Ablative Therapy in 2016 for Small Renal Masses

Abstract

Introduction: Incidental diagnosis of small renal masses (SRMs), less than 4 cm in size, is increasing due to the widespread use of different forms of diagnostic imaging. The natural history of these tumours remains unknown and there is no reliable way to predict their behaviour or growth. Partial nephrectomy is currently the gold standard in the treatment of these tumours. However, the more minimally invasive, non-excisional, ablative therapies such as cryoablation (CRA) and radiofrequency ablation (RFA) are providing comparable oncological outcomes.

Methods: This article critically reviews the principles, indications, modalities of treatment, oncological outcome, complication and effect on renal function, of the different ablative therapies.

Results: Cryotherapy induces tissue ablation by freezing and thawing using argon and helium gases respectively; RFA ablates the tissue by heating. These ablative treatments for SRMs are particularly indicated in the elderly patients, those with co-morbidities, in patients with solitary kidneys and in those with impaired renal function. The procedure related complication rate with ablative therapies are lower. These procedures have a promising medium term oncological outcome, while longer-term results are awaited. It appears that Cryotherapy may be evolving as a better modality for oncological control than RFA.

Conclusion: The ablative therapies for SRMs are emerging as viable treatment options with recurrence free survival rates approaching that of extirpative surgery. However, there is currently no consensus in the literature on the best selection criteria for this form of treatment. With further refinement of selection criteria and more prospective long-term data on the oncological outcome, these ablative methods would have a promising future in the management of SRMs.
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Cryotherapy

The principle of tissue destruction by freezing and thawing using vacuum-insulated liquid argon gas system is used in Cryotherapy. Rapid cooling of the tip of the cryprobe is achieved using compressed argon gas expanding from its liquid form through the narrow orifice of the probe to a gaseous state. This can achieve a very low temperature on a certain focus in the kidney tissue to freeze the tumour [12]. The thawing phase follows the cooling phase where helium gas is passed through the probe in the same way to induce thawing [13]. Acute and delayed tissue damage is induced at the cellular level, by cryoablation. At -50°C, the acute effect takes place, as ice forms in the extracellular space changing the osmotic gradient and drawing water from inside the cells to the extracellular space, leading to changes in the intracellular pH and composition, resulting in protein denaturation [14,15]. When the temperature reaches -20°C, ice forms in both the intra and extracellular spaces, causing irreversible damage to the cell membranes. However, the delayed effect resulting from damage to the microvasculature of the renal parenchyma is believed to be the most important mechanism of action of cryoablation [16]. Majority of times, the repeated cycles of freezing and thawing enhances tissue destruction, with some animal model experiments showing evidence that double freezing lead to large areas of tissue destruction compared to single freezing [17]. The typical temperature achieved is between -20°C and -40°C or lower, during treatment of SRMs with Cryoablation.

Indications for Cryotherapy

The tumour characteristics suitable for cryotherapy and partial nephrectomy are more or less similar. The typical tumour for cryotherapy is situated in the periphery of the kidney, small (< 4cm), exophytic, solid and well circumscribed. However, cryoablation is particularly useful in elderly patients or those who have significant comorbidity. The technique may also be considered in small lesions of a solitary or transplant kidney and in conditions with multiple tumours such as tuberous sclerosis or Von Hippel-Lindau disease [18]. Some centres are routinely considering cryotherapy as another standard treatment option for SRMs and discussing this with the patients. With experience and the availability of laparoscopic ultrasound probe, cystic and solid lesions such as Bosniak 4 type tumours are also treated. We advocate that all cases of SRMs are discussed and evaluated in the cancer multidisciplinary setting and then the most appropriate treatment is recommended to an individual patient [19].

Techniques of Cryotherapy

Cryotherapy can be performed either by surgical (laparoscopic) approach or using percutaneous radiological techniques. In either approach, the process of delivery of the gases and the control mechanisms are all computerised.

Surgical approach

Trans or retroperitoneal laparoscopic approach is the common surgical technique employed for cryotherapy. The transperitoneal technique is best suited for anterior tumours. Hilar lesions, which are a relative contraindication for open/laparoscopic partial nephrectomy, may be suitable for cryoablation. The patient is placed in the lateral position, usually under general anaesthesia, followed by insertion of laparoscopic ports and creation of pneumoperitoneum. As in laparoscopic nephrectomy, the large bowel is first mobilized medially to expose the Gerota’s fascia, which is then opened to reveal the tumour. The exact position and dimensions of the tumour within the kidney is confirmed using intra-operative imaging obtained through a laparoscopic ultrasound probe. Biopsies from the tumour are taken, before the cryotherapy needles (probes) are placed into the tumour. The number of needles required and the depth of their placement are dependent on the size of the tumour.

