Correlation of Intravenous Administration of Iodinated Nonionic Contrast Agents with Contrast-Induced Nephrotoxicity in Sedated Dogs
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One of most accessible physical method of investigation in veterinary medicine is represented by the radiographic study. The contrast agents are used in veterinary radiology to highlight the anatomical structures. The contrast agents can absorb X-Ray and are used in special radiological procedures such as angiography, urography, myelography, contrast Computed Tomography or evaluation of gastrointestinal tract. The aim of the this paper was to perform a retrospective study to identify the complications associated with non-ionic contrast agents administration in dogs that undergo contrast radiography or contrast CT examination. Between January 2016 and January 2018, we selected a number of 234 dogs that were evaluated using contrast agents procedures (contrast radiography, contrast CT). A number of 192 cases had blood analysis before and after contrast administration and were included in the study.
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One of most accessible physical method of investigation in veterinary medicine is represented by the radiographic study. The rapid development of sciences made the radiography a routine procedure in the diagnostics of a various pathology and introduce also the Computed Tomography (CT) as a diagnostic tool for the veterinarian. Iodinated contrast agents are use in special radiological procedures because of their high-contrast density, this property makes these substances useful in visualization of a certain soft structures, making the contrast agents the most frequently used drugs in veterinary radiology [1, 2]. The downside of using those substances is the supposed renal toxicity.

The contrast agents can absorb X-Ray and are used to visualize the urinary tract (urography), to evaluate the vascular structures (angiography, phlebography), the spinal cord (myelography), in organ evaluation using contrast CT or for gastrointestinal tract. It derives their structure from iodine or barium sulfate and do not emit radiation. According to their structure, the contrast agents, are ionic or non-ionic [2]. Those are organic compound, monomeric or dimeric with low or high osmolarity, with benzene structures and variable content of iodinated compound. The non-ionic contrast agents have a low osmolarity (600-850 mOsm/kg, two to three times plasma osmolality) compared with ionic contrast agents (1,500-1,800 mOsm/kg, five to eight times plasma osmolality) [1]. The ionic high osmolarity contrast agents present an increased risk of an alternated heart rate and renal toxicity, being more irritative compared with non-ionic low osmolarity contrast agents [3]. The ionic and non-ionic iodine contrast agents can be administered intravenously, in angiography, urography, phlebography. For intramedullary or intra-articular administration are used non-ionic iodine contrast agents and for oral administration are used barium sulfate compound.

The most commonly used in veterinary radiology, for contrast studies, are iodinated non-ionic contrast agents (table 1). Intravenous administration of contrast agents is associated with several side effects from which the most important is contrast induces acute kidney injury (CI-AKI) (also known as contrast induce nephropathy or nephrotoxicosis - CIN). CI-AKI is defined as an elevation of serum creatinine (SC) of over 25% or ≥0.5 mg/dl from baseline within 24-48 h post contrast agents administration that cannot be attributed to other causes [4-6].
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Experimental part

Materials and methods

The aim of the paper was to perform a retrospective study to identify the complications associated with non-ionic contrast agents administration in dogs that undergo contrast radiography or contrast CT examination. Between January 2016 and January 2018, we selected a number of 234 dogs that were evaluated using contrast agents procedures (contrast radiography, contrast CT). From the initial number of these patients, 42 were excluded because they did not have blood analysis or the contrast study was administered orally. The remaining of 192 cases, in 167 patients the contrast agent was administered intravenously and in 25 patients the contrast agent was administered intrarachidian.

The age median was 5 years (with a minimum of 1 year and a maximum of 11 years), age mean and standard deviation of 4.82±2.47 years of age. Of the total patient included in the study, the weight median was 9 kg (with a minin of 3 kg and a maxim of 26 kg) and the mean weight was 11.44±6.23 kg, 52.08% (N=100) had a mean weight of 6.73±2.34 kg (under 10 kg) and 47.91% (N=92) had a mean weight of 16.57±4.97 kg (over 10 kg). Of the total of 192 patients, 87 were males and 105 females. A variety of breeds was represented in this study, with no breed being substantially overrepresented.

