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Carbon monoxide (CO) is a gaseous molecule produced from heme by heme oxygenase (HO). CO interacts with reduced iron of heme-containing proteins, leading to its involvement in various cellular events via its production of mitochondrial reactive oxygen species (ROS). CO-mediated ROS production initiates intracellular signal events, which regulate the expression of adaptive genes implicated in oxidative stress and functions as signaling molecule for promoting vascular functions, including angiogenesis and mitochondrial biogenesis. Therefore, CO generated either by exogenous delivery or by HO activity can be fundamentally involved in regulating mitochondria-mediated redox cascades for adaptive gene expression and improving blood circulation (i.e., O2 delivery) via neovascularization, leading to the regulation of mitochondrial energy metabolism. This paper will highlight the biological effects of CO on ROS generation and cellular redox changes involved in mitochondrial metabolism and angiogenesis. Moreover, cellular mechanisms by which CO is exploited for disease prevention and therapeutic applications will also be discussed.

1. Overview

Similar to nitric oxide (NO), produced by a family of nitric oxide synthase (NOS), CO is also endogenously generated from heme by the catalytic reaction of HO. To date, three isoforms of HO (e.g., HO-1, HO-2 and HO-3) have been identified [1]. The isoforms are produced by different genes and show different tissue distributions and molecular properties. HO-1, first identified as a stress protein (32-kDa), may be induced in response to a broad spectrum of stimuli, including oxidants, heavy metals, NO, and immune stimulants [2, 3]. The second isoform HO-2, a 36-kDa protein, is constitutively expressed and present in high levels in the brain and testes [4]. Although not expressed in humans, the third isoform identified, HO-3 (33-kDa), is highly homologous to HO-2 but possesses significantly lower catalytic activity. HO-3 is considered as a pseudogene derived from HO-2. HO proteins can be localized in several subcellular compartments. Rat liver HO was first identified to be integrated into microsomes by embedding its C-terminal portion into membranes [5, 6]. HO-1 and HO-2 were also found in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) with an insertion sequence at the C-terminal region, while the rest of the protein is cytoplasmic [7–9]. HO-1 can be proteolytically cleaved from the ER and translocated to the nucleus after exposure to hemin or hypoxia, and this localization is linked to the transcriptional upregulation of cytoprotective genes [10]. HO-1 can also be translocated into mitochondria and is attributed as a novel cytoprotective mechanism against mitochondrial oxidative stress [11]. Interestingly, HO-1 is localized in plasma membrane caveolae when endothelial cells were exposed to stimuli, such as hemin, LPS, or hypoxia, in which caveolin-1 interacts with and modulates HO-1 activity [12], suggesting that caveolin-1 may act as an important regulatory molecule in CO-mediated signaling in endothelial cells. In the human body, both HO-1 and HO-2 catalyze three successive monooxygenation steps to convert intracellular heme to CO, biliverdin, and free iron.
(Figure 1(a)). Biliverdin is subsequently metabolized to bilirubin by biliverdin reductase, and the free iron induces ferritin synthesis. Thus, the predominant endogenous source of CO arises from oxidative degradation of heme by HO (Figure 1(a)). Although the majority of heme is derived from senescing red blood cells and ineffective erythropoiesis, a small fraction arises from the degradation of other heme proteins, such as myoglobin, catalase, peroxidases, and cytochromes [13]. Under pathological conditions, additional CO is produced via lipid peroxidation [14] and the metabolic activity of intestinal bacteria [15].

To adapt to challenges by unfavorable environmental conditions, living cells have evolved networks of different responses that control diverse forms of stimuli. One of the key adaptive responses is Nrf2-mediated transcriptional upregulation of HO-1. CO production by HO-1 not only influences O2 consumption during mitochondrial respiration by acting as a reversible inhibitor of cytochrome c oxidase in the electron transfer chain and as a guardian of cellular energy homeostasis, but also enhances O2 delivery by stimulating angiogenesis [16]. Moreover, CO produces superoxide and H2O2 from mitochondrial complex III by binding to cytochrome aa3 (complex IV) of the electron transport chain, thus, triggering the activation of redox-sensitive signaling pathways for cytoprotection and energy balance. Therefore, CO is increasingly accepted as a cytoprotective and homeostatic molecule with important signaling capabilities in physiological and pathological situations.

