Association of systemic inflammation with survival in patients with cancer cachexia: results from a multicentre cohort study
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Abstract

Background Although systemic inflammation is an important feature of the cancer cachexia, studies on the association between systemic inflammation and prognostic of cancer cachexia are limited. The objective of this study is to evaluate whether the neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) is associated with outcome and quality of life for patients with cancer cachexia and investigated any interaction between NLR and the clinical parameters.

Methods This is a multicentre cohort study of 2612 cancer patients suffering from cachexia diagnosed between June 2012 and December 2019. The main parameters measured were overall survival (OS) time and all-cause mortality. The association between NLR and all-cause mortality was evaluated using hazard ratios (HRs) and the restricted cubic spline model with a two-sided \( P \)-value. Optimal stratification was used to solve threshold points. We also evaluated the cross-classification of NLR for each variable of survival.

Results Of the 2612 participants diagnosed with cancer cachexia, 1533 (58.7%) were male, and the mean (SD) age was 58.7 (11.7) years. Over a median follow-up of 4.5 years, we observed 1189 deaths. The overall mortality rate for patients with cancer cachexia during the first 12 months was 30.2% (95%CI: 28.4%–32.0%), resulting in a rate of 226.07 events per 1000 patient-years. An increase in NLR had an inverted L-shaped dose–response association with all-cause mortality. The optimal cut-off point for NLR as a predictor of mortality in cancer patients with cachexia was 3.5. An NLR of 3.5 or greater could independently predict OS (HR, 1.51, 95%CI: 1.33–1.71). These associations were consistent across subtypes of cancer. Several potential effect modifiers were identified including gender, BMI, tumour type, KPS score and albumin in content. Increasing NLRs were independently associated with a worsening in the majority of EORTC QLQ-C30 domains. Elevated baseline NLR was associated with low response and poor survival in patients treated with immunotherapy.

Conclusions The baseline NLR status was found to be a significant negative prognostic biomarker for patients with cachexia; this effect was independent of other known prognostic factors.
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**Introduction**

Identifying cancer patients with cachexia, who are at high risk of adverse clinical outcomes and premature mortality, is a clinical priority. This has led to an exploration of various biomarkers that could be associated with a clinical outcome, thereby helping tailor therapies for cancer patients. Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) is a measure of systemic inflammation and has been received increased attention across cancer types and cachexia. Cachexia has been known to be a serious side symptom of cancer. In recent years, there has been extensive research into the aetiology and treatment of cancer cachexia. The presence of cachexia could prevent patients from receiving the main cancer treatment, be unresponsive to the treatment or exhibit deteriorated clinical performance and decreased overall survival (OS). NLR and other systemic inflammation-related blood biomarkers (such as platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio, C-reactive protein and lymphocyte-to-monocyte ratio) are commonly measured and used to predict clinical outcome for patients with cancer. Although NLR is considered a measure of the immune system, it has been traditionally thought to be associated with disease control and treatment response to checkpoint inhibitors, and it is an important biomarker across therapeutic classes and molecular subgroups of cancer.

Recent studies about bile acid metabolism and IL-20 in cachexia highlight systemic inflammation as an important driver of disease progression in cancer patients. The systemic inflammatory response to the tumour has profound effects on the host’s metabolism and nutritional status. Cancer promotes the release of inflammatory cytokines and the formation of an inflammatory micro-environment that subsequently enhances tumour aggressiveness or reduces treatment response. Although the exact mechanisms by which this process leads to poorer cancer outcomes remain uncertain, NLR and other biomarkers could function as surrogate markers for potentially pro-inflammatory tumour micro-environments.

Systemic inflammation can be easily evaluated using existing clinical data; however, these biomarkers are not only important prognostic indicators but could also be improved by clinical intervention. NLR functions as an inexpensive marker of systemic inflammation; a high NLR has been proven as a prognostic factor for various advanced solid tumours. The independent associations of the NLR with survival in cachexia patients are not well studied. This study aimed to evaluate pooled analyses of clinical parameters to determine whether the NLR is associated with an outcome and the quality of life for patients with cachexia. We also evaluated whether there was any interaction between the NLR and clinical parameters.

