UNC5C variants are associated with cerebral amyloid angiopathy
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ABSTRACT

Objective: To determine whether common genetic variants in UNC5C, a recently identified late-onset Alzheimer disease (LOAD) dementia susceptibility gene, are associated with AD susceptibility or AD-related clinical/pathologic phenotypes.

Methods: We used data from deceased individuals of European descent who participated in the Religious Orders Study or the Rush Memory and Aging Project (n = 1,288). We examined whether there were associations between single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) within ±100 kb of the UNC5C gene and a diagnosis of AD dementia, global cognitive decline, a pathologic diagnosis of AD, β-amyloid load, neuritic plaque count, diffuse plaque count, paired helical filament tau density, neurofibrillary tangle count, and cerebral amyloid angiopathy (CAA) score. We also evaluated the relation of the CAA-associated variant and dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) UNC5C RNA expression. Secondary analyses were performed to examine the interaction of the CAA-associated SNP and known genetic risk factors of CAA as well as the association of the SNP with other cerebrovascular pathologies.

Results: A set of UNC5C SNPs tagged by rs28660566T was associated with a higher CAA score (p = 2.3 × 10⁻⁸): each additional rs28660566T allele was associated with a 0.60 point higher CAA score, which is equivalent to approximately 75% of the higher CAA score associated with each allele of APOE ε4. rs28660566T was weakly associated with lower UNC5C expression in the human DLPFC (p = 0.036). Moreover, rs28660566T had a synergistic interaction with APOE ε4 on their association with higher CAA severity (p = 0.027) and was associated with more severe arteriosclerosis (p = 0.0065).

Conclusions: Targeted analysis of the UNC5C region uncovered a set of SNPs associated with CAA. Neurol Genet 2017;3:e176; doi: 10.1212/NXG.0000000000000176

GLOSSARY

AD = Alzheimer disease; CAA = cerebral amyloid angiopathy; CI = confidence interval; DLPFC = dorsolateral prefrontal cortex; LD = linkage disequilibrium; LOAD = late-onset Alzheimer disease; MAF = minor allele frequency; MAP = Rush Memory and Aging Project; OR = odds ratio; PHFtau = paired helical filament tau; QC = quality control; RNA-Seq = RNA-sequencing; ROS = Religious Orders Study; SNP = single nucleotide polymorphism.

A recent study reported the association of UNC5C T835M (rs137875858G), a rare coding variant in this netrin receptor implicated in axon guidance during development,1 with late-onset Alzheimer disease (LOAD).2 As we have shown in our study of the TREM locus,3 multiple genetic variants in the same locus can have independent effects on disease susceptibility and could affect specific features of the disease. We, therefore, assessed whether common UNC5C variants influence AD-related cognitive and pathologic phenotypes in 2 large clinical pathologic cohort studies of aging and dementia. We found a set of UNC5C single nucleotide...
polymorphisms (SNPs) in a single linkage disequilibrium (LD) block linked to the severity of cerebral amyloid angiopathy (CAA), a disease of small cerebral arterioles caused by β-amyloid accumulation.4

**METHODS Subjects, standard protocol approvals, registrations, and patient consents.** Our subjects were from 2 large community-based cohort studies of older adults, the Religious Orders Study (ROS) and the Rush Memory and Aging Project (MAP).5,6 Both studies enroll older adults without known dementia, and each participant signed written informed consent and Anatomical Gift Act. All study steps were done in compliance with the protocol approved by the Rush University Medical Center Institutional Review Board. Overall follow-up rate and autopsy rate for deceased individuals were greater than 85%. Of note, these 2 cohorts were designed to be used in combined analyses: ROS and MAP were designed and managed by the same team of investigators at the Rush Alzheimer’s Disease Center and captured shared phenotypic measures. We limited our analyses to deceased European-descent individuals with quality-controlled genome-wide genotyping data and completed autopsy (February 2016 Data Freeze, total n = 1,288).

