Diversity and infectivity of the RNA virome among different cryptic species of an agriculturally important insect vector: whitefly *Bemisia tabaci*
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A large number of insect-specific viruses (ISVs) have recently been discovered, mostly from hematophagous insect vectors because of their medical importance, but little attention has been paid to important plant virus vectors such as the whitefly *Bemisia tabaci*, which exists as a complex of cryptic species. Public SRA datasets of *B. tabaci* and newly generated transcriptomes of three Chinese populations are here comprehensively investigated to characterize the whitefly viromes of different cryptic species. Twenty novel ISVs were confidently identified, mostly associated with a particular cryptic species while different cryptic species harbored one or more core ISVs. Microinjection experiments showed that some ISVs might cross-infect between the two invasive whitefly cryptic species, Middle East Asia Minor 1 (MEAM1) and Mediterranean (MED), but others appeared to have a more restricted host range, reflecting the possibility of distinct long-term coevolution of these ISVs and whitefly hosts. Moreover, analysis of the profiles of virus-derived small-interfering RNAs indicated that some of the ISVs can successfully replicate in whitefly and the antiviral RNAi pathway of *B. tabaci* is actively involved in response to ISV infections. Our study provides a comprehensive analysis of the RNA virome, the distinct relationships and cross-cryptic species infectivity of ISVs in an agriculturally important insect vector.
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INTRODUCTION

Viruses are the most abundant microbes on earth, and most of those previously discovered and studied viruses are pathogens causing diseases in their plant/animal hosts. Over the past decade, the development of metagenomics next-generation sequencing (mNGS) has led to the discovery of a large number of novel RNA viruses, mostly from arthropod insects. These viruses, known as insect-specific viruses (ISVs), are confined exclusively to insects and are unable to replicate in vertebrates or vertebrates cells. The majority of ISVs are believed to have close relationship within their host insect. Most of the ISVs detected are members of particular virus taxa, including *Baculoviridae*, *Paroviridae*, *Flaviviridae*, *Ascoviridae*, *Togaviridae*, *Bunyavirales* (formerly *Bunyavirus*), *Rhabdoviridae*, and a novel group described as negeviruses. Accumulating evidence has suggested that ISVs might be ancestors of arthropod-borne viruses (arboviruses) and that the presence of ISVs may influence the physiology of the host insect as well as its competence as a vector of arboviruses. A number of ISVs have been discovered in hematophagous insects such as mosquitoes, ticks, and fleas due to their medical importance. Several ISVs have recently been reported in some important plant virus vectors: an iflavirus in a planthopper, nege/kita-like viruses in aphids, and a reovirus in a leafhopper. However, considering their abundance in hematophagous insects, there has been comparatively little investigation into the diversity of ISVs in plant virus vectors.

With the aid of this unbiased mNGS technology, a diverse assemblage of novel viruses were revealed in various hosts, including insects. The discovered viruses are often shared among phylogenetically related host species, perhaps because of their similar ecology and food sources, as well as selective pressures from host immune response and microbial interactions. A comparative analysis of the virome in mosquitoes indicated that the majority of the identified viruses were mosquito species specific, and that both *Aedes aegypti* and *Culex quinquefasciatus* were associated with a number of stable eukaryotic viruses, respectively. In addition, a recent virome investigation in waterfowl and shorebird communities identified both multi-host generalist viruses as well as those that appear to be host-specific, demonstrating the importance of using multi-host, multi-virus systems in the study of virus ecology.

The whitefly *Bemisia tabaci* (Hemiptera: *Aleyrodidae*) causes substantial economic losses worldwide and poses a serious threat to global food security through direct feeding, excreting honeydew that promotes sooty mold, and more importantly, transmitting devastating pathogenic plant viruses. Of these cryptic species, Middle East Asia Minor 1 (MEAM1; previously biotype B) and Mediterranean (MED; previously biotype Q) have the most important commercial impact due to their ability to spread globally, replace native whiteflies, and transmit economically important plant viruses. Several studies suggest that many begomoviruses are transmitted by various *B. tabaci* cryptic species with different efficiencies, as for instance the differential efficiency of transmission of tomato...
yellow leaf curl virus and tomato yellow leaf curl Sardinia virus by MEAM1 and MED. 

Despite the increasing number of ISVs discovered in arthropod insects using mNGS, as far as we know, the diversity complex of ISVs in insect pests of agricultural importance has not yet been investigated. In this study, reassembly and extensive analysis of RNA viromes was performed with publicly available datasets (NCBI Sequence Read Archive, NCBI SRA) of B. tabaci distributed globally, as well as with transcriptomes generated as part of this work. Our results reveal the presence of 32 previously unreported RNA viruses in different cryptic species of B. tabaci. Analysis of sRNA profiles suggests that sRNA-based antiviral immunity is actively involved in the response of whiteflies to most of the ISVs. Comparative analysis and further experimental study confirmed that some ISVs might be specific to a particular cryptic species of whitefly whereas others may have a broader host range, highlighting the complex long-term coevolution between the different whitefly cryptic species and the ISVs that infect them.

RESULTS

Transcriptome assembly and assignment of whitefly cryptic species

The 41 selected datasets of B. tabaci from the SRA repository were reassembled and the N50 of each library (assembled with Trinity) is listed in Table 1. These datasets were submitted by labs from seven countries in Asia, Europe, and America for various experimental purposes (Supplementary Fig. 1 and Table 1). The cryptic species of each assembled dataset was determined by homology search against the mtCOI database. The results indicated that the majority of the whitefly datasets belong to the invasive cryptic species MEAM1 or MED, and the other whitefly cryptic species were identified include sub-Saharan Africa 1 (SSA1), New World 1 (NW1), Asia1, and Asia7 (Table 1). Meanwhile, the whitefly transcriptomes of NBU-B, NBU-Q (lab cultures) and FY-Q (field sample) were also sequenced, assembled, and assigned to the cryptic species as listed in Table 1.

Diversity of RNA viromes discovered in B. tabaci

Across all of the assembled libraries and using the strict criteria described above, 32 novel RNA viruses (20 ISVs and 12 plant/fungal virus-like contigs) (Table 2), and a new isolate of Potato virus S (PVS) were identified in B. tabaci. Based on the taxonomy of the most closely related reference viruses, the 20 newly discovered RNA viruses were putative members of or related to the following orders/families: Lissipiridae (N = 2), Nidovirales (N = 1), Flaviiridae (N = 1), Negevirus (newly proposed taxon, N = 4), Virgaviridae (N = 2), Picornavirales (N = 5), Orthomyxoviridae (N = 3), and Totiviridae (N = 2). The genomic structures and phylogeny of these ISVs, together with related reference viruses, are shown in Figs. 1 and 2. Two novel negative-sense single-stranded RNA (ssRNA) viral genomes were identified, and clearly cluster together with other viruses in the genus Aririvirus, which mainly infect invertebrates such as insects, spiders, and nematodes (Figs. 1a and 2a). Positive-sense ssRNA virus discovered in whitefly including a nido-like virus (Figs. 1b and 2b), a flavivirus (Figs. 1c and 2c), a negevirus and three nege-like viruses (Figs. 1d and 2d), two virga-like viruses (Figs. 1e and 2e), and a dicistro-like virus (Figs. 1f and 2e), and four ifa-like viruses (Figs. 1g and 2e). Furthermore, we also detected two toto-like dsRNA viruses (Figs. 1h and 2f), and three closely related segmented negative ssRNA quaranjaviruses (Figs. 1i and 2g and Supplementary Fig. 2) in B. tabaci, suggesting the high diversity of ISVs in whiteflies. Besides the 20 novel ISVs described above, we also identified 12 diverse plant/fungal virus-like contigs in the whiteflies collected from field (sample FY-Q), and a new isolate of PVS in the whitefly dataset CU-B1 (Supplementary Fig. 3). Dataset FY-Q contained a total of six benny-like viruses and six bromo-like viruses with relatively high abundance (coverage) (Table 2 and Supplementary Fig. 4). Detailed descriptions for each of the identified novel virus are provided in Supplementary Result 1. In addition, tissue expression analysis of ISVs by quantitative reverse transcription PCR (qRT-PCR) showed that Bemisia tabaci quaranjavirus 1 (BQuV1) was ubiquitously expressed in all tissues of NBU-B, whereas Bemisia tabaci virga-like virus 2 (BtVLV2) was mostly accumulated in the gut of NBU-Q (Supplementary Fig. 5).

