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Abstract

Background: Esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) is a common malignancy worldwide. Comprehensive genomic characterization of ESCC will further our understanding of the carcinogenesis process in this disease.

Results: Genome-wide detection of chromosomal changes was performed using the Affymetrix GeneChip 10 K single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) array, including loss of heterozygosity (LOH) and copy number alterations (CNA), for 26 pairs of matched germ-line and micro-dissected tumor DNA samples. LOH regions were identified by two methods – using Affymetrix’s genotype call software and using Affymetrix’s copy number alteration tool (CNAT) software – and both approaches yielded similar results. Non-random LOH regions were found on 10 chromosomal arms (in decreasing order of frequency: 17p, 9p, 9q, 13q, 17q, 4q, 4p, 3p, 15q, and 5q), including 20 novel LOH regions (10 kb to 4.26 Mb). Fifteen CNA-loss regions (200 kb to 4.3 Mb) and 36 CNA-gain regions (200 kb to 9.3 Mb) were also identified.

Conclusion: These studies demonstrate that the Affymetrix 10 K SNP chip is a valid platform to integrate analyses of LOH and CNA. The comprehensive knowledge gained from this analysis will enable improved strategies to prevent, diagnose, and treat ESCC.

Published: 29 November 2006

BMC Genomics 2006, 7:299 doi:10.1186/1471-2164-7-299

This article is available from: http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2164/7/299

© 2006 Hu et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.
Background

Genetic instabilities are characteristic of most human cancers. Genome-wide detection of chromosomal changes, including loss of heterozygosity (LOH) and copy number alterations (CNA), either gain or loss, are the focus of substantial attention in cancer research. LOH is frequently observed in a variety of human cancers, and regions with frequent LOH may contain tumor suppressor genes. In addition, LOH may associate with the regions affected by haplo-insufficiency of a group of genes. Thus, detection of LOH will likely remain a cornerstone for predicting tumor aggressiveness for many human tumors [1]. Recently, the discovery of large-scale genome-wide copy number variation has stimulated interest in elucidating the role of CNA in the development of malignancy. The 10 K single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) array (GeneChip Mapping 10 K array, Affymetrix) offers a high-resolution genomic approach to screen chromosomal alterations systematically. Several studies on allelic imbalance or loss in cancers and cancer cell lines using the 10 K SNP array have been published [2-12].

Esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) is a common malignancy worldwide and one of the most common malignancies in the Chinese population. There is great geographic variation in the occurrence of this tumor in China, including exceptionally high-risk areas such as Shanxi Province in north central China where some of the highest esophageal cancer rates in the world occur. The standardized incidence rate for esophageal cancer in Shanxi Province is above 100/100,000 person-years, although it appears that both incidence and mortality rates have begun to decline in the past 10 years [13,14]. Within the high-risk regions in China, there is a strong tendency toward familial aggregation, suggesting that genetic susceptibility, in conjunction with environmental exposures, plays a role in the etiology of ESCC. In the past several years, we have tried to identify susceptibility genes and biomarkers that can be used to screen high-risk populations in north central China for ESCC [15-22]. A previous study examined 366 microsatellite markers in a 10 cM density genome-wide scan in 11 ESCC patients, and identified 14 chromosome arms with high-frequency LOH [15]. However, we were unable to further narrow these LOH regions using microsatellite markers due to their low density. Higher density markers are necessary for positional cloning of tumor suppressor genes in LOH regions.

In the present study we established a high-resolution chromosomal instability profile for ESCC by examining germ-line DNA and matched micro-dissected tumor DNA with the 10 K SNP chip instead of matched germ-line DNA.

Results and discussion

LOH by patient and chromosomal arms

In the present study, 26 ESCC patients with blood-derived germ-line DNA and matched micro-dissected tumor DNA were investigated using 10 K SNP arrays. The characteristics of these patients are shown in Table 1. The average signal detection rate was higher in germ-line DNA (99%) than that in micro-dissected tumor DNA (79%). Based on NCBI Build 35.1, we summarized characteristics of 11,555 SNPs and mapped them to chromosomes and genes. We first generated a genotyping profile for each patient based on a comparison of the germ-line DNA genotypes to those from the matched micro-dissected tumor DNA. The patients’ LOH frequencies, shown in Table 1, ranged from 19% to 95%, and averaged 29%. LOH in four cases (SHE0832, SHE0864, SHE1264, and SHE1490) was performed using DNA from micro-dissected adjacent normal tissue in addition to blood-derived germ-line DNA to see if this affected results, but findings were very similar with both of these two sources of DNA (Table 1).