**Methods**

**Study population and design**

This multicentre cohort study included 12 792 patients aged 18–95 years, who were pathologically diagnosed with solid malignant cancer and enrolled at over 40 clinical centres across China between June 2012 and 31 December 2019. Patients with multiple hospitalizations for cancer treatments (all therapies, including surgery, chemotherapy, radiotherapy and others) were treated as one case; the baseline data from the first assessment were analysed. Patients with serious activate infections, continuous anti-inflammatory use in the past 6 months or acquired immunodeficiency syndrome were excluded. Except patients who refused to participate in this study, there were no other specific exclusion criteria (Figure 1). The study was approved by the institutional review boards of all participating institutions; written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

**Data collection and variable definition**

Covariates and potential confounders were selected based on previously published studies. The demographic characteristics (gender, age, height and weight), family history, diet and lifestyle factors (smoking and alcohol history), disease information (tumour types, TNM stage, treatment method and nutritional intervention), body mass index (BMI) and comorbidities (hypertension and diabetes) were collected from the electronic medical record (EMR). Blood samples were collected after 10 h of fasting (prior to the surgery, or chemotherapy, radiotherapy, or other treatment). The following data were collected from the blood analysis: albumin and total bilirubin levels, as well as white blood cell, neutrophil, lymphocyte, red blood cell and platelet counts. In order to account for differences in location and/or scale of measurements between laboratories, all measurements were standardized. The TNM stage was defined as the 8th AJCC TNM classification system. The NLR was calculated as the absolute neutrophil count divided by the absolute lymphocyte count. Baseline anthropometric measurements (mid-arm circumference and hand grip strength), patient-generated subjective nutrition assessment (PG-SGA), Karnofsky Performance Status (KPS) and self-reported symptoms (anorexia and reduced food intake) were also recorded by trained personnel.

**Cachexia definition and assessment**

The definition and classification of cancer cachexia were based on an international consensus with the following criteria: (1) a weight loss >5% over past 6 months (in absence of simple starvation); or (2) BMI < 20 and any degree of weight loss >2%; or (3) appendicular skeletal muscle index.
consistent with sarcopenia and any degree of weight loss >2%. The assessment of skeletal muscle depletion was used by mid-upper arm muscle area by anthropometry (men <32 cm², women <18 cm²).

Outcome

In order to collect information on clinical outcomes, regular telephonic follow-ups or outpatient visits were conducted for all patients. The OS time is defined as the interval between the first clinical assessment and the date of death, withdrawal from the study, final follow-up (on 30 September 2019) or last contact, whichever came first. Our primary objective was to assess the relationship between NLR and OS and determine the recommended cut-off value for cachexia patients. Our secondary objective was to assess the change in quality of life. The European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire (EORTC QLQ-C30 Version 3.0) was used to assess the quality of life for cachexia patients on the day of admission. The QLQ-C30 scale is a 30-item questionnaire comprising functional assessment (physical, role, emotional, social and cognitive), symptom assessment (fatigue, nausea and vomiting and pain) and global health and quality-of-life assessment (dyspnoea, insomnia, appetite loss, constipation, diarrhoea and financial difficulties).17

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics are presented as mean (± standard deviation [SD]), or in case of skewed distributions as median (interquartile range), or frequencies (percentages). Variables with skewed distributions were natural-log transformed in order to meet the normality criteria. Pearson correlation analysis was used to test association between the NLR and nutrition-related parameters. Restricted cubic spline regression was performed to evaluate the association between NLR and OS. Optimal cut-off points for continues variables were determined using an outcome-oriented method to maximize log-rank statistics. For subsequent analysis, female and male patients were divided into normal and abnormal (high and low) groups based on various clinical parameters. Univariate and multivariate Cox proportional OS hazard ratios (HRs) alone with 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were computed for NLR and other major covariates. Trend tests were performed by assigning the median value to each quartile of the NLR and then modelling it as a continuous variable; Wald tests were used to assess statistical significance. The Kaplan–Meier method was used to estimate and plot survival endpoints, which were further tested using log-rank analysis. Median OS was estimated using the Kaplan–Meier method. Interaction terms were used to investigate whether there was any association between NLR and the various clinical parameters. R software, Version 4.0.2, with RStudio, Version 1.2.5019 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing), was used for statistical analyses. All P-values < 0.05 from the two-sided test were considered statistically significant, except for the interaction analyses where P-values < 0.10 were used.

