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Background: Despite the recent surge in rates of immediate breast reconstruction, there is a paucity of large multicenter studies to compare differences in morbidity after immediate versus delayed breast reconstruction. This study used the National Surgical Quality Improvement Program (NSQIP) to study the association between timing of breast reconstruction and complication rates, stratified by reconstructive modality.

Study Design: The NSQIP database was used to identify breast reconstructions from 2005 to 2012. Rates of major complications were compared by timing within each reconstructive modality (implant vs autologous). Cohort differences in baseline characteristics and variables associated with increased complication rates were identified in bivariate analyses. A multivariable model was created to compare the association between the timing of reconstruction and major complications.

Results: Of 24,506 postmastectomy reconstructions, 85.8% were immediate, 14.2% were delayed, 84% were implant, and 16% were autologous reconstructions. Overall, 10.0% of patients suffered a major complication. After stratification, only implant reconstructions showed a statistically higher complication rate with immediate (8.8%) reconstruction compared with delayed (5.3%) (odds ratio, 1.7; P < 0.01). There was no significant difference in complication rates between autologous immediate (18.4%) and delayed (19.0%) reconstructions. After controlling for baseline cohort differences and other risk factors, immediate reconstruction remained as an independent significant predictor of major complications. Overall, 10.0% of patients suffered a major complication. After stratification, only implant reconstructions showed a statistically higher complication rate with immediate (8.8%) reconstruction compared with delayed (5.3%) (odds ratio, 1.7; P < 0.01). There was no significant difference in complication rates between autologous immediate (18.4%) and delayed (19.0%) reconstructions.

Conclusions: Immediate rather than delayed breast reconstruction is associated with a significantly higher rate of major complications in implant reconstruction but not in autologous reconstruction. It is important to include these findings in the routine preoperative surgeon-patient discussion of reconstructive options.
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associated with increased complications in breast reconstruction.\textsuperscript{45,46} The NSQIP database has yet to be used to directly compare complications in breast reconstruction with respect to the timing of reconstruction. Although it is important to equip patients with the knowledge that immediate breast reconstruction may be associated with higher failure rate than delayed breast reconstruction in the long-run, patients also frequently wish to understand the possible rates of short-term complications in the immediate postoperative period.\textsuperscript{55} The aim of the current study, therefore, is to use the NSQIP database to compare the rates of major complications associated with immediate versus delayed breast reconstruction, for implant and autologous reconstructions separately during the first 30 days after surgery.

**METHODS**

We used the NSQIP database to identify all cases of female post-mastectomy breast reconstructions from 2005 to 2012 using the 2010 Current Procedural Terminology codes. Implant-based reconstructions were identified by codes for immediate (19340) or delayed (19342) breast prosthesis insertion, immediate or delayed tissue expander insertion (19357), and implantation of biologic implant (eg, acellular dermal matrix, 15777). Autologous reconstructions were identified by codes for breast reconstruction with latissimus dorsi flap without prosthetic implant (19361), transverse rectus abdominis myocutaneous flap (19367, 19368, and 19369), or free flap (19364). International Classification of Diseases diagnostic codes were used to identify and categorize patients with active (174.0–9) or prior history of (V10.3) breast cancer, ductal carcinoma in situ (233), benign breast disease (610.0–9), prophylactic mastectomy (V50.41), genetic susceptibility to malignant ductal carcinoma in situ (233), benign breast disease (610.0), and breast cancer (19368, and 19369), or free flap (19364). International Classification of Diseases diagnostic codes were used to identify and categorize patients with active (174.0–9) or prior history of (V10.3) breast cancer, ductal carcinoma in situ (233), benign breast disease (610.0–9), prophylactic mastectomy (V50.41), genetic susceptibility to malignant breast cancer (V84.01), and encounters of postmastectomy breast reconstruction (V51.0). We excluded atypical reconstructive cases that included a Body Mass Index (BMI) less than 18 (n = 275) or greater than 45 (n = 346), hospital stays greater than 30 days (n = 29), preoperative ventilator dependence (n = 2), metastatic disease (n = 205), high-risk concurrent surgeries (n = 1,737), and emergency surgeries (n = 69). The database was accessed on February 24, 2015.

**Variables and Outcomes**

Of the variables collected in the NSQIP database, the following patient characteristics were selected as independent variables: age, BMI, race, American Society of Anesthesiologist (ASA) score, diabetes, hypertension, smoking, chemotherapy in 30 days before surgery, operating year, admission status, length of hospital stay, timing of reconstruction, and modality of reconstruction. Outcomes of interest were minor and major complications. Minor complications included superficial surgical site infection and wound dehiscence. Major complications included unplanned return to the operating room, deep incision surgical site infection, organ space infection, failure of prosthesis, graft, or flap, bleeding disorder, sepsis, deep vein thrombosis or thrombophlebitis, urinary tract infection, pulmonary embolism, pneumonia, unplanned intubation, peripheral nerve injury, myocardial infarction, stroke or cerebrovascular accident, acute renal failure, cardiac arrest, and coma longer than 24 hours.

