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Abstract - System modeling in an object-oriented manner at the initial stage of software development can be considered as a comprehensive knowledge presentation, which enables the developer practice problem domain (instead of code) to reuse and to share responsibilities between system objects at the high enough level of system abstraction. Unified Modeling Language (UML) is an industrial standard for software specification, modeling and software development in object-oriented manner. UML is not a methodology for how to model a system and to develop a software, but just a notational conventions for “drawing” of model elements. And developers have to identify model elements from problem domain based on some guidelines or their own intuition. It can arouse and frequently arise situations, where system model is not adequate to problem domain and has information loss, duplication and discrepancy. The paper offers to use two-hemisphere model driven approach for system modeling at the problem domain level to avoid problems of manual or intuitive system modeling, and illustrates how two-hemisphere model can be translated into software model expressed in UML by using rules for graph transformations. The problem domain of assigning the pupils of the driving school has been adopted for the illustration case study.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In general there exist two ways of looking at any software system. One way is to consider just data, including variables, arguments, data structures and files where the operations are examined only within the framework of the data. And the other way of viewing the software system is to consider just the operations performed on the data where data are of the secondary importance [1]. Accordingly to object-oriented software development data and operations are viewed at equal importance, in spite of the fact that sometimes data have to be stressed and other times operations are more critical.

The main attention during object-oriented software development is devoted to the definition of system objects according to problem domain. Objects are the primary artifacts of the developed system and include the information about data and operations together. Therefore one of the fundamental tasks during object-oriented software development is to define an object structure and to share the responsibilities of object, i.e. to determinate the operations for objects to perform.

Preliminary system modeling can solve the problem of sharing responsibilities between objects. And modeling of both system aspects – static as well as dynamic - plays an important role during object-oriented software development.

In the development of software system different modeling languages are employed at different stages of development process. The author illustrates the process presenting firstly a two-hemisphere model [2] for a business domain and then investigates construction of diagrams of Unified Modeling Language (UML) [3], which is a standard for object-oriented modeling of the system [4].

The objective of the studies is to conduct a preliminary exploration on using Two-hemisphere model as a problem domain modeling tool for developing a model of software system expressed by diagrams of Unified Modeling Language (UML). Since UML is an industrial standard for software specification, it is more readily understood by software developers [5].

The paper is structured as follows. Section II explains the essence of object-oriented software development and discusses the importance of object definition and responsibilities sharing between them during object oriented system analysis and modeling.

Section III shortly reminds an application of two-hemisphere model for identification of object classes and dependency relations between them, which are published in [6] – [7].

Sections IV and V introduces author’s new investigations on application of two-hemisphere model for definition of object interaction expressed in UML sequence diagram and its further application for definition of state transitions. So far there has been shown how an aspect of system dynamic modeling is achieved by initial presentation of problem domain in the form of two-hemisphere model.

Section VI illustrates an example of application of the ideas expressed in the paper for the modeling of pupil assigning to driving school as a case study.

II. ESSENCE OF OBJECT-ORIENTED SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT

Object-oriented software development assumes that main attention is to be devoted to identification of objects from problem domain and to sharing responsibilities of operation execution between these objects. Therefore the role of system modeling becomes very important. In object-oriented software development the standard notation for system modeling is Unified Modeling Language (UML) [3]. UML diagrams give a possibility to present different aspects of software system, but UML is just a notation and does not content methodological instructions on how to model the system. The developer needs the information about the system to be developed in the form, which gives an ability to transform this information into UML diagrams.

Therefore software system development starts with gathering of business information and presentation of it in the
form suitable to be a base for further software system modeling. In classical approach this information is presented as processes to be performed and information flows required for process execution. Then this presentation of business information has to be transformed into software system model, which in object-oriented manner for software development requires to present objects to interact in the form of UML sequence diagram [3]. Sequence diagram shows objects, their life lines and messages to be sent by objects-senders and performed by object-receivers. Sequence diagram is used to present dynamic aspect of the system, which in object-oriented approach is expressed in terms of message transfer among objects. The dynamic of interactions is defined by an ordering of the message sending and receiving actions. It serves as a basis for definition of operations performed by objects to be grouped into classes, as well as to present and to verify a dynamic aspect of class state transition.

