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1. Experimental procedures

1.1. General

Unless otherwise specified, all starting materials were purchased from commercial sources and used as supplied. Cobalt(II) bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide\(^1\) and sym-pentachlorocorannulene\(^2\) were prepared following literature procedures. NMR spectra were recorded using 400 MHz Avance III HD Smart Probe (routine \(^1\)H NMR, DOSY) and DCH 500 MHz dual cryoprobe (high-resolution \(^13\)C and 2D experiments) NMR spectrometers. Chemical shifts (\(\delta\)) for \(^1\)H NMR spectra are reported in parts per million (ppm) and are reported relative to the solvent residual peak. DOSY experiments were performed on a Bruker DRX-400 spectrometer. Maximum gradient strength was 6.57 G/cmA. The standard Bruker pulse program, ledbp gp2s, employing a stimulated echo and longitudinal eddy-current delay (LED) using bipolar gradient pulses for diffusion using 2 spoil gradients was utilized. Rectangular gradients were used with a total duration of 1.5 ms. Gradient recovery delays were 1200 \(\mu\)s. Diffusion times were 50 ms. Individual rows of the S4 quasi-2D diffusion databases were phased and baseline corrected. Low-resolution electrospray ionization mass spectra (ESI-MS) were obtained on a Micromass Quattro LC infused from a Harvard Syringe Pump at a rate of 10 \(\mu\)L per minute. High-resolution ESI mass spectra were obtained by the EPSRC UK National Mass Spectrometry Facility at Swansea University using a Thermofisher LTQ Orbitrap XL.

1.2. Synthesis and characterization of sym-pentakis(4-aminothiophenyl)corannulene (A)

![Scheme S1: Synthesis of subcomponent sym-pentakis(4-aminothiophenyl)corannulene (A).]

To a flame-dried and degassed round bottom flask, 4-aminothiophenol (225 mg, 1.8 mmol), sodium hydride (58 mg, 1.45 mmol) and 1,3-dimethyl-2-imidazolidinone (DMI) (10 mL) were added. The
mixture was stirred at room temperature for 15 min. The yellow suspension changed to a clear yellow solution. sym-Pentachlorocorannulene (100 mg, 0.24 mmol) was added and the mixture was warmed up to 60 °C for 24 h. After cooling down to room temperature, the mixture was extracted three times with EtOAc and water, dried over MgSO₄ and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude orange-red oil was redissolved in DCM (ca. 10 mL) and hexane was added (ca. 300 mL) to slowly precipitate out the product. After filtration the desired compound could be obtained as an orange solid (95 mg, 0.11 mmol) in 46 % yield. 

$^1$H NMR (500 MHz, 298 K, CDCl₃): $\delta = 7.63$ (s, 5H, $H_1$), 7.22 (d, $J = 11$ Hz, 10H, $H_2$), 6.65 (d, $J = 11$ Hz, 10H, $H_3$), 3.80 (br s, 10H, $H_4$). $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, 298 K, CDCl₃): $\delta = 146.8, 138.4, 135.0, 135.0, 131.0, 125.0, 121.5, 116.2$. IR (neat, cm⁻¹): 3674.7m, 3360.4w, 2987.2w, 2971.8s, 2901.4s, 1618.0w, 1594.8w, 1493.6m, 1451.2w, 1406.8m, 1394.3m, 1382.7m, 1250.6m, 1241.9m, 1230.4m, 1175.4w, 1075.1s, 1065.5s, 1055.8s, 891.9w, 879.4s, 869.7w, 822.5w. HR-MS (MALDI) found: 865.1491 [M]+; C₅₀H₃₅N₅S₅ requires: 865.1496. M.p.: 125.6-128.1 °C.

Figure S1: $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, 298 K, CD₃CN) of subcomponent A showing the peak assignment.
**Figure S2:** Aromatic region of the $^{13}$C NMR spectrum (126 MHz, 298 K, CD$_3$CN) of subcomponent A.
1.3. Synthesis and characterization of [Cu_{10}L_{4}](BF_{4})_{10} (1)

To a Teflon-capped J-Young NMR tube was added sym-pentakis(4-aminothiophenyl)corannulene (3.0 mg, 3.5 μmol, 4 equiv.), 2-formyl-6-methylpyridine (2.5 mg, 21 μmol, 24 equiv.) and tetrakis(acetonitrile)copper(I) tetrafluoroborate (3.3 mg, 10.5 μmol, 12 equiv.) as stock solutions of known concentration in CD_{3}CN (total volume 0.6 mL). The solution was degassed by three evacuation/nitrogen fill cycles and then sonicated for 5 min. The NMR tube was then rotated at room temperature for 24 hr and the formation of 1·10BF_{4} was confirmed by ^1H NMR. The solution was then filtered and diethyl ether (ca. 5 mL) was added. The dark brown solid was isolated through centrifugation and washed a further two times with diethyl ether to give 1·10BF_{4} as a brown powder in approximately quantitative yield. ^1H NMR (500 MHz; 298 K; CD_{3}CN): δ 9.31 (s, 10H, H_4), 8.41 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 10H, H_5), 8.35 (s, 10H, H_6), 8.20 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 10H, H_7), 8.09 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 20H, H_2), 7.83 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 10H, H_3), 7.79 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 10H, H_2), 7.75 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 10H, H_6), 7.56 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 10H, H_7), 7.39 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 20H, H_3), 7.17 (s, 10H, H_1), 7.13 (s, 10H, H_1), 6.61 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 20H), 6.46 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 20H), 3.01 (s, 30H, H_8), 2.26 (s, 30H, H_8). ^13C NMR (126 MHz, 298 K, CD_{3}CN): δ = 161.0 (imine), 160.2, 159.2, 158.9 (imine), 151.2, 148.7, 148.3, 145.8, 140.4, 139.5, 139.3, 138.0, 137.3, 137.0, 135.1, 134.9, 134.8, 134.6, 134.2, 132.8, 131.8, 130.8 (two overlapping signals), 128.1, 127.3 (two overlapping signals), 123.9, 121.7, 27.0, 25.3. ESI-MS: m/z = 917.4 [1(BF_4)^+]^7+, 1084.7 [1(BF_4)^-]^6+, 1319.1 [1(BF_4)^3]^{7+}, 1670.5 [1(BF_4)^6]^{8+}.

Figure S3: ^1H NMR spectrum (500 MHz, 298 K, CD_{3}CN) of 1·10BF_{4}. The interior and exterior ligands are coloured blue and red respectively.
Figure S4: $^{13}$C NMR spectrum (126 MHz, 298 K, CD$_3$CN) of 1·10BF$_4$.

Figure S5: Aromatic region of the $^1$H-$^1$H COSY spectrum (500 MHz, 298 K, CD$_3$CN) of 1·10BF$_4$. 
**Figure S6**: $^1$H-$^{13}$C HSQC spectrum (500 MHz, 298 K, CD$_3$CN) of 1·10BF$_4$.

**Figure S7**: $^1$H-$^{13}$C HMBC spectrum (500 MHz, 298 K, CD$_3$CN) of 1·10BF$_4$. 
Figure S8: $^1$H-$^1$H NOESY spectrum (500 MHz, 298 K, CD$_3$CN) of 1·10BF$_4$. NOE crosspeaks between protons of the exo- and endo- ligand are highlighted by blue circles.