Abundance and association of whitefly ISVs with host cryptic species

To illustrate the abundance, prevalence, and association of whitefly ISVs with host cryptic species, a phylogenetic tree was reconstructed based on the whitefly mtCOI sequence and its relationship with the RNA virome of each whitefly dataset was investigated. All of the whitefly datasets separate distinctly and form as five groups, corresponding to the five cryptic species of whitefly MEAM1 (N = 14), MED (N = 18), Asia1I (N = 3), NW1 (N = 3), and SSA1 (N = 3). Two of the whitefly datasets, CAAS-BQ (SRR4293752) and QAU-BQ (SRR7829909), contain a mixture of whiteflies cryptic species from both the MEAM1 and MED clades as indicated in Fig. 3a.

Analysis of ISVs composition (percentage) indicated that most of the whitefly populations (datasets) harbor stable dominant (core) ISVs which are obviously associated with a particular cryptic species of B. tabaci. More than 95% of the viral reads are derived from Bemisia tabaci quaranjavirus 3 (BQuV3) for the cryptic species of SSA1, while the dominant virus for the cryptic species NW1 and Asia1 are, respectively, Bemisia tabaci negevirus 1 (BtNeV1) and Bemisia tabaci ifa-like virus 1 (BtIFLV1) (Fig. 3b). Although most of the datasets of these three whitefly cryptic species were submitted by the Hebrew University (Jerusalem, Israel) with limited numbers of datasets, the high similarity of ISVs composition derived from another SSA1 dataset (CU-S1) submitted by Cornell University (New York, USA) with HU-S2 and HU-S3 strongly supports the hypothesis that there is a stable and distinct group of core viruses in each of the three whitefly cryptic species (Fig. 3b). For the whitefly clade MEAM1, the dominant ISV is apparently BtQuV1 in all of the datasets (excluding CAAS-BQ and QAU-BQ) irrespective of the whitefly origin, and Bemisia tabaci toto-like virus 1 (BtToLV1) is also prevalent in most of the MEAM1 whitefly datasets (Fig. 3b). On the other hand, the RNA virome and core viruses in MED are more diverse than in the other cryptic species and the ISVs of MED are related to the geographical location of the whitefly populations as well as the clusters in the phylogenetic tree (Fig. 3b). BtVLV2 is the most prevalent and dominant virus in most of the MED datasets from China. But the two datasets from Zhejiang University (Hangzhou, China) (ZJU-Q1 and ZJU-Q2), both have Bemisia tabaci arivivirus 1 (BtArV1) as the dominant virus. The other two MED datasets from Europe, UC-Q1 (Heraklion, Greece) and UE-Q1 (Penryn, UK), group together and harbor another specific core virus Bemisia tabaci quaranjavirus 2 (BQuV2), whereas the three MED datasets from Hebrew University (Jerusalem, Israel) also contain distinctly different viral composition including Bemisia tabaci flavivirus 1 (BtFtV1), Bemisia tabaci picorna-like virus 1 (BtPicLV1), and Bemisia tabaci picorna-like virus 2 (BtPicLV2) (Fig. 3b). Principal component analysis (PCA) also confirms the relationship between the core viruses and the various cryptic species (Supplementary Fig. 6). It is worth mentioning that in the datasets with mixed cryptic species, CAAS-BQ has a similar RNA virome composition to the other MED datasets, whereas QAU-BQ clearly has the combined core viruses of both MEM1 (BtQuV1) and MED (BtVLV2) (Fig. 3b).

