A chromosome-anchored eggplant genome sequence reveals key events in Solanaceae evolution
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With approximately 450 species, spiny Solanum species constitute the largest monophyletic group in the Solanaceae family, but a high-quality genome assembly from this group is presently missing. We obtained a chromosome-anchored genome assembly of eggplant (Solanum melongena), containing 34,916 genes, confirming that the diploid gene number in the Solanaceae is around 35,000. Comparative genomic studies with tomato (S. lycopersicum), potato (S. tuberosum) and pepper (Capsicum annuum) highlighted the rapid evolution of miRNA:mRNA regulatory pairs and R-type defense genes in the Solanaceae, and provided a genomic basis for the lack of steroidal glycoalkaloid compounds in the Capsicum genus. Using parsimony methods, we reconstructed the putative chromosomal complements of the key founders of the main Solanaceae clades and the rearrangements that led to the karyotypes of extant species and their ancestors. From 10% to 15% of the genes present in the four genomes were syntenic paralogs (ohnologs) generated by the pre-γ, γ and T paleopolyploidy events, and were enriched in transcription factors. Our data suggest that the basic gene network controlling fruit ripening is conserved in different Solanaceae clades, and that climacteric fruit ripening involves a differential regulation of relatively few components of this network, including CNR and ethylene biosynthetic genes.

The Solanaceae family comprises around 2,700 plant species, adapted to vastly different environments, and grown for food (tomato, potato, eggplant, pepper), medicinal or recreational uses (belladonna, corkwood tree, mandrake, tobacco) as well as ornamentals (petunia and Brunfelsia). The “giant” genus Solanum includes around 1,500 species, among which three staple crops: tomato (S. lycopersicum), potato (S. tuberosum) and eggplant (S. melongena). Unlike tomato and potato, eggplant is native to the Old World, evolved from S. insanum and was independently domesticated in the Indian subcontinent and in China1–3. Eggplant is a representative of the subgenus Leptostemonum (spiny Solanum species), which with around 450 species is the largest monophyletic group in the whole family4. Unlike tomato and similar to pepper, eggplant fruits display ethylene-independent ripening.
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Several Solanaceae chromosome-anchored genome sequences have been generated to date, including the ones of potato, tomato and pepper\(^8,9\), but a chromosome-anchored reference genome from the *Leptospermum subgenus* is presently lacking. A highly fragmented, non chromosome-anchored draft sequence of eggplant is available\(^8\) with an N50 of 64 Kb and 85,446 predicted genes, a number much larger than the approximately 35,000 genes annotated in the other sequenced diploid Solanaceae genomes (Table 1). In this paper, we describe a reference, chromosome-anchored genome sequence of eggplant, and its use for comparative genomics studies with tomato, potato and pepper.

### Results

#### Genome assembly, anchoring and annotation

We sequenced and assembled the genome of the inbred eggplant line ‘67/3’, the male parent of a F6 Recombinant Inbred Line (RIL) population, using a combination of Illumina sequencing and single molecule optical mapping (Supplementary Information 1.1–1.5.3). A 1.16 Gb draft, with an N50 of 0.68 Mb, was obtained from Illumina paired end and mate pair libraries assembled using Soapdenovo\(^{210}\), while the optical map\(^{11}\) covered 1.18 Gb, with an N50 of 3.59 Mb (Table 1, Supplementary Tables S2 and S3). We estimated the eggplant haploid genome size at 1.21 Gb (by flow cytometry) and 1.04 Gb (by k-mer distribution) (Supplementary Fig. S1). The latter is probably an underestimate of the real genome size, as suggested by the presence of secondary peaks in the distribution due to repeats (Supplementary Information 1.6).

Using the SoiLoCo pipeline\(^{12}\) and a linkage map comprising 5,964 markers, developed from an F6 Recombinant Inbred Line (RIL) mapping population\(^{13}\), we anchored the hybrid scaffolds to chromosomes (Supplementary Information 1.5.4–15.5, Fig. 1). Anchored pseudomolecules comprised 1.14 Gb (gapped) and 0.82 Gb (ungapped) genome sequences. The quality of the new assembly is comparable to the ones of tomato, potato and pepper, and significantly improved the metrics of the previous eggplant draft (Table 1). A sequence assembly of line ‘305E40’, the female parent of the mapping population, was also obtained, with a total size of 0.82 Gb (ungapped) genome sequences. The quality of the new assembly is comparable to the ones of tomato, potato, pepper and Arabidopsis, Supplementary Fig. S4). The latter is probably an underestimate of the real genome size, as suggested by the presence of secondary peaks in the distribution due to repeats (Supplementary Fig. S1).

