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1. Introduction

Periodontitis is a chronic immunoinflammatory infectious disease leading to the destruction of periodontal ligament and adjacent supportive alveolar bone induced by pathogenic biofilms containing numerous periodontal pathogens. Among the periodontal pathogens, Porphyromonas gingivalis, Treponema denticola and Tannerella forsythia are commonly co-isolated in subgingival biofilm samples from adult periodontitis lesions [1–5]. This consistent coexistence suggests that a strong ecological relationship may exist among these microbial species. Furthermore, several studies report the co-existence of P. gingivalis and T. denticola in close association with chronic periodontitis lesions [1, 6–8], detection in carotid and aortic atheromatous plaques [9], exhibit nutritional interactions [10], demonstrate bimodal co-aggregation [11–13], binding of P. gingivalis fimbiae to T. denticola dentisin [14], as well as synergistic biofilm formation [15, 16]. Moreover, both species express high trypsin-like proteolytic enzyme activities [17–20] in addition to synergistic virulence as mixed infections in mouse abscess [21, 22] and pneumonia animal models [23]. We have shown previously that P. gingivalis and Fusobacterium nucleatum exhibit synergistic soft tissue destruction [24].

The mechanisms of interaction between P. gingivalis and T. denticola as a consortium in the subgingival sulcus and whether they express a synergistic pathogenic potential in progressing periodontitis remain enigmatic at present [19]. Recently, we have demonstrated that P. gingivalis, T. denticola, and T. forsythia with and without F. nucleatum not only exist as a consortium that is associated with chronic periodontitis in humans but also exhibit virulence resulting in the colonization of the rat oral cavity, induction of enhanced IgG immune responses, and significant alveolar bone resorption characteristic of polymicrobial (three pathogens or more) periodontitis [25].

Monomicrobial (single pathogen) periodontal infections using P. gingivalis, T. denticola, T. forsythia, or F. nucleatum
have been studied in rats and mice [25–30]. Increasing evidence supports the concept that bacterial interactions among members of the subgingival pathogens at any time during periodontal disease progression are important. However, there are no published reports establishing a mixed microbial (two species) periodontal infection for examining the virulence between P. gingivalis and T. denticola. This study examined mixed microbial periodontal disease using P. gingivalis and T. denticola as a consortium and examined their colonization/infection characteristics, periodontal inflammation parameters, immune response patterns, induction of alveolar bone resorption, and virulence interactions.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Bacterial Strains and Inocula. The bacteria used in this study were P. gingivalis 381 and T. denticola ATCC 35404 and these strains were grown under anaerobic conditions (85% N₂, 10% H₂, and 5% CO₂) at 37°C in a Coy anaerobic chamber as described previously [25, 31]. The P. gingivalis strain 381 was chosen due to its known role in alveolar bone resorption in adult periodontitis and its proven ability to colonize the oral cavity of rodents [25, 27, 28, 32]. For oral monobacterial infection, P. gingivalis (2 × 10¹⁰ cells per mL: grown for 3 days on CDC anaerobic 5% sheep blood agar plates) or T. denticola (2 × 10¹⁰ cells per mL: grown in GM-1 broth for 48–72 hours as a log phase culture) was mixed with equal volumes of sterile 2% (w/v) low viscosity carboxymethylcellulose with PBS (CMC: Sigma), [25, 26, 28, 33] and one mL was used for infection (10¹⁰ cells per mL) by oral gavage [25, 26, 28, 33]. For oral mixed microbial infection, P. gingivalis (2 × 10¹⁰ cells per mL) was gently mixed with an equal volume of T. denticola (2 × 10¹⁰ cells per mL), mixed gently for 1-2 min, and allowed to interact for additional 5 minutes for any interactions among these species. An equal volume of sterile 2% (w/v) CMC was added, mixed thoroughly, and one mL (5 × 10⁹ cells of P. gingivalis mL, 5 × 10⁹ cells of T. denticola) was administered by oral gavage and anal topical application. Rats are coprophagic in nature, and the rationale behind the anal application is that bacteria will be in the feces and will then return to the oral cavity, thereby establishing a cycle of oral reinfection [28, 34].

