Significant efforts have been devoted to develop efficient visible-light-driven photocatalysts for the conversion of CO2 to chemical fuels. The photocatalytic efficiency for this transformation largely depends on CO2 adsorption and diffusion. However, the CO2 adsorption on the surface of photocatalysts is generally low due to their low specific surface area and the lack of matched pores. Here we report a well-defined porous hypercrosslinked polymer-TiO2-graphene composite structure with relatively high surface area i.e., 988 m² g⁻¹ and CO2 uptake capacity i.e., 12.87 wt%. This composite shows high photocatalytic performance especially for CH4 production, i.e., 27.62 µmol g⁻¹ h⁻¹, under mild reaction conditions without the use of sacrificial reagents or precious metal co-catalysts. The enhanced CO2 reactivity can be ascribed to their improved CO2 adsorption and diffusion, visible-light absorption, and photo-generated charge separation efficiency. This strategy provides new insights into the combination of microporous organic polymers with photocatalysts for solar-to-fuel conversion.
The rapid consumption of carbon-rich fossil fuels has accelerated global energy shortage and significantly increased the CO₂ emissions causing serious environmental issues including greenhouse effect responsible for global warming. Among various strategies for CO₂ conversion, the photoreduction of CO₂ into chemical fuels has attracted increasing attention recently because it utilizes the abundant and sustainable solar energy to mimic the natural photosynthesis. So far, two approaches, i.e., homogeneous system and heterogeneous system, have been developed for the photoreduction of CO₂. In homogeneous systems, the molecular metal complexes have shown high photocatalytic reactivity towards CO₂ reduction. In contrast, the conversion efficiency in heterogeneous systems is relatively low, and the exploration of high performance heterogeneous photocatalysts is highly desired keeping in view their higher stability and recyclability.

In heterogeneous photocatalytic systems, the electrons are generated by light absorption and then transferred to the catalytically active sites to react with the adsorbed CO₂ molecules, so the conversion efficiency essentially relies on the light absorption ability, generation and separation of the photogenerated charge carriers, and CO₂ adsorption and diffusion. Tremendous efforts have been made to optimize the structure and composition of semiconductor photocatalysts to improve their visible-visible light absorption and charge separation efficiency, e.g., constructing heterojunctions, creating surface defects, introducing metal co-catalysts, and engineering exposed crystal facets. The CO₂ adsorption ability is particularly crucial to photocatalytic heterogeneous systems for CO₂ conversion, which readily occurs at the active sites of photocatalysts developing intimate contact with CO₂ molecules. Unfortunately, the CO₂ adsorption on the surface of semiconductor photocatalysts is extremely low due to their low specific surface area and the lack of matched pores. To overcome this limitation, the researchers have modified the photocatalytic reaction systems by elevating pressure, adding sacrificial reagents, or introducing CO₂-phic solvents. In contrast, it is relatively difficult to achieve efficient photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ under mild gas–solid reaction conditions without the use of sacrificial reagent or precious metal co-catalyst, and can be further enhanced by the rational design of the microporous structure to facilitate the CO₂ uptake and conversion.

Given this challenge, incorporating a CO₂ capture material into the photocatalytic system has great potential to provide an opportunity for improving CO₂ conversion efficiency. For example, the integration of metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) with photocatalysts has been demonstrated to offer better adsorptive sites for gas uptake because of their larger surface area and microporosity. Although the CO₂ conversion efficiency has been greatly improved by MOFs incorporation, the photocatalytic performance is still not sufficient for the practical applications, especially because the methane generation is quite limited. It has been established that the prerequisites to CO₂ transformation involve two steps i.e., CO₂ capture and diffusion to the catalytic sites. The porous capture materials possess abundant adsorptive sites but they are less catalytically active for CO₂ reduction than semiconductors or precious metals. Thus, the photoreduction efficiency largely depends on the CO₂ diffusion from the capture materials to the photocatalysts. Therefore, in order to achieve higher CO₂ conversion, an efficient photocatalyst requires high CO₂ uptake as well as short diffusion length.

As a proof of concept, we develop a porous composite structure by in situ knitting hypercrosslinked polymers (HCPs) on TiO₂-functionalized graphene (TiO₂-FG). The HCPs materials as pure organic microporous materials show large surface area, high CO₂ uptake, and excellent physicochemical stability. It is worth mentioning that this is the example involving the combination of microporous organic polymers with photocatalysts for CO₂ conversion among the numerous reported photocatalysts. The synthetic strategy of such well-defined porous composite structure is depicted in Fig. 1. The TiO₂-G composite is initially obtained by the reduction of graphene oxide (GO) followed by in situ growth of the anatase TiO₂ crystals with reactive [001] facets on its surface by a solvothermal process. The graphene skeleton of TiO₂-G composite is functionalized to form TiO₂-FG and provide an open phenyl group for covalent linking (step I). Finally, the ultrathin polymer layers are hypercrosslinked on TiO₂-FG by the knitting of syn-PhPh₃ and the open phenyl groups on graphene (step II), resulting in the formation of the porous hypercrosslinked polymer-TiO₂-graphene (HCP-TiO₂-FG) composite. Due to the enhanced CO₂ adsorption ability of HCPs and the short diffusion length around the TiO₂ photocatalysts, such well-defined HCP-TiO₂-FG structure is envisioned to enhance the reactivity of CO₂ molecules to facilitate the production of CH₄.

