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Lithium- (Li-) ion batteries have revolutionized our daily life towards wireless and clean style, and the demand for batteries with higher energy density and better safety is highly required. The next-generation batteries with innovatory chemistry, material, and engineering breakthroughs are in strong pursuit currently. Herein, the key historical developments of practical electrode materials in Li-ion batteries are summarized as the cornerstone for the innovation of next-generation batteries. In addition, the emerging electrode materials for next-generation batteries are discussed as the revolving challenges and potential strategies. Finally, the future scenario of high-energy-density rechargeable batteries is presented. The combination of theory and experiment under multiscale is highlighted to promote the development of emerging electrode materials.

1. Introduction

The development of lithium- (Li-) ion batteries (LIBs) brings us a wireless and nonfossil society, thus being the protagonist of 2019 Nobel Prize in Chemistry. Nowadays, the portable electronics, electric vehicles (EVs), and smart grids are more popular than ever before, which makes lifestyle more convenient and cleaner. Meanwhile, although the manufacturing technologies of LIBs improve rapidly and the market of LIBs increases boominly due to the popularity of new energy vehicles and smart wearable devices [1], yet the energy density of LIBs is approaching to its theoretical value limited by the working principle and the growth rate of energy density has decreased from 7% to 2% per year [2]. In other words, the advancements of LIBs are gradually behind in meeting the demands for portable and clean lifestyle, especially in the fields of EVs and portable electronics [3, 4]. The contradiction of supply and demand is becoming conspicuous. Next-generation batteries with higher energy density are in strong pursuit around the world [5]. Recently, various battery technology roadmaps have been released from different countries, such as China (Made in China 2025), United States (DOE Battery 500), and Japan (NEDO RISING II), which reflects the global urgent demands and exploitation determinations for future high-energy-density rechargeable batteries [6–8].

Rechargeable Li battery based on the Li chemistry is a promising battery system. The light atomic weight and low reductive potential of Li endow the superiority of Li batteries in the high energy density. Obviously, electrode material is the key factor in dictating its performance, including capacity, lifespan, and safety [9]. Diverse electrode materials have been developed under considerable research efforts. According to the reaction mechanism with Li, electrode materials can be categorized into intercalation, conversion, and other types [10–13]. Intercalation electrode materials are widely employed in commercialized LIBs. Li ions reversibly intercalate/deintercalate in intercalation electrode without a large
volume change, exhibiting a stable cycling but a limited specific capacity (Figure 1(a)). For instance, LiCoO$_2$, LiFeO$_4$, LiMn$_2$O$_4$, LiNi$_{0.8}$Co$_{0.1}$Mn$_{0.1}$O$_2$ (NCM811), LiNi$_{0.8}$Co$_{0.15}$Al$_{0.05}$O$_2$ (NCA), and graphite, the state-of-the-art materials of the LIBs, all belong to this type. In conversion electrode materials, the charge/discharge process is a conversion reaction and always accompanied by phase transitions, not only effectively increasing the specific capacity but also introducing additional stability issues, such as structural collapse and poor reversibility (Figure 1(b)). According to the conversion route proposed by Armand and Tarascon [13], metal halides and metal sulfide/oxide can be classed as the conversion type (Equation (1) in Figure 1(c)). Thereafter, Nitta and coworkers extended the route (Equation (2) in Figure 1(c)) to enable alloy, sulfur, and oxygen materials included.

Compared with current intercalation electrode materials, conversion-type materials with high specific capacity are promising for future battery technology [10, 14]. The rational matching of cathode and anode materials can potentially satisfy the present and future demands of high energy and power density (Figure 1(c)) [15, 16]. For instance, the battery systems with Li metal anode can reach a practical energy density of 400 Wh kg$^{-1}$ and 900 Wh L$^{-1}$. However, there are new challenges with the introduction of emerging electrodes. With cycle life, rate capability, working temperature, safety, cost, and manufacture, any shortcoming can prevent its practicality for bulk applications. New fabrication methods, emerging characterization techniques, and the ever-deepening understanding of working principles are highly expected to overcome the shortcomings in emerging electrodes.

