Control of Wilt and Rot Pathogens of Tomato by Antagonistic Pink Pigmented Facultative Methylo trophic *Delftia lacustris* and *Bacillus* spp.
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The studies on the biocontrol potential of pink pigmented facultative methylo trophic (PPFM) bacteria other than the genus *Methylobacterium* are scarce. In the present study, we report three facultative methylo trophic isolates; PPO-1, PPT-1, and PPB-1, respectively, identified as *Delftia lacustris*, *Bacillus subtilis*, and *Bacillus cereus* by 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis. Hemolytic activity was tested to investigate the potential pathogenicity of isolates to plants and humans, the results indicates that the isolates PPO-1, PPT-1, and PPB-1 are not pathogenic strains. Under in vitro conditions, *D. lacustris* PPO-1, *B. subtilis* PPT-1, and *B. cereus* PPB-1 showed direct antagonistic effect by inhibiting the mycelial growth of fungal pathogens; *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lycopersici* (2.15, 2.05, and 1.95 cm), *Sclerotium rolfsii* (2.14, 2.04, and 1.94 cm), *Pythium ultimum* (2.12, 2.02, and 1.92 cm), and *Rhizoctonia solani* (2.18, 2.08, and 1.98 cm) and also produced volatile inhibitory compounds. Under plant growth chamber condition methylotrophic bacterial isolates; *D. lacustris* PPO-1, *B. subtilis* PPT-1, and *B. cereus* PPB-1 significantly reduced the disease incidence of tomato. Under greenhouse condition, *D. lacustris* PPO-1, *B. subtilis* PPT-1, and *B. cereus* PPB-1 inoculated tomato plants, when challenged with *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *lycopersici*, *S. rolfsii*, *P. ultimum*, and *R. solani*, increased the pathogenesis related proteins (β-1,3-glucanase and chitinase) and defense enzymes (phenylalanine ammonia lyase, peroxidase, polyphenol oxidase, and catalase) on day 5 after inoculation. In the current study, we first report the facultative methylo trophy in pink pigmented *D. lacustris*, *B. subtilis*, and *B. cereus* and their antagonistic potential against fungal pathogens. Direct antagonistic and ISR effects of these isolates against fungal pathogens of tomato evidenced their possible use as a biocontrol agent.
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INTRODUCTION

Tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum) is one of the most popular commercial vegetable crops. In India, it occupies an area of 0.54 million ha with a production of 7.60 million tons (Kumar et al., 2012). Among the pathogens that affect the tomato crop, soil borne fungal pathogens, including Fusarium, Pythium, Rhizoctonia, and Verticillium causing the root rot or damping-off and wilt affect the quality with yield reduction (Lucas et al., 1997). Among these pathogens, Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. lycopersici is a highly destructive pathogen on both greenhouse and field grown tomatoes. In spite of the promising results shown by chemical treatments in controlling the fungal pathogens, phytotoxicity, and chemical residues are the major problems leading to environmental pollution and human health hazards. Alternatively in the present study, we have tested the possibility of using facultative methylotrophic bacteria which is ubiquitously occurring with intimate association with plants, as a biocontrol agent in controlling wilt and root rot pathogens of tomato.

Methylotrophs consists of a subpopulation of the bacteria which are able to use single carbon compounds (methanol and other C1 carbon compounds) as a sole carbon and energy source. Methyloptic bacteria can take up greenhouse gases and reduce global warming (Iguchi et al., 2015). Methylotrophs are found in both phyllosphere and rhizosphere of the plants and utilize the plant waste methanol as carbon source. Recently, there have been extensive studies on methylotrophs and their ability to promote plant growth were carried out (Madhaiyan et al., 2004; Poonguzhali et al., 2008; Yim et al., 2012). In addition, methylotrophic activity was reported in different bacterial families (Eyice and Schäfer, 2016). Among the facultative methylotrophic (FM) bacteria belonging to Alpha-, Beta-, and Gamma-subclasses of Proteobacteria and Firmicutes, the genus Methylobacterium has been widely studied. These FM bacteria ubiquitously occurring in plants can be isolated using selective media containing methanol as the sole carbon source and identified by their characteristic pink color (Corpe and Basile, 1982; Lidstrom and Chistoserdova, 2002). They are commonly referred as pink pigmented facultative methylotrophic (PPFM) bacteria. They are non-pathogenic and distributed widely in the plant phyllosphere, and have been isolated from more than 100 species of plants, ranging from liverworts and mosses to angiosperms and gymnosperms (Corpe and Basile, 1982).

