Gonorrhoea Diagnostic and Treatment Uncertainties: Risk Factors for Culture Negative Confirmation after Positive Nucleic Acid Amplification Tests
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Abstract

Gonorrhoea incidence has increased substantially in Stockholm during the past years. These increases have coincided with changes in testing practice from solely culture-based to nucleic acid amplification tests (NAAT). Gonorrhoea NAAT is integrated with Chlamydia trachomatis testing and due to opportunistic screening for chlamydia, testing prevalence for gonorrhoea has increased substantially in the Stockholm population. The aim of this study was to examine epidemiological risk-factors for discordant case which are NAAT positive but culture negative. Results showed that women were 4-times more likely (OR 4.9; 95% CI 2.4–6.7) than men to have discordant cultures. Individuals tested for gonorrhoea without symptoms were 2.3 times more likely (95% CI 1.5–3.5) than those with symptoms to be discordant. NAAT method and having one week or more between NAAT and culture testing were also indicative of an increased likelihood for discordance. Using NAAT should be based on proper clinical or epidemiological indications and, when positive, followed-up with a culture-based test within one week if possible. Routine gonorrhoea testing is not recommended in low prevalence populations.

Introduction

Gonorrhoea incidence has increased substantially in Stockholm during the past years [1] “Fig 1”. The advent of Nucleic Acid Amplification Tests (NAAT) for Neisseria gonorrhoeae has led...
to a higher prevalence of gonorrhoea testing in the population with well over 100 000 tests annually, 70% of those among women. Due to ease of use and high test performance, NAATs could feasibly increase diagnostic yield, thereby helping control the spread of gonorrhoea. Before the introduction of NAAT in 2010, approximately 25 000 tests (cultures) were performed annually in the Stockholm region. NAATs are taken at a wide variety of primary care and outpatient clinics throughout the region. When positive, culture specimens are requested from specific clinics for sexually transmitted infections (STI) as Stockholm has a system of centralized referrals for gonorrhoea confirmation, treatment and contract tracing.

Among heterosexual women in Sweden, the number of reported cases increased by approximately 150% after the introduction of NAAT [2]. Increases in both heterosexual men and men having sex with men (MSM) have been 35%. In Sweden, the Communicable Diseases Act from 2004 states that gonorrhoea diagnosis entails mandatory reporting to communicable disease authorities with subsequent contact tracing required. Contract tracing requires individuals to name all sexual contacts potentially involved, thus the personal and social consequences of a diagnosis could be significant. Before treatment is initiated, it is recommended that antibiotic sensitivity of the isolate be determined using culture-based methods [3]. This is done in order to avoid ineffective treatments with inherent risks for patient sequelae and on a broader scale to prevent development and spread of multi-resistant bacteria.

Fig 1. Trends in gonorrhoea, Stockholm region 2000–2011.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0155017.g001
However, a substantial portion of cases positive with NAAT cannot be verified by culture and hence it is not possible to determine resistance patterns. If these cases are treated, they often receive a standard treatment that may not be effective and potentially lead to an increase in antibiotic resistance [4]. In some countries, see and treat strategies are used due to fears that patients will not return for treatment if test positive [5]. If resistance patterns could be identified using molecular techniques the problem with inappropriate treatment would be more easily solved [6,7].

Uncertainty in diagnostics and treatment of NAAT positive, culture negative gonorrhoea can lead not only to physical complications but also to psycho-social complications and distress for those diagnosed. In this emerging field of NAAT-based testing for gonorrhoea, more knowledge is needed on epidemiological risk factors for testing result discordance so as to best inform public health practices optimal for individual infection care. Therefore, the objective of this study is to examine various epidemiological risk factors for NAAT positive but culture negative gonorrhoea cases to better understand these increasing incidence rate trends and result discordance.

