Immunogenicity and Safety of Two Different *Haemophilus influenzae* Type b Conjugate Vaccines in Korean Infants

The incidence of invasive diseases, including meningitis caused by *Haemophilus influenzae* type b (Hib) was markedly decreased after routine immunization of Hib vaccine through diverse schedules in many countries. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the immunogenicity and safety of Hib conjugate vaccines in Korean children before the implementation of a national immunization program against Hib in Korea. A multicenter controlled trial was performed on two different Hib vaccines in Korean children. A total of 319 infants were enrolled: 199 infants were immunized with the Hib polysaccharide conjugated to the tetanus toxoid (PRP-T) and 120 infants with the Hib polysaccharide conjugated to the outer-membrane protein of *Neisseria meningitides* (PRP-OMP). Immunogenicity was evaluated by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) and serum bactericidal assay. Both vaccines showed good immunologic responses after primary immunization. After 2 doses of PRP-T or PRP-OMP, 78.9% and 91.7% of infants achieved an antibody level of ≥1.0 µg/mL, respectively. Both vaccines were safe and well-tolerated. No serious adverse events were observed. Thus, Hib conjugate vaccines appear to be safe and show good immunogenicity in Korean infants. These results will be important reference data for the implementation of Hib vaccine in the national immunization program of Korea.

**INTRODUCTION**

*Haemophilus influenzae* type b (Hib) was the leading cause of bacterial meningitis and a major cause of other serious invasive diseases among children aged <5 yr before Hib conjugate vaccines became available in 1988 (1). After routine use of Hib conjugate vaccines, dramatic reductions in the incidence of invasive diseases have been noted (2). For example, in the U.S. all infants were recommended to receive Hib conjugate vaccines starting at age 2 months; by 1996, the incidence of Hib invasive disease among children aged <5 yr declined by >99% (3).

However, the efficacy and effectiveness of a vaccine depends on a variety of factors, including the local disease burden, genetic susceptibility, age, type of vaccine, vaccination schedule, etc. In order to introduce the Hib vaccine into a country, these factors must be considered with discretion. An important factor is the extent of the local Hib disease burden. Before the introduction of Hib conjugate vaccine, the incidence of invasive Hib disease in the Apache Indians and Alaskan natives was very high as 250 and 400 to 600 cases per 100,000 children under 5 yr of age, respectively (4, 5). In Spain and Sweden, the incidence was 12 to 54 cases per 100,000 children under age 5 yr, respectively. Hib meningitis incidence rates in Asia were reported <20 per 100,000 children younger than 5 yr of age (2). Another important factor is the type of vaccine. There are four different conjugate vaccines commercially available against Hib disease; with a continuous release in various combinations with other vaccines. Differences are determined by the conjugate protein and the method to conjugate the polyribosylribitol phosphate (PRP). The first conjugate produced was the diphtheria toxoid conjugate (PRP-D), followed by mutant diphtheria toxin conjugate (PRP-CRM), meningococcal outer membrane protein conjugate (PRP-OMP) and tetanus toxoid conjugate (PRP-T). All four Hib conjugate vaccines have been shown to be highly effi-
cacious against invasive Hib disease and safe in clinical trials; however, there are differences in the immune response to each of the vaccines. In infants, PRP-T and PRP-CRM elicit high levels of anti-PRP antibody after 3-dose primary series, whereas PRP-OMP produces a significant immune response after one injection (6). Therefore, PRP-OMP was chosen for populations that had a higher proportion of disease in the first 6 months of life. Difference in vaccine response between populations is another important factor. Even with the same Hib conjugate vaccine (PRP-D), differences in vaccine performance were observed in Alaska and Finland. The efficacy in Finnish infants under 2 yr of age was 94% whereas there was no demonstrable efficacy in the Alaskan population (7). The influence of ethnic difference on immunologic responses has also been demonstrated in other studies (8, 9).

