Diagnosis and Risk Factors for Delirium in Elderly Patients in the Emergency Rooms and Intensive Care Unit of the National Geriatric Hospital Emergency Department: A Cross-Sectional Observational Study
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Purpose: To diagnose delirium and identify risk factors for its development in elderly patients in the emergency department (ED) and intensive care units (ICU) at the National Geriatric Hospital (Vietnam).

Patients and Methods: A cross-sectional observational study was conducted with a convenience sample of non-surgical patients admitted to ED and ICU at Hanoi National Geriatric Hospital in Vietnam. In total, 163 patients met the selection criteria and were included in the study. Screening involved using the Confusion Assessment Method (CAM) and the Confusion Assessment Method for the Intensive Care Unit (CAM-ICU). The diagnosis was established according to the DSM-5 and ICD-10 codes.

Results: Delirium was found in a total of 106 (63.1%) patients. The factors significantly affecting its development were vision impairment (OR, 3.3; 95% CI, 1.5 to 7.4, P=0.003), hearing impairment (OR, 3.6; 95% CI, 1.77 to 7.36, P=0.0001), acute or chronic kidney failure (OR, 7.1; 95% CI, 2.05 to 6.39, P<0.001), respiratory disorders (OR, 2.7; 95% CI, 1.4 to 5.2, P=0.004), and malnutrition (OR, 9.17; 95% CI, 3.43–24.5, P=0.0001). The list also include the fall risk factors (OR, 12.2; 95% CI, 4.1–36.3, P=0.0001), frailty (OR, 8.35; 95% CI, 3.4–20.6, P=0.0001), activities of daily living (OR, 8.35; 95% CI, 3.4–20.6, P=0.0001), hyponatremia (OR, 2.48; 95% CI, 1.07 to 5.75, P=0.001), hypernatremia (OR, 13.1; 95% CI, 1.67 to 2.5, P=0.001), and treatment interventions. In addition, delirium was linked to the duration of ICU admission (OR, 6.53; 95% CI, 4.5–25.5, P < 0.0001).

Conclusion: The CAM/CAM-ICU diagnostic algorithm makes it possible to diagnose delirium successfully. The present study confirmed the role of several premorbid and triggering factors in the occurrence of delirium in elderly patients in ED and ICU. There is a need for further research into risk factors for delirium in elderly patients.
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Introduction
Over the past few years, the problem of conscientious disorders in the emergency department (ED) and intensive care units (ICU) has received increasing attention. The literature indicates that the incidence of delirium in patients is 20–40% in ICU and 60–80% in ventilated patients.¹⁻⁴ In older patients, the incident rate increases considerably.⁵,⁶
According to some anesthesiologists, the primary challenges of ICU delirium treatment associate with two conditions. Those are encephalopathy and acute nonspecific psychosis. However, many ED and ICU practitioners are not familiar with this issue and do not draw a precise distinction between delirium, postoperative cognitive dysfunction, and dementia. However, delirium cannot be aligned with postoperative cognitive impairments because it has symptoms that the latter does not have. Examples are disorientation, perceptual deception and cyclical symptomatology. Delirium should not be confused with dementia as consciousness in dementia is “not clouded” unlike delirium.

The 10th revision of the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10) defines delirium as an etiologically nonspecific organic cerebral syndrome characterized by concurrent disturbances of consciousness and attention, perception, thinking, memory, psychomotor behaviour, emotion, and the sleep-wake schedule. The duration of the illness varies, and the severity ranges between moderate and severe.

Delirium is probably not an independent disease and cannot have one universal cause for its occurrence. A generally acknowledged model of delirium implies the presence of predisposing and triggering factors. Predisposing factors include age, comorbidities, infections, eating disorders, poisoning, withdrawal syndrome, hearing loss, poor vision, language barriers, etc. In 50% of senior patients with delirium, the predisposing factors are stroke, Parkinson’s disease, or dementia. The triggering factors usually include surgical operations and diagnostic procedures, ICU treatment, drug use, pain, patient fixation, use of urinary catheters, emotional stress, and prolonged absence of sleep.

The risk of delirium may be due to the interaction of predisposing and initiating factors, and, according to the circumstances, the initiating factors may act as predisposing and vice versa.

