Abstract: Super-resolution optical imaging is a consistent research hotspot for promoting studies in nanotechnology and biotechnology due to its capability of overcoming the diffraction limit, which is an intrinsic obstacle in pursuing higher resolution for conventional microscopy techniques. In the past few decades, a great number of techniques in this research domain have been theoretically proposed and experimentally demonstrated. Graphene, a special two-dimensional material, has become the most meritorious candidate and attracted incredible attention in high-resolution imaging domain due to its distinctive properties. In this article, the working principle of graphene-assisted imaging devices is summarized, and recent advances of super-resolution optical imaging based on graphene are reviewed for both near-field and far-field applications.
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1. Introduction

Graphene is a two-dimensional material made up of sp²-hybridized carbon arranged in a honeycomb crystal lattice with one-atom thickness [1]. Since single-layer graphene flakes were experimentally isolated by Geim and Novoselov in 2004 [2], it has drawn remarkable attention owing to its perfect structural [3], optical [4], electric [5], and thermal [6,7] properties. In the past few years, the research on graphene has made significant progress because many new effective synthesis methods of graphene in different types have been explored and accomplished including micromechanical exfoliation [8], growth on various substrates [9], deposition [10–12], and so on [13–15].

One of the most important properties of graphene is that the complex conductivity can be dynamically tuned by external parameters such as electric field, magnetic field, and gate voltage [19,20], which makes graphene behave like thin metallic materials that possess a negative permittivity at low frequencies [21]. In addition, the surface plasmon polariton in graphene is different from conventional plasmons in both metals and two-dimensional electron gases [22,23]. For instance, graphene plasmons show high confinement and relatively low loss with more flexible features. All these unique features have made graphene a promising candidate for a variety of crucial applications [24,25], such as super-resolution imaging and optical biosensing [26–28].

After the first microscope was invented and named as well as applied to observe cells successfully in the 17th century, microscopic techniques remain the most widespread imaging method and always play an irreplaceable role in the research field of nanotechnology and biotechnology, especially in bioscience [29], owing to its numerous advantages (e.g., noninvasive, reliable, suitable for various samples, and so on). However,
the resolution of traditional fluorescence microscopy is fundamentally limited to $\lambda / 2NA$ ($\lambda$ is the wavelength of the incident light and $NA$ is the numerical aperture). This intrinsic limit, also known as diffraction limit [29,30], has become the main obstacle to high-resolution optical imaging. Thus far, a great number of novel methods for achieving super-resolution imaging have been proposed and demonstrated experimentally in both near field and far field, such as near-field scanning optical microscopy (NSOM) [31–33]; far-field superlens (FSL) [34–36], hyperlens [37–39], and metalens [40–43]; stimulated emission depletion microscopy (STED) [44–47]; stochastic optical reconstruction microscopy (STORM) [48–52]; structured illumination microscopy (SIM) [53–55]; plasmonic structured illumination microscopy derived from SIM [56–58], and so on [59,60]. It is worth mentioning that graphene-related materials exhibit different properties according to their lateral size, number of layers and oxidation degree. For specific applications, graphene of different lateral sizes shows different performance expressions even if they are similar in terms of defects and number of layers. As the size increases, it becomes more difficult to disperse and composite with graphene. These controllable properties will also affect the device performance of graphene-assisted imaging components when graphene is integrated into a super-resolution imaging system. However, remarkable improvements are achievable. There are several super-resolution technologies which have been cooperated with graphene such as NSOM, hyperlens, superlens, STORM, and SIM. This review presents a comprehensive summary of the research on super-resolution optical imaging based on graphene, including both experimental and theoretical studies. The article first introduces the principle of super-resolution imaging with graphene and the following sections will focus on different imaging methods with graphene found in the bibliography and categorized by application regions (near field and far field).

2. Working Principle of a Super-Resolution Imaging System with Graphene

Achieving super-resolution imaging means to overcome the diffraction limit originated from the exponential decay of the evanescent waves which carry the high spatial frequency information of the objects. In essence, overcoming the fundamental limit means magnifying the evanescent waves directly or converting evanescent waves to propagating ones and further providing magnification. Graphene can significantly enhance evanescent fields due to the fact that its conductivity can be tuned in the infrared and terahertz (THz) regions [61,62].

Among all these amazing properties of graphene, the one that is most worthy of mention is that the surface conductivity of graphene can be tuned via external parameters [19,63]. The surface conductivity of graphene can be calculated by the Kubo formula [64–66]:

$$\sigma_g = \frac{ie^2 k_B T}{\pi \hbar^2 (w + i/\tau)} \left( \frac{\mu_c}{k_B T} + 2 \ln \left( e^{-\frac{\mu_c}{k_B T}} + 1 \right) \right) + \frac{ie^2}{4\pi\hbar} \ln \left[ \frac{2\mu_c - \hbar (w + i/\tau)}{2\mu_c + \hbar (w + i/\tau)} \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $e = -1.6 \times 10^{-19}$ C is the electron charge, $k_B = 1.3806505 \times 10^{-23}$ J/K is Boltzmann constant, and $\hbar = 1.05 \times 10^{-34}$ J·s is the reduced Planck constant. The surface conductivity of graphene depends on Kelvin temperature $T$, the radian frequency $w$, the momentum relaxation time $\tau$, and the chemical potential $\mu_c$. The chemical potential $\mu_c$ depends on the carrier density and can be controlled by gate voltage, electric field, magnetic field, and doping [67,68]. The effective optical permittivity of graphene can be written as:

$$\varepsilon_g = 1 + \frac{ie_g \eta_0}{k_0 \Delta}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $\eta_0 \approx 377$ $\Omega$ is the impedance of air and $\Delta$ is the thickness of graphene. It should be noted that the permittivity of graphene depends on the surface conductivity. At low frequencies such as infrared and THz range, graphene behaves like a thin metal layer with negative permittivity because the imaginary part of conductivity can be tuned to be
positive via an external parameter. Due to its capability of enhancing the evanescent field, graphene has become a promising candidate for imaging applications [69–71].

