Dynamic transition in vortex flow in strongly disordered Josephson junction arrays and superconducting thin films
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We study the dynamics of vortices in strongly disordered \( d = 2 \) Josephson junction arrays and superconducting films driven by a current. We find a dynamic phase transition in vortex flow at a current \( I_\text{p} > I_c \). Below \( I_\text{p} \) there is plastic flow characterized by an average-velocity correlation length scale \( \xi_\mu \) in the direction of motion, which diverges when approaching \( I_\text{p} \). Above \( I_\text{p} \) we find a moving vortex phase with homogeneous flow and short range smectic order. A finite size analysis shows that this phase becomes asymptotically a liquid for large length scales.

PACS numbers: 74.60.Ge, 74.50.-r, 74.60.Ec

The study of non-equilibrium steady states of driven many-degrees-of-freedom systems with quenched disorder are of importance in many condensed matter systems \([1,2]\). Examples of this problem are the dynamics of vortices in type II superconductors \([13]\) and charge density waves \([4]\). For low driving forces the dynamics is dominated by disorder leading to a plastic flow regime \([14,15]\). On the other hand, for very large driving forces the randomness should be less important and all the internal degrees of freedom will move more or less coherently as a whole \([1,2]\). Recently, Koshelev and Vinokur \([2]\) have proposed that there is an ordered moving vortex phase. However, Giamarchi and Le Doussal \([3]\) have shown that some modes of static disorder are still present in the moving system, leading to a moving Bragg glass (MBG) phase \([3]\). In turn, Balents, Marchetti and Rudzihovsky \([3]\) have argued that the driven state is a moving smectic (MS), consisting on liquid channels with transverse periodic order. Experimentally, studies of current-voltage characteristics \([4,11]\) and neutron-scattering experiments \([12]\) have found that there is an ordered moving vortex structure when increasing the current bias. Recently, Pardo et al. \([13]\) have found in decoration experiments that for low magnetic fields there is a MS vortex structure while for high fields there is a MBG. Numerical simulation studies have also found an ordering of the vortex system for high currents \([4,14,17]\). Moon et al \([14]\) have found a MS phase in \( d = 2 \) molecular dynamics simulations with a short-range interaction potential, while in \([17]\) a MBG phase was found in a driven XY model simulation for \( d = 3 \) and large magnetic fields. Therefore, questions such as the existence and nature of a moving phase and which effects of the disorder remain once the vortices are in motion are currently under discussion.

Most of the experimental systems mentioned above have the difficulty that there is no control of the nature and amount of disorder. Therefore the study of disordered Josephson junction arrays (JJA) becomes particularly promising here, since they can be specifically fabricated with controlled randomness \([15]\). For example, questions such as the dynamics of JJA with percolation disorder \([18,19]\) and the plastic flow of vortices have been studied recently \([20]\). Also, there is an intrinsic interest in the non-linear dynamics of JJA and their non-equilibrium properties, see for example \([21]\). In this paper we will show that there is a dynamic transition in driven JJA with strong positional disorder.

The current flowing in the junction between two superconducting islands in a JJA is modeled as the sum of the Josephson supercurrent and the normal current \([19,21]\):

\[
I_\mu(n) = I_\mu^0(n) \sin \theta_\mu(n) + \frac{\Phi_0}{2\pi \epsilon R_N} \frac{\partial \theta_\mu(n)}{\partial t}
\]

where \( I_\mu^0(n) \) is the critical current of the junction between the sites \( n \) and \( n + \mu \) in a square lattice \([n_x, n_y]\), \( \mu = [\hat{x}, \hat{y}] \), \( R_N \) is the normal state resistance and \( \theta_\mu(n) = \theta(n + \mu) - \theta(n) \). \( A_\mu(n) = \Delta_\mu \theta(n) - A_\mu(n) \) is the gauge invariant phase difference with \( A_\mu(n) = \frac{2\pi}{\Phi_0} \int_{n_a}^{(n+\mu)n} A \cdot dl \).

