A note on ”New abundant solutions for the Burgers equation”
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Abstract

Salas, Gomez and Hernández [A.Y. Salas S., C.A. Gomez S., J.E.C Hernández, New abundant solutions for the Burgers equation, Computers and Mathematics with Applications 58 (2009) 514 - 520] presented 70 ”new exact solutions” of a ”generalized version” of the Burgers equation. In this comment we show that all 70 solutions by these authors are not new and cannot be new.
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1 Introduction

Recently Salas, Gomez and Hernández in [1] considered the Burgers equation in the form

\[ u_t + \alpha u u_x + \beta u_{xx} = 0. \] (1)

The authors [1] believe that they studied a ”generalized version” of the Burgers equation but they are wrong here. Eq. (1) can be transformed to the usual form of the Burgers equation [2-4]

\[ u_t + u u_x = \beta u_{xx} \] (2)
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if we use the following transformations

$$u = \frac{1}{\alpha} u', \quad x = -x', \quad t = -t'$$  (3)

(primes in (2) are omitted).

Eq. (2) was firstly introduced in [5]. But this equation became popular after work [2] for describing turbulence processes. It is well known that the Burgers equation can be linearized by the Cole—Hopf transformation [6, 7]

$$u = -2 \beta \frac{\partial \ln z}{\partial x}$$  (4)

As a result of application of transformation (4), we have

$$u_t + uu_x - \beta u_{xx} = -2 \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \frac{z_t - \beta z_{xx}}{z} \right]$$  (5)

Thus, solution of the Burgers equation (2) can be expressed via solutions of the linear heat equation

$$z_t - \beta z_{xx} = 0$$  (6)

Solving the Cauchy problem for Eq. (6) we can obtain the solution of the Cauchy problem for the Burgers equation (2) [8, 9].

2 Analysis of 70 exact solutions of the Riccati equation by Salas, Gomez and Heranaández

Taking a ”new modified Exp-function method” into account Salas, Gomez and Heranaández in [1] have used the traveling wave $\xi = x + \lambda t$ for the Burgers equation (1) and obtained 70 solutions of the nonlinear ordinary differential equation

$$\lambda u'(\xi) + \alpha u(\xi) u'(\xi) + \beta u''(\xi) = 0.$$  (7)

At this stage the authors [1] essentially reduced a class of possible solutions for Eq. (1) because the authors studied the nonlinear ordinary differential equation (7) but not the partial differential equation (1).

The authors [1] did not note that Eq. (7) can be integrated. Integrating Eq. (7) with respect to $\xi$ we obtain the famous Riccati equation

$$-C + \lambda u(\xi) + \frac{\alpha}{2} u(\xi)^2 + \beta u'(\xi) = 0,$$  (8)

where $C$ is a constant of integration.
Equation (8) was introduced by Italian mathematician Jacopo Francesco Riccati in 1724. After that Eq. (8) was studied many times (see [10–15]).

The general solution of the Riccati equation is well known and is described by the formulae (see for example [9, 13])

\[
    u(\xi) = \frac{-\lambda}{\alpha} + \frac{2\beta K}{\alpha} \tanh \{K(\xi + C_1)\}, \quad K = \frac{\sqrt{2C\alpha + \lambda^2}}{2\beta},
\]

(9)

\[
    \lambda^2 + C^2 \neq 0,
\]

\[
    u(\xi) = \frac{2\beta}{\alpha \xi + 2\beta C_1}, \quad C = \lambda = 0,
\]

(10)

where \(C_1\) is an arbitrary constant.

These solutions were found more than one century ago and nobody can find new solutions of Eq. (8).

An alternative form of expression (9) is

\[
    u(\xi) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \left( 2\beta K - \lambda - \frac{4\beta K}{C_2 e^{2K\xi}} \right),
\]

(11)

where \(C_2 = e^{2K C_1}\).

Solution (11) follows from the set of identities

\[
    u(\xi) = \frac{-\lambda}{\alpha} + \frac{2\beta K}{\alpha} \tanh \{K(\xi + C_1)\} =
\]

\[
    = \frac{-\lambda}{\alpha} + \frac{2\beta K}{\alpha} \left( \frac{e^{K(\xi+C_1)} - e^{-K(\xi+C_1)}}{e^{K(\xi+C_1)} + e^{-K(\xi+C_1)}} \right) =
\]

\[
    = \frac{-\lambda}{\alpha} + \frac{2\beta K}{\alpha} \left( 1 - \frac{2 e^{-K(\xi+C_1)}}{e^{K(\xi+C_1)} + e^{-K(\xi+C_1)}} \right) =
\]

\[
    = \frac{1}{\alpha} \left( 2\beta K - \lambda - \frac{4\beta K}{1 + C_2 e^{2K\xi}} \right).
\]

(12)

Following to the report by one of the referees let us show that all solutions by Salas, Gomez and Heranández in [1] can be reduced to the formulae (9) or (11).

