Hydrogenolysis of C–O Chemical Bonds of Broad Scope Mediated by a New Spherical Sol–Gel Catalyst
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The new spherical sol–gel hybrid material SiliaCat Pd® selectively mediates the hydrogenolysis of aromatic alcohols, aldehydes, and ketones by using an ultralow catalytic amount (0.1 mol% Pd) under mild reaction conditions. The broad reaction scope as well as the catalyst’s superior activity and pronounced stability open the route to green and convenient reductive deoxygenation processes of primary synthetic relevance in chemical research as well as in the fine chemical and petrochemical industries.

1. Introduction

The hydrogenolysis of C–O and C–N chemical bonds in alcohol, ester, and carbonyl compounds over supported metal nanoparticles (NPs) with H2 is an important reaction used in synthetic organic chemistry and in manufacturing active pharmaceutical ingredients,[1] as well as in biomass conversion in the emerging biorefinery to cleave C–OH bonds with hydrogen to access valuable platform chemicals.[2] Numerous solid catalysts are available to selectively mediate the scission of C–O bonds with H2 including supported Ni,[3] PtO2[4] (Adams catalyst), Rh and Ir[5] Cu[6] (copper chromite), and Pd catalysts.[7] The reaction is usually carried out under hydrogen pressure at relatively high temperature (usually above 150 °C and often above 200 °C), promoting NP aggregation. Hence, intense research efforts are being devoted to finding catalysts of stable performance, for example, through encapsulation of Cu[8] Ni,[9–11] and Pd[12] NPs in the inner porosity of porous oxides. However, as put by Samec and co-workers in 2013, when reporting on the Pd/C-catalyzed transfer hydrogenolysis of primary, secondary, and tertiary benzylic alcohols by formic acid,[13] the hydrogenolysis of benzylic alcohols has been studied to a lesser extent, with the noticeable exception of Yang and co-workers[14] who reported a clean and simple method (and proposed a reaction mechanism based on Pd2 formation) for the reductive deoxygenation of aromatic ketones and benzylic alcohols using palladium chloride (PdCl2) as the catalyst, and polymethylhydroxiloxane as the hydride source. Starting from conventional palladium on activated charcoal (Pd/C), numerous solid palladium catalysts are available to selectively mediate hydrogenolysis reactions under mild or very mild conditions. However, even though there is broader utilization of said catalysts using valued palladium, it requires that high product yields are achieved by using low catalyst amounts while minimizing leaching1 of the valued palladium. This is particularly important in light of forthcoming wide utilization of reactions under flow conditions for active pharmaceutical ingredient (API) manufacturing, in which long-term stability of the catalyst is an essential requirement.[15]

SiliaCat Pd®, namely a hybrid organically modified silica (ORMOSIL) glass encapsulating palladium NPs, which combines high porosity with organic functionality,[16, 17] is a hydrogenation catalyst that selectively mediates a number of important reactions including the highly selective debenzylation of aryl benzyl ethers, benzyl esters, and benzyl amines,[18] and the hydrogenation of functionalized nitroarenes,[19] olefins,[20] vegetable oils,[21] and squalene.[22] More recently, we reported that the

1. Leaching values are given in mg kg–1 of API. Limit of detection: LODPdCl2 = 0.05 ppm in solution (100 mg mL–1 concentration) or 0.50 mg kg–1 in the crude product.
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solvent-free hydrogenation of the latter oil requires a catalyst with nanostructured spherical morphology.\textsuperscript{23} In this work, we report that the glassy hybrid spherical SiliaCat Pd\textsuperscript{0} material is a highly selective mediator for the hydrogenolysis of aromatic alcohols, aldehydes, and ketones under very mild conditions, with ultralow amounts of Pd leaching in the final product. We compared the performance of this new catalyst with that of several commercial catalysts including Pd/polyethyleneimine/SiO\textsubscript{2}, Pd/SiO\textsubscript{2}, and Pd/C. SiliaCat Pd\textsuperscript{0} is a recyclable catalyst and retains its ideal spherical morphology, thereby providing research laboratories and industry with a suitable economic method to make substances in high demand at low cost by using an entirely green process.

**Experimental Section**

**Materials**

All reactions were performed on a multigram scale, using anhydrous ethanol or solvents of HPLC grade. Unless otherwise noted, reagents were commercially available and used without purification. The commercial palladium catalyst 5\% Pd/C (from Sigma Aldrich), ROYER catalyst (3 wt\% Pd\textsuperscript{0}/polyethyleneimine/SiO\textsubscript{2} from Strem Chemicals) and Pd Esct 1351 (5 wt\% Pd/SiO\textsubscript{2} from Strem Chemicals) were used as received from the suppliers.