We annotated the ‘67/3’ assembly with the Maker pipeline\(^{14}\) and RNA-Seq data from 19 tissues of ‘67/3’, obtaining 34,916 high-quality protein-coding gene models (Supplementary Information 2.3). This number is comparable to the one of other sequenced Solanaceae genomes and lower than the one previously reported\(^9\) with an N50 of 1.3-fold and 3.30 Mb. Of which organellar (unique) 2,058 — 35,004 34,899

### Table 1. Assembly and annotation metrics of the eggplant genome and its comparison with other high-quality Solanaceae genomes and the previous eggplant draft.

| Projected size (Gb) | Eggplant (this work) | Eggplant Kazusa | Potato (ITAG1.0) | Tomato (ITAG2.4) | Pepper (PGA v1.55) |
|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Number of scaffolds | 10,383              | 33,873         | 66,254          | 3,223           | 37,989            |
| Ungapped length of scaffolds (Gb) | 1.06 (88%) | 0.78 (69%) | 0.58 (69%) | 0.74 (82%) | 2.96 (90%) |
| Ungapped length of anchored Scaffolds (Gb) | 0.89 (73%) | — | 0.58 (69%) | 0.72 (80%) | 2.67 (81%) |
| N50 of anchored scaffolds (Mb) | 2.9 | 0.065 | 1.3 | 16.5 | 2.4 |
| Protein coding genes | 34,916 | 85,446 | 35,004 | 34,725 | 34,899 |
| BUSCO genes present in the annotation | 1,332 (96.9%) | 1,028 (74.8%) | 1,334 (97.0%) | 1,370 (99.6%) | 1,124 (81.8%) |
| Of which organellar (unique) | 2,058 | — | 1,734 | 1,706 | 2,594 |
| Anchored genes | 28,435 | — | 35,004 | 35,838 | 30,242 |
| Total length of repeats (Mb) | 772 (73%) | — | 499 (59%) | 426 (47%) | 1,98 (60%) |

### Solanaceae genome dynamics

Although similar number of genes were found in the four genomes, the eggplant and pepper genome sizes are respectively \(\approx 1.3\)-fold and \(\approx 3.5\) -fold larger than those of tomato and potato. Genome expansion of pepper was mainly attributed to a transposition burst of Gypsy LTR retrotransposons, whose dating varies from \(\approx 13\) mya\(^8\) to \(\approx 0.3\) mya\(^5\). We re-evaluated the transposon abundance and dating in the four Solanaceae genomes (Supplementary Information 2.2) and confirmed that larger genomes tend to be enriched in Gypsy, followed by Copia elements. The timing of the main burst of LTR transposition is \(\approx 3\) mya for...
pepper, \( \approx 2 \) mya for tomato and potato, and \( \approx 0.3 \) mya for eggplant (Fig. 2A), furthermore we confirmed the presence of multiple retrotransposition bursts as observed in both monocotyledons and dicotyledons12,18–24.

Like tomato and potato, both the eggplant and pepper genomes carry signs of the ‘T’ whole genome triplication first described in the tomato genome6, which we dated at 45 to 55 mya (Supplementary Information 2.7). The ‘T’ triplication occurred in the common ancestor of all Solanaceae, as confirmed by the petunia genome sequence25. A set of 3,234 eggplant, 5,099 tomato, 4,659 and 2,163 pepper ohnologs (paralogous genes generated by whole genome polyploidization) are still recognizable in the four genomes. Only 478 ohnologs share the same orthoMCL16 group in the four species, suggesting that genome fractionation following the ‘T’ triplication was lineage-specific. Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analyses indicated that genes encoding transcription factors are selectively enriched in the extant ohnologs of eggplant, tomato, potato and Capsicum (Supplementary Information 2.7; Supplementary Table S27).

