IncRNA TRMP-S directs dual mechanisms to regulate p27-mediated cellular senescence
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Long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) undergo extensive alternative splicing, but little is known about isoform functions. A prior investigation of IncRNA RP11-369C8.1 reported that its splice variant TRMP suppressed p27 translation through PTBP1. Here we characterize a second major splice variant, TRMP-S (short variant), whose enforced loss promotes cancer cell-cycle arrest and p27-dependent entry into cellular senescence. Remarkably, despite sharing a single common exon with TRMP, TRMP-S restraints p27 expression through distinct mechanisms. First, TRMP-S stabilizes UHRF1 protein levels, an epigenetic inhibitor of p27, by promoting interactions between UHRF1 and its deubiquitinating enzyme USP7. Alternatively, binding interactions between TRMP-S and FUBP3 prevent p53 mRNA interactions with RPL26 ribosomal protein, the latter essential for promoting p53 translation with ensuing suppression of p53 translation limiting p27 expression. Significantly, as TRMP-S is itself transactivated by p53, this identifies negative feedback regulation between p53 and TRMP-S. Different splicing variants of the RP11-369C8.1 gene thereby exert distinct roles that converge on the homeostatic control of p27 expression, providing an important precedent for understanding the actions of alternatively spliced lncRNAs.

INTRODUCTION

Alternative gene splicing contributes to the expansion of the eukaryotic proteome and is considered critical for organismal complexity.
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pleotropic transcription factor that regulates numerous cellular processes ranging from DNA repair to cell-cycle arrest, apoptosis, senescence, and metabolic adaptation. There is increasing evidence linking p53 with IncRNAs, which function as both effectors and regulators of p53 signaling. Here we sought to explore the functional consequences of alternative splicing in the p53-regulated lncRNA gene RP11-369C8.1.

A previous report investigating one splice variant of the RP11-369C8.1 gene called this TRMP, an abbreviation for “TP53-regulated modulator of p27,” since it suppressed p27 translation through a competitive mechanism involving the polypyrimidine tract-binding protein 1 (PTBP1). Furthermore, TRMP promoted cell proliferation and tumor growth, thus identifying it as a pro-tumorigenic lncRNA. We identified that TRMP and a shorter variant we call TRMP-S were the most highly expressed splice variants in cultured cancer cells. TRMP-S, like TRMP, functions to inhibit the expression of p27, and its enforced loss similarly promotes cell-cycle arrest and inhibits growth. We also established that a major outcome of inhibiting TRMP/TRMP-S involves p27-mediated cellular senescence. However, despite these similarities, TRMP-S achieves these outcomes exclusively through pathways independent of PTBP1. First, TRMP-S exerts epigenetic control over p27 levels by directly stabilizing the E3 ubiquitin ligase UHRF1, which is known to repress p27. A second regulatory pathway invoked by TRMP-S involves a feedback loop acting on p53 expression, which in turn modulates p27 transcription. TRMP-S binds with FUBP3 to sequester ribosomal protein L26 (RPL26)-p53 mRNA complexes, which are essential for p53 mRNA translation. This in turn inhibits p53 mRNA translation, which prevents transactivation of p27. Our study shows remarkably that different splice variants of a single lncRNA converge to regulate p27 through multiple discrete mechanisms. This provides an important precedent for understanding the consequences of alternative splicing in lncRNA genes.

**RESULTS**

**Alternative splicing analysis of the RP11-369C8.1 gene identifies TRMP and TRMP-S as major splice variants**

RP11-369C8.1 is a lncRNA gene located on the negative strand of chromosome 14. According to data compiled within the Ensembl database (Ensembl: ENSG00000258616), RP11-369C8.1 encodes five alternatively spliced variants designated RP11-369C8.1-001 through -005. Each isoform, designated here as variants 1–5, is composed of a combination of two or three full or partial exons derived from a total of five exons, with each isoform sharing a common terminating exon. This listing does not include the previously characterized splicing variant called TRMP, which is similar in composition to RP11-369C8.1-004, but the former lacks exon 3 (Figure 1A). Thus, we consider that there were six discrete isoforms in RP11-369C8.1.

We first evaluated RP11-369C8.1 variant isoform expression across the spectrum of 33 cancer types present in the TCGA database. Within the online tool GEPIA2, isoform-specific data available for the five annotated RP11-369C8.1 variants showed pronounced RP11-369C8.1 expression among approximately half of all cancer types (GEPIA2: RP11-369C8.1; Figure S1A). Notably, expression levels among the five isoforms were remarkably consistent within each cancer type, suggesting that multiple alternative splice variants are a common regulatory facet of the RP11-369C8.1 gene.

