Background/Aims: Sofosbuvir (SOF)-based therapy has been used in Korean patients with chronic hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection since January 2016. This study aimed to investigate the real-life effectiveness and safety of SOF-based therapy in genotype 2 HCV infection.

Methods: From January to December 2016, 458 genotype 2 HCV-infected patients who received ≥1 dose of SOF-based therapy were consecutively enrolled in seven tertiary hospitals. Sustained virologic response (SVR) rates and safety were determined by intention-to-treat (ITT) and per-protocol (PP) analyses.

Results: The mean age of the patients was 61.0 years; 183 (40%) were male, and 13.1% showed a high viral load (>6,000,000 IU/mL). Among the 378 treatment-naïve patients, the SVR rates were 94.2% (ITT) and 96.7% (PP). Among the 80 treatment-experienced patients, the SVR rates were 96.3% (ITT) and 98.7% (PP). Patients with a relatively high fibrosis-4 index score (>3.25) had similar SVR rates to those with a relatively low score (p=0.756). A total of 314 patients (68.6%) were treated with a reduced ribavirin dose at the prescriber’s discretion, but they showed similar SVR rates to those treated with the weight-based dose (ITT: 95.5% and 92.3%, PP: 97.4% and 96.3%, respectively). Adverse events were observed in 191 patients (41.7%), including 86 (18.8%) with anemia, but only one (0.2%) discontinued antiviral therapy due to nausea.

Conclusions: SOF-based therapy showed high real-life efficacy and tolerability in Korean patients with genotype 2 chronic HCV infection, regardless of previous antiviral treatment experience and fibrosis score. A reduced ribavirin dose can be considered in this patient cohort. (Gut Liver 2020;14:775-782)
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INTRODUCTION

Hepatitis C virus (HCV)-related liver disease is a major health problem, with >185 million infected people and approximately 350,000 HCV-related deaths annually worldwide. In South Korea, the prevalence of anti-HCV is reported to be 0.78%, and HCV is the second leading cause of liver cirrhosis (LC) and hepatocellular carcinoma.

Sofosbuvir (SOF), a nucleotide NS5B polymerase inhibitor, has been used to treat genotype 2 HCV infection in combination with ribavirin (RBV) globally. Although more potent pan-genotypic direct acting antiviral agents including, glecaprevir/pi-brentasvir, and SOF/velpatasvir have been developed, SOF and RBV combination therapy was the only regimen for Korean genotype 2 patients until August 2018. In the phase 3 trials, the sustained virologic response (SVR) rates of SOF+RBV therapy for genotype 2 chronic hepatitis patients were reported to be 96%–100% for treatment-naïve (TN) and 90% for treatment-experienced (TE) patients. However, SVR rates in LC patients were reported to be 91%–100% in TN and 60%–78% in TE patients.

Moreover, concomitant administration of RBV, which is a...
synthetic guanosine analog and a prodrug showing an antiviral activity by interfering with the HCV RNA metabolism, resulted in anemia, itching, or other adverse events. In the pegylated interferon-based era, RBV-induced anemia was reported with a varying incidence of 28% to 52.2%\(^{16-19}\) in Asian patients. However, the solitary effect of RBV dosing on the development of anemia could not be studied because the concomitantly administered interferon can also cause cytopenia. In the direct acting antiviral agent era, the role of RBV dose on SVR and development of anemia can be clearly investigated, but detailed studies on the RBV dose modification are limited.

