jet-dominated quiescent states in black hole X-ray binaries: the case of V404 Cyg
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ABSTRACT

The dynamical and radiative properties of the quiescent state (X-ray luminosity $\lesssim 10^{34}$ erg s$^{-1}$) of black hole X-ray transients (BHXTs) remains unclear, mainly because of low-luminosity and poor data quantity. We demonstrate that, the simultaneous multi-wavelength (including radio, optical, ultraviolet and X-ray bands) spectrum of V404 Cyg in its bright quiescent state can be well described by the radiation from the companion star and more importantly, the compact jet. Neither the outer thin disc nor the inner hot accretion flow is important in the total spectrum. Together with recent findings, i.e. the power-law X-ray spectrum and the non-variable X-ray spectral shape (or constant photon index) in contrast to the dramatic change in the X-ray luminosity, we argue the quiescent state spectrum of BHXTs is actually jet-dominated. Additional observational properties consistent with this jet model are also discussed as supporting evidences.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Soft X-ray transients are binary systems, where the primaries (black holes or neutron stars) accrete material from their companion low-mass stars through Roche lobe overflow. The black hole X-ray transients generally undergo occasional outbursts, during which they exhibit distinctive states (soft, hard and intermediate) according to their spectral and timing properties (Zdziarski & Gierlinski 2004; Homan & Belloni 2005; Remillard & McClintock 2006; Done, Gierlinski & Kubota 2007; Belloni 2010; Zhang 2013), likely the consequence of the changes in geometry and radiation mechanism of the accretion flow (e.g. Esin et al. 1997). The soft state can be well described by cold disc model (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973, hereafter SSD). The hard state is now generally understood under the hot accretion-jet scenario (see Yuan & Narayan 2014 [YN14 hereafter] for an up-to-date review on hot accretion flow and its applications on various objects including BHXTs), developed from the truncated disc model originally proposed by Esin et al. (1997). Three components are involved in this model, 1) an outer SSD, which is truncated at radius $R_{\text{ss}}$, 2) an inner hot accretion flow within such radius, and 3) a relativistic jet. The hot accretion flow generally stands for the radiatively inefficient, advection-dominated accretion flow (ADAF; Narayan & Yi 1994), and it is updated by the recent progresses in accretion theory (see Xie & Yuan 2012; YN14 for summaries), i.e. the existence of outflow and the direct viscous heating to electrons. This model provides comprehensive explanations on the spectral and timing properties of BHXTs in their hard states (e.g. Yuan, Cui & Narayan 2005 [YCN05 hereafter]).

For majority of the time BHXTs are extraordinarily faint, characterised by the so-called “quiescent state”, with the X-ray ($2 - 10$ keV) luminosity $L_X \lesssim 10^{34}$ erg s$^{-1}$. Mainly because of the difficulties in detection, the nature of the quiescent states remains unclear (Narayan, Garcia & McClintock 2002; Narayan & McClintock 2008). For example, although the origin of the X-ray in quiescent state should come from the high-energy electrons near black holes, it is still unclear whether it is the synchrotron radiation from the non-thermal electrons in the jet (e.g. Fender, Gallo & Jonker 2003; Yuan & Cui 2005), or the synchrotron self-Comptonization from the thermal electrons in ADAF (e.g. Narayan, Barret & McClintock 1997), or Comptonization (in jet) of seed photons from cold disc (Markoff, Nowak & Wilms 2005; Kylafis et al. 2008). From the accretion-jet model, it is shown that (Yuan & Cui 2005), because the ADAF emission in X-rays decreases faster than the jet emission with decreasing accretion rate $\dot{M}$, the X-ray radiation $L_X$ may be dominated by the jet emission when $\dot{M}$ is below a certain threshold. In other words, the X-ray emission of the quiescent state of BHXTs is likely to from the jet. This prediction has passed several observational tests, mainly through spectral fitting (Pszota et al. 2008; YCN05). However, these tests suffer the shortage of poor observational data (the data are non-simultaneous and/or limited to narrow wavebands), thus lead to some debates.

