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RNA Expression Profile and Alternative Splicing Signatures of Genistein-Treated Breeder Hens Revealed by Hepatic Transcriptomic Analysis
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Little information has been available about the influence of dietary genistein (GEN) on hepatic transcriptome of laying broiler breeder (LBB) hens. The study is aimed at broadening the understanding of RNA expression profiles and alternative splicing (AS) signatures of GEN-treated breeder hens and thereby improving laying performance and immune function of hens during the late egg-laying period. 720 LBB hens were randomly allocated into three groups with supplemental dietary GEN doses (0, 40 mg/kg, and 400 mg/kg). Each treatment has 8 replicates of 30 birds. Dietary GEN enhanced the antioxidative capability of livers, along with the increased activities of glutathione peroxidase and catalase. Furthermore, it improved lipid metabolic status and apoptotic process in the liver of hens. 40 mg/kg dietary GEN had the better effects on improving immune function and laying performance. However, transcriptome data indicated that 400 mg/kg dietary GEN did negative regulation of hormone biosynthetic process. Also, it upregulated the expressions of EDA2R and CYR61 by the Cis regulation of neighbouring genes (lncRNA_XLOC_018890 and XLOC_024242), which might activate NF-κB and immune-related signaling pathway. Furthermore, dietary GEN induced AS events in the liver, which also enriched into immune and metabolic process. Therefore, the application of 40 mg/kg GEN in the diet of breeder hens during the late egg-laying period can improve lipid metabolism and immune function. We need to pay attention to the side-effects of high-dose GEN on the immune function.

1. Introduction

Genistein (GEN), a type of soy-derived isoflavone, has been demonstrated to be effective in improving metabolism and immune function. Soybean isoflavone exerts either estrogen-like or antiestrogenic effects, which depend on its dose effect [1, 2]. GEN can also activate the PPAR pathway as a ligand, which promotes β-oxidation of fatty acid [3]. Recently, our published paper indicated that 40 mg/kg and 400 mg/kg dietary GEN supplementation for LBB hens increased the egg-laying rate during the late laying period, and the adding dose of 40 mg/kg had the better effects [4]. Actually, our previous research suggested that supplementing high-dose (400 mg/kg) and low-dose (40 mg/kg) GEN for laying broiler breeder (LBB) hens made different effects on the immune function of chick embryos [5]. Therefore, we speculate that dietary GEN can alter metabolic process and immune function during the process of liver aging.

The functions of the ovary and fallopian tube of laying hens deteriorate during the late laying stage, which result in rapid decrease in the laying rate [4]. Immune organs, including the thymus and bursa of Fabricius, also degrade in the late laying period. Therefore, the serum antibody titers and immune function of hens decreased in this special stage [6]. Furthermore, caged hens during the late egg-laying period suffer from liver aging along with the increase of hepatic nitric oxide levels, triglyceride, and inflammatory factors, which result in fatty liver syndrome [7, 8]. It raises our
attention to the effects of dietary GEN on the metabolism and immune function of LBB hens. Liver aging not only damages the histomorphology but also increases the risk of metabolic abnormalities [9]. GEN is known to act against lipid peroxidation and inflammation [10]. Administration of GEN can reportedly decrease Ovx-induced adiposity and improve insulin sensitivity in retroperitoneal WAT [11, 12]. Our previous data suggest that GEN is effective in ameliorating inflammatory cell infiltration in fatty livers of hens, downregulating TNF-α, IL-6, and IL-1β expressions [13]. However, a dose-related increase of cytotoxic T cell activity was observed in GEN-treated mice [14]. Recent studies raise the possibility that GEN soy food may be capable of producing thymic and immune abnormalities [15]. To date, the mRNA profile of GEN-treated breeder hens during the late egg-laying period has been unclear.

Long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) play important roles in regulating gene expression at epigenetic, transcriptional, and posttranscriptional levels, including genomic imprinting, chromatin modification, and transcriptional activation [16]. Chiyomaru et al. pointed out that GEN can inhibit the reproduction of prostate cancer cells through lncRNA_HOTAIR regulating targeting miR-34a [17]. Our recent research suggested that a novel lncRNA activated by daidzein can stabilize FOS mRNA by serving as a competitive endogenous RNA, which regulated immune function [18]. Therefore, it is of great significance to explore the effect of GEN on lncRNA profiles in the liver of aged LBB hens. Proteins with different functions can be produced by a diverse array of transcripts derived from a single pre-mRNA, suggesting that alternative splicing (AS) is also crucial in regulating gene expression of eukaryotes [19]. 40–60% of human genes have AS isoforms, although some variants exist only in relatively low abundance [20]. We hypothesize that lncRNAs and AS are involved in regulating immune and metabolic processes in older laying hens. In recent years, the study of gene interactions has been broadened considerably because of advances in RNA-Seq, a novel gene expression profiling technology based on high-throughput sequencing [21]. The objectives of this study are to clarify the effects of GEN on the metabolism and immune function of geriatric LBB hens and reveal the RNA profile and alternative splicing signatures by hepatic transcriptomic analysis.

2. Materials and Method

2.1. Materials. Genistein (GEN) is a synthetic product from Kai Meng. Co. (Xi An, China) Chemical Plant with 99.8% purity.

2.2. Animals and Experimental Design. All procedures for animal handling were conducted under protocols approved by the Animal Welfare Committee of Nanjing Agricultural University. Ross 308 LBB hens (57 weeks old) were obtained from the Tushan Breeding Farm (Changzhou, China) and housed under standard conditions. After a 2-week adaptation period, 720 LBB hens with close weight were randomly assigned to 1 of 3 dietary groups. We adjusted the egg production rate of each group to be basically consistent according to the records of laying rate and inverting anus. Each group includes 8 replicates of 30 birds each: a basic diet (CON), CON supplemented with GEN (40 mg/kg diet) (LGE), and CON supplemented with GEN (400 mg/kg diet) (HGE). The formal experiment lasts for 8 weeks. The feed formula is shown in Table S1, which meets the nutritional requirements of LBB hens according to the National Research Council (NRC) guidelines (1994). Each hen was allotted 155 g of feed at 6:00 a.m. every day, with free access to water.

2.3. Sample Collection and Chemical Analysis. At 8 weeks of the experiment, one chick each replicate was selected randomly after 10 h of feed deprivation. One blood sample was collected from the wing vein into vacuum tubes (with EDTA) for blood cell detection. Another blood sample was collected for centrifugation (3000 × g for 15 min). The serum was stored at -20°C for the measurement of biochemical indices. Then, the hens were killed by decapitation. The liver samples were collected and kept in liquid nitrogen, for detections of RNA-Seq, antioxidative, and metabolic indexes.

2.4. Metabolic Indexes in the Serum and Liver. Serum indexes, including glucose (GLU), total glyc eride (TG), total cholesterol (TC), and high/low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL/LDL), and liver levels of TG, TC, and free fatty acids (FFA) were assayed using assay kits (Unicel Dxc 800, Beckman Coulter, California, America).

