Cross-sectional study on differences in pain perception and behavioral distress during venipuncture between Italian and Chinese children
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Abstract

Venipuncture is perhaps the scariest aspect of hospitalization for children as it causes pain and high levels of behavioral distress. Pain is a complex experience which is also influenced by social factors such as cultural attitudes, beliefs and traditions. Studies focusing on ethnic/cultural differences in pain perception and behavioral distress show controversial results, in particular with regards to children. The aim of this paper is to evaluate differences in pain perception and behavioral manifestations between Italian and Chinese children undergoing a venipuncture, through a cross-sectional study. Behavioral distress and self-reported pain were measured in Chinese and Italian outpatient children during a standardized blood-drawing procedure, using the Observational Scale of Behavioral Distress (OSBD) and pain scales. We observed 332 children: 93 Chinese and 239 Italian. Chinese children scored higher than Italians on pain scales – mean scores 5.3 (95%CI 4.78-5.81) vs. 3.2 (95%CI 2.86-3.53) – but lower mean OSBD scores – mean 4.1 (95%CI 3.04-5.15) vs. 8.1 (95%CI 7.06-9.14). Our data suggest that Chinese children experience higher levels of pain than their Italian peers, although they show more self-control in their behavioral reaction to pain when experiencing venipuncture.

Introduction

Preventing the perception of pain in sick children is among the primary duties of each Healthcare Professional (HCP). Inadequacy in evaluating the perception of pain leads to misjudge and undertreat a child's suffering; it also largely contributes to enhance the sickness-related stress experienced by a patient and by its family during hospitalization. It is well proven that pain is a complex phenomenon involving biological, psychological and social variables, such as race and ethnicity. With respect to adults, there is extensive literature on the role played by ethnicity and race in experiencing pain. Despite some inconsistencies, the literature suggests that ethnic differences in pain perception exist. For example, some studies suggest that Italians exhibit pain more than Old Americans do and that African Americans have lower pain thresholds to cold, heat and pressure.

Differences in pain perception between different ethnic groups are likely to be influenced by genetic and biological factors. For example, specific differences related to the metabolism of analgesic drugs have been reported between people belonging to different socio-cultural groups: the metabolism of codeine into its active form (morphine) depends on an enzyme pathway that can be deficient or inactive in 9% of Europeans, 1% of Arabs and 30% of Hong Kong Chinese. Different sensitivity to pain when comparing African-American to Caucasian women has been related to diverse oxytocin levels in the two groups.

Culture can also exercise a powerful influence in pain perception and its manifestations: a Thai social rule called Kreng jai (literally ‘awe heart or deferential heart’) has been reported to condition Thai children in showing pain. Culturally constrained behavior, such as Kreng jai, may interfere with a child’s oral and behavioral expression of pain, although not necessarily with pain perception. This, in turn, interferes with the health providers’ awareness of pain. With respect to children, it is well accepted that ethnicity plays an important role principally in evaluating pain, even though further studies are needed in this field. Some studies have already analyzed differences between Anglo-American, Caucasian children and Hispanic children, and African-American children and children of both groups.

Understanding the role played by ethnicity and culture in the experience of pain is vital in order to effectively assess and manage pain, particularly in countries with recent and significant migratory flows. Pain expressed by minorities is indeed more likely to be underestimated.

In the last 25 years, Italy has experienced a large influx of immigrants from the People’s Republic of China and the number of Chinese children living in Italy – considering both immigrants and those who were born in our country – has been increasing. In some areas, such as Tuscany, Chinese children represent a large portion of those who access the healthcare services provided by our children’s hospitals. Therefore, it is essential to assess and manage Chinese children’s pain in the best possible way. This is particularly important if we consider that only a very small number of Chinese people living in Italy work as a healthcare professional in the Italian National Healthcare System.

