State-of-the-Art of Mini Grids for Rural Electrification in West Africa
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Abstract: The current electrification status in West African countries presents rural electrification rates below 40%, national grid losses above 39% with frequent disruptions, and electricity prices averaging 0.35/kWh, up to national values of 0.66/kWh. With this, off-grid systems have gained great attention during the last decade as energy solutions; especially solar home systems (SHS) and mini grids. Nowadays, 385 mini grids with a power of near 30 MW are operating in West Africa, with 95% based on PV. Since 2019, result-based tenders with international aid funding—more effective than previous competitive tenders—seek to install at least 317 new mini grids in Togo, 250 in Nigeria, 100 in Burkina Faso, and two in Mali. Besides, the market for mini-grid energy access start-ups grew from $19 million in 2013 to $339 million in 2018. Despite this recent development in West Africa, research and data for mini grids in this region is scarce, and it is mostly approached from the technological side, with a striking lack of information regarding the social impact. This work tries to describe the present status of research and current operating installations, as well as the main challenges for future development of off grid mini grids in West Africa, which pose as the missing link between SHS and grid extension.
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1. Introduction

Nowadays, the global electrification rate is ~90%, which implies that still around 789 million people lack access to electricity according to the latest data available in 2018, of which 85% live in rural areas [1]. Since 2010, 1034 million people have gained access to electricity, when the coverage was 83%. Access to electricity is unequal among regions, with the lowest electrification rates being found in Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA), where 573 million people lack access to electricity. The significant increase in the energy demand in SSA (due to population and industrialization growth) implies a wide range of challenges in terms of sustainability: increasing electrification rate, increasing installed capacity, lowering energy prices, reinforcing current electricity lines, and improving availability and quality of service [2].

The fulfillment of the United Nations 2030 Agenda for the Sustainable Development Goal 7 (SDG 7), to “ensure access to affordable, reliable, sustainable and modern energy for all for 2030” [3] is endangered due to the estimated 650 million people to lack electricity in 2030, of which 85% would be found in SSA [1]. Regarding the SDG 7.1 related to a “universal access to an affordable, modern, and reliable energy service”, the latest data show that electricity is unaffordable in terms of consumption for about 285 million people and in terms of the connection cost for 400 million, with a quality of service negatively affected with frequent disruptions, mainly in SSA [4]. In this line, off-grid systems for self-generation such as solar home systems (SHS) and mini grids present as alternatives to national grid extension, while third-generation mini grids serve as back-up systems to improve the quality of electricity service in sites with previous grid connection, all expected...
to have high relevance in SSA [5]. Grid extension to rural areas with scattered populations is frequently postponed by governments due to technical and economic factors [6]. This has opened the path in electricity-demanding areas for communities, hybrid joint ventures (private, community, government, and non-governmental organizations (NGOs)) and private companies to invest in mini grids [7]. The striking fall in the levelized cost of PV electricity (LCOE) in the last decade [8] and the novel mechanisms of payment and monitoring [9,10] must be considered as fundamental factors for this change. Still, different studies reveal that most mini grid projects deployed in SSA are financed or partially financed by international organizations for development, NGOs, or cooperation agencies of developed countries [2].

Current off-grid electrification is estimated for 136 million people with at least “Tier 1” globally—the energy of at least a solar home system of 11–50 W [11]—through either standalone individual systems or mini grids, 85% of these off-grid systems are based on photovoltaics (PV) [1,12]. While off-grid alone systems provide electricity to a single user, typically diesel generators and solar home systems (SHS), the latter with a power of 50–500 W, mini grids provide electricity to several users through a distribution grid with metering mechanisms. Mini grids can operate in isolation from, or connection to, the national grid with capacities of micro size (1–10 kW) and larger size (from 10 kW to 10 MW) [13,14]. A wide range of mini grid designs have been described depending on the type of generation technology (PV, diesel generator, wind, hydro, or biomass), battery technology (lead-acid and Li-ion batteries) and hybridization (fossil-fuel, wind, or hydro) [15–17].

Between pure off-grid systems and pure on-grid systems there is a gray line in which third-generation mini grids aim to increase the power of on-grid remote towns and cities that require more electricity or in which the grid quality of service is low. In this line, the contradiction in the political discourse that associates mini grids with rural electrification is common, but political effort is put into large-scale third-generation mini grids for injecting into the grid in urban areas or for mining applications, i.e., in Ghana [18]. The fact that the population in these towns is denser and the economic activity presumably higher makes these mini grids more interesting for investors than village size off grid mini grids. Predictable cash flows, monitoring and accessibility to the mini grid, previous tradition of customers to pay for electricity, and a likely easier maintenance of the system make third-generation mini grids a totally different business than mini grids for rural electrification. However, it is noteworthy than under the mini grid discourse, it is frequently associated with rural electrification and transformation for sustainable development, which makes easier the access to donors and international support [6,13].

Electrification in West Africa, a region with 15 countries with some of the world’s lowest electrification rates, has gained international attention in the last decade regarding SDG7. Different sources of international funding channeled through national tenders have achieved the installation of 385 mini grids and the launch of tenders and projected plans (2019–2020) for a massive mini grid development in West Africa. In this line, the European Green Deal, a UE plan for economic recovery post-COVID-19, has assigned 1bn euro for 2021–2027, considering as one of the main objectives “accelerating the clean energy transition and energy access in partnership with Africa”, which supports the importance and interest that mini grids are receiving from the international community [19]. The interest and novelty of this field and the lack of academic reviews addressing the state-of-the-art of mini grids regarding installation and research in West Africa have motivated this study. It has three major objectives: to present the current status of electrification with mini grids in West Africa, to show which is the state of the art in terms of academic research, and to highlight some of the major challenges for future mini grid deployment.

The structure of the text is straightforward: Section 2 addresses the current status of mini grid electrification in the region; Section 3 summarizes the review of the state of the art in academic research; Section 4 shows and comments the challenges for mini grid
deployment; and finally Sections 5 and 6 suggest some future research lines, summarize the findings, and provide some recommendations to ease the extension of this energy source.