**Cognitive and pathologic phenotypes.** Nine AD-related cognitive and pathologic variables (diagnosis of AD dementia, global cognitive decline, pathologic diagnosis of AD, β-amyloid load, neuritic plaque count, diffuse plaque count, paired helical filament tau [PHFtau] density, neurofibrillary tangle count, and the CAA score) were defined as previously described.5,5-7 Square-root transformed values were used for analyses of continuous pathologic variables (β-amyloid load, neuritic plaque count, diffuse plaque count, PHFtau density, and neurofibrillary tangle count) to account for their positively skewed distribution, as previously described.3 CAA was graded on a 5-point scale (0–4) in 4 neocortical regions (dorsolateral prefrontal cortex [DLPFC], angular gyrus, inferior temporal gyrus, and calcarine cortex) and averaged to derive a CAA score (0–4).7 Of note, among the 1,288 subjects in this study, numbers of subjects with measured values for each phenotype, who were included in each analysis, were as follows: diagnosis of AD dementia (n = 1,257), global cognitive decline (n = 1,193), pathologic diagnosis of AD (n = 1,140), β-amyloid load (n = 1,095), neuritic plaque count (n = 1,132), diffuse plaque count (n = 1,132), PHFtau density (n = 1,088), neurofibrillary tangle count, (n = 1,132), and CAA score (n = 1,107).

For secondary analyses, atherosclerosis, arteriolosclerosis, gross infarcts, and microinfarcts are measured as previously described.5 In brief, atherosclerosis was assessed by visual inspection at the circle of Willis, and the severity was semiquantitatively graded (scale from 0 to 6), which was collapsed into 4 levels (none/possible, mild, moderate, and severe) for analysis. Arteriolosclerosis was microscopically evaluated in anterior basal ganglia with a semiquantitative scale (0–7), which was collapsed into 4 levels (none, mild, moderate, and severe) for analysis. Gross infarcts and microinfarcts were recorded as present vs absent. Among the 1,288 subjects in this study, numbers of subjects with measured values for each phenotype, who were included in each analysis, were as follows: atherosclerosis (n = 1,141), arteriolosclerosis (n = 1,130), gross infarcts (n = 1,136), and microinfarcts (n = 1,136).

**Genotyping and RNA-Seq data acquisition and processing.** Genome-wide genotyping (on either Affymetrix GeneChip 6.0 or Illumina OmniQuad Express), quality control (QC), and imputation were performed as previously described.5 Genotype QC was done with PLINK software version 1.08,2 and the metrics included a genotype success rate of >95%, Hardy-Weinberg p > 0.001, and a missmap test of <1 × 10⁻⁸. Closely related individuals are removed with Plhath method using PLINK. EIGENSTRAT (default setting)8 was used to generate a genotype covariance matrix, and population outliers were removed. Then, genotype imputation was done with BEAGLE software (version 3.3.2)10 on a reference map from 1,000 Genomes Project Consortium interim phase 1 haplotypes (2010–2011 data freeze). Imputed genotypes with a minor allele frequency (MAF) of >0.01 and an INFO score of >0.3 were used in this analysis. We defined the UNCSC region as the chromosomal segment containing the transcribed elements of UNCSC and ≥100 kb of flanking DNA (chr1: 95,983,655–96,570,361 on hg19 build), which contained 2,269 1000 Genomes Project reference SNPs. Of note, APOE genotypes were measured through a separate sequencing procedure as previously described,11 and APOE ε2 and ε4 allele counts were used in this study. RNA was extracted from frozen postmortem DLpFC from a subset of participants and was quantified using next-generation quantitative RNA-sequencing (RNA-Seq). QC and quantile normalization of RNA-Seq Fragments Per Kilobase of transcript per Million fragments mapped (FPKM) values for UNCSC were done as previously described,12 and 494 subjects in our study had nonmissing values.

