The Factors Associated with the Attitudes of Nurses Working in Medical Facilities towards Family Nursing

Motoko Hori¹, Akemi Yamazaki², Akemi Soeda³, Kazumi Odatsu⁴, Momoko Buyo⁵, Miyuki Matumoto⁶, Rie Tokutani⁷, Hiroko Mine⁸, Akemi Tsumura⁹, Chisato Kimura¹⁰, Yuichi Nakayama¹²,¹¹

¹Faculty of Nursing, Senrikinran University, Osaka, Japan
²Division of Health Sciences, Osaka University Graduate School of Medicine, Osaka, Japan
³Bungoohno City Hospital, Oita, Japan
⁴Oita Red Cross Hospital, Oita, Japan
⁵Career Development Center for Nursing, Wakayama Medical University, Wakayama, Japan
⁶Kishiwada Tokushukai Hospital, Osaka, Japan
⁷Otsu Red Cross Hospital, Shiga, Japan
⁸Osaka City General Hospital, Osaka, Japan
⁹Shizuoka Cancer Center, Shizuoka, Japan
¹⁰Faculty of Health Sciences, Tokyo Metropolitan University, Tokyo, Japan
¹¹Faculty of Nursing, Setsunan University, Osaka, Japan

Email: akemiyamazaki@sahs.med.osaka-u.ac.jp

Abstract

The aim of this study was to clarify the attitudes of nurses working in medical facilities towards family nursing and the related factors. A quantitative cross-sectional design was used. The study period was from December 2017 to February 2018. 638 nurses with more than 5 years clinical experience were recruited from seven medical facilities. The questionnaire included the Families’ Importance in Nursing Care-Nurses’ Attitudes (FINC-NA), the Family Sense of Coherence (FSOC), and items on nursing practice ability, job satisfaction, and background characteristics. A multiple regression analysis with FINC-NA as the dependent variable and a path analysis of nursing practice ability as the dependent variable were performed. Responses from 416 nurses (effective response rate, 65.2%) were analyzed. Variables that were significantly related to FINC-NA (p < 0.05) were nursing practice ability, FSOC, job satisfaction, family nursing learning experience, presence of a partner and experience in family nursing. Multiple regression analysis with FINC-NA as the dependent variable revealed significant regression coefficients for nursing practice ability, FSOC and job satisfaction. Moreover, path analysis with
nursing practice ability as the dependent variable showed job satisfaction, FSOC, managerial experience, family nursing learning experience were significant. In addition, it was revealed that the direct effect of FSOC on FINC-NA to mediate nursing practice ability was higher than the indirect effect, but the direct effect of job satisfaction on FINC-NA was lower than the indirect effect. It was suggested that it is important that nurses recognize their own thoughts, behaviors and ways of coping with stress within their family, and establish a good work environment and strive to enhance their nursing practice ability.
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1. **Introduction**

In nursing care, it is important to support both patients and their family members. To provide continued and high-quality service, nurses need to evaluate whether their own care is effective in enhancing the patient's family's self-care function. There are several available instruments to measure nursing practice abilities, nurses' beliefs, and nurses' attitudes in the field of family nursing, many of which have been developed with a specific area focus, for example, the Family Nurse Caring Belief Scale for nurses working in the Pediatric Intensive Care Unit/Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU) [1], the Family Nursing Practice Scale in Psychiatry [2], and a measure of emergency nurses' attitudes towards family members who have experienced consultation with a patient because of injury or illness, or sudden death [3].

Through the evaluation of family nursing practice and family nursing training, it has been reported that the system and environment of nursing facilities [4] [5], the acquisition of family nursing knowledge and skills [6] [7] [8], the caring experience of nurses' own family [9] [10] [11], and the capacity to establish human relationships [12] affected nursing care for patients' families. Among these related factors, the effect of the acquisition of knowledge and skills has been investigated in numerous studies. However, there have been few studies on nurses' work environment or their individual background characteristics, and some of these previous findings have been inconsistent [13] [14]. On the other hand, there are many studies on relationship between life events or stress and nursing practice [15] [16] [17].