2. Current Electrification in West Africa

The 15 states integrated in this study are the countries belonging to the Economic Community of West African States (ECOWAS): Benin, Burkina Faso, Cape Verde, Cote d’Ivoire, Gambia, Ghana, Guinea, Guinea Bissau, Liberia, Mali, Niger, Nigeria, Senegal, Sierra Leone, and Togo. The fulfillment of SDG7 in this region is extremely challenging due to an electrification rate below 50% in 2019 (more than 170 million without access to electricity) [20] and high electricity prices mainly depending on the burning of diesel and heavy fuel, which represents the majority of the supply in eight of these countries [21].

Table 1 depicts country indicators regarding access to electricity. Urban and rural electrification rates within this region averaged 70.0% and 27%, respectively. Only Cape Verde, Cote d’Ivoire, Ghana, and Senegal had rural electrification rates above 30%, while Burkina Faso, Guinea-Bissau, Liberia, Niger, and Sierra Leone covered less than 7% [20].

Electricity markets in West Africa rely on different schemes regarding the vertical integration from generation to distribution (depicted in Table 1). While some countries rely on independent power producers (IPP) and vertical integrated utilities (VIU), covering generation, transmission, and distribution, others rely on different companies in charge of each process. In this line, different kinds of subsidies apply depending on the vertical integration scheme. The authors refer to the work in [23] for a detailed study regarding the cost calculation of on-grid electricity in West Africa. Specifically, prices charged for on-grid electricity users have a regional average of $0.35/kWh. In Liberia, Sierra Leone, and Cape Verde electricity pricing was $0.66/kWh, $0.55/kWh, and $0.51/kWh, respectively [22]. These electricity prices are significantly higher than the LCOE of utility scale on-grid PV for this region, but also in the range of LCOE of hybrid PV-diesel mini grids provided in the last study by the World Bank in June 2019, a concept that could be denoted as “mini grid parity cost”, which gives an idea of how competitive these mini grids are in some countries of the region [5].

The West African Power Pool (WAPP), the electricity market for ECOWAS countries, is being established (2016–2025) to interconnect the continental ECOWAS countries (currently only nine countries are interconnected) and improve the quality of the service through
less unserved demand and integrating more renewables due to the enormous renewable energy resources of this region. It is noteworthy that losses of on-grid electricity due to technical losses (transmission and distribution lines, transformers, and meters) and non-technical losses (theft, non-payment, and errors in measurements) averaged 39.5% in ECOWAS (indeed, as high as 59% in Liberia and 57% in Nigeria) by the ECOWAS Centre for Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency (ECREEE) [24]. In this line, discrepancies in technical losses were found between values of ECREEE for 2017 [24] and the World Bank estimates for 2014 [25]. The fact that satisfied demand in the region in 2016 was 58 TWh and the projected demand for 2030 is 244 TWh [24] will make strong national plans in reducing losses, improving interconnections and on grid power supply necessary [24,26,27].

2.1. Installed Mini Grid Capacity in West Africa

In this study, the latest data available confirmed by the Ministries of ECOWAS countries throughout the ECREEE (April 2020) were collated [28]. Table 2 depicts the 385 mini grids deployed in West Africa: 179 based on PV and 182 based on hybrid PV-diesel, respectively. Both technologies showed the greatest development in the past and according to the latest data of LCOE for the region will likely remain predominant in the upcoming years. Senegal (131 mini grids), Mali (75), Sierra Leone (61), and Nigeria (40) are the countries with deeper integration of mini grids in West Africa. Nevertheless, the strong efforts and plans for rural electrification in this region will significantly modify these numbers. For instance, the 90-mini grid plan in Sierra Leone coordinated by UNOPS and developed by the Ministry of Energy and private independent power producers is currently under construction.

2.2. Mini Grid Deployment and Tenders

The trajectory of village-sized mini grids started in the turn of the millennium in some countries of West Africa (Senegal, Burkina Faso, and Mali) and also Morocco, with more than 200 systems by 2018 [29]. While the first mini grids were financed with donors and international support, lately, a strong inclusion of private companies focusing on the business of mini grids for rural electrification has been seen. Some of these companies started to operate targeting the high energy demand segment of rural population with “Tier 2” electricity access (>50W) [11] offering electricity prices of $0.8/kWh–$5/kWh, significantly higher than those offered in the main grid but in some cases around 20–50% lower than their previous expenditure in fossil fuels. This was channeled throughout the ECREEE and the Banque Ouest Africaine de Développement (BOAD) with the Regional Off-Grid Electrification Project (ROGEP). The ROGEP is being financed by the World Bank, including the ECOWAS countries and also Cameroon, Central Africa Republic, Chad, and Mauritania. The aim pursued with ROGEP is the promotion of renewable energy technologies in the region to achieve 10% of electricity generation with renewables by 2020 and 19% by 2030. Mini grids are promoted via addressing market limitations and suitable public policies to scale-up off-grid initiatives [30].
Table 2. State-of-the-art of mini-grid installations in West Africa updated to 2020. Data reproduced with permission from the work in [28].

