The Stochastic Solute Transport Model in 2-Dimensions

7.1 Introduction
In Chapter 6, we developed the generalised Stochastic Solute Transport Model (SSTM) in 1-dimension and showed that it can model the hydrodynamic dispersion in porous media for the flow lengths ranging from 1 to 10000 m. For computational efficiency, we have employed one of the fastest converging kernels tested in Chapter 6 for illustrative purposes, but, in principle, the SSTM should provide scale independent behaviour for any other velocity covariance kernel. If the kernel is developed based on the field data, then the SSTM based on that particular kernel should give realistic outputs from the model for that particular porous medium. In the development of the SSTM, we assumed that the hydrodynamic dispersion is one dimensional but by its very nature, the dispersion lateral to the flow direction occurs. We intend to explore this aspect in this chapter.

First, we solve the integral equation with the covariance kernel in two dimensions, and use the eigen values and functions thus obtained in developing the two dimensional stochastic solute transport model (SSTM2d). Then we solve the SSTM2d numerically using a finite difference scheme. In the last section of the chapter, we illustrate the behaviours of the SSTM2d graphically to show the robustness of the solution.

7.2 Solving the Integral Equation
We consider the flow direction to be \( x \) and the coordinate perpendicular to \( x \) to be \( y \) in the 2-dimensional flow with in the porous matrix saturated with water. Then the distance between the points \( (x_1, y_1) \) and \( (x_2, y_2) \), \( r \), is given by \( \sqrt{(x_1 - x_2)^2 + (y_1 - y_2)^2} \). We can then define a velocity covariance kernel as follows:

\[
q(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) = \sigma^2 \exp\left[-\frac{r^2}{b}\right],
\]

(7.2.1)

where \( \sigma^2 \) is a constant. \( \sigma^2 \) is the variance at a given point, i.e., when \( x_1=x_2 \) and \( y_1=y_2 \). The covariance can be written as,

\[
q(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) = \sigma^2 \exp\left[-\frac{(x_1 - x_2)^2 + (y_1 - y_2)^2}{b}\right],
\]

(7.2.2)

\[
= \sigma^2 \exp\left[-\frac{(x_1 - x_2)^2}{b}\right]\exp\left[-\frac{(y_1 - y_2)^2}{b}\right].
\]
Then the integral equation can be written for 2 dimensions,

$$\sigma^2 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 e^{-\left(\frac{(x_1-x_2)^2}{b}\right)} \exp\left[-\left(\frac{(y_1-y_2)^2}{b}\right)\right] f(x_2, y_2) dx_2 dy_2 = \lambda f(x_1, y_1), \quad (7.2.3)$$

where \( f(x, y) \) and \( \lambda \) are eigen functions and corresponding eigen values, respectively.

The covariance kernel is the multiplication of a function of \( x \) and a function of \( y \), and from the symmetry of equation (7.2.3), we can assume that the eigen function is the multiplication of a function of \( x \) and a function of \( y \):

$$f(x, y) = f_x(x) f_y(y). \quad (7.2.4)$$

Then the integral equation can be written as,

$$\sigma^2 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left\{ \int_0^1 f_x e^{-\left(\frac{(x_1-x_2)^2}{b}\right)} dx_2 \right\} \left\{ \int_0^1 f_y e^{-\left(\frac{(y_1-y_2)^2}{b}\right)} dy_2 \right\} = \lambda f_x f_y,$$

and

$$\left\{ \int_0^1 f_x e^{-\left(\frac{(x_1-x_2)^2}{b}\right)} dx_2 \right\} \left\{ \int_0^1 f_y e^{-\left(\frac{(y_1-y_2)^2}{b}\right)} dy_2 \right\} = \frac{\lambda}{\sigma^2} f_x f_y. \quad (7.2.5)$$

Therefore, if

$$\int_0^1 f_x e^{-\left(\frac{(x_1-x_2)^2}{b}\right)} dx_2 = \lambda_x f_x(x_1), \quad \text{and}$$

$$\int_0^1 f_y e^{-\left(\frac{(y_1-y_2)^2}{b}\right)} dy_2 = \lambda_y f_y(y_1).$$

Then we can see, \( f(x, y) = f_x f_y \), and \( \lambda = \sigma^2 \lambda_x \lambda_y \).

This shows that we can use the eigen functions and eigen values obtained for 1-dimensional covariance kernels in Chapter 4 can be used in constructing the eigen functions and eigen values for two dimensional covariance kernel given in equation (7.2.2). Once we have obtained eigen functions and eigen values as solutions of the integral equation, we can derive the two dimensional mass conservation equation for solutes.