**Sample Characterization**

Physical properties of the SiliaCat Pd\textsuperscript{0} catalyst were determined by using X-ray diffraction (XRD), transmission electron microscopy (TEM), N\textsubscript{2} isotherms, \textsuperscript{29}Si MAS NMR spectroscopy, and inductively coupled plasma–optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES). The XRD analyses were performed on a Siemens D-5000 X-ray diffractometer equipped with a monochromatic CuKα radiation source (λ = 1.5418 Å). The spectra were recorded in the 2θ = 0–30° range for the undoped ORMOSIL support and 2θ = 10–90° for the SiliaCat Pd\textsuperscript{0} catalyst, in both cases at a scan speed of 1° min\textsuperscript{-1} and a step scan of 0.02°. The Powder Diffraction File of The International Centre for Diffraction Data was used to identify the diffraction peaks characteristic of crystalline Pd\textsuperscript{0} with a face centered cubic (fcc) lattice. A tube voltage of 40 kV and a current of 100 mA were used for scanning. The TEM images were taken by using a JEOL-2010 microscope equipped with a LaB6 electron gun source operated at 200 kV. Nitrogen adsorption and desorption isotherms were measured at 77 K by using a Micrometrics TriStar II 3020 system. The resulting data were analyzed with the TriStar II 3020 version 3.02 software. Barrett–Joyner–Halenda (BJH) desorption branches were used to calculate the pore-size distribution. Solid-state \textsuperscript{29}Si NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance spectrometer (Milton, ON, Canada) at a silicon frequency of 79.5 MHz. The sample was spun at 8 kHz at magic angle at room temperature in a 4 mm ZrO\textsubscript{2} rotor. A Hahn echo sequence synchronized with the spinning speed was used while applying a TOMPPI15 composite pulse decoupling during acquisition. A total of 2400 acquisitions were recorded with a recycling delay of 30 s. The leaching of Pd and Si was assessed by ICP-OES analysis of the crude product in DMF (concentration 100 mg mL\textsuperscript{-1}, using a PerkinElmer Optima 2100 DV system. Values measured are reported as milligrams of Pd and as milligrams of Si per kilogram of crude product.

**General Procedure for the Catalytic Hydrogenolysis of Aromatic Alcohols, Aldehyde and Ketones over SiliaCat Pd\textsuperscript{0}**

Multigram hydrogenolysis reactions of aromatic alcohols, aldehyde, and ketones were carried out in an Ace Glass 6437 system equipped with a batch glass reactor, under a maximum pressure of 3 bar H\textsubscript{2} at 70–100 °C. The 500 mL glass reactor was charged with 250 mL reagent solution (375 mmol reagent) and 1.5 g SiliaCat Pd\textsuperscript{0} for 0.1 mol\% Pd. Mechanical stirring was then set at 800 rpm and the reaction mixture degassed five times, replacing three times the vacuum by Ar, and twice Ar by H\textsubscript{2} after which the desired hydrogen pressure was set and kept at 3 bar. The reaction temperature was then raised from 22 °C to the desired reaction temperature and kept at this temperature for several hours until maximum conversion, after which the reaction mixture was cooled to 20 °C. The solid catalyst recovered by filtration was washed, dried, and stored prior to reuse. The isolated yield was assessed by simple isolation of product by rotary evaporator distillation at 20 °C. Thus-obtained products are generally very pure and no further chromatographic purification required.

GC-MS analyses were performed by using a 7890B GC System (Agilent Technologies) equipped with a HP-5MS 30 m capillary column (0.25 mm inner diameter and 0.25 μm film thickness) and with a mass spectrometer 5977B mass-selective detector operated in electron impact ionization mode (70 eV). GC-MS analyses were carried out in split mode, using helium as the carrier gas (1 mL min\textsuperscript{-1} flow rate). The injection temperature was 250 °C, the interface was set at 325 °C, and the ion source was adjusted to 230 °C. The column was maintained at an initial temperature of 50 °C for 4.5 min, and then ramped to 325 °C at 100 °C min\textsuperscript{-1}, where it was maintained for 5 min. Mass spectra were recorded at 5.5 scans s\textsuperscript{-1} (m/z 50–550). The identification of the compounds was based on comparison of their retention times with those of authentic samples, and on comparison of their El mass spectra with the NIST/NBS, Wiley library spectra, and the literature.

**2. Results and Discussion**

SiliaCat Pd\textsuperscript{0} is a heterogeneous catalyst obtained through the alcohol-free sol–gel polycondensation of alkoxysilanes such as methyltrimethoxysilane (MTES).\textsuperscript{24} The catalyst, now available in spherical morphology (Figure 1), is comprised of catalytically active Pd NPs encapsulated within the spherical mesoporous ORMOSIL matrix.

The presence of highly dispersed palladium NPs (ca. 2–4 nm) entrapped within the inner porosity of the lipophilic organosilica matrix\textsuperscript{25} was clearly revealed by TEM analysis before and after scale-up catalyst synthesis (Table 1, Figures 2a and 2b). The crystalline nature of the active nanophas is evident from the XRD pattern of the catalyst powder (Figure 3), characteristic of the fcc structure of metallic Pd.

The peaks at 2θ = 40° correspond to the most intense diffraction line of the (111) plane of metallic palladium. Furthermore, the peaks corresponding to diffraction lines relative to the (200) and (220) planes of Pd\textsuperscript{0} were detected only after scale-up at 1.6 kg, when a weak agglomeration of palladium NPs from 2.1 to 3.5 nm was observed (Figure 3B–C). The amorphous nature of 100% MeSiO\textsubscript{2} used as an encapsulating matrix was confirmed by the characteristic wide XRD diffrac-
The type IV N\textsubscript{2} adsorption–desorption isotherms of both materials are typical of mesoporous materials.\textsuperscript{[26]} The organosilica support (Figure 4, left) presents a large BET surface area (> 800 m\textsuperscript{2}g\textsuperscript{-1}) and narrow pore-size distribution (6.0 nm) of mesopores capable of adsorbing a large volume of cryogenic nitrogen (> 1.1 cm\textsuperscript{3}g\textsuperscript{-1}). On the other hand, the SiliaCat Pd\textsuperscript{0} catalyst (Figure 4, right) has a lower BET surface area (average 400 m\textsuperscript{2}g\textsuperscript{-1}) and a broader pore-size distribution centered at 8.4 nm.

