Chemoselective synthesis of diaryl disulfides via a visible light-mediated coupling of arenediazonium tetrafluoroborates and CS₂
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Abstract
A highly efficient and chemoselective method for the synthesis of diaryl disulfides is developed via a visible light-promoted coupling of readily accessible arenediazonium tetrafluoroborates and CS₂. This practical and convenient protocol provides a direct pathway for the assembly of a series of disulfides in an environmentally friendly manner with good to excellent yields.

Findings
The development of methods for the functionalization of peptides and proteins under mild conditions is a current frontier in the fields of chemistry, biology and drug discovery [1-4]. Most of the pharmaceutically relevant proteins contain disulfide bonds, furthermore, the disulfide ligation and its established chemoselectivity is of great advantage for proteins’ functionalization [5]. In addition, disulfides also play valuable roles as versatile building blocks for industrial applications [6-8]. Thus, the development of methodologies for the synthesis of disulfides is rather desirable and many research groups have made great contributions to the synthesis of diaryl disulfides such as the Chandrasekaran group [9] and the Wacharasindhu group [10]. Indeed, the design of sustainable and useful transformations with applications in industry is considered of high practical value. In this context, carbon disulfide, a cheap and abundant chemical, has been widely used as reactant and solvent in both industry and materials science. For example, Batanero and co-workers reported an electrochemical transformation of carbon disulfide into diaryl disulfides [11]. Sunlight as abundant and almost infinitely available energy resource has been widely used for chemical transformations in the sense of cost, safety, availability, and environmental friendliness [12-15]. Herein, we report a visible light-mediated coupling of arenediazonium tetrafluoroborates and CS₂ for the chemoselective assembly of diaryl disulfides as our continuing endeavor of utilizing arenediazonium tetrafluoroborates [16] for synthetic applications (Scheme 1).

We conducted our initial study with benzenediazonium tetrafluoroborate (1a) and CS₂ (2) as model substrates to examine...
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the feasibility of the formation of diphenyl disulfide (3a) (Table 1). Various solvents were screened and to our delight, it was found that the reaction of 1a and 2 in DMF and DMSO gave the desired product in a moderate yield of 54% and 53%, respectively (Table 1, entries 7 and 8). Unfortunately, under the applied conditions, the chemoselectivity of the reaction was poor, affording a mixture of unexpected diphenyl sulfide (4a) and diphenyl polysulfides (5a) as byproducts. Thus, a study to optimize the reaction conditions with regard to chemoselectivity and to minimize the formation of the byproducts was conducted.

As recently surveyed, photoredox catalysts are widely employed for the generation of radicals for diverse radical reactions [19]. Further, the application of aryl radicals generated from aryl diazonium salts under visible light irradiation has also been studied [14,15] by taking advantage of visible light as an abundant and environmentally friendly energy source for organic syntheses. The photochemistry of diazonium salts has been widely studied since the early 19th century, at which time, it was noticed that benzenediazonium nitrate turns red upon exposure to sunlight due to decomposition and formation of radical species [20]. Subsequently, the photodecomposition of diazonium salts by loss of nitrogen upon exposure to light has been utilized in organic synthesis for example to remove amino groups from anilines [21] or for arylation reactions [15,22].

Based on the above research results, we envisioned that a radical pathway may facilitate the formation of diaryl disulfides. Therefore the photocatalyst Ru(bpy)$_3$(PF$_6$)$_2$ (bpy = 2,2'-
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**Table 1: Solvent screening for the coupling of benzenediazonium tetrafluoroborate (1a) and CS$_2$ (2).**

| Entry | Solvents | Yield 3a (%)$^b$ | Yield 4a (%)$^b$ | Yield 5a (%)$^b$ |
|-------|----------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 1     | MeOH     | n.d.             | n.d.             | n.d.             |
| 2     | THF      | 36               | 5                | n.d.             |
| 3     | dioxane  | n.d.             | 14               | n.d.             |
| 4     | acetone  | n.d.             | n.d.             | n.d.             |
| 5     | DCM      | n.d.             | n.d.             | 19               |
| 6     | acetonitrile | n.d.         | n.d.             | n.d.             |
| 7     | DMF      | 54               | 3                | 31               |
| 8     | DMSO     | 53               | 3                | 27               |
| 9     | hexane   | n.d.             | n.d.             | 24               |

