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Abstract

We establish Extended Thermodynamics (ET) of rarefied polyatomic gases with six independent fields, i.e., the mass density, the velocity, the temperature and the dynamic pressure, without adopting the near-equilibrium approximation. The closure is accomplished by the Maximum Entropy Principle (MEP) adopting a distribution function that takes into account the internal degrees of freedom of a molecule. The distribution function is not necessarily near equilibrium. The result is in perfect agreement with the phenomenological ET theory. To my knowledge, this is the first example of molecular extended thermodynamics with a non-linear closure. The integrability condition of the moments requires that the dynamical pressure should be bounded from below and from above. In this domain the system is symmetric hyperbolic. Finally we verify the K-condition for this model and show the existence of global smooth solutions.

1. Introduction

Rational extended thermodynamics [1] (hereafter referred to as ET) is a thermodynamic theory that is applicable to nonequilibrium phenomena with steep gradients and rapid changes in space-time, which may be out of
local equilibrium. It is expressed by a symmetric hyperbolic system of field
equations with the convex entropy.

As ET has been strictly related to the kinetic theory with the closure
method of the hierarchy of moment equations, the applicability range of the
theory has been restricted within rarefied monatomic gases.

In the case of 13 moments, there are at least three different methods of
closure in the moment theory associated with the Boltzmann equation:

1. A phenomenological closure of ET by using the universal principles of
physics (entropy, relativity, and causality principles) to select admissible
constitutive equations [1, 2, 3]. We observe that, in this macroscopic
approach, densities, fluxes and productions are not related to any dis-
tribution function although, at the beginning, special balance laws are
suggested from the moment theory. Therefore the approach is at the
level of continuum mechanics.

2. A closure at the kinetic level proposed firstly by Grad in the case of 13
moments, which is based on a perturbative procedure of the distribution
function in terms of the Hermite polynomials [4].

3. A kinetic closure of ET by using the Maximum Entropy Principle (MEP)
[5, 6]. In this case, we recall that the fields are the moments of a distribu-
tion function. To distinguish this approach from the phenomenological
approach, we call this molecular ET. [6, 1].

It is very suitable and in some sense surprising that the three different clo-
sure methods give the same result in the case of the 13-moment theory for
monatomic gases [1] provided that the thermodynamic processes are not far
from equilibrium.

We here want to focus mainly on MEP and therefore we want to firstly
summarize the principle and its limitation. The MEP has its root in statisti-
cal mechanics and was developed by Jaynes in the context of the theory
of information related to the concept of the Shannon entropy [7, 8]. MEP
states that the probability distribution that represents the current state of
knowledge in the best way is the one with the largest entropy. Concern-
ing the applicability of MEP in nonequilibrium thermodynamics, this was
originally motivated by the similarity between the field equations in ET and
the moment equations, and later by the observation made by Kogan [9] that
Grad’s distribution function maximizes the entropy. The MEP was proposed in ET for the first time by Dreyer \[5\]. The MEP procedure was then generalized by Müller and Ruggeri to the case of any number of moments \[6\], and later proposed again and popularized by Levermore \[10\]. In molecular ET, the complete equivalence between the entropy principle and the MEP was proved by Boillat and Ruggeri \[11\]. Later MEP was formulated also in a quantum-mechanical context \[12, 13\].

As seen below, the truncated distribution function of MEP has the meaning also far from equilibrium provided that the integrals of the moments are convergent. The problem of the convergence of the moments is one of the main questions in the far-from-equilibrium case. In particular, the tensorial index of truncation of the moments \( N \) must be even \[11\]. This implies that the Grad theory (\( N=3 \)) with 13 moments is not allowed in a situation far from equilibrium! For this reason the truncated distribution function is formally expanded in the neighborhood of equilibrium as a perturbation of the Maxwellian distribution. If the expansion is truncated at the first order, the differential closed system of PDE’s is linear in the nonequilibrium variables like heat flux, shear stress and dynamical pressure (the system is still non-linear with respect to the equilibrium variables like density, velocity and temperature). This is a severe limitation because the hyperbolicity exists only in some small domain of the configuration space near equilibrium \[1, 14\]. In literature, there proposed an expansion up to the order greater than one (see \[15\]). But, in my knowledge, there is no explicit system of ET for monatomic gases that is valid completely far from equilibrium and is totally non-linear with respect to all variables.

Therefore the ET of monatomic gases, even though it has been very successful because of its excellent agreement with experimental data \[1\] like sound waves in high frequencies, light scattering, shock waves with moderate Mach numbers, suffers from two limitations: the theory is valid only for rarefied monatomic gas and for processes not far from equilibrium.

Precise modeling of polyatomic gases and of dense gases in nonequilibrium is an active and urgent issue nowadays with many important applications like the study of shock wave structure, which is essentially important, for example, for the atmospheric reentry problem of a space vehicle.

