Advances in proteome-wide analysis of plant lysine acetylation
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ABSTRACT

Lysine acetylation (LysAc) is a conserved and important post-translational modification (PTM) that plays a key role in plant physiological and metabolic processes. Based on advances in Lys-acetylated protein immunoenrichment and mass-spectrometric technology, LysAc proteomics studies have been performed in many species. Such studies have made substantial contributions to our understanding of plant LysAc, revealing that Lys-acetylated histones and nonhistones are involved in a broad spectrum of plant cellular processes. Here, we present an extensive overview of recent research on plant Lys-acetylproteomes. We provide in-depth insights into the characteristics of plant LysAc modifications and the mechanisms by which LysAc participates in cellular processes and regulates metabolism and physiology during plant growth and development. First, we summarize the characteristics of LysAc, including the properties of Lys-acetylated sites, the motifs that flank Lys-acetylated lysines, and the dynamic alterations in LysAc among different tissues and developmental stages. We also outline a map of Lys-acetylated proteins in the Calvin–Benson cycle and central carbon metabolism–related pathways. We then introduce some examples of the regulation of plant growth, development, and biotic and abiotic stress responses by LysAc. We discuss the interaction between LysAc and Nα-terminal acetylation and the crosstalk between LysAc and other PTMs, including phosphorylation and succinylation. Finally, we propose recommendations for future studies in the field. We conclude that LysAc of proteins plays an important role in the regulation of the plant life cycle.
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INTRODUCTION

Post-translational modifications (PTMs) are complex processes that modulate proteins covalently by introducing new functional groups and modifying or removing the original functional groups; these modifications occur frequently after the proteins have been fully translated (Verdin and Ott, 2015; Millar et al., 2019). Lysine acetylation (LysAc) is a highly conserved, reversible PTM of both histones and nonhistones in prokaryotes and eukaryotes (Zhang et al., 2009; Rao et al., 2014). Lysine acetylation (LysAc) is a highly conserved, reversible PTM of both histones and nonhistones in prokaryotes and eukaryotes (Zhang et al., 2009; Rao et al., 2014). Alfrey et al. (1964) first reported that histones could be Lys-acetylated, and nonhistone proteins, high-mobility group (HMG) proteins, and tumor suppressor p53 were subsequently found to also be Lys-acetylated (Sterner et al., 1979; Gu and Roeder, 1997). Acetyl-coenzyme A (acetyl-CoA) serves as the source of the acetyl group for LysAc in addition to its function as an important intermediate precursor for the biosynthesis of various phytochemicals (Fatland et al., 2002; Chen et al., 2017). LysAc is performed by lysine acetyltransferases (KATs) and involves the deposition of acetyl-CoA onto lysine, whereas deacetylation (LysDeAc) is catalyzed by lysine deacetylases (KDACs) and involves the removal of acetyl groups from lysine (Choudhary et al., 2014; Narita et al., 2019). The first KAT and KDAC were identified in the late 1990s (Brownell et al., 1996; Taunton et al., 1996). KATs can be grouped into three major families: the GNAT, the MYST, and p300/CBP (CREB-binding protein) families (Drazic et al., 2016). KDACs can also be grouped into three families (the RPD3/HDA1-like, Sir2, and HDT families), although the HDT type occurs only in plants (De Ruijter et al., 2003). KATs and KDACs seldom operate alone but instead combine with various subunits that define their substrate specificities and catalytic activities, thus forming multiprotein complexes (Shahbazian and Grunstein, 2007; Drazic et al., 2016).
The best-known effects of LysAc are those that affect chromatin structure and gene expression through histone modification (Eberharter and Becker, 2002). LysAc decreases the affinity of histones, which generates a loose chromatin structure and promotes transcriptional activation, whereas LysDeAc leads to chromatin contraction and transcriptional inhibition (Grunstein, 1997; Struhl, 1998). In addition to nuclear substrates (e.g., histones, transcription factors [TFs], transcriptional coregulators, nonnuclear proteins/enzymes that participate in various biological processes, especially cellular metabolic processes, are also Lys-acetylated/deacetylated. This extends the functions of LysAc/LysDeAc from epigenetic control of chromatin dynamics and gene transcription to the regulation of cellular metabolism (Hentchel and Escalante-Semerena, 2015; Verdin and Ott, 2015; Chen et al., 2018). Hence, LysAc exerts key effects on various biological processes.

Early LysAc investigations focused mainly on histones (Law and Suttle, 2004; Shahbazian and Grunstein, 2007; Hollender and Liu, 2008). In 2006, Kim et al. first performed LysAc proteomics to analyze the LysAc regulatory network of HeLa cells and liver mitochondria of Mus musculus. Subsequently, numerous nonhistone proteins, including TFs, RNA splicing factors, chaperones, signal proteins, and cytoplasmic metabolic enzymes, were found to be Lys-acetylated. As a system-wide approach, LysAc proteomics enables the detection of Lys-acetylated proteins and sites and reveals that LysAc events occur extensively in nonhistones (Choudhary et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2010; Zhao et al., 2010). In 2011, the establishment of the Compendium of Protein Lysine Acetylation provided valuable information for elucidating the mechanism of LysAc regulation (Liu et al., 2011).

The development of LysAc proteomics occurred later in plants than in animals or microorganisms. Wu et al. (2011) and Finkemeier et al. (2011) first performed plant Lys-acetylproteome analyses in Arabidopsis thaliana. To date, plant Lys-acetylproteome analyses have focused mainly on higher plants, including A. thaliana (Finkemeier et al., 2011; Wu et al., 2011; Koenig et al., 2014; Hartl et al., 2017; Uhrig et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2018; Koskela et al., 2018; Bienvenut et al., 2020), Vitis vinifera (Melo-Braga et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2019), Pisum sativum (Smith-Hammond et al., 2014a), Glycine max (Smith-Hammond et al., 2014b; Li et al., 2021a), Oryza sativa (Nalamilli et al., 2014; He et al., 2016; Xiong et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2017; Li et al., 2018a, 2018b; Meng et al., 2018; Xue et al., 2018; Zhou et al., 2018), Fragaria ananassa (Fang et al., 2015), Medicago truncatula (Marx et al., 2016), Triticum aestivum (Zhang et al., 2016; Zhu et al., 2018; Guo et al., 2020), Brachypodium distachyon (Zhen et al., 2016), Picea asperata (Xia et al., 2016), Camellia sinensis (Xu et al., 2017; Jiang et al., 2018), Zea mays (Walley et al., 2018; Yan et al., 2020), Kandelia candel (Pan et al., 2018), Gossypium hirsutum (Singh et al., 2020), Hibiscus cannabinus (Chen et al., 2019), Paulownia tomentosa (Cao et al., 2019), Petunia hybrida (Zhao et al., 2020), Nicotiana benthamiana (Yuan et al., 2021), Populus tremula × Populus alba (Liao et al., 2021), Broussonetia papyrifera (Li et al., 2021b), and Phoebe zhennan (Zhao et al., 2021) (Table 1). By contrast, the Lys-acetylproteomes of lower plants are poorly studied and have been documented only in Phaeodactylum tricornutum (Chen et al., 2018) and Physcomitrium patens (Salparda et al., 2021). The Lys-acetylated proteins and sites detected by qualitative or quantitative LysAc proteomics techniques provide an overview of LysAc events in plants and serve as a foundation for further functional analysis.

In this paper, we review advances in plant Lys-acetylproteomes, focusing on the following three aspects: characteristics of Lys-acetylated proteins; functions of LysAc in plant growth, development, and stress response; and crosstalk between LysAc and other PTMs. We aim to present references for elucidating plant LysAc regulatory mechanisms and to provide perspectives for future research.

**CHARACTERISTICS OF LYS-ACETYLATED PROTEINS**

**Distribution of Lys-acetylated sites**

Relatively low numbers of plant Lys-acetylated proteins and sites were identified in early studies because of limitations associated with mass spectrometry and protein fractionation techniques, specificity of the anti-acetyl-lysine antibody, effects of the cell wall on protein extraction, and interference of plant secondary metabolites during protein affinity purification (Schilling et al., 2012; Nalamilli et al., 2014). Early work therefore identified fewer than 100 Lys-acetylated proteins, and the average number of Lys-acetylated sites per protein was 1.16–1.36 (Finkemeier et al., 2011; Wu et al., 2011; Nalamilli et al., 2014) (Table 1). With tremendous innovation and optimization of LysAc proteomics technologies, the numbers of identified Lys-acetylated sites are increasing, and the average number of Lys-acetylated sites detected per plant protein has increased to 1.50–3.06 (Table 1). A single Lys-acetylated protein typically contains 1–9 Lys-acetylated sites; proteins with 1–5 modified sites account for 92.35%–99.28% of all Lys-acetylated proteins, and proteins with only one Lys-acetylated site account for the largest proportion of Lys-acetylated proteins (49.83%–72.25%) (Figure 1 and Supplemental Table 1). A light-harvesting complex II (LHCl) protein identified in A. thaliana leaves contained the highest number of Lys-acetylated sites (29 sites) reported in any plant Lys-acetylproteome (Hartl et al., 2017).