Result

Patients with cachexia have a higher mean of NLR

Of the 12 792 cancer patients in this study, 3184 patients were diagnosed with cachexia. In total, 2612 cachexia
patients were included in the analysis. Over a median follow-up of 4.5 years, 1189 deaths were recorded. The overall mortality rate for cancer patients with cachexia at 12 months after the first assessment was 30.2% (95%CI 28.4%–32.0%), resulting in a rate of 226.07 events per 1000 patient-years. The NLR was compared in patients with and without cachexia for each type and stages of cancer. As a group, cachexia patients exhibited significantly higher NLR than those in patients without cachexia (P < 0.05); the NLRs were remarkably higher for lung, colorectal, liver, pancreatic and cervical cancers (Figure 2). Notably, cachexia patients with non-metastatic stage exhibited significantly lower NLR compared with those in cachexia patients with metastatic stage (Figure S1). The PG-SGA and QLQ-C30 results exhibited a weak positive association with NLR, whereas other clinical parameters were negatively associated with NLR (Figure S2).

**Association of NLR with OS in patients with cachexia**

Risk factors of all-cause mortality were determined using univariate and multivariate cox regression analyses (Table S1). Univariate analysis revealed that the most morbid (or abnormal) conditions of baseline characteristics were associated with higher HRs. The multivariate analysis revealed that gender, age, tumour type, TNM stage, albumin level, total bilirubin level, NLR, red blood cell count, platelet count, hand grip strength, reported reduced food intake, anorexia and EORTC QLQ-C30 score were the independent prognostic factors. The cut-off for NLR associated with OS was 3.5 (Figure S3A). When analysed as a continuous variable, multivariate-adjusted restricted cubic spline plot suggested that NLR had an inverted L-shaped dose–response association with the all-cause mortality risk in cachexia patients (Figure 3). Table 1 shows the results from the multivariate Cox regression models between NLR and OS. Continuous NLR was positively correlated with a worse prognosis (HR 1.22 per SD increase, 95%CI: 1.16–1.29) after adjusting for gender, age, BMI, tumour types, TNM stage, radiotherapy, chemotherapy, KPS, albumin level, total bilirubin level, red blood cell count, platelet count, hand grip strength, reduced food intake, anorexia and EORTC QLQ-C30 score. NLR was divided into quartiles; unlike the first quartile, Q1 (< 1.83), the second (1.83–2.95), third (2.95–4.8) and fourth quartiles (> 4.80) were all positively correlated with a worse prognosis (P for trend < 0.001). After adjusting for the confounding factors, HRs of all-cause mortality (HR, 95% CI) were 1.3 (1.08–1.58), 1.58 (1.31–1.91) and 1.89 (1.56–2.30) for the second, third and fourth quartiles, respectively.

**Patient demographics and disease characteristics stratified by NLR**

Based on the NLR cut-off, 1051 cachexia patients were diagnosed as the high NLR group. Kaplan–Meier curves and log-rank test results indicated that the high NLR group exhibited...
a worse prognosis compared with that exhibited by the low NLR patients (Figure S3B). For most cancers, when only NLR was considered, KM curves and log-rank tests demonstrated a significant difference in OS distribution between cachexia patients with high and low NLR (Figure S4). There was no relationship between NLR and OS for pancreatic cancer patients. In the final model, high NLR was associated with lung, gastric, colorectal and cervix or endometrium cancer mortalities (Table S2). A comparison of the patients’ demographic and clinical characteristics for the low and high NLR groups is presented in Table 2. High NLR was associated with male, older age, low BMI, certain tumour types (such as lung cancer), advanced TNM stage, radiotherapy recipient, low albumin level, high total bilirubin level, low red blood cell count, high platelet count, low KPS, low mid-arm circumference, low hand grip strength, reported reduced food intake, reported anorexia and EORTC QLQ-C30 score.

Stratified analyses by potential effect modifiers

Stratified analyses were conducted to evaluate the relationship between NLR and the HR of OS in various subgroups (Figure 4 and Table S4). Overall, high NLR was associated with increased mortality risk consistently across each subgroup of cachexia patients. Although similar trends were observed for patients with a BMI greater than 24, early TNM stage (I or II) and a KPS greater than 70, they were not statistically significant ($P > 0.05$). Moreover, interaction analyses revealed that elevated NLR was significantly associated with increased all-cause mortality risk for the following factors: gender, BMI, tumour type, KPS score and albumin level (all $P$ for interaction $< 0.1$). No other variable had any obvious influence on the association between NLR ($<3.5$ vs. $\geq 3.5$) and all-cause mortality. The NLR and other covariates were then cross-classified in order to understand the differential effects of each (Table S5). For other covariates without any multiplicative interaction, an increased risk for high NLR patients with morbid (or abnormal) conditions of covariates can be attributed to an additive effect of two risk factors. As observed from the KM curves (Figure S4), cachexia patients with an NLR of 3.5 or greater had the worst survival when they were male, with a BMI $< 18.5$.

