| Title | JR-131, a Biosimilar of Darbepoetin Alfa, for the Treatment of Hemodialysis Patients With Renal Anemia: A Randomized, Double-Blinded, Parallel-Group Phase 3 Study |
|-------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Author(s) | Nishi, Shinichi / Yamada, Masayuki / Tsuruya, Kazuhiko / Masakane, Ikuto / Nakamoto, Hidetomo |
| Citation | Therapeutic Apheresis and Dialysis, 24(2):126-135 |
| Issue date | 2020-04 |
| Resource Type | Journal Article / 学術雑誌論文 |
| Resource Version | publisher |
| Rights | © 2019 The Authors. Therapeutic Apheresis and Dialysis published by John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd on behalf of International Society for Apheresis, Japanese Society for Apheresis, and Japanese Society for Dialysis Therapy. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes. |
| DOI | 10.1111/1744-9987.13422 |
| JaLCDOI | |
| URL | http://www.lib.kobe-u.ac.jp/handle_kernel/90006839 |

PDF issue: 2020-05-07
JR-131, a Biosimilar of Darbepoetin Alfa, for the Treatment of Hemodialysis Patients With Renal Anemia: A Randomized, Double-Blinded, Parallel-Group Phase 3 Study
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Abstract: The aim of this study was to compare the efficacy and safety of intravenous JR-131, a darbepoetin alfa biosimilar, to darbepoetin alfa in hemodialysis patients with renal anemia. In this 24-week, multicenter, randomized, double-blinded, parallel-group phase 3 study, 334 hemodialysis patients with renal anemia who had been receiving darbepoetin alfa were randomized to either JR-131 or darbepoetin alfa group. The initial dose was set based on the darbepoetin alfa dose during the observation period. The primary endpoint was change in hemoglobin level from baseline to end of treatment. The 95% confidence interval of the difference in the change in hemoglobin level between the groups was −0.19 to −0.20 g/dL, within the equivalent margin of −0.5 to 0.5 g/dL. No notable treatment-emergent adverse events were observed in either group. JR-131 was therapeutically equivalent to darbepoetin alfa, and the safety profile of JR-131 was similar to that of darbepoetin alfa.
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Renal anemia is a common complication in patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD), progressing exponentially with decline in kidney function due to insufficient erythropoietin production in the kidney (1). Renal anemia in patients with CKD, particularly those undergoing hemodialysis, is associated with an increased risk of decreased quality of life, hospitalization, cardiac morbidity, and all-cause mortality (2–4). The remedy for renal anemia over the last two decades has been erythrocyte transfusion, iron preparation, and erythropoiesis-stimulating agents (ESAs) (5–7). Among them, ESAs are used in almost 90% of dialysis patients in Japan (8), and five ESAs, epoetin alfa, epoetin beta, epoetin kappa, darbepoetin alfa, and epoetin beta pegol, are currently available.

Dialysis patients receiving ESAs require lifelong use, and the number of chronic dialysis patients in Japan continues to increase every year; it reached 329 609 at the end of 2016 (9). Although the cost of ESAs is included in bundled payment for dialysis treatment, introduction of a lower-cost ESA biosimilar is desirable to reduce dialysis expenditures (10). Epoetin kappa, a biosimilar of epoetin alfa developed by JCR Pharmaceuticals (Ashiya, Hyogo Prefecture, Japan) and Kissei Pharmaceutical (Matsumoto, Nagano Prefecture, Japan), was approved in 2010 as the sole biosimilar of ESAs in Japan (11). However, among ESAs, darbepoetin alfa has been the most common ESA in Japan to date because of its less frequent administration than the epoetins.