Therefore, this study aimed to investigate the effectiveness and safety of SOF-based therapy, and the effect of RBV dose modification for genotype 2 HCV infection in a real-world setting in South Korea.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 1. Subjects

This retrospective study consecutively enrolled 458 patients with genotype 2 HCV infection who received at least one dose of SOF-based therapy from January to December 2016 from seven tertiary hospitals, located in different major regions of South Korea. SOF was administered orally at a dose of 400 mg once daily. RBV was administered orally twice daily, with recommended dose (1,000 mg daily in patients with a body weight of <75 kg and 1,200 mg daily in patients with a body weight of ≥75 kg).

| Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of the Study Population |
|--------------------------------------------------------|
| Characteristic                                          | Genotype 2 [n=458] |
| Age, yr                                                 | 61.0±11.5         |
| Male sex                                                | 183 (40.0)        |
| HCV RNA viral load (≥6,000,000 IU/mL)                   | 6.06×10^9 (15–3.21×10^9) |
| HBV coinfection                                         | 7 (1.5)           |
| Laboratory findings                                     |                   |
| WBC, /mm\(^3\)                                          | 5,080 (1,074–17,600) |
| Hemoglobin, g/dL                                        | 13.6 (8.8–17.5)   |
| PLT, ×10^3/mm\(^3\)                                     | 168 (33–592)      |
| Cr, mg/dL                                               | 0.76 (0.38–2.10)  |
| Albumin, g/dL                                           | 4.2 (1.7–4.9)     |
| Bilirubin, mg/dL                                        | 0.72 (0.1–2.4)    |
| ALP, IU/L                                               | 89 (26–728)       |
| AST, IU/L                                               | 44 (6–784)        |
| ALT, IU/L                                               | 35 (6–433)        |
| GGT, IU/L                                               | 34 (2–425)        |
| PT, INR                                                 | 1.06 (0.92–1.56)  |
| Liver disease status                                    |                   |
| CHC                                                     | 339 (74.0)        |
| LC, compensated                                         | 101 (22.0)        |
| LC, decompensated                                       | 4 (0.9)           |
| HCC                                                     | 11 (2.4)          |
| Liver TPL                                               | 3 (0.7)           |
| Previous antiviral treatment                            |                   |
| Naïve                                                   | 378 (82.5)        |
| Experienced                                             | 80 (17.5)         |
| FIB-4 (n=435)                                           |                   |
| <1.45                                                   | 73 (15.9)         |
| 1.45–3.25                                               | 165 (36.0)        |
| >3.25                                                   | 197 (43.0)        |
| Treatment duration, wk                                   |                   |
| <12                                                     | 18 (3.9)          |
| 12                                                      | 375 (81.9)        |
| >12–16                                                  | 54 (11.8)         |
| 24                                                      | 11 (2.4)          |
| Dose of ribavirin (initial), mg/day                     |                   |
| <800                                                    | 30 (6.5)          |
| 800                                                     | 165 (36.0)        |
| >800 & <1,000                                           | 1 (0.2)           |
| 1,000                                                   | 236 (51.5)        |
| >1,000 & <1,200                                         | 0                 |
| 1,200                                                   | 26 (5.9)          |
| Dose of ribavirin (mean), mg/day                        |                   |
| <800                                                    | 57 (12.5)         |
| 800                                                     | 120 (26.3)        |
| >800 & <1,000                                           | 56 (12.3)         |

Data are presented as the mean±SD, number (%), or median (range). HCV, hepatitis C virus; HBV, hepatitis B virus; WBC, white blood cells; PLT, platelet; ALP, alkaline phosphatase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; GGT, gamma-glutamyltransferase; PT, prothrombin time; INR, international normalized ratio; CHC, chronic hepatitis C; LC, liver cirrhosis; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; TPL, transplantation recipient; FIB-4, fibrosis-4 index. *The weight-based dose of ribavirin was defined as 1,000 mg daily in patients with a body weight of <75 kg and 1,200 mg daily in patients with a body weight of ≥75 kg.
of <75 kg, and 1,200 mg daily in patients with a body weight of ≥75 kg) according to the label. Nonetheless, the changed dose was accepted in this study with the attending physician’s decision during the antiviral treatment, modifying it based on the patients’ hemoglobin level and comorbidities.

Patients who had been treated with interferon/peginterferon-based therapy or other direct acting antiviral agents except SOF-based therapy at least one dose were categorized into the TE group. This study was approved by the institutional review board of the seven hospitals, and the requirement for obtaining written informed consent was waived because this study was based on the retrospective review of the existing medical records.