Probes to measure the freezing temperature achieved are also placed, one within the centre of the tumour and the other about a centimetre beyond the tumour circumference. Usually two cycles of freezing with Argon and two cycles of thawing with helium gas are employed. When the tumour is frozen, a spectacular white mound is usually seen at laparoscopy (Figure 1). The needles are removed, post-procedure and any bleeding is usually effectively controlled by compression. The calibre of the needles used and the techniques employed, vary slightly between different machines used.

Open surgical cryoablation when necessary, is also another available option.

Figure 1: Laparoscopic cryoprobes placed in renal tumour, producing a white mound.

Percutaneous Approach

The numbers of patients treated with percutaneous techniques are increasing as the techniques are getting refined [20]. This approach is best suited for tumours located in the posterior or inferior aspect of the kidney. General anaesthesia is still employed in many centres, as it is uncomfortable for patients to lie still for long periods of time during the treatment. The technique of saline dissection to displace the bowel lying adjacent to kidney, used by expert interventional radiologists, has enabled safe and effective treatment of anterior and superior pole renal tumours (Figure 2). The technique is most commonly performed using Computerized Tomography (CT) scan guidance and less commonly using Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) or ultrasound (US) guidance. The percutaneous approach is associated with lesser hospital stay than laparoscopy and is much safer for patients with...
significant comorbidity. New imaging modalities are emerging to help improve probe placement and real time intraoperative monitoring. For example, Real-time Virtual Ultrasonography (RVS) is a new modality that utilizes pre-operative CT scan data concomitantly with real time intraoperative ultrasonography. It is a promising tool, to mitigate the deficiencies of conventional ultrasound imaging, including limited visibility and inability of the ultrasound waves to travel through the ice produced by cryoablation [21].

Figure 2: CT-guided cryoablation of a small renal mass using the saline dissection method.

Complications of Cryoablation

Cryoablation is minimally invasive and is associated with less number of complications compared to open/laparoscopic/robotic partial nephrectomy and the majority of these are minor complications. Of the 148 cryoablations performed in 144 patients, in a multi-centre study by Laguna et al. [22], the majority of their reported perioperative complications were classified as Clavien grade I or II. The complications include, minor renal lacerations and bleeding from the needle placement site. The renal fracture risk could be minimized using perpendicular placement of the needles and avoiding removal of these needles before thawing is complete. The probe insertion site at skin level should be protected with gauze or insulating gel placed around the probe. The most common minor complication was pain and paraesthesia at the site of the probe insertion, in another study [23] of 139 patients. The major complication rate was 1.8% and included haemorrhage, ileus, conversion to open surgery, scarring and paraesthesia at the site of the probe insertion.

The European Renal Cryoablation Group (EuRECA) recently published its retrospective review of 808 patients. The post-operative complications based on Clavien grade included 74 (11.3%) patients with Grade I-II and 20 (3.1%) patients with Grade III-V [24]. The RENAL (Radius, Endophytic, Nearness to collecting system, Anterior/posterior and Location) nephrometry scores are also applied in laparoscopic cryoablation, as in laparoscopic partial nephrectomy. The increase in RENAL nephrometry score was noted to be linearly associated with the development of post procedure complications in patients undergoing laparoscopic cryotherapy. This tool is useful in risk stratification, but further work is in progress [25].

Follow-Up after Cryoablation

There is no single accepted protocol for follow up after ablative therapy for SRMs. CT scanning after the administration of intravenous contrast is considered the standard imaging modality. Cryoablation of renal tumour is considered to be successful, if it does not enhance on imaging and shows regression in its size [11]. The ablated patients in our centre are followed up using a contrast CT scan at 3 and 6 months after the procedure, followed by six monthly imaging, thereafter. Other centres may use slightly differing protocols. Long-term follow is very important to ensure that therapy is successful, as evidenced by regression of tumour size and absence of local recurrence or distant metastases. Contrast enhancement of the ablated tumour or increase in its size, are indicative of local recurrence. However, rim enhancement in a previously cryoablated tumour, in the absence of increase in size, does not always mean the presence of local recurrence [23]. If there is no satisfactory regression or if local recurrence is suspected, image guided biopsy of the ablated tumour is indicated [26].

