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Abstract

Purpose The purpose of the study was to identify serum microRNAs providing a link between male subfertility and metabolic syndrome (MetS) and validate their diagnostic potential.

Methods Sera were analyzed for fertility and MetS-related parameters in subfertile men (n = 79) and controls (n = 38). Literature review identified miR-155-5p, miR-122-5p, miR-200a-3p, and miR-200c-3p which previously were associated with parameters of fertility as well as metabolic disorders. They were measured in the sera using an absolute quantitation method (qPCR). In order to investigate the value of miRNAs in predicting subfertility, receiver operating characteristic analysis was done.

Results Subfertile men had higher concentrations of miR-155-5p than controls (p = 0.003) and for miR-200c-3p, the difference was borderline statistically significant (p = 0.05). miR-155-5p and miR-200c-3p were also associated with subfertility in men with no metabolic disturbances (p = 0.008, p = 0.004, respectively). This association was abrogated if any component of MetS was present. The combination of miR-155-5p and miR-200c-3p with follicle-stimulating hormone, being a well-established subfertility parameter, resulted in an overall diagnostic power of AUC = 0.87, which was even higher when men without MetS components were analyzed (AUC = 0.93). Regarding MetS components, statistically significant correlations were found between miR-122-5p and fasting triglycerides, and waist circumference, but no association with subfertility was identified.

Conclusions Among the four miRNAs analyzed, none of them was associated both with male subfertility and MetS components. The ability of miR-155-5p and miR-200c-3p to identify subfertile men was partly overruled by the presence of metabolic disturbances.
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Introduction

Infertility is a global health problem and it is estimated that it is experienced by one out of 6–7 couples in the Western world [1, 2]. A predominant or partial contribution of a male factor is supposed to occur in half of the cases, with only a minor proportion of those conditions having known causes [1–3]. An association between male subfertility and androgen deficiency has been reported and the latter seems to be linked to the risk of metabolic syndrome (MetS) defined as the presence of at least three of the following risk factors: abdominal obesity, low high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, and elevated fasting glucose, triglycerides or blood pressure [2, 4]. It
has been demonstrated that all individual symptoms of MetS can be associated with impairment of male fertility through mechanisms not yet fully understood [2]. Among metabolic disorders, overweight and obesity come irrecusably to the fore. Obese men suffer from different pathological and clinical conditions compromising spermatogenesis mainly due to the dysfunction of hypothalamic-pituitary-testis axis dysfunction which clearly links obesity to reduced fertility [5].

A number of lifestyle factors have been identified as affecting male fertility and, in many cases, contributing to epigenetic modifications which might have an impact on the development of MetS [6]. Among molecules acting pleiotropically in cellular functions, microRNAs (miRNAs) have been pointed out as potentially regulated by androgens [7] and interacting with multiple genes controlling spermatogenesis [1, 8] as well as metabolism [9]. MiRNAs belong to a class of small non-coding RNA molecules containing about 22 nucleotides. By targeting the 3′ untranslated region (3′-UTR) of mRNAs, each miRNA regulates mainly post-transcriptionally expression of multiple genes and thereby, their action is classified as one of the epigenetic mechanisms [10, 11]. The role of miRNAs in affecting male fertility is not yet completely known but since they are active players in inflammation [12, 13], they may represent a link between impairment of male fertility and MetS.

MiRNAs are also present in serum/plasma as cell-free circulating miRNAs and can be utilized as biomarkers communicating changes in tissues being affected by several diseases. In a study by Tsatsanis et al., miR-155-5p appeared as a new and reliable biomarker of male subfertility. However, in that study a control population with a population of subfertile men were compared without having any reference values for miR-155-5p concentration in serum [14]. Additional studies have pointed to other miRNAs in the testicular tissue, seminal plasma or spermatozoa that also might be associated with male subfertility [15–18]. Whether changes in those miRNAs are reflected in changes in their serum levels is not known.

In the present study, we performed literature review and identified four miRNAs which in previous reports were linked to metabolic disturbances as well as to male fertility. However, these studies were based on investigation of independent cohorts. Therefore, the aim of current study was to assess the levels of these miRNAs in a cohort of men, with well-characterized reproductive as well as MetS parameters, in order to elucidate whether these miRNAs represent a pathogenetic link between male subfertility and metabolic disturbances. The secondary aim was to elucidate whether measurement of serum miRNA is a better tool in prediction of male subfertility as compared to analysis of follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) which is a well-established serum marker of spermatogenesis.