The frequencies of LOH on each chromosomal arm are shown in Table 2. Non-random LOH was observed on 10 chromosomal arms, including 17p (76%), 9p (72%), 9q (72%), 13q (68%), 17q (66%), 4q (65%), 4p (60%), 3p (58%), 15q (57%), and 5q (52%). Our previous microsatellite marker-based genome-wide LOH scan in 11 ESCC patients with a positive family history of upper gastrointestinal cancer produced overall LOH frequencies that were somewhat higher than the patients evaluated in the present study [15]. We can not explain this between-study LOH frequency variation, but there are several noteworthy differences between the studies that likely influenced LOH rates, including: (i) heterozygosity is higher for the microsatellite compared to the SNP markers examined (~75% versus ~30%); (ii) the total number of markers was much higher in the SNP study than the microsatellite study (11,000 versus 366); and (iii) over twice as many cases were examined in the SNP study (26 versus 11). Some results between studies differed (eg, LOH was ≥ 50% on chromosome 15q in the SNP but not the microsatellite study; LOH was ≥ 50% on 8p, 8q, 11p, 11q and 18p in the microsatellite but not the SNP study). Despite differences in study size, approach, and in some of the results, consistently high LOH frequencies were reported for nine chromosomal arms in both studies (ie, 3p, 4p, 4q, 5q, 9p, 9q, 13q, 17p, 17q). Both studies taken together indicate that LOH on these nine chromosomal arms are the major events associated with genome-wide instability in ESCC in this high-risk Chinese population. These areas are rich in known tumor suppressor genes and oncogenes, including VHL on 3p; NPCA1 on 4p; KIT, GIST, and PDG-
| No. | ID     | Age/sex | Tumor location | Tumor stage/grade | FH of UGI cancer (Y/N) | Tobacco use (Y/N) | Alcohol use (Y/N) | Pickled vegetables (Y/N) | Survival status | Survival months | LOH* (blood DNA reference) | LOH* (normal esophagus reference) |
|-----|--------|---------|----------------|------------------|------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 1   | SHE0043| 72/M    | lower          | 3/II             | Y                     | N                 | Y                 | N                      | deceased        | 29.6           | 0.951                      |                                |
| 2   | SHE0133| 55/M    | lower          | 1/III            | Y                     | Y                 | Y                 | N                      | deceased        | 36.5           | 0.907                      |                                |
| 3   | SHE0200| 46/M    | middle         | 1/III            | Y                     | Y                 | Y                 | Y                      | deceased        | 45             | 0.875                      |                                |
| 4   | SHE0204| 51/M    | middle         | 2/III            | N                     | Y                 | Y                 | N                      | alive           | 64.9           | 0.345                      |                                |
| 5   | SHE0223| 60/M    | middle         | 2/III            | N                     | Y                 | N                 | N                      | deceased        | 34.8           | 0.807                      |                                |
| 6   | SHE0297| 64/M    | middle         | 4/III            | Y                     | Y                 | Y                 | Y                      | deceased        | 6.9            | 0.596                      |                                |
| 7   | SHE0362| 52/M    | middle         | 2/II             | Y                     | Y                 | Y                 | Y                      | alive           | 58.1           | 0.236                      |                                |
| 8   | SHE0366| 46/M    | lower          | 3/II             | Y                     | N                 | Y                 | Y                      | deceased        | 26.2           | 0.849                      |                                |
| 9   | SHE0633| 63/M    | lower          | 3/III            | N                     | Y                 | Y                 | N                      | deceased        | 26.5           | 0.625                      |                                |
| 10  | SHE0758| 54/M    | lower          | 3/III            | N                     | N                 | Y                 | N                      | deceased        | 6.6            | 0.267                      |                                |
| 11  | SHE0782| 49/F    | middle         | 2/II             | Y                     | Y                 | N                 | N                      | deceased        | 29.6           | 0.211                      |                                |
| 12  | SHE0816| 58/M    | upper          | 3/III            | N                     | Y                 | Y                 | Y                      | deceased        | 30.7           | 0.262                      |                                |
| 13  | SHE0832| 52/M    | middle         | 3/II             | N                     | Y                 | Y                 | Y                      | alive           | 42.5           | 0.215                      | 0.219                         |
| 14  | SHE0848| 62/F    | middle         | 3/II             | N                     | N                 | Y                 | N                      | alive           | 39.0           | 0.384                      |                                |
| 15  | SHE0863| 51/M    | upper          | 3/II             | N                     | N                 | Y                 | Y                      | alive           | 39.4           | 0.567                      |                                |
| 16  | SHE0864| 55/M    | middle         | 4/II             | Y                     | N                 | Y                 | Y                      | deceased        | 10.8           | 0.204                      | 0.197                         |
| 17  | SHE0878| 67/M    | lower          | 3/II             | N                     | Y                 | Y                 | Y                      | deceased        | 2.2            | 0.806                      |                                |
| 18  | SHE0905| 58/F    | middle         | 3/II             | N                     | N                 | N                 | N                      | alive           | 35.5           | 0.188                      |                                |
| 19  | SHE0912| 45/M    | middle         | 3/II             | Y                     | N                 | Y                 | N                      | deceased        | 31.2           | 0.747                      |                                |
| 20  | SHE0921| 64/M    | lower          | 3/II             | N                     | Y                 | Y                 | Y                      | deceased        | 13.2           | 0.246                      |                                |
| 21  | SHE1255| 61/M    | middle         | 2/II             | Y                     | Y                 | N                 | Y                      | deceased        | 20.0           | 0.567                      |                                |
| 22  | SHE1264| 68/M    | middle         | 3/II             | Y                     | N                 | Y                 | Y                      | deceased        | 16.2           | 0.27                       | 0.267                         |
| 23  | SHE1301| 64/M    | middle         | 3/II             | Y                     | Y                 | N                 | N                      | alive           | 23.2           | 0.233                      |                                |
| 24  | SHE1310| 55/F    | middle         | 2/II             | Y                     | N                 | N                 | N                      | unknown         | -              | 0.593                      |                                |
| 25  | SHE1408| 63/M    | middle         | 3/II             | N                     | N                 | Y                 | Y                      | deceased        | 23.3           | 0.224                      |                                |
| 26  | SHE1490| 52/M    | middle         | 3/II             | N                     | N                 | Y                 | Y                      | alive           | 20.0           | 0.3                        | 0.287                         |
LOH regions

When we used the conservative, traditional approach to LOH in LOH/Model A, we detected 20 LOH regions encompassing a total of 125 SNPs. As shown in Table 3, these 20 LOH regions are located on eight chromosome arms – 13q (four regions), 3p (two regions), 4q (three regions), 9p (two regions), 9q (three regions), 17p (three regions), 17q (two regions), and 4p (one region). The size of these LOH regions ranged from 10 kb to 4.26 Mb (average 1.44 Mb); genes involved in these deletion regions are shown in Table 3. Among the 125 SNPs in these 20 LOH regions, 46 are located in genes (one in a coding exon, 39 in introns, and six in 3'- or 5'-UTRs), and 79 are located in regions flanking genes (ie, within 1 kb). One SNP (rs781852) is located in the coding region of gene ZZEF1 (Zinc finger, ZZ-type with EF-hand domain 1) on chromosome 17p13.2. Allele A for this SNP encodes an amino acid proline (Pro) and the allele B encodes amino acid leucine (Leu). Eight of 10 heterozygous cases (Pro/Leu) showed LOH (80%), including five cases that lost allele B and three cases that lost allele A. The 46 SNPs that are located within genes map to 32 genes and include four SNPs in the introns of ZNF618, and two SNPs each in the introns of ITPR1, FLJ14834, LHFP, ITGAE, MYH3 and MYOCD. Some of these 20 deletion regions have been previously identified by our lab and others [17,22]. However, the current study provides far greater precision in locating LOH regions (10 kb-4.26 Mb as opposed to 10 cM, which corresponds to 5–10 Mb). As expected, using a less conservative definition for LOH, LOH/Model B detected more regions (and SNPs) than our approach in LOH/Model A – 72 LOH regions containing 2,916 SNPs. The distribution of deletion regions and details from this model are shown in Table 4 and Additional Table 1 (in additional file 1).

