On doubly nonlocal fractional elliptic equations
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Abstract

This work is devoted to the study of the existence of solutions to nonlocal equations involving the fractional Laplacian. These equations have a variational structure and we find a nontrivial solution for them using the Mountain Pass Theorem. To make the nonlinear methods work, some careful analysis of the fractional spaces involved is necessary. In addition, we require rather general assumptions on the local operator. As far as we know, this result is new and represent a fractional version of a classical theorem obtained working with Laplacian equations.

1 Introduction

As is well-known, nonlocal Laplacian boundary value problems model several physical and biological systems where $u$ describes a process which depends on the average of itself, for example, the population density (see [1, 4, 13, 14, 34]). In the vast literature on this subject, we also refer the reader to some interesting results obtained by Autuori and Pucci in [5, 6, 7] studying Kirchhoff equations by using different approaches.

*Corresponding author.
Very recently, the nonlocal fractional counterpart of Kirchhoff-type problems has been considered (see [12] and [17, 22]). In this order of ideas, we are interested here on the existence of weak solutions for the following (doubly) nonlocal problem:

\[
\begin{cases}
M\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{n+2s}} \, dx \, dy\right)(-\Delta)^s u = f(x, u) \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega \\
u = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega,
\end{cases}
\]

\[\tag{D_{M,f}}\]

where \(\Omega\) is a bounded domain in \((\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|)\) with smooth boundary \(\partial \Omega\), \(s \in (0, 1)\) is fixed with \(s < n/2\) and \((-\Delta)^s\) is the fractional Laplace operator, which (up to normalization factors) can be defined as

\[(-\Delta)^s u(x) := -\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u(x + y) + u(x - y) - 2u(x)}{|y|^{n+2s}} dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.\]

Further, \(f : \bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}\) and \(M : [0, +\infty) \to [0, +\infty)\) are suitable continuous maps.

In our context, problem \((D_{M,f})\) is studied by exploiting classical variational methods. More precisely, we apply the celebrated Mountain Pass Theorem (abbreviated MPT) to this kind of equations motivated by the current literature where the MPT has been intensively applied to find solutions to quasilinear elliptic equations (see [3, 25, 27, 33]).

Technically, this approach is realizable by checking that the associated energy functional satisfies the usual compactness Palais-Smale condition in a suitable variational setting developed by Servadei and Valdinoci (see [30]). Indeed, the nonlocal analysis which we perform in this paper in order to use the Mountain Pass Theorem is quite general and it was successfully exploited for other goals in several recent contributions (see [16, 19, 20, 21, 23, 28, 30, 31, 32] and [15] for various properties on the fractional Sobolev space setting).

This functional analytical context was inspired by (but is not equivalent to) the fractional Sobolev spaces, in order to correctly encode the Dirichlet boundary datum in the variational formulation.

In our context, to avoid some additional technical difficulties due to the presence of the term

\[M\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{n+2s}} \, dx \, dy\right),\]

we impose some restrictions on the behavior of the continuous map \(M\).

More precisely, we require that there exists a constant \(m_0\) such that:

\[(C_M^1) \quad 0 < m_0 \leq M(t), \quad \forall t \in [0, +\infty).\]

In addition to the above hypothesis, we assume that:
There exists $t_0 \geq 0$ such that
\[ \hat{M}(t) \geq tM(t), \]
for every $t \in [t_0, +\infty)$, where $\hat{M}(t) := \int_0^t M(s)ds$.

The above conditions ensure, as proved in Lemma 1, that the potential $\hat{M}$ has a sublinear growth. Under the previous assumptions, by imposing conditions on the nonlinear part $f$ (among others, the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz relation) we prove the existence of at least one nontrivial weak solution to problem $(D_M, f)$, see Theorem 2.

This result is related to [30, Theorem 2] where the authors studied a local problem involving a general integro-differential operator of fractional type (see Remark 3) whose prototype is
\[
\begin{aligned}
(-\Delta)^s u &= f(x, u) & \text{in} & & \Omega \\
u &= 0 & \text{in} & & \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega,
\end{aligned}
\]

We just observe that in our context, in contrast with the cited result, we don't require that
\[ \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{f(x, t)}{t} = 0, \]
uniformly with respect to $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ (see condition (1) in Theorem 2 and Remark 4 below).