$^a$Reaction conditions: 1a (0.1 mmol), 2 (0.2 mmol), solvent (2 mL), rt, 6 h; $^b$yields were determined by HPLC using 3a and 4a as the external standards; the yield of 5a is based on the integration of the corresponding HPLC peaks [17,18]; n.d. = not determined.
bipyridine) [23] and a 20 W blue-light LED were chosen as catalyst and the source of visible light, respectively for our model reaction (Table 2). A variety of solvents was evaluated and eventually, it was found that the coupling of benzene-diazonium tetrafluoroborate (1a) and CS₂ (2) in ethanol as the solvent gave the desired product diphenyl disulfide (3a) in 77% yield accompanied by only 8% of the undesired diphenyl polysulfides (Table 2, entry 4). Switching to DMSO as the solvent for the reaction afforded exclusively the desired product 3a in excellent yield (88%, Table 2, entry 6). Next, other sulfur sources were also examined, such as S₈, NaSH, Na₂S, Na₂S₂O₅, Na₂S₂O₃, and K₂S₂O₈, however, none of them provided the desired product in an acceptable yield (Table 2, entries 7–13).

In order to maximize the yields, varying amounts of CS₂ (2) were also tested (Table 3) and it was found that the CS₂ loading had a considerable influence on the reaction. By decreasing the loading of CS₂ from 2 equiv to 0.5 equiv, the yield of the product 3a dropped to 42%, whereas increasing amounts of CS₂ had a considerable influence on the reaction. By decreasing the loading of CS₂ from 2 equiv to 0.5 equiv, the yield of the product 3a dropped to 42%, whereas increasing amounts of CS₂ did not significantly increase the yield of the product. Subsequently, different photocatalysts were investigated and it turned out that the choice of catalyst also had a significant impact on our model reaction. Ru(bpy)₃Cl₂ catalyzed this coupling to afford the desired product 3a in a moderate yield of 65% (Table 3, entry 8). However, when the iridium-based photocatalysts Ir(ppy)₃ [24], [Ir(ppy)₃(bpy)]PF₆ and [Ir(ppy)₃(dtbbpy)]PF₆ (bpy = 2,2'-bipyridine, ppy = 2-phenylpyridine, dtbbpy = 4,4'-di-tert-butyl-2,2'-bipyridine) [25,26] were used, the product yield of diphenyl disulfide (3a) was much lower compared to reactions performed in the presence of ruthenium catalysts (Table 3, entries 9–11).

A plausible reaction mechanism has been proposed and is depicted in Scheme 2. We envision that the phenyl radical I was initially generated under visible light irradiation [14,15]. Subsequently, the radical I attacked the sulfur atom of carbon disulfide to provide the intermediate II which can be converted to radical intermediate III through the cleavage of the carbon–sulfur bond accompanied with the release of a carbon sulfide [11]. The active radical intermediate III can transform into three types of products through different pathways. Firstly, diaryl disulfide 3 is obtained through a dimerization of radical intermediates III, whereas the reaction of radical III with phenyl radical I is leading to byproduct 4. Finally, radical III can react with various equivalents of CS₂ with release of carbon sulfide to generate aryl-polysulphio radicals IV and V. The combination of the latter intermediates with radical I then finally affords polysulfides 5.

Table 2: Screening of the solvents and sulfur sources for the visible light-mediated coupling of benzene-diazonium tetrafluoroborate (1a) and CS₂ (2) and other sulfur sources in the presence of Ru(bpy)₃(PF₆)₂ as the photocatalyst.

| Entry | Solvent          | Sulfur source | Yield 3a (%)<sup>b</sup> | Yield 4a (%)<sup>b</sup> | Yield 5a (%)<sup>b</sup> |
|-------|------------------|---------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1     | MeOH             | CS₂           | 88                       | <1                       | 10                       |
| 2     | H₂O              | CS₂           | 47                       | 5                        | 20                       |
| 3     | THF              | CS₂           | 87                       | <1                       | 8                        |
| 4     | EtOH             | CS₂           | 77                       | n.d.                     | 8                        |
| 5     | acetone          | CS₂           | 79                       | 7                        | 3                        |
| 6     | DMSO             | CS₂           | 88                       | n.d.                     | <1                       |
| 7     | DMSO             | S₈            | 14                       | 9                        | 67                       |
| 8     | DMSO             | Na₂S          | n.d.                     | 43                       | 11                       |
| 9     | DMSO             | Na₂S₂O₃       | n.d.                     | n.d.                     | n.d.                     |
| 10    | DMSO             | Na₂S₂O₄       | n.d.                     | n.d.                     | n.d.                     |
| 11    | DMSO             | K₂S₂O₈        | n.d.                     | n.d.                     | 4                        |
| 12    | DMSO             | NaSH          | 22                       | 28                       | 14                       |
| 13    | DMSO             | (NH₄)₂S₂O₈    | n.d.                     | n.d.                     | 4                        |

