Locating hydrothermal fluid injection of the 2018 phreatic eruption at Kusatsu-Shirane volcano with volcanic tremor amplitude
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Abstract
Kusatsu-Shirane volcano hosts numerous thermal springs, fumaroles, and the crater lake of Yugama. Hence, it has been a particular study field for hydrothermal systems and phreatic eruptions. On 23 January 2018, a phreatic eruption occurred at the Motoshirane cone of Kusatsu-Shirane, where no considerable volcanic activity had been reported in observational and historical records. To understand the eruption process of this unique event, we analyzed seismic, tilt, and infrasound records. The onset of surface activity accompanied by infrasound signal was preceded by volcanic tremor and inflation of the volcano for ~2 min. Tremor signals with a frequency band of 5–20 Hz remarkably coincide with the rapid inflation. We apply an amplitude source location method to seismic signals in the 5–20 Hz band to estimate tremor source locations. Our analysis locates tremor sources at 1 km north of Motoshirane and at a depth of 0.5–1 km from the surface. Inferred source locations correspond to a conductive layer of impermeable cap-rock estimated by magnetotelluric investigations. An upper portion of the seismogenic region suggests hydrothermal activity hosted beneath the cap-rock. Examined seismic signals in the 5–20 Hz band are typically excited by volcano-tectonic events with faulting mechanism. Based on the above characteristics and background, we interpret that excitation of examined volcanic tremor reflects small shear fractures induced by sudden hydrothermal fluid injection to the cap-rock layer. The horizontal distance of 1 km between inferred tremor sources and Motoshirane implies lateral migration of the hydrothermal fluid, although direct evidence is not available. Kusatsu-Shirane has exhibited unrest at the Yugama lake since 2014. However, the inferred tremor source locations do not overlap active seismicity beneath Yugama. Therefore, our result suggests that the 2018 eruption was triggered by hydrothermal fluid injection through a different pathway from that has driven unrest activities at Yugama.
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Introduction
Kusatsu-Shirane volcano consists of three pyroclastic cones (Shirane, Ainomine, and Motoshirane), central Japan (Fig. 1). A distinguishing characteristic of Kusatsu-Shirane is a well-developed hydrothermal system beneath the volcano, evidenced by a significant amount of thermal springs, fumaroles, and a crater lake (Yugama) at the summit of the Shirane cone. Therefore, it has been a particular study field of volcano hydrothermal system and phreatic eruptions (e.g., Ossaka et al. 1980; Ohba et al. 1994; Terada and Hashimoto, 2017; Terada 2018). On 23 January 2018, a phreatic eruption occurred at Kusatsu-Shirane and formed several small craters with diameters of 15–20 m at the summit area of the Motoshirane cone (Earthquake Research Institute, 2018). The 2018 eruption occurred at Motoshirane, while all documented (after...
phreatic eruptions have occurred at the Shirane cone, including recent unrest events in 1989–1991 and 2014 (Terada 2018). No considerable precursory changes related to Motoshirane have been reported before the event. Phreatic eruptions are not always accompanied by clear precursors (Barberi et al. 1992). Assessing the potential of upcoming phreatic eruptions is an important challenge for volcano research and monitoring. Hence, case studies for each event are still valuable to improve our understanding of possible phreatic eruption mechanisms (e.g., Mannen et al. 2018; Ueda et al. 2018; Stix and de Moor, 2018; Battaglia et al. 2019).