### 7.3 Derivation of Mass Conservation Equation

Consider the two dimensional infinitesimal volume element depicted in Figure 7.1. We can write the mass balance for solutes within the element as,

$$\Delta C(x, y, t)n_x l \Delta x \Delta y = \left\{ f_x(x, y, t) - f_x(x + \Delta x, y, t) \right\} l \Delta x n_x \Delta t$$

$$+ \left\{ f_y(x, y, t) - f_y(x, y + \Delta y, t) \right\} l \Delta y n_y \Delta t$$

where \( \Delta x \), \( \Delta y \), and \( \Delta t \) are the infinitesimal changes in the x, y, and t directions, respectively.

$$\Delta C(x, y, t) = \frac{\partial C(x, y, t)}{\partial t} \Delta x \Delta y.$$
Then the integral equation can be written for 2 dimensions,
\[
\begin{align*}
\int_0^b \int_0^b \frac{\sigma}{\lambda} f(x, y) \exp(-\sigma |x-b| - \lambda |y-b|) \, dx \, dy &= f(x, y),
\end{align*}
\] (7.2.3)

where \( f(x, y) \) and \( \lambda \) are eigen functions and corresponding eigen values, respectively. The covariance kernel is the multiplication of a function of \( x \) and a function of \( y \), and from the symmetry of equation (7.2.3), we can assume that the eigen function is the multiplication of a function of \( x \) and a function of \( y \):
\[
\begin{align*}
\int_0^b \int_0^b e^{-\sigma |x-b| - \lambda |y-b|} f(x, y) f(x, y) \, dx \, dy &= f(x, y) \cdot f(x, y), \quad \text{(7.2.4)}
\end{align*}
\]

Then the integral equation can be written as,
\[
\begin{align*}
\int_0^b \int_0^b e^{-\sigma |x-b| - \lambda |y-b|} f(x, y) f(x, y) \, dx \, dy &= f(x, y) \cdot f(x, y),
\end{align*}
\] (7.2.5)

Therefore, if
\[
\begin{align*}
\int_0^b \int_0^b e^{-\sigma |x-b| - \lambda |y-b|} f(x, y) \, dx \, dy &= f(x, y) \cdot f(x, y),
\end{align*}
\] (7.2.6)

Then we can see,
\[
\begin{align*}
\int_0^b \int_0^b e^{-\sigma |x-b| - \lambda |y-b|} f(x, y) f(x, y) \, dx \, dy &= f(x, y) \cdot f(x, y),
\end{align*}
\] (7.2.7)

This shows that we can use the eigen functions and eigen values obtained for 1-dimensional covariance kernels in Chapter 4 can be used in constructing the eigen functions and eigen values for two dimensional covariance kernel given in equation (7.2.2). Once we have obtained eigen functions and eigen values as solutions of the integral equation, we can derive the two dimensional mass conservation equation for solutes.

7.3 Derivation of Mass Conservation Equation

Consider the two dimensional infinitesimal volume element depicted in Figure 7.1. We can write the mass balance for solutes within the element as,
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\Delta C(x, y, t)}{\Delta t} &= \left( \frac{J_x - J_{x+\Delta x}}{\Delta x} \right) + \left( \frac{J_y - J_{y+\Delta y}}{\Delta y} \right),
\end{align*}
\] (7.3.1)

where \( C(x, y, t) \) is the solute concentration and \( J \) represents the solute flux at the location indicated by a subscript. We can expand \( J \) using Taylor expansions as follows:
\[
\begin{align*}
J_{x+\Delta x} - J_x &= \frac{\partial J_x}{\partial x} \Delta x + \frac{1}{2!} \frac{\partial^2 J_x}{\partial x^2} (\Delta x)^2 + \frac{1}{3!} \frac{\partial^3 J_x}{\partial x^3} (\Delta x)^3 + \text{higher order terms}, \\
J_{y+\Delta y} - J_y &= \frac{\partial J_y}{\partial y} \Delta y + \frac{1}{2!} \frac{\partial^2 J_y}{\partial y^2} (\Delta y)^2 + \frac{1}{3!} \frac{\partial^3 J_y}{\partial y^3} (\Delta y)^3 + \text{higher order terms}.
\end{align*}
\]

Lumping the higher order terms greater than 2, and denoting \( R_x \) and \( R_y \) as the remainders of the series,
\[
\begin{align*}
J_{x+\Delta x} - J_x &= \frac{\partial J_x}{\partial x} \Delta x + \frac{1}{2!} \frac{\partial^2 J_x}{\partial x^2} (\Delta x)^2 + R_x(\varepsilon), \quad \text{and} \\
J_{y+\Delta y} - J_y &= \frac{\partial J_y}{\partial y} \Delta y + \frac{1}{2!} \frac{\partial^2 J_y}{\partial y^2} (\Delta y)^2 + R_y(\varepsilon).
\end{align*}
\] (7.3.2)

Figure 7.1. Two dimensional infinitesimal volume element with a depth \( l \) and porosity \( n_x \). \( \Delta x \) and \( \Delta y \) are side lengths in \( x \) and \( y \) directions, respectively.
Substituting equations (7.3.2a) and (7.3.2b) back to equation (7.3.1) and taking the limit \( \Delta t \to 0 \),

\[
\frac{\partial C(x,y,t)}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial J_x}{\partial x} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 J_x}{\partial x^2} \Delta x - \frac{\partial J_y}{\partial y} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 J_y}{\partial y^2} \Delta y + R_x(\varepsilon) + R_y(\varepsilon),
\]