The first limitation has been overcome recently by Arima, Taniguchi, Ruggeri and Sugiyama \[16\] by constructing the 14-field ET theory for dense
gases including the case of polyatomic rarefied gases, which, in the limit of small relaxation times (parabolic limit) reduces to the Navier-Stokes-Fourier classical theory. The 14 variables are the typical macroscopic fields in a gas, i.e., the equilibrium variables: density $\rho$, temperature $T$, velocity $v \equiv (v_i)$, and the nonequilibrium ones: heat flux $q \equiv (q_i)$, shear viscous deviatoric (traceless) tensor $\sigma^D \equiv (\sigma_{<ij>})$ and the dynamic pressure (nonequilibrium pressure) $\Pi$. We recall that the stress tensor $t \equiv (t_{ij})$ for a fluid can be decomposed in an equilibrium isotropic part due to the equilibrium pressure $p$ and a viscous stress tensor that vanishes in equilibrium:

$$t_{ij} = -p\delta_{ij} + \sigma_{ij} = -(p + \Pi)\delta_{ij} + \sigma_{<ij>}.$$  

This new approach in the case of polyatomic rarefied gases is perfectly consistent with the kinetic theory in which the distribution function depends on an extra variable that takes into account the internal degrees of freedom of a molecule [17]. Both macroscopic and molecular approaches are, however, valid only in a neighborhood of the equilibrium state and therefore the differential system is non-linear in the equilibrium variables but linear in the nonequilibrium ones. We recall that the dynamic pressure is typical only for polyatomic gases because, in monatomic gases, it vanishes identically. In the parabolic case, the dynamic pressure is proportional to $\text{div}\, v$ and the proportionality factor is the bulk viscosity.

In [18], a simplified version of the 14-moment theory, that is, the ET6, where only the dynamical pressure is responsible for the dissipation, is proposed. This simplified theory preserves the main physical properties of the more complex theory of 14 variables, in particular, when the bulk viscosity plays more important role than the shear viscosity and the heat conductivity. This situation is observed in many gases such as rarefied hydrogen gases and carbon dioxide gases at some temperature ranges [19, 20, 21]. The theory is phenomenological and is valid near equilibrium. The main success of this simplified model is that the theory matches the classical theory of Meixner with one internal variables [22, 23]. In [24] fluctuating hydrodynamics based on ET6 was also presented.

On the basis of these models, the linear dispersion [20] and shock wave structure in ET14 [21], and ET6 [25] were analyzed and very good agreement with experiments was shown.
Concerning the second limitation, it was shown recently [26] that, in the case of 6 fields, it is possible to close the system using the macroscopic phenomenological ET without the assumption that the processes must be near equilibrium. The interested reader can find more details about the present state of art of ET in the new book [27].

The aim of this paper is to prove that, in the case of rarefied polyatomic gases, we can close the ET6 system using kinetic considerations and MEP also far from equilibrium and we will show that this non-linear closure matches completely the previous result obtained by using only the macroscopic method [26]. In this case, in fact, we can prove that as the truncation index is \( N = 2 \) like in the Euler case and the convergence of moments the closure is possible also with the non-linear distribution function without requiring expansion with respect to the equilibrium state. In the monatomic gas case, \( N = 2 \) corresponds only to the Euler fluid because the dynamic pressure is identically equal to zero. Therefore the presence of more degrees of freedom typical of polyatomic gases permits via dynamic pressure to obtain a theory far from equilibrium adding only a moment more than Euler. Of course in principle it is possible to construct a theory far from equilibrium also for monatomic gases but it is necessary at least up to an index of truncation \( N = 4 \). Furthermore the integrals are complex and it is not possible to invert analytically the Lagrange multipliers in terms of the density fields.

Finally we can prove that the differential system of ET6 is symmetric hyperbolic and satisfies the so-called Shizuta-Kawashima \( K \)-condition [28] and therefore the model belongs to the ones for which there exist well-known theorems [29, 30, 31, 32] that guarantee global smooth solutions provided that initial data are sufficiently small.

2. Rarefied Polyatomic Gas

A crucial step in the development of the kinetic theory of rarefied polyatomic gases was made by Borgnakke and Larsen [33]. It is assumed that the distribution function depends on, in addition to the velocity of particles \( \mathbf{c} \), a continuous variable \( I \) representing the energy of the internal modes of a molecule. This model was initially used for Monte Carlo simulations of
polyatomic gases, and later it has been applied to the derivation of the generalized Boltzmann equation by Bourgat, Desvillettes, Le Tallec and Perthame [34]. The distribution function $f(t, x, c, I)$ is defined on the extended domain $[0, \infty) \times R^3 \times R^3 \times [0, \infty)$. Its rate of change is determined by the Boltzmann equation which has the same form as in the case of monatomic gases:

$$\partial_t f + c_i \partial_i f = Q,$$

where the right-hand side, the collision term, describes the effect of collisions between molecules. The collision term $Q(f)$ now takes into account the existence of the internal degrees of freedom through the collisional cross section. Here $\partial_t = \partial/\partial t$ and $\partial_i = \partial/\partial x_i$.