Figure S9: $^1$H DOSY spectrum (400 MHz, 298 K, CD$_3$CN) of 1·10BF$_4$. The diffusion coefficient for 1 in CD$_3$CN was measured to be $4.26 \times 10^{-6}$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$. 
**Figure S10**: Low-resolution ESI-mass spectrum of 1·10BF₄.
Figure S11: High-resolution ESI-mass spectrometry analysis of 1·10BF₄ showing the (a) +3 peak (b) +4 peak (c) +5 peak and (d) +6 peak. The fragmentation patterns observed for the +4 and the +6 peaks are attributed to homolytic fragmentation of the [Cu₁₀L₄]^{10+} assembly under the MS conditions. The ionisation conditions of the instrument used to record the high-resolution ESI-MS are harsher than those used to record the low-resolution ESI-MS in Figure S10, as evidenced by much higher levels of fragmentation overall and the observation of strong peaks for low charged +1 and +2 fragments. No other spectroscopic evidence suggested the formation of [Cu₅L₂]^5+ single cages in solution.
Figure S12: Partial $^1$H NMR spectra (400 MHz, 298 K, CD$_3$CN) of 1·10BF$_4$ in different solvents showing the persistence of the catenated cage structure.
1.4. Synthesis and characterization of \([\text{CoL}_2](\text{NTf}_2)_{10}\) (2·10NTf$_2$)

To a small test tube was added 2-formyl-1,10-phenanthroline (4.8 mg, 23 μmol, 10 equiv.), cobalt(II) bis(trifluoromethanesulfonylimide) (8.2 mg, 11.5 μmol, 5 equiv.) and CD$_3$CN (0.5 mL) and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 5 min. Sym-pentakis(4-aminothiophenyl)corannulene (4.0 mg, 4.6 μmol, 2 equiv.) was then added as a solution in CD$_3$CN (0.4 mL) and the mixture was degassed by bubbling with nitrogen for 15 min and then stirred at 353 K for 2 days. Formation of 2·10NTf$_2$ was confirmed by $^1$H NMR. The solution was then filtered and diethyl ether (ca. 5 mL) was added. The dark orange solid was isolated through centrifugation and washed a further two times with diethyl ether to give 2·10NTf$_2$ as a dark orange powder. Yield 14.8 mg, 95%. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz; 298 K; CD$_3$CN): $\delta$ 259.4, 187.8, 106.4, 49.1, 34.7, 27.2, 19.6, 3.2, -12.5, -65.9. ESI-MS: $m/z$ = 392.9 [2]$^{10+}$, 467.8 [2(NTf$_2^-$)]$^{9+}$, 561.2 [2(NTf$_2^-$)$_2$]$^{8+}$, 681.3 [2(NTf$_2^-$)$_3$]$^{7+}$, 841.6 [2(NTf$_2^-$)$_4$]$^{6+}$, 1065.9 [2(NTf$_2^-$)$_5$]$^{5+}$, 1402.3 [2(NTf$_2^-$)$_6$]$^{4+}$.

![Figure S13: $^1$H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, 298 K, CD$_3$CN) of 2·10NTf$_2$.](image-url)
Figure S14: Low-resolution ESI-mass spectrum of 2·10NTf₂.
Figure S15: High-resolution ESI-mass spectrometry analysis of 2·10NTf₂ showing the +8 and +7 peaks.
1.5. Synthesis and characterization of [CoL2](BF₄)₁₀ (2·10BF₄)

To a small test tube was added 2-formyl-1,10-phenanthroline (3.0 mg, 14.4 μmol, 10 equiv.), cobalt tetrafluoroborate hexahydrate (2.5 mg, 7.4 μmol, 5 equiv.) and CD₃CN (0.4 mL) and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 5 min. Sym-pentakis(4-aminothiophenyl)corannulene (2.5 mg, 2.9 μmol, 2 equiv.) was then added as a solution in CD₃CN (0.25 mL) and the mixture was degassed by bubbling with nitrogen for 15 min and then stirred at 353 K for 2 days. Formation of 2·10BF₄ was confirmed by ¹H NMR. The solution was then filtered and diethyl ether (ca. 5 mL) was added. The light orange solid was isolated through centrifugation and washed a further two times with diethyl ether to give 2·10BF₄ as a light orange powder. Yield 4.2 mg, 60%. The ¹H NMR data of the product closely matched those of the triflimide salt. ¹H NMR (400 MHz; 298 K; CD₃CN): δ 259.3, 187.8, 106.4, 49.1, 34.7, 27.2, 19.6, 3.2, 0.7, -12.5, -65.9. ESI-MS: m/z = 392.9 [2]¹⁰⁺, 446.2 [2(BF₄⁻)]²⁺, 512.8 [2(BF₄⁻)]³⁺, 598.4 [2(BF₄⁻)]⁴⁺, 712.7 [2(BF₄⁻)]⁵⁺, 872.6 [2(BF₄⁻)]⁶⁺, 1112.5 [2(BF₄⁻)]⁷⁺, 1512.1 [2(BF₄⁻)]⁸⁺.

Figure S16: ¹H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, 298 K, CD₃CN) of 2·10BF₄.
Figure S17: Low-resolution ESI-mass spectrum of $2 \cdot 10\text{BF}_4$. 

- $[2(\text{BF}_4)]^8^-$: 512.8 (calc. 512.8)
- $[2(\text{BF}_4)]^7^-$: 598.4 (calc. 598.5)
- $[2(\text{BF}_4)]^6^-$: 712.7 (calc. 712.7)
- $[2(\text{BF}_4)]^5^-$: 872.6 (calc. 872.6)
- $[2(\text{BF}_4)]^4^-$: 1112.5 (calc. 1112.5)
- $[2(\text{BF}_4)]^3^-$: 1512.1 (calc. 1512.2)

- $[2]^{10}^+$: 392.9 (calc. 392.9)
- $[2]^{9}^+$: 446.2 (calc. 446.2)
- $[2]^{8}^+$: 519.0 (calc. 519.0)
Figure S18: High-resolution ESI-mass spectrometry analysis of 2·10BF₄ showing the +7 and +6 peaks.
1.6. *Synthesis and characterization of [ZnsL₂](NTf₂)₁₀ (3·10NTf₂)*

To a small test tube was added 2-formyl-1,10-phenanthroline (4.8 mg, 23 μmol, 10 equiv.), zinc(II) bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide (7.2 mg, 11.5 μmol, 5 equiv.) and CD₃CN (0.5 mL) and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 5 min. Sym-pentakis(4-aminothiophenyl)corannulene (4.0 mg, 4.6 μmol, 2 equiv.) was then added as a solution in CD₃CN (0.4 mL) and the mixture was degassed by bubbling with nitrogen for 15 min and then stirred at 353 K for 2 days. Formation of 3·10NTf₂ was confirmed by ¹H NMR. The solution was then filtered and diethyl ether (ca. 5 mL) was added. The light orange solid was isolated through centrifugation and washed a further two times with diethyl ether to give 3·10NTf₂ as a light orange powder. Yield 13.5 mg, 87%. ¹H NMR (500 MHz; 298 K; CD₃CN): δ 9.24 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 10H, H₆), 8.68 (s, 10H, H₄), 8.61 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 10H, H₅), 8.27 (d, J = 9.3 Hz, 10H, H₇), 8.15 (s, 10H, H₈), 7.55 (dd, J = 4.8, 1.5 Hz, 10H, H₁₁), 7.49 (dd, J = 8.0, 4.8 Hz, 10H, H₁₀), 7.07 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 20H, H₂), 6.36 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 20H, H₃). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, 298 K, CD₃CN): δ = 159.1 (imine), 150.1, 146.5, 144.1, 141.0, 140.9, 140.8, 139.6, 139.2, 134.4, 133.8, 132.6, 132.5, 131.0, 130.8, 128.2, 127.9, 127.6 (two overlapping signals), 123.9, 120.8 (q, JCF = 320.8 Hz, (CF₃SO₂)₂N⁻). ESI-MS: m/z = 396.1 [3]¹⁰⁺, 471.2 [3(NTf₂)⁺]¹⁺, 565.2 [3(NTf₂)⁺]¹⁺, 686.0 [3(NTf₂)⁺]¹⁺, 846.9 [3(NTf₂)⁺]¹⁺, 1072.5 [3(NTf₂)⁺]¹⁺, 1410.3 [3(NTf₂)⁺]¹⁺.

**Figure S19:** Aromatic region of the ¹H NMR spectrum (500 MHz, 298 K, CD₃CN) of 3·10NTf₂
Figure S20: Aromatic region of the $^{13}$C NMR spectrum (126 MHz, 298 K, CD$_3$CN) of 3·10NTf$_2$.