As noted in Introduction, the RP11-369C8.1 gene was named TRMP based on the basis of its direct regulation by p53 with the prior published study focusing analyses on the TRMP isoform (Figure 1A). In order to explore the functions of alternative splicing in the RP11-369C8.1 gene, we examined the relative expression of its annotated isoforms in H1299 cells carrying an inducible p53 Tet-On system. Upon doxycycline (DOX) treatment and induction of p53, we observed time-dependent increases in the levels of variant isoforms 1, 2, and 4 along with TRMP and DINO (positive controls), whereas isoforms 3 and 5 were not detectably expressed (Figure 1B). Similar analyses of wild-type p53-expressing HCT116 colon cancer cells showed that they also lacked detectable expression of variants 3 and 5 (Figure S1B).

We next considered the function of each of the four RP11-369C8.1 variant isoforms expressed by HCT116 cells. Quantitative PCR (qPCR) analyses showed that isoforms 1, 2, and 4 and TRMP could be selectively inhibited with short hairpin (sh)RNA-mediated knockdown (Figure S1C). Moreover, we found that depletion of variant 1 and TRMP but not variants 2 and 4 induced cellular senescence as measured by increased senescence-associated β-galactosidase staining (Figure 1C). Furthermore, western blotting against cell lysates showed that knockdown of variant 1 and TRMP but no other isoforms led to increased expression of p27 (Figure S1D). Consistent with p53-dependent regulation, treatment of HCT116 cells with...
DNA-damaging agents (etoposide and cisplatin) also resulted in time-dependent increases in variant 1 and TRMP expression (Figure 1D). On the basis of TRMP being designated the TP53-regulated modulator of p27,31 we named the comparatively shorter variant 1 isoform TRMP-S (Figures 1A and S1D).

To further characterize TRMP-S, we conducted expression profiling across a panel of mixed-origin cell lines bearing wild-type p53 along with a p53-null (+/−) variant of HCT116 cells. For the majority of lines, TRMP-S expression levels were higher in cells exhibiting higher levels of p53 protein, and, instructively, the highest levels of TRMP-S occurred in HCT116+/− cells, but this was reduced ~5-fold in HCT116−/− cells. There were some discrepancies, since the levels of the p53 target genes p21 and p27 were not entirely reflected by p53 expression (Figure S1E), but this is not surprising given the complex nature of p53 regulation. Further analysis showed that TRMP-S is localized to nuclear and cytoplasmic pools in HCT116 cells at a ratio of 70:30 (Figure 1E), with absolute quantitation analyses showing that there are ~75 copies/cell under basal conditions, which increases to ~300 copies/cell after induction of p53 for 24 h (Figure 1F). The three alternatively spliced exons (#2, #3, and #5) and the corresponding sequence information for TRMP-S are shown in Figure S1F. Bioinformatic interrogation within the LNCipedia database37 (Incipedia: RP11-369C8.1) indicated that TRMP and TRMP-S have low protein coding potential, indicative that they represent bona fide IncRNAs. Notably, the predicted structures of TRMP-S and TRMP, which only partially share a single exon in common, are very different (Figure S1G).

These data establish that TRMP and TRMP-S are the two predominate isoforms of RP11-369C8.1 induced by p53 in cell line models and that inhibiting their expression results in cellular senescence associated with increased p27 expression.

TRMP-S promotes cell growth and inhibits senescence
As noted in Introduction, a prior report concerning TRMP focused on its actions in promoting cell-cycle progression and growth through inhibition of p27 expression.34 Although senescence was not specifically studied after TRMP depletion, it seemed probable that inhibition of the cell cycle and cell entry into senescence were interrelated phenomena. This was notionally supported by the observation that >50% of HCT116 cells became senescent after TRMP and TRMP-S depletion (Figure 1C). To verify this aspect, we further expanded our functional analyses to include a second line (A549 cells) as well as assays employing RNAi and overexpression of TRMP-S.

We first confirmed that knockdown of TRMP-S in A549 cells, like HCT116 cells, resulted in senescence-associated β-galactosidase staining in a high percentage of cells (Figure S2A). Moreover, confirming that the increases in β-galactosidase staining were due to senescence, depletion of TRMP-S was also associated with increases in the formation of senescence-associated heterochromatin foci (SAHFs),38 measured as punctate nuclear staining against H3K9me3 (Figures 2A and S2B). Similarly, there were elevated extra-cellular levels of interleukin (IL)-6 and IL-8 (Figures 2B and S2C), which is a characteristic feature of the senescence-associated secretory phenotype (SASP).39 Collectively these experiments indicate that cells enter senescence after depletion of TRMP-S.

