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Abstract — An evaluation of the outcome of research and development is intrinsic for building a long-term foundation for economic development. The high technology exports are one indicator that can access the outcome of research and development of the economy. This study employed the data of high tech exports of 15 developed and developing economies during 2007-2018. The fixed effect regression estimates were analysed upon, validation of which is enumerated by the Hausman test. Two significant findings that the study implicates are, first, the benefits of an outward-oriented policy that has fewer tariff burdens will result in the promotion of high-tech exports. Secondly, the study is intrigued by the role of financial market development, which in turn is export-oriented, thereby reducing the foreign exchange burden. The enhanced access to financial markets and increased depth has proven to be congenial for high tech exports.

Index Terms — Research and Development; Developed Economies; Investment; High Tech, Export.

I. INTRODUCTION

The imperative of Research and Development (R&D) is now well recognized by developmental economists and policymakers across the globe. The work Romer and Lucas on endogenous growth theory appeared, which concentrated on the role of innovation on economic growth and development [1], [2]. According to Todaro and Smith [3], capital accumulation, growth in population, and technological progress are three significant determinants of economic growth. It is well recognized among economists, policy analysts and researchers, that two factors, labor in the form of human resources and capital in the form of technological change, are prominent in respect to expand economic growth, development, and well-being. The landmark work of Schultz on human capital showed the importance of human resources in economic growth [4]. On the other hand, some recent economic growth theories have emphasized the relevance of technological change on economic growth and development. The theories and models supported the perspective of innovation/R&D as the key driver for economic growth and development. Most of the studies evaluated the extent the contribution of innovation from the channels of investments, Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) inflow, Gross Domestic Product (GDP) growth, and Gross Capital Formation (GCF), only after the work of Robert Solow. Empirically, a small number of the technological progress as an exogenous factor is considered economies have developed new technologies, while many other countries have adopted the new technologies via trade, adaptation, and foreign direct investment [5], [6]. Further, it recognized the relevance of technological change, innovation, and R&D on economic growth and development. It plays a crucial role as a factor of productivity, competitiveness, and real investments in the economy. A number of studies have evaluated the R&D pattern and accessed the R&D investment and its efficiency at a firm level [7], [8] vis a vis a growth of productivity at the domestic level [9]-[11]. The endogenous technological change has been widely recognized through the channels of education and human resource management as a significant factor in long-term growth. The number of works such as [12]-[18] are concentrated on the role and importance of investment in R&D on economic progress.

The importance of specialization in technological progress and export of high technology products on economic growth has now been well established in the literature of international trade. Availability of extensive literature in the area of productivity growth and technology, which displays the picture that productivity growth can create the differences in technological opportunities in the economy [19]-[22]. It has been viewed that there is a flourishing interest in high technology trade in the world due to the high possibility of gains from trade. It can also create some positive externalities in the economy through rising competition and creating an environment for innovation and R&D. Further, some studies also displayed the fact that industries that are involved in the production of high technology products are the fastest growing industries in the world. These industries are helpful in increasing productivity in other complementary industries through its positive externalities and dynamism.

The presented study empirically examines the determinants of Research and Development. Outcomes are measured on the basis of high technology exports at the global level. The significant factors that are well considered as a determinant of the high technology exports are R&D investment, unemployment rate, GCF, Net FDI inflow, and Governmental expenditure on education. The sensitivity and robustness effects of the GDP growth rate, R&D investments, and other indices are evaluated by using the data of 15 developed and developing economies for the period 2007 to 2018. The next section of the presented paper reflects the literature related to the determinants of R&D, methodology, and analysis. Further, it shows the results and their interpretation. The last segment provides the conclusion and policy implications.
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II. LITERATURE REVIEW