Moreover, since surface plasmons (SPs) have been experimentally demonstrated in graphene [28], graphene plasmons (the coupled state between photons and collective Dirac electrons in graphene) have found extensive practical applications [72,73]. Compared to the SPs excited on metallic surfaces, the field of SPs supported by graphene possesses significant advantages. Graphene plasmons are more tightly confined on the surface of graphene with an effective index capable of reaching 70 in the far-infrared region, compared to the index value of 1.03 for SPs on metal surfaces [4]. Besides, the damping loss of graphene plasmons is relatively low and the propagation distance could reach dozens of wavelengths of SPs [74]. It is also important that the SPs excited on graphene can be simply manipulated by external parameters [75–77]. The abovementioned advantages of graphene plasmons have made graphene a momentous candidate for a variety of practical applications [78–80], especially in the super-resolution imaging field [81–83]. Most recently, real-space imaging of acoustic plasmons in large-area graphene was experimentally demonstrated, enabling a new platform for strong light–matter interaction [84]. In addition, more graphene quantum dot based materials have been widely used for sensing and bio-imaging applications [85].

3. Super-Resolution Imaging Cooperated with Graphene

3.1. Graphene-Assisted Super-Resolution Imaging in Near Field

For near-field applications, graphene has been integrated with NSOM, superlens, and wire medium, and therefore can provide magnification of the evanescent waves for achieving super-resolution imaging [86–88] via tunable conductivity and the coupling of graphene plasmons [89–91]. Monolayer graphene was demonstrated to offer a sevenfold enhancement of evanescent information and successfully resolve buried structures at a 500 nm depth with $\lambda/11$-resolution via graphene-enhanced NSOM in 2014 [70]. A sharp probe, the key component of NSOM imaging systems, was used to pick up the evanescent signals, but it was incapable of imaging buried structures. However, when a monolayer graphene was coated on the top of a polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA) sample, the evanescent information could be enhanced and detected by the probe due to the surface plasmon polaritons of graphene with ultrasmall plasmon wavelengths as shown in Figure 1. In this case, the resolution of this imaging system is mainly determined by the wavelength of graphene plasmon rather than the free-space wavelength. With the assist of a graphene layer, a buried hole can be resolved clearly compared to the bare PMMA sample. Moreover, the configuration is more convenient and feasible than the superlens–NSOM combination, which needs a perfect superlens for practical applications.

Figure 1. Graphene-assisted imaging. (a) Schematic drawing of the graphene–PMMA hybrid system with a 1.5 μm diameter buried hole for near field imaging. (b) Near-field amplitude images collected with a bare PMMA layer, graphene–PMMA combination, and PMMA–graphene combination, respectively. In both graphene-assisted hybrid systems, the subwavelength hole can be clearly resolved. All images are 4 μm $\times$ 4 μm. Reprinted with permission from [70]. Copyright 2014 American Chemical Society.
In 2015, Forouzmand and co-workers proposed two kinds of novel graphene-loaded wire medium (WM) slab structures that were suitable for dual-band or tunable broadband super-resolution imaging in near field [81,82]. Figure 2a schematically illustrates the proposed devices of WM slab loaded graphene nanopatch metasurfaces (GNMs). The principle of the aforementioned structures relies on the enhancement of the evanescent waves due to the coupling of the SPs between the lower and upper graphene as well as the remarkable waveguiding of the evanescent waves of the WM slab [87]. In addition, the performance of the structures was analyzed in the presence of a magnetic line source (Figure 2b). The resolution was quantified by using the half power beam width (HPBW) [85] and the Rayleigh criterion. Super-resolution imaging effects may enable more extensive applications with practical potential and possess the capability of resolving closely spaced light sources, as indicated in Figure 2c using the Rayleigh criterion. The working mechanism of this superlens relies on graphene plasmon resonances and can significantly amplify the evanescent waves that include the high spatial frequency information and restore them at the image plane. Graphene plasmons excited at both lower and upper GNMs can be coupled and further used to help amplify the evanescent waves. As expected, the hybridization-enhanced bilayer design could achieve higher resolution because of stronger interactions than the monolayer graphene design. Note that the number of layers will affect the imaging performance since multilayer designs can involve the interactions between neighboring layers and therefore impact optical properties compared with the monolayer layout.

**Figure 2.** (a) Diagram of WM slab-loaded GNMs. (b) Sketch of the WM slab-loaded GNMs using a magnetic line source. (c) Normalized intensity distribution calculated at the image plane for 22.8 and 25.9 THz. Reprinted with permission from [81]. Copyright 2015 American Institute of Physics.
Another graphene-assisted subwavelength imaging device was reported in 2017 [71] which relied on the Fabry–Perot resonance of graphene edge plasmon waves [88,89] for breaking the diffraction limit in the THz frequency range. The superlens was constituted by a single sheet of graphene and a metallic grating voltage gate. Figure 3a shows the perspective of the proposed superlens and Figure 3b demonstrates the equivalent model for a certain frequency. The most noteworthy advantage of this superlens is that it can be easily manipulated in a wide range from 4.3 THz to 9 THz by adjusting the gate voltage. In addition, one can readily obtain subwavelength targets magnified images by replacing the grating gate with a radial shape. The best resolution achieved was 400 nm as shown in Figure 3c (top-view) and Figure 3d (cross section), leading to great potential applications in THz near field imaging systems. In addition, one should note that the impact of lateral size on device performance is significant since graphene edge plasmons are highly dimension dependent. For instance, by reducing the size of the graphene structures, one can readily manipulate the working frequency of graphene plasmons from longer wavelengths to the visible and near-infrared range.

A fast-paced graphene-based near-field optical microscopy (GNOM) for overcoming the diffraction limit was proposed by Inampudi and coworkers who utilized the electronic scanning property of graphene gratings (different from the mechanical scanning of a sharp tip in NSOM) [72]. Figure 4 schematically shows the proposed GNOM. Based on the fact that the graphene’s surface conductivity is reconfigurable, grating scattered light can be collected and then processed by the rigorous coupled-wave analysis. In this work, the authors demonstrated the highest resolution of $\lambda/150$ theoretically. In addition, numerical optimization based on the genetic algorithm was also demonstrated to design an optimum set of diffraction grating and minimize the artifacts in the image, which was an extremely challenging task [90].

In 2018, Liu and co-workers introduced a graphene sheet as an ultrathin nonlinear negative reflection lens for achieving super-resolution imaging based on four wave mixing (FWM) process in the terahertz regime [73] thanks to the fact that graphene possesses strong nonlinear electromagnetic response. This is totally different from traditional materials which reduce the field strength necessary for the nonlinear process, such as FWM [89]. Figure 5a schematically demonstrates the working principles. It has been theoretically predicted and experimentally validated that the FWM wave can be focused on a point in the image plane via modulating the incident angle. Figure 5b shows the electric field of the signal waves without the graphene lens (dotted line). As a comparison, FWM waves are plotted using the solid line, and one can see that the full width at half-maximum obtained is $3.28 \mu m (\lambda/5)$, which indicates great potential applications in THz microscopy.
Figure 4. Working mechanism of GNOM. The width of the graphene strips placed side-by-side (in the x-y plane) is represented by \( d \) and \( \Lambda \) is the periodicity. Reprinted with permission from [72]. Copyright 2017 The Optical Society.