The idea, firstly proposed at the macroscopic level by Arima, Taniguchi, Ruggeri and Sugiyama [16] and successively in the kinetic framework [17] for 14 moments and [35] for a generic number of moments, is to consider, instead of the typical single hierarchy of moments, a double hierarchy, i.e., an $F$-series at the index of truncation $N$ and a $G$-series at the index $M$: $(N, M)$-system given by

$$\partial_t F + \partial_t F_i = 0,$$
$$\partial_t F_{k_1} + \partial_t F_{ik_1} = 0,$$
$$\partial_t F_{k_1k_2} + \partial_t F_{ik_1k_2} = P_{k_1k_2}, \quad \partial_t G_{ll} + \partial_t G_{ill} = 0,$$
$$\vdots$$
$$\vdots$$
$$\partial_t F_{k_1k_2\ldots k_N} + \partial_t F_{ik_1k_2\ldots k_N} = P_{k_1k_2\ldots k_N},$$
$$\partial_t G_{llj_1} + \partial_t G_{illj_1} = Q_{llj_1},$$
$$\vdots$$
$$\partial_t G_{llj_1j_2\ldots j_M} + \partial_t G_{illj_1j_2\ldots j_M} = Q_{llj_1j_2\ldots j_M},$$

with

$$F_{k_1k_2\ldots k_p} = \int_{R^3} \int_{0}^{\infty} m f(t, x, c, I) c_{k_1} c_{k_2} \cdots c_{k_p} \varphi(I) \, dI \, dc, \quad (2.2)$$

$$G_{llk_1k_2\ldots k_q} = \int_{R^3} \int_{0}^{\infty} m f(t, x, c, I) \left( c^2 + 2 \frac{I}{m} \right) c_{k_1} c_{k_2} \cdots c_{k_q} \varphi(I) \, dI \, dc, \quad (2.3)$$

and $0 \leq p \leq N, 0 \leq q \leq M$ (when the index $p = 0$ we have $F$ and when $q = 0, G_{ll}$). The double hierarchy is composed of the traditional
velocity-moments \( F \)'s and the energy-moments \( G \)'s where the variable \( I \) of the internal modes plays a role. The connection between the index \( M \) and \( N \) is discussed in [35]. The non-negative measure \( \varphi(I) \, dI \) is introduced so as to recover the classical caloric equation of state for polyatomic gases in equilibrium. The functional form of \( \varphi \) will be given in the next section.

2.1. Equilibrium distribution function and the Euler system

Let us consider firstly the case of 5 moments corresponding to an Euler fluid. In this case, \( N = 1 \) and \( M = 0 \). The collision invariants in this model form a 5-vector (\( m \) is the atomic mass):

\[
m \left( 1, c_i, c^2 + 2 \frac{I}{m} \right)^T,
\]

which leads to hydrodynamic variables:

\[
\begin{pmatrix} F \\ F_i \\ G_i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \rho \\ \rho v_i \\ \rho v^2 + 2 \rho \varepsilon \end{pmatrix} = \int_{R^3} \int_0^\infty m \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ c_i \\ c^2 + 2I/m \end{pmatrix} f(t, x, c, I) \varphi(I) \, dI \, dc.
\]

The symbols are the usual ones and \( \varepsilon \) is the specific internal energy. The entropy (for non-degenerate gas) is defined by the relation (\( k_B \) is the Boltzmann constant):

\[
h = -k_B \int_{R^3} \int_0^\infty f \log f \varphi(I) \, dI \, dc.
\]

By introducing the peculiar velocity:

\[
C_i = c_i - v_i,
\]

we rewrite Eq. (2.5) as follows:

\[
\begin{pmatrix} \rho \\ 0_i \\ 2\rho \varepsilon \end{pmatrix} = \int_{R^3} \int_0^\infty m \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ C_i \\ C^2 + 2I/m \end{pmatrix} f(t, x, C, I) \varphi(I) \, dI \, dC.
\]

Note that the internal energy density can be divided into the translational
part \( \rho \varepsilon_{T} \) and the part of the internal degrees of freedom \( \rho \varepsilon_{I} \):

\[
\rho \varepsilon_{T} = \int_{R^{3}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} m C^{2} f(t, x, C, I) \varphi(I) dI dC, \\
\rho \varepsilon_{I} = \int_{R^{3}} \int_{0}^{\infty} I f(t, x, C, I) \varphi(I) dI dC.
\]

(2.9)

The energy \( \rho \varepsilon_{T} \) is related to the kinetic temperature \( T \):

\[
\varepsilon_{T} = \frac{3}{2} k_{B} T.
\]

(2.10)

The weighting function \( \varphi(I) \) is determined in such a way that it recovers
the caloric equation of state for polyatomic gases. If \( D \) is the degrees of
freedom of a molecule, it can be shown that the relation \( \varphi(I) = I^{\alpha} \) leads to
the appropriate caloric equation of state:

\[
\varepsilon = \frac{D}{2} \frac{k_{B} T}{m}, \\
\alpha = \frac{D - 5}{2},
\]

(2.11)

\( D = 3 \) for monatomic gas and \( D > 3 \) for the polyatomic ones).

The maximum entropy principle is expressed in terms of the follow-
ing variational problem: determine the distribution function
\( f(t, x, C, I) \) such that \( h \rightarrow \max \), under the constraints (2.5), or equivalently, due to the
Galilean invariance, under the constraints (2.8). The result due to Pavic,
Ruggeri and Simić [17] is summarized as follows:

**Theorem 1.** The distribution function that maximizes the entropy (2.6)
under the constraints (2.8) has the form:

\[
f_{E} = \frac{\rho}{m (k_{B} T)^{1+\alpha} \Gamma(1 + \alpha)} \left( \frac{m}{2 \pi k_{B} T} \right)^{3/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{k_{B} T} \left( \frac{1}{2} m C^{2} + I \right) \right\},
\]

where \( \Gamma \) is the Gamma function.

