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An inexpensive and eco-friendly alternative energy storage solution is becoming more in demand as the world moves towards greener technology. We used first principles calculations to investigate \( \alpha, \beta, \) and \( \gamma \)-MnO\(_2\) and their Al-ion intercalation mechanism in potential applications for aluminum batteries. We explored these complexes through investigating properties such as volume change, binding/diffusion energy, and band gap to gauge each material. \( \alpha \)-MnO\(_2\) had almost no volume change. \( \gamma \)-MnO\(_2\) had the lowest binding energy and diffusion barrier. Our study gives insight into the feasibility of using MnO\(_2\) in aluminum batteries and guides investigation of the material within its different phases.

1 Introduction

The current rate of energy consumption relying on current energy production methods is unsustainable. Specifically, the use of fossil fuels will be extremely limited in the long term due to environmental concerns. To combat this problem, renewable energy sources are being harnessed as solutions. The most common examples are wind, solar, geothermal, biomass, and energy sources are being harnessed as solutions. The most popularly-explored materials include various metal oxides, sulfides, and selenides.  

Manganese dioxide (MnO\(_2\)) has received significant attention owing to its electrochemical properties, low cost, and environmental benefits. It exists in several allotropes, namely with the prefixes \( \alpha, \beta, \delta, \gamma, \lambda, \) and others. All of them have the same octahedral arrangement of atoms, but are defined by different connections of the basic structural unit, the MnO\(_6\) octahedron. Natural tunnels exist within the crystal structure, making them suitable for the ion intercalation mechanism within batteries. In the context of AIB, each polymorph has been investigated experimentally. Theoretically, \( \alpha \)-MnO\(_2\) has been systematically researched. Juran et al. calculated and compared properties such as voltage and volume change of commonly used MnO\(_2\) phases, including \( \alpha \)-MnO\(_2\), \( \beta \)-MnO\(_2\), \( \delta \)-MnO\(_2\), \( \gamma \)-MnO\(_2\), and \( \lambda \)-MnO\(_2\). Each phase was investigated with ions commonly used in batteries intercalated within.

Although the electrochemical properties of the various morphisms of MnO\(_2\) have remained largely unexplored with Al-

| Table 1 | Convergence for energy cutoff and \( k \)-point mesh |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------|
|         | \( \alpha \)-MnO\(_2\)                      | \( \beta \)-MnO\(_2\)                      | \( \gamma \)-MnO\(_2\)                      |
| Energy cutoff (hartree) | 16     | 20     | 18     |
| \( k \)-Points          | \( 16 \times 8 \times 8 \) | \( 16 \times 8 \times 8 \) | \( 8 \times 4 \times 4 \) |
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ions, there are precedents of extensive study into its application as a cathode in many other battery types. In Mg-ion and Zn-ion batteries, favored phases of MnO2 have emerged for each type of battery among researchers.\(^{31-33}\) Mg-ions generally have had promising adsorption qualities with \(\delta\)-MnO2 and \(\alpha\)-MnO2.\(^{9,34}\) On the same note, \(\alpha\)-MnO2, \(\beta\)-MnO2, and \(\gamma\)-MnO2 are promising cathode materials used in Zn-ion batteries.\(^{33,35,36}\) It is not yet easily predictable what morphology is most suitable for Al-ion intercalation. In this paper, we will explore \(\alpha\), \(\beta\), and \(\gamma\)-MnO2 as potential cathode materials for Al-ion batteries, chosen for
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**Fig. 1** Relaxed structures of pre/post adsorption of Al-ions in (a) \(\alpha\)-MnO2, (b) \(\beta\)-MnO2, and (c) \(\gamma\)-MnO2. Blue spheres are Mn, red spheres are O, and pink spheres are Al.

