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1. Synthesis and Characterisation

All commercial solvents and reagents were used as purchased, unless otherwise stated. Anhydrous solvents were degassed with N₂ and dried using an Innovative Technology PureSolv MD 5 solvent purification system. Cu(MeCN)₄PF₆ was stored in a desiccator. TBTA was prepared following a literature procedure.¹ Water was distilled and microfiltered using an ELGA DV 35 Purelab water purification system. Chromatography was undertaken using silica gel (particle size: 40-63 μm) or preparative TLC plates (20 × 20 cm, 1 cm silica thickness).

¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded using Bruker AVIII400 (400 MHz), Bruker AV NEO 400 (400 MHz) Bruker AV NEO 500 (500 MHz, with cryoprobe). Mass spectra were recorded using a Bruker UltrafleXtreme MALDI-TOF mass spectrometer or a Waters Synapt G2-S mass spectrometer for high resolution MS-ESI.

Details of equipment used for other analytical techniques (photophysics, electrochemistry, HPLC etc.) are provided in their appropriate sections in this supporting information. All analytical experiments were performed with bis-perylene diimide (PDI) macrocycle 1a and acyclic bis-triazole PDI control 3a. Only single crystal X-ray diffraction was performed with macrocycle 1b, for which shorter alkyl chains enabled the growth of useable crystals. Apart from their side chains, macrocycles 1a and 1b are structurally identical, as evidenced by their matching ¹H NMR (aromatic signals, see below) and UV-vis spectra (Figure S23 and S24).

**Synthesis of macrocycles 1a and 1b**

The synthesis of macrocycles 1a and 1b was carried out according to Scheme S1. Compounds 4b² and 2a³ were prepared following literature procedures. Compounds 2a/b, 3a/b and 4a/b were isolated as a mixture of 1,6 and 1,7 PDI regioisomers. Both macrocycles 1a and 1b were isolated as the pure 1,7 regioisomer since removal of the 1,6 regioisomer was possible by silica gel column chromatography at this stage.

![Scheme S1: Multistep synthesis of bis-PDI macrocycles 1a and 1b.](image-url)
Acyclic bis-triazole PDI 3a

To a solution of TMS-protected bisalkyne PDI 2a (150 mg, 168 µmol) in DCM (20 ml) was added K₂CO₃ (85 mg, 616 µmol, 3.6 equiv) in MeOH (10 ml). The mixture was stirred at rt for 3 min, and completion of the reaction was confirmed by TLC. A further 20 mL of DCM was added to the mixture. The mixture was then washed with 1 M HCl (2 x 30 mL), water (2 x 30 mL) and brine (30 ml). The mixture was then dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ and concentrated to dryness in vacuo to afford the PDI bis-alkyne, which was used immediately without further purification. To a solution of this PDI bis-alkyne in dry DCM (20 ml) was added 1,4-bis(azidomethyl)benzene (316 mg, 1.68 mmol, 10 equiv) and Tris((1-benzyl-4-triazolyl)methyl)amine (TBTA) (17.86 mg, 34 µmol, 0.2 equiv). The solution was then degassed with argon. The copper (I) catalyst Cu(CH₃CN)₄PF₆ (12.5 mg, 34 µmol, 0.2 equiv) was then added and the solution was once again degassed with argon. The reaction was stirred at rt for 12 h. The solvent was then removed in vacuo. The resulting residue was purified by silica gel flash column chromatography (1:99 MeOH-DCM) affording the title compound as a purple solid (110 mg, 98 µmol, 58%).

¹H NMR (500 MHz, Chloroform-d, 1,7-regiosiomer) δ 8.63 (d, J = 26.4 Hz, 2Hₙ), 8.12 (s, 2Hₕ), 7.83 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2Hₜ), 7.66 (s, 2H₝), 7.43 – 7.36 (m, 8Hᵢ), 5.67 (s, 2Hₜ), 5.15 (p, 2Hₜ), 4.42 (s, 4Hₕ), 2.27 – 2.16 (m, 4Hₜ), 1.87 – 1.77 (m, 4Hᵢ), 1.43 – 1.15 (m, 24Hᵢ-k), 0.89 – 0.78 (m, 12Hᵢ).

¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 164.57, 163.45, 148.63, 136.88, 135.46, 134.60, 133.54, 130.37, 129.65, 129.25, 129.10, 128.96, 128.66, 128.82, 128.55, 123.30, 123.18, 122.61, 122.44, 121.96, 54.40, 54.37, 32.43, 31.88, 26.70, 22.73, 22.70, 14.19.

HRMS ESI (m/z) calculated for C₆₆H₇₁N₁₄O₄⁺ [M+H]⁺ 1123.5782, found 1123.5765.
$^1$H NMR spectrum of 3a (Chloroform-$d$, 298 K, 500 MHz).

$^1$H NMR spectrum of 3a (Toluene-$d_8$, 298 K, 500 MHz).

$^{13}$C NMR spectrum of 3a (Chloroform-$d$, 298 K, 101 MHz).
Calculated (top) and observed (bottom) ESI MS data for compound 3a.

**Bis-PDI macrocycle 1a**

To a solution of compound 3a (110 mg, 98 µmol) in DCM (250 mL) was added compound 2a (73 mg, 98 µmol, 1 equiv) and Tris((1-benzyl-4-triazolyl)methyl)amine (TBTA) (21 mg, 39 µmol, 0.4 equiv). The solution was then de-gassed with argon. The copper catalyst Cu(CH₃CN)₄PF₆ (14 mg, 39 µmol, 0.4 equiv) was then added and the solution was once again de-gassed with argon. The reaction was stirred at rt for 36 h and monitored by TLC (1:99 MeOH-DCM). The solvent was then removed in vacuo. The resulting residue was purified by silica gel flash
column chromatography (1:99 MeOH-DCM) followed by preparative silica TLC (0.5:99.5 MeOH-DCM), affording the title compound (as the pure 1,7-regioisomer) as a purple solid (70.8 mg, 38 µmol, 39%).

\(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, TCE-\(d_2\), 373K, the major species are the enantiomers, i.e. \(MM,PP:PM = 88:12\) mol%) \(\delta\) 8.58 (s, 4H\(a\)), 8.18 (d, \(J = 8.1\) Hz, 4H\(b\)), 7.66 (d, \(J = 8.1\) Hz, 4H\(c\)), 7.35 (s, 8H\(f\)), 7.27 (s, 4H\(d\)), 5.77 (d, \(J = 15.2\) Hz, 4H\(e\)), 5.65 (d, \(J = 15.1\) Hz, 4H\(e\)), 5.10 (p, \(J = 8.4, 6.3\) Hz, 4H\(g\)), 2.27 – 2.06 (m, 8H\(h\)), 2.05 – 1.83 (m, 8H\(h\)), 1.32 – 1.24 (m, 48H\(i-k\)), 0.93 – 0.83 (m, 24H\(l\)).

\(^13\)C NMR (101 MHz, TCE, 373K) \(\delta\) 163.48, 162.81, 148.02, 136.10, 134.87, 134.34, 133.53, 132.59, 129.43, 128.98, 128.27, 128.12, 127.76, 122.74, 121.01, 54.90, 53.84, 31.36, 29.40, 26.45, 22.18, 13.62.

HRMS (ESI) (m/z) calculated for \(C_{116}H_{125}N_{16}O_8^+\) [M+H]^+ 1869.986, found 1869.9879.

\(^1\)H NMR spectrum of 1a (TCE-\(d_2\), 373K, 400 MHz). Peaks are assigned in the 5-9 ppm region. Peaks labelled with an asterisk correspond to the minor species (MP diastereomer), while those without an asterisk correspond to the major species (MM,PP enantiomers).

\(^1\)H NMR spectrum of 1a (toluene-\(d_8\), 373 K, 400 MHz, \(MM,PP:PM > 99:1\) mol%).
$^{13}$C NMR spectrum of 1a (TCE-d$_2$, 373 K, 101 MHz).

