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Abstract

AIM: The aim of this study was to analyze the frequencies of these soft-tissue injuries and to describe the arthroscopic technique used for their diagnosis.

METHODS: The prospective study included 85 patients with operatively treated distal radius fracture. Wrist arthroscopy was used to identify the associated lesions of triangular fibrocartilage complex (TFCC), scapholunate ligament (SL) and lunatruquetal ligament (LT), extrinsic ligaments, and chondral lesions.

RESULTS: Wrist arthroscopy identified TFCC injury in 72.9%, SL injury in 65.9%, LT injury in 9%, extrinsic ligaments in 5.9%, chondral lesions in 3.5%, and combined lesion of both sl and LT ligament. 87.1% of the patients had at least one of the major structures injured (TFCC, SL or LT). The presence of the ulnar styloid fractures significantly correlated with with the associated LT lesion, with the LT lesion type, and with the lesion of both SL and LT ligament. If the distal radius fracture was intraarticular there was no correlation with the finding of any associated soft-tissue lesion.

CONCLUSIONS: The frequency of the associated soft-tissue lesions in distal radius fractures is high. Ulnar styloid fracture was identified as risk factor for associated LT lesion, as well as combined lesion of both scapholunate and lunatruquetal ligament.

Introduction

Treatment algorithm of distal radius fractures has evolved substantially over the past decades. Most of the studies that compared different options for treatment of distal radius fractures have not investigated the extent and influence of the associated injuries of the cartilage, the triangular fibrocartilage complex or the intercarpal ligaments. Lately, increased recognition is being given to the assessment and management of the associated soft-tissue injuries. It is these injuries that are suspected to be the cause of unsatisfactory results in patients with properly restored bony anatomy [1-3]. Overlooking the associated soft-tissue injuries in the past may be owing to the less rigorous assessment of outcome or because prolonged immobilization in cast or external fixation failed to show the soft-tissue deficiencies during the initial aftercare. Current trends in distal radius fracture management include early and more aggressive rehabilitation protocols. In this setting, soft-tissue injuries become more apparent [3-5].

The diagnosis of soft-tissue wrist injury on plain radiographs can be difficult in absence of gross deformity and even the use of arthrogram, ultrasound and magnetic resonance imaging may fail to demonstrate lesions [6-8], suggesting that direct visualization with use of arthroscopy is the most accurate method [9].

Arthroscopy of the wrist has provided a new and different window into the wrist joint. Indeed, an increasing number of investigative studies in peer-reviewed orthopedic journals have confirmed that arthroscopy of the wrist is an accurate diagnostic tool [1,10,11].

The focus of this study was neither on the
treatment of distal radius fractures nor the treatment of the associated soft-tissue lesions. The aim of this study was to analyze the frequencies of the soft-tissue injuries associated with distal radius fractures and to provide a brief description of the arthroscopic technique used for their diagnosis.

Materials and Methods

Patients

This clinical study was designed as prospective randomized study of patients with a distal radius fracture. The study was approved by the Ethical committee of the Ministry of health of Republic of Macedonia, as well as the Ethical committee of the Medical faculty in Skopje.

Inclusion criteria were: acute distal radius fracture in patients with mature skeleton, age 18-65 years (this excludes the influence of the clinically relevant osteoporosis [12]), operative treatment indicated (regardless of the type of the fixation method used [13]). Exclusion criteria were: fracture in patients with fused epiphyses, concomitant carpal or hand fractures on the initial radiographs (carpal fracture), neuro-vascular injury. As exclusion criteria the contraindications for the wrist arthroscopy were also considered (local infection, compartment syndrome, positioning problems in vertical traction). 85 patients met the inclusion criteria. The following data were recorded: age, gender, injured side.

Diagnostic wrist arthroscopy

The arthroscopic examination followed a standardized protocol [14, 15]. All the operations were performed by the two authors.