Chronic hepatitis C was defined as the persistence of HCV RNA for more than 6 months regardless of aminotransferase levels. The diagnosis of LC was based on histologic findings or by ≥1 clinical findings of portal hypertension,20 which are as follows: (1) cirrhotic appearance of the liver with splenomegaly on imaging study (ultrasonography, computed tomography, or magnetic resonance imaging); (2) low platelet count (<120,000/mm³); (3) presence of varices on endoscopy; (4) presence of ascites; and (5) presence of hepatic encephalopathy. Decompensated LC was defined as the presence of jaundice (total bilirubin >2

### Table 2. Treatment Duration of Sofosbuvir Plus Ribavirin Therapy According to Underlying Liver Disease and Treatment Experience

| Regimen          | Duration, wk      | Subtotal |
|------------------|-------------------|---------|
|                  | <12               | 12      | >12–16 | 24      |
| SOF+RBV          |                   |         |        |         |
| TN NC            | 14 (4.8)          | 269 (92.8) | 5 (1.7) | 2 (0.7) | 290 (65.0) |
| TN CC            | 2 (2.8)           | 39 (54.9)  | 24 (33.8) | 6 (8.5) | 71 (15.9) |
| TE NC            | 2 (4.7)           | 40 (93.0)  | 1 (2.3)   | -       | 43 (9.6)  |
| TE CC            | -                 | 11 (31.4)  | 21 (60.0) | 3 (8.6) | 35 (7.8)  |
| DC               | -                 | 1 (25.0)   | 3 (75.0)   | -       | 4 (0.9)   |
| LT               | -                 | 3 (100)    | -         | -       | 3 (0.7)   |
| Subtotal         | 18 (4.1)          | 363 (81.4) | 54 (12.1) | 11 (2.5) | 446 (100) |
| SOF+DCV          |                   |         |        |         |
| TN CC            | -                 | 1 (100)   | -       | -       | 1 (100)   |
| Subtotal         | -                 | 1 (100)   | -       | -       | 1 (100)   |
| SOF+DCV+RBV      |                   |         |        |         |
| TN NC            | -                 | 2 (100)   | -       | -       | 2 (50)    |
| TN CC            | -                 | 2 (100)   | -       | -       | 2 (50)    |
| Subtotal         | -                 | 4 (100)   | -       | -       | 4 (100)   |
| SOF              |                   |         |        |         |
| TN NC            | -                 | 6 (100)   | -       | -       | 6 (85.7)  |
| TN CC            | -                 | 1 (100)   | -       | -       | 1 (14.3)  |
| Subtotal         | -                 | 7 (100)   | -       | -       | 7 (100)   |

Data are presented as number (%).

SOF, sofosbuvir; RBV, ribavirin; TN NC, treatment-naïve patients with no cirrhosis; TN CC, treatment-naïve patients with compensated cirrhosis; TE NC, treatment-experienced patients with no cirrhosis; TE CC, treatment-experienced patients with compensated cirrhosis; DC, patients with decompensated liver cirrhosis; LT, liver transplantation recipients; DCV, daclatasvir.
mg/dL), ascites (including controlled ascites by diuretics), and previous history of variceal bleeding or portosystemic encephalopathy. Additionally, fibrosis-4 index (FIB-4) was calculated according to the developed equation\(^1\) as an indirect marker of hepatic fibrosis.

2. Measurements for treatment response and adverse events of antiviral therapy

HCV RNA concentration was measured by a real-time polymerase chain reaction assay at the laboratory medicine department of each study site. Treatment responses were documented according to the clinical practice guideline for hepatitis C by the Korean Association for the Study of the Liver.\(^6\) SVR was defined as undetectable HCV RNA at 12 weeks after completion of treatment. Viral breakthrough referred to the reappearance of HCV RNA during the treatment, and relapse was defined as the reappearance of HCV RNA after initial viral elimination with undetectable HCV RNA level at the end of treatment. In patients who could not be tested for HCV RNA at the exact weeks for SVR measurement, the window period of ±4 weeks was permitted.

