Hollow and highly diastereoselective face-rotating polyhedra constructed through rationally engineered facial units†
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Molecular face-rotating polyhedra (FRP) exhibit complex stereochemistry, rendering it challenging to manipulate their assembly in a stereoselective manner. In our previous work, stereocontrolled FRP were gained at the cost of losing the confined inner space, which hampers their host–guest interactions and potential applications. Through a rational design approach, herein we demonstrate the successful construction of hollow FRP with high diastereoselectivity. Whereas the [4 + 4] imine condensation of meta-formyl substituted C₃₉-symmetric TAT-m and C₅-symmetric Tri-NH₂ led to the formation of all feasible FRP-12 diastereoisomers; the para-substituted constitutional isomer, TAT-p, exclusively assembled into a pair of homo-directional enantiomeric FRP-13-CCCC/AAAA with a cavity size larger than 600 Å³. Detailed structural characterizations and theoretical investigations revealed the thermodynamic landscape of FRP assembly can be effectively shaped by modulating the van der Waals repulsive forces among the facial building blocks. Our work provided a novel strategy towards stereospecific assembly of pure organic cages, opening up new opportunities for further applications of these chiral materials.

Introduction

Rationally designed discrete molecular cages have drawn extensive attention in recent years on account of their wide applications in gas storage,1 molecular recognition,2 separation,3 catalysis3 and containment of reactive materials.3 By fine-tuning the shape, angle or rigidity associated with the molecular building blocks,4 the geometry and size of the confined space inside the resulting cages can be precisely engineered for specific functions. Introducing handedness into molecular cages further lends a new dimension to asymmetric catalysis5 and chiral resolution.6 Nevertheless, manipulating the outcome of cage syntheses, i.e. diastereoselectivity, is a challenging task as a result of the minute differences between various diastereoisomers on the thermodynamic landscape. In literature, successful chirality control over coordination-driven assembly can be achieved by regulating the chiral communications among ligands.7 For instance, by modulating the steric repulsions among ligand edges, Nitschke et al. reported the syntheses of a series of metal–organic cages with different degrees of diastereoselectivity.8 On the other hand, introducing chiral guests may also enable the chirality transfer to the cage hosts, templating the formation of specific diastereoisomers.9 In both cases, however, the intervention of extra components, e.g. metal salts and chiral small molecules, is required. As far as pure organic molecular cages are concerned, examples of stereoechemical control through interfacial interactions remain rare.

Recently, our group reported a variety of novel chiral face-rotating polyhedra (FRP)10 consisting of prochiral 2D facial building blocks, such as truxene (Tr)11 and triazatruxene (TAT).12 The senses of anticlockwise (A) or clockwise (C) rotations arise when these planar building blocks lose their σᵥ mirror symmetry upon FRP formation, generating a series of diastereoisomeric cages in the one pot reaction (Fig. S4†). The distribution of the populations of different FRP stereoisomers, instead of being statistically governed, can be biased to favor specific species when interfacial non-covalent interactions are present. For instance, the assembly of Tr-based FRP-2 solely produces the homo-directional AAAA cage as a result of the van der Waals repulsion among the interior n-butyl side chains (Fig. 1a).11† Similarly, the π–π interaction between the TAT units
in FRP-9-AA exclusively leads to the formation of a homo-directional molecular prism (Fig. 1b).\textsuperscript{14} Their high diastereoselectivity, nonetheless, was gained at the cost of losing the cavity space of FRP, impeding potential applications in host-guest encapsulation. Herein, we demonstrate the successful construction of a series of \([4 + 4]\) tetrahedral FRP with large inner cavities. In addition, by rationally designing the interfacial interactions between the facial building blocks, these FRP could be tailor-made in a highly diasteroselective fashion (Fig. 1c and S11\textsuperscript{†}).

