The post-common-envelope binary nucleus of the planetary nebula IC 4776: Neither an anomalously long orbital period nor a Wolf-Rayet binary*
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ABSTRACT
The orbital period distribution of close binary stars consisting of a white dwarf and a main-sequence star (WDMS) is a powerful observational constraint on population synthesis models of the poorly understood common-envelope (CE) interaction. Models have often struggled to reproduce the small number of post-CE WDMS binaries with anomalously long orbital periods greater than \(\sim 4\) d, though recent studies suggest that in longer period systems recombination energy may help contribute to the efficient ejection of the CE. Planetary nebulae (PNe) are an emerging source of rare long period post-CE binaries which can act as powerful complementary constraints on population synthesis models to more traditional post-CE binary populations. A tentative 9.0 d orbital period was recently proposed for the central star of the PN IC 4776, potentially one of the longest periods observed in post-CE WDMS binaries. Here we present SALT HRS observations of IC 4776 that rule out a 9.0 d orbital period, as well as the previously suggested Wolf-Rayet classification of the primary. The SALT HRS data establish a 3.11 d orbital period and rule out O and Wolf-Rayet primary spectral types. Assuming a mass of 0.6 \(M_{\odot}\) for the primary and an orbital inclination matching the nebula orientation, we find a companion mass of 0.22 \(\pm 0.03\) \(M_{\odot}\), most likely corresponding to an M4V companion. The orbital period of IC 4776 is still consistent with findings of abundance discrepancy factor (ADF) studies of post-CE PNe, but any trends in the ADF distribution derived from the sample remain significantly biased by selection effects.
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1 INTRODUCTION
Planetary nebulae with close binary central stars are the most immediate (\(\sim 10^4\) yr) aftermath of the poorly understood common-envelope (CE) interaction (Ivanova et al. 2013). Their orbital period distribution is a potentially powerful constraint on CE population synthesis models (Miszalski et al. 2009) that aim to reproduce the present-day population of post-CE binaries (e.g. Davis et al. 2010; Nie et al. 2012; Toonen & Nelemans 2013; Zorotovic et al. 2014a).

Most post-CE central stars have main-sequence (MS) companions, making them the most useful to compare against models due to their greater numbers, however their overall numbers are still relatively small compared to other post-CE populations. The most numerous and well-studied post-CE population used to constrain CE models are more evolved binaries consisting of a white dwarf (WD) and a MS companion (WDMS binaries, e.g. Nebot Gómez-Morán et al. 2011). Many of these may have evolved from post-CE central stars and we may therefore expect post-CE central stars to exhibit a similar orbital period distribution to the bias-corrected WDMS distribution (Nebot Gómez-Morán et al. 2011). Indeed, previous comparisons show generally good
et al. (2016) plan to ameliorate this bias by expanding the orbital periods (Nebot Gómez-Morán et al. 2011). Parsons et al. (2016) pointed out that the acknowledged bias in the WDMS sample to include earlier spectral type companions that are expected to have longer orbital periods. Rebassa-Mansergas et al. (2017) determined a preliminary close binary fraction of this sample to be ~10 per cent.

Apart from the Parsons et al. (2016) sample, the central stars of PN e offer an excellent complementary population with which to probe the orbital period distribution of WDMS post-CE binaries and the associated selection effects. Indeed, some of the longest orbital periods of post-CE binaries are already found in PNe (Tab. 1). We are further expanding and characterising the population of long period binary central stars via a systematic radial velocity (RV) monitoring survey with the Southern African Large Telescope (SALT, Buckley, Swart & Meiring 2006; O’Donoghue et al. 2006) High Resolution Spectrograph (Miszalski et al. 2018a, 2018b).

In this context we are also investigating candidates with uncertain or suspected long orbital periods like MPA 1508–6455 (Miszalski et al. 2011) and IC 4776 (Sowicka et al. 2017). Miszalski et al. (2011) found a potential orbital period of 12.5 d from photometry of the central star of MPA 1508–6455, but SALT HRS measurements prove that its orbital period is considerably longer (Bonokwane 2018). Sowicka et al. (2017) recently identified peak-to-peak RV variability of 80 km s⁻¹ in the central star of IC 4776 (PN G002.0–13.4). Qualitative analysis of the ten RV measurements by Sowicka et al. (2017) favoured a tentative 9.0 d orbital period, which would constitute an anomalously long orbital period for WDMS binaries (Nebot Gómez-Morán et al. 2011) and place it amongst the longest in PNe (Tab. 1).

