Casilio-ME: Enhanced CRISPR-based DNA demethylation by RNA-guided coupling methylcytosine oxidation and DNA repair pathways
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ABSTRACT

We have developed a methylation editing toolbox, *Casilio-ME*, that enables not only RNA-guided methylcytosine editing by targeting TET1 to genomic sites, but also by co-delivering TET1 and protein factors that couple methylcytosine oxidation to DNA repair activities, and/or promote TET1 to achieve enhanced activation of methylation-silenced genes. Delivery of TET1 activity by *Casilio-ME1* robustly altered the CpG methylation landscape of promoter regions and activated methylation-silenced genes. We augmented *Casilio-ME1* to simultaneously deliver the TET1-catalytic domain and GADD45A (*Casilio-ME2*) or NEIL2 (*Casilio-ME3*) to streamline removal of oxidized cytosine intermediates to enhance activation of targeted genes. Using two-in-one effectors or modular effectors, *Casilio-ME2* and *Casilio-ME3* remarkably boosted gene activation and methylcytosine demethylation of targeted loci. We expanded the toolbox to enable a stable and expression-inducible system for broader application of the *Casilio-ME* platforms. This work establishes an advanced platform for editing DNA methylation to enable transformative research investigations interrogating DNA methylomes.
INTRODUCTION

DNA methylation is part of the multifaceted epigenetic modifications of chromatin that shape cellular differentiation, gene expression, and maintenance of cellular homeostasis. Aberrant DNA methylation is implicated in various diseases including cancer, imprinting disorders and neurological diseases \(^1\). Developing tools to directly edit the methylation state of a specific genomic locus is of significant importance both for studying the biology of DNA methylation as well as for development of therapies to treat DNA methylation-associated diseases.

In mammalian cells, the 5-methylcytosine (5mC) epigenetic mark generated by covalent linkage of a methyl group to the 5th position of the cytosine ring of CpG sequences is catalyzed by one of the three canonical DNA methyltransferases DMNT1, DNMT3A and DNMT3B \(^2, 3, 4\). DNA methylation is dynamic and involves demethylation pathways which erase 5mC to restore unmethylated DNA. Active demethylation involves the ten-eleven translocation (TET) family of methylcytosine dioxygenases that iteratively oxidize 5mC into 5-hydroxymethylcytosine (5hmC), 5-formylcytosine (5fC) and 5-carboxylcytosine (5caC) intermediates \(^5\). 5fC and 5caC are subsequently processed by the base-excision repair (BER) machinery to restore unmethylated cytosines. Restoration of an intact DNA base is initiated by DNA glycosylases that excise damaged bases to generate an apurinic/apyrimidinic site (AP site) for processing by the rest of the BER machinery. Thymine DNA glycosylase (TDG)-based BER has been functionally linked to TET1-mediated demethylation, suggesting an interplay between TET1 and enzymes of the BER machinery to actively erase 5mC marks. TDG acts on 5fC and 5caC and NEIL1 and NEIL2 DNA glycosylase/AP-lyase activities.
facilitate restoration of unmethylated cytosine by displacing TDG from the AP site to create a single strand DNA break substrate for further BER processing 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12.

Interestingly, DNA demethylation is enhanced by GADD45A (Growth Arrest and DNA-Damage-inducible Alpha), a multi-faceted nuclear protein involved in maintenance of genomic stability, DNA repair and suppression of cell growth 13, 14, 15. GADD45A interacts with TET1 and TDG, and was suggested to play a role in coupling 5mC oxidation to DNA repair 16, 17.

Advances in artificial transcription factor (ATF) technologies have enabled direct control of gene expression and epigenetic states 18, 19, 20. CRISPR/Cas9-based technologies allow much flexibility and scalability because the specificity is programmable by a single guide RNA (sgRNA) 21, 22. Tethering of TET1 or DNMT3a to genomic targets by use of ATFs has been shown to allow targeted removal or deposition of DNA methylation 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29. However, these ATF systems have inherent limitations in enabling multiplexed targeting, effector multimerization or formation of protein complexes at the targeted sequence. We recently developed the Casilio system which uses an extended sgRNA scaffold to assemble protein factors at target sites, enabling multimerization, differential multiplexing 30, and potentially stoichiometric complex formation.

Here we develop an advanced DNA methylation editing technology which allows targeted bridging of TET1 activity to BER machinery to efficiently alter the epigenetic state of CpG targets and activate methylation-silenced genes. Casilio-DNA Methylation Editing (ME) platforms enable targeted delivery of the TET1 effector alone (Casio-ME1) or in association with GADD45A (Casio-ME2) or NEIL2 (Casio-ME3) to achieve
enhanced 5mC demethylation and gene activation. We showed that Casilio-ME-mediated delivery of TET1 activity to gene promoters induced robust cytosine demethylation within the targeted CpG island (CGI) and activation of gene expression. When systematically compared to other reported methylation editing systems, Casilio-ME showed superior activities in mediating transcriptional activation of methylation-silenced gene and 5mC demethylation. The ability of Casilio-ME to mediate co-delivery of TET1 activity along with other protein factors, that enhance turnover of oxidized cytosine intermediates, paves the way for new areas of research to efficiently address the cause-effect relationships of DNA methylation in normal and pathological processes.

