Body balance under ametropic conditions induced by spherical lenses in an upright position
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Abstract. [Purpose] To investigate the changes in body balance under ametropic conditions induced by spherical lenses in an upright position. [Subjects and Methods] Twenty subjects (10 males, 10 females) of average age 23.4±2.70 years participated and they were fully corrected by subjective refraction. To induce ametropic conditions (binocular myopia and hyperopia), lenses of ±0.50 D, ±1.00 D, ±1.50 D, ±2.00 D, ±3.00 D, ±4.00 D and ±5.00 D were used. General stability (ST), fall risk index (FI), and sway path (SP) were analyzed through changes in synchronization of left/right and toe/heel, as measured by the biofeedback system, TETRAX. Measurement was performed for 32 seconds for each condition. [Results] ST increased significantly from +0.50 D-induced myopia and from −1.00 D-induced hyperopia as compared with corrected emmetropia. FI increased significantly from +4.00 D-induced myopia and from −1.50 D-induced hyperopia as compared with corrected emmetropia. In SP, which means a change of body balance, toe/heel was significantly greater than left/right in all ametropic conditions. SP of right/left synchronization was not affected by the side of the dominant eye. [Conclusion] An uncorrected hyperope may cause subjects to have a higher risk of falling than an uncorrected myope. Therefore, clinical specialists should consider the refractive condition, especially hyperopia, when analyzing body balance.
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INTRODUCTION

Human body balance can be defined as the ability to maintain the body’s center of gravity within the base of support with minimal sway1). For appropriate control of body balance, it is regulated by three different sensory systems: the visual, vestibular, and somatosensory systems2). Among these, the visual system, plays an important role in maintaining stable body balance by continuously providing information to the nervous system about the environment, body movement, and body position3).

The role of visual information in maintaining body balance has been studied for many years. For instance, comparative studies have demonstrated significant increases of postural sway under eyes closed conditions compared with eyes open, thus verifying the importance of the visual system for body balance4–11). Furthermore, several studies2, 12, 13) of the association of refractive error with postural ability have revealed that balance ability declines with an increase of refractive blur, and these studies have suggested that optimal refractive correction can reduce postural instability. However, changes of body balance under hyperopic conditions have rarely been studied and most previous studies have examined changes in postural sway under conditions of myopic refractive defocus.

The present study was performed in order to investigate changes in the general stability, the fall risk index, and the synchronization of right/left and toe/heel under conditions of hyperopia and also of myopia induced by spherical lenses for subjects with corrected emmetropia.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Twenty subjects (10 males, 10 females) of average age 23.4±2.70 years participated in this study. All subjects were healthy and had no neurological, otoneurological, or ophthalmological disease. Also, they were not taking any medication that might have interfered with balance control. The average spherical equivalent of the subjects was S-3.77±2.86 D. Those who were not corrected to 1.0 of VA and those having binocular dysfunctions such as poor stereopsis or amplitude of accommodation were excluded from the experiment. All the test subjects understood the purpose of this study and consented to participation therein. The study was conducted in conformity with the ethical principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

To assess body balance, we used the TETRAX biofeedback system (Tetrax Portable Multiple System, Tetrax Ltd., Rammat Gan, Israel). This system measures the postural sway on 4 force plates, one each for the toes and heels of each foot, and it is mainly used to evaluate the general stability (ST) of body balance and the fall risk index (FI)49).
Increased values of these indices indicate that general instability and the chance of falling are proportionally elevated. The system is also capable of analyzing the sway path (SP) which is derived from the results of the synchronization of left/right and toe/heel.

First, the examiner corrected all subjects to emmetropia by subjective refraction with a phoropter (Ultramatic RX Master, Reichert, USA) and a 5 m visual chart. After this, the examiner asked the subjects to wear glasses with full correction and to stand in an upright position on the force plate. To induce ametropia (binocular hyperopia and myopia), spherical lenses of ±0.50 D, ±1.00 D, ±1.50 D, ±2.00 D, ±3.00 D, ±4.00 D, and ±5.00 D were used. Measurement was performed for 32 seconds for each condition. ST, FI, and SP were recorded as deviations from the values under the emmetropic condition. Each subject was instructed to keep looking at a fixed target at 30 meters distance during the measurements. After this, the subjects took a rest for one minute while the (+) lens was replaced, and for 10 minutes when the ametropic type was changed.

For data analysis, repeated-measures analysis of variance (ANOVA), the independent samples t-test, and frequency analysis were performed using SPSS for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA).

RESULTS

The changes of ST and FI under each condition of ametropia are shown in Fig. 1. ST increased significantly (p<0.05) from +0.50 D-induced myopia and from −1.00 D-induced hyperopia as compared with the corresponding figures under corrected emmetropia. FI increased significantly (p<0.05) from +4.00 D-induced myopia and from −1.50 D-induced hyperopia as compared with the corresponding figures under corrected emmetropia.

The analysis results for left/right and toe/heel synchronization are given in Table 1. The values indicate there were differences in fluctuation regardless of sway path (slanted position) as compared with the corresponding figures under corrected emmetropia. In terms of the differences in fluctuation ranges, toe/heel was greater than left/right in all the ametropic conditions (p<0.05).