In previous studies on nurses' attitude toward, which is one of the criteria for evaluating family nursing, the relationship between the working environment and individual characteristics of nurses has been reported. Fisher [18] developed a scale of 18 items that evaluate the attitudes and behaviors of nurses in their daily care, and showed that nurses who regarded the presence of family as important were more involved in daily care; however, no other studies have used
this scale, so the results have yet to be confirmed. Benzein et al. [19] developed the FINC-NA to measure nurses’ attitudes towards the importance of involving family in nursing care. The FINC-NA scale has been used in several countries in addition to Sweden where it was developed, and nurses’ attitudes toward families in various countries are gradually becoming more clear [20] [21] [22] [23] [24]. Exploring the factors affecting FINC-NA, which is a reliable measure, is expected to help clarify the factors related to family nursing attitudes. Furthermore, to provide more effective family support, we believe that it is important to approach nurses from various perspectives.

2. Conceptual Framework

The conceptual framework of this study is shown in Figure 1. Nurses play a role as professionals, employees, and members of their families. We believe that the knowledge, experiences, and feelings gained from each of these roles are correlated with each other and represent facility, professional, and individual factors that affect family nursing attitudes.

3. Methods

3.1. Aim

The aim of this study was to clarify the attitudes of nurses working in medical facilities towards family nursing and the related factors.

3.2. Design and Sample

A quantitative cross-sectional design was used. Participants were recruited from seven medical facilities that agreed to cooperate in the study. The inclusion criteria were nurses with more than 5 years of clinical experience. The exclusion
criteria were nurses who were in a managerial position; on maternity leave, childcare leave, or nursing care leave; or could not read or write Japanese.

3.3. Data Collection

Self-administered questionnaires were mailed in December 2017 and the data collection period was from January to February 2018. We asked the representatives of the seven facilities who agreed to cooperate with the research to select participants who met the inclusion criterion, and to distribute and collect the questionnaires. The participants from one facility were all professional nurses and certified nurses who learned family nursing systematically, and participants from another facility were midwives and nurses working in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology or NICU. Participants from the other five facilities were nurses working in various departments.

3.4. Ethical Considerations

This study was approved by the ethics committee of the authors' institution. The participants were informed that the questionnaires were anonymous and that they could not be identified based on their responses or if they dropped out. Furthermore, it was clarified in writing that there would be no disadvantage if they chose not to participate or if they left blank answers in the questionnaire.

3.5. Measures

Demographic characteristics of participants consisted of gender, age, number of years of clinical experiences, number of years in current department, managerial experience, family nursing experience, number of years of family nursing experience, presence of a partner, parenting experience, experience caring for own family, educational background, and family nursing learning experience.

3.5.1. Nurses’ Attitudes towards Families

FINC-NA was used to evaluate nurses’ attitudes towards families. FINC-NA consists of 26 items in the following four subscales: “family as a resource in nursing care” (Fam-RNC), “family as a conversational partner” (Fam-CP), “family as a burden” (Fam-B), and “family as its own resource” (Fam-OR). Responses are provided on a 5-point Likert scale (strongly agree, agree, neutral, disagree and strongly disagree) with total ranging from 26 to 130. The higher the score, the more supportive the nurse’s attitude towards families. For the total score of the Japanese version, Cronbach’s $\alpha$ for internal consistency was 0.88 and the interclass the correlation coefficient was 0.72 [25].

3.5.2. Nursing Practice Abilities

Version 3 of Sato’s [26] Clinical Practice Proficiency Measurement Scale for Mid-career Nurses was used to measure clinical practice proficiency. The scale is composed of 21 items in the following four subscales: “ability to contribute to a development of the nursing team,” “ability to provide quality care,” “ability to
encourage patient participation in medical care,” and “voluntary involvement in current circumstances”. Total scores range from 21 to 105, and nurses with more than 67 points are evaluated as mid-career nurses. The higher the score, the higher the self-evaluation in nursing practice abilities. Cronbach’s α for items comprising the four subscales was from 0.71 to 0.87 and construct validity was confirmed for all items. Because of the high reliability and validity, this scale has been used in many studies.