The problem which is recently widely researched in the area of analysis of object interaction is formal transitions among models presented at the level of problem domain and system realization expressed in terms of objects, if we are dealing with object-oriented software development and using Model Driven Architecture (MDA) [8]. MDA is a standard, which proposes the formal description of system with models, and requires definition of formal transitions among models. For now this transition is defined only informal, some guidelines exist on how these transformations should be performed, but formal algorithms or methods have not been defined yet. And therefore there is no CASE tool to support and manage the process of system modeling in UML by automatic generation of UML diagrams from formal or informal description of the system.

The core of this paper is a hypothesis that business process and concept model contains enough information for sharing responsibilities among objects and can serve as a base for definition of object interaction by using of UML sequence diagram. Whereas UML sequence is stated as one of ambiguous UML diagrams [9], with the implicit and informal semantic that designers can give to basic sequence diagram as a result of this conflict [10] – [12]. Two-hemisphere model [2] contains information about business processes and concepts and has already been used for representation of object interaction with UML communication diagram in [6], where only static view of the system is investigated and an ordering of message sending and receiving is missed. Now the author tries also to find dependency between elements of two-hemisphere model and elements of UML sequence diagram, especially in its timing aspect.

A nature of transition from business information into object interaction is found in the definition of which processes have to be performed in the system and which performer will execute exact process at the software level of system modeling. In order to identify a performer of the process at the software level of system presentation the process has to be analyzed with the aim to define a software operation to execute the process and to notice the object to perform this operation. So far two general steps can be defined for object-oriented system analysis. The first one is to identify objects themselves. This task is solved by [13] – [14]. In general the analysis of entity relationship [15] can serve as a base for object identification of the software system. And the second activity of object-oriented system analysis is so called “sharing of responsibilities” among objects, which is not so trivial and is stated for solving by the author of the paper. The main task to be defined is which operation will be executed by which object and in which time sequence.

Rumbaugh [13] proposes to solve the problem of modeling of object interaction with construction of sequence diagram based on analysis of trace scenarios. Jacobson [16] in parallel with Rumbaugh proposes to base creation of sequence diagram on description of use cases. Use case driven approach is one of the methods for obtaining of object interaction. A set of problems is related with the use cases in [17].

As well as object-oriented approach views both aspects of the system, the data and the operations, the modeling of problem domain already has to concentrates on both these aspects. The two-hemisphere model driven approach [2] may be considered as a version of business process model driven approach. The approach addresses the following issues currently relevant in software development:

- Business process models are usually developed in a comparatively high level of abstraction and rarely pin down all needed details for software development;
- Diagrams preferred by business team differs from those preferred by software developers.

Therefore the two-hemisphere model driven approach utilizes the problem domain concept model and the business process model for driving the software development process in object-oriented manner. It is based on sophisticated models, but it enables the generation of simpler models from the sophisticated ones in order to support development of stakeholders’ tacit knowledge. An essence of two-hemisphere model and its application for the definition of structural aspect of the system is described in the next section.

III. DEFINITION OF OBJECT CLASSES BASED ON TWO-HEMISPHERE MODEL

Two-hemisphere model driven (2HMD) approach [2] proposes the application of business process modeling and concept modeling to represent systems in the platform independent manner and describes how to transform business process models into UML models. For the first time the strategy was proposed in [18], where the general framework for object-oriented software development was presented and the idea of the usage of two interrelated models for software system development was stated and discussed. The strategy supports gradual model transformation from problem domain models into program components, where problem domain models reflect two fundamental things: system functioning (processes) and structure (concepts and their relations).

Fig. 1 shows the schema of two-hemisphere model driven approach for system development [19].
The schema presents the way how elements of business process model (graph $G_1$ in Fig. 1) and concept model (graph $G_2$ in Fig. 2) are transformed into elements of UML communication diagram (graph $G_3$ in Fig. 1) and then into class diagram (graph $G_4$ in Fig. 1).

