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ABSTRACT

We investigate the chemical abundances of NGC 3603 in the Milky Way, of 30 Doradus in the Large Magellanic Cloud, and of N66 in the Small Magellanic Cloud. Mid-infrared observations with the Infrared Spectrograph on board the Spitzer Space Telescope allow us to probe the properties of distinct physical regions within each object, the central ionizing cluster, the surrounding ionized gas, photodissociation regions, and buried stellar clusters. We detect [S iii], [S iv], [Ar iii], [Ne ii], [Ne iii], [Fe ii], and [Fe iii] lines and derive the ion abundances. Based on the ionic abundance ratio (Ne iii/H)/(S iii/H), we find that the gas observed in the MIR is characterized by a lower degree of ionization than the gas observed in the optical spectra. We compute the elemental abundances of Ne, S, Ar, and Fe. We find that the α-elements Ne, S, and Ar scale with each other. Our determinations agree well with the abundances derived from the optical. The Ne/S ratio is higher than the solar value in the three giant H ii regions and points toward a moderate depletion of sulfur on dust grains. We find that the neon and sulfur abundances display a remarkably small dispersion (0.11 dex in 15 positions in 30 Doradus), suggesting a relatively homogeneous ISM, even though small-scale mixing cannot be ruled out.

Subject headings: H ii regions — infrared: ISM — ISM: atoms — ISM: individual (NGC 3603, 30 Doradus, N66)
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1 INTRODUCTION

Giant H ii regions are ideal laboratories to understand the feedback of star formation on the dynamics and energies of the interstellar medium (ISM). Supernova and stellar winds arising in such regions are responsible for producing shocks and destroying dust grains and molecules, while compressing molecular clouds and triggering subsequent star formation. They also allow the release of newly synthesized elements into the ISM, altering its metallicity.

In order to study the star formation properties as a function of the environment, we observed three giant H ii regions spanning a wide range of physical conditions (gas density, mass, and age) and chemical properties (metallicity) with the Spitzer Space Telescope (Werner et al. 2004). Observations are part of the guaranteed time observation (GTO) program PID 63. The regions are NGC 3603 in the Milky Way, 30 Doradus (hereafter 30 Dor) in the Large Magellanic Cloud (LMC), and N66 in the Small Magellanic Cloud (SMC). The goal of this program is to address crucial issues such as the destruction of complex molecules by energetic photons arising from massive stars, the polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) abundance dependence on metallicity, or conditions that lead to the formation/disruption of massive stellar clusters. Photometry with Spitzer IRAC (Fazio et al. 2004) has been performed and will be discussed in B. Brandl et al. (2008, in preparation). The brightest mid-infrared (MIR) regions (knots, stellar clusters, shock fronts, etc.) were followed spectroscopically with the Infrared Spectrograph (IRS; Houck et al. 2004). In Lebouteiller et al. (2007), we analyzed the spatial variations of the PAH and fine-structure line emission across individual photodissociation regions (PDRs) in NGC 3603. The two other regions will be investigated the same way in follow-up papers (J. Bernard-Salas et al. 2008, in preparation; Whelan et al. in preparation). In this paper, we introduce the full IRS data set (low- and high-resolution) of the giant H ii regions, and we derive their chemical abundances. A subsequent paper will focus on the study of molecules and dust properties (V. Lebouteiller et al. 2008, in preparation).

Elemental abundances in H ii regions are historically derived from optical emission lines. Large optical telescopes, together with sensitive detectors, make it possible to determine the chemical composition of very faint H ii regions. Because of dust extinction, optical spectra observe ionized gas only toward lines of sight with low dust content. In this view, the MIR range allows analyzing denser lines of sight, with possibly different chemical properties because of small-scale mixing and/or differential depletion on dust grains. MIR emission lines constitute the only way to measure abundances in more obscure regions, and these abundances ought to be compared to abundances from the optical range. Although the optical domain gives access to some of the most important elements for constraining nucleosynthetic and stellar yields (C, N, O, Ne, S, Ar, and Fe), it does not include some essential ionization stages necessary for abundance determinations of certain elements, such as S iv or Ne iv. The MIR range enables the abundance determination of Ne, S, and Ar, with the most important ionization stages observed. Iron abundance can be also determined from MIR forbidden emission lines, but with considerably larger uncertainty due to ionization corrections. Finally, it must be stressed that abundance determinations in the optical are more sensitive to the electronic temperature (Te) determination compared to the MIR range. The effect of Te on abundances determinations is a significant source of error in optical abundance results.

Wu et al. (2008) recently studied a sample of blue compact dwarf galaxies (BCDs) with the IRS and found a global agreement
between abundances derived from the optical and those derived from the MIR. This suggests that the dense lines of sight probed in the MIR have a chemical composition similar to unextincted lines of sight and/or dense regions with possibly peculiar abundances do not contribute significantly to the integrated MIR emission-line spectrum. MIR abundances of the BCDs were calculated using mostly H$\beta$ or H$_\alpha$ lines from the optical as tracers of the hydrogen content, with significant uncertainties from aperture corrections, or different observed regions because of extinction. The present sample of giant H$\|_{}$ regions provides the unique opportunity to measure accurate abundances, with a signal-to-noise ratio sufficiently high to observe directly the H$\|_{}$ recombination band at 12.37 $\mu$m. We provide abundances of Ne, S, Ar, and Fe toward lines of sight with different physical properties (PDRs, ionized gas, embedded source, stellar cluster, etc.) within each giant H$\|_{}$ region.

We first present the sample of the three giant H$\|_{}$ regions in § 2. The data reduction and analysis are discussed in § 3. We infer the ion abundances in § 4. Elemental abundances are determined in § 5 and are discussed in § 6.

2. OBSERVATIONS

2.1. The Sample of Giant H$\|_{}$ Regions

2.1.1. NGC 3603

NGC 3603 is located in the Carina arm of the Milky Way, at around 7 kpc from the Sun (see, e.g., Moffat 1983; de Pree et al. 1999). Based on its Galactic longitude (291.62 $^\circ$), NGC 3603 is at around 8.5 kpc from the Galactic center, i.e., only somewhat farther away than the Sun ($\approx$8 kpc). This is the most massive optically visible H$\|_{}$ region in the Milky Way (Goss & Radhakrishnan 1969), being 100 times more luminous than the Orion Nebula. NGC 3603 is remarkably similar to R136, the core of 30 Dor, in terms of its star density profile and its Wolf-Rayet (WR) content (Moffat et al. 1994). NGC 3603 has essentially a solar metallicity with $12 + \log (O/H) \approx 8.39$ (e.g., Melnick et al. 1989; Tapia et al. 2001; García-Rojas et al. 2006; see also § 5).

Massive stars in the central stellar cluster heavily influence the surrounding ISM morphology through stellar winds, notably by compressing molecular clouds (Nürnberg & Stanke 2003). These massive stars are also responsible for most of the excitation in the H$\|_{}$ region through the large number of ionizing photons, with a Lyman continuum flux of $10 \times 10^{51}$ s$^{-1}$ (Kennicut 1984; Drissen et al. 1995).

2.1.2. 30 Doradus

30 Dor is located in the Large Magellanic Cloud, at a distance modulus of $(m - M)_0 = 18.45 \pm 0.15$ (Selman et al. 1999), i.e., $\approx$49 $\pm$ 3 kpc. It is the largest and most massive H$\|_{}$ region complex in the Local Group, with the nebula being 15$^\prime$ (200 pc) in diameter. The metallicity of 30 Dor is a factor of $\approx$0.6 below solar (§ 5). The dense core of very luminous and massive stars is known as R136 ($\approx$2.5 pc in diameter). In R136, there are 39 confirmed O3 stars (Massey & Hunter 1998), as well as several WR stars (e.g., Melnick 1985). Stars with spectral types from O3 to B3 are also detected as far away as 150$''$ from R136 (Bosch et al. 2001).

2.1.3. N66/NGC 346

N66 is the largest nebula in the SMC (Henize 1956). The distance to N66 is about that of the SMC (60.6 kpc; Hilditch et al. 2005). Many massive stellar clusters are located across the region (Sabbi et al. 2007), but most of the nebular ionization is thought to be due to NGC 346, the largest stellar concentration in the SMC (Dreyer 1888). The metallicity of the NGC 346 cluster is $Z/Z_\odot = 0.2 \pm 0.1$ (Haser et al. 1998; Bouret et al. 2003), and its age is $\sim$3 Myr (Bouret et al. 2003). The other—fainter—clusters have similar ages (Sabbi et al. 2007). Many H$\|_{}$ regions are located across the nebula, including the compact H$\|_{}$ region N66A, powered by its own stellar cluster. Several dozen O stars are confirmed in NGC 346, at least one of them as early as O3 type (Walborn & Blades 1986; Massey et al. 1989).

2.2. Observation Strategy

An observation log is presented in Table 1 where we report the coordinates of each position, the module scaling factor ($/C_6$), and the spectral characteristics. A total of 7 positions were observed in NGC 3603 (Fig. 1), 15 positions in 30 Dor (Fig. 2), and 12 positions in N66 (Fig. 3). We focus our discussion on the observations from the SH and LH modules, which cover 9.9–19.6 $\mu$m and 18.7–37.2 $\mu$m, respectively, with a spectral resolution of $R \approx 600$. Observations from the SL module (5.2–14.5 $\mu$m and $R \approx 60–127$) were used to extend the spectral coverage shortward of 9.9 $\mu$m.

