Clinical HDAC Inhibitors Are Effective Drugs to Prevent the Entry of SARS-CoV2
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ABSTRACT: The outbreak of COVID-19 by the end of 2019 has posed serious health threats to humanity and jeopardized the global economy. However, no effective drugs are available to treat COVID-19 currently and there is a great demand to fight against it. Here, we combined computational screening and an efficient cellular pseudotyped virus system, confirming that clinical HDAC inhibitors can efficiently prevent SARS-CoV-2 and potentially be used to fight against COVID-19.
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In December 2019, the Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) caused by the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) broke out and led to a worldwide pandemic. By October 30th, 2020, the number of confirmed cases exceeded 44.8 million with more than 1.18 million deaths (https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus). Over 50% of the infected patients were observed to have increased levels of interleukin-6 (IL-6), one of the main inflammatory mediators initiated by infection or injury. The confirmed cases of SARS-CoV-2 in several countries such as United States, Brazil, and India are still increasing sharply. The possible “second wave” attack during the change of season may further worsen the situation.5

Research in vaccines has ensued to fight the SARA-CoV-2 pandemic and undergoes a lengthy development process. However, rapid mutations of SARS-CoV-2, exemplified by the very recent reports of reinfections in Hongkong and Belgium, add uncertainties to the effectiveness of vaccines. Another efficient alternative is repurposing the existing FDA approved drugs, of which their long-term adverse effects are more thoroughly investigated. As an example, a classical antimalarial drug, chloroquine (Figure 1a), was found to block the lysosome escape of SARS-CoV-2 and has been proposed for the treatment of COVID-19. Chloroquine was used in several countries after the outbreak of COVID-19. Identically, remdesivir, originally developed for treating the Ebola virus in phase III, is now approved for compassionate use of patients with severe COVID-19. Currently, there is still a strong need for more effective and safer drugs for the treatment of COVID-19.

Repurposing clinical drugs involving biological experiments on the active virus is greatly impeded by the strict safety procedures and the limited resources of Biosafety Level 3 (P3) laboratories. In this work, we combined computational screening and a pseudotyped virus system to facilitate the repurposing process. We first performed virtual screening to obtain FDA approved drugs that might interevent the interactions between angiotensin I converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) and a spike protein. Then, we constructed a pseudotyped SARS-CoV-2 virus successfully at a Biosafety Level 2 (P2) laboratory and nine drugs XJY1–9 (Figure 2a, Table S1) were submitted for the bioactivity testing. The result showed that romidepsin, a histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitor, can effectively block the entry of SARS-CoV-2.

