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Abstract. Carbohydrate counting is an important meal-planning tool for patients on intensive insulin regimens. Preprandial insulin bolus is adjusted taking into account the carbohydrate content of each meal and the insulin-to-carb ratio of each patient throughout the day. Evidence suggests that accurate carbohydrate counting may have positive effects not only on reducing glycosylated hemoglobin concentration but also on decreasing the incidence of hypoglycemic episodes. Nevertheless, despite its benefits, the efficacy of carbohydrate counting depends on the ability of each patient, or its caregiver, to accurately estimate the carbohydrate content of each meal. Therefore, it is of great importance to understand how accurate should carbohydrate counting be, and the impact of inaccurate carbohydrate counting on the glycemic control of each patient. Within this work, we propose an analytic method that uses the insulin-to-carb ratio and the insulin sensitivity factor, along with the glycemic targets of each patient to calculate the limits of accurate carbohydrate counting, in order to achieve better glycemic control and to reduce hypoglycemic episodes.

INTRODUCTION

Evidence suggests that diet has an important role in diabetes prevention and management. Indeed, patients on intensive insulin regimens widely use diet planning along with carbohydrate counting to control postprandial glycemia [1, 2, 3]. Furthermore, the accuracy of carbohydrate counting is determinant to avoid postprandial hypoglycemic and hyperglycemic episodes [2]. Smart et al showed that a variation of about 20 g, above or below a planned meal containing 60 g of carbohydrates, may result in postprandial hyperglycemia or hypoglycemia, respectively [4]. However, a variation of about 10 g in carbohydrate estimation should not have a considerable effect on the postprandial glycemia [5]. Although these limits provide some guidance to those using intensive insulin therapy, they need to be improved. To that end, we propose an analytic method to find the limit to carbohydrate counting error according to each person insulin-to-carb ratio and the insulin sensitivity factor.

MATHEMATICAL METHODS

The insulin bolus for each meal can be calculated using the following equation:

\[ B = \left( \frac{CHO}{ICR} + \frac{G - GT}{ISF} \right) K - IOB, \]  

where \( B \) is the bolus, \( CHO \) are the carbohydrates planned to be consumed in that meal, \( ICR \) is the insulin-to-carb ratio (n.b., \( ICR > 0 \)), \( G \) is the pre-meal blood glucose, \( GT \) is the pre-meal blood glucose target, \( ISF \) is the insulin sensitivity factor (n.b., \( ISF > 0 \)), \( K \) is a constant reflecting the physiologic status of the patient, and \( IOB \) (Insulin-on-Board) is the insulin remaining active from the previously administered bolus [6, 7]. In the following analysis, we will consider
Let $CHO = \hat{CHO} \pm \Delta CHO$ be a variable of Equation 2, where $\hat{CHO}$ is an estimate of $CHO$ with an absolute error $\Delta CHO > 0$, and the other variables of Equation 2 are exact values. So, the absolute error on $B$ is equal to
\[
\Delta B = \left| \frac{CHO - \hat{CHO}}{ICR} \right| \cdot \frac{\Delta CHO}{ICR},
\]
where $\Delta G$ is the variation on the patient postprandial blood glucose, as a result of $\Delta CHO$. By denoting the hyperglycemia and hypoglycemia limits as $G_{\text{Hyper}}$ and $G_{\text{Hypo}}$, respectively, it is possible to conclude that the maximum value of $\Delta G$ allowed to avoid hyperglycemia and hypoglycemia episodes is given by
\[
\min \{G_{\text{Hyper}} - G_T, G_T - G_{\text{Hypo}}\}
\]
and, therefore, the maximum value of $\Delta CHO$, i.e., $\Delta CHO_{\text{max}}$, is given by:
\[
\Delta CHO_{\text{max}} = \frac{ICR}{ISF} \cdot \min \{G_{\text{Hyper}} - G_T, G_T - G_{\text{Hypo}}\}.
\]
By using Equation 3, it is possible to find personalized limits of accurate carbohydrate counting according to each patient data. Such tailored limits may have a decisive influence in order to achieve better glycemic control, reducing the frequency of hyperglycemia and hypoglycemia episodes and glycemic variability.