RESULTS

_Casio-I ME1 mediates delivery of TET1 activity to a specific genomic locus_

_Casio-I ME1_ is a three-component DNA Methylation Editing platform built on _Casio_ which uses nuclease-deficient Cas9 (dCas9), an effector module made of Pumilio/FBF (PUF) domain linked to an effector protein, and a modified sgRNA containing PUF-binding sites (PBS) (Fig. 1a)\(^30\). The dCas9/sgRNA ribonucleoprotein complex binds DNA targets without cutting to serve as an RNA-guided DNA binding vehicle whose specificity is dictated by the spacer sequence of the sgRNA and a short protospacer adjacent motif located on the target DNA. PUF-tethered effectors are recruited to the ribonucleoprotein complex via binding to their cognate PBS present on the sgRNA scaffold. PUF domains are found in members of an evolutionarily conserved family of eukaryotic RNA-binding proteins whose specificity is encoded within their structural tandem repeats, each of which recognizes a single ribonucleobase\(^31\). PUF domains
can be programmed to bind to any 8-mer RNA sequence, e.g., PUFa and PUFc used in this study were designed to bind PBSa (UGUAUGUA) and PBSc (UUGAUGUA), respectively. Multiple PBS added in tandem to the 3’ end of the sgRNA allow concurrent recruitment of multiple PUF-effectors to targeted DNA sequences without interfering with dCas9 targeting, and therefore allow amplification of the response to associated effector modules.

To enable targeted cytosine demethylation and subsequent activation of methylation-silenced genes, we built a DNA methyl editor TET1-effector Casilio-ME1 as a protein fusion of hTET1 catalytic domain (TET1(CD)) to the carboxyl end of PUFa (Fig. 1a). We chose as a target the MLH1 promoter region that is part of a large CGI whose aberrant hypermethylation induces MLH1-silencing in 10-30% of colorectal and other cancers. MLH1 is silenced in HEK293T cells and therefore represents a clinically relevant model for developing Casilio-ME.

To test the system, cells were transiently transfected with plasmids encoding Casilio-ME1 components PUFa-TET1(CD) effector, dCas9 and six MLH1-promoter-targeting sgRNAs each containing five copies of PBSa (Fig. 1a). This resulted in robust MLH1 activation as indicated by the obtained fold changes in MLH1 mRNA in cells collected on day 3 post transfection (Fig. 1b, c upper panel). In contrast, MLH1 activation was not obtained with a non-targeting sgRNA (NT-sgRNA) (Fig. 1b), indicating that Casilio-ME1-mediated MLH1 activation requires specific targeting of the PUFa-TET1(CD) module directed by the programmable sgRNAs.

Evidence that the Casilio-ME1-induced activation of MLH1 results from TET1-mediated 5mC demethylation came from high throughput bisulfite sequencing (BSeq) of
MLH1 amplicons derived from the cells analyzed in Fig.1b. BSeq showed that targeted delivery of the TET1(CD) effector induces a profound decrease in CpG methylation frequency within the MLH1 promoter region (Fig. 1c lower panel). Demethylation activity was prominently higher within CpGs neighboring MLH1-sgRNA sites (Fig. 1c lower panel (arrows)), and seemed to spread away, albeit with relatively reduced activities. These data indicate that Casilio-ME1 mediates delivery of TET1 activity to promoter regions to induce 5mC demethylation within the targeted CGI and subsequent activation of the methylation-silenced gene.

**Comparison of Casilio-ME1 with other TET1 delivery systems**

Although other technologies enabling targeted delivery of TET1 activity to genomic loci have been reported to induce activation of methylation-silenced genes, a direct comparison of their efficiency is lacking. Here we compared Casilio-ME1 efficiency to alter expression of methylation-regulated genes to alternative technologies for 5mC demethylation that are based on TALEs, dCas9/MS2 or dCas9/SunTag systems (Fig. 1d). We therefore assembled four TALE-TET1(CD) fusions each designed to bind to one of the four MLH1-sgRNA target sequences used for dCas9-based delivery systems. Relative quantitation of MLH1 mRNA indicated that the SunTag, TALEs or MS2 based systems only achieved 63%, 7% or 1%, respectively, of the Casilio-ME1-mediated activation level (Fig. 1e). BSeq analysis of MLH1 promoter comparing Casilio-ME1 and SunTag systems showed that Casilio-ME1 induced stronger demethylation at most of the CpG sites examined (Fig. S1). These results suggest that Casilio-ME1-mediated delivery of TET1 activity to CGI target enables stronger 5mC demethylation and gene activation compared to published systems.
**Casilio-ME2: co-delivery of TET1(CD) and GADD45A enhances gene activation**

Active 5mC erasure is a two-step process initiated by TET1-mediated iterative 5mC oxidations followed by base-excision (BER) or nucleotide-excision (NER) repair conversions of oxidized intermediates to cytosines. Thus, coupling these two steps could streamline 5mC active erasure to efficiently activate methylation-silenced genes. Because GADD45A promotes TET1 activity and/or could recruit key player(s) of DNA repair, we sought to augment Casilio-ME by constructing an upgraded version Casilio-ME2 which simultaneously recruits TET1(CD) and GADD45A to bridge 5mC oxidation with DNA repair at a specific genomic locus.

To test Casilio-ME2 in inducing MLH1 activation in a comparison with Casilio-ME1 and our previously reported Casilio-p65HSF1 activator, we introduced plasmids encoding the protein fusions of PUFa-p65HSF1 (activator), PUFa-TET1(CD) (Casilio-ME1), PUFa-GADD45A-TET1(CD) (Casilio-ME2.1) or GADD45A-PUFa-TET1(CD) (Casilio-ME2.2) (Fig. 2a), along with dCas9 and sgRNAs plasmids into HEK293T cells. Casilio-ME1-mediated MLH1 activation was 46% higher than that obtained with the PUFa-p65HSF1 activator module (Fig. 2b). Interestingly, when GADD45A was added as part of Casilio-ME2.1 or Casilio-ME2.2 TET1-effectors, MLH1 mRNA expression was augmented by 3 and 6-fold, respectively, compared to Casilio-ME1 (Fig. 2b). This enhanced MLH1 activation, obtained with GADD45A as part of the TET1 effector modules, does not result from higher expression of Casilio-ME2 effectors (Fig. S2). Thus, coupling of GADD45A and TET1(CD) as a two-in-one effector enhances TET1-mediated activation of methylation-silenced genes.

