Comparative genomic analysis of Acinetobacter strains isolated from murine colonic crypts
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Abstract

Background: A restricted set of aerobic bacteria dominated by the Acinetobacter genus was identified in murine intestinal colonic crypts. The vicinity of such bacteria with intestinal stem cells could indicate that they protect the crypt against cytotoxic and genotoxic signals. Genome analyses of these bacteria were performed to better appreciate their biodegradative capacities.

Results: Two taxonomically different clusters of Acinetobacter were isolated from murine proximal colonic crypts, one was identified as A. modestus and the other as A. radioresistens. Their identification was performed through biochemical parameters and housekeeping gene sequencing. After selection of one strain of each cluster (A. modestus CM11G and A. radioresistens CM38.2), comparative genomic analysis was performed on whole-genome sequencing data. The antibiotic resistance pattern of these two strains is different, in line with the many genes involved in resistance to heavy metals identified in both genomes. Moreover whereas the operon benABCDE involved in benzoate metabolism is encoded by the two genomes, the operon antABC encoding the anthranilate dioxygenase, and the phenol hydroxylase gene cluster are absent in the A. modestus genomic sequence, indicating that the two strains have different capacities to metabolize xenobiotics. A common feature of the two strains is the presence of a type IV pili system, and the presence of genes encoding proteins pertaining to secretion systems such as Type I and Type II secretion systems.

Conclusions: Our comparative genomic analysis revealed that different Acinetobacter isolated from the same biological niche, even if they share a large majority of genes, possess unique features that could play a specific role in the protection of the intestinal crypt.
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Background

Acinetobacter, belonging to γ-proteobacteria, are gram-negative strictly aerobic, non-motile, non-fermentative and oxidase-negative bacteria. The classification of the genus Acinetobacter contains over 50 species [1]. Acinetobacter spp. were considered for decades as saprophytic environmental microorganisms. However, recently, they have increasingly been implicated in various types of infections, mainly nosocomial infections in fragilized patients in intensive care units, hence adding the hospital to the list of their favorite environments. A major trait of their pathogenicity is their high and broad array of antibiotic resistance. The often multi-drug resistance (MDR) Acinetobacter baumannii is the major species in the genus involved in recent nosocomial infections. In contrast to A. baumannii that is mainly found in the hospital environment, other species of the Acinetobacter genus are isolated from the soil, water, and animals [2]. Many environmental Acinetobacter spp. are able to metabolize pollutants such as “Acinetobacter oleivorans” DR1 that degrades diesel [3] and the strains Acinetobacter...
pittii PHEA-2 and Acinetobacter baylyi ADP1 that degrade phenol [4].

Our previous data showed the existence of a Crypt Specific Core Microbiota (CSCM) in the caecum and proximal colon of laboratory mice of various lineages, and identified a restricted set of strictly aerobic, non-fermentative bacterial genus, dominated by members of the Acinetobacter genus showing unexpected tropism for the crypt environment [5]. It was shown that oxygen is present at the gut mucosal surface at low but significant concentration thereby facilitating the growth of strictly aerobic and aero-anaerobic bacteria, allowing them to be biochemically active [6]. We hypothesize that these bacteria act as a crypt “gate keeper” by protecting the crypt regenerative apparatus, particularly stem cells, against cytotoxic and genotoxic signals. This may occur by several means: by preventing colonization by pathogens, by regulating local innate immune mechanisms to avoid chronic low grade inflammation, and by providing strong biodegradative capacities against xenobiotics leading to putative cancer protective effects. Indeed as these bacteria are in close vicinity of intestinal stem cells we hypothesize that they act in order to keep homeostasis in this particular niche and also participate to the control of intestinal proliferation. The aim of the present study was to characterize and compare the genome of two different strains of Acinetobacter isolated from murine colonic crypts. We show here that these two strains belong to two different species of Acinetobacter found in the crypts (Acinetobacter modestus and Acinetobacter radioresistens), harbor different patterns of antibiotic resistance and also possess different xenobiotic degradative properties. It is interesting to notice, for instance, that efficient dehalogenation of xenobiotics requires aerobic conditions and that the CSCM are aerobic bacteria.