Phreatic eruptions may be regarded as relatively small events from a view of the total ejected material amount (VEI: Volcano Explosive Index, Newhall and Self, 1992), with the VEI of 0–2 (e.g., Brown and Lawless, 2001; Mayer et al. 2015; Maeno et al. 2016; Geshi and Itoh 2018; Mannen et al. 2018, The Joint Research Team for ash fall in Kusatsu-Shirane 2018 eruption, 2018). However, phreatic eruptions and related unrest activities often excite seismic signals and transient ground deformation with considerable amplitudes (e.g., Aoyama and Oshima 2008, 2015; Maeda et al. 2015). Previous studies have demonstrated that seismic analysis is vital for understanding the phreatic eruption mechanism and related hydrothermal activities with locations, force system, and its temporal changes of seismic wave sources (e.g., Jolly et al. 2010, 2018; Kato et al. 2015; Maeda et al. 2015; Yukutake et al. 2017). Amplitude Source Location (ASL) method has been applied at numerous volcanoes to constrain the source location of volcanic tremor (e.g., Yamashita, 1997; Battaglia and Aki 2003; Kumagai et al. 2010; Kurokawa et al. 2016; Ichihara and Matsumoto 2017; Ichimura et al. 2018; Walsh et al. 2019). Since no investigation has been reported at Motoshirane in terms of geophysical ground observations, our primary objective is to locate the hydrothermal system that drove the 2018 phreatic eruption. We apply the ASL technique to seismic records accompanying the 2018 eruption to estimate volcanic tremor source locations. The relation between our result and the hydrothermal system structures of Kusatsu-Shirane is discussed to illustrate the source process of the 2018 eruption.

**Observation networks**

Kusatsu-Shirane Volcano Observatory (KSVO), Volcano Fluid Research Center, Tokyo Institute of Technology, has conducted seismic observation at Kusatsu-Shirane since 1990 (Mori et al. 2006). The seismic network of KSVO focuses on the Shirane cone, the center for active seismicity in Kusatsu-Shirane (Fig. 1c). We adopt seismic data recorded at KSYG, KSJ, and infrasound data recorded at KSHV. National Research Institute for Earth Science and Disaster Resilience (NIED) also has a multiparametric observation network (V-net) at Kusatsu-Shirane since 2014 (Tanada et al. 2017). Seismic and tilt data obtained by borehole instruments at KSHV, KSYV, and KSNV (Fig. 1b) are adopted in this paper. We also analyze ground velocity and infrasound data recorded.
at KSAO and KSHA (Fig. 1c), operated by Japan Meteorological Agency (JMA). All adopted seismometers have a natural frequency of 1 Hz. Table 1 summarizes our dataset.

**Seismicity and hydrothermal system structures at Kusatsu-Shirane**

Figure 2a shows hypocenter distributions of volcanic earthquakes at Kusatsu-Shirane routinely determined by KSVO. Two earthquake clusters characterize the seismicity at Kusatsu-Shirane: the major one is beneath the Yugama lake (Yugama cluster) at a depth of 500–1700 m. Most events in Fig. 2 are Volcanic Tectonic (VT) type, although some events in the Yugama cluster are Long-Period (LP) events which may be ascribed to a fluid-driven mechanism (Nakano et al. 1998, 2003; Kumagai et al. 2002; Fujita and Ida 2003). Another cluster can be found beneath the Ainomine cone (Ainomine cluster). Since no seismic station covers the south of Ainomine (Fig. 1 and 2) up to the 2018 eruption (Yamamoto et al. 2018), the precision of hypocenter determination for the Ainomine cluster may be less than that of the Yugama cluster. The number of volcanic earthquakes significantly decreases below sea level (Fig. 2a). This low seismicity region corresponds reasonably to a conductive region detected by Audio-magnetotelluric (AMT) (Nurhasan et al. 2006) and magnetotelluric (MT) surveys (Matsunaga et al. 2020; Tseng et al. 2020) at a depth of 1–3.5 km from the surface. The conductor is interpreted as a hydrothermal fluid reservoir, supplying hydrothermal fluid for thermal springs, fumaroles, and lake waters at Kusatsu-Shirane as inferred by geochemical studies (Hirabayashi 1999; Ohba et al. 2000; Ohwada et al. 2003). Therefore, a plausible interpretation for seismicity above the fluid reservoir is that ascending hydrothermal fluid induces small earthquakes (Nurhasan et al. 2006; Tseng et al. 2020). The Motoshirane cone had the latest lava effusion at the Kusatsu-Shirane ~ 1500 years ago (Nigorigawa et al. 2016). In addition, some small craters at the summit area of Motoshirane suggest the possibility of unconfirmed phreatic eruptions after the last lava effusion (Fig. 1c, Geospatial Information Authority of Japan, 2018). The flank of the Motoshirane produces thermal springs with a total discharge energy of 110 MW (Ueki and Terada 2012). Although this value is substantial for non-eruptive volcanism (Kagiya 1981), no specific seismic activities are related to their features (Fig. 2a).