Inspired by this result, we then screened 18 commercially available HDAC inhibitors (H1–18, Table S2) and studied their efficacies in inhibiting the entry of SARS-CoV-2 into cells and found that four of them are noticeably effective (Table 1). The possible mechanism of these HDAC inhibitors making them effective against SARS-CoV-2 was revealed by the cellular signaling network analysis. The clinically active HDAC inhibitors found in this work could be used to fight against the current epidemics.
Figure 1. (a) The life cycle of SARS-CoV-2 virus: (1) SARS-CoV-2 attaches to the surface of a human cell via interactions between S protein and ACE2 receptor. (2) After membrane fusion, it undergoes endocytosis and diffuses into the inner space of the human cell. (3) In the uncoating process, the RNA of SARS-CoV-2 is released into cytoplasm of human cell. (4) Translation of RNA takes places with the aid of a series of proteins. (5) RNA synthesis and structural protein translation lead to the assembling of a new virus. (6) The matured new virus leaves the host cell via exocytosis. Several compounds were used to treat SARS-CoV-2 via different mechanisms. Both chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine were used to stop uncoating; lopinavir was used to stop proteolysis; and both remdesivir and favipiravir were used to stop RNA synthesis. (b) Structural changes...
of ACE2 after MD simulations. Yellow cartoon: binding domain of S protein. Gray cartoon: APO ACE2 before MD simulations. Cyan cartoon: ACE2 in complex of romidepsin after MD simulations. A helix moved outward about 3.5 Å in the complex model after MD simulations. (c) Normalized b-factor indicated the flexibility of a helix next to the binding domain. Gray sphere: ligand binding region. (d) Normal-mode analysis also confirmed the intrinsic flexibility of the investigated helix. Red sphere: ligand binding region.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Virtual Screening of FDA Approved Drugs.** To obtain the potential active compounds against COVID-19, we performed virtual screening from the FDA approved drug library using ACE2 as the drug target. Nine potential candidates were selected and submitted to experimental studies. The *in vitro* experiment result showed that romidepsin, an HDAC inhibitor, is highly effective in inhibiting the viral entry. Romidepsin is structurally similar to CHEMBL20341 and CHEMBL138409 (Figure S2a), which were reported to bind to ACE instead. Sequence alignment indicated that the similarity between ACE and ACE is over 71% (Figure S1). In their crystal structures, the root-mean-square deviation (RMSD) of the protein backbone is as low as 1.6 Å (Figure S2), whereas all residues in the binding sites are nearly completely conserved (Figure S2b,d,e). Those observations strongly implied that ACE and ACE might share a common ligand-binding pocket. This explained why romidepsin discovered from the virtual screening against ACE shares a large common chemical moiety with the ACE inhibitors (Figure S2a). The binding mode of romidepsin with ACE2 was shown in Figure S3. MD simulation showed that the residues of ACE2 contributing mostly to the protein—ligand interactions are P346, H374, E375, H378, E402, and Y515 (Figure S3). The ligand has a strong allosteric effect on the shape changes of the receptor binding region, according to the ACE2-inhibitor complex crystal structure which was reported previously. Our MD simulation also observed similar changes as indicated below due to the flexibility of a helix between the catalytic site and the S protein binding domain (Figure 1b–d, Movie S1). The large change of the ACE2 shape might prevent the binding S protein. To facilitate the binding mode of ACE2-romidepsin, the coordinate of the last snapshot for the ACE2-romidepsin complex from the MD simulations was provided as a supplementary file.

**Generation of Spiked Pseudotyped Lentivirus for Imitating SARS-CoV-2 Cell Entry.** To test the inhibitory effect of these candidate drugs on host cell entry, we generated lentiviral particles pseudotyped with S proteins either from SARS-CoV or SARS-CoV-2. By immunoblotting, we showed a robust expression (Figure S4a) and a strong incorporation of SARS-CoV or SARS-CoV-2. By immunoblotting, we showed a robust expression (Figure S4a) and a strong incorporation of SARS-CoV or SARS-CoV-2. By immunoblotting, we showed a robust expression (Figure S4a) and a strong incorporation of SARS-CoV or SARS-CoV-2. By immunoblotting, we showed a robust expression (Figure S4a) and a strong incorporation of SARS-CoV or SARS-CoV-2. By immunoblotting, we showed a robust expression (Figure S4a) and a strong incorporation of SARS-CoV or SARS-CoV-2.

**Suppression of Clathrin-Mediated Endocytosis by Romidepsin.** Since virus cell entry is mediated by endocytosis, we also examined the potential effect of our candidate drugs on clathrin-mediated endocytosis, by using Alexa Fluor 555-conjugated transferrin (TF-555) as an indicator. Among all nine drugs, we found that only...
romidepsin robustly reduces the Tf-555 uptake in HeLa cells (Figure 3a). This blockage of Tf-555 endocytosis by romidepsin was concentration- and time-dependent (Figure 3b,c). Moreover, prolonged incubation of cells with romidepsin...
sin presented a more effective reduction of Tf-555 uptake (Figure 3d,e). Together, these results suggest that romidepsin might suppress the SARS-2-S-driven host cell entry by simultaneously inhibiting endocytosis and weakening the ACE2-spike protein recognition.

