Effect of *Aeromonas hydrophila* infection with high and normal water temperature on total hemocyte count, total plasma protein and histopathological differences in freshwater crayfish *Astacus leptodactylus*
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Abstract

In this study, the effect of water temperature on pathogenesis of *Aeromonas hydrophila* in freshwater Crayfish *Astacus leptodactylus* were investigated. In our study, The treatments Crayfish have exposed to *A. hydrophila* with concentration of \(3 \times 10^6\) CFU ml\(^{-1}\) in high water temperature (25°C) and normal water temperature(10-15°C). The untreated control groups in high water temperature (25°C) and normal water temperature (10-15°C) were disinfected by oxytetracyclin at concentration of 100 ppm for 24 hours. The haemolymph samples were withdrawn for measuring of THC and TPP. For histopathological study, the Crayfish samples were fixed in Davidson fixative. The results showed that the value of THC in control group1 in comparing with control group2 and two other treatments at 48h after experiment time were significant \((p<0.05)\). Also the difference of THC value between control group 2 with treatment group2 at 144h after experiment time was significant \((p<0.05)\). The finding of TPP value showed that there was significant difference between control group1 and treatment4 in last time after experiment time (336h) \((p<0.05)\). In infected Crayfish to bacteria with high temperature, red and black spots and also wound were observed. The result of histopathology in heptopancreas, gill, heart and digestive tract showed hemocyte aggregation, necrotized tissue, dead cells within pyknosis and karyorrhexis of nucleus and vaculation of hepatopancreas. In treatment group1 and control group1 no pathological changes of heart were observed. In digestive tract no changes were appeared in treatment1 and two others control group, but aggregation of hemocyte with pyknosis of nuclei was revealed in concentration of \(3 \times 10^6\) CFU ml\(^{-1}\) with high temperature.
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Introduction

Bacterial infections have been reported in freshwater crayfish, often associated with the exoskeleton, enteric tract and hemolymph (Quaglio et al., 2006). Studies showed that both gram-negative and gram-positive bacteria have been isolated from crayfish hemolymph. The most frequently reported Gram-negative genera are *Pseudomonas*, *Aeromonas*, *Acinetobacter*, *Flavobacterium* and *Vibrio micrococcus* and *Staphylococcus* are the most often reported Gram-positive genera (Scott and Thune, 1986; Wong et al., 1995; Madetoja and Jussila, 1996). Among these species, *Aeromonas* spp are opportunistic pathogens causing a wide range of conditions including septicemia, meningitis and localized wound infections in susceptible hosts (Blair et al., 1999). The members of the genus *Aeromonas* are chemoorganotrophic facultative anaerobes demonstrating both respiratorh and fermentative metabolism. These bacteria are Gram-negative bacilli of 0.3-1 μm diameter and 1-3.5 μm length which are usually present singly, in pairs or in short chains (Blair et al., 1999). *A. hydrophila* is a member of the family Aeromonadaceae and in only one of six species, *Aeromonas* species, that are known to be pathogenic in humans. It can cause both intestinal and nonintestinal infections in human and can often be fatal (Carnahan and Altwegg, 1996; Adamski et al., 2006). *A. hydrophila* is widespread in nature and is usually found in freshwater, brackishwater, moist oil, and nonfecal organic material (Ko and Chuang, 1995). Under condition of stress, it is even likely that some strains of motile aeromonads that are ordinarily part of the normal gut flora become pathogenic. These bacteria are found associated with black spot necrosis in juvenile and adult Macrobrachium spp. As well (Brady and Lassodelavega, 1992). The pathogenesis of *A. hydrophila* has been reported to involve a variety of biologically active extracellular products (Ecps) and enzymes including hemolysins, cytotoxins, enterotoxins and proteases. Which are believed to be associated with the virulence of *A. hydrophila* (Allan and Stevenson, 1981; Ljungh and Wadstron, 1981; Pansare et al., 1986). Studies showed that severity of disease is influenced by a number of interrelated factors, including bacterial virulence, the kind and degree of stress exerted on a population of fish, the physiologic condition of the host, and the degree of genetic resistance inherent within specific population of fishes (Cipriano, 2001). The distribution of *A. hydrophila* in many aquatic systems globally indicates the successful adaptation of the bacterium to such environments (Daskalov, 2006). *A. hydrophila*
infection is the scourge of fresh and warm water fish farming worldwide and is considered as a significant economic problem (Rahman et al., 2001; Hu et al., 2005). The bacterium is of scientific and economic interest because of its pathogenicity to human and fishes (Austin et al., 1998; Elwitigala et al., 2005). Normally, crayfish hemolymph is free of bacteria (Alderman and Polglase, 1988), but under poor conditions, e.g. high density, lack food or poor water quality, bacterial infections in otherwise healthy crayfish may occur (Vey, 1977). The bacterial infections can be taken as indications of decreased immune resistance and thus poor condition of the crayfish (Alderman and Polglase, 1988). Studies showed that the freshwater crayfish mounts an immune system against pathogens through the use of both humoral and cellular responses to activate multiple cellular and humoral response including melanization, blood coagulation, the production of AMPs, and the phagocytosis/encapsulation of invading microorganisms by hemocytes (Liu, 2008). Crayfish hemocytes play important roles in the initiation of several immune response and production of antimicrobial peptides (Jiravanichpaisal et al., 2007). Researches indicated that proteins are major contributors to hemolymph density (Lorenzon et al., 2011).

