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ABSTRACT

The halo approach to large scale structure provides a physically motivated model to understand clustering properties of galaxies. An important aspect of the halo model involves a description on how galaxies populate dark matter halos or what is now called the halo occupation distribution. We discuss a way in which clustering information, especially in the non-linear regime, can be used to determine moments of this halo occupation number. We invert the non-linear part of the real space power spectrum from the PSCz survey to determine the second moment of the halo occupation distribution in a model independent manner. The precise measurement of higher order correlations can eventually be used to determine successive higher order moments of this distribution.

Subject headings:

1. INTRODUCTION

The halo approach to large scale structure has now become a useful tool to study and understand clustering properties of dark matter and a number of tracers including galaxies (see Cooray & Sheth 2002 for a recent review). This approach replaces the complex distribution of dark matter with a collection of collapsed dark matter halos. Thus, necessary inputs for a halo based model include properties of this dark matter halo population, such as its mass function and the spatial profile of dark matter within each halo (Seljak 2000; Ma & Fry 2000; Scoccimarro et al. 2000; Cooray et al. 2000).

In order to describe clustering aspects beyond dark matter, it is necessary that one understands how the tracer property is related to the dark matter distribution in each halo. In the case of galaxies, an important input is a description on how galaxies populate halos. This is usually achieved by the so-called halo occupation number where one describes the mean number of galaxies in dark matter halos as a function of mass and its higher order moments (Seljak 2000; Peacock & Smith 2000; Berlind & Weinberg 2002). For the two-point correlation function, one requires information up to the second moment of the halo occupation distribution, while higher order correlations successively depend on increasing moments.

The halo occupation distribution has been widely discussed in the literature in terms of semi-analytical models of galaxy formation (e.g., Benson et al. 2001; Somerville et al. 2001). With the advent of a well defined halo approach to clustering, observational constraints have also begun to appear (e.g., Scoccimarro et al. 2000; Moustakas & Somerville 2002). While expectations for constraints on the halo occupation distribution from current wide-field galaxy surveys, such as the Sloan Digital Sky Survey, are high (Berlind & Weinberg 2002; Scranton 2002), these are, however, all considered in a model dependent manner.

Though descriptions on the halo occupation number based on a specific model is useful, it is probably more useful to consider model independent constraints. In this Letter, we consider such an approach and suggest that clustering information, especially in the non-linear regime, can be used for a reconstruction of various moments of the halo occupation number. We discuss a possible inversion for this purpose and use results on the non-linear power spectrum from the PSCz redshift survey (Saunders et al. 2000) by Hamilton & Tegmark (2002; see also, Hamilton et al. 2000) to provide a first estimate of the second moment of the halo occupation number. We discuss both strengths and limitations of our approach and provide a comparison to model based descriptions of the halo occupation number.

We provide a general discussion of our method in the next section. When illustrating results in § 3, we take a flat ΛCDM cosmology with parameters Ω_c = 0.3, Ω_L = 0.7, Ω_L = 0.65, h = 0.65, n = 1, δ_H = 4.2 × 10^{-5}.

2. HALO APPROACH TO GALAXY CLUSTERING

The halo approach to galaxy clustering assumes that large scale structure can be described by a distribution of dark matter halos while galaxies themselves form within these halos. At the two-point level of correlations, the contribution can be written as a sum of correlations of galaxies that occupy two different halos and the correlation of galaxies within a single halo. These two terms are generally identified in the literature as 2- and 1-halo terms, respectively. Under the assumption that halos trace the linear density field, the 2-halo term is proportional to the linear power spectrum with a bias factor that can be calculated from analytical arguments (Mo et al. 1997). The mass function, such as the Press-Schechter (PS; Press & Schechter 1974), and the distribution of dark matter in halos, such as the NFW profile of Navarro et al. (1996), are known from either analytical or numerical techniques.

Assuming a halo population with a mass function given by n(m), and that galaxies trace the dark matter in each halo randomly, we can write the total contribution to the power spectrum of galaxies as

\[ P_{\text{gal}}(k) = P_{\text{1h}}^{\text{gal}}(k) + P_{\text{2h}}^{\text{gal}}(k), \]

where

\[ P_{\text{1h}}^{\text{gal}}(k) = \int dm n(m) \frac{\langle N_{\text{gal}}(N_{\text{gal}} - 1)\rangle_m}{\bar{n}_{\text{gal}}} |y(k|m)|^2, \]

\[ P_{\text{2h}}^{\text{gal}}(k) = P_{\text{lin}}(k) \left[ \int dm n(m) b_1(m) \frac{\langle N_{\text{gal}}\rangle_m}{\bar{n}_{\text{gal}}} y(k|m) \right]^2. \]
Here, \( y(k|m) \) represents the three-dimensional Fourier transform of the dark matter profile. In general, the 1-halo term dominates the total contribution to the power spectrum in the non-linear regime while the 2-halo term captures the large scale correlations in the linear regime.

