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ABSTRACT

The Hitomi X-ray satellite has provided the first direct measurements of the plasma velocity dispersion in a galaxy cluster. It finds a relatively “quiescent” gas with a line-of-sight velocity dispersion $\sigma_{\text{los}} \approx 160$ km s$^{-1}$, at 30–60 kpc from the cluster center. This is surprising given the presence of jets and X-ray cavities that indicates on-going activity and feedback from the active galactic nucleus (AGN) at the cluster center. Using a set of mock Hitomi observations generated from a suite of state-of-the-art cosmological cluster simulations, and an isolated but higher resolution simulation of gas physics in the cluster core, including the effects of cooling and AGN feedback, we examine the likelihood of Hitomi detecting a cluster with the observed velocities. As long as the Perseus has not experienced a major merger in the last few gigayears, and AGN feedback is operating in a “gentle” mode, we reproduce the level of gas motions observed by Hitomi. The frequent mechanical AGN feedback generates net line-of-sight velocity dispersions $\sim 100–200$ km s$^{-1}$, bracketing the values measured in the Perseus core. The large-scale velocity shear observed across the core, on the other hand, is generated mainly by cosmic accretion such as mergers. We discuss the implications of these results for AGN feedback physics and cluster cosmology and progress that needs to be made in both simulations and observations, including a Hitomi re-flight and calorimeter-based instruments with higher spatial resolution.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Gas motions within clusters of galaxies provide important clues about the astrophysics and the formation of galaxy clusters, as the level of gas motions are influenced by baryonic physics and the cluster accretion history. The feedback from the AGN in the center of massive cluster galaxies, has been identified as a potential source for injecting energy into the intracluster medium (ICM) gas (e.g., Churazov et al. 2002; McNamara & Nulsen 2007; Gaspari et al. 2012b). The amount of energy provided by the AGN can help offset radiative cooling in cool-core clusters where the cooling time is short compared with the age of the universe (typically within $r \lesssim 100$ kpc) yet evidence for large amounts of cooled gas has not been found. Bipolar AGN jets/outflows are likely to impart the kinetic energy to the ICM by converting into heat through the diffusion and dissipation of turbulence stimulated by the jets and outflows, alongside weak shocks and buoyant hot bubbles.

At the same time, cosmic gas accretion, such as mergers, infalling galaxies and groups, and accretion from filaments, can also drive gas motions and transfer energy to the ICM (e.g., Norman & Bryan 1999; Dolag et al. 2005; Vazza et al. 2011; Nelson et al. 2012; Schmidt et al. 2014; Miniati 2015). These accretion processes stir up the hot gas in the gravitational potential well of the cluster, generating bulk and turbulent motions which provide additional pressure support (e.g., Rasia et al. 2004; Lau et al. 2009; Shi & Komatsu 2014) and introduce a bias in the hydrostatic equilibrium (HSE) mass estimates of galaxy clusters (e.g., Rasia et al. 2006; Nagai et al. 2007; Nelson et al. 2014b; Biffi et al. 2016; Henson et al. 2017). The hydrostatic mass bias is a major systematic uncertainty in current cluster-based cosmological constraints (Allen et al. 2008; Vikhlinin et al. 2009; Planck Collaboration 2015). The level of gas motions also impact astrophysical and cosmological constraints from Sunyaev-Zel’dovich (Shaw et al. 2010; Battaglia et al. 2012; Khatri & Gaspari 2016) and radio measurements (e.g., Eckert et al. 2017). Penetrating gas streams originating from large-scale filaments can reach the cluster core and dissipate their kinetic energies in the cluster core through weak shocks and turbulence, providing additional heat source to offset cooling (Zinger et al. 2016).

The energy contained in turbulence generated from AGN and cosmic accretion can be constrained via the relative fluctuations of the X-ray surface brightness (e.g., Gaspari & Churazov 2013) as has been applied to 33 clusters with deep Chandra data (Hoffmann et al. 2016) and the nearby X-ray bright Perseus cluster (Zhuravleva et al. 2014). These estimates of turbulence via surface brightness fluctuations are
indirect and can be somewhat model-dependent. Ideally, as in studies of the interstellar medium of the Milky Way Galaxy, we can constrain ICM turbulence more directly via the Doppler shifts and broadening of ICM emission lines (e.g., Inogamov & Sunyaev 2003; Brüggen et al. 2005; Biffi et al. 2013). Unfortunately, this is not easy at X-ray energies. During the past decade, only upper/lower limits on the level of turbulent motions have been available via X-ray grating spectroscopy measurements (e.g., Sanders & Fabian 2013; Ogorzalek et al. 2017). While these limits are consistent with those predicted from simulations (e.g. Vazza et al. 2013), more precise measurements are required.

Recently, despite its tragically short lifespan, the Hitomi X-ray satellite (formerly known as ASTRO-H, Takahashi et al. 2014) provided the first direct measurements of core gas motion (Hitomi Collaboration 2016, hereafter H16) via its Soft X-ray Spectrometer (SXS Mitsuda et al. 2014), an X-ray calorimeter which enables high-spectral resolution (4.9 eV) measurements of Doppler line shifts and broadening. The main result is that the line-of-sight velocity dispersion in the Perseus core is measured to be $164 \pm 10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ between cluster-centric radius of $R \approx 30 - 60 \text{ kpc}$ ($187 \pm 13 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ within 30 kpc). This level of gas motion has been dubbed unexpectedly low, as the presence of buoyant bubbles and surface brightness fluctuations suggests ongoing AGN feedback activity. This apparent tension in the Perseus cluster can potentially change our understanding of AGN feedback physics in galaxy clusters.