We also included the first IRS observation of N66, originally designed to probe MIR bright knots as part of PID 63, but which resulted in a mispointing. These observations (positions 1, 2, and 3 in N66; Table 1) probe relatively low-excitation regions, with a few arcseconds offset from the originally intended MIR sources.

3. DATA REDUCTION AND ANALYSIS

3.1. Image Cleaning and Reduction

The two-dimensional detector images were processed by the Spitzer Science Center’s pipeline reduction software (ver. S13.2). We used the basic calibrated data product. Rogue pixels and on-the-fly flagged data were removed using IRSCLEAN.$^4$

3.2. Sky Subtraction

No sky subtraction was performed for 30 Dor and N66, since the observations initially designed to be background images include prominent MIR emission features (lines and PAHs). It must be noted that the regions are bright enough that the lack of background subtraction does not affect the measurements. We took instead the opportunity to use these background spectra and investigate low-excitation regions. Source 1 was used for sky subtraction in NGC 3603; it shows extremely weak emission lines, with fluxes less than 1% that of lines in other positions.

3.3. Extraction

High-resolution spectra were extracted from the full SH and LH apertures using the SMART software$^5$ developed at Cornell (Higdon et al. 2004). The low-resolution SL spectra were taken from Lebouteiller et al. (2007) for NGC 3603. J. Bernard-Salas et al. (2008, in preparation) for 30 Dor, and D. G. Whelan et al. (2008, in preparation) for N66.

3.4. Order Stitching and Source Extent

The spectra from the SH and LH modules had to be scaled for most observations, because the aperture sizes are different and because the sources sampled are not unresolved. The SH aperture

---

4 The IRSCLEAN package can be downloaded from http://ssc.spitzer.caltech.edu.
5 The SMART software can be downloaded from http://isc.astro.cornell.edu/Main/SmartRelease.
covers 4.7" × 11.3" on the sky, while the LH one covers 11.1" × 22.3", and the two apertures are perpendicular to each other. If these apertures were uniformly illuminated, this would result in a correction factor of ~0.215 in the ratio of the SH to LH flux.

We applied a scaling factor to the flux in the LH observations to scale to the SH ones. Note that we align the dust continuum and that the lines might behave differently (see the discussion in § 4.2). Correction factors are reported in Table 1 and range from ~0.25 to 1. The only source for which the factor is significantly greater than 1 is position 6 in N66. The SH observation contains an additional source compared to the LH one, which explains the additional flux observed in the SH spectrum. For several other sources in N66, no correction was needed, implying that the extent of those MIR sources is smaller than the SH aperture. The MIR sources in NGC 3603 and 30 Dor show SH/LH scaling factors usually between ~0.3 and ~0.4, i.e., consistent with extended emission.

The distance to 30 Dor and N66 is similar, but these objects show strong differences in the extent of their MIR sources. This suggests that the MIR emission in N66 is mostly concentrated in small knots and that there is no intense extended dust continuum emitting across the whole nebula (see also D. G. Whelan et al. 2008, in preparation).
We also aligned spectra from the SL module to stitch those from the SH/LH modules. Final spectra are presented in Figures 4–11.

3.5. Measurements

We illustrate in Figure 12 the detection of the most important lines in the MIR spectrum of one observation. Line fluxes are presented in Table 2. In order to infer the flux from a given emission line, we adjusted a first-order continuum to fit the data on both sides of the line. The line was then fitted with a Gaussian profile. Measurements were done in the combined data, where the spectral orders and the two nod positions have been co-added.

The [Ne II] line at 12.81 μm is blended with a PAH feature at ~12.7 μm, and for this particular case we forced the continuum to fit the data around 12.75 μm. Observations 6 and 9 in NGC 3603 show a broad emission bump at ~12.4 μm, seen in both low-resolution and high-resolution spectra, which is possibly stellar in origin. The 12.28 μm H2 line and the 12.37 μm H I line are blended with this broad feature, and their contribution to the integrated emission is not clearly visible. For this reason, it was not possible to reliably measure their flux.

The statistical errors from the fit are smaller than other uncertainties, such as errors on the flux calibration and stitching of the SH and LH module spectra (§3.4). We estimate the total measurement errors to range from ~10% (for H I, [Ne II], [Ne III], [Si II], [S III], [S IV], and [Fe II]) to ~20% (for [Ar III] and [Fe III]).

4. IONIC ABUNDANCES

4.1. Method

Fine-structure lines can be used to measure the ionic abundances relative to hydrogen. To derive the ionized hydrogen content, we made use of the H I recombination line at 12.372 μm (Humphreys α, 7→6). The Hα line is detected in all the positions of each object, except in position 3 in N66, for which we calculated an upper limit (Table 2). Positions 6 and 9 in NGC 3603 show a broad stellar emission bump preventing a reliable H I line flux estimate (§3.5). For all the other positions, the Hα line is blended with another, relatively weaker, H I line at 12.387 μm (11→8 transition). We estimated and corrected for the contribution of this line using the tables of Hummer & Storey (1987) for case B recombination to calculate the flux ratio H11→8/H12→6 under the physical conditions described in §4.2. The contribution of the H11→8 line that we corrected for is ~12%.

To determine the ionic abundance, we first estimate the Hβ flux from the Hα line using the tables of Hummer & Storey (1987) for a given electron density and temperature. We then infer
the ionic abundances using the method described in Bernard Salas et al. (2001). The ionic abundance is defined as

$$N_{\text{ion}} / N_p = \frac{I_{\text{ion}}}{I_p} N_p \frac{\lambda_{\text{H}\beta}}{\lambda_{\text{H}\beta}} \frac{\Omega_{\text{H}\beta}}{A_{\text{al}}} \left( \frac{N_u}{N_{\text{ion}}} \right)^{-1},$$

where $N_p$ is the density of protons, $I_{\text{ion}} / I_p$ is the ratio of observed intensities, $\lambda_{\text{al}}$ is the wavelength of the line, and $\Omega_{\text{H}\beta}$ is the wavelength of $\text{H}\beta$. $A_{\text{al}}$ is the Einstein spontaneous transition rate for the line, and finally $N_u / N_{\text{ion}}$ is the ratio of the population of the level from which the line originates to the total population of the ion. This ratio is obtained by solving the statistical equilibrium equation for a five-level system and normalizing the total number of ions (Osterbrock 1989). Collisional strengths were taken from the IRON project\(^6\) (Hummer et al. 1993).

4.2. Physical Conditions

In order to calculate the ionic abundances, it is necessary to estimate the electron density ($N_e$) and the temperature ($T_e$) in the nebula. It is possible to estimate the electron density notably through diagnostics provided by the measurements of different lines from the same ionization stage of a given ion. By combining SH and LH observations, we have access to two independent line ratios, $[\text{S}\text{ iii}]_{18.71 \mu m} / [\text{S}\text{ iii}]_{33.48 \mu m}$ and $[\text{Ne}\text{ iii}]_{15.56 \mu m} / [\text{Ne}\text{ iii}]_{36.01 \mu m}$. The $[\text{Ne}\text{ ii}]$ line ratio is irrelevant for our data, because it is sensitive to much higher densities ($N_e \gtrsim 10^4$ cm\(^{-3}\)) than usually found in giant H\textsc{ii} regions.

The $[\text{S}\text{ iii}]$ line ratio is sensitive to lower densities, but most of our data points lie in the flat regime, where no reliable density can be determined (Fig. 13a). It must be stressed that the $[\text{S}\text{ iii}]$ lines from which we derive the density do not belong in the same module. Hence, the $[\text{S}\text{ iii}]$ line ratio determination is strongly affected by uncertainties in the module scaling factor. Although the spectra were stitched to align ($\S$ 3.4), the regions observed in the two modules are not necessarily the same and might not share similar physical conditions. We aligned the SH and LH spectra based on the dust continuum, and it is likely that the line fluxes do not scale accordingly. In fact, it can be seen in Figure 13b that the agreement between the electron density determinations from the MIR and from the optical lines improves as the SH/LH module scaling factor reaches large values ($k_{0.4}$). Note that the outlier N66, 11 is characterized by a highly uncertain density determination because of the extremely small $[\text{S}\text{ iii}]$ line ratio in the low-density regime.

As a conclusion, the stitching of the SH and LH module spectra implies significant systematic uncertainties on the electron density determinations. We decided to use instead the values from the optical studies for the abundance determinations in $\S$ 4.3. The electron temperature values were also taken from the optical analysis. We assumed $T_e = 10,000$ K and $N_e = 1000$ cm\(^{-3}\) for NGC 3603 (Melnick et al. 1989; Garcia-Rojas et al. 2006), $T_e = 10,000$ K and $N_e = 100$ cm\(^{-3}\) for 30 Dor (Kurt & Dufour 1998; Peimbert 2003; Tsamis et al. 2003; Vermeij & van der Hulst 2002), and $T_e = 12,500$ K and $N_e = 100$ cm\(^{-3}\) for N66 (Kurt & Dufour 2003).