Figure S21: Aromatic region of the $^1$H-$^1$H COSY spectrum (500 MHz, 298 K, CD$_3$CN) of 3·10NTf$_2$. 
Figure S22: Aromatic region of the $^1$H-$^1$C HSQC spectrum (500 MHz, 298 K, CD$_3$CN) of 3·10NTf$_2$.

Figure S23: Aromatic region of the $^1$H-$^1$C HMBC spectrum (500 MHz, 298 K, CD$_3$CN) of 3·10NTf$_2$. 
Figure S24: Aromatic region of the $^1$H-$^1$H NOESY spectrum (500 MHz, 298 K, CD$_3$CN) of 3-10NTf$_2$.

Figure S25: $^1$H DOSY spectrum (400 MHz, 298 K, CD$_3$CN) of 3-10NTf$_2$. The diffusion coefficient for 3 in CD$_3$CN was measured to be $5.20 \times 10^{-6}$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$. 
Figure S26: Low-resolution ESI-mass spectrum of 3·10NTf₂.
Figure S27: High-resolution ESI-mass spectrometry analysis of 3·10NTf₂ showing the +8 and +7 peaks.
1.7. Synthesis and characterization of $[\text{ZnS}L_2](\text{BF}_4)_{10}$ (3-10BF₄)

To a small test tube was added 2-formyl-1,10-phenanthroline (4.8 mg, 23 μmol, 10 equiv.), zinc tetrafluoroborate hydrate (4.0 mg, 11.5 μmol, 5 equiv.) and CD₃CN (0.5 mL) and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 5 min. Sym-pentakis(4-aminothiophenyl)corannulene (4.0 mg, 4.6 μmol, 2 equiv.) was then added as a solution in CD₃CN (0.4 mL) and the mixture was degassed by bubbling with nitrogen for 15 min and then stirred at 353 K for 2 days. Formation of 3-10BF₄ was confirmed by $^1$H NMR. The solution was then filtered and diethyl ether (ca. 5 mL) was added. The light orange solid was isolated through centrifugation and washed a further two times with diethyl ether to give 3-10BF₄ as a light orange powder. Yield 9.7 mg, 86%. All NMR data closely matched those of the triflimide salt. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz; 298 K; CD₃CN): $\delta$ 9.25 (d, $J = 8.3$ Hz, 10H, $H_6$), 8.70 (s, 10H, $H_4$), 8.63 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 10H, $H_5$), 8.56 (dd, $J = 8.2$, 1.5 Hz, 10H, $H_3$), 8.39 (d, $J = 9.2$ Hz, 10H, $H_7$), 8.27 (d, $J = 9.2$ Hz, 10H, $H_8$), 8.15 (s, 10H, $H_1$), 7.56 (dd, $J = 4.8$, 1.5 Hz, 10H, $H_{11}$), 7.50 (dd, $J = 8.1$, 4.8 Hz, 10H, $H_{10}$), 7.08 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 20H, $H_2$), 6.36 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 20H, $H_3$). ESI-MS: $m/z$ = 449.7 [2(BF₄⁻)]³⁺, 516.8 [2(BF₄⁻)₂]²⁺, 603.0 [2(BF₄⁻)₃]¹⁺, 718.2 [2(BF₄⁻)₄]⁰⁺, 879.0 [2(BF₄⁻)₅]⁻, 1120.5 [2(BF₄⁻)₆]⁴⁺, 1522.5 [2(BF₄⁻)₇]⁵⁺.

Figure S28: Aromatic region of the $^1$H NMR spectrum (400 MHz, 298 K, CD₃CN) of 3-10BF₄.
Figure S29: Low-resolution ESI-mass spectrum of a mixture of 3·10BF₄.
Figure S30: High-resolution ESI-mass spectrometry analysis of 3·10BF₄ showing the +6 and +5 peaks.
1.8. Structural transformation of 1 into 2

A sample of 1·10BF₄ was initially prepared according to the method described in Section 1.3, starting from 2.5 mg (2.9 µmol) of subcomponent A. Assembly 1·10BF₄ was combined with 2-formyl-1,10-phenanthroline (3.0 mg, 14 µmol, 20 equiv. per assembly, assuming quantitative formation of 1·10BF₄ in the first step) and Co(BF₄)₂·6H₂O (2.5 mg, 7.3 µmol, 10.2 equiv. per assembly) in CD₃CN (0.65 mL) and the mixture degassed by bubbling with nitrogen for 15 min. The mixture was stirred at 333 K for 24 h and then at 353 K for 72 h. The ¹H NMR (Figure S31) and ESI mass (Figure S32) spectra of the resulting mixture were consistent with the formation of 2·10BF₄ as the major product in solution.

Figure S31: ¹H NMR spectra (400 MHz, 298 K, CD₃CN) of (a) the initial sample of 1·10BF₄ and (b) the sample of 2·10BF₄ obtained following the structural transformation.
Figure S32: Low-resolution ESI-mass spectrum of the reaction mixture from the structural transformation of 1·10BF₄ into 2·10BF₄.
2. Host-guest studies

2.1. General procedure

The host-guest chemistry of the diamagnetic assemblies 1 and 3 was investigated with the prospective guests shown in Figure S33. Host-guest complexes were prepared on an NMR scale and characterized by $^1$H NMR spectroscopy. A solution of 1 or 3 in CD$_3$CN (1.0–3.0 mM) was transferred to an NMR tube and the prospective guest molecule (typically 5-10 equiv) was added as a solid. The mixtures were sonicated for 10 minutes and allowed to equilibrate for at least 24 hours at 298 K prior to measurement of the $^1$H NMR spectrum. In the case of 3, the mixtures were subsequently heated at 353 K for 24 hr and the $^1$H NMR spectra measured again.

In both cases a fast-exchange binding interaction was inferred to have occurred with corannulene as indicated by the observation of clear shifts in both the host and guest signals. Characterization of the resulting host-guest complexes is given below.

In all other cases no binding was inferred to have taken place, as the signals for the host appeared at the same chemical shifts as in the absence of the guest and the signals for the guest were identical to those in the absence of host for guests that were soluble in CD$_3$CN. In general small shifts of $<$0.05 ppm were attributed to weak non-specific π-stacking interactions while guest binding was inferred by more significant shifts of $>$0.05 ppm. Attempts were also made to synthesise 1 and 3 in the presence of C$_{60}$ and coronene resulting in $^1$H NMR spectra identical to those obtained in the absence of guest.

![Figure S33: Overview of prospective guests used in this study. Only corannulene was observed to interact with 1 and 3.](image_url)
2.2. Host-guest interaction of corannulene with 1

**Figure S34:** Aromatic region of the $^1$H NMR spectrum (500 MHz, 298 K, CD$_3$CN) of corannulene (top), 1 (bottom) and a mixture of 1 and ca. 10 equiv. corannulene (middle). Shifts in the signals of 1 are marked with red dashed lines and the shift in the corannulene signal is marked with a blue dashed line.
2.3. Host-guest interaction of corannulene with 3

**Figure S35:** Aromatic region of the $^1$H NMR spectrum (500 MHz, 298 K, CD$_3$CN) of corannulene (top), 3 (bottom) and a mixture of 3 and ca. 10 equiv. corannulene (middle). Shifts in the signals of 3 are marked with red dashed lines and the shift on the corannulene signal is marked with a blue dashed line.
3. X-ray crystallography

Data were collected at Beamline I19 of Diamond Light Source employing silicon double crystal monochromated synchrotron radiation (0.6889 Å) with ω and ψ scans at 100(2) K. Data integration and reduction were undertaken with Xia2. Subsequent computations were carried out using the WinGX-32 graphical user interface. Multi-scan empirical absorption corrections were applied to the data using the AIMLESS tool in the CCP4 suite. The structures were solved by direct methods using SHELXT then refined and extended with SHELXL. In general, non-hydrogen atoms with occupancies greater than 0.5 were refined anisotropically. Carbon-bound hydrogen atoms were included in idealised positions and refined using a riding model. Disorder was modelled using standard crystallographic methods including constraints, restraints and rigid bodies where necessary. Crystallographic data along with specific details pertaining to the refinement follow.

