Solvothermal Synthesis of [Cr$_7$S$_8$(en)$_8$Cl$_2$]Cl$_3$·2H$_2$O with Magnetically Frustrated [Cr$_7$S$_8$]$^{5+}$ Double-Cubes**

Eranga H. Gamage,[a, b] Judith K. Clark,[c] Maher Yazback,[d] Hai-Ping Cheng,[d]
Michael Shatruk,[c, e] and Kirill Kovnir[a, b]

Abstract: A novel transition metal chalcohalide [Cr$_7$S$_8$(en)$_8$Cl$_2$]Cl$_3$·2H$_2$O, with [Cr$_7$S$_8$]$^{5+}$ dicubane cationic clusters, has been synthesized by a low temperature solvothermal method, using dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and ethylenediamine (en) solvents. Ethylenediamine ligand exhibits bi- and monodentate coordination modes; in the latter case ethylenediamine coordinates to Cr atoms of adjacent clusters, giving rise to a 2D polymeric structure. Although magnetic susceptibility shows no magnetic ordering down to 1.8 K, a highly negative Weiss constant, θ = −224(2) K, obtained from Curie-Weiss fit of inverse susceptibility, suggests strong antiferromagnetic (AFM) interactions between $S = 3/2$ Cr(III) centers. Due to the complexity of the system with $(25 + 1)^2 = 16384$ microstates from seven Cr$^{3+}$ centers, a simplified model with only two exchange constants was used for simulations. Density-functional theory (DFT) calculations yielded the two exchange constants to be $J_1 = −21.4$ cm$^{-1}$ and $J_2 = −30.2$ cm$^{-1}$, confirming competing AFM coupling between the shared Cr$^{3+}$ center and the peripheral Cr$^{3+}$ ions of the dicubane cluster. The best simulation of the experimental data was obtained with $J_1 = −20.0$ cm$^{-1}$ and $J_2 = −21.0$ cm$^{-1}$, in agreement with the slightly stronger AFM exchange within the triangles of the peripheral Cr$^{3+}$ ions as compared to the AFM exchange between the central and peripheral Cr$^{3+}$ ions. This compound is proposed as a synthon towards magnetically frustrated systems assembled by linking dicubane transition metal-chalcogenide clusters into polymeric networks.

Introduction

Transition metals in combination with group 13–16 elements are known to form polyhedral clusters that are worth exploring owing to their numerous applications in catalysis and photovoltaics.[1, 2] Hollow coordination cages made of transition metals and organic bridging ligands have triggered much interest in the scientific community due to their host-guest chemistry.[3–5] Among these diverse polyhedral types, inorganic-organic hybrid compounds made of single cuboidal cores are the most extensively studied due to their magnetic, optical, photophysical, and stereochemical properties.[6, 7] Eight-vertex S$_8$O$_4$ clusters chelated by phosphinate ligands catalyze a range of organic interconversions.[8, 9] Transition metal catalysts based on Co$_5$O$_4$ cubane clusters were reported to catalyze the oxidation of organic substrates.[9] The cubane-like core is the universal motif of all photosynthetic enzymes responsible for photocatalytic water splitting in the oxygen evolving complexes in green plants.[10] In fact, many theoretical investigations have been conducted to understand the mechanism behind diverse roles played by these ubiquitous clusters.[11–18] Enzymes that resemble the iron-sulfur clusters, Fe$_5$S$_4$, present in the active sites of photosystems have been extensively used in biomimetic inorganic chemistry.[19] The Fe$_5$S$_4$ cubane clusters have been also exploited in the catalytic chalcogel frameworks for the commercial production of hydrogen.[19, 20]

Cuboidal structures containing magnetic cations arranged in a tetrahedral geometry (in the alternating corners of the
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cube) allow antiparallel spin pairing that leads to magnetic frustration. Magnetostructural correlations in complexes with a $M_2O_3$ ($M =$ metal) cubane-type core have been under study for several decades. Density functional theory (DFT) calculations conducted on the compounds containing a Cu$_2$O$_3$ core have revealed a competition between ferromagnetic (FM) and antiferromagnetic (AFM) coupling through Cu–O bonds. Magnetic properties of Fe$_2$O$_3$ cubane clusters have been tuned via the control of the ligands, such as μ-oxo bridges of N$_2$O pentadentate ligands affecting the structure and properties of the iron-alkoxide cubane-like Fe$_2$O$_3$ complexes. In alkoxo-bridged Ni$_2$O$_3$ cube structures, switching of the ground spin state has been observed based on the solvent-induced differences in the structural arrangement.