Methods
Isolation of crypt specific core Acinetobacter from murine proximal colon
Proximal colonic tissues from C57Bl/6 mice (Elevage Janvier) were washed with bleach and homogenized using 2 ml of sterile PBS using the Precellys system with 2.8 mm ceramic beads and added to 30 ml of a minimum medium [7]. The cultures were incubated at 30 °C during 48H under shaking condition (300 rpm). The cultures were then isolated on agar plates (GTCS, MacConkey, Herellea, ChromAgar). Selected colonies were then re-isolated on Chromagar plates. Bacteria were identified using the Biolog system (GEN III MicroPlate for both Gram-negative & Gram-positive bacteria, 21,124 Cabot Blvd. Hayward CA, 94,545 USA). The identification of Acinetobacter was confirmed by Sanger sequencing of 16S rDNA, and recombinase A (recA) after genomic DNA extraction using the Wizard Genomic DNA Purification Kit following manufacture’s instructions (Promega) and PCR amplification. The primers used are listed in the Additional file 1: Table S1.

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing
Antibiotic susceptibility was determined by the disk diffusion method on Mueller-Hinton (MH) agar according to the guidelines of the Antibiogram Committee of the French Microbiology Society [8]. Automatic readings were performed using the OSIRIS system (Bio-Rad).

Biofilm assay
Biofilm formation was determined using an overnight culture, diluted 1:100 in fresh Trypticase-Soy broth in 96-well polystyrene plates and incubated without shaking at 37 °C for 24 h and 48 h. After incubation the plates were washed gently three times with phosphate-buffered saline to remove unattached bacteria, air-dried and stained with 0.1% crystal violet solution for 20 min and quantified at 595 nm after solubilization with ethanol–acetone [9]. Of the 96 wells, six were left uninoculated and used as background controls. Escherichia coli DH5α and Acinetobacter baumannii CIP 70.34T (ATCC 19606) were used respectively as negative and positive control of biofilm formation [10]. The biofilm formation experiments were carried out with six replicates and the results are expressed as mean values of crystal violet absorbance ± SD from the mean.

Genome sequencing, assembling, annotation
The genome sequencing, assembling and annotations of A. modestus CM11G and A. radioresistens CM38.2 obtained through Illumina paired-end sequencing were already described in [11]. The complete genome sequence of A. radioresistens CM38.2 was obtained using PacBio single-molecule real-time (SMRT) technology [12] with P6-C4 chemistry in the PacBio RS II sequencing platform (https://www.gatc-biotech.com). One SMRT cell generated 72,134 reads with a mean read length of 15,211 bp. The reads were assembled de novo with the Hierarchical Genome Assembly Process 3 (HGAP3) [13] giving one contig of 3,201,807 bp with a G + C % of 41.7 and with an average coverage depth of 275. Whole-genome alignment of the A. radioresistens CM38.2 strain was performed using Mauve v 2.31 software [14] in order to compare the sequences obtained either by PacBio or by Illumina paired-end sequencing (Additional file 2: Figure S1).

The complete sequence of CM38.2 and all 118 contigs described in [11] of CM11G were annotated using the RAST and MicroScope platforms [15–17]. For both platforms our annotation job were submitted by providing mandatory information and accepting default parameters. Both platforms give access to several tools of visualization and comparative genomics and produced
very similar results. In order to homogenize the results, we used only the annotations obtained with RAST.