**Results and discussion**

Catalyzed by trifluoroacetic acid (0.075 eq.), the meta-formyl substituted \textit{TAT-m} (1 eq.) and 1,3,5-triaminomethyl-2,4,6-triethylbenzene (\textit{Tri-NH\textsubscript{2}}, 1 eq.) were co-assembled into FRP-12 in toluene through imine condensation reaction\textsuperscript{15} (Fig. 2a). High-resolution mass spectrum (HRMS) of FRP-12 showed a mass-to-charge ratio of 1586.9859, corresponding to \([4 + 4]\) molecular cages composed of four pieces of each building blocks (calculated \(m/z = 1586.9868\) for \([M + 2H]\)\textsuperscript{2+}, Fig. S25\textsuperscript{†}). In theory, five diastereoisomers could stem from different face-rotating patterns on the \([4 + 4]\) tetrahedral FRP-12, \textit{i.e.}, homo-directional \textit{AAAA}/\textit{CCCC}, and hetero-directional \textit{AAAACCAAA}/\textit{CCCA} and \textit{CCCA} (Fig. 2a and S11\textsuperscript{†}). This is in perfect agreement with the fact that five distinct fractions of FRP-12 were resolved by employing high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with a chiral stationary phase, respectively eluting at 14.3, 17.7, 21.4, 27.3 and 31.0 min. Preliminary assignments of these fractions can be made based on the averaged symmetry reflected in their \(^1\text{H}-\text{NMR}\) spectra.\textsuperscript{16,17} With only a single set of imine proton signals observed, the 1st/5th fractions collected were designated as the FRP-12-\textit{AAAA}/\textit{CCCC} isomers, which possess the highest \(T\)-symmetry. Similarly, the 2nd/4th and the 3rd fractions could be identified as the \(C_3\)-symmetric FRP-12-\textit{AAAA}/\textit{CCCC} and \(S_4\)-symmetric FRP-12-\textit{AAAA}/\textit{CCCC} isomers, respectively (Fig. 2d and S4\textsuperscript{b†}). The absolute configurations of FRP-12 were further determined based on the comparisons between the experimental and (ZINDO/S)-calculated CD spectra. By relating the magnitudes of the Cotton effects to the facial patterns (Fig. 2c and S28\textsuperscript{†}), these five fractions were assigned as FRP-12-\textit{AAAA}/\textit{CCCC}, \textit{AAAA}/\textit{CCCC}, \textit{AAAA}/\textit{CCCC} and \textit{CCCC} in the order of their elution time.

The assembly of FRP-12 gave rise to a complicated mixture of stereoisomeric molecular cages, whose non-trivial chiral separation inevitably impeded their further developments in both research and practical applications. In our previous work, it was shown that employing different \textit{TAT} constitutional isomers as building blocks of FRP led to totally distinct geometry and diastereoselectivity.\textsuperscript{14} Inspired by the significant impact of molecular design on the FRP assembly processes, we produced the \textit{in silico} structures of FRP-12 (Fig. S32\textsuperscript{†}), as well as a new
Fig. 3  (a) Computationally optimized structures of FRP-12 and FRP-13 series show distinct energy profiles. The hetero-directional FRP-12-CCCA and CCCA/AAAA are found to be 6.9 and 6.7 kcal mol$^{-1}$ less stable than the homo-directional FRP-12-CCCC/AAAA analogues, respectively. On the other hand, the energy gaps between homo- and hetero-directional FRP-13 were estimated to be larger at 12.4 and 13.3 kcal mol$^{-1}$, respectively. (b) The optimized structures of FRP-13-CCCA (left) and CCAA (right) (hydrogen atoms and the $n$-alkyl chains were omitted for clarity).

series of FRP-13 involving para-formyl substituted TAT-p facial units instead of TAT-m. The calculations of the relative energy levels of different diastereoisomers in both series of FRP were performed on Vienna Ab initio Simulation Package (VASP), using gradient corrected (PBE) density functional theory in vacuum with D3-BJ dispersion correction. In the case of FRP-12, the hetero-directional CCAA and CCCA/AAAA isomers were found to be 6–7 kcal mol$^{-1}$ slightly less stable than their homo-directional CCCC/AAAA analogues (Fig. 3a, left). In contrast, the energy gaps between the most stable FRP-13-CCCC/AAAA enantiomeric pair and the hetero-directional isomers, namely FRP-13-CCCC/AAAA and CCAA, were predicted to be wider at 12–13 kcal mol$^{-1}$ (Fig. 3a, right).

FRP-13 in vitro were assembled from the para-formyl substituted TAT-p facial building blocks and the Tri-NH$_2$ vertices with a recipe similar to that of FRP-12. All structural characterizations were also carried out by following the routine. The [4 + 4] molecular topology was confirmed by HRMS (Fig. 1c and S25†). The high symmetry of FRP-13, as revealed by the simple pattern featured in the $^1$H NMR spectra, corroborates the $T$-symmetric homo-directional CCCC/AAAA isomers (Fig. S20–S24†). The chiral HPLC analysis also showed coherent results that FRP-13 could be successfully resolved into two fractions showing antipodal CD spectra (Fig. 4a and b). The 2nd fraction exhibited a negative Cotton effect at circa 350 nm, corresponding to the calculated FRP-13-CCCC (Fig. 4b and S31†), and the 1st peak was then assigned as FRP-13-AAAA accordingly.

