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Abstract
A ‘culture of celebrity’ began to emerge in the twentieth century, profoundly impacting the social world. Recent years have seen the publication of an increasing wealth of literature focusing on people who are enthralled with celebrities – often to the point of obsession – termed ‘celebrity worshippers’. The current paper systematically reviews this literature to gain a comprehensive understanding of the various factors associated with celebrity worship and to identify gaps in the literature. Papers were identified through a systematic literature search and 62 were deemed relevant for inclusion in the review. These provided evidence that celebrity worship may be related to demographic factors (e.g. age); personality factors (e.g. dimensions of the psychoticism-extraversion-neuroticism model, materialism); religiosity; behavioural and cognitive-behavioural factors (e.g. fantasy proneness, obsessive behaviours); feelings about the self or the world (e.g. self-esteem); cognitive factors (e.g. cognitive flexibility, critical thinking); relationships with others (e.g. attachment style, romantic relationship style); attitudes towards the body, eating, and cosmetic surgery; and psychological wellbeing (e.g. depression, anxiety). The results are used to help researchers understand the individual and psychosocial factors associated with celebrity worship, and directions for future research in this area are identified.
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Introduction
The proliferation of the media and rise of ‘celebrity culture’ in the late twentieth century has led psychologists to begin exploring the meanings that celebrities have in the lives of their audiences. Media personalities can be powerful agents of social change (Brown 2015), and the media frequently relies on the public’s fascination with - and desire to be like - celebrities in order to influence the public. Many studies have explored how celebrities can shape attitudes, behaviours and beliefs – for example, how they might encourage purchasing behaviours (Malik and Gupta 2014; Wang et al. 2013), influence political views (Austin et al. 2008; Veer et al. 2010) or endorse health-related messages (Brown and Basil 1995; Casey et al. 2013; Chapman et al. 2005; Kosenko et al. 2016). There is evidence to suggest that the stronger the attachment to the celebrity in question, the more likely a fan is to adopt the messages promoted by the celebrity (Basil 1996; Brown and de Matviuk 2010; Chung and Cho 2017).

More recently, research has considered fans’ attachments to their favourite celebrities; these (typically one-sided) relationships are taken very seriously and seen as central to the identities and emotional lives of fans (Hackley and Hackley 2016). The closeness one might feel toward their favourite celebrity is a ‘parasocial attachment’, i.e. a one-way relationship resulting from a false sense of intimacy created during media consumption (Horton and Wohl 1956). It has been suggested that becoming emotionally attached to celebrities is common and unsurprising (Brown 2015; Stever 2017), perhaps due to the Media Equation (Reeves and Nass 1996), which suggests human perceptions do not differentiate between those from the real world and those from media. Many researchers recommend viewing parasocial relationships with celebrities as an extension of normal, everyday social interaction not dissimilar to real relationships (Branch et al. 2013; Giles 2002; Greenwood et al. 2008), and attachment to celebrities as a normal part of development in the areas of intimacy and identity (Greene and Adams-Price 1990; Stever 2011a; Larson 1995).

However, sometimes this sense of attachment can become extreme, and research has begun to focus on the concept of
‘celebrity worship’ (referred to hereafter as CW), a form of almost obsessionnal involvement where individuals idolise their favourite celebrity to the point of ‘worship’. Some authors liken the concept of CW to religious worship, with the celebrity reaching – in the fan’s mind – the kind of status usually reserved for a deity, and fan behaviour often mimicking religious practices (Giles 2000; Liu 2013). Religious connotations are frequently invoked in discourse around celebrities, which sees celebrities referred to as ‘idols’ or ‘icons’ (Alexander 2010; Hackley and Hackley 2016); the word ‘fan’ itself originates as an abbreviation for ‘fanatic’, a term describing extreme enthusiasm typically inspired by a deity (Leets et al. 1995).

Brown (2015) describes CW as an intense form of psychological attachment which involves making one’s relationship with their favoured celebrity the primary focus of their life, evolves from strong identification with and intense devotional feelings for that persona, and is characterised by loyalty and willingness to invest time and finances into that person. Hollander (2010) suggests the motivations for CW are attempts to identify with someone who possesses attributes which are lacking in the life of the worshipper; insecurity about social status and uncertainty about what qualities are worthy of admiration; and social isolation resulting in a desire to find vicarious meaning in the lives of others. Redmond (2016) cites attraction, empathy, longing, belonging and emulation as factors associated with feeling connected to certain celebrities, while Wann (1995) suggests that sport CW in particular is motivated by needs for stimulation, self-esteem, escape, entertainment, aesthetics, and group affiliation.

McCutcheon et al. (2002) proposed a model of CW based on psychological absorption and addiction, suggesting fans with weak identity structures and a lack of meaningful relationships may try to establish a solid identity and gain a sense of fulfilment by becoming psychologically ‘absorbed’ in their favourite celebrity. The absorption-addiction model suggests that the dynamics involved in the motivations driving this resemble those of addiction, in that celebrity worshippers may develop a tolerance for behaviours that initially satisfied their need for absorption which can lead to the relationship with the favoured celebrity becoming an addiction. The model suggests that CW advances through three stages: first, celebrity worshippers may move beyond absorption to seek out other fans as sources of information about their favoured celebrity. Then, those with a higher capacity for absorption may seek to become more intimately involved with aspects of celebrities’ lives; a further increase in the thresholds of the need and capacity for absorption can lead to individuals over-identifying with celebrities and becoming obsessionnal about their lives. Celebrity worshippers may progress along this hierarchy due to developing a tolerance to the milder levels of CW and needing to reach the deeper, more pathological levels in order to satisfy the addiction. This is likely to occur over time, and only for some celebrity worshippers; others may remain at the lower levels.

The most frequently used measure of CW is the Celebrity Attitude Scale (CAS; McCutcheon et al. 2002). Factor analysis of the CAS has led to the identification of three dimensions of CW. The lowest level of worship, the ‘entertainment-social’ level, suggests fans are attracted to a celebrity due to their entertainment value and being a source of social interaction with others. This level involves talking with others about favourite celebrities and following their lives through social media, motivated mostly by sensation-seeking and entertainment. The entertainment-social subscale of the CAS comprises ten items, such as ‘I love to talk with others who admire my favourite celebrity’ and ‘Keeping up with news about my favourite celebrity is an entertaining pastime’, which participants rate on a scale of 1 (‘strongly disagree’) to 5 (‘strongly agree’). The intermediate level, the ‘intense-personal’ dimension of CW, reflects more intense feelings towards the favoured celebrity and increasing absorption in their personal lives, where the fan may begin to ‘exist in and for the celebrity that is adored’ (Redmond 2016, p.240). This subscale comprises nine items, such as ‘I consider my favourite celebrity to be my soul mate’ and ‘When something good happens to my favourite celebrity I feel like it happened to me’. The most extreme level of CW is the ‘borderline-pathological’ level, typified by over-identification with the celebrity, willingness to do almost anything to please them, and delusional fantasies about them. This subscale comprises four items, including ‘If I was lucky enough to meet my favourite celebrity, and he/she asked me to do something illegal as a favour, I would probably do it’. There appears to be a hierarchical trend whereby entertainment-social CW tends to be most common, followed by intense-personal and then borderline-pathological (Green et al. 2014; Maltby and Day 2011; Maltby et al. 2004a, 2011; McCutcheon et al. 2016a, 2016b; Swami et al. 2011a; Vega et al. 2013).

A fourth factor of the CAS has also been suggested – ‘deteriorative imitation’, referring to willingness of fans to imitate licentious behaviour of their favourite celebrity. This concept was introduced by North and Hargreaves (2005, 2006) and two studies have suggested this may be an additional factor of the CAS (North et al. 2007; Sheridan et al. 2007). However, the majority of studies using the CAS have focused on the entertainment-social, intense-personal and borderline-pathological levels of CW as it is these three dimensions which most frequently emerge from factor analysis.

The internal reliability of the CAS appears to be high; Cronbach’s alpha reliability coefficients for the CAS as a whole generally range between .84 and .94 (McCutcheon et al. 2006a). Alphas for the borderline-pathological scale tend to be lower (McCutcheon et al. 2006a) perhaps because this sub-scale is the shortest of the three, comprising few items.
Griffith et al. (2013) examined the test-retest and internal reliability of the CAS, finding that attitudes about celebrities were fairly stable over a period of three months. The authors suggest this provides evidence of CW being resistant to change, although one could argue that participants should be assessed over a longer interval to truly ascertain whether CW is a lasting phenomenon.

**Aims**

The concept of CW is one which has only recently attracted academic attention, and as such our understanding of it is limited. Although several articles (Giles and Maltby 2006; Hyman and Sierra 2010; Sansone and Sansone 2014) provide a general overview of CW studies, until now there has been no systematic literature review on the topic. The current review aimed to fill this gap by providing a comprehensive overview of all published literature exploring factors associated with CW, and proposing directions for future research.

**Method**

**Study Selection**

To be included in the review, studies had to: i) include primary data; ii) be published in an academic journal; iii) be written in English; iv) report on factors associated with CW; and v) include a measure of CW (e.g. the CAS) or a self-report of celebrity idolisation or include a type of behaviour indicative of potential CW (e.g. membership to a fan-club).