Materials and Methods

Cohort

Data were obtained from Sweden’s national electronic surveillance system for the reporting of communicable diseases, SmiNet, where all gonorrhoea cases and suspected cases are reported. According to the National Board of Health and Welfare in 2008, cases of gonorrhoea were defined by the following criteria; 1) N. gonorrhoeae culture positive from a clinical sample or 2) N. gonorrhoeae NAAT positive in clinical a sample or 3) findings of Gram-negative intracellular diplococci from urethra smear from men [8]. As of 2012, N. gonorrhoeae NAAT positive in clinical sample had to be confirmed with a positive culture according to the guidelines from the National Board of Health and Welfare [9]. However, this confirmation requirement was not consistently followed. Every NAAT positive test was still reported to SmiNet regardless of the culture result. During the study period, January 1, 2011-December 31, 2012, 1236 cases of gonorrhoea were reported in Stockholm County. Cases were excluded (n = 362) if a) no NAAT (n = 332), b) no culture n = (9), c) antibiotic treatment after NAAT but before culture(n = 7) or d) NAAT from rectum, throat or eye, as the method was not approved by ISO-certification (n = 14). In total, 874 cases having at least one positive N. gonorrhoeae NAAT test and follow-up cultures were included. Data on sex, age, mode of transmission, NAAT-test location, symptoms, Chlamydia trachomatis co-infection two months prior to or after gonorrhoea diagnosis, reason for testing, culture location, days between NAAT and culture, and NAAT method were collected from clinical and laboratory data. Three different NAAT methods were in use in the county of Stockholm. The majority of samples were analysed by the Becton Dickinson technique using SDA, which is an isothermal technology utilized to generate billions of copies of target molecules from a single DNA template. The other methods are Roche Cobas 4800 utilizing amplification of target DNA by the Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) followed by nucleic acid hybridization, and the Abbott RealTime which is a polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assay for the direct, qualitative detection of the genomic DNA of N. gonorrhoeae. All three Stockholm laboratories report positive tests (NAATs and/or cultures) in SmiNet. Positive NAAT were not confirmed with second NAAT primers during the study period.

Clinicians are required to report data on mode of transmission (hetero or homosexual), presence of symptoms (yes/no) and reason for gonorrhoea testing (contact tracing, belonging to a high risk group or symptoms) into SmiNet. The definition of which individuals are considered as part of a ‘high risk group’ is not predefined and is up to reporting clinicians to define. Gonorrhoea cases are defined by reported clinical and laboratory data.
Analyses

Descriptive statistics, including annual incidence trends per 100,000 were performed, stratified by sex. Main outcome variable is defined as a positive NAAT but negative follow-up culture (+/-) and will hereafter be referred to as discordant cases. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression were performed where exposure covariates most likely to affect outcome were included in the univariate and multivariate models. Odds-ratios with ninety-five percent confidence intervals were assessed in the model building process. Multicollinearity was evaluated prior to constructing final models. As the variables “symptoms” and “reason for gonorrhoea testing” were collinear, only one of these variables was used in each of the final models. Three separate models were used for combined and sex-stratified analyses: 1) univariate, 2) multivariate using the variable “symptoms” and excluding “reason for testing” and 3) multivariate using the variable “reason for testing” and excluding the variable “symptoms”. SAS Enterprise Guide version 5.1 was used for data management and analysis.

This study was granted ethical approval by the Regional Ethics Committee in Stockholm (Dnr 2013/1728-31/1-2013) for the use of routinely collected surveillance data. Surveillance routines for this specific disease area dictate that all records be collected without the use of a unique identifier. Prior to the analysis, steps were taken to further remove all possible personal identifiers and only anonymized and de-identified data were used in the analysis. No informed consent for these de-identified data was required by the ethical approval committee.

