A study of visco assisted mechanical pupil stretching for nucleus prolapse in cases of non or mid dilating pupil during small incision cataract surgery

Sandhya Ramachandra¹, Smitha Shyam Kumar¹,∗

¹ Dept. of Ophthalmology, Sri Devaraj Urs Medical College, Tamka, Kolar, Karnataka, India

ABSTRACT

Purpose: To evaluate the efficacy of visco assisted mechanical pupil stretching for nucleus prolapse in cases of non or mid dilating pupil during small incision cataract surgery in a medical teaching tertiary hospital.

Materials and Methods: This prospective study of 30 patients with mid/non dilating pupil after thorough pre operative evaluation underwent cataract surgery using the technique of visco assisted mechanical pupil stretching.

Results: 61-70 years was the major presenting age group; majority of them were females. 60% of patients had senile immature cataract. 46.6% of eyes showed poor pharmacological mydriasis with pupil size ranging between 3-4mm. In 43% pupillary diameter improved 4.6-5mm following 6 point stretch pupilloplasty and 76.6% had pupil dimension of 4.1-5mm post visco elastic stretching. Sphincterotomies were performed in 16.6% of subjects.

Conclusion: A substantial increase in size of pupillary aperture was seen following surgical strategy of stretch pupilloplasty and visco elastic stretching with minimal intraoperative complications. This technique is hence an alternative which can be aptly followed as a cost cutting measure in low socio economic scenarios.
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1. Introduction

Clouding of the human crystalline lens with aging, resulting in cataract is the most common cause of decreased vision.¹ Cataract surgery is the most common elective surgery, small incision cataract surgery being the cheap and effective choice¹² done across the country under NPCB. Small incision cataract surgery (SICS), the commonest for cataract performed in a rural tertiary care medical college teaching hospital like ours,³ may be fraught with deterents which result in suboptimal conditions for an ideal cataract surgery.

Mid dilating pupil because of pseudoexfoliation, glaucoma, uveitis, previous ocular surgeries⁴ is a common challenge which restricts access to the surgical field during cataract surgery. A small pupil can predispose to intra and post-operative complications such as anterior capsular tear, posterior capsular rupture vitreous loss, retained lens material, increased inflammation, irregular pupil shape,⁴⁵ and such cases require an extra edge of caution by operating surgeon.

Devices that help in mechanical mydriasis like iris hook, Malyugin ring, Healon⁵–⁷ have revolutionized management of small pupil intra operatively. These add an additional cost and owing to socio economical restrictions may not be affordable to rural patients. As an alternative, visco assisted mechanical pupil stretching can be done to prolapse the nucleus into anterior chamber in cases of non dilating or mid dilating pupil during small incision cataract surgery. This is aimed to evaluate the effectiveness of this study.
2. Materials and Methods

This is a prospective study of 30 patients (males and females) aged 50-70 years, admitted for free cataract eye surgery in a medical college hospital in a rural setting. Pupillary size of 3-5mm after 2 attempts of pharmacological mydriasis was defined as mid dilating pupil. No change in the pupillary size was considered as non dilating pupil. Only patients with clear cornea, normal anterior chamber depth on slit lamp examination fit for topical anesthesia were recruited. Patients with pseudoexfoliation, posterior synechiae and systemic comorbidities such as diabetes and hypertension were included in the study.

Exclusion criteria included: pupillary diameters of 5mm or more, corneal opacity secondary to inflammatory or degenerative conditions, signs of uveitis, glaucoma, and posterior segment disease. History of topical use of miotics, alpha blockers (Tamsulosin) and trauma were considered in exclusion criteria.

After taking a written and informed consent as per Helsinki protocol, pre-operative evaluation comprised of visual acuity, slit lamp examination -cornea, anterior chamber, iris, pupil, lens, Goldmann applanation tonometry, fundus examination with 90 D, A-scan and B-scan. Patients were prepared for cataract surgery after following the hospital protocol. Ringer lactate (500ml) containing 0.5 ml of 1:1000 preservative free Adrenaline was used for the irrigation in all cases.

Pupillary dilatation was attempted by instillation of mydriatic eye drops at 0 and 20 min pre operatively. Horizontal and vertical diameter values of pupil were measured with Castroviejo’s calipers. The first reading was taken before peribulbar block. All surgeries were performed by the same surgeon and as per the standard surgical institutional protocol.

After peritomy and supero-temporal sclerocorneal tunnel (5mm), anterior capsular staining with Trypan blue (under air), 6 point pupil stretching was done using Sinskey IOL dialer under visco (5mm), 6 point pupil stretching was done using Sinskey IOL (5mm), anterior capsular staining with Trypan blue (under air), 6 point pupil stretching was done using Sinskey IOL dialer under visco (5mm), anterior capsular staining with Trypan blue (under air), 6 point pupil stretching was done using Sinskey IOL dialer under visco. Maximum permissible continuous curvilinear capsulorhexis was done. When the pupil failed to stretch upto atleast 5mm, visco assisted stretch pupilloplasty was done. Visco was injected under the pupillary margin if the pupil was found to be rigid. Pupillary size was then measured after the maneuvers.