2.5. Serum Levels of Antibody and Immunoglobulin. The serum antibody titers against Newcastle disease (ND) and infectious bursa of Fabricius (IBD) viruses were determined using a commercial ELISA kit (IDEXX Laboratories Inc., Westbrook, Maine, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The serum IgG and IgA levels were determined using a commercial ELISA kit (Bethyl Laboratories Inc., Montgomery, TX, USA) according to the manufacturer's recommended protocol.

2.6. Blood Routine Examination. The blood routine examination was performed at Xiyuan Hospital, Beijing, China.

2.7. Lymphocyte Classification and Proliferation. The methods and materials of lymphocyte classification and proliferation refer to our previous research [22]. The lymphocytes were mixed with CD3 (SPRD), CD4 (FITC), and CD8 (RPE) antibodies or Bu-1 (RPE) antibodies. The results are expressed as percentages. The proliferative responses of T and B cells were activated by concanavalin A (ConA, 45 μg/mL) and lipopolysaccharide (LPS, 25 μg/mL), respectively, and then were determined by an MTT assay.

2.8. Next-Generation Sequencing (NGS). Total RNA for sequencing was purified from 20 mg of liver samples from twelve chickens (four replicates in each group) using the RNeasy Fibrous Tissue Mini messenger RNA (mRNA) extraction kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). The concentration and purity of total RNA were determined using a UV/Vis spectrophotometer (ACTGene, New Jersey, USA) at 260 nm. RNA integrity was evaluated through a microfluidic assay.
using a Bioanalyzer system (Agilent Technologies, Inc., Santa Clara, USA). Only high-quality RNA extracts (RNA integrity number (RIN) ≥ 8) were used to pool equal amounts of each RNA sample within a single group. Complementary DNA (cDNA) libraries for RNA sequencing (RNA-Seq) were constructed using a TruSeq RNA Sample Prep Kit v2 (Illumina, San Diego, USA). RNA-Seq analysis was performed to identify transcriptional changes using a MiSeq instrument (CapitalBio, http://cn .capitalbio.com/). Four replicates from each treatment were analyzed independently for library synthesis and sequencing. The quality of raw reads was assessed using FastQC (Version 0.10.1). Adapters, low-quality reads (Q < 20) at the 3′ end, reads with fuzzy N bases, ribosomal RNA (rRNA), reads shorter than 20 nt, were trimmed with the FASTX clipper (Version 0.0.13). Paired-end clean reads were mapped to the chicken genome sequence (Gallus_gallus-5.0, version 81, Ensembl) with TopHat v2.0.9 [23]. The gene expression levels in each sample were estimated according to fragments per kilo-base of exon per million fragments mapped (FPKMs) and assessed with Cufflinks v2.1.1 [24]. Transcripts with a P < 0.05 were considered differentially expressed.

2.9. Prediction and Identification of lncRNA Transcripts. (1) Transcript assemblies were conducted using the Cufflinks software. (2) The Cuffmerge software was used to merge all the predicted transcripts. (3) In order to filter out the lncRNA loci encoding proteins, the merged transcripts were mapped to transcripts of known coding proteins downloaded from Ensembl (http://asia.ensembl.org/Gallus_gallus). (4) Size selection and read coverage threshold: only transcripts with ≥200 bp and ≥2 reads were kept. (5) Protein-coding-score test: both the coding-noncoding index (CNCI) and the CPC (coding potential calculator, http://cpc.cbi.pku.edu.cn/ programs/run_cpc.jsp) were used to evaluate the coding potential of the candidate lncRNAs [18].

2.10. Bioinformatics Analysis. Differential expressed analysis of lncRNA and RNA was carried out using the edgeR software package between LGE vs. CON groups and HGE vs. CON groups. Low expressed lncRNA and RNA were filtered out before differential analysis. The standard was lncRNA, CPM > 3 in all samples, and CPM > 1 in at least four samples. CPM = C/N * 1000000, C is the read counts that mapped into gene A, and N is the total read counts that mapped to all the genes. Further analysis was conducted only using genes that demonstrated a >1.5-fold change or a <0.67-fold change in expression between the groups, as demonstrated by t-tests with a P value ≤ 0.05. The Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery (http://www.pantherdb.org/) and OmicsBean (http://www .omicsbean.cn) were used to perform gene function enrichment analyses based on gene ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) annotation for the significant DEGs.

2.11. Identification of Alternative Splicing. The genes of all eukaryotes are discontinuous, with exons interspersed between introns. Alternative splicing (AS) modifies precursor mRNA by removing introns and joining exons, which produce different mRNA transcript units and translate into distinguishable proteins. We used edgeR to analyze the differential expression of transcriptome data at the level of exon and then detect variable shear events by examining the frequency of each gene exon [25].

3. Results

3.1. Metabolic Indexes. After 8 weeks of GEN treatment, the serum levels of TC and HDLC between the three groups were similar. However, the levels of GLU (P < 0.001), TG (P = 0.098), and LDLC (P = 0.086) in the serum of LGE and HGE groups were lower than those in the CON group (Table 1). The adding levels of 40 mg/kg had better effects on improving the serum indexes. We further detected the metabolic indexes in the liver of LBB hens. As shown in Table 1, dietary GEN supplementation decreased the contents of TC (P < 0.01), TG (P = 0.078), and FFA (P < 0.05) in the liver.

3.2. Blood Routine Index and Lymphocyte Classification. The levels of EOS, ALB, and GLB in the serum did not differ

### Table 1: Effects of GEN supplementation on the metabolic indexes of LBB hens during the late egg-laying period.

| Indexes   | CON     | LGE     | HGE     | P value  |
|-----------|---------|---------|---------|----------|
| Serum     |         |         |         |          |
| GLU (mmol/L) | 10.79 ± 0.38a | 8.94 ± 0.35b | 9.17 ± 0.28b | <0.001   |
| TG (mmol/L)  | 17.11 ± 2.15  | 14.91 ± 2.21  | 15.11 ± 1.91  | 0.098    |
| TC (mmol/L)   | 4.76 ± 0.68   | 4.15 ± 0.70   | 4.50 ± 0.75   | 0.966    |
| HDLC (mmol/L) | 0.89 ± 0.22   | 0.73 ± 0.20   | 0.72 ± 0.19   | 0.197    |
| LDL (mmol/L)  | 2.77 ± 0.54   | 2.09 ± 0.64   | 2.64 ± 0.63   | 0.086    |
| Liver       |         |         |         |          |
| TG (mmol/g, prot) | 0.93 ± 0.08a | 0.82 ± 0.04b | 0.78 ± 0.10b | 0.030    |
| TC (mmol/g, prot) | 0.96 ± 0.11a | 0.87 ± 0.08ab | 0.81 ± 0.08b | 0.011    |
| FFA (mmol/g, prot) | 23.52 ± 3.88 | 19.73 ± 2.33 | 22.14 ± 3.65 | 0.098    |

GLU: glucose; TG: total glyceride; TC: total cholesterol; HDLC/LDLC: high/low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; FFA: free fatty acids. * Mean values without a common identifier (a, b) differ significantly between the three groups (P < 0.05) (n = 8), data expressed as mean ± SD.
Table 2: Effects of GEN supplementation on the blood routine indexes of LBB hens during the late egg-laying period.