During evolution, the members of the Solanaceae family underwent inter- and intra-chromosomal translocations and inversions6,26,27, which are reflected in the synteny of the extant eggplant, tomato and pepper genomes (Fig. 2B). We used these genomes, plus that of potato and of the outgroup coffee28 to reconstruct chromosomal dynamics during Solanaceae evolution (Supplementary Information 2.7; Fig. 2C). Using parsimony analysis, we first reconstructed the ancestral genomes of the common Solanaceae, Solanum and Potatoes ancestors, and deduced the chromosomal rearrangements leading to the extant genomes in respect to their direct ancestor. Capsicum experienced the highest number of translocations and inversions (54 and 71, respectively), followed by eggplant (18 and 50), while the lowest number, with respect to the common ancestor Potatoes, was detected in potato (3 and 42) and tomato (2 and 21). Several lineage-specific rearrangements were identified: e.g. the translocation of A1 to chromosome (CH) 8 occurred one time both in the pepper and Potatoes lineages, so that pepper, tomato and potato CH1 carry fragments of the ancestral A1 and A8 chromosomes, while eggplant does not. Eggplant CH11 also carries a translocation between A4 and A11, not found in the other three genomes. By computing the frequencies of chromosomal inversions (2.87–5.7 per million years) and translocations (0.27–2.7...
per million years), pepper and eggplant showed the highest translocation frequencies (2.7 and 1.22/million years, respectively), much higher than in previous estimates.

miRNA-based gene regulation. Using the MIRENA software, we identified 158 high confidence miRNAs belonging to 42 families (Supplementary Information 2.5, Supplementary Table S20), of which 19 families are conserved in many taxonomic groups, while 3 (miR1919, miR5745 and miR6020) are mainly present in Solanaceae (Supplementary Table S21). Putative miRNA targets identified using Tapir in eggplant, resulted in the formation of 1,445 miRNA:mRNA duplex between 146 miRNA and 992 genes (Supplementary Table S22). The miRNA families targeting the highest number of genes were 172 and 156, targeting 483 and 144 genes respectively.

We then zoomed into the function of miR156/157, which belongs to a highly conserved regulatory module in angiosperms, involving SQUAMOSA PROMOTER BINDING (SPB) genes. The miRNAs 156/157 were predicted to target 9 SPB genes in tomato and 6 in eggplant (Supplementary Table S22). Both in eggplant and tomato, the ectopic expression of the Arabidopsis miR156/157 caused early release of apical dominance, delayed vegetative phase change (most evident in eggplant miR156/157 plants displaying a light pigmentation typical of the juvenile

Figure 2. Solanaceae genome dynamics. (A) Dating of insertions for complete LTR retrotransposons in the various Solanaceae genomes. (B) Syntenic relationships between the chromosomes eggplant (E), tomato (T) and pepper (P). For the parameters used to define syntenic thresholds, see Supplementary Information 2.7. (C) Reconstruction of chromosome dynamics during Solanaceae evolution. Each chromosome of the hypothetical Solanaceae common ancestor is assigned a different colour. The reconstructed karyotypes of evolutionary intermediates are shown on the left, the actual karyotypes of extant species on the right. Chromosomal segments derived from each ancestral chromosome are painted with the corresponding colour, and the arrows indicate the orientation with respect to the ancestral chromosome segment. The minimum number of translocations and inversions occurring between two karyotypes are reported.
Regulation of fruit ripening, pigmentation, and cuticle biosynthesis. We analyzed the expression pattern of the known tomato ripening regulators in tomato (ethylene-dependent ripening), eggplant and pepper (ethylene-independent ripening, Fig. 4A). Most of the transcripts, namely RIPENING INHIBITOR (RIN), NON RIPENING (NOR), APETALA2a (AP2a), FRUITFULL1 and 2 (FUL1-2), displayed similar, ripening-associated expression patterns in all three species. AUXIN RESPONSE FACTOR2 (ARF2) was ripening-associated only in pepper and tomato, and COLORLESS NON-RIPENING (CNR) only in tomato.