**Screening Clinical HDAC Inhibitors for Potential COVID-19 Treatment.** Since romidepsin is an FDA-approved HDAC inhibitor, it is possible that other HDAC-targeted drugs might have similar inhibitory effects against SARS-CoV-2 cell entry. By using luciferase reporter assay, 18 commercially available clinical HDAC inhibitors were evaluated for their potential to reduce SARS-2-S-driven cell entry. As indicated, five drugs, panobinostat, givinostat hydrochloride monohydrate, abexinostat, CAY10603, and sirtinol, showed promising concentration-dependent suppression abilities for SARS-CoV-2 pseudovirus entry (Figure 4a). By immunofluorescence staining, we further confirmed that four out of these drugs, except abexinostat, could efficiently prevent the entry of SARS-2-S pseudotyped particles into ACE2 expressing cells, consequently leading to a robust accumulation of these particles at the cell surface (Figure 4b,c). These results strongly suggest that HDAC inhibitors are a class of promising drug candidates for preventing SARS-CoV-2 infection.

In summary, SARS-CoV-2 has brought great damage to both public health and the global economy. Here we combined computational virtual screening with a pseudovirus system, and this work can be performed in a normal P2 laboratory, to facilitate the screening inhibitors of SARS-CoV-2. We found that HDAC inhibitors were a promising class of compounds against SARS-CoV-2. Five clinical HDAC inhibitors (Table 1), including romidepsin, panobinostat, givinostat hydrochloride monohydrate, CAY10603, and sirtinol, could inhibit noticeably the spike/ACE2 mediated cell entry of SARS-CoV-2.

Our study highlights the interaction map of human proteins that are involved in SARS-CoV-2 complexes and biological processes. In this work, HDACs remove acetylated lysine in a histone and make the histone positively charged, which results in the interactions with negatively charged molecules such as

| drug ID | name                      | IC50 to cell proliferation (μM) | EC50 (transduction inhibition) (μM) | SI = IC50/EC50 |
|---------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------|
| XJY-3   | romidepsin                | 17.5 ± 6.4                     | 0.09 ± 0.05                          | 194.4          |
| XJY-7   | Saquinavir                | 207 ± 29.8                     | 6.6 ± 4.9                            | 31.4           |
| XJY-8   | Nelinavir                 | 802.8 ± 609.2                  | 4.8 ± 2.1                            | 167.1          |
| H4      | Panobinostat              | 0.05 ± 0.05                    | 2.8 ± 1.0                            | 0.02           |
| H5      | Givinostat hydrochloride monohydrate | 0.28 ± 0.2               | 6.8 ± 4.1                            | 0.04           |
| H8      | CAY10603                  | 0.6 ± 0.5                      | 13.5 ± 9.4                           | 0.04           |
| H15     | Sirtinol                  | 34.5 ± 3.5                     | 82.9 ± 61.1                          | 0.4            |

*Table 1. Effectiveness of Screened Compounds against SARS-CoV-2*

Figure 3. Inhibition of clathrin mediated endocytosis by romidepsin. (a) Effects of XJY drugs on cellular uptake of transferrin. Hela cells were treated with indicated concentrations (0, 50, 500 μM) of drugs, followed by incubation with fluorescently labeled Alexa-555 transferrin (red) for 15 min at 37 °C. Cells were then washed with fresh medium and fixed for microscopy imaging. Scale bar is 20 μm. (b) Concentration-dependent inhibitory effect of romidepsin on transferrin internalization was as shown. (c) Time-dependent inhibitory effect of romidepsin on transferrin internalization. Cells were preincubated with indicated time before incubation with Alexa-555 transferrin. Cells were harvested and analyzed as in panel a; 10 μM romidepsin was used in this experiment. (d,e). Prolonged treatment can lower effective dose of romidepsin for inhibition transferrin uptake. Hela cells pretreated with 2 or 12 h of romidepsin were incubated with transferrin. The internalization of transferrin was respectively examined at 5, 10, and 15 min after the addition of transferrin. For quantification of the endocytosis, the total intracellular transferrin-555 signal was measured from 50 cells in each experimental condition.
The SARS-CoV-2 host protein–protein interaction (PPI) comprises proteins that regulate critical processes of the human body (Figure S6). These include the biological processes involved in viral process (a multiorganism process in which a virus is a participant), positive regulation of receptor biosynthetic process, catabolic process, and others (Table S3). The network-based approach provides a systematic understanding of effects on the interconnected biological processes of SARS-CoV-2. HDAC inhibitors can prevent the viral entry probably due to the synergy of such complicated network. Because of the specificity of each compound as well as the polypharmacology effect, HDAC inhibitors may suppress the cell entry of SARS-CoV-2 unequally as demonstrated in Figure 4. Interestingly, ACE2, which was used for the initial virtual screening, was also revealed to interact with HDAC-related signaling as shown in Figure S6. These results suggest that in addition to directly influencing the function of ACE2, HDAC inhibitors may also indirectly regulate virus invasion through the SARS-CoV-2 host protein–protein interaction network.

