VLT/SPHERE deep insight of NGC 3603’s core: Segregation or confusion?*
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ABSTRACT

We present new near-infrared photometric measurements of the core of the young massive cluster NGC 3603 obtained with extreme adaptive optics. The data were obtained with the SPHERE instrument mounted on ESO’s Very Large Telescope, and cover three fields in the core of this cluster. We applied a correction for the effect of extinction to our data obtained in the J and K broadband filters and estimated the mass of detected sources inside the field of view of SPHERE/IRDIS, which is 13.5”×13.5”. We derived the mass function (MF) slope for each spectral band and field. The MF slope in the core is unusual compared to previous results based on HST and VLT observations. The average slope in the core is estimated as −1.00 ± 0.26 for the main sequence stars with 3.5 $M_\odot$ < $M_*$ < 120 $M_\odot$. Thanks to the SPHERE extreme adaptive optics, 814 low-mass stars were detected to estimate the MF slope for the pre-main sequence stars with 0.6 $M_\odot$ < $M_*$ < 3.5 $M_\odot$, $\Gamma = -0.54 \pm 0.11$ in the K-band images in two fields in the core of the cluster. For the first time, we derive the MF of the very core of the NGC 3603 young cluster for masses in the range 0.6–120 $M_\odot$. Previous studies were either limited by crowding, lack of dynamic range, or a combination of both.
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1. Introduction

Among Galactic spiral arm clusters, the NGC 3603 young cluster, located in its namesake giant HII region (Kennicutt 1984), is the most compact and youngest cluster with an age of 1 Myr (Brandl et al. 1999; Stolte et al. 2004; Sung & Bessell 2004) and a central density of $6 \times 10^5$ $M_\odot$ pc$^{-3}$ (Harayama et al. 2008). The cluster is known to contain three massive and luminous central stars with spectral types as early as O2V (Walborn et al. 2002; Moffat et al. 2004), and up to 50 O-type stars in total (Driessen et al. 1995). The most massive stars exhibit both characteristics of WN6 stars and Balmer absorption lines (Driessen et al. 1995), suggesting that they are actually core hydrogen burning rather than evolved stars (Conti et al. 1995; de Koter et al. 1997). Two of these three Wolf-Rayet (WR) stars are very close binaries (Schnurr et al. 2008). The total mass of the cluster is estimated as $10^4 M_\odot$ (Harayama et al. 2008), with an upper limit to the dynamical mass of 17 600 ± 3800 $M_\odot$ (Rochau et al. 2010). NGC 3603 provides a unique opportunity to study the formation of massive stars embedded in clusters at their early stages. Studying such clusters is not generally straightforward owing to the limited angular resolution of telescopes in addition to uncertainties from existing models (Ascenso et al. 2009). Besides, extinction from the Galactic plane and the birth place of massive stars, which is immersed in dust and gas, play an important role.

All these combined effects can introduce an observational bias that hampers differentiating models of massive star and cluster formation: i.e., singular collapse of a rotating molecular cloud core with subsequent fragmentation in a flattened disk or competitive accretion, or, for example, external triggering by cloud-cloud collision (ref., e.g., Johnston et al. 2014). To test these models, high angular resolution observation in the infrared are the best strategy as they minimize the effects of source confusion and spatial extinction variations.

In this context, the extreme adaptive optics (XAO) of the new instrument SPHERE (Beuzit et al. 2008) on the Very Large Telescope (VLT), enabled us to observe deeper in the core of NGC 3603 in the near-infrared J- and K-bands to better probe the massive star cluster at a high resolution in the range of 20–40 mas resolution, which is comparable to the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) in the visible.
2. Data and photometry

We obtained data via guaranteed time observation (GTO) runs to image NGC 3663 using the dual mode of IRDIS (Langlois et al. 2014), enabling simultaneous observations in two spectral bands on the VLT. The observations were performed in two epochs in 2015 (March and June), with high dynamic and spatial resolution imaging of three regions, each with a field of view (FoV) of 13.5′ × 13.5′, one centered on the core of the cluster (F0) and two regions (F1 and F2) to cover the radial extent of the cluster (Fig. 1, top). To facilitate homogeneous photometric calibrations, F1 and F2 partially overlap with F0. The data consist of 400, 300, and 320 frames of 0.8s exposures in the IRDIS broadband K filter (IRDIS/BB-K) for F0, F1, and F2, respectively, and 400, 150, and 160 frames of 4.0, 2.0, and 2.0s exposures in IRDIS broadband J (IRDIS/BB-J), respectively, during the two observing epochs. Neutral density (ND) filters were used for the IRDIS/BB-J data to avoid saturating the brightest stars. The average airmass during these observations was 1.25–1.26. A log of the observations is presented in Table 1.

