Laparoscopic Surgery and Robot-Assisted Surgery for Colorectal Cancer With Persistent Descending Mesocolon
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Abstract

Background: Persistent descending mesocolon (PDM) is a case of colonic replacement and adhesion as a result of abnormal fixation of the gastrointestinal tract. In laparoscopic surgery, it is performed when the patient is in an unconscious state. However, laparoscopic surgery, especially robot-assisted surgery, may necessarily need to devise surgical procedures such as anatomical recognition and adhesion detachment. We herein report three patients with PDM that were treated with arthroscopic surgery at our hospital.

Case presentation: Patient 1: a 73-year-old man with sigmoid colon cancer. Laparoscopic sigmoidectomy was performed, and there were no problems encountered (such as difficulty in recognizing the anatomical location) other than adhesion detachment. Robot-assisted surgery was performed to the other two patients. Patient 2: a 62-year-old man with lower rectal cancer and underwent an intersphincteric resection. Patient 3: a 76-year-old man with lower rectal cancer and underwent Hartmann's operation. The surgery duration of these patients took longer, the same with robot-assisted surgeries without PDM.

Conclusions: Robot-assisted surgeries have a large magnifying effect on delicately removing adhesions, which is a characteristic of PDM. Also, some parts are difficult to grasp as a whole, and it takes time to recognize adhesions anatomically and to grasp the whole image. Here, we report our experience with laparoscopic surgery and robot-assisted surgery for left-sided colorectal cancer with PDM.

Background

Persistent descending mesocolon (PDM) is a fixation abnormality in which the sigmoid colon displaces to the right and adheres to the small mesentery and right pelvis. During laparotomy, simple adhesions have been identified and dealt with. However, laparoscopic surgery is a difficult operation, especially in recognizing the anatomy; thus, it requires attention. Here, we report laparoscopic surgery and robot-assisted surgery for left colorectal cancer with PDM.

Case Presentation

Patient 1

Patient 1 was a 73-year-old man with sigmoid colon cancer. The patient had no history of laparotomy. The patient's body weight, height, and BMI were 53 kg, 166 cm, and 19.5 kg/m², respectively. Laparoscopic sigmoidectomy was performed. The sigmoid colon extensively adhered to the left abdominal wall to the left pelvic wall, and the small intestinal mesentery at the terminal ileum extensively adhered to the sigmoid colon (Fig. 1a). The descending colon was not fixed and was located slightly to the left of the midline (Fig. 1b). The adhesions were peeled off as much as possible. The medial approach proceeded from the vicinity of promontorium, which is normal anatomy, to the cranial side. Because it was difficult to confirm the blood vessel was running, lymph node dissection was performed near the root of the inferior mesenteric artery (IMA). The blood vessel was ligated and cut off on the distal
side of the left colic artery (LCA) to maintain blood flow. The operation time was 219 min, and the blood loss was 21 mL.

**Patient 2**

Patient 2 was a 62-year-old with lower rectal cancer. The patient had no history of laparotomy. The patient's body weight, height, and BMI were 62 kg, 161 cm, 22.5 kg/m$^2$. Robot-assisted intersphincteric resection was performed. Because of an abnormal fixation, the descending colon was located slightly to the left of the midline. No descending colon was present in the left flank, and the peritoneal organ, left gonad, and ureter were visible (Fig. 2a). The sigmoid colon is displaced to the right and adhered to the appendix, ascending colon, and terminal ileum. The adhelysis was laparoscopically performed before the docking to the robotic system (Fig. 2b,c). Although it was difficult to remove the adhesions due to abnormal fixation, the IMA root was identified and then ligated and cut at the same site. The operation time was 525 min, and the blood loss was 80 mL.

**Patient 3**

Patient 3 was a 76-year-old man with lower rectal cancer. The patient's body weight, height, and BMI were 52 kg, 155 cm, 22.0 kg/m$^2$. The patient had a combination of esophageal cancer and lung cancer. The patient underwent robotic Hartmann's operation with lymph node dissection in the D2 range. It was not fixed because of an abnormal fixation of the descending colon. Moreover, the sigmoid colon was extensively and strongly adherent to the small intestinal mesentery of the midline (Fig. 3a,b). Because of the wide range of adhesions, the robot was docked after the adhesions were detached as much as possible under the laparoscope. After dissection of the adhesions, the anatomy around the IMA was recognized, and then a rectal dissection was performed first. After confirming LCA, sigmoid artery (SA), and superior rectal artery (SRA) from IMA, blood flow was blocked at the planned incision site with vascular clamp forceps; indocyanine green (ICG) fluorescence imaging was used to confirm that there was no blood flow disorder in the remaining planned intestinal tract (Fig. 3c,d). A retroperitoneal incision was made to expose the IMA root from the cranial side of the promontorium, which is normal anatomy. However, because it was difficult to identify the tissue layer in the retroperitoneum, we changed to the lateral approach midway through, identified the gonadal arteries and veins and the ureter from the outside, and performed ablation from the outside. The operation time was 415 min, and the blood loss was 25 mL.

