Hotspot in ferruginous rock may have serious implications in Brazilian conservation policy
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A hotspot of subterranean Collembola in ferruginous rock caves and Mesovoid Shallow Substratum is revealed by the analysis of pseudocryptic diversity. The diversity is accessed by detailed description of chaetotaxy and slight variation in morphology of 11 new species of *Trogolaphysa* Mills, 1938 (Collembola, Paronellidae, Paronellinae) and the 50 previously recorded species of springtails from caves, using optical and electronic microscopy. When combined with recent subterranean surveys, our results show an important reservoir of cave diversity in the Mesovoid Shallow Substratum.

Contrastingly the conservation policy for subterranean fauna in metallogenic areas in Brazil prioritizes the caves instead the cave species, which may be extremely detrimental to the fauna in the shallow subterranean habitats not accessible to humans.

Some areas are subject of intense fauna diversification, the term “hotspot” is used to indicate relatively small areas with high and exclusive diversity, though there are different interpretations about what is the threshold which defines such an area. Hotspots may be defined by combining the richness, endemism, extension, and threats to the area in focus1, however some approaches to subterranean fauna use an arbitrary cutoff of 20 restricted endemic species with no regards to environmental threats2. More recently two South American subterranean hotspots were defined based in the richness of restricted endemic fauna, and fully addressed the conservation aspects of the surroundings of the cave systems3.

The species diversity of cave restricted fauna, with limited subterranean distribution and some degree of troglomorphism, known as troglobites4, is positively correlated to the extension of the cave and the presence of perennial pools, and sometimes negatively correlated to the presence of streams, which can cause disturbance in the habitats and import a more diverse troglophilic fauna5. Therefore, the more diverse troglobitic fauna is supposed to be found in larger caves, which are often formed in limestone rock, this is corroborated by the cave fauna hotspots found in limestone cave systems in Southeastern and Northeastern Brazil3,6.

However, there are different shallow subterranean habitats (SSH), which are spaces that extend through and across the soil and weathered rock matrix7. The mesovoid shallow substratum (MSS)7–9 seems to be the SSH that best fits the characteristics observed in ferruginous subterranean habitats, even though it differs from the exact original definition for MSS8. These underground spaces connect, and somehow extends the cave habitat far beyond the human reach and might as well be a climate refuge to epigeic fauna10,11.

The Brazilian States of Minas Gerais (Southeast) and Para (North) represent the more important metallogenic areas in the country and concentrate the mining activities and commodities production. The iron ore lithology presents a profusion of small and shallow caves, subterranean spaces and crevices that functions as MSS7, providing habitat for a variety of species, including troglobites5,14. Previous studies found higher average relative richness, and distinctiveness in ferruginous rock12, than in other lithologies.

Unpublished data from caves and MSS in ferruginous rock, brings 87 morphotypes of Collembola with some degree of troglomorphism, most of them potential new species, from Minas Gerais (73) and Para (14). A total of 38 species have been described so far (32 from Minas Gerais, six from Para), including 22 troglobites (Table 1).

Recent studies in Brazil have surveyed hundreds of cave species, from sponges to vertebrates, more than 250 already described3,14–17, great part of it focused on large caves in karstic lithology5,18,19.
| Species | Ecological status* | Lithology | State |
|---------|-------------------|-----------|-------|
| Acherontides eleonorea Palacios-Vargas & Gnaspini-Netto, 1992 | Troglobite | Li¹ | SP |
| Acherontides serrasapoensis Lima, Stievano & Zeppelini, 2019 | Troglophile | Ir¹,2,3 | MG |
| Arrhopalites mendoceae Brito, Lima & Zeppelini, 2019 | Troglobite | Ir, Li¹ | MG |
| Arrhopalites alambariensis Zeppelini, 2006 | Troglobite | Li¹ | SP |
| Arrhopalites amorimi Palacios-Vargas & Zeppelini, 1995a | Troglobite | Li¹ | SP |
| Arrhopalites bovveraeensis Zeppelini, 2006 | Troglobite | Li¹ | SC |
| Arrhopalites glabrofasciatus Zeppelini, Brito & Lima, 2018 | Troglobite | Ir, Li¹ | MG |
| Arrhopalites gnaspinii Palacios-Vargas & Zeppelini, 1995a | Troglobite | Li¹ | SP |
| Arrhopalites heteroculatus Zeppelini, 2006 | Troglobite | Li¹ | SP |
| Arrhopalites lawrencei Palacios-Vargas & Zeppelini, 1995a | Troglobite | Li¹ | SP |
| Arrhopalites paraanaense Zeppelini, 2006 | Troglobite | Li¹ | PR |
| Coecobrya phoenix Brito, Lima & Zeppelini, 2019 | Troglophile | Ir¹,2,3 | MG |
| Cyphoderus caetetus Zeppelini & Oliveira, 2016 | Troglophile | Ir¹,2,3 | MG |
| Cyphoderus macrornimus Oliveira, Alves & Zeppelini, 2017 | Troglophile | Ir¹ | PA |
| Cyphoderus mucrostrimenus Oliveira, Alves & Zeppelini, 2017 | Troglophile | Ir¹,2,3 | PA |
| Cyphoderus palacini Oliveira, Brito & Zeppelini, 2021 | Troglophile | Ir¹,2,3 | MG |
| Cyphoderus pataxu Oliveira, Brito & Zeppelini, 2021 | Troglophile | Ir¹,2,3 | MG |
| Pararrhopalites papaveri (Zeppelini & Palacios-Vargas, 1999) | Troglobite | Li¹ | MS |
| Pararrhopalites queirozi Brito, Lima & Zeppelini, 2019 | Troglobite | Li¹,2 | MG |
| Pararrhopalites sideroicus Zeppelini & Brito, 2014 | Troglobite | Ir¹ | MG |
| Pararrhopalites ubiquuos Zeppelini, Lima & Brito, 2018 | Troglobite | Ir¹ | MG |
| Pararrhopalites wallaci (Palacios-Vargas & Zeppelini, 1995a) | Troglobite | Li¹ | SP |
| Pseudosinella acantholabrata Cipola, 2020 | Troglophile | Ir¹,2,3 | MG |
| Pseudosinella alphanjeunguiculata Bellini, Cipola & Souza, 2020 | Troglobite | Ir¹ | MG |
| Pseudosinella ambigua Zeppelini, Brito & Lima, 2018 | Troglobite | Li¹ | MG |
| Pseudosinella aphelabiata Bellini, Cipola & Souza, 2020 | Troglobite | Ir¹ | MG |
| Pseudosinella brumadinhoensis Cipola, 2020 | Troglobite | Ir¹ | MG |
| Pseudosinella chimerambigua Oliveira, Lima & Cipola, 2020 | Troglobite | Ir¹,2,3 | MG |
| Pseudosinella diamantinensis Bellini, Cipola & Souza, 2020 | Troglobite | Ir¹ | MG |
| Pseudosinella guanhaensis Zeppelini, Brito & Lima, 2018 | Troglobite | Ge¹ | MG |
| Pseudosinella keni Cipola, 2020 | Troglobite | Ir¹ | MG |
| Pseudosinella labiociliata Cipola, 2020 | Troglobite | Ir¹ | MG |
| Pseudosinella labruspinata Cipola, 2020 | Troglobite | Ir¹ | MG |
| Pseudosinella macrorelicpectula Oliveira, Lima & Cipola, 2020 | Troglophile | Ir¹,2,3 | MG |
| Pseudosinella mariastenensis Bellini, Cipola & Souza, 2020 | Troglophile | Ir¹,2,3 | MG |
| Pseudosinella mitsokotongulata Bellini, Cipola & Souza, 2020 | Troglobite | Ir¹ | MG |
| Pseudosinella neriace Bellini, Cipola & Souza, 2020 | Troglobite | Ir¹ | MG |
| Pseudosinella paraensis Cipola, 2020 | Troglobite | Ir¹ | PA |
| Pseudosinella paracordigulata Oliveira, Lima & Cipola, 2020 | Troglophile | Ir¹,2,3 | MG |
| Pseudosinella phyllanguisculata Oliveira, Lima & Cipola, 2020 | Troglobite | Ir¹ | MG |
| Pseudosinella prelabruscervata Oliveira, Lima & Cipola, 2020 | Troglobite | Ir¹ | MG |
| Pseudosinella pusilla Oliveira, Brito & Cipola, 2020 | Troglobite | Ir¹ | PA |
| Pseudosinella serpentinensis Cipola, 2020 | Troglobite | Ir¹ | MG |
| Pseudosinella spinioligulata Bellini, Cipola & Souza, 2020 | Troglophile | Ir¹,2,3 | MG |
| Pseudosinella taurena Cipola, 2020 | Troglobite | Ir¹ | PA |
| Pseudosinella unimacrochaetosa Cipola, 2020 | Troglophile | Ir¹,2,3 | MG |
| Troglobius brasiliensis Palacios-Vargas & Zeppelini, 1995a | Troglobite | Sn¹ | PA |
| Troglobius ferroicus Zeppelini, Silva & Palacios-Vargas, 2014 | Troglobite | Ir¹ | MG |
| Trogloaphysa aelleni Yoshii, 1988 | Troglobite | Li¹ | SP |
| Trogloaphysa barroca sp. nov | Troglobite | Ir¹ | MG |
| Trogloaphysa bellini sp. nov | Troglobite | Ir¹ | MG |
| Trogloaphysa chapellensi sp. nov | Troglobite | Ir¹ | MG |
| Trogloaphysa crystallensis sp. nov | Troglobite | Ir¹ | MG |
| Trogloaphysa dandarae sp. nov | Troglobite | Ir¹ | PA |
| Trogloaphysa epticycha sp. nov | Troglobite | Ir¹ | MG |
| Trogloaphysa goberiae sp. nov | Troglobite | Ir¹ | PA |
| Continued | | | |
Here we present a group of 50 known species of Collembola found in Brazilian caves in differing lithologies and add 11 new species of the genus *Trogolaphysa* Mills, 1938 with some degree of troglomorphism, from caves and MSS in ferruginous and limestone rock.

The genus *Trogolaphysa* has 69 described species worldwide, only eight have been recorded from Brazil so far: T. *elleni* Yoshi, 1988; T. *ernesti* Cipola & Bellini, 2017; T. *formosensis* Silva & Bellini, 2015; T. *hauseri* Yoshi, 1988; T. *hirutes* (Handschin, 1924), T. *millsi* Arlè, 1939; T. *pinicurcaena*is Nunes & Bellini, 2018; and T. *tijucana* (Arlé & Guimarães, 1979). Our results depict an important hotspot for cave Collembola in the State of Minas Gerais, also corroborate the expected high species richness in ferruginous rock caves and MSS and shed some light to the impact of the MSS in the conservation policy as a refuge for subterranean diversity.

### Results

This study presents 11 new species of cave *Trogolaphysa* (Table 1), two new species from Para, eight from Minas Gerais from caves in metallogenic rock, and one new species from Sao Paulo, found in a limestone cave. Species were collected directly from organic debris in caves, the MSS was accessed through samplings in drilling holes. These results represent an increase of 25% in the previous 32 species of cave Collembola described for the State of Minas Gerais. Data from Para are still scarce, with only five previous records from iron rock caves and one from sandstone cave (Table 1). The species from Sao Paulo is from a different lithology, a much larger cave with narrower connections to the MSS. It represents a new record to add to the 17 known cave springtails from limestone caves in Brazil (Table 1).

There are 50 valid species of cave Collembola previously recorded from Brazil, 38 troglobites and 12 troglophiles. For limestone caves, there are 17 species from five different States at South and Southeastern Regions, all troglobites. There is a troglobitic species from sandstone cave in the State of Para at Northern Region, and one troglobite from granitic caves in Minas Gerais. All the 34 remaining records are from ferruginous rock caves and MSS, 29 from the Southeastern State of Minas Gerais (19 troglobites and 10 troglophiles), and five from Para (three troglobites and two troglophiles).

With the results presented here the total number of cave Collembola recorded for Brazil rises to 61 species, with 18 species from limestone caves, one species from sandstone cave, one from granitic cave, and iron caves and MSS with 44 known subterranean species. The State of Minas Gerais present the highest richness for cave Collembola in Brazil, with five species from limestone and one from granitic caves, and 37 records of species endemic to ferruginous rock shallow caves and MSS in Minas Gerais, this has important implications for the conservation areas policy in Brazil, which may apply to other ferruginous rock subterranean environments in tropical areas in the world.

### Discussion

**Ferruginous mesovoid shallow substratum.** The iron ore deposits in Brazil present a semi continuous covering layer of fragmented hematite and lesser components cemented by limonite, called *Canga*. It is formed by weathering and lixiviation, and produce a labyrinthic complex of subterranean spaces, crevices, and tiny underground connections, depicting a habitat that is analogous to the MSS.

In temperate zones the MSS plays a role as refuge for arthropod fauna, mainly at high altitudes where the cold weather can eliminate all the ectothermic fauna from the surface. Similarly, seasonal migration movements are observed in the MSS for different taxa as response to hot dry summer. When troglobitic fauna is concerned the MSS has a different role, cave restricted Collembola showed higher underground dispersal capacity than troglophiles, therefore, the MSS can connect neighboring caves systems and extend their distribution range.

In Brazilian metallogenic rock, cave species richness is higher than in any other lithology. The cave Collembola found in Brazil corroborates this assumption, from the total of 61 known cave species, one troglobite was recorded from sandstone caves and one from granitic cave, 18 species were recorded from limestone caves (all troglobites), and 44 species from iron caves and MSS (31 troglobites). Three troglobitic species were recorded from both limestone and iron caves, in both cases the caves are separated by large distances and the lithologies are disjunct. This incongruent and disjunct distribution is an indication of potentially unrecognized cryptic or overlooked species.

This is more relevant when considered that ferruginous rock represents only 0.15% of the Brazilian territory (carbonatic rock 3.1%), nearly 10,000 km² (carbonatic rock 260.800 km², Brazilian territory 8.516.000 km²), and...
that most of the biospeleological research is focused on large caves, usually in limestone. The high richness of species restricted to small shallow caves, indicates that MSS plays a role as an extension of the cave environment.

The State of Minas Gerais is the most diverse with 40 species of cave Collembola, the complex mosaicist lithology and the ecotone Cerrado Forest-Atlantic Forest are the main barriers associated to the richness of species restricted to caves and MSS. In this State, the iron rock subterranean habitats host 29 troglobites and provide habitat and refuge to 11 known troglophiles species.

For caves in non-ferruginous lithologies, the size and number of entrances influence the species richness by giving the surface fauna access to the subterranean environment, and as a sink for organic matter input. Contrastingly the caves in iron rock are small and shallow, often with few meters of horizontal development, the connections to the MSS are conspicuous and abundant, providing a rather continuous subterranean habitat. In this context, instead, the distribution of the troglobitic species suggests that the entrances of iron rock caves are the limits of the available subterranean habitat for troglobites inside-out, and of suitable habitats for troglophiles outside-in (Fig. 1). We can consider the entrances of these caves as windows of the MSS, the spatial limit of the subterranean environment which presents the minimum conditions to the survival of a troglobite, while partially inhibits the dispersion of troglophiles deeper in the MSS. Troglobites can disperse underground more efficiently than troglophiles, however troglophiles are more efficient than troglobites to disperse through the surface. In ferruginous lithology the size of the cave and its entrance influences the species richness, mainly because large iron caves can greatly affect the capacity of collectors and biologists to access the troglobitic fauna in the MSS, as the number of accessible connections to the MSS increases exponentially with the length of the cave in iron rock.

Another contrast of ferruginous rock caves is that the biotrophic flow seems to be inverted (Fig. 1), in limestone caves the energy and fauna come from outside mostly through the cave entrance, and the fauna eventually speciate to become troglobitic, possibly restricted to the depths of a single cave. Despite the demonstrated existence of an epikarst, the particularities of the weathering process, the water percolation, and different subterranean habitats as scree slopes and MSS, limestone caves tend to be large and grow deep through dissolution of the rock by water during the genesis of the cave. The deeper the cave is, the lesser the permeability of the rock, the epikarst usually reaches about 15 m deep.