| Country          | # Mini Grids | Tech.       | Power (kW) |
|------------------|--------------|-------------|------------|
| Benin            | 7            | PV          | 205        |
| Burkina Faso     | 3            | PV-diesel   | 415        |
| Burkina Faso     | 10           | PV          | 109        |
| Cape Verde       | 4            | PV-diesel   | 240.8      |
| Cape Verde       | 1            | PV-wind     | 6.25       |
| Cape Verde       | 2            | PV          | 42.5       |
| Cape Verde       | 1            | PV-diesel-wind | 80.16    |
| Cote d’Ivoire   | 7            | PV-diesel   | 473        |
| Gambia           | 1            | PV-diesel   | 64         |
| Ghana            | 5            | PV-diesel   | 379        |
| Guinea           | 3            | Hydro       | 3570       |
| Guinea-Bissau    | 1            | PV-diesel   | 602        |
| Guinea-Bissau    | 1            | PV          | 108        |
| Liberia          | 2            | Biodiesel   | 85         |
| Liberia          | 11           | PV-diesel   | 311.2      |
| Liberia          | 1            | Hydro       | 60         |
| Liberia          | 1            | Hydro-diesel| 7800       |
| Mali             | 9            | PV-biodiesel| 926        |
| Mali             | 1            | Wind-diesel | 376        |
| Mali             | 1            | PV-wind-diesel | 264     |
| Mali             | 11           | Biodiesel   | 672        |
| Mali             | 41           | PV-diesel   | 14,247     |
| Mali             | 12           | PV          | 125.5      |
| Niger            | 3            | PV          | 97.5       |
| Nigeria          | 38           | PV          | 1210.8     |
| Nigeria          | 1            | PV-diesel   | 85         |
| Nigeria          | 1            | Biomass     | 20         |
| Senegal          | 98           | PV-diesel   | 1488       |
| Senegal          | 32           | PV          | 536.4      |
| Senegal          | 1            | Bioenergy   | 30         |
| Sierra Leone     | 60           | PV          | 1175       |
| Sierra Leone     | 1            | PV-hydro    | 10.5       |
| Togo             | 14           | PV          | 725        |

In 2019, different mini grid initiatives started offering energy-as-service. With this system, developers own and operate the mini grid, assuming the installation and O&M with customers paying for the service as well as, generally, a connection fee, without assuming the installation risks. The market of mini grid start-ups was testimonial in 2013 and 2014 and raised to ~$60 million/year in 2016–2018. Nevertheless, it is remarkable the fact that, with the latest data available, in 2019 mini grid market doubled to $113 million, while the market for SHS and solar lanterns shrank significantly to $198 million. This change in technology trend is supported by the enormous interest that energy-access start-ups for mini grids have in SSA [31]. These figures show a trend in which mini grids are attracting higher interest to investors. This is also followed by the appearance of national tenders that seek to introduce mini grids in their previously selected locations. Traditionally, national tenders in Africa (16 countries up to 2019) offered concessions for investors with the exclusivity for development and operation of mini grids in their area, in which the role of seeking for locations and capacity building corresponded to the government. This approach reduced the uncertainty of investing in mini grids in locations with a future grid extension. However, this type of tenders proved inefficient as the strong competition left many projects without installing. Instead, it proved more efficient the result-based financing (RBF) tenders in which it was subsided the installation once built. This RBF tenders came out in 2019 in six African countries Algeria, Ethiopia, Mali, Burkina Faso,
Togo, and Nigeria [31]. These bidding processes all coincide in receiving assistance from international development and donor institutions.

The Mali tender was coordinated by L’Agence Maliene por le Développement de l’Energie Domestique et l’Electrification Rurale (Amader) and financed by the Islamic Development Bank (13.5 million euro) for two PV installations with combined capacity of 1.3 MW (each) and 1.5–2 MWh battery storage to provide electricity to 24 villages. According to Amader, this is part of a 4.83 MW PV plan to electrify 70 villages [32].

The Burkina Faso tender (the Yeleen project) is funded with 74.8 million euro from different institutions: African Development Bank, Green Climate Fund, European Union, Burkina Faso Government, ABER, and private developers for 100 projects to be developed between 2019 and 2022 offering a 16.2% profitability [33]. A result-based grant will be provided for each proved electricity connection as well as loans for productive use and support in the construction of the renewable energy infrastructure [34].

The Togolese Rural Electrification and Renewable Energies Agency (AT2ER) launched in 2019 a tender of 317 mini grids to be financed by the West African Development Bank (BOAD) and the Energy Development Fund (FDE). This project consists of five lots divided in three phases integrating 11 MW and 480 km of distribution lines [35].

The Nigerian RBF tender, coordinated by the World Bank and the Rural Electrification Agency, started from an initial 2000-project study in which finally 250 sites were selected according to the density and number of potential customers and the proximity to infrastructures and businesses. In order to achieve economies of scale, IPPs were required to bid for more than one project. The RBF was based on a $350 subsidy per customer connected [36,37]. Besides, the Rural Electrification Agency seeks to promote PV mini grids in 44 Nigerian universities and teaching hospitals that rely on diesel generators.

3. State-of-the-Art of Mini Grid Research in West Africa

The trajectory of village-sized mini-grid deployment in West Africa started at the beginning of the century under different donors and scattered international funding programs. Since then, despite the significant growth of mini grids in West Africa, the bibliography related has come from a narrow variety of scientific approaches and accurate information regarding the present status is still scarce and frequently related to SSA, a much larger area, covering 49 different countries. In this line, a systematic review was performed and the analysis of the results was organized into subfields.

The systematic review was performed to include reports and academic studies based on two different filters focusing on studies published from 2000 to 2020 (December). The initial filter was based on search queries in Scopus and Google browser using as keywords: “mini grid” OR “micro grid” OR “rural electrification” OR “off-grid” OR “energy” AND “West Africa” OR “ECOWAS” OR each country name belonging to West Africa (i.e., “Ghana”). The adequacy and contribution of articles falling within the initial filter was personally analyzed by the authors. The second filter was performed to avoid the omission of articles not falling within the search keyword queries of initial filter. The bibliography cited in those studies included in the first filter were a matter of particular analysis to determine the adequacy to be included in the review. This second filter was applied until convergence was reached; therefore, no studies contributing to the field appeared in the bibliography of filtered articles. Then, to facilitate the reading we have classified in five categories, the subjects analyzed in the review of the papers: evaluation of mini grid potential, welfare impact of mini grids, policies and barriers for rural electrification, demand side analysis, and mini grid reliability.