The search strategy used a combination of Search 1 (‘celebrity’ or ‘celebrities’ or ‘public figure’ or ‘idol’) and Search 2 (‘worship’) to search the following databases: OVID MEDLINE®, PsycArticles, PsycINFO®, Social Policy and Practice, and Social Sciences Citation Index. Resulting citations were downloaded to EndNote© software version X7 where duplicates were automatically removed. The titles of all citations were evaluated for their relevance to the topic. Next, the inclusion criteria were used to screen abstracts of remaining citations and exclude any clearly irrelevant papers. Full texts of the remaining citations were then obtained; the majority of these were available online, and in cases where they were not, corresponding authors were contacted. These papers were read in their entirety, with any not meeting the inclusion criteria excluded. The reference lists of all remaining papers were hand-searched for relevant papers which may have been missed.

**Data Extraction and Synthesis**

A spreadsheet was designed to systematically extract data from papers. Information extracted included authors; publication year; country of study; design; participant information (n, age, gender); measure of CW; factors investigated and how they were measured; and key results.

Inductive thematic analysis (Braun and Clarke 2006) was used to analyse the results of the studies and group common factors associated with CW into a typology. Data was first coded broadly, and used to develop descriptive themes (e.g. all data on age or gender was coded as ‘demographics’). Studies reporting non-significant findings were also included, to provide a balanced view of the literature.

**Results**

A total of 422 citations were found via the initial search and imported into EndNote©, along with an additional 16 papers identified via hand-searching. A total of 62 papers were deemed relevant for inclusion in the review. Details of the number of papers excluded at each stage are presented in the PRISMA diagram (Moher et al. 2009) (Fig. 1). The majority of papers were from the USA (n = 22), UK (n = 18) or Asia (n = 15) or a combination of the three (n = 3). The remaining studies included participants from various countries across Europe, North America, Australia and New Zealand. Population sizes in the studies ranged from 61 to 1641 participants. Most used the Celebrity Attitude Scale (CAS) as a measure of CW; other scales included the Idol Worship Questionnaire (Yue and Cheung 2000), Expression of Idolization Scale (Raviv et al. 1996), Obsessive Relational Intrusion and Celebrity Stalking Scale (McCutcheon et al. 2006b), a short form of the Relationship Rating Form (Fraley and Davis 1997) to assess relationships with celebrities, the Public Figure Preoccupation Inventory (Sheridan et al. 2006) and unique scales developed for the specific studies. An overview of all included papers is presented in Table 1.

Results were grouped into a number of core themes, which are discussed individually below. Any factors identified by at least two papers are discussed within relevant sub-themes; factors identified by only one paper are grouped together under ‘other’ within each sub-theme.

**Demographics**

**Age**

Several studies showed a negative correlation between age and CAS scores (Ashe and McCutcheon 2001; Houran et al. 2005; Maltby et al. 2005; Swami et al. 2011a). Raviv et al. (1996) found that idolisation of celebrities was strongest in early adolescence (age 10–11), while Giles and Maltby (2004) found that CW reached its peak in middle adolescence. Potentially related, McCutcheon et al. (2006a) found that older participants were less likely to endorse ‘stalking
behaviours’ towards celebrities; however Chia and Poo (2009) reported that borderline-pathological CW was more common in older adolescents than younger. In a study of adults only, Swami et al. (2011b) found a positive correlation between intense-personal CW and age, and negative correlations between age and both entertainment-social and borderline-pathological CW. Three studies of adults only (Maltby et al. 2004a, 2006; McCutcheon and Richman 2016) reported no significant relationship between CW and age.

**Gender**

Raviv et al. (1996) and Cheung and Yue (2003a, 2011) found that idolisation of celebrities was more prevalent among females than males. Several studies reported that females scored higher than males on the CAS overall (Huh 2012), the entertainment-social subscale (Swami et al. 2011a; Vega et al. 2013), or the intense-personal subscale (Liu 2013; Maltby et al. 2004b), while males reported higher levels of borderline-pathological CW (Chia and Poo 2009; Maltby et al. 2004a; Reeves et al. 2012). McCutcheon et al. (2016c) found higher levels of both entertainment-social and intense-personal CW for males, while North et al. (2005) found higher levels of intense-personal and borderline-pathological CW among males. Perhaps related, McCutcheon et al. (2006a) found that males were slightly more likely to endorse celebrity stalking. Conversely, Maltby et al. (2004b) reported that females in a student sample scored higher on borderline-pathological CW, while Reeves et al. (2012) found that males scored higher on total CAS scores and the entertainment-social subscale. However, many studies (Ashe et al. 2005; Giles and Maltby 2004; Maltby and Day 2011; Maltby et al. 2001, 2002, 2003, 2005, 2006, 2011; McCutcheon 2002; McCutcheon et al. 2003, 2015) found no difference in CAS scores between genders.

**Culture**

Jung and Hwang (2016) found that US participants showed greater CW than South Koreans, while McCutcheon et al. (2017) found that Indian participants scored significantly higher on all three subscales of the CAS than participants from the USA. McCutcheon et al. (2014a) found that Jamaican participants scored significantly higher on borderline-pathological CW than participants from Barbados. McCutcheon et al. (2016c) found that Belizeans scored significantly higher than Americans on intense-personal CW.
| Author(s)               | Year | Country    | Participants | Celebrity worship measure | Factors explored                                                                 |
|------------------------|------|------------|--------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Aruguete et al.        | 2014 | USA        | 279          | CAS                       | Enjoyment of sexualisation; self-objectification; eating attitudes               |
|                        |      |            | 67.7% female | Age 17–53, mean 20.9      |                                                                                   |
|                        |      |            | 62% female   | Age 17–53, mean 20.9      |                                                                                   |
| Ashe & McCutcheon      | 2001 | USA        | 150          | CAS                       | Shyness; loneliness; age                                                          |
|                        |      |            | Mean age 24.6 (females), 26.0 (males) | Age 17–53, mean 23.6       |                                                                                   |
| Ashe et al.            | 2005 | USA / UK   | UK sample: 219, 42.5% female, age 22–59 (mean 34.4) | CAS                       | Narcissism; self-esteem; gender                                                  |
|                        |      |            | USA sample: 124, 28.2% female, age 17–50 (mean 23.6) | Age 17–53, mean 20.9      |                                                                                   |
| Cheng                  | 1997 | China (Hong Kong) | 128 non-fan-club members (46.1% female, all under age 16) | Participants responded in the affirmative to ‘have you had/do you continue to have an attraction to or admiration for a famous person’ and rated the intensity of their attraction stronger than 3 (medium) | Self-esteem; fear of negative evaluations                                         |
|                        |      |            | 77 fan-club members (66.2% female, all under age 16) | Participants asked to name 3 people as idols and rate their degrees of worship on a scale from 0 to 10; ‘star fans’ were those whose highest worship of a star was greater than their highest worship of a non-star |                                                                                   |
| Cheung & Yue           | 2003a| China (Hong Kong) | 833           | CAS                       | Gender; identity achievement                                                     |
|                        |      |            | 53.9% female | Age 11–20, mean 15.5      |                                                                                   |
|                        |      |            | All teenagers, mean age 15.5 | Participants asked to name 3 people as idols and rate their degrees of worship on a scale from 0 to 10; ‘star fans’ were those whose highest worship of a star was greater than their highest worship of a non-star | Perceived self-efficacy                                                          |
|                        |      |            |                | Measure of idol worship assessing 5 dimensions: identification, romanticisation, idealisation, intimisation, commodification |                                                                                   |
|                        |      |            |                | Each student nominated a maximum of 6 favourite idols and rated them in terms of degree of idolising on a scale of 1–10: ‘how much do you idolise the figure or take the figure as your idol?’ | Absence of parental figure; socioeconomic status of parents                      |
| Cheung & Yue           | 2003b| China (Hong Kong) | 1641          | CAS                       | Materialism; self-esteem; life satisfaction; age; gender                          |
|                        |      |            | 51.9% female | Age 11–20, mean 15.2      |                                                                                   |
| Cheung & Yue           | 2011 | China      | 1641          | CAS                       | Materialism; attachment to peers/boys; romantic experiences; self-esteem         |
|                        |      |            | 51.9% female | Age 11–20, mean 15.2      |                                                                                   |
| Cheung & Yue           | 2012 | China (Hong Kong) | 401           | CAS                       | Attachment style; need to belong; current relationship status/intimacy in current relationship |
|                        |      |            | 44.6% female | Age 11–20, mean 15.2      |                                                                                   |
|                        |      |            | Mean age 13.6 | Participants asked to name 3 people as idols and rate their degrees of worship on a scale from 0 to 10; ‘star fans’ were those whose highest worship of a star was greater than their highest worship of a non-star | Perceived self-efficacy                                                          |
|                        |      |            |                | Measure of idol worship assessing 5 dimensions: identification, romanticisation, idealisation, intimisation, commodification |                                                                                   |
|                        |      |            |                | Each student nominated a maximum of 6 favourite idols and rated them in terms of degree of idolising on a scale of 1–10: ‘how much do you idolise the figure or take the figure as your idol?’ | Absence of parental figure; socioeconomic status of parents                      |
| Cheia & Poo            | 2009 | Singapore | 621           | CAS                       | Materialism; self-esteem; life satisfaction; age; gender                          |
|                        |      |            | 68% female   | Age 11–18, mean 13.6      |                                                                                   |
|                        |      |            | Age 11–18, mean 13.6 | Celebritiy Idolization Scale, developed for this study | Materialism; attachment to peers/boys; romantic experiences; self-esteem         |
| Engle & Kasser         | 2005 | USA        | 142           | CAS                       | Emotional autonomy; attachment to parents; attachment to peers; age; gender     |
|                        |      |            | 100% female  | Age 11–15, mean 12.7      |                                                                                   |
| Giles & Maltby         | 2004 | UK         | 191           | CAS (short version)       |                                                                                   |
|                        |      |            | 51.3% female | Age 11–16, mean 13.6      |                                                                                   |
| Green et al.           | 2014 | USA        | 248           | CAS                       | Materialistic values; envy                                                      |
|                        |      |            | 67.3% female | Age 17–53, mean 20.9      |                                                                                   |
| Greenwood & Long       | 2011 | USA        | 173           | Quality of relationship with favourite same-gender and favourite opposite-gender celebrity, measured using Short form of the Relationship Rating Form | Attachment style; need to belong; current relationship status/intimacy in current relationship |
|                        |      |            | 71% female   | Age 17–53, mean 20.9      |                                                                                   |
|                        |      |            | College students – ages not reported | Quality of relationship with favourite same-gender and favourite opposite-gender celebrity, measured using Short form of the Relationship Rating Form | Attachment style; need to belong; current relationship status/intimacy in current relationship |
| Author(s)        | Year | Country          | Participants | Celebrity worship measure                                                                 | Factors explored                                                                 |
|-----------------|------|------------------|--------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Houran et al.   | 2005 | USA              | 147          | CAS                                                                                      | Age; boundary functioning (in terms of need for structure, relationships with others) |
| Huh             | 2012 | South Korea      | 106          | CAS                                                                                      | Digit ratio as a proxy measure for dominance/masculinity; gender                  |
| Jung & Hwang    | 2016 | South Korea / USA| 370 (196 from South Korea; 174 from USA) | CAS                                                                                      | Acceptance of cosmetic surgery; culture                                          |
| Liu             | 2013 | China (Hong Kong)| 594          | CAS; Idol Worship Questionnaire                                                         | Self-esteem; religiosity; gender                                                 |
| Maltby          | 2004 | UK               | 257          | CAS                                                                                      | Religious puritanism                                                             |
| Maltby & Day    | 2011 | UK               | 137          | CAS                                                                                      | Attitudes towards/personal experience of cosmetic surgery; body image preoccupation; self-esteem; satisfaction with life; self-rated attractiveness; gender |
| Maltby & Day    | 2017 | UK               | 251          | CAS; Regulatory Motivations in Celebrity Interest Scale                                  | Self-suppression and self-expansion as motivations for CW; drive (goal-directed motivations); fun-seeking (motivation towards immediate reward); reward responsiveness; anxiety relating to failure to meet goals; fear of punishment; positive and negative affect |
| Maltby & McCutcheon | 2001 | UK               | 307          | CAS                                                                                      | Authoritarianism                                                                 |
| Maltby et al.   | 2001 | UK               | 307          | CAS                                                                                      | General psychological wellbeing (social dysfunction, depression, anxiety); gender |
| Maltby et al.   | 2002 | UK               | 307          | CAS                                                                                      | Religiosity; gender                                                              |
| Maltby et al.   | 2003 | UK               | 317 (Sample 1); 290 (Sample 2) | CAS                                                                                      | Eysenck’s personality dimensions; gender                                         |

