Medication patterns in older adults with multimorbidity: a cluster analysis of primary care patients
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Abstract

Background: Older adults suffer from various chronic conditions which make them particularly vulnerable. The proper management of multiple drug use is therefore crucial. The aim of our study was to describe drug prescription and medication patterns in this population.

Methods: A cross-sectional study in Barcelona (Spain) using electronic health records from 50 primary healthcare centres. Participants were aged 65 to 94 years, presenting multimorbidity (≥2 chronic diseases), and had been prescribed at least 1 drug for 6 months or longer during 2009. We calculated the prevalence of prescribed drugs and identified medication patterns using multiple correspondence analysis and k-means clustering. Analyses were stratified by sex and age (65–79, 80–94 years).

Results: We studied 164,513 patients (66.8% women) prescribed a median of 4 drugs (interquartile range [IQR] = 3–7) in the 65–79 age-group and 6 drugs (IQR = 4–8) in the 80–94 age-group. A minimum of 45.9% of patients aged 65–79 years, and 61.8% of those aged 80–94 years, were prescribed 5 or more drugs. We identified 6 medication patterns, a non-specific one and 5 encompassing 8 anatomical groups (alimentary tract and metabolism, blood, cardiovascular, dermatological, musculo-skeletal, neurological, respiratory, and sensory organ).

Conclusions: Drug prescription is widespread among the elderly. Six medication patterns were identified, 5 of which were related to one or more anatomical group, with associations among drugs from different systems. Overall, guidelines do not accurately reflect the situation of the elderly multimorbid, new strategies for managing multiple drug uses are needed to optimize prescribing in these patients.

Keywords: Ageing, Cluster analysis, Drugs, Electronic health records, Multimorbidity, Primary health care

Introduction

Worldwide, individuals are living longer [1] thanks to advances in medical research and care [2]. For instance, in 2016, 19% of the European population was aged 65 years or older [3], a figure that is expected to reach 30% by 2060 [4]. Nevertheless, a longer life span is closely related to the likelihood of developing chronic disease [5] and 55–98% of older adults suffer from multimorbidity [6]. Such patients are more likely to require multiple drugs to achieve optimal clinical (or disease) management [7, 8], indeed, a prescription rate of over 80% for ≥5 drugs has been reported [9]. Multiple drug use in older adults, however, is associated with overall worsening physical and psychological health as a result of age-related changes in pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics [10]. In addition, it has a potential influence on aspects of safety, including inappropriate prescription, adverse drug reaction, risk of medication interaction (drug-drug or drug-disease interaction), and adherence [11, 12].

Due to ageing vulnerability, multiple drug use in the multimorbid elderly is a main issue of concern for the...
public health system. Identifying which drugs are being taken is crucial to define patients at risk. As a result, tools need to be developed with the aim of decreasing prescription errors, drugs interactions, adverse drug reactions, and other consequences such as falls, hospitalization, and mortality associated with multiple drug use [13, 14]. A recent systematic review described clinical management oriented to multimorbidity and polypharmacy. Its recommendations, however, were focused on the risks/benefits of each drug individually rather than collectively [15]. To date, the limited information available in the literature is mostly descriptive [16] and methods regarding pharmacoepidemiology in multimorbidity have yet to be established. Prescription groups and patterns could be of help in the analysis of multiple drug use to create new strategies in the management of complexity among multimorbid patients.

New techniques are being developed to create homogeneous patterns regarding the management of prescribed drugs. For instance, exploratory factor analysis (EFA) which is based on correlations between variables or factors, and cluster analysis (CA), a technique for grouping a set of individuals in such a way that they are more similar to each other than those in other groups [17]. EFA has recently been reported to be useful for describing correlation between variables, while CA carries out an in-depth examination of the pattern for non-random associations between the determinant variables of an individual [18]. In recent years, EFA has been employed to define a number of multimorbidity patterns [19–21], and some medication ones [22]. Nonetheless, the statistical technique employed should be taken into account. EFA correlates specific variables (e.g. diseases), but not all the variables of one unit (e.g. patient), whilst CA could be helpful as the main starting point to look for dissimilarities. Irrespective of the methodology employed in these studies [23], there are common biological systems encompassing multimorbidity patterns: cardio-metabolic conditions, musculoskeletal diseases, and mental health problems [24]. Serious diseases and those with a greater prevalence according to EFA/CA should thus be represented with the corresponding medication.

We hypothesized that prescribed drugs could be grouped using CA to identify clusters of patients with similar drugs and consequently create medication patterns. The objective of this study was to describe prescribed drugs and identify medication patterns in multimorbid older adults.