2.2. Rat Oral Infections. Female Sprague-Dawley rats (8 weeks old, Charles River laboratories, MA, USA) were maintained in groups and housed in microisolator cages in an AAALAC facility at the University of Florida. The protocol (#E900) and all rat infection procedures used in this study were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of Florida. Animals were fed standard powdered chow (Teklad Global 18% protein rodent diet 2918, Harlan) and water ad libitum. All rats were administered kanamycin (20 mg) and ampicillin (20 mg) daily for 4 days in the drinking water [25, 35] and the oral cavity was swabbed with 0.12% (v/v) chlorhexidine gluconate (Proctor and Gamble, OH, USA) mouth rinse [25, 31] to inhibit the endogenous organisms and to promote subsequent colonization of P. gingivalis and T. denticola. Rats were randomized into groups (n = 18) and monobacterial and mixed microbial inocula were administered by oral gavage and anal topical application [28, 34] for 4 consecutive days per week on 4–6 alternate weeks (16–24 inoculations). Sham-infected control rats received vehicle and sterile 2% low viscosity CMC only.

2.3. Oral Microbial Sampling. Oral microbial samples from rats were collected using sterile cotton swabs at pre- and post-infections. A total of 4–6 postinfection microbial samples were collected the following week from all the infected rats. To determine the kinetics of virulence of mono- and mixed infection-induced periodontal disease and immune responses, rats were euthanized at the beginning of the 8th (7 weeks of 16 inoculations) and 13th weeks (12 weeks of 24 inoculations). Blood was collected and sera were stored at −20°C for IgG antibody analysis. The rats were killed, skulls were removed, autoclaved, and mechanically defleshed with a periodontal scaler. The randomly selected rat jaws (n = 3) were also suspended in 10% (v/v) buffered formalin, decalcified, tissues trimmed, and used for histomorphometry and histology.

2.4. Monitoring Bacterial Colonization/Infection. DNA was isolated from rat oral microbial samples using a Wizard Genomic DNA purification kit (Promega, WI, USA). The standard genomic DNA for P. gingivalis, and T. denticola were also extracted following the same procedure from their respective 24–72 hours pure cultures as described previously in [25]. Subsequently, PCR was performed using 16S rRNA gene species-specific PCR oligonucleotide primers with a Bio-Rad thermal cycler as described previously in [25, 31, 35]. After amplification; PCR products were separated by 1.5% agarose gel electrophoresis and the bands were visualized using the UVP BioDoc-It Imaging System. The genomic DNA extracted from P. gingivalis and T. denticola served as positive control and PCR performed with no template DNA making up the negative control. Each PCR assay with the standard DNA was sensitive enough to detect 0.05 pg of DNA (P. gingivalis 30 cells; T. denticola 18 cells). Different PCR cycles from 35 to 40 were standardized to produce detectable amplicons with the least amount (0.05 pg) of template DNA.