Focusing on volcanic activity of Kusatsu-Shirane in the last few decades, the first unique event after the 1989–1991 unrest (Takahashi and Fujiwara, 2014; Terada 2018) includes a transient ground inflation–deflation event accompanying volcanic tremor on January 2011 (the 2011 unrest) beneath the Ainomine peak (Terada et al. 2011; Fujiwara et al. 2011). From March 2014, major seismic swarms started around Yugama (Kuwahara et al. 2016), along with ground inflation, changes in the total magnetic field, temperature, and geochemical components in lake water (Terada et al. 2016; Ohba et al. 2016). Figure 2b shows the time history of seismicity at Kusatsu-Shirane from June 2016 to December 2018. The beginning of the time window in Fig. 2b corresponds to unrest from 2014, and that has been gradually diminishing. Apart from the phreatic eruption on 23 January 2018, a new series of the seismic swarm began at Yugama in April 2018. No eruption related to this unrest occurred up to May 2020 (JMA, 2020).

**The 2018 phreatic eruption**

We plot seismic, tilt, and infrasound records from 09:58 to 10:05 on 23 January 2018 (all times in JST in this paper), including signals accompanying the 2018 eruption in Fig. 3. Volcanic tremor appears on a raw ground velocity record at KSW from 9:59:35 (Fig. 3a). Tremor amplitude decreases from 10:01:30, and another wave group follows with a larger amplitude (10:02:10). Fourier spectra of seismic signals in Fig. 3a (from 09:58:20)

---

**Table 1** Instruments details of seismic networks at Kusatsu-Shirane volcano

| Station     | Sensor          | Natural period/flat response | Sampling | Data logger/resolution |
|-------------|-----------------|------------------------------|----------|------------------------|
| Short period seismometer | KSE, KSS, and KSW (KSVO) | JTS-33 (Akashi)  | 1 s       | 200 Hz                |
|             | KSYG and JE (KSVO) | L4 (Mark Product) | 1 s       | 200 Hz                |
|             | KSHV, KSNV, and KSYV (NIED) | JTS-33 (Mitsutoyo) | 1 s       | 100 Hz               |
|             | KSAO (JMA)   | JTS-23 (Mitsutoyo) | 1 s       | 100 Hz               |
|             | KSHA (JMA)  | L4-C (Mark Product) | 1 s     | 100 Hz               |
| Infrasound microphone | KSRH (KSVO) | SI-102 (Hakusan) | 0.05–100 Hz | 200 Hz               |
|             | KSAO (JMA)  | ACO 3348 (Aco) | 0.1–100 Hz | 100 Hz               |
| Tiltmeter   | KSHV, KSNV, and KSYV (NIED) | JTS-33 (Mitsutoyo) | DC–5 Hz | 20 Hz                 |

---


and background signals before the onset of tremor (from 09:50:00) are denoted in Fig. 4a. The frequency content of seismic signals associated with the 2018 eruption has a broad peak range from 0.5 Hz to 30 Hz. A better view for understanding the frequency content is obtained from amplitude ratios to background time window in Fig. 4b. We see that there are broadly two major signal peaks in frequency bands of 1–2 Hz and 3–30 Hz. To examine temporal intensity changes, we apply causal band-pass filters with two corresponding cut-off frequency bands (0.5–5 Hz, and 5–20 Hz) on raw vertical ground velocity in Fig. 3b. The dividing frequency range from 5 Hz in Fig. 3b is related to our analysis in the following section.

A filtered waveform in the 0.5–5 Hz band has an almost identical time history with a raw waveform. On the other hand, another filtered (5–20 Hz) waveform shows a different time-series of amplitude; it has signals with a considerable amplitude on 09:59–10:01 as well as raw and the 0.5–5 Hz filtered waveforms, but has fewer signals on the second impulsive wave group from 10:02:10.