In summary, we discovered five clinical HDAC inhibitors which can potentially be used to fight against COVID-19. Further clinical studies should be performed to validate the effectiveness of those compounds.

■ METHODS

Virtual Screening. The virtual screening was performed in Schrödinger30 software using Glide31 docking based methods. For the ACE2 structure,32 protein preparation was performed

Figure 4. Screen for clinically used HDAC inhibitors to suppress SARS-2-S-driven host cell entry. (a) Luciferase activity assay-based screening of clinically used HDAC inhibitors for suppressing SARS-2-S pseudovirus cell entry. ACE2-GFP stably expressed 293T cells were preincubated with the increased dose of indicated clinical drugs for 2 h and subsequently inoculated with SARS-2-S pseudotyped particles for 3 h, then further cultured for an additional 40 h with fresh medium. Luciferase activity in each cell lysate was examined to analyze the entry efficiency of SARS-2-S pseudovirus. The average value from three independent experiments with triplicate samples was calculated. Error bars indicate SEM. Statistical significance was tested by two-way ANOVA with Dunnett post-test. Cells without drug treatment were used as controls. (b) Immunofluorescence staining reveals that four out of 18 H drugs can efficiently inhibit cell entry of SARS-2-S pseudotype particles. ACE2-GFP transfected 293T cells were pretreated with the indicated drug for 1 h and subsequently inoculated with SARS-2-S pseudotyped particles for an additional 2 h. Cells were fixed and stained with antibodies against FLAG to detect the intracellular SARS-2-S pseudovirus. Green, ACE2-GFP; red, FLAG; blue, DAPI. Scale bar is 5 μm. Quantification of the fluorescence intensity along the line across the perinuclear region was shown at the bottom. Green line, ACE2-GFP; red line, FLAG. The two-head arrows indicate the perinuclear region where the ACE2-GFP signal was highest. (c) Analysis of the distribution of internalized pseudotyped particles. Interspace that is within 2 μm from the cellular boundary is considered as the edge area. Percent ratio of edge signaling was calculated as edge area signal/total area signal × 100. Thirty cells from each group were used for quantification.
to add hydrogens to the protein, predict pKₐ for each residue, optimize the hydrogen-bond network, and perform energy minimization for the target protein. The structures of FDA-approved drugs (2454 molecules) were prepared in the LigPrep tool in the Schrödinger software. The potential candidates were selected according to the Glide-SP score and checked manually to ensure the docking result was acceptable. More details can be found in our previous work.

### MD Simulation

MD simulation was performed with Desmond in Schrödinger software. The OPLS3e force field was used for all the molecules in the simulation. All bond lengths to hydrogen atoms were constrained with M-SHAKE. A 200 ps equilibration run was carried out with the temperature set to 300 K and pressure to 1 bar. The simulation length for all the production runs was 100 ns for both APO ACE2 and romidepsin-ACE2 complex systems. Cut-off for short-range interactions was set to 10 Å and long-range electrostatic interactions were recovered by Particle Mesh Ewald (PME) summation.

### Enrichment Analysis and Construction of Interaction Networks

We integrated virus-host interaction data from several sources, including SARS-CoV-2 AP-MS data reported by Gordon et al., which contains 332 high-confidence virus-host interactions for 27 SARS-CoV-2 proteins, the histone deacetylase family of HDACs (HDAC1–HDAC11) and ACE2. The GO terms of biological processes were summarized, and their relationships were analyzed using two Cytoscape plugins ClueGo and CluePedia. The biological processes network enriched by the proteins associated with the COVID-19 infection was constructed in Cytoscape.