Blood protein levels fluctuate with changes in environmental and physiological conditions and play fundamental roles in the physiology of crustaceans from O2 transport to reproduction up to stress responses (Hagerman, 1983; Lorenzon et al., 2008). In fact, moulting, reproduction, nutritional state, infection, hypoxia, and salinity variations are the major factors affecting the relative proportions and total quantities of the hemolymph proteins (Depledge and Bjerregaard, 1989; Arcos et al., 2003). The level of total protein in the hemolymph is also depending on the species, with highest concentration observed in penaeid and lower ones in freshwater Crayfish (Shafir et al., 1992; Palacios et al., 2000; Da silva- Castiglioni et al., 2007; Buckup et al., 2008). The reports indicated that Total Hemocyte Count (THC) and Total Plasma Protein (TPP) can fluctuate due to crustacean diseases (Rodrigues and Lemoullac, 2000). Histopathology studies on freshwater Crayfish A. leptodactylus and P. leniusculus with A. hydrophila infection demonstrated necrotic tissue with pyknotic nuclei and clump-infiltrated hemocyte in the gill, heart, hepatopancreas and circulatory system (Jiravanichpaisal et al., 2009; Samcookiyaei et al., 2011). The aim of this study was to determine the total hemocyte count (THC), total plasma protein (TPP) and histopathological differences in
the Crayfish *A. leptodactylus* due to *A. hydrophila* with high and normal water temperature.

**Materials and methods**

**Collection, Management and Adaptation of samples:**

Two–hundred and forty freshwater Crayfish, *A. leptodactylus* with average weight of 25–40g were purchased from Aras dam reservoir in West Azerbaijan province and transported to Iranian Artemia Research Center of Urmia city in September 2010. Before experiment, the Crayfish were acclimated in the laboratory for ten days. During the adaptation and experiment time, crayfish were fed one time daily with commercial diet (trout, Biomar and Blood warm). Water of Crayfish aquarium was changed one time daily and the uneaten food was cleaned up regularly. During the study, water temperature, pH and dissolved oxygen were recorded. $15\pm1^\circ\text{C}$, 7-8 and 5-5.5 ppm, respectively.

**Isolation of Aeromonas hydrophila**

Many of infected Crayfish from Aras dam reservoir were used for isolation of bacteria. Haemolymph sample had been gathered from infected Crayfish with cutting their antennules and transferred to TSA medium (tryptic soy agar). Then haemolymph specimens cultured on TSA for 24h at 25 $^\circ\text{C}$. After 24h of incubation The many of colonies of bacteria had been grown in TSA. Eventually, *A. hydrophila* were determined in order to biochemical test. Also Rimler Shotts Agar, specific medium, was used for confirmation of the bacteria. Cell densities were photometrically obtained at 590nm wavelength (Saulnier et al., 2000), based on the Mcfarland standard and serially diluted to reach the density of $3\times10^6$ CFU ml$^{-1}$.

**Organization of groups**

This experiment was designed in two group treatments (Numbers 3 and 4) and two controls (Numbers 1 and 2). About 12 glass aquarium were designed for transportation of crayfish and Crayfish were transported in these glass aquarium in triplicate with 20 crayfish in each glass aquarium in order to temperature and concentration of bacteria. The control groups (1 and 2) were prepared without any bacteria and were disinfected by oxytetracyclin antibiotic at concentration of 100 ppm for 24 hours. Treatments and repetitions in individual containers have exposed for 2 h by *A. hydrophila* and no water changed during the exposure time. Two hours after challenge, the Crayfish samples finally washed with chlorinated and disinfected water and transferred to glass Aquarium.
**Haemolymph sampling**

Haemolymph was obtained from the haemocoel second belly segment of Crayfish with using from 25 gauge needle and 1 ml syringe. For preventing coagulation, haemolymph sample were mixed 1 to 1 with an anticoagulant solution (Smith and Soderhall, 1983) (26 mm citric Acid, 30 mm trisodium citrate, 10 mm EDTA (Ethylene diamine tetra-acetic acid) (pH=5.4). Haemolymph specimen transported in differential gamma plastic tubes for study THC and TPP and maintained in cold box for time analysis. The haemolymph samples (One sample for each glass aquarium) were withdrawn from crayfish for measuring of THC and TPC within interval hours 2, 6, 12, 24, 48, 96, 144, 240 and 336 after exposure to concentration of bacteria. In this study, implementation of moribund and diseased Crayfish was mostly sampled.

**Analysis of total hemocyte count**

Hemocytometer was used for study of hemocytes. A drop of the anticoagulant-haemolymph mixture was transferred on a hemocytometer and the rate of THC (total hemocyte count) measured by the light microscope (Jiang et al., 2004).

**Analysis of total Plasma Protein**

Haemolymph samples were centrifuged at 2900×g at 4°C for 10 minutes. Then a drop of centrifuged samples was transferred in special cups of analysis and total plasma protein (TPP) concentration was measured according to a Hitachi 902 auto analyzer by the Biuret-method at 520-560 nm wavelengths (Silverman and Christenson, 1996).

**Histopathology**

Tissues samples (heart, gill, haepatopancreas and digestive tube) were also collected at 2, 6, 12, 24, 48, 96, 144, 240 and 336 hours after exposure time. The crayfish tissues were then fixed in Davidsons fixative for 48 hours and then transferred to 70% ethylic alcohol. After this time The tissue samples were processed for histopathological study by Bell and Lighthner (1988) and sections were prepared for H&E staining and viewed under light microscopy.

