Memory performances and personality traits in mothers of children with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome

Daniela Smirni1,e
Marco Carotenuto2,e
Francesco Precenzano2
Pietro Smirni3
Francesca Felicia Operto4
Rosa Marotta5
Michele Roccella1

1Department of Psychology, Educational Science and Human Movement, University of Palermo, Palermo, Italy; 2Clinic of Child and Adolescent Neuropsychiatry, Department of Mental Health, Physical and Preventive Medicine, Università Degli Studi Della Campania “Luigi Vanvitelli”, Naples, Italy; 3Department of Educational Sciences, University of Catania, Catania, Italy; 4Child Neuropsychiatry Unit, Department of Basic Medical Sciences, Neuroscience and Sense Organs, University of Bari Aldo Moro, Bari, Italy; 5Department of Health Sciences, University “Magna Graecia”, Catanzaro, Italy

*eThese authors contributed equally to this work

Introduction

Chronic diseases in pediatric age, including respiratory problems, have been identified as stressful risk factors for parents. Obstructive sleep apnea syndrome (OSAS) in children is a disorder of breathing during sleep characterized by repeated, temporary episodes of partial or complete upper airway obstruction, disrupting nocturnal ventilation and sleep patterns. Two features of this childhood respiratory disease seem to be crucial: unpredictability and comorbidity. Crisis occurs during sleep in a sudden, unpredictable, uncontrollable manner, and OSAS may be associated with several comorbidities. Neurocognitive and cardiovascular complications, obesity, daytime sleepiness, hypertension, impaired growth, and learning and behavioral problems, as well as attention, executive functions, and memory difficulties have been documented in children with OSAS. Abnormal neuronal metabolites were detected in the

Background: Chronic diseases in pediatric age have been identified as stressful risk factors for parents. Studies on caregivers have documented the impact of chronic parenting stress on emotion and cognition.

Aim: To investigate the differences between a group of mothers of children affected by obstructive sleep apnea syndrome (OSAS) for at least 4 years and a group of mothers of typically developing children (TDC) in relation to parental stress, self-esteem, locus of control, and memory performances.

Methods: A group of 86 mothers (mean age 35.6±4.9, ranged between 32 and 41 years) of children with OSAS diagnosis, and a group of 52 mothers of TDC (mean age 35.9±4.2, ranged between 32 and 41 years) participated in the study. All participants were administered stress level, global self-esteem, internal/external locus of control scales, and memory assessment.

Results: Mothers of OSAS children, compared to mothers of TDC, had a significantly higher level of stress, lower self-esteem, more external locus of control and poorer memory performance.

Conclusions: The child respiratory disease, with its sudden and unpredictable features, appeared as a significant source of stress for the mother. Such stress condition may have an impact on mothers’ personality traits (self-esteem, locus of control) and on their memory performances. The data have suggested a need for psychological support programs for mothers to better manage stress associated with children’s respiratory disease.
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It has been hypothesized that untreated childhood OSAS could permanently impact on the cognitive development. In addition, a higher incidence of mortality, compared to controls, was found.³

OSAS in children appears as a complex pathological condition. Especially since the interruption of breathing can be easily associated with the idea of sudden death. Therefore, its management can be a highly stressful event and become particularly demanding for the family.¹²–¹⁴

Nevertheless, to the best of our knowledge, there are few studies on the impact of childhood OSAS on parenting stress. However, several studies on parents of children with chronic or degenerative diseases have focused on the effects of parental stress in cognitive and emotional functioning.⁵¹–⁵² showing that parenting a child with developmental problems, or a pathological condition, can be a significant source of parental stress.¹⁷–²¹

According to the learned helplessness stress model, OSAS can be viewed as an uncontrollable and unpredictable aversive event.²² Any respiratory crisis can become an “independent” event that occurs outside of parent’s control who experience every time a high helplessness’ condition.²³ At the occurrence of every crisis, the mother still lives another stressful experience of helplessness that can decrease self-confidence in coping with stressors. A positive self-concept has been shown as an important stress-moderating factor promoting confidence in one’s ability to cope with stress and an internally oriented locus of control.²⁴ Moreover, in line with the cumulative stress hypothesis,²⁶ a continuous activation of the stress response systems, over time, would increase the individual’s vulnerability to stress-related pathologies and the stress effects on cognitive processes.³²,³³

Stressors, such as the physical and psychological problems related to the caring for a child with chronic respiratory disease, may activate an excessive production of glucocorticoids and a deregulation of cortisol release. Given its high density of glucocorticoid receptors, the hippocampus appears as a structure particularly involved in stress responses and in cumulative exposure to high levels of cortisol that could have lasting effects on cognitive processes over time.³²,³³,³⁵