Our cLOH data in cLOH/Model A identified only three significant cLOH regions. These included one on 13q12-q13 and two on 13q13, and encompassed a total of 30 SNPs. The sizes of these cLOH regions are 1.9 Mb, 0.4 Mb, and 0.2 Mb, respectively (average 0.83 Mb) (Table 5). The less conservative cLOH/Model B highlighted 64 cLOH regions with 2,128 SNPs; details are shown in Table 6 and Additional Table 2 (in additional file 1).

Examples of the whole genome profiles for regions on chromosome arms 9p/q, 13q, and 17p are shown in Figures 1, 2, 3.

Comparison of LOH and cLOH regions

Our conservative LOH/Model A detected 20 LOH regions including 125 SNPs, but our conservative cLOH/Model A detected only three LOH regions containing 30 SNPs. The detection of only three LOH regions by cLOH/Model A is not unexpected since identifying an LOH region in a sample requires the presence of multiple homozygous SNPs.

Table 2: LOH distribution by chromosomal arm

| Chr arm | LOH in ESCC (n = 26) | Chr arm | LOH in ESCCC (n = 26) |
|---------|---------------------|---------|----------------------|
| 1p      | 0.282 (563/1998)    | 1p      | 0.393 (444/1130)     |
| 1q      | 0.211 (377/1787)    | 1q      | 0.372 (591/1590)     |
| 2p      | 0.301 (561/1861)    | 2p      | 0.259 (149/576)      |
| 2q      | 0.331 (782/2363)    | 2q      | 0.265 (496/1874)     |
| 3p      | 0.576 (955/1658)    | 3p      | 0.682 (1300/1907)    |
| 3q      | 0.287 (482/1677)    | 3q      | 0.461 (846/1836)     |
| 4p      | 0.602 (509/846)     | 4p      | 0.568 (845/1487)     |
| 4q      | 0.645 (1424/2208)   | 4q      | 0.285 (148/520)      |
| 5p      | 0.316 (286/906)     | 5p      | 0.338 (213/631)      |
| 5q      | 0.516 (1321/2560)   | 5q      | 0.755 (246/326)      |
| 6p      | 0.445 (545/1226)    | 6p      | 0.673 (450/669)      |
| 6q      | 0.417 (981/2351)    | 6q      | 0.409 (142/347)      |
| 7p      | 0.331 (398/1201)    | 7p      | 0.481 (513/1067)     |
| 7q      | 0.348 (539/1551)    | 7q      | 0.389 (58/149)       |
| 8p      | 0.433 (331/764)     | 8p      | 0.442 (184/416)      |
| 8q      | 0.313 (550/1759)    | 8q      | 0.318 (208/655)      |
| 9p      | 0.717 (867/1209)    | 9p      | 0.335 (178/532)      |
| 9q      | 0.715 (714/999)     | 9q      | 0.391 (339/866)      |
| 10p     | 0.367 (314/855)     | 10p     | 0.349 (157/450)      |
| 10q     | 0.366 (694/1897)    | 10q     |                      |

Average LOH = 0.425
Table 3: Deletion regions from the conservative "LOH/Model A"