**Lys-acetylated proteins with multiple modified sites**

Because most Lys-acetylated proteins contain 1–5 Lys-acetylated sites (Figure 1 and Supplemental Table 1), it is interesting to obtain an overview of Lys-acetylated proteins with multiple modified sites. Here, we summarize some plant Lys-acetylproteomes to characterize the Lys-acetylated proteins with multiple modified sites. Here, we summarize some plant Lys-acetylproteomes to characterize the Lys-acetylated proteins with six or more modified sites. We find that these proteins include histones and nonhistone proteins and are distributed mainly in the chloroplast, nucleus, and cytoplasm (Figure 2A).
| Species       | Tissues/organs | Biotic stress | Abiotic stress | Number of Lys-acetylated proteins/sites/average sites | Reference            |
|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| A. thaliana   | Leaves         | —             | —              | 55/64/1.16                                          | Wu et al. (2011)     |
| A. thaliana   | Leaves         | —             | —              | 74/91/1.23                                          | Finkemeier et al. (2011) |
| A. thaliana   | Mitochondria   | —             | —              | 120/243/2.03                                        | Koenig et al. (2014) |
| A. thaliana   | Leaves         | —             | —              | 1022/2152/2.11                                      | Hartl et al. (2017)  |
| A. thaliana   | Seedlings      | —             | —              | 909/1365/1.50                                       | Uhrig et al. (2017)  |
| A. thaliana   | Seedlings      | —             | —              | 2638/5233/1.98                                      | Liu et al. (2018)    |
| A. thaliana   | Chloroplast    | —             | —              | —/-/-                                              | Koskela et al. (2018) |
| B. distachyon | Leaves         | —             | —              | 3179/7130/2.24                                      | Li et al. (2021b)    |
| B. papyrifera | Leaves         | —             | —              | 909/1365/1.50                                       | Hartl et al. (2017)  |
| C. sinensis   | Leaves         | —             | —              | 1752/3161/1.80                                      | Xu et al. (2017)     |
| C. sinensis   | Seedlings      | —             | —              | 2638/5233/1.98                                      | Liu et al. (2018)    |
| C. sinensis   | Chloroplast    | —             | —              | —/-/-                                              | Koskela et al. (2018) |
| F. ananassa   | Leaves         | —             | —              | 353/6361                                          | Zhen et al. (2016)   |
| G. hirsutum   | Ovule          | —             | —              | 1696/2754/1.62                                      | Singh et al. (2020)  |
| G. max        | Seeds          | —             | —              | 245/400/1.63                                       | Smith-Hammond et al. (2014b) |
| G. max        | Leaves         | —             | —              | 1538/3148/2.05                                      | Li et al. (2021a)    |
| H. cannabinus | Anther         | —             | —              | 672/1204/1.79                                       | Chen et al. (2019)   |
| K. candel     | Leaves         | —             | Flooding       | 617/1041/1.69                                       | Pan et al. (2018)    |
| M. truncatula | Nodules        | —             | —              | 734/-/-                                            | Marx et al. (2016)   |
| N. benthamiana| Leaves         | —             | —              | 1964/4803/2.45                                      | Yuan et al. (2021)   |
| O. sativa     | Suspension cells| —            | —              | 44/60/1.36                                          | Nallamilli et al. (2014) |
| O. sativa     | Seeds          | —             | —              | 389/699/1.80                                        | He et al. (2016)     |
| O. sativa     | Leaves, stems, roots | —  | —              | 716/1337/1.88                                       | Xiong et al. (2016)  |
| O. sativa     | Seeds          | —             | —              | 972/1817/1.87                                       | Wang et al. (2017)   |
| O. sativa     | Anther         | —             | —              | 676/1354/2.00                                       | Li et al. (2018a)    |
| O. sativa     | Seeds          | —             | —              | 692/1003/1.45                                       | Meng et al. (2018)   |
| O. sativa     | Callus, root, leaves, panicle | —  | —              | 890/1536/1.73                                       | Li et al. (2018b)    |
| O. sativa     | Leaves         | —             | Cold           | 866/1353/1.56                                       | Xue et al. (2018)    |
| O. sativa     | Leaves         | —             | Oxidation      | 1024/1669/1.63                                      | Zhou et al. (2018)   |
| P. asperata   | Embryo         | —             | —              | 556/1079/1.94                                       | Xia et al. (2016)    |
| P. hybrida    | Corollas       | —             | —              | 1148/2210/1.93                                      | Zhao et al. (2020)   |
| P. patens     | Gametophores   | —             | —              | 638/-/-                                            | Balparda et al. (2021) |
| P. tomentosa  | Seedlings      | —             | Phytoplasma    | 2893/5558/1.92                                      | Cao et al. (2019)    |
| P. tremula × P. alba | Dormant buds | —             | —              | 3281/7594/2.31                                      | Liao et al. (2021)   |
| P. tricocumum | Cells          | —             | —              | 1220/2324/1.90                                      | Chen et al. (2018)   |
| P. zhennan    | Leaves         | —             | Drought        | —/-/-                                              | Zhao et al. (2021)   |
| T. aestivum   | Leaves         | —             | —              | 277/416/1.50                                        | Zhang et al. (2016)  |
| T. aestivum   | Seeds          | —             | Drought        | 442/716/1.62                                        | Zhu et al. (2018)    |
| T. aestivum   | Seeds          | —             | —              | 722/1301/1.80                                       | Guo et al. (2020)    |
| V. vinifera   | Mesocarp and exocarp | —  | —              | 97/138/1.42                                         | Melo-Braga et al. (2012) |

Table 1. Summary of Lys-acetylproteomes in plant species and Lys-acetylated sites.

(Continued on next page)
Table 1. Continued

| Species       | Tissues/organisms | Biotic stress | Abiotic stress | Number of Lys-acetylated proteins/sites/average sites | Reference               |
|---------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| V. vinifera   | Leaves            | —             | Heat          | 510/1135/2.23                                       | Liu et al. (2019)       |
| Z. mays       | Leaves            | Cochliobolus carbonum | — | 912/2791/3.06                                     | Walley et al. (2018)    |
| Z. mays       | Leaves            | —             | —             | 462/814/1.76                                       | Yan et al. (2020)       |

Very few Lys-acetylated sites have been identified in histone H1 and no common site has been reported in the literature. Numerous Lys-acetylated sites have been identified in H2A and H2B. However, because of the diversity of H2A and H2B tail sequences (Kawashima et al., 2015), the Lys-acetylated sites of the two histones are species- or tissue-specific. LysAc of H3 and H4 histones is a euchromatin modification (Jeon et al., 2014), and relatively fewer Lys-acetylated sites have been identified in these histones. Lys-acetylated sites in H3 and H4 show high conservation in different plant species and tissues. Although the Lys-acetylated sites detected in histones H2A and H2B are less conserved, continuous Lys-acetylated sites have been identified in these two histones, such as K10–K22 and K124–K155 in histone H2A and K7–K89 in histone H2B (Xia et al., 2016; Zhen et al., 2016; Singh et al., 2020). In brief, LysAc of histones H3 and H4 is conserved, whereas LysAc of histones H2A and H2B varies among plant species and developmental stages. Similar patterns have also been detected in animals and microorganisms (Zhang et al., 2013; Kwon et al., 2016).

Ribosomal proteins (RPs), elongation factors (EFs), and heat-shock proteins (HSPs) are the most commonly Lys-acetylated nonhistone proteins, with more than six modified sites. The 60S large ribosomal subunits and 40S small ribosomal subunits are the major proteins within the RP group and are distributed mainly in the chloroplast and cytoplasm, respectively. Both RPs and EFs contain many conserved Lys-acetylated sites. For example, K120, K204, K348, K359, and K368 of 60S RP L3 are highly conserved in O. sativa (Wang et al., 2017; Meng et al., 2018), G. hirsutum (Singh et al., 2020), P. asperata (Xia et al., 2016), and F. ananassa (Fang et al., 2015), whereas K232, K291, K427, and K482 of EF2 are highly conserved in O. sativa (He et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2017; Meng et al., 2018; Xue et al., 2018; Zhou et al., 2018), G. hirsutum (Singh et al., 2020), F. ananassa (Fang et al., 2015), and C. sinensis (Jiang et al., 2018). This suggests that LysAc is probably necessary for the regulation of protein synthesis and assembly. A KDAC (HDA714) has been shown to target RPs for LysDeAc, which is likely to affect the stability of the ribosome and its translational efficiency (Xu et al., 2021). However, rare homologous Lys-acetylated HSPs or conserved Lys-acetylated sites have been found in the current study.