(2.12)

This is the generalized Maxwell distribution function for polyatomic
gases. In [17], the following theorem was also proved:

**Theorem 2.** If (2.12) is the local equilibrium distribution function with
\( \rho \equiv \rho(t, x) \), \( v \equiv v(t, x) \) and \( T \equiv T(t, x) \), then the hydrodynamic variables \( \rho \),
and $T$ satisfy the Euler system:

$$
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (\rho v_i) = 0,
$$

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho v_j) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (\rho v_i v_j + p \delta_{ij}) = 0,
$$

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \rho \varepsilon + \rho \frac{v^2}{2} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left\{ \left( \rho \varepsilon + \rho \frac{v^2}{2} + p \right) v_i \right\} = 0
$$

with

$$p = \frac{k_B m}{2} \rho T, \quad \varepsilon = \frac{D k_B}{2 m} T.$$

This is an important result because we can obtain the Euler equations from the kinetic equation for any kind of polyatomic gases as well as monatomic gases.

3. The 6 Moment-Equations for Polyatomic Gases

The 14-field theory, $N = 2$ and $M = 1$, gives us a complete phenomenological model but its differential system is rather complex and the closure is in any way limited to a theory near equilibrium. Let us consider now a simplified theory with 6 fields (referred to as the ET6 theory): the mass density $\rho$, the velocity $\mathbf{v}$, the temperature $T$, and the dynamic (nonequilibrium) pressure $\Pi$. As was observed in the introduction this simplified theory preserves the main physical properties of the more complex theory of 14 variables, in particular, when the bulk viscosity plays more important role than the shear viscosity and the heat conductivity. ET6 has another advantage to offer us a more affordable hyperbolic partial differential system. In fact, it is the simplest system that takes into account a dissipation mechanism after the Euler system of perfect fluids. In the present case we have:

$$
\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial F_k}{\partial x_k} = 0,
$$

$$
\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial F_{ik}}{\partial x_k} = 0,
$$

$$
\frac{\partial F_{il}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial F_{ilk}}{\partial x_k} = P_{li}, \quad \frac{\partial G_{ll}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial G_{llk}}{\partial x_k} = 0
$$
with

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
F \\
F_i \\
F_{ll}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
\rho \\
\rho v_i \\
\rho v^2 + 3(p + \Pi)
\end{pmatrix} = \int_{R^3} \int_{0}^{\infty} m \begin{pmatrix}
1 \\
c_i \\
c^2
\end{pmatrix} f I^\alpha dI dc \tag{3.2}
\]

and

\[
G_{ll} = \rho v^2 + 2\rho \varepsilon = \int_{R^3} \int_{0}^{\infty} m (c^2 + 2I/m) f I^\alpha dI dc. \tag{3.3}
\]

### 3.1. Nonequilibrium distribution function

We want to prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 3.** The distribution function that maximizes the entropy (2.6) under the constraints (3.2) (3.3) has the form:

\[
f = \frac{\rho}{m (k_B T)^{1+\alpha} \Gamma(1+\alpha)} \left( \frac{m}{2\pi k_B T} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\Pi}{p}} \right)^{3/2} \left( \frac{1}{1 - \frac{3}{2(1+\alpha)} \frac{\Pi}{p}} \right)^{1+\alpha} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{k_B T} \left( \frac{1}{2} mc^2 \left( \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\Pi}{p}} \right) + I \left( \frac{1}{1 - \frac{3}{2(1+\alpha)} \frac{\Pi}{p}} \right) \right) \right\}. \tag{3.4}
\]

All the moments are convergent and \( f \) is positive provided that

\[-1 < \frac{\Pi}{p} < \frac{2}{3}(1+\alpha), \quad \alpha > -1. \tag{3.5}\]

**Proof.** The proof of the theorem is accomplished with the use of the Lagrange multiplier method. Introducing the vector of the multipliers \((\lambda, \lambda_i, \lambda_{ll}, \mu_{ll})\), we define the functional:

\[
\mathcal{L} = -\int_{R^3} \int_{0}^{\infty} k_B f \log f I^\alpha dI dc + \lambda \left( \rho - \int_{R^3} \int_{0}^{\infty} m f I^\alpha dI dc \right) + \lambda_i \left( \rho v_i - \int_{R^3} \int_{0}^{\infty} m f c_i I^\alpha dI dc \right) + \lambda_{ll} \left( \rho v^2 + 3(p + \Pi) - \int_{R^3} \int_{0}^{\infty} m c^2 f I^\alpha dI dc \right) + \mu_{ll} \left( \rho v^2 + 2\rho \varepsilon - \int_{R^3} \int_{0}^{\infty} m \left( c^2 + 2\frac{I}{m} \right) f I^\alpha dI dc \right).
\]
As this is a functional of the distribution function $f$ and we want to maximize it with respect to $f$ with the given macroscopic quantities, this functional can be substituted by the following one:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\int_{R^3} \int_0^\infty k_B f \log f \, I^\alpha \, dI \, dc - \lambda \int_{R^3} \int_0^\infty m f \, I^\alpha \, dI \, dc - \lambda_i \int_{R^3} \int_0^\infty m f c_i \, I^\alpha \, dI \, dc - \lambda_{ll} \int_{R^3} \int_0^\infty m c^2 f \, I^\alpha \, dI \, dc - \mu_{ll} \int_{R^3} \int_0^\infty m \left( c^2 + \frac{2 I_m}{m} \right) f \, I^\alpha \, dI \, dc.$$  (3.6)