Calculated (top) and observed (bottom) ESI MS data for compound 1a.
MALDI (TOF) mass spectrum of a crude reaction mixture of 1a. This shows the formation of larger macrocyclic [2+2] and [3+3] side products, alongside the target [1+1] macrocycle 1a.

**Acyclic bis-triazole PDI 3b**

To a solution of TMS-protected bis-alkyne PDI 2b (85 mg, 118 µmol) in DCM (20 ml) was added K$_2$CO$_3$ (50 mg) in MeOH (10 ml). The mixture was stirred at rt for 3 min, and completion of the reaction was confirmed by TLC. A further 20 mL of DCM was added to the solution. The solution was then washed with 1 M HCl (2 x 30 mL), water (2 x 30 mL) and brine (30 ml). The organic layer was then dried over anhydrous MgSO$_4$ and concentrated to dryness in vacuo to afford the deprotected PDI bis-alkyne which was used immediately without further purification.

This PDI bis-alkyne was immediately re-dissolved in dry DCM (25 mL). To this was added 1,4-bis(azidomethyl)benzene (220 mg, 1.18 mmol, 10 equiv) and Tris((1-benzyl-4-triazolyl)methyl)amine (TBTA) (12 mg, 24 µmol, 0.2 equiv). The solution was then de-gassed with argon. The copper (I) catalyst Cu(CH$_3$CN)$_4$PF$_6$ (9 mg, 24 µmol, 0.2 equiv) was then added and the solution was once again de-gassed with argon. The reaction was stirred at rt for 12 h. The solvent was then removed in vacuo. The resulting residue was purified by silica gel flash column chromatography (1:99 MeOH-DCM) affording the title compound (as the pure 1,7-regioisomer) as a purple solid (63 mg, 66 µmol, 57%).

$^1$H NMR (500 MHz, Chloroform-$d$) δ 8.64 (s, 2H$_a$), 8.11 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 2H$_b$), 7.82 (d, $J = 8.1$ Hz, 2H$_c$), 7.65 (s, 2H$_d$), 7.41 – 7.34 (m, 8H$_f$), 5.66 (s, 4H$_e$), 5.02 (m, 2H$_g$), 4.41 (s, 4H$_e$), 2.28 – 2.16 (m, 4H$_i$), 1.94 – 1.85 (m, 4H$_l$), 0.88 (t, $J = 7.5$, 1.3 Hz, 12H$_j$).
$^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 163.81, 148.51, 136.81, 135.00, 134.58, 134.48, 134.18, 133.49, 129.87, 129.20, 129.18, 129.04, 128.96, 128.59, 128.55, 128.52, 122.81, 121.96, 57.74, 54.34, 54.30, 25.08, 11.36.

**HRMS (ESI)** (m/z) calculated for C$_{54}$H$_{47}$N$_{14}$O$_4$ $^{[\text{M+H}]^+}$ 955.3904, found 955.3885

$^1$H NMR spectrum of 3b (Chloroform-$d$, 298 K, 500 MHz).

$^{13}$C NMR spectrum of 3b (Chloroform-$d$, 298 K, 101 MHz).
Calculated (top) and observed (bottom) ESI MS data for compound 3b.
To a solution of compound 3b (63 mg, 66 µmol) and freshly-prepared compound 2a (38 mg, 66 µmol, 1 equiv) in DCM (250 mL) was added Tris((1-benzyl-4-triazolyl)methyl)amine (TBTA) (14 mg, 26 µmol, 0.4 equiv). The solution was then de-gassed with argon. The copper catalyst Cu(CH$_3$CN)$_4$PF$_6$ (10 mg, 26 µmol, 0.4 equiv) was then added and the solution was once again de-gassed with argon. The reaction was stirred at rt for 36 h and monitored by TLC (1:99 MeOH-DCM). The solvent was then removed in vacuo. The resulting residue was purified by silica gel flash column chromatography (1:99 MeOH-DCM) followed by preparative silica TLC (0.5:99.5 MeOH-DCM), affording the title compound (as the pure 1,7-regioisomer) as a purple solid (22.7 mg, 15 µmol, 22%).

$^1$H NMR (400 MHz, TCE-d$_2$, 373K, the major species are the enantiomers, i.e. $^{MM,PP:PM} = 81:19$ mol%) δ 8.63 (s, 4H), 8.23 (d, $J = 8.1$ Hz, 4H), 7.79 (d, $J = 8.1$ Hz, 4H), 7.31 (s, 8H), 7.20 (s, 4H), 7.54 (d, $J = 15.2$ Hz, 4H), 5.64 (d, $J = 15.2$ Hz, 4H), 5.02 – 4.95 (m, 4H), 2.18 (m, 8H), 1.98 (m, 8H), 0.90 (q, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 24H).

$^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, TCE, 373K) δ 164.53, 163.49, 148.29, 136.30, 134.87, 134.02, 133.08, 129.91, 129.49, 129.37, 128.62, 128.25, 123.15, 121.25, 58.74, 54.18, 29.78, 25.43, 25.22, 11.53.

HRMS (ESI) (m/z) calculated for C$_{92}$H$_{76}$N$_{16}$O$_8$[M]$^+$ 1533.6111, found 1533.6090.
$^1$H NMR spectrum of 1b (TCE-d$_2$, 373 K, 400 MHz). The $\delta = 5$-9 ppm region of this spectrum is near identical ($\Delta \delta = 0.05$ - 0.1 ppm) to that of macrocycle 1a. Peaks labelled with an asterisk correspond to the minor species (MP diastereomer), while those without an asterisk correspond to the major species (MM, PP enantiomers).

$^{13}$C NMR spectrum of 1b (TCE-d$_2$, 373 K, 101 MHz).

Calculated (top) and observed (bottom) ESI MS data for compound 1b.
1,7-TMS-protected bis-alkyne PDI 5b.

To a solution of dibromo PDI 4b\(^3\) (200 mg, 290 µmol) in 1:1 dry NEt\(_3\)-toluene (30mL) under a nitrogen atmosphere was added Pd(PPh\(_3\))\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) (12.94 mg, 17 µmol, 0.06 equiv), Cul (6.09 mg, 32 µmol, 0.11 equiv) and trimethylsilylacetylene (142 mg, 207 µL, 1.45 mmol, 5 equiv). The mixture was thoroughly de-gassed with nitrogen and stirred at 60 °C for 48 h. The solvent mixture was then removed \textit{in vacuo}. The resulting residue was then re-dissolved in DCM (30 mL) and washed with 1M HCl (50 mL) and water (3 x 50 mL); dried over anhydrous MgSO\(_4\) and concentrated to dryness \textit{in vacuo}. The resulting residue was purified by silica gel flash column chromatography (1:1 n-hexane:DCM) affording the title compound as a red solid (210mg, 290 µmol, 100%).

\[^1\text{H} \text{NMR} \] (400 MHz, Chloroform-\(d\)) \(\delta\) 10.22 (d, \(J = 8.2\) Hz, 2H), 8.82 (s, 2H), 8.65 (d, \(J = 8.2\) Hz, 2H), 5.11 – 5.02 (m, 2H), 2.33 – 2.19 (m, 4H), 2.02 – 1.81 (m, 4H), 0.93 (t, \(J = 7.5\) Hz, 2H), 0.39 (s, 18H).

\[^{13}\text{C} \text{NMR} \] (101 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 134.49, 134.21, 128.13, 127.83, 127.66, 120.02, 106.19, 105.81, 77.48, 77.16, 76.84, 57.94, 25.17, 11.44, -0.23

\textbf{MS (MALDI-TOF)} (m/z) calculated for C\(_{44}\)H\(_{46}\)N\(_2\)O\(_4\)Si\(_2\) [M]: 722.2996, found 722.3007

\(^1\text{H} \text{NMR spectrum of 5b (Chloroform-\(d\), 298 K, 400 MHz).}\)
\(^{13}\)C NMR spectrum of 5b (Chloroform-\(d\), 298 K, 400 MHz).

