Limits on X-Ray Polarization at the Core of Centaurus A as Observed with the Imaging X-Ray Polarimetry Explorer
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We present measurements of the polarization of X-rays in the 2–8 keV band from the nucleus of the radio galaxy Centaurus A (Cen A), using a 100 ks observation from the Imaging X-ray Polarisability Explorer (IXPE). Nearly simultaneous observations of Cen A were also taken with the Swift, NuSTAR, and INTEGRAL observatories. No statistically significant degree of polarization is detected with IXPE. These observations have a minimum detectable polarization at 99% confidence (MDP99) of 6.5% using a weighted, spectral model-independent calculation in the 2–8 keV band. The polarization angle \( \psi \) is consequently unconstrained. Spectral fitting across three orders of magnitude in X-ray energy (0.3–400 keV) demonstrates that the SED of Cen A is well described by a simple power law with moderate intrinsic absorption (\( N_H \sim 10^{23} \text{cm}^{-2} \)) and a Fe K\( \alpha \) emission line, although a second unabsorbed power law is required to account for the observed spectrum at energies below 2 keV. This spectrum suggests that the reprocessing material responsible for this emission line is optically thin and distant from the central black hole. Our upper limits on the X-ray polarization are consistent with the predictions of Compton scattering, although the specific seed photon population responsible for the production of the X-rays cannot be identified. The low polarization degree, variability in the core emission, and the relative lack of variability in the Fe K\( \alpha \) emission line support a picture where electrons are accelerated in a region of highly disordered magnetic fields surrounding the innermost jet.

**Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts:** Polarimetry (1278); X-ray quasars (1821); Radio galaxies (1343)

1. Introduction

Particle acceleration is ubiquitous in astrophysical systems, with charged particles routinely being accelerated to energies orders of magnitude larger than those attainable in terrestrial experiments. Supermassive black holes (\( M \gtrsim 10^6 M_\odot \)) at the centers of galaxies are known to be among the most prominent particle acceleration sites in the universe, although details of the acceleration and radiative mechanisms in active galactic nuclei (AGN) remain ambiguous: multiple models of these processes can fit the spectral energy distributions (SEDs) of AGN equally well. Polarimetry, which more directly constrains the magnetic field geometry of the regions where acceleration takes place, is able to break many of these degeneracies. Polarization measurements of high-energy, nonthermal emission provide an additional means to determine the radiative processes and the nature of the populations of energetic particles that produce them.

Centaurus A is the most nearby radio galaxy (\( z = 0.0018 \), \( D = 3.84 \text{Mpc} \)), and the radio jets in its nucleus have been studied in great detail. Radio observations of the core of Cen A indicate complex velocity and polarization structures. Long-term observations of Cen A with Very Long Baseline Interferometry (VLBI, Tingay et al. 2001; Müller et al. 2014) suggest that within the central \( \sim 1 \) pc the jets have an apparent velocity (normalized to the speed of light) of \( \beta \sim 0.12 \), with a jet viewing angle of \( \sim 12^\circ \)–\( 45^\circ \) with respect to the line of sight. On larger scales of \( \sim 100 \) pc, Hardcastle et al. (2003) measured apparent velocities of \( \beta \sim 0.5 \). Cen A has also recently been resolved on an angular scale of 25 \( \mu \text{arcsec} \) at a wavelength of 1.3 mm with the Event Horizon Telescope (EHT, Janssen et al. 2021). These observations reveal both an edge-brightened jet northeast of the core and a fainter counter-jet to the southwest. The surface brightness structure of these jets is interpreted in Janssen et al. (2021) as evidence of a helical magnetic field wrapped around the jets. The jet and counter-jet each have two branches that are clearly separated from one another. For the jet these two branches have position angles of 43° and 52° (measured eastward from the north), which is nearly identical to the jet position angle on larger scales (55° ± 7°; e.g., Schreier et al. 1979; Burns et al. 1983; Jones et al. 1996). X-ray observations of the nucleus of Cen A with Chandra and XMM-Newton show that the X-rays are mainly generated within a small region around the nucleus, as the core has previously been measured to vary in luminosity by \( \sim 60\% \) on timescales of \( \sim 20 \) days (Evans et al. 2004). A distance of 20 light days (\( \sim 0.0168 \) pc) corresponds to an angular scale of \( \sim 0.007 \text{arcsec} \) at the distance of Cen A, far smaller than the best angular resolution provided by Chandra (\( \sim 0.5^\prime \) for X-ray imaging but well matched to the resolution of the EHT radio observations. The position angle of the inner radio jet can therefore be compared with the electric vector position angle (EVPA, itself perpendicular to the local magnetic field) of Cen A.