Despite its unproven binary nature and uncertain orbital period, suspected to lie between 1.0 and 20.0 d (Sowicka et al. 2017), the tentative 9.0 d period was used by Sowicka et al. (2017) and Wesson et al. (2018) to make inferences about the potential dependence of the abundance discrepancy factors (ADF) of post-CE PNe with the orbital period. The ADF is usually calculated as the ratio of the oxygen abundance determined from recombination lines and any such trends are helpful to understand the mysterious origin of ‘extreme’ ADFs where the ADF exceeds a value of 10 (see Corradi et al. 2015; Miszalski et al. 2015). It is important to clarify the spectral type of IC 4776 as any new examples of Wolf-Rayet binary central stars would be potentially very helpful to clarify the unclear formation of Wolf-Rayet cen-

### Table 1. Binary central stars of PNe with orbital periods $P$ longer than 4.0 d. The third column indicates whether the binary experienced a CE interaction phase.

| Name                        | $P$ (d) | Post-CE? Ref. |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------------|
| NGC 1514                    | 3306 ± 60 | N (1)         |
| LoTr 5                      | 2717 ± 63 | N (1.2)       |
| PN G052.7+50.7              | 1105 ± 24 | N (2)         |
| NGC 1360                    | 141.6 ± 0.8 | Y? (3)      |
| MyCu 18                     | 18.15 ± 0.04 | Y (4)        |
| NGC 2346                    | 16.00 ± 0.03 | Y (5.6)      |
| NGC 5189                    | 4.05 ± 0.10 | Y (7.8)      |

References: (1) Jones et al. (2017); (2) Van Winckel et al. (2014); (3) Miszalski et al. (2018a); (4) Miszalski et al. (2018b); (5) Méndez & Niemela (1981); (6) Brown et al. (2018); (7) Manick et al. (2015); (8) Miszalski et al. (2015).

agreement between the observed orbital period distributions (Miszalski et al. 2009; Hillwig 2011).

A recurrent challenge faced by CE models has been to explain the apparent lack of post-CE binaries with orbital periods of several days to weeks (e.g. Davis et al. 2010; Zorotovic et al. 2010; Nie et al. 2012; Toonen & Nelemans 2013). This does not seem to be the result of observational biases, but rather that a low ejection efficiency of the CE is required (Zorotovic et al. 2010; Toonen & Nelemans 2013; Cama-cho et al. 2014). Indeed, Nebot Gómez-Morán et al. (2011) found no observational evidence for a significant population of binaries with orbital periods of days to weeks, with the bias-corrected orbital period distribution of WDMS binaries encompassing orbital periods of 1.9 h to 4.3 d.

There are, however, some post-CE central stars that have orbital periods exceeding this range (Tab. 1) and these could therefore be considered anomalous in comparison to WDMS binaries. There are also a few post-CE WDMS binaries with similarly long orbital periods without PNe, e.g. KOI-3278 ($P$=88.18 d, Kruse & Agol 2014), IK Peg ($P$=21.72 d, Vennes et al. 1998), and SDSS J222108.45+002927.7 and SDSS J121130.94–024954.4 ($P$=9.59 d and $P$=7.82 d, respectively; Rebassa-Mansergas et al. 2012). Whether there exists a large population of anomalously long orbital period post-CE binaries remains to be determined. Several studies have suggested that an additional energy source, such as recombination energy, is required to contribute towards efficient CE ejection in these binaries (Han et al. 1995; Rebassa-Mansergas et al. 2012; Zorotovic et al. 2014a, 2014b; see also Nandez & Ivanova 2016 and Iaconi et al. 2017).

Zorotovic et al. (2014a) incorporated varying contributions of the recombination energy to CE ejection in simulations that predict orbital period distributions of post-CE binaries. The results of the simulations are particularly encouraging when it comes to explaining long orbital period post-CE binaries, in some cases producing orbital periods up to ~1000 d. However, placing observational constraints on the Zorotovic et al. (2014a) predictions is currently hampered by the acknowledged bias in the WDMS sample towards M-dwarf companions and their associated short orbital periods (Nebot Gómez-Morán et al. 2011). Parsons et al. (2016) plan to ameliorate this bias by expanding the WDMS sample to include earlier spectral type companions that are expected to have longer orbital periods. 