MALDI (TOF) mass spectrum for compound 5b. An accurate mass was obtained by calibration to polyethylene glycol chains that were co-spotted with the sample and therefore also observed in the mass spectrum.
2. Further NMR spectroscopy experiments

a) Variable temperature $^1$H NMR spectroscopy experiments

Figure S1: Truncated $^1$H NMR spectra of 1a (Toluene-$d_8$, 400 MHz) at various temperatures ranging from 298 K (bottom spectrum) to 373 K (top spectrum), showing how the spectrum is too broad to assign at room temperature (298K) but sharpens as the temperature is increased.
b) Solvent dependent $^1$H NMR spectroscopy of macrocycle 1a

Figure S2: Partial $^1$H NMR spectra of macrocycle 1a in different toluene-d$_8$:TCE-d$_2$ solvent mixtures (373K, 400 MHz). The spectra are aligned using an internal reference standard, poly(dimethylsiloxane), added to each sample. Peaks labelled with an asterisk correspond to the minor species (MP diastereomer), while those without an asterisk correspond to the major species (MM,PP enantiomers). The MM,PP:MP ratios are shown for each spectrum.

Figure S3: Zoomed in region of the $^1$H NMR spectrum of macrocycle 1a in toluene-d$_8$ (373 K, 400 MHz, MM,PP:PM > 99:1 mol%) to show that no MP diastereomer (i.e. minor species) is detectable by $^1$H NMR spectroscopy.
c) \(^1\text{H}^-\text{H}\) EXSY/NOE NMR Spectroscopy

![Mcrocyle 1a](image)

**Figure S4**: Partial \(^1\text{H}^-\text{H}\) EXSY/NOESY NMR spectrum of macrocycle 1a (TCE-d\(_2\), 373 K, 400 MHz). Signals with phase shown in red are EXSY signals and signals with phase shown in blue are NOESY signals.

Unlike in toluene (Figure S5), no NOE is seen between the \(\text{H}_c\) and \(\text{H}_d\) protons in TCE-d\(_2\). EXSY signals show which \(^1\text{H}\) NMR signals are under chemical exchange, via interconversion of \(\text{MM,PP}\) enantiomers with the \(\text{MP}\) diastereomer. The EXSY signal set for the exchange of \(\text{H}_c\) (\(\text{MM,PP}\) enantiomer species) and \(\text{H}_c^*\) (\(\text{MP}\) diastereomer) is highlighted as an example.
Figure S5 Partial $^1$H-$^1$H EXSY/NOESY NMR spectrum of macrocycle 1a (toluene-d$_8$, 373 K, 400 MHz). Signals with phase shown in red are EXSY signals and signals with phase shown in blue are NOESY signals.

In toluene-d$_8$ a NOE between the protons H$_c$ and H$_d$ is observed, in contrast to TCE-d$_2$ (Figure S4).
**d) Determination of rate constants of MM/PP→MP interconversion by ¹H-¹H EXSY NMR spectroscopy**

Quantitative ¹H-¹H EXSY NMR spectroscopy can be used to obtain rate constants for the interconversion between different species. This has been used to quantify the exchange kinetics in supramolecular systems where the exchanging species have different energies and populations. An adapted version of this method has been used here.

All 2D-EXSY NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AV NEO 400 (400 MHz) NMR spectrometer. Exchange rates were calculated using the program EXSY CALC. To calculate the exchange rates between two species, diagonal and cross-peak intensities for the exchanging NMR resonances are required from two EXSY NMR experiments at different mixing times. For each data point, one EXSY NMR experiment was carried out with a mixing time of 900 ms and another was carried out with a very short mixing time of 5 ms. The same major and minor exchanging proton signals were used for every EXSY experiment. Here, we used H₅ and H₆ in the ¹H NMR spectrum of 1a (Figure 4), as the large chemical shift difference between these signals allowed easy and reliable integration (Δδ = 0.5 ppm).

The exchange matrix is as follows:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
-R_1 - k_1 & k_{-1} \\
 k_1 & -R_2 - k_{-1}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Where \( k_1 \) and \( k_{-1} \) are exchange rates and \( R_1 \) and \( R_2 \) are the longitudinal relaxation rates.

Table S1: Rates (\( k_1 \) and \( k_{-1} \)) and corresponding free energies of activation (\( \Delta G^1 \) and \( \Delta G^{2,1} \)) for the interconversion between enantiomer MM/PP and diastereomer MP of macrocycle 1a, determined by ¹H-¹H EXSY NMR spectroscopy at different temperatures and in different solvents.

| Solvent          | Temp. (K) | \( k_1 \) (s⁻¹) | \( \Delta G^1 \) (kJ mol⁻¹) | \( k_{-1} \) (s⁻¹) | \( \Delta G^{2,1} \) (kJ mol⁻¹) |
|------------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| TCE-d₂           | 353       | 0.023           | 103.8           | 0.086           | 99.7            |
|                  | 358       | 0.031           | 102.9           | 0.159           | 97.8            |
|                  | 363       | 0.043           | 101.8           | 0.206           | 97.0            |
|                  | 368       | 0.071           | 100.2           | 0.372           | 95.1            |
|                  | 373       | 0.103           | 99.1            | 0.574           | 93.8            |
| 1:1 (v/v) toluene:TCE-d₂ | 373 | 0.090 | 99.5 | 1.05 | 91.9 |

This data in pure TCE-d₂ can be fitted to the linear form of the Van’t Hoff equation:

\[
\ln \frac{k}{T} = -\frac{\Delta H^*}{R} \frac{1}{T} + \ln \frac{k_B}{h} + \frac{\Delta S^*}{R}
\]

Where \( k_B \) is the Boltzmann constant, \( R \) is the gas constant and \( h \) is Planck’s constant.

This fitting yields \( \Delta H^* = 80.7 \pm 5.7 \) kJ mol⁻¹ and \( \Delta S^* = -49.7 \pm 14.1 \) J K⁻¹ mol⁻¹ for the forwards MM/PP → MP process and \( \Delta H^* = 98.7 \pm 6.2 \) kJ mol⁻¹ and \( \Delta S^* = +13.0 \pm 17.0 \) J K⁻¹ mol⁻¹ for the backwards MP → MM/PP process, in pure TCE-d₂.
From here, $\Delta G$ in pure TCE-d$_2$ can be calculated at 298 K: $\Delta G_{1}^{\ddagger} (298$ K) = 95.5 kJ mol$^{-1}$ for the forwards $\text{MM/PP} \rightarrow \text{MP}$ process and $\Delta G_{-1}^{\ddagger} (298$ K) = 94.8 kJ mol$^{-1}$ for the backwards $\text{MP} \rightarrow \text{MM/PP}$ process. Therefore, the barrier in TCE-d$_2$ at 298 K is close to that in dichloromethane at 298 K, as determined by CD spectroscopy in SI Section 5b.

**Figure S6**: Van 't Hoff plot for the forwards rate constant ($k_1$) of interconversion between the enantiomer $\text{MM/PP}$ and the diastereomer $\text{MP}$ of macrocycle 1a, obtained from $^1$H-$^1$H EXSY NMR experiments at different temperatures.

**Figure S7**: Van 't Hoff plot for the backwards rate constant ($k_{-1}$) of interconversion between the enantiomer $\text{MM/PP}$ and the diastereomer $\text{MP}$ of macrocycle 1a, obtained from $^1$H-$^1$H EXSY NMR experiments at different temperatures.
3. X-ray crystallography

Purple, needle-like crystals of macrocycle 1b, suitable for single crystal X-ray diffraction, were grown from a racemic mixture of 1b dissolved in chloroform, with slow diffusion of methanol (antisolvent).