The distribution of the tendency of SP in left/right synchronization dependent on the side of the dominant eye is shown in Table 2. Among the 11 subjects with a right-dominant eye in induced myopia, 27.3% of the subjects slanted constantly toward the left side and 18.2% of the subjects slanted constantly toward the right side, and there was no consistent SP in 54.5% of the subjects. In the 9 subjects with a left-dominant eye in induced myopia, 22.2% of the subjects slanted constantly toward the left side and 22.2% of the subjects slanted constantly toward the right side, and 55.6% of the subjects did not show any particular tendency.

In terms of constant tendency of SP under a hyperopic condition, the slant proportion was 9.1% toward the left side and 18.2% toward the right side in the 11 subjects with a right-dominant eye, and 11.1% toward the left side and 11.1% toward the right side in the 9 subjects with a left-dominant eye.

DISCUSSION

The visual contribution to balance control strongly depends on the performance of the visual system. Edwards reported an increase in body instability of about 28% with the addition of a +5 D lens and Paulus et al. also demonstrated a result showing an approximate 25% increase in postural instability due to retinal blur induced by +4 D and +6 D lenses. In addition, they found a similar increase in postural instability, when subjects with myopic refractive error between 3 and 5 D removed their corrected spectacles. Furthermore, Anand et al. analyzed the standing stability in younger and older subjects with added lenses of +1 D, +2 D, +4 D and +8 D under more challenging conditions, when the normal information from the vestibular and somatosensory systems was disrupted. As stated above, poor vision due to myopic defocus can reduce postural stability and can significantly increase the risk of falls and fractures in older people. However, so far, studies on the connection between the hyperopic refractive state and body balance have been insufficient. Since many researchers have reported that increasing interference with the decrease of visual acuity is accompanied by a relatively increased amount of sway of the human body, we focused on the changes of body balance.
Table 1. Changes in sway path fluctuation regardless of the dominant eye in myopia and hyperopia induced by (+) spherical lenses and the significance of differences from corrected emmetropia

| Defocus | Myopia | Hyperopia | Difference between myopia and hyperopia |
|---------|--------|-----------|---------------------------------------|
|         | L-R    | T-H       | L-R        | T-H     | L-R        | T-H     |
| ±0.50   | 2.20±1.10 | 5.74±4.78** | 2.52±1.79 | 4.93±4.31' | 0.493 | 0.575 |
| ±1.00   | 2.06±1.68 | 6.12±4.44** | 2.29±1.56 | 5.82±5.62' | 0.658 | 0.853 |
| ±1.50   | 2.08±1.86 | 6.84±5.37** | 1.71±1.27 | 8.01±6.55** | 0.461 | 0.539 |
| ±2.00   | 2.00±1.45 | 7.68±5.56** | 1.54±1.34 | 8.71±7.71** | 0.301 | 0.633 |
| ±3.00   | 2.01±1.73 | 6.33±5.69** | 2.28±1.56 | 8.14±7.24** | 0.607 | 0.384 |
| ±4.00   | 2.14±1.54 | 8.58±6.74** | 2.03±1.73 | 10.20±6.81** | 0.831 | 0.453 |
| ±5.00   | 2.47±2.04 | 8.84±8.58** | 1.96±1.65 | 9.55±8.69** | 0.388 | 0.796 |

Data are expressed by mean±SD. The number of subjects is twenty in all defocused group. L-R: Light-Left; T-H: Toe-Heel. *p<0.05, **p<0.01: significantly different from L-R of same ametropia. Differences between myopia and hyperopia are presented as the p-value.

Table 2. Distribution of the sway path tendency according to the side of the dominant eye in myopia and hyperopia induced by (+) spherical lenses

| Dominant eye | Sway path | Myopia | Hyperopia | Difference between myopia and hyperopia |
|--------------|-----------|--------|-----------|---------------------------------------|
| Right        | Toward left | 3 (27.3%) | 1 (9.1%) |                                       |
|              | Toward right | 2 (18.2%) | 2 (18.2%) |                                       |
|              | No tendency | 6 (54.5%) | 8 (72.2%) |                                       |
|              |            | 11 (100%) |           |                                       |
| Left         | Toward left | 2 (22.2%) | 1 (11.1%) |                                       |
|              | Toward right | 2 (22.2%) | 1 (11.1%) |                                       |
|              | No tendency | 5 (55.6%) | 7 (77.8%) |                                       |
|              |            | 9 (100%)  |           |                                       |

Refractive blur may have a greater effect on the visual stimuli that provide information for control of anteroposterior stability than on the stimuli providing information for mediolateral stability. Our results show that the fluctuation of toe/heel synchronization was significantly greater than that of left/right synchronization under both conditions of hyperopia and myopia. However, a difference of fluctuation between hyperopia and myopia was not found in every synchronization of left/right or toe/heel. Whether under hyperopia or myopia, the type of ametropia does not significantly affect synchronization. Gentaz suggested that there is a preferred eye (called the “postural eye”) that allows better postural stability than the non-preferred eye. Additionally, the tendency in SP of left/right followed three patterns: toward the left, toward the right, and no tendency. We investigated the relationship between these tendencies and the side of the dominant eye and our results revealed that more than half of the subjects showed no tendency and that a noticeable relationship was not shown in the rest of the subjects, despite increase in uncorrected refraction power. Consequently, the postural eye may not necessarily be the dominant eye.

In conclusion, we found that uncorrected hyperopia might be the cause of a higher fall risk than uncorrected myopia. In addition, though this study was limited by the age range of the subjects, refractive errors should be appropriately corrected both in children and older patients, who tend to exhibit a high prevalence rate of hyperopia.
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