Table 1 The association between NLR and HR in cachexia patients

| Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio | Model A | Model B |
|--------------------------------|---------|---------|
|                               | HR (95% CI) | $P$-value | HR (95% CI) | $P$-value |
| As continuous (per SD)        | 1.34 (1.27–1.40) | <0.001 | 1.22 (1.16–1.29) | <0.001 |
| By NLR cut-off                |         |         |         |         |
| Low ($< 3.5$)                 | Ref     |         | Ref     |         |
| High ($\geq 3.5$)             | 1.91 (1.70–2.14) | <0.001 | 1.51 (1.33–1.71) | <0.001 |
| Interquartile                 |         |         |         |         |
| Q1 ($< 1.83$)                 | Ref     |         | Ref     |         |
| Q2 (1.8–2.95)                 | 1.49 (1.23–1.80) | <0.001 | 1.30 (1.08–1.58) | 0.007 |
| Q3 (2.95–4.80)                | 1.96 (1.63–2.35) | <0.001 | 1.58 (1.31–1.91) | <0.001 |
| Q4 ($\geq 4.80$)              | 2.77 (2.31–3.31) | <0.001 | 1.89 (1.56–2.30) | <0.001 |
| $P$ for trend                 |         |         |         |         |

Model A: Adjusted for gender, age, BMI and TNM stage.
Model B: Adjusted for gender, age, BMI, tumour type, TNM stage, radiotherapy, chemotherapy, KPS score, albumin level, total bilirubin level, red blood cell count, platelet count, hand grip strength, reported reduced food intake, reported anorexia and EORTC QLQ-C30 score.

BMI, body mass index; EORTC QLQ-C30, European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire C-30; KPS, Karnofsky Performance Status.
with a metastatic TNM stage, suffering from lung cancer, with a KPS < 70 and had an abnormal albumin level (all log-rank $P < 0.001$).

**Sensitive analysis**

Given the observation that the NLR was prognostic for patients with cachexia, a sensitivity analysis was investigated (Table 3). First, we used the cut-off points of NLR previously reported in cancer patients (<3 vs. ≥3) and obtained an adjusted HR of 1.49 (95% CI: 1.31–1.69). Additionally, we divided the patients into three risk groups (<3, low-risk group; 3–5, intermediate-risk group; and ≥5, high-risk group) and obtained an adjusted HR of 1.38 (95% CI: 1.20–1.60) for intermediate-risk group and 1.63 (95% CI: 1.40–1.92) for high-risk group ($P$ for trend $< 0.001$). In particular, threshold effect analysis indicated a consistently increased HR with elevated NLR ($\geq 3.5$) ($HR: 1.12, 95\% CI: 1.01–1.25, P = 0.035$) although HR was attenuated. We also conducted sensitivity analyses after excluding patients who lived less than 6 months from the first assessment (adjusted HR 1.38, 95% CI: 1.18–1.62) or patients with myelosuppression (adjusted HR 1.46, 95% CI: 1.27–1.68) and found that the results were similar with the analysis included these patients. Additionally, among 95 patients who received immunotherapy, patients with a baseline NLR < 3.5 had significantly better OS than those in patients with a baseline NLR ≥ 3.5 (Figure S6 and Table S6).
Discussion

In this study, we found that systemic inflammation has different manifestations in cachexia for different tumour types. We calculated the specific NLR cut-off for cancer patients with cachexia. Using this cut-off point, the baseline NLR was identified as an important prognostic biomarker. In this cohort of 2612 patients with cachexia, we found that the NLR at first assessment was weakly associated with the clinical parameters. Moreover, we found that NLR had an inverted L-shape relationship with mortality risk among patients with cachexia. Although NLR increases with age and TNM stage, it could help identify high-risk patient subgroups. Additionally, NLR was associated with improved survival when its baseline level was lower than the cut-off value in patients who received immunotherapy.