In equation \( \langle N_{\text{gal}} | m \rangle \) and \( \langle N_{\text{gal}} (N_{\text{gal}} - 1) | m \rangle \) are the first and second moments of the galaxy occupation distribution, respectively, \( \bar{n}_{\text{gal}} \) is mean number density of galaxies,

\[
\bar{n}_{\text{gal}} = \int dm \, n(m) \, \langle N_{\text{gal}} | m \rangle ,
\]

\( b_1(m) \) is the first order halo bias (Mo et al. 1997), and \( P^{\text{lin}}(k) \) is the linear power spectrum of the density field. On large scales where the two-halo term dominates and \( y(k|m) \to 1 \), the galaxy power spectrum simplifies to

\[
P_{\text{gal}}(k) \approx b_{\text{gal}}^2 \, P^{\text{lin}}(k),
\]

where

\[
b_{\text{gal}} = \int dm \, n(m) \, b_1(m) \, \frac{\langle N_{\text{gal}} | m \rangle}{\bar{n}_{\text{gal}}},
\]

represents the large scale constant bias factor of the galaxy population. Though we have not considered, in detail, there are slight modifications to equation (1) especially if one is interested in accounting for possibilities such as a single galaxy always form at the center of each dark matter halo and the fact that galaxies may not trace the dark matter perfectly.

At non-linear scales, \( y(k|m) \ll 1 \), and the 1-halo term dominates. Since \( y(k|m) \) is no longer constant, if \( y(k|m) \) is assumed a priori from a certain dark matter profile, we can consider a possible inversion of the power spectrum measurements to reconstruct \( f(m) \equiv n(m) \, \langle N_{\text{gal}} | N_{\text{gal}} - 1 \rangle | m \rangle / \bar{n}_{\text{gal}}^2 \). With information related to the halo mass function and mean density of galaxies, which comes directly from data, one can obtain a model independent estimate of \( \langle N_{\text{gal}} | N_{\text{gal}} - 1 \rangle | m \rangle \). Note that in the large scale regime, because of the scale-independent constant bias, the equation is non-invertible. Therefore, clustering information cannot be used reconstruct the mean of the halo occupation number appropriately.

Though our use of outside knowledge on \( y(k|m) \) and \( n(m) \) may make the extraction of the second moment model dependent, one should note that these are effectively properties of the dark matter and are determined well from N-body numerical simulations. The fact that we have a potential method to estimate information on the galaxy side, mainly details on the halo occupation number without resorting to any models, is extremely important. This is the main result of this paper. We now consider the possibility for an inversion and an application of our suggestion to galaxy clustering data at non-linear scales from the PSCz survey (Saunders et al. 2000).

3. INVERSION

In order to invert the non-linear power spectrum to estimate information related to second moment of the halo occupation number, we follow standard approaches in the literature related to inverions associated with large scale structure clustering (e.g., Dodelson et al. 2001; Cooray 2002). These inversions are usually considered to recover three-dimensional clustering information from two-dimensional angular clustering data. The inversion problem here is similar: Given estimates of \( P_{\text{gal}}^{2h}(k) \), and information related \( y(k|m) \), we would like to estimate the function \( f(m) \) defined earlier.

We can write the associated inversion equation as

\[
\vec{P} = \mathbf{Y} \vec{M} + \vec{n} ,
\]

where \( \vec{P} \) is a vector containing the data related to \( P_{\text{gal}}^{2h}(k) \) with an associated noise vector \( \vec{n} \), and \( \mathbf{Y} \) is a matrix con-
taining kernels at each \( k_i \), where the non-linear power spectrum is measured, and at each \( m_i \), for which galaxy weighted mass function information is desired. The inversion problem stated in terms of this equation involves estimating \( M \) given other vectors and the matrix \( Y \). Note that the matrix \( Y \) differs from kernels defined by \( |y(k|m)|^2 \) due to an additional factor of \( dm \). By appropriately renormalizing equation (2) with noise, following Dodelson et al. (2001), we can consider the minimum variance estimate of \( f(m) \). We refer the reader to Dodelson et al. (2001) for full details of the inversion. Additional discussions on related inversion techniques are available in Dodelson & Gaztanaga (2000), Eisenstein & Zaldarriaga (2001) and references therein.

