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Abstract: In this article, we modify the Picard-Lindelöf iteration scheme in order to show an iteration algorithm for parameter estimation of ordinary differential equations. The proposed algorithm inherited the advantages exhibited in the classical algorithms and, moreover, the parameters can be transformed to a form that are convenient and suitable for computation. In the end, a numerical example has also been discussed to highlight the results.
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1. Introduction: Multiple Shooting Method

Let

\[ \Theta = \{ (t_i, X_i) : i = 1, \ldots, m \} \]

be a set of given data. In general, we may interpret \( t_i \) as measurement moments.
of some, for example, experimental $d$-dimensional progress test or data $X_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Of course, we suppose: $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_m$ and $X_i = (X_{i1} \cdots X_{id})^T$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$.

Also, let

$$\dot{x} = f(t, x, p)$$

be a $d$-dimensional differential equation. We suppose that any trajectory of equation (1) is defined and unique in the time-interval $[0, T]$, $T > t_m$, for all initial conditions and all parameters $p \in \mathbb{R}^p$.

Let the data $\Theta$ satisfy the following observation law

$$X_{ij} = g_j(x(t_i), p) + a_{ij}\varepsilon_{ij}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m, \quad j = 1, \ldots, d, \quad (2)$$

where:

1. the function $g = (g_1 \cdots g_d): \mathbb{R}^{d+p} \to \mathbb{R}^d$ is continuous;
2. $a_{ij}$ are positive constants.
3. $\varepsilon_{ij}$ are independent and standard Gaussian distributed random variables.

On the basis of data $\Theta$ and law (2), the goal is to estimate the initial condition $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and the parameter vector $p_0 \in \mathbb{R}^p$ for differential equation (1) such that

$$\mathcal{L}(x_0, p_0) = \min \left\{ \mathcal{L}(y, p) : y \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad p \in \mathbb{R}^p \right\}, \quad (3)$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}(y, p) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{d} \frac{(X_{ij} - g_j(x(t_i; y, p), p))^2}{2a_{ij}}$$

and $x(t; y, p)$ is the solution of (1) with initial condition $x(0; y, p) = y$.

The direct minimization of $\mathcal{L}$ with respect to vectors $y$ and $p$ is exactly initial value approach.

It is well-known that the direct optimization methods used for problem (3) are highly nonlinear and in the general case computational complexity (and therefore the computational cost) is also high.

The multiple shooting method is an efficient and robust method minimising these two effects. Following Bock, see [2], [3], [4] (also see [1], [10] and references therein), we divide the interval $[0, T]$ into subintervals $[\tau_{i-1}, \tau_i]$ such that

$$t_0 = \tau_0 = 0; \quad \tau_i \in (t_i, t_{i+1}), \quad i = 1, \ldots, m-1; \quad t_{m+1} = \tau_m = T.$$
For every $i = 0, \ldots, m - 1$, we consider a different initial value problem

\[ \dot{x} = f(t, x, p), \quad t \in [\tau_i, \tau_{i+1}], \]

\[ x(\tau_i) = x_0^{(i)}, \]  

with corresponding solution $x(t; x_0^{(i)}, p)$.

Consider the cost function

\[ L_x \left( x_0^{(0)}, \ldots, x_0^{(m-1)}, p \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{d} \left( X_{ij} - g_j \left( x \left( t_i; x_0^{(i)}, p \right), p \right) \right)^2 \]

and the minimization problem

\[ \min \left\{ L_x \left( x_0^{(0)}, \ldots, x_0^{(m-1)}, p \right) : x_0^{(i)} \in \mathbb{R}^d, \ p \in \mathbb{R}^p \right\} \]

subject to

\[ \lim_{t \to \tau_i - 0} x \left( t; x_0^{(i-1)}, p \right) = \lim_{t \to \tau_i + 0} x \left( t; x_0^{(i)}, p \right), \ i = 1, \ldots, m - 1. \]  

Obviously (8) is equivalent to the following equality

\[ x \left( \tau_i; x_0^{(i-1)}, p \right) = x_0^{(i)}, \ i = 1, \ldots, m - 1. \]  

### 2. Picard-Lindelöf Iterations

Let us set

\[ \phi_0(t) = \begin{cases} 
X_1, & \text{if } t \in [\tau_0, \tau_1), \\
X_2, & \text{if } t \in [\tau_1, \tau_2), \\
\vdots \\
X_m, & \text{if } t \in [\tau_{m-1}, \tau_m] 
\end{cases} \]
and

$$\phi_{k+1}(t) = \begin{cases} 
C_{k+1}^{(0)} + \int_{\tau_0}^{t} f(s, \phi_k(s), p) \, ds, & \text{if } t \in [\tau_0, \tau_1), \\
C_{k+1}^{(1)} + \int_{\tau_1}^{t} f(s, \phi_k(s), p) \, ds, & \text{if } t \in [\tau_1, \tau_2), \\
\vdots \\
C_{k+1}^{(m-1)} + \int_{\tau_{m-1}}^{t} f(s, \phi_k(s), p) \, ds, & \text{if } t \in [\tau_{m-1}, \tau_m],
\end{cases} \quad (11)$$