**Results**

**Characterization of the resulting materials.** The morphology and microstructure of the as-prepared materials were investigated by transmission electron microscopy (TEM), field-emission...
scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM), and atomic force microscopy (AFM). FE-SEM characterization of pure HCPs from our previous knitting method showed a layered bulk structure27,28, whereas the HCP-TiO$_2$-FG exhibited a 3D morphology with flake-like graphene sheets, which is similar to the reported porous graphene-based materials29,30. The absence of naked TiO$_2$ crystals on the graphene surface indicated their complete coating by the HCPs layers in HCP-TiO$_2$-FG (Supplementary Figure 1a–d). The TEM characterization further showed that the smooth graphene nanosheets (Supplementary Figure 2) were uniformly decorated with TiO$_2$ crystals to confirm the formation of TiO$_2$-G composite nanostructure (Fig. 2a,b). After in situ knitting, a distinct composite structure was formed of HCP-TiO$_2$-FG in which the graphene surface and TiO$_2$ crystals were covered by the HCPs layers. No freestanding HCPs blocks were observed in SEM, TEM, and scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) images.

**Fig. 2** Morphology and elemental mapping of various photocatalysts. TEM images of a, b TiO$_2$-G and c, d HCP-TiO$_2$-FG at different magnification. The insets in b and d are the corresponding HR-TEM images. e STEM image of HCP-TiO$_2$-FG. f–i High-angle annular dark field (HAADF) mapping images of HCP-TiO$_2$-FG. The scale bar are 200 nm in a, 50 nm in b, 0.5 µm in c, 100 nm in d, 100 nm in e, and 5 nm in insets in b and d.

**Fig. 3** Chemical structure, porosity, and CO$_2$ uptake of various photocatalysts. a XRD image of TiO$_2$, TiO$_2$-G, and HCP-TiO$_2$-FG. b C 1s profiles of HCP-TiO$_2$-FG. c $^{13}$C cross-polarization/magic-angle spinning (CP/MAS) NMR spectra of HCP-TiO$_2$-FG. d Nitrogen adsorption and desorption isotherms at 77.3 K of samples. e Volumetric CO$_2$ adsorption isotherms and desorption isotherms up to 1.00 bar at 273.15 K of samples. f Volumetric CO$_2$ adsorption isotherms and desorption isotherms up to 1.00 bar at 298.15 K of samples.
(Fig. 2c–e and Supplementary Figure 1d). High-resolution TEM (HR-TEM) characterization showed that the TiO₂ crystals were fully wrapped by an ultrathin HCPs layer with a thickness of 3–8 nm (Fig. 2d). The typical AFM observation and thickness analysis revealed a uniform thickness of HCP-TiO₂-FG as 10 ± 0.5 nm, whereas the thickness of TiO₂-FG was only 4 ± 0.5 nm suggesting the formation of composite structure (Supplementary Figures 3–4). The percentage of the exposed [001] facets in the TiO₂ crystal was calculated to be ~30% using a geometric calculation (Supplementary Figure 5). The elemental mapping images in Fig. 2f–i clearly display the thin HCP shells wrapping the surface of TiO₂ crystals. By rotating the angle of the sample, multiple images were collected to create a three-dimensional TEM (3D-TEM) movie (Supplementary Figure 6 and Supplementary Movie 1) to further elucidate the HCP-TiO₂-FG composite structure with distinct interface between TiO₂ and HCP-FG. Based on the above analysis, it can be deduced that the TiO₂ crystals were supported on the graphene sheets and then encapsulated by the ultrathin HCPs layers after knitting syn-PhPh₃ with functionalized graphene, as shown in Fig. 1.

The X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern showed that all the samples consisted of pure anatase TiO₂ crystals. The introduction of HCPs layers did not alter the crystal phase of TiO₂ but caused an obvious increase in the particle size (Fig. 3a), which followed the Ostwald ripening mechanism during long-time refluxing in the functionalization and knitting processes. The composition and surface chemical structure were investigated by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements. Unlike TiO₂-G, the peak intensities of Ti and O signals for TiO₂-FG and HCP-TiO₂-FG were gradually weakened due to the decrease of TiO₂ content (Supplementary Figure 7a). As shown in high-resolution C1s spectra, the ratio of sp² C and sp³ C signals demonstrated an increasing trend after functionalization and knitting, which was attributed to the introduction of more sp² C compared with the formation of sp³ C (Fig. 3b and Supplementary Figure 7b,c)⁴⁰. Interestingly, the location of Ti²p displayed an obvious shift of ~0.2 eV towards higher energy after HCPs layers formation (Supplementary Figure 7d). This shift verified the electronic interaction of HCPs layers with TiO₂, which was favorable for the electron transfer at the heterojunction interface. Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy was carried out to investigate the chemical structure of the resulting materials. Compared with the FT-IR spectrum of TiO₂-FG, strong C–H stretching vibrations of methylene near 2920 cm⁻¹ and aromatic ring skeleton vibration peaks near 1485 cm⁻¹ are clearly visible for HCP-TiO₂-FG (Supplementary Figure 8)²⁷,²⁸. The slight shift of the Ti–O–Ti stretching vibration further verified the interfacial interactions of TiO₂ with HCPs layers in the composite materials.