\(^6\) Find the IRON project at http://www.astronomy.ohio-state.edu/~pradhan/ip.html.
The electron density and temperature were assumed to be uniform across each object. The influence of possible variations of \(N_e\) and \(T_e\) within the gaseous nebula is discussed in § 4.3.

### 4.3. Results and Caveats

Ionic abundances assuming uniform electron density and temperature are presented in Table 3. For comparison, we report in Table 4 the values derived from the optical. Given the line flux uncertainties discussed in § 3.5, we consider the measurement error on the ionic abundance determination to range from \(\sim 15\%\) (for \(\text{Ne}^\text{II}, \text{Ne}^\text{III}, \text{S}^\text{III}, \text{S}^\text{IV}, \) and \(\text{Fe}^\text{II}\)) to \(\sim 25\%\) (for \(\text{Ar}^\text{III} \) and \(\text{Fe}^\text{III}\)).

Additional systematic errors on the method are due to the assumed physical conditions. It is likely that \(N_e\) and \(T_e\) vary across a single giant H \(\eta\) region and along a given line of sight. It has been argued that \(N_e\) in Galactic and extragalactic H \(\eta\) regions is higher toward the brightest regions, reaching a few \(100 \, \text{cm}^{-3}\), while the faintest Galactic H \(\eta\) regions are characterized by uniform electron density on the order of \(20\text{–}140 \, \text{cm}^{-3}\) (e.g., Castañeda et al. 1992; Copetti et al. 2000). It can be seen in Figure 14 that the ionic abundance determinations of \(\text{Ne}^\text{II}\) and \(\text{Ne}^\text{III}\) in the giant H \(\eta\) regions of our sample are fairly insensitive to electron density in the range \(10^2\text{–}10^4 \, \text{cm}^{-3}\). The other ions do not show strong variations in their abundance for densities smaller than \(10^3 \, \text{cm}^{-3}\). Furthermore, low-excitation faint regions in N66 (positions 1 and 2) do not show ionic abundance determinations particularly lower than the other—brighter—positions in this object. We conclude that internal variations (or uncertainties) in \(N_e\) do not significantly affect the ionic abundance determinations.

On the other hand, variations of the electron temperature may have a significant impact on the abundance determinations. The uncertainty arising from using different electron temperatures is much reduced when analyzing MIR fine-structure lines compared to the use of lines in the optical spectrum (Bernard Salas et al. 2001). In first approximation, the MIR ionic abundance determinations vary linearly with \(T_e\). All the ionic abundances show similar trends with \(T_e\) in Figure 14 because of the dependence of the recombination coefficient of H\(\beta\) with \(T_e\) (§ 4.1). In gaseous nebulae of giant H \(\eta\) regions, the temperature is usually between 7500 K and 15,000 K, while temperature fluctuations are on the order of \(15\%\text{–}20\%\) (Esteban et al. 2002; O’Dell et al. 2003). We conclude that there might be a systematic error on the ionic abundance determinations up to \(20\%\) if \(T_e\) is not uniform and varies by as much as \(20\%\).

The final uncertainty on the ionic abundances is given by the sum of measurement errors and errors due to electron temperature variation. Total errors range from \(\pm 15\% \pm 20\% \, (\pm 0.06 \pm 0.08 \, \text{dex})\) for \(\text{Ne}^\text{II}, \text{Ne}^\text{III}, \text{S}^\text{III}, \text{S}^\text{IV}, \) and \(\text{Fe}^\text{II}\), to \(\pm 20\% \pm 20\% \, (\pm 0.08 \pm 0.08 \, \text{dex})\) for \(\text{Ar}^\text{III} \) and \(\text{Fe}^\text{III}\). The dispersion of the ionic abundances we derive across the giant H \(\eta\) regions ranges...
from $\sim 3\%$ to $\sim 30\%$ (Table 3), which is smaller than the total uncertainty ($\sim 35\%$–$40\%$). This implies that (1) the errors on the ionic abundance could be somewhat overestimated and (2) electron temperatures are unlikely to vary by more than 20\% across a given giant H\textsc{ii} region.

5. ELEMENTAL ABUNDANCE DETERMINATION

The MIR range gives the unique opportunity to observe the most important ionization stages of elements such as Ne, S, and Ar. As a result, the elemental abundance determination requires no—or little—ionization correction factor. Iron abundance determination is much more uncertain because we do not observe the dominant ion in the ionized gas (Fe\textsc{iv}). Finally, we could not measure the total abundance of silicon, since we have access only to the Si\textsc{ii} ion.

5.1. Sulfur Ionization Structure

The total abundance of sulfur was calculated using the sum of the S\textsc{iii} and S\textsc{iv} ionization stages. We used the [S\textsc{iii}] line at 18.71 $\mu$m instead of the one at 33.48 $\mu$m to estimate the ionic abundance of S\textsc{iii}, because the 18.71 $\mu$m line is measured in the same module as H\textsc{i} $7\rightarrow 6$, allowing us to avoid aperture effects. In addition, the 18.71 $\mu$m line is much less sensitive to electron density variations (Fig. 14). No ionization corrections were made due to the presence of other ionization stages. We cannot exclude that some S\textsc{ii} is present in the ionized gas given the ionization potential (IP) of S\textsc{ii} (Table 6). The photoionization cross section...
(PICS) of S i is actually higher than that of S ii for energies \( \leq 50 \) eV (Verner et al. 1996). It is, however, unknown which fraction of S ii resides in the ionized gas, in the neutral gas, or in the associated PDRs.

Photoionization models, as well as optical observations, support the predominancy of S iii and S iv stages. Models of Tsamis & Péquignot (2005) for 30 Dor predict that \~92\% of sulfur is in S iii and S iv, while 8\% is due to other ionization stages, mostly S ii. Peimbert (2003) and Vermeij & van der Hulst (2002) find consistent results observationally, with S ii/S iii ranging from 3\% to 8.5\%. In N66, the contribution of S ii is approximately 15\% that of S iii (Peimbert et al. 2000; Vermeij & van der Hulst 2002). Finally, in NGC 3603, S ii/S iii is only 1.2\% (García-Rojas et al. 2006). Hence, we might underestimate the sulfur abundance by \~10\% in 30 Dor and N66, while the ionization correction factor (ICF) in NGC 3603 is negligible.

The final uncertainty on S/H is due to measurement errors on the ionic abundances of S iii and S iv (15\% each; \S 3.5) and to the assumed physical conditions (\~20\%, which affect S iii and S iv the same way; \S 4.3).

5.2. Neon Ionization Structure

The abundance of neon was calculated using both Ne ii and Ne iii ions. We use the [Ne iii] line at 15.56 \( \mu \)m instead of the one at 36.01 \( \mu \)m, because it resides in the same module as the H \( \gamma_{7-6} \) line and because the 15.56 \( \mu \)m line is comparatively less sensitive to electron density variations (Fig. 14). As far as higher ionization stages are concerned, the contribution of Ne iv is expected to be negligible, since the IP of Ne iii is 63.45 eV, i.e., above the He ii absorption edge at 54.4 eV in ionizing stars. The PICS of Ne iii is actually smaller than that of Ne ii for energies \( \leq 70 \) eV (Verner et al. 1996), implying that Ne iv should not exist in significant

![Fig. 6.—Spectra of MIR sources in 30 Dor (Table 1).](image_url)
Fig. 7.—Spectra of MIR sources in 30 Dor (Table 1).

Fig. 8.—Spectra of MIR sources in 30 Dor (Table 1). Positions 1, 2, and 3 were observed only with the high-resolution modules.

Fig. 9.—Spectra of MIR sources in N66 (Table 1). Positions 1, 2, and 3 were observed only with the high-resolution modules.

Fig. 10.—Spectra of MIR sources in N66 (Table 1). Positions 1, 2, and 3 were observed only with the high-resolution modules.
amounts. Moreover, the [O iv] line at 25.89 μm is detected in only one spectrum, position 17 in 30 Dor, which will be discussed in V. Lebouteiller et al. (2008, in preparation). The IP of O iii is 54.9 eV, compared to 63.4 eV for Ne iii; hence the absence of O iv implies the absence of Ne iv.

Models of 30 Dor confirm these findings and predict that Ne iv represents ~0.0002% of the total neon, compared to 86% for Ne iii and 14% for Ne ii (Tsamis & Péquignot 2005). The proportion of Ne iv should be negligible also in N66 and NGC 3603, resulting in similar negligible ionization corrections. More specifically, given the fact that the global interstellar radiation field (ISRF) hardness in N66 and NGC 3603 is similar or lower than that in 30 Dor (V. Lebouteiller et al. 2008, in preparation), we do not expect any significant contribution of Ne iv in any of our objects. Similar to sulfur abundance (§ 5.1), the error on Ne/H is ±30% due to measurement errors and ≤20% due to the assumed physical conditions.

