Labral Index—Controlling Femoral Size to Evaluate the Relationship Between Relative Labral Size and Acetabular Version
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Purpose: To assess the relationship of acetabular version with the length of the anterior and posterior labrum and to introduce a developed metric, the labral index, as a means of controlling for femoral head size when measuring labral length. Methods: One hundred forty-eight patients who underwent hip arthroscopies for femoroacetabular impingement from October 2017 to October 2019 by a single hip surgeon were retrospectively reviewed. Magnetic resonance imaging arthrogram was used to measure central acetabular version (at the center of the femoral head) and cranial acetabular version (at the midpoint between the acetabular roof and center of the femoral head), as well as labral length at the anterior, posterior, and superolateral locations (3, 9, and 12 o’clock, respectively). Labral index was calculated by dividing anterior, posterior, and superolateral labral lengths by the radius of the femoral head. Pearson correlation analysis was used to assess the association between the 2 continuous variables. A priori alpha of 0.05 was established as the cutoff for significance. Results: Forty-three patients (26 female, 17 male) with an average age of 34.2 ± 11.6 years were included in analysis. Increased central anteversion was significantly associated with a larger anterior labral length and index (P = .023, r = 0.343 and .005, r = 0.415, respectively). Cranial anteversion weakly correlated with a significantly increased anterior labral index (P = .047, r = 0.304) but not with larger anterior labral length (P = .089, r = 0.262). No statistically significant association was present for central or cranial version with posterior or superolateral labral measurements. Lateral center edge angle did not correlate with labral size at any location. Conclusions: In patients undergoing hip arthroscopy for symptomatic femoroacetabular impingement, greater acetabular anteversion was significantly associated but weakly correlated with increased anterior labral length, whereas no association was found between posterior labral length and acetabular version. The labral index may be a useful metric to normalize labral length relative to the radius of the femoral head that warrants further investigation for its clinical utility in guiding labral reconstruction versus labral repair. Level of Evidence: Level IV, diagnostic case series.
imperative to guide surgical correction of dysplastic hips, and the use of the LCEA as an isolated metric may oversimplify appropriate characterization of hips with variegated features of dysplasia.\(^9,10\)

Wilkin et al.\(^1\) proposed a classification system of acetabular dysplasia comprised of 3 main categories of femoral head undercoverage: anterior, posterior, and lateral. While lateral undercoverage has been well-described via the LCEA, there has been renewed interest for investigating anterior and posterior femoral head coverage in the native hip. However, there is a paucity of information regarding the hip’s adaptive changes, including change in labral size as a result of anteversion or retroversion would be associated with hypertrophy of the anterior or posterior femoral head coverage.

Furthermore, the few studies that assessed labral size have recorded this measurement in isolation, without accounting for the relative size of the adjacent anatomy.\(^1-7\) Anecdotal reasoning would suggest that an incremental increase in labral length would have a more significant impact on a smaller, rather than a larger, femoral head.

There were 2 main purposes of this study: to assess the relationship of acetabular version with the length of the anterior and posterior labrum, and to introduce a developed metric, the labral index, as a means of controlling for femoral head size when measuring labral length. This study hypothesized that increased acetabular anteversion or retroversion would be associated with hypertrophy of the anterior and posterior labrum, respectively.

**Methods**

**Patient Selection**

Patients who underwent hip arthroscopies performed for femoroacetabular impingement (FAI) by a single hip surgeon (W.F.P.) from October 2017 to October 2019 were retrospectively reviewed. Patients were excluded for concomitant open hip procedures, previous hip surgery, and radiographic or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) arthrogram images that were unable for review or were poor quality. Anteroposterior (AP) radiographs of the pelvis were excluded if they were rotated or the superior border of the pubic symphysis and tip of the coccyx was not within 1 to 3 cm.\(^12\)

**MRI and Radiographic Evaluation**

Radiographic measurements were obtained on standard AP pelvis radiographs, including LCEA, Tönnis angle, Sharp’s angle, radius of femoral head, femoral neck—shaft angle, and presence of ischial spine sign\(^12\) (Fig 1). MRI arthrograms were obtained with 3-Tesla magnets following intra-articular administration of dilute gadolinium under fluoroscopic guidance. Standard sequences included whole pelvis coronal short tau inversion recovery and coronal T1 images, as well as axial T2 fat-suppressed (FS), coronal T1 FS, axial oblique proton-density (PD), sagittal PD, sagittal PD FS, coronal PD FS, and axial oblique T1 FS slices of the affected hip. Superolateral labral length (from the osseous-labral junction to the edge of the labrum) was recorded on a coronal MRI through the center of the femoral head. Additional measurements recorded on axial oblique proton density sequences (Fig 2) included central acetabular version (at the center of the femoral head), cranial acetabular version (at the midpoint between the acetabular roof and center of the femoral head), and anterior and posterior acetabular lengths (recorded at the center of the femoral head). All measurements were taken through eUnity Image Viewer (Client Outlook, Inc., South Burlington, VT) on a desktop computer by one senior resident author (A.J.C.). Intraobserver reliability was not assessed for this study.

