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**Abstract**

Esophageal (OC), gastric (GC) and colorectal (CRC) cancers are amongst the digestive tract tumors with higher incidence and mortality due to significant molecular heterogeneity. This constitutes a major challenge for patients’ management at different levels, including non-invasive detection of the disease, prognostication, therapy selection, patient’s follow-up and the introduction of improved and safer therapeutics. Nevertheless, important milestones have been accomplished pursuing the goal of molecular-based precision oncology. Over the past five years, high-throughput technologies have been used to interrogate tumors of distinct clinicopathological natures, generating large-scale biological datasets (e.g. genomics, transcriptomics, and proteomics). As a result, GC and CRC molecular subtypes have been established to assist patient stratification in the clinical settings. However, such molecular panels still require refinement and are yet to provide targetable biomarkers. In parallel, outstanding advances have been made regarding targeted therapeutics and immunotherapy, paving the way for improved patient care; nevertheless, important milestones towards treatment personalization and reduced off-target effects are also to be accomplished. Exploiting the cancer glycoproteome for unique molecular fingerprints generated by dramatic alterations in protein glycosylation may provide the necessary molecular rationale towards this end. Therefore, this review presents functional and clinical evidences supporting a reinvestigation of classical serological glycan biomarkers such as sialyl-Tn (STn) and sialyl-Lewis A (SLeA) antigens from a tumor glycoproteomics perspective. We anticipate that these glycobiomarkers that have so far been employed in non-invasive cancer prognostication may hold unexplored value for patients’ management in precision oncology settings.
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**Introduction**

Esophageal (OC), gastric (GC) and colorectal (CRC) cancers are part of the top ten most prevalent and deadliest tumors worldwide [1]. Despite representing a diversified array of diseases with distinct aetiologies and molecular backgrounds, these tumors share comparable management problems [2].
These include poor prognosis due to late diagnosis and the lack of efficient therapeutics to avoid disease progression, dissemination and metastasis. Early detection based on large-scale screening of symptomatic and asymptomatic populations remains a complex task, relying almost exclusively on upper digestive tract endoscopy and colonoscopy. The absence of reliable non-invasive molecular tools for cancer detection has significantly delayed the generalization of life-saving interventions to a wider population (Figure 1). Moreover, patient management is further aggravated by the molecular heterogeneity presented by gastroesophageal and colorectal tumors, even between lesions of apparently similar histological nature [3-5] (Figure 1). Such aspects impede accurate patient stratification and lead to ineffective therapeutic schemes that may be accompanied by severe toxicity, ultimately delaying or impairing more effective interventions. The identification of patients at higher risk of developing metastasis has also been particularly challenging, requiring a more profound knowledge of the complex molecular networks established by cancer cells and the tumor stroma (Figure 1). In fact, these aspects are critical for inducing cell migration and invasion, supporting the formation of pre-metastatic niches and circulating tumor cells that dictate metastatic spread [6]. Another key challenge is therapeutic management. For most OC, GC and CRC lesions, first line therapy remains surgery and chemotherapy/radiotherapy [7]. However, many subpopulations of cancer cells are endowed with chemotherapy resistance, leading to tumor relapse and unfavorable outcomes [8]. The introduction of antibody-based targeted therapeutics with trastuzumab (anti-HER2), ramucirumab (anti-VEGFR2), and cetuximab (anti-EGFR) have increased patient survival in some subgroups, as highlighted by recent reviews [9]. However, antibody-based targeted therapeutics efficiency may be considered modest, requiring refinements in patient selection and improvements in antibody-ligand recognition. The recent introduction of immune check-point inhibitors targeting PD-1/PD-L1 and CTLA4 has constituted an exciting milestone in the field of immunotherapy, with yet conflicting results regarding patient’s survival [10]. Again, the existence of robust molecular models to predict patients better served by these approaches remains to be established, hindering true conclusions about therapeutic efficacy (Figure 1). It is also consensual that the multifactorial and dynamic molecular nature of gastroesophageal and colorectal tumors might benefit from combination therapies supported
by real-time assessment of response and rapid adaptation of therapeutic schemes, which is yet to be accomplished.

The comprehensive interrogation of gastroesophageal and colorectal tumors using genomics and transcriptomics has already translated into predictive molecular models for GC and CRC, which will decisively shape future clinical practice towards precision oncology [11] (Figure 1). Notably, OC has been a neglected neoplasia regarding these objectives. In addition, genomics is being used to guide proteomics studies in GC and CRC envisaging targetable biomarkers and therapeutic personalization [12, 13]. This constitutes the foundations for oncoproteogenomics that couples mass spectrometry (MS) approaches with high-throughput next-generation sequencing (NGS) to study the role of protein variants in biological processes and pathology, while identifying cancer neoantigens for therapy development. This big push for systems biology is expanding fast, being complemented with novel layers of molecular information translated by post-translational modifications (PTM), which are critical for biological systems regulation. While much research focus has been set on the role of phosphorylation, functional glycoproteomics has now demonstrated that glycosylation is also critical for defining key oncogenic features such as cell motility, invasion, metastasis and immune escape [14]. Alterations in protein glycosylation also decisively contribute to the activation of relevant oncogenic pathways that sustain cell survival and proliferation [14]. Moreover, cancer associated changes in the composition, density and distribution of glycosites and/or glycan chains are responsible for unique molecular signatures at the cell-surface holding tremendous potential for targeted therapeutics against membrane glycoproteins [15].

Two of the most studied glycans in OC, GC and CRC are the sialyl-Tn (STn) antigen, a short-chain glycan resulting from a premature stop in protein O-glycosylation [16], and the sialyl-Lewis A (sLeA) antigen [17], a terminal glycoepitope of carbohydrate chains in glycoproteins and glycolipids. These glycoepitopes are absent or very strictly expressed in healthy tissues, being significantly overexpressed in advanced stage digestive tract tumors where they are frequently associated with poor prognosis [18]. STn and SLeA antigens are widely explored in clinical practice for non-invasive follow-up based on the CA72-4 (for STn) and CA19-9 (for SLeA) tests; however, they lack the necessary specificity and sensitivity for diagnosis and accurate patient stratification [18]. Nevertheless, there is a relevant amount of information supporting their involvement in cell invasion and metastasis development [19], providing a relevant rationale for intervention [15]. Moreover, several pre-clinical and clinical studies involving targeted therapeutics and immunotherapy focused on these glycans show exciting results but also outstanding challenges towards clinical implementation. As such, herein we provide a comprehensive review on the functional implications and current clinical significance of STn and SLeA in gastroesophageal and colorectal cancer. We also highlight the potential of revisiting these glycans from a glycoproteomics perspective and attempt to set critical research milestones for its integration in emerging panomics studies envisaging future exploitation in the context of precision medicine.

**Protein Glycosylation in Cancer: Structural Diversity and Biological Significance Facing Clinical Applications**

The extracellular domains of most membrane-anchored proteins are glycosylated, often at multiple sites, creating a dense network of carbohydrate chains at the cell surface termed glycocalyx. There is growing evidence that the nature of cell surface glycosylation decisively impacts on the structure and function of membrane proteins and consequently on cell homeostasis [20]. The cancer glycoproteome varies at different levels, including alterations in the density and distribution of glycosites and/or glycan chains structure [15]. The most common glycosylation alterations in cancer, including in digestive tract tumors, comprise: i) changes in the substitution patterns of fucose and sialic acid residues; ii) dramatic reduction in O-GalNAc glycans extension (PTM of Ser and Thr residues) through oversialylation; and iii) alterations in branching, core fucosylation and sialylation of N-glycans (PTM of Asn); and iv) alterations in terminal glycan chain epitopes, including Lewis blood group patterns [21]. Aberrant extracellular glycans may act as signal transducers, regulating key intracellular pathways involved in cell proliferation and survival [14, 22]. Furthermore, glycosylation modifications may significantly impair the normal function of key proteins involved in cell-cell and cell-extracellular matrix adhesion such as integrins, cadherins and CD44 towards more motile and invasive phenotypes [15, 20, 23, 24]. The glycocode also governs recognition by immune cell lectins, dictating immune response often towards more cancer tolerogenic phenotypes [25]. Even though the molecular and clinical contexts driving changes in glycosylation remains, in many cases, unclear, it is quasi unanimous that the tumor microenvironment promotes glycoophenotypes that favor disease progression and dissemination [14]. Accordingly, the tumor microenvironment-tumor cell...
Crosstalk is rapidly translated by cell surface glycosylation changes to drive stress adaptation or active escape [14, 26]. Overall, glycans integrate extracellular and intracellular cues that are phenotypically reflected at the cell surface, being active players in malignancy or surrogate markers of cellular adaptation. As such, glycans are attractive targets for therapeutic applications, which will be comprehensively addressed later in this review. Focus will be set on the most widely studied and clinically explored glycans in gastroesophageal and colorectal tumors, STn and SLeA antigens.