In iron caves the fauna comes from the above ground through the MSS connections between surface and subterranean environments, the same happens with energy that comes with roots that reach the MSS abundantly. The troglobites develop in the MSS and eventually reach the caves where it can be seen in its distribution limits, and the troglophiles go in the opposite direction, inhabiting the surface and going inside the caves to refuge from climate, but not going too far in the MSS (see Table 1, species marked with).
**Pseudocryptic diversity.** Large caves with deep aphytic zones, stable abiotic conditions, water pools, often hosting bat colonies, are correlated to high number of restricted species, usually displaying classic troglomorphism as absence of eyes and body pigments, elongated appendages, increased body size. In the ferruginous rock MSS the same troglomorphisms are present in most species, even though, we observed that some Entomobryoid Collembola are often reduced in size, with normal or shortened (even though always functional) appendages, similar to that of euedaphic fauna.

Cryptic species recognized from a single widespread species complex through barcode sequencing, revealed related morphological differences corresponding to the species separation. To access this information it is necessary to expand the morphological refinement, some cryptic species are grouped together as result of limited selection of diagnostic characters. This is by definition pseudocryptic species, when “individuals can be identified from morphology providing sufficient care is taken, but are so similar that there is a high probability of misidentification, even by a competent scientist.”

The species found in ferruginous caves and MSS are very similar in most of its macro morphology, differences are subtle, species recognition often must rely on minor details of chaetotaxy (Fig. 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44) and slight variations of morphological structures, often overlooked, as observed for the genera Arthropalites, Pararhopalites, Pseudosinella and Trogolaphysa. Such pseudocryptic diversity can only be accessed by specialized morphological scrutiny, molecular sequencing or a combination of both.

Whether we accept that cryptic diversity in Collembola cannot be explained by accelerated rates of molecular evolution, it is likely that the diversity of subterranean Collembola in ferruginous MSS and caves, results of the combination of the effects of lithology arrangement, phytophysognomy and climate fluctuation at local scale.

Finally, the recognition of cryptic or pseudocryptic species within presumed widespread allopatric species is crucial to efficiently develop management and conservation plans and reduce the underestimation of cave Collembola diversity.

**Subterranean collembola hotspot.** A total of 61 species of cave Collembola were recorded in Brazil so far, 40 are records from the State of Minas Gerais, including 29 troglobites (Table 1), 26 of them are from iron caves and MSS as well as 11 troglophiles. For comparison we can consider two important subterranean hotspots in Limestone caves in the States of Sao Paulo and Bahia (Southeastern and Northeastern regions), which presented an overall species richness of 28 and 22 troglobites, respectively. These two caves are under different impact pressures, the former is in a protected area with controlled access, and the latter is under intense touristic exploitation.

Myers et al. combined richness, endemism, distribution span and threats to the area to define places of priority for conservation, called hotspots. The number of troglobites, with a full consideration of the threats or conservation conditions of the caves and surroundings was, also, recently used as criteria for defining hotspot.

The ferruginous rock outcrops in Brazil are under a intense economic pressure, the mining industry represents an important part of the production of commodities as iron ore and steel. The high diversity and endemism of cave Collembola found in recent studies (Table 1), affecting directly the beta diversity of the areas considering the species are found nowhere else, and the continuous threat to the subterranean habitats formed in ferruginous rock, justify categorizing the ferruginous subterranean habitats as hotspot for cave Collembola in the State of Minas Gerais. It is important to remark that the diversity considered here is only for Collembola species, and that the studies mentioned above have a much higher phylogenetic diversity.

**Conservation policy implications.** The ferruginous caves and the MSS represent sites of intense overlooked pseudocryptic diversification. Katz et al. observed that for Collembola in limestone areas the detection of short-range endemics, genetic isolation, and apparent cryptic diversity has major conservation implications.

The results we present here bring several considerations on conservation strategies and policies. The high diversity and endemism rate observed for cave Collembola, associated to threats to the subterranean environments as mining, deforestation, and urbanization flag these areas as maximum priority and interest for planning putative conservation areas. These areas demand a multi-factor approach to successfully develop policies which optimize the diversity conservation, particularly subterranean diversity.

Brazilian legislation has protective measures for caves, but allows the complete suppression of a cave for mining or other exploratory purpose, under a process for licensing the proposed activities. Even though some criteria are imposed, it fails in considering some important aspects of the cave structure in different lithology. Under this perspective the whole extension of ferruginous (and carbonatic) rock deposits in Brazil are available for exploitation, with irreversible impact on the subterranean fauna. There is over than 9400 companies in activity in the country, producing about 325.000.000 ton/year of iron ore, the second biggest production in the world. More than 72% of the Brazilian iron ore reserves is located in the state of Minas Gerais, the locality of occurrence of 37 out of the 44 known species of Collembola found in ferruginous subterranean habitats in Brazil (Fig. 2).

Here we observed that the whole process needs a revision when comes to ferruginous rock, where the cave may not be the important spatial unit to preserve, instead, the high subterranean diversity areas must be surveyed, not only in caves but also in the MSS. It is possible that in some cases to protect a hill that harbors a thick layer of Canga with a troglobitic species rich MSS, would result more effective to preserve restricted subterranean fauna, than to protect a small and shallow cave with reduced troglobitic richness.

The state of Minas Gerais has 75 integral conservation units (defined by law), with maximum protection policy, however, these conservation units represent only 1.05% (~619,800 ha) of the state territory. There are other categories of conservation units, called of “sustainable use”, with much less restrictive policies. These categories of conservation units are much less effective to preserve epigean species, due to the diverse usages and practices.
in those areas. Nevertheless, the 19 sustainable use conservation units in the state of Minas Gerais (private conservation units excluded) correspond to 3.01% (~1,768,000 ha) of the state territory (http://www.ief.mg.gov.br/unidades-de-conservacao—accessed Sep/31/2021). Sustainable use conservation units have some criteria that prevents highly destructive activities, allowing some extractive crops, subsistence agriculture and tourism. These activities may be compatible with subterranean conservation through the MSS, therefore the conservation unit network can get some advantage trying to connect integral conservation units with sustainable use ones. It was proposed that the sampling for subterranean fauna in prospection drilling holes all over the area may

Figure 2. Subterranean species distributed in ferruginous rock in the state of Minas Gerais, Brazil. (A) South America with Brazilian borders and the state of Minas Gerais marked in solid red. (B) Minas Gerais state borders, red box in detail. (C) detail of the species distributed in ferruginous rock in the principal mining areas in the state of Minas Gerais. Ferruginous rock areas marked in bright orange. Urban and metropolitan areas marked in bright gray (note the Belo Horizonte metropolitan area, the state capital, just next to the minerary sites). Created using QGIS [Software GIS] version 3.16. QGIS Geographic Information System. Open Source Geospatial Foundation Project. http://qgis.osgeo.org, 2021.
bring important information about species richness and distribution, mainly if combined with cave and surface sampling. This procedure, implemented in the process for licensing new high impact exploratory activities, can improve the conservation effectiveness of the conservation units and compensation areas, precisely define the role of the cave in the conservation plan, and shift the focus towards troglobitic species richness.

Conclusions
Our results depict the ferruginous subterranean environment as an important hotspot for cave Collembola in the state of Minas Gerais, corroborating the expected high species richness in ferruginous rock caves and MSS. We also demonstrate that access pseudocryptic diversity as observed in the genera *Arrhopalites*, *Pararrhopalites*, *Pseudosinella* and *Trogolahysa* is mandatory for planning the conservation strategies for subterranean Collembola. The distribution of the species through the MSS can be favored by sustainable use conservation units, whether this fauna is surveyed along the licensing process. Finally, we conclude that the conservation planning for future conservation unit establishment must focus not only on caves but also in the MSS, accessing the fauna through sampling in prospection drilling holes. Protecting an area with high richness of endemic troglobites down in the MSS may be more effective than to protect a shallow cave when it comes to preserve troglobitic diversity.

Methods
Pseudocryptic diversity. The richness was the measure of the subterranean diversity, we surveyed all data about previous records for Brazilian Collembola cave species, ecological status, lithology, and distribution from the literature, and included 11 newly found pseudocryptic species from subterranean habitats in iron and limestone rock. The pseudocryptic species were verified by comparison of chaetotaxy and “micro-morphology” through optic and scanning microscopy of disjunct populations of a widespread morphotype. The imagery was compared under hypotheses of chaetotaxic and morphologic homology, previously defined by different authors. Those populations with consistent discrete chaetotaxic and morphologic patterns were assumed to be independent species, therefore they were taxonomically diagnosed, named, and ordered in a dichotomic identification key with all Brazilian species of the genus.

Microscopy. Specimens were preserved in ethanol 70% and mounted on slides following Jordana et al., after clearing using Nesbitt’s solution for study under phase contrast microscope, line drawings were made with help of a drawing tube. For scanning electronic microscope (SEM) study, specimens were dehydrated by ethanol, dried in a critical point dryer, and covered in gold.

Homology. The terminology used in the diagnoses for the hypotheses of homology followed: labial chaetotaxy after Gisin with additions of Zhang and Pan, Fjellberg for labial palp papillae and maxillary palp; post-labial chaetotaxy after Chen and Christiansen, with adaptations of Cipola et al. for J series; clypeal chaetotaxy after Yoshii and Suhardjono; labral chaetotaxy after Cipola et al.; unguiculus lamellae after Hüther; Anterior dens chaetotaxy after Oliveira et al., Mari-Mutt for dorsal head chaetotaxy, with additions of Soto-Adames; Szepycki and Zhang and Deharveng for S-chaetotaxy; and Szepycki for dorsal chaetotaxy, with additions and modifications provided by Soto-Adames and Zhang et al. Symbols used to depict the chaetotaxy are

Figure 3. *Trogolaphysa* sp.: habitus lateral view. (A, B) specimen fixed in ethanol. (C, D) SEM photographs.
Figure 4. *Trogolaphysa* sp. SEM: general body chaetae. (A) Antennal chaetae, sensilla and scales: 1—macrochaeta with short ciliation, 2—macrochaeta with long ciliation, 3—microchaeta with long ciliation, 4—microchaeta with short ciliation, 5—finger-shaped sens, 6—wrinkly sens, 7—coffee bean shaped sens, 8—rod sens, 9—spine-like sens, 10—Ant IV subapical-organ, 11—lanceolate scale, 12—rounded scales. (B) Head chaetae and scales: 1—strait macrochaeta with long ciliation, 2—blunt macrochaeta, 3—smooth chaeta, 4—blunt chaeta, 5—strait microchaeta with long ciliation, 6—labial r microchaeta, 7—cephalic anterior scale, 8—cephalic posterior scale. (C) Body and appendages chaetae, sens and scales: 1—bothriotrichum, 2—blunt macrochaeta, 3—blunt mesochaeta, 4—dens external ciliate chaeta, 5—smooth microchaeta, 6—blunt microchaeta, 7—fan-shape chaeta, 8—dental spine, 9—'al' sens, 10—'ms' sens, 11—lanceolate scale, 12—intersegmental scale.
presented in Fig. 4A–C. Codes will be used in *italics* along the text to replace the morphological description of each chaeta and sensillum type. Additional information about morphology and chaetotaxy of discussed species was obtained from the literature.

**Abbreviations used in the diagnoses.** Ant–antennal segment(s); b.c.–basal chaeta(e); t.a.–terminal appendage of the maxillary palp; l.p.–lateral process of labial papilla E, lpc–labial proximal chaeta(e); Th–thoracic segment; Abd–abdominal segment(s); Omt–trochanteral organ; a.e.–antero-external lamella, a.i.–antero-internal lamella, a.t.–unguis apical tooth, b.a.–basal anterior tooth of unguis, b.p.–basal posterior tooth of unguis, m.t.–unguis median tooth, p.i.–postero-internal lamella, p.e.–postero-external lamella; mac–macrochaeta(e), mes–mesochaeta(e), mic–microchaeta(e), ms–specialized microchaeta(e), psp–pseudopore(s), sens–specialized ordinary chaeta(e) (sensillum), MSS–Mesovoid Shallow Substratum.
Ecological status. To avoid subjectivity and ambiguity to determine the ecological status of the species, we assumed to be a troglobite all the species with some degree of troglomorphism exclusively distributed in
the subterranean environment, either caves, MSS, or both. Species distributed in the surface and subterranean habitats were assumed to be troglophiles.
Identification Key for the known and new species of the genus *Trogolaphysa* recorded in Brazil.

1. 8+8 eyes, head with M2, S3 and S5 mac .......................................... 2
   - 4+4 eyes or less, head with M2, S3 e S5 mic (Exc. *T. dundarae* sp. nov. S5 mac) .......... 5
2. unguiculus lanceolate, macro with 4 teeth, Th III with only mic .................................. 3
   - unguiculus truncate, macro with 5 teeth, Th III with 3+3 mac ... *T. formosensis* (Baia formosa, RN) 4
3. unguiculus with pe lamella serrate, dens with two rows of spines ................................. 4
   - unguiculus with pe lamella smooth, dens with only one row of spines ... *T. hirtipes* (Blumenau, SC) 4
4. anterior colrophore with distal 4+4 mac, Abd IV with C4 and T7 mes, manubrial plate with 2 psp and 6 mac ................................................................. 7
   - anterior colrophore with distal 3+3 mac, Abd IV com C4 e T7 mic, manubrial plate with 3 psp and 5 mac ................................................................. 6
   - T. piracurucaensis (Piracuruca, PI) ................................................................. 8
5. inner lamella of unguis with 1–2 unpaired teeth .................................................. 6
   - inner lamella of unguis without unpaired teeth ............................................. 12
6. Th II p3 complex with 5+5 or 6+6 mac ............................................... 7
   - Th II p3 complex with 4+4 or 3+3 mac .................................................. 10
7. Th II with 5+5 mac on p3 complex ........................................... 8
   - Th II with 6+6 mac on p3 complex .......... *T. lacerta* sp. nov. (Conceição do Rio Acima, MG) 9
8. inner lamella of unguis with 1 tooth, 0–0 eyes ........................................ 11
   - inner lamella of unguis with 2 teeth, 0+0 or 4+4 eyes .......... *T. zampanouli* sp. nov. (Ribeira, SP) 9
9. unguiculus with pe lamella serrate, Abd IV with 3+3 central mac (A3, B4–5) .............. 11
   - unguiculus com lamella pe. lisa, 4+4 central (A3, A5, B4–5) mac on Abd IV ................................................................. 10
10. anterior colrophore with distal 2+2 mac, tenent hair acuminate ........................ 11
11. anterior colrophore distal 3+3 mac, tenent hair capitate ... *T. bellini* sp. nov. (Barão de Cocais, MG) 11
12. Th II p3 complex with 4+4 mac, unguiculus with pe. lamella serrate, 4+4 central (A3, A5, B4–5) mac on Abd IV ........................................... T. chapelensis sp. nov. (Conceição do Rio Acima, MG) 11
13. Th II p3 complex with 3+3 mac, unguiculus with pe. lamella smooth, Abd IV with 3+3 central mac (A3, B4–5) .................................................. 9
14. external row of spines on dens with more than 30 spines .................................. 13
   - external row of spines on dens with less than 20 spines .......... *T. hauseri* (Gruta da tapagem, SP) 13
15. Th II p3 complex with 5+5 or 6+6 mac ........................................ 14
16. Th II p3 complex with 3+3 ................................... *T. mariaecriae* sp. nov. (Conceição do Mato Dentro, MG) 14
17. Mucro with 4 teeth, tenent hair acuminate ........................................ 15
18. Mucro with 3 teeth, tenent hair capitate ................................ *T. dundarae* sp. nov. (Parauapebas, PA) 15
19. unguiculus lanceolate, dens with two rows of spines ........................................ 16
   - unguiculus truncate, dens with one row of spines ............................ *T. tijucana* (Três Rios, RJ) 16
20. Abd IV with 2+2 central mac (B4–5), anterior colrophore with distal 2+2 mac ................................................................. 16
   - Abd IV with 3+3 central mac (A3, B4–5), anterior colrophore with distal 3+3 mac ................................................................. 16
   - *T. aelieni* and *T. milesi* are omitted due to insufficient description of their morphology and chaetotactic characters.