In concert with assays reporting senescence, we examined measurements of cell growth and proliferation. Knockdown of TRMP-S in A549 and HCT116 cells resulted in significant retardation of cell growth (Figures 2C and S2D), a finding recapitulated in colony formation assays (Figures 2D and S2E). Moreover, confirming that depletion of TRMP-S leads to cell-cycle arrest, the number of proliferating cells indicated by EdU (5-ethyl-2'-deoxyuridine) incorporation was significantly decreased (Figures 2E and S2F), along with significant increases in the proportion of cells in the G1/G0 phase (Figures 2F and S2G). Conversely, overexpression of TRMP-S, as previously observed with TRMP,40 promoted significant increases in cell growth rates (Figures 2G and S2H). Furthermore, knockdown of TRMP-S was associated with significant reductions in select p53 cell cycle target genes (CDK4 and cyclin D1; Figure 2H).

Together these results establish that TRMP-S promotes cancer cell growth and that inhibiting its expression results in cell-cycle arrest leading to the induction of senescence, outcomes shared with the
Figure 3. TRMP-S inhibits cellular senescence through a UHRF1-p27 axis

(A) RNA-protein pull-down assays were conducted against HCT116 cell lysate with biotin-labeled sense (control) or antisense (test) TRMP-S probes. Protein IDs of interest are listed. (B) Western blotting to verify the presence of each of the seven candidate TRMP-S-associating proteins in RNA pull-down samples from (A). Actin was used as a negative control. (C) The effect of TRMP-S knockdown on the levels of the seven candidate proteins from (A). HCT116 cells were transduced with sh-ctrl or independent shRNAs against TRMP-S before analysis by western blotting. Actin was used as a loading control. (D) HCT116 cells were subjected to immunoprecipitation (IP) against and (legend continued on next page)
TRMP isoform. However, despite the functional parallels between TRMP and TRMP-S, it remained to be established whether they act through the same mechanism.

**TRMP-S inhibits cellular senescence through transcriptional and translational mechanisms to suppress p27**

The TRMP isoform was demonstrated to function through inhibition of p27 expression,34 and we extended this result to show that inhibition of both TRMP and TRMP-S resulted in p27 accumulation that accompanied senescence induction (Figures 1C and S1D). To ensure that p27 was the principal effector of senescence associated with TRMP-S, we interrogated the expression of other mediators known to enact senescence programming, namely p21, p16, and p15.40-42 In addition to the strong induction of p27 in HCT116 cells, there were also modest increases in p21 expression observed after TRMP-S knockdown, although not p16 or p15 expression (Figure 2I). Consistently, the increases in p27 and p21 expression were reflected in mRNA level increases (Figure 2H). To establish the relative importance of p27 and p21, we depleted these mediators in combination with TRMP-S and measured the effects on cellular senescence. Instructively, knockdown of p27 but not p21 was able to block senescence mediated by TRMP-S depletion (Figures S2I and S2J), indicating that p27 is the target of TRMP-S and that p27 is the functional mediator that induces senescence in this setting. Interestingly, treatment with different pharmacological aging reagents indicated that all to some extent, particularly metformin, induced TRMP-S with downregulation of p27 (Figure 2J), suggesting that the actions of metformin also involve TRMP-S-mediated dampening of p27.

It was reported that TRMP acts to suppress p27 through a PTBP1-based mechanism that inhibits its translation.34 To establish whether TRMP-S also functions through this mechanism, we examined its impact on the levels of p27 mRNA and protein. qPCR-based analyses showed that silencing of TRMP-S increased the levels of p27 mRNA (Figure 2H), and, moreover, cycloheximide chase assays suggested that TRMP-S knockdown increased p27 protein levels through increased stability (Figure 2K). Together these data suggested that TRMP-S affects p27 expression through dual effects on p27 mRNA and protein. However, these findings differ from the reported actions of TRMP, which did not significantly influence p27 mRNA expression or alter the turnover rates of the p27 protein.34 These differential actions against p27 likely arise through distinct structural domains formed by TRMP and TRMP-S (Figure S1G). Thus, although TRMP and TRMP-S functionally converge on p27, these data suggest that TRMP-S functions discretely in this role.

**TRMP-S stabilization of UHRF1 inhibits p27 transcription**

Considering that TRMP-S acted to destabilize p27 protein, we considered that it may function in this capacity through RNA-protein interactions. We therefore sought to identify potential protein mediators of this activity by conducting RNA pull-down assays. SDS-PAGE analysis of samples recovered with biotin-labeled TRMP-S sense (control) and antisense (specific) probes revealed a number of specific protein bands co-precipitating with TRMP-S from HCT116 cell lysates. These bands were excised and subjected to identification using mass spectrometry (MS). Excluding commonly recovered contaminants such as keratin, albumin, and general RNA-binding proteins, we focused on seven proteins previously associated with senescence and/or p27 or p21 (Figure 3A; Table S1). Western blotting analyses confirmed that three of the seven candidate proteins were selectively recovered in the RNA pull-down samples, namely UHRF1, FUBP3, and STRAP (Figure 3B). Moreover, examination of the levels of the candidate proteins after depletion of TRMP-S showed that only UHRF1 levels were significantly reduced (Figure 3C). Accordingly, we determined whether TRMP-S could directly interact with UHRF1 using RNA-immunoprecipitation (RIP) assays. Indeed, a specific interaction between endogenous UHRF1 and TRMP-S was readily detected in HCT116 cells (Figure 3D), indicating that UHRF1 is a bona fide binding partner of TRMP-S.