Tilt records at KSHV are shown in Fig. 3c. To emphasize dynamic ground deformation, we apply an acausal low-pass filter with a cut-off frequency of 0.05 Hz on tilt records in Fig. 3c. We see that an east-northward uplift begins almost simultaneously with volcanic tremor. Since the station KSHV is on the west-southwest side

**Fig. 2** a Map and vertical-NS cross-sections of hypocenters of volcanic earthquakes determined by KSVO. Vent locations of the 2018 eruptions are represented by red color. A black star shows a reference point for the infrasound waveform plot in Fig. 5 and ASL analysis. b Space–time plot of seismicity at Kusatsu-Shirane in the period from June 2016 to December 2018.
flank of Motoshirane, the tilt change from 10:00 can be interpreted as inflation of the Motoshirane cone (Terada et al. 2018). The polarity of tilt change turns to the opposite at 10:01:50. This timing coincides with a reduction of tremor in the 5–20 Hz signals. We also plot the cumulative squared amplitude of filtered (5–20 Hz) vertical ground velocity at KSW in Fig. 3c. Both volcanic tremor in the 5–20 Hz band and the inflation occur within almost identical time windows.

Fig. 3  a Raw vertical ground velocity waveform at KSW from 09:58 on 23 January 2018. b Filtered (Gray: 0.5–5 Hz; Red: 5–20 Hz) vertical ground velocity waveforms at KSW. c Tilt change records at KSHV. An acausal low-pass filter with a cut-off frequency of 0.05 Hz is applied to emphasize dynamic tilt changes. The cumulative squared amplitude of filtered vertical ground velocity at KSW is also shown to compare tilt changes and excitation of the 5–20 Hz band seismic signals. d Raw infrasound waveform at KSRH
Figure 3d shows the raw infrasound waveform at KSRH. Although the waveform contains noise signals probably derived from wind, there is a distinct wave group from 10:02:13 with a greater amplitude than the background level. To examine the infrasound origin time, we plot raw infrasound records at KSRH and KSAO from 10:02:00 in Fig. 5. Although the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of infrasound record at KSAO is relatively low, we find coherent signals on both infrasound waveforms from 12 s in Fig. 5. Arrival times of signals in both waveforms can be explained assuming that the signals propagate from the center of active vents of the 2018 eruption (Fig. 2a) with an apparent velocity of 340 m/s, which is consistent with the sound velocity near the ground. Hence, we consider the origin time of infrasound is 10:02:09. This estimation is consistent with a report by ERI (2018), which examined the coherence of seismic and infrasound signals (Ichihara et al. 2012). The infrasound origin time also implies that the second wave group on seismic record (Fig. 3a) is associated with surface activity with ash and gas emissions.

**ASL method for the 2018 eruption**

Our inspection of observed data associated with the 2018 eruption shows that volcanic tremor precedes the onset of eruption for 2 min. Now, we apply the ASL technique to seismic records to estimate volcanic tremor source locations. Here, analyzed seismic signals are assumed as body waves from a point source having an isotropic radiation pattern with wave scattering in the medium. From this assumption, ground velocity amplitude at r km away from the seismic source, \( A(r) \), can be expressed as:

\[
A(r) = \frac{A_0 S_i}{r} \exp(-B r), \quad B = \frac{\pi f}{\beta Q},
\]

where \( A_0 \) is source amplitude, \( S_i \) is site amplification factor, \( f \) is the center frequency of analyzed signals, \( \beta \) is the S-wave velocity in the medium, and \( Q \) is the quality factor for medium attenuation (Aki and Richards 2002). The subscript \( i \) corresponds at the \( i \)th station in the network. The assumption for isotropic S-wave radiation is valid for signals with frequencies higher than 5 Hz (Takemura et al. 2009; Kumagai et al. 2011). Hence, we focus on ground velocity in the band of 5–20 Hz, which is almost identical to one of the dominant frequency ranges in observed seismic records (Fig. 4). A homogeneous S-wave velocity of \( \beta = 2.42 \) km/s is referred from Kuwahara et al. (2016), who examined seismic velocity structures at Kusatsu-Shirane with travel times of

---

**Fig. 4** a Fourier spectra for the vertical ground velocity at KSW (Red: for 500 s from 09:58:19; Green: for 100 s from 10:14:09; Gray: for 500 s from 09:50:00). Pink color corresponds to the frequency band of 5–20 Hz, which is focused on our ASL analysis. b Spectrum ratios to background signals