We in this Letter aim to argue that the quiescent state of BHXTs can be well-characterised by the jet model. We first in §2
give a brief description of the jet model we used, and then in §3 provide a comprehensive broad band (from radio to X-ray) spectral fitting of the quiescent spectrum of V404 Cyg, where simultaneous X-ray, ultraviolet (UV), optical and radio observations are available. We then in §4 provide additional observational supports. Finally we provide some discussions and a brief summary in §5.

2 JET MODEL

Our jet model is phenomenological (see YCN05 for more details). The composition is assumed to be normal plasma (cf. Sikora 2011 for related discussions). The bulk velocity is assumed to be small, $V_{\text{jet}} \approx 0.55 \, c$, i.e. bulk Lorentz factor of the compact jet is $1.2$ (typical for the jets in the hard state of BHXTs; Fender 2006).

Internal shocks within the jet will accelerate a fraction $\xi$ of the electrons into energy distribution of power law, with the index of $p_{\text{jet}}$. Due to the strong radiative cooling, the high-energy part of the accelerated power-law electrons will be cooled down, and their distribution index will be $p_{\text{jet}} + 1$ (Rybicki & Lightman 1979). Two additional parameters, $\epsilon_e$ and $\epsilon_B$, are also included to quantify the fraction of the shock energy that goes into electrons and magnetic fields, respectively. For simplicity, all these microphysical parameters are assumed to be constant along the jet direction.

With above parameters given, the synchrotron emission from these accelerated electrons can be calculated. Effects of inverse Compton scattering of the synchrotron photons in the jet are negligible due to small scattering optical depth (but see Markoff, Nowak & Wilms 2005). Generally speaking, the spectral energy distribution (SED) of the jet (or more generally the power-law electrons) is fairly simple. The high energy part (e.g. UV and X-ray bands) is power-law, and because the electrons responsible for the X-ray radiation are actually cooled, the photon index is $\Gamma \approx 1 - \alpha \approx 1 + (p_{\text{jet}} + 1 - 1)/2 = 1 + p_{\text{jet}}/2$ (Rybicki & Lightman 1979). Spectral index $\alpha$ is defined through $F_\nu \propto \nu^{-\alpha}$, where $F_\nu$ is the flux). The low energy part (e.g. radio up to IR) is also power-law, because of self-absorption, and the spectrum is flat or slightly inverted with spectral index $\alpha \approx 0 - 0.5$.

Generally degeneracies in parameters exist for the modelling. $M_{\text{jet}}$ (the mass loss rate into jet) is sensitively coupled with $V_{\text{jet}}$ (fixed in all our applications) which controls beaming effects and gas density. Besides, the radiation at every waveband relates positively to parameters $M_{\text{jet}}$, $\epsilon_e$ and $\epsilon_B$. Moreover, parameter $\epsilon_e$ is more sensitive to the radiation at high-$\nu$ (e.g. X-ray and UV) energy band compared to that at low-$\nu$ (e.g. radio and IR), while $\epsilon_B$ (also $M_{\text{jet}}$, with weaker effects) shows opposite effects, i.e. more sensitive to low-$\nu$ bands. Parameter $\xi$, on the other hand, plays a more complex role. Increasing it (i.e. reducing the average energy of the power-law electrons in the jet) will reduce the X-ray radiation while enhance the radio emission. Finally, the X-ray spectral shape is mainly determined by $p_{\text{jet}}$ (cf. Fig. 2), and affected also by $\xi$ and $\epsilon_e$.

3 SED OF THE QUIESCENT STATE OF V404 CYG

V404 Cyg, located at a distance of $2.39 \pm 0.14$ kpc (Miller-Jones et al. 2009), is a black hole binary with a 6.5-d orbital period. The black hole mass is $M_{\text{BH}} = 12 \pm 2 M_\odot$, and the inclination of the system is $\theta = 56$ degrees (Shahbaz et al. 1994). The companion star is a low-mass K0 m-type giant star with an effective temperature $T_{\text{eff}} = 4570$ K (Casares & Charles 1994; Shahbaz et al. 1994).