UHRF1 acts as an epigenetic mediator of gene repression through serving to recruit DNA methyltransferase 1 (DNMT1) and histone deacetylase HDAC1 to gene promoters,43-44 including cdkn1b/p27 in murine cells.45 To verify the notion that UHRF1-TRMP-S interaction underlies the upregulation of p27, we knocked down UHRF1 alone and in combination with knockdown of TRMP-S and measured the levels of p27 (Figure 3E). Instructively, shRNA against UHRF1 resulted in increased p27 levels to an extent similar to TRMP-S knockdown (1.9-fold versus 2.4-fold, respectively), and the combination of UHRF1 and TRMP-S shRNAs was only marginally more effective at increasing p27 levels (2.8-fold versus 2.4-fold, respectively). As anticipated, knockdown of UHRF1 resulted in increases in p27 mRNA levels (Figure S3A). Furthermore, as previously indicated, TRMP-S is found in both nuclear and cytoplasmic compartments (Figure 1E), and consistent with the proposed epigenetic mechanism, interactions between TRMP-S and UHRF1 were detected in nuclear fractions (Figures S3B and S3C). These data implied that TRMP-S expression is substantially required for the regulatory effects of UHRF1 on p27 levels. In addition, the observation that TRMP-S depletion reduced UHRF1 protein levels (Figure 3C) further suggested that TRMP-S binding to UHRF1 acts to increase its stability.
To examine this hypothesis, cycloheximide chase assays were performed to compare UHRF1 protein stability in cells where TRMP-S had been either depleted or overexpressed in HCT116 cells. Indeed, the rate of UHRF1 protein decay was relatively increased in cells where TRMP-S had been depleted (Figure S3D), whereas UHRF1 protein levels were comparatively stabilized in cells expressing ectopic TRMP-S (Figure S3E). Together these data support the notion that TRMP-S positively affects UHRF1 stability. To further investigate whether TRMP-S influences the fate of UHRF1 through the ubiquitin proteasome-dependent system, treating HCT116 cells with the proteasomal inhibitor MG132 showed this to stabilize UHRF1 protein levels (Figure S3F). Moreover, the levels of ubiquitinated UHRF1 were observed to either increase or decrease when TRMP-S was knocked down or over-expressed, respectively (Figures 3F and 3G). Further investigating the structural basis of UHRF1-TRMP-S interaction by employing protein domain mutants of UHRF1 demonstrated that the binding region of TRMP-S to UHRF1 was localized within its conserved ubiquitin-like and plant homeodomain domains (Figure S3G). Collectively these data indicated that TRMP-S-mediated stabilization of UHRF1 occurred via effects on the ubiquitin proteasome pathway.

To further dissect the mechanism underlying the stabilization of UHRF1 by TRMP-S, we considered the involvement of USP7, a deubiquitinating enzyme reported to interact with UHRF1.44 Instructively, we observed that depletion of TRMP-S did not affect endogenous USP7 protein levels (Figure S3H), so we pondered whether TRMP-S was influencing interactions between UHRF1 and USP7. Assessing the relative levels of UHRF1 that co-precipitated with USP7 demonstrated that depletion of TRMP-S substantially inhibited their interaction (Figure 3H), whereas increasing the cellular levels of TRMP-S through overexpression increased the UHRF1-USP7 interaction (Figure 3I). These observations propose that TRMP-S facilitates or even stabilizes the UHRF1-USP7 interaction with USP7-mediated deubiquitination of UHRF1 preventing its proteasomal degradation via auto-ubiquitination.

TRMP-S prevents transactivation of p27 through inhibition of a p53 negative feedback loop

The preceding section demonstrated how TRMP-S prevents turnover of UHRF1, a protein that has previously been shown to epigenetically silence cdkn1b/p27.45 This did not intrinsically explain how either p27 mRNA levels or protein stabilization occurred after TRMP-S knockdown (Figure 2H and K). Since p27 is a well-known transcriptional target of p53,47 an important clue was provided by the increased p53 protein levels that resulted from knockdown of TRMP-S (Figure 4A). We verified that TRMP-S expression was driven by p53 in HCT116 cells, using both knockdown and overexpression of p53 to demonstrate changes in TRMP-S levels (Figures S4A and S4B, respectively). Collectively these observations propose negative feedback regulation between p53 and TRMP-S. Consistent with this postulate, overexpression of TRMP-S inhibited the expression of p53 along with p27 (Figure 4B). Importantly, the knockdown of p53 in combination with TRMP-S largely prevented increases in p27 levels (Figure 4C), a finding that attributes a substantial proportion of the TRMP-S effects on p27 levels to p53.