This review is aimed at providing a full scenario of advanced electrode materials in high-energy-density Li batteries. The key progress of practical electrode materials in the LIBs in the past 50 years is presented at first. Subsequently,
emerging materials for satisfying near-term and long-term requirements of high-energy-density Li batteries are discussed. Finally, a roadmap of future research towards high-energy-density Li batteries is provided. In particular, the combination of theory and experiment ranging from atomic scale to nanoscale and macroscale are highlighted, which bridges fundamental mechanisms and practical applications.

2. Practical Electrode Materials

The development of LIBs has gone through 50 years from the birth of the concept to the present commercialization, which is a journey full of twists and turns [17]. Just by looking at electrode materials, some materials have been eliminated while some have withstood the test of time and finally been commercialized. The methods of material design, electrode engineering, and evaluation system constructed in this process are premise and sills of future electrode material research. The research motivation and chasing target in this process will continue throughout the future electrode material research. Therefore, we present herein a concise retrospect on the birth and development of LIBs and the commercial electrode materials [18, 19].

2.1. A Retrospect of LIBs. In the early 1970s, the oil crisis directly enabled the research of LIBs. Exxon, an oil giant in America, estimated that oil resources will run out soon as a typical nonrenewable resource. Therefore, they decided to have a team to develop a next-generation energy storage technology for replacing fossil fuels. Whittingham who moved to Exxon in 1972 proposed an innovative cathode material (titanium disulfide, TiS2) [20]. This new intercalation compound, which can accumulate Li ions between transition-metal sulfide sheets, opened a novel world of electrode materials. When it is paired with Li metal anode, the voltage of battery is up to 2.0 V. However, Li metal is highly reactive, which induces big safety risks in battery due to the formation and growth of Li dendrites. Around 1980, Goodenough and co-workers proposed another intercalation cathode material, lithium cobalt oxide (LiCoO2) [21]. The constructed LiCoO2 | Li battery can afford a double voltage of 4.0 V compared to TiS2 | Li, achieving a significant breakthrough in energy density. Still, safety hazard caused by Li metal anodes remained a huge obstacle in commercialization. Then, Yoshino et al. found that a carbonaceous material (petroleum coke) can stably and safely intercalate/deintercalate Li ions in 1985 [22]. Replacing the Li metal anode with this carbonaceous material to pair with LiCoO2, a novel rechargeable LIB was constructed where Li ions swing between both intercalating electrodes upon cycling. Subsequently, Sony corporation successfully commercialized the LIBs in 1991 which exhibited remarkable merits in safety, cycle life, energy density (80 Wh kg-1), and operating voltage (4.1 V) [23]. The first generation of LiCoO2 | C batteries is a great breakthrough in the history of LIBs and is still the mainstream of portable LIBs today.

The birth of LIBs led to a revolution in rechargeable batteries, gradually replacing lead-acid batteries (about 40 Wh kg-1) and touching every aspect of the society, laying the foundation of a wireless, fossil fuel-free society. It is a fruit from who has contributed to the development of LIBs: proposing the fundamental working mechanism, creating the prototype in laboratory, and achieving the successful commercialization.

2.2. Commercial Cathode Materials. By far, LIBs have gone through around 30 years of development since its first commercialization in 1991 and have gradually become mature. Throughout the entire time frame of LIBs, its research goal never changes: high energy density or reduced size and weight for a given capacity, long cycle life, and high safety. To this end, a series of intercalation electrodes were proposed and some of them have been commercialized after heavy screening. Presently, commercialized cathode materials are mainly transition metal oxide, such as LiCoO2, LiFePO4, LiMn2O4, and ternary metal oxides (including LiNi0.5Co0.2Mn0.3O2 and LiNi0.8Co0.15Al0.05O2, denoted as NCM and NCA, respectively) [17, 24]. Each material has its strength and weaknesses (Figure 2). Five-dimensional indicators, including cycle life, average working voltage, practical gravimetric capacity, safety, and cost, are used to evaluate electrode materials, helping to find their proper applications.

