Successful treatment of recurrent stoma prolapse after Hartmann’s procedure through ileorectal anastomosis: A case report
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A B S T R A C T

INTRODUCTION: Treatment strategy for recurrent stoma prolapse has not been well-established because of the rarity and complexity of the condition. We report a case of recurrent stoma prolapse that was successfully managed using unique surgical treatments.

PRESENTATION OF CASE: A 72-year-old man with a history of Parkinson’s disease presented with transverse (T3N0M0) and sigmoid (T3N0M0) colon cancer. Considering the status of large bowel obstruction, Hartmann’s procedure was indicated. Four months after surgery, stoma prolapse occurred, which became irreducible. Six months after surgery, local resection of the prolapsed bowel was performed. The patient continued to receive laxatives for bowel movement control and his abdomen remained distended. Ten months later, stoma prolapse recurred with evident bowel dilatation. Initially, we planned Hartmann’s reversal. However, as the patient had intractable constipation secondary to Parkinson’s disease, resection of the proximal colon and ileorectal anastomosis were considered as the treatment choices. Therefore, we performed right colectomy with ileorectal anastomosis. At 1.5 years after the last surgery, complications such as small bowel obstruction, difficulty in defecation, or fecal incontinence were not detected.

DISCUSSION: The cause of stoma prolapse is generally ascribed to various anatomical factors such as redundant intestine, high intra-abdominal pressure, and intraperitoneal route. Stoma prolapse is also influenced by other factors, including old age, obesity, and the severity of illness that necessitated stoma creation. In this case, the decision regarding surgical management was complicated by colonic motility disorder with concomitant Parkinson’s disease.

CONCLUSION: We suggest that ileorectal anastomosis may be an optimal surgical treatment for patients with recurrent stoma prolapse and concomitant colonic motility disorder who have undergone Hartmann’s procedure.

© 2017 Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of IJS Publishing Group Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Stoma prolapse is one of the most common late complications after stoma construction [1] and occurs in 2% of colostomies [2]. When stoma prolapse occurs repeatedly despite manual reduction, surgical treatment is needed to fit the stoma pouch appliance and avoid bowel incarcervation and strangulation. Surgical treatment options for stoma prolapse include stoma reversal, local resection, or relocation [3]. Stoma prolapse can be treated with local surgical procedures in most cases.

However, treatment strategy for recurrent stoma prolapse with colonic motility disorder has not been well-established because of the rarity and complexity of this condition. We report a case of recurrent stoma prolapse with colonic motility disorder that was successfully managed using unique surgical treatments in line with the SCARE criteria [4].

2. Presentation of case

We present the case of a 72-year-old man who was diagnosed with Parkinson’s disease at the age of 70 years and treated with medications, including levodopa/carbidopa, amantadine, and dopamine agonist. He had intractable constipation secondary to Parkinson’s disease, and several laxatives had been prescribed. He also had a significant history of hypertension that was controlled with angiotensin II receptor blockers and calcium channel blockers.

He visited a local hospital for abdominal distension. He was initially treated with medications; however, the symptoms did not improve, and he started to vomit after 10 days. He was diagnosed with bowel obstruction and was admitted to the local hospital. A nasointestinal tube was inserted for decompression. The patient
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was transferred to our hospital for surgical treatment. A contrast enema study revealed an apple core sign at the splenic flexure of the colon, and computed tomography (CT) revealed a thickened wall at the splenic flexure of the transverse colon and dilatation of the proximal colon (Fig. 1). We concluded that the nasointestinal tube was insufficient for effective decompression, and a colonic stent was placed endoscopically. Colonoscopy showed advanced tumors in the transverse (T3N0M0) and sigmoid (T3N0M0) colon. Therefore, the patient was diagnosed with synchronous colon cancer, and Hartmann’s procedure (left hemicolectomy with partial resection of transverse colon and transverse end colostomy) was performed. The patient was discharged on the 11th postoperative day. He was followed up at an ambulatory care center without adjuvant chemotherapy. He continued to receive laxatives for bowel movement control and his abdomen remained distended. Because he had intractable constipation secondary to Parkinson’s disease, we assumed that Hartmann’s reversal could again lead to intractable constipation and difficulty in defecation because of his low activities of daily living (ADL). Given these clinical presentations and assumptions, Hartmann’s reversal was not planned at that time.