2.5. Antibody Analysis. Blood was collected from each rat at the time of euthanasia. Serum from monobacterial (n = 9) or mixed microbial infected rats (n = 9) at 7 weeks (4 infections) and 12 weeks (6 infections) was used to determine IgG, IgM, IgA, and IgG subclass (IgG1, IgG2a, IgG2b, IgG2c) antibody concentrations, using a standard ELISA protocol [25, 34–36]. Briefly, diluted infected rat serum (1:100 for IgG and 1:20 for IgM, IgA and IgG subclass) was incubated in wells of either P. gingivalis or T. denticola coated microtiter polystyrene plates (Costar, Corning, NY, USA) for 2 hours at room temperature. After washing, alkaline phosphatase-conjugated goat anti-rat IgG (1:2000), IgM and IgA (Bethyl Laboratories, TX, USA) were added (1:500) and incubated
for additional 2 h at room temperature on a rotator. The substrate \((p\text{-nitrophenylphosphate}; \text{Sigma}; 1 \text{ mg/ml})\) was added to the washed plates, and the reaction was terminated by using \(3 \text{ M NaOH}\). For IgG subclass ELISA analysis, alkaline phosphatase-conjugated goat anti-rat IgG1, IgG2a, IgG2b, and IgG2c (Bethyl Laboratories, TX, USA) were used \((1:500)\). The optical density (OD) was measured at OD\(_{405}\) nm using a Bio-Rad Microplate Reader. The infected rat serum antibody concentration was quantified using a gravimetric standard curve. The standard curve consisted of 8-rat IgG concentrations (Sigma, St. Louis, USA), which were coated onto wells of the microtiter polystyrene plates, and the reaction was terminated by using \(3 \mu\text{mol} \text{/L NaOH}\). For IgG subclass ELISA analysis, mixed infection showed 72% (13/18) positive amplicons for \(P.\) gingivalis and \(T.\) denticola. Prior to mono- or mixed infection, all molars in each quadrant from the CEJ to the ABC (i.e., resorption) as the primary outcome measurement, and histograms equalized. The line tool was used to make horizontal bone resorption measurements on all molars in each quadrant from the CEJ to the alveolar bone crest. The surface perimeters of CEJ and ABC were traced using the calibrated line tool. As the AxioVision software program was calibrated using a precise ruler, the area of the horizontal bone loss reading in \(\text{mm}^2\) is instantly imprinted over the digital image. Two blinded investigators were used and all measurements were done two times by the same examiner at separate times and the means of the measurements were obtained for each of the four quadrants.

2.7. Radiographic Assessment of Vertical Alveolar Bone Loss. The maxillae and mandibles were placed and stabilized with dental wax on a digital Kodak 6000 sensor (CareStream Health, USA) oriented with the axis of the teeth parallel to the sensor surface. Digital radiographs of distal and mesial surfaces of the molars were acquired with orthogonal projection geometry using an exposure time of 0.08 s at \(60\text{kVp}\) and \(15\text{ mA}\). All radiographic images were exported into the Tuned Aperture Computed Tomography workbench, calibrated for magnification using known anatomic measurements, and histograms equalized. The line tool was used to make vertical bone resorption measurements on the distal and mesial sides of each interproximal surface \((2 \text{ sites per tooth})\) for each of the molars in each quadrant from the CEJ to the ABC (i.e., resorption) as the primary outcome parameter of the study. The summation of alveolar bone resorption in mm was tabulated and analyzed for intra and intergroup comparison [25, 31, 35].

2.8. Histomorphometric Analysis of Periodontal Tissue. Monomicrobial and mixed microbial infected rat jaws \((n = 3)\) were removed randomly and fixed in 10% buffered formalin. Bone was decalcified in Immunocal (Deca Chemical) for 28 days at 4°C. The decalcified tissue was embedded in paraffin blocks, \(4\mu\text{ sections prepared, stains with hematoxylin and eosin and the wholeslides were digitally scanned with a ScanScope CS system (Aperio Technologies, Vista, CA). The scanned slides were viewed with ImageScope viewing software (Aperio Technologies, Vista, CA). The inflammation at the supracrestal gingival connective tissue between the molars in each specimen at consecutive sections or levels 10 and 20 was examined based on multiple parameters including polymorphonuclear leukocytes (PMN), lymphocytes, blood vessel density, apical migration of junctional epithelium (JE), rete ridge elongation (R) and alveolar bone resorption (ABR) [37]. The number of inflammatory cells (PMNs and lymphocytes) per unit area \((0.05 \text{ mm} \times 0.05 \text{ mm})\) was counted in the area of the junctional epithelium and adjacent connective tissue [37]. The migration of JE, elongation of rete ridges and resorption of alveolar bone was measured using the ImageScope software with a microgrid at a magnification of \(\times 200\). The distances from the CEJ to the coronal portion of the connective tissue attachment (apical migration), from the CEJ to the apical portion of the rete ridge; and from the CEJ to the level of the alveolar bone crest were measured [37].