The ¹³C cross-polarization/magic-angle spinning nuclear magnetic resonance (CP/MAS NMR) was employed to further confirm the proposed functionalization and knitting processes at the molecular level. The introduction of phenyl groups in TiO₂-FG resulted in the appearance of a shoulder peak arising at 136.7 ppm in the aromatic carbon region due to the functionalization of TiO₂-G, and the resonance at 127.2 ppm are assigned to the sp² carbons of the graphene based on reported data (Supplementary Figure 9)⁴⁰. The enhanced intensity of resonance peaks near 136.7 ppm can be ascribed to the introduction of abundant sp² carbon by knitting syn-PhPh₃ with TiO₂-FG for HCP-TiO₂-FG. Meanwhile, the methylene linkers formed by knitting processes resulted in the appearance of a new peak near 32.9 ppm (Fig. 3c)²⁷,²⁸. The TiO₂ content and thermostability of as-prepared materials were investigated by thermogravimetric analysis (TGA). As expected, the percent weight loss of TiO₂ significantly decreased from the initial 67% for TiO₂-G to 31% for HCP-TiO₂-FG suggesting the incorporation of HCPs layers into the composite (Supplementary Figure 10). The results are in good agreement with the accurate measurement by inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) analysis. More importantly, the HCP-TiO₂-FG composite structure exhibited the excellent thermal stability comparable to TiO₂-G with resistance to degradation up to 400 °C, presumably due to the formation of HCPs layers on rigid graphene skeletons.

**Porosity and CO₂ uptake of the resulting materials.** After confirming the morphology and chemical structure of the as-prepared materials, we further investigated their porosity parameters as shown in Fig. 3d and Supplementary Table 2. The TiO₂ and TiO₂-FG showed type IV isotherms with a minute amount of adsorbed nitrogen and obvious hysteresis loops at medium pressure region, indicating low surface area and the existence of mesopores²¹,²². The isotherms of HCP-TiO₂-FG exhibited a type I character with a steep nitrogen gas uptake at low relative pressure (P/P₀ < 0.001) thus reflecting abundant microporous structure. The existence of an obvious hysteresis and a slight rise at medium and high pressure region revealed the presence of mesopores and macropores, respectively³³. More importantly, the introduction of HCPs layers dramatically enlarged the specific surface area of TiO₂-G to 988 m² g⁻¹, together with an increase in micropore volume from 0.009 to 0.306 cm³ g⁻¹, which is, in fact, much higher than the reported semiconductor-graphene composites or most of the porous photocatalysts (Supplementary Table 3). Moreover, the dominant pore diameter of HCP-TiO₂-FG was centred at about 0.5 and 1.1 nm, along with continuous mesoporous and macroporous structure (Supplementary Figure 11). The high specific surface area and abundant ultramicroporous nature of the HCP-TiO₂-FG composite inspired us to investigate its gas uptake capacity. It is well established that the CO₂ uptake by porous polymer materials mainly results from its physical adsorption³⁴,³⁵. Such adsorption mode displays the pressure and temperature-dependent features with excellent recyclability for the repeated CO₂ adsorption and desorption (Supplementary Figure 12). Interestingly, the CO₂ uptake of the HCP-TiO₂-FG reached as high as 12.87 wt% at 1.00 bar and 273.15 K, which is more than four-fold higher than those of TiO₂ and TiO₂-G. These results were finally compared with some porous photocatalysts reported under similar conditions (Fig. 3e and Supplementary Table 3). It was found that the CO₂ uptake of HCP-TiO₂-FG was higher than that of HCP-FG (Supplementary Table 2), implying that the TiO₂ intercalation somewhat restricted the aggregation of HCPs layers on graphene nanosheets. To further understand the effect of such well-defined HCP-TiO₂-FG composite structure on improving surface area and CO₂ uptake, another type of composite, TiO₂/HCP-FG, was prepared as a control by changing the order of introducing HCP and TiO₂. The HCP layers were hypercrosslinked on the functionalized graphene to form HCP-FG at first, and then TiO₂ crystals were grown on the HCP-FG surface during the solvothermal process. Owing to the high surface area and porous property of HCP-FG support, the TiO₂ particles of TiO₂/HCP-FG possessed much smaller size than that of TiO₂-G or HCP-TiO₂-FG (Supplementary Figure 13). However, the hypercrosslinking reaction caused the graphene surface to be almost entirely covered by HCP layers, so that most of TiO₂ crystals were assembled on the HCP surface rather than be encapsulated by HCPs layers like those in HCP-TiO₂-FG composite. The results implied the interaction of TiO₂ with graphene serving as bridge to the formation of well-defined HCP-TiO₂-FG composite structure. The TiO₂/HCP-FG composite showed a high surface area of 178 m² g⁻¹ and CO₂ uptake of 3.31 wt% relative to TiO₂ and TiO₂-G (Supplementary Figure 14), but much lower than that of HCP-TiO₂-FG. According to the previous such reports, the incorporation of semiconductor...
photocatalysts generally decrease the surface area and CO2 uptake of the capture materials, mainly resulting from the low conductors with low surface area occupying the porous surface. Hence the superiority of HCP-TiO2-FG in CO2 adsorption could be ascribed to the well-defined porous composite structure with TiO2 encapsulated inside the HCP-FG network instead of being assembled on the surface.