CAS = Celebrity worship scale
Table 1 (continued)

| Author(s)          | Year  | Country | Participants | Celebrity worship measure | Factors explored                                                                 |
|--------------------|-------|---------|--------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Maltby et al.      | 2004a | UK      | 372          | CAS                       | Demographics; personality; coping style; life satisfaction; general health; perceived stress; positive and negative affect |
| Maltby et al.      | 2004b | UK      | 260 non-students, 168 students | CAS                       | Cognitive flexibility; social complexity; gender                                  |
|                    |       |         | Non-students: 51.2% female, age 18–59 (mean 32.9) |                           |                                                                                  |
|                    |       |         | Students: 53.6% female, age 18–35 (mean 22.0) |                           |                                                                                  |
| Maltby et al.      | 2005  | UK      | 229 adolescents; 183 undergraduates; 289 adults | CAS                       | Body shape preoccupation; age; gender                                             |
|                    |       |         | Adolescents: 55.5% female, age 14–16 (mean 15.1) |                           |                                                                                  |
|                    |       |         | Undergraduates: 51.9% female, age 18–30 (mean 20.0) |                           |                                                                                  |
|                    |       |         | Adults: 56.4% female, age 22–60 (mean 34.9) |                           |                                                                                  |
| Maltby et al.      | 2006  | UK      | 219          | CAS                       | Obsessive compulsive behaviours; fantasy proneness; dissociation; ego identity; age; gender; level of education |
|                    |       |         | 42.5% female |                           |                                                                                  |
|                    |       |         | Age 22–59, mean 35.4 |                           |                                                                                  |
| Maltby et al.      | 2011  | UK      | 329          | CAS                       | Personality factors – neuroticism; extraversion; openness; agreeableness; conscientiousness; gender |
|                    |       |         | 49.8% female |                           |                                                                                  |
|                    |       |         | Age 18–62, mean 33.7 |                           |                                                                                  |
| Martin et al.      | 2003  | USA     | 181          | CAS                       | Psychological flexibility                                                        |
|                    |       |         | 47.5% female |                           |                                                                                  |
|                    |       |         | Mean age 22.3 |                           |                                                                                  |
|                    |       |         | 172          | CAS                       |                                                                                  |
|                    |       |         | 73.3% female |                           |                                                                                  |
|                    |       |         | Undergraduate students, mean age 18.8 |                           |                                                                                  |
| McCarley & Escoto  | 2003  | USA     | 107          | CAS                       | Love style; gender                                                               |
|                    |       |         | 33.6% female |                           |                                                                                  |
|                    |       |         | Mean age 20.5 for males, 21.8 for females |                           |                                                                                  |
| McCutcheon         | 2002  | USA     | 119          | CAS                       | Gender; Machiavellianism; belief in a fair and just world                         |
|                    |       |         | 37% female   |                           |                                                                                  |
|                    |       |         | Mean age 22.6 for males, 25.3 for females |                           |                                                                                  |
| McCutcheon & Richman| 2016 | USA     | 183 (92 religious skeptics, 91 believers) | CAS; participants also asked to rate how strongly they feel about favourite celebrity and in relation to other people how they would rate their interest in celebrities generally on a scale of 1–7 | Religiosity; age                                                            |
|                    |       |         | 42.6% female |                           |                                                                                  |
|                    |       |         | Age 18–74, mean 33.0 |                           |                                                                                  |
| McCutcheon et al.  | 2003  | USA     | 102          | CAS                       | Crystallised intelligence; creativity; critical thinking; spatial ability; gender |
|                    |       |         | 20.6% female |                           |                                                                                  |
|                    |       |         | Age 17–41, mean 21.2 |                           |                                                                                  |
| Author(s) | Year  | Country                  | Participants | Celebrity worship measure                                                                 | Factors explored                                |
|----------|-------|--------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| McCutcheon et al. | 2004  | USA                      | 250          | CAS                                                                                        | Preference for solitude                          |
|          |       |                          | Sample 1: n = 93; 38% female; mean age 24.2 |                                                                                      |                                                 |
|          |       |                          | Sample 2: n = 82; 79% female; mean age 30.7 |                                                                                      |                                                 |
|          |       |                          | Sample 3: n = 75; 76% female; mean age 23.9 |                                                                                      |                                                 |
| McCutcheon et al. | 2006b | USA                      | 299          | CAS: Obsessional Relational Intrusion & Celebrity Stalking Scale | Age; gender; childhood attachment |
|          |       |                          | Sample 1: n = 76; 18.4% female; age 16–36, mean 21.5 |                                 |                                                 |
|          |       |                          | Sample 2: n = 77; 72.7% female; age 17–40, mean 20.1 |                                 |                                                 |
|          |       |                          | Sample 3: n = 77; 64.9% female; age 18–42, mean 20.7 |                                 |                                                 |
|          |       |                          | Sample 4: n = 69; 78.3% female; age 17–40, mean 20.1 |                                 |                                                 |
| McCutcheon et al. | 2012  | USA                      | 201          | CAS                                                                                        | Cognitive ability                                |
|          |       |                          | 65.7% female |                                                                                      |                                                 |
|          |       |                          | Age 16–61, mean 22.2 |                                                                                      |                                                 |
| McCutcheon et al. | 2014a | USA / Barbados / Jamaica / New Zealand | 263 | CAS                                                                                        | Irresponsibility; culture                        |
|          |       |                          | 75.7% female |                                                                                      |                                                 |
|          |       |                          | Age 16–56, mean 22.9 |                                 |                                                 |
| McCutcheon et al. | 2014b | USA                      | 164          | CAS                                                                                        | Critical/analytic thinking                       |
|          |       |                          | 47.0% female |                                                                                      |                                                 |
|          |       |                          | Age 17–52, mean 23.0 |                                 |                                                 |
| McCutcheon et al. | 2015  | USA                      | 183 (91 religious believers, 92 religious skeptics) | CAS                                      | Gender; religiosity; identification with all humanity; need for uniqueness |
|          |       |                          | 42.6% female |                                                                                      |                                                 |
|          |       |                          | Age 18–74, mean 33 |                                 |                                                 |
| McCutcheon et al. | 2016a | India                    | 61           | CAS                                                                                        | Five Factor Model of personality                |
|          |       |                          | 73.8% female |                                                                                      |                                                 |
|          |       |                          | Age 17–20, mean 18.5 |                                 |                                                 |
| McCutcheon et al. | 2016b | USA                      | 145          | CAS                                                                                        | Fantasy proneness; obsessional thinking          |
|          |       |                          | 62.1% female |                                                                                      |                                                 |
|          |       |                          | Mean age 20.5 |                                 |                                                 |
| McCutcheon et al. | 2016c | USA / Belize              | 158          | CAS                                                                                        | Gender; tendency to savour experiences; culture  |
|          |       |                          | USA: n = 109; 64.2% female |                                 |                                                 |
|          |       |                          | Belize: n = 49; 69.4% female |                                 |                                                 |
|          |       |                          | Overall age: 41–81, mean 56.2 |                                 |                                                 |
| McCutcheon et al. | 2016d | USA                      | 406          | CAS                                                                                        | Ethnicity; socioeconomic status                |
|          |       |                          | Study 1: n = 145; 62.1% female; mean age 20.5 |                                 |                                                 |
|          |       |                          | Study 2: n = 261; 69.7% female; mean age 19.9 |                                 |                                                 |
Table 1 (continued)