In the presence of an external magnetic field \( H \) we have \( \Delta_\mu \times A_\mu(n) = A_x(n) - A_x(n+y) + A_y(n+x) - A_y(n) = 2\pi f \), \( f = Ha^2/\Phi_0 \) and \( \alpha \) is the array lattice spacing. Here we consider a distribution of critical currents \( I_\mu^0(n) = I_\mu^0(n) = I_{00}[1 + \delta(RAN - 1/2)] \) with RAN a random uniform number in \([0,1]\). We take periodic boundary conditions (p.b.c) in both directions in the presence of an external current \( I_{ext} \) in the \( y \)-direction in arrays with \( L \times L \) junctions. The vector potential is taken as \( A_\mu(n, t) = A_\mu^0(n) - \alpha_\mu(t) \) where in the Landau gauge \( A_\mu^0(n) = -2\pi f n_y \), \( A_\mu^0(n) = 0 \) and \( \alpha_\mu(t) \) will allow for total voltage fluctuations. With this gauge the p.b.c. for the phases are:

\[
\theta(n_x + L, n_y) = \theta(n_x, n_y) \quad \text{and} \quad \theta(n_x + L, n_y) = \theta(n_x, n_y) - 2\pi f Ln_x
\]

The condition of a current flowing in the \( y \)-direction: \( \sum n I_\mu(n) = I_{ext}L^2 \delta_{\mu,y} \) determines the dynamics of \( \alpha_\mu(t) \) \([22]\). After considering conservation of current, \( \Delta_\mu \cdot A_\mu(n) = \sum_n I_\mu(n) - I_\mu(n - \mu) = 0 \), we obtain the equations:

\[
\Delta_\mu \frac{\partial \theta_\mu(n)}{\partial t} = -\Delta_\mu \cdot S_\mu(n)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \alpha_\mu}{\partial t} = I_{ext} \delta_{\mu,y} - \frac{1}{L^2} \sum n S_\mu(n)
\]
where \( S_p(n) = \delta_p(n) \sin(\Delta_p \theta(n) - A_{0p}(n) - \alpha_p) \), we have normalized currents by \( I_0 \) and time by \( \tau_J = 2\pi r N I_0 / \Phi_0 \), and we have defined the discrete Laplacian
\[
\Delta^2_p(\theta(n)) = \theta(n+\hat{x}) + \theta(n-\hat{x}) + \theta(n+\hat{y}) + \theta(n-\hat{y}) - 4\theta(n).
\]
These same equations represent the dynamics of a superconducting thin film (STF) after discretization of a time-dependent London model. One starts with the current density as the sum of supercurrent and normal current:
\[
J = J_S + J_N
\]
\[
J = \frac{i e \hbar}{m^*} [\Psi^* D \Psi - (D \Psi)^* \Psi] + \frac{\sigma \Phi_0}{2\pi c \epsilon} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\nabla \theta - \frac{2\pi}{\Phi_0} \mathbf{A}) \tag{4}
\]
with \( D = \nabla + i \frac{e}{\hbar} \mathbf{A} \) and \( \Psi(r) = |\Psi(r)| \exp[i\theta(r)] \). One takes the discretization \( r = (n_x \xi, n_y \xi) = n \mathbf{r} \) with the rule \( D_\mu \Psi(n) = \frac{i}{\hbar} \{ \Psi(n+\mu) - \exp[-i \frac{\pi}{\xi} \mathbf{A}_\mu(n)] \Psi(n) \} \). After considering conservation of current, and assuming \( |\Psi(n)| \) is quenched and depends only on disorder, one obtains the same equations as in (2-3). Now \( I_p(n) \) has to be interpreted as current density normalized by \( J_0 = 2e \hbar |\Psi_0|^2 / m_\xi \Phi_0 / (8\pi^2 \lambda^2 \xi) \), time normalized by \( \tau = \epsilon / (4\pi \nu \lambda^2) \), \( \delta_p(n) = |\Psi(n+\mu)|/|\Psi(n)|/|\Psi_0|^2 \), and the field density is \( f = H^2 \xi^2 / \Phi_0 = H^2 / 2\pi H_\xi ^2 \). The \( T = 0 \) dynamical equations (2-3) are solved with a second order Runge-Kutta algorithm with time step \( \Delta t = 0.05 \tau_J \) and integration time \( 1000 \tau_J \) after a transient of \( 500 \tau_J \). The discrete Laplacian is inverted with a fast Fourier + tridiagonalization algorithm as in \[20\].

