Simultaneous all-optical manipulation and recording of neural circuit activity with cellular resolution *in vivo*
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We describe an all-optical strategy for simultaneously manipulating and recording the activity of multiple neurons with cellular resolution *in vivo*. We performed simultaneous two-photon optogenetic activation and calcium imaging by coexpression of a red-shifted opsin and a genetically encoded calcium indicator. A spatial light modulator allows tens of user-selected neurons to be targeted for spatiotemporally precise concurrent optogenetic activation, while simultaneous fast calcium imaging provides high-resolution network-wide readout of the manipulation with negligible optical cross-talk. Proof-of-principle experiments in mouse barrel cortex demonstrate interrogation of the same neuronal population during different behavioral states and targeting of neuronal ensembles based on their functional signature. This approach extends the optogenetic toolkit beyond the specificity obtained with genetic or viral approaches, enabling high-throughput, flexible and long-term optical interrogation of functionally defined neural circuits with single-cell and single-spike resolution in the mouse brain *in vivo*.

Optogenetic actuators are rapidly revolutionizing experimental manipulations of neural activity, enabling activation and inactivation with millisecond precision at the spatial scale of populations of neurons1, and calcium indicators of activity in neurons now permit quantitative readout of neural activity from hundreds of neurons with cellular resolution2. A combination of these two experimental approaches is highly desirable for manipulating and recording the activity of many neurons simultaneously at the spatial and temporal resolution at which they function *in vivo* (Fig. 1a). Two-photon excitation provides the optical sectioning and signal-to-noise ratio required to achieve such a goal with single-cell and single-spike precision in both the imaging and the stimulation channels. However, two-photon calcium imaging and two-photon optogenetics have yet to be combined effectively (but see ref. 3). Moreover, the ability to individually target multiple neurons concurrently with patterned photostimulation is crucial for generating and manipulating natural patterns of activity *in vivo*.

Previous implementations of simultaneous manipulation and readout of neural activity are associated with a range of limitations, including manipulation artifacts on the recording channel, inadvertent activation caused by the recording and lack of spatial resolution. Purely electrophysiological approaches suffer from electrical artifacts in the recording channel during stimulation, the inherent invasiveness of the recordings and the inability to target large groups of neurons while maintaining single-cell resolution. Optical approaches have so far suffered from similar drawbacks. One-photon actuation not only stimulates many cells simultaneously (even when purposefully minimized4), but also can generate a large optical artifact in the imaging channel due to spectral overlap of the actuator excitation and readout emission wavelengths. This results in data loss during the crucial photostimulation period, as either the imaging sensor must be blanked or data must be discarded5–7. Promising approaches to differentiate the manipulation and recording wavelengths have been employed in Caenorhabditis elegans, although not with single-action-potential resolution8. Additionally, one-photon widefield excitation generates optical artifacts due to substantial autofluorescence throughout the tissue and does not permit targetable manipulations with cellular resolution. Simultaneous two-photon holographic imaging and photostimulation methods relying on glutamate uncaging have been employed only *in vitro*9,10. Combined optical and electrophysiological approaches also show promise for specific applications11–13, although it can be difficult to ascertain which neurons are being directly activated and which are downstream of the activated neurons14,15.

Here, we took advantage of the superior optical sectioning afforded by *in vivo* two-photon microscopy16,17 to precisely activate multiple identified neurons while simultaneously performing high-speed calcium imaging with minimal cross-talk in mice *in vivo*. Independent manipulation of two femtosecond-pulsed laser beams enabled precise control over the activated neurons with high spatiotemporal resolution recording of stimulated and nearby neurons. We performed user-selected targeting of neurons for activation with a programmable spatial light modulator18 (SLM), allowing us to photostimulate neurons on the basis of their individual functional identities, not just genetic class. In combination with chronic window preparations19, our approach enables high-throughput probing of the same neural circuits in awake, behaving animals over long timescales.
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RESULTS
Combining calcium imaging and single-cell photostimulation in vivo