Numerous Lys-acetylated sites have also been detected in chlroplast proteins, e.g., structural proteins corresponding to photosystems I and II (Xiong et al., 2016), ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (Rubisco) (Fang et al., 2015; Zhen et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2017; Xue et al., 2018), chlorophyll a/b-binding proteins (Xiong et al., 2016), chloroplast stem-loop-binding proteins (Fang et al., 2015; Jiang et al., 2018), oxygen-evolving enhancer proteins (Fang et al., 2015; Xiong et al., 2016), and enzymes involved in carbon assimilation, such as phosphoglycerate kinase (PGK) (He et al., 2016; Xia et al., 2016; Xiong et al., 2016; Zhen et al., 2016; Meng et al., 2018), fructose-bisphosphate aldolase (FBA) (Fang et al., 2015; Xia et al., 2016; Xiong et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2017; Li et al., 2018a), and sedoheptulose-bisphosphatase (SBP) (Fang et al., 2015). Stress-responsive proteins such as 14-3-3 protein (Li et al., 2018a), catalase (CAT) (Xiong et al., 2016), glutathione peroxidase (GPX) (Fang et al., 2015), and modified enzymes associated with other PTMs, e.g., phosphorylase (Meng et al., 2018) and methylase (Xiong et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2019), also possess multiple Lys-acetylated sites. However, whether LysAc has an effect on the functions of target proteins requires further verification.

Motif characterization of Lys-acetylated peptides

LysAc is usually distributed along the whole protein sequence and occurs around preferred amino acid residues. The protein sequence motifs of Lys-acetylated lysine residues are conserved in various plant species, tissues, or organs. Analyses of the motif model and the preference for amino acid residues surrounding Lys-acetylated sites can deepen our understanding of LysAc patterns. To date, analyses of LysAc motifs have mainly targeted all the identified LysAc peptides in Lys-acetylatedomes. KacH, KacY, KacF, KacK, KacR, KacT, KacS, F*Kac, and KacN motifs (Kac denotes a Lys-acetylated lysine residue, an asterisk [*] indicates a random amino acid residue, and the number of asterisks indicates the number of random amino acids in the motif) are highly conserved in different plants (Table 2). Most of the conserved residues are located at the −2 to +1 positions when the Lys-acetylated site is considered to occupy the 0 position. Significant enrichment has been detected for Y and H at +1 (He et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2016; Zhen et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2017), L at −1 (Zhang et al., 2016), F at −2 to +2 (He et al., 2016; Xiong et al., 2016), V at −2 (Xia et al., 2016), and R from −8 to −4 and +2 to +8 (Meng et al., 2018), whereas K is generally excluded from −1.

In histones, K is enriched across all LysAc motifs and is significantly enriched at +1, in contrast to Y, H, and F, which are enriched at +1 in the global Lys-acetylatedomes described above (Li et al., 2018a). E is enriched at the −1 and −3 positions in mitochondrial Lys-acetylated proteins of A. thaliana and P. sativum (Koenig et al., 2014; Smith-Hammond et al., 2014a). In the developing anthers of O. sativa, both T and D are significantly enriched at −1 in Lys-acetylated
cytoplasmic proteins and nuclear proteins (Li et al., 2018a). There is a difference in LysAc motifs between histone and nonhistone proteins, and the LysAc motifs in certain subcellular Lys-acetylated proteins differ from those in the complete Lys-acetylproteome. Therefore, it is necessary to perform a compartment-specific LysAc motif analysis. In addition, residues such as Y, F, and H and some LysAc motifs are also enriched in human cells (Choudhary et al., 2014), suggesting that LysAc is likely to play an important role in the regulation of plant growth.

**Subcellular locations of Lys-acetylated proteins**

Wu et al. (2011) localized Lys-acetylated proteins to the nucleus, plasma membrane, and chloroplast, whereas the chromocenter was hypo-Lys-acetylated. Subcellular localization predictions reveal that the number of Lys-acetylated proteins varies across subcellular compartments among plant species, tissues, and developmental stages (Fang et al., 2015; He et al., 2016; Xia et al., 2016; Xiong et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2018; Jiang et al., 2018; Li et al., 2018b, 2021b; Meng et al., 2018; Xue et al., 2018; Zhou et al., 2018; Zhu et al., 2018; Cao et al., 2019; Yan et al., 2020; Liao et al., 2021) (Figure 2B). Almost 90% of Lys-acetylated proteins are located in the chloroplast, cytoplasm, nucleus, and mitochondria of plant cells. However, in the lower plant *P. tricornutum*, more Lys-acetylated proteins are located in the nucleus than in the cytoplasm, and a relatively larger number of Lys-acetylated proteins are located in the plasma membrane compared with higher plants (Chen et al., 2018). Hence, the pattern of subcellular localization of Lys-acetylated proteins varies throughout plant species. The subcellular localization of Lys-acetylated proteins is also closely associated with transient plant growth or metabolic status (Jiang et al., 2018). For instance, an increased ratio of Lys-acetylated proteins was detected in ovules also changed from 1 to 0 days post-anthesis (Singh et al., 2020).

Under drought stress, *T. aestivum* seeds showed enhanced LysAc levels at 20 days after flowering compared with 10, 15, 25, and 30 days, and the LysAc signal under drought stress was stronger than that under sufficient water conditions (Zhu et al., 2018). Increased LysAc levels were also detected in drought-stressed leaves of *P. zhennan* (Zhao et al., 2021) and virus-infected *N. benthamiana* (Yuan et al., 2021). Distinct LysAc was detected under nitrogen-, phosphorus-, and iron-deficient conditions in *P. tricornutum* (Chen et al., 2018). These results demonstrate that dynamic changes in LysAc differ among different tissues, developmental stages, and stresses, suggesting that LysAc is likely to play an important role in the regulation of plant growth.

**Dynamic alterations of LysAc in different tissues, developmental stages, and conditions**

In *A. thaliana*, the molecular weights and abundances of Lys-acetylated proteins differ among shoots, leaves, flowers, seeds, and roots (Wu et al., 2011), and the LysAc levels of roots and seedlings change dramatically during the diurnal cycle (Uhrig et al., 2017). In *O. sativa*, LysAc levels were higher in callus, leaves, and panicles than in roots (Li et al., 2018b), and post-anthesis seeds exhibited higher LysAc levels than flowers and pollen (Meng et al., 2018). In dormant buds of *P. tremula × P. alba*, there was a slight decrease in LysAc during dormancy release (Liao et al., 2021). Within 0–48 h after imbibition of *O. sativa* seeds, LysAc reached a higher level at 24 h (He et al., 2016). LysAc levels in radicles of *P. asperata* were higher at 14 days after partial desiccation treatment than at 0, 7, and 21 days (Xia et al., 2016). The LysAc levels in *G. hirsutum* ovules also changed from −1 to 0 days post-anthesis (Singh et al., 2020).
Numerous proteins related to photosystem assembly, chlorophyll biosynthesis, and carbon assimilation are Lys-acetylated, suggesting that LysAc has a marked effect on chloroplast structure and photosynthetic processes (Fang et al., 2015; Zhen et al., 2016; Jiang et al., 2018). Koskela et al. (2018) identified the first chloroplast stroma-localized KAT, NUCLEAR SHUTTLE INTER- ACTING (NSI), in A. thaliana and determined that NSI was essential for dynamically reorganizing the photosynthetic state transitions of thylakoid protein complexes. Analysis of the chloroplast Lys-acetylome demonstrated that several specific photosynthetic proteins (e.g., PSBP-1, PSAH-1/2, LHCBI.4, KEA1, and KEA2) had decreased LysAc levels in the nsi mutant compared with the wild type. The LysAc level of K88 in PSBP-1 decreased more than 12-fold compared with the wild type (Koskela et al., 2018). In addition, some thylakoid proteins, such as LHCBI.6 and the ATPase β-subunit, had increased LysAc levels in the nsi mutant, suggesting that there is interplay between the LysAc of different proteins in the chloroplast (Koskela et al., 2018). Schmidt et al. (2017) extracted chloroplast ATP synthase from spinach chloroplasts and found that nine protein subunits, with the exception of membrane-embedded subunit III, were Lys-acetylated. However, systematic analyses of chloroplast Lys-acetylproteomes are still largely lacking in plants.

Characteristics of Lys-acetylated proteins in the Calvin–Benson cycle and central carbon metabolism

Increasing evidence has shown that proteins relevant to photosynthesis and carbon metabolism are extensively Lys-acetylated (Finkemeier et al., 2011; Wu et al., 2011; Fang et al., 2015; Xiong et al., 2016). Acetyl-CoA and NAD⁺ are key factors in cellular metabolic processes and are required for the catalysis of LysAc/LysDeAc (Choudhary et al., 2014; Baeza et al., 2016). To probe the LysAc landscape of enzymes that participate in the Calvin–Benson cycle and plant central carbon metabolism, we summarized the profiles of related enzymes from 20 reported plant Lys-acetylproteomes. As shown in Figure 4, enzymes of the Calvin–Benson cycle, glycolysis, and the TCA cycle are more strongly modified than those of the pentose phosphate pathway. Almost all of the enzymes involved in glycolysis and the TCA cycle undergo LysAc, consistent with reports in humans, animals, and microorganisms.