According to Finley, to consider Chinese children more stoic than Caucasian ones is an untrustworthy prejudice. It is treacherous to expect certain social or behavioral constricitions from individuals who seem to have a certain cultural heritage. Believing that a child with an East Asian appearance comes from a Chinese heritage and that for this reason he/she is expected to show stoicism is cultural stereotyping – not sensitivity – and it will lead to misapprehend the patient’s pain severity. Although some specific characteristics of Chinese children’s pain perception have been described, there are scanty data regarding differences in the expression of pain between Chinese children and other ethnic groups. A Canadian study suggests that differences in...
the response to pain in relation to culture may exist as early as 2 months of age and that infants of Asian background exhibit a greater response to pain than babies of non-Asian background.24 On the other hand, another study shows that Chinese little girls have less marked facial expressions than European children.25 This aspect can interfere with the measurement of pain perception through the most commonly used assessment tools. As suggested by literature review, further studies are needed to clarify whether there is a difference in the way Chinese children perceive and display pain, in order to capably recognize and prevent their suffering, even in a socio-cultural setting that is different from their native environment.

Materials and Methods

Purpose

The primary objective of this study is to assess the possible differences existing between Italian and Chinese children – considering both those who were born on Italian soil and immigrants – with regard to the way they perceive and express pain when undergoing a standardized minor invasive procedure. Through data collection, we will be able to gain knowledge that could be helpful in improving the cognitive-behavioral and relation-based approaches that are currently used to reduce children’s anxiety, pain and distress when coping with an invasive procedure. Acquiring new knowledge in this field is important in order to properly assess and identify pain in children whose expressions are not consistent with the cultural standards of a health professional, thus exposing children to the possibility of their suffering being underestimated and undertreated.

Methods

We carried out a cross-sectional analytical study which included children aged 3 to 14 years. The inclusion criteria were the following: having both Chinese parents (either born on Italian soil or immigrants) or both Italian parents; not suffering from any chronic disease according to the definition of the National Center for Health Statistics;26 undergoing venipuncture for the first time; whose parents did not want to use any pharmacological or non-pharmacological method for pain reduction.

The study participants were selected among children attending the outpatient blood draw services (BDS) of two Italian Children’s Hospitals (the Meyer Children’s Hospital of Florence and the Misericordia e Dolce Hospital of Prato). We used accidental, non-probability, convenience sampling. The participation to the study was proposed to the parents of all the children who accessed the BDS consecutively during a period of two months. All children underwent a standardized venipuncture procedure, with the same needle type and gauge (23G) being used. At least one of the parents participated to the procedure. The venipunctures were performed by five very experienced nurses, none of whom was Chinese. For every child we recorded their ethnic group, age and gender. The children were observed for distress behaviors and pain during the procedure.

Distress behaviors are defined as those verbal and non-verbal actions shown by a child during an invasive procedure that produce some amount of discomfort.27

In order to measure distress behaviors, we used the Observation Scale of Behavioral Distress (OSBD) described by Elliott et al.28 A trained observer recorded the presence or absence of eight operationally defined behaviors which indicate discomfort (cry, scream, physical restraint, verbal resistance, emotional support seeking, information seeking, verbal pain and flail) at 15 second intervals throughout the procedure, giving them a weight according to the severity of distress shown by the child. The weighted scores were summed for each 15 second interval and then divided by the number of intervals to obtain a mean score.28 We chose the OSBD because the strengths of its psychometric properties have been widely established.29-31 Since an Italian version of OSBD is not available, we decided to use the original English version. To overcome possible linguistic and cultural biases, as well as to avoid possible biases related to inter-rater variability of scores, all the observations were performed by a single bilingual English-Italian mother-tongue researcher who received an adequate previous training.

Pain was self-assessed by every child using a 0-10 Wong faces rating scale for children up to 7 years of age (32) and a 0-10 numerical rating scale for older children. The pain scores given by children were collected and recorded by the same single researcher as above.