(7.3.3)

where \( h_x = \Delta x \) and \( h_y = \Delta y \).

\[
dC = -\left[ \frac{\partial J_x}{\partial x} + \frac{h_x}{2} \frac{\partial^2 J_x}{\partial x^2} \right] dt - \left[ \frac{\partial J_y}{\partial y} + \frac{h_y}{2} \frac{\partial^2 J_y}{\partial y^2} \right] dt + \left( R_x(\varepsilon) + R_y(\varepsilon) \right) dt.
\]

Assuming \( (R_x(\varepsilon) + R_y(\varepsilon)) dt \approx 0 \),

\[
dC(x,y,t) = -\left[ \frac{\partial J_x}{\partial x} + \frac{h_x}{2} \frac{\partial^2 J_x}{\partial x^2} \right] dt - \left[ \frac{\partial J_y}{\partial y} + \frac{h_y}{2} \frac{\partial^2 J_y}{\partial y^2} \right] dt.
\]

(7.3.4)

Now we can express the solute flux in terms of solute concentration and velocity,

\[
J_x(x,y,t) = V_x(x,y,t) C(x,y,t), \quad \text{and} \quad J_y(x,y,t) = V_y(x,y,t) C(x,y,t).
\]

(7.3.5a, 7.3.5b)

We can express the velocity in terms of the mean velocity vector and a noise vector,

\[
V(x,y,t) = \overline{V}(x,y,t) + \xi(x,y,t),
\]

(7.3.6)

where \( \overline{V}(x,y,t) \), \( \overline{V}(x,y,t) \) and \( \xi(x,y,t) \) are velocity, mean velocity and noise vectors respectively. Instantaneous velocity vector can now be expressed as,

\[
V(x,y,t) = V_x(x,y,t) \hat{i} + V_y(x,y,t) \hat{j},
\]

(7.3.7)

where \( \hat{i} \) and \( \hat{j} \) are unit vectors in \( x \) and \( y \) directions, respectively; and, \( V_x(x,y,t) \) and \( V_y(x,y,t) \) are the magnitudes of the velocities in \( x \) and \( y \) directions. By substituting the vector components in equation (7.3.6) in to equation (7.3.7), we obtain,

\[
V(x,y,t) = (\overline{V}_x(x,y,t) + \xi_x(x,y,t)) \hat{i} + (\overline{V}_y(x,y,t) + \xi_y(x,y,t)) \hat{j},
\]

(7.3.8)

where \( \xi_x \) and \( \xi_y \) are the noise components in \( x \) and \( y \) directions. We can see the noise term appearing as, \( (\xi_x(x,y,t) \hat{i} + \xi_y(x,y,t) \hat{j}) = \xi(x,y,t) \).
To simplify the notation,

\[ V_x = \nabla_x + \xi_x , \quad \text{and} \]

\[ V_y = \nabla_y + \xi_y . \]  

(7.3.9)

(7.3.10)

By substituting these equations into equations (7.3.5a) and (7.3.5b), and then substituting the resulting equations into equation (7.3.4), we obtain,

\[ dC = S_x (\nabla_x C) dt + S_y (\nabla_y C) dt + S_x (C_x \xi_x) dt + S_y (C_y \xi_y) dt , \]

(7.3.11)

where \( S_x = -\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{h_x}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \right) \), and

\[ S_y = -\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{h_y}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \right). \]

We can now write,

\[ dC = \left( S_x (\nabla_x C) + S_y (\nabla_y C) \right) dt + S_x (C_x \xi_x dt) + S_y (C_y \xi_y dt) . \]

(7.3.12)

As in the one dimensional case, we can define,

\[ \beta_x = \xi_x dt \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_y = \xi_y dt , \]

and these are the components of a noise vector, \( \beta \), which operates in a Hilbert space having eigen functions as co-ordinates. Equation (7.3.12) can now be expressed as,

\[ dC = \left( S_x (\nabla_x C) + S_y (\nabla_y C) \right) dt + S_x (C \xi_x dt) + S_y (C \xi_y dt) . \]

(7.3.13)

The resultant noise term is given by,

\[ d\beta = \sigma \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sqrt{\lambda_{x,j} \lambda_{y,j}} f_{x,j} f_{y,j} d\beta_j (t) , \]

(7.3.14)

where \( f_{x,j} = \) eigen functions in \( x \) direction, and

\[ f_{y,j} = \] eigen functions in \( y \) direction.

Now we can express the components in \( x \) and \( y \) directions,

\[ d\beta_x = d\beta \cos \theta , \quad \text{and} \]

\[ d\beta_y = d\beta \sin \theta . \]

(7.3.15)

(7.3.16)
We make an assumption that $\theta$ is defined by
\[
\cos \theta = \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}}; \quad \sin \theta = \frac{y}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}}.
\]
This is a simplifying approximation which makes the modelling more tractable; as the noise term is quite random, this approximation does not make significant difference to final results.