Our design goal was to be able to selectively activate groups of individually targeted neurons based on their functional identity and then observe the response to stimulation in these neurons and the local network in an awake, behaving animal (Fig. 1a). We incorporated an SLM into a two-photon in vivo dual-beampath resonant scanning microscope in order to provide simultaneous two-photon imaging and patterned optogenetic stimulation (Fig. 1b). We visualized neurons co-infected with GCaMP6s, an ‘ultrasensitive’ green-fluorescent genetically encoded calcium indicator20, and C1V1-2A-mCherry, a red-shifted opsin21 (Fig. 1c). We recorded high-speed (30 Hz) calcium imaging movies over a field of view of 200 × 200 μm using a resonant scanning system at 920 nm and photostimulated neurons via two-photon excitation at 1,064 nm (Fig. 1d). We calibrated our all-optical approach by performing simultaneous cell-attached patch-clamp recordings in layer 2/3 of the mouse somatosensory cortex (n = 3 experiments). We programmed the SLM to generate a single photostimulation spot, which was scanned in a spiral fashion22 over the neuronal cell body for 20 ms (see Online Methods). Single action potentials were reliably generated in the recorded neuron on every trial, resulting in large calcium transients in the photostimulated neuron, but not in neighboring neurons also expressing GCaMP6s and C1V1 (Fig. 1e). The spatial resolution of spiral photostimulation using 6 mm galvanometers (see Online Methods) was 12 μm laterally and ~24 μm axially, measured by incrementally shifting the photostimulation target (Supplementary Fig. 1).

Action potential detection sensitivity was maintained even when we imaged the entire 200 × 200 μm field of view, and imaging at this resolution did not result in photostimulation (Supplementary Fig. 2). In summary, we demonstrated that our approach is capable of simultaneous sensitive calcium imaging and precise two-photon optogenetic photostimulation in the same neurons in vivo.

We tested an alternative method for generating single action potentials in vivo in cortical neurons expressing C1V1-2A-YFP via viral infection (Supplementary Fig. 3a). This method is possible with all commercially available two-photon microscopes. We chose a spatiotemporal photostimulation pattern of a 10 × 10 grid of sites extending slightly beyond the borders of the neuron to ensure robust action potential generation in the recorded neuron. The photostimulation laser was directed to the target sites sequentially in raster fashion (0.1 ms per site, 0.1 ms intersite interval, 20 ms total exposure time; Supplementary Fig. 3a), generating exactly one action potential in putative pyramidal neurons with a latency of 17.3 ± 0.7 (mean ± s.e.m.) ms, measured from photostimulation onset, as observed previously23. Increasing the total exposure time to 40 ms (0.2 ms per site, 0.2 ms intersite interval) generated more action potentials at longer latencies because of the increased time it took to cover the cell soma (1.4 ± 0.09 action potentials; 33.2 ± 2.1 ms latency to first action potential; n = 4 neurons, 3 animals, 57 photostimulation trials; Supplementary Fig. 3b). Increasing the exposure time yet further to 120 ms (1 ms per site, 0.2 ms intersite interval) generated a similar number of action potentials in the recorded neurons (1.4 ± 0.07 action potentials, 60 ± 2.6 ms latency, n = 9 neurons from four mice; 114 photostimulation trials;...
Supplementary Fig. 3b). Additional illumination did not result in more action potentials (perhaps as a result of opsin desensitization exhausting the restricted population of opsins available in the small photostimulation volume). For comparison, we also tested the same photostimulation paradigm on a fast-spiking putative interneuron, which responded in a similar fashion, although with a shorter latency and more action potentials, as expected from its intrinsic electrophysiological properties (3.8 ± 0.17 action potentials, 18.1 ± 1.3 ms latency, n = 1 neuron, 100 photostimulation trials; Supplementary Fig. 4).

The spatial resolution of photostimulation using the grid pattern with 3 mm galvanometers, measured by moving the pattern relative to the neuron, was 22 μm laterally and 67 μm axially (full-width at half-maximum; Supplementary Fig. 3c). In total, we recorded from 19 neurons in five mice while photostimulating with a range of parameters and found a reliable strategy for optically generating action potentials in individual neurons with low jitter in vivo.

