DISCOVERY OF AN EDGE-ON DEBRIS DISK WITH A DUST RING AND AN OUTER DISK WING-TILT ASYMMETRY
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ABSTRACT

Using Very Large Telescope/SPHERE near-infrared dual-band imaging and integral field spectroscopy, we discovered an edge-on debris disk around the 17 Myr old A-type member of the Scorpius–Centaurus OB association HD 110058. The edge-on disk can be traced to about 0.6 or 65 AU projected separation. In its northern and southern wings, the disk shows at all wavelengths two prominent, bright, and symmetrically placed knots at 0.7 or 32 AU from the star. We interpret these knots as a ring of planetesimals whose collisions may produce most of the dust observed in the disk. We find no evidence for a bow in the disk, but we identify a pair of symmetric, hooklike features in both wings. Based on similar features in the Beta Pictoris disk, we propose that this wing-tilt asymmetry traces either an outer planetesimal belt that is inclined with respect to the disk midplane or radiation-pressure-driven dust blown out from a yet unseen inner belt that is inclined with respect to the disk midplane. The misaligned inner or outer disk may be a result of interaction with a yet unseen planet. Overall, the disk geometry resembles the nearby disk around Beta Pictoris, albeit seen at smaller radial scales.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The architecture of planetary systems around young A-type stars is of particular interest since the discovery of an unexpectedly large number of large-separation giant planets (HR8799bcd: Marois et al. 2008, 2010; β Pictoris b: Lagrange et al. 2010; HD106906b: Bailey et al. 2014). In such systems, observations of spatially resolved debris disks are particularly powerful as direct probes of the location of dust belts and, therefore, the underlying planetesimal population. As the locations of the planetesimal belts, the orbits of the individual planetesimals, and the rate of dust released during their collisions are influenced by the planets in the systems, observations of debris disks provide important insights into the spatial and dynamical structure of exoplanetary systems (e.g., Meyer et al. 2007, p. 573; Wyatt 2008), including planets otherwise not detectable (Nesvold & Kuchner 2015).

High-contrast imaging has led to high-quality images of multiple well-resolved debris disks around a handful of young A-stars (HR 4796, Beta Pictoris, Fomalhaut, HD 106906). Somewhat unexpectedly, the dust distribution in many of these systems is concentrated in narrow dust rings, which may be tracing a single, large-separation planetesimal belt. For example, dust in the HR 4796 system is confined to a narrow, 70 AU ring (Schneider et al. 1999). Similarly, the Fomalhaut system is also characterized by a ∼110 AU radius dust ring with sharp boundaries, whose geometric center is also offset from the host star (Kalas et al. 2005). In the β Pictoris system, seen nearly edge-on, dust is produced in the main planetesimal belt with a radius of ∼65 AU, and then the small dust grain population is driven to larger separations by radiation pressure (for a recent review of the disk structure, see Apai et al. 2015). Long-wavelength infrared observations and modeling of several other A-star debris disks show or suggest single or double planetesimal belts (Su et al. 2013). Thus, well-defined, large-separation planetesimal belts appear to be common features of the brightest A-star debris disks, and some of these belts coexist with directly imaged giant planets.

Although planets have been observed in several of these systems, there is yet only one case—β Pictoris—where the details of the disk structure have been explained by the gravitational influence of a giant planet on the disk (see, e.g., Mousis et al. 1997; Augereau et al. 2001). In this well-studied case, the inner disk is misaligned with the outer disk due to the influence of the giant planet β Pictoris b (Apai et al. 2015), which is itself on a slightly inclined orbit (Lagrange et al. 2012). While bright dust belts in massive debris disks and directly imaged giant planets appear to be relatively common for around A-stars, the so far limited sample of directly imaged planets in directly imaged disks does not yet allow us to establish a firm relationship between the presence of a planet and the disk structure.

In this Letter, we present the discovery of a new directly imaged and well-resolved debris disk around a young A-star with an age very similar to β Pictoris. Our images reveal a disk structure that is dominated by a prominent debris ring as well as a misaligned outer disk, features that strongly resemble the disk of β Pictoris.

