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ABSTRACT

This research shows the impact of female directors on firm performance in Indonesia by using as its sample the public companies listed on the Indonesian Stock Exchange (IDX) from 2011 until 2015. There were 347 companies, with 1,735 samples observed. This research uses the multiple regression method. The model is a modified model from 9 recent articles published between 2012 and 2015. The empirical result shows that a female director has a positive significant effect on firm performance. The control variables, consisting of leverage, firm size and firm age have negative significance for firm performance. This research is conducted across 9 sectors of industrial classification, which support the International Finance Corporation (IFC) in increasing the number of female directors in Indonesia. For managers, this research will promote gender development in the boardroom, female executive training programmes as well as female representation on boards of directors. For regulators, this research may provide a contribution to gender representation in board’s policies, rules and regulations. This research can build awareness of women’s contributions to firms and encourage a greater female presence in the boardroom.
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INTRODUCTION

Corporate governance consists of either a one-tier or a two-tier board system (Jungmann, 2006). A one-tier board invests both managerial and supervisory activities in one unified board of directors. However, a two-tier board separates the managerial board and the supervisory board. This research focuses on the executive board or the board of directors. The board of directors acts as an internal governance mechanism via its appointment, supervision and remuneration of senior managers, as well as its framing of corporate strategy (Campbell & Vera, 2010). In this era, the presence of women on boards of directors have increased (Dezso & Ross, 2012).

McKinsey (2007) mentioned that female directors have leadership traits that increase their firms’ financial performance. The empirical result shows firms with women on their boards can increase their Return on Equity (ROE) by 10 percent, operating income by 48 percent and stock growth by 17 percent compared to the industry averages. Eagly and Johannesen-Schmidt (2001), highlighted that the presence of female directors improves five leadership traits which are people development, expectations and rewards, becoming a role model, providing inspiration and participative decision making.

McKinsey (2010) shows about 72 percent of directors are aware that female directors can increase a firm’s performance. The research of Folkman (2012) on 7,280 leaders has proven female directors are superior in their leadership competencies. According to George (2012), a global leader not only needs a high level of cultural and emotional intelligence but also an awareness of their values, purpose and vulnerabilities. Female directors are said to
possess higher levels of awareness and demonstrate this type of behavior more easily.

According to Dieleman and Aishwarya (2012), the percentage of female directors and commissioners in Indonesia is 11.6 percent. This number is lower than Europe (17 percent), North America (17.1 percent) and Australia (13.8 percent), however, the presence of women in the boardroom in Indonesia is greater than in other developed countries in Asia. The latest study indicates that Indonesia has a higher percentage of female board members than Hong Kong (10.3 percent), Malaysia (7.3 percent), Singapore (7.3 percent) and Japan (1.1 percent), as represented across various sectors of industry.

Dieleman and Aishwarya (2012) examined boardroom’s gender diversity, which could be used as an indicator of firm’s success. The previous result shows about 40 percent of firms listed on the Indonesian Stock Exchange (IDX) had more than one female director. According to the Deloitte Global Center for Corporate Governance (2015), the women of Indonesia are increasingly moving away from their more traditional roles of wife and mother. Indonesian women are more actively working and pursuing careers.

Numerous studies have shown the impact of female directors on firm performance. These focused on the countries where a two-tier board system is applied. Boards of directors with female members tend to increase their firms’ performance (Frink et al., 2003; Erhardt et al., 2003; Krishnan & Daewoo, 2005; Smith, 2006; Dezso & Ross, 2012; Liu et al., 2014; Gulamhussen & Santa, 2015).

Other research has found that female directors have no impact on firm performance (Ellwood & Garcia-Lacalle, 2015). Joecks et al. (2013) mentioned that female directors, at first, negatively affect their firms’ performance, and only after the critical figure of about 30 percent female directors has been reached can they be associated with higher firm performance than a completely male board. On the other hand, Darmadi (2010) and Lam et al. (2013) mentioned female directors will decrease the performance of their firms. There are various positive and negative opinions towards the empirical results on this topic that covers different research locations and samples. Therefore, this research aims to investigate the impact of female directors on firm performance in Indonesia, specifically by using five-years data across all the sectors of industry, which has not been examined by the previous literature. This research shows the effect of female executives on firm performance in various sectors including the trade, the service and investment sector, the agricultural sector, the financial sector, the property, real estate and building construction sector, the miscellaneous sector, the consumer goods sector, the mining sector, the infrastructure, utility and transportation sector, the basic industry and the chemicals sector. This research supports the International Finance Corporation (IFC) in increasing the number of female directors in Indonesia. For its managerial and practical contributions, this research will promote gender development in the boardroom, female executive training programmes as well as increasing the female composition of Indonesian boards of directors.