It has been proposed that cytoprotective roles of the HO-1/CO system are initiated by a series of molecular reactions or interactions, or both in response to changes in redox states of the cell [13]. The earliest event in cells and tissues exposed to a low concentration of CO is the rapid production of ROS (i.e., superoxide, H2O2, and hydroxyl radical). Accumulating evidence suggests that endogenous ROS stimulates cell proliferation, migration and tube formation, which are typical properties of angiogenesis. Moreover, these angiogenic effects can be regulated by endogenous antioxidant enzymes such as superoxide dismutase (SOD) and thioredoxin [17]. It is known that ROS modulates new blood vessel formation via regulation of various angiogenic factors such as hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF)-1α and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) [18]. As described above, CO can promote ROS production from mitochondria by inhibiting complex IV. These results suggest that CO can stimulate angiogenesis by elevating intracellular ROS levels. In addition, CO synthesized from the catalytic reaction of HO-1 induces the production of proangiogenic factors, such as VEGF, interleukin (IL)-8, and stromal-derived factor (SDF)-1 and decreases antiangiogenic mediators such as soluble VEGF receptor-1 (sFlt-1) and soluble endoglin (sEng). Consequently, these events lead to the promotion of endothelial cell proliferation, migration, and antiapoptotic responses [19–21]. In addition to CO, other endogenous products can play an obligatory role in HO-mediated regulation of vascular function, including angiogenesis. Biliverdin stimulates the induction of proangiogenic factors, such as VEGF and IL-8 in human keratinocytes [22]. Although, ferrous iron has not been shown to induce protein levels of HIF-1α and VEGF, this metal ion increases the synthesis of ferritin synthesis, which promotes angiogenesis by inhibiting the antiangiogenic activity of cleaved high-molecular-weight kininogen (HKa) [23] (Figure 1(b)).

Cells require O2 for energy metabolism, and modulators of mitochondrial oxidative metabolism can stimulate the supply of O2 and nutrients by improving blood circulation via angiogenesis. CO stimulates angiogenesis via production of intracellular ROS and angiogenic factors, but also stimulates mitochondrial biogenesis via induction of metabolic modulators such as peroxisome-proliferator-activated receptor-γ (PPAR-γ) and PPAR-γ coactivator-1α (PGC-1α) [24, 25]. Inhibition of CO-induced ROS by antioxidant enzymes (SOD and catalase), antimycin A (an inhibitor of complex III of the electron transport chain), or other antioxidants abrogates CO-induced PPAR-γ expression [24]. In addition, CO elicits a mild oxidative stress response that stimulates mitochondrial energy metabolism, PGC-1α protein expression, and mitochondrial DNA copy number [26]. These observations support the idea that CO ameliorates cellular energetics and stimulates mitochondrial biogenesis via a modest mitochondrial oxidative stress.

The HO-1/CO system has received considerable attention as a target for the development of effective therapeutic interventions against mitochondrial energy metabolism and degenerative vascular diseases, which are directly associated with cellular ROS generation. This paper will highlight the biological effects of CO on energy metabolism and angiogenesis in terms of redox states and discuss mechanisms by which this gas is currently exploited for disease prevention and therapeutic applications.

