We describe black holes in $d+3$ dimensions, whose thermodynamic properties correspond to those of a scale invariant non-relativistic $d+1$ dimensional quantum system with dynamical exponent $z=2$. The gravitational model involves a massive abelian vector field and a scalar field, in addition to the metric. The energy per particle in the dual theory is $|\mu|d/(d+2)$ at any temperature, while the ratio of shear viscosity to entropy density is $\hbar/4\pi$.

I. INTRODUCTION

Scale invariance is an important concept in physics, with fundamental applications in diverse areas including critical phenomena and high energy physics. One can think about scale invariance as a symmetry under simultaneous rescaling of both space and time $x^i \rightarrow \lambda x^i$, $t \rightarrow \lambda^z t$, where $\lambda > 0$ is the scaling parameter, and $z$ is the dynamical exponent. Relativistic scale invariant systems such as blackbody radiation have $z=1$, while many non-relativistic scale-invariant systems have $z=2$. An interacting non-relativistic quantum system which has recently attracted much interest is given by a collection of cold fermionic atoms in the unitary limit [1]. In this limit the scattering length of atoms formally diverges whereas the mean particle distance is considered (arbitrary) large compared to the range of the interaction. The only relevant length scales are then given by the mean particle distance and the thermal wavelength, which are determined through the fermion density or chemical potential and the temperature. All quantities can therefore be expressed by their analogues in the non-interacting theory times a universal function only depending on the dimensionless ratio of chemical potential and temperature [2]. Consequently, the system at zero temperature and density should be described in terms of a non-relativistic scale invariant theory with $z=2$ [3].

The methods of the gauge-gravity duality [4] have been extremely helpful for understanding strongly interacting scale invariant relativistic models, and it is reasonable to ask whether the same tools can be useful in understanding strongly interacting scale invariant non-relativistic models. The key idea lies in realizing the relevant symmetry group geometrically, rather than directly on the Hilbert space of the quantum theory. The algebra of the non-relativistic conformal group (the Schrödinger group) can be obtained from the relativistic group by reducing along the light-cone and identifying the corresponding momentum with the particle number operator [5]. A spacetime realizing this symmetry as its isometry group was recently identified in [5] for $z=2$, and independently in [6] for arbitrary $z$:

$$ds^2 = -r^{2z} du^2 - 2r^2 du dv + r^2 dx^i dx^i + \frac{dr^2}{r^2}.$$
Here $r$ is the radial coordinate in the standard gauge-gravity duality description with the boundary at $r \to \infty$, $u$ is the boundary time coordinate, $x^i$ are $d$-dimensional spatial coordinates, and $v$ is an additional coordinate whose conserved momentum corresponds to the particle number operator. For $d = 2$ and $z = 2$, this spacetime and its non-extremal generalizations were embedded in type IIB string theory and the equation of state in the dual field theory together with its shear viscosity were determined. In addition, the authors of found a five-dimensional gravitational model which admits a non-extremal version of (1) as a solution, corresponding to a 2+1 dimensional scale-invariant field theory at non-zero temperature and density.\(^1\)

Given that most experiments on cold atomic gases are performed in $d = 3$ spatial dimensions, it would be interesting to find a simple gravitational model, corresponding to a 3+1 dimensional scale invariant quantum system at non-zero temperature and density. Such six-dimensional gravitational action would be a natural starting point for phenomenological holographic models of non-relativistic systems, similar to the AdS/QCD program \([13]\). It is the purpose of this note to present black hole spacetimes whose thermodynamic properties correspond to those of a scale-invariant non-relativistic quantum system in arbitrary spatial dimension $d \geq 2$, including the physically interesting case $d = 3$. Using the standard dictionary of the gauge-gravity duality, we calculate the thermodynamic potentials and the shear viscosity of the dual $d+1$ dimensional non-relativistic field theory. Our notations closely follow the presentation of Herzog et al.\(^8\).