Delirium is a factor increasing the time patients spend on a ventilator and in the intensive care unit. Hence, it increases treatment costs. Delirium also raises the risk of a lethal outcome, that is, by 10% each day 5. Note that delirium often causes cognitive impairment that persists for months to years after intensive care. In addition, patients may not be able to recover their previous quality of life.

The clinical symptoms of delirium are wide-ranging. There are hyperactive, hypoactive and mixed forms of delirium. It is believed that only 10–30% of all delirium cases are hyperactive, while the mixed type affects about half of patients, and the hypoactive type occurs in 20–40% of cases. The elderly patients are generally predisposed to hypoactive delirium. Overall, better outcomes are associated with hyperactive cases.

Because delirium does not have visible biomarkers, it is difficult to diagnose and can often be overlooked. Given that ICU delirium significantly worsens prognosis and increased mortality, it is vital to investigate this disorder. Hypothetically, the Confusion Assessment Method (CAM) and the Confusion Assessment Method for Intensive Care Unit (CAM-ICU) can serve as excellent tools for identifying delirium predictors. Therefore, this study aimed to diagnose delirium using CAM and CAM-ICU methods and determine the risk factors for its development in senior patients enrolled in the emergency (ED) and intensive care units (ICU) at the National Geriatric Hospital in Vietnam.

Methods
Study Design
A cross-sectional observational study was conducted with a convenience sample of non-surgical patients admitted to the ED and ICU at the Hanoi National Geriatric Hospital, Vietnam, between July 2019 and October 2020. The total number of examined patients was 405, but only 163 patients (79 males and 84 females) met the inclusion criteria.

Inclusion Criteria Were as follows
- Patients aged ≥60.
- Richmond Agitation Sedation Scale (RASS) score ranging from −4 points.
- ≥24 hours of emergency care.
- Consent from the patient and the patient’s family.

Exclusion Criteria Were as follows
- Patients who used sedatives while being treated.
- Patients transferred to another Department.
- Neither the research group nor the researchers were able to carry out the evaluation.
- Patients/families were not willing to continue to participate in the research.

The research group included: principal researcher (the author of the study), doctors, nurses in the emergency department at National Geriatrics Hospital, and five medical students.
After the patients were hospitalized, the research team collected their personal health information, including medical records, clinical characteristics, and information on diagnosis. The following details were obtained as a result (Table 1): demographic and anthropometric data (age, sex, height, and weight), alcohol abuse (frequency per month), smoking habits (pack per year), various comorbidities, use of medication, and results of previous laboratory tests. The functional status of patients was evaluated according to the Barthel Index for Activities of Daily Living (ADL) and the Lawton Instrumental Activities of Daily Living Scale (IADL). Nutritional status was assessed using the Mini Nutritional Assessment Short-Form (MNA-SF). STRATIFY has been used to determine risk factors associated with falling. Frailty syndrome was determined by the Edmonton Frailty Scale. Finally, all patients underwent general blood and biochemical tests and urinalysis.

The Emergency Department was divided into two treatment areas: an ICU room for severe patients receiving mechanical ventilation and emergency rooms. The patients treated at ED were screened according to the Confusion assessment method (CAM), and patients treated at ICU were screened using the Confusion assessment for the ICU (CAM-ICU). The screening procedure took place every day during the hours of 7 am to 10 am and between 6 pm and 9 pm until discharge. Patients with CAM/CAM-ICU scores underwent a second assessment for delirium according to Diagnostic and Statistical Manuals of Mental Disorders (DSM-5) and ICD-10. For patients diagnosed with delirium, variables were collected based on the research objectives. The flowchart of the study process is depicted in Figure 1.

### Statistical Analysis
Continuous data are presented as average (with standard deviation) and the percentage number of patients. All variables in patients with delirium versus patients without delirium were analyzed using univariate logistic regression analysis. Statistics were performed by the following methods: the chi-square test, the exact Fisher test, the independent t-test, or the Mann–Whitney U-test. Differences were considered significant at P<0.05. Risk factors with P<0.05 determined by univariate analysis were included for multiple regression. The C-statistic and the Hosmer-Lemeshow adequacy test were used to evaluate the adequacy of the logistic regression model. All statistical analyses were completed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows version 25.0. (IBM Corp., USA).