Moreover, our main theorem extends to the nonlocal setting a result, already known in the literature for Kirchhoff-type problems obtained by Alves, Corrêa and Ma [2, Theorem 3]. We just point out that $M$, in the original meaning for Kirchhoff equation, is an increasing function, thus condition $(C^2_M)$ is clearly violated.

We mention, for completeness, that the existence and multiplicity of solutions for elliptic equations in $\mathbb{R}^n$, driven by a nonlocal integro-differential operator, whose standard prototype is the fractional Laplacian, have been studied by Autuori and Pucci in [9] (this work is related to the results on general quasilinear elliptic problems given in [8]). See also the relevant contributions [10, 24, 26] where Kirchhoff-type problems have been studied by using different methods and approaches.

The plan of the paper is as follows. Section 2 is devoted to our abstract framework and preliminaries. Successively, in Sections 3 we give the main result (see Theorem 2). Finally, a concrete example of an application is presented in the last part of the paper (see Example 1).

\section{Abstract Framework}

This section is devoted to the notations used throughout the paper. We also list some preliminary results which will be useful in the sequel.
Let \( H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \) be the usual fractional Sobolev space endowed with the norm (the so-called Gagliardo norm)

\[
\|g\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|g(x) - g(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} \, dx \, dy \right)^{1/2}.
\]

and defined as the linear space of functions \( g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \) such that

the map \((x, y) \mapsto \frac{g(x) - g(y)}{|x - y|^{n/2+s}}\) is in \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n, dxdy) \).

Let us consider the subspace \( X_0 \subset H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \) given by

\[
X_0 := \{ g \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) : g = 0 \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega \}.
\]

Of course, the space \( X_0 \) is non-empty, since \( C_0^2(\Omega) \subseteq X_0 \) by [29, Lemma 11] and it depends on the set \( \Omega \).

Moreover, by [30, Lemma 6] and the fact that any function \( v \in X_0 \) is such that \( v = 0 \) a.e. in \( \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega \), we can take in the sequel

\[
X_0 \ni v \mapsto \|v\|_{X_0} := \left( \int_{Q} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} \, dx \, dy \right)^{1/2}
\]

as norm on \( X_0 \), where \( Q := (\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n) \setminus (C \Omega \times C \Omega) \), and \( C \Omega := \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega \).

Also \((X_0, \| \cdot \|_{X_0})\) is a Hilbert space (for this see [30, Lemma 7]), with the scalar product

\[
\langle u, v \rangle_{X_0} := \int_{Q} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))(v(x) - v(y))}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} \, dx \, dy.
\]

We recall that in [30] Lemma 8 and [32] Lemma 9 the authors proved that the embedding \( j : X_0 \hookrightarrow L^{\nu}(\mathbb{R}^n) \) is continuous for any \( \nu \in [1, 2^*] \), while it is compact whenever \( \nu \in [1, 2^*) \), where \( 2^* := 2n/(n - 2s) \) denotes the Sobolev fractional exponent.

Hence, for any \( \nu \in [1, 2^*) \), there exists \( c_\nu > 0 \) such that

\[
\|v\|_{L^{\nu}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c_\nu \|v\|_{X_0},
\]

for every \( v \in X_0 \).

In the sequel, we will denote by \( \lambda_{1,s} \) the first (simple and positive) eigenvalue of the operator \((-\Delta)^s \) with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary data, namely the first eigenvalue of the problem

\[
\begin{cases}
(-\Delta)^s u = \lambda u & \text{in } \Omega \\
u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega,
\end{cases}
\]
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that can be characterized as follows

\[ \lambda_{1,s} = \min \left\{ \int_Q \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} \, dx \, dy : u \in X_0 \setminus \{0_{X_0}\} \right\}. \]

For the existence and the basic properties of this eigenvalue we refer to [31, Proposition 9 and Appendix A], where a spectral theory for general integrodifferential nonlocal operators was developed. Further properties can be also found in [28].

Finally, for the sake of completeness, we recall that a \(C^1\)-functional \(J : E \to \mathbb{R}\), where \(E\) is a real Banach space with topological dual \(E^*\), satisfies the Palais-Smale condition at level \(\mu \in \mathbb{R}\), (abbreviated (PS)\(_\mu\)) when:

(PS)\(_\mu\) Every sequence \(\{u_j\}\) in \(E\) such that

\[ J(u_j) \to \mu, \quad \text{and} \quad \|J'(u_j)\|_{E^*} \to 0, \]

as \(j \to \infty\), possesses a convergent subsequence.