We consider here very strong disorder with \( \delta = 0.5 \). For STF, this corresponds to a extremely dense distribution of pinning sites with a pinning potential with a 50% fluctuation in amplitude in the length scale of \( \xi \), while for JJA it corresponds to a 50% fluctuation in the critical currents. The ground state \( I_{\text{ext}} = 0 \) vortex configuration is a vortex glass with no structure in the structure factor (no Bragg peaks). We study a magnetic field of \( f = 1/25 \) and system sizes of \( L = 50, 100, 150, 200 \). We calculate the time average of the total voltage \( \langle V(t) \rangle = \langle d \Phi_0(t) / dt \rangle \) (normalized by \( r N I_0 \)) as a function of \( I \) as shown in Fig.1(a) for one sample of size \( L = 200 \). The error bars of \( V \) [obtained from the statistics of the time averaging of \( V(t) \)] are smaller than the symbol size in Fig. 1(a) even in \( V \sim 10^{-5} - 10^{-4} \). This suggests that the sample size is large enough to be self-averaging. The initial condition is a thermally quenched vortex configuration at \( I = 0 \). From this state the current \( I \) is slowly increased in steps of \( \Delta I = 0.01 \) taking as initial condition the last phase configuration of the previous current.

We obtain similar results by slowly decreasing the current from a random vortex configuration at \( I = 0.8 \). Above a critical current of \( I_c = 1.05 \) (in units of \( I_0 \)) there is a non-linear onset of voltage with plastic flow of vortices. We study the time-averaged voltage in the bonds parallel to the direction of the current drive: \( \langle v_y(n) \rangle = \langle d \theta_n(n, t) / dt \rangle \), which is proportional to the average vortex speed in the direction of the Lorentz force. We see in Fig.2(a) that near \( I_c \) vortex flow is very inhomogeneous, as typical for the plastic flow regime, showing channels of flow for low currents. For increasing drives the flow becomes more homogeneous as shown in Fig.2(b). We characterize the inhomogeneity of the flow with the correlation function for voltages along the direction of motion: \( C_v(x) = \langle \frac{1}{N} \sum_n v_y(n) v_y(n+x) - \langle v_y \rangle^2 \rangle \). We see in Fig.2(c) that the voltage correlation increases for increasing values of \( I \). There is a characteristic correlation length \( \xi \) defined by \( C_v(x) \approx C_v(0) \exp(-x/\xi) \). This voltage correlation length was proposed by Bhattacharya and Higgins \[10\] as a characteristic length scale for the dynamics of plastic flow. We see in Fig.1(b) that \( \xi \) increases with current \( I \) and it diverges (\( \xi \gg L \)) at the current \( I_p(L) \). We have also analyzed the voltage correlation function along \( y \), \( C_v(y) \). In this case, there is always a fast decay of \( C_v(y) \) for any bias current, therefore above \( I_p \) the voltage distribution \( V_y(n) \) becomes homogeneous along the \( x \) direction but it always has fluctuations along the \( y \) direction. This reflects the fact that for large drives the effect of the disorder potential becomes negligible only along the direction of the Lorentz force, but along the transverse direction is still important \[23\].

The size dependence of \( I_p(L) \) is shown in the inset of Fig.1(b). We see that in the limit of large \( L \), \( I_p \) tends to a finite value of \( \approx 0.31 \) in this case. This shows that in the thermodynamic limit there is a dynamic phase transition.