After the 1960s, extensive research has been carried out in the field of trade and economic growth. The export growth has played a pivotal role in expanding economic growth in many countries. The growth in the export is correlated with productivity and hence GDP growth [23]. The volume and value of merchandise and services determine the benefits of export-oriented growth. In the recent era, the researchers began to realize the correlation between innovation or R&D, high-tech exports, and economic growth. They largely emphasized on high technology trade [24]. Research on OECD countries carried out by Braunerhjelm and Thulin demonstrates that the R&D investments are a primal determinant to explain the high tech exports [25]. In another study that demonstrates some other relevant important determinants of high tech exports other than R&D investments, which are represented as technological capabilities, the number of patents, Gross Enrolment Ratio (GER) in higher education all have direct effects on high technology exports [26]. Literature in the domain of productivity, economic growth, and development models are mostly concentrated on the role of R&D, innovation, and technology change and their impact on economic progress. On the other hand, there are some studies which have been focused on the determinants and factors of R&D on the growth of productivity at the micro and macro level. Micro-level studies are related to increasing returns to scale specific to increase the gains from innovation and research dissemination. At the macro level, there are numerous factors that influenced the R&D outcomes such as investment, GCF, GDP growth, FDI inflow, government expenditure on education. For instance, some of the empirical studies in respect of technological change, innovation, and domestic R&D have been considered as an important factor of real per capita GDP [27]-[29]. Verma and Srivastava have found the positive role of innovation in irrigation [30]. Further, Verma and Singh have seen the software exports rising in India [31]. For more observation [32], [33]. On the other hand, Matsushima et al. established a model through which they showed how exports and imports affected the innovation strategies of the firms [34]. According to them, trade openness influences the innovation strategies and R&D activities of the firms. In a study by Pottelsbergh et al. by using panel data of 360 United States manufacture firms analyzed, the expenditure on R&D to exchange rate change from the period 1975 to 1987 [35]. They interpreted the results that firms in the industries with an average R&D spending, at least 3 percent of sales revenue, respond to an exchange rate increment with accumulated R&D expenditures while firms in the industries with lower levels R&D magnitude has not shown any increments. On the other hand, a study by Griffith and Reenen, evaluated the consequence of fiscal inducements on R&D investment. A study conducted of 9 Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) countries based on the econometric model of R&D investment which analyzed the results by employing a panel data on the tax changes and R&D spending over a 19 year period from 1979 to 1997; this study found that tax payments are effective in order to increase the R&D level. Further, this study found that a reduction of 10 percent in the cost of R&D affects over a 1 percent increase in the R&D level [36]. In order to identify the national level factors of R&D investment with a special concentration on the trade, investment, and economic growth through the channels of the role of patent rights security. Wang, investigated Extreme-Bounds-Analysis (EBA) trials on data from 26 OECD economies from the year 1996 to 2006 and accessed that the higher or tertiary education with the magnitude of scientific researches in a country were robust factors that reflected the favorable effects on R&D magnitude [37]. The inflow of foreign technology had a robust and adverse effect on national R&D, and the patent rights security and income growth rate have shown a weak determinant of R&D investment. Several studies that focused on the determinants or factors of R&D investment and innovation in the economy, GDP growth, GDP per capita, trade, FDI, and fiscal policies of the government play a significant contribution to the technology transfers, innovation, and R&D. A study by Schmookler, focused on the role of GDP growth rates on R&D investments [38]. Further, Romer, showed that the markets imply stronger incentives to conduct R&D, which in turn leads to faster growth [39]. As the purchasing power of consumers increases, they are inclined to distribute a bigger portion of their financial gain towards differentiated products, which are more R&D-intensive [40]. It is well anticipated that the elasticity of R&D per capita with respect to GDP per capita should be high [41], [42]. Arguments exist both in favor of and against the openness of trade and their spillover impact on innovation and technological change to protect industries from the global competition [43]. Some studies show such as [44]-[47] that innovation reduces with the competition. Nevertheless, on the other hand, some studies by Nickell and Blundell et al. observed that this is not consistent [48], [49]. Some studies found that in most developing and OECD countries, the government provides R&D subsidizations to the universities and research institutes in the assistance of fundamental research [50]. It is thus expected that the budget of the authorities is very probable to impact the domestic R&D investments, and it is also noticed that in developing countries, the government also executes the responsibility of piquant in Research and Development directly [51]. Pratinidhi and Verma have studied short and long-run causality on inflation, export, and lending rate. This study has observed good relations in lending rates and exports [52].

It has been well recognized that besides the GDP growth, investments, FDI, and trade, the policies of the government related to fiscal policy, when the government provides grants to universities and research institutes, it affects the R&D scenario in the economy.

