MINER: Multi-Interest Matching Network for News Recommendation
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Abstract

Personalized news recommendation is an essential technique to help users find interested news. Accurately matching user’s interests and candidate news is the key to news recommendation. Most existing methods learn a single user embedding from user’s historical behaviors to represent the reading interest. However, user interest is usually diverse and may not be adequately modeled by a single user embedding. In this paper, we propose a poly attention scheme to learn multiple interest vectors for each user, which encodes the different aspects of user interest. We further propose a disagreement regularization to make the learned interests vectors more diverse. Moreover, we design a category-aware attention weighting strategy that incorporates the news category information as explicit interest signals into the attention mechanism. Extensive experiments on the MIND news recommendation benchmark demonstrate that our approach significantly outperforms existing state-of-the-art methods.

1 Introduction

Online news platforms such as Google News\(^1\) and Microsoft News\(^2\) have become a prevalent way for users to access news information (Das et al., 2007). The large amount of news generated every day make it hard for users to find their interested news. To alleviate information overload and improve reading experience, personalized news recommendation has become an essential part of these platforms (Liu et al., 2010; Phelan et al., 2011).

Accurate matching between users’ interests and candidate news is the key to personalized news recommendation. Existing methods usually learn a single user interest vector by aggregating the previously browsed news via sequential or attentive models and then match it with candidate news vectors. For example, Okura et al. (2017) employ a

\(^1\)https://news.google.com/
\(^2\)https://microsoftnews.msn.com/

\[\text{Score} = \text{attention}(\text{news}, \text{user}) \times \text{user embedding} \]

Figure 1: The news click history of one user, who has various interests including finance, sports and movies.

We propose a GRU network to model user interest from the sequence of clicked news with the last hidden state of GRU being the user interest representation. An et al. (2019) also use a GRU network to aggregate clicked news sequence as an interest vector and combine it with the user ID embedding. Wu et al. (2019a) and Wu et al. (2019c) apply attentive pooling on the sequence of clicked news vectors to obtain user representations. However, user interest is usually varying and diverse. As the example shown in Figure 1, a user may be interested in different types of news (with distinct background colors) such as finance, sports, and movies. Therefore, it is insufficient for the above methods to accurately model user interest via a single user embedding, especially when the user has multiple interests with a long browsing history.

In this paper, we propose a Multi-Interest Matching Network for News Recommendation (namely MINER), which can effectively capture the diverse nature of user’s reading interests. Specifically, we first employ pre-trained BERT (Devlin et al., 2019) as the news encoder which is highly effective in modeling the text semantics. With the encoded news representation sequence, we propose a poly attention scheme to extract multiple interest vectors for each user. A matching score is calculated for each interest vector and the final matching score is aggregated by the individual scores. We study various aggregation methods including maximum, average, and weighted sum. Furthermore, to make the

| Category | Title |
|----------|-------|
| Finance  | 5 excellent dividend stocks to buy for the holiday season. |
| Sports   | Should NFL be able to fine players for criticizing officiating? |
| Sports   | 5 takeaways from the 49ers dominant win over the Panthers. |
| Movies   | Francis Ford Coppola says Marvel movies are ‘despicable’. |
| Sports   | Magic vs. Cavs Preview: Magic basketball is finally back. |
| Fitness  | This guy altered his diet and training to drop 65 pounds and pack on muscle. |
extracted user interest representations more diverse, we propose a disagreement regularization (Li et al., 2021) which enlarges the distance among different interest vectors. In addition, news category information is usually available as shown in Figure 1, which reveals explicit user interest signals. To capture such signals, we propose a category-aware attention weighting strategy in the poly attention where historical news are re-weighted based on the category similarity to candidate news. We conduct extensive experiments and analysis on the real-world MIND news recommendation dataset (Wu et al., 2020), and the results show that M\textsc{iner} significantly outperforms the existing approaches.

The main contributions of this work can be summarized as follows:

- We propose a poly attention scheme in news recommendation to extract multiple interest vectors for each user. We further improve it with a disagreement regularization to make the extracted vectors more diverse.

- We propose a category-aware attention weighting strategy in the poly attention, which captures explicit category signals for user interest modeling.

- M\textsc{iner} achieves new state-of-the-art on the MIND benchmark and ranked the first on official leaderboard\footnote{https://msnews.github.io/#leaderboard} in September 2021.