Oncological outcome after Cryoablation

The oncological success for ablative therapy is promising with high efficiency and low morbidity as seen in both medium and long-term data. 93% and 81% cancer survival rates were reported at 5 and 10 years respectively during follow-up [27]. A recent publication by Johnson et al. [28] reported 112 (T1) SRMs treated with laparoscopic cryoablation and followed up for more than 5 years. At a mean follow up of 98 months, the cancer specific survival was 98.5%, the progression free survival was 91% and the overall survival was 78%. The relatively lower overall survival rate was attributed to the associated comorbidities of these patients. According to a meta-analysis, the treatment failure rate for cryoablation ranged from 4.6% to 5.2% and the metastatic progression rate ranged from 1% to 1.2% [29].

The EuRECA group retrospectively reviewed 808 patients treated with Laparoscopic Cryoablation (LCA) for T1a SRMs from 2005 to 2015 at eight European institutions. Complications were analyzed according to the Clavien-Dindo classification. Kaplan-Meier analyses were used to estimate 5- and 10-year disease-free survival (DFS) and overall survival (OS). The median [interquartile (IQR)] age was 67 (58-74) years. The median (IQR) tumour size was 25 (19-30) mm. The transperitoneal approach was used in 77.7% of the patients. The median postoperative hospital stay was 2 days. In all, 514 patients with a biopsy-confirmed renal cell carcinoma (RCC) were available for survival analyses. The median (IQR) follow-up for the RCC-cohort was 36 (14-56) months. A total of 32 patients (6.2%) were diagnosed with treatment failure. The 5 and 10-year DFS rate was 90.4% and 80.0% respectively. The 5 and 10-year OS rate was 83.2% and 64.4%, respectively. A total of 134 postoperative complications (16.6%) were reported.
with severe complications (grade ≥II) in 26 patients (3.2%). An American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) score of 3 was associated with an increased risk of overall complications (odds ratio 2.85, 95% confidence interval 1.32-6.20; P = 0.005) [24]. Zargar et al. [10] recently performed a systematic review of cryotherapy of small renal masses and concluded that cryoablation had excellent functional outcome and low rate of complications. However, they recommended that patient selection criteria and oncological outcomes needed further evaluation. EURECA is prospectively collecting data from across Europe and will be able to shed more light in the coming years.

Radio Frequency Ablation (RFA)

Radiofrequency ablation therapy was initially used in the treatment of liver lesions. It was first used in kidneys in 1997 [30]. Although, it can be used laparoscopically, it is mostly applied percutaneously [31]. Electrodes are inserted into the tumour and high-frequency electrical current is passed creating heat, which destroys the cancer cells, in this technique. The tumour tissue is ablated along with a small margin of normal renal tissue around it, by immediate direct cellular damage and delayed microvascular injury leading to permanent denaturation of the tissue protein. For adequate tissue destruction, the tissue temperature achieved has to be between 50°C and 100°C. There will only be patchy tissue damage, if the temperature achieved rises to 105°C or above. As RFA can be performed percutaneously under sedation avoiding the morbidity associated with general anaesthesia, laparoscopy and surgery, it is considered to be advantageous over these procedures. However the main disadvantage with RFA is the unreliability of real time imaging using CT, MRI or US [12], due to the similarity between ablated tumour and normal tissue. However, during subsequent follow-up, successfully ablated tumours show regression in size and lack of enhancement with contrast imaging, similar to cryoablation.

Oncological Outcome of RFA

Similar to cryotherapy, RFA also lacks long-term data of their outcome. In a study that followed up SRMs in single kidneys treated with RFA for over 40 months [32], the recurrence free survival rate was 90.3%. Disease specific survival rate following RFA in T1a lesions was reported as 93.4% by Stern et al. [33] in their study with a mean follow up of 30 months. This outcome is similar to that for tumours of the same stage treated with partial nephrectomy. Tracey et al. [34] observed in a large study of 243 procedures with a mean follow up of 27 months, a 90% five year recurrence free survival in histologically proven renal cell cancer. The long-term oncological outcome of SRMs treated with RFA were analysed by Ramirez et al. [31]. The estimated 5 year recurrence free survival was 93.3%, in 79 patients who had 111 renal masses treated over a 10 year period with a median follow up of 59 months. They had a complication rate of 8.8% of which 3.8% were considered major.

The long-term oncological outcomes for patients, with T1 renal cell cancers treated by RFA, were also studied by Paulka et al. [35]. They had 185 patients, of whom 143 had T1a tumours and 42 patients had T1b tumours. In multivariate analysis, tumour stage was the only significant predictor of disease free survival. The disease free survival rate was 93% for T1a tumours and 76% for T1b tumours, at a median follow up of 6.4 years. Local recurrence was seen in 6 (4.2%) patients in the T1a group and also in 6 (14.3%) patients in the T1b group, with a median time to recurrence of 2.5 years.