In figure 1, we show \( |y(k|m)|^2 \) as a function of halo mass, \( m \), for three different values of \( k \) and at a redshift of zero. The behavior of these kernels is important for the inversion since they determine how likely the inversion will be stable and to what extent information can be extracted on \( f(m) \). The effective width of \(|y(k|m)|^2\) increases with decreasing \( k \) similar to the behavior one observes from kernels associated the inversion of a simple galaxy correlation function. The kernels in the latter case involves a \( J_0 \), the zeroth order Bessel function, which when plotted looks similar in shape to associated kernels here, except for the ringing part associated with \( J_0 \) functions that oscillate between positive and negative values.

The general behavior of present kernels, as a function of \( k \) and \( m \), is also consistent with how the halo model contributes to the non-linear power spectrum: at small physical scales, contributions come from the low mass halos while at large physical scales or small \( k \) values, contributions to the power spectrum come from the whole mass range. The overlap of kernels with decreasing \( k \) suggests that any estimates of the non-linear power spectrum is likely to be highly correlated. This is, in fact, true when one considers the covariance resulting from the four-point correlations function or the trispectrum (e.g., Cooray & Hu 2001). Thus, any measurement of the non-linear power spectrum should be considered with its associated covariance matrix and correlations should be properly accounted when inverting the power spectrum to determine any physical property, such as \( f(m) \) defined above.

In terms of published analyses of clustering, this information, unfortunately, is not fully available to us from the literature. The best published estimate of the non-linear galaxy power spectrum, so far, comes from the PSCz survey by Hamilton & Tegmark (2002). Given lack of knowledge on its covariance, as advised by one of the authors, we used the “prewhitened” power spectrum estimated by the same authors to carry out an inversion as part of this study. It is suggested in Hamilton & Tegmark (2002) that estimates of the prewhitened power spectrum are decorrelated and we used estimates and their errors as part of this inversion without accounting for the correlations.

For the purpose of this inversion, we define the non-linear part as the power spectrum from \( k > 1 \) h Mpc\(^{-1} \). At small \( k \) values, we expect a fractional contribution from the 2-halo term, or the correlated part between galaxies in different halos. This contribution, however, decreases rapidly with increasing value for \( k \). We use power spectrum estimates out to \( k \sim 300 \) h Mpc\(^{-1} \), though, estimates beyond \( \sim 100 \) h Mpc\(^{-1} \) from the PSCz survey are noise dominated. Following the redshift distribution of PSCz as measured by Saunders et al. (2000), we assume a redshift of 0.028 for the full non-linear power spectrum. It is likely that estimates of the non-linear power are redshift dependent (Scranton & Dodelson 2000), however, we have no useful information to account for such variations.

When converting \( f(m) \) estimates to the second moment of the halo occupation number, we require information on the number density of PSCz galaxies at the mean redshift of the estimated non-linear power spectrum. We obtained this information using the luminosity function of PSCz galaxies as calculated by Seaborne et al. (1999; see also Saunders et al. 1990), but we have not attempted to account for any variations on the density from the original PSCz catalog to the one utilized in estimating the power spectrum by Hamilton & Tegmark (2000). We expect any changes on this aspect, however, if any at all, to be minor.

Also, in order to convert \( f(m) \) to the second moment, we assume the halo mass function is described by Press & Schechter (1974) theory. Again, we make no attempt to incorporate any uncertainties in the mass function.

We summarize our results on the second moment of the halo occupation distribution in figure 2. Here, we plot \( \langle N_{\text{gal}}(N_{\text{gal}} - 1)|m \rangle^{1/2} \) as a function of the halo mass \( m \). We present two separate sets of estimates of this quantity with bands, on mass axis, of the second set shifted relative to the bands of the first set. This shifting demonstrates the robustness of the inversion and follows from the now well known analysis technique introduced by the cosmic microwave background experimentalists. Note that our estimates are likely to be contaminated by assumptions used in the analysis. One of the main drawbacks is the lack of an accounting of full covariance, or associated correlations, between power spectrum measurements. Another is the assumption that galaxies are randomly distributed in each halo with no preference to be at the halo center. The latter assumption is likely to affect the estimates at the low mass end especially when \( \langle N_{\text{gal}}(N_{\text{gal}} - 1)|m \rangle^{1/2} \) goes below 1. Since we find this to be the case only in our first bins, we have not attempted to correct for this any further.

