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ABSTRACT

It is desirable for a text-to-speech system to take into account the environment where synthetic speech is presented, and provide appropriate context-dependent output to the user. In this paper, we present and compare various approaches for generating different speaking styles, namely, normal, Lombard, and whisper speech, using only limited data. The following systems are proposed and assessed: 1) Pre-training and fine-tuning a model for each style. 2) Lombard and whisper speech conversion through a signal processing based approach. 3) Multi-style generation using a single model based on a speaker verification model. Our mean opinion score and AB preference listening tests show that 1) we can generate high quality speech through the pre-training/fine-tuning approach for all speaking styles. 2) Although our speaker verification (SV) model is not explicitly trained to discriminate different speaking styles, and no Lombard and whisper voice is used for pretrain this system, SV model can be used as style encoder for generating different style embeddings as input for Tacotron system. We also show that the resulting synthetic Lombard speech has a significant positive impact on intelligibility gain.

Index Terms— speech synthesis, speaker adaptation, multi-speaker training, Lombard speech, whisper speech

1. INTRODUCTION

As the capabilities of digital assistants grow, their use for everyday tasks is expected to increase significantly. These assistants can be invoked in a variety of environments. A user may expect a digital assistant to not only adapt to the level of noise in their current environment, but also adapt to the current social context by adjusting the style of speech used. For example, in a quiet library or meeting room, the user may prefer their interaction with the digital assistant to be conducted in a more confidential and private way. Whisper is a widely used style of speech that allows a speaker to limit their discourse only to nearby listeners, which could be used in this scenario. Conversely, in a noisy environment, like a cafeteria or driving in a car, the output speech from devices can be difficult to hear, and the user may prefer more intelligible speech. Using Lombard speech could improve sentence intelligibility. Automatic volume adjustment techniques could also be used in this scenario, but Lombard speech allows us to increase intelligibility in a human-like fashion, enhancing the rapport between human and machine, and facilitating interaction. Therefore, the ability for a digital assistant to generate different speaking styles with respect to the user’s environment would greatly enhance the user experience in the areas of privacy and intelligibility, in addition to improving the naturalness of interactions.

Neural TTS system based on end-to-end models have shown the ability to generate high-quality synthetic speech. However, most of the systems target a single “normal” speaking style, or focus on prosody modeling. The goal of this paper is to develop a robust text-to-speech system capable of synthesizing high-quality whisper and Lombard speech in addition to normal style.

Most existing works on whisper and Lombard speech synthesis analyze and generate these speaking styles separately. Because whisper speech lacks the vibration element of voiced speech, the fundamental frequency (f0) and spectral tilt can be modified in a source-filter model to convert normal speech into whisper speech. Other methods learn the mapping between normal and whisper acoustic features through voice conversion (VC) techniques. However, parallel corpora containing both normal and whisper recordings are usually needed for training a VC model.

Data-driven methods for Lombard speech generation have gained popularity in recent years. These methods typically require a corpus of Lombard speech recordings. Although neural TTS systems based on end-to-end models can generate high-quality speech, very few studies address Lombard and whisper speech. Treating these modalities as speaking styles that can be generated from a single model is another dimension that deserves further attention.

To investigate the feasibility of synthesizing whisper and Lombard speech with neural TTS, while keeping in mind the difficulties involved in data collection for whisper and Lombard speech, we created neural TTS systems based on the architecture capable of synthesizing different speaking styles using a limited amount of data. The data used was collected internally, resulting in 120 minutes of speech data across three different styles from a single speaker: normal, whisper, and Lombard. We employ speech adaptation methods to fine-tune a target style voice on a model trained
with multi-speaker data from an open source multi-speaker dataset. In addition, a multi-style selection model based on speaker embeddings is also proposed. For reference, we also leverage signal processing techniques to convert a generated normal speaking style to both Lombard and whisper styles as baselines. Results show that we can generate new style-specific speech through pre-training and fine-tuning while achieving quality close to that of a natural speech. The neural-adapted whisper speech achieved higher quality compared to the signal processing based technique. We further show that we can generate different speaking styles through a single multi-style model with high quality, even with a database with uneven amounts of style-specific data.

In the context of Lombard speech, there have been a number of studies on improving speech intelligibility. Spectral shaping and dynamic range compression, induced statically or adaptively (by optimizing an objective measure of intelligibility), have proven highly effective under various noise conditions [19, 20]. We investigate the intelligibility-enhancing properties of neural-adapted Lombard speech by comparing it to a post-processing strategy based on an intelligibility-enhancing algorithm.