Measurements of radio polarization by Burns et al. (1983) at 6 cm show that the polarization degree varies between \( \sim 5\% \) and 20% in different regions in the vicinity of the nucleus, although the nucleus itself is only polarized at the 0.21% ± 0.01% level. The core region of Cen A was measured to have a polarization of 0.46% ± 0.03% at 20 cm in the same study. The morphology of the polarization vectors throughout
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the larger scale (∼100 pc) jet regions suggests that the magnetic field lines are consistently parallel to the jet axis, with no evidence of field lines transverse to the jet near its outer edges (Clarke et al. 1986; Hardcastle et al. 2003). More recent ALMA results find that the core of Cen A has no measurable polarization at 221 GHz (Goddi et al. 2021), with a 3σ upper limit to the linear polarization of 0.09%. Far-infrared polarimetry with SOFIA/HAWC+ (89 μm) measured polarization of 1.5% ± 0.2% with an EVP of 151° ± 4°, consistent with dichroic absorption and emission (Lopez-Rodriguez 2021).

After correction for the stellar component of the host galaxy, the ∼1″ core of Cen A was previously observed to have a polarization degree of ∼11.1% ± 0.2% at a wavelength of ∼2 μm (Capetti et al. 2000), with an EVP of 148° east of north, which is perpendicular to the jet position angle.

Past observations have shown that the X-ray spectrum is affected by absorption below ∼10 keV, with a column density of $N_H \sim 10^{23} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. At hard X-rays the continuum photon flux is characterized by a power law with slope $\Gamma \sim 1.8$ (e.g., Fürst et al. 2016, and references therein). The presence of a narrow Fe K emission line, combined with the absence of a strong Compton hump, suggests reflection from Compton-thin material. The detection of a high-energy cutoff or continuum curvature from hard X-ray satellites such as CGRO/OSSE, Suzaku, INTEGRAL, and NuSTAR (Kinzner et al. 1995; Steinle et al. 1998; Markowitz et al. 2007; Beckmann et al. 2011; Fürst et al. 2016), is under debate, which prevents an unambiguous determination of the origin of the primary X-ray emission. It could be dominated either by thermal Compton emission from a hot X-ray “corona,” as in radio-quiet AGN (Stern et al. 1995; Zdziarski et al. 1997, 2000), or by nonthermal emission from the base of the jet, or a combination of the two.

Here we report polarimetric observations of Cen A obtained with the Imaging X-ray Polarimetry Explorer (IXPE), a NASA mission in partnership with the Italian Space Agency (ASI). As described in detail elsewhere (Weisskopf et al. 2022 and references therein), IXPE includes three identical X-ray telescopes, each comprising an X-ray mirror assembly and a polarization-sensitive pixelated detector (Soffitta et al. 2021), to provide imaging polarimetry over a nominal 2–8 keV band. IXPE data telemetered to ground stations in Malindi (primary) and in Singapore (secondary) are transmitted to the Mission Operations Center (MOC, at the Laboratory for Atmospheric and Space Physics, University of Colorado) and then to the Science Operations Center (SOC, at NASA Marshall Space Flight Center). The SOC processes science and relevant engineering and ancillary data to produce data products that are archived at the High-Energy Astrophysics Science Archive Research Center (HEASARC, at NASA Goddard Space Flight Center) for use by the international astrophysics community. Cen A was observed for a net exposure time of 100 ks from 2022 February 15 (01:00 UT) to 17 (13:00 UT).

In addition to the IXPE observations, Cen A was also observed simultaneously (or nearly simultaneously) by the NuSTAR, Swift, and INTEGRAL missions in order to provide broadband (∼0.3–400 keV) measurements of the X-ray spectrum.