| Deletion region no. | Chr | Cytoband(s) | Start (Mb) | End (Mb) | Size (Mb) | No. SNPs in each deletion region | Genes in the deletion regions* |
|---------------------|-----|-------------|------------|----------|-----------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 1                   | 3   | 3p26        | 4.34       | 5.26     | 0.92      | 5                                | SUMF1 MRPS1P02 LOC401048 ITPR1 BHLHB2 LOC442073 ARL1 LOC EDEM1 |
| 2                   | 3   | 3p24-p22    | 31.02      | 33.39    | 2.37      | 5                                | LOC233987 LOC391524 SIMP OSBP1 LOC444787 KIAA0089 LOC402126 CLKSF6 CLKSF7 KKLFS6 LOC285260 DCLK1 LOC391526 CNOT1 LOC289101 LOC389102 LOC131405 CCR4 GLB1CRTAP KIAA0527 FBXL2 UBPI |
| 3                   | 4   | 4p15.3      | 9.9        | 9.91     | 0.01      | 5                                | NA                                |
| 4                   | 4   | 4q13        | 72.58      | 74.06    | 1.48      | 6                                | SLC4A4 CC LOC441023 GPR74 ADAMTS3 LOC391669 FLJ1305 ARHGAP24 MAPK10 PTPN11 SOAT LOC427444 LOC260422 MLLT2 KHL6 GAPD4|
| 5                   | 4   | 4q22        | 86.78      | 88.62    | 1.84      | 7                                | FAM13A1 LOC441028 SCRD9 NA                               |
| 6                   | 4   | 4q22        | 90.72      | 94.98    | 4.26      | 6                                | SNCA MARN1 MGC48628 TMSL3 LOC389212 LOC133083 GRID2 BMPR1B |
| 7                   | 9   | 9p23        | 11.19      | 12.05    | 0.86      | 6                                | NA                                 |
| 8                   | 9   | 9p21.3      | 26.02      | 27.53    | 1.51      | 6                                | LOC441390 C9orf12 PLAA LRC19 CCDC2 TEK C9orf14 C9orf11 MOBKLB2 FYNK HBLD2 ZCCHC6 LOC92358 AGS1 LOC157956 FJ45537 KIAA1529 TMD1 C9orf197 LOC389777 LOC268359 TDRD7 |
| 9                   | 9   | 9q22.3      | 97.08      | 97.42    | 0.34      | 5                                | CYLC2 LOC340511 ZNF618 C13orf22 ALOX5AP LOC387917 LOC401732 LOC440132 FLJ4834 MGC40178 HSPH1 BJGT1 ICDNAT3 |
| 10                  | 9   | 9q31.3      | 102.8      | 103.35   | 0.53      | 5                                | NA                                 |
| 11                  | 13  | 13q12.3-q13 | 30         | 31.2     | 1.2       | 13                               | NA                                 |
| 12                  | 13  | 13q12.3-q13 | 32         | 34.89    | 2.89      | 7                                | NA                                 |
| 13                  | 13  | 13q13.1-q13.3| 32         | 34.89    | 2.89      | 7                                | NA                                 |
| 14                  | 17  | 17p13-      | 0.86       | 4.32     | 3.46      | 5                                | ABR MRPL4P1 LOC390754 TUSCS YWHAE CRK MYOIC SKIP PTPN MGC46860 SCARF1 RLP |
| 15                  | 17  | 17p13-      | 9.68       | 10.8     | 1.12      | 6                                | PRPF9 MGC41376 FLJ33817 SERPINF2 SERPINF1 SMD4 RPA1 RTN4RL1 DPH21 LOCA2 HIC1 C17orf31 LOC440396 SRR FLJ10534 RUB9C1 MNT LOC284009 MGC3329 PAFAH1B1 KIAA0664 GARNL OR1D4 |
| 16                  | 17  | 17p13-      | 12.52      | 13.45    | 0.93      | 6                                | OR1D2 OR1E3P OR1G1 OR1IP OR1A2 OR1A1 OR1D3P OR3A1 LOC390756 OR3A2 OR1R1P OR1E1 |
| 17                  | 17  | 17p13-      | 51.95      | 52.2     | 0.25      | 5                                | OR3A3 OR3E2 N2D-SP20 ASA TRPV3 TRPV1 CARKL CTNS TAXIBP3 MGC2963 P2RX5 GSG2 |
| 18                  | 17  | 17p12       | 67.83      | 68.01    | 0.18      | 5                                | GLPL2 RCVI GAST RP527AP1 MYH13 MYH8 MYH4 MYH1 MYH2 MYH3 SOCO1 MSD006 LOC288335 LOC400573 |
| 19                  | 17  | 17q22       | 12.52      | 13.45    | 0.93      | 6                                | MYOCDC KIAA0672 ELAC2 HS3ST3A1 |
| 20                  | 17  | 17q24       | 51.95      | 52.2     | 0.25      | 5                                | NOG |

Total no. SNPs 125

*SNPs in genes (exons, introns, or 3'- or 5'-UTRs) are shown in **bold**.
Table 4: Deletion regions from the less conservative "LOH/Model B"

| Chr arm | No. deletion regions in each chr arm | Cytoband(s) | Total no. SNPs in each chr arm |
|---------|--------------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------------|
| 2q      | 1                                    | 2q35        | 6                              |
| 3p      | 6                                    | 3p26-p25 3p25-p24 3p24 3p24-p14 3p14-p13 3p13 | 293                           |
| 4p      | 4                                    | 4p16-p15.3 4p15.3 4p15.1-p13 4p12-q12 | 150                           |
| 4q      | 5                                    | 4q21 4q21.2-q22 4q22-q31.2 4q31.2-q34 4q34-q35 | 486                           |
| 5q      | 8                                    | 5q11.2-q15 5q15 5q21 5q22 5q23 (2) 5q32-q34 5q34-q35 | 357                           |
| 6p      | 5                                    | 6p24-p23 6p22.3 6p22.2-p21.2 6p21.2 6p11.2-q12 | 75                            |
| 6q      | 1                                    | 6q15        | 21                            |
| 8p      | 4                                    | 8p22.2 8p22(3) | 32                            |
| 9p/q    | 3                                    | 9p24.3 9p24.3-p22 9p22-p33 | 507                           |
| 10p     | 1                                    | 10p12.1-p11.2 | 5                             |
| 11p     | 1                                    | 11p15.2    | 6                             |
| 11q     | 2                                    | 11q23 11q24 | 20                            |
| 13q     | 4                                    | 13q12.2-q31.1 13q31.1 13q32.1 13q32.1-q34 | 391                           |
| 14q     | 10                                   | 14q11.2-q12 14q12 (2) 14q21 (3) 14q23 (2) 14q23-q24.2 14q24.3 | 138                           |
| 15q     | 10                                   | 15q11.2-q14 15q14 15q14-q15 15q15-q21.1 15q21.1 15q21.3-q23 15q25 (3) 15q25-q26.2 | 190                           |
| 17p/q   | 1                                    | 17p13-q25  | 174                           |
| 18q     | 4                                    | 18q21.1-q21.2 18q21.2 18q22 (2) | 47                            |
| 21q     | 1                                    | 21q22.1    | 10                            |
| 22q     | 1                                    | 22q12.1-q12 | 8                             |

