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Abstract—Within this paper, we will describe a grid concept to realize a universal remote lab infrastructure as well as different operation modes based on this concept. This new infrastructure consists of three parts: an internal serial remote lab bus, a bus protection unit to interface the remote lab bus and to protect it from misuse and damage as well as a protection unit, which protects the physical systems (the electro-mechanical models in our remote lab) against deliberate damage or accidentally wrong control commands and which offers different access and control mechanisms. The interconnection between the Web-control units and the selected physical system during a remote lab work session (experiment) as well as the user management is done by the lab server, which also handles the webcams. The implemented remote lab infrastructure is based on the iLab architecture of the MIT, which allows to interconnect remote labs and to exchange remote lab experiments among different universities worldwide.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Our Integrated Communication Systems Group at the Ilmenau University of Technology has many years of experience in integrated hard- and software systems and over 10 years of experience in dealing with Internet-supported teaching in the field of digital system design ([1] and [2]). We have developed a new teaching concept, called “Living Pictures” [3] that we use in several phases of the learning process. Living Pictures are highly interactive Java applets that can be used for demonstrations as well as for experimental purposes, and also serve as tools in certain steps of the design process of digital systems. To complete the learning outcomes by own experiences, the students have to pass a practical examination in a lab. A task during this examination is to design an algorithm for a digital control system that controls one of various physical systems, for instance an electro-mechanical model of an elevator, a production cell or a positioning table, etc.

For all students, hands-on experiences are important to deepen their knowledge about topics they learned during lectures. At our university we offer a remote laboratory, which gives the students the possibility to work on real world systems without the need to stand in line at a lab or the need to take care of opening hours.

With our remote lab we want to offer the students a working environment that is as close as possible to a real world laboratory. Under real laboratory conditions, disturbances can appear and lead to failures of the control algorithm that cannot be detected under virtual lab conditions. Therefore, it is important to include such real disruptive factors for a closer relation to practical conditions. Furthermore the remote lab should offer the students stimulus with regards to the design of safety critical control systems. By conception of our lab (described in the next section) the virtual worlds were combined with a real laboratory surrounding.

In principle, we would like to concentrate on giving students the chance to check their prepared control algorithms

- via Web-based simulation,
- via Web-based remote control of the existing physical system (that means before the tutorial course),
- in real environmental conditions (which can be interactively influenced by themselves)

and to correct or modify the received results.

Currently, there are no existing common standards for the architecture of remote labs. That is the reason why universities develop their own remote lab solutions – suitable for their specific requirements, for example [4], [5], [6] and [7]. This handicaps a networking of different remote labs among each other and also a usage by different institutions. Missing uniform interfaces prevent the programming of universal plug-ins and other software modules. Most of the existing laboratories can be divided in

- batched remote labs and
- interactive remote labs.

A. Batched Remote Labs

Batched remote labs do not necessary possess a real-time access and are therefore used only if something has to be computed or processed in a “start-stop” manner with no interaction or communication needs between start and stop. In this case no time-slot regulated login procedure is necessary. The individual tasks are stored in a stack, successively processed or computed and the result will be sent back [8]. Nearly any Web-protocol can be used for transmission, since only an exchange of text files takes place. This is not the focus of this article and therefore not discussed. For an example and more details see [9].

B. Interactive Remote Labs

Interactive labs will be used, when

- an experiment requires real-time processing or
• the user wants to observe the whole physical system (the electro-mechanical models) during the experiment or
• some parameters (e.g., input variables to a control system) need to be changed interactively.

An example for an interactive remote lab, supporting all the design steps for complex control tasks, is the REAL system, developed at the Department of Integrated Communication Systems at the Ilmenau University of Technology [10] – see Figure 1. As mentioned in many papers (e.g., [11], [12] and [13]), interactive remote labs can open opportunities which allow an experimental approach for a wider audience and also an independence of opening times of the laboratory room.

Figure 1. Overview of the REAL system

The REAL system is a hybrid interactive remote lab where students can design a control algorithm to control some electro-mechanical models. It offers various features like visualization and animation, which allows to observe and to test all the properties of the design. In connection with formal design techniques, simulation and prototyping are used to establish a foundation for the development of a reliable system design. To check the functionality of the whole design, some special simulation and validation features are included as integral part of the REAL system. This offers various possibilities for the execution of simulations, such as

- usage of simulation models of the physical system for visual prototyping,
- step by step and parallel execution of these prototypes,
- visualization of the simulation process with the tools also used for specification,
- features for test pattern generation and code generation for hardware and software synthesis.

REAL offers a Web-based environment supporting the above mentioned features to generate and execute a design by using simulation models. An example will be given in Section III.A.