2.2.1. Layered LiCoO2. Layered LiCoO2 created by Goodenough is the first generation of commercial cathode and still widely used for computer, communication, and consumer electronics (Figure 2(a)) [25, 26]. It displays a high theoretical specific capacity of 274 mAh g-1 and high theoretical volumetric capacity of 1363 mAh cm-3. However, while the excessive Li ions (\(x > 0.5\)) are extracted from the layered crystal lattice, a series of irreversible structural transformation happens in Li1−xCoO2, leading to irreversible capacity loss [27]. Amatucci reported that 95% of Li ions can be reinserted into the CoO2 structure, i.e., 5% irreversible capacity loss occurs in per charge/discharge cycle when Li is completely extracted [28]. Generally, element doping and surface modification can effectively improve the structure stability and reversibility and thereby reduce capacity loss [29, 30]. Nowadays, the practical specific capacity of commercial LiCoO2 can reach 165 mAh g-1, corresponding to a charge cut-off voltage of 4.35 V. The major limitations of LiCoO2 applied in EVs are its high cost and poor thermal stability. The high-voltage LiCoO2 (>4.5 V) will be the research emphasis to further release the reversible capacity in future research [31].

2.2.2. Spinel LiMn2O4. Spinel LiMn2O4 served as a cathode material is proposed by Goodenough’s research groups in 1984 [32, 33]. The practical specific capacity of LiMn2O4 is about 120 mAh g-1 (theoretical specific capacity, 148 mAh g-1), which is the relatively low in commercial cathode materials. However, LiMn2O4 has a relatively high average operating voltage (4.0 V) and a good rate capability, making it promising for high-power batteries. The good rate capability is profited from the cubic spinel structure of LiMn2O4 where the three-dimension (3D) MnO2 host and Mn vacancies make a 3D diffusion tunnel for Li ions transportation (Figure 2(b)). The main issues of this spinel structure come from the Jahn-Teller effect and the dissolution of
Mn\(^{3+}\), which lead to an irreversible structural transformation and capacity fading. Therefore, the study of LiMn\(_2\)O\(_4\) is focused on reducing the Jahn-Teller active Mn\(^{3+}\). Nowadays, pure LiMn\(_2\)O\(_4\) cathode applied in batteries is losing market share of EVs, whereas it is more usually by mixing with NCM.

### 2.2.3. Olivine LiFePO\(_4\)

Olivine LiFePO\(_4\) is famous for its low cost and high stability. It was firstly proposed as a cathode material by Goodenough’s research group in 1997 [34]. LiFePO\(_4\) has a practical specific capacity of about 120–160 mAh g\(^{-1}\) (theoretical specific capacity, 170 mAh g\(^{-1}\)) and a voltage platform of 3.4 V vs. Li/Li\(^+\). As shown in Figure 2(c), the hexagonal close packed oxygen atoms and the separation function of PO\(_4\) polyanions lead to the slow 1D Li\(^{+}\) diffusion tunnel and low electronic conductivity (10\(^{-3}\) S cm\(^{-1}\) at room temperature) in its crystal structure [26]. This issue can usually be solved by reduced particle sizes, carbon coatings, and cationic dopings [35, 36]. Although limited by low energy density, LiFePO\(_4\) are favored by the transport vehicles with relatively low mileage but high safety requirements, such as electric buses, electric forklifts, and electric sight-seeing ships.

**2.2.4. Layered Ternary Metal Oxides**

Currently, the most popular cathode material in traction battery market belongs to the layered ternary metal oxides which display a similar voltage and a similar or higher practical specific capacity than LiCoO\(_2\) [37]. Both NCM and NCA systems are essentially designed to solve the thermal instability of the LiCoO\(_2\) or LiNiO\(_2\) [38, 39]. In the structure of ternary metal oxides, each metal element performs its own functions and delivers great synergy. The introduction of Mn and Al can maintain the structural stability and lower the cost. Ni allows for a high Li extraction and provides a high capacity, while Co prevents the Ni from occupying the Li sites and thus guarantees the reversible capacity. The common ratio of Ni:Co:Mn is 3:3:3, 5:2:3, 6:2:2, or 8:1:1 while the common ratio of Ni:Co:Al is 0.8:0.15:0.05. Increasing the Ni fraction in the cathodes can immensely increase the capacity and decrease the cost. However, capacity fading and thermal instability are aggravated [40]. There are multiple factors that