The adverse events were based on chart review by the attending physician. Owing to the limitation of the study’s retrospective design, the grade of adverse events could not be evaluated.

3. Statistical analysis

Analyses were conducted by descriptive statistics for virological outcomes and safety. SVR with intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis was calculated for all enrolled patients (n=458) and with per-protocol (PP) analysis for subjects who completed

---

**Fig. 2.** Sustained virologic response rates of sofosbuvir-based therapy for Korean patients infected with genotype 2 hepatitis C virus according to the FIB-4 (A), treatment duration (B), and dose of ribavirin (C, D). ITT, intention-to-treat; PP, per-protocol; SVR12, sustained virologic response at 12 weeks after end of treatment; FIB-4, fibrosis-4 index. *The lower dosage of ribavirin than the weight-based recommendation was defined as the reduced dose; †The weight-based dose of ribavirin was defined as 1,000 mg daily in patients with a body weight of <75 kg and 1,200 mg daily in patients with a body weight of ≥75 kg.
the scheduled treatment and follow-up for ≥12 weeks after the treatment completion (n=440). Patients who had withdrawn antiviral treatment according to the attending physician’s decision owing to the inadequate virologic response or significant adverse events were included in the PP analysis, regardless of the follow-up duration after the cessation of treatment. All statistical analyses were performed using Stata version 14.0 (College Station, TX, USA).

RESULTS

1. Baseline patient characteristics

Among the 458 participants, mean age was 61.0 years, 40% were male, and 23% had LC (22.1% compensated and 0.9% decompensated). The four decompensated LC patients were categorized into this group because their Child-Pugh class was B owing to the presence of ascites but it was well controlled with diuretics. A total of 378 (82.5%) were naïve for antiviral treatment, and 80 (17.5%) had experience with other antiviral therapy before the SOF-based treatment (Table 1).

With SOF+RBV regimen, 92.8% of TN and 93% of TE non-cirrhotic (NC) patients were treated for 12 weeks (Table 2). Among the compensated cirrhotic (CC) patients, 42.3% of TN and 68.6% of TE patients were treated for more than 12 weeks (Table 2). Twelve patients were treated with therapies other than the SOF+RBV regimen (1 SOF+daclatasvir, 4 SOF+daclatasvir+RBV, and 7 SOF only).

2. SVR rates of SOF-based therapy

Among the 458 patients (ITT population), 18 (3.9%) were lost to follow-up during or after the scheduled treatment and could not be evaluated for SVR (440 PP population). Overall, the SVR rates of Korean genotype 2 patients treated with SOF-based regimen were 94.5% (433/458) in ITT and 97.1% (427/440) in PP analysis (Fig. 1). Among the 13 patients who did not achieve SVR, four were not tested for SVR within 16 weeks after treatment completion, and clinical characteristics of the remaining nine patients are listed in Supplementary Table 1.

TN patients showed SVR rates of 94.2% (356/378) in ITT and 96.7% (350/362) in PP analyses, and TE patients showed 96.3% (77/80) in ITT and 98.7% (77/78) in PP analyses (data not shown). The four decompensated cirrhotic patients had SVR of 100% (Fig. 1, Supplementary Table 2). Among the four decompensated cirrhotic patients, three tolerated 1,000 mg/day of RBV and one had reduced RBV dose of 600 mg/day.

Among the 12 patients who were treated with regimens other than SOF+RBV, 11 achieved SVR at 12 weeks (SVR12) (1 TN CC with SOF+DCV, 2 TN NC and 2 TN CC with SOF+DCV+RBV, 5 TN NC and 1 TN CC with SOF monotherapy), whereas one 70-year-old TN NC patient with FIB-4 of 2.03 treated with 12 weeks of SOF monotherapy failed to achieve SVR12 (data not shown).