**Taxonomic diagnoses and morphological plates.** Type materials are deposited in the Coleção de Referência de Fauna de Solo, Universidade Estadual da Paraíba (CRFS-UEPB) and Museu Nacional Rio de Janeiro, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro (MNRJ-UFRJ).

https://zoobank.org/pub:2C8F4446-0869-48A9-ABD7-14D4C4DCB0FA

Additional records in Supplementary Material S1, taxonomic references in S2.
Family Paronellidae Börner, 1906
Subfamily Paronellinae Börner, 1906
Tribe Paronellini sensu Zhang et al., 2019
Genus Trogolaphysa Mills, 1938
(Figs. 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11)

**Diagnosis.** Habitus typical of this genus (Fig. 3A–D), hyaline scales presents on Ant. I–II, head, body, and ventral face of furcula (Figs. 3C–D, 4A–C, 5D, F, 7, 8, 11C), Ant IV smooth or annulated and never subdivided in two (Fig. 5A); eyes 0–8 (ex. Fig. 6C); prelabral and labral formula 4/5,5,4 (prelabral smooth or ciliate, pma smooth chaetae) (Fig. 6A); antennobasal-organ present (Fig. 6C); labial chaetae L1–2 not reduced (Fig. 6E); sublobal plate of maxillary palp with 2 chaetae (Fig. 6E); Th II normally with a5 mac and p3 complex with variable number of mac, and Th III with p3 mac present or abset (Fig. 7A, B), abdominal segments II–IV with 2, 3,
Figure 9. *Trogolaphysa* sp. SEM: empodial complex III (A) external lamella of unguis with external teeth (pseudonychia, yellow), (B) unguis and unguiculus lateral view, unguis internal lamella with basal, medial and apical teeth (blue, red and yellow respectively), unguiculus with internal and external teeth, tenent hair capitate (white arrow), (C) lateral view, unguiculus lamellae, tenent hair acuminate (white arrow).

Figure 10. *Trogolaphysa* sp. SEM: appendages (A) Metatrochanteral organ with pseudopores (alveoli marked in yellow, white arrows indicate pseudopores), (B) ventral tube posterior chaetae, (C) ventral tube anterior chaetae, (D) Tenaculum.
3 bothriotricha (Figs. 7C, D, 8A); unguis with three external lamellae and unguiculus with p.e. lamella serrate or smooth (Fig. 9A–C); trochanteral organ with 2–4 psp (Fig. 10A) collophore anterior side with 2–3 distal mac (Fig. 10C); tenaculum with four teeth on each branch and one anterior chaeta (Fig. 10D); manubrium without spines, manubrial plate with 2–3 psp (Fig. 11A); anterior proximal dens with b.a., b.m. and i5 chaetae (Fig. 11B); dens with 1–2 rows of spines; mucro square or rectangular but relatively short, with 3–5 teeth (Fig. 11D).

**Trogolaphysa bellinii** sp. nov. Oliveira, Lima & Zeppelini

Figures 12, 13 and 14, Tables 1 and 2

**Type material.** Holotype female in slide (15,482/CRFS-UEPB): Brazil, Minas Gerais State, Barão de Cocais municipality, cave MDIR-0028, next to “Mina de Brucutu”, 19°52′48.7″S, 43°26′13.6″W, 19–23.viii.2019, Carste team coll. Paratypes in slides (15,468, 15,483/CRFS-UEPB): 2 females, same data as holotype. Paratypes in slides (15,519, 15,576/CRFS-UEPB donated to MNJR): 2 females, same data as holotype. Additional records see S1.

**Description.** Total length (head + trunk) of specimens 1.53–1.75 mm (n = 5), holotype 1.70 mm.

**Head.** Ratio antennae: trunk = 1: 1.29–1.95 (n = 5), holotype = 1: 1.95; Ant III shorter than Ant II; Ant segments ratio as I: II, III, IV = 1.80–2.24, 0.85–2.08, 0.85–2.08, holotype = 1: 1.80, 0.85, 1.34. Antennal chaetotaxy: Ant IV dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, and finger-shaped sens, dorsally with a longitudinal row with about eight rod sens, ventrally with one subapical-organ and several wrinkly sens (Fig. 4A); Ant III dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, and finger-shaped sens, dorsally without modified sens, ventrally with one apical psp, about three wrinkly sens on external longitudinal row, apical organ with two mic smooth chaetae externally, two coffee bean-like sens, and one rod sens (Fig. 4A); Ant II dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, and finger-shaped sens, dorsally without modified sens, ventrally with one apical psp, about three wrinkly sens on external longitudinal row, apical organ with two mic smooth chaetae externally, two coffee bean-like sens, and one rod sens (Fig. 4A); and Ant I dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, dorsally with four sub-apical finger-shaped sens, one wrinkly sens and two subapical rod sens, ventrally with one apical psp, about six wrinkly sens on longitudinal external row (Fig. 4A); and Ant I dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, dorsally with three basal spine-like sens, ventrally with four basal spine-like sens, about five smooth mic and several finger-shaped sens (Fig. 4A). Eyes 0 + 0, rarely 2 + 2. Head dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 12A) with 12 An (An1a–3), six A (A0–5), five M.
(M1–5), five S (S2–6), two Ps (Ps2, Ps5), four Pa (Pa1–5), two Pm (Pm1, Pm3), seven Pp (Pp1–7), and two Pe (Pe4, Pe6) chaetae; Ps5 and Pm3 as mes, An1–3a with 10 mac plus two mes, A0 and A2 as mac; interocular p mes present. Basomedian and basolateral labial fields with a1–5 smooth, M, Me, E and L1–2 ciliate, r reduced (Fig. 12B). Ventral chaetotaxy with 35–38 ciliate chaetae and one reduced lateral spine; postlabial G1–4; X, X4; H1–4; J1–2, chaetae b.c. present and a collar row of four to seven mes chaetae distally (Fig. 12B). Prelabral chaetae ciliate. Labral chaetae smooth, no modifications. Labial papilla E with lp, finger-shaped and surpassing the base of apical appendage. Labial proximal chaetae smooth (an1–3, p2–3) and subequal in length (Fig. 12B). Maxillary palp with t.a. smooth and 1.23× larger than b.c.

Thorax dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 13A). Th II a, m, p series with two mic (a1–2), one mac (a5), three mic (m1–2, m4) and four mic (p4–6e), p3 complex with three mac, respectively, al and ms present. Th III a, m, p series with three mic (a1–3), two mes (a6–7), three mic (m4, m6–6p), three mes (m6e, m7–7e), four mic (p1–3, p6) respectively. Ratio Th II: III = 1.04–1.36: 1 (n = 5), holotype = 1.05: 1.

Abdomen dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 13B, C). Abd I a, m series with one (a5) and six (m2–6e) mic respectively, ms present. Abd II a, m, p series with two mic (a6–7), two mac (m3, m5), three mic (p5–7) respectively, el mic and as present; a5 and m2 bothriotricha surrounded by five and four fan-shaped chaetae respectively. Abd III a, m, p series with one mic (a7), three fan-shaped chaetae (a2–3, a6), two mic (m7i–7), three mac (m3, am6, pm6), three mic (p6e, p7i–7), one mac (p6) chaetae respectively; a5, m2 and m5 bothriotricha with six, two and three fan-shaped chaetae respectively, as sens elongated, ms present. Abd IV A–Fe series with two mic (A1, A6), two mac (A3, A5), one mic (B1), one mes (B6), two mac (B4–5), four mic (C1–4), three mic (T1, T5–6), one mes (T7), five mic (D1–3, De3), one mes (D3p), one mic (E4p2), one mes (E4p), three mac (E1–3), one mic (Ee12), two mes (Ee10–11), one mac (Ee9), one mic (F1), two mes (F3, F3p), one mac (F2), one mic (F2e), three mes (Fe3–5) chaetae, respectively; T2, T4 and E4 bothriotricha surrounded by five and two (T3) fan-shaped chaetae respectively; ps and as present, and at least six supernumerary sens with uncertain homology ’s’ (Fig. 8A); Abd. IV posteriorly with four psp. Abd V a, m, p series with two mic (a1, a3), one mes (a6), one mac (a5), two mes
(m5a, m5e), three mac (m2–3, m5), five mic (p3a–6ae), one mic (p6e) two mes (ap6–pp6), four mac (p1, p3–5) chaetae, respectively; as, acc p4–5 present. Ratio Abd III: IV = 1.37–4.37 (n = 5), holotype = 1: 4.37.

Legs. Trochanteral organ diamond shape with about 20 spine-like chaetae, plus two psp one external and one on distal vertex of Omt (Fig. 14A). Unguis outer side with one paired tooth straight and not developed on proximal third; inner lamella wide with four teeth, basal pair subequal, b.p. not reaching the m.t. apex, m.t. just after the distal half, a.t. present. Unguiculus with lamellae smooth and lanceolate (a.i. a.e., p.i.), except p.e. slightly serrate (Fig. 14B); ratio unguis: unguiculus = 1.56–1.79: 1 (n = 5), holotype = 1.56: 1. Tibiotarsal smooth chaetae about 0.9 × smaller than unguiculus; tenent hair capitulate and about 0.55 × smaller than unguis outer lamella.

Collophore (Fig. 14C). Anterior side with 12 ciliate, apically acuminate chaetae, five proximal, four subdistal (as mes) and three distal mac; lateral flap with 11 chaetae, five ciliate in the proximal row and six smooth in the distal row.

Furcula. Covered with ciliate chaetae, spine-like chaetae and scales. Manubrial plate with four ciliate chaetae (two inner mac) and three psp (Fig. 14D). Dens posterior face with two or more longitudinal rows of spine-like chaetae about 24 external and 25 internal, external spines larger and thinner than internal ones. Mucro with four teeth, ratio width: length = 0.29 (holotype).

Etymology. Species named after Dr. Bruno C. Bellini in recognition of his work on Brazilian Collembola.

Remarks. Trogolaphysa bellinii sp. nov. resembles T. bessoni, T. epitychia sp. nov., and T. mariecurieae sp. nov. by 0 + 0 eyes (T. bellinii sp. nov. rarely with 2 + 2 eyes), Th II with 3 + 3 mac, and Th III without mac, but can be distinguished by presenting Abd IV with 4 + 4 central mac (A3, A5, B4–5); T. epitychia sp. nov. with 3 + 3 central mac on Abd IV, T. mariecurieae sp. nov. with 2 + 2 central mac on Abd IV.

Figure 13. Trogolaphysa bellinii sp. nov.: Dorsal chaetotaxy: (A) Th II–III, (B) Abd I–III, (C) Abd IV–V.
**Trogolaphysa bellini** sp. nov. Lima, Oliveira & Zeppelini

**Type material.** Holotype male in slide (10,311/CRFS-UEPB): Brazil, Minas Gerais State, Conceição do Rio Acima municipality, cave GAND-115, next to “Lapa do Calango”, 20°04’08.4”S, 43°40’09.9”W, 10–20.iii.11, Carste team coll. Paratypes in slides (10,312, 10,309/CRFS-UEPB): 2 males, same data as holotype. Paratypes surpassing the base of apical appendage. Labial proximal chaetae smooth (Prelabral chaetae ciliate. Labral chaetae smooth, no modifications. Labial papilla with E m4 – H1 and four mic (p4 – H1).

**Description.** Total length (head + trunk) of specimens 1.31–2.43 mm (n = 5), holotype 1.86 mm. Head. Ratio antennae: trunk = 1: 1.33–1.46 (n = 2), holotype = 1: 1.46; Ant III shorter than Ant II; Ant segment ratio, I: II, III, IV = 1: 1.78–2.05: 1.5–1.64: 2.64–2.83, holotype = 1: 1.80: 1.64: 2.64. Antennal chaetotaxy (no represented): Ant IV dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, and finger-shaped sens, dorsally with a longitudinal row with about five rod sens, ventrally with one subapical-organ and several wrinkly sens (Fig. 4A); Ant III dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, and finger-shaped sens, dorsally without modified sens, ventrally with one apical psp, one apical wrinkly sens on, apical organ with two coffee bean-like sens, and one rod sens (Fig. 4A); Ant II dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, dorsally with three sub-apical finger-shaped sens, one wrinkly sens and two apical rod sens, ventrally with one apical psp, one longitudinal external row with two subapical wrinkly sens and two medial finger-shaped sens (Fig. 4A); and Ant I dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, dorsally with three basal spine-like sens, ventrally with four basal spine-like sens, about five smooth mic and several finger-shaped sens (Fig. 4A). Eyes 0 + 0, rarely 3 + 3. Head dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 15A) with 15 spine-like sens, ventrally with four basal spine-like sens, about five smooth mic and several finger-shaped sens (Fig. 15B). Ventral chaetotaxy with 36–38 ciliate chaetae and 1 reduced lateral spine; postlabial G1–4; X, X4: H1–4; J1–2, chaetae b.c. present and a collar row of three to five mes chaetae distally (Fig. 15B). Prelabral chaetae ciliate. Labral chaetae smooth, no modifications. Labial papilla E with Lp. finger-shaped and surpassing the base of apical appendage. Labial proximal chaetae smooth (an1–3, p2–3) and subequal in length (Fig. 15B). Maxillary palp with t.a. smooth and 1.28× larger than t.a.

Thorax dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 15A). Th II a, m, p series with two mic (a1–2), one mac (a5), three mic (m1–2, m4) and four mic (p4–6e), p3 complex with six mac, respectively, al and ms present. Th III a, m, p series with three mic (a1–3), two mes (a6–7), three mic (m4, m6–6p), three mes (m6e, m7–7e), four mic (p1–3, p6) respectively. Ratio Th II: III = 1.09–1.46: 1 (n = 5), holotype = 1.09: 1.

