Induced chiral Dirac fermions in graphene by a periodically modulated magnetic field
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The effect of a modulated magnetic field on the electronic structure of neutral graphene is examined in this paper. It is found that application of a small staggered modulated magnetic field does not destroy the Dirac-cone structure of graphene and so preserves its 4-fold zero-energy degeneracy. The original Dirac points (DPs) are just shifted to other positions in $k$ space. By varying the staggered field gradually, new DPs with exactly the same electron-hole crossing energy as that of the original DPs, are generated, and both the new and original DPs are moving continuously. Once two DPs are shifted to the same position, they annihilate each other and vanish. The process of generation and evolution of these DPs with the staggered field is found to have a very interesting pattern, which is examined carefully. Generally, there exists a corresponding branch of anisotropic massless fermions for each pair of DPs, resulting in that each Landau level (LL) is still 4-fold degenerate except the zeroth LL which has a robust 4$n_t$-fold degeneracy with $n_t$ the number of pairs of DPs. As a result, the Hall conductivity $\sigma_{xy}$ shows a step of size $4n_t e^2/h$ across zero energy.

PACS numbers: 73.43.Cd, 73.22.Pr, 73.61.Wp

Low energy physics of neutral graphene is characterized by the two inequivalent Dirac cones which is related by the time-reversal symmetry and described by the relativistic massless Dirac equation. Nearly all important properties of neutral graphene is governed by the chiral massless fermions around the two cones. For example, the zero-energy anomaly due to the linear energy dispersion and the particle-hole symmetry of the Dirac cones give rise to the anomalous quantum Hall effect (QHE) or the so-called half-integer QHE, where the Hall conductivity is quantized to be half-integer multiples of $4e^2/h$. When the Dirac-cone topology is destroyed or replaced by other structures, the system will undergo quantum phase transitions. In bilayer graphene, each Dirac cone is replaced by two touching parabolic bands, which leads to the 8-fold degeneracy of zero-energy level, giving rise to the quantized Hall conductivity in bilayer graphene taken on values of integer multiples of $4e^2/h$.

Modulation of electronic structure in graphene has already been experimentally realized, where periodic electronic potentials or magnetic potentials can be applied to graphene by making use of substrate-controlled adatom deposition or by fabrication of periodic patterned gate electrodes. This kind of graphene superlattice potential can change the Dirac-cone structure of graphene dramatically, which may lead to some new phenomena, as well as potential application of graphene materials.

In this paper, we present a study on the electronic structure of monolayer neutral graphene and its unusual integer QHE under the influence of a periodically modulated orbital magnetic field, which is schematically shown in Fig.1. This kind of one-dimensional modulation of magnetic field can be achieved in experiments by applying an array of ferromagnetic stripes with alternating magnetization on the top of a graphene layer, or by making use of cold atoms in a honeycomb optical lattice or “artificial graphene” realized in a nanopatterned two-dimensional electron gas. Our analysis shows that generally the Dirac-cone structure can not be smeared out by this time-reversal invariant magnetic field. Similar to the cases of periodic electronic potential, new DPs will be generated with varying the amplitude of the field. What’s remarkable and different is that the newly generated DPs together with the original DPs will move and evolve in $k$ space with the field. This leads to a series of quantum phase transitions with each phase characterized by its unusual integer QHE, which is expected to be observed by Hall measurements.

We start with the tight-binding model on a honeycomb lattice in the presence of a perpendicular, periodically modulated orbital magnetic field. The Hamiltonian is given by:

$$H = -t \sum_{<ij>} e^{i\phi_{ij}} c_i^\dagger c_j + \text{h.c.,}$$

where $c_i^\dagger$ ($c_i$) is an electron creation (annihilation) operator on site $i$, and $<ij>$ denotes nearest-neighbor pairs of sites. Here the spin index is suppressed since we do not consider the Zeeman splitting. The magnetic flux per hexagon (the summation of $a_{ij}$ along the six bonds around a hexagon) is given by $\sum a_{ij} = \phi + \delta$, where $\phi$ measures the uniform magnetic flux whereas $\delta$ the staggered modulated flux, both of which are in units of $\phi_0/2\pi$ with $\phi_0$ the flux quantum. Hereafter energy is measured in unit of the nearest-neighbor hopping integral $t$.

