Strong coupling constants of doubly heavy baryons with vector mesons in QCD
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Abstract Using the most general form of the interpolating current for baryons, the strong electric and magnetic coupling constants of light vector mesons $\rho$ and $K^*$ with doubly heavy baryons are computed within the light-cone sum rules. We consider 2- and 3-particle distribution amplitudes of the aforementioned vector mesons. The obtained results can be useful in the analysis of experimental data on the properties of doubly heavy baryons conducted at LHC.

1 Introduction

The quark model has been very predictive in studying the properties of hadrons [1]. Many baryon states predicted by the quark model have already been observed experimentally. The spectroscopy of doubly heavy baryons has been extensively investigated in many theoretical works. It has been studied in the framework of different approaches such as the Hamilton method [2], the hypercentral method [3], the lattice QCD [4,5], the QCD sum rules [6–12], the Bethe-Salpeter equation [13], and in an extended chromomagnetic model [14].

At the present time, only the $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$ state is observed in experiments. This state was first observed by SELEX Collaboration in $\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow \Lambda_c K^- \pi^+$ and $p D^+ K^-$ reactions with the mass $3518.7 \pm 1.7$ MeV [15,16]. In 2017, the doubly heavy $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$ was discovered by LHCb Collaboration with the measured mass of $3621.24 \pm 0.65 \pm 0.31$ MeV [17]. The LHCb Collaboration also measured the lifetime of this state: $\tau = 0.256^{+0.024}_{-0.022} \pm 0.014$ ps [18]. This discovery is stimulated by many theoretical works for a deeper understanding of the properties of these baryons via studying their electromagnetic, weak, and strong decays. The weak decays of the doubly heavy baryons have been studied within various approaches such as the light-front approach [19,20], the QCD sum rules approach [21], the light-cone QCD sum rules [22,23], the quark model [24], and the covariant light-front quark model [25]. The radiative transitions of doubly heavy baryons in the framework of different approaches such as relativized quark model [26], in the chiral perturbation theory [27], in the light-cone QCD sum rules [28] are comprehensively studied. The strong coupling constant of light pseudoscalar mesons with doubly heavy baryons within the light-cone QCD sum rules are studied in [29,30].

In this work, we study the strong coupling constants of doubly heavy baryons with vector mesons $\rho$ and $K^*$ within the framework of the light-cone sum rules (LCSR). These coupling constants can play an important role in the description of doubly heavy baryons in terms of one boson exchange potential models.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, we derive the LCSR for the electric- and magnetic-type strong coupling constants of doubly heavy baryons with vector mesons $\rho$ and $K^*$ within the framework of the light-cone sum rules (LCSR). These coupling constants can play an important role in the description of doubly heavy baryons in terms of one boson exchange potential models.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, we derive the LCSR for the electric- and magnetic-type strong coupling constants of doubly heavy baryons with vector mesons $\rho$ and $K^*$ within the framework of the light-cone sum rules (LCSR). These coupling constants can play an important role in the description of doubly heavy baryons in terms of one boson exchange potential models.

2 Light-cone sum rules for vector meson-baryon coupling constants

For determining the strong coupling constants of doubly heavy baryons with light vector mesons, we introduce the following correlation function:

$$\Pi = i \int d^4x \ e^{ipx} \langle V(q)|T\{\eta(x)\bar{\eta}(0)\}|0\rangle$$

(1)

where $V(q)$ is a vector meson with momentum $q$ and $\eta$ denotes the interpolating current of the corresponding dou-
bly heavy baryon. By the virtue of the SU(3) classification, there exist two types of interpolating currents, which are symmetric or antisymmetric under the exchange of two heavy quarks. Only when the two heavy quarks are different, we have the antisymmetric current. The most general forms of the interpolating currents, both symmetric and antisymmetric, for doubly heavy baryons with \( J = 1/2 \) can be written as

\[
\eta^{(S)}(p) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \epsilon^{abc} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left[ (Q^a T A_1 q^b) A_2 q^c + (Q \leftrightarrow Q') \right]
\]

and

\[
\eta^{(A)}(p) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \epsilon^{abc} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left[ 2 (Q^a T A_1 q^b) A_2 q^c + (Q^a T A_1 q^b) A_2 q^c - (Q^a T A_1 q^b) A_2 q^c \right]
\]

where \( a, b, \) and \( c \) are color indices, \( T \) is the transposition, and

\[
A_1^T = C, \quad A_2^T = C \gamma_5, \quad A_2^x = \gamma_5, \quad A_2^y = \beta I
\]

where \( \beta \) is an arbitrary parameter and \( C \) is the charge conjugation operator.

The main philosophy of the light-cone sum rules (LCSR) is the computation of the correlation function in two different domains. It can be calculated in terms of the hadrons, as well as in the deep Euclidean region \( p^2 \to -\infty \) and \( (p + q)^2 \to -\infty \) by using the operator product expansion (OPE) over twist. Afterwards, the corresponding double Borel transformation with respect to the variables \( -p^2 \) and \( -(p + q)^2 \) is performed to suppress the contributions from higher states and the continuum as well as to enhance the contributions by the ground state. Finally, matching the results, the desired sum rules are obtained.

We start the construction of the sum rules by considering the phenomenological part of the correlation function. To this end, we insert a complete set of intermediate states with the same quantum numbers as the interpolating currents. After isolating the ground-state baryons, we obtain

\[
\Pi = \frac{\langle 0 | B_2(p_2) | B_2(p_2) \rangle V(q) | B_1(p_1) \rangle B_1(p_1) \rangle B_1(p_1) \rangle 0}{(p_2^2 - m_{B_2}^2)(p_1^2 - m_{B_1}^2)} + \text{higher states}
\]

where \( m_{B_1} \) and \( m_{B_2} \) are the masses of the initial and final doubly heavy baryons, respectively. The matrix elements in Eq. (5) are defined as follows:

\[
\langle 0 | B_1(p_1) \rangle = \lambda_{B_1} u(p_1) \quad (6)
\]

\[
\langle B_2(p_2) | V(q) | B_1(p_1) \rangle = \bar{u}(p_2) \left( f_1 \gamma_\mu - f_2 \frac{i}{m_{B_1} + m_{B_2}} \sigma_{\mu \nu} q^\nu \right) u(p_1) \epsilon^{\mu} \quad (7)
\]

where the \( \lambda_{B_1} \) are the residues, \( f_1 \) and \( f_2 \) are the relevant coupling constants of the doubly heavy baryons with the corresponding vector meson, \( \gamma_\mu \) and \( \sigma_{\mu \nu} \) are the 4-polarization and 4-momentum of the vector meson, and \( u \) is the Dirac spinor for the baryon which is normalized as \( \bar{u}u = 2m \).

Using Eqs. (6) and (7) in (5), we obtain the following for the physical part of the correlation function:

\[
\Pi^\text{phys} = \frac{\lambda_{B_1} \lambda_{B_2}}{(p_2^2 - m_{B_2}^2)(p_1^2 - m_{B_1}^2)} e^{\mu} (p_2 + m_{B_2}) \times \left( f_1 \gamma_\mu - f_2 \frac{i}{m_{B_1} + m_{B_2}} \sigma_{\mu \nu} q^\nu \right) (p_1 + m_{B_1}) \quad (8)
\]

where we have set \( p_1 = p + q \) and \( p_2 = p \).