In the Calvin–Benson cycle, enzymes involved in the carboxylation and reduction of CO₂ are strongly Lys-acetylated, whereas those involved in the regeneration of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate (RuBP) show less modification (Figure 4). In glycolysis,
enzymes associated with the phases of hexose phosphate cleavage and ATP and pyruvate production show greater LysAc levels than enzymes in the hexose phosphorylation phase. Isozymes (e.g., PGK, FBA, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase [GAPDH], and triosephosphate isomerase [TPI]) with chloroplast or cytosolic subcellular localization that participate in the Calvin–Benson cycle and glycolysis may be Lys-acetylated in both compartments simultaneously or in only one compartment. In the TCA cycle, LysAc is more common in proteins relevant to citric acid synthesis and oxidative decarboxylation than in proteins that participate in oxaloacetic acid regeneration. Enzymes of plant alcohol fermentation, such as PDC, acetaldehyde dehydrogenase, and alcohol dehydrogenase, are also Lys-acetylated. The pyruvate dehydrogenase complex is considered to be

| Species         | Motif sequence                                                                 | Reference                  |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| A. thaliana     | KacY, KacF, F*Kac, D*Kac                                                       | Uhrig et al. (2017)       |
| B. distachyon   | KacH, KacY, KacF, Kac*K, Kac*TK                                                | Zhen et al. (2016)        |
| B. papyrifera   | F*Kac, Kac*K, Kac*H, Kac*F, Kac*R, Kac*Y, Kac*S, Kac*T, Kac*N, Kac*D, Kac*V, Y*Kac, T*Kac, D*KacR, Y*KacS | Li et al. (2021b)         |
| C. sinensis     | KacH, KacF, KacR, KacK, KacT, KacS, KacN, Kac*K, Kac**K, Kac***K, Kac****K, Kac*****K, Kac******K, Kac*******K, Kac********K, Kac*********K, Kac**********K | Xu et al. (2017)          |
| C. sinensis     | KacH, KacK, KacR, KacT, KacS, KacN, Kac*K, Kac**K, Kac***K, Kac****K, Kac*****K, Kac******K, Kac*******K, Kac********K, Kac*********K | Jiang et al. (2018)       |
| F. ananassa     | KacH, KacY, KacF, F*Kac, L*Kac                                                 | Fang et al. (2015)        |
| G. hirsutum     | KacH, KacF, KacK, KacR, KacT, KacS, KacN, KacV, RKacS, KacTE, KacRD, Kac**E, Kac***K, A*KacK, P*KacK, C**KacT | Singh et al. (2020)       |
| G. max          | KacH, KacF, KacK, KacR, KacT, KacS, KacN, KacKA, KacAK, KacRL, Kac**K, Kac***K, Kac****K, Kac******K, Kac*******K, Kac********K, Kac*********K | Li et al. (2020a)         |
| H. cannabinus   | KacK, KacR, KKac, K**Kac, K*****Kac, K***Kac, K****Kac, K*****Kac, K******Kac, K*******Kac, K********Kac, K*********K, Kac*A | Chen et al. (2019)        |
| K. candel       | KacK, K*****Kac, Kac***K, KacR, KacK, EKac                                     | Pan et al. (2018)         |
| N. benthamiana  | F*Kac, D*Kac, Kac*K, Kac*H, Kac*F, Kac*C, Kac*A, Kac*R, Kac**K, Kac***K, Kac****K, Kac******K, Kac*******K, Kac********K, Kac*********K | Yuan et al. (2021)        |
| O. sativa       | KacH, KacY, KacF, F*Kac, F*Kac                                                 | He et al. (2016)          |
| O. sativa       | KacH, KacY, KacF, F*Kac, L*Kac, Kac*, F*Kac                                   | Xiong et al. (2016)       |
| O. sativa       | KacH, KacY, KacT, F*Kac, YKac, D*KacK                                         | Wang et al. (2017)        |
| O. sativa       | KacH, KacY, KacF, Kac*K, Kac***R, Kac**R                                       | Meng et al. (2018)        |
| O. sativa       | KacH, KacY, KacT, KacS, F*Kac, Kac***K, Kac**R, YKac, D*KacK                  | Li et al. (2018b)         |
| O. sativa       | KacH, KacY, KacF, Kac*K, Kac***K, Kac****K, Kac*****K, Kac******K, Kac*******K, Kac********K, Kac*********K | Xue et al. (2018)         |
| O. sativa       | KacH, KacK, KacR, KacT, KacS, KacN, Kac*K, Kac**K, Kac***K, Kac****K, Kac*****K, Kac******K, Kac*******K, Kac********K, Kac*********K | Zhou et al. (2018)        |
| P. asperata     | KacH, KacY, F*Kac, K*********Kac, Kac**F, YKac, V*Kac                          | Xia et al. (2016)         |
| P. hybridia     | KacH, KacF, KacK, KacR, KacT, KacS, KacN, FKac, D*Kac, A*Kac, KacK              | Zhao et al. (2020)        |
| P. tomentosa    | KacH, KacK, KacR, KacT, KacS, KacN, K*********Kac, Kac*R, Kac**R, Kac***R, Kac****R, Kac*****R, Kac******R, Kac*******R, Kac********R, Kac*********R | Cao et al. (2019)         |
| T. aestivum     | KacH, KacY, KacF, F*Kac, FKac                                                 | Zhang et al. (2016)       |
| P. tricornutum  | KacH, KacY, KacF, FKac, L*Kac, YKac, L*Kac, V*Kac                              | Chen et al. (2018)        |
| P. zhennan      | G*KacS, V*KacS, L*KacN, TKacV, N*KacV, SKacV, D**KacR, YKacV, VKacK, N*KacA    | Zhao et al. (2021)        |
| T. aestivum     | KacK, K*********KacK, K*********KacK, Kac**H                                 | Zhu et al. (2018)         |
| T. aestivum     | KacH, KacF, KacK, KacR, KacT, KacS, KacN, FKac, Kac**E, Kac**D                | Guo et al. (2020)         |
| V. vinifera     | KacY                                                                            | Melo-Braga et al. (2012)  |
| Z. mays         | KacY, KacF, KacK, KacR, Kac**R, AEKac, GKacK, EKac, KacL, D*Kac                | Yan et al. (2020)         |

Table 2. Summary of the LysAc motifs in plant Lys-acetylproteomes. Kac denotes Lys-acetylated lysine residue, asterisk (*) indicates a random amino acid residue, and the number of asterisks indicates the number of random amino acids in the motif.
involved in the oxidative decarboxylation of pyruvate and the generation of acetyl-CoA, thereby linking the pathways of β-oxidation, glycolysis, and the TCA cycle (Milne et al., 2002). Three subunits of PDC (pyruvate dehydrogenase, dihydrolipoyl dehydrogenase, and dihydrolipoamide acetyltransferase) are strongly Lys-acetylated.

An increasing number of Lys-acetylated sites have been identified in numerous metabolic proteins; however, analyses of the biological effects of LysAc on target enzymes are still lacking (Lindahl et al., 2019). Here, we introduce some examples to show the effects of LysAc on the activities and functions of key metabolic enzymes. Rubisco catalyzes the carboxylation of RuBP and enables net CO₂ assimilation into organic compounds, which is a rate-limiting step in photosynthesis (Carmo-Silva et al., 2015). A large number of Lys-acetylated sites have been identified in both the Rubisco large subunit (RBCL) and small subunit (RBCS). Previous studies have reported that LysAc can negatively regulate Rubisco activity (Finkemeier et al., 2011; Gao et al., 2016). However, recent studies have shown conflicting results. Under low-light conditions, the LysAc levels of Rubisco activase (RCA) and RBCL increased markedly, leading to significant increases in the activity and activation of Rubisco in the A. thaliana hda14 mutant (Hartl et al., 2017). Interestingly, another study reported that increased LysAc of Rubisco had no effect on its maximal activity (O’Leary et al., 2020). Therefore, specific Lys-acetylated sites appear to contribute to the different effects of LysAc on Rubisco activity.

Malate dehydrogenase (MDH) catalyzes malate oxidation and oxaloacetate reduction using NAD⁺/NADH as a co-substrate and LysAc of MDH is conserved in plants (Sweetlove et al., 2010). The enzymatic activity of MDH in the direction of oxaloacetate reduction is negatively regulated by LysAc (Finkemeier et al., 2011). In P. patens, LysAc at K172 of mitochondrial MDH1 (mMDH1) doubles its catalytic rate in the direction of oxaloacetate reduction compared with the unmodified protein and is considered to be a requirement under conditions of high NAD⁺ demand. By contrast, LysAc of K172 in E. coli MDH and LysAc of K307 in human mMDH2 can enhance the catalytic efficiency of malate oxidation (Venkat et al., 2017). However, in E. coli and human, changes in catalytic efficiency are due to increased enzymatic activity caused by LysAc, whereas in A. thaliana, they are due to increased affinity for malate (Venkat et al., 2017; Balparda et al., 2021). Therefore, the effects of LysAc on MDH vary across different organisms.

