Revisiting a Disky Origin for the Faint Branch of the Sagittarius Stellar Stream
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Abstract
We investigate ways to produce the bifurcation observed in the stellar stream of the Sagittarius dwarf galaxy (Sgr). Our method consists of running N-body simulations of Sgr falling into the Milky Way for the last 3 Gyr, with added test particles on disk orbits that span a wide range of initial positions, energies, and angular momenta. We find that particles that end up in the faint branch are predominantly high-angular-momentum particles that can all originate from a single plane within the progenitor, nearly perpendicular both to the orbital plane of the progenitor and to the Milky Way stellar disk. Their original configuration at the start of the simulation corresponds to spiral features already present 3 Gyr ago, which could be, e.g., the result of a disk-like component being tidally perturbed, or the tidal tails of a satellite being disrupted within Sgr. We then run a simulation including the self-gravity of this disk component. Despite the remaining ambiguity of its origin, this disk component of the Sgr dwarf with spiral overdensities provides a first step toward a working model to reproduce the observed faint branch of the bifurcated Sgr stream.

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: Sagittarius dwarf spheroidal galaxy (1423); Stellar streams (2166); Dwarf galaxies (416); Galaxy dynamics (591)

1. Introduction
Since its discovery (Ibata et al. 1994, 1995), the Sagittarius dwarf galaxy (Sgr) has been under intense scrutiny as the closest example of an ongoing galactic merging event. The stellar stream generated by its tidal disruption (Ibata et al. 2001; Majewski et al. 2003) is an extended and complex kinematic structure in the stellar halo of the Milky Way (MW) and, as such, constitutes an invaluable source of information on the gravitational potential and history of both the MW and the progenitor dwarf galaxy itself.

Over the years, several models have been put forward in order to reproduce the shape of the stream and its kinematics (e.g., Ibata et al. 1997; Helmi 2004; Law et al. 2005). Among those, Law & Majewski (2010) reproduced most of the observational constraints at the time, involving, however, an unrealistic and unstable triaxial dark matter halo configuration for the MW. The latest up-to-date model bypassing this problem is that of Vasiliev et al. (2021, hereafter V21), in which the Sgr dwarf is infalling in the joint evolving gravitational potential of the MW and the Large Magellanic Cloud (LMC), yielding a very good agreement with recent Gaia data.

One of the remaining mysteries about the Sgr stream is the presence of a bifurcation, in the form of a faint branch running parallel to the main brighter branch, observed first in the leading arm (Belokurov et al. 2006), then in the trailing arm (Koposov et al. 2012). More recently, this bifurcation has been outlined with great precision by Ramos et al. (2021) using the latest Gaia EDR3 data (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2021).

Fellhauer et al. (2006) proposed an early explanation for the bifurcation, as the result of the young leading, old leading and trailing wraps overlapping and being slightly displaced due to the precession of the orbit (Yanny et al. 2009; Niederste-Ostholt et al. 2010), but this model did not match later observations of the stream.

Peñarrubia et al. (2010) then proposed a model in which the Sgr dwarf originally consisted of a rotating stellar disk embedded in a cold dark matter halo. A disk slightly misaligned with respect to the orbital plane was shown to produce a bifurcation as observed in the Sgr stream. However, the model predicted some remnant rotation in the center of Sgr today, which was not observed (Peñarrubia et al. 2011). See also Wang et al. (2022) for a more recent disk model.

Although not in the context of the bifurcation, an originally disky Sgr was also studied by Łokas et al. (2010) in order to explain the elongated shape of the remnant. This model makes use of the tidal stirring mechanism (Mayer et al. 2001; Kazantzidis et al. 2011), according to which dwarf spheroidal galaxies are the outcome of disky satellite galaxies being deformed due to galactic tides.

In this Letter, we reinvestigate the production of a bifurcation by selecting, within simulations of the Sgr stream, particles that end up in the observed faint branch, and then examining the properties of the initial conditions.

2. Methodology

2.1. Reference Model
The underlying model that we use for the present work is the N-body simulation proposed by V21 of the Sgr dwarf falling into a joint, evolving MW and LMC gravitational potential. This model constitutes an ideal basis for our investigations as it already reproduces many observational constraints (e.g., positions, proper motions, distances, and line-of-sight velocities, with the inclusion of the LMC being key for the latter two, especially in the leading arm), leaving us free to focus our efforts on the production of the bifurcation. In this context, the simulation starts 3 Gyr ago, at which point Sgr is made of a spherical King distribution stellar component of mass $2 \times 10^8 M_\odot$, immersed in a spherical dark halo of mass $3.6 \times 10^7 M_\odot$. The stellar and dark matter components are made of $2 \times 10^5$
particles each. The MW and LMC models are described in detail in V21.