Hib conjugate vaccines were very safe and highly effective in a wide variety of epidemiologic settings in more than 13 yr worldwide experience (2). By the end of 2005, Hib vaccines were part of the routine infant immunization program in 101 countries (10). To introduce the Hib conjugate vaccine into the national immunization program in Korea, a nationwide study on the epidemiologic status in relation to the disease burden of invasive Hib diseases as well as cost-effectiveness study is urgent. Moreover, the appropriate schedule should be determined.

The objective of this study was to evaluate the immunogenicity and safety of two Hib conjugate vaccines (PRP-T and PRP-OMP) as a primary series in Korean infants and especially evaluate the immunogenicity after 2 doses of the Hib conjugate vaccine to examine the possibility of adopting 2 doses as a primary series.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design and subjects

A total of nine centers throughout the Republic of Korea were involved in the multicenter clinical study designed to evaluate the immunogenicity and safety of two Hib vaccines for primary immunization of infants. The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board at each center and was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and Good Clinical Practice guidelines. After written informed consent forms were obtained from parents or guardians, healthy infants aged 6-12 weeks (43-91 days) and born after the gestational period of 37 weeks were enrolled in the study. Subjects were excluded if they had a history of Hib disease, immunosuppressive disorder, immunoglobulin therapy, blood transfusion, neurologic disease or acute or chronic disease at the time of enrollment. Enrollment began in March 2005 and ended in November 2005.

Vaccines

Two conjugate vaccines were tested; PRP-T (ActHIB®, Aventis Pasteur S.A., Lyon, France) and PRP-OMP (LiquidPedHIB®, Merck & Co., Inc, Whitehouse Station, NJ, U.S.A.). Infants were immunized with the PRP-T vaccine at 2, 4, and 6 months of age or with the PRP-OMP vaccine at 2 and 4 months of age. Infants received a 0.5 mL injection intramuscularly on the anterolateral side of the thigh. Some children were administered other vaccines (i.e., diphtheria-tetanus-acellular pertussis, inactivated poliovirus, hepatitis B, influenza or others) simultaneously on the contralateral leg. All vaccines were stored at 2 to 8°C until vaccination.

Sera

Because one of the objectives of this study was to compare the differences of 2 doses and 3 doses for a primary vaccine schedule, infants vaccinated with the PRP-T vaccine were divided into two groups. The 1st group had serum samples collected before vaccination (Pre), at the time of the second dose (Post I) and 1 month after the third dose (Post III). The 2nd group had serum samples collected before vaccination (Pre), at the time of the third dose (Post II) and 1 month after the third dose (Post III). Infants vaccinated with the PRP-OMP vaccine had samples collected before vaccination (Pre), at the time of the second dose (Post I) and 1 month after the second dose (Post II). Sera were stored at -20°C until analysis.

Immunogenicity

Anti-PRP antibodies were measured by an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) modification previously described (11, 12). The ELISA was done at the Center for Vaccine Evaluation and Study, Ewha Medical Research Institute at Ewha Womans University. HbO-HA (Hib oligosaccharide conjugated to human serum albumin, provided by Moon Nahm, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, U.S.A.) was used as the antigen and the standard curve was generated by using reference serum lot 1983 (provided by Carl Frasch, Center for Biological Evaluation and Review, Food and Drug Administration, Bethesda, MD, U.S.A.) with a calculated IgG antibody concentration of 60.9 μg/mL. The cut-off of the ELISA for PRP was 0.15 μg/mL. Seroprotection was defined as the antibody concentration ≥ 1.0 μg/mL after vaccination. Seroprotection response rate was analyzed. Proportions of subjects achieving levels ≥ 0.15 μg/mL were also calculated.