The complexity of the cubane-core clusters can be raised by fusing two cubane units via a common metal vertex. Besides oxo-dicubanes, such as Co$_4$(μ$_3$-OH)$_8$ chalcogenide dicubanes have been intensively studied. Main group element chalcogenides in the structural arrangement.

**Experimental Section**

**Synthesis:** Starting materials were used as received. [Cr$_2$S$_8$(en)$_4$]Cl$_2$·2H$_2$O phase was first synthesized by a solvothermal reaction of 1 mmol of CrCl$_3$·6H$_2$O (Alfa Aesar, 98%) with 1 mmol of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, Alfa Aesar, 99+ %) in 8 mL of ethylenediamine (Alfa Aesar, 99%) at 200 °C for 3–5 days in a 46 mL Teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclave. The fusing fraction of the Teflon liner for the reaction was ~ 17 %. Once the reaction was completed, the autoclave was taken out of the furnace and naturally cooled to room temperature. The resultant solid was filtered and washed with aliquots of absolute ethanol. The product contained shiny black block-like crystals (Figure S1) which were used for crystal structure determination by single crystal X-ray diffraction. Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) patterns of the bulk samples contained some unidentified peaks which did not belong to any known binary chromium selenides. The yield of [Cr$_2$S$_8$(en)$_4$]Cl$_2$·2H$_2$O phase increased with increasing concentration of DMSO used (Figure S2). When the amount of DMSO was increased to 5 mmol, under the same reaction conditions, a phase-pure [Cr$_2$S$_8$(en)$_4$]Cl$_2$·2H$_2$O was obtained. Addition of aliquots (5 mmol) of distilled water to the reaction medium helped in obtaining better quality crystals. All syntheses were conducted under ambient conditions due to the air and moisture stability of the title compound. A fine powder sample obtained by grinding the crystals was utilized for magnetic property measurements.

**Characterization:** PXRD patterns of finely ground crystals were acquired on a bench-top Rigaku 600 Miniflex X-ray diffractometer with a Cu–K$_\alpha$ radiation ($\lambda = 1.54051$ Å) and a Ni–K$_\alpha$ filter. Single crystal X-ray diffraction experiment was performed by mounting a single crystal of [Cr$_2$S$_8$(en)$_4$]Cl$_2$·2H$_2$O on a cryoloop that was placed on a goniometer of a Bruker D8 Venture diffractometer with a Photon100 CMOS detector and Mo–K$_\alpha$ radiation source ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). The crystal was cooled to 100 K under a constant flow of dry N$_2$. Data reduction and crystal structure solution and refinement were performed using APEX3 and SHELX software packages.

Magnetic measurements were conducted on ~ 5.6 mg of powdered crystals of [Cr$_2$S$_8$(en)$_4$]Cl$_2$·2H$_2$O using a Magnetic Property Measurements System, MPMS-XL (Quantum Design), equipped with a superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID). Temperature dependence of magnetic susceptibility ($\chi$ vs. $T$) was studied in the applied magnetic field of 1000 Oe, and the Curie-Weiss fitting of $1/\chi$ vs. $T$ was performed in the temperature range of 100–300 K. Isothermal field dependence of magnetization ($M$ vs. $H$) was studied in a varying field of 0–70 kOe at 1.8 K. The data were corrected for the diamagnetic contribution from the sample holder and for the intrinsic diamagnetism of the material. To obtain the values of the exchange coupling constants, $J$, the magnetic data were simulated with the Magpacs software.

SEM images of bead-like crystals of [Cr$_2$S$_8$(en)$_4$]Cl$_2$·2H$_2$O were obtained by mounting crystals onto graphite tape. Elemental analysis was conducted on these crystals and the data were analyzed for chemical composition using Aztec software. Getting accurate statistics was challenging due to heavy charging of the sample. Full acquisition (~ few seconds) had to be done to prevent charging particles reaching the detector which resulted in poor data-to-noise ratios. The refined composition of heavy atoms normalized per 7 Cr atoms was Cr$_{3.968}$Cl$_{0.98}$ which underestimates the Cl composition in 7:8:5 ratio determined by single-crystal X-ray diffraction. Variable pressure low vacuum technique was employed to reduce charging by maintaining the chamber pressure at 40 Pa using He gas. The normalized composition thus obtained was Cr$_{3.968}$Cl$_{0.98}$ which still underestimates the Cl content due to substantial charging of particles. Other attempts of measuring such as using a cold-pressed 10 mm pellet prepared from finely ground crystals or coating the sample with a thin layer of Rh metal to minimize charging resulted in similar underestimation of Cl content.