2.9. Statistical Analyses. The alveolar bone resorption and IgG antibody data were presented as means \(\pm\) standard deviations (Prism 4, GraphPad software). \(P\) values were calculated using the Kruskal Wallis ANOVA with Dunn’s correction for multiple comparisons and Mann-Whitney Student \(T\)-test [37]. \(P\) values of .05 were considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Induction of Periodontal Disease. Prior to mono- or mixed infection; we examined all rats for \(P.\) gingivalis and \(T.\) denticola using appropriate bacterium-specific primers by PCR, and observed all rats were consistently negative for these oral pathogens. The PCR results demonstrate an appropriately sized amplicon for \(P.\) gingivalis \((600\text{ bp})\) present in DNA isolated from rat oral microbial samples following infection with the single-microbe inocula (data not shown). Among two monobacterial infections, \(P.\) gingivalis was found positive by PCR in all individual rats \((n = 18)\) and was positive 1–4 times during the four to six sampling times. In addition, 50–83.3% of infected rats were positive for \(P.\) gingivalis during four \((2, 3, 4, \text{ and } 6)\) out of six sampling times. In contrast, \(T.\) denticola \((860\text{ bp})\) amplicons were negative for DNA isolated from rat oral microbial samples following infection with the single-microbe inocula. The rats that had been given \(P.\) gingivalis + \(T.\) denticola mixed infection showed 72% \((13/18)\) positive amplicons for \(P.\) gingivalis and only 2 out of 18 rats were positive for amplicons of \(T.\) denticola.
3.2. Serum IgG Antibody to Oral Infections. To provide additional documentation of oral infection and to demonstrate an immunological response to *P. gingivalis* and *T. denticola* infection, we evaluated the levels of pathogen-specific IgG, IgM, IgA, and IgG subclass (IgG1, IgG2a, IgG2b, IgGc) antibodies in rat sera 7 weeks and 12 weeks post-initial infection (Figure 1). The induction of IgG antibody response patterns was identical in both 7 and 12 weeks infected rats using either mono- or mixed infection protocols. All rats in the *P. gingivalis* infected group at both 7 (Figure 1(a)) and 12 weeks (Figure 1(c)) demonstrated significantly elevated IgG antibody (*P* < .05) compared to the levels in sham-infected control rats. Similarly, all rats infected with *T. denticola* produced IgG antibody that was significantly higher (*P* < .05) than levels of sham-infected control rats at both 7 (Figure 1(b)) and 12 weeks (Figure 1(e)) post-infection. However, *P. gingivalis* infection induced significantly higher IgG levels (>100-fold) than *T. denticola* infection in the monoinfected rats. The levels of IgG antibody in the rat serum paralleled the frequency of detection of *P. gingivalis* in the oral microbial samples. Interestingly, all rats in the *T. denticola* monobacterial infection group at 12 weeks (Figure 1(e)) induced significantly strong IgG immune response in spite of our inability to detect *T. denticola* DNA in the oral microbial samples.

All rats in the mixed infection groups showed elevated serum IgG antibodies to *P. gingivalis* and *T. denticola* compared to the levels in sham-infected control rats (Figure 1). Interestingly, *P. gingivalis* + *T. denticola* infection at 7 (Figures 1(a) and 1(b)) and 12 week (Figures 1(d) and 1(f)) post-initial infection induced >100-fold stronger *P. gingivalis* specific IgG immune response as compared to *T. denticola* IgG responses. Approximately, 90% of the rats infected with mixed bacteria (16/18) presented elevated serum IgG to *P. gingivalis* when compared to sham-infected control rats (Figure 1(a)). Similarly, 100% of the mixed microbial infected rats (18/18) demonstrated significantly elevated serum IgG to *T. denticola* compared to that in sham-infected control rats (Figure 1(b)). None of the *P. gingivalis* and *T. denticola* infected rats induced IgA and IgM antibodies during 7 and 12 weeks of infection (data not shown).