In this paper, we explore the velocity constraints imposed by the apparently “quiescent” line-of-sight gas motions measured with Hitomi. We use a combination of simulations to study the physical origin of gas motions in galaxy cluster cores, covering physical processes on both large and small scales. In order to allow a proper comparison with Hitomi data, mock Hitomi observations are generated from the simulation data to explicitly account for effects such as the significant spatial averaging that results from the relatively low angular resolution of Hitomi.

On larger radial scales, to quantify the effects of cosmic accretion and the inhomogeneous density and velocity structures that it produces, we study the level of core gas motions in a mass-limited sample of galaxy clusters extracted from large cosmological hydrodynamical simulation Omega500 (Nelson et al. 2014b) that self-consistently follow cosmic accretion and mergers.

In agreement with prior conclusions based on the morphology of the Perseus cluster emission, we find that the core region must be dynamically relaxed. In particular, there must not have been a major cluster merger in the last few gigayears. Ignoring the effects of baryonic physics such as radiative gas cooling and AGN feedback, “relaxed” clusters with core gas velocity dispersions $\sim 100 - 200 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ are not rare in our simulations. From this restricted point of view, the Hitomi result is not that unusual.

As is well-known, however, baryonic physics cannot be ignored in the core of Perseus. Turning on radiative cooling and thermal AGN feedback in cosmological simulations, we do find a possible tension with observations. Even for relaxed clusters, the predicted gas velocity dispersions are typically larger than the Hitomi results. This, however, is likely due to the relatively simplistic subgrid thermal AGN feedback model employed in cosmological simulations which typically do not have the spatial resolution to resolve AGN feedback physics. Subgrid AGN feedback models such as ours are known to have difficulties matching the thermodynamic properties of cluster cores.

High resolution isolated cluster simulations with “gentle” kinetic feedback model, on the other hand, are more successful in explaining the thermodynamic properties in relaxed clusters (from Gaspari et al. 2012a, G12). These simulations are among the most detailed studies yet of AGN self-regulation in a cluster environment (despite exclusion of potentially important physics such as magnetic fields). We find that the level of velocity dispersion in such simulations is consistent with the Hitomi observations. In quasi-steady state (where gas accretes onto the central blackhole fairly regularly and the AGN undergoes frequent small outbursts), the AGN-driven gas motions reasonably bracket the “quiescent” level seen by Hitomi. However, a large-scale velocity shear observed across the Hitomi observation field, is unlikely to be explained by AGN feedback alone as the velocity fields from AGN-induced turbulence are rather stochastic. On the other hand, velocity flows associated with cosmic accretion do not have these problems and can explain the level of shear observed by Hitomi. It thus appears that the level of gas motions observed by Hitomi in the core of Perseus are in fact consistent with the expectations of cosmic accretion combined with gentle AGN feedback. In this interpretation, then, the core of Perseus is “quiescent” and relaxed in the sense that nothing dramatic has happened to it in the last gigayear or so, but it is not quiet.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes our suite of numerical simulations (both cosmological and isolated clusters) and the synthetic Hitomi observations made from them. Results on the predicted velocity dispersions and bulk motions are presented and analyzed in Section 4. We discuss their implications for AGN feedback and cluster astrophysics and cosmology in Section 5. We summarize the main conclusions, highlight the study limitations, and remark on future prospects in Section 6.

2. SIMULATIONS

2.1. Cosmological Simulations

We use galaxy clusters extracted from the Omega500 simulation (Nelson et al. 2014b), a cosmological hydrodynamical simulation performed with the Adaptive Refinement Tree code (Kravtsov 1999; Kravtsov et al. 2002; Rudd et al. 2008). The cosmology adopted is the flat $\Lambda$CDM model with WMAP five-year (WMAP5) cosmological parameters: $\Omega_m = 1 - \Omega_{\Lambda} \approx 0.27$, $\Omega_b = 0.0469$, $h = 0.7$ and $\sigma_8 = 0.82$, where the Hubble constant is defined as $100h \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ and $\sigma_8$ is the mass variance within spheres of radius $8h^{-1} \text{ Mpc}$. The simulation box has a comoving length of 500 $h^{-1} \text{ Mpc}$, resolved using a uniform $512^3$ root grid and 8 levels of mesh refinement, with maximum comoving spatial resolution of $3.8 h^{-1} \text{ kpc}$. 
In this paper, we analyze a mass-limited sample of 63 galaxy clusters with $M_{500c} \geq 3 \times 10^{14} h^{-1} M_\odot$ at $z = 0$.0. These clusters have been initially identified using a spherical overdensity halo-finder described in Nelson et al. (2014b). The final cluster sample is from a re-simulated box with higher resolution dark matter particles in regions of the identified clusters. This “zoom-in” technique results in an effective resolution of dark matter particles in regions of the identified density halo-finder described in Nelson et al. (2014b). The clusters have been initially identified using a spherical overdensity halo-finder described in Nelson et al. (2014b). Briefly, BH particles are seeded with an initial mass of $9 \times 10^5 h^{-1} M_\odot$ at the centers of dark matter halos with $M_{500c} > 2 \times 10^{12} h^{-1} M_\odot$. Throughout cosmic history, these BH particles accrete gas with a rate given by a modified Bondi accretion formula to track cold flows (Gaspari et al. 2013b). In the CCA regime, the SMBH accretion rate is roughly $\dot{m}_{\text{cool}}/\dot{m}_{\text{out}} \lesssim 10$. The condensated filaments and clouds rain onto the nuclear region, experience loss of angular momentum through recurrent stochastic inelastic collisions, and cause rapid boost in the SMBH accretion rate (Gusapari et al. 2017). The present simulated cluster has minimum TI-ratio of $7$ at $r \sim 5$ kpc as for a typical massive cool-core cluster, thus enabling to efficiently trace the fully developed CCA rain. Larger ratios, in particular above 20, would make the system a non-cool-core object not prone to thermal instability for several Gyr due to the large cooling time.