To begin with, let us consider the effect of the two simplest types of staggered magnetic fields (SMFs), in order to extract the main physics behind graphene under the influence of a modulated orbital magnetic field. The
the two DPs move against each other along the binding Hamiltonian in \( H \), where \( \gamma_1 \) and \( \gamma_2 \) represent the inequivalent atoms in a unit cell. Each arrow represents a phase shift suffered by electrons when hopping along the direction, which is \( \delta/4 \) in case (a), and \( \delta/2 \) in case (b). (c) represents a long-period staggered flux applied to graphene with lattice period \( 3a_0 \), where \( a_0 \) is the lattice constant. (d) is a corresponding experimental layout in which there is an array of ferromagnetic stripes with alternative magnetization on the top of a graphene layer.

FIG. 1: (Color online) Illustration of the rectangular sample of graphene under periodically modulated magnetic fields. (a) and (b) represent two simplest SMFs, where each white and yellow (grey) hexagon has a flux \( \delta \) and \(-\delta\), respectively. The numbers 1, \( \cdots \), 4 represent the inequivalent atoms in a unit cell. Each arrow represents a phase shift suffered by electrons when hopping along the direction, which is \( \delta/4 \) in case (a), and \( \delta/2 \) in case (b). (c) represents a long-period staggered flux applied to graphene with lattice period \( 3a_0 \), where \( a_0 \) is the lattice constant. (d) is a corresponding experimental layout in which there is an array of ferromagnetic stripes with alternative magnetization on the top of a graphene layer.

configurations of the two types are schematically shown in Fig. 1(a) and (b), respectively, where proper gauge has been chosen for each case.

We first show the evolution of DPs from an analytical calculation for SMF-I shown in Fig. 1(a). The tight-binding Hamiltonian in \( k \)-space can be written as

\[
\mathcal{H} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & \gamma_k & 0 & \eta_k \\
\gamma_k & 0 & \eta_k & 0 \\
0 & \eta_k & 0 & \gamma_{-k} \\
\eta_k & 0 & \gamma_{-k} & 0 
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(2)

where \( \gamma_k = -2te^{-i\frac{k_y}{2}} \cos(\frac{\sqrt{3}k_y}{2} + \frac{\delta}{4}) \) and \( \eta_k = -te^{ik_x} \). The Hamiltonian \( \mathcal{H} \) determines the energy spectrum of electrons in graphene under SMF-I. The system has a periodicity of \( 2\pi \) as a function of \( \delta \) due to gauge invariance, so we restrict \( \delta \) to range from 0 to \( 2\pi \). The solution to the DPs can be easily obtained: the original DPs located at \( k_x = 0, \cos(\sqrt{3}k_y) = 1/2 - \cos \frac{\delta}{2} \), for \( 0 < \delta < 4\pi/3 \), and the newly generated DPs located at \( k_x = \pm\pi/3, \cos(\sqrt{3}k_y) = -\frac{1}{3} - \cos \frac{\delta}{3} \), for \( 2\pi/3 < \delta < 2\pi \).

An overall picture of the evolution of DPs in magnetic Brillouin zone(MBZ) under SMF-I is shown in Fig. 2. When \( \delta = 0 \), the original pair of DPs (red filled circles) are located at \( (0, \pm2\pi/3, \sqrt{3}k_y) \) [Fig. 2(a)]. As \( \delta \) increases, the two DPs move against each other along the \( k_y \) direction, and eventually they reach the center of MBZ at \( \delta = \pm\pi/3 \) [Fig. 2(c)] and \( \delta = 2\pi/3 \) [Fig. 2(f)] and thereafter disappear [Fig. 2(g)].

FIG. 2: (Color online) Schematic evolution of DPs in MBZ with increasing staggered flux \( \delta \) under SMF-I. *•* and *◦* represent the original DPs in pristine graphene and the induced (additional) DPs respectively, while the arrows represent their moving directions. The black circles denote isotropic Dirac cones whereas the green (grey) ellipses denote anisotropic Dirac cones. The coordinates of the four corners of MBZ are \( (\pm\pi/3, \pm\pi/3) \).