2.2. Sagittarius Model and Simulation

Using the N-body code GYRFALCON (Dehnen 2000), we first reproduce the simulation provided by V21. Then, we add test particles to the initial conditions of the Sgr dwarf (self-gravity will be included in Section 3.2) to see which ones are more likely to end up in the faint branch of the Sgr stream by the end of the simulation.

Given the aforementioned works hinting strongly at the importance of rotation in Sgr to produce a bifurcation, we choose to populate our simulations with test particles with wide ranges of angular momenta. The sample of test particles is produced by generating stellar disks using AGAMA (Vasiliev 2019) and giving them each a different inclination w.r.t. the orbital plane of Sgr. Each disk has a scale radius \( R_{\text{disk}} = 0.9 \) kpc (V21’s King model has a scale radius of 1 kpc), a scale height \( H_{\text{disk}} = 0.18 \) kpc, central velocity dispersion \( \sigma_{\phi,0} = 4 \) km s\(^{-1}\), and is generated through a quasi-isothermal distribution function. The full AGAMA script for generating the disk is available in the shared data.

We use a right-handed Cartesian coordinate system centered on the MW with the \( xy \) plane being its disk plane, and the \( x \)-axis pointing along the Sun–Galactic center direction, with the Sun at \((x, y, z) = (-8, 0, 0)\) kpc. Our disks are generated in this plane, then we rotate them before launch by probing inclination angles \( i \) every 20°, both around the \( x \)-axis and around the \( y \)-axis using the following matrices, respectively:

\[
R_{x} = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \cos(i) & -\sin(i) \\
0 & \sin(i) & \cos(i)
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
R_{y} = \begin{pmatrix}
\cos(i) & 0 & -\sin(i) \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
\sin(i) & 0 & \cos(i)
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

For reference, for such a disk to be in the orbital plane of Sgr (at present), it would have to be rotated around the \( x \)-axis with the \( R_{x} \) matrix by an angle of \( i \approx 100° \). Before applying a rotation, our disk models have angular momentum aligned with the positive \( z \)-axis. Preliminary tests showed us that particles in the inner regions of the disk would not end up in the faint branch but rather end up close to the remnant of the progenitor. This is understandable as those particles are deeper in the potential well of the King model and much harder to strip. We thus select from those disks the \( 2 \times 10^4 \) outermost test particles out of the \( 5 \times 10^4 \) particles generated, allowing us to better probe the regions of interest. This corresponds to a hole in the inner \( \approx 1.5 \) kpc of the disks.

2.3. Stream Selection

In order to pick the particles that best match the observations of the faint branch of the Sgr stream, we use the polynomial fits proposed by Ramos et al. (2021, Table 1) for the \((\Lambda_{\phi}, \beta_{\phi})\) coordinate system centered on Sgr, introduced in Majewski et al. (2003) and representing the latitude and longitude along its stream. We use the slight sign modification of Belokurov et al. (2014) for this coordinate system, in which \( \Lambda_{\phi} \) increases toward the leading arm. In the final snapshot of our simulations, we thus select the test particles with \( \beta_{\phi} \) such that \( |\beta_{\phi}/P(\Lambda_{\phi}) - 1| < 0.2 \), where

\[
P(\Lambda_{\phi}) = -0.0003819\Lambda_{\phi}^2 + 0.01904\Lambda_{\phi} + 6.084
\]

applies to the leading arm part of the faint branch, and

\[
P(\Lambda_{\phi}) = -0.001563\Lambda_{\phi}^2 - 0.2805\Lambda_{\phi} - 3.040
\]

applies to the trailing arm part of the faint branch. We also require that \( |\Lambda_{\phi}| \gtrsim 20 \) in order to exclude the progenitor. In Figure 1, we show the Gaia EDR3 Sgr sample from Ramos et al. (2021), and we overplot what our faint branch selection of Section 3.1 based on Equations (3) and (4) from our simulations with test particles looks like.

3. Results

3.1. The Faint Branch as Test Particles

We trace our selection of disk particles in the faint stream (see Equations (3) and (4) and Figure 1) back to the initial conditions.

Figure 2 shows the fraction of test particles that end up in the faint branch based on the initial disk inclination and rotation. We only show the exploitable results: disks rotated around the \( x \)-axis by an angle \( 0^\circ \leq i \leq 180^\circ \) (top panels) and disks rotated around the \( y \)-axis by an angle \( 180^\circ \leq i \leq 360^\circ \) (bottom panels). We find that other rotations and angles do not lead efficiently to the creation of a faint branch, with at best \( \approx 2\%–3\% \) of particles ending in the desired regions.