Single crystal X-ray diffraction experiments were performed at the UK Diamond Light Source I19-1 3-circle diffractometer ($\lambda = 0.6889$ Å). A suitable single crystal was selected and mounted using formblin film on a micromount. Data were collected on a dectris-CrystalClear abstract goniometer imported dectris images diffractometer. The crystals were kept at 100(2) K during data collection (single omega sweep). The structures were solved by direct methods using ShelXT and refined with ShelX using a least squares method. Olex2 software was used as the solution, refinement and analysis program. The crystal diffracted weakly despite the use of synchrotron radiation and numerous attempts at growing better diffracting crystals. The data used in the refinement was truncated to a resolution of 0.84 Å which reduced completeness but improved signal to noise. Overall the data to parameter ratio is 11.5. A completeness of 83% did not support meaningful modelling of most of the disordered solvent. Instead, the olex solvent mask function was used which found 672 electrons in a volume of 2296 Å³ in 2 voids per unit cell. This is consistent with the presence of 0.625[CHCl₃], 2.625[COH₄] per asymmetric unit which account for 668 electrons per unit cell. Hydrogen atoms were placed in geometrically calculated positions; non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. Methyl hydrogens were refined as idealized CH₃ groups with tetrahedral angles. ADPs of atoms on the PDI core were restrained to be similar (SIMU). Figures were produced using CrystalMakerX.

Crystal Data for Macrocycle 1b C₉₃H₇₈N₁₆O₉ (M = 1565.73 g/mol): monoclinic, space group C2/c, a = 42.6612(4) Å, b = 12.02180(10) Å, c = 34.4752(3) Å, α = 90°, β = 109.6030(10)°, γ = 90°, V = 16656.3(3) Å³, Z = 8, T = 100(2) K, µ(Synchrotron) = 0.078 mm⁻¹, $D_{calc} = 1.245$, 35021 reflections collected (3.428° ≤ 2Θ ≤ 48.406°), 12270 unique [R(int) = 0.0521, R(sigma) = 0.0852] which were all used in the calculations. The final $R_1$ was 0.1629 (I > 2σ(I)) and wR₂ was 0.4597 (all data). Deposited cif number: 2157213.
Figure S8: Asymmetric unit of macrocycle 1b with all non-hydrogen atoms represented by ellipsoids at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity (C, black; O, red; N, blue)

Figure S9: PDI–PDI plane to plane centroid = 3.7 Å, calculated using Olex2 software.
4. Chiral HPLC

a) Methods

Chiral chromatographic studies were performed using a Phenomenex i-Amylose-1 chiral column on an Agilent 1290 Infinity analytical HPLC instrument. The flow rate was 1 mL/minute and the detection wavelength was 500 nm. The eluents and injection volumes for each chromatogram are specified in the figure captions.

To separate the enantiomers for chiroptical studies, the system was set up to run automatically and the enantiomers were collected using an automated fraction collector. For this purification the eluent system was 4:1 toluene:n-hexane and the injection volume was 20 µL.

Figure S10: Chiral HPLC chromatogram of compound 1a dissolved in toluene and eluted with 4:1 (v/v) toluene:n-hexane. Using a combination of CD spectroscopy (Section 5) and computational modelling (Section 9), peak A is assigned as the MM enantiomer and peak B is assigned as the PP enantiomer.
Figure S11: Chiral HPLC chromatogram of compound 1a dissolved in DCM and eluted with 7:3 (v/v) DCM:n-hexane eluent. From 1H NMR spectroscopy, peaks A and B are assigned to the enantiomers (PP,MM) and peak C is the diastereomer (MP).
b) Racemisation kinetics from chiral HPLC

Figure S12: Change in the chiral HPLC chromatogram over time of an enantiopure sample of 1a \( MM \) (\( MM:PP > 99:1 \) mol\%) kept in toluene at room temperature. The chromatograms were run in 80:20 (v/v) toluene:n-hexane eluent.
To measure the rate of racemisation of compound 1a in toluene, a pure fraction of peak A (MM enantiomer) was obtained by running compound 1a through the chiral HPLC column in 80:20 toluene: n-hexane eluent. The pure fraction of peak A was dried and re-dissolved in toluene. The sample was kept in toluene, and aliquots of it were re-injected into the column over time, allowing the growth of peak B to be monitored (Figure S12). By measuring the ratio of the integrals of peaks A and B an enantiomeric excess $ee_t$ can be calculated for a given time $t$. The resulting data can then be fitted to the equation:

$$\ln\left(\frac{ee_0}{ee_t}\right) = 2kt$$

(S2)

Where $ee_0$ is the enantiomeric excess at $t = 0$ and $k$ is the enantiomerisation rate constant. The racemisation rate constant $k_{rac} = 2k$.13

![Figure S13: Plot and linear fit of $\ln(\frac{ee_0}{ee_t})$ against $t$.](image)

The fitting of this data is shown in Figure S13 and results in a rate constant of enantiomerisation $k = 8.82 \times 10^{-3}$ h$^{-1} = 2.45 \times 10^{-6}$ s$^{-1}$ and hence a racemisation rate constant $k_{rac} = 4.90 \times 10^{-6}$ s$^{-1}$ for toluene at room temperature. A free energy of activation for the racemisation process $\Delta G^\ddagger = 104.98$ kJ mol$^{-1}$ was determined for toluene at room temperature according to the Eyring equation. This is close agreement with the value for $\Delta G^\ddagger$ determined by time-course CD spectroscopy (Section 5).

Additionally, the chromatogram of a sample of peak A (PP enantiomer) that was kept as a dry solid for three months shows very little interconversion has occurred (MM:PP = 95.5 mol%), allowing us to estimate the enantiomer half-life to be $t_{1/2} = \text{years}$ in the solid state (Figure S14).
Figure S14: Chiral HPLC chromatogram run in 4:1 (v/v) toluene:n-hexane of a sample of peak A (MM enantiomer) of macrocycle 1a kept as a dry solid for approximately 3 months ($MM:PP = 95.5\text{ mol}\%$ by peak integration).
5. Chiroptical studies
   a) Circular dichroism studies

Circular dichroism (CD) spectra were recorded on a Jasco J-1500 CD spectrophotometer with a wavelength accuracy ± 0.2 nm (250 to 500 nm), ± 0.5 nm (500 to 800 nm) and a CD root mean square noise < 0.007 mdeg (500 nm). A quartz cuvette with 0.5 mm path length was used. The spectra were recorded at a concentration of 10 µM. The enantiomers were assigned by comparison of their CD spectra in toluene (Figure S15) with the computationally calculated spectra of the enantiomers in toluene (Table S13).

![CD spectra](image1)

**Figure S15**: CD spectra for the MM (MM:PP > 99:1 mol%) and PP (MM:PP = 12:88 mol%) enantiomers of macrocycle 1a in toluene.

![CD spectra](image2)

**Figure S16**: CD spectra for the MM (MM:PP > 99:1 mol%) and PP (MM:PP = 12:88 mol%) enantiomers of macrocycle 1a in DCM. As the enantiomers racemise relatively quickly in chlorinated solvents (t½ = 18 min), particular care was taken to record the spectra immediately after dissolving the samples in DCM.
b) Kinetics from time-course CD

To determine the racemisation rate constants, an enantiopure sample of 1a MM (10 μM, MM:PP > 99:1 mol%) was dissolved in the solvent being tested (toluene or DCM) and kept in a sealed cuvette at 25 °C. The CD spectrum was recorded at regular time intervals. The decay in intensity of the strongest peaks between λ = 250 - 400 nm was monitored over time and the resulting data was fitted to the following equation:

\[ \ln \left( \frac{CD_0}{CD_t} \right) = 2kt \]

Where \( CD_0 \) is the CD signal intensity at \( t = 0 \) for a given peak, \( CD_t \) is the CD signal intensity at time \( t \) for a given peak and \( k \) is the enantiomerisation rate constant. The racemisation rate constant \( k_{rac} \) is determined from \( k_{rac} = 2k \).¹³

Table S2: Kinetic parameters for the racemisation of an initially pure sample of the MM enantiomer of macrocycle 1a in toluene and DCM (298 K) as determined by time-course CD spectroscopy.

| Solvent | \( k \) (s⁻¹) | \( k_{rac} \) (s⁻¹) | \( t_{1/2} \) (hours) | \( \Delta G^\ddagger \) (kJ mol⁻¹, 298 K) |
|---------|---------------|---------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Toluene | 7.95 x 10⁻⁷ ± 7.36 x 10⁻⁸ | 1.59 x 10⁻⁶ ± 1.47 x 10⁻⁷ | 121 ± 10 | 107.8 ± 0.2 |
| DCM     | 3.20 x 10⁻⁴ ± 1.36 x 10⁻⁵ | 6.40 x 10⁻⁴ ± 2.27 x 10⁻⁵ | 0.3 ± 0.01 | 92.9 ± 0.1 |

Figure S17: Time-course CD spectra for an enantiopure sample of 1a MM (10 μM, MM:PP > 99:1 mol%) in toluene.
Average slope $(h^{-1})$ | Standard deviation
--- | ---
0.005725 | 1.15 x $10^{-4}$

| Wavelength | Slope $(h^{-1})$ | Standard Error |
|---|---|---|
| 324 nm | 0.00584 | 3.19 x $10^{-4}$ |
| 281 nm | 0.00561 | 2.11 x $10^{-4}$ |

Figure S18: Plot and linear fit of $\ln \left( \frac{CD_0}{CD_t} \right)$ against $t$ at 281 nm and 324 nm for the time-course CD experiment measuring the rate of racemisation of macrocycle 1a in toluene.