![Figure 2: Commercial cathode materials. Crystal structure of (a) LiCoO_2, (b) LiMn_2O_4, (c) LiFeO_4, and (d) radar summary chart of the commercial cathode materials. (a) and (c) are reproduced under the terms of CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 license. Copyright 2011, the authors [26].](image-url)
cause above issues, including the change or instability of chemical composition, structure, and morphology [41, 42]. To overcome the performance degradation, doping, coating, and size control have been proposed but need further understanding and development [43–45]. Overall, the layered ternary metal oxides are supposed to be a general trend as the electric vehicle market is experiencing a surge. Besides, safety should be the primary requirement when evaluating the materials.

2.3. Commercial Anode Materials. An ideal anode material for practical applications is expected to have low potential, high capacity, long cycle life, low cost, and high safety. As mentioned in the birth of LIBs, coke carbon is the first commercial anode material. The bottlenecks of coke carbon, however, are the high deintercalation overpotential (about 1.0 V vs. Li/Li+) and low capacity (<250 mAh g\(^{-1}\)) [46]. Therefore, a variety of carbonaceous (e.g., graphite, soft carbon, and hard carbon) and noncarbonaceous materials were widely investigated and developed thereafter. Currently, commercial anode materials in markets are graphite and spinel Li\(_4\)Ti\(_5\)O\(_12\) (LTO).

2.3.1. Graphite. Graphite has merits of dimensional stability, moderate energy density (theoretical gravimetric capacity of 372 mAh g\(^{-1}\), volumetric capacity of 735 mAh cm\(^{-3}\)), and low cost, thus being the optimal choice for practical applications at present. Ideal graphite is a layer lattice structure where carbon atoms are arranged in a hexagonal ring and extend infinitely in two dimensions on each layer. Two stacking orders of graphite layers coexist, i.e., the prevalent AB (hexagonal) (Figure 3(a)) and the less common ABC (rhombohedral) stacking order [47, 48]. The layer structure is easy for Li ions to be inserted (or extracted) from edge plane along with a layer spacing change (from 0.3354 nm to 0.3720 nm, about 10% volume expansion) [49]. Indeed, the actual structure of graphite is usually more complicated, including defects and aggregates of graphite crystallites. In this respect, graphite materials can be subdivided into natural and artificial/synthetic graphite (e.g., mesosphere carbon microbeads, MCMB) in terms of origin. The main challenge that initially prevents graphite from practical applications is the intercalation of solvents into layers, resulting in the exfoliation of graphite. Fortunately, it has been solved by the upgrading of electrolyte and the construction of stable solid electrolyte interphase (SEI). Nowadays, graphite can display a reversible capacity approaching to its theoretical capacity. Enhancing the fast charging capability of graphite with a safety guarantee is a focus of ongoing research [50].

2.3.2. Spinel Li\(_4\)Ti\(_5\)O\(_12\). Spinel Li\(_4\)Ti\(_5\)O\(_12\) (LTO) is ideal in terms of safety, cycle life, and rate capability, far superior to graphite anode (Figure 3(b)) [51–53]. The high delithiation potential (about 1.55 V vs. Li/Li\(^+\)), which prevents the occurrence of Li plating and SEI formation, guarantees the high safety of LTO. The zero-strain characteristic, which avoids the volume change after the insertion of up to three Li\(^+\), endows the superior cycle performance of LTO. The fast Li-ion diffusion coefficient (about 2 × 10\(^{-8}\) cm\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\)), which is one order magnitude higher than that of graphite, enables the potential rate capability of LTO. Therefore, despite the low capacity (175 mAh g\(^{-1}\)), LTO is an alternative material to graphite for battery system requiring fast charge, long life-span, and high safety.