**Statistical analyses.** Statistical analyses were performed with R 3.2.1 (r-project.org), and we combined ROS and MAP in all our analyses, unless otherwise specified. To derive the number of independent genetic markers tested in this study, we used LD-based SNP pruning (with an r² threshold of 0.2, a window of 50 SNPs, and a step of 5 SNPs), done with PLINK, as previously published.3 This procedure yielded 391 independent LD blocks within the UNCSC region. As we tested for 9 traits, Bonferroni-adjusted α for the primary analysis was α = 1.4 × 10⁻⁴ (0.05 ÷ 391 × 9). Assuming additive genetic effects, logistic regression models were used for dichotomous outcome variables (AD dementia and pathologic AD), and linear regression models were used for others, with covariates including age at death, sex, cohort (ROS vs MAP), and the first 3 principal components from the genotype covariance matrix derived with EIGENSTRAT. Years of education was also included as a covariate in the analyses of phenotypes affected by cognitive performance (AD dementia and global cognitive decline). Results from 2 genotyping platforms were calculated separately and meta-analyzed with PLINK, and the consistencies across genotyping platforms were examined with meta-analyses F values.13 The results were plotted using LocusZoom,14 with chromatin state tracks predicted by the ChromHMM core 15-chromatin state model15 from the Roadmap Epigenomics Project.16

For SNPs associated with a trait (CAA), we looked up the LD r² values between the lead SNP and all other identified SNPs in the HaploReg database17 and also analyzed each of the other SNPs in a same linear model with the lead SNP to examine whether they represent a different LD block or not. The population variance explained by the lead SNP was derived from a sequential adjusted R-squared analysis with linear models: We first calculated the adjusted R-squared from a linear model to explain the trait of interest with age at death, sex, study cohort, genotyping platform, and first 3 principal components from the genotype covariance matrix. Then, we included the lead SNP’s minor allele dosage in the model and calculated the increment of the adjusted R-squared. The population variance explained by the SNP was then compared with that of APOE ε4.

To further evaluate the functional significance of the LD block associated with increased CAA severity, we examined the
predicted chromatin state (per the ChromHMM core 15-chromatin state model from the Roadmap Epigenomics Project\(^{3,14}\)) of each SNP associated with CAA severity. Then, the lead SNP was tested for the association with the \textit{UNC5C} RNA level in a linear model, controlling for age at death, sex, study cohort, genotyping platform, first 3 principal components from the genotype covariance matrix, and technical covariates (RNA integrity score, log2(total aligned reads), postmortem interval, and number of ribosomal bases). Then, the \textit{UNC5C} RNA level was tested for its association with CAA severity, controlling for the same set of covariates.

In addition, to test whether the association between the identified \textit{UNC5C} variant and CAA is independent of known genetic risk factors for CAA (\textit{APOE} \(e_4, e_2,\) and \textit{CR1} \(rs6656401^A\))\(^4,\) each of the previously reported genetic risk factors was added to the linear model testing association between the \textit{UNC5C} variant and the CAA score. Interaction between the \textit{UNC5C} variant and a previously reported genetic risk factor was checked using the product term in the linear regression model, if the association of the \textit{UNC5C} variant and the CAA score attenuated after including that known genetic risk factor in the model. After the statistical interaction was found, stratified analysis was done to further test the relationship between 2 genetic risk factors. Of note, we assumed an additive effect of CAA severity by \textit{APOE} \(e_4, e_2,\) or \textit{CR1} \(rs6656401^A\) in our models.

Further exploratory analyses were performed to interrogate the association of the identified \textit{UNC5C} CAA variant and cerebrovascular pathology. Ordinal logistic regression models were used for the ordered categorical variables (atherosclerosis and arteriolosclerosis), and logistic regression models were used for the binary variables (gross infarct and microinfarct). Age at death, sex, study cohort, genotyping platform, and first 3 principal components from the genotype covariance matrix were included as covariates for each analysis. \(p\) values were Bonferroni adjusted for multiple comparisons (number of testing \(= 4\) for these targeted exploratory analyses).

Finally, the sample size required to reproduce our result was calculated with the Genetic Power Calculator, assuming an additive model and a similar effect size.\(^{19}\)

**RESULTS**

Demographic characteristics of the subjects are summarized in table 1. The total number of subjects analyzed in this study was \(n = 1,288.\) Among the subjects, 1,114 were genotyped with Affymetrix GeneChip 6.0, and 174 were genotyped with Illumina OmniQuad Express. In our primary analyses using additive models, 10 \textit{UNC5C} intronic SNPs were associated with a higher CAA score (\(p < 1.4 \times 10^{-3}\)) (figure 1 and table 2). All 10 SNPs were imputed SNPs with good imputation quality (INFO score > 0.89; table e-1 at Neurology.org/ng), and the association was consistent across genotyping platforms, shown by meta-analysis \(P = 0\) for all 10 SNPs. No other associations are found between \textit{UNC5C} variants and other tested AD-related traits (\(p > 5.0 \times 10^{-4}\) for all other trait-SNP pairs, well above the threshold type I error rate of this study; figure e-1).