In all cases no restraints were applied to the corannulene moieties within the structures. Distances between corannulene moieties in the structures were calculated as the average perpendicular distance of the atoms of the central pentagon of one corannulene to the least-squares plane of the atoms of the central pentagon of the other corannulene (and vice versa to give a total of 10 measurements). The bowl depths of corannulenes in the structures were calculated as the average perpendicular distance between the rim carbon atoms and the least-squares plane of the central pentagon. The standard deviations of all measurements are derived from the standard deviation of the measured distances and all calculated distances are given as the average across all crystallographically independent measurements in the structures.

Crystallographic data have been deposited with the CCDC (CCDC 1913638-1913643 and 1913646).

1·10BF₄·16.25MeCN·2.5C₄H₁₀O [+ solvent]

Formula C₃₈₂.₅₀H₃₁₃.₇₅B₁₀Cu₁₀F₄₀N₅₆.₂₅O₂.₅₀S₂₀. M 7882.₈₃, Monoclinic, space group P 2₁/c (#14), a 33.308(7), b 28.413(6), c 44.063(9) Å, β 110.98(3), V 38935(15) Å³, Dc 1.345 g cm⁻³, Z 4, crystal size 0.100 by 0.050 by 0.040 mm, colour brown, habit block, temperature 100(2) Kelvin, λ(Synchrotron) 0.6889 Å, μ(Synchrotron) 0.662 mm⁻¹, T(Analytical)min,max 0.960773912838, 1.0, 2θmax 45.00, hkl range -33 36, -31 31, -48 46, N 243326, Nind 55303(Rmerge 0.0340), Nobs 37740(I > 2σ(I)), Nvar 4999, residuals * R1(F) 0.0870, wR2(F²) 0.2851, GoF(all) 1.010, ∆ρmin,max -0.924, 1.216 e⁻ Å⁻³.

* R1 = Σ||Fo| - |Fc||/Σ|Fo| for Fo > 2σ(Fo); wR2 = (Σw(Fo² - Fc²)²/Σw(Fc²)²)¹/² all reflections
\[ w = 1/\left[ \sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.2000P)^2 + 25.0000P \right] \text{ where } P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3 \]

Specific refinement details:

Crystals of 1·10BF₄·16.25MeCN·2.5C₆H₁₀O were grown by diffusion of diethyl ether into an acetonitrile solution of 1·10BF₄. The crystals employed immediately lost solvent after removal from the mother liquor and rapid handling prior to flash cooling in the cryostream was required to collect data. Despite these measures and the use of synchrotron radiation few reflections at greater than 0.9 Å resolution were observed. The asymmetric unit was found to contain two crystallographically unique Cu₅L₂ assemblies and associated counterions and solvent molecules; each Cu₅L₂ assembly forms a Cu₁₀L₄ [2]catenane with its enantiomer. Thermal parameter restraints (SIMU, RIGU) were applied to all atoms except for copper in order to facilitate anisotropic refinement and bond lengths and angles within pairs of chemically identical ligands arms were restrained to be similar to each other. No restraints were applied to the corannulene portion of the organic ligands. The acetonitrile and diethyl ether solvent molecules show evidence of substantial disorder. Many of these solvent molecules were modelled over multiple locations with some lattice sites modelled as a mixture of acetonitrile and diethyl ether. The disordered atoms were modelled with isotropic thermal parameters and bond length and thermal parameter restraints were applied to facilitate a reasonable refinement. The hydrogen atoms of some disordered acetonitrile molecules could not be located in the electron density map and were not included in the model.

The anions within the structure show evidence of substantial disorder. The 10 BF₄⁻ anions were modelled as disordered over 11 lattice sites; five of these lattice sites were further modelled as disordered over two locations. Some disordered atoms were modelled with isotropic thermal parameters and bond length and thermal parameter restraints were applied to facilitate a reasonable refinement.

Further reflecting the solvent loss and poor diffraction properties there is a small amount of void volume in the lattice containing smeared electron density from disordered solvent. Consequently the SQUEEZE¹⁰ function of PLATON¹¹ was employed to remove the contribution of the electron density associated with this highly disordered solvent which gave a potential solvent accessible void of 684 Å³ per unit cell (a total of approximately 185 electrons). Since the diffuse solvent molecules could not be assigned conclusively to acetonitrile or diethyl ether they were not included in the formula. Consequently, the molecular weight and density given above are likely to be slightly underestimated.

CheckCIF gives 12 B level alerts. These alerts all result from the limited data resolution and thermal motion and/or unresolved disorder of some anions and solvent molecules as described above.
**Figure S36:** Side-on views of 1 from the crystal structure of 1·10BF₄·16.25MeCN·2.5C₆H₁₀O in stick and space-filling representations. Counterions, solvents and disorder are omitted for clarity. The carbon atoms of the two interlocked [Cu⁵L₂]⁵⁺ cages are colored differently and only one of the two crystallographically unique assemblies is shown.

**Figure S37:** Top views of 1 from the crystal structure of 1·10BF₄·16.25MeCN·2.5C₆H₁₀O in stick and space-filling representations, highlighting the S₁₀ symmetry of the assembly. Counterions, solvents and disorder are omitted for clarity. The carbon atoms of the two interlocked [Cu⁵L₂]⁵⁺ cages are colored differently and only one of the two crystallographically unique assemblies is shown.
Figure S38: Structure of 1 from the crystal structure of 1·10BF₄·16.25MeCN·2.5C₆H₁₀O highlighting the stacking arrangement between corannulenes. Counterions, solvents and disorder are omitted for clarity. The two interlocked [Cu₅L₂]⁺⁺ cages are colored differently and only one of the two crystallographically unique assemblies is shown. Key distances between corannulenes, given as the average between those of the two crystallographically unique assemblies, are marked.

(corannulene)₂·1·10BF₄·4.5C₆H₁₀O [+ solvent]

Formula C₁₃₉₈H₃₀₅B₁₀Cu₁₀F₄₀N₄₀O₄₅S₂₀·M 7864.50, Orthorhombic, space group P c c n (#56), a 35.35960(10), b 34.5841(2), c 34.61900(10) Å, V 42334.9(3) Å³, D_c 1.234 g cm⁻³, Z 4, crystal size 0.040 by 0.020 by 0.020 mm, colour brown, habit block, temperature 100(2) Kelvin, λ(Synchrotron) 0.6889 Å, μ(Synchrotron) 0.608 mm⁻¹, T(Analytical) min,max 0.95366992765, 1.0, 2θ max 48.42, hkl range -39 42, -36 40, -40 41, N 285360, N_ind 37285(R merge 0.0414), N_obs 24921(I > 2σ(I)), N_var 3215, residuals * R1(F) 0.0949, wR2(F²) 0.3188, GoF(all) 1.087, Δρ_min,max -0.742, 0.889 e⁻ Å⁻³.

Specific refinement details:

Crystals of (corannulene)₂·1·10BF₄·4.5C₆H₁₀O were grown by diffusion of diethyl ether into an acetonitrile solution of 1·10BF₄ containing a large excess of corannulene. The crystals employed immediately lost solvent after removal from the mother liquor and rapid handling prior to flash cooling in the cryostream was required to collect data. Even so data were obtained to 0.84 Å resolution by employing synchrotron radiation. The asymmetric unit was found to contain one Cu₅L₂ assembly, one S35
corannulene molecule and associated counterions and solvent molecules. The Cu$_5$L$_2$ assembly forms a Cu$_{10}$L$_4$ [2]catenane with its enantiomer. Thermal parameter restraints (SIMU, RIGU) were applied to all atoms except for copper in order to facilitate anisotropic refinement.

