We present first evidence for the production of single top quarks at the Fermilab Tevatron \( pp \) collider. Both D0 and CDF experiments have measured the single top production cross section with a 3-standard-deviation significance using 0.9 fb\(^{-1}\) and 2.2 fb\(^{-1}\) of lepton+jets data, respectively. A direct measurement of the CKM matrix element that describes the \( Wtb \) coupling is also performed for the first time.

1 Introduction

The top quark was discovered in 1995 at the Tevatron \(^1\) in pair production mode (\( tt \) events) involving strong interactions. But the standard model (SM) also predicts the production of single top quarks through the electroweak exchange of a \( W \) boson. At the Tevatron, the \( s \) - and \( t \)-channel production modes illustrated in Fig. 1 are dominant. An observation of single top production is interesting since it would provide a direct measurement of the CKM matrix element \( |V_{tb}| \) and top quark polarization, in addition to probing possible new physics in the top quark sector. But observing single top quarks is challenging on account of smaller cross sections (about half that of \( tt \) production) as well as larger backgrounds on account of only one massive object in the final state. The total predicted cross section for single top quarks at the Tevatron is \( 2.86 \pm 0.33 \) pb\(^2\) for a top quark mass of 175 GeV. Simple selections that exploit kinematic features of the signal final state are not sufficient, and one needs sophisticated multivariate techniques to separate single top events from the overwhelming backgrounds. Both D0 and CDF have performed several multivariate analyses\(^3,4\) to identify the putative signal in data, and we report here the results.

2 Event Selections

The experimental signal for single top quark events consists of one isolated high transverse momentum, central pseudorapidity charged lepton (electron \( e \) or muon \( \mu \)) and missing transverse
energy from the decay of a $W$ boson from the top quark decay, accompanied by a $b$ jet also from
the top quark decay. There is always a second jet, which originates from a $b$ quark produced with
the top quark in the s-channel, or which comes from a forward-traveling up- or down-type quark
in t-channel events. Some t-channel events have a detectable $b$ jet from the gluon splitting to $bb$.
Both D0 and CDF apply selections to keep signal-like events while rejecting backgrounds. CDF
includes events with two or three jets with single or double $b$-tags, while D0 includes four-jet
events also in which the additional jet is from initial-state or final-state radiation.

3 Signal and Background Modeling

Modeling of signals and backgrounds is done using both Monte Carlo simulations and data. The
main backgrounds are: $W$+jets; $tt$ in the lepton+jets and dilepton final states, when a jet or
a lepton is not reconstructed; and multijet production, where a jet is misreconstructed as an
electron or a heavy-flavor quark decays to a muon that is misidentified as isolated from the jet.
The $W$+jets includes both $W$+light-flavor jets ($Wjj$) and $W$+heavy-flavor jets ($Wbb$, $Wc\bar{c}$, and
$Wcj$). There is also a small contribution from diboson and $Z$+jets events. D0 analysed 0.9 fb$^{-1}$
of lepton+jets data, while CDF analyzed 2.2 fb$^{-1}$ of data. The expected and observed event
yields from both experiments after all selections are shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Event yields, (left) at D0, 0.9 fb$^{-1}$, and (right) at CDF, 2.2 fb$^{-1}$, for $e$ and $\mu$, 1 $b$ tag and 2 $b$ tag
channels summed. The diboson and $Z$+jets events are included in the overall $W$+jets background at D0.

| Source      | 2 jets | 3 jets | 4 jets |
|-------------|--------|--------|--------|
| $tb$        | 16±3   | 8±2    | 2±1    |
| $tqb$       | 20±4   | 12±3   | 4±1    |
| $tt$        | 59±10  | 135±26 | 154±33 |
| $Wbb$       | 261±55 | 120±24 | 35±7   |
| $Wc\bar{c}$ | 151±31 | 85±17  | 23±5   |
| $Wcj$       | 119±25 | 43±9   | 12±2   |
| Multijets   | 95±19  | 77±15  | 29±6   |
| Total background | 686±41 | 460±39 | 253±38 |
| Data        | 697    | 455    | 246    |