To obtain further evidence that co-delivery of TET1(CD) and GADD45A effectors to
target sites enhances gene activation compared to delivery of TET1(CD) alone, we fused each effector to a separate PUF protein, i.e., TET1(CD) to PUFa and GADD45A to PUFc, and used sgRNA containing both PBSa and PBSc to tether the respective PUF-fusion to the sgRNA scaffold (Fig. 2c). When Casilio-ME2.3 (PUFc-GADD45A) or Casilio-ME2.4 (GADD45A-PUFc) components were introduced to cells, 3 and 6-fold increase in TET1-mediated MLH1 activation was obtained respectively (Fig. 2d). However, no MLH1 expression was detected using Casilio-ME2.3 and ME2.4 systems when a catalytically dead TET1(CD) (dTET1(CD)) replaced wild-type TET1(CD), indicating that the observed GADD45A-mediated stimulation of gene activation requires the oxidative activity of TET1 (Fig. 2d). Similarly, no MLH1 activation was obtained when the GADD45A module of Casilio-ME2.3 and ME2.4 systems were introduced into cells without the PUFa-TET1(CD) component (Fig. 2c, d), indicating that expression of GADD45A alone, in the absence of the TET1 module, does not mediate gene activation. In addition, when the sgRNAs contained PBSa but lacked PBSc required for tethering PUFc-associated modules, GADD45A modules failed to stimulate MLH1 activation (Fig. S3). Thus, enhancement of gene activation in Casilio-ME2 requires co-delivery of GADD45A and TET1-effector modules to the target site.

_Casilio-ME3: co-delivery of TET1(CD) and base excision repair enzymes_

TDG, NEIL1 and NEIL2 have been functionally linked to active DNA demethylation as they are involved in the initial step of removing oxidized cytosines 5fC and 5caC produced by TET1 activities. Because initiating repair of oxidized cytosines by the BER machinery might be a rate limiting step to TET1-mediated activation of methylation-silenced genes, we reasoned that coupling TET1 activities with DNA
glycosylases could facilitate 5mC active erasure and enhance subsequent gene activation.

We therefore linked NEIL1, NEIL2, NEIL3 or TDG to the PUFa-TET1(CD) effector as single chain protein fusions and looked for potential gains in Casilio-ME1-mediated MLH1 activation. Among these, only NEIL2 fusions showed enhanced activation of MLH1 expression (Fig. S4). Casilio-ME3.1 and Casilio-ME3.2, in which NEIL2 is fused N-terminally to PUFa or between PUFa and TET1(CD) of the PUFa-TET1(CD) effector, respectively, increased MLH1 activation 4-fold in the presence of MLH1-sgRNAs compared to Casilio-ME1 (Fig. 3a, b). Thus, co-delivering TET1(CD) and NEIL2 as a two-in-one effector to target sites improves activation of 5mC-silenced genes.

To further show that NEIL2 promotes demethylation-mediated gene activation, TET1(CD) and NEIL2 were co-delivered as separate effectors to MLH1 promoter regions by fusing TET1(CD) and NEIL2 to PUFa and PUFc, respectively, and using sgRNAs comprising both PBSa and PBSc (Fig. 3c). Binding of these effectors to a sgRNA scaffold brings TET1(CD) and NEIL2 into close proximity, and potentially enables coupling of DNA demethylation with BER. When Casilio-ME3.3 (PUFc-NEIL2) or Casilio-ME3.4 (NEIL2-PUFc) components were used, MLH1 activation was increased by 7-fold as compared to Casilio-ME1 (Fig. 3d). Taken together, these results show that co-delivery of TET1(CD) and NEIL2 DNA glycosylase/AP-lyase stimulates activation of a methylation-silenced gene.

To determine whether the enhanced gene activation obtained with Casilio-ME3.3 and ME3.4 systems requires co-targeting of TET1(CD) and NEIL2 to a genomic site and does not result from NEIL2 over expression, we disabled targeting of NEIL2 effector
modules by using sgRNAs comprising PBSa but lacking the PBSc required for targeting PUFc-based NEIL2 effectors (Fig. S5a). Cells transfected with Casilio-ME3.3 or ME3.4 components comprising sgRNAs that lacked PBSc tethering sites showed no significant gains in TET1-mediated MLH1 activation, indicating that enhanced TET1-mediated gene activation requires co-targeting of NEIL2 and TET1(CD) modules via an RNA scaffold (Fig. S5b). Thus, these data show that co-delivery of NEIL2 and TET1(CD) to genomic loci synergistically promotes TET1-mediated gene activation, likely via facilitated coupling of 5mC demethylation and BER activities to efficiently restore unmethylated cytosine to targeted sites.