This BHXT has the highest quiescent X-ray luminosity to date, i.e. $L_X \approx 1.6 \times 10^{37} L_{\text{Edd}}$ ($L_{\text{Edd}}$ is the Eddington luminosity). Besides, because the BHXTs are known to be variable even within one day, simultaneous observations are crucial to reduce the uncertainties in modelling. V404 Cyg, which also have most broad simultaneous observations of the quiescent state, turns out to be the best candidate for the investigation of the properties of quiescent states.

As shown in Fig. 1, we use observations carried out on 2003 July 28-29, which provide strict simultaneous data (shown as solid marks) for radio (by VLA and WSRT), optical (by IAC80, WHT, and Gemini), UV (by Hubble) and X-ray (by Chandra). For a better SED coverage, we supplement the spectrum with non-simultaneous data (shown as open marks) in infrared (IR; by UKIRT) and optical (by WHT) bands, which are observed on 1990 June 10, and in IR band observed by Spitzer in 2004-2005 (Gallo et al. 2007).

Interested readers are referred to Hynes et al. (2009) for details of data reduction and archival compilation. The quiescent state of this source was also modelled under the jet model by Pszota et al. (2008) with a focus on the high-quality XMM-Newton data, and under pure ADAF model by Narayan, Barret & McClintock (1997).

We apply the jet model, together with the emission from the companion star, to fit the broad SED. Stellar atmosphere model (Kurucz 1993) is applied for the radiation of the companion (see Hynes et al. 2009 for details). Evidently the companion dominates the radiation in mid-IR-optical bands (cf. dotted curve in Fig. 1).

The X-ray photon index is observationally derived as $\Gamma = 2.17^{+0.12}_{-0.04}$ (Hynes et al. 2009). We thus pre-fix in our jet model $p_{\text{jet}} = 2.4$, a reasonable value according to the diffusive shock acceleration theory. The UV emission, together with the X-ray flux, provide an upper limit on $p_{\text{jet}}$ parameter. This is because, for a given X-ray luminosity, larger $p_{\text{jet}}$ will lead to softer optically thin synchrotron radiation, thus higher flux at lower energy bands.

There are four free parameters left, $M_{\text{jet}}$, $\xi$, $\epsilon_e$ and $\epsilon_B$. Through spectral modelling (i.e. radio flux and its slope, X-ray and UV fluxes), we find that, $M_{\text{jet}} = 3 \times 10^{-6} M_{\text{Edd}}$ ($M_{\text{Edd}} \approx 10 L_{\text{Edd}}/c^2$, the Eddington accretion rate). Additionally, $\xi = 0.08$, $\epsilon_e = 0.04$ and $\epsilon_B = 0.03$, all are within the typical range obtained in GRB afterglow (YCN05). The result is shown in Fig. 1. We find that the radiation in mid-UV up to X-ray bands is the optically thin part of the synchrotron radiation. On the other hand, the radiation in radio up to mid-IR bands is the optically thick self-absorbed
synchrotron radiation, and the spectrum is flat with spectral index $\alpha_{\text{R-IR}} \approx 0.02$. Most remarkably, as shown in Fig. 1, the UV flux (at $\nu \approx 1.1 \times 10^{15}$ Hz) simultaneously observed by *Hubble*, which is more than one order of magnitude lower compared to the prediction of a pure ADAF model that produces the same X-ray luminosity (see e.g. Hynes et al. 2009), is highly consistent with the jet model.