A notation of the business process model (graph $G_1$ in Fig. 1), which reflects functional perspectives of the problem and application domains, is optional, however, it must reflect business processes and information flows [2]. And information flows have to be typed by corresponding concepts in the concept model (graph $G_2$ in Fig. 1), which is used in parallel with business process model. A concept model [14] is a variation of well known entity relationship (ER) diagram notation [15] and consists of concepts (i.e. entities or objects) and their attributes. The notational conventions of two-hemisphere model borrowed from GRAPES notation [20] give a possibility to address concepts in concept model to information flows (e.g. events) in process model.

The transformations of graphs $G_1$ and $G_3$ using an intermediate model are shown in Fig. 2. The process model of two-hemisphere model is represented as a graph $G_1 (P, U)$, where $P= \{P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4, P_5\}$ is the set of system business processes, and $U = \{A', B', C', D', E', F'\}$ is the set of information flows among the processes, which in turn are typed by concepts of concept model – a set $U = \{A, B, C, D, E, F, G\}$ (not shown in Fig. 2).

Transformation of process model into so called intermediate model is made in a direct way of graph transformation [21], where the arcs of graph of business processes are transformed into nodes of intermediate model. And the nodes of graph of business processes are transformed into the arcs of intermediate model. Then intermediate model is redrawn according to notational conventions of UML communication diagram (graph $G_3$ in Fig. 1 and 2), using a set of concepts, defined in concept model – (model $G_2$ in Fig. 1). Graph $G_3$ $(P, U)$ corresponds to UML communication diagram, as discussed above. There is a set of nodes $U= \{A, B, C, D, E, F, G\}$, which is based on the same set of elements of concept model and represents objects, received from the set $U'$, and set of arcs $P= \{P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4, P_5\}$, which represent interactions between objects in a form of methods to perform during object interaction. During transition from $G_1$ to $G_3$ through intermediate model each process and each data flow is transferred into corresponding elements of UML communication diagram [7].

The investigation of 2HMD approach under the statements of MDA in [7] shows that approach could be applied for generation of several elements of class diagram, namely, to generate class names, attributes, methods and several kinds of class relationships. The analysis of two-hemisphere model proposed in [19] and the application of two-hemisphere model for knowledge architecture development in the task of study program development presented in [6] make us to think that notational conventions of UML communication diagram is more suitable for definitions of formal transformations of two-hemisphere model into object interaction and then into class diagram, than the application of UML sequence diagram.

However, the aspect of time sequence, which is a component of UML sequence diagram and is not shown in communication diagram, is missed in this case. And the author of the paper now is investigating the possibility to save time aspect in transition from two-hemisphere model into UML sequence diagram through the defined transformations in accordance with an initial idea presented in [18].

IV. DEFINITION OF OBJECT INTERACTION BASED ON TWO-HEMISPHERE MODEL

In UML models, objects interact to implement behavior. UML has two kinds of diagrams to reflect object interaction – communication and sequence diagrams. Communication diagram allows observing the common interaction of objects in the system mainly focused on associations between objects and time aspect is not stressed in the communication diagram. Sequence diagram shows interaction of objects for execution of concrete use case or business function expressing time

![Fig. 1. Transformations from two-hemisphere model into class diagram under 2HMD approach](image)

![Fig. 2. Graph transformations from graph of process model through intermediate model into UML communication diagram](image)
The transformation of two-hemisphere model into communication diagram is performed in a direct way of graph transformation, where arcs of graph of business processes are transformed into the nodes of graph of object communication. As for UML sequence diagram, the description of an event in business process with its defined data structure in concept model can serve as basis for identification of object in sequence diagram.

The transformation of two-hemisphere model into communication diagram is performed in a direct way of graph transformation, where arcs of graph of business processes are transformed into the nodes of graph of object communication. As for UML sequence diagram, the description of an event in business process with its defined data structure in concept model can serve as basis for identification of object, which is a node of its life line. The analysis of verb phrase (see Fig. 3) makes it possible to suggest that the name of business process has to be a base for the definition of message of sequence diagram to be performed by object-receiver of this message. And if the name of the business process is defined in the form, where the first word is a verb, we can assume that the name of the exact message will be the same as the name of business process. According to the notation of sequence diagram in [3] message has an object-sender and object-receiver of the message, which has to perform the action defined in the message.