Then
\[
dC = (S_x(\nabla_x C) + S_y(\nabla_y C))dt + S_x(C(x,y,t)d\beta \cos \theta) + S_y(C(x,y,t)d\beta \sin \theta).
\]  
(7.3.17)

Analogous to equation (4.2.4),
\[
S_x(C(x,y,t)d\beta \cos \theta) = S_x\left(C(x,y,t)\left\{\sigma \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sqrt{\lambda_{x,j} \lambda_{y,j}} f_{x,j} f_{y,j} db_j(t)\right\}\cos \theta \right).
\]

\[
-S_x(Cd\beta \cos \theta) = -\sigma \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sqrt{\lambda_{x,j} \lambda_{y,j}} f_{x,j} S_x(Cf_{x,j} \cos \theta) db_j(t) \quad \text{(7.3.18)}
\]

Now we can expand the terms in the brackets in equation (7.3.18),
\[
-S_x(Cf_{x,j} \cos \theta) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{h_x}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\right)(Cf_{x,j} \cos \theta).
\]

We see that,
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(Cf_{x,j} \cos \theta) = Cf_{x,j} \frac{\partial \cos \theta}{\partial x} + C \cos \theta \frac{\partial f_{x,j}}{\partial x} + f_{x,j} \cos \theta \frac{\partial C}{\partial x}, \quad \text{and}
\]
\[
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}(Cf_{x,j} \cos \theta) = \left(Cf_{x,j} \frac{\partial^2 \cos \theta}{\partial x^2} + C \cos \theta \frac{\partial f_{x,j}}{\partial x} + f_{x,j} \frac{\partial \cos \theta}{\partial x} \frac{\partial C}{\partial x}\right) + \left(C \frac{\partial f_{x,j}}{\partial x} + f_{x,j} \frac{\partial \cos \theta}{\partial x} \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}(Cf_{x,j} \cos \theta \frac{\partial \cos \theta}{\partial x} \frac{\partial C}{\partial x})\right) + \left(f_{x,j} \cos \theta \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2} + f_{x,j} \frac{\partial \cos \theta}{\partial x} \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} + \cos \theta \frac{\partial f_{x,j}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial C}{\partial x}\right).
\]
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We make an assumption that $q$ is defined by
\[ q = \cos \theta \cos \left( \frac{x}{2} \right) + \sin \theta \sin \left( \frac{x}{2} \right) \]
This is a simplifying approximation which makes the modeling more tractable; as the noise term is quite random, this approximation does not make significant difference to final results.

Then
\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathbf{C} S \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \mathbf{C} S \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{h_x}{2} \left( 2 f_{x,j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \]

Analogous to equation (4.2.4),
\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathbf{C} S \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) = \frac{h_x}{2} \left( f_{x,j} \cos \theta \cos \left( \frac{x}{2} \right) \right) \]

Now, we can expand the terms in the brackets in equation (7.3.18),
\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathbf{C} S \right) = \frac{h_x}{2} \left( f_{x,j} \cos \theta \cos \left( \frac{x}{2} \right) \right) \]

Simplifying, we obtain,
\[ -S_x \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathbf{C} S \right) \right) = \frac{h_x}{2} \left( f_{x,j} \cos \theta \cos \left( \frac{x}{2} \right) \right) \]

Similarly,
\[ S_y \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \mathbf{C} S \right) \right) = \frac{h_y}{2} \left( f_{y,j} \sin \theta \sin \left( \frac{y}{2} \right) \right) \]
\[ S_x(Cd\beta \cos \theta) \]
\[ = -\sigma \sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha_{y,j} \left[ \left( P_{0,j} + Q_{0,j} \right) C(x,y,t) + P_{1,j} \frac{\partial C(x,y,t)}{\partial x} + Q_{1,j} \frac{\partial C(x,y,t)}{\partial y} \right] \]
\[ + \left( P_{2,j} \frac{\partial^2 C(x,y,t)}{\partial x^2} + Q_{2,j} \frac{\partial^2 C(x,y,t)}{\partial y^2} \right) \]

Where
\[ P_{0,j} = f_{y,j} \left( \frac{\partial f_{x,j} \cos \theta}{\partial x} + \frac{h_x}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f_{x,j} \cos \theta}{\partial x^2} \right) \]
\[ P_{1,j} = f_{y,j} \left( f_{x,j} \cos \theta + h_x \frac{\partial f_{x,j} \cos \theta}{\partial x} \right) \]
\[ P_{2,j} = f_{y,j} \left( \frac{h_x}{2} \left( f_{x,j} \cos \theta \right) \right) \]