Methods
Design, setting, and inclusion criteria
We conducted a cross-sectional analysis of electronic health records (EHR) from the Information System for Research in Primary Care (SIDIAP). This is a centralized database that contains EHR from 2006 for all the patients who have attended primary health care centres (PHCC) run by the public Catalan Health Institute [25, 26]. The study was performed in Barcelona (Spain) in 2009 with information from 50 PHCC. The participants were aged 65 to 94 years, and the inclusion criteria were a) to have attended a PHCC at least once during 2009; b) to present multimorbidity, defined as the coexistence of 2 or more chronic diseases [27]; and c) to have been prescribed at least 1 drug for a period of 6 months or longer during 2009 (see flow chart in Fig. 1).

The study protocol was approved by the Research Ethics Committee at IDIAPJGol (Protocol no: P15/149). All data were anonymized, and the confidentiality of the EHR was maintained at all times in accordance with national and international law. As all data were anonymized, no consent to individuals were required.

Variables
Prescription drugs were the main unit of measurement and were coded as 1 (present) or 0 (absent). Drugs in the SIDIAP database are classified using the Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) system (Additional file 1), a measuring unit recommended by the World Health Organization for drug studies. To classify the drugs in this study, and facilitate subsequent analysis and interpretation, we used the 4th level of the ATC system which corresponds to chemical subgroups. Proton pump inhibitors, for example, are coded as A02BC [28].

The other variables recorded for each participant were: number of chronic diseases coded with the International Classification of Primary Care second edition and selected using the O’Halloran criteria [29], age (65–79 years vs 80–94 years), and sex (male vs female). According to the chronic diseases selected, chronic medication was defined as the prescription of a drug for at least 6 continuous months during the period of study. Medication which did not fulfil this criterion was not analysed as it was considered acute or not long-term. Neither were supplements included as they are not financed by the Spanish health system.

Statistical analysis
Data were extracted from the SIDIAP database after authorization of the study [25]. All the authors had access to the database. There were no missing values, as sex, age, chronic diseases, and drugs were recorded for all the sample.

Descriptive statistics were employed to summarize the overall data. Categorical variables were expressed as frequencies (percentage) and continuous variables as means (standard deviation [SD]) or medians (interquartile range [IQR]). Prevalence of prescription drugs was calculated and medication patterns identified through 2 steps: 1) multiple correspondence analysis (MCA), and 2) k-
means clustering. All analyses were stratified by sex and age.

**Multiple correspondence analysis**
MCA is a data analysis technique used to detect and represent underlying structures in sets of nominal categorical data. It identifies groups with similar characteristics and shows, in a multidimensional space, relationships between dichotomous or categorical variables (in our case drug prescriptions) that would be difficult to observe in a contingency table [30, 31]. MCA also allows individuals to be directly represented as points (coordinates) in a geometric space through the transformation of original binary data to continuous ones. The MCA was based on the indicator matrix. The optimal number of dimensions extracted and percentages of inertia were determined by means of a scree plot.

**K-means clustering**
Using the geometric space created in the MCA, patients were classified into clusters according to proximity criteria by means of the k-means algorithm, and centers obtained for each cluster. The optimal number of clusters (k), which is the solution with the highest Calinski-Harabaz index value, was assessed using criteria with 100 iterations. To assess internal cluster quality, cluster stability of the optimal solution was computed using Jaccard bootstrap values with 100 runs [17]. Highly stable clusters should yield average Jaccard similarities of 0.85 and above.

**Medication patterns**
To describe the medication patterns across the clusters, we used three criteria: a) the prevalence of prescribed drugs in each cluster; b) the observed/expected (O/E) ratios obtained by dividing the prevalence of a particular drug in each cluster by the prevalence of the same prescribed drug in the age and sex groups, considering over-represented drugs when value ≥2; and c) exclusivity, defined as the proportion of individuals with a particular prescribed drug included in the cluster over the total number of individuals with a particular prescribed drug in the corresponding age and sex group, considering high exclusivity when value ≥50%.
Medication patterns were defined by considering drugs with a prevalence ≥20% or an O/E ratio ≥ 2. To identify the importance of each medication and, as a consequence, the amount of medication included in a cluster, we employed exclusivity. In order to facilitate the designation of a medication pattern we named the patterns considering medications belonging to the same ATC group with an exclusivity value ≥50%, even when presenting a low prevalence. And we also took into consideration to name the pattern those drugs over-represented by O/E ratio ≥ 2. We then described medications included in each cluster using three numbers of characteristics: prevalent drugs (prevalence ≥20%), drugs over-represented (O/E ratio ≥ 2) and exclusive drugs (exclusivity ≥50%). But we considered only exclusive and over-represented drugs to label the pattern.