The heat map shown in Fig. 3c consolidates the above observation that the RNA virome diversity is more complex in MED than in the other four cryptic species. Most of the whitefly
| Library   | BioProject accession | Run accession number | Cryptic species identification | University/Institute                      | Location                | Brief description                                                                 | Total base (Gb) | N50   |
|-----------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-------|
| ZJU-B1    | PRJNA407873          | SRR6117406           | MEAM1                         | Zhejiang University                     | Hangzhou, China         | Healthy whitefly (gut)                                                            | 7.7             | 2690  |
| ZJU-B2    | PRJNA407873          | SRR6117407           | MEAM1                         |                                          |                         | TYLCV infected whitefly (gut)                                                     | 7.7             | 2844  |
| ZJU-B3    | PRJNA282153          | SRR2001504           | MEAM1                         |                                          |                         | Whitefly nymph                                                                   | 4.7             | 1076  |
| ZJU-B4    | PRJNA255986          | SRR1523522           | MEAM1                         |                                          |                         | Whitefly adult                                                                   | 4.7             | 1462  |
| ZJU-Q1    | PRJNA79601           | SRR1104130           | MED                           |                                          |                         | Whitefly adult                                                                   | 10.4            | 2009  |
| ZJU-Q2    | PRJNA338731          | SRR4039449           | MED                           |                                          |                         | Whitefly fed on cotton and tobacco                                                | 18.6            | 1111  |
| ZJU-Q3    | PRJNA298415          | SRR4293755           | MEAM1                         | Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences | Beijing, China          | Whitefly egg                                                                     | 7.1             | 2942  |
| CAAS-B1   | PRJNA298415          | SRR4293724           | MEAM1                         |                                          |                         | Whitefly male adult                                                              | 4.1             | 1557  |
| CAAS-B2   | PRJNA298415          | SRR4293725           | MEAM1                         |                                          |                         | Whitefly female adult                                                            | 5.1             | 2142  |
| CAAS-B3   | PRJNA298415          | SRR4293748           | MED                           |                                          |                         | Whitefly male adult                                                              | 5.6             | 1474  |
| CAAS-Q1   | PRJNA298415          | SRR2619082           | MED                           |                                          |                         | Unknown                                                                         | 5.6             | 1475  |
| CAAS-Q2   | PRJNA298415          | SRR4293752           | MEAM1 and MED                  |                                          |                         | Whitefly male adult                                                              | 5.5             | 1779  |
| CAAS-B4   | PRJNA298415          | SRR4293724           | MEAM1                         |                                          |                         | Imidacloprid treatment                                                            | 6.3             | 2508  |
| CAAS-Q3   | PRJNA298415          | SRR726458            | MED                           |                                          |                         | Imidacloprid treatment                                                            | 5.5             | 3065  |
| CAAS-B5   | PRJNA344376          | SRR4426118           | MEAM1                         |                                          |                         | Whitefly fed on cotton                                                            | 6.2             | 648   |
| CAAS-Q4   | PRJNA344376          | SRR4426099           | MED                           |                                          |                         | Whitefly fed on cotton                                                            | 5.5             | 2308  |
| CAAS-Q5   | PRJNA276952          | SRR2895294           | MED                           |                                          |                         | Different plant host and whitefly sex strains                                    | 5.2             | 1114  |
| CAAS-Q6   | PRJNA276952          | SRR723126            | MEAM1                         |                                          |                         | Sexual differences of whitefly                                                    | 4.1             | 1166  |
| CAUS-B7   | PRJNA276952          | SRR5723126           | MED                           |                                          |                         | Whitefly antenna                                                                 | 5.0             | 1186  |
| CAUS-Q1   | PRJNA417353          | SRR6262725           | MED                           | China Agricultural University           | Beijing, China          | Wolbachia related study                                                           | 8.3             | 1128  |
| CAS-Q1    | PRJNA416896          | SRR11092386          | MED                           | Chinese Academy of Sciences              | Beijing, China          | Whitefly head                                                                    | 6.2             | 2083  |
| QAU-BQ    | PRJNA490883          | SRR7629909           | MEAM1 and MED                  | Qingdao Agricultural University         | Qingdao, China          | Whiteflies co-infected by TYLCV and ToCV                                         | 5.2             | 2055  |
| QAU-Q1    | PRJNA490883          | SRR1930109           | MED                           |                                          |                         | Interaction between whitefly and plant hosts                                     | 5.0             | 2141  |
| NIAS-Q1   | PRJNA276952          | SRR918506            | MED                           | National Institute of Agrobiological Sciences | Tsukuba, Japan        | Study of mitochondrial transporters                                              | 3.4             | 2430  |
| NABI-A1   | PRJNA232733          | SRR1159208           | MED                           | National Agri-Food Biotechnology Institute | Ajitgarh, India         | Comprehensive transcriptome analysis                                              | 8.5             | 2237  |
| UE-Q1     | PRJNA232733          | SRR1337902, ERRR1337901, ERRR1337900 | MED                          | University of Exeter                     | Penryn, UK               | Study of insecticide resistance                                                  | 11.4            | 2851  |
| UC-Q1     | PRJNA2393094         | SRR2174325           | MED                           | University of Crete                      | Heraklion, Greece       | Study of insecticide resistance                                                  | 7.8             | 1877  |
| CU-B1     | PRJNA312467          | SRR179979            | MEAM1                         | Cornell University                      | New York, USA           | Fed on tomato                                                                    | 4.2             | 1339  |
| CU-Q1     | PRJNA312467          | SRR6313815           | MED                           | SSA1                                     |                          | Whitefly adults                                                                  | 7.4             | 597   |
| HU-Q1     | PRJNA427517          | SRR6432772           | MED—France                     | The Hebrew University                    | Jerusalem, Israel       | Differential expression in whitefly species                                      | 2.2             | 1036  |
| HU-Q2     | PRJNA427517          | SRR6432775           | MED—France                     |                                          |                         |                                                                                 | 2.1             | 989   |
| HU-Q3     | PRJNA427517          | SRR6432776           | MED—France                     |                                          |                         |                                                                                 | 2.0             | 878   |
| HU-S1     | PRJNA427517          | SRR6432785           | SSA—Tanzania                   |                                          |                         |                                                                                 | 2.2             | 1560  |
| Location     | Brief description | University/Institute | Cryptic species identification | Total base (Gb) | Run accession number | Cytobi Project accession | ISVs detected |
|--------------|------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| HU-S1        | SSA1 — Tanzania  | SSA1 — Tanzania      | SSA1 — Tanzania               | 2.1             | SRR632229            | PR2004013051           | SSA1          |
| HU-S2        | SSA1 — Tanzania  | SSA1 — Tanzania      | SSA1 — Tanzania               | 2.1             | SRR632232            | PR2004013051           | SSA1          |
| HU-S3        | SSA1 — Tanzania  | SSA1 — Tanzania      | SSA1 — Tanzania               | 2.1             | SRR632222            | PR2004013051           | SSA1          |
| HU-A1        | Assail — Assail  | Assail — Assail      | Assail — Assail               | 2.1             | SRR632276            | PR2004013051           | SSA1          |
| HU-A2        | Assail — Assail  | Assail — Assail      | Assail — Assail               | 2.1             | SRR632276            | PR2004013051           | SSA1          |
| HU-A3        | Assail — Assail  | Assail — Assail      | Assail — Assail               | 2.1             | SRR632276            | PR2004013051           | SSA1          |
| HU-N1        | NW1 — Brazil     | NW1 — Brazil         | NW1 — Brazil                  | 2.3             | SRR632280            | PR2004013051           | SSA1          |
| HU-N2        | NW1 — Brazil     | NW1 — Brazil         | NW1 — Brazil                  | 2.3             | SRR632280            | PR2004013051           | SSA1          |
| HU-N3        | NW1 — Brazil     | NW1 — Brazil         | NW1 — Brazil                  | 2.3             | SRR632280            | PR2004013051           | SSA1          |
| HU-N4        | NW1 — Brazil     | NW1 — Brazil         | NW1 — Brazil                  | 2.3             | SRR632280            | PR2004013051           | SSA1          |
| HU-N5        | NW1 — Brazil     | NW1 — Brazil         | NW1 — Brazil                  | 2.3             | SRR632280            | PR2004013051           | SSA1          |
| HU-N6        | NW1 — Brazil     | NW1 — Brazil         | NW1 — Brazil                  | 2.3             | SRR632280            | PR2004013051           | SSA1          |
| HU-N7        | NW1 — Brazil     | NW1 — Brazil         | NW1 — Brazil                  | 2.3             | SRR632280            | PR2004013051           | SSA1          |
| NBU-B        | Lab culture of this study | Ningbo University | SSA1 — Tanzania               | 2.3             | SRR632280            | PR2004013051           | SSA1          |
| NBU-Q        | Lab culture of this study | Ningbo University | SSA1 — Tanzania               | 2.3             | SRR632280            | PR2004013051           | SSA1          |
| FY-Q         | Field investigation | Fuyang China       | SSA1 — Tanzania               | 2.3             | SRR632280            | PR2004013051           | SSA1          |

ISVs (N = 13) appeared to be MED-specific, while some of the other ISVs are present specifically in MEAM1 (BtToLV1 and BtQuV1), NW1 (BtNeV1), Asial1 (BtFlLV1), or SSA1 (BtQuV3). Bemisia tabaci flav-like virus 1 (BtFlLV1) is present in the datasets of both MEAM1 (N = 5) and MED (N = 1), whereas BtPiLV2 is present in most datasets of the four whitefly cryptic species from Hebrew University (Jerusalem, Israel) (Fig. 3c), implying that BtPiLV2 may have a broader host range amongst the different whitefly cryptic species. It is also interesting that Bemisia tabaci totti-like virus 2 (BtToLV2) and Bemisia tabaci dicistro-like virus 1 (BtDiLV1) are only present in datasets ZJU-Q2 and CAU-Q1, respectively, and the three nege-like viruses of B. tabaci (BtNeLV1-3) were exclusively discovered in the field sample FY-Q (Fig. 3c). In addition, the three phylogenetically related quaranjaviruses (BtQuLV1-3) (Fig. 2g) were found in three cryptic species of whitefly MEAM1, MED, and SSA1, respectively. BtQuV1 and BtQuV3 were identified in each dataset of their respective cryptic species, whereas BtQuV2 was only found in two MED whitefly datasets originating from Europe (Fig. 3c).