**Fig. 5** Raw (black) and filtered (5–20 Hz, gray) infrasound records at KSRH and KSAO. The origin time of the horizontal axis is 10:02:00. The vertical offset of each waveform denotes horizontal distances from the center of active vents of the 2018 eruption denoted in Fig. 2a. Red broken lines show an apparent propagation velocity (\( V \)) of 340 m/s
volcanic earthquakes. The quality factor $Q$ is set at 50 as a representative value at active volcanoes (e.g., Koyanagi et al. 1995; Battaglia and Aki 2003; Morioka et al. 2017). We adopt seismic records at KSE, KSS, KSW, KASO, and KSHA in the ASL based on the observed SNR, distances from the Motoshirane cone, and operational history, including the 2011 unrest. The ASL determines the source location by minimizing residuals between observed and modeled amplitudes by Eq. 1. However, since no seismic station covers the south of Motoshirane, the grid search for minimum residual needs to be modified to suit our network configuration. Hence, we follow an improved version of the ASL proposed by Ichihara and Matsumoto (2017) for searching the minimum residual and $S_i$ determination. Their method evaluates residuals with amplitude ratios of each station pair. Ratios of observed ($R_{ij}^{\text{obs}}$) and modeled ($R_{ij}^{\text{model}}$) amplitudes from Eq. 1 of each station pair are defined as:

$$R_{ij}^{\text{obs}} = \frac{A_{ij}^{\text{obs}}}{A_{ij}^{\text{obs}}}$$

$$R_{ij}^{\text{model}} = \frac{S_i r_j^{-1} \exp(-Br_i)}{S_j r_i^{-1} \exp(-Br_j)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $A_{ij}^{\text{obs}}$ is a band-passed Root-Mean-Squared (RMS) amplitude in each time window for 10 s. By adopting Eq. 2 for the grid search, observed seismic traces with smaller amplitudes could minimize residuals equally along with traces with larger amplitudes. Diminishing a parameter of $A_0$ in Eq. 2 improves the robustness of the analysis. Therefore, we regard the error evaluation with amplitude ratio as an improvement over the amplitude only approach (Battaglia and Aki 2003). The residual between observed and modeled amplitude ratios is defined as:

$$RES = \sqrt{\frac{2}{N(N-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{N} \left( \frac{R_{ij}^{\text{obs}} - R_{ij}^{\text{model}}}{R_{ij}^{\text{obs}}} \right)^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Each time window is shifted for 10 s without overlapping each other.

Equation 1 shows that $S_i$ at each station is critical for source location determination with the ASL. $S_i$ values are often determined with a coda-normalization method using far-field earthquakes (Phillips and Aki, 1986; Mayeda et al. 1991). However, amplitude variations of observed coda-wave affect the estimation of $S_i$, causing potential errors for source location estimates (Ogiso et al. 2016; Walsh et al. 2017). Following Ichihara and Matsumoto (2017), the present study determines $S_i$ setting a reference time window that the source location is fixed at a certain point. Tremor source location is often estimated at the surface of the vent during eruptions (Battaglia et al. 2005; Yukutake et al. 2017). Therefore, our reference time window is set from 10:02:10, including the origin time of dominant infrasound signals, and with source location at the surface of the center of active vents (Fig. 2a). The maximum infrasound amplitude in the 5–20 Hz band is about ~1 Pa (Fig. 6). Following Ichihara et al. (2012), this pressure change can induce ground velocity on the order of $10^{-7}$ m/s, which is one order of magnitude smaller than the observed tremor amplitude in the reference time window (Fig. 3). Seismometers at KSE, KSS, KSW, and KSAO are borehole-type and installed at a depth of 50–200 m from the surface (Mori et al. 2006; Saito et al. 2013; Tanada et al. 2017). Therefore, we consider that the effect of infrasound propagation on seismic records is negligible. Figure 6a shows $RES$ values for the reference