A serum bactericidal assay (SBA) was done to evaluate functional antibodies that can efficiently bind to the PRP capsule of Hib and fix complement onto the bacterial surface to initiate phagocytosis. A standardized SBA assay, which measures the direct bactericidal activity of serum antibodies...
was done according to the *Haemophilus* bactericidal assay protocol. This protocol was previously described by Romero-Steiner et al. (13) and modified by Schlesinger et al. (14). The SBA was done with sera obtained after the 2nd dose of PRP-T and 1 month after the last vaccination in both groups. Two-fold serial dilutions of sera were made with dilution buffer made from Hanks buffer (Gibco Laboratories, Grand Island, NY, U.S.A.) with Ca ++ and Mg ++ and 2% Fildes enrichment (BBL Becton Dickinson and Company, Sparks, MD, U.S.A.). Ten μL of diluted serum was added in duplicate to wells of a microtiter plate. A frozen aliquot of Hib Eagan strain was diluted to yield 1,000 bacteria in a 20 μL volume of dilution buffer and added to each well. After incubation for 15 min at 37°C in a 5% CO2 incubator, 25 μL of baby rabbit complement (Pel-Freez, Brown Deer, WI, U.S.A.) and 25 μL of dilution buffer were added to each well. After the plates were incubated for 60 min at 37°C in a 5% CO2 incubator, 5 μL of the reaction mixture was plated on a chocolate II agar plate and was incubated for 15 hr at 37°C in a 5% CO2 incubator.

The bactericidal titer of a serum sample was defined as the dilution of the serum sample that resulted in half as many colonies as were seen with controls. If an undiluted serum sample killed 50% of colonies, then the bactericidal titer was 4 in our system. A control serum sample (in house QC sample killed 50% of colonies, then the bactericidal titer were arbitrarily assigned a value corresponding to half the cut-off value of the test as 2. Antibody concentrations below the cut-off value of 0.15 μg/mL were arbitrarily assigned a value corresponding to half the cut-off value of the test as 0.08 μg/mL . The results were compared with the Students t-test and chi-square test (p<0.05). Serologic calculations were performed on logarithmically transformed data. Antibody responses were assessed by calculating the geometric mean titers (GMT) with their 95% confidence intervals (CI) and seroprotection response rates. Antibody concentrations below the cut-off value of 0.15 μg/mL were arbitrarily assigned a value corresponding to half the cut-off value of the test as 2.

The analysis of safety was performed based on the percentage of the incidence of each local and systemic reaction over all doses. The results were compared with the chi-square test (p<0.05).

### RESULTS

#### Subjects

A total of 319 Korean infants were enrolled in the primary vaccination study: 199 in the PRP-T group and 120 in the PRP-OMP group. Approximately half of the subjects (53.9%) were male. One hundred forty nine (74.9%) infants were enrolled in the PRP-T group and 72 (60.0%) infants in the PRP-OMP group completed the study. Sixteen injections with the PRP-T and 34 injections with the PRP-OMP were eliminated from the safety analysis due to missing of essential data or safety documentation. None of these eliminated subjects reported a serious adverse event. Fifty cases (25.1%) in the PRP-T group and 48 cases (40.0%) in the PRP-OMP group were withdrawn during the study for the following reasons: move out of the district (n=2), lost to follow-up (n=40), parent request (n=27), violation of protocol (n=7), medication during the study that may have an effect on the immunogenicity analysis (n=3), and others (n=19).
Immunogenicity

Results of the immunogenicity analysis are shown in Table 1 and 2. In both groups the GMT increased significantly after each dose. In the comparison between the two groups, the prevaccination GMT was similar in each group. Few subjects actually had measurable antibody levels. Two months after the first dose, at the age of 4 months, the GMT was higher in the PRP-OMP group but not with a significant difference. After the 2nd dose, the GMT differed significantly in the 2 groups. The GMT in the PRP-OMP group was significantly higher than the PRP-T group (7.78 vs. 4.46 μg/mL, \( p < 0.05 \)). However, the GMT of anti-PRP antibodies 1 month after completion of the primary vaccination course was significantly higher in the PRP-T vaccines than in the recipients of PRP-OMP (15.01 vs. 7.78 μg/mL, \( p < 0.05 \)).