III. DATA, METHODOLOGY, AND ANALYSIS

The study employed 15 developed and developing countries. The countries are Switzerland, Netherlands, Sweden, United Kingdom, Singapore, Finland, Denmark, Germany, Iceland, Malaysia, Bulgaria, Croatia, Thailand, Russia Federation, Romania. The time period of the data set is 2007-2018 from the source of the World Bank database, and the variables of the study are tabulated in annexure 1.
High-tech exports = f (R&D exp. % GDP, GCF % of GDP, Financial Institutions Access Index (FIAI), Financial Markets Depth Index (FMDI), Tariff rate, Information and Communication Technology (ICT) goods export, GDP per capita, Real effective Exchange Rate (ER), Import Value Index, Labor force with advanced Education (female) ) (1)

The above equation is estimated using the fixed effect since the fixed estimates were found to be efficient using the Hausman test.

| Name | Description |
|------|-------------|
| High-technology exports (% of manufactured exports) | Products with high R&D intensity, such as in aerospace, computers, pharmaceuticals, scientific instruments, and electrical machinery. |
| R&D expenditure (% of GDP) | "Research and Development expenditure as a percent of GDP. This include both capital and current expenditures in the four main sectors: Business enterprise, Government, Higher education and Private non-profit. R&D covers basic research, applied research, and experimental development." |
| FIAI(Access index) | Financial Institutions Access Index |
| FMDI(depth index) | Financial Markets Depth Index |
| Tariff rate, most favored nation, weighted mean, manufactured products (%) | It is the average of most favored nation rates weighted by the product import shares corresponding to each partner country. Manufactured products are commodities classified in Standard International Trade Classification (SITC) revision 3 sections 5-8 excluding division 68. |
| ICT goods exports (% of total goods exports) | It includes computers and peripheral equipment, communication equipment, consumer electronic equipment, electronic components, and other information and technology goods (miscellaneous). |
| GDP per capita (constant 2010 US$) | "GDP per capita is gross domestic product divided by midyear population. GDP is the sum of gross value added by all resident producers in the economy plus any product taxes and minus any subsidies not included in the value of the products. It is calculated without making deductions for depreciation of fabricated assets or for depletion and degradation of natural resources. Data are in constant 2010 U.S. dollars." |
| Real effective exchange rate index (2010 = 100) | "It is the nominal effective exchange rate (a measure of the value of a currency against a weighted average of several foreign currencies) divided by a price deflator or index of costs." |
| Import value index (2000 = 100) | It denotes the current value of imports value indexes are current value of imports (c.i.f.) converted to U.S. dollars and expressed as a percentage of the average for the base period (2000). |
| Labor force with advanced education, female (% of female working-age population with advanced education) | "Advanced education comprises short-cycle tertiary education, a bachelor's degree or equivalent education level, a master's degree or equivalent education level, or doctoral degree or equivalent education level according to the International Standard Classification of Education 2011 (ISCED, 2011)." |

IV. RESULTS AND INTERPRETATION

The study engulfs around the impact of various determinants while assessing high tech exports, which are thoroughly research-intensive. The descriptive statistics advise that the mean value of high tech exports is 19.26% of manufactured exports with large deviations subsuming to 11.38. Notably, the average gross expenditure on research and development is at most 1.8% of GDP in the said nations, along with a maximum value of 3.7% of GDP (Table 2).

| Variable | Obs | Mean | Std. Dev. | Min | Max |
|----------|-----|------|-----------|-----|-----|
| High-tech exports (% of manufactured exports) | 143 | 19.264 | 11.381 | 4.413 | 60.714 |
| R&D exp. % GDP | 143 | 1.831 | 1.109 | 0.201 | 3.749 |
| GCF % of GDP | 143 | 22.503 | 3.922 | 13.904 | 36.974 |
| FIAI(Access index) | 143 | 0.674 | 0.218 | 0.165 | 0.987 |
| FMDI(depth index) | 143 | 0.597 | 0.311 | 0.033 | 0.995 |
| Tariff rate | 127 | 3.649 | 1.345 | 1.72 | 9.17 |
| ICT goods export | 142 | 6.626 | 8.442 | 0.068 | 39.35 |
| GDP per capita | 143 | 32567.42 | 23081.78 | 8 | 74744.75 | 77451.98 |
| Real effective ER | 132 | 100.862 | 9.227 | 79.251 | 153.608 |
| Import Value Index | 142 | 305.268 | 146.285 | 139.199 | 760.708 |
| Labor force with advanced education(female) | 132 | 77.772 | 6.498 | 60.932 | 90.608 |

Source: Calculated by researchers by using STATA. Data compiled from the World Bank database, 2020. Link accessed: https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/

The study further turns to the regression analysis where Research and Development expenditure as a % GDP positively and significantly promotes high tech exports (table 1.3). The results are in congruence with the fact that high tech exports are concerned with high demand for research and development, the upshot of which suggests that economies aspiring to cater to high exports exhaustively invest in research and development activities. Concomitant to the above results, the gross capital formations have also escalated high tech exports (table 1.3), apparently due to easy access to infrastructural facilities such as roads, schools, and drains. Such investments have both push and pull impacts through incentivizing and facilitating the high tech export-oriented production.

