The Phytoremediation of Chromium from Soil Using *Cirsium Vulgare* and the Health Effects
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The spreading of toxic substances as a result of human activities has become a serious problem for ground, atmosphere, and water ecosystems. Many of these toxic substances are pesticides, heavy metals such as chromium, and they cause serious health problems due to contamination of soil and food chain. In this study, the phytoremediation capacity of the medicinal plant *Cirsium vulgare* in the soil which was contaminated by Cr heavy metal, and the toxic effects of bioaccumulation using phytoremediation method were investigated. For this purpose, 30 mg kg⁻¹ Cr heavy metal contaminant was applied to each pot as Chromium (IV)-oxide. To increase the absorption of this contaminant by the *Cirsium vulgare*, 0, 3, 6, 8, and 10 mmol/kg doses of EDTA were applied to the pots, respectively. According to the results, with the increasing doses of EDTA, Cr content of the above-ground parts of the plant reached to the highest value in the 6 mmol/kg EDTA chelate dose in 8.23 mg/kg chromium for plant; after that, Cr accumulation decreased as a result of the toxic effect occurred inside the plant. These increases were determined as statistically significant (*P < 0.01*). The results have demonstrated that the medicinal *Cirsium vulgare* plant is effective accumulator for the phytoremediation of the chromium-contaminated soils.
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While the most of the physicochemical technologies completely destroy the biological activity in soil and create an ill-conditioned environment for the plant growth, the phytoremediation method protects the biological quality and physical structure of the soil. However, in order to use phytoremediation method more efficiently, the molecular, biochemical, and physiological processes should be understood well, which characterize the accumulation of heavy metals (HMs) in the plant’s structure¹⁻³.

HMs are among the most dangerous metals because they accumulate and remain in the tissues of living organisms, plants, soil, and water via bioconcentration (absorption from the environment) and biomagnification (absorption by food chain). Naturally occurring bioindicators are used to assess the health of the environment and can be also an important tool for detecting the changes in the environment, either positive or negative, and their subsequent effects on human society⁴. Hyperaccumulator plants can be used as a
bioindicator. Hyperaccumulator plants are generally used in contaminated areas to remove metals. The plants which include copper, chromium, cadmium, lead, nickel, cobalt more than 0.1% (1,000 mg kg⁻¹) or 1% (>10,000 mg kg⁻¹) zinc and manganese are defined as metal hyperaccumulator plants. The soil ground is suitable for vegetation and covered by different plant types except toxic substances. Plants accumulate metals in their bodies through the roots. Phytoremediation is an alternative method for the cleaning of contaminated areas using plants. The studies give us the opportunity to select appropriate plant types to use in phytoremediation. The plants which are suitable for phytoremediation are not only able to accumulate the vast amount of HMs or organic compounds, but also should survive on low mineral soils and produce a high biomass. So, it is important to be able to accumulate heavy metals such as chromium by plants in terms of both human and environmental health. There are not comprehensive studies on bioaccumulation of chromium using *C. Vulgare*.

**Medicinal Plant: *C. Vulgare***

*C. vulgare* is a biennial plant that forms a rosette during the first growing season. Its flower and seed are formed the second year. Flowers are bright pink. The maximum annual rate of spread is over 5000 seeds per plant. It does not reproduce vegetative or have rhizomes. Each seed is topped with a cottony plume which makes them easily dispersed by the wind. The therapeutic use of plants goes back to prehistoric eras. Even in ancient times, people had tried herbal, animal, and mineral products to relieve their pains and they used many natural substances against illnesses for ages. *C. vulgare* which is also known as common thistle, cotton thistle, woolly thistle, is a wild and medicinal plant which grows on the meadows, pastures or uncultivated lands. For the medical purpose, it has been used as anti-hemorrhoidal, anti-rheumatic or for injuries externally. *C. vulgare* has been used for different purposes (wrench, arthralgia, crick, psychological disorders) in traditional Chinese medicine and by Native Americans.

**Chromium as an Environmental Contaminant**

Chromium has a wide area of usage in metallurgy, glass painting, anodized aluminum, organic synthesis, tannery, and wood preservation industries. Cr (VI) generally exists as chromate (CrO₄²⁻). Cr (VI) is easy to accumulate and transport comparing to Cr (III), and its removal from water is more difficult. While EPA classifies Cr (VI) as carcinogenic, which is taken by inhalation, it classifies Cr (III) as the unknown cause of cancer. The US EPA determines Cr (VI) as one of the 17 most dangerous chemicals. Cr (VI) can be made less toxic form by transforming it into Cr (III). Chromium can be found everywhere in environment including air, water, and soil. Cr content which is found naturally in soil varies between 10 and 50 mg kg⁻¹ depending on the main material. Chromium exposure can happen through food, water, and air contamination by inhalation, oral ways or through the skin. The average daily accumulation of chromium from the air, water, and foods is <0.2, 0.4, 2.0, and 60 micrograms depending on diet. The consumption of foods or medicinal plants which include chromium more than these levels can cause dangerous effects.