Over- or de novo- expression of STn (NeuAca2-6GalNAcα1-O-Ser/Thr) antigen results from significant alterations in protein O-GalNAc glycosylation impairing its full extension and maturation. O-GalNAc glycosylation is a tightly regulated tissue-dependent process in which the density and distribution of glycosites is dictated by the nature of UDP-GalNAc-polypeptide N-acetylgalactosaminyl transferases (ppGalNAc-Ts) present in the cell [27]. It starts with the addition of GalNAc residues to serine (Ser) or threonine (Thr) moieties by one of twenty possible ppGalNAc-Ts, originating the Tn antigen [28]. The GalNAc precursor may be further extended into different core glycans that can give rise to more complex structures terminated by fucosylation and sialylation [21]. Therefore, it is not uncommon to observe O-GalNAc glycans with terminal sialylated Lewis blood group determinants such as the SLeA antigen, particularly in the malignant gastrointestinal and colorectal mucosa [29, 30]. On the other hand, the Tn antigen can be sialylated by ST6GalNAc-I or -II to form STn, which stops further glycan elongation and largely reduces the O-glycans repertoire at the cell surface [31] (Figure 2). Known mechanisms underlying increased STn levels include overexpression of ST6GALNAC1 sialyltransferase gene [32] and mutation [33] or hypermethylation [34] of COSMC, compromising COSMC, a major T synthase chaperone. This impairs T antigen formation while making Tn antigen available for sialylation by ST6GalNAc-I [35]. Golgi-to-endoplasmic reticulum relocation of polypeptide N-acetylgalactosamine transferases (GalNAc-Ts) also drives Tn accumulation in cancer cells [36], ultimately favoring STn biosynthesis (Figure 2). Both Tn and STn antigens have been classically associated to mucins due to their high glycosite density and are frequently termed simple-mucin type O-GalNAc glycans [37]. Nevertheless, virtually all glycoproteins presenting extracellular motifs prone to be O-glycosylated may express these glycoepitopes [38]. However, STn expression in healthy tissues is normally restricted to poorly represented secretory cells [16, 31]. It is also not expressed by most blood cells, with the exception of some lymphocyte populations [39]. Contrasting, STn is expressed by more than 80% of human carcinomas and, in all cases, it associated with adverse outcomes and decreased overall survival of patients [31], making it a potentially low risk biomarker for targeted therapeutics.

Cancer glycoconjugates at the cell-surface also frequently overexpress sialylated Lewis-type blood group antigens such as SLeA (Siaα2,3Galβ1,3(Fucα1,4) GlcNAc) and its isomer SLeX (Siaα2,3Galβ1,4(Fucα1,3) GlcNAc) as terminal epitopes of O-glycans, N-glycans, and glycolipids [40, 41]. However, SLeA has been more prominent in the context of serological assays and cancer research because, contrarily to SLeX, it is not expressed by blood cells. Sialyl Lewis antigens biosynthesis is a complex process involving the coordinated action of several glycosyltransferases, which might vary depending on the nature of the glycoconjugate carrying the antigen (Figure 2). Increased SLeA/X levels in O-glycans have been mostly associated with C2GnT overexpression [42], while aberrant glycolipid SLeA/X has been correlated with the activation of β1,3-GlcNAc transferase [43]. The competition between the biosynthesis of type 1 (SLeA) and type 2 (SLeX) chains is tissue specific and is mostly governed by β-1,3 and β-1,4-galactosyltransferases basal levels [44]. During malignant transformation, overexpression of sialyl Lewis antigens also derives from neo-synthesis or incomplete synthesis of more complex Lewis structures. Namely, non-malignant digestive tract epithelial cells predominantly express di-sialyl Lewis A (disLeA) antigens, presenting an additional O-6 linked sialic acid than SLeA [45]. In healthy epitheliums, this isosform interacts with inhibitory sialic acid-binding immunoglobulin-type lectins (Siglecs) in immune cells, contributing to maintain tissue homeostasis [46, 47]. Upon neoplastic transformation, epigenetic silencing of ST6GALNAC6 due to histone deacetylation and DNA methylation leads to the expression of the short isoform SLeA. This results in loss of normal cell-cell recognition between mucosal epithelial cells and lymphoid cells and gain of E-selectin binding capacity of cancer cells, ultimately favoring immune escape and metastasis [47]. Similarly, non-malignant colon cells predominantly yield sialyl-6-sulfo Lewis X, while cancer cells often overexpress SLeX [48]. Malignant transformation drives this shift through downregulation of sulfate transporters [49], sulfotransferases and PAPS synthases [50]. Overall, oncogenesis promotes the expression of sialylated Lewis antigens, which constitute the minimum requirement for selectin binding to their counter-receptors, stabilizing the
basis for hematogenous metastasis [44].

Figure 2. Protein-associated glycan biosynthetic pathways. N-glycan biosynthesis begins at the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) membrane with the Glc3Man9GlcNAc2-P-P-Dol precursor transfer to Asn in Asn-X-Ser/Thr sequons of proteins by oligosaccharyltransferase (OST). Of note, “X” represents any amino acid except Pro. Subsequent N-glycan processing reactions take place in the ER from where glycoproteins exit to the Golgi apparatus carrying N-glycans with either eight or nine Man residues, termed oligomannose N-glycans. Further, biosynthesis of hybrid and complex N-glycans occurs in the medial-Golgi. Subsequent sugar additions diversify the repertoire of hybrid and complex N-glycans by elongation of branching GlcNAc residues, capping of elongated branches and N-glycan core sugar addition. Common terminal structures include sialyl Lewis antigens, such as sialyl Lewis A (SLeA) through the concerted action of beta-galactoside-alpha-2,3-sialyltransferase-III and IV (ST3Gal III and IV) as well as alpha-4-fucosyltransferases (α4-FucT). Mucin type O-glycan biosynthesis is initiated in the late ER or in Golgi compartments by the Polypeptide GalNAcT-mediated transfer of N-acetylgalactosamine (GalNAc) to Ser or Thr residues of proteins in a tissue- and cell-type-specific manner. This first biosynthetic steps yield the Tn antigen (GalNAcα1-Ser/Thr), the simplest form of mucin-type O-glycosylation. Tn antigen can be sialylated into the sialyl Tn (STn) antigen by N-acetylgalactosaminide alpha-2,6-sialyltransferase I (ST6GalNAc I), abrogating further chain extension, or it can be extended into the core 1 antigen (T antigen) by N-acetylgalactosamine 3-beta-galactosyltransferase 1 (C1Gal-T1). In turn, the core 1 structure can be capped with sialic acid residues through the action of N-acetylgalactosamindide alpha-2,6-sialyltransferase 2 (ST6GalNAc II) or ST3Gal I, giving rise to sialyl T and disialyl T antigens, again preventing further extension. On the other hand, T antigen can be extended into the core 2 glycan by core 2 beta-1,6-N-acetylgalactosaminyltransferase-I or III (C2GnT-I, III). Extended O-glycan structures beyond the core 2 antigen can also display terminal structures similar to N-glycan such as SLεA.

In summary, there is a substantial amount of evidences supporting a functional role for STn and sialylated Lewis antigens, with emphasis on SLεA, in cancer development. Such rationale associated with a restricted expression pattern in healthy tissues, makes of these glycans a good starting point for developing targeted therapeutics. However, a more precise knowledge about the nature of the glycoproteins carrying these PTM signatures is required envisaging the molecular context for personalized intervention.

Biological significance of STn antigen expression in cancer

As previously described, aberrant modulation of glycosylation pathways in cancer cells can lead to the neo- and/or overexpression of STn antigen [31]. STn expression has been described in many types of epithelial cancer, including OC [51], GC [52] and CRC [53], and a significant effort to disclose its functional role has been employed in the latest years. However, since cancer cells maintained in vitro rarely express the STn antigen, most functional studies have been done exploring cell models glycoengineered to expressed high levels of this glycan [19, 32, 54]. Such observations emphasize the intricate relationship between STn expression and the tumor microenvironment [26], which remains to be fully disclosed. In fact, in bladder cancer, it has been suggested that decreased oxygen levels may promote STn biosynthesis by suppressing the expression of glycosyltransferases involved in O-glycans elongation [26]; however, the microenvironmental factors driving STn expression should be further addressed in future studies. To this date, there are no functional studies on the role of STn in OC and most functional findings in CRC are inferred from in situ tissue studies. Nevertheless, GC cells glycoengineered to
overexpress ST6GALNAC1 and consequently the STn antigen, display enhanced invasion capacity in vitro and increased metastatic ability in nude mice than the corresponding negative controls [19, 55]. Moreover, MUC1 and CD44 were found to be major STn carriers [19, 24], suggesting that STn might negatively impact on GC cell adhesion towards more metastatic phenotypes [55] (Figure 3A). Furthermore, GC cells induced to overexpress ST6GALNAC1 and ST6GALNAC2 displayed lower proliferation rates than control cells, mostly due to an increase in the percentage of apoptotic cells and not to a G1 cell cycle delay [19]. STn expressing cells also showed markedly reduced homotypic cell-cell aggregation but increased adhesion to ECM components as collagen and fibronectin [19] (Figure 3A). Other studies have disclosed a novel STn-dependent mechanism for chemotherapeutic resistance in GC cells [56]. Namely, STn increased galectin-3 intracellular accumulation by decreasing its interaction with cell surface glycan receptors. In this process, STn decreased chemotherapy sensitivity of cancer cells, highlighting the importance of developing novel strategies targeting galectin-3 and/or ST6GalNAc-I in GC [56] (Figure 3A). In addition, studies involving glycoengineered COSMC knock-outs demonstrated that O-glycan shortening was accompanied by the acquisition of mesenchymal-like traits, while promoting transcriptomic remodeling towards overexpression of SRPX2 and RUNXI genes (both commonly upregulated in GC tissues) [54]. STn overexpression also lead to the activation of ErbB tyrosine kinase receptors ErbB2 and EGFR in these models, implicating STn in the constitutive activation of oncogenic signaling in GC [54] (Figure 3A). COSMC knock-out mouse xenografts demonstrated decreased survival compared to wild type xenografts, vowing for the more aggressive nature of short-chain O-glycan overexpressing GC cells [54]. These findings highlight the role of STn in the acquisition of malignant features related to increased migration, invasion and chemoresistance in GC. These findings suggest that the STn antigen may support metastasis development, a concept that is reinforced by its presence in circulating tumor cells [57] and metastases [58, 59] in different models.