The top CAA risk allele \(rs28660566^T\) (MAF = 3.6%) was in LD with the other 9 identified SNPs (\(r^2 \cong 0.36, D' \cong 0.93;\) table 2). To rule out their effect independent of \(rs28660566^T,\) each of the 9 SNP dosages was tested in a same linear additive model with \(rs28660566^T,\) and none were associated with the CAA score when adjusted for \(rs28660566^T\) (\(p > 0.05).\) Thus, \(rs28660566^T\) tags all other SNPs associated with a higher CAA score, and this LD block defines a chromosomal segment containing several \textit{UNC5C} exons (figure 1). In the linear model, the presence of an \(rs28660566^T\) allele corresponded to a 0.60-point higher CAA score, which is approximately 75% of the effect size of an \textit{APOE} \(e_4\) allele on this trait (estimated effect 0.80, \(p = 1.5 \times 10^{-38}\)). The \textit{UNC5C} variant therefore has a large effect, but \(rs28660566^T\) (MAF 3.6%) explained approximately only 1.9% of the population variance given its low MAF, whereas \textit{APOE} \(e_4\) (MAF 13.8%) explained approximately 13.1% of the population variance. Of note, \(rs28660566^T\) dosage was not associated with parenchymal \(\beta\)-amyloid load (\(p = 0.80).\)

The predicted chromatin state of a given chromosomal segment (table 2 and figure 1) can be helpful in inferring its functional implications (e.g., enhancer, promoter, etc.). The lead SNP \(rs28660566^T\) was within a chromosomal region identified as an enhancer in multiple brain regions, suggesting that this region may have a regulatory role. None of the other identified SNPs were in the regulatory chromosomal region in the DLPFC. Of interest, \(rs28660566^T\) dosage was nominally associated with decreased \textit{UNC5C} mRNA expression in the DLPFC (estimated effect \(-0.097, 95\%\) confidence interval [CI] \(-0.19\) to \(-0.0063, p = 0.036), but the DLPFC \textit{UNC5C} mRNA expression level was not associated with the CAA score (\(p = 0.75\)).

\textit{APOE} \(e_4, e_2,\) and \textit{CR1} \(rs6656401^A\) have been previously reported to be associated with higher odds and/or severity of CAA.\(^{18}\) The association between \(rs28660566^T\) and the CAA score was attenuated by
approximately 13% when the APOE e4 allele count was included in the model (estimated effect 0.52, 95% CI 0.29–0.75, \( p = 1.2 \times 10^{-5} \)). In fact, a statistical interaction between APOE e4 allele count and rs28660566 dosage on the CAA score was present, suggesting a synergistic effect modification between these 2 genetic risk factors (estimated effect 0.44, 95% CI 0.049–0.84, \( p = 0.027 \)). In a stratified analysis, the association between rs28660566 dosage and the CAA score was weaker in a subgroup with no APOE e4 allele (\( n = 822 \), estimated effect 0.38, 95% CI 0.12–0.64, \( p = 0.0046 \)) compared with the association in a subgroup with 1 or 2 APOE e4 alleles (\( n = 285 \), estimated effect 1.00, 95% CI 0.50–1.50, \( p = 1.2 \times 10^{-5} \)). By contrast, the association between rs28660566 dosage and the CAA score did not change by adding APOE e2 or CRI rs6656401 in the model (with APOE e2: estimated effect 0.60; 95% CI 0.35–0.85; \( p = 2.0 \times 10^{-6} \), with rs6656401: estimated effect 0.60; 95% CI 0.35–0.85; \( p = 2.3 \times 10^{-6} \)).