As discussed in the beginning, it is furthermore necessary for students to test their design under real environmental conditions in the remote lab. For this purpose, we have developed a Web-interface to implement the control algorithm on the control unit described in the following section. By using this Web-interface (as shown in Figure 6), the student is able to:

- download the synthesized hardware and/or software control algorithms – generated and already validated by the REAL environment – to test his control algorithm on the physical system in the lab room,
- handle the experiment (e.g., start, stop, reset),
- change environmental variables if necessary and watch the experiment by manipulating environmental variables inside an I/O monitor or by observing the control of the physical system directly via a webcam.

At any time the students have the chance to adjust their algorithms in case of faults. Therefore, they are able to achieve a fault free solution (a validated control algorithm) step by step. For more details see [2], [14] and [15].

II. EXTENSIONS OF THE REAL SYSTEM

Our remote lab is used for teaching practical lessons as well as giving hands-on experiences for the development of embedded electronics. This is not done using on-site lessons, but remote via the Internet. This has the advantage that courses can be offered internationally world-wide and gives students from different countries, speaking different languages, the same access and equal possibilities in the lab. Additionally, even for local students the lab offers extended opening hours (twenty-four-seven) when compared to a regular lab. Besides the advantages for students, this also reduces the costs for academic teaching and improves the quality by offering more practical training possibilities.

One implementation problem is to protect the physical systems in the lab against wrong control algorithms of unskilled students without defining too many constraints. Students should be free in their decisions and develop own creative solutions. They can implement their own design strategies. A reference design and a method to check the students’ design against this reference is needed [16] to protect the physical system in the remote lab. The reference design should be independent of the used control unit and the development tools. This is done by the protection unit of the physical system.

This basic idea was the starting point for our extension of the REAL system and the design of a standalone protection unit for each physical system, which will be described in the next section (see Figure 3).

In the classic setup of the REAL system, every physical system in the remote lab is connected to one specific control unit (see Figure 2). The user can connect to the selected control unit (e.g., a microcontroller, Beck IPC, FPGA or a PLC) over the Internet. All functionality, including the reference model checking, has to be executed in the control unit. This imposes some constraints on the students’ work:
the reference design has to be included at design time,
the student is forced to use a certain set of tools and project structure and
the correct use of the reference model in the compiled code has to be checked to prevent any intended or unintended manipulation.

Figure 3. Grid architecture of the remote lab of the new REAL system (server side) with Web-client

In our new approach, we want to eliminate a possible bypass of the protection functionality by taking it away from the control unit completely. This simplifies the development process of the control algorithm at the student side, because no special constraints apply. The student is completely free in using any control unit and development tool he likes [17].

Figure 2. Classic setup of the remote lab

In our new approach, we want to eliminate a possible bypass of the protection functionality by taking it away from the control unit completely. This simplifies the development process of the control algorithm at the student side, because no special constraints apply. The student is completely free in using any control unit and development tool he likes [17].

A. Internal Serial Remote Lab Bus

For a reliable, universal and more flexible usage of our remote lab we installed an internal serial remote lab bus. All Web-control units and all the physical systems are interconnected via this bus. With this bus concept we are able to add additional Web-control units on the one side as well as further physical systems on the other side to build an internal remote lab grid, where any combination of physical hardware and control units can be used for experiments.

B. Bus Protection Unit

The bus protection unit receives commands from a control unit and simply checks them for bus validity. This is done by verifying the transport protocol. The content of the transmitted data and addresses are not checked, because this depends on the physical system used and is therefore done by the specific protection unit of each physical system. The function of the bus protection unit is to prevent a control unit from blocking the bus and causing other experiments to be affected. The bus protection unit is based on the same hardware as the protection units for the physical systems in the remote lab but uses a different population of components to simplify production and maintenance. A secondary task for the bus protection unit is to interface various control units like PLCs to the bus. These units, for example, can only supply simple I/O control which is intended to interface the physical systems directly, therefore no protocol is implemented. The serialization of the I/O signals and the implementation of the protocol are handled by the bus protection unit. The level shifting, in case the control unit is not compliant with the bus voltage, is done by the bus protection unit as well.

In the following, we will describe a grid concept to realize a universal remote lab infrastructure, shown in Figure 3. This new infrastructure consists of three parts:

- an internal serial remote lab bus to interconnect all parts of the remote lab,
- a bus protection unit to interface the control units to the remote lab bus and to protect the bus from blockage, misuse and damage as well as
- a physical system protection unit, which protects the physical systems (the electro-mechanical models in the remote lab) against deliberate damage or accidentally wrong control commands and which offers different access and control mechanisms.

The interconnection between the Web-control units and the selected physical systems during a remote lab work session (experiment) as well as the user management is done by the lab server, which also handles the webcams.