| Source      | 2 jets | 3 jets | 4 jets |
|-------------|--------|--------|--------|
| $tt$        |        |        |        |
| $Wbb$       | 461.6±139.7 | 141.1±42.6 |
| $Wc\bar{c}$ | 395.0±121.8 | 108.8±33.5 |
| $Wcj$       | 339.8±56.1 | 101.8±16.9 |
| Multijets   | 59.5±23.8  | 21.3±8.5  |
| Diboson     | 63.2±6.3   | 21.5±2.2  |
| $Z$+jets    | 26.7±3.9   | 11.0±1.6  |
| Total background | 1491.8±268.6 | 754.8±91.3 |
| Data        | 1535    | 712     |        |

4 Cross Section Measurements at D0

Three different multivariate analyses were performed at D0 in order to separate the single top
signal from backgrounds: boosted decision trees (DT), Bayesian neural networks (BNN), and
matrix elements (ME). The discriminants from each analysis are shown in Fig. 2. Cross section measurements are extracted from a binned likelihood of the discriminants separately for each analysis. The results are then combined using the BLUE (best linear unbiased estimate) method yielding \( \sigma(p\bar{p} \to tb + X, tqb + X) = 4.7 \pm 1.3 \text{ pb} \). A large ensemble of pseudo-datasets is created to estimate the significance of measurements. It is:

- **Expected p-value:** 1.1% (2.3 standard deviations)
- **Observed p-value:** 0.014% (3.6 standard deviations).

Additionally, D0 also measured the value of \( |V_{tb}| \) using two different assumptions for the prior probability density of \( |V_{tb}|^2 \) as shown in Fig. 3.

**Figure 2:** The signal regions of the multivariate discriminants at D0: (a) DT, (b) BNN, and (c) ME.

**Figure 3:** The Bayesian posterior density distributions for \( |V_{tb}|^2 \) for (a) prior \( \geq 0 \), and (b) \( 0 \leq \text{prior} \leq 1 \) at D0. The dashed lines show the positions of the one, two, and three standard deviations away from the peak of each curve.

5 **Cross Section Measurements at CDF**

CDF performed the following multivariate analyses: likelihood function (LF), neural networks (NN), and matrix elements (ME). The discriminants from each analysis are shown in Fig. 4. Subsequent cross section measurements using a binned likelihood are the following:

\[
\sigma(p\bar{p} \to tb + X, tqb + X) = 1.8^{+0.9}_{-0.8} \text{ pb} \quad \text{(Likelihood function)}
\]

\[
= 2.0^{+0.9}_{-0.8} \text{ pb} \quad \text{(Neural networks)}
\]

\[
= 2.2^{+0.8}_{-0.7} \text{ pb} \quad \text{(Matrix elements)}.
\]

From the above results, \( |V_{tb}| \) is measured to be \( 0.78^{+0.18}_{-0.21} \), and a lower limit of 0.41 is also set at 95% confidence level (CL).

6 **Single Top Projections**

D0 and CDF also made projections for different measurements based on their current analyses. The allowed contours at 68% and 95% CL in a two-dimensional plane of \( \sigma_s \) versus \( \sigma_t \), and the
We see that it is possible to exclude several models beyond SM at about 7 fb$^{-1}$, and $|V_{tb}|$ can be measured with a precision better than 10% as the Tevatron collects more data.

To conclude, searches for single top quarks have been performed at the Tevatron using multivariate techniques to separate the signal from the huge backgrounds. Both D0 and CDF have measured the single top production cross section with a 3-standard-deviation significance using multivariate techniques to separate the signal from the huge backgrounds. Both experiments continue to improve their analyses in order to increase the sensitivity to a possible observation.

7 Summary

To conclude, searches for single top quarks have been performed at the Tevatron using multivariate techniques to separate the signal from the huge backgrounds. Both D0 and CDF have measured the single top production cross section with a 3-standard-deviation significance using 0.9 fb$^{-1}$ and 2.2 fb$^{-1}$ of lepton+jets data, respectively. A direct measurement of the CKM matrix element $|V_{tb}|$ is also performed for the first time. As the Tevatron collects more data, both experiments continue to improve their analyses in order to increase the sensitivity to a possible observation.
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