Nanoscale topography, surface charge variation and defect correlation in 2–8 nm thick functional alumina films
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\textbf{A B S T R A C T}

In the nanometric regime, alumina films are often deposited by ALD methods yet in industrial applications, sputtered films thinner than 40 nm are used and research into those is sparse. Here, we investigated the nanoscale topography and the electrical properties of films less than 10 nm thick deposited by direct RF magnetron sputtering. Alumina films deposited on Si appeared to be uniform and topographically defect free as evaluated by TEM and AFM. However, their composition varied as a function of thickness as measured by XPS. The films were non-stoichiometric as Al content increased with film thickness. While SSRM measured current profiles did not highlight leakage sites or voids in the films, KPFM measured local charge fluctuations across the films deposited on Si and Au surfaces. The density of fluctuation sites decreased with an increase of alumina thickness. An electrodeposition method identified insulation weak spots in the alumina where Cu growths formed on the alumina surface. The growth mechanisms were investigated by TEM and EDX. The density of growths decreased with increased alumina thickness. Defects in the deposited alumina film are expected to be due to its non-stoichiometric nature causing charge variations, which weaken the films electrical insulating capability.

1. Introduction

Alumina (Al2O3) is a material used as a functional layer in a range of CMOS and micro/nano-electromechanical system (M/NEMS) applications. Its large band gap and moderate dielectric constant [1] are desirable for use in gate dielectrics [2], capacitors [3] and memories [4]. Thin film alumina’s high transparency [5] is useful for solar cells [6–8]. Additionally, its mechanical [9] and thermal stability [10] are useful for protective coatings [11]. As films enter the nanometric regime, their properties may be subject to change. Deposited thin films of Al2O3 are usually amorphous (α-Al2O3) but due to substrate condition and processing effects, they have been reported to crystallise into alpha (α), delta (δ), eta (η), gamma (γ) and theta (θ) phases [12] affecting resistive, optical, thermal and mechanical properties [10].

The method of deposition will also impact the properties of Al2O3. Industry utilizes both chemical vapour deposition (CVD) and physical vapour deposition (PVD) methods. A CVD process commonly used in the solar cell industry is the atomic layer deposition (ALD) and extensions to this process [13]. The advantages of ALD include precise thickness control, film uniformity in high aspect ratio structures and high surface quality in ultra-thin films less than 10 nm [14]. The main disadvantage of ALD is that it is a relatively slow and expensive process in film deposition when high throughput is required. Sputtering is a PVD technique used to deposit moderately thick films. There are several extensions to this process including radio frequency (RF) [6,15], pulsed DC [16], reactive [17] and direct [2] methods. Sputtering is a desirable technique from an industry perspective as it is a relatively quick and therefore cheap deposition technique for thin films. However, a significant drawback is that sputtering is a challenging technique for the deposition of ultra-thin films due to different growth mechanisms. This may increase nucleation spots and columnar grain growth [15], leading to pinhole formation and other defects in the film.

Although Al2O3 is considered an improvement to standard silica (SiO2) in ultra-thin electronic applications, it is still subject to electrical leakage and other defects [18]. Identification, evaluation and ultimately removal of defects in ultra-thin Al2O3 is required to optimise their performance as functional layers in different applications. Capacitance-voltage and current–voltage measurements are common macroscopic techniques used to investigate the electrical properties of the films. Such measurements inform the electrical state of the film and are sensitive to defects that affect the electrical state. These electrical techniques are better suited for device-level measurements as they test
much larger areas, in doing so, these large area measurements average out localised nanoscale electrical information [4]. As film thickness is reduced, electron traps and other defects tend to form, which will degrade the layer functionality and ultimately the device performance. Nanoscopic techniques including Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM), Kelvin Probe Force Microscopy (KPFM) and Scanning Resistance Microscopy (SSRM) are used to measure the surface state and electrical properties of thin films. KPFM in particular, is a beneficial technique for the analysis of charge trapped in the insulating thin films. Electrodeposition (ED) has been employed recently as a possible way to evaluate defects in ultra-thin films including composition, topography and thickness that correlate to a change in electrical properties such as conductivity. As the thickness of a film decreases to the nanometre scale, the number of structural defects can increase. This makes the retention of good insulating properties in such thin film a big challenge. The problem becomes more acute when ultrathin films are deposited on large production scale substrates as uniformity of film density and thickness become an issue in manufacturing process. Studies in literature on such ultra-thin Al₂O₃ films are generally performed on relatively small substrates e.g. 3 × 3 cm² [2,3,10,12,13]. The challenge in controlling defects and maintaining good insulating properties is increased as the substrate areas become larger. This is in particular is a challenge for industrial scale processing where substrates may be up to 300 mm in diameter [15].