| Author(s)         | Year | Country                          | Participants | Celebrity worship measure                                                                 | Factors explored                        |
|-------------------|------|----------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| McCutcheon et al. | 2016 | USA                              | 330          | CAS                                                                                      | Ethnicity; love style                   |
|                   |      |                                  | 74% female   | Age 18–60, mean 21.0                                                                     |                                         |
|                   |      |                                  | 120          | CAS                                                                                      | Love style; culture                    |
|                   |      | USA / India                      | 120          | US sample: n = 59; 93.2% female; age 18–30, mean 21.5                                     |                                         |
|                   |      |                                  | 120          | India sample: n = 61; 73.7% female, age 17–20, mean 18.5                                  |                                         |
| North et al.      | 2005 | UK                               | 146          | CAS (questions adapted to focus on favourite music                                         | Liking of ‘deviant’ music; gender      |
|                   |      |                                  | 37.0% female | celebrity rather than simply favourite celebrity)                                        |                                         |
|                   |      |                                  | 146          | Mean age 19.5                                                                            |                                         |
| North et al.      | 2007 | Europe / North America           | 553 Study 1; 624 Study 2 Study 1: 48.6% female, mean age 25.9 Study 2: 56.4% female, mean age 26.6 | CAS                                      | Attributional style; self-esteem      |
| Price et al.      | 2014 | USA                              | 141          | CAS                                                                                      | Stigmatisation of people with history of mental illness |
|                   |      |                                  | 76.6% female | Mean age 18–57, mean 21.7                                                                |                                         |
| Raviv et al.      | 1996 | Israel                           | 270          | Questionnaire developed for this study                                                   | Age; gender                             |
|                   |      |                                  | 88 participants (53.4% female) aged 10–11                                               |                                         |
|                   |      |                                  | 86 participants (55.8% female) aged 13–14                                                |                                         |
|                   |      |                                  | 96 participants (59.4% female) aged 16–17                                                |                                         |
| Reeves et al.     | 2012 | USA                              | 171          | CAS                                                                                      | Gender; materialism; compulsive buying; boredom proneness; self-concept clarity; life satisfaction; self-esteem |
|                   |      |                                  | 62.0% female | University students - age not reported                                                   |                                         |
| Reyes et al.      | 2016 | Philippines                      | 321 (116 belonging to a fandom group; 207 not belonging to a fandom group) 65.0% female | CAS; Public Figure Preoccupation Inventory | Mental health                          |
|                   |      |                                  | Age 16–35, mean 18.4                                                                       |                                         |
|                   |      |                                  | 200          | A scale measuring frequency of self-reported attempts to contact a favourite celebrity, developed for this study | Attachment style                       |
|                   |      |                                  | 50% female  | Mean age 20.3                                                                            |                                         |
|                   |      |                                  | 225          | Expression of Idolization Scale                                                          | Eating attitudes; body image; preoccupation/security in the family; adjustment in class |
|                   |      | Israel                           | 46.2% female | Mean age 9.2                                                                             |                                         |
|                   |      |                                  | 215          | Public Figure Preoccupation Inventory                                                    | Fantasy proneness; dissociation         |
|                   |      | USA, Canada, Australia           | 215          | Mean age 28.7                                                                            | Addiction; criminality                  |
|                   |      |                                  | 61.4% female | Study 1 (addiction): 1152 56.7% female Age 12–76, mean 24.6 Study 2 (criminality): 1845 41.1% female |                                         |
|                   |      |                                  | 46.2% female | Mean age 28.7                                                                            |                                         |
| Author(s) | Year | Country | Participants | Celebrity worship measure | Factors explored |
|----------|------|---------|--------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| Swami et al. | 2009 | UK      | Age 12–76, mean 26.1 401 100% female | CAS | Acceptance of elective cosmetic surgery |
| Swami et al. | 2011a | Malaysia | Age 18–50, mean 24.7 781 512 Malay (50.2% female; mean age 22.0) 269 Chinese (50.2% female; mean age 21.7) | CAS | Self-rated attractiveness; age; gender |
| Swami et al. | 2011b | UK      | Age 18–50, mean 24.7 401 100% female | CAS | Body dissatisfaction; Five Factor personality factors; age |
| Tengco-Pacquing et al. | 2014 | Philippines | Number of participants of each gender not reported | CAS | Power over others |
| Vega et al. | 2013 | Philippines | Mean age 18.3 600 | CAS | Gender |
Ethnicity

McCutcheon et al. (2016d) found that African-Americans had significantly higher total CAS scores, as well as for the entertainment-social and intense-personal subscales, than white participants. African-American participants tended to choose African-American celebrities as their favoured celebrities, while white participants tended to choose white celebrities. McCutcheon et al. (2016e) found that non-white participants scored higher on entertainment-social and intense-personal CW.

Other

Maltby et al. (2004a) found no significant relationship between CW and education, employment or marital status; Maltby et al. (2006) found no association between CW and education. McCutcheon et al. (2016d) found that socioeconomic status was unrelated to CAS scores. However, Cheung and Yue (2012) found that idolisation of celebrities in adolescents was associated with lower parental socioeconomic status.

Personality

The PEN and Five-Factor Models of Personality

Several studies explored the relationship between CW and the dimensions of Eysenck’s psychoticism, extraversion and neuroticism (PEN) model of personality (Eysenck and Eysenck 1985). Maltby et al. (2003, 2004a) found significant positive relationships between entertainment-social, intense-personal and borderline-pathological CW and extraversion, neuroticism and psychoticism respectively. Maltby et al. (2011) noted relationships between entertainment-social CW and extraversion and between intense-personal CW and all facets of neuroticism. McCutcheon et al. (2016a) found correlations between the same dimensions, but these results were not statistically significant.

Three studies also considered CW in relation to the Five-Factor Model of Personality (extraversion, agreeableness, conscientiousness, neuroticism/emotional stability and openness to experience) (Goldberg 1990). McCutcheon et al. (2016a) found that borderline-pathological celebrity worshipers were significantly less conscientious, but Maltby et al. (2011) found neither conscientiousness, openness nor agreeableness were significantly correlated with CAS scores. In the latter study, the ‘ideas’ subscale of the openness measure was correlated with intense-personal CW for males only. Swami et al. (2011b) found negative correlations between all aspects of CW and openness, and between intense-personal CW and agreeableness, conscientiousness, and emotional stability.

Materialism

A positive correlation was noted between materialistic values and CW (Engle and Kasser 2005; Green et al. 2014); this association appears to be particularly strong for borderline-pathological CW (Green et al. 2014; Reeves et al. 2012). Conversely, Chia and Poo (2009) found that the intense-personal aspect of CW was most strongly associated with materialistic values.

Other

Huh (2012) used ‘digit ratio’ (the lengths of the second and fourth fingers) as a proxy measure of dominance and masculinity, as these have been significantly associated with digit ratio (Neave et al. 2003). Females with lower digit ratios (associated with greater dominance, aggression and masculinity) were less likely to worship celebrities; no relationship between CW and digit ratio was found for males.