Figure S19: Time-course CD spectra for an enantiopure sample of 1a $MM$ (10 μM, $MM:PP > 99:1$ mol%) in DCM.
| Wavelength | Slope (h⁻¹) | Standard Error |
|------------|-------------|----------------|
| 358 nm     | 0.0345      | 0.0011         |
| 321 nm     | 0.03914     | 0.000635       |
| 294 nm     | 0.03855     | 0.0026         |
| 264 nm     | 0.0416      | 0.00221        |

The average slope is 0.0384 ± 0.0026 h⁻¹.

**Figure S20**: Plot and linear fit of $\ln \left( \frac{CD_0}{CD_t} \right)$ against $t$ at 358, 321, 294 and 264 nm for the time-course CD experiment measuring the rate of racemisation of macrocycle 1a in DCM.
c) Circularly-polarised luminescence studies

CPL was measured with a home-built (modular) spectrometer. The excitation source was a broad band (200 – 1000 nm) laser-driven light source EQ 99 (Elliot Scientific). The excitation wavelength was selected by feeding the broadband light into an Acton SP-2155 monochromator (Princeton Instruments); the collimated light was focused into the sample cell (1 cm quartz cuvette). Sample PL emission was collected perpendicular to the excitation direction with a lens (f = 150 mm). The emission was fed through a photoelastic modulator (PEM) (Hinds Series II/FS42AA) and through a linear sheet polariser (Comar). The light was then focused into a second scanning monochromator (Acton SP- 2155) and subsequently on to a photomultiplier tube (PMT) (Hamamatsu H10723 series). The detection of the CPL signal was achieved using the field modulation lock-in technique. The electronic signal from the PMT was fed into a lock-in amplifier (Hinds Instruments Signaloc Model 2100). The reference signal for the lock-in detection was provided by the PEM control unit. The monochromators, PEM control unit and lock-in amplifier were interfaced to a desktop PC and controlled by a custom-written Labview graphic user interface. The lock-in amplifier provided two signals, an AC signal corresponding to (IL - IR) and a DC signal corresponding to (IL + IR) after background subtraction. The emission dissymmetry factor was therefore readily obtained from the experimental data, as 2 AC/DC.

Spectral calibration of the scanning monochromator was performed using a Hg-Ar calibration lamp (Ocean Optics). A correction factor for the wavelength dependence of the detection system was constructed using a calibrated lamp (Ocean Optics). The measured raw data was subsequently corrected using this correction factor. The validation of the CPL detection systems was achieved using light emitting diodes (LEDs) at various emission wavelengths. The LED was mounted in the sample holder and the light from the LED was fed through a broad band polarising filter and λ/4 plate (Ocean Optics) to generate circularly polarised light. Prior to all measurements, the λ/4 plate and a LED were used to set the phase of the lock-in amplifier correctly. The emission spectra were recorded with 0.5 nm step size and the slits of the detection monochromator were set to a slit width corresponding to a spectral resolution of 0.25 nm. CPL spectra (as well as total emission spectra) were obtained through an averaging procedure of several scans. The CPL spectra were smoothed using a shape-preserving Savitzky-Golay smoothing (polynomial order 5, window size 9 with reflection at the boundaries) to reduce the influence of noise and enhance visual appearance; all calculations were carried out using raw spectral data. Analysis of smoothed vs raw data was used to help to estimate the uncertainty in the stated g\textsubscript{Lum} factors, which was ±10%.
Figure S21: Raw and fitted CPL spectra of a) MM enantiomer ($MM:PP > 99:1$ mol%) and b) PP enantiomer ($MM:PP = 12:88$ mol%) of macrocycle 1a.
**d) Comparison with CPL emitters in the literature**

Table S3 shows that macrocycle 1a is at the upper end of the range of $g_{\text{lum}}$ values ($g_{\text{lum}} = 10^{-2}$) for small organic molecules in solution (current highest is $10^{-1}$)\textsuperscript{14-18} and the highest for discrete PDI emitters (current highest is $10^{-3}$).\textsuperscript{19} Furthermore, macrocycle 1a exhibits the most red-shifted CPL spectrum (675 nm) of all small organic emitters reported to date.\textsuperscript{19-21}

**Table S3**: A selection of the best performing small organic molecular CPL emitters in solution. The luminescence dissymmetry values ($g_{\text{lum}}$) and the wavelength of the emission maxima ($\lambda_{\text{em}}$) at which these were recorded are given.

| Compound                        | Reference | $g_{\text{lum}} (\lambda_{\text{em}} \text{[nm]})$\textsuperscript{a} | PDI-based |
|---------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| [4]Cyclo-2,8-chrysenylene       | 18        | $1.5 \times 10^{-1}$ (443)                                           | x         |
| Figure-eight-shaped [5]helicene dimer | 22        | $1.5 \times 10^{-2}$ (442)                                           | x         |
| Ortho-oligo phenylene ethynlenes| 23        | $5.5 \times 10^{-2}$ (410)                                           | x         |
| Perylene-based [4] rotaxane     | 24        | $2.1 \times 10^{-2}$ (573)                                           | x         |
| **Pink box macrocycle 1a**      | This work | $1 \times 10^{-2}$ (675)                                             | ✓         |
| Tetrasubstituted [2.2]paracyclophane | 25        | $1 \times 10^{-2}$ (450)                                             | x         |
| Azahelicene dimer               | 26        | $9 \times 10^{-3}$ (536)                                             | x         |
| Bis-BODIPY macrocycle           | 20        | $9 \times 10^{-3}$ (663)                                             | x         |
| $\pi$-extended [9]helicene      | 27        | $7 \times 10^{-3}$ (532)                                             | x         |
| Tetraarylated PDI               | 19        | $1 \times 10^{-3}$ (655)                                             | ✓         |
| PDI cyclohexane derivative      | 28        | $1 \times 10^{-3}$ (610)                                             | ✓         |
| Diketopyrrolopyrrole–helicene   | 21        | $9 \times 10^{-4}$ (650)                                             | x         |

\textsuperscript{a}Values are for discrete monomers in solution, as for macrocycle 1a.
6. Photophysics
All steady state electronic absorption and emission spectra were recorded at a concentration of 10 µM (unless otherwise stated) at 298 K. For UV-Vis-NIR spectroscopy a Cary 5000 spectrophotometer was used, with a wavelength accuracy ≤ 0.08 nm and absorbance accuracy ≤ 0.01 Abs. For fluorescence spectroscopy a Jasco FP-8500 was used with emission and excitation wavelength accuracies ± 1.0 nm. The detector base sensitivity is 8500:1. Quartz cuvettes with 1 cm path length were used.
a) UV-vis-NIR absorption and emission spectra

Figure S22: Normalised UV-vis absorption spectra and fluorescence emission spectra of macrocycle 1a in selected solvents (10 µM). The 0-0 and 0-1 vibronic transition peaks are labelled in the absorption spectrum of benzene.
Figure S23: Normalised UV-vis absorption spectra of macrocycle 1a in toluene and TCE (10 µM). Intramolecular H-type aggregation in toluene results in a reversal in the 0-0 and 0-1 vibronic peak intensities in the UV-vis spectrum, and in quenching of fluorescence emission (Table S4).