Results

In total, 874 individuals were included in the study, 501 men and 373 women. Thirty-three percent of NAAT positive cases tested negative in the culture-based method (n = 289) (Table 1). Fifty-one percent of the women with positive NAAT were discordant cases, while the corresponding number for men was 20%. Mean age was 24 for women and 31 for men. Individuals in the oldest age group had a lower proportion of discordant cases compared to the other age groups. No differences in discordant cases were seen between individuals with and without chlamydia co-infection. Among those who were symptomatic at testing, 22% were discordant cases. For those without symptoms the corresponding number was 51%. Individuals whose reason for testing was due to contract tracing had a higher proportion of discordant results (44%) compared to those tested due to symptoms (23%) or because they belonged to a risk group Abbot Real Time and Roche Cobas 4800 had results with lower discordance (24% and 23% respectively) compared to Becton Dickinson SDA (36%). Mean days between NAAT and culture were 7.5 for discordant cases and 3.5 for culture-positive. No differences in discordant cases were seen among heterosexual vs. homosexual transmission (17% respectively).

Women were four-times more likely than men to be discordant (OR 4.0; 95% CI 2.4–6.7) (Table 2, model 1). Individuals tested for gonorrhoea without symptoms were 2.3 times more likely than those with symptoms to be discordant (95% CI 1.5–3.5). NAAT methods Abbot Real Time (OR 0.4: 95% CI 0.2–0.9) and Roche Cobas 4800 (OR 0.3: 95% CI 0.1–0.5) were associated with a decreased risk of discordancy compared to Becton Dickinson SDA. One week or more between NAAT and culture testing was also indicative of an increased likelihood for discordant results. When adjusting for the variable “reason for testing” instead of the variable “symptoms”, differences between men and women increased substantially, indicating effect modification by sex and warranting a stratified model.

When stratifying analyses based on sex, men without symptoms had significantly increased risk for discordant results (OR 6.6; 95% CI 3.3–13.3) while symptoms were not predictive for women (OR 1.4: 95% CI 0.8–2.2) (Table 3). In contrast, there was decreased risk for women being discordant when contact tracing (as opposed to symptoms) was the reason for testing
This effect was not seen among men (OR 2.1; 95% CI 0.9–5.1). One week or more between NAAT and culture testing were indicative of an increased likelihood for discordant results among men (OR 6.3; 95% CI 3.0–13.1) but less so among women (OR 1.7; 95% CI 1.0–2.8).

**Discussion**

This is the first study that examines epidemiological risk factors among gonorrhoea cases for culture negative confirmation after positive NAAT. The increased testing with NAAT has given rise to uncertainty in gonorrhoea diagnostics and risk for incorrect treatment among

| Table 1. Descriptive information on gonorrhoea NAAT positive cases included in the study, 2011–2012. |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Culture neg n (%)<sup>a</sup> | Culture pos n (%)<sup>a</sup> | Total |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| **Total** | 289 | 585 | 874 |
| **Sex**<br>Men | 98 (20%) | 403 (80%) | 501 |
| Women | 191 (51%) | 182 (49%) | 373 |
| **Age group**<br><br>&lt; 20 yrs | 54 (39%) | 86 (61%) | 140 |
| 20–29 yrs | 151 (35%) | 287 (65%) | 429 |
| 30–39 yrs | 53 (32%) | 113 (68%) | 166 |
| 40- yrs | 31 (22%) | 108 (78%) | 139 |
| **Chlamydia co-infection**<br>Yes | 41 (30%) | 95 (70%) | 136 |
| No | 248 (34%) | 490 (66%) | 738 |
| **Symptoms**<br>Yes | 131 (22%) | 456 (78%) | 587 |
| No | 123 (51%) | 120 (49%) | 243 |
| Missing | 35 (80%) | 9 (20%) | 44 |
| **Reason for testing**<br>Symptoms | 117 (23%) | 381 (77%) | 498 |
| Contact tracing | 68 (44%) | 86 (56%) | 154 |
| Risk group | 33 (24%) | 102 (76%) | 135 |
| **NAAT method**<br>Becton Dickinson SDA | 248 (36%) | 449 (64%) | 697 |
| Abbott Real Time | 16 (24%) | 51 (76%) | 67 |
| Roche Cobas 4800 | 25 (23%) | 83 (77%) | 108 |
| Missing | 0 | 2 (100%) | 2 |
| **Days between NAAT and culture**<br>&lt; 7 days | 108 (20%) | 444 (80%) | 552 |
| 7- days | 103 (42%) | 141 (58%) | 244 |
| Missing | 78 (100%) | 78 |
| **Mode of transmission (among men)<sup>b</sup>**<br>Homosexual transmission | 36 (17%) | 182 (83%) | 218 |
| Heterosexual transmission | 45 (17%) | 214 (83%) | 259 |
| Missing/other | 17 (71%) | 7 (29%) | 24 |