| Treatment | WBC  | NEUT | MONO | BASO | EOS  | ALB  | GLB  | CD3⁺ T | CD4⁺CD8⁻ T | CD8⁺CD4⁻ T | B cell |
|-----------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|--------|------------|------------|--------|
| CON       | 74.98b | 16.85b | 4.87 | 0.54b | 0.26 | 22.57 | 25.29 | 64.83b | 39.84a     | 9.43b      | 20.57b |
| LGE       | 95.04a | 21.29a | 5.97 | 0.76ab | 0.21 | 23.25 | 28.75 | 70.72a | 43.52a     | 10.01b     | 27.26a |
| HGE       | 94.15a | 20.87a | 6.45 | 0.90a  | 0.21 | 23.00 | 29.75 | 61.66b | 29.03b     | 14.73a     | 24.88ab |
| SEM       | 3.29  | 1.09  | 0.33 | 0.04  | 0.02 | 0.23  | 0.81  | 1.35   | 1.72       | 2.97       | 5.14   |
| P value   | 0.029 | 0.097 | 0.085| 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.375 | 0.573 | 0.217  | 0.013      | <0.001     | 0.009  |

Table 3: Effects of GEN supplementation on immune indexes of LBB hens during the late egg-laying period.

| Treatment | IBD | NDV | IgG  | IgA  | LPS SI | ConA SI |
|-----------|-----|-----|------|------|--------|---------|
| CON       | 3.67b | 4.03 | 11.17 | 48.58 | 1.26b  | 1.61    |
| LGE       | 3.81a | 4.04 | 10.83 | 60.5a | 1.43a  | 1.50    |
| HGE       | 3.65b | 4.06 | 12.34 | 62.9a | 1.19b  | 1.60    |
| SEM       | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.50  | 21    | 0.02   | 0.04    |
| P value   | 0.015 | 0.074 | 0.334 | 0.008 | 0.009  | 0.560   |

3.5. QC of RNA-Seq Data, Identification of Transcripts, and mRNA Profiles in the Liver. We established 12 cDNA libraries from the livers of LBB hens in the CON, LGE, and HGE groups, with 4 replicates in each group (Table 5). RNA-Seq generated 78,768,686–94,821,854 raw reads for each library, with an average of 88,374,634, 87,465,006, and 82,427,000 paired-end reads for the CON, LGE, and HGE groups, respectively. Low-quality reads were filtered out, and the average numbers of clean reads were 82,831,718 (93.73%), 81,774,001 (93.49%), and 77,440,227 (93.95%) for the CON, LGE, and HGE groups, respectively. The clean reads were used for all further analyses. After assembly, a total of 17,108 mRNAs was obtained from the 3 groups. An average of 92.45% of the reads in each library was uniquely mapped to the galGal4 assembly of the chicken genome, and the average mapping rates were 92.63%, 92.58%, and 92.15% for the CON, LGE, and HGE groups, respectively (Table 5). We identified 501 DEGs (295 upregulated and 206 downregulated) in the LGE group compared with the CON group (P < 0.05) with a |fold change| > 1.5 (Figure 1(a)). The expression abundance and fold changes of DEGs are shown in Table S2. Meanwhile, we identified 296 DEGs (163 upregulated and 130 downregulated) in the HGE group compared with the CON group (Figure 2(a)).

3.6. GO Terms and PPI Analysis. We performed a functional enrichment analysis using the 501 DEGs between the CON and LGE groups, which revealed 1317, 110, 175, and 1 terms associated with biological process regulation, cell components, molecular function, and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathways, respectively (Figure 1(c)). 40 mg/kg GEN treatment mainly affected the gene ontology (GO) terms, including immune system process, single-organism process, biological regulation, cellular process, and metabolic process at the max 2nd and 3rd enriched levels (Figure 1(b)). The PAS Z value analysis indicated that 40 mg/kg dietary GEN enhanced the above enriched biological processes (Figure 1(d)). Further cluster analysis suggested that 40 mg/kg dietary GEN affected apoptotic process and did positive regulation of programmed cell death and signal transduction, including enzyme-linked receptor protein signaling pathway at the 6th enriched level (Figure 1(d)). Most genes enriched into the positive regulation of immune system process (GO:0002684), including innate immune response, leukocyte differentiation, cellular response to cytokine stimulus, leukocyte differentiation, myeloid cell differentiation, cellular response to growth factor stimulus, response to virus,
regulation of adaptive immune response, T cell differentiation, positive regulation of stem cell differentiation, and response to bacterium (Figure 1(e)). A complete list of the different GO terms identified in this analysis is provided in Table S3. Cytoscape bioinformatics analysis of potential protein interactions was performed using the software OmicsBean. The DEGs between the LGE group and the CON group were significantly enriched into 418, 51, 110, and 11 terms associated with glucocorticoid metabolism process, steroid biosynthetic process, and Wnt signaling pathway and did negative regulation of hormone biosynthetic process at the 6th enriched level, which is different to the low-dose GEN treatment (Figure 2(d)). Interestingly, PPI analysis showed that 400 mg/kg dietary GEN significantly activates the Toll-like signaling pathway, RIG-1 signaling pathway, NOD-like signaling pathway, Salmonella infection, and AGE-RAGE signaling pathway in diabetic complications. All the signaling pathways were connected by the key gene NF-κB (NFKB1), which were downregulated after high-dose GEN supplementation (Figure 2(d)). A complete list of PPI scores is provided in Table S4.

### 3.7. Overview of IncRNA Profiles

From the IncRNA expression profiles, differentially expressed IncRNAs (DEls) can be found between CON, LGE, and HGE groups. We performed IncRNA screen among the CON, LGE, and HGE groups and identified 1533 IncRNAs (Table S4). Analysis of all IncRNAs (Figures 3(a) and 3(b)) revealed that the length frequency of IncRNA transcripts was mainly concentrated in 200–5000 units. The number of transcript exons mainly ranged from 2 to 4.

IncRNA functions mainly by acting on adjacent genes. As we can see from Table 6, there are 1533 intergenic IncRNA loci in the transcriptome of chicken liver. The distances between intergenic IncRNAs and protein-coding genes range from 1 bp to 700 kb. Among them, 45 IncRNAs from scaffold genes (2 overlaps with genes) were annotated, and 1007 IncRNAs were from no scaffold genes (45 overlaps with genes). A total of 438 IncRNAs within 10 kb of the distance to protein-coding genes (including IncRNAs overlapping with genes) were screened out. Panther analysis indicated that the adjacent protein-coding genes of all IncRNAs were

### Table 4: Effects of GEN supplementation on antioxidative indexes of chick livers.

| Treatment | TAOC | MDA | CAT | GSH-PX |
|-----------|------|-----|-----|--------|
| CON       | 1.74 ± 0.54b | 0.87 ± 0.24 | 26.45 ± 2.70 | 49.66 ± 2.50b |
| LGE       | 2.19 ± 0.07a  | 0.93 ± 0.19 | 28.19 ± 4.09 | 64.47 ± 4.08a |
| HGE       | 1.99 ± 0.13ab | 0.86 ± 0.20 | 31.47 ± 5.45 | 53.20 ± 5.58b |
| P value   | 0.037 | 0.740 | 0.078 | <0.001 |

TAOC: total antioxidation (U/mg prot); MDA: malondialdehyde (mmol/mg prot); CAT: catalase (U/mg prot); T-SOD: total superoxide dismutase (U/mg prot); GSH-PX: glutathione peroxidase (U/mg prot); data expressed as mean ± SD.