A. Financial Role

The research suggests that financial development has significantly enhanced high tech exports (Table 3), noticeably due to the fact that such development has facilitated high tech exports and has been proven to be providing desired benefits by reducing financial cost and easy availability of finance. The financial development is accounted for by two indices, namely, FIAI and FMDI, to track their impact in a lucid manner. The access to financial institutions such as banks acts as financial infrastructure facilitators, and they enhance the availability of credit to the
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exporters. Further, the study dissects over the impact of the depth of financial markets and iterates that deep financial markets escalate high-tech exports. The results suggest that a unit change(increase) in FIAI culminates to 29.09 units increase in high tech exports; also, a unit increase in FMDI approximates to 24.29 unit increases in exports of high tech goods, which is even statistically significant at 1% (Table 3).

### TABLE 3: REGRESSION RESULTS

|                     | (1)  | (2)  | (3)  | (4)  | (5)  | (6)  | (7)  | (8)  | (9)  | (10) | (11) |
|---------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| R and D exp. % GDP  | 6.327*** | 6.814*** | 5.640*** | 5.222*** | 7.933*** | 7.561*** | 7.002*** | 7.522*** | 7.819*** | 9.273*** | 9.273*** |
| (1.804)             | (1.719) | (1.683) | (1.660) | (1.730) | (1.643) | (1.686) | (1.508) | (1.473) | (1.292) | (1.292) | *      |
| GCF % of GDP        | 0.446*** | 0.476*** | 0.423*** | 0.375*** | 0.352*** | 0.298*** | 0.165  | 0.235**  | 0.384**  | *      | *      |
| (0.116)             | (0.112) | (0.110) | (0.104) | (0.111) | (0.111) | (0.111) | (0.110) | (0.104) | (0.104) | (0.104) | *      |
| FIAI(Access index)  | 17.623*** | 16.893*** | 15.872*** | 15.103*** | 15.173*** | 24.595*** | 28.177*** | 29.099*** | 29.099*** | *      | **     |
| (5.059)             | (4.972) | (5.082) | (4.819) | (4.800) | (5.294) | (5.342) | (5.602) | (5.062) | (5.062) | **     | **     |
| FMDI(depth index)   | 10.226** | 17.275*** | 18.002*** | 18.445*** | 25.066*** | 21.016*** | 24.296*** | 24.296*** | 24.296*** | *      | **     |
| (4.186)             | (4.381) | (4.155) | (4.151) | (4.022) | (4.226) | (4.057) | (4.057) | (4.057) | (4.057) | *      | **     |
| Tariff rate         | -2.007*** | -1.764*** | -1.703**  | -1.046  | -0.426  | -1.266  | -1.266  | -1.266  | -1.266  | -1.266  | -1.266  |
| (0.734)             | (0.699) | (0.697) | (0.685) | (0.710) | (0.849) | (0.849) | (0.849) | (0.849) | (0.849) | (0.849) | *      |
| ICT goods export    | 0.543*** | 0.539*** | 0.326*** | 0.248*  | 0.534*** | 0.534*** | 0.534*** | 0.534*** | 0.534*** | 0.534*** | *      |
| (0.148)             | (0.148) | (0.137) | (0.137) | (0.137) | (0.159) | (0.159) | (0.159) | (0.159) | (0.159) | (0.159) | *      |
| GDP per capita      | 0.000  | 0.000** | 0.001**  | 0.001** | 0.001** | 0.001** | 0.001** | 0.001** | 0.001** | 0.001** | 0.001** | *      |
| Real effective ER   | 0.085** | 0.123** | 0.104**  | 0.104** | 0.104** | 0.104** | 0.104** | 0.104** | 0.104** | 0.104** | 0.104** | *      |
| Value Index         | 0.047  | 0.048(04) | 0.048(04) | 0.048(04) | 0.048(04) | 0.048(04) | 0.048(04) | 0.048(04) | 0.048(04) | 0.048(04) | 0.048(04) | *      |
| Import              | -0.017** | -0.019** | 0.019**  | -0.019** | -0.019** | -0.019** | -0.019** | -0.019** | -0.019** | -0.019** | -0.019** | *      |
| Labor force         | -1.002*** | -1.002*** | -1.002*** | -1.002*** | -1.002*** | -1.002*** | -1.002*** | -1.002*** | -1.002*** | -1.002*** | -1.002*** | *      |
| advanced            |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
| education/fe male   | (0.218) | (0.218) | (0.218) | (0.218) | (0.218) | (0.218) | (0.218) | (0.218) | (0.218) | (0.218) | (0.218) |