Figure 5. (a) Schematic drawing of the negative reflection lens based on FWM process. (b) Electric field for the signal and FWM waves at the imaging plane. Reprinted with permission from [73]. Copyright 2018 The Optical Society.

3.2. Graphene-Assisted Super-Resolution Imaging in Far Field

In far field, graphene has normally been integrated with a hyperlens. Surface plasmons can help convert the evanescent waves to the propagating ones and therefore provide magnification for achieving super-resolution imaging via the tunable conductivity and the coupling of graphene plasmons. In 2013, Zhang and coworkers designed two kinds of different hyperlenses [69] composed by alternating graphene/dielectric layered structures for achieving super-resolution optical imaging in the mid-infrared range. They were triangle-shaped and cylindrical hyperlenses, respectively. Figure 6 schematically shows the cross-section view of the proposed novel layered structures.

The working mechanism is identical for these two kinds of hyperlenses, which relies on the fact that the hyperbolic dispersion curve can amplify and support the propagation of the evanescent waves straightly along the normal direction of the layered structures and form two imaging spots at the output plane under the condition of \( \text{Re}(\varepsilon_{\parallel}) > 0 \), \( \text{Re}(\varepsilon_{\perp}) < 0 \), and \( \text{Re}(\varepsilon_{\parallel}) \to 0 \) \((\varepsilon_{\parallel}, \varepsilon_{\perp}) \) are the permittivity of the structure along tangential and radial directions, respectively). The distances between two imaging spots are \( d/\cos\theta \) and \( d(\sqrt{r + 1})/r \) for the triangle-shaped and cylindrical hyperlenses, respectively. In addition, it is worth noting that the layered structures can achieve propagation of the evanescent...
waves for a fixed wavelength by manipulating $\mu_c$, as shown in Figure 7, enabling extensive potential applications in broadband super-resolution imaging.

![Figure 6](image-url)  
**Figure 6.** (a) Triangle-shaped hyperlens. The layered structures are covered with a silver coating (100 nm thick) with two slits (10 nm width) separated by $d = 3.3$ $\mu$m. (b) Cylindrical hyperlens is coated with a silver layer (100 nm thick) with two slits (50 nm wide) separated by $d = 1$ $\mu$m in the inner surface whose radius is defined as $r = 1$ $\mu$m. Reprinted with permission from [69]. Copyright 2013 The Optical Society.

![Figure 7](image-url)  
**Figure 7.** Far-field sub-diffraction imaging results under different conditions: (a) $\lambda = 9.2$ $\mu$m, $\mu_c = 0.0965$ eV, $\varepsilon_{||} = 0.1419 + 0.2933 i$, $\varepsilon_{\perp} = -159.8 + 378.6 i$; (b) $\lambda = 10.2$ $\mu$m, $\mu_c = 0.085$ eV, $\varepsilon_{||} = 0.1058 + 0.3709 i$, $\varepsilon_{\perp} = -74.07 + 341.7 i$; (c) $\lambda = 11.2$ $\mu$m, $\mu_c = 0.075$ eV, $\varepsilon_{||} = 0.4481 + 0.4678 i$, $\varepsilon_{\perp} = -122.9 + 152.8 i$; (d) $\lambda = 12.2$ $\mu$m, $\mu_c = 0.067$ eV, $\varepsilon_{||} = 0.761 + 0.5831 i$, $\varepsilon_{\perp} = -90.05 + 86.94 i$. Reprinted with permission from [69]. Copyright 2013 The Optical Society.

In 2016, Yang and coworkers proposed a graphene nanocavity on metasurface structure (GNMS) [83] to excite graphene surface plasmons at mid-infrared waveband and achieved super-resolution optical imaging by integrating the GNMS device with plasmonic structured illumination microscopy (PSIM). Figure 8a shows the schematic of GNMS and one can see that two layers of graphene are involved to form a cavity filled with water. Figure 8b illustrates the cross section of GNMS.
When the GNMS device is integrated with PSIM, an imaging resolution of 26 nm can be achieved because of the graphene plasmons with deep sub-wavelength. Figure 9a–c shows the simulation results of the electric distribution of graphene plasmonic interference patterns. Figure 9d presents the performance of GNMS quantified by using the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the point spread function (PSF). Since mid-infrared is safe for biological cells, this work may pave a new way for optical super-resolution imaging at mid-infrared waveband for biological research.

Moreover, the same group proposed another elegant design to realize wide-field optical imaging based on a hybrid graphene on metasurface structure (GMS) model [86].
Figure 10 is the schematic view of GMS, including a monolayer graphene deposited on a SiO\textsubscript{2}/Ag/SiO\textsubscript{2} multilayer design. From Figure 10b, one can see more clearly the cross-sectional view of a unite cell of the GMS.

In this work, it is crucial to utilize the most significant feature of graphene plasmons, which is the ultra-high wave vector, to combine the model of GMS with the PSIM method and further achieve super-resolution imaging. The authors employed the finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) method to model and simulate the GMS structure and found that the standing wave of surface plasmons (SW-SPs) with an 11 nm period can be achieved.

Simulation results are shown in Figure 11. The simulation results show that an imaging resolution of 6 nm could be obtained for a 980 nm illumination wavelength which was improved 39.6-fold in comparison with the conventional microscopy technique with resolution of 283 nm. Additionally, the resolving capability of GMS–PSIM system was acquired by imaging two point objects separated by 6 nm. The simulation results are shown in Figure 11.

In addition to the tunable surface conductivity and graphene plasmons, graphene has been introduced to the applications of electron microscopy to achieve super-resolution imaging of wet cells by utilizing the impermeable and conductive properties. More important bioimaging applications can be enabled using graphene-based nanomaterials (e.g., graphene quantum dots) and their derivatives [92–94]. Wojcik and coworkers utilized graphene which was synthesized by chemical vapor deposition (CVD) as an impermeable and conductive membrane to enable electron microscopy of wet and untreated cells, enabling direct electron microscopy of wet cells via simple sample preparation without demanding special devices and equipment as well as the comparable contrast and resolution with a conventional scanning electron microscope [95]. To summarize the above discussion on progress in super-resolution imaging with graphene-based nanostructures in infrared and THz frequencies for both near field and far field, Table 1 lists more detailed information for a more comprehensive and systemic comparison. Note that most of the experimentally demonstrated applications so far have been limited to infrared and THz
frequencies and it is extremely challenging to further extend the working ranges due to the intrinsic properties of graphene plasmons. However, more flexible and tunable devices are desired to meet the increasing demands of practical applications. In addition, more efforts should be made to further reduce the fabrication cost of such devices to enable massive production.