JR-131 is the first domestically produced biosimilar of darbepoetin alfa, a long-acting ESA developed by JCR Pharmaceuticals and Kissei Pharmaceutical. JR-131 is produced by recombinant DNA technology in completely serum-free medium without the use of any animal-derived materials. The development strategy was planned in accordance with the Guideline for the Quality, Safety, and Efficacy Assurance of Follow-on Biologics issued 2009 by the Japanese regulatory
authority (12). The quality of JR-131 was confirmed to be identical/similar to that of the reference product, darbepoetin alfa. Preclinical comparative studies on the pharmacology, pharmacokinetics, and toxicity of JR-131 revealed similarities to darbepoetin alfa. In phase 1 clinical trials in healthy Japanese male volunteers, the safety, tolerability, and pharmacokinetics of JR-131 were similar/equivalent to those of darbepoetin alfa, when administered either intravenously or subcutaneously. The aim of this phase 3 study was to evaluate the therapeutic equivalence of JR-131 to darbepoetin alfa in hemodialysis patients with renal anemia.

**PATIENTS AND METHODS**

**Study design and patients**

This was a multicenter, randomized, double-blinded, parallel-group, active-controlled phase 3 study in hemodialysis patients with renal anemia. The study was conducted at 33 sites in Japan from September 2016 to September 2017. The study protocol and the informed consent form were approved by the institutional review board at each participating study site. All patients gave written informed consent before initiation of any study-specific procedures. The study was conducted in accordance with the ethical principles originating in or derived from the Declaration of Helsinki, Good Clinical Practice (GCP) guidelines. This study is registered in ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT02912494.

Male or female patients aged ≥20 years with CKD who were diagnosed with renal anemia were enrolled in this study. The inclusion criteria were patients undergoing maintenance dialysis including hemodialysis or hemodiafiltration three times a week for ≥12 weeks before start of the observation period, patients receiving a constant dose and regimen of intravenous darbepoetin alfa once a week for ≥4 weeks, and patients with a hemoglobin (Hb) level of 9.5 to 12.5 g/dL measured before the first dialysis of the week for ≥4 weeks. Other inclusion criteria were a mean Hb level of ≥10.0 to <12.0 g/dL with a variation of not more than 1.5 g/dL measured before the first dialysis of the week during the observation period, a transferrin saturation (TSAT) ≥20% or ferritin level ≥100 ng/mL at start of the observation period, and maintenance of dialysis conditions including frequency and method of dialysis throughout the study. Key exclusion criteria were barely controllable hypertension (1/3 or more of diastolic blood pressure measurements before dialysis during 12 weeks before the start of observation period ≥100 mmHg); a serious illness or medical condition; obvious hemorrhagic lesions such as systemic blood disease, hemolytic anemia, or gastrointestinal hemorrhage; hypersensitivity to ESAs; receiving erythrocyte transfusion during 16 weeks before start of observation period; or ESAs other than darbepoetin alfa, a protein anabolic hormone, testosterone enanthate, meptiostane, levocarnitine, a zinc-containing preparation, a copper-containing preparation, an oral iron preparation, or an iron-containing phosphate binder during 16 weeks before start of the observation period. This study consisted of a 4-week observation period and a 24-week treatment period. Patients who met the eligibility criteria entered a 4-week observation period. During this observation period, subjects received darbepoetin alfa (Nesp, Kyowa Hakko Kirin, Tokyo, Japan) intravenously once a week at end of the first dialysis of the week for 4 weeks. Changes in the dose and regimen of darbepoetin alfa during the observation period were not allowed. Subjects were randomly assigned in a 1:1 ratio to receive either JR-131 or darbepoetin alfa by the Interactive Web Response System of an independent organization using a dynamic allocation method with the following darbepoetin alfa doses at start of the observation period: 5 to 20 μg, 30 to 60 μg, and 80 to 180 μg as a stratification factor. The initial dose was set according to the dose of darbepoetin alfa during the observation period. The assigned study drug was administered intravenously once a week at end of the first dialysis of the week for 24 weeks. Prefilled syringes of darbepoetin alfa were purchased, and the corresponding prefilled syringes of JR-131 were prepared by the sponsor. To maintain blinding of the patient and assessor, the study drug was administered by other un-blinded study staff. The investigator adjusted the dose to maintain the Hb level in the target range of ≥10.0 to <12.0 g/dL in accordance with the table of dose change and adjustment criteria (Table 1). A one-step increase or decrease in the dose was allowed. A dose change of two steps or more from the initial dose was not allowed, nor was a dose change within 2 weeks. In the case of a treatment-emergent adverse event (TEAE), dose maintenance or cessation of the study drug was allowed at the discretion of the investigator. Administration of the same dose was continued to the next week when the Hb level in two consecutive measurements was ≥12.0 to <12.5 g/dL after dose reduction or at the minimum dose (5 μg), >9.0 to <9.5 g/dL after a dose increase or at the maximum dose (180 μg), or the two consecutive change in Hb level from baseline was > +1.0 g/dL after dose reduction or at the minimum dose (5 μg), or the two consecutive change in Hb level from baseline was < −1.0 g/dL after dose increase or at the maximum dose (180 μg). The baseline Hb level was defined as the mean Hb level at
Hb levels were Hb from the study. Other withdrawal criteria related to was <9.0 or >12.5 g/dL, patients were withdrawn when the Hb level in two consecutive measurements concentration regimen of the study drug was not allowed. During the treatment period, a change in the adminis-