The seroprotection response rate increased significantly with each dose in both PRP-T and PRP-OMP groups. The proportion of infants achieving anti-PRP antibody concentrations \( \geq 1.0 \) μg/mL after the second dose was significantly higher in infants primed with PRP-OMP than those primed with PRP-T (\( p < 0.05 \)) and 1 month after completion of the primary vaccination course, it was significantly higher in the PRP-T group compared with the PRP-OMP group (97.3 vs. 91.7%, \( p < 0.05 \)). However, in both vaccine groups, the proportion of infants with anti-PRP antibody concentrations \( \geq 1.0 \) μg/mL was higher than 70% after the second dose.

Serum bactericidal activity specific for Hib was done randomly on 62 sera. Twenty sera were collected after 2 doses of PRP-T, 21 collected after 3 doses, and 21 collected after 2 doses of PRP-OMP. Samples were obtained randomly from subjects with low to high titers of anti-PRP antibodies.

Table 1. Geometric mean antibody concentrations after primary vaccination

|        | PRP-T   | PRP-OMP |
|--------|---------|---------|
|        | N  | GMT (μg/mL) | 95% CI (μg/mL) | Titer range (μg/mL) | N  | GMT (μg/mL) | 95% CI (μg/mL) | Titer range (μg/mL) |
| 2 mo (Pre) | 149 | <0.15 |        | | 72 | <0.15 |        | |
| 4 mo (Post I) | 59 | 0.91 | 0.65-1.27 | 0.08-12.53 | 72 | 0.98 | 0.71-1.36 | 0.08-28.77 |
| 6 or 5*mo (Post II) | 90 | 4.46 | 3.06-6.49 | 0.08-204.14 | 72 | 7.78* | 5.54-10.91 | 0.08-373.57 |
| 7 mo (Post III) | 149 | 15.01 | 12.30-18.31 | 0.58-395.78 |

* Those who were immunized with a PRP-OMP, 1 compared PRP-T with PRP-OMP after 2 doses, \( p < 0.05 \); 1 compared PRP-T with PRP-OMP 1 month after primary series, \( p < 0.05 \).

PRP-T, polyribosylribitol phosphate-tetanus toxoid conjugate; PRP-OMP, polyribosylribitol phosphate-meningococcal outer membrane protein conjugate; N, number of subjects in the according-to-protocol cohort for immunogenicity with available results; GMT, geometric mean titer; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; mo, month.

Table 2. Seroprotection rates after primary vaccination

|        | PRP-T   | PRP-OMP |
|--------|---------|---------|
|        | N  | %     | 95% CI | N  | %     | 95% CI |
| 4 mo (Post I) | | | | | | |
| \( \geq 0.15 \) μg/mL | 59 | 89.8 | 81.8-97.8 | 72 | 88.9 | 81.6-96.1 |
| \( \geq 1.0 \) μg/mL | 59 | 42.4 | 29.6-55.2 | 72 | 52.8 | 41.2-64.3 |
| 6 or 5*mo (Post II) | | | | | | |
| \( \geq 0.15 \) μg/mL | 90 | 93.3 | 88.1-98.6 | 72 | 98.6 | 95.9-100.0 |
| \( \geq 1.0 \) μg/mL | 90 | 78.9* | 70.5-87.3 | 72 | 91.7* | 85.2-98.1 |
| 7 mo (Post III) | | | | | | |
| \( \geq 0.15 \) μg/mL | 149 | 100.0 | 97.4-100.0 | | | |
| \( \geq 1.0 \) μg/mL | 149 | 97.3 | 94.7-99.9 | | | |

* Those who were immunized with a PRP-OMP, 1 compared PRP-T with PRP-OMP after 2 doses, \( p < 0.05 \); 1 compared PRP-T with PRP-OMP 1 month after primary series, \( p < 0.05 \).