Bulk chemical analysis of chloride was performed by means of standard gravimetric analysis method. 70 mg (0.0532 mmol) of [Cr$_2$S$_8$(en)$_4$]Cl$_2$·2H$_2$O were completely dissolved in 50 mL of 30% dilute HNO$_3$ (Fischer Chemicals) upon continuous stirring at 50 °C. About 1.5 g (8.83 mmol) of AgNO$_3$ (Fisher Chemicals, 100%) was added to this mixture which instantly formed the cloudy white precipitate AgCl. Contents were filtered and washed with distilled water followed by washing with acetone. The solid product was completely dried before recording the mass which came out to be 37.6 mg (0.262 mmol). Thus, one mole of the studied material contains 4.92 moles of Cl which is close to the expected composition of 5 Cl.

Thermal decomposition studies on [Cr$_2$S$_8$(en)$_4$]Cl$_2$·2H$_2$O were conducted at the beamline 17-BM–B ($\lambda = 0.24075$ Å) at the Advanced Photon Source, Argonne National Laboratory. Roughly a
2 mm column of finely ground sample was loaded at the center a capillary (0.9 mm ID and 1.1 mm OD) and was sandwiched between two silica wool plugs. The capillary was mounted horizontally on to the flow cell in a manner that the thermocouple barely touched the silica wool plug from the open end, and the X-ray beam is aimed at the center of the solid. The sample was heated in air from 25–450 °C using a heating profile and temperature dependent in-situ PXRD patterns were obtained simultaneously while oscillating the capillary 0.5 mm from the center. The sample was thermally stable until ~340 °C and started gradually decomposing afterwards as evidenced by the decreasing intensity of diffraction peaks (Figure S3). The phase becomes completely amorphous or decomposed/melted by ~380 °C as verified by the absence of diffraction peaks. It neither transformed into any Cr–S binary phase nor formed chromium oxides. Similar ex-situ decomposition studies were conducted in the laboratory using a flow furnace under a constant N₂ flow, and similar thermal stability range was observed. The observed amorphization was reversible, i.e., a solvothermal treatment of the sample decomposed at ~380 °C, using conditions identical to original synthesis (DMSO + ethylenediamine at 200 °C in the presence of NH₄Cl) produced the original crystalline [Cr₅S₇(en)₂Cl₄]·2H₂O phase.

Quantum-mechanical calculations: First-principles calculations were performed with the Vienna ab initio Simulation Package (VASP) within the density functional theory (DFT) framework. Projector augmented wave pseudopotentials were used for the exchange-correlation energy. To approximate the on-site Coulomb interaction of Cr(3d) electrons, we apply the +U method with a value of U = 1 eV. Wavefunctions were expanded in plane waves and an energy cutoff of 560 eV was used for all calculations. The Brillouin zone of the unit cell was sampled using a 2×2×2 gamma centered k-mesh with the Gaussian smearing method. The experimental atomic structure and unit cell parameters were used for all calculations.

Results and Discussion

Synthesis

DMSO is a widely used sulfoxide ligand in the synthesis of platinum and palladium containing catalysts for aerobic oxidation. It has also been utilized as an ambidentate ligand in coordination chemistry due to its μ-DMSO–S–O coordination mode apart from monodentate –O and –S modes. Apart from this, Kogan et al. investigated its applicability as a bridging ligand to facilitate exchange interactions between transition metal centers in binuclear complexes. However, employing DMSO as a potential sulfur source is rare in solution chemistry. About a decade ago, Li et al. synthesized an organic intercalated iron sulfide layered semiconductor using DMSO as a starting material without using elemental sulfur or thiourea. Inspired by this work, we have successfully synthesized a couple of chromium sulfide compounds (including this work) following the same approach. Even though the mechanism of formation is not established yet, we can assume ethylenediamine, which is the solvent in the aforementioned synthesis, to play a role in breaking down DMSO and reducing sulfur to S₀ to react with the transition metal cations. Based on this work, we can confidently declare DMSO as a suitable sulfur source which might help with the synthesis of other S-containing compounds in coordination chemistry.