Among the PPFM, the genus Methylobacterium is one of the dominant genera, which act as a symbiont with plants by consuming methanol, a plant metabolic waste and in turn providing vitamin B12, auxins, cytokinins useful for the plant growth (Madhaiyan et al., 2006). Although the genus Methylobacterium has also been well-documented for their induction of systemic resistance (ISR) in plants against plant pathogens (Madhaiyan et al., 2004, 2006; Indiragandhi et al., 2008), till date to the best of our knowledge there is no report available on the direct antagonistic effect of FM bacteria. Hence in the current study, we tested both direct antagonistic effect of FM bacteria against Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. lycopersici, Sclerotium rolfsii, Pythium ultimum, and Rhizoctonia solani causing tomato root rot and wilt diseases and indirect effect by the induction of ISR and pathogenesis related (PR) proteins in the pathogen challenged tomato plants grown under greenhouse condition.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Source of Bacterial Strains, Fungal Pathogens, and Tomato Seeds

PPFM bacteria were isolated from the phylloplane of crop plants listed in Table 1 through leaf imprinting technique on solid ammonium mineral salts (AMS) medium (pH 6.8) supplemented with 0.5% methanol and cyclohexamide (30 μg ml⁻¹) and incubated at 28°C for 3–5 days (Whittenbury et al., 1970). The single colonies with reddish pink pigmentation were picked and purified. The PPFM isolates were grown for 72 h on AMS medium. Gram reaction and other biochemical tests were carried out as described previously (Gerhardt et al., 1981). Plant pathogens (Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. lycopersici MTCC 4356, S. rolfsii KACC 43068, R. solani MTCC 4633, and P. ultimum KACC 40705) were obtained from Microbial Type Culture Collection, Chandigarh, India and Korean Agricultural Culture Collection, Jeonju, Republic of Korea. Fungal pathogens were grown and maintained in potato dextrose agar (PDA) before further use. Tomato cultivar CO₂, which is susceptible to both seedling wilt and root rot, was obtained from the Department of vegetables at Horticultural College and Research Institute, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, India.

Antagonistic Assay on Solid Medium

All PPFM bacterial isolates were screened for their ability to inhibit the growth of fungal pathogens on PDA plates by dual culturing technique with three replications (Yoshida et al., 2001). After 120 h incubation at 30 ± 2°C, the distance between the bacterial growth and fungal mycelial growth was measured to find out the antagonistic effect of PPFM bacterial isolates on test pathogenic fungi. The antagonistic effect of volatile antifungal compounds produced by PPFM bacterial isolates against test fungal pathogens was evaluated on PDA medium with three replications after 120 h of incubation at 30 ± 2°C as described by Trivedi et al. (2008). Briefly, PPFM isolates antagonism due to volatile compounds was evaluated by preparing a bacterial lawn on agar plates. After incubation for 24 h, the lid of the plate was replaced by a plate containing an agar block of the test fungus grown on PDA. The two plates were sealed together with parafilm. Control sets were prepared in a similar manner, without PPFM in the bottom plate. Then the Petri dishes were incubated at 28°C, and the observations were recorded after 5 days. Growth inhibition of the test fungus was calculated in % using the formula: (r1 – r2/r1) * 100, where r1 (a control value) represents the radial growth of the fungus in control sets without, and r2 with bacteria.

Antagonistic Assay in Liquid Medium

A volume of 1 ml of bacterial culture grown in potato dextrose broth for 72 h (10⁸ cfu ml⁻¹) and a disc of test fungus (10 mm) from a well-grown fungal colony on PDA plates were inoculated into 50 ml potato dextrose broth in 250 ml conical flasks and incubated at 30 ± 2°C on a rotary shaker for 120 h. Potato
### TABLE 1

| Source | Inhibition zone (cm) | S. rolfsii | F. oxysporum sp. | P. ultimum | R. solani |
|--------|----------------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|----------|
| PPT-1  | 2.04 ± 0.04          | 1.84 ± 0.04 | 1.96 ± 0.06 | 1.64 ± 0.06 | 1.35 ± 0.02 |
| PPN-1  | 2.08 ± 0.04          | 1.12 ± 0.04 | 1.30 ± 0.06 | 1.42 ± 0.06 | 1.48 ± 0.06 |
| PPB-1  | 2.08 ± 0.04          | 1.96 ± 0.06 | 1.88 ± 0.06 | 1.68 ± 0.06 | 1.48 ± 0.06 |
| PPB-1  | 2.09 ± 0.04          | 1.12 ± 0.04 | 2.02 ± 0.06 | 2.24 ± 0.06 | 2.02 ± 0.06 |
| PPG-1  | 2.01 ± 0.04          | 1.04 ± 0.04 | 2.00 ± 0.06 | 1.62 ± 0.04 | 1.82 ± 0.04 |
| PPG-1  | 2.01 ± 0.04          | 1.04 ± 0.04 | 2.18 ± 0.04 | 2.18 ± 0.04 | 2.18 ± 0.04 |
| PPG-1  | 2.01 ± 0.04          | 1.04 ± 0.04 | 2.74 ± 0.04 | 2.74 ± 0.04 | 2.74 ± 0.04 |
| PPG-1  | 2.01 ± 0.04          | 1.04 ± 0.04 | 1.97 ± 0.04 | 1.97 ± 0.04 | 1.97 ± 0.04 |
| GRS-1  | 1.13 ± 0.02          | 1.17 ± 0.02 | 1.97 ± 0.04 | 1.97 ± 0.04 | 1.97 ± 0.04 |
| GRS-1  | 1.13 ± 0.02          | 1.17 ± 0.02 | 1.97 ± 0.04 | 1.97 ± 0.04 | 1.97 ± 0.04 |
| GRS-1  | 1.13 ± 0.02          | 1.17 ± 0.02 | 1.97 ± 0.04 | 1.97 ± 0.04 | 1.97 ± 0.04 |
| GRS-1  | 1.13 ± 0.02          | 1.17 ± 0.02 | 1.97 ± 0.04 | 1.97 ± 0.04 | 1.97 ± 0.04 |
| GRS-1  | 1.13 ± 0.02          | 1.17 ± 0.02 | 1.97 ± 0.04 | 1.97 ± 0.04 | 1.97 ± 0.04 |