**Comparison of Casilio-ME platforms**

*Casilio-ME2* and *Casilio-ME3* platforms showed an enhanced activation of a methylation-silenced gene compared to *Casilio-ME1*. Here we sought to compare these platforms to one another in their efficiencies to activate MLH1 and alter methylation landscape of targeted CGI. The comparison included the previously reported dCas9-TET1 as alternative system for reference 25. Normalized MLH1 levels, to those obtained with dCas9-TET1 system, showed that *Casilio-ME2.2* gave augmented MLH1 activation higher than *Casilio-ME1, ME2.1, ME3.1* and *ME3.2* platforms (Fig. S6a). When modular *Casilio-ME2.3, ME2.4* and *ME3.4* platforms were compared, *Casilio-ME2.4* showed the most MLH1 activation (Fig. S6b). Only background MLH1 mRNA levels could be detected with TET1(CD)-dead mutants of the *Casilio-ME* platforms (*Casilio-dME*) (Fig. S6a, b), indicating that TET1 activity is required for gene activation and that delivery of GADD45A or NEIL2 without TET1 oxidative activity is not sufficient for activating methylation-silenced genes.
To ask whether the augmented MLH1 activation of Casilio-ME2.2 and Casilio-ME3.1 came from an increased efficiency in 5mC erasure, we performed BSeq and oxidative BSeq (oxBSeq) by high throughput amplicon sequencing of MLH1 promoter regions derived from cells transfected with Casilio-ME components or dCas9-TET1. Analysis of 5mC frequencies within MLH1 CGI showed that Casilio-ME2.2 and Casilio-ME3.1 produced higher demethylation activities compared to Casilio-ME1 and dCas9-TET1 (Fig 4a upper panel, b). This is consistent with the observed higher accumulation trends of 5mC-oxidation products 5hmC and bisulfite converted CpGs (5fC, 5caC and C) (Fig. 4). Interestingly, a noticeable trend appear to exist when looking at the levels of 5mC-oxidation products; Casilio-ME2.2 produced more bisulfite converted CpGs (5fC, 5caC and C), whereas Casilio-ME3.1 produced more 5hmC (Fig. 4b, c). This apparent difference in demethylation patterns could explain the relative efficiencies of Casilio-ME2.2 and ME3.1 in enhancing MLH1 activation. The higher accumulation of 5hmC in the NEIL2-based Casilio-ME platform could be explained by NEIL2 competing with TET1(CD) for processing 5fC and 5caC substrates to potentially steer TET1 activity more toward the 5mC substrate. Alternatively, TET1 activity may be promoted in the presence of NEIL2 or NEIL2-associated proteins. For Casilio-ME2.2, the observed demethylation profiles are consistent with GADD45A promoting TET1 activity and/or recruiting BER to the target site, leading to accumulation of bisulfite converted CpGs (5fC, 5caC and C).

Evidence that the enhanced gene activation obtained with Casilio-ME2.2 and Casilio-ME3.1 required fully active GADD45A or NEIL2, respectively was obtained when point mutations were introduced to alter key functional features or inactivate
corresponding proteins. GADD45A lacks any obvious enzymatic activity, however, previous reports pointed us to key amino acids required for chromatin interaction (G39A) or dimerization/self-association (L77E) of the protein \(^{34,35}\). Catalytically inactive NEIL2 with (C291S) or (R310Q) mutations located at the zinc finger domain required for NEIL2-binding to DNA substrate were also reported \(^{36}\). Introduction of these point mutations to \textit{Casilio-ME2.2} or \textit{Casilio-ME3.1} abrogated the enhanced \textit{MLH1} activation (Fig. S7a). The reduced \textit{MLH1} activations obtained were not due to protein destabilization caused by amino-acid changes introduced to GADD45A and NEIL2 (Fig. S7b, c). Interestingly, \textit{Casilio-ME3.1} containing NEIL2(R310Q) mutation seemed to retain a weak enhancement that is likely attributed to residual catalytic and DNA-binding activities of the R310Q NEIL2 mutant (Fig. S7a) \(^{36}\).

The enhanced demethylation activities, taken together with the fact that the boost in \textit{MLH1} activation mediated by \textit{Casilio-ME2.2} and \textit{Casilio-ME3.1} required TET1 oxidative activity and functionally active GADD45A or NEIL2 enhancer proteins, is consistent with the idea that these platforms might facilitate bridging oxidative removal of 5mC to DNA repair pathways to efficiently restore unmethylated cytosine to targeted loci.

### Evaluation of potential off-target activity and mutagenicity of \textit{Casilio-ME} platforms

The CRISPR/dCas9 system inherently tolerates mismatches, to some extent, between guide RNAs and genomic loci to subsequently give rise to potential off-target effects \(^{37,38}\). To evaluate \textit{Casilio-ME} platforms for potential off-target effects, we performed reduced representation bisulfite sequencing (RRBS) of genomic DNA extracted from cells transfected with \textit{Casilio-ME} components, dCas9-TET1 or SunTag
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systems in the presence of either *MLH1* or non-targeting sgRNAs. Pairwise correlations between all samples, including untransfected cells, gave similar correlations. The correlations were within the same range as previously reported for RRBS replicates 39, suggesting that *Casilio-ME* platform associated off target activities, if any existed, do not exceed those of alternative 5mC editing systems (Fig. S8a).

To evaluate further the specificity of the *Casilio-ME* platforms, we performed RNAseq to compare *MLH1*-sgRNAs and NT-sgRNA transfected cells. The overall pattern of gene expression of *Casilio-ME2.2*, SunTag and dCas9-TET1 systems seemed largely similar with high correlations of FPKM values among *MLH1*-sgRNA and NT-sgRNA transfected cells in each system (Fig. S8b). In addition to *MLH1*, *FSBP* was called significantly up-regulated in *Casilio-ME2.2* by RNAseq analysis, thus representing a potential off-target effect. However, quantitation by TaqMan assays of *FSBP* levels in the RNA samples used for RNAseq showed no expression changes, indicating that *FSBP* activation observed in RNAseq is a false positive (Fig. S9a). *MLH1* was more prominently up-regulated in *Casilio-ME2.2* transfected cells. The other differentially expressed RNAseq hits of *Casilio-ME2.2*, SunTag and dCas9-TET1 systems could represent off-target effects or reflect potential transcriptome changes subsequent to *MLH1* reactivation (Fig. S8b).