Labral index, the measurement of labral length relative to femoral size, was calculated by dividing the labral length at each location (anterior, posterior, and superior) divided by the radius of the femoral head. The anterior, posterior, and superolateral labral length were measured at 3, 9, and 12 o’clock respectively. A circle of best fit was drawn over the femoral head on an AP pelvis radiograph to determine its radius (Fig 3).

**Statistical Analysis**

Continuous variables included age, labral index, labral length, version, radius of femoral head, alpha angle, LCEA, Tönnis angle, Sharp’s angle, and femoral neck—shaft angle. Categorical variables included sex, laterality, and presence of crossover, posterior wall, or ischial spine sign. Continuous variables were summarized as means and standard deviations, and categorical variables were aggregated as frequencies and percentages. Pearson correlation analysis was used to assess the association between two continuous variables and visually displayed with plots. A priori alpha of 0.05 was established as the cutoff for significance, and SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC) was used to perform the analysis.

**Results**

**Patient Results**

One hundred forty-eight potential subjects were identified. After exclusion, there were 43 patients with an average age of 34.2 ± 11.6 years ranging from 14.7 to 61.9 years (Table 1). There were 26 (60.47%) female and 17 male (39.53%) patients, with the left hip affected in 24 (55.81%) patients and right hip in 19 (44.19%) patients. The average LCEA was 28.4 ± 5.5° (range, 16-39). On MRI arthrogram evaluation, the average central and cranial versions were 16.3 ± 5.4° (range, 3-29) and 9.7 ± 6.2° (range, −9 to 21),
respectively. Mean superolateral labral length was 10.4 ± 2.3 mm (range, 5.8-16.5 mm), mean anterior labrum length was 9.1 ± 3.0 mm (range, 2.8-14.7 mm), and mean posterior labrum length was 9.4 ± 2.2 mm (range, 5.4-15.3 mm).

**Statistical Results**

Increased central anteversion was significantly associated (P < .05) with anterior labral length (P = .023, r = 0.343) and anterior labral index (P = .005, r = 0.415). Cranial anteversion was not significantly correlated with anterior labral length (P = .09, r = 0.26) but was found to be significant for a larger anterior labral index (P = .047, r = 0.304, Fig 4). No statistically significant association was present for central or cranial version with posterior or superior labral measurements. No radiographic measurements from this study were significantly associated with labral length at any location.

**Discussion**

The most significant finding from this study was that increased acetabular anteversion was associated with greater anterior labral length. This result is consistent with the hypothesis that increased anteversion leads to anterior labral hypertrophy as a compensatory stabilizing mechanism for decreased anterior bony coverage leading to anterior instability. While there are no provided metrics to quantify instability, it is possible that the relationship between acetabular version and labral length was the reason these patients ended up not responding to nonsurgical treatment and undergoing hip arthroscopy, although without a control group it is unknown. In contrast, Garabekyan et al. did not demonstrate a significant correlation between acetabular version and anterior labral length in a study of 236 symptomatic patients at their hip-preservation clinic. Of note, their study included a larger, more heterogenous population, with nonoperative patients, whereas this

**Fig 1.** Anteroposterior radiographs of a left hip demonstrating (A) lateral center edge angle of 33°, femoral neck shaft angle of 126°, and a circle of best fit with a radius (R); and (B) Tönnis angle of 6°, anterior wall length (A), and posterior wall length (P). Coverage index was calculated using the formula: (A + P) / R.

---

**Fig 2.** Acetabular version was measured on axial oblique proton density slices through the center of the femoral head for central version (A), as well as the midpoint between the acetabular roof and center of femoral head for cranial version (B).
study was a smaller cohort of patients with FAI symptoms who underwent hip arthroscopy. However, the discrepancy in findings between studies may be attributed to Garabekyan et al. measuring anterior labral length on axial MRI, whereas this study took this measurement on axial oblique images, as the authors felt it was more in plane with the long axis of the labrum, and it has been shown to have a greater reliability in identification of acetabular labral tears relative to other MRI sequences. Garabekyan et al. cautioned when examining acetabular version in isolation, as this value is a relative measurement of anterior versus posterior coverage. For example, excessive focal posterior overcoverage or undercoverage (assuming the same anterior coverage) could be falsely interpreted as global acetabular anteversion or retroversion, respectively.

This study aimed to assess the relatively unexplored relationship of posterior labral length with acetabular version. In contrast to the hypothesis, increased posterior labral length was not significantly associated with greater acetabular retroversion. This study is underpowered to truly evaluate retroversion with posterior labral length, as only 10 patients (23.3%) had an ischial spine sign consistent with global retroversion. In addition, this study did not assess the combined version of the femur and acetabulum, since the MRI did not include the femoral condyles. It is possible that patients with retroverted acetabulums compensate for posterior instability with greater femoral anteversion, rather than increased posterior labral length.