Simultaneous photostimulation of multiple selected neurons
We used the SLM to split the photostimulation laser into individual, spatially targeted beamlets so as to activate multiple neurons concurrently. Animals virally infected with C1V1-2A-YFP or C1V1-2A-mCherry showed strong expression in neurons across large territories of cortical tissue, providing many targets for simultaneous photostimulation (Fig. 2a). Spiral scanning of the beamlets as a group allowed more neurons to be targeted, as less power per beamlet was required (i.e., a high power density in a small beamspot was spatiotemporally multiplexed over a neuron, as opposed to an alternate strategy whereby much more power is directed on a sample, but distributed over a larger area). We confirmed that our photostimulation strategy generated action potentials via simultaneous two-photon targeted cell-attached recordings when just one of the selected ten targets was positioned over a neuron (Fig. 2b). Spiral scans lasting 11 and 16 ms reliably generated one action potential at similar latencies (1.2 ± 0.04 ms).
and 1.2 ± 0.05 action potentials, one or more action potentials on 98% ± 3% and 88% ± 4% of trials, 26.4 ± 13.3 and 21.4 ± 3.3 ms latency, 18.8 ± 17.1 and 8.0 ± 4.0 ms jitter (defined as the standard deviation of the latency), respectively; 107 photostimulation trials in six neurons in four animals; Fig. 2c). Increasing the spiral duration increased the number of action potentials generated and their latency (34 ms spiral: 1.5 ± 0.06 action potentials, one or more action potentials on 87% ± 8% of trials, 34.9 ± 4.6 ms latency, 11.3 ± 3.3 ms jitter, 93 photostimulation trials in six neurons in four animals), presumably because of the increased photostimulation exposure time and the longer time it took to cover the cell body, respectively. Increasing the number of photostimulated neurons from 10 to 20 reduced the laser power per spot, resulting in a slight increase in latency (1.3 ± 0.06 and 1.2 ± 0.05 action potentials, one or more action potentials on 88% ± 6% and 100% ± 0% of trials, 30.1 ± 8.5 and 35.9 ± 4.0 ms latency, 17.0 ± 11.9 and 5.6 ± 0.8 ms jitter for 16 ms and 34 ms spirals, respectively; 70 photostimulation trials for six recorded neurons in three animals). In summary, we demonstrated optically generated action potentials with low jitter (results comparable to those from previous in vitro work in mice33,34,20) in 10–20 selected neurons, confirmed by recording from 11 neurons in seven animals. These experiments show that our approach can generate precisely timed action potentials in multiple, individually selected neurons using programmed patterns of photostimulation.

Network readout during targeted multineuron stimulation

We combined the all-optical approach (Fig. 1) with the photostimulation of multiple specified neurons (Fig. 2) to investigate how precisely controlled photostimulation inputs are integrated by neural populations in vivo. We programmed the SLM to generate ten beamlets targeted to a cluster of ten neurons. While simultaneously imaging these and the surrounding 290 neurons at 30 Hz, we photostimulated the 10 selected neurons (n = 3 mice, Fig. 3a). Individual target neurons showed strong and reliable responses to photostimulation (Fig. 3b–c). We performed this experiment in three mice. Only a small proportion of neighboring neurons responded (Supplementary Fig. 5), as expected from our and others’ previous work27,28. Some neurons expressed GCaMP6s very strongly, as observed previously20,29, and showed reduced responses to photostimulation (Supplementary Fig. 6a), although these neurons could be excluded from subsequent analysis. The response to photostimulation correlated slightly but not significantly with the expression of C1V1 (Supplementary Fig. 6b). In summary, we were able to optically invoke spatiotemporally precise action potentials in defined sets of neurons while simultaneously recording the responses of those neurons and many others in the same field of view.

