ABSTRACT

Introduction Ceftriaxone is widely used in children in the treatment of sepsis. However, concerns have been raised about the safety of ceftriaxone, especially in young children. The aim of this review is to systematically evaluate the safety of ceftriaxone in children of all age groups.

Methods and analysis MEDLINE, PubMed, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, EMBASE, CINAHL, International Pharmaceutical Abstracts and adverse drug reaction (ADR) monitoring systems will be systematically searched for randomised controlled trials (RCTs), cohort studies, case–control studies, cross-sectional studies, case series and case reports evaluating the safety of ceftriaxone in children. The Cochrane risk of bias tool, Newcastle-Ottawa and quality assessment tools developed by the National Institutes of Health will be used for quality assessment. Meta-analysis of the incidence of ADRs from RCTs and prospective studies will be done. Subgroup analyses will be performed for age and dosage regimen.

Ethics and dissemination Formal ethical approval is not required as no primary data are collected. This systematic review will be disseminated through a peer-reviewed publication and at conference meetings.

PROSPERO registration number CRD42017055428.

INTRODUCTION

Cephalosporins are an important class of antibiotics for the treatment of a wide variety of infections. As a third-generation cephalosporin, ceftriaxone was approved for marketing in the USA in 1984.1 Similar to other β-lactam antibiotics, the antibacterial activity of ceftriaxone results from the inhibition of the bacterial cell wall synthesis.2 Since ceftriaxone has a strong antibacterial effect, long half-life and a good curative effect, it is widely used in neonates, infants and children in the treatment of sepsis and meningitis.3-7 Nevertheless, concerns have been raised about the safety of ceftriaxone in both adults and children.

The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) issued an alert in 2007, warning that the interaction of ceftriaxone with calcium-containing products may lead to a fatal adverse drug reaction (ADR) in neonates and the potential for this interaction exists in patients of any age.8 The seriousness of these events, coupled with their preventable nature, has led the FDA and the manufacturer to issue an important warning to the medical community and change the product labelling.9 Moreover, a wide range of other ADRs including liver injury have been reported in children and some have been added to the Summary of Product Characteristics (SPC).10-12 A weakness of SPC changes, however, is that health professionals are unaware of changes. Our aim is to provide a comprehensive review of safety information for ceftriaxone for paediatric patients. Such a review will be useful for both individual health professionals and groups developing antibiotic guidelines.13 Although a few reviews have evaluated ADRs of ceftriaxone in children in a certain system, there is a lack of overall assessment of risk estimates of ceftriaxone ADRs and review of ceftriaxone safety in children.14 15 The aim of this review is to systematically evaluate the safety of ceftriaxone in children of all age groups.

SYSTEMATIC REVIEW QUESTIONS

► What are the rates and categories of ceftriaxone ADRs in children?
► Is there a subgroup of paediatric patients for whom the risk of ceftriaxone ADRs is higher and where other antibiotics may be more appropriate?
METHODS
Rigorous methodology will be adopted in this review and will conform to the reporting standards of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses. This protocol is registered with the international prospective register of systematic reviews: CRD42017055428.

ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA
Selection criteria will use the Population, Intervention, Comparison, Outcome, Study design to determine eligibility of articles. No limitation on language and year of publication will be set.

Population
We will include paediatric patients aged from birth to 18 years and classified according to the International Conference on Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Registration of Pharmaceuticals for Human Use criteria: preterm newborn infants, term newborn infants (0–27 days), infants and toddlers (28 days to 25 months), children (24 months to 11 years) and adolescents (12–18 years). There will be no limitation on medical condition or indication.

Intervention
Ceftriaxone.

Comparison
Placebo, no intervention or other pharmacological interventions.

Outcome
The incidence and category of ADRs in paediatric patients. WHO—Uppsala Monitoring Centre system will be adopted to evaluate the relevance of suspected ADRs and ceftriaxone.

Study design
We will include all types of studies including randomised controlled trial (RCT), cohort study, case–control study, cross-sectional study, case series and case report in the systematic review. Only peer-reviewed publications will be included. The reason for including all study types is that toxicity is poorly reported in RCTs. Previous systematic reviews of toxicity of medicines have always included case series and case reports. This will allow a comprehensive evaluation of the safety of ceftriaxone in paediatric patients. Editorials, conference abstracts and reviews will be excluded. Studies evaluating the safety of using ceftriaxone during pregnancy and studies including both children and adults will be excluded if they fail to clearly state the age of patients who experienced ADRs.

