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Abstract—With the exponential increase of the number of devices in the communication ecosystem toward the upcoming sixth generation (6G) of wireless networks, more enabling technologies and potential wireless architectures are necessary to fulfill the networking requirements of high throughput, massive connectivity, ultra reliability, and heterogeneous quality of service (QoS). In this work, we consider an uplink network consisting of a primary user (PU) and a secondary user (SU) and, by integrating the concept of cognitive radio and multiple access, two protocols based on rate-splitting multiple access and non-orthogonal multiple access with successive interference cancellation are investigated in terms of ergodic rate. The considered protocols aim to serve the SU in a resource block which is originally allocated solely for the PU without negatively affecting the QoS of the PU. We extract the ergodic rate of the SU considering a specific QoS for the PU for the two protocols and perform a qualitative and quantitative comparison between the two protocols. In the numerical results, we validate the theoretical analysis and illustrate the superiority of the considered protocols over two benchmark schemes.
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I. INTRODUCTION

WITH the development of the Internet of Things (IoT) and the consequent integration of a huge amount of heterogeneous wireless devices, sharing the same orthogonal resources is necessary to achieve the goals of the next generation of wireless communication networks, including higher connectivity and data rates, as well as reduced delay and energy consumption [1]. To efficiently explore enhanced networking functionalities in such complicated wireless communication scenarios and meet the heterogeneity of networking quality of service (QoS) requirements, enabling network slicing techniques, evolutionary multiple access mechanisms, and advanced cognitive radio (CR) technologies are required. The use of this concept as the enabler of next generation multiple access (MA) schemes [2] has attracted considerable research interest. Specifically, a promising way to break orthogonality is non-orthogonal multiple access where the power domain is utilized to provide MA. In this case, multiuser detection techniques are required to retrieve the users’ signals at the receiver, such as successive interference cancellation (SIC). This direction, rate-splitting multiple access (RSMA) provides flexible decoding and, thus, a more general and robust transmission framework in comparison with the conventional NOMA mechanism in [3]. Especially, in uplink, RSMA enables any point in the capacity region of the multiple access channel (MAC) to be achieved without requiring time sharing [4], [5], [6].

Moreover, considering the characteristics of spectrum sharing in the modern MA framework, the integration of MA and CR is expected to have a significant impact on coping with spectrum scarcity, as well as on meeting the satisfactory spectrum efficiency and the heterogeneity of QoS requirements. Specifically, in [7] and [8], CR inspired NOMA was presented where a secondary user (SU) occupies the resource block of a primary user (PU) without impacting negatively the performance of the PU. However, some restricting assumptions regarding the decoding order and the power allocation were considered. Furthermore, in [9], the outage probability error floor was partially avoided by adopting CR inspired SIC with hybrid decoding order which considers both CSI and QoS requirements. Moreover, a different hybrid SIC scheme inspired by CR was proposed in [10] utilizing power control. The concept of CR is also applied to RSMA in [11]. However, in all existing works where the integration of MA and CR is studied, the main focus is the outage performance [10], [11]. Notably, although the main principle of CR, which is based on the provision of feedback to the SU regarding its transmit power [10], is compatible with the use of adaptive transmission rates, the latter has not been addressed in the existing literature. To this direction, the open problems in the CR inspired systems include the optimal decoding order aiming to maximize the ergodic rate, i.e., the average highest achievable rate at which information can be transmitted with a negligible probability of error, and the analytical characterization of the corresponding performance.

Motivated by the above, in this work, two CR inspired protocols based on RSMA and SIC are investigated in terms of ergodic rate for an uplink network, consisting of a BS and two users, i.e., a PU and an SU. Specifically, the considered protocols aim to serve the SU in a resource block which is originally allocated solely for the PU without negatively affecting the QoS of the PU. To this direction, we extract
the ergodic rate of the SU considering a specific QoS for the PU for the two protocols, which is a metric that can describe the performance of a communication system for all channel realizations in contrast with the outage probability which is investigated in [10] and [11]. Moreover, a comparison of these two protocols is performed based on the derived ergodic rate. For the SIC protocol, we determine the decoding order which maximizes the ergodic rate of the SU and is different from the decoding order used in [10] aiming to minimize the outage probability. Finally, simulations validate the theoretical analysis and also indicate the effectiveness of the considered protocols over conventional NOMA mechanisms, which are illustrated as benchmarks.