In addition, single crystals of FRP-13 were cured by slowly diffusing methanol into a toluene solution. Unambiguous structural elucidation was enabled by X-ray crystallography, revealing a pair of enantiomeric FRP-13-CCCC/AAAA tetrahedral cages were co-crystallized in $R_{3c}$ space group (Fig. 4c). Interestingly, although FRP-13 shows a time-averaged $T$-symmetry in solution, in the crystal structure one of the TAT facial units shifts closer to the centroid of the cage, making the solid-state conformation of FRP-13 $C_3$-symmetric, presumably as a result of more efficient packing (Fig. S37 and S38†). Moreover, three toluene solvent molecules can be found inside of the cavity, indicating the potential for host-guest interactions. The cavity volume of FRP-13-CCCC/AAAA is estimated to be 772 Å$^3$ by promolecular density (the cavity volume can also be calculated to be 609 Å$^3$ according to the radius of its inscribed sphere, see in Fig. S39 and S40†). In agreement with the theoretically calculated energy levels, the experimental results of NMR, HPLC, CD and single crystal structures unanimously suggested that para-substituted TAT-p underwent highly diastereoselective assembly process with Tri-NH$_2$ to form a pair of homo-directional enantiomeric cages out of five possible diastereoisomers.

Further structural analysis showed that the two types of facial building blocks, TAT-m and TAT-p, when assembled with Tri-NH$_2$, cause a ~22° difference in the facial rotational angle in the resulting FRP (Fig. S36†). This minor structural variation, coupled with the multifaceted face-rotating patterns, leads to distinct spatial arrangements of their $n$-butyl chains. For both FRP-12 and 13-CCCC/AAAA, the bulky $n$-butyl groups between their TAT faces are placed far off from each other and show little steric repulsion (Fig. S33a and c†), which is in accordance with the fact that experimentally the homo-directional stereoisomers are the most stable species obtained.

Similarly, relative weak steric interactions are expected for the hetero-directional FRP-12-CCCA/AAAAC or CCAA, as their $n$-butyl side chains are positioned away from the Tri-NH$_2$ vertices in the molecular models (Fig. 5a and S33d†). The non-covalent interactions among the building blocks, which could be visualized with the aid of reduced density gradient (RDG) analysis, confirmed that only low-density and low-gradient regions exist between the hetero-directional TAT-m faces (Fig. 5a, right). On the other hand, in hetero-directional FRP-13-CCCA/AAAAC and CCAA isomers, the alkyl chains between the facial units are...
located much closer to the ethyl groups of Tri-NH$_2$ vertexes (Fig. 5b and S33b†). The strong repulsive interactions between the hetero-directional TAT-p units of FRP-13-CCCA can be clearly manifested by the RDG isosurface (Fig. 5b, right). The crowding of the imine bond protons and Tri-NH$_2$ units, as identified by RDG analysis (Fig. S34 and S35†), also makes the formations of the hetero-directional FRP-13 highly unfavored, leaving the FRP-13-CCCC/AAAA as the sole thermodynamic products.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we have successfully constructed two novel series of hollow [4 + 4] face-rotating polyhedral, FRP-12 and 13, with various degree of diastereoselectivity and large cavity. Structural characterizations and theoretical calculations indicated that the effective interfacial interactions between the TAT building blocks are essential to the outcome of the cage assembly processes. Whereas the condensation of meta-substituted TAT-m facial building blocks with Tri-NH$_2$ vertexes formed a mixture of five FRP-12 stereoisomers, moving the formyl group on the facial units to the para-positions completely changed the picture. The minor structural tweaking resulted in ~22° rotation of all facial units in FRP-13, bringing out clashing alkyl-alkyl interactions between TAT-p units, as well as the unfavored gauche conformations of the imine linkages, in the FRP with hetero-directional configurations. On account of the large energy gaps between the hetero- and homo-directional diastereoisomers, FRP-13 were obtained as a pair of CCCC/AAAA enantiomers exclusively. The highly diastereo-selective assembly of FRP-13 provides an example of stereo-controlled construction of pure organic cages by rational molecular design. This work clearly revealed the mechanism for the generation of highly diastereoselective FRP with large inner voids, opening up future opportunities for the constructions of more diverse optically-pure FRP and the further research in chiral resolution, asymmetric catalysis and bio-recognition applications.
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