Now we turn to the turnover frequency, which characterises the location between optically thin and optically thick synchrotron radiation. This frequency is derived recently in several BHXTs (Gandhi et al. 2011; Russell et al. 2013), where they intend to constrain from this value the basic properties of jet, i.e. magnetic field strength and electron number density, etc. In quiescent state of V404 Cyg, we measured from our spectral fitting method a turnover frequency $\nu \approx 1 \times 10^{14}$Hz. There are two cautions we would like to address. First, the turnover frequency is sensitive to the properties of the inner central regions of the jet, where the local turnover frequency is the highest (cf. Fig. 2). Correspondingly, the derived physical properties also reveal the properties of the central jet regions. Second, the application of using IR-UV bands for the extrapolation of the optically thin part should be cautious during the quiescent state, where the contamination from the companion star dominates. Even during the hard state whose the IR/optical emission is not from the companion, the contribution from the outer SSD in these bands still need to be well constrained/modelled and subtracted before any serious extrapolations of the near-IR-optical band. The far-IR could be better, but the turnover frequency is likely to be higher than far-IR. For the quiescent state, since we argue the X-ray is the optically thin synchrotron radiation, we recommend to use extrapolations between X-ray spectrum and radio spectrum to constrain the turnover frequency.

We now check the radiative contribution from the inner hot accretion flow and the outer cold disc. For this purpose, we assume the accretion rate at 5 $R_t$ (here $R_t$ is the Schwartszchild radius of the black hole) is 5 $\dot{M}_{\text{Edd}}$, i.e. 20% of the gas near 5 $R_t$ will enter into the jet. Basic parameters adopted (fixed, without any modelling) for the hot accretion flow are, outflow strength parameter $s = 0.6$ ($M \propto R_t^s$; see below for the constrain on this parameter), viscous parameter $\alpha_{\text{vis}} = 0.3$, gas to magnetic pressure ratio $\beta = 9$, and the electron viscous heating fraction $\delta = 0.1$. The numerical result for the inner ADAF is shown as double-dot dashed curve in Fig. 1, where the lower peak is the synchrotron and the second peak is bremsstrahlung (dominated) with a negligible fraction of inverse-Comptonization. Clearly from this plot the ADAF has no radiative importance at any wavebands for the quiescent state of BHXTs.

The remaining component is the outer irradiated cool disc. Generally its radiation is difficult to constrain in quiescent states, due to the dominance of the companion star. For V404 Cyg, Hynes et al. (2009) argued that the fast variable optical flickering (solid square in Fig. 1) may comes from the outer cool disc. In order to model this optical emission without exceeding the UV constraint, the truncated radius is found to be $R_t \approx 1.5 \times 10^4 R_s$. Below we take $R_t = 2 \times 10^4 R_s$. Correspondingly, the accretion rate of the cool disc is $\geq 2.5 \times 10^{-3} \dot{M}_{\text{Edd}}$ (dot-dashed curve). We note that Lasota (2000) provide a formulae to estimate the mass supply in the quiescent state as, $\dot{M}(R) \approx 4.0 \times 10^{15} \left(M_{\text{BH}}/1 M_\odot\right)^{0.38} \left(R/10^{10}\text{ cm}\right)^{2.65} \text{g s}^{-1}$. Applying this formulae to V404 Cyg, we find the accretion rate at $R_t$ is $\approx 5 \times 10^{-3} \dot{M}_{\text{Edd}}$.

1 We note that a truncated radius similar to ours, $R_t \approx 10^2 R_s$, is constrained in the quiescence of XTE J1118+480, whose UV extinction is low because of high latitude above the Galactic plane (cf. McClintock et al. 2003).

in good agreement with our constraint. With accretion rates at $5 R_t$ and $R_t$ provided, the outflow strength of the inner ADAF is constrained as $s \approx 0.6$, consistent with the suggested range ($s \sim 0.4–0.8$) from recent large-scale numerical simulations of hot accretion flows (Yuan, Wu & Bu 2012 and references therein).