## II. THERMODYNAMICS OF A SCALE-INVARINT SYSTEM

Before presenting black hole spacetimes whose thermodynamics corresponds to that of a scale invariant system, let us briefly discuss what we expect thermodynamic potentials to look like. We are interested in a translation invariant quantum field theory in $d+1$ spacetime dimensions in the thermodynamic limit in the grand canonical ensemble given by the density operator $\rho = Z^{-1} \exp[-(H - \mu N)/T]$ where $Z = \text{tr} \exp[-(H - \mu N)/T]$ is the partition function, $T$ is temperature, $H$ is the Hamiltonian, $\mu$ is the chemical potential, and $N$ is the conserved particle number operator which commutes with $H$. Scale invariance means that there is a time-independent operator $D$ whose commutation relations within the Schrödinger algebra include\(^2\)

$$[D, H] = iz H, \quad [D, N] = i(2-z)N.$$  \(2\)

The Hamiltonian density $\mathcal{H}$ has scaling dimension $d+z$, i.e. $[D, \mathcal{H}(0)] = i(d+z)\mathcal{H}(0)$. Taking the expectation value of this equation in the grand canonical ensemble, using the commutation relations \(2\) and cyclicity of the trace gives

$$\left(z T \frac{\partial}{\partial T} - 2(1-z) \mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu}\right) \epsilon = (d+z) \epsilon,$$

\(^1\) Other recent work on holographic realization of non-relativistic theories includes \([10,11,12]\).

\(^2\) The second relation in \(2\) holds in systems where particle number current coincides with momentum density. From a geometric perspective, this commutation relation emerges from the isometries of the spacetime \([1,6]\). We thank J. McGreevy for a discussion on these points.
where $\epsilon = \text{tr}(\rho \mathcal{H})$ is the equilibrium energy density. The solution is $\epsilon = T^{d+2} g(T^{2-2s}/\mu)$ where $g$ is an arbitrary function. Once the energy density is known, pressure $p$ can be determined from the relation $\epsilon + p = Ts + \mu n$, where $s = \partial p/\partial T$ is entropy density, and $n = \partial p/\partial \mu$ is the number density. Demanding that $p \to 0$ as $\epsilon \to 0$, one finds for $z = 2$

$$\epsilon(T, \mu) = T^{d+2} g(\mu/T), \quad 2 \epsilon(T, \mu) = d p(T, \mu).$$

We will now describe black holes in $d+3$ dimensions whose thermodynamics has exactly the scaling form of Eq. (3), with a particular function $g(\mu/T)$.

### III. GRavitational Model

We propose the following action of gravity coupled to a massive abelian vector field plus a scalar:

$$S = \frac{1}{16 \pi G_{d+3}} \int d^{d+3}x \sqrt{-g} \left( R - \frac{a}{2} (\partial_{\mu} \phi)(\partial^{\mu} \phi) - \frac{1}{4} e^{-a \phi} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{m^2}{2} A_{\mu} A^{\mu} - V(\phi) \right),$$

where $G_{d+3}$ is the $(d+3)$-dimensional Newton’s constant, the scalar potential is given by $V(\phi) = (\Lambda + \Lambda') e^{a \phi} + (\Lambda - \Lambda') e^{b \phi}$, and the coefficients are

$$\Lambda = \frac{1}{2} (d+1)(d+2), \quad \Lambda' = \frac{1}{2} (d+2)(d+3), \quad m^2 = 2(d+2), \quad a = (d+2)b = 2 \frac{d+2}{d+1}.$$

For $\phi = 0$, one recovers the model of refs. [5, 6], where the spacetime (1) emerges as a solution to Einstein equations with a non-trivial profile of $A_{\mu}$. For $\phi \neq 0$, our model admits the following planar black hole solutions which are asymptotic to (1)

$$ds^2 = r^2 h^{-\frac{d}{d+2}} \left( \left[ \frac{(f+1)^2}{4(h-1)} - f \right] r^2 du^2 - (1+f) dv dx dy + h^{-1} \frac{r^2}{u^2} dv^2 \right) + h^{\frac{1}{d+2}} \left( r^2 dx^i dx^i + \frac{dr^2}{r^2 f} \right),$$

$$A = \frac{1+f}{2} r^2 du + \frac{1-h}{h} dv,$$

$$\phi = -\frac{1}{2} \ln h,$$

where $h(r) = 1 + \beta^2 r_{0}^{d+2}/r^d$ and $f(r) = 1 - r_{0}^{d+2}/r^d$. Here $\beta$ is an arbitrary parameter, and the horizon is at $r = r_0$. It is helpful to introduce coordinates $t = \beta v + u/(2\beta)$ and $y = -\beta v + u/(2\beta)$, so that the solution (5) becomes