Note: The isolates produced HCN under tested condition; values are mean of three replicates ±2 standard deviation. Values in each column followed by same letter (a) are not statistically different by LSD (P = 0.05).

16S rRNA Gene Sequence Analysis

Bacterial isolates were grown in AMS medium for 72 h and DNA was extracted according to Sambrook et al. (1989). The gene encoding bacterial 16S rRNA was amplified through PCR with forward primer 27F: 5′-AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG-3′ and reverse primer 1492R: 5′-GGTTACCTTGTGACTTC-3′. Nearly, complete 16S rRNA gene sequences of PPFM bacterial isolates from the automatic sequencer were aligned using the integrated SINA alignment tool from the ARB-SILVA website (Pruesse et al., 2007) and bacterial identities deduced by using the EzTaxon-e server (http://eztaxon-e.ezbiocloud.net/) to ascertain their closest relatives (Kim et al., 2012).

Bacterial gyrA gene is a potential chromosomal marker for the phylogenetic identification of Bacillus genera. PCR amplification and detection of gyr A gene was given in the Supporting Information File Materials and Method II.

Methanol Utilization and Detection of Methanol Dehydrogenase Gene (mxaF)

Methanol utilization by the isolates was checked according to Sy et al. (2001) in M72 medium. The bacterial suspensions were diluted in M72 medium [optical density (OD) = 0.05], and added with one of the following compounds; methanol (MeOH) (10, 50, 100, or 500 mM), pyruvate (10 mM), or succinate (10 mM). Growth was monitored by measuring OD at 620 nm. Detection of mxaF gene was given in the Supporting Information File Materials and Method II.

### Hemolytic Activity and Response to Antibiotics

This experiment was conducted to test the production of exotoxins called hemolysin able to destroy the Red Blood Cells (RBC) and hemoglobin by the methylothrophic isolates Delftia lacustris PPO-1, Bacillus subtilis PPT-1, Bacillus cereus PBP-1 in sheep blood agar plates (catalog no. MP1301 Himedia, India). The plates were incubated for 48–72 h at 30°C and observed for presence of clear zone, greenish brown, and no zone which indicates the complete (β hemolysis), partial (α hemolysis), and no hemolytic (γ) activity, respectively. Susceptibility to the antibiotics rifampicin, ampicillin, oleandomycin, chloramphenicol, tetracycline, novobiocin, streptomycin, spectinomycin, kanamycin, trimethoprim, hygromycin, ceftriaxone, gentamycin, cefepime, and amikacin (each at...
Plant Growth Chamber Assay

Plant growth chamber experiment was conducted to assess the biocontrol potential of PPFM bacterial isolates on wilt and rot diseases of tomato. Bacterial cultures grown for 72 h were harvested by centrifugation at 10,000 × g for 10 min at 4°C, washed twice with sterile distilled water and suspended in 0.03 M MgSO₄ solution. Tomato seeds were surface sterilized with 70% ethanol for 1 min, 0.5% NaClO for 2 min, and washed four times with sterilized distilled water. The surface-sterilized seeds were imbibed in the 5 ml of bacterial suspension (10⁶ cfu ml⁻¹) for 2 h, and single seed was sown in a 400 ml plastic pot filled with mixture of sterilized soil and farm yard manure in 3:1 ratio. The pots were subjected to following treatments: T1—B. subtilis PPT-1 + F. oxysporum f. sp. lycopersici, T2—B. cereus PPB-1 + F. oxysporum f. sp. lycopersici, T3—D. lacustris PPO-1 + F. oxysporum f. sp. lycopersici, T4—B. subtilis PPT-1 + S. rolfsii, T5—B. cereus PPB-1 + S. rolfsii, T6—D. lacustris PPO-1 + S. rolfsii, T7—B. subtilis PPT-1 + R. solani, T8—B. cereus PPB-1 + R. solani, T9—D. lacustris PPO-1 + R. solani, T10—B. subtilis PPT-1 + P. ultimum, T11—B. cereus PPB-1 + P. ultimum, T12—D. lacustris PPO-1 + P. ultimum, T13—F. oxysporum f. sp. Lycopersici, T14—S. rolfsii, T15—R. solani, T16—P. ultimum (Figure S1). One milliliter of fungal spore suspension (10⁶ spore ml⁻¹) was applied to the soil on 30th day after sowing. Ten potted plants were maintained for each treatment. The experiment was conducted in a completely randomized block design with three replications. Pots were placed in growth chambers at 20 ± 1°C, photoperiod starting with 12 h dark followed by 12 h lights (18 µ mol m⁻² s⁻²). Plants were watered with 1 X Hoagland solution. The disease incidence was observed by recording the wilting of leaves leading to plant drying. Randomly 15 plants were selected from each treatment and the number of wilted plants was recorded. The mean wilt disease incidence was expressed in percentage. Disease incidence was recorded up to 30 d after challenge inoculation with fungal pathogens. The percent disease incidence (PDI) was calculated, by using the formula: 