PRP-T, polyribosylribitol phosphate-tetanus toxoid conjugate; PRP-OMP, polyribosylribitol phosphate-meningococcal outer membrane protein conjugate; N, number of subjects in the according-to-protocol cohort for immunogenicity with available results; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; mo, month.
showed bactericidal activity. The PRP-T and PRP-OMP vaccines in both groups showed comparable correlation between the ELISA and SBA titers.

Safety

The safety evaluation was conducted on all enrolled infants. Both vaccines were safe and well tolerated (Table 3). No serious adverse reactions were reported. The incidence of grade 3 solicited symptoms was low in both groups. The incidence of solicited local reactions such as redness and swelling were higher in the infants immunized with PRP-OMP compared with the PRP-T. However, there was no significant difference in other local or systemic reactions between the two vaccines.

| Table 3. Incidence of adverse reactions reported during the 4-day follow-up period following vaccination |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Adverse reactions | PRP-T | | | PRP-OMP | | |
| | 1st (n=175) | 2nd (n=180) | 3rd (n=163) | 1st (n=108) | 2nd (n=90) |
| | % | 95% CI | % | 95% CI | % | 95% CI |
| Local reactions | | | | | | |
| Tenderness | | | | | | |
| Any | 26.9 | 20.2-33.5 | 22.8 | 16.7-28.9 | 19.0 | 12.9-25.1 |
| Grade 3 | 6.3 | 2.7-9.9 | 2.2 | 0.1-4.4 | 0.6 | 0.0-1.8 |
| Redness | | | | | | |
| Any | 11.4 | 6.7-16.1 | 6.1 | 2.6-9.6 | 6.1 | 2.5-9.8 |
| Grade 3 (≥30 mm) | 2.3 | 0.1-4.5 | 1.1 | 0.0-2.7 | 0.6 | 0.0-1.8 |
| Swelling | | | | | | |
| Any | 5.7 | 2.3-9.1 | 3.9 | 1.1-6.7 | 3.7 | 0.8-6.6 |
| Grade 3 (≥30 mm) | 2.9 | 0.4-5.4 | 1.1 | 0.0-2.7 | 1.2 | 0.0-2.9 |
| Systemic reactions | | | | | | |
| Fever | | | | | | |
| Any | 12.0 | 7.3-16.7 | 5.0 | 1.8-8.2 | 4.3 | 1.2-7.4 |
| Grade 3 | 0.0 | 0.0-1.5 | 0.6 | 0.0-1.7 | 0.6 | 0.0-1.8 |
| Irritability | | | | | | |
| Any | 56.6 | 49.2-63.9 | 36.1 | 29.1-43.1 | 31.3 | 24.2-38.3 |
| Grade 3 | 8.0 | 3.9-12.1 | 5.6 | 2.2-8.9 | 1.8 | 0.0-3.9 |
| Drowsiness | | | | | | |
| Any | 37.1 | 29.9-44.3 | 28.3 | 21.8-34.9 | 20.9 | 14.6-27.1 |
| Grade 3 | 1.7 | 0.0-3.7 | 1.7 | 0.0-3.6 | 0.6 | 0.0-1.8 |
| Poor feeding | | | | | | |
| Any | 25.7 | 19.3-32.2 | 20.6 | 14.7-26.5 | 12.3 | 7.2-17.4 |
| Grade 3 | 1.7 | 0.0-3.7 | 1.7 | 0.0-3.6 | 0.0 | 0.0-1.6 |

Grade 3 tenderness, spontaneously painful; grade 3 fever, axillary temperature ≥39°C; grade 3 irritability, crying that could not be comforted/preventing normal activity; grade 3 drowsiness, drowsiness that prevents normal activity; grade 3 poor feeding, not eating at all.

PRP-T, polyribosylribitol phosphate-tetanus toxoid conjugate; PRP-OMP, polyribosylribitol phosphate-meningococcal outer membrane protein conjugate; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval.