Our target is HD 110058 (HIP 61782), an A0V star member of the Lower–Centaurus–Crux (LCC) subgroup of the Scorpius–Centaurus OB association (de Zeeuw et al. 1999; Rizzuto et al. 2011). HD 110058’s revised Hipparcos parallax from van Leeuwen (2007) of 9.31 ± 0.78 mas translates to a distance of 107 ± 8 pc, i.e., 1″ corresponds to about 107 AU. The tabulated apparent magnitudes of HD 110058 are $V = 7.97$
(Hog et al. 2000), \( J = 7.64 \), \( H = 7.59 \), and \( K = 7.58 \) (Cutri et al. 2003). The star is situated near \( l = 301^\circ \) and \( b = 13^\circ 6 \) in the northern part of LCC, which appears to be the oldest part of the subgroup (Pecaut et al. 2012) with an age of about 17 Myr (Chen et al. 2014). HD 110058 has recently been observed with Very Large Telescope (VLT)/NACO’s apodized phase plate (APP) coronagraph in the L'-band (Meshkat et al. 2015) and during the NICI campaign in the H-band (Wahhaj et al. 2013). No companion candidate or disk were detected in these data.

Nearly 20 years ago a probable debris disk was suggested around HD 110058 to explain the unresolved infrared excess detected with the IRAS satellite (Mannings & Barlow 1998). Follow-up observations with the higher-resolution ISOPHOT instrument on board the Infrared Space Observatory satellite (Moór et al. 2006) confirmed that the excess emerges from a disk, based on the matching positions of star and unresolved infrared excess. These authors also estimated a fractional disk luminosity of \( f_D = 1.89 \times 10^{-3} \), very similar in fractional luminosity to \( \beta \)Pictoris (Lagrange et al. 2000). Spitzer/IRS spectra of the disk show no evidence for spectral features, such as silicate grains (Chen et al. 2006). Combining Spitzer with longer-wavelength MIPS data and fitting a single-temperature blackbody, Chen et al. (2012) found that a dust mass of \( \gtrsim 0.05 \) M\(_{\text{Sun}}\) at \( \sim 25 \) AU radius can explain the infrared excess. More recently, Jang-Condell et al. (2015) modeled Spitzer IRS spectra of Scorpius–Centaurus debris disks and found that the mid-IR spectrum of HD 110058 agrees best with a dust ring at 15 AU, and a small hot dust ring at 0.2 AU. Although this dust masses needed for the modeling are about a 100 \( \times \) 15 AU, and a small hot dust ring at 0.2 AU. This would be expected for a ringlike disk, optically thin and seen edge-on. Enhanced surface brightness visible in all bands. This would be expected for a ringlike disk, optically thin and seen edge-on.

We observed HD 110058 on 2015 April 4 using the IRDIS extended mode of SPHERE (Beuzit et al. 2008; Kasper et al. 2012) under ESO Program 095.C-0389 (PI: D. Apai), a survey of 73 young A-stars in the nearby Scorpius–Centaurus OB association that will directly determine the frequency of HR 8799b analogs. The extended mode simultaneously observes with the integral field spectrograph (IFS; Claudi et al. 2008) from the Y- to H-band (\( R \sim 30 \)), while the infrared dual-band imager and spectrograph (IRDIS; Dohlen et al. 2008) observes in two K-band filters, K1 and K2, with spectral bandwidths of about 100 nm and central wavelengths of 2110 and 2251 nm, respectively (Vigan et al. 2010). The extreme Adaptive Optics system SAXO (Fusco et al. 2014), corrected for atmospheric turbulence, and an apodized Lyot coronagraph, optimized for observations from the Y- to H-band with an inner working angle defined by the mask diameter of 185 mas, reduced diffraction residuals.

We acquired data cubes with a total exposure time of 1600 s for each instrument. The field rotation exploitable for angular differential imaging (ADI; Marois et al. 2006) varied by 14°8 during the observation. In addition to standard calibrations (sky, flat field, etc.), we recorded (i) coronagraphic images with four centrally symmetric satellite speckles (created by generating sine aberrations with the adaptive optics’ deformable mirror) to determine the star’s position behind the mask and (ii) images with the star shifted away from behind the Lyot mask for flux calibration. For the latter, a neutral density filter with a factor of 10 attenuation (ND1) was inserted to avoid point-spread function (PSF) saturation. The SPHERE webpage (http://www.eso.org/sci/facilities/paranal/instruments/sphere.html) provides the filter transmission curves. Following this standard observing procedure of SPHERE, we calibrated photometry and astrometry with high fidelity. SPHERE frequently observes astrometric calibration fields to monitor plate scale and field orientation. The IRDIS pixel size 12.251 ± 0.005 mas and the IFS images plate scale 7.46 ± 0.02 mas per pixel are those provided in the SPHERE user manual.