It is shown in Fig. 2 that DPs not only annihilate in pairs but also emerge in pairs. This is interpreted by the fact that the two DPs in each pair are connected to each other by the time reversal symmetry which is still preserved by the SMF. Now we lay our numerical results to support these findings. We show for different \( \delta \) the energy dispersion near zero energy along the two lines \( k_x = 0 \) [Fig. 2(a)-(c)] and \( k_x = \pm\pi/3 \) [Fig. 2(j)] where DPs reside. Note that \( \delta = 2\pi/3 \) and \( 4\pi/3 \) are two critical values at which the pair of induced DPs emerge and the original DPs completely superpose each other, respectively. Apart from the two critical values, as \( \delta \) increases from 0 to \( 2\pi \), the number of DPs changes from one pair to two pairs and then back to one pair. This interesting evolution of DPs will dramatically affect...
the degeneracy of the LLs which can be reflected by the Hall conductivity.

The Hall conductivity can be calculated directly through the standard Kubo formula by numerical diagonalization of the Hamiltonian (1). In Fig. 3(k), the resulting Hall conductivity $\sigma_{xy}$ near zero energy is plotted as a function of the Fermi energy $E_F$. According to the Hall plateau steps in $\sigma_{xy}$, the system can be classified into three types. For $0 < \delta < 2\pi/3$ or $4\pi/3 < \delta < 2\pi$, with spin degeneracy taken into account, $\sigma_{xy}$ has a step of size $4e^2/h$, which is the same as that of pristine graphene, shown in Fig. 3(k) for $\delta = 0.4\pi$. For $2\pi/3 < \delta < 4\pi/3$, $\sigma_{xy}$ has a step of size $8e^2/h$ across zero energy (neutal filling) whereas a step of size $4e^2/h$ in the other energy range, which can be seen in Fig. 3(k) for $\delta = 0.8\pi$. Remarkably, right at $\delta = \pi$, numerical results of Hall conductivity show that all the steps have the same size of $8e^2/h$. We interpret these phenomena as follows.

The isotropic Dirac cones become anisotropic under the influence of a modulated magnetic field (see Fig. 2). The chiral fermions around an anisotropic Dirac cone can be physically described by the anisotropic pseudospin Hamiltonian,

$$\mathcal{H} = v_F \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \hat{p}_- \\ \hat{p}_+ & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\hat{p}_\pm = a\hat{p}_x \pm ib\hat{p}_y$, $v_F = 3ta_0/2h$ is the Fermi velocity, and the two dimensionless coefficients $a$ and $b$ measure the degree of anisotropy of the cone. In the presence of a uniform magnetic field $B$ this anisotropy gives rise to a renormalized LLs $E_n = \pm \hbar v_F \sqrt{n}/|B|$ with $\beta = \sqrt{ab}$ a dimensionless renormalization factor, and $l_B = \sqrt{\hbar/eB}$ the magnetic length. With spin degeneracy taken into account, it is found that for $0 < \delta < 4\pi/3$, the 4-fold degenerate LL spectrum near the original Dirac cones have a $\beta$ value given by $\beta = \beta(\delta) = \left( \frac{2}{\sqrt{2} \left( 1 + \cos(\delta/2) \right)^{1/2} - 1 + \cos(\delta/2) \right) \right)^{1/2}$ (note when $\delta = 0$, $\beta = 1$), while for $2\pi/3 < \delta < 2\pi$, the 4-fold degenerate LL spectrum near the induced Dirac cones have another different $\beta$ value given by $\beta' = \beta(2\pi - \delta)$. For a general $\delta$ between $2\pi/3$ and $4\pi/3$, the LLs for the two branches are not degenerate except the zeroth LL, which is exactly 8-fold degenerate. The zeroth LL is independent of the external uniform magnetic field so its 8-fold degeneracy cannot be removed, leading to a $8e^2/h$ Hall conductivity step at the zeroth LL and a $4e^2/h$ step at other LLs. However, when $\delta = \pi$, the two factors are equal to each other, i.e., $\beta = \beta'$, all LLs for these cones (which are isotropic now) overlap and so are exactly 8-fold degenerate. Therefore at $\delta = \pi$, the Hall conductivity can be expressed as $\sigma_{xy} = 8(N + 1/2)e^2/h$, with $N$ LL index. We remark that actually, within the range of $\delta$ where the two pairs of Dirac cones coexist, there should exist a series of critical values of $\delta$ given by $\beta^2/\beta'^2 = p/q$, where $p$ and $q$ are two coprime integers. At these critical values, besides the zeroth LL, the $m$th LL (with $m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$) in the original pair of cones are exactly degenerate with the $m$th LL in the induced pair of cones, giving rise to a $8e^2/h$ Hall conductivity step at these energies. Another critical value of $\delta$ is at $\delta = 4\pi/3$, where the zero point at $k_x = k_y = 0$ is not a DP, but rather a semi-DP. Around the semi-DP, energy dispersion is found to be linear along $k_x$, but parabolic along $k_y$. This peculiar feature can be compared with the electronic structure of VO$_2$-TiO$_2$ nanoheterostructures.