In addition, overexpression of ST6GALNAC1 enhanced STn expression in CRC stem cells (CSC) [60]. Particularly, STn was carried by the CSC marker CD44, and increased the sphere-forming ability and resistance to chemotherapeutic agents of CRC-CSC [60]. Furthermore, ST6GALNAC1-overexpressing cells constitutively activated the Akt pathway, which was blocked by LGALS3 (Galectin-3) gene knockdown. These findings suggest that ST6GALNAC1 has a role in the maintenance of CRC-CSCs by activating the Akt pathway in cooperation with galectin-3 and that ST6GALNAC1 or STn antigen might be reasonable molecular targets for CSC-targeted therapy [60]. Similarly, other studies reported CD44 as a major STn carrier in colorectal cancer [61], suggesting that CD44 glycosylation may be implicated in cellular adhesion alterations. The observations made for GC and CRC agree with previous reports from different types of tumors (breast, ovarian, prostate, bladder) where STn was also implicated in key oncogenic features favoring invasion and metastasis development, supporting the pancarcinoma and aggressive nature of this PTM [15, 31, 62].

**Biological significance of SLe\(^\alpha\) antigen expression in cancer**

As previously mentioned, the overexpression of both SLe\(^\alpha\) and SLe\(^\xi\) is associated with increased tumor cell malignancy. Particularly, these glycoepitopes are overexpressed in several types of cancer cells, including esophageal [63], gastric [64] and colorectal cells [65, 66], where its functional role is being progressively disclosed. Furthermore, SLe\(^\alpha/\xi\) are E-selectin ligands in vascular endothelial cells, facilitating tumor cell intravasation into primary tumor blood vessels and circulating tumor cells arrest in distant locations during hematogenous metastasis [67, 68] (Figure 3B). Also, it potentiates tumor cell adhesive interactions with extracellular matrix proteins on vessel walls, mediating extravasation [69] (Figure 3B). Furthermore, interaction of these ligands in colon cancer cells with P-selectin on platelets is thought to facilitate hematogenous dissemination by protecting tumor cells from shear stress in circulation and immune recognition by natural killer (NK) cells [70] (Figure 3B). Moreover, through this interaction, activated platelets may release platelet-derived growth factors capable of stimulating the growth of highly metastatic colon cancer cells [71]. These observations suggest that SLe\(^\alpha\) may play a relevant role in the survival of tumor cells in circulation, which warrants future confirmation. In addition, colon cancer cells undergoing epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) upregulate SLe\(^\alpha/\xi\) levels and E-selectin binding capacity, while enhancing VEGF production [72]. These findings suggest a pro-angiogenic feedback resulting from cancer cells SLe\(^\alpha/\xi\) and endothelial cells E-selectin interactions. The molecular mechanism underlying EMT-associated SLe\(^\alpha/\xi\) expression in colon cancer cells included the transcriptomic regulation of glycogenes in a c-Myc and CDX2 dependent manner. Namely, the EMT-derived enhanced transcriptional activity of c-Myc resulted in upregulation of ST3GAL1/3/4 and FLT3, while down-regulation of...
CDX2 suppressed FUT2 transcription, ultimately leading to SLeA/X overexpression [72].

In GC cells, the ErbB2 tyrosine kinase receptor (RTK) was found to be a major carrier of SLeA, mostly in a FUT3 dependent manner. Moreover, these cells displayed a hyperactivation of ErbB2 and other members of the ErbB family [20]. However, whether ErbB2-specific glycosylation plays an active part on dimer formation remains to be fully disclosed, although similar associations have been reported for other ErbB receptors [73] (Figure 3B). Notwithstanding, cellular deglycosylation and CA19.9 antibody-mediated blocking of SLeA drastically altered ErbB2 expression and activation, suggesting an important role for SLeA in RTK function in GC cells [20]. On another note, SLeA/X are specific ligands of sialic acid binding adhesin (SabA) that promotes H. pylori adhesion to the inflamed gastric mucosa [29] (Figure 3B). Moreover, SLeA expression in the gastric epithelium is further induced during persistent H. pylori infection, creating a chronic inflammation state capable of establishing precursor neoplastic lesions of the gastric epithelium [74]. In this context, sialyl lewis antigens actively contribute to chronic inflammation and gastric carcinogenesis.

Figure 3. Functional impact of STn and SLeA expression in gastrointestinal tumors. Both STn and SLeA overexpression influence tumor initiating processes as constitutive activation of several oncogenic signalling mediated by EGFR and ErbB2. Moreover, SLeA also facilitates H. pylori adhesion to the gastric epithelium, contributing to persistent infection and potentially cancer development. STn also drives tumor progression by negatively impacting cell-cell and cell-extracellular matrix adhesion as well as galectin-3-mediated chemoresistance. Moreover, sialylated lewis antigens facilitate hematogenous metastasis of tumor cells through E-selectin interactions, while protecting tumor cells from sheer stress in circulation and hampering immune recognition.
In summary, sialylated lewis antigens, with emphasis on SLeA, actively contribute to pre-malignant gastric lesions, constitutive activation of oncogenic pathways, hematogenous metastasis and immune escape. This supports the malignant nature of cancer-specific PTM and highlights the need for novel therapeutic approaches targeting these epitopes.

**Clinical Relevance of STn and SLeA in Cancer**

**Classical serological tests and non-invasive biopsies**

Both STn and SLeA antigens are often present in proteins that are secreted of shed from tumors into circulation, making them accessible for serological detection through the CA72-4 (for the STn antigen) and CA19-9 (for the SLeA antigen) assays. These serological tests have been long introduced in clinical practice and frequently support the assessment of gastroesophageal and colorectal cancer patients’ status (Figure 4A). The CA72-4 test detects a high molecular weight tumor-associated glycoprotein 72 (TAG-72) mucin carrying the STn antigen [75], whereas CA19-9 mainly detects mucins carrying SLeA [76]. However, in gastrointestinal tumor tissues, anti-CA19-9 antibodies may detect monosialogangliosides containing SLeA [76], demonstrating possible cross reactions with a wide variety of SLeA-expressing glycoconjugates.

Recent metanalyses clearly associate CA72-4 and CA19-9 serum elevations in GC patients with higher stage and grade, vessel-invasion, lymph-node metastasis and distant metastasis [77]. Despite showing potential for accessing recurrence, predicting patient survival, poor response to chemotherapy and monitoring after surgery [78] these markers are not considered suitable for early GC detection. In fact, both test show positivity related to non-malignant conditions, which decisively bias results. Namely, the CA72-4 antigen has been found elevated in the serum of approximately 7% of healthy individuals as well as patients with gastric ulcer, polyps, atrophic gastritis and *Helicobacter pylori* infection in a large study involving 7757 adults [79]. These results indicate that routine screening of CA72-4 levels in asymptomatic patients may be ineffective due to low sensitivity and low positive predictive value [79]. In addition, CA19-9
is synthesized and secreted in low amounts by normal human pancreatic and biliary ductal cells and by the gastric, colon, endometrial and salivary epithelia [80]. It is also overexpressed in several benign gastrointestinal disorders, increasing the number of false positives [81]. False negatives may also occur for individuals with Lewis (a-b-) genotype [80], which impacts negatively on the capacity to accurately discriminate cancer patients. Attempts to combine several classical serological biomarkers such as CEA, CA19-9 and CA72-4 in GC also did not provide satisfactory results for early diagnosis [79].

A large metaanalysis involving 6434 CRC patients showed that high serum CA19-9 levels before treatment were significantly associated with decreased overall-, disease-, progression- and recurrence-free survival, irrespectively of the geographical region, analysis type, sample size, and treatment methods [82]. Several studies also suggested similar associations for CA72-4, but the statistical power of these observations is still limited by the low number of studies [83]. Nevertheless, the sensitivity of both biomarkers is also considered low to support its addition to current standard surveillance strategies for CRC [84]. Contrasting with GC and CRC, the relevance of the CA72-4 and CA19-9 tests in OC is still poorly understood; nevertheless, the few existing studies also suggested associations with more aggressive disease status [85, 86]. Moreover, high CA72-4 levels may be helpful to predict lymph node invasion in resectable esophageal-gastric junction adenocarcinomas [80]. In summary, current data supports that CA72-4 and CA19-9 serum elevation is a molecular feature shared by subgroups of OC, GC and CRC patients presenting worst prognosis. Such observations denote a common background shared by tumors of distinct molecular and pathological natures. Nevertheless, there is still little information on the nature and origin of the proteins in circulation carrying these PTM, which will be critical to fully disclose and potentiate their clinical meaning.