| Evaluation item Variable | Dose adjustment |
|--------------------------|-----------------|
| Hemoglobin level ≥12.0 g/dL* | 1-step reduction |
| ≥12.0 g/dL* | 1-step increase |
| <9.5 g/dL* | 1-step increase |
| ± 1.0 g/dL | Maintain |
| Change from the baseline hemoglobin level >1.0 g/dL* | 1-step reduction |
| ≤−1.0 g/dL* | 1-step increase |
| Dose adjustment | Step 1 | 5 μg |
| | Step 2 | 10 μg |
| | Step 3 | 15 μg |
| | Step 4 | 20 μg |
| | Step 5 | 30 μg |
| | Step 6 | 40 μg |
| | Step 7 | 50 μg |
| | Step 8 | 60 μg |
| | Step 9 | 80 μg |
| | Step 10 | 100 μg |
| | Step 11 | 120 μg |
| | Step 12 | 140 μg |
| | Step 13 | 160 μg |
| | Step 14 | 180 μg |

*Two consecutive measured levels of hemoglobin.

Week −3, −2, −1, and 0 of the observation period. During the treatment period, a change in the administration regimen of the study drug was not allowed. When the Hb level in two consecutive measurements was <9.0 or >12.5 g/dL, patients were withdrawn from the study. Other withdrawal criteria related to Hb levels were Hb ≥ 12.0 g/dL in four consecutive measurements at the minimum dose (5 μg) or after dose reduction; Hb < 9.5 g/dL at the maximum dose (180 μg) or after a dose increase; a change in the Hb level from baseline in four consecutive measurements of ≥ +1.0 g/L at the minimum dose (5 μg) or after dose reduction; or a change in the Hb level from baseline of < −1.0 g/dL at the maximum dose (180 μg) or after a dose increase. Administration of an intravenous iron preparation was allowed as a guide for TSAT <20% and/or ferritin <100 ng/mL. Medication with ESAs other than darbepoetin alfa, a protein anabolic hormone, testosterone enanthate, meptotestane, levocarnitine, a zinc-containing preparation, a copper-containing preparation, an oral iron preparation, an iron-containing phosphate binder, or any investiga-
tional product other than JR-131, and erythrocyte transfusion were prohibited throughout the study.