\[
\text{PDI} = \frac{\text{Number of plants infected}}{\text{Total number of plants observed}} \times 100.
\]

RESULTS

Antagonistic Potential of PPFM Bacterial Isolates against Fungal Pathogens of Tomato

Twenty PPFM bacteria were isolated from phylloplane of various crop plants (Table S1). Among the PPFM bacterial isolates, 12 isolates showed direct antagonism toward tested pathogens. The isolates PPO-1, PPT-1, and PPB-1 exhibited mycelial growth inhibition of Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. lycopersici (2.15, 2.05, and 1.95 cm), S. rolfsii (2.14, 2.04, and 1.94 cm), P. ultimum (2.12, 2.02, and 1.92 cm), R. solani (2.18, 2.08, and 1.98 cm), respectively, in a dual plate assay on day 5 (Table 1). All the tested methylotrophic isolates were able to produce siderophores and other plant growth promoting substances like PO and PPO.
salicylic acid and none of the isolates produced hydrocyanic acid. The isolates PPO-1, PPT-1, and PPB-1 produced the maximum siderophore (27.94, 27.45, and 25.44 µg ml⁻¹) and salicylic acid (89, 88, and 87 µg ml⁻¹), respectively (Table 1). Chitinase and β-1,3-glucanase activities were observed higher in PPO-1 isolate followed by PPT-1 and PPB-1 isolates (Table 1).

All PPFM bacterial isolates produced volatile antifungal compound(s), and inhibited the mycelial growth of the tested fungal pathogens in sealed Petridishes. The higher mycelial growth inhibitions were observed in F. oxysporum f. sp. lycopersici (52.84, 51.73, and 50.65%), S. rolfsii (43.34, 42.23, and 41.12%), P. ultimum (57.78, 56.67, and 55.56%), and R. solani (44.45, 43.34, and 42.23%) due to the antagonistic effect of respective PPO-1, PPT-1, and PPB-1 isolates (Table 2). All PPFM bacterial isolates showed the reduction in pathogenic fungal biomass in the co-cultured liquid medium. The isolates PPO-1, PPT-1, and PPB-1 showed respective biomass reduction of F. oxysporum f. sp. lycopersici (77.14, 76.57, and 76.00%), S. rolfsii (79.26, 78.45, and 77.64%), P. ultimum (88.08, 87.65, and 85.95%), R. solani (89.81, 86.03, and 85.66%) (Figure 1).

**Molecular Characterization and Phylogenetic Analysis**

PPFM bacterial isolates PPO-1 (JN 088183), PPT-1 (JN 088185), and PPB-1 (JN 088187) showed the highest 16S rRNA gene sequence similarities to type strains of D. lacustris DSM 21246ᵀ (100%), B. subtilis KCTC 13429ᵀ (99.87%), and B. cereus ATCC 14579ᵀ (99.67%), respectively. The colony morphology of pink pigmented methylotrophic isolates PPO-1, PPT-1, and PPB-1 were shown in Figure S2. Bacterial growth was noted on either pyruvate or succinate as the sole carbon source. Also, growth was obtained at a methanol concentration up to 500 mM. Presence of methanol dehydrogenase gene (mxaF) was examined using Methylobacterium extorquens AM1 as reference strain. An amplification product of the expected size, 560 bp, for all the isolates similar to reference strain M. extorquens AM1 were noted which indicated the presence of mxaF. The isolate PPO-1 was Gram negative and isolates PPT-1 and PPB-1 were Gram positive. Isolates PPO-1, PPT-1, and PPB-1 did not exhibit hemolytic activity and isolate PPB-1 grew on mannitol egg yolk polymyxin agar MYP agar forming white precipitation which is the characteristic feature for B. cereus. Presence of housekeeping gene (gyr A) in two isolates of Bacillus sp. (PPT-1 and PPB-1) was examined, PPT-1 showed positive (Figure S3). Methylotrophic isolates PPO-1, PPT-1, and PPB-1 were susceptible to the antibiotics such as rifampicin, ampicillin, oleandomycin, chloramphenicol, tetracycline, novobiocin, streptomycin, spectinomycin, kanamycin, trimethoprim, hygromycin, ceftriaxone, gentamycin, cefepime, and amikacin at the concentration of 50 µg ml⁻¹. For hemolytic activity the PPFM isolates did not produce any clear or greenish zone around the colonies in sheep blood agar medium, which may indicates that the isolates are non-pathogenic to human.