We say that \(J\) satisfies the Palais-Smale condition (abbreviated (PS)) if (PS)\(_\mu\) holds for every \(\mu \in \mathbb{R}\).

With the above notation, our main tool is the classical MPT:

**Theorem 1** Let \((E, \| \cdot \|_E)\) be a real Banach space and let \(J \in C^1(E; \mathbb{R})\) be such that \(J(0_E) = 0\) and it satisfies the (PS) condition. Suppose that:

\(I_1\) There exist constants \(\rho, \alpha > 0\) such that \(J(u) \geq \alpha\) if \(\|u\|_E = \rho\).

\(I_2\) There exists \(e \in E\) with \(\|e\|_E > \rho\) such that \(J(e) \leq 0\).

Then \(J\) possesses a critical value \(c \geq \alpha\), which can be characterized as

\[ c := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{u \in \gamma([0,1])} J(u), \]

where

\[ \Gamma := \{\gamma \in C([0,1]; E) : \gamma(0) = 0 \land \gamma(1) = e\}. \]

See [27, p. 7; Theorem 2.2].

We cite the monograph [18] as general reference for the variational setting adopted in this paper.
3 The main result

Our main result is as follows.

**Theorem 2** Let us assume that \( M : [0, +\infty) \to [0, +\infty) \) is a continuous map such that conditions (\( C_1^M \)) and (\( C_2^M \)) hold. Further, require that \( f : \bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) is a continuous function which satisfies the following requirements:

- **h\(_1\)** The subcritical growth condition:
  \[
  |f(x, t)| \leq c(1 + |t|^{q-1}), \quad (\forall x \in \bar{\Omega}, \forall t \in \mathbb{R})
  \]
  where \( c > 0 \) and \( 2 < q < 2^* \);

- **h\(_2\)** The Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz (abbreviated (AR)) condition:
  \[
  F(x, \xi) := \int_0^\xi f(x, t)dt
  \]
  is \( \theta \)-superhomogeneous at infinity, i.e. there exists \( t^* > 0 \) such that
  \[
  0 < \theta F(x, \xi) \leq f(x, \xi)\xi, \quad (\forall x \in \bar{\Omega}, \forall |\xi| \geq t^*)
  \]
  where \( \theta > 2 \).

We also assume that

\[
\limsup_{t \to 0} \frac{f(x, t)}{t} \leq \lambda, \tag{1}
\]
uniformly for \( x \in \bar{\Omega} \), where \( \lambda < m_0\lambda_{1,s} \).

Then the nonlocal problem

\[
\begin{cases}
  M \left( \int_Q \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{n+2s}} dxdy \right) (-\Delta)^s u = f(x, u) \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega \\
  u = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega,
\end{cases} \tag{D\(_{M,f}\)}
\]

has at least one nontrivial weak solution.

We recall that a weak solution of problem (\( D_{M,f} \)) is a function \( u \in X_0 \) such that

\[
M \left( \int_Q \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{n+2s}} dxdy \right) \int_Q \frac{(u(x) - u(y))(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))}{|x-y|^{n+2s}} dxdy = \int_{\Omega} f(x, u(x))\varphi(x)dx, \quad \forall \varphi \in X_0.
\]
3.1 Some remarks on our assumptions

The validity of the next lemma will be crucial in the sequel.

Lemma 1 Suppose that conditions \((C_1^M)\) and \((C_2^M)\) hold. Then, there are two positive constants \(m_1\) and \(m_2\) such that
\[
\hat{M}(t) \leq m_1 t + m_2,
\]
(2)
for every \(t \in [0, +\infty)\).

Proof. Let \(t_1 > t_0\), where \(t_0\) appears in hypothesis \((C_2^M)\). By our assumptions we easily have
\[
\frac{M(t)}{\hat{M}(t)} \leq \frac{1}{t},
\]
for every \(t \in (t_1, +\infty)\). Integrating the above relation, we obtain
\[
\int_{t_1}^{t} \frac{M(s)}{\hat{M}(s)} ds = \log \frac{\hat{M}(t)}{\hat{M}(t_1)} \leq \log \frac{t}{t_1},
\]
for every \(t \in (t_1, +\infty)\). Thus
\[
\hat{M}(t) \leq \frac{\hat{M}(t_1)}{t_1} t,
\]
for every \(t \in (t_1, +\infty)\). Hence the growth condition (2) holds by taking, for instance,
\[
m_1 := \frac{\hat{M}(t_1)}{t_1} \quad \text{and} \quad m_2 := \max_{t \in [0, t_1]} \hat{M}(t).
\]
The proof is complete.