2. Observations

IXPE observations of Cen A were taken starting at 00:00 UTC on 2022 February 15. Simultaneous (or nearly so) observations with other X-ray telescopes are summarized in Table 1. Operational constraints limited the ability of NuSTAR and Swift to observe Cen A simultaneously with IXPE. Given that Cen A has not been previously observed to exhibit substantial variability in the X-rays on timescales of days, we do not anticipate this offset in time to introduce any major systematic errors in our joint spectral analysis. The light curve during each of these observations also shows no evidence for significant intraobservation variability in the emission from Cen A.

2.1. IXPE

We refer interested readers to Weisskopf et al. (2016, 2022) for a complete description of the hardware deployed upon IXPE and its performance, and here only summarize the most relevant details for this work. IXPE data were processed using a pipeline that applies estimates of the photoelectron emission direction (and hence the polarization), location, and energy of each event after applying corrections for charging effects, detector temperature, and gas electron multiplier (GEM) to gain nonuniformity. Spurious modulation is removed using the algorithm of Rankin et al. (2022).

The output of this pipeline processing is an event file for each of the three IXPE Detector Units (DUs) that contains, in addition to the typical information related to spatially resolved X-ray astronomy, the event-by-event Stokes parameters, from which the polarization of the radiation can be derived. In addition to the standard pipeline processing for IXPE data, three additional corrections were applied to the data: small time-dependent changes to the gain correction obtained from data taken with the onboard calibration sources (Ferrazzoli et al. 2020) close to the actual time of observation; further corrections to the aspect solution to remove apparent positional shifts in sources arising from small motions of the IXPE boom; and the weights of each event, updated to reflect the results of Di Marco et al. (2022) and to fix a known error in the data processing pipeline at the time of processing.

Analysis of the processed IXPE event lists utilizing both weighted and unweighted data was carried out with multiple independent analysis tools, most notably ixpeobssim (Baldini et al. 2022). The ixpeobssim software suite was designed specifically to operate with both simulated and real IXPE data; Baldini et al. (2022) describe these algorithms in detail. For Cen A, the core region was filtered from the rest of the event list by using a 1′ radius aperture55 around the centroid of the X-ray data.

We have attempted to measure the polarization degree and angle and their uncertainties along with the minimum detectable polarization at 99% confidence (MDP$_{90}$) of the region using several different techniques in order to test the robustness of the final result. The simplest calculation was performed with a polarization cube, which is constructed using the xbin tool on the selected event list with the PCUBE algorithm. The polarization properties and their uncertainties were calculated according to the equations contained in Kislat et al. (2015). No treatment of the expected background counts in the source region was performed for this calculation. Estimates derived from multiple source-free regions of the sky using an identical 1′ radius aperture suggest that background is <10% of the total counts within the source aperture. We have constructed two polarization cubes from these observations— one that utilizes the event-specific weights and one that does not.

55 This radius corresponds to ∼2 half-power diameters for the IXPE optics.
Spectropolarimetric fitting was also used to determine the polarization degree and angle of the Cen A core. Spectra were extracted using the `xptbin` tool on the same event list by using the PHA algorithm to create OGIP-compliant spectra for the total (Stokes I) and Stokes Q/U parameters. For the spectral extraction, a local source-free circular aperture with a radius of 1′ was used to construct background Stokes spectra. Two variants of the nine IXPE spectra (3 detectors × I/Q/U spectra per detector) were produced: one variant that includes the event weights and a second that does not. In this paper all references to spectropolarimetric fitting will be based on the unweighted spectra. Tests using the weighted spectra have confirmed that both sets of spectra result in upper limits to the polarization, with no meaningful differences between them. We have also confirmed that the best-fit spectral model parameters (including polarization) are not sensitive to the particular choice of the background region.

As a further independent check of the polarization measurements, we also utilized a maximum-likelihood calculation of the polarization degree and angle based on the method developed by Marshall (2021) to allow for background selected from a separate region (Marshall, in prep). Background events are accounted for in a manner similar to the calculations in Elsner et al. (2012), although this new calculation explicitly assumes Poisson rather than Gaussian statistics as assumed in Elsner et al. (2012). These calculations were developed and executed independently of `ixpeobssim`. The unweighted energy-dependent modulation factor $\mu(E)$ from Di Marco et al. (2022) was used, and no events were excluded from the calculation. For this calculation, the background was estimated from an annular region 160″–320″ from the core.