### 2. Physiological Significance of CO on Redox Control

Proximal targets for CO include those on or near the cellular surface, such as soluble guanylyl cyclase (sGC), heme-containing potassium channels, NOS, and NADPH oxidase (Nox). More distal cellular targets include mitochondria and heme-containing transcription factors such as BACH1 or NPAS2. Although CO can activate sGC to produce the cellular second messenger cGMP [27], it predominantly inhibits the activity of heme-proteins, such as Nox, to modulate the production of superoxide in tumor-necrosis-factor-(TNF-)α-stimulated endothelial cells (ECs) [28]. CO is known to inhibit O2 consumption by inhibiting mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase (COX), which is a terminal electron acceptor of the electron transport chain [29, 30]. Inhibition of COX by CO suppresses oxidative phosphorylation and reduces ATP production. Simultaneously, suppression of oxidative phosphorylation alters the redox state of the electron transport chain and produces ROS (e.g., superoxide and H2O2). Although superoxide and H2O2 are generally considered to be toxic byproducts of respiration, recent evidence suggests that ROS is an important modulator of eukaryotic signal transduction regulating biological processes as diverse as adaptation for oxidative stress, immune activation, and vascular remodeling in mammals [13, 31].
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2.1. Effects of CO on Mitochondrial ROS. ROS induced by HO-1-mediated CO production could be predominantly generated from mitochondria via inhibition of COX activity. Mitochondrial ROS production is modulated largely by the rate of electron flow through respiratory chain complexes. Mitochondria contain three classes of cytochromes, denoted $a$, $b$, and $c$, which are potential CO targets. CO binds to the reduced heme iron of COX, with a $K_i$ value of 0.3 $\mu$M, resulting in the formation of cytochrome $a$, $a_3$-CO complex, and its binding to COX is reversible and competitive with $O_2$. CO derived from HO-1 inhibits mitochondrial respiration by 12% under 20% $O_2$, but by 70% under 1% $O_2$ (reviewed in [13]). The primary effect of CO on mitochondria is to retard the rate of electron transport, thus enabling electrons to accumulate in the cytochrome $bcl$ region of complex III in the electron chain. In turn, electrons can be donated to molecular oxygen to generate superoxide and thereby increase the amount of the ROS oxidants in the cell to drive subsequent cellular redox signaling events (reviewed in [32]) (Figure 2).

Cells exposed to CO increase ROS generation, which is inhibited by antimycin A [33] and depletion of mitochondria [21], further confirming the implication of mitochondria as the source of CO-mediated ROS production. It has been also demonstrated that endogenous CO increases the reduction state of cytochrome $bcl$ of the respiratory chain in an animal model [25]. Treatment with exogenous CO-releasing compound CORM-2 stimulates platelet-derived-growth-factor-(PDGF-) induced ROS generation and inhibits vascular smooth muscle cell (VSMC) proliferation, which are reversed by the antioxidant $N$-acetylcysteine [34]. Authentic CO gas protects against lung ischemia-reperfusion injury via an increase in the activity of antioxidant enzymes such as MnSOD (SOD2) and glutathione by mitochondrial ROS generation [21]. These results implicate CO in physiological and pathological consequences of mitochondria-dependent ROS generation and redox signal cascades. Furthermore, regulation of mitochondrial function is critical for the control of oxidative stress and regulation of gene expression, especially in view of the fact that HO-1-deficient individuals are afflicted with excessive and persistent oxidant-mediated damage [35, 36]. These observations suggest that CO regulates mitochondrial function to generate ROS, which is responsible for controlling cellular redox states and adaptive responses for oxidative stress.

2.2. Effects of CO on NADPH Oxidase. CO can also regulate ROS generation from cytoplasmic membrane-bound hemoproteins, including NADPH oxidase. In phagocytic cells, NADPH oxidases consist of membrane-bound cytochrome $b_558$, comprising the catalytic gp91$^{phox}$ and the p22$^{phox}$ subunits, cytosolic regulatory components including p47$^{phox}$,
Figure 2: Effects of CO on targets of ROS generation in endothelial cells. CO binds to cytochrome c oxidase (COX), which is a terminal electron acceptor (complex IV) of the electron transport chain, which changes the redox state of the electron transport chain and produces ROS in mitochondria. CO-dependent mitochondrial superoxide is converted to H$_2$O$_2$ by SOD2 (Mn-SOD). Stimulation with TNF-α and LPS induces the recruitment of Nox2, p47$^{phox}$, and Rac1 into lipid rafts, thereby promoting Nox activation and ROS production. Superoxide interacts with eNOS-derived NO to produce peroxynitrite, which contributes to endothelial dysfunction. Binding of CO to the heme moiety of Nox and eNOS inhibits production of superoxide and NO, respectively.
the CO-releasing molecule CORM-A1 and endogenous HO-1 induction protects cerebral microvascular ECs from TNF-α-induced apoptosis by inhibiting Nox4-dependent ROS production [28]. In airway VSMCs, the CO donor CORM-2 decreases VSMC proliferation by inhibiting ERK1/2 MAPK phosphorylation and cyclin D1 expression via suppression of ROS generation from Nox [34]. Therefore, CO can play an important role in various cell functions, such as EC survival, VSMC proliferation, and angiogenesis by decreasing ROS generation via interaction with the heme iron of Nox.