**The Effects of Bioaccumulation of Medicinal Plants**

Some herbs are used as medicine in the treatment of some diseases because of their pharmacologic effects; however, they can cause toxic effect if their heavy metal content is high. As a result of the consumption of the herbal remedies, the biological accumulation of HMs in human tissues causes negative health effects. In the USA, Europe, and Asia, the poisoning incidents, caused by the accumulation of toxic metals in medicinal herbs through the soil, water or air, are reported. Moreover, the expansion of industrial areas, the use of agricultural pesticides (fertilizers containing cadmium, organic mercury or lead-based pesticides), contaminated waters are the significant reasons for the existence of the toxic metals. WHO suggests controlling the medicinal plants in terms of various contaminants such as heavy/toxic metals, pesticides, fungi, and microorganisms. There is very limited information about the potential effects of the metals in medicinal plants on the pharmacological activity of medicines. The toxic effects caused by HMs directly or indirectly appear pharmacologically by the attachment of the metals to the active substances or by transforming the pharmacokinetic of the active substances. For that reason, the herbal
medicines produced using the medicinal plants which grow on contaminated areas can cause serious problems for human health.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This research was conducted at Tekirdağ Namık Kemal University, Faculty of Agriculture, in the laboratories of Soil Sciences and Plant Nutrition Department in according to the randomized block pattern with 3 replicates. In the test, 30 mg kg\(^{-1}\) Cr\(_2\)O\(_3\) (Chromium (IV)-oxide) was used as the contaminant. Then, the soils were left to the incubation for 30 days. The seeding of the medicinal plant *C. vulgare* was completed in order to see its availability in phytoremediation method. To increase the dissolubility of the contaminant, 0, 3, 6, 8, and 10 mmol/ kg EDTA (Ethylene Diamine Tetra acetic Acid) doses were applied to the pots after 45 days of germination. Subsequent to the germination, 3 plants were left in the pots (Fig. 1). The plants were harvested 60 days later. The harvested plants were washed with distilled water, dried at 68°C for 48 hours before the wet digestion.\(^{21}\) The determination of total Cr concentration wavelength was 267.7 nm, and International Advanced Analytical and Life Science Solutions peak performance certified reference materials were used for Cr analysis with ICP-OES (Inductively Couple Plasma Spectrophotometer) device.\(^{24}\)

In the soil samples, pH, lime (CaO), organic substance, available phosphor (P), exchangeable potassium (K),\(^{25}\) extractable chromium measurements were made according to.\(^{26}\) The research results were determined by variance analysis using the PASW® Statistics 18 for Windows software. The differences between groups were tested using Duncan multiple comparison test at p<0.01 level.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Some physical and chemical features of the testing soil which were gathered for the analyses are presented in Table 1. As it is observed in Table 1, the testing soil had argillaceous texture, insufficient organic substance (0.21%), and neutral pH 7.55. The average values (mg/kg) of the chrome heavy metal contents of the *C. vulgare*’s above ground parts with the application of EDTA chelate and the significance groups are shown in Table 2.

**Table 1.** Some physical and chemical features of the testing soil

| Soil property          | Value |
|------------------------|-------|
| pH                     | 7.55  |
| EC, (%)                | 0.018 |
| Texture class          | Clay  |
| Organic matter (%)     | 0.21  |
| P\(_2\)O\(_5\) (kg da\(^{-1}\)) | 13.54 |
| K\(_2\)O (mg kg\(^{-1}\)) | 485.02 |
| CaCO\(_3\) (%)         | 5.92  |
| Fe (mg kg\(^{-1}\))    | 6.002 |
| Zn (mg kg\(^{-1}\))    | 1.05  |
| Cu (mg kg\(^{-1}\))    | 0.95  |
| Mn (mg kg\(^{-1}\))    | 14.23 |
| Cr (mg kg\(^{-1}\))    | 0.060 |