Crystal structure

[Cr₅S₇(en)₂Cl₄]·2H₂O crystallizes in a triclinic space group P1 (Table 1). It has a polymeric structure with [Cr₅S₇]³⁺ double-cubane type clusters as the primary building units. Cr and S atoms alternate in the corners of the cubes. The Cr1 atom occupying the 1 site (1/2, 1/2, 1/2) is shared between the two

| Table 1. Data collection and structure refinement parameters with some selected interatomic distances for [Cr₅S₇(en)₂Cl₄]·2H₂O. Deposition number 2116011 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data are provided free of charge by the joint Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre and Fachinformationszentrum Karlsruhe Access Structures service. |
|---|---|---|
| **Data collection and refinement details** | **Atoms** | **Distance (Å)** |
| crystal description | black beads |  |
| temperature [K] | 100(2) | Cr1-S1 2.446(1) |
| λ [Å] | Mo-Kα, 0.71073 | Cr1-S2 2.405(2) |
| crystal system | Triclinic | Cr1-S4 2.359(2) |
| space group | P1 (No. 2) | Cr2-S1 2.397(2) |
| a [Å] | 10.522(3) | Cr2-S4 2.342(1) |
| b [Å] | 10.546(3) | Cr3-S1 2.351(2) |
| c [Å] | 12.106(3) | Cr3-S2 2.377(1) |
| α [°] | 90.596(2) | Cr3-S3 2.397(2) |
| β [°] | 101.020(2) | Cr3-S4 2.342(1) |
| γ [°] | 117.64(6) | Cr4-S1 2.368(2) |
| V [Å³] | 1176.4(6) | Cr4-S2 2.368(2) |
| Z | 1 | Cr4-S3 2.369(1) |
| formula weight (g mol⁻¹) | 1314.57 | Cr4-S4 2.369(1) |
| μ (mm⁻¹) | 2.237 | Cr4-Cl1 2.455(2) |
| data/parameters | 4600/253 | |
| R_f / wR₂ | 0.045/0.092 | O−H−Cl 2.31(3) |
| GOF | 1.026 | O−H−S 2.68(5) |
| difference peak/hole [e·Å⁻³] | 0.83/-0.65 | O−H−O 2.71(8) |
[Cr$_7$S$_8$] cubes to form a centrosymmetric double-cubane cluster (Figure 1A). Thus, the Cr1 atom is coordinated by six μ$_3$-S atoms, three from each cube. The Cr–S bond lengths vary in a range of 2.342(1) Å–2.446(1) Å (Table 1), while aCr–Se-Cr and aSe–Cr-Se angles vary from 87.03(5)$^\circ$ to 92.32(5)$^\circ$. Ethylenediamine molecules bind to Cr atoms in two different coordination modes, either monodentate or bidentate, to complete the octahedral geometry. Cr2/Cr3 atoms connect to three S atoms, one bidentate-$en$ and one monodentate-$en$ molecules, while Cr4 atoms bind to three S atoms, one Cl atom and one bidentate-$en$ molecule completing the distorted octahedral geometry around Cr centers (Figure 1B). This coordination gives rise to the [Cr$_7$S$_8$(en)$_8$Cl$_2$]$_3^{3+}$ cationic units. Additional charge balancing Cl$^-$ anions occupy the vertices of the unit cell (Figure 1C). Cr–N bond distances span a range of 2.099(3) Å–2.176(5) Å (Table S1).

Ethylenediamine molecules play an important role in the orientation and packing of the overall structure. The linker $en$ molecules connect Cr2/Cr3 atoms of adjacent clusters in a diagonal fashion along the [001] direction (Figure 1C), creating a 2D polymeric structure. Channels of well separated double cubane cationic clusters linked by $en$ molecules extend along [010] direction (Figure 1C). Space filling water molecules are arranged in the vicinity of the C–C bonds of linker $en$ molecules.