3.3. IgG Subclass Responses to Infection. Thus, we had observed that *P. gingivalis* and *T. denticola* are antigenic in the rats, which resulted in significant levels of serum IgG antibodies. In order to more fully assess the characteristics of the antibody, we determined the IgG subclass distribution of the humoral immune response following oral infection. Following 12 weeks of *P. gingivalis* mono- and mixed microbial infection, the IgG2b subclass levels (*P* < .05) were higher than the IgG1 and IgG2a antibody levels and significantly (*P* < .05) greater than in sham-infected control rats (Figures 1(c) and 1(d)). Similarly, in *T. denticola* mono- and mixed microbial infection, the IgG2b subclass levels (*P* < .05) were higher than the IgG1 and IgG2c antibody levels and significantly greater than in sham-infected control rats (*P* < .05) (Figures 1(e) and 1(f)). Additionally, *P. gingivalis* induced higher levels of IgG subclass antibody than that induced by *T. denticola*.

3.4. Morphometric Evaluation of Alveolar Bone Loss. In order to address the potential virulence between the *P. gingivalis* and *T. denticola* in periodontal disease progression in the rats, we examined the effect of infection on the maxilla and mandible alveolar bone resorption. Both mono- and mixed infection induced buccal and palatal areas of alveolar bone resorption (Table 1; Figure 2). Here, the maxillary and mandibular bone loss in the rats infected with *P. gingivalis*, *T. denticola*, and *P. gingivalis* + *T. denticola* were significantly greater (*P* < .05) than that of the sham-infected control group at 7 and 12 weeks (Table 1). The maxillary and mandibular bone loss in all infected groups during 12 weeks of periodontal disease was generally greater than 7 weeks of periodontal disease. In addition, mandibular bone loss was generally higher than maxillary bone loss in both buccal and palatal surfaces (Table 1). *P. gingivalis* monoinfection induced more palatal mandibular horizontal bone loss area than maxilla at 7 and 12 weeks post-initial infection. In contrast, *T. denticola* monoinfection generally induced greater palatal and buccal area bone loss than *P. gingivalis* as well as more mandibular bone loss than maxilla at 7 and 12 weeks post-initial infection. Similarly, mixed infection induced more significant bone loss in both maxilla and mandibles, palatal and buccal surfaces than monoinfection at 7 and 12 weeks post initial infection (Table 1). Furthermore, mixed infection induced more mandibular palatal horizontal area bone loss than maxillae.

3.5. Radiographic Evaluation of Interproximal Alveolar Bone Loss. In order to confirm our observations of alveolar bone resorption, radiographic analysis of the maxilla and mandible was performed. Mono- and mixed infection resulted in significantly increased maxillary, mandibular, and total interproximal alveolar bone resorption at 7 weeks (data not shown) and 12 weeks of periodontal disease compared with sham-infected control rats (*P* < .05) (Figure 3). In addition, there was an overall loss in vertical bone height, with associated circumferential angular defects. Furthermore, mixed infection demonstrated a significant increase in maxillary, mandibular, and total vertical bone loss compared to any of the monobacterial and sham-infected control infections (*P* < .05) (Figure 3).

3.6. Histological and Histometrical Analysis. In order to determine if the infection protocols induced differing levels of inflammation which could be responsible for the increased alveolar bone resorption observed, sections of the maxilla and mandible of rats infected with *P. gingivalis* and/or *T. denticola* during 7 and 12 weeks of periodontal disease were examined at consecutive levels 1, 10, and 20 for inflammation. Mixed microbial infection rats showed more significant histological changes, particularly apical migration of JF, rete ridge elongation, PMN density, lymphocytes infiltration, blood vessel density and alveolar bone loss.
Figure 1: Serum IgG and IgG subclass (IgG1, IgG2a, IgG2b, IgG2c) antibody levels. Serum IgG antibody levels in serum from rats [collected at end of a 7 weeks (a and b) and 12 weeks (c–f) infection] following mono infection (n = 9) or mixed infection (n = 9). The graphs show the results for IgG and IgG subclass antibody reactive with each of the two species of bacteria. The bars indicate the mean antibody concentrations in serum from rats orally infected with the individual bacteria or with mixed bacteria or from sham-infected control rats. The error bars indicate one standard deviation from the mean. An asterisk indicates that a value is significantly different (*P < .05) than the value for sham-infected control rats or for antibody in serum from rats infected with a different microorganism. Pg, *P. gingivalis; Td, *T. denticola; Cont; sham-infected control.
Figure 2: Morphometric evaluation of alveolar bone loss. Representative rat left maxilla of a sham-infected control rat (a) showing the buccal horizontal bone loss area by morphometry. The area outlined between CEJ-ABC represents the area of horizontal alveolar bone resorption in mm² (b). Rat left maxilla infected with P. gingivalis, (c) T. denticola, and (d) P. gingivalis + T. denticola during 12 weeks of periodontal disease showing extensive horizontal alveolar bone loss. M1, M2, and M3 are molars. Rat jaw images captured at 10× magnification.