In an additional exploratory analysis to test whether rs28660566 alters the relationship between parenchymal \( \beta \)-amyloid load and the CAA score, we found no statistically significant interaction between rs28660566 and parenchymal \( \beta \)-amyloid load on their associations with the CAA score (\( p = 0.42 \)). Then, we also explored whether rs28660566 was associated with other cerebrovascular pathologies (Bonferroni-corrected \( p \) value threshold 0.0125): rs28660566 was associated with increased odds of more severe arteriolosclerosis (odds ratio [OR] 1.8; 95% CI 1.2–2.8; \( p = 0.0065 \)) but not with atherosclerosis (OR 1.6; 95% CI 1.1–2.4; \( p = 0.023 \)), gross infarcts (\( p = 0.54 \)), or microinfarcts (\( p = 0.69 \)).

Finally, we calculated the sample size required to replicate our result with the Genetic Power Calculator, assuming a similar effect size. Assuming an additive model with 4% of MAF, 389 subjects are needed to detect 2% of total population variance with a type I error rate of 0.05 and power of 80%.
**DISCUSSION** Targeted analysis of the chromosomal region of *UNC5C*, a recently identified AD susceptibility gene, uncovered a possible new risk locus associated with CAA severity, which comprises a single LD block captured by rs28660566. Of interest, rs28660566 synergistically interacts with *APOE* ε4 to increase CAA severity, suggesting a possibility of a common downstream pathway.

In a recent study reporting the association of a rare *UNC5C* coding variant rs137875858 (unc5c T835M) with LOAD, the authors showed that *UNC5C* T835M exerts its effect through increased neuronal vulnerability rather than increased amyloid or tau production. Consistent with this report, no common *UNC5C* variant was associated with parenchymal β-amyloid or PHFtau accumulation in our study. Thus, the association of *UNC5C* rs28660566 with CAA is more likely to be mediated by ineffective perivascular β-amyloid drainage rather than increased neuronal β-amyloid production. Of interest, rs28660566 was also associated with arteriosclerosis, a disease of small deep cerebral arterioles, suggesting an implication of *UNC5C* in arteriolar susceptibility to pathologic changes, not only limited to vascular β-amyloid deposition. In fact, a previous study reported that *UNC5C* is expressed in endothelial cells, and inhibition of *UNC5C* attenuated netrin-1 effect on in vitro endothelial cell migration, suggesting a potential role of *UNC5C* in vascular development and maintenance.

The functional mechanism of the *UNC5C* CAA severity risk locus remains to be determined. The lead SNP, rs28660566, is located within a predicted enhancer chromosomal locus in multiple brain regions, and in our study, it was a weak eQTL associated with lower *UNC5C* mRNA expression in the DLPFC. However, the DLPFC *UNC5C* mRNA level was not associated with CAA severity. Nonetheless, our DLPFC RNA-Seq data lack cell-type specific information and might not capture differential expression limited to certain tissue types such as arterioles. As CAA is a disease primarily of small cortical and leptomeningeal arterioles, gene expression profiles from isolated leptomeningeal and cortical arterioles might be necessary to further dissect the mechanisms of *UNC5C* in CAA progression.

Of note, the previously reported *UNC5C* T835M variant (chromosome 4, position 96,091,431) is 34.3 kb away from rs28660566, and it is not included in the chromosomal segment defined by the LD block captured by rs28660566. *UNC5C* T835M might be on a same haplotype with rs28660566, given the low recombination frequency between the 2 loci (figure 1), but we cannot confirm this in ROS-MAP participants because the sequencing of the *UNC5C* region is not yet available. However, given the rarity of T835M (MAF <0.1%), it is very unlikely that the observed association is driven by *UNC5C*T835M in our subject population (n = 1,107 for the CAA analysis). We also note that rs28660566 is not in LD with rs3846455.