Fig. 1. Comparison of anti-PRP IgG titer with SBA titers in sera after 2 doses of PRP-T vaccine (n=20 serum samples) (A), sera after 3 doses of PRP-T vaccine (n=21 serum samples) (B) and sera after 2 doses of PRP-OMP vaccine (n=21 serum samples) (C). The vertical and horizontal dotted lines represent the detection limit of anti-PRP IgG antibody assay (0.15 μg/mL) and serum bactericidal assay (2), respectively.
vaccines. The most frequent solicited local reaction was injection-site tenderness and redness, irritability was the most common systemic symptom. The incidence of fever of grade 3 intensity (axillary temperature $\geq 39.0^\circ$C) was 0.0-1.1% with both vaccines for all doses. No substantial increase in the incidence of symptoms was observed for subsequent doses in either group. In contrast, the incidence of any reports of fever, irritability, drowsiness, and poor feeding, tended to decrease with successive doses.

**DISCUSSION**

This study aimed to evaluate the immunogenicity and safety of two Hib conjugate vaccines, PRP-T and PRP-OMP, in Korean infants. We found that both PRP-OMP and PRP-T vaccines elicited significant antibody responses after a primary series of 2 or 3 doses, respectively, and were safe and well-tolerated without serious adverse reactions.

Studies on Hib conjugate vaccines for infants in Korea showed a good response to the vaccine (15-19). However, these previous studies were done on limited numbers of infants in limited districts. Also, most studies were done on PRP-T and there are no studies on PRP-OMP. In this study, we compared the immunogenicity and safety of two Hib conjugate vaccines, PRP-T and PRP-OMP, in a multicenter controlled clinical trial of 9 centers throughout Korea. The results of PRP-T vaccine are comparable to the studies done earlier in Korea (16-19). But there are no comparable data with this study on the immunogenicity of PRP-OMP in Korea.

The results of this study show that Korean infants had higher GMTs compared to published data from the U.S. or European infants (6, 20, 21). Correlates of protection from invasive Hib diseases are derived from studies of natural immunity, plain-poly saccharide vaccine responses and passive immunization (22). The concentration of anti-PRP antibody $\geq 0.15 \mu g/mL$ is commonly considered predictive of immunity and $\geq 1 \mu g/mL$ is considered predictive of longer-term protection from invasive disease (23). After a primary series of PRP-T at 2, 4, and 6 months of age and PRP-OMP vaccine at 2 and 4 months of age, infants with an anti-PRP antibody titer $\geq 0.15 \mu g/mL$ were 100% and 98.6%, respectively, and infants with titer $\geq 1.0 \mu g/mL$ were 97.3% and 91.7%, respectively.

Another important finding of this study is that PRP-T vaccines have resulted in comparable immunogenicity after the first 2 doses of primary series. According to the World Health Organization (WHO) recommendations, effective Hib vaccines have been found to induce $\geq 1.0 \mu g/mL$ anti-PRP antibody in 70% or more of the infants 1 month after the completion of the primary immunization series (24). On applying this criterion to our study, the results were acceptable after 2 doses of PRP-T as well as PRP-OMP vaccines.

Population-based surveillance studies for invasive bacterial disease in Korea show that Hib meningitis incidence rates may be lower than those in other regions. Considering the cost-benefit effectiveness with highly priced conjugate vaccines, Hib conjugate vaccines have not yet been included in the routine immunization schedule in Korea. However, regarding the worldwide data of the effectiveness and safety of Hib vaccines (2) and the serious clinical consequences of the invasive Hib diseases (1), it would be advisable to consider adopting Hib vaccine in the routine immunization schedule.