<sup>a</sup> Row percent shown

<sup>b</sup> Among women there was no homosexual transmission reported

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0155017.t001
Women are four times more likely than men to have discordant results. Men with symptoms were more likely to have culture positive confirmation tests. However for women, symptoms were not related to positive confirmations. Women were most likely to have conclusive results when they were tested due to contact tracing, a finding not true for men. These pronounced sex and symptom based differences warrant a review of testing practices.

Biological factors may account for some of these sex differences. *N. gonorrhoeae* is one member of the genus Neisseria. Other Neisseria species (e.g., *N. lactamica* or *N. subflava*) can exist in vaginal normal flora and are not pathogenic but can cross-react with *N. gonorrhoeae*, thereby lowering NAAT specificity for women depending on circulating genus-types in the population tested [10,11]. The BD Probe Tech, used as one of three Stockholm laboratory

### Table 2. Multivariate logistic regression modeling risks for gonorrhea NAAT positive, culture negative cases, presented as odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals.

|                         | OR\(^a\) (95% CI) | OR\(^b\) (95% CI) | OR\(^c\) (95% CI) |
|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| **Sex**                 |                   |                   |                   |
| Men                     | 98 ref            | ref               | ref               |
| Women                   | 191               | 4.3 (3.2–5.8)     | 4.0 (2.4–6.7)     | 5.3 (3.1–9.2)     |
| **Age group**           |                   |                   |                   |
| < 20 yrs                | 54 ref            | ref               | ref               |
| 20–29 yrs               | 151               | 0.9 (0.6–1.3)     | 1.6 (1.0–2.7)     | 1.8 (1.0–3.3)     |
| 30–39 yrs               | 53                | 0.7 (0.5–1.2)     | 1.8 (0.9–3.6)     | 1.8 (0.8–3.7)     |
| 40+ yrs                 | 31                | 0.5 (0.3–0.8)     | 1.6 (0.8–3.4)     | 1.6 (0.7–3.4)     |
| **Chlamydia co-infection** |                 |                   |                   |
| No                      | 248 ref           | ref               | ref               |
| Yes                     | 41                | 0.9 (0.6–1.3)     | 0.8 (0.5–1.4)     | 0.8 (0.5–1.4)     |
| **Symptoms**            |                   |                   |                   |
| Yes                     | 131 ref           | ref               | ref               |
| No                      | 123               | 3.6 (2.6–4.9)     | 2.3 (1.5–3.5)     |                   |
| **Reason for testing**  |                   |                   |                   |
| Symptoms                | 117 Ref           | 2.5 (1.6–2.7)     |                   |
| Contact tracing         | 68                | 2.6               |                   |
| Risk group              | 35                | 1.1               |                   |
| **NAAT method**         |                   |                   |                   |
| Becton Dickinson SDA    | 248 ref           | ref               | ref               |
| Abbott Real Time        | 16                | 0.6 (0.3–1.0)     | 0.4 (0.2–0.9)     | 0.4 (0.2–1.0)     |
| Roche Cobas 4800        | 25                | 0.5 (0.3–0.9)     | 0.3 (0.1–0.5)     | 0.3 (0.1–0.6)     |
| **Days between NAAT and culture** |       |                   |                   |
| < 7 days                | 108 ref           | ref               | ref               |
| 7+ days                 | 103               | 3.0 (2.2–4.2)     | 2.6 (1.7–4.0)     | 2.5 (1.6–3.9)     |
| **Mode of transmission**|                   |                   |                   |
| Heterosexual transmission | 45 ref           | 1.1 (0.6–2.7)     | 1.6 (0.8–2.9)     |
| Homosexual transmission | 36                | 0.4 (0.2–0.5)     |                   |