Despite the differential effects of CO on mitochondria and Nox enzymes, crosstalk between mitochondrial and Nox-induced ROS has been suggested. Recent reports demonstrate that ROS production by hypoxic mitochondria induces Nox1 expression to amplify ROS generation [40, 41], thus, resulting in an elevation of cytosolic calcium to induce contraction in pulmonary artery VSMCs [41]. Does CO-induced ROS generation in mitochondria influence NADPH oxidase activity, resulting in the amplification of ROS? Lamon et al. [42] demonstrated that both authentic CO and the exogenous CO donor CORM-3 induces vasoconstriction in isolated interlobular arteries via mitochondrial production of superoxide, which is implicated in pathways associated with decreased NO bioavailability via direct interaction with NO as well as reduced cGMP production via oxidation of the heme moiety of sGC. These events were reversed by pharmacological inhibitors of multiple oxidases, including NADPH oxidase, resulting in the conversion of function of CO from constrictor to dilator [42]. Similarly, the antioxidants, biliverdin and bilirubin, can inhibit CO-induced superoxide production and vasoconstriction, allowing for a vasodilatory response to CO. These results suggest that CO can regulate vascular relaxation and constriction in a ROS-dependent manner.

2.3. Effects of CO on NOS. NOS, a heme-containing enzyme, predominantly produces not only NO from L-arginine and NADPH, but also superoxide from NADPH in the absence of L-arginine. NO rapidly reacts with O$_2^-$ to produce peroxynitrite (ONOO$^-$), a highly reactive nitrogen species that might elicit harmful effects to cells and could be removed via glutathione [43]. The contribution of CO to the regulation of NO is Janus-faced since low levels of CO increase steady-state levels of NO, without altering NOS activity, by competing against heme moieties, which are alternative targets or scavenging molecules for NO [44]. However, higher levels of CO inhibit NO synthase [45], indicating that the relationship between CO and NO is complex, dynamic, and adaptable. Thus, a high concentration of CO can inhibit NO synthesis and/or actions, interfering with vasodilatory mechanisms mediated by NO. It suggests that there are several mechanisms by which the HO/CO system might modulate NO-dependent biological events (reviewed in [13]). A first feasible mechanism is that HO can downregulate catalytic activities of hemoproteins, including NOS, via oxidative degradation of heme [46, 47]. A second possibility is related to substrate availability. Since both HO and NOS enzymes use NADPH as a reducing equivalent and molecular O$_2$ as cosubstrates, both enzyme activities under normal conditions may depend on their competition for these substrates. Thus, both enzymes may regulate each other’s activity through reciprocal competition with these substrates. A third potential mechanism is associated with a key observation which indicates that NOS activity is directly inhibited by CO in vitro [48]. This observation suggests that an inhibitory function of CO on NO generation appears to be mediated by CO binding to the prosthetic heme of NOS (Figure 2). A high concentration of CO, as much as 1 mM, should be available to generate this inhibition. However, CO concentrations in tissues have been reported to be only on the order of ~1 μM, significantly lower than the required concentration of CO for the inhibition. Consequently, the reciprocal regulatory mechanism between HO/CO pathway and NOS/NO axis in vivo relies on local concentrations of CO, which may be significantly higher than global averages would suggest.

CO has been shown to function as both a vasoconstrictor and vasodilator. While the majority of data supports a pro-dilatory role for CO in the liver where endogenous NO production appears low [49], evidence for vasodilator effects of CO under sufficient amounts of NO has also emerged [50]. High levels of CO can act as a negative regulator of eNOS activity by interacting with its catalytic heme moiety in ECs, leading to suppression of NO production and elevation of vasoconstriction [45, 51]. Specific overexpression of HO-1 in VSMCs exhibits systemic hypertension through attenuation of NO-induced vasodilation [50]. Lamon et al. [42] showed that inhibition of NOS with L-NAME reduces CO-induced elevation in superoxide levels, consequently inducing vasodilation. Since ROS is implicated in pathways associated with direct vasoconstriction as well as impairment of vasodilation, CO produced in the vasculature may promote vasoconstriction in a ROS-dependent manner. The result showing that antioxidants can convert a role of CO from a vasoconstrictor to vasodilator role [42] supports this idea.