### Table 5: Characteristics of the reads from 12 chick liver libraries.

| Sample ID | Raw reads | Q30 (%) | Mean quality score (PF) | Clean reads | Mapped reads 1 | Mapped reads 2 | Mapping ratio (%) |
|-----------|-----------|---------|-------------------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|
| CON1      | 82746656  | 91.41   | 35.64                   | 77448096    | 35948401       | 35845761       | 92.70            |
| CON2      | 92500088  | 91.42   | 35.64                   | 86840638    | 40288053       | 40218776       | 92.70%           |
| CON3      | 93885866  | 91.34   | 35.61                   | 87984510    | 40866183       | 40787367       | 92.80%           |
| CON4      | 84365924  | 91.44   | 35.63                   | 79053630    | 36517429       | 36434054       | 92.30%           |
| LEN1      | 94821854  | 91.42   | 35.64                   | 88770278    | 41256072       | 41184918       | 92.90%           |
| LEN2      | 93846098  | 91.44   | 35.64                   | 87990384    | 40847022       | 40765075       | 92.70%           |
| LEN3      | 82423386  | 90.54   | 35.42                   | 76597766    | 35397144       | 35297989       | 92.30%           |
| LEN4      | 78768666  | 91.39   | 35.61                   | 73737576    | 34108625       | 34054946       | 92.40%           |
| HGE1      | 82198796  | 91.69   | 35.68                   | 77223440    | 35680932       | 35600982       | 92.30%           |
| HGE2      | 81162754  | 91.77   | 35.71                   | 76358678    | 35445783       | 35371543       | 92.40%           |
| HGE3      | 84695016  | 91.54   | 35.65                   | 79366240    | 36085294       | 36033498       | 90.90%           |
| HGE4      | 81651432  | 91.21   | 35.59                   | 76812548    | 35624517       | 35614277       | 92.70%           |

ID: identification; Q30: Phred quality score. *Mapping ratio, mapped reads/all reads.
Figure 1: Continued.
significantly enriched into immune system process and metabolic process (Figure 4(a)). As we can see from Figures 4(b) and 4(c), NR2F2 (COUP transcription factor 2), DHCR24 (24-dehydrocholesterol reductase), HNF4A (hepatocyte nuclear factor 4 alpha), SPTLC1 (serine palmitoyltransferase long chain base subunit 1), CYP3A7 (cytochrome P450 family 3 subfamily A polypeptide 7), EC12 (Enoyl-CoA delta isomerase 2), and PLIN2 (Perilipin) were enriched into cellular lipid metabolic process (GO:0044255) and steroid metabolic process (GO:0008202). DPP10 (dipeptidyl peptidase-
Figure 2: Effects of 400 mg/kg dietary genistein supplementation of LBB hens on differential gene expression and functional enrichment. (a) Number of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) (up/downregulated) in LBB hens after genistein supplementation at 40 mg/kg. (b) Significantly enriched biological processes. (c) Number of DEGs enriched in GOs and pathways. (d) Enriched biological processes of level 6 were shown. (e) Protein-protein interaction analysis using DEGs of the CON vs. LGE groups. Circular nodes represent genes/proteins; rectangles represent KEGG pathways or GO biological process terms. The pathways are colored with a gradient from yellow to blue, in which yellow indicates a smaller P value and blue indicates a larger P value. GO biological processes are colored red. In the fold change analysis, genes/proteins are colored red for upregulation or green for downregulation.
like 10), TRAIL (TNF-related apoptosis inducing ligand-like protein), LOC100859408 (class I histocompatibility antigen, f10 alpha chain-related), TLR3 (Toll-like receptor 3), STAT5B (signal transducer and activator of transcription 5B), and LMO4 (LIM domain only 4) were enriched into immune factor process (GO:0002052), immune response (GO:0006599), leukocyte activation (GO:00445321), and leukocyte migration (GO:0050900). Therefore, lncRNAs in the chicken liver are involved in regulating the metabolism and immune function.

40 mg/kg dietary GEN enhanced vasopressin synthesis, EGF receptor signaling pathway, interleukin signaling pathway, transcription regulation by b ZIP transcription factor, and FGF signaling pathway. Both 40 and 400 mg/kg dietary GEN significantly enhanced the JAK/STAT signaling pathway (Table 6).

3.8. Analysis of Target Genes of lncRNA. Cis regulation mainly depends on Cis-acting elements, including promoters, enhancers, regulatory sequences, and inducible elements, which participate in the regulation of gene expression in the nucleus. Cis-acting elements are usually transcribed into noncoding RNA. If there are DELs and mRNAs in the range of 10 kbp (upstream and downstream), we define that the lncRNAs are involved in the Cis regulation. As shown in Table 7, 40 mg/kg dietary GEN downregulated the expressions of lncRNA_XLOC_010112, as well as the mRNA expressions of their adjacent protein-coding genes (ANKRD33B), respectively. However, 400 mg/kg dietary GEN upregulated the expressions of lncRNA_XLOC_018890 and XLOC_024242, as well as the mRNA expressions of their adjacent protein-coding genes (EDA2R and CYR61), respectively. Therefore, low-dose GEN might Cis downregulate the mRNA expressions of ANKRD33B through lncRNA_XLOC_010112. High-dose GEN might Cis upregulate the mRNA expressions of ANKRD33B through lncRNA_XLOC_018890 and XLOC_024242.
Figure 4: The enriched PANTHER GO-Slim biological processes using lncRNA nearest genes. (a) PANTHER GO-Slim biological processes at all levels. (b) PANTHER GO-Slim biological processes at level 1: immune system process (GO: 0002376). (c) PANTHER GO-Slim biological processes at level 2: primary metabolic process (GO: 0044238).
Table 7: Prediction of target genes of lncRNA Cis regulation.

| Locus ID   | lncRNA_strand | lncRNA_chr | lncRNA_start | lncRNA_end   | Gene ID            | Gene_chr | Gene_strand | Gene_start | Gene_end   | Distance | Gene ID   |
|------------|---------------|------------|--------------|--------------|--------------------|-----------|-------------|------------|------------|----------|-----------|
| LGE vs. CON| +             | 2          | 78212513     | 78218632     | ENSGALG00000027521| 2         | -1          | 78170628   | 78211788   | 725      | ANKRD33B  |
| HGE vs CON |               |            |              |              |                    |           |             |            |            |          |           |
| XLOC_010112|               |            | 372928       | 375878       | ENSGALG0000004599 | 4         | -1          | 360637     | 369568     | 3360     | EDA2R     |
| XLOC_018890|               |            | 15139408     | 15145036     | ENSGALG0000008661 | 8         | -1          | 15135794   | 15137430   | 1978     | CYR61     |
| XLOC_024242|               |            |              |              |                    |           |             |            |            |          |           |
mRNA expressions of EDA2R and CYR61 through lncRNA XLOC_018890 and XLOC_024242, respectively.