Now we turn to explore the second type of the SMF shown in Fig. 1(b). Fig. 4 shows the schematic picture

![Figure 4](image-url)
After that the original DPs go on moving along the boundary of MBZ, (c)-(h) the same as (a)-(d), but as a function of $k_x$ with $k_y = \pm \pi/6\sqrt{3}$, instead. (i) Hall conductivity $\sigma_{xy}$ under SMF-II with $\delta = 2\pi/768$ for several values of $\delta$.

![Graph showing Hall conductivity vs. E/t](image)

**FIG. 5.** (Color online) (a)-(d) Electron energy near the DPs under SMF-II, as a function of $k_x$ with $k_y = 0$ for various values of staggered flux $\delta$. The dashed lines represent the evolution of DPs in the corresponding MBZ. Like that of SMF-I, the beginning of varying $\delta$, the two DPs of pristine graphene are located at $(0, \pm \pi/3\sqrt{3})$, and then they move towards the origin along $k_y$ direction. When $\delta = \pi/2$, each DP of the pair changes into three DPs at $k=(0, \pm \pi/6\sqrt{3})$ [Fig. 5(b)], but they completely superpose each other and can not be distinguished there. After that the original DPs go on moving along $k_y$ direction and eventually arrive at the origin at $\delta = 2\pi/3$ and then vanish, whereas the other two pairs of induced DPs move along the $k_y = \pm \pi/6\sqrt{3}$ direction until $\delta = \pi$ they reach the points $(\pm \pi/6, \pm \pi/6\sqrt{3})$ [Fig. 5(f)], and then backtrack. The evolution of DPs from $\delta = \pi$ to $2\pi$ is just the reverse of the above process.

Compared with the SMF-I, in a period of $\delta$, there are four critical values ($\delta = \pi/2, 2\pi/3, 4\pi/3, 3\pi/2$), at which the DPs emerge or vanish. All the induced DPs under SMF-I move parallel to the $k_y$ axis while that under SMF-II move parallel to the $k_x$ axis. This should be associated with the configuration of the SMF, where the induced DPs incline to move towards the periodic direction of the SMF.

The electronic energy spectrum near the zero energy are shown in Fig. 5(a)-(h). What is significant is that as $\delta$ increases from 0 to $\pi$, the number of DPs changes from one pair to three pairs and then to two pairs. So, the DPs indeed emerge and annihilate in pairs. In Fig. 5(i), the Hall conductivity $\sigma_{xy}$ is plotted as a function of the Fermi energy $E_F$. For $0 < \delta < \pi/2$ or $3\pi/2 < \delta < 2\pi$, the Hall conductivity can be expressed as $\sigma_{xy} = 4(N + 1/2)e^2/h$, which is the same as that of pristine graphene. This means that the Dirac-cone topology is preserved within this range without new induced DPs. For $\pi/2 < \delta < 2\pi/3$ or $4\pi/3 < \delta < 3\pi/2$, $\sigma_{xy}$ has a $12e^2/h$ step across the zeroth LL and $4e^2/h$ or $8e^2/h$ step at the other LLs, implying the zeroth LL is 12-fold degenerate. Interestingly, for $2\pi/3 < \delta < 4\pi/3$, all LLs are 8-fold degenerate and the Hall conductivity can be expressed as $\sigma_{xy} = 8(N + 1/2)e^2/h$. This expression with quantized values of half-integer multiples of $8e^2/h$ is robust and is interpreted by the fact that the original pair of DPs has disappeared and the induced two pairs of DPs are located symmetrically in MBZ giving rise to exact 8-fold degeneracy of their corresponding LLs.