**Photoreduction CO2 activity of the resulting materials.** Given ideal pore distribution and excellent CO2 capture capacity of HCP-TiO2-FG, we set out to evaluate the photocatalytic efficiency towards CO2 conversion in a gas–solid reaction system. The photoreduction of CO2 proceeded under mild conditions without any photosensitizer or organic sacrificial reagent. Figure 4a,b and Table 1 show the yield of the CO2 conversion products during 5 h of photocatalytic reaction under visible-light (wavelength $\lambda \geq 420$ nm) irradiation. The CO and CH4 gases were generated as main products via the two-electron and eight-electron reduction processes, respectively. The porous HCP-TiO2-FG catalyst presented high average conversion efficiency with a rate of total consumed electron number ($R_e$) as 264 [$\mu$mol g$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$], a CH4 evolution rate of 27.62 [$\mu$mol g$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$], and a CO evolution rate of 21.63 [$\mu$mol g$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$]. Figure 4c shows the dominant electron consumption selectivity for CH4 production as high as 83.7%. More importantly, no H2 evolution was detected during the photocatalytic reaction suggesting that the HCP-TiO2-FG material possessed the high selectivity for photoreduction of CO2 and effectively hindered the side reaction of H2O reduction. To the best of our knowledge, these CO2 photoconversion results are the best among those of the recently reported heterogeneous photocatalysts without precious metal co-catalysts (Supplementary Table 1)\textsuperscript{11,17,23,38-40}.

The well-defined HCP-TiO2-FG composite photocatalyst with abundant microporosity demonstrated excellent performance for CO2 reduction but its underlying mechanism still needs to be investigated. The CO2 conversion products were rarely detected (<1 [$\mu$mol g$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$]) over commercial TiO2 (P25), and pure TiO2 with reactive [001] facets due to their limited light-responsive ability in the visible region (Fig. 4d). Coupling of TiO2 with graphene to produce TiO2-G obviously increased the CH4 production (2.42 [$\mu$mol g$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$]) by improving visible-light absorption and electron transport property\textsuperscript{18,41}. The adsorptive and catalytic sites can be clarified through the comparison in porous property and photocatalytic performance. Obviously, the
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**Fig. 4** The photocatalytic performance of CO2 reduction, optical and photoelectrical properties, and mechanism of charge transfer pathway. Time-dependent production of a CH4 and b CO in photocatalytic CO2 reduction with different catalysts under visible-light ($\lambda \geq 420$ nm). The photocatalytic reactions were carried out in a batch system under standard atmospheric pressure. The partial pressure of CO2 and H2O were constant with the water contents below the scaffold loading photocatalyst. Under visible-light irradiation, the temperature of the water was measured to be about 50 °C. c Average efficiency of photocatalytic CO2 conversion with different catalysts during 5 h of visible-light ($\lambda \geq 420$ nm) irradiation. d UV-Vis absorption spectra of TiO2, TiO2-G, and HCP-TiO2-FG catalysts. e Amperometric i–t curves of samples under visible-light ($\lambda \geq 420$ nm) irradiation. f Proposed mechanism of charge separation and transfer within the HCP-TiO2-FG composite photocatalyst under visible-light ($\lambda \geq 420$ nm) irradiation.

| Photocatalyst | Visible-light irradiation ($\mu$mol g$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$) | $R_{(CH_4)}^{(a)}$ | $R_{(CO)}^{(b)}$ | $R_{s(CH_4)}^{(c)}$ | $R_{s(CO)}^{(d)}$ | $R_e^{(e)}$ |
|--------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| P25          | 0.23                            | 0.10            | 2               | 0                | 2               | 2               |
| TiO2         | 0.21                            | 0.46            | 1               | 1                | 3               | 3               |
| TiO2-G       | 2.42                            | 0.49            | 19              | 1                | 20              | 20              |
| HCP-FG       | 0.90                            | 7.62            | 7               | 15               | 22              | 22              |
| TiO2/HCP-FG  | 6.71                            | 16.17           | 54              | 32               | 86              | 86              |
| HCP-TiO2-FG  | 27.62                           | 21.63           | 221             | 43               | 264             | 264             |

$^{(a)}$Average of gas evolution rate ($R_s$) during 5 h of photocatalytic CO2 reduction

$^{(b)}$Rate of electron consumption for CH4 and CO evolution; $R_{(CH_4)} = 8r(CH_4)$, $R_{(CO)} = 2r(CO)$

$^{(c)}$Rate of total consumed electron number for the reduced product; $R_{s(CH_4)} = 8r(CH_4)$

$^{(d)}$Rate of total consumed electron number for the reduced product; $R_{s(CO)} = 2r(CO)$