On the other hand, the correlation function is calculated from the QCD side by using the OPE over twist. After applying the Wick theorem, from (1), we get the following results:

\[
\Pi^{(SS)} = \frac{1}{2} e^{abc} e^{a'b'c'} \int d^4 x e^{ip_2} \sum_{ij} (A_1^i)_{\alpha \beta} (A_2^j)_{\rho \gamma} \times \left( \langle \tilde{A}_1^j \rangle_{\gamma' \rho'} \langle \tilde{A}_1^i \rangle_{\alpha' \beta'} \left[ V(q) \left[ S_c^{a'c'} Q_{\gamma' \rho'} S_{\alpha' \beta'} \right] + \langle Q \leftrightarrow Q' \rangle - S_c^{a'c'} Q_{\gamma' \rho'} S_{\alpha' \beta'} \right] \right) \left( \lambda_{B_1} \lambda_{B_2} \right) \quad (9)
\]

\[
\Pi^{(AA)} = \frac{1}{6} e^{abc} e^{a'b'c'} \int d^4 x e^{ip_2} \sum_{ij} (A_1^i)_{\alpha \beta} (A_2^j)_{\rho \gamma} \times \left( \langle \tilde{A}_1^j \rangle_{\gamma' \rho'} \langle \tilde{A}_1^i \rangle_{\alpha' \beta'} \left[ V(q) \left[ S_c^{a'c'} Q_{\gamma' \rho'} S_{\alpha' \beta'} \right] - S_c^{a'c'} Q_{\gamma' \rho'} S_{\alpha' \beta'} \right] \right) \left( \lambda_{B_1} \lambda_{B_2} \right) \quad (10)
\]

\[
\Pi^{(SA)} = \frac{1}{12} e^{abc} e^{a'b'c'} \int d^4 x e^{ip_2} \sum_{ij} (A_1^i)_{\alpha \beta} (A_2^j)_{\rho \gamma} \times \left( \langle \tilde{A}_1^j \rangle_{\gamma' \rho'} \langle \tilde{A}_1^i \rangle_{\alpha' \beta'} \left[ V(q) \left[ -2 q_c^{b'c'} S_{a' \gamma'} Q_{\alpha' \beta'} S_{\rho' \delta'} \right] + q_c^{b'c'} S_{a' \gamma'} Q_{\rho' \delta'} S_{\alpha' \beta'} - q_c^{b'c'} S_{a' \gamma'} Q_{\alpha' \beta'} S_{\rho' \delta'} \right] \right) \left( \lambda_{B_1} \lambda_{B_2} \right) \quad (11)
\]

where \( S_Q \) is the heavy quark propagator. In these expressions, the superscripts \((SS), (AA), \) and \((SA)\) denote the symmetry property of the currents \( \eta \) and \( \tilde{\eta} \), and we have defined \( \tilde{A}_1 = \gamma_0 A_1^{\gamma_0} \). The heavy quark propagator in the presence of an
external background field in the coordinate space is given by

\[
S_{Qa}^{a'} = \frac{m_Q^2}{8\pi} \left[ \frac{i K_2(m_Q \sqrt{-\chi^2})}{\sqrt{-\chi^2}^2} + \frac{K_1(m_Q \sqrt{-\chi^2})}{\sqrt{-\chi^2}} \right]_{a\beta} \times 8^{a''} - \frac{8i}{16\pi m_Q} \int_0^1 du \left[ \frac{i K_1(m_Q \sqrt{-\chi^2})}{\sqrt{-\chi^2}} \right] \times (\bar{q} \gamma_\tau u \Gamma_{\alpha \tau} + K_0(m_Q \sqrt{-\chi^2}) \sigma_{\alpha \tau}) \right]_{a\beta} \times G^{(n)\alpha}(\frac{1}{2})^{a}\overline{a'}
\]

(12)

where \(G^{(n)}_{\alpha \tau}\) is the gluon field strength tensor, the \(\lambda^{(n)}\) are the Gell–Mann matrices, and the \(K_i(m_Q \sqrt{-\chi^2})\) are the modified Bessel functions of the second kind. Using the Fiertz identities, namely

\[
q^{a} q^{b'} \rightarrow -\frac{1}{12} (\Gamma_1)_{a\beta} \delta^{bb'} [\bar{q} \Gamma_1 q]
\]

(13)

and

\[
q^{a} q^{b'} G^{(n)}_{\alpha \tau} \rightarrow -\frac{1}{16} (\lambda^{(n)})^{bb'} (\Gamma_1)_{a\beta} [\bar{q} \Gamma_1 G^{(n)}_{\alpha \tau} q]
\]

(14)

can see that the following matrix elements appear in the calculation:

\[
\langle V(q, \varepsilon) \bar{q} \Gamma_1 q | 0 \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle V(q, \varepsilon) \bar{q} \Gamma_1 G^{(n)}_{\alpha \tau} q | 0 \rangle
\]

(15)

\(\{\Gamma_i\}\) is the full set of Dirac matrices, i.e.

\[
\Gamma_1 = I, \quad \Gamma_2 = \gamma_5, \quad \Gamma_3 = \gamma_\alpha, \quad \Gamma_4 = i \gamma_\alpha \gamma_5, \quad \Gamma_5 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sigma_{\alpha \beta}
\]

(16)

Now if we insert Eqs. (12)–(16) into Eqs. (9)–(11), do calculations for the QCD part of the correlation function, and perform a double Borel transformation over variables \(-p^2\) and \(-\rho^2\) and equating the coefficients of the relevant structures from both parts, we obtain the desired sum rules for them. Our numerical analysis shows that the coefficients of the structures \(p q\overline{q}\) and \((\varepsilon \cdot p) q\overline{q}\) exhibit the best convergence for the OPE series. For this reason, we choose the coefficients of these structures in our analysis. The analytical expressions of the coefficients of these structures are quite lengthy and therefore we present them in Appendix A.

As an example, we present the complete steps of calculations for one term, and the remaining ones are presented in Appendix B. Let us consider the term

\[
i \int du \int d^4x \ e^{i(p+q) \cdot x} \frac{K_i(m_Q \sqrt{-\chi^2})}{\sqrt{-\chi^2}^2} \frac{K_j(m_Q \sqrt{-\chi^2})}{\sqrt{-\chi^2}^2} f(u)
\]

(17)

where \(f(u)\) is a generic 2-particle DA of the vector meson. Now we use the integral representation of the Bessel function, namely

\[
\frac{K_1(m_Q \sqrt{-\chi^2})}{\sqrt{-\chi^2}^2} = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty dt \ e^{-\frac{m_Q}{\sqrt{t}}(\varepsilon^2/t)}
\]

(18)

Then we introduce two new variables \(a\) and \(b\) as \(a := \frac{2m_Q}{t}\) and \(b := \frac{2m_Q}{t'}\). If we now do a Wick rotation, \(\varepsilon_0 \rightarrow i\varepsilon_E^0\), and switch to the Euclid spacetime, \(-\chi^2 \rightarrow \chi_E^2\), and perform the integration over \(d^4x_E\), we obtain

\[
i \frac{16\pi^2}{4 (2m_Q)^j (2m_Q')^j} \int du \int_0^\infty da \int_0^\infty db \ a^{i-1} b^{i-1} \times f(u) e^{-k_E^2/(a+b)} e^{-m_Q^2/a - m_Q'^2/b}
\]

(19)

where \(k_E := p_E + \overline{u} q_E\). Now, let us insert the identity \(\int dp \delta(p - a - b) = 1\), make a scale transformation \(a \rightarrow \alpha a\), \(b \rightarrow \alpha b\), and perform the integration over \(\beta\) to obtain

\[
i \frac{16\pi^2}{4 (2m_Q)^j (2m_Q')^j} \int du \int_0^\infty da \int_0^\infty db \ a^{i-1} (1-\alpha)^{i-1} e^{-m_Q^2/a + m_Q'^2/b}/\rho^j
\]

(20)

Then, by writing out

\[
k_E^2 = p_E^2 (1 - \overline{u}) - m_Q^2 (\overline{u}^2 - \overline{u}) + \overline{u} (p_E + q_E)^2
\]