![Figure 1. The Gaia EDR3 Sgr sample from Ramos et al. (2021) in the background (gray), with our selection of faint branch test particles from our simulations from Section 3.1.1 overplotted (red for leading arm, blue for trailing arm). The remnant of the progenitor lies in the 275° < R.A. < 300° region.](image-url)
3.1.1. Best Model: Rotation around the y-axis

The most appealing model consists of a single disk rotated by an angle $i = 280^\circ$ around the y-axis, which leads to a faint branch with roughly the same amount of stars in the leading and trailing parts, as can be seen in Figure 2. We pick this option as the preferred model in this work. In this case, the disk almost lies in the $yz$ MW plane, making it nearly perpendicular both to the MW disk ($-xy$ MW plane) and to the Sgr orbital plane ($-xz$ MW plane).

We thus run another simulation with the single disk rotated by an angle $i = 280^\circ$ around the y-axis added to the model of V21, still as test particles, but keeping this time the full disk, made of $10^5$ particles. After this rotation, our disk has angular momentum nearly aligned with the positive y-axis, with a small positive z component. A majority of this initially full disk ends up in the bright branch near the progenitor, and is not part of our selection. However, picking the disk test particles that end up in the faint branch once more, we are now interested in their distribution in the initial conditions. We find that our selection picks out high-energy and -angular-momentum particles of the disk and traces spiral arm-like features (Figure 3, top-left panel) in the outer disk, which would be sufficient to lead to the creation of the faint branch.

In order to highlight the importance of angular momentum, we note in passing that doing the same exercise (selecting the faint branch and looking back in initial conditions) with the stellar particles of the King model of V21 does not lead to any clear signature distribution in position, energy, or angular momentum.

3.1.2. Alternative Model: Rotation around the x-axis

Disks rotated around the x-axis with inclination angles $i = 60^\circ$ and $i = 80^\circ$ are also interesting, with $\approx 16\% - 17\%$ of the particles that end up in the faint branch (Figure 2). This is not too surprising: At such inclinations, the disk plane matches closely the Sgr orbital plane. In this configuration, stars in the Sgr disk are on prograde orbits with respect to the orbit of Sgr around the MW. This has been shown in Łokas et al. (2015) to lead to stars being stripped easily, producing thin streams.

The model with a disk rotated by an angle $i = 70^\circ$ around the x-axis is not implausible but produces slightly worse results than our best disk model rotated by $280^\circ$ around the y-axis: The trailing arm is harder to populate, and the agreement with Gaia kinematics is not as good. From the Sgr orbital plane, the plane of such a disk makes an angle of $-30^\circ$ around the x-axis. This value, which emerges naturally from our probing of the initial conditions when considering rotations around the x-axis, is very close to the value of $-20^\circ$ originally proposed by Peñarrubia et al. (2010). Data and plots for this model are provided in the repository.

3.2. Including Self-gravity

We now study whether the results of the previous section, obtained assuming that disk stars are massless tracers of the underlying potential, also hold when taking into account the self-gravity between disk stars. This will allow us to put forward a model that creates a faint branch like the one observed in the Sgr stream, using the initial conditions and gravitational potential of V21 as a backbone. In the Gaia EDR3 sample of Sgr of Ramos et al. (2021), stars with probability $\geq 80\%$ of being part of the faint branch make up $\approx 4\%$ of the total. We thus aim to be close to this ratio and replace $6600$ of the $2 \times 10^5$ stellar particles in the V21 model by new ones following our initial disky configuration, stars in the leading case of such a disk makes an angle of $-30^\circ$ around the x-axis, with a small positive z component. A majority of this initially full disk ends up in the bright branch near the progenitor, and is not part of our selection. However, picking the disk test particles that end up in the faint branch once more, we are now interested in their distribution in the initial conditions. We find that our selection picks out high-energy and -angular-momentum particles of the disk and traces spiral arm-like features (Figure 3, top-left panel) in the outer disk, which would be sufficient to lead to the creation of the faint branch.

In order to highlight the importance of angular momentum, we note in passing that doing the same exercise (selecting the faint branch and looking back in initial conditions) with the stellar particles of the King model of V21 does not lead to any clear signature distribution in position, energy, or angular momentum.

Disks rotated around the x-axis with inclination angles $i = 60^\circ$ and $i = 80^\circ$ are also interesting, with $\approx 16\% - 17\%$ of the particles that end up in the faint branch (Figure 2). This is not too surprising: At such inclinations, the disk plane matches closely the Sgr orbital plane. In this configuration, stars in the Sgr disk are on prograde orbits with respect to the orbit of Sgr around the MW. This has been shown in Łokas et al. (2015) to lead to stars being stripped easily, producing thin streams.