This paper is organized as follows. We first introduce the data collection and preparation in Section 2. Several multi-style model architectures are explained in Section 3. Experiments and results are shown in Section 4. The discussion and conclusions are presented in Section 5.

2. MULTI-STYLE SPEECH CORPUS

The multi-style speech corpus used in this work was created in a professional recording studio over the course of three days. Normal, Lombard, and whisper style speech was recorded by an American, non-professional, male talent. Each style was collected through a 4-hour session (one style per day), yielding around 40 minutes of usable audio per session. The talent read out the same 600 sentences per style, most of which were selected from VCTK [21] and voice assistant scripts. The complete dataset consisted of 2 hours (3 × 40 min) of audio sampled at a frequency of 24 kHz. In this preliminary investigation, the text and lexicon were not cleaned. The normal style speech was recorded in clean conditions, whereas the Lombard speech was recorded while pre-recorded cafeteria noise was played over the talent’s headphones at 65 dB. The whisper style recording is unvoiced as the talent made an effort to keep the vocal cords not to vibrate. The visualization of the spectrum of each speaking style for the same sentence is shown in Fig. 1.

Meanwhile, 100 speakers from the VCTK corpus were also used for training a multi-speaker system. The corpus consists of around 40 hours of audio, with each speaker reading the same script for about 20 minutes. To supplement our speech data for training the universal vocoder for each style, we made use of additional corpora. For whisper speech, we used the CSTR whisper TIMIT Plus corpus [22], which contains 421 sentences selected from newspaper text. For Lombard speech, we used the Hurricane Natural Speech Corpus published by the LISTA Consortium [15], which contains 720 sentences selected from the Harvard collection. For normal speech, we used our internal dataset of an American English male speaker, from which we selected about 750 utterances for this work.

3. ARCHITECTURE FOR MULTI-STYLE MODELS

Our baseline neural TTS system is comprised of two networks. The frontend is an attention-based sequence-to-sequence network, similar to Tacotron 2 [11], which takes phoneme sequence together with punctuation and word boundaries as input, and generates a Mel-spectrogram as output. The backend is a single-layer recurrent neural network, similar to WaveRNN [23], which is applied as a vocoder for generating the waveform from the Mel-spectrogram. In the following sections, we propose different system architectures for generating voices with multiple speaking styles.

3.1. Pre-training/fine-tuning adaptation model (PF model)

Although our neural-net-based end-to-end (E2E) TTS baseline can generate high quality speech with the appropriate prosody, building an E2E TTS system usually requires a large amount of data for each style. In [24], we showed that transfer learning, i.e., pre-training on a multi-speaker dataset as the initialization, and then fine-tuning the model on a target speaker’s voice, can significantly decrease the amount of data

![Fig. 1. Spectrum of normal (top), Lombard (middle) and whisper speech (bottom) for the same sentence.](image)
needed while maintaining the quality and speaker similarity of the generated speech.

Similarly, in low-resource environments, the pre-training/fine-tuning model [25, 26] is utilized to adapt to each style. In the pre-training process, a multi-speaker Tacotron using only linguistic features as input is trained to learn a general text-to-speech task. During the fine-tuning stage, each style is considered as an unseen speaker to adapt the Tacotron weights to the target style.

### 3.2. Post-processing approach

Another popular method to generate different speaking styles is to apply handcrafted signal processing (SP) methods (as a post-filter) to convert normal phonated speech to Lombard or whisper speech. This approach offers the advantage of synthesizing any style without the need for data collection for the target style.

In order to have a reference on how well the whisper and Lombard adaptation works, we used a SP-based conversion technique as a baseline. For whisper voice, the method is based on frame-wise source-filter processing of the input speech signal using linear prediction [10]. The speech signal is inverse filtered, and the residual signal is replaced with an energy-scaled noise signal in order to imitate whisper. Also, the overall spectrum, as well as formant frequencies and bandwidths, are modified to resemble whispered speech.

To generate intelligibility-enhanced speech through post-processing, we used an enhanced internal implementation of [20]. The method was selected based on its performance characteristics and ability to adapt to the speech signal statistics as well as the noise. A block diagram depicting the differences between PF and post-processing approaches is shown in Fig. 2.

### 3.3. Multi-style model using speaker embedding

Although the PF model can generate the target voice from a limited amount of data, the current architecture requires training a separate model for each style. [27, 28, 29] have proposed extending the Tacotron to a multi-speaker model by conditioning the synthesis on a speaker representation in addition to the phoneme sequence. [30] further extends the model to a multi-speaker, multi-language model by using language embedding as an additional input. For easy control, we propose a multi-style, multi-speaker Tacotron model for enabling the generation of multiple styles from a single model.