### Ethical Declaration
This study was carried out in compliance with the Helsinki Declaration and the subsequent modifications. The study design was approved by the Ethics Committee of the National Geriatric Hospital (Protocol No. 123, dated 05/18/2019). Study participants and their families or guardians gave written consent to participate.

### Results
Out of 168 patients, 106 (63.1%) were diagnosed with delirium. Of those, 50 (47.2%) were male, and 56 (52.8%) were female patients. The mean age of patients experiencing delirium was 78.3 ± 10.9 years. Concerning marital status, 43.4% of them were married, and 51.0% were widowed. The majority of patients lived with their families (68.9%) or with a guardian (22.6%). Vision loss

---

### Table 1 Demographic and Medical Information Regarding Patients with Delirium (n=106)

| Variable                                    | Patients (n=106) |
|---------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Age:                                        |                 |
| 60–69                                       | 25 (23.6%)      |
| 70–79                                       | 28 (26.4%)      |
| 80–89                                       | 36 (34.0%)      |
| ≥ 90                                        | 17 (16.0%)      |
| X ± SD (years old)                          | 78.3 ± 10.9     |
| Sex                                         |                 |
| Male                                        | 50 (47.2%)      |
| Female                                      | 56 (52.8%)      |
| Marital status:                             |                 |
| Single                                      | 3 (2.8%)        |
| Married                                     | 46 (43.4%)      |
| Divorced                                    | 3 (2.8%)        |
| Widowed                                     | 54 (51.0%)      |
| Living conditions:                          |                 |
| Living with Family (Wives/Husbands/Children)| 73 (68.9%)      |
| Living with caregivers                      | 24 (22.6%)      |
| Living alone                                | 7 (6.6%)        |
| Living in a nursing home                    | 2 (1.9%)        |
| Past medical history associated with delirium:|                 |
| Vision impairment                           | 93 (87.7%)      |
| Hearing impairment                          | 86 (81.1%)      |
| Smoking                                     | 29 (27.4%)      |
| Alcohol consumption                         | 75 (70.8%)      |
occurred in 87.7% of cases, and hearing loss accounted for 81.1% of cases. Nearly 70% of patients consumed alcohol at least monthly, and 27.4% smoked. Table 1 presents the clinical and demographic characteristics of those diagnosed with delirium.

The distinctive features of CAM/CAM-ICU delirium in patients are presented in Table 2. The most frequent disturbances of consciousness were the impairment of attention concentration (81.1% of patients) and attention retention (61.3%). Alteration in cognitive functions manifested as disorientation to place (89.6%), time (89.6%) and self (55.7%), immediate memory loss (49.1%), and loss of short-term memory (49.1%). Various psychomotor disorders were pronounced: unpredictable transition from one state to another (46%); increased or decreased speech flow (58.5%); increased startle response (50%). Only five (4.7%) patients had no sleep disturbances. Mild to moderate daytime sleepiness and sleep disturbances at night were noted in 63.2% of patients. Severe sleep-wake disturbances (oversleeping or insomnia) and sleep-wake inversion made up 32% of cases. All patients had emotional disorders, such as depression (33%), anxiety (45.2%), lack of emotion or emotional shock with bewilderment (20.8%). Hypoactive delirium was the
predominant motor subtype (49%), followed by hyperactive delirium (34%) and mixed delirium (17%).

According to biochemical data, 33.0% of patients with delirium had hyponatremia, and 15.1% had hypernatremia. High levels of blood glucose were observed in 62.3% of cases. Low albumin levels were seen in 85.2% of patients, and reduced blood oxidation was detected in 57.5% of cases. Creatinine concentration was high in the majority of patients, reaching an average of 148.3±59.2 μmol/L.

The first symptoms of delirium in patients occurred 17.9 ± 34.1 hours after hospitalization and lasted 4.9±4.9 days.

The most frequent underlying conditions among patients with delirium (Table 3) were hypertension (67.9%), pneumonia (65.1%), stroke (41.5%), diabetes (40.6%), anaemia (38.7%), and kidney dysfunction (31.1%). On average, there were 4.0 ± 1.8 underlying diseases per patient. There were no statistically significant differences in delirium symptoms and underlying diseases between patients in ED and ICU.