A detailed study of MPA 1508–6455 will be presented elsewhere.
Table 2. Log of SALT HRS observations of IC4776. The Julian day represents the mid-point of each exposure and the heliocentric radial velocity measurements are made from stellar He II \( \lambda4541 \) and nebular H\( \beta \) 4861.

| Julian day | Exposure time (s) | RV (He II) (km s\(^{-1}\)) | RV (H\( \beta \)) (km s\(^{-1}\)) |
|-----------|------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| 2458033.30919 | 2600 | 49.32±3.08 | 13.22±0.15 |
| 2458237.49768 | 2650 | 1.75±3.22 | 11.79±0.12 |
| 2458238.49551 | 2650 | 45.50±3.78 | 13.28±0.11 |
| 2458239.49177 | 2650 | 33.75±3.07 | 12.34±0.11 |
| 2458277.64362 | 2650 | 2.19±4.81 | 12.97±0.13 |
| 2458280.38412 | 2650 | 19.56±2.99 | 12.38±0.17 |
| 2458307.50658 | 2650 | 55.77±2.88 | 13.39±0.21 |
| 2458321.52066 | 2900 | -7.37±2.86 | 13.79±0.13 |
| 2458328.50021 | 2650 | 29.12±4.79 | 13.31±0.11 |
| 2458360.41661 | 2650 | 51.13±3.30 | 12.60±0.12 |
| 2458361.41158 | 3000 | 4.79±6.86 | 13.88±0.10 |
| 2458362.41185 | 2450 | 8.63±2.99 | 13.10±0.13 |
| 2458384.35114 | 2650 | 8.83±3.73 | 13.68±0.12 |
| 2458389.33182 | 2650 | 13.06±3.53 | 12.83±0.13 |
| 2458391.32771 | 2650 | 45.87±4.21 | 13.28±0.12 |
| 2458393.32349 | 2650 | 6.48±6.38 | 14.01±0.12 |

3 ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Orbital parameters

We analysed the RV measurements in Tab. 2 using a Lomb-Scargle periodogram (Press et al. 1992). Figure 3 shows the strongest peak in the periodogram to be at \( f = 0.321 \) d\(^{-1}\), corresponding to an orbital period of 3.11 d. The orbital period is significant at the 5\( \sigma \) level and there is no significant peak corresponding to the 9.0 d period of Sowicka et al. (2017). We used the 3.11 d period as the basis for a Keplerian orbit model that was built using a least-squares minimisation method applied to the phase-folded data. Figure 3 also shows the RV measurements phased with the orbital period, together with the Keplerian orbit fit and the residuals.

Table 3 presents the orbital parameters of the fitted Keplerian orbit that were determined using Monte Carlo simulations in which the eccentricity was fixed to be zero (for details see Miszalski et al. 2018a). The systemic velocity \( \gamma \) of 24.24±0.99 km s\(^{-1}\) differs from the nebular systemic velocity by a modest 11.1 km s\(^{-1}\), which is not unexpected for He II \( \lambda4541 \) in central stars such as IC 4776 that demonstrate a substantial wind (Méndez et al. 1999). The terminal velocity of the stellar wind in IC 4776 is \( v_{\infty} = 2300 ± 200 \) km s\(^{-1}\) (Herald & Bianchi 2004) and comparable to some Of-type central stars (e.g. NGC 3242, Pauldrach et al. 2004), though the classification is not an Of-type (Sect. 3.2).

The SALT HRS RV measurements quantitatively prove the post-CE binary nature of IC 4776, previously only hinted at based on the large RV variability measured by Sowicka et al. (2017). Unlike the tentative 9.0 d orbital period of Sowicka et al. (2017), the relatively short orbital period of 3.11 d is not considered anomalous in comparison with the bias-corrected orbital period distribution of WDMS binaries (Nebot Gómez-Morán et al. 2011). Thanks to the spatio-kinematic modelling of Sowicka et al. (2017), the nebula orientation of 48±4 deg to the line of sight may be assumed to correspond to the orbital inclination as in other post-CE PNe (Hillwig et al. 2016), allowing us to estimate the companion mass as a function of the primary mass using the mass function in Tab. 3.