North et al. (2007) explored CW in relation to attribution theory (Weiner 1986), i.e. the theory that people make attributions according to three dimensions: external–internal (whether events are attributed to factors outside or under the control of the person experiencing them), unstable–stable (the extent to which the cause of an event is attributable to factors that will, or will not, change) and specific–global (whether events are attributed to pervasive factors or isolated to particular aspects of the situation). North et al. (2007) found that entertainment-social CW was unrelated to attributional style, while intense-personal CW was associated with a propensity toward stable and global attributions. Borderline-pathological and deleterious imitation CW were related to external, stable, and global attributions.

McCutcheon et al. (2004) found negative correlations between intense-personal CW and enjoyment of solitude in one sample and productivity during solitude in another sample, suggesting those with strong attachments to their favourite celebrity are somewhat less likely to prefer solitude. Ashe and McCutcheon (2001) found a weak positive correlation between CW and shyness, which did not reach statistical significance. Reeves et al. (2012) found that CW, particularly borderline-pathological CW, was significantly associated with greater boredom proneness. McCutcheon et al. (2015) found significant positive correlations between the two higher levels of CW and the need for uniqueness.

Maltby and Day (2017) explored correlations between CAS scores and scores on a biopsychological personality measure. Entertainment-social and intense-personal CW were both positively associated with reward responsiveness (motivations in anticipation of future rewards); no CAS sub-scales were correlated with drive (goal-directed motivations), fun-seeking (willingness to approach new situations
spontaneously), anxiety/inhibition relating to failure to reach goals, or fear/concerns about anticipated punishment. However, in the same study, the authors developed the Regulatory Motivations in Celebrity Interest Scale to explore two self-regulatory motivations for celebrity interest (self-expansion motivated by the desire for personal growth, and self-suppression motivated by suppression of negative goal-related outcomes or negative evaluations from others). They found that both forms of motivation for celebrity interest correlated strongly with all dimensions of the CAS; furthermore, celebrity interest for self-expansion strongly correlated with both fun-seeking and reward responsiveness, while celebrity interest for self-suppression strongly correlated with anxiety relating to the failure to meet goals.

McCutcheon et al. (2016c) found positive correlations between entertainment-social CW and the tendency to savour experiences: in particular, American participants who enjoyed reminiscing about pleasurable events scored significantly higher on entertainment-social CW.

No significant relationships were found between CW and authoritarianism (Maltby and McCutcheon 2001); the Myers-Briggs Type Indicator dimensions (Briggs-Myers and Briggs 1985) of extraversion vs introversion, sensing vs. intuition, thinking vs. feeling, and judging vs. perceiving (McCarley and Escoto 2003); or Machiavellianism (McCutcheon 2003), although post hoc correlations found that the best ‘Machiavellian’ item predictive of CW was ‘I agree that the most important thing in life is winning’, suggesting there may be some relationship between CW and hyper-competitiveness.

Religiosity

Maltby et al. (2002) found that CW decreased as religiosity increased. However, the mean correlation coefficient of the religious attitudes scores was low, suggesting that many religious people seemingly ignored the teaching that ‘thou shalt worship no other Gods’, or did not connect it to worship of celebrities. In a later study Maltby (2004) found a more pronounced significant negative correlation between CW and religious puritanism. This implies that religious people who show adherence to divine law and church authority tend not to worship celebrities – suggesting that Maltby et al.’s (2002) original claim that religious people fail to connect the ‘worship no other Gods’ teaching with CW may need revising. Conversely, Liu (2013) found a positive correlation between CW and religiosity, and two studies found that religious skeptics were less enthusiastic about their favourite celebrities, with religious believers scoring significantly higher on the CAS (McCutcheon and Richman 2016; McCutcheon et al. 2015).

Behavioural and Cognitive-Behavioural Factors

Fantasy Proneness and Dissociation

Maltby et al. (2006) found that intense-personal and borderline-pathological CW both correlated positively and significantly with fantasy proneness and dissociation, while entertainment-social CW was not significantly related to either measure. In a multiple regression, fantasy proneness accounted for unique variance in intense-personal CW, and both fantasy proneness and dissociation accounted for unique variance in borderline-pathological CW. Sheridan et al. (2006) found that preoccupation with a favoured celebrity was significantly related to dissociative experiences and absorption, and McCutcheon et al. (2016b) noted a positive correlation between fantasy proneness and all CAS subscales.

Obsessive Behaviours

Maltby et al. (2006) found that intense-personal and borderline-pathological CW both correlated significantly and positively with obsession-compulsive behaviours, while McCutcheon et al. (2016b) found a positive but non-significant correlation between these two types of CW and obsessionality thinking. This study found significant correlations between both intense-personal and borderline-pathological CW and obsessive, intrusive behaviours towards celebrities, in particular ‘persistent pursuit’ (willingness to persist in activities that might be an annoyance to the celebrity) and ‘threat’ (willingness to persist in activities such as letters or phone calls suggesting sexual acts or making obscene comments). Intense-personal CW remained a predictor of ‘persistent pursuit’ in a multiple regression.

Other

McCutcheon et al. (2014a) found that total CAS scores were correlated with measures of irresponsibility (impulsiveness, brief sensation seeking and lack of consideration of future consequences), although only the relationship between CW and impulsiveness reached statistical significance. Borderline-pathological CW shared a particularly strong relationship with measures of irresponsibility.

Reeves et al. (2012) found that CW was positively related to compulsive buying, with the relationship between borderline-pathological CW and compulsive buying particularly strong. Sheridan et al. (2007) found that all four aspects of CW they identified (entertainment-social, intense-personal, deleterious imitation, and a mixed component including ‘infatuation’ items as well as other items scattered across the initial subscales) correlated significantly and positively with criminality.
North et al. (2005) found no significant relationship between CW towards musicians and listening to ‘deviant’ music styles.

Feelings about the Self or the World

Self-Esteem

North et al. (2007) found that entertainment-social CW was unrelated to self-esteem, but intense-personal CW and the deleterious imitation aspect of CW were positively related to self-esteem. A positive relationship between intense-personal CW and self-esteem was also found by Ashe et al. (2005), along with a positive relationship between borderline-pathological CW and all aspects of self-esteem with the exception of ‘self-liking’. Perhaps related, Swami et al. (2011a) found that self-rated attractiveness was positively associated with CW, whereas Maltby and Day (2011) found no significant relationship between CW and self-rated attractiveness.

North et al. (2007) found a negative relationship between borderline-pathological CW and self-esteem, which was almost – but not quite – significant. A negative relationship between borderline-pathological CW and self-esteem was also noted by Chia and Poo (2009). Cheng (1997) found that members of a fan-club (i.e. those presumably more likely to be ‘celebrity worshippers’) reported significantly lower self-esteem and significantly higher fear of negative evaluation than those who were not members of a fan-club. Reeves et al. (2012) found that CW was associated with lower self-concept clarity and lower self-esteem.

Three studies found no significant relationship between CW and self-esteem (Engle and Kasser 2005; Liu 2013; Maltby and Day 2011).

Other

Ashe et al. (2005) found a significant correlation between total CAS scores and narcissism, but only among UK participants and not a similar sample from the USA which trended in the same direction, but weakly. The UK sample showed significant positive relationships between CW for entertainment-social reasons and the ‘authority’ aspect of narcissism; CW for intense-personal reasons and all aspects of narcissism; and borderline-pathological CW and all aspects of narcissism with the exception of ‘vanity’. In a multiple regression, exploitativeness accounted for unique variance in intense-personal CW scores, while both exhibition and self-sufficiency accounted for unique variance in borderline-pathological CW. The US sample showed correlations between intense-personal CW and the exploitativeness aspect of narcissism, and borderline-pathological CW and both self-sufficiency and vanity. These also accounted for unique variance in a multiple regression analysis; however, when self-esteem was partialled out, the relationship between narcissism and CW was non-significant.

Cheung and Yue (2003b) found that male adolescents who modelled themselves on celebrities tended to have higher perceived self-efficacy than those who modelled after non-celebrities or those who had no such ‘model’, while females who modelled themselves on celebrities were lower in self-efficacy than those who did not.

Cheung and Yue (2003a) found that idol worship was related to lower identity achievement. In particular, membership in fan-clubs and years of idol worship emitted significant negative effects on identity achievement. Maltby et al. (2006) found that the two higher levels of CW were negatively associated with ego identity, though this did not remain a predictor of CW in multiple regression.

McCutcheon (2003) found that those who believed the world is a fair and just place to live were more likely to worship celebrities.

Cognitive Factors

Cognitive flexibility was negatively related to intense-personal CW (Maltby et al. 2004b; Martin et al. 2003) and borderline-pathological dimensions of CW (Martin et al. 2003). Houran et al. (2005) found that all three levels of CW were associated with intolerance of ambiguity, rigidity of thinking reflecting a preference for concreteness in the environment, and need for structure. In the latter study, all three levels of CW were also associated with thin boundaries concerning delineations between past, present and future, and entertainment-social CW was associated with openness to child-like feelings.