Based on above discussions, it is not difficult to see that no one commercial intercalation material can completely replace another. All these materials display various strengths and weaknesses, which are derived from their specific chemical composition and structure. The market selection of materials is a compromise of all performance indicators under different scenarios. An ideal electrode with large capacity, high voltage, long cycle life, low cost, and high safety is bound to material breakthrough, which is a long way off.
Figure 4: (a) Schematic of the crystal structure of LMR, where trigonal (R3m) LiMO2 and monoclinic (C2/m) Li2MnO3 coexist. Reproduced with permission [56]. Copyright 2015, American Chemical Society. (b) Structure transition of LMR during the progression of cyclic charge/discharge, resulting in a constant voltage and capacity decay. Reproduced with permission [58]. Copyright 2013, American Chemical Society.

3. Emerging Electrode Materials

In order to further promote the development of high-energy-density rechargeable batteries, novel materials with new working principles are highly required. Meanwhile, the fundamental understanding on the evolution of electrodes at the electronic, atomic, molecular, material, and battery level while working is also necessary toward practical applications.

3.1. Promising Cathode Materials for High Energy Density

3.1.1. High-Voltage Cathode. High-voltage cathode materials (>4.0 V vs. Li/Li+), while maintaining the high capacity (>200 mAh g⁻¹), are considered as the third-generation cathode of LIBs. Common classes that meet such requirements are Ni-rich materials (ternary metal oxides and Ni content >60%), Li- and Mn-rich materials (xLi₂MnO₃·(1-x)LiMO₂, M=Li, Co, Mn or combinations, denoted as LMR), high-voltage spinel oxides, and high-voltage polyanionic compounds and high-voltage LCO [54]. Finally, it should be noted that high-voltage cathodes face not only material issues but also challenges from the electrolyte. Novel electrolytes with wide voltage window should be developed synchronously to resist the highly oxidizing condition. In particular, Ni-rich materials (NCM811 and NCA) have been commercialized preliminarily.

Another material that receives high attention and support from academics and industry is LMR [55]. LMR is a two-phase composite, where trigonal (R3m) LiMO₂ and monoclinic (C2/m) Li₂MnO₃ coexist (Figure 4(a)) [56]. Its practical capacity can easily reach 250 mAh g⁻¹. For instance, Zuo and his coworkers prepared a O2-type Li-rich material with a single layer Li₂MnO₃ superstructure, exhibiting an extraordinary reversible capacity of 400 mAh g⁻¹ [57]. Furthermore, LMR possesses superiorities in cost and thermal stability. However, LMR suffers from severe voltage and capacity decay, which immensely hinder its practical applica-

3.1.2. Sulfur. Sulfur (S), with a theoretical specific capacity of 1675 mAh g⁻¹, is able to realize a leap-forward improvement compared to conventional intercalation cathodes. Furthermore, low cost and high abundance also endow the S a significantly competitive advantage. It should be pointed out that S is a Li free cathode. Therefore, S cathodes should be paired with Li anodes to realize high energy density. As early as the 1960s, the prototype of Li–S battery has been demonstrated [61–63]. Ji and coworkers realized reversible capacities up to 1320 mAh g⁻¹ through introducing a carbon host into the sulfur cathode in 2009 and thus aroused an enthusiasm for Li–S battery [64]. The major limitation for sulfur cathodes stems from the soluble lithium polysulfides (Li₃S₈, 3 < n < 8, LiPSs), which are generated during charge/discharge (Figure 5(a)) [65–67]. During the charge process, long-chain LiPSs generated at the cathode side are able to.
diffuse to the anode side and react with Li metal subsequently. In addition to the formation of solid sulfides on the Li surface, there will be a portion of short-chain LiPSs return back to the cathode side with the help of electric field. This notorious phenomenon is named as shuttle effect, leading to the cathode-active material loss and anode corrosion. In terms of battery performance, it displays as self-discharge, low Coulombic efficiency, and short cycle life.

Over the past decade, tremendous efforts have been devoted to partially solve this issue [68]. There are promising strategies including (1) sulfur hosts, interlayers, and modified separators with chemical/physical confinement function [69, 70], (2) molecularly designed active materials, such as long-chain solid organic polysulfide and small sulfur allotropes [71, 72], (3) advanced electrolytes with reduced polysulfide solubility [73], (4) catalysts/redox mediators with kinetic accelerating functions [74–76], and (5) protection of anode [77, 78]. The challenges in the Li metal anode will be discussed later. At present, the research focus of sulfur cathodes is stepping into a new stage—from lab-scale experiments to product-level applications [79, 80]. Lean electrolytes, limited Li anode, and high sulfur loading are appealed for battery fabrication, and the normalized processes are called for performance evaluation [67, 81, 82].