We used the SPHERE pipeline package1, for correcting dark, flat, distortion, and bad pixels. As SPHERE filters in BB-J and K bands, respectively. Sources with K magnitude of 18.8 and J magnitude of 18.9 could be detected in the core of NGC 3603. Our test experiments for completeness correction (500 artificial star per flux) suggest that we should reach a completeness level >80% for stars brighter than 17.5 mag in F1 and F2 in both J- and K-bands. The quality (signal-to-noise ratio – S/N) of data in F0 in K-band (in March 2015) was not as good as in F1 and F2 in the second run (June 2015), thus the dynamic range is lower. In F0, stars brighter than 15.3 in K-band and 16.5 in J-band have a completeness level of >80%. Table 1 gives the faintest magnitudes obtained in the different fields F0, F1, and F2 by SPHERE within the exposure time limits of the run.

3. Extinction and CMD

In order to correct for extinction, 31 O stars on or close to the main sequence (class V) were selected from Harayama et al. (2008). These stars are encircled in green in the top panel of Fig. 1. To estimate their intrinsic magnitude, their $T_{\text{eff}}$ were estimated from Table 4 of Martins et al. (2005) and their log $g$ as a function of age (1.5 Myr) according to the PARSEC2 stellar evolution models (Bressan et al. 2012), adopting Galactic metallicity. We assumed a distance of 6 kpc (Sect. 4) for these O stars.

We derived the color excess for selected O stars in the two IRDIS-BB J and K filters at the distance of 6 kpc. We adopted the maximum weighted value for $E(J-K)$, which is 0.76 ($A_V = 4.5$). This value results in $A_J$ and $A_K$ as 1.269 and 0.504, respectively, from Rieke & Lebofsky (1985). We found 239, 118, and 191 sources detected in both J and K data in F0, F1, and F2, respectively. The color magnitude diagrams (CMDs) for these different fields are shown in Fig. 2.

4. Mass functions (MF)

We used the stellar evolution tracks from PARSEC mentioned above to estimate stellar masses at the age of 1.5 Myr. The distance of the cluster was taken as 6 kpc, which fits well with the observed CMD, isochrone, and extinction, and is also in good agreement with Stolte et al. (2004), De Pree et al. (1999), and Harayama et al. (2008).

Using grids of stellar evolutionary tracks and extinction for each filter, we can estimate the stellar masses separately from the photometry in each filter. The slope of the MF $\Gamma$ can be estimated from Eq. (1), where $M$ is the stellar mass and $N$ is the number of stars in the logarithmic mass interval $\log_{10}(M)$ to $\log_{10}(M) + 0.2 \log_{10}(M)$. We used a double size bin at the pre-main sequence (PMS) and main sequence (MS) transition, around 4 $M_\odot$, to smooth out the degeneracy (same as Harayama et al. 2008). We used an implementation of the nonlinear

---

1. http://www.mpia.de/SPIRE/sphere-web/

2. http://stev.oapd.inaf.it/cgi-bin/cmd

---

Table 1. Exposure time log and faintest stars ($S/N > 4.2$) of VLT/SPHERE observations.

| Field (Filter) | Single/total exposure [s] | $\lambda_{\text{cen}}$ [nm] | $\lambda_{\Delta}$ [nm] | $\text{mag}_{\text{max}}$ | Mass [M$_\odot$] | $\Delta_{\text{mag}}$ |
|---------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| F0 (J)        | 4.0/1600                  | 1245                      | 240                    | 18.7                     | 0.66           | 10.6          |
| F0 (K)        | 0.83/335.0                | 2182                      | 300                    | 16.4                     | 1.08           | 9.5           |
| F1 (J)        | 2.0/300.0                 | 1245                      | 240                    | 18.9                     | 0.57           | 9.0           |
| F1 (K)        | 0.82/251.3                | 2182                      | 300                    | 18.1                     | 0.29           | 9.8           |
| F2 (J)        | 2.0/320.0                 | 1245                      | 240                    | 18.9                     | 0.58           | 9.3           |
| F2 (K)        | 0.82/269.0                | 2182                      | 300                    | 18.8                     | 0.14           | 9.6           |

Notes. $\Delta_{\text{mag}}$ is the difference between the maximum and minimum magnitudes in F0, F1, and F2 fields.

Table 2. Number of detected stars ($N_J$ and $N_K$ in J and K-bands) and MF slopes ($\Gamma_J$ and $\Gamma_K$ in J and K-bands) using Eq. (1), at 1.5 Myr in three F0, F1, and F2 fields of NGC 3603 from SPHERE/IRDIS.

| F0 | $N_K$ | $\Gamma_K$ | $N_J$ | $\Gamma_J$ |
|----|-------|------------|-------|------------|
| All | 288   | $-1.09 \pm 0.11$ | 408   | $-1.07 \pm 0.08$ |
| All-B | 287   | $-0.98 \pm 0.12$ | 407   | $-0.99 \pm 0.08$ |
| All-WRs | 283   | $-0.85 \pm 0.06$ | 403   | $-0.98 \pm 0.09$ |
| $F1+F2$ | $N_K$ | $\Gamma_K$ | $N_J$ | $\Gamma_J$ |
| Total | 1003  | $-0.82 \pm 0.08$ | 561   | $-0.94 \pm 0.10$ |
| MS | 189   | $-1.12 \pm 0.14$ | 200   | $-1.20 \pm 0.11$ |
| PMS | 814   | $-0.54 \pm 0.11$ | 361   | -            |
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least-squares (NLLS) Marquardt-Levenberg algorithm to fit the MF,

$$\log(N) = \Gamma \log \left( \frac{M}{M_\odot} \right) + \text{cst.}$$  \hspace{2em} (1)