It is important to note that, although our own voice talent recorded speech in all the 3 styles, other speakers in the multi-speaker corpus (VCTK) use only normal speaking style. The percentage of Lombard and whisper speech data is limited, and the training data per style is unbalanced. Therefore, instead of adding an additional encoder for conditioning the speaking style as in [9, 1, 31], we treat normal, Lombard and whisper speech from the target talent as 3 different speakers, combining them together with the multi-speaker dataset for training.

In order to extract speaker information we used a pre-trained speaker encoder based on the speaker verification (SV) system in [32, 33]. The speaker encoder used in this work is trained in a similar way as described in [33] but with a slightly different model architecture.

A sequence of MFCC frames (20 MFCCs per frame, 25 ms data window, 100 frames per second) is passed as input through a 2-layer LSTM with 512 units each, followed by a self-attention mechanism, a speaker embedding layer with 128 units and a softmax layer with 18k units. The self-attention mechanism operates on the outputs from the last LSTM layer and computes three \( L \times D \) projections namely query (Q), key (K) and value (V) where \( L \) denotes the number of frames and \( D \) is the dimension of the LSTM output. We compute a dot product between Q and K, apply a row-wise softmax and summarize the output using a row-wise max operation. This way we obtain an \( L \times 1 \) dimensional context vector that is then multiplied by the \( L \times D \) LSTM output and subsequently passed to the speaker embedding layer.

During training, utterance-level speaker embedding features together with the corresponding phoneme sequence and Mel-spectrogram are used to train the multi-speaker Tacotron. In the generation phase, style-level embedding features (mean value for each style from the target speaker) are precomputed and applied to control whether the generated voice is normal, Lombard, or whisper. The architecture of the multi-style, multi-speaker model is shown in Fig. 3.
We visualize style embeddings from the speaker encoder with principal component analysis (PCA) for each utterance. As the SV model is trained to distinguish between speakers, embeddings from the same speaker which have high cosine similarity should be distributed closely as a cluster in the speaker space. However, we can see from Fig. 4 that even for a single speaker, the Lombard, normal, and whisper embeddings have formed a distinct cluster for each style. Therefore, we can draw the following conclusions: 1) speaker embeddings represent rich information about speaking styles as well as speaker identity, 2) although there is no Lombard and whisper style dataset existing for training the SV model, and the SV model is not explicitly trained to discriminate different speaking styles, we can use the speaker embedding features from Lombard, normal and whisper speech as speaking style representations to control synthetic speech. No additional speaking style encoder is added to condition the Tacotron. The cluster of different styles can help in future studies on copying unseen speaking styles between speakers.

### Table 1. Results of the AB test comparing WaveRNN models using different data. A: Using 40 min of target speech for each style. B: Combining target speech with a dataset of the same style (CSTR Lombard, internal male dataset, CSTR whisper).

| Style       | A    | B    | No preference |
|-------------|------|------|---------------|
| Lombard     | 18 % | 18 % | 64 %          |
| Normal      | 12 % | 15 % | 73 %          |
| Whisper     | 36 % | 14 % | 50 %          |

### 4. EXPERIMENTS

The input for the baseline Tacotron model is a sequence of phonemes, punctuations, and word boundaries, which is converted to 512-dimensional embeddings through a text encoder. The output is a sequence of Mel-spectrograms, computed from 25 ms frames with 10 ms shift. A stepwise monotonic attention is adopted for better alignment between the input and output features. For evaluation of the naturalness, we use a mean opinion score (MOS) on a scale from 1 to 5. AB listening tests are conducted to assess which system sounds more natural or more preferred in terms of speech quality or intelligibility (A: first sample, B: second sample, C: no preference). 30 test utterances are generated from each system, and each utterance is evaluated by native listeners using headphones (30 for MOS, at least 15 for AB).

A preliminary test was first conducted to determine whether combining datasets from distinct speakers performing the same style can further improve the quality of the WaveRNN for that style. The training data and preference scores are shown in Table 1. The results show that there is no strong preference for audio generated using the universal vocoder (trained on the combined dataset). We assume that this is due to the limited amount of data used and lower quality speech in the public corpus compared to the studio dataset. Therefore, for all systems, the speaker and style-dependent WaveRNN is used.