McCutcheon et al. (2003) found that celebrity worshippers scored significantly lower on measures of crystallised intelligence, creativity, critical thinking and spatial ability. In a multiple regression, however, only creativity (that is, poor creativity indicated by a deficit in the ability to form verbal remote associations) appeared to contribute to the prediction of total CAS scores and to borderline-pathological CW; only spatial ability contributed to prediction of entertainment-social CW; and only critical thinking predicted intense-personal CW. A later study (McCutcheon et al. 2012) found minimal support for the hypothesis that there would be an inverse relationship between CAS scores and measures of cognitive ability. The only correlation significant at the 0.01 level was between intense-personal CW and advanced reasoning skills; critical thinking, intellectual flexibility and spatial ability appeared to be unrelated. A further study by McCutcheon et al. (2014b) found that scores on a measure of critical thinking correlated negatively with CW; this study also suggested that analytical thinking and logical thinking are not the same, and that there is a relationship between CW and (lack of) ability to reason logically, but not analytically.
Relationships with Others

Attachment

McCutcheon et al. (2006a) found negative (though non-significant) correlations between childhood attachment and all aspects of CW; adults who reported insecure attachment as children were also more likely to condone stalking and obsessive behaviours directed towards celebrities. Roberts (2007) found that participants with higher levels of attachment anxiety and lower levels of attachment avoidance were more likely to indulge in approach behaviours towards celebrities. Greenwood and Long (2011) found positive associations between attachment anxiety and imagined intimacy with same-gender celebrities, and with imagined intimacy with an opposite-gender celebrity for single participants but not those in romantic relationships; attachment avoidance was not related to imagined intimacy with celebrities of either gender.

Engle and Kasser (2005) found that, for female adolescents, preoccupied attachment to boys was marginally positively correlated with idolisation; secure attachment to boys was related to significantly greater idolisation; avoidant attachment to boys was marginally negatively related to idolisation; and fearful attachment did not appear to be related.

Parental Relationships

Cheung and Yue (2012) found that celebrity idolisation was predicted by parental absence – when the father or mother were absent, adolescents were more likely to idolise their favoured celebrity and also tended to have older idols, suggesting that a celebrity may serve as a kind of compensatory ‘replacement’ for a lack of authority figure.

Scharf and Levy (2015) found that celebrity idolisation was associated with levels of preoccupation in the family (e.g. the worry that something could go wrong in the family at any time) and psychological control in the family system (i.e. the extent to which adolescents believed parents would like to have control over them). In a multiple regression, parental psychological control remained a predictor.

Giles and Maltby (2004) found that attachment to parents was negatively associated with CW, while emotional autonomy (the degree to which adolescents perceived themselves as independent from their parents) was positively associated with CW, supporting the idea that attachments to celebrities develop simultaneously with the transference of attachment from parents to peers.

Friendships and Romantic Relationships

Cheung and Yue (2011) reported a negative relationship between aspects of idol worship and attachment to one’s best friend, particularly between ‘intimisation’ of the idol and attachment to closest friend, suggesting that an ‘intimate’ parasocial relationship with a celebrity may act as compensation for lacking this sense of intimacy with a best friend. Giles and Maltby (2004) found that entertainment-social CW was associated with high levels of attachment to peers.

Engle and Kasser (2005) found that girls with personal experience of romantic relationships were more likely to idolise (male) celebrities than those with no romantic experience, which contradicted their hypothesis that CW may be a compensatory function for girls without personal romantic experience.

Greenwood and Long (2011) found that participants not currently in a romantic relationship reported significantly greater imagined intimacy with their preferred opposite-gender celebrity than those in a romantic relationship. In this study there was no significant relationship between intimacy in a current romantic relationship and imagined intimacy with a favourite opposite-gender celebrity; however, there was a significant association between intimacy within the context of a same-gender friendship and imagined intimacy with a same-gender media figure.

Romantic Relationship Style

McCutcheon (2002) found that entertainment-social CW was associated with a ‘practical’ love style, where one looks for someone who meets the criteria they desire in a partner; borderline-pathological CW was associated with a ‘game-playing’ love style, typified by lack of emotional commitment and interest in having multiple relationships; and CW in general was associated with ‘dependent’ love, a possessive and jealous style of love often rooted in the fear of being abandoned and uncertainty about the future of relationships. In a smaller sub-sample of participants whose favoured celebrities were likely to be ‘fantasy love attractions’, significant correlations were found between ‘dependent’ love and both intense-personal and borderline-pathological CW, and between ‘game-playing’ love and all three aspects of CW. Some support for these findings has been reported (McCutcheon et al. 2016e, 2017), with participants who scored as ‘dependent’ lovers tending to also score highly on all three subscales of CAS. In this study, for participants from the USA, ‘game-playing’ love style was associated with intense-personal CW – although the two appeared unrelated in participants from India. McCutcheon et al. (2016e) noted relationships between intense-personal CW and both ‘practical’ and ‘dependent’ love; entertainment-social CW and ‘practical’ love; and ‘game-playing’ love and both intense-personal and borderline-pathological CW, although these did not retain significance in a multiple regression.

McCutcheon et al. (2016e) found that participants with higher scores on all three dimensions of CW reported higher levels of dominance, submission, separation, and interactional
reactivity during conflict with a romantic partner; higher anxiety in intimate relationships; and were more likely to endorse soulmate beliefs. Entertainment-social and intense-personal CW were associated with avoidance during conflict. Borderline-pathological CW was associated with lower tendency to compromise during conflict with romantic partners.

Other

Positive, but non-significant, correlations were observed between CW and loneliness (Ashe and McCutcheon 2001) and the tendency to envy others (Green et al. 2014). Greenwood and Long (2011) found that ‘need to belong’ was positively associated with imagined intimacy with opposite-gender media figures for single participants but not those in relationships. Maltby et al. (2004b) found a significant negative correlation between intense-personal CW and social complexity (the extent to which individuals attempt to join and maintain memberships in a number of different groups). McCutcheon et al. (2015) found no correlations between CAS scores and scores on a measure of ‘identification with all humanity’, i.e. the extent to which one identifies with people all over the world rather than simply in their immediate communities.

Houran et al. (2005) found that poor interpersonal boundaries concerning openness or perceived closeness towards others predicted borderline-pathological CW: borderline-pathological celebrity worshippers tended to feel disconnected from their social circles despite the desire to form relationships and be open and accepting with others. This type of CW was also associated with thinner boundaries concerning the delineations between the roles of children and adults and the roles individuals play in formal and informal relationships: that is, they blurred the roles placed by society on age groups and individuals within relationships. In the same study, intense-personal CW was associated with thin boundaries concerning the roles individuals play in formal and informal relationships: intense-personal celebrity worshippers demonstrated an endorsement of attitudes concerning identity diffusion, i.e. the blurring or merging of identity roles.

Tengco-Pacquing et al. (2014) conducted an experimental study to assess whether a temporary experience of power over others would cause people to value celebrities less. However, there was little difference in CAS scores between the high-power and low-power groups.

Attitudes towards the Body, Eating, and Cosmetic Surgery

Attitudes towards the Body

Aruguete et al. (2014) found no relationship between CW and a self-objectification measure designed to assess the extent to which individuals put emphasis on their physical appearance, although CW was positively related to enjoyment of sexualisation, particularly for males.

Scharf and Levy (2015) found that idolisation of celebrities was associated with poorer body image. Maltby et al. (2005) found significant relationships between CW and body image among female adolescents, although not for students, adults, or adolescent males. For female adolescents there was a significant positive association between intense-personal CW and measures of attention to body shape and body shape preoccupation; while borderline-pathological CW was also positively correlated with both, only intense-personal CW remained a predictor in multiple regression. Similarly, Swami et al. (2011b) found that body dissatisfaction was significantly positively correlated with scores on the entertainment-social and intense-personal aspects of CW; intense-personal CW remained a significant predictor in a multiple regression. Maltby and Day (2011) found a significant correlation between intense-personal CW and body image preoccupation.

Attitudes towards Eating

Aruguete et al. (2014) found that CW was positively related to disordered eating attitudes. Perhaps surprisingly, this relationship was stronger for men than for women, and was not dependent on whether the favoured celebrities were rated as especially physically attractive – although participants tended to rate the attractiveness of their favourite celebrity as higher than their own. Scharf and Levy (2015) also found that those with high levels of idol worship exhibited more problematic eating behaviours and attitudes, though it is important to note that they believe this relationship is mediated by family ecology and self-esteem.

Attitudes towards Cosmetic Surgery

Swami et al. (2009) found that individuals who scored highly on CW were significantly more likely to hold positive attitudes about elective cosmetic surgery, with intense-personal CW emerging as the strongest predictor. Maltby and Day (2011) found that intense-personal CW of a celebrity whose body shape was admired by the participant significantly predicted the incidence of elective cosmetic surgery within an 8-month period. Jung and Hwang (2016) reported that for USA participants, CW was positively associated with acceptance of cosmetic surgery and this remained a significant predictor in a multiple regression analysis; however, CW was not associated with acceptance of cosmetic surgery in a South Korean population in the same study, suggesting there may be a cultural context involved.
Psychological Wellbeing

Maltby et al. (2001) found that entertainment-social CW accounted for unique variance in social dysfunction and depressive symptoms, while intense-personal CW accounted for unique variance in depression and anxiety. Entertainment-social CW correlated significantly and positively with anxiety, social dysfunction and depressive symptoms, while both intense-personal and borderline-pathological CW correlated significantly and positively with anxiety and depressive symptoms. In contrast, Maltby et al. (2004a) found that CW only at the intense-personal level was significantly related to poorer general health (depression, anxiety, somatic symptoms and social dysfunction) and negative affect (stress and low positive affect). The effect of intense-personal CW on both general mental health and negative affect was mediated by a neurotic coping style comprising denial and disengagement. Reyes et al. (2016) found that CAS scores correlated negatively with scores on a mental health measure, although this correlation was weak. Maltby and Day (2017) found that entertainment-social CW correlated with positive affect while borderline-pathological CW correlated with negative affect; interestingly intense-personal CW showed a correlation with negative affect but also a weaker, though still significant, correlation with positive affect. In the same study, celebrity interest for self-expansion reasons correlated positively with positive affect, while celebrity interest for self-suppression reasons correlated positively with negative affect.

