Successful Closed Reduction of a Dislocated Constrained Total Hip Arthroplasty: A Case Report and Literature Review
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Abstract: Many surgeons use acetabular components with constrained polyethylene liners to improve stability after total hip arthroplasty in patients with a history of hip dislocation. Surgical treatment is generally thought to be the only available option for the dislocated constrained liner. The success rate and clinical results of closed reduction for such patients is unclear. This report presents a case of a successful closed reduction of a dislocated constrained liner. Few papers have so far addressed closed reduction of a dislocated constrained liner. Furthermore, previous studies reported a variety of components. Publication of additional successful and unsuccessful case reports is therefore needed to help establish the optimal treatment protocol for a dislocated constrained liner.
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INTRODUCTION

Dislocation after total hip arthroplasty (THA) ranges from less than 1% to 6% of primary cases [1] and from 15% to 30% of revision cases [2]. Unfortunately, the success rate of nonoperative treatment of dislocation after THA can be unreliable, and a third of such patients have recurrences [3]. Surgical procedures used to treat instability and dislocation include tightening the abductor musculature, removing sources of impingement, repositioning malaligned components, and using acetabular liners with elevated rims, however, such treatments fail in 30% to 50% of patients [3]. The use of constrained liners, which relies on a locking mechanism to capture the femoral head, has been developed to help manage this problem [4]. Despite such efforts, patients continue to be at high risk for instability and 3% to 18% experience recurrent dislocation even after constrained components have been implanted [5, 6]. Generally, open surgical reduction is thought to be the only available treatment for such cases, however, this compromises the integrity of the joint and exposes the patient to the additional risk of surgery [7]. Several authors have reported closed reduction for dislocation of a constrained liner [7-13]. However, constrained acetabular liners are currently available in various designs, with differences in the locking mechanisms. To our knowledge, this is the first reported case of a successful closed reduction of a dislocated constrained THA using a Trilogy Acetabular System Constrained liner (Zimmer, Inc, Warsaw, Indiana, USA).

The study protocol adhered to the ethics guidelines of the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki, and the study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Stanford University.

CASE

A 79-year-old female underwent primary THA for nonunion of a right subtrochanteric fracture of the femur with degenerative arthritis of the right hip joint using an uncemented Trilogy acetabular system and fully porous-coated stem (Zimmer, Warsaw, Indiana, USA). Five years later, at age 84, the hip was revised to a Trilogy constrained liner with a 10-degree oblique face and 32-mm head (Zimmer, Inc, Warsaw, Indiana, USA) (Fig. 1) for instability and recurrent dislocation (Fig. 2). However, 5 years after the revision surgery, at age 88, she bent over, hyperflexed the hip and complained of right hip pain. A radiograph in the emergency department in our hospital demonstrated dislocation of the constrained THA. The head appeared to have dislocated from the liner, and the locking ring disengaged but was not broken (Fig. 3). A closed reduction was performed in the emergency department with sedation. The head was relocated and the locking ring was
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Fig. (1). The photograph shows the Trilogy constrained liner with a 10-degree oblique face (Zimmer, Inc, Warsaw, Indiana, USA).
repositioned to where it was previously located (Fig. 4). The patient’s hip was placed in an abduction brace with full weight bearing. Further radiographs demonstrated no further change. The patient could ambulate with minimal aid. The patient died 10 months later of unrelated causes.

**DISCUSSION**

Dislocation after THA using a constrained polyethylene liner presents a substantial treatment challenge. Closed reduction provides a conservative treatment option that avoids the risk of open surgical reduction. This point is especially relevant considering the surgical complications that exist in older patients with recurrent instability and a complex revision history [9].

Several authors have described successful closed reduction of a dislocated constrained liner [7-13]. Generally, it is thought that plastic deformation must occur in the polyethylene during the initial dislocation and during the closed reduction process. The torque required for subsequent dislocations for a second and third time decreased by 24%
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Table 1. Summary of Literature Review on Closed Reduction of Constrained Liner

