The impact of high peripheral blood eosinophil count during treatment of infective COAD exacerbation on the length of hospital stay and the rate of readmission
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Abstract

Background: Chronic obstructive airway disease (COAD) is a neutrophilic inflammatory disease but can transform into eosinophilic inflammation during exacerbation usually reflected in increase sputum eosinophil count. Peripheral blood eosinophilia (PBE) has been reported in some studies to be a good marker of sputum eosinophil. Eosinophilia either in the sputum or peripheral blood has also been reported to be associated with increased risk of infective exacerbation and increased response to inhale corticosteroid (ICS) in patients with COAD. The aim of this study is to determine the relationship of post admission PBE counts and the outcomes in the treatment of acute infective exacerbation of COAD.

Methods: This is a prospective study of patients with COAD admitted with infective exacerbation between June to September 2016 and followed up till January 2017. The PBE of the patients were estimated on admission and on discharge. The patients were classified into eosinophilic and none-eosinophilic COAD on discharge based on the level of blood eosinophil count of above or below 0.3 × 10⁹/l respectively. Means and student t test were used to describe the none categorical data and odd ratio (OR) was used to determine the risk of readmission.

Results: On admission 26.7% (16) had eosinophilic COAD (mean PBE count of 0.545 × 10⁹/l ± 0.339 × 10⁹/l) while 73.3% (44) had none-eosinophilic COAD (0.0454 × 10⁹/l ± 0.0663 × 10⁹/l). There was no difference in the length of hospital stay (LOHS) between patients with PBE count of ≥ 0.3 × 10⁹/l (5.36 ± 6.26 days) and those with ≤ 0.2 × 10⁹/l (6.26 ± 4.57 days) on admission (t=-0.48848, p=0.6314). Irrespective of the PBE on admission most of the patients (95.3%) received parenteral (oral or intravenous) corticosteroid as part of their treatment regimen. On discharge most patients (96.2%) had low mean PBE of 0.078 ± 0.084 × 10⁹/l while only 3.8% had a mean PBE count of 0.400 ± 0.141 × 10⁹/l. Those with PBE of ≥ 0.3 × 10⁹/l on discharge are at a slightly higher risk of readmission than those with count of ≤ 0.2 × 10⁹/l with odd ratio of 1.7 (CI=95%). 50% of those with PBE ≥ 0.3 × 10⁹/l and 37.5% of those with count ≤ 0.2 × 10⁹/l were readmitted at least once during the study period. The prescription of ICS or lack of it on discharge does not have significant influence on the risk of readmission post treatment for AECOAD with OR = 0.7 (CI=95%). Only 38.7% of those discharged on ICS and 64% of those who were not given ICS on discharge were re-admitted at least once in the study period.

Conclusion: The PBE count on admission had no significant impact on the LOHS and COAD Patients with PBE ≥ 0.3 × 10⁹/l at discharge post treatment for AECOAD had marginal significant risk of at least one readmission in 6 months post discharge with OR of 1.7. While the use of ICS post discharge had no impact on the rate of readmission.

Introduction

COAD is a neutrophilic inflammatory disease but can transform into eosinophilic inflammation during exacerbation. This is usually reflected in increase sputum eosinophil count. Peripheral Blood Eosinophilia (PBE) has been reported to be a good marker of sputum eosinophil. And eosinophilia either in the sputum or peripheral blood has been reported to be associated with increased risk of acute infective exacerbation and increases response to inhale corticosteroid (ICS) in patients with COAD [1-3]. The aim of this study is to determine the relationship of post admission PBE counts and the outcomes in the treatment of acute infective exacerbation of COAD.

Methods

This is a prospective study of patients with COAD admitted with infective exacerbation between June to September 2016 and followed up till January 2017. The PBE of the patients were estimated on admission and on discharge. The patients were followed up for between 3-7 months post discharge and the rate of readmission was recorded. The patients were classified into eosinophilic and none-eosinophilic COAD on discharge based on the level of blood eosinophil count of above or below 0.3 × 10⁹/l respectively. Means and student t test were used to analyze the none categorical data and odd ratio (OR) was used to determine the risk of readmission.