3.1.3. Oxygen. Oxygen (O₂) is a gaseous active material that can be acquired from air, hence remarkably relieving the total weight of working batteries. Moreover, the conversion reaction between O₂ and Li can deliver more capacity than intercalation-type cathode. Generally, it is quoted that aprotic Li–O₂ batteries can achieve the highest gravimetric energy density (theoretical energy density, 3500 Wh kg⁻¹; practical energy density, 950 Wh kg⁻¹) compared with other Li batteries [83, 84]. As shown in Figures 5(b), typical aprotic Li–O₂ battery comprises a porous catalytic cathode, a separator immersed with organic electrolyte, and a Li metal anode [85]. During discharge, 2e⁻ oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) occurs at the cathode along with Li metal oxidation at the anode, exhibiting an output electrodynamical force of 2.96 V. The charge process is reverse, i.e., oxygen evolution reaction (OER) occurs at the cathode accompanied with Li ions reduction at the anode.

Since the first report by Blurton and Sammells in 1979, aprotic Li–O₂ battery has obtained remarkable achievements in improving chemical instability, reaction kinetics (ORR and OER), and ion/electron transport kinetics through designing catalyst, protecting Li metal anode, and upgrading electrolyte [84, 86, 87]. However, it is far from practical applications. Continuous efforts in innovative solutions...
and deep understanding in reaction mechanism are necessary to ultimately and completely resolve the issues in Li–O₂ battery [88].

3.2. Promising Anode Materials for High Energy Density

3.2.1. Silicon. Silicon (Si) can alloy with Li, thereby delivering a high theoretical capacity of 3579 mAh g⁻¹ for forming Li₁₅Si₄ in room temperature. Furthermore, Si is natural abundant and possesses a low electrochemical potential (Figure 6(a)) [89, 90]. Therefore, Si anode has been expected for the practical applications in third-generation LIBs. Unfortunately, Si anodes suffer huge volume change (>300%) because of the hostless conversion reaction, while the intercalation graphite anodes only change 10% [91, 92]. Such huge volume change leads to electrode pulverization during repeated charge/discharge processes, continuous rupture and regeneration of SEI, and electric disconnection between Si and current collectors (Figure 6(b)) [93], which are all responsible for the capacity fading and short cycle life of Si-based batteries.

Since the discovery of Li-Si alloy in the 1960s, excellent progress has been achieved in alleviating electrode degradation, such as nanosized Si, hierarchical structure Si, and composite Si [94–96]. In terms of industrial feasibility, including cost and scale-up fabrication, the coutilization of graphite and Si instead of pure Si receives strong attention [97]. Despite graphite-blended Si anodes and/or graphite/Si composites have been commercialized preliminarily, the mass fraction of Si is usually below 5%, immensely limiting the specific capacity. Further improving the weight fraction of Si is necessary for high energy density; however, maintaining an appropriate capacity retention and cycle life is precondition. In this respect, grasping the quantitative relationship of geometric structure, stress/strain evolution, and ion/electron transportation is the basis to determine the design, scale-up, and optimization of electrodes. For example, Jia and Li proposed a chemomechanical model to systematically study the intrinsic stress evolution during lithiation of amorphous Si [98]. The critical size of an amorphous Si anode without lithiation-induced fracture is predicted quantitatively and identified by experimental observations.

Figure 6: (a) Potential–capacity curves and corresponding lithiation status for silicon anode. Reproduced under the terms of CC BY 3.0 license [90]. Copyright 2018, the authors. (b) Schematic of the main challenges in silicon anodes. Reproduced with permission [93]. Copyright 2020, Wiley-VCH.
Numerical simulation based on phase field method and finite element method confers a large auxiliary function in mechanism analysis and electrode design [99, 100]. Future improvement on accuracy is expected in numerical simulation.