### Materials and methods

#### Study groups

Seventy-nine subfertile men were randomly chosen from a cohort recruited in an earlier study [4] at the Reproductive Medicine Center, Lund University Hospital, Malmö, Sweden. Subfertility was defined as sperm concentration below 20 × 10⁶/mL in at least two independent semen samples in men whose partner had not conceived within one year. As controls, 38 men selected from the general population were chosen. These men had not received any fertility treatment prior or during recruitment. The workup encompassed medical history and extensive clinical as well as laboratory evaluation of fertility- and MetS-related parameters. MetS was defined according to the criteria of the National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel II 2002 (NCEP-ATP III) [19]. Demographic data, anthropometric measurements, biochemical characteristics, and hormone levels are presented in Supplemental Table 1. Medical history and current medication are listed in Supplemental Table 2. This study was approved by the Regional Ethical Review Board in Lund, Sweden (no LU 2012/310). All subjects were recruited in 2012 and participated with written informed consent. The study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

#### Hormone and blood chemistry assays

Blood was collected between 8 and 10 a.m. after an overnight fasting. Hormone and blood chemistry assays were performed at the Department of Clinical Chemistry, Malmö. Total testosterone levels were determined by a two-step competitive immunooassay with an electro-chemiluminescence immunoassay (ECL), imprecision (CV%) 7% at 3.0 nM and 4% at 15 nM. Luteinizing hormone (LH) and sex hormone binding globulin (SHBG) concentrations were measured by using a one-step immunometric sandwich assay with ECLI. Free testosterone was calculated from total testosterone (TT), SHBG, and a fixed albumin level (43 g/L) [20]. Lipid (LDL, HDL, total cholesterol, and triglycerides) concentrations were determined using standard enzymatic methods. To assess fasting plasma glucose an automated hexokinase method was applied. Fasting insulin levels in serum were determined with an immunometric sandwich assay. Fasting insulin and fasting glucose were used to calculate homeostatic model assessment (HOMA) index according to equation (f-insulin × f-glucose/22.5). Glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) was measured with the VARIANT TURBO Hemoglobin A1c Kit 2.0 program using cation exchange and gradient elution. High sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP) was analyzed in a Beckman AU5400 analyzer using Beckman reagents.
Selection of miRNAs

To select miRNAs, literature review was performed applying the following criteria for selection: previously reported presence in serum, association with abnormalities in semen in subfertile men, and metabolic-related functions in at least one PubMed indexed paper. According to these criteria, miR-122-5p, miR-200a-3p, miR-200c-3p, and miR-155-5p were selected for further analysis. Supplemental Table 3 includes information and characteristics of the selected miRNAs.

Analysis of miRNAs expression by RT-qPCR

RNA was extracted from 200 μL serum by using miRCURY™ RNA Isolation Kit (Exiqon, Denmark) according to the manufacturer’s instruction. Because of the lack of reliable endogenous miRNA, which might serve as a reference, the fixed amount of external miRNA UniSp6 was added at the beginning of the RNA extraction procedure, mainly to effectively monitor potential RNA loss during extraction. Total RNA (4 μL) was reverse transcribed by using Universal cDNA Synthesis Kit II (Exiqon, Denmark). To determine expression levels of selected miRNAs, standard curves were generated (10-fold dilution series of for each of them by using an artificial oligo template with a starting dilution of 150 fM). Concentration of miRNAs ranged between femto- (fM) and attomolar (aM). Reactions were carried out in duplicates using a negative control sample and UniSp6 by using ExiLENT SYBR® Green master mix kit and the Stratagene Mx3000P instrument with MxPro™ software. The thermal cycling conditions were as follows: denaturation 95 °C 10 min followed by 45 cycles of 95 °C for 10 s and 60 °C 60 s and post-qPCR melting curve formation: 95 °C 1 min, 55 °C 30 s, 95 °C 30 s. Intra- and inter-assay coefficient of variability was determined for studied miRNAs (<2.41 and <3.98%, respectively). Calculations were performed based on the method described by Kroh et al. [21].