The association between delirium and risk factors is depicted in Table 4. The primary predisposing factors were vision impairment (odds ratio [OR], 3.3; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.5 to 7.4, P=0.003), hearing impairment (OR, 3.6; 95% CI, 1.77 to 7.36, P=0.0001), and underlying diseases, such as acute/chronic kidney failure (OR, 7.1; 95% CI, 2.05 to 6.39, P<0.001) and respiratory disorders (OR, 2.7; 95% CI, 1.4 to 5.2, P=0.004). In addition, delirium is related to malnutrition (OR, 9.17; 95% CI, 3.43–24.5, P=0.0001), frailty (OR, 8.35; 95% CI, 3.4–20.6, P=0.0001), and activities of daily living on IADLs scale (OR, 8.35; 95% CI, 3.4–20.6, P=0.0001).

According to biochemical findings, electrolytic disturbances were an imperative factor for delirium. Those include hyponatremia (OR, 2.48; 95% CI, 1.07 to 5.75, P=0.001) and hypernatremia (OR, 13.1; 95% CI, 1.67 to 2.5, P=0.001).

### Table 2 Manifestations of Delirium in Patients (n=106)

| Features                                      | Patients (n=106) |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| **Attention impairment:**                     |                 |
| Impairment in focusing attention              | 86 (81.1%)      |
| Impairment in maintaining attention           | 65 (61.3%)      |
| Impairment in shifting attention              | 24 (22.6%)      |
| **Orientation disorder:**                     |                 |
| Disorientation to time                         | 95 (89.6%)      |
| Disorientation to space                       | 95 (89.6%)      |
| Disorientation to self                        | 59 (55.7%)      |
| Disorientation to person                      | 24 (22.6%)      |
| **Memory disorders:**                         |                 |
| Immediate memory loss                         | 52 (49.1%)      |
| Loss of short-term memory                     | 95 (89.6%)      |
| Loss of long-term memory                      | 8 (7.5%)        |
| **Psychomotor disorder:**                    |                 |
| Rapidly fluctuating symptoms                  | 52 (49.1%)      |
| Increased reaction time                       | 35 (33.0%)      |
| Increased or decreased language proficiency   | 62 (58.5%)      |
| Increased startle responses                   | 53 (50.0%)      |
| **Sleep disorders:**                          |                 |
| Without sleep disorder                        | 5 (4.7%)        |
| Mild sleep disorder at night and sleepy at daytime | 39 (36.8%) |
| Moderate disturbance of sleep-wake cycle      | 28 (26.4%)      |
| Severe disturbance of sleep-wake cycle (oversleeping or serious insomnia) | 8 (7.5%) |
| Sleep-wake inversion                          | 26 (24.5%)      |
| **Emotional disorders:**                     |                 |
| Depression                                    | 35 (33%)        |
| Anxiety                                       | 49 (45.2%)      |
| Emotionless/shocked, bewildered               | 22 (20.8%)      |
| **Types of delirium:**                        |                 |
| Hyperactive delirium                          | 36 (34.0%)      |
| Hypoactive delirium                           | 52 (49.0%)      |
| Mixed delirium                                | 18 (17.0%)      |
| **Subclinical symptoms:**                    |                 |
| Plasma natrium                                |                 |
| Hypernatremia                                 | 16 (15.1%)      |
| Hyponatremia                                  | 35 (33.0%)      |
| Plasma Glucose                                |                 |
| Increase                                      | 66 (62.3%)      |
| Decrease                                      | 3 (2.8%)        |
| Albumin                                       |                 |
| Increase                                      | 2 (3.7%)        |
| Decrease                                      | 46 (85.2%)      |
| Reduction of blood oxidation                  | 61 (57.5%)      |
| Hypercapnia                                   | 6 (5.7%)        |
| Creatinin (μmol/L)                            | 148.3±59.2 μmol/L |

(Continued)
The most important factors in triggering delirium include interventions in treatment. Those are nasogastric intubation (OR, 6.7; 95% CI, 1.53 to 3.65, P=0.004), endotracheal intubation (OR, 3.8; 95% CI, 1.11 to 2.63, P=0.002), urinary catheterization (OR, 7.7; 95% CI, 1.61 to 4.29, P=0.001), and invasive mechanical ventilation (OR, 6.3; 95% CI, 2.05 to 4.48, P=0.001).