Since $\mathcal{L}$ is a scalar, it must retain the same value in the case of zero hydrodynamic velocity $\mathbf{v} = 0$ due to the Galilean invariance. Therefore:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\int_{R^3} \int_0^\infty k_B f \log f \, I^\alpha \, dI \, d\mathbf{C} - \hat{\lambda} \int_{R^3} \int_0^\infty m f \, I^\alpha \, dI \, d\mathbf{C} - \hat{\lambda}_i \int_{R^3} \int_0^\infty m f c_i \, I^\alpha \, dI \, d\mathbf{C} - \hat{\lambda}_{ll} \int_{R^3} \int_0^\infty m c^2 f \, I^\alpha \, dI \, d\mathbf{C} - \hat{\mu}_{ll} \int_{R^3} \int_0^\infty m \left( C^2 + 2 \frac{I_m}{m} \right) f \, I^\alpha \, dI \, d\mathbf{C}.$$  (3.7)

Comparison between (3.6) and (3.7) yields the relations between the Lagrange multipliers and the corresponding zero-velocity Lagrange multipliers indicated by hat:

$$\lambda = \hat{\lambda} - \hat{\lambda}_i v_i + (\hat{\lambda}_{ll} + \hat{\mu}_{ll}) v_i^2; \quad \lambda_i = \hat{\lambda}_i - 2(\hat{\lambda}_{ll} + \hat{\mu}_{ll}) v_i; \quad \lambda_{ll} = \hat{\lambda}_{ll}; \quad \mu_{ll} = \hat{\mu}_{ll},$$  (3.8)

which dictate the velocity dependence of the Lagrange multipliers. We notice that these relations are in accordance with the general results of the Galilean invariance [36]. The Euler-Lagrange equation $\delta \mathcal{L} / \delta f = 0$ leads to the following form of the distribution function:

$$f = \exp^{-1 - \frac{m}{k_B} \chi},$$  (3.9)

where

$$\chi = \hat{\lambda} + \hat{\lambda}_i C_i + \hat{\lambda}_{ll} C^2 + \hat{\mu}_{ll} \left( C^2 + 2 \frac{I_m}{m} \right).$$

By introducing the following variables:

$$\xi = \frac{m}{k_B} (\hat{\lambda}_{ll} + \hat{\mu}_{ll}), \quad \eta_i = \frac{m}{k_B} \hat{\lambda}_i, \quad \zeta = \frac{2}{k_B} \hat{\mu}_{ll}, \quad \Omega = \exp \left( -1 - \frac{m}{k_B} \hat{\lambda} \right),$$  (3.10)
the distribution function can be rewritten as
\[ f = \Omega e^{-\zeta I} e^{-\xi C^2 - \eta_i C_i}. \] (3.11)

Inserting (3.11) into the second equation of (3.2) evaluated at the zero velocity, we obtain immediately \( \eta_i = 0 \). Then the remaining equations of (3.2) and (3.3) evaluated for \( v = 0 \) become
\[
\rho = \int_{R^3} \int_0^\infty m f \alpha dI dC = m \pi^{3/2} \Gamma(1 + \alpha) \frac{\Omega \xi^{3/2} \zeta^{1+\alpha}}{\zeta},
\]
\[
p + \Pi = \frac{1}{3} \int_{R^3} \int_0^\infty m f C^2 \alpha dI dC = m \pi^{3/2} \Gamma(1 + \alpha) \frac{\Omega \zeta^{5/2} \xi^{1+\alpha}}{2 \zeta}, \quad (3.12)
\]
\[
\rho \varepsilon = \int_{R^3} \int_0^\infty m f \left( \frac{C^2}{2} + \frac{I}{m} \right) \alpha dI dC =
\]
\[ = m \pi^{3/2} \Gamma(1 + \alpha) \frac{\Omega \xi^{5/2} \zeta^{1+\alpha}}{4 \zeta} \left( 3 + \frac{4}{m}(1 + \alpha) \frac{\zeta}{\xi} \right). \]

From the integrability condition, we have
\[
\zeta > 0, \quad \xi > 0, \quad \alpha > -1. \quad (3.13)
\]

From (3.12) and (2.11) we obtain
\[
\varepsilon = \frac{1}{4 \xi} \left( 3 + \frac{2}{m}(D - 3) \frac{\xi}{\zeta} \right),
\]
\[
p = \frac{m}{2D} \pi^{3/2} \Gamma \left( \frac{D - 3}{2} \right) \frac{\Omega \xi^{5/2} \zeta^{D-3}}{\xi^{D-3}} \left( \frac{D - 3}{2} \xi \right) = m \pi^{3/2} \Gamma(1 + \alpha) \frac{\Omega \xi^{5/2} \zeta^{1+\alpha}}{2 \zeta}, \quad (3.14)
\]
\[
\Pi = \frac{m}{2} \pi^{3/2} \Gamma \left( \frac{D - 3}{2} \right) \frac{D - 3}{D} \frac{1 - \frac{2}{m} \frac{\xi}{\zeta}}{\xi^{5/2} \zeta^{D-3} \Omega}. \]