Long-term reliability and stability of all-optical interrogation

We assessed the reliability and stability of multiple cell stimulation and readout. First, we photostimulated ten neurons (Supplementary Fig. 7a); then we found the same ten neurons 1 week later and photostimulated them again (Supplementary Fig. 7b; in three fields of view in three animals). We observed the same response to photostimulation on both days (Supplementary Fig. 7c). All neurons in all animals showed a highly significant coefficient of determination between their responses on day 1 and day 8 (P = 8.5 x 10⁻⁵; R² = 0.7 when the fit was constrained to the unity line; Supplementary Fig. 7d).

All-optical interrogation in different behavioral states

To demonstrate the power of our strategy for probing the functional properties of neural circuits in vivo, we assessed how behavioral states modulate the responsiveness of neural circuits. Locomotion is a salient modulator of neuronal activity in cortical circuits30–32, we therefore applied our approach to compare the local network response to photostimulation while mice were running, awake but stationary or under light isoflurane anesthesia. In our experiments we allowed awake and head-fixed mice to run freely on a fixed-axis Styrofoam treadmill. (Fig. 4a) Mice were head-fixed and allowed to run freely on a fixed-axis Styrofoam treadmill. (Fig. 4b) A field of view in which ten neurons in layer 2/3 of mouse somatosensory cortex (marked in white) were chosen for photostimulation. These and the surrounding neurons across the field of view were simultaneously observed with high-speed calcium imaging. (c) Top, mean calcium transient of all stimulated neurons in response to simultaneous photostimulation of ten neurons. Bottom, running speed. (d) Correlation between mean perturbation of responses to photostimulation and running speed. (Regression line in gray.) (e) Comparison of inferred spike responses to photostimulation (mean ± s.d.) during different behavioral states (n = 3 mice, 576 imaged neurons; Tukey’s test for multiple comparisons; *, ** and *** denote P < 0.05, 0.01 and 0.001, respectively; exact P values in the text). Anes., anesthetized.
Figure 5 | Targeting neurons for optogenetic manipulation based on their individual functional signatures in vivo. (a) A field of view (scale bar, 50 μm) showing neurons coexpressing GCaMP6s (green) and C1V1-2A-mCherry (pink) in the C2 barrel of mouse somatosensory cortex. (For definitions of symbols, see b.) (b) Groups of individually identified neurons were selected for photostimulation based on their response to dorsal-ventral and rostro-caudal whisker stimulation. Five neurons that responded differently or not at all to sensory stimulation (gray shading) were simultaneously photostimulated (pink line). (c) Simultaneous photostimulation of five neurons responding strongly to a given sensory stimulation or weakly to both stimuli revealed similar responses (mean ± s.e.m.) to photostimulation (n = 25 strongly responsive neurons and 15 weakly responsive neurons, stimulated in groups of 5 in two fields of view in one mouse).

were anesthetized (P = 0.00099, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA); P = 0.00082, running versus anesthetized; P = 0.029, awake versus anesthetized; Tukey’s test for multiple comparisons). We observed a significant difference between all behavioral states for the responses of non-stimulated local network neurons (P = 4.2 × 10⁻⁸, one-way ANOVA; P = 0.0078, running versus awake; P = 1.5 × 10⁻⁶, running versus anesthetized; P = 0.012, awake versus anesthetized; Tukey’s test for multiple comparisons; n = 3 mice, 576 imaged neurons, 30 stimulated neurons, 280 trials distributed between behavioral states; minimum 20 trials per state per mouse). These results demonstrate the robustness of our approach for perturbing neuronal networks during ongoing activity and different behavioral states.