Recently there has been a growing interest in exploiting circulating tumor cells (CTC) for prognostication, detection of micrometastasis and as a mean to obtain more accurate insights on the molecular nature of the metastasis, as emphasized by several recent reviews [87] (Figure 4A). Moreover, these cells have been observed in the blood of cancer patients with no evident radiological signs of metastasis [88], reinforcing their importance for early and potentially life-saving interventions. On a more comprehensive scale, CTC analysis may be used to complement circulating nucleic acid and exosome analysis [89, 90]. Classically, CTC isolated from patients’ blood by different means (flow cytometry; lab-on-a-chips) have been identified based on the expression of the epithelial markers EpCAM and pan-cytokeratins allied to the absence of CD45 hematopoietic marker [87, 91]. Recently, we have demonstrated that the majority of CTC of different origins, including CRC express the STn antigen at the cell-surface and that targeting this glycan could greatly expand the number of isolated CTC, thus increasing the sensitivity of current CTC detection methods [57] (Figure 4A). Moreover, STn-CTC was identified in non-metastatic patients that subsequently experienced disease progression, further reinforcing the sensitivity of the methodology [57]. The presence of STn in CTC also provided the missing link between STn promotion of motility, invasion and immune escape and its presence in distant metastasis of advanced tumors [57]. Taking also into account the functional role of SLeA in E-selectin mediated metastasis [57], it is likely that this glycan may also be present in CTC, which warrants evaluation. These observations are crucial to further improve liquid biopsies and should now be extended to larger well characterized patient cohorts. It also provides the rationale for selectively targeting CTC in clinical settings and developing novel glycan-based therapeutics capable of controlling disseminated disease.

### Expression in tumor tissues and metastasis

In clear contrast with serological assays, the evaluation of STn and SLeA in tumor tissues is not part of the clinical routine. Envisaging the basis for glycoproteomics studies, we have comprehensively interrogated the literature regarding the clinical context of STn and SLeA expressions in gastroesophageal and colorectal cancer Table 1.

| Tumor Type          | Antigen/ Antibody | N     | Outcome                                      | Ref  |
|---------------------|-------------------|-------|----------------------------------------------|------|
| Esophageal Cancer   | SLeA / NS19.9     | 54    | -                                            | [111]|
|                     | SLeA / CA19.9     | 74    | Tumor differentiation (P < 0.05)              | [112]|
|                     | SLeA / Anti-SLeA  | 125   | Hematogenous recurrence (P=0.026) Distant lymph node metastasis | [113]|
|                     | STn / B72.3       | 84    | -                                            | [94] |
|                     | SLeA / CA19.9     | 115   | Stromal type of staining: Depth of invasion Tumor size | [114]|
|                     | SLeA / CA19.9     | 52    | Stromal type of staining: Peritoneal dissemination (P < 0.05) | [96] |
|                     | STn / B72.3 and TKH2 | 52   | Stromal type of staining: Peritoneal dissemination in undifferentiated tumors (P < 0.05) | [96] |
No correlation to clinicopathological variables was found in colorectal cancer. STn also cross-reacts with Tn clusters [92, 93]. The authors which detects clusters of STn bound to serine and may be associated with an elevation of serological CA72-4 [94]. Notably, Yamashita et al. found no association with an elevation of serological CA72-4. In addition, strong positive tissue immunoreactivity was also cross-react with Tn clusters [92, 93]. The authors which detects clusters of STn bound to serine and may be associated with an elevation of serological CA72-4 [94]. Notably, Yamashita et al. found no association with an elevation of serological CA72-4. In addition, strong positive tissue immunoreactivity was also cross-react with Tn clusters [92, 93].

To our knowledge, only one study has addressed the expression of STn in OC, using the B72.3 antibody which detects clusters of STn bound to serine and may be associated with an elevation of serological CA72-4 [94]. Notably, Yamashita et al. found no association with an elevation of serological CA72-4. In addition, strong positive tissue immunoreactivity was also cross-react with Tn clusters [92, 93].

Studies in CRC reinforce the cancer-associated nature of STn, which was not detected in tumor-adjacent normal colorectal mucosa, apart from goblet cells [102-106]. Interestingly, in the colon, STn can be detected in colonocytes after de-acetylation by saponification [107, 108]. Moreover, decreased sialic acid O-acetylation on mucins was a sensitive indicator of early malignant transformation [109]. In CRC tumors, STn was distributed in the apical cytoplasm or at the cell membranes, with staining becoming more pronounced with increasing extent of invasion [102]. It was strongly correlated to poor histological differentiation and perineural invasion [104]. STn was also consistently found in adenomas [104, 106] and transitional tissue, where it was significantly correlated with tumor stage according to the TNM and AJCC classifications [103]. Altogether, these findings suggest that STn overexpression occurs early in the carcinogenesis process and STn could constitute a useful marker of the preneoplastic stage of colorectal cancer.

| Tumor Type  | Antigen/ Antibody | N  | Outcome                                                                 | Ref  |
|-------------|-------------------|----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| Gastric cancer | STn/ C 1282       | 242| Overall survival of stage I patients (P=0.002)                           | [97] |
|             |                   |    | Prognosis (P=0.005)                                                     |      |
|             | STn/ HB-STn1      | 211| Venous invasion (P < 0.05)                                               | [98] |
|             |                   |    | Overall survival (P < 0.05)                                              |      |
|             |                   |    | Prognosis (P=0.042)                                                     |      |
|             | STn/ TKH2         | 60 | Depth of invasion (P< 0.01)                                              | [58] |
|             |                   |    | Tumor stage (P< 0.01)                                                   |      |
|             |                   |    | Lymph node metastasis (P< 0.005)                                         |      |
|             |                   |    | Lymphatic invasion (P< 0.05)                                             |      |
|             |                   |    | 5-year survival of advanced cases (P< 0.01)                              |      |
|             | STn/ TKH2         | 54 | -                                                                       | [99] |
|             | SLe*/CSLEA1       | 62 | -                                                                       | [119]|
|             | SLe*/NS19-9       | 309| Lymph node metastasis (P < 0.005)                                        | [116]|
|             |                   |    | Recurrence (P < 0.005)                                                  |      |
|             | SLe*/CA19-9       | 52 | -                                                                       | [117]|
|             | Unspecified       | 149| Histological type (P< 0.05)                                              | [115]|
|             |                   |    | Depth of invasion (P< 0.05)                                              |      |
|             |                   |    | Lymph node metastasis (P< 0.05)                                          |      |
|             |                   |    | Venous invasion (P< 0.05)                                                |      |
|             |                   |    | Astler-Coller stage (P< 0.05)                                            |      |
|             |                   |    | TNM stage (P< 0.05)                                                      |      |
|             |                   |    | Recurrence (P< 0.01)                                                    |      |
|             |                   |    | 5-year survival (P< 0.01)                                                |      |
| Colorectal cancer | STn/ TKH2        | 52 | 5-year survival (P< 0.05)                                               | [110]|
|             | SLe*/NS19-9       | 368| 5-year disease-free survival (P=0.012)                                   | [118]|
|             | SLe*/NS19-9       | 368| Recurrence                                                               |      |
|             | STn/ TKH2         | 142| Depth of invasion                                                        | [99] |
|             | STn/ TKH2         | 142| Depth of invasion                                                        | [99] |
|             | STn/ HB-STn1      | 152| TNM classification (P=0.025)                                            | [103]|
|             | STn/ B72.3        | 234| Histological differentiation (P=0.006)                                   | [104]|
|             | STn/ C 1282       | 239| Age (P=0.024)                                                            | [105]|
|             | STn/ TKH2         | 111| -                                                                       | [106]|

- No correlation to clinicopathological variables was found
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tissue. Moreover, the different staining localization of STn may assist in distinguishing the process of malignant transformation from a diagnostic standpoint. Notably, the presence and extension of STn in CRC did not correlate with high levels of the antigen in the serum given by the CA72-4 test [110]. Collectively the expression of STn in gastroesophageal and colorectal tumors appears to be linked to higher aggressiveness and metastasis development, in agreement with its functional role. Moreover, it holds potential for patient prognostication (Figure 4B). Nevertheless, more detailed studies involving larger and well characterized patient cohorts are required, particularly for OC. STn evaluation in the context of response to different types of therapeutic schemes, including targeted therapeutics and emerging immunotherapies with immune-check point inhibitors, are also warranted. This, together with the inclusion of STn in emerging stratification models, will be critical to improve patient stratification (Figure 4B). On the other hand, the presence of STn in healthy tissues, despite limited, raises concerns related to off-target effects of targeted therapeutics. A comprehensive characterization of the STn-glycoproteome will be critical to identify cancer-specific molecular signatures. Its comparison with the serum glycoproteome will also be decisive for explaining the discrepancies between tumor and serum status at this level and improving the accuracy and scope of the CA72-4 test.

To date, only three studies were identified involving squamous cell carcinomas (SCC), the most common OC histological type, and STn expression. SLeA was consensually observed at the cell membrane and in the cytoplasm of malignant cells but not in the surrounding stromal tissue or in normal epithelium, suggesting a cancer-specific nature. Oshima et al. [111] did not find any correlation between STn expression and clinicopathological variables, while Ikeda, et al. [112] and Makino et al. [113] correlated SLeA with tumor dedifferentiation, poor prognosis as well as hematogenous and distant lymph node metastasis, respectively. Differences in the clinical history of enrolled patients, including exposure to different therapeutic schemes prior to molecular evaluation, and adoption of different antibodies for SLeA evaluation may account for the observed differences. In GC, SLeA was observed at the membrane and cytoplasm of tumor cells but also in the stromal areas between tumor cell clusters. Undifferentiated carcinomas showing stromal staining were characterized by increased depth of invasion and tumor size [114]. Moreover, these gastric tumors were shown to preferably follow the peritoneal dissemination type of metastatic route rather than liver metastasis [96]. Accordingly, the staining pattern of SLeA helped predicting the prognosis of patients with undifferentiated type advanced GC, while serving as a useful marker for the early detection of metastasis. In CRC, SLeA expression in the primary tumor and stroma significantly correlated with several clinicopathological variables. Namely, lymph node metastasis, disease recurrence, decreased survival, poor histological differentiation, depth of invasion, venous invasion, advanced Astler-Coller’s stage (C2) and advanced post-TNM stage (IV) [115, 116]. However, some studies failed to find clinicopathological significance for SLeA in CRC [117, 118]. In addition, SLeA antigen was found highly expressed in goblet cells of non-pathologic colon tissues [119]. Notwithstanding, most studies established SLeA as a useful marker of tumor aggressiveness and prognosis in patients with advanced CRC. In addition, some studies provided a comprehensive view on SLeA status by evaluating both tissue and serum levels in CRC patients. Accordingly, SLeA detection in tumor tissue and serum identified patients at high risk of cancer recurrence and death and could be useful to select patients for adjuvant therapy [110, 120]. However, SLeA serum levels were low sensitive for cancer patients, partly because genotypically Lewisa-b- individuals (about 5–10% of the general population) cannot synthesize the SLeA antigen [121].