**Efficacy and safety assessments**

At the first dialysis of the week, the Hb level and study drug dose were assessed. The primary endpoint was a change in the Hb level from baseline to end of the treatment. The Hb level at end of treatment was defined as the mean Hb level at Week 21, 22, 23, and 24. In the subjects who discontinued the study, the mean Hb level of the last 4 weeks measured before discontinuation was used as the Hb level at end of the treatment. Secondary endpoints were the Hb level at each time point, proportion of subjects who maintained the baseline Hb level, dose at each time point, total dose, and proportional change in the dose. Safety was assessed according to TEAEs, laboratory tests (hematology, biochemistry, and iron-related parameters including serum iron, total iron binding capacity, ferritin, and TSAT), vital signs, body weight, and 12-lead electrocardiogram (ECG). Anti-drug antibody (anti-JR-131 antibody and anti-darbepoetin alfa antibody) were tested using a blood sample by electrochemiluminescence (ECL) at Week 0 and 24, and if positive, the neutralizing antibody was tested.

**Statistical analysis**

The sample size was calculated based on the assumption that an equivalent margin in the primary endpoint was set to −0.5 to 0.5 g/dL, differences in Hb level between the groups was 0 g/dL, and the standard deviation (SD) was 0.9 g/dL. We calculated that a sample size of 86 randomly assigned patients in each group would be sufficient to provide 90% power with a two-sided 5% significance level. The same equivalence margin was used previously for a comparability study of epoetin kappa and epoetin alfa (12,13).

Safety analysis was performed for subjects in the safety set (SS), and efficacy was analyzed primarily for the full analysis set (FAS) and secondarily in the per-protocol set (PPS). The SS consisted of subjects excluding those who were GCP non-compliant, those who did not receive the study drug, and those who discontinued the study before treatment initiation. The FAS included the SS subjects excluding those who had no primary data-assessment endpoint. The PPS was defined as the subset of subjects in the FAS excluding those who did not meet the eligibility criteria, those who discontinued the study treatment before 16 weeks, those who deviated substantially from the protocol, and those whose emergency key was opened.

Continuous variables were summarized as mean, SD, two-sided 95% confidence interval (CI), minimum, median, and maximum, and categorical data as percentage.

For the primary endpoint, the difference in mean changes between the groups and two-sided 95% CI in the Hb level from baseline to end of the treatment were calculated. In a case wherein the 95% CI was in the range of −0.5 g/dL to 0.5 g/dL, it was determined that the equivalence of JR-131 to darbepoetin alfa would be verified. For sensitivity
analysis, the primary endpoint was also evaluated in
the PPS population and evaluated by analysis of
covariance (ANCOVA) using the group as the fixed
effect and darbepoetin alfa dose during the observation
period as the covariate. The primary endpoint was
also evaluated in the subpopulation including sex
(male and female) and age (<65 years and ≥65 years). For secondary endpoints, the Hb levels,
dose, and change in Hb levels from baseline at each
time point by group were summarized. Subjects
whose change in Hb level from baseline was in the
range of ±1.0 g/dL were defined as those who
maintained the baseline Hb levels. The proportion
of subjects who maintained the baseline Hb level by
group at each time point was summarized. Summary
statistics for the mean total dose and difference in
the total dose between the groups were calculated.

For safety assessment, events that occurred after
administration of the study drug were analyzed
TEAEs. The number of TEAEs or adverse drug
reactions (ADRs), which were TEAEs related to
the study drug that occurred, number of subjects
who experienced TEAEs were shown by group.
The difference in TEAEs and ADRs between the
groups were analyzed by the Fisher’s exact test.
TEAEs were coded using the Medical Dictionary for
Regulatory Activities ver. 19.1.

All statistical tests were performed using a two-
sided significance level of 0.05. All analyses were
performed using SAS software ver. 9.4 for Windows
(SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

RESULTS

Patient disposition and baseline characteristics

A total of 355 patients were enrolled and 334 were
randomly assigned to either the JR-131 (n = 171) or
darbepoetin alfa groups (n = 163) (Fig. 1). Among
them, 116 and 115 subjects entered the treatment
period in the JR-131 and darbepoetin alfa groups,
respectively. The FAS population was 223 after
excluding eight subjects (JR-131 group: five subjects,
darbepoetin alfa group: three subjects) from the SS
because they had no data for assessing the primary