**Statistical analysis**

A one–way ANOVA test was used to compare the differences of THC and TPP value among treatments and control groups at confidence interval of 95% (p<0.05). Multiple comparisons along with the Tukey HSD post hoc test was conducted to show that at least a group has a significant difference with other Groups while the P value indicated the value lower than 0.05. All Statistical tests were evaluated using the Version. 18 SPSS computer software.
Results
1: THC changes in treatment and control groups after challenging time with A. hydrophila
Finding showed (Table 1 and Fig. 1) that the value of THC in Control group (1) (temperature = 10-15 °C) at 48h after experiment time in comparison with control group (2) (temperature=25 °C) and two others treatment (3×10^6 CFU ml^-1, Temperature=10-15 °C (3) and 3×10^6 CFU ml^-1, temperature = 25 °C (4)) was significant and the value of THC in these groups in comparing with control group1 significantly decreased (p<0.05). The value of THC between control group (2) and treatment (4) (3×10^6 CFU ml^-1, Temperature=25 °C) was also significant at 144h after expouser time and the value of THC in treatment (4) (3×10^6 CFU ml^-1, Temperature=25 °C) in compare to control group 2 (temperature=25 °C) significantly increased (p<0.05). In other times, no significant difference in THC was observed between treatments together and treatments with control groups (p>0.05). In our study, also the highest mean value of THC was 119×10^4 CFU ml^-1 in control group 1 at 12h after experiment time and the lowest mean value of THC was 9×10^4 CFU ml^-1 in control group 2 at 144h after experiment time.

Table1: The mean and standard deviation of THC at difference hours after challenging to Aeromonas hydrophila in control and treatment groups THC result (Hemocyte ×10^4).

| Times (hour) | 2 | 6 | 12 | 24 | 48 | 96 | 144 | 240 | 336 |
|-------------|---|---|----|----|----|----|-----|-----|-----|
| Groups      | Means±SD | Means±SD | Means±SD | Means±SD | Means±SD | Means±SD | Means±SD | Means±SD | Means±SD |
| Control 1   | 34±17.34 | 90.33±45.78 | 119±72.38 | 43.33±23.02 | *72.33±15.04 | 39.67±19.50 | 25±7.21 | 80.33±24.94 | 42±43.18 |
| Temperature =10-15°C | | | | | | | | | |
| Control 2   | 18±5.29 | 82.33±8.62 | 86.33±11.59 | 39.33±16.40 | 18±2.40 | 22±11.35 | *9±6.24 | 61.67±4.16 | 23±3.14 |
| Temperature =25°C | | | | | | | | | |
| 3×10^6 CFU ml^-1 | 35.33±6.16 | 54.67±15.0 | 37.67±5.26 | 25.33±4.50 | 13.33±4.95 | 22.33±14.35 | 24±11.93 | 47±22.60 | 29±12.12 |
| Temperature=10-15°C | | | | | | | | | |
| 3×10^6 CFU ml^-1 | 19.67±15.17 | 52.67±27.53 | 30±16.09 | 18.67±12.85 | 13.67±14.94 | 74±41.38 | *58±28.85 | 48.67±36.57 | 78±41.38 |
| Temperature=25°C | | | | | | | | | |

Star sign (*) have indicated significant differences of THC in similar times
Figure 1: THC differences in treatment and control groups after experiment time.

2: TPP changes in treatment and control groups after challenging time with A. hydrophila

The result based on TPP demonstrated (Table 2 and Fig. 2) that the value of TPP between treatment (4) \((3\times10^6\) CFU ml-1, temperature=25 °C) and control group (1) (temperature=10-15 °C) in last time after experiment time (336h) was significant and the value of TPP in treatment (4) in comparing with control group (1) significantly increased \((p<0.05)\). In other times, no significant difference in TPP was observed between treatments together and treatments with control groups \((p>0.05)\). Also in order to our study the highest mean value of TPP was 2.36 Gr dl⁻¹ in treatment (4) and the lowest mean value of TPP was .9 Gr dl⁻¹ in control group (1).

Table 2: The mean and standard deviation of TPP at difference hours after challenging to Aeromonas hydrophila in control and treatment groups.

| Groups | Mean ± S.D | Mean ± S.D | Mean ± S.D | Mean ± S.D | Mean ± S.D | Mean ± S.D | Mean ± S.D | Mean ± S.D |
|--------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Control 1 Temperature =10-15 °C | 1.13 ± .45 | 1.04 ± .60 | 1.00 ± .60 | 1.46 ± .70 | 1.33 ± .58 | 1.23 ± .55 | 1.63 ± .75 | 90 ± 10 | *1.00 ± .30 |
| Control 2 Temperature =25 °C | 1.40 ± .40 | 1.43 ± .30 | 1.90 ± .26 | 1.46 ± .40 | 1.53 ± .30 | 1.16 ± .15 | 1.65 ± .32 | 1.60 ± .17 | 2.06 ± .11 |
| 3×10³ CFU ml⁻¹ Temperature= 10-15 °C | 1.53 ± .05 | 1.43 ± .47 | 1.50 ± .60 | 1.53 ± .58 | 1.30 ± .26 | 1.40 ± .20 | 1.23 ± .55 | 1.46 ± .64 | 1.40 ± .32 |
| 3×10⁴ CFU ml⁻¹ Temperature= 25 °C | 1.16 ± .72 | 1.20 ± .36 | 2.23 ± .89 | 1.73 ± .47 | 1.70 ± .20 | 1.03 ± .35 | 1.70 ± .26 | 1.46 ± .23 | *2.36 ± .30 |

Star sign (*) have indicated significantly differences of TPP in similar times
3: Clinical signs and mortality due to A. hydrophila in Crayfish A. leptodactylus