### 2.2. NuSTAR

To calibrate and clean the NuSTAR data, we used the NuSTAR Data Analysis Software (NuSTARDAS, v. 2.1.1). We derived level 2 cleaned event files with the `nupipeline` task, while high-level scientific data products were extracted using the `nuproducts` tool. The latest calibration data files in the NuSTAR CALDB (version 20220301) were used. These data have a significantly lower count rate than the data analyzed in Fürst et al. (2016). Our measured count rate for Cen A in the 3–70 keV energy band is $\sim 4 \times 10^{-3}$, which is a factor of $\sim 4$ lower than the value quoted for the 2013 NuSTAR observation (Fürst et al. 2016). A 70″ radius circular region (corresponding to a 90% enclosed energy fraction of the NuSTAR point-spread function) was used to extract the source spectrum. A concentric annulus with inner and outer radii of 210″ and 270″ was then adopted to estimate the background, which subsumes a solid angle a factor of 2 larger than the 120″ radius circle used for the background region in Fürst et al. (2016). FPMA/B spectra were then binned to achieve a signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) larger than 10 in each spectral channel.

### 2.3. Swift

Swift-XRT observations were performed in Windowed Timing (WT) mode, and the data were processed using the X-Ray Telescope Data Analysis Software (XRTDAS, v. 3.6.1). As for NuSTAR data, we used the latest calibration files available in the Swift/XRT CALDB (version 20210915). The X-ray source spectrum was extracted from the cleaned event file using a circular region with a radius of 20 pixels ($\sim 46''$). This region corresponds to a 90% enclosed energy fraction of the Swift point-spread function. The background was extracted using a circular region of 20 pixel radius from a blank sky WT observation available in the Swift archive. Finally, the 0.3–10 keV source spectra were binned, requiring each spectral channel to have at least 25 counts.

### 2.4. INTEGRAL

The INTEGRAL observations used in these analyses are part of a multiepoch program. The data reported here consists of exposures that followed a 5 × 5 dithering pattern. Data contaminated by solar flares and pointings affected by Earth’s radiation belt have been removed.

The SPI data from 2022 January 11 to February 18 have been processed through the SPIDAI interface.$^{57}$ Cen A was assumed to be the only source in the sky model, and constant during the exposure duration. Due to the evolution of the background over the entire data set, its normalization was determined on a $\sim 1$ hr timescale. The spectrum was constructed in 21 channels from 20 to 500 keV and averaged over the exposure. Tests comparing the full SPI data set to the SPI spectrum extracted for a time window immediately before and after IXPE observations show no significant differences in count rate for any channel. We therefore choose to utilize data taken throughout this entire time window to maximize the spectrum’s S/N, especially for the highest energy channels.

The ISGRI data between 2022 February 11–18 were processed using the Off-line Science Analysis 11.2 version (OSA11.2), resulting in a total useful duration of 144.4 ks. The source was considered constant on an $\sim 1800$ s timescale. The

---

$^{36}$ We note that this source aperture is slightly smaller than the 100″ radius used for spectral extraction in Fürst et al. (2016), but this discrepancy alone cannot account for the lower count rate we measure.

$^{57}$ Interface developed at IRAP to analyze SPI data, publicly available at [http://sigma-2.cesr.fr/integral/spidai](http://sigma-2.cesr.fr/integral/spidai); see description in Burke et al. (2014).
spectrum was averaged over the observing period and binned into 29 channels in the 30–1000 keV energy range.

3. Results

Figure 1 displays the combined image of Cen A resulting from data obtained with all three IXPE detectors. Three regions of interest are shown in this figure: the core, the X-ray jet, and a previously identified ultraluminous X-ray source (ULX) to the southeast of the core. Between all three detectors, a total of 28,179 (source + background) counts were detected in the core region, 2147 in the jet, and 469 in the vicinity of the ULX. This work will focus on the core region but briefly discuss upper limits to X-ray polarization in the other two regions as well.