Recruitment of BER associated proteins via *Casilio-ME* platforms might introduce mutations to targeted sites. To evaluate potential mutagenicity of *Casilio-ME*, we performed deep sequencing of the *MLH1* locus, a 1kb targeted region that comprises the promoter and part of the first exon, and compared sequence identity distribution among reads to untransfected cells. *Casilio-ME* transfected cells showed no significant
difference in sequence identity within MLH1 reads, ruling out the possibility of Casilio-ME platforms introducing mutations to targeted sites (Fig. S9b, c).

**Portability of the Casilio-ME platforms**

To show that Casilio-ME platforms enable efficient activation of other 5mC-silenced genes and in different cell types, we measured changes in expression of MGMT, SOX17, RHOXF2 (HEK293T), CDH1 (U2OS) and GSTP1 (LNCaP) in cells transfected with the components of Casilio-ME platforms. This showed that Casilio-ME platforms also enabled activation of these methylation-silenced genes. Casilio-ME2.2, Casilio-ME3.1 or ME3.2 produced significantly enhanced gene activations compared to Casilio-ME1 for the genes tested (Fig. S10). Interestingly, the superiority and the levels of enhancement in TET1-mediated gene activation achieved by Casilio-ME2.2 and Casilio-ME3.1 or ME3.2 varied for some gene targets, suggesting the existence of some locus dependency for GADD45A or NEIL2 to efficiently augment TET1-mediated gene activation.

**Inducible Casilio-ME platform**

To enable tunable and “on-demand” targeted DNA demethylation and gene activation, we constructed piggyBac (PB) transposon vectors hosting doxycycline-inducible PB Casilio-ME1 cassettes (DIP_Casilio-ME1) where the expression of dCas9 and PUFa-TET1(CD) is under the control of Tet-On promoters (Fig. S11a). A DIP_Casilio-ME1 stable cell line is established by piggyBac transposition followed by antibiotic selection. When the DIP_Casilio-ME1 cell line was transiently transfected with targeting sgRNAs, we obtained robust MLH1 activation in the presence of doxycycline.
MLH1 mRNA level also increased in response to increasing amounts of doxycycline (Fig. S11b). Without doxycycline added only background levels of MLH1 were detected and no detectable amounts of Casilio-ME1 protein components were observed in Western blot analysis of protein extracts from transfected cells (Fig. S11b, c). This DIP_Casilio-ME1 will enable establishment of isogenic cell lines that can be used to study different target CGIs in a tunable manner by supplying different target-specific sgRNAs and adjusting doxycycline dosage.

DISCUSSION

The present study establishes a modular RNA-guided DNA methylation editing platform that not only recruits the TET1 effector to initiate DNA demethylation by 5mC oxidations, but also delivers protein factors to facilitate coupling 5mC oxidation to DNA repair pathways to effectively restore intact DNA to targeted sites. Such dual delivery enhanced 5mC demethylation at CGI target and augmented gene activations when compared to TET1(CD) delivered alone. In addition to the robustness of the platform, the modular design of Casilio-ME allows a high degree of tunability and flexibility in editing 5mC epigenetic marks.

Turnover of 5fC and 5caC by DNA repair machinery lags behind TET1-mediated 5mC oxidations as these intermediates accumulate before getting converted to unmethylated cytosine 40. Coupling TET1 activity with BER or NER pathways could accelerate 5fC and 5caC turnover, thereby enhancing activation of methylation-silenced genes. Consistent with this idea, Casilio-ME2 and Casilio-ME3 platforms designed to facilitate coupling TET1 and DNA repair activities gave an enhanced gene activation
and CpG demethylation of targeted sites. This enhanced gene activation requires TET1 catalytic activity, fully functional GADD45A or NEIL2 proteins and co-targeting relevant effectors in close proximity to genomic target sites.

Previous studies revealed interesting functional and physical interactions among proteins involved in oxidizing 5mC and removal of oxidized cytosine intermediates via BER or NER. NEIL2 promotes substrate turnover by TDG during DNA demethylation 12. GADD45A physically interacts with TET1 or TDG and seems to promote TET1 activity, and enhances removal of 5fC and 5caC by TDG 14, 16, 17. GADD45A also recruits repair enzymes such as the 3'-NER endonuclease XPG to genomic sites DNA 41, 42. As GADD45A is devoid of any enzymatic activity, it was proposed to function as a liaison protein to physically couple 5mC oxidation with DNA repair 16. Consistent with these observations, Casilio-mediated co-targeting of TET1(CD) with GADD45A or NEIL2 within close proximity of their substrates enhanced 5mC demethylation and activation of methylation-silenced genes. However, the addition of TDG to Casilio-ME modules failed to augment gene activation, because the TDG protein fusions tested here might not be functional or other factor(s) might be required for TDG to produce enhanced gene activation.

Different levels of activation of methylation-silenced genes could be obtained by using one of the three flavors of Casilio-ME and by varying doxycycline concentrations with the DIP_Casio ME platform described here. This equips Casilio-ME platforms with a unique capability to fine-tune gene activation. These Casilio-ME platforms significantly expand 5mC editing capability to efficiently address the causal-effect relationships of methylcytosine epigenetic marks in numerous biological and
pathological systems.
METHODS