$$ds^2 = r^2 h^{-\frac{d}{d+2}} \left( -f dt^2 + dy^2 - r^2 f \beta^2 (dt + dy)^2 + h dx^i dx^i \right) + h^{\frac{1}{d+2}} \frac{dr^2}{r^2 f},$$

$$A(r) = \frac{r^2 \beta}{h} (f dt + dy).$$

For $d=2$ these solutions were discussed in ref. [3]. Note that Eq. (5) with $f(r) = 1$, $h(r) = 1 + \Omega^d/r^d$ is also a solution, with $\Omega$ a free parameter. We will now show that thermodynamics of black holes (5), (6) matches thermodynamics of $d+1$ dimensional scale-invariant field theories with $z = 2$. 

IV. BLACK HOLE THERMODYNAMICS

The Bekenstein-Hawking entropy of the black hole is proportional to the area of the horizon,

$$S = \frac{1}{4G_{d+3}} r_0^{d+1} \beta \Delta V,$$

where we assume that $v$ has a finite size $\Delta v$, and $V = \Delta x^1 \ldots \Delta x^d$ is the (infinite) volume along the $x^i$ directions which we identify with the spatial volume of the dual field theory. The temperature of the black hole can be found from the surface gravity $\kappa$,

$$\kappa^2 = -\frac{1}{2} (\nabla^\mu \xi^\nu)(\nabla_\mu \xi_\nu),$$

where $\xi$ is a Killing vector field which is null at the horizon. Following [8], we choose

$$\xi^t = \frac{1}{\beta},$$

or

$$\xi = \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + \frac{1}{2\beta^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial v}.$$ (9)

This gives the black hole temperature $T = \kappa/2\pi$ equal to

$$T = \frac{(d+2)r_0}{4\pi\beta},$$

which we identify as the temperature of the dual field theory. From [9] we can also infer the value of the chemical potential. With the generator of translations in the $u$-direction corresponding to the Hamiltonian and the generator of translations in the $v$-direction corresponding to particle number, we expect the chemical potential to be [8]

$$\mu = -\frac{1}{2\beta^2}$$ (11)

as the conjugate Lagrange multiplier to the particle number (this is analogous to what happens in thermodynamics of Kerr black holes). With this identification, the chemical potential is negative.

We identify the partition function as $Z = e^{-S_E}$ where $S_E$ is the the on-shell Euclidean action, obtained after taking $t \to i\tau$. The on-shell action is divergent at large $r$, and requires regularization. To make the action finite, we add counterterms at the boundary $r \to \infty$,

$$S \to S + \frac{1}{8\pi G_{d+3}} \int d^{d+2}x \sqrt{-h} \left( K - c_0 + \ldots \right),$$ (12)

where $K$ is the standard Gibbons-Hawking boundary term, $c_0$ is the boundary cosmological constant, and dots denote “matter” counterterms which are polynomial in the fields $\phi$, $A_\mu$ and their derivatives. The on-shell action evaluated on the solution (5) can be made finite by choosing $c_0 = d+1$, together with the appropriate coefficients of the matter counterterms, giving

$$S_E = \frac{1}{16\pi G_{d+3}} \int d^{d+2}x r_0^{d+2}.$$ (13)

\footnote{Alternatively, the Euclidean on-shell action can be regularized by subtracting the action of the zero-temperature solution (5) with $f(r) = 1$ and $h(r) = 1 + \beta r_0^{d+2}/r^d$, and demanding that the volumes at large fixed $r$ coincide in the two geometries. This procedure gives the same answer (13).}
The matter counterterms do not contribute to the finite part of the action for \( d > 2 \). In order to evaluate the thermodynamic potentials, the Euclidean “time” direction \( \tau \) is compactified on a circle with period \( \Delta \tau = 4\pi/(d+2)r_0 = 1/(\beta T) \). The grand canonical potential \( \Omega = -pV = -T \ln Z \) is then given by