Consistent with TRMP-S suppressing p53-mediated transactivation of p27, TRMP-S knockdown increased the recovery of p53-bound p27 promoter fragments in chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays (Figure 4D).

We further observed that knockdown of TRMP-S does not affect the stability of p53 protein (Figure S4C), likely indicating that TRMP-S does not alter p53 proteasomal degradation. Thus, to better understand how TRMP-S was influencing p53 expression, we considered the involvement of TRMP-S-interacting proteins identified in the RNA pull-down screen (Figure 3A). Among these, FUBP3 attracted our intention, as it has been reported as an RNA-binding protein implicated in both negative and positive gene regulation through transcriptional and translational mechanisms.48,49 FUBP3 has not been previously associated with p53 regulation per se, but substantiating this connection, the levels of p53 protein and p27 were increased after knockdown of FUBP3 (Figure 4E).

In concert with the above experiments, we conducted MS-based analyses of proteins that interact with FUBP3 in HCT116 cells. Potential protein partners that co-precipitated with FUBP3 included the ribosomal protein L26 (RPL26) (Table S2), a protein previously shown to enhance p53 mRNA translation46 and also associated with stabilizing p53 protein by inhibiting MDM2 activity.50 Interrogating FUBP3 immunoprecipitations (IPs) verified that RPL26 was a bona fide interacting protein (Figure 4F), and, moreover, RPL26 was also selectively
recovered in RNA pull-down assays against TRMP-S (Figure 4G). Strikingly, RIP analyses targeting p53 showed that FUBP3 was selectively recovered with p53 mRNA (Figure 4H) and that p53 mRNA was selectively recovered in FUBP3 IPs (Figure 4I). The mutual binding events observed between TRMP-S, p53 mRNA, FUBP3, and RPL26 thus be fitted consideration as the underlying means of TRMP-S-mediated suppression of p53.

Subsequent observations support a scenario in which both TRMP-S and FUBP3 are required to sequester RPL26 from p53 mRNA. First, after knockdown of TRMP-S, the amount of FUBP3 recovered within RPL26 immunoprecipitates was reduced, while the relative recovery of p53 mRNA with RPL26 increased (Figure 4J). Second, FUBP3 knockdown eliminated the association of TRMP-S with RPL26, and, similarly, this manipulation increased the amount of p53 mRNA precipitating with RPL26 (Figure 4K). Moreover, RNA pull-down assays showed that the relative amounts of RPL26 recovered with p53 mRNA decreased after TRMP-S overexpression, while the amount of FUBP3 recovered with RPL26 was increased (Figure S4D). Collectively these observations propose that TRMP-S and FUBP3 act concertedly to sequester RPL26 from p53 mRNA.

Based on the above paradigm we predicted that TRMP-S acts to check the process of p53 translation. Toward this, we estimated p53 protein translation levels with polysome profiling assays. From this approach it was evident that the levels of p53 mRNA associating with elongating polysomes was substantially increased upon TRMP-S knockdown (Figure 4L). Taken together, these results establish that TRMP-S acting in concert with FUBP3 serves to repress p53 proteins levels through effects on p53 mRNA translation.

The collective findings of this study have been summarized in the working model (Figure 5). A prediction from this model is that antagonizing the expression of either UHRF1 or FUBP3 would phenocopy the effects of TRMP-S. Consistently, knockdown of either FUBP3 or UHRF1 phenocopied the actions of TRMP-S knockdown by decreasing the numbers of proliferating cells and increasing the proportion of senescent cells (Figures S4E–S4H). Finally, we returned to consider that approximately one-third of the cancer types in the TCGA data display high RP11-369C8.1 variant gene expression including TRMP-S (Figure S1A). Examination of a subset of these cancers including breast cancer and melanoma suggests a trend that higher expression of these transcripts is associated with worse patient outcomes (Figures S5A–S5D).