Similarly,
\[ S_y(Cd\beta \sin \theta) = -\sigma \sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha_{y,j} \left[ \left( Q_{0,j} + P_{0,j} \right) C(x,y,t) + Q_{1,j} \frac{\partial C(x,y,t)}{\partial y} + P_{1,j} \frac{\partial C(x,y,t)}{\partial x} \right] \]
\[ + \left( Q_{2,j} \frac{\partial^2 C(x,y,t)}{\partial y^2} + P_{2,j} \frac{\partial^2 C(x,y,t)}{\partial x^2} \right) \]

\[ \therefore S_x(Cd\beta_x) + S_y(Cd\beta_y) \]
\[ = -\sigma \sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha_{y,j} \left[ \left( P_{0,j} + Q_{0,j} \right) C(x,y,t) + P_{1,j} \frac{\partial C(x,y,t)}{\partial x} + Q_{1,j} \frac{\partial C(x,y,t)}{\partial y} \right] \]
\[ + \left( P_{2,j} \frac{\partial^2 C(x,y,t)}{\partial x^2} + Q_{2,j} \frac{\partial^2 C(x,y,t)}{\partial y^2} \right) \]

Therefore,
\[ dC = -C(x,y,t) dI_{0x} - \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} dI_{1x} - \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2} dI_{2x} \]
\[ - C(x,y,t) dI_{0y} - \frac{\partial C}{\partial y} dI_{1y} - \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial y^2} dI_{2y} \]

where
\[ dI_{0x} = \left( \frac{\partial \nabla_x}{\partial x} + \frac{h_x}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \nabla_x}{\partial x^2} \right) dt + \sigma \sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha_{y,j} P_{0,j} db_j(t) \]
\[ dI_{1x} = \left( \overline{V}_x + h_x \frac{\partial \overline{V}}{\partial x} \right) dt + \sigma \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sqrt{\lambda_{x,j}} \lambda_{y,j} P_{1j}(t), \] (7.3.27)

\[ dI_{2x} = \left( \frac{h_x}{2} \overline{V}_x \right) dt + \sigma \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sqrt{\lambda_{x,j}} \lambda_{y,j} P_{2j}(t), \] (7.3.28)

\[ dI_{1y} = \left( \frac{\partial \overline{V}_y}{\partial y} + \frac{h_y}{2} \overline{V}_y \right) dt + \sigma \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sqrt{\lambda_{x,j}} \lambda_{y,j} Q_{0j}(t), \] (7.3.29)

\[ dI_{2y} = \left( \frac{h_y}{2} \overline{V}_y \right) dt + \sigma \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sqrt{\lambda_{x,j}} \lambda_{y,j} Q_{2j}(t). \] (7.3.30)

Equations (7.3.25) - (7.3.31) constitute the SSTM2d with the definitions for \( P \) s and \( Q \) s given by equations (7.3.19) to (7.3.24). The SSTM2d has similar Ito diffusions for velocities as in the one-dimensional case. Equation (7.3.19) shows an elegant extension of STM into 2-dimensions. It should be noted that the eigen values for both directions are the same for the \([0,1]\) domain, further simplifying the equations.

The development of the SSTM2d is based on the fact that any kernel can be expressed as a multiplication of two kernels, for example, as in equation (7.2.2); and we know the methodology of obtaining the eigen values and eigen functions for any kernel. Therefore, we can solve the SSTM2d for any kernel. However, for the illustrative purposes, we only focus on the kernel given in equation (7.2.2) in this chapter.

### 7.3.1 A Summary of the Finite Difference Scheme

To understand the behaviour of the SSTM2d, we need to solve the equations numerically by using a finite difference scheme developed for the purpose. We only highlight the pertinent equations in the algorithm.

Now let \( x_i = i \Delta x \), \( y_j = j \Delta y \), \( t_n = n \Delta t \), and \( C_{[i,j]}^{n} \) = \( C_{[i,j]}^{n} \). Equation (7.3.25) can be redisplayed as

\[ \frac{dC_{[i,j]}^{n}}{dx_i} = -c_{[i,j]}^{n} dI_{0x_i} - \frac{dc_{[i,j]}^{n}}{dx_i} dI_{1x_i} - \frac{dc_{[i,j]}^{n}}{dx_i^2} dI_{2x_i} - c_{[i,j]}^{n} dI_{0x_i}, \]

\[ \frac{dC_{[i,j]}^{n}}{dy_j} = \frac{dc_{[i,j]}^{n}}{dy_j} dI_{1y_j} - \frac{dc_{[i,j]}^{n}}{dy_j^2} dI_{2y_j}. \]

We use the forward difference to calculate the first first-order derivatives with respect to time \( (t) \), the backward difference to calculate the first-order derivative in \( x \) and \( y \) directions and the central difference to calculate the second-order derivatives, i.e.,
We can develop the finite difference scheme to solve the SSTM2d based on the following equation:

\[
\frac{dc_{[i,j]}^{n+1} - c_{[i,j]}^{n}}{\Delta t} = \frac{d^n c_{[i,j]}^{n}}{dx} = \frac{c_{[i,j]}^{n} - c_{[i+1,j]}^{n}}{\Delta x}, \quad \frac{d^n c_{[i,j]}^{n}}{dy} = \frac{c_{[i,j]}^{n} - c_{[i,j-1]}^{n}}{\Delta y},
\]

\[
\frac{d^2 c_{[i,j]}^{n}}{dx^2} = \frac{c_{[i+1,j]}^{n} - 2c_{[i,j]}^{n} + c_{[i-1,j]}^{n}}{\Delta x^2}, \quad \frac{d^2 c_{[i,j]}^{n}}{dy^2} = \frac{c_{[i,j+1]}^{n} - 2c_{[i,j]}^{n} + c_{[i,j-1]}^{n}}{\Delta y^2}.
\] 

(7.3.32)

We illustrate some realisations of the solutions graphically in the next section.