Abdomen dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 16B, C). Abd I m series with six (m2–6e) mic respectively, ms present. Abd II a, m, p series with two mic (a6–7), two mac (m3, m5), three mic (p5–7) respectively, el mic and as present; a5 and m2 bothriotricha surrounded by four and two fan-shaped chaetae respectively. Abd III a, m, p series with one mic (a7), three fan-shaped chaetae (a2–3, a6), two mic (m71–7), three mac (m3, am6, pm6), four mic.
| Type          | locality (country) | Habitat | Eyes | Head Dorsal mac | Th II P3 complex | Th III mac | Abd IV mac | Abd IV psp | Trochanteral organ | Unguis inner tech | Tenent hair apex | Dens outer row spines | Dens inner row spines | Mucro |
|---------------|--------------------|---------|------|----------------|------------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------|
| *T. aelleni*  | Yoshii, 1988      | Br      | Cv   | 2           | ?                | ?          | ?           | A3, B4-5   | 4                 | 16–21             | 2               | A                      | ?                        | 3     |
| *T. barroca*  | sp. nov            | Br      | Cv   | 0           | A0, A2, Pa5      | 5          | 0           | A3, B4-5   | 4                 | 16–21             | 2               | A                      | 37–39                 | 21–22 |
| *T. belicena* | Palacios-Vargas & Thibaud 1997 | Be | Cv   | 0           | A0, A2-3, M3, S3, S5, Pa5, Pm3 | 2          | 3           | A4-5, B5   | 4'                | 18                | 2               | A                      | 30                    | 31    |
| *T. bellini*  | sp. nov            | Br      | Cv   | 0–2        | A0, A2          | 3          | 0           | A3, A5, B4-5 | 4                 | 20                | 3–4             | C                      | 24                    | 25    |
| *T. bessoni*  | Thibaud & Napi 1988 | Ec | Cv   | 0           | ?                | ?          | ?           | A5, B4, B5  | ?                 | 19                | 2               | A                      | 25                    | 20    |
| *T. chapelen-asis* sp. nov | Br | Cv   | 0           | A0, A2         | 4          | 0           | A3, A5, B4-5 | 9                 | 23                | 3–4             | A                      | >70                   | 30    |
| *T. caripen-asis* (Gruia, 1987) | Ve | Cv   | 0           | A0, A2-3, M1-2, S2-3, S5, Pa5, Pm3 | 6          | 0           | A3, A5, B4-5 | ?                 | 21                | 3               | A                      | 30–25                 | 30–25 |
| *T. crystal-lensis* sp. nov | Br | Cv   | 0           | A0, A2         | 5          | 0           | A3, A5, B4-5 | 3                 | 18                | 3               | A                      | 58                    | 28    |
| *T. dan- darae* sp. nov | Br | Cv   | 0           | A0, A2, S5, Pa5, Pm3 | 6          | 3           | A3, B4-5   | 3                 | 19                | 2               | C                      | 31–39                 | 18–21 |
| *T. euc- atoria* (Palacios-Vargas, Ojeda & Christiansen, 1985) | Ec | Cv   | 0           | ?                | ?          | ?           | ?          | ?                  | 2                 | 2               | A                      | 45                    | 45    |
| *T. epi- ychia* sp. nov | Br | Cv   | 0           | A0, A2         | 3          | 0           | A3, A5, B5  | 3                 | 15                | 3               | A                      | 60                    | 34    |
| *T. ernesti*  | Cipola & Bellini, 2017 | Br | Lt   | 8           | A0, A2-3       | 6          | 0           | A3, A5, B4-5 | ?                 | 49                | 4               | C                      | 21–27                 | 23–30 |
| *T. formo- sensis* Silva & Bellini, 2015 | Br | Lt   | 8           | A0, A2, M2, S3, S5, Pa5 | 6          | 3           | ?          | 3                 | 12                | 4               | C                      | ?                      | ?     |
| *T. gisber-tae* sp. nov | Br | Cv   | 0           | A0, A2-3, Pa5, Pm3 | 5          | 0           | A3, B4-5   | 1                 | 25                | 3               | A                      | 38                    | 21–24 |
| *T. haitica*  | (Palacios-Vargas, Ojeda & Christiansen, 1985) | Ha | Cv   | 0           | ?                | ?          | ?           | ?                  | ?                 | 22                | 2               | A                      | 30–38                 | 30–38 |
| *T. hauseri*  | Yoshii, 1988      | Br      | Cv'  | 0           | ?                | ?          | ?           | ?                  | ?                 | 15                | 2               | A                      | 14                    | 30    |
| *T. hirripes* | (Handschin, 1924) | Br | Tp   | 3'           | ?                | ?          | ?           | ?                  | ?                 | ?                 | 4'             | C                      | ?                      | ?     |
| *T. hon- durensis* (Palacios-Vargas, Ojeda & Christiansen, 1985) | Ho | Cv   | 4           | ?                | ?          | ?           | ?                  | ?                 | ?                 | 2               | A                      | 30–36                 | 30–36 |
| *T. jacobyi*  | Soto-Adames & Taylor 2013 | Be | Cv   | 0           | A0, A2-3, M2, S3, S5, Pa5, Pm3 | 2          | 1           | A5, B4, B5   | ?                 | 25                | 3               | A                      | ?                      | 36    |

Continued
Table 2. *Trogolaphysa* species of the Neotropical Region, comparative morphology. Be, Belize; Br, Brazil; Ec, Ecuador; Ha, Haiti; Ho, Honduras; Me, Mexico. Cv, cave; Lt, Leaflitter, Tp, Termitophile, Ms, Mesovoid shallow substratum. ?, lacking or dubious information.

| Species                      | Type locality (country) | Habitat | Eyes | Head Dorsal mac | Th II P3 complex | Th III mac | Abd IV mac | Abd IV pap | Trochanteral organ | Unguis inner teeth | Tenent hair apex | Dens outer row spines | Dens inner row spines | Dens spine complexity | Mucro |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|---------|------|----------------|------------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|---------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-------|
| *T. lacerta* sp. nov         | Br                      | Cv      | 0–3  | A0, A2          | 6                | 0          | A3, B4-5   | 5          | 24                | 4                 | A               | 50                  | 37                    | 4                    |       |
| *T. marie-curieae* sp. nov   | Br                      | Ms      | 0    | A0, A2          | 3                | 0          | A4 B5      | 4          | 15                | 3                 | C               | 40                  | 22                    | 4                    |       |
| *T. marimutti* (Palacios-Vargas, 1979) | Me                  | Cv      | 0    | ?               | ?                | ?          | ?          | ?          | 15                | 3                 | A               | 50                  | 50                    | 4                    |       |
| *T. millsi* Arlé, 1939       | Br                      | Lt      | 2    | ?               | ?                | ?          | ?          | ?          | ?                 | ?                 | A'              | ?                   | ?                     | ?                    | 4     |
| *T. oetofica* (Ojeda & Palacios-Vargas, 1984) | Me                  | Cv      | 0    | Pa5, Pm3        | ?                | ?          | ?          | ?          | 14                | 4                 | A               | 35–40               | 35–40                 | 4                    |       |
| *T. piracu-ruscaensis* Nunes & Bellini, 2018 | Br                  | Lt      | 8    | A0, A2, M2, S3, S5, Pa5, Pm3 | 6 | 0 | A3, A5, B4-5 | ? | 35–40 | 4 | C | 21–27 | 25–29 | 4 |
| *T. sotoad-amoei* sp. nov    | Br                      | Cv      | 0    | A0, A2          | 5                | 0          | B4-5       | 4          | 19–21             | 2                 | A               | 35                  | 21–26                 | 4                    |       |
| *T. tijucana* (Arlé & Guimarães, 1979) | Br                  | Lt      | 2    | ?               | ?                | ?          | ?          | ?          | ?                 | 2                 | A?             | ?                   | ?                     | 4                    |       |
| *T. trioculata* Soto-Adames, 2015 | Me                  | Lt      | 3    | A0, A2, Pa5, Pm3 | 5 | 0 | A3, A5, B5 | 4 | 14 | 3 | A | 12 | 15 | 4 |
| *T. stolotkensis* (Palacios-Vargas, Ojeda & ChristianSEN, 1985) | Me                  | Cv      | 0    | A0, A2, Pa5     | ?               | ?          | ?          | ?          | 20                | 4                 | A               | 41–69               | 41–69                 | 4                    |       |
| *T. zam-pauloi* sp. nov      | Br                      | Cv      | 0–4  | A0, A2          | 5                | 0          | A3, A5, B4-5 | 3          | 27                | 4                 | A               | 30                  | 23                    | 4                    |       |

(p6e, p7i–7p), one mac (p6) chaetae respectively; a5, m2 and m5 bothriotricha with seven, two and four fan-shaped chaetae respectively, as sens elongated, ms present. Abd IV A–Fe series with four mic (A1, A5–6, A1e), one mac (A3), one mic (B1), one mes (B6), two mac (B4–5), four mic (C1–4), five mic (T1, T3, T5–7), five mic (D1–3, Dc3), one mes (D3p), one mic (E4p2), one mes (E4p), three mac (E1–3), one mic (E1c12), two mes (E10–11), one mac (E9), one mic (F1), two mes (F3–3p), one mac (F2), one mic (Fe2), three mes (Fe3–5) chaetae, respectively; T2, T4 and E4 bothriotricha surrounded by four and one fan-shaped chaetae respectively; ps and as present, and at least six supernumerary sens with uncertain homology ˇ(Fig. 8A); Abd IV posteriorly with five to six psp. Abd V a, m, p series with two mic (a1, a3), one mes (a6), one mac (a5), two mes (m5a, m5c), three mac (m2–3, m5), five mic (p3a–6ae), one mic (p6e) two mes (ap6e–pp6e), four mac (p1, p3–5) chaetae, respectively, as, acc p4–5 present. Ratio Abd III: IV = 1: 3.70–4.37 (n = 5), holotype = 1: 4.37.

Legs. Trochanteral organ diamond shape with about 24 spine-like chaetae, plus two psp one external and one on distal vertex of Omt (Fig. 17A). Unguis outer side with one paired tooth straight and not developed on proximal third; inner lamella wide with four teeth, basal pair subequal, b. p. not reaching the m.t. apex, m. t. just after the distal half, a.t. present. Unguiculus with all lamellae smooth and lanceolate (a.i., a.e., p. i., p.e.) (Fig. 17B); ratio unguis: unguiculus = 1: 1.50–1.79 (n = 5), holotype = 1: 1.75. Tibiotarsal smooth chaetae about 0.7× smaller than unguiculus; tenent hair slightly acuminated and about 0.44× smaller than unguis outer lamella.

Collophore (Fig. 17C). Anterior side with 10 ciliate, apically acuminated chaetae, five proximal (thinner); three subdistal and two distal mac; lateral flap with 11 chaetae, five ciliate in the proximal row and six smooth in the distal row.

Furcula. Covered with ciliate chaetae, spine-like chaetae and scales. Manubrial plate with four ciliate chaetae (two inner mac) and three ps (Fig. 17D). Dens posterior face with two or more longitudinal rows of spine-like
chaetae about 50 external and 37 internal, external spines larger and thinner than internal ones. Mucro with four teeth, ratio width: length = 0.31 (n = 5).

Etymology. Lacerta from Latin means lizard, in allusion to the name of the cave where this species was found, Lapa do Calango (cave of the Calango), which is a small lizard common in this region.

Remarks. Trogolaphysa lacerta sp. nov. The new species resembles T. caripensis, T. ernesti, T. piracurucaensis, T. formosensis and T. dandarae sp. nov. by the number of mac in Th II p3 complex (6 + 6), but is easily distinguished by the head m2 and s5 mic (T. caripensis, T. ernesti, T. formosensis, T. piracurucaensis as mac) and Th III without mac (T. dandarae sp. nov. 3 + 3). 

Trogolaphysa chapelensis sp. nov. Lima, Oliveira & Zeppelini

Figure 15. Trogolaphysa lacerta sp. nov.: (A) Head dorsal chaetotaxy, (B) labial proximal chaetae, basomedial and basolateral labial fields and postlabial chaetotaxy. Black cut circle, pseudopore; Gray cut circle pseudopore at the under surface.

Type material. Holotype female in slide (4550/CRFS-UEPB): Brazil, Minas Gerais State, Rio Acima municipality, cave Gruta-2d7, next to “Morro do Chapéu” 20°07′42.1″S, 43°54′26.2″W, 02–10.viii.2011, Andrade et al. coll. Paratypes in slides (4551–4553/CRFS-UEPB): 3 females, Brazil, Minas Gerais State, Rio Acima municipality, cave Gruta-7d7, Qd7, 9d7 respectively, 20°07′42.1″S, 43°54′26.7″W, 29.iii–01.vi.2011, Andrade et al. coll. Paratype in slide (4603/CRFS-UEPB donated to MNJR): 1 female, Brazil, Minas Gerais State, Rio Acima municipality, cave Gruta Qd7, 20°09′46.1″S, 43°49′36.2″W, 925 m, 29.iii–01.vi.2011, Andrade et al. Coll. Additional records see S1.

Description. Total length (head + trunk) 1.21–2.22 mm (n = 5), holotype 2.22 mm.

Head. Ratio antennae: trunk = 1: 1.31–1.16 (n = 3), holotype = 1: 1.16; Ant III shorter than Ant II; Ant segments ratio, I: II, III, IV = 1: 1.66–1.85, 1.65–1.78, 2.95–3.76, holotype = 1: 1.66, 1.65, 2.95. Antennal chaetotaxy (no represented): Ant IV dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, and finger-shaped sens, dorsally with about six rod sens on longitudinal row, ventrally with one subapical-organ and about three subapical wrinkly sens (Fig. 4A); Ant III dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, and finger-shaped sens, dorsally without modified sens, ventrally with one apical psp, one apical wrinkly sens, apical organ with two coffee bean-like sens, and one rod sens (Fig. 4A); Ant II dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, dorsally with about three sub-apical finger-shaped sens and about three apical rod sens, ventrally with...
one apical psp, one longitudinal external row with four wrinkly sens (Fig. 4A); and Ant I dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, dorsally with three basal spine-like sens, ventrally with four basal spine-like sens, about three smooth mic and several finger-shaped sens (Fig. 4A). Eyes 0 + 0. Head dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 18A) with 15 An (An1 a–3), six A (A0 – 5), four M (M1–4), five S (S2–6), two Ps (Ps 2, Ps 5), four Pa (Pa 1–5), two P m (P m 1, P m 3), seven P p (P p 1–7), and two Ps (Ps 2, Ps 5) chaetae; P m 3 and Pa 5 as mes, An1 a–3a with 13 mac plus two mes, A0 and A2 as mac; interocular p mic present. Basomedian and basolateral labial fields with a1–5 smooth, M, Me, E and L1–2 ciliate, r reduced (Fig. 18B). Ventral chaetotaxy with 29 ciliate chaetae; postlabial G1–4; X, X4; H1–4; J1–2, chaetae b.c. present and a collar row of six mes chaetae distally (Fig. 18B). Prelabral chaetae ciliate. Labral chaetae smooth, no modifications. Labial papilla E with l.p. finger-shaped and surpassing the base of apical appendage. Labial proximal chaetae smooth (an1–3, p2–3) and subequal in length (Fig. 18B). Maxillary palp with t.a. smooth and 1.17× larger than b.c.

Thorax dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 19A). Th II a, m, p series with two mic (a1–2), one mac (a5), three mic (m1–2, m4) and four mic (p4–6e), p3 complex with four mac, respectively, al and ms present. Th III a, m, p series with three mic (a1–3), two mes (a6–7), two mic (m4–6p), four mes (m6–6e, m7–7e), four mic (p1–3, p6) respectively. Ratio Th II: III = 1.10–1.31: 1 (n = 4), holotype = 1.10: 1.

Abdomen dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 19B, C). Abd I a, m, p series with one (a5) and six (m2–6e) mic respectively, ms present. Abd II a, m, p series with two mic (a6–7), two mac (m3, m5), three mic (p5–7) respectively, el mic and as present; a5 and m2 bothriotricha surrounded by five and four fan-shaped chaetae respectively. Abd III a, m, p series with one mic (a7), three fan-shaped chaetae (a2–3, a6), two mic (m7–7), three mac (m3, m6, pm6), three mic (p6e, p7i–7), one mac (p6) chaetae respectively; a5, m2 and m5 bothriotricha with six, two and

Figure 16. *Trogophyta lacerta* sp. nov.: Dorsal chaetotaxy. (A) Th II–III, (B) Abd I–III, (C) Abd IV–V.
three fan-shaped chaetae respectively, as sens elongated, ms present. Abd IV A–Fe series with three mic (A1, A6, Ae1), two mac (A3, A5), one mic (B1), one mes (B6), two mac (B4–5), four mic (C1–4), three mic (T1, T5–6), one mes (T7), five mic (D1–3, Dc3), one mes (D3p), one mic (E4p2), one mes (E4p), three mac (E1–3), one mic (Ee12), two mes (Ee10–11), one mac (Ee9), one mic (F1), two mes (F3–3p), one mac (F2), one mic (F2e), three mes (Fe3–5) chaetae, respectively; T2, T4 and E4 bothriotricha surrounded by four and two (T3) fan-shaped chaetae respectively; ps and as present, and at least six supernumerary sens with uncertain homology’ (Fig. 8A); Abd. IV posteriorly with nine psp. Abd V a, m, p sens with two mic (a1, a3), one mes (a6), one mac (a5), two mes (m5a, m5e), three mac (m2–3, m5), five mic (p3a–6ae), one mic (p6e) two mes (ap6–pp6), four mac (p1, p3–5) chaetae, respectively; as, accp4–5 present. Ratio Abd III: IV = 1: 3.46–5.80 (n = 5), holotype = 1: 5.80.