2 Related Work

2.1 Traditional Recommendation Methods

In recommender systems, most features are categorical and represented as IDs (e.g., itemID, cityID), leading to many studies that focus on modeling feature interactions. For example, FM (Rendle, 2012) models feature interactions with pairwise inner products. Wide&Deep (Cheng et al., 2016) and DeepFM (Guo et al., 2017) further make improvements by integrating both shallow and deep networks. DCN (Wang et al., 2017) models feature interactions via deep and cross sub-networks. Recent research pays more attention to the sequential recommendation problem, which aims to capture users’ sequential behaviors via sequence modeling, such as RNN (Hidasi et al., 2016), CNN (Tang and Wang, 2018), and self-attention networks (Kang and McAuley, 2018; Sun et al., 2019). While most studies represent user via a single embedding vector, Li et al. (2019a) propose a capsule routing method (Sabour et al., 2017; Li et al., 2019b) to extract multiple user interest vectors. Yet, the model is specially designed for the matching stage of e-commerce recommendation. In contrast, we aim to learn users’ multi-interest representations from news content via a novel poly attention scheme.

2.2 Neural News Recommendation

For news recommendation, traditional ID-based methods often suffer from the cold-start problem since news articles update very quickly (Wu et al., 2020). Consequently, many content-based methods explore neural networks to automatically learn and match news and user representations (Okura et al., 2017). For example, An et al. (2019) apply CNN to encode news and a GRU network to capture user interests from users’ historical clicks. Attention mechanisms have been widely adopted in news recommendation to learn news and user representations, such as attentive multi-view learning (Wu et al., 2019a), personalized attention networks (Wu et al., 2019b), and multi-head self-attentions (Wu et al., 2019c). Some methods also incorporate knowledge graph information from news entities (Wang et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2020). Recent work have also applied the pre-trained BERT (Wu et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2021) to encode news due to its superiority on text understanding. Yet, most methods learn a single user embedding which may not adequately model the diverse user interests. Accordingly, Qi et al. (2021) propose to utilize the news category labels to build hierarchical user interest representations. However, their representations are fixed at the three-level hierarchy. In contrast, the number of interest vectors in our M\textsc{iner} is a tunable hyper-parameter.

3 Our Approach

In this section, we first formulate the problem of personalized news recommendation. Then we introduce our proposed M\textsc{iner} in detail, whose overall framework is shown in Figure 2.

3.1 Problem Formulation

Given a user \(u\) and a candidate news \(n^c\), our goal is to calculate the interest score \(s\) measuring the interest of user \(u\) in the content of news \(n^c\). Then a set of candidate news \(N^c\) are ranked based on the interest scores and top ones are recommended to
user $u$. The user $u$ consists of a list of historical clicked news $N^u = [n^u_1, n^u_2, ..., n^u_M]$, where $M$ is the number of clicked news. Each news $n$ is associated with its title texts $T$ and a category $ct$.

### 3.2 News Encoder

News encoder is one of the core components in news recommendation that aims to learn the embeddings of news from their texts. It can be implemented by various NLP methods such as CNN (Kim, 2014) and Transformer (Vaswani et al., 2017). In this paper, we adopt the pre-trained BERT (Devlin et al., 2019) as news encoder, which can effectively capture the deep semantics of news texts. BERT has been successfully applied in various text ranking problems (Khattab and Zaharia, 2020; Karpukhin et al., 2020). Specifically, we feed tokenized news text into BERT model and use the output of [CLS] token as the news embedding $h$. Thus the user $u$ and candidate news $n^c$ are encoded as $H^u = [h_1, h_2, ..., h_M]$ and $h^c$, respectively.

In ablation experiments (§4.4), we will also employ shallow word embeddings (Pennington et al., 2014) and self-attention networks to replace BERT.

### 3.3 Multi-Interest User Modeling

Another core component in news recommendation is user modeling, which receives a sequence of clicked news embeddings as input and outputs user representation $\mathbf{u}$ that summarizes user interest information. Traditionally, a single embedding vector is learned via sequential or attentive methods (An et al., 2019; Wu et al., 2019b). However, user interest is usually varying and diverse. We argue that representing user interests by one representation vector can be a bottleneck for news recommendation, since we have to compress all the information related with diverse interests of user into one representation vector. Instead, we propose to learn multiple representation vectors to express the distinct interests of user.