To investigate the effect of AGN feedback on gas motions in the cosmological run, we re-simulate the same galaxy cluster halos with and without baryonic physics. The run without baryonic physics model is referred to as the non-radiative (NR) run, and the one with baryonic physics is referred to as the AGN run. Specifically, in the AGN run, in addition to metallicity-dependent radiative cooling, star formation, and thermal feedback from supernovae, we implement subgrid thermal AGN feedback, following the accretion and mergers of BH in dark matter halos as in Booth & Schaye (2009). Briefly, BH particles are seeded with an initial mass of $9 \times 10^5 h^{-1} M_\odot$ at the centers of dark matter halos with $M_{500c} > 2 \times 10^{12} h^{-1} M_\odot$. Throughout cosmic history, these BH particles accrete gas with a rate given by a modified Bondi accretion formula to track cold flows (Gaspari et al. 2013b). In the CCA regime, the SMBH accretion rate is roughly $\dot{m}_{\text{cool}}/\dot{m}_{\text{out}} \lesssim 10$. The condensated filaments and clouds rain onto the nuclear region, experience loss of angular momentum through recurrent stochastic inelastic collisions, and cause rapid boost in the SMBH accretion rate (Gusapari et al. 2017). The present simulated cluster has minimum TI-ratio of $7$ at $r \sim 5$ kpc as for a typical massive cool-core cluster, thus enabling to efficiently trace the fully developed CCA rain. Larger ratios, in particular above 20, would make the system a non-cool-core object not prone to thermal instability for several Gyr due to the large cooling time.

In the isolated G12 cluster simulation, the self-regulated AGN feedback is implemented as follows. The tracked cold mass flux passing through the inner spherical sink region sets the SMBH accretion rate, which results to be comparable to the residual plasma cooling rate within the 5 kpc core. The AGN triggers injecting mass and kinetic energy through the nozzle, internal boundaries with power given by a fraction of the accreted rest mass energy, $P_{\text{agn}} \equiv (1/2) M_{\text{out}}^2 \dot{m}_{\text{out}}^2 = \epsilon_{\text{BH}} M_{\text{disk}} c^2$. The adopted macro kinetic efficiency is $\epsilon_{\text{BH}} \approx 5 \times 10^{-3}$. Increasing the efficiency above this value would overheat the cluster, while lowering it would allow a cooling flow catastrophe to develop – both ruled out by observations (more below). Typical velocities and mass outflow rates within the kpc scale are several $10^3$ km s$^{-1}$ and several $10 M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$, respectively, as the outflows entrain the surrounding mass (Gaspari & Sadowski 2017). The outflows dissipate the AGN energy in the central $\sim 100$ kpc region initially via the cocoon shock, later with mixing buoyant bubbles, and finally with turbulence which isotropizes most of the injected energy.

The G12 runs (carried out using the Eulerian FLASH4 code) pay particular attention to numerical accuracy, by implementing an exact solver for the radiative cooling of the ICM plasma and using a third-order accurate PPM solver for the hydro part, together with conservative timestep limiters and multiple static nested meshes which avoid derefinement noise.

The G12 simulations have been shown to solve several long-standing issues in the cooling flow problem (Gusapari et al. 2013a) and are in good agreement with Chandra and XMM data (McNamara & Nulsen 2012). In particular, the

---

1 The boost parameter is introduced to artificially boost the Bondi accretion rate in order to compensate for the low BH accretion rate due to the lack of resolution in cosmological simulations (see Booth & Schaye 2009, for more detail). Such boosting also compensates for the inadequacy of Bondi formula to track cold flows (Gaspari et al. 2013b).
recurrent, self-regulated and gentle AGN outflows seen in the simulations are able to quench the cooling of the core gas to net rates that are 5%–10% those inferred from its X-ray luminosity, while at the same time preserving the cool-core structure for several Gyr – along with the positive temperature gradient and the mild inner density variations that are usually seen. Moreover, the emission measure of the X-ray spectrum is properly suppressed by 2 orders of magnitude from the hard to soft X-ray, as constrained by XMM-RGS data (e.g., Peterson et al. 2003). The simulations reproduce the major imprints of AGN feedback, such as under-dense X-ray cavities, weak shocks, and metal outflows up to ∼100 kpc. Finally, the CCA feeding is linked to the formation of extended warm filaments and cold clouds which result from the multiphase condensation cascade. These gaseous structures are co-spatial with the soft X-ray gas, as found by multi-wavelength observations of ionized, neutral, and molecular gas (e.g., McDonald et al. 2011; Werner et al. 2014; Tremblay et al. 2016).

3. ANALYSIS METHODS

In this section, we describe how we measure the ICM velocities from our cosmological and isolated simulations, for comparison with the Hitomi measurements. For the cosmological simulations, we derive bulk and turbulent velocities by fitting the mock Hitomi spectra extracted from the simulations. For the isolated simulations with over 500 snapshots, we adopt a simpler approach where the measured velocities are weighted by hard X-ray emission as described below.

3.1. Cosmological Simulations

We generate mock Hitomi photon maps and spectra to measure the level of gas motions in the simulated cosmological clusters, using the same pipeline used in Nagai et al. (2013). For each cluster, we first generate the flux map from the simulation output. For each hydrodynamic cell within a cubic volume of length 5h⁻¹ Mpc centered on the cluster, we compute the emissivity ϵ_E for each energy E ∈ [6.5, 7.5] keV with energy bin size of ΔE = 1 eV, using the APEC plasma code (Smith et al. 2001) with AtomDB version 2.0.2 ( Foster et al. 2012). The emissivity ϵ_E = ϵ_E(ρ, T, Z, z_{obs}, v_{LOS}) is a function of the gas density ρ, temperature T, the gas metallicity Z = 0.3Z_{⊙}^2, and line-of-sight velocity v_{LOS} of the cell.

