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ABSTRACT

Background: Indonesia has a high Maternal Mortality Rate (MMR) and has not met the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) target. Maternal mortality in Indonesia is caused by bleeding, hypertension, infection with abortion, and prolonged labor. One of the causes of bleeding in the first trimester is ectopic pregnancy. Several studies have shown an increased risk of ectopic pregnancy in women using intrauterine contraceptives.

Subjects and Method: A literature review was carried out in this study with a case control study design. The exposure was intrauterine contraception, and the outcome of the study was an ectopic pregnancy. The initial screening limits studies by time, language and appropriate themes. Furthermore, the selection of research articles was carried out based on the content according to the topic, namely ectopic pregnancy and intrauterine contraceptives, the literature obtained was not duplicated, and each literature was reviewed. Statistical analysis was performed using Rev.Man 5.3 software with a random effects model. Value: the I² statistic was used to calculate heterogeneity.

Results: Pooled OR estimates from all studies are (RR= 1.53; 95% CI= 0.48 to 4.80; p= 0.47) with a heterogeneity of 85%.

Conclusion: Based on a review of the literature, it can be concluded that intrauterine contraceptives can cause ectopic pregnancy, but the results of the study still show quite varied OR.
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BACKGROUND

The Indonesian government is committed to improving the health status of its population by setting health development goals. One of the goals of health development in Indonesia is to increase the degree of maternal health using the Maternal Mortality Rate (MMR) indicator (Kemenkes RI, 2015).

MMR in Indonesia is still quite high. This is in view of the achievement of decreasing MMR in several ASEAN countries. MMR in ASEAN countries has reached the position of 40-60 per 100 thousand live births. Based on the Inter-Census Population Survey (SUPAS) it still occupies the position of 305 per 100 thousand live births. Meanwhile, data on the performance achievements of the Indonesian Ministry of Health in 2015-2017 shows that there has been a decrease in the number of cases of maternal mortality. If in 2015 MMR reached 4,999 cases, then in
In 2016 it decreased slightly to 4,912 cases and in 2017 it decreased sharply to 1,712 MMR cases (BPS, 2015).

The causes of maternal death in Indonesia consist of bleeding, hypertension, infection, abortion, total and prolonged labor. The direct factors that cause maternal death include late decision making, late arrival at the hospital, late treatment at the hospital, and complications. Indirect factors that cause maternal mortality include completeness of antenatal care visits, the presence of pregnancy risk factors, maternal education, and maternal occupation (Fransiska, 2017). In addition, the cause of maternal death is the number of antenatal care visits which are less than 4 times during pregnancy and also the presence of complications during pregnancy (Masturoh, 2017).

There are several causes of bleeding after childbirth, including anemia and also a history of bleeding after childbirth during previous labor (Saadah, 2016). Bleeding after childbirth is also directly affected by delivery distance, antenatal care visits and type of delivery (Puspitasari, 2017).

One other cause of bleeding is an ectopic pregnancy which occurs early in pregnancy. Ectopic pregnancy is a pregnancy outside the uterus, usually occurs in the fallopian tubes, if there is interference with an ectopic pregnancy, there will be heavy bleeding in pregnant women which requires emergency action (Lawani et al., 2013).

The incidence of ectopic pregnancy tends to increase. Based on several studies, the risk factors for ectopic pregnancy consist of history of Pelvic Inflammatory Diseases (PID), history of previous ectopic pregnancy, history of surgery on the fallopian tubes, history of surgery on the abdomen accompanied by adhesions, endometriosis, use of exogenous hormones such as the hormone progesterone or estrogen, congenital abnormalities in the form of fallopian tube abnormalities, use of IUD contraceptives, history of infection, and smoking (Bouyer, 2003).

Ectopic pregnancy is an emergency situation that causes maternal death during the first trimester of pregnancy. Most of the extrauterine implantations occur in the fallopian tubes. The most frequent sites were the ampulla (80%), then the ismic pars (12%), the fimbria (5%), and the intersisial pars (0.2%), respectively, the implantations that occurred in the ovary (0.2%) and the cervix. (0.2%). The ectopic pregnancy incidence rate in the United States has more than tripled during the 16.8 / 1000 years of pregnancy. Data Centers for Disease Control Prevention, Incidence Rate. Ectopic pregnancies in the United States in 1997–2000 experienced an increase of 20.7 / 1000 pregnancies (Speroff, 2005).