Specifically, we develop a *poly attention scheme* that extracts $K$ interest vectors for each user through $K$ additive attentions. Our method is inspired by the recently proposed Poly-Encoder (Humeau et al., 2020), and we generalize its idea from word sequence to user behavior sequence. In particular, we introduce $K$ learnable context codes, i.e., $c_1, c_2, ..., c_K$, where each $c_i$ extracts an interest embedding $e_i$ by attending over the sequence of clicked news embeddings:

$$
e_i = \sum_{j=1}^{M} w^i_j h_j, \quad w^i_j = \text{softmax}(\phi^i_h(h_j)), \quad (1)$$

where $w^i_j$ denotes the attention weight of the $j$-th historical news. $\phi^i_h(\cdot)$ is a dense network over the context code $c_i$ and news representation $h$:

$$\phi^i_h(h_j) = c^i_t \tanh(W^h h_j), \quad (2)$$

where $c_i$ and $W^h$ are both trainable parameters.

In this way, we extract multiple user interest vectors $E^u = [e_1, e_2, ..., e_K]$ with each representing certain aspect of user interests. Note the interest vectors are learned via soft attentions thus they may not have explicit meanings.
Disagreement Regularization Since the proposed poly attention aims to capture the distinct nature of user interests, it is beneficial to make the extracted interest representations more diverse. To this end, we further propose a disagreement regularization (Li et al., 2018) to improve the poly attention, that enlarges the distance among different interest vectors during training. Specifically, we calculate the cosine similarity between each pair of interest vectors through the dot product of the normalized vectors. Then our training objective is to minimize the average cosine similarity (i.e., maximize the distance) among all interest vector pairs. The regularization term is formally expressed as:

$$L_D = \frac{1}{K^2} \sum_{i=1}^{K} \sum_{j=1}^{K} \| \mathbf{e}_i^\top \mathbf{e}_j \|,$$

where $K$ is the number of interest vectors.

Click Predictor For each interest vector $\mathbf{e}_i$, we calculate a matching score with the candidate news representation $\mathbf{h}^c$ via inner product:

$$s_i = \mathbf{e}_i^\top \mathbf{h}^c.$$  

We propose several ways to aggregate the $K$ matching scores as a final user click score, including:

- **MINEr-max** takes the maximum value of the individual scores, i.e. $s = \max_{i=1}^{K} s_i$.
- **MINEr-mean** takes the average value of the individual scores, i.e. $s = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=1}^{K} s_i$.
- **MINEr-weighted** adopts a target-aware attention network (Wang et al., 2018) to weighted sum the individual scores according to the relevance between candidate news $\mathbf{h}^c$ and interest vector $\mathbf{e}_i$, i.e.:

$$s = \sum_{i=1}^{K} w_i s_i,$$

$$w_i = \text{softmax}(\mathbf{e}_i^\top \text{gelu}(\mathbf{W}^c \mathbf{h}^c)),$$

where gelu$(\cdot)$ is the activation function and $\mathbf{W}^c$ is trainable parameter.

3.4 Category-aware Attention Weighting

In news recommendation dataset, category labels (e.g., Sports, Health) are usually available as shown in Figure 1. Besides the implicit user interests learned by soft attentions, the category information can be regarded as explicit user interest signals. Intuitively, a user tends to click certain categories of news. For example, the user in Figure 1 frequently clicks Sports news. Thus we can infer that he has a high probability to click another Sports news or similar type like Fitness news. Therefore, we propose a category-aware attention weighting strategy to re-weight historical news according to their category similarity to the candidate news, i.e., similar types of news have higher weights. \(^4\)

Specifically, we first transfer the category words (e.g., Sports) of each news to word embedding through the pre-trained Glove (Pennington et al., 2014) vectors. Then we revise the attention weight $w_j^c$ over historical news in Equation 1 with an additional bias term:

$$w_j^c = \text{softmax}(\phi_h^c(\mathbf{h}_j) + \lambda \cos(\mathbf{b}_j, \mathbf{b}_c)),$$

where $\mathbf{b}_j$ and $\mathbf{b}_c$ denote the category embedding of the $j$-th historical news and the candidate news. $\cos(\cdot)$ denotes the cosine similarity between the two category embeddings and $\lambda$ is a learnable scalar. Note that, due to the exponential operation in softmax function, adding the original logit similarity $\phi_h^c(\mathbf{h}_j)$ with a bias term $\lambda \cos(\cdot)$ equals to multiplying the attention distribution by a scaling factor. In this way, we learn to re-weight the historical news according to category information.