<sup>a</sup>Reaction conditions: 1a (0.1 mmol), sulfur sources (0.2 mmol), Ru(bpy)₃(PF₆)₂ (0.001 mmol), blue light (20 W), solvents (2 mL), rt, 6 h. <sup>b</sup>Yields were determined by HPLC using 3a and 4a as the external standards, the yield of 5a is based on the integration of the corresponding HPLC peaks [17,18]; n.d. = not determined.
Table 3: Screening of photocatalysts for the visible light-mediated coupling of benzenediazonium tetrafluoroborate (1a) and CS₂ (2).<sup>a</sup>

| Entry | 2 (equiv) | photocatalyst | solvent | Yield 3a (%)<sup>b</sup> |
|-------|-----------|---------------|---------|--------------------------|
| 1     | 0.5       | Ru(bpy)₃(PF₆)₂ | DMSO    | 42                       |
| 2     | 1         | Ru(bpy)₃(PF₆)₂ | DMSO    | 47                       |
| 3     | 1.5       | Ru(bpy)₃(PF₆)₂ | DMSO    | 53                       |
| 4     | 2         | Ru(bpy)₃(PF₆)₂ | DMSO    | 88                       |
| 5     | 2.5       | Ru(bpy)₃(PF₆)₂ | DMSO    | 55                       |
| 6     | 3         | Ru(bpy)₃(PF₆)₂ | DMSO    | 57                       |
| 7     | —         | Ru(bpy)₃(PF₆)₂ | CS₂     | n.d.                     |
| 8     | 2         | Ru(bpy)₂Cl₂   | DMSO    | 65                       |
| 9     | 2         | Ir(ppy)₃      | DMSO    | 57                       |
| 10    | 2         | Ir(ppy)₂(bpy)(PF₆) | DMSO | 73                       |
| 11    | 2         | Ir(ppy)₂(dtbbpy)(PF₆) | DMSO | 8                        |
| 12    | 2         | none          | DMSO    | 53                       |

<sup>a</sup>Reaction conditions: 1a (0.1 mmol), photocatalyst (0.001 mmol), blue light (20 W), solvent (2 mL), rt, 6 h; <sup>b</sup>yields were determined by HPLC using 3a as the external standard.

Scheme 2: A plausible reaction mechanism.

To demonstrate the scope of the reaction, a series of arenediazonium tetrafluoroborates was utilized in the reaction with CS₂ to generate the corresponding diaryl disulfides (Table 4). Arenediazonium tetrafluoroborates 1b–p with both, electron-withdrawing and donating groups successfully underwent transformation, affording the corresponding coupling products 3b–p in good to excellent yields (42–99%). Also sterically demanding substrates gave the desired products in good yields (3d, 3f, 3g, 3i, 3m and 3n) and functional groups such as chloro, bromo, ester, methyl, nitro, and phenyl groups were also compatible with the reaction conditions.

Conclusion

In conclusion, we have developed an efficient method for the synthesis of diaryl disulfides through the coupling of arenediazonium tetrafluoroborates and CS₂. This straightforward
Table 4: Reaction scope of the visible light-mediated coupling of arenediazonium tetrafluoroborates 1 with CS$_2$ (2).

| Substrate 1$^a$ | Product 3, yield$^b$ | Substrate 1$^a$ | Product 3, yield$^b$ |
|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| 1a              | 3a, 80%, 50%$^c$     | 1i              | 3i, 94%, 82%$^c$     |
| 1b              | 3b, 81%, 78%$^c$     | 1j              | 3j, 99%, 85%$^c$     |
| 1c              | 3c, 85%, 72%$^c$     | 1k              | 3k, 70%              |
| 1d              | 3d, 94%              | 1l              | 3l, 76%              |
| 1e              | 3e, 90%              | 1m              | 3m, 56%              |
| 1f              | 3f, 88%              | 1n              | 3n, 42%              |
| 1g              | 3g, 88%              | 1o              | 3o, 56%              |
visible light-promoted process proceeds under mild reaction conditions and is applicable for the assembly of a wide range of diaryl disulfides. Further studies to clearly understand the reaction mechanism and the synthetic applications are ongoing in our laboratory.
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Table 4: Reaction scope of the visible light-mediated coupling of arenediazonium tetrafluoroborates 1 with CS$_2$ (2). (continued)

| Reagents | Conditions | Yield |
|----------|------------|-------|
| | 1 (0.1 mmol), CS$_2$ (0.2 mmol), Ru(bpy)$_3$(PF$_6$)$_2$ (0.001 mmol), blue light (20 W), DMSO (2 mL), rt, 6 h; $^6$isolated yields after chromatography on silica gel; $^7$the reactions were carried out with the diazonium salts 1 at a 5 mmol scale; $^8$acetone was used as the solvent. | |
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