In addition to its effect on enzyme activities, LysAc can also regulate the epigenetic characteristics of target proteins. GAPDH participates in the Calvin–Benson cycle and glycolysis by catalyzing the reversible conversion of glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate to 1,3-bisphosphoglyceric acid (Zaffagnini et al., 2013). GAPDH is also a transcriptional activator and can activate the expression of glycolytic genes, and LysAc of GAPDH1 in rice can stimulate the transcription of glycolytic genes (Zhang et al., 2017). In addition, LysDeAc of GAPDH1 can increase its enzymatic activity in the generation of 1,3-bisphosphoglyceric acid, similar to results observed in A. thaliana (Finkemeier et al., 2011). The increased LysAc level of GAPDH is thought to promote flux through glycolysis and inhibit flux through gluconeogenesis (Wang et al., 2010).

LysAc of metabolic enzymes is sufficient to affect their activities and appears to act as an effective feedback response to fine-tune metabolic flux and help plants acclimatize to a changing environment. However, more work should be devoted to exploring the functions of LysAc in the regulation of cellular metabolism in the future.

LOW YIELD AND STOICHIOMETRY OF LysAc

Thousands of Lys-acetylated sites have been identified in plants. Nonetheless, not all Lys-acetylated proteins can be detected, especially those present at low abundance (Yan et al., 2020). Few overlapping Lys-acetylated sites exist in plant Lys-acetylproteomes, even within the same plant species.
Figure 4. Lys-acetylated model of proteins involved in the Calvin-Benson cycle and central carbon metabolism.

The Lys-acetylated enzymes relevant to the Calvin–Benson cycle and central carbon metabolism summarized from 20 Lys-acetylproteomes are noted with boxes of different colors to reflect their frequency of modification by LysAc. PRK, phosphoribulokinase; Rubisco, ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase; PGK, phosphoglycerate kinase; GAPDH, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase; TPI, triosephosphate isomerase; FBA, fructose-bisphosphate aldolase; FBPase, fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase; SBPase, sedoheptulose-1,7-bisphosphatase; RPE, ribulose phosphate epimerase; RPI, ribose-5-phosphate isomerase; PGluM, phosphoglucomutase; HK, hexokinase; GPI, glucose-6-phosphate isomerase; FRK, fructokinase; PFK, phosphofructokinase; PGlyM, 2,3-bisphosphoglycerate-independent phosphoglycerate mutase; ENO, enolase; PK, pyruvate kinase; PDC, pyruvate decarboxylase; ALDH, acetaldehyde dehydrogenase; ADH, alcohol dehydrogenase; PDHE1, pyruvate dehydrogenase complex E1 subunit; DLD, dihydrolipoyl dehydrogenase; DLAT, dihydrolipoamide acetyltransferase; CS, citrate synthase; AH, aconitate hydratase; IDH, isocitrate dehydrogenase; ODH, oxoglutarate dehydrogenase; SCS, succinyl-CoA synthetase; SDH, succinate dehydrogenase; FH, fumarate hydratase; ME, malic enzyme; MDH, malate dehydrogenase; G6PD, glucose-6-phosphate 1-dehydrogenase; PGL, 6-phosphogluconolactonase; PGD, 6-phosphogluconate dehydrogenase; TK, transketolase; TAL, transaldolase; R5P, ribose-5-phosphate; Ru5P, ribulose-5-phosphate; RuBP, ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate; 3-PGA, 3-phosphoglycerate; DPGA, 1,3-diphosphoglycerate; PGALD, 3-phosphoglyceraldehyde; DHAP, dihydroxyacetone phosphate; FBP, fructose-1,6-bisphosphate; F6P, fructose-6-phosphate; E4P, erythrose-4-phosphate; SBP, sedoheptulose-1,7-bisphosphate; ATP, adenosine triphosphate; Ru5P, ribulose-5-phosphate; G1P, glucose-1-phosphate; G6P, glucose-6-phosphate; 2-PGA, 2-phosphoglycerate; PEP, phosphoenolpyruvate; OAA, oxaloacetate; CA, cis-aconitate; ICA, isocitrate; α-KGA, 2-oxoglutarate; SA, succinate; FA, fumarate; MA, malate; 6-PGL, 6-phosphogluconol-1,5-lactone; 6-PG, 6-phosphogluconate.
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Accordingly, identified Lys-acetylated sites are likely to represent only a small fraction of all LysAc (Hosp et al., 2017). The numbers of Lys-acetylated proteins or sites detected in plants still lag behind those identified in mammals (Svinkina et al., 2015; Weinert et al., 2015). In addition to the effects of the cell wall on protein extraction and of secondary compounds that interfere with affinity purification, low yields of plant LysAc may also be due to: (1) anti-acetyl-lysine antibodies whose coverage of global plant Lys-acetylproteomes is less than optimal because they were originally developed in other organisms; and (2) differences in metabolic fluxes and patterns of acetyl-CoA consumption and production between animals and plants (Graham and Eastmond, 2002; Rothbart et al., 2012).

Compared with the relative percentage or fold change of Lys-acetylated peptides, stoichiometry analysis of LysAc can quantify the prevalence and reflect the physiological dynamics of LysAc modifications (Chen and Li, 2019). The stoichiometry of LysAc was reported to be very low in Saccharomyces cerevisiae (0.02%) (Weinert et al., 2014), E. coli (0.04%) (Weinert et al., 2017), and human (0.02%) (Hansen et al., 2019). O’Leary et al. (2020) found that the stoichiometry of four Lys-acetylated sites in A. thaliana RBCL was less than 1%, and that of one Lys-acetylated site in RBCS was 0.26%, suggesting that LysAc stoichiometry in plants is very low. However, a low LysAc stoichiometry seems to be sufficient to produce functional effects on proteins and affect cellular metabolism (Baiza et al., 2020). More efforts are needed in this field to obtain a more detailed view of the effects of low LysAc yield and stoichiometry.

REGULATION OF PLANT DEVELOPMENT AND GROWTH BY LysAc

Bud dormancy release and seedling de-etiolation

LysAc of histones, signal effectors, and key metabolic enzymes is likely to play important roles in germination signaling pathways. Histones H2A and H2B and TFs are highly Lys-acetylated during bud dormancy release in P. tremula x P. alba (Liao et al., 2021). Numerous enzymes that participate in the degradation of lipids and amino acids to produce energy for bud greening are differentially Lys-acetylated during bud break (Liao et al., 2021). Therefore, LysAc of histones, signal effectors, and key metabolic enzymes plays important roles in germination signaling pathways and reconfiguration of the metabolic system.

MYB, CONSTANS, and GRF are essential TFs for cell differentiation, photoperiod signal transduction, and shoot elongation (Putterill et al., 1995; Choi et al., 2004; Kornet and Scheres, 2009). These three TFs are Lys-acetylated in etiolated Z. mays seedlings during continuous white light illumination (Yan et al., 2020), suggesting that LysAc of these TFs is necessary for the regulation of chromatin organization and gene transcription during seedling de-etiolation. LysAc abundance shows differential alteration in various photosystem I and II proteins, and enzymes that participate in chlorophyll synthesis show increased LysAc levels under prolonged illumination (Yan et al., 2020). The LysAc levels of Rubisco in the Calvin–Benson cycle and pyruvate phosphate dikinase and phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase in the C4 pathway increase with illumination time, and the enzyme activities are negatively regulated by LysAc. Furthermore, the majority of enzymes involved in energy metabolism are Lys-acetylated, suggesting a potential role for LysAc in the switch from skotomorphogenesis to photomorphogenesis in etiolated seedlings through its effects on transcriptional regulation, photosystem assembly, and metabolic enzyme activities (Yan et al., 2020).

Meiosis and pollen development

A large number of proteins related to the biological processes of meiosis and anther development are Lys-acetylated in developing O. sativa anthers before meiosis; these processes include chromatin silencing, pollen development, sporopollenin biosynthesis, callose deposition, fatty acid biosynthesis, and production of secretory proteins (Li et al., 2018a). More than half of the identified Lys-acetylated proteins are meiocyte proteins in O. sativa, and they are mainly enriched in the molecular processes of DNA synthesis, chromatin structure, RNA processing and transcriptional regulation, cell organization, vesicle transport, and protein degradation and folding (Li et al., 2018a).

Cytoplasmic male sterility (CMS) is a maternally inherited trait that causes plants to fail to generate functional pollen (Fujii et al., 2009). Approximately 92% of the differentially Lys-acetylated proteins (DAPs) in wild H. cannabinus and CMS lines are located in the cytoplasm, and 77% of the DAPs show increased LysAc in the wild-type line compared with the CMS line (Chen et al., 2019). The DAPs are mainly involved in the TCA cycle and energy metabolism, glycolysis, signal transduction, protein metabolism, fatty acid metabolism, and auxin transport, and most of them show decreased LysAc levels in the CMS line (Chen et al., 2019). Protein disulfide isomerase (PDIL) is essential for embryo maturation and pollen tube development (Wang et al., 2008). In the CMS line, the proteomic level of PDIL showed a 1.75-fold decrease and the LysAc level exhibited an 11.66-fold decrease compared with those in the wild type (Chen et al., 2019). Therefore, abnormal LysAc in the cytoplasm can mediate plant CMS by affecting energy synthesis and pollen development.