![Figure 11](image_url) (a) The reconstructed image of a point object in the x direction. (b) The image of the point object in the conventional fluorescence microscopy system. (c) FWHF comparison between (a) (red line) and (b) (blue line). (d) Illustration resolving capability of GMS-PSIM system of two point objects separated with different distances of 2, 4, 6, 10, and 20 nm. Reprinted with permission from [86]. Copyright 2017 The Optical Society.

| Year | Device Type | Near/Far Field | Waveband | Resolution | Physical Mechanism | Refs. |
|------|-------------|----------------|----------|------------|-------------------|-------|
| 2013 | Hyperlens   | Far field      | Mid-infrared | 0.14\(\lambda\) | Tunable conductivity | [69] |
| 2014 | SNOM        | Near field     | Infrared  | 0.11\(\lambda\) | Graphene plasmonic | [70] |
| 2015 | Superlens   | Near field     | 60 THz    | 0.50\(\lambda\) | Graphene plasmonic | [80] |
| 2015 | Wire medium | Near field     | 22.8 THz  | 0.10\(\lambda\) | Graphene plasmonic | [81] |
| 2015 | Wire medium | Near field     | THz       | 0.10\(\lambda\) | Graphene plasmonic | [82] |
| 2016 | GNMS        | Far field      | Mid infrared | 26 nm | Graphene plasmonic | [83] |
| 2017 | Superlens   | Near field     | 4.3–9 THz | 400 nm | Tunable conductivity | [71] |
| 2017 | GNOM        | Near field     | 30 THz    | 0.16\(\lambda\) | Tunable conductivity | [72] |
| 2017 | GMS         | Far field      | Infrared  | 6 nm | Graphene plasmonic | [86] |
| 2018 | FWM         | Near field     | THz       | 0.5\(\lambda\) | Tunable conductivity | [73] |

4. Alternative Imaging Devices Integrated with Graphene Oxide

Graphene oxide (GO) has also found extensive applications in integrated photonics [96,97] and therapy [98–101]. Moreover, it can be used to cooperate with quenched stochastic optical reconstruction microscopy (qSTORM) to achieve the super-resolution imaging effect of self-assembled peptide fibrils and Escherichia coli. In 2018, Li et al. experimentally demonstrated that GO coating with qSTORM could increase the imaging resolution and contrast [102] when imaging the peptides and bacteria via the strong quenching effect. Due to the same hexagonal lattice and similar electronic properties for both graphene and GO, the quenching effect is advisable with GO. In the experiments the authors designed, the stacked films were employed to perform qSTORM with GO coating as illustrated in Figure 12a. In this design, the GO coating played a significant role in enhancing the imaging resolution.
role in removing background noise and improving the signal-to-noise ratio. Figure 12b shows the reconstructed STORM image of peptide fibers. In the imaging performance of the self-assembled peptide fibrils, the contrast changed from 13 (±47%) to 133 (±40%) with GO and the resolution of the image with GO and without GO was 19 nm and 23 nm, respectively, using the Fourier Ring Correlation method [103,104] with qSTORM. In the imaging performance of Escherichia coli, the contrast changed from 24 (±27%) to 3317 (±37%) with GO. The resolution of imaging peptide fibrils and Escherichia coli was 11 nm and 24 nm with 5 nm GO coating by using the feature of interest (FOI) metric, leading to a dramatic improvement. Note that the oxidation grade of GO can affect the imaging quality since the carbon-oxygen ratio can simply modulate the photoluminescence quenching capabilities and therefore further control the device performance.

![Figure 12](image_url)

**Figure 12.** (a) The schematic of the stacked films to perform qSTORM with GO coating. Note that the Cy3b fluorophores belong to the Cyanine family. (b) Reconstructed STORM image of peptide fibers. Reprinted with permission from [102]. Copyright 2018 Springer Nature.

5. Conclusions and Outlook

To conclude the review, the working principles, implementations, and performances of the super-resolution imaging integrated with graphene/GO have been comprehensively summarized. Due to the most useful property that the surface conductivity of graphene can be rapidly tuned by external parameters, graphene is capable of enhancing the evanescent waves. The present review strongly suggests that graphene has great advantages in super-resolution imaging in the infrared and THz regions both in near field and far field. However, the use of the graphene for super-resolution imaging is still at a very early stage, which means that we still need a lot of time and efforts to explore its full potential experimentally. In our opinion, thinner, faster, and more reliable imaging devices using graphene-assisted components are highly desired for various practical applications and therefore, more efforts should be made to develop related devices. More flexible components are also needed to meet the increasing future demands. Moreover, thorough investigations on structural parameters are necessary for studying their impact and working mechanisms. Given the progress in both the theory and fabrication of the graphene-assisted materials, there is no doubt that more methods and devices on super-resolution imaging with graphene will be developed to meet the increasing demanding of more practical application requirements in the near future.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, X.J. and L.K.; methodology, X.J.; software, L.K.; validation, Y.Y. and Q.G.; formal analysis, J.L.; investigation, Z.D.; resources, Z.D.; writing—original draft preparation, L.K.; writing—review and editing, X.J. and G.S.; visualization, G.S.; supervision, Z.D. and G.S.; project administration, X.J.; funding acquisition, Y.Y. and J.L. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by the Natural Science Foundation of Hebei Province (Grant No. F2018501063), National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11704263), Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities Key Scientific Research Guidance Project (Grant No.
References

1. Allen, M.J.; Tung, V.C.; Kaner, R.B. Honeycomb carbon: A review of graphene. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 132–145. [CrossRef]

2. Geim, A.K.; Novoselov, K.S. The rise of graphene. Nat. Mater. 2007, 6, 183–191. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

3. Lee, C.; Wei, X.D.; Kysar, J.W.; Hone, J. Measurement of the elastic properties and intrinsic strength of monolayer graphene. Science 2008, 321, 385–388. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

4. Vakil, A.; Engheta, N. Transformation optics using graphene. Science 2011, 332, 1291–1294. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