Results

On admission 95% of the patients were on ICS and 26.7% (16) had eosinophilic COAD (mean PBE count of 0.545 × 10⁹/l ± 0.339 × 10⁹/l while only 3.8% had a mean PBE count of 0.400 ± 0.141 × 10⁹/l. Those with PBE of ≥ 0.3 × 10⁹/l on discharge are at a slightly higher risk of readmission than those with count of ≤ 0.2 × 10⁹/l with odd ratio of 1.7 (CI=95%).
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Discussion

Most of the patients (73.3%) admitted with AECOAD in this study had PBE of 0.078 × 10⁹/l on admission while only a small percentage (26.7%) had a high count of about 0.4 × 10⁹/l. This is probably due to the use of ICS in most of the patients prior to their admission. The level of admission or discharge PBE had no significant impact on the LOSH. And the risk of readmission with AECOAD is only mannerly higher in those with PBE ≥ 0.3 × 10⁹/l on discharge than those with count of ≤ 0.2 × 10⁹/l. This finding is like those reported in earlier studies relating blood eosinophil. The finding in this study even though is modest and strong findings in the earlier studies demonstrate that blood eosinophil count can be used in decision making during management of COAD exacerbation. More studies are needed to validate the post treatment cutoff PBE count target.

Conclusion

COAD Patients with PBE ≥ 0.3 × 10⁹/ul at discharge post treatment for infective exacerbation have a minimal risk of at least one readmission within 6 months post discharge but the admitting PBE had no impact on the LOSH.
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Table 1. The relationship of blood eosinophil count and inhaled corticosteroid use to length of hospital stay and readmission rate.

| PBE Count on admission | Proportion of patients | PBE Count on discharge | Rate of re-admission |
|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| ≥ 0.300 × 10⁹/l        | 26.7% (n=11)           | ≥0.300 × 10⁹/l         | 50% (n=1)            |
| ≤ 0.200 × 10⁹/l        | 73.3% (n=45)           | ≤0.200 × 10⁹/l         | 50.0% (n=21)         |
| Mean PBE Count on admission | 0.545±0.339            | Mean PBE Count on discharge | 0.490±0.109 |
|                       |                         |                        | 0.078±0.0663         |
| PBE on admission      |                        |                        |                      |
| ≥ 0.300 × 10⁹/l       | 5.36±0.26              | 0.490-1.0000           |
| ≤ 0.200 × 10⁹/l       | 6.26±0.57              | ≥0.300×10⁹/L           |
| Mean PBE Count on discharge |                      |                        | 0.078×10⁹/L          |
|                       |                        |                        | ≥0.300×10⁹/L         |
| ICS use on discharge  |                        |                        | ≥0.300×10⁹/L         |
| Used ICS (42)         | 38.10%                 | Used ICS (42)          | 38.10%               |
| No ICS (16)           | 43.80%                 | No ICS (16)            | 43.80%               |
| Rate of readmission   |                        |                        |                      |
| ≥1                     | 61.90%                 | ≥1                     | 61.90%               |
| 0                      | 56.20%                 | 0                      | 56.20%               |


\( \text{LOHS(days)} \) between patients admitted with PBE count of \( \geq 0.3 \times 10^9/l \) (5.36 ± 6.26 days) and those with ≤ 0.2 × 10⁹/l (6.26 ± 4.55 days) on admission \((t=0.8848, p = 0.314)\). Irrespective of their use of ICS and PBE on admission most of the patients (95.3%) received parenteral (oral or intravenous) corticosteroid as part of their treatment regimen. On discharge most patients (96.2%) had low mean PBE of 0.078 ± 0.084 × 10⁹/l while only 3.8% had a mean PBE count of 0.400 ± 0.14 × 10⁹/l. Those with PBE of ≥ 0.3 × 10⁹/l on discharge are at a slightly higher risk of readmission than those with count of ≤ 0.2 × 10⁹/l with odd ratio of 1.7. 50% of those with PBE > 0.3 × 10⁹/l on discharge and 37.5% of those with count of ≤ 0.2 × 10⁹/l were readmitted at least once during the study period. The prescription of ICS or lack of it on discharge does not have significant influence on the risk of readmission post treatment for AECOAD with OR = 0.8 (CI=95%). Only 38.7% of those discharged on ICS and 64% of those who were not given ICS on discharge were re-admitted at least once in the study period. Detail is summarized in table 1.
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