where for any $k = 0, 1, \ldots$, the parameter $p$ and constant vectors $C_{k+1}^{(i)}$ are obtained as the solution of following constrained problem

$$\min \left\{ L_{\phi_{k+1}} \left( C_{k+1}^{(0)}, \ldots, C_{k+1}^{(m-1)}, p \right) : C_{k+1}^{(i)} \in \mathbb{R}^d, \ p \in \mathbb{R}^p \right\} \quad (12)$$

subject to

$$\phi_{k+1} \left( \tau_i, C_{k+1}^{(i-1)}, p \right) = C_{k+1}^{(i)}, \ i = 1, \ldots, m - 1. \quad (13)$$

Let us mark that (12), (13) is a classical constrained optimization problem. Hence, we may use any well-known solution metod such as any non-linear programming method.

**Theorem 1.** Let there exist a vector $X_0$ and two numbers $a > 0, b > 0$ such that:

1. The function $f$ is continuous in cylinder $C_{a,b}(X_0) = \{(t, x) : t \in [0, T], \|x - X_0\| \leq b\}$ and uniformly Lipschitz continuous with respect to $x$.

2. $\|X_i - X_0\| \leq b/2, \ i = 1, \ldots, m$ and $\|C_k^{(j)} - X_0\| \leq b/2, \ k = 0, 1, \ldots$.

3. $T \leq \min \{a, \frac{b}{M}\}$.

Then the limit

$$x(t) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \phi_k(t), \ t \in [0, T]$$

exists and the function $x(t)$ is a solution of minimization problem (7), (9).
Proof. We will follow the classical approach and techniques proving the convergence of Picard-Lindelöf iterations.

In the space $C^0([0,T], B_b(X_0))$ of all continuous functions from $[0,T]$ to $B_b(X_0) = \{x : \|x - X_0\| \leq b\}$ we consider the metric induced by sup-norm $\|\psi\|_\infty = \sup\{\|\psi(t)\| : t \in (0,T)\}$.

We define the Picard operator as follows

$$\Gamma : ([0,T], B_b(X_0)) \rightarrow ([0,T], B_b(X_0)),$$

$$\Gamma \psi(t) = \begin{cases} 
C^{(0)} + \int_{\tau_0}^t f(s, \psi(s), p) \, ds, & \text{if } t \in [\tau_0, \tau_1), \\
C^{(1)} + \int_{\tau_1}^t f(s, \psi(s), p) \, ds, & \text{if } t \in [\tau_1, \tau_2), \\
\vdots \\
C^{(m-1)} + \int_{\tau_{m-1}}^t f(s, \psi(s), p) \, ds, & \text{if } t \in [\tau_{m-1}, \tau_m],
\end{cases}$$

where the parameter $p$ and constant vectors $C^{(i)}$ are obtained as the solution of the following constrained problem

$$\min \left\{ \mathcal{L}_\psi\left(C^{(0)}, \ldots, C^{(m-1)}, p\right) : C^{(i)} \in \mathbb{R}^d, p \in \mathbb{R}^p \right\}$$

subject to

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \tau_i - 0} \psi(t) = C^{(i)}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m - 1.$$}

First we have to show that $\Gamma$ maps $C^0([0,T], B_b(X_0))$ into itself. Indeed, let $\|\psi\|_\infty < b$. Then for any $t \in [0,T]$, we have $t \in [\tau_i, \tau_{i+1})$ for some $i = 0, \ldots, m - 1$, i.e.

$$\|\Gamma \psi(t) - C^{(i)}\| \leq \left\| \Gamma \psi(t) - X_0 + X_0 - C^{(i)} \right\|$$

$$\leq \|\Gamma \psi(t) - X_0\| + \|X_0 - C^{(i)}\|$$

$$= \left\| \int_{\tau_i}^t f(s, \psi(s), p) \, ds \right\| + \|X_0 - C^{(i)}\|$$

$$\leq M|t - \tau_i| + \|X_0 - C^{(i)}\|$$

$$\leq MT + \frac{b}{2} \leq \frac{b}{2} + \frac{b}{2} = b.$$
Next we have to prove that $\Gamma$ is a contraction, i.e. for any two functions $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in C^0([0, T], B_b(X_0))$, we have (for some $q < 1$)

$$\|\Gamma \psi_1 - \Gamma \psi_2\|_{\infty} \leq q \|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_{\infty}.$$ 

Let us fix $t^* \in [0, T]$ such that

$$\|\Gamma \psi_1 - \Gamma \psi_2\|_{\infty} = \|(\Gamma \psi_1 - \Gamma \psi_2)(t^*)\|.$$ 