3.5.3. Job Satisfaction
As a result of reviewing the Japanese literature on job satisfaction among nurses, we found the following five aspect of job satisfaction to be important: “worthwhile job duties”, “human relationships”, “benefits and work shift”, “opportunities for career advancement”, and “significance of one’s existence”. Therefore, we developed a five-item questionnaire. The five items were as follows: “Do you feel your work is worthwhile?”, “Are you creating good relationships at work?”, “Are you satisfied with the benefits and work shift?”, “Are you ensured learning and growth opportunities, such as study meetings or academic conferences?” and “Do you feel you make a significant contribution at work?” Total scores range from 5 to 25 and the higher the score, the higher the job satisfaction.

3.5.4. FSOC
The FSOC is used to measure nurses’ feelings or behaviors towards their own families. The concept of sense of coherence (SOC) advocated by Antonovsky [27] is defined as the perception that various events in a person’s life are coherent. SOC is composed of the concepts of meaningfulness, manageability, and comprehensibility and FSOC is an adaptation of the concept to families.

The FSOC scale consists of 26 items; however, a short version with 12 items (FSOC-S) with responses provided on a 7-point scale has been developed [28]. Total scores range from 12 to 84 with higher score reflecting better perceptions of the coherence of family. In this study, we used the Japanese Version of the Family Sense of Coherence Scale-short form (J-FSOC-S). Translation and validation of the J-FSOC-S were performed prior to this research [29]. Cronbach’s α for internal consistency for the total score was 0.85. The J-FSOC-S showed good test-retest reliability and the interclass correlation coefficient was 0.77.

3.6. Data Analysis
Descriptive statistics for all variables were calculated. An unpaired t-test was used to examine differences in the total FINC-NA score based on gender, managerial experience, family nursing experience, presence of a partner, parenting experience, experience caring for own family, and family nursing learning experience. To measure the strength and direction of the association between the total FINC-NA score and each continuous variable, Pearson’s correlation coefficient (nursing practice abilities, job satisfaction, FSOC) and Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient (age, number of years of clinical experience, number of
years in current department) were calculated.

In order to clarify the factors associated with FINC-NA, a multiple regression analysis was conducted. Independent variables were selected by the stepwise method and single regression analysis. Then, the variables that have been reported to be related to FINC-NA in preceding studies were added [9] [10] [14]. Furthermore, to analyze factors that indirectly affect FINC-NA, path analysis of variables was conducted. The collected data were analyzed using IBM SPSS version 24 and the level of statistical significance was set at less than 5%.

4. Results

Questionnaires were distributed to 638 nurses from seven medical facilities and 480 nurses responded (75.2% response rate). The divisions in which they were working were as follows: internal medicine, surgery, intensive care unit/high care unit, neonatal intensive care unit/growing care unit, obstetrics and gynecology, pediatrics, psychiatry, emergency, gastrointestinal, orthopedic surgery, cardiovascular, neurosurgery, respiratory, operating room, laboratory, outpatient, nursing department, visiting nursing department, dialysis room, rehabilitation, regional collaboration room. Nurses with less than 5 years of clinical experience who did not fully complete all items for FINC-NA, nursing practice abilities, job satisfaction, and FSOC, and those with more than 20% of the answers to all questions missing were excluded. Finally, the responses of 416 nurses (valid response rate 65.2%) were analyzed.

The participants’ characteristics are shown in Table 1. The mean age of participants was 36.6 (standard deviation [SD] = 7.7) years, the number of years of clinical experience was 13.5 (SD = 7.1) years, and the rate of managerial experience was 15.5%. Approximately half of the participants had built a reproductive family (presence of a partner was 53.6%, presence of parenting experience was 46.3%). In addition, the rate of experience of caring for own family was 27.2%.