Core-genomes
The core-genome of the species is defined as the intersection of pairwise lists of strict positional orthologs. We built three core-genomes: i) containing the 133 Acinetobacter strains used in [18] plus our two strains CM11G, CM38.2, ii) for the species A. radioresistens, iii) for A. modestus. All three core-genomes were built following the same protocol (as detailed in [19]). Briefly, orthologs were identified as bidirectional best hits using end-gap free global alignment, between the proteome of A. baumannii AYE as a pivot and each of the other proteomes (135 for the genus and 3 for the two species). Hits with less than 40% (genus) or 80% (species) similarity in amino acid sequence or more than 20% difference in protein length were discarded. Genomes from the same species typically show low levels of genome rearrangements and this information can be used to identify orthologs more accurately [20, 21]. The core-genomes consist in the genes present in all genomes of each of the three sets.

Average nucleotide identity (ANIb) was computed using JSpecies v.1.2.1 [http://imedea.uib-csic.es/jspecies/] based on BLAST+ (v.2.2.29). The ANIb values were thus generated, on the one hand for pair-wise comparisons of CM38.2 and A. radioresistens strains NIPH 2130 (accession number NZ_APOJ0000000.1) and CIP 103788 (accession number NZ_APQE00000000.1), and on the other hand for the comparison of CM11G with A. modestus strains ANC 3862 (accession number NZ_APRP00000000.1) and NIPH 236 (accession number NZ_APOJ00000000.1).

Pan-genomes
The pan-genome of the species is defined as the union of all the homologs present in the genes set of all strains, and provides information regarding the genetic diversity of the set of genomes. The pan-genomes were built for the same three sets of strains previously described (see Core-genomes section). In each case, the pan-genome was obtained by clustering homologous proteins into families. The lists of putative homologs between pairs of genomes using “all-against-all” comparisons with BLASTp v.2.2.28+ (default parameters) [22] were determined and then clustered by similarity using Silix v1.2.8 (http://lbbe.univ-lyon1.fr/SiLiX) [23] when the e-values were smaller than $10^{-4}$. A protein is thus included in the family if it shares a relation of homology to a protein already in the family. Silix parameters were set such that a protein was homologous to another in a given family if the alignment had at least 40% (genus) or 80% (species) sequence identity and included more than 80% of the smallest protein.

Phylogenetic analyses
For each of the phylogenetic reconstructions, we used the model minimizing the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) among all models available (option -m TEST) in IQ-TREE. We made 1000 ultra fast bootstraps to evaluate node support (options –bb 1000 –wbtl in IQ-TREE).

16S rRNA gene phylogenetic tree
We built a tree to display the phylogenetic distribution of our dataset using the 16S rRNA genes sequences of the 133 Acinetobacter strains used in [18] plus our 10 additional strains. We made a multiple alignment of the 16S rRNA sequences with INFERNAL v.1.1 (default parameters) [24] using RF00177 Rfam model (v.12.1, [25]), followed by manual correction with SEAVIEW to remove poorly aligned regions. The tree was computed by maximum likelihood with IQ-TREE multicore v.1.4.2 [26] under the TVM + I + G4 model.

recA gene phylogenetic tree
We extracted from the genus core-genome the gene family encoding RecA. Then we made multiple alignment of the set including the 135 recA DNA sequences and our eight additional strains with MAFFT v.7.205 (default parameters) [27], followed by manual correction with SEAVIEW to remove poorly aligned regions. The phylogenetic tree was inferred using IQ-TREE multicore v.1.4.2 under the TIM3 + I + G4 model.

Core-genome phylogenetic tree
Each of the 945 families of proteins of the Acinetobacter core-genome was used to produce a multiple alignment with MAFFT v.7.205 (default parameters) [27]. Poorly aligned regions were removed with BMGE (default parameters) [28]. The phylogenetic tree was inferred using IQ-TREE multicore v.1.4.2 under the LG + I + G4 + F model.