The three-dimensional supramolecular structure of [Cr$_7$S$_8$(en)$_8$Cl$_2$]$_3^{3+}$·2H$_2$O is further supported by a network of hydrogen bonds between NH$_3$ groups of $en$ ligands, H$_2$O molecules, and Cl$^-$ anions. The hydrogen bond lengths extend across 2.25(1)–2.85(1) Å (Table 1; donor: D and acceptor: A).

In the previously reported [Cr$_7$S$_8$(SCN)$_4$(NH$_3$)$_{14}$][SH] double-cube type compound, composed of isolated [Cr$_7$S$_8$(SCN)$_4$(NH$_3$)$_{14}$]$^{3+}$ cationic clusters accompanied by (HS)$^-$ anions, the clusters were connected only by weak NH$_3$····S and NH$_3$····SCN hydrogen bonds.$^{34}$ Another reported Cr dicubane, chalcocardalide [Cr$_7$S$_8$Cl$_3$(NH$_3$)$_{14}$(H$_2$O)$_3$]Cl$_3$·H$_2$O, also contains isolated [Cr$_7$S$_8$Cl$_3$(NH$_3$)$_{14}$(H$_2$O)$_3$]$^{3+}$ cations and Cl$^-$ anions linked via different hydrogen bonding interactions: N····Cl, N····O, O····Cl and O····S.$^{35}$ Since none of the reported examples of Cr$_7$S$_8$ dicubanes has clusters interconnected by covalent bonds forming a polymeric structure, to the best of our knowledge, the title compound is the first ever [Cr$_7$S$_8$]$^{5+}$ double-cubane compound of polymeric nature.

**Magnetic properties**

Magnetic measurements were conducted on a polycrystalline sample of [Cr$_7$S$_8$(en)$_8$Cl$_2$]$_3^{3+}$·2H$_2$O obtained by finely grinding a...
batch of crystals. Under an applied field of 1000 Oe, temperature-dependent magnetic susceptibility ($\chi$) showed paramagnetic behavior with no magnetic ordering down to 1.8 K (Figure S4). The $\chi T$ product decreased gradually upon cooling from 300 K, reaching a plateau of $\sim$1.8 emu·K·mol$^{-1}$ below 25 K and further decreasing at lower temperatures (Figure 2a). The $1/\chi$ vs. $T$ dependence in the range of 100–300 K (Figure 2a, inset) was fit to the Curie-Weiss law ($R^2=0.9988$), $1/\chi=(T-\theta)/C$, yielding a large negative Weiss constant, $\theta=-224(2)$ K, that indicates strong AFM interactions between the Cr$^{3+}$ centers. The fit resulted in a Curie constant of 3.95(2) $\mu_B$, which is only slightly higher than the value of 1.875 emu·K·mol$^{-1}$ ($\mu_{eff}=3.87 \mu_B$) expected for the $S=3/2$ magnetic center.

The system of seven magnetically coupled $S=3/2$ centers leads to $(2S+1)^7=16384$ microstates, which is very challenging to fit analytically. Therefore, the Magpack software[38] was used to simulate the magnetic behavior of [Cr$_7$S$_8$(en)$_3$Cl]Cl$_2$·2H$_2$O. The system was modeled with the Hamiltonian that contains two magnetic exchange constants [Eq. (1)]:

$$\hat{H} = g\mu_B \sum_{i=1}^{7} \vec{S}_i \vec{B}_i - 2J_1 \sum_{i=2}^{7} \vec{S}_i \vec{S}_{i+1}$$

where $\vec{B}_i$ is the applied magnetic field, $\vec{S}_i$ are the spin operators describing each of the Cr$^{3+}$ centers (Figure 2b, inset); $J_1$ is the exchange constant corresponding to the magnetic coupling between the shared central Cr1 atom and the outlying Cr atoms, and $J_2$ is the exchange constant corresponding to the magnetic coupling within the Cr triangles on the opposite sides of the dicubane units. For the sake of simplicity, we have ignored the next-nearest neighbor interactions between the opposite triangles. Based on the value of $C=1.95$ emu·K/mol and given that $C=g^2S(S+1)/8$, the Lande g-factor was fixed at 2.04. The best simulation of the experimental data was obtained with $J_1=-20.0$ cm$^{-1}$ and $J_2=-21.0$ cm$^{-1}$ (the simulation is shown with the solid red line in Figure 2a).