### 7.3.2 Graphical Depictions of Realisations

In the following figures, we present a sample of solution realisations of the SSTM2d to illustrate the behaviours of the model under different parameter values for the boundary condition: \(C(t, x, y)=1.0\) at \((x=0.0 \text{ and } y=0.0)\) for any given \(t\). The value of \(b\) is kept at 0.1 for all computations.

![Figure 7.2. A realisation of concentration at y=0.5 m when \(2\sigma =0.001\).](image-url)
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We can develop the finite difference scheme to solve the SSTM2d based on the following equation:

\[
\frac{\partial c}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( D_1 \frac{\partial c}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( D_2 \frac{\partial c}{\partial y} \right) + f(t,x,y)
\]

\[
\Delta t \left( \frac{c_{i,j}^{n+1} - c_{i,j}^n}{\Delta t} \right) = \frac{\Delta x}{D_1} \left( \frac{c_{i+1,j}^{n+1} - 2c_{i,j}^{n+1} + c_{i-1,j}^{n+1}}{\Delta x^2} \right) + \frac{\Delta y}{D_2} \left( \frac{c_{i,j+1}^{n+1} - 2c_{i,j}^{n+1} + c_{i,j-1}^{n+1}}{\Delta y^2} \right) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \Bigg|_{t^n}
\]

\[
(7.3.32)
\]

We illustrate some realisations of the solutions graphically in the next section.

7.3.2 Graphical Depictions of Realisations

In the following figures, we present a sample of solution realisations of the SSTM2d to illustrate the behaviours of the model under different parameter values for the boundary condition:

\[
C(t,x,y) = 1.0 \text{ at } (x=0.0 \text{ and } y=0.0) \text{ for any given } t.
\]

The value of \( b \) is kept at 0.1 for all computations.

Figure 7.2. A realisation of concentration at \( y=0.5 \text{ m when } \sigma^2=0.0001. \)

Figure 7.3. A realisation of concentration at \( y=0.5 \text{ m when } \sigma^2=0.001. \)

Figure 7.4. A realisation of concentration at \( y=0.5 \text{ m when } \sigma^2=0.01. \)
Figure 7.5. A realisation of concentration at $y=0.5$ m when $\sigma^2 = 0.1$

Figure 7.6. A realisation of concentration at $t=1$ day when $\sigma^2 = 0.0001$. 

Figure 7.7. A realisation of concentration at $t=1$ day when $\sigma^2 = 0.001$.

Figure 7.8. A realisation of concentration at $t=1$ day when $\sigma^2 = 0.01$. 
Figure 7.5. A realisation of concentration at \( y = 0.5 \) m when \( \sigma^2 = 0.1 \).

Figure 7.6. A realisation of concentration at \( t = 1 \) day when \( \sigma^2 = 0.0001 \).

Figure 7.7. A realisation of concentration at \( t = 1 \) day when \( \sigma^2 = 0.001 \).

Figure 7.8. A realisation of concentration at \( t = 1 \) day when \( \sigma^2 = 0.01 \).
Figure 7.9. A realisation of concentration at $t=1$ day when $\sigma^2 = 0.1$.

Figure 7.10. A realisation of concentration at $t=3$ days when $\sigma^2 = 0.001$. 

Figure 7.12. A realisation of concentration at $t=3$ days when $\sigma^2 = 0.01$. 
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Figure 7.9. A realisation of concentration at $t=1$ day when $\sigma^2 = 0.1$.

Figure 7.10. A realisation of concentration at $t=3$ days when $\sigma^2 = 0.0001$.

Figure 7.11. A realisation of concentration at $t=3$ days when $\sigma^2 = 0.001$.

Figure 7.12. A realisation of concentration at $t=3$ days when $\sigma^2 = 0.01$. 
Figure 7.13. A realisation of concentration at \( t = 3 \) days under \( \sigma^2 = 0.1 \).

The figures above shows that the numerical scheme is robust to obtain the concentration realisations for a range of values of \( \sigma^2 \). As \( \sigma^2 \) increases the stochasticity of the realisations increases.

7.4 Longitudinal and Transverse Dispersivity according to SSTM2D

To estimate the longitudinal and transverse dispersivities, we start with the partial differential equation for advection and dispersion, taking \( x \) axis to be the direction of the flow.