**Experimental evaluation of the ability of whitefly ISVs to cross-infect the cryptic species MEAM1 and MED**

Since most of whitefly ISVs were identified from only one specific cryptic species, we next tested whether the whitefly ISVs of the cryptic species MEAM1 and MED were able to cross-infect. The whitefly population NBU-B (representing of cryptic species MEAM1) and NBU-Q (representing of cryptic species MED) in our lab were used for this study through microinjection as described in “Methods” and Fig. 4a. NBU-B contains only one virus BtQuV1 that is the dominant virus in MEAM1, while the four ISVs, BtPiLV1, Bemisia tabaci arilvirus 2 (BtArV2), BtViLV2, and Bemisia tabaci virga-like virus 1 (BtViLV1) present in NBU-Q appear to be “MED-specific” (Fig. 3). Results of RT-PCR and qRT-PCR detection indicated that BtQuV1 could barely be detected in NBU-Q microinjected with whitefly homogenate (NBU-B) 0, 3, 6, and 12 DPI. In addition, nearly no viral RNA of BtQuV1 was detected in the F1 of injected NBU-Q, confirming that the core virus of MEAM1 (BtQuV1) might not successfully replicate and be transmitted transovarially in a MED whitefly population (Fig. 4b and Supplementary Fig. 7e). When the four “MED-specific” ISVs were injected into NBU-B, BtPiLV1 replicated well with increasing accumulation of detected viral RNAs, and the virus could also be easily identified in the F1 of NBU-B whiteflies, providing the possibility of its ability to replicate and of transovarial transmission in MEAM1 whiteflies (Fig. 4b and Supplementary Fig. 7a). BtArV2 and BtViLV2 were also present at 3, 6, and 12 DPI in NBU-B, providing evidence of replication in MEAM1 but they could hardly be detected in the F1 of NBU-B whiteflies, suggesting that they might not be transmitted in a transovarial manner (Fig. 4b and Supplementary Fig. 7b, c). For BtViLV1, only several weak bands were detected (likely the injected virus) in some of the NBU-B whiteflies and no virus could be detected in the F1 generation, indicating that BtViLV1 may be MED-specific and unable to replicate in MEAM1 whiteflies (Fig. 4b and Supplementary Fig. 7d).

**Analysis of virus-derived siRNAs in B. tabaci**

To further explore siRNA-based antiviral immunity in B. tabaci, virus-derived siRNAs (vsiRNAs) from the whitefly datasets of NBU-B (BtQuLV1), NBU-Q (BtViLV1, BtViLV2, BtArV2, and BtPiLV1), and FY-Q (BtViLV1, BtViLV2, BtArV2, BtNeLV1-3, BtBeLV1-6, and BtBromovirus1-6) were comprehensively characterized. For BtQuLV1 in the whitefly dataset NBU-B, siRNAs derived from the five segments are mostly 22 nt long. vsiRNAs are almost equally derived from the sense and antisense strands of the viral genomic RNA in segments PB1 and NP, whereas more sense vsiRNAs are detected for PB2, PA, and HA (Fig. 5a). The other three ISVs (BtViLV1, BtViLV2, BtArV2) in both datasets of NBU-Q and FY-Q, and BtPiLV1 in...
Table 2. Novel viruses identified in whiteflies from publicly available databases and field samples.