Although CO can function as vasodilator, as described above, exogenous CO was first shown to dilate rat coronary arteries and proposed to act in a manner similar to NO, that is, via activation of sGC to produce cGMP [52, 53]. Although CO binds sGC with 30–100-fold less potency than NO, this gas is able to efficiently activate sGC in physiological settings. Apparent discrepancy, as to whether or not CO functions as a vasodilator or vasoconstrictor, is thought to depend on intracellular redox potential of vascular ECs exposed to CO. There are two potential regulatory systems for controlling vascular tone in CO-exposed endothelium, which simultaneously produce both CO-mediated ROS and eNOS-dependent NO. Firstly, ECs containing high levels of redox potential decrease intracellular levels of ROS, particularly superoxide as a NO scavenger and increase cGMP production from sGC by NO and CO, leading to promotion of vasorelaxation. Secondly, cells with low antioxidant levels lead to an increase in intracellular ROS accumulation and a decrease in NO bioavailability, allowing for vasoconstriction. Therefore, differential effects of CO on the regulation of vascular tone depend on intracellular
levels of ROS from mitochondria and Nox. Furthermore, mitochondrial ROS generated by CO can be involved in the activation of other oxidases, further increasing intracellular ROS level and redox-mediated signaling pathways. Therefore, vasoregulatory mechanisms associated with CO rely on the relationship between CO and ROS generation.

NO and related reactive nitrogen species are among the most potent inducers of the HO-1 gene [54]. NO applied as a gas or released from chemical donor compounds markedly induces HO-1 activity through transcriptional and posttranscriptional regulation [54], resulting in CO-mediated cytoprotective effects. Interestingly, exposure of hepatocytes to exogenous CO resulted in a rapid induction of iNOS expression via nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB) activation and a subsequent increase in NO production, which is required for the protective effect of CO. Conversely, the protective effect of NO is required for CO production via upregulation of HO-1 expression [55]. These results indicate that both NOS/NO and HO-1/CO pathways are involved in protective effects and vascular function via synergistic interaction between these two pathways.

To summarize, although NO acts as a principal vasodilator, NO alone does not solely dominate the control of vascular tone. CO derived from HO-1 may play dual functions in vasoregulation by activating sGC similar to NO (vasodilation) or by promoting direct inhibition of eNOS activity and ROS generation (vasonstriction). This differential effect can be generated depending on local concentrations of CO [56]. In addition, reciprocal crosstalk between NOS/NO and HO-1/CO pathways are required for the cytoprotective effect showing an essential synergy between these two molecules in tandem. Organs and cells are specialized in their ability to produce different gases (i.e., CO and NO) and modulate their diverse signals via ROS generation at different rate. As such, this would imply a critical role for CO, NO, and ROS in maintaining vascular tone as well as cytoprotective activity.

3. Physiological Significance of CO on Angiogenesis

Oxygen homeostasis is of critical importance for maintaining the viability of all tissues. Lack of sufficient tissue oxygenation is predominantly caused by impaired blood flow. Hypoxia or ischemia results in the upregulation of HIF-1α, which is an important O2 sensor. HIF-1α regulates several stages of vessel formation, ranging from EC fate decisions to vasculogenesis and angiogenesis [56]. Recently, we reported that overexpression of HO-1 stabilizes HIF-1α protein in astrocytes cells, leading to upregulation of VEGF expression [57]. HIF-1α can also activate the transcription of SDF-1 (also known as CXCL12) in ECs, resulting in increases in the adhesion, migration, and homing of circulating CXCR4- (a cognate receptor for SDF-1) positive progenitor cells to ischemic tissue [58]. Thus, the HO-1/CO pathway promotes angiogenesis by increasing HIF-1α-mediated proangiogenic factors.

Genetic and gene transfer studies have shed light on the distinct roles of HO-1 in angiogenesis. Mice lacking the functional HO-1 gene are embryonically lethal in >95% of all fertilizations, suggesting that the HO-1/CO axis may play an important role in prenatal angiogenesis [35, 59]. In fact, HO-1 knockout mice showed lower basal and H2O2-induced production of the strong proangiogenic factor VEGF [60], VEGF can elevate HO-1 expression and activity in ECs and vice versa, and VEGF-induced angiogenesis is inhibited by the HO-1 antagonist [61], suggesting a positive-feedback loop between VEGF and the HO-1/CO pathway for synergistic promotion of angiogenesis. HO-derived CO contributes to angiogenesis by increasing the synthesis of VEGF, HO-1 and SDF-1 and potentiating their effects on ECs [62–64]. CO also induces VEGF synthesis in the EC-surrounding cells such as VSMCs, macrophages, and astrocytes [22, 57, 65]. The systemic effect of CO on VEGF expression was shown by Marti and Risau [66], who demonstrated that animals kept for 6 h in an atmosphere containing 0.1% CO exhibited significant induction of VEGF and VEGF receptors in various organs. These pieces of evidence implicate a link between HO-1/CO, HIF-1α, VEGF, and SDF-1 for the promotion of angiogenesis.