Note: Standard errors are in parenthesis

(*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1)

Source: Calculated by researchers by using STATA. Data compiled from the World Bank database, 2020. Link accessed:
https://data.worldbank.org/indicator

---

**B. Role of tariffs and exchange rate**

From here, it becomes crucial to determine the impact of tariffs as a proxy of restrictions imposed; tariffs negatively impact high tech exports making them more costly and hence uncompetitive, creating a dampening impact.

A unit increase in tariff creates a dampening effect of 1.26 units over high tech exports, which is highly significant at a 1% level of significance (Table 3).

Further, the exchange rate proxied by the real effective exchange rate is bound to enhance the high tech exports, making them relatively cheaper and hence more competitive. A unit increase in the real effective exchange rate enhances exports of high tech goods by 0.104 units (Table 3).

**C. GDP and high tech exports**

The research deduced that higher GDP per capita facilitates high tech exports, the contemporaneous benefits of GDP per capita lie with knowledge spillover across the borders that predominantly promotes research and development process. The coefficient of GDP per capita remains positive and statistically significant at 1% level of significance (Table 3). Further, the GDP per capita helps to build export capacity and enhances research and development.

**D. Labour force with advanced education**

Since high tech exports are research-driven, it becomes inevitable to study the labor force having advanced education; interestingly, the female labor force having advanced education had a negatively significant impact on high tech exports (Table 3). A unit increase in women labor force with advanced education leads to a 1 unit decrease in exports of high tech goods.

It is important that although the same coefficient for the male labor force was positive but statistically insignificant and hence is omitted from the model. Emphatically, here we can clearly see the emerging void between male and female skilled labor force.

From here, the role of the state is critically important to enhance access to advanced education in general and to women in particular.


E. Robustness

The above regression (Table 3) results are based upon fixed-effect model, we have employed the Hausman test in order to check the validity of the fixed effect, whose null hypothesis is that fixed and random effect is the same. The P-value of the Hausman test, which follows chi-square distribution, is 0, which suggests a failure to accept the null hypothesis (Table 4).

TABLE 4: HAUSMAN (1978) SPECIFICATION TEST

| Chi-square test value | P-value |
|-----------------------|---------|
| 317.296               | 0       |

Source: Calculated by researchers by using STATA

V. CONCLUSION

The study empirically tested the key determinants of high tech exports and deduced that expenditure on research and development is crucial for the promotion of high tech exports for the fact that the latter are research-intensive. Additionally, gross capital formation has positive reverberation while facilitating high tech exports through its role in eradicating infrastructural bottlenecks. The expenditure on research and development has significantly augmented the high tech exports and has proven to be a facilitator. Similarly, capital formation has escalated the high tech exports through its linkage effects, i.e., forward and backward linkages. Capital formation influences the production capacity and hence income through multiplier effects.

Further, the study is intrigued by the role of financial market development, which in turn is export-oriented, thereby reducing the foreign exchange burden. The enhanced access to financial markets and increased depth has proven to be congenial for high tech exports. The financial markets provide easy access to finance to the investors and hence supplements the output growth of export-oriented products, especially which are high tech.

Further, the study implies that the government should encourage an outward-oriented policy with reduced tariff burdens that will result in the promotion of high-tech exports. Interestingly, the female labor force having advanced education had a negatively significant impact on high tech exports. From here, the role of the state is critically important to enhance access to advance education in general and to women in particular.

VI. POLICY IMPLICATIONS

The study implicates the benefits of an outward-oriented policy with reduced tariff burdens that will result in the promotion of high-tech exports. Interestingly, the female labor force having advanced education had a negatively significant impact on high tech exports. From here, the role of the state is critically important to enhance access to advance education in general and to women in particular.

The study implies that the government should encourage advanced learning towards research pursuit. Also, economic growth should be well targeted to get desired outcomes in a befitting manner.
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