In this article, we investigated the correlation between the composition, surface topography, and the electrical properties of ultra-thin Al₂O₃ films deposited on 200 mm diameter substrates by direct RF magnetron sputtering. We attribute thickness dependent changes in the film to changes in the alumina composition to the fluctuation in the films surface potential distribution. The fluctuations are associated with the defect sites in the film contributing to insulation weak spots, that allows copper (Cu) to grow on the surface during electrodeposition. Our study provides a basis to identify defects in ultra-thin insulating films on large 200 mm diameter, industrial scale substrates. It provides a pathway to optimize RF sputter deposition parameters to improve the functionality of investigated films for CMOS, MEMS and NEMS applications.

2. Materials and methods

In the present investigation two sets of substrates were used. The first set consists of <1 0 0> oriented silicon (Si) wafers, 200 mm in diameter. The Si surfaces were polished to provide a smooth surface as per semiconductor industry processing standards. The second set of wafers were processed such that the surface of the substrate was conductive prior to alumina deposition. For this second set, a 200 nm SiO₂ layer was thermally grown on the Si wafer. Next, a conductive bilayer of gold and titanium tungsten (Au/TiW) were sputter deposited on the SiO₂ surface without a vacuum break. The Au and TiW layers were 70 and 150 nm, respectively. The alumina films under investigation were deposited by direct RF magnetron sputtering from a stoichiometric Al₂O₃ target. The target had dimensions of 40 cm × 14.6 cm × 1.5 cm. The system had a base pressure of 10⁻⁶ mbar, 2.5 kW power and argon flow rate of 2.5 sccm. The deposition took place in a multi-material industrial deposition tool. The wafers were placed on a rotating drum that repeatedly passed the Al₂O₃ target. The thickness of the film was controlled by the number of times the wafer passed the target and the rotation speed of the drum. The films deposited on the conductive surface were further processed. They were covered in a photore sist that was exposed and developed. Next, alumina not covered by the photore sist was wet etched away. Finally, the remaining photore sist was removed. (A classification of the substrates used, and their subsequent experiments are summarised in the Supplementary information S.1)

Deposition rate was determined by measuring the deposited film thickness on the Si substrates using a JEOL 2100F, Transmission Electron Microscope (TEM). Cross-sectional samples were prepared by a FEI Helios G4 CX Dual Beam Focus Ion Beam (FIB) with a gallium ion source. Electron diffraction mode in the TEM determined the structure of deposited film. The surface stoichiometry of these films was investigated by a Kratos AXIS Ultra X-ray Photoelectron Spectrometer (XPS). XPS was operated with a beam voltage of 15 kV and a beam current of 10 mA. The analysis area and depth of analysis were approximately 1 mm² and 10 nm respectively. First, a survey spectrum was recorded, then followed by a high-resolution spectrum. Construction and peak fitting of synthetic peaks in narrow region spectra used a Shirely type background and the synthetic peaks were of a mixed Gaussian-Lorentzian type. Relative sensitivity factors used are from CasaXPS library containing Scofield cross-sections.

Surface topography and nanoscale electrical properties were measured using NT-MDT’s Ntegra Spectra II, a multi-modal scanning probe microscope (SPM). Topography was recorded using semi-contact AFM. Current profiles and subsequent local material resistances were measured by SSRM. SSRM requires that the material under investigation was deposited on a conductive substrate. The local surface potential was measured using KPFM. The SSRM and KPFM methods were two-pass techniques in which the first pass measured the topography and the second pass measured the electrical properties.

To identify potential insulation weak spots, an ED technique similar to those reported in the literature [13,19,20] was used. Patterned alumina wafers were placed in a CuSO₄ electrolytic cell where the electrical contact was made with the rim of the wafer with the exposed Au surface. A current of 80 mA was applied for different time durations. The quality of the electrical insulation of the alumina film was evaluated with the potential of forming continuous copper film/channel in the regions with failing insulation spots under electrochemical deposition. A Zeiss optical microscope (OM) at low magnification was used to examine the surface of the wafer post electrodeposition.