Results

miR-155-5p

In the group of subfertile men, serum levels of miR-155-5p were significantly higher than in controls. A similar difference was still observed after removing cases with at least one MetS component (Table 1). However, when subjects with azoospermia and Klinefelter syndrome were excluded from the analysis, the difference was less prominent (Supplemental Table 4). There were no statistically significant correlations between miR-155-5p expression and MetS parameters (Table 2).

miR-200c-3p

Among subfertile men, miR-200c-3p expression was borderline significantly higher than in controls (Table 1). Median levels were significantly higher in subfertile men when individuals with manifestations of MetS were excluded from the analysis. We did not observe any statistically significant correlations between miR-200c-3p levels and metabolic parameters (Table 2).

miR-122-5p

The levels of miR-122-5p did not differ significantly between controls and subfertile men, either with or without MetS components included (Table 1). Correlation analysis showed statistically significant associations with fasting triglycerides and...
waist circumference (Table 2). Moreover, we found a statistically significant correlation between miR-122-5p and sperm concentration (Supplemental Table 6). When the results were referred to overweight criterion, we found that miR-122-5p levels were significantly higher in subfertile men with BMI <25 (Supplemental Table 7).

**miR-200a-3p**

For miR-200a-3p, there was no statistically significant difference in serum levels measured in subfertile men and controls (Table 1). As in the case of miR-122-5p, exclusion of subjects with metabolic disturbances did not change the result. No statistically significant association of serum levels of miR-200a-3p with fertility status or any of the metabolic parameters was observed (Table 2).

**miRNAs as predictors of subfertility**

For miR-155-5p a calculated cut-off level of 67.4 aM predicted subfertility with sensitivity 0.82 and specificity 0.47 (AUC = 0.67, \( p = 0.001 \)). Corresponding values for miR-200c-3p were: cut-off level of 80 aM; sensitivity 0.39 and specificity 0.82 with AUC = 0.61, \( p = 0.001 \) (Table 3, Fig. 1). For FSH alone the cut-off value of 7.2 IU/L gave sensitivity of 0.71; specificity of 0.94 and AUC = 0.84 (\( p < 0.001 \)).

The combination of miR-200c-3p and miR-155-5p with the established subfertility marker FSH slightly increased diagnostic power giving AUC = 0.87 (\( p < 0.001 \)) (Fig. 1). In addition, if men with the presence of at least one component of MetS were excluded, the diagnostic power of the combination of all three parameters was further increased (AUC = 0.93, \( p < 0.001 \)).

**Discussion**

In the present study, we confirmed the previous finding regarding the association between levels of miR-155-5p and male subfertility [14]; however, none of the four miRNAs—miR-155-5p, miR-122-5p, miR-200a-3p, and miR-200c-3p—

### Table 1 Serum miR-155-5p, miR-200c-3p, miR-122-5p, and miR-200a-3p concentrations in controls and subfertile men

| miRNA          | All subjects | No MetS components\(^a\) | At least one MetS component\(^a\) | No MetS component, Klinefelter syndrome, and azoospermia\(^a\) |
|---------------|--------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
|               | Controls \( n = 38 \) | Subfertile \( n = 79 \) | Controls \( n = 22 \) | Subfertile \( n = 39 \) | Controls \( n = 22 \) | Subfertile \( n = 25 \) |
| miR-155-5p (aM) | 74.4 (75.5) | 118 (79.9) | 0.003 | 74.4 (70.6) | 117 (60.8) | 0.008 | 80.1 (93.1) | 120 (83.1) | 0.21 | 74.4 (70.1) | 97.4 (76.6) | 0.11 |
| miR-200c-3p (aM) | 59.1 (37.2) | 66.6 (46.5) | 0.05 | 46.3 (36.4) | 78.3 (46.9) | 0.04 | 68.4 (38.1) | 61.6 (47.0) | 0.90 | 46.3 (36.4) | 65.2 (46.5) | 0.03 |
| miR-122-5p (fM) | 10.6 (22.6) | 12.5 (25.3) | 0.22 | 11.7 (20.8) | 10.2 (24.3) | 0.35 | 13.8 (81.5) | 13.1 (26.3) | 0.89 | 11.7 (20.8) | 17.0 (26.1) | 0.19 |
| miR-200a-3p (aM) | 12.1 (24.3) | 14.6 (18.7) | 0.97 | 12.0 (13.1) | 12.8 (23.0) | 0.59 | 14.6 (29.2) | 14.6 (16.6) | 0.49 | 12.0 (13.1) | 12.8 (15.4) | 0.86 |