3.5 Model Training

Following previous work (Huang et al., 2013; Wu et al., 2019c), we employ the NCE loss to train our ranking model. For each clicked news in the training dataset $\mathcal{D}$ which is termed as a positive sample $n_i^+$, we randomly select $L$ non-clicked news in the same news session as negative samples $[n_1^-, ..., n_L^-]$. We then jointly predict the click scores of the positive news $s^+$ and $L$ negative news $[s_1^-, ..., s_L^-]$. The loss $L_{NCE}$ is the negative log-likelihood of all positive samples in $\mathcal{D}$:

$$L_{NCE} = - \sum_{i=1}^{D} \log \frac{\exp(s_i^+)}{\exp(s_i^+) + \sum_{j=1}^{L} \exp(s_j^-)}.$$  

(6)

Together with the disagreement regularization in Equation 3, our final loss function is:

$$L = L_{NCE} + \beta * L_D,$$

where $\beta$ is a hyperparameter.

\(^4\)We also tried simply concatenating the category embeddings with news embeddings, which underperforms the proposed method in this paper.
where $\beta$ is a hyper-parameter and is set to 0.8 based on validation set performance.

4 Experiments

4.1 Experiment Setup

Dataset We evaluate our approach on a real-world news recommendation dataset MIND (Wu et al., 2020), which is collected from the user behavior logs of Microsoft News. There are two versions of the dataset, namely MIND-large and MIND-small. The MIND-large contains more than 15 million impression logs generated by 1 million users, from which the MIND-small randomly samples 50,000 users. An impression log records the clicked and non-clicked news that are displayed to a user at a specific time and his historical news click behaviors before this impression. Besides, MIND contains off-the-shelf category label of each news. Table 1 summarizes the data statistics.

Settings Following previous work (Wu et al., 2019b; Qi et al., 2021), we utilize users’ most recent 50 clicked news to learn user representations. We only use news title for the experiments in this paper and the maximum length is set to 20.\(^5\) The bert-base-uncased is used as the pre-trained model to initialize news encoders. The number of context codes $K$ is set to 32 and we will show its influence in the analysis part. The dimension of the context code vectors is 200. The category embeddings are initialized by the 300-dimensional Glove (Pennington et al., 2014) vectors and are fixed during training. The negative sampling rate $L$ is set to 4 during training, i.e., each positive news is paired with 4 negative news. We train Miner for 5 epochs with batch size being 128. The learning rate is set to $2e^{-5}$ and linearly decayed with 10% warmup steps. We employ Adam (Kingma and Ba, 2015) as the optimization algorithm. As previous work (Wu et al., 2020), we employ four ranking metrics, i.e., AUC, MRR, nDCG@5, and nDCG@10, for performance evaluation.

4.2 Comparison Baselines

We compare our proposed Miner against the following baseline methods:

- **Feature-based Methods:** Traditional recommendation methods based on manual features and user-item interactions, including (1) LibFM (Rendle, 2012), that employs factorization machine on user ID, news ID, and TF-IDF features extracted from news titles; (2) DeepFM (Guo et al., 2017), a model combines factorization machine and deep neural network with the same features as LibFM.

- **Neural Recommendation Methods:** Neural networks specially designed for news recommendation, including (1) DKN (Wang et al., 2018), using CNN to learn news representation and a target-aware attention network to learn user representation; (2) NPA (Wu et al., 2019b), using personalized attention networks on words and clicked news to learn news and user representations; (3) NAML (Wu et al., 2019a), using multi-view learning to obtain news representation and attentive pooling to learn user representation; (4) LSTUR (An et al., 2019), using a GRU network to learn short-term user interests and user ID embeddings as long-term interests; (5) NRMS (Wu et al., 2019c), leveraging multi-head self-attentions to learn news and user representations; (6) HieRec (Qi et al., 2021), learning hierarchical user interests including subtopic-level, topic-level, and user-level.

- **BERT-enhanced Methods:** (1) Wu et al. (2021) apply BERT as the news encoder on several above methods. LSTUR+BERT and NRMS+BERT are included here; (2) UNBERT (Zhang et al., 2021), the SOTA news recommendation method with BERT that models multi-grained user-news matching.

We implement most baseline methods via the code and settings on Microsoft Recommenders\(^6\).

4.3 Main Results

The overall performance of all baselines and three Miner variants (i.e. -max, -mean, -weighted) are summarized in Table 2. All the numbers in the table are percentage numbers with ‘%’ omitted. The overall best and previously best results are boldfaced and underlined respectively. We have several observations from Table 2.