The structure shows evidence of substantial disorder. The main Cu$_5$L$_2$ residue was found to exhibit whole molecule disorder with a refined occupancy of 0.8085(10) for the major occupancy part. Bond lengths and angles within the two chemically identical ligands (excluding the corannulene portion of the organic ligands to which no restraints were applied) of the major occupancy assembly were restrained to be similar to each other. In order to obtain a reasonable model for the minor occupancy part the GRADE program$^{12}$ was employed using the GRADE Web Server$^{13}$ to generate a full set of bond distance and angle restraints (DFIX, DANG, FLAT) for the organic ligands. The minor occupancy part was modelled with isotropic thermal parameters. The stacked corannulene molecule shows evidence of thermal motion or dynamic disorder which could not be adequately modelled with discrete atom positions. Consequently the thermal parameters of this residue are larger than the main Cu$_5$L$_2$ residue. The occupancy of this corannulene was initially refined but a value close to unity was obtained hence it was fixed at full occupancy.

The diethyl ether solvent molecules also show evidence of disorder. Two of these solvent molecules were modelled as disordered over two locations. The disordered atoms were modelled with isotropic thermal parameters and bond length and thermal parameter restraints were applied to facilitate a reasonable refinement. The anions within the structure also show evidence of substantial disorder. Four of the BF$_4^-$ anions were modelled as disordered over two locations. Some disordered atoms were modelled with isotropic thermal parameters and bond length and thermal parameter restraints were applied to facilitate a reasonable refinement.

Further reflecting the solvent loss and poor diffraction properties there is a small amount of void volume in the lattice containing smeared electron density from 0.5 unresolved anions per Cu$_5$L$_2$ assembly (assigned as BF$_4^-$ in the formula) and further highly disordered solvent. Consequently the SQUEEZE$^{10}$ function of PLATON$^{11}$ was employed to remove the contribution of the electron density associated with the highly disordered anion and solvent molecules which gave a potential solvent accessible void of 7354 Å$^3$ per unit cell (a total of approximately 2152 electrons). Since the diffuse solvent molecules could not be assigned conclusively to acetonitrile or diethyl ether they were not included in the formula. Consequently, the molecular weight and density given above are likely to be slightly underestimated.

CheckCIF gives 2 B level alerts. These alerts both result from thermal motion and/or unresolved disorder of some anions and solvent molecules as described above.
Figure S39: Side-on view of (corannulene)$_2$•1 from the crystal structure of (corannulene)$_2$•1·10BF$_4$·4.5C$_4$H$_{10}$O in stick representation. Counterions, solvents, hydrogen atoms and disorder are omitted for clarity. The carbon atoms of the two interlocked [Cu$_5$L$_2$]$^{5+}$ cages are colored differently and the carbon atoms of the stacked corannulenes are colored red.

Figure S40: Top views of (corannulene)$_2$•1 from the crystal structure of (corannulene)$_2$•1·10BF$_4$·4.5C$_4$H$_{10}$O in stick and space-filling representations. Counterions, solvents and disorder are omitted for clarity. Hydrogen atoms are also removed in the stick view. The carbon atoms of the two interlocked [Cu$_5$L$_2$]$^{5+}$ cages are colored differently and the carbon atoms of the stacked corannulenes are colored red.
**Figure S41:** Structure of (corannulene)$_2$$\cdot$1 from the crystal structure of (corannulene)$_2$$\cdot$1·10BF$_4$$\cdot$4.5C$_4$H$_{10}$O highlighting the stacking arrangement between corannulenes. Counterions, solvents and disorder are omitted for clarity. The two interlocked [Cu$_5$L$_2$]$_{10}^{5+}$ cages and stacked corannulenes are colored differently. Key distances between corannulenes are marked.

**Figure S42:** Whole molecule disorder of 1 in the crystal structure of (corannulene)$_2$$\cdot$1·10BF$_4$$\cdot$4.5C$_4$H$_{10}$O. The major occupancy part (81%) is colored blue and the minor occupancy part (19 %) is colored red. Only the [Cu$_5$L$_2$]$_{10}^{5+}$ cage comprising the asymmetric unit is shown. Each cage interlocks with its enantiomer (generated through inversion) to form the complete [Cu$_{10}$L$_4$]$_{10}^{10+}$ assembly. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.
**2-coronene·10BF₄·20.5MeCN·iPr₂O [+ solvent]**

Formula $C_{301}H_{217.5}B_{10}Co_{5}F_{40}N_{50.5}O_{10}$. $M$ 6041.09. Triclinic, space group $P$ -1 (#2), $a$ 27.3964(8), $b$ 27.5891(11), $c$ 27.8154(8) Å, $\alpha$ 90.192(3), $\beta$ 118.294(2), $\gamma$ 116.730(3)º, $V$ 15894.8(10) Å³, $D_c$ 1.262 g cm⁻³, $Z$ 2, crystal size 0.030 by 0.020 by 0.015 mm, colour orange, habit block, temperature 100(2) Kelvin, $\lambda$(Synchrotron) 0.6889 Å, $\mu$(Synchrotron) 0.369 mm⁻¹, $T$(Analytical)min,max 0.972075869384, 1.0, $2\theta$max 48.42, $hkl$ range -32 32, -32 32, -33 33, $N$ 190480, $N_{\text{ind}}$ 55574($R_{\text{merge}}$ 0.0601), $N_{\text{obs}}$ 29848($I > 2\sigma(I)$), $N_{\text{var}}$ 4135, residuals * $R1(F)$ 0.1092, $wR2(F^2)$ 0.3466, GoF(all) 1.099, $\Delta \rho_{\text{min,max}}$ -0.612, 1.368 e⁻ Å⁻³.

* $R1 = \Sigma |F_o| - |F_c|/\Sigma |F_o|$ for $F_o > 2\sigma(F_o)$; $wR2 = (\Sigma w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2/\Sigma (wF_c^2)^2)^{1/2}$ all reflections, $w=1/[(\sigma^2(F_o^2)+0.0000P)^2+4.0000P] \text{ where } P=(F_o^2+2F_c^2)/3$

**Specific refinement details:**

The crystals of 2-coronene·10BF₄·20.5MeCN·iPr₂O [+ solvent] were grown by diffusion of diisopropyl ether into an acetonitrile solution of 2·10BF₄ containing excess coronene. The crystals employed immediately lost solvent after removal from the mother liquor and rapid handling prior to flash cooling in the cryostream was required to collect data. Even so data were obtained to 0.84 Å resolution by employing synchrotron radiation. The asymmetric unit was found to contain one complete Co₅L₂ assembly, half of two separate coronene molecules (located over special positions) and associated counterions and solvent molecules.

Bond lengths and angles within pairs of chemically identical organic ligand arms were restrained to be similar to each other as were the two coronene molecules. No restraints were applied to the corannulene portion of the organic ligands. Thermal parameter restraints (SIMU, RIGU) were applied to all atoms except for cobalt to facilitate anisotropic stable refinement. One of the coronene molecules shows evidence of thermal motion or minor unresolved disorder resulting in a large average Ueq value for that residue.

The anions within the structure show evidence of substantial disorder. The 10 BF₄⁻ anions were modelled as disordered over 12 lattice sites; eight of these lattice sites were further modelled as disordered over two locations. Some disordered atoms were modelled with isotropic thermal parameters and bond length and thermal parameter restraints were applied to facilitate a reasonable refinement. Many acetonitrile solvent molecules were also modelled as disordered over multiple locations and/or
with partial occupancy. The hydrogen atoms of some of the disordered solvent molecules could not be located in the electron density map and were not included in the model.

Further reflecting the solvent loss there is a small amount of void volume in the lattice containing smeared electron density from disordered solvent. Consequently the SQUEEZE\textsuperscript{10} function of PLATON\textsuperscript{11} was employed to remove the contribution of the electron density associated with this highly disordered solvent which gave a potential solvent accessible void of 874 Å\textsuperscript{3} per unit cell (a total of approximately 210 electrons). Since the diffuse solvent molecules could not be assigned conclusively to acetonitrile or diisopropyl ether they were not included in the formula. Consequently, the molecular weight and density given above are likely to be slightly underestimated.

CheckCIF gives 3 A and 47 B level alerts. These alerts (both A and B level) all result from solvent molecules for which the hydrogen atoms were not modelled (singly bonded carbons) and thermal motion and/or unresolved disorder of some anions, solvent molecules and one of the coronenes as described above.