Optogenetic manipulation targeted to functional ensembles

Our approach allowed us to target different groups of neurons within the population on the basis of their individual functional properties. To achieve this goal, first we performed intrinsic imaging to identify barrel C2 in the somatosensory cortex (Fig. 5a). Next, two-photon imaging of the responses of individual neurons to sensory stimulation within the barrel revealed that responded strongly or weakly to particular stimulation orientations (Fig. 5b). We targeted photostimulation to five neurons within each of the three groups while the animal was awake and running on a Styrofoam treadmill. The response to photostimulation was not significantly different between the strongly sensory-responsive (both groups) and weakly sensory-responsive groups (maximum ∆F/F response to photostimulation: 33% ± 4% and 30% ± 6%, p = 0.55, Mann-Whitney test; n = 25 and 15 strongly and weakly sensory-responsive neurons, respectively, in two fields of view; Fig. 5c). This experiment highlights the utility of our approach for activating functionally defined ensembles of neurons in the awake, behaving animal.

DISCUSSION

Probing neural circuits at the spatial and temporal resolution at which they function is crucial to understanding how populations of neurons work together to drive behavior. Optical approaches allow this to be achieved in a minimally invasive manner. We have developed an all-optical strategy for activating and recording the same neurons with cellular resolution in mice in vivo by combining two-photon optogenetics and calcium imaging. The combination of indicator sensitivity and lack of spectral overlap between the optogenetic excitation and GCaMP6s emission wavelengths minimizes the stimulation artifact and enables single-spike precision. The optical nature of the approach, combined with a chronic window preparation, provides both the flexibility of being able to select individual neurons for stimulation while the animal is awake and behaving under the microscope over weeks to months and the ability to target the activation of multiple neurons that are activated during a behavioral task. Our method thus enables high-throughput, flexible and long-term optical interrogation of neural circuits in the mammalian brain in vivo. The ability to target neurons based on their functional signature will enable one to replay and manipulate natural patterns of activity in neural circuits. This strategy provides a significant advance over previous approaches in which neurons were defined either anatomically or genetically, as it allows one to target individual neurons on the basis of their specific functional properties. The ability to simultaneously manipulate and read out activity from functionally defined neuronal ensembles will open up new avenues for optogenetic experiments.

Previous methods for single-neuron-precision optogenetic manipulation relied on raster or spiral scanning methods to spatiotemporally multiplex a small excitation beam spot over a cell body or illumination of the entire cell body at once via holography and/or temporal focusing to provide optical sectioning. All of these approaches have thus far been demonstrated only in vitro, aside from our previous proof-of-principle experiments showing that the two-photon optogenetic excitation strategy could work in vivo and recent work that was published while this work was in review. Other work has shown that temporal focusing combined with general phase contrast enables action potential generation via two-photon excitation of channelrhodopsin deep in brain slices.

Our approach, combining SLM-based beam splitting with spiral scanning, allows one to simultaneously address a large population of neurons in vivo. There is a tradeoff between the number of neurons that can be simultaneously addressed and how quickly a simultaneous stimulation pattern can be performed, dictated by the power and time required for a given spatiotemporal multiplexing strategy. In alternative holographic and/or temporal focusing-based approaches, simultaneous photostimulations can be achieved more quickly, at the cost of addressing fewer neurons per ‘shot’. The advantage of such alternative strategies may be more efficient shaping of the temporal profile of the response pattern. Our strategy attempts to maximize the number of neurons per stimulation while minimizing the photostimulation time by splitting...
the beam and using a fast scanning strategy to photostimulate neurons. Thus, while all of these technologies are currently under active development, our approach is well suited for the generation of synchronous activity among ensembles of neurons, which can be performed at high rates in a sequence with this method. We expect that the underlying biological question being addressed will dictate the choice among these different approaches in vivo.

Our all-optical, dual two-photon approach combining fast readout with high-speed calcium imaging of the most recent ‘ultrasensitive’ calcium indicator²⁰ drastically reduces the cross-talk problem observed in previous all-optical approaches relying on one-photon excitation⁵–⁷. These approaches require blocking of the readout during photostimulation, resulting in the loss of crucial data. Additionally, the ability to directly observe neuronal locations and target neurons with single-cell precision removes any confusion about which cells are directly stimulated and which are downstream¹⁴,¹⁵, which has been a problem with other simultaneous readout and manipulation strategies relying on the combination of electrophysiology and optogenetics, such as the use of optrodes¹¹–¹³. Further development of new molecular tools³⁷, including characterization of their two-photon excitation, will enable their integration into the in vivo approach presented here. In addition, advances in faster and more complex imaging methods³⁸–⁴⁰ and analysis⁴¹ could be incorporated into the readout side of the approach.