We can invert these relations as follows:
\[
\xi = \frac{\rho}{2 p} \frac{1}{1 + \Pi / p},
\]
\[
\zeta = \frac{\rho}{m} \frac{(D - 3)}{2 \rho \varepsilon - 3(p + \Pi)} = \frac{\rho}{mp} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{3}{D-3} \frac{\Pi}{p}}, \quad \alpha = -1.
\]
\[
\Omega = \frac{m \pi^{3/2} \Gamma \left( \frac{D - 3}{2} \right)}{(2 p \frac{1}{\Pi} + \Pi)} \left( \frac{\rho}{2 p} \frac{1}{1 + \Pi / p} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \left( \frac{\rho}{mp} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{3}{D-3} \frac{\Pi}{p}} \right)^{\frac{D-3}{2}}.
\]

(3.15)
The integrability conditions (3.13) imply that, for a bounded solution, the ratio \( Z = \Pi/p \) must satisfy

\[
-1 < Z < \frac{D - 3}{3},
\]  

(3.16)

Inserting (3.15) into the distribution function (3.11), we obtain (3.4) and the proof is completed. When \( \Pi \to 0 \) the (3.4) becomes the equilibrium distribution function (2.12).

3.2. Closure and Field equations

Substituting (3.4) into the fluxes \( F_{llk}, G_{llk} \) and into the production term \( P_l \) of (3.1), we obtain after some calculations

\[
F_{ik} = \int_{R^3} \int_0^\infty mc_i c_k f I^\alpha dI dc = \rho v_i v_k + (p + \Pi) \delta_{ik},
\]

\[
F_{llk} = \int_{R^3} \int_0^\infty mc^2 c_k f I^\alpha dI dc = (5(p + \Pi) + \rho v^2) v_k,
\]

\[
G_{llk} = \int_{R^3} \int_0^\infty m \left( c^2 + \frac{2I}{m} \right) c_k f I^\alpha dI dc = (\rho v^2 + 2\rho \varepsilon + 2p + 2\Pi) v_k,
\]

\[
P_l = \hat{P}_l = \int_{R^3} \int_0^\infty mC^2 Q(f) I^\alpha dI dC.
\]

(3.17)

From the balance equations of momentum and of energy in continuum mechanics, we know that

\[
F_{ik} = \rho v_i v_k - t_{ik}, \quad G_{llk} = (\rho v^2 + 2\rho \varepsilon) v_k - 2t_{ik} v_i + 2q_k,
\]

where the stress tensor have the expression given in (1.1). Comparing with the closure (3.17) (1,3), we conclude that the closure gives a result that in the 6-moment theory \( \sigma_{<ik>} = 0 \) and \( q_k = 0 \). This is the expected result that there exist no shear viscosity and no heat conductivity in the 6-moment theory. For what concerns (3.17) 2, taking into account the Galilean invariance with \( c_i = C_i + v_i \), we obtain the zero-velocity of \( F_{llk} \):

\[
\hat{F}_{llk} = \int_{R^3} \int_0^\infty mC^2 C_k f I^\alpha dI dC = 0.
\]
Concerning the production term (3.17), the main problem is that, in order to have explicit expression of the production, we need a model for the collision term, which is, in general, not easy to obtain in the case of polyatomic gases. With (3.17) we obtain the differential system of 6 moments:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (\rho v_i) &= 0, \\
\frac{\partial \rho v_j}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} [(p + \Pi) \delta_{ij} + \rho v_i v_j] &= 0, \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (2\rho \varepsilon + \rho v^2) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left\{ \left[ 2(p + \Pi) + 2\rho \varepsilon + \rho v^2 \right] v_i \right\} &= 0, \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} [3(p + \Pi) + \rho v^2] + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left\{ \left[ 5(p + \Pi) + \rho v^2 \right] v_i \right\} &= \hat{P}_{ll}.
\end{align*}
\]

This system with the thermal and caloric equations of state (2.14) is a closed system for the 6 unknowns \((\rho, v_i, T, \Pi)\), provided that we know the collision term in (3.17). These results are in perfect agreement with the results derived from the phenomenological theory [26], where a possible expression of the production term \(\hat{P}_{ll}\) was deduced in terms of a relaxation time \(\tau\) as

\[
\hat{P}_{ll} = -\frac{3(D - 3)p^2 \Pi}{\{(D - 3)p - 3\Pi\}(p + \Pi)\tau},
\]

In the case of the BGK model we obtain \(\hat{P}_{ll} = -3\Pi/\tau\), which is the linearized version of the (3.19).