**Statistical Analysis**

Descriptive statistics of all demographic, clinical, and surgical variables were obtained by calculating the mean, standard deviation (SD), and range of continuous variables and frequency of categorical variables. Complications were categorized as minor or major. The association between complication type and timing of reconstruction was analyzed using Pearson \(\chi^2\) test. Bivariate analysis was performed to examine the association between patient characteristics and each of timing of implant reconstruction and major complications using Pearson \(\chi^2\) test for categorical and Wilcoxon rank-sum test for continuous variables. The association between timing of breast reconstruction and major complication for each type of breast reconstruction was tested using multivariable logistic regression models after controlling for variables that showed significant association with major complications and/or with timing of breast reconstruction. All tests were 2-tailed, and \(P\) values of less than 0.05 were deemed significant. All data were analyzed using R 3.2.0.

**TABLE 1. Demographic, Clinical, and Surgical Characteristics**

| Characteristics | Mean | SD  | Range |
|-----------------|------|-----|-------|
| **Demographics** |      |     |       |
| Age             | 51.5 | 10.4| 18–90 |
| BMI             | 27.0 | 5.5 | 18–45 |
| Total length of hospital stay, d | 2.0 | 1.7 | 0–29 |
| **Clinical Characteristics** |     |     |       |
| Cancer          | 21,289 | 86.9 |       |
| Prophylactic    | 3217  | 13.1 |       |
| Diabetes        |       |     |       |
| Yes             | 1168  | 4.8 |       |
| No              | 23,338| 95.2|       |
| Hypertension    |       |     |       |
| Yes             | 5944  | 24.3|       |
| No              | 18,562| 75.7|       |
| Smoking         |       |     |       |
| Yes             | 3091  | 12.6|       |
| No              | 21,415| 87.4|       |
| Chemotherapy in last 30 d* |   |     |       |
| Yes             | 924   | 3.8 |       |
| No              | 16,577| 67.6|       |
| Null            | 7005  | 28.6|       |
| ASA class       |       |     |       |
| I and II        | 19,576| 79.9|       |
| III and IV      | 4906  | 20.0|       |
| Unknown         | 24    | 0.1 |       |
| Surgical characteristics |   |     |       |
| Timing of reconstruction |       |     |       |
| Immediate       | 21,019| 85.8|       |
| Delayed         | 3487  | 14.2|       |
| Reconstructive modality |       |     |       |
| Implant         | 20,595| 84.0|       |
| Autologous      | 3911  | 16.0|       |
| Operating year  |       |     |       |
| 2005            | 276   | 1.1 |       |
| 2006            | 961   | 3.9 |       |
| 2007            | 1973  | 8.1 |       |
| 2008            | 2677  | 10.9|       |
| 2009            | 3512  | 14.3|       |
| 2010            | 3942  | 16.1|       |
| 2011            | 4801  | 19.6|       |
| 2012            | 6364  | 26.0|       |

* Data regarding chemotherapy and radiation therapy in the designated preoperative period was not available in 7005 (28.5%) and 7024 (28.6%) of cases, respectively.
RESULTS

Study Cohort Characteristics

There were 24,506 cases of female breast reconstruction during the study period (2005–2012). Patient demographic, clinical, and surgical characteristics are summarized in Table 1. Overall, 85.8% of breast reconstructions were immediate, and 14.2% were delayed breast reconstructions. The majority of reconstructions were implant (84.0%) rather than autologous (16.0%) based. Seventy-nine percent of breast reconstruction patients were white. The average breast reconstruction patient age was 51.5 years, and the average BMI was 27.0. Most patients underwent breast reconstruction after therapeutic mastectomy (86.9%) rather than prophylactic mastectomy (13.1%). The mean length of postoperative hospital stay was 2 days. The rate of breast reconstructions after mastectomy increased with each study year, from only 1.1% in 2005 to 26.0% in 2016.

