Surgical Site Infection after Cesarean Delivery in Times of COVID-19

Infecção de sítio cirúrgico após cesariana em tempos de COVID-19
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Abstract

Objective  To analyze effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on the consumption of personal protective equipment and products (PPEP), as well as the frequency of surgical site infection (SSI) among non-COVID-19 patients submitted to cesarean sections.

Methods  A retrospective study was conducted in a maternity unity of a public teaching hospital which was not part of the reference service for COVID-19 treatment. It compared PPEP consumption and the occurrence of SSI after cesarean sections in monthly periods before and after the occurrence of the first case of COVID-19 in Porto Alegre, state of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil. Personal protective equipment and products consumption was measured as units of masks, gloves, gowns, and caps, and use of alcohol-based products or soap for hand sanitation as ml/patient/day. The SSI index was calculated as the proportion of cases of SSI over the number of cesarean sections performed monthly during the study period.

Results  There was an increase in all measured items of PPEP, with consumption of disposable masks with a median of 1,450 units in the pre-COVID period, and of 2550 in the post-COVID period (a 75.9% increase). A decrease of 49% in SSI was detected, with a median of 1.74 in the pre-COVID period and of 0.89 in the post-COVID period.

Conclusion  The increase in consumption of PPEP could be a result of safer practices adopted by healthcare workers with the advent of COVID-19, of which the following reduction in the occurrence of SSI could be a direct consequence. Despite the severity of the crisis, one could state that extreme situations can lead to valuable reflections and opportunities for improvement.

Keywords
► surgical wound infection
► cesarean section
► personal protective equipment
► coronavirus

received
June 18, 2020
accepted
February 5, 2021

DOI https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0041-1729144.
ISSN 0100-7203.

© 2021. Federação Brasileira de Ginecologia e Obstetrícia. All rights reserved.
This is an open access article published by Thieme under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, permitting unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction so long as the original work is properly cited (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).
Thieme Revinter Publicações Ltda., Rua do Matoso 170, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, CEP 20270-135, Brazil
Introduction

The pandemic of COVID-19 reached 30,675,675 cases and 954,417 deaths worldwide as of the 21st of September 2020. Brazil was hit later but, as of the same date, 4,495,183 cases and 135,793 deaths were reported, with an underreporting of unknown magnitude. The World Health Organization (WHO) and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) issued guidelines on personal protective equipment and products (PPEP) for healthcare workers during the care of suspected or confirmed COVID-19 infection. Since the spectrum of the disease ranges from absence of symptoms to the demand of critical care, universal protection for healthcare workers in all settings became a standard of care. Due to the high infectivity and potential morbidity and mortality associated with COVID-19, increasing adherence of healthcare workers to safety recommendations was foreseeable. The present study aims at analyzing the possible effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on the consumption of PPEP, as well as the frequency of surgical site infection (SSI) among non-COVID-19 patients submitted to cesarean sections.

Methods

A retrospective study was conducted in a public maternal hospital that was not part of the reference services for COVID-19 treatment, comparing PPEP consumption and the occurrence of SSI after cesarean sections in periods determined as pre-COVID and post-COVID, based on the occurrence of the first documented case of COVID-19 in our city. The PPEP consumption was measured as units of masks, gloves, gowns, and caps, and use of alcohol-based products and soap for hand sanitation as ml/patient/day. The SSI index was calculated as the proportion of cases of SSI over the number of cesarean sections performed monthly during the study periods. The SSI was based on the criteria established by the CDC, including cases of superficial incisional SSI, deep incisional SSI, and organ/space infections. Data from April 2019 to February 2020 (pre-COVID) and from March to July 2020 (post-COVID) were compared. All cases of cesarean sections performed in both periods were included, except for five patients, in whom COVID-19 was suspected. Variables were described as median, minimum and maximum. Percentages were accompanied by confidence intervals (CIs). The analysis was performed with IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 20 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). The present study was approved by the Hospital Ethical Review Board (registered under the number 50047715.9.0000.5530).

Results

There was an increase in the consumption of all measured PPEP items (from 22.2% in the median value of consumption of surgical caps to 75.9% in the median of disposable masks), when comparing the pre-COVID to the post-COVID period (► Table 1). A decrease in the SSI was detected, with a
Table 1  Consumption of personal protective equipment and products and surgical site infection after cesarean section index in the pre-COVID-19 and post-COVID-19 periods by healthcare workers

| Factor                             | Pre-COVID* | Post-COVID** | % variation*** |
|------------------------------------|------------|--------------|----------------|
| Disposable masks (units/month)     | 1,450 (900–1,700) | 2,550 (2,300–4,500) | 75.9%          |
| Disposable Gloves (units/month)    | 15,000 (13,000–17,700) | 19,300 (17,000–22,000) | 28.7%          |
| Gowns (units/month)                | 140 (40–1,000) | 200 (160–300) | 42.9%          |
| Caps (units/month)                 | 1,800 (1,300–2,200) | 2,200 (2,000–2,500) | 22.2%          |
| Alcohol-based products for hand hygiene (ml/pct-day/month) | 22.90 (5.56–61.18) | 33.96 (15.92–60.25) | 48.3%          |
| Soap for hand hygiene (ml/pct-day/month) | 21.96 (6.11–42.25) | 27.67 (17.66–30.65) | 26.0%          |
| Postcesarean SSI (ln of SSI/total of procedures)* 100) | 1.74 (0–4.90) | 0.89 (0.00–1.55) | 48.9%          |
| Cesarean                           | 102 (92–126) | 127 (105–150) | 24.5%          |

Abbreviation: SSI, surgical site infection.
*Pre-Covid-19: April 2019 to February 2020.
**Post-Covid-19: and from March to July 2020. There was a significant increase in the absolute number of cesarean sections during this period because two maternity units in Porto Alegre were closed and obstetric cases were redirected to our hospital.
***Date presented as median (minimum-maximum); %variation = {([final-initial]/[initial]) * 100).

A reduction of 49% in the median of postcesarean SSI. The proportion of cesarean sections had no significant variation between both periods Pre-COVID and Post-COVID in the study.

Discussion

Being a retrospective study, a definitive cause-effect relationship cannot be established; nonetheless, the conspicuous association among the studied variables allows the inference that the decrease in consumption of PPE was probably a result of the adoption of safer practices by healthcare workers after the advent of COVID-19, and that the reduction in the occurrence of SSI was probably its resulting consequence. Such a sizable reduction leads us to believe that the former adherence of healthcare workers was less than ideal, despite consistent education efforts. We believe that this reduction may resemble the one obtained by the introduction of hand washing proposed by Semmelweis in the XIX century.8

Conclusion

Our data suggests that the advent of the COVID-19 epidemic had a positive impact on the adherence to safety measures and infection control among patients submitted to cesarean section. Despite the severity of the crisis, one could state that extreme situations can lead to valuable reflections and opportunities for improvement. A careful trend follow-up, after the eventual mitigation of the COVID-19, will be most needed.
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