Figure 4 shows the calculated range of permitted companion masses which immediately rules out a more massive WD companion than the primary. Herald & Bianchi (2004) determined a primary mass of 0.57 M\( \odot \) from model atmosphere analysis of UV spectra. Since no uncertainty in the mass was given by Herald & Bianchi (2004), for the purposes of discussion we assume the canonical WD value of 0.6 M\( \odot \), which according to Fig. 4 would yield a companion mass of 0.22 ± 0.03 M\( \odot \). This mass most likely corresponds to an approximate spectral type of M4V with an uncertainty of one spectral type (Bressan et al. 2012; Chen et al. 2014; Rajpurohit et al. 2013). Assuming a primary mass of 0.6 M\( \odot \) and an M4V companion spectral type, the Roche lobe radius for the companion (Eggleton 1983) would be 0.67±0.04 R\( \odot \), where the uncertainty reflects the uncertainty in the orbital inclination. The companion mass would correspond to a radius \( \sim 0.24 \) R\( \odot \) (Bressan et al. 2012; Chen et al. 2014) and therefore the Roche lobe radius would only be filled if the companion radius were inflated by \( \sim 2.6 \) times. The companion radii of several post-CE binaries may be found to be inflated to a similar degree (e.g. Afşar & Ibanoglu 2008).
but photometric observations and modelling are required to further investigate this possibility in IC 4776.

The nearest post-CE PNe in the ADF versus orbital period diagram to IC 4776 (ADF=1.75, Sowicka et al. 2017) are now NGC 2392 (P=1.9 d, Miszalski et al. 2019; ADF=1.65, Zhang et al. 2012) and NGC 5189 (P=4.05 d, Manick et al. 2015; Miszalski et al. 2015; ADF=1.6, García-Rojas et al. 2013). The newly determined 3.11 d orbital period of IC 4776 does not dramatically alter the conclusions of Wesson et al. (2018), however we consider the sample size still too small to draw any correlation between ADF and orbital period. The sample is dominated by selection effects, one of them being a spatial bias due to the choice of slit location (Wesson et al. 2018). The dominant selection effect influencing the entire sample is that the majority of post-CE PNe with determined ADFs and orbital periods above one day were discovered from RV monitoring.

3.2 Spectroscopic classification

The classification of the central star of IC 4776 is very uncertain in the literature, with attempts to classify the central star based on detections of C III λ2297 (Feibelman 1999) and C III λ4650 (Aller & Keyes 1985; Tylenda et al. 1993) emission lines. Herald & Bianchi (2004) noted the absence of C III emission lines in the UV spectrum of IC 4776, concluding this did not support the [WC6] Wolf-Rayet classification of Feibelman (1999). Sowicka et al. (2017) discussed IC 4776 in the context of Wolf-Rayet binary central stars even though the spectral type of the primary was very uncertain. The SALT HRS spectra allow us to revisit the spectral classification of IC 4776.

Figure 2 shows portions of an average SALT HRS spectrum that was created by correcting each spectrum for the orbital motion (Tab. 2) before combining all spectra. The absence of C III λ5696, together with He II λ4541 and λ4686 both in absorption and very weak C IV λ5801, 5812, all rule
Table 3. Orbital parameters of the binary nucleus of IC 4776 derived from the best-fitting Keplerian orbit to HeII $\lambda$4541 measurements. The orbital inclination is assumed to match the nebula orientation (Sowicka et al. 2017).

| Parameter | Value |
|-----------|-------|
| Orbital period (d) | 3.114±0.002 |
| Eccentricity $e$ (fixed) | 0.00 |
| Radial velocity semi-amplitude $K$ (km s$^{-1}$) | 27.15±1.39 |
| Systemic velocity $\gamma$ (km s$^{-1}$) | 24.24±0.99 |
| Epoch at radial velocity minimum $T_0$ (d) | 2458393.050±0.002 |
| Root-mean-square residuals of Keplerian fit (km s$^{-1}$) | 4.1 |
| Separation of primary from centre of mass $a_1\sin i$ (au) | 0.00777±0.00039 |
| Mass function $f(M)$ ($M_\odot$) | 0.00648±0.00098 |
| Inclination $i$ ($^\circ$) | 48±4 |