Legs. Trochanteral organ diamond shape with about 23 spine-like chaetae, plus two psp one external and one on distal vertex of Omt (Fig. 20A). Unguis outer side with one paired tooth straight and not developed on proximal third; inner lamella wide with four teeth, basal pair subequal, b.p. not reaching the m.t. apex, m.t. just after the distal half, a.t. present. Unguiculus with lamellae smooth and lanceolate (a.i., a.e., p.i.), except p.e. slightly serrate (Fig. 20B); ratio unguis: unguiculus = 1: 1.63–1.84 (n = 5), holotype = 1: 1.79. Tibiotarsal smooth chaetae about 0.8× smaller than unguiculus; tenent hair capitate and about 0.52× smaller unguis outer lamella.

Collophore (Fig. 20C). Anterior side with 13 ciliate, apically acuminate chaetae, seven proximal (thinner); four subdistal and two distal mac; lateral flap with 11 chaetae, five ciliate in the proximal row and six smooth in the distal row.

Furcula. Covered with ciliate chaetae, spine-like chaetae and scales. Manubrial plate with four ciliate chaetae (two inner mac) and three psp (Fig. 20D). Dens posterior face with two or more longitudinal rows of spine-like chaetae about 70 external and 30 internal, external spines larger and thinner than internal ones. Mucro with four teeth, ratio width: length = 0.33 (n = 5).

Etymology. Species named after Type locality Morro do Chapeu.

Remarks. Trogolaphysa chapelenensis sp. nov. resembles T. jacobyi, T. caripensis, T. bessoni, and T. belizeana by the absence of eyes (0 + 0 eyes) but is easily distinguished by presenting 4 + 4 mac in Th II p3 complex (2–3 + 2–3 T. jacobyi; 6 + 6 T. caripensis; 2 + 2 T. bessoni; 2–4 + 2–4 T. belizeana), and 9 + 9 psp posterior Abd IV (4 + 4 T. belizeana).

Trogolaphysa crystallensis sp. nov. Oliveira, Lima & Zeppelini

Type material. Holotype female in slide (16,252/CRFS-UEPB): Brazil, Minas Gerais State, Mariana municipality, cave LOC-0090, next to "Cachoeira Crystal", 20°20′20.8″S, 43°23′44.3″W, 11–14.xi.2019, Carste team coll. Paratype in slide (16,251/CRFS-UEPB): female, same data as holotype. Paratype in slide (16,254/CRFS-UEPB) donated to MNJR: female, same data as holotype. Additional records see S1.

Description. Total length (head + trunk) of specimens 1.40–1.68 mm (n = 3), holotype 1.68 mm.

Head. Ratio antennae: trunk = 1: 1.24–2.30 (n = 2), holotype = 1: 1.24; Ant III shorter than Ant II length; Ant segments ratio as I: II, III, IV = 1: 1.72–1.78, 1.58–1.64, 3.11–3.14, holotype = 1: 1.78, 1.64, 3.14. Antennal chaetotaxy (no represented): Ant IV dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, and finger-shaped...
sens, dorsally with about three rod sens on longitudinal row, ventrally with one subapical-organ and several wrinkly sens (Fig. 4A); Ant III dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, and finger-shaped sens, dorsally without modified sens, ventrally with one apical 
psp
, about three wrinkly sens on external longitudinal row, apical organ with two rod sens, and one finger-shaped sens (Fig. 4A); Ant II dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, dorsally with three sub-apical finger-shaped sens and one wrinkly sens, ventrally with one apical 
psp
 (Fig. 4A); and Ant I dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, dorsally with three basal spine-like sens, ventrally with four basal spine-like sens, about three smooth mic and several finger-shaped sens (Fig. 4A). Eyes 0 + 0. Head dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 21A) with 12–13 
An
(An1a–3), six 
A
(A0–5), four 
M
(M1–4), five 
S
(S2–6), two 
Ps
(Ps2, Ps5), four 
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(Pe2, Pe6) chaetae; 
Pa5, Pm3 and 
Pp7
 as mes, 
An1a–3a, A0 and 
A2
 as mac; interocular 
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reduced (Fig. 21B). Ventral chaetotaxy with 33–35 ciliate chaetae and one reduced lateral spine; postlabial 
G1–4
; 
X
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X4
; 
H1–4
; 
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, chaetae 
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present and a collar row of four to six mes chaetae distally (Fig. 21B). Prelabral chaetae ciliate. Labral chaetae smooth, no modifications. Labial papilla 
E
 with l.p. finger-shaped and surpassing the base of apical appendage. Labial proximal chaetae smooth (an1–3, p2–3) and subequal in length (Fig. 21B). Maxillary palp with t.a. smooth and 1.43 × larger than 
b.c.
.

Thorax dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 22A). Th II 
a
, 
m
, 
p
series with two mic (a1–2), one mac (a5), three mic (m1–2, m4) and four mic (p4–6e), 
p3
complex with five mac, respectively, 
al
and 
ms
present. Th III 
a
, 
m
, 
p
series with two mic (a1–2), two mes (a6–7), three mic (m4, m6–6p), three mes (m6e, m7–7e), four mic (p1–3, p6) respectively. Ratio Th II: III = 1.05–1.27: 1 (n = 3), holotype = 1.05: 1.

Abdomen dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 22B, C). Abd I 
a
, 
m
series with one (a5) and six (m2–6e) mic respectively, 
ms
present. Abd II 
a
, 
m
, 
p
series with two mic (a6–7), two mac (m3, m5), three mic (p5–7) respectively, 
el
mic and 
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present; 
a5
and 
m2
bothriotricha surrounded by four and two fan-shaped chaetae respectively. Abd III 
a
, 
m
, 
p
series with one mic (a7), three fan-shaped chaetae (a2–3, a6), two mic (m7i–7), three mac (m3, am6, pm6), three mic (p6c, p7i–7), one mac (p6) chaetae respectively, 
a5
, 
m2
and 
m5
bothriotricha with six, two

Figure 18. 
*Trogolaphysa chapelensis* sp. nov.: (A) Head dorsal chaetotaxy, (B) labial proximal chaetae, basomedial and basolateral labial fields and postlabial chaetotaxy. Black cut circle, pseudopore; Gray cut circle pseudopore at the under surface.
and three fan-shaped chaetae respectively, as sens elongated, ms present. Abd IV A–Fe series with three mic (A1, A6, Ae1), two mac (A3, A5), one mic (B1), one mes (B6), two mac (B4–5), four mic (C1–4), three mic (T1, T5–7), five mic (D1–1p, D3–3p, De3), one mes (D2), two mes (E4p–4p2), three mac (E1–3), four mes (Ee9–12), one mic (F1), three mes (F2–3p), one mic (Fe2), three mes (Fe3–5) chaetae, respectively; T2, T4 and E4 bothriotricha surrounded by three and two (T3) fan-shaped chaetae respectively; ps and as present, and at least 14 supernumary sens with uncertain homology (Fig. 8A); Abd. IV posteriorly with three psp. Abd V a, m, p series with two mic (a1, a3), one mes (a6), one mac (a5), two mes (m5a, m5e), three mac (m2–3, m5), five mic (p3a–P6ae), three mes (p6e–pp6), four mac (p1, p3–5) chaetae, respectively; as and acc.p4–5 present. Ratio Abd III: IV = 1: 4.06–4.51 (n = 3), holotype = 1: 4.51.

Legs. Trochanter organ diamond shape with about 18 spine-like chaetae, plus two psp one external and one on distal vertex of Omt (Fig. 23A). Unguis outer side with one paired tooth straight and not developed on proximal third; basal pair subequal, b.p. little larger, but not reaching the m.t. apex, m.t. just after the distal half, a.t. absent. Unguiculus with all lamellae smooth and lanceolate (a.i., a.e., p.i., p.e.) (Fig. 23B); ratio unguis: unguiculus = 1.48–1.79: 1 (n = 3), holotype = 1.48: 1. Tibiotarsal smooth chaetae about 0.8× smaller than unguiculus; tenent hair acuminate and about 0.5× smaller than ungul outer lamella.

Collophore (Fig. 23C). Anterior side with 10 ciliate, apically acuminate chaetae, six proximal, two subdistal (as mes) and two distal mac; lateral flap with 11 chaetae, five ciliate in the proximal row and six smooth in the distal row.

Furcula. Covered with ciliate chaetae, spine-like chaetae and scales. Manubrial plate with four ciliate chaetae (two inner mac) and three psp (Fig. 23D). Dens posterior face with two or more longitudinal rows of spine-like chaetae.

Figure 19. *Trogolaphysa chapelensis* sp. nov.: Dorsal chaetotaxy. (A) Th II–III, (B) Abd I–III, (C) Abd IV–V.
chaetae about 60 external and 28 internal, external spines larger and thinner than internal ones. Mucro with four teeth, ratio width: length = 0.31 (holotype).

**Etymology.** Species named after Type locality Cachoeira Crystal (Portuguese for Crystal falls).

**Remarks.** Trogolaphysa crystallensis sp. nov. resembles T. barroca sp. nov., T. gisbertae sp. nov., T. sotoadamesi sp. nov., T. triocelata and T. zampauloi sp. nov. by the absence of eyes (0 + 0 eyes) (T. triocelata 3 + 3 and T. zampauloi sp. nov. eventually 4 + 4), Th II with 5 + 5 mac, and Th III without mac. Can be distinguished from T. barroca sp. nov., T. gisbertae sp. nov., and T. sotoadamesi sp. nov. by the Abd IV with 4 + 4 central mac (A3, A5, B4–5); T. barroca sp. nov., T. gisbertae sp. nov., and T. triocelata, with 3 + 3 and T. sotoadamesi sp. nov. 2 + 2 central mac on Abd IV. Finally, the new species differentiates from T. zampauloi sp. nov. by unpaired lamella of unguis with one tooth, Omt with about 18 spine-like chaetae, dens external row with about 58 spines on T. crystallensis sp. nov. and unpaired lamella of unguis with two teeth, Omt with about 26 spine-like chaetae, dens external row with about 30 spines on T. zampauloi sp. nov.

*Figures 24, 25 and 26, Tables 1 and 2*

Trogolaphysa sotoadamesi sp. nov. Ferreira, Oliveira & Zeppelini

**Type material.** Holotype male in slide (13,162/CRFS-UEPB): Brazil, Minas Gerais State, Mariana municipality, cave ALEA 0003, next to “Mina de Alegria”, 20°09′6.81″S, 43°29′13.6″W, 07.ii.2018, Bioespelooe team coll.

Paratypes in slides (13,146, 13,153/CRFS-UEPB): 2 females, same data as holotype, except 12.vi.2017. Paratype in slide (13,173, 13,186/CRFS-UEPB donated to MNJR): 2 females, same data as holotype, except 09.vi.2017. Additional records see S1.

**Description.** Total length (head + trunk) of specimens 1.50–1.81 mm (n = 5), holotype 1.50 mm.

Head. Ratio antennae: trunk = 1: 1.26–1.45 (n = 3), holotype = 1: 1.38; Ant III shorter than Ant II; Ant segments ratio, I: II, III, IV = 1: 1.78–2.76, 1.52–2.22, 2.61–3.90, holotype = 1: 2.04, 1.68, 3.16. Antennal chaetotaxy (no represented): Ant IV dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, and finger-shaped sens, dorsally without modified sens, ventrally with one apical psp, several wrinkly sens, apical organ with two coffee bean-like sens, one rod sens and one finger-shaped sens (Fig. 4A); Ant II dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, dorsally with two sub-apical rod sens and two finger-shaped sens, ventrally with one apical psp and several finger-shaped sens (Fig. 4A); and Ant I dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, dorsally with three basal spine-like sens, ventrally with about seven basal spine-like sens, about three smooth mic and several finger-shaped sens (Fig. 3A). Eyes 0 + 0. Head dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 24A) with 16 An (An1a–3), six A (A0–5), four M (M1–4), five S (S2–6), two Ps (Ps2, Ps5), four Pa (Pa1–5), two Pm (Pm1, Pm3), seven Pp (Pp1–7), and two Pe (Pe4, Pe6) chaetae. Pm3 as mes (rarely mic), Pa5 as mes, An1a–3a, A0 and A2 as mac; interocular p mes present. Basomedian and basolateral labial fields with a1–5 smooth, M, Me, E and L1–2 ciliate, r reduced (Fig. 24B). Ventral chaetotaxy with 37 ciliate chaetae and one reduced lateral spine; postlabial G1–4, X, X4; H1–4; J1–2, chaetae b.c. present and a collar row of six mes chaetae distally (Fig. 24B). Prelabral chaetae ciliate. Labral chaetae smooth, no modifications. Labial papilla E with Lp. finger-shaped and...
surpassing the base of apical appendage. Labial proximal chaetae smooth (an1–3, p2–p3) and subequal in length (Fig. 24B). Maxillary palp with t.a. smooth and 1.28× larger than b.c.

Thorax dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 25A). Th II a, m, p series with two mic (a1–2), one mac (a5), three mic (m1–2, m4) and four mic (p4–6e), p3 complex with five mac, respectively, al and ms present. Th III a, m, p series with three mic (a1–3, a6), one mes (a7), four mic (m4, m6–7, m6p), two mes (m6c, m7e), four mic (p1–3, p6) respectively. Ratio Th II: III = 1.17–1.52: 1 (n = 5), holotype = 1.03: 1.

Abdomen dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 25B). Abd I a, p series with one (a5) and six (m2–6e) mic respectively, ms present. Abd II a, m, p series with two mic (a6–7), two mac (m3, m5), three mic (p5–7) respectively, el mic and as present; a5 and m2 bothriotricha surrounded by five and three fan-shaped chaetae respectively. Abd III a, m, p series with one mic (a7), three fan-shaped chaetae (a2–3, a6), two mic (m7i–7), three mac (m3, am6, pm6), three mic (p6e, p7i–7), one mac (p6) chaetae respectively; a5, m2 and m5 bothriotricha with five, two and three fan-shaped chaetae respectively, as sens elongated, ms present. Abd IV A–Fe series with five mic (A1, A3, A5–6, Ae1), one mic (B1), one mes (B6), two mac (B4–5), four mic (C1–4), two mic (T1, T6), two mes (T5, T7), three mic (D1–2), two mes (D3p, D3e), two mes (E4p–p2), three mac (E1–3), one mic (Ee12), three mes (Ee9–11), one mic (F1), two mes (F3–3p), one mac (F2), one mic (Fe2), three mes (Fe3–5) chaetae, respectively; T4 and E4 bothriotricha surrounded by four and three (T3) fan-shaped chaetae respectively; ps and as present, and at least five supernumerary sens with uncertain homology s’ (Fig. 2A); Abd. IV posteriorly with four psp. Abd V a, m, p series with two mic (a1, a3), one mes (a6), one mac (a5), two mes (m5a, m5e), three mac (m2–3, m5), five mic (p3a–p6ae), one mic (P6e) two mes (ap6–p6), four mac (p1, p3–5) chaetae, respectively; as, ac, p4–5 present. Ratio Abd III: IV = 1: 5.03–4.42 (n = 5), holotype = 1: 4.42.

Legs. Trochanteral organ triangular shape with about 19–21 spine-like chaetae, plus two psp one external and one on distal vertex of Omt (Fig. 26A). Unguis outer side with one paired tooth straight and not developed on proximal third; inner lamella wide with two teeth, basal pair unequal, b.p. larger than ba; m.t. and a.t. absent. Unguiculus with all lamellae smooth and lanceolate (a.i., a.e., p.i., p.e.) (Fig. 26B); ratio unguis: unguiculus = 1:
1.46–1.91 (n = 5), holotype = 1: 1.91. Tibiotarsal smooth chaetae about 0.8 × smaller unguiculus; tenent hair acuminate and about 0.4 × smaller than unguis outer lamella.

Collophore (Fig. 26C). Anterior side with seven ciliate, apically acuminate chaetae, three proximal, two subdistal and two distal mac; lateral flap with nine chaetae, four ciliate in the proximal row and five smooth in the distal row.