Outcomes

There were 629 cases (2.6%) with minor complications and 2442 cases (10.0%) with major postoperative complications in the first 30 days after breast reconstruction (Table 2). The association between the timing of reconstruction and the incidence of postoperative complications was analyzed. Overall, immediate breast reconstruction was associated with higher odds of major complications than delayed breast reconstruction (odds ratio [OR], 1.19, P < 0.01). When stratified by reconstructive modality, there was a significantly higher rate of major complications after immediate (8.8%) compared with delayed (5.3%) reconstruction (OR, 1.72; P < 0.01) in the implant reconstruction group, but not in the autologous group (immediate, 18.4%; delayed, 19.0%, P = 0.76; Table 3). There was no difference in the incidence of minor complications between immediate or delayed breast reconstructions overall or after stratification by reconstructive modality (Table 3).

The implant reconstruction cohort was further analyzed. Patients who underwent immediate versus delayed breast reconstruction were different across a multitude of variables. In particular, 92.1% of immediate implant reconstructions were performed after therapeutic (as opposed to prophylactic) mastectomy compared with only 58.4% in the delayed breast reconstruction group (P < 0.01). The majority (75.8%) of immediate implant reconstruction patients were admitted to hospital whereas the majority of delayed implant reconstructions (67.9%) were performed as outpatient surgery (P < 0.01). There was no difference in proportion of smokers in each cohort. Table 4 demonstrates that on the bivariate analysis, a large number of clinical and surgical variables were significantly associated with major complications in implant based reconstruction including increased age, BMI, presence of diabetes, hypertension, smoking, higher ASA level, therapeutic (as opposed to prophylactic) mastectomy, in addition to immediate timing of reconstruction.

The final multivariable model comparing major complications after immediate versus delayed implant reconstruction is shown in Table 5. After controlling for baseline characteristics that were significantly associated with major complications, the timing of reconstruction remained an independent and significant predictor of major complications after implant breast reconstruction. Patients undergoing immediate reconstruction had almost twice the odds of sustaining a major complication compared with those undergoing delayed reconstruction when implants were used (OR, 1.78; P < 0.01). Other variables that also significantly increased the odds of major complications in implant reconstruction included smoking (OR, 1.57; P < 0.01), higher ASA class (OR, 1.18; P < 0.01), hypertension (OR, 1.17; P = 0.02), and higher BMI (OR, 1.04; P < 0.01).

DISCUSSION

Using the NSQIP database, we found that when implants were used, immediate breast reconstruction was significantly associated with increased odds of major complications compared with delayed breast reconstruction by nearly 2-fold (OR, 1.72; P < 0.01). This association was not found for autologous reconstruction. These findings are vital for inclusion in the preoperative surgeon-patient discussion when comparing the complication profiles between implant and autologous reconstruction, as well as deciding between immediate versus delayed reconstruction. Furthermore, recent population based studies revealed implant based reconstruction as the leading form of breast reconstruction after 2002 and this trend further surged after the re-approval of silicone implants in 2006 by the Food and Drug Administration.7,27,88

**TABLE 2. Complications in Initial 30 Postoperative Days**

| Complications                        | n   | %   |
|--------------------------------------|-----|-----|
| Minor complications*                 | 629 | 2.6 |
| Superficial surgical site infection  | 476 | 1.9 |
| Wound dehiscence                     | 166 | 0.7 |
| Major complications†                 | 2442| 10.0|
| Surgical                             |     |     |
| Return to OR                         | 1174| 4.8 |
| Deep incisional surgical site infection |   |   |
| Graft/ prosthesis/flap failure       | 237 | 1.0 |
| Organ space surgical site infection  | 173 | 0.7 |
| Medical                              |     |     |
| Bleeding disorder                    | 392 | 1.6 |
| Number of Sepsis                     | 111 | 0.5 |
| Number of Septic Shock              | 13  | 0.1 |
| Deep venous thrombosis /Thrombophlebitis |   |   |
| Urinary tract infection              | 73  | 0.3 |
| Pulmonary Embolism                   | 55  | 0.2 |
| Other                                | 66  | 0.3 |

*Breast reconstruction cases with reported minor and major complications as percentage of total reconstructive cases. A given breast reconstruction case may have involved more than one occurrence (eg., multiple wound dehiscence) or subtype of complication (eg, return to OR and deep surgical site infection).