In summary, despite some conflicting results, most stemming from the use of different antibodies, the majority of studies highlighted the cancer-associated nature of STn and SLeA, relating the presence of these antigens in the tumor and stroma with more unfavorable outcomes. Moreover, in most studies, the percentage of positively immunoassayed tumor samples exceeded 50% regardless of the tumor model, making these glycoepitopes representative targets. STn expression in tumors was mostly associated with depth of invasion and decreased overall survival, while SLeA expression was mainly associated with lymph-node metastasis and disease recurrence. These findings are easily correlated with the functional roles of both antigens, in which STn promotes more motile and invasive tumor cell phenotypes and SLeA is an active mediator of E-selectin mediated metastasis. Notably, most studies were carried out in the Japanese population, with limited representation of other ethnic groups. Moreover, some authors have reported differences in the prognostic value of some molecular markers in colorectal adenocarcinomas regarding the ethnic origin of the patients [122, 123]. Also, of all mentioned studies, only Nakayama, et al. has included advanced
CRC regional lymph node metastasis in their clinical sampling, bringing a broader notion of glycan staining in disease progression [116]. Moreover, all studies concerning these antigens have been performed more than fifteen years ago, requiring a comprehensive revision facing updated clinicopathological and molecular classifications. Future studies should also involve the analysis of broader patient cohorts, the standardization of protocols, the inclusion of samples representative from all stages of disease, and the adoption of antibodies with well-defined glycan recognition patterns. Namely, the existence of a wide number of different antibodies with distinct affinities for glycan moieties has constituted a major drawback for biomarker research. Namely, over the years, different antibodies have been developed against STn antigen, targeting either clustered or monomeric STn residues dependent or independently of the linkage to the peptide backbone, and frequently showing cross-reactivity with other short-chain O-glycans such as the Tn and O-6-sialoepitopes [92, 93, 107]. Moreover, the nature of immunogens has been diverse, including membrane fractions of breast cancer metastasis (clone B72.3), LS-180 colonic cancer cells (clone MLS102) and ovine submaxillary mucins (clones TKH2; HB-STn1; L2A5). For SLeA this is even more critical, since it is a terminal epitope of different glycan chains of distinct glycoconjugates (O-glycans and N-glycans in glycoproteins; glycolipids), widely diversifying the array of possible immunogens. A comprehensive understanding about the nature of the cancer-associated glycoproteins carrying these PTM is also required for the rational design of novel antibodies of biomedical and clinical utility.

**Glycan-based Therapeutic Opportunities**

**Glycan-based immunotherapy**

The cell-surface nature of glycans and glycoconjugates (glycoproteins and glycolipids) holds great potential for developing targeted therapeutics, including selective drug delivery, precise inhibition of key oncogenic pathways and immunotherapy [18, 21, 124].

Immunotherapy based on vaccination with short-chain cancer associated glycans is an appealing concept already explored in clinical trials, even though not for gastroesophageal and colorectal cancers (Figure 5). A pentavalent carbohydrate-based vaccine bearing several carbohydrate antigens, including STn, on a single polypeptide backbone, conjugated to keyhole limpet hemocyanin (KLH) and mixed with the QS-21 immunological adjuvant has entered in phase 1 clinical trial for patients with ovarian, fallopian tube and peritoneal cancers [125]. However, the most promising approach continues to be Theratope, an STn-KLH vaccine that reached phase 3 clinical trials for metastatic breast cancer. Despite well tolerated by the patients, vaccination did not translate into an overall benefit in terms of time to progression and overall survival [126, 127]. However, it has been suggested that a prior knowledge of the STn status of the tumors could have been crucial for patient selection and study outcome [128]. The efficacy of these approaches could also be compromised by immunological barriers raised by the STn antigen. In fact, cancer-associated glycans as STn present variable immunogenicity depending on the distribution and nature of the glycopeptide chain [129]. Moreover, STn may directly induce immune tolerance, including limited dendritic cell differentiation and induction of T-cell-mediated immunity, which are crucial for efficient cancer therapy [130, 131]. As an example, densely glycosylated MUC1 sialoglycopeptides, frequently explored in the context of vaccine development, cannot be processed by antigen-presenting cells [132], impeding antigen presentation and consequent T cell activation. Nevertheless, these studies provide important lessons for choosing more adequate glycopeptidites, setting again the emphasis on glycoproteomics. There have also been attempts to overcome glycan-induced immune tolerance by coupling multiple carbohydrate antigens to specific carriers to form either clustered and/or multi-epitope conjugated vaccines [133]. Glycans have also been combined with T-cell derived peptides or immunoadjuvant epitopes to produce glycoconjugate vaccines of multicomponent nature [134]. Another strategy involves chemical modifications of glycans to improve immunogenicity. As an example, Song et al. recently investigated the antitumor ability of KLH-conjugated fluorinated STn analogues against a murine model of colon cancer [135]. According to the authors, vaccine constructs with substitution of two N-acetyl by N-fluoroacetyl groups in STn significantly prolonged mice survival and reduced tumor burden in the lungs compared with Theratope (STn-KLH). The fluorinated vaccine elicited stronger cytotoxic T cell and Th1 immune responses and tumor-specific anti-STn antibodies capable of inducing complement and antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity against human tumor cells, even in the absence of an immune adjuvant [135]. Collectively, these findings suggest that strategic hapten fluorination may significantly improve the efficacy of glycan-based vaccines, even though the exact mechanism governing this immune response remains unknown. In addition, Ragupathi et al. described a SLeA vaccine...
construct analogous to Theratope but containing a pentenyl glycoside of SLe^a hexasaccharide conjugated to KLH [136]. This vaccine adjuvanted by GPI-0100 induced high IgG and IgM titers responsible by mediating potent complement mediated cytolysis against different cancer cells. Moreover, the authors reported no cross-reactivity against other blood-group related antigens, including SLe^X. In addition, MUC1-derived glycopeptides associated with cancer are amongst the array of glycopeptides used in vaccines explored in clinical trials [137]; however, with yet limited success. It was proposed that after first vaccination both tumor MUC1 and MHC molecules were reduced, suggesting an upfront response against these cells that was followed by therapy escape [138]. These findings highlight the need to include a diversified array of glycopeptides that mirror tumor diversity. However, surrogate T-cell pre-activation outside the tumor bed, either in culture or by repetitive vaccination, could overcome tumor escape in MUC1 transgenic mice, offering an alternative approach to improve therapeutic schemes. Furthermore, the effective development of MUC1-based vaccines would be of great interest for patients with gastroesophageal and colorectal tumors that significantly overexpress abnormal glycoforms of this protein [139, 140]. Collectively these studies demonstrate the feasibility of glycan-based anti-cancer vaccines but also highlight the importance of more accurate epitope choice and improved vehicle design, which requires a more profound knowledge of glycan-immune system interactions. The introduction of distinct T helper cell epitopes, Toll-like receptor agonists and other relevant immunogens in vaccine constructs together with the use of liposomes and nanoparticles as delivery systems may also help paving the way for improved vaccine designs [141, 142].

Another emerging approach relates with exploiting chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T cells engineered to target glycosylated moieties in cancer cells, promoting selective cell death [143] (Figure 5). Despite boosted by advances in cell engineering, this is an old concept explored for therapeutic proposes in oncofetiology. In fact, the first-generation of CAR-T retrovirally transduced to efficiently target the TAG-72 glycoprotein in gastrointestinal tumor cell lines dates back to the nineties [144]. Nevertheless, the concept has only been recently translated into a clinical trial for metastatic CRC [145]. However, CAR-T cells were not able to elicit clinical response, potentially due to CAR antigenicity related to the murine origin of the scFv [146], lack of T cell co-stimulatory signaling, vowing for the inclusion of co-stimulatory molecules in CAR design, or the modest affinity of the CC49 anti-STn monoclonal antibody explored by this study [147]. In addition, Loureiro et al. has recently reported that CAR-T cells can be efficiently and safely targeted to STn-expressing cells exploiting the recently developed L2A5 monoclonal antibody [148]. These have been effective against breast- and bladder-associated tumor cells both in vitro and in vivo, but were not yet tested in digestive tract tumors [39]. Loureiro et al. also reports some degree of cross-reactivity between the most explored anti-STn antibodies and immune cells. According to this study, L2A5, B72.3 and 3F1 (also referred to as HB-STn-1) showed no affinity for NK cells; however, B72.3 and 3F1 reacted with CD4+ and CD8+ T cells but not B cells. The L2A5 antibody recognized B cells and showed weak binding to a subpopulation of CD4low T cells [39]. These critical observations demonstrated that CAR-T cells based on these antibodies carry the potential risk of fratricidal activities against T- and/or B lymphocytes. There are also concerns that STn-targeted CAR-T may significantly react against inflamed tissues, known to upregulate this glycan [149]. Collectively, these observations suggest that, even though vaccination and consequent induction of circulating STn antibodies have been proven safe [150], more potent CAR-T therapy might encompass significant and potentially limiting off-target effects. Consequently, the future of glyco-targeted CAR-T remains dependent on the identification of targetable glyconeoantigens.