First, all neural news recommendations methods (Rows 3-14) consistently outperform manual feature-based methods (Rows 1-2). This is because

\(^5\)For leaderboard submissions, we set the maximum title length as 32.

\(^6\)https://github.com/microsoft/recommenders

|          | MIND-small | MIND-large |
|----------|------------|------------|
| # News   | 65,238     | 161,013    |
| # Categories | 18       | 20         |
| # Impressions | 230,117  | 15,777,377 |
| # Clicks  | 347,727    | 24,155,470 |

Table 1: Statistics of the two datasets.
| # | Methods                  | MIND-small |                      |                      |                      | MIND-large |                      |                      |                      |
|---|--------------------------|------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
|   |                          | AUC        | MRR                  | nDCG@5               | nDCG@10              | AUC        | MRR                  | nDCG@5               | nDCG@10              |
| 1 | LibFM                    | 59.74      | 26.33                | 27.95                | 34.29                | 61.85      | 29.45                | 31.45                | 37.13                |
| 2 | DeepFM                   | 59.89      | 26.21                | 27.74                | 34.06                | 61.87      | 29.30                | 31.35                | 37.05                |
| 3 | DKN                      | 62.90      | 28.37                | 30.99                | 34.29                | 64.07      | 30.42                | 32.92                | 38.66                |
| 4 | NPA                      | 64.65      | 30.01                | 33.14                | 39.47                | 65.92      | 32.07                | 34.72                | 40.37                |
| 5 | NAML                     | 66.12      | 31.53                | 34.88                | 40.19                | 67.08      | 32.36                | 35.15                | 40.93                |
| 6 | LSTUR                    | 65.87      | 30.78                | 33.95                | 40.52                | 67.66      | 33.25                | 36.28                | 41.98                |
| 7 | NRMS                     | 65.63      | 30.96                | 34.13                | 40.52                | 67.95      | 32.87                | 36.36                | 42.53                |
| 8 | HieRec                   | 67.95      | 32.87                | 36.36                | 42.53                | 69.03      | 33.89                | 37.08                | 43.01                |
| 9 | LSTUR+BERT†              | 68.28      | 32.58                | 35.99                | 42.32                | 69.49      | 34.72                | 37.97                | 43.70                |
| 10| NRMS+BERT‡               | 68.60      | 32.97                | 36.55                | 42.78                | 69.50      | 34.75                | 37.99                | 43.72                |
| 11| UNBERT§                  | 67.62      | 31.72                | 34.75                | 41.02                | 70.68      | 35.68                | 39.13                | 44.78                |
| 12| MINER-max                | 67.39      | 32.37                | 35.93                | 42.11                | 69.97      | 35.03                | 38.37                | 44.05                |
| 13| MINER-mean               | 69.49      | 33.44                | 37.37                | 43.53                | 71.37      | 36.06                | 39.56                | 45.21                |
| 14| MINER-weighted           | 69.61      | 33.97                | 37.62                | 43.90                | 71.51      | 36.18                | 39.72                | 45.34                |

Table 2: Performance of different methods. Previously best results are underlined (the higher, the better) and MINER significantly outperforms all baselines \((p < 0.01)\). †: results are from Qi et al. (2021). ‡: results on MIND-large are from Wu et al. (2021). §: results are from Zhang et al. (2021). Our ensemble model on MIND-large ranked the first on official leaderboard: [https://msnews.github.io/#leaderboard](https://msnews.github.io/#leaderboard) in September 2021.

the handcrafted features may not be optimal and the neural networks can learn implicit semantic features to better model the news and users.

Second, BERT-enhanced methods (Rows 9–11) perform generally better than traditional neural methods that are based on word embeddings (Rows 3–8). The reason is that the deeply stacked and large-scale pre-trained BERT model can better model text semantics than the shallow word embeddings, which is crucial for contents understanding in news recommendation. For example, LSTUR+BERT and NRMS+BERT significantly outperform LSTUR and NRMS, respectively.

Third, among the three MINER variants (Rows 12–14), MINER-weighted performs the best. This is because MINER-weighted incorporates the candidate news signal to adaptively select important interest vectors. Note MINER-mean slightly underperforms MINER-weighted but outperforms MINER-max. Potential reason is that one candidate news may match multiple extracted interests (e.g., diet news matches Health and Food), and the overall assessment based on all the interest vectors would be more accurate than matching a single one.