We used the SPHERE data reduction pipeline (Pavlov et al. 2008) to create backgrounds, bad pixel maps, and flat fields. We reduced the raw data by subtracting the background, replacing bad pixels by the median of the nearest valid pixels, and finally dividing by the flat field. We also used the pipeline to create the IFS \( x \)-\( y \)-\( \lambda \) data cube. Parts of this cube were collapsed along the wavelength axis to create broadband images in the Y-band (990–1100 nm), the J-band (1140–1350 nm), and the short part of H-band (1490–1640 nm), a filter in SPHERE cuts off the long end of the H-band in front of the IFS in order to limit sky background.

We centered the images using the satellite spots mentioned above and removed large-scale structure in the images (such as the residual halo after AO correction) through spatial high-pass filtering. The smoothing length for the spatial filtering is a free parameter, adjusted to remove as much of the halo as possible while leaving astronomical features intact. We carefully verified that the edge-on disk morphology is not affected by this processing step. Finally, for each individual exposure, we performed a principal component analysis (e.g., Amara & Quanz 2012) using other exposures, which differed in field rotation by at least 7°4, half of the total field rotation. Conservatively, we used only the first principal component as a PSF estimate and subtracted it from the original frame. Since a relatively small amount of total field rotation leads to a certain amount of self-subtraction of a point source (size \( \lambda / D \)) at angular separations between 200 mas (Y-band) and 420 mas (K-band), one must be cautious when trying to determine the precise morphology of structures at smaller angular separations.

3. RESULTS

The SPHERE data reveal the presence of an edge-on debris disk around HD 110058. Figure 1 shows the IFS image where all the wavelength channels of the Y, J, and H-bands have been co-added. The disk is seen nearly edge-on with a position angle of 155° ± 1°, i.e., the disk is oriented from the southeast to the northwest. The disk possesses two symmetric areas of enhanced surface brightness visible in all bands. This would be expected for a ringlike disk, optically thin and seen edge-on. The radial distance of these knots, i.e., the radius of the ring is about 300 mas or 32 AU at the distance of 107 pc. The knots are best defined in the K-band (see the lower right panel of Figure 2) and are symmetric around the star within the astrometric accuracy of the data of better than one pixel, i.e., better than about 10 mas. This suggests a low eccentricity of the ring \( e < 0.035 \) if the disk would be observed along its semimajor axis, excluding an eccentricity similar to the one of...
the Fomalhaut disk. If viewed along the semimajor axis, the knots would be symmetric even for high eccentricity. Beyond this separation, the surface brightness drops rapidly and can no longer be detected in our data at about 600 mas or 65 AU. The other structures near the masked central area are PSF residuals. In particular, the nearly vertical streak in the H-band image (see the lower left panel of Figure 2) starting slightly west of the center and extending toward the south is diffraction from the telescope secondary’s support structure that does not fully subtract out by the ADI reduction.

The disk is not spatially resolved in the direction perpendicular to the disk plane. The disk thickness corresponds to the PSF’s FWHM, which is of the order of 40 mas in the Y- and J-bands, 45 mas in the H-band, and 60 mas in the K-band, i.e., 4–6 AU at the distance of 107 pc, which argues for a disk scale height less than ~4 AU and a near-perfect edge-on viewing angle. Furthermore, the star lies on the disk midplane with an accuracy better than one pixel (7.4 mas or 0.8 AU). There is no sign of curvature of the disk’s projected image.

There is, however, clear evidence for counterclockwise warps on both sides of the outer disk, starting at the radial distance of the ring. To the southeast, the disk curves away by about 15°. At low signal-to-noise ratio, the images apparently show a similar warp to the northwest resembling a hook extending almost perpendicular to the disk plane. Figure 2 shows the disk image in the individual bands as observed by the IFS (Y, J, H) and IRDIS (K1). The edge-on disk is easily seen at all wavelengths extending from the southeast to the northwest. The northwest extended hook feature is most prominent in the Y-band. At longer wavelengths in the J- and H-bands, we see the inner warp, but the outer parts of the hooklike features are no longer detected. We nevertheless believe that this feature is real because it connects to the inner warp seen at all wavelengths. The feature also robustly appears when combining randomized non-overlapping subsets of our data, so it is unlikely to be produced by intermittent image artifacts.

