24 and 6.65, respectively, suggesting that the conformational changes described above was possibly affected by changes of pH. Thus, we measured the MsCS activity under various pH conditions to compare the activity between these two pHs. Interestingly, the optimal pH was 9.0, and the activities between pH 7.24 and pH 6.65 were quite different each other (S1 Fig). Based on these observations, we suspect that the conformational differences between the oxaloacetate- and the citrate-bound forms might be also affected by the difference in pH, and further investigations on conformational changes upon the chemicals bound to the enzyme and/or differences in pH are strongly required.

Inhibition properties analysis of MsCS

We then performed enzyme kinetic analysis of MsCS using the oxaloacetate and acetyl-CoA substrates. The $K_m$ and $k_{cat}$ values of oxaloacetate were 0.0414 mM and 7.62 s$^{-1}$, respectively, and those of acetyl-CoA were 0.0165 mM and 8.56 s$^{-1}$, respectively (Fig 4A and 4B, Table 2). Based on these kinetics analyses, the $k_{cat}/K_m$ values of oxaloacetate and acetyl-CoA were 184 and 519 (mM sec)$^{-1}$, respectively. It has been known that the CS enzymes are inhibited by various molecules including citrate, ATP, and NADH [20, 21, 37]. To elucidate the inhibitory properties of archaeon MsCS, we measured inhibition kinetics using citrate, ATP, and NADH. When we measured the inhibition kinetics of citrate, the $K_m$ values increased while the $V_{max}$ values remained constant, as the concentration of citrate increased (Fig 3C, Table 2). These results indicate that MsCS is competitively inhibited by the citrate product. As we described above, the citrate product binds tightly to the substrate binding site and its binding induces the compact closed conformation, which is consistent with the inhibition kinetic results. We then performed the inhibition kinetic experiment with ATP, and the results showed that the $V_{max}$ values decreased while the $K_m$ values remained constant, as the concentration of ATP increased (Fig 3D, Table 2), indicating that MsCS is non-competitively inhibited by ATP. Based on these results, we suggest that the archaeon MsCS has the same inhibitory properties against the citrate product and ATP as other conventional Type-I CSs.

We also performed inhibition kinetic experiment using NADH. The $V_{max}$ values were decreased while the $K_m$ values stayed constant, as the concentration of NADH increased (Fig 4B, Table 2). This phenomenon was observed when both oxaloacetate and acetyl-CoA were used as a variable substrate (Fig 4B, Table 2), and these results indicate that MsCS is non-competitively inhibited by NADH. Interestingly, non-competitive inhibition by NADH has been only reported from some Type-II CSs with a conserved NADH

**Fig 3. Conformation change upon product formation.** (A) Structural comparison of citrate and oxaloacetate complex structures. Small domains from citrate and oxaloacetate complex structure are distinguished by magenta and green colors, respectively, and the large domains are shown as a gray color. The left image is a close-up view of the black dotted box to highlight structural movement. (B, C) B-factor presentation of MsCS structures of the citrate-binding form (B) and oxaloacetate-binding form (C). (D) Superposition of α11 helices. Two α11 helices of citrate and oxaloacetate complex structure is distinguished with magenta and green colors, respectively. (E) Superposition of the residues involved in the substrate stabilization. Residues from citrate and oxaloacetate complex structures shown as lines with magenta and green colors, respectively. Citrate and oxaloacetate bound to MsCS are indicated by magenta and green colored sticks, respectively.
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binding motif that is allosterically regulated, and most Type-I CSs are known to be competitively inhibited by NADH [13–15, 38–41]. Thus, the non-competitive inhibition of MsCS by NADH seems to be a quite unique inhibitory property of the archaeon enzyme. The crystal structure of the Type-II CS from *Escherichia coli* (*Ec*CS) in complex with the NADH inhibitor elucidated the binding mode of the NADH inhibitor (Fig 5A) [39]. Moreover, the residues involved in the NADH binding of *Ec*CS are highly conserved among other Type-II CSs, such as *Ml*CS, *Rs*CS, *Rm*CS, *Pp*CS, and *St*CS, which are non-competitively inhibited by NADH (Fig 5B) [39]. However, amino acid and structural comparisons of MsCS with *Ec*CS in complex with the NADH showed that the residues located at the NADH binding site of *Ec*CS were almost completely different from those located at the corresponding positions in MsCS (Fig 5A and 5B). These observations indicate that NADH might bind to MsCS at a different site from other Type-II CSs, although MsCS is non-competitively inhibited by NADH as other Type-II CSs. Our attempts to determine the MsCS structure in complex with NADH have failed and further structural studies will be necessary to reveal the binding mode of NADH in MsCS.