(21)

and making use of the formula \(2e^{-ap^2} = \delta(1/\alpha - a)\) to perform the Borel transformations over the variables \(p_E^2\) and \((p_E + q_E)^2\) and integrating over \(u\) and \(\rho\), we get

\[
i \frac{16\pi^2}{4 (2m_Q)^j (2m_Q')^j} \left( (M^2)^j + \frac{m_Q^2}{2M^2} + \frac{m_Q'^2}{2M^2} \right) f \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)
\]

\[
 \times \int_0^\infty da \ a^{i-1} (1-\alpha)^{i-1} e^{-\frac{m_Q^2}{\alpha} + \frac{m_Q'^2}{1-\alpha}}/M^2
\]

(22)

Now let

\[
s := \frac{m_Q^2}{\alpha} + \frac{m_Q'^2}{1-\alpha}
\]

(23)

Equating this to \(s_0\) in order to perform the continuum subtraction, we find the bounds of \(\alpha\). As a result, we obtain

\[
i \frac{16\pi^2}{4 (2m_Q)^j (2m_Q')^j} \left( (M^2)^j + \frac{m_Q^2}{2M^2} + \frac{m_Q'^2}{2M^2} \right) f \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)
\]

\[
 \times \int_{a_0}^{a_+} da \ a^{i-1} (1-\alpha)^{i-1} e^{-\frac{m_Q^2}{\alpha} + \frac{m_Q'^2}{1-\alpha}}/M^2
\]

(24)
which can be more conveniently rewritten as
\[ i \frac{16\pi^2}{4(2m_Q^2)(2m_Q^2)^2} (M^2)^{1+j} e^{-m_Q^2/2M^2} f \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \]
\[ \times \int_{s_0}^{\infty} ds \, e^{-s/M^2} \int d\alpha \, \alpha^{-1} (1-\alpha)^{-1} \]
\[ \times \delta \left( s - \frac{m_Q^2}{\alpha} - \frac{m_Q^2}{1-\alpha} \right) \]
(25)
where we have
\[ \alpha_\pm := \frac{1}{2s} \left( s + m_Q^2 - m_Q^2 \right) \]
\[ \pm \sqrt{(s + m_Q^2 - m_Q^2)^2 - 4sm_Q^2} \]
(26)
and we have defined
\[ \frac{1}{M^2} = \frac{1}{M_1^2} + \frac{1}{M_2^2} \]
(27)
Since in our case the mass of the initial and final baryons are practically the same, we take \( M_1^2 = M_2^2 \). The 1/2 inside \( f \) is indeed given by \( u_0 \) which is defined as
\[ u_0 = \frac{M_1^2}{M_1^2 + M_2^2} = \frac{1}{2} \]
(28)
Performing similar calculations for the remaining integrals and matching the two representations of the correlation function for the relevant coupling constants, we get the following sum rules:
\[ f_1 = \frac{1}{2\lambda_{B_1} \lambda_{B_2}} \frac{m_{\rho}^2}{m_{\rho}^2 + m_{\rho}^2} \Pi_{f_1}^{\text{theo}} \]
(29)
\[ f_1 + f_2 = \frac{1}{\lambda_{B_1} \lambda_{B_2}} \frac{m_{\rho}^2 + m_{\rho}^2}{m_{\rho}^2 + m_{\rho}^2 + m_{\rho}^2} \Pi_{f_1+f_2}^{\text{theo}} \]
(30)

### 3 Numerical analysis

In this section, we numerically analyze the LCSR for the electric-, \( f_1 \), and magnetic-type, \( f_1 + f_2 \), strong coupling constants of the vector meson \( \rho \) and \( K^* \) with the doubly heavy baryons \( \Xi_{cc}, \Xi_{bb}, \Xi_{bc}, \Xi_{bc}', \Omega_{cc}, \Omega_{bb}, \Omega_{bc} \), and \( \Omega_{bc}' \) by using Package X [31]. The LCSR for the coupling constants \( f_1 \) and \( f_1 + f_2 \) contain certain input parameters such as quark masses, the masses and decay constants of the vector mesons \( \rho \) and \( K^* \), and the masses and residues of the aforementioned doubly heavy baryons. Some of these parameters are presented in Table 1. Other input parameters are present in the vector meson DAs of different twists. The complete list of these DAs together with the most recent values of the input parameters are given in Appendix C.

In addition to the above-mentioned input parameters, the LCSR also includes three auxiliary parameters, namely the Borel mass parameter, \( M^2 \), the continuum threshold, \( s_0 \), and the arbitrary parameter, \( \beta \), which appear in the expression for the interpolating current. Physically measurable quantities should be independent of these parameters. Thus, we need to find the working regions of these auxiliary parameters such that the LCSR is reliable. The lower bound of the Borel mass parameter is obtained by requiring the contributions from the highest-twist terms be considerably smaller than the contributions from the lowest-twist terms. The upper bound of \( M^2 \) can be determined by demanding that the continuum contribution should not be too large. Meantime, the continuum threshold, \( s_0 \), is obtained by requiring that the two-point sum rules reproduce a 10% accuracy of the mass of doubly heavy baryons. These conditions lead to the values of \( M^2 \) and \( s_0 \) summarized in Table 2 for the channels considered.

Our analysis reveals that the twist-4 term contributions in the aforementioned domains of \( M^2 \) at the indicated values of \( s_0 \) are smaller than 15% and higher states contribute 30% at maximum for all the considered channels. As an illustration, we present the \( M^2 \) dependence of \( f_1 + f_2 \) and \( f_1 \) for \( \Xi_{cc}, \Xi_{cc}' \rho \) at fixed values of \( s_0 \) and \( \beta \) in Figs. 1 and 2. Once we determine the working regions of \( M^2 \) and \( s_0 \), we need to find the working region of the auxiliary parameter, \( \beta \). To this end, we investigate the strong coupling constant \( f_1 + f_2 \) as a function of \( \cos \theta \), where we have defined \( \theta \) via \( \beta = \tan \theta \). As an example, we give the dependence of the coupling constants \( f_1 + f_2 \) and \( f_1 \) for \( \Xi_{cc}, \Xi_{cc}' \rho \) and \( \Xi_{bc}, \Xi_{bc}' \rho \) at fixed values of \( M^2 \) and \( s_0 \) in Figs. 3, 4, 5 and 6, respectively.

### Table 1

| Parameter | Value | Parameter | Value | Parameter | Value |
|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|
| \( m_1 \) (1 GeV) | 0.137 | \( m_\rho \) | 0.770 | \( m_{\Xi_{cc}} \) | 3.72 [8] |
| \( m_\rho \) | 1.4 | \( f_\rho \) | 0.216 | \( m_{\Xi_{bb}} \) | 9.96 [8] |
| \( m_b \) | 4.7 | \( f_\rho^\prime \) | 0.165 | \( m_{\Xi_{bc}} \) | 6.72 [8] |
| \( m_{K^*} \) | 0.892 | \( m_{\Xi_{bc}'} \) | 6.79 [8] | \( m_{\Omega_{cc}} \) | 0.30 [8] |
| \( f_{K^*} \) | 0.220 | \( m_{\Omega_{bc}} \) | 3.73 [8] | \( m_{\Omega_{bc}'} \) | 0.18 [8] |
| \( f_{K^*}^\prime \) | 0.185 | \( m_{\Omega_{bb}} \) | 9.97 [8] | \( m_{\Omega_{cc}'} \) | 0.45 [8] |
| \( m_{\Omega_{bc}'} \) | 6.75 [8] | \( m_{\Omega_{cc}'} \) | 0.29 [8] |
| \( m_{\Omega_{bc}'} \) | 6.80 [8] | \( m_{\Omega_{bc}'} \) | 0.31 [8] |
Table 2 The working region of the parameters $M^2$ and $s_0$ for the channels considered in our work.