Visco synechiolysis was done in cases with posterior synechiae by sweeping a cannula circumferentially and parallel between the iris and lens by avoiding injury to anterior capsule. Complications due to overstretching of pupil such as bleeding, astatic pupil, chronic inflammation, cystoid macular edema, pigment deposition, pupillary intraocular lens capture, floppy iris syndrome were kept in mind and minimum manipulations were done. Floppy iris syndrome was identified with characteristic features of iris billowing, prolapse and progressive intraoperative miosis.

When the above attempts failed, microsphincterotomies (3-5 in number) were performed with Vannas scissors to make the pupil pliant. Also, small capsulorhexis was enlarged by making four radial cuts. The nucleus was then engaged with 26 G needle and gently rotated by hydro free dissection and manual freeing. Nucleus was then dialed into anterior chamber and removed by bimanual technique. Hydro dissection was done whenever pupillary dilatation permitted visualization of red glow. Bleeding when present was controlled by injecting air and by careful pressure and withdrawal of instruments. The state of pupillary dilatation was noted. Cortical wash was given followed by in the bag IOL implantation. Anterior chamber was reformed and subconjunctival injection of antibiotic and steroid was given. Patching was done with regular post op instructions. All patients were put on standard post op regime and follow up as per hospital protocol.

Following parameters were recorded: 1. Pupil diameter-post pharmacological dilatation, post 6 point stretch pupilloplasty or post visco elastic stretching. 2. Whether pupillary dilatation was maintained till- nucleus prolapse, cortical wash, IOL implantation. 3. Intra operative complications such as floppy iris, hyphaema, disruption bag/PC rent and the total duration of surgery.

3. Observation

A prospective study was conducted in patients admitted for cataract surgery with mid or non dilating pupil in medical college hospital.

Table 1: Age and gender distribution of patients studied

| Age in years | Males | Females |
|--------------|-------|---------|
| 50-60        | -     | 2       |
| 61-70        | 7     | 10      |
| 71-80        | 5     | 5       |
| 81-90        | -     | 1       |
| Total        | 12    | 18      |

Table 2: Type of cataract seen

| Type of cataract | No. |
|------------------|-----|
| Immature cataract| 18  |
| Mature cataract   | 12  |
| Total             | 30  |

This study showed that 60% of patients had senile immature cataract of mixed variety comprising of posterior subcapsular, nuclear sclerosis and cortical cataract. 3% of patients showed pseudoexfoliation and 10% had posterior synechiae.

Post pharmacological dilatation: 46.6% of eyes showed poor mydriasis with pupil size ranging between 3-4mm. Following 6 point stretch pupilloplasty, it was observed that...
in 43% pupillary diameter increased to 4.6 – 5mm. In 76.6% of patients pupil diameter was found between 4.1-5mm post visco elastic stretching.

Pupillary dilatation was maintained on an average upto 3 minutes post 6 point stretch pupilloplasty facilitating subsequent surgical steps. However in 16.6%, pupil failed to stretch and in such cases sphincterotomies was resorted to. Intra operative complications seen were miosis (13.3%), floppy iris (6.6%), hyphaema and posterior capsular tear (3.3%each). Post operatively transient corneal edema was the most common complication (33.3%) seen in the study. On an average total duration of surgery was noted to be around 11 minutes.

4. Results

This study included a total of 50 patients having mid/non dilating pupil. Most common presenting age group was 61-70 years, majority being females. Pseudoexfoliation followed by posterior synechiae was the most common attributable etiological factors. A substantial increase in size of pupillary aperture was seen following stretch pupilloplasty and visco assisted mydriasis for nucleus delivery by achieving better pupillary dilatation, augment the visualization and improve the pliance of the pupil without much deviation from the standard surgical techniques. It was observed that in 43% of our patients pupillary diameter was increased to 4.6 – 5mm post 6 point stretch pupilloplasty. Similar inference was drawn by few other researchers also.\(^\text{18,19}\) In 43.3% patients pupillary dilatation 4.6-5 mm was achieved post visco elastic stretching.\(^\text{20,21}\)

Miosis was the most common intraoperative complication observed following nucleus delivery (seen in all dense cataract) which may be attributable to intraoperative manipulations.\(^\text{22}\) In dense cataracts engaging the nucleus by fishhook technique was facilitated by 6 point stretch pupilloplasty and viscodissection. IFIS although associated with use of Tamsulosin, can be present otherwise also. In our study iris billowing and floppiness, tendency to prolapse into the incision and progressive intraoperative miosis was noticed.\(^\text{10}\) This may be avoided by gentle hydrodissection, lowering the irrigation inflow rate, directing the irrigation currents away from pupillary margin and strategic use of visco elastics to weigh the iris down.