II. SYSTEM MODEL

We consider an uplink network consisting of a BS and two users, a PU and an SU. All nodes are assumed to be equipped with a single antenna. For this network, two CR inspired protocols based on RSMA and SIC are leveraged, where the SU occupies the resource block of the PU without impacting negatively the performance of the PU.

The complex channel coefficient of the $i$-th user with $i \in \{P,S\}$ is denoted by $h_i$. We assume Rayleigh fading, thus $|h_i|^2$ follows the exponential distribution with rate parameter equal to one. Furthermore, the received signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) at the BS from the $i$-th user can be denoted as

$$\gamma_i = \gamma_0 l_i |h_i|^2,$$

where $\gamma_0$ and $l_i$ denote the average received SNR of the $i$-th user at the reference distance $d_0$ and the path loss coefficient between the $i$-th user and the BS, respectively. Specifically, $l_i$ is given by

$$l_i = \left(\frac{d_i}{d_0}\right)^{-u},$$

with $d_i$ and $u$ being the distance between the $i$-th user and the BS and the path loss exponent, respectively. Therefore, the average received SNR $\gamma_i$ follows the exponential distribution with rate $\lambda_i = \frac{1}{\gamma_0 l_i}$.

A. Cognitive Radio Inspired RSMA

As shown in Fig. 1(a), for the CR inspired RSMA protocol, i.e., CR-RSMA, the message of one user needs to be split in order to achieve any point of the capacity region [4]. It is assumed that the PU transmits a single message, while the SU transmits two messages in a way that does not have a negative impact to the performance of the PU. Thus, the received message at the BS can be written as [11]

$$y = \sqrt{\alpha_l} p S h S x_1^S + \sqrt{(1-\alpha_l)} h P h S x_2^S + \sqrt{P_p} h P x^P + n,$$

where $p_i$ denotes the transmitted power of the $i$-th user. Moreover, $\alpha \in [0,1]$ and $n$ denote the power allocation factor and the additive white Gaussian noise at the BS with zero mean and variance $\sigma^2$, respectively. Furthermore, $x_1^S$, $x_2^S$ and $x^P$ denote the first message of the SU, the second message of the SU and the message of the PU, respectively. Without loss of generality, it is assumed that $x_1^S$ is decoded first. Thus, when decoding the message of the PU, $x_2^S$ is handled as interference. This decoding order provides flexibility and ensures that the performance of the PU is not negatively affected by properly selecting the power allocation factor $\alpha$.

Taking into account (1), the achievable rate of the PU can be written as

$$R_P = B \log_2 \left(1 + \frac{\gamma_P}{(1-\alpha)\gamma_S + 1}\right),$$

where $B$ denotes the bandwidth of this system, with respect to which the SNRs are normalized. Since the performance of the PU must not be negatively affected, the constraint

$$\left(1-\alpha\right)\gamma_S + 1 \geq \theta_P,$$

where $\theta_P = 2(R_{1,th}/B) - 1$ with $R_{1,th}$ being the target rate, which indicates that the PU is not in outage, must be satisfied if possible. Thus, $\alpha$ is given by

$$\alpha = \begin{cases} 0, & \frac{\gamma_P}{\gamma_S + 1} \geq \theta_P \\ 1, & \gamma_P < \theta_P \\ 1 - \frac{1}{\gamma_S} \left(\frac{\gamma_P}{\gamma_S + 1} - 1\right), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where the first case indicates that all power of the SU can be allocated to $x_2^S$, the second one declares that the PU is in outage, thus all power should be allocated to $x_1^S$ and the third case ensures that appropriate power is allocated to $x_2^S$ in order not to affect the PU. To this end, the achievable rate of the SU is given by

$$R_S = B \log_2 \left(1 + \frac{\alpha \gamma_S}{\gamma_P + (1-\alpha)\gamma_S + 1}\right)$$

$$+ B \log_2 \left(1 + \frac{(1-\alpha)\gamma_S + 1}{\gamma_S + 1}\right).$$

B. Cognitive Radio Inspired SIC

By using the main principles of CR, a similar protocol based on SIC, i.e., CR-SIC, is investigated, which is illustrated in Fig. 1(b) and can be considered as a simpler special case of the above RSMA-based protocol. In CR-SIC, the SU transmits only one message utilizing a power allocation factor $c$ in order to avoid a negative impact to the performance of the PU. Thus, the received message at the BS can be written as

$$y = \sqrt{c} p S h S x^S + \sqrt{(1-c)} h P h S x^S + n.$$
Similar to CR-RSMA, the power allocation factor $c$ for the CR-SIC protocol in order to avoid a negative impact to the performance of the PU is given by

$$c = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\gamma_p} \left( \frac{\gamma_p}{\theta_p} - 1 \right), & \theta_p \leq \gamma_p < \theta_p(\gamma_S + 1) \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(8)