In [1], the solutions \(u_{2m}\) \((m = 1, \ldots, 35)\) are obtained from the solutions \(u_{2m-1}\) by replacing \(\mu\) by \(i\mu\). (There is typographical error in \(u_8\): ‘\(x+\)’ should
be ‘x−’.) Consequently, it is only necessary to show that solutions \(u_{2m−1}\) 
\((m = 1, \ldots, 35)\) are just special cases of (9) or (11). We have

\[ u_1 \text{ is } (11) \text{ with } K = −\mu/2, \lambda = −\beta \mu, C_2 = b_2; \]
\[ u_3 \text{ is } (11) \text{ with } K = \mu/2, \lambda = \beta \mu, C_2 = b_2; \]
\[ u_5 \text{ is } (11) \text{ with } K = −\mu/2, \lambda = −(\beta \mu + p \alpha), C_2 = b_2; \]
\[ u_7 \text{ is } (11) \text{ with } K = −\mu/2, \lambda = −(\beta \mu + \frac{p \alpha}{b_2}), C_2 = b_2; \]
\[ u_9 \text{ is } (11) \text{ with } K = \mu/2, \lambda = \beta \mu − \frac{p \alpha}{b_2}, C_2 = b_2; \]
\[ u_{11} \text{ is } (11) \text{ with } K = −\mu/2, \lambda = −(\beta \mu + p \alpha + \frac{p \alpha}{b_2}), C_2 = b_2; \]
\[ u_{13} \text{ is } (11) \text{ with } K = \mu/2, \lambda = \beta \mu − p \alpha − \frac{p \alpha}{b_2}, C_2 = b_2; \]
\[ u_{15} \text{ is } (9) \text{ with } K = \mu, \lambda = −p \alpha, K C_1 = i \pi/2; \]
\[ u_{17} \text{ is } (9) \text{ with } K = \mu, \lambda = −p \alpha, C_1 = 0; \]
\[ u_{19} \text{ is } (9) \text{ with } K = \mu/2, \lambda = −p \alpha, K C_1 = i \pi/2; \]
\[ u_{21} \text{ is } (9) \text{ with } K = \mu/2, \lambda = −p \alpha, C_1 = 0; \]
\[ u_{23} \text{ is } (9) \text{ with } K = \mu, \lambda = −\frac{p \alpha}{b_2}, K C_1 = i \pi/2; \]
\[ u_{25} \text{ is } (9) \text{ with } K = \mu, \lambda = −\frac{p \alpha}{b_2}, C_1 = 0; \]
\[ u_{27} \text{ is } (9) \text{ with } K = \mu, \lambda = −(p + \frac{p \alpha}{b_1}) \alpha, K C_1 = i \pi/2; \]
\[ u_{29} \text{ is } (9) \text{ with } K = \mu, \lambda = −(p + \frac{p \alpha}{b_1}) \alpha, C_1 = 0; \]
\[ u_{31} \text{ is } (9) \text{ with } K = \mu/2, \lambda = −\frac{p \mu a_1}{a_2}, C_1 = 0; \]
\[ u_{33} \text{ is } (9) \text{ with } K = a_2 \mu/2, \lambda = −\frac{p \mu a_1}{a_2}, K C_1 = i \pi/2; \]
\[ u_{35} \text{ is } (9) \text{ with } K = \mu/2, 2 K C_1 = \theta_0 + i \pi, \text{ where } \tanh \theta_0 = \frac{p \alpha + \lambda}{\beta \mu}; \]
\[ u_{37} \text{ is } (9) \text{ with } K = \mu/2, 2 K C_1 = \theta_0, \text{ where } \tanh \theta_0 = \frac{p \alpha + \lambda}{\beta \mu}; \]
\[ u_{39} \text{ is } (9) \text{ with } K = \mu/2, \lambda = −p \alpha, 2 K C_1 = \theta_0 + i \pi, \text{ where } \tanh \theta_0 = \frac{p \alpha + \lambda}{\beta \mu}; \]
\[ u_{41} \text{ is } (9) \text{ with } K = \mu/2, \lambda = −p \alpha, 2 K C_1 = \theta_0, \text{ where } \tanh \theta_0 = \frac{p \alpha + \lambda}{\beta \mu}; \]
\[ u_{43} \text{ is } (11) \text{ with } K = \mu/2, \lambda = −(−\beta \mu + p \alpha), C_2 = b_2; \]
\[ u_{45} \text{ is } (9) \text{ with } K = \mu/2, \lambda = −p \alpha + i \beta \mu b_2, K C_1 = \theta_0 + i \pi/4, \text{ where } \tanh \theta_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}; \]
\[ u_{47} \text{ is } (9) \text{ with } K = \mu/2, \lambda = −p \alpha − i \beta \mu b_2, K C_1 = −\theta_0 − i \pi/4, \text{ where } \tanh \theta_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}; \]
\[ u_{49} \text{ is } (9) \text{ with } K = \mu/2, 2 K C_1 = \theta_0 + i \pi, \text{ where } \tanh \theta_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}; \]
\[ u_{51} \text{ is } (9) \text{ with } K = \mu/2, 2 K C_1 = \theta_0, \text{ where } \tanh \theta_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}; \]
\[ u_{53} \text{ is } (9) \text{ with } K = \mu/2, 2 K C_1 = \theta_0, \text{ where } \tanh \theta_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}; \]
\[ u_{55} \text{ is } (9) \text{ with } K = \mu/2, \lambda = i \beta \mu b_2, K C_1 = \theta_0 + i \pi/4, \text{ where } \tanh \theta_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}; \]
\[ u_{57} \text{ is } (9) \text{ with } K = \mu/2, \lambda = −i \beta \mu b_2, K C_1 = −\theta_0 − i \pi/4, \text{ where } \tanh \theta_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}; \]
\[ u_{59} \text{ is } (9) \text{ with } K = \mu/2, \lambda = −p \alpha, K C_1 = −i \pi/4; \]
\[ u_{61} \text{ is } (9) \text{ with } K = \mu/2, \lambda = −p \alpha, K C_1 = i \pi/4; \]
\[ u_{63} \text{ is } (9) \text{ with } K = \mu/2, \lambda = −p \alpha − \frac{p \mu a_2}{a_1}, K C_1 = −i \pi/4; \]
$u_{65}$ is (9) with $K = \mu/2$, $\lambda = -p\alpha - \frac{\beta\mu a_2}{a_1}$, $K C_1 = i\pi/4$;