5.3. Argon Ionization Structure

Given their IPs (Table 6), we expect a priori Ar ii, Ar iii, and Ar iv to be present in the ionized gas. Through the SL module of the IRS, we have access to the [Ar ii] line at 6.99 μm. We were able to estimate the Ar ii ionic abundance using the method described in § 4.1, with an estimated uncertainty as large as 25%, principally due to the low spectral resolution of the SL module, together with the faintness of the line. The results show that the Ar ii/Ar iii abundance ratio is smaller than 10% except toward position 4 in NGC 3603 (Table 5). The line of sight toward position 4 in NGC 3603 is characterized by a relatively low ionization degree, based on the (Ne iii/H)/(S iii/H) ionic abundance ratio (§ 6.2.2), which explains the large amount of Ar ii. It is not entirely clear, however, whether Ar ii belongs to the ionized gaseous phase. In fact, even though Ar i has an IP of 15.76 eV, i.e., above that of H i, Sofia & Jenkins (1998) proposed that the PICS of Ar i is such that it can be ionized into Ar ii when hydrogen is still in H i. The calculations of chemical abundances in the neutral gas of star-forming regions seem to agree with this proposal (see e.g., Lecavelier et al. 2004; Lebouteiller et al. 2004, 2006). In addition, the study of the spatial variations of MIR features across NGC 3603 shows that the [Ar ii] line intensity correlates with that of the PDR tracers such as the PAHs, and not with the usual ionized gas tracers such as [Ne ii], [Ne iii], [S iii], or [S iv] (Lebouteiller et al. 2007). Hence, the contribution of Ar ii that we derived should be regarded as an upper limit.

The IP of Ar iii (40.74 eV) is similar to that of Ne ii (40.96 eV); thus it could be expected that, together with Ar ii and Ar iii, Ar iv is present in the ionized gas, given the ubiquitous detection of [Ne iii] in our spectra. We consider, however, that the Ar iv contribution is not significant, given the relatively low PICS of Ar iii compared to that of Ar ii for energies smaller than ~70 eV (Verner et al. 1996). Optical observations of NGC 3603 show that the Ar iv contribution is indeed negligible, with Ar iv ≈ (0.025)Ar iii (García-Rojas et al. 2006). A similar value is found for 30 Dor, for which the contribution of Ar iv is ~2%–3% that of Ar iii (Peimbert 2003; Tsamis et al. 2003). In N66 on the other hand, Ar iv could represent as much as 17% compared to Ar iii (Peimbert et al. 2000). Such a large contribution is not surprising, given the relatively hard ISRF in this object. To investigate in more detail the presence of Ar iv, we used the photoionization grids of Stasińska (1990). Figure 15a shows the correlation between the ionization fraction ratios x(Ar iv)/x(Ar iii) and x(Ne iii)/x(Ne ii). In the spectra of the three giant H ii regions of our sample, x(Ne iii)/x(Ne ii) ranges from ≈0.28 to ≈6.31 (Fig. 15a, dashed lines). This corresponds to x(Ar iv)/x(Ar iii) ranging from ≈0.15 to ≈0.64. Hence according to the models, Ar iii is the dominant stage, and correction factors on the final argon abundance are on the order of 15%–65% (0.06–0.21 dex). These ionization correction factors seem to be significantly larger than found in the optical (Ar iv/Ar iii < 17%, i.e., < 0.07 dex). The optical spectra probe a gas with an ionization degree equal or higher compared to the gas probed in the MIR (§ 6.2.2); hence the ICF due to the presence of Ar iv is likely smaller than ~20% in the MIR spectra. The final results suggest that corrections are in fact negligible (§ 6.1.3).
Table 2

| Observation | [S iv] μm | [Ne iii] μm | [Ne ii] μm | [S iii] μm | [Ar iv] μm | [Ar iii] μm | [Ar ii] μm | [Ne v] μm | [Ne iv] μm | [Fe ii] μm | [Fe iii] μm | [Si iv] μm | H α 1 μm |
|-------------|----------|------------|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|------------|------------|------------|----------|---------|
| 3           | 53.83    | 134.45     | 181.10    | 142.69    | 5.60       | 291.98     | 24.35      | 1.94      | 3.05       | 3.23       | 55.33      | 3.50     |
| 4           | 49.30    | 280.13     | 162.93    | 399.72    | 7.82       | 542.34     | 29.58      | 2.48      | 8.33       | 9.55       | 137.78     | 5.90     |
| 5           | 83.81    | 89.73      | 193.42    | 209.58    | 6.27       | 304.99     | 28.50      | 1.23      | 3.66       | 3.30       | 58.82      | 2.98     |
| 6           | 20.98    | 11.77      | 36.80     | 35.40     | 1.45       | 52.25      | 6.85       | <0.42     | <1.34      | 0.86       | 8.94       | 5.86*    |
| 7           | 1075.34  | 140.92     | b         | 833.37    | b          | 134.64     | <7.75      | b         | b          | <24.38     | 14.07      |         |
| 8           | 1725.83  | 429.63     | b         | b         | b         | 541.07     | <16.7      | b         | b          | <131.23    | 21.32      |         |
| 9           | 521.82   | 246.0      | b         | 635.09    | b          | 153.30     | <11.73     | b         | b          | <96.26     | 6.63*      |         |

NGC 3603

| 30 Dor       |     |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |          |
|--------------|-----|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 2            | 3.45 | 0.18      | 12.50     | 9.83      | 0.26      | 16.80     | 1.22       | 0.08      | 0.07      | 0.19      | 2.95      | 0.24      |
| 3            | 36.12 | 5.67      | 60.19     | 37.77     | 0.94      | 59.25     | 5.73       | 0.31      | 0.18      | 0.60      | 7.16      | 1.00      |
| 4            | 102.71 | 7.84      | 107.02    | 53.26     | 1.52      | 68.58     | 8.68       | 0.58      | <0.50     | 1.29      | 3.43      | 1.56      |
| 5            | 8.63  | 5.94      | 20.99     | 17.34     | 0.51      | 31.71     | 2.44       | 0.28      | 0.37      | 0.39      | 9.46      | 0.37      |
| 6            | 15.67 | 7.08      | 33.33     | 25.05     | 0.51      | 41.45     | 3.69       | 0.19      | 0.86      | 0.84      | 8.92      | 0.50      |
| 7            | 105.95 | 15.05    | 143.96    | 79.73     | 2.59      | 109.26    | 12.39      | 0.58      | 0.92      | 1.91      | 8.85      | 2.37      |
| 8            | 18.31 | 34.39     | 62.07     | 64.08     | 2.05      | 111.14    | 7.10       | 0.62      | 1.93      | 2.06      | 24.33     | 1.74      |
| 10           | 13.6  | 39.57     | 31.68     | 54.29     | 1.50      | 66.96     | 3.74       | 0.37      | 1.53      | 1.47      | 19.63     | 1.40      |
| 11           | 32.39 | 14.35     | 74.99     | 54.82     | 1.63      | 98.25     | 8.45       | 0.54      | 0.84      | 1.33      | 11.72     | 1.15      |
| 12           | 31.75 | 6.26      | 46.80     | 28.02     | 0.98      | 64.03     | 6.26       | 0.34      | 0.60      | 0.68      | 11.93     | 0.66      |
| 13           | 7.63  | 2.61      | 14.90     | 10.73     | 0.35      | 22.87     | 2.66       | 0.10      | <0.15     | 0.29      | 3.49      | 0.27      |
| 14           | 131.11 | 27.69    | 207.41    | 117.35    | 3.14      | 168.87    | 15.93      | 0.86      | 2.75      | 2.54      | 34.57     | 3.09      |
| 15           | 151.33 | 28.29    | 245.48    | 142.31    | 4.55      | 222.20    | 22.61      | 0.83      | 2.40      | 3.10      | 24.24     | 3.13      |
| 16           | 150.39 | 14.23    | 183.24    | 97.64     | 2.92      | 126.17    | 14.16      | 0.81      | <1.41     | 1.95      | 10.66     | 2.78      |
| 17           | 1.74  | 7.75      | 7.08      | 10.18     | 0.53      | 34.65     | 1.58       | 0.20      | 1.65      | 1.07      | 13.20     | 0.32      |

Note.—In units of \(10^{-20}\) W cm\(^{-2}\). We estimate the errors on the line fluxes to be 10% for [S iv], [S iii], [Ne ii], [Ne iii], [Si iv], [Fe ii] and Hα, and 15% for [Ar iv] and [Fe iii] (§ 3.5).

a Blended with a broad stellar component (see § 3.5).
b Saturated.

The final error on Ar/H is due to measurement errors on the ionized abundances of Ar ii (~25%) and Ar iii (~20%) and to the assumed physical conditions (≤20%).

5.4. Iron Ionization Structure

Given the IP of the iron ionization stages (see Table 6), it is expected that most of the iron in the ionized gas is in Fe ii, Fe iii, and Fe iv. Fe iv is not expected to be further ionized, because of the helium absorption edges in stars at 54.4 eV. We have access to both Fe ii (25.99 μm) and Fe iii (22.92 μm) in the MIR. The Fe ii ion does not necessarily arise in the ionized gas. In fact, we find that the [Fe ii] line flux correlates best with PDR tracers such as the PAH emission or [Ar ii] (V. Lebouteiller et al. 2008, in preparation).

On the other hand, the presence of Fe iv could seriously hamper the iron abundance determination. One way to probe the presence of Fe iv is to study the variation of the ion abundance ratio Fe iii/Fe ii as a function of the ionization degree (see the application in M42 and M17 by Rodriguez 2002). Fe iii/Fe ii is expected to decrease when the ionization becomes harder, due to the presence of Fe iv. In our sample, there is no clear correlation with the ionization degree probed by [Ne iii]/[Ne ii]. This means either that Fe iv is not present in significant amounts or that, on the contrary, it is the dominant stage over the whole range of physical conditions (density and ISRF hardness) in the H ii regions.