Above \( I_p \), we study the ordering of the moving vortex structure. In order to follow the vortex positions directly, we obtain the vorticity at the plaquette \( \mathbf{n} \) (associated to the site \( n \)) as \( b(n) = -\Delta_p \times \min[|\theta_p(n)|/2\pi] \) with \( \min[x] \) the nearest integer of \( x \). We calculate the time-averaged vortex structure factor as \( S(k) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_n b(n) \exp(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{n}) \). For currents \( I < I_p \), \( S(k) \) only has the density peak \( S(k=0) = f^2 \) and an isotropic ring-like structure as expected for plastic flow \[14\]. On the other hand, for \( I > I_p \) there are well-defined peaks in \( S(k) \) as shown in the surface plot of Fig.3(a) for \( L = 150 \). We see that there are two strong peaks in the \( k_y \) direction, at vectors \( \mathbf{K} = \pm Q_s \hat{y} \), consistent with smectic ordering in the direction transverse to motion \[23\]. \( Q_s = 2\pi / a_s \) with \( a_s \approx 4.5 \approx (\sqrt{3}/2)^{1/2} \) the row spacing in a triangular lattice). There are also small satellite peaks in the other \( \mathbf{K} \) directions. The position of the peaks is better seen in the two dimensional gray scale plot of Fig. 3(b). We see that the strongest spots besides \( \mathbf{K} = \pm Q_s \hat{y} \) are at the reciprocal space \( \mathbf{K} \)-vectors corresponding to a triangular lattice, suggesting the presence of some orientational order \[23\]. In Fig.3(c) we show a finite size analysis of \( S(Q_s) \sim L^{-\nu_s} \). For a moving smectic with quasi-long range order one expects \( \nu_s < 2 \), while \( \nu_s = 2 \) is the value for a liquid. We obtain \( \nu_s = 1.96 \pm 0.06 \approx 2 \). Therefore in the thermodynamic limit vortex flow is always liquid-like in nature for sufficiently large length scales, and smectic ordering is only a short-range phenomenon in \( d = 2 \), at least for strong disorder. This is consistent.
with RG calculations which find that a moving smectic phase might be unstable in \(d = 2\). In Fig.1(c) we show the parameter of short-range smectic order \(S(Q_s)\) as a function of \(I\) for a lattice size \(L = 200\). We find that for large drives \(S(Q_s)\) is nearly current independent, it decreases when decreasing \(I\) and vanishes when approaching \(I_p\) from above. This corroborates the result that there is a dynamic transition at \(I_p\) where there is an onset of anisotropic short range order.

The “flux flow noise” has been studied in many current-voltage measurements \([24,25]\). Here we analyze the voltage noise response with the power spectrum \(P(\nu) = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T dt v(t) \exp(i2\pi\nu t)^2\). We find that in the plastic flow regime, very close to \(I_c\), the power spectrum shows \(1/\nu\) noise, see Fig.4(a). On the other hand, for large drives \(I > I_p\), the power spectrum tends to a frequency independent value for \(\nu \to 0\) as shown in Fig.4(b). These two types of power spectra: for \(I < I_p\) and \(I > I_p\) have also been found in the experiments \([24,25]\).

In conclusion, we find a dynamic phase transition at a current \(I_p\) above the critical current \(I_c\). This transition is between two different types of liquids which differ in their dynamics and the spatio-temporal nature of the flow. Below \(I_p\) there is a plastic flow regime (“turbulent”) with an isotropic liquid structure. The inhomogeneity of the flow is characterized by a finite average-voltage correlation length \([14]\). Above \(I_p\) there is a regime with homogeneous flow in the direction of motion (“laminar”) and with a structure with anisotropic short range order. Finite size analysis shows that moving smectic order is only a short-range phenomenon in \(d = 2\). These moving vortex phases could be observable experimentally in Josephson junction arrays using vortex-imaging techniques.
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FIG. 1. (a) Voltage vs. current for a 200 x 200 Josephson junction array (or a 200 x 200 superconducting thin film, STF) with field \(f = 1/25\) (\(B/H_{c2} = 2\pi/25\) for a STF) and disorder \(\delta = 0.5\). (b) \(\xi_v\): average voltage correlation length along the direction of the Lorentz force. Inset: finite size dependence of \(I_p\). (c) \(S(Q_s)\): Intensity of the Bragg peak for smectic order.
FIG. 2. Time-averaged voltage distribution \( v_y(n) \) for low currents in the plastic flow regime. The gray scale is proportional to the voltage intensity. (a) \( I = 0.12I_0 \approx 1.2I_c \). (b) \( I = 0.16I_0 \approx 1.6I_c \). (c) \( C_v(x) \): correlation function of the average voltage along the direction of vortex motion.

FIG. 3. (a) Surface intensity plot of the structure factor \( S(k) \) of the moving vortex system for a current \( I = 0.40I_0 \approx 4I_c \) and \( L = 150 \). We set the central peak \( S(0) = 0 \) for clarity. (b) Gray scale intensity of \( S(k) \). (c) Finite size analysis of the smectic order \( S(Q_s) \).

FIG. 4. Voltage power spectrum \( P(\nu) \), with frequencies normalized by \( \nu_J = \frac{\Phi_0}{2\pi c R_N I_0} \) (for a STF, \( \nu_J = 4\pi\sigma\lambda^2/c \)). (a) \( I = 0.14I_0 \approx 1.4I_c \). (b) \( I = 0.40I_0 \approx 4.0I_c \).
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