| Tentative virus names                  | NCBI accession | Library     | Length (nt) | Coverage | E-value | Homologous virus (genome size, nt) | Protein identities | Virus family | Virus genus | Homologous virus reference |
|----------------------------------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|----------|---------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------|-----------------------------|
| Bemisia tabaci arlivirus 1 (BtArV1)    | MW256666       | ZJU-Q2      | 13,972      | 965x     | 0.0     | Lishi Spider Virus 2 (9924)        | 30%               | Lispiviridae | Arlivirus   | 60                          |
| Bemisia tabaci arlivirus 2 (BtArV2)    | MW256667       | CAU-Q1      | 13,118      | 250x     | 0.0     | Hubei odonate virus 10 (14,440)    | 28%               | Lispiviridae | Arlivirus   | 61                          |
| Bemisia tabaci nido-like virus 1 (BtNiLV1) | MW256673 | CAAS-BQ    | 16,995      | 414x     | 4e−157  | Wuhan insect virus 19 (15,441)     | 33%               | Unassigned  | Unassigned | 61                          |
| Bemisia tabaci flavi-like virus 1 (BtFlLV1) | MW256672 | ZJU-Q2      | 16,802      | 22x      | 2e−161  | Bole tick virus 4 (16,248)         | 34%               | Flaviviridae | Unassigned | 62                          |
| Bemisia tabaci negevirus 1 (BtNeV1)    | MW256675       | HU-N1       | 6781        | 72x      | 0.0     | Loreto virus (9136)                | 41%               | Unassigned  | Unassigned | 63                          |
| Bemisia tabaci nege-like virus 1 (BtNeLV1) | MW256676 | FY-Q         | 9220        | 163x     | 3e−174  | Wuhan house centipede virus 1 (10,310) | 36%               | Unassigned  | Unassigned | 64                          |
| Bemisia tabaci nege-like virus 2 (BtNeLV2) | MW256677 | FY-Q         | 8226        | 46x      | 4e−170  | Big Cypress virus (9506)           | 36%               | Unassigned  | Unassigned | 65                          |
| Bemisia tabaci nege-like virus 3 (BtNeLV3) | MW256678 | FY-Q         | 8005        | 58x      | 4e−171  | Big Cypress virus (9506)           | 35%               | Unassigned  | Unassigned | 65                          |
| Bemisia tabaci virga-like virus 1 (BtViLV1) | MW256664 | ZJU-Q2      | 10,187      | 137x     | 0.0     | Hubei virga-like virus 1 (9141)    | 40%               | Virgaviridae | Virgaviridae | 61                          |
| Bemisia tabaci virga-like virus 2 (BtViLV2) | MW256665 | CAU-Q1      | 9535        | 3297x    | 3e−172  | Megagastignus ssRNA virus (10,187) | 35%               | Virgaviridae | Unassigned | 66                          |
| Bemisia tabaci dicistro-like virus 1 (BtDiLV1) | MW256674 | CAU-Q1      | 7201        | 125x     | 0.0     | Bundaberg bee virus 2 (7955)       | 84%               | Dicistroviridae | Unassigned | 67                          |
| Bemisia tabaci picorna-like virus 1 (BtPiLV1) | MW256668 | CAU-Q1      | 10,084      | 86x      | 1e−123  | Hubei tetragnatha maxillosa virus 2 (9763) | 27%               | Unassigned  | Unassigned | 61                          |
| Bemisia tabaci picorna-like virus 2 (BtPiLV2) | MW256669 | CAU-Q1      | 9184        | 50x      | 3e−137  | Sanxia water strider virus 8 (9166) | 38%               | Unassigned  | Unassigned | 61                          |
| Bemisia tabaci iflavirus 1 (BtiFLV1)    | MW256671       | HU-Q3       | 7812        | 78x      | 1e−165  | Nephila clavipes virus 1 (10,198)  | 29%               | Iflaviridae | Iflaviridae | 68                          |
| Bemisia tabaci ifla-like virus 1 (BtiFLV2) | MW256670 | HU-A3       | 8496        | 44x      | 1e−142  | Sanxia water strider virus 8 (9166) | 36%               | Iflaviridae | Iflaviridae | 61                          |
| Bemisia tabaci toti-like virus 1 (BtToLV1) | MW227222 | ZJU-B4      | 6677        | 26x      | 1.9e−118 | Cinculifer tenellus virus 1 (8086) | 34%               | Totiviridae | Unassigned | 69                          |
| Bemisia tabaci toti-like virus 2 (BtToLV2) | MW227223 | ZJU-Q2      | 7478        | 47x      | 2.1e−155 | Persimmon late virus (7475)        | 55%               | Totiviridae | Unassigned | 70                          |
| Bemisia tabaci Quaranjavirus 1 (BtQuV1) | MW256682       | ZJU-B3      | 2501        | 111x     | 1e−86   | Mason Creek virus (Seg. 1, PB2, 2485) | 28%               | Orthomyxoviridae | Quaranjavirus | 71                          |
|                                        |                |             | 2369        | 138x     | 3e−163  | Mason Creek virus (Seg. 2, PA, 2407) | 37%               | Orthomyxoviridae | Unassigned | 71                          |
|                                        |                |             | 2541        | 177x     | 7e−141  | Belhai orthomyxo-like virus 1 (Seg. 3, PB1, 2432) | 45%               | Orthomyxoviridae | Unassigned | 71                          |
|                                        |                |             | 1907        | 519x     | 7e−96   | Mason Creek virus (Seg. 4, NP, 1732) | 34%               | Orthomyxoviridae | Unassigned | 71                          |
|                                        |                |             | 1788        | 274x     | 1e−75   | Mason Creek virus (Seg. 5, HA, 1646) | 29%               | Orthomyxoviridae | Unassigned | 71                          |
| Tentative virus names | NCBI accession | Library | Length (nt) | Coverage | E-value | Homologous virus (genome size, nt) | Protein identities | Virus family | Virus genus | Homologous virus reference |
|-----------------------|----------------|---------|-------------|----------|---------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------------|
| Bemisia tabaci Quaranjavirus 2 (BtQuV2) | MW256687 | UC-Q1 | 2537 | 69x | 1e−84 | Mason Creek virus (Seg. 1, PB2, 2485) | 28% | Orthomyxoviridae | Quaranjavirus | 71 |
|                        | MW256685 |        | 2429 | 107x | 1e−162 | Mason Creek virus (Seg. 2, PA, 2407) | 37% |           |             |               |
|                        | MW256686 |        | 2424 | 223x | 0.0 | Mason Creek virus (Seg. 3, PB1, 2451) | 56% |           |             |               |
|                        | MW256688 |        | 1919 | 196x | 1e−93 | Mason Creek virus (Seg. 4, NP, 1732) | 34% |           |             |               |
|                        | MW256684 |        | 1823 | 290x | 1e−73 | Mason Creek virus (Seg. 5, HA, 1646) | 29% |           |             |               |
| Bemisia tabaci Quaranjavirus 3 (BtQuV3) | MW256692 | HU-S1 | 2506 | 33x | 3e−92 | Mason Creek virus (Seg. 1, PB2, 2485) | 29% | Orthomyxoviridae | Quaranjavirus | 71 |
|                        | MW256690 |        | 2370 | 63x | 2e−159 | Mason Creek virus (Seg. 2, PA, 2407) | 37% |           |             |               |
|                        | MW256691 |        | 2399 | 33x | 0.0 | Mason Creek virus (Seg. 3, PB1, 2451) | 56% |           |             |               |
|                        | MW256693 |        | 1922 | 124x | 2e−79 | Mason Creek virus (Seg. 4, NP, 1732) | 34% |           |             |               |
|                        | MW256689 |        | 1787 | 60x | 3e−73 | Mason Creek virus (Seg. 5, HA, 1646) | 29% |           |             |               |
| Bemisia tabaci beny-like virus 1 (BtBeLV1) | MW256694 | FY-Q | 6479 | 42x | 0.0 | Agaricus bisporus virus 8 (8280, partial) | 32% | Benyviridae | Benyvirus | N/A |
| Bemisia tabaci beny-like virus 2 (BtBeLV2) | MW256695 | FY-Q | 6369 | 23x | 0.0 | Agaricus bisporus virus 8 (8280, partial) | 32% | Benyviridae | Benyvirus | N/A |
| Bemisia tabaci beny-like virus 3 (BtBeLV3) | MW256696 | FY-Q | 6209 | 44x | 0.0 | Agaricus bisporus virus 8 (8280, partial) | 32% | Benyviridae | Benyvirus | N/A |
| Bemisia tabaci beny-like virus 4 (BtBeLV4) | MW256697 | FY-Q | 6343 | 219x | 0.0 | Agaricus bisporus virus 8 (8280, partial) | 31% | Benyviridae | Benyvirus | N/A |
| Bemisia tabaci beny-like virus 5 (BtBeLV5) | MW256698 | FY-Q | 6229 | 31x | 0.0 | Agaricus bisporus virus 8 (8280, partial) | 31% | Benyviridae | Benyvirus | N/A |
| Bemisia tabaci beny-like virus 6 (BtBeLV6) | MW256699 | FY-Q | 5127 | 96x | 0.0 | Hubei Beny-like virus 1 (4365) | 76% | Benyviridae | Benyvirus | 61 |
| Bemisia tabaci bromo-like virus 1 (BtBromoLV1) | MW256700 | FY-Q | 6158 | 181x | 1e−82 | Beihai charybdis crab virus 1 (6969) | 38% | Bromoviridae | Unassigned | 61 |
| Bemisia tabaci bromo-like virus 2 (BtBromoLV2) | MW256701 | FY-Q | 6215 | 523x | 2e−79 | Beihai charybdis crab virus 1 (6969) | 36% | Bromoviridae | Unassigned | 61 |
| Bemisia tabaci bromo-like virus 3 (BtBromoLV3) | MW256702 | FY-Q | 6005 | 494x | 7e−82 | Beihai charybdis crab virus 1 (6969) | 38% | Bromoviridae | Unassigned | 61 |
| Bemisia tabaci bromo-like virus 4 (BtBromoLV4) | MW256703 | FY-Q | 6631 | 36x | 5e−66 | Beihai charybdis crab virus 1 (6969) | 35% | Bromoviridae | Unassigned | 61 |
| Bemisia tabaci bromo-like virus 5 (BtBromoLV5) | MW256704 | FY-Q | 6529 | 126x | 3e−51 | Beihai charybdis crab virus 1 (6969) | 35% | Bromoviridae | Unassigned | 61 |
| Bemisia tabaci bromo-like virus 6 (BtBromoLV6) | MW256705 | FY-Q | 5972 | 45x | 2e−37 | Beihai charybdis crab virus 1 (6969) | 30% | Bromoviridae | Unassigned | 61 |
NBU-Q, are also mostly 22 nt long and are equally derived from both vsiRNA strands (Fig. 5b, c). The typical size distribution and polarity of vsiRNAs strongly suggested that these ISVs can successfully replicate in whitefly, and the antiviral RNAi pathway of B. tabaci is actively involved in response to ISV infections. In contrast, for BtNeLV1-3, BtBeLV1-6, and BtBromoLV1-6 there are many more 23nt vsiRNAs (Fig. 5c, d) and the vast majority of siRNAs of BtNeLV1 and BtNeLV2 are from the positive strand of B. tabaci.
the genome (Fig. 5c), while there is an obvious preference of vsiRNAs derived from antisense strands of the viral genomes of BtBeLV1-6 and BtBromoLV1-6. The discrepant and non-canonical characteristics of vsiRNAs derived from BtNeLV1, BtNeLV2, BtBeLV1-6, and BtBromoLV1-6 raises the possibility that these vsiRNAs (or perhaps some of them) may be produced by the microorganism/parasitism of the insect host, rather than directly from cleavage by the whitefly siRNA immune pathway. Moreover,
our analysis showed a strong U bias in the 5′-terminal nucleotide of vsiRNAs for BtNeLV1-3, BtBeLV1-6, and BtBromoLV1-6 (Supplementary Fig. 8c) in whitefly FY-Q, whereas classical A/U bias of vsiRNAs was observed for the other viruses (BtQuLV1, BtViLV1, BtViLV2, BtArV2, and BtPiLV1) discovered in the three whitefly datasets (Supplementary Fig. 8). Previous study indicated that the distinct patterns of vsiRNAs produced by various hosts can be used for virus detection. An unusual profile of vsiRNAs was also reported for a Twyford virus discovered in D. melanogaster, and more recently, a study showed that non-canonical characteristics of these vsiRNAs (21–23 peak, negative strand bias, and a strong 5′ U bias) were more likely to be processed by a Dicer pathway in a fungi rather than insect host, indicating that BtBeLV1-6 and BtBromoLV1-6 might be originated from fungi of whitefly (Fig. 5 and Supplementary Fig. 8). In addition, analysis of the distribution of vsiRNAs shows them to be widely distributed in the corresponding genomes (segments) but with notable asymmetric hotspots on both strands, which may indicate that these regions are preferentially targeted for cleavage by the host immune system (Fig. 5).