| Channel                          | $M^2$ (GeV$^2$) | $\sqrt{s_0}$ (GeV) |
|----------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|
| $SS$                             |                |                   |
| $\Xi_{cc} \to \Xi_{cc} \rho$     | $3 \leq M^2 \leq 6$ | 4.6              |
| $\Xi_{bb} \to \Xi_{bb} \rho$     | $10 \leq M^2 \leq 15$ | 10.9             |
| $\Omega_{bb} \to \Xi_{bb} K^*$   | $10 \leq M^2 \leq 15$ | 10.9             |
| $\Omega_{cc} \to \Xi_{cc} K^*$   | $3 \leq M^2 \leq 6$ | 4.6              |
| $\Omega_{bc} \to \Xi_{bc} K^*$   | $6 \leq M^2 \leq 9$ | 7.5              |
| $AA$                             |                |                   |
| $\Xi_{bc} \to \Xi_{bc} \rho$     | $6 \leq M^2 \leq 9$ | 7.5              |
| $\Omega_{bc} \to \Xi_{bc} K^*$   | $6 \leq M^2 \leq 9$ | 7.5              |
| $SA$                             |                |                   |
| $\Xi_{bc} \to \Xi_{bc} \rho$     | $6 \leq M^2 \leq 9$ | 7.5              |
| $\Omega_{bc} \to \Xi_{bc} K^*$   | $6 \leq M^2 \leq 9$ | 7.5              |

In these figures, one can see that the coupling constants do not practically change when $|\cos \theta|$ varies between 0.6 and 1. Our numerical analysis for the strong coupling constants of doubly heavy baryons with vectors mesons leads to the results presented in Table 3. The uncertainties are due to the variation of the parameters $M^2$, $s_0$, and the errors in the values of the input parameters.

From Table 3, we deduce the following conclusions:

(a) The SU(3) symmetry for the SS and AA cases works very well. The violation of the SU(3) symmetry is about 10% at maximum.

(b) In the SA case, SU(3) symmetry works very well for electric strong coupling, $f_1$, but considerably violated for the coupling $f_1 + f_2$ (about 50%).

4 Conclusion

The experimental discovery of the $\Xi_{cc}$ baryon opened a new research area in theoretical studies for understanding the
Table 3 The numerical values for the strong coupling constants

| Channel | \( f_1 + f_2 \) | \( f_1 \) |
|---------|----------------|--------|
| SS      |                 |        |
| \( \Xi_{cc} \to \Xi_{cc} \rho \) | 32.53 ± 2.30 | −25.32 ± 8.49 |
| \( \Xi_{bb} \to \Xi_{bb} \rho \) | 23.66 ± 2.89 | −7.69 ± 2.31 |
| \( \Omega_{cc} \to \Xi_{cc} K^* \) | 22.55 ± 2.63 | −8.30 ± 2.74 |
| \( \Omega_{bc} \to \Xi_{bc} K^* \) | 28.36 ± 1.83 | −23.64 ± 9.85 |
| AA      | −37.62 ± 6.48 | −0.40 ± 0.12 |
| \( \Omega_{cc} \to \Xi_{bc} K^* \) | −37.98 ± 6.75 | −0.40 ± 0.14 |
| SA      | 1.50 ± 0.31 | −0.97 ± 0.30 |
| \( \Omega_{bc} \to \Xi_{bc} \rho \) | 2.20 ± 0.40 | −1.00 ± 0.36 |

properties of doubly heavy baryons by analyzing their weak, electromagnetic, and strong decays. In the present work, within the framework of the LCSR method, we estimate the electric and magnetic couplings of light vector mesons and \( K^* \) with doubly heavy baryons of spin 1/2. In our analysis, we have used the general form of the interpolating currents in symmetric and antisymmetric forms with respect to the exchange of two heavy quarks. We obtained that in the case of symmetric-antisymmetric (SA) currents, couplings are affirmed to be smaller than the SS and AA cases. This circumstance can be explained by the fact that in the SA case, there are strong cancellations between the leading terms. Moreover, we obtained that our results for the considered couplings constants for the cases of SS and AA are in a good agreement with the \( SU(3) \) symmetry results and its violation is about 10% at maximum. For the SA case, for the electric coupling, the \( SU(3) \) symmetry violation is small (about 5%), but for magnetic coupling, its violation is about 50%.

Our final remark is that these results can be helpful in studies of the properties of doubly heavy baryons in experiments conducted at LHCb.

Data Availability Statement This manuscript has no associated data or the data will not be deposited. [Authors’ comment: All the existing numerical data have been included in the manuscript and there is no other data regarding this work.]

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

Funded by SCOAP³.

Appendix A: Analytical expressions of the correlation functions for the considered structures

In this section, we present the analytic expression of the correlation functions for the cases of SS, AA, and SA currents for the structures \( pp \) and \( (e \cdot p) \rho \):  

\[
P_{f_1+f_2}^{(SS)theo} = \frac{1}{(96M^2\pi^4)}im_Qm_QN^2(-(-1 + \beta^2)f_V^T \times (3m_Q + m_Q)m_VG[S(\alpha_1, \alpha_3), 0, 2]
+ 9(m_Q + m_Q)m_VG[S(\alpha_1, \alpha_3), 1, 1]
+ 3m_Qm_V(iG[S(\alpha_1, \alpha_3), 0, 2]
+ f_V^T m_V G[T_4^{(4)}(\alpha_1, \alpha_3), 1, 1]
- G[T_4^{(4)}(\alpha_1, \alpha_3), 1, 1] - 2G[T_4^{(4)}(\alpha_1, \alpha_3)u, 1, 1]
+ 2G[T_4^{(4)}(\alpha_1, \alpha_3)u, 1, 1] + m_V^2 G[T_4^{(4)}(\alpha_1, \alpha_3), 1, 1]
- 2G[uH_G[2, T_4^{(4)}(\alpha_1, \alpha_3)], 1, 1]
- G[uH_G[2, T_4^{(4)}(\alpha_1, \alpha_3)], 1, 1]
+ 2G[uH_G[2, T_4^{(4)}(\alpha_1, \alpha_3)], 1, 1])
- m_Q(224m_QG[\phi_T^2(u), 1, 2]
+ m_V(3|G|S(\alpha_1, \alpha_3), 0, 2]
+ m_V(3f_V^T G[T_4^{(4)}(\alpha_1, \alpha_3), 1, 1] - G[T_4^{(4)}(\alpha_1, \alpha_3), 1, 1]
+ 2G[T_4^{(4)}(\alpha_1, \alpha_3)u, 1, 1] + 2G[T_4^{(4)}(\alpha_1, \alpha_3)u, 1, 1]
+ m_V^2 G[T_4^{(4)}(\alpha_1, \alpha_3), 1, 1]
- 2G[uH_G[2, T_4^{(4)}(\alpha_1, \alpha_3)], 1, 1]
- G[uH_G[2, T_4^{(4)}(\alpha_1, \alpha_3)], 1, 1]
+ 2G[uH_G[2, T_4^{(4)}(\alpha_1, \alpha_3)], 1, 1])
+ 14m_QG[\phi_T^2(u), 1, 2])
+ f_V m_V(3(1 + \beta(6 + 4\beta))m_Q + m_Q)
\times G[D_G[1, A(\alpha_1, \alpha_3)], 1, 2]
- 6(1 + \beta(6 + 4\beta))m_Q + m_Q)
\times G[uD_G[1, A(\alpha_1, \alpha_3)], 1, 2]
- 3(3 + 2\beta(3 + 3\beta)m_Q G[D_G[1, V(\alpha_1, \alpha_3)], 1, 2]
+ m_Q(-3(3 + 2\beta(3 + 3\beta))
\times G[D_G[1, V(\alpha_1, \alpha_3)], 1, 2]
- 28im_Q((-1 + \beta^2)^2G[\phi_T^2(u), 1, 1]
- (1 + \beta)^2G[\phi_T^2(u), 2, 2])]
\end{equation}