The total FINC-NA score was 91.1 (SD = 10.0), and the scores for each subscale were as follows: Fam-RNC was 36.0 (SD = 4.0), Fam-CP was 27.7 (SD = 3.7), Fam-B was 14.1 (SD = 2.8) and Fam-OR was 13.3 (SD = 2.4). The total score of Version 3 of the Clinical Practice Proficiency Measurement Scale for Mid-career Nurses was 72.8 (SD = 11.2), the job satisfaction total score was 16.6 (SD = 3.5) and the FSOC total score was 58.3 (SD = 11.6).

In the case of univariate analyses of total FINC-NA scores, there was a significant correlation (p < 0.05) between nursing practice ability, FSOC, job satisfaction, family nursing learning experience, presence of partner, and experience of family nursing (Table 2).

The results of the multiple regression analysis with FINC-NA as the dependent variable are shown in Table 3. From the 351 nurses who responded to all variables that were significant by the stepwise method, single regression analysis and in preceding studies [9] [10] [14], we were excluded the two participants
Table 1. Participant characteristics (N = 416).

| Characteristic                                           | Mean ± SD or N (%)      |
|----------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Gender (n = 414)                                         |                         |
| Female                                                   | 386 (93.2)              |
| Male                                                     | 28 (6.8)                |
| Age (years) (n = 410)                                    |                         |
| 36.6 ± 7.7                                               |                         |
| Clinical experience (years) (n = 412)                    |                         |
| 13.5 ± 7.1                                               |                         |
| Managerial experience (n = 414)                          |                         |
| Yes                                                      | 64 (15.5)               |
| No                                                       | 350 (84.5)              |
| Yes                                                      | 342 (92.7)              |
| No                                                       | 27 (7.3)                |
| Number of years of family nursing experience (n = 337)    | 6.4 ± 4.2               |
| Presence of a partner (n = 403)                          |                         |
| Yes                                                      | 216 (53.6)              |
| No                                                       | 187 (46.4)              |
| Parenting experience (n = 404)                           |                         |
| Yes                                                      | 187 (46.3)              |
| No                                                       | 217 (53.7)              |
| Yes                                                      | 109 (27.2)              |
| Experience caring for own family (n = 401)                |                         |
| No                                                       | 292 (72.8)              |
| Educational background (n = 410)                         |                         |
| Nursing school (2 years)                                 | 41 (10.0)               |
| Nursing school (3 years)                                 | 226 (55.1)              |
| College                                                  | 23 (5.6)                |
| University                                               | 86 (21.0)               |
| Graduate school                                          | 6 (1.5)                 |
| Others                                                   | 28 (6.8)                |
| Family nursing learning experience (n = 409)              |                         |
| Yes                                                      | 250 (61.1)              |
| No                                                       | 159 (38.9)              |

SD: standard deviation.

Table 2. Single regression analysis of FINC-NA and study variables (N = 416).

| Characteristic                                           | Total FINC-NA score Mean ± SD | Test | p-value |
|----------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------|---------|
| Age (n = 410)                                            | 91.3 ± 10.0                   | 0.051$^a$ | 0.302 |
| Gender (n = 414)                                         |                               |      |         |
| Female                                                   | 91.3 ± 10.0                   | 1.468$^b$ | 0.143 |
| Male                                                     | 88.5 ± 10.4                   |      |         |
| Clinical experience (n = 412)                            |                               |      |         |
| 92.1 ± 9.3                                               | 0.053$^a$                     | 0.283|
| Current department (n = 399)                             |                               |      |         |
| −0.001$^a$                                               | 0.977                         |      |         |
| Managerial experience (n = 414)                          |                               |      |         |
| Yes                                                      | 92.1 ± 9.3                    | 0.834$^b$ | 0.405 |
| No                                                       | 91.0 ± 10.2                   |      |         |
| Family nursing experience (n = 369)                      |                               |      |         |
| Yes                                                      | 91.5 ± 9.5                    | 2.051$^b$ | 0.041 |
| No                                                       | 87.6 ± 10.3                   |      |         |
Continued

