Comparison Of Three Different Treatment Methods For Cesarean Scar Pregnancy

Background/Aim: Cesarean scar pregnancy is a long term complication of cesarean section. There is a lot of controversy about the best treatment methods. We retrospectively summarized the clinical characteristics of patients with cesarean scar pregnancy and explored the advantages and disadvantages of fertility-preservation treatment method.

Methods: From January 2008 to September 2017, a total of 204 cases of cesarean scar pregnancy were retrospectively reviewed. 145 patients underwent transvaginal clearance, 33 patients underwent endoscopic surgery, and 26 patients underwent uterine artery embolism. The clinical characteristics, diagnosis, various treatment methods, and clinical outcomes were analyzed.

Results: There were no significant differences among the three groups in terms of patient age, number of previous cesarean sections, serum human chorionic gonadotropin, and clinical symptoms. The difference in mean gestational sac diameter (23.5±2.1mm vs 31.3±2.4mm vs 30.8±1.9mm), surgical time (31.4±2.5min vs. 45.8±2.2min vs. 51.4±1.9min), blood loss (53.3±5.5mL vs. 105.2±3.2mL vs. 75.6±3.5mL), blood transfusion (1/145 case vs. 3/33 case vs. 0/26 case), discomfort (1/145 case vs. 9/33 case vs. 16/26 case), hospital stay (6.1±1.1 day vs. 7.4±0.9 day vs. 18.6±1.5 day), fever duration (1.0±0.5 day vs. 2.1±2.8 day vs. 5.7±3.5 day), and hospital expense (¥ 7825.9±234.9 vs. ¥ 10248.3±312.9 vs. ¥ 18774.9±243.6) in transvaginal pregnancy tissue clearance, endoscopic surgery, and uterine artery embolism groups were significantly different.

Conclusion: Transvaginal clearance is an effective and relatively safe treatment option for patients with cesarean scar pregnancy.
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Introduction

Cesarean scar pregnancy (CSP) is defined as the embryo implanting in the previous cesarean section scar, which is a long-term postoperative complication of a cesarean section, accounting for 0.45% of complications due to cesarean section. With the rising rate of cesarean section and the improvement of diagnostic technique, the incidence of CSP has substantially increased worldwide. Especially in China, with the opening of two-child policy, it was estimated that 1 in 531 women with a previous cesarean scar will have CSP. Though the diagnosis of CSP is not difficult, early suspicion is very necessary because misdiagnosis may bring about severe life threatening complications, such as uterine rupture, severe bleeding, and even hysterectomy leading to loss of fertility.

Usually, CSP is manipulated by conservative intervention or surgical treatment, or a combination of the two approaches. Several types of conservative treatment
have been used to treat CSP, like dilation and curettage (D&C), local or systemic administration of methotrexate, and selective uterine artery embolization with curettage and/or methotrexate administration.\textsuperscript{4–8} Surgical treatment for fertility preservation includes removal of pregnancy tissue and reparation of the scar through conventional open surgery, transvaginal procedure and hysteroscopic or laparoscopic approach.\textsuperscript{9–11}

Though many modalities are reported to treat CSP, no universal agreement on the best treatment method or standard treatment protocol has been established until now.\textsuperscript{12}

In this study, we presented the clinical characteristics and three different types of management of CSP in our hospital in the hope of providing constructive information to deal with this disease.

Subiects And Methods

Patients And Design
This was a retrospective study of 204 cases diagnosed as CSP in our hospital during January 2008 and September 2017. The diagnostic criteria\textsuperscript{5} was as follows: 1) both the uterine cavity and cervical canal were empty; 2) location of the gestational sac or mixed-echo mass in the anterior wall of the uterine isthmus or in the cesarean scar defect; 3) a diminished myometrium between the bladder wall and the sac or the mass, or a discontinuity in the anterior uterine muscular tissues.