The implemented remote lab infrastructure is based on the iLab architecture of the MIT, which allows to interconnect remote labs and to exchange remote lab experiments among different universities worldwide. Details about this lab server are beyond the scope of this paper. Please refer to [8] for further information.
Furthermore the bus protection unit acts as programmer for the connected control units. It receives the programming files from the Web-client via the lab server.

C. Protection Unit for the Physical Systems

The physical system protection unit is necessary when students execute their algorithms directly on the control unit and want to be free in their choice of design tools. Compared to the approach used so far, there was no possibility outside of the control unit to check, if the executed commands are safe for the physical system. This means, damage could be caused by invalid commands. The task of the protection unit is to check for command safety by filtering all commands. Only commands that will not cause any malfunction are executed (see Figure 4). All others are discarded and optionally reported as an error condition to a learning management system (LMS).

This concept can be used with all Web-control units like microcontroller, FPGA, PLC, etc. Using such a universal protection unit gives the students the largest degree of freedom for their design, because no precautions have to be taken into account. Therefore, no additional security framework (workbench) within the software and hardware control design is required to prevent malfunctions of the physical system. The complete design flow is carried out at the students’ side, giving them a more authentic look at a real world project design flow. The valid behavior defined by the physical system protection unit is compared against the commands received from the control unit to determine any unsafe control commands of a faulty student’s control algorithm. Commands that are valid for the current state of the physical system are passed. Commands that are not valid and could cause a failure or any other unwanted behavior are discarded and optionally reported.

Besides pure Web-based training for students, controlled by the control units, the physical system protection unit also offers the possibilities to be accessed by the teachers over the Internet for initialization of experiments or be accessed by local control. It is also possible to mix signal sources to get certain sensor signals from the physical system and supply others via a Web-interface. This can be used in cases that require a user input at the physical system to test the system behavior (like a key press at an elevator).

D. Additional Features – LMS Interface

Besides the features already mentioned in this article, even more functionality can be added using the new concept of having a Web-based protection unit that checks the user input against a reference model.

The protection unit can be connected to a learning management system like “moodle” to forward any experimental results of the user. For an effective usage of the REAL system within learning management systems, the reference design and a method to check the student’s design against this reference design step by step will be traced by the LMS. Figure 6 shows this idea.

If the student’s design as well as the reference design produces the same output value, the resulting action can be executed by the remote lab. Otherwise, the LMS will be informed and the student gets some hints to correct his design. For a detailed description see [17], [18] and [19]
III. Operation Modes

Based on this flexible remote lab structure we offer different operation modes to test the developed control task for a universal usage of our REAL system. Simulation and visual prototyping help to find functional errors. Before starting practical work on real systems, simulations and animations in “virtual worlds” are often used to verify the developed solutions. The behavior of the physical system that should be controlled, as well as its environment, will be emulated as a simulation model. The student can influence this “virtual world” and analyze the caused reaction of his control algorithm. Figure 8 shows an example of such a simulation model.

These steps have to be executed until no more errors are detected. But there is an essential disadvantage in this method. Real disruptive factors (e.g., failure of single components, mechanical problems or process variations) cannot be recognized by the underlying virtual environmental model. Generally, only a simulation of predetermined malfunctions is possible. After some time, all these effects are well known in the student’s community. Unconsidered sources of errors lead to undetected failures of the control because the corresponding environmental situation was not simulated before [15]. That is why a fault free design algorithm finally should be tested on real physical systems (e.g., the water level control, shown in Figure 8) in the remote laboratory as well.

In the following we will describe possible operation modes of the complete REAL system based on the schematic view in Figure 3. The complete schematic view (client and server side) of the remote lab architecture is shown in Figure 8.
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Via the Web-client (e.g., a Java applet running on the student’s PC at home) the physical system (e.g., the electro-mechanical model of the water level control) can be controlled by using different control units (e.g., microcontroller, FPGA, PLC). By using this Web-interface, the student is able to:

- handle the experiment (e.g., start, stop, reset),
- change environmental variables if necessary and
- watch the experiment by manipulating environmental variables inside an I/O monitor or by observing the control of the physical system directly via a webcam.

Via an optional local control panel the student is able to manipulate the lab environment (e.g., the water level in the tank) or the actuators (e.g., the pump) when working on-site. Heart of this architecture is the physical hardware protection unit, which is described in section II.C in detail.

A. Stand-alone Mode – Visual Prototyping

In case of using finite state machines for specification, based upon an automaton graph, a student can use the JGIFT design environment [20] of the REAL system.

Assuming the student achieved a validated design, he gets the required next state and the output equations. By accessing the Web-browser interface of the REAL system, he is able to enter his algorithm (the received equations), handle the laboratory experiment (e.g., start, stop, reset) and change environmental variables if necessary. The control algorithm is executed by an interpreter, running inside the student’s client PC (e.g., implemented as Java applet). No Internet connectivity to the physical systems in the remote lab is necessary for this mode (see Figure 9).