**LITERATURE REVIEW**

The Implicit Leadership Theory views leadership as the outcome of a perceptual process involving both leaders and subordinates. The central idea is the implicit belief about the personal qualities and behaviour of leaders. Leaders affect the performance of subordinates through their behaviour, traits, charismatic qualities and the ability to structure situations and define roles (Levy, 2003: 394).

The implication is that leadership’s effectiveness is more about the followers’ perceptions, rather than about the leaders’ actions. In order to get the attention of their followers, leaders’ traits become a concern. Subordinates will look at how their leaders behave, communicate, and develop an impression about their effectiveness. Female leaders gain attention through their communication and relationship-building skill with their subordinates. Hence, female leaders will leave a
good impression and thus recall good previous leaders’ behavior and traits, which will lead toward better performance.

According to the Social Role Theory, the differences between male and female behavior are a function of the different roles men and women hold in society. This theory views gender differences between males and females as the cause of their different roles, especially at work (Eagly, 1987). Social Role Theory focuses on the structure of society and its roles, which form the behavior of a group of people (Helgeson, 2012:165). Currently, gender and role orientation’s focus on masculinity and femininity is associated with their social role at work rather than by gender (Eagly et al., 2000 in Eckes & Trautner, 2000). Masculinity, which is mostly attributed to males, can be possessed by females and femininity is also found in both genders. Although, it is more in the role itself rather than the gender.

The way labor is divided between women and men in society accounts for why women become communal and men become agentic. Men are primarily responsible for work outside the home, which leads to their agentic orientation. Women are primarily responsible for domestic labor and taking care of children, which leads to a communal orientation. However, this traditional perspective has been changed. Nowadays, women may lead in the corporate world because of their work ethic, environmental and social concerns. Ibarra and Obodaru (2009) mentioned that female executives who possess score higher for certain effectiveness criteria than male executives on their study.

Hambrick (2007) mentioned that the leadership of a complex organization is a shared activity and the collective cognition, capabilities and interaction of the entire Top Management Team (TMT) enter into this strategic behavior. The psychological and social processes by which executive profiles are converted into strategic choice remains a mystery. Gender remains one of the aspects of these psychological and social processes. Gender splits between male and female executives who occupy strategic leading roles can boost the performance of their companies.

The conflict of interest between both the principal and agent in Agency Theory (Jensen & Meckling, 1976) can be improved because female executives are more cautious than male executives in making important corporate decisions (Huang & Kisgen, 2013). Female executives are more diligent in their monitoring and demand more audit efforts than male directors do (Adam & Ferreira, 2009). It is due to a board’s diversity needing a high degree of independence. Female directors’ oversight could also minimize agency issues (Erhardt, 2003). Women are better able to lower operating costs (Chakrabarty & Bass, 2014) and improve the financial performance (Strom et al., 2014).

Moreover, the basic proposition of the Resource Dependency Theory is the need for environmental linkages between the firm and its outside resources. In this perspective, directors serve to connect the firm with the required external factors by co-opting the resources needed for it to survive (Pfeffer & Salancik, 1978). Pfeffer and Salancik (1978) attribute three benefits to these corporate board linkages: advice and counsel, legitimacy and communication channel. Women have the advantage when it comes to advice and counsel as well as legitimacy. As for communication channels, women leaders’ perspectives are better equipped to connect their firms to customers, labor and society at large (Liu et al., 2014).

1. Hypothesis development

Erhardt et al. (2003) examined the relationship between the demographic diversity of boards of directors with the firms’ performance by using 1993’s and 1998’s financial performance data and the percentage of women and minorities for 127 large US companies. The result shows that board diversity is positively associated with firm performance. The same result was indicated by Frink et al. (2003) from 500 randomly selected firms in Dun and Bradstreet’s list of publicly traded firms between 1978 and 1992. The research found gender diversity leads to better firm performance. Female directors performed a
better monitoring role and minimise agency issues.