We thus conclude, from detailed spectral fitting of the simultaneous SED, that emission from the compact jet and the companion dominates the whole spectrum during the quiescent state of BHXTs (at least for V404 Cyg). The predicted critical X-ray luminosity $L_{\text{X-crit}}$ for the jet dominance of this source, depending on the unconstrained parameters of ADAF, can roughly be estimated to be $\sim 1.5 - 5 \times 10^{39} \text{erg s}^{-1} \approx 3 \times 10^{-6} L_{\text{Edd}}$ (see also Yuan & Cui 2005; Yuan, Yu & Ho 2009; Plotkin, Gallo & Jonker 2013), a factor of $\sim 6 - 20$ larger to the observed value. We note that additional examples of the jet-dominance can been found in low-luminosity active galactic nuclei (AGNs; see e.g. Wu, Yuan & Cao 2007; Pellegrini et al. 2007; Wrobel, Terashima & Ho 2008; Yuan, Yu & Ho 2009; de Gasperin et al. 2011).

4 ADDITIONAL EVIDENCES FOR THE JET MODEL

4.1 strong evidences in favor of the jet-dominated model

Besides the excellent spectral fitting of the simultaneous broadband SED in the quiescent state, there are several additional proofs on the jet-dominated quiescent state model, which we list below.

First, the optically thick, flat or slightly inverted, radio spectrum. The multi-band simultaneous radio observations of quiescent (and also hard) state show a flat spectrum (Gallo, Fender & Hynes 2005), with the spectral index $\alpha \sim 0$. This is usually taken as most obvious and direct evidence for the existence of compact relativistic jet, by the analogy to the jets in AGNs which are indeed imaged to show collimated conical structures. The radio (up to IR) radiation is dominated by the jet emission, where the flat or inverted spectrum is due to the combination of radiation from different locations (with different break frequency) along the jet direction (YCN05; Markoff, Nowak & Wilms 2005; Kylafis et al. 2008). Besides, the radio-IR emission is also expected to be polarized, which currently only observed during the hard states (see Fender 2006). The non-detection of radio polarization in the quiescent state (Miller-Jones et al. 2008) may only because of weaker magnetic field strength compared to that in the hard state.

Second, the power-law shape of the X-ray spectrum. One notable difference in X-ray band between the ADAF-origin and the jet-origin is that, ADAF (at low accretion rate) predicts a curved bump-shaped spectrum (YN14, but see Poutanen & Veledina 2014 and references therein for hybrid models considering the non-thermal electrons in ADAF), while the jet has a power-law spectrum (cf. Fig. 1). However, it is challenging to reliably determine the spectral shape of BHXTs in their quiescent states, mainly because of their faintness. Pszota et al. (2008) recently carried out deep high-quality XMM-Newton observations of three BHXTs, the exposure time for each source is more than 40 ks. Two of them (GRO J1655-40 and V404 Cyg) are confirmed to have precisely power-law X-ray spectra, without any possible curvatures. The left one (XTE J1550-564), although not confirmed solidly due to relatively poorer data quality, is also most likely power-law.

Third, constant photon index as X-ray luminosity varies by orders of magnitude. The jet model predicts that, the X-ray spectral shape ($\Gamma$) is governed by the energy distribution of non-thermal electrons ($p_{\text{jet}}+1$), and it will be insensitive to the X-ray luminosity,
The flux of this observation, (0.2–0.4) mJy (non-flaring emission), is similar to the flux we modelled in §3, thus the upper limit may also apply to the observation we adopted. We shown in Fig. 2 (see also Fig. 5 in Zdziarski et al. 2014) the emission from different locations along the jet direction. We find that most (> 90%) of the radiation at 8.5 GHz comes from the location between $10^3 R_s$ and $10^4 R_s$, or a size of $\sim 0.07$ mas at 2.4 kpc. This is consistent with the observational upper limit. Our theoretical result also indicates that extremely-high resolution radio arrays, i.e. with space-VLBI, are required in order to resolve these compact jets in BHXTs, a huge challenge to the current/future radio facilities.

Second, the variation in radio and X-ray bands. In the quiescent state, radio emission is found to be much less variable compared to the X-ray emission (for V404 Cyg, see e.g. Corbel et al. 2008; Hynes et al. 2009). This feature could be naturally understood under the jet model. As illustrated in Fig. 2, although both radio and X-ray wavebands are dominated by emission from jet, they actually originate from different locations along the jet. The X-ray emission comes from the inner (compact) regions of jet, while the radio emission mainly comes from the outer (broad) regions. Since the size of emission regions provides the lower limit for the variability timescale (fluctuations will be smoothed out within timescale $\sim z/c_s$, where $c_s$ is the sound speed of the electrons), the weaker variability in radio is totally expected.