Results
Isolation and characterization of Acinetobacter strains in murine colonic crypts
As Acinetobacter was the major genus found in murine proximal colonic crypts, a selective minimum medium was used in order to isolate these strains [7, 11]. Among the different preparations, ten Acinetobacter strains were isolated on ChromAgar plates and identified using the Biolog System based on 71 carbon source utilization assays and 23 chemical sensitivity assays. Eight of the strains were identified as Acinetobacter genospecies 6 and the two others as A. radioresistens indicating a clear separation of the ten strains into two clusters (Table 1).
Phylogeny of 16S ribosomal RNA and recombinase A genes

In order to get a more precise identification of the strains, a phylogenetic tree based on 16S ribosomal RNA sequences was built. This phylogenetic tree confirmed the biochemical identification of strains CM38.1 and CM38.2 as closely related to *A. radioresistens*, and indicated that the eight other strains previously identified as *Acinetobacter* genospecies 6 were closely related to *A. modestus* species [29] (Additional file 3: Figure S2). In order to confirm these results we built a phylogenetic tree based on the *recA* sequences because this gene was used to identify the different genospecies of the genus *Acinetobacter* [30]. This tree positioned these eight strains in the vicinity of the *A. modestus* species with more than 99% of sequence similarity and the two strains CM38.1 and CM38.2 in the vicinity of *A. radioresistens* (Fig. 1).

**Table 1** Biochemical identification of the isolated strains

| Strain   | Biolog Identification       |
|----------|-----------------------------|
| CM11G    | *Acinetobacter* genospecies 6|
| CM31.3   | *Acinetobacter* genospecies 6|
| CM31.5   | *Acinetobacter* genospecies 6|
| CM31.6   | *Acinetobacter* genospecies 6|
| CM32.1_HC| *Acinetobacter* genospecies 6|
| CM32.1   | *Acinetobacter* genospecies 6|
| CM37.1   | *Acinetobacter* genospecies 6|
| CM37.2   | *Acinetobacter* genospecies 6|
| CM38.1   | *Acinetobacter* radioresistens|
| CM38.2   | *Acinetobacter* radioresistens|

10 *Acinetobacter* isolates were identified by the Biolog System using GEN III MicroPlate based on 71 carbon source utilization assays and 23 chemical sensitivity assays.
Whole-genome sequencing
One strain of each cluster was selected for sequencing: - CM11G for A. modestus and CM38.2 for A. radioresistens - with the Illumina HiSeq 2000 technology (paired-end libraries) [11]. We also sequenced A. radioresistens CM38.2 strain (accession number SRR5351953) using the PacBio technology. Annotation with RAST gives 2968 coding DNA sequences (CDS) and 3104 CDS for A. radioresistens CM38.2 paired-end and PacBio respectively. This latter annotation was used for the genomic comparative analysis. The distribution of the genes of CM11G and CM38.2 is listed in the Additional file 4: Table S2 according to the functional categories given by RAST.

Acinetobacter Core-genomes
In order to get an idea of the core-genome based on the sequences of A. modestus CM11G and A. radioresistens CM38.2, a core-genome of 133 Acinetobacter strains [18] plus our two strains CM11G and CM38.2 was built (Table 2). The core-genome of the 135 genomes of Acinetobacter consists of 945 families of homologous proteins. A phylogenetic tree based on these proteins confirmed the identification of the two strains, since A. radioresistens CM38.2 is included in the A. radioresistens clade, and CM11G in the A. modestus clade (Fig. 2 and Additional file 5: Figure S3). The average nucleotide identity (ANIb) allows to putatively classify bacterial strains in the same species. It is currently admitted that an ANIb value of more than 95% is strong indication that strains belong to the same species [31]. The values of ANIb between CM11G and two A. modestus strains (ANC 3862, NIPH 236) are higher than 96%. The values of ANIb for the comparisons between CM38.2 and two A. radioresistens strains (NIPH 2130, CIP 103788) are higher than 98%. These results are consistent with those obtained with the phylogenetic tree based on the Acinetobacter core-genome (Table 3), and suggest that the two strains are part of well-defined Acinetobacter species.