We also performed co-expression analysis of the whole complement of tomato, eggplant and pepper transcripts using as a bait RIN, a master regulator of ripening in both climacteric and non-climacteric fruits32,33 (Supplementary Table S31). The genes displaying high co-expression with RIN are shown in Fig. 4B. Genes involved in ethylene perception and signal transduction co-expressed across the three species. The ACC SYNTHASE genes (ACS2 and ACS4) co-expressed with RIN only in tomato.

Light is a known regulator of fruit biochemical composition. Accordingly, LONG HYPOCOTYL 5 (HYS) transcription factor34 was highly co-expressed with RIN in eggplant and pepper (Fig. 4B) and, to a lesser extent, in tomato (Supplementary Table S31). In contrast, cryptochrome and phytochrome photoreceptors as well as PHYTOCHROME INTERACTING FACTORS (PIFs) show a more species-specific regulation (Fig. 4B). EXPANSIN1 (EXP1) and POLYGALACTURONASE 2A (PG2A) encode important cell wall-modifying enzymes implicated in tomato fruit softening35. The former showed significant co-expression with RIN only in tomato, while two EXP isoforms were co-expressed in eggplant and none in pepper (Fig. 4B). PG2A was co-expressed with RIN in tomato and pepper, but not in eggplant.

Consistent with the high content in phenolics of ripe eggplant fruits, an isoform of PHENYLALANINE AMMONIA LYASE (PAL3) was highly co-expressed with RIN in eggplant, but not in tomato and pepper (Fig. 4B). The PHYTOENE SYNTHASE 1 (PSY1), the first dedicated step in carotenoid biosynthesis, showed high levels of co-expression with RIN in tomato and pepper, which are rich in these compounds, but not in eggplant. Lastly, the STAY GREEN 1 (SGR1) transcription factor, involved in chlorophyll degradation36, was highly co-expressed with RIN across the three species, in which active chlorophyll degradation occurs during ripening (Fig. 4B).

Ectopic expression of the tomato TAGL1 gene37 resulted in sepal inflation as well as other ripening-associated features in tomato and eggplant (Fig. 4C). The inflated sepals accumulate species-specific pigments: in tomato, at first chlorophyll and leaf-type carotenoids and then lycopene, while in eggplant at first anthocyanins and then orange chalcone and flavonols. This indicates that in both species, TAGL1 likely controls the expression of similar sets of developmental genes, but different sets of pigmentation pathway genes.

Figure 3. miR156 overexpression affects tomato and eggplant development in similar ways. MiR156 – mediated regulation of vegetative growth in tomato (upper panel) and eggplant (lower panel). Wild type plants (left) and plants overexpressing Arabidopsis miR156a (right) under the CaMV 35S promoter.
In eggplant, commercially ripe fruits (stage 2) accumulate mainly purple/black anthocyanins, while in physiologically ripe (stage 3) fruits the biosynthesis shifts towards orange-colored flavonoids such as naringenin chalcone (Chappell-Maor et al., unpublished data). Several phenylpropanoid biosynthesis genes contribute to the pigmentation pattern (Supplementary Information 3.4). The biosynthetic shift from anthocyanin to flavonoid pigments, occurring between stages 2 and 3, correlates with the down-regulation of the ANTHOCYANIN1 (ANT1) and JOHNANDFRANCESCA13 (JAF13) transcription factors and the DİHYDROFLAVONOL 4-REDUCTASE (DFR) structural gene as well as the up-regulation of the MYB12 and FLAVONOL SYNTHASE (FLS) genes (Fig. 5A). Most genes in the carotenoid pathway showed detectable, albeit low expression in ripening eggplant fruits, while CAROTENOID CLEAVAGE DIOXYNENASE 4 (CCD4) was highly expressed throughout eggplant fruit ripening (Fig. 5A).