Sheridan et al. (2007) found that scores on the CAS positively correlated with a measure of addiction, though after Bonferroni adjustments, addiction scores correlated significantly with only one factor, which was the ‘deleterious imitation’ aspect of the CAS. Chia and Poo (2009) found that the entertainment-social aspect of CW was positively associated with life satisfaction, and Maltby and Day (2011) found no significant relationship between CW and satisfaction with life. However two studies (Maltby et al. 2004a; Reeves et al. 2012) found that intense-personal CW was significantly negatively associated with life satisfaction. Perhaps related to wellbeing, Scharf and Levy (2015) found that children’s poor adjustment in class (a measure encompassing sadness, popularity and academic achievements) was associated with higher levels of idolisation.

Despite the apparent associations between CW and poor mental health, Price et al. (2014) found that those with stronger attachments to celebrities were slightly more likely to stigmatise people with history of mental illness, and there was a significant relationship between intense-personal CW and stigmatising.

Discussion

Several studies suggest that CW is strongest in early adolescence. It appears that people are most likely to worship celebrities at the age when they first begin looking for identification figures other than their parents, and so imagined intimacy with a favoured celebrity may be linked to processes of identity formation and autonomy development (Gleason et al. 2017). Indeed, content analysis of ‘fan-mail’ by He and Feng (2002) found that letters from young fans tended to emphasise striving to be like their favourite celebrity and wanting to achieve similar goals or possess similar qualities, suggesting celebrities may serve as role models for young people. The decrease in CW with age may be due to the aspects of CW associated with identity development becoming less important after adolescence (Swami et al. 2011a). However, Cheung and Yue’s (2003a) finding that idol worship is negatively associated with identity achievement is at odds with the suggestion that CW can help an individual to develop their sense of identity, and worthy of further exploration.

There is some evidence that intense-personal and borderline-pathological CW may reflect neuroticism and psychotism respectively, which may provide understanding of why higher levels of CW appear to be related to poorer mental health. There is also evidence of a relationship between materialism and CW, perhaps unsurprisingly given that materialism has been associated with consumption of celebrity magazine and television consumption (Lewallen et al. 2016). There are mixed findings on the relationship between religiosity and CW, with some studies suggesting religious people are less likely to worship celebrities and others suggesting sceptics are less likely to. It has been hypothesised that the function of CW is to address the latent need for a religious experience (Alexander 2010) and it would be interesting to explore whether a loss of religious belief may be associated with CW; that is, perhaps those who were previously religious but experienced a loss of faith may turn to a favoured celebrity to fill this gap. It would also be useful for researchers into CW to distinguish between intrinsic and extrinsic religiosity in order to help clarify the relationship between CW and religion. According to Allport and Ross (1967), a person with intrinsic religious orientation believes wholly in their religion and lives their life according to the ways their religion preachers that they should, while for those with extrinsic religious orientation, religion is more self-serving and is used for achieving non-religious goals such as distraction, security and self-justification. It may be the case that the relationship between CW and religion is determined by the motivations behind religiosity and whether its orientation is intrinsic or extrinsic. Future research should more thoroughly explore the relationship between CW and experiences with religion.

Fantasy proneness appears to be associated with the higher levels of CW in particular. This relationship may be reflective of a need for escapism and could tie in with the concept of ‘transportation’ (Brown 2015; Green 2004; Green et al. 2004). Transportation refers to an individual becoming completely immersed and involved in a narrative world and with the
characters in that world, metaphorically being transported to a
different place where they can imagine themselves in that
world. Though typically this refers to fictional characters
and worlds, it can also refer to the worlds of real people. It
may be interesting to consider whether CW is related to the
tendency to be ‘transported’ during media consumption.

We would expect higher levels of CW to be associated with
lower self-esteem, due to the theory that CW is linked to a
deficit in self-concept or identity and acts as a compensation
for an ‘empty self’ (Cushman 1990; McCutcheon et al. 2002;
Reeves et al. 2012). However, several studies demonstrate a
positive relationship between CW and self-esteem. As these
studies are correlational, we cannot ascertain whether CW
actually improves self-esteem, but it would be interesting to
explore this as it would add a parasocial dimension to existing
theories of the relationship between social identity and self-
estee (e.g. Tajfel and Turner 1986). Indeed there is some
research to suggest that identification with a celebrity similar
to one’s ideal self can enhance self-esteem; by assimilating
favourite celebrities to the self, the discrepancy between the
actual and ideal selves can be reduced (Derrick et al. 2008).

There is some evidence that narcissism may be associated
with CW, perhaps related to fans seeing themselves as an
extension of their favoured celebrity and therefore worthy of
the same adoration. This relates to Alexander’s (2010) sug-
gestion that engagement with celebrities can be understood as
a process wherein the celebrity becomes part of the internal
self. It is also possible that as narcissists are likely to have
difficulty maintaining real relationships (Campbell and
Foster 2002), parasocial relationships with celebrities may fill
this gap for them.

Cognitive flexibility appears to be negatively related to
intense-personal CW in particular. People engaging in this
type of CW appear more likely to be inflexible or ‘locked into’
their views and lack awareness or the ability to cope with
alternative options. Maltby et al. (2004b) relate this to
intense-personal celebrity worshippers being unable to deal
with the real world and only seeing value in their favoured
celebrity and little else.

CW also appears to be associated with poor-quality inti-
mate relationships and difficulty coping with conflicts.
Arguably, the association between CW and poor relationships
may be due to celebrity attachment acting as a compensation
to make up for what is lacking in real-life relationships.
McCutcheon (2002) suggests that ‘dependent’ lovers, driven
by lack of confidence and need for love, may develop attach-
ments to celebrities as a backup plan, providing a sense of
security in the case of a real-life relationship break-up. This
is supported by Stever (2011a) who notes that parasocial
attachments are often sought during critical life transi-
tions, such as the loss of relationships, and argues that
attachment to celebrities is a way of re-engaging with
the world of relationships in a safe, non-threatening way.

Karniol (2001) found that young girls who had, or
wanted, a boyfriend tended to idolise non-feminine celeb-
rities, whereas girls who did not want a boyfriend tended
to choose feminine idols, suggesting that celebrities might
provide a safe target of romantic love for adolescents.

It is not new to suggest that the media influences body
image and eating attitudes (Cusomano and Thompson 1997;
Harrison and Cantor 1997), but the papers presented here
suggest that CW may be a specific aspect of media influence
worth more attention. Those who maintain a parasocial rela-
tionship with a favoured celebrity also appear more likely to
endorse cosmetic surgery, perhaps due to a combination of
internalisation of media beauty standards and desire to be like
one’s favoured celebrity.

Finally, there is some evidence that CW is related to poorer
psychological wellbeing (e.g. depression, anxiety) although it
is important to note that due to the correlational nature of the
research directionality cannot be assumed. This will be
discussed further in the limitations section of this paper.

Overall, CW as a ‘substitute’, or compensation for some-
thing lacking, appears to be a recurring theme. There is much
evidence to suggest that people lacking in internal resources
(such as self-concept or self-esteem) may use CW as a way of
seeking external stimulation and gratification in an attempt to
compensate for these deficits (Reeves et al. 2012). This is
supported by He and Feng’s (2002) analysis of fan-mail which
found that whatever attributes the letter-writers lacked, or felt
were insufficient, in their own lives tended to be the things
they particularly liked about their idol.

Limitations

Limitations of the Review

A small number of studies were included which did not use a
specific measure of CW but included particular behaviours
associated with being a serious fan (e.g. being a member of
a fan-club). It is likely that such behaviours are indicative of
CW; research has found that ‘fan-club’ or organised fandom
group members score significantly higher on the CAS than
non-members (Liu 2013; Reyes et al. 2016). However,
Stever (2011b) administered the CAS to a subset of individ-
uals who exhibited ‘fan’ behaviour (e.g. writing fan letters,
attending events to meet celebrities, joining fan-clubs, or hav-
ing memorabilia collections focusing on one celebrity) and
found the majority had low scores, suggesting one can be a
serious fan without being a ‘celebrity worshipper’. If CW and
‘being a serious fan’ are conceptually different constructs, it is
arguable whether this review should have focused solely on
papers with a direct CW measure.

The decision to limit the review to English-language stud-
ies published in journals means that papers published in dif-
ferent languages were missed and there is the potential for
publication bias. Future reviews may consider translating foreign-language papers and searching grey literature.