Figure 7. Simulation model and physical system of a water level control

Figure 8. Schematic view of the remote lab components

Figure 9. Stand-alone mode for visual prototyping

Figure 10 gives an impression of the verification and simulation features of the Web-based environment of the REAL system. The simulation model will be driven directly through the input/output signals by the control
algorithm running on the embedded interpreter within the Java applet.

**C. Remote Control Mode – via Control Unit**

This mode can be used to realize software or hardware oriented control tasks. Students can implement their control algorithm directly into a microcontroller for a software-oriented implementation. Therefore, they use common (non-commercial) development tools, for example MPLAB IDE and/or C18 C-compiler by Microchip [21], or AVR Studio by Atmel [22], to develop Assembler- and/or C-coded software projects. After compilation, the generated software control algorithm is transferred via REAL Web-interface to the remote lab, where the hex code is programmed into the microcontroller (see Figure 13). Now, the student can begin with his experiment to check, if his algorithm fulfills the requirements of the given control task.

If a student prefers an exclusive hardware-oriented design using an FPGA and applying a hardware description language like VHDL as specification technique, he can prepare his design with common development tools, for example ISE, Quartus II, Diamond or others. The generated bit file is uploaded via the REAL Web-interface to the remote lab, where the FPGA will be programmed (see Figure 13). After programming the connected FPGA, the FPGA board operates as control unit for the designed control algorithm, and the student can start his experiment.

**D. Virtual Control Mode – Visual Prototyping**

This mode is comparable to the operation mode A (for visual prototyping). In this case, the simulation model is not connected to the interpreter, running inside the client. The visual model is connected via the Internet to the real control units which are running inside the remote lab. In this case, the student can test his prepared software or hardware oriented design on the corresponding control unit (microcontroller or FPGA) without the need for a real physical system – before he will use operation mode C (remote control mode – via control unit) to test his design task on the physical system in the remote lab.
E. Virtual Control Mode – Test Mode

This operation mode (see Figure 15) is mainly for debugging, testing and the maintaining of the protection unit.

By using this operation mode, it is possible to check the implemented reference design (as seen in Figure 4) in the physical system protection unit without the need to use a control unit or a physical system. This will be done by transmitting input and output pattern from the Web-client to the protection unit and by analyzing its response.

Because this mode is not preferential to execute lab experiments, it is not explained in detail.

![Figure 15. Virtual control mode to test the protection unit](image)

F. Local Control Mode – via a Control Unit

Besides the possibility to work in the lab remotely, the following two operation modes explain the usage of the REAL system for on-site experiments or demonstrations.

During an on-site lab experiment students can observe the whole hardware setup as well as all the physical system and environmental variables directly in the lab room. In this case they can check their control task (running on a connected control unit). They have access to the whole lab setup via a connected control panel. Figure 16 illustrates this operation mode.

Besides for student experiments, this mode can also be used to demonstrate the remote lab on guided tours during open house presentations to inspire new students to study engineering courses.

![Figure 16. Local control mode via a control unit](image)

G. Local Control Mode – manually

This operation mode (see Figure 17) can be used for demonstrations and maintenance of the connected physical system. By activating the actuators (e.g., a water pump) manually without any control algorithm the sensor signals can be observed via the connected control panel. This operation mode is not preferential to execute a lab experiment.

![Figure 17. Manually local control](image)

IV. CONCLUSION

We discussed a new grid concept for our remote lab, which mainly consists of an internal remote lab bus, a bus protection unit and a protection unit which protects the physical systems against deliberate damage or accidentally wrong control commands. These extensions offer possibilities for a flexible, scalable and robust usage of remote labs, giving the students a high degree of freedom for doing practical work inside the lab. Limitations and constraints like restricted opening hours, preselected design tools and/or workbenches as well as language limitations for international students) are reduced significantly by the new grid architecture.

This flexible grid-based structure of our remote lab offers a number of different operation modes for a universal usage within our REAL system. Besides simulation-based experiments also on-side and off-side experiments with real physical systems can be offered for students.

A connection to a learning management system is also possible. This can give feed-back to the students for every experimental result taken by their implemented control algorithms.

Our Integrated Communication Systems Group at the Ilmenau University of Technology is involved in different national and international e-Learning projects [23], [24] in which it is increasingly necessary to allow and organize a shared use of equipment. That is why, the main focus of the REAL system is

- a Web-wide usage of different design tools and control units to control different physical systems in the lab room,
- a robust, fault-protected access to any connected physical system and
- an LMS-coupling for all control units and physical systems used in the remote lab.

All these requirements can be fulfilled using the concept and the new components presented in this paper.
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