---

**Fig. 6** a Residual values ($RES$) with different source elevations beneath the crater setting $S_i$ as 1. b Modeled amplitude at an elevation of 2050 m (the ground surface) for the reference time window. Red bars correspond to values of $S_i$ at each station.
time window with different reference source elevations beneath the vent without considering $S_i$ (setting $S_i$ as 1). The minimum value of $RES$ is obtained at the ground surface (an elevation of 2050 m). Hence, we consider the assumption of a fixed reference source location at the surface as acceptable, although our network configuration is not ideal with insufficient stations at the Moto-shirane and Ainomine peaks. Corresponding modeled amplitudes by Eq. 1 are denoted in Fig. 6b with observed filtered RMS amplitudes of the reference time window. The source amplitude of $A_0$ is calculated to explain the observed RMS amplitude at KSW for each grid node and time window. This choice of $A_0$ is based on the borehole instrument at KSW, which is installed in the host rock (Uto et al. 2004). Here, the vertical seismic records show the lowest amplification in the network based on the coda-normalization method (Additional file 1: Table S2). Hence, $S_i$ at other stations is determined to satisfy the modeled amplitudes in the reference time window in Fig. 6b.

Searching for the minimum $RES$ is conducted with a grid size of 10 m. We set a search range from elevations of $-1500$ m to the surface, latitudes of $36.618–36.651$, and longitudes of $138.524–138.558$, which covers the relevant area of the Motoshirane and Shirane cones. The 2018 eruption affected the local electricity supply network with ashfall, and it caused data loss at KSE, JIE, KSYG, and KSHA after 10:02:40. Therefore, we focus on the time window for 210 s from 09:59:00.

**Result**

Figure 7a represents horizontal tremor locations obtained by our ASL analysis. The color of each plot indicates the time, as shown in a color palette of Fig. 7b. Filtered (5–20 Hz) vertical ground velocity at KSW, estimated source elevation, and the minimum $RES$ value for each time window are denoted in Fig. 7b. A source location plot surrounded by a green square (190 s) is the reference window that the source is fixed at the surface of the vent. Inferred tremor locations up to 170 s are ranging about 500 m east of the Ainomine peak. Corresponding elevations are in the range of 1.0–1.5 km, equivalent to a depth of 0.5–1 km from the ground surface. Inferred source locations suddenly move to the south in 180 s. After the reference window in 190 s, source locations are estimated at near the ground surface around Moto-shirane. For evaluating the reliability of source location estimates, Fig. 8 shows a representative example of $RES$ values in horizontal and vertical cross-sections of a time window of 120 s (Fig. 7). If we set an error range of $0.1 > RES$, the range corresponds $-110–130$ m in the NS direction and $-50–60$ m in the EW direction in horizontal cross-section. On the other hand, the error range in vertical cross-sections is $-200–300$ m. One possible explanation of relatively poor constraint on source elevation may be derived from the station configuration, which is not ideal. Therefore, inferred tremor source elevations may include potential errors with several hundred meters.

**Fig. 7** a Locations of estimated tremor source. The color of each plot corresponds to a contour bar in b. Gray dots also show epicenters of volcanic earthquakes in Fig. 2. A plot with a green square is the fixed source location for the reference time window. b Analyzed filtered (5–20 Hz) vertical ground velocity at KSW, estimated source elevations, and the minimum $RES$ value of each time window. The origin time of the horizontal axis is 09:59:00.
We also conduct the ASL analysis with different S-wave velocity and Q values to assess how our ASL locations are affected by the related elastic media parameters. If we adopt $\beta$ as 1.43 km/s from Ida et al. (1989), the ASL yields source location at an elevation of 40 m higher and 70 m south compared to the result in the window of 120 s in Fig. 8. Adopting different Q values (40 and 60) changes inferred source locations for $\pm$ 30 m. Therefore, we conclude that the location dependence on the elastic media parameters is limited.

To validate our determination of $S_i$ at each station, we also conduct the ASL with $S_i$ obtained with the coda-normalization method with different station configuration (Additional file 1: Fig. S2). Additional file 1: Table S2 compares estimated $S_i$ values with different methods. A higher amplification at KSHA, where the sensor is installed on the surface, is recognized in both results. However, a considerable disagreement is that the largest $S_i$ is obtained at KSW among the borehole-type stations if we fix the reference source at the surface of the vent (Fig. 6b), while $S_i$ at KSW is the lowest by the coda-normalization method (Additional file 1: Table S2). A similar disagreement has been reported by Walsh et al. (2017), who examined the difference of $S_i$ estimates between the coda-normalization and active seismic source experiment results. Although $S_i$ values potentially include such uncertainties in estimation methods, the horizontal tremor locations in Additional file 1: Fig. S2 are identical to those of the main result in Fig. 7. Therefore, we consider our ASL approach to be robust.