The intrauterine device (IUD) is one of the most widely used contraceptive methods in the world. Globally, 14.3% of women of reproductive age choose to use intrauterine devices as their method of contraception. There are more users of intrauterine devices in developing countries (24.7%) than in developed countries (12.7%). The consecutive rates of intrauterine contraceptive use were Asia (27%), followed by Europe (17.1%), Africa (15.4%), Latin America (9.6%), North America (6.1%), and Oceania (1.8%). Data collected by the National Family Planning Committee has shown that women of reproductive age have increased the use of intrauterine devices from 42.1% in 1988 to 48% in 2006 (Buhling KJ et al., 2014). Many women choose to use intrauterine devices because they have to work outside the home and because they don't want to experience the side effects of using other contra-
ceptors such as pills or injections (Ningrum, 2016).

Further analysis of the effect of intrauterine contraceptive use on ectopic pregnancy is needed to reach conclusive conclusions. Therefore, we are interested in conducting a systematic review and meta-analysis of the results of studies relating to the effect of intrauterine contraceptive use on the risk of ectopic pregnancy in women of reproductive age.

SUBJECTS AND METHOD

1. Study Design
This study is a systematic review and meta-analysis. Systematic reviews and meta-analyses are carried out by collecting all related studies (topics and designs) then reviewing and re-analyzing the research results (Kang, 2015). A meta-analysis study is valid, objective and is a scientific method that analyzes and brings together different results (Uetani et al., 2009).

2. Inclusion Criteria
Inclusion criteria for articles that can be studied are articles must be full paper, appropriate title, mentioning women of reproductive age, IUD, and ectopic pregnancy, articles using observational study design (cross-sectional), articles using English, multivariate analysis used with the adjusted Odds Ratio, the intervention given was IUD users, the study subjects were women of reproductive age and the outcome was ectopic pregnancy.

3. Exclusion Criteria
The exclusion criteria for articles included studies conducted with RCTs, case controls, quasi experiments, protocol studies and pilot studies and not multivariate analysis studies.

4. Operational Definition Variables
An ectopic pregnancy is a pregnancy in which a fertilized egg implants and grows outside the endometrium of the uterine cavity.

The intrauterine device (IUD) is a contraceptive device that is placed in the uterus by clamping the two tubes that produce the ovaries so that fertilization does not occur, consisting of polyethylene plastic, some are wrapped around copper and some are not.

5. Instruments
The instrument for this study was a published article that identified the effect of intrauterine device use and age on the risk of ectopic pregnancy in women of reproductive age.

Data processing consists of the process of identifying articles from several reputable journal sites, followed by a screening process for articles that meet the requirements and conditions of the researcher so that several articles that pass the eligibility stage are obtained and finally an analysis is carried out by determining the results of the research to be further processed in special meta-analysis software.

6. Data Analysis
The research that has been collected is selected by predetermined criteria. This research is a meta-analysis study. This study uses secondary data in the form of data from previous research results and data processing is carried out using the Review Manager (RevMan 5.3) (Widyaningsih, 2019). The meta-analysis study also looked at the value of the effect size and heterogeneity to determine the model for combining research and forming the final meta-analysis result in the form of a forest plot. The effect size calculation is done by using the adjusted Odds Ratio (aOR) value for each study. Then the effect size is sought for diversity by heterogeneity test to determine the amalgamation model. The fixed effect model assumes that the
variability among studies is based solely on the opportunity factor. The random effect model was used to assess intra-study variability as well as taking into account the inter-study variability. After the model is determined, each study is calculated to determine the summary effect or the final result of the meta-analysis (Prasiska, 2014).

**RESULTS**

The search process was carried out on articles published between 2000 and 2020. The databases used were PubMed, Science Direct, Research Gate, and Google Scholar.

The final results of the review articles obtained 7 articles that met the quantitative requirements for meta-analysis. Two articles are from the continent of Asia, 3 articles from the continent of Europe and 2 articles from the continent of America. Furthermore, the researchers conducted an assessment of the quality of the articles (Table 1).