**DISCUSSION**

Differentially spliced protein isoforms have long been related to pathologies including cancer. Indeed, alternative splicing may drive many classical cancer hallmarks. For example, cancer cell growth factor self-sufficiency can result from alternative splicing of EGFR, bypass of programmed cell death occurs through alternative expression of antiapoptotic Bcl-xl rather than proapoptotic Bcl-xs, and treatment-driven resistance to Braf-targeted therapy is conferred by alternative Braf splicing. In all these examples the alternatively spliced variants lack specific functional domains, and this is also seen in IncRNAs; for instance, HOTAIR produces a variant lacking its PRC2 binding domain. However, functional studies of alternatively spliced IncRNAs more typically provide associative evidence such as reported with MALAT1 (Δsv-MALAT1) and PVT1 (PVTΔ4), which have been equated with phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K)-AKT pathway activation and increased cell proliferation/invasion, respectively. Thus, if the potentials of IncRNAs as biomarkers and treatment targets in cancer are to be realized, understanding the impact of IncRNA alternative splicing during oncogenesis remains an important objective.

Here we report that highly expressed isoforms of IncRNA RP11-369C8.1, TRMP and TRMP-S, fulfill similar roles in promoting cell proliferation in cancer cell lines of mixed origin. Building on the knowledge that disrupting TRMP and TRMP-S inhibits cell proliferation, we showed that the ultimate outcome involved driving cells to senescence through a p27-dependent programming response. Adding the reported actions of TRMP, this led to the discovery of two additional mechanisms elicited by TRMP-S that also restrain p27 expression. That IncRNAs are multifunctional and contribute toward the same outcomes is not itself new, as reported, for example, with the IncRNAs OVAAL and GUARDIN. More remarkable though is the discovery here that splicing variants of the same IncRNA gene converge to control the expression of a single gene target. The structures of TRMP-S and TRMP appear divergent, not surprising since
they only share one exon in common but nonetheless intriguing given 

their distinct mechanisms of action.

Another pertinent facet uncovered here was the negative feedback loop identified between p53 and TRMP-S, a finding that adds TRMP-S to the list of p53 regulators whose expression is driven by p53 itself. Most notable of these examples is the E3 ubiquitin ligase MDM2, which monoubiquitinates p53 and targets it for proteasomal degradation.\textsuperscript{55–60} Although TRMP-S is similarly transcriptionally activated by p53, it alternatively acts by suppressing p53 translation through FUBP3-mediated sequestration of RPL26, a positive regulator of p53 translation.\textsuperscript{55,59,69} TRMP-S actions in this context are therefore aligned with the p53-inducible IncRNA linc-RoR, which also suppresses p53 expression through a negative feedback loop.\textsuperscript{70} This knowledge may be important given that cancer cells can hijack mechanisms to suppress p53 protein levels, as occurs with several types of cancer that express high levels of MDM2/MDMX that inactivate p53, thus inhibiting downstream tumor suppressor pathways.\textsuperscript{71,72} This offers an alternative approach as well as insights into the application of p53 reactivation therapies.

Central to the dual mechanisms uncovered for TRMP-S are RNA-protein interactions with UHRF1 and FUBP3, respectively. UHRF1 is considered an oncogene that functions to epigenetically repress tumor suppressor genes,\textsuperscript{73–75} with an instructive report in mice\textsuperscript{75} leading us to examine the possibility that it also represses p27 in human cancer cells. A suggestive finding was also made in human primary basal cells, showing that UHRF1 depletion led to senescence, although p27 itself was not specifically studied.\textsuperscript{76} We established that TRMP-S stabilizes UHRF1 by association with USP7, and consistent with the known actions of UHRF1, a high proportion of TRMP-S molecules were localized to the cell nucleus. The other functional association was demonstrated between TRMP-S and FUBP3, an interaction that stalled p53 translation by sequestration of RPL26 from p53 mRNA. FUBP3 has previously been found in both nuclear and cytoplasmic compartments,\textsuperscript{48,77,78} with separate reports describing its role in tuning gene expression, although through completely distinct functional modalities. For example, one study showed that the IncCMPK2 bound to FUBP3 to regulate transcription of c-Myc,\textsuperscript{48} while another report showed that FUBP3 binding to the 3’ UTR of FGP9 mRNA positively promoted FGP9 translation.\textsuperscript{78} Similar to the latter report, we showed that TRMP-S negatively affects p53 mRNA translation, presumably through interactions carried out in the cytosol. Instructively, antagonizing the expression of either UHRF1 or FUBP3 led to increased expression of p27, indicating that both mechanistic arms contribute to repression of p27.