We include thermal broadening in the emission lines. The observed redshift of the clusters is set to z_{obs} = 0.01756, the redshift of the Perseus Cluster.

Each flux map is then convolved with the Hitomi ARF(sxt-s_140505_pa02um_intalls.arf) and RMF (ah_sxs_5ev_20130806.rmf) response files from SIMX³. The energy resolution of the RMF file is 5 eV. Photons for each location are then drawn from the convolved flux map assuming a Poisson distribution. We assume a galactic column density of N_H = 2 × 10^{20} cm⁻². Changing the column density value to other values, such as the estimated value for Perseus N_H = 1.3 × 10^{21} cm⁻² has no significant effect on our results. To ensure our mock simulated spectrum is not limited by statistical uncertainties, we set the exposure time to be t_{exp} = 2 Ms per pointing to ensure enough photons in the spectrum. We do not model background noise because it is sub-dominant to the strong Fe XXV Kα line complex where the gas velocity constraints come from.

For each mock X-ray map, we extract spectra from regions laid out in 8 azimuthal arms. Each arm contains three spectral regions, with size 30 kpc × 30 kpc each, extending radially from the cluster center (see Figure 1). These roughly correspond to the regions of the Perseus Cluster measured by Hitomi (H16). We select radial distance from the cluster center of R = [30, 60] kpc as in the main Hitomi observation. For each spectral region, we measure both bulk velocity and velocity dispersion of gas by performing spectral fitting for each spectrum. The spectral fitting is performed by using XSPEC version 12.8. The spectral model consists of the BAPEC model and the Galactic absorption model (the wabs model by Morrison & McCammon 1983) and is convolved by the detector and telescope responses. The gas temperature, redshift, velocity dispersion, and the normalization are allowed to vary while the hydrogen column density and metal abundance are fixed at N_H = 2 × 10^{20} cm⁻² and Z = 0.3Z_{⊙} respectively, the values adopted when generating the mock simulations. For metal abundance, the tables in Anders & Grevesse (1989) is used. The velocity dispersion given by the Gaussian σ returned by the BAPEC model fit. The bulk velocity along the line of sight is calculated by c(z − z_{cluster}) where z is the fitted redshift and z_{cluster} = 0.01756.

3.2. Isolated AGN Feedback Simulation

Given that the G12 simulations have a very large number of high-resolution snapshots, it is not feasible to run the full machinery described above. The LOS variability seen in the simulations (due to which direction one chooses to observe the cluster from) also swamps any instrumental uncertainty.

---

Footnotes:

1. This value of the metallicity is motivated by the observational results in Werner et al. (2013) which shows that the metallicity of the ICM in Perseus is close to 0.3Z_{⊙} uniformly throughout the cluster.

2. http://hea-www.harvard.edu/simx/
We thus opted for a faster, yet still realistic, synthetic approach. The kinematic properties (such as bulk velocities and dispersions) are retrieved by weighting the LOS velocity by the luminosity of the Fe XXV-Fe XXVI gas, which is mostly tied to the hard X-ray gas. Numerically, for each cylindrical region corresponding to the chosen projected aperture \((R = [30,60] \text{ kpc})\) we compute the weighted mean/bulk velocity as

\[
\bar{v} = \frac{\sum_j \epsilon_j \Delta V_j}{\sum_j \epsilon_j \Delta V_j}
\]

where \(\epsilon_j = n_{e,j} n_{i,j} \Delta(T_j; Z = 0.3 Z_{\odot})\) is the emissivity of each \(j\)-th gas cell with temperature \(5 < T_j/\text{keV} < 9\) along the line of sight, and \(\Delta V_j\) is the related cell volume. The weighted velocity dispersion is similarly computed as

\[
\sigma_v^2 = \frac{\sum_j (v_j - \bar{v})^2 \epsilon_j \Delta V_j}{\sum_j \epsilon_j \Delta V_j}.
\]

The ICM velocities computed with this method are very close to those derived from the mock spectra. We then compute and store these kinematic quantities for several lines of sight, chosen to have random inclinations. While simple in nature, such an algorithm should capture the main features of the simulations that are relevant to the Hitomi observation, i.e., the properly averaged, high-resolution LOS kinematics of the hard X-ray emitting plasma.

4. RESULTS

4.1. Results from Cosmological Simulation

4.1.1. Cosmic-driven Gas Motions

The top left panel in Figure 2 shows the distributions of the LOS velocity dispersion of the clusters in the NR run of the Omega500 simulation, measured within the projected radial bin \(R = [30,60] \text{ kpc}\) corresponding to the region observed in the Perseus cluster by Hitomi. The velocity dispersion is taken as the mean of the 8 arms. The NR clusters show a wide range of velocity dispersion, varying from \(\sim 100 \text{ km s}^{-1}\) to \(\sim 1000 \text{ km s}^{-1}\). Around a third of the clusters have velocity dispersion at around \(200 \text{ km s}^{-1}\) where the distribution peaks. Incidentally, the value of the Perseus Cluster \(\sim 160 \text{ km s}^{-1}\) is consistent with this peak value. To characterize the dependence of the level of core gas motions on the dynamical state of the cluster, we compute the time since major merger (with mass ratio \(\leq 1/6\)) for each clusters in the simulations (see Nelson et al. 2012, for more details). Clusters that have not undergone a major merger for the past 4 Gyr are ‘relaxed’, while those have undergone a major merger in the past 2 Gyr are ‘disturbed’. The relaxed clusters in our simulations in general have smaller velocity dispersion and velocity shear, with values closely matching to Perseus, which is a relaxed cluster based on its X-ray morphology.