Table 1: Morphometric measurements of horizontal alveolar bone loss (area) on the buccal and palatal surfaces of all molars in rats.

| Infection/Groups   | Control                  | P. gingivalis | T. denticola | P. gingivalis + T. denticola |
|--------------------|--------------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------------------|
| Maxilla 7 Weeks    | Buccal 3.2 ± 0.45        | 3.4 ± 0.47a  | 4.8 ± 0.47b,c | 4.3 ± 0.45b                |
|                    | Palatal 5.5 ± 0.47       | 6.2 ± 0.66a  | 6.5 ± 0.23b  | 7.5 ± 0.77b,c,e            |
| Mandible 7 Weeks   | Buccal 3.7 ± 0.38        | 4.5 ± 0.51b  | 4.4 ± 0.46a  | 4.8 ± 0.32b                |
|                    | Palatal 6.5 ± 0.54       | 7.4 ± 0.51a  | 8.0 ± 0.4b   | 8.8 ± 0.8b,c,e             |
| Maxilla 12 Weeks   | Buccal 3.2 ± 0.45        | 5.1 ± 0.88b  | 5.8 ± 0.91b  | 5.4 ± 0.48b                |
|                    | Palatal 5.6 ± 0.63       | 7.4 ± 0.98b  | 7.7 ± 0.74b  | 8.5 ± 0.64b,c,e            |
| Mandible 12 Weeks  | Buccal 3.5 ± 0.25        | 3.6 ± 0.64   | 4.7 ± 0.58bd | 4.2 ± 0.69b                |
|                    | Palatal 6.6 ± 0.34       | 7.6 ± 0.80bd | 8.7 ± 0.51bd | 9.3 ± 0.33bd,e             |

*Mean value and standard deviation from six mice per each group measured using AxioVision line tool software as described in methods section. *Significantly more than sham-infected control group (P < .05). aSignificantly more than sham-infected control group (P < .01). bSignificantly more than P. gingivalis infected group (P < .05). cSignificantly more than P. gingivalis infected group (P < .01). dSignificantly more than T. denticola infected group (P < .05).

than sham-infected control animals (Table 2; Figure 4). The sham-infected control rats showed mild inflammation with fewer PMN and lymphocytes, no apical migration, and small rete ridge elongation. Similarly, mixed microbial infection induced significant apical migration of JE (P < .01) and dense inflammation in the periodontium compared to P. gingivalis monoinfected rats. In addition, mixed microbial infection rats showed no significant differences in rete ridge elongation, PMN density, lymphocytes infiltration, and blood vessel density compared to P. gingivalis and T. denticola mono-infected rats. P. gingivalis and T. denticola infected rats showed significant histological changes, specifically apical migration of JE, alveolar bone loss, PMN density, lymphocytes infiltration, and blood vessel density more than sham-infected control animals (Table 2; Figure 4). Moreover, P. gingivalis infected rats showed significant infiltration of lymphocytes (P < .05) and blood vessel density in the periodontium (P < .001) compared to mixed microbial infected rats (Table 2).