Our finding showed that there was no obvious signs observed in A. leptodactylus in early times after affecting to bacteria (2,6). But there were seen, weakness, lethargy, anorexia and accumulation in corner of aquarium in treatment (4) and control group (2) crayfish A. leptodactylus by passing time. Our result indicated that in crayfish challenging to A. hydrophila with high temperature (treatment 4), wound, redspots, and many of black spots on the abdominal segment, carapas and walking legs along with abdominal blakness were observed. And It was also observed that the moribund animals lose their balance and fall on their dorsal surface (belly up) before dying. In order to our study, the highest levels of mortality (33 crayfish) was observed in Crayfish challenging to bacteria with high temperature (treatment 4), and the rate of mortality in this group in comparing with other groups was significant. The rate of mortality in control group (2) in comparing with treatment (3) and control group (1) were also significant (21 crayfish). But the rate of mortality wasn’t significant between treatment (3) and control group (1).
Figure 3: Clinical sign due to *Aeromonas hydrophila* with high temperature in crayfish *Astacus leptodactylus*. Numerous red spots on internal surface of abdominal segments (A), various black melanized spots on lateral and internal surface of abdominal segments (B), wound on dorsal surface of abdominal second segments (C) and lateral surface of carapas (D) (arrows) were occurred.

Table 3: Rate of mortality in treatment and control groups in freshwater crayfish *Astacus leptodactylus* after experiment times.

| Time (hour) | Rate of mortality | Rate of mortality | Rate of mortality | Rate of mortality | Rate of mortality |
|-------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
|             | Control 1         | Control 2         | 3×10⁶ CFU ml⁻¹    | 3×10⁹ CFU ml⁻¹    | Sum               |
|             | Temperature=10-15 C | Temperature=25 C | Temperature=10-15 C | Temperature=25 C |                   |
| 2           | -                 | -                 | 2                 | 4                 | 6                 |
| 6           | -                 | -                 | 1                 | -                 | -                 |
| 12          | -                 | -                 | -                 | -                 | -                 |
| 24          | -                 | -                 | 4                 | 7                 | 11                |
| 48          | 2                 | 2                 | 1                 | 2                 | 9                 |
| 72          | 1                 | 1                 | 1                 | 2                 | 5                 |
| 96          | 2                 | 4                 | -                 | 7                 | 13                |
| 120         | -                 | 5                 | 1                 | 7                 | 13                |
| 144         | 1                 | 2                 | 1                 | 2                 | 6                 |
| 168         | -                 | -                 | 2                 | -                 | -                 |
| 192         | 1                 | -                 | -                 | 2                 | 3                 |
| 216         | -                 | 2                 | 1                 | 1                 | 4                 |
| 240         | -                 | 1                 | 1                 | 3                 | 5                 |
| 264         | -                 | 2                 | -                 | 2                 | 4                 |
| 288         | -                 | 1                 | -                 | 1                 | 2                 |
| 312         | -                 | -                 | -                 | 1                 | 1                 |
| 336         | 1                 | 1                 | 1                 | 1                 | 3                 |
4: Histopathology Conclusion in treatment and control groups

In histopathology studies, the massive hemocyte aggregated in hepatopancreas were observed, exclusively in crayfish challenging to $3 \times 10^6$ CFU ml$^{-1}$ A. hydrophila with high temperature (Fig. A), as well as hemocyte aggregated were observed in crayfish infected to $3 \times 10^6$ CFU ml$^{-1}$ A. hydrophila with normal temperature (temperature=10-15 °C) and control group (2), but in these groups, hemocyte aggregated in comparing with infectious crayfish to $3 \times 10^6$ CFU ml$^{-1}$ with high temperature were lower. In crayfish affecting to bacteria with high temperature and control group (2) were also observed vaculation and decreasing of hemocyte accumulation by passing time (Fig. B). As seen in hepatopancreas, necrosis, and dead cells along with the many of pyknotic and karyorhexis nuclei (Figs. B, C). But this appearance wasn't observed in hepatopancreas tissue in control group (1) (Fig. D).

In histopathology results, Gills indicated necrosis, hemocyte aggregated, dead cells and pyknotic nuclei. In infected crayfish to $3 \times 10^6$ CFU ml$^{-1}$ A. hydrophila with high temperature severe gill differences and high necrosis were noticed. Also hemocyte aggregated and cell death were observed (Fig. A). In crayfish affecting to $3 \times 10^6$ CFU ml$^{-1}$ A. hydrophila with normal temperature, necrosis of gill and hemocyte aggregated were also observed, however these differences in comparing to $3 \times 10^6$ CFU ml$^{-1}$ A. hydrophila with high temperature were lower (Figs B, C). In Crayfish affecting to high temperature (temperature=25°C) hemocyte aggregated along with decreasing of gill lamella normal cells were seen. But this appearance wasn't observed in gill tissue in control group1(temperature=10-15 °C) (Fig. D).
Figure 4: Hepatopancreas tissue sections of crayfish *Astacus leptodactylus* in treatments due to *Aeromonas hydrophila* and control groups after experiment times. Massive hemocyte aggregated and clumped together in hemal sinus (long arrow) along with dead cells with pyknotic and karyorrhexis nuclei (short arrows) and necrotized tissue were observed in hepatopancreas of crayfish challenged to *A. hydrophila* with high temperature (Fig. A). Vacuolization of the cytoplasm (long arrows) and decreasing of hemocyte aggregated (short arrows) due to *A. hydrophila* with high temperature and Control group 2 crayfish (without bacteria *A. hydrophila* with high temperature) after passing time (96h) were seen (Figs B and D). Hemocyte aggregated (arrows) were observed in many sites of hemal sinus of hepatopancreas in control group 2 crayfish (without of bacteria *A. hydrophila*) (Fig. C), few hemocyte aggregated in hemal sinus of hepatopancreas along with many of pyknotic and karyorrhexis nuclei were noticed in crayfish infected to *A. hydrophila* with normal temperature (Fig. E), no pathological differences observed in control 1 crayfish (without of bacteria) and hepatopancreas was safe (Fig. F).
Figure 5: Gill lamella tissue sections of crayfish *Astacus leptodactylus* in treatments due to *Aeromonas hydrophila* and control groups after experiment times. High necrosis, cell death and hemocyte aggregated have been observed in gill lamella in crayfish infected to $3 \times 10^6$ CFU ml$^{-1}$ *A. hydrophila* with high temperature (Fig. A). (H&E/Mag, 440), Distribution of many hemocyte (arrows) were seen in control group 2 crayfish (without any of bacteria with high temperature) (Fig. B) (H&E/Mag, 880), low necrosis, distribution of low hemocytes along with many of pyknotic nuclei (short arrows) in comparing to infected crayfish to $3 \times 10^6$ CFU ml$^{-1}$ *A. hydrophila* with high temperature were observed in infected crayfish to $3 \times 10^6$ CFU ml$^{-1}$ *A. hydrophila* with normal temperature (Fig. C) (H&E/Mag.440). Histopathological changes wasn't significant in control group 1(without any of bacteria) and lamellas of gill were proximately safe in this group (Fig. D) (H&E/Mag.440).