3.1. Evaluation of Mini Grid Potential

Different authors elaborated methodologies and specific tools to calculate the potential of renewable energies for rural electrification in SSA. These applications can be of interest in the design of public policies for national electrification as they provide insights regarding the levelized cost of electricity (LCOE) of different technologies, cost comparison between
on-grid and off-grid approaches, location of off-grid installations, and potential users of mini grids. Specifically, the ECOWREX open online tool offers up-to-date monitoring of on-grid and off-grid installations in West Africa with data contrasted by national governments and private developers [38–40]. In parallel, the RE2NAF open tool developed by the Joint Research Centre—European Commission allows to compare the levelized cost of electricity of PV and diesel generators for the African continent [41–43]. Further research was published by Cader et al. (2016) [44], who compared the LCOE differences between off-grid diesel systems and hybrid (PV–diesel–batteries) installations with geographical information systems (GIS) covering West Africa. The authors concluded that in the majority of this region it was possible to reduce the LCOE by 0.06–2 euro/kWh considering PV hybrid systems. In the line of GIS studies, Moner-Girona et al. (2018) [45] reviewed different GIS tools for rural electrification to analyze in which manner they are providing help to the fulfillment of the SDGs. As another free tool, the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) released a mini grid calculator to estimate the load capacity and LCOE [46].

Besides, the Global Electrification Platform offers a tool to calculate future projections and scenarios for on-grid and off-grid LCOE PV costs for SSA [47]. Another open application is the Off-Grid Market Opportunity Tool, which provides public GIS information regarding potential users of mini grid systems [48]. Particularly for West Africa, the IMPROVES-RE service offered off-grid installation data for Burkina Faso [49]. Furthermore, specifically for Burkina Faso [50,51] covered the evaluation of the least-cost electricity option considering mini grids, interconnection to the main grid and standalone systems.

On the other side, different country-specific mini grid analyses were performed in order to compare off-grid and grid extension approaches to achieve higher electrification coverage in Senegal [52] and to evaluate the total renewable energy potential in Cote d’Ivoire [53]. A different perspective was considered by Sawadogo et al. (2020) [54] in which the impact of solar irradiation variability along the year in the design of PV systems in Western Africa was analyzed. Variations of 8–25% in the annual cycle were observed in the PV generation. A GIS map was provided with the preference of both approaches considering the following as fundamental factors: population density, distance to grids and roads, proximity to protected areas, and the solar resource. In most of the West African region, conclusions showed that PV off-grid was moderately suitable in the terms of the study.

3.2. Welfare Impact of Mini Grids

Electricity use allows a wide variety of economic activities that help rise gross domestic product of population connected. Consequently, different authors studied the impact of off-grid rural electrification into energy poverty and welfare. Ghana, in particular, as the case scenario, has been analyzed from different perspectives. Obeng et al. (2008) [55] performed 209 questionnaires in off-grid PV-electrified and non-electrified households concluding that savings on lighting, number of children who can sit around lighting, and expenditure in energy are the factors influencing most on the energy poverty index score. On the other side, energy poverty was related to urban and rural population in Ghana, where the rural population is almost twice as energy poor as urban population. National strategies to reduce spatial poverty should be accompanied with modern and affordable energy sources [56]. Despite national strategies, different socio-economic factors (household expenditure, employment, and gender of family head) affect the success in rural electrification and the consequent welfare impact [57]. Lin and Ankrah (2019) [58] stated that impact of renewable energy into Ghana’s national economy is still low due to the prevalence of conventional technologies. Adenle (2020) [2] evaluated the impact of rural electrification with PV for the fulfillment of the SDGs finding clear socio-economic, environmental, and health benefits.

The welfare impact of mini grids on health and education has been scarcely referred in literature. In the case of education centers and health posts located in areas without interconnection, whose activities rarely generate revenue, the access to electricity through
mini grid introduces the necessity of solving the economic sustainability of CAPEX and OPEX [59].

The measurement of welfare impact due to rural electrification is complex as the cost of electricity access clearly segments population. Only by looking at the evolution in household welfare between previous and after electrification is possible to account the difference. In Gambia, it was concluded that the declining cost of off-grid PV should be considered as crucial for social and economic development [60].

Furthermore, a review about the impact of renewables into economic growth was performed for West African countries considering the period 1995–2014, when renewables were mainly attributed to wood biomass for cooking [61]. Results showed a direct relation between renewable energy use and decrease of economic growth. In the same study, PV electrification and a greater commitment of national authorities were recommended to reduce the negative impact of biomass into health and environment. Nevertheless, the substitution of biomass wood, whose main application was cooking and water heating, is not optimally achieved with mini grids due to the high cost of electricity and both energy sources are frequently combined.

Some international initiatives such as the SEforALL institution via the Green Mini-Grid Market Development Program (GMG MDP), funded by the Sustainable Energy Fund for Africa (SEFA), seek energy poverty reduction via introducing renewable energy mini grids, with an estimated of 150,000 mini grids required in Africa by 2030 [62]. Besides, the USAID-NREL [63] provides technical information for mini grid sizing according to the business and economic sector and the social and economic impact of the productive use of energy.

3.3. Policies and Barriers for Rural Electrification

Success and failure of rural electrification with mini grids generally relies on public policies and regulatory framework. Different authors evaluated how ambiguous institutional policies, implementation weaknesses and coordination issues were responsible of the poor deployment of rural electrification in West Africa. Atuguba and Tuokuu (2020) [64] analyzed the slow PV deployment in Ghana, recommending the consolidation and application of renewable energy laws and policies to move the renewable energy agenda forward. Obeng-Darko (2019) [65] also explained the failure in the integration of renewable energy sources in Ghana—the 100% electrification and 10% renewable energy targets by 2020, due to the lack of legislation necessary to fulfill the targets and the investors uncertainty. Besides, cost effectiveness and market driven schemes should be prioritized to eliminate barriers to renewable energy electrification in Ghana [66].

The Green Investment Diagnostics methodology was proposed to analyze constraints that penalize the electrification growth. The regulatory uncertainty, coming from macroeconomic imbalances and policy-makers, was found to be a crucial factor as well as the costly domestic finance using this methodology [67].

Another barrier for mini grid installation was related to the lack of updated LCOE information. Moner-Girona et al. (2018) [68] developed a multidimensional analysis to evaluate costs of hybrid PV-diesel mini-grids, finding a significant gap in costs between business models and built projects, also between SSA countries, which acts as a barrier for investors and policy makers with this technology. Furthermore, the LCOE of off-grid PV in Africa was strikingly high due to custom taxes and lack of competency, which act as barriers for private investors and final users [69].