In addition to mathematical validation, clinical criteria based on previous literature [32–34] and clinical feedback from the research team (3 family physicians and 2 epidemiologists) were employed to evaluate the consistency and significance of the final cluster solution.

The analyses were carried out using SPSS for Windows, version 24 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and R version 3.4.2 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

**Results**

The sample was composed of 164,513 patients aged ≥65 years all of whom presented multimorbidity and had at least 1 drug prescribed; 66.8% were women. The group 65–79 years had a mean age of 72.0 years (SD = 4.3) and was prescribed a median of 4 (IQR = 3–7) drugs. The group 80–94 years had a mean age of 84.1 years (SD = 4.3) and was prescribed a median of 6 (IQR: 4–8) drugs. At least 45.9% of the 65–79 year and 61.8% of the 80–94 year groups were prescribed 5 or more drugs. As expected, the use of 10 or more drugs was almost twice in the 80–94 compared to the 65–79 year age group. The number of prescribed drugs and chronic diseases did not differ between sexes (Table 1). The 10 most widely prescribed drugs across the sample belonged to 3 ATC system groups: alimentary tract and metabolism (A), nervous system (N), and cardiovascular system (C). Proton pump inhibitors and HMG CoA reductase inhibitors were present in the top 3 most prescribed drugs in all groups, with platelet aggregation inhibitors (excluding heparin) in men and benzodiazepine derivatives (65–79 years) and antilides (80–94 years) for women (Table 2).

**Characteristics of medication patterns**

Six medication patterns for each age and sex group were identified. All the groups had a non-specific pattern consisting of highly prevalent drugs that were neither over-represented nor exclusive. The other 5 patterns were made up of drugs belonging to 1 or more anatomical groups corresponding to: alimentary tract and metabolism (A), blood and blood forming organs (B), cardiovascular system (C), dermatological (D), musculoskeletal system (M), nervous system (N), respiratory system (R), and sensory organs (S) (Table 3, Additional files 2, 3 and 4).

As an example, findings for women 65–79 years are represented in Table 3. Six medication patterns were identified, numbered according to the weight of the sample implied (descending order): non-specific (cluster 1), followed by nervous system (cluster 2), musculo-skeletal + dermatological (cluster 3), alimentary tract and metabolism (cluster 4), respiratory system (cluster 5), and cardiovascular system

### Table 1 Descriptive data, by sex and age groups, of the multimorbid patients (n = 164,513) aged 65–94 years attended in 2009 at primary healthcare centres located in Barcelona

|                  | Women 65–79 years | Women 80–94 years | Men 65–79 years | Men 80–94 years |
|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Participants n (%) | 78,008 (47.4)    | 31,848 (19.4)     | 41,931 (25.5)  | 12,726 (7.7)  |
| Number drugs n (%) |                  |                   |                |                |
| 1–4              | 40,931 (52.5)     | 11,374 (35.7)     | 22,703 (54.1)  | 4868 (38.3)    |
| 5–9              | 31,500 (40.4)     | 16,460 (51.7)     | 16,339 (39.0)  | 6268 (49.8)    |
| ≥10              | 5577 (7.1)        | 4014 (12.6)       | 2889 (6.9)     | 1590 (12.5)    |
| Median number of drugs (IQR) | 4 (3–7) | 6 (4–8) | 4 (2–6) | 5 (3–8) |
| Number of chronic diseases n (%) |                  |                   |                |                |
| 2                | 2806 (3.6)        | 1125 (3.5)        | 1792 (4.3)     | 410 (3.2)      |
| [3–5]            | 20,301 (26.0)     | 7689 (24.1)       | 12,484 (29.8)  | 3090 (24.3)    |
| [6–9]            | 33,089 (42.4)     | 13,495 (42.4)     | 17,955 (42.8)  | 5562 (43.7)    |
| ≥10              | 21,812 (28.0)     | 9539 (30.0)       | 9700 (23.1)    | 3664 (28.8)    |
| Median number of chronic diseases (IQR) | 7 (5–10) | 8 (5–10) | 7 (5–9) | 7 (5–10) |

IQR* interquartile range
For each cluster, three subgroups of prescribed drugs that encompassed the pattern were defined. Three kinds of data were shown for every cluster. Using the example of the musculo-skeletal and dermatological pattern (cluster 3), we identified three different groups of drugs in the pattern:

a) drugs with a high prevalence but not over-represented such as proton pump inhibitors (prevalence 66%, O/E ratio 1.58, exclusivity 19%) and benzodiazepine derivatives (prevalence 33%, O/E ratio 1.26, exclusivity 15%);

b) drugs with a high/low prevalence over-represented with exclusivity < 50% such as anilides (prevalence 61%, O/E ratio 2.57, exclusivity 31%) and other opioids (prevalence 10%, O/E ratio 3.25, exclusivity 40%);

c) drugs with a high/low prevalence over-represented and with exclusivity ≥ 50% such as anti-inflammatory preparations, non-steroids for topical use (prevalence 33%, O/E ratio 5.96, exclusivity 70%) and potent corticosteroids (group III) (prevalence 9%, O/E ratio 6.65, exclusivity 81%) (Table 3).