FIG. 1. Patient disposition.
Table 2. Patient baseline characteristics (FAS)

| JR-131 n = 111 | Darbepoetin alfa n = 112 |
|----------------|-------------------------|
| **Sex**       |                         |
| Male, n (%)    | 78 (70.3)               |
| Female, n (%)  | 33 (29.7)               |
| **Age (years)**|                         |
| Mean ± SD (Min, Med, Max) | 67.3 ± 12.1 (32, 69, 88) |
| <65, n (%)     | 39 (35.1)               |
| ≥65, n (%)     | 72 (64.9)               |
| **Dry weight (kg)** |                   |
| Mean ± SD (Min, Med, Max) | 55.58 ± 10.93 (34.9, 54.4, 95.7) |
| **Primary cause CKD (overlapping), n** |         |
| Diabetic kidney disease | 35 | 40 |
| Chronic glomerulonephritis | 31 | 35 |
| Nephrosclerosis | 21 | 17 |
| Polycystic kidney disease | 3 | 7 |
| Chronic pyelonephritis | 0 | 0 |
| Others | 8 | 8 |
| Unknown | 13 | 7 |
| **Method of dialysis** |         |
| Hemodialysis, n (%) | 93 (83.8) | 92 (82.1) |
| Hemodiafiltration, n (%) | 18 (16.2) | 20 (17.9) |
| **Duration of dialysis (months)** |         |
| Mean ± SD (Min, Med, Max) | 86.6 ± 69.8 (4, 65, 323) | 94.9 ± 93.7 (6, 60, 453) |
| **Darbepoetin alfa dose (μg) during the observation phase** |         |
| Mean ± SD (Min, Med, Max) | 18.6 ± 14.7 (5, 15, 120) | 18.2 ± 14.7 (5, 15, 120) |
| 5–20 μg, n (%) | 88 (79.3) | 88 (78.6) |
| 30–60 μg, n (%) | 22 (19.8) | 23 (20.5) |
| 80–180 μg, n (%) | 1 (0.9) | 1 (0.9) |
| **Hemoglobin (g/dL)** |         |
| Mean ± SD (Min, Med, Max) | 11.0 ± 0.57 (9.8, 11.0, 12.0) | 11.0 ± 0.5 (9.9, 11.0, 12.0) |
| **Ferritin (μg/L)** |         |
| Mean ± SD (Min, Med, Max) | 137.1 ± 139.7 (9.0, 80, 1, 939.0) | 129.9 ± 142.8 (10.2, 103.0, 1310.0) |
| **Transferrin saturation (%)** |         |
| Mean ± SD (Min, Med, Max) | 28.0 ± 11.1 (7.4, 27.6, 89.1) | 25.8 ± 9.0 (6.8, 24.1, 56.0) |

CKD, chronic kidney disease; FAS, full analysis set; Max, maximum; Med, median; Min, minimum; SD, standard deviation.

endpoint. Patients’ characteristics in the FAS population were similar across treatment groups (Table 2). During the observation period, there was no difference in the dose and baseline Hb level between the groups. Notable differences between the groups were not found in the dose distributions of the study drug and use of intravenous iron preparations throughout the study (Figs S1, S2).

**Efficacy**

For the primary endpoint, the mean changes ± SD (95% CI) in Hb level from baseline to end of treatment without adjustment were −0.42 ± 0.73 (−0.56, −0.29) g/dL in the JR-131 group and −0.43 ± 0.77 (−0.57, −0.28) g/dL in the darbepoetin alfa group (Table 3). The difference (95% CI) in the mean changes in Hb level between the groups was 0.01 (−0.19, 0.20) g/dL, which was within the equivalent margin of −0.5 to 0.5 g/dL. This indicated equivalence of JR-131 to darbepoetin alfa in the primary endpoint. Also, in the PPS population and ANCOVA method, the equivalences between the groups were confirmed. Similar results were obtained in the analysis by subpopulation, including sex (male and female), and age (<65 years and ≥65 years).
The Hb levels and change in Hb levels at each time point remained relatively steady throughout the treatment period, and there was no difference between the groups (Fig. 2a,b). Change in the maintenance rate of baseline Hb level (g/dL) at each visit (C). The proportions of maintenance of the baseline Hb level in the JR-131 and darbepoetin alfa groups were 80.2% to 99.1% and 71.0% to 100.0%, and no significant difference was observed between the groups. The doses were kept relatively steady throughout the treatment period, and there was no significant difference between the groups (Fig. 3).