Finally, the role of TRMP-S in regulating cellular senescence is a finding of clear interest given the idea that this process serves a tumor defense role and that invoking senescence could be a cancer therapy.\textsuperscript{79} We established that under normal culture conditions p27 expression is tightly controlled through the TRMP-S-UHRF1/p53-p27 axis. Activation of the DNA damage response features prominently in the induction of p53 and establishment of senescence,\textsuperscript{36,41} and, moreover, drug-induced DNA damage elicited TRMP/TRMP-S expression. The increased expression of RP11-369C8.1 variants including TRMP-S was certainly evident in some but not other cancers in TCGA data, with a clear trend of co-upregulation for each of its variant isoforms. Thus, by projection, high expression of TRMP/TRMP-S could broadly imply senescence evasion in these tumors, and at least in some cancer types the high expression of RP11-369C8.1 may be associated with poorer patient outcomes. We also established that antagonizing TRMP-S expression effectively inhibited cancer cell growth. In principle, TRMP-S may therefore constitute a potential target for cancer treatment. Furthermore, it was intriguing that the anti-aging drug metformin, which blocks senescence, also strongly induces TRMP-S with accompanying downregulation of p27, suggesting that this mechanism constitutes a key component of its actions. However, from the cancer perspective, it should be noted that there are potential long-term complications associated with senescent cells that themselves can promote cancer development.\textsuperscript{82–84} Therefore, the therapeutic benefits of driving cancer cells into senescence remain to be properly established, not to mention the perceived risk of prematurely aging normal tissues.\textsuperscript{85} Nonetheless, we anticipate that our findings, especially highlighting the precedent contribution of splicing events to IncRNA function, will be useful in strategizing the use of these critical gene regulators as treatment targets.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Reagents and antibodies

Information on reagents and antibodies used in this study is provided in Tables S3 and S4, respectively.

Cell culture

H1299 (Shanghai Cell Bank), 293T (ATCC, CRL-3216), HCT116 (ATCC, CCL-247), A549, SKOV3, Mel-RM, U2OS, NCM460, HepG2, and HAFFs (human adult foreskin fibroblasts) were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) (Gibco, 12800082) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Gibco), 1% penicillin-streptomycin (Gibco, 15070063), and 1% sodium pyruvate (Gibco, 11360070) at 37°C with 5% CO₂.

RNA interference and gene overexpression

Lentiviruses for gene knockdown or overexpression were generated in HEK293T cells by co-transfection with pLKO.1-shRNA, pREV, pGag/Pol/PRE, and pVSVG (2:2:2:1 ratio) or psin/pCDH, pspax2, and pmd2.g (2:2:1 ratio). Supernatants were collected after 48 h and used to infect target cells in medium supplemented with 8 µg/mL polybrene (Sigma). After infection for 48 h, cells were selected with puromycin (5 µg/mL) for a further 24 h. Targeting sequences used are listed in Table S5. For pCMV-based plasmids, cells were transfected with Lipofectamine 2000.

Real-time PCR and quantitative and semiquantitative RT-PCR

Total RNA was isolated with TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen), and 1 µg of RNA was used to synthesize cDNA with the PrimeScript RT Reagent Kit (Takara RR037A). Real-time PCR was performed with SYBR
Green real-time PCR analysis (Takara, RR820A) with the specified primers (Table S6). PCR results, recorded as cycle threshold (Ct), were normalized against an internal control (β-actin). Where absolute quantitation of transcript levels was required, a standard curve method was adopted where serially diluted TRMP-S PCR products were used as templates to formulate standard curves. Total RNA was isolated from a defined number of cells (5 × 10⁵) and subjected to qRT-PCR analyses, with the transcript copies per cell calculated accordingly.

Western blotting and immunoprecipitation

Western blot analyses were performed as described previously.26 Briefly, cell lysates prepared with IP lysis buffer (0.5% NP-40, 150 mM NaCl, 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 2 mM EDTA, and 1.5 mM MgCl₂ supplemented with protease inhibitor cocktail) were incubated with protein A/G Sepharose beads coated with the indicated antibodies for 4 h at 4°C. After washing three times with IP lysis buffer, IP products were eluted at 95°C for 10 min and analyzed by western blotting. Densitometric analyses were performed with ImageJ.

RNA pull-down assays and mass spectrometry

Two micrograms of sense (negative control) or antisense biotin-labeled DNA oligomers specific for TRMP-S or p53 (Table S7) was incubated for 2 h with 20 μL of streptavidin-coupled Dynabeads (Invitrogen) slurry. Washed Dynabeads were incubated for 4 h with cell lysates prepared from 2 × 10⁵ cells with lysis buffer (Thermo Scientific), and beads subsequently were washed 5 times in lysis buffer. Beads were divided and RNA extraction performed with TRIzol reagent or boiled in 2 × SDS protein loading buffer for western blotting. For MS analysis, samples were resolved with SDS-PAGE, and specific bands excised from Coomassie Brilliant Blue G-250-stained gels were sent to the Core Facility of Molecular Biology (Institute of Biochemistry and Cell Biology, Shanghai, CAS). Protein IDs were determined accordingly to the Core Facility of Molecular Biology (Institute of Biochemistry and Cell Biology, Shanghai, CAS). Protein IDs were determined according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Brieﬂy, cell lysates were prepared from 1–3 × 10⁷ cells lysed with hypotonic buffer supplemented with RNase and protease inhibitors and incubated with antibody-coated magnetic beads at 4°C for 2 h. After extensive washing using RIP wash buffer, the bead-bound immunocomplexes were treated with Proteinase K at 55°C for 30 min. Samples were placed on a magnetic separator, and supernatants were used to extract RNA (ISOLATE II RNA Mini Kit, Bioline) before PCR analysis.