**Biocontrol Effect of PPFM Bacterial Isolates on Tomato Wilt and Rot Diseases**

Under plant growth chamber condition, soil inoculated with fungal pathogens showed 65% disease incidence. Higher diseases incidence was observed in the plants treated with R. solani followed by P. ultimum, F. oxysporum f. sp. Lycopersici, and S. rolfsii. However, the PPFM bacterial isolates inoculated tomato plants when challenged with the fungal pathogens recorded only 15% disease incidence (Figure 2). In greenhouse experiment, bacterization of tomato seeds with PPFM isolates D. lacustris PPO-1, B. subtilis PPT-1, and B. cereus PPB-1 significantly increased the germination percentage and the seedling vigor compared to control (Table 3). However, pathogen alone treatment has negative impact on seed germination, seedling vigor and plant height. No much variation was observed in plant height among the plants treated with

**TABLE 2 | Effect of facultative methylotrophic bacterial volatile antimicrobial compounds on the growth of fungal pathogens.**

| Isolates | F. oxysporum f. sp. Lycopersici | S. rolfsii | P. ultimum | R. solani |
|----------|--------------------------------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| PPT-1    | 51.73 ± 1.03ab                 | 42.23 ± 0.84ab | 56.67 ± 1.13ab | 43.34 ± 0.87ab |
| PPN-1    | 41.73 ± 0.83jk                 | 31.12 ± 0.62k  | 47.78 ± 0.98j  | 34.45 ± 0.69g  |
| PPB-1    | 50.65 ± 1.01bc                 | 41.12 ± 0.82bc | 55.56 ± 1.11bc | 31.12 ± 0.62bc |
| PPG-1    | 45.06 ± 0.90gh                 | 35.56 ± 0.71gh | 50.00 ± 1.00ph | 41.12 ± 0.62cd |
| PPL-1    | 49.50 ± 0.99cd                 | 38.89 ± 0.78de | 53.34 ± 1.07de | 37.78 ± 0.76gh |
| PPP-1    | 42.84 ± 0.86ji                 | 32.23 ± 0.64jk | 52.23 ± 1.04ef | 32.23 ± 0.64df |
| PPG-1    | 48.39 ± 0.97de                 | 36.67 ± 0.73fg | 45.66 ± 0.91k  | 40.00 ± 0.80df |
| PPO-1    | 43.95 ± 0.88fi                 | 34.45 ± 0.69g  | 51.12 ± 1.02ef | 44.45 ± 0.89g  |
| PPB-1    | 52.84 ± 1.06a                  | 43.34 ± 0.87a  | 57.78 ± 1.16a  | 33.34 ± 0.67hi |
| PPA-1    | 47.28 ± 0.95ef                 | 40.00 ± 0.80cd | 48.89 ± 0.98hi | 36.67 ± 0.73ef |
| PPG-1    | 40.62 ± 0.81i                  | 37.78 ± 0.76ef | 54.45 ± 1.09cd | 33.34 ± 0.67hi |
| PPB-1    | 48.17 ± 0.92fg                 | 33.34 ± 0.67f  | 46.67 ± 0.93jk | 35.56 ± 0.71fg |

LSD (P ≤ 0.05) 1.58 1.26 1.75 1.27

Values are mean of three replications ± SD (standard deviation). Values in each column followed by same letter(s) are not statistically different by LSD (P ≤ 0.05).
different PPFM isolates and challenge inoculated with pathogens. However, significant variation in plant height was observed between PPFM challenge-inoculated with pathogen treatment and pathogen alone treated plants (Figure S4). D. lacustris PPO-1 inoculated tomato plants when challenged with R. solani, S. rolfsii, and F. oxysporum f. sp. lycopersici recorded the higher fruit yield of 9.35, 9.08, and 8.90 fruits plant$^{-1}$, respectively (Table 3).

In this study, D. lacustris PPO-1, B. subtilis PPT-1, and B. cereus PPB-1 inoculations induced significant protection in tomato plants against the tested pathogens. PPFM isolates D. lacustris PPO-1, B. subtilis PPT-1, and B. cereus PPB-1 inoculated tomato plants when challenge-inoculated with F. oxysporum f. sp. lycopersici, S. rolfsii, P. ultimum, and R. solani, increased PR proteins over uninoculated controls. The higher activities of β-1,3 glucanase, chitinase, PO, PPO, PAL, and catalase enzymes were recorded on 5th day after challenge inoculation with pathogens (Table 4). Increase in PO enzyme activity was observed in tomato plants inoculated with PPFM isolates D. lacustris PPO-1, B. subtilis PPT-1, and B. cereus PPB-1 and challenged with F. oxysporum f. sp. lycopersici, S. rolfsii, P. ultimum, and R. solani. The activities of PPO, PAL, chitinase, and
catalase enzymes also had a similar trend (Table 4). In particular, *D. lacustris* PPO-1 inoculated tomato plants when challenged inoculated with *R. solani*, *P. ultimum*, *S. rolfsii*, and *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *lycopersici* exhibited a significant increase in β-1,3-glucanase activity (Table 4). *Delftia lacustris* PPO-1 challenge inoculated with pathogen exhibited higher chitinase and catalase activity compared to other treatments.