Furcula. Covered with ciliate chaetae, spine-like chaetae and scales. Manubrial plate with five ciliate chaetae (two inner mac) and three psp (Fig. 26D). Dens posterior face with two or more longitudinal rows of spine-like chaetae about 35 external and 26 internal, external spines larger and thinner than internal ones. Mucro with four teeth, ratio width: length = 0.39 (n = 5).

Etymology. Species named after Dr. Felipe N. Soto-Adames for his contribution on Collembola taxonomy and systematics.

Remarks. Trogolaphysa sotoadamesi sp. nov. resembles T. barroca sp. nov., T. crystallensis sp. nov., T. gisbertae sp. nov., T. zampauloi sp. nov. by 0 + 0 eyes (T. zampauloi sp. nov. rarely with 4 + 4 eyes), Th II p3 complex with five mac, Th III without mac, manubrial plate with five ciliate chaetae and mucro with four teeth. The new species T. sotoadamesi sp. nov. with 2 + 2 central mac on Abd IV differentiates from T. barroca sp. nov., T. gisbertae sp. nov. with 3 + 3, and T. crystallensis sp. nov., T. zampauloi sp. nov. with 4 + 4 central mac.

Figure 22. Trogolaphysa crystallensis sp. nov.: Dorsal chaetotaxy. (A) Th II–III, (B) Abd I–III; (C) Abd IV–V.

Type material. Holotype female in slide (9109/CRFS-UEPB): Brazil, Minas Gerais State, Conceição do Mato Dentro municipality, MSS 10/11, next to "Pico do Soldado" 19°00′23.86″S, 43°23′41.266″W, 11–10.ix.2015, Carste
team coll. Paratypes in slides (5888, 5857/CRFS-UEPB): 2 females, same data as holotype, except,19–23.v.2014, Soares et al. coll.

Paratype in slide (9222, 10,760/CRFS-UEPB donated to MNJR): 2 females, same data as holotype, except 19°00′18.72″S, 43°23′30.031″W, 14.x.2015 and 18–20.iv.2016. Additional records see S1.

Description. Total length (head + trunk) of specimens 1.07–1.49 mm (n = 5), holotype 1.49 mm.

Head. Ratio antennae: trunk = 1: 1.69–1.91 (n = 2), holotype = 1: 1.69; Ant III shorter than Ant II length; Ant Psp, wrinkle sens (Fig. 27B). Prelabral chaetae ciliate. Labral chaetae smooth, no modifications. Labial papilla a5 smooth and about 1.13× larger than b.C. Labial proximal chaetae smooth (Fig. 27A) with 12 basal spine-like sens, about five smooth mic, and several finger-shaped sens (Fig. 4A). Eyes 0 + 0. Head dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 27A) with 12 An (An1–3), six A (A0–5), four M (M1–4), five S (S2–6), two Ps (Ps2, Ps5), four Pa (Pa1–3, Pa5), two Pm (Pm1, Pm3), seven Pp (Pp1–7), and two Pe (Pe4, Pe6) chaetae; Pm3 and Pa5 as mes. An1a–3a, A0 and A2 as mac; interocular p mic present. Basomedian and basolateral labial fields with a1–5 smooth, M, Me, E and L1–2 ciliate, r reduced (Fig. 27B). Ventral chaetotaxy with 34 ciliate chaetae and one reduced lateral spine; postlabial G1–4; X, X4; H1–4; J1–2, chaetae b.c. present and a collar row of six mes chaetae distally (Fig. 27B). Prelabral chaetae ciliate. Labral chaetae smooth, no modifications. Labial papilla E with Lp, finger-shaped and surpassing the base of apical appendage. Labial proximal chaetae smooth (an1–3, p2–3) and subequal in length (Fig. 27B). Maxillary palp with t.a. smooth and 1.13× larger than b.c.

Thorax dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 28A). Th II a, m, p series with two mic (a1–2), one mac (a5), three mic (m1–2, m4) and four mic (p4–6e), p3 complex with three mac, respectively, al and ms present. Th III a, m, p series with three mic (a1–3), two mes (a6–7), three mic (m4–m6p), three mes (m6e, m7–7e), four mic (p1–3, p6) respectively. Ratio Th II: III = 0.85–1.02: 1 (n = 4), holotype = 0.89: 1. Abdomen dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 28B, C). Abd I a, m series with one (a5) and six (m2–6e) mic respectively, ms present. Abd II a, m, p series with two mic (a6–7), two mac (m3, m5), three mic (p5–7) respectively, el mic and as present; a5 and m2 bothriotricha surrounded by four and two fan-shaped chaetae respectively. Abd III a, m, p series with one mic (a7), three fan-shaped chaetae (a2–3, a6), two mic (m7i–7), three mac (m3, m6e, pm6), two mic (p6e, p7i), one mac (p6e) chaetae respectively; a5, m2 and m5 bothriotricha with five, two and two fan-shaped chaetae respectively, as sens elongated, ms present. Abd IV A–Fe series with three mic (A1, A6, Ae1), one mac (A4), two mic (B1–2), one mes (B6), one mac (B5), four mic (C1–4), three mic (T1, T5, T7), five mic (D1–3, De3), one mes (D3p), one mic (E4p2), one mes (E4p), three mac (E1–3), one mic (Ee12), two mes (Ee10–11), one mac (Ee9), one mic (F1), three mes

Figure 23. Trogolaphysa crystallensis sp. nov.: (A) Trochanteral organ, (B) Distal tibiotarsus and empodial complex III (anterior view), (C) Manubrial plate, (D) Antero-lateral view of collophore chaetotaxy.
(F2–3p), one mic (Fe2), three mes (Fe3–5) chaetae, respectively; T2, T4 and E4 bothriotricha surrounded by four and three (T3) fan-shaped chaetae respectively; ps and as present, and at least five supernumerary sens with uncertain homology’s’ (Fig. 8A); Abd. IV posteriorly with four psp. Abd V a, m, p series with two mic (a1, a3), one mes (a6), one mac (a5), five mac (m2–3, m5–5e), five mic (p3a–6ae), two mes (ap6–pp6), four mac (p1, p3–5) chaetae, respectively; as, acc.p4–5 present. Ratio Abd III: IV = 1: 4.27–5.91 (n = 5), holotype = 1: 5.02.

Legs. Trochanteral organ diamond shape with about 15 spine-like chaetae, plus 2–3 psp one external, one on distal vertex and another (present or absent) on top of posterior spines row of Omt (Fig. 29A). Unguis outer side with one paired tooth straight and not developed on proximal third; inner lamella wide with two teeth, basal pair subequal, b.p. larger than b.a., inner lamella with unpaired small m.t. between b.a. and b.p. and a.t. absent. Unguiculus with all lamellae smooth and truncate (a.i., a.e., p.i., p.e.) (Fig. 29B); ratio unguis: unguiculus = 1.50–1.95: 1, holotype = 1.95: 1. Tibiotarsal smooth chaetae about 0.9× smaller than unguiculus; tenent hair slightly capitate and about 0.6× smaller than unguis outer lamella.

Collophore (Fig. 29C). Anterior side with eight ciliate, apically acuminate chaetae, six proximal and two distal mac; lateral flap with 13 chaetae, five ciliate in the proximal row and eight smooth in the distal row.

Furcula. Covered with ciliate chaetae, spine-like chaetae and scales. Manubrial plate with four ciliate chaetae (two inner mac) and three psp (Fig. 29D). Dens posterior face with two or more longitudinal rows of spine-like chaetae about 40 external and 22 internal, external spines larger and thinner than internal ones. Mucro with four teeth, ratio width: length = 0.23 (holotype).

Etymology. Species named after Dr. Marie Skłodowska-Curie for her enormous contribution to science.

Remarks. Trogolaphysa mariecurieae sp. nov. resembles T. bellini sp. nov, T. jacyobia and T. epitychia sp. nov. by the absence of eyes (T. bellini sp. nov. rarely with 2 + 2 eyes), Th II p3 complex with three mac and with one unpaired tooth on inner lamella of unguis. The new species T. maricurieae sp. nov. (Abd IV with 2 + 2 mac) differs from T. jacyobia, T. epitychia sp. nov. both with Abd IV 3 + 3, and T. bellini sp. nov. with 4 + 4 central.

Figure 24. Trogolaphysa sotoadamesi sp. nov.: (A) Head dorsal chaetotaxy, (B) labial proximal chaetae, basomedial and basolateral labial fields and postlabial chaetotaxy. Black cut circle, pseudopore, Gray cut circle pseudopore at the under surface.
mac. *T. mariecuriae* **sp. nov.** and *T. bellinii* **sp. nov.** with capitate tentent hair, in contrast with *T. jacybyia* and *T. epytchia* **sp. nov.** with acuminated tenant hair.

*Trogolaphysa barroca* **sp. nov.** Brito & Zeppelini

Figures 30, 31 and 32, Tables 1 and 2

**Type material.** Holotype female in slide (13,167/CRFS-UEPB): Brazil, Minas Gerais State, Mariana municipality, ALFA-0003 cave, 20°09′06.8″S, 43°29′13.6″W, 07–27.ii.2018, Bioespeleo team coll. Paratype in slide (13,150/CRFS-UEPB): 1 female, same data as holotype, except 12.vi.2017. Paratype in slide (13,158/CRFS-UEPB donated to MNJR): 1 female, same data as holotype. Paratype in slide (13,197/CRFS-UEPB): 1 female, Brazil, Minas Gerais State, Mariana municipality, ALEA-0004 cave, 20°09′00.0″S, 43°29′11.8″W, 07.ii.2018, Bioespeleo team coll. Paratype in slide (13,203/CRFS-UEPB): 1 female, Brazil, Minas Gerais State, Mariana municipality, ALEA-0002 cave, 20°08′56.5″S, 43°29′09.8″W, 27.ii.2018, Bioespeleo team coll. Additional records see S1.

**Description.** Total length (head + trunk) of specimens 1.70–2.13 mm (n = 5), holotype 1.81 mm.

Head. Ratio antennae: trunk = 1:1.27–1.60 (n = 3), holotype = 1:1.27; Ant III shorter than Ant II; Ant segments ratio as, I:II, III, IV = 1:1.90–2.41, 2.69–3.64, holotype = 1:1.90, 1.67, 2.69. Antennal chaetotaxy (no represented): Ant IV dorsally and ventrally with several short less ciliate mic and mac, and finger-shaped sens, dorsally with about four rod sens on longitudinal row, ventrally with one subapical-organ and several wrinkly sens (Fig. 4A); Ant III dorsally and ventrally with several short less ciliate mic and mac, and finger-shaped sens, dorsally without modified sens, ventrally with one apical psp, about nine wrinkly sens on external longitudinal row, apical organ with one finger-shaped sens, two coffee bean-like sens, and one rod sens (Fig. 4A); Ant II dorsally and ventrally with several short less ciliate mic and mac, dorsally with two sub-apical finger-shaped sens.
Figure 26. *Trogolaphysa sotoadamesi* sp. nov.: (A) Trochantal organ, (B) Distal tibiotarsus and empodial complex III (anterior view), (C) Manubrial plate, (D) Antero-lateral view of collophore chaetotaxy.

and two subapical rod sens, ventrally with one apical psp, and several wrinkly sens on longitudinal external row (Fig. 4A); and Ant I dorsally and ventrally with several short less ciliate mic and mac, dorsally with three basal spine-like sens, ventrally with about five basal spine-like sens, about five smooth mic and several finger-shaped sens (Fig. 4A). Eyes 0 + 0. Head dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 30A) with 14–15 An (An1a–3), six A (A0–5), five M (M1–5), six S (S1–6), two Ps (Ps2, Ps5), four Pa (Pa1–3, Pa5), two Pm (Pm1, Pm3), seven Pp (Pp1–7), and two Pe (Pe4, Pe6) chaetae; Pm3 as mic, A3 as mes, An1a–3, A0, A2 and Pa5 as mac; interocular p present. Basomedian and basolateral labial fields with a1–5 smooth, M, Me, E and L1–2 ciliate, r reduced (Fig. 30B). Ventral chaetotaxy with 33 ciliate chaetae and one reduced lateral spine; postlabial G1–4; X, X4; H1–4; J1–2, chaetae b.c. present and a collar row of five to six mes chaetae distally (Fig. 30B). Prelabral chaetae weakly ciliate. Labral chaetae smooth, no modifications. Labial papilla E with l.p. finger-shaped and subequal the base of apical appendage. Labial proximal chaetae smooth (an1–3, p2–3), and subequal in length (Fig. 30B). Maxillary palp with t.a. smooth and 1.14 × larger than b.c.

Thorax dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 31A). Th II a, m, p series with two mic (a1–2), one mac (a5), three mic (m1–2, m4) and four mic (p4–6e), p3 complex with five mac, respectively, al and ms present. Th III a, m, p series with three mic (a1–3), two mes (a6–7), two mic (m4, m6p), four mes (m6–6e, m7–7e), and four mic (p1–3, p6), respectively. Ratio Th II: III = 1: 1.11–1.35: 1 (n = 5), holotype = 1.29: 1.

Abdomen dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 31B, C). Abd I a, m series with one (a5) and six (m2–6e) mic, respectively, ms present. Abd II a, m, p series with two mic (a6–7), two mac (m3, m5), three mic (p5–7) respectively, el mic and as present; a5 and m2 bothriotricha surrounded by four and three fan-shaped chaetae, respectively. Abd III a, m, p series with one mic (a7), three fan-shaped chaetae (a2–3, a6), two mic (m7i–7), three mac (m3, am6, pm6), three mic (p6e, p7i–7), one mac (p6) chaetae, respectively; a5, m2 and m5 bothriotricha with six, two and three fan-shaped chaetae, respectively; as sens elongated, ms present. Abd IV A–Fe series with four mic (A1, A5–6, Ael), one mac (A3), one mic (B1), one mes (B6), two mac (B4–5), four mic (C1–4), three mic (T1, T5–6), one mes (T7), five mic (D1–3, Dc3), one mes (D3p), one mic (E4p2), one mes (E4p), one mic (E1–3), one mic (Eel2), three mes (Ee9–11), one mic (F1), three mes (F2–3p), one mic (Fe2), three mes (Fc3–5) chaetae, respectively; T2, T4 and E4 bothriotricha surrounded by four and two (T3) fan-shaped chaetae, respectively; ps and as present, and at least seven supernumerary sens with uncertain homology’s’ (Fig. 8A); Abd. IV posteriorly with four to six psp. Abd V a, m, p series with two mic (a1, a3), one mes (a6), one mac (a5), two mes (m5a–5e), three mac (m2–3, m5), five mic (p3a–6ac), one mic (p6e), two mes (ap6, pp6), four mac (p1, p3–5) chaetae, respectively; as and acc.p4–5 present. Ratio Abd III: IV = 1: 3.38–5.55 (n = 5), holotype = 1: 5.27.

Legs. Trochantal organ diamond shape with about 16–21 spine-like chaetae, plus 2–3 psp one external, and two (one of them present or absent) on top of posterior spines row of Omt (Fig. 32A). Unguis outer side with one paired tooth straight and not developed on proximal third; inner lamella wide with two teeth, basal pair subequal; b.p. little larger than b.a., m.t. and a.t. absent. Unguiculus with all lamellae smooth and lanceolate (a.i., a.e., p.i.,
**Etymology.** Refers to the Baroque art (which is “barroco” noun, in Portuguese) of Mariana, Minas Gerais, type locality.

**Remarks.** *Trogolaphysa barroca* sp. nov. resembles *T. formosensis* by head *Pm3* mic (mac in *T. piracurucaensis*, *T. gisbertae* sp. nov. and *T. dandarae* sp. nov.; mes in *T. ernesti*, *T. sotoadamesi* sp. nov. and *T. mariecurieae* sp. nov.); 3 + 3 head dorsal mac like *T. ernesti*, although in the new species it is as *A0*, *A2* and *Pa5*, and in *T. ernesti* is *A0*, *A2*–3; unguis *m.t.* and *a.t.* teeth absent like *T. sotoadamesi* sp. nov. and *T. dandarae* sp. nov. (present in *T. bellini* sp. nov., *T. lacerta* sp. nov. and *T. chapelensis* sp. nov.).