In summary, in order to elucidate the molecular mechanism of MsCS, we determined its crystal structure in complex with oxaloacetate and citrate. The structural information revealed that MsCS is inhibited by citrate through conformational change. We also performed kinetic analyses to verify the inhibition properties of MsCS, which showed that MsCS is inhibited by citrate and ATP, like other known CSs. Interestingly, MsCS is also inhibited non-competitively by NADH even though it belongs to Type-I CS with a dimeric structure. Furthermore, by comparing MsCS with Type-II CSs reported to be inhibited by NADH, MsCS was predicted to have an inhibition mode of NADH that differs from Type-II CSs.

### Table 2. Inhibition kinetics of MsCS.

| Inhibitor (varying substrate) | Concentration of inhibitor. | V<sub>max</sub> | K<sub>m</sub>[mM] | k<sub>catalytic</sub>/K<sub>m</sub> [mM<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>] | Type of Inhibition | K<sub>i</sub> [mM] |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|
| Citrate (oxaloacetate)        | 0                           | 0.0456        | 0.0414          | 184                           | Competitive       | 7.19        |
|                               | 2                           | 0.0470        | 0.0543          | 144                           |                   |             |
|                               | 5                           | 0.0442        | 0.0612          | 120                           |                   |             |
|                               | 10                          | 0.0454        | 0.0986          | 76.8                          |                   |             |
| ATP (oxaloacetate)            | 0                           | 0.0456        | 0.0414          | 184                           | Non-competitive   | 4.34        |
|                               | 2                           | 0.03032       | 0.03702         | 136                           |                   |             |
|                               | 5                           | 0.01959       | 0.03741         | 87.3                          |                   |             |
|                               | 10                          | 0.01466       | 0.04022         | 60.7                          |                   |             |
| NADH (oxaloacetate)           | 0                           | 0.0456        | 0.0414          | 184                           | Non-competitive   | 4.41        |
|                               | 2                           | 0.0283        | 0.0411          | 115                           |                   |             |
|                               | 5                           | 0.0203        | 0.0384          | 88.1                          |                   |             |
|                               | 10                          | 0.0137        | 0.0422          | 54.1                          |                   |             |
| NADH (acetyl-CoA)             | 0                           | 0.0513        | 0.0165          | 519                           | Non-competitive   | 7.96        |
|                               | 2                           | 0.0412        | 0.0152          | 452                           |                   |             |
|                               | 5                           | 0.0321        | 0.0162          | 331                           |                   |             |
|                               | 10                          | 0.0229        | 0.0178          | 214                           |                   |             |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0212807.t002
### A

![Image of molecular structure with labeled amino acids](image)

### B

| aa # of EcCS  | 107 | 111 | 112 | 113 | 115 | 146 | 148 | 160 | 164 | 168 | 190 |
|---------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| **EcCS**      | T   | H   | T   | M   | H   | Y   | D   | I   | R   | K   | N   |
| **MICS**      | R   | H   | T   | M   | H   | Y   | D   | V   | R   | K   | N   |
| **Type-II CS**|     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| **RsCS**      | R   | H   | T   | M   | H   | Y   | D   | V   | R   | K   | N   |
| **RmCS**      | S   | H   | T   | M   | H   | Y   | D   | I   | R   | K   | N   |
| **PpCS**      | T   | H   | T   | M   | H   | Y   | D   | I   | R   | K   | N   |
| **StCS**      | T   | H   | T   | M   | H   | Y   | D   | I   | R   | K   | N   |

| aa # of MsCS  | 67  | 71  | 72  | 73  | 75  | 106 | 108 | 114 | 118 | 122 | 144 |
|---------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| **MsCS**      | R   | E   | R   | S   | S   | D   | S   | G   | K   | K   | P   |
Fig 5. Structural and amino acid comparison of MsCS with other Type-II CSs. (A) Superposition of MsCS with CS from Escherichia coli (EcCS) in complex with the NADH inhibitor. The MsCS and EcCS are distinguished as magenta and light-blue colors, respectively. (B) Amino acid sequence alignment of key residues involved in NADH binding in EcCS and several Type-II CSs. MsCS, RmCS, RsCS, PpCS and StCS are representatives of CS from Methylomicrobium album, Rhodobacter sphaeroides, Ralstonia metallidurans, Pseudomonas putida, and Salmonella typhimurium, respectively. The colored amino acid are indicated to same (red), similar (green) and different (blue).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0212807.g005
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