We identified orthologs of genes known to be involved in wax and/or cutin biosynthesis, and whose expression was enriched in fruit skin of tomato and eggplant (Supplementary Information 3.5). In several gene families, a single ortholog showed a similar degree of fruit skin enrichment in both species, such as ECERIFERUM 6 (CER6), Cytochrome P450 (CYP86A4), GLYCEROL-3-PHOSPHATE ACYLTRANSFERASE 6 (GPAT6) and CUTIN SYNTHASE (CUS) in the cutin pathway, FIDDLEHEAD (FDH) in the wax biosynthesis, and the ABC transporters ATP-BINDING CASSETTE G 11/12 (ABCG11/12) in transport to the fruit extracellular domain (Fig. 5B). Three transcription factors involved in cuticle formation in tomato and/or Arabidopsis, i.e. CUTIN DEFICIENT 2 (CD2), MYB30/96 and MIXTA-LIKE38,39 also showed skin-enriched expression in tomato and eggplant fruits.
Annotation of main resistance protein classes highlighted significant amplifications in the four analyzed species. The potato and pepper genomes showed a significant amplification of NUCLEOTIDE-BINDING LEUCINE-RICH REPEAT (NB-LRR) genes involved in pathogen defense (Supplementary Information 3.1). Two large expansions of NB-LRR genes, involving respectively the Gpa2/Bs2/Rx/Rx2 and Mi1.2/Hero/Rpi-blb2 subfamilies, are present in the pepper genome, while five subfamilies, including genes conferring resistance to Phytophthora infestans, are expanded in potato (Fig. 6A). Solanaceae genomes evolved preserving highly active R-islands in which the internal variability is regulated in species-specific manner. Species-specific diversification at individual resistance loci was mediated by tandem duplication of distinct founder paralogs in each species, as exemplified by the cluster on CH6, comprising the potato Rpi-blb2 gene (resistance to P. infestans), and the tomato Mi1.2 gene (nematode resistance) (Fig. 6B).

Figure 5. Control of fruit biochemical composition. (A) Schematic pathways for flavonoid (left) and carotenoid (right) biosynthesis in eggplant fruits. Genes and compounds overexpressed at each stage are shown in large bold character. Regulatory genes are indicated in blue. (B) Schematic cuticle biosynthesis pathway. Shortened gene names are indicated in blue. Genes showing fruit cuticle-enriched expression in both eggplant and tomato are indicated in red.
In the tomato and potato genomes, most core genes for Steroidal Glycoalkaloid (SGA) biosynthesis genes form two metabolic gene clusters, on CH7 and CH12 (Supplementary Information 3.2; Fig. 6C). The cluster on CH7 was also found in eggplant and pepper, while the one on CH12, which contains GLYCOALKALOID METABOLISM 4 and 12 (GAME4 and GAME12), only in eggplant (Fig. 6C). A BLAST search of the pepper genome did not yield any genes closely related to GAME4 or GAME12. Since these two genes catalyze, respectively, the first and second step in the conversion of a furostanol-type saponin precursor into SGAs, their absence in pepper is likely responsible for the absence of SGAs in this species.

Discussion
With around 450 species, the spiny Solanums represent the largest monophyletic group in the Solanaceae family. We obtained a high-quality, anchored eggplant genome sequence that fills an important gap for comparative genomics studies in the Solanaceae. The sequence was obtained through assembly of Illumina reads and further
scaffolding/error correction using optical mapping. Gene annotation was assisted by RNA-Seq data from 19 different eggplant tissues/organs and resulted in 34,916 high-quality gene models, similarly to what was observed in other Solanaceae species.

**Chromosome dynamics and their contribution to Solanaceae genome diversity.** We found signs of the ‘T’ triplication in the eggplant and pepper genomes and dated it between 45–55 mya, i.e. slightly more recent than previous estimates. This, and the recent discovery of signs of the ‘T’ triplication also in petunia, may indicate that Solanaceae radiation is more recent than previously reported. One of the main effects of the ‘T’ triplication is the generation of paralogous genes, or ohnologs, a fraction of which are still nowadays triplicated or duplicated. In such ohnologs, we detected an enrichment of genes encoding transcription factors. It has been suggested that one of the effects of gene-balanced polyploidizations is to leave behind duplicate “functional modules”, such as interacting transcription factor groups, which in turn increase morphological complexity. This is what we observed in extant Solanaceae genomes, and may explain the extreme morphological variation and ecological adaptability of this plant family.