The core-genomes of species A. modestus and A. radioresistens were built using the three strains available for each (two published and one sequenced by us, see Methods). They contain 2637 and 2391 of orthologous proteins families, corresponding to 79% and 81% of the genomes of our strains for respectively A. modestus and A. radioresistens (Table 2).

Acinetobacter pan-genomes
The analysis of the core genome showed that both genomes contain many genes absent from the core genome of their species. In order to compare the variability between strains, we analyzed their pan-genomes (see Methods). At the genus level, the 135 Acinetobacter strains contained 30,080 protein families (identified using a threshold of 40% similarity, Table 4). At the species level, using a threshold of 80% similarity, we identified 3745 and 5264 proteins for A. radioresistens and A. modestus respectively (Fig. 3 and Table 4). The genomes of the strains from the crypts were, in both cases, larger than the ones of the other strains of the same species. Accordingly, they have more strain-specific genes than the others, which may provide traits involved in the adaptation to their specific niche.

Antibiotic resistance profiles
In order to get a better phenotypic characterization of the ten isolated strains, their antibiotic resistance was analyzed using a panel of 32 antimicrobials agents usually tested for non-fermentative Gram-negative bacteria. As for the biochemical identification and the phylogenetic analysis, the ten strains could be divided into two clusters. The eight A. modestus strains were resistant to streptomycin, spectinomycin, and latamoxef (cephalosporin family). The two A. radioresistens were sensitive to these antibiotics but resistant to chloramphenicol, unlike the A. modestus strains (Additional file 6: Table S3).

Aminoglycoside-modifying enzymes mediate the resistance to aminoglycosides such as streptomycin. A comparative analysis of antibiotic resistance genes performed on the two selected sequenced strains indicated the presence of an O-adenylyltransferase (aadA) in the genome of A. modestus whereas the gene encoding this enzyme was absent in the genome of A. radioresistens CM38.2. This could explain the resistance to streptomycin and spectinomycin of the former strain. RarD, encoding a chloramphenicol-sensitive protein, and cat genes encoding a chloramphenicol acetyltransferase were present in the two genomic sequences even if A. modestus CM11G is sensitive to this antibiotic. Other resistance mechanisms, such as efflux pumps, may contribute to chloramphenicol resistance in A. radioresistens, such as the gene mdfA coding for a multidrug/chloramphenicol efflux transport belonging to the MFS (major facilitator superfamily) which is present in both strains. The RND (resistance-nodulation-division) family of efflux pumps is often described in genomes of

Table 2 Core genome of Acinetobacter

| Strain            | Number of genomes | Average number of gene families | Smallest proteome | Number of core gene families (% of the smallest) |
|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Acinetobacter     | 133               | 3523                           | 2562              | 950                                           |
| Acinetobacter     | 133               | 3529                           | 2562              | 945                                           |
| A. radioresistens | 3a                | 2991                           | 2936              | 2391 (81%)                                    |
| A. radioresistens | 3b                | 3030                           | 3347              | 2637 (79%)                                    |

*133 strains described in [15]; a133 strains and CM11G and CM38.2; CM38.2 + NIPH2130 + CIP103788; CM11G + ANC3862 + NIPH236. Hits with less than 40% (genus) or 80% (species) similarity in amino acid sequence or more than 20% difference in protein length were discarded.
pathogenic MDR strains of *A. baumannii*, mainly the AdeABC system [32]. However these genes were not present in the two sequenced strains analyzed in this study. But other RND type efflux pumps and many genes involved in the resistance to heavy metals such as arsenate, cobalt, zinc, cadmium and also to copper were found in the two genomes (Additional file 7: Table S4).

**Xenobiotic metabolism**

The intestinal microbiota is able to metabolize xenobiotics, including drugs, and thereby modulate their toxicological and pharmacological properties [33]. We wondered if some operons or genes involved in this process were present in our selected strains through the annotation tables. Both *A. modestus* CM11G and *A. radioresistens* CM38.2 carry the operon *benABCDE* encoding benzoate 1,2-dioxygenase alpha (*benA*) and beta (*benB*) subunits with sequence homologies between themselves of 89.9 and 85.8 respectively.