\(^a\) Univariate model  
\(^b\) Multivariate model including, sex, age group, chlamydia co-infection, symptoms, NAAT method, days between NAAT and culture and mode of transmission  
\(^c\) Multivariate model including, sex, age group, chlamydia co-infection, reason for testing, NAAT method, days between NAAT and culture and mode of transmission

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0155017.t002
methods shows increased risk for cross reaction with *N. lactamica* [11]. Tests analysed with BD Probe Tech had an increased risk for culture-negative results in our study which could corroborate this cross-reaction risk. Our study excluded tests from non-genital locations as NAAT are location-sensitive and if taken from the oropharynx for example, positive results potentially reflect a cross-reaction [11].

Between 90–95% of men contracting gonorrhoea develop genital symptoms of which the most common is urethritis, dysuria and/or purulent discharge within a week after exposure [12,13]. Men discovering discharge are more likely to seek medical help, whereas women having daily discharge would be less observant to subtle changes. This is clearly substantiated by our study which shows asymptomatic men are six-times more likely to have discordant results. For women, genital symptoms such as dysuria and cervicitis are indicative of various STIs or

---

**Table 3. Stratified multivariate analyses modeling risks for gonorrhea NAAT positive, culture negative cases, presented as odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals.**

|                      | WOMEN | MEN |
|----------------------|-------|-----|
|                      | Nr | ORa (95%CI) | ORb (95%CI) | ORc (95%CI) | Nr | ORd (95%CI) | ORc (95%CI) | ORd (95%CI) |
| **Age group**        |     |       |       |       |     |       |       |       |
| < 20 yrs             | 49  | ref | ref | ref | 5   | ref | ref | ref |
| 20–29 yrs            | 109 | 1.5 (1.0–2.4) | 1.6 (0.9–2.8) | 2.3 (1.1–3.7) | 42  | 1.1 (0.4–3.1) | 1.8 (0.3–9.2) | 1.7 (0.3–8.6) |
| 30–39 yrs            | 23  | 1.8 (0.9–3.8) | 1.7 (0.7–4.0) | 1.5 (1.5–4.3) | 30  | 1.5 (0.5–4.2) | 2.4 (0.4–13.4) | 2.0 (0.4–10.9) |
| 40–yrs               | 10  | 0.9 (0.4–2.2) | 0.6 (0.2–1.9) | 0.8 (0.2–2.8) | 21  | 1.1 (0.4–3.1) | 3.1 (0.6–17.4) | 2.5 (0.5–13.3) |
| **Chlamydia co-infection** |     |       |       |       |     |       |       |       |
| No                   | 165 | ref | ref | ref | 83  | ref | ref | ref |
| Yes                  | 26  | 0.6 (0.4–1.1) | 0.7 (0.3–1.3) | 0.8 (0.4–1.65) | 15  | 1.1 (0.6–2.0) | 1.0 (0.4–2.3) | 0.8 (0.3–1.9) |
| **Symptoms**         |     |       |       |       |     |       |       |       |
| Yes                  | 82  | ref | ref | ref | 49  | ref | ref | ref |
| No                   | 87  | 1.4 (0.9–2.1) | 1.4 (0.8–2.2) |       | 36  | 6.1 (3.6–10.4) | 6.6 (3.3–13.3) |       |
| **Reason for testing** |     |       |       |       |     |       |       |       |
| Symptoms             | 72  | ref | ref | ref | 45  | ref | ref | ref |
| Contact tracing      | 20  | 0.4 (0.2–0.7) | 0.4 (0.2–0.8) |       | 13  | 1.9 (1.0–3.9) | 2.1 (0.9–5.1) |       |
| Risk group           | 48  | 1.2 (0.7–2.0) | 1.2 (0.6–2.2) |       | 20  | 3.1 (1.7–5.7) | 3.0 (1.3–6.6) |       |
| **NAAT method**      |     |       |       |       |     |       |       |       |
| Becton Dickinson SDA | 161 | ref | ref | ref | 87  | ref | ref | ref |
| Roche Cobas 4800     | 17  | 0.3 (0.2–0.5) | 0.2 (0.1–0.4) | 0.2 (0.1–0.5) | 8   | 0.3 (0.1–1.1) | 0.7 (0.2–3.9) | 0.7 (0.2–2.2) |
| Abbott Real Time     | 13  | 0.5 (0.3–1.1) | 0.5 (0.2–1.2) | 0.5 (0.2–1.4) | 3   | 0.8 (0.4–1.7) | 0.3 (0.1–1.5) | 0.2 (0.02–1.7) |
| **Days between NAAT and culture** |     |       |       |       |     |       |       |       |
| < 7 days             | 63  | ref | ref | ref | 45  | ref | ref | ref |
| 7 days               | 78  | 1.3 (0.9–2.1) | 1.7 (1.0–2.8) | 1.5 (0.9–2.6) | 25  | 3.7 (2.1–6.5) | 6.3 (3.0–13.1) | 5.9 (2.8–12.3) |
| **Mode of transmission** |     |       |       |       |     |       |       |       |
| Heterosexual         | 45  | ref | ref | ref |     |       |       |       |
| Homosexual           | 36  | 0.9 (0.6–1.5) | 1.2 (0.6–2.6) | 1.9 (0.9–3.9) |     |       |       |       |