Patient was positioned in supination (general anesthesia), hand in abduction with a use of pneumatic tourniquet. Wrist distraction was achieved with standard mechanism for vertical wrist traction with finger traps. 2.7 mm arthroscopic was used, 30° angulated. Initially the arthroscope was introduced through the dorsal 3-4 portal and the joint was lavaged to clear it form haemathoma and fracture debris. Than an additional radiocarpal portal 6R was created. A small joint shaver was placed through this portal to help clear all the remaining hemathoma, dorsal synovitis and provide a clear view of all joint structures and fracture site that were examined with a probe. Than an inspection of the midcarpal joint was done to evaluate the integrity of the intercarpal ligaments, as well as chondral lesions. Dorsal radial midcarpal (MCR) and ulnar midcarpal (MCU) portals were used.

Intraoperatively, with arthroscopy, systematic inspection of the following soft-tissue structures were done: - triangular fibrocartilage complex (TFCC); - intercarpal ligaments (scapholunate interosseous ligament (SL) and lunotriquetral interosseous ligament (LT)); - extrinsic ligaments (volar and dorsal capsular ligaments); and - chondral surfaces.

The triangular fibrocartilage complex (TFCC) was assessed with a probe, detecting intact surface or tear. To examine the TFCC integrity the "trampoline test" and "hook test" were used [14]. The arthroscopic finding of the TFCC integrity was classified according Palmer’s classification system [16]. It divides the TFCC lesions in two categories: traumatic (Class I) and degenerative (Class II). Four types in Class I describe the lesion location: IA (central), IB (ulnar), IC (distal) and ID (radial).

The scapholunate interosseous ligament (SL) and lunotriquetral interosseous ligament (LT) were assessed both from the radiocarpal and the midcarpal joint, and the findings were graded with the use of the Geissler arthroscopic classification for the carpal interosseous ligaments [1,17].

The following extrinsic radiocarpal ligaments were probed and if present a tear was noted: volar (radioscaphocapitate, long radiolunate, short radiolunate, ulnolunate and ulnotriquetral) and dorsal (dorsal radiocarpal).

Direct visualisation of all chondral surfaces during arthroscopy enables correct identification of their lesions. The modified Outerbridge system for classification of cartilaginous lesions was used (Grade I - localized cartilage softening; Grade II - fibrillogous articular surfaces; Grade III - thicker flap of cartilage; Grade IV - full-thickness defect of cartilage) [18].

When radiocarpal and/or midcarpal joint arthroscopic inspection was completed, the operative fixation of the distal radius fracture was performed.

Radiographic evaluation

On the initial x-ray (confirmed with the intraoperative fluoroscopy and arthroscopic exploration) the type and subtype of the fracture was determined according AO classification of the long bone fractures [19], identifying: type A, B and C and their groups: Type A – extra-articular fracture (A2 – simple impacted, A3 comminuted); Type B – partially articular fracture (B1 – radial styloid, B2 – coronal plane, B3 – combined styloid and coronal); Type C – complete articular fracture (C1 – simple articular and metaphyseal fracture, C2 – metaphyseal multifragmentary, C3 – articular multifragmentary). The presence of the concomitant ulnar styloid fracture was noted.

Division of the series of patients in groups

According the arthroscopic findings patients were divided in following study groups: 1) with
associated TFCC lesion; 2) with intact TFCC; 3) with associated scapholunate lesion; 4) with intact scapholunate ligament; 5) with associated lunotriquetral lesion; 6) with intact lunotriquetral ligament; 7) with injured both scapholunate or lunotriquetral ligaments; 8) with intact both scapholunate or lunotriquetral ligaments; 9) with associated TFCC, as well as scapholunate or lunotriquetral ligament lesion type; 10) with associated lesion of at least one of TFCC, scapholunate or lunotriquetral ligament; 11) with intact TFCC, SLIL and LTIL; 12) with associated injury of the extrinsic ligaments; 13) extrinsic ligaments intact; 14) with associated injury of the chondral surfaces (Gr II, III and IV); 15) with chondral surfaces intact or injured Gr I.