**Discussion**

**Interpretation for examined volcanic tremor and eruption process**

Seismic signals in the 5–20 Hz band are usually excited by VT events with faulting mechanisms at active volcanoes.

**Fig. 8** Spatial distributions of RES values in map and cross-sections through the point (star) with the minimum RES value for a time window of 120 s in Fig. 7. Red plots represent vent locations of the 2018 eruptions.
(Nishimura and Iguchi, 2011). Figure 4b shows the considerable agreement of frequency content between the examined volcanic tremor and a VT event after the eruption (10:14). We interpret the 5–20 Hz band seismic signals of volcanic tremor as a superposition of small shear fractures based on this frequency content correspondence. Voight (1988) proposed the material Failure Forecast Model (FFM) that explains accelerating precursory signals of volcanic eruptions with a general material failure law. Following the approach of Chardot et al. (2015), we conduct the FFM analysis for the 5–20 Hz signals at KSW to assess our interpretation. Additional file 2: Fig. S3 shows the FFM predictions in 10:00:10–10:01:00. Although this prediction is ~2 min earlier than the onset of the eruption (10:02:09), it can be interpreted alternatively as the time of the subsurface cap-rock failure: when the cap-rock layer is no longer capable of restricting the over-pressurized fluid and proceeds to the vertical fluid migration. The predicted timing relates to the beginning of inflation (Fig. 3). Sudden expansion by boiling of the migrated hydrothermal fluid is a plausible scenario for inducing the ground deformation preceding phreatic eruptions. We estimate fluid advection velocity is about ~10 m/s by considering the lapse time (~2 min) and the tremor source–vent distance (1.1–1.4 km). This estimate is almost comparable with VT hypocenter migration (~5 m/s) prior to the 2014 phreatic eruption at Ontake (Kato et al. 2015) and slightly faster than numerical investigation (0.25–2.9 m/s) at White island by Jolly et al. (2018).

The hydrothermal fluid behaviors can also excite seismic activity (Chouet 1986; Julian 1994; Rust et al. 2008). Geysers and fumaroles can excite seismic signals with frequencies higher than 10 Hz (Motoya and Nogoshi, 1962; Kedar et al. 1996). Hence, a superposition of fault slips may not be a unique mechanism for the examined seismic signals. However, seismic wave excitation from hydrothermal fluid behaviors are often related to signals with lower frequency ranges, such as <0.5 Hz (e.g., Fujita and Ida, 2003; Ohminato, 2006; Maeda et al. 2013). This signal range is also similar to another frequency peak range of observed ground velocity (Fig. 4), which has a significantly larger amplitude around the eruption onset (Fig. 3b). Hence, we prefer small shear fractures as a mechanism of examined tremor signals.

Our ASL method estimates volcanic tremor source locations at about 500 m east and the depth of 0.5–1 km beneath the Ainomine cone. This region is slightly east and within the upper portion of the Ainomine cluster (Fig. 2). Hypocenters of volcanic earthquakes with a different station configuration and seismic velocity model from the present routine of KUSVO are reported by Mori et al. (2006). Their epicenter distributions match closely with tremor locations from our ASL. Note that inferred tremor locations are relative to the reference point fixed at the surface of the vent. However, the comparison between our result and the earlier hypocenter distributions by Mori et al. (2006) suggests that the tremor is related to the seismogenic zone beneath Ainomine. According to AMT (Nurhasan et al. 2006) and MT soundings (Matsunaga et al. 2020; Tseng et al. 2020), the eastern flank of Kusatsu-Shirane is covered by a conductive layer with a thickness of 0.3–1 km. Drilling surveys reveal that the conductive layer consists of smectite with an impermeable characteristic (Kurasawa 1993). A low permeable structure, often known as a cap-rock, is essential to seal vertical fluid flow for hosting a hydrothermal system. The focal depth of volcanic earthquakes can support the sealing structure by the cap-rock beneath Ainomine. Most earthquakes in the Ainomine cluster occur below an elevation of 1 km (Fig. 2). Pre-eruption conditions may be interpreted as a result of the low flow rate of hydrothermal fluid into a steady-state impermeable cap-rock, resulting in intermittent seismic events beneath the Ainomine. Based on the above signal characteristics and background, it is plausible to assume that sudden and unusual hydrothermal fluid injection for the cap-rock layer beneath Ainomine occurred, which induced the small shear fractures in the cap-rock observed as volcanic tremor (Fig. 9).