| Presence of a partner  | Yes   | 92.1 ± 9.7 | 2.122b) | 0.034 |
|------------------------|-------|------------|---------|--------|
|                        | No    | 90.0 ± 10.2|         |        |

| Parenting experience   | Yes   | 91.4 ± 9.4 | 0.705b) | 0.481 |
|------------------------|-------|------------|---------|--------|
|                        | No    | 90.7 ± 10.5|         |        |

| Experience caring for own family | Yes | 91.8 ± 10.4 | 1.010b) | 0.313 |
|----------------------------------|-----|------------|---------|--------|
|                                  | No  | 90.7 ± 9.9 |         |        |

| Family nursing learning experience | Yes | 92.5 ± 9.7 | 3.301b) | 0.001 |
|------------------------------------|-----|------------|---------|--------|
|                                    | No  | 89.2 ± 10.3|         |        |

Nursing practice ability (n = 416)  0.530c)  0.000
Job satisfaction (n = 416)  0.293c)  0.000
FSOC (n = 416)  0.315c)  0.000

a) Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient, b) t-test, c) Pearson’s correlation coefficient. SD: standard deviation; FSOC: Family Sense of Coherence.

Table 3. The factors associated FINC-NA: path analysis (N = 349).

| Nursing practice ability | FINC-NA |
|--------------------------|---------|
|                          | r       | β       | r       | β       |
| Clinical experience      | 0.167   | ** 0.108| 0.021   | −0.045  |
| Managerial experience    | 0.182   | ** 0.134| * 0.022 | −0.046  |
| Family nursing experience| 0.099   | 0.056   | 0.110   | * 0.045  |
| Presence of a partner    | 0.089   | −0.062  | 0.093   | −0.005  |
| Experience caring for own family | 0.044   | 0.009   | 0.026   | 0.020   |
| Family nursing learning experience | 0.144   | ** 0.118| * 0.123 | * 0.027  |
| Job satisfaction         | 0.343   | ** 0.284| ** 0.290| ** 0.104| *        |
| FSOC                     | 0.263   | ** 0.215| ** 0.324| ** 0.187| **       |
| Nursing practice ability | 0.520   | ** 0.442|         |         |
| Coefficient of determination | R² = 0.195** | R² = 0.309** |

r: Pearson’s correlation coefficient, β: standardized partial regression coefficient, FINC-NA: Families’ Importance in Nursing Care-Nurses’ Attitudes. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01.

who had the highest and lowest total FINC-NA scores in the residual analysis, leaving 349 nurses for analysis.

The coefficient of determination was R² = 0.309 (p < 0.01) and the variables that affected FINC-NA were nursing practice ability (β = 0.442, p < 0.01), FSOC (β = 0.187, p < 0.01), and job satisfaction (β = 0.104, p < 0.05).

As a result of the path analysis with nursing practice ability as the dependent variable, job satisfaction (β = 0.284, p < 0.01), FSOC (β = 0.215, p < 0.01), managerial experience (β = 0.134, p < 0.05), and family nursing learning experience (β = 0.118, p < 0.05) were significant. In addition, as a result of calculating the indirect effects of job satisfaction and FSOC on FINC-NA, the indirect effect
mediated by nursing practice ability ($\beta = 0.126$) was higher than the direct effect ($\beta = 0.104$) for job satisfaction (Table 3, Figure 2).

5. Discussion

Based on the number of years of clinical experience or managerial experience, the participants of this study were considered proficient or expert [30]. In comparison with a previous study [25], the total FINC-NA and Fam-CP scores were almost the same, but the Fam-RNC score was slightly lower and the Fam-B score was higher. This showed that the participants did not regard the family as an important resource in nursing care, but instead felt the presence of the family to be a burden.