Cell culture and transfection

HEK293T and U2OS cells were cultivated in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM)(Sigma) with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS)(Lonza), 4% Glutamax (Gibco), 1% Sodium Pyruvate (Gibco) and penicillin-streptomycin (Gibco) in an incubator set to 37°C and 5% CO2. LNCaP cells were obtained from ATCC and cultivated in RPMI-1640 supplemented with 10% FBS. Doxycycline (Dox) (Sigma) (1 µg/ml) or as otherwise indicated was added on the day of transfections with a daily change of media supplemented with Dox. Cells were seeded into 12-well plates at 150,000 cells per well the day before being transfected with plasmids each encoding dCas9 (100 ng), sgRNAs (100 ng) or PUF-fusion (200 ng) in the presence of Attractene or Lipofectamine 3000 transfection reagents according to manufacturers’ instructions (Qiagen, Thermo Fisher Scientific, respectively). The same plasmid ratio and total amount of DNA were used in cell transfections with components of dCas9/MS2 and SunTag systems. In the two-component systems, where TET1(CD) was fused to dCas9 or TALEs, 200 ng effector, 100 ng sgRNAs and 100 ng empty vector of plasmid DNA were used. Nucleofections of LNCaP cells were performed by using 4D-nucleofector according to manufacturer’s instructions (Lonza) using 400 ng plasmid DNA. Cells were harvested 3 days after transfection or as otherwise indicated, and cell pellets were used for extractions of RNA, genomic DNA and protein using AllPrep DNA/RNA/Protein Mini Kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Qiagen). Stable and Dox-inducible expression cell line was generated by transfecting the indicated PiggyBac vectors in the presence of hyperactive transposase plasmid (hyPBase) as previously reported.\textsuperscript{43, 44}
Plasmid constructions

A list of plasmids with links to their Addgene entries are provided in supplementary Table 1. Detailed descriptions and sequences are given in the supplementary tables (2-5). The plasmids pCAG-dCas9-5xPlat2AfID and pCAG-scFvGCN4sfGFPTET1CD (Addgene # 82560 and 82561, respectively), pdCas9-Tet1-CD, and pcDNA3.1-MS2-Tet1-CD (Addgene # 83340 and 83341, respectively) were gifts from Izuho Hatada and Ronggui Hu, respectively.

Quantitative RT-PCR analysis

Harvested cells were washed with Dulbecco’s phosphate-buffered saline (dPBS), centrifuged at 125 x g for 5 min and then the flash-frozen pellets were stored at -80°C. Extracted RNA (500 ng to 2 µg) were used as templates to make cDNA libraries using a High Capacity RNA-to-cDNA kit (Applied Biosystems). TaqMan gene expression assays were designed using GAPDH (Hs03929097, VIC) as an endogenous control and CDH1 (Hs01023895_m1, FAM), GSTP1 (Hs00943350_g1, FAM), MGMT (Hs01037698_m1,FAM), MLH1 (Hs00179866_m1, FAM), RHOXF2 (Hs00261259_m1, FAM), or SOX17 (Hs00751752_s1, FAM) as targets (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Quantitative PCR (qPCR) was performed in 10 µL reactions by using TaqMan Universal Master Mix II with UNG and 2 µL of diluted cDNA from each sample (Applied Biosystems). Gene expression levels were calculated by “delta delta Ct” and normalized to control samples using ViiA7 version 1.2.2 software (Applied Biosystems by Life technologies).
**Bisulfite and oxidative bisulfite sequencing (BSeq and oxBSeq)**

Bisulfite and oxidative bisulfite conversion experiments were performed by using the EpiTect Fast DNA Bisulfite Kit, True Methyl oxBS Module and genomic DNA according to manufacturers’ instructions (Qiagen, NuGen respectively). Bisulfite treated DNA served as templates to PCR-amplify three DNA fragments of 350-400 bp long that cover the entire **MLH1** promoter region using ZymoTaq PreMix according to manufacturer’s instructions (Zymo Research). The **MLH1** PCR fragments were then cloned by SLIC into EcoRI-linearized pUC19 plasmid using T4 DNA polymerize. Ten independent positive clones for each sample were then subjected to Sanger sequencing to determine methylation profiles based on bisulfite-mediated cytosine to thymine conversion frequency of individual CpGs. **MLH1** amplicons obtained from bisulfite converted DNA templates and from unconverted DNA were subjected to high throughput sequencing (2 x 250 paired-end reads) conducted at GENEWIZ (South Plainfield, NJ, USA). Fifty to 120 thousand reads were obtained per sample. Sequence analysis of the plasmids extracted from **MLH1** clones to determine methylation frequencies was performed by using BiQ Analyzer 3 with minimal bisulfite conversion rate and sequence identity set to 97% and 95%, respectively. Reads from high throughput amplicon sequencing, on the other hand, were analyzed for 5mC and 5hmC by using BiQ Analyzer HiMod with minimal read quality score, alignment score, sequence identity and bisulfite conversion rate set to 30, 1000, 0.9 and 0.9, respectively. Mean bisulfite conversion rates in the retained sequences were >0.98. BiQ Analyzer HiMod was used without sequence identity filter to analyze sequence integrity of **MLH1** amplicons derived from genomic DNA without bisulfite treatment.
**Reduced representation bisulfite sequencing (RRBS)**

Library preparation for RRBS was performed according to manufacturer's instructions (Diagenode). Briefly, 100 ng of genomic DNA for each sample was enzymatically digested, end-repaired and ligated with an adaptor. Samples with different adaptors were then pooled together and subjected to bisulfite treatment followed by purification steps. The pooled DNA was PCR-amplified and then cleaned up with Ampure XP beads. Libraries were quantified with real time qPCR and sequenced using Illumina NextSeq (1 x 75 single end reads). Forty to 60 million reads per sample were obtained. To compute the CpG methylation levels, RRBS reads were aligned to the hg38 human reference genome using Bismark (version 0.16.0) \(^{48}\). CpG sites with coverage lower than 10 or higher than 400 reads were filtered-out and Pearson's correlation of methylation profiles across indicated samples were computed by using methylKit (version 1.0.0) \(^{49}\).