3.2.2. Li Metal. Li metal anode, benefiting from its ultrahigh theoretical capacity of 3860 mAh g⁻¹ and extremely low potential (−3.04 V vs. standard hydrogen electrode), has long been regarded as the ultimate candidate for future high-energy-density Li batteries. Nevertheless, its implementation faces enormous challenges, which mainly stem from the nature of hostless, high reactivity, and dendritic deposition (Figure 7(a)) [101]. Due to the hostless nature, Li metal anode undergoes infinite volume change that is worse than Si anode. Furthermore, with the integrative effect of dendritic deposition and high reactivity, the rupture and regeneration of SEI repeatedly occur on the Li surface, thereby resulting in the depletion of active Li and electrolyte. In addition, dead Li generated during stripping process also leads to the loss of active Li. This uncontrollable process causes the poor electrochemical performance of Li metal anodes, such as high internal resistance, low Coulombic efficiency, and short lifespan. What is worse, dendritic Li may pierce the separator and induce safety concerns. For the Li metal anode in Li–S and Li–O₂ batteries, the corrosion from migratory cathode intermediates also leads to the loss of active Li, which must be taken into considerations.

To eliminate above issues, the main strategies developed over the past forty years can be summarized as follows. (1) The introduction of a Li host (Figure 7(b)) [102–104]: Through providing preserived deposition space, Li host delivers unique superiority in decreasing volume change compared to other strategies. Besides, the position and behavior of Li plating can be regulated by modifying the electrical conductivity and lithiophilicity of hosts. (2) The construction of a stable Li/electrolyte interface (Figure 7(c)) [105–109]: It is a straight strategy in view of mechanically inhibiting dendrite growth and protecting Li from electrolyte and migratory cathode intermediates. (3) The development of advanced electrolytes (Figure 7(d)) [110]: It generally determines the composition/structure of SEI and the subsequent diffusion of Li ions. Localized high-concentration electrolytes and solid-state electrolytes have recently received intensive attention [111–114]. Detailed progress can refer to some insightful reviews [115–117]. Although the Li metal anode has made a huge leap forward in the last forty years, the challenges toward practical applications of the Li metal anode still remain. Future research directions may focus on the mechanism of Li dendrite growth, the fundamental understanding and regulation of Li/electrolyte interface, the design and scale-up fabrication of composite Li anodes, and the comprehensive evaluation of safety and performance under diverse practical conditions.

3.3. The Roadmap toward Practical Applications. From concept to commercial product with wide applications, an energy material often needs decades of continuous research and development. The success implementation of emerging electrode materials in batteries highly depends on the
cooperation from multidisciplines, multifields, and multi-countries. The research and development of emerging materials involves physics, chemistry, materials, energy, mechanics, automation, informatics, electronics, and other fields, in which the basic principle of energy storage relies on the internal electrochemical reactions. The popularization of emerging electrode materials requires not only the creation of original innovations from scientists but also the scale-up production from engineers to form a product, the establishment of standards in industry, the design of product according to the demand of end-users, the product marketing, and other aspects, which is a system engineering. A step-by-step development can be foreseen. The near-term development of high-energy-density rechargeable batteries is bound to still around the LIBs. It is believed that high-voltage and high-capacity cathodes combined with the silicon-containing anode are promising for commercialization in the near term. A shift of working principles from conventional intercalation reaction to conversion reaction is required for the further requirement in the energy demands. Sulfur, oxygen, and Li metal are highlighted.

In addition to route planning, it is crucial to develop new research methods. In order to bridge the fundamental understanding and practical applications, the combination of theory and experiment methods under multiscale becomes highly desirable (Figure 8) [118–120]. The traditional development workflow for electrode materials based on experimental study is screen, fabrication, and performance testing. After repeated trial-and-error circuit, the desirable electrode material is finally acquired. The introduction of computer-aided theoretical study can help guide the development workflow in the right direction and speed up the research timeline. In recent years, multiscale theoretical methods, including but not limited to density functional theory (DFT), molecular dynamics (MD), phase field method, and finite element method, have achieved great progress in mechanism analysis, material prediction, and optimal design. However, due to the discrepancy between complicated experimental conditions and idealized physical model, the demonstration and complementation of experiment are highly requested for the innovation of advanced theoretical methods.