The model with a disk rotated by an angle $i = 70^\circ$ around the x-axis is not implausible but produces slightly worse results than our best disk model rotated by $280^\circ$ around the y-axis: The trailing arm is harder to populate, and the agreement with Gaia kinematics is not as good. From the Sgr orbital plane, the plane of such a disk makes an angle of $-30^\circ$ around the x-axis. This value, which emerges naturally from our probing of the initial conditions when considering rotations around the x-axis, is very close to the value of $-20^\circ$ originally proposed by Peñarrubia et al. (2010). Data and plots for this model are provided in the repository.

We now study whether the results of the previous section, obtained assuming that disk stars are massless tracers of the underlying potential, also hold when taking into account the self-gravity between disk stars. This will allow us to put forward a model that creates a faint branch like the one observed in the Sgr stream, using the initial conditions and gravitational potential of V21 as a backbone. In the Gaia EDR3 sample of Sgr of Ramos et al. (2021), stars with probability $\geq 80\%$ of being part of the faint branch make up $\approx 4\%$ of the total. We thus aim to be close to this ratio and replace $6600$ of the $2 \times 10^5$ stellar particles in the V21 model by new ones following our initial disky configuration, stars in the leading case of such a disk makes an angle of $-30^\circ$ around the x-axis, with a small positive z component. A majority of this initially full disk ends up in the bright branch near the progenitor, and is not part of our selection. However, picking the disk test particles that end up in the faint branch once more, we are now interested in their distribution in the initial conditions. We find that our selection picks out high-energy and -angular-momentum particles of the disk and traces spiral arm-like features (Figure 3, top-left panel) in the outer disk, which would be sufficient to lead to the creation of the faint branch.

In order to highlight the importance of angular momentum, we note in passing that doing the same exercise (selecting the faint branch and looking back in initial conditions) with the stellar particles of the King model of V21 does not lead to any clear signature distribution in position, energy, or angular momentum.
our particle. Doing so ensures that we keep the same total mass and do not alter the nonlinear dynamics too much.

We follow the evolution of our faint branch selection along the simulation in Figure 3, from initial spirals to eventually forming the faint branch. Our selection remains largely bound with angular momentum still pointing toward the positive $x$ direction until the second pericentric passage ($t \approx -1.1$ Gyr), which strips the faint branch particles from the progenitor.

Figure 4 (top panel) shows the $\tilde{L} - \tilde{b}$ view of the simulation at present time. The faint branch can be seen to be well populated, although with self-gravity now playing a role, a few of our particles end up close to the progenitor.

An issue is that the thick stream of the V21 model extends to the faint branch region already, resulting in an overly dense faint branch in Figure 4 (bottom panel). In a complete bifurcation model, the bright branch should ideally be thinner, which could probably be achieved with, e.g., a different initial density profile or a nonequilibrium transitional situation. We leave this exploration to a future study.

We compute the mean line-of-sight velocity in the remnant of the progenitor to make sure that our faint branch particles did not perturb the spherical model of V21 by adding significant rotation. We find a gradient of $\sim 10$ km s$^{-1}$, similarly to the pressure-supported model of Peñarrubia et al. (2011, Figure 2).

Interestingly, we note an overdensity of our particles in the $-180^\circ \leq \tilde{L}_\odot \leq -130^\circ$ region ($70^\circ \leq$ R.A. $\leq 120^\circ$) for which we do not have Gaia data. This signature appeared in all our simulations with disks of inclination close to that of the chosen model. It would be interesting to see if such an overdensity can be observed.

Finally, we compare in Figure 5 our faint branch particles to the faint branch selection (probability $\geq 80\%$) from the Gaia EDR3 sample of Ramos et al. (2021). The radial velocities follow the observed trend for the faint branch in the trailing and leading arms and are different from those of the bright branch in agreement with the data. We remind that our initial selection has been made purely in configuration space, so that this phase-space agreement is impressive. For proper motions, the difference in trends between the bright and faint branches is small in the data, as can be seen in Ramos et al. (2021, Figure 3). We note in passing that our faint branch simulation has a smaller scatter than the observed data in proper motions, probably due to both intrinsic dispersion (both in velocity and distance) and observational uncertainties. However, transforming our model into star particles with magnitudes and hence Gaia astrometric uncertainties is far beyond the scope of this contribution.