**Table 3** Average Nucleotide Identity (ANIb) in percent between the *A. radioresistens* strains (A) and between *A. modestus* strains (B)

|                 | CM38.2 | NIPH2130 | CIP103788 |
|----------------|--------|----------|-----------|
| *A. radioresistens* Average nucleotide identity |        |          |           |
| CM38.2         |        | —        | 98.06     |
| NIPH2130       | 98.26  | —        | 98.30     |
| CIP103788      | 98.12  | 98.06    | —         |

|                 | ANC3862 | NIPH236 | CM11G |
|----------------|---------|---------|-------|
| *A. modestus* Average nucleotide identity |        |         |       |
| ANC3862        | —       | 96.80   | 96.72 |
| NIPH236        | 96.81   | —       | 96.66 |
| CM11G          | 96.80   | 96.75   | —     |

The ANIb based on BLAST+ were performed with the software JSpecies.
Fig. 4A). The operon antABC encoding the anthranilate dioxygenase, which catalyzes catechol formation, is present in the genomic sequence of *A. radioresistens* CM38.2, in the available genomic sequence of *A. radioresistens* SH164 (accession number NZ_GG705131), and in the two *A. radioresistens* strains used for the core-genome analysis. In contrast, it is absent in *A. modestus* CM11G. It should be noted that the antABC operon is also present in the genome of various *Acinetobacter* species such as *A. baumannii* (AB307 and ATCC 17978), *A. pittii* PHEA-2 (accession number CP002177) and *A. baylyi* ADP1 [34]. Moreover, the phenol hydroxylase gene cluster *dmpKLMNOP*, which convert phenol to catechol, is absent in the genome of *A. modestus* CM11G whereas it is present in *A. radioresistens* CM38.2, *A. radioresistens* SH164 and *A. pittii* PHEA-2 (Fig. 4B) [4]. The organization of phenol degradation genes in these strains indicates a high degree of similarity, with a homology of 100% with *A. radioresistens* SH164 and around 80% with *A. calcoaceticus*. These findings indicate that the strains isolated from murine intestinal crypts are able to metabolize xenobiotics, even if at different levels.

**Secretion systems**

A diversity of secretion systems were described in Gram-negative bacteria and in some strains of *Acinetobacter* such as a Type I, Type II and Type VI secretion systems that are known to be involved in pathogenicity or in supporting survival in a complex microbial community [35, 36]. The T1SS secreted agglutinin RTX was found in the genomic sequence of *A. radioresistens* CM38.2 and shared a sequence identity of 40% with the biofilm-associated protein (Bap) of *A. baumannii* AB0057. Bap plays a role in the adhesion to host cell and in the maintenance of the biofilm. The gene encoding TolC, a porin at the outer membrane that is part of T1SS and drug-efflux pumps [37], also involved in biofilm formation, is present in the genomic sequences of *A. modestus* CM11G and *A. radioresistens* CM38.2. Quantitative analysis of biofilm formed by these two strains indicates that both *A. modestus* CM11G and *A. radioresistens* CM38.2 are capable to induce biofilm after 24H of incubation even if *A. modestus* CM11G is a stronger inducer of biofilm than *A. radioresistens* CM38.2. After 48H of culture the ability of *A. radioresistens* CM38.2 is weaker, whereas *A. modestus* CM11G remains as strong as *A. baumannii* CIP 70.34T (Additional file 8: Figure S4).