4 Plasma luminosity in a zone with volume \(dV\) is \(dL = n_e n_i A dV\), where \(n_e, n_i\) are the electron and ion number density, and \(A(T, Z)\) is the plasma cooling function in collisional ionization equilibrium with metallicity \(Z = 0.3 Z_{\odot}\) (Sutherland & Dopita 1993).

The top middle panel of Figure 2 shows the histogram of the velocity shear magnitude, \(|\Delta V|\), of the NR clusters. The velocity shear is computed as the absolute difference in the bulk LOS velocity between the cluster center and \(R = 60 \text{ kpc}\), taking the maximum of the 8 arms. While nearly a third of the NR clusters have small \(|\Delta V| \leq 100 \text{ km s}^{-1}\), there are considerable number of clusters (about a quarter of the total) with \(|\Delta V|\) close to the Perseus value of \(150 \pm 70 \text{ km s}^{-1}\). Having said that, careful interpretation should be given to the bulk LOS velocity from the Hitomi data, as it is nearly unconstrained in each observed pixel due to the current systematic calibration error of \(\pm 50 \text{ km s}^{-1}\) (see more discussions in Section 4.2.2).

The top right panel in Figure 2 shows the histogram of the turbulent-to-thermal pressure ratio \(f_{\text{turb}}\) in the same bin of \(R = [30,60] \text{ kpc}\). Following H16, we compute the turbulent pressure to thermal pressure ratio as

\[
f_{\text{turb}} = \frac{\sigma_{\text{turb}}^2}{kT_i/\mu m_p}
\]

where \(T_i\) is the temperature measured from the X-ray spectrum, \(\mu = 0.59\) is the mean molecular weight and \(m_p\) is the proton mass. The turbulent pressure fraction in our non-thermal clusters is small in the central region of the cluster. The distribution of the turbulent-to-thermal pressure ratio peaks at around 2%. Less relaxed clusters have higher turbulent pressure, with \(f_{\text{turb}} \approx 5\%\). The observed value for Perseus is 4%, which is only slightly higher than the averaged value from the cosmological simulation.

4.1.2. Cosmic-driven Gas Motions with subgrid thermal AGN Feedback

While the NR simulation provides a lower limit to the level of gas motions, driven by cosmic accretion process such as mergers, we expect that the introduction of AGN feedback and gas cooling will also drive gas motions within the cluster cores. The bottom left panel in Figure 2 shows the velocity dispersion and the velocity shear in the AGN run. The mode of the distribution of the velocity dispersion is about \(400 \text{ km s}^{-1}\), which is increased by a factor of \(\sim 2\) from the NR run. The distribution is also more spread out. This reduces the fraction of clusters having velocity dispersion consistent with the Hitomi observations, from \(\sim 1/3\) to \(\sim 1/7\). The large increase in velocity dispersion is due to shock waves generated from the thermal blast in the AGN run.

The velocity shear also increases in the AGN run. While the velocity shear distribution peaks at around \(500 \text{ km s}^{-1}\), which is significantly larger than Perseus value, a significant fraction of clusters (~20%) have shear values consistent with Perseus. The clusters that have velocity dispersion and shear matching those of the Perseus are more likely to be relaxed and disturbed respectively, although the dependence on dynamical state is quite weak in the AGN run. The turbulent-to-thermal pressure ratio increases slightly in the presence of AGN feedback, due to the increase in the velocity dispersion.

The subgrid thermal AGN feedback implemented in our cosmological simulation does not resolve the AGN physics that is responsible for driving gas motions. Also, AGN feedback is likely to be kinetic instead of thermal, as suggested.
by jets and bubbles observed in the cores of real galaxy clusters. This shows that subgrid models based on thermal AGN feedback in cosmological simulations are likely not able to reproduce the level gas motions in real clusters. Because of this, coupled with the fact that thermal AGN feedback already fails to reproduce the thermal ICM properties in cluster cores, we choose not to pursue subgrid feedback models, in particular purely thermal ones, further. Instead, we focus next in Section 4.2 on studying the impact of "gentle" kinetic AGN feedback on core cluster gas motions by using high-resolution isolated AGN feedback simulations.

4.2. High-resolution Hydrodynamic Simulation with Self-Regulated Kinetic AGN feedback

We analyze the hydrodynamic simulation of self-regulated kinetic AGN feedback in an isolated massive galaxy cluster described in Section 2.2. Compared to the cosmological simulations, the spatial resolution in the core is 20 times higher, which allows us to resolve the AGN accretion and feedback physics, and the interaction between the AGN outflows/jets and the ICM. This simulation and the previous cosmological run should thus bracket the two complementary regimes responsible for generating turbulent and bulk motions in the ICM.

4.2.1. AGN feedback: Velocity Dispersion

In the top left panel of Figure 3, we show the Fe XXV-Fe XXVI X-ray luminosity-weighted velocity dispersion in the projected bin $R = [30, 60]$ kpc. The velocity is computed along 3 random lines of sight every 10 Myr for the 5 Gyr evolution, with the shaded area covering the minimum to maximum range.