### Sequence and Structural Analysis

The protein-sequence alignment was performed in Clustal Omega and the results were visualized in Mview. The ligand similarity analysis was performed in RDKit, an open source toolkit for cheminformatic. All figures were prepared in PyMol and Inkscape.

### Cell Lines and Cell Culture

All cell lines were maintained at 37 °C incubated with 5% CO₂ in a humidified atmosphere. HeLa cells, 293T (human, kidney) cells, and Vero-E6 (African green monkey, kidney) cells were incubated in Dulbecco’s modified eagle medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (HyClone), 100 U/mL of penicillin, and 100 μg/mL of streptomycin (Gibco).

### Inhibitors and Clinical Drugs

Drugs and inhibitors were purchased from Shanghai Topscience Co., Ltd. Information for the molecules was listed in Supplementary Tables 1 and 2.

### Antibodies

Rabbit polyclonal antibody against FLAG-tag (AF0036) was purchased from Beyotime Biotechnology. Rabbit polyclonal antibody against HIV gag-p24 (11695-RP01) was purchased from Sino Biological Inc. Mouse monoclonal antibody against beta-actin (sc-7777) and mouse monoclonal antibody against human ACE2 (sc-390851) were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Dallas, USA). Goat anti-Mouse IgG conjugated with HRP (ab136815) and goat anti-Rabbit IgG conjugated with HRP (ab136817) were purchased from Abcam (Cambridge, USA). Goat anti-Rabbit IgG Alexa Fluor 555 (A21429) and goat anti-Mouse IgG Alexa Fluor 488 (A11029) were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Massachusetts, USA).

### Plasmids

Plasmid encoding full length SARS-CoV-2 S glycoprotein (QHU36824.1) was codon-optimized for optimal expression in mammalian cells. Plasmids encoding full length SARS-CoV-S glycoprotein and human ACE2 were provided by collaborators. Expression plasmids for replication-defective HIV lentivirus include pCDH-EF1-MCS-IRES-Puro (CD532A-2, System Biosciences), psPAX2 (#12260, Addgene), and pM2.G (#12259, Addgene). Plasmid pCAGGS (VT1076) was purchased from Youbio Biological. ACE2-GFP plasmids were constructed by fusing GFP at the C-terminus of ACE2 and cloned into pCDH at the EcoRI site. pCDH-Luciferase and pCDH-mCherry plasmids were generated by inserting the reporter gene into the EcoRI site. DNA fragments of VSV-G (cloned from pM2.G), SARS-CoV-2-S (full length), and SARS-CoV-S (full length) were fused with a FLAG tag at the C terminus and inserted into the pCAGGS vector via the EcoRI site. All constructs were generated by a seamless cloning kit (D7010M, Beyotime).

### Generation of Lentiviral Pseudotyped Lentiviral Particles and Virus Transduction

To generate replication-defective lentivirus-based pseudotype particles, 293T cells were transfected with pCDH-Luciferase (or pCDH-mCherry), psPAX2, and plasmids encoding glycoproteins (pCAGGS-SARS-2-S-flag, pCAGGS-SARS-S-flag or pCAGGS-VSV-G-flag) by using 1 μg/mL linear polyethylenimine (PEI) purchased from Polysciences (23966-2). Supernatants were harvested at 48 and 72 h post-transfection, centrifuged at 1000g for 5 min and passed through 0.45 μm filter to remove cell debris. Then the viral particles in the supernatants were harvested by ultracentrifugation at 100 000g with a 25% sucrose cushion for 2 h at 4 °C in an SW28 Ti Rotor (Optima L-80 XP Ultracentrifuge, Beckman Coulter). Concentrated viral particles were resuspended in 1X phosphate buffer saline (PBS) and stored at −80 °C.

For transduction, ACE2-GFP stably expressing 293T cells were plated 5000 cells/well in 96-well plates and incubated with respective pseudotyped particles for 3 h at 37 °C. For clinical drug test, targeted cells were pretreated with different concentrations of corresponding drugs for 2 h before transduction. Next, the medium containing pseudovirus was replaced with fresh medium. At 40 h post-inoculation, cells were lysed and the luciferase activity was measured by Luciferase Assay System (Promega). Pseudovirus entry efficiency was calculated as the percentage of luciferase activity in the drug-treated group relative to the control group. Relative cell proteins of each well was measured by BCA protein assay kit and used for normalization of luciferase activity.