4. Discussion

This paper explicitly demonstrates an experimental model for mixed microbial infections in periodontal disease, documenting colonization/infection with the P. gingivalis/T. denticola consortium of oral microorganisms, with (kinetics) induction of periodontal inflammation at 7 and 12 weeks, generation of specific systemic IgG immune responses to the infecting pathogens, and stimulation of enhanced alveolar bone resorption in rats. These results also documented, for the first time, the virulence of mixed infections with P. gingivalis + T. denticola in a periodontal disease model. Bacterial synergism in progression from periodontal health to disease has been proposed but few studies have documented bacterial synergism due to the inherent complexity of the subgingival microbiota [8, 38]. In the previous study [25], we had not examined the early induction of periodontal inflammation and assessment of both palatal and buccal horizontal bone loss. Here we demonstrate this early kinetics...
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Table 2: Histometrical analysis of rat periodontal tissue after primary infection with P. gingivalis and T. denticola as mono- and mixed infection.

| Histological Parameters          | Control         | P. gingivalis | T. denticola | P. gingivalis + T. denticola |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|--------------|------------------------------|
| Apical migration (μm)\(^1\)     | 0 ± 0\(^a\)     | 75.2 ± 79.5\(^b\) | 97.3 ± 59.9\(^b\) | 134.6 ± 66.3\(^cd\)         |
| Rete ridge elongation (μm)\(^1\) | 20.8 ± 18.4     | 68.6 ± 20.2   | 87 ± 39.1    | 101.8 ± 41.6\(^e\)          |
| Alveolar bone resorption (μm)\(^2\) | 205 ± 15.9      | 357.9 ± 108.8\(^a\) | 425.8 ± 134.2\(^b\) | 451.2 ± 68.4\(^b\)         |
| PMN density (number/0.05 mm × 0.05 mm) | 2.1 ± 1.4     | 6.8 ± 2.9\(^c\) | 5 ± 2.1\(^b\)   | 5.1 ± 2\(^b\)             |
| Lymphocytes                     | 3.7 ± 0.9       | 11.7 ± 3.9\(^c,e\) | 5.5 ± 1.7\(^a\)  | 6.6 ± 1.6\(^b\)           |
| Blood vessel density (number/0.05 mm × 0.05 mm) | 2.1 ± 1.0     | 8.4 ± 1.9\(^c,f\) | 5 ± 2.5\(^b\)  | 4.3 ± 1.7\(^b\)           |

\(^a\)Values are presented as mean ± standard deviation (n = 5–9). \(^1\)The distances from the CEJ to the coronal portion of the connective tissue attachment (apical migration ofJE). \(^2\)The distances from the CEJ to the apical portion of the rete ridge. \(^3\)The distances from the CEJ to the level of the ABC. *Significantly more than sham-infected control group (P < .05). \(^4\)Significantly more than sham-infected control group (P < .001). \(^5\)Significantly more than P. gingivalis group (P < .01). \(^6\)Significantly more than P. gingivalis + T. denticola group (P < .05). \(^7\)Significantly more than P. gingivalis + T. denticola group (P < .0001).

in a model of periodontal disease at 7 and 12 weeks of disease.

The monobacterial infection in rats indicated that P. gingivalis exhibited the ability to colonize/infest the oral cavity with 4–6 alternate weekly infection schedules (16–24 inoculations) during the 7–12 weeks study establishing a chronic infection. We have shown previously that infecting rats 15–16 times with P. gingivalis, over a similar interval of the experiment, resulted in consistent detection of genomic DNA in oral microbial samples [25, 31, 35]. Moreover, induction of significant IgG immune responses and enhanced alveolar bone loss observed in all rats clearly documents that these rats were infected, even though the rats showed negative PCR reactions for T. denticola. We recognize the limitations in sample collection procedures, as existing with all the current techniques of microbial sampling from the oral cavity.