**The use of intrauterine devices against the risk of ectopic pregnancy in women of reproductive age**

Table 2 provides information on 7 articles with a case-control study design as a meta-analysis source of intrauterine contraceptive use against the risk of ectopic pregnancy in women of reproductive age.

### a. Forrest plot

![Figure 1. Forest Plot of the effect of intrauterine devices on the risk of ectopic pregnancy in women of reproductive age](image)

### b. Funnel Plot

![Figure 2. Funnel Plot of the effect of intrauterine contraceptives on the risk of ectopic pregnancy in women of reproductive age](image)
Figure 1. Forest plot shows that the use of intrauterine devices increases the risk of ectopic pregnancy in women of reproductive age by 1.53 times. The distribution of data is stated to be heterogeneous (random effect model) which is shown from the $I^2$ value of 85%.

Figure 2 funnel plot the effect of intrauterine contraceptive use, the plots on the right and left sides are not symmetrical with each other and do not form an inverted funnel. The plot has a standard error of > 0.6. In addition, there is 1 plot on the left side that is away from the vertical center line. This indicates that there is a publication bias in the study.
Table 1. Assessment of Research Quality

| Publication                        | Cohort | With the control group | Pre/post intervention | Random assignment | Random selection for assessment | Sample Size (>100) | Follow up rate ≥80% | Comparable sociodemographic between study arms | Comparable baseline outcome measures between Study arms | Total |
|-----------------------------------|--------|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|-------|
| (Cheng Li et al., 2014)           | 1      | 1                      | 1                     | 1                 | 1                             | 1                 | 1                 | 1                                             | 1                                                     | 9     |
| (Yu-Jin et al., 2011)             | 1      | 1                      | 1                     | 1                 | 1                             | 1                 | 0                 | 1                                             | 1                                                     | 8     |
| (Jean Bouyer et al., 2000)        | 1      | 1                      | 1                     | 1                 | 1                             | 1                 | 0                 | 1                                             | 1                                                     | 9     |
| (Ganacharya et al., 2003)         | 1      | 1                      | 1                     | 1                 | 1                             | 1                 | 0                 | 1                                             | 1                                                     | 8     |
| (Ozgu et al., 2014)               | 1      | 1                      | 1                     | 1                 | 1                             | 1                 | 0                 | 1                                             | 1                                                     | 9     |
| (Diedrich et al., 2016)           | 1      | 1                      | 1                     | 1                 | 1                             | 1                 | 0                 | 1                                             | 1                                                     | 9     |
| (Ganer et al., 2009)              | 1      | 1                      | 1                     | 1                 | 1                             | 1                 | 0                 | 1                                             | 1                                                     | 9     |

Table 2. Summary Source of the effect of intrauterine contraceptive use on the risk of ectopic pregnancy in women of reproductive age

| Author, year | Location | Sample Size | Intervention (I) and Comparator (C) | Outcome | OR (95% CI) | RR (95% CI) |
|--------------|----------|-------------|--------------------------------------|---------|-------------|-------------|
| (Chengli et al., 2014) | China    | 1789        | I: women with a planned pregnancy but experiencing ectopic pregnancy  
C: Intrauterine pregnancy | Ectopic pregnancy | 4.19 (0.27-6.89) | - |
| (Yu-jin Koo et al., 2011) | South Korea | 253       | I: ovarian pregnancy  
C: surgery outcomes | Ectopic pregnancy | 10.7 (1.6-46.4) | - |
| (Jean Bouyer et al., 2000) | France   | 383        | I: women of reproductive age using intrauterine contraceptives  
C: reproductive woman using IUD and suffering from ectopic and intrauterine pregnancy | Ectopic pregnancy | 1.9 (1.1-3.2) | - |
| Study (Year) | Country          | Sample Size | Intervention 1 | Intervention 2 | Ectopic Pregnancy (Risk Ratio with 95% CI) |
|-------------|------------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|------------------------------------------|
| (Ganacharya et al., 2003) | Hungary         | 3491        | I: women who don't use IUDs | C: women who use IUDs | Ectopic pregnancy (1.4 (0.9 – 8.9)) |
| (Ozgu et al., 2019) | Turkey          | 114         | I: pregnancy after using the IUD | C: the effect of the placement of the IUD | Ectopic pregnancy (5.3 (2.0-10.8)) |
| (Diedrich et al., 2016) | United States of America | 574        | I: use of the IUD in women <25 years | C: use of implants | Ectopic pregnancy (0.07 (0.02-0.34)) |
| (Ganer et al., 2009) | United States of America | 292        | I: pregnancy by maintaining contraceptives in the uterus | C: pregnancy without intrauterine contraceptives | Ectopic pregnancy (0.87 (0.38-2.09)) |
DISCUSSION

