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Endoscopic ultrasound-guided choledochoduodenostomies with fully covered self-expandable metallic stents
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Abstract

AIM: To investigate the long-term outcomes of endoscopic ultrasound-guided choledochoduodenostomy (EUS-CDS) with a fully covered self-expandable metallic stent (FCSEMS).

METHODS: From April 2009 to August 2010, 15 patients with distal malignant biliary obstructions who were candidates for alternative techniques for biliary decompression due to a failed endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) were included. These 15 patients consisted of 8 men and 7 women and had a median age of 61 years (range: 30-91 years). The underlying causes of the distal malignant biliary obstruction were pancreatic cancer (n = 9), ampulla of Vater cancer (n = 2), renal cell carcinoma (n = 1), advanced gastric cancer (n = 1), lymphoma (n = 1), and duodenal cancer (n = 1).

RESULTS: The technical success rate of EUS-CDS with an FCSEMS was 86.7% (13/15), and functional success was achieved in 100% (13/13) of those cases. In two patients, the EUS-CDS failed because an FCSEMS with a delivery device could not be passed into the common bile duct. The mean duration of stent patency was 264 d. Early adverse events developed in three patients (3/13, 23.1%), including self-limited pneumoperitoneum in two patients and cholangitis requiring stent reposition in one patient. During the follow-up period (median: 186 d, range: 52-388 d), distal stent migration occurred in four patients (4/13, 30.8%). In 3 patients, the FCSEMS could be reinserted through the existing choledochoduodenal fistula tract.

CONCLUSION: EUS-CDS with an FCSEMS is technically feasible and can lead to effective palliation of distal malignant biliary obstructions after failed ERCP.

© 2012 Baishideng. All rights reserved.
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INTRODUCTION
A self-expandable metallic stent insertion is a well-established palliative treatment that has been verified in numerous studies for patients with inoperable malignant biliary obstructions\(^8,9\). Although a self-expandable metallic stent can be inserted via the transpapillary route in most cases using endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP), a metallic stent insertion may be impossible due to duodenal obstruction, altered anatomy due to a previous operation (e.g., Roux-en-Y anastomosis), or tumor invasion of the major duodenal papilla\(^{[5,6]}\). Until recently, percutaneous transhepatic biliary drainage (PTBD) has mainly been used in these cases. PTBD, however, is often accompanied by procedure-related adverse events and by many issues related to external drainage, such as pain, catheter dislodgement, and cosmetic problems. Thus, PTBD may cause a serious decline in the quality of life\(^{[7,8]}\).

Since the endoscopic ultrasound (EUS)-guided bile duct puncture was first reported in 1996, sporadic case reports on EUS-guided biliary drainage (EUS-BD) suggested that it was a feasible and effective alternative in patients with failed conventional ERCP stenting\(^{[5,14,15]}\). Currently, three types of EUS-BD have been described, depending on the route of intervention [e.g., EUS-guided choledochoduodenostomy (EUS-CDS), EUS-guided hepaticogastrostomy, and EUS-guided gallbladder drainage]\(^{[5,14,15]}\). Plastic stents usually have been used in the EUS-BD procedure, but several studies suggested that EUS-BD with a fully covered self-expandable metallic stent (FCSEMS) might be a feasible and useful alternative to PTBD\(^{[5,16]}\). Although EUS-CDS with an FCSEMS is expected to show longer patency duration and fewer adverse events in patients with malignant biliary obstructions, to the best of our knowledge there have been no studies that address the long-term follow-up results of such a procedure. Therefore, we studied the long-term outcomes of EUS-CDS with an FCSEMS after failed conventional ERCP.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Study population
From April 2009 to August 2010, a total of 2844 ERCPs were performed in a 2680-bed tertiary referral hospital; of these, 926 ERCPs were performed to relieve biliary obstruction. Endoscopic transpapillary biliary drainage failed in 115 (12.4%) patients, with 69 patients undergoing PTBD and 46 patients undergoing EUS-BD. EUS-CDS with an FCSEMS was attempted in 15 patients.