**Antibody-based therapeutics**

Several monoclonal antibodies exist to target both STn and SLe^a^ antigens [151, 152], which may be used to induce antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC) [153, 154], a mechanism by which many clinically available therapeutic antibodies promote anti-tumor effects [155], or block relevant oncogenic receptors [20] (Figure 5). These antibodies have been key tools for biomedical research but have shown limitations for theranostics (cancer detection and therapy), including guiding drugs, CAR-Ts and immunotherapeutic agents. Again, the refinement of the glycoimmunogens poses as a critical milestone towards this end. In addition, the identification of glycopeptides involved in interactions with the immune system may lead to the development of novel antibodies for immune check-point inhibition; however, this remains a rather unexplored field of research. As such, antibody-targeted therapies for glycoconjugates remain intimately dependent on the development of bispecific antibodies targeting glycodomains in functionally relevant proteins.
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Figure 5. Glycan-based therapeutics. This includes glycan-based vaccines such as Theratope, exploiting the STn-antigen linked to a KLH protein carrier to elicited immune responses against STn-expressing cancer cells through antibody-mediated killing and cytotoxic T cells effects. Other emerging immunotherapy is based on CAR-T cells engineered to target cancer cells expressing normal glycosylation. There are also several monoclonal antibodies capable of targeting abnormally glycosylated cells promoting antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC) or blocking relevant oncogenic receptors at the cell-surface. Glycomimetics able to interfere with glycan biosynthesis or blocking glycan-receptor interactions relevant in cancer have also been developed. Finally, antibodies targeting glycans have been used to guide nanoparticles to tumor sites; thereby improving therapeutic outcomes.

Glycosylation inhibitors and mimetics

Another appealing concept is to selectively inhibit glycan-receptor interactions or abrogate glycan biosynthesis pathways (Figure 5). As an example, the GMI-1271 E-selectin antagonist may be used to inhibit SLe\^A/X-expressing cancer cells adhesion to endothelial cells, consequently preventing metastasis development [156]. This approach is currently being exploited for acute myeloid leukemia in phase III clinical trials (NCT03616470) [157]; however, given marked similarities in metastasis routes, this approach may be translatable for solid tumors. Other phase 1/2 clinical trial (NCT02952989) was testing 2-fluorofucose (2-FF) [158], a known fucosylation inhibitor [159], together with pembrolizumab (anti-PD-1) in a wide array of tumors, including gastroesophageal junction adenocarcinomas, colorectal neoplasms and gastric adenocarcinoma. However, the trial was prematurely terminated due to overall benefit/risk profile. There are also promising attempts to use sialic acid mimetics to abrogate sialylation, interfering with sialylated-cell receptors that mediate immune-suppressive environments, ultimately enhancing cytotoxic T cell tumor immunity [160]. These studies support the current relevance of glycomimetics in cancer treatment; however, concerns exist that their untargeted nature may significantly interfere with glycan-mediated cellular homeostasis, which warrants more in-depth evaluation. Nevertheless, conjugation of the molecules with monoclonal antibodies may provide the necessary means to target them to cancer cells.

Glycan-targeted nanovehicles

Targeting nanovehicles carrying therapeutic molecules (chemotherapy, siRNA, small molecules of different natures, etc) to cancer cells is also an appealing therapeutic strategy (Figure 5); however, glycosylation has been less explored for this purpose. Nevertheless, we have recently developed a biocompatible targeted nanoparticle for selective delivery of chemotherapy (5-FU and paclitaxel) to SLe\^A-expressing GC cells, with minimal off-target affinity for healthy tissues. We anticipate that the preferential accumulation of nanomolecular constructs in tumor sites, due to ineffective vasculature and poor lymphatic drainage, associated to its targeted effect may significantly improve the controlled release of different agents in tumor sites with minimal toxicity for other organs [161]. The refinement of these solutions in vivo and the adoption of bispecific monoclonal antibodies for targeting may pave the way to reduce the adverse systemic effects associated with chemotherapy, while enabling the administration of biologically effective drug dosages. These approaches may be particularly critical for elderly populations that generally do not tolerate conventional chemotherapy.

From another perspective, a prior knowledge about the structural alterations and functional implications of glycosylation in known cancer targets may help reshaping current antibody-based targeted therapeutics. In fact, many cell surface receptors such as HER2, VEGFR2 and EGFR explored in the clinics are heavily O- and/or N-glycosylated and may be prone to glycome alterations with the progression of
disease [22]. Ultimately, these glycoproteins may present STn or SLeα antigens [20, 22], which warrants careful investigation. In addition, glycans influence PD-1/PD-L1 interactions [162]. Namely, PD-L1 glycosylation stabilizes and modulates its binding to PD-1 [163] and efforts are ongoing to exploit the glycoepitopes mediating these processes for patient stratification [164] A comprehensive glycomapping of these proteins may be helpful for early identification of treatment-responders and designing more appropriate antibodies.

Glycoproteomics towards Precision Oncology

The characterization of protein glycosylation is crucial for better understanding the complexity of biological systems and of the outmost importance for next-generation cancer biomarker discovery. In fact, several reports demonstrate that cancer cells present unique glycopeptide repertoires that may significantly improve the clinical value of classical biomarkers. Typical examples include targeting alterations in N-glycan antennas, fucosylation and sialylation in prostate specific antigen (PSA) to improve the PSA test limited sensitivity for non-invasive detection of prostate cancer [165-167] or exploring the glycosylation state of MUC16 (CA125) for ovarian cancer [168] (Figure 6). However, neither the genome, transcriptome nor the proteome can individually predict the structural nature, distribution and dynamics of glycan chains in proteins. Glycoproteomics attempts to bridge this gap by addressing the glycome, i.e. the repertoire of glycans in each biological milieu, as it appears in the proteome. This includes defining which glycosites on a glycoprotein are occupied, as well as identifying and, ideally, quantifying the glycan structures in a peptide chain (Figure 6). Such goal requires an adaptation of conventional proteomics protocols to accommodate the structural diversity of glycan chains and glycopeptides, which may be particularly challenging since the same protein may exhibit a myriad of different glycoforms. From an analytical perspective, the presence of glycans may significantly decrease the efficacy of proteolysis steps required for conventional protein identification by bottom-up proteomics [169]. Moreover, it may negatively influence glycopeptides ionization with consequent reduction in sensitivity in most mass spectrometers [170]. As such, addressing the glycoproteome may be at the same time a stimulating and daunting enterprise. However, recent analytical advances have significantly improved glycosites annotation capacity, providing an important tool for glycoproteome characterization [171]. Nevertheless, a prior knowledge of the glycome is often required to guide.
glycoproteomics research, enabling the adoption of adequate glycoprotein enrichment methods and MS ionization strategies [172]. In addition, it generates key structural information that tremendously facilitates the annotation of glycopeptides and glycosites using spectral information from tandem MS experiments [173]. These technologies are now sufficiently mature, especially for glycomics, and backed by important protocol guidelines to support clinical studies [174].