We estimated the ion abundance of Fe iv using the photo-ionization grids of Stasińska (1990). It can be seen in Figure 15b that \(x(\text{Fe iv})/x(\text{Fe iii})\) lies between ≈0.56 and ≈6.21. Hence Fe iv represents a significant fraction of the total iron in the ionized gas, even becoming the dominant ionization stage in regions with [Ne iii]/[Ne ii] greater than ≈0.6, i.e., for most of our observations.
In their optical study of NGC 3603, García-Rojas et al. (2006) assumed that Fe \( \text{iv} \approx 2.4(\text{Fe}\ \text{ii} + \text{Fe}\ \text{iii}) \), confirming the predominance of the Fe \( \text{iv} \) ion. The correction factor we applied due to the presence of Fe \( \text{iv} \) ranges from 0.6 to 6 (Table 7).

The error on Fe/H is due to measurement error on Fe \( \text{ii} / \text{H} \) (15%) and Fe \( \text{iii} / \text{H} \) (20%) and to the assumed physical conditions (\( \lesssim 20\% \)). We corrected Fe/H for the presence of Fe \( \text{iv} \). The error on the ICF is estimated to be as much as a factor of 2.

6. DISCUSSION

Elemental abundances are presented in Table 7 and illustrated in Figure 16. The uncertainties are comparable to the abundance dispersion across each giant H \( \text{ii} \) region (Table 7), suggesting that the abundance variations we observe are mostly driven by uncertainties and are probably not intrinsic (see also discussion in § 6.3.2).

Elemental abundances from optical studies are summarized in Table 8. The MIR abundances of neon, sulfur, argon, and iron (see also § 6.3.2) are presented in Table 7 and illustrated in Figure 16. The uncertainty due to ionization corrections (§ 5.2). Neon stands out as being the element showing the best agreement with the corresponding optical references (Fig. 16). The average abundance we derive in NGC 3603 (\( \approx 7.94 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.08 \)) is relatively close to the value Ne/H = 8.08 found by Simpson et al. (1995) using the same MIR CELs as we observe, but combining different observations with possible aperture effects.

Neon is also the element that shows the smallest scatter in its abundance across the various positions in each giant H \( \text{ii} \) region. As an illustration, the 15 positions in 30 Doradus show only 0.11 dex dispersion, and the three positions in NGC 3603 give remarkably equal values, while the 11 positions in N66 show 0.20 dex dispersion. These findings, together with the fact that neon is not expected to be depleted on dust grains or incorporated in molecules, makes it a reliable metallicity tracer, unlike sulfur, argon, and iron (see also § 6.3.2). For this reason, we consider it a reference for our discussion.

6.1. MIR Abundances

6.1.1. Neon

The abundance of neon does not suffer from any significant uncertainty due to ionization corrections (§ 5.2). Neon stands out as being the element showing the best agreement with the corresponding optical references (Fig. 16). The average abundance we derive in NGC 3603 (\( \approx 7.94 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.08 \)) is relatively close to the value Ne/H = 8.08 found by Simpson et al. (1995) using the same MIR CELs as we observe, but combining different observations with possible aperture effects.

Neon is also the element that shows the smallest scatter in its abundance across the various positions in each giant H \( \text{ii} \) region. As an illustration, the 15 positions in 30 Doradus show only 0.11 dex dispersion, and the three positions in NGC 3603 give remarkably equal values, while the 11 positions in N66 show 0.20 dex dispersion. These findings, together with the fact that neon is not expected to be depleted on dust grains or incorporated in molecules, makes it a reliable metallicity tracer, unlike sulfur, argon, and iron (see also § 6.3.2). For this reason, we consider it a reference for our discussion.

6.1.2. Sulfur

The average abundances of sulfur that we determined in the giant H \( \text{ii} \) regions corroborate the optical values (Fig. 16). There might be an indication, however, that our determinations agree best with the lowest optically derived abundances (see discussion in § 6.2.1).

The sulfur abundance shows a larger dispersion across each giant H \( \text{ii} \) region than neon. Part of the larger dispersion could be attributed to priori ionization corrections due to the presence of S \( \text{ii} \). However, an ICF would change S/H by less than 0.05 dex (assuming S \( \text{ii}/\text{S}\ \text{iii} = 0.1; \) § 5.1), which is smaller than the total uncertainty on the sulfur abundance determination (\( \pm 0.11 \pm 0.08 \) dex).

In order to study in more detail the sulfur abundance in the H \( \text{ii} \) regions, we compare it to the neon abundance (see § 6.1.1). As discussed in Thuan et al. (1995), Ne, S, and Ar are products of \( \alpha \)-processes during both hydrostatic and explosive nucleosynthesis in massive stars. These elements are thus thought to follow a parallel chemical evolution in stars, except in the case of an extreme initial mass function (IMF), because the stars synthesizing Ne have somewhat larger masses than the stars producing S and Ar (Woosley & Weaver 1995). We observe that Ne/H and S/H trace each other remarkably well in the three objects of our sample (Fig. 17). This contrasts with the lack of correlation found by Verma et al. (2003) in starburst regions using Infrared Space Observatory (ISO) data, but this is consistent with Ne and S production in massive stars.

The Ne/S ratio appears to be significantly larger than the solar value by \( \approx 0.2–0.3 \) dex (Fig. 17). Correcting for the presence of S \( \text{ii} \) cannot explain this discrepancy (§ 5.1). Note that an extreme IMF is also unlikely to explain the sulfur depletion, because S/H and Ar/H show somewhat different behavior with respect to Ne/H, although S and Ar are produced in similar-mass stars (§ 6.1.3).

Interestingly, the Ne/S ratio was found to be on average larger in the PNe of the Magellanic Clouds than in the Milky Way,
which was attributed to sulfur depletion on molecules and dust grains (Marigo et al. 2003; Henry et al. 2004; Pottasch & Bernard-Salas 2006; Bernard-Salas et al. 2008). This underabundance of sulfur with respect to other $\alpha$-elements has also been observed in H II regions in the Milky Way (Simpson et al. 2004) and in M83 and M33 (Rubin et al. 2007) with the same interpretation. Unlike Si or Fe, for instance, sulfur is not depleted toward cool diffuse clouds (Savage & Sembach 1996). However, in dense regions and in PDRs, it becomes significantly depleted (Simpson & Rubin 1990; Verma et al. 2003; Pottasch & Bernard-Salas 2006). Goicoechea et al. (2006) found that sulfur depletion could be up to a factor of 4, i.e., 0.6 dex in the Horsehead PDR. For even denser regions, it has been established that sulfur is about 2 orders of magnitude more depleted than C, N, and O in molecular clumps with densities $n(H) \sim 10^3 - 10^5 \text{cm}^{-3}$ (Ruffle et al. 1999).

The position disagreeing the most with the Ne/S solar value is position 17 in 30 Dor, which shows prominent silicate absorption, and in PDRs, it becomes significantly depleted (Simpson & Bernard-Salas 2006). Rubin 1990; Verma et al. 2003; Pottasch & Bernard-Salas 2006).

The position disagreeing the most with the Ne/S solar value is position 17 in 30 Dor, which shows prominent silicate absorption, and in PDRs, it becomes significantly depleted (Simpson & Bernard-Salas 2006).
Densities are 100 cm$^{-3}$ (circles), 1000 cm$^{-3}$ (squares), and 10,000 cm$^{-3}$ (diamonds). Results were normalized to the determinations assuming 100 cm$^{-3}$ and $T_e = 10,000$ K.

Fig. 14.—Ionic abundance determination plotted as a function of $N_e$ and $T_e$. Densities are 100 cm$^{-3}$ (circles), 1000 cm$^{-3}$ (squares), and 10,000 cm$^{-3}$ (diamonds). Results were normalized to the determinations assuming 100 cm$^{-3}$ and $T_e = 10,000$ K.

References.—(1) García-Rojas et al. 2006; (2) Vermeij & van der Hulst 2002; (3) Peimbert et al. 2000; (4) Peimbert 2003; (5) Tsamis et al. 2003.

emission, which is a sign of relatively dense regions. Hence, although sulfur depletion onto dust grains is likely to occur, there is no trend with the spectral characteristics (PAH-dominated, ionized gas, etc.). This is due to the fact that the ionized gas we probe is not entirely associated with the PDRs or the embedded regions, because of the complex geometry and structure of the lines of sight.

Results in blue compact dwarfs (BCDs; Izotov & Thuan 1999; Wu et al. 2008) and Galactic H$\Pi$ regions (Simpson et al. 2004) are added for comparison in Figure 17. BCDs have optical spectra very similar to Galactic H$\Pi$ regions, and they sample the low-metallicity zone in the plot. The giant H$\Pi$ region N66 in the SMC overlaps the most metal-rich BCDs. Note that abundance measurements from the optical and MIR studies of BCDs disagree with each other (Fig. 17, crosses and filled circles). However, in the case of the BCDs, the difference comes from a higher neon abundance measured in the MIR, which could be due to the fact that the gas probed in the MIR is enriched in heavy elements and that sulfur is depleted on dust grains. It seems that the trend formed by the BCDs and the H$\Pi$ regions of our sample is significant off the solar proportion for metallicities close to solar, while it barely follows the solar proportion at metallicities lower than or equal to that of N66. Globally, there might be a hint that the Ne/S ratio increases together with the metallicity, which would be an important proof of sulfur depletion on dust grains.