Figure S24: Normalised UV-vis absorption spectra of macrocycle 1b in toluene and TCE (10 µM). These spectra are very similar to those of macrocycle 1a.
Figure S25: Normalised UV-vis absorption and fluorescence emission spectra of acyclic bis-triazole PDI 3a in toluene and TCE (10 µM). The UV-vis spectra of 3a have no solvent dependence, in contrast to macrocycles 1a,b.

Figure S26: Excitation spectrum (λem = 620 nm) of macrocycle 1a in TCE (10 µM).
Figure S 27: Excitation spectrum ($\lambda_{em} = 620 \text{ nm}$) of macrocycle 1a in toluene (10 µM).
b) Beer-Lambert plots

![Absorption spectrum of macrocycle 1a in toluene at different concentrations, up to Abs_max for the instrument. b) The dependence of absorption (518 nm) on concentration for macrocycle 1a in toluene is linear, showing Beer-Lambert behaviour. c) Absorption spectrum of macrocycle 1a in TCE at different concentrations. d) The dependence of absorption (548 nm) on concentration for macrocycle 1a in TCE is linear, showing Beer-Lambert behaviour.

![Quantum yields for compounds 1a and 3a in toluene and TCE.](image)

**Figure S28:** a) Absorption spectrum of macrocycle 1a in toluene at different concentrations, up to Abs_max for the instrument. b) The dependence of absorption (518 nm) on concentration for macrocycle 1a in toluene is linear, showing Beer-Lambert behaviour. c) Absorption spectrum of macrocycle 1a in TCE at different concentrations. d) The dependence of absorption (548 nm) on concentration for macrocycle 1a in TCE is linear, showing Beer-Lambert behaviour.

e) Quantum yields

Absolute fluorescence quantum yields were obtained on an Edinburgh Instruments FLS920 steady-state spectrometer fitted with an integrating sphere. All samples were recorded at a 1 µM with a 7 - 8 nm excitation slit and 0.1 - 0.2 nm emission slit width. Experiments were carried out in solution using 1 cm path length quartz cuvettes with four transparent polished faces.

**Table S4:** Quantum yields for compounds 1a and 3a in toluene and TCE.

| Compound | Solvent | Quantum Yield |
|----------|---------|---------------|
| 1a       | Toluene | 0.48          |
|          | TCE     | 0.90          |
| 3a       | Toluene | > 0.99        |
|          | TCE     | > 0.99        |
7. Quantification of intramolecular H-type aggregation

To gain a deeper insight into the macrocycle-solvent interactions that promote intramolecular H-type aggregation, the UV-vis absorption spectrum of 1a was recorded in a wide range of solvents. The $A_{0:0} / A_{0:1}$ ratio was recorded for each solvent. From here a Gibbs free energy of intramolecular H-type aggregation ($\Delta G_{agg}$) was determined for each solvent, following an adapted method used by Würthner and co-workers. In this method, the following assumptions are made:

1. The $A_{0:0} / A_{0:1}$ ratio in toluene corresponds to all molecules of macrocycle 1a being in a state of full intramolecular H-type aggregation.

2. The $A_{0:0} / A_{0:1}$ ratio in TCE corresponds to all molecules of macrocycle 1a being in a state where there is no H-type aggregation.

These assumptions are validated by the fact the $\varepsilon_{0:0} / \varepsilon_{0:1}$ ratio for macrocycle 1a is minimised in toluene (0.58), while the ratio is maximised in TCE (1.19) and is the same as that of the monomeric PDI 3a in either solvent. We note that the MP diastereomer is present as a minor species in chlorinated solvents (~10 mol%) and, from density functional theory calculations (Section 9), is not an H-type aggregate.

From these assumptions, the mole fraction $\alpha_u$ of fully unaggregated molecules can be estimated according to the following equation:

$$\alpha_u = \frac{\rho_a - \rho_{obs}}{\rho_a - \rho_u}$$

Where $\rho_a$ is the $\varepsilon_{0:0} / \varepsilon_{0:1}$ ratio of the fully H-type aggregated macrocycle in toluene, $\rho_u$ is the $A_{0:0} / A_{0:1}$ ratio of the non H-type aggregated macrocycle in TCE and $\rho_{obs}$ is the observed $A_{0:0} / A_{0:1}$ for a given solvent being investigated.

From this, an equilibrium constant $K_{eq}$ can be calculated as follows:

$$K_{eq} = \frac{C_a}{C_u} = \frac{1 - \alpha_u}{\alpha_u}$$

Where $C_a$ and $C_u$ are the concentrations of H-type aggregated and non H-type aggregated macrocycles respectively. Hence, a Gibbs free energy of intramolecular H-type aggregation ($\Delta G_{agg}$) can be determined for each solvent according to:

$$\Delta G_{agg} = -RT \ln K_{eq}$$

As toluene and TCE are the reference solvents for fully H-type aggregated and non H-type aggregated species respectively, they cannot be included in the $\Delta G$ plots as they represent asymptotes in the model.
Table S5: $A_{0-0} / A_{0-1}$ ratios and corresponding $\Delta G_{\text{agg}}$ values for macrocycle 1a in different solvents.

| Solvent                      | $A_{0-0} / A_{0-1}$ | $\Delta G_{\text{agg}}$ (kJ mol$^{-1}$) |
|------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| Benzene                      | 0.58                | n/a                                     |
| Toluene                      | 0.58                | n/a                                     |
| tert-Butylbenzene            | 0.58                | n/a                                     |
| $\alpha,\alpha,\alpha$-trifluorotoluene | 0.59                | -11.37                                  |
| $m$-Xylene                   | 0.62                | -6.94                                   |
| Fluorobenzene                | 0.62                | -6.53                                   |
| $p$-Xylene                   | 0.62                | -6.51                                   |
| 1:1 Chloroform:n-hexane      | 0.64                | -5.76                                   |
| $o$-Xylene                   | 0.65                | -5.22                                   |
| Chlorobenzene                | 0.65                | -5.12                                   |
| Bromobenzene                 | 0.73                | -2.73                                   |
| Acetonitrile                 | 0.75                | -2.39                                   |
| Benzyl alcohol               | 0.78                | -2.73                                   |
| Chloroform                   | 0.80                | -1.40                                   |
| Iodobenzene                  | 0.82                | -1.02                                   |
| Trifluoroethanol             | 0.89                | -0.035                                  |
| Acetone                      | 0.91                | 0.43                                    |
| DCM                          | 0.99                | 1.82                                    |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene          | 1.07                | 3.68                                    |
| Benzonitrile                 | 1.09                | 4.21                                    |
| Benzaldehyde                 | 1.09                | 4.47                                    |
| DMSO                         | 1.13                | 5.98                                    |
| Nitrobenzene                 | 1.16                | 8.00                                    |
| TCE                          | 1.19                | n/a                                     |
The $\Delta G_{agg}$ of macrocycle 1a for different solvents were plotted against various solvent scales (Figure S29-36). Good correlations are observed against scales that account for solvent polarity ($\varepsilon$, $\mu_a$, Kirkwood-Onsager) or solvent polarity and polarizability ($\pi^*$, Catalán SPP, $\chi_R$), where Pearson’s $r = 0.8$-$0.9$. There is no correlation with solvent polarizability ($\alpha$) or hydrogen bonding ($\beta$).

![Figure S29: Plot of $\Delta G_{agg}$ against dielectric constant $\varepsilon$ of each solvent. The red line represents the linear regression fitting of the data.](image-url)
Figure S30: Plot of $\Delta G_{\text{agg}}$ against the Kirkwood-Onsager function $(\varepsilon - 1)/(2\varepsilon + 1)$ for each solvent. The red line represents the linear regression fitting of the data.