**DISCUSSION**

Members of pink-pigmented facultative methylotrophs occupies different habitats such as leaf surface, soil, water, and air. The plant-methylotroph association could be attributed to the unique ability of these bacteria to grow at the expense of methanol, a cell wall pectin degradative product from plants. PPFM has the ability to oxidize methanol using the methanol dehydrogenase enzyme. The mxaF gene encodes the large subunit of this enzyme, which is key in methylotrophic metabolism. In recent years, interaction study have shown that PPFM bacteria can increase plant protection against phytopathogen attack (Benhamou et al., 2000). In the current study, PPFM bacteria were isolated from various crop plants and used as a bio-control agent against tomato phytopathogens.

Bio-control ability of the PPFM isolates against phytopathogens were tested using three methods. One is by dual culture assay in which the PPFM isolate and pathogens were grown in same plate. In that the isolates PPO-1, PPT-1, and PPB-1, exhibited higher antagonistic potential against *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *lycopersici*, *S. rolfsii*, *P. ultimum*, and *R. solani*. The inhibitory effect of fungal growth observed in the current study in the dual culturing assay may be attributed to certain diffusible antifungal metabolites of the methylotrophic isolates (Montealegre et al., 2003). In the second method, the effect of PPFM volatile compound against phytopathogen was tested. The results revealed that PPFM bacterial isolates produced volatile antifungal compound which inhibited the growth of test fungal pathogens. In third method, both PPFM isolates and phytopathogens were simultaneously grown in liquid medium as co-cultures. The reduction in fungal biomass due to inhibitory effect of antagonistic PPFM was compared with fungal cultures grown in medium without antagonistic PPFM. Earlier a non-methylotrophic *Delftia lacustris* possessing nitrogen fixing trait capable of suppressing the growth of rice pathogens (*Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae*, *R. solani*, and *Pyricularia oryzae*) was reported by Han et al. (2005).

The PPFM isolates capable of producing siderophores proves their competitive advantage in the natural ecosystem by limiting the supply of iron and essential trace elements to the fungal pathogens as previously suggested by Indiragandhi et al. (2008). The inhibitory effect on the fungal pathogens tested may also be attributed to the salicylic acid production capability of PPFM isolates, as already evidenced in *Methylobacterium oryzae* CBMB20 inoculated *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *lycopersici* challenged tomato plants (Indiragandhi et al., 2008). However, the inhibitory effect of PPFM isolates not producing HCN, eliminated the possibility of blocking the cytochrome oxidase system in fungal pathogen (Trivedi et al., 2008). Presence of chitinase and β-1,3-glucanase activities were previously reported in *Delftia sp.*, *B. subtilis* and *B. cereus* (Chen et al., 2004; Jørgensen et al., 2009; Liang et al., 2014). Chitin and glucans those are responsible for the rigidity of fungal cell walls, thereby destroying cell wall integrity due to secretion of chitinase and β-1,3-glucanase limiting the growth of the pathogen (Chen et al., 2004; Jørgensen et al., 2009; Liang et al., 2014).

Methylotrophs oxidize methanol to formaldehyde via a key enzyme methanol dehydrogenase and the use of structural gene mxaF as a functional probe for methylotrophs is well-explained (Sy et al., 2001). Therefore, to evaluate the presence of methanol oxidation genes PCR amplification was performed with non-degenerate primers corresponding to highly conserved regions of mxaF gene sequences. Presence of mxaF gene and utilization of methanol clearly indicated the methylotrophic nature of isolates PPO-1, PPT-1, and PPB-1.

In the current study, none of the tested methylotrophic isolates exhibited hemolytic activity. This test was applied to investigate the potential pathogenicities of clinical and environmental isolates for plants and humans (Bevivino et al., 2002). In a previous study, Shin et al. (2012) observed the hemolytic behavior and resistance to antibiotics such as cefepime, amikacin, and gentamycin in opportunistic pathogenic *D. lacustris*. In the present study *D. lacustris* PPO-1 did not exhibit hemolytic activity and susceptibility toward various antibiotics. Shin et al. (2012) isolated *D. lacustris* from blood and bile fluids of human whereas *D. lacustris* PPO-1 was isolated from...
TABLE 3 | Effect of PPFM bacteria upon challenge inoculation of pathogens on growth and yield of tomato under pot culture condition.