In the Solanaceae genomes analyzed, we detected signs of multiple retrotransposition bursts. The main burst in eggplant is the most recent (≈0.3 mya) while in pepper is the most ancient (≈3 mya). Since the main pepper burst occurred much later than the Solanum–Capsicum divergence (20 mya), our data did not confirm the hypothesis that retrotransposition bursts contributed to the reproductive isolation of different Solanaceae clades. Using COS markers, 0.1–1 inversions per million years and 0.2–0.4 translocations per million years were estimated in the four lineages, with the eggplant lineage experiencing an approximately double inversion rate than the other three. The frequencies we calculated, based on the whole genome sequences, were much higher for both translocations (0.27–2.7 per million years) and inversions (2.87–5.7 per million years). This is probably due to the higher resolving power of the high-quality genomes used in our analysis with respect to the COS maps. Compared to the other three Solanaceae, pepper shows a very high rate of putative translocations (2.7/million years), followed by eggplant (1.22/million years). Pepper and eggplant also carry the highest number of retrotransposons, suggesting that chromosomal translocations could have been mediated by recombination between homologous retrotransposons located on different chromosomes, as reported for yeast.

An additional mechanism contributing to the functional plasticity of Solanaceae genomes is gene duplication, exemplified by R gene diversification, which occurred at very different rates in different species. Tomato and eggplant show relatively low rates of R gene duplication, while potato and pepper show much higher ones. Tandem duplications of R genes are generally lineage-specific, with the majority of events occurring after the separation of the major Solanaceae clades, however our data also highlighted additional tandem duplications which resulted in eggplant-specific gene clusters sharing homology with characterized TNL resistance loci.

**Evolution of secondary metabolism.** In angiosperms, gene clusters encoding enzymes for specialized secondary metabolites mediate the synthesis of defense compounds, such as hydroxamic acid derivatives, alkaloids, cyanogenic glucosides, and SGAs. Several hypotheses may explain the evolution of these clusters, including co-regulation and/or co-inheritance of clustered genes. SGAs are involved in the defense against herbivores and are produced by numerous members of the Solanum genus, including tomato, potato and eggplant (Supplementary Information 3.2). In contrast, pepper does not produce glycoalkaloids but steroidal glycosides, saponins and capsaicinoids. As previously reported in tomato and potato, also in eggplant the SGAs biosynthesis genes are clustered on CH7 and CH12 and are co-regulated. However, the CH12 cluster, which encodes the first two dedicated steps in the SGA pathway after the common precursor of SGAs and steroidal saponins, is missing in pepper, suggesting that the gain/loss of this cluster served as an evolutionary switch mediating the rerouting of steroidal metabolism from steroidal saponins to SGAs and *vice versa*.

Pigmentation of fleshy fruits is strongly influenced by coevolution with the frugivorous animals that perform seed dispersal, with red and black fruits prevailing in plants whose seeds are dispersed by birds. Our data indicate a similar regulation in tomato, potato and pepper fruits of the *STAY GREEN* gene, encoding a plastid-localized protein that enhances both chlorophyll degradation and carotenoid biosynthesis. This, and the induction in fruits of all three species of a *PSY* gene which encode the rate-limiting step of carotenoid biosynthesis, indicates that chlorophyll degradation and carotenoid biosynthesis is regulated in a similar way in the three species during fruit ripening. The lack of carotenoids in eggplant fruits can probably be attributed to high expression of carotenoid-cleaving enzymes such as CCD4, as already described in white peach fruits.

Fruits, like other aerial plant parts, are coated with a lipophilic cuticle largely composed of waxes and cutin, which impacts many pre- and post-harvest processes including fruit water relations, expansion and the response to biotic and abiotic stresses. Our data indicate that structural and regulatory genes controlling cuticle biosynthesis in tomato and/or Arabidopsis also showed skin-enriched expression in eggplant fruits, suggesting that the underlying regulatory network is highly conserved in eudicots.

**Fruit development and ripening.** Tomato, eggplant and pepper fruits undergo physiological changes during ripening, which are ethylene-dependent in tomato and ethylene-independent in eggplant and pepper. Ripening of the tomato fruit is well studied, and is controlled by a complex signal transduction pathway, involving several transcriptional regulators. Our transcriptional and co-expression studies suggest that more similarities than differences exist in the mechanisms controlling fruit ripening in different Solanaceae clades. The mRNAs encoding known regulators of ripening are upregulated during ripening in tomato, eggplant and pepper, with the exception of the *CNR* gene, which is upregulated in climacteric tomato, but not in non-climacteric eggplant and pepper fruits. This observation partially contrasts with the proposed role of CNR in regulating ripening upstream of ethylene synthesis. With the exception of CNR, the main ripening regulators appear to be regulated in a
similar fashion in climacteric and non-climacteric fruits, and they also appear to have similar functions, as highlighted by the very similar developmental phenotypes obtained by ectopic expression of the TAGL1 transcription factor in tomato and eggplant.