**Figure S43:** Side-on views of 2 from the crystal structure of 2-coronene·10BF\textsubscript{4}·20.5MeCN·iPr\textsubscript{2}O in stick and space-filling representations. Counterions, solvents and disorder are omitted for clarity. The structure is similar to that of 2 in the structures of 2·10ClO\textsubscript{4}·3MeCN (Figure S49) and 2·10BF\textsubscript{4}·11MeCN (Figure S48).
**Figure S44:** Top views of 2 from the crystal structure of 2-coronene·10BF₄·20.5MeCN·iPr₂O in stick and space-filling representations. Counterions, solvents and disorder are omitted for clarity. The structure is similar to that of 2 in the structures of 2·10ClO₄·3MeCN (Figure S49) and 2·10BF₄·11MeCN (Figure S48).

**Figure S45:** The structure of 2 from the crystal structure of 2-coronene·10BF₄·20.5MeCN·iPr₂O highlighting the arrangement of corannulenes. Counterions, solvents and disorder are omitted for clarity. The distance between the corannulenes is marked, highlighting the absence of a cavity in the solid state. Similar distances were obtained for the structures 2·10ClO₄·3MeCN (3.23(3) Å) and 2·10BF₄·11MeCN (3.28(3) Å).
Figure S46: Crystal packing within the crystal structure of 2-coronene·10BF₄·20.5MeCN·iPr₂O showing the arrangement of the co-crystallized coronene (shown in red) which intercalates between molecules of 2 forming a 1D tape. Counterions, solvents and disorder are omitted for clarity.

Figure S47: Crystal packing within the crystal structure of 2-coronene·10BF₄·20.5MeCN·iPr₂O showing the packing of the co-crystallized coronene (shown in red) and 2 into 2D sheets. The counterions and solvents which occupy the remaining voids in the structure are omitted for clarity.
**2·10BF₄⁻11MeCN [+ solvent]**

Formula $\text{C}_{252}\text{H}_{163}\text{B}_{10}\text{Co}_{5}\text{F}_{40}\text{N}_{41}\text{S}_{10}$, $M$ 5248.57, Monoclinic, space group C 2/c (#15), $a$ 12.7122(3), $b$ 60.0379(11), $c$ 36.2181(5) Å, $\beta$ 98.238(2), $V$ 27356.9(9) Å$^3$, $D_c$ 1.274 g cm$^{-3}$, $Z$ 4, crystal size 0.004 by 0.003 by 0.003 mm, colour orange, habit block, temperature 100(2) Kelvin, $\lambda$(Synchrotron) 0.6889 Å, $\mu$(Synchrotron) 0.417 mm$^{-1}$, $T$(Analytical)$_{\text{min, max}}$ 0.973424816736, 1.0, $2\theta_{\text{max}}$ 36.50, $hkl$ range -11 11, -54 54, -32 31, $N$ 33477, $N_{\text{ind}}$ 10555($R_{\text{merge}}$ 0.0607), $N_{\text{obs}}$ 6151($I > 2\sigma(I)$), $N_{\text{var}}$ 1575, residuals * $R1(F)$ 0.1131, $wR2(F^2)$ 0.3460, GoF(all) 1.081, $\Delta\rho_{\text{min,max}}$ -0.360, 0.831 e$^-\text{Å}^3$.

* $R1 = \Sigma|F_o| - |F_c|/\Sigma|F_o|$ for $F_o > 2\sigma(F_o)$; $wR2 = (\Sigma w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2/\Sigma(wF_c^2)^2)^{1/2}$ all reflections, $w=1/[(\sigma^2(F_o^2)+(0.2000P)^2)+80.0000P]$ where $P=(F_o^2+2F_c^2)/3$

**Specific refinement details:**

The crystals of 2·10BF₄⁻11MeCN [+ solvent] were grown by diffusion of diethyl ether into an acetonitrile solution of the complex. The crystals employed immediately lost solvent after removal from the mother liquor and rapid handling prior to flash cooling in the cryostream was required to collect data. Despite these measures and the use of synchrotron radiation few reflections at greater than 1.1 Å resolution were observed and the data were trimmed accordingly. Nevertheless, the quality of the data is far more than sufficient to establish the connectivity of the structure. The asymmetric unit was found to contain one half of a Co₅L₂ assembly and associated counterions and solvent molecules.

Due to the limited resolution, bond lengths and angles within pairs of chemically identical organic ligand arms were restrained to be similar to each other. No restraints were applied to the coronannulene portion of the organic ligands. Two of the five ligand arms show evidence of substantial thermal motion or dynamic disorder which could not be adequately modelled with discrete atom positions. In order to obtain a reasonable model for these ligand arms the GRADE program\(^{12}\) was employed using the GRADE Web Server\(^{13}\) to generate a full set of bond distance and angle restraints (DFIX, DANG, FLAT) for these residues. Thermal parameter restraints (SIMU, RIGU) were applied to all atoms except for cobalt to facilitate anisotropic stable refinement. Even with these restraints some thermal parameters remain larger than ideal as a consequence of the high level of thermal motion or minor unresolved disorder present throughout the structure resulting in a large average Ueq value.

The anions within the structure also show evidence of disorder. One BF₄⁻ anion was modelled as disordered over two locations and several more were modelled with partial occupancy. Some disordered...
atoms were modelled with isotropic thermal parameters and bond length and thermal parameter restraints were applied to facilitate a reasonable refinement. Some acetonitrile solvent molecules were also modelled as disordered over multiple locations and/or with partial occupancy. The hydrogen atoms of some disordered acetonitrile molecules could not be located in the electron density map and were not included in the model.

A further two anions per Co₅L₂ assembly remain unaccounted for and no satisfactory model for these anions could be obtained despite numerous attempts at modelling, including with rigid bodies. Therefore the SQUEEZE¹⁰ function of PLATON¹¹ was employed to account for the highly disordered anions and further disordered solvent molecules, which gave a potential solvent accessible void of 4517 Å³ per unit cell (a total of approximately 1008 electrons). These anions are included as BF₄⁻ in the formula given above. Since the diffuse solvent molecules could not be assigned conclusively to acetonitrile or diethyl ether they were not included in the formula. Consequently, the molecular weight and density given above are likely to be underestimated.

CheckCIF gives one A and sixteen B level alerts. These alerts (both A and B level) all result from the limited data resolution, solvent molecules for which the hydrogen atoms were not modelled, thermal motion and/or unresolved disorder of some anions and solvent molecules and the generally high level of thermal motion present throughout the structure as described above.
**Figure S48:** Two views of the structure of 2 from the crystal structure of 2·10BF₄·11MeCN. Counterions, solvents, hydrogen atoms and disorder are omitted for clarity. The structure is similar to that of 2 in the structures of 2·10ClO₄·3MeCN (Figure S49) and 2·coronene·10BF₄·20.5MeCN·iPr₂O (Figure 1 in the main text, Figures S43-S45).

2·10ClO₄·3MeCN [+ solvent]

Formula C₂₃₆H₁₃₉Cl₁₀Co₅N₃₃O₄₀S₁₀. M 5046.54, Monoclinic, space group C 2/c (#15), a 12.80850(10), b 60.9472(10), c 36.1921(3) Å, β 98.5390(10), V 27939.9(6) Å³, Dₚ 1.200 g cm⁻³, Z 4, crystal size 0.020 by 0.020 by 0.020 mm, colour orange, habit block, temperature 100(2) Kelvin, λ(Synchrotron) 0.6889 Å, μ(Synchrotron) 0.481 mm⁻¹, T(Analytical)_{min,max} 0.979027237085, 1.0, 2θ_max 48.42, hkl range -14 15, -72 72, -43 40, N 133938, N_ind 24616(R_merge 0.0355), N_obs 11504(1
\[ > 2\sigma(I), N_{\text{var}} 1558, \text{residuals}^* R1(F) 0.0909, \text{wR}2(F^2) 0.3339, \text{GoF(all) 1.083, } \Delta\rho_{\text{min,max}} -0.793, 0.885 \text{ e}^- \text{Å}^{-3}. \]

\[ ^* R1 = \frac{\sum|F_o| - |F_c|}{\sum|F_o|} \text{ for } F_o > 2\sigma(F_o); \text{wR}2 = \left(\frac{\sum w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2}{\sum (wF_c^2)^2}\right)^{1/2} \text{ all reflections,} \]

\[ w=1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2)+(0.2000P)^2] \text{ where } P=(F_o^2+2F_c^2)/3 \]

**Specific refinement details:**

The crystals of 2·10ClO₄·3MeCN [+ solvent] were grown by diffusion of diethyl ether into an acetonitrile solution of 2·10NTf₂ containing excess TBAClO₄. The crystals employed immediately lost solvent after removal from the mother liquor and rapid handling prior to flash cooling in the cryostream was required to collect data. Even so data were obtained to 0.84 Å resolution by employing synchrotron radiation. The asymmetric unit was found to contain one half of a Co₅L₂ assembly and associated counterions and solvent molecules.