| Treatment | Germination percent | Vigor index | Plant height (cm) | No. of fruits |
|-----------|---------------------|-------------|------------------|--------------|
|           | 30 DAS              | 60 DAS      | 90 DAS           |              |
| T1—B. subtilis PPT-1 + F. oxysporum* | 93bcd         | 1367.1b | 25.90 ± 1.37ef | 65.90 ± 3.49g | 8.70 ± 0.17def |
| T2—B. cereus PPB-1 + F. oxysporum* | 94abc         | 1342.2b | 25.80 ± 1.37ef | 65.80 ± 3.48g | 8.50 ± 0.17fg |
| T3—Delftia lacustris PPO-1 + F. oxysporum* | 94abc | 1438.2b | 8.30 ± 0.32bd | 62.30 ± 0.60bcd | 8.90 ± 0.18b |
| T4—B. subtilis PPT-1 + S. rafraei | 52bd         | 1385.2b | 26.42 ± 1.40de | 62.22 ± 3.56cd | 8.87 ± 0.18de |
| T5—B. cereus PPB-1 + S. rafraei | 91cd         | 1347.8b | 26.32 ± 1.39de | 62.12 ± 3.55de | 6.71 ± 0.17ef |
| T6—Delftia lacustris PPO-1 + S. rafraei | 92bcd | 1439.3b | 27.85 ± 1.47bd | 68.65 ± 3.63bd | 9.08 ± 0.18bc |
| T7—B. subtilis PPT-1 + R. solani | 95ab         | 1361.3b | 27.20 ± 1.44bc | 69.20 ± 3.66ab | 9.14 ± 0.18ab |
| T8—B. cereus PPB-1 + R. solani | 92cd         | 1356.5b | 27.09 ± 1.43b | 69.09 ± 3.66bc | 8.93 ± 0.18bc |
| T9—Delftia lacustris PPO-1 + R. solani | 96a | 1445.3b | 28.67 ± 1.52a | 70.67 ± 3.74a | 9.35 ± 0.19a |
| T10—B. subtilis PPT-1 + P. ultimunm | 91cd         | 1359.6b | 24.09 ± 1.27a | 61.29 ± 3.24d | 8.09 ± 0.16f |
| T11—B. cereus PPB-1 + P. ultimunm | 90d           | 1351.6b | 23.99 ± 1.27a | 61.19 ± 3.24d | 7.91 ± 0.16f |
| T12—Delftia lacustris PPO-1 + P. ultimunm | 94abc | 1442.6b | 25.39 ± 1.34a | 62.59 ± 3.31f | 8.28 ± 0.17gh |
| T13—F. oxysporum f. sp. lycopersici | 85a | 1211.7c | 19.75 ± 0.40a | 34.75 ± 0.69f | 4.30 ± 0.09k |
| T14—S. rafraei | 84a         | 1212.4c | 18.25 ± 0.36a | 33.25 ± 0.66f | 4.12 ± 0.08k |
| T15—R. solani | 83a          | 1210.2c | 17.65 ± 0.35a | 32.65 ± 0.63f | 4.20 ± 0.08k |
| T16—P. ultimunm | 84b | 1213.5c | 17.25 ± 0.34a | 32.25 ± 0.64f | 3.90 ± 0.08k |
| T17—Control | 85a         | 1214.8c | 18.50 ± 0.37a | 33.5 ± 0.67g | 7.51 ± 0.19j |

LSD (P ≤ 0.05) | 3.00 | 44.38 | 0.80 | 1.51 | 1.94 | 0.25 |

Values are mean of three replications ± SD (standard deviation). Values in each column followed by same letter(s) are not statistically different by LSD (P ≤ 0.05).

*B. oxysporum—F. oxysporum f. sp. lycopersici.

phyloplane of onion. Clinical Burkholderia cepacia isolates generally exhibited resistance to larger numbers of antibiotics and a higher degree of resistance to the single antibiotics than the environmental isolates (Bevivino et al., 2002). Clinical strains of B. cepacia secrete cytotoxic factors that allow macrophage and mast cell death in the presence of external ATP which appears to be lower in the environmental strain (Melnikov et al., 2000).

Hence, it is concluded that D. lacustris PPO-1 is probably not a pathogen. However, suitable molecular fingerprinting techniques should be devised in future to differentiate pathogenic clinical D. lacustris from environmental isolates. Chun and Bae (2000) demonstrated the use of gyrA sequences (coding for DNA gyrase subunit A) for accurate classification of B. subtilis and related taxa. In this study, isolate PPT-1 showed the amplified product size of 1000 bp which corresponds to the gyrA gene and confirmed as Bacillus subtilis. Though facultative methylohydrolysis has been documented in diverse heterotrophic genera (Hung et al., 2011), to the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to report the methylohydrolysis in Delftia lacustris. Nevertheless, the occurrence of pink pigmented B. subtilis was earlier reported, their methylohydrolysis was not documented (Oppong et al., 2000). However, the methylohydrolysis was reported only in non-pigmented B. methylalis, B. methanolicus, and B. methylotrophicus (Arfman et al., 1992; Madhaiyan et al., 2010). Hence, our study also first reports the methylohydrolytic pink pigmented B. subtilis PPT-1 and B. cereus PPB-1.