3.1. Polarization

Figure 2 presents the Stokes $Q$ and $U$ maps of the IXPE field of view, along with polar plots showing the limits on the broadband polarization of Cen A’s core. In addition to the core region, two other sources are considered. Neither the X-ray jets nor the ultraluminous X-ray source (ULX) near the core of Cen A have sufficient counts to measure statistically significant X-ray polarization. The broadband polarization of the jet region has an MDP$_{99}$ of 40%, while MDP$_{99}$ = 80% for the ULX region. Using the weighted polarization cubes gives MDP$_{99}$ values of 36% and 73% for the jet and ULX regions, respectively. These values of MDP$_{99}$ all correspond to the optimistic assumption that all events within these regions originate from the sources and not the background. Given the low S/N of the imaging data, the polarization of these two sources is effectively unconstrained. Using the likelihood base method that accounts for background (see Section 2.1) from a region at a comparable distance from the core but on the west side of it, the polarization of jet and ULX cannot be established even if they were fully polarized (MDPs are 100%). Fitting the broadband (2–8 keV) polarization data of the Cen A core (without weighting), then combining the data from all three telescopes simultaneously, gives no statistically significant polarization signal above MDP$_{99}$ = 7.2%. Incorporating the weights into the calculation provides a stricter limit of MDP$_{99}$ = 6.5%. Dividing the IXPE data into smaller energy bins also does not yield any statistically significant polarization in any of the energy bands investigated.

3.2. Spectral Fitting

We have modeled the broadband (0.5–400 keV) Swift + IXPE$^{58}$ + NuSTAR + INTEGRAL X-ray spectrum of the core of Cen A with several simple yet physically motivated models. The broadband emission above 2 keV (the lower-energy bound of the IXPE data) can be well described by a simple power-law continuum plus a Gaussian-shaped Fe Kα emission line with

---

$^{58}$ For this spectral fit, no polarization information from IXPE was utilized, only the Stokes $I$ parameter spectrum.
moderate intrinsic absorption (~10^{23} \text{ cm}^{-2}). There is no apparent evidence of a high-energy cutoff within the INTEGRAL data out to 400 keV. The spectrum below 2 keV shows strong evidence for another spectral component beyond a single intrinsically absorbed power law. The presence of the Fe Kα line and the absence of a statistically significant Compton hump suggest that the observed spectrum originates in reflection from an optically thin plasma. Unless otherwise noted, all uncertainties correspond to 90% (\Delta \chi^2 = 2.706) confidence intervals, and all upper limits correspond to 99% (\Delta \chi^2 = 6.635) confidence.

Our primary model fit was performed using XSPEC version 12.12.1 (Arnaud 1996) and assumes an intrinsically absorbed cutoff power law, a second power law with only Galactic absorption, and a Gaussian emission line (const * tbabs * (zthabs* (zcutoffpl + zgauss) + pow) in XSPEC). We assume zero intrinsic width for the Fe Kα line and a Galactic absorption column density of N_H = 2.36 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2} (HI4PI Collaboration et al. 2016). Our best-fit photon index for this model is \Gamma = 1.88 \pm 0.03. The best-fit intrinsic absorption column density is 1.48^{+0.05}_{-0.04} \times 10^{23} \text{ cm}^{-2}, and the cutoff energy is E_{\text{cut}} > 500 \text{ keV} (the hard limit of this parameter in the zcutoffpl model in XSPEC). The cross-calibration constants for the various detectors differ from IXPE Detector Unit 1 by < 10% for the other two IXPE detectors, ~30% for the NuSTAR FPMA/FPMB spectra, ~10%–15% for the Swift-XRT spectra, and ~40%–60% for the INTEGRAL SPI/ISGRI spectra. The overall fit statistic of this model (\chi^2 = 1188 for 1134 degrees of freedom) suggests that this model is a good fit to the data. The resultant model fit and its residuals can be found in Figure 3.