We retrospectively reviewed these patients, all these cases were confirmed by postoperative histopathology. Among the 204 cases, 145 patients received transvaginal pregnancy tissue clearance, 33 cases received endoscopic surgery, and 26 cases received uterine artery embolism with methotrexate administration. The choice of the surgical treatment was based on the conditions of patients, such as the value of serum human chorionic gonadotropin (β-HCG), the diameter and the specific location of the sac. Clinical data, the value of β-HCG, the diameter of gestational sac, operation time and expense, blood loss, and hospital stay were all recorded and analyzed. This study was undertaken with ethical approval of the Human Ethics Committee of Sun Yat-Sen University, which was in accord with the Declaration of Helsinki. All of the enrolled patients signed informed consent.

The statistical software package SPSS 23.0 was used for data analyses. The data were presented as mean±standard deviation (±SD). Comparisons among all groups were performed with the one-way analysis of variance test. Meanwhile, a form regression analysis was taken when necessary. If statistical significance was found, the Tukey post hoc test was used. $p<0.05$ was considered to be statistically significant.

Treatment Methods

Transvaginal Cesarean Scar Pregnancy Tissue Clearance
The patients were placed in dorsal lithotomy position and received spinal and epidural combined anesthesia. Urine was drained by an 12Fr Foley catheter. We used cervical forceps to tract the upper lip of the cervix to completely expose the anterior fornix. The space between cervix and vagina was injected with adrenaline 0.2mg diluted in 10mL saline to achieve water pressure separation. The vaginal mucosa was cut transversely at the bladder's lateral groove. The peritoneum was opened after the separation of bladder uterine space. The lesion was fully exposed after the vaginal hook was inserted. The myometrium was injected with pituitrin 6u diluted in 20mL saline, then the pregnancy tissues were cleared by suction curettage. After being rinsed and trimmed, the wound was sutured with Vicryl thread.

Endoscopic Surgery
The patients received general anesthesia. The procedure was similar to general laparoscopy. First we opened the bladder uterus reflexive peritoneum and pushed the bladder down. Then, vasopressin 6u diluted in 10mL saline was administered to determine the uterine scar pregnancy lesions, ultrasonic knife was adopted to cut the myometrium. Lastly, we removed the pregnancy tissue, rinsed and trimmed the uterine defect, and sutured the wound. Meanwhile, hysteroscopy was used to explore the uterine cavity. When it was necessary, we resected remnant pregnancy lesion by hysteroscopy.

Uterine Artery Embolism With Methotrexate
The patients received spinal and epidural combined anesthesia, then uterine artery embolism (UAE) was performed by experienced radiologists. The catheters were correctly placed and 50mg of methotrexate (MTX) was simultaneously administrated with gelatin sponge particles during the embolism procedure. After the intervention, the patients had no blood loss, just a little errhysis at the puncture point.
Results

The clinical characteristics of the patients were presented in Table 1. As shown in the table, the mean age of the 204 patients was 32.3±6.023 years, and their mean gravidity and parity were 1.9±2 and 1.3±1 respectively. The number of previous cesarean section (CS) was checked. 167 (81.86%) patients had undergone one CS, 33 (16.18%) had undergone two CS and 4 (1.96%) had experienced myomectomy before the first delivery. The mean interval from the last CS was 4.2±3.674 years with the range from 1 year to 10 years. There was no significant difference in terms of the previously mentioned parameters in the three different procedure groups. But the diameter of the gestational sac was significantly different: 23.5±2.1mm, 31.3± 2.4mm, and 30.8 ±1.9mm in transvaginal clearance, endoscopic surgery, and UAE respectively.

All patients had vaginal bleeding with or without abdominal pain and a history of amenorrhea with the average time of 67.3±6.8 days (range 30~122 days). 43 (20.81%) cases had no symptoms and were diagnosed by routine transvaginal ultrasound, 26 (12.75%) cases were misdiagnosed as early intrauterine pregnancy and underwent artificial abortion resulting in massive bleeding, of which 4 cases were transferred to our hospital. Before intervention, the range of serum β-HCG was 235~693,946 IU/L with the average value of 13,217±216.8 IU/L. There were no significant differences in the previously mentioned parameters in the three groups.