The second moment of the halo occupation number estimated here should be considered as the value at the mean redshift of the PSCz catalog. The estimates clearly show the power-law behavior of the halo occupation number. If the distribution can be described by a Poisson distribution, then we can write \( \langle N_{\text{gal}}(N_{\text{gal}} - 1)|m \rangle^{1/2} = \langle N_{\text{gal}}|m \rangle \). For comparison, we also plot predictions for the mean number, \( \langle N_{\text{gal}}|m \rangle \), following various analyses in the literature. These include model descriptions by Scranton (2002) using results from semi-analytical models of galaxy formation and from Scoccimarro et al. (2000) from power law fits to the APM clustering data. The latter can be described as \( \langle N_{\text{gal}}|m \rangle = A(m/m_0)^{0.8} \). We normalize this curve using \( m_0 = 4 \times 10^{12} h^{-1} M_\odot \) and \( A = 0.7 \) following Sheth & Diaferio (2001).

At intermediate mass ranges and below, estimated band powers generally fall above these predictions suggesting that \( \langle N_{\text{gal}}(N_{\text{gal}} - 1)|m \rangle^{1/2} \) may follow as \( \alpha(m) \langle N_{\text{gal}}|m \rangle \). There are descriptive models available in the literature for \( \alpha(m) \) (Scoccimarro et al. 2000; Scranton 2002). These, however, indicate \( \alpha(m) < 1 \) such that the halo occupa-
tion number is sub-Poissonian at the low mass end. This is contrary to estimates we have obtained. In general, Scranton’s blue galaxy model is better consistent with our estimates, though, a priori, there is no reason to believe why PSCz galaxies should be described by such a model.

Assuming the second moment can be described by a simple power law such that \( \langle N_{\text{gal}} (N_{\text{gal}} - 1) | m \rangle^{1/2} = (m/m_0)^p \), we fitted our band power estimates to constrain \( m_0 \), \( \langle m \rangle \), and \( \sigma_m \). When estimating the likelihood, we use the full covariance matrix of our estimates of \( \langle m_{\text{gal}} (N_{\text{gal}} - 1) | m_{\text{gal}} \rangle^{1/2} \). We show 1-, 2- and 3-sigma contours in figure 3. In general, our estimates are consistent with a wide range of values of \( m_0 \), from 10 to 10, and \( p \), from 1 to 1, at the 3-sigma level while power laws with slopes less than 0.8 are consistent at the 2-sigma level.

The present technique can be extended for higher order correlations as well. Note that in the non-linear regime, under the halo model, the p-point power spectrum, especially in the case of equal length configurations with \( k_l = k_f \), can be written as

\[
T_p(k) = \int dm n(m) \frac{\langle N_{\text{gal}} (N_{\text{gal}} - 1) \cdots (N_{\text{gal}} - n) | m \rangle}{n_{\text{gal}}^p} | y(k|m) |^p,
\]

where \( n = p - 1 \). The inversion technique is effectively similar and can be used to determine related higher order moments of the galaxy halo occupation number. And, once again, such an approach will require higher order p-point power estimates down to the highly non-linear regime and, more importantly, a proper accounting of associated errors. As always, we look forward to the day such a study can be carried out with observational measurements from ongoing and upcoming wide-field surveys such as the Sloan and many others.

4. SUMMARY

The halo approach to large scale structure provides a physically motivated technique to study clustering properties of galaxies (Cooray & Sheth 2002 and references therein). A necessary, and an important, ingredient for a halo based clustering calculation involve a description of how galaxies populate dark matter halos or the so-called halo occupation number. We have raised the possibility for a model independent study on moments of the halo occupation number using an inversion of the non-linear clustering power, and p-point, spectrum measurements. We have considered an application of this suggestion utilizing the PSCz power spectrum estimated by Hamilton & Tegmark (2002). Our estimates on the second moment of the halo occupation number are consistent with power law models over five decades in mass and with certain model descriptions in the literature. With expected increase in measurements of the clustering in the non-linear regime, and associated measurements of covariance, we expect analysis like the one suggested here will eventually make it possible for a detailed understanding of the nature of galaxy occupation in halos.
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