\[
P_{f_1}^{(SS)theo} = -\frac{1}{(96M^2\pi^4)}im_Qm_Qm_VN^2 \times (3(1 + \beta^2)(f_V^T)^2(m_Q + m_Q)m_V \times 66G[H_G[1, T(\alpha_1, \alpha_3)], 0, 2]
+ 2G[H_G[1, T(\alpha_1, \alpha_3)], 1, 1]
- G[H_G[1, T_4^{(4)}(\alpha_1, \alpha_3)], 0, 2]
+ G[H_G[1, T_4^{(4)}(\alpha_1, \alpha_3)], 1, 1]
+ G[H_G[1, T_4^{(4)}(\alpha_1, \alpha_3)], 0, 2]
\end{equation}
\[ +4G[\mathcal{H}_G[1, T_3^{(4)}(\alpha_1, \alpha_3)], 0, 2] \]

\[ +2G[\mathcal{H}_G[1, T_4^{(4)}(\alpha_1, \alpha_3)], 0, 2] \]

\[ +G[\mathcal{H}_G[1, T_3^{(4)}(\alpha_1, \alpha_3)], 1, 1] \]

\[ +3m_2^2 G_2[\mathcal{H}_G[3, T(\alpha_1, \alpha_3)], 0, 2]) \]

\[ -2f_2 \left(3(3 + \beta(2 + 3\beta))m_Q + m_Q'\right)m_2^V \]

\[ \times G[A(\alpha_1, \alpha_3), 1, 2] \]

\[ -3(3 + \beta(2 + 3\beta))m_Q + m_Q'\right)m_2^V G[V(\alpha_1, \alpha_3), 1, 2] \]

\[ +6(3 + \beta(2 + 3\beta)) \]

\[ (m_Q + m_Q')m_2^V G[V(\alpha_1, \alpha_3), u, 1, 2] \]

\[ -14m_Qm_2Q'(16(3 + \beta(2 + 3\beta)) \]

\[ +T[\phi_2^3(u), 2, 2] \]

\[ + T[\phi_2^3(u), 1, 1] + (3 + \beta(2 + 3\beta)) \]

\[ +T[\mathcal{H}[2, \phi_2^3(u)], 2, 2] \]

\[ -4(1 + \beta)^2 \]

\[ -T[\mathcal{H}[2, \phi_2^3(u), 1, 1] + (3 + \beta(2 + 3\beta)) \]

\[ +T[\mathcal{H}[2, \phi_2^3(u)], 2, 2] \]

\[ -4(1 + \beta)^2 \]

\[ -T[\mathcal{H}[2, \phi_2^3(u), 1, 1] + (3 + \beta(2 + 3\beta)) \]

\[ +T[\mathcal{H}[2, \phi_2^3(u)], 2, 2] \]

\[ + (-2T[\mathcal{H}[2, \phi_2^3(u)], 2, 2]) \]

\[ + (-2T[\mathcal{H}[2, \phi_2^3(u), 2, 2])] (32) \]

\[ R^{(AA)theo}_{ij+f_{D}} = (1/1576M^2\pi^4)m_Q \]

\[ \times (m_Q - m_Q')m_Q' m_Q \]

\[ × (2f_2 \left(3(1 + \beta)^2 + 2(5 + \beta)\right)m_Q + m_Q') \]

\[ \times G[D_G[1, A(\alpha_1, \alpha_3), 1, 2] \]

\[ +3m_Q + \beta(6 + 6\beta)m_Q - (1 - 1 + \beta)^2m_Q' \]

\[ \times G[uD_G[1, A(\alpha_1, \alpha_3), 1, 2] \]

\[ -3(1 + \beta(4 + \beta)m_Q G[D_G[1, V(\alpha_1, \alpha_3)], 1, 2] \]

\[ +m_Q(3(1 + \beta)^2 G[D_G[1, V(\alpha_1, \alpha_3)], 1, 2] - 14i \]

\[ m_Q(-1 + \beta)(11 + 13\beta) \]

\[ \times T[\phi_2^3(u), 1, 1] \]

\[ -13(1 + \beta(10 + 13\beta))T[\mathcal{H}[2, \phi_2^3(u), 2, 2])] \]

\[ (33) \]

\[ R^{(AA)theo}_{ij+f_{D}} = (1/1576M^2\pi^4)m_Q \]

\[ \times m_V(3(1 - \beta)^2 + f_T^2) \]

\[ \times (2f_2 \left(3(1 + \beta)^2 + 2(5 + \beta)\right)m_Q + m_Q') \]

\[ \times G[D_G[1, T(\alpha_1, \alpha_3)], 0, 2] \]

\[ -2(5 + \beta)G[D_G[1, T_4^{(4)}(\alpha_1, \alpha_3)], 1, 1] \]

\[ -G[D_G[1, T_4^{(4)}(\alpha_1, \alpha_3)], 0, 2] \]

\[ +G[D_G[1, T_4^{(4)}(\alpha_1, \alpha_3)], 1, 1] \]

\[ -2(1 + 5\beta)G[D_G[1, T_2^{(4)}(\alpha_1, \alpha_3)], 0, 2] \]

\[ +12(1 + \beta)G[D_G[1, T_4^{(4)}(\alpha_1, \alpha_3)], 0, 2] \]

\[ -2(5 + \beta)G[D_G[1, T_4^{(4)}(\alpha_1, \alpha_3)], 1, 1] \]

\[ + (1 + 5\beta)m_2^V \]

\[ × G_2[\mathcal{H}_G[3, T(\alpha_1, \alpha_3), 0, 2]) \]

\[ +2f_2 \left(3(1 - \beta)^2 + 2(5 + \beta)\right)m_Q + m_Q') \]

\[ \times G[V(\alpha_1, \alpha_3), 1, 2] - 3(1 - \beta)^2 \]

\[ \times (m_Q + m_Q')m_2^V G[V(\alpha_1, \alpha_3), 1, 2] \]

\[ +6(-1 + \beta)^2 \]

\[ \times (m_Q + m_Q')m_2^V G[V(\alpha_1, \alpha_3), u, 1, 2] \]

\[ -14m_Qm_2Q'(16(1 + \beta)^2 \]

\[ × T[\phi_2^3(u), 2, 2] \]

\[ + m_2^V(8(-1 + \beta)(13 + 11\beta) \]

\[ × T[\mathcal{H}[2, \phi_2^3(u)], 1, 1] + (4 - 1 + \beta) \]

\[ + (13 + 11\beta)T[\mathcal{H}[2, \phi_2^3(u)], 1, 1] \]

\[ -52T[\mathcal{H}[2, \phi_2^3(u)], 1, 1] + 4(\beta + 2 + 5\beta) \]

\[ × (-2T_2[\mathcal{H}[2, \phi_2^3(u), 2, 2]) \]

\[ + (-2T_2[\mathcal{H}[2, \phi_2^3(u)], 2, 2] \]

\[ + (-2T_2[\mathcal{H}[2, \phi_2^3(u), 2, 2]) )) (34) \]

\[ R^{(AA)theo}_{ij+f_{D}} = (1/32\sqrt{3}M^2\pi^4)m_Q \]

\[ \times \left( m_Q - m_Q' \right) m_Q' m_N \]

\[ \times (2f_2 \left(3(1 + \beta)^2 + 2(5 + \beta)\right)m_Q + m_Q') \]

\[ \times G[uD_G[1, A(\alpha_1, \alpha_3), 1, 2] \]

\[ +3(1 + \beta)^2 G[uD_G[1, V(\alpha_1, \alpha_3)], 1, 2] \]

\[ +(-1 + \beta)f_T^2 (2i\beta)G[S(\alpha_1, \alpha_3), 0, 2] \]

\[ -2(1 + 5\beta)G[S(\alpha_1, \alpha_3), 1, 1] \]