It was observed that the non-specific pattern had the greatest number of patients for all groups and was defined by drugs that were neither prevalent nor over-represented. With respect to the non-specific pattern, the number of patients aged 65–79 years was higher than those aged 80–94 years for both sexes. According to the frequency of patients, the next patterns were: for women 65–79 years “nervous system” and “musculo-skeletal + dermatological”, whilst for women 80–94 years they included alimentary tract and metabolism as a drug group implied in frequency; for men 65–79 years they were “cardiovascular system” and “alimentary tract and metabolism”, and for those 80–94 years was added the drug group related to musculo-skeletal and nervous system (Table 3, Additional files 2, 3 and 4).

### Table 2

The ten most commonly prescribed drugs in 2009 for multimorbid patients (n = 164,513) aged 65–94 years, by sex and age groups, attended at primary healthcare centres located in Barcelona

| Women | Men |
|-------|-----|
| ATC code a | Drug name | N | % | ATC code a | Drug name | N | % |
| 65–79 years | C02BC | Proton pump inhibitors | 32,634 | 41.8 | C10AA | HMG CoA reductase inhibitors | 18,188 | 43.4 |
| | C10AA | HMG CoA reductase inhibitors | 32,004 | 41.0 | A02BC | Proton pump inhibitors | 15,170 | 36.2 |
| | N05BA | Benzodiazepine derivatives | 20,649 | 26.5 | B01AC | Platelet aggregation inhibitors excl. Heparin | 13,872 | 33.1 |
| | C02BE | Thiazides, plain | 18,434 | 23.6 | C09AA | ACE inhibitors, plain | 9784 | 23.2 |
| | B01AC | Platelet aggregation inhibitors excl. Heparin | 15,338 | 19.7 | G04CA | Alpha-adrenoreceptor antagonists | 7235 | 17.3 |
| | C09AA | ACE inhibitors, plain | 13,578 | 17.4 | A10BA | Biguanides | 6306 | 15.0 |
| | M05BA | Bisphosphonates | 13,309 | 17.1 | N05BA | Benzodiazepine derivatives | 6019 | 14.4 |
| | N06AB | Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors | 11,522 | 14.8 | C08CA | Dihydropyridine derivatives | 5996 | 14.3 |
| | C03AA | Thiazides, plain | 10,112 | 13.0 | C07AB | Beta blocking agents, selective | 5934 | 14.2 |
| | C09CA | Angiotensin II antagonists, plain | 9231 | 11.8 | N02BE | Anilides | 5625 | 13.4 |
| 80–94 years | A02BC | Proton pump inhibitors | 16,496 | 51.8 | A02BC | Proton pump inhibitors | 5877 | 46.2 |
| | N02BE | Anilides | 11,370 | 35.7 | B01AC | Platelet aggregation inhibitors excl. Heparin | 5641 | 44.3 |
| | C10AA | HMG CoA reductase inhibitors | 11,222 | 35.2 | C10AA | HMG CoA reductase inhibitors | 4657 | 36.6 |
| | B01AC | Platelet aggregation inhibitors excl. Heparin | 10,512 | 33.0 | C09AA | ACE inhibitors, plain | 3235 | 25.4 |
| | N05BA | Benzodiazepine derivatives | 9633 | 30.2 | N02BE | Anilides | 2638 | 20.7 |
| | C09AA | ACE inhibitors, plain | 7223 | 22.7 | G04CA | Alpha-adrenoreceptor antagonists | 2601 | 20.4 |
| | C08CA | Dihydropyridine derivatives | 5283 | 16.6 | N05BA | Benzodiazepine derivatives | 2313 | 18.2 |
| | C03CA | Sulphonamides, plain | 5265 | 16.5 | C08CA | Dihydropyridine derivatives | 2260 | 17.8 |
| | N06AB | Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors | 5258 | 16.5 | C03CA | Sulphonamides, plain | 1930 | 15.2 |
| | C09CA | Angiotensin II antagonists, plain | 4502 | 14.1 | C01DA | Organic nitrates | 1622 | 12.7 |