Histopathological finding of heart and digestive system showed that in crayfish infected to $3 \times 10^6$ CFU ml$^{-1}$ *A. hydrophila* with high temperature, massive hemocyte aggregated and distributed in the myocardium along with many of pyknotic nuclei and distribution of many hemocytes in digestive system have been observed (Fig. A). Low hemocyte aggregated were also observed in heart in control group 2 (Fig. B) but digestive system was safe in this group. According to our study heart and digestive system were Safe in Crayfish infected to $3 \times 10^6$ CFU ml$^{-1}$ *A. hydrophila* with normal temperature and control group 1. (Figs. C, B).
Figure 6: Heart tissue sections of crayfish *Astacus leptodactylus* in treatments due to *Aeromonas hydrophila* and control groups after experiment times. Massive hemocyte aggregated (long arrow), dead cells and many of pyknotic nuclei (short arrows) were observed in the myocardium of infected crayfish to $3 \times 10^6$ CFU ml$^{-1}$ *A. hydrophila* with high temperature (Fig. A), this appearance were also observed in control group 2 crayfish but in this group, hemocyte aggregated in compare to crayfish expouser to $3 \times 10^6$ CFU ml$^{-1}$ *A. hydrophila* with high temperature were lower (Fig. B), No pathological changes observed in heart in Crayfish challenged to $3 \times 10^6$ CFU ml$^{-1}$ *A. hydrophila* with normal temperature and control group 1 (Fig. C), H&E, Mag. 440).

Figure 7: Digestive tube tissue sections of crayfish *Astacus leptodactylus* in treatments due to *Aeromonas hydrophila* and control groups after experiment times. Hemocyte aggregated (long arrow) with pyknotic nuclei (short arrows) were observed in crayfish challenged to $3 \times 10^6$ CFU ml$^{-1}$ *A. hydrophila* with high temperature (Fig. A) (H&E/Mag.880), but no pathological changes observed in crayfish infected to $3 \times 10^6$ CFU ml$^{-1}$ *A. hydrophila* with normal temperature and control group 1 and 2 (Fig. B) (H&E/Mag.440).
Discussion