There are ways to overcome the high cost of conjugate vaccines. The number of doses could be lowered. Instead of four total doses, several European countries use only two doses for the primary series with a booster in the second year of life (25), and they have reported good results (26). Another alternative is to use smaller antigen doses. In a study of fractional dose regimens, 91% to 100% of Chilean infants immunized with one-half or one-third of a full dose of Hib conjugate developed an immune response which was comparable to those immunized with the full dose (27). Given that the PRP-T vaccine shows an acceptable rate of immunogenicity even after 2 doses and PRP-OMP shows good immunogenicity with recommended 2 doses of primary series, a modification of the existing regimen of PRP-T or use of PRP-OMP could be a possibility in the routine immunization schedule in Korea, where Hib vaccine is often limited and not included in the national immunization program because of the high cost of the vaccine.

The present study evaluates the serum bactericidal activities, a measure of functional antibody activity. There was a good correlation between anti-PRP antibody titers with the serum bactericidal titers in both vaccines. Thus, while the antibodies elicited reached levels considered protective for invasive Hib disease, they also elicited functional antibody activities. Functional antibodies were elicited after 2 doses of the PRP-OMP and PRP-T conjugate vaccines, also.

The incidence of local and systemic symptoms occurring after the primary series of immunization was similar in both vaccines. Local reactions such as tenderness and swelling were mild and transient. No increase in local reactions with subsequent injections was observed. The most common systemic reaction noted was irritability. No serious adverse events relevant to the vaccine were reported throughout the entire clinical trial.

There have not been many studies on the epidemiology of invasive Hib diseases in Korea. A population-based study was done prospectively on bacterial meningitis in Jeonbuk province from 1999 to 2001 (28). However, the Hib conjugate vaccine was already introduced into Korea on a private sector basis before the start of surveillance and the immunization rate was 15.7% for children <5 yr of age. According to the study, the Hib meningitis incidence was 6.0 (2.9-12.4) cases per 100,000 children younger than 5 yr old. This is lower than the incidence reported in the U.S. and other countries (4, 5); however, this might be due to the limitation in
laboratory diagnostic aids, hospital-based epidemiologic studies, and more importantly, the fact that the consideration for Hib pneumonia may constitute a larger overall disease burden. A retrospective study based on medical records from 13 hospitals of the causative organisms of bacterial meningitis in Korean children over a 10 yr period (1986-1995) showed that among children under 16 yr of age (with the exclusion of neonates, defined as 0-28 days), H. influenzae was as common a cause as Streptococcus pneumoniae, responsible for 34.3% of the cases. Moreover, among children under 5 yr of age, H. influenzae was the most common cause of meningitis. The case fatality rate was 16.7% for H. influenzae, which was lower than that in developing countries (16-35%) (29) and higher than those in many developed countries (30). Thus, the disease burden of H. influenzae meningitis might be higher than the limited reports in Korea.

The history of Hib conjugate vaccine goes back to the late 1980s, and few vaccines in history have induced such a dramatic decline in incidence over such a short period as have the Hib conjugate vaccines (26). There are immense worldwide data on the evidence of the safety and effectiveness of the Hib conjugate vaccine (7, 31). Hib conjugate vaccines not only prevent Hib disease in individuals but also facilitate herd immunity through reduction in carriage and transmission of the organism in the community (32, 33).

Many factors are to be considered before introducing a vaccine into a country. The local epidemiology of the disease, the social cognition related to the disease and the economic status of the country. Whereas in the past, infectious diseases with high rates of mortality and complications were candidates for vaccinations, nowadays, diseases with a low rate, but with severe complications are considered. Even though the local burden of the Hib disease may be lower in Korea than in other countries, considering the effectiveness and safety of the Hib conjugate vaccine, introduction of the vaccine into the routine immunization schedule should be taken into account.

We conclude that both PRP-T and PRP-OMP conjugate vaccines are highly immunogenic and safe in Korean infants. Infants after a 2 dose of PRP-T and PRP-OMP have responses considered acceptable for effectiveness of prevention of disease. Further studies on the local Hib disease burden and cost-benefit effectiveness to determine the appropriate immunization schedule are needed.
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