Contrast agents for this study were represented by Optiray 350 (producer Typo HealthCare), Ultravist 350 (Bayer Healthcare) and Omnipaque 350 (GE Healthcare). All the patients were sedated before the contrast study using tailored anesthesia protocols, Diazepam (Gedeon Richter, Romania) 0.25mg/kg in combination with Ketamine (Romvac, Romania) 1mg/kg and Propophol (APP Pharmaceuticals) to effect.

The pulse, temperature, respiratory frequency and blood analysis were performed before and after contrast agent administration up to 24 hours. The blood parameters evaluated were represented by blood urea nitrogen, creatinine, alkaline phosphatase, Na, K and liver enzymes. All dogs were hemodynamically supported with IV fluids after contrast agent administration.

The descriptive statistic was performed using Excel Descriptive Tools, we calculated the median, mean values and standard deviation.

Results and discussions

From the total 192 cases, the contrast agents administered included Iopromide (Ultravist 370) in 38% of cases (73 administration), Ioversol (Optiray 350) in 30% of cases (57 administration) and Iohexol (Omnipaque 350) in 32% of cases (62 administration). The average consumption of contrast agents was 20.47±13.62 ml/procedure, with a median of 18 ml/procedure (range 0.6 – 52 ml/ procedure). The administered dose of contrast agent was 2ml/kg for intravenous administration and 0.2ml/kg for intrarachidian administration.

Changes in the heart (HR) and respiratory frequency (RF) were presented in 2 (1.2%) cases after contrast agent administration and were correlated with preexistent condition (pulmonary lesions). Other side effects encountered after intravenous contrast agents administration in 167 cases were represented by vomiting (3 cases – 1.79% out of 167 patients), tremor, severe muscle spasms, agitation and vocalization (1 case – 0.59% out of 167 patient), all the patient were subsequently diagnosticated with other pathologies. In 2 cases that presented vomiting, ultrasound and CT examination reveal intraabdominal masses, 1 case was diagnosticated with chronic kidney pathology and 1 patient was diagnosticated with cerebellar lesion due to otitis. Other sporadic changes of the heart and respiratory frequency were encounter, being related with the anesthetic administration.
From 25 cases where the contrast was administering intrachidian, 1 case (4%) presented seizures at 2 hours post contrast administration. The seizures were related with a change in the flow of cerebrospinal fluid; the patient fully recovered after 2 days of treatment (table 2).

### Table 2

| No of Cases | Adverse reaction | Contrast administration | Obs |
|-------------|------------------|-------------------------|-----|
| 2 (1.2%)    | Increased HR, increased RF | Intravenously | Focal area of pulmonary fibrosis |
| 2 (1.2%)    | vomiting | Intravenously | Spleen tumor with abdominal metastasis |
| 1 (0.59%)   | vomiting | Intravenously | Chronic kidney pathology |
| 1 (0.59%)   | tremor, severe muscle spasms, agitation and vocalization | Intravenously | Cerebellar lesions due to otitis |
| 1 (4%)      | seizures | Intrachidian | Cerebral |

Of the total cases from this study, changes in the blood biochemistry was evident in 15 cases (7.8%). At 24 hours post contrast administration increased values were determined for: serum creatinine (SC 2.2±0.58 mg/dl, normal 0.6-2.0 mg/dl), gamma glutamyl-transferase (GGT 108±23.1 IU/l, normal 2-10 IU/l), blood urea nitrogen (BUN 59±1.57 mg/dl, normal 8-29 mg/dl), alkaline phosphatase (ALP 589±21.45 IU/l, normal 12-121 IU/L), Aspartate Aminotransferase (AST/GOT 87±5.48 IU/l, normal 16-54 IU/l), Alanly Aminotransferase (ALT/GPT 199±14.58 IU/l, normal 18-86 IU/l) and K (7.38±1.6 mEq/L, normal 3.5–5.5 mEq/L) (table 3).