Note that the duration of ICU admission averaged 12.7 ± 7.7 days in patients with delirium and 8.5 ± 4.3 days in patients without the said condition. Hence, it may also be a triggering factor for this disease (OR, 6.53; 95% CI, 4.5–25.5, P < 0.0001).

### Discussion

In this study, the prevalence of ICU delirium among senior patients was 63.1%. The diagnostic criteria for delirium are outlined in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5). Those include disturbance of consciousness (ie, reduced clarity of awareness of the environment with inhibited ability to focus, maintain, or shift attention) and changes in cognitive function (ie, memory deficit, disorientation, language disturbance, perceptual disturbance). Disturbance develops over a short period (usually several hours to several days) and tends to fluctuate. There is an apparent relationship with somatic diseases. However, the definition of delirium from a resuscitation perspective is broader than its psychiatric interpretation. In this case, delirium is an acute clinical syndrome, which manifests as a change in the level of consciousness combined with reduced attention or disorganized thought. Following this interpretation, delirium occurs in 60–80% of ICU patients, which is consistent with the results of this study. Another factor to consider is that the incidence of delirium is significantly higher in older patients.

In many clinical situations, it is hard to diagnose ICU delirium under the DSM-5 criteria. In particular, it is challenging to assess an intubated patient. For this problem to solve, specific methods of diagnosis were developed, which resuscitators can exploit efficiently in their routine practice.

These methods are based on several fundamental aspects that distinguish delirium from other conditions. First, delirium is an exclusively clinical syndrome and can be diagnosed by clinical examination without additional laboratory or instrumental methods. Second, it develops over a short period of time (several hours to several days) and follows some kind of stress (eg, surgery, trauma, acute infection process, or pain syndrome). Third, it always manifests as a change in the level of consciousness. Fourth, consideration should be given to measuring the level of attention. Two types of attention disorders can be distinguished: difficulty in focusing attention and inability to maintain it. Fifth, the diagnosis involves the assessment of a disorganized thought process. The above steps align with the CAM/CAM-ICU algorithm for diagnosing delirium.

The diagnosis of delirium in the present study was based on the above principles. Thus, symptoms of delirium in participating patients emerged 17.9 ± 34.1 hours after ICU admission. The condition lasted 4.9 ± 4.9 days. Patients exhibited reduced attention and inability to maintain it, disorientation to time and space, decreased memory, speech impairment, and loss of awareness of the environment.

### Table 3 Underlying Diseases in Patients with Delirium (n=106)

| Underlying Diseases                  | Patients (n=106) |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|
| Heart attack                        | 8 (7.5%)         |
| Heart failure                       | 29 (27.4%)       |
| Hypertension                        | 72 (67.9%)       |
| COPD                                | 13 (12.3%)       |
| Pneumonia                           | 69 (65.1%)       |
| Asthma                              | 5 (4.7%)         |
| Lao                                 | 4 (3.8%)         |
| Diabetes                            | 43 (40.6%)       |
| Stroke                              | 44 (41.5%)       |
| Kidney disease - kidney failure     | 33 (31.1%)       |
| Chronic liver disease               | 11 (10.4%)       |
| Septic shock                        | 31 (29.2%)       |
| Dementia                            | 19 (17.9%)       |
| Cancer                              | 5 (4.7%)         |
| Musculoskeletal disorder            | 4 (3.8%)         |
| Anaemia                             | 41 (38.7%)       |
| Depression                          | 3 (2.8%)         |
| Number of underlying diseases in patients with delirium: | 4.0 ± 1.8 |

The most important factors in triggering delirium include interventions in treatment. Those are nasogastric intubation (OR, 6.7; 95% CI, 1.53 to 3.65, P=0.004), endotracheal intubation (OR, 3.8; 95% CI, 1.11 to 2.63, P=0.002), urinary catheterization (OR, 7.7; 95% CI, 1.61 to 4.29, P=0.001), and invasive mechanical ventilation (OR, 6.3; 95% CI, 2.05 to 4.48, P=0.001).