Data is presented as medians (IQR)

\(^a\) Missing data for two controls and one subfertile man

\(^b\) Mann-Whitney U test

### Table 2 Correlation of miR-155-5p, miR-200c-3p, miR-122-5p, and miR-200a-3p with selected MetS-related parameters. Analysis was performed by using Spearman’s rank test for all subjects included in the study

| Parameter\(^a\) | miR-155-5p | miR-200c-3p | miR-122-5p | miR-200a-3p |
|-----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Systolic blood pressure | 0.07 (0.44)\(^b\) | 0.04 (0.70) | 0.14 (0.13) | −0.19 (0.05) |
| Diastolic blood pressure | −0.02 (0.82) | 0.06 (0.52) | 0.17 (0.08) | −0.06 (0.52) |
| Fasting glucose | 0.02 (0.84) | 0.06 (0.55) | 0.04 (0.64) | −0.03 (0.77) |
| Fasting triglycerides | 0.17 (0.07) | 0.11 (0.25) | 0.28 (0.002) | 0.12 (0.26) |
| HDL | −0.10 (0.28) | −0.10 (0.27) | −0.17 (0.08) | −0.07 (0.49) |
| Waist circumference | −0.03 (0.76) | 0.01 (0.90) | 0.26 (0.01) | −0.08 (0.43) |

\(^a\) Data available for 115 subjects

\(^b\) The results are presented as Spearman’s rho (\( p \)-value)
Table 3  Receiver operating characteristics (ROC) metrics for miR-155-5p, miR-200c-3p, and FSH. The Youden index and associated optimal cut-off points were used to measure overall diagnostic effectiveness of discriminating between subfertile men and controls

|                     | miR-155-5p (all subjects) | miR-155-5p (no MetS components) | miR-200c-3p (all subjects) | miR-200c-3p (no MetS components) | FSH (all subjects) | FSH (no MetS components) |
|---------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| Cut-off             | 67.4 aM                   | 86.1 aM                         | 80.0 aM                   | 39.4 aM                         | 7.2 IU/L          | 7.3 IU/L                 |
| Sensitivity         | 0.82 (0.72–0.90)          | 0.78 (0.62–0.89)                | 0.39 (0.28–0.51)          | 0.95 (0.83–0.99)                | 0.71 (0.60–0.81)  | 0.78 (0.62–0.89)         |
| Specificity         | 0.47 (0.31–0.64)          | 0.59 (0.36–0.79)                | 0.82 (0.66–0.92)          | 0.41 (0.21–0.64)                | 0.94 (0.81–0.99)  | 0.96 (0.77–1.00)         |
| PPV                 | 0.77 (0.62–0.86)          | 0.78 (0.58–0.88)                | 0.82 (0.66–0.88)          | 0.75 (0.52–0.96)                | 0.97 (0.88–0.98)  | 0.97 (0.83–0.99)         |
| NPV                 | 0.56 (0.41–0.72)          | 0.59 (0.40–0.79)                | 0.39 (0.28–0.64)          | 0.82 (0.54–0.92)                | 0.60 (0.47–0.93)  | 0.70 (0.52–1.00)         |
| PLR                 | 1.56 (1.15–2.15)          | 1.89 (1.12–3.22)                | 2.13 (1.03–4.39)          | 1.61 (1.13–2.29)                | 1.28 (3.29–49.4)  | 17.1 (2.50–116.6)        |
| NLR                 | 0.37 (0.21–0.67)          | 0.38 (0.19–0.75)                | 0.75 (0.59–0.94)          | 0.12 (0.03–0.52)                | 0.31 (0.22–0.44)  | 0.24 (0.13–0.42)         |
| AUC                 | 0.67, p = 0.001           | 0.71, p = 0.003                 | 0.61, p = 0.048           | 0.72, p = 0.001                 | 0.84, p < 0.001   | 0.90, p < 0.001          |

Data available for:

a 79 subfertile men and 38 controls
b 39 subfertile men and 22 controls
c 79 subfertile men and 36 controls,

Results are presented as values (95% CI). For AUC p-value is given.