\[a\] Number of cases  
\[b\] Univariate model  
\[c\] Multivariate model including, sex, age group, chlamydia co-infection, symptoms, NAAT method, days between NAAT and culture and mode of transmission  
\[d\] Multivariate model including, sex, age group, chlamydia co-infection, reason for testing, NAAT method, days between NAAT and culture and mode of transmission  
* Among women there were no homosexual transmission reported  

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0155017.t003
lower urinary tract infections [12,13]. However, over 50% with gonococcal cervicitis are entirely asymptomatic [13]. This would explain why symptoms among women were not associated with culture-positive results in our study. As with other bacteria, could it be that individuals without symptoms but with positive NAAT are merely transient carriers of gonorrhoea DNA and therefore have negative culture results? Or could it be that some individuals actually clear the infection but may have enough gonococcal DNA for a positive NAAT test? Our study has brought these questions to our attention but it was not possible for us to address them with available data.

Neisseria are fastidious bacteria and the time from testing to laboratory processing is crucial. Viability drops rapidly within hours after sampling and prompt transportation and proper storage is recommended [14]. One study on transport revealed only 67% of the positive NAATs (BD Probe Tec) was confirmed by culture [14]. Transport time between Stockholm clinics and laboratories is minimal (<24 hours) due to well-established routines. We were not able to specifically measure transport time but we have no reason to believe that transport time varies between culture-negative and culture-positive cases.

Concerns have been voiced about a high proportion of false positives for gonorrhoea NAAT screening, especially in a low prevalence population [6,15,16]. The relationship between specificity, disease prevalence and positive predictive value, makes it important to take positive predictive value into account prior to wide-spread testing. The estimated prevalence for gonorrhoea in the general population is less than 1%, with the estimated prevalence rising to 15–35% among high risk groups such as MSM [10,17,18]. Men tested in our study were more likely part of a high risk group, compared to women.