3.9. Identification of Alternative Splicing (AS). AS, a type of gene regulatory process, can generate various mRNA transcript units. It commonly occurs in eukaryotes, which increases the biological diversity of proteins. As shown in Table S6, there were 9894 AS events in the twelve libraries. The top four genes with alternative splicing discovered by F-test were shown in Figure 5. The number of AS occurring on the + strands of genes was more than that occurring on the − strands (Table 8). 40 mg/kg dietary GEN induced 312 AS events in the hepatic genes compared with the CON group. Meanwhile, 302 AS events were significantly altered after 400 mg/kg GEN treatment. As we can see from Figure 6, both low- and high-dose dietary GEN influenced immune system, metabolic process, and reproduction through AS events. Furthermore, AS events after GEN treatment influenced molecular functions, such as catalytic activity and transcription regulator activity. Therefore, dietary GEN can alter immune and metabolic processes through AS events.

4. Discussion

4.1. Dietary GEN Improved the Metabolic Status and Antioxidation. After high-intensity laying cycles, LBB hens during the late egg-laying period suffer from many diseases relating to metabolism and immunity, especially fatty liver syndrome. In the current study, dietary GEN supplementation decreased the contents of TC, TG, and FFA in the liver, as well as the serum levels of TG and LDL-C. The result is similar to our previous research that dietary GEN alleviates lipid metabolic disorder in laying hens with fatty liver syndrome [13]. Even so, the effect of dietary GEN on the RNA expression profile of chick livers has not been adequately addressed. Hepatic transcriptome analysis in this study suggested that GEN enhanced the metabolic process in the LBB hens. The adding levels of GEN at 40 and 400 mg/kg both affected the AGE-RAGE signaling pathway in diabetic complications. Therefore, the serum level of glucose was significantly deceased after GEN treatment. AGE can combine with RAGE and activate the MAPK-PI3K pathway, which further induces caspase-3 activation and ROS formation [26, 27]. Accordingly, hepatic transcriptome analysis suggested that
low-dose dietary GEN affected the apoptotic process and did positive regulation of programmed cell death and signal transduction. During the process of liver aging, the increasing oxides in mitochondria can do oxidative damages on protein, lipid, and DNA [28]. Excess ROS can further activate mTOR and accelerate liver aging. Antioxidant properties of GEN might be the result of direct chemical actions due mainly to its phenolic structure; it is worth highlighting that GEN can upregulate the expressions of antioxidative phase II enzymes through activation of the Nrf-Keap pathway [29]. In the current study, dietary GEN improved the antioxidative capability of chicken livers, along with the enhanced activity of GSH-PX and CAT. That might be the main reason why dietary GEN can improve the metabolic status and apoptotic process in the liver of LBB hens.

4.2. Low-Dose GEN Improved Laying Performance, While High-Dose GEN Inhibited Steroid Biosynthetic Process. GEN and other similar phytoestrogens can exert both estrogenic and antiestrogenic activity, the latter by competing with estradiol for receptor binding [30]. In our previous studies with LBB hens, 40 mg/kg dietary GEN significantly improved the laying performance of laying hens, while 400 mg/kg GEN decreased the laying rate compared with low-dose adding level [4, 13]. The exact mechanisms remain to be elucidated. In the present study, DEGs between the LGE and CON groups were significantly enriched into the biological process, including oocyte meiosis and progesterone-mediated oocyte maturation. Further analysis revealed that high-dose GEN affected glucocorticoid metabolism process, steroid biosynthetic process, and Wnt signaling pathway and did negative regulation of hormone biosynthetic process. Accordingly, our previous research suggested that GEN treatment at 400 mg/kg diet decreased the expression of GnRH in the hypothalamus [13]. Therefore, the dose-dependent effects of GEN on the chick reproduction related to hormone regulation. The current data provides evidences for applying low-dose GEN in the poultry feed.

4.3. Low-Dose GEN Improved Immune Function, While High-Dose GEN Might Upregulate NF-κB through Cis Regulation. Liver aging can lead to metabolic disorders, along with inflammatory reactions. The immune system encompasses an array of defenses that help to guard against the development of age-related diseases. However, its functions can be adversely affected by oxidative damage and hormonal changes [31]. What is more, the chicken thymus and bursa of Fabricius degenerate in the late egg-laying period, and the titer of serum antibody drops rapidly. Enhanced immune responses have been found in animals fed with GEN, the isoflavone most abundant in soy food [32, 33]. Isoflavone can reportedly activate PPAR and decrease the expressions of proinflammatory factors (COX-2 and iNOS) [29]. However, the effect of GEN on the immune cells in the peripheral blood is still unknown. Different types of white blood cells participate in the body’s defensive response in different ways. Neutrophils can phagocytize bacteria, aging red cells, antigen-antibody complexes, and necrotic cells, playing important roles in innate immunity. Monocytes phagocytize antigens and transfer the antigenic determinants to lymphocytes, which can induce specific immune responses of lymphocytes. In the current experiment, dietary GEN increased the number of leukocytes in the peripheral blood. In particular, the number of monocytes and neutrophils increased after GEN treatment. GEN can reportedly relieve the inflammatory reaction induced by fructose and decrease the level of 8-isoprostaglandin and TNF-α in the liver [34]. This leads us to further explore the effect of GEN on acquired immunity of LBB hens. T lymphocyte subsets can fight off viruses through cellular immunity, which depends on the percentages of T lymphocytes (CD3⁺) and its subsets (CD4⁺, CD8⁺) in the peripheral blood [35]. Lymphocyte classification of peripheral blood suggested that 40 mg/kg dietary GEN increased CD3⁺ T and B lymphocytes. In in vitro experiments, GEN shifts the Th1/Th2 balance towards the Th2 response by decreasing the INFγ/IL10 ratio [36]. Lipopolysaccharide (LPS) can stimulate B lymphocyte proliferation. In the current experiment, GEN 40 mg/kg significantly increased LPS SI of PBMCs. This indicated that low-dose GEN supplementation improved the humoral immunity of LBB during the late laying stage. Furthermore, we found that GEN 40 mg/kg treatment increased the serum level of IgA and NDV antibody titer. Transcriptomic analysis showed that the DEGs between the LGE group and the CON group were significantly related to the categories P53 signaling pathway and TLR signaling pathway. The most significantly

| PANTHER pathways                                | Gallus gallus (REF) | #   | #   | Expected | Fold enrichment | +/− | P value |
|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------|-----|-----|----------|----------------|------|---------|
| LGE vs. CON                                     | JAK/STAT signaling pathway | 18  | 1   | 0.03     | 36.63           | +    | 0.028   |
|                                                 | Vasopressin synthesis | 25  | 1   | 0.04     | 26.38           | +    | 0.039   |
|                                                 | EGF receptor signaling pathway | 139 | 4   | 0.21     | 18.97           | +    | <0.001  |
|                                                 | Interleukin signaling pathway | 83  | 2   | 0.13     | 15.89           | +    | 0.007   |
|                                                 | Transcription regulation by h ZIP transcription factor | 56  | 1   | 0.08     | 11.77           | +    | 0.083   |
|                                                 | FGF signaling pathway | 119 | 2   | 0.18     | 11.08           | +    | 0.014   |
| HGE vs. CON                                     | JAK/STAT signaling pathway | 18  | 1   | 0.02     | 42.49           | +    | 0.024   |