PPV positive predictive value, NPV negative predictive value, PLR positive likelihood ratio NLR negative likelihood ratio AUC area under the curve

proved, when tested in same cohort, to be associated with both subfertility and parameters of MetS. MiR-200c-3p was also identified as an additional potential serum-based biomarker of male subfertility. Moreover, we demonstrated that the presence of metabolic disturbances at least partly masks the association of miR-200c-3p with subfertility. However, neither miR-155-5p nor miR-200-3p, when tested alone, emerged to be a better indicator of fertility status than FSH in our study group.

MiRNAs belonging to the miR-200 family have been associated independently with metabolism and inflammation [9] and their upregulation functionally related to male reproductive function when tested in seminal plasma [24]. Analysis in serum showed only a borderline statistically significant difference in miR-200c-3p levels between subfertile and control men. However, the association with subfertility was increased when subjects with at least one manifestation of MetS were excluded from the analysis, suggesting that low-grade systemic inflammation (LGSI) and metabolic disturbances may over-ride its association with subfertility. Indeed, previous reports have shown that inflammatory factors such as TNF-α and NF-κB, which are elevated in MetS-induced LGSI, potently induce expression of miR-200 family miRNAs [25], suppressing any fertility-related elevation of these miRNAs. Even though the miR-200c-3p had limited sensitivity and specificity as a predictor of subfertility, combination of miR-155-5p, miR-200c-3p, and the established marker of subfertility FSH resulted in higher AUC than any of the markers alone, suggesting that each of the markers is affected by independent factors, finally together affecting male subfertility.

Our findings confirm previous reports showing an association of miR-122-5p with parameters used to define MetS [26, 27] and highlights its potential to be used as a single serum marker that reflects multiple metabolic disturbances. The correlation found between miR-122-5p and sperm concentration in subfertile men might show an indirect link with metabolic disturbances.

The limitations of this study arise mainly from the number of samples analyzed, reducing the statistical power. Including men with more prominent features of MetS would potentially allow for identifying miRNAs showing clearer associations between MetS components and subfertility. An additional limitation is the fact that extracellular miRNAs expression does not always reflect intracellular changes [26].

Fig. 1 Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve plots for predicting fertility status based on miR-155-5p, miR-200c-3p, FSH, and when all three predictors were combined
In conclusion, this study demonstrated the potential of serum-derived miR-155-5p and miR-200c-3p as non-invasive biomarkers of subfertility. Our findings suggest that these miRNAs are associated with subfertility but this association is concealed in men with metabolic disturbances. Levels of these miRNAs in men with no metabolic disturbances may reflect pathophysiological changes that in the future could lead to MetS. Currently, functions of numerous miRNAs in relation to different pathomechanisms have been deciphered at the cellular and tissue levels. Nevertheless, still little is known if these changes in cell-free miRNAs can reflect both gonadal function and multifactorial disorders such as MetS. A follow-up longitudinal study will allow us to investigate if dynamics of changes in serum levels of miRNAs investigated in the present study in subfertile men without metabolic disturbances can predict the development of MetS.

Acknowledgements This article is part of the ReproUnion collaborative study, co-financed by the European Union, Interreg V ÖKS (NVPS 20200407).

Compliance with ethical standards This study was approved by the Regional Ethical Review Board in Lund, Sweden (no LU 2012/310). All subjects were recruited in 2012 and participated with written informed consent. The study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