Krishnan and Daewoo (2005) in research into the upper echelon framework of top management, mentioned that the proportion of female directors has positively significant effect on firm performance. This research was conducted on 679 Fortune 1,000 companies registered since 1998 and leads to large implications for future career women. Smith et al. (2006) researched 2500 companies in Denmark, and the result showed that a more gender diverse board may also improve a firm’s competitive advantage as well as its corporate image and has a positive effect on customers’ behaviour.

Francoeur et al. (2006) undertook research in Canada and found empirical evidence that having a higher proportion of women does generate positive and significant abnormal returns. Ibarra and Obodaru (2009) in the Harvard Business Review mentioned women’s effectiveness in top management scored higher than men’s did. This research was conducted by using a 360 degree assessment of the executive development program in INSEAD. Miller and Triana (2009) mentioned that women will increase firm performance. Post and Byron (2015) combined 140 studies that examined empirical evidence that a female director is positively significant for firm’s performance.

Dezso and Ross (2012) mentioned that female representation in top management will enrich the information and social diversity of a board, which can bring benefits to management, enrich the manager’s behaviour and motivate the women in middle management. Hence, female representation remains an important indicator of the success of firms.

According to Darmadi (2010), the proportion of female directors is negatively significant to firm performance in Indonesia. This research was conducted over 1 year period, using as its sample 169 companies listed on the Indonesian Stock Exchange (IDX). The research focused on examining whether women, foreign nationals and younger people in the boardroom influenced the firms’ performance, but was unable to prove any significant impact. Furthermore, Lam et al. (2013) in their research into the China Stock Market Financial Statements and the Shenzhen Stock Exchange, found female directors are negatively significant to firm performance.

Liu et al. (2014) examined the statement that firm performance is positively related to gender diversity and found that a critical mass of at least three women is needed to obtain a positive effect. Peni (2014) in research into 305 companies using 1,525 data observation from Standard and Poor 500 (S&P 500) found empirical evidence that among female CEO leads to better firm performance.

Garcia-Meca et al. (2015) suggest the presence of women on the boards of banks improves their governance, which causes the bank to be more profitable. The findings suggest women directors are not a substitute for the traditional corporate directors with identical abilities, but rather that these qualified women directors have unique characteristics that create additional value for the banks.

Ellwood and Garcia-Meca (2015) examined the empirical evidence by using annual reports and financial statements posted by National Health Service Foundation Trusts (NHSFTs) in England during 2008/2009, 2009/2010, 2010/2011 and found that female directors made no significant contribution to the trusts’ performance. However, Dezso and Ross (2012), Peni (2014), Labelle et al. (2015), Gulamhussen and Santa (2015) have proven that a female director is positively significant to a firm’s performance. Table 1 shows the summary of 15 previous studies.

Numerous studies into female directorships and firm performance have been conducted. However, they reported the different results. Thus, the hypothesis will be as follows.

\[ H_1: \text{Female director will have positive impact on firm performance.} \]
Table 1. Previous Research into Female Directors effect on Firm Performance