Self-esteem shows how the individual considers himself, whereas locus of control refers to the belief of being able to control one’s behavior (internal locus of control) or to attribute one’s own outcomes to external factors, fatality or chance (external locus of control). Low self-esteem and external control can lead to feelings of helplessness and increasing vulnerability to stress and disease.²⁶ In healthy elderly subjects, low self-esteem and low internal locus of control would be associated with smaller hippocampal volume and signs of cortisol deregulation.³³ Subjects with low self-esteem and low internal locus of control showed a significant cortisol reaction³⁶ and continuous high cortisol responses to stress situations.³⁷

Conversely, good self-esteem promotes greater confidence in one’s ability to cope with stress and a more internally oriented locus of control.³⁸ A positive self-image can promote successful aging, greater overall health and cognitive functioning, and more effective autonomy and independence.³⁹ In neuroimaging studies and volumetric analysis of the medial temporal lobe, self-esteem and locus of control were significantly correlated to hippocampal volumes.⁴⁰ Moreover, an association between chronic stress and reduced hippocampal volume has been reported.³³,⁴¹,⁴²

In this background, the current study aimed to investigate parental stress, self-esteem, locus of control and memory performance in a group of mothers of children affected by OSAS for at least 4 years, compared to a group of mothers of typically developing children (TDC). In literature, the correlations between stress, self-esteem, and locus of control and between stress and memory have been widely documented.³³,³⁵,⁴⁰,⁴³ The current study novelty is that it refers to issues that are important for therapeutic practice dealing with a very particular stress condition: stress management and memory functioning in mothers of OSAS children. The hypothesis was that OSAS mothers would be more stressed than the TDC mothers and would show a lower self-esteem, an external locus of control and lower hippocampal performance.

**Materials and methods**

**Study population**

The study sample included 86 mothers (mean age 35.6 ±4.9, ranged between 32 and 41 years) of children diagnosed with OSAS for at least 4 years and referred to the Chair of Child Neuropsychiatry at University of Palermo, and a group of 52 mothers of TDC (mean age 35.9±4.2, ranged between 32 and 41 years). All participants were from the same urban area, Caucasian, Italian speakers, middle socioeconomic status (average monthly remuneration defined “middle” according to the Italian Statistic Institute tables), education between 8 and 18
my child generally wakes up in a bad mood. In the Digit Span and Block, a subscale refers to the parent’s perception that one’s outcomes may be determined by one’s actions or are controlled by external factors. The scale consists of 10 items. For each statement, the subject was invited to express agreement, full agreement, disagreement, full disagreement. Each answer is given a score from 0 to 3. The scale ranges from 0 to 30 scores. The lower the score, the lower the level of self-esteem.

The Rosenberg Self-esteem Scale was administered to assess internal and external locus of control. It shows the perception that one’s outcomes may be determined by one’s actions or are controlled by external factors. The scale is a 29-item forced-choice test, including six filler items. The subject was asked to select the statement that he agrees with the most. One point was scored when a statement showing an external locus of control was selected. The higher the score, the more external the locus of control.

Memory was assessed using Digit span and, Corsi Block Tapping Test assessing verbal and non-verbal short-term memory. Recognition memory was assessed using the recognition memory test (RMT) for words, faces, and buildings. In the Digit Span and Block, Tapping Test participants required repeating strings of numbers or blocks of increasing length. The total score was the longest sequence of digits or blocks the participant was able to repeat forward (short-term memory) or backward (working memory). RMT is a set of three forced-choice recognition tasks, matched for difficulty, providing assessment for verbal (words), non-verbal (faces), and topographical (buildings) recognition memory. Each of the three tests contains 30 items. The total score was the sum of the correctly recognized items.

Statistical analysis
The mean scores for PSI-SF total score and its subscales, global self-esteem measurements, internal/external locus of control scales, and memory assessment, were computed. The Independent samples t-test was applied to compare the psychological and neuropsychological

(mean=12.7±4.1). All mothers cohabited with the children’s father. Children OSAS diagnosis was made by a trained neuropsychiatry (MC, MR) using the standard criteria for manual visual scoring to identify respiratory and desaturation events. All participants underwent a level of stress evaluation, and, in addition, a global self-esteem, internal/external locus of control and memory assessment. All participants provided a written informed consent. The Departmental Ethics Committee at the Second University of Naples approved the study.