### 3.3. Entropy density

Let us study the entropy density \(h\) with non-linear distribution function:

\[
h = -k_B \int \int f \log f I^a dI dC = \frac{k_B}{m} \rho \left( \frac{D}{2} - \log \Omega \right),
\]

with \(\Omega\) given by (3.15). The equilibrium part of the entropy density \(h_E\) is expressed as

\[
h_E = \rho s = \frac{k_B}{m} \rho \left( \frac{D}{2} - \log \Omega_E \right).
\]

Moreover we may notice that the chemical potential \(g = \varepsilon + \frac{p}{\rho} - Ts\) is
expressed as
\[ \frac{g}{T} = \frac{k_B}{m} (1 + \log \Omega_E) . \] (3.21)

On the other hand, the non-equilibrium part of the entropy is expressed as
\[ k = \frac{1}{\rho} (h - h_E) = -\frac{k_B}{m} \log \frac{\Omega}{\Omega_E} . \] (3.22)

Since
\[ \frac{\Omega}{\Omega_E} = \left(1 + \frac{\Pi}{p}\right)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left(1 - \frac{3}{D - 3} \frac{\Pi}{p}\right)^{-\frac{D-3}{2}}, \] (3.23)
we obtain for \( k \) the following expression:
\[ k = \frac{k_B}{2m} \log \left[ \left(1 + \frac{\Pi}{p}\right)^3 \left(1 - \frac{3}{D - 3} \frac{\Pi}{p}\right)^{D-3} \right] . \] (3.24)

This expression also coincides with the one obtained by the phenomenological ET approach [26]. \( k \) depends on a single variable \( Z = \Pi/p \); for \( D > 3 \), \( k \) exists and is bounded in the domain that contains the equilibrium state given by the inequalities (3.16) in which \( k(Z) < 0, \forall Z \neq 0 \) and \( k \) has a global maximum \( k(0) = 0 \) at the equilibrium state. Therefore the convexity condition is satisfied and the ratio between the dynamical pressure and the equilibrium one \( \Pi/p \) satisfy the inequalities (3.16). According to the Theorem proved in [30], the entropy \( h \) has, as is expected, the maximum value at the equilibrium state where \( h = h_E = \rho s \).

We notice that the left-hand side of (3.18) is incidentally linear in \( \Pi \) without assuming any approximations. Instead the production term \( \hat{P}_l \) (3.19) and the nonequilibrium entropy \( k \) (3.24) are non-linear. Therefore the present theory is valid for any nonequilibrium processes as far as the continuum description is valid. In this case we have used the effective distribution function that maximizes the entropy (3.11) without the expansion around equilibrium. For this reason we call the present procedure non-linear MEP as shown in the title.
3.4. Main field and symmetric system

Taking into account (3.15), (3.10) and (3.8), we obtain the full expression of the Lagrange multipliers after some cumbersome calculations:

\[
\lambda = -\frac{g}{T} - \frac{k_B}{m} \log \frac{\Omega}{\Omega_E} + \frac{v^2}{2T} \left(1 + \frac{\Pi}{p}\right)^{-1},
\]

\[
\lambda_i = -\frac{v_i}{T} \left(1 + \frac{\Pi}{p}\right)^{-1},
\]

\[
\mu_{ll} = \frac{1}{2T} \left(1 - \frac{3}{D-3} \frac{\Pi}{p}\right)^{-1},
\]

\[
\lambda_{ll} = -\frac{1}{2T} \frac{D}{D-3} \frac{\Pi}{p} \left(1 + \frac{\Pi}{p}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{3}{D-3} \frac{\Pi}{p}\right)^{-1}.
\]

According to the general theory developed in [11] for monatomic gases and in [35] for polyatomic gases, the components of the Lagrange multipliers coincide with the components of the main field [37] for which the original system (3.18) becomes symmetric hyperbolic. Notice that, in equilibrium where \(\Pi = 0\), the first five components of the main field (3.25) coincide with those obtained by Godunov for the Euler fluid [38]:

\[
\lambda|_E = -\frac{1}{T} \left(g - \frac{v^2}{2}\right), \quad \lambda_i|_E = -\frac{v_i}{T}, \quad \mu_{ll}|_E = \frac{1}{2T},
\]

while \(\lambda_{ll}|_E = 0\) according to the fact that the Euler fluid is a principal subsystem of the 6-moment system [39]. In the reference [26], we proved that the system (3.18) can be equivalent to the one obtained many years ago by Meixner [22, 23] via the internal-variable procedure. In the same paper [26], it was also proved that, in the limit of monatomic gas \(D \to 3\), the system (3.18) converges to the Euler system provided that initial data are compatible with the case of monatomic gases, i.e., we should choose \(\Pi(0, x) = 0\).

We observe that, if we apply the so-called Maxwellian iteration [40], the last equation of (3.18) with the production given by the BGK approximation reduces to the Navier-Stokes equation in the absence of the shear stress [18, 24, 26]:

\[
\Pi = -\nu \text{div} v, \quad \text{with} \quad \nu = \frac{2}{3} \frac{D-3}{D} \rho \tau,
\]

\[\text{(3.26)}\]
where \( \nu \) is the bulk viscosity. The system (3.18) in which the last equation is replaced by (3.26) was studied by Secchi [41] and by Frid and Shelukhin [42, 43].

3.5. K-condition, acceleration waves, and global smooth solutions

We now want to prove that the so-called Shizuta-Kawashima K-condition [28] is satisfied by our differential system and therefore, according to the general theorems [29, 31, 30, 32], in contrast to Euler fluid, there exist global smooth solutions provided that initial data are sufficiently smooth. The system (3.18) is a particular case of a generic system of balance laws:

\[
\frac{\partial F_0(u)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial F^i(u)}{\partial x} = f(u). \tag{3.27}
\]

We recall that the system (3.27) satisfies the K-condition if, in the equilibrium manifold, any right characteristic eigenvectors \( d \) of (3.27) are not in the null space of \( \nabla f \), where \( \nabla \equiv \partial / \partial u \):

\[
\nabla f \ d|_E \neq 0 \quad \forall d. \tag{3.28}
\]

Lou and Ruggeri [44] noticed a connection between the K-condition and the global existence of acceleration waves and they propose a necessary weaker K-condition requiring (3.28) only for the right eigenvectors corresponding to genuine nonlinear waves.