Bond lengths and angles within pairs of chemically identical organic ligand arms were restrained to be similar to each other. No restraints were applied to the corannulene portion of the organic ligands. One of the five ligand arms was modelled as disordered over two locations with a refined occupancy of 0.723(5) for the major part. In order to obtain a reasonable model for the lower occupancy part of the disordered ligand arm the GRADE program\(^12\) was employed using the GRADE Web Server\(^13\) to generate a full set of bond distance and angle restraints (DFIX, DANG, FLAT) for these residues. Thermal parameter restraints (SIMU, RIGU) were applied to all atoms except for cobalt to facilitate anisotropic stable refinement. Even with these restraints some thermal parameters remain larger than ideal as a consequence of the high level of thermal motion or minor unresolved disorder present throughout the structure resulting in a large average Ueq value.

The anions within the structure also show evidence of disorder. One ClO₄⁻ anion was modelled as disordered over two locations and several more were modelled with partial occupancy. Some disordered atoms were modelled with isotropic thermal parameters and bond length and thermal parameter restraints were applied to facilitate a reasonable refinement. Some acetonitrile solvent molecules were also modelled as disordered over multiple locations and/or with partial occupancy. The hydrogen atoms of some disordered acetonitrile molecules could not be located in the electron density map and were not included in the model.

A further three anions per Co₅L₂ assembly remain unaccounted for and no satisfactory model for these anions could be obtained despite numerous attempts at modelling, including with rigid bodies. Therefore the SQUEEZE\(^10\) function of PLATON\(^11\) was employed to account for the highly disordered anions and further disordered solvent molecules, which gave a potential solvent accessible void of 8373 Å³.
Å$^3$ per unit cell (a total of approximately 2399 electrons). These anions are included as ClO$_4^-$ in the
formula given above. Since the diffuse solvent molecules could not be assigned conclusively to
acetonitrile or diethyl ether they were not included in the formula. Consequently, the molecular weight
and density given above are likely to be underestimated.

CheckCIF gives one A and seven B level alerts. These alerts (both A and B level) all result from solvent
molecules for which the hydrogen atoms were not modelled, thermal motion and/or unresolved disorder
of some anions and solvent molecules and the generally high level of thermal motion present throughout
the structure as described above.

**Figure S49:** Two views of the structure of 2 from the crystal structure of 2·10ClO$_4$·3MeCN.
Counterions, solvents, hydrogen atoms and disorder are omitted for clarity. The structure is similar to
that of 2 in the structures of 2·10BF$_4$·11MeCN (Figure S48) and 2·coronene·10BF$_4$·20.5MeCN·iPr$_2$O
(Figure 1 in the main text, Figures S43-S45).
(corannulene)$_{0.6} \cdot 2 \cdot 4\text{ReO}_4 \cdot 6\text{NTf}_2 \cdot 3.5\text{MeCN} \cdot 2.5\text{Et}_2\text{O} \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O} [+ \text{solvent}]

Formula C$_{271}$H$_{173.5}$Co$_{5}$F$_{36}$N$_{39.5}$O$_{43.5}$Re$_{4}$S$_{22}$, $M$ 7107.75. Triclinic, space group P -1 (#2), $a$ 14.98310(10), $b$ 24.4806(2), $c$ 40.1002(2) Å, $\alpha$ 83.3500(10), $\beta$ 88.8670(10), $\gamma$ 85.8950(10)$^\circ$, V 14571.15(17) Å$^3$, $D_\text{c}$ 1.620 g cm$^{-3}$, $Z$ 2, crystal size 0.030 by 0.020 by 0.020 mm, colour orange, habit block, temperature 100(2) Kelvin, $\lambda$(Synchrotron) 0.6889 Å, $\mu$(Synchrotron) 2.001 mm$^{-1}$, $T$(Analytical)$_{\text{min,max}}$ 0.954206444679, 1.0, $2\theta_{\text{max}}$ 58.95, $hkl$ range -21 21, -34 34, -57 57, $N$ 247778, $N_{\text{ind}}$ 87373($R_{\text{merge}}$ 0.0619), $N_{\text{obs}}$ 46258(I > 2$\sigma$(I)), $N_{\text{var}}$ 4101, residuals $^*$ $R1(F)$ 0.0781, $wR2(F^2)$ 0.2716, GoF(all) 0.984, $\Delta \rho_{\text{min,max}}$ -2.264, 2.084 e$^-$/Å$^3$.

$^*$ $R1 = \Sigma ||F_o|| - ||F_c||/\Sigma ||F_o||$ for $F_o > 2\sigma(F_o)$; $wR2 = (\Sigma w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2/\Sigma (wF_c^2)^2)^{1/2}$ all reflections, $w=1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2)+(0.1689P)^2]$ where P=$(F_o^2+2F_c^2)/3$

Specific refinement details:

The crystals of (corannulene)$_{0.6} \cdot 2 \cdot 4\text{ReO}_4 \cdot 6\text{NTf}_2 \cdot 3.5\text{MeCN} \cdot 2.5\text{Et}_2\text{O} \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O} [+ \text{solvent}]$ were grown by diffusion of diethyl ether into an acetonitrile solution of 2·10NTf$_2$ containing excess TBAReO$_4$ and a large excess of corannulene. The crystals employed immediately lost solvent after removal from the mother liquor and rapid handling prior to flash cooling in the cryostream was required to collect data. Even so data were obtained to 0.7 Å resolution by employing synchrotron radiation. The asymmetric unit was found to contain one complete Co$_5$L$_2$ assembly, one partial occupancy corannulene molecule and associated counterions and solvent molecules.

Bond lengths and angles within the two chemically identical organic ligands were restrained to be similar to each other (excluding the corannulene portion of the organic ligands to which no restraints were applied). Thermal parameter restraints (SIMU, RIGU) were applied to all atoms except for cobalt and rhenium to facilitate anisotropic stable refinement. The occupancy of the stacked corannulene was initially refined and then fixed at the obtained value of ca. 0.6 (rounded to the nearest 0.1).

The anions within the structure show evidence of very substantial disorder. Almost all located anions were modelled as disordered over two or three locations with some lattice sites modelled as a mixture of perrhenate and triflimide. The occupancies of the disordered anions were allowed to refine freely and then fixed at the obtained values. Some additional minor occupancy positions of the anions could not be located in the electron density map and were not included in the model resulting in a discrepancy of 1.6 counterions per Co$_5$L$_2$ assembly (included as triflimide in the formula since no large electron density
peaks corresponding to rhenium remained). Some disordered atoms were modelled with isotropic thermal parameters and bond length and thermal parameter restraints were applied to facilitate a reasonable refinement. Many acetonitrile solvent molecules were also modelled as disordered over multiple locations and/or with partial occupancy. The hydrogen atoms of one disordered solvent molecule could not be located in the electron density map and were not included in the model.

Further reflecting the solvent loss there is a small amount of void volume in the lattice containing smeared electron density from disordered solvent. Consequently the SQUEEZE\textsuperscript{10} function of PLATON\textsuperscript{11} was employed to remove the contribution of the electron density associated with this highly disordered solvent which gave a potential solvent accessible void of 978 Å\textsuperscript{3} per unit cell (a total of approximately 314 electrons). Since the diffuse solvent molecules could not be assigned conclusively to acetonitrile or diethyl ether they were not included in the formula. Consequently, the molecular weight and density given above are likely to be slightly underestimated.