3.1. HO-1/CO-Induced VEGF Expression via HIF-1α. Angiogenesis is an adaptive response to hypoxia under the master command of HIF. HIF-1α is a potent inducer of VEGF, and VEGF is a pivotal mediator of vasculogenesis, angiogenesis, and vascular permeability. Mitochondrial ROS may diffuse to the cytosol where it activates a variety of signaling pathways, resulting in divergent biological responses such as the activation of HIF-α. All three isoforms, HIF-1, HIF-2, and HIF-3 are composed of two subunits: HIF-α and HIF-β. Since the first discovery of HIF-1 in 1992, a variety of O2-sensing genes encoding proteins that mediate cell survival, metabolism, and angiogenesis have been identified to be regulated by HIF-1α [56]. A critical regulatory mechanism for HIF-1α stability is mainly associated with its rapid ubiquitin-mediated proteosomal degradation. In the presence of oxygen, proline hydroxylation of HIF-1α by prolyl hydroxylase (PHD) activity is a critical step for regulating its protein level. PHD belongs to an α-ketoglutarate (2-oxoglutarate)-dependent dioxygenase superfamily [67], which uses O2 as a cosubstrate to add a hydroxyl group to specific proline residues at 402 and 564 within the oxygen-dependent degradation domain of HIF-1α [68] (Figure 3). This enzyme requires Fe2+ to assemble into its active conformation, and the oxidation of Fe2+ to Fe3+ abolishes the catalytic activity of PHD [69]. Therefore, oxidizing agents, including ROS, inhibit PHD activity via oxidation of iron, while reductants or antioxidants, such as ascorbate and cysteine, reduce the Fe3+ back to Fe2+ in order for the enzyme to be recycled [70, 71]. Therefore, cellular redox potential can affect PHD activity and HIF-1α-dependent VEGF expression (Figure 3).

Treatments of cells with exogenous H2O2 and growth factors, which induce H2O2 production are sufficient to stabilize HIF-1α under normoxic condition [71]. The cells deficient in junD, which is responsible for antioxidant gene
Figure 3: CO-induced HIF-1α stabilization via ROS-dependent and -independent manners. ROS produced from mitochondria by CO inhibit PHD activity, resulting in inhibition of pVHL-mediated proteasomal degradation of HIF-1α. CO-mediated ROS production can inhibit PTEN, consequently activating Akt/mTOR-mediated HIF-1α translation. In addition, CO directly promotes the binding of HIF-1α/Hsp90, which stabilizes the HIF-1α protein in a ROS-independent manner.

expression, accumulates intracellular ROS and reduces PHD activity [71]. A significant amount of supportive data has proposed a role of mitochondrial ROS in the regulation of HIF-1α stability [72], and the exogenous addition or endogenous expression of antioxidants is sufficient in some systems to reverse hypoxia-induced HIF-1α activation. Furthermore, Bell et al. [73] have provided strength to the possibility of a role for ROS in mitochondrial signaling to the HIF pathway in hypoxia, using the mitochondrial-targeted antioxidant Mito-Q. This group demonstrated that the Qo site of the mitochondrial complex III is necessary for the generation of mitochondrial ROS in hypoxia. Critical evidence suggesting a mitochondrial oxygen sensor was revealed with the discovery that ρ0 Hep3B cells, which contain no mitochondrial DNA and thus no electron transport, are incapable of HIF-1 DNA binding activity and erythropoietin expression following hypoxia [74]. In addition, ROS derived from Nox can be also involved in the induction of HIF-1α under normoxia and hypoxia in vascular cells. Görlich et al. [75] reported that overexpression of Rac1 increased HIF-1α expression through ROS. Thus, the Rac1/Nox/ROS pathway plays an important role in the upregulation of HIF-1α and VEGF expression in response to VEGF and hypoxia.