6.1.3. Argon

In NGC 3603, Ar/H is somewhat smaller than the optical determination (Fig. 16). The argon abundances in N66 and 30 Dor are surprisingly large, even when no ICF accounting for Ar IV is applied. The argon abundances determined using only the Ar II and Ar III contributions match better the solar and BCD values than with ICF correction due to the possible presence of Ar IV (Fig. 18). As an illustration, when using an ICF to account for
while 30 Dor has clearly a subsolar metallicity, with Ar\textsuperscript{iv} with a small contribution due to Ar\textsuperscript{iii}.

The measurement error on the ionic abundance is (b). This is mostly due to large measurement uncertainties on the [Ar\textsuperscript{ii}] line fluxes. Only an upper limit could be determined for the Ar\textsuperscript{iii} abundance in N66, but it is more likely due to uncertainties in the abundance determination (Table 3).

Ar\textsuperscript{iv}, we find Ar/H in 30 Dor equal to the highest solar determinations (while 30 Dor has clearly a subsolar metallicity, with 0.60 Z\textsubscript{⊙}; § 6.3). These results are consistent with the fact that the main ionization stage in the dense gas probed in the MIR is Ar\textsuperscript{iii}, with a small contribution due to Ar\textsuperscript{ii} (§ 5.3).

Argon is produced by oxygen burning in massive stars, and it is expected to follow both sulfur and neon nucleosynthesis. Indeed the argon abundance Ar/H correlates with Ne/H in the giant H\textsuperscript{ii} regions (Fig. 18), but with a somewhat larger dispersion than the Ne/H versus S/H correlation. This is mostly due to large measurement uncertainties on the [Ar\textsuperscript{ii}] and [Ar\textsuperscript{iii}] line fluxes (§ 3.5), and not to the contribution from Ar\textsuperscript{iv} (§ 5.3). There is no position in any of the H\textsuperscript{ii} regions showing an argon deficiency with respect to neon, even at high metallicities, which is consistent with argon being undepleted on dust grains or in molecules, even in the densest regions probed.

The MIR neon and argon abundance determinations in NGC 3603 and 30 Dor agree well with the solar value (Fig. 18). In contrast, about half of the positions in N66 show a larger argon abundance than expected from the solar Ne/Ar. Verna et al. (2003) find that argon is overabundant relative to neon in a wide variety of star-forming galaxies, with metallicities from subsolar (BCDs) to supersolar (WR galaxies). There is no trend among the galaxies in their sample. We could see the effect of enhanced argon abundance in N66, but it is more likely due to uncertainties in the abundance determination.

| Observation | [Ar\textsuperscript{ii}] | [Ar\textsuperscript{iii}] | Ar\textsuperscript{ii}/Ar\textsuperscript{iii} | (\%) |
|-------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| NGC 3603    |                |                 |                 |
| 3           | 6.90           | 1.79E-7         | 6.9             |
| 4           | 26.20          | 4.05E-7         | 18.8            |
| 5           | 4.37           | 1.34E-7         | 3.9             |
| 6           | 2.96           | 7.69E-8         | c               |
| 7           | 4.47           | 1.91E-8         | c               |
| 8           |                |                 |                 |
| 9           |                |                 |                 |
| Average     | ...            | 1.63E-7         | 9.9             |
| 30 Dor      |                |                 |                 |
| 2           | 0.11           | 4.24E-8         | 2.4             |
| 3           | 1.56           | 1.44E-7         | 9.5             |
| 4           | ...            | ...             | ...             |
| 5           | 0.12           | 2.99E-8         | 1.3             |
| 6           | 0.33           | 6.08E-8         | 3.7             |
| 7           | 0.91           | 3.54E-8         | 2.0             |
| 8           | 2.45           | 1.30E-7         | 6.8             |
| 10          | 2.34           | 1.54E-7         | 9.0             |
| 11          | 0.10           | 7.99E-9         | 0.3             |
| 12          | ...            | ...             | ...             |
| 13          | ...            | ...             | ...             |
| 14          | 1.54           | 4.59E-8         | 2.8             |
| 15          | 0.66           | 1.94E-8         | 0.8             |
| 16          | 0.30           | 9.93E-9         | 0.6             |
| 17          | 0.24           | 7.10E-8         | 2.7             |
| Average     | ...            | 6.26E-8         | ...             |
| N66         |                |                 |                 |
| 1           | ...            | ...             | ...             |
| 2           | ...            | ...             | ...             |
| 3           | ...            | ...             | ...             |
| 5           | 0.06           | 3.23E-8         | 2.7             |
| 6           | 0.10           | 7.66E-8         | 3.7             |
| 7           | ...            | ...             | ...             |
| 8           | 0.03           | 1.71E-8         | 2.0             |
| 9           | 0.12           | 1.05E-7         | 9.9             |
| 10          | 0.09           | 6.95E-8         | 7.3             |
| 11          | ...            | ...             | ...             |
| 12          | 0.03           | 1.61E-8         | 1.8             |
| 13          | 0.13           | 8.21E-8         | 9.3             |
| Average     | ...            | 6.55E-8         | 5.2             |

\[ \text{[Ar\textsuperscript{ii}] line flux is in units of } \times 10^{-20} \text{ W cm}^{-2}. \text{ We estimate the measurement error to be } \sim 20\%. \]

The measurement error on the ionic abundance is (b). The systematic error related to electron temperature variation is (c). Only an upper limit could be determined for the Ar\textsuperscript{iii} ionic abundance. And not to the contribution from Ar\textsuperscript{iv} (§ 5.3). There is no position in any of the H\textsuperscript{ii} regions showing an argon deficiency with respect to neon, even at high metallicities, which is consistent with argon being undepleted on dust grains or in molecules, even in the densest regions probed.

Fig. 15.—Ionic fractions based on the model grids of Stasińska (1990). Models considered were (abedefg)2(bcd)1.

### TABLE 5

| Element | [Ar\textsuperscript{ii}] (eV) | [Ar\textsuperscript{iii}] (eV) | (\%) |
|---------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|------|
| H       | 13.60                         | ...                           | ...  |
| Ne       | 21.56                         | 40.96                         | 63.45| 97.11| 126.21|
| Si       | 8.15                          | 16.34                         | 33.49| 45.15| 166.77|
| S        | 10.36                         | 23.33                         | 34.83| 47.30| 72.68 |
| Ar       | 15.76                         | 27.63                         | 40.74| 59.81| 75.02 |
| Fe       | 7.87                          | 16.18                         | 30.64| 54.8 | 75.0 |
| Observation | Ne/H | S/H | Ar/H \(^a\) | Fe/H \(^b\) (ICF) |
|-------------|------|-----|-------------|------------------|
| NGC 3603    |      |     |             |                  |
| 3           | 7.95 | 7.08| 6.41        | 5.98 (0.20)      |
| 4           | 7.94 | 6.92| 6.33        | 6.13 (0.13)      |
| 5           | 7.94 | 6.96| 6.53        | 6.14 (0.26)      |
| 6           | ...  | ... | ...         | ...              |
| 7           | ...  | ... | ...         | ...              |
| 8           | ...  | ... | ...         | ...              |
| 9           | ...  | ... | ...         | ...              |
| Error \(^c\) | ±0.11 ± 0.08 | ±0.11 ± 0.08 | ±0.16 ± 0.08   | ±0.37 ± 0.08    |
| Average     | 7.94 | 6.99| 6.43        | 6.09 (0.19)      |
| Standard deviation | 0.00 | 0.09| 0.11        | 0.09             |
| 30 Dor      |      |     |             |                  |
| 2           | 7.74 | 6.76| 6.25        | 6.01 (0.46)      |
| 3           | 7.72 | 6.76| 6.22        | 6.04 (0.63)      |
| 4           | 7.73 | 6.77| 6.20        | 6.32 (0.86)      |
| 5           | 7.79 | 6.82| 6.36        | 6.16 (0.36)      |
| 6           | 7.82 | 6.86| 6.23        | 6.42 (0.40)      |
| 7           | 7.73 | 6.73| 6.26        | 5.80 (0.21)      |
| 8           | 7.72 | 6.70| 6.31        | 6.10 (0.25)      |
| 10          | 7.75 | 6.72| 6.28        | 5.98 (0.16)      |
| 11          | 7.80 | 6.83| 6.36        | 6.26 (0.48)      |
| 12          | 7.79 | 6.82| 6.38        | 6.32 (0.54)      |
| 13          | 7.71 | 6.76| 6.32        | 6.20 (0.63)      |
| 14          | 7.77 | 6.77| 6.22        | 6.24 (0.52)      |
| 15          | 7.82 | 6.84| 6.37        | 6.33 (0.59)      |
| 16          | 7.72 | 6.76| 6.23        | 6.22 (0.83)      |
| 17          | 7.71 | 6.63| 6.44        | 6.57 (0.16)      |
| Error \(^c\) | ±0.11 ± 0.08 | ±0.11 ± 0.08 | ±0.16 ± 0.08   | ±0.37 ± 0.08    |
| Average     | 7.76 | 6.77| 6.32        | 6.24 (0.35)      |
| Standard deviation | 0.02 | 0.03| 0.09        | 0.11             |
| N66         |      |     |             |                  |
| 1           | 7.39 | 6.50| 5.85        | 5.30 (0.80)      |
| 2           | 7.37 | 6.46| 5.73        | ...              |
| 3           | ...  | ... | ...         | ...              |
| 5           | 7.25 | 6.32| 6.07        | ...              |
| 6           | 7.39 | 6.44| 6.31        | ...              |
| 7           | 7.27 | 6.45| 6.10        | ...              |
| 8           | 7.38 | 6.42| 5.93        | 5.61 (0.35)      |
| 9           | 7.39 | 6.41| 6.03        | ...              |
| 10          | 7.34 | 6.36| 5.98        | ...              |
| 11          | 7.41 | 6.44| 6.05        | ...              |
| 12          | 7.21 | 6.26| 5.95        | ...              |
| 13          | 7.25 | 6.33| 5.94        | ...              |
| Error \(^c\) | ±0.11 ± 0.08 | ±0.11 ± 0.08 | ±0.16 ± 0.08   | ±0.37 ± 0.08    |
| Average     | 7.34 | 6.36| 5.97        | 5.48 (0.45)      |
| Standard deviation | 0.05 | 0.10| 0.15        | 0.24             |