The ratio of the integrated flux from the visible parts of the disk starting at 165 mas (limited by PSF residuals and the Lyot mask farther in) to the stellar flux amounts to $1.8 \pm 0.12 \times 10^{-4}$, $1.8 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{-4}$, $2.2 \pm 0.08 \times 10^{-4}$, and $1.8 \pm 0.15 \times 10^{-4}$ in the Y-, J-, H-, and K1-bands, respectively. The fractional near-infrared luminosity of HD 110058’s disk with respect to the star is therefore almost gray and about 10 times lower than the ones of HR 4796 (Schneider et al. 1999), HD 115600 (Currie et al. 2015), or β Pictoris (Lagrange et al. 2000).

Figure 3 plots the radial surface brightness profiles integrated over one PSF FWHM perpendicular to the disk plane. The error bars represent the standard deviation of flux measured in a similar manner along other position angles not aligned with the disk. Comparing the different wavebands, there appears to be two trends with wavelength: the knot indicating the ring moves slightly outward with wavelength, and it becomes sharper at the inner edge. Both effects are expected for an optically thin disk as optical depth decreases with wavelength, which enhances higher optical depth areas at longer wavelengths.

By inserting artificial planets in the data, we establish detection limits around 13 mag contrast in the combined YJH-band image and 12 mag contrast in the K-band at separations larger than about 0″3. The radial contrast beyond these separations is essentially flat and limited by sky background and detector noise. Close to our inner working angle of about 0″2, or right in the plane of the disk, the detection contrast is reduced by 0.5–1 mag. At a distance of 107 pc and assuming an age of 17 Myr, we compare our sensitivity limits to the NIR brightnesses of planets predicted by the hot start models of Baraffe et al. (2003). This model comparison suggests that our sensitivity is sufficient to detect ~3–4 $M_{\text{Jup}}$ in our field of view. The non-detection in our current data thus argues against the presence of a super-Jupiter comparable to HR 8799bcde or β Pictoris b at separations larger than ~25 AU from HD 110058. Nevertheless, we point out that a β Pictoris analog (~8 $M_{\text{Jup}}$ on a ~8 AU semimajor axis orbit) would remain undetectable on our images.

4. A RING AND OUTER DISK WING-TILT ASYMMETRY

In the following, we briefly discuss the disk geometry and place it the context of directly imaged A-star debris disks and exoplanetary systems. We can trace the disk in scattered light between 0″2 and ~0″6, corresponding to projected separations of 20–65 AU. The outer radius observed is limited by the sensitivity of our relatively shallow observations, and the true radius is likely to be significantly larger.

The most important features visible on our images are the northern and southern “knots” at ~32 AU and the two “hooks,” counterclockwise deviations in the disk structure at radii beyond ~40 AU. The fact that our observations show no evidence for a disk scale height comparable to the resolution of our observations (~4 AU) demonstrates that the HD 110058 disk is both geometrically flat and seen nearly exactly edge-on. The fact that the disk is flat suggests that it is depleted in gas; otherwise, the small dust grains traced in scattered light would couple to the gas, leading to flared disks with scale heights comparable to the orbital radius typical of protoplanetary disks.

A straightforward interpretation for the origin of the symmetric knots is the presence of a dust belt with a radius of ~32 AU around HD 110058. Such ring structures have been seen in several other bright, directly imaged inclined A-star debris disks, with the ~70 AU radius dust ring around HR 4796 (Schneider et al. 1999) and the ~110 AU ring around Fomalhaut (Kalas et al. 2005) being the best known examples. Also, the recently discovered disk around the young F-star HD 115600 (Currie et al. 2015), another member of the Sco–Cen OB Association, clearly shows a ringlike morphology. Finally, the edge-on disk around the young A-star β Pictoris hosts a prominent dust belt at ~65 AU. In all of these disks, the dust belts trace a planetesimal belt fueling a collisional cascade, which in turn replenishes the fine dust in the system.

The other conspicuous structures seen in the HD 110058 disk are the two “hooks,” one at each side of the disk. These appear to emerge from the disk midplane at the planetesimal
belt radius and extend to at least $\sim 65$ AU from the star and $\sim 17$ AU above the disk midplane. We interpret these wing-tilt asymmetries as a major warp in the outer disk or, in other words, an outer disk misaligned from the inner disk.