Let the index $i$ be chosen such that $t^* \in [\tau_i, \tau_{i+1})$. Using the definition of $\Gamma$ (as in classical case) we have

$$\|(\Gamma \psi_1 - \Gamma \psi_2)(t^*)\| = \left\| \int_{\tau_i}^{t^*} (f(s, \psi_1(s), p) - f(s, \psi_2(s), p))(t^*) \, ds \right\|$$

$$\leq \int_{\tau_i}^{t^*} \left\| (f(s, \psi_1(s), p) - f(s, \psi_2(s), p))(t^*) \right\| \, ds$$

$$\leq L \int_{\tau_i}^{t^*} \| \psi_1(s) - \psi_2(s) \| \, ds$$

$$\leq LT \| \psi_1 - \psi_2 \|_{\infty} < q \| \psi_1 - \psi_2 \|_{\infty}.$$ 

Therefore, using the Banach fixed point theorem, there exists a unique fixed point of $\Gamma$, i.e. there exists a unique function $\phi$ such that $\Gamma \phi = \phi$. 

\[\Box\]

3. A Two-Dimensional Example

As an example, let us consider the two-dimensional data

$$\Theta = \{(0.1, 1), (0.3, 0.34), (0.5, 0.2), (0.7, 0.15), (0.9, 0.1)\},$$

and linear model

$$\dot{x} = p_1 x + p_2.$$  

(14)

In this example we seek the parameters $p_1, p_2$, and $x_0$ such that the solution of equation (14) with initial condition $x(0) = x_0$ best fits the given data $\Theta$. It is suitable to choose $\tau_i = \frac{i}{5}, i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5.$
We define an initial guess for the approximation as:

\[
\phi_0(t) = \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{if } t \in [\tau_0, \tau_1), \\
0.34, & \text{if } t \in [\tau_1, \tau_2), \\
0.2, & \text{if } t \in [\tau_2, \tau_3), \\
0.15, & \text{if } t \in [\tau_3, \tau_4), \\
0.1, & \text{if } t \in [\tau_4, \tau_5].
\end{cases}
\]

The calculation algorithm listed below is based on CAS Maple.

```maple
restart; with(GlobalOptimization); with(plots);
data :=[[.1,1],[.3,.34],[.5,.2],[.7,.15],[.9,1]];
grid_data :=[0,.2,.4,.6,.8,1];
f :=(A,B,y)->A*y+B;
x[0]:=t->piecewise (0 <= t and t <.2 , data[1][2],
.2 <= t and t <.4 , data[2][2],
.4 <= t and t <.6 , data[3][2],
.6 <= t and t <.8 , data[4][2],
.8 <= t and t <=1 , data[5][2]);
p1 := pointplot (data , color = red );
p3 := plot (x[0]( t),t =0..1 , color = blue );
display (p1 ,p3 );

x[1]:=t->piecewise (
0<=tandt <.2 , C[1]+ int (f(A[k],B[k],x[k-1]( s)),s =.1.. t),
.2 <= tandt <.4 , C[2]+ int (f(A[k],B[k],x[k-1]( s)),s =.3..t),
.4 <= tandt <.6 , C[3]+ int (f(A[k],B[k],x[k-1]( s)),s =.5..t),
.6 <= tandt <.8 , C[4]+ int (f(A[k],B[k],x[k-1]( s)),s =.7..t),
.8 <= tandt <=1 , C[5]+ int (f(A[k],B[k],x[k-1]( s)),s =.9.. t ));
sol := GlobalSolve (sum (( x[k]( data[i][1]) - data[i][2])^2 ,
    i =1..5),
    [ seq(limit(x[k]( t),t=grid_data[i],left) =x[k]( grid_data[i]),i =2..5)],
    A[k]=-10..10,B[k]=-10..10,
    seq(C[j]=0..1,j=1..5));
assign(sol[2]);
p1 := pointplot (data , color = red );
p3 := plot (x[k]( t),t =0..1 , color = blue );
display (p1 ,p3 );

The output of GlobalSolve is (the decimal place accuracy is 4)
sol :=[0.0016, [A[1]=-5.7411, B[1]=.6842, C[1]=.9948, 
C[2]=.3623, C[3]=.1892, C[4]=.1251, C[5]=.1184]]
```
and the output graphics are plotted of Figure 1.

Continuing algorithm, on the second step we receive

\[
x_2(t) = \begin{cases} 
0, & t < 0, \\
1.7379 - 9.1097t + 16.5583t^2, & t \in [\tau_0, \tau_1), \\
1.2416 - 4.1468t + 4.1509t^2, & t \in [\tau_1, \tau_2), \\
0.8205 - 2.0413t + 1.5191t^2, & t \in [\tau_2, \tau_3), \\
0.4822 - 0.9133t + 0.57918t^2, & t \in [\tau_3, \tau_4), \\
-0.1193 + 0.5905t - 0.3607t^2, & t \in [\tau_4, \tau_5), \\
0, & t > 1. 
\end{cases}
\]  

(15)

It is not hard to calculate directly the solution of linear equation and to verify that the quadratic error is less than 0.00234.
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