In one report positive predictive value was calculated to be only 77% assuming a test specificity of 99.7% in a population with a prevalence of 1% [19]. CDC and Public Health England only recommend screening for a gonorrhoea infection if the positive predictive value is greater or equal to 90% [20]. One study suggests a high cut-off value for NAAT, as low level positive results need to be interpreted with caution because, in that study, none of the low level results were confirmed with culture or confirmatory NAAT [15]. In our study, there were considerably more women than men being tested during the study period (87,228 women and 41,896 men). When first introduced, the gonorrhoea NAAT was co-analyzed with the existing chlamydia test. These tests were routinely offered those visiting youth clinics, the vast majority of visitors being women. When testing women without specified indications, the risk of low positive predictive value increases.

The use of a second NAAT with different DNA targets is recommended to verify a positive NAAT for gonorrhoea [21]. This is estimated to increase the positive predictive value to near 100% [19]. Dual NAAT or confirmatory testing is not yet standard practice in this emerging testing field, partly due to feasibility and costs [10,22]. However, this routine is now implemented by the microbiological laboratories in the Stockholm region. This, in addition to specified indications for screening in the population, would increase the positive predictive value.

The study has several limitations. There is no clear definition for the terms ‘risk group’ or ‘symptoms’ in SmiNet. Individual clinicians may define those terms differently between men and women. Sample locations also differ between men and women. Therefore, stratifying by sex will account for any misclassification of exposure or differences in culture sample location. Our study includes different population groups including women and men below 23 years of age attending a youth clinic, those attending sexual health clinics, men who have sex with men attending specialized out-patient clinics, and patients seeing their local GP. However, culture samples are exclusively taken at sexual health and MSM clinics, which limit discrepancies in test routines including transportation.
This study shows that women tested for reasons other than contact tracing, and men tested without symptoms, have substantially increased risks for test method discrepancies. This puts these individuals at jeopardy for indeterminate diagnosis and/or improper treatment of gonorrhoea as well as unnecessary contact tracing. The use of NAATs should be based on proper clinical and epidemiological indications, such as symptoms, contact tracing, sex in countries with higher incidence of gonorrhoea and men having sex with men. Positive NAAT should be followed by a culture-based test, preferably within one week. Confirmation tests, using second NAAT primers is recommended, especially in low prevalence population groups.

Author Contributions
Analyzed the data: MPH AL RV. Wrote the paper: MPH AL RV BE LM ELE.