It can be observed in (8) that the power allocation factor of CR-SIC is equal to or less than one, thus CR-SIC can be considered as a less power-consuming scheme, since, when $c < 1$, the SU transmits less power compared with CR-RSMA.

The decoding order for CR-SIC, which is adopted in order to maximize the ergodic rate of the SU, considering a specific QoS requirement for the PU determined by $\theta_p$, is given by

$$\mathcal{F} = \begin{cases} \{\text{SU, PU}\}, & \gamma_p \leq \theta_p \text{ or } \frac{\gamma_S}{1 + \gamma_p} > \frac{\theta_p}{\theta_p} - 1, \gamma_p > \theta_p \\ \{\text{PU, SU}\}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(9)

It should be highlighted that, in (9), the SU is decoded first, if the PU is in outage, or if the rate achieved by the SU by treating the message of the PU as interference is larger than the one achieved with $c < 1$ as shown in (8) when the SU is decoded second. Also, (9) is different than the one proposed in [9] where the outage probability is minimized.

## III. Ergodic Rate

In this section, the ergodic rate of the SU for the CR-RSMA and CR-SIC protocols are derived. To obtain more insights, the difference between these two CR inspired schemes is further discussed.

### A. Analysis of Cognitive Radio Inspired RSMA

Considering the power allocation factor given in (5) and the achievable rate of the SU in (6), the ergodic rate of SU in CR-RSMA can be expressed by

$$\overline{C}_R = \mathbb{E} \left\{ B \log_2 \left( \frac{1 + \gamma_p}{1 + \gamma_p} \right) \left| \gamma_p < \theta_p \right. \right\} + \mathbb{E} \left\{ B \log_2 \left( \frac{1 + \gamma_p}{1 + \gamma_p} \right) \left| \gamma_p \leq \theta_p \left( 1 + \gamma_S \right) \right. \right\} + \mathbb{E} \left\{ B \log_2 \left( 1 + \gamma_p \right) \left| \gamma_p \geq \theta_p \left( 1 + \gamma_S \right) \right. \right\}. \quad (10)$$

Theorem 1: The ergodic rate of the SU for the CR-RSMA protocol can be approximated by

$$\overline{C}_R \approx \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i B \log_2 \left( 1 + \mu_i \right) \Phi_i \left( \mu_i \right)$$

$$+ \frac{B \lambda_S e^{\lambda_p \theta_p} - e^{\lambda_p \theta_p}}{\ln \left( \lambda_p + \lambda_S \right)} e^{\lambda_p \theta_p + \lambda_S} E_i \left( -\lambda_S + \lambda_p \theta_p \right)$$

$$- \frac{B e^{\lambda_p \theta_p} e^{\lambda_S \theta_p}}{\ln \left( \lambda_p + \lambda_S \right)} E_i \left( -\lambda_S \left( \theta_p + 1 \right) \right) + \mathcal{C},$$

(11)

where $A_i$ denotes the weights of function values at specified points in Gaussian quadrature. Specifically, $A_i = w_i \mu_i^{-\gamma} e^{\mu_i}$ with $w_i$ and $\mu_i$ being the weights and nodes, respectively. Moreover, $\mu_i$ denotes the $i$-th root of Laguerre polynomial $L_n(\mu)$, $w_i$ is defined by $w_i = \frac{\mu_i^{\mu_i}}{(n+1)! e^{\sum_{i=1}^{n+1}(\mu_i)}}$ [12], and $E_i(\cdot)$ is the special function of exponential integral [13]. In the first term of (21), it stands

$$\Phi_i \left( z \right) = \frac{\lambda_S \lambda_p e^{-\lambda_S z}}{\lambda_p + \lambda_S}$

$$- \frac{\lambda_p \lambda_S e^{-\lambda_p \theta_p} e^{-\lambda_p \left( 1 + \theta_p \right) z}}{\left( \lambda_p + \lambda_S \right)^2 \left( \lambda_p + \lambda_S \right)}$$

$$+ \frac{\lambda_S \lambda_p e^{-\lambda_S z}}{\lambda_p + \lambda_S z} \left( \lambda_p + \lambda_S \right) e^{-\lambda_p \theta_p} e^{-\lambda_p \left( 1 + \theta_p \right) z}$$