145 cases were primarily treated with transvaginal pregnancy tissue removal. Among them, only one patient needed extra methotrexate administration for slow decline of serum β-HCG. 32 cases who were treated with endoscopy received medicine intervention before surgery for high serum β-HCG, of which 8 received MTX followed by laparoscopic surgery and 24 cases received MTX and mifepristone followed by hysteroscopic surgery. Direct hysteroscopic surgery was performed on one case with relatively lower serum β-HCG (7IU/L). UAE with local MTX (50mg) was used in 26 cases. After surgery, 7 cases experienced massive bleeding (500mL). Among the transvaginal pregnancy tissue removal group, endoscopic surgery group, and UAE group, there were significant differences in blood loss, operation time, hospitalization (days), duration of fever, and hospital expense. Meanwhile, the transvaginal pregnancy tissue removal group had the lowest incidence of the previously mentioned parameters.

In terms of post-operative complications, most cases had no discomfort except for chill after operation in the transvaginal clearance group. 9 patients experienced abdominal pain, dizziness, and shortness of breath in endoscopic surgery group. But in UAE group, 7 cases experienced lower abdominal pain, 6 cases experienced nausea and vomiting, and 3 patients had moderate bone marrow inhibition. What is more, for the 4 patients with increased serum β-HCG, we performed D&C (shown in Table2).

Table 1 Baseline Clinical Characteristics Of Patients In The Three Groups

| Variables                             | Types Of Surgery For CSP | P value |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|
|                                       | Transvaginal Clearance   | Endoscopic Surgery | UAE (26) |
| Age (years)                           | 32.8±4.5                 | 32.7±5.1 | 31.4±4.4 | 0.315 |
| Number of prior cesarean deliveries   |                          |         |         |       |
| 1                                     | 127                      | 25      | 19      | 0.387 |
| >1                                    | 18                       | 8       | 7       |
| Time since last cesarean delivery (months) |              |         |         |       |
| ≤12                                   | 32                       | 3       | 6       | 0.287 |
| 13-120                                | 102                      | 26      | 18      |
| >120                                  | 11                       | 4       | 2       |
| Symptoms                              |                          |         |         |       |
| Only amenorrhea                       | 23                       | 8       | 12      | 0.101 |
| Vaginal bleeding                      | 112                      | 17      | 10      | 0.421 |
| Vaginal bleeding with abdominal pain  | 10                       | 8       | 4       | 0.948 |
| Initial serum HCG (IU/L)              | 148.521.5±453.1          | 15.374.4±213.6 | 14,414.7 ±329.4 | 0.600 |
| Diameter of the gestational sac (mm)  | 23.5±2.1                 | 31.3±2.4 | 30.8±1.9 | 0.024 |
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Discussion

CSP refers to an embryo implanted in the previous cesarean section scar, enveloped by uterine muscle fibers and fibrous tissues, and is becoming prevalent. It is reported that CSP may represent approximately 6% of all ectopic pregnancies in women who have undergone at least one previous cesarean section. Vaginal bleeding after amenorrhea was the most common but non-specific symptom. Some patients with CSP may simultaneously experience low abdominal pain and vaginal bleeding. Transvaginal ultrasound plays a pivotal role in the diagnosis of CSP. Special attention should be paid when the patient has a history of cesarean section. Misdiagnosis often leads to uncontrollable hemorrhage and even hysterectomy. In our study, 26 patients were misdiagnosed with intrauterine pregnancy and experienced dilatation and curettage, of whom 4 cases experienced massive hemorrhage.

Due to the lack of clinical data, there is no uniform guidelines to treat CSP and no consistent standard treatment exists. Nowadays, there are many methods to treat CSP including expectant management, dilatation and curettage with the guidance of ultrasound, direct injection of potassium chloride into the embryonic sac with the guidance of ultrasound, local or systemic injection of MTX, uterine artery embolization, hysteroscopy, laparotomy or laparoscopic excision. However, none of these treatments is entirely satisfactory. In our study, we reported three different methods to control CSP and compared the clinical parameters during the procedures.