The field-dependent magnetization measurement performed at 300 K showed a steady linear increase producing a highest value of 0.25 $\mu_B$ at 7 T (Figure S5). However, a non-linear behavior is displayed at 1.8 K and the saturation magnetization reaches the value of 3 $\mu_B$ (Figure 2b), suggesting that the ground state of the system corresponds to the total spin of $S_T=3/2$. In agreement with this observation, the $\gamma T$ vs. $T$ curve plateaus to $\sim$1.8 emu·K·mol$^{-1}$ below 25 K (Figure 2a), which is also close to the spin-only $\gamma T$ value of 1.875 emu·K·mol$^{-1}$ expected for $S_T=3/2$.

Previously reported compounds containing isolated Cr dicubane clusters [Cr$_7$S$_8$(NH$_3$)$_3$(H$_2$O)$_3$Cl$_2$·H$_2$O]$^{35}$ ($\mu_{eff}=2.38 \mu_B$) and [Cr$_7$S$_8$(SCN)$_3$(NH$_3$)$_3$]Cl$_2$]$^{36}$ ($\mu_{eff}=3.59 \mu_B$) show similar trends in the temperature dependence of $\gamma T$ and $1/\chi$, but with smaller Weiss constants, $\theta=-14.72$ K and $-66$ K respectively, signifying weaker AFM coupling between Cr centers. Even though magnetic susceptibility studies have been conducted for many transition metal dicubane compounds, spin correlation calculations on magnetic data have rarely been performed except for some copper containing clusters due to relative simplicity of the $S=1/2$ system with $2^7=128$ microstates. Spin-projection calculations performed on ([Cu$_2$](Hpz$_3$)(μ$_X$)(μ$_Y$)(μ$_Z$)Br$^-$)$_3$CuX$^-$ ($X=Cl^-$, Br$^-$, NO$_3^-$) with AFM super-exchange, resulted in exchange integrals ($J$) varying from $-16$ cm$^{-1}$ ($g=2.14$) to $-73$ cm$^{-1}$ ($g=2.22$) for $S=1/2$ Cu centers. [32] AFM exchange parameters as high as $-188.4$ cm$^{-1}$ ($g=2.15$) were obtained for hydroxochloride Cu dicubane clusters in [Cu$_2$(OH)$_2$Cl$_2$(pn)$_3$(H$_2$O)$_3$]Cl$_2$ (pn = 1,3-diaminopropane). [31] On the other hand, fully-hydroxo bridged Cu$_8$(OH)$_6$ dicubane clusters in
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*Figure 2.* (a) The temperature dependence of $\gamma T$ and $1/\chi$ (inset) for [Cr$_7$S$_8$(en)$_3$Cl]Cl$_2$·2H$_2$O. The solid red line in the main plot shows the best simulation of $\gamma T$ obtained with Magpack, while the solid red line in the inset shows the fit to the Curie-Weiss law in the 100–300 K region, $R^2=0.9988$. (b) The field dependence of magnetization measured at 1.8 K. The inset shows the numbering of Cr centers and the $J_1$ and $J_2$ exchange coupling constants defined in Equation (1).
[Cu2(bpym)2(H2O)2(OH)2(NO3)2·4H2O (bpym = 2,2’-bipyrimidilne) showed FM interactions as indicated by positive fit values calculated for exchange parameters, $J = 0.4$–$5.4$ cm$^{-1}$ ($g = 2.22$). These examples demonstrate that not only the nature of the transition metal but also the ligands forming dicubanes are important for the magnetic interactions in such clusters.

Computational approach

Collinear DFT methods were used to calculate the total energy of various inequivalent spin configurations for the $S = 3/2$ Cr$^{3+}$ centers, assuming a zero orbital momentum ($L = 0$). The Heisenberg Hamiltonian given in Equation (1) was used to obtain the $J_1$ and $J_2$ magnetic exchange constants. The DFT-calculated $J_1$ and $J_2$ values ($-21.4$ and $-30.2$ cm$^{-1}$, respectively) are more negative than the values used in the Magpack simulation to provide the best fit to the experimental data ($-20.0$ and $-21.0$ cm$^{-1}$, respectively; Figure S6). Nevertheless, in both cases the absolute magnitude of $J_j$ exceeds that of $J_1$. The calculated values reproduce the low-temperature plateau observed in the experimental $\chi T$ vs. $T$ curve, although the dependence simulated with the calculated $J_1$ and $J_2$ parameters shows systematically lower $\chi T$ values, in agreement with the slightly stronger AFM coupling predicted by the theoretical model (Figure 3). Average distance corresponding to $J_1$ coupling between central and peripheral Cr atoms is 3.396 Å, while the average distance in the peripheral Cr$_1$ triangle, which corresponds to $J_2$ coupling, is 3.353 Å. The coupling between closer atoms is expected to be stronger and the difference in the Cr-Cr distances explains why $|J_1|$ is lower than $|J_2|$ in both experimental fitting and computations. The computations were performed for spin-spin coupling only omitting spin-orbit contribution which may explain the disagreement in the absolute computed and experimental values of the coupling constants.