*A. hydrophila* is an opportunistic as well as primary pathogen of variety of aquatic and terrestrial animals including man (Dhasarathan et al., 2010). One study indicated that the value of total hemocyte count (THC) could be changed in response to various concentration of *A. hydrophila* (Samcookiyaei et al., 2011). In crustaceans and other invertebrates, circulating hemocytes are essential in immunity, performing functions such as phagocytosis, encapsulation, and lysis of foreign cells (Smith and Soderhall, 1983; Ratcliffe et al., 1985; Soderhall and Smith, 1986; Johansson and Soderhall, 1989; Soderhall and Cerenius, 1992). Hemocyte counts maybe a valuable tool in monitoring the health status of crustacean species (Jussila et al., 1997; Mix and Sparks, 1980). Many studies showed that total and differential hemocyte counts could be changed in result of the factors such as water temperature, sex, moulting cycle and starvation (Manjula et al., 1997; Johansson et al., 2000; Lemoullac and Haffner, 2000). According to our study, there was no significant differences on THC in early time after challenging to bacteria (2, 6, 12, 24h). It seems that the serological and physiological parameters could not affect in this time. Or probably indicated that the concentration of bacteria was lower, thereby the value of THC could not change to bacteria. Samcookiyaei et al. (2011) showed that the value of THC in crayfish *A. leptodactylus* showed significant differences in first time (2h) after challenging to high concentration of *A. hydrophila* (3×10^8 CFU ml^-1) in comparing with lower concentration of bacteria and control group (unchallenged with bacteria). They suggest that the stress of high concentration of bacteria or probabally interence of Antimicrobial peptids (AMPs) can cause to it. Based on our study, there was significant differences on THC at 48h after experiment time, and the value of THC in treatments and control group 2 in comparing with control group 1 significantly decreased. It probably suggest that the value of THC could be changed cause to differences of physiological and serological parameters of Crayfish *A. leptodactylus* due to *A. hydrophila* and high temperature as a stressor factor with passing time. The differences of THC in infected crayfish to *A. hydrophila* in high water temperature in compare to control group 2 at 144h after experiment times were also significant, and the value of THC in this treatment in comparition with control group 2 significantly increased. This finding may be showed that the concentration of bacteria due to stressing of high water temperature was increased with passing time. Therby the value of THC for response to high
concentration of bacteria in comparing with control group 2 significantly increased. Or may be similar to other studies (Jiravanichpaisal et al., 2006; Jiravanichpaisal et al., 2007; Liu et al., 2008), the presence of Antimicrobial peptides such as PL crustin1 as an innate immune system in hematopoetic and hemolymph tissue of Crayfish, and also activated of phenol oxidase system by hemocyte in against to A. hydrophila cause to it. But in this time (144h), there were no significant differences between challenged crayfish with A. hydrophila in normal water temperature with unchallenged crayfish in control group 1, and also between this groups in comparing with two others treatment and control groups that can cause of adapted of crayfish to environment condition and decresed of stressor factors and bacterial concentration due to normal water temperature. Studies demonstrated that Alteration in total plasma or serum protein concentration relative to a reference interval have been used as a broad clinical indicator of health, stress, and wellbeing of terrestrial and aquatic organisms (Kiche, 2007). Consequently, measuring the blood protein concentration of a crustacean sample group can provide valuable information to identify its condition (Ozbay and Riley, 2002). Many studies indicated that moulting, reproduction, nutritional state, infection, hypoxia, salinity variations and stocking density are some of the factors affecting the relative proportions and total quantities of the hemolymph proteins (Depledge and Bjerregard, 1989; Oliver and Macdiarmid, 2001; Arcos et al., 2003; Ocampo et al., 2003; Coeurdacier et al., 2011). In order to previous study, the value of TPP didn’t showed significant differences in infected Crayfish with various concentration of A leptodactylus in comparation with control group (unchallenged crayfish), which probably indicated that the value of TPP could not be changed based on low concentration of bacteria or short period of study (Samcoookiyaei et al., 2011). Our study showed that the value of TPP can be affected due to concentration of bacteria in high water temperature. Based on our study, the value of TPP in challenged crayfish to A. hydrophila in high water temperature in comparation with control group 1 in last time of experiment (336h) significantly increased. It seems that the value of TPP could not be changed in short period time, but the value of TPP could be changed with changes in environment condition and physiological and serological parameters of crayfish due to pathogenesis of A. hydrophila in high water temperature with passing time. In our study, wound, red and black melanized...
spots were observed in challenged crayfish with *A. hydrophila* in high water temperature. Also histopathological changes was higer in this treatment in comparing with other treatment and control groups. Because of high water temperature in challenged crayfish in this group, the pathogenesis of *A. hydrophila* was revealed, and following severity symptom and histopathological differences were observed. Because of massive hemocyte aggregated, dead cells and high necrosis in hepatopancreas and gill tissues, it seems that these tissues are target organs for pathogenesis of *A. hydrophila* in crayfish *A. leptodactylus*. Functional studies of crayfish PO (Phenol oxidase) suggest that PO was required in crayfish defence against an infection by a highly virulent and pathogenic bacterium, *A. hydrophila*, to crayfish. Silencing of crayfish proPO led to increased bacterial growth, lower phagocytosis, lower PO activity, lower nodule formation, and higer mortality when infected with this bacterium (Liu, 2008). Studies showed that the transcript of PLcrustin 1 was increased in both hemocytes and hematopoietic tissue as a response to challenge by a highly pathogenic Gram-negative bacterium *A. hydrophila* (Jiravanichpaisal et al., 2007). Secreted extracellular toxins and enzymes have been described which were suggested to be associated with the virulence of *A. hydrophila* (Allan and Stevenson, 1981; Ljungh and wadstrom, 1981). in our research, the rate of mortality in challenged crayfish in high water temperature was high. It may be suggested that the increasing of bacterial concentration due to high temperature led to eradicated of hemocyte cells and following decreased of phenol oxidase (PO) activity and antimicrobial peptids (specially PL crustin 1) as important defence immune system against *A. hydrophila* and finally will lead to the secreation of toxins and enzymes (such as. hemolysins, cytotoxins, enterotoxins) from this bacterium to Crayfish. Therefore the crayfish after challenging with *A. hydrophila* in high water temperature will die. We can conclude that the pathogenesis of *A. hydrophila* in high water temperature is too high. Therefore the presence of crayfish in this water body will led to destructed of immune system due to secretion of toxins by *A. hydrophila* and following the crayfish will die. This finding is similar to another study as mentioned by Jiravanichpaisal et al., (2009). The result of this study suggested that the value of THC due to *A. hydrophila* and high water temperature could be changed. Especially in challenged crayfish in high water temperature. But the value of TPP could only be changed in the crayfish challenge with *A. hydrophila* in high water temperature.
Our study also showed that the histopathological differences and the rate of mortality were highest in the crayfish challenge with *A. hydrophila* in high water temperature.

References
Adamski, J., Koivuranta, M. and Leppanen, E., 2006. Fatal case of myonecrosis an septicaemia caused by *Aeromonas hydrophila* in Finland. *Scandinavian journal of infectious Disease*, 38, 1117-1119.

Alderman, D.J. and Polglase, J.L., 1988. Pathogens, parasites and commensals. In freshwater Crayfish-Biology, Management and Exploitation’. (Eds. D.M. Holdich and R.S. Lowery). Croom Helm, London and Sydney. pp. 167-212.

Allan, B.J. and Stevenson, R.M.W., 1981. Extracellular virulence factors of *Aeromonas hydrophila* in fish infections. *Canadian journal of microbiology*, 27(10), 1114–1122.

Arcos, F.G., Ibarra, M.A., Vazquez-Boucard, C., Palacios, E. and Rocotta, S.I., 2003. Haemolymph metabolic variables in relation to eyestalk ablation and gonad development of pacific white shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei* Boone. *Aquaculture Research*, 34, 749-755.