For a long time, altered glycosylation in the gastroesophageal and colorectal tracts was mostly associated with mucins due to their high O-glycosite density and overexpression in cancer [175]. These events were regarded as responsible for amplifying alterations occurring in glycosylation pathways, including the events leading to STn and SLe^A overexpression. However, recent developments in glycoengineering exploiting zinc finger nuclease targeting of COSMC, a C1GalT1 chaperone that controls O-glycan elongation, has generated several cell lines expressing the Tn and STn antigens, including GC models [54, 176]. This enabled the identification of several hundreds of proteins and thousands of glycosites, which greatly expanded our understanding of GC cell O-glycoproteome [38, 177, 178]. Moreover, it demonstrated that abnormal glycosylation such as Tn and STn may occur in hundreds of human proteins, including classical cancer biomarkers such as CD44 [24]. Downstream studies on gastric and colorectal tumors set the rationale supporting the decisive role of these glycans in protein function favoring cancer progression and dissemination (acquisition of mesenchymal traits, activation of oncogenic pathways, higher metastatic potential) [14, 179, 180]. Surprisingly, the glycoproteome of gastroesophageal and colorectal tumors remains unexplored and most of the studies involving these models are of serological nature with the goal of improving non-invasive cancer detection. Namely, Gomes et al. has used targeted glycoproteomics focusing on short-chain T and STn antigens to study a subset of patients with gastritis, incomplete and complete intestinal metaplasia and healthy individuals, envisaging biomarkers of GC precursor lesions [100]. The STn antigen was found in circulating plasminogen in patients with gastritis and incomplete metaplasia, mimicking the expression of STn in gastric tissues [100]. Notably, plasminogen has been associated with chronic infection of the gastric mucosa by H. pylori and plays a role in extracellular matrix remodeling and degradation, suggesting that altered plasminogen glycosylation in the serum may be the reflection of pre-malignant alterations occurring in the gastric microenvironment [100, 181]. This study also clearly emphasizes that revising classical glycan biomarkers from a glycoproteomics perspective may generate a wide array of molecular signatures holding potential for improving non-invasive monitoring of populations at higher risk of developing GC. In addition, Kim et al. demonstrated that, haptoglobin isolated from the serum of GC patients presented unique glycopeptide fingerprints in comparison to healthy controls, including specific alterations in the fucosylation of N-glycan antennas [182]. Notably, many of the observed cancer-associated glycans were siaiylated Lewis epitopes that could contribute to CA19-9 elevation; nevertheless, this aspect has not been fully clarified. Haptoglobin is one of the most important and abundant acute-phase serological proteins [183] and alterations in its glycosylation patterns have been previously reported for an onset of different types of inflammatory diseases and cancers, including CRC [184]. Explorative OC glycoproteomics also involving serum samples has pointed out in the same direction. According to Mann et al., cancer induces several alterations in the abundance of glycoproteins involved with local and systemic inflammatory responses, such as haptoglobin, α-1-acid glycoprotein, fetuin B and proteins associated with extracellular matrix remodeling such as collagen subunits, EMILIN-2, amongst others [185, 186]. These studies also reveal glycopeptide signatures presenting changes in N-glycosylation related with overfucosylation and sialylation associated with OC and precursor lesions [185, 186]. For CRC we emphasize an explorative study using lectin-enrichment that highlights elevations in sialylation and fucosylation in complement C3, histidine-rich glycoprotein, and kininogen-1 in comparison to adenoma and normal tissues [187]. Finally, there have been attempts to focus on specific circulating cancer-associated glycoproteins such as the carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), produced in gastrointestinal tissues during fetal development, lost after birth and recapitulated in cancer [188]. The CEA serological assay has been used in clinical practice to monitor response to therapy and recurrence after surgery in CRC [189] and in pre-operative settings to aid tumor staging, treatment definition and prognosis [190]. Nevertheless, it presents limited sensitivity facing early stage disease detection [191] and is falsely elevated in the first weeks after chemotherapy [192]. Moreover, it is elevated in non-cancer-related conditions, limiting its application to population screening [193]. However, glycomics studies demonstrate the existence of tumor-specific CEA glycoforms that may be exploited to improve its predictive potential [194]. Nevertheless, collectively,
serological studies conducted to this date demonstrate that the serum glycome and glycoproteome may be primarily shaped by glycoproteins resulting from systemic inflammatory responses and, to less extent, by proteins originating from tumor cells and stroma [195, 196]. This fact may help explaining the lack of sensitivity of most common glycan-based tests and the importance of zooming in on the glycoproteome for abnormally glycosylated proteins or even specific peptide domains associated to the disease status.

Exploiting a different perspective, serological studies have shown the existence of humoral responses against abnormally glycosylated cancer-associated proteins in CRC patients. Pedersen et al. used mucin glycopeptide arrays to demonstrate the existence of autoantibodies against aberrant glycopeptides derived from MUC1 and MUC5 with Tn, STn and core 3 glycans in the serum of cancer patients. The authors describe that the cumulative sensitivity of the array analysis was 79% with a specificity of 92% for CRC detection [129]. Moreover, autoantibodies to truncated Core3-MUC1 could be detected in both CRC and inflammatory bowel disease, suggesting this may constitute early steps of altered glycosylation in colon tissues that are not reflected in the healthy condition. In addition, our group has used patients’ autoantibodies to demonstrate the existence of protein signatures carrying the SLeA antigen in OC that changed according to the severity of the disease; however, the nature of these glycoproteins remains to be elucidated [197]. These preliminary observations strongly suggest that glycosylation may contribute to originate cancer neoantigens that ultimately lead to an immune response; however, effective tumor elimination by the immune system is likely not to occur due to immunosuppressive cues promoted by short-chain O-glycans. Nevertheless, the notion prevails that humoral response may be used to pinpoint cancer-associated glycan signatures.

In summary, the glycoproteome of gastroesophageal and CRC tissues remains unaddressed. The studies conducted so far are focused on glycoengineered cell lines or patient’s serum and are of explorative analytical nature. Moreover, most included a very low number of patients of diversified clinical histories and employed distinct protocols. Nevertheless, there are strong suggestions that cancer induces profound alterations in the serum glycoproteome that are not reflected in healthy and benign conditions. Notably, most of the identified alterations relate with systemic inflammatory responses and extracellular matrix remodeling accompanying cancer development, rather than specific proteins originated from tumor cells. A refinement of these studies by targeted approaches for cancer-associated glycans may provide the necessary settings for improving further on the potential of glycan-based non-invasive detection of digestive tract tumors. It is also surprising that the significant functional and clinical rationale involving STn and SLeA antigens has not yet been translated in a targeted interrogation of gastroesophageal and colorectal glycoproteomes, including primary lesions as well as the metastasis. This has significantly delayed a more comprehensive understanding of cancer progression and impacts negatively on the capacity to develop bi-specific targeted interventions, exploiting the cancer-specific nature translated by bridging the glycome and the proteome.

**Panomics for Cancer Biomarkers**

**Discovery: Where Do Glycoproteomics Fit Facing Precision Oncology?**

Biomarker research has experienced tremendous evolution over the past five years to accommodate the requirements of precision oncology. As a result, classical strategies focusing on a limited number of targets are being progressively replaced by systems biology frameworks propelled by high-throughput omics technologies. The technological readiness of next-generation sequencing platforms (genomics, transcriptomics) associated to consolidated bioinformatics and improved computational capacity has enabled the screening of large patient cohorts (Figure 7). Genomics and transcriptomics data have been made available in either raw (primary databases) and/or curated formats (secondary databases) in web repositories such as Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO), ArrayExpress and Oncomine enabling fast access, data integration and reinterpretation. In addition, databases such as Molecular Signatures Database (MSigDB), Cancer Genome Project, among others, have been exclusively created to gather molecular information related with cancer. As a result, transcriptomics studies on gastric and CRC have been recently reinterpreted and served as bases to establish consensus molecular subtypes (CMS) with distinct clinical behaviors [198]. Namely, in CRC, the CMS1 subtype is immunogenic and hypermutated. CMS2 tumors are activated by the WNT-β-catenin pathway and have the highest overall survival. CMS3 feature a metabolic cancer phenotype and CMS4 cancers have the worst survival, while having a strong stromal gene signature [198]. In turn, the Asian Cancer Research Group (ACRG) reported four molecular subtypes of GC with clinical significance based on mRNA expression profiles: microsatellite-stable (MSS)/TP53−, MSS/TP53+, MSI, and epithelial-to-
mesenchymal transition (EMT) subtypes. In this molecular classification, the MSI subtype was consistently associated with favorable prognosis, while EMT GC showed significantly higher recurrence rate, higher probability of peritoneal seeding at recurrence, younger age at presentation, and poorer survival compared to other subtypes [199]. Notwithstanding, the predictive value of these models is being substantially refined through the continuous integration of clinicopathological data.

Figure 7. The quest for molecular-assisted precision oncology can be found in the crossroad between interdependent omics (genomics, transcriptomics and proteomics with PTM analysis) backed by comprehensive bioinformatics settings. This panel shows the possible connections between different omics, attempting to emphasize the importance of bringing together genomics, transcriptomics and proteomics allied to PTM (phosphorylation, methylation, acetylation and glycosylation, amongst others) analysis. It also aims to highlight the decisive role played by bioinformatics and current omics databases, which paved the way for tailored oncoproteogenomics. Namely, the comprehensive integration of genomics intel in customised databases can now greatly expand the coverage of protein annotations envisaging cancer neoantigens. This comprehensive strategy would be of key importance for accurate tumor stratification as well as identification of functional protein nodes and neoantigens for precise cancer detection and therapeutic design.
Despite the promising nature of multiomics approaches, the spotlight has been mostly centered on the genome and transcriptome, which often fail to fully reflect the proteome. A common example relates with reported discrepancies between gene expression and protein abundance at different levels [200]. On the other hand, cancer proteomics is still constrained in its capacity to identify the products of single-nucleotide variants, fusion genes and alternative splicing, amongst other relevant events that alter protein primary sequences. Such limitations are associated with the lack of representation of genomic alterations in the databases conventionally used for protein annotation from tandem (MS/MS) mass spectrometry experiments. This limits the capacity of identifying cancer-specific signatures at the protein level, including neoantigens that hold potential for targeted therapeutics. In recent years, oncoproteomics initiatives are attempting to bridge the genome and the proteome using customized protein databases inferred from genomics (Figure 7). The conceptual bases of the approach are beyond the scope of this review but can be found comprehensively detailed in recent publications [201, 202]. Notably, GC and CRC oncoproteogenomics has revealed the vulnerabilities and improved on currently established molecular models, while allowing prioritizing several targets previously inferred by genomic analysis, including copy-number drivers and mutation-derived neoantigens. Collectively, oncoproteogenomics decisively demonstrated that the combination of different levels of molecular information is of key importance for targeted intervention.