Code*: chemical subgroup, 4th level, ATC code (Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical classification) from the World Health Organization (Additional file 1)
For more details, visit webpage: https://www.whocc.no/atc/structure_and_principles/
Table 3  Example of medication patterns across women 65–79 years attended in primary health centres in Barcelona during 2009 (N = 78,008)

| Cluster | n | Pattern | Code & Drugs | Preb | O/E ratioa | Exclus. |
|---------|----|---------|--------------|------|------------|--------|
| **Cluster 1 n = 39,202 (50%)** | | Non-specific pattern | | | | |
| | | C10AA | HMG CoA reductase inhibitors | 32% | 0.78 | 39% |
| | | A02BC | Proton pump inhibitors | 21% | 0.51 | 26% |
| **Cluster 2 n = 14,604 (19%)** | | Nervous system pattern | | | | |
| | | A02BC | Proton pump inhibitors | 70% | 1.68 | 31% |
| | | N05BA | Benzodiazepine derivatives | 58% | 2.18 | 41% |
| | | C10AA | HMG CoA reductase inhibitors | 56% | 1.36 | 25% |
| | | N06AB | Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors | 40% | 2.71 | 51% |
| | | B01AC | Platelet aggregation inhibitors excl. Heparin | 35% | 1.76 | 33% |
| | | M05BA | Bisphosphonates | 28% | 1.62 | 30% |
| | | N02BE | Anilides | 26% | 1.10 | 21% |
| | | N06AX | Other antidepressants | 14% | 3.49 | 65% |
| | | N03AX | Other antiepileptics | 10% | 3.16 | 59% |
| | | N05CD | Benzodiazepine derivatives | 9% | 2.03 | 38% |
| | | A12AA | Calcium | 7% | 2.58 | 48% |
| | | N06AA | Non-selective monoamine reuptake inhibitors | 7% | 3.07 | 57% |
| | | C01DA | Organic nitrates | 7% | 2.32 | 43% |
| | | N02AX | Other opioids | 6% | 2.03 | 38% |
| | | A11CC | Vitamin D and analogues | 6% | 2.97 | 56% |
| | | A06AD | Osmotically acting laxatives | 6% | 2.04 | 38% |
| | | N03AE | Benzodiazepine derivatives | 4% | 3.69 | 69% |
| | | C07AA | Beta blocking agents, non-selective | 4% | 2.61 | 49% |
| | | H02AB | Glucocorticoids | 4% | 2.71 | 51% |
| | | C10AX | Other lipid modifying agents | 4% | 2.25 | 42% |
| | | N06DX | Other anti-dementia drugs | 3% | 2.44 | 46% |
| | | A03FA | Propulsive | 3% | 2.25 | 42% |
| **Cluster 3 n = 9,502 (12%)** | | "Musculo-skeletal system" and "Dermatologicals" pattern | | | | |
| | | A02BC | Proton pump inhibitors | 66% | 1.58 | 19% |
| | | N02BE | Anilides | 61% | 2.57 | 31% |
| | | N05BA | Benzodiazepine derivatives | 33% | 1.26 | 15% |
| | | M02AA | Antiinflammatory preparations, non-steroids for tropical use | 31% | 5.96 | 73% |
| | | C10AA | HMG CoA reductase inhibitors | 30% | 0.74 | 9% |
| | | M01AE | Propionic acid derivatives | 27% | 4.30 | 52% |
| | | C05CA | Bioflavonoids | 19% | 2.88 | 35% |
| | | M01AX | Other antiinflammatory and antirheumatic agents, non-steroids | 17% | 2.84 | 35% |
| | | A02AD | Combinations and complexes of aluminium, calcium and magnesium compounds | 15% | 4.24 | 52% |
| | | M01AB | Acetic acid derivatives and related substances | 15% | 5.17 | 63% |
| | | D01AC | Imidazole and triazole derivatives | 10% | 5.93 | 72% |
| | | N02AX | Other opioids | 10% | 3.25 | 40% |
| | | D07AC | Corticosteroids, potent (group III) | 9% | 6.65 | 81% |
| | | N02BB | Pyrazolones | 6% | 5.30 | 65% |
| Code   | Drugs                                           | Pre\(^b\) | O/E ratio\(^a\) | Exclus. |
|--------|------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|---------|
| A06AD  | Osmotically acting laxatives                    | 6%        | 2.13            | 26%     |
| R05CB  | Mucolytics                                     | 5%        | 2.83            | 34%     |
| R06AX  | Other antihistamines for systemic use          | 5%        | 3.34            | 41%     |
| N07CA  | Antivertigo preparations                       | 5%        | 2.26            | 28%     |

Cluster 4 \(n = 8745\) (11%)