Ubiquitination assays

293T cells cultured in 10-cm-diameter culture dishes were transfected 24 h prior with pHIS-Ub along with the indicated plasmids before 4 h pretreatment with 20 μM MG132. Cell monolayers were then washed three times with cold PBS before lysis in 200 μL of RIPA buffer (Beyotime P0013B) and recovery with a cell scraper. The samples were immediately heated for 10 min at 95°C, sonicated, and then diluted with 800 μL of dilution buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100). After rotation at 4°C for 30 min, samples were centrifuged at 20,000 × g for 20 min at 4°C, and supernatants were incubated with M2-anti-Flag beads for 4 h before washing five times with washing buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 1 M NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% NP-40) followed by heat elution in 2 × protein loading buffer for western blot analysis.

Cytosolic/nuclear fractionation

Ten million cells were treated with hypotonic buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 1 mM MgCl₂, 5 mM KCl) on ice for 5 min before addition of an equal volume of hypotonic buffer containing 1% NP-40 for another 5 min. Samples were centrifuged at 5,000 × g for 15 min, and the supernatant was collected as the cytosolic fraction. The pellets were resuspended in nucleus resuspension buffer (20 mM HEPES, pH 7.9, 400 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM PMSF), and after incubation at 4°C for 30 min nuclear fractions were collected after removal of insoluble debris by centrifugation at 12,000 × g for 15 min.

β-galactosidase assay

Staining was performed according to the manufacturer’s protocol (C0602, Beyotime), with β-galactosidase-positive cells visualized by light microscopy. For quantitation purposes, at least 50 cells from three random fields were scored.

SAHF analyses

Cells cultured on glass coverslips were fixed with 4% formaldehyde for 10 min at 37°C, permeabilized with 0.2% Triton X-100 in PBS for 10 min at room temperature (RT), washed with PBS, and blocked with 5% BSA (w/v) in PBS. Afterward, cells were incubated overnight with H3K9me3 antibodies, followed by Alexa 488-conjugated secondary antibodies (Table S3) and nuclear counterstaining with DAPI. Confocal images were collected with a Leica SP8 confocal microscope.

RIP assays

RIP was performed with an EZ-Magna RIP Kit (17-701; Millipore) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Brieﬂy cell lysates were prepared from 1–3 × 10⁷ cells lysed with hypotonic buffer supplemented with RNase and protease inhibitors and incubated with antibody-coated magnetic beads at 4°C for 4 h. After extensive washing using RIP wash buffer, the bead-bound immunocomplexes were treated with Proteinase K at 55°C for 30 min. Samples were placed on a magnetic separator, and supernatants were used to extract RNA (ISOLATE II RNA Mini Kit, Bioline) before PCR analysis.

Colony formation assay

The indicated cells were plated in soft agar medium at a density of 2,000 cells per well in six-well plates, and after 2 weeks the resulting colonies were fixed with 10% cold methanol for 5 min and stained with 0.005% (w/v) crystal violet for 30 min at RT.

Polysome profiling assay

Cells cultured in 15-cm dishes were pretreated 5 min at RT with 100 μg/mL cycloheximide (CHX) before recovery of the cells by
scraping into PBS with 100 μg/mL CHX. Cells were then centrifuged at 140 × g for 5 min at 4°C, and the cell pellet was resuspended in hypotonic buffer (5 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 2.5 mM MgCl2, 1.5 mM KCl, protease inhibitor cocktail, and 100 μg/mL CHX), with the sequential addition of DTT (1 mM), RNasin (0.2 units/mL), Triton X-100 (0.5%), and 10% sodium deoxycholate (0.5%). Cell lysates were centrifuged at 12,000 × g for 5 min at 4°C. RNA concentrations were estimated by optical density (OD) 260 nm measurements (NanoDrop), and equal OD amounts were loaded onto pre-prepared 15%–50% sucrose gradients in 31 mL tubes. Samples were centrifuged at 125,000 g/C2 for 4 h at 4°C in an SW32 Ti rotor (Optima XPN-100 ultracentrifuge, Beckman Coulter). Thirteen equal volume fractions were collected from the tube bottom, and RNA was extracted.

Statistical analysis
Differences between experimental groups were analyzed with Microsoft Excel and GraphPad Prism software, with statistical differences analyzed by one-way Student’s t test. p values lower than 0.05 were considered to be statistically significant.
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