We will also review ADR reports from pharmacovigilance systems.

SEARCH STRATEGY
We will search MEDLINE, PubMed, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, EMBASE, CINAHL and International Pharmaceutical Abstracts for papers describing ceftriaxone toxicity in neonates, infants, children and adolescents. The search strategy is specific for each database and includes a combination of the medical subject headings and free text terms for safety, ceftriaxone and neonates, infants and children (online supplementary appendix 1). It will include all languages. All searches will be from the beginning of the database until December 2016. We will look for additional studies in the reference lists of selected articles and contact authors for unclear information.

We will also search ADR spontaneous reporting systems to include: (1) warnings issued; (2) case reports of ADRs to ceftriaxone in children reported and (3) signal detection studies performed. The systems include WHO Pharmaceuticals Newsletter and VigiBase from WHO; FDA Drug Safety Newsletter Fact Sheet and FDA Medwatch Safety alert from America; Canadian Adverse Reaction Newsletter and Canada Vigilance Adverse Reaction Online Database from Canada; Database of Adverse Event Notifications, the Australian Adverse Drug Reactions and Medicines Safety Update from Australia; Centre for Adverse Reactions Monitoring from New Zealand; European Directorate for the Quality Medicines and European public assessment reports from Europe; Drug Safety Update, Alerts and recalls for drugs and medical devices, Safety warnings, alerts and recalls, and Safety public assessment reports from the United Kingdom and Adverse Drug Reaction Bulletin from China.

STUDY RECORDS
The literature search results will be uploaded to EndNote X7. Two reviewers will independently screen and select studies for possible inclusion in the study in two phases: title and abstracts as the first step and full text as the second step (MMH and Jiangmin). Any disagreements will be resolved by discussion and if needed a third reviewer (LNZ).

RISK OF BIAS IN INDIVIDUAL STUDIES
We will use the Cochrane risk of bias tool for RCTs and Newcastle-Ottawa Scale for case–control and cohort studies. The quality of cross-sectional study, case series and case reports will be assessed by tailored quality assessment tools developed jointly by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute and Research Triangle Institute International of National Institutes of Health based on other tools from the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, the Cochrane Collaboration, the United States Preventive Services Task Force, the Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network and the National Health Service Centre for
DATA EXTRACT

Data will be extracted from all included studies using specifically developed data extraction forms. Extracted information will include: study information (study ID, citation, country, institution, contact information), confirmation of eligibility for review, method (study design, information of study quality according to quality assessment criteria of different types of studies), participant and setting (sample size, inclusion criteria, diagnostic criteria, setting), intervention (medicine, route, dose), outcomes (type and severity of ADRs) and conclusion (authors’ conclusion on safety evaluation). For continuous data, mean, SD and number of participants will be extracted. For categorical data, events and total number of participants will be extracted. Whenever possible, we will use the results from an intention-to-treat analysis. The author of the included studies will be contacted, when necessary, to gather relevant information.

DATA ANALYSIS AND SYNTHESIS

Strategy for data synthesis

Relative risks will be measured in RCTs and cohort studies, ORs in case-control studies and reporting ORs in ADR spontaneous reporting systems. Only ADRs identified from two or more studies will be analysed. The relative risks of ADRs present in at least two RCTs and cohort studies will be calculated, and only the RR >1 with at least three cases will be included suggesting that more ADRs were associated with ceftriaxone. Meta-analysis of the RCTs will be done using RevMan. The data will be considered homogeneous if I² ≤ 50%. Homogeneous data will be analysed using the fixed-effect model, whereas heterogeneous data will be analysed using the random-effect model. The incidence of total ADRs and severe ADRs will be assessed separately. The χ² test will be used to compare cases. P-values <0.05 will be considered statistically significant for all analyses.

Subgroup analyses

Subgroup analyses or, if applicable, metaregression analyses will be performed for factors presumed to cause variations in outcomes including age and dosage regimen (total dosage and total course).

ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION

The result of this systematic review will be disseminated by publication in a peer-reviewed journal. Ethical assessment is not needed because we will search and evaluate only existing sources of literature. Our findings should be of benefit to health professionals who prescribe ceftriaxone.
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