Leaf periodic albinism and fiber development

Compared with the number of differentially Lys-acetylated sites (DASs) between the prealbinization and albinotic stages of C. sinensis cv. ‘Anji Baicha’, more DASs were detected between the regreening and prealbinotic stages, consistent with changes in chlorophyll and carotene contents (Xu et al., 2017). Several LHC proteins (e.g., LHCA1, LHCA3, and LHCB1–5) showed different LysAc levels across the three stages (Xu et al., 2017). Carotenoid isomerase (CrtISO) plays a crucial role in the synthesis of the carotenoid precursors of abscisic acid (ABA) (Fang et al., 2008). The abundance of CrtISO was not altered at the protein accumulation level, but its LysAc changed significantly between the regreening and albinotic stages. In addition, the LysAc of enzymes mapped upstream of flavonoid biosynthesis was dramatically altered across the three stages (Xu et al., 2017). Therefore, LysAc can coordinately modulate periodic albinism in tea.

DAPs were located mainly in the cytoplasm, chloroplast, and mitochondria of wild-type G. hirsutum ovules before anthesis, but they were located mainly in the nucleus in fuzzless-lintless mutants (Singh et al., 2020). The DAPs in the wild type were significantly enriched in fatty acid metabolism, ribosome, TCA
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cycle, and oxidative phosphorylation, but the DAPs in the mutant line were mainly enriched in lipid metabolism, synthesis and degradation of ketone bodies, and folate biosynthesis (Singh et al., 2020). These results suggest that LysAc may be important for providing intermediates for the biosynthesis of macromolecules and metabolites and for meeting the energy needs associated with plant fiber development.

Germination and maturation of seeds

Compared with 0 h imbibition, most DAPs identified in T. aestivum seeds after 12 h and 24 h of imbibition exhibited increased LysAc levels (Guo et al., 2020). DAPs between 24 h and 0 h, as well as between 12 h and 0 h, were simultaneously enriched in the ribosome, glycolysis/gluconeogenesis, and carbon fixation pathways. DAPs between 12 h and 0 h showed greater enrichment in glyoxylate and dicarboxylate metabolism and proteasome pathways, whereas DAPs between 24 h and 0 h showed greater enrichment in biosynthesis of amino acids, the TCA cycle, and carbon metabolism (Guo et al., 2020). ABA 8’-hydroxylase and protein phosphatase 2C (PP2C) can promote seed germination via gibberellic acid biosynthesis and negative regulation of ABA signaling (Nambara and Marion-Poll, 2005; Cheng et al., 2017). Sorting nexin 1 (SNX1) and vacuolar protein sorting protein 72 (VPS72) participate in auxin transport (Shimada et al., 2006). All four of these hormone signaling proteins showed increased LysAc levels after seed imbibition (Guo et al., 2020).

Most of the Lys-acetylated proteins in P. asperata desiccated embryos are TFs or enzymes, whereas stress-responsive proteins and proteins with catalytic and oxidoreductase activities are more strongly Lys-acetylated in desiccated than in nondesiccated embryos (Xia et al., 2016). The reducing power generated by the pentose phosphate pathway is essential for maintaining the activity of antioxidant enzymes and preventing oxidative stress, and fatty acid metabolism is thought to enhance plant drought resistance by changing the lipid fluidity of cell membranes (Pandolfi et al., 1995; Rylott et al., 2006). Interestingly, Lys-acetylated proteins are significantly enriched in these two pathways in desiccated embryos relative to nondesiccated embryos. Hence, LysAc can be an active event and has various functions in the regulation of hormone signaling, energy supply, and protection of the embryo against oxidative damage during seed germination.

In O. sativa seeds collected 0, 3, and 7 days after pollination, LysAc preferentially occurred in non-TF proteins. DAPs showed higher LysAc levels at 3 and 7 days than at day 0 and were predominantly enriched in carbon metabolism, glycolysis, carbon fixation, and starch and sucrose metabolic pathways (Wang et al., 2017). Most of the DAPs related to glycolysis and starch and sucrose metabolism showed increased LysAc levels and a positive correlation with seed maturation. However, the LysAc levels of TCA-related DAPs increased in seeds from 0 to 3 days after pollination and remained unchanged from 3 to 7 days, suggesting that LysAc was likely to be involved in the inactivation of the TCA cycle to reduce cell damage caused by a shortage of internal oxygen and to divert carbon flux from energy production to storage deposits (Wang et al., 2017). Moreover, ADP-glucose pyrophosphorylase 2 and PDIL, which can promote seed maturation (Yamagata et al., 1982; Lee et al., 2007), showed increased LysAc levels after pollination.

LysAc IN PLANT STRESS RESPONSES

Plants have evolved a series of complex molecular mechanisms to withstand environmental stresses during long-term adaptation (Zhu, 2016). LysAc of histones can mediate plant stress responses by activating or inhibiting gene expression (Hu et al., 2019; Kumar et al., 2021). Lys-acetylproteomes provide a global analysis of LysAc networks under stressed conditions, especially for Lys-acetylated nonhistones.

LysAc regulates plant biotic stress responses

Expression of the HAT and HDAC genes in plants can be induced by biotic stresses, directly regulating cell LysAc and affecting host plant immunity and defense (Zhou et al., 2005; Ding et al., 2012; Xu et al., 2015; Song and Walley, 2016). To date, analyses of plant Lys-acetylproteomes related to biotic stresses such as pests (Melo-Braga et al., 2012), fungi (Walley et al., 2018), phytoplasma (Cao et al., 2019), and viruses (Yuan et al., 2021) have been reported. Most studies have suggested that LysAc participates in plant responses to biotic stresses by...
remodeling the transcriptional network and regulating metabolic processes and immune response.

The first report of a plant Lys-acetylproteome after pest infection concerned the exocarp and mesocarp of *V. vinifera* during *Lobesia botrana* infection (Melo-Braga et al., 2012) and identified 138 Lys-acetylated sites. However, the number of Lys-acetylated proteins in the mesocarp was much smaller than the numbers of phosphorylated and N-glycosylated proteins. The calcium-binding protein CaMCML and the aquaporin PIP1;3 have pivotal functions in the plant immune system (Ma et al., 2008). The two protein abundances changed differentially, but the LysAc levels of both proteins increased (Melo-Braga et al., 2012).

HC toxin (HCT) is an effector protein secreted by *Cochliobolus carbonum* that acts as a histone deacetylase inhibitor to induce hyperacetylation in both histones and nonhistones (Brosch et al., 1995; Walley et al., 2018). In *Z. mays*, 92.8% of the DAPs induced by HCT showed increased LysAc levels, and 52% of the DAPs were essential for plant defense, including histones, TFs, chromatin remodeling enzymes, and HAT. These results indicated that the hyperacetylation induced by HCT could promote pathogen virulence and reduce host plant immunity by reprogramming and breaking transcriptional networks (Walley et al., 2018).

Paulownia witches’ broom, the most widespread disease responsible for *P. tomentosa* mortality, is caused by a phytoplasma (Weintraub and Beanland, 2006). The DAPs in phytoplasma-infected *P. tomentosa* were enriched mainly in the peroxisome, fatty acid metabolism, glyoxylate and dicarboxylate metabolism, carbon fixation, and pentose phosphate pathways (Cao et al., 2019). RBCL and protochlorophyllide reductase (POR) play key roles in the regulation of starch and chlorophyll biosynthesis. The abundances of these two enzymes were not altered after infection, but their LysAc levels were increased. LysAc of K55 on RBCL1, K764 on RBCL2, and K78 and K339 on POR negatively regulated their enzyme activities (Cao et al., 2019).

Most of the DAPs in *N. benthamiana* leaves infected by Chinese wheat mosaic virus showed enhanced LysAc levels compared with uninfected plants, and various Lys-acetylated sites were located in conserved domains. In infected plants, DAPs involved in photosynthesis, carbon fixation, glyoxylate and dicarboxylate metabolism, and nitrogen metabolism showed increased LysAc levels, whereas DAPs that participate in fatty acid degradation, terpenoid backbone biosynthesis, and protein processing showed decreased LysAc levels (Yuan et al., 2021). Moreover, 51% of the Lys-acetylated proteins were located in the chloroplasts. The LysAc levels of proteins related to
the photosystem II complex, photosystem I subunits, and parts of chloroplast ATP synthesis were dramatically increased after viral infection. Hence, LysAc has a key function in the regulation of photosynthesis during viral infection.

### LysAc regulates plant abiotic stress responses

Numerous studies have reported that KATs and KDACs can activate stress-responsive genes and enhance abiotic stress tolerance by modulating histones and TFs (Kim et al., 2017; Shen et al., 2019; Zheng et al., 2020). Various stress-responsive proteins, including 14-3-3 protein, superoxide dismutase, CAT, and GPX, undergo LysAc. To date, plant Lys-acetylproteome studies have been performed for plant responses to water, nutrient, and temperature stresses. These studies have revealed that LysAc is likely to have a role in the regulation of stress adaptation by mediating signal transduction, respiration and energy metabolism, protein synthesis, and amino acid metabolism.