| Name (year) | Female director measurement | Firm performance measurement | Data | Result |
|-------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------|--------|
| Gulamhussen & Santa (2015) Global Finance Journal | Proportion of women in top management | ROA, ROE, net interest income to total income of assets and Tobin's Q | 461 banks in OECD countries and 134 public listed banks. | Significant and positive |
| Ellwood & Garcia-Lacalle (2015) Journal of Business Ethics | Proportion of women in top management | ROA | Annual reports and financial statements of National Health Service Foundation Trusts (FTs) England year 2008/2009, 2009/2010, 2010/2011 | Not significant |
| Labelle et al. (2015) Gender, Work and Organization | Proportion of women in top management | ROA | Relevant documentation on 17 countries by using 1.691 data observation with enabling methods and voluntary information (2009 and 2011) | Significant and positive |
| Emma Garcia-Garcia-Meca, Isabel-Maria Garcia-Sanchez, Jennifer Martinez-Ferrero (2015) Journal of Banking and Finance | Proportion of women in top management | Tobin's Q | 159 banks in 9 countries (2004-2010) | Significant and positive |
| Emilia Peni (2014) Journal of Management Governance | Dummy women, 1 if CEO is women, 0 if otherwise | Tobin's Q and ROA | 305 firms and 1,525 data observations in S&P 500 | Significant and positive |
| Liu et al. (2014) Journal of Corporate Governance | 1. Proportion of women in top management. 2. Dummy women, 1 if director is women, 0 if otherwise | ROA and ROS | 16,964 data observed in Chinese Securities Market and Accounting Research (CSMAR) (1999-2001) | Significant and positive |
| Gulamhussen & Santa (2015) Global Finance Journal | Proportion of women in top management | ROA, ROE, net interest income to total income of assets and Tobin's Q | 461 banks in OECD countries and 134 public listed banks. | Significant and positive |
| Ellwood & Garcia-Lacalle (2015) Journal of Business Ethics | Proportion of women in top management | ROA | Annual reports and financial statements of National Health Service Foundation Trusts (FTs) England year 2008/2009, 2009/2010, 2010/2011 | Not significant |
| Labelle et al. (2015) Gender, Work and Organization | Proportion of women in top management | ROA | Relevant documentation on 17 countries by using 1.691 data observation with enabling methods and voluntary information (2009 and 2011) | Significant and positive |
| Emma Garcia-Garcia-Meca, Isabel-Maria Garcia-Sanchez, Jennifer Martinez-Ferrero (2015) Journal of Banking and Finance | Proportion of women in top management | Tobin's Q | 159 banks in 9 countries (2004-2010) | Significant and positive |

Source: Data processed (2017)
2 Research Model

There are 37 previous published studies about females in the boardroom and their effect on firm performance spread over both two-tier board and one-tier board systems. Based on previous hypotheses formulations, the research model is described in Figure 1. The model is modified from 15 articles about the effect of female directors on firm performance in two-tier board countries, as previously researched. Nine of these 15 articles were studies conducted from 2012 to 2015. The independent variable is created using the gender construct, while the control variables are created using the firm characteristics’ construct.

| Independent Variable | Dependent Variable |
|----------------------|--------------------|
| Female director      | Firm performance   |

Control Variables

- Leverage
- Firm size
- Firm age
- Industry

Source: Data processed (2017)

Figure 1. Research Model

METHODOLOGY

1. Population and Sample

This research uses the quantitative research method. The study examines all the public listed companies on the Indonesian Stock Exchange (IDX) as population. The sample used is from 2011 to 2015, therefore the total sample is 1,735 data observation. Secondary data are sourced from the Indonesian Stock Exchange’s (IDX) website and the companies’ official website. This study is using purposive sampling with the following criteria:

1. Audited annual reports posted in www.idx.co.id from 2011 to 2015.
2. Audited annual reports with complete data of firm performance, female directors, leverage, firm age, firm size and in the selected nine sectors of industry.

2. Regression Model and Variables

The dependent variable used in this study is firm performance measured by Tobin’s Q. The researcher used Tobin’s Q as the firms’ performance measurement because the aim was to measure market performance as a reflection of firms’ long-term performance (Combs et al., 2005; Gentry & Shen, 2010 in Post & Byron, 2015). Tobin’s Q can be calculated as follows:

\[ \text{TOBIN}'s \text{ Q} = \frac{\text{the sum of the market price of equity} + \text{the total book value of the liabilities}}{\text{the total book value of the assets}} \]

Female directors is the independent variable used in this research. This variable is measured by the proportion of female directors on each board. Female directors can be calculated as follows:

\[ \text{PWOMEN} = \frac{\text{total number of female directors}}{\text{total number of directors}} \]

This study would like to employ firm characteristics’ construct as the control variables. The control variables are leverage, firm age, firm size and industry. Leverage is measured by the total book value of the liabilities divided by the total book value of the assets. Firm age is measured by the number of years since the company’s IPO, until the sample year. Total assets are measured by the natural logarithm of the total book value of the assets (Campbell & Vera, 2008). The selected industries consist of the agricultural sector, the mining sector, the basic industry and chemical sector, the miscellaneous sector, the consumer goods sector, the property, real estate and
building construction sector, the infrastructure, utilities and transportation sector, the finance sector and trade, services and investment sector.

3. The Main Model and Estimation Methods

For the model’s selection, the researcher used the following models.