The serum IgG antibody levels to monoinfection during 7 and 12 weeks of periodontal disease clearly indicated that P. gingivalis is highly effective in colonization and/or is highly antigenic in the rats when compared to T. denticola. The antibody responses demonstrated substantial specificity for each of the infecting species. However, we observed an increase in serum IgG antibody to T. denticola in P. gingivalis mono-infected rats. While this “nonspecific” IgG antibody was more than sham-infected controls, it was approximately 1000-fold lower than the homologous IgG antibody response to P. gingivalis infection. This could indicate that these bacteria share some common epitopes [25]. The mixed oral infection with P. gingivalis/T. denticola elicited somewhat different profiles of serum IgG antibodies. These altered responses could be due to a lowered colonization capacity of the T. denticola within the mixed consortium challenge and/or a decreased ability to multiply in the oral cavity during the infection, thus resulting in a lower magnitude of antigenic challenge, reduced periodontal inflammation, and no robust alveolar bone loss nor virulence synergism. The predominant response following P. gingivalis infection was the IgG2b (T helper type 1) and IgG1 subclass (T helper type 2), followed by IgG2a (Th1) and undetectable level...
of IgG2c antibody indicating a stimulation of both Th1 and Th2 activities in development of the humoral immune response to bacterial infection. Similarly, the predominant response following T. denticola monoinfection was the IgG2b subclass, followed by IgG1, IgG2c and undetectable level of IgG2a antibody in rats suggesting a mixed Th1- and Th2-responses to oral infection. In contrast, IgG1 antibody titer was much higher in mice to T. denticola infection [29]. Despite the high bacterial specific IgG antibody levels during 7 and 12 weeks of infection, there was no significant immune protection from alveolar bone loss in rats as well as in several previous studies [39, 40] suggesting complex mechanisms of antibody protection. Furthermore, P. gingivalis recombinant hemagglutinin B immunization or immunized and infected rats induced IgG subclass responses (IgG1 = IgG2a > IgG2b > IgG2c) suggesting a mixed Th1 and Th2 responses and immunized rats had less alveolar bone loss indicating a protective immune response [41, 42]. Similarly, immunization with P. gingivalis whole cells induced high-titer serum IgG2a (Th2), moderate-titer IgG2b (Th1) and low-titer IgG1 (Th2) responses and immunization with RgpA-Kgp cysteine proteases of P. gingivalis induced high-titer serum IgG2a (Th2) responses which restricted colonization and decreased periodontal bone loss indicating a protective immune response in the rat [34].

While differences in horizontal (palatal and buccal surface) and interproximal alveolar bone resorption levels were observed following monoinfection with P. gingivalis and T. denticola dependent upon both the differences in the sites of the samples as well as the techniques for measurements, we could not easily compare the magnitude of alveolar bone resorption between these individual bacteria. Importantly, in testing our hypothesis, oral infection with P. gingivalis/T. denticola significantly increased interproximal as well as
horizontal alveolar bone loss compared to mono-infections. This increased bone loss may be related to enhancement of expression of the virulence of individual bacteria by cooperative abilities of their extracellular potent proteinases (P. gingivalis RgpA, RgpB, Kgp gingipains cysteine proteinase) (T. denticola chymotrypsin-like protease, phospholipase C, oligopeptidase, endopeptidase and cystalysin) to affect host systems through specific cleavage of cell surface receptors and the inactivation of host-defense proteins [18, 20]. In addition, mixed infection with P. gingivalis + T. denticola exhibits significant virulence synergism in abscess formation and mortality in mouse abscess model [21] and mouse abscess model [23].

5. Conclusions

The analysis of the data have clearly shown the following: (i) mono- and mixed microbial colonization/infection of human oral pathogens in rat oral cavity during 7 weeks of periodontal disease (gained access to the oral epithelium), (ii) generation of a specific serum IgG antibody responses (as early as 7 weeks) reflecting the oral infection (engagement of systemic host response mechanisms), (iii) induction of enhanced horizontal and interproximal alveolar bone resorption in rats with mixed infection as expected (direct result of local infection), (iv) induction of inflammatory response (apical migration of JE, rete ridge elongation, crestal alveolar bone loss, PMNs) consistent with established characteristics of periodontal disease, and (v) no synergistic virulence observed with P. gingivalis/T. denticola in a rat periodontal disease model. This mixed infection model will provide an opportunity for further studies to clarify the characteristics and alterations of the host response profiles such as proinflammatory cytokines and matrix metalloproteinases in periodontal tissues that relate to osteoclastic alveolar bone loss in response to mixed infections.
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