Besides, it is also reported that there is no direct evidence of correlation for the variations between radio and X-ray (Hynes et al. 2009). This may also because of different radiative zones involved, and the variations are due to some local effect, e.g. local fluctuation in the occurrence efficiency of microphysics like magnetic reconstructions and/or internal shocks, which has no direct causal connection to other zones.

Third, the correlation in optical and X-ray variation. In V404 Cyg, X-ray variability correlates well with Hα (Hynes et al. 2004) and optical continuum (Hynes et al. 2009), consistent with the irradiation/reprocessing model. Hα also shows double-peaked profile (Hynes et al. 2004), indicates a cool-disc-origin. All these are usually seen in bright hard X-ray states, where the accretion-jet model is successfully applied. The jet-dominated quiescent model is a naturally extension of the model, the only dynamical/structural difference is that the outer disc retreats further away. Besides this structural difference, the UV-X-ray photons to irradiate the disc is also different, i.e. an ADAF origin in bright hard state and a jet origin in quiescent state. However, since ADAF and the jet are tightly correlated, and the location of X-ray photons is the central region in both cases, similar correlations should be expected.

Besides, the spectral fitting provides a constraint on $R_\text{in} \geq 1.5 \times 10^4 R_s$. Optical observations on different epochs (2002 Sep.) by ULTRACAM spotted a 0.78 mHz quasi-periodic-oscillation (QPO) feature. If the optical variation relates to the SSD (ref. Fig. 1 and §3) and the QPO relates with the orbital frequency (Keplerian) at $R_\text{in}$ (Giannios & Spruit 2004), then we have $R_\text{in} \sim 1.1 \times 10^4 R_s$ (Shabbaz et al. 2003, see also Narayan et al. 1997.).

5 DISCUSSIONS AND SUMMARY

One consequence of the jet-dominated quiescent state is that, the radio/X-ray luminosity relationship steepens to $b = 1.23$ (where $L_r \propto L_x^{b}$; Yuan & Cui 2005), compared to $b \approx 0.62$ typically observed in the hard states of BHXTs (Corbel et al. 2003, 2013).
However, such steepen has not been observed in BHXTs yet. For V404 Cyg, our spectral modelling indicates it enters the steep correlation regime and the radio flux will be \((3 \pm 6)\) times lower to that extrapolated from the \(b \sim 0.6\) correlation, a difference not be observed (Corbel et al. 2008). Further efforts are still needed to understand this discrepancy. On the other hand, we note that observationally the low-luminosity AGNs (which are analogy to BHXTs in their hard and quiescent states) do exhibit a steeper correlation, with \(b \approx 1.22\), in excellent agreement with the theoretical prediction (Yuan, Yu & Ho 2009).

Besides, if the X-ray radiation of the quiescent state has a jet-origin, then, we would expect to have relatively high degree of polarization in X-ray band, compared to the typical hard state, where the X-rays are of inverse-Compton origin. Currently the X-ray polarization is nearly an unexplored field in astronomy, and future sensitive spaceborne X-ray polarimeters (e.g. the proposed X-ray Timing and Polarization [XTP] mission and the Gravity and Extreme Magnetism SMEX X-ray polarimetry mission) may detect variable X-ray polarization from synchrotron emission of the jets.

We now give a brief summary of this work. With recent advances in X-ray observations, especially the deep sensitive spectral observation and the long-term monitoring, we confirm that the emission of the quiescent state of BHXTs is dominated by the radiation from the compact relativistic jet. The outer thin disc and the inner hot accretion flow generally play negligible roles in radiation, while the companion dominates the emission between mid-IR and optical bands. We also illustrate (cf. §4) that the jet-dominated quiescent state model can explain most of the observational features.
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