Alimentary tract and metabolism pattern

| Code   | Drugs                                           | Pre\(^b\) | O/E ratio\(^a\) | Exclus. |
|--------|------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|---------|
| C10AA  | HMG CoA reductase inhibitors                    | 68%       | 1.67            | 19%     |
| A10BA  | Biguanides                                      | 65%       | 5.86            | 66%     |
| B01AC  | Platelet aggregation inhibitors excl. Heparin   | 61%       | 3.12            | 35%     |
| A02BC  | Proton pump inhibitors                          | 50%       | 1.19            | 13%     |
| A10BB  | Sulfonylureas                                   | 37%       | 6.69            | 75%     |
| C09AA  | ACE inhibitors, plain                           | 30%       | 1.70            | 19%     |
| C08CA  | Dihydropyridine derivatives                     | 29%       | 2.68            | 30%     |
| C07AB  | Beta blocking agents, selective                 | 23%       | 2.18            | 24%     |
| N02BE  | Anilides                                        | 22%       | 0.94            | 10%     |
| A10AC  | Insulins and analogues for injection, intermediate-acting | 10%       | 6.34            | 71%     |
| C01DA  | Organic nitrates                                | 9%        | 3.22            | 36%     |
| A10AE  | Insulins and analogues for injection, long-acting | 9%        | 6.04            | 68%     |
| M04AA  | Preparations inhibiting uric acid production    | 9%        | 2.97            | 33%     |
| C02CA  | Alpha-adrenoreceptor antagonists                | 7%        | 3.57            | 40%     |
| C10AB  | Fibrates                                        | 7%        | 2.96            | 33%     |
| A10AD  | Insulins and analogues for injection, intermediate- or long- acting combined with fast- acting | 7%        | 6.24            | 70%     |
| G04CA  | Alpha-adrenoreceptor antagonists                | 4%        | 2.13            | 24%     |
| C10AX  | Other lipid modifying agents                    | 4%        | 2.36            | 26%     |

Cluster 5 \(n = 3275\) (4%)

Respiratory system pattern

| Code   | Drugs                                           | Pre\(^b\) | O/E ratio\(^a\) | Exclus. |
|--------|------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|---------|
| R03AC  | Selective beta-2-adrenoreceptor agonists        | 72%       | 16.88           | 71%     |
| A02BC  | Proton pump inhibitors                          | 54%       | 1.30            | 5%      |
| R03BB  | Anticholinergics                                | 54%       | 18.86           | 79%     |
| R03AK  | Adrenergics in combination with corticosteroids or other drugs, excl. Anticholinergics | 51%       | 11.87           | 50%     |
| R03BA  | Glucocorticoids                                 | 40%       | 18.45           | 77%     |
| C10AA  | HMG CoA reductase inhibitors                    | 38%       | 0.94            | 4%      |
| N02BE  | Anilides                                        | 32%       | 1.36            | 6%      |
| N05BA  | Benzodiazipine derivatives                       | 27%       | 1.01            | 4%      |
| B01AC  | Platelet aggregation inhibitors excl. Heparin   | 23%       | 1.17            | 5%      |
| C03CA  | Sulfonamides, plain                             | 14%       | 2.11            | 9%      |
| R05CB  | Mucolytics                                      | 11%       | 6.34            | 27%     |
| R06AX  | Other antihistamines for systemic use           | 6%        | 3.79            | 16%     |
| C08DB  | Benzothiazepine derivatives                     | 5%        | 2.26            | 9%      |
| H02AB  | Glucocorticoids                                 | 4%        | 3.07            | 13%     |
| G04CA  | Alpha-adrenoreceptor antagonists                | 4%        | 2.02            | 8%      |
system (cardiovascular and respiratory system). The other patterns were formed by two or more anatomical systems. The rest of the results are detailed in Table 3 and Additional files 2, 3 and 4.

Comparing patterns between age groups, no significant differences were observed for women with the exception of additional drugs encompassing the non-specific pattern (anilides, ACE inhibitors, benzodiazepine derivatives) (Table 3, Additional file 2). The men’s patterns, however, appeared more complex: to the non-specific pattern were added two drugs (platelet aggregation inhibitors excluding heparin and proton pump inhibitors), and in the 80–94 age group the patterns encompassed multiple anatomical groups including a sensory organs pattern (Additional files 3 and 4).

**Discussion**

In this study, we present data regarding prescription drugs in an urban population of elderly adults with multimorbidity. Prescription rates were high, particularly in the older subset of patients, probably due to the greater burden of chronic disease. Proton pump inhibitors were the most widely prescribed drug with cardiovascular and neurological drugs representing the most frequently prescribed groups. We defined 6 medication patterns which provide information about the multiple drugs grouped closely together in elderly patients. The pattern with the most participants, non-specific, had up to 39% of the age-sex sample included and was composed of drugs corresponding to specific diseases (hypertension, lipid disorder, depressive disorder (women)) and others related to the secondary prevention of cardiovascular/digestive diseases (platelet aggregation inhibitors and proton pump inhibitors). The rest of the medication patterns could be linked to the multimorbidity ones defined in a previous article performed in the same sample [35].