Ockwell et al. (2018) [70] focused on the political and socio-cultural factors and barriers to achieving the 2030 renewable energy targets in SSA. The authors concluded that the social barriers have not been deeply addressed in the literature, and they are expected to play a crucial role as in other fields in developing projects. According to the same authors, policy-makers should take into account these non-technical factors in their agenda.

The mini grid policy was evaluated in Ghana concluding that the lack of licensing regulation explained that most of the mini grids installed are donor-funded and govern-
ment owned [71]. Furthermore, uncertainties in mini grid tariffs for private mini grid development explained the lack of private mini grid success in Senegal [72]. The same barriers seen in Ghana and Senegal also apply to Cote d’Ivoire [73]. However, Niger is changing regulation to support private mini grid development through clearer regulation and business models. Still, uncertainties of how mini grid operators would be compensated if grid extension occurs, how restriction would apply to mini grid component imports and which mechanisms would be applied for tax regulation and mini grid quality assurance should be solved [74].

The success factors of the Nigerian mini grid development were covered through specific past regulations and analyzed for augmenting the mini grid market. In this line, Nigeria has a specific tool for mini grid tariff setting, the MYTO tool, seeking to obtain higher transparency in tariffs with different regulation for off-grid mini grids and interconnected mini grids [75–77]. The success in mini grid deployment in Mali was attributed to the regulation with subsidies for each new connection [78].

Seeking to simplify the access to mini grid private developers and operators, the Mini Grid Help Desk tool, developed by the African Development Bank, puts together information of regulation, permits, and taxes required for mini grid development in ECOWAS [79].

3.4. Demand Side Analysis

The low economic activity of rural areas in Western Africa leaves mini grid users with a potentially lower willingness to pay for electricity than in other more developed locations. On the other side, the demand for electricity in these areas generally comes from small family businesses and homes whose energy expenditure is low. These factors can make the mini grid amortization less competitive against other off-grid systems such as SHS and diesel generators. Some authors evaluated the demand side requirements and the willingness to pay in Liberia, where a suppressed demand in rural areas of 235 GWh/yr was estimated, which could be satisfied with renewables [80]. The conclusions of that study pointed out that the lower capital cost of diesel generators makes the initial investment more attractive than PV, even though the LCOE is lower for PV. The authors also concluded that the combination of centralized and decentralized electricity, the latter with policy support and funding, is necessary to achieve universal access in the country. A similar study was performed in Senegal considering also the environmental externality costs in the evaluation concluding that PV based off-grid systems were more attractive in economic terms than grid extensions in the locations analyzed [81].

The economic impact of mini grid systems was particularly analyzed in eight micro-enterprises in Ghana concluding that electrification contributes to savings of $1–5/month in illumination compared to kerosene and also contributed to an income surplus of $5–12/day due to opening after sunset, which gave a competitive advantage against other businesses [82].

In Benin, different technologies were compared for rural electrification. From the demand side, it was concluded that hybrid PV-diesel considering a 150 kW PV generator with a 62.5 kVA diesel generator and a 637 kWh battery storage achieved the lowest LCOE and led to a reduction in battery requirements of 70% compared to PV-battery systems. Hybrid PV-diesel mini grids were recommended in this country instead of the widely installed PV-battery systems [83].

The particular analysis of mini grid energy demand and site selection using surveys was evaluated by Williams et al. (2019) [84].

3.5. Mini Grid Reliability

Another research field is related to the mini grid reliability. This has been approached from a variety of perspectives such as solar resource, reliability of batteries, degradation of PV modules, and reliability of mini grids versus national grid [85]. Regarding the solar
Regarding technical issues, batteries represent the weakest component in PV mini-grids due to the relation between life cycle and adequate system use. Lead-acid batteries are commonly distributed in Western Africa countries and represent the majority of the storage technology in mini grids. However, their lifespan is short, and many systems fail due to financial constraints when substitution. A technical analysis of Li-ion batteries in ECOWAS countries was performed by Diouf and Avis (2019) [87], concluding that Li-ion batteries should replace lead-acid batteries in this region.

Reliability of off-grid systems was also studied in this region through the aging and degradation of 22 PV monocrystalline modules exposed for 16 years in Ghana, concluding that decline was in the range of 18 to 39% during this period (median degradation rate of 1.54%/year). Discoloration of encapsulant and degradation of junction-box adhesive were also frequently recorded [88].

In parallel, other studies showed the real performance of PV grid-tied in Ghana [89] and Sierra Leone [90], which are of interest to evaluate how on-site specific conditions in the region affect PV performance.

A different perspective was approached throughout the improvement in on-grid connectivity and national interconnections as alternative to off-grid electrification [21,91,92]. Besides, PV off-grid was analyzed as back-up technology for electricity customers in Ghana who require higher electrical availability than that offered by the national grid [18].

4. Challenges for Mini Grid Deployment in West Africa

This section shows and discusses some of the challenges for mini grid deployment in West Africa. The methodology to elaborate this section is as follows. Based on the selected papers of the systematic review in previous Section 3 and on the texts consulted for Section 2, we have detected the challenges when developing mini grids in West Africa. Usually, the authors of those texts remarked at the discussion section some of the challenges they had observed during either their research or the analysis of those mini grids. Some of the identified papers using the aforementioned keywords filters were not used for Section 3, but were interesting to understand the challenges of mini grid deployment and were consequently incorporated into this section.

Furthermore, three key opinion leaders (off-grid and mini grid consultants and developers at United Nations Office for Project Services (UNOPS), Mott MacDonald, and Fundación EKI) were telephonically interviewed to collect their ideas about current issues when developing these mini grids and future challenges that need to be taken into account to facilitate the extension of this technology in the region.

In order to ease the presentation of these challenges, authors have classified all the elements obtained from the literature as well as from the experts, in several sections: financial challenges, cost challenges, social and environmental challenges, community-based challenges, and regulation challenges.