Further studies of copper growth associated with insulation failures in the alumina were done using cross-sectional TEM (x-TEM). The regions with electrodeposited copper were cross sectioned through their apex to identify nucleation sites. Energy dispersive x-rays (EDX) technique was used to determine the composition of the electrodeposited copper and to identify the growth mechanisms.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Thickness, structure and composition

TEM micrographs of the as deposited alumina films are shown in Fig. 1. The Si surface was smooth, resulting in a clearly distinguishable alumina film. For clarity, the 2 and 4 nm Al₂O₃ films have a protective platinum layer over the film surface. The 6 and 8 nm films have a protective carbon layer over the surface. These protective layers are required to safely prepare the samples by FIB. The FFT patterns of each alumina film displayed diffuse rings, dictating that they were amorphous in nature. (They are available in the Supplementary information S.2.) While the TEM micrographs didn’t identify any defects such as pinholes or pits, these defects may be present in the as-deposited films on the Si substrates. The TEM is capable of identifying defects down to the atomic level. However, if the number of defects were few, relatively small in size and largely dispersed, locating defects with TEM may not have been an optimal technique. Thus, other investigatory methods may be able to sense defects in the as-deposited films independent of the films’ morphology.

The XPS high resolution data of the films deposited on Si and Au are shown in Table. 1. For alumina on Si, it was observed that the percentage of Al in the film increased with thickness whilst the percentage of O decreased. Disregarding carbon (C) in the spectra, the O:Al ratio decreased with the film thickness as shown in Fig. 2. The target ratio of O:Al was 1:1.5. However, the contribution of a native SiO₂ layer between the alumina and Si layers was expected to contribute to the O level in the ratio recorded by XPS. As the alumina deposited on Au would not contribute O from such an SiO₂ layer, its XPS data was used to further
investigate the composition dependency on thickness. A similar trend was observed such that the relative percentage of O in the alumina decreases and relative percentage of Al increases as the overall thickness of the deposited alumina film increases. Thus, the relative O:Al ratio decreases with an increase of thickness. Interestingly, for the samples deposited on the Au substrate, the O:Al ratio is less than the nominal ratio of 1.5. Thus, these films have more Al and less O compared to the nominal ratio, whereas the films deposited on the Si substrate have less Al and more O compared to the nominal ratio. As the Al 2p signal exhibits peaks in a similar position to that of Au 4f, a scan using the Al 2s signal was also captured. While the ratios comparing O:Al using Al 2p and Al 2s vary with thickness, they exhibit the same trend that the relative percentage of O decreases and the relative percentage of Al increases with thickness.

3.2. Surface state and nanoscale electrical properties

The measured roughness of alumina as a function of thickness slightly varied but did not exhibit an obvious thickness dependency. However, the measured roughness of the alumina did vary depending on the substrate. The roughness of the alumina on the Si is one order of magnitude less than that deposited on the Au. The difference is due to the Si wafer’s surface being smooth per industry standards and the Au and TiW did not receive extra treatment to smoothen the surface prior

to alumina deposition. Their difference is shown in Table 2. As the alumina deposited on the Si was quite smooth, any defects found on the surface were notable such as dust particles, whereas defects in the alumina deposited on the Si was quite smooth, any defects found on the surface. To verify the suitability of the technique, the first scans measured the surface potential. Similar to the method in SSRM, scans were performed over the edge of the patterned alumina on the conductive surface. Again, the step height over the edge and the change in the surface potential due to an abrupt material change were visible in the plots. Interestingly, once the tip scanned only over the surface of the alumina, randomly dispersed changes in the surface potential were recorded. The changes in the surface potential did not appear to correlate with the topographical scan as no surface abnormalities were observed. The surface potential profiles contained several circular shaped regions where the potential reduced in magnitude. The surface potential fluctuation and its density varied with the alumina thickness as shown in Fig. 4 (a-f). The KPFM procedure was repeated for alumina deposited on the Si substrate. The surface potential measurements were similar to the alumina deposited on the conductive bilayer. Randomly dispersed variations of the surface potential were recorded across the film. Again, the density of this variation spread varied with the thickness of the alumina film as shown in Fig. 4 (g-l). KPFM measurements have highlighted abnormalities in the electrical state of the films regardless of the underlying substrate roughness. On the Si substrate, the density of potential variation decreased with increased thickness. However, on the Au/TiW surface, the variation does not show a clear trend dependent on film thickness. To understand the origin of this fluctuation further studies are required. The lack of any correlation between the surface potential and the corresponding topographical scans is interesting. If the surface potential and topographical scans were correlated there would have been a much clearer explanation that the defects had been morphological defects such as pinholes. On the other hand, electrical defects are never independent of the film structure regardless the surface topography. In particular, ultra-thin films are often amorphous or possess highly defective crystalline structures. The nature of these has a direct impact on the electronic density of states and electron transport.