References
1. Stockholm S. Annual Report. In: Department of Communicable Disease Control and Prevention SR, editor. Stockholm: HSF; 2013.
2. Velicko I, Unemo M. Recent trends in gonorrhoea and syphilis epidemiology in Sweden: 2007 to 2011. Euro Surveill. 2012; 17(29).
3. Gonorrhoea: information for medical doctors. Swedish Society for Communicable Disease Control and Prevention 2010.
4. Unemo M, Nicholas RA. Emergence of multidrug-resistant, extensively drug-resistant and untreatable gonorrhoea. Future Microbiol. 2012; 7(12):1401–22. doi: 10.2217/fmb.12.117 PMID: 23231489; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3629839.
5. Andric B, Drowos J, Trepka MJ, Suciu G, Alonso A, Hennekens CH. High frequencies of negative pretreatment results following presumptive antibiotic treatment for chlamydia and gonorrhoea. South Med J. 2013; 106(5):321–6. doi: 10.1097/SMJ.0b013e31829f3c2c2 PMID: 23644641.
6. Ison CA, Golparian D, Saunders P, Chisholm S, Unemo M. Evolution of Neisseria gonorrhoeae is a continuing challenge for molecular detection of gonorrhoea: false negative gonococcal porA mutants are spreading internationally. Sex Transm Infect. 2013; 89(3):197–201. doi: 10.1136/sextrans-2012-050829 PMID: 23241969.
7. Low N, Unemo M, Skov Jensen J, Breuer J, Stephenson JM. Molecular Diagnostics for Gonorrhoea: Implications for Antimicrobial Resistance and the Threat of Untreatable Gonorrhoea. PLoS Med. 2014; 11(2):e1001588. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1001588 PMID: 24503544.
8. Falldefinitioner vid anmälan enligt smittskyddslagen 2008. www.socialstyrelsen.se: National Board of Health and Welfare, Contract No.: 2008-130-11.
9. Falldefinitioner vid anmälan enligt smittskyddslagen 2012. www.socialstyrelsen.se: National Board of Health and Welfare, Contract No.: 2012-5-11.
10. Su WH, Tsou TS, Chen CS, Ho TY, Lee WL, Yu YY, et al. Are we satisfied with the tools for the diagnosis of gonococcal infection in females? J Chin Med Assoc. 2011; 74(10):430–4. doi: 10.1016/j.jcma.2011.08.012 PMID: 22036133.
11. Tabrizi SN, Unemo M, Limnios AE, Hogan TR, Hjelmevoll SO, Garland SM, et al. Evaluation of six commercial nucleic acid amplification tests for detection of Neisseria gonorrhoeae and other Neisseria species. J Clin Microbiol. 2011; 49(10):3610–5. doi: 10.1128/JCM.01217-11 PMID: 21813721; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3187337.
12. Sherrard J, Barlow D. Gonorrhoea in men: clinical and diagnostic aspects. Genitourin Med. 1996; 72(6):422–6. PMID: 9038638; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC195730.
13. Zenilman J, Deal C. Gonorrhoea: Epidemiology, Control and Prevention. In: Elsevier, editor. Sexually Transmitted Diseases2013. p. 411–26.
14. Rao GG, Bacon L, Evans J, Dejahang Y, Hardwick R, Michalczyk P, et al. Can culture confirmation of gonococcal infection be improved in female subjects found to be positive by nucleic acid amplification tests in community clinics? Sexually Transmitted Infections. 2009; 85(7):531–3. doi: 10.1136/sti.2009.036525 PMID: 19703846.
15. Killick V, Kell P, Plumb H, Hurd N, Turner P. Screening for Neisseria gonorrhoeae using the BD Probe Tec nucleic acid amplification test in a low prevalence genitourinary medicine clinic. International journal of STD & AIDS. 2012; 23(6):e1–3. doi: 10.1258/ijeaa.2009.009527 PMID: 22807549.
16. Ison C. GC NAATs: is the time right? Sex Transm Infect. 2006; 82(6):515. doi: 10.1136/sti.2006.022731 PMID: 17151040; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2563870.

17. Benzaken AS, Galban EG, Antunes W, Dutra JC, Peeling RW, Mabey D, et al. Diagnosis of gonococcal infection in high risk women using a rapid test. Sex Transm Infect. 2006; 82 Suppl 5:v26–v8. doi: 10.1136/sti.2006.022566 PMID: 17118954; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2563910.

18. de Coul EL, Warning TD, Koedijk FD, Dutch STIc. Sexual behaviour and sexually transmitted infections in sexually transmitted infection clinic attendees in the Netherlands, 2007–2011. International journal of STD & AIDS. 2014; 25(1):40–51. doi: 10.1177/0956462413491736 PMID: 23970630.

19. Hook EW FN, Mabey D, Bala M, Tun Y. Choosing tests for sexually transmitted infections. World Health Organization: 2013.

20. Fowler T, Edeghere O, Inglis N, Bradshaw S. Estimating the positive predictive value of opportunistic population testing for gonorrhoea as part of the English Chlamydia Screening Programme. International journal of STD & AIDS. 2013; 24(3):185–91. doi: 10.1177/0956462412472443 PMID: 23514834.

21. Unemo M. The 2012 European guideline on the diagnosis and treatment of gonorrhoea in adults recommends dual antimicrobial therapy. Euro Surveill. 2012; 17(47). PMID: 23231859.

22. Moncada J, Donegan E, Schachter J. Evaluation of CDC-recommended approaches for confirmatory testing of positive Neisseria gonorrhoeae nucleic acid amplification test results. J Clin Microbiol. 2008; 46(5):1614–9. doi: 10.1128/JCM.02301-07 PMID: 18322062; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2395091.