These 15 patients included 8 men and 7 women and had a median age of 61 years (range: 30-91 years). The causes of distal malignant biliary obstruction were 9 pancreatic cancers, 2 ampulla of Vater cancers, 1 renal cell carcinoma, 1 advanced gastric cancer, 1 lymphoma, and 1 duodenal cancer. The inclusion criteria were the presence of a distal malignant biliary obstruction and failed conventional ERCP stenting, and the exclusion criteria were an inability to sedate the patient due to advanced heart or pulmonary diseases and a lack of informed consent. Finally, we excluded any patients who had been included in previous publications\(^{[6]}\).

Five experienced endoscopists (Lee SS, Park DH, SEO DW, Lee SK and Kim MH) performed the ERCP procedures, and two of them (Lee SS and Park DH) performed the EUS-CDS. These two endosonographers perform more than 500 EUS procedures for pancreaticobiliary diseases annually. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of our center. All patients provided written informed consent.

Techniques for EUS-CDS
We administered broad-spectrum, prophylactic antibiotics directed against gram-positive and gram-negative organisms before the procedure to minimize the risk of infection. Initially, ERCP was performed using a therapeutic duodenoscope (TJF-260, Olympus Optical, Tokyo, Japan). When the ERCP was unsuccessful, the EUS-CDS was performed using a linear-array echoendoscope (GF-UCT 240-AL 5) during the same endoscopy session or 1-2 d later.

The dilated extrahepatic duct was usually accessed with the echoendoscope placed at the duodenal bulb. The initial puncture was performed under real-time ultrasound and color Doppler guidance with a 19-gauge aspiration needle (EUSN-19-T, Cook Endoscopy, Winston-Salem, NC). After the puncture, the aspiration of bile and cholangiography was performed to confirm that there was an adequate puncture. Next, a 0.0889 cm guidewire was inserted through the needle and coiled in the bile duct lumen. The needle was exchanged for a 6F and a 7F tapered biliary dilator catheter (catheter tip, 4F; Cook Endoscopy, Winston-Salem, NC) to dilate the tract. If there was resistance to the advance of the dilator catheter, a triple-lumen needle-knife (Microtome, Boston Scientific, Natick, MA) with a 7F shaft diameter was gently inserted over the guidewire to dilate the tract using a brief burst of pure cutting current. Finally, an FCSEMS with an 8F deployment system (nitinol stent, 8 to 10 mm in diameter, 4 to 6 cm in length, and flared at both ends to prevent distal or proximal migration; BONASTENT, Standard Sci Tech, Seoul, South Korea) was inserted under echoendoscopic and fluoroscopic guidance (Figure 1).

Definition of events
Technical success was defined as the passage of a metallic stent across the duodenum, along with the flow of contrast medium and/or bile through the stent. Functional success was defined as a decrease in serum total bilirubin to <75% of the pretreatment value within 4 wk. An early adverse event was defined as any stent-related adverse event within 4 wk, including pneumoperitoneum, bleeding, biloma, bile peritonitis, and stent migration. A late adverse event was defined as any stent-related adverse event occurring >4 wk after the stent placement, such as stent migration or stent occlusion. Biliary re-intervention was defined as any type of endoscopic, percutaneous, or
surgical procedure that was required to improve biliary drainage after the stent placement. The duration of stent patency was defined as the time between functionally successful EUS-CDS and the occurrence of stent occlusion, stent revision, or patient death.

Follow-up
Follow-up data were prospectively collected after the procedure until September 2011. Biochemical parameters and a simple abdominal X-ray were assessed on the day following the procedure, 1 wk after stent placement, and every month thereafter. The follow-up results of the patients were based on the findings from outpatient examinations.

Statistical analysis
The cumulative patency duration of the EUS-CD with an FCSEMS was estimated using the Kaplan-Meier technique. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software (version 12.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL).

RESULTS
Technical and functional success
EUS-CDS with an FCSEMS was performed in 15 patients with a technical success rate of 86.7% (13/15). In two patients, the FCSEMS with a delivery device could not be passed into the CBD through the guidewire even after dilatation of the fistula tract with a needle knife and use of a 4-mm dilatation balloon because of the acute angulation of the scope. A 7F plastic stent, which is more flexible than an FCSEMS, was successfully inserted in one of these two patients, and PTBD was performed in the other patient as a rescue method.