The mean total administered doses ± SD of JR-131 and darbepoetin alfa were 411.1 ± 352.5 μg (95% CI, 344.8, 477.4) and 386.5 ± 255.6 μg (95% CI, 338.7, 434.4), respectively (Table 4). The mean difference in the total administered doses between the groups was 24.6 μg (95% CI, −56.6, 105.8), suggesting no significant difference between the groups. As to the change in dose during the treatment period, no relative difference was found.
between the groups (Table 4). The proportions of subjects whose baseline dose was maintained during the study were 53.2% in the JR-131 group and 48.2% in the darbepoetin alfa group. The dose increases from baseline in the JR-131 and darbepoetin alfa groups were 27.0% and 30.4%, and the dose reductions were 18.0% and 16.1%, respectively. In 1.8% of subjects in the JR-131 group and 5.4% in the darbepoetin alfa group, dose increase and reduction were performed.

### Safety

The overall incidences of any TEAEs were 82.8% (96/116) in the JR-131 group and 87.0% (100/115) in the darbepoetin alfa group ($P = 0.463$). The difference in incidence of TEAEs between the groups was $-4.2\%$ (95% CI, $-13.4, 5.0$). The incidence of ADRs were 1.7% (2/116) in the JR-131 group and 0.9% (1/115) in the darbepoetin alfa group ($P = 1.000$), and the difference between the groups was $0.9\%$ (95% CI, $-2.1, 3.8$). TEAEs with a frequency ≥ 5% in any group were nasopharyngitis, shunt stenosis, vomiting, diarrhea, procedural hypotension, excoriation, back pain, contusion, and upper respiratory tract inflammation (Table 5). ADRs observed in the JR-131 group were aspartate aminotransferase increased ($n = 1$) and blood creatine phosphokinase increased ($n = 1$), and in the darbepoetin alfa group was hypertension ($n = 1$). Almost all TEAEs were mild or moderate in intensity, 300 and 321 events were graded as mild, 30 and 22 events moderate in the JR-131 and darbepoetin alfa groups, respectively. The severity of all ADRs was mild. Severe adverse events in the JR-131 and darbepoetin alfa groups were aortic aneurysm rupture ($n = 1$) and cholecystitis ($n = 1$), respectively. The aortic aneurysm rupture reported in the JR-131 group led to death. However, causality of the study drug in these severe adverse events was denied. Serious adverse events (SAEs) excluding death occurred in 14 subjects in the JR-131 group and 12 in the darbepoetin alfa group. None of the SAEs were considered related to the study drugs. TEAEs leading to discontinuation of the study treatment occurred in three subjects in the JR-131 group and in one subject in the darbepoetin alfa group. TEAE leading to interruption was hypertension in one subject of the darbepoetin alfa group. Causality of the study drug were denied except in the case of hypertension. There was no TEAE leading to a dose reduction. No notable changes in clinical laboratory tests, vital signs, and weight were observed during the study. Anti-JR-131 antibody and anti-darbepoetin alfa antibody were not detected.