The two-dimensional advection-dispersion equation can be written as,

\[
\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = \left( D_L \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2} + D_T \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial y^2} \right) - v_x \left( \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} \right)
\]  \hspace{1cm} (7.4.1)

where \( C \) = solution concentration (mg/l),

\( t \) = time (day),

\( D_L \) = hydrodynamic dispersion coefficient parallel to the principal direction of flow (longitudinal) (m\(^2\)/day),

\( D_T \) = hydrodynamic dispersion coefficient perpendicular to the principal direction of flow (transverse) (m\(^2\)/day), and

\( v_x \) = average linear velocity (m/day).
The randomness of heterogeneous groundwater systems can be accounted for by adding a stochastic component to equation (7.4.1), and it can be given by

\[
\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = \left[ D_L \left( \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2} \right) + D_T \left( \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial y^2} \right) - v_z \left( \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} \right) \right] + \xi(x,t),
\]

where \( \xi(x,t) \) is described by a zero-mean stochastic process.

We multiple equation (7.4.2) by \( dt \) throughout and, formally replace \( \xi(x,t)dt \) by \( \zeta(t) \). We can now obtain the stochastic partial differential equation as follows,

\[
dC = \left[ D_L \left( \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2} \right) + D_T \left( \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial y^2} \right) \right] dt - v_z \left( \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} \right) dt + \zeta(t).
\]

The two parameters to be estimated are \( D_L \) and \( D_T \) (while \( v_z = 0.5 \) in this case). For the two parameter case, we can write the right hand side of equation (7.4.3) as follows:

\[
f(t, C, \theta_1, \theta_2) = a_0(C, t) + \theta_1 a_1(C, t) + \theta_2 a_2(C, t),
\]

where,

\[
a_0(C, t) = -v_z \left( \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} \right) = -0.5 \left( \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} \right); \quad a_1(C, t) = \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2}; \quad a_2(C, t) = \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial y^2};
\]

\[
\theta_1 = D_L; \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_2 = D_T.
\]

The log-likelihood function can be written as (see Chapter 1),

\[
l(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{t=0}^{T} \left[ a_0(C_i, t) + \theta_1 a_1(C_i, t) + \theta_2 a_2(C_i, t) \right] dC_i(t) \\
- \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{t=0}^{T} \left[ a_0(C_i, t) + \theta_1 a_1(C_i, t) + \theta_2 a_2(C_i, t) \right]^2 dt.
\]

If we have values for \( C(x, y, t) \) at \( M \) discrete points in \((x, y)\) coordinate space for a period of time \( t \) (where \( 0 \leq t \leq T \)), then differentiating equation (7.4.5) with respect to \( \theta_1 \) and \( \theta_2 \), respectively, we get the following two simultaneous equations:

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{t=0}^{T} a_1(C_i, t) dC_i(t) - \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{t=0}^{T} \left[ a_0(C_i, t) + \theta_1 a_1(C_i, t) + \theta_2 a_2(C_i, t) \right] \{ a_1(C_i, t) \} dt = 0
\]

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{t=0}^{T} a_2(C_i, t) dC_i(t) - \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{t=0}^{T} \left[ a_0(C_i, t) + \theta_1 a_1(C_i, t) + \theta_2 a_2(C_i, t) \right] \{ a_2(C_i, t) \} dt = 0
\]
We simplify equation (7.4.6) to
\[
\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{M} \left[ a_i(C_i,t) \right] dC_i(t) - \sum_{i=1}^{M} \left[ a_0(C_i,t) a_i(C_i,t) dt \right] \right\} \\
- \theta_1 \sum_{i=1}^{M} \left[ a_i(C_i,t) \right]^2 dt - \theta_2 \sum_{i=1}^{M} \left[ a_i(C_i,t) \right] \left[ a_2(C_i,t) \right] dt = 0 \\
\sum_{i=1}^{M} \left[ a_2(C_i,t) \right] dC_i(t) - \sum_{i=1}^{M} \left[ a_0(C_i,t) a_2(C_i,t) dt \right] \\
- \theta_1 \sum_{i=1}^{M} \left[ a_i(C_i,t) \right] \left[ a_2(C_i,t) \right] dt - \theta_2 \sum_{i=1}^{M} \left[ a_2(C_i,t) \right]^2 dt = 0
\]  

(7.4.7)

Now we substitute \( a_0(C_i,t) \), \( a_1(C_i,t) \), \( a_2(C_i,t) \), \( \theta_1 \) and \( \theta_2 \) in equations (7.4.7) to obtain the following set of equations:
\[
\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{t=0}^{T} \left[ \frac{d^2C_i}{dx^2} \right] dC_i(t) + 0.5 \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{t=0}^{T} \left[ \frac{dC_i}{dx} \right] \left[ \frac{d^2C_i}{dx^2} \right] dt \right\} \\
- \theta_1 \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{t=0}^{T} \left[ \frac{d^2C_i}{dx^2} \right]^2 dt - \theta_2 \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{t=0}^{T} \left[ \frac{dC_i}{dx} \right] \left[ \frac{d^2C_i}{dy^2} \right] dt = 0 \\
\sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{t=0}^{T} \left[ \frac{d^2C_i}{dy^2} \right] dC_i(t) + 0.5 \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{t=0}^{T} \left[ \frac{dC_i}{dx} \right] \left[ \frac{d^2C_i}{dy^2} \right] dt \\
- \theta_1 \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{t=0}^{T} \left[ \frac{d^2C_i}{dy^2} \right]^2 dt - \theta_2 \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{t=0}^{T} \left[ \frac{dC_i}{dy^2} \right]^2 dt = 0
\]  