During the initial 0.7 Gyr, the relatively few AGN outflow events have not yet been able to stir the gas sufficiently to generate volume-filling turbulence. The initially imparted perturbations on top of the hydrostatic profiles help to sustain a mild level of ICM turbulence. However, the LOS velocity dispersion always remains below the Hitomi detection

---

**Figure 2.** Distributions of various velocity observables measured from mock Hitomi spectra for 63 clusters in the NR (top panels) and subgrid AGN (bottom panels) runs of the Omega500 simulation. Left panel: distribution of the line-of-sight (LOS) velocity dispersion measured from mock Hitomi spectrum for 63 clusters in the Omega500 simulation measured within $30 \leq R/kpc \leq 60$, as in H16. Middle panel: LOS velocity shear magnitude, defined as the difference between the bulk velocity in the inner radial bin $R < 30kpc$ and the outer radial bin $30 \leq R/kpc \leq 60$. Right panel: the ratio of turbulent pressure to thermal pressure, computed as the LOS velocity dispersion squared divided by the spectral temperature. The orange, green and blue lines represent the whole cluster sample, clusters with short and long $t_{\text{merger}}$, the time since major merger, respectively. The magenta lines indicate the Hitomi measurement for the Perseus Cluster, with the shaded regions indicating the 1σ error.
by a factor of 2 to 3. This shows that a few single outbursts generating bubbles and shocks are not able to drive efficient turbulence (e.g., Reynolds et al. 2015), although this is not the typical state of AGN feedback.

After roughly a Gyr, the situation changes. The cluster core has entered into full self-regulation. The CCA cascade is fully developed, promoting nonlinear multiphase condensation and intense cold/warm gas raining onto the central SMBH, boosting the accretion rate via inelastic collisions.

The outflows become more frequent with variability over 2 orders of magnitude (for flicker noise variability driven by CCA, see Gaspari et al. 2017). The core of the cluster is now stirred more efficiently and the typical LOS velocity dispersions can easily reach the 165 km s\(^{-1}\) detected by Hitomi at \(R \sim 30 - 60\) kpc, with some reaching to 2.5 times this value. Within 30 kpc (Figure 3, top right panel), the velocity dispersion has relatively similar trend but is on average \(\sim 50\%\) higher, consistent with the Hitomi detection of

Figure 3. High-resolution simulation with AGN outflow feedback self-regulated via chaotic cold accretion (CCA) in an isolated massive galaxy cluster: evolution for the Fe XXV-Fe XXVI luminosity-weighted LOS velocity dispersion in the outer (top left) and inner (top right) projected annular bin, \(R = [30, 60]\) kpc and \(R < 30\) kpc, respectively; while the bottom left and bottom right panels show the evolution of luminosity-weighted LOS bulk velocity and velocity shear, respectively. The velocity is measured along 3 random lines of sight at each time (507 snapshots with 10 Myr step), with the shaded blue area depicting the minimum to maximum range. The magenta band marks the Hitomi measurements with \(1\sigma\) errorbar. AGN feedback drives significant turbulence up to 100 kpc via frequent gentle outbursts, which drive velocity dispersions of a few 100 km s\(^{-1}\), consistent with the Hitomi observation of Perseus, in particular during the fully developed CCA rain. AGN feedback drives mild bulk velocity and shear, which typically trace the large-scale motions, and is thus expected to be complemented by the cosmological accretion flows and mergers. Note, however, that the bulk velocity is almost unconstrained due to the large systematic calibration error (\(\pm 50\) km s\(^{-1}\)).
187 ± 13 km s\(^{-1}\).

After a few Gyr, the CCA rain becomes less intense as the initial cosmic weather decays, resulting in a lower velocity dispersion that is still consistent with Hitomi. During this phase, the cluster has undergone ∼ 100 outbursts, which have promoted a chaotic ICM atmosphere; SMBH accretion continues to be tightly self-regulated, preventing drastic oscillations in the cool-core structure for at least 5 Gyr. Via such long-term simulated evolution, we thus probed all 3 major phases: the initially mild cosmic-weather dominated phase, the strong concurrent AGN feedback plus weather phase, and the third significant pure AGN feedback phase.

Overall, self-regulated kinetic AGN feedback can drive significant LOS velocity dispersion of ∼ 100–300 km s\(^{-1}\) to at least 60 kpc, in agreement with the Hitomi observations. We expect the velocity dispersion reaches its maximum after the system has entered full self-regulation with a fully developed cycle of CCA multiphase cascade and AGN outflows. Such a state is also consistent with the H\(_{\alpha}\) and molecular filamentary structures observed in Perseus core.

### 4.2.2. AGN feedback: Velocity Shear

While AGN feedback can drive significant velocity dispersion (linked to small-scale turbulent motions), the typical bulk velocity at \(R = [30, 60]\) kpc is around a few 10 km s\(^{-1}\), with maximum values up to 80 km s\(^{-1}\) (Figure 3, bottom left panel). Unfortunately, the Hitomi detection of bulk motions is not well constrained as each pixel suffers a systematic calibration error of \(\pm 50\) km s\(^{-1}\) on top of a statistical error of \(\pm 20\) km s\(^{-1}\) (cf. Figure 6 in H16). Since only one pixel reaches 94 km s\(^{-1}\), it is safe to say that bulk velocities are much more contained than velocity dispersions, as found in the AGN feedback runs, at least within 60 kpc.

The bottom right panel of Figure 3 shows the LOS bulk velocity gradient between the 30 kpc and 60 kpc, as done in the previous section. The magenta line shows the velocity gradient calculated from the southeast to northwest field of view in Perseus cluster, with an error band of \(\pm 70\) km s\(^{-1}\), albeit this is likely to be underestimated as only one pixel is really above such noise. Keeping in mind such uncertainties, kinetic AGN feedback only generates a mild shear. The velocity shear varies greatly with time: 1/3 of the time the central shear is comparable to the Hitomi estimates.