### Table 3

| Parameters | Registere values | Limits (16) |
|------------|------------------|-------------|
| Serum creatinine (SC) | 2.2±0.58 mg/dl | 0.6-2.0 mg/dl |
| gamma glutamyl-transferase (GGT) | 08±23.1 IU/l | 2-10 IU/l |
| Blood urea nitrogen (BUN) | 59±1.57 mg/dl | 8-29 mg/dl |
| Alkaline phosphatase (ALP) | 589±21.45 IU/l | 12-121 IU/L |
| Aspartate Aminotransferase (AST/GOT) | 87±5.48 IU/l | 16-54 IU/L |
| Alanyl Aminotransferase (ALT/GPT) | 199±14.58 IU/l | 18-86 IU/l |
| Potassium (K) | 7.38±1.6 mEq/L | 3.5–5.5 mEq/L |

Unwanted side effects of the contrast agents administration can be acute, delayed or systemic. Acute reaction is most common (70%), can occur in the first 5 minutes after administration and can vary from mild to severe. Mild reaction are represented by itching or mild cutaneous irritation, and the severe reaction can manifest as allergic effect, or anaphylactic reaction [1]. Delayed effects are uncommon and can appear between 1 hour and 7 days post contrast administration. Systemic effect represents a separate category and include complication such as contrast induced nephropathy (CIN) [3]. In human medicine, CIN is the third most common acquired acute renal injury, representing 12% of the cases, with a incidence between 0 and 24% depending on the patient risk [6-8]. It is defined as a transient and reversible form of acute renal failure [9].

In veterinary medicine due to low incidence of clinically relevant injury following contrast agents administration, the nephrotoxicity following contrast administration can be challenging to evaluate. The studies show an incidence of 7.6% with clinically relevant kidney injury in only 2.2% of contrast administrations [10].

Studies performed by Katzberg (2007) and Dong (2012) indicate an increased risk of CIN in case of intraarterial administration of iodinated contrast agents compared with intravenous administration [11, 12]. More recent studies show limited to no demonstrable apparition of CIN associated with intravenous administration of contrast agents [13-15].

Contrast agents are filtered by the kidney causing short term renal vasodilatation followed by renal vasoconstriction, that will produce decrease in renal blood flow and glomerular filtration rate. Increased effect of angiotensin II, adenosine, and endothelin will produce constriction of the vasa recta. Changes in osmolarity will produce increased diuresis and increased sodium reabsorption, producing increased O₂ consumption and medullary hypoxia [1, 17] Direct injury by the contrast agents on the endothelial cells will lead to production of reactive oxygen species, that will reduce the nitric oxide, contributing to vasa recta constriction and medullary hypoxia [17].
Changes in BUN and SC level are related with the renal hypoxia and early signs of CIN, although only those two parameters alone are not a direct indication of CIN [18]. Increased values of GGT and ALP are indicative for the tubular changes produced by the contrast agents, therefore being involve in the pathogenesis of CIN [19, 21]. Research conducted by Sirken in 2004 indicate that iodinated contrast agents administration result in hypertonic hyponatremia through a dual effect of exogenous fluid dilution and translocation. The fluid translocated from intracellular to extracellular spaces may lead to a decrease in sodium, chloride, and bicarbonate levels developing hyperkalemia caused by solvent drag and/or passive diffusion [22].

The median age for the patient that presented signs of CIN was 9 years (range between 7 and 11 years) with a mean age of 8.77±1.28 years. The patients manifesting signs of CIN had previous history of renal or hepatic pathologies.

Conclusions

The percent of CIN in dogs is low (7.8%) after iodinated contrast administration, confirming data’s from literature. CIN is most likely to appear in older patients with subsequent kidney pathology. An early predictor signs of CIN can be obtained by correlation of changes in SC, GGT, ALP and K.
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