Figure S31: Plot of $\Delta G_{\text{agg}}$ against dipole moment $\mu_a$ of each solvent. The red line represents the linear regression fitting of the data.
Figure S32: Plot of $\Delta G_{agg}$ against polarizability $\alpha$ of each solvent, showing no correlation.

Figure S33: Plot of $\Delta G_{agg}$ against the empirical Kamlet-Taft $\pi^*$ scale of solvent polarity/polarizability. The red line represents the linear regression fitting of the data.
Figure S34: Plot of $\Delta G_{\text{agg}}$ against the empirical Catalán SPP scale of solvent polarity/polarizability. The red line represents the linear regression fitting of the data.

Figure S35: Plot of $\Delta G_{\text{agg}}$ against the empirical $\chi_R$ scale of solvent polarity/polarizability. The red line represents the linear regression fitting of the data.
Figure S36: Plot of $\Delta G_{\text{agg}}$ against the Kamlet-Taft $\beta$ scale of hydrogen bond acceptor strength. No correlation is observed against $\beta$. 
The UV-vis absorption spectrum of macrocycle 1a was measured as the solvent composition was gradually changed from pure toluene to 97:3 (v/v) toluene:TCE, while keeping the concentration of 1a constant (Figure S37). By monitoring the $A_{0.0}/A_{0.1}$ ratio for each solvent composition (Figure S38), the free energy of intramolecular H-type aggregation ($\Delta G_{agg}$) can be determined for different toluene:TCE solvent mixtures (Figure S39).

**Figure S37**: Change in the UV-vis absorption spectrum of a 10 µmol solution of macrocycle 1a in toluene:TCE solvent mixtures (grey) going from pure toluene (black) to 97:3 (v/v) toluene:TCE (red). The arrows denote the direction of change as the proportion of TCE is increased.

The method used here is based on that developed by Moore and Ray to study the solvent-induced folding of phenylene ethynylene oligomers, and used by Würthner and co-workers. The equation below used to calculate $\Delta G_{agg}$ results in asymptotes at 0 % and 100 % TCE. However, plotting of the $\Delta G$ in the transition region against % TCE gives a straight-line relationship that can be extrapolated to obtain an estimate $\Delta G_{agg}$ for full intramolecular H-type aggregation in pure toluene (i.e., at 0% TCE) according to the equation:

$$
\Delta G_{agg} = \Delta G(\text{Tol}) + m[\text{TCE}]
$$

Where $\Delta G(\text{Tol})$ is the free energy for full intramolecular H-type aggregation in pure toluene, [TCE] is the concentration of TCE in the solvent mixture, and $m$ is the gradient of the plot. From Figure S39, this gives $\Delta G(\text{Tol}) = -11.17 \pm 0.11 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$. 

---
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Figure S38: Change in $A_{0.0} / A_{0.1}$ ratio in the absorption spectrum of compound $1a$ in toluene:TCE mixture as the volume % of TCE is increased.

Figure S39: Plot of $\Delta G_{agg}$ of macrocycle $1a$ (derived from the $A_{0.0} / A_{0.1}$ ratio) for different toluene:TCE solvent mixtures. Data points in red are excluded from the linear regression fitting.
8. Electrochemistry

Electrochemical experiments were carried out in anhydrous degassed solvents (DCM or 1:1 toluene-DCM) using 0.1 M tetrabutylammonium tetrafluoroborate as the supporting electrolyte. The working electrode was a 3 mm glassy carbon electrode (polished with diamond slurry prior to use), a Pt counter electrode, and a Ag/AgCl reference. All cyclic voltammograms (CVs) were referenced to the Fc+/Fc redox couple and recorded at a scan rate of 0.1 Vs⁻¹. An Autolab Interface 6 potentiostat was used for electrochemical measurements. The inherent instrument error is V: ± 0.2% (± 2 mV).

Figure S40: Cyclic voltammograms of a) Acyclic bis-triazole PDI 3a in DCM, b) Acyclic bis-triazole PDI 3a in 1:1 (v/v) toluene:DCM, c) macrocycle 1a in DCM, d) macrocycle 1a in 1:1 (v/v) toluene:DCM.
Table S6: Cyclic voltammetry data for macrocycle 1a and acyclic PDI 3a

|                   |            | A     | B     | C     | D     |
|-------------------|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| **Macrocycle 1a** | 1:1 (v/v) | −0.84 | −1.02 | −1.18 | −1.70 |
|                   | toluene:DCM|       |       |       |       |
|                   | DCM        | −0.94 |       | −1.31 |       |
| **Acyclic PDI 3a**| 1:1 (v/v) | −0.94 |       | −1.20 |       |
|                   | toluene:DCM|       |       |       |       |
|                   | DCM        | −0.94 |       | −1.18 |       |

*Recorded in the stated solvents containing 0.4 M [nBu4N][BF4] as supporting electrolyte at ambient temperature, with potentials quoted at 0.10 V s\(^{-1}\) against \(E_{1/2}^{\text{Fc}}/\text{Fc}\). \(^b\)\(E_{1/2}\) value could not be calculated so \(E_{ca}\) is given instead.

Figure S41: Proposed schematic of the redox processes of macrocycle 1a in each solvent.
9. Density Functional Theory Calculations

a) Conformer search

Conformer searches in toluene and dichloromethane for a simplified model of macrocycle 1, in which the imide-based alkyl chains (R groups) are replaced with methyl groups, were performed using the combination of the CREST code,\textsuperscript{36} the GFN2-xTB semiempirical tight-binding method\textsuperscript{37} and the analytical linearized Poisson–Boltzmann (ALPB) implicit solvation model.\textsuperscript{38} The lowest energy conformers found using CREST were subsequently reoptimized by means of density functional theory using either the B97-3c composite scheme\textsuperscript{39} or the combination of the PBE density functional,\textsuperscript{40} the D4 dispersion correction method\textsuperscript{41} and the def2-TZVP basis-set.\textsuperscript{42} Solvation effects in the DFT calculations were described using either the COSMO\textsuperscript{43} (toluene, dichloromethane) or COSMO-RS\textsuperscript{44} (toluene, dichloromethane) implicit solvation models. All DFT calculations, including the time-dependent DFT and NMR calculations discussed below, are performed using Turbomole 7.5.\textsuperscript{45,46}

An initial conformer search in the gas phase gave the lowest energy conformers A-H below. Conformations related by the simple rotation of the methyl group(s) at the imide position(s) were omitted. These structures are provided as .xyz files in the ‘DFT structures’ folder.

![Conformers A-H](image)

**Figure S42:** Lowest energy conformers A-H (gas phase). The homochiral/heterochiral labels refer to the relationship between the two axially chiral PDI units. Conformer F cannot be labelled in this way since the perylene core of the bottom PDI is pseudo planar. **MM** and **PP** assignments are included for conformer A, in agreement with the homochiral conformation of the macrocycle observed experimentally in toluene (1a) and the X-ray crystal structure (1b). The **MP** assignments is given to the heterochiral conformer H.
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The relative energies of conformers A-H were obtained in the gas phase and in toluene and chlorinated solvents (Tables S7-S8). The energy landscape in chlorinated solvents predicted by density functional theory differs from that observed experimentally, most likely because our model does not account for intermolecular macrocycle–solvent hydrogen bonds, specifically impacting the energies of conformers C and H, in which intramolecular hydrogen bonds are broken.