(12)

and also

$$\mathcal{C} = \begin{cases} \left( \frac{B \lambda_p \theta_p e^{-\lambda_p \theta_p}}{\lambda_p + \lambda_S} \left( 1 + \frac{\theta_p}{\lambda_p} \right) \right) E_i \left( -\lambda_S \left( \theta_p + 1 \right) \right) \quad \lambda_S = \lambda_p \\
\left( \frac{B \lambda_S \theta_p}{\lambda_p} \right) \left( \frac{B \lambda_p \theta_p e^{-\lambda_p \theta_p}}{\lambda_p + \lambda_S} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{\theta_p}{\lambda_p} \right) \right) E_i \left( -\lambda_S \left( \theta_p + 1 \right) \right) \quad \lambda_S \neq \lambda_p. \end{cases}$$

(13)

Proof: As shown in (10), three terms are summed to derive the ergodic rate of the SU for CR-RSMA. The first term can be expressed as

$$\overline{C}_{R,1} \approx \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i B \log_2 \left( 1 + \mu_i \right) \Phi_i \left( \mu_i \right).$$

(16)

Next, the second item can be expressed as

$$\overline{C}_{R,2} = \int_{\theta_p}^{\infty} \int_{\theta_p}^{\infty} g(x, y) dy dx$$

$$+ \int_{\theta_p}^{\infty} \int_{\theta_p}^{x} g(x, y) dy dx \left( B \log_2 \left( \frac{1 + z}{1 + \theta_p} \right) + \mathcal{C} \right),$$

(17)

where $g(x, y) = \lambda_S \lambda_p e^{-\lambda_S x} e^{-\lambda_p y}$. After some algebraic manipulations, (17) can be eventually expressed as

$$\overline{C}_{R,2} = \frac{B \lambda_S e^{\lambda_p \theta_p}}{\lambda_p + \lambda_S} e^{\lambda_p \theta_p + \lambda_S} E_i \left( -\lambda_S + \lambda_p \theta_p \right)$$

$$- \frac{B e^{\lambda_p \theta_p} e^{\lambda_S \theta_p}}{\ln \left( \lambda_p + \lambda_S \right)} E_i \left( -\lambda_S \left( \theta_p + 1 \right) \right) + \mathcal{C},$$

(18)

where $\mathcal{C}$ is given by (13). Regarding the last term in (10), there is

$$\overline{C}_{R,3} = B \int_{\theta_p}^{\infty} \int_{\theta_p (x+1)}^{\infty} \log_2 \left( 1 + x \right) g(x, y) dy dx$$

$$= \frac{B \lambda_S e^{\lambda_p \theta_p}}{\lambda_p + \lambda_S} e^{\lambda_p \theta_p + \lambda_S} E_i \left( -\lambda_S + \lambda_p \theta_p \right)$$

$$- \frac{B e^{\lambda_p \theta_p} e^{\lambda_S \theta_p}}{\ln \left( \lambda_p + \lambda_S \right)} E_i \left( -\lambda_S \left( \theta_p + 1 \right) \right) + \mathcal{C},$$

where $\mathcal{C}$ is given by (13).
where the integral Ξ(x) is derived in closed-form and is given by (22). Similarly, (23) can be further expressed as
\[
\overline{C}_{S,j2} \approx \sum_{i=1}^{n} B_i \Xi(\mu_i).
\] (24)

Next, considering the pre-conditions in (20), the third term is given by
\[
\overline{C}_{S,j3} = \int_{\theta_R}^{\infty} \int_{\theta(y)}^{\infty} \Psi(x, y) \, dx \, dy,
\] (25)
where Ψ(x, y) = B log₂ \( \left( 1 + \frac{x+y}{\theta_p} \right) \) g(x, y). Then, taking into account the modified Gauss-Laguerre quadrature, (23) can be approximated as
\[
\overline{C}_{S,j3} \approx \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} C_i D_i \Psi(\mu_i + \Theta(\mu_j + \theta_p), \mu_j + \theta_p).
\] (26)
Eventually, it should be noted that the last event in (20) is the same as \( \overline{C}_{R,j3} \), which completes the proof.