Owing to conditions \((C_1^M)\) and \((C_2^M)\), by Lemma 1 one gets the following inequalities:
\[
(\hat{C}_M) \quad m_0 \frac{\|u\|_{X_0}^2}{2} \leq \frac{1}{2} \hat{M} \left( \int_Q \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{n+2s}} dxdy \right) \leq m_1 \frac{\|u\|_{X_0}^2}{2} + \frac{m_2}{2}
\]
for every \(u \in X_0\).

Remark 1 We observe that \(h_2\) implies that
\[
F(x, \tau \xi) \geq F(x, \xi) \tau^\theta,
\]
for every \(x \in \Omega, \, |\xi| \geq t_\star \) and \(\tau \geq 1\). Indeed, for \(\tau = 1\), clearly the equality holds. Otherwise, fix \(|\xi| \geq t_\star\) and define \(g(x, \tau) := F(x, \tau \xi)\), for every \(x \in \Omega\) and \(\tau \in [1, +\infty)\). By (AR) condition it follows that
\[
\frac{g'(x, \tau)}{g(x, \tau)} \geq \frac{\theta}{\tau},
\]
for every $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ and $\tau > 1$. By integrating in $[1, \tau]$ we get that
\[
\int_1^\tau \frac{g'(x, s)}{g(x, s)} ds = \log \frac{g(x, \tau)}{g(x, 1)} \geq \log \tau^\theta.
\]
In conclusion, since for every $x \in \bar{\Omega}$, $|\xi| \geq t_*$ and $\tau > 1$ one has
\[
F(x, \tau \xi) =: g(x, \tau) \geq g(x, 1) \tau^\theta = F(x, \xi) \tau^\theta,
\]
the claim is verified.

### 3.2 Proof of Theorem 2

Set
\[
\Phi(u) := \frac{1}{2} \tilde{M} \left( \int_Q \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dxdy \right)
\]
for every $u \in X_0$.

Under the assumptions of Theorem 2 we define the $C^1$-functional
\[
J(u) := \Phi(u) - \int_\Omega F(x, u(x)) dx, \quad (\forall u \in X_0)
\]
where
\[
F(x, u(x)) := \int_0^{u(x)} f(x, s) ds,
\]
whose critical points are the weak solutions of problem $(D_{M,f})$.

In order to prove our result, we apply Theorem 1 to this functional. In the next three lemmas we shall verify the Mountain Pass Theorem conditions.

**Lemma 2** Every Palais-Smale sequence for the functional $J$ is bounded in $X_0$.

**Proof.** Let $\{u_j\} \subset X_0$ be a Palais-Smale sequence i.e.
\[
J(u_j) \to \mu,
\]
for $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and
\[
\|J'(u_j)\|_{X_0^*} = \sup \left\{ \left| (J'(u_j), \varphi) \right| : \varphi \in X_0, \|\varphi\|_{X_0} = 1 \right\} \to 0,
\]
as $j \to \infty$.

We argue by contradiction. So, suppose to the contrary that the conclusion were not true. Passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that
\[
\|u_j\|_{X_0} \to +\infty,
\]

as \( j \to \infty \).

By conditions \((C_{\Delta}^1)\) and \((C_{\Delta}^2)\), it follows that there exists \( j_0 \in \mathbb{N} \) such that

\[
J(u_j) - \frac{\langle J'(u_j), u_j \rangle}{\theta} = M(\|u_j\|_{X_0}^2) \left[ \frac{M(\|u_j\|_{X_0}^2)}{2M(\|u_j\|_{X_0}^2)} - \frac{\|u_j\|_{X_0}^2}{\theta} \right] + \int_\Omega \left[ \frac{f(x, u_j(x))u_j(x)}{\theta} - F(x, u_j(x)) \right] dx,
\]

\[
\geq m_0 \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\theta} \right) \|u_j\|_{X_0}^2 + \int_\Omega \left[ f(x, u_j(x))u_j(x) - F(x, u_j(x)) \right] dx,
\]

for every \( j \geq j_0 \).