Austin, B., Austin, D.A., Dalsgaard, I., Gudmundsdottir, B.K., Hoie, S., Thrnton, J.M., Larsen, J.L., Ohici, B. and Powell, R., 1998. Characterization of atypical *Aeromonas salmonicida* by different methods. *Syst. Appl. Microbiol*, 21(1), 50-64.

Bell, T.A. and Lightner, D.V., 1988. A hand book of normal penaeid shrimp histology, world aquaculture society, Baton Rouge, Louisiana, 114P.

Blair, I.S., Mcmahon, M.A.S. and Mcdowell, D.A., 1999. Food studies research unit, university of ulsterat Jordanstom, co. Antrim, Northern Ireland. *Aeromonas /introduction 25.*

Brady, Y.J. and Lasso de la vega, E., 1992. Bacteria in the hemolymph of the freshwater prawn *Macrobrachium rosenbergii*. *Journal of Aquatic animal Health*, 4, 67-69.

Buckup, L., Dutra, K.B., Ribarcki, P.F.et al., 2008. Seasonal variations in the biochemical composition of Crayfish *Parastacus defossus* (Crustacea, Decapoda) in its natural envinonment. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A*, 149,59-67.

Carnahan, A.M. and Altwegg, M., 1996. The genus *Aeromonas*. In: Taxonomy, eds, Austin, B., M. Altwegg, P.J. Gosling, S. Joseph. Wiley, NewYork, NK, pp.1-38.

Cipriano, C. Rocco,. 2001. Aeromonas hydrophila and motile Aeromonas septicemias of fish. *Fish disease leaflet*, 68P.
Coeurdacier, L.J., Dutto, G., Gasset, E. and Blancheton, P.J., 2011. Is total serum protein a good indicator for welfare in reared seabass (Dicentrachus labrax)?. Aqual. Living. Research, 24, 121-127.

Dasilva-Castiglioni, D., Dutra, K.B., Oliveria, T.g. and Buckup, B.G., 2007. Seasonal variations in the intermediate metabolism of Parastacus varicosus (Crustacea, Decapoda, Parastacidae). Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology, A, 148, 204-213.

Daskalov, H., 2006. The importance of Aeromonas hydrophila in food safety. Food control, 17, 474-483.

Depledge, H.M. and Bjerregaard, P., 1989. Haemolymph protein composition and copper levels in decapods crustacean. Helgoland Marine Research, 43, 207-223.

Dhasarathan, P., Krishnaraoeswar, N., Pavithra, P. and Muthukumar, C., 2010. Isolation, Characterization and Immunostimulant potential of bacterial isolate from fish sample. Journal of Biosciences Research, 1(4), 235-242.

Elwitigala, J.P., Higgs, D.S., Namnyak, S., White, J.W. and Yaneza, A., 2005. Septic arthritis due to Aeromonas hydrophila: case report and review of the literature. International Journal of Clinical Practice, 59(s147), 121-124.

Hegerman, L., 1983. Haemocyanin concentration of juvenile lobsters (Homarus gammarus) in relation to moultng cycle and feeding condition. Marine Biology, 77, 11-17.

Hu, C.H., Xu, Z.R. and Xia, M.S., 2005. Antibacterial effect of CU2+ exchanged montmorillonite on Aeromonas hydrophila and discussion on its mechanism. Veterinary Microbiology, 109, 83-88.

Jiang, G., Yu, R. and Zhou, M., 2004. Modulatory effects of ammonia–NO on the immune system of penaeus Japonicus to Virulence of white spot syndrome Virus. Aquaculture, 241, 61-75.

Jiravanichpaisal, P., Lee, S.Y., Kim, Y.A., Andren, T. and Soderhall, I., 2007. Antibacterial peptides in hemocytes and hematopoietic tissue from freshwater crayfish Pacifastacus leniusculus: Characterization and Expression pattern. Developmental and Comparative Immunology, 31, 441-455.

Johansson, M.W., Keyser, P., Sritunyalucksana, K. and Soderhall, K., 2000. Crustacean haemocytes and haematopoiesis. Aquaculture, 191, 45-52.

Jiravanichpaisal, P., Luel lee, B. and Soderhall, k., 2006. Review cell-mediated immunity in arthropods: Hematopoiesis, coagulation, melanization and opsonization. Immunobiology, 211,213-236.
Jiravanichpaisal, p., Roos, S., Edsman, L., Liu H. and Soderhall, K., 2009. A highly virulent pathogen, *Aeromonas hydrophila*, from the freshwater crayfish *Pacifastacus leniusculus*: *Journal of invertebrate pathology*, 101, 56-66.

Johansson, M.W. and Soderhall, K., 1989. A cell adhesion factor from crayfish hemocytes has degranulating activity towards crayfish granular cells. *Insect Biochemistry*, 19, 183-190.

Jussila, J., Jago, J., Tsvetnenko, E., Dunstan, B. and Evans, L.H., 1997. Total and differential hemocyte counts in western rock lobsters (*Panulirus Cygnus George*) under post-harvest stress. *Marine and Freshwater Research*, 48(8), 863-867.

Ko, W.C. and Chuang, Y.C., 1995. *Aeromonas* bacteremia; Review of 59 episodes. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 20, 1298-1304.

LeMoullac, G. and Haffner, P., 2000. Environmental factors affecting immune response in crustacean. *Aquaculture*, 191, 121-131.

Liu, H., 2008. Functional studies of some immune relevant genes in a crustacean. Acta universitatisUpsaliensis. Digital comprehensive summaries of Uppsala Dissertations from the faculty of science and technology, 435. 61P.