**Note.**—The abundance of an element X is expressed as 12 + log(X/H), where X/H is the sum of the ionic abundances.

\(^a\) Calculated using the ionic abundances of Ar \(^{ii}\) and Ar \(^{iii}\).

\(^b\) Calculated using the ionic abundances of Fe \(^{ii}\), Fe \(^{iii}\), and Fe \(^{iv}\). The ICF applied due to the presence of Fe \(^{iv}\) is indicated between parentheses.

\(^c\) The first term gives the measurement error and the error on the ICF if applied. The second term gives the error due to the assumed physical conditions (§ 4.3).
The iron abundance in 30 Dor shows a large dispersion including the optical value within the range. The Fe/H value toward 30 Dor, 17 is more than 3 σ larger than the average iron abundance in 30 Dor. The spectrum of 30 Dor, 17 shows deep silicate absorption probably originating from dust associated with an ultracompact H ii region. In the same spectrum, we also observe the high-excitation [O iv] line at 25.89 μm, which is known to originate around hot Wolf-Rayet stars (Schaerer & Stasińska 1999) and in shock-heated gas (Lutz et al. 1998). Because the region is dominated by shocks from the nearby supernova remnant (SNR) 30 Dor B (Chu et al. 1992), it is likely that the [O iv] line traces gas shocked by the SN. Hence the enhanced iron abundance toward 30 Dor, 17 is consistent with removal of iron atoms from dust grains due to shocks. We plan to investigate in more detail the ISM properties toward the SNR using the new data provided by an accepted IRS Cycle 5 GTO program.

There are only two Fe/H determinations in N66 (positions 1 and 8), both of which are significantly smaller than the Fe/H values in the two other giant H ii regions and also smaller than the BCDs having similar metallicities (as traced by Ne/H). This might be due to underestimated ionization corrections due to the presence of Fe iv in this object (§ 5.4). However, although N66 is characterized by a globally harder ISRF compared to the two other H ii regions in our sample, positions 1 and 8 in N66 do not show significantly harder ISRFs than all the positions in 30 Dor. Hence the iron underabundance in N66 could be genuine.

The Fe/Ne ratio in the three giant H ii regions is significantly lower than the solar value (Fig. 19). This can be explained by iron depletion on the surface of very small grains, which are the dominant dust component in the ionized gas (see e.g., Cesarsky et al. 1996; Verstraete et al. 1996; Lebouteiller et al. 2007). Rodríguez (2002) observed that the [O/Fe] ratio increases with metallicity in BCDs, and explained it by iron depletion on dust at high metallicity. We find that the trend formed by the BCDs and the giant H ii regions flattens for large neon abundances, suggesting that the iron becomes more and more underabundant as the metallicity increases, being consistent with iron depletion on dust grains.

6.2. Dense Gas Properties

6.2.1. Depletion onto Dust Grains

MIR spectra allow us to probe regions that are obscured at optical wavelengths, possibly with different physical and chemical properties. The average sulfur abundances we calculated from IRS spectral lines in the giant H ii regions corroborate the optical determinations (Fig. 16), even though they likely fall toward their lower side. Interestingly, Simpson et al. (1995) measured the MIR [S iii] line flux and used the [S iv] line flux in the literature to infer S/H = 7.12 in NGC 3603, i.e., close to our average determination for this object (6.99 ± 0.11 ± 0.08; Table 7). These two MIR determinations are significantly lower than 7.36 ± 0.08, which was obtained from optical lines by García-Rojas et al. (2006). If the relative sulfur underabundance in the MIR is real, this could hint at a differential sulfur depletion between the gases probed in the MIR and in the optical. However, it is likely that the underabundance is driven by uncertainties in the abundance determination methods. Ionization correction in the MIR could represent 0.04–0.05 dex (§ 5.1). On the other hand, optical studies do not directly observe S iv, which in our case contributes around 5% to the total sulfur in NGC 3603 and as much as 24% in N66 and 17% in 30 Dor. The ICF applied in the optical could overcorrect the total sulfur abundance. Moreover, electronic temperature variations/uncertainties affect the
optical determinations and, to a lesser extent, the MIR determinations (§ 4.3).

The study of the refractory element iron supports the lack of differential depletion between the gases probed in the MIR and in the optical. We found that the iron abundance in NGC 3603 and 30 Dor is similar when derived in the optical and in the MIR (§ 6.1.4). This confirms that the possible discrepancy seen in S/H between MIR and optical observations is not due to additional depletion in the gas observed in the MIR, but rather to uncertainties on the abundance determination. This stresses the importance of deriving the abundance of a refractory element such as iron in dense regions.

6.2.2. Ionization Degree

We found that the chemical composition derived in the optical and in the MIR is overall fairly similar (Fig. 16). For this reason, it is possible to compare the ionic abundances from optical and MIR wavelengths and interpret possible differences in physical conditions. In order to trace the gas excitation, we use the ionic abundance ratio (Ne iii/H)/S iii/H. This ratio is a good approximation for (Ne iii/H)/(Ne ii/H) in H ii regions (V. Lebouteiller et al. 2008, in preparation), and it can be derived in both optical and MIR ranges. Based on the values in Table 4, the (Ne iii/H)/S iii/H ratio is 3.7 ± 0.7 in NGC 3603, i.e., about 2 times smaller than the value in the optical (7.7 ± 0.4; Garcia-Rojas et al. 2006). We find (Ne iii/H)/S iii/H = 7.6 ± 1.5 in 30 Dor, somewhat smaller than 10.7 ± 0.1 in the optical (Peimbert 2003).

Finally, we find (Ne iii/H)/S iii/H = 9.8 ± 2.0 in N66, compared to 10.3 in the optical (Vermeij & van der Hulst 2002). Hence the MIR spectra seem to probe ionized gas with a degree of ionization equal to or lower than that of the gas probed in the optical. This could be due to the fact that the SH and LH apertures from the IRS do not sample the same spatial regions as those observed within narrow slits used in the optical. It is also possible that the gases probed in the MIR and in the optical have different physical properties (such as density).

6.3. Metal Dispersion and Mixing

6.3.1. Metal Enrichment History

Stellar winds and supernova explosions from the current star formation episode release newly produced elements into the surrounding ISM on a short timescale (few 10⁶ yr). It is thus natural to question the origin of the metals we observe in the ionized gas of the giant H ii regions. We investigate in this section the total metal content of each giant H ii region by comparing their metallicities with the Sun and other objects from the same host galaxies (H ii regions and planetary nebulae).

First, it must be stressed that the solar abundance determinations have shown strong variations over the past few years (see e.g., Pottasch & Bernard-Salas 2006). The gray stripes in Figure 16 illustrate the range of solar abundance determinations for each element over the 1998–2007 period. Neon and argon abundances are particularly poorly determined, because of the absence

---

### Table 8: Elemental Abundances from the Optical

| Element | Reference |
|---------|-----------|
| Ne/H    | 1         |
| S/H     | 1, 2      |
| Ar/H    | 1, 3      |
| Fe/H    | 1, 4      |

---

Note.—The average Ne/S values were calculated from measurements with quoted errors only.

References.—(1) García-Rojas et al. 2006; (2) Tapia et al. 2001; (3) Simpson et al. 1995; (4) Peimbert et al. 2003; (5) Tsamis & Pequignot 2005; (6) Vermeij & van der Hulst 2002; (7) Rosa & Mathis 1987; (8) Mathis et al. 1985; (9) Shaver et al. 1983; (10) Dufour et al. 1982; (11) Peimbert et al. 2000.
of suitable lines in the solar photosphere. Abundance determinations of those elements are indirect and use coronal lines, together with a correction using other \( \text{C}/ \text{N} \)-elements, such as magnesium or oxygen. Considering the most extreme determinations among the recent studies (Asplund et al. 2006; Feldman & Widing 2003), solar Ne/H determinations range from 7.84 ± 0.06 to 8.08 ± 0.06, while Ar/H ranges from 6.18 ± 0.06 to 6.62 ± 0.06. The sulfur solar abundance is better determined, with values from 7.14 (Asplund et al. 2006) to 7.33 (Grevesse & Sauval 1998).