**RNA sequencing**

RNA sequencing libraries were prepared for independent replicates of mRNA samples by using NEBNext Ultra RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina according to manufacturer’s instructions (New England Biolabs). Briefly, mRNA was enriched with Oligod(T) beads, fragmented for 15 minutes at 94°C and then reverse transcribed followed by second strand cDNA synthesis. cDNA fragments were end repaired and adenylated at 3’ends, and universal adapters were ligated to cDNA fragments, followed by index addition and library enrichment by limited cycle PCR. The libraries were validated on the Agilent TapeStation (Agilent Technologies), and quantified by using Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer (Invitrogen) and quantitative PCR (KAPA Biosystems). The
libraries were clustered on 2 lanes of a flowcell then loaded on the Illumina HiSeq instrument according to manufacturer’s instructions. The samples were sequenced using a 2x150 paired-end configuration and image analysis and base calling were conducted by the HiSeq Control Software. Generated raw sequence data (.bcl files) from Illumina HiSeq was converted into fastq files and de-multiplexed using Illumina’s bcl2fastq 2.17 software. Thirty to 40 million read pairs were obtained per sample. Reads were then quantitated by Salmon into transcript estimates, then subjected to DESeq2 for differential gene expression analysis. Genes with low read counts in all samples were filtered out to eliminate noise in the analysis. Differentially expressed genes were called with adjusted p-values less than 0.05. MA-plots and FPKM scatter plots were generated using DESeq2.

*Western blot analysis*

Protein cell extracts (30 µg) were separated by electrophoresis on 10% SDS-polyacrylamide gels and then transferred to nitrocellulose membranes at 100 V for 1 hour using Bjerrum Schafer-Nielsen buffer with SDS. Blocked membrane in 5% Blotting-Grade Blocker (BioRad) in TBS-T (50 mM Tris pH 7.6, 200 mM NaCl, 0.1% Tween 20) were incubated overnight at 4°C with the indicated antibodies, and then protein bands were detected using Horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies (Sigma) and Clarity Western ECL Substrate according to manufacturer’s instructions (BioRad). Monoclonal anti-Flag (Sigma), monoclonal CRISPR/Cas9 (Epigentek) and monoclonal anti-β-actin (Santa Cruz or Sigma) antibodies were used according to manufacturers’ instructions. Blots were imaged using a G:Box (Syngene).
**Statistical analyses**

Information on replication, statistical tests and presentation are given in the respective figure legends. GraphPad Prism 8 and Microsoft Excel were used to perform the indicated tests. Differences in all comparisons were considered significant if the obtained $P$ values were less than 0.05.

**DATA AVAILABILITY**

RNAseq and RRBS raw sequencing read files were deposited onto sequencing read archive (SRA) with accession number PRJNA515359. Data that support the findings of this study are available from corresponding author upon reasonable request.
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**FIGURE LEGENDS**

**Figure 1** - *Casilio-ME1*: *Casilio*-mediated DNA Methylation Editing platform-evaluation of its mediated activation of methylation-regulated genes and 5mC demethylation at CGI targets.

(a) Schematic representation of targeted delivery of *Casilio-ME1* components to genomic sites. PUFa-TET1(CD) effector module (TET1 residues 1418-2136), dCas9, and sgRNA with 3’extension scaffold comprising five copies of PUFa-binding site (PBSa) *Casilio-ME1* components are shown. Amino (N) and carboxyl (C) termini of protein fusions are arbitrarily shown.

(b) Column plot showing fold changes in *MLH1* mRNA levels in cells transfected with *Casilio-ME1* components comprising *MLH1*-sgRNAs or NT-sgRNA as indicated. The transiently transfected cells were not subjected to selection and were collected 3 days after transfection. Error bars represent mean ± S.E.M (n = 3), data form two independent experiments are shown. NS, not significant, *P* >0.05, two-way ANOVA.

(c) Upper panel: *MLH1* promoter region and associated CpG island. Regions B and C are depicted according to a report correlating *MLH1*-silencing to hypermethylation of region C 33. CpGs (lollipops), transcription start site (TSS) (arrow), and the sgRNAs used (A to F) are shown. Coordinates are relative to annotated TSS.

Lower panel: high throughput BSeq analysis of *MLH1* amplicons obtained from cells analyzed in (b). CpG methylation frequency (5mC + 5hmC) mean ± S.E.M (n= 2) of *MLH1* promoter regions in cells transfected with *Casilio-ME1* plasmids using *MLH1*-sgRNAs or NT-sgRNA is shown. Arrows indicate locations of CpG overlapping one of the six *MLH1* sgRNAs (A-F) target sequences or TSS (blue arrow) as shown. CpG
coordinates represent positions of cytosines, in base pair, relative to annotated TSS. Statistical significance of differences in methylation frequencies were tested. $P<0.0001$, two-tail $t$-test and two-way ANOVA.

**d** Depiction of components of DNA demethylation technologies compared in panel (e). TET1 effectors are tethered to dCas9 nucleoprotein complex at targeted site via binding of PUFa to PBSa (*Casilio-ME1* platform), MS2 coat protein to stem-loop RNA structures appended to sgRNA (MS2 system), or ScFv (single-chain fragment variable) antibody against short peptide (GCN4) appended in array to dCas9 C-terminal end (SunTag system). TALE (transcription activator like effector) mediates direct delivery of TET1 activity via binding to its target sequence (TALE system). In MS2 system mouse TET1(CD) domain is also C-terminally fused to dCas9. Amino (N) and carboxyl (C) termini of proteins, and protein fusions are arbitrarily shown.

**e** Evaluation of *Casilio-ME1* platform as compared with other 5mC demethylation systems. *MLH1* mRNA relative levels (mean fold change ± S.E.M.; n=3) in cells transfected with components of *Casilio-ME1*, MS2, TALE or SunTag systems. Four *MLH1*-sgRNAs or NT-sgRNA were used with dCas9-based delivery systems as indicated. Four TALE effectors, each designed to bind one of the sequences targeted by the displayed *MLH1*-sgRNAs A, B, D or F, were used with TALE system. Schematic of *MLH1* promoter region with the four sgRNAs used (A, B, D and F), CpGs (lollipops), and TSS (arrow) are shown above the column plot. *** $P< 0.05$, one-way ANOVA.
**Figure 2- Casilio-ME2**: dual delivery of TET1(CD) and GADD45A to targeted genomic sites.