5. Discussion

A small pupil with its associated complications often poses a challenge for a cataract surgeon in developing countries, the reason may be diverse and difficult to categorize. A small pupil is the one which does not dilate adequately in response to conventional mydriatics and is usually < 5 mm in size.\(^\text{11}\)

The profile of patients with non and mid dilating pupil showed highest incidence (56.6%) in age group of 61-70 years; with female preponderance (60%), conforming to other studies.\(^\text{12,13}\) 43.3% of patients showed pseudoexfoliation and 10% had posterior synechiae contributing towards poor pupillary dilatation as evidenced by other studies.\(^\text{14,15}\) Pharmacological dilatation using topical mydriatics can be helpful to some extent in managing small pupil during cataract surgery; limitations being insufficient mydriasis, ocular and systemic side effects.\(^\text{4,16}\)

Higher cost and technical difficulties with the use of mechanical stretching devices like iris hooks, Malyugin rings, along with possible complications like bleeding, permanent loss of iris sphincter function and abnormal pupil shape post operatively\(^\text{5,8,17}\) support the practice of maneuvers described in this study. These are cost effective, time saving and can be practiced by experienced surgeons/beginners alike.

In this study we attempted to maneuver mid or non dilating pupil with technique of 6 point stretch pupilloplasty and/or visco assisted mydriasis for nucleus delivery by achieving better pupillary dilatation, augment the visualization and improve the pliance of the pupil without much deviation from the standard surgical techniques. It was observed that in 43% of our patients pupillary diameter was increased to 4.6 – 5mm post 6 point stretch pupilloplasty. Similar inference was drawn by few other researchers also.\(^\text{18,19}\) In 43.3% patients pupillary dilatation 4.6-5 mm was achieved post visco elastic stretching.\(^\text{20,21}\)

Miosis was the most common intraoperative complication observed following nucleus delivery (seen in all dense cataract) which may be attributable to intraoperative manipulations.\(^\text{22}\) In dense cataracts engaging the nucleus by fishhook technique was facilitated by 6 point stretch pupilloplasty and viscodissection. IFIS although associated with use of Tamsulosin, can be present otherwise also. In our study iris billowing and floppiness, tendency to prolapse into the incision and progressive intraoperative miosis was noticed.\(^\text{10}\) This may be avoided by gentle hydrodissection, lowering the irrigation inflow rate, directing the irrigation currents away from pupillary margin and strategic use of visco elastics to weigh the iris down.

| Table 3: Etiological factors for small pupil |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Type of cataract | Pseudoexfoliation | Posterior synechiae |
| Immature        | 9               | 1               |
| Mature          | 4               | 2               |
| Total           | 13              | 3               |

| Table 4: Pupillary dimensions at various perioperative time points |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Pupil size      | Post pharmacological dilatation | Post 6 point stretch pupilloplasty | Post visco elastic stretching |
| 3-3.5mm         | 2               | 2               | 1               |
| 3.6-4mm         | 12              | 2               | 1               |
| 4.1-4.5mm       | 6               | 8               | 10              |
| 4.6-5mm         | 10              | 13              | 13              |
| 5.1-5.5mm       | -               | 5               | 5               |
| Total           | 30              | 30              | 30              |
In this study, gentle and minimal instrumentation, judicial use of ocular viscoelastic devices helped in managing mid/non dilating pupil, maintaining the anterior chamber and avoiding iris prolapse. Zonular dialysis due to extension of anterior capsular tear, posterior capsular rupture and vitreous loss\textsuperscript{5,22} can occur in cases of non/dilating pupil during nucleus delivery if any of these maneuvers are done vigorously and repetitively.

Strategic management of small pupil is imperative for a successful visual rehabilitation after cataract surgery and the focus must be upon avoiding post operative complications such as corneal edema, striate keratopathy, anterior chamber inflammatory response, severe uveitis, retained cortical matter and altered pupil shape.\textsuperscript{5,22}

6. Conclusion

A surgical strategy of visco assisted mechanical pupil stretching in non or mid dilating pupil to mobilize the nucleus during small incision cataract surgery is an inexpensive maneuver which can be easily adapted by ophthalmic surgeons for low cost cataract surgeries. Stretch pupilloplasty technique can effectively expand the pupil in cases of poor pharmacological mydriasis associated with pseudoexfoliation and posterior synechiae, enabling the surgeon to accomplish this tacky cataract surgery with minimal resolvable complications. Flexibility and resourcefulness can compensate for expensive surgical aids, to a great extent if not fully. A thorough understanding of the underlying pathophysiology is most essential, surgeon variable and can be developed with experience. Limitations of the study were smaller sample size and short follow up. Authors would like to acknowledge all the subjects participated in the study.

7. Abbreviations in the order of occurrence

NPCB: National Programme for Control of Blindness
SICS: Small incision cataract surgery
IOL: Intra ocular lens
PC: Posterior capsule
IFIS: Intraoperative floppy iris syndrome
SICS: Small incision cataract surgery
IOL: Intra ocular lens
NPCB: National Programme for Control of Blindness
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