\[
\Omega(T, \mu) = -\tilde{c} \sqrt{\frac{T^2}{|\mu|}}^{d+2},
\]

where the \((T, \mu)\)-independent constant \( \tilde{c} = (\Delta \nu)\pi^{d+1}2^{(3d-2)/2}(d+2)^{-(d+2)/2}/G_{d+3} \) determines the normalization of all thermodynamic functions, and therefore effectively counts the degrees of freedom in the dual field theory.\(^4\) All other thermodynamic functions can be easily determined as \( n = \partial p/\partial \mu \), \( s = \partial p/\partial T \), \( \epsilon = -p + Ts + \mu n \), and one finds \( 2\epsilon = dp \), as is expected in a scale-invariant theory with \( z = 2 \). The dependence on temperature and chemical potential is precisely of the expected form \((3)\), with the scaling function \( g(x) = \frac{d\tilde{c}}{2}|x|^{-(d+2)/2} \). Note that negative \( \mu \) is required so that the density \( n = \partial p/\partial \mu \) is positive. The total entropy

\[
S = \frac{-\partial \Omega}{\partial T} = -\frac{d+2}{T}\Omega = -(d+2)S_E = \frac{1}{4G_{d+3}}r_0^{d+1}\beta \Delta \nu V
\]

is equal to the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy \((7)\), a consistency check for thermodynamics.

V. VISCOSITY

In a translation-invariant theory with a hydrodynamic regime at long distances, shear viscosity can be evaluated using the Kubo formula

\[
\eta = -\lim_{\omega \to 0} \frac{1}{\omega} \text{Im} G_{12,12}^R(\omega, k=0),
\]

where \( G_{12,12}^R \) is the retarded function of the component \( T_{x^1 x^2} \) of the energy-momentum tensor. The relevant component of the metric perturbation in the bulk \( \varphi \equiv h_\tau^2 \) can be Fourier transformed along the \( u \) and \( x^i \) directions, \( \varphi(u, v, x, r) = \int d\omega d^d k/(2\pi)^{d+1} \varphi_{\omega, k}(r)e^{-i\omega u + ik \cdot x} \) (note that \( u \) is identified with the time in the dual field theory), and only \( k=0 \) is needed to find the viscosity. The perturbation is \( v \)-independent, as required by the fact that the energy-momentum tensor has zero particle number. The field \( \varphi \) decouples from other perturbations, and satisfies the equation of the minimal massless scalar \((15)\). In the black hole background \((5)\), one finds

\[
\varphi''(r) + \frac{(d+3)r^{d+2} - r_0^{d+2}}{r(r^{d+2} - r_0^{d+2})} \varphi'(r) + \frac{\omega^2 \beta^2 r^{d-2} r_0^{d+2}}{(r^{d+2} - r_0^{d+2})^2} \varphi(r) = 0.
\]

The equation has a regular singular point at the horizon, with exponents \( \alpha_\pm = \pm \frac{d-2}{d+2} \). Evaluation of the retarded function requires that one keeps only the wave going into the black hole \((16, 17)\), which fixes the solution as \( \varphi(r) = (r-r_0)^\alpha - F(r) \), where \( F(r) \) is regular at the horizon \( r = r_0 \). In

\(^4\) In the analogous gravitational description of relativistic field theories, the normalization coefficient \( \tilde{c} \) is uniquely related to the central charge of the corresponding CFT \((14)\).
the hydrodynamic limit $\omega \to 0$, the equation for $F(r)$ can be solved as a power expansion in $\omega$. To linear order, one finds

$$F(r) = F_0 - \frac{i\omega \beta F_0}{(d+2) r_0} \ln \left( \frac{r_0 f(r)}{r - r_0} \right) + O(\omega^2),$$

(18)

where $F_0$ is an integration constant which determines the overall normalization of the perturbation. It is proportional to the boundary value of the field $\varphi_0(\omega) \equiv \varphi(\tau)\Lambda$, where $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ is the large-$r$ cutoff. The two-point function is determined from the regularized on-shell action (12). The Gibbons-Hawking term ensures that the action for $\varphi$ has the form (up to contact boundary terms)

$$(16\pi G_{d+3}) S = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^{d+3} x \sqrt{-g} g^{\mu \nu} \partial_\mu \varphi \partial_\nu \varphi$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} e^{d+3} f(r) \varphi(\omega)(r) \Delta v |_{r=r_\Lambda}.$$ (19)