While such wing-tilt asymmetries are difficult to see in nearly face-on viewing geometries, a similar asymmetry has been documented in the edge-on $\beta$ Pictoris disk. The $\beta$ Pictoris inner disk is misaligned by $\sim 4^\circ$ with respect to the planetesimal ring at $\sim 65$ AU (Apai et al. 2015). This feature has been explained by the precession of the planetesimal orbits due to the gravitational influence of $\beta$ Pictoris b (e.g., Mouillet et al. 1997; Augereau et al. 2001; Nesvold & Kuchner 2015), a $\sim 13 M_{\text{Jup}}$ giant planet orbiting at an $\sim 8$ AU orbit inclined at $\sim 1.8^\circ$ (Lagrange et al. 2010). The fine dust produced in the inner, inclined dust belt is thus blown out to large orbital radii and forms an asymmetric hooklike feature identified in $\beta$ Pictoris as the “butterfly asymmetry” (Kalas & Jewitt 1995). Apai et al. (2015) summarize the asymmetries in the $\beta$ Pictoris disk and show that all axisymmetric structures are, in

Figure 2. Contour plots (eight linearly spaced levels between 0 and the maximum pixel intensity of the disk, brighter image artifacts are saturated) of HD 110058 in the Y-, J-, H-, and K1-bands (upper left to lower right). The coordinates are given in milliarcseconds relative to the star.

Figure 3. Radial profiles of the brightness of the edge-on disk in the Y-, J-, H-, and K1-bands. The profiles were normalized to their peak brightness and vertically shifted.
fact, consistent with disk structures predicted by models that consider the planets influence and radiation pressure.

Similarly to \( \beta \text{Pictoris} \), the HD 110058 disk displays prominent misalignments between the medium-separation planetesimal belt and the outer disk. We speculate that the misaligned outer disk in HD 110058 may arise, similarly to \( \beta \text{Pictoris} \), due to a misaligned inner disk. This would suggest the presence of a misaligned, inner planet orbiting at half the observed angular extent of the outer disk misalignment (Dawson et al. 2011). Alternatively, HD 110058 may also be the result of the interaction of the outer disk and a very large-separation (>70 AU), yet unseen planet, with a warp preceding from inward, rather than outward, as in the case for \( \beta \text{Pictoris} \). Detailed numerical simulations and deeper high-contrast imaging observations can discriminate between these possibilities and test the presence and location of a giant planet on an inclined orbit around HD 110058.

The edge-on disk in HD 110058 complements the other large directly imaged A-star debris disks (\( \beta \text{Pictoris} \), HR 4796, Fomalhaut, HD 106906). It suggests that single or double planetesimal belts are very common in these disks and that misaligned inner and outer disks occur in a significant fraction of A-star disks.

4.1. Conclusions

We present here the discovery of an edge-on debris disk around a young A-star with an age similar to \( \beta \text{Pictoris} \). We trace scattered light from the disk between 0″2 and 0″6, corresponding to about 20–65 AU in the system. The disk shows two prominent, symmetrically placed bright knots, which we interpret as projections of a single, relatively massive planetesimal belt with a radius of \( \sim \)32 AU. Furthermore, we identify two hooklike features, i.e., large-separation clockwise wing-tilt asymmetries in the disk. We propose that similarly to \( \beta \text{Pictoris} \), the wing-tilt asymmetry may result from dust that is blown out by radiation pressure from an inner, inclined disk. Alternatively, the outer disk may be shaped by a yet unseen planet on an inclined orbit.

The bright, well-resolved edge-on disk in HD 110058 adds to the important but small sample of directly imaged debris disks around A-type stars, some of which also host directly imaged planets. If a planet would be detected in the system, HD 110058 would provide a novel target in which disk–planet interactions could be tested in a system similar to \( \beta \text{Pictoris} \).

This work is based on observations performed with VLT/SPHERE under program ID 095.C-0389A and supported by the National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship under grant No. 2015209499. The results reported herein benefited from collaborations and/or information exchange within NASA’s Nexus for Exoplanet System Science (NExSS) research coordination network sponsored by NASA’s Science Mission Directorate. We would also like to thank the astronomers and the instrument support team at the VLT for the observations in service mode.
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