We consider neon the most reliable metallicity tracer available in our study, compared to sulfur, argon, and iron (§ 6.1.1). The average neon abundance is 7.94 ± 0.11 ± 0.08 in NGC 3603, 7.76 ± 0.11 ± 0.08 in 30 Dor, and 7.34 ± 0.11 ± 0.08 in N66 (Table 7). Considering an average solar value of 7.98, the average neon abundance in NGC 3603 implies essentially a solar metallicity (\( \approx 0.91 Z_{\odot} \)), while 30 Dor is \( \approx 0.60 Z_{\odot} \), and N66 is \( \approx 0.23 Z_{\odot} \).

The metallicity of NGC 3603 agrees with the solar metallicity within uncertainties. This is consistent with the abundance gradient in the Milky Way (see, e.g., Martin-Hernández et al. 2002), given the fact that the galactocentric distance of NGC 3603 is almost that of the Sun (8.5 kpc compared to 8 kpc; § 2.1.1). It is instructive to compare our abundances in 30 Dor and N66 with those in planetary nebulae (PNe) and in other \( \text{H}_2 \) regions in the LMC and SMC from Bernard-Salas et al. (2008). The authors measured abundances using MIR lines in a similar way to the present study so that the comparison does not suffer from significant systematic uncertainties. Bernard-Salas et al. (2008) found that neon and sulfur abundances in PNe agree relatively well
with those in the H II regions of the LMC and SMC, implying that H II regions have not been significantly enriched over the past few Gyr (age of the PN progenitors). The global neon abundance we inferred from the MIR lines in 30 Dor is 7.76 ± 0.11 ± 0.08, remarkably close to the average value in the PNe of the LMC (Ne/H) = 7.78 (no quoted errors). The global neon abundance we derive in N66 (7.34 ± 0.11 ± 0.08) is consistent within error bars with the average (Ne/H) in the PNe of the SMC (7.43). In addition, it must be noted that the metallicity in O dwarf stars in NGC 346, the stellar cluster associated with N66, is 0.2 Z⊙ (Bouret et al. 2003), which agrees well with our metallicity determination in the ISM of the H II region (∼0.23 Z⊙).

It seems that the chemical composition of giant H II regions compares well with that of PNe and young stars, suggesting that the ionized gas of the H II regions has not been enriched significantly by the current star formation episode or by any previous episode more recent than ∼1 Gyr. If enrichment has really occurred, the metallicity of the H II regions has been enhanced by much less than a factor of 2. Full mixing requires that elements acquire the same physical properties as the ionized gas, namely, temperature and density, but also viscosity and molecular diffusion (Scalo & Elmegreen 2004). The effective mixing provided by turbulent diffusion in H II regions allows metals to mix on spatial scales of a few hundred parsecs over 100 Myr (Roy & Kunth 1995; Avillez & Mac Low 2002). Hence abundance discontinuities between H II regions in a single galaxy should be ubiquitous, especially in low-mass galaxies, where rotational shear is weak (Roy & Kunth 1995). However, observations challenge this hypothesis and suggest that mixing can occur on spatial scales as large as the galaxy size and over timescales larger than the age of the H II regions (see, e.g., Kobulnicky 1998; Skillman & Kennicutt 1993; Noeske et al. 2000; Russel & Dopita 1990). Our results support such spatial and timescales and question the idea of significant mixing caused by the superstellar cluster (see also, e.g., Redman et al. 2003). For example, we find sulfur abundances ranging from 6.63 to 6.86 and argon abundances ranging from 6.18 to 6.63, which is a smaller dispersion than we measured (but with fewer positions). Our results in NGC 3603 and N66 also imply that the neon abundance and,

to a lesser extent, the sulfur abundance show little dispersion in these regions. Part, if not all, of the dispersion of the elemental abundances is due to uncertainties in the abundance determinations, such as ionization corrections (§ 5) and the assumed physical conditions of the nebula (§ 4.3). In this section, we investigate whether there is evidence for small-scale mixing.

The apparent homogeneity of the abundances contrasts with the wide variety of physical regions that each line of sight samples toward each object (PDR, stellar cluster, ionized gas, and embedded regions). This suggests that the ionized gas from which we measure the chemical abundances is not necessarily associated with these physical regions. In fact, the lack of MIR line extinction toward PDRs and toward embedded objects implies that the whole nebula is filled with ionized foreground gas (V. Lebouteiller et al. 2008, in preparation). Can abundance inhomogeneities still be inferred? The material ejected from supernovae could reach a hot coronal phase before falling back onto the galactic disk in the form of molecular droplets (Tenorio-Tagle 1996). This material will then be photodissociated by massive stars and mix with the surrounding ionized gas. According to this model, mixing could occur at very small spatial scales (<1 pc). Tsamis & Péquignot (2005) introduced in their model of 30 Dor small-scale chemical inhomogeneities at the subparsec-sized scale. The typical distance between the IRS observations is ∼4 pc in NGC 3603, ∼20 pc in 30 Dor, and ∼15 pc in N66. Hence our MIR observations do not have the necessary spatial resolution to probe such chemical inhomogeneities.

On the other hand, Recchi et al. (2001) proposed that, mostly because of thermal conduction, the SNe Type II ejecta start to cool down and mix with the cold gas within a few × 106 yr before the formation of hot gas outflows. In this particular case, small-scale mixing could be observed locally. In order to assess whether small-scale mixing is responsible for the abundance variations we observe across each giant H II region, we use Ne/H in 30 Dor as a reference. The standard deviation of Ne/H is 0.02 dex, implying that the abundance of neon could vary as much as ∼5% across the region. Interestingly, 5% of the neon abundance currently present in 30 Dor corresponds to the total neon enrichment that the star-forming dwarf galaxy I Zw 18 experienced so far (Ne/H = 6.40; Izotov & Thuan 1999). Kunth & Sargent (1986) proposed that the metallicity of I Zw 18 represents the minimum chemical enrichment of an H II region from a starburst episode. It is unlikely that the small-scale variations in a single giant H II region correspond to the yields from a starburst episode, especially considering the fact that the metals in the ionized gas are not likely to be cophased with the star formation episode (§ 6.3). Uncertainties on the measurements and on the abundance determination method (±0.11 ± 0.08 dex for Ne/H, i.e., as much as 55%) are too large to constrain possible abundance fluctuations of less than 5% at the metallicity of 30 Doradus.

7. CONCLUSIONS

We analyzed the chemical abundances in the ISM of three giant H II regions, NGC 3603 in the Milky Way, 30 Dor in the LMC, and N66 in the SMC, using the MIR lines observed with the IRS on board Spitzer.

1. Our observations probe the ISM toward various physical regions, such as stellar clusters, ionized gas, photodissociation regions, and deeply embedded MIR bright sources. The spectra show the main ionization stages of neon, sulfur, and argon in the ionized gas. We also detect [Fe II] and [Fe III] lines.

2. Ionic abundances of Ne II, Ne III, S III, S IV, Ar II, Ar III, Fe II, and Fe III were derived. The internal variation of electron density across a region has no impact on the ionic abundance determination. On the other hand, we find that electron temperature
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uncertainties and/or intrinsic variations could be responsible for an error of 20% at most on the abundance determinations. Based on the (Ne iii/H)/(S iii/H) ionic abundance ratio, we find that the optical spectra probe a gas with a degree of ionization equal to or higher than the gas probed in the MIR.

3. Elemental abundances were determined from the ionic abundances. No ionization corrections were needed, except for iron. We find that neon, sulfur, and argon scale with each other, which is expected from stellar yields. Abundances do not show any dependence on the physical region (PDR, stellar cluster, embedded region, etc.).

4. The Ne/S ratio is larger than the solar value and suggests that sulfur could be depleted onto dust grains. The sulfur abundance in the MIR agrees best with the lowest optical determinations, which is likely due to uncertainties in the abundance determinations.

5. Iron abundance shows a larger uncertainty than Ne/H, S/H, and Ar/H. The comparison of iron and neon abundances hints at significant depletion of iron onto dust grains at large metallicities. The agreement with the optical determination of Fe/H indicates, however, that there is no differential depletion on dust grains between the gas probed in the MIR and in the optical.

6. Fe/H is found to be spectacularly large in one position, corresponding to a supernova remnant. This strongly suggests that iron atoms have been released from dust grains due to shocks from the SN.

7. The metallicity of NGC 3603 agrees with the Galactic abundance gradient. The metallicities of 30 Doradus and N66 agree well with those of the PNe in their respective host galaxies. These findings suggest that the giant H ii regions did not experience a significant metal enrichment for at least 1 Gyr. If enrichment occurred, the metallicity was altered by less than a factor of 2.

8. Neon and sulfur abundances show remarkably little dispersion in the three H ii regions (e.g., 0.11 dex dispersion in 15 positions in 30 Dor). Small-scale mixing is apparently effective; abundance fluctuations are smaller than ~55%. However, internal variations of the abundances are likely to be on the order of ≤5%, and determining their existence would require a significant improvement in the data quality and the method.
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