Figure 3. *(Top panel)* Lomb-scargle periodogram of the SALT HRS HeII $\lambda$4541 RV measurements (top half) and the window function (bottom half). The strongest peak at $f = 0.321$ d$^{-1}$ corresponds to the orbital period and the dashed red lines correspond to 3, 4, and 5 sigma detection limits. *(Bottom panel)* SALT HRS RV measurements phased with the orbital period. The solid line represents the Keplerian orbit fit and the shaded region indicates the residuals are within 3$\sigma$ of the fit where $\sigma = 4.1$ km s$^{-1}$.

Figure 4. Secondary masses permitted by the mass function for a range of primary masses. The solid lines correspond to the nebula inclination of 48±4 deg (Sowicka et al. 2017) assumed to be the orbital inclination. The dashed, dotted and dash-dotted lines reflect the uncertainty in the mass function at each inclination.

out classification as either a Wolf-Rayet (e.g. Crowther et al. 1998; Acker & Neiner 2003) or Of (Méndez et al. 1990) type central star. This is consistent with the Herald & Bianchi (2004) non-Wolf-Rayet interpretation of the UV spectrum. Model atmosphere analysis of the UV spectrum by Herald & Bianchi (2004) determined a surface gravity of $\log g = 5.1$ cm s$^{-2}$ and $T_{\text{eff}} = 60^{+10}_{-5}$ kK, consistent with the young kinematic age of the nebula (Sowicka et al. 2017). Herald & Bianchi (2004) were not able to determine whether the central star was H-rich or H-deficient. The former would correspond to an O(H) classification (Méndez 1991), while the latter might indicate a very rare O(He) classification (e.g. Reindl et al. 2014 and ref. therein). Further investigation into the atmospheric composition of the primary would require higher quality, high-resolution UV spectra than currently available. Lastly, we note that the central star does still have a substantial wind ($v_\infty = 2300\pm200$ km s$^{-1}$, Herald & Bianchi 2004) and this may help explain the relatively large residuals of 4.1 km s$^{-1}$ observed in the RV measurements (Sect. 3.1).
Figure 5. Portions of the average SALT HRS spectrum corrected for orbital motion. Key features labelled include He II λ4541 and λ4686 (both in absorption) and very weak C IV λ5801, 5812. The dashed line shows the expected position of C III λ5696 at the heliocentric RV of the nebula which is absent. The spectrum rules out all Wolf-Rayet or Of-type classifications for the central star. Nebular lines appear broadened here due to the orbital motion correction and the broad variations in the red continuum are due to imperfect order merging.

4 CONCLUSIONS

We have obtained and analysed 16 SALT HRS observations of the central star of IC 4776 \((R = \lambda/\Delta \lambda = 43000)\). Periodogram analysis of SALT HRS RV measurements of the He II λ4541 absorption line result in a 3.11 d orbital period, significant at the 5σ level, proving the post-CE binary nature of the central star. Assuming a primary mass of 0.6 \(M_\odot\) and an orbital inclination matching the nebula orientation of 48 ± 4 deg (Sowicka et al. 2017), the mass function results in a companion mass of 0.22 ± 0.03 \(M_\odot\), most likely corresponding to an M4V star. The mass function also rules out a WD companion more massive than the primary. The orbital period is consistent with the expected bias-corrected orbital period distribution of WDMS binaries (Nebot Gómez-Morán et al. 2011). However, long orbital period binary central stars of PNe (e.g. Miszalski et al. 2018b; Brown et al. 2018) remain a promising avenue to constrain CE population synthesis models that incorporate recombination energy in the CE ejection (Zorotovic et al. 2014a). The conclusions of Wesson et al. (2018) regarding low ADF values observed in longer orbital periods are not significantly changed, however there remain strong selection effects influencing any inferred correlations. We rule out all Wolf-Rayet and Of classifications for the primary after building a deep stack of SALT HRS spectra corrected for orbital motion. Higher quality UV spectra are required to further investigate the atmospheric composition of the primary.
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