For a quasi-linear hyperbolic system, it is possible to consider a particular class of solutions that characterizes the so-called weak discontinuity waves or, in the language of continuum mechanics, acceleration waves. Let us study a moving surface (wave front) \( \Gamma \) prescribed by the Cartesian equation \( \phi(x, t) = 0 \) that separates the space into two subspaces. Ahead of the wave front we have a known unperturbed field \( u_0(x, t) \), and behind an unknown perturbed field \( u(x, t) \). Both the fields \( u_0 \) and \( u \) are supposed to be regular solutions of (3.27) and to be continuous across the surface \( \Gamma \), but to be discontinuous in the normal derivative, i.e.,

\[
[u] = 0, \quad \left[ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \phi} \right] = \Pi \neq 0, \tag{3.29}
\]
where the square brackets indicate the jump at the wave front. In [44] it was verified that the K-condition is equivalent to the relation:

\[ \delta f|_E = (\nabla f \cdot \delta u)|_E \propto (\nabla f \cdot d)|_E \neq 0, \]

where the operator \( \delta \) is defined by \( \delta = [\partial/\partial \phi] \). By introducing the material time derivative, the system (3.18) in the BGK approximation can be rewritten as

\[ \dot{\rho} + \rho \frac{\partial v_k}{\partial x_k} = 0, \]
\[ \rho \dot{v}_i + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (p + \Pi) = 0, \]
\[ \rho \dot{\varepsilon} + (p + \Pi) \frac{\partial v_k}{\partial x_k} = 0, \]
\[ \left( \frac{p + \Pi}{\rho} - \frac{2}{3} \varepsilon \right) \cdot = -\frac{\Pi}{\rho \tau}. \]

As is well known, the characteristic velocities \( U \) and the right eigenvectors can be obtained from the system (3.27) by utilizing the chain rule of the operators:

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rightarrow -U \delta, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \rightarrow n_i \delta, \quad f \rightarrow 0, \]

and, in particular,

\[ \bullet \rightarrow -V \delta, \quad V = U - v_n, \quad v_n = v_i n_i. \]

In the present case, from the system (3.30), we obtain

\[ -V \delta \rho + \rho \delta v_n = 0, \]
\[ -\rho V \delta \nu + n_i (p + \Pi) = 0, \]
\[ -\rho V \delta \varepsilon + (p + \Pi) \delta v_n = 0, \]
\[ -V \delta \left( \frac{p + \Pi}{\rho} - \frac{2}{3} \varepsilon \right) = 0. \]

Taking into account the constitutive equations (2.14) and evaluating (3.31) in an equilibrium state, we have

\[ 1) \quad V = 0 \quad \leftrightarrow \quad U = v_n, \quad \text{contact waves}, \quad (3.32) \]
with $\delta \rho$, $\delta v_T$, $\delta p$ arbitrary (multiplicity 4) and $\delta v_n = 0$, $\delta \Pi = -\delta p$ ($v_T$ denotes the tangential velocity);

\[ V = \pm \sqrt{\frac{5}{3} \frac{p}{\rho}} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad U = v_n \pm \sqrt{\frac{5}{3} \frac{p}{\rho}}, \quad \text{sound waves}, \quad (3.33) \]

with $\delta \rho$ arbitrary,

\[ \delta \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{n} V \frac{\delta \rho}{\rho}, \quad \delta \varepsilon = \frac{2}{D} \frac{\varepsilon}{\rho} \delta \rho, \quad \delta \Pi = \frac{4}{3D^2} (D - 3) \varepsilon \delta \rho. \]

We notice that the sound velocity in (3.33) is independent of the degree of freedom $D$ and coincide with the sound velocity of monatomic gas. This curious fact was explained by a general theorem [35] in which was proved that for particular choice of $(N, M)$ systems in which belong the 6 moment theory the characteristic velocities are independent on $D$.

As only the last component of the production term $f$ of the generic system (3.27) is non-zero (see (3.30)), the K-condition (3.28) is satisfied if $\delta \Pi \neq 0$. This is true for contact wave and for sound waves. Therefore the K-condition is satisfied and, together with the convexity of the entropy, we can conclude that, according to the general theorems, the 6-moment system has global smooth solutions for all time and the solution converges to the equilibrium one provided that the initial data are sufficiently smooth.

4. Conclusions

In the present paper, we deduced the system of equations for a dissipative fluid in which the dissipation is due only to the dynamical pressure. The closure was obtained by the method of the Maximum Entropy Principle without assuming that the processes are near equilibrium. This system is the simplest example of non-linear dissipative fluid after the ideal case of Euler. The system is symmetric hyperbolic with the convex entropy density and the K-condition is satisfied. Therefore, in contrast with the Euler case, there exist global smooth solutions provided that the initial data are sufficiently smooth. The result obtained here is in perfect agreement with the one obtained by using only phenomenological theory of ET [26].
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