Table 1. TEM and XRD NP size in the SiliaCat Pd\textsuperscript{0} catalyst used in present study.

| Material                  | Pd loading [wt %] | Scale-up level [kg] | NP size [nm] |
|---------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| SiliaCat Pd\textsuperscript{0} (Pd\textsuperscript{0}/MeSiO\textsubscript{1.5}) | 2.5               | 0.1                 | ca. 2.1      |
|                           |                   | 1.6                 | ca. 3.5      |
| MeSiO\textsubscript{1.5}  |                   |                     |              |

Table 2. Textural properties of the SiliaCat Pd\textsuperscript{0} catalyst and blank support MeSiO\textsubscript{1.5}.

| Material            | Pd loading [wt %] | BET surface area [m\textsuperscript{2}/g] | Pore volume [cm\textsuperscript{3}/g] | Pore size [nm] |
|---------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------|
| MeSiO\textsubscript{1.5} |                   |                                          |                                      |                |
| SiliaCat Pd\textsuperscript{0} | 2.5            | 865                                      | 1.15                                 | 5.3            |

The presence of any signal at −40 ppm related to ethoxy groups derived from non-hydrolyzed MTES, and the presence of signals only at −56 ppm [T\textsuperscript{3}, MeSi(OSi)\textsubscript{3}OH] and −66 ppm [T\textsuperscript{4}, MeSi(OSi)\textsubscript{4}], corresponds to almost complete hydrolysis of the MTES organosiloxane precursor.\textsuperscript{[28]}

For this study, benzyl alcohol (BA) was examined as a model compound carrying out the hydrogenolysis reaction at 30–70 °C and 3 bar hydrogen pressure. Other BA derivatives such as α-methylbenzyl alcohol (MBA), an intermediate in the production of propylene oxide by the ethylbenzene hydroperoxide-oxidation process, were tested as well. In this process, ethylbenzene is peroxidized to ethylbenzene hydroperoxide (EBHP) in the first step,\textsuperscript{[29]} and then propylene oxide is obtained by the epoxidation of propylene with EBHP. During the epoxidation step, EBHP turns into α-MBA, which can be further hydrogenolysed to ethylbenzene. The hydrogenolysis of MBA is the key step to achieve the recycling process.

### 2.1. Effect of Solvent

A series of hydrogenolysis reactions were performed to identify the optimum molar concentration of BA solution in MeOH. The molar concentration of BA in the reaction volume (250 mL) was thus increased from 0.4 to 4 m by charging the 500 mL reactor with 250 mL BA solution from 0.4 m (10.81 g, 100 mmol) to 4.0 m (108.14 g, 1000 mmol) and 0.1 mol % Pd of SiliaCat Pd\textsuperscript{0}. Table 3 shows the outcomes of the hydrogenolysis under

Table 3. Effect of BA concentration in methanol solution in the hydrogenolysis over SiliaCat Pd\textsuperscript{0}.\textsuperscript{[a]}

| Entry | Benzyl alcohol [m] | Time [h] | Toluene yield [%] |
|-------|--------------------|----------|-------------------|
| 1     | 0.4                | 1.0      | 95                |
| 2     | 0.7                | 1.0      | 98                |
| 3     | 1.0                | 1.0      | 97                |
| 4     | 1.5                | 0.5      | 98                |
| 5     | 2.0                | 0.5      | 97                |
| 6     | 2.5                | 0.5      | 97                |
| 7     | 3.0                | 1.0      | 65                |
| 8     | 4.0                | 3.0      | 77                |

[a] Experimental conditions: 0.1 mol % Pd of SiliaCat Pd\textsuperscript{0} under 3 bar H\textsubscript{2}, 70 °C. [b] Toluene yield evaluated by GC-MS analysis.
3 bar \( \text{H}_2 \) pressure at 70°C, over SiliaCat \( \text{Pd}^0 \) at 0.1 mol% Pd loading (molar ratio of palladium/BA).

Entries 1–2 show that, for both 0.4 and for 0.7 M BA solutions, full conversion to toluene was obtained after 2 h, with almost complete conversion (95–98%) after 1 h only. By increasing the concentration of the substrate solution to 1.0 M and then to 1.5 M, a total conversion to toluene was achieved after 1 h (entries 3 and 4). Further increase of the concentra-

---

**Figure 2.** a) SEM image (A), TEM images (B–D), and palladium particle-size distribution histogram of SiliaCat \( \text{Pd}^0 \) catalyst (0.1 kg scale-up). b) TEM images and palladium particle-size distribution histogram of SiliaCat \( \text{Pd}^0 \) catalyst (1.6 kg scale-up).

**Figure 3.** Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of MeSiO\(_{1.5}\) blank material (A) and \( \text{Pd}^0 \) NP-loaded MeSiO\(_{1.5}\) organosilica catalyst SiliaCat \( \text{Pd}^0 \): 0.1 kg scale-up (B); 1.6 kg scale-up (C).
tion from 3 to 4 h required to extend the reaction time to 3 and 6 h, respectively (entries 5–8).

The GC-MS analysis showed that, in cases in which conversion of BA to toluene was incomplete, a large number of intermediary products of BA such as benzyl methyl ether, benzaldehyde, benzaldehyde dimethyl acetal, and benzyl ether were present in the reaction mixture (Figure 6). However, these intermediary products were completely converted in the final product and no more additional purification is required.

BA solution with a concentration of 1.5 M was used to test other solvents including HPLC grade ethanol, tetrahydrofuran, heptanes, and ethyl acetate (Table 4). The reactions were run again over 0.1 mol % Pd SiliaCat Pd0 at 70 °C under 3 bar H2 pressure and performed in a 375 mmol BA scale. The 500 mL reactor was charged with 250 mL BA solution (1.5 M; 38.84 mL

Figure 4. N2-adsorption and desorption isotherms and BJH desorption pore-size distribution of the amorphous MeSiO1.5 support (left) and of the Pd/MeSiO1.5 NP organosilica catalyst SiliaCat Pd0 (right).

Figure 5. 29Si MAS NMR spectra of the blank support MeSiO1.5 (left) and of SiliaCat Pd0 (right).