5. Bonaccorso, F.; Sun, Z.; Hasan, T.; Ferrari, A.C. Graphene photonics and optoelectronics. Nat. Photonics 2010, 4, 611–622. [CrossRef]

6. Sang, M.; Shin, J.; Kim, K.; Yu, K.J. Electronic and thermal properties of graphene and recent advances in graphene based electronics applications. Nanomaterials 2019, 9, 374. [CrossRef]

7. Balandin, A.A. Thermal properties of graphene and nanostructured carbon materials. Nat. Mater. 2011, 10, 569–581. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

8. Novoselov, K.S.; Geim, A.K.; Morozov, S.V.; Jiang, D.; Zhang, Y.; Dubonos, S.V.; Grigorieva, I.V.; Firsov, A.A. Electric field effect in atomically thin carbon films. Science 2004, 306, 666–669. [CrossRef]

9. Mishra, N.; Boeckl, J.; Motta, N.; Iacopi, F. Graphene growth on silicon carbide: A review. Phys. Status Solidi A 2016, 213, 2277–2289. [CrossRef]

10. Zhang, Y.; Zhang, L.Y.; Zhou, C.W. Review of chemical vapor deposition of graphene and related applications. Acc. Chem. Res. 2013, 46, 2329–2339. [CrossRef]

11. Othman, M.; Ritikos, R.; Rahman, S.A. Growth of plasma-enhanced chemical vapour deposition and hot filament plasma-enhanced chemical vapour deposition transfer-free graphene using a nickel catalyst. Thin Solid Films 2019, 685, 335–342. [CrossRef]

12. Yang, X.H.; Zhang, G.X.; Prakash, J.; Chen, Z.S.; Gauthier, M.; Sun, S.H. Chemical vapour deposition of graphene: Layer control, the transfer process, characterisation, and related applications. Int. Rev. Phys. Chem. 2019, 38, 149–199. [CrossRef]

13. Fukumori, M.; Pandey, R.R.; Fujiwara, T.; TermehYousefi, A.; Negishi, R.; Kobayashi, Y.; Tanaka, H.; Ogawa, T. Diameter dependence of longitudinal unzipping of single-walled carbon nanotube to obtain graphene nanoribbon. Ipn. J. Appl. Phys. 2017, 56, 06GG12. [CrossRef]

14. Dimiev, A.M.; Khannanov, A.; Vakhitov, I.; Kiamov, A.; Shukhina, K.; Tour, J.M. Revisiting the mechanism of oxidative unzipping of multivall carbon nanotubes to graphene nanoribbons. ACS Nano 2018, 12, 3985–3993. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

15. Du, W.C.; Jiang, X.Q.; Zhu, L.H. From graphite to graphene: Direct liquid-phase exfoliation of graphite to produce single and few-layered pristine graphene. J. Mater. Chem. A 2013, 1, 10592–10606. [CrossRef]

16. Lotya, M.; Hernandez, Y.; King, P.J.; Smith, R.J.; Nicolosi, V.; Karlsson, L.S.; Blighe, F.M.; De, S.; Wang, Z.M.; McGovern, I.T.; et al. Liquid phase production of graphene by exfoliation of graphite in surfactant/water solutions. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 3611–3620. [CrossRef]

17. Stankovich, S.; Dikin, D.A.; Piner, R.D.; Kohlhaas, K.A.; Kleinhammes, A.; Jia, Y.; Wu, Y.; Nguyen, S.T.; Ruoff, R.S. Synthesis of graphene-based nanosheets via chemical reduction of exfoliated graphite oxide. Carbon 2007, 45, 1558–1565. [CrossRef]

18. De Silva, K.K.H.; Huang, H.H.; Joshi, R.K.; Yoshimura, M. Chemical reduction of graphene oxide using green reductants. Carbon 2017, 119, 190–199. [CrossRef]

19. Jiang, L.W.; Zheng, Y.S. Remarkable enhancement of terahertz conductivity of graphene tuned by periodic gate voltage. Phys. Lett. A 2010, 375, 203–207. [CrossRef]

20. Chang, Y.C.; Liu, C.H.; Liu, C.H.; Zhong, Z.H.; Norris, T.B. Extracting the complex optical conductivity of mono- and bilayer graphene by ellipsometry. Appl. Phys. Lett. 2014, 104, 261909. [CrossRef]

21. Wang, B.; Zhang, X.; Garcia-Vidal, F.J.; Yuan, X.C.; Teng, J. Strong coupling of surface plasmon polaritons in monolayer graphene shear arrays. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2012, 109, 73901. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

22. Chen, J.N.; Badioli, M.; Alonso-Gonzalez, P.; Thongrattanasiri, S.; Huth, F.; Osmond, J.; Spasenovic, M.; Centeno, A.; Pesquera, A.; Godignon, P.; et al. Optical nano-imaging of gate-tunable graphene plasmons. Nature 2012, 487, 77–81. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

23. Grigorenko, A.N.; Polini, M.; Novoselov, K.S. Graphene plasmonics. Nat. Photonics 2012, 6, 749–758. [CrossRef]

24. Koppeins, F.H.L.; Chang, D.E.; de Abajo, F.J.G. Graphene plasmonics: A platform for strong light-matter interactions. Nano Lett. 2011, 11, 3370–3377. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