Overall, large-scale bulk and shear motions driven by AGN feedback within each feedback cycle are relatively mild, albeit still within current weak observation constraints. Thus we expect the AGN-driven shear to be complemented by shear driven on larger scales, such as cosmic accretion and mergers, as shown in Section 4.1.

### 5. DISCUSSION

#### 5.1. Implications for AGN feedback

Despite evidence of ongoing AGN feedback activity in the Perseus Cluster, such as X-ray cavities and buoyant bubbles, the Hitomi observation do not show a high level of gas motions in the cluster core (\(\lesssim 60\) kpc). However, this does not immediately imply that there is a strong tension between observations and models of AGN feedback. From what we know of radio-loud AGN, the injected AGN power in Perseus could plausibly reach \(\sim 10^{45}\) erg s\(^{-1}\) with outflows in the nuclear region (\(R \lesssim 100\) pc) up to \(10^{47}\) km s\(^{-1}\). What Hitomi observes, however, is a luminosity-weighted projection along the full line of sight of the cluster over a much larger region (\(R \lesssim 50\) kpc). Since AGN feedback is an inside-out process, the outflow loses power at progressively larger radii, as it entrains gas mass along the way. As demonstrated here, line-of-sight averaged velocity dispersions \(\sim 100\) km s\(^{-1}\) for the hot X-ray emitting gas at 50 kpc can in fact be a natural outcome of AGN feedback – as long as the feedback is “gentle” and well-mixed and leads to many small outbursts of AGN activity instead of a few isolated powerful ones.

In order to contribute significantly to the velocity dispersions observed by Hitomi, the energy injected by the AGN should not thermalize quickly, remaining almost fully kinetic at least at the kpc scale, as shown in the G12 runs. Injecting mostly thermal (instead of kinetic) energy at sub-kpc scales would lead to a highly inefficient production of turbulence and central overheating via shocks (e.g., Meece et al. 2016).

With the high spectral resolution of Hitomi, any significant velocity structures along the line-of-sight (such as those coming from AGN feedback) would have shown as large deviation in the Fe XXV K\(_{\alpha}\) emission line profiles. The fact that no such deviation has been observed suggests that the ICM in the Perseus core is fairly well-mixed. In addition to the velocity constraints, the high-spectral resolution of Hitomi also allowed us to measure the thermal structure of the Perseus core along the LOS with unprecedented precision (Hitomi Collaboration, in prep.). These observational constraints exclude any strong violent feedback events that create large spatial variations in gas properties. The gentle kinetic feedback scenario presented in this paper, in which feedback energy is injected frequently and in small quantities, allows efficient mixing of the feedback energy in the cluster core that produces velocity and thermal structures consistent with the Hitomi observations. This feedback model is also in line with the density and temperature profile of cool-core clusters observed in X-ray in the past decade (e.g., McNamara et al. 2016). If the AGN feedback is instead bursty with low-frequency cycles (e.g., Li et al. 2015), it tends to overheat the cluster gas, raising the temperature higher than observed. The bursty feedback also means that substantial velocity dispersions are reached only during a few peaks (every \(\sim 1 - 2\) Gyr). This would require that Hitomi measured the velocity dispersion during a rare AGN outburst phase in the Perseus cluster (e.g., Li et al. 2016), which seems to be too coincidental.

In the self-regulated AGN feedback simulation presented in this paper, the AGN outflows are expected to be thermalized in the core via weak shocks, turbulent dissipation, and buoyant hot bubbles mixing with the ambient ICM (see also Hillel & Soker 2017). It is difficult to disentangle the exact fraction participating in the different stages as they are highly interlinked (e.g., Yang & Reynolds 2016). Nevertheless, even if we assume that all gas motions go into turbulence dissipation, the related power per unit volume \(P_{\text{turb}} \approx \rho \sigma_{\text{rms}}^3 L_{\text{ej}} \approx 7.3 \times 10^{27}\) erg s\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-3}\) (for gas ele...
injection scale $L_{\text{inj}} = 20$ kpc, and $\sigma_{v,3D} = 286$ km s$^{-1}$ is only $\sim 10\%$ of the typical AGN injection in the runs with $10^{45}$ erg s$^{-1}$ deposited over a spherical volume with radius 50 kpc. Nevertheless, turbulence can be an important component of the AGN feedback loop, in particular it allows the circulation of the feedback energy and the formation of ICM perturbations to trigger condensation of the observed multiphase gas. On the other hand, turbulence appears to be a complementary – but not an exclusive – process of heating the cluster core. Indeed, if turbulent dissipation (with timescale of $\sim 10$ Eddy turnover times, $\sim \text{Mach}_{\text{edd}}$) would completely heat the cluster core, turbulent diffusion (on much shorter timescale $\sim t_{\text{edd}}$) would have already washed out the entropy profile gradient, which is not observed in cool-core clusters like Perseus.

At $R \gtrsim 100$ kpc, AGN feedback is well complemented by the motions driven by cosmic accretion: infalling galaxies/groups, mergers, and filamentary accretion. Cosmic accretion-driven gas flows are likely to be an essential component in the AGN feedback loop. First, the cosmic-driven turbulence provides the initial perturbations in the density and temperature field of the ICM near the cluster cores, which helps promote gas condensation and accretion onto the SMBH. Second, large-scale bulk and shear motions can enhance the mixing and advection of AGN feedback energy and metals from the cluster center, heating and enriching the cluster core more uniformly and isotropically.