**DISCUSSION**

Over the past decade, a large number of insect-associated viruses (mostly ISVs) have been discovered by taking advantage of mNGS and advanced bioinformatics tools, contributing new insights on insect viromes and viral evolution. In this study, the RNA virome of a notorious insect pest, the whitefly B. tabaci, and the complex association of ISVs with its cryptic species were investigated by screening the publicly available whitefly datasets, as well as the whitefly populations derived from lab and field populations in China. Twenty novel ISVs, together with an isolate of a plant RNA virus and 12 novel plant/fungal virus-like contigs were identified among these whitefly samples. All the ISVs discovered in whitefly are novel and distinct from previously described ones (Table 2), suggesting the rich diversity of ISVs in whiteflies and that different ISVs may be associated with specific hosts. In addition, our results add a number of new virus members to unclassified groups which will facilitate the official establishment of new viral taxa in the future. These include unclassified clades in Flaviviridae (Fig. 2), Martellivirales (Fig. 2d), Picornavirales (Fig. 2e), and Totiviridae (Fig. 2f). Previous studies have shown that it is common for a host insect to harbor several closely related ISVs, such as partitiviruses...
Our results also discovered that a number of intimately related viruses were present in different datasets of whitefly, including two arliviruses (Figs. 1a and 2a), three nege-like viruses (Figs. 1d and 2d), two picorna-like viruses (Figs. 1g and 2e), and three quaranjaviruses (Figs. 1i and 2g). The three quaranjaviruses discovered in whitefly are of particular interest because our results indicate that the diversity of quaranjaviruses in the family Orthomyxoviridae might be greatly underestimated in arthropods other than the previously described hematophagous insects.

in Drosophila\textsuperscript{28}, negeviruses in a dungfly\textsuperscript{30}, and totiviruses, anpheviruses, and quaranja-like viruses in mosquitoes\textsuperscript{17}. Our results also discovered that a number of intimately related viruses were present in different datasets of whitefly, including two arliviruses (Figs. 1a and 2a), three nege-like viruses (Figs. 1d and 2d), two picorna-like viruses (Figs. 1g and 2e), and three quaranjaviruses (Figs. 1i and 2g). The three quaranjaviruses discovered in whitefly are of particular interest because our results indicate that the diversity of quaranjaviruses in the family Orthomyxoviridae might be greatly underestimated in arthropods other than the previously described hematophagous insects.

Fig. 4 Evaluation of the ability of insect-specific viruses to cross-infect the cryptic whitefly species Middle East Asia Minor 1 (MEAM1) and Mediterranean (MED). a Diagram illustrating the experimental workflow. In the upper panel whitefly individuals of NBU-Q (representing cryptic species MED) were microinjected with homogenate of NBU-B (representing cryptic species MEAM1). The reciprocal injection of NBU-B with homogenate of NBU-Q whiteflies is shown in the lower panel. b Detection of insect-specific viruses (ISVs) 0, 3, 6, and 12 days post injection (DPI), and in the next generation (F1). The presence of ISVs in each whitefly sample was determined by RT-PCR. Untreated NBU-B and NBU-Q whiteflies were used as controls. A pool of 20–30 whiteflies were collected for MEAM1 and MED whiteflies at each time point, and three independent biological replicates were performed. Abbreviation of virus names: BtArV2, Bemisia tabaci arlivirus 2, BtPILV1 Bemisia tabaci picorna-like virus 1, BtQuV1 Bemisia tabaci quaranjavirus 1, BtViLV1 Bemisia tabaci virga-like virus 1, BtViLV2 Bemisia tabaci virga-like virus 2.
The majority of economically important plant viruses are transmitted by insect vectors, and the recently developed "vector-enabled metagenomics" method facilitates the discovery of new plant viruses or new insect vectors of known plant viruses. Our detection of a new isolate of PVS in the dataset CU-B1 was unexpected. Several different species of aphids, including Myzus persicae and Aphis nasturtii, are well-known to be efficient vectors for the transmission of PVS, and our results provide the evidence that whiteflies might also be vectors of PVS, although further field investigations and lab experiments are needed to confirm it. In view of the relatively low coverage (20×) of PVS, it is also possible for the accident acquisition of PVS by the whitefly. Nevertheless, understanding the complex diversity of plant viruses in insect vectors will contribute to the early detection and management of these viruses.
mutation of emerging plant viruses and the management of viral diseases.