Direct transformation of graph of business processes into the graph of object communication defined in [6] solves the problem of identification of object-sender and object-receiver of the exact message by the application of several outlines of graph theory [21], where nodes of graph of business processes have to be transformed into arcs of object communication and arcs of graph of business processes and be transformed into nodes of object communication. The same assumption can be applied for the definition of objects in sequence diagram – object sender will be defined by incoming arc of exact process in the model of business processes and object-receiver will be defined by outgoing arc of exact process in the model of business processes (see an example in Fig. 3). Therefore message defined for execution exact process in business process diagram will be sent by the object defined in the incoming arc of exact business process and received by the object in the outgoing arc of exact business process.

Authors’ experiments on the assumptions proposed above have found the variety of combinations of input and output events for business processes. The problem of this variety is that it is not always possible to define the elements of sequence diagram in direct way. Several cases, where process has two incomes (e.g. process P2 in Fig. 2), requires an investigations on the definition of the object-sender of message.

Whereas the construction of object communication and further definition of class structure was avoided to solve this problem, because the main aspect was stated as according with the aspect of who will be the object-receiver of the method. Moreover the variety of combinations of incoming and outgoing events under the transformations from two-hemisphere model to communication diagram with further
transition to class diagram gives a possibility to define different relations among classes, for example aggregations, generalization and so on [22].

V. DEFINITION OF STATE TRANSITION BASED ON TWO-HEMISPHERE MODEL

As for sequence diagram, this variety may be a base for definition of timing aspect, and may serve for further modeling of object behavior with UML state transition diagram in correspondence with object-oriented manner for definition of class behavior.

UML state charts are based on finite-state machines using an extended Harel’s [23] statechart notation and are used to represent behavior of an object. State charts describe internal object behavior using states and transitions among states. Such transitions are triggered by events, and they can include conditions [24]. As far as one hemisphere of two-hemisphere model is related to functional perspective of the system, it is possible to assume, that presentation of system behavior at the problem domain level can be naturally overcoming into elements of state charts through presentation of object interaction.

Whereas the traditional way of mapping a sequence diagram lifeline to a state machine for that object is to have the operations sent to that object being trigger events of the transition and the messages which originate from that object as actions in a transition label. General schema of transformation of elements of object interaction into elements of statechart diagrams is shown in Fig. 4.

As far as state charts are the most formal aspect of UML, they provide a natural basis for test data generation and can serve as a mean for verification of the transformations defined. To understand the last consideration, very simplified example is illustrated in Fig. 4. This example is trivial, since there are no choices or concurrency. In this case the creation is just simple transition from operations defined for object interaction into a form of state charts. The notational conventions of process model in two-hemisphere model gives an ability to operate also with more complicated object interactions and enables to define parallel and nested blocks of state charts.

VI. AN ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLE OF TWO-HEMISPHERE MODEL APPLICATION

The simplified version of the business process for the application of the pupil of the driving school is reflected in two-hemisphere model shown in Fig. 5.

The sketch of the corresponding sequence diagram is shown in Fig. 6.

Several fragments of the sketch of the sequence diagram allow discussing the ability to define more complicated set of elements, such as interaction frames for parallel operating and alternatives, different types of messages, and so on.
Fig. 6. The corresponding UML sequence diagram transformed from two-hemisphere model shown in Fig. 5

For example, the fact that y-axis is a time axis in both diagrams (process model and sequence diagram) and semantic conventions for process modeling that process can be performed when all incoming information flows are generated from their execution processes. It gives author to assume that process “form list of teachers” and “form list of instructors” with all their successors, which are two parallel fragments in process model, seem to be disjunct fragments in the resulting UML sequence diagram.