| Lattice parameters | \(\alpha\)-MnO2 | \(\alpha\)-Al\(_{0.125}\)-MnO2 | \(\beta\)-MnO2 | \(\beta\)-Al\(_{0.5}\)-MnO2 | \(\gamma\)-MnO2 | \(\gamma\)-Al\(_{0.25}\)-MnO2 |
|-------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|
| \(a/b\) (Å)       | 9.68         | 9.48            | 4.45         | 4.60           | 2.87/4.51    | 2.95/4.64     |
| \(c\) (Å)         | 2.86         | 2.80            | 2.94         | 3.04           | 9.28         | 9.55          |
| \(\Delta V\) (%)  | 0            | −6.2            | 0            | 10.5           | 0            | 9.9           |
their presence in research for other battery types and their natural tunnels within their structures. We will investigate electrochemical properties of each MnO₂ morphology and give insight into its compatibility with the intercalation mechanism in Al-ion batteries. We will use first-principles calculations to research unexplored properties such as density of states,

Fig. 2 Calculated projected density of states and charge density comparing pre/post adsorption of Al-ion (top/bottom) in (a) α-MnO₂, (b) β-MnO₂, and (c) γ-MnO₂. Charge density were calculated using a 0.08e isosurface.
binding energy, and the diffusion barrier. As we are analyzing trends in these different properties, we will be using DFT rather than the DFT+U used in closely related papers, which may provide a new perspective.39 The second section of this paper, the method, details the process of computation and analysis. The third section, the results and discussion, will give a thorough analysis on the result obtained through the process described in the method. The last section, the conclusion, will highlight key points observed in the results and reiterate it in context of the motivation behind this paper.

2 Method

2.1 Computational details

We performed first-principle calculations based on Density Functional Theory (DFT) with Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE) parameters using the Generalized Gradient Approximation (GGA) functional implemented using ABINIT to depict exchange-correlation energy.37 We used the projector-augmented-wave (PAW) method. The PAW pseudopotentials used were generated with valence electron configurations and radius cutoffs.

The unit cell used orthogonal primitive vectors. The plane-wave-basis set and the Brillouin zone was measured using the converged values obtained by stopping SCF cycles when the change in total energy became 1.0 \times 10^{-10} \text{Ha}. Convergence was done for undoped polymorphs, and the same converged values were used for doping. Converged values varied between different structures and are listed in Table 1.

The lattice parameters of both the unit cell before and after adsorption were relaxed using the Broyden–Fletcher–Goldfarb–Shanno minimization (BFGS) using double relaxation method of first the ionic positions of the atoms and then a dilatation of the three lattice parameters.38 Optimizations were done with SCF cycles stopping when consecutive iterations had a force difference of 1 \times 10^{-5} \text{Ha/Br} and a maximal absolute force tolerance in which BFGS structural relaxation iterations will stop of 1 \times 10^{-6} \text{Ha/Br}.

2.2 Atomic structure

A 1 \times 1 unit cell was constructed for the double relaxation described in the previous subsection. The adsorption site for Al was found after comparing total energy of adsorption for each possible site, which were the possible Wyckoff sites for each MnO2 phase. The sites found for each phase are shown in Fig. 1. For each relaxed polymorph before and after adsorption, total volume change are calculated and compared. The volume change equation is that as follows:

\[ \Delta V = 100\% \times \frac{V(\text{Al-MnO}_2)}{V(\text{MnO}_2)} \]
where \( V \) is the volume of the solid.

2.3 Electronic structure

The band structure and the projected density of states (PDOS) were calculated for each phase with and without adsorption. The atoms chosen for projection were the atoms closest to the site of intercalation. From these we can analyze the electronic structure for each polymorph.

2.4 Binding/diffusion energy

The binding energy can be found through the equation:

\[ E(\text{Al-MnO}_2) - E(\text{MnO}_2) - x E(\text{Al}) \]

\[ \text{(2)} \]

\( E(\text{Al-MnO}_2) \) is the total energy of the polymorph adsorbed with Al. \( E(\text{MnO}_2) \) is the total energy of the pure polymorph, \( E(\text{Al}) \) is the total energy of aluminum metal. This equation is also the equation of choice in other papers as well.39 The diffusion energy is calculated by placing the Al-ion at different \( c \) coordinates and comparing total energy. The structure used for total energy calculation is based on the double relaxed structure after adsorption. The results are then normalized so that the lowest energy is at 0. The \( c \) coordinates are chosen based on 1/16th increments of the fractional coordinates.