Table 8: PANTHER classification system statistical overrepresentation test of Cuffdiff results using DEL adjacent genes (LGE vs. CON and HGE vs. CON).
Figure 6: Continued.
Figure 6: The enriched PANTHER GO-Slim using genes with AS. (a) PANTHER GO-Slim biological processes using genes with AS between LGE and CON groups. (b) PANTHER GO-Slim molecular functions using genes with AS between LGE and CON groups. (c) PANTHER GO-Slim biological processes using genes with AS between HGE and CON groups. (d) PANTHER GO-Slim molecular functions using genes with AS between HGE and CON groups.
enriched terms include single-organism cellular process, response to chemical, and immune system process. The DEGs and enriched immune signaling pathways were consistent with the immune-phenotypic indices. Therefore, 40 mg/kg GEN could improve the immune function of LBB hens during the late egg-laying period.

Conversely, there is mounting evidence that excess GEN can cause immune dysfunction and decrease cell-mediated immunity [15, 37]. Therefore, we further clarified the effects of high-dose GEN on the immune function of LBB hens. 400 mg/kg GEN supplementation decreased CD4⁺CD8⁻ T lymphocytes and increased CD4⁺CD8⁺ T lymphocytes compared to the LGE group (P < 0.05). In addition, 400 mg/kg dietary GEN decreased CD4⁺CD8⁻ T lymphocytes compared with the LGE groups (P < 0.05). Compared with CON and 40 mg/kg GEN treatment, 400 mg/kg GEN significantly decreased CD4⁺CD8⁻ T in the peripheral blood and increased cytotoxic T lymphocytes, along with the decreased level of IBD antibody and LPS SI. PPI analysis showed that the enriched immune-related signaling pathways after 400 mg/kg GEN treatment were associated with NF-kappa B. However, 400 mg/kg GEN upregulated the mRNA expression of NFKB1. This is inconsistent with previous research that GEN inhibits the MAPK/NF-κB signaling pathway through tyrosine kinase [38, 39]. Based on the literature and our own observations, we hypothesize that the difference may be related to the dose effect of GEN. IncRNAs play important roles in physiological activities, such as epigenetics, cell cycle, and immune and cell differentiation. Anchor protein repeat ANK (ANKRD33B) can mediate the interaction between proteins, involving cell cycle, transcriptional activity regulation, cell differentiation, and cytoskeleton formation [40, 41]. In this study, transcriptome data predicted that low-dose GEN downregulated the expression of IncRNA and adjacent genes ANKR33D3B in the liver. What is more, Collier et al. found that IncRNAs participate in epigenetic modification that relate to inflammation [42]. In the current experiment, transcriptome data predicted that high-dose GEN could upregulate the expressions of EDA2R and CYR61 by the Cis regulation of neighbouring genes (IncRNA_0301890 and XLOC_024242). Receptor for EDA isoform A2 can activate I-κB kinase/NF-kappaB signaling and JNK cascade. It does positive regulation of NF-κB transcription factor activity. This can explain how high-dose GEN can upregulate the gene expression of NF-κB and activate the inflammation-related signaling pathway. Cysteine-rich protein 61 (Cyr61, CCN1) is an extracellular matrix protein with proinflammatory function, widely involving in pathological damage of inflammation [43, 44]. In this experiment, high-dose GEN might upregulate the expression of CYR61 by Cis regulation of XLOC_024242 and activate the inflammatory signaling pathway. This specific molecular mechanism about Cis regulation needs further verification. Therefore, the lower immune level of HGE-treated hens compared with LGE groups might be due to the Cis regulation of IncRNA.

4.4. Dietary GEN Altered AS Relating to Immune Function and Metabolism. AS frequently occurs in eukaryotes. It can generate different mRNA transcript units for a gene, playing important roles in gene expression regulation. In total, we found AS rates with 57.83% of the reference genes in the chick libraries undergoing 3894 AS events. This is much lower than that reported for humans (86.0%) [45], but is higher than that reported for pigs (18.0%) [46] and rice (33.0%) [47]. This phenomenon may result from the differences between eukaryotes in the mechanisms of alternative splicing. In addition, the secondary structure of the precursor mRNA transcript also regulates splicing by bringing splicing elements together [48, 49]. More studies should be conducted to determine gene regulation in the GEN treatment, which shed light on the complexities of lipid metabolism and immune regulation and may provide useful references in addressing issues of affecting liver function and reproductive performance of LBB hens. We further used the genes with AS events to do functional clustering. It indicated that dietary GEN might influence the biological processes, including immune, metabolic process, and reproduction through AS. Meanwhile, the genes with AS were also enriched into molecular functions, including catalytic activity and transcription regulator activity. Although species-specific differences should be considered when comparing chicken with mammalian systems, the current findings appear to be consistent with conservation of lipid metabolism and immune processes in chicken and mammal after GEN treatment. The LBB hen liver transcriptome reported here could greatly broaden our understanding of the regulation and networks of gene expression related to immune and metabolic change after dietary GEN supplementation at different adding levels.

5. Conclusion

This study generated transcriptomic data using RNA-Seq technology, which will serve as important resource for revealing the mechanism of immune and metabolic change after GEN treatment. Differences in expressed genes were found between the LGE, HGE, and CON groups, including signaling pathways, splice isoforms, and IncRNAs. Dietary GEN improves the lipid metabolic status of laying hens during the late egg-laying period. 40 mg/kg dietary GEN had the better effects on improving immune function and laying performance, while 400 mg/kg GEN did negative regulation of hormone biosynthetic process and might upregulate NF-κB through Cis regulation of IncRNA. These findings provided scientific basis for the application of phytoestrogen in the animal feed.

Abbreviations

| Abbreviation | Description                  |
|--------------|------------------------------|
| ALB:         | Albumin                      |
| AS:          | Alternative splicing         |
| B cell:      | B lymphocyte                 |
| CAT:         | Catalase                     |
| CD3⁺ T:      | CD3⁺ T lymphocyte            |
| CD4⁺CD8⁻ T: | CD4⁺CD8⁻ T lymphocyte        |
| CD8⁺CD4⁻ T: | CD8⁺CD4⁻ T lymphocyte        |
| ConA SI:     | Concanavalin A stimulation index |
| EOS:         | Eosinophils                  |
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FFA: Free fatty acids
FPKMs: Fragments per kilo-base of exon per million fragments mapped
GEN: Genistein
GLB: Globulin
GLU: Glucose
GSH-PX: Glutathione peroxidase
HDLC/LDL: High/low-density lipoprotein cholesterol
IBD: Infectious bursa of Fabricius
KEGG: Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes
LBR: Laying breeder
LncRNAs: Long noncoding RNAs
LPS SI: Lipopolysaccharide stimulation index
MONO: Monocytes
ND: Newcastle disease
NEUT: Neutrophils
NRC: National Research Council
RNA-Seq: RNA sequencing
TAOC: Total antioxidative capability
T-SOD: Total superoxide dismutase
TC: Total cholesterol
TG: Total glyceride
WBC: White blood cell.