Preservation of renal function after ablative treatment

One of the main advantages of the ablation techniques is that the renal function is not compromised. Woldu et al. [36] in their recently published retrospective analysis concluded that ablative therapy modalities including cryoablation and RFA were associated with less Renal Parenchymal Volume (RPV) loss compared to partial nephrectomy with its three modalities (open, laparoscopic and robot assisted). Also in patients with chronic kidney disease who had cryoablation, their renal function was maintained [37,38]. Even in patients with single kidney who had cryotherapy by laparoscopic technique [39] or by imaging guided percutaneous treatment [32], there appears to be no detrimental loss of renal function. The systematic review by Zarga et al. [10] also reiterated this fact.

Is Cryotherapy or RFA, better?

It is always a debate as to which of these two well established ablative treatments is better? RFA is performed under local anaesthesia with image guidance and is suitable for patients who have significant comorbidity. Although percutaneous cryotherapy is evolving, most groups still tend to do them under general anaesthesia. Cryotherapy demonstrates observable treatment changes during the procedure, which is spectacular under laparoscopy, while real time monitoring of RFA treatment with any of the imaging modality is unreliable. Certainly, the oncological outcomes seem to favour cryotherapy over RFA. The medium and long-term data term data available show that cryoablation may have better tumour control and so less risk of local recurrence. In a meta-analysis, Kunide et al. [20] showed that among patients who had RFA and cryoablation for small renal tumours, pre-treatment biopsy was done more frequently in tumours treated with cryotherapy (82.3%) than those treated with RFA (62.2%) (P<0.0001).

Significantly higher number of tumours treated with RFA needed repeat ablations compared to cryotherapy (8.5% and 1.3% respectively) (p<0.0001), in that study. Moreover, the rate of local tumour progression was significantly higher for RFA (12.9%) than for cryoablation (5.2%) (p<0.0001). There was more metastatic progression (2.5%) in the RFA treated group than in the Cryoablation group. The same authors, in another meta-analysis, compared the outcome of nephron sparing surgery, cryoablation and RFA in 99 studies that included 6471 renal masses. The SRMs treated with RFA showed a local recurrence rate of 11.7% compared to 4.6% and 2.6% for the masses treated with cryoablation and nephron sparing surgery respectively [29].

A recently published retrospective study by Thompson et al. [40] included 1803 cT1 renal masses treated in Mayo clinic over a period of 11 years, of which, 1057 tumours were treated with laparoscopic partial nephrectomy (LPN), 187 were treated with cryotherapy and 180 with radio frequency ablation (RFA). The
recurrence free survival rate was similar in all the three treatment
groups, in this study. The overall survival rate was superior in the
LPN group and metastasis free survival was significantly lower in
the RFA group. However, there was a selection bias with younger
patients being offered LPN and so it was considered to be an
unmatched retrospective analysis [41].

High Intensity Focused Ultrasound (Hifu) Ablation

Focused High Intensity Ultrasonic waves produce the thermal
effect required to induce coagulative necrosis in the target
tissues, with this modality of treatment. In addition, it also has a
direct mechanical destructive effect caused by bubble implosion.
The HIFU ablation technique is completely non-invasive, but
has disadvantages that include difficulty in localization of the
lesions due to overlying rib and respiratory movement and has
complications including bowel injury and skin burns [42].

Conclusion

With recurrence free survival rates approaching that of
extirpative surgery, ablative therapies have emerged as viable
treatment options for SRMs. There are minimal and minor
complications associated with these procedures. Partial
Nephrectomy (PN) remains the gold standard for treatment of
SRMs. However, ablation therapy could be a particularly useful
alternative in patients whose comorbidity precludes them
from safely having a PN or when preservation of renal function is
critical, as in patients with single kidneys. The oncological
outcomes of ablative therapy are promising as evidenced by short
and medium term follow-up data. The efficacy of ablative therapy
is improving with the advent of new technologies to aid accurate
probe insertion, real time monitoring and energy delivery.
However, there is currently no consensus in the literature on the
best selection criteria for ablative therapy for SRMs, which needs
further refinement. Prospective long-term studies to evaluate
oncological outcome are also needed. Newer ablation therapy
modalities such as Cyber Knife, Interstitial LASER coagulation
and Microwave thermotherapy are still in their experimental stage
and lack sufficient data to support their routine use in the current
management of SRMs.
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