Total no. deletion regions | 72
Total no. SNPs | 2916

Table 5: Deletion regions from the conservative "cLOH/Model A"

| Deletion region no. | Cytoband | Start (Mb) | End (Mb) | Size (Mb) | No. SNPs in each deletion region | SNP list* | Gene list |
|---------------------|----------|------------|----------|-----------|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| 1                   | 13q12-q13| 27.4       | 29.3     | 1.9       | 15                               | rs720661  | LOC440129 CDX2 FLT3 PAN3 |
|                     |          |            |          |           |                                  | rs748253  |                   |
|                     |          |            |          |           |                                  | rs952648  |                   |
|                     |          |            |          |           |                                  | rs2987346 |                   |
|                     |          |            |          |           |                                  | rs1414357 |                   |
|                     |          |            |          |           |                                  | rs2388092 |                   |
|                     |          |            |          |           |                                  | rs2388093 |                   |
|                     |          |            |          |           |                                  | rs2388094 |                   |
|                     |          |            |          |           |                                  | rs1986785 |                   |
|                     |          |            |          |           |                                  | rs719199  |                   |
|                     |          |            |          |           |                                  | rs1590501 |                   |
|                     |          |            |          |           |                                  | rs724946  |                   |
|                     |          |            |          |           |                                  | rs2388094 |                   |
|                     |          |            |          |           |                                  | rs1986785 |                   |
|                     |          |            |          |           |                                  | rs719199  |                   |
|                     |          |            |          |           |                                  | rs1590501 |                   |
|                     |          |            |          |           |                                  | rs724946  |                   |
|                     |          |            |          |           |                                  | rs1409504 |                   |
|                     |          |            |          |           |                                  | rs1409506 |                   |
|                     |          |            |          |           |                                  | rs1073230 |                   |
|                     |          |            |          |           |                                  | rs1073229 |                   |
|                     |          |            |          |           |                                  | rs1570613 |                   |
|                     |          |            |          |           |                                  | rs1311979 |                   |
|                     |          |            |          |           |                                  | rs1831549 |                   |
|                     |          |            |          |           |                                  | rs1343946 |                   |
|                     |          |            |          |           |                                  | rs1343945 |                   |
|                     |          |            |          |           |                                  | rs1854953 |                   |
|                     |          |            |          |           |                                  | rs2007622 |                   |
|                     |          |            |          |           |                                  | rs876540  |                   |
|                     |          |            |          |           |                                  | rs912603  |                   |
|                     |          |            |          |           |                                  | rs2056443 |                   |
|                     |          |            |          |           |                                  | rs13080   |                   |
|                     |          |            |          |           |                                  | rs1409379 |                   |
|                     |          |            |          |           |                                  | rs1328950 |                   |
|                     |          |            |          |           |                                  | rs1328949 |                   |

Total no. SNPs | 30

*Italicized SNPs are in genes; bolded SNPs are also found in the 20 deletion regions of the conservative LOH/Model A.
in a large genomic area and the chance for multiple homozygous SNPs in more than 75% of the samples is low. The three cLOH regions are all on chromosome 13q12-q13. Eleven SNPs were detected by both conservative LOH and cLOH models (LOH/Model A and cLOH/Model A) (Tables 3 and 5). Five SNPs detected by the conservative cLOH/Model A are located in two genes, FLJ14834 and B3GTL. Due to the relatively small number of LOH regions defined by cLOH/Model A, as well as the different definitions of LOH used in these two approaches, it was not possible to compare the concordance between these two methods.

Our less conservative LOH/Model B and cLOH/Model B were identical except that LOH/Model B used the matched normal controls while cLOH/Model B used pooled normal control samples. SNPs in the LOH regions from LOH/Model B totaled 2,916; 2,128 SNPs were identified in the cLOH regions from cLOH/Model B. The number of SNPs common to both LOH and cLOH models (LOH/Model B and cLOH/Model B) was 1,878, while a total of 1,038 SNPs appeared only in LOH/Model B, 250 SNPs were found only in cLOH/Model B, and 7,834 showed retention in both models. Using LOH/Model B as a standard, sensitivity/specificity for cLOH/Model B were 64% and 97%, respectively. The overall Pearson correlation coefficient between these two models was 0.69 ($P < 0.0001$). Taken together, we detected more SNP loci in LOH/Model B than cLOH/Model B, but concordance between the two methods was generally good, suggesting that the use of pooled normal control samples may be acceptable for LOH studies.

### CNA regions

Table 7 shows 15 regions with CNA losses that were detected at $P \leq 10^{-6}$. These include regions on 1p, 3p, 4q, 5q, 9p, 10p, 11p, 11q, 13q, and 18q. One-hundred and two SNPs were mapped within these regions (Table 4A). Details of the involved SNPs and genes are shown in Additional Table 3 (in additional file 1). Table 8 shows the 36 regions where significant CNA gains were identified, including eight on chromosomal arm 3q, seven on 8q, three on 7p, two on 5q, two on 14q, and two on 22q (Additional Table 4 in additional file 1). Examples of whole genome profiles of CNA regions are shown for chromosomes 3, 7, and 8 in Figures 4, 5, 6.

### Comparisons between LOH and CNA

We obtain both cLOH and CNA data when we use the pooled normal control sample reference in the CNAT software. Thus we can ask the question of whether the cLOH is associated with CNA. Our studies showed that among 2,128 SNPs identified in our less conservative cLOH/Model B, only 45 (2%) showed CNA loss and just 14 (0.7%) showed CNA gain (Figure 7). This result suggests that CNA accounts for small percent of LOH events in ESCC. LOH in cancers is commonly caused by one of three different mechanisms. The first and most common cause of LOH is mitotic recombination [3]. This mechanism doesn’t change chromosome copy number, and was responsible for 97% of the LOH observed in our study. Deletion, the second cause of LOH, should result in copy number loss, and occurred in approximately two percent of LOH in our study. Finally, LOH can result from amplification of one chromosome, which should show copy
number gain. This mechanism accounted for less than one percent of LOH in our study. Although chromosomal amplification occurs often, only occasionally do amplification events result in LOH, which correspond to unbalanced amplification of one chromosome. However, some studies have demonstrated concordance between LOH and CNA. For example, Wong et al found LOH associated with CNA gain at 6q12-13 in osteosarcoma [5], and Zhao et al found a link between LOH and CNA gain at 1q22-q24.1 and 1q42.13-43 in oral squamous cell carcinoma [8]. These differences might reflect genuine differences between tumor types, the lab analytic methods used, or different operative mechanisms at work.

The genome-wide LOH and chromosome copy alteration studies described in this paper can also be applied to higher density SNP chips, such as Affymetrix 100 K and 500 K SNP chips. The increased SNP density will allow even finer mapping of these genetic changes.