25. De Abajo, F.J.G. Graphene plasmonics: Challenges and opportunities. ACS Photonics 2014, 1, 135–152. [CrossRef]
26. Low, T.; Avouris, P. Graphene plasmonics for terahertz to mid-infrared applications. ACS Nano 2014, 8, 1086–1101. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
27. Xiao, S.S.; Zhu, X.L.; Li, B.H.; Mortensen, N.A. Graphene-plasmon polaritons: From fundamental properties to potential applications. Front. Phys. 2016, 11, 117801. [CrossRef]
28. Huang, S.Y.; Song, C.Y.; Zhang, G.W.; Yan, H.G. Graphene plasmonics: Physics and potential applications. Nanophotonics 2017, 6, 1191–1204. [CrossRef]
29. Heilemann, M. Fluorescence microscopy beyond the diffraction limit. J. Biotechnol. 2010, 149, 243–251. [CrossRef]
30. Cricenti, A.; Colonna, S.; Girasole, M.; Gori, F.; Ronci, F.; Longo, G.; Dinarelli, S.; Luce, M.; Rinaldi, M.; Ortenzi, M. Scanning probe microscopy in material science and biology. J. Phys. D Appl. Phys. 2011, 44, 464008. [CrossRef]
31. Bulat, K.; Rygula, A.; Safraniec, E.; Ozaki, Y.; Baranska, M. Live endothelial cells imaged by scanning near-field optical microscopy (SNOM): Capabilities and challenges. J. Biophotonics 2017, 10, 928–938. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
32. Kazantzev, D.V.; Kuznetsov, E.V.; Timofeev, S.V.; Shelaev, A.V.; Kazantseva, E.A. Apertureless near-field optical microscopy. Phys. Uspekhi 2017, 60, 259–275. [CrossRef]
33. Bazylewski, P.; Ezugwu, S.; Fanchini, G. A review of three-dimensional scanning near-field optical microscopy (3D-SNOM) and its applications in nanoscale light management. Appl. Sci. 2017, 7, 973. [CrossRef]
34. Durant, S.; Liu, Z.W.; Steele, J.A.; Zhang, X. Theory of the transmission properties of an optical far-field superlens for imaging beyond the diffraction limit. J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 2006, 23, 2383–2392. [CrossRef]
35. Du, Y.J.; Zhang, Z.Y.; Xin, W.J. Study on the imaging characteristics of far-field superlens. Optik 2014, 125, 208–211. [CrossRef]
36. Li, W.; Chen, S.Q.; Deng, H. Potential application of far-field superlens in optical critical dimension metrology: A simulation study. Opt. Eng. 2017, 56, 53109. [CrossRef]
37. Lee, H.; Liu, Z.; Xiong, Y.; Sun, C.; Zhang, X. Development of optical hyperlens for imaging below the diffraction limit. Opt. Express 2007, 15, 15886–15891. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
38. Rho, J.; Ye, Z.L.; Xiong, Y.; Yin, X.B.; Liu, Z.W.; Choi, H.; Bartal, G.; Zhang, X.A. Spherical hyperlenses for two-dimensional sub-diffractional imaging at visible frequencies. Nat. Commun. 2010, 1, 143. [CrossRef]
39. Hayashi, J.G.; Stefani, A.; Antipov, S.; Lwin, R.; Jackson, S.D.; Hudson, D.D.; Fleming, S.; Argyros, A.; Kuhlmeier, B.T. Towards subdiffraction imaging with wire array metamaterial hyperlenses at MR frequencies. Opt. Express 2019, 27, 21420–21434. [CrossRef]
40. Lu, D.L.; Liu, Z.W. Hyperlenses and metalenses for far-field super-resolution imaging. Nat. Commun. 2012, 3, 1205. [CrossRef]
41. Lemoult, F.; Lerosey, G.; de Rosny, J.; Fink, M. Resonant metalenses for breaking the diffraction barrier. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2010, 104, 203901. [CrossRef]
42. Zuo, R.Z.; Liu, W.W.; Cheng, H.; Chen, S.Q.; Tian, J.G. Breaking the diffraction limit with radially polarized light based on dielectric metalenses. Adv. Opt. Mater. 2018, 6, 1800795. [CrossRef]
43. Zhuang, Z.P.; Chen, R.; Fan, Z.B.; Pang, X.N.; Dong, J.W. High focusing efficiency in subdiffraction focusing metalens. Nanophotonics 2019, 8, 1279–1289. [CrossRef]
44. Sarmento, M.J.; Oneto, M.; Pelacci, S.; Pesce, L.; Scipioni, L.; Faretta, M.; Furia, L.; Dellino, G.I.; Pelacci, P.G.; Bianchini, P.; et al. Exploiting the tunability of stimulated emission depletion microscopy for super-resolution imaging of nuclear structures. Nat. Commun. 2018, 9, 3415. [CrossRef]
45. Wang, L.W.; Chen, B.L.; Yan, W.; Yang, Z.G.; Peng, X.; Lin, D.Y.; Weng, X.Y.; Ye, T.; Qu, J.L. Resolution improvement in STED super-resolution microscopy at low power using a phasor plot approach. Nanoscale 2018, 10, 16522–16520. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
46. Vicidomini, G.; Bianchini, P.; Diaspro, A. STED super-resolved microscopy. Nat. Methods 2015, 18, 173–182. [CrossRef]
47. Zuo, R.Z.; Liu, W.W.; Cheng, H.; Chen, S.Q.; Tian, J.G. Breaking the diffraction limit with radially polarized light based on dielectric metalenses. Adv. Opt. Mater. 2018, 6, 1800795. [CrossRef]
48. Zhuang, Z.P.; Chen, R.; Fan, Z.B.; Pang, X.N.; Dong, J.W. High focusing efficiency in subdiffraction focusing metalens. Nanophotonics 2019, 8, 1279–1289. [CrossRef]
49. Sarmento, M.J.; Oneto, M.; Pelacci, S.; Pesce, L.; Scipioni, L.; Faretta, M.; Furia, L.; Dellino, G.I.; Pelacci, P.G.; Bianchini, P.; et al. Exploiting the tunability of stimulated emission depletion microscopy for super-resolution imaging of nuclear structures. Nat. Commun. 2018, 9, 3415. [CrossRef]
50. Wang, L.W.; Chen, B.L.; Yan, W.; Yang, Z.G.; Peng, X.; Lin, D.Y.; Weng, X.Y.; Ye, T.; Qu, J.L. Resolution improvement in STED super-resolution microscopy at low power using a phasor plot approach. Nanoscale 2018, 10, 16522–16520. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
51. Vicidomini, G.; Bianchini, P.; Diaspro, A. STED super-resolved microscopy. Nat. Methods 2015, 18, 173–182. [CrossRef]
52. Zuo, R.Z.; Liu, W.W.; Cheng, H.; Chen, S.Q.; Tian, J.G. Breaking the diffraction limit with radially polarized light based on dielectric metalenses. Adv. Opt. Mater. 2018, 6, 1800795. [CrossRef]
53. Karras, C.; Smedh, M.; Forster, R.; Deschout, H.; Fernandez-Rodriguez, J.; Heintzmann, R. Successful optimization of reconstruction parameters in structured illumination microscopy—a practical guide. Opt. Commun. 2019, 436, 69–75. [CrossRef]
54. Huttunen, M.J.; Abbas, A.; Upham, J.; Boyd, R.W. Label-free super-resolution with coherent nonlinear structured-illumination microscopy. J. Opt. 2017, 19, 85504. [CrossRef]
55. Wu, Y.C.; Shroff, H. Faster, sharper, and deeper: Structured illumination microscopy for biological imaging. *Nat. Methods* **2018**, *15*, 1011–1019. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