4.1. Mini Grid Financial Challenges

Mini grid financing in West Africa represents the main challenge for its deployment. Capital costs remain high due to factors such as high custom taxes and lack of economies of scale, which are still far to be achieved. On the other side, the investment risk is usually high due to the combination of country-risk (higher in West Africa than in other regions), combined with other denoted factors: financing mechanisms, stability of regulatory framework, and bureaucracy.

- Financing mechanisms: most mini grid projects in this region rely on international donors or cooperation agencies for funding, who work in cooperation with national governments to develop tenders in which independent power producers (IPPs) bid in the electricity price offered along a certain period assuming the engineering, procurement, and construction (EPC) cost and O&M costs. This methodology has been
designed to create a business model attractive enough to gain private interest. Some of the most recently announced internal rates of return were in the range from 10 to 15% and electricity prices offered to customers around $0.85/kWh (i.e., the 90 mini grid 2019–2020 project in Sierra Leone, under development). In this line, the World Bank announced in 2019 a $150 million line of funding and a $75 million recovery grant to foster off-grid electricity in 19 countries of the ECOWAS and Sahel region [93].

- Stable and continuous regulatory framework: despite the long tradition of public regulation for utility-scale PV deployment, tenders for mini grids are relatively recent and imply previous strategic decisions regarding the choice of grid extension or mini grid, as well as identifying optimum sites, required capacity and discussing with the local community. This has been proved complex and time-consuming, and explains why only 16 African countries had tenders for mini grid concessions by 2018. Some of these tenders proved inefficient when electricity price competition left low benefits for IPPs, i.e., Senegal only deployed three out of 10 tendered mini grids (2008–2013). The recently implemented RBF or feed-in tariff started in 2019 to improve the efficiency of tenders. This way, the IPP receives a fixed subsidy per connected customer or kWh generated. With this, four West African countries launched tenders in 2019: Mali (two mini grids), Burkina Faso (100 mini grids), Togo (317 mini grids), and Nigeria (250 mini grids) [31].

Another factor related to the regulatory framework is the “arrival of the main grid factor”. Most of the West African countries analyzed do not have clear regulations in the event of grid extension in sites with previous mini grids and how expropriation of mini grid and distribution line built for the mini grid would be compensated [94]. This introduces a higher financial risk for mini grid development in off-grid sites nearby to the main grid than for remote locations.

- Bureaucracy: public regulation for mini grids in West Africa is tender-based, which generally implies different terms, conditions, and requirements for each tender. This introduces unknown bureaucratic processes and timings in each tender with the subsequent financial risks for developers. Note the case study of Senegal in which bureaucracy took five years to award the first mini grid concession from the tender and another five years to get the first household connected [94].

4.2. Mini Grid Cost Challenges

Nowadays, with a wide range of mini grid configurations and technologies considered, the CAPEX and OPEX of mini grids have an enormous variability. The lack of transparency in mini grid tenders and the subsequent updated mini grid cost information also contribute to a higher dispersion of values [68].

- Reduction of CAPEX: with the aim of comparing installation costs, the firm power output (firm) was created to denote the power that can be supplied by the mini grid at any moment (i.e., for a hybrid PV-diesel mini grid it is the added capacity ($W_{firm}$) of diesel generator and battery inverter). According to the global survey by the World Bank, with 53 mini grids considered, capital costs ranged from $1.42 to 22.7/$W_{firm}$ in 2018. In the same study, a median cost of $4.3/$W_{firm}$ was obtained removing mini grid outliers higher than $8/$W_{firm}$, which indeed was the median cost in 2010 [5]. This reduction in capital costs by half in PV mini grids can be explained by the boom experienced by PV in the last decade and the economies of scale applying to PV panels, inverters, structures, smart meters, and batteries, which have decreased by 60–85% for utility scale power plants in the same period. Nevertheless, the reduction in cost for these components in mini grids has not been as pronounced as for utility scale, i.e., PV modules for mini grid were in the range of $0.69/Watt-peak versus for utility scale $0.25–0.3/Watt-peak. These cost inefficiencies present a CAPEX challenge that might be improved when economies of scale apply to mini grid developers. In this line, the continuous decrease in cost of mini grid components, especially for batteries (Li-ion) due to the development of the electric car will contribute to further CAPEX reductions.
It is noteworthy that project-associated costs can also be reduced using geo-planning tools for design. Table 3 depicts the share in CAPEX of the main components, which accounted for 56–60% of the overall cost [5].

Table 3. Share of mini grid CAPEX by component based on the World Bank survey. Reproduced with permission from [95].

| Component                      | Share in CAPEX |
|--------------------------------|----------------|
| PV modules                     | 11%            |
| PV racks                       | 3%             |
| PV controller                  | 5%             |
| Genset                         | 3%             |
| Inverter                       | 9%             |
| Battery                        | 15%            |
| Monitoring                     | 1%             |
| Power house                    | 7%             |
| Distribution grid              | 14%            |
| Public lighting                | 1%             |
| Meters and service connections | 4%             |
| Customer systems               | 3%             |
| Logistics                      | 6%             |
| Installation                   | 9%             |
| Project development            | 9%             |

- Reduction of OPEX: OPEX accounts to 35–40% of the LCOE share [31]. Maintenance and failure detection performed by local staff with the support of remote monitoring technicians, who manage simultaneously several mini grids, lead to savings in OPEX by increasing component lifetime and replacement costs, and reducing downtimes caused by diagnosis visits [96]. In this line, staff costs (salaries and related expenses associated to basic maintenance) account for 76% of all operation costs [95]. Besides, novel systems for payment collection combining smart meters and credits purchased with cellphones, a very popular method for other payments among West African countries, also contribute to reducing OPEX.