The line energy and flux of the Fe Kα line are only slightly lower than the NuSTAR-derived values quoted in Fürst et al. (2016). The line energy we measure is E_{\text{Fe}} = 6.33 \pm 0.04 \text{ keV} (cf. 6.40 \text{ keV in Fürst et al. 2016}) and the total flux of the line is (2.27 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-4} \text{ ph cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} (see (2.88 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-4} \text{ ph cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} in Fürst et al. 2016). We conclude that the flux of the Fe Kα line has remained constant despite the factor of ~3 drop in the overall flux of the Cen A core. The measured Fe Kα flux is also consistent with results from past observations.
using other X-ray telescopes (e.g., Grandi et al. 2003; Evans et al. 2004; Markowitz et al. 2007; Fukazawa et al. 2011).

We added the second power-law component to fit the apparent residual counts observed at low energies (∼0.3–2.0 keV) when only fitting the data with a single power-law component. The best-fit photon index for this second component is $\Gamma = 1.21^{+0.06}_{-0.18}$, and its normalization is approximately 2 orders of magnitude lower than the primary component. Compared to a simpler model with only the primary power-law component, including a second power-law component reduces the total $\chi^2$ value significantly (\(\Delta\chi^2 = 84\) with two fewer degrees of freedom). Converting this change in $\chi^2$ into a change in Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) for a model fit with 1150 data points and two additional parameters (\(\Delta\text{BIC} = 2 \ln 1150 + \Delta\chi^2\)) gives \(\Delta\text{BIC} = -69.9\), strongly favoring the presence of this second power law. The corresponding calculation for the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) is $\Delta\text{AIC} = 4 + \Delta\chi^2 = -80$, again validating the presence of this second power-law component. This component appears to be physically separated from the primary power law, as the data strongly disfavor a model where this second power-law component is subject to the same intrinsic absorption as the primary. We also attempted to fit these data to a similar model that replaces the second power law with a thermal apec model. An apec component is well motivated by the observed thermal emission in the vicinity of Cen A that would reside within the Swift point-spread function in the projection observed by Chandra (e.g., Kraft et al. 2003). The temperature and metallicity of this thermal component, however, cannot be well constrained by the spectral data. Fixing the temperature to $kT = 2.25$ keV and the metallicity to 0.4 Z⊙, with a free normalization parameter enables a fit with $\chi^2$ value statistically indistinguishable from our double power-law model.

As a further test of our spectral analysis, a BORUS component (e.g., Baloković et al. 2018, 2019) was included to self-consistently model the neutral Compton reflection and Fe line. In XSPEC the model reads as: $\text{constant} \times \tbabs \times (\atable(borus02_v170323l.fits) + \atable(borus02_v170323k.fits) + \tbabs \times \cutoffpl) + \pow$. The reflector is assumed to have a toroidal geometry and the opening angle is fixed to $\Delta\Omega/\Omega = 0.5$. The majority of the best-fit spectral parameters are broadly consistent with past measurements of Cen A obtained with NuSTAR (e.g., Fürst et al. 2016). In particular, we find that the Compton reflection can be well explained in terms of scattering off Compton-thin material, with a column density $N_H = 2.308^{+0.025}_{-0.015}$.

Similar to our simpler model, the BORUS modeling finds no evidence for a high-energy cutoff. At 90% confidence the lower limit to the cutoff energy is $E_{\text{cut}} > 590$ keV, and $E_{\text{cut}} > 380$ keV at 99% confidence.

For both of the spectral model fits described above, the only parameter that strongly disagrees with the results of Fürst et al. (2016) is the normalization of the primary continuum, which is consistently a factor of ∼3–4 times lower than that calculated in Fürst et al. (2016). Such flux variations in this energy band are consistent with the factor of ∼3–4 variation of the 20–100 keV flux observed with the RXTE over a 12.5 yr period (Rothschild et al. 2011). As discussed in Fürst et al. (2016), the 2013 observation took place during a time when its flux was ∼40% higher than the average flux of Cen A measured between 2003 and 2009 with INTEGRAL (Beckmann et al. 2011).