Figure 6. Relative concentration of different intermediates formed during the hydrogenolysis reaction of BA in methanol (3 M) over 0.1 mol % Pd of SiliaCat Pd0 versus time.
of BA in 211.16 mL solvent) and 0.1 mol% Pd (1.56 g SiliaCat Pd3). The variety of solvents ranging in polarity from heptane to EtOH worked well in the Pd-catalyzed hydrogenolysis of BA with quantitative conversion to toluene within 0.5–1 h, except for reaction in EtOAc with complete conversion in only 2 h (entry 5).

2.2. Effect of Reaction Temperature

The effects of reaction temperature on the catalytic activity of SiliaCat Pd3 are shown in Figure 7, in which toluene yield is plotted against the reaction temperature. Reactions were performed over 0.1 mol% Pd of SiliaCat Pd3 using a 1.5 M BA solution in methanol. As expected, the reaction rate increases with increasing reaction temperature. After 1 h reaction, the conversion of BA to toluene increases from 36% at 30 °C to 100% at 70 °C. The reaction temperature should, therefore, be higher than 50 °C in order to obtain a high substrate conversion in short reaction time.

![Figure 7. Effect of reaction temperature on the hydrogenolysis of BA to toluene in methanol over 0.1 mol% Pd of SiliaCatPd0.](image)

### Table 4. Hydrogenolysis of BA to toluene in different solvents.[a]

| Entry | Solvent | Benzyl alcohol [mol] | Time [h] | Toluene yield [%] |
|-------|---------|----------------------|----------|------------------|
| 1     | MeOH    | 1.5                  | 0.5      | 97               |
| 2     | EtOH    | 1.5                  | 1.0      | 99               |
| 3     | THF     | 1.5                  | 0.5      | 99               |
| 4     | heptane | 1.5                  | 0.5      | 97               |
| 5(a)  | AcOEt   | 1.5                  | 0.5      | 97               |

[a] Experimental conditions: 0.1 mol% Pd of SiliaCat Pd3; 3 bar H2; 70 °C.
[b] Toluene yield evaluated by GC-MS.
[c] Anhydrous ethanol was used.
[d] Ethylcyclohexane (2%).

2.3. Effect of Reaction Catalyst Loading

By using the conditions developed in entry 1 of Table 4 (375 mmol BA in 250 mL MeOH solution, 1.5 M), the amount of SiliaCat Pd3 spherical catalyst was decreased from 0.2 to 0.025 mol% Pd to assess its catalytic activity. Again, the 500 mL reactor was charged with 250 mL of 1.5 M BA methanol solution (375 mmol BA) and solid SiliaCat Pd3 catalyst (0.24 mmol g⁻¹ Pd loading), varying the amount from 0.2 mol% Pd to 0.025 mol% Pd.

Entry 1 in Table 5 shows that the hydrogenolysis of BA over 0.2 mol% Pd proceeds with full conversion to toluene after 15 min only. At lower palladium loading (0.1 mol% Pd), the conversion of BA to toluene was complete after 1 h (entry 2).

Further, decreasing the palladium reaction loading to 0.05 and to 0.025 mol%, the substrate conversion to toluene was complete after 2 and after 6 h, respectively.

### Table 5. Effect of catalyst concentration in hydrogenolysis of BA over SiliaCat Pd3.[a]

| Entry | SiliaCat Pd3 loading [mol% Pd][b] | Time [h] | Toluene yield [%] |
|-------|----------------------------------|----------|------------------|
| 1     | 0.2                              | 0.25     | 100              |
| 2     | 0.1                              | 0.5      | 97               |
| 3     | 0.05                             | 0.5      | 56               |
| 4     | 0.025                            | 2.0      | 51               |

[a] Experimental conditions: 3 bar H2; T = 70 °C.
[b] Mol ratio of palladium/BA.
[c] Mass ratio of catalyst/BA.
[d] Toluene yield evaluated by GC-MS analysis.

2.4. Reaction Scope

A variety of benzylic alcohols and different primary benzylic alcohols containing different functionalities were investigated in the hydrogenolysis reaction (Table 6). SiliaCat Pd3 was thus tested in multigram reactions under 3 bar H2 pressure in methanol (or ethanol) over 0.1 mol% Pd. The yields were evaluated by product isolation or by GC-MS.

The optimized reaction conditions in MeOH at 70 °C developed in Table 4, entry 1, were first applied to hydrogenolysis of a variety of primary (entries 1–8 in Table 5), secondary (entries 6–14), and tertiary benzylic alcohols (entries 15–19) to their corresponding hydrocarbons. Once again, the 500 mL reactor was charged with 250 mL of 1.5 M substrate solution in methanol or in anhydrous ethanol (375 mmol substrate) using the SiliaCat Pd3 spherical catalyst of 0.24 mmol g⁻¹ of palladium loading. In these conditions, BA (1) was quantitatively reduced to 2 within 1 h (entry 1). Substitution of the phenyl group in the para position by electron-donating groups under the same reaction conditions gave the corresponding hydrocarbons in lower yields (entries 2–6 in Table 5). To increase the yield in 4-
methoxy toluene (4), the reactions were carried out in ethanol at 80 °C using 0.1 mol% Pd (entry 3) or 0.2 mol% Pd with quantitative conversion within 3 h (entry 4). Dissolved in ethanol at 80 °C over 0.1 mol% Pd of SilicaCat Pd0 substrate, 4-nitro-BA (5) was quantitatively reduced to 4-aminotoluene (6) in 61% yield and to 4-aminobenzyl alcohol in 39% yield within 5 h (entry 5). However, the conversion to 4-aminotoluene hydrocarbon over 0.2 mol% Pd of SilicaCat Pd0 was quantitative after 3 h (entry 6). Entries 7 and 8 show that substitution of the phenyl group in the para position by an electron-withdrawing group gave the corresponding hydrocarbon in very poor yield.