Notably, the pre-eruption seismic signals in the 5–20 Hz band are also accompanied by the rapid inflation of Moto-shirane. This correspondence is also widely reported associated with phreatic eruptions and unrests at other volcanoes, such as Kuchinoerabujima (Tameguri et al. 2016; Nakamichi et al. 2016), Ontake (Kato et al. 2015), Hakone (Honda et al. 2018; Yukutake et al. 2018), Meakan-dake (Aoyama and Oshima 2008, 2015), and Hokkaido-Komagatake (Usu Volcano Observatory, 1997). In volcanic settings, sudden ground inflation is often inferred as an expansion of super-heated underground water, which may trigger phreatic eruptions (Maeda et al. 2017; Stix and de Moor 2018). Himematsu et al. (2020) detected syn-eruptive and post-eruptive subsidence of Motoshirane with Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) data. Although we do not estimate the deformation source location with tilt records in this paper, ground deformation obtained with the spaceborne observation by Himematsu et al. (2020) suggests that the deformation source beneath the Motoshirane induced the tilt change. Therefore, a possible scenario to explain observed volcanic tremor and ground inflation is that injected hydrothermal fluid beneath Ainomine migrated laterally at a certain depth and induced the deformation beneath Moto-shirane (Fig. 9).

**Implications for the hydrothermal system structure of Kusatsu-Shirane volcano**

The Yugama and Ainomine clusters of volcanic earthquakes have been recognized from seismic observation in the 1980s (Ida et al. 1989). Ida et al. (1989) interpreted
that the Ainomine cluster can be a part of the fluid pathway from source to beneath Yugama, considering the focal depth differences. Along with seismic records of the 2018 eruption, we also apply the same ASL analysis to the 2011 unrest (Additional file 3: Fig. S4). Inferred tremor locations are almost identical to that of the 2018 eruption, which does not overlap the Yugama cluster. This coincidence of tremor locations suggests that the 2011 unrest shared the same triggering mechanism as the 2018 eruption. The relation between both seismic clusters can be discussed from the time-series of seismicity. Figure 2 shows no evident changes in the Yugama cluster related to the 2018 eruption at Motoshirane. The Ainomine cluster also shows no response to the series of unrest at the Yugama since April 2018. Therefore, it is reasonable to consider that both seismic clusters reflect independent hydrothermal fluid pathways from the fluid reservoir (Fig. 9). Such a perspective can contribute evaluation of volcanic activity at Kusatsu-Shirane.

Our result reveals a major triggering system of the 2018 eruption was located not beneath Motoshirane but Ainomine. Low seismicity beneath Motoshirane in the time window in Fig. 2b is consistent with our interpretation. However, the present study does not...
show the nature of the lateral distance between the vent (Motoshirane) and triggering hydrothermal fluid injection (beneath Ainomine). We are also blind regarding the conditions beneath the Motoshirane before the eruption, because a few ground observations had focused on the Motoshirane cone. Previous studies at Kusatsu-Shirane have revealed some fundamental aspects of the hydrothermal system focusing on the Shirane cone. Further investigations and continuous observation targeting Motoshirane, as well as Shirane, will contribute to better understanding of the hydrothermal system beneath the Kusatsu-Shirane volcano.

Conclusion
We have examined seismic, tilt, and infrasound records accompanying the 2018 phreatic eruption at Kusatsu-Shirane volcano to understand the source process of a unique eruption after a long dormancy of the Motoshirane cone. The onset of eruption is preceded by ~2 min of volcanic tremor and tilt deformation. The broadband of volcanic tremor is consistent with consistent the cap-rock failure timing. Our investigation also adopting the volcanic tremor signals, which predicts continuous eruption. This interpretation is supported by the FFM injection for the cap-rock layer, which triggered the 2018 eruption. This interpretation is supported by the FFM application for the 5–20 Hz band ground velocities method and corresponding ASL result.
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