### 3.3. Spectropolarimetric Fitting

We utilized the combined data from IXPE, NuSTAR, and Swift to perform a joint spectropolarimetric fit to the Cen A core emission, using a simpler version of our cutoff power-law model. The only modification is that the redshifted cutoff power-law model component is replaced with a simple power law, as there is no ability to constrain the cutoff energy without the INTEGRAL data. The polarization degree and angle are assumed to be constant with energy. In XSPEC this model is
written as \( \text{const} \times \text{tbabs} \times (\text{ztbabs} \times (\text{polconst} \times \text{pow} + \text{polconst} \times \text{zgauss}) + \text{polconst} \times \text{pow}) \). As stated above, no weighting was applied to the events when constructing the IXPE \( I, Q \), and \( U \) spectra. The polarization degrees of the Gaussian component and second power law were fixed to zero, meaning that our upper limits are strictly for the primary power-law component.

We present three versions of the spectral fit: one allowing the polarization angle \( \psi \) to be fit as a free parameter, one where the polarization angle is fixed to \( \psi = 55^\circ \) (parallel to the radio jets), and one where \( \psi = -35^\circ \) (perpendicular to the radio jets in the relevant XSPEC coordinate system). When the polarization angle is a free parameter, the 99% confidence upper limit on the polarization degree is \( \Pi < 8.0\% \). Fixing the polarization angles to \( \psi = 55^\circ \) or \( \psi = -35^\circ \) results in upper limits of \( \Pi < 7.4\% \) and \( \Pi < 6.2\% \), respectively. All other fit parameters are statistically consistent with those from the simpler spectral modeling performed above, and the \( \chi^2 \) value of the fit (1259 for 1243 degrees of freedom) is in line with expectations for a “good” fit to the data. The IXPE \( Q \) and \( U \) spectra and the corresponding best-fit spectropolarimetric model are shown in Figure 4.

### 3.4. Summary of Results

We present the results of all six of the 99% confidence upper limits on the X-ray polarization of Cen A in Table 2. While all of these upper limits only differ from one another by a few percent, we choose to present the weighted value of MDP\(_{99}\) as the “primary” upper limit for Cen A’s polarization. This choice arises from two facts of the weighted analysis. The first reason is that the value of MDP\(_{99}\) calculated with or without weights is independent of any assumptions or models for the SED. The second reason is that the weights have been designed and tested to increase the relative weight of events with reliable track reconstruction (Di Marco et al. 2022), therefore improving the sensitivity of the observation to polarization. This \( \sim 10\% \) reduction in MDP\(_{99}\) by using weights is in good agreement with expectations from prelaunch simulations (Baldini et al. 2022).

### 4. Discussion

The apparent lack of a Compton hump suggests that the reflection component of the X-ray spectrum in Cen A arises primarily from reflection off an optically thin medium. On the other hand, the absence of any apparent cutoff in the power-law continuum agrees with past observations (e.g., Beckmann et al. 2011; Fürst et al. 2016). This differs from the typical X-ray spectrum of Type 1 AGN (e.g., Zdziarski et al. 1997, 2000; Fabian et al. 2015; Tortosa et al. 2018; Lanzuisi et al. 2019), where a cutoff is generally interpreted as the signature of Compton scattering of seed photons in a thermal corona. Assuming that spectrum is produced by thermal Comptonization, we can estimate the lower limit to the electron temperature from the lower limit on the cutoff energy as measured by INTEGRAL, as \( kT \approx E_c / 2 > 200 \text{ keV} \) (e.g., Stern et al. 1995; Petrucci et al. 2001; Middei et al. 2019). At such a high temperature, the Comptonization spectrum would deviate significantly from a single power law (Coppi 1999). This would suggest that thermal Comptonization is not the dominant process in producing the hard X-rays in Cen A and that nonthermal emission from the jet likely plays an important role. The spectrum extending beyond 511 keV, the rest-mass energy of the electron, would be prone to photon–photon electron–positron pair production, which would affect the shape of the spectrum. The absence of any significant features in the spectrum indicates that the source is not compact enough for this effect to play any role (Svensson 1994), adding further arguments in favor of the jet origin of the spectrum.

| Method              | Background | Weighting | \( \psi \) | 99% Limit |
|---------------------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| PCUBE               | None       | None      | Free       | 7.2%      |
| PCUBE               | None       | None      | N\text{eff} | 6.5%      |
| Likelihood          | In Calculation | None     | Free       | 7.1%      |
| Spectropolarimetric | Subtracted | None      | Free       | 8.0%      |
| Spectropolarimetric | Subtracted | None      | 55°        | 7.4%      |
| Spectropolarimetric | Subtracted | None      | -35°       | 6.2%      |