**TABLE 3. Comparison of Complications in Immediate Versus Delayed Breast Reconstruction After Stratified by Reconstructive Modality (Autologous Versus Implant)**

| Complication                  | Timing of Reconstruction | Delayed | Immediate | OR   | P   |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|-----------|------|-----|
|                               | No. | %    | No. | %    |      |     |
| Major                          |     |      |     |      |      |     |
| (n = 24,506) Y es             | 3184| 91.3 | 18,880| 89.8 |      |     |
| Autologous                    | No  |       | 700 | 81.0 | 2485| 81.6|
| Minor                          |     |      |     |      |      |     |
| (n = 24,506) Y es             | 3394| 97.3 | 20,483| 97.4 |      |     |
| Autologous                    | No  |       | 820 | 94.9 | 2914| 95.6|
| Minor                          |     |      |     |      |      |     |
| (n = 20,595) Y es             | 2574| 98.1 | 17,569| 97.8 |      |     |
| **DISCUSSION**                |     |      |     |      |      |     |
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Therefore, patients who will undergo immediate implant-based reconstruction now make up the largest proportion of breast reconstruction candidates in North America and should be informed of the findings from this NSQIP study, which have direct implications on their surgical outcomes. On the one hand, although it is important that immediate reconstructions now make up the largest proportion of breast reconstruction cases. Furthermore, we specifically compared complications rates separately for immediate and delayed reconstruction, stratified by reconstructive modality. We also examined major and minor complications separately, since most surgical patients may be more tolerant of minor complications and less tolerant of major complications that have serious adverse effects on their health outcomes.

Earlier studies examining the effect of breast reconstruction timing on complication rates have yielded variable results. Sullivan et al. reported a similar modality-specific effect of timing on complications as our study: implant reconstructions had higher rates of complications in immediate (51.7%) than delayed (49.1%, $P = 0.008$) reconstruction, whereas no effect of timing was observed in autologous breast reconstructions (immediate 52.4% vs delayed 36.4%, $P = 0.70$). Alderman et al. in their multicenter cohort analysis reported a reverse trend with no significant effect of timing on reconstruction on major complications in implant reconstruction (46% immediate vs 21% delayed, $P = 0.089$), but significantly higher rate of major complications in immediate (36%) compared with delayed (18%) delayed breast reconstruction, $P = 0.002$) autologous reconstructions. One key factor that contributes to differences in results of these prior studies is heterogeneity of their selected outcome measures. Whereas Sullivan et al. studied reconstructive-specific complications (eg, seromas, hematomas, capsular contractures, implant malposition and deflation, etc.); Alderman et al. $P = 0.70$).

### TABLE 4. Association of Variables and Major Complications After Implant Breast Reconstruction

| Major Complication | Characteristics | No (n = 18,879) | Yes (n = 1,716) | $P$ |
|--------------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|-----|
|                    | Reason for Breast Reconstruction |               |               |     |
| Cancer             | Cancer         | 16,533 87.6   | 1546 90.1     |     |
|                    | Prophylactic   | 2346 12.4     | 170 9.9       | <0.01 |
| Diabetes           | Yes            | 873 4.6       | 101 5.9       |     |
|                    | No             | 18,006 95.4   | 1615 94.1     | 0.02 |
| Hypertension       | Yes            | 4380 23.2     | 520 30.3      | <0.01 |
|                    | No             | 14,499 76.8   | 1196 69.7     | <0.01 |
| Smoking            | Yes            | 2389 12.7     | 318 18.5      | <0.01 |
|                    | No             | 16,490 87.3   | 1398 81.5     | <0.01 |
| ASA Class          | I & II         | 15,310 81.1   | 1283 74.8     |     |
|                    | III & IV       | 3553 18.8     | 428 24.9      |     |
|                    | Unknown        | 16 0.1        | 5 0.3         | <0.01 |
| Surgical Timing    | Delayed        | 2484 13.2     | 139 8.1       | <0.01 |
|                    | Immediate      | 16,395 86.8   | 1577 91.9     |     |
| Demographics       | Age            | 51.3 (10.6)   | 52.3 (10.5)   | <0.01 |
|                    | BMI            | 26.5 (5.5)    | 28.2 (6.1)    | <0.01 |

Several groups have used the NSQIP to determine complications associated with different elements of breast reconstruction. Fischer et al. analyzed breast reconstruction cases from 2005–2010 NSQIP databases with outcomes organized into surgical, medical, or wound complications. They identified immediate reconstruction as an independent predictor of major surgical complications and autologous reconstruction as an independent predictor of wound, medical, and major surgical complications. Our study provides an update to this analysis with incorporation of an additional 2 years of data equivalent to 8443 breast reconstructive cases. Furthermore, we specifically compared complications rates separately for immediate and delayed reconstruction, stratified by reconstructive modality. We also examined major and minor complications separately, since most surgical patients may be more tolerant of minor complications and less tolerant of major complications that have serious adverse effects on their health outcomes.