$^{(e)}$Commercial TiO2 (Degussa)
introduction of porous HCPs layers enriched the adsorptive sites to achieve the high CO2 uptake and improved the visible light absorption. Thus the formation of well-defined HCP-TiO2-FG composite structure resulted in much higher photocatalytic CO2 reduction rate. The HCP-FG material also exhibited broad visible-light absorption, high surface area, and notable CO2 uptake (Supplementary Table 2). However, its photocatalytic performance was far less than that of HCP-TiO2-FG, especially in the eight-electron reduction to CH4 (Fig. 4a and Table 1). It is well known that the polymer materials usually possess the excitons with high binding energy, which usually recombine at the excited states42. That is, the adsorptive sites on the porous capture material are generally catalytically inactive for CO2 reduction23. To further elucidate the superiority of porous HCP-TiO2-FG composite, the CO2 conversion efficiency over TiO2/HCP-FG composite was evaluated. It was found that the photocatalytic activity of TiO2/HCP-FG was much lower than that of HCP-TiO2-FG. It may be due to the blockage of the porous structure of HCP-FG with TiO2 crystals thereby decreasing the surface area and CO2 uptake and subsequently leading to the reduced CO2 reduction rate. Although TiO2 deposition blocked most of the adsorptive sites of HCP-FG and resulted in a dramatic decrease to less than one-third of CO2 uptake, the CH4 production over TiO2/HCP-FG was, however, 7.4 times more than that over pristine HCP-FG. The comparison among HCP-FG, HCP-TiO2-FG, and TiO2/HCP-FG shows that the catalytic sites on TiO2 are much more active for CO2 reduction than those on HCP-FG. The model of CO2 diffusion and conversion is presented in Supplementary Figure 15. Based on the above analysis, it can be deduced that the in situ knitting strategy for HCP-TiO2-FG can effectively produce porous structure without significant pore blockage of the porous polymers. More importantly, the HCPs obtained by this strategy are comprised of ultrathin layers with a thickness of 3–8 nm wrapping around TiO2 crystals (Fig. 2d–f), which facilitates the diffusion of CO2 molecules from the adsorptive sites on HCPs layers to the catalytic sites on TiO2 photocatalysts. The effect of the thickness of HCP layers on the CO2 conversion efficiency was studied by adjusting the amount of syn-PhPh3. By increasing the amount of syn-PhPh3, the mass ratio of TiO2 was slightly decreased from 31 to 29% (Supplementary Figure 16), however, the size of TiO2 particles was decreased accompanied by the thickening of the HCP layers (Supplementary Figure 17), which suggests that the HCP outer layers effectively suppress the growth of TiO2 crystals. The distinct thickening of the outer layers was further verified from the characteristic morphology revealed in Fig. 1, showing HCP layers being hypercrosslinked on FG surface and encapsulating TiO2 crystals. The surface area and CO2 uptake capacity increased with the amount of syn-PhPh3 (Supplementary Figure 18a and Supplementary Table 4), on the other hand, the diffusion length of CO2 molecules also increased due to the thickening of the outer layer. As the CO2 conversion efficiency increased initially and then decreased at higher amount (Supplementary Figure 18b), there may be an appropriate thickness of HCP layers that balance the CO2 adsorption and diffusion. As a result of the relatively high photocatalytic performance of porous HCP-TiO2-FG, the O2 evolution can be measured to provide the evidence of the oxidation cycle offering a better insight of the mechanism that is seldom discussed in the literature43. The O2 evolution rate over HCP-TiO2-FG under visible-light irradiation was determined to be 1.6 μmol h−1, while the O2 evolution over other photocatalysts was too low to be detectable (Supplementary Figure 19). The electrons from the water oxidation are slightly higher than the total consumed electrons for the reduced products including CH4 and CO. To verify the evolution of CO and CH4 from CO2 conversion over HCP-TiO2-FG photocatalyst, we conducted three controlled experiments: (1) irradiation of catalyst under inert N2 condition; (2) the use of isotopically labeled 13CO2 and H218O as the reactants; (3) irradiation of catalyst in the presence of CO2 gas without H2O vapors. Under inert N2 condition, no CH4 was detected and the CO yield was only 7% compared to that under CO2 atmosphere (Supplementary Figure 20). The trace CO product might be generated by the decomposition of the residual oxygen-containing functional groups of graphene, which was evidenced by XPS analysis (Supplementary Figure 7a). In an isotopically labeled experiment, the 13CH4 and 13CO signals at m/z = 17 and m/z = 29 appeared after the photocatalytic reaction. The results confirmed that the CO and CH4 products are indeed originating from the photocatalytic reduction of CO2 gas (Supplementary Figure 21). The isotopically labeled H218O vapors led to the formation of 18O2 (Supplementary Figure 22), suggesting that the evolved O2 gas was derived from the photocatalytic water oxidation. In the absence of H2O vapors, the CH4 evolution was rapidly declined to ~9% of the original rate, while ~1.8 times increase was observed in CO evolution, revealing that the H2O vapors act as the proton donors for the conversion of CO2 to CH4. Although the porous HCP-TiO2-FG also exhibits a high adsorption capacity towards water vapors, about 30 wt% at 90% humidity (Supplementary Figure 23), the existence of water vapors brings a slight increase in CO2 uptake (Supplementary Figure 24), presumably due to their affinity with the water molecules. The CH4 evolution is relatively difficult since the reactivity of the adsorbed CO2 molecules should be high enough to accept eight electrons and eight protons to break the C–O bonds and form the C–H bonds45. Normally, the adsorbed CO2 molecules are more readily converted to CO than CH4 on the surface of semiconductor photocatalysts18,19,36. Precious metal co-catalysts are generally introduced to improve the reactivity of CO2 molecules to obtain more CH4 production, e.g., 19.6 μmol g−1 h−1 over Pd4Cu3-loaded TiO2 and 20.6 μmol g−1 h−1 over TiO2-PdH0.43 under UV-light40,46. However, the CH4 evolution over the HCP-TiO2-FG (this study) under UV-light can achieve a high rate of 51.23 μmol g−1 h−1 (Supplementary Figure 25). To the best of our knowledge, the CH4 evolution rate over the HCP-TiO2-FG under UV- or visible-light irradiation is impressively higher than the reported values including that over precious metal-modified TiO2 photocatalysts (Supplementary Table 1), under similar gas–solid reaction conditions (with no sacrificial agent).