\[ -2G[S(\alpha_1, \alpha_3), 0, 2] - (-1 + \beta) \]

\[ (G[S(\alpha_1, \alpha_3), 1, 1] - 2G[S(\alpha_1, \alpha_3)u, 1, 1]) \]

\[ + f_T^2 im_N ((1 + 3\beta)G[T_4^{(4)}(\alpha_1, \alpha_3), 0, 2] \]

\[ + (1 + 3\beta)G[T_4^{(4)}(\alpha_1, \alpha_3), 1, 1] \]

\[ -G[T_2^{(4)}(\alpha_1, \alpha_3), 0, 2] - G[T_2^{(4)}(\alpha_1, \alpha_3), 1, 1] \]

\[ +3G[T_3^{(4)}(\alpha_1, \alpha_3), 0, 2] \]

\[ +2G[T_3^{(4)}(\alpha_1, \alpha_3), 1, 1] - 3G[T_4^{(4)}(\alpha_1, \alpha_3), 0, 2] \]

\[ -\beta(3G[T_2^{(4)}(\alpha_1, \alpha_3), 0, 2] \]

\[ +3G[T_3^{(4)}(\alpha_1, \alpha_3), 1, 1] - G[T_4^{(4)}(\alpha_1, \alpha_3), 0, 2] \]

\[ + G[T_4^{(4)}(\alpha_1, \alpha_3), 0, 2] \]

\[ +2G[T_4^{(4)}(\alpha_1, \alpha_3), 1, 1] + 4G[T_2^{(4)}(\alpha_1, \alpha_3)u, 1, 1] \]

\[ -4G[T_2^{(4)}(\alpha_1, \alpha_3)u, 1, 1] \]

\[ + f_T^2 im_N ((3 + \beta)G[G_2[2, T_4^{(4)}(\alpha_1, \alpha_3)], 0, 2] \]

\[ -2G[G_2[2, T_4^{(4)}(\alpha_1, \alpha_3)], 1, 1] \]
\[ T[f(u), i, j] := \int du \int d^4x \ e^{i(p + \bar{q}q)x} K_i K_j f(u) \] (37)

\[ T_2[f(u), i, j] := \int du \int d^4x \ e^{i(p + \bar{q}q)x} K_i K_j f(u)x^2 \] (38)

\[ T_4[f(u), i, j] := \int du \int d^4x \ e^{i(p + \bar{q}q)x} K_i K_j f(u)x^4 \] (39)

\[ G\{f(u)|\mathcal{F}(\alpha_i), i, j\} := \int du \int \mathcal{D}\alpha_i \] \[ \times \int d^4x \ e^{i(p + (\alpha_i + \alpha_3)q)x} K_i K_j f(u)|\mathcal{F}(\alpha_i) \] (40)

\[ G_2\{f(u)|\mathcal{F}(\alpha_i), i, j\} := \int du \int \mathcal{D}\alpha_i \] \[ \times \int d^4x \ e^{i(p + (\alpha_i + \alpha_3)q)x} K_i K_j f(u)|\mathcal{F}(\alpha_i)x^2 \] (41)

\[ G_4\{f(u)|\mathcal{F}(\alpha_i), i, j\} := \int du \int \mathcal{D}\alpha_i \] \[ \times \int d^4x \ e^{i(p + (\alpha_i + \alpha_3)q)x} K_i K_j f(u)|\mathcal{F}(\alpha_i)x^4 \] (42)

\[ \mathcal{H}[\mathcal{G}(n, f(u)) := i^n \int_0^u dv_1 \cdots \int_0^{v_2} dv_1 \int_0^{v_1} f(v_1) \] (43)

\[ \mathcal{H}[\mathcal{G}(n, \mathcal{F}(\alpha_i)) := (-iu)^n \int_0^{\alpha_3} d\alpha_3 \] \[ \cdots \int_0^{\alpha_3^{(3)}} d\alpha_3^{(2)} \int_0^{\alpha_3^{(2)}} d\alpha_3^{(1)} \] (44)

\[ \mathcal{K}[\mathcal{G}(n, \mathcal{F}(\alpha_i)) := \left(i \frac{\partial}{u \partial \alpha_3} \right)^n \mathcal{F}(\alpha_i) \] (46)

where we have introduced the short-hand notation

\[ K_i := K_i (m_Q \sqrt{-x^2}) \quad \text{and} \quad K_j := K_j (m_Q \sqrt{-x^2}) \] (47)

**Appendix B: Distribution amplitudes for vector mesons**

In this section, we collect the matrix elements \( \langle V(q, \epsilon)\bar{q}(x) \rangle \)

\[ \Gamma_\mu \bar{q}(0)|0 \rangle \) and \( \langle V(q, \epsilon)\bar{q}(x) \rangle \Gamma\bar{q}(0)|0 \rangle \) and the relevant distribution amplitudes for vector mesons together with the most recent values for the DA parameters involved [32–35].

Up to twist-4 accuracy, the matrix elements \( \langle V(q, \epsilon)\bar{q}(x) \rangle \)

\[ \Gamma\bar{q}(0)|0 \rangle \) and \( \langle V(q, \epsilon)\bar{q}(x) \rangle \Gamma\bar{q}(0)|0 \rangle \) are given as follows:
\[
\langle V(q, \epsilon) | q_1(x) | \gamma_\mu q_2(0) \rangle = f_{\nu M} V \int \frac{d^4q}{2q^0} \, e^{i q \cdot x} \times [\bar{\phi}_4(u) + m_4^2 \phi_4(u)] + \left( \phi_{\mu}(u) - q_\mu \phi_\perp(u) \right) \\
\times \int_0^1 \, du \, e^{i q \cdot x} \times \left\{ \phi_{\mu}(u) + \frac{1}{2} \phi_{\perp}(u) \right\} \\
+ \frac{i}{4} \int_0^1 \, du \, e^{i q \cdot x} \times \left\{ \phi_{\mu}(u) - \frac{1}{2} \phi_{\perp}(u) \right\} \\
\times \int \, d\alpha_1 e^{i (q_1 + q_2) \cdot x} \, \mathcal{A}(\alpha_1) \\
\langle V(q, \epsilon) | q_1(x) | \gamma_\mu q_2(0) \rangle = f_{\nu M} V q_\mu \left( e^{(q_1 + q_2)} \cdot x \right) \int \, d\alpha_1 e^{i (q_1 + q_2) \cdot x} \, \mathcal{A}(\alpha_1) \\
\langle V(q, \epsilon) | q_1(x) | \gamma_\mu q_2(0) \rangle = f_{\nu M} V q_\mu \left( e^{(q_1 + q_2)} \cdot x \right) \int \, d\alpha_1 e^{i (q_1 + q_2) \cdot x} \, \mathcal{A}(\alpha_1)
\]

where \( \tilde{G}_{\mu \nu} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} G^{\alpha \beta} \) is the dual gluon field strength tensor and \( \int \, d\alpha_1 = \int \, d\alpha_1 \, \delta(\alpha_1 - \alpha - \alpha_2 - \alpha_3) \).

Now we list the DAs.