Biomarkers for the degree of inflammation are not only easily obtainable in a clinical setting but also independently powerful prognostic indicators in cancer patients.\textsuperscript{15,18} A 'SCANS' study of 2470 patients with nonmetastatic colorectal cancer found that pre-diagnosis inflammation was associated with at-diagnosis sarcopenia; both overall and progression-free survival were worse in the group with both sarcopenia and elevated NLR.\textsuperscript{19} In contrast, few studies have individually

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|}
\hline
                  & No. of event & \(P\) value & HR(95\%CI) & \(P\) for interaction \\
\hline
Gender           &               &             &            & \textbf{0.001} \\
Male             & 642/1533      & <0.001      & 1.37(1.17–1.59) & \\
Female           & 409/1079      & <0.001      & 1.83(1.48–2.26) & \\
Age              &               &             &            & \textbf{0.924} \\
<65              & 665/1752      & <0.001      & 1.50(1.28–1.75) & \\
\geq65           & 386/860       & <0.001      & 1.59(1.30–1.95) & \\
BMI              &               &             &            & \textbf{0.092} \\
<18.5            & 285/623       & 0.039       & 1.28(1.01–1.62) & \\
18.5–24          & 607/1547      & <0.001      & 1.66(1.40–1.96) & \\
>24              & 159/442       & 0.069       & 1.36(0.98–1.89) & \\
TNM stage        &               &             &            & \textbf{0.795} \\
I/II             & 277/767       & 0.069       & 1.39(0.97–1.98) & \\
III              & 234/691       & <0.001      & 1.69(1.28–2.24) & \\
IV               & 540/1154      & <0.001      & 1.47(1.27–1.71) & \\
Tumor type       &               &             &            & \textbf{0.034} \\
Lung             & 256/525       & 0.001       & 1.47(1.18–1.84) & \\
Digestive        & 584/1575      & <0.001      & 1.50(1.27–1.77) & \\
Other            & 211/512       & <0.001      & 2.10(1.44–3.04) & \\
KPS              &               &             &            & \textbf{0.056} \\
<70              & 856/2334      & <0.001      & 1.59(1.39–1.81) & \\
\geq70           & 195/278       & 0.168       & 1.26(0.91–1.75) & \\
Albumin          &               &             &            & \textbf{0.041} \\
Normal           & 587/1805      & <0.001      & 1.65(1.40–1.93) & \\
Abnormal         & 464/807       & 0.004       & 1.33(1.10–1.62) & \\
HGS              &               &             &            & \textbf{0.101} \\
Normal           & 639/1726      & <0.001      & 1.64(1.40–1.93) & \\
Low              & 412/886       & 0.003       & 1.34(1.10–1.63) & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

\textbf{Figure 4} The association between NLR (stratified by cut-offs 3.5) and hazard ratios of overall survival in various subgroups. Except the stratifying variable, the model is adjusted for gender, age, BMI, tumour type, TNM stage, radiotherapy, chemotherapy, KPS score, albumin level, total bilirubin level, red blood cell count, platelet count, hand grip strength, reported reduced food intake, reported anorexia and EORTC QLQ-C30 score.
investigated overall and progression-free survival in patients with cancer cachexia. This is the first study conducted on hospitalized Chinese cancer patients suffering from cachexia. The findings of our analyses are consistent with the published literature; higher NLR was consistently associated with poor OS across all disease subgroups, tumour sites and disease stages.1

Interestingly, data on other cancer patients suggested an association between NLR and response to chemotherapy or immunotherapies.8,20

Table 3

| Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio | HR (95% CI)a | P-value | HR (95% CI)a | P-value |
|--------------------------------|--------------|---------|--------------|---------|
| **Thresholds effect analysis**  |              |         |              |         |
| ≥5 (as continuous, per SD)     | 1.12 (1.01–1.25) | 0.035   |              |         |
| **Sensitive analysis**         |              |         |              |         |
| As continuous (per SD)         | 1.15 (1.06–1.25) | 0.001   | 1.22 (1.14–1.30) | <0.001 |
| By NLR cut-off                 |              |         |              |         |
| Low (<3.5)                     | 1.38 (1.18–1.62) | <0.001  | 1.46 (1.27–1.68) | <0.001 |
| High (≥3.5)                    | 1.38 (1.18–1.62) | <0.001  | 1.46 (1.27–1.68) | <0.001 |
| **Interquartile**              |              |         |              |         |
| Q1 (<1.83)                     |              |         |              |         |
| Q2 (1.83–2.95)                 | 1.45 (1.15–1.81) | 0.001   | 1.33 (1.08–1.65) | 0.008  |
| Q3 (2.95–4.80)                 | 1.63 (1.29–2.05) | <0.001  | 1.58 (1.28–1.95) | <0.001 |
| Q4 (≥4.80)                     | 1.77 (1.38–2.27) | <0.001  | 1.91 (1.53–2.39) | <0.001 |
| **P for trend**                |              |         |              |         |
| aThe model was adjusted for gender, age, BMI, tumour type, TNM stage, radiotherapy, chemotherapy, KPS score, albumin level, total bilirubin level, red blood cell count, platelet count, hand grip strength, reported reduced food intake, reported anorexia and EORTC QLQ-C30 score.