The screening and analysis of papers were all carried out by one author. It may have been useful to have a second researcher involved in these stages to ensure that no relevant papers were missed and to provide consensus for the interpretation of the results.

Limitations of the Literature

The research on CW relies overwhelmingly on cross-sectional, correlational data, simply exploring associations between CW and various psychosocial characteristics. While useful in itself, it is impossible to ascertain the directionality of these relationships. For example, it may be the case that CW destabilises fans psychologically, but it may also be that people with mental health difficulties are more likely to become attached to celebrities in the first place, possibly as a distraction from negative feelings. Similarly, if poor relationships are associated with CW, it may be the case that CW occurs first and this absorption interferes with the ability to maintain other relationships, but it is equally likely that those who have difficulty forming or maintaining secure relationships seek parasocial relationships with celebrities as less threatening substitutes. Despite the inability to ascertain directionality from correlational research, Hyman and Sierra (2010) use the literature to suggest that high-level CW can have damaging effects on mental health, hinder interpersonal relationships, and reduce cognitive and communicative flexibility. It is arguable in these cases whether CW is the cause or effect, and the correlational data available should not be used to assume directionality in these associations.

Directions for Future Research

There are several potential new avenues for future research emerging from this review. Firstly, prospective or longitudinal studies are needed to explore how CW and the factors associated with it change over time. This may provide a better understanding of the directionality between CW and associated factors. Secondly, it is notable that there is a lack of qualitative research in the area of CW generally. Future researchers should consider using qualitative methodology to allow the fans themselves a ‘voice’ in the research and to explore the fans’ perceptions of CW. Thirdly, it would be useful for parametric studies to be carried out in order to ascertain what percentage of the population could be classified as ‘celebrity worshippers’ – in particular, what percentage could be classed as demonstrating the higher levels of CW (intense-personal and borderline-pathological).

Additionally, more theoretical research on CW is needed. While researchers have explored various factors associated with CW in depth, as demonstrated in this review, the majority of the studies carried out to date do not adequately discuss the implications of McCutcheon et al.’s (2002) absorption-addiction model of CW, for example. It may be useful to consider this in relation to self-determination theory (Deci and Ryan 2002). This theory differentiates between intrinsic motivation (internal drives to behave in certain ways, due to interests or value) and extrinsic motivation (the drive to behave in certain ways which comes from external sources, such as the desire for success, popularity or rewards). Both intrinsic and extrinsic motivations for behaviour drive people to meet the three innate psychological needs which self-determination theory suggests are needed in order to function optimally: competence (the need to develop mastery over important skills), relatedness (the need for a sense of belonging) and autonomy (the need to feel in control of one’s life). Much of the research discussed in this review suggests that celebrity worshippers try to gain satisfaction through extrinsic means (i.e. worshipping celebrities) rather than pursuing the basic intrinsic goals of competence, relatedness and autonomy. Further theoretical research could provide a deeper understanding of CW.

Further research is also needed into the psychometric properties of the CAS. This could include relationships between the CAS and similar scales developed to measure aspects of fan behaviours and attitudes towards celebrities. This research is necessary in order to fully explore the constructs being measured by the CAS and distinguish them from related constructs such as fandom – for example, Stever (2011b) suggests that being a fan and being a celebrity worshipper are not synonymous, pointing out that even those who could be considered ‘serious fans’ who joined fan clubs or wrote letters to celebrities do not necessarily score highly on the CAS. A more in-depth exploration of the specific constructs being measured by the CAS and how they differ from fan behaviours in general would provide better understanding of what CW is and the relationship between CW and being a fan.

It is important to understand how CW may impact on other areas of a person’s life: while research has considered this in terms of social and emotional effects, there is yet to be research on the potential financial impact of CW. It may be the case that celebrity worshippers spend more money than non-worshippers – for example, on concert tickets, films, memorabilia related to the favoured celebrity or products endorsed by them. It would therefore be useful to explore the relationship between CW and consumer behavior in more detail.

As discussed, McCutcheon et al. (2016d, 2016e) found that non-white participants tended to be more likely to engage in CW. The authors proposed that escapism into the world of celebrities may help people escape the potentially unpleasant reality of being a minority group, and that minority groups may derive a sense of self-esteem from strong identification with a celebrity belonging to the same group. It would be useful to explore whether there is a similar association in other
minority groups, such as the lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender communities. There is some evidence to suggest that homosexual or bisexual individuals are more likely to select homosexual/bisexual media personae as their favourites, particularly those reporting loneliness in their own lives (Bond 2018), and that attachment to such celebrities can be a meaningful form of socialisation for this group.

The fan-celebrity relationship is generally viewed as one-sided. However, fans often seek the reciprocity of real interactions with their favoured celebrities (Ferris 2001; Roberts 2007); in recent years, the internet has dramatically altered the fan-celebrity relationship, allowing a more intimate connection between the two and introducing a new dynamic to this relationship (Giles 2017; Stever 2011b). The proliferation of social media sites, which many celebrities use to communicate to and interact with their fans, provides a direct, immediate connection between celebrity and fan which may be meaningful for both parties (Stever and Lawson 2013). Given the relative ease of connecting with celebrities in the age of social media, fans can have repeated interactions with their favourite celebrities and could begin to see them as important parts of their social network. The effect of this has not been fully explored, although there is some evidence that dependency on parasocial relationships with celebrities on social media is associated with poor interpersonal trust (Baek et al. 2013). It would be useful to consider how this change in the fan-celebrity dynamic impacts upon CW and also on fan wellbeing.

There are also questions as to whether even those who would be classified as extreme celebrity worshippers, according to the CAS, should be classified as pathological and whether CW actually does have a negative impact on their lives. Much of the research discussed in this review explains CW negatively, often in terms of deficits in relationships or self-concept, and it appears that the attitude of the general public towards CW is negative: for example, McCutcheon and Maltby (2002) asked participants to select adjectives which they believed described a celebrity worshipper. The adjectives which emerged most frequently were negative: ‘foolish’, ‘irresponsible’, ‘submissive’, ‘persistent’ and ‘dishonest’. The negative attributions of celebrity worshippers may be due in part to media stories about the negative side of celebrity fixation such as stalking and harassment (Meloy et al. 2008; Mullen et al. 2009); more benign forms of CW do not make headlines and it is perhaps understandable that non-worshippers would hold negative views. However, those who were classified as celebrity worshippers themselves in the McCutcheon and Maltby (2002) study tended to view worshippers more favourably and rated them higher on both social and intelligence-related attributes than non-worshippers. So, despite the general view of non-worshippers that CW is a negative concept, it appears that those who experience CW have more favourable attitudes towards it and those who engage in it. It is also apparent that the impact of CW on the lives of the fans has not been thoroughly explored. It may be useful to develop a scale of measurement for this, for example asking participants to rate how their relationship with their favoured celebrity makes them feel and the extent to which their favoured celebrity has had a positive or negative impact on their lives. This may provide insights into whether CW is perceived by celebrity worshippers themselves as positive or negative.

Most of the research exploring the positive side of being a fan has focused mainly on ‘fandoms’ and connections with other fans, suggesting this can have a positive impact in terms of identification, belongingness, and psychological benefits (Gabriel and Young 2011; Obst et al. 2002). Less attention has been paid to the potential positive associations of CW. There is however some evidence that parasocial relationships can be positive: Stever (2011a) argues that they can help people deal with loss by allowing them to reconnect with certain emotions, while Cohen (2001) suggests parasocial relationships can help develop empathy. He (2006) suggests that idol worship in adolescents is positive and healthy, with young people drawing lessons and inspiration from celebrities, while Boon and Lomore (2001) report that CW can also be a motivator for achieving goals in older fans.

Sansone and Sansone (2014) suggest that CW should be viewed as a continuum, with ‘normal’ admiration at one end of the scale and psychopathological obsession at the other. Taking McCutcheon et al.’s (2002) absorption-addiction model of CW, then, we would assume that entertainment-social CW is at one end of the continuum, with intense-personal CW towards the other end of the continuum and borderline-pathological at the most extreme end. However, it may not be the case that high scores on the more intense levels of CW are necessarily problematic: Ang and Chan (2016) interviewed teenagers who scored at or above the midpoint of the three CAS sub-scales to explore the impact of CW on their lives. Their participants described only positive effects, reporting that CW provided inspiration, helped develop self-determination, enhanced personal and social relationships, helped modulate negative emotions, exposed them to new cultures, introduced new friends to their lives and helped overcome shyness. This suggests that CW can be positive even for those scoring highly on the more problematic dimensions of the CAS; it would be interesting to explore whether similar positive outcomes would also be reported by adults. If it is not the level of CW which determines whether CW has a negative impact, it may well be the case that it is the motivation behind CW which is important. Perhaps fans whose interest in their favourite celebrity stems from self-suppression are those most likely to have their wellbeing negatively impacted. It is hoped that the new Regulatory Motivations in Celebrity Interest Scale (Maltby and Day 2017) will become more widely used in the context of celebrity interest research, providing more insights into the motivations of attachment to celebrities.
Future research asking fans about the impact of CW on their lives is also important in ascertaining whether there might be a positive side to CW, and qualitative research in particular would allow for a better understanding of CW in terms of how celebrity worshipers themselves perceive the experience.
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