References

1. Gunes S, Arslan MA, Hekim GN, Asci R. The role of epigenetics in idiopathic male infertility. J Assist Reprod Genet. 2016;33:553–69.
2. Morrison CD, Brannigan RE. Metabolic syndrome and infertility in men. Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol. 2011;25:427–32.
3. Ashrani A, Zarghami N, Farzadi L, Nouri M, Shahnazi V. Altered of microRNA expression level in oligospermic patients. Iran J Reprod Med. 2014;12:681–6.
4. Bobjer J, Bogefors K, Isaksson S, Leijonhufvud I, Åkesson K, Giwercman YL, et al. High prevalence of hypogonadism and associated impaired metabolic and bone mineral status in subfertile men. Clin Endocrinol. 2016;85:189–95.
5. Oliveira PF, Sousa M, Silva BM, Monteiro MP, Alves MG. Obesity, energy balance and spermatogenesis. Reproduction. 2017;153:R175–83.
6. Palmer NO, Bakos HW, Fullston T, Lane M. Impact of obesity on male fertility, sperm function and male composition. Spermatogenesis. 2012;2:253–63.
7. Eisenberg I, Kotaja N, Goldman-Wohl D, Imbar T. MicroRNA in human reproduction. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2015;888:353–87.
8. Kotaja N. MicroRNAs and spermatogenesis. Fertil Steril. 2014;101:1552–62.
9. Deiuliis JA. MicroRNAs as regulators of metabolic disease: pathophysiology and emerging role as biomarkers and therapeutics. Int J Obes. 2016;40:88–101.
10. Yamada H, Suzuki K, Ichino N, Ando Y, Sawada A, Osakabe K, et al. Associations between circulating microRNAs (miR-21, miR-34a, miR-122 and miR-451) and non-alcoholic fatty liver. Clin Chim Acta. 2013;424:99–103.
11. Oger F, Gheeraert C, Mogilenko D, Benomar Y, Molendini-Coste O, Bouchaert E, et al. Cell-specific dysregulation of microRNA expression in obese white adipose tissue. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2014;99:2821–33.
12. Xiaoyan W, Pais EM, Lan L, Jingui C, Lin M, Fordjour PA, et al. MicroRNA-155: a novel armamentarium against inflammatory diseases. Inflammation. 2017;40:708–16.
13. Singh RP, Massachi I, Manickavelu S, Singh S, Rao NP, Hasan S, et al. The role of miRNA in inflammation and autoimmunity. Autoimmun Rev. 2013;12:1160–5.
14. Tsatsanis C, Bobjer J, Rastkhan H, Dermitzaki E, Katriniak M, Margioris AN, et al. Serum miR-155 as a potential biomarker of male fertility. Hum Reprod. 2015;30:853–60.
15. Wu W, Hu Z, Qin Y, Dong J, Dai J, Lu C, et al. Seminal plasma microRNAs: potential biomarkers for spermatogenesis status. Mol Hum Reprod. 2012;18:489–97.
16. Wu W, Qin Y, Li Z, Dong J, Dai J, Lu C, et al. Genome-wide microRNA expression profiling in idiopathic non-obstructive azoospermia: significant up-regulation of miR-141, miR-429 and miR-7-1-3p. Hum Reprod. 2013;28:1827–36.
17. Abu-Halima M, Hammadah M, Backes C, Fischer U, Leidinger P, Lubbad AM, et al. Panel of five microRNAs as potential biomarkers for the diagnosis and assessment of male infertility. Fertil Steril. 2014;102:989–97.
18. Abu-Halima M, Hammadah M, Schmitt J, Leidinger P, Keller A, Meese E, et al. Altered microRNA expression profiles of human spermatozoa in patients with different spermatogenical impairments. Fertil Steril. 2013;99:1249–55.
19. National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III). Third report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) expert panel on detection, evaluation, and treatment of high blood cholesterol in adults (adult treatment panel III) final report. Circulation. 2002;106:3143–421.
20. Vermeulen A, Verdonck L, Kaufman JM. A critical evaluation of simple methods for the estimation of free testosterone in serum. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 1999;84:3666–72.
21. Kroh EM, Parkin RK, Mitchell PS, Tewari M. Analysis of circulating microRNA biomarkers in plasma and serum using quantitative reverse transcription-PCR (qRT-PCR). Methods. 2010;50:298–301.
22. DeLong ER, DeLong DM, Clarke-Pearson DL. Comparing the areas under two or more correlated receiver operating characteristic curves: a nonparametric approach. Biometrics. 1988;44:837–45.
23. Ruopp MD, Perkins NJ, Whitcomb BW, Schisterman EF. Youden index and optimal cut-point estimated from observations affected by a lower limit of detection. Biom J. 2008;50:419–30.
24. Wang C, Yang C, Chen X, Yao B, Yang C, Zhu C, et al. Altered cell-free serum-derived microRNAs 155 and 200c as potential biomarkers for male infertility. Fertil Steril. 2013;99:1249–55.