Our ability to read out and manipulate activity in the same population of neurons provides us with the unprecedented opportunity to target optogenetic manipulation on the basis of the functional signature of the neurons. Previously, optogenetic manipulations could be targeted based on genetic and/or anatomical identity alone⁴², or on c-fos expression⁴³, which provides only a very indirect readout of activity and lacks temporal precision. Here we describe proof-of-principle experiments showing that we were able to use a detailed functional characterization of the response of neurons to different sensory stimuli to enable targeting of specific ensembles in the population. This will allow optogenetic manipulation to be targeted with far greater precision than previously possible, enabling investigation of the neural code in only those neurons within a population exhibiting a specific functional signature during sensory processing or defined behaviors. Future work using this approach should provide fundamental new insights into information processing in neural circuits in vivo.

METHODS

Methods and any associated references are available in the online version of the paper.

Note: Any Supplementary Information and Source Data files are available in the online version of the paper.
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**ONLINE METHODS**

All surgical procedures were carried out under license from the UK Home Office in accordance with the Animal (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986. C57/BL6 mice (Mus musculus) approximately 4 weeks to 3 months old of both sexes were used without randomization. Animals were anesthetized with an intraperitoneal injection of a ketamine-xylazine mixture (0.1 and 0.01 mg g⁻¹ body weight, respectively) or with isoflurane during surgical procedures.

**Viral injections, headplate and chronic window installation.** Craniotomies were drilled (NSK UK Ltd.) over barrel cortex (right hemisphere, 2 mm posterior from and 3.5 mm lateral of bregma, 0.5 mm in diameter). Calibrated injection pipettes beveled to a sharp point (inner diameter: ~15 μm) were coupled to a hydraulic injection apparatus driven by a syringe pump (Harvard Apparatus).

Virus (AAV2-CaMKIIa-C1V1(E162T)-p2A-EYFP, AAVd-CaMKIIa-C1V1(E162T)-TS-P2A-mCherry-WPRE⁴⁴ or AAV1-Syn-GCaMP6s-WPRE-SV40) was front-loaded into the injection pipette and injected at a rate of 0.1 μl min⁻¹ into layer 2/3 (~300 μm deep). Animals that received two separate injections were first injected with 1 μl of virus, and then the scalp incision was closed with Vetbond (3M). Subsequent injections, also 1 μl, were performed through the same craniotomy site, which was still visible 24 days later. Animals singly injected received 1 μl of virus. Co-injected animals were injected with a mixture containing 0.1 μl GCaMP6s virus and 0.9 μl C1V1-2A-mCherry virus.

For chronic experiments, the scalp was removed bilaterally from the midline to the temporalis muscles, and a metal headplate with a 5 mm circular imaging window was fixed to the skull overlying somatosensory cortex with dental cement (Super-Bond C&B, Sun-Medical) before virus injection. Intrinsic imaging was per formed by chronic window implantation. The crucial parameter was the need to reduce the titer of the GCaMP6s-producing virus meant we could dilute it directly with the virus expressing C1V1-2A-mCherry (see above). After this mixture of viruses had been injected into the animals during a single surgical procedure, a chronic window was installed to provide long-term optical access to the cortical tissue of interest. After 5 weeks, we observed co-labeling of neurons with both GCaMP6s and C1V1-2A-mCherry, which were easily spectrally separated based on emission wavelength.