In all patients, we confirmed that the intestinal blood flow was good by using ICG fluorescence imaging before anastomosis.

**Discussion And Conclusions**

An abnormal fixation of the mesentery in the fetal period can cause colonic malpositioning but is rare in the left colon. The mesentery is not fixed until the fourth month of pregnancy, but the mesentery of the ascending and descending colons is fixed to the retroperitoneum during the fifth month of pregnancy."
PDM is thought to prevent attachment of the midcolon to the parietal peritoneum during this development.\textsuperscript{1–3} Imaging findings are characterized by displacement findings from the left colon to the right side\textsuperscript{1–3} due to immobilization; however, there are reports of right sigmoid colon.\textsuperscript{4} Morgenstern\textsuperscript{2} classified PDM into transverse colon-deficient type, behavioral-variant type, and paracecal type. The cases experienced this time are considered to be classified into left displacement type and paracecal type. In our case, because adhesions are often highly advanced, careful separation operation is necessary to avoid collateral damage during adhesion exfoliation.

Also, the IMA branch may be a characteristic of the radial branches of LCA, SA, and SRA. The LCA forms part of the limbic artery, and the LCA and other limbic arteries may be near each other.\textsuperscript{5,6} Laparotomy had a wide field of view, and the operation was performed while grasping the entire image, so there was no problem. However, laparoscopic surgery has a limited field of view, so anatomical grasping and recognition may be difficult; therefore, caution is required. Also, as mentioned previously, the anomaly is a characteristic of IMA; thus, more caution is required. With the support of robots, the proximity effect and magnification effect are more effective than laparoscopic surgery; however, the observation field of view becomes narrower depending on the site than laparoscopic surgery, making it difficult to grasp the overall image. This can take additional time, causing unintended bleeding and complications such as organ damage. In the experienced case, we tried to recognize blood vessel travel as much as possible. There were also reports that performing radioisotope could assess colonic blood flow and reduce suture insufficiency.\textsuperscript{7,8} ICG fluorescence imaging showed good intestinal blood flow in all cases. It was confirmed that there were no complications due to impaired blood flow, such as an anastomotic leak, intestinal necrosis, or colostomy. Confirmation of blood flow during surgery is very useful for patients where there is a high possibility of abnormal blood vessels, such as this case. In the case where the LCA and the peripheral artery are close to each other, as described previously, it is possible to prevent the peripheral artery from being accidentally cut off by confirming the blood flow running. In the case of arthroscopic surgery for colorectal cancer with PDM, consider the indication of tumor resection, pay close attention to anatomical abnormalities, and select surgical procedures and approaches that do not cause complications. This will be necessary in each case.

**Abbreviations**

PDM: Persistent descending mesocolon
IMA: Inferior mesenteric artery
LCA: Left colic artery
SA: Sigmoid artery
SRA: Superior rectal artery
ICG: Indocyanine green
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Figures

Figure 1

(a) The ileum extensively adhered to the sigmoid mesocolon. (b) The findings after adhelysis showed that the descending colon was not fixed to the left flank and was located in the midline.
Figure 2

(a) No descending colon is found in the left lower abdomen, and ureters (arrowhead) and gonadal vessels (arrow) can be confirmed. (b) The sigmoid colon (arrowhead) was displaced from the midline to the left. (c) The sigmoid colon (arrowhead) was strongly adherent to the appendix (arrow) and ascending colon.
Figure 3

(a, b) The descending colon (arrowhead) was not fixed and was displaced midway. The descending colon and sigmoid colon were strongly adherent to the small mesentery. (c, d) A blood vessel clip was attached to the planned site of blood vessel dissection, and it was confirmed by ICG fluorescence imaging that there was no abnormality in intestinal blood flow.