*Trogolaphysa epitychia* sp. nov. Oliveira, Lima & Zeppelini

**Type material.** Holotype male in slide (10,678/CRFS-UEPB): Brazil, Minas Gerais State, Conceição do Mato Dentro municipality, cave CSS-0118, next to “São Sebastião do Bom Sucesso”, 18°56′14.1″S, 43°24′43.8″W, 21.xi–15.xii.2016, Carste team coll. Paratypes in slides (10,580, 10,585/CRFS-UEPB): 2 females, same data as holotype. Paratypes in slides (10,653, 10,692/CRFS-UEPB donated to MNJR): 1 female and 1 male, same data as holotype, except 22.xi–15.xii.2016 and 31.v–12.vi.2016, respectively. Additional records see S1.

**Description.** Total length (head + trunk) 1.13–1.35 mm (n = 5), holotype 1.13 mm.
Head. Ratio antennae: trunk = 1: 1.29–1.95 (n = 5), holotype = 1: 1.95; Ant III shorter than Ant II; Ant segments ratio as I: II, III, IV = 1: 1.69–2.20, 1.14–1.86, 2.37–3.52, holotype = 1: 1.71, 1.14, 2.37. Antennal chaetotaxy (no represented): Ant IV dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, and finger-shaped sens, dorsally with one longitudinal row with about six rod sens, ventrally with one subapical-organ and one longitudinal row with about four wrinkly sens (Fig. 4A); Ant III dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, and finger-shaped sens, dorsally without modified sens, ventrally with one apical psp, about three wrinkly sens on external longitudinal row, apical organ with two coffee bean-like sens, one rod sens and one smooth mic (Fig. 4A); Ant II dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, three basal spine-like sens, ventrally with four basal spine-like sens, three basal spine-like sens, and one wrinkly sens on external longitudinal row (Fig. 4A); and Ant I dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, three basal spine-like sens, ventrally with four basal spine-like sens, three basal spine-like sens, and one wrinkly sens on external longitudinal row (Fig. 4A).

Eyes 0 + 0. Head dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 33A) with 12 An (An1a–3), six A (A0–5), four M (M1–4), five S (S2–6), two Ps (Ps2, Ps5), four Pa (Pa1–5), two Pm (Pm1, Pm3), seven Pp (Pp1–7), and two Pe (Pe4, Pe6) chaetae; Pm3 and Pa5 as mes, An1a–3a, A0 and A2 as mac; interocular p mes present. Basomedian and basolateral labial fields with a1–5 smooth, M, Me, E and L1–2 ciliate, r reduced (Fig. 33B). Ventral chaetotaxy with 31–32 ciliate chaetae and one reduced lateral spine; postlabial G1–4; X, X4; H1–4; J1–2, chaetae b.c. present and a collar row of five to six mes chaetae distally (Fig. 33B). Prelabral chaetae ciliate. Labral chaetae smooth, no modifications. Labial papilla E with Lp. finger-shaped and surpassing the base of apical appendage. Labial proximal chaetae smooth (an1–3, p2–3) and subequal in length (Fig. 33B). Maxillary palp with t.a. smooth and 1.26× larger than b.c.

Figure 28. *Trogolaphysa mariecurieae* sp. nov.: Dorsal chaetotaxy. (A) Th II–III, (B) Abd I–III, (C) Abd IV–V.
Figure 29. *Trogolaphysa mariecurieae* sp. nov.: (A) Trochanteral organ, (B) Distal tibiotarsus and empodial complex III (anterior view), (C) Manubrial plate, (D) Antero-lateral view of collophore chaetotaxy.

Thorax dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 34A). Th II a, m, p series with two mic (a1–2), one mac (a5), three mic (m1–2, m4) and four mic (p4–6e), p3 complex with three mac, respectively, ai and ms present. Th III a, m, p series with three mic (a1–3), two mes (a6–7), three mic (m4, m6–6p), three mes (m6e, m7–7e), four mic (p1–3, p6) respectively. Ratio Th II: III = 1.05–1.21: 1 (n = 5), holotype = 1.18: 1. Tibiotarsal smooth chaetae about 0.8× smaller than unguiculus; tenent hair acuminate and about 0.53× smaller than unguis outer lamella.

Legs. Trochanteral organ in V-shape with about 15 spine-like chaetae, plus 4 psp one external, one on distal vertex and another two on top of posterior spines row of Omt (Fig. 35A). Unguis outer side with one paired tooth straight and not developed on proximal third; inner lamella wide with four teeth, basal pair subequal, h, p, little larger, not reaching the m, t, apex, m, t, just after the distal half, a, t, absent. Unguiculus with all lamellae smooth and slightly truncate (a, i., a, e., p, t., p, e.) (Fig. 35B); ratio unguis: unguiculus = 1.17–1.98: 1 (n = 5), holotype = 1.17: 1. Tibiotarsal smooth chaetae about 0.8× smaller than unguiculus; tenent hair acuminate and about 0.53× smaller than unguis outer lamella.

Collophore (Fig. 35C). Anterior side with nine ciliate, apically acuminate chaetae, five proximal, two subdistal and two distal mac; lateral flap with 10 chaetae, five ciliate in the proximal row and five smooth in the distal row.

Furcula. Covered with ciliate chaetae, spine-like chaetae and scales. Manubrial plate with five ciliate chaetae (two inner mac) and three psp (Fig. 35D). Dens posterior face with two or more longitudinal rows of spine-like chaetae about 60 external and 34 internal, external spines larger and thinner than internal ones. Mucro with four teeth, ratio width: length = 0.30 (holotype).

Etymology. *Epitychia* from Greek means success, in allusion to the collection site where the species was found São Sebastião do Bom Sucesso.

Remarks. *Trogolaphysa epitychia* sp. nov. resembles *T. bellini* sp. nov., *T. bessoni*, and *T. mariecurieae* sp. nov. by the absence of eyes (*T. bellini* sp. nov. rarely with 2 + 2 eyes), Th II with 3 + 3 mac, and Th III without mac.
Differentiates from *T. bellinii* **sp. nov.** and *T. mariecuriae* **sp. nov.** by Abd IV with 3 + 3 (**A3**, **A5**, **B5**), 4 + 4, and 2 + 2 mac on Abd IV respectively; on *T. bellinii* **sp. nov.** and can be distinguished from *T. bessoni* by the absence of unpaired tooth on inner lamella of unguis, external row of dens with 25 spines, inner row of dens with 20 spines (*T. epitychia* **sp. nov.** with one unpaired tooth **m.t.** on inner lamella of unguis, external row of dens with about 60 spines and inner row of dens with about 34 spines).

*Trogolaphysa zampauloi* **sp. nov.** Lima, Oliveira & Zeppelini

*Figures 36, 37 and 38, Tables 1 and 2*

**Type material.** Holotype female in slide (11,851/CRFS-UEPB): Brazil, São Paulo State, Ribeira municipality, cave MTD-13, nexto to “Serra Pontalhão”, 24°38′47.4″S, 48°57′52.6″W, 08–20.iii.2016, Carste team coll. Paratypes in slides (11,875–11,878/CRFS-UEPB): 2 males and 2 females, Brazil, São Paulo State, Ribeira municipality, cave MTD-02, 24°37′27.3″S, 48°57′35.8″W, 08–20.iii.2016. Paratype in slide (11,876/CRFS-UEPB donated to MNJR). Additional records see S1.

**Description.** Total length (head + trunk) of specimens 1.35–1.91 mm (n = 5), holotype 1.35 mm.

Head. Ratio antennae: trunk = 1: 1.35–1.55 (n = 2), holotype = 1: 1.55; Ant III smaller than Ant II length; Ant segments ratio as I: II, III, IV = 1: 1.71–2.38, 1.60–1.88, 2.85–3.61, holotype = 1: 2.38, 1.88, 3.61. Antennal chaetotaxy (no represented): Ant IV dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, and finger-shaped sens, dorsally with about three sub-apical sens on longitudinal row, ventrally with one subapical-organ, and about three wrinkly sens (Fig. 4A); Ant III dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, and finger-shaped sens, dorsally without modified sens, ventrally with one apical **psp**, one apical wrinkly sens, apical organ with two coffee bean-like sens, and one rod sens (Fig. 4A); Ant II dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, dorsally with about three sub-apical finger-shaped sens and two apical rod sens, ventrally with one apical **psp**, one longitudinal external row with two subapical finger-shaped sens and two medial wrinkly sens (Fig. 4A);
and Ant I dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, dorsally with three basal spine-like sens, ventrally with four basal spine-like sens, about four smooth mic and several finger-shaped sens (Fig. 4A). Eyes 0+0 to 4+4. Head dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 36A) with 14 An (An1a–3), six A (A0–5), four M (M1–4), five S (S2–6), two Ps (Ps2, Ps5), four Pa (Pa1–3, Pa5), two Pm (Pm1, Pm3), seven Pp (Pp1–7), and two Pe (Pe4, Pe6) chaetae; Pe4, Pe6, Pm3 and Pa5 as mes, An1a–3a as mac, A0 and A2 as mac, A3–5 as mes; interocular p mes present. Basomedian and basolateral labial fields with a1–5 smooth, M, Me, E and L1–2 ciliate, r reduced (Fig. 36B). Ventral chaetotaxy with about 37 ciliate chaetae, plus one reduced lateral spine; postlabial G1–4, X, X4; H1–4; J1–2, chaetae b.c. present and a collar row of eight mes chaetae distally (Fig. 36B). Prelabral chaetae ciliate. Labral chaetae smooth, no modifications. Labial papilla E with l.p. finger-shaped and surpassing the base of apical appendage. Labial proximal chaetae smooth (an1–3, p2–3) and subequal in length (Fig. 36B). Maxillary palp with t.a. smooth and 1.17× smaller than b.a.

Thorax dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 37A). Th II a, m, p series with two mic (a1–2), one mac (a5), three mic (m1–2, m4) and four mic (p4–6e), p3 complex with five mac, respectively, a1 and ms present. Th III a, m, p series with three mic (a1–3), two mes (a6–a7), three mic (m4, m6–6p), three mes (m6e, m7–7e), four mic (p1–3, p6), respectively. Ratio Th II: III = 1.02–1.48: 1 (n = 5), holotype = 1.21: 1

Abdomen dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 37B, C). Abd I a, m series with one (a5) and six (m2–6e) mic respectively, ms present. Abd II a, m, p series with two mic (a6–7), two mac (m3, m5), three mic (p5–7) respectively, el as mic and as present; a5 and m2 bothriotricha surrounded by three and two fan-shaped chaetae respectively. Abd III a, m, p series with one mic (a7), three fan-shaped chaetae (a2–3, a6), two mic (m7i–7), three mac (m3, am6, pm6), three mic (p6e, p7i–7), one mac (p6) chaetae respectively; a5, m2 and m5 bothriotricha with five, two
and three fan-shaped chaetae respectively, as sens elongated, ms present. Abd IV A–Fe series with three mic (A1, A6, A11), two mac (A3, A5), one mic (B1), one mes (B6), two mac (B4, 5), four mic (C1–4), three mic (T1, T3–5), one mes (T7), five mic (D1–3, Dc3), one mes (Dc3p), one mic (E4p2), one mes (E4p), three mac (E1–3), one mic (Ee12), one mes (Ee11), two mac (Ee9–10), one mic (F1), two mes (F3, 3p), one mac (F2), one mic (F02), two mes (F3, F5), one mac (F4) chaetae, respectively; T2, T4 and E4 bothriotricha surrounded by four and four (T3) fan-shaped chaetae respectively; ps and as present, and at least six supernumerary sens with uncertain homology’s (Fig. 8A); Abd. IV posteriorly with three psp. Abd V a, m, p series with two mic (a1, a3), one mes (a5), two mes (m5a, m5e), three mac (m2, m3, m5), five mic (p3a–6ae), one mic (p6e) two mes (ap6–pp6), four mac (p1, p3–5) chaetae, respectively; as, acc p4–5 present. Ratio Abd III: IV = 1: 3.29–4.28 (n = 5), holotype = 1: 4.10.

Legs. Trochanteral organ diamond shape with about 27 spine-like chaetae, plus 3–4 psp one external, one on distal vertex and another two (one of them present or absent) on top of posterior spines row of Omt (Fig. 38A). Unguis outer side with one paired tooth straight and not developed on proximal third; inner lamella wide with four teeth, basal pair subequal, b.p. not reaching the m.t. apex, m.t. just after the distal half, a.t. present. Unguiculus with all lamellae smooth and lanceolate (a.i., a.e., p.i., p.e.) (Fig. 38B); ratio unguis: unguiculus = 1.63–1.84 (n = 5), holotype = 1: 1.79. Tibiotarsal smooth chaetae about 0.8× smaller than unguiculus; tenent hair acuminate and about 0.39× smaller than unguis outer edge.

Collophore (Fig. 38C). Anterior side with five ciliate, apically acuminate chaetae, two proximal (thinner); one subdistal and two distal mac; lateral flap with 11 chaetae, five ciliate in the proximal row and six smooth in the distal row.

Furcula. Covered with ciliate chaetae, spine-like chaetae and scales. Manubrial plate with four ciliate chaetae (two inner mac) and three psp (Fig. 38D). Dens posterior face with two or more longitudinal rows of spine-like chaetae about 30 external and 23 internal, external spines larger and thinner than internal ones. Mucro with four teeth, ratio width: length = 0.29 (n = 5).

Etymology. Species named after the field biologist MSc. Robson de Almeida Zampaulo for his contribution to Brazilian biospeleology.

Remarks. Trogolaphysa zampauloi sp. nov. resembles T. caripensis; T. ernesti; T. piracurucaensis by Th III without mac, and 4 + 4 central mac (A3, A5, B4, 5) in Abd IV, but is easily distinguished from these species by the presence of Th II with 4 + 4 mac in p3 complex (6 + 6T. caripensis, T. ernesti, T. piracurucaensis). For more comparisons see remarks in T. crystallensis sp. nov.

Trogolaphysa gisbertae sp. nov. Brito & Zeppelini

Figures 39, 40 and 41, Tables 1 and 2

Type material. Holotype female in slide (6668/CRFS-UEPB): Brazil, Pará State, Parauapebas municipality, cave N1N8-N8-017, next to “Serra Norte”, 06°10′05.9″S, 50°09′25.6″W, 02–29.iv.2015, Carste team coll. Paratype in...
Description. Total length (head + trunk) of specimens 1.10–1.23 mm (n = 5), holotype 1.15 mm.

Head. Ratio antennae: trunk = 1: 1.44–1.55 (n = 3); Ant segments ratio as I: II, III, IV = 1: 1.67–2.43, 1.58–2.63, 2.91–5.46, holotype = 1: 2.03, – , 3.90. Antennal chaetotaxy (no represented): Ant IV dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, and finger-shaped sens, dorsally with about five rod sens in row, ventrally with one subapical-organ and several wrinkly sens row (Fig. 4A); Ant III dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, and finger-shaped sens, dorsally without modified sens, ventrally with one apical psp, about four wrinkly sens on external longitudinal row, apical organ with one finger-shaped sens, two coffee bean-like sens, and one rod sens (Fig. 4A); Ant II dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, dorsally with four finger-shaped sens in row and two subapical rod sens, ventrally with one apical psp, and about five wrinkly sens on longitudinal external row (Fig. 4A); and Ant I dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, dorsally with three basal spine-like sens, ventrally with four basal spine-like sens, about five smooth mic and several finger-shaped sens (Fig. 4A). Eyes 0 + 0. Head dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 39A) with 11 An (An1a–3), six A (A0–5), four M (M1–4), five S (S1–5), two Ps (Ps2, Ps5), four Pa (Pa1–5), two Pm (Pm1, Pm3), seven Pp (Pp1–7), and two Pc (Pc4, Pc6) chaetae; An1a–3a, A0, A2–3, Pa5 and Pm3 as mac; interocular p absent. Basomedian and basolateral labial fields with a1–5 smooth, M, Me, E and L1–2 ciliate, r reduced (Fig. 39B). Ventral chaetotaxy with 20 ciliate chaetae and one reduced lateral spine; postlabial G1–4; X, X4; H1–4; J1–2, chaetae b.c. present and a collar row of three to four mes chaetae distally (Fig. 39B). Prelabral chaetae ciliate. Labral chaetae smooth, no modifications. Labial papilla E with Lp, finger-shaped and surpassing the base of apical appendage. Labial proximal chaetae smooth (an1–3, p2–3) and subequal in length (Fig. 39B). Maxillary palp with t.a. smooth and 1.32 × larger than b.c.