Ectopic pregnancy is a pregnancy with a fertilized ovum that implants and grows not in a normal place, namely in the endometrium of the uterine cavity (Wiknjosastro, 2007).

Signs and symptoms according to Wiknjosastro in 2007 include amenorrhea, which is often found, although only briefly before bleeding, nausea and vomiting, pain in the right or left side of the mother’s stomach, the stomach gets bigger and harder, body temperature slightly rises, pulse is fast and pressure decreased blood.

Types of ectopic pregnancy (Wiknjosastro, 2007) include cervical pregnancy, uterine diverticulum pregnancy, ovarian pregnancy, intra and extra uterine pregnancy, abdominal pregnancy.

The factors that cause ectopic pregnancy include tubal factors, abnormalities of the zygote, ovarian factors, hormonal factors on the acceptors of birth control pills and other factors included here, among others, the use of IUDs (Saifuddin, 2009).

This research is a systematic study and meta-analysis. The aim of this study was to draw conclusions from the results of similar studies examining the effect of intrauterine contraceptive use on the risk of ectopic pregnancy in women of reproductive age. The primary studies involved in this research are those conducted in various countries and races, in order to obtain conclusions that can be generally applied as a basis for intervention.

The results of the meta-analysis are presented in the form of a forest plot diagram and a funnel plot. The forest plot is a graphical representation of the meta-analysis results that includes information related to the incorporation of the results from the primary study. Then, on the right side there is a line representing each study conducted by the meta-analysis which is plotted according to its weighting. Visually, the forest plot can also show the amount of heterogeneity between study results (Akobeng, 2005 in Murti, 2018).

A funnel plot is a diagram in a meta-analysis that illustrates the possibility of publication bias. In this case, the funnel plot shows the relationship between the effect size of the study and the sample size or standard error of the effect size of each study studied. The bias shown by the funnel plot can be seen by assessing the asymmetry of the study (the number of points on the right and left sides) compared to the standard error and the imbalance of the number of studies on the right and left (Murti, 2018).

The results of a meta-analysis of 7 articles on the effect of intrauterine contraceptive use on the risk of ectopic pregnancy in women of reproductive age are summarized in a forest plot. Forest plot in Figure 2. demonstrated that the effect of intrauterine contraceptive use can increase 1.53 times the risk of developing an ectopic pregnancy in women of reproductive age. There was high heterogeneity between experiments (I²= 84%; p <0.0001) so a random-effect (REM) model was used. These results were statistically significant RR 1.53; 95% CI = 0.48-4.80; p = 0.47.

These results are supported by Bouyer et al. (2000), that there are several factors that increase the risk of ectopic pregnancy, including the use of intrauterine contraceptives. Progesterone in intrauterine devices is associated with a higher risk of ectopic pregnancy. In this study it was also stated that the duration of women who used intrauterine contraceptives for more than 2 years had a twofold risk of experiencing an ectopic pregnancy compared to women who used intrauterine contraceptives for less or equal to 2 years, even if the risk of ectopic
pregnancy was higher previously used intrauterine contraceptives for more than 6 years.

In another research that supports Skjeldest (1997). In their, it was stated that the increase in the use of intrauterine devices by women of reproductive age in gia in the 1980s to the 1990s contributed to an increase in the incidence of ectopic pregnancies in these countries.

This study is also supported by Cheng Li et al., (2014). In their study, it was stated that women of reproductive age who used intrauterine contraceptives previously for a long time would increase their risk of developing an ectopic pregnancy, even though the intrauterine contraceptive device had been taken.

Piggot et al. (2019) concluded that the use of intrauterine devices is associated with an increased risk of ectopic pregnancy. This is because intrauterine devices are specially designed to prevent fertilization from occurring in the uterus.
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