This study did not observe a significant association between LCEA and labral length at any location. Several studies have found LCEA to be predictive of superolateral labral size. Garabekyan et al. noted that LCEA strongly correlated with labral length on MRI, regardless of location. Similarly, Gupta et al. demonstrated that, during intraoperative measurement of labral length in a cohort of 130 hips, LCEA ≤25° was significantly associated with larger labral sizes in all 4 quadrants. A possible explanation for the discrepancy in these results is that this cohort, which only enrolled patients with FAI symptoms, differed from all of the aforementioned studies, which consisted of a broader spectrum of patients, including hips with dysplasia or symptoms of instability.

This study aimed to control for femoral head size when measuring labral length. Interestingly, this study...
found that greater cranial acetabular anteversion was significantly associated and weakly correlated with an increased anterior labral index ($P = .047, r = 0.304$) but not a larger anterior labral length ($P = .089, r = 0.262$). If this study did not use the labral index to normalize the labral length based on the femoral head size, then this relationship would not have been detected. Theoretically, an incremental increase in labral length would provide more coverage on a smaller, rather than a larger, femoral head. For example, when evaluating the smallest ($r = 22$ mm) and largest ($r = 30$ mm) femoral heads in this cohort, an increase of 5 mm in labral length would result in 22.7% versus 16.7% more coverage, respectively, relative to the radius of the femoral head.

Future work may improve on this study in several ways: elucidation of the relationship of the labral index with symptomatology in patients suffering from FAI, defining a local anterior or posterior wall coverage abnormality versus a global acetabular version deformity, and investigation of the relationship of combined femoral and acetabular version on the size of the anterior and posterior labrums. Clinically, measurement of the labral index may serve to guide preoperative planning for labral reconstruction versus repair, as it may be a more reliable metric to evaluate the size of the labrum.

Limitations

A limitation of this study is that it only examined surgical patients with symptoms of FAI. It is possible that the relationship between acetabular version and labral size was the reason these patients failed nonsurgical management, but without a control group this remains unknown. Given that labral hypertrophy is likely a secondary effect of instability, it would be interesting to evaluate anterior and posterior labral length in hips undergoing a redirectional osteotomy for instability, given that these patients may have a stronger correlation between labral length and acetabular version. As only 23.3% of patients included in this study suffered from global retroversion (evidenced by presence of ischial spine sign), this study is unable to effectively evaluate the relationship of posterior labral length with acetabular version. This study did not calculate McKibbin's index, or evaluate measurements of combined femoral and acetabular version. Theoretically, femoral version can exacerbate or mitigate the impact of excessive acetabular version, which could translate to compensatory changes in labral length. Furthermore, the development of labral hypertrophy is likely a multivariable topic, and this study only examined relationships through bivariate analysis. In addition to the inherent weaknesses of a retrospective design, this study is limited by the lack of patient-reported outcome scores. There was a single senior resident reviewer and intraobserver reliability analysis was not performed for MRI and radiographic evaluation. The clinical significance of anterior labral hypertrophy has yet to be determined, and it is unclear whether these changes correlate with the prevalence of hip symptoms or predict outcomes after surgery.

Conclusions

In patients undergoing hip arthroscopy for symptomatic FAI, greater acetabular anteversion was significantly associated but weakly correlated with increased anterior labral length, whereas no association was found between posterior labral length and acetabular version. Labral index may be a useful metric to normalize labral length relative to the radius of the femoral head that warrants further investigation for its clinical utility in guiding labral reconstruction versus labral repair.
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Table 1. Demographics and Measurements for the Patients Included in the Analysis (n = 43)

| Demographics | MRI measurements |
|--------------|------------------|
| Age, y       | 34.2 ± 11.6      |
| Sex          |                  |
| Female       | 26 (60.5%)       |
| Male         | 17 (39.5%)       |
| Laterality   |                  |
| Left         | 24 (55.8%)       |
| Right        | 19 (44.2%)       |
| Alpha angle  | 62.8 ± 12.7      |
| Lateral center edge angle | 28.4 ± 5.5 |
| Tönnis angle | 5.9 ± 4.9        |
| Sharps angle | 40.2 ± 3.5       |
| Femoral neck–shaft angle | 131.1 ± 5.1 |
| Radius of femoral head, mm | 25.6 ± 2.0 |
| Crossover sign | 27 (62.8%)   |
| Posterior wall sign | 13 (30.2%)  |
| Ischial spine sign | 10 (23.4%) |
| Superior labral length, mm | 10.4 ± 2.3    |
| Anterior labral length, mm | 9.1 ± 3.0     |
| Posterior labral length, mm | 9.4 ± 2.2     |
| Superior labral index | 0.41 ± 0.09  |
| Anterior labral index | 0.36 ± 0.12  |
| Posterior labral index | 0.37 ± 0.09  |
| Central version, $^\circ$ | 16.3 ± 5.4   |
| Cranial version, $^\circ$ | 9.7 ± 6.2   |

NOTE: All angles and version values are reported in degrees.
MRI, magnetic resonance imaging.
Fig 4. Central version versus anterior labral length (A) and index (B). Cranial version versus anterior labral length (C) and index (D). Pearson correlation analysis was used to assess the association between variables with a priori alpha of 0.05 established as the cutoff for significance. SAS 9.4 (Cary, NC) was used to perform the analysis.
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