Previous studies have shown that the viromes of the two important mosquitoes, *A. aegypti* and *C. quinquefasciatus*, have their own relatively stable core virome, which might have important implications for the competence to host the relevant arboviruses. Moreover, further investigation revealed that the core virome was very stable across all developmental stages of both lab-derived and field-collected *A. albopictus*. Our results suggested that different cryptic species of *B. tabaci* clearly harbor specific core virus/viruses (Fig. 3b), indicating a long-term coevolution between these ISVs and cryptic species of whitely. Because the majority of the whitely datasets used in this study are from public databases and are mostly derived from lab cultures, it is expected that the cryptic-species-specific core viruses in these whitely cultures might constitute a vertically transmitted core virome, whereas the field whitely dataset (FY-Q) likely harbors distinct environmentally-derived viruses (BtNeLV1-3, BtBeLV1-6, and BtBromolLV1-6) as described previously. Nevertheless, more investigation is needed to confirm this hypothesis due to limited whitely datasets from the field used in this study. It should also be noted that the dominant ISVs of MED whitely exhibit more diversity and are associated directly with the original location of the whitely as well as its taxonomical status (Fig. 3b), implying that other dominant/core viruses might be obtained from the environment and establish stable infections in the local whitely populations.

Cross-species transmission (or interspecies transmission) is the ability for a foreign virus to infect a new host species individually and spread in the new host population. Interspecies transmission has been well demonstrated in several important emerging zoonotic viruses, including Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS-CoV), Ebola, swine flu, rabies, avian influenza, as well as SARS-CoV-2, the causative agent of the current COVID-19 outbreak. Our study showed that most of the ISVs discovered in this study exhibit close association with a specific cryptic species, but some have the ability to infect different cryptic species (Fig. 3c). Microinjection experiments confirmed that the "MEAM1-specific" virus BtQV1 cannot establish infection or be transmitted in a transovarial manner in MED whitely, whereas some "MED-specific" ISVs (BtPiLV1, BtArV2, BtViLV2, and BtViLV1) could replicate and sometimes be transmitted transovarially in MEAM1 whitely (Fig. 4). The distinct interspecies transmission abilities of ISVs in MED and MEAM1 whitely might reflect the different origin and discrete long-term coevolution of these ISVs with their whitely hosts. Further investigations are necessary to answer the intriguing issue about how some, but not all, of these ISVs can cross the cryptic species barrier of whitely. In addition, the high diversity and efficient cross-species ability of some ISVs in various cryptic whitely species may provide an excellent model system for future studies on the molecular and evolutionary mechanisms of interspecies transmission in zoonotic viruses. However, more investigations are needed to confirm the complex relationships between the ISVs and whitely cryptic species, and to comprehensively evaluate and understand the ability of ISVs to cross the cryptic species boundaries.

One of the most important reasons that ISVs have gained increasing attention recently is because they can affect vector competence and could therefore have potential as biocontrol agents. Previous studies in mosquitoes indicated that ISVs can negatively regulate several arbovirus infections both in vitro and in vivo. For example, two ISVs identified in an *A. albopictus* C6/36 cell line, Menghai rabdovirus and Shionibo tetravirus, suppressed Zika virus replication in vitro. It is proposed that the infection of ISVs might indirectly upregulate the innate immune system of mosquitoes and further interfere with the replication of mosquito-borne pathogenic viruses by decreasing vector competence, which is similar to the molecular mechanism by which *Wolbachia* controls arboviruses in mosquitoes. The activation of the siRNA-based antiviral response by ISVs in whitely (Fig. 5) indicates that the immune system is also induced and raises the possibility that this might interfere with the transmission of devastating plant viruses, including begomoviruses, which are vectored by whitely. Our results also highlight the need to recognize that ISVs and other viruses in an insect vector could have important effects on laboratory experiments, especially for studies related to the immune response of vector insects challenged by various pathogens. It would be important, therefore, to investigate and understand the virome background of any insect line maintained in the lab, particularly where it is being used in vector studies.

METHODS

RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) libraries of *B. tabaci* from the public database

Information about approximately 400 RNA-seq datasets of *B. tabaci* was retrieved from the NCBI SRA repository. Filtering of the datasets was based on the following criteria: Firstly, the dataset should be >4 Gb since RNA-seq depth is essential for virus discovery; secondly, where there were several biological replicates, the dataset with largest total number of bases was selected (since a similar virome should be present within the replicates); thirdly, the datasets were not used unless some novel virus was identified. Exceptions to these rules included a dataset of 3.4 Gb (PRJDB2008), representing a unique submitter (National Institute of Agrobiological Sciences, Tsukuba, Japan) and 12 datasets with the project number PRJNA427517 (each with total bases <3.0 Gb) submitted by The Hebrew University, Jerusalem, Israel, because the project included several different cryptic species of *B. tabaci* that were of interest for this study. In total, 41 high-quality SRA datasets representing the different whitely cryptic species and various geographical locations worldwide were chosen for further bioinformatics analysis. Abbreviations and detail information of these whitely datasets are provided in Table 1.

RNA-seq libraries of *B. tabaci* generated from lab and field samples

The *B. tabaci* culture of cryptic species MEAM1 (NBU-B) was kindly provided by Xiao-Wei Wang and Shu-Sheng Liu (Institute of Insect Sciences, Zhejiang University) in June 2019, and the MED population of *B. tabaci* (NBU-Q) maintained in our lab at Ningbo University (NBU) was originally collected from soybean plants in Sushou (Anhui province, China) in June 2019. The NBU-B and NBU-Q were maintained in the laboratory in Ningbo University thereafter. The two whitely cultures were reared separately in insect-proof cages on cotton plants (*Gossypium hirsutum* L. cv. Zhemenian 1793) at 25 ± 1 °C, 50–70% relative humidity, and 14 h light/10 h darkness. The field sample of *B. tabaci* was collected from cucumber plants in Fuyang (Anhui province, China) on November 2019 and the cryptic species was determined to be MED (FY-Q) by mtcO1 sequences. Total RNAs were extracted using approximately 100 adult whitelys from each of the two lab cultures (NBU-B, NBU-Q), as well as the field sample (FY-Q). Each RNA sample was subdivided for Illumina high throughput sequencing (transcriptome and sRNA). Specifically, for transcriptome, paired-end (150 bp) sequencing of the RNA library was performed on the Illumina HiSeq 4000 platform (Illumina, CA, USA) by Novogene (Tianjin, China). For sRNA, the cdNA libraries were prepared using the Illumina TruSeq Small RNA Sample Preparation Kit (Illumina, CA, USA), and sRNA sequencing was performed on an Illumina HiSeq 2500 by Novogene (Tianjin, China). The transcriptome raw reads of NBU-B, NBU-Q, and FY-Q were deposited in SRA under accession numbers SRR13050947, SRR13052369, and SRR13039280, respectively. Meanwhile, the sRNA raw reads of NBU-B, NBU-Q, and FY-Q were deposited in SRA under accession numbers SRR13050947, SRR13050948, and SRR13082984, respectively.