In *T. aestivum* under drought stress, the receptor-like kinase (RLK)-mediated signaling pathway and stress-responsive genes were activated (Zhu et al., 2018). Various enzymes involved in the glyoxylate module, the Calvin–Benson cycle, glycolysis/glucogenesis, the pentose phosphate pathway, and the TCA cycle showed altered LysAc levels. Stress-responsive proteins, starch biosynthetic proteins, and metabolic proteins also had significantly altered LysAc levels. Moreover, increased LysAc of ubiquitin-conjugating enzymes promoted the elimination of misfolded proteins and maintained protein stability by inhibiting proteasomal degradation (Zhu et al., 2018). In *K. candel* subjected to flooding stress, the transcript level of KAT increased while the content of acetyl-CoA decreased, consistent with the alteration of LysAc levels in DAPs (Pan et al., 2018). In addition, both Rubisco and RCA showed increased LysAc levels, but their activities were inhibited under flooding stress. Thus, an increase in RCA1 transcription is probably required to compensate for the decreased activity and maintain relatively high Rubisco activity.

Nutrient deficiency is an important limitation on plant growth. Compared with LysAc under nitrogen (N) starvation, the number of Lys-acetylated proteins in *C. sinensis* increased after N resupply (Jiang et al., 2018). The Lys-acetylated proteins were significantly enriched in the photosystem and thylakoid membrane after N resupply, whereas the DAPs were enriched in photosynthesis. The LysAc levels of electron transfer proteins, chlorophyll *a/b*-binding proteins, photosystem I and II subunits, cytochrome *b*6/*f*, and ATP synthases increased following N resupply in the short term (3 h) but decreased in the long term (3 days). Therefore, changes in plant LysAc levels caused by N limitation varied over time.

With regard to temperature stress, the LysAc levels of histones H3K27 and H3K36 in *O. sativa* increased significantly under cold stress (4°C). A protein–protein interaction network revealed that Lys-acetylated proteins were enriched mainly in ribosome, proteasome, glutathione metabolism, protein processing, and secondary metabolic biosynthesis (Xue et al., 2018). However, under high-temperature stress (35°C, 40°C, and 45°C), the LysAc levels of histones H2A.6 and H2A.4 increased. The DAPs that exhibited increased LysAc levels at 40°C were mainly related to photosynthesis, respiration, and DNA synthesis, whereas at 45°C they were mainly related to DNA synthesis (Liu et al., 2019).

In summary, under biotic stresses, the gene expression and enzyme activities of HATs and HDACs can change the host’s original LysAc patterns, especially for metabolism-associated enzymes. Pathogens can also deliver effectors with HAT and HDAC activities that may reprogram the host transcription and LysAc network, triggering perturbation of the host immune system. Moreover, LysAc of proteins related to pathogen virulence can promote infection and suppress host defenses. Under abiotic stresses, alteration of the external environment induces LysAc of intracellular signal transduction molecules, and activation of stress-responsive genes and proteins can enhance plant stress tolerance. Furthermore, numerous proteins associated with key metabolic processes, especially photosynthesis, energy metabolism, and protein degradation, show altered LysAc levels in response to stress exposure. Hence, LysAc plays a non-negligible role in biotic and abiotic stress responses (Figure 5A).

### LysAc STUDIES IN LOWER PLANTS

Because there are fewer studies of LysAc in lower plants than in higher plants, we focus here on *P. tricornutum* and *P. patens*. *P. tricornutum* is a model diatom species with a sequenced genome (Alipanah et al., 2015); it contains 24 and 15 genes encoding KATs and KDACs, respectively (Chen et al., 2018). Veluchamy et al. (2015) performed a genome-wide characterization of histone LysAc by describing the genome-wide distribution of K9/K14 in histone H3. Chen et al. (2018) analyzed the Lys-acetylproteome of *P. tricornutum* exposed to nitrogen, iron, and phosphate deficiencies and identified 2324 Lys-acetylated sites on 1220 proteins, including at least 39 histone Lys-acetylated sites. Over sixty-one percent of these Lys-acetylated proteins were also detected in higher plants (*A. thaliana*, *V. vinifera*, *S. tuberosum*, *O. sativa*, *G. max*, and *F. ananassa*), fungi (*S. cerevisiae*), and bacteria (*Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803), revealing potentially conserved functions of LysAc among species. There were 17 Lys-acetylated motifs in *P. tricornutum*, and all except for LKacY, l*KacL*, l*Kac*, and F*Kac* can be found in at least one species of higher plant, according to the 27 Lys-acetylproteomes summarized previously (Table 2). Consistent with the amino acid preferences of LysAc in higher plants, Y at the −1 and +1 positions, F at the −1/+1/+2 positions, and L at the −1/+2 positions are conserved in *P. tricornutum*. In terms of the protein secondary structures surrounding the Lys-acetylated lysine, the smallest number of Lys-acetylated sites are located in *β*-strand regions in both higher plants and *P. tricornutum* (Chen et al., 2018). More Lys-acetylated sites are found in *α* helices than in coil regions in both higher plants and *P. tricornutum*. The *α* helices in *C. reinhardtii* are located in *β*-strand regions in higher plants and *P. tricornutum* (Chen et al., 2018). More Lys-acetylated sites are found in *α* helices than in coil regions in both higher plants and *P. tricornutum*. The *α* helices in *C. reinhardtii* are located in *β*-strand regions in higher plants and *P. tricornutum* (Chen et al., 2018).
These findings suggest that LysAc can regulate various cellular processes of *P. tricornutum*, especially fatty acid metabolism, and that there are both conserved and contrasting LysAc events in lower and higher plants.

*P. patens* is a premier model species for investigating questions in evolutionary, developmental, and cell biology (Rensing et al., 2020). In *P. patens* gametophores, 638 proteins were identified as acetylated at 1–9 lysine sites. Only eight proteins contained more than five Lys-acetylated sites, indicating that a relatively small number of LysAc events occur on a single protein compared with the number in higher plants (Balparda et al., 2021). Approximately fifty percent of the identified Lys-acetylated proteins were located in the mitochondria and plastids, and most TCA cycle enzymes were identified as Lys-acetylated, consistent with mitochondrial Lys-acetylproteomes in higher plants. Numerous orthologs of *P. patens* TCA cycle proteins, including MDH, and other mitochondrial proteins were Lys-acetylated in *A. thaliana* mitochondria also, whereas few acetylated lysines were common to both species (Balparda et al., 2021).

**INTERPLAY BETWEEN LysAc AND N²-TERMINAL ACETYLATION**

In addition to LysAc, proteins can also be modified by other types of acetylation, such as N²-terminal acetylation (NTA), which is considered to be the closest cousin of KAC (Mukherjee et al., 2006; Giglione and Meinell, 2021). NTA is a ubiquitous co-translational or post-translational modification that is catalyzed by N²-terminal acetyltransferases (NATs) and involves the transfer of an acetyl group from acetyl-CoA to the protein α-amino group (Zhang et al., 2017; Aksnes et al., 2019). Distinctive features are observed in the two acetylations. First, LysAc is a reversible modification that occurs after translation, whereas NTA is irreversible and mainly occurs co-translationally (Bienvenut et al., 2020). Second, all known NATs belong to the GNAT superfamily, whereas KATs contain more families beyond the GNAT (Drazic et al., 2016). In addition, each member of the NAT family has complex preferences for different substrates in N-terminal residues, and protein NTA occurs independent of a single residue type or simple consensus motifs. By contrast, KATs target only lysine, and LysAc occurs at conserved motifs around preferred amino acid residues (Polevoda and Sherman, 2003; Bienvenut et al., 2012).

In multicellular organisms, KAT occurs more frequently than NTA (Linster and Wirtz, 2018). Regarding the interaction between LysAc and NTA, numerous identified NATs possess KAT activity as well. Bienvenut et al. (2020) identified eight plastid-associated NATs in *A. thaliana*, six of which possessed dual NAT and KAT activities and most of which could trigger hyper-LysAc in *E. coli* when GNATs were overexpressed. *A. thaliana* AtNAA60 is the first identified plasma membrane-anchored NAT; it also possesses KAT activity, although its KAT activity is much weaker than its NAT activity (Linster et al., 2020). On the other hand, NATs can show auto-LysAc activity. Dinh et al. (2015) identified the first chloroplast NAT (AtNAA70) in *A. thaliana* and demonstrated that it could auto-acetylate at K217, K254, and K265. AtNAA50 is located in the cytosol, endoplasmic reticulum (ER), and nuclei in *A. thaliana*, and it can be auto-Lys-acetylated *in vitro* as well (Armbruster et al., 2020).

Because both NATs and KATs require acetyl-CoA, a functional redundancy probably exists between them when targeting the same substrates. Accordingly, a possible interplay between NTA and LysAc may involve their competition for acetyl-CoA and substrates, even on the same lysine residue. However, studies of interactions between LysAc and NTA are still largely lacking.

**CROSSTALK BETWEEN LysAc AND OTHER PTMs**

Lysine can be modified by phosphorylation, succinylation, ubiquitylation, and other PTMs, and different PTMs can occur on the same or adjacent sites within one protein (Fischle et al., 2003; Soufi et al., 2012). Hence, there is a complex interaction of cooperation and competition between LysAc and other PTMs to regulate plant growth and development. Here, integrative analyses of the crosstalk between LysAc and other PTMs focus on phosphorylation and succinylation.