CO has been demonstrated to increase HIF-1α stability in macrophages, leading to the protection of lungs from ischemia-reperfusion injury [21]. This effect is dependent on ROS production from mitochondria and leads to increased synthesis of TGF-β [21]. However, precise mechanisms by which CO promotes HIF-1α stability and VEGF expression in ECs remain unclear. Our previous results showed that conditioned media from astrocytes treated with CORM-2 significantly increased HUVEC proliferation, migration, and tube formation, which was inhibited by treatment with a VEGF-neutralizing antibody [57]. In addition, CO increases HIF-1α-dependent VEGF expression in astrocytes by dual mechanisms. Firstly, CO promotes de novo protein synthesis of HIF-1α through activation of the PI3-K/Akt/mTOR and MEK/ERK pathways responsible for activation of translational machinery. Secondly, CO stabilizes the HIF-1α protein through functional activation of Hsp90, which inhibits proteosomal degradation by interacting with HIF-1α [57]. Consistent with our data, recent studies demonstrated that
Hsp90α directly interacts with HIF-1α and protects it from oxygen- and PHD-independent ubiquitination and degradation [76, 77] (Figure 3), resulting in elevation of HIF-1α protein levels and VEGF expression. However, mechanisms by which CO regulates the interaction between HIF-1α and Hsp90α should be further investigated.

Although low concentrations of CO can rapidly stabilize HIF-1α by mitochondria-dependent ROS generation [21] or by the functional activation of Hsp90 [57], high levels of CO suppressed hypoxia-induced increases in HIF-1 stability and Epo mRNA expression. CO had no effect on the elevation of HIF-1 activity and Epo expression by the free iron chelator desferrioxamine [78], indicating that CO acts presumably as a heme ligand binding to the oxygen sensor. In fact, CO decreases the binding activity of HIF-1 to hypoxia-responsive cis-acting element of target genes without altering HIF-1 protein levels through the elevation of cGMP cellular levels [79]. The contribution of CO to the regulation of HIF-1α-dependent pathway is somewhat complicated since CO is reported to stabilize HIF-1α in normoxia, whereas this gaseous molecule can promote HIF-1α degradation under hypoxia [80, 81]. These pieces of evidence indicate that the effect of CO on HIF-1 activity is dependent on multiple factors, as described above. Therefore, a delicate balance between CO, ROS, and O2 appears to determine the collective outcome of HIF-dependent physiological events.

3.2. HO-1/CO-Induced Angiogenesis via SDF-1. HO-1/CO is closely linked to the proangiogenic effects of SDF-1. Mice exposed to CO gas (250 ppm) for 2 hours per day enhance reendothelialization after vascular injury by increasing circulating endothelial progenitor cells (EPCs) and elevating the serum level of SDF-1 [63]. SDF-1 also promotes angiogenesis via a HO-1-dependent mechanism in EPCs and aortic ECs isolated from wild-type, but not from HO-1−/− mice [82]. HO-1−/− ECs and EPCs show defective angiogenic responses in SDF-1-induced proliferation and migration [82], indicating that SDF-1 promotes angiogenesis via HO-1 induction. Local gene transfer of SDF-1 enhances ischemia-induced vasculogenesis and angiogenesis in vivo through elevation of VEGF expression and NO production [83], suggesting that the VEGF/eNOS pathway is critically involved in SDF-1-induced vascular remodeling. In addition, both endogenous and exogenous NO increase angiogenesis through the elevation of VEGF and SDF-1 production and steady-state levels of NO [44]. These findings indicate that a positively coordinated link among HO-1/CO, eNOS, VEGF, and SDF-1 can provide new avenues for ischemia-induced neovascularization in adults. Moreover, these pieces of evidence support a vital role of HO-1 and its reaction byproduct, CO, in vascular repair by enhancing endothelial progenitor cell mobilization.

Regulation of vascular function by SDF-1 is most likely linked to ROS generation and relative O2 availability. SDF-1 did not induce chemotaxis and invasion in NOx-deficient stem and progenitor cells by blunting SDF-1-mediated phosphorylation of Akt [84]. Ceradini et al. [58] reported that recruitment of CXCR4-positive progenitor cells to regenerating tissues is mediated by hypoxic gradients via HIF-1α-induced expression of SDF-1, resulting in increases in adhesion, migration, and homing of circulating CXCR4-positive progenitor cells to ischemic tissue. Therefore, we speculate that coordinated crossstalk among CO, ROS, and HIF-1α appears to determine the collective outcome of SDF1-dependent neovascularization.