3 Results

3.1 Structural properties

Each MnO2 structure is made up of tunnels and MnO6 octahedron. \( \alpha \), \( \beta \), and \( \gamma \) consists of 2 \times 2, 1 \times 1, and 2 \times 1 tunnels and its conventional cell contains 24, 6, and 12 atoms respectively. These differences in the space of their tunnels influence the extent of volume change during intercalation. Both the relaxed lattice parameters and the volume change are noted in Table 2 and the corresponding relaxed structures are shown in Fig. 1.

Looking at the volume change is crucial in looking for possible effects of long-term strain and eventually cracking of the cathode material. In this regard, we see that the volume change for \( \alpha \) is negative, while \( \beta \) and \( \gamma \) are both positive with the volume change in \( \beta \) being more pronounced. As \( \alpha \) has a 2 \times 2 tunnel, which is much larger compared to \( \gamma \) or \( \beta \), Al-ions may have a different effect on the volume change of the unit cell due to the increased distance between the ion and other atoms. The trend stated is the same as reported in other papers.40 Furthermore, the lattice parameters and bond lengths obtained were very close to some studies that used other methods such as adding a Hubbard correctional to do relaxation.40,41

3.2 Electronic structure

The projected density of states gives insight into how each phase accommodates the Al atoms and shows charge localization. Due to not using U in our studies like other papers, the
band gap calculated is an underestimation. Thus, in this section we will be looking at overall trends (Fig. 2).

In each phase, the conduction band is made of mostly Mn 3d states with a low number of O 2p states, while the valence band is more equal. Adding in an Al-ion adds an additional three electrons to fill up states. We can confirm this by comparing each polymorph before and after adsorption, where the conduction band decreases while the valence band increases in states. Our results show that more states are created in the conduction band. Likewise, the conduction band becomes more metallic with the exception of β-MnO₂, which becomes more insulating.

This is supplemented by the charge density graph that can give a visualization on where the charge is localized. We see that for each phase after adsorption, more charge is localized around the nearest four oxygen atoms in addition to the four atoms moving closer to the Al.

3.3 Binding/diffusion energy

The diffusion path used in calculations and the calculated diffusion energy pathway can be seen in Fig. 3.

Both the binding energy and diffusion energy are important to gauge the speed of the kinetics of the reaction in the cathode of the battery. Slow kinetics may lead to lowered efficiency. The calculated binding energy and diffusion barrier were calculated and our results are shown in Table 3.

As seen from the table, γ-MnO₂ has both the lowest binding energy and diffusion barrier. α-MnO₂ has the highest binding energy and the second lowest diffusion barrier. β-MnO₂ accordingly has the highest diffusion barrier but moderate binding energy, although similar to γ-MnO₂. The high diffusion energy may stem from the 1 x 1 tunnel that β has. The relatively smaller tunnel may result in worse kinetics. This is slightly offset by the volume change and the lower band gap leading to easier intercalation, hence the relatively low binding energy. All three phases have moderate diffusion barriers, while γ-MnO₂ is close to that of Li-ion intercalation, which is the norm as of now.

4 Conclusion

In summary, we investigated each MnO₂ polymorph with Al-ion intercalated and analyzed various properties. This included volume change, electronic structure, diffusion barrier, and binding energy. α-MnO₂ goes through shrinkage after diffusion. Each polymorph except β-MnO₂ becomes more metallic. γ-MnO₂ has the lowest binding energy and diffusion barrier. Further research may investigate into specific polymorphs experimentally or computationally, or by changing the concentration of ions intercalated and exploring more deeply the magnetic effects or using other forms of calculations on Al-ion adsorption.
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