**Titration of calcium indicator expression.** The sensitivity of the readout in our all-optical approach depended heavily on the expression of GCaMP6s. To assess the stability of calcium indicator expression, we injected animals with GCaMP6s virus at three viral titers: 3.22 × 10¹³ genomes per milliliter of stock and lower titer solutions of 3.22 × 10¹² and 3.22 × 10¹¹ genomes per milliliter diluted in a buffer solution (20 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 140 mM NaCl, 0.001% pluronic F-68). 100 μl of virus was injected at 0.1 μl min⁻¹ into L2/3 barrel cortex (2 mm posterior and 3.5 mm lateral of bregma, 300 μm below dura). We divided 18 adult (P60–P80) C57/BL6 mice of both sexes into three cohorts of six mice each and injected each cohort with one of the above dilutions. Each six-animal viral-titer cohort was then divided such that within each cohort, two animals could be used for each of three time points: 1 week, 2 weeks and 3 weeks post-injection. At each of these time points an acute craniotomy was performed to enable two-photon imaging (see below) under isoflurane anesthesia (5% for induction, 1.5% for surgery and 0.5% for imaging). Two-photon images tiling the infection site were taken at 10 μm intervals from the cortical surface to a maximum depth of 500 μm (depending on the extent of expression). Acute imaging was used in order to minimize potential confounding artifacts introduced by chronic window implantation. The crucial parameter was a reduction in virus titer to 10% of the original level (i.e., 10¹² genomes per milliliter), which resulted in stable expression as long as 12 weeks post-injection (Supplementary Fig. 8). Next, we confirmed single-action-potential resolution by performing two-photon targeted cell-attached recordings while imaging at 60 Hz (n = 4 neurons; Supplementary Fig. 9a). We found that the number of spikes in a burst occurring within a 250 ms window could be reliably reported when we integrated the results of the deconvolution algorithm⁴⁶ (Supplementary Fig. 9b). Integrating the result of this inference over a 433 ms window resulted in the best performance as defined by the strength of the correlation between the true number of spikes and the inferred number of spikes (Supplementary Fig. 9c). We also quantified the hit rate (94% ± 3%) and false positive rate (7% ± 6%) on three neurons as in ref. 20, not including one held-out neuron on which we based the classifier (Supplementary Fig. 9d).

The need to reduce the titer of the GCaMP6s-producing virus meant we could dilute it directly with the virus expressing C1V1-2A-mCherry (see above). After this mixture of viruses had been injected into the animals during a single surgical procedure, a chronic window was installed to provide long-term optical access to the cortical tissue of interest. After 5 weeks, we observed co-labeling of neurons with both GCaMP6s and C1V1-2A-mCherry, which were easily spectrally separated based on emission wavelength.

**Cell-attached targeted patch-clamp recordings.** Headplate installation was performed as described above. Two-photon targeted patch-clamp recordings⁴⁷,⁴⁸ were obtained using glass pipettes pulled from borosilicate glass (~5 M pipette resistance) and filled with external solution. Signals were amplified on a MultiClamp 700a (Molecular Devices), filtered with a Bessel filter above 4 kHz and recorded at 20 kHz with custom software (PackIO⁴⁹) written in LabView (National Instruments).

**Imaging and photostimulation.** Two-photon imaging was performed by raster scanning a femtosecond-pulsed laser beam (Chameleon Ultra II, Coherent) via standard or resonant...
galvanometer raster scanning with a moving in vivo microscope (Bruker Corporation, formerly Prairie Technologies). A 16X/0.8-NA objective (Nikon) was used for all experiments. GCaMP6s was imaged with an excitation wavelength of 920 nm during calcium imaging, and mCherry was imaged at 765 nm.