Thus

\[
m_0 \left( \frac{\theta - 2}{2\theta} \right) \|u_j\|_{X_0}^2 \leq J(u_j) - \frac{\langle J'(u_j), u_j \rangle}{\theta} - \int_{|u_j(x)| > t_*} \left[ \frac{f(x, u_j(x))u_j(x)}{\theta} - F(x, u_j(x)) \right] dx,
\]

\[
+ M \text{ meas}(\Omega), \quad \forall j \geq j_0,
\]

where "meas(\Omega)" denotes the standard Lebesgue measure of \( \Omega \) and

\[
M := \sup \left\{ \left| \frac{f(x, t)t}{\theta} - F(x, t) \right| : x \in \bar{\Omega}, |t| \leq t_* \right\}.
\]

Now, we observe that, the \((AR)\) condition yields

\[
\int_{|u_j(x)| > t_*} \left[ f(x, u_j(x))u_j(x) - F(x, u_j(x)) \right] dx \geq 0.
\]

So, we deduce that

\[
m_0 \left( \frac{\theta - 2}{2\theta} \right) \|u_j\|_{X_0}^2 \leq J(u_j) - \frac{\langle J'(u_j), u_j \rangle}{\theta} + M \text{ meas}(\Omega),
\]

for every \( j \geq j_0 \).

Then, for every \( j \geq j_0 \) one has

\[
C \|u_j\|_{X_0}^2 \leq J(u_j) + \theta \|J'(u_j)\|_{X_0^*} \|u_j\|_{X_0} + M \text{ meas}(\Omega),
\]

where \( C := m_0 \left( \frac{\theta - 2}{2\theta} \right) > 0. \)
In conclusion, dividing by \( \|u_j\|_{X_0} \) and letting \( j \to \infty \), we obtain a contradiction.

The above lemma implies that the \( C^1 \)-functional \( J \) satisfies the Palais-Smale condition as proved in the next result.

**Lemma 3** The functional \( J \) satisfies the compactness (PS) condition.

**Proof.** Let \( \{u_j\} \subset X_0 \) be a Palais-Smale sequence. By Lemma 2 the sequence \( \{u_j\} \) is necessarily bounded in \( X_0 \). Since \( X_0 \) is reflexive, we can extract a subsequence which for simplicity we shall call again \( \{u_j\} \), such that \( u_j \to u_\infty \) in \( X_0 \). This means that

\[
\int_Q \frac{(u_j(x) - u_j(y)) (\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dxdy \to 
\int_Q \frac{u_\infty(x) - u_\infty(y)}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} (\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)) dxdy,
\]

for any \( \varphi \in X_0 \), as \( j \to +\infty \).

We will prove that \( u_j \) strongly converges to \( u_\infty \in X_0 \). Exploiting the derivative \( J'(u_j)(u_j - u_\infty) \), we obtain

\[
\langle a(u_j), u_j - u_\infty \rangle = \langle J'(u_j), u_j - u_\infty \rangle 
\]

\[
+ \int_\Omega f(x, u_j(x))(u_j - u_\infty)(x)dx,
\]

where we set

\[
\langle a(u_j), u_j - u_\infty \rangle := \left( \int_Q \frac{|u_j(x) - u_j(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dxdy 
- \int_Q \frac{(u_j(x) - u_j(y))(u_\infty(x) - u_\infty(y))}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dxdy \right) 
\times M\left( \int_Q \frac{|u_j(x) - u_j(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dxdy \right).
\]

Since \( \|J'(u_j)\|_{X_0^*} \to 0 \) and the sequence \( \{u_j - u_\infty\} \) is bounded in \( X_0 \), taking into account that \( |\langle J'(u_j), u_j - u_\infty \rangle| \leq \|J'(u_j)\|_{X_0^*} \|u_j - u_\infty\|_{X_0} \), one gets

\[
\langle J'(u_j), u_j - u_\infty \rangle \to 0,
\]

as \( j \to \infty \).

Now observe that, by condition \( h_1 \), it follows that

\[
\int_\Omega |f(x, u_j(x))||u_j(x) - u_\infty(x)|dx 
\leq c\left( \int_\Omega |u_j(x) - u_\infty(x)|dx + \int_\Omega |u_j(x)|^{q-1}|u_j(x) - u_\infty(x)|dx \right) 
\leq c((\text{meas}(\Omega))^{1/q'} + \|u_j\|_{L^q(\Omega)}^{q-1}) \|u_j - u_\infty\|_{L^q(\Omega)},
\]

\(10\)
where, as usual, \( q' \) denotes the conjugate of \( q \).