C. Comparison of CR-RSMA and CR-SIC Schemes

Based on the above analysis for the investigated CR inspired schemes in terms of ergodic rate, the difference between CR-RSMA and CR-SIC solely depends on the second term of (10), i.e., \( \overline{C}_{R,j2} \) and the second as well as the third term of (20), i.e., \( \overline{C}_{S,j2} \) and \( \overline{C}_{S,j3} \). Thus, the difference in terms of ergodic rate between \( \overline{C}_{R} \) and \( \overline{C}_{S} \) can be evaluated as
\[
\Delta_{\overline{C}} = \overline{C}_{R} - \overline{C}_{S}
\] (27)
where \( \Theta(\theta_p, \gamma_p) = \frac{\theta_p - 1}{\gamma_p - 1} \).

Proposition 1: If \( \gamma_p \in [\theta_p, \theta_p(1 + \gamma_p)] \), CR-RSMA can achieve larger ergodic rate than the CR-SIC scheme. In other words, when \( e = \frac{1}{\gamma_p} \left( \frac{\theta_p}{\gamma_p} - 1 \right) < 1 \) as shown in (8), it always holds that \( \overline{C}_{R} > \overline{C}_{S} \), otherwise CR-RSMA and CR-SIC can obtain the same ergodic rate. However, when \( c < 1 \) in CR-SIC, less power is transmitted compared with CR-RSMA.

Proof: By splitting the range of \( \gamma_p \in [\theta_p, \theta_p(1 + \gamma_p)] \) into \( \gamma_p \in [\theta_p, \Theta(\cdot)] \) and \( \gamma_p \in \Theta(\cdot), \theta_p(1 + \gamma_p) \), it stands that \( \frac{1 + \gamma_p}{1 + \gamma_p} > \frac{1 + \gamma_p}{1 + \theta_p} \) and \( \frac{1 + \gamma_p}{1 + \theta_p} > \frac{\gamma_p}{\theta_p} \), respectively, thus resulting in \( \Delta_{\overline{C}} > 0 \), which completes the proof.

IV. Simulation Results

In this section, Monte Carlo simulations are performed to validate the derived expressions of the corresponding ergodic rate of CR-RSMA and CR-SIC. Moreover, the results reveal the effectiveness of the investigated protocols compared with...
the conventional NOMA-based methods in [7], i.e., a two-user uplink NOMA system with CSI-based SIC and QoS-based SIC, termed “CSI” and “QoS”, respectively. Specifically, “CSI” scheme denotes the case that the SU is permitted to access the channel, via which the PU transmits as if it solely

Fig. 2. Ergodic rate versus \( \gamma_0 \).

increasing \( \gamma_{0P} \), i.e., the former scheme decreases due to the constant value of \( \gamma_{0S} \), while the latter one increases to achieve \( B \log_2 (1 + \gamma_{Sj}) \) by also meeting the condition of \( \gamma_P > \theta_P(\gamma_S + 1) \). Regarding the CR-RSMA and CR-SIC protocols, as \( \gamma_P \) increases, both of them first decrease, then increase, and eventually saturate and achieve the same result as “QoS”, i.e., \( B \log_2 (1 + \gamma_S) \), which is not a function of \( \gamma_P \), for \( \gamma_P \geq \theta_P(\gamma_S + 1) \). This behavior is compliant with (10) and (20). However, the superiority of the considered schemes in low and medium SNR compared with the “QoS” scheme is evident. Moreover, when \( c < 1 \), CR-RSMA outperforms CR-SIC, while they illustrate the same performance when \( c = 1 \), which also validates Proposition 1. Eventually, the normalized CR-SIC is also considered to obtain a fair comparison with CR-RSMA.

V. CONCLUSION

In this work, an uplink network consisting of a BS, a PU, and an SU, has been considered and two CR inspired protocols based on RSMA and SIC have been investigated. Without impacting negatively the performance of the PU, the SU shares the communication resource block which is originally solely occupied by the PU. To this point, we have derived the ergodic rate of the SU for the two considered protocols by utilizing the optimal decoding order. Finally, numerical results have been presented to demonstrate the accuracy of the theoretical analysis and illustrate the superiority of the investigated protocols over two benchmark NOMA schemes also inspired by CR.
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