Equation 1.1
\[ Q = \beta_0 + \beta_{\text{WOMEN}} + \beta_{\text{LEVERAGE}} + \beta_{\text{SIZE}} + \beta_{\text{AGE}} + \beta_{\text{INDUSTRY}} + \epsilon \]  

Equation 1.2
\[ Q = \beta_0 + \beta_{\text{WOMEN}} + \beta_{\text{LEVERAGE}} + \beta_{\text{SIZE}} + \beta_{\text{AGE}} + \epsilon \]  

Equation 1.3
\[ Q = \beta_{\text{WOMEN}} + \beta_{\text{LEVERAGE}} + \beta_{\text{SIZE}} + \beta_{\text{AGE}} + \epsilon \]  

The following is the main regression model:
\[ Q = \beta_0 + \beta_{\text{WOMEN}} + \beta_{\text{LEVERAGE}} + \beta_{\text{SIZE}} + \beta_{\text{AGE}} + \beta_{\text{INDUSTRY}} + \epsilon \]  

This model was selected as the best model according to the model’s selection criteria, consisting of R-Square (R²), Mean Square Error (MSE), Adjusted R-Square, Predicted Residual Sum Square (PRESS), Cp Mallows, Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) and Schwarz Bayesian Criterion (SBC) as shown in Table 2.

Furthermore, this best model was used in a regression employing a multiple linear regression with classical assumptions consisting of test for normality, a test for multicollinearity, a test for autocorrelation and a test for heteroscedasticity. Then, an F-test and independent t-test were conducted to examine the significance level of each variable simultaneously and individually.

RESULTS

Descriptive Statistic

From the data observation, there are companies where all members of the board of directors are female. One is PT Ristia Bintang Mahkota Sejati Tbk (in 2014), which operates in the property, real estate and building construction sector. Another is PT Pool Advista Indonesia Tbk which operated in the trade, services and investment sector (from 2011 to 2015). PT Inti Agri Resources Tbk involved in the agricultural sector (from 2012 to 2015) is another (Table 3).

| Equation | R²    | Adjusted R² | SE    | PRESS  | Cp Mallow | AIC     | SBC    |
|----------|-------|-------------|-------|--------|-----------|---------|--------|
| 1.1      | 0.198 | 0.192       | 102.069 | 1,823.62 | 13        | 84.024  | 154.988 |
| 1.2      | 0.095 | 0.093       | 108.135 | 2,036.48 | 5         | 276.372 | 303.666 |
| 1.3      | 0.245 | 0.243       | 108.161 | 2,035.45 | 4         | 276.211 | 298.046 |

Source: Data processed (2017)

Table 3. Descriptive Statistic

|                  | N    | Minimum | Maximum | Mean  | Std. Deviation |
|------------------|------|---------|---------|-------|----------------|
| Female directors | 1,735| 0.000   | 1.000   | 0.139 | 0.183          |
| Leverage         | 1,735| 0.000   | 11.84   | 0.578 | 0.638          |
| Firm size        | 1,735| 5.081   | 910,063,409 | 17,954,444 | 68,823,520 |
| Firm age         | 1,735| 0.020   | 36.64   | 14.623 | 7.924          |
| Firm performance | 1,735| 0.010   | 37.19   | 1.186  | 2.166          |

Valid N (listwise) | 1,735 |

Source: Data processed (2017)
PT Bank Mandiri Tbk is the biggest Indonesia bank in terms of assets. It has total assets of Rp. 910.063 trillion. PT Rimo Internasional Lestari Tbk which operates in the trade, services and investment sector had the highest leverage ratio in 2013. The newest company is PT Greenwood Sejahtera Tbk which is active in the property, real estate and construction sector, while the oldest company is PT Century Textile Industry Tbk which is in the miscellaneous sector. PT Inti Kapuas Arowana Tbk has a Tobin’s Q of approximately 37.19. The average for the firm performance in Indonesia between 2011 to 2015 is 1.186. All the sectors in Indonesia have female directors, but in some their numbers are limited compared to the number of male directors. The detailed percentage of female directors in Indonesia across various industries is shown in Table 4.