| Authors              | Implant                  | Ball Size | Number of Patients | Follow-Up (Months) | Further Operation |
|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Present study        | Trilogy (Zimmer)         | 32        | 1                  | 8                  | none             |
| Flint et al. [13]    | Osteonics (Stryker)      | 28        | 1                  | unknown            | none             |
| Gaines et al. [12]   | Duraloc (DePuy)          | 28        | 1                  | 18                 | none             |
| Robertson et al. [11]| Omnifit (Stryker)        | 22        | 1                  | 2                  | revision         |
| Birdwell et al. [10] | unknown                  | 32        | 1                  | unknown            | unknown          |
| Harman et al. [9]    | Poly-Dial (DePuy)        | 28        | 6                  | 7-72               | none             |
| Miller et al. [8]    | S-ROM (Johnson & Johnson)| unknown  | 1                  | 12                 | none             |
| McPherson et al. [7] | S-ROM (Johnson & Johnson)| unknown  | 3                  | 1, 3, 6            | two revision and one Girdlestone |

and 8% in the Poly-Dial constrained liner (DePuy, Warsaw, Indiana, USA), respectively [9]. However, not all patients undergoing closed reduction of constrained liners require revision surgery or experience recurrent dislocations (see Table 1).

Harman et al. [9] reported that 6 hips remained stable 7 to 72 months after the last reduction. They noted that 2 of 6 hips underwent repeated successful closed reduction within 6 months of their initial dislocation and reduction and remained stable for at least 3 years. In contrast, McPherson et al. [7] reported that 3 hips with constrained liners required further operation after closed reduction. Two patients underwent revision surgery after 1 and 3 months their initial dislocation and reduction, and one patient was converted to a Girdlestone resection arthroplasty after 6 months. Our current case underwent closed reduction once, and did not require any further surgery.

The closed reduction techniques of dislocated constrained liner were reported in 7 papers [7-13]. Adequate anesthesia and usage of Fluoroscopy were common described in these papers. The procedure of closed reduction for dislocated liner was formed in 2 steps. First, traction was applied and the femoral head was placed in a “perched” position on the acetabular cup. The hip was positioned in 10-40 degrees abduction during traction [7, 9-12]. Additional hip flexion was described in 2 papers, in full extension in one [9], however, Flint et al. adopted in-line traction for the limb [13].

Second, the femoral head should be passed through the constrained liner with axial compression after confirmation that the femoral head was perched just lateral to the rim. In this phase, hip flexion was increased to 30-90 degrees from first step [7, 9, 11].

Direct medial pressure was also placed to the greater trochanter [7, 9, 10, 13]. Gaines et al. [12] reported an anomalous closed reduction. They initially failed at closed reduction, however, the patient’s femoral head subsequently reduced naturally the next day.

The most commonly used femoral heads for constrained liners are 28 mm and 32 mm in diameter. Harman et al. [9] reported no successful closed reductions of a 32-mm femoral head was achieved in their 6 patients. They described that the force to relocate the 28-mm heads into constrained liners

in vitro was 1380 N (310 lb), whereas 32-mm heads required greater force. Six 28-mm and one 32-mm head were relocated into constrained liners [9-13], however, the details of implants and clinical results at follow-up concerning of the case of 32-mm head were unclear [10]. In the current case, the patient’ femoral head was 32-mm head with Trilogy constrained liner (Zimmer, Warsaw, Indiana, USA).

Four constrained liners are currently in common use: Omnifit liner (Stryker, Mahwah, New Jersey, USA), S-ROM liner/Poly-Dial (DePuy, Warsaw, Indiana, USA), RingLoc constrained acetabular liner (Biomet, Warsaw, Indiana, USA), and Trilogy constrained liner (Zimmer, Warsaw, Indiana, USA). Given substantial differences among constrained components from different implant manufactures, several reported closed reduction techniques may not be applicable to specific constrained acetabular components. This case is the first report of successful closed reduction of a dislocated constrained total hip arthroplasty using the Trilogy constrained liner and a 32 mm head.

In the aging population with complex medical issues, closed reduction may obviate the need for a more invasive open procedure or at least restore patient function until revision THA can be electivity planned. An attempt at closed reduction may be indicated unless the acetabular component has failed at the liner-shell interface, shell-bone interface, or the locking ring has fractured.

The limitation of this case report was that the follow-up period was only 10 months. Therefore, it is unclear whether recurrences of dislocation might happen with longer term follow-up.

CONCLUSION

We describe a case of successful closed reduction of a dislocated Trilogy constrained liner (Zimmer, Warsaw, Indiana, USA). After the reduction, recurrent dislocation did not occur.
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