(a) Schematic representation of the indicated *Casilio-ME* and *Casilio* platforms showing effector modules of PUFa fusion proteins used to transfect cells analyzed in (b). TET1(CD) (black), GADD45A (blue), p65HSF1 (green), PUFa (light grey), amino (N) and carboxyl (C) termini of protein fusions and occupancy of PBSa are arbitrarily shown.

(b) Plot showing *MLH1* mRNA relative levels (mean fold change ± S.E.M.; n=3) in cells transfected with components of *Casilio-ME1*, *Casilio-ME2.1*, *Casilio-ME2.2*, or *Casilio/p65HSF1* in the presence of *MLH1*-sgRNAs or NT-sgRNA as indicated. Cells were collected 3 days after transfection. Drawing of promoter regions with the *MLH1*-sgRNAs used (A-F), CpGs (lollipops), and TSS (arrow) is shown above the plot. ***P<0.0005, one-way ANOVA.

(c) Schematic representation of the indicated *Casilio-ME* platforms showing effector modules of PUFa and PUFc fusion proteins used to transfect cells analyzed in panel (d). TET1(CD) (black), GADD45A (blue), PUFa (light grey), PUFc (orange), sgRNA containing both PBSa and PBSc, amino (N) and carboxyl (C) termini of protein fusions are arbitrarily shown.

(d) *MLH1* mRNA relative levels (mean fold change ± S.E.M.; n=3) in cells transfected with components of *Casilio-ME1*, *Casilio-ME2.3*, or *Casilio-ME2.4* in the presence of *MLH1*-sgRNAs or NT-sgRNA is shown. When indicated PUFa-TET1(CD), effector component of *Casilio-ME2.3* and *Casilio-ME2.4*, was replaced by a catalytically dead PUFa-TET1(CD) effector containing TET1-inactivating mutations H1671Y,
D1673A \textsuperscript{52}, or omitted. \textit{MLH1} promoter with the sgRNAs used (A-F), CpGs (lollipops), and TSS (arrow) is depicted above the plot. *** $P<0.0005$, one-way ANOVA.

\textbf{Figure 3-} \textit{Casilio-ME3}: dual delivery of TET1(CD) and NEIL2 to targeted genomic site.

\textbf{(a)} Illustration of the indicated \textit{Casilio-ME} platforms showing effector modules of PUFa fusion proteins used to transfect cells analyzed in panel (b). TET1(CD) (black), NEIL2 (blue), PUFa (light grey), occupancy of PBSa, amino (N) and carboxyl (C) termini of protein fusions are arbitrarily shown.

\textbf{(b)} \textit{MLH1} mRNA relative levels (mean fold change ± S.E.M.; n=3) in cells transfected with components of \textit{Casilio-ME1}, \textit{Casilio-ME3.1}, or \textit{Casilio-ME3.2} in the presence \textit{MLH1}-sgRNAs or NT-sgRNA as indicated. Drawing of promoter regions with the \textit{MLH1}-sgRNAs used (A-F), CpGs (lollipops), and TSS (arrow) is shown above the plot. *** $P<0.001$, one-way ANOVA.

\textbf{(c)} Schematic representation of the indicated \textit{Casilio-ME} platforms showing effector modules of PUFa and PUFc protein fusions used to transfect cells analyzed in panel (d). TET1(CD) (black), NEIL2 (blue), PUFa (light grey), PUFc (orange), sgRNA containing both PBSa and PBSc, occupancy of PBSa and PBSc, amino (N) and carboxyl (C) termini of protein fusions are arbitrarily shown.

\textbf{(d)} Plot showing \textit{MLH1} mRNA relative levels (mean fold change ± S.E.M.; n=3) in cells transfected with components of \textit{Casilio-ME1}, \textit{Casilio-ME3.3}, or \textit{Casilio-ME3.4} in the presence of \textit{MLH1}-sgRNAs. Drawing of promoter regions with the \textit{MLH1}-sgRNAs used (A-F), CpGs (lollipops), and TSS (arrow) is shown above the plot. *** $P<0.005$, one-way ANOVA.
Figure 4- Efficiency of 5mC demethylation induced by Casilio-ME platforms and dCas9-TET1 system. MLH1 promoter was targeted by using components of the indicated methylation editing system in the presence of MLH1-sgRNAs. Cells were collected 3 days after transfection and corresponding genomic DNA was subjected to high throughput amplicon BSeq and oxBSeq.

(a) 5mC conversion to cytosine by TET1 and BER pathways is depicted above panels. Frequencies (mean ± S.E.M.; n=2) of 5mC (upper panel), 5hmC (middle panel) and bisulfite converted CpG (C, 5fC and 5caC) (lower panel) plotted against CpG positions within MLH1 promoter region are shown. Arrows indicate locations of CpG overlapping one of the six sgRNAs target sequences. Statistical significance of differences in methylation patterns were tested. $P<0.0001$, two-way ANOVA.

(b) Box-plot of frequencies of different CpG variants measured by BSeq and oxBSeq across the MLH1 promoter regions in cells transfected with the indicated 5mC demethylation system is shown. ** $P<0.01$, and *** $P<0.0001$, two-way ANOVA.

(c) same as (b) but focusing on CpG 86-209 of the MLH1 promoter proximal-intron1 region. NS, not significant $P>0.05$, and *** $P<0.01$, two-way ANOVA.
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