Psychological and memory assessment
To assess mothers’ stress, the Italian version of the Parenting Stress Index-Short Form (PSI-SF) was used. PSI-SF is a self-rating scale for screening the stress in the parent–child relationship. It consists of three subscales, 12 items for each, measuring three different domains: 1) stress related to parenting role; 2) parent–child dysfunctional interaction; 3) difficult child. 1) The subscale “stress related to the parental role” refers to the stress that parents experience as parents, such as of impaired parental abilities (“I feel trapped by my responsibilities as a parent”), relational difficulties with the partner (“having a child has caused more problems than I expected with partner”), poor social support (“I feel alone and without friends”), and depression (“there are quite a few things that bother me about my life”). 2) “Parent–child dysfunctional interaction” subscale refers to the parent’s perception of the child as reinforcing or unresponsive to his expectations: “my child rarely does things for me that make me feel good”, “my child smiles at me much less than I expected”, “my child is not able to do as much as I expected”. 3) “Difficult child” subscale refers to the child’s behavioral patterns that make him non-compliant and difficult to manage: “My child seems to cry or fuss more than other children” “my child generally wakes up in a bad mood” “my child’s sleeping or eating schedule was much harder to establish that I expected”. Mothers were asked to rate each item on a 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree) scale. The scores for each subscale range from 12 to 60, and the total score at the PSI-SF is given by the sum of the scores obtained in the three subscales. The higher the score, the greater the level of parental stress. Psychometric evidence supports PSI-SF reliability and validity. Internal reliability for the total stress score was 0.92 (Cronbach’s alpha). Reliability values for the individual subscales ranged from 0.80 to 0.87. Test–retest reliability was 0.84 for total stress score and ranged from 0.68 to 0.84 for the three subscales. The PSI-SF has been widely used, and its psychometric properties have been reported in parents of children with several chronic medical conditions, including a high-risk sample of mothers and their infants.

The Rosenberg Self-esteem Scale was administered to evaluate global self-esteem as general feelings of self-worth and self-acceptance. The scale consists of 10 items. For each statement, the subject was invited to express agreement, full agreement, full disagreement. Each answer is given a score from 0 to 3. The scale ranges from 0 to 30 scores. The lower the score, the lower the level of self-esteem.

Rotter’s Locus of Control Scale was administered to assess internal and external locus of control. It shows the perception that one’s outcomes may be determined by one’s actions or are controlled by external factors. The scale is a 29-item forced-choice test, including six filler items. The subject was asked to select the statement that he agrees with the most. One point was scored when a statement showing an external locus of control was selected. The higher the score, the more external the locus of control.

Memory was assessed using Digit span and, Corsi Block Tapping Test assessing verbal and non-verbal short-term memory. Recognition memory was assessed using the recognition memory test (RMT) for words, faces, and buildings. In the Digit Span and Block, Tapping Test participants required repeating strings of numbers or blocks of increasing length. The total score was the longest sequence of digits or blocks the participant was able to repeat forward (short-term memory) or backward (working memory). RMT is a set of three forced-choice recognition tasks, matched for difficulty, providing assessment for verbal (words), non-verbal (faces), and topographical (buildings) recognition memory. Each of the three tests contains 30 items. The total score was the sum of the correctly recognized items.
parameters between the two groups of mothers. In addition, the Cohen’s d was also computed to describe the strength of the effect sizes. A p-value ≤ 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant for correlation analyses. All data were coded and analyzed using the commercially available STATISTICA package for Windows (v 6.0; StatSoft Inc, Tulsa, OK, USA).

Results
When assessing parental stress, OSAS mothers scored higher on the total stress scale and its three subscales compared to TDC mothers. Differences were statistically significant in the Total Stress score, in the parent–child Interaction and in the Difficult Child subscales’ scores, strengthened by an effect size between medium and large in all three indices (Table 1). Additionally, OSAS mothers scored significantly lower on the Rosenberg Self-esteem scale and significantly higher on the external locus of control of the Rotter’s Locus of Control scale, with a very large effect size in both the scales (Table 1).

Table 2 shows means and standard deviations comparison between the two groups of mothers in memory assessment. OSAS mothers scored lower compared to TDC mothers on both short-term memory and RMTs administered. Significant differences between the two groups of mothers in the digit span memory test forward and in the Corsi block tapping test forward were found, with a medium effect size. In addition, in the backward trials of digit span memory test and the Corsi block tapping test, the differences were also significant with a medium effect size. The two groups of mothers showed significantly different performance in the RMT in all three modalities: words recognition, faces recognition, and buildings recognition. The effect sizes were medium in all three RMTs.

Discussion
This study investigated parental stress, self-esteem, locus of control and memory performances in a group of mothers of children affected by OSAS, for at least 4 years, compared to a group of mothers of TDC.