### Table 6. Hydrogenolysis of different alcohol substrates over SilicaCat Pd0

| Entry | Benzylic alcohol | Solvent | T [°C] | Product | t [h] | Alcohol conversion [%] | Hydrocarbon yield [%] | Isolated yield (%) |
|-------|------------------|---------|--------|---------|------|------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| 1     | ![Image](image1) | MeOH    | 70     | ![Image](image2) | 0.5  | 100                    | 97                   | –                 |
|       |                  |         |        |         | 1    | 100                    | 100                  | 95                |
| 2[i]  | ![Image](image3) | MeOH    | 70     | ![Image](image4) | 1    | 32                     | 25                   | –                 |
| 3[i]  | ![Image](image5) | EtOH    | 80     | ![Image](image6) | 3    | 55                     | 43                   | –                 |
| 4[i]  | ![Image](image7) | EtOH    | 80     | ![Image](image8) | 5    | 74                     | 51                   | –                 |
| 5[i]  | ![Image](image9) | EtOH    | 80     | ![Image](image10) | 1    | 42                     | 40                   | –                 |
| 6[i]  | ![Image](image11) | EtOH    | 80     | ![Image](image12) | 3    | 64                     | 63                   | –                 |
| 7     | ![Image](image13) | MeOH    | 70     | ![Image](image14) | 5    | 79                     | 77                   | –                 |
| 8[i]  | ![Image](image15) | EtOH    | 80     | ![Image](image16) | 1    | 83                     | 79                   | –                 |
| 9     | ![Image](image17) | MeOH    | 70     | ![Image](image18) | 3    | 100                    | 98                   | 96                |
| 10[i] | ![Image](image19) | EtOH    | 80     | ![Image](image20) | 3    | 100                    | 97                   | 95                |
| 11    | ![Image](image21) | MeOH    | 70     | ![Image](image22) | 3    | 91                     | 85                   | –                 |
| 12[i] | ![Image](image23) | EtOH    | 80     | ![Image](image24) | 5    | 98                     | 91                   | –                 |
| 13    | ![Image](image25) | MeOH    | 70     | ![Image](image26) | 1    | 70                     | 67                   | –                 |
| 14[i] | ![Image](image27) | EtOH    | 80     | ![Image](image28) | 5    | 94                     | 85                   | –                 |
| 15[i] | ![Image](image29) | MeOH    | 70     | ![Image](image30) | 3    | 91                     | 84                   | 94                |
| 16[i] | ![Image](image31) | EtOH    | 80     | ![Image](image32) | 1    | 84                     | 82                   | –                 |
| 17[i] | ![Image](image33) | EtOH[i] | 80     | ![Image](image34) | 2    | 97                     | 92                   | –                 |
| 18[i] | ![Image](image35) | EtOH[i] | 80     | ![Image](image36) | 3    | 100                    | 96                   | 94                |
| 19    | ![Image](image37) | THF[k]  | 80     | ![Image](image38) | 1    | 31                     | 31                   | –                 |
|       |                  |         |        |         | 3    | 48                     | 47                   | –                 |
|       |                  |         |        |         | 5    | 59                     | 58                   | –                 |
|       |                  |         |        |         | 1    | 40                     | 40                   | –                 |
|       |                  |         |        |         | 3    | 67                     | 66                   | –                 |
|       |                  |         |        |         | 5    | 91                     | 84                   | –                 |
|       |                  |         |        |         | 1    | 76                     | 73                   | –                 |
|       |                  |         |        |         | 2    | 100                    | 96                   | 94                |
|       |                  |         |        |         | 1    | 98                     | 95                   | –                 |
|       |                  |         |        |         | 2    | 100                    | 98                   | 96                |
|       |                  |         |        |         | 1    | 75                     | 31                   | –                 |
|       |                  |         |        |         | 3    | 78                     | 37                   | –                 |
|       |                  |         |        |         | 5    | 82                     | 40                   | –                 |
|       |                  |         |        |         | 3    | 100                    | 55                   | –                 |
|       |                  |         |        |         | 5    | 100                    | 87                   | –                 |

[a] Experimental conditions: 3 bar H2, 70–80 °C, catalyst loading = 0.1 mol% Pd. [b] Evaluated by GC-MS. [c] Intermediates observed: 4-methoxybenzyl methyl ether. [d] 0.2 mol% Pd. [e] 4-Aminobenzyl alcohol in 39% yield. [f] Ethylcyclohexane (3%). [g] Propylcyclohexane (4%). [h] Trace of (cyclohexylmethyl)benzene. [i] Presence of isopropylcyclohexane. [j] Intermediates observed: 1,1-diphenylethyl ethyl ether. [k] Molar concentration of 1,1-diphenylethanol solution in anhydrous ethanol or in THF = 1.0 M.
Different secondary benzylic alcohols were then tested. α-MBA (9) in methanol was reduced to the ethylbenzene (10) in 91% yield within 5 h at 70 °C (entry 9) and quantitatively within 2 h in ethanol at 80 °C (entry 10). Substitution of the methyl group in the α-MBA by an ethyl group in 1-phenyl-1-propanol (11) or by a phenyl group in diphenylmethanol (13) gave the corresponding hydrocarbons in lower yield.

Dissolved in methanol, 1-phenyl-1-propanol (11) was reduced to propylbenzene (12) in 85% yield within 5 h (entry 11) and quantitatively within 3 h when dissolved in ethanol (entry 12). Diphenylmethanol (13) dissolved in methanol at 80 °C was reduced to diphenylmethane (14) in 58% yield within 5 h (entry 13) and quantitatively within the same reaction time by carrying out the reaction in ethanol (entry 14). Overall, as expected, these results indicate that the reactivity strongly depends on the aromatic alcohol structure, with the activity of the sol–gel SilicaCat Pd catalyst changing in the order: BA (1) > α-methylbenzyl alcohol (9) > 1-phenyl-1-propanol (11) > diphenylmethanol (13).