3. Effect of FIB-4, treatment duration, and dose of RBV on the SVR rates of SOF-based therapy

As shown in Fig. 2A, FIB-4 did not significantly affect the SVR rate in Korean genotype 2 patients treated with the SOF-based regimen (p=0.756) (Supplementary Table 2). Each group based on the FIB-4 score21 (<1.45, 1.45–3.25, and >3.25) showed favorable SVR even with advanced fibrosis. Fig. 2B showed that the short duration of SOF-based therapy <12 weeks (shortened therapy or early withdrawal with various reasons before 12 weeks) showed a poor SVR rate of <50%. Although most patients who were treated longer than 12 weeks had cirrhosis, they achieved a high SVR rate with prolonged therapy, similar

Table 3. All Grades of Adverse Events during Sofosbuvir-Based Therapy in Genotype 2 Hepatitis C Patients

| Variable                        | No. (%) |
|---------------------------------|---------|
| Any grade of adverse events     | 191 (41.7) |
| Anemia                          | 86 (18.8) |
| Hemoglobin <10.0 g/dL           | 86 (18.8) |
| Hemoglobin <8.5 g/dL            | 18 (3.9)  |
| Fatigue & general weakness      | 41 (9.0)  |
| Headache                        | 32 (7.0)  |
| Dyspepsia                       | 31 (6.8)  |
| Itching                         | 29 (6.3)  |
| Dizziness                       | 29 (6.3)  |
| Nausea                          | 22 (4.8)  |
| Flu-like symptoms               | 18 (3.9)  |
| Rash                            | 16 (3.5)  |
| Dyspnea                         | 15 (3.3)  |
| Insomnia                        | 9 (2.0)   |
| Vomiting                        | 7 (1.5)   |
| Diarrhea                        | 6 (1.3)   |
| Epigastric soreness             | 6 (1.3)   |
| Cough                           | 5 (1.1)   |
| Anorexia                        | 5 (1.1)   |
| Depression                      | 2 (0.4)   |
| Alopecia                        | 2 (0.4)   |
| Hematuria                       | 2 (0.4)   |
to NC patients treated for 12 weeks (Fig. 2B, Supplementary Table 2). Notably, 196 of the patients (42.8%) started antiviral therapy with RBV of <1,000 mg/day despite their normal hemoglobin level based on the physician’s preference. The proportion of patients requiring RBV dose reduction during treatment was 68.6% (Table 1). Nonetheless, patients treated with the reduced dose of RBV showed similar SVR rates to those treated with the recommended dose of RBV (Fig. 2C and D, Supplementary Table 2).

4. Treatment withdrawal and adverse events during SOF-based therapy in Korean HCV-infected patients

A total of 19 patients discontinued treatment before the completion of the scheduled SOF-based therapy. Virologic failure was found in one patient who showed viral breakthrough at 8 weeks, the remainder discontinued therapy due to adverse events. Three subjects withdrew the treatment due to cost, and one died with pneumonia. An additional 13 were lost to follow-up during the treatment (Table 1).

Any grade of adverse events were found in 41.7% of the participants (Table 3). The most common symptoms were anemia (18.8%), fatigue/general weakness (9.0%), headache (7.0%), dyspepsia (6.8%), and itching (6.3%). No adverse event accounted for >10%, except for anemia, and most were tolerable with supportive management. Only one patient discontinued the therapy due to nausea and dyspepsia.

DISCUSSION

In this retrospective real-life study of SOF-based therapy for genotype 2 HCV-infected Korean patients, the SVR rates were high (94.5% in ITT and 97.1% in PP) and the underlying liver disease severity and previous treatment experience did not significantly affect the SVR rates. Likewise, patients with a high FIB-4 score showed comparable SVR rates with those with a low FIB-4 score. Notably, patients with very short treatment duration (<12 weeks) or without RBV showed lower SVR rates.