According the radiographic findings patients were divided in following study groups: - extraarticular fractures (Type A, AO classification); - intraarticular fractures (Type B and C, AO classification); - associated ulnar styloid fracture; - intact ulnar styloid.

Statistical analysis

Results obtained from this study were analyzed with the statistical software package SPSS 17 for Windows. Data were presented as mean scores and percentages. Spearman’s correlation was used to test the statistical correlation of the type of the fracture (extra- or intraarticular fracture), as well as presence of concomitant ulnar styloid fracture with the presence of the associated soft-tissue lesions. p < 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

Characteristics of the patients evaluated in this study are shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Characteristics of the evaluated patients.

| Age (mean) | Gender (F/M) | Injured side (R/L) | AO classification |
|-----------|-------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| 51.06 (65-65) | 53/39 (63.3%/37.7%) | 36/49 |

Table 2: Frequency of soft-tissue injuries associated with distal radius fractures.

| TFCC           | INTACT n (%) | INJURED n (%) |
|----------------|--------------|---------------|
| IA - 9 (14.5)  | 23 (27.1)    | 62 (72.9)     |
| IB - 12 (19.3) |              |               |
| IC - 6 (9.7)   |              |               |
| ID - 35 (56.5)|              |               |

Table 3: Associated soft-tissue injuries distribution in distal radius fractures with/without ulnar styloid fracture and in extra/intraarticular distal radius fractures.

The association of the presence of concomitant ulnar styloid fracture with the arthroscopic finding of associated soft-tissue lesion is shown in Table 3. This table also presents the frequencies of the associated soft-tissue lesion in intraarticular and extraarticular fractures separately.

Table 3: Associated soft-tissue injuries distribution in distal radius fractures with/without ulnar styloid fracture and in extra/intraarticular distal radius fractures.

The arthroscopic exploration identified TFCC lesion in 62 patients (72.9%), in 23 patients (27.1%) TFCC was intact. According Palmer’s classification in 9 patients central TFCC perforation was diagnosed (Type IA); in 12 patients ulnar lesion (IB); in 6 patient’s distal lesion of the ulnolunate and/or lunotriquetral ligament (IC), and radial TFCC avulsion in 35 patients (ID). The frequencies of all other soft-tissue lesions arthroscopically diagnosed are presented in Table 2.
Discussion

The present study demonstrated that more than 70% of the patients with distal radius fracture would sustain a TFCC injury as well, and around 65% an injury of the scapholunate ligament. If we add here around 50% with an extrinsic ligaments injury and around 30% with chondral lesion, than the magnitude of the problem of the associated soft-tissue lesions becomes more apparent. Only 13% of the patients in our study had all the major structures (TFCC, SL and LT) intact.

Table 4: Spearman’s correlation of the type of the distal radius fracture (intra/extraarticular) and the presence of the ulnar styloid fracture with the presence of associated soft-tissue lesion.

|                             | corr. coeff. | p     |
|------------------------------|--------------|-------|
| INTRAARTICULAR FRACTURE      |              |       |
| TFCC lesion present          | 0.030        | 0.787 |
| TFCC lesion type (IA-ID)     | 0.010        | 0.927 |
| SL lesion present            | 0.100        | 0.363 |
| SL lesion type (0-4)         | 0.071        | 0.518 |
| LT lesion present            | 0.153        | 0.161 |
| LT lesion type (0-4)         | 0.151        | 0.168 |
| Both SL and LT lesion present| 0.138        | 0.209 |
| Extrinsic lig.injured        | 0.045        | 0.683 |
| Chondral lesion (≥Gr.II)     | -0.037       | 0.734 |
| ULNAR STYLOID FRACTURE       |              |       |
| TFCC lesion present          | 0.160        | 0.144 |
| TFCC lesion type (IA-ID)     | 0.090        | 0.412 |
| SL lesion present            | -0.084       | 0.560 |
| SL lesion type (0-4)         | -0.046       | 0.674 |
| LT lesion present            | -0.304       | 0.005 |
| LT lesion type (0-4)         | -0.321       | 0.003 |
| Both SL and LT lesion present| -0.336       | 0.002 |
| Extrinsic lig.injured        | -0.107       | 0.329 |
| Chondral lesion (≥Gr.II)     | 0.063        | 0.568 |

A point of concern arises from the observation if the fractures of the distal radius are common [20] and these associated soft-tissue lesions are frequent and demonstrably painful, than why the problem of soft-tissue was not observed in the past, and not enough clinical studies paid attention to it? Maybe the explanation for this is the fact that the residual pain was explained as normal during the fracture healing.