Systemic inflammation is a hallmark of cachexia and is hypothesized as a driving force that induces muscle wastages.23 Our results suggest that there was a trend for the association of high NLR with metastatic disease; one possible explanation is that the systemic inflammation reflects the tumour burden or a process by the interaction of tumour cells with hosts.24,25 In the current study, we found that all types of cancer showed a high NLR state in cachexia, though the differences were not statistically significant for some types of cancer (breast cancer; gastric cancer; oesophagus cancer; ovarian cancer and endometrial cancer). Patients with oesophageal and gastric cancer had a higher rate of cachexia mainly because of reduced intake caused by early satiety and dysphagia rather than hyper-inflammatory responses.26 In addition, female mounts stronger innate and adaptive immune responses than male at the cellular and individual level, which might explain the relatively low NLR levels of cachexia observed in certain tumours (breast cancer, ovarian cancer and endometrial cancer).27 Notably, NLR may be a more meaningful therapeutic target to alter prognoses for patients in the earlier stage of the disease.

In this cohort, the NLR cut-off point marking increased mortality was about 3.5, which is higher than that previously reported for cancer patients (NLR = 3).15 Although the exact mechanism of cancer cachexia is unknown, systemic inflammation may have a prognostic role in this process.28 A high NLR might result from a relatively increased neutrophil count or depleted lymphocyte count. In this scenario, the high NLR may indicate an impaired patient’s immune response to malignancy. Although outside the scope of this analysis, this kind of inflammatory responses can change the tumour micro-environment and facilitate progression and metastasis.25 Neutrophils may act as tumour-promoting leucocytes by producing TGF-β and IL-10, thereby inducing regulatory T-cell pathways and matrix metalloproteinases in the tumour micro-environment.20 VEGF and interleukins could also be directly secreted by circulating neutrophils.15

As reported in previous studies, the systemic inflammatory response is not only a prognostic factor but is also strongly linked to fatigue or subjective reduction in functional ability.30,32 Alternatively, it is possible that a more advanced stage of cachexia, characterized by systemic inflammation, results in an objective or a subjective reduction in functional ability for the patient.32 The systemic inflammatory response has been validated in the deterioration of quality of life in patients with cancer cachexia and could be independent of performance status.24 Our results expand on previous evidence by reporting stratified analyses elucidating that NLR had
different impacts on various clinical parameters. We found a significant interaction between NLR and gender, BMI, tumour type, KPS score and albumin level. The NLR also had a predictive value in this particular population.

Strength and limitation

To our knowledge, this is one of the largest studies to examine the relationship between biomarkers of systemic inflammation and cachexia and the only study to examine whether NLR is independently associated with the cachexia patient’s survival. In addition, our results were also supported by the recent publication of ESMO guidelines. Although this is a multicentre cohort study, it has some limitations. First, other markers of systemic inflammation such as platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio were not analysed in this study. Similarly, C-reactive protein level was available for only few patients (less than 30%) and therefore not used. However, this did not lead to a bias because baseline characteristics of patients with and without CRP were similar (data not shown). Another limitation is the lack of serial NLR values for each patient during their follow-up. But baseline NLR has undoubted prognostic value. As a dynamic marker, previously published series have suggested that normalizing a high baseline NLR value after clinical intervention could be associated with improved clinical outcomes. Furthermore, other covariates and some unmeasured or measured confounders could influence the NLR, the therapy method, the mortality and the results of our analyses. Finally, a limitation of this study is lack of universality; therefore, the findings of this study could not reliably be extrapolated to other populations. Because it is difficult to find external validation to our results in publicly available resources, a better solution is to confirm these findings in other ethnic groups through international collaboration.

Conclusion

In conclusion, cancer patients with cachexia have generally higher levels of NLR than those without cachexia, and high NLR adversely affects the OS of the cancer patients, indicating NLR is an independent prognostic indicator for patients with cachexia. These results indicate the usefulness of determining the NLR in routine clinical practice to improve patient assessments, cachexia prognoses and interventions. Future studies are required to clarify whether reducing systemic inflammation could enhance OS for cachexia patients, thereby allowing longitudinal assessment of dynamic changes in NLR over time in association with treatment and response, as well as the identification of patients who can benefit the most.
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