A reflective spatial light modulator (SLM) (7.68 × 7.68 mm active area, 512 × 512 pixels, optimized for 1.064 nm, Meadowlark Optics/Boulder Nonlinear Systems) was coupled to the microscope using a lightpath similar to that for an in vitro microscope, but with the significant difference that the entire microscope, including SLM and beam expansion telescope, moves relative to the sample. The power was controlled by a Pockels cell (PC) (acronyms refer to Fig. 1b) (Conoptics Ltd.) and shutter (S) (Vincent Associates), resized using a beam-expanding telescope (L1 (f = 50 mm) and L2 (f = 200 mm), plano-convex lenses, Thorlabs) to fill the SLM active area and polarization maximized for diffraction efficiency from the SLM with a half-wave plate (HWP) (WP101M-1064, Thorlabs). The SLM was relayed to the photostimulation galvanometers (GM1, galvanometer mirror pair, 3 or 6 mm, Cambridge Technology, integrated into dual beam Ultimate microscope by Bruker Corp. (formerly Prairie Technologies)) via a telescope (L3, 1 inch achromatic doublet, f = 400 or 250 mm; L4, 2 inch achromatic doublet, f = 150 mm) with a zero order block (ZB) (coverslip with foil) positioned at the location of the focus of the first lens. The imaging path was scanned with a second pair of galvanometer mirrors (GM2, 6 mm, Cambridge Technology, integrated by Bruker Corp.) and could also be scanned along the fast axis by a resonant scanning galvanometer mirror (RSM) (Cambridge Technology, integrated by Bruker Corp.) relayed onto GM2. The beam-combining dichroic is a 1,030 nm short-pass (Dichroic 1, T1030SP, Chroma) and digital visual interface cable connected to the SLM driver (Vincent Associates), resized using a beam-expanding telescope (three rotations, 20 mm diameter) were generated by moving all beamlets simultaneously with a pair of galvanometer mirrors conjugate to the SLM plane. Power on sample for photostimulation using a grid pattern ranged from 28 to 150 mW, but was generally 100 mW (for all experiments in Supplementary Fig. 3). Power on sample for spiral photostimulation experiments ranged from 20 to 80 mW. The power distribution was even across beamlets generated by the SLM (59 ± 3 mW, n = 3 patterns) as measured on sample by loading phase masks and blocking all but a single beamlet near the focus of L3 (see Fig. 1b).

In longitudinal experiments, the same field of view could be found over weeks based on the blood vessel pattern observed under the chronic window. The chronic window allowed visualization of a 2 × 2 mm area of cortex, and the maximum field of view allowed by two-photon imaging was 832 × 832 µm. Thus, it was straightforward to locate the same general area based on large blood vessels visualized through the eyepieces of the microscope. Afterward, images at high resolution obtained via two-photon imaging could be precisely matched to images from previous sessions based on blood vessels and any uniquely shaped processes or strongly labeled neurons. Micrometer-scale resolution was confirmed by comparing two high-resolution images such as in Figure 4a.b.

**Sensory stimulation and behavior.** Animals were gradually acclimatized to head-fixation if necessary and trained to sit passively in the apparatus. Animals generally ran freely on the Styrofoam treadmill while the running speed was measured with a rotary encoder on the axle. Whisker stimulation, which occurred under isoflurane anesthesia, consisted of either one-second-long 10 Hz stimulation with a one-dimensional piezoelectric actuator (Physik Instrumente) or one-second-long, random, white-noise filtered stimuli with a two-dimensional piezoelectric actuator (Noliac) onto which a capillary tube was glued as a guide for an individual whisker.

**Analysis.** Movement correction was performed on all calcium imaging movies using a discrete Fourier transform (DFT)–based...
algorithm\textsuperscript{51}. This algorithm computes the cross-correlation between two images via selective upsampling with a matrix-multiply DFT, thus increasing speed and reducing memory requirements. Contours defining neuronal somata were selected by hand using both GCaMP and C1V1 channels. Spike inference was performed with a fast non-negative deconvolution algorithm, for which the only required parameter was the imaging time step\textsuperscript{46}. Analyses were performed with MATLAB and ImageJ; statistical tests were performed with Prism or MATLAB. All values are mean ± s.e.m. unless otherwise noted.
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Corrigendum: Simultaneous all-optical manipulation and recording of neural circuit activity with cellular resolution in vivo
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In the version of this article initially published, the size of the scale bar reported in the legend of Figure 3a was incorrect. The correct size is 100 μm, not 50 μm. In addition, the volume of injected virus in the Online Methods section “Titration of calcium indicator expression” had the incorrect unit. The correct volume is 100 nl, not 100 μl. The errors have been corrected in the HTML and PDF versions of the article.