OSAS mother’s group appeared more stressed than mothers of typical developing children. According to the methodology of the PSI-SF, parenting stress would be related to the parent’s response to the parental role as such, the characteristics of the child, and the individual parent–child interaction. In the current study, the differences between the two groups were significantly higher in the total stress scores and in the two subscales that highlight problematic child’s behavior, and the parent–child interaction. Whereas, in the parental distress subscale, the differences between the two groups were lower. What OSAS mothers perceived as a major source of stress is to be a mother of difficult child, than to be a mother as such (eg, “my child is not able to do as much as I expected” or “my child smiles at me much less than I expected”). Mothers of OSAS children seem significantly more disappointed, dissatisfied by the critical aspects of a problematic child, than TDC mothers (“my child turned out to be a bigger problem than I expected” or “my child asks me more than most children”). The OSAS type respiratory disorder is characterized by sudden and unpredictable crises and by a series of numerous comorbidities associated with breathing difficulties and sleep disturbances. Therefore, as expected, its management may become a significant source of stress for the family, especially for the mother as a primary caregiver. These findings are consistent with the hypothesis that the management of a problematic child can be a significant stressor rather than the condition of mother as such, in line with literature data documenting stress in parents with problematic, disabled, autistic children.  

Table 1 Means (M) and standard deviations (SD) comparison between the two groups of mothers in the Parenting Stress Index-Short Form (PSI-SF) and its subscales, in the Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale (RSE) and in the Rotter’s Locus of Control Scale (RLC)

| Scale                  | OSAS mothers | TDC mothers | t     | df=136 | p      | Cohen’s d |
|------------------------|--------------|-------------|-------|--------|--------|-----------|
| Stress total score     | M 81.24      | M 66.11     | 3.83  | 0.002  | 0.68   |
| Parental stress        | SD 22.82     | SD 22.44    | 0.26  | 0.791  | 0.05   |
| Parent–child interaction| M 22.99    | M 22.44     | 0.06  | 0.999  | 0.47   |
| Difficult child        | SD 12.02     | SD 11.45    | 0.42  | 0.001  | 0.74   |
| Self-esteem            | M 26.95      | M 20.68     | 2.65  | 0.009  | 0.47   |
| Locus of control       | SD 14.14     | SD 12.19    | 2.65  | 0.009  | 0.47   |

Abbreviations: OSAS, Obstructive Sleep Apnea Syndrome; TDC, typically developing children.
Several studies have shown a relationship between stress, behavior, emotion, and cognition. A recent study associated low self-esteem, a predictor of greater stress reactivity, to reduced hippocampal volume. In the current study, as expected, OSAS mothers showed a lower self-esteem level and a more externalized locus of control, whereas mothers of TDC showed higher self-esteem and a lower external locus of control. Self-esteem and locus of control may be a crucial expression of “self-efficacy” defined as “people’s beliefs about their capabilities to exercise control over events that control their lives.” A low self-esteem leads to an affective state that does not allow recovering internal resources consistent with the complexity of everyday life, and may have effects both on behavior and cognition. High stress and low self-esteem do not allow to focus on one’s own abilities to coping and solving daily problems. This finding is consistent with studies providing evidences that parents of children with intellectual disabilities or personality and behavioral problems showed higher parenting stress and low self-esteem do not allow to focus on one’s own abilities to coping and solving daily problems. This finding is consistent with studies providing evidences that parents of children with intellectual disabilities or personality and behavioral problems showed higher parenting stress and low self-esteem. 

In addition, in the neurocognitive domain, OSAS mother showed lower memory performance than TDC mothers. Both in verbal and non-verbal short term and working memory and in recognition, verbal, non-verbal, and topographical memory, worse performances were found in mothers of OSAS children. It can be hypothesized that the continuous and unpredictable nocturnal respiratory crises, the sleep deprivation and the persistent hypervigilance may be very significant stressors for the OSAS mothers. Therefore, OSAS mothers’ poorer memory performance may be considered as a consequence of a persistent exposure to stress hormones, in agreement with several human and animal studies showing that uncontrollable stress causes a complex neuroendocrine response and it has an impact on the brain structures involved in attention maintenance, and in many memory tasks, such as working memory and memory retrieval.

In clinical practice, it should be highlighted that the effect of persistent stress of OSAS mothers could have long-term negative consequences on the organization of the brain that will emerge later in life, supporting the need for psychological training to help mothers to manage the stressful event.

Some limitations of this study need to be highlighted. The first limitation was to have only investigated the performance of the mothers and not to have included investigations on the fathers. Further research, including measuring fathers’ performance, should extend observations on how stress is managed by the whole family. Second limitation of the study was to use a generic parental stress scale that is not specific to evaluate the impact of respiratory pathologies and its implications on the mother’s stress reaction. Although, the parental stress scale proposed can probably recall in mothers the physical and psychological difficulties related to the child’s disease (disturbed sleep, anxiety, fears, helplessness), more specific assessment tools could provide more focused evidences.

In sum, further research is needed for a broader understanding of the biopsychological and neuropsychological mechanisms related to the parental stress and neurocognitive performance in mothers of OSAS children. Data suggested the need for targeted psychological support programs for mothers of children with such complex respiratory pathology. These suggestions are consistent.
with studies showing that mothers of children with sleep or behavioral disorders have shown a more internal locus of control after successfully completed a parent-training program.21,64
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