Dataset reassembly and assignment of whitely cryptic species

Raw reads of the 41 selected datasets from the SRA repository, as well as the transcriptomes of the lab populations (NBU-B, NBU-Q) and field sample (FY-Q), were quality trimmed. The remaining reads were reassembled/ assembled de novo using the two assembler software packages Trinity and MetaViraisPAdes with default parameters. The assembled contigs were
searched against the mtCOI reference database of the B. tabaci species complex to assign the correct cryptic species of whitefly for each dataset.46 Furthermore, to facilitate the discovery of the whitefly RNA virome, the host-derived reads were removed by mapping against the two representative genomes of whitefly (GCA_001854935.1 and GCA_003994315.1) using BWA software.47

RNA virome discovery

All the assembled contigs were compared with the NCBI viral RefSeq database using diamond Blast.48 Since most of the datasets were retrieved from public databases, strict criteria were used for the identification of putative novel viruses in each dataset. Firstly, E-value cutoff of $1 \times 10^{-30}$ was rigorously set for the diamond Blastx. Secondly, the minimum coverage and length threshold for the viral homology contigs was no less than 20x and 500 bp, respectively, and the viral homology contigs had to contain almost complete open reading frames (ORF) of predicted viral proteins. Thirdly, the viral homology contigs needed to be confirmed by both of the assemblers (Trinity and MetaViralSPAdes). Finally, the regions of the candidate viral-like contigs matched to the reference virus were extracted and further compared with the entire NCBI nucleotide and non-redundant protein databases to eliminate false positives. In addition, RT-PCR followed by Sanger sequencing was performed to verify the presence of the newly discovered viruses in the whitefly populations NBU-B, NBU-Q, and FY-Q. The primers used for RT-PCR are listed in Supplementary Table 1. Sequences of all identified novel viruses from this study have been deposited in GenBank (MW256664–MW256706 and MW227222–MW227223).

Viral genome annotation and phylogenetic analysis

The newly identified viral contigs were annotated with InterPro.49 Conserved RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp) regions of the discovered viruses, together with RdRp protein sequences of reference viruses, were used for phylogenetic analysis. The RdRp sequences were aligned with MAFFT50, and ambiguously aligned regions were trimmed by Gblock.51 The best-fit model of amino acid substitution was evaluated using ModelTest-NG.52 Maximum likelihood (ML) trees were constructed using auroscope (Olympus SZX7, Tokyo, Japan) using sharp forces (Ideal-Tek, Switzerland). The collected samples were immediately transferred to TRIzol Reagent (Invitrogen Corp., CA, USA) using Eppendorf tips. After RNA extraction, the relative abundance of ISVs in each tissue was determined by qRT-PCR as described above.

Small RNA analysis

The sRNA raw reads of the three libraries NBU-B, NBU-Q, and FY-Q were first treated to remove the adapter, low quality, and junk sequences as described previously.53 The clean sRNA reads 18- to 30-nt long were extracted using the FASTX-Toolkit (http://hannonlab.cshl.edu/fastx_toolkit). The extracted sRNA were then mapped to the identified viral contigs using Bowtie software with perfect match (i.e. allowing zero mismatch).54 Downstream analyses were performed using custom perl scripts and Linux shell bash scripts.

Correlation between whitefly cryptic species and ISVs

Phylogeny of whitefly cryptic species was constructed as described above. For the identification of ISV-derived viral reads, raw reads of each whitefly dataset were aligned to corresponding ISV contigs using bowtie2 software.55 To better understand the relative abundance of the newly identified ISVs across the different whitefly datasets, the unassembled transcriptome reads of each datasets were mapped back to the corresponding viral contigs. Specifically, relative abundance for the ISV of each whitefly dataset was calculated and normalized based on the transcripts per million (TPM) values calculated as $a_i = \sum a_i / c_i \times 10^5$. In this equation, $a_i$ represents the TPM of viral contig $i$, $b_j$ represents the number of uniquely mapped fragments in a dataset, $c_j$ represents the length of viral contig $j$, and $n$ is the total number of viral contigs.56,57 In addition, relative abundance of the ISV in each whitefly dataset was further subjected to PCA using R 3.5.

Ability of ISVs to cross-infect the whitefly cryptic species MEAM1 and MED

The whitefly lab cultures of NBU-B (MEAM1) and NBU-Q (MED) were used to investigate whether the ISVs were specific to one cryptic species of whitefly or able to infect both. Before the experiment, the presence of viruses in each cryptic species was verified by RT-PCR. To explore the potential ability of ISVs in NBU-B to infect NBU-Q whiteflies, a pool of 10 NBU-B whiteflies were homogenized in 150 μl phosphate-buffered saline solutions (137 mM NaCl, 2.68 mM KCl, 8.1 mM Na2HPO4 and 1.47 mM KH2PO4 at pH 7.4). After centrifugation at 12,000 r.p.m. for three times, the supernatant was collected and microinjected into individual NBU-Q whiteflies (approximately 0.02 μl per insect) as described previously.58 The injected whiteflies were then transferred and maintained on cotton plants. The same method was also used in a reciprocal manner to evaluate the potential ability of ISVs in NBU-Q to infect NBU-B. The microinjected whiteflies were collected at 0, 3, 6, and 12 days post injection (DPI) and the viruses were detected by RT-PCR and qRT-PCR. Additionally, the microinjected whiteflies were allowed to oviposit, and the presence of ISVs in the next generation (F1) were also determined using RT-PCR and qRT-PCR. For qRT-PCR analysis, primers were designed using Primer Premier v6.0, and the B. tabaci 18sRNA was used as an internal control. The reaction was run on a Roche Light Cycler® 480 Real-Time PCR System using the SYBR Green Supermix Kit (Yeastes, Shanghai, China) under the following programs: denaturation for 5 min at 95 °C, followed by 40 cycles at 95 °C for 10 s and 60 °C for 30 s. A relative quantitative method ($2^{ΔΔCt}$) was used to evaluate quantitative variation. A pool of 20–30 whiteflies were collected for MEAM1 and MED at each time point, and three independent biological replicates were performed. The primers for RT-PCR and qRT-PCR are listed in Supplementary Table 1.

Tissue expression of ISVs in whitely NBU-Q and NBU-B

To investigate the relative spatial expression of ISVs in B. tabaci, tissue samples from salivary glands, guts, fat bodies, ovaries, and carcasses were dissected from the whitely lab cultures of NBU-Q and NBU-B in a phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) solution (137 mM NaCl, 2.68 mM KCl, 8.1 mM Na2HPO4 and 1.47 mM KH2PO4 at pH 7.4) under a stereomicroscope (Olympus SZX7, Tokyo, Japan) using sharp forces (Ideal-Tek, Switzerland). The collected samples were immediately transferred to TRIzol Reagent (Invitrogen Corp., CA, USA) using Eppendorf tips. After RNA extraction, the relative abundance of ISVs in each tissue was determined by qRT-PCR as described above.

Small RNA analysis

The sRNA raw reads of the three libraries NBU-B, NBU-Q, and FY-Q were first treated to remove the adapter, low quality, and junk sequences as described previously.53 The clean sRNA reads 18- to 30-nt long were extracted using the FASTX-Toolkit (http://hannonlab.cshl.edu/fastx_toolkit). The extracted sRNA were then mapped to the identified viral contigs using Bowtie software with perfect match (i.e. allowing zero mismatch).54 Downstream analyses were performed using custom perl scripts and Linux shell bash scripts.

Reporting summary

Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The raw reads of RNA-seq generated in this study were deposited in NCBI SRA with accession numbers SR130509950 (NBU-B), SR13052369 (NBU-Q), SR13039280 (FY-Q), SR13039281 (SR13039282) for transcriptome, and SR13030912 (NBU-B), SR13030947 (NBU-Q), SR13082984 (FY-Q) for sRNA, respectively. Sequences of all identified novel viruses from this study have been deposited in NCBI GenBank with accession numbers MW256664–MW256706 and MW227222–MW227223.
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