**Comparison with published literature**

Ageing is associated with functional decline, and the prescription of multiple drugs tends to be highest in the oldest segments of the population [36]. Just over half the patients in our study had been prescribed 5 or more drugs, rates of between 45.0 and 80.0% have been previously described based on primary care EHR [9, 37]. These results showed that the 10 most prescribed drugs

---

**Table 3** Example of medication patterns across women 65–79 years attended in primary health centres in Barcelona during 2009 (N = 78,008) (Continued)

| Cluster 6 n = 2680 (3%) | Code<sup>a</sup> | Drugs | Pre<sup>b</sup> | O/E ratio<sup>a</sup> | Exclus.<sup>+</sup> |
|-------------------------|----------------|-------|-------------|------------------|-------------|
| Cardiovascular system pattern | B01AA | Vitamin K antagonists | 78% | 15.74 | 54% |
|                          | C03CA | Sulfonamides, plain | 66% | 10.05 | 35% |
|                          | A02BC | Proton pump inhibitors | 58% | 1.37 | 5% |
|                          | C01AA | Digitalis glycosides | 53% | 27.60 | 95% |
|                          | C10AA | HMG CoA reductase inhibitors | 43% | 1.06 | 4% |
|                          | N02BE | Anilides | 32% | 1.35 | 5% |
|                          | N05BA | Benzodiazepine derivatives | 29% | 1.11 | 4% |
|                          | C09AA | ACE inhibitors, plain | 27% | 1.56 | 5% |
|                          | C07AB | Beta blocking agents, selective | 24% | 2.25 | 8% |
|                          | C09CA | Angiotensin II antagonists, plain | 23% | 1.98 | 7% |
|                          | A12BA | Potassium | 20% | 16.35 | 56% |
|                          | C03DA | Aldosterone antagonists | 19% | 17.02 | 58% |
|                          | C08DB | Benzothiazepine derivatives | 13% | 6.07 | 21% |
|                          | C07AG | Alpha and beta blocking agents | 12% | 8.91 | 31% |
|                          | M04AA | Preparations inhibiting uric acid production | 11% | 3.73 | 13% |
|                          | C01DA | Organic nitrates | 9% | 3.26 | 11% |
|                          | B03AA | Iron bivalent, oral preparations | 5% | 3.60 | 12% |
|                          | A10AE | Insulins and analogues for injection, long-acting | 3% | 2.30 | 8% |
|                          | A02BA | H2 - receptor antagonists | 3% | 2.12 | 7% |

---

<sup>a</sup>Chemical subgroup, 4th level, ATC code (Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical classification) from the World Health Organization

<sup>b</sup>O/E ratio: Observed/expected ratio

<sup>c</sup>Pre: Prevalence

<sup>+</sup>Exclus: Exclusivity

Selected criteria: Prevalence ≥ 20 or Observed/Expected ratio ≥ 2
were to treat metabolic, cardiovascular, and nervous system disorders, in line with other reports for the elderly [35, 38, 39]. As expected, considering that heart disease is the leading cause of death in such populations [40], cardiovascular drugs were the main group of prescribed drugs. Looking more closely, proton pump inhibitors were the most widely prescribed drug in our study, contrasting with findings on the prevalence of digestive tract chronic diseases conducted in the same sample [35]. Off-label use of proton pump inhibitors could be related to the prevention of adverse gastrointestinal effects, as reported elsewhere [41]. In addition, a high prevalence of lipid modifying (C10AA) agents and antithrombotic drugs (B01AC) was probably linked to their use in the primary and secondary prevention of thrombotic events. We would like to point out that benzodiazepines, despite their potentially adverse effects for older adults (e.g., memory impairment, delirium, falls) [42, 43], were still frequently prescribed in our population (from 14.4% in men 65–79 years to 30.2% in women 80–94 years), with a reported prevalence among the elderly from 10.0 to 41.6% [44, 45].

Six patterns per group defining user profiles with prescribed drugs were obtained. We took into account prescribed drugs, instead of consumed ones, because we assumed patients followed what their doctors suggested. As we studied patients with multimorbidity, we considered chronic drugs rather than supplements or acute prescriptions. As a result, many of the defined patterns seemed logical and in concordance with chronic disease prevalence [35]. In addition, differences in intra- and inter-patterns were represented defining prevalence, O/E ratio, and exclusivity for each drug. The relevance of the prescribed drug was thus represented by these three parameters.