### 4.1. Evaluation

First, we evaluate how well the pre-training and fine-tuning models perform with a limited amount of target speech data. An average Tacotron model is pre-trained using the VCTK dataset. Then the initialized parameters are fine-tuned by the target speaker’s normal, Lombard, and whisper speech, resulting in three models: PF\textsubscript{Lombard}, PF\textsubscript{whisper} and PF\textsubscript{normal}, respectively. Post\_whisper is the system applying voice source modification via digital signal processing methods based on the PF\textsubscript{normal} output as the reference. The MOS quality results are shown in Table 2.

\[1\text{Natural and synthetic speech samples can be found at: https://qsvoice.github.io/samples.html} \]
We observe that natural speech collected from our studio can achieve very high MOS (around 4.5). With only around 40 minutes of audio, our neural TTS adaptation models are also able to generate high quality speech for all three styles (PF, Lombard, whisper, and normal) with MOS scores above 4. The signal processing based system Post, however, resulted in a much lower MOS (below 3). The results imply that: 1) Our pre-training/fine-tuning models are robust enough to generate speech with different styles, and with limited amounts of data for each style, we can synthesize speech with quality close to that of natural speech. 2) Applying the signal processing method enables us to generate whisper speech without target recordings. However, when considering overall speech quality, the neural adaptation method performs better.

Next, we assessed whether we can use the multi-speaker, multi-style model to synthesize normal, whisper, and Lombard speech from a single model while maintaining high quality. A multi-speaker, multi-style Tacotron is trained such that the speaker encoder is pre-trained by an attention-based speaker verification model to compute the speaker embedding. The normal, whisper, and Lombard styles are treated as three additional speakers trained together with the VCTK dataset. AB tests are conducted to compare their quality to the PF model described in Sec. 3.1. From results in Table 3, we can see that, except for the normal speaking style, the SV-based system is generally preferred over the PF model. For whisper and Lombard styles, the SV-based multi-style model can outperform the speaker-dependent models. We assume that this might be due to the limited amount of whisper and Lombard speech data from the target speaker and the fact that the PF model is easily over-tuned for those styles. Nevertheless, it indicates that we can generate speech with different styles from a single model with comparable quality to the individual PF models. Our next step is to investigate how well we can transfer speaking styles across speakers by SV embedding modification.

A comparison between two of the speaking styles (Normal and Lombard) and their intelligibility-enhanced versions was performed to evaluate how easy it was for listeners to understand these voices in the presence of noise. Intelligibility enhancement was achieved by applying a signal-processing technique to the TTS output. Two subjective experiments were conducted for a single noise condition: cafeteria noise at −4 dB SNR.

The first experiment was an AB test aimed at obtaining an estimate of listening effort. Subjects were instructed to give preference to the version in which speech was more present. The results (averages over 8 participants), shown in Table 4, suggest an advantage for the neural-based PF model for Lombard speech over the normal PF model with post-processing (PP) for intelligibility enhancement. We also compared whisper PF and Lombard PF speech to their respective PP-enhanced versions. In both cases, preference scores favour the use of the intelligibility-enhancement technique.

The second experiment was an intelligibility test from which we compute word recognition rates (WRR). Speech utterances were mixed with noise and presented to listeners through headphones. Using an HTML interface, we ran the test remotely following the protocol from [15]. We used a subset of the Harvard sentences synthesized with the proposed neural TTS models. Each modality was represented by 20 utterances and the system-to-utterance assignments were varied between subjects (without breaking the sets). Sentences from different systems were presented with a random order. At the time of reporting, we had received the results from seven en-US native speakers. The results in Fig. 5 show that Lombard speech improves intelligibility significantly over Normal PF. In turn, post-processed Normal PF (Normal PFPP) and post-processed Lombard PF (Lombard PFPP) improve over Normal PF.
5. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

It is desirable for a TTS system to take into account the environment where synthetic speech is presented. For example, the change of speaking style to Lombard speech in a noisy environment can make the synthesized speech more intelligible, and the change to whisper in a quiet environment can keep the user’s conversation with the voice assistant private. In this paper, we investigated the generation whisper and Lombard speech using only limited data. We proposed and compared several approaches: 1) Pre-training and fine-tuning a separate model for each style. 2) Whisper and Lombard speech conversion through a signal processing based approach. 3) Generation of multiple styles using a single multi-style model by pre-training the model with a speaker verification model output. We showed that the pre-training and fine-tuning approach allows us to generate all the styles using a single model and only 40 minutes of speech from each style. Our MOS, AB, and WRR listening tests show that the output speech quality is close to natural speech, and that the generated Lombard speech has a measurable and significant intelligibility gain. Our future work includes style transfer between speakers, and exploring prosody modeling techniques for controlling speaking styles.

Fig. 5. Word recognition rates (and 95% confidence intervals) from listening test at $-4$ dB SNR cafeteria noise.
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