**DISCUSSION**

Several studies show that patients with diabetes underestimate carbohydrate counting fearing hypoglycemic episodes, as a consequence, they have high levels of HbA1C [8, 9]. Nevertheless, such worrying could be relieved if patients are aware of their limits. For this purpose, we can use Equation 3, where the maximum admissible absolute error while counting carbohydrates depends on the $ICR$, the $ISF$, and the glycemic targets of each patient. To assess the proposed method, we will consider a hypothetical patient of 75 kg body weight, taking a total of 50 U of insulin per day, having the following glycemic targets, $G_{\text{Hyper}} = 150 \text{ mg/dL}$, $G_{\text{Hypo}} = 70 \text{ mg/dL}$, and $G_T = 110 \text{ mg/dL}$. Regarding the $ICR$ and $ISF$ values for that patient, they were obtained using the rules reported by King et al in [10] and by Grunberger et al in [11], as presented in Table 1 and pictured in Figure 1.

By using Equation 3 to calculate the maximum admissible absolute error that our hypothetical patient can commit while counting carbohydrates, and considering the arithmetic means of $ICR$ and $ISF$ found in Table 1, one find the value of:
\[
\Delta CHO_{\text{max}} \approx \frac{8.33}{34.14} \cdot \min \{150 - 110, 110 - 70\} \approx 9.76 \text{ g}.
\]

Therefore, according to the method proposed in this work, it is reasonable to argue that the maximum absolute error that our hypothetical patient can commit while estimating the carbohydrate content of each meal is approximately 10 g. This result corroborate the findings presented in [4, 5] by Smart et al for a pediatric population, and also with the results presented in [9, 12] for an adult population with T1DM. For patients with T2DM, the limits for accurate carbohydrate counting could be more permissive as demonstrated in Figure 1, since they have lower $ISF$ values due to insulin resistance.

\[1\] The function $\min\{\cdot\}$ returns the minimum value of a set of elements.
TABLE 1. The maximum admissible absolute error while counting carbohydrates, i.e., $\Delta \text{CHO}_{\text{max}}$, for different values of ICR and ISF according to the rules reported in [10, 11].

| ICR rule | ICR (g/U) | ISF rule | ISF (mg/dL/U) | $\Delta \text{CHO}_{\text{max}}$ (g) |
|----------|-----------|-----------|---------------|-------------------------------|
| $(2.8 \times \text{BW} \text{ lb})/TDD$ | 9.26 | 1724/TDD | 34.48 | 10.74 |
| 441/TDD | 8.82 | 1694/TDD | 33.88 | 10.41 |
| $(2.8 \times \text{BW} \text{ lb})/TDD$ | 9.26 | 1694/TDD | 33.88 | 10.93 |
| $(2.6 \times \text{BW} \text{ lb})/TDD$ | 8.60 | 1960/TDD | 39.20 | 8.77 |
| $(217/TDD) + 3$ | 7.34 | (1076/TDD) + 12 | 33.52 | 8.76 |
| 300/TDD | 6.00 | 1500/TDD | 30.00 | 8.00 |
| 450/TDD | 9.00 | 1700/TDD | 34.00 | 10.59 |
| **Arithmetic mean:** | **8.33** | **34.14** |

Note: $TDD$ is the Total Daily Dose of insulin, $BW$ is the patient body weight, and U means units of insulin. To calculate $ICR$, $ISF$, and $\Delta \text{CHO}_{\text{max}}$ were used the following values: $TDD = 50$ U, $BW = 75$ kg, $G_{\text{Hypo}} = 150$ mg/dL, $G_{\text{Hyp}} = 70$ mg/dL, and $G_T = 110$ mg/dL.

FIGURE 1. The maximum admissible absolute error while counting carbohydrates, i.e., $\Delta \text{CHO}_{\text{max}}$, as a function of $ICR/ISF$.

CONCLUSION

Carbohydrate counting is a well established meal-planing approach used by patients on intensive insulin regimens to improve their glycemic control. Such patients adjust their preprandial insulin bolus taking into account an estimate of the carbohydrate content of each meal. Evidence suggests that the accuracy of such estimate is vital to reduce glycemic variability and avoid hyper and hypoglycemic episodes. Indeed, several studies brought to light some limits to accurate carbohydrate counting. Although such limits give important guidance to those using intensive insulin regimens, they need to be improved and better understood by each patient. To that end, this work proposed a new analytic method that uses personalized data (i.e., the insulin-to-carb ratio, the insulin sensitivity factor, and the glycemic targets of each patient) to find the maximum absolute error that each patient can commit while estimating the carbohydrate content of each meal. The proposed method was assessed using data from the literature and the results obtained are in line with the most recent outcomes. Therefore, the proposed method allows patients with T1DM to be more confident when using carbohydrate counting, as they are aware of their limits. For those with T2DM, or having insulin resistance, the absolute error performed when counting carbohydrates could be higher and the risk of hypoglycemic events is reduced when compared with T1DM patients.
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