Table 2: Summary of all Calculations of the MDP\(_{99}\)

Note. The PCUBE and Likelihood calculations are independent of any spectral model, while the spectropolarimetric calculations are dependent on the best-fit Cen A spectral model. For the model-independent calculations, the 99% limit is calculated as MDP\(_{99}\), whereas for the model-dependent calculations, we use the model fits to determine 99% confidence upper limits on the polarization degree parameter.
The variability of the different spectral components of Cen A between current and past observations (in particular those of Fürst et al. 2016) can provide additional information about the structure of the X-ray emission and central black hole. The lack of variability in the Fe Kα line (see also, e.g., Rothschild et al. 2011), despite the much higher variability in the continuum (e.g., Evans et al. 2004), is indicative of a scenario where the reflecting medium is not only optically thin but also quite distant from the region where the nonthermal X-rays are produced.

The upper limit to the degree of polarization measured by IXPE provides important information about the particle population responsible for generating the X-rays. Given the low polarization degrees measured for Cen A in the radio and IR bands, however, it is not surprising that significant polarization was not measured in the IXPE bandpass. Even using the optimistic assumption that the 11% polarization degree at 2 μm (Capetti et al. 2000) originates entirely from synchrotron radiation (hence dismissing any polar scattering contribution), our measured limits for the X-ray polarization degree remain consistent with expectations from synchrotron self-Compton emission, where the polarization degree of the Compton scattered X-rays is predicted to be ~2–5 times smaller than that of the synchrotron radiation acting as seed photons for Compton scattering (Bonometto & Saggion 1973; Poutanen 1994; Celotti & Matt 1994; Peirson & Romani 2019). We note that Compton scattering of unpolarized seed photons, such as the Cosmic Microwave Background or starlight from the host galaxy of Cen A (Tanada et al. 2019) by isotropically distributed relativistic electrons in the jet would produce virtually unpolarized X-rays (Bonometto et al. 1970; Nagirner & Poutanen 1993; Poutanen 1994) because of relativistic aberration and resulting random rotation of polarization plane. Thus with a significantly longer exposure with IXPE, where MDP99 could reach levels of ~1%, the detection of polarization would be a strong argument in favor of synchrotron radiation as a source of seed photons for Compton scattering. The increase in exposure time would be significant, however, as MDP99 ~ 4.29/√N for the total number of observed source events N. Assuming the same count rate for the IXPE observations presented here suggests a total of ~5 Ms will be required to reach MDP99 ~ 1%. Even if future observations of Cen A were taken when the flux is a factor of ~3 higher, we would still need ~1.6–2 Ms to reach such an MDP99. The observed limits on X-ray polarization are in tension with a physical scenario where the X-ray emission arises from hadronic jets. Processes involving hadrons in jets have been suggested as a possible source of the higher than expected emission of Cen A at TeV energies (Abdo et al. 2010; Joshi & Gupta 2013), and such models applied to blazars predict X-ray polarization degrees as high as ~50%–80%, much higher than our limits allow (Zhang & Böttcher 2013).

All of the data presented in this work and in previous studies are consistent with a scenario where the majority of the X-rays observed in the core of Cen A originate from Compton scattering of lower-energy photons by nonthermal electrons, probably accelerated in regions within a few parsecs of the black hole. Since the polarization measurements for Cen A, spanning from radio to X-ray wavelengths, now sample both the synchrotron and Compton scattering components of the SED, it remains plausible that the nonthermal electrons are accelerated in a region in the core with disordered magnetic fields. The observed polarization of Cen A in the 2–100 microns wave band (Capetti et al. 2000; Lopez-Rodriguez 2021) likely arises from dichroic emission and absorption by aligned dust grains instead of direct emission from a nonthermal synchrotron component. Future studies of other AGN with IXPE will offer a clearer picture as to whether the low X-ray polarization degree observed in Cen A is typical of radio galaxies, or if it is an outlier among the radio galaxy population.
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