The main components of the network controlling fleshy fruit ripening across different Solanaceae include members of the ethylene receptor gene family, as well as ETHYLENE and AUXIN RESPONSE FACTORS (ERFs and ARFs) in both climacteric and non-climacteric fruits. Apart from CNR, the genes showing different regulation in climacteric versus non-climacteric fruits are those involved in ethylene biosynthesis (ACS and, to a lesser extent, ACO). This, together with the fact that climacteric fruit ripening in the Solanaceae is of polyphyletic origin, suggests that the two different types of fruit ripening arose recently during evolution, through a modification in the regulation of relatively few components, including CNR and ethylene biosynthetic genes.

**Methods**

**Sequencing, assembly and anchoring.** The *S. melongena* 67/3 line was obtained as cross between ‘Purpura’ × ‘CIN2’ and 305E40 line was derived from the somatic hybrid *Solanum aethiopicum* cv. Gilo (+). *S. melongena* cv. Dourga, F5 and F6 progenies were derived from the cross between this two lines, 305E40 as female parent and 67/3 as male parent. High molecular weight nuclear DNA was extracted from leaf tissue of young plants according to Carrier et al. for 67/3 line and using a modified CTAB for lines 305E40 and the RILs population (Supplementary Information 1.1). Small-insert libraries were produced using the TruSeq DNA protocol and long-insert mate-pair libraries were prepared using the Nextera Mate Pair protocol. Libraries were sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq1000 instrument with 2 × 100 nt protocol at the Functional Genomics Centre, University of Verona, Italy) (Supplementary Information 1.2). The reads have been submitted to the NCBI Sequence Read Archive under the accession number SRP078398.

Raw reads underwent a quality filtering process (Supplementary Information 1.3) and error corrected using the SOAP error corrector (V1.00). Assembly and scaffolding were performed using SOAPdenovo2 using a multi k-mer strategy. Gaps in scaffolds were filled with GapCloser (Supplementary Information 1.4). Quality of the assembly was assessed by BUSCO v3 pipeline and by blast search of ESTs downloaded from NCBI (Supplementary Information 1.4). Next-generation genome map of the line ‘67/3’ was performed with BioNano technology at Bionano Genomics (San Diego, California, US), high-molecular-weight DNA was extracted from leaves, labeled and stained using the Irys Prep Kit (Supplementary Information 1.5). Maps were assembled from optical reads with IrysView software (Supplementary Information 1.5) and assembled with Illumina assembly data in hybrid scaffolds using HybridAssembler tool (Supplementary Information 1.5).

RILs segregation patterns were analyzed with SOIIoCo pipeline, and linkage analysis was performed with “R/qtl” package and ordered with Joinmap 4 software (Supplementary Information 1.5). Pseudomolecules were obtained by combining both linkage and optical mapping information (Supplementary Information 1.5). A de novo assembly of ‘305E40’ was generated with Abyss and aligned to ‘67/3’ genome assembly using BLAT.

**Transcriptome sequencing, genome annotation and SNP functional classification.** The 67/3 plants were grown in greenhouse at CREA-GB (Montansso Lombardo, IT) in standard conditions. RNA from 20 tissues was isolated (Trizol®), directional libraries constructed (Illumina TruSeq Stranded mRNA Library Prep Kit) and sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 1000 sequencer. Transcripts were constructed with the Velvet + Oases pipeline and EvidentialGene (http://arthropods.eugenics.org/EvidentialGene/). MAKER-P pipeline was adopted, and only genes with an AED < 0.48 were retained, whose quality was evaluated with different pipelines. RNA-Seq reads from each experiment were aligned to the eggplant genome using TopHat 2 and expression values (FPKM) for each gene model calculated (Cufflinks 2). Proteins function assignment was performed with Hmmer and InterProScan. Finally, genetic differences between the reference genome and the ‘305E40’ genotype were evaluated with SnpEff suite (Supplementary Information 2.1–2.6).