56. Turcottea, R.; Liang, Y.J.; Tanimoto, M.; Zhang, Q.R.; Li, Z.W.; Koyama, M.; Betzig, E.; Ji, N. Dynamic super-resolution structured illumination imaging in the living brain. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2019**, *116*, 9586–9591. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

57. Wei, F.F.; Lu, D.L.; Shen, H.; Wan, W.W.; Ponsetto, J.L.; Huang, E.; Liu, Z.W. Wide field super-resolution imaging through plasmonic structured illumination microscopy. *Nano Lett.* **2014**, *14*, 4634–4639. [CrossRef]

58. Bezryadina, A.; Li, J.X.; Zhao, J.X.; Koithambawala, A.; Ponsetto, J.; Huang, E.; Wang, J.; Liu, Z.W. Localized plasmonic structured illumination microscopy with an optically trapped microlens. *Nanoscale* **2017**, *9*, 14907–14912. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

59. Ponsetto, J.L.; Bezryadina, A.; Wei, F.F.; Onishi, K.; Shen, H.; Huang, E.; Ferrari, L.; Ma, Q.; Zou, Y.M.; Liu, Z.W. Experimental demonstration of localized plasmonic structured illumination microscopy. *ACS Nano* **2017**, *11*, 5344–5350. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

60. Bezryadina, A.; Zhao, J.X.; Xia, Y.; Zhang, X.; Liu, Z.W. High spatiotemporal resolution imaging with localized plasmonic structured illumination microscopy. *ACS Nano* **2018**, *12*, 8248–8254. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

61. Andryieuski, A.; Lavrinenko, A.V.; Chigrin, D.N. Graphene hyperlens for terahertz radiation. *Phys. Rev. B* **2012**, *86*, 121108. [CrossRef]

62. Li, P.N.; Taubner, T. Broadband subwavelength imaging using a tunable graphene-lens. *ACS Nano* **2012**, *6*, 10107–10114. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

63. Houmad, M.; Zaari, H.; Benyoussef, A.; El Kenz, A.; Ez-Zahraouy, H. Optical conductivity enhancement and band gap opening with silicon doped graphene. *Carbon* **2015**, *94*, 1021–1027. [CrossRef]

64. Hanson, G.W. Dyadic Green’s functions and guided surface waves for a surface conductivity model of graphene. *Phys. Rev. B* **2007**, *76*, 153410. [CrossRef]

65. Falkovsky, L.A.; Pershoguba, S.S. Optical far-infrared properties of a graphene monolayer and multilayer. *Phys. Rev. B* **2008**, *78*, 153402. [CrossRef]

66. Chen, P.Y.; Ali, A. Atomically thin surface cloak using graphene monolayers. *ACS Nano* **2011**, *5*, 5855–5863. [CrossRef]

67. Li, Z.Q.; Henriksen, E.A.; El Kenz, A.; Ez-Zahraouy, H. Optical conductivity enhancement and band gap opening with silicon doped graphene. *Carbon* **2015**, *94*, 1021–1027. [CrossRef]

68. Falkovsky, L.A.; Pershoguba, S.S. Optical far-infrared properties of a graphene monolayer and multilayer. *Phys. Rev. B* **2008**, *76*, 153410. [CrossRef]

69. Chen, P.Y.; Ali, A. Atomically thin surface cloak using graphene monolayers. *ACS Nano* **2011**, *5*, 5855–5863. [CrossRef]

70. Li, Z.Q.; Henriksen, E.A.; El Kenz, A.; Ez-Zahraouy, H. Optical conductivity enhancement and band gap opening with silicon doped graphene. *Carbon* **2015**, *94*, 1021–1027. [CrossRef]

71. Li, Z.Q.; Henriksen, E.A.; El Kenz, A.; Ez-Zahraouy, H. Optical conductivity enhancement and band gap opening with silicon doped graphene. *Carbon* **2015**, *94*, 1021–1027. [CrossRef]

72. Inampudi, S.; Cheng, J.R.; Mosallaei, H. Graphene-based near-field optical microscopy: High-resolution imaging using reconfigurable gratings. *Appl. Opt.* **2017**, *56*, 3132–3141. [CrossRef]

73. Liu, J.Y.; Huang, T.J.; Liu, P.K. Terahertz super-resolution imaging based on a graphene monolayer. *Sci. Rep.* **2015**, *9*, 10302. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

74. Irudayanathan, P.; Shroff, H. Faster, sharper, and deeper: Structured illumination microscopy for biological imaging. *Nat. Methods* **2015**, *12*, 4810–4817. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

75. Irudayanathan, P.; Shroff, H. Faster, sharper, and deeper: Structured illumination microscopy for biological imaging. *Nat. Methods* **2015**, *12*, 4810–4817. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

76. Bao, Q.L.; Zhang, H.; Wang, B.; Ni, Z.H.; Lim, C.H.Y.X.; Wang, Y.; Tang, D.Y.; Loh, K.P. Broadband graphene polarizer. *Opt. Express* **2013**, *21*, 20888–20899. [CrossRef]

77. Bao, Q.L.; Zhang, H.; Wang, B.; Ni, Z.H.; Lim, C.H.Y.X.; Wang, Y.; Tang, D.Y.; Loh, K.P. Broadband graphene polarizer. *Opt. Express* **2013**, *21*, 20888–20899. [CrossRef]

78. Bao, Q.L.; Zhang, H.; Wang, B.; Ni, Z.H.; Lim, C.H.Y.X.; Wang, Y.; Tang, D.Y.; Loh, K.P. Broadband graphene polarizer. *Opt. Express* **2013**, *21*, 20888–20899. [CrossRef]

79. Bao, Q.L.; Zhang, H.; Wang, B.; Ni, Z.H.; Lim, C.H.Y.X.; Wang, Y.; Tang, D.Y.; Loh, K.P. Broadband graphene polarizer. *Opt. Express* **2013**, *21*, 20888–20899. [CrossRef]

80. Bao, Q.L.; Zhang, H.; Wang, B.; Ni, Z.H.; Lim, C.H.Y.X.; Wang, Y.; Tang, D.Y.; Loh, K.P. Broadband graphene polarizer. *Opt. Express* **2013**, *21*, 20888–20899. [CrossRef]

81. Bao, Q.L.; Zhang, H.; Wang, B.; Ni, Z.H.; Lim, C.H.Y.X.; Wang, Y.; Tang, D.Y.; Loh, K.P. Broadband graphene polarizer. *Opt. Express* **2013**, *21*, 20888–20899. [CrossRef]