### DISCUSSION

This phase 3 study revealed that JR-131 was clinically useful and safe for the treatment of hemodialysis patients with renal anemia, as well as darbepoetin alfa as its biosimilar. In this study, patients undergoing maintenance hemodialysis who received a stable dose of darbepoetin alfa were randomly assigned to...
either JR-131 or darbepoetin alfa treatment groups. The study drug was administered intravenously once a week at end of the first dialysis of the week for 24 weeks. The efficacy of JR-131 was found to be equivalent to that of darbepoetin alfa according to the primary endpoint, which was evidenced by a change in the Hb level from baseline to end of treatment. Similar results were obtained in sensitivity analyses, indicating the robustness of the equivalence between JR-131 and darbepoetin alfa. In all secondary endpoints, the Hb levels, changes in the Hb levels, proportions of maintenance of the baseline Hb levels, and doses at each time point were similar between the groups. Hb levels showed a tendency to decrease over time through the treatment period. These suggest that the equivalence in efficacy was not due to the administered dose difference.

The study drug was administered intravenously once a week at end of the first dialysis of the week for 24 weeks. The efficacy of JR-131 was found to be equivalent to that of darbepoetin alfa according to the primary endpoint, which was evidenced by a change in the Hb level from baseline to end of treatment. Similar results were obtained in sensitivity analyses, indicating the robustness of the equivalence between JR-131 and darbepoetin alfa. In all secondary endpoints, the Hb levels, changes in the Hb levels, proportions of maintenance of the baseline Hb levels, and doses at each time point were similar between the groups. Hb levels showed a tendency to decrease over time through the treatment period. These suggest that the equivalence in efficacy was not due to the administered dose difference.

There was no significant difference in the incidence of TEAEs between JR-131 and darbepoetin alfa groups. The ADRs observed in the JR-131 group receiving the 120 μg dose in the observation period, the dose increased to 140 μg at Week 21 and they completed the study; on the other hand, another subject in the darbepoetin alfa group receiving 120 μg of darbepoetin alfa from the observation period was discontinued from the study at Week 8 at the discretion of the investigator. As a result, the total administered dose in the darbepoetin alfa group was less than that of JR-131, but there were no statistically significant differences between the groups in the total administered dose and change in the dose during the treatment period. These suggest that the equivalence in efficacy was not due to the administered dose difference.

There was no significant difference in the incidence of TEAEs between JR-131 and darbepoetin alfa groups. The ADRs observed in the JR-131 group in this study were aspartate aminotransferase increased (n = 1) and blood creatine phosphokinase increased (n = 1), and in the darbepoetin alfa group, it was hypertension (n = 1). Onset times of TEAEs were similar between the groups during the treatment period.

**TABLE 5.** Most common treatment-emergent adverse events (preferred term incidence ≥5% in either group) and adverse drug reactions (SS)*