Liver disease severity is an important factor for successful SVR with antiviral therapy in HCV-infected patients; thus, assessment of the fibrosis stage before the selection of treatment regimen and duration is recommended. A recent Japanese multicenter cohort study revealed an SVR rate of 90.8% in patients with high FIB-4, which was significantly lower compared to 98.1% of those with low FIB-4 after SOF+RBV therapy. The reason for the universally high SVR rate in NC (97.6%) or cirrhotic (99.1%) Korean patients might be the longer treatment duration for cirrhosis compared to that of Japanese patients who were only allowed 12 weeks of treatment due to reimbursement limitations for SOF-based treatment in Japan. Moreover, four decompensated cirrhotic patients showed SVR rate of 100% without any significant adverse event. Additionally, the fibrosis stage based on FIB-4 score did not significantly affect the SVR rate (Fig. 2A). These findings were correlated with high completion rate of patients with advanced cirrhosis. Treatment withdrawal rates were 4.7% in NC and 2.7% in cirrhotic patients, and 5.5%, 6.1%, and 2.5% in patients with FIB-4 score of <1.45, 1.45–3.25, and >3.25, respectively (data not shown). This result suggested that the adherence to the antiviral treatment, which was not considered in clinical studies, is more crucial than the liver disease severity for achieving successful antiviral eradication in real-life settings.

Detailed prescription pattern of RBV was not investigated in previous studies because practice guidelines recommended two fixed doses (1,000 or 1,200 mg/day) based on body weight. Nonetheless, our data showed that more than 1/3 of the physicians started RBV with a dose of 800 mg/day (Table 1), which was likely based on their experience in treating with pegylated interferon. Moreover, the weight-based dosing had to be reduced during the whole duration of the treatment in 68.6% (Table 1). Reduced dosing of RBV was more frequent in patients weighing <75 kg (45.4% vs 7.6%, p<0.001, data not shown) and those with chronic kidney disease grade 3 or more (90.5% [19/21] vs 68.2% [276/403], p=0.031, data not shown). In Asian patients, the RBV-induced anemia was more frequently reported (37.0%) in a previous study related to inosine triphosphatase polymorphism, compared to Caucasian (25.8%) or Hispanic (20.4%) patients. Thus, the RBV dose reduction rate was high (35.6%) in the setting of peginterferon and RBV combination therapy. Nonetheless, our data showed the SVR rate in Korean patients receiving the reduced dose of RBV (Fig. 2C) was not inferior to that in patients receiving the full weight-based dose. Moreover, the efficacies of stratified RBV dosing were not significantly different between those with weighted <75 and those ≥75 kg (Supplementary Fig. 1). Thus, the relevant dose reduction of RBV resulted in better treatment compliance and subsequent successful viral eradication.

There are several limitations of this study. First, the reason for treatment withdrawal was not fully documented because most patients did not visit the study site after the final prescription; thus, the possible problem that caused treatment intolerance might be underestimated. Secondly, the frequency of adverse
events was based on medical records and might be incompletely categorized owing to the different tolerability of the patients. However, the most recorded symptom did not result in treatment discontinuation. Thirdly, the reason of RBV dose selection was not investigated owing to the study’s retrospective design. However, the lower RBV dose was related to older age (i.e., mean of 68.7±10.5 years in patients with RBV dose of <800 mg/day and 53.7±8.3 years in patients with RBV dose of 1,200 mg/day, p<0.0001, data not shown), lower body weight (p=0.0009), lower baseline hemoglobin level (p<0.001) and platelet count (p=0.004), and more frequent LC (p=0.005, data not shown), which are well-known predictive factors of RBV-induced anemia. This implies that the dose of RBV was based on the patient’s comorbidity and expected tolerance as decided by an experienced physician.

In conclusion, SOF-based therapy showed a high real-life efficacy and tolerable safety in Korean patients with genotype 2 chronic HCV infection, regardless of previous antiviral treatment experience and fibrosis score. A reduced dose of RBV combined with SOF is as effective as its standard dose in genotype 2 Asian patients.
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