On the other hand, in the past, prolonged immobilization as conservative method of treatment and external fixation that provided immobilization as well, were dominant methods of treatment of the fractures of the distal radius. The speculation is that this immobilization provided adequate treatment for some of the soft-tissue lesions. Today, the modern trends for stable internal fixation with early aggressive mobilization gave way for the soft-tissue lesion to be more apparent, resulting in clinical instability and pain. This may be the reason why the studies that compared external fixation and percutaneous techniques, with the internal fixation with locked implants, failed to demonstrate significantly better outcome for the newer techniques [21-24]. In order to improve our understanding of the problem of residual pain and disability in distal radius fractures, attention to the soft-tissue injuries has to be paid.

I both intra- and extrarticular distal radius fractures tears of the TFCC and intercarpal ligaments were found. These injuries were present not only in severely comminuted and displaced intrarticular fractures, but also in minimally displaced extraarticular fractures.

The studies of Geissler [1], Mehta [25] and Hanker [26] examined only intraarticular fractures. According the study of Richards [11] and Ogawa [27]
no significant difference existed between extra- and intraarticular fractures with respect to the frequencies of the ligamentous injuries. On contrary, the study of Forward [8] identified intraarticular fracture as an important risk factor for scapholunate ligament injury. Our study did not demonstrate any significant correlation between the presence of intraarticular fracture and the presence of any associated soft-tissue lesion.

The study of Richards et al. [11] found no correlation between ulnar styloid fractures and the TFCC injuries. Some other studies confirmed the same [9, 10, 28].

The present study showed that there was no correlation between the ulnar styloid fracture and the associated TFCC injury. There was no correlation with the presence of chondral lesion, extrinsic ligaments lesion and isolated scapholunate lesion as well. But our study found significant correlation with the presence of the lunotriquetral ligament lesion, with its type, and with the presence of associated lesion of both scapholunate and lunotriquetral ligament. This finding could be explained with the proximity of the ulnar styloid and the lunotriquetral ligament and the transfer of the energy of the injury. The concomitant ulnar styloid fracture was identified as a predictor for lunotriquetral ligament injury.

The ligamentous injuries may lead to carpal instability, it may be easier to treat them at the time of the initial presentation, and doing so has been shown to lead to improved outcomes [1, 30, 31]. However, the natural history and clinical importance of these ligament injuries associated with distal radius fractures are not yet known and understood. In may not be necessary to treat all these lesions as some studies recommended [17], but persistent symptoms and instability resulting from these injuries. There is a need for future randomized studies to investigate the correlation of these soft-tissue injuries with the outcome of the distal radius fractures.

In conclusion, this study demonstrated high frequency of the associated soft-tissue lesions in distal radius fractures. The study identified the following important risk factors for associated soft-tissue lesion in distal radius fractures: (1) ulnar styloid fracture for associated lunotriquetral ligament lesion (2) ulnar styloid fracture for associated combined lesion of both scapholunate and lunotriquetral ligament.
There was no difference between extra- and intra-articular fractures with respect to the frequencies of the soft-tissue injuries.

These soft-tissue lesions may represent an important confounding factor in the outcome of the distal radius fractures. Wrist arthroscopy has proven to be an accurate diagnostic and therapeutic tool for these associated lesions. We recommend that the associated soft-tissue lesions be considered when treating the fractures of the distal radius and wrist arthroscopy be incorporated into the treatment algorithm for distal radial fractures.
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