Transvaginal pregnancy tissue clearance to treat CSP was first reported by Qemer Khoshnow in 2010, during which the defect of cesarean section was well repaired and menstruation returned to normal. In our case, 145 patients experienced transvaginal pregnancy tissue clearance, all of them showed significant decline in serum β-HCG. Although without any pretreatment before surgery, the blood loss was lowest among the three groups. For this procedure did not enter the pelvic cavity, pelvic adhesion had no any adverse effect during the surgical course. We consider transvaginal procedure as simple, non-invasive and cheap in terms of blood loss, the rate of blood transfusion, surgical time, and hospital expense, which were lowest among the three groups. What is more, nearly no discomfort and complications appeared. Meanwhile, resection of the old scar with a new uterine closure can reduce the recurrence of scar dehiscence.

Though high quality equipment and an experienced endoscopic surgical team are essential for the success of endoscopic surgery, it was still considered to be a minimally invasive alternative for CSP with good prognosis and preservation of fertility. Endoscopic surgery included hysteroscopy for visualization of the uterine cavity with incision and aspiration of the ectopic mass by operative laparoscopy. In our study, we did not perform endoscopic surgery directly, especially for patients with high level of serum β-HCG. Due to risk of uncontrollable hemorrhage, we administered MTX and/or mifepristone to patients before endoscopy. Decreased trend of serum β-HCG after the administration of MTX and/or mifepristone needs to be observed for a long time, therefore hospitalization days extended spontaneously. In addition, the surgical time and hospital expenses increased due to high requirement of equipment and surgical skill.

UAE was initially accepted as a conservative treatment for various obstetric and gynecological conditions, such as postpartum hemorrhage, uterine myoma, and cervical

Table 2 Comparison Of The Parameters Of Three Different Treatment Groups

| Variables                  | Types Of Surgery For CSP | P value |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|---------|
| Transvaginal Clearance (145) | Endoscopic Surgery (33) | UAE (26) |
| Surgical time (min)        | 31.4±2.5                 | 45.8±2.2 | 51.4±1.9 | 0.001 |
| Blood loss (mL)            | 53.3±5.5                 | 105.2±3.2 | 75.6±3.5 | 0.001 |
| Blood transfusion          | 1/145                    | 3/33     | 0/26    | 0.005 |
| Pelvic adhesion            | 1/145                    | 2/33     | 0/26    | 0.337 |
| Discomfort                 | 1/145                    | 9/33     | 16/26   | 0.001 |
| Hospital stay (days)       | 6.1±1.1                  | 7.4±0.9  | 18.6±1.5 | 0.001 |
| Hemoglobin before surgery (g/L) | 114.7±16.3         | 115.7±17.1 | 116.9±12.5 | 0.798 |
| Hemoglobin after surgery (g/L) | 107.5±15.1            | 104.5±14.9 | 101.9±13.2 | 0.158 |
| Fever duration (days)      | 1.0±0.5                  | 2.1±2.8  | 5.7±3.5 | 0.001 |
| Hospital expenses (Yuan)   | 7825.9±234.9             | 10,248.3±312.9 | 18,774.9±243.6 | 0.001 |
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pregnancy. Recently, the use of UAE to treat CSP has been reported, which could block the blood flow of uterine arteries, decrease vascularization, and induce trophoblastic degeneration. UAE in combination with local MTX produced satisfactory results in the treatment of CSP.7,17 A success rate as high as 89.4% has been reported.18 However, in our study, there were no significant changes in serum β-HCG 96 hrs after administration of UAE with local MTX, and β-HCG was increased in 4 patients who needed additional intervention. What is more, the frequency of discomfort, hospital stay, and expense were the highest of the three groups. We do not consider UAE with local MTX as a good alternative for CSP.

Conclusion
In summary, early diagnosis of CSP provides the opportunity for conservative treatment and greatly improves the prognosis of patients. Though, there is no universal agreement on the optimal treatment modality for CSP. In this retrospective study, even with selective bias, we still believe that transvaginal pregnancy tissue clearance was the preferred option for fertility-preservation approach.
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