### Table 1

| Thickness (nm) | C 1 s (%) | O 1 s (%) | Al 2p/| 2s (%) | Rel O(%) | Rel Al (%) | O:Al |
|---------------|-----------|-----------|-------|--------|----------|-----------|------|
| (Al 2p) 2     | 21.9      | –         | 56.3  | 21.8   | 72       | 28        | 2.57 |
| (Al 2p) 4     | 17.0      | –         | 54.9  | 28.2   | 66.1     | 33.9      | 1.95 |
| (Al 2p) 6     | 14.4      | –         | 54.5  | 31.1   | 63.7     | 36.3      | 1.75 |
| (Al 2p) 8     | 17.0      | –         | 51.1  | 31.9   | 63.6     | 38.5      | 1.60 |
| (Al 2p) 2     | 24.9      | 16.7     | 32.89 | 25.43  | 56.40    | 43.60     | 1.29 |
| (Al 2p) 4     | 23.82     | 8.5      | 36.47 | 29.08  | 55.64    | 44.36     | 1.25 |
| (Al 2p) 6     | 20.88     | 4        | 39.16 | 33.71  | 53.74    | 46.26     | 1.16 |
| (Al 2p) 8     | 25.89     | 17.45    | 34.2  | 22.46  | 60.36    | 39.64     | 1.52 |

**Fig. 2.** Plot of Al and O relative percentages recorded by XPS. The atomic percentages vary as a function of thickness.

### Table 2

| Substrate/Roughness | Ra s (nm) | Ra m (nm) | Ra f (nm) | Ra 8 (nm) | Ra sub (nm) |
|---------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|
| Si                  | 0.122     | 0.140     | 0.132     | 0.144     | –           |
| Au/TiW              | 2.355     | 2.49      | 3.043     | –         | 2.719       |

3.3. Defect density and growth mechanisms

Due to the transparency and ultra-thin nature of the deposited films, it was a significant challenge to identify the defect sites even using nanoscale investigation techniques. However, an ED method was able to identify the position of defect sites in the alumina film as copper grew at these sites on the surface of the film. The formation of these Cu growths was only possible due to voids or the electrical weak points being present in the alumina film. In agreement with the expectation, for the 2 nm alumina film, Cu was widely deposited over the surface, almost resembling a copper film, indicating that the insulation quality of the 2 nm film was very poor as shown in Fig. 5(a). The XPS measured Cu density decreased as the thickness of the alumina film increased. The improvement of insulation quality with alumina thickness was shown in Fig. 4(g-l). KPFM measurements have highlighted abnormalities in the electrical state of the films regardless of the underlying substrate roughness. On the Si substrate, the density of potential variation decreased with increased thickness. However, on the Au/TiW surface, the variation does not show a clear trend dependent on film thickness. To understand the origin of this fluctuation further studies are required. The lack of any correlation between the surface potential and the corresponding topographical scans is interesting. If the surface potential and topographical scans were correlated there would have been a much clearer explanation that the defects had been morphological defects such as pinholes. On the other hand, electrical defects are never independent of the film structure regardless the surface topography. In particular, ultra-thin films are often amorphous or possess highly defective crystalline structures. The nature of these has a direct impact on the electronic density of states and electron transport.
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| Substrate/Roughness | Ra s (nm) | Ra m (nm) | Ra f (nm) | Ra 8 (nm) | Ra sub (nm) |
|---------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|
| Si                  | 0.122     | 0.140     | 0.132     | 0.144     | –           |
| Au/TiW              | 2.355     | 2.49      | 3.043     | –         | 2.719       |
samples had different plating times and thus different sized growths. A typical x-TEM image is shown in Fig. 6 that shows the underlying Au/TiW/SiO₂ layers and a poorly resolved alumina layer at the Cu-Au interface. The size of the void and nucleation site for Cu was, however, difficult to distinguish.

EDX was employed to identify the nature of the nucleation sources. The scans were set to detect Al, Au, Cu, O, Si, Ti and W. The scans can clearly resolve the thicker layer such as the Si substrate, the thermally grown SiO₂ and the TiW and Au adhesion and conducting layers. The Cu island is also clearly distinguished. Due to the ultra-thin alumina film, the Al and O which compose the film are harder to distinguish but their presence is nonetheless, detected. For the 4 nm alumina film, Au was shown to diffuse outwards through the alumina into the Cu growth as shown in Fig. 7. For the Au to diffuse out from under the alumina layer, there must be an insulation weak point. This diffusion site is also located beneath the apex, suggesting that the growth initially formed at this point. It is likely that this occurred for the 6 and 8 nm film but we could not confirm as our attempts of FIB cross-sectioning in these films, even after several attempts, could not take place in a region proximal to the apex. It may also be possible that FIB had cut directly through this area in the cutting process.