**Table S7**: Relative energies in kJ/mol of conformers A-H in the gas phase and in different solvents described using different solvation models as calculated with B97-3c.

|       | gas phase | DCM  | CHCl₃ | Toluene | Toluene |
|-------|-----------|------|-------|---------|---------|
|       |           |      | cosmo | cosmo-rs| cosmo   | cosmo-rs|
| A     | 0         | 0    | 0     | 0       | 0       |
| B     | 30        | 24   | 23    | 26      | 27      |
| C     | 51        | 42   | 51    | 47      | 52      |
| D     | 60        | 48   | 48    | 54      | 55      |
| E     | 88        | 74   | 72    | 81      | 82      |
| F     | 93        | 73   | 70    | 85      | 78      |
| G     | 101       | 81   | 69    | 90      | 75      |
| H     | 107       | 79   | 67    | 90      | 76      |

**Table S8**: Relative energies in kJ/mol of conformers A-H in the gas phase and in different solvents described using different solvation models as calculated with PBE-D4/def2-TZVP.

|       | gas phase | DCM  | CHCl₃ | Toluene | Toluene |
|-------|-----------|------|-------|---------|---------|
|       |           |      | cosmo | cosmo-rs| cosmo   | cosmo-rs|
| A     | 0         | 0    | 0     | 0       | 0       |
| B     | 28        | 21   | 21    | 25      | 25      |
| C     | 47        | 38   | 47    | 43      | 49      |
| D     | 56        | 43   | 44    | 49      | 51      |
| E     | 78        | 64   | 63    | 70      | 71      |
| F     | 85        | 69   | 66    | 78      | 73      |
| G     | 88        | 68   | 55    | 76      | 61      |
| H     | 97        | 67   | 56    | 70      | 66      |
Figure S43: An overlay of the DFT predicted conformer A (red) and the same fragment of the X-ray crystal structure (yellow) of macrocycle 1. The methyl group (DFT structure) or alkyl chains (crystal structure) have been omitted to aid with comparison.

Figure S44: A top-down view of conformer C shows the PDI units are rotated by 70° relative to one another, which switches π–π interactions OFF. This contrasts with the 20° rotation in the H-type aggregated conformer A (π–π ON) as seen in the DFT structure (Figure S43) and X-ray crystal structure (Figure 2c).
b) Predicted UV-vis and CD spectra

Vertical excitation and circular dichroism spectra of the DFT optimised conformers were calculated by single point calculations on the B97-3c optimised structures using the combination of the ωB97x density functional\textsuperscript{47} and the def2-TZVPP basis-set.\textsuperscript{42}

**Predicted UV-vis spectra**

The lowest energy excitation (1) in the spectrum of C (i.e., π–π OFF, no H-type aggregation) has a greater intensity than the corresponding peak (1) in conformers A and B (i.e., π–π ON, H-type aggregate). Hence, DFT predicts a red-shifted peak for C, relative to A and B. This is consistent with the experimentally observed red shift of $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ of macrocycle 1a on going from toluene to chlorinated solvents.

Table S9 TD-ωB97x/def2-TZVP predicted vertical excitation spectra conformer A in toluene (cosmo).

| Exc. | excitation energy / eV | wavelength (nm) | oscillator strength |
|------|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| 1    | 2.33                  | 533             | 0.01202           |
| 2    | 2.60                  | 477             | 0.68522           |
| 3    | 3.11                  | 398             | 0.10038           |
| 4    | 3.16                  | 392             | 0.00000           |

Table S10: TD-ωB97x/def2-TZVP predicted vertical excitation spectra conformer A in DCM (cosmo).

| Exc. | excitation energy / eV | wavelength (nm) | oscillator strength |
|------|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| 1    | 2.31                  | 537             | 0.01749           |
| 2    | 2.55                  | 486             | 0.89341           |
| 3    | 3.11                  | 399             | 0.10952           |
| 4    | 3.16                  | 392             | 0.00001           |

Table S11: TD-ωB97x/def2-TZVP predicted vertical excitation spectra conformer B in DCM (cosmo).

| Exc. | excitation energy / eV | wavelength (nm) | oscillator strength |
|------|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| 1    | 2.31                  | 538             | 0.02390           |
| 2    | 2.53                  | 491             | 0.85778           |
| 3    | 3.04                  | 408             | 0.04706           |
| 4    | 3.15                  | 393             | 0.04026           |

Table S12: TD-ωB97x/def2-TZVP predicted vertical excitation spectra conformer C (no H-type aggregation) in DCM (cosmo).

| Exc. | excitation energy / eV | wavelength (nm) | oscillator strength |
|------|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| 1    | 2.42                  | 513             | 0.11749           |
| 2    | 2.57                  | 483             | 0.73674           |
| 3    | 3.37                  | 368             | 0.02782           |
| 4    | 3.39                  | 366             | 0.03303           |
Predicted CD spectra

Table S13: TD-ωB97x/def2-TZVPP predicted circular dichroism spectra for the MM and PP isomers of conformer A in toluene (cosmo).

| Excitation energy / eV (wavelength / nm) | Rotary strength / 10^-40 erg cm^3 |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
|                                         | MM      | PP      |
| 1                                       | 2.33 (533) | -223.26  | 223.35  |
| 2                                       | 2.60 (477) | 292.31   | -292.47 |
| 3                                       | 3.11 (398) | 5.17     | -5.08   |
| 4                                       | 3.16 (392) | -0.02    | 0.03    |
| 5                                       | 3.62 (342) | 0.00     | 0.00    |
| 6                                       | 3.66 (339) | 87.61    | -87.62  |
| 7                                       | 3.78 (328) | -91.76   | 91.80   |
| 8                                       | 3.81 (326) | -136.50  | 136.45  |

The predicted CD spectra of each enantiomer of homochiral conformer A (MM and PP) were used to assign the experimental CD spectra of macrocycle 1a.
c) Predicted $^1$H NMR spectroscopy chemical shifts

$^1$H NMR spectroscopy chemical shifts of DFT optimised conformers were predicted using the Gauge-Including Atomic Orbitals method$^{42}$ and PBE-D4/def2-TZVP for structures optimised with the same functional and basis-set combination. The PDI protons $H_{a-c}$ were chosen because they are informative of PDI–PDI $\pi$–$\pi$ stacking interactions.

The calculated $^1$H NMR spectrum of conformer A (H-type aggregate) shows a good agreement with the $^1$H NMR spectrum measured in toluene-$d_8$ (Table S14), and a poor agreement with the spectrum measured in TCE-$d_2$ (Table S15). Instead, the calculated spectrum for conformer C (no H-type aggregation) shows a good agreement with the spectrum measured in TCE-$d_2$ (Table S16).

![Macrocycle 1a](image)

Table S14: Calculated (conformer A of macrocycle 1) and measured (macrocycle 1a, 373 K) $^1$H NMR spectroscopy chemical shifts of PDI protons $H_{a-c}$ in toluene-$d_8$ (relative to TMS), showing good agreement.

| Proton | Calculated $\delta$ in toluene (ppm) | Measured $\delta$ in toluene-$d_8$ (ppm) | $|\Delta\delta|$ |
|--------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------|
| a      | 8.0                                 | 8.1                                    | 0.1           |
| b      | 7.7                                 | 7.6                                    | 0.1           |
| c      | 5.7                                 | 5.7                                    | 0             |

Table S15: Calculated (conformer A of macrocycle 1) and measured (macrocycle 1a, 373 K) $^1$H NMR spectroscopy chemical shifts of PDI protons $H_{a-c}$ in chlorinated solvent (relative to TMS), showing poor agreement.

| Proton | Calculated $\delta$ in CHCl$_3$ (ppm) | Measured $\delta$ in TCE-$d_2$ (ppm) | $|\Delta\delta|$ |
|--------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------|
| a      | 8.0                                  | 8.6                                   | 0.6           |
| b      | 7.8                                  | 8.2                                   | 0.4           |
| c      | 5.7                                  | 7.7                                   | 2.0           |

Table S16: Calculated (conformer C of macrocycle 1) and measured (macrocycle 1a, 373 K) $^1$H NMR spectroscopy chemical shifts of PDI protons $H_{a-c}$ in chlorinated solvent (relative to TMS), showing good agreement.

| Proton | Calculated $\delta$ in CHCl$_3$ (ppm) | Measured $\delta$ in TCE-$d_2$ (ppm) | $|\Delta\delta|$ |
|--------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------|
| a      | 8.8                                  | 8.6                                   | 0.2           |
| b      | 8.2                                  | 8.2                                   | 0             |
| c      | 8.3                                  | 7.7                                   | 0.6           |
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