The charge separation efficiency was investigated by recording the transient amperometric I-t curves under visible-light irradiation. As shown in Fig. 4e, the photocurrent of the resulting materials displayed high repeatability during light on-off cycling, and the results were consistent with the photocatalytic evaluations, i.e., negligible signal in pure TiO2 system, weak photocurrent response in HCP-FG, and notably enhanced current intensity in HCP-TiO2-FG composite. The photoluminescence (PL) and electrochemical impedance spectra (EIS) were employed to provide further evidence as shown in Supplementary Figures 26–27. The significant PL quenching suggests that the recombination of the photogenerated e−/h+ pairs was effectively suppressed by graphene. The smaller semicircle arc at high frequencies in the EIS indicates the decreased electron-transfer resistance (Rct) across the electrode/electrolyte. The lower Rct of TiO2-FG than that of TiO2 indicates that FG modification favors the electronic conductivity due to its high electron mobility. Moreover, the covalent linking with graphene effectively improves the electronic conductivity of the HCPs and thus facilitates the electron transfer in the composite. The less efficient CH4 production over HCP-TiO2 photocatalyst can also reflect the
influence of graphene on improving the charge separation efficiency (Supplementary Figure 28). As a result, the porous HCP-TiO2-FG composite possesses the improved efficiency in separating the photogenerated charge carriers.

The pathway of charge carriers transfer and separation generally depends on the band gap of photocatalysts. The HCP-FG showed that its highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) energy levels were located at $-5.34$ eV and $-3.00$ eV (vs. vacuum level) as calculated by optical absorption (Fig. 4d) and cyclic voltammetry (CV) measurement (Supplementary Figure 29), which are more negative than the valence band (VB) and conduction band (CB) levels of TiO2, respectively. To further confirm, ultraviolet photoelectron spectroscopy (UPS) technique was employed to measure the HOMO location, $-5.44$ eV versus vacuum level (Supplementary Figure 30), which was found to be very close to that of CV measurement. Based on the position of HOMO and LUMO energy levels, a tentative mechanism for the overall CO2 conversion process over the HCP-TiO2-FG photocatalyst is proposed and is shown in Fig. 4f. Under visible-light irradiation, HCP-FG functions both as CO2 adsorbent and photomismitizer, which directly absorbs the photons to induce the HOMO to LUMO transition. The photogenerated electron-hole pairs of the excited HCP-FG can migrate and separated at the interface with TiO2 via their interfacial interaction, as shown in Supplementary Figure 31. Thus the CO2 reduction is inclined to occur at the catalytic sites on TiO2 rather than that on HCP-FG, which is in consistent with the above discussions on the porous property and photocatalytic performance. The electron transfer not only largely inhibited the recombination with the excited HCP-FG, but also made the photocatalytic reaction more effective. The excited HCP-FG was recovered to its neutral state by oxidizing the absorbed water molecules to produce oxygen gas. When the light was turned off, the amount of CO and CH4 remained almost constant, indicating the efficient control over the reverse reactions of CO2 reduction (oxidizing CO and CH4 to CO2) over the HCP-TiO2-FG photocatalyst under such mild reaction conditions (Supplementary Figure 32). It can be concluded that the in situ knitting of porous HCP-TiO2-FG are very effective to dramatically enhance visible-light-driven CO2 conversion efficiency, which could be mainly ascribed to the well-defined composite structure from the following two aspects: (1) high CO2 uptake ability and short distance between the adsorbent and the catalyst facilitating the CO2 adsorption and diffusion; (2) broad light absorption of HCPs layers and fast charge mobility of graphene improving the visible-light absorption and charge separation efficiency. In this way, the high selectivity of electron consumption for CH4 production can also be explained by the enrichment of CO2 and electron density favoring the multi-electron reduction process.

In addition to the enhanced photocatalytic activity, the stability of such photocatalysts is also crucial for composite structure because of the possible leakage of one component from another. It was observed that HCP-TiO2-FG retained more than 85% of the original efficiency for both the CH4 and CO production, implying their stable framework by strong interfacial interaction after five consecutive runs (Supplementary Figure 33). Moreover, the fresh and used catalysts displayed no obvious difference in FT-IR spectra and XRD diffraction pattern, which demonstrates the stable chemical skeleton and crystal structure of HCP-TiO2-FG throughout the photocatalytic reaction (Supplementary Figures 34–35).