Ljungh, A. and Wadström, T., 1981. *Aeromonas* toxins. *Pharmacology and Therapeutics*, 15, 339–354.

Lorenzon, S., Giulianini, G.P., Libralato, S., Martinis, M. and Ferrero, A.E., 2008. Stress effect of two different transport system on the physiological profiles of the crab *Cancer pagurnius*. *Aquaculture*, 278, 156-163.

Lorenzon, S., Martinis, M. and Ferrero, A.E., 2011. Ecological relevance of hemolymph total protein concentration in seven unrelated crustacean species from different habitats measured predictively by a density-salinity refractometer. Research article, *Journal of Marine Biology*, Hindawi Publishing Article, 7P.

Madetoja, M. and Jussila, J., 1996. Gram negative bacteria in the hemolymph of noble crayfish *Astacus astacus*, in an intensive crayfish culture system. *Nordic Journal Freshwater Research*, 72, 88-90.

Manjula, P.L., Rahman, K. and Abraham, T.J., 1997. Hemocyte classification and differential counts in the Indian spiny lobster. *Panulirus homarus* (Linneaus). *Journal of Aquaculture*. In the tropics, 12(2), 113-121.

Mix, M.C. and Sparks, A.K., 1980. Hemocyte classification and differential counts in dungeness crab cancer magister. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, 35(2), 134-143.

Ocampo, L., Patino, D. and Ramirez, C., 2003. Effect of temperature on hemolymph lactate and glucose...
concentration in spiny lobster *Panulirus interruptus* during progressive hypoxia. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, 296, 71-77.

**Oliver, D.M. and Macdiarmid, B.A., 2001.** Blood refractive index and ratio weight to Carapace length as indices of nutrition condition in juvenile rock lobsters (*Jasus edwardsii*). *Marine and Freshwater Research*, 33, 557-562.

**Ozbay, G. and Riley, G.J., 2002.** An analysis of refractometry as a method of determining blood total protein concentration in the American lobster *Homarus americanus* (Milne Edwards). *Aquaculture Research*, 33, 557-562.

**Palacios, E., Ibarra, M.A. and Racotta, S.I., 2000.** Tissue biochemical composition in relation to multiple spawning in wild and pond-reared *Penaeus vannamei* broodstock. *Aquaculture*, 185, 353-371.

**Pansare, A.C., Lewis, N.F. and Venugopal, V., 1986.** Characterization of extracellular protease of *Aeromonas hydrophila*. *Agricultural and Biological Chemistry*, 50, 1743–1749.

**Quaglio, F., Morolli, C., Galuppi, R. and Bonoli, C., 2006.** Preliminary investigations of disease-causing organisms in the white-clawed crayfish *Austropotamobius pallipes* complex from streams of Northern Italy. *Bulletin Francais de la Peche et de la Pisciculture*, 380-381, 1271-1290.

**Rahman, M.H., Suzuki, S. and Kawai, K., 2001.** The effect of temperature on *Aeromonas hydrophila* infection in gold fish, *Carassius auratus*. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, 17, 282-285.

**Riche, M., 2007.** Analysis of refractometry for determining total plasma protein in hybrid striped bass (*Morone Chrysops × M. Saxatilis*) at various salinities. *Aquaculture*, 264, 279-284.

**Rodriguez, J. and Le Moullac, G., 2000.** State of the are of immunological tools and health control of penaeid shrimp. *Aquaculture*, 191, 109-119

**Samcookyiae A., Afsharnasab M., Razavilar V., Motalebi A., Asadpor Y., Yahyazade M.Y. and Nekouifard A., 2011.** Experimentally pathogenesis of *Aeromonas hydrophila* in freshwater crayfish (*Astacus leptodactylus*). *Iranian journal of fisheries sciences*, 11(3), 644-656.

**Saulnier, D., Haffner, P., Goarant, C., Levy, P. and Ansquer, D., 2000.** Experimental infection models for shrimp vibriosis studies: are view. *Aquaculture*, 191, 133-144.

**Scott, J.R. and Thune, R.L., 1986.** Bacterial flora of hemolymph from redswamp crawfish, *Procambarus clarkia* (Girard), from commercial ponds. *Aquaculture*, 58, 161-165.
Shafir, S., Tom, M., Ovadia, M. and Lubzens, E., 1992. Protein, vitellogenin and vitellin levels in the hemolymph and ovaries during ovarian development in *penaeus semisulcatus* (de Haan). *Biological Bulletin*, 183, 394-400.

Silverman, L.M. and Christenson, R.H., 1996. Aminoacids and Proteins. In Burtis CA, Ashwood ER(eds): Tietz, Fundamentals of clinical chemistry, 4th ed, Saunders W.B. Philadelphia, pp. 240-282.

Smith, V.J. and Soderhall, K., 1983. B-1,3-glucan activation of crustacean hemocytes in vitro and inviro. *Biological Bulletin*, 164, 299-314.

Soderhall, K. and Cerenius, L., 1992. Crustacean immunity. Annual Review of Fish Diseases, Elsevier Science Ltd, Canada. pp. 3-23.

Soderhall, K. and Smith, V.J., 1986. The prophenoloxidase activating cascade as a recognition and defense system in arthropods. In: Gupta, A.P. (Ed.), Humoral and Cellular Immunity in Arthropods. Wiley, NewYork, pp. 251-285.

Vey, A., 1977. Studies on the pathology of crayfish under rearing condition. *Freshwater Crayfish*, 6, 212-222.

Wong, F.Y.K., Fowler, K., Desmarchelier, P.M., 1995. Vibriosis due to *Vibrio mimicus* in Australion f