- Improvement of load factor: reducing CAPEX and OPEX is a way to reduce the LCOE but it can also be achieved improving the load factor. LCOE can be reduced from the range of $0.65/kWh to $0.42/kWh with load factors of 0.22 (0.22 is a frequently load factor in mini grids) and 0.4, respectively [5]. In this line, a consumption profile more related to the availability of the solar resource contributes to downsizing batteries and lowering fuel use. Further research and experience are required to evaluate how mini grids improve the local economy and how this impacts the load factor along the mini grid lifetime.

4.3. Mini Grid Social and Environmental Challenges

Not only do technical factors impact mini grid investment risks, but also social factors do, whose literature is scarce and their importance is crucial for mini grid success. Some of the social factors that we have registered have been obtained from interviews with mini grid consultants and installers in West Africa. Most social factors are local and might differ from one project to another.

- Social acceptance of mini grid: engagement with early meetings, training in operation and maintenance, impact to the community, and transparence on the role of the mini grid operator with the community, especially with other existent businesses, are fundamental to reduce the risk of project failure [63,85,97–99]. In this line, the Minigrid Game initiative seeks to increase acceptance and at the same time educate the local population on how a mini grid operates and how they can become responsible consumers understanding how their actions affect all mini grid users [100].
Furthermore, in some regions and communities it is necessary to obtain project approval by regional and local chiefs. This is a very local factor and requires specific knowledge of how the local community works, identifying key social stakeholders. This might be sensitive to corruption and delays in project execution if social acceptance is desired [101]. Another registered factor is the necessity of getting a quality site for the PV-battery-power house installation, poles, and wiring. For this it might be necessary to cut relevant trees for the community in terms of food, shade, or tradition or moving businesses; depending on the ownership of the land, communitary, etc., this can be a complex factor [102]. If not socially accepted, it is relatively common that some mini grids PV panels are destroyed by the throwing of stones or stealing of main components. On the other side, SHS generally do not require this community acceptance, chief approval, or interventions into other’s land.

- Social impact of electricity access to the community: the consequent improvement in job creation and businesses might generate higher electricity consumption and therefore improve the load factor, lowering the LCOE and potentially the price charged for electricity [103]. The study of the electricity demand evolution depends on social and economic factors such as development of the community with the access to electricity, industrialization, evolution of the alternative technology SHS cost, evolution of the electricity tariff and quality of service of mini grid along the life cycle, etc.

- Technology preference between the mini grid and SHS. This factor depends on the ownership tradition or the SHS positive acceptance in the community. In some cases, it might fall in a SHS property preference instead of paying for service and relying on the conditions of mini grid operators. If mini grid electricity price or access to electricity is sufficiently high, it will attract customers to SHS. Furthermore, DC appliances for SHS are currently more expensive than the equivalent for AC, but this might change over the time. If so, DC SHS might get more attractive [104].

- Electricity metering: some smart meters sum the consumption of more than one client, but then it is charged and divided for all equally, which generates social complaints. The higher the transparency with metering the better to gain social acceptance [102].

- Urban exodus: this factor has been scarcely described. Despite the positive impact of mini grids to small enterprises, mini grids lead to improvements in higher education, which might accelerate rural exodus and urban expansion [102].

- Gender impact: different studies revealed that women were 9–23% more likely to gain employment with access to electricity [63]. This was explained by the fact that domestic activities were performed in the evening using mini grid electricity and remunerated work during the day time. Nevertheless, many entrepreneurship programs derived from electrification are focused on male-associated industries [104].

- Health and environmental impact: the reduction of kerosene for lightning and diesel or biomass for energy has a positive impact for population. However, cooking with inexpensive charcoal or wood instead of electrical resistances (considering a reference value for electricity of 1 kWh or $0.85 for one hour of cooking) poses a challenge for mitigating deforestation and reducing lung-associated diseases, especially for women in extremely poor regions. As a result, electricity is left for illumination and charging appliances [102].

Regarding the environmental impact of power generation, it is generally approached through the Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) methodology considering different environmental scores [105,106]. LCA for mini grids in West Africa remains a field to be studied. Besides the LCA, the end-of-life of components constitute a critical issue, a topic that has been scarcely referred in literature. The treatment of batteries, PV modules, and electronic components at the end-of-life constitutes a challenge to be considered in scenarios with a high mini grid share [107].
4.4. Mini Grid Community Engagement Challenges

Lessons learned from other fields for community development in West Africa such as health, water, and sanitation have shown the importance of communication, community engagement, and sustainable O&M as crucial factors for success in these projects [108]. A critical issue for community engagement is the spatial energy justice (related to the cost and quality of energy within a country), which should motivate the equiparation between grid connected and off-grid populations within the same country [56, 109–111]. Rural electrification policies based on SHS or mini grids designed below real necessities have been considered as the “solar trap” [112], or “illuminated but not electrified” [113]. Under this, rural populations have access to a weak power source only adequate for illumination but not for developing commercial activities or using conventional electrical appliances typical of grid-connected areas. This low electricity access can turn into a future inconvenience for these communities as they can be externally considered as electrified, and therefore being out of future considerations for mini grids or grid extensions.

The LCOE of mini grids relies on the expected future consumption, which is related to cultural and economic driven factors [84]. The fact that these systems usually serve for users who lack of basic electric coverage, the initial access to electricity might multiply consumption more than expected during the design of systems, with effects known as the Jevon’s paradox or rebound effect: the cheaper the use of energy the higher increase the overall consumption of energy [114, 115]. On the opposite side, it has been frequently recorded load factors and consumption profiles below designed [116], which implies LCOE higher than initially expected. Unlike utility-scale renewable energy projects with PPA signed, with regulatory frameworks and financial models depending on the tariff and energy generated, off-grid mini grids integrate other sources of uncertainty such as the estimation of electricity consumption along life cycle, hybridization share based on consumption profiles, life cycle of batteries according to discharge profiles, etc. Thus, further knowledge regarding mini grid community engagement and mini grid use would lower the financial risk associated to the evolution of mini grid load factors.