| Primary system organ class                        | Treatment-emergent adverse events | Adverse drug reactions |
|--------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|
| Preferred term                                    | JR-131 N = 116 Events n (%)      | Darbepoetin alfa N = 115 Events n (%) | JR-131 N = 116 Events n (%) | Darbepoetin alfa N = 115 Events n (%) |
| All                                              | 96 (82.8) 331                    | 2 (1.7) 2              | 1 (0.9) 1                     | 1                                     |
| Infections and infestations                       | 47 (40.5) 62                     | 0 (0.0) 0              | 0 (0.0) 0                     | 0                                     |
| Nasopharyngitis                                   | 34 (29.3) 42                     | 0 (0.0) 0              | 0 (0.0) 0                     | 0                                     |
| Vascular disorders                                | 5 (4.3) 6                        | 0 (0.0) 0              | 1 (0.9) 1                     | 1                                     |
| Hypertension                                      | 1 (0.9) 1                        | 0 (0.0) 0              | 1 (0.9) 1                     | 1                                     |
| Respiratory, thoracic, and mediastinal disorders  | 11 (9.5) 11                      | 0 (0.0) 0              | 0 (0.0) 0                     | 0                                     |
| Upper respiratory tract inflammation              | 3 (2.6) 3                        | 0 (0.0) 0              | 0 (0.0) 0                     | 0                                     |
| Gastrointestinal disorders                        | 40 (34.5) 67                     | 0 (0.0) 0              | 0 (0.0) 0                     | 0                                     |
| Diarrhea                                          | 9 (7.8) 13                       | 0 (0.0) 0              | 0 (0.0) 0                     | 0                                     |
| Vomiting                                          | 12 (10.3) 16                     | 0 (0.0) 0              | 0 (0.0) 0                     | 0                                     |
| Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders   | 23 (19.8) 36                     | 0 (0.0) 0              | 0 (0.0) 0                     | 0                                     |
| Back pain                                         | 6 (5.2) 7                        | 0 (0.0) 0              | 0 (0.0) 0                     | 0                                     |
| Investigations                                    | 13 (11.2) 16                     | 0 (0.0) 0              | 0 (0.0) 0                     | 0                                     |
| Aspartate aminotransferase increased              | 1 (0.9) 1                        | 0 (0.0) 0              | 0 (0.0) 0                     | 0                                     |
| Blood creatine phosphokinase increased            | 5 (4.3) 5                        | 0 (0.0) 0              | 1 (0.9) 1                     | 1                                     |
| Injury, poisoning and procedural complications     | 36 (31.0) 53                     | 0 (0.0) 0              | 0 (0.0) 0                     | 0                                     |
| Excioration                                       | 7 (6.0) 11                       | 0 (0.0) 0              | 0 (0.0) 0                     | 0                                     |
| Contusion                                         | 5 (4.3) 5                        | 0 (0.0) 0              | 0 (0.0) 0                     | 0                                     |
| Shunt stenosis                                    | 13 (11.2) 13                     | 0 (0.0) 0              | 0 (0.0) 0                     | 0                                     |
| Procedural hypotension                            | 8 (6.9) 10                       | 0 (0.0) 0              | 0 (0.0) 0                     | 0                                     |

*MedDRA ver. 19.1.
period, and no TEAEs were observed with a high incidence in a specific time period. Common TEAEs with an incidence ≥5% were not considered related to the study drugs, and these were generally observed in patients with CKD and those undergoing hemodialysis, or they occurred incidentally.

A biosimilar of epoetin alpha was first approved in Europe in 2007. Several biosimilars of epoetin alpha are currently used for treating renal anemia in many countries and contributes to cost reduction in the healthcare system (14,15). Epoetin kappa is the only epoetin alpha biosimilar approved in Japan, and its use in dialysis patients with renal anemia has been growing. JR-131, the first domestic-produced biosimilar of darbepoetin alfa in Japan, could reduce the cost of dialysis by more than epoetin kappa, since darbepoetin alfa is the most common ESA (8).

There are, however, some limitations to this study. First, there was a weakness in blinding of the study drugs. The prefilled syringes of JR-131 were not matched to those of darbepoetin alfa, because the darbepoetin alfa preparations were purchased from the market. Therefore, to maintain blinding, the study drug was administrated only by unblinded study staff, and the patients and assessor did not know the allocation. The assessors included anyone determining subject eligibility, evaluating the endpoints, or assessing adherence. Efficacy bias could be ruled out, because the objective Hb level was used as the clinical outcome.

Secondary intravenous iron preparation was administered at the investigator’s discretion. It is reported that dialysis patients with TSAT below 20% showed constantly lower Hb levels and a higher ESA resistance index, suggesting renal anemia due to iron deficiency (16). Therefore, a dose adjustment study of ESAs after treatment of iron deficiency renal anemia with an iron preparation should be considered. However, a notable difference between the groups was not found in the use of intravenous iron preparations throughout the study.

CONCLUSION

The results of this study demonstrate that JR-131 was therapeutically equivalent to darbepoetin alfa in the treatment of hemodialysis patients with renal anemia. The safety profile of JR-131 over 24 weeks was similar to that of darbepoetin alfa. No patients developed anti-JR-131/darbepoetin alfa antibodies. As a result, JR-131 is a useful darbepoetin alternate for the management of dialysis patients with renal anemia at a lower cost.
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FIG. S1. Dose distribution (FAS): (A) JR-131 and (B) darbepoetin alfa.

FIG. S2. Percentage of iron preparations use (FAS).