Data Availability

The data of metabolic indexes, blood routine indexes, immune indexes, antioxidative indexes, characteristics of the reads from 12 chick liver libraries, differential gene expression and functional enrichment, distribution of lncRNA and its relationship with genes, characteristic analysis of lncRNA transcripts, PANTHER classification, prediction of target genes of lncRNA Cis regulation, alternative splicing discovered by F-test, and PANTHER GO-Slim using genes with AS are included within the article. The data of diet composition, primers, RNA-Seq statistics, differentially expressed genes, complete list of GO terms-biological processes, PPI scores enriched by DEGs, and alternative splicing list used to support the findings of this study are included within the supplementary information files.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Authors’ Contributions

All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

We thank Prof. C. Ning from Shandong Agricultural University for assisting with the transcriptomic data analysis in this study. This study was financially supported by the Jiangsu Provincial Agricultural Independent Innovation Project, China (CX (18)2002), and the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2019M651867).

Supplementary Materials

Supplementary 1. Table S1: ingredients and analyzed chemical composition of the experimental diets.
Supplementary 2. Table S2: differentially expressed mRNA (LGE vs. CON).
Supplementary 3. Table S3: GO terms-biological processes enriched by DEGs between LGE and CON groups.
Supplementary 4. Table S4: PI scores enriched by DEGs between HGE and CON groups.
Supplementary 5. Table S5: differentially expressed LncRNAs between LGE and CON groups.
Supplementary 6. Table S6: the alternative splicing among the three groups using F-test.

References

[1] H. M. A. Abdelrazeek, M. M. A. Mahmoud, H. M. Tag, S. M. Greish, D. A. Eltamany, and M. T. A. Soliman, “Soy isoflavones ameliorate metabolic and immunological alterations of ovariecotomy in female Wistar rats: antioxidant and estrogen sparing potential,” Oxidative Medicine and Cellular Longevity, vol. 2019, Article ID 5713606, 13 pages, 2019.
[2] C. Viglietti-Panzica, E. Mura, and G. Panzica, “Effects of early embryonic exposure to genistein on male copulatory behavior and vasotocin system of Japanese quail,” Hormones and Behavior, vol. 51, no. 3, pp. 355–363, 2007.
[3] S. Chirumbolo, “Genistein as a nature-derived PPAR agonist in adipogenesis and weight gain,” European Journal of Nutrition, vol. 54, no. 3, pp. 489–491, 2015.
[4] Z. P. Lv, S. J. Yan, G. Li, D. Liu, and Y. M. Guo, “Genistein improves the reproductive performance and bone status of breeder hens during the late egg-laying period,” Poultry Science, vol. 7, no. 7, pp. 1–8, 2019.
[5] Z. Lv, H. Fan, B. Zhang, C. Ning, K. Xing, and Y. Guo, “Dietary genistein supplementation in laying breeder breeder hens alters the development and metabolism of offspring embryos as revealed by hepatic transcriptome analysis,” The FASEB Journal, vol. 32, no. 8, pp. 4214–4228, 2018.
[6] P. M. Munyaka, “Immunocompetence in young and old laying hens in response to dietary folic acid and Escherichia coli lipopolysaccharide challenge,” Poultry Science, vol. 91, no. 10, pp. 2454–2463, 2012.
[7] A. S. Ahmadi, M. Zaghari, M. Shirvazad, H. Hassanpour, and A. Towhidi, “Increase of hepatic nitric oxide levels in a nutritional model of fatty liver in broiler breeder hens,” African Journal of Biotechnology, vol. 9, no. 35, pp. 5775–5778, 2010.
[8] F. Haghighi-Rad and K. Polin, “The relationship of plasma estradiol and progesterone levels to the fatty liver hemorrhagic syndrome in laying hens,” Poultry Science, vol. 60, no. 10, pp. 2278–2283, 1981.
[9] D. Harman, “Aging: overview,” Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, vol. 928, no. 1, pp. 1–21, 2001.
[10] H.-L. Dong, X.-Y. Tang, Y.-Y. Deng et al., “Urinary equol, but not daidzein and genistein, was inversely associated with the risk of type 2 diabetes in Chinese adults,” European Journal of Nutrition, vol. 47, no. 5, pp. 1–10, 2019.
[11] H.-H. Shen, S.-Y. Huang, P.-Y. Cheng et al., "Involvement of HSP70 and HO-1 in the protective effects of raloxifene on multiple organ dysfunction syndrome by endotoxemia in ovariectomized rats," Menopause, vol. 24, no. 8, pp. 959–969, 2017.

[12] F. Squadrito, H. Marini, A. Bitto et al., "Genistein in the metabolic syndrome: results of a randomized clinical trial," The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism, vol. 98, no. 8, pp. 3366–3374, 2013.

[13] Z. Lv, K. Xing, G. Li, D. Liu, and Y. Guo, "Dietary genistein alleviates lipid metabolism disorder and inflammatory response in laying hens with fatty liver syndrome," Frontiers in Physiology, vol. 9, 2018.

[14] T. L. Guo, J. A. McCoy, L. X. Zhang et al., "Genistein modulates immune responses and increases host resistance to B16F10 tumor in adult female B6C3F1 mice," Journal of Nutrition, vol. 131, no. 12, pp. 3251–3258, 2001.

[15] S. Yellayi, A. Naaz, M. A. Szweczykowski et al., "The phytoestrogen genistein induces thymic and immune changes: a human health concern?," Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, vol. 99, no. 11, pp. 7616–7621, 2002.

[16] I. Ulitsky and D. P. Bartel, "lincRNAs: genomics, evolution, and mechanisms," Cell, vol. 154, no. 1, pp. 26–46, 2013.

[17] T. Chiyomaru, S. Yamamura, S. Fukuhara et al., "Genistein up-regulates tumor suppressor microRNA-574-3p in prostate cancer," PloS One, vol. 8, no. 3, article e58929, 2013.

[18] H. Fan, Z. Lv, L. Gan et al., "A novel lncRNA regulates the toll-like receptor signaling pathway and related immune function by stabilizing FOS mRNA as a competitive endogenous RNA," Frontiers in Immunology, vol. 10, p. 838, 2019.

[19] C. Schwerk and K. Schulze-Osthoff, "Regulation of apoptosis by alternative pre-mRNA splicing," Molecular Cell, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 1–13, 2005.

[20] N. Y. Wang, H. C. Lai, and L. C. Au, "Methods for enrichment of a mRNA isoform with specific alternative splicing," Analytical Biochemistry, vol. 359, no. 2, pp. 189–193, 2006.

[21] Z. Wang, M. Gerstein, and M. Snyder, "RNA-Seq: a revolutionary tool for transcriptomics," Nature Reviews Genetics, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 57–63, 2009.