Thus far we have discussed two simplest SMFs where the magnetic flux alternates along the armchair chains or zigzag chains, respectively. Now we generalize our theory to the cases of SMF with long spatial period, shown in Fig. 6(c). Taking SMF-I for example, we make the magnetic flux alternate every $L_x$ zigzag chains. Numerical analysis shows that, as $\delta$ increases from 0 to $2\pi/L_x$, the number of pairs of original DPs $n_o$ (at $k_x = 0$) de-

| SMF $\delta$ | $L_x$ | $n_o$($\sigma_{xy}$)(pairs) | $n_i$($\sigma_{xy}$)(pairs) | $n_v$($\sigma_{xy}$)(pairs) |
|-------------|------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| $0 < \delta < \delta_c$ | $L_x$ | $n_o$ | $n_i$ | $n_v$ |
| 2 | 1 | 0.2 | 1.3 | |
| 3 | 1 | 0.23 | 1.34 | |
| 4 | 1 | 0.24 | 1.35 | |
| 5 | 1 | 0.245 | 1.356 | |
| 6 | 1 | 0.246 | 1.357 | |
| 7 | 1 | 0.2467 | 1.357 | |
| 8 | 1 | 0.2468 | 1.357 | |
| 9 | 1 | 0.24689 | 1.3579 | |
| 10 | 1 | 0.246810 | 1.3579 | |
| $L^c$ | 1 | 0.2, 2, ..., $L$ | 1, 3, ..., $L$ + 1 | |

$\delta_c < \delta < 2\pi/L$:

| $\delta_c < \delta < 2\pi/L$ | $L_x$ | $n_o$ | $n_i$ | $n_v$ |
|-----------------------------|------|------|------|------|
| 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | |
| 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | |
| 4 | 0 | 4 | 4 | |
| 5 | 0 | 5 | 5 | |
| 6 | 0 | 6 | 6 | |
| 7 | 0 | 7 | 7 | |
| 8 | 0 | 8 | 8 | |
| 9 | 0 | 9 | 9 | |
| 10 | 0 | 10 | 10 | |
| $L$ | 0 | $L$ | | |
increases from one to zero, while that of the induced DPs $n_i$ (exactly located at the boundary of MBZ $k_x = \pm \pi/3L_x$) increases from zero to $L_x$ gradually obeying a sequence of 0, 2, 4, \ldots, $L_x$. Accordingly, the total number of pairs of DPs is $n_t = 1, 3, 5, \ldots, L_x + 1, L_x$. For the detail, see Table I. In Fig. 6 we take $L_x = 6$ for example. When $0 < \delta < 2\pi/L_x$, the number of pairs of the induced DPs shows a sequence of $n_i = 0, 2, 4, 6$ [Fig. 6(c)-(h)], while that of the original pair is always $n_o = 1$ or 0 [Fig. 6(a)-(d)]. Once again, the DPs emerge and annihilate in pairs.

In summary, we have investigated the electronic structure in neutral graphene under periodically modulated magnetic fields. It is found that the modulated magnetic field can induce additional DPs in graphene and the evolution of these DPs can be manipulated by the magnitude and period of the field. These induced DPs add additional degeneracy to the LLs, especially a $4n_t$-fold degeneracy at the zeroth LL, leading to an unusual integer QHE near neutral filling. These phenomena are expected to be observed by Hall measurements.
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Here we only consider the weak magnetic flux case $\delta < 2\pi/L_x$ for two reasons. One is the weak magnetic flux can be easily achieved in experiment; the other is that for strong magnetic flux, it is hard to find a good rule to describe the evolution of DPs.

The Hall plateaus are a little different from that discussed in Ref.[21]. In particular, when $L_x$ is an even number, there is a $4L_x e^2/h$ Hall conductivity step.