The functional success rate of EUS-CDS with an FCSEMS was 100% (13/13). The baseline demographic characteristics of the 13 patients who underwent successful EUS-CDS with an FCSEMS are shown in Table 1.

Adverse events
Early adverse events developed in 3 patients (3/13, 23.1%), including 2 cases of self-limited pneumoperitoneum and 1 case of cholangitis. In 1 patient, the proximal tip of the stent was placed in the left intrahepatic duct, impairing drainage of the right intrahepatic duct and leading to cholangitis of the right intrahepatic duct. The cholangitis improved after the tip of the stent was repositioned below the hilar region.

During the follow-up period, distal stent migration occurred in 4 patients (4/13, 30.8%) as a late adverse event. Among them, 2 patients presented with cholangitis, and 1 patient presented with jaundice. In these patients, an FCSEMS could be reinserted through the existing choledochoduodenal fistula tract (Figure 2). In another patient, distal stent migration was observed during a routine, follow-up X-ray without the patient experiencing adverse symptoms: additional stent insertion was not considered because his life expectancy was less than a month and bile was draining through his maturated fistula tract.

Duration of stent patency
All patients were followed up until their time of death, with a median follow-up period of 186 d (range: 52-388 d). Stent patency was maintained until death in 9 patients, while distal stent migration occurred in 4 patients during
the follow-up period (range: 68-185 d). The mean patency duration of the stents was 264 d (Figure 3).

**DISCUSSION**

Previous studies on EUS-CDS have shown favorable technical success rates, with resolution of obstructive jaundice observed in all patients after stent placement. To date, EUS-CDS with a metallic stent insertion has been reported in 11 patients, including covered metallic stents in 6 patients, partially covered metallic stents in 3, and uncovered metallic stents in 2 patients. However, there have not yet been any long-term, follow-up results of stent patency in patients who have undergone EUS-CDS with a metallic stent, making this study the first long-term follow-up evaluation of EUS-CDS with an FCSEMS.

Until recently, there has been only one study to our knowledge that has reported on the long-term results of EUS-CDS with plastic stents. Yamao et al. reported that the mean duration of stent patency of EUS-CDS with plastic stents was 211.8 d. Usually, the diameter of metallic stents is 8-10 mm, which is larger than that of plastic stents (7-10F). Thus, theoretically, metallic stents have an advantage in terms of stent patency over plastic stents. In transpapillary drainage through the ERCP, metallic stents have been shown to have a longer

---

**Table 1 Patients' characteristics and technical features of endoscopic ultrasound-guided choledocho-duodenostomy with fully covered self-expandable metallic stents**

| No. | Age/sex | Diagnosis | Reason for failed ERCP | Diameter/length of FCSEMS (mm/mm) | Early adverse events | Late adverse events | Re-intervention |
|-----|---------|-----------|------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| 1   | 74/M    | Pancreatic cancer | Periampullary tumor infiltration | 8/40 | None | None | None |
| 2   | 63/F    | Pancreatic cancer | Duodenal obstruction | 10/50 | None | Distal migration | Reinsertion of FCSEMS |
| 3   | 56/M    | Pancreatic cancer | Periampullary tumor infiltration | 8/50 | Pneumoperitoneum | None | None |
| 4   | 78/F    | Pancreatic cancer | Periampullary tumor infiltration | 8/60 | Pneumoperitoneum | None | None |
| 5   | 75/F    | Pancreatic cancer | Periampullary tumor infiltration | 8/60 | None | Distal migration | Reinsertion of FCSEMS |
| 6   | 46/M    | DLBL         | Duodenal obstruction | 8/50 | None | None | None |
| 7   | 91/M    | AOV cancer    | Periampullary tumor infiltration | 8/60 | None | Distal migration | Reinsertion of FCSEMS |
| 8   | 59/F    | RCC          | Duodenal obstruction | 8/60 | None | Distal migration | None |
| 9   | 30/M    | Pancreatic cancer | Duodenal obstruction | 8/50 | None | None | None |
| 10  | 68/M    | AOV cancer    | Periampullary tumor infiltration | 8/50 | None | None | None |
| 11  | 54/F    | Pancreatic cancer | Periampullary tumor infiltration | 8/60 | None | None | None |
| 12  | 45/F    | AGC          | Periampullary tumor infiltration | 8/60 | Cholangitis | None | Repositioning of stent |
| 13  | 58/M    | Duodenal cancer | Duodenal obstruction | 8/60 | None | None | None |