82. Bao, Q.L.; Zhang, H.; Wang, B.; Ni, Z.H.; Lim, C.H.Y.X.; Wang, Y.; Tang, D.Y.; Loh, K.P. Broadband graphene polarizer. *Opt. Express* **2013**, *21*, 20888–20899. [CrossRef]

83. Bao, Q.L.; Zhang, H.; Wang, B.; Ni, Z.H.; Lim, C.H.Y.X.; Wang, Y.; Tang, D.Y.; Loh, K.P. Broadband graphene polarizer. *Opt. Express* **2013**, *21*, 20888–20899. [CrossRef]

84. Bao, Q.L.; Zhang, H.; Wang, B.; Ni, Z.H.; Lim, C.H.Y.X.; Wang, Y.; Tang, D.Y.; Loh, K.P. Broadband graphene polarizer. *Opt. Express* **2013**, *21*, 20888–20899. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
85. Kumar, Y.R.; Deshmukh, K.; Sadasivuni, K.K.; Pasha, S.K.K. Graphene quantum dot based materials for sensing, bio-imaging and energy storage applications: A review. RSC Adv. 2020, 10, 23861–23898. [CrossRef]

86. Cao, S.; Wang, T.S.; Sun, Q.; Hu, B.L.; Levy, U.; Yu, W.X. Graphene on meta-surface for super-resolution optical imaging with a sub-10 nm resolution. Opt. Express 2017, 25, 14494–14503. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

87. Kaipa, C.S.R.; Yakovlev, A.B.; Maslovski, S.I.; Silverinhu, M.G. Near-field imaging with a loaded wire medium. Phys. Rev. B 2012, 86, 155103. [CrossRef]

88. Nikitin, A.Y.; Alonso-Gonzalez, P.; Velez, S.; Mastel, S.; Centeno, A.; Pesquera, A.; Zurutuza, A.; Casanova, F.; Hueso, L.E.; Koppens, F.H.L.; et al. Real-space mapping of tailored sheet and edge plasmons in graphene nanoresonators. Nat. Photonics 2016, 10, 239–243. [CrossRef]

89. Fei, Z.; Goldflam, M.D.; Wu, J.S.; Dai, S.; Wagner, M.; McLeod, A.S.; Liu, M.K.; Post, K.W.; Zhu, S.; Janssen, G.C.A.M.; et al. Edge and surface plasmons in graphene nanoribbons. Nano Lett. 2015, 15, 8271–8276. [CrossRef]

90. Ghanekar, A.; Ricci, M.; Tian, Y.P.; Gregory, O.; Zheng, Y. Strain-induced modulation of near-field radiative transfer. Appl. Phys. Lett. 2018, 112, 241104. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

91. Hipolito, F.; Taghizadeh, A.; Pedersen, T.G. Nonlinear optical response of doped monolayer and bilayer graphene: Length gauge tight-binding model. Phys. Rev. B 2018, 98, 205420. [CrossRef]

92. Younis, M.R.; He, G.; Lin, J.; Huang, P. Graphene-semiconductor nanocomposites for cancer phototherapy. Biomed. Mater. 2021, 16, 22007. [CrossRef]

93. Lin, J.; Chen, X.; Huang, P. Graphene-based nanomaterials for bioimaging. Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. 2016, 105, 242–254. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

94. Younis, M.R.; He, G.; Lin, J.; Huang, P. Recent advances on graphene quantum dots for bioimaging applications. Front. Chem. 2020, 8, 424. [CrossRef]

95. Wojcik, M.; Hauser, M.; Li, W.; Moon, S.; Xu, K. Graphene-enabled electron microscopy and correlated super-resolution microscopy of wet cells. Nat. Commun. 2015, 6, 7384. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

96. Zheng, X.; Xu, B.; Li, S.; Lin, H.; Qiu, L.; Li, D.; Jia, B. Free-standing graphene oxide mid-infrared polarizers. Nanoscale 2020, 12, 11480–11488. [CrossRef]

97. Wu, J.; Jia, L.; Zhang, Y.; Qu, Y.; Jia, B.; Moss, D.J. Graphene oxide for integrated photonics and flat optics. Adv. Mater. 2020, 33, 2006415. [CrossRef]

98. Li, R.; Wang, Y.; Du, J.; Wang, X.; Duan, A.; Gao, R.; Liu, J.; Li, B. Graphene oxide loaded with tumor-targeted peptide and anti-cancer drugs for cancer target therapy. Sci. Rep. 2021, 11, 1725. [CrossRef]

99. Chang, X.; Zhang, M.; Wang, C.; Zhang, J.; Wu, H.; Yang, S. Graphene oxide/BaHoF5/PEG nanocomposite for dual-modal imaging and heat shock protein inhibitor-sensitized tumor photothermal therapy. Carbon 2020, 158, 382–385. [CrossRef]

100. Sun, X.; Liu, Z.; Welsher, K.; Robinson, J.T.; Goodwin, A.; Zaric, S.; Dai, H. Nano-Graphene Oxide for Cellular Imaging and Drug Delivery. Nano Res. 2008, 1, 203–212. [CrossRef]

101. Liu, X.; Yan, B.; Li, Y.; Ma, X.; Jiao, W.; Shi, K.; Zhang, T.; Chen, S.; He, Y.; Liang, X.J.; et al. Graphene oxide-grafted magnetic nanorings mediated magnetothermodynamic therapy favoring reactive oxygen species-related immune response for enhanced antitumor efficacy. ACS Nano 2020, 14, 1936–1950. [CrossRef]

102. Li, R.H.; Georgiades, P.; Cox, H.; Panphak, S.; Roberts, I.S.; Waigh, T.A.; Lu, J.R. Quenched stochastic optical reconstruction microscopy (qSTORM) with graphene oxide. Sci. Rep. 2018, 8, 16928. [CrossRef]

103. Chen, Z.Y.; Berciaud, S.; Nuckolls, C.; Heinz, T.F.; Brus, L.E. Energy transfer from individual semiconductor nanocrystals to graphene. ACS Nano 2010, 4, 2964–2968. [CrossRef]

104. Nieuwenhuizen, R.P.J.; Lidke, K.A.; Bates, M.; Puig, D.L.; Grunwald, D.; Stallinga, S.; Rieger, B. Measuring image resolution in optical nanoscopy. Nat. Methods 2013, 10, 557–562. [CrossRef]