The steric influence observed on the activity of Pd/MeSiO catalyst can be explained by a mechanism in which the aromatic ring of alcohols is first adsorbed on the Pd nanocrystal surface with the C–O bond lying in close proximity to catalytically active Pd atoms subject to the steric hindrance of the alcohol substrates. Similarly to what happens for the aromatic alcohol hydrogenolysis reaction over Pd/SiO, and Pd/TiO catalysts, the easier reduction of primary (1) versus secondary alcohol substrates (9 or 11) suggests that, for the unhindered BA (1), associative and dissociative hydrogenation mechanisms occur, whereas the reduction mechanism for secondary alcohols could take place through a radical substitution of the carbon-bonded OH group by a hydrogen atom from the metal surface.

Similar results were obtained when tertiary benzylic alcohols were tested in the hydrogenolysis reaction. Substrate 2-phenyl-2-propanol (15) dissolved in methanol at 70 °C was reduced quantitatively to isopropylbenzene (16) within 2 h, and also in ethanol at 80 °C (entries 15, 16), whereas the reduction of the more hindered 1,1-diphenylethanol (17) in ethanol at 80 °C gave the corresponding hydrocarbon 1,1-diphenylethane (18) in 40% yield within 5 h over 0.1 mol% Pd of SilicaCat Pd in 2 h (entry 17), and in 87% yield when doubling the catalytic amount to 0.2 mol% (entry 18). To avoid the generation of the 1,1-diphenyl-1-ethoxyethane intermediate identified by GC-MS, the reaction was run in tetrahydrofuran at 80 °C. In this solvent, 1,1-diphenylethane (18) was generated only in 10% yield within 5 h (entry 19). Results for bulky tertiary alcohol substrate indicate that the reactivity once again strongly depends on the aromatic alcohol structure.

2.5. Hydrogenolysis of Carbonyl Compounds

We next studied the heterogeneously catalyzed C=O cleavage reaction of both aryl-substituted aldehydes and ketones over SilicaCat Pd (Table 7). The catalytic hydrogenolysis of aromatic carbonyls to methylene compounds occurs smoothly via the formation of the intermediate BA. Once again, the 500 mL glass reactor was charged with 250 mL of 1.5 M carbonyl compound (375 mmol) solution in anhydrous solvent and 0.1 mol% Pd of SilicaCat Pd catalyst (0.24 mmol g Pd loading). In general, the obtained results show that the aromatic carbonyl compounds can readily be converted to the corresponding hydrocarbons in excellent yields over only 0.1 mol% Pd under 3 bar H2 pressure and 70–80 °C. In addition, the reactions were very clean and no chromatographic separation was required to obtain higher purity product.

The hydrogenolysis reaction of benzaldehyde (19) and acetophenone (27) were first tested in different solvents (entries 1–5 for benzaldehyde and entries 12–15 for acetophenone, in Table 7) such as methanol, ethanol, tetrahydrofuran, and heptane by using the conditions developed for BA in Table 4.

In all solvents tested, the quantitative conversions of benzaldehyde and acetophenone were observed after 1–2 h. The presence of BAs or α-MBA intermediates detected by GC-MS confirmed that the catalytic hydrogenolysis of aromatic carbonyls to hydrocarbon compounds proceeds via the formation of benzylic alcohol intermediates.

Compared to the hydrogenolysis reaction of BA, the catalytic hydrogenolysis of benzaldehyde in different solvents requires longer reaction times. In methanol at 70 °C, the conversion to toluene was quantitative within 5 h while in THF, heptanes, and ethanol the conversion was quantitative in almost 3 h (entries 2–4). A shorter reaction time of 2 h was obtained in ethanol at 80 °C (entry 5).

Substitution of the phenyl group in the para position by electron-withdrawing group gave the corresponding hydrocarbons in excellent yields when carrying out the reaction in ethanol at 80 °C over 0.1 mol% Pd catalyst. Methyl 4-formylbenzoate (20) was quantitatively reduced (99% yield) within 2 h (entry 6). However, under the same conditions, 4-carboxybenzaldehyde (22) was completely converted within 2 h in a mixture of p-toluic acid (24) and 4-(hydroxymethyl)benzoic acid (23) [Eq. (1)], and in benzyl ethyl intermediate generated by the presence of EtOH in 24, 65, and 11% yields, respectively (entry 7). When the reaction temperature was increased to 90 °C (entry 8) and further to 100 °C (entry 9), the yield in p-toluic acid after 3 h increased to 81% and to 100%, respectively [Eq. (1)]:

4-Carboxybenzaldehyde (22) is abundantly obtained in industry as a by-product in the terephthalic acid synthesis, mainly as a precursor to polyethylene terephthalate produced in very large amounts in the ninth largest industrial chemical process. Until now, the main upgrading step in re-
| Entry | Carbonyl | Solvent | T (°C) | Hydrocarbon product | t (h) | C=O conversion [%] | Hydrocarbon yield [%] | Alcohol yield [%] | Isolated yield [%] |
|-------|----------|---------|--------|---------------------|-------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 1     | MeOH     | 70      |        |                     | 1     | 97                | 27                   | 36                |                   |
|       |          |         |        |                     | 3     | 100               | 66                   | 28                |                   |
|       |          |         |        |                     | 5     | 100               | 96                   | 0                 |                   |
| 2     | THF      | 70      |        |                     | 1     | 85                | 55                   | 45                |                   |
|       |          |         |        |                     | 2     | 100               | 76                   | 24                |                   |
|       |          |         |        |                     | 3     | 100               | 97                   | 0                 | 95                |
| 3     | heptanes | 70      |        | 19                  | 1     | 100               | 86                   | 8                 |                   |
|       |          |         |        |                     | 2     | 100               | 88                   | 2                 |                   |
|       |          |         |        |                     | 3     | 100               | 91                   | 0                 |                   |
| 4     | EtOH     | 70      |        | 2                   | 1     | 97                | 45                   | 27                |                   |
|       |          |         |        |                     | 2     | 100               | 79                   | 4                 |                   |
|       |          |         |        |                     | 3     | 100               | 92                   | 0                 |                   |
| 5     | EtOH     | 80      |        |                     | 1     | 100               | 92                   | 0                 | 96                |
|       |          |         |        |                     | 2     | 100               | 98                   | 0                 | 96                |
| 6     | EtOH     | 80      |        | 20                  | 1     | 100               | 85                   | 0                 |                   |
|       |          |         |        |                     | 2     | 100               | 99                   | 0                 | 95                |
| 7     | EtOH     | 80      |        | 21                  | 1     | 96                | 17                   | 67                |                   |
|       |          |         |        |                     | 2     | 100               | 24                   | 65                |                   |
|       |          |         |        |                     | 2     | 100               | 60                   | 30                |                   |
| 8     | EtOH     | 90      |        | 22                  | 1     | 95                | 11                   | 1                 |                   |
|       |          |         |        |                     | 2     | 100               | 95                   | 5                 |                   |
|       |          |         |        |                     | 3     | 100               | 100                  | 0                 | 99                |
| 9     | EtOH     | 100     |        | 23                  | 2     | 91                | 30                   | 25                |                   |
|       |          |         |        |                     | 3     | 100               | 34                   | 28                |                   |
|       |          |         |        |                     | 4     | 100               | 48                   | 11                |                   |
| 10    | EtOH     | 80      |        | 24                  | 2     | 99                | 48                   | 11                |                   |
|       |          |         |        |                     | 4     | 100               | 62                   | 3                 |                   |
| 11    | EtOH     | 80      |        | 25                  | 1     | 100               | 47                   | 41                |                   |
|       |          |         |        |                     | 3     | 100               | 82                   | 10                |                   |
|       |          |         |        |                     | 5     | 100               | 96                   | 0                 |                   |
| 12    | MeOH     | 70      |        | 26                  | 1     | 100               | 37                   | 63                |                   |
|       |          |         |        |                     | 3     | 100               | 90                   | 10                |                   |
|       |          |         |        |                     | 5     | 100               | 92                   | 5                 |                   |
| 13    | THF      | 70      |        | 27                  | 1     | 100               | 34                   | 33                |                   |
|       |          |         |        |                     | 3     | 100               | 76                   | 24                |                   |
|       |          |         |        |                     | 5     | 100               | 91                   | 9                 |                   |
| 14    | heptanes | 70      |        | 28                  | 1     | 100               | 69                   | 31                |                   |
|       |          |         |        |                     | 2     | 100               | 86                   | 14                |                   |
|       |          |         |        |                     | 3     | 100               | 98                   | 0                 | 96                |
| 15    | EtOH     | 80      |        | 29                  | 1     | 98                | 84                   | 0                 |                   |
|       |          |         |        |                     | 3     | 100               | 98                   | 0                 | 96                |
| 16    | EtOH     | 80      |        | 30                  | 0.5   | 99                | 70                   | 0                 |                   |
|       |          |         |        |                     | 1.0   | 100               | 75                   | 0                 |                   |
| 17    | EtOH     | 80      |        | 31                  | 1     | 97                | 97                   | 0                 |                   |
|       |          |         |        |                     | 2     | 100               | 99                   | 0                 | 95                |

[a] Experimental conditions: 3 bar H<sub>2</sub>, T = 70–100 °C. [b] Evaluated by GC-MS analysis. [c] Intermediates observed: benzyl methyl ether, benzaldehyde dimethyl acetal, and benzyl ether. [d] Presence of ethylcyclohexane. [g] 4-Carboxybenzaldehyde ethanol solution (1.0 M). [f] Intermediate observed: benzyl ethyl ether. [g] Intermediate observed: benzyl methyl ether.
fining terephthalic acid has been the catalytic hydrogenolysis of 4-carboxybenzaldehyde to p-toluic acid over Pd/C or Pd-Ru/C under 14 bar H₂ at 250–270 °C[35] or over Pd@SiO₂ core–shell particles under 14 bar H₂ at 160–175 °C.[36] Spherical SilliaCat Pd⁰ has a much better catalytic performance, being capable of catalyzing 4-carboxybenzaldehyde to p-toluic acid effectively at 100 °C under 3 bar H₂ in five consecutive cycles without a decrease in catalytic activity, which translates into a significant decrease in the production cost, as well as in the industrial hazard reduction (Figure S1 in the Supporting Information).

Finally, entries 10 and 11 in Table 7 show that substitution of the phenyl group in the para position by an electron-donating group gave the corresponding hydrocarbon in lower yield.

Also, the catalytic hydrogenolysis of acetoephone (27) in different solvents (entries 12–15) requires longer reaction times when compared to the hydrogenolysis of BA (Table 4), of α-MBA (Table 6, entries 10 and 11), and of benzaldehyde (Table 7, entries 1–6). In methanol, THF, or heptanes at 70 °C under 3 bar H₂ pressure, the conversion to ethylbenzene (10) was 90–96% within 5 h (entries 12–14), whereas in EtOH at 80 °C the conversion was quantitative within 3 h (entry 15). Substitution of the phenyl group in the para position by electron-donating groups gave the corresponding hydrocarbon in higher yield within 2 h, except for 4'-hydroxyacetoephone (30) when only 75% yield was obtained (entries 16–18). When MeOH or EtOH were used as solvents, the GC-MS analysis invariably showed the presence of benzyl alkyl ether, and benzaldehyde dialkyl acetal in the reaction mixture eventually converted in the hydrocarbon final product.