2-particle twist-2 DAs:

\[
\phi_{\perp}^0(u) = 6 \tilde{u}(1 + a_1^2 C_3^{1/2}(\xi) + a_2^2 C_2^{3/2}(\xi)) u
\]

\[
\phi_{\perp}^2(u) = 6 \tilde{u}(1 + a_1^2 C_1^{1/2}(\xi) + a_2^2 C_2^{3/2}(\xi)) u
\]

2-particle twist-3 DAs:

\[
\phi_{\perp}^0(u) = 3 \tilde{u}^2 + (3 a_1^2 \tilde{u}\xi(-1 + 3 \tilde{u}^2))/2
\]

\[
\psi_{\perp}^3(u) = 6 \tilde{u}(1 + C_1^{3/2}(\xi) a_1^2 / 3 + (5 \tilde{u})^3)/3
\]

\[
\psi_{\perp}^2(u) = 6 \tilde{u}(1 + C_1^{3/2}(\xi) a_1^2 / 3 + (20 \tilde{u})^3)/9
\]

\[
\phi_{\perp}^0(u) = (3 a_1^2 \tilde{u^2})/2 + (3(1 + \tilde{u}^2))/4
\]

\[
\phi_{\perp}^2(u) = (3 a_1^2 \tilde{u^2})/2 + (3(1 + \tilde{u}^2))/4
\]

\[
\phi_{\perp}^0(u) = (3 a_1^2 \tilde{u^2})/2 + (3(1 + \tilde{u}^2))/4
\]

\[
\phi_{\perp}^2(u) = (3 a_1^2 \tilde{u^2})/2 + (3(1 + \tilde{u}^2))/4
\]
\[\begin{align*}
&+((9a_1^2)/112 + (15\xi^2)/32) \\
&- (15\xi^2)/64)((3 - 30\xi^2 + 35\xi^4) + (-1 + 3\xi^2)(3a_1^2)/7 \\
&+ 5\xi^2)/3) - (3 f_{1/2}^1 (m_{q1} - m_{q2}) \\
&(2\xi + 2a_1^2 \xi (11 - 20u) + 9a_1^2 (1 - 2u) \\
&+ (1 + 6a_1^2 + 3a_1^4) ln(u) \\
&+ (3 f_{3/2}^1 (m_{q1} + m_{q2})/2 + 9a_1^2 ) \\
&+ 2a_1^2 (11 - 30u) + (1 + 6a_1^2 + 3a_1^4) ln(\bar{u}) \\
&+ (1 + 6a_1^2 + 3a_1^4) ln(u))/2 f_{1/2} m V
\end{align*}\]

\[\psi_4^\parallel (u) = 1 + C_3^{1/2}(\xi) (-9a_1^2)/5 \\
- (20\xi^2)/3 - (10\xi^2)/3 + C_1^{1/2}(\xi)((9a_1^2)/5 + 12a_1^4) \\
+ C_3^{1/2}(\xi)(-5a_1^2 + 10\xi^2) \\
+ (6 f_{1/2}^2 (m_{q1} - m_{q2}) (\xi + (a_1^2 + 1 - 3\xi^2))/2) \\
+ (5\xi^2)(-1 + 3\xi^2)/2 \\
+ (a_1^2 \xi (-3 + 5\xi^2))/2 + (5\xi^2)(-3 + 5\xi^2))/2 \\
- (\lambda^2 (3 - 30\xi^2 + 35\xi^4))/16)/(f_{1/2} m V) \\
+ C_4^{1/2}(\xi)(-27 a_1^2)/28 - (150\xi^2)/8 \\
- (150\xi^2)/16 + (5\xi^2)/4 \\
+ C_2^{1/2}(\xi)(-1 - (2a_1^2)/7 + (40\xi^2)/3 \\
- (20C_2^{1/2}(\xi)\xi^2)/3
\]

\[\phi_4^\parallel (u) = (6\bar{u} f_{1/2}^1 (m_{q1} - m_{q2}) (-C_3^{1/2}(\xi)(82a_1^2)/5 + 10a_1^4) \\
+ C_3^{3/2}(\xi)(2a_1^2)/5 + (7\xi^2)/54) \\
+ (2C_3^{3/2}(\xi)\lambda^2)/135 + C_4^{3/2}(\xi) \\
\times (-2/315 + a_1^2/5 - a_1^2/21) + 20C_3^{1/2}(\xi)(10/189 \\
+ a_1^2/3 - a_1^2/21)u)/(f_{1/2} m V) \\
+ (6\bar{u} f_{1/2}^1 (m_{q1} + m_{q2}) (23 + 16a_1^2) \\
+ (10C_1^{1/2}(\xi)(-a_1^2 \\
+ \xi^2)/3 - (C_3^{3/2}(\xi)\lambda^2)/10 \\
+ C_2^{3/2}(\xi)(-a_1^2 + (5\xi^2)/9)u)/(f_{1/2} m V) \\
+ 30a_1^2(C_1^{3/2}(\xi)(17a_1^2)/50) \\
- (\lambda^2)/5 + (2\xi^2)/5 + (C_2^{3/2}(\xi)(9a_1^2)/7 + (7\xi^2)/6 \\
- (3a_1^2)/4 + (8\xi^2)/9)/10 + (4(1 + a_1^2)/21 \\
+ (10\xi^2)/49)u^2 + 30a_1^2(C_1^{3/2}(\xi)(2\xi^2)/3 \\
- (8\xi^2)/15) + (20\xi^2)/9)u^2 + (f_{1/2}^2 (m_{q1} - m_{q2}) \\
\times ((-23 - 108a_1^2 - 54a_1^4 + 5a_1^2) ln(\bar{u}) \\
- (-23 - 108a_1^2 + 54a_1^4 + 5a_1^2) ln(\bar{u}) \\
+ (24 f_{1/2}^1 (m_{q1} + m_{q2})(1 + 6a_1^2 \\
+ 3a_1^4)\bar{u}^2 ln(\bar{u}) + (1 + 6a_1^2 - 3a_1^4)u^2 \\
\times ln(u))/f_{1/2} m V
\end{align*}\]

\[\psi_4^\perp (u) = 1 + C_3^{1/2}(\xi)((-3a_1^2)/5 + 12a_1^4) \\
+ (C_3^{1/2}(\xi)\lambda_3^2)/3 + C_4^{1/2}(\xi)((-3a_1^2)/7 \\
- (5a_1^2)/4) + C_3^{1/2}(\xi)((3a_1^2)/5 \\
- 5\lambda^2 - 12a_1^4 - \lambda^2/3 + 25(-3\xi^2 - 3\xi^4))/2 + 2\lambda^2 \\
+ C_2^{1/2}(\xi)(-1 + 3a_1^2)/7 - 10(C_1^{1/2}(\xi) \\
- (6\bar{u} f_{1/2}^2 (m_{q1} - m_{q2}) (9a_1^2 + 10a_1^4 \\
- (-1 + 6a_1^2 + 3a_1^4)\bar{u} ln(\bar{u}) \\
+ (1 + 6a_1^2 - 3a_1^4) \\
+ (f_{1/2}^2 m V)
\]

\[\phi_4^\perp (u) = 30\bar{u}^2 (2/5 + (4a_1^2)/35 - (4C_3^{5/2}(\xi)\lambda_3^2)/1575 + C_2^{5/2}(\xi) \\
\times ((3a_1^2)/35 + w_1^2)/60) \\
+ C_1^{5/2}(\xi)((3a_1^2)/25 + a_1^2/3 - a_1^2)/25 + (7\xi^2)/30 \\
- (3\xi^2)/20 - \theta^2/15 + \theta^2/5 \\
+ (4\xi^2)/3 - (8\xi^2)/3)u^2 \\
+ (-a_1^2 + 5\xi^2 - 20\xi^2)(\bar{u} \xi (11 + 3\xi^2)u)/2 + 4(2 - \bar{u}) \\
\times u^3 ln(\bar{u}) - 4(2 - u) u^3 ln(u) + ((-36a_1^2)/11 \\
- (252/(\xi^3)))/55 - (140/(\xi^3))/11 \\
+ 2w_1^2 (-2(21 + 13\xi^2)u)/8 + u^3 (10 - 15u + 6\bar{u}^2) \\
\times ln(u) + u^2 (10 - 15u + 6\bar{u}^2) \times ln(u)
\]

\[S(\alpha_1, \alpha_3) = 30a_1^2((3a_1^2) \\
+ (1 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_3^2))/2 + (1 - \alpha_3)\alpha_3)\psi_2^\perp
\]
The numerical values for the DA parameters are given in Table 4.