Using non-collinear magnetic DFT methods$^{[51]}$ including spin-orbit coupling, we calculated the local magnetic anisotropy of the central Cr$^{3+}$ ion and the peripheral Cr$^{3+}$ ions in the base triangles. The calculations show the easy axis being oriented along the direction connecting the center Cr atom and the two centers of the cubes. The calculated data were fit to the expression $H_{center} = DS_j^2 - E(S_j^2 - S_k^2)$, yielding $D = -67.3$ cm$^{-1}$ and $E = 0.10$ cm$^{-1}$ for the central Cr$^{3+}$ ion and $D = -30.2$ cm$^{-1}$ and $E = 0.73$ cm$^{-1}$ for the basal Cr$^{3+}$ ions. Somewhat unexpectedly, the calculations suggest substantial single-ion anisotropy at the $S = 3/2$ Cr$^{3+}$ ions. This finding might be justified by the presence of the easy-axis direction coinciding with the shared body diagonal of the two cubane units. Verifying this prediction, however, requires a detailed investigation of [Cr$_8$(en)$_6$(Cl)$_8$]Cl$_2$·2H$_2$O by advanced electron magnetic resonance methods.

Conclusions

In summary, we have developed a one-step solvothermal route to a polymeric dicubane cluster compound, [Cr$_8$(en)$_6$(Cl)$_8$]Cl$_2$·2H$_2$O with [Cr$_8$(en)$_6$(Cl)$_8$]$^{3+}$ cationic units and charge balancing Cl$^-$ anions. The accompanying water molecules help in packing the overall structure and aided in crystal growth. The source of sulfur for the synthesis was DMSO and hence the use of additional reducing agents was not required. With regard to the magnetic properties, the Cr$_8$S$_8$ dicubane cluster shows strong magnetic frustration due to competing AFM exchange interactions between the central and peripheral Cr$^{3+}$ ions. Curie Weiss fit on inverse magnetic susceptibility gives a highly negative Weiss temperature suggesting strong AFM interactions within the dicubane cluster. Magpack simulations performed on magnetic data as well as collinear DFT calculations result in negative exchange parameters confirming the AFM nature of the magnetic coupling between Cr atoms. The outcome of the DFT calculations recorded an absolute value of $J_j$ exceeding that of $J_1$ in agreement with the simulation of experimental data and average Cr–Cr distances in the cluster. The two couplings are of the same order of magnitude. Since the DFT calculations included only spin-spin coupling effects, without spin-orbital coupling, it is likely that the use of the simpler model led to the slight deviation from the experimentally simulated $J$ values.

A potential development of this study can be connecting these clusters into a polymeric framework by means of covalent bonding. Ethylenediamine is a weak ligand for the magnetic exchange, and clusters bridged by such ligands are expected to show paramagnetic behavior. Nevertheless, one can envision that the use of conjugated bi- and tri-dentate ligands, especially organic radicals, may lead to structures where Cr$_8$S$_8$ dicubane clusters act as magnetically coupled superatoms.$^{[52-56]}$ A few examples of arranging the dicubane clusters into polymers were reported, such as polymers made from heptanuclear
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Co$_2$(μ$_2$-OH)$_2$ clusters coordinated by oxalate and piperazine ligands.$^{38}$ Such a polymeric system exhibits AFM ordering ($T_N = 26$ K) with a surprisingly low Weiss constant, $\theta = -2.76$ K, which is indicative of a FM contribution to magnetic susceptibility. Efforts to create such polymeric frameworks of linked dicationic clusters are currently underway.
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