2.6. Catalyst Stability

The reusability of the catalyst was evaluated in several consecutive multigram hydrogenolysis reactions of 375 mmol of BA (40.55 g) dissolved in 250 mL MeOH solution (1.5 M) over 0.1 mol% Pd SilliaCat Pd⁰ under 3 bar hydrogen pressure at 70 °C. In each consecutive reaction, the maximum conversion of the BA to toluene was achieved, the catalyst was collected by filtration, extensively washed with THF (×3 during 10 minutes), dried at room temperature, and reused in a subsequent cycle.

Figure 8 shows that the SilliaCat Pd⁰ heterogeneous catalyst was reused in eight consecutive cycles. In the first four consecutive tests, complete conversion was obtained in 2 h, after which the selectivity to toluene after 2 h decreased, now requiring 3 h to reach very high selectivity values originally obtained in 2 h from the 5th through the 8th reaction run. We ascribe this slight decrease in activity to weak agglomeration of palladium NPs from 2.1 nm to average 6 nm after eight consecutive experiments, as determined by the TEM image (Figure 9).

The spherical SilliaCat Pd⁰ catalyst, indeed, is stable and robust, as shown by the SEM images (Figure S2 in the Supporting Information) and the N₂ adsorption isotherms (Figure S3 in the Supporting Information) before the 1st and after the 8th reaction run.

The amounts of Pd and Si leached from the SilliaCat Pd⁰ during catalysis in the isolated crude product are presented in Table S1 in the Supporting Information, which were obtained under different conditions after filtration of the catalyst through ICP-OES,[37] and are extremely low. In detail, the amount of leached Pd never exceeds 3 ppm, and neither does that of Si with the exception (for the latter metal only) of 1.1-diphenylethanol (entry 7), and for ketones 4'-methoxyacetophenone (entry 12) and 4'-methylacetophenone (entry 14), which are somehow able to extract the metal from the organosilica surface.

2.7. SilliaCat Pd⁰ versus Different Heterogeneous Pd Catalysts

The optimal reaction conditions developed for the hydrogenolysis reaction of BA over SilliaCat Pd⁰ (0.1 mol% Pd, 70 °C, 3 bar H₂ on a 375 mmol scale in the 500 mL reactor) were used to compare the catalytic activity of the latter material with that of different commercial solid palladium catalysts including Pd/C, ROYER catalyst, Pd Escat 1351, as well as with an organically modified aluminosilicate (2.1 wt% Pd⁰/MeSiO₂-Al₂O₃) made by our group (unpublished results). The textural properties of the
The heterogeneous catalyst used in this study are presented in Table 8.

Invariably (Figure 10), the SiliaCat Pd showed much higher catalytic activity with complete conversion of BA to toluene after 1 h only over 0.1 mol % Pd and after 2 h over 0.05 mol % Pd (Table 9, entries 1 and 2).

The organically modified aluminosilicate 2.1 wt % Pd/MeSiO1.5-Al2O3 was the second best catalyst, with complete BA conversion after 3 h (entry 3 in Table 9).

Under the conditions specified above, the only commercial catalyst showing reasonable activity was the 5 wt% Pd/C catalyst, affording full conversion of BA to toluene after 5 h. Both Pd/SiO2 (entry 4) and Pd/polyethyleneimine/SiO2 (entry 5) showed modest activity with 11 and 20% yield after 3 h, respectively.

We ascribe the high catalytic activity of the SiliaCat Pd catalyst in the BA hydrogenolysis reaction to its spherical morphology, as well as to the hydrophobic nature of the spherical organosilica material that prevents the accumulation of water formed during the reaction. Excellent robustness and mechanical stability add to the well-known chemical stability of methylsilica, with the spherical catalyst contributing excellent stability to the catalytic activity.

### 3. Conclusions

The hybrid sol–gel SiliaCat Pd material with a spherical morphology is a highly selective mediator for the hydrogenolysis reaction.
of a large variety of aromatic alcohols, aldehydes, and ketones under mild conditions (70 °C, 3 bar H₂), requiring an ultralow (0.1 mol% Pd) catalytic amount. Adding practical relevance to these findings, the catalyst is highly stable, retaining its catalytic activity for numerous consecutive runs. Finally, the catalytic performance of this new material is significantly better than that of several commercial palladium catalysts, including Pd/C and Pd/SiO₂.

These results provide chemical industry and research laboratories with a new, clean, and efficient methodology for the reductive deoxygenation of alcohols and carbonyl compounds. The process is of high synthetic relevance to both the fine chemical and petrochemical industries. For example, 4-carboxybenzaldehyde is obtained in huge amounts as a by-product of terephthalic acid synthesis in the ninth largest petrochemical industrial chemical process. Refinement of terephthalic acid involves the catalytic hydrogenolysis of 4-carboxybenzaldehyde to p-toluic acid, which, so far, has been conducted in industry over Pd/C or Pd-Ru/C under 14 bar H₂ at 250–270 °C or over Pd/SiO₂ under 14 bar H₂ at 160–175 °C.

In 2013, we anticipated that catalysts with spherical morphology were going to provide a long-awaited new generation of sol–gel catalysts. Following the first study devoted to solvent-free squalene hydrogenation, this study supports the above prediction for the important hydrogenolysis reaction class. New studies reporting the performance of this new class of materials in numerous reactions successfully mediated by Pd NPs, including the most important conversions in synthetic organic chemistry, namely the C–C cross-coupling reactions, are underway.
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