**Kinetic analysis.** The kinetics experiments were carried out to understand the contribution of CO2 adsorption and diffusion to the enhancement of photocatalytic efficiency. The relationship between the CO2 adsorption and CH4 production can be explored by varying the surface coverage of CO2 on the active sites. The partial pressure of CO2 is adjusted in CO2/N2 mixture because of a high CO2/N2 selectivity ratio of 25.8 over the HCP-TiO2-FG photocatalyst (Supplementary Figure 36). Since the kinetic model and reaction mechanism of photocatalytic CO2 conversion are ambiguous so far, the quantitative relationship between CO2 coverage and CH4 evolution rate is still unclear. Interestingly, it is observed that they show a similar trend of increase with CO2 proportion, e.g., both of them dramatically increased at lower partial pressure and then displayed a slow increase at higher CO2 concentration (Supplementary Figure 37). Generally, the reaction rates that are normalized to the active sites allow the direct comparison of intrinsic reactivity on different catalysts[47–49]. For the catalytic system employing same catalyst, the reaction rate appears to be independent of the loading amount of catalyst after normalization to the same amount[50,51]. In this regard, the porous HCP-TiO2-FG photocatalyst possesses equivalent catalytic active sites to TiO2/HCP-FG due to the same content of TiO2 photocatalyst. That is, the more efficient CH4 production over HCP-TiO2-FG should not result from the difference in the number of catalytic sites but mostly come from the higher surface coverage of CO2 on the active sites.

The temperature has a complicated influence on the rate of photocatalytic conversion from the aspects of adsorption and diffusion. By increasing the temperature, the surface coverage of CO2 molecules on the catalyst surface was decreased due to the exothermic effect of adsorption process (Fig. 3e–f), while the diffusion rate was increased as a result of the increased thermal motion of CO2 molecules (Supplementary Figure 38). Based on Arrhenius plot, the adsorption activation energy for CO2 adsorption is calculated to be 5.20 kJ mol$^{-1}$ (Supplementary Figure 39a) using a microporous diffusion model[52,53]. Since the CH4 production increases linearly and possesses dominant electron consumption selectivity as 83.7%, we can use the pseudo-zero-order model to estimate the rate constant for the overall reaction, obtaining apparent activation energy of 9.34 kJ mol$^{-1}$ (Supplementary Figure 39b). The diffusion process was further studied by varying the stirring speed. As shown in Supplementary Figure 40, the increase of stirring speed greatly facilitates the photocatalytic conversion of CO2 to CH4 product. Combining the diffusion effect with pressure-/temperature-dependent characteristics, we can conclude that the photocatalytic CO2 reduction over HCP-TiO2-FG is not under intrinsic kinetic control of the catalyst, but the efficiency is rather determined by gas adsorption and diffusion. The elucidation of adsorption and diffusion that contributed to the photocatalytic reaction, and is seldom discussed in the literature, provides valuable information for understanding the relationship between the catalytic performance and structure properties. As a result, it clearly demonstrates the superiority of such porous HCP-TiO2-FG composite towards the visible-light-driven photocatalytic CO2 conversion. Further kinetic study is required to probe the kinetics model and reaction mechanism of photocatalytic CO2 conversion.

In summary, the well-defined porous HCP-TiO2-FG composite structure was successfully constructed by in situ knitting strategy. The anatase TiO2 crystals with reactive [001] facets were supported on graphene surface and encapsulated inside the ultrathin HCPs layers with a thickness of 3–8 nm. Benefiting from the high surface area and abundant microporous nature, the introduction of HCPs layers dramatically improved the specific surface area and micropore volume of TiO2-G to 988 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ and 0.306 cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$, respectively, leading to the increased CO2 uptake capacity up to 12.87 wt%. Due to the improved CO2 adsorption
ability and shortened diffusion length, such well-defined HCP-TiO2-FG composite photocatalyst is expected to enhance the reactivity of CO2 molecules, which will facilitate the CO2 conversion especially for CH4 production. In addition, the charge separation efficiency and visible-light absorption of TiO2 photocatalyst could be effectively improved by the graphene with high charge mobility and the HCPs layers with broad light absorption. As a result, the HCP-TiO2-FG achieved high CO2 conversion efficiency with a rate of total consumed electron number (Re) as 264 μmol g⁻¹ h⁻¹, including 83.7% selectivity for CH4 production and negligible side reaction of H2 production under visible-light irradiation. To the best of our knowledge, these results are the best among the recent such reports, and especially much better than those of photocatalysts with precious metal co-catalysts under similar gas–solid reaction conditions. We believe that these findings will be very helpful to overcome the constraint of deficient pore structure for semiconductor-based composites and open a new pathway for the design and synthesis of well-defined porous materials with high CO2 uptake and photocatalytic conversion efficacy.