Since the embedding \( X_0 \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega) \) is compact, clearly \( u_j \to u_\infty \) strongly in \( L^q(\Omega) \). So we obtain

\[
\int_\Omega |f(x, u_j(x))||u_j(x) - u_\infty(x)|dx \to 0. \tag{8}
\]

By (9), relations (7) and (8) yield

\[
\langle a(u_j), u_j - u_\infty \rangle \to 0, \tag{9}
\]

when \( j \to \infty \).

Bearing in mind condition \((C^1_M)\) one obtains

\[
0 < m_0 \leq M \left( \int_Q \frac{|u_j(x) - u_j(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} \, dx \, dy \right), \tag{10}
\]

for every \( j \in \mathbb{N} \).

Hence by (10) and (9) we can write

\[
\int_Q \frac{|u_j(x) - u_j(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} \, dx \, dy - \int_Q \frac{(u_j(x) - u_j(y))(u_\infty(x) - u_\infty(y))}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} \, dx \, dy \to 0, \tag{11}
\]

when \( j \to \infty \).

Thus, by (11) and (5) it follows that

\[
\limsup_{j \to \infty} \int_Q \frac{|u_j(x) - u_j(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} \, dx \, dy \leq \int_Q \frac{|u_\infty(x) - u_\infty(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} \, dx \, dy.
\]

In conclusion, thanks to [11, Proposition III.30], \( u_j \to u_\infty \) in \( X_0 \). The proof is thus complete.

**Lemma 4** The functional \( J \) has the geometry of the Mountain Pass Theorem. More precisely:

1. There exists \( r > 0 \) such that

\[
\inf_{\|u\|_{X_0} = r} J(u) > 0.
\]

2. For some \( u_0 \in X_0 \) one has

\[
J(\tau u_0) \to -\infty,
\]

as \( \tau \to +\infty \).
Proof. 1. We choose \( \varepsilon > 0 \) small enough, so that it satisfies
\[
m_0 > \frac{\lambda + \varepsilon}{\lambda_{1,s}}.
\]
By condition (\( \Pi \)) there exists \( \delta_\varepsilon > 0 \) such that
\[
\frac{f(x, t)}{t} \leq \lambda + \varepsilon,
\]
for every \( x \in \bar{\Omega} \) and \( |t| \leq \delta_\varepsilon \).
Hence, one has
\[
F(x, \xi) \leq \frac{\lambda + \varepsilon}{2} |\xi|^2,
\]
for every \( |\xi| \leq \delta_\varepsilon \).
As a consequence of the above inequality, using hypotheses \( h_1 \), the Sobolev embedding \( X_0 \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega) \) and (\( \tilde{C}_M \)), we can write:
\[
J(u) \geq \frac{m_0}{2} \|u\|_{X_0}^2 - \int_{|u(x)| \leq \delta_\varepsilon} \frac{\lambda + \varepsilon}{2} |u(x)|^2 dx
- C \int_{|u(x)| > \delta_\varepsilon} |u(x)|^q dx
\geq \frac{m_0}{2} \|u\|_{X_0}^2 - \frac{\lambda + \varepsilon}{2 \lambda_{1,s}} \|u\|_{X_0}^2 - C \|u\|_{X_0}^q,
\]
for a suitable positive constant \( C \).
Now, set \( r := \|u\|_{X_0}^2 \) and observe that for \( r > 0 \) small enough, we have
\[
\frac{1}{2} \left( m_0 - \frac{\lambda + \varepsilon}{\lambda_{1,s}} \right) r - C r^{q/2} > 0,
\]
bearing in mind that \( q > 2 \). Hence
\[
\inf_{\|u\|_{X_0} = r} J(u) > 0.
\]
2. Let us choose an element \( u_0 \in X_0 \) such that
\[
\text{meas}\{x \in \Omega : u_0(x) \geq t_\star\} > 0.
\]
\( F(x, \xi) \) being a \( \theta \)-superhomogeneous function if \( |\xi| \geq t_\star \), for \( \tau > 1 \), we have that
\[
J(\tau u_0) \leq \frac{m_1}{2} \|\tau u_0\|_{X_0}^2 + \frac{m_2}{2} \tau^2 - \int_{\Omega} F(x, \tau u_0(x)) dx
\leq \frac{m_1}{2} \|u_0\|_{X_0}^2 \tau^2 - \tau^\theta \int_{|u_0(x)| \geq t_\star} F(x, u_0(x)) dx
+ \frac{m_2}{2} \tau^2 + M \text{meas}(\Omega),
\]
where
\[ M := \sup \{|F(x, \xi)| : x \in \bar{\Omega}, |\xi| \leq t_*\} . \]