In summary, we performed a genome-wide study of LOH and CNA in ESCC patients using the Affymetrix 10 K SNP chip by comparing matched germ-line and tumor DNA. Our approach allowed us to extensively map both LOH and CNAs in ESCC systematically in a manner that has not heretofore been done, and produced numerous regions, genes, and SNPs that merit future exploration.
This report is the first comprehensive genome-wide analysis of chromosomal imbalance (LOH and CNA) in ESCC, and the knowledge gained from this analysis will enable the development of improved strategies to prevent, diagnose, and treat ESCC patients in the future.

Conclusion
The Affymetrix 10 K SNP chip is a valid platform to integrate analyses of loss of heterozygosity and copy number alterations. The comprehensive knowledge gained from this analysis will enable improved strategies to prevent, diagnose, and treat esophageal squamous cell carcinoma.

Methods
Patient selection
This study was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of the Shanxi Cancer Hospital and the US National Cancer Institute. Patients diagnosed with ESCC between 1998 and 2000 in the Shanxi Cancer Hospital in Taiyuan, Shanxi Province, People’s Republic of China, and considered candidates for curative surgical resection were identified and recruited to participate in this study. None of the patients had prior therapy and Shanxi was the ancestral home for all. After obtaining informed consent, patients were interviewed to obtain information on demographic, clinical, and cancer lifestyle risk factors (smoking, alcohol drinking, and detailed family history of cancer). All patients were followed to ascertain survival status through 2003.

Biologic specimen collection and processing
Ten milliliters of venous blood was taken from each patient prior to surgery and germ-line DNA was extracted and purified using standard methods. Tumor and adjac-
cent normal tissue obtained during surgery were either fixed in ethanol and embedded in paraffin, or snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored in a freezer at -80°C until used. Slides were stained with H&E to distinguish tumor from normal epithelium, and tumor cells were micro-dissected under light microscopy using either laser capture micro-dissection (LCM) (for paraffin-embedded samples) or manual dissection (for frozen samples). All micro-dissections were performed by a pathologist (NL) and a trained post-doctoral fellow (HS). Extraction of LCM DNA was previously described [17,23]. Extraction of manually micro-dissected DNA followed the protocol from the Puregene DNA Purification Tissue Kit (Cat Number D-7000A, Gentra Systems, Inc., Minneapolis, MN 55441, USA).

It is well known that using pure tumor DNA obtained by micro-dissection is key to successfully detecting chromosomal changes such as LOH and CNA. However, the 10 K chip requires amplification of DNA fragments up to 1 Kb, a particularly challenging task. It is usually difficult to obtain a high yield of DNA from alcohol- or formalin-fixed tissues, especially when using micro-dissection. In our study, the SNP call rates were much lower in micro-dissected tumor DNA from alcohol-fixed tissue than from frozen tissue (data not shown). Although the isolation of tumor DNA from ground tissue using Trizol yielded higher genotype call rates, we think that it is more important to identify LOH and CNA regions than to simply obtain higher genotype call rates. Thus, the best overall genomic characterization results can be expected from the use of micro-dissected frozen tissue.

**Affymetrix GeneChip Mapping 10 K array**

The 10 K SNP array provides comprehensive coverage of the genome for genotyping studies. Each array contained 11,555 bi-allelic polymorphic SNPs randomly distributed throughout the genome, except for the Y chromosome. The median physical distance between SNPs is approximately 105 kb, and the mean distance between SNPs is 210 kb. The average heterozygosity for these SNPs is 0.37, with an average minor allele frequency of 0.25. The algorithm used to make genotype calls was previously described by Affymetrix [24,25]. DNA samples were assayed according to the protocol (GeneChip Mapping Assay manual) supplied by Affymetrix, Inc. (Santa Clara, CA) as previously described [25,26]. The 10 K SNP arrays were scanned with the Affymetrix GeneChip Scanner 3000 using GeneChip Operating System 1.2 (GCOS) (Affymetrix). Data files were generated automatically. Genotype assignments (ie, calls) were made automatically by GeneChip DNA Analysis Software 3.0 (GDAS) (Affymetrix). The genetic map used in the analysis was obtained from GeneChip Mapping 10 K library files: Mapping 10K_Xba131. "Signal Detection Rate" is the percentage of SNPs that passed the discrimination filter. "Call Rate" is the percentage of SNPs called on the array. Genotype calls are defined as AA, AB, or BB; "no call" means the
Figure 4
Chromosome 3. Each column in the picture represents an individual case and shows genotyping in germ-line DNA and matched micro-dissected tumor; LOH is shown in red, retention in blue, and homozygous or "no call" in grey. B indicates blood DNA and T indicates tumor DNA (from matched, micro-dissected sample). To the left of the picture, columns show (from left to right): microsatellite markers, cartoon of the chromosome, and SNPs examined in the 10 K SNP chip. To the right of the picture, red bars show deletion regions (as defined from our conservative "LOH/Model A"), blue bars show regions with CNA losses (from CNAT), and green bars show regions with CNA gains (from CNAT).
SNP for that sample did not pass the discrimination filter and was excluded from further evaluation in the present study.

**Data analysis**

Since patient-matched normal DNA is not always available as a reference for high-resolution allelotyping, we evaluated LOH using two different methods: first, we used patient-matched normal DNA as the reference (the traditional approach); and second, we assessed whether it was possible to instead use a pool of normal control samples as the reference, as is done with the chromosome Copy Number Analysis Tool 2.0 software (CNAT) from Affymetrix.