**Table 4. Indonesia Board of Director Gender Classification (in %)**

| Sector Classification in Indonesia | Female Directors (%) | Male Directors (%) |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| Agriculture                       | 4.3                  | 95.7              |
| Trade, services and investment    | 22.8                 | 77.2              |
| Finance                           | 11.8                 | 88.2              |
| Property, real estate and building construction | 13.0                 | 87.0              |
| Miscellaneous                     | 9.5                  | 90.5              |
| Consumer goods                    | 7.8                  | 92.2              |
| Mining                            | 8.4                  | 91.6              |
| Infrastructure, utility and transport | 8.6                  | 91.4              |
| Basic industry and chemical       | 13.8                 | 86.2              |

Source: Data processed (2017)

The trade, services and investment sector has the highest representation of female directors, followed by the basic industry and chemical sector and the property, real estate and building construction sector. The sector with the least representation is the agricultural sectors.

**DISCUSSION**

The independent t-test shown in Table 7, indicates that female directors are positively significant for firm performance with a significance level of 0.003, thus Hypothesis 1 is supported. The control variables consisting of leverage, firm size and firm age are negatively significant for firm performance with significance levels of approximately 0.000, 0.028 and 0.001, respectively. Some sectors have significance on firm performance, while some do not.

The result is consistent with the previous empirical findings from Frink *et al.* (2003), Erhardt *et al.* (2003), Krishnan and Daewoo (2005), Smith *et al.* (2006), Francoeur *et al.* (2008). Furthermore, the empirical findings are also consistent with the research of Dezso and Ross (2012) into the S&P 1500, Peni (2014) into the S&P 500, Labelle *et al.* (2015) who carried out research in 17 countries, Liu *et al.* (2014) who examined China, Gulamhussen and Santa (2015) who looked at banks of the OECD countries as well as Garcia-Meca *et al.* (2015).

Having a female director will improve the firm’s performance. Garcia-Meca *et al.* (2015) mentioned that the presence of female directors would cause a bank to be more profitable. Qualified female directors have unique characteristics that create additional value for banks. More female directors may reduce the asymmetry information and associated agency costs. Women often bring a fresh perspective on complex issues and this can help correct informational biases in strategy formulation and problem solving (Francoeur *et al.*, 2008).

Female directors can lead to better firm performance because women tend to be more risk averse and cautious in their decision making. Females tend to think about the wider impacts in democratic and participatory way (Dezso & Ross, 2012). In the realm of social responsibility, female directors care more about the corporate social responsibility and moral issues of their businesses. Moreover, female directors possess better communication skills and listening skills in day-to-day operations (Peni, 2014).

However, this study does not support the empirical findings from Darmadi (2010) in Indonesia and Lam *et al.* (2013) which mentioned female directors reduce firm performance. On the other hand, the empirical findings do not support the results from Joecks *et al.* (2013), Ellwood and Garcia-Lacalle (2015) which mentioned that female directors have no significant effect on firm performance.
### Tabel 7. Independent t-test Result

| Variables                                      | Unstandardised Coefficients | t     | Sig.  |
|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------|-------|
| Constant                                      | 0.108                      | 0.492 | 0.623 |
| Female director*                              | 0.413                      | 2.987 | 0.003 |
| Leverage*                                     | -0.271                     | -8.743| 0.000 |
| Firm size**                                   | -0.031                     | -2.201| 0.028 |
| Firm age*                                     | -0.105                     | -3.456| 0.001 |
| Agriculture                                   | 0.065                      | 0.496 | 0.620 |
| Finance*                                      | -0.651                     | -6.749| 0.000 |
| Property, real estate and building construction| -0.077                     | -0.885| 0.376 |
| Miscellaneous*                                | -0.702                     | -7.255| 0.000 |
| Consumer goods*                               | 0.686                      | 6.681 | 0.000 |
| Mining                                        | -0.095                     | -0.941| 0.347 |
| Infrastructure, utility and transportation     | -0.068                     | -0.670| 0.503 |
| Basic industry and chemical*                  | -0.505                     | -5.949| 0.000 |
| Number of observation                         | 1,735                      |       |       |
| F-statistics                                  | 35.336                     |       |       |
| Prob > F                                      | 0.000                      |       |       |
| R²                                            | 0.445                      |       |       |
| Adjusted R²                                   | 0.192                      |       |       |

* Significant level at α 1%

** Significant level at α 5%

From the sectoral view, the trade, services and investment sector become the reference category. Hence, women’s representation in the agricultural sector is not significant for firm performance. The same case is found for the property, real estate and construction sector, the mining sector and the infrastructure, utility and transportation sector. Meanwhile, the finance sector, the miscellaneous sector and the basic and chemical sector are not better than the trade, services and investment sector with significant negative sign. The consumer good sector is better than the trade, services and investment sector.