F: Female; M: Male; ERCP: Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography; FCSEMS: Fully covered self-expandable metallic stent; DLBL: Diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; AOV: Ampulla of Vater; RCC: Renal cell carcinoma; AGC: Advanced gastric cancer.
The puncture should be made near the hepatic hilum, then the proximal end of the stent is inserted into the left intrahepatic duct, which resulted from the insertion of a plastic stent. Although the drainage of the opposite duct is suggested to be better than a metallic stent in cases where the puncture site is close to the hepatic hilum. In addition, the development of a partially covered metallic stent-in which the proximal end is specially designed to lack a covered membrane—is required to overcome these disadvantages.

In this study, the stent patency of 9 patients was maintained until their death, but distal stent migration occurred in 4 patients during the follow-up period. These results indicate that the most important factor in maintaining stent patency in patients undergoing EUS-CDS with an FCSEMS may be the prevention of stent migration. Because the FCSEMS has a large bore diameter, it may theoretically have advantages over the conventional plastic stents in terms of stent revision. In patients with an FCSEMS insertion, stent revision was relatively easy because the opening of the fistula tract was large enough to be able to easily find, even after stent migration. In an FCSEMS inserted via the transpapillary method, tumor ingrowth due to a crack in the covered membrane or tumor overgrowth can be observed. EUS-CDS, however, has advantages in that it reduces the risk of tumor ingrowth or tumor overgrowth because it bypasses the tumor instead of directly passing through the tumor. Stent obstruction by tumor ingrowth or overgrowth was not found in this study.

This study has several limitations. First, the study population was not sufficiently large to allow for a decisive conclusion regarding our results. Second, standard techniques and devices for the EUS-guided drainage procedure have not yet been established. Each endosonographer used a slightly different technique, which may have affected the results of the study. A prospective multicenter evaluation may be valuable to overcome this limitation. Third, this study is an observational study. Thus, a prospective randomized study comparing EUS-CDS with an FCSEMS and other alternative drainage methods, such as percutaneous metallic stent insertion, is necessary.

In conclusion, we have prospectively assessed the long-term outcomes of EUS-CDS with an FCSEMS. EUS-CDS with an FCSEMS was a safe and effective method in patients with distal malignant biliary obstructions and had a comparatively long patency duration. Nevertheless, the significant rate of distal stent migration cannot be ignored, suggesting the need for a newly designed metallic stent for EUS-CDS.

**COMMENTS**

**Background**

Endoscopic ultrasound-guided choledochoduodenostomy (EUS-CDS) may be a feasible and useful alternative in patients with distal malignant biliary obstructions after failed endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP). Little is known, however, about the long-term outcomes of EUS-CDS with a fully covered self-expandable metallic stent (FCSEMS).

**Research frontiers**

This is the first study that addresses the long-term follow-up results of patients who underwent EUS-CDS with an FCSEMS.
Innovations and breakthroughs

The technical and functional success rates of EUS-CDS with an FCSEMS were 13 (86.7%) and 13 (100%), respectively. EUS-CDS with an FCSEMS is technically feasible and can lead to effective palliation of distal malignant biliary obstructions after failed ERCP. EUS-CDS with an FCSEMS showed a comparatively long patency duration (264 d).

Applications

Although EUS-CDS with an FCSEMS showed a high success rate and comparatively long patency duration, the significant rate (30.8%) of distal stent migration suggests the need for a newly designed metallic stent for EUS-CDS.

Terminology

EUS-CDS is a new technique for biliary drainage using EUS-guided puncture of the common bile duct from the duodenal bulb and is usually performed as a rescue drainage method when endoscopic transpapillary stenting fails.

Peer review

The authors examined the usefulness and long-term outcomes of EUS-CDS with an FCSEMS. It revealed that EUS-CDS with an FCSEMS is technically feasible and can lead to effective palliation of distal malignant biliary obstructions after failed ERCP. However, it also showed a significant rate of distal stent migration. Therefore, a prospective randomized study on EUS-CDS with newly developed stents is needed.
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