**Comparative analyses among eggplant, tomato, potato and pepper.** Eggplant, tomato, potato, and pepper TE-related repeats were masked by building up species specific de novo repeat libraries with RepeatModeler and combined with Repbase-viridiplantae. The LTR dating pipeline was completed on eggplant, tomato, potato, and peppers following the methods described elsewhere (Supplementary Information 2.2).

Differential gene expression analyses were carried out by comparing FPKMs (Cufflinks 2) of eggplant with those of tomato, potato and pepper. RNA-Seq reads were aligned to the respective genomes with TopHat 2 (Supplementary Information 2.3).

Putative insertions of organelle genes were blasted against the four Solanaceae proteomes against the NCBI database (plastidial and mitochondrial genes; Supplementary Information 2.3). MIReNA was used to identify miRNA-coding sequences in the four Solanaceae, by homology with known miRNAs (miRBase 21). Target and mimic genes of the identified miRNAs were spotted with Tapir, and GO enrichments were obtained through AGRIGO (Supplementary Information 2.5).

The CoGe platform was used to detect orthologous genes among the four species, as well as ohnologs (for dating “T” triplication). Ks-values were calculated for gene pairs using CodeML (PAML package) implemented in SynMap, and used to estimate the divergence time between the four Solanaceae (Supplementary Information 2.7).

The hypothetical ancestral chromosomal of the common ancestor of pepper, tomato, potato and eggplant, using coffee as an outgroup, were based on shared genes obtained from COGE outputs among the five species. GRIMM-Synteny was used to identify syntenic blocks among the 5 species, and were analysed with MGRA and ProCARs pipeline (Supplementary Information 2.7).
Gene family analyses. The distribution of orthologous gene families was calculated using OrthoMCL\textsuperscript{16} version 2.0.9 on annotations from eggplant, pepper (PGA v1.35), tomato (iTAG v2.4), potato (iTAG v1) and Arabidopsis (TAIR10) (Supplementary Information 2.4). Orthologs were identified using CoGe\textsuperscript{39} (Supplementary Information 2.7); if not possible, putative orthologs were identified as best-hits by reciprocal BLAST (Supplementary Information 2.4).

A script developed in-house, based on a BLASTp analysis, was employed to identify eggplant \textit{(Solanum melongena)} pathogen recognition proteins (PRPs) (Supplementary Information 3.1). The set of predicted PRPs identified was further analyzed using InterProScan\textsuperscript{60} software. The phylogenetic relationships of Solanaceae CNL and TNL proteins was calculated separately and similarities were determined performing a MAFFT\textsuperscript{74} (E-INS-i algorithm) multiple alignment. Clades were collapsed and numerated based on a bootstrap value over 85.

Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA\textsuperscript{75}. The bootstrap consensus tree was inferred from 100 replicates. The PRGs cluster analysis was conducted using R software\textsuperscript{76}. Evolutionary relationships were inferred by using the Maximum Likelihood method based on the JTT matrix-based model\textsuperscript{77}. Heatmaps were produced using Genesis\textsuperscript{78}.

Coexpression analysis was carried out with CoExpress (http://sablab.net/coexpress.html) using Tomato MADS-box encoding gene RIN and its orthologs in Eggplant and in Pepper as ‘baits’; the resulting lists of co-expressed genes which were filtered by r-value $\geq 0.6$ (Supplementary Information 3.3).

Data Availability

The reads have been submitted to the NCBI Sequence Read Archive under the accession number SRP078398. Upon acceptance, the assembly and annotation will be made available, in downloadable form, on GenBank and the Solanaceae Genome Network. Further information, including the “67/3” genome assembly, pseudomolecules, annotations and Gbrowse are available through the website at www.eggplantgenome.org. For reviewing purposes, access can be obtained using the following credentials: User: anonymous; Password: geit0ja. Eggplant biological materials can be requested to G.L.R. (giuseppeleonardo.rotino@crea.gov.it) and A.Ah. (asaph.aharoni@weizmann.ac.il).
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