In the first method, LOH was defined in a traditional manner as a change in genotyping call from heterozygosity (AB) in the germ-line DNA, to homozygosity (AA or BB) in the matched micro-dissected tumor DNA (all calls from GDAS). In the second method, LOH was also defined as a change in genotyping from "normal" to tumor, however, "normal" here was defined based on data already present in the Affymetrix CNAT software from prior testing of 100 ethnically-diverse normal refer-

---

**Figure 5**

Chromosome 7. Each column in the picture represents an individual case and shows genotyping in germ-line DNA and matched micro-dissected tumor; LOH is shown in red, retention in blue, and homozygous or "no call" in grey. B indicates blood DNA and T indicates tumor DNA (from matched, micro-dissected sample). To the left of the picture, columns show (from left to right): microsatellite markers, cartoon of the chromosome, and SNPs examined in the 10 K SNP chip. To the right of the picture, red bars show deletion regions (as defined from our conservative "LOH/Model A"), blue bars show regions with CNA losses (from CNAT), and green bars show regions with CNA gains (from CNAT).
LOH in the second method was based on a comparison of a track of contiguous SNPs in tumor to the analogous track of contiguous SNPs in the "normal" population DNA. Since the "normal" DNA here includes not just one but 100 individuals, the state of these SNPs (i.e., whether they are heterozygous or homozygous) was inferred statistically as a likelihood estimation with confidence calculated from a binomial distribution of the observed state of these SNPs in this normal population. A contiguous run of homozygous SNPs in tumor where these SNPs are heterozygous in the "control" suggests LOH in the region spanning the SNPs. Hence, no germ-line DNA data from cases was used for this second analysis. We refer to this LOH as "cLOH" to distinguish it from our more traditional analysis approach using paired normal and tumor samples and to indicate that it utilized a common control pool of normal DNA generated by CNAT. The threshold for statistical significance used in CNAT was $P \leq 10^{-6}$ as recommended by Affymetrix [27].

We combined the LOH results from a cluster of SNPs in a genetic locus to define a deletion region. We defined these deletion regions in several ways to permit comparison with the existing scientific literature as well as to make comparisons within our own study using different refer-
ence groups as noted above. We first used a definition that permitted comparisons with most of the existing published literature. We constrained the SNPs we considered here to require that: (i) SNPs have a call in $\geq 50\%$ of the normal DNA samples; (ii) there be a minimum of three informative (heterozygous) normal samples; and (iii) the SNPs be mappable to NCBI Build 35.1. Non-random allelic loss was defined as LOH frequency $\geq 50\%$ at a given locus, while random allelic loss represented LOH frequency <50% at a locus. Using these constraints for the SNPs evaluated, we defined deletion regions very conservatively by requiring that the deletion regions have five or more contiguous SNPs which showed $\geq 75\%$ LOH. Uninformative SNPs in the regions of LOH were excluded from consideration in this analysis. Thus, a region of LOH separated from a second region of LOH by only uninformative SNPs would be combined into one large LOH region. We labeled this conservative, traditional approach "LOH/Model A".

The second approach we took (labeled "LOH/Model B") was very similar to LOH/Model A in that we used the same constraints on the SNPs noted above, but we were less conservative in our requirement for the percent of the deletion region which showed LOH – only $\geq 50\%$ LOH frequency (instead of $\geq 75\%$) among the SNPs was required to be classified as a deletion region. To enable comparability with data from the cLOH approach

| Chr arm | No. CNA loss regions in each chr arm | Cytoband(s) | Total no. SNPs in each chr arm |
|---------|-------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|
| 1p      | 1                                   | 1p13.3      | 5                           |
| 3p      | 3                                   | 3p24        | 5                           |
| 4q      | 1                                   | 4q28        | 5                           |
| 5q      | 2                                   | 5q14-q15    | 23                          |
| 9p      | 1                                   | 9p21.3      | 8                           |
| 10p     | 1                                   | 10p12-p12.1 | 7                           |
| 11p     | 1                                   | 11p15.5     | 5                           |
| 11q     | 2                                   | 11q21 (2)   | 10                          |
| 13q     | 2                                   | 13q12.2-q12 13q14 | 14 |
| 18q     | 1                                   | 18q22       | 6                           |
| **Total no. loss regions** | **15** | | **Total no. SNPs** | **102** |

| Chr arm | No. CNA gain regions in each chr arm | Cytoband(s) | Total no. SNPs in each chr arm |
|---------|-------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|
| 1p      | 1                                   | 1p36.13     | 5                           |
| 1q      | 1                                   | 1q21.3-1q21 | 8                           |
| 2p      | 1                                   | 2p14        | 6                           |
| 2q      | 2                                   | 2q11.2-2q21 | 15                          |
| 3q      | 8                                   | 3q21-q22    | 69                          |
| 5p      | 2                                   | 5p15.2 (2)  | 14                          |
| 5q      | 1                                   | 5q11.1.2    | 5                           |
| 6p      | 1                                   | 6p25.3-p24  | 8                           |
| 7p      | 3                                   | 7p14 (2) 7p12 | 24                         |
| 7q      | 1                                   | 7q11.21-q11.22 | 5                        |
| 8q      | 7                                   | 8q24.2 (2) 8q24.1 (3) 8q22 8q11.2 | 66                  |
| 9q      | 1                                   | 9q31-q31.3  | 7                           |
| 14q     | 2                                   | 14q21-q22 14q22-q23 | 16          |
| 17p     | 1                                   | 17p13.1-p13.2 | 7                         |
| 18p     | 1                                   | 18p11.1.1  | 8                           |
| 20p     | 1                                   | 20p11.1-q11.1 | 13                    |
| 22q     | 2                                   | 22q12.1 22q13.1 | 11                   |
| **Total no. gain regions** | **36** | | **Total no. SNPs** | **287** |
described above, we also adopted different guidance regarding how we treated homozygous SNPs in these putative LOH regions defined by the traditional approach. In CNAT, a contiguous track of homozygous SNPs are required to designate a region as having LOH. When a homozygous SNP is located between two SNPs where one or both of the adjacent SNPs showed retention of heterozygosity, the homozygous SNP was considered retained. Otherwise, it was treated as LOH.