Taking the second variation of the action with respect to $\varphi_0(\omega)$ [16, 17], one finds the viscosity from the Kubo formula (16), using the solution (18),

$$\eta = \frac{r_0^{d+1} \beta \Delta v}{16\pi G_{d+3}}.$$ (20)

Comparing with the expression for the black hole entropy (7), the ratio of shear viscosity to entropy density $s = S/V$ is equal to (restoring $\hbar$)

$$\frac{\eta}{s} = \frac{\hbar}{4\pi}.$$ (21)

VI. DISCUSSION

Our model (4) can be viewed as an extension of the $d = 2$ model of Ref. [8] to arbitrary dimension. Such a generalization is non-trivial because it involves both guessing the action and finding the solution to the equations of motion. We have not attempted to find a string theory embedding of the action (4), and we do not know whether black hole solutions (5) uplift to ten- or eleven-dimensional supergravity for $d > 2$. Nevertheless, we feel that the holographic model (4) is interesting in its own right, in particular because it provides a window to a novel class of scale invariant $3 + 1$ dimensional non-relativistic models with strong quantum fluctuations. One way to see that the quantum fluctuations in the dual field theory are strong is to note that the viscosity is small and saturates the conjectured quantum-mechanical bound of Ref. [15]. This means that the dual quantum system can not be described as a gas of weakly interacting quasiparticles because the latter would imply a parametrically large viscosity to entropy ratio. Another way to see that interactions are important is to note that the thermodynamic scaling function $g(x)$ defined by Eq. (5) has different form in a non-interacting gas, and in the present holographic model. In a non-interacting gas of fermions or bosons in $3 + 1$ dimensions, the scaling function is proportional to $g(x) \propto \int_0^{\infty} du u^{3/2} (e^{u-x} \pm 1)^{-1}$ [18], while in the holographic model $g(x) \propto |x|^{-5/2}$.

The energy per particle $E/N = \epsilon/n$ in the holographic model is

$$\frac{E}{N} = \frac{3}{5} |\mu|,$$
(in arbitrary dimension, $E/N = |\mu|d/(d+2)$) at any temperature, including $T \to 0$. Expressed in terms of the number density, the energy per particle is proportional to $n^{-2/7}T^{10/7}$ (in arbitrary dimension, $\sim n^{-2/(d+4)}T^{2(d+2)/(d+4)}$), and as a result $E/N \to 0$ as $T \to 0$ at fixed density. For unitary fermions at $T = 0$, it is conventional to parametrize the energy per particle as $E/N = \frac{2}{5} \varepsilon_F \xi$, where $\varepsilon_F \sim n^{2/3}$ is the Fermi energy in a gas of non-interacting fermions. Lattice simulations [19] suggest that the thermodynamic scaling function for the black holes discussed in this paper differs from that of unitary fermions, in particular giving $\xi$ about 0.4 [20]. In the holographic model, on the other hand, the temperature dependence of $E/N$ suggests that $\xi$ is zero in any dimension. However, it is possible that at lower temperatures in our model there exist different backgrounds with the same asymptotics and different thermodynamic properties which are closer to those of unitary fermions. This would be natural as the background (5) is invariant under $v$-translations. Therefore it does not break particle number symmetry and should not correspond to a superfluid state in the boundary theory. It would be interesting to find physical systems with the same thermodynamic scaling function as in the holographic model.

In this paper, we only considered a holographic model corresponding to a quantum field theory with the dynamical exponent $z = 2$. It would be interesting to find black holes corresponding to non-relativistic systems with $z \neq 2$ in arbitrary $d$. Another question to understand is whether black holes (5) can be embedded in string theory. Finally, we see that for the non-relativistic field theories studied here, the ratio of shear viscosity to entropy density has a universal value of $\hbar/4\pi$ in any dimension $d \geq 2$, despite the fact that the black hole backgrounds (5) do not satisfy the assumptions of universality theorems of [15, 21, 22]. This suggests that the universality of shear viscosity can be proven for a wider class of black hole spacetimes, and therefore for a wider class of field theories.
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