### Analysis of Spike Protein Expression and Incorporation into Pseudotyped Particles

The 293T cells were transfected with vector for FLAG-tagged SARS-2-S, SARS-S, or empty vector (negative control) by MegaTrans1.0 (Origene). Cells were harvested 24 h post-transfection and incubated with 2 × SDS lysis buffer (0.03 M Tris-HCl, 10% glycerol, 2% SDS, 0.2% bromophenol blue, 1 mM EDTA) for 5 min at room temperature. Next, cell lysates were incubated in 100 °C water for 15 min before immunoblotting. To detect S protein incorporation into the pseudovirus, amounts of viral particles equal to 2 mL supernatant concentrates were used and incubated with 2 × SDS lysis buffer as described above. In brief, well-prepared lysate samples were resolved by SDS-PAGE and electrotransferred onto Immun-Blot PVDF Membrane (Millipore). Next, membrane and samples were blocked by 5% skim milk powder in 1 × PBS for 1 h. Blots were probed with primary antibodies for 2 h and then with secondary antibodies for 1 h at room temperature. Immunoreactivity was detected by using Luminata Classico Western HRP Substrate (Millipore).
ACE2 Knockdown in Vero-E6 Cells. To knockdown endogenous ACE2 expression in Vero-E6 cells, siRNA targeting the first exon of human ACE2 mRNA at the position of 200–222 (identical to African green monkey) was commercially synthesized. The forward sequence was CACGGA-GCCGAAGACCTGTTCdTdT, the reverse sequence was dTdT-GAACAGTCTTCCGCTTG. To analyze knockdown efficiency, 2.5 × 10⁵ Vero-E6 cells were plated and grown on a 6-well plate per well. After 16 h incubation, cells were transfected with an optimal amount of ACE2-siRNA by Lipofectamine 3000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific). At 48 h after transfection, cells were harvested and lysed for immunoblot. To analyze pseudovirus host entry, cells were plated on coverslips and fixed for immunofluorescence staining. 

Visualization of pseudotyped particle entry. Susceptible cells were grown on round glass coverslips placed in 24-well plates. Cells were inoculated with pseudotyped particles for 2 h at 37 °C before being fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 15 min. Then cells were washed twice with PBS and permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS for 15 min. Next, cells were blocked with 3% bovine serum albumin (BSA)/PBS for 1 h at room temperature. The primary antibodies and the fluorophore-conjugated secondary antibodies were sequentially incubated with cells for 2 and 1 h, respectively. After the final twice PBS wash, cells on the coverslips were mounted in the antifade medium and sealed by nail polish. Immunofluorescence images were taken by Olympus BX3-CBH fluorescence microscopy and Leica CTR6000 confocal laser microscopy.

Cell Proliferation Assay. Cytotoxicity of screened drugs were evaluated by cell proliferation assay. Briefly, 293T cells were plated 5000 cells per well in a 96-well plate for 24 h and then treated with serially diluted drugs for 24 h. Next, the live cell number was quantified by Cell Counting Kit 8 (CCK8, Transgene). The absorbance was measured at 450 nm using a microplate spectrophotometer (Multiskan Go, Thermo Scientific). Experiments were conducted in triplicate, and cell viability was calculated as the ratio of experiment group related to the control group.

Endocytosis Assay. HeLa cells grown in DMEM were treated with the indicated dose of drugs for 2 h or 12 h. Then, 25 µg/mL Alexa555-conjugated Transferrin (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was added to the medium for 5, 10, or 15 min of transferrin uptake, followed by immediate fixation with 4% PFA. Cellular uptake of transferrin was analyzed at an excitation wavelength of 543 nm by Olympus BX3-CBH fluorescence microscopy.

Quantification and Statistical Analysis. Three independent experiments or triplicates were performed for quantification. One-way or two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Dunnett post-test was used for testing statistical significance. P values of 0.05 or lower were considered statistically significant. (*, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01; ***, p < 0.001). GraphPad Prism 8 was used for data processing and statistical analysis.
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