Last, MINER significantly outperforms other baseline methods in terms of all metrics on the two datasets. The significant improvements can be attributed to the multi-interests modeling and BERT news encoder. Other BERT-enhanced methods such as LSTUR+BERT and NRMS+BERT only lean a single user embedding to represent user interests, whose expressiveness may be insufficient. Instead, MINER learns multiple representation vectors to express the diverse user interests. Compared against UNBERT that concatenates all the history news and candidate news as BERT input, MINER is more flexible as UNBERT is restricted by the maximum length of BERT input. Note HieRec also incorporates category labels to build hierarchical user interests but it is fixed at the three-level interests hierarchy. In contrast, the number of interest vectors in MINER (i.e. \(K\)) is a tunable hyper-parameter.

### 4.4 Ablation Study

In this section, we study the effectiveness of different MINER components by removing them. The results on MIND-small are illustrated in Table 3.

| Model                | AUC      | MRR      | nDCG@10 |
|----------------------|----------|----------|---------|
| HieRec (Qi et al., 2021) | 67.95    | 32.87    | 42.53   |
| MINER w/o BERT       | 68.07    | 32.93    | 42.62   |
| w/o disagreement     | 67.42    | 32.38    | 42.12   |
| w/o category         | 67.13    | 32.06    | 41.73   |
| MINER w/ BERT        | 69.61    | 33.97    | 43.90   |
| w/o disagreement     | 69.49    | 33.46    | 43.56   |
| w/o category         | 69.38    | 33.60    | 43.60   |

Table 3: Effects of different MINER components.
MINER framework. The encoder consists of a word embedding layer, an entity embedding layer, and self-attention networks to learn text representation. The results in Table 3 demonstrate that BERT plays a crucial role in MINER and the performance largely decreases if we employ word embeddings. Nevertheless, this variant model is still able to outperform the SOTA non-BERT model HieRec, demonstrating the superiority of multi-interest user modeling and other components.

We further remove the proposed disagreement regularization (§3.3) and category-aware attention weighting (§3.4) from our MINER framework, respectively. The decreased performances in Table 3 respectively verify the benefits of diversifying the extracted interest vectors and incorporating category as explicit interest signals. Note MINER w/o BERT (above the dashed line) suffers more performance drop than MINER with BERT (below the dashed line). Potential reason is that the two techniques may have some overlapping effects with BERT thus it is hard to get further performance gain when BERT has already improved a lot. Besides, the performance drop from removing category-aware attention weighting is much larger than removing disagreement regularization, demonstrating the importance of category signals.

4.5 Number of Interest Vectors

In this section, we show the influence of hyper-parameter $K$, i.e., the number of extracted interest vectors in MINER. We vary this number and plot the results on MIND-small in Figure 3. We can see that with the increase of value $K$, the news recommendation performances first go up and then decline. The best results are achieved when $K = 32$. We conjecture the reason is that the expressiveness of MINER gradually increases when $K$ is increasing. However, too large $K$ may introduce more redundant parameters thus harm the overall performance. Besides, the best value of $K$ may depend on the length of news browsing history $M$, and the longer browsing history requires more model capacity thus larger value of $K$. Note that when we set $K = 1$, i.e., MINER degrades to single user embedding, we still obtain a good AUC of 68.92 and we attribute it to the use of BERT news encoder and category-aware attention weighting.

4.6 Effectiveness on News Recall

To further verify the effectiveness of MINER, we also conduct experiments on news recall (or news retrieval). Instead of ranking a given list of candidate news, the aim of news recall is to retrieve certain number of candidate news from a large news pool which is usually the first stage in news recommendation. Therefore, efficiency is a key issue in news recall task. Conventional way is to build a bi-encoder model to decouple the modeling of user interests and candidate news thus all the news representations can be pre-computed and cached. Accordingly, we employ MINER-means without the category-aware attention weighting and average the extracted interest vectors for news recall.