$u_{67}$ is (9) with $K = \mu/2$, $\lambda = -\frac{\beta\mu a_2}{a_1}$, $K C_1 = -i\pi/4$;

$u_{69}$ is (9) with $K = \mu/2$, $\lambda = -\frac{\beta\mu a_2}{a_1}$, $K C_1 = i\pi/4$.

In considering $u_{59}$, $u_{61}$, $u_{63}$, $u_{65}$, $u_{67}$ and $u_{69}$ it was used the identities

$$\tanh z - \text{sech} z = \coth \left( z - \frac{i\pi}{2} \right) - \text{cosech} \left( z - \frac{i\pi}{2} \right) = \tanh \left( \frac{z}{2} - \frac{i\pi}{4} \right)$$

(13)

Thus, the analysis of ‘many new solutions’ of the Riccati equation (7) shows that all 70 exact solutions by Salas, Gomez and Heranañe dez [1] can be found from the general solution (9) of Eq.(7). At first glance we have the only negative moment of work [1]. However the authors obtained 70 different forms of the solution of the Riccati equation. Taking the Riccati equation as the simplest equation in the method discussed in [16, 17] we can imagine how many methods can be suggested to search for exact solutions of nonlinear differential equations. Every form of the solution for the Riccati equation can be used in finding exact solutions of nonlinear ordinary differential equations. However we hope the researches will not use this dubious idea.

Salas, Gomez and Heranañe dez wrote in [1] “we conclude that the variant of the Exp - method here used is a very powerful mathematical tool for solving other nonlinear equations”. However the analysis of the solutions for the Riccati equation by the paper [1] points clearly to the obvious deficiency of the Exp - function method in finding exact solutions of nonlinear ordi nary differential equations: this method allows us to find many redundant solutions.

We affirm that Salas, Gomez and Heranañe dez in [1] made the errors that were discussed in works [18–26].

We are grateful to one of referee for the useful remarks and his careful consideration.
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