4.5. Mini Grid Regulation Challenges

Financial risk accompanied with strong competition in tenders might be one of the reasons explaining the low efficacy of achieving objectives in tenders prior to 2019 in West Africa. The fact that regulatory framework for mini grids is tender-based in West Africa and generally different in each tender, instead of a continuous regulation with a clear roadmap, introduces higher risk for developers. Derived from this lack of stable regulatory framework, it is notorious the lack of any regulation for grid extension events in sites with existing mini grids and how expropriation of mini grid and distribution lines associated would be compensated [94]. This introduces a higher financial risk in sites nearby from national grid than for remote locations. Furthermore, the tender-based regulation with different requirements and public approvals in each tender can be a potential source for further delays associated to bureaucracy [94].

5. Future Research

The state-of-the-art of mini grids in West Africa leaves some areas that should deserve further research attention. In this line, there is a general lack of social analysis of mini grids that should answer questions such as social variables affecting the choice of mini grid versus SHS, strategies to improve social mini grid acceptance, daily profile use associated to the solar resource to reduce battery sizing and fuel use, evolution of mini grid load factor associated to community engagement and intrinsic economic development associated to the installation of the mini grid, smart metering technologies to improve transparency, impact of mini grid to gender and health, and cooking with electrical devices plugged into the mini grid versus traditional cooking with biomass. Regarding the environmental research, the life cycle assessment considering grid extension, SHS and mini grids deserves special attention in West Africa as well as the end-of-life treatment of mini grid components.
6. Summary and Recommendations

The fulfillment of the SDG7 in West Africa is extremely challenging due to a current electrification rate below 50%, which is especially low for rural populations. Only Cape Verde, Cote d’Ivoire, Ghana, and Senegal had rural electrification rates above 31%. Besides, quality of service for on-grid users in this region is remarkably low with losses accounting to 39.5% of the generation, frequent disruptions and noteworthy high electricity prices (i.e., Liberia and Sierra Leone: $0.66/kWh and $0.55/kWh, respectively). These factors combined with the incapacity of national governments for grid extension have made mini grids appealing for rural electrification.

Three-hundred-and-eighty-five mini grids are currently operating in West Africa, of which 170 rely on PV-battery and 188 on hybrid PV-diesel-battery technologies. This shows a prevalence of the PV technology compared to other renewables such as wind or hydro. Recent national tenders in Nigeria (250 mini grids), Sierra Leone (90), Togo (317), and Burkina Faso (100) prove the rising interest for PV mini grids in this region. The latest numbers according to the World Bank show a median PV mini grid firm power output cost of $4.3/W\text{furm}$ and LCOE of $0.55/kWh, in which CAPEX accounts for 60–65% and OPEX for 35–40% of the mini grid LCOE. It must be noted that cross-comparison of LCOE among mini grid projects and countries in the West African region is extremely challenging due to different factors such as country risk, financial conditions, mini grid technology and size, specific tender conditions, etc.

Regarding the state-of-the-art in academic research of mini grids in West Africa, a literature analysis was performed analyzing the evaluation of mini grid potential, the welfare impact of mini grids, policies and barriers for rural electrification, the demand side analysis of mini grids, and mini grid reliability. It is remarkable that most of the addressed studies are focused on technology and economic barriers, while little literature is available for the social impact and social challenges of rural electrification with mini grids. The fact that not only technical but also social factors are crucial for the success of mini grids in rural West Africa should demand higher research interest in these social fields.

On the other side, barriers for mini grid deployment in West Africa were analyzed and described according to financial, cost, and social challenges. Most financial barriers reported were related to the regulatory framework and access to funding. Traditional competitive mini grid tenders proved inefficient in achieving goals while the recently implemented RBF tenders in Nigeria and Burkina Faso showed less financial risk for investors introducing subsidies applied per new connection.

It is possible that the greatest financial challenge for mini grids in West Africa still depends on the transition from international aid funding to public and private funding. Regarding costs challenges, both CAPEX and OPEX require economies of scale to reduce the existing inefficiencies as well as seeking to increase the relatively low load factors recorded. However, with the existing on-grid electricity price and the noteworthy mini grid LCOE reduction seen during the last decade it is expectable a parity cost between on-grid and off-grid electricity in some of the ECOWAS countries; particularly in Gambia, Sierra Leone, Liberia, and Cape Verde.

6.1. Recommendations

Based on the state-of-the-art of mini grids in West Africa, the authors have summarized some recommendations that could ease the way to mini grids in this region.

- More transparency in tenders, technologies selected, and prices offered in West African countries would help to design tenders based on the results of neighbour countries, contributing to the access of more developers into this market.
- Mini grid tariffs should be designed and adapted to improve mini grid load factors focusing on activities that add value to the community with rebound effect.
- Regulation should specify compensation conditions for mini grids affected by grid extension to lower the financial risk of off-grid sites nearby to the main grid.
• Open data of mini grid demand curves would help in the design and optimization of future mini grids reducing the number of assumptions in the design.
• Auditories of mini grid customer satisfaction should be implemented as a way to evaluate social perception and include potential improvements in the design of new tenders.
• Tenders should try to reduce the bureaucratic load as a way to improve their efficacy.
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Abbreviations
The following abbreviations are used in this manuscript:

AC Alternating current
AT2ER Togolese Rural Electrification and Renewable Energy Agency
CAPEX Capital expenditure
D Distribution
DC Direct current
ECOWAS Economic Community of West African States
ECREEE ECOWAS Centre for Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency
EPC Engineering procurement and construction
G Generation
GIS Geographical information system
GMG MDP Green Mini Grid Market Development Program
ID Integrated distribution
IPP Independent power producer
LCA Life cycle assessment
LCOE Levelized cost of electricity
NGO Non-governmental organization
NREL National Renewable Energy Laboratory
O&M Operation and maintenance
OPEX Operational expenditure
PPA Power purchase agreement
ROGEP Regional Off-Grid Electrification Project
RBF Result-based financing
SDG7 Sustainable development goal 7
SEFA Sustainable Energy Fund for Africa
SHS Solar home system
SSA Sub-Saharan Africa
T Transmission
UNOPS United Nations Office for Project Services
VIU Vertical integrated utility
WAPP West African Power Pool
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