5.2. Limitations of the current work

There are several caveats that must be kept in mind when interpreting our results. First, even after the initial effects of cosmic weather have died out in our simulations, the kinetic AGN feedback can still drive gas motions to the level of 100 km s$^{-1}$ close to the observed value (albeit in the upper envelope). Cosmological simulations without any AGN feedback, however, can also reach similar level of gas motions. For a kinetic AGN feedback model to work in a more realistic cosmological context, where cosmic accretion continually stirs the core (albeit at a low level), extra microphysics such as thermal conduction (e.g., Kannan et al. 2017), magnetic field, viscosities, and plasma instabilities, may be required to either damp gas motions in the cluster cores, or to suppress some of gas motions from the AGN. At the same time, higher resolution is also required for the cosmological simulations to properly resolve the turbulence cascade in the cluster core.

Second, the cosmic weather implemented in the isolated simulation in this paper does not model large-scale shear generated from mergers and accretion, which might alter the BH accretion rate via tidal torques and thus the level of AGN-driven motions. The complex, non-linear interactions between cosmic accretion, AGN feedback, and ICM microphysics will be addressed in future cosmological simulations that self-consistently model these processes. We are actively working on implementing the kinetic AGN feedback model into the cosmological runs, whose outputs will be used in upcoming papers to address the possible differences in the observational signatures (e.g., injection scales) between cosmic accretion and AGN feedback.

Clearly, a larger observational sample beyond Perseus is also required. With the eventual launch of the X-ray Astronomy Recovery Mission (XARM, the successor to Hitomi), Athena, and the addition of mm observations of cold gas feeding phase in the cluster core (e.g., by ALMA) we should be able build up such a sample and begin to dissect any correlations of the velocity dispersion and bulk motions with the feedback cycle and different core evolutionary periods, such as the pre-injection/feeding-dominated regime versus the post-injection/feedback-dominated regime. An X-ray calorimeter mission with high angular resolution, $\lesssim 1''$, such as for proposed for the Lynx mission, would be able to directly probe the small-scale velocity and thermal structures which are currently washed out in $\sim 1'$ resolution Hitomi data. This should substantially improve the constraints we can place on the details of AGN feedback models.

5.3. Implications for hydrostatic mass estimates

Lastly, it is also worth mentioning that the contribution from turbulent pressure support is expected to increase substantially towards the outskirts of clusters (e.g., Nelson et al. 2014a; Khatri & Gaspari 2016), up to an order of magnitude higher than its value at the cluster core, due to the effects of cosmic accretion. While the turbulent pressure fraction measured at 60 kpc by Hitomi is small, it does not imply that it is small at $R_{500}$ too, as larger radii entail larger injection scales and thus higher turbulent energies. Therefore, while the hydrostatic mass bias due to turbulence in the inner region may be small, the hydrostatic mass bias in the outer regions – which is crucial for cluster cosmology applications – can still be large, as corroborated by cosmological simulations.

6. CONCLUSIONS

By using full cosmological simulations and high resolution hydro simulations of isolated galaxy clusters, we compared the theoretical estimates of gas motions in cluster cores with the first direct measurements of velocity dispersions in the core of Perseus cluster by Hitomi Collaboration (2016). We summarize our findings below.

- In a cosmological representative sample of galaxy clusters from cosmological hydrodynamic simulations without baryonic physics (no radiative cooling, star formation, or subgrid feedback) where core gas motions are purely shaped by cosmic flows (mergers and accretion), one third of the mass-limited cluster sample have LOS velocity dispersion and shear levels consistent with the Hitomi observations within the projected radii of 30 – 60 kpc. Such systems are mostly relaxed clusters which have not undergone major mergers in the past 4 Gyr. The cosmic-induced gas flows represent an irreducible background of gas motions. Cosmological simulated clusters with thermal AGN feedback, on the other hand, over-predict the level of velocity dispersion and velocity shear.

- In the high-resolution (isolated) cluster simulation with cosmic-driven turbulence providing an initial
Gaussian ICM perturbation field, kinetic AGN feedback is able to frequently drive LOS velocity dispersions of a few $100 - 300 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ within the projected radii of $30 - 60 \text{ kpc}$ (and even $50\%$ closer in the central $30 \text{ kpc}$), in agreement with the Hitomi observations. The velocity dispersion peaks during major chaotic cold accretion phases, which are augmented with cosmic gas flows. The bulk motions and velocity shear driven by the AGN alone are mild, with the latter reaching the observed Hitomi level one third of the time.

- Taken together, our results suggest that the cosmic accretion and kinetic AGN feedback are the key complementary drivers of gas motions in cluster cores. While the gentle, self-regulated kinetic AGN feedback sustains significant velocity dispersions – tracking the small-scale turbulent motions – in the inner $\sim 10 \text{ kpc}$. This effect of kinetic feedback diminishes rapidly with increasing radial distance. The large-scale velocity shear at $\gtrsim 50 \text{ kpc}$ is instead sustained by continuous injection due to mergers, infalling groups, and penetrating streams.

This work represents the first step in understanding the origins of gas motions in galaxy clusters. Future work should investigate the effects of AGN feedback on the velocity and thermodynamic structure of the ICM using high-resolution cluster simulations that properly capture both the microphysics of ICM (such as thermal conduction and viscosity, magnetic fields, and plasma instabilities) as well as cosmological gas flows (including the large-scale velocity field driven by mergers and streams).

Observationally, it will be important to extend measurements to larger dynamic range as well as radial coverage to probe the full inertial range of the ICM turbulence (ZuHone et al. 2016), and to study the effects of bulk and turbulent motions on the hydrostatic mass estimates of galaxy clusters (Lau et al. 2015). It will also be important to increase the angular resolution beyond $\sim \Gamma$ angular resolution of Hitomi to probe gas flows closer to the cluster center for better constraints on the AGN feedback models. Future X-ray missions, such as XARM, Athenia, and the proposed Lynx mission, will improve measurements of gas motions out to large radii, provide higher angular resolution, and look at a larger sample of clusters in order to probe the physical origins of their gas motions.
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