**Methods**

**Materials.** Graphite, isopropyl alcohol, dichloromethane, hydrochloric acid, fluoric acid, ethanol, AlCl3 (anhydrous), H3PO4, Na2SO4, KMnO4, and K2CO3 were obtained from National Medicines Corporation Ltd. of China, all of which were of analytical grade and were used as received. 1, 3, 5-triphenylbenzene (syn-Ph3PPh3), tetrabutyl titanate, isoamyl nitrite, and aniline were purchased from Aldadin chemical reagent Corp (Shanghai, China) and used as received.

**Synthesis of graphene supporting TiO2 with reactive (001) facets (TiO2-G)**

The graphene oxide (GO) was synthesized via a modified Hummers method (Supplementary Methods)34. The lamellar protonated titanate (LPT) was used as the precursor of TiO2 (Supplementary Methods). 2.5 g of wet LPT precursor and 30 mg GO (6 mg/mL) were dissolved in 15 mL of isopropl alcohol by sonication for 30 min. Then, 0.5 mL of fluoric acid (40 wt%) and 16 mg of glucose were dropped into the solution under continuous stirring. The mixed solution was subjected to solvothermal treatment at 180 °C for 12 h. When the reaction system was cooled to room temperature, the black precipitate, TiO2-G, was washed thoroughly with water and ethanol, and then dispersed in absolute ethanol for the next step.

**Synthesis of graphene supporting TiO2 (TiO2-FG) and TiO2/HCP-FG composite.**

The phenyl substituted graphene supporting TiO2 (TiO2-FG) was obtained by the functionalization of graphene skeleton35. 5 millimoles of aniline (2 equivalent per graphene carbon), 100 mg TiO2-G, and 30 mL acetonitrile were added to a 50 mL round-bottom flask and stirred for 60 min. 5 millimoles of isoamyl nitrite was added under nitrogen atmosphere, and then the reaction mixture was heated with stirring to 80 °C for 2 h. The TiO2-FG was obtained by filtration and washing thrice with chloroform, further purified by extracting with chloroform for 24 h, and finally dried in a vacuum oven at 60 °C for 24 h. Secondly, the knitting process was performed as follows: under a N2 atmosphere, the catalyst (AlCl3, 104 mg, 12 equiv dried in a vacuum oven at 60 °C for 24 h. Secondly, the knitting process was conducted by extracting with chloroform for 24 h, and the illuminated area of photocatalyst was about 3.14 cm². The CO2 was generated by the reaction of sodium hydrogen carbonate with diluted sulphuric acid after removing the air. The gas evolution was analysed by gas chromatography (GC-2014CA, Shimadzu Corp., Japan).

**Characterization of materials.** FT-IR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Vertex 70 Spectrometer employing the KBr disk method. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was performed from room temperature to 850 °C under nitrogen and air, employing a Perkin Elmer Instrument Pyris TGA with a heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹. The field-emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM) images were recorded employing a FEI Sirion 200 field-emission scanning electron microscope operated at 10 kV. The X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) (Panalytical B.V.) spectra were recorded by using an x'pert3 powder equipped with Cu Ka radiation at a scanning rate of 4° min⁻¹. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements were performed on AXIS-ULTRA DLD-600W with an Al Ka source. The high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HR-TEM) and scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) images of samples were recorded on a Tecnai G2 F30 microscope (FEI Corp. Holland). The High-Angle Annular Dark Field (HAADF) mapping images and three-dimensional TEM (3D-TEM) movie were obtained on a Talos F20X0 field-emission transmission electron microscope. The 3D-TEM movie in the Supplementary Movie 1 was created by taking multiple views of a single sample at different defocus from −50 to 50 nm actual loading amount of Ti was measured by inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (NexION 300X, Perkin Elmer). UV-vis diffuse reflectance spectra (DRS) were obtained on a scanning UV-vis spectrometer (LabRAM HR800) with an integrating sphere detector. Gas (H2, N2, CO2) sorption properties and specific surface area of samples were measured using a Micromeritics ASAP 2020 surface area and porosity analyzer. Before analysis, the samples were degassed at 110 °C for 8 h under vacuum of 10⁻³ bar. Pore size distribution was calculated by N2 adsorption isotherm employing the Tarazona nonlocal density functional theory (NLDFT) model assuming slit pore geometry. Total pore volumes (Vp) were derived from nitrogen sorption isotherms at relative pressure P/P0 = 0.995. The mix gas adsorption experiment were checked by volumetric adsorption-gas chromatograph instrument BEISORP-VC. The samples were degassed at 100 °C for 8 h to remove the remnant solvent molecules before measurement. The pure water vapor adsorption experiment was investigated by moisture sorption analyzer Hiden HGA 100. The isotopic labelling was confirmed using a gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (SHIMADZU GCMS-QP2020). The Ultraviolet photoelectron spectroscopy (UPS) measurement was performed on a VG Scienta 4000 analyzer using a monochromatic He I light source (21.2 eV). A sample bias of −5 V was applied to observe the secondary electron cutoff (SEC).

**Data availability**

The data supporting the plots within this paper and other findings of this study are available from the corresponding authors on request. The source data underlying Figs. 3a-f and 4a-e and Supplementary Figs. 7–12, 14, 16, 18–23, 25–30 and 32–40 are provided as a Source Data file, which is available at figshare website (the unique identifier for the data is https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.7527764, and the permanent web address is https://figshare.com/s/03c28e676726coc658).
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