Sveinbjarnardottir et al. [10] showed that nurses with more than a university education do not consider patients’ families to be a burden. In addition, Luttik et al. [14] reported that completing graduate school or holding the position of a researcher, educator or administrator had an effect on attitudes towards families. Comparing the present participants’ characteristics with those in previous studies revealed differences in educational background or their position in the workplace. These reasons were assumed to explain the differences in the Fam-RNC and Fam-B scores.

In this study, nursing practice ability most strongly affected FINC-NA, followed by FSOC and then job satisfaction. For nurses working in medical facilities, patient care is the most important role and new nurses learn and practice patient-centered nursing from the beginning of their employment. The Scale of Nursing Practice Ability of Mid-career Nurses that was used in this study was

![Figure 2. Results of FINC-NA path analysis. The direct effect of job satisfaction to FINC-NA: $\beta = 0.104$; The indirect effect mediated by nursing practice ability: $\beta = 0.284 \times 0.442 = 0.126$; The direct effect of FSOC to FINC-NA: $\beta = 0.187$; The indirect effects mediated by nursing practice ability: $\beta = 0.215 \times 0.442 = 0.095$.](image)
developed through repeated examinations and revisions of the scale. This scale contains items that whether setting goals by talking with patients and their families, and whether to evaluate patients and their families' satisfaction for practiced care. This means that mid-career nurses with high nursing practical ability can pay attention not only to patients, but also to their families, and are capable of building good relationships with them. The results of the present study supported that.

FSOC had a direct effect on nursing practice ability and on nurses’ attitudes towards families. In a double ABCX model [31] used to analyze family stress in the long term, it was said that family coping became a bridging concept which had both cognitive and behavioral components wherein resources, perception, and behavioral responses interact as families try to achieve a balance in family functioning. Nurses with high FSOC scores can be regarded as having a high coping ability to balance family functions, and it was assumed that they made use of this ability to help families cope with various stresses caused by the patient’s illness. Furthermore, the FSOC consists of questions about family values, such as their thoughts and the importance of family life. Since the FSOC influences nurses’ attitudes towards families, it was suggested that nurses’ values towards their own families affected their family nursing practice. It is important for nurses to recognize the diversity of patients’ families as well as to sympathize with them, and to acknowledge their values towards their own families.

Although job satisfaction showed a direct effect on nurses’ attitude towards families, the effect of nursing practice ability was stronger. Previous studies [32] [33] have shown that job satisfaction enhances the quality of care, and the same results were obtained in this study.

Managerial experience and family nursing learning experience were mentioned as factors that influence nursing practice ability. We believe that nurses with managerial experience already had high nursing practice ability, and they were further enhancing their comprehension by performing tasks such as staff coordination and family support.

In this study, nurses with family nursing learning experience had high nursing practice ability. The participants included a certain number of nurses who had learned family nursing systematically in graduate school or training. It was believed that the ability to respond to families required as nursing practical skills has been enhanced by acquiring knowledge and skills in family nursing. Furthermore, they had continued their education to improve their skills as nurses, and they were highly motivated to learn. It was considered that their willingness to learn was influenced by the acquisition of nursing practice ability.

Limitations

It is possible that attitudes and willingness to perform family nursing were higher in the participants of the present study than nurses at other facilities because the participants were recruited from medical facilities that conduct family nurs-
ing training. Therefore, it is necessary to exercise caution when generalizing the findings of the present study.

It is necessary to consider that the answers to questions about managerial experience, family nursing experience, and family nursing learning experience showed large individual differences.

6. Conclusion

The factors associated with the attitudes of nurses working at medical facilities were nursing practice ability, FSOC and job satisfaction. In addition, the factors indirectly affecting attitudes through nursing practice ability were job satisfaction, FSOC, managerial experience and family nursing learning experience. It was suggested that it is possible for nurses to have a good relationship with the patient’s family by looking back on and recognizing their own values in addition to acquiring skills and knowledge.
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