CheckCIF gives two B level alerts, both resulting from solvent molecules for which the hydrogen atoms were not modelled (singly bonded carbons).

**Figure S50:** Side-on view of (corannulene)\textsuperscript{2} from the crystal structure of (corannulene)\textsubscript{0.6}\textsuperscript{2}·4ReO\textsubscript{4}·6NTf\textsubscript{2}·3.5MeCN·2.5Et\textsubscript{2}O·H\textsubscript{2}O in stick representation. Counterions, solvents, hydrogen atoms and disorder are omitted for clarity. The stacked corannulene is colored red.
Figure S51: The structure of (corannulene)•2 from the crystal structure of (corannulene)•2·4ReO4·6NTf2·3.5MeCN·2.5Et2O·H2O highlighting the arrangement of corannulenes. Counterions, solvents and disorder are omitted for clarity. Key distances between corannulenes are marked.

3·2CB11H12·8NTf2·2.5MeCN [+ solvent]

Formula C253H161.50B22F48N40.50O32S26Zn5, M 6590.95, Monoclinic, space group P 21/n (#14), a 24.5549(2), b 26.5080(3), c 47.3015(5) Å, β 93.0730(10), V 30744.3(5) Å³, Dc 1.424 g cm⁻³, Z 4, crystal size 0.030 by 0.030 by 0.020 mm, colour light orange, habit block, temperature 100(2) Kelvin, λ(Synchrotron) 0.6889 Å, μ(Synchrotron) 0.601 mm⁻¹, T(Analytical)min,max 0.990961230145, 1.0, 2θmax 34.86, hkl range -21 21, -23 23, -41 41, N 69371, N_ind 21123(R_merge 0.0766), N_obs 10356(I > 2σ(I)), N_var 3580, residuals * R1(F) 0.1263, wR2(F²) 0.3866, GoF(all) 1.121, Δρmin,max -0.482, 0.783 e⁻Å⁻³.

* R1 = Σ||Fo|| - |Fc||/Σ|Fo| for Fo > 2σ(Fo); wR2 = (Σw(Fo² - Fc²)²/Σw(Fc²)²)¹/² all reflections, w=1/[σ²(Fo²)+(0.2000P)²+75.0000P] where P=(Fo²+2Fc²)/3

Specific refinement details:

The crystals of 3·2CB11H12·8NTf2·2.5MeCN [+ solvent] were grown by diffusion of diethyl ether into an acetonitrile solution of 3·10NTf2 containing excess CsCB11H12. The crystals employed immediately lost solvent after removal from the mother liquor and rapid handling prior to flash cooling in the cryostream was required to collect data. Despite these measures and the use of synchrotron radiation few reflections at greater than 1.15 Å resolution were observed and the data were trimmed accordingly.
Nevertheless, the quality of the data is far more than sufficient to establish the connectivity of the structure. The asymmetric unit was found to contain one complete \( \mathrm{Zn}_5 \mathrm{L}_2 \) assembly and associated counterions and solvent molecules.

Due to the less than ideal resolution, extensive restraints were required to facilitate realistic modeling for the organic parts of the structure. The GRADE program\(^{12}\) was employed using the GRADE Web Server\(^{13}\) to generate a full set of bond distance and angle restraints (DFIX, DANG, FLAT) for the organic ligand arms (excluding the corannulene portion of the organic ligands to which no restraints were applied). Thermal parameter restraints (SIMU, RIGU) were applied to all atoms except for zinc to facilitate anisotropic stable refinement. Even with these restraints some thermal parameters remain larger than ideal as a consequence of the high level of thermal motion or minor unresolved disorder present throughout the structure resulting in a large average \( U_{eq} \) value for the main residue.

The anions within the structure also show evidence of disorder. Three of the triflimide anions were modelled as disordered over two locations and the other two were modelled with partial occupancy. The occupancies of the disordered anions were allowed to refine freely and then fixed at the obtained values. Some disordered atoms were modelled with isotropic thermal parameters and bond length and thermal parameter restraints were applied to facilitate a reasonable refinement. The \( \mathrm{CB}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{12}^- \) anions were modelled as rigid groups; for these anions the carbon site could not be clearly discerned from the electron density map so all atoms of the \( \mathrm{CB}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{12}^- \) anions were modelled as boron. Some acetonitrile solvent molecules were also modelled with partial occupancy. The hydrogen atoms of one of these acetonitrile molecules could not be located in the electron density map and were not included in the model.

A further 4.4 anions per \( \mathrm{Zn}_5 \mathrm{L}_2 \) assembly remain unaccounted for and no satisfactory model for these anions could be obtained despite numerous attempts at modelling, including with rigid bodies. Therefore the SQUEEZE\(^{10}\) function of PLATON\(^{11}\) was employed to account for the highly disordered anions and further disordered solvent molecules, which gave a potential solvent accessible void of 7641 Å\(^3\) per unit cell (a total of approximately 2217 electrons). These anions are included as triflimide in the formula given above. Since the diffuse solvent molecules could not be assigned conclusively to acetonitrile or diethyl ether they were not included in the formula. Consequently, the molecular weight and density given above are likely to be underestimated.

CheckCIF gives 8 A and 9 B level alerts. These alerts (both A and B level) all result from the limited data resolution, the solvent molecule for which the hydrogen atoms were not modelled, thermal motion and/or unresolved disorder of some anions and solvent molecules and the generally high level of thermal motion present throughout the structure as described above.
**Figure S52:** Side-on views of $3$ from the crystal structure of $3$·2CB$_{11}$H$_{12}$·8NTf$_2$·2.5MeCN in stick and space-filling representations. Counterions, solvents and disorder are omitted for clarity. The structure is similar to that of $2$ in the structures of $2$·coronene·10BF$_4$·20.5MeCN·iPr$_2$O (Figure 1 in main text, Figures S43-S45), $2$·10ClO$_4$·3MeCN (Figure S49) and $2$·10BF$_4$·11MeCN (Figure S48).

**Figure S53:** Top views of $3$ from the crystal structure of $3$·2CB$_{11}$H$_{12}$·8NTf$_2$·2.5MeCN in stick and space-filling representations. Counterions, solvents and disorder are omitted for clarity. The structure is similar to that of $2$ in the structures of $2$·coronene·10BF$_4$·20.5MeCN·iPr$_2$O (Figure 1 in main text, Figures S43-S45), $2$·10ClO$_4$·3MeCN (Figure S49) and $2$·10BF$_4$·11MeCN (Figure S48).
**Figure S54:** The structure of 3 from the crystal structure of 3·2CB_{11}H_{12}·8NTf_{2}·2.5MeCN highlighting the arrangement of corannulenes. Counterions, solvents and disorder are omitted for clarity. The distance between the corannulenes is marked, highlighting the absence of a cavity in the solid state.

**Figure S55:** Crystal packing within the crystal structure of 3·2CB_{11}H_{12}·8NTf_{2}·2.5MeCN showing the 1D stacking of 3 with the CB_{11}H_{12}⁻ counterions along the b axis. Other counterions, solvents and disorder are omitted for clarity. No significant interaction was observed between 3 and CB_{11}H_{12}⁻ in solution by 1H NMR.
4. **Computational methods**

Full geometry optimizations were performed and uniquely characterized via second derivatives (Hessian) analysis to establish stationary points. Several Density Functional types were investigated in this work, including, B3LYP,\(^{14}\) B3LYP-D3,\(^{15}\) and B97-D\(^{16}\) together with an ultrafine grid. The 6-31G(d,p) basis set\(^ {17}\) (7640 basis functions) and TZVP\(^ {18}\) basis set (9430 basis functions) were used for optimization and single point analysis, including effects of solvent. Effects of solvent employed the COSMO:\textit{ab initio} continuum method\(^ {19}\) with the dielectric constant as in experiment. Visualization and analysis of structural and property results were obtained using GaussView\(^ {20}\) and WebMO.\(^ {21}\)
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