Figure 33. Trogolaphysa epitychia sp. nov.: (A) Head dorsal chaetotaxy, (B) labial proximal chaetae, basomedial and basolateral labial fields and postlabial chaetotaxy. Black cut circle, pseudopore; Gray cut circle pseudopore at the under surface.

slide (6669/CRFS-UEPB donated to MNJR): 1 female, same data as holotype, except 04.ix–06.x.2014. Paratype in slide (6973/CRFS-UEPB): 1 female, same data as holotype, except 04.ix–06.x.2014. Paratypes in slides (6657, 7138/CRFS-UEPB): 2 females, Brazil, Pará State, Parauapebas municipality, N1N8-N8-020 cave, 06°10′07.8″S, 50°09′25.4″W, 17.vii–04.viii.2014, Carste team coll. Additional records see S1.
Thorax dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 40A). Th II a, m, p series with two mic (a1–2), one mac (a5), three mic (m1–2, m4) and four mic (p4–6e), p3 complex with five mac, respectively, al and ms presents. Th III a, m, p series with three mic (a1–3), two mes (a6–7), three mic (m4, m6–6p), three mes (m6e, m7–7e), and four mic (p1–3, p6), respectively. Ratio Th II: III = 1.00–2.60: 1 (n = 5), holotype = 1.28: 1.

Abdomen dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 40B, C). Abd I a, m series with one (a5) and six (m2–6e) mic, respectively, ms present. Abd II a, m, p series with two mic (a6–7), two mac (m3, m5), three mic (p5–7) respectively, el mic and as present; a5 and m2 bothriotricha surrounded by four and two fan-shaped chaetae, respectively. Abd III a, m, p series with one mic (a7), three fan-shaped chaetae (a2–3, a6), two mic (m7i–7), three mac (m3, am6, pm6), three mic (p6e, p7i–7), one mac (p6) chaetae, respectively; a5, m2 and m5 bothriotricha with six, two and three fan-shaped chaetae, respectively, as sens elongated, ms present. Abd IV A–Fe series with four mic (A1, A5–6, Ae1), one mac (A3), one mic (B1), one mes (B6), two mac (B4–5), four mic (T1, T5–7), five mic (D1–3, De3), one mes (D3p), one mic (E4p2), one mes (E4p), three mac (E1–3), one mic (Ee12), three mes (Ee9–11), one mic (F1), three mes (F2–3p), one mic (Fe2), three mes (Fe3–5) chaetae, respectively; T2, T4 and E4 bothriotricha surrounded by four and two (T3) fan-shaped chaetae, respectively; ps and as present, and at least six supernumerary sens with uncertain homology ‘s’ (Fig. 8A); Abd. IV posteriorly with one to three psp. Abd V a, m, p series with three mic (a1, a3), one mes (a6), one mac (a5), two mes (m5a–5e), three mac (m2–3, m5), five mic (p3a–6ae), one mic (p6e), two mes (ap6, pp6), four mac (p1, p3–5) chaetae, respectively; as and acc.p4–5 present. Ratio Abd III: IV = 1: 3.29–4.90 (n = 5), holotype = 1: 3.29.

Legs. Trochanteral organ diamond shape with about 25 spine-like chaetae, plus two psp one external, and one on distal vertex of Omt (Fig. 41A). Unguis outer side with one paired tooth straight and not developed on

Figure 34. *Trogolaphysa epitychia* sp. nov.: Dorsal chaetotaxy. (A) Th II–III, (B) Abd I–III, (C) Abd IV–V.
proximal third; inner lamella wide with three teeth, basal pair subequal, b.p. not reaching the m.t. apex, m.t. just after the distal half, a.t. absent. Unguiculus with lamellae smooth and slightly truncate (a.i., a.e., p.i.), except p.e. slightly serrate (Fig. 41B); ratio unguis: unguiculus = 1.59–2.05:1 (n = 5), holotype = 1.62:1. Tibiotarsal smooth chaetae about 0.9× smaller than unguiculus; tenent hair acuminate and about 0.53× smaller than unguis outer lamella.

Collophore (Fig. 41C). Anterior side with five ciliate, apically acuminate chaetae, one proximal (thinner); two subdistal and two distal mac; lateral flap with 10 chaetae, five ciliate in the proximal row and five smooth in the distal row.

Furcula. Covered with ciliate chaetae, spine-like chaetae and scales. Manubrial plate with five ciliate chaetae (three inner mac) and three psp (Fig. 41D). Dens posterior face with two or more longitudinal rows of spine-like chaetae about 18 external and 24 internal, external spines larger and thinner than internal ones. Mucro with four teeth, ratio width: length = 0.26 (holotype).

Etymology. Honor to Gisberta Salce Júnior, Brazilian woman, murdered in 2006 (Porto, Portugal) in a transphobia crime.

Remarks. Trogolaphysa gisbertae sp. nov. differs from T. ernesti and T. formosensis (with 0 + 0 head dorsal mac), T. piracurucaensis, and T. barroca sp. nov. (1+1 head dorsal mac); and resembles T. dandarae sp. nov. (with 5×5 head dorsal mac), but it is easily distinguishable by Th II p3 complex and Th III mac (5 + 5 and 0 + 0, 6 + 6 and 3 + 3, respectively); and unguis with m.t. present (absent in T. sotoadamesi sp. nov., T. barroca sp. nov.).

Trogolaphysa dandarae sp. nov. Brito & Zeppelini

Figures 42, 43 and 44, Tables 1 and 2

Type material. Holotype female in slide (12,775/CRFS-UEPB): Brazil, Pará State, Parauapebas municipality, cave N4WS-0018/48, next to “Serra Norte”, 06°04′34.5″S, 50°11′37.7″W, 21–30.vii.2018, Brandt Meio Ambiente team coll. Paratype in slide (12,776/CRFS-UEPB donated to MNJR): 1 female, same data as holotype. Paratypes in slides (12,777, 12,778/CRFS-UEPB): 2 females, same data as holotype. Paratypes in slides (12,777, 12,778/CRFS-UEPB): 2 females, Brazil, Pará State, Parauapebas municipality, N4WS-0016 cave, 06°04′35.5″S, 50°11′37.1″W, 21–30.vii.2018, Brandt Meio Ambiente team coll. Additional records see S1.

Description. Total length (head + trunk) of specimens 1.43–1.75 mm (n = 5), holotype 1.58 mm.

Head. Ratio antennae: trunk = 1: 0.83–0.98 (n = 4), holotype = 1: 0.83; Ant III larger than Ant II; Ant segments ratio as I: II: III: IV = 1: 1.36–1.77: 1.65–2.03: 2.84–3.27, holotype = 1: 1.72: 1.99: 3.21. Antennal chaetotaxy (no represented): Ant IV dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, and finger-shaped sens, dorsally with about two rod sens sub-apical on longitudinal row, ventrally with one subapical-organ and about three wrinkly sens on longitudinal row (Fig. 4A); Ant III dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, and finger-shaped sens, dorsally without modified sens, ventrally with one apical psp, about three wrinkly sens and three smooth mic on external longitudinal row, apical organ with one finger-shaped sens, two coffee bean-like sens, and one rod sens (Fig. 4A); Ant II dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac,
dorsally with about four sub-apical finger-shaped sens and two subapical rod sens, ventrally with one apical psp, and several wrinkly sens on longitudinal external row (Fig. 4A); and Ant 1 dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, dorsally with three basal spine-like sens, ventrally with four basal spine-like sens, about five smooth mic and several finger-shaped sens (Fig. 4A). Eyes 0 or 0. Head dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 42A) with 12 An (An1a–3), six A (A0–5), four M (M1–4), six S (S1–6), two Ps (Ps2, Ps5), four Pa (Pa1–5), two Pm (Pm1, Pm3), seven Pp (Pp1–7), and two Pe (Pe4, Pe6) chaetae; A1 as mes, An1a–3, A0, A2, S5, Pa5 and Pm3 as mac; interocular p mic present. Basomedian and basolateral labial fields with a1–5 smooth, M, Me, E and L1–2 ciliate, r reduced (Fig. 42B). Ventral chaetotaxy with 28 ciliate chaetae and one reduced lateral spine; postlabial G1–4; X, X4; H1–4; J1–2, chaeta b.c. present and a collar row of five chaetae distally (Fig. 42B). Prelabral chaetae cili- ate. Labral chaetae smooth, no modifications. Labial papilla E with l.p. finger-shaped and subequal the base of apical appendage. Labial proximal chaetae smooth (an1–3, p2–3) and subequal in length (Fig. 42B). Maxillary palp with t.a. smooth and 1.58 × larger than b.c.

Thorax dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 43A). Th II a, m, p series with two mic (a1–2), one mac (a5), three mic (m1–2, m4) and four mic (p4–6e), p3 complex with six mac, respectively, al and ms presents. Th III a, m, p series with three mic (a1–3), two mes (a6–7), two mic (m6e–p6), three mes (m6e, m7–7e), and one mic (p6), respectively. Ratio Th II: III = 0.82–1.13: 1 (n = 6), holotype = 1.13: 1.

Abdomen dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 43B, C). Abd I a, m, p series with one (a5) and six (m2–6e) mic, respectively, ms present. Abd II a, m, p series with two mic (a6–7), two mac (m3, m5), three mic (p5–7) respectively, el mic and as present; a5 and m2 bothriotricha surrounded by four and four fan-shaped chaetae, respectively. Abd III a, m, p series with one mic (a7), three fan-shaped chaetae (a2–3, a6), two mic (m7–7i), three mac (m3, m6, pm6) and three mic (p6e–7), one mac (p6) chaetae respectively; a5, m2 and m5 bothriotricha with five, two and two fan-shaped chaetae, respectively, as sens elongated, ms present. Abd IV A–Fe series with four mic (A1, A5–6, A7), one mac (A3), one mic (B1), one mes (B6), two mac (B4–5), four mic (C1–4), three mic (T1, T5–6), one mes (T7), five mic (D1–3, D3e), one mes (D3p), one mic (E4p2), one mes (E4p), three mac (E1–3), one mic (Ee12), three mes (Ee9–11), one mic (F1), three mes (F2–3p), one mic (Fe2), three mes (Fe3–5) chaetae,
respectively; T2, T4 and E4 bothriotricha surrounded by four and two (T3) fan-shaped chaetae, respectively; ps and as present, and at least six supernumerary sens with uncertain homology 's' (Fig. 8A); Abd. IV posteriorly with three psp. Abd V a, m, p series with three mic (a1, a3), one mes (a6), one mac (a5), two mic (m5a–5e), three mac (m2–3, m5), five mic (p3a–6ae), one mic (p6e), two mes (ap6, pp6), four mac (p1, p3–5) chaetae, respectively; as and acc.p4–5 present. Ratio Abd III: IV = 1: 2.98–4.82 (n = 6), holotype = 1: 3.81.

Legs. Trochanteral organ diamond shape with about 19 spine-like chaetae, plus 2–3 psp one external, one on distal vertex and another (present or absent) on top of posterior spines row of Omt (Fig. 44A). Unguis outer side with one paired tooth straight and not developed on proximal third; inner lamella wide with two teeth, basal pair subequal, m.t. and a.t. absent. Unguiculus with all lamellae smooth and lanceolate (a.i., a.e., p.i., p.e.) (Fig. 44B); ratio unguis: unguiculus = 1.49–1.80: 1 (n = 6), holotype = 1.80: 1. Tibiotarsal smooth chaetae about 1.25× smaller than unguiculus; tenent hair slightly capitate and about 0.54× smaller than unguis outer lamella.

Collophore (Fig. 44C). Anterior side with 11 ciliate, apically acuminate chaetae, six proximal (thinner); two subdistal and three distal mac; lateral flap with 11 chaetae, five ciliate in the proximal row and six smooth in the distal row.

Furcula. Covered with ciliate chaetae, spine-like chaetae and scales. Manubrial plate with four ciliate chaetae (two inner mac) and three psp (Fig. 44D). Dens posterior face with two or more longitudinal rows of spine-like chaetae about 31–39 external and 18–21 internal, external spines larger and thinner than internal ones. Mucro with three teeth (Fig. 44E), ratio width: length = 0.28 (holotype).

Etymology. Honor to Dandara Kettley, Brazilian man, transvestite, murdered in 2017 (Ceará, Brazil) in a homophobia crime.

Figure 37. Trogolaphysa zampauloi sp. nov.: Dorsal chaetotaxy. (A) Th II–III, (B) Abd I–III, (C) Abd IV–V.
Remarks. *Trogolaphysa dandarae* sp. nov. resembles *T. ernesti*, *T. formosensis* and *T. piracurucaensis* by chaetae head S5 mac (all other Brazilian cave species with S5 mic); head Pm3 mac as in *T. gisbertae* sp. nov., but they are different in terms of head ventral proximal collar mac, unguiculus, tenent hair and collophore anterior distal chaetae (5 + 5, smooth pe, capitate, 3 + 3 and 4 + 4, serrate pe, acuminate, 2 + 2, respectively); Th II P3 complex with 6 + 6 and Th III with 3 + 3 mac (6 + 6 and 0 + 0 in *T. lacerta* sp. nov., *T. piracurucaensis*, *T. ernesti* and *T.*

---

**Figure 38.** *Trogolaphysa zampauloi* sp. nov. (A) Trochanteral organ, (B) Distal tibiotarsus and empodial complex III (anterior view), (C) Manubrial plate, (D) Antero-lateral view of collophore chaetotaxy.
Figure 39. *Trogolaphysa gisbertae* sp. nov.: (A) Head dorsal chaetotaxy, (B) labial proximal chaetae, basomedial and basolateral labial fields and postlabial chaetotaxy. Black cut circle, pseudopore; Gray cut circle pseudopore at the under surface.
Figure 40. *Trogolaphysa gisbertae* sp. nov.: Dorsal chaetotaxy: (A) Th II–III, (B) Abd I–III, (C) Abd IV–V.
Figure 41. *Trogolaphysa gisbertae* sp. nov.: (A) Trochanteral organ, (B) Distal tibiotarsus and empodial complex III (anterior view), (C) Manubrial plate, (D) Antero-lateral view of collophore chaetotaxy.
Figure 42. *Troglaphysa dandarae* sp. nov.: (A) Head dorsal chaetotaxy, (B) labial proximal chaetae, basomedial and basolateral labial fields and postlabial chaetotaxy. Black cut circle, pseudopore; Gray cut circle pseudopore at the under surface.
Figure 43. *Trogolaphysa dandarae* sp. nov.: Dorsal chaetotaxy. (A) Th II–III, (B) Abd I–III, (C) Abd IV–V.
Figure 44. *Trogolaphysa dandarae* sp. nov.: (A) Trochanteral organ, (B) Distal tibiotarsus and empodial complex III (anterior view), (C) Manubrial plate, (D) Antero-lateral view of collophore chaetotaxy, (E) Mucro.

caripensis); *T. dandarae* sp. nov., *T. belizeana* and *T. jacobyi* are the only cave species with 3 + 3 teeth in the mucro. See the comparison among them in remarks of the late species.
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