(7.4.8)

We can rewrite equations (7.4.8) as,
\[
m_1 - D_L k_1 - D_T l_1 = 0 \\
m_2 - D_L k_2 - D_T l_2 = 0
\]  

(7.4.9)

Where \( m_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{t=0}^{T} \left[ \frac{d^2C_i}{dx^2} \right] dC_i(t) + 0.5 \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{t=0}^{T} \left[ \frac{dC_i}{dx} \right] \left[ \frac{d^2C_i}{dx^2} \right] dt \),
\[
k_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{t=0}^{T} \left[ \frac{d^2C_i}{dx^2} \right]^2 dt
\]  

(7.4.10)

\[
l_1 = k_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{t=0}^{T} \left[ \frac{d^2C_i}{dx^2} \right] \left[ \frac{d^2C_i}{dy^2} \right] dt
\]  

(7.4.11)

\[
m_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{t=0}^{T} \left[ \frac{d^2C_i}{dx^2} \right] dC_i(t) + 0.5 \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{t=0}^{T} \left[ \frac{dC_i}{dx} \right] \left[ \frac{d^2C_i}{dy^2} \right] dt \),
\]  

(7.4.12)

and
\[
m_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{t=0}^{T} \left[ \frac{d^2C_i}{dx^2} \right] dC_i(t) + 0.5 \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{t=0}^{T} \left[ \frac{dC_i}{dx} \right] \left[ \frac{d^2C_i}{dy^2} \right] dt \),
\]  

(7.4.13)
We simplify equation (7.4.6) to

We can rewrite equations (7.4.8) as, following set of equations:

Where

We have estimated the longitudinal and lateral dispersion coefficients for 100 realisations for each of \( \sigma^2 \) value chosen, and their mean values are given in Table 7.1.

The transverse dispersion coefficient is significantly less than the longitudinal dispersion coefficient for the flow length \([0,1]\) when \( \sigma^2 \) is very small but approaches approximately 0.5 of longitudinal dispersion coefficient when \( \sigma^2 \) increases (Figure 7.12). Comparing Table 7.1 with Table 4.9, we see that the dispersion coefficient, therefore, the dispersivity, is smaller in 2 dimensions especially when \( \sigma^2 > 0.01 \). This needs to be expected as the lateral dispersion provides another mechanism of energy dissipation, thwarting the dispersion in the longitudinal direction.

| \( \sigma^2 \) | \( D_L \) | \( D_T \) |
|---|---|---|
| 0.001 | 0.0251 | 0.0003 |
| 0.005 | 0.0258 | 0.0012 |
| 0.01 | 0.0264 | 0.0017 |
| 0.02 | 0.0273 | 0.0027 |
| 0.04 | 0.0293 | 0.0053 |
| 0.05 | 0.0304 | 0.0072 |
| 0.06 | 0.0314 | 0.0089 |
| 0.08 | 0.0332 | 0.012 |
| 0.1 | 0.0354 | 0.0145 |
| 0.15 | 0.04 | 0.0197 |

Table 7.1. Estimated mean longitudinal and transverse dispersion coefficients using 100 concentration realisations from SSTM2d for each of \( \sigma^2 \) value.
Figure 7.14. The ratio of the transverse dispersivity to the longitudinal dispersivity vs $\sigma^2$.

7.5 Summary
In this chapter, we developed the 2 dimensional version of SSTM for the flow length of [0,1], and estimated the transverse dispersivity using the Stochastic Inverse Method (SIM) adopted for the purpose. The SSTM2d has mathematically similar form to SSTM but computationally more involved. However, the numerical routines developed are robust. We will extend SSTM2d in a dimensionless form to understand multi-scale behaviours of SSTM2d in the next chapter.
This research monograph presents a mathematical approach based on stochastic calculus which tackles the "cutting edge" in porous media science and engineering - prediction of dispersivity from covariance of hydraulic conductivity (velocity). The problem is of extreme importance for tracer analysis, for enhanced recovery by injection of miscible gases, etc. This book explains a generalised mathematical model and effective numerical methods that may highly impact the stochastic porous media hydrodynamics. The book starts with a general overview of the problem of scale dependence of the dispersion coefficient in porous media. Then a review of pertinent topics of stochastic calculus that would be useful in the modeling in the subsequent chapters is succinctly presented. The development of a generalised stochastic solute transport model for any given velocity covariance without resorting to Fickian assumptions from laboratory scale to field scale is discussed in detail. The mathematical approaches presented here may be useful for many other problems related to chemical dispersion in porous media.
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