While oncoproteogenomics sets itself as the next cornerstone in cancer biomarker research, it becomes clear that including post-translational modifications (PTM) in comprehensive panomics models is crucial for better understanding biological milieu. PTM are not direct gene products but they exponentially expand the molecular and functional diversity of the proteome. PTM also facilitate rapid biologic adjustments to altered physiologic demands by enabling rapid incorporation of microenvironmental cues into protein function. For example, phosphorylation status regulates the activation of protein functions and acetylation and methylation of histones play a key role in epigenetic regulation of gene transcription, amongst other factors. Showcasing PTM importance, Vasaikar et al. recently identified Rb phosphorylation as a key oncogenic driver of proliferation and decreased apoptosis, providing the rationale for intervention in CRC [13]. Very recently, Dong-Gi Mun et al. provided a proteogenomic analysis of diffuse GC in young populations by integrating mRNA, protein, phosphorylation, and N-glycosylation data [203]. Interestingly, clear gene mutation-glycosylation correlations have emerged. Namely, in samples containing significantly mutated TP53, CDH1, ARID1A, and RHOA, N-glycosylation levels were significantly increased. Moreover, the proteins with such increased N-glycosylation were significantly associated with cell migration and immune response-related processes. Similarly, somatic mutations of genes involved in focal and integrin-mediated adhesion, regulation of actin cytoskeleton, FGF, PI3K-AKT, integrin, and Toll-like receptor signaling, as well as ECM-receptor and integrin interactions were associated with the N-glycosylation of proteins involved in the same processes [203]. These findings suggest that N-glycosylation data, like phosphorylation data, provide additional information regarding associations of mutations with cancer-related cellular processes, reinforcing the key importance of integrating PTM in comprehensive molecular models. Overall, this study demonstrated that the distinction of tumor subtypes would not have been attainable through transcriptomics alone, again reinforcing the need for combining distinct molecular data. This report is also pioneer in terms of including glycosylation into comprehensive multiomics models contemplating multiple sources of molecular information (genome, transcriptome, (phospho)proteome). These observations also support the importance of continuing to pursue the analysis of the glycome and glycoproteome together with other molecular features in future studies. Nevertheless, the inclusion of glycoproteomics, with emphasis on STn- and SLeA targeted studies, in comprehensive panomics models remains an open field of research of unquestionable potential.

Concluding Remarks and Future Perspectives

Gastroesophageal and CRC patients share similar therapeutic challenges that may be significantly attenuated by a better understanding of their molecular nature. Systems biology approaches bringing together different omics with clinical data are considered the next cornerstone for tumor molecular subtyping, enabling the identification of critical protein functional nodes for targeted intervention. Over the past five years, important milestones have been achieved towards this end, including elegant demonstrations that accurate patient stratification can only be achieved through the incorporation of multiple layers of biomolecular information (genome, transcriptome, proteome, PTM). In particular, the development of potentially curable actions is strongly dependent on precise patient stratification and the identification of
been generated linking STn and SLeA antigens to significant amount of functional and clinical data has been generated linking STn and SLeA antigens to cancer aggressiveness in many distinct models, including gastroesophageal and colorectal carcinomas. As a result, serological tests have been created for these antigens and, despite sensitivity and specificity limitations, they are still explored in clinical practice for prognosis and patient monitoring. Very recently, STn was discovered in CTC and preliminary studies suggested it could be useful for improving the sensitivity of this type of liquid biopsies, while paving the way for targeted interventions. The analysis of solid tumors points in the same direction, strongly reinforcing associations between these glycans and poor outcome. Despite the scarce number of reports involving OC, STn and SLeA antigens appear to present a pancarcinoma nature, suggesting common microenvironmental drivers that are yet to be disclosed. In parallel, functional studies involving different types of cell lines demonstrated that the replacement of extended O-glycans by short sialylated glycosides, as STn, or changes in terminal glycan epitopes, as sialylated Lewis determinants, decisively influences metastasis. Namely, this occurs by glycan-promoted enhanced motility, invasion of the extracellular matrix and neighboring tissues, adhesion to lymphatic and venous endothelia and immune escape. The existing functional and clinical background is now sufficiently solid to support interventional actions towards clinical applications.

The sweet side to cancer-associated glycans sour end resides on the fact that they may dramatically alter the protein landscape, originating unique cancer fingerprints at the cell-surface, as elegantly demonstrated by several studies [20, 24, 26, 172]. In fact, STn and SLeA are not tumor-specific antigens but exhibit a sufficiently restricted expression pattern in healthy tissues to support biomarker potential. Their reflection in the cancer proteome may, however, provide the necessary path towards cancer neoantigens. The challenge is set on the identification of cancer specific STn and SLeA glycopeptide moieties, thus overcoming limitations associated with the presence of these glycans in low abundance in non-malignant conditions (Figure 8). Nevertheless, comprehensive targeted studies on the tumor STn and SLeA glycoproteomes have not yet started and currently constitute an outstanding challenge to the field that must be addressed soon. Moreover, approaches to the serum glycoproteome have been of explorative nature and did not yet provided clinically translatable glycobiomarkers. Nevertheless, revisiting the circulating glycoproteins carrying these PTM in the context of health and disease will be crucial to better understand tumor development and decisive for improved serological tests. Moreover, the identification of functional glycopeptiodes of clinical relevance will require the incorporation of glycoproteomics with proteogenomics perspectives, has elegantly highlighted for diffuse type GC (Figure 8). Other outstanding challenges facing precision medicine include the identification of glycosignatures to predict treatment outcome. In fact, a careful mapping of relevant cancer cell receptors such as ErbB2, PD-L1 or VEGFR2, which are glycoproteins targeted by therapeutic antibodies, may be critical to define resistance to therapies by impaired recognition. For instance, according to Duarte et al., ErbB2, targeted by trastuzumab, expresses the SLeA antigen in GC and cellular deglycosylation or antibody blocking of this glycan significantly altered ErbB2 expression and activation in vitro, suggesting that a better understanding of this receptor’s glycosylation might be crucial for improving targeted intervention [20]. Moreover, it has been demonstrated that altered PD-L1 glycosylation defines its recognition by PD-1 [163] and this knowledge may be of key importance for selecting patient better served by this immunotherapy or improving current targeting. In a broader sense, alterations in protein glycode are determinant for defining its immunogenicity and ultimately the cell metastatic potential by enabling immune escape. It is well established that some subsets of glycoproteins carrying STn and SLeA may be recognized by siglecs and selectins on antigen presenting cells and lymphocytes [14], eliciting inhibitory signaling cascades. The identification of these glycoproteins may constitute an important milestone towards novel classes of immune check-point inhibitors. Some reports also supported that STn is expressed by chemoresistant cells presenting stem-cell phenotypes [204], and functional studies have directly implicated STn in this process [56]. Deeper understanding of the chemoresistance-associated glycoproteome will be crucial for defining patients better served by alternative therapeutics and designing therapeutic alternatives.

In the context of therapeutics, important pre-clinical studies and clinical trials have explored glycans with exciting up-front results. The approaches
are diverse, including glycovaccines, targeted nanovehicles for controlled drug delivery, bi-specific antibodies targeting specific glycodomains in relevant glycoproteins, and CAR-T cells directed against glycoepitopes, with emphasis on the STn antigen (Figure 8). Antagonists and inhibitors are also available to selectively inhibit glycan biosynthesis, and a wide array of strategies interfere with glycan-lectin interactions, which are central axes of many aspects of cancer progression, including cell proliferation, invasion, extravasation and immune evasion. However, the efficacy of targeted therapeutics focusing on cell-surface glycans remains challenged by the lack of target specificity, making true advances in this field dependent on the reinvestigation of the glycoproteome. More effective immunotherapies will also require a deeper understanding about the mechanisms by which glycans mediate immune tolerance, including the specific nature of the glycoproteins and the full repertoire of immune cells lectins involved in these processes.

In summary, classical glycobiomarkers have served us well in serological settings but still hold a tremendous unexplored potential to improve gastroesophageal and CRC patient’s management, regarding that outstanding, yet achievable, milestones are accomplished. The current rationale supports the need to engage in glycan-targeted glycoproteomics screening of large and well characterized patient sets from both the molecular and clinicopathological standpoints envisaging cancer glycaneoantigens (Figure 8). The success of this approach is directly linked to a better understanding of the human STn and SLeA-glycoproteome in health and disease and its spatio-temporal evolution over the course of disease management and in response to microenvironmental cues. Reinvestigating the human glycoproteome is particularly critical since our current vision of glycosylation is, to some extent, blurred by the information retrieved from immunoassays based on a diversified array of antibodies with distinct affinities for glycan chains. The known implications of both STn and SLeA in disease progression also vow for an emphasis on the molecular characterization of the metastasis from this angle. Nevertheless, it is critical to progress beyond proof-of-concept approaches regarding the inclusion of glycoproteomics into multi-omics biomarker research. According to recent findings, this step will be crucial for establishing more comprehensive patient stratification models, the identification of potentially targetable glycobiomarkers and the rationale design of targeted therapeutics.

Figure 8. Main cancer challenges tackled by targeted glycoproteomics and glycan-based opportunities facing clinical translation. Glycans, particularly the STn and SLeA antigens, have been explored in the context of non-invasive cancer diagnosis, patient stratification, response to chemotherapy, disease monitoring, understanding and addressing immune response to cancer cells and design of safer targeted therapeutics. These approaches have been challenged by the lack of tumor specificity of these glycans; nevertheless, these glycobiomarkers specificity is being refined based on the integration of multiomics approaches. Fulfilling this objective will pave the way for improvements in liquid biopsies, targeted therapeutics, non-invasive cancer detection tools, patient stratification and prognostication models, novel targeted therapeutics, new immune check-point inhibitors, cancer glycaneoantigens and ultimately an improved understanding on the role of glycans in health and disease.
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