Several genes involved in adhesion and biofilm formation such as type IV pili [41] are represented in both selected strains *A. modestus* CM11G and *A. radioresistens* CM38.2. Even if *Acinetobacter* name means non-motile rod, some species are able of bacterial locomotion through

---

**Table 4 Pan genome of *Acinetobacter***

|                      | Number of genomes | 40%  | 50%  | 70%  | 80%  |
|----------------------|-------------------|------|------|------|------|
| *Acinetobacter*      | 135a              | 30,080 | 35,274 | 53,163 | 71,471 |
| *Acinetobacter* radioresistens | 3b              | 3489 | 3577 | 3695 | 3745 |
| *Acinetobacter* modestus | 3c              | 4908 | 5049 | 5187 | 5264 |

|                      | Number of genomes | 40%  | 50%  | 70%  | 80%  |
|----------------------|-------------------|------|------|------|------|
| *Acinetobacter*      | 135a              | 30,080 | 35,274 | 53,163 | 71,471 |
| *Acinetobacter* radioresistens | 3b              | 3489 | 3577 | 3695 | 3745 |
| *Acinetobacter* modestus | 3c              | 4908 | 5049 | 5187 | 5264 |

133 strains and CM11G and CM38.2;
2CM38.2 + NIPH2130 + CIP103788; 3CM11G + ANC3862 + NIPH236

---

**Fig. 3 Venn diagrams of the pan-genome of *A. radioresistens* strains (a) and *A. modestus* strains (b)**

---
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Type IV dependent twitching motility [42]. Many *Acinetobacter* species harbor the genes encoding the proteins necessary for a functional Type IV pili system [43, 44]. Similarly 22 genes among the 24 listed are both present in the genomic sequences of *A. modestus* CM11G and *A. radioresistens* CM38.2 (Table 5). The Type IV pili system is also involved in natural transformation in *Acinetobacter*, where transformation is tightly associated with mobility [45]. Overall, these results indicate that the two selected strains from murine intestinal crypts shared many secretion systems that could allow the colonization of this particular niche.

**Siderophores**

Iron is an essential element for the growth of a large number of bacteria [46]. Several mechanisms to acquire iron have been developed by bacteria, including siderophores. Moreover these siderophores are considered as virulence factors for pathogenic bacteria such as *A. baumannii* that encodes for the highly conserved acinetobactin [47, 48]. A siderophore cluster was found in the genome of *A. radioresistens* CM38.2 whereas some genes were missing in the genome of *A. modestus* CM11G (Fig. 5). Another cluster of four genes is also present in *A. radioresistens* CM38.2 but absent in the *A. modestus* CM11G strain (Additional file 7: Table S4). This cluster encodes proteins involved in the siderophore S biosynthesis. The same clusters for iron uptake are also present in the genome sequence of *A. radioresistens* SH164 with a sequence similarity of 100% except for one of the genes (Fig. 5). This reflects an iron metabolic variability for the two *Acinetobacter* strains.

**Discussion**

In this study, we characterized the genomic features of two *Acinetobacter* isolated from murine colonic crypts and belonging to the Crypt Specific Core Microbiota (CSCM). They were isolated from each of the two large clusters of *Acinetobacter* strains that can be distinguished by their biochemical profiles: *A. modestus* and *A. radioresistens*. These two clusters could also be discriminated based on their antibiotic resistance. In contrast to many pathogenic *Acinetobacter* bacteria such as *A. baumannii* that possess a T6SS [40], the T6SS was not encoded by the genome of *A. modestus* and *A. radioresistens*. However, genes encoding proteins involved in Type I secretion system, Type II secretion system and Type IV pili system are present in the genomic sequences of the selected strains. Many others genes involved in heavy metal resistance as well as multidrug resistance efflux pumps are encoded by these strains.