### TABLE 5. Multivariable Regression Model for Comparison of Major Complications After Immediate Versus Delayed Implant Reconstructions

| Variable                  | OR   | 95% CI      | $P$  |
|---------------------------|------|-------------|------|
| Timing                    |      |             |      |
| Delayed                   | 1.78 | 1.48–2.16   | <0.01|
| Immediate                 |      |             |      |
| Reason for Breast Reconstruction |    |             |      |
| Prophylactic Cancer       | 1.03 | 0.89–1.23   | 0.73 |
| ASA                       |      |             |      |
| I & II                    | 1.18 | 1.04–1.33   | <0.01|
| III & IV                  |      |             |      |
| Hypertension              |      |             |      |
| No                        | 1.17 | 1.03–1.32   | 0.02 |
| Yes                       | 0.90 | 0.71–1.12   | 0.35 |
| Diabetes                  |      |             |      |
| No                        |      |             |      |
| Yes                       | 1.57 | 1.38–1.79   | <0.01|
| Smoker                    |      |             |      |
| No                        |      |             |      |
| Yes                       | 1.00 | 1.00–1.01   | 0.10 |
| Age                       |      |             |      |
| BMI                        |      |             |      |
| No                        | 1.04 | 1.04–1.05   | <0.01|
| Yes                       |      |             |      |

95% CI, 95% confidence interval.
et al. used broader variables that reflect major surgical and medical postoperative complications (e.g., re-operation, re-hospitalization, and IV antibiotics). The most recent and rigorous study that compared between immediate and delayed breast reconstruction was the MROC study, which found immediate breast reconstruction to yield significantly higher failure rates (6% vs 1.3%) compared with delayed breast reconstruction at 20-year follow-up. An important difference that distinguishes our current study is the inclusion of more contemporary reconstruction data (2005–2012) from 371 hospitals in the United States and Canada compared with the Alderman and Sullivan studies. Furthermore, our short-term complication comparison between immediate and delayed breast reconstruction stratified by the method of reconstruction supports similar findings on the long-term complications generated by the MROC study.

Strengths

The large cohort of patients from a multitude of institutions in the NSQIP captures differences that may otherwise be undetected and transcends confounders such as surgeon and institutional practices to yield results with high generalizability. Additionally, relatively early follow-up protocol minimizes recall bias and systematic collection by trained researchers aims to eliminate any observer bias. No previous study has a priori intended to examine the relationship between immediate and delayed breast reconstruction, separately for implant and autologous reconstructions. The 2 reconstructive modalities vary in the incidence, timing, and type of postoperative complications as well as patient selection, therefore by stratifying the modality type for each timing of reconstruction before analysis, we were able to demonstrate differential effect of timing based on reconstructive modality, even after controlling for the potential confounders in our final multivariable model.

Limitations

There are several limitations to this study inherent in the NSQIP database and study period selected being already a number of years old. The NSQIP database has been developed to capture general medical and surgical postoperative complications, and does not capture reconstruction-specific complications. Other studies of immediate versus delayed breast reconstruction report significantly higher rates of complications after breast reconstruction ranging from 32% to 52%, which is significantly higher than complication rates from the NSQIP database. Additionally, the 30-day postoperative follow-up period in the NSQIP does not capture the longer-term complications that may cause patient-distress such as implant malposition, capsular contracture, fat necrosis and donor site contour deformity that require secondary operation. Therefore, NSQIP underreports reconstructive-specific and long-term complications. Lastly, the NSQIP database does not collect information regarding psychosocial well-being, patient satisfaction, and esthetics, which are outcomes of particular interest to plastic surgeons and patients and may constitute the primary motivating factors for immediate breast reconstruction. Despite rigorous data collection protocols in the NSQIP, there was a lack of sufficient data on preoperative chemotherapy or radiation therapy in patients undergoing breast reconstruction, with nearly 30% missing data. As a result, preoperative radiation and chemotherapy could not be included nor controlled for in our multivariable model. Preoperative chemotherapy and radiation therapy are associated with increased risk of postoperative complications.

CONCLUSION

Timing and modality of reconstruction constitute the main decision nodes in breast reconstruction planning. There have been tremendous changes in the breast reconstruction frontier in recent years, with immediate implant-based reconstruction becoming the leading reconstructive method. Although complication is an important outcome to measure in breast reconstruction, there are other valuable patient-reported outcomes to consider such as the quality of life, patient satisfaction, and esthetic outcomes. In this study, we have shown that the odds of sustaining major short-term complications were significantly greater when performed immediately rather than in a delayed fashion in implant-reconstruction, while this association was not found for autologous reconstruction. These NSQIP study findings are consistent with findings on the long-term complications generated by the MROC study which found that at 2 years after surgery, immediate breast reconstruction is also significantly associated with higher rates of reconstructive failure compared with delayed reconstruction. It is imperative that these findings are included in the routine preoperative surgeon-patient discussion of reconstructive options.
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