Note that the duration of ICU admission averaged 12.7 ± 7.7 days in patients with delirium and 8.5 ± 4.3 days in patients without the said condition. Hence, it may also be a triggering factor for this disease (OR, 6.53; 95% CI, 4.5–25.5, P < 0.0001).
Table 4 Association of Delirium and Risk Factors

| Risk factors                              | With Delirium (n=106) | Without Delirium (n=57) | OR      | 95% CI     | P      |
|-------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|---------|------------|--------|
| Sensory impairment, substance use history:|                       |                         |         |            |        |
| Vision impairment                         | 93 (87.7%)            | 39 (68.4%)              | 3.3     | 1.5–7.4    | 0.003  |
| Hearing impairment                        | 86 (81.1%)            | 31 (54.4%)              | 3.6     | 1.77–7.36  | 0.0001 |
| Smoking                                   | 29 (27.4%)            | 12 (21.1%)              | 1.4     | 0.3–1.5    | 0.37   |
| Alcohol consumption                       | 75 (70.8%)            | 39 (68.4%)              | 1.6     | 0.4–1.7    | 0.7    |
| Interventions in treatment:               |                       |                         |         |            |        |
| Nasogastric intubation                    | 21 (19.8%)            | 2 (3.5%)                | 6.7     | 1.53–3.65  | 0.004  |
| Urinary catheterization                   | 60 (56.6%)            | 8 (24.0%)               | 7.7     | 1.61–4.29  | <0.001 |
| Endotracheal intubation                   | 37 (34.9%)            | 7 (22.3%)               | 3.8     | 1.11–2.63  | 0.002  |
| Tracheostomy                              | 10 (8.9%)             | 0 (0%)                  | 1.6     | 1.4–1.8    | 0.015  |
| Invasive mechanical ventilation           | 34 (32.1%)            | 4 (7.0%)                | 6.3     | 2.05–4.48  | <0.001 |
| Electrolyte disturbance, glucose disturbance:|                       |                         |         |            |        |
| Plasma natrium (mmol/L)                   |                       |                         |         |            |        |
| Hyponatremia [Na] < 135                   | 35 (33.0%)            | 1 (1.7%)                | 2.48    | 1.07–5.75  | 0.001  |
| Hypernatremia [Na]: > 145                 | 16 (15.1%)            | 1 (1.7%)                | 13.1    | 1.67–2.5   |        |
| Glucose ≤ 7.8 mmol/l                      | 45 (42.5%)            | 31 (54.4%)              | 1       | 0.84–3.1   | 0.14   |
| Glucose > 7.8 mmol/l                      | 66 (62.3%)            | 26 (45.6%)              | 1.6     |            |        |
| Nutritional status (scale MNA - SF):      |                       |                         |         |            |        |
| 12–14 points: normal nutrition            | 12 (11.3%)            | 20 (35.1%)              | 1       |            | 0.0001 |
| 8–11 points: risk of malnutrition         | 38 (35.8%)            | 27 (47.4%)              | 2.35    | 0.98–5.59  |        |
| 0–7 points: malnutrition                  | 56 (52.9%)            | 10 (17.5%)              | 9.17    | 3.43–24.5  |        |
| Mean of MNA ± SD                          | 2.39 ± 0.73           | 1.82 ± 0.09             |         |            |        |
| Fall Risk Factors (Stratify Scale):       |                       |                         |         |            |        |
| 0 point: low risk of fall                 | 5 (4.7%)              | 18 (31.6%)              | 1       |            | 0.0001 |
| 1 point: moderate risk of fall            | 16 (15.1%)            | 14 (24.6%)              | 4.1     | 4.2–14     |        |
| ≥ 2 point: high risk of fall             | 85 (80.2%)            | 25 (43.8%)              | 12.2    | 4.1–36.3   |        |
| Pain:                                     |                       |                         |         |            |        |
| Yes                                       | 10 (9.4%)             | 6 (10.5%)               | 1       | 0.3–2.6    | 0.82   |
| No                                        | 96 (90.6%)            | 51 (89.5%)              | 1.12    |            |        |
| Drug use:                                 |                       |                         |         |            |        |
| Benzodiazepine                            | 13 (12.3%)            | 4 (7.0%)                | 1.9     | 0.1–1.7    | 0.3    |
| Opioid                                    | 2 (1.9%)              | 0 (0%)                  | 1.5     | 1.4–1.7    | 0.3    |
| Corticoid                                 | 18 (17.0%)            | 4 (7.0%)                | 2.7     | 0.1–1.1    | 0.08   |