4. Discussion

Despite the obvious similarities with a full disk model (Peñarrubia et al. 2010), there are a few differences. For one, this spiral disky distribution allows us to populate only the faint branch and can be combined with a more massive spherical
model to also populate the bright branch. It also alleviates the issue of requiring substantial rotation in the progenitor’s remnant at present time (Peñarrubia et al. 2011). While out of the scope of the present work to produce a full Sgr model, we discuss possibilities that would lead to the presence of our faint branch selection and how the inner Sgr could be populated.

A possible scenario for the presence of this disky spiral distribution 3 Gyr ago could be a disky dwarf perturbed by tidal effects (Gajda et al. 2017) and/or having been affected by disk-shocking while crossing the MW disk. In addition to the spirals, the rest of the disk could be transitioning to a pressure-supported spheroidal galaxy as in the tidal stirring mechanism (Mayer et al. 2001). In this context, the inner galactic disk often goes through a bar perturbation (Kazantzidis et al. 2011; Łokas et al. 2014). It is thus possible that a bar would be present in Sgr 3 Gyr ago. With tidal heating, the bar transforms into a diffuse spheroid, part of which would then end up in the bright branch of the stream, and the rest would form the elongated remnant of the progenitor that is now observed. This model is attractive because both branches of the Sgr stream would come from the same stellar population, consistent with the small difference observed in metallicity between the faint and bright branches (Ramos et al. 2021).

Another possibility would be that Sgr was already having a substantial spheroidal component and that only a remaining rotating disk was affected. Indeed, fitting a full exponential density profile from the surface density profile of our spiral selection and extrapolating it to the inner disk, we find that a total disk mass of $2 \times 10^7 M_\odot$ or 10% to $15\%$ of the mass of the stellar component in V21’s model would be sufficient (the mass range depending on the proportion of faint branch stars, $\approx 4\%$ of stream stars in the data). Such a minor disk component would produce a very low rotation signal in the Sgr remnant at present time.

The spiral features could also be the tidal tails or stellar stream of an accreted globular cluster or dwarf galaxy inside the Sgr system. This is, however, less enticing as it would require the stellar populations of Sgr and the putative satellite to be fairly similar.

It is also interesting to compare the stripping history and geometry of this faint branch to full models (see, e.g., Ramos et al. 2021, their Figure 7). As shown in Figure 3 and in the shared material, our particles for the leading and trailing faint branch are both mostly stripped during the second pericenter of the simulation ($t \approx 1.1$ Gyr). In addition, this stripping produces a single “upper” faint branch that can be paired with another Sgr component that would produce the parallel bright branch, as opposed to the undesired “X shape” (Ramos et al. 2021) that is usually obtained when considering inner rotation and/or orbital precession.

5. Conclusion

We propose a model for the bifurcation of the Sgr stream in which the faint branch is populated by stars that were distributed in a disky spiral distribution within the progenitor 3 Gyr ago in a plane nearly perpendicular to both the Sgr orbital plane and the MW disk plane. This pattern emerged here naturally by probing a large range of initial position, energy, and angular momentum distributions for stellar test particles that end up in both the leading and trailing parts of the observed faint branch. Populating the faint branch this way opens the possibility of freely pairing this work with other Sgr components that would produce the parallel bright branch.
In the context of the tidal stirring mechanism studied in detail in Kazantzidis et al. (2011) for the formation of dwarf spheroidals, Sgr could previously have been a disky galaxy which 3 Gyr ago already held a bar (Łokas et al. 2014) and started the transition from a disky galaxy to a more isotropic and diffuse one. Low rotational velocity would then be observed today in the remnant in agreement with del Pino et al. (2021). The spiral features could be tidally induced, bar induced, or the result of disk shocking when crossing the MW. Although out of scope for the present study, it would be very interesting to see if this could be turned into a working model for the entire Sgr stream.

Another interesting albeit less likely possibility would be that this spiral distribution is the tidal tail or stellar stream caused by the disruption of a satellite of the Sgr system. Further observations of the stellar populations and their detailed chemistry in both the bright and faint branch will likely provide very useful information in deciding this matter.

Figure 5. Comparison of the faint branch (probability ≥80%) from the Gaia EDR3 Sgr sample of Ramos et al. (2021, gray) with the faint branch selection in our N-body model including self-gravity (red for the leading arm, blue for the trailing arm). From top to bottom: proper motions in \( \mu_{\lambda_0} \), in \( \mu_{\beta_0} \), and line-of-sight velocities (heliocentric reference frame). For comparison, the bottom panel shows bright branch members (probability ≥80%, yellow).
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We make available our addition to the model of V21 (https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4300977), including the particles leading to the creation of the faint branch as well as plots, a movie, and all related scripts, at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6581185.
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