**Table 2.** The average values (mg/kg) of the chrome heavy metal contents of the *C. vulgare*’s above ground parts with the application of EDTA chelate and the significance groups

| Plant          | Control (mg/kg) | EDTA doses (mmol/kg) | 3     | 6     | 8     | 10    |
|----------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Chromium (Cr)  | 0.060±0.18\(^{a}\) | 4.274±0.29\(^{b}\)  | 4.724±0.35\(^{c}\) | 8.237±0.49\(^{d}\) | 5.680±0.51\(^{e}\) | 4.533±0.32\(^{e}\) |

The values in the table with different uppercase letters (a, b, c, d, and e) are significantly different as per Duncan’s test (p<0.01). Means ± standard deviation.
pH (7.55). The testing soil which had acceptable saline structure was medium calcareous. Micro nutrient elements were sufficient considering the Fe, Cu, Zn, and Mn at the level of 6.002 mg kg$^{-1}$, 0.95 mg kg$^{-1}$, 1.05 mg kg$^{-1}$, and 14.23 mg kg$^{-1}$, respectively. Especially chromium, which was the subject of this study, was identified as in trace quantity (0.060±0.1 mg kg$^{-1}$).

The effects of 30 mg/ kg Cr contaminant which was applied to *C. vulgare*, and the application of EDTA doses which were used to increase the heavy metal absorption of the chromium heavy metal contents of the above-ground parts of the plant’s are explained below (Table 2).

Fig. 2. The effects of EDTA doses on *C. vulgare*’s chrome contents

| Cr          | Sum of Squares | Df  | MeanSquare | F          | Sig. |
|-------------|----------------|-----|------------|------------|------|
| Between Groups | 105.390        | 5   | 21.078     | 2106.179** | 0.000|
| Within Groups  | 0.120         | 12  | 0.010      |            |      |
| Total            | 105.510        | 17  |            |            |      |

**Table 3.** Variant analysis results of *Cirsium vulgare*’s trunk parts that grew in chrome contaminated pots

****: Highly significant on P<0.01 level, *: significant on P<0.05 level.
chelate. So, the results indicated that the EDTA applications increased the solubility of the Cr in soil (P<0.01) and thus, increased their absorption by the plants from 0.060±0.1 mg kg⁻¹ to 8.237±0.49 mg kg⁻¹. When the plant was evaluated under significance groups, Cr heavy metal contents of the above-ground parts of C. vulgare were observed to be in different groups (Table 2 and Fig.2).

On the other hand, the argillaceous texture makes the cleaning process more difficult. Many medicinal aromatic plants are observed to be used in phytoremediation, which is applied in cleaning the dirty soils. In research held by Luo et al., Cu, Zn, Pb, and Cd were used as contaminants and corn plant was experimented. The researchers observed that the EDTA applications increased the Pb absorption of the corn plant and Pb’s transportation from roots to the trunk. Our findings were parallel with Luo et al. ‘s research results.

In another study conducted by Adioloðlu et al., 100 mg kg⁻¹ Cr(NO₃)₃ chromium was used as a contaminant in the removing of the heavy metal contamination from the agricultural fields, and phytoremediation method was used on the growing canola plant. According to the research results, phytoremediation method can be used for removing of the chromium heavy metal from contaminated soils.

Besides, the medicinal C. vulgare’s Cr ions have higher mobility with EDTA chelate, therefore its bioaccumulation becomes easier. These plants offer a potential for the use of the cleaning of chromium in the soil. In the field studies, similar results were determined that the HMs were accumulated in each part of medicinal plants.

According to the statistical analysis results, the effects of different doses of EDTA chelate on C. vulgare’s absorption and solubility of chromium heavy metal were determined as P<0.01 significant statistically (Table 3).

After the test, it was observed that the HMs remained in the pots (Table 2). This result was expectable because this test was conducted with highly concentrated HMs such as 30 mg kg⁻¹. HMs of these high levels were impossible to be removed from the soil completely.

CONCLUSION

The contaminated soil, plant, water, and air are important environmental problems, and they are threat to the human health depending on the exposure level. It is possible to remove contaminants from the soil using phytoremediation method with appropriate plants. At the same time, it is dangerous to use the herbs that grow on contaminated soils for medical purposes, because the chronic absorption of chromium causes chromium toxicity. Medicinal plants should definitely be controlled for being sure that they grow on uncontaminated soils. For that reason, such medicinal plants should be produced on the soils far from industry, with uncontaminated air and water. As clearly understood from the chromium accumulation of this plant, it should not be used for medical purposes if phytoremediation will be applied. The results have shown that the medicinal plant C. vulgare is an effective accumulator plant for the phytoremediation of soils that are contaminated by chromium element. This situation should be remediation of low-level Cr toxicity in the soils.
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