(Continued)
In most cases, it was hard to identify a single cause of delirium. The reason is the multifactorial aetiology of this disease. Typically, there are two to six reasons behind it. Seeking and naming a single cause is a simplification: every case requires a detailed re-analysis of multiple possible factors. Generally, scholars distinguish 60 risk factors for delirium in older patients. The contributory factors for delirium are physical fixation, malnutrition, administration of more than three drugs, bladder catheterization, and iatrogenic phenomena. In elderly patients, predictors for developing delirium include visual impairment, cognitive impairment, and BUN/creatinine ratio ≥ 18 μmol/L. Other risk factors are the pre-operative use of narcotics or benzodiazepines and alcohol.

### Table 4 (Continued).

| Risk factors                                      | With Delirium (n=106) | Without Delirium (n=57) | OR   | 95% CI     | P    |
|---------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|------|------------|------|
| Total number of drugs per patient                 |                       |                         |      |            |      |
| <5                                                | 16 (15.1%)            | 18 (31.6%)              | 1    | 1.2–5.6    | 0.014|
| ≥5                                                | 90 (84.9%)            | 39 (68.4%)              | 2.6  |            |      |
| Duration of admission:                            |                       |                         |      |            |      |
| Days (± SD)                                       | 12.7 ± 7.7            | 8.5 ± 4.3               | 5.7  | 0.6–2.3    | <0.001|
| Past medical history of stroke, dementia:         |                       |                         |      |            |      |
| Stroke                                            | 23 (21.7%)            | 4 (7.0%)                | 3.7  | 0.09–0.83  | 0.016|
| Dementia                                          | 16 (15.1%)            | 3 (5.3%)                | 3.2  | 0.09–1.12  | 0.62 |
| Age:                                              |                       |                         |      |            |      |
| <65 years old                                     | 14 (13.2%)            | 6 (10.5%)               | 1    | 0.3–2.14   | 0.62 |
| ≥ 65 years old                                    | 92 (86.8%)            | 51 (89.5%)              | 1.3  |            |      |
| Gender:                                            |                       |                         |      |            |      |
| Female                                            | 50 (47.2%)            | 29 (50.9%)              | 1    | 0.61–2.21  | 0.65 |
| Male                                              | 56 (52.8%)            | 28 (49.1%)              | 1.16 |            |      |
| Several accompanying conditions:                  |                       |                         |      |            |      |
| Acute kidney failure or chronic kidney failure     | 43 (40.6%)            | 5 (8.8%)                | 7.1  | 2.05–6.39  | <0.001|
| Respiratory failure                               | 67 (63.2%)            | 22 (40.4%)              | 2.7  | 1.4–5.2    | 0.004|
| Acute liver failure and chronic liver failure      | 11 (10.4%)            | 6 (10.5%)               | 1.02 | 0.3–2.9    | 0.98 |
| Number of accompanying pathologies                | 4.0 ± 1.7             | 2.9 ± 1.3               | <0.001|
| Frailty Syndrome (Edmonton Frail Scale):           |                       |                         |      |            |      |
| <5 points: without frailty syndrome                | 17 (16.0%)            | 33 (57.9%)              | 1    | –          | 0.0001|
| 6–7 points: risk of frailty syndrome               | 13 (12.3%)            | 7 (12.3%)               | 3.6  | 1.2–10.7   |      |
| 8–9 points: mild frailty                          | 17 (16.0%)            | 6 (10.5%)               | 5.5  | 1.8–16.5   |      |
| 10–11 points: moderate frailty                    | 16 (15.1%)            | 1 (1.8%)                | 3.1  | 3.8–25.4   |      |
| 12–17 points: severe frailty                      | 43 (40.6%)            | 10 (17.5%)              | 8.35 | 3.4–20.6   |      |
| REFS mean ± SD                                    | 9.7 ± 3.8             | 5.8 ± 4.3               |      |            |      |
| Activities of daily living scale (IADLs):         |                       |                         |      |            |      |
| Mean of ADL point ± SD                            | 2.5 ± 2.1             | 4.2 ± 2.1               | 6.53 | 4.5–25.5   | 0.0001|