Therefore the corresponding sequence of message sending is taken into parallel interaction frame. In addition, the diagram starts with two messages sent at the same time, but really the system requires, that both processes have to be completed before the process “look for appropriate group” should start. For now the interaction frame for parallel messages is arranged for both messages to be sent, but this states a set of possible directions for future research on application of two-hemisphere model for object-oriented modeling of the system in UML.

One more interesting fragment of object interaction is the process “assign learning dates”. The fact, that the process has two outgoing information flows, which are defines as ones of different data type, let author to assume, that control message “assign learning dates” should be sent to both objects – “group register” and driving card”. As well as for this “artificial” example this assumption is proved also for several more sophisticated real world examples, where all the analogical fragments give the same result.

The state transition diagram generated for the fragment of object behavior is shown in Fig. 7.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

The purpose of a model-driven approach is to structure the modeling process, providing the base of research directions which explain how to consider general models and relate them with more specific information about platforms, performance and so on. Two-hemisphere approach is already successfully applied for encapsulation of attributes and methods into system classes [7], as well as the definition of relationships between classes is presented in [22]. The ideas presented in this paper are a step forward of the author’s investigations in the application of two-hemisphere model for software system development in object-oriented manner and the generation of different elements of system model viewing the system from different aspect.

The contribution of the paper can thus be summarized as follows. First, author identifies how concepts used at different levels of object-oriented software design fit together:

- at the level of domain modeling two-hemisphere model is considered;
at the level of software modeling UML sequence diagram, class diagram and state transition are received in the way of formal transitions from elements of two-hemisphere model into elements specified by UML.

As far as two-hemisphere model driven approach introduces another (additional) modeling step between system specification and software development, the question is whether the benefits of formal transformation prevail or not. But modeling of business processes and preliminary data structure is not new strategy for requirement analysis and companies are common with business process modeling techniques or at least they employ particular business process description frameworks.

This fact and existence of many commercial business modeling tools (such as ARIS, IBM products, Sparx Software Architect etc.) and their open source analogues are a strong motivation to base software development on the business process model rather than on any other soft or hard models. Therefore formal transformation of two-hemisphere model into UML diagrams shall step in and try to acquire software requirements.

This paper shows the strategy of two-hemisphere model application for generation of UML sequence diagram and its further usage for construction of class state transitions. The two-hemisphere model driven approach proposes to apply transformations from business process model in conjunction with concept model into scenarios for object interactions by direct transformation of object messages from the elements of process model. Appropriate interacting objects are extracted from the elements of concept model.

A tool for generation of class diagram from two-hemisphere model is developed and presented in [25]. The refinement of the tool with an ability to define elements of object interaction in the form suitable for UML diagramming tools can be defined as a step for author’s future research.
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Oksana Nikiforova. Системное моделирование на UML при помощи подхода, основывающегося на двух-полушарной модели
Объективно-ориентированное моделирование системы на начальном этапе разработки программного обеспечения обеспечивает как всестороннее отображение знаний, которое в свою очередь позволяет разработчикам использовать модель проблемной области (вместо кода) для повторного использования и распределения ответственности между классами системы на достаточно высоком уровне абстракции. Единый язык моделирования (англ. Unified Modeling Language - UML) является индустриальным стандартом в области спецификации, моделирования и разработки программного обеспечения, а именно используя объектно-ориентированный подход. UML не является методологией для разработки модели системы и соответственно не содержит описания этапов построения модели и правил идентификации элементов из описания проблемной области, а описывает только систему обозначения элементов модели и является средством «рисования» модели. Таким образом разработчики вынуждены следовать отправным рекомендациям по разработке модели системы из различных источников и своей интуиции, что в свою очередь может привести к проблемам, когда модель системы не соответствует требованиям модели системы, которая также является недостаточной, противоречивой или неполной. В статье предлагается использовать подход, основывающийся на двух-полушарной модели, чтобы избежать подобных проблематических ситуаций. Статья иллюстрирует как двух-полушарная модель может быть трансформирована в диаграмму, описанную на языке UML, при помощи абстрактного примера записи курсанта в автосколу показана реализация предложенной идеи.
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