The combination of the experiment and theory methods can afford a multiscale bottom-up approach to practical applications of emerging materials. Herein, three cases that reasonably combine the experiment and theory methods are given. (1) Electronic, atomic, and molecular levels: Hou and co-workers employed quantum chemical calculations and $^7$Li nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy to identify the Li bond between LiPSs and host materials (doped...
graphene) and establish a fundamental guideline for mechanism analyses and host screen (Figures 8(a) and 8(b)) [121].

(2) Material level: Cao et al. reported a high-performance porous Li host through a novel fabrication technique of 3D printing and a theory demonstration of phase field model (Figures 8(c) and 8(d)) [122]. (3) Battery level: numerical model based on finite element method shows great vitality in geometry optimization, immensely reducing the workload of cell evaluation (Figures 8(e) and 8(f)) [123, 124]. The experimental exploration followed by theoretical interpretation or the theoretical prediction with experimental verification displays the great vitality in electrode material research. Future directions to further promote the combination of theory and experiments are expected: (1) developing emerging experimental method in atomic/molecular manipulation and characterization in order to realize and validate small-scale theoretical model, such as cryo-electron microscopy, in situ electron microscopy, and nondestructive imaging technique; (2) improving the accuracy of large-scale simulation method for engineering design; (3) enhancing the integration of theoretical methods under multiscale and multiphysics. The close academic collaborations are necessary because one researcher usually masters one modelling technique with high threshold; and (4) data flow management for developing machine learning and artificial intelligence. Machine learning and artificial intelligence can automate analytical model building, immensely freeing scientists from data analysis. The premise is massive and accurate data samples. Therefore, benchmarks, data sharing, and reasonable data management systems are appealed.

4. Summary and Perspectives

As the energy densities, operating voltages, safety, and lifetime of Li batteries are mainly determined by electrode materials, much attention has been paid on the research of electrode materials. In this review, a general introduction of practical electrode materials is presented, providing a deep understanding and inspiration of battery designs. Furthermore, the emerging materials that may satisfy the future energy requirement are discussed, focusing on the remaining challenges and possible strategies. It is believed that the development of electrode materials will follow a shift of working principles from conventional intercalation reaction to conversion reaction for high energy density. The practical application of emerging electrode materials requires more advanced research techniques, especially the combination of experiment and theory, for material design and engineering implementation. Despite the property of high energy density, the future development of electrode materials also needs attention on the following aspects:

(1) **Fast Charging.** It is a highly valued feature for EVs and portable electronics as the charge time is remarkably shortened. Unfortunately, performance decay and the structure destruction of electrode materials, meanwhile, readily occur under such high current density. The solution of above issues requires not only proper materials but also clear failure mechanisms.

(2) **Safety.** The changes in chemical composition, crystal structure, and morphology of electrode materials may trigger side reactions and release heat or gas, thereby provoking safety issues. Internal failure mechanisms and triggering conditions need further investigation.

(3) **Temperature Tolerance.** The environmental temperature difference brought by seasons and regions can reach 50°C, which remarkably affects the transport of Li ions and the stability of electrode materials. High discharge capacity at low temperature and high safety at high temperature are expected for electrode materials.

(4) **Scale-Up Fabrication and Affordable Cost.** Most studies are based on lab-scale preparation without taking account of the scale up and cost issues. However, they can be the key constraints on the production chain. For instance, an environment gap exists between the glove box and plant. Manufacture art, process flexibility, and cost accounting will be more important as the practical process advances.

(5) **Recycling.** Rapid growth in the utilization of electrode materials brings about the challenges of environment and resource. Therefore, it is an important part to recycle electrode materials at the end of life. On the one hand, separating harmful substances, especially heavy metal element, is favorable for minimizing environmental impacts. On the other hand, material or component recycling benefits to maximizing the economic value and promoting the development of sustainable society. Corresponding recycling methods are appealed.

Ultimately, the development of electrode materials is a system engineering, depending on not only material properties but also the operating conditions and the compatibility with other battery components, including electrolytes, binders, and conductive additives. The breakthroughs of electrode materials are on the way for next-generation batteries.
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