### CONCLUSION

This research used the multiple regression method to analyse the data. The result proves that a female director has a significantly positive effect on firm performance. The findings are useful to a contribution for various parties, such as academics, practitioners and regulators for rules and policy forming purposes.

For the academic, this research aims to add to the corporate governance literature in behavioural finance, especially in the area of gender and its impact on firm performance. For practitioners, this research provides a contribution to gender development in the boardroom, female executive training programs, as well as the female composition of boards of directors. For regulators, this research may contribute to gender representation in policies for boards and their rules and regulations.

Furthermore, this research also supports the International Finance Corporation (IFC) of The World Bank in its efforts to increase the number of female directors in Indonesian boardrooms. This research can build awareness of the contribution of women to firms and to encourage more women to participate. This research leads to future careers for women on boards of directors and women’s career development programs generally.

### LIMITATION

In practice, Indonesian public listed companies’ tend to have more male directors than female directors. The real situation shows there are limitations to accommodating female directors due to the cultural perspective and people’s perceptions of women. Moreover, this research
has not as yet examined the exact proportion of women in the boardrooms.

SUGGESTION FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

Some suggestions for further research are as follows:

1. To consider more deeply the gender aspect or the related attributes about gender in its impact on firm performance.
2. To use more control variables in creating the firm characteristics’ construct.
3. The research could be more specific about the impact of female directors’ behaviour on firm performance.
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APPENDIX

1. Classical Assumption Result

This study has passed the classical assumption test, consisting of the tests for normality, multicollinearity, autocorrelation and heteroscedasticity as follows.

1.1. Test for normality

![Normal P-P Plot of Regression Standardized Residual](image)

**Figure 2. Test for Normality Result**

Normal P-P plot indicates the data is normal. Beside using the normal P-P Plot for testing the normality, Kolmogorov-Smirnov indicates the significance level is 0.299 which means the observed data are normal (0.299 > 0.05). Thus, the normality assumption is complied with.

1.2. Test for multicollinearity

| Variables                  | Collinearity Statistics | Conclusion               |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
|                            | **Tolerance** | **VIF** |                  |
| Female director             | 0.936               | 1.068 | There is no multicollinearity |
| Leverage                   | 0.905               | 1.106 | There is no multicollinearity |
| Firm size                  | 0.803               | 1.246 | There is no multicollinearity |
| Firm age                   | 0.895               | 1.118 | There is no multicollinearity |
| Agriculture sector         | 0.852               | 1.173 | There is no multicollinearity |
| Finance sector             | 0.619               | 1.615 | There is no multicollinearity |
| Property, real estate and   | 0.708               | 1.412 | There is no multicollinearity |
| construction sector        |                      |                  |                  |
| Miscellaneous sector       | 0.745               | 1.342 | There is no multicollinearity |
| Consumer goods sector      | 0.794               | 1.260 | There is no multicollinearity |
| Mining sector              | 0.762               | 1.312 | There is no multicollinearity |
| Infrastructure, utility     | 0.738               | 1.356 | There is no multicollinearity |
| and transportation sector  |                      |                  |                  |
| Basic industry and chemical sector | 0.701           | 1.427 | There is no multicollinearity |

Table 5 indicates none of the tolerance scores are less than 0.1 and the Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) is more than 10, thus the multicollinearity assumption is complied with.
1.3. Test of autocorrelation

**Table 6. Test for Autocorrelation Result**

| Dependent variable       | Durbin-Watson |
|--------------------------|---------------|
| Firm performance         | 0.627         |

Durbin Watson scored 0.627 which is between -2 and 2. The score of \( dl \) is 1.915 and \( du \) is 1.927. Durbin Watson indicates a positive autocorrelation due to the nature of the economic data.

1.4. Test for heteroscedasticity

The data are dispersed and do not accumulate in any certain pattern. The pattern indicates there is no homoscedasticity. Thus, the heteroscedasticity assumption is complied with.

**Figure 3. Test for Heteroskedasticity Result**
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