Four months after surgery, stoma prolapse occurred and was treated with manual reduction. Six months after surgery, manually irreducible stoma prolapse occurred again and needed surgical intervention (Fig. 2). We performed stomaplasty with full thickness bowel resection, which is similar to the Altemeier procedure (Fig. 3).

Eight months after stomaplasty, stoma prolapse recurred with evident bowel dilatation (Fig. 4). We considered stoma reversal to be a favorable treatment option for recurrent stoma prolapse because of the failure of the local procedure in this case. However, we believed that stoma reversal alone potentially led to worsening of intractable constipation. Therefore, we concluded that the resection of the remnant colon with ileorectal anastomosis could be an optimal treatment option to resolve these problems. We performed right colectomy and ileorectal anastomosis instead of Hartmann’s reversal (colorectal anastomosis). At 1.5 years after the last surgery, complications such as small bowel obstruction, difficulty in defecation, or fecal incontinence were not detected.

3. Discussion

The cause of stoma prolapse is generally ascribed to various anatomical factors such as redundant intestine, high intra-abdominal pressure, and intraperitoneal route. Stoma prolapse is also influenced by other factors, including old age, obesity, stoma construction during bowel obstruction, and the lack of preoperative site markings by the enterostomal nurse [5]. In this case, risk factors for stoma prolapse included old age, transverse colostomy, and stoma construction during large bowel obstruction. Additionally, continuously increasing intra-abdominal pressure was a result
of intractable constipation secondary to Parkinson’s disease, which might have played a crucial role in the recurrence of stoma prolapse.

Constipation is one of the initial nonmotor symptoms affecting approximately 50%–80% of patients with Parkinson’s disease. Constipation often occurs early during the disease and may precede the appearance of motor symptoms by several years [6,7]. In the early stages of Parkinson’s disease, decreased gastrointestinal motility has been associated with neuronal loss in the myenteric and submucosal plexi and inclusions of Lewy bodies in the dorsal motor nucleus of the vagus, emphasizing their potential role in slowing intestinal peristalsis [8]. Our patient had intractable constipation since the diagnosis of Parkinson’s disease. Furthermore, CT after the initial surgery showed dilatation of the remnant colon with fecal mass, which might be attributable to chronic colonic motility disorder. The nonmotor symptoms of Parkinson’s disease were considered the primary cause of intractable constipation; however, colonic dysmotility could also be explained by decreased ADL due to Parkinson’s disease. We detected recurrent stoma prolapse after Hartmann’s procedure in the patient with a pathological background. We initially indicated a local procedure (stomaplasty) because the patient had already adapted to his lifestyle with stoma and did not intend to undergo invasive surgery. We considered maintaining the colostomy as a permanent stoma a more favorable treatment option than performing Hartmann’s reversal in this case. However, our treatment strategy was complicated by recurrent stoma prolapse. Redo stomaplasty was regarded as one of the options because an easy and effective...
technique for local procedure by using a stapler device has been recently reported [9]. However, assuming that the local procedure alone might lead to large bowel dilatation and intractable constipation secondary to Parkinson's disease, we believed that redo stomaplasty would have led to the re-recurrence of stoma prolapse. Subtotal colectomy for constipation secondary to Parkinson’s disease has not been previously reported. Nevertheless, subtotal colectomy and ileorectal anastomosis are one of the optimal treatment choices for intractable constipation [10,11]. Although Parkinson’s disease may influence motility of all gastrointestinal tract [8], the patient had not developed any signs of small bowel dilatation or obstruction. This finding suggested that the colon was mainly attributed to his intractable constipation, and the small bowel motility was not affected by the disease or his medication including levodopa/carbidopa, amantadine and dopamine agonist. Therefore, we expected that the resection of the dilatated proximal colon was a reasonable option for our patient with intractable constipation secondary to Parkinson’s disease. Therefore, we assumed that the resection of the remnant proximal colon with ileorectal anastomosis was a more favorable surgical treatment option than anastomosis of the remnant colon and rectum. We subsequently performed ileorectal anastomosis as a sequential surgical treatment for recurrent stoma prolapse.

4. Conclusion

In cases of unsuccessful stoma prolapse treatment using a local procedure, ileorectal anastomosis may be an optimal surgical treatment for stoma prolapse after Hartmann’s procedure, particularly in patients with concomitant colonic motility disorder.
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