We also developed two models using exclusively data from the cLOH approach with the CNAT-generated pooled controls. The first used the conservative definition described above for LOH/Model A of a ≥ 75% LOH requirement to declare a deletion region, and also treated homozygous SNPs in deletion regions in accord with the CNAT algorithm described above; we termed this “cLOH/Model A”. Although the level of LOH required is the same for LOH/Model A and cLOH/Model A, direct comparisons between them are not possible because of the different algorithms used to treat uninformative SNPs in deletion regions. The second approach loosened the LOH requirement to ≥ 50% to declare a deletion region (as with LOH/Model B above), also used the CNAT algorithm for homozygous SNP calls in deletion regions, and was termed “cLOH/Model B”.

Individual SNP copy numbers and chromosomal regions with gains or losses were also determined by evaluation with CNAT based on the SNP hybridization signal intensity data from the experimental sample relative to intensity distributions derived from the previously described reference set containing over 100 normal individuals [27]. P-values were log_{10}-transformed and plotted along the corresponding chromosome; values were considered significant at $P \leq 10^{-6}$.

We further defined CNA-gain regions as regions where five or more contiguous SNPs showed copy number gain in at least 50% of cases, and the $P$-value for the difference from the reference was $\leq 10^{-6}$. Similarly, CNA-loss regions were defined as regions where five or more contiguous SNPs showed copy number loss in at least 50% of cases, and the $P$-value for the difference from the reference was $\leq 10^{-6}$.

**Abbreviations**

SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism; ESCC, esophageal squamous cell carcinoma; LOH, loss of heterozygosity; CNA, copy number alteration; LCM, laser-capture microdissection; CNAT, copy number analysis tool; GCOS, GeneChip Operating System; GDAS, GeneChip DNA Analysis Software; cLOH, LOH determined by comparing patient tumor DNA with common control pool of DNA; NCBI, National Center for Biotechnology Information

**Authors’ contributions**

NH conceived of study, oversaw laboratory and statistical analysis, and drafted the manuscript. CW, NL, and HS performed the laboratory analyses and conducted initial statistical analyses. YH and HHY directed final statistical analyses. L-HK and Q-HW oversaw the data and sample collection procedures for the study. AMG conceived of the study, participated in statistical analyses, and revised the manuscript. KHB provided general statistical and scientific guidance for the study. ME-B conceived of the study, aided in interpretation of the data, and revised the manuscript. PRT conceived of the study, oversaw laboratory and statistical analyses, revised the manuscript, and obtained funding. MPL conceived of the study, oversaw statistical analyses, and revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

**Additional material**

**Additional File 1**

Additional tables. Additional tables show more details of deletion regions and copy number alteration loss and gain regions. ADDITIONAL TABLE 1. Deletion regions from the less conservative “LOH/Model B” (detailed). ADDITIONAL TABLE 2. Deletion regions from the less conservative “cLOH/Model B” (detailed). ADDITIONAL TABLE 3. Copy number alteration loss regions from CNAT (detailed). ADDITIONAL TABLE 4. Copy number alteration gain regions from CNAT (detailed). Click here for file [http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2164-7-299-S1.doc]
Acknowledgements
This research was supported by the Intramural Research Program of the NIH, the National Cancer Institute, the Center for Cancer Research, and the Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics.

References
1. Maris JM, Hii G, Gelfand CA, Varde S, White PS, Rappaport E, Surrey S, Fortina P. Region-specific detection of neuroblastoma loss of heterozygosity at multiple loci simultaneously using a high-density single-nucleotide polymorphism array platform. *Genome Res* 2005, 15:1168-1176.
2. Phillips MS, Lawrence R, Sachidanandam R, Morris AP. Balding DJ. Donaldson MA, Studebaker JB, Ankener WM, Alfisi SV, Kuo FS, Camisa AL, Prazor V, Scott KE, Carey BJ, Faith J, Katsari G, Bhatti HA, Cyt JM, Derohanessian V, Biosau C, Forman AM, Greczo NM, Hook CR, Kuebler JM, Lathrop JA, MacKler MA, Nachman EP, Restine SL, Varde SA, Hozza MJ, Gelfand CA, Broxholme J, Abecasis GR, Boyce-Jacino MT, Cardon LR. Chromosome-wide distribution of haplotype blocks and the role of recombination hotspots. *Nat Genet* 2007, 39:382-387.
3. Zhao X, Li C, Peng J, Chen K, Janne PA, Chen TH, Minna JD, Christiani DC. Allelic imbalance analysis by high-density single-nucleotide polymorphic allele (SNP) array and clustering analysis of loss of heterozygosity in human lung cancer cell lines. *Oncogene* 2004, 23:1719-1726.
4. Wang KK, Tsang YT, Shen J, Cheng RS, Chang YM, Man TK, Lau CC. Allelic imbalance analysis by high-density single-nucleotide polymorphic allele (SNP) array with whole genome amplified DNA. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2004, 32:e69.
5. Lander ES, Allison R, Antoon D, Dyer MJ, Jonsson V, Langerak AW, Mauro FR, Fuller S, Wille J, Lyttelton M, Callis Y, Yuille M, Catovsky D, Houlston RS. A high-density SNP genomewide linkage scan for chronic lymphocytic leukemia-susceptibility loci. *Am J Hum Genet* 2005, 77:420-429.
6. Lauer BA, Giffen C, Goldstein AM, Tingley D, Hoyme H, Williams J, Kulp D, Paton R, Trakhanov S, Santana C, Lo HS, Hu N, Gere S, Lu S, Liu W, Wang QH, Goldstein AM, Taylor PR, Lee MP. Identification of somatic mutations of the RNF6 gene in human esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *Cancer Res* 2002, 62:4191-4193.
7. Lauer BA, Giffen C, Goldstein AM, Hu Y, Wang C, Roth MJ, Li G, Dawsey SM, Xu Y, Taylor PR, Emmert-Buck MR. Allelotype of esophageal squamous-cell carcinoma on chromosome 13 defines deletions related to family history. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer* 2005, 44:271-278.
8. Lauer BA, Giffen C, Goldstein AM, Hu Y, Wang C, Roth MJ, Li G, Dawsey SM, Xu Y, Taylor PR, Emmert-Buck MR. Detectors. *Cancer Res* 2006, 66:2494-2500.