The light quark masses are taken to be zero, namely $m_u = m_d = 0$, and the mass of the strange quark at $\mu = 1$ GeV is taken to be $m_s = 0.137$ GeV.

### Appendix C: Details of calculations in the theoretical part

In this section, we present the integrals required in the theoretical analysis. In what follows, $f(u)$ and $F(\alpha_i)$ denote generic 2- and 3-particle DAs, respectively, and we let $K_i := K_i(m_Q, \sqrt{-x^2})/\sqrt{-x^2}^i$ and $K_j := K_j(m_Q, \sqrt{-x^2})/\sqrt{-x^2}^j$.
Terms without $G_{\mu\nu}$: For the sake of simplicity, we suppress the integral measures, $\int du \int d^4x \ e^{i(p+\vec{q})x}$, on the left-hand side.

\[
\begin{align*}
K_iK_j f(u) &\to -\frac{i}{4} \frac{16\sigma^2}{(2m_Q^4)^2} \int_0^\infty ds e^{-s/M^2} \times \int d\alpha \alpha^{-1}(1-\alpha)^{-1} \left( s - \frac{m_Q^2}{\alpha} - \frac{m_Q^2}{1-\alpha} \right) \\
x_iK_iK_j f(u) &\to -\frac{i}{4} \frac{16\sigma^2}{(2m_Q^4)^2} \int_0^\infty ds e^{-s/M^2} \times \int d\alpha \alpha^{-1}(1-\alpha)^{-1} \left( s - \frac{m_Q^2}{\alpha} - \frac{m_Q^2}{1-\alpha} \right) \\
x_iK_iK_j f(u) &\to -\frac{i}{4} \frac{16\sigma^2}{(2m_Q^4)^2} \int_0^\infty ds e^{-s/M^2} \times \int d\alpha \alpha^{-1}(1-\alpha)^{-1} \left( s - \frac{m_Q^2}{\alpha} - \frac{m_Q^2}{1-\alpha} \right) \\
x_iK_iK_j f(u) &\to -\frac{i}{4} \frac{16\sigma^2}{(2m_Q^4)^2} \int_0^\infty ds e^{-s/M^2} \times \int d\alpha \alpha^{-1}(1-\alpha)^{-1} \left( s - \frac{m_Q^2}{\alpha} - \frac{m_Q^2}{1-\alpha} \right) \\
(M^2)^{-3+i+j} &\to -\frac{1}{4} \frac{16\sigma^2}{(2m_Q^4)^2} \int_0^\infty ds e^{-s/M^2} \times \int d\alpha \alpha^{-1}(1-\alpha)^{-1} \left( s - \frac{m_Q^2}{\alpha} - \frac{m_Q^2}{1-\alpha} \right) \\
x_iK_iK_j f(u) &\to -\frac{i}{4} \frac{16\sigma^2}{(2m_Q^4)^2} \int_0^\infty ds e^{-s/M^2} \times \int d\alpha \alpha^{-1}(1-\alpha)^{-1} \left( s - \frac{m_Q^2}{\alpha} - \frac{m_Q^2}{1-\alpha} \right) \\
(M^2)^{-3+i+j} &\to -\frac{1}{4} \frac{16\sigma^2}{(2m_Q^4)^2} \int_0^\infty ds e^{-s/M^2} \times \int d\alpha \alpha^{-1}(1-\alpha)^{-1} \left( s - \frac{m_Q^2}{\alpha} - \frac{m_Q^2}{1-\alpha} \right) \\
\end{align*}
\]
\[-2(-1 + \alpha) \alpha (M^2(-2 + i + j) \alpha + m_Q^2) m_Q^2 + \alpha^2 m_Q^2) \]

\[ \int d\alpha \alpha^{-1}(1 - \alpha)^{-1} \delta \left( s - \frac{m_Q^2}{\alpha} - \frac{m_Q^2}{1 - \alpha} \right) \times 16(M^2)^{-3+i+j} \]

\[ \times \int d\alpha \int_{1/2}^{1/2 - \alpha_1} d\alpha_3 f \left( \frac{1/2 - \alpha_1}{\alpha_3} \right) \frac{\mathcal{F}(\alpha_1)}{\alpha_3} \]

Terms with $G_{\mu\nu}$: For the sake of simplicity, we suppress the integral measures, $f \, d\alpha \int d^4x \int d\alpha_1 e^{i(p+(\alpha_1+\alpha\alpha_1)q) x}$, on the left-hand side.

\[ K_1 K_1 f(u)\mathcal{F}(\alpha_1) \rightarrow \frac{i}{M^2} \int_{(m_Q^2+\mu^2)^2}^{(M^2)^{j+j}} d\alpha e^{-s/M^2} \]

\[ \times \int d\alpha \alpha^{-1}(1 - \alpha)^{-1} \delta \left( s - \frac{m_Q^2}{\alpha} - \frac{m_Q^2}{1 - \alpha} \right) \times 16(M^2)^{-3+i+j} \]

\[ \times \int d\alpha \int_{1/2}^{1/2 - \alpha_1} d\alpha_3 f \left( \frac{1/2 - \alpha_1}{\alpha_3} \right) \frac{\mathcal{F}(\alpha_1)}{\alpha_3} \]

\[ x_\mu K_1 K_1 f(u)\mathcal{F}(\alpha_1) \rightarrow -\frac{i}{M^2} \int_{(m_Q^2+\mu^2)^2}^{(M^2)^{j+j}} d\alpha e^{-s/M^2} \]

\[ \times \int d\alpha \alpha^{-1}(1 - \alpha)^{-1} \delta \left( s - \frac{m_Q^2}{\alpha} - \frac{m_Q^2}{1 - \alpha} \right) \times 16(M^2)^{-3+i+j} \]

\[ \times \int d\alpha \int_{1/2}^{1/2 - \alpha_1} d\alpha_3 f \left( \frac{1/2 - \alpha_1}{\alpha_3} \right) \frac{\mathcal{F}(\alpha_1)}{\alpha_3} \]

\[ x_\mu x_\nu K_1 K_1 f(u)\mathcal{F}(\alpha_1) \rightarrow \frac{i}{M^2} \int_{(m_Q^2+\mu^2)^2}^{(M^2)^{j+j}} d\alpha e^{-s/M^2} \]

\[ \times \int d\alpha \alpha^{-1}(1 - \alpha)^{-1} \delta \left( s - \frac{m_Q^2}{\alpha} - \frac{m_Q^2}{1 - \alpha} \right) \times 16(M^2)^{-3+i+j} \]

\[ \times \int d\alpha \int_{1/2}^{1/2 - \alpha_1} d\alpha_3 f \left( \frac{1/2 - \alpha_1}{\alpha_3} \right) \frac{\mathcal{F}(\alpha_1)}{\alpha_3} \]
\begin{align}
&\text{For terms containing } q \cdot x, \text{ we perform the following operations:}

&(q \cdot x)^n f(u) \\
&\quad \rightarrow \begin{cases} 
\left( i \frac{d}{du} \right)^n f(u), & n > 0 \\
\int_0^u dv_1 \int_0^{v_1} dv_2 \cdots \int_0^{v_{n-1}} dv_n f(v_1), & n < 0
\end{cases}

&(q \cdot x)^n \mathcal{F}(\alpha_1) \\
&\quad \rightarrow \begin{cases} 
\left( \frac{d}{d\alpha} \right)^n \mathcal{F}(\alpha_1), & n > 0 \\
(-iu)^{-n} \int_0^{\alpha_3} \cdots \int_0^{\alpha_3(3)} \cdots \int_0^{\alpha_3(2)} \int_0^{\alpha_3(1)} \mathcal{F}(\alpha_1, 1 - \alpha_1, \alpha_1(1)), & n < 0
\end{cases}
\end{align}
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