The two *Acinetobacter* shared also some operons such as the *benABCDEFG* involved in benzoate degradation whereas the operon involved in phenol degradation is absent in the *A. modestus* strain. Does CSCM “gate keeper” exert protection of the epithelial regenerative
Table 5 Genes involved in Type IV pilus apparatus in the genome of *A. modestus* CM11G and *A. radioresistens* CM38.2

| Function                                      | *A. modestus* CM11G | *A. radioresistens* CM38.2 | Sequence Identity (%) |
|------------------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|
| 3-dehydroquinate synthase (EC. 4.2.3.4)       | 1                   | 1                           | 82.40                 |
| Fimbrial assembly protein FimB                | 1                   | 0                           |                       |
| Leader peptidase (Prepilin peptidase)         | 1                   | 1                           | 81.12                 |
| Multimodular transpeptidase-transglycosylase  | 1                   | 1                           | 79.35                 |
| N-methytransferase                            | 1                   | 1                           | 81.12                 |
| Twitching motility protein PilG                | 1                   | 1                           | 99.21                 |
| Twitching motility protein PilH                | 1                   | 1                           | 85.83                 |
| Twitching motility protein PilT                | 1                   | 1                           | 57.81                 |
| Type IV fimbriae expression regulatory protein PilR | 0                   | 1                           |                       |
| Type IV fimbrial assembly protein PilC         | 1                   | 1                           | 91.67                 |
| Type IV fimbrial assembly, ATPase PilB         | 1                   | 1                           | 80.42                 |
| Type IV fimbrial biogenesis protein FimT       | 1                   | 1                           | 39.88                 |
| Type IV fimbrial biogenesis protein PilV        | 1                   | 1                           | 38.83                 |
| Type IV fimbrial biogenesis protein PilW        | 1                   | 1                           | 36.89                 |
| Type IV fimbrial biogenesis protein PilX        | 1                   | 1                           | 30.53                 |
| Type IV fimbrial biogenesis protein PilY1       | 1                   | 1                           | 30.15                 |
| Type IV pil signal transduction protein Pill    | 1                   | 1                           | 78.65                 |
| Type IV pilin PilA                             | 1                   | 1                           | 80.49                 |
| Type IV pilus biogenesis protein PilU          | 1                   | 1                           | 77.99                 |
| Type IV pilus biogenesis protein PilM          | 1                   | 1                           | 85.81                 |
| Type IV pilus biogenesis protein PilN          | 1                   | 1                           | 78.24                 |
| Type IV pilus biogenesis protein PilO          | 1                   | 1                           | 70.45                 |
| Type IV pilus biogenesis protein PilP          | 1                   | 1                           | 74.57                 |
| Type IV pilus biogenesis protein PilQ          | 1                   | 1                           | 72.78                 |

1: presence of the feature; 0: absence of the feature. The percentage number represents the identity in the sequences of the two genomes.

Fig. 5 Genetic organization of the siderophore cluster found in *A. radioresistens* CM38.2. The percentage of nucleotide identity with *A. radioresistens* SH164 and *A. modestus* CM11G are indicated.
apparatus against the (geno)toxic potential of metabolic by-products of the microbiota and xenobiotics? Undigested dietary fibers and endogenous residues are metabolized by the gut microbiota and some of the by-products of this microbial metabolism are involved in tumor promotion (secondary bile acids, anaerobic tryptophan degradation products: indoles, ammonia), mutagenesis (fecalenaenes) or oncogenesis (N-nitrosocompounds). Numerous bacterial enzymes responsible for the production of carcinogens have been identified. The protective effect of certain bacterial species is also recognized, encompassing carcinogen binding, detoxification of methylmercury, formation of isoflavones [49]. Regarding xenobiotics, there is a broad range of bacterial dehalogenases that catalyze the cleavage of carbon-halogen bonds, which is a key step in aerobic mineralization pathways of many potentially carcinogenic halogenated compounds that occur as environmental pollutants [50].

This study sheds new light on genomic features involved in xenobiotic metabolism that could play a crucial role in the protection of colonic crypts that harbor the intestinal stem cells.

Conclusions
In this study, we used whole-genome sequencing to characterize Acinetobacter isolated from murine colonic crypts. We performed genomic analysis of two isolates belonging to two different species in comparison to available Acinetobacter genomes in public databases. Our results shed new light on genomic features involved in xenobiotic metabolism that could play a crucial role in the protection of colonic crypts that harbor the intestinal stem cells.
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