The OSBD and pain scores of respectively Italian and Chinese children were then compared both as total populations and stratified by age (3 to 5 year old pre-school children, 6 to 11 year old primary school children, 12 to 14 year old secondary school children) and by gender. For each group of children, means and 95% confidence intervals of means were calculated respectively for OSBD scores and pain scores. Samples’ size power was also calculated. The statistical softwares EpiInfo ver. 7.1.2.0 2013 and WinPEPI ver.11.43 2014 were used.

Results

Overall, we observed 332 children undergoing venipuncture: 93 of them were Chinese and 239 Italian. None of the parents of the children who accessed the BDS consecutively during the period of study and who met the inclusion criteria refused their consent to participation, therefore there were no missing subjects. The mean age was 7.59 years and girls accounted for 54.2% of the study population (n=180). Table 1 shows the differences between Chinese and Italian subjects according to gender and age. There were no statistically significant differences between the two groups. Table 2 shows the overall differences in pain and OSBD scores between Chinese and Italian children.

| Table 1. Differences between the two groups of children undergoing venipuncture with regard to ethnicity, gender and age. |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Chinese children (n=93) | Italian children (n=239) |
| Mean age, years (SD, CI 95%) | 7.35 (1.8, 6.97-7.72) | 7.61 (2.62, 7.27-7.94) |
| Girls, % (CI 95%) | 58.1 (47.9-67.8) | 52.7 (46.4-59.0) |

| Table 2. Differences in the mean pain and Observational Scale of Behavioral Distress (OSBD) scores of Chinese and Italian children (overall). |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Chinese children | Italian children | Sample power |
| Mean pain score (SD, CI 95%) | 5.3 (2.51, 4.78-5.81) | 3.2 (2.65, 2.86-3.53) | 100% |
| Mean OSBD score (SD, CI 95%) | 4.1 (5.12, 3.94-5.15) | 8.1 (8.13, 7.06-9.14) | 99.27% |
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Pre-school children (age 3 to 5)

Eighty-seven preschool children were observed, 24 were Chinese and 63 Italians. The two groups did not differ with regard to mean age and sex distribution: Chinese children’s mean age was 4.61 years (SD 0.86, 95%CI 4.21-5.00) while Italian children’s mean age was 4.51 years (SD 0.83, 95%CI 4.30-4.71); females accounted for 58.3% (CI95% 38.2-76.5) of Chinese children and for 65.1% (95%CI 52.7-76.1) of Italian children. Table 3 shows the differences in pain and OSBD scores between Chinese and Italian pre-school age children.

Primary school children (age 6 to 11)

Two-hundred and sixteen preschool children were observed, of which 68 were Chinese and 148 Italians. In this case too the two groups did not differ with regard to mean age and sex distribution: Chinese children’s mean age was 7.76 years (SD 1.20, 95%CI 7.46-8.05) while Italian children’s mean age was 7.96 years (SD 1.43, 95%CI 7.72-8.19); females accounted for 57.4% (CI95% 45.4-68.7) of Chinese children and for 53.4% (95%CI 45.3-61.3) of Italian children. Table 4 shows the differences in pain and OSBD scores between Chinese and Italian primary school age children. With regard to secondary-school (age 12-14) children (n=29), no statistically significant differences in the pain and OSBD scores were found.

Gender differences

Table 5 shows differences in the mean pain and OSBD scores between Chinese and Italians stratified by gender. Within each gender group, the differences between Chinese and Italian children reflect those of the whole population: in both female and male Chinese children self-reported pain scores are higher and OSBD scores are lower than respectively in Italian females and males children.