In most cases, it was hard to identify a single cause of delirium. The reason is the multifactorial aetiology of this disease. Typically, there are two to six reasons behind it. Seeking and naming a single cause is a simplification: every case requires a detailed re-analysis of multiple possible factors. Generally, scholars distinguish 60 risk factors for delirium in older patients. The contributory factors for delirium are physical fixation, malnutrition, administration of more than three drugs, bladder catheterization, and iatrogenic phenomena. In elderly patients, predictors for developing delirium include visual impairment, cognitive impairment, and BUN/creatinine ratio ≥ 18 μmol/L. Other risk factors are the pre-operative use of narcotics or benzodiazepines and alcohol.
abuse. Smoking and atherosclerotic vascular disorders also play a role in the development of delirium.

Typical risk factors for delirium include dementia and infectious complications. Dementia, for instance, increases the risk of developing delirium six times, while with benzodiazepine, the change of delirium occurring is just three times higher.

Based on the review of studies on ICU delirium risk factors, it is reasonable to divide them into three groups: 1) premorbid factors (demographic factors and underlying diseases), 2) acutely developing conditions, and 3) medications or interventions during treatment. The first group includes predisposing factors (for the most part), while the second and third groups embrace the triggers. The most common premorbid factors are being aged over 70 years, living in a nursing home, visual and/or hearing impairment, depressive disorders, dementia, congestive heart failure, renal failure, liver failure, use of psychotropic drugs, and malnutrition. In this study, delirium was linked to visual and hearing impairments, as well as malnutrition (MNA-SF). No correlation was found between delirium and the age of patients. However, there was a statistically significant association of delirium with frailty (Edmonton Frail Scale) and fall risk factors (Stratify Scale). This trend may indicate higher importance of biological, rather than calendar, age. It was not possible to establish a link between delirium and living in a nursing home, as only two patients were enrolled in such places. The percentage of widowed subjects was higher among patients with delirium than those without it, although the difference was not statistically significant. There were no sex-based differences between patients with or without delirium.

A statistically significant association was found between delirium and underlying diseases, such as acute kidney failure, chronic kidney failure, and respiratory failure. Furthermore, there was a statistically significant relationship with the number of accompanying diseases.

The second group of risk factors includes hypo/hyperglycemia, hypo/hypernatremia, hypo/hyperthyroidism, hypothermia, fever, severe infection, sepsis, hypoxemia, severe pain syndrome, and BUN/creatinine ratio ≥ 18 μmol/L. Of those factors, delirium correlated with hypo/hypernatremia.

The third group of risk factors encompasses the use of medications with anticholinergic, dopaminergic, sedative, and narcotic effects and treatment interventions (eg, physical fixation, tube feeding, urinary catheter, gas tube, central venous catheter). The present study failed to establish a statistically significant association between medication intake and delirium. Treatment interventions, however, were not the case. The results revealed a strong relationship with these interventions: nasogastric intubation, urinary catheterization, endotracheal intubation, and invasive mechanical ventilation.

Overall, the present study confirmed the role of some previously discussed factors in the development of ICU delirium in elderly patients. At the same time, the study has several limitations that should be noted. First, the study sample includes non-surgical patients, not to mention that the sample size is small. Second, studies took place in just one medical institution. The focus was limited to the relationship between delirium and risk factors. The nature and size of the sample do not allow identifying all significant associations with delirium.

Conclusion
Delirium can be successfully diagnosed using the CAM/CAM-ICU diagnostic algorithm. The aetiology of delirium is multifactorial, meaning that a single cause cannot be identified. The present study provided evidence on the role of some predisposing and triggering factors in the occurrence of delirium in senior patients in ED and ICU. Risk factors for developing delirium in ED and ICU patients were divided into three groups: 1) premorbid factors; 2) acutely developing conditions; 3) medicines and medical procedures. As regards factors in group 1, delirium was related to impaired vision and hearing, malnutrition, frailty, and fall risk factors. There was also a statistically significant association between delirium and acute renal failure, chronic renal failure, respiratory failure, and comorbidity count. A strong relationship was seen between delirium and hypo/hypernatremia (group 2 factor). Finally, the paper revealed a statistically significant association with these group 3 factors: nasogastric intubation, urine catheterization, tracheal intubation, and invasive mechanical ventilation. There is a need to dwell further into risk factors for delirium in elderly patients.
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