[22] H. Fan, Z. Lv, L. Gan, and Y. Guo, "Transcriptomics-related mechanisms of supplementing laying broiler breeder hens with dietary daidzein to improve the immune function and growth performance of offspring," Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, vol. 66, no. 8, pp. 2049–2060, 2018.

[23] C. Trapnell, L. Pachter, and S. L. Salzberg, "TopHat: discovering splice junctions with RNA-Seq," Bioinformatics, vol. 25, no. 9, pp. 1105–1111, 2009.

[24] C. Trapnell, B. A. Williams, G. Pertea et al., "Transcript assembly and quantification by RNA-Seq reveals unannotated transcripts and isoform switching during cell differentiation," Nature Biotechnology, vol. 28, no. 5, pp. 511–515, 2010.

[25] O. Nikolayeva and M. D. Robinson, "edgeR for differential RNA-seq and ChIP-seq analysis: an application to stem cell biology," Methods in Molecular Biology, vol. 1150, pp. 45–79, 2014.

[26] Y. Liu, C. Liang, X. Liu et al., "AGEs increased migration and inflammatory responses of adventitial fibroblasts via RAGE, MAPK and NF-xB pathways," Atherosclerosis, vol. 208, no. 1, pp. 34–42, 2010.

[27] L. G. Bucciarelli, R. Ananthakrishnan, Y. C. Hwang et al., "RAGE and modulation of ischemic injury in the diabetic myocardium," Diabetes, vol. 57, no. 7, pp. 1941–1951, 2008.

[28] R. A. Egnatchik, A. K. Leamy, Y. Noguchi, M. Shiota, and J. D. Young, "Palmitate-induced activation of mitochondrial metabolism promotes oxidative stress and apoptosis in H4IIEC3 rat hepatocytes," Metabolism, vol. 63, no. 2, pp. 283–295, 2014.

[29] A. Jungbauer and S. Medjakovic, "Phytoestrogens and the metabolic syndrome," The Journal of Steroid Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, vol. 139, no. 1, pp. 277–289, 2014.

[30] K. S. Bramlett, Y. Wu, and T. P. Burris, "Ligands specify coactivator nuclear receptor (NR) box affinity for estrogen receptor subtypes," Molecular Endocrinology, vol. 15, no. 6, pp. 909–922, 2001.

[31] T. A. Ryan-Borchers, J. S. Park, B. P. Chew, M. K. McGuire, L. R. Fournier, and K. A. Beerman, "Soy isoflavones modulate immune function in healthy postmenopausal women," American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, vol. 83, no. 5, pp. 1118–1125, 2006.

[32] T. L. Guo, K. L. White Jr., R. D. Brown et al., "Genistein modulates splenic natural killer cell activity, antibody-forming cell response, and phenotypic marker expression in F1, and F2 generations of Sprague-Dawley rats," Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology, vol. 181, no. 3, pp. 219–227, 2002.

[33] A. S. Ibrahim, M. M. el-Shishaty, A. Peña Jr., and G. I. Liou, "Genistein attenuates retinal inflammation associated with diabetes by targeting of microglial activation," Molecular Vision, vol. 16, pp. 2033–2042, 2010.

[34] S. Incir, I. M. Bolayirli, O. Inan et al., "The effects of genistein supplementation on fructose induced insulin resistance, oxidative stress and inflammation," Life Sciences, vol. 158, pp. 57–62, 2016.

[35] T. R. Mosmann and S. Sad, "The expanding universe of T-cell subsets: Th1, Th2 and more," Immunology Today, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 138–146, 1996.

[36] D. Rachot, G. Rimoldi, and W. Wuttke, "In vitro effects of genistein and resveratrol on the production of interferon-γ (IFN-γ) and interleukin-10 (IL-10) by stimulated murine spleen cells," Phytomedicine, vol. 13, no. 6, pp. 419–424, 2006.

[37] A. A. Ganai and H. Farooqi, "Bioactivity of genistein: a review of in vitro and in vivo studies," Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy, vol. 76, pp. 30–38, 2015.

[38] Y. Wang, L. Ping, Z. Weifeng et al., "GEN-27, a newly synthetic isoflavonoid, inhibits the proliferation of colon cancer cells in inflammation microenvironment by suppressing NF-xB pathway," Mediators of Inflammation, vol. 2016, Article ID 2853040, 17 pages, 2016.

[39] D. M. Baxa and F. K. Yoshimura, "Genistein reduces NF-xB in T lymphoma cells via a caspase-mediated cleavage of IkBα," Biochemical Pharmacology, vol. 66, no. 6, pp. 1009–1018, 2003.

[40] L. K. Mosavi, T. J. Cammett, D. C. Desrosiers, and Z. Y. Peng, "The ankyrin repeat as molecular architecture for protein recognition," Protein Science, vol. 13, no. 6, pp. 1435–1448, 2004.

[41] J. Li, A. Mahajan, and M. D. Tsai, "Ankyrin repeat: a unique motif mediating protein-protein interactions," Biochemistry, vol. 45, no. 51, pp. 15168–15178, 2006.

[42] S. P. Collier, P. L. Collins, C. L. Williams, M. R. Boothby, and T. M. Aune, "Cutting edge: influence of Tnemvp1, a long intergenic noncoding RNA, on the expression of Ifng by Th1 cells," Journal of Immunology, vol. 189, no. 5, pp. 2084–2088, 2012.
[43] L. Kular, J. Pakradouni, P. Kitabgi, M. Laurent, and C. Martinerie, "The CCN family: a new class of inflammation modulators?," *Biochimie*, vol. 93, no. 3, pp. 377–388, 2011.

[44] J.-I. Jun, K.-H. Kim, and L. F. Lau, "The matricellular protein CCN1 mediates neutrophil efferocytosis in cutaneous wound healing," *Nature Communications*, vol. 6, no. 1, article 7386, 2015.

[45] E. T. Wang, R. Sandberg, S. Luo et al., "Alternative isoform regulation in human tissue transcriptomes," *Nature*, vol. 456, no. 7221, pp. 470–476, 2008.

[46] C. Chen, H. Ai, J. Ren et al., "A global view of porcine transcriptome in three tissues from a full-sib pair with extreme phenotypes in growth and fat deposition by paired-end RNA sequencing," *BMC Genomics*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 448–448, 2011.

[47] G. Zhang, G. Guo, X. Hu et al., "Deep RNA sequencing at single base-pair resolution reveals high complexity of the rice transcriptome," *Genome Research*, vol. 20, no. 5, pp. 646–654, 2010.

[48] D. C. Reid, B. L. Chang, S. I. Gunderson, L. Alpert, W. A. Thompson, and W. G. Fairbrother, "Next-generation SELEX identifies sequence and structural determinants of splicing factor binding in human pre-mRNA sequence," *RNA*, vol. 15, no. 12, pp. 2385–2397, 2009.

[49] M. B. Warf and J. A. Berglund, "Role of RNA structure in regulating pre-mRNA splicing," *Trends in Biochemical Sciences*, vol. 35, no. 3, pp. 169–178, 2010.