MFs for the two IRDIS BB-J and BB-K filters are shown in Fig. 3 for the core (F0) and in Fig. 4 for F1 and F2. One can compare the MF in the very core of the cluster (F0) with the next radial bin (F1 and F2) to check the radial variation of MF. The two latter were observed with similar exposure times and very close conditions as both fields were recorded within one hour slot of a SPHERE/VLT run on 2015-06-07. Also, minimum and maximum magnitudes in both fields are very similar especially close conditions as both fields were recorded within one hour.

In F0, we were able to reach 0.66 $M_\odot$ ($J = 18.7$) in the $J$-band and 1.08 $M_\odot$ ($K = 16.4$) in the $K$-band. Figure 3 depicts the MF in F0. Three WR stars (A1, B, C) are located in this region where two of them (A1 and C) were identified as spectroscopic binaries by Schnurr et al. (2008). The MF can be treated in three possible ways (Table 2): 1) considering all WR stars: two as binaries with masses estimated from Schnurr et al. (2008) and one (B) as a single star (All); 2) considering just two WR stars as two binary systems (All-B); and 3) excluding these three WR stars (All-WRs).

Figure 4 depicts the MF for the next radial bin from the core of NGC 3603 (F1 and F2). The mass range covered in $K$-band starts from 0.14 $M_\odot$, ending at 69 $M_\odot$. More than 800 sources with a mass smaller than 4 $M_\odot$ are detected.

The change of the MF slope for the MS and PMS stars occurs around 4 $M_\odot$. We also fitted a separate line on MF (dash-dotted line in Fig. 4) for the low-mass PMS stars. The MF in the low-mass part is corrected for the number of detected sources above an incompleteness level of 80% (black bins in the low-mass part in Figs. 3 and 4).

Table 2 lists the derived MF slopes in F0, F1, and F2 regions and derived slopes for MS/PMS stars. MS is a common mass range in $J$ and $K$ and in F0 and F1+F2 with an incompleteness level of 100%. The MF slopes are consistent in the $J$-band and $K$-band and also in the different regions, for the main sequence stars and for the whole mass range.

The MF slopes for the whole mass range is flatter than the main sequence part. The MF slopes even for the massive stars (main sequence) are flatter than Salpeter $\Gamma_{\text{Salpeter}} = -1.35$ (Salpeter 1955) and Kroupa, $\Gamma_{\text{Kroupa}} = -1.3$ (Kroupa 2001). The average value agrees with those found in the outer regions of NGC 3603 according to previous works. For PMS stars, the MF slope is flatter than for the whole mass range and for the main sequence.

If we assume that binary properties like binary fraction and mass-ratio distribution do not change strongly with the mass of the primary stars, then the deduced MF slope should be very similar to the MF slope of the primary stars.

We could detect 11, 4, and 4 $K$-excess sources with an $E(J - K)$ that is higher than 1.8 (for MS) and 2.0 (for PMS), in F0, F1, and F2, respectively, corresponding to 14 sources in total (black circles in Fig. 2 and red circles in the bottom panels of Fig. 1). For these stars, the mass estimated in $K$ is higher than in $J$ because of their $K$-excess. Twelve of these stars are on...
which is the case for the photometry analysis.

The slopes of the MF in different filters (Table 2) in the core of NGC 3603 is steeper than the previous works and does not show a radial dependence in the observed fields. The slope value for NGC 3603 has been observed with various instruments and the slope of its MF has been calculated in previous works (Table 3).

NGC 3603 has been observed with various instruments and the slope of its MF has been calculated in previous works (Table 3). These works reach conclusions on the general trend of decreasing MF slopes in the core as the signature of mass segregation. These works also show that non-segregated clusters with a smooth MF agree better with accretion models for massive star formation. Our SPHERE results demonstrate that, by improved photometric dynamic range and spatial resolution from XAO, we can overcome the effect of confusion that in the past has led to the conclusion of observational segregation (see also Ascenso et al. 2009) as far as NGC 3603 is concerned.

Table 3. Slopes of the MF derived for NGC 3603 in earlier works.

| $\Gamma$ | Condition | Reference |
|---------|-----------|-----------|
| $-0.5 \pm 0.1$ | $r < 6''$, $(1.6-100) \, M_\odot$ | Sung & Bessell (2004) |
| $-0.31$ | $0-5''$, $(0.4-20) \, M_\odot$ | Kratter et al. (2008), (2010), Krumholz et al. (2012) |
| $-0.26$ | $0-5''$, $(6.3-100) \, M_\odot$ | Pang et al. (2013) |
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