Discussion

The results of our study show that the mean pain scores of Chinese children were significantly higher than the ones of Italian children, whereas the OSBD scores were lower. This finding is confirmed also when stratifying data for age and gender, although in one case statistical significance and adequate power were not reached, probably due to the small size of the sample. For our study, we followed a widespread accepted definition of pain: an unpleasant sensory and emotional experience associated with actual or potential tissue damage, or described in terms of such damage.33 Pain is considered a subjective and highly individual experience. This is why self-report is consid-

Table 3. Differences in the mean pain and Observational Scale of Behavioral Distress (OSBD) cores of pre-school Chinese and Italian children.

|                      | Chinese children (n=93) | Italian children (n=239) | Sample power |
|----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| Mean pain score      | 7.06                    | 4.56                     | 96.18%       |
| (SD, CI 95%)         | (1.88, 6.26-7.85)       | (3.03, 3.79-5.32)        |              |
| Mean OSBD score      | 8.8                     | 11.8                     | 29.17%       |
| (SD, CI 95%)         | (6.73, 5.95-11.64)      | (9.42, 9.42-14.17)       |              |

Table 4. Differences in the mean pain and Observational Scale of Behavioral Distress (OSBD) scores of primary school Chinese and Italian children.

|                      | Chinese children (n=93) | Italian children (n=239) | Sample power |
|----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| Mean pain score      | 4.9                     | 2.8                      | 100%         |
| (SD, CI 95%)         | (2.44, 4.30-5.49)       | (2.42, 2.40-3.19)        |              |
| Mean OSBD score      | 2.4                     | 6.8                      | 99.8%        |
| (SD, CI 95%)         | (3.06, 1.65-3.14)       | (7.17, 5.03-7.96)        |              |

Table 5. Differences in the mean pain and Observational Scale of Behavioral Distress (OSBD) scores of Chinese and Italian children stratified by gender.

|                      | Chinese children | Italian children | Sample power |
|----------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------|
| Female Mean pain score (n) | 5.25 (54) | 3.19 (126) | 99.9%        |
| (SD, CI 95%)           | 2.53 (4.59-5.97) | 2.52 (2.74-3.63) |          |
| Female Mean OSBD score (n) | 4.29 (54) | 8.43 (126) | 91.02%       |
| (SD, CI 95%)           | 5.52 (2.78-5.79) | 8.42 (6.94-9.91) |          |
| Male Mean pain score (n) | 5.36 (39) | 3.21 (113) | 98.8%        |
| (SD, CI 95%)           | 2.52 (4.54-6.17) | 2.79 (2.69-5.73) |          |
| Male Mean OSBD score (n) | 3.82 (39) | 7.74 (113) | 83.7%        |
| (SD, CI 95%)           | 4.57 (2.34-5.30) | 7.81 (6.28-9.19) |          |
nificant cultural differences from the point of view of the person so classified. Within the contemporary geographical and political boundaries of China there are numerous ethnic and linguistic groups, some of which are quite obvious to outsiders, while others are only known by the members of those groups.

HCPs are in charge of recognizing, understanding and treating a patient’s suffering and discomfort. Therefore, they have to be well-trained in managing pain when it manifests itself, especially in children. The cultural values of a HCP may be very different from the ones of a patient belonging to a different socio-cultural context. Therefore, learning how to recognize any expression of suffering – whatever the ethnic background of the patient – is of paramount importance for health professionals. HCPs who are able to recognize cultural differences will better understand a patient’s pain and discomfort, thus resulting in a more accurate assessment of pain and of behavioral responses. Our study suggests that the ways children express their feelings may differ according to their cultural and ethnic backgrounds, especially in the case of feelings of suffering and discomfort. Furthermore, our study suggests that there is the risk of underestimating pain and suffering in minority groups, thus emphasizing the importance of having adequate tools to assess pain and distress.

Conclusions

Our study shows significant differences between Italian and Chinese children during a standardized minor invasive procedure. The Chinese children reported higher pain scores than their Italian peers, even though they showed evidence of a firmer behavior and of more self-control during the procedure. The Italian children, although scoring lower on the pain scales, express their distress in a more explicit way. More research is needed to understand the possible physiological or cultural reasons underlying the phenomenon we observed. However, a possible explanation of the higher pain scores reported by the Chinese children could be that the scales commonly used to assess pain in Italian children may not be adequate to assess pain in Chinese children.
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