**Crosstalk between LysAc and phosphorylation**

Phosphorylation is one of the most important PTMs in both prokaryotes and eukaryotes and is mediated by kinases and phosphatases (Cohen, 2002; Ardito et al., 2017). Integrative analyses of crosstalk between LysAc and phosphorylation have revealed that the two PTMs regulate plant pollen development, abiotic stress, and the diurnal cycle through modification of numerous cellular pathways (Figure 5B).

During pollen development in developing anthers of *O. sativa*, near the time of meiosis, 189 proteins were found to be Lys-acetylated and phosphorylated simultaneously, and 103 dual-modified proteins overlapped in the meiocyte proteome (Li et al., 2018a). Analysis of the interaction network of the dual-modified proteins indicated that over half contained more phosphorylated sites than Lys-acetylated sites, although proteins involved in sugar metabolism and protein processing in the ER contained more Lys-acetylated sites. For proteins relevant to tapetum and pollen development, phosphorylation was more likely to modify TFs, whereas LysAc preferentially occurred on enzymes (Li et al., 2018a). In the *H. cannabinus* anther, 22 proteins were modified by both PTMs, and 63.6% (14/22) of these proteins showed opposite trends in the two PTMs (Chen et al., 2019).

In terms of abiotic stress, LysAc in *V. vinifera* had stronger modification effects on photosynthesis and Calvin–Benson cycle proteins under high temperature, whereas phosphorylation was observed more frequently in HSPs and proteins associated with alternative splicing (Liu et al., 2019). LysAc can decrease Rubisco activity, whereas phosphorylation has the opposite effect; however, both PTMs can decrease RCA activity (Boex-Fontvieille et al., 2014). The phosphorylation and LysAc levels of RCA and Rubisco increase simultaneously in response to heat, and the phosphorylation of Rubisco can compensate for decreased RCA activity (Liu et al., 2019). In *T. aestivum* seeds under drought stress, LysAc has a stronger effect on domains...
of the dual-modified proteins than does phosphorylation (Zhu et al., 2018).

LysAc and phosphorylation can also coordinately regulate the diurnal cycle in A. thaliana (Uhrig et al., 2017). The two PTMs occur preferentially on proteins of plant primary metabolism rather than secondary metabolism. LysAc predominantly modulates proteins that participate in metabolic processes and stress responses, whereas phosphorylation modulates more proteins involved in RNA processing, cell division, and the cell cycle. The abundance of the two PTMs in different tissues changes significantly during the diurnal cycle, and striking diurnal changes in LysAc and phosphorylation occur on enzymes associated with glycolysis and the Calvin–Benson cycle, respectively (Uhrig et al., 2017).

Crosstalk between LysAc and succinylation

Lysine succinylation is catalyzed by succinyltransferase and involves the addition of the succinyl group from succinyl-CoA to the lysine residue; desuccinylation is catalyzed by desuccinylase and is associated with the removal of succinyl groups from lysine (Zhang et al., 2011). Enzymes of succinyl-CoA metabolism can be highly Lys-acetylated. Among the proteins modified by both LysAc and succinylation, numerous common modified sites in polar/basic amino acids and nonpolar hydrophobic regions have been detected, and K*E, K*D, KK, and KR are enriched in both succinylation and LysAc (He et al., 2016; Xu et al., 2017), suggesting that LysAc has a closer interaction with succinylation than with other PTMs (Weinert et al., 2013). Crosstalk analyses of the two PTMs indicate that LysAc and succinylation enable the regulation of seed germination, leaf development, and biotic and abiotic stress responses through their effects on photosynthesis, carbon metabolism, amino acid metabolism, and protein synthesis and degradation (Figure 5B).

In terms of seed germination, 142 proteins exhibited LysAc and succinylation in O. sativa seeds collected 24 h after imbibition. In particular, 1-cysteine peroxiredoxin had nine Lys-acetylated sites, 13 succinylated sites, and seven overlapping sites. In addition, 133 commonly modified sites on 78 proteins were preferentially exposed on the protein surface (He et al., 2016). LysAc exerts stronger modification effects than succinylation on histones, translation initiation factors, and enzymes related to fatty acid synthesis, whereas succinylation can dramatically modify late embryogenesis abundant proteins and enzymes involved in the TCA cycle. The proteasome and pentose phosphate pathways are also enriched in Lys-acetylproteomes, whereas proteins related to glyoxylate and dicarboxylate metabolism are enriched only in succinylproteomes (He et al., 2016).

Regarding biotic stress, in seedlings of P. tomentosa infected by phytoplasma, more proteins were observed to undergo LysAc than succinylation, and there was a more striking change in LysAc than in succinylation (Cao et al., 2019). The dual-modified proteins were mainly enriched in ribosome and proteasome functions, revealing potential roles of LysAc and succinylation in protein synthesis, repair, and degradation after infection (Cao et al., 2019). Under oxidative stress, 723 proteins of O. sativa exhibited LysAc and succinylation. Specifically, 11 sites in RubL underwent LysAc and succinylation simultaneously. However, only 12%–32% of the Lys-acetylated or succinylated sites showed differences in abundance after H2O2 treatment, and most were decreased (Zhou et al., 2018). Almost all of the enzymes associated with glycolysis/gluconeogenesis, the TCA cycle, and the pentose phosphate pathway are modified by both PTMs. However, LysAc modulates more proteins related to nucleosome and purine ribosomal large subunit assembly, whereas succinylation occurs more frequently in proteins associated with coupled proton transport, ATP synthesis, and cellular responses to oxidative stress. Thus, succinylation and LysAc commonly participate in the regulation of plant physiological processes (Zhou et al., 2018).

Overall, numerous proteins are modified by more than one PTM, and these proteins are mainly involved in metabolic processes, especially primary metabolism, and thereby affect plant growth and adaptation to biotic and abiotic stresses. These results suggest that complex interactions among PTMs may coordinately regulate the plant life cycle (Figure 5B).

PERCEPTIONS

The enrichment and identification of Lys-acetylated proteins are prerequisites for the investigation of LysAc. LysAc proteomics has been performed in various plants and have revealed numerous Lys-acetylated histone and nonhistone proteins. However, recent plant Lys-acetylproteomics research has focused mainly on annual crops, less on perennial woody plants, and rarely on lower plants. The LysAc regulatory networks that act at specific plant developmental stages and the distribution of Lys-acetylated proteins among subcellular structures have also rarely been characterized. Hence, global LysAc proteomic analyses in more plant species, tissues, and subcellular structures are needed. There have also been few analyses of plant Lys-acetylproteomes in response to biotic and abiotic stresses. For biotic stresses, Lys-acetylproteomes have been evaluated in plants infected by only a few pests, fungi, and viruses, and responses to bacteria have rarely been described. Therefore, integration of the molecular mechanism by which LysAc affects host susceptibility and the effects of pathogenicity will provide new insights into the prevention of plant diseases. Investigations of the effects of abiotic stresses using Lys-acetylproteomes are also necessary.

Until recently, our understanding of KATs and KDACs was focused mainly on histones rather than nonhistone proteins. LysAc proteomics can only detect whether and where proteins are acetylated; it cannot assess the effects of LysAc on their activities (Santos-Rosa et al., 2003; Berndsen et al., 2007; Bienvenut et al., 2020). Furthermore, LysAc can occur nonenzymatically in mitochondria in vitro, even after heat inactivation (Koenig et al., 2014). To obtain a deeper understanding of the complex enzymatic mechanisms of LysAc and LysDeAc in the future, we can construct mutants that encode HATs or HDAs and use a combination of LysAc proteomics, transcriptomics, and immunoprecipitation to explore the functions and the target substrates of plant KATs and KDACs.

Numerous Lys-acetylated proteins identified by LysAc proteomics are enriched in various metabolic processes, especially in the central carbon metabolic pathway. Many Lys-acetylated proteins show altered LysAc levels that are independent of protein abundance.
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However, the effects of LysAc on protein folding, structure, and activity are still largely unknown. Moreover, system-wide analyses of LysAc mechanisms in specific pathways are remarkably limited. In the future, we can apply and incorporate site-specific direct LysAc into recombinant proteins and express them in E. coli or mutate Lys-acetylated K to R or Q to hinder the LysAc status and help to define the effects of LysAc in target proteins.

A competitive interplay probably exists between NTA and LysAc. Increasing evidence indicates that there are complex and extensive interactions between LysAc and other PTMs (Fischle et al., 2003; Soufi et al., 2012). However, there have been few plant studies of the interaction between LysAc and NTA, and crosstalk analyses of different PTMs have focused mainly on the crosstalk among LysAc, phosphorylation, and succinylation. Therefore, more investigations are needed on the effects of LysAc, NAT, and other PTMs on target proteins, the regulatory mechanisms of LysAc on key enzymes, and the means by which KATs and KDACs are modified by other PTMs.
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