The non-specific pattern had the greatest number of patients in all strata as no anatomical group was over-represented. It could, therefore, be hypothesized that patients evolve to 5 specific patterns across time, that is to say, the non-specific pattern could represent a pre-state of a specific one. In addition, the fact that the number of patients included in the non-specific pattern was lower in the 80–94 than the 65–79 year group points to the hypothesis that this pattern could be a pre-specific medication one. Nevertheless, longitudinal analyses should be conducted to substantiate this issue. With respect to specific patterns, the men’s appeared more complex than women’s possibly because of the anatomical systems involved and male smoking habits [46]. In concordance with this difference, more men in the 65–79 year group presented cardiovascular and respiratory patterns than women who showed mostly neuromuscular drug-related patterns. Furthermore, the fact that the patterns of the older participants were made up of more than one anatomical system was possibly related to the burden of chronic disease associated with age [23]. The observed medication patterns should coincide with the multimorbidity ones given that the former reflect the various illnesses being treated. For instance, if we compare multimorbidity and medication patterns from the same sample, the endocrine-metabolic multimorbidity pattern should be related to the alimentary tract and metabolism one [35]. A concept that concurs with a number of publications that have reported that medication data may represent a way of identifying chronic conditions [47]. Following this idea, medication patterns could help characterise individuals with multimorbidity. Finally, the use of three criteria to define patterns permitted a representation of all drugs, including those related to low prevalence diseases. Variability between chronic diseases and treatments was thus respected in our results.

To the best of our knowledge, only one study has previously defined medication patterns using EFA [22], and few authors have investigated such patterns in patients with multimorbidity [16]. It is difficult to draw comparisons because of differences in drug inclusion criteria, number of drugs considered, and especially methodology. Nevertheless, some anatomical systems, including cardiovascular, respiratory, and neurological ones were the same. Such similarities are probably related to the strong prevalence of chronic conditions. Nevertheless, with CA we obtained 6 markedly different patterns, and with the O/E ratio and the exclusivity criteria we could define which drugs were over-represented, playing a more crucial role.

A recent publication has established that guidelines addressing polymedication appear arbitrary [15]. Our research thus contributes to the definition of medication patterns which could be used to identify both user profiles and safety issues (e.g. detecting prescription errors, for instance inappropriate drugs, or drug-drug associations), something that is not possible with multimorbidity patterns. The definition of medication patterns could open new paths to create instruments to prioritize groups of individuals and permit effective prescription. In addition, establishing medication patterns in accordance to multimorbidity patterns would help to determine prognostic factors in drug safety, define possible adverse drug reactions, and identify drug-drug and drug-disease interactions. The analysis of medication patterns thus provides an additional perspective for interpreting and defining the population’s health.

**Strength and weakness**

Our study sample is both reliable and representative of the population, thus adding robustness to our results. Moreover, we provide an accurate reflection of real prescribing habits for the elderly with multimorbidity in an urban public
primary health care setting. Analyses of individual medication patterns can lead to new insights into individual prescription situations. We consider that complexity among patients is well represented in these patterns. However, some limitations should be considered. On one hand, selected criteria of chronicity (prescription of 6 or more months) may have caused a selection bias, although we followed an established definition [23]. In addition, we have to assume that CA is inherently exploratory in nature and different clustering algorithms may produce varying results. The lack of studies defining medication patterns also limits comparisons between results and populations. Finally, we should consider as a limitation the fact that the collected data were 10 years old and may not exactly reflect current prescription patterns. Nevertheless, these medication patterns correspond to a six-year longitudinal multimorbidity study [35, 48] in which it was observed that multimorbidity patterns did not differ at all during the period studied. In addition, in public primary health care, the implementation of new treatments for specific diseases (for example, oral anticoagulants or oral antidiabetic medications) are not yet generalised. For this reason, we considered that the medication patterns represented current prescription.

Future research
Medication patterns could change with time as a consequence of multimorbidity evolution and new treatments applied in some chronic diseases. Our study is cross-sectional, but in future research it would be advantageous to analyse large prospective cohorts with different estimates to define medication patterns and identify their stability or evolution. In addition, generational differences are expected due to modified lifestyle habits. Thus, reanalyses should be considered as medication patterns are expected to alter across decades.

Taking into account drug prescription and medication patterns, improvements in guidelines for the clinical management of elderly patients should be contemplated. In addition, the methodology used for clustering could be a starting point for analysing drug safety in relation to drug interaction.

Conclusions
This study provides information about prescription drugs in an urban population of older adults with multimorbidity. Our results showed highly elevated prescription rates, particularly in the older subset of patients, probably due to the greater burden of chronic disease. Clinical practice should consider reviewing off-label prescribed drugs for possible de-prescription.

The study of medication patterns provides a method for analysing the use of multiple drugs in elderly patients. We identified 6 medication patterns in our series which could provide new avenues for evaluating multimorbidity.
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