Thus the (AR) condition implies that
\[ J(\tau u_0) \to -\infty, \]
as \( \tau \to +\infty \). This completes the proof.

4 An example of an application

In this section we present a simple application of our main result.

Example 1 Consider the following nonlocal problem:
\[
\begin{aligned}
M \left( \int_Q \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} \, dx \, dy \right) (-\Delta)^s u &= u^3 + u^4 \quad \text{in } \Omega \\
u &= 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega,
\end{aligned}
\]

where
\[
M \left( \int_Q \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} \, dx \, dy \right) := 2 + \frac{\sin \left( \int_Q \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} \, dx \, dy \right)}{1 + \left( \int_Q \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} \, dx \, dy \right)^2}.
\]

By virtue of Theorem 2, problem \((D_M)\) admits one nontrivial weak solution. Indeed, a direct computation ensures that the continuous function
\[ M(t) := 2 + \frac{\sin t}{1 + t^2}, \quad (\forall \ t \geq 0) \]
satisfies conditions \((C^1_M)\) and \((C^2_M)\).

Further, the function \( f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) given by
\[ f(t) := t^3 + t^4, \quad (\forall \ t \in \mathbb{R}) \]
satisfies all the hypotheses of Theorems 2.

Remark 2 We note that in Example 1 condition \((C^2_M)\) is satisfied for every \( t \geq t_0 \), where \( t_0 \) is the unique positive solution of the following real equation
\[
\int_0^t \left( 2 + \frac{\sin s}{1 + s^2} \right) \, ds - t \left( 2 + \frac{\sin t}{1 + t^2} \right) = 0.
\]
Remark 3 We just observe that Theorem 2 can be proved for a more general class of nonlocal problems of the form
\[
\begin{aligned}
-M \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} |v(x) - v(y)|^2 K(x - y) dx dy \right) \mathcal{L}_K u &= f(x, u) \text{ in } \Omega \\
&\quad u = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega,
\end{aligned}
\]
where \( \mathcal{L}_K \) is defined as follows:
\[
\mathcal{L}_K u(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( u(x + y) + u(x - y) - 2u(x) \right) K(y) dy, \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^n)
\]
and \( K : \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \to (0, +\infty) \) is a function with the properties that:
\begin{enumerate}
\item \( \gamma K \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \), where \( \gamma(x) := \min\{|x|^2, 1|\}; \)
\item There exists \( \beta > 0 \) and \( s \in (0, 1) \) such that
\[
K(x) \geq \beta |x|^{-(n+2s)},
\]
for any \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}. \)
\end{enumerate}
In this case we look for (weak) solutions \( u \in X_0 \), where
\[
X_0 := \{ g \in X : g = 0 \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega \}.
\]
Here \( X \) denotes the linear space of Lebesgue measurable functions from \( \mathbb{R}^n \) to \( \mathbb{R} \) such that the restriction to \( \Omega \) of any function \( g \) in \( X \) belongs to \( L^2(\Omega) \) and
\[
((x, y) \mapsto (g(x) - g(y))\sqrt{K(x - y)}) \in L^2((\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n) \setminus (C\Omega \times C\Omega), dx dy).
\]
Moreover, hypothesis (1) assumes the form
\[
\limsup_{t \to 0} \frac{f(x, t)}{t} < m_0 \lambda_1,
\]
where \( \lambda_1 \) is the first eigenvalue of the problem
\[
\begin{aligned}
-\mathcal{L}_K u &= \lambda u \text{ in } \Omega \\
u &= 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega.
\end{aligned}
\]
Note that a model for \( K \) is given by the singular kernel \( K(x) := |x|^{-(n+2s)} \) which gives rise to the fractional Laplace operator.

Remark 4 The previous remark ensures that our result represents an improvement of [30, Theorems 1 and 2], provided that \( M \equiv 1 \).
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