Study on Mechanical Behaviour of Hybrid Composites
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Abstract

Composite containing more than one type of fiber is known as hybrid composites. Natural fibers and artificial fibers can be used for fabrication of Hybrid composites. Hybrid composites will give mechanical properties than fiber reinforced composites. The element of fibers in Hybrid composite, the elements of fibers can be changed in different ways leading to variation in its properties. For preparing the hybrid composites using different fibres reinforced with matrix. Hybrid composite has wider applications across industries such as aerospace, automobiles, Marine etc.

In this paper, fabrication of hybrid composites is done manually using hand layup method. It is then subjected to a compressive load for thorough distribution of resin in respective lamina. The fabricated composite is tested for its flexural and tensile properties. The result obtained is further analyzed for the study of the material fabricated.
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1. Introduction

The advantages of composite are submarines and board warships, ability to be molded into complex shapes, high strength to weight ratio, improve EMI performance, absence of corrosion pullitatives which or else are resource for electronic and magnetic mark. Composite material prepared for epoxy resins and E-Glass fibers have developed into very admired as a radome material due to its stupendous intelligibility toward microwave and having good mechanical properties.

The increasing popularity of the material for underwater application are posing great difficulties to the designer to select right combination of composition & shape of radome due to the complex surroundings of the structure and the loading conditions for the useful operation life. Composite materials are prejudiced by several processes due to mechanical properties. Carry out the test on regular specimens and estimate mechanical properties are the mainly imperative characteristic in design of amalgamated material applications. The crash mechanism and micro-mechanics of composite material is extremely mixed compare to the predictable isotropic materials. Depending on the reinforcement, symphony satisfied & its entitlement, appropriate theory & failure mechanism can be considered for deceitful the random finished of E-Glass epoxy composite.

The statement composite resoures combination of two or supplementary distinct parts. Thus a material has two or more chemically dissimilar constituent or phases, on macro scale, having a distinct interface separating them, may be measured a composite material. Only when the element phases have significantly dissimilar physical properties and thus the composite properties are noticeably different from the constituent properties that we have come to distinguish these materials as composites, composites, frequently referred to as current structural composites are a combine of two or more components, one of which is made up of rigid, long fibers, and the other, a binder or matrix which holds the fibers in place. The fibers are rigid and strong relation to the matrix and are generally orthotropic (having different properties in two different directions). The fiber, for complex structural composites, is stretched, with aspect ratio (length to diameter ratios) of more than 100.

2. Methodology

2.1. Manufacturing Process of the Composite Laminate

2.1.1. Material Required

| S.No | Materials                | Quantity |
|------|--------------------------|----------|
| 1    | Fiber                    | 1863.2g  |
| 2    | Epoxy Resin LY-556       | 804.64g  |
| 3    | Hardner HY-556           | 1000g    |
| 4    | Flyash                   | 21.12g   |
| 5    | Teflon Sheet (1*1)m      | 1        |
| 6    | Measuring Jar            | 2        |
| 7    | Stirring Rod             | 1        |
| 8    | Gloves                   | 8        |
| 9    | Portable Weighting Machine| 1      |
| 10   | Weights                  |          |
| 11   | Scissors                 | 1        |
2.1.2. Determination of essential Epoxy Resin and Glass Fiber:

Generally for measure the required amount of materials for manufacturing composite laminate, we need an electronic weighing machine which measure exactly in grams. In this paper we are using dissimilar ratios of glass fiber to epoxy resin.

Table 1: Ratios of Glass Fiber to Epoxy resin and Fly ash

| S.No. | Ratio of Glass Fiber | Ratio of Epoxy Resin | Ratio of Fly Ash |
|-------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 1     | 60%                 | 40%                 | 0%              |
| 2     | 60%                 | 39%                 | 1%              |
| 3     | 60%                 | 37%                 | 3%              |
| 4     | 60%                 | 35%                 | 5%              |

For influential the exact weight of the material, we necessitate to find out the density of the glass fiber. Density formulae can be given as

\[ d = \frac{m}{v} \]

Where \( d \) = density
\( m \) = mass
\( v \) = volume

Density of fiber = 0.97 g/cm\(^3\), density of matrix = 1.125 g/cm\(^3\), density of Fly ash = 1.2 g/cm\(^3\).

Volume of the composite = Volume of fiber + Volume of Matrix

Volume of Fiber = 60% of Vol. of Composite

= 60 \times (450/100) = 270 cm\(^3\)

Hence mass of fiber = Vol. of Fiber \times Density of fiber

= 270 \times 0.97 = 261.9g

2.1.3. Preparation of Mould

For making the test specimen, composit laminates are prepared on a wooden mould of dimensions 30\(^\times\) 30 cm\(^2\) as shown in fig.

2.1.4. Cutting Glass Fiber

Glass fiber have to be cut into more than a few layers each of dimensions 250\(^\times\)250 mm so that it will exactly fit into the mould. Normal blade can be used to cut the glass fiber, and the weight can be measured by electronic weighing machine.

3. Results

3.1. Testing of Specimen

Specimen use for testing must be cut into two different shapes for different tests. For tensile test it should be in dimensions of 250*25*2mm for flexural test it should be in dimensions of 250 * 25*2mm

i) Tensile Test Results

| Fiber % | Resin % | Flyash% | Ultimate Strength I N/mm\(^2\) |
|---------|---------|---------|------------------------------|
| 60      | 40      | 0       | 81.869                       |
| 60      | 39      | 1       | 96.825                       |
| 60      | 37      | 3       | 68.954                       |
| 60      | 35      | 5       | 67.321                       |

Graph 1: Tensile test Analysis
ii) Flexural Test Results:

| Fiber % | Resin % | Flyash % | Flexural Strength in N/mm² |
|---------|---------|----------|---------------------------|
| 60      | 40      | 0        | 22.13                     |
| 60      | 39      | 1        | 25.32                     |
| 60      | 37      | 3        | 20.24                     |
| 60      | 35      | 5        | 25.47                     |

4. Conclusions

1. The composite material shows the percentage increase in fly ash up to 1% with higher tensile strength. The matter with little percentage of fly ash and high percentage of epoxy has high tensile strength. And the material with high percentage of fly ash and low percentage of epoxy has less tensile strength.
2. The composite material possesses high strength in the compositions of 0% and 1% of fly ash than that of 3% and 5% of fly ash.
3. The composite material shows high flexural strength by means of percentage increase in fly ash up to 1%. The material with low percentage of fly ash and high percentage of epoxy has high flexural strength. And the material with high percentage of fly ash and low percentage of epoxy has low flexural strength.
4. The composite material possesses high strength in the standard compositions of 0% and 1% fly ash than that of 3% and 5% fly ash.
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