### Table 2: SNPs associated with the severity of CAA

| SNP       | Chr4 POS | MAF   | LD (r²) | Estimated effect (95% CI) | p Value | Chromatin state |
|-----------|----------|-------|---------|----------------------------|---------|-----------------|
| rs28660566 | 96,125,762 | 0.036 | NA      | 0.60 (0.35-0.85)          | 2.3 × 10⁻⁵ | Enh Enh Enh     |
| rs11941383  | 96,151,958 | 0.042 | 0.76    | 0.52 (0.30-0.74)          | 4.1 × 10⁻⁶ | TxWk TXWk TXWk |
| rs140160653A| 96,116,350 | 0.017 | 0.38    | 0.78 (0.44-1.13)          | 8.8 × 10⁻⁵ | Enh TxWk EnhG   |
| rs77321857  | 96,130,211 | 0.022 | 0.52    | 0.69 (0.38-1.00)          | 9.8 × 10⁻⁵ | Quies TxWk TxWk |
| rs74590670A | 96,163,984 | 0.022 | 0.52    | 0.69 (0.38-1.00)          | 1.1 × 10⁻⁷ | Tx Tx TxWk      |
| rs139069750A| 96,148,504 | 0.022 | 0.52    | 0.69 (0.38-1.00)          | 1.2 × 10⁻⁵ | TxWk TxWk TxWk |
| rs138877862C| 96,136,118 | 0.022 | 0.46    | 0.69 (0.38-0.99)          | 1.2 × 10⁻⁵ | TxWk TxWk TxWk |
| rs75985930A | 96,142,169 | 0.022 | 0.46    | 0.69 (0.38-0.99)          | 1.2 × 10⁻⁵ | Enh TxWk TxWk   |
| rs75083968A | 96,127,609 | 0.022 | 0.52    | 0.69 (0.38-0.99)          | 1.2 × 10⁻⁵ | Quies TxWk TxWk |
| rs147682457A| 96,139,245 | 0.022 | 0.52    | 0.68 (0.38-0.99)          | 1.3 × 10⁻⁵ | TxWk TxWk TxWk |

Abbreviations: Angular = angular gyrus; CAA = cerebral amyloid angiopathy; CI = confidence interval; DLPFC = dorsolateral prefrontal cortex; Enh = enhancers; EnhG = genomic enhancers; Inf Temp = inferior temporal cortex; LD = linkage disequilibrium; MAF = minor allele frequency; MAP = Rush Memory and Aging Project; Quies = Quiescent/low; ROS = the Religious Orders Study; SNP = single nucleotide polymorphism; Tx = strong transcription; TxWk = weak transcription. In the combined ROS-MAP cohort, linear regression model was used to assess the association of each genotype with the CAA score, adjusting for age at death, sex, study cohort, and first 3 principal components from the population covariance matrix. Estimated effect indicates estimated CAA score increase per minor allele of the SNP of interest. Chr4 POS shows chromosomal position of each SNP per hg19 reference map. Chromatin state shows predicted chromatin state in 3 cortical regions available from the Roadmap Epigenomics data set and ChromHMM algorithm (core 15-state model), in the chromosomal region where the SNP is located. LD(D’) = 1 and meta-analyses I² = 0 across genotyping platforms for all SNPs in this table.
(237.3 kb apart from rs28660566), an UNC5C allele that we have recently reported to be associated with worse late-life cognition, when adjusted for the burden of multiple neuropathologies (including CAA) and demographics. Thus, there are both allelic and phenotypic heterogeneity within the UNC5C locus, similar to our previous findings in the TREM locus.

The study is methodologically robust. The analyses are performed on more than 1,100 participants from 2 community-based cohort studies, with a semiquantitative assessment of CAA severity in multiple cortical regions. We performed a targeted gene analysis of UNC5C, and a set of UNC5C variants showed significant association with the CAA severity after rigorously correcting for the number of hypotheses tested. Moreover, the result was consistent across 2 genotyping platforms. Validation efforts with independent data sets are essential to extend the evaluation of this risk locus, and close to 400 subjects with pathologic assessment of CAA in multiple cortical areas are required to replicate our findings with type 1 error rate of 0.05 and power of 80%. In addition, experimental studies would be essential to understand the underlying biological mechanisms. In conclusion, we found an UNC5C variant to be associated with CAA severity, and additional studies are required to clarify the role of UNC5C in CAA pathogenesis.
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