3.4. Correlation between growths, surface charge and composition

The ED method clearly highlighted that there are widely dispersed weak points in the alumina film that would be impractical to locate with EM and traditional scanning probe methods. The density of the defect points has been identified to be dependent on the film thickness and the position on the wafer. While AFM didn’t register any clear alumina surface abnormalities, use of KPFM identified fluctuations in the electrical state of the alumina regardless of the substrate type. When the alumina film was thinner, there was a high density of sites where the surface potential of the film fluctuated. When the alumina film thickness was increased, the density of sites where the alumina surface potential varied decreased. The surface potential deviation has a possible correlation with the O:Al ratio as recorded by XPS. For both substrates, the O:Al ratio decreased as the film thickness increased. The changes are speculated to be due to a change in the number of Al and O vacancies in the film, affecting the structure and thus the density of states and charge transport. These defects were expected to have enabled the growth of Cu on the surface of the alumina from a nucleation site on the Au underlayer.

4. Conclusions

From an industrial perspective, sputter deposition of insulating films is beneficial for relatively thin films where low cost and high throughput are required. However, depositing films with uniform thickness and electrical properties under 10 nm is a challenge. Thinner films are likely to have increased numbers of defects compromising the functionality of the film for its target application. Many studies on such films are often performed on relatively small substrates up to 4 × 4 cm², therefore not entirely replicable on larger industrial sized substrates. We have performed several experimental methodologies and characterisation techniques to identify defects in ultra-thin insulators deposited by RF magnetron sputtering on substrates 200 mm in diameter.

TEM is a proven and established technique used in the investigation of nanometric properties. However, here, due to the transparency of ultra-thin alumina and the relatively widely dispersed nature of these defects, it is an impractical technique to locate such defects, unless their precise location is known. If there are pinholes or pits in the alumina film, a combination of AFM and SSRM would have expected to record this as a dip in the surface coinciding with a spike in current. However, this was not observed in the case with our alumina films deposited on a conductive substrate.

Variations in the electrical state of the alumina films were observed using KPFM. It is of significant interest that the corresponding topographical scans didn’t identify any surface abnormalities. These results suggest that while the surface may not appear defective from a topographical viewpoint, there may be charge abnormalities on the surface or in isolated areas beneath the surface of the alumina. The surface potential fluctuations tended to decrease as the thickness of the film increased.

An electrodeposition technique clearly identified leakage spots in the alumina as Cu islands grew on the surface. For Cu to grow, there needs to be a path for Cu to impinge to the surface or Au seed layer to diffuse. We observed that the electrical insulation quality of sputter deposited alumina films increased with the film thickness. Again, if there was a direct pinhole, it is speculated that these defects would have been recorded by SSRM and AFM. However, KPFM indicates their maybe weak points in the film which may allow charge carrier motion and thus promote growth of Cu islands on the surface of the alumina.

As the film thickness increased, the Al content increased as recorded by XPS of alumina deposited on the Si and Au substrate. The non-
stoichiometric nature of the deposited film is expected to cause structural defects causing a charge imbalance or contribute to vacancies. The presence of charge imbalances instead of pinhole formation may explain why AFM and SSRM were not able to detect any pinholes or record any current spikes. While also partially explaining why the AFM and KPFM profiles did not correlate.

CMOS, MEMS and NEMS devices fabricated on an industrial scale may use nanoscale films that are deposited on relatively large substrates up to and beyond 200 mm in diameter. If these nanoscale films also possess nanometric and dispersed defects, certain nanoscale techniques
may not be practical for investigation due to the large substrate size. This is particularly important if the defects are independent of the topography of the films. Our suggested combination of electrodeposition and KPFM techniques were able to localise and identify electrical weak spots in ultra-thin alumina on 200 mm diameter substrates that would be impractical and challenging to identify with traditional OM, SEM, TEM and AFM.

Our methodology has provided a basis to optimise the deposition parameters for RF magnetron sputtering of ultra-thin alumina on large industrial scale substrates. The results will aid in the improvement of the quality and functionality of ultra-thin alumina deposited on 200 mm diameter substrates used in the fabrication of CMOS, MEMS and NEMS devices.
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