Following Qi et al. (2021), we do experiment on MIND-small and report the accuracy of top $K$ recalled candidate news (i.e., recall rate) of each method. The results are shown in Figure 4 where the conclusions are generally consistent with Table 2. First, incorporating pre-trained BERT as news encoder significantly improves the recall rates, due to its superiority on text semantic modeling. Second, our MINER consistently achieves the best performance compared to other baseline methods. This is because MINER extracts user interests from multiple aspects, which is more ex-
### Historical Clicked News

|   | News                                                                 |
|---|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1 | Finance Man who inherited $6 figures shares advice he'd give his younger self. |
| 2 | Sports Foles will start for Jaguars over Minshew after bye week.       |
| 3 | Sports Pete Carroll takes swipe at Patriots over their strict culture.  |
| 4 | Food The best Trader Joe's desserts of all time.                      |
| 5 | Politics Senate to try to override Trump emergency declaration veto Thursday. |
| 6 | Sports NFL had no choice but to send a clear message with Garrett punishment. |
| 7 | Sports Umpire Jeff Nelson leaves game with concussion after being hit by foul balls. |
| 8 | Food Wendy's is turning 50 years old, and is gifting us free food through 2020. |

### Recommended by NRMS+BERT

|   | News                                                                 |
|---|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1 | Sports NFL week 8 power rankings: old-school football rules the day. |
| 2 | Sports Patriots wanted a test. Now, they need some answers.          |
| 3 | Politics 40 conservative groups sign ethics complaint against Pelosi. |

### Recommended by MINER

|   | News                                                                 |
|---|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1 | Sports Patriots wanted a test. Now, they need some answers.          |
| 2 | Food National Dessert Day: Where to get free dessert at Wendy's.     |
| 3 | Health Simple diet changes helped this guy lose 75 pounds in 9 months.|

---

Figure 5: Case study on top 3 news recommended by NRMS+BERT and MINER in a sampled impression. The news actually clicked by the user is highlighted in blue.

---

Figure 6: Visualize the attention weights on the historical news in Figure 5 (a) before and (b) after applying disagreement regularization.

In addition, to show the effectiveness of disagreement regularization, we plot the attention map of this case in Figure 6. Specifically, we train a MINER with 4 interest vectors (i.e., $K = 4$) and visualize the attention weights (as Equation 5) before and after applying disagreement regularization. We can find that before applying disagreement regularization, the attentions are mostly focused on the second and the sixth news which are Sports news, and the four attention distributions are quite similar. However, after the employment of disagreement, the four attention distributions become more discriminative and diverse, explicitly focusing on more news such as the fourth and the eighth news that are in the Food category.

### 4.7 Case Study and Visualization

We conduct a case study to further shed light on the effectiveness of MINER. We compare MINER with NRMS+BERT since it is effective and also employs BERT news encoder but with single user embedding. In Figure 5, we show a sampled impression where the user has previously clicked 8 news. The news category is listed before the news title. We also show the top 3 recommended news by the two methods and the actually clicked news. We can see that both NRMS+BERT and MINER rank Sports news on the top, since the user has frequently clicked Sports news in the history. However, NRMS+BERT only learns a single user interest representation thus it is hard to capture other user interests. In contrast, our MINER extracts user interests from multiple aspects through the poly attention scheme, which can effectively find that the user is also keen to Food news. So MINER ranks a Food news in the second which is actually clicked by the user. Besides, MINER also recommends a Health news in the third place which is a related category to the Sports and Food, and we attribute this to our proposed category-aware attention weighting.

In addition, to show the effectiveness of disagreement regularization, we plot the attention map of this case in Figure 6. Specifically, we train a MINER with 4 interest vectors (i.e., $K = 4$) and visualize the attention weights (as Equation 5) before and after applying disagreement regularization. We can find that before applying disagreement regularization, the attentions are mostly focused on the second and the sixth news which are Sports news, and the four attention distributions are quite similar. However, after the employment of disagreement, the four attention distributions become more discriminative and diverse, explicitly focusing on more news such as the fourth and the eighth news that are in the Food category.

### 5 Conclusion

In this paper, we propose a news recommendation method named MINER to capture the diver user interests from the historical reading behaviors, rather than most existing methods that learn a single user embedding to represent the reading interest. Specifically, we propose a poly attention scheme to learn multiple user interest vectors through soft attentions, which encode the different aspects of user interest. We further propose a disagreement regularization to improve the poly attention, that makes the learned interests vectors more diverse. Moreover, we design a category-aware attention weighting strategy to re-weight historical news according to the category similarity. Extensive experiments on the MIND news recommendation benchmark demonstrate the superiority of MINER over existing state-of-the-art methods. In addition, MINER ranked the first on the MIND leaderboard in September 2021.
Future work includes extending MINER to multi-modal and multi-task scenarios (Bi et al., 2022).
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