In the current study, the reduction of root rot and wilt disease incidences in the D. lacustris PPO-1, B. subtilis PPT-1, and B. cereus PPB-1 inoculated pathogen challenged tomato plants may be attributed to their direct antagonistic effect producing diffusible and volatile antibiotics as well as indirect induction of β-1, 3-glucanase, chitinase, PO, PPO, PAL, and catalase. ISR in several crops has been correlated with a two fold increase in activity of pathogenesis related PO and chitinase proteins in PGPR inoculated plants when challenged with pathogens (Nielsen et al., 1998; Xue et al., 1998; Nandakumar et al., 2001). Previously, several studies reported the induction of ISR like chitinase, PAL, β-1,3-glucanase, peroxidase, and PPO in rice, peanut, and tomato plants due to methylbacterial inoculation against various pathogens (Madhaiyan et al., 2004, 2006; Indiragandhi et al., 2008). Similarly, Choudhary and Johri (2008) elucidated the mechanisms of Bacillus species as inducers of systemic resistance and Kloepper et al. (2004) reported induction of systemic resistance due to inoculation of Bacillus spp. in several crops including tomato. These defense proteins have the potential to hydrolyze the major components of fungal cell walls viz, chitin and β-1,3-glucans (Ren and West, 1992). The ubiquitous plant colonizing methylobacteria shown to be highly resistant to various abiotic stresses (Romanovskaya et al., 1996) could have enabled them for their effective epiphytic colonization in plants comparable to other biocontrol agents.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The finding of the present study not only supports the use of these pigmented facultative methylohydrolytic isolates as a
| Treatment | Peroxidase activity (PO) | Polyphenol oxidase (PPO) | Phenylalanine ammonia lyase (PAL) | β-1,3 glucanase activity | Chitinase activity | Catalase activity |
|-----------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|------------------|
|           | (Changes in absorbance min⁻¹ g of leaf tissues⁻¹) | (Changes in absorbance min⁻¹ g of leaf tissues⁻¹) | (µ mol of trans-cinnamic acid min⁻¹ g of leaf tissues⁻¹) | (ng of glucose min⁻¹ g of leaf tissues⁻¹) | (n mol of Glc NAc min⁻¹ g of leaf tissues⁻¹) | (µ mol min⁻¹ g of leaf tissues⁻¹) |
| T1—B. subtilis PPT-1 + F. oxysporum | 1.23bc | 0.94deg | 0.84d | 60.37a | 124.77b | 1.06b |
| T2—B. cereus PPB-1 + F. oxysporum* | 1.22c | 0.91h | 0.84d | 56.45b | 122.06b | 0.91c |
| T3—Delftia lacustris PPO-1 + F. oxysporum | 1.33a | 0.91bcd | 0.86bcd | 58.77a | 129.49a | 1.16a |
| T4—B. subtilis PPT-1 + S. rolfsii | 1.24bc | 0.95def | 0.85cd | 60.33a | 124.79b | 1.07b |
| T5—B. cereus PPB-1 + S. rolfsii | 1.23bc | 0.921h | 0.85cd | 56.47b | 122.10b | 0.92c |
| T6—Delftia lacustris PPO-1 + S. rolfsii | 1.34a | 0.92abc | 0.87abc | 58.79a | 129.51a | 1.17a |
| T7—B. subtilis PPT-1 + R. solani | 1.26b | 0.91bcd | 0.87abc | 60.40a | 124.80b | 1.04b |
| T8—B. cereus PPB-1 + R. solani | 1.25bc | 0.94deg | 0.87abc | 56.50b | 122.13b | 0.89c |
| T9—Delftia lacustris PPO-1 + R. solani | 1.36a | 1.00a | 0.89a | 58.80a | 129.54a | 1.14a |
| T10—B. subtilis PPT-1 + P. ultimum | 1.25a | 0.96cde | 0.86bcd | 60.41a | 124.81b | 1.05b |
| T11—B. cereus PPB-1 + P. ultimum | 1.24bc | 0.93ghi | 0.86bcd | 56.49b | 122.12b | 0.90c |
| T12—Delftia lacustris PPO-1 + P. ultimum | 1.35a | 0.99ab | 0.88ab | 58.81a | 129.53a | 1.15a |
| T13—F. oxysporum f. sp. lycopersici | 0.76d | 0.57f | 0.68f | 39.67c | 102.40c | 0.61d |
| T14—S. rolfsii | 0.77d | 0.58f | 0.69ef | 36.69d | 102.42c | 0.62d |
| T15—R. solani | 0.79d | 0.60f | 0.71e | 39.70c | 102.45c | 0.59d |
| T16—P. ultimum | 0.78d | 0.59f | 0.70ef | 39.71c | 102.42c | 0.60d |
| LSD (P ≤ 0.05) | 0.039 | 0.029 | 0.027 | 1.807 | 3.996 | 0.031 |

Values are mean of three replications ± SD (standard deviation). Values in each column followed by same letter (s) are not statistically different by LSD (P ≤ 0.05). *F. oxysporum—F. oxysporum f. sp. Lycopersici.
potential biocontrol agents against tomato root pathogens but also expands our current knowledge on the taxonomy of facultative methylotrophic bacteria. Further field level performance testing of these methylotrophic isolates will confirm their biocontrol efficacy against root pathogens of tomato.
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