3.3. CO-Mediated Angiogenesis and Mitochondrial Metabolism. Inhibition of the respiratory chain by CO results in hypoxia, leading to the retardation of ATP turnover and accumulation of mitochondrial biogenic sensors. Recently, the crosslink between angiogenic factors and mitochondrial biogenic factors has become of great interest. Therefore, it would be worthwhile to describe the interrelationship between mitochondrial biogenesis and angiogenesis, which are regulated by the HO-1/CO pathway. Binding of CO to a heme moiety of COX increases mitochondrial ROS production [85], the prosurvival PI3-K/Akt pathway [86], and stimulation of mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) replication by oxidant-dependent phosphorylation of nuclear respiratory factor 1 (NRF1) and expression of the mitochondrial transcription factor A (Tfam) [87]. Suppression of CO-mediated mitochondrial ROS production and Akt activation by catalase and a PI3K inhibitor has been shown to prevent binding of NRF1 to the Tfam promoter, suggesting that CO promotes mtDNA replication by elevating ROS production from mitochondria [25]. The findings indicate that CO and ROS play a complementary role in mitochondrial biogenesis.

An example of metabolic regulators regulated by CO is the PPARs, which are ligand-activated transcription factors that govern the expression of genes involved in the regulation of lipid and glucose metabolism. Three isoforms, PPAR-α, PPAR-β/δ, and PPAR-γ, are expressed in vascular cells and regulate vascular functions via regulation of vascular inflammation, EC function, and VSMC proliferation [88]. PPAR-α can inhibit VSMC proliferation, while PPAR-β/δ activators upregulate the expression of VEGF, resulting in enhanced EC proliferation, sprouting, and tube formation [89, 90]. PPAR-γ agonists increase VEGF expression in human VSMC cells [91] and induce EC tube formation and neovascularization in the murine corneal angiogenic model [92]. The effects of PPAR-γ are very similar to those of CO when examined in identical experimental models, regarding anti-inflammatory and antiproliferative effects [93–95]. PPAR-γ is upregulated by CO in macrophages in vitro and in vivo [24]. The suppression of CO-mediated ROS generation or accumulation by antimycin A and antioxidant (SOD and catalase) abrogated CO-induced PPAR-γ expression, suggesting that mitochondrial ROS generation by CO is required for PPAR-γ induction [24]. PPAR-γ reduces superoxide formation by upregulating Cu/Zn-SOD (SOD1) and downregulating the p22phox component of the Nox system [96] and enhances NO production by increasing eNOS phosphorylation and its interaction with Hsp90 [97]. Akin to NO, the beneficial effects of PPAR-α and PPAR-γ on the vascular wall may also stem from HO-1 induction [98].
Another metabolic regulator is adenosine monophosphate protein kinase (AMPK), which is activated upon energy deprivation, stimulates glucose uptake, and attenuates energy-consuming processes. AMPK leads to increases in NO production via phosphorylation-dependent activation of eNOS and upregulation of VEGF levels, resulting in improved revascularization of ischemic limbs [99]. Recent studies show that a HO-1 activator, hemin, improves insulin sensitivity and glucose metabolism by enhancing adiponectin levels, AMPK activation, and translocation of glucose transporter-4 in diabetes models [100, 101]. AMPK can also enhance SIRT1 (NAD+-dependent class III histone deacetylase) activity by increasing cellular NAD+ levels, resulting in the deacetylation and modulation of downstream SIRT1 targets, including PGC-1α [102]. Modest increases in cellular CO concentrations (150 pmol/mg) activate mitochondrial biogenesis via upregulation of PGC-1α by increasing mitochondrial ROS production and activating sGC and Akt in mouse hearts [25].

Therefore, the HO-1/CO pathway controls vascular homeostasis and mitochondrial biogenesis by regulating ROS generation and metabolic modulators (PPARs, AMPK, SIRT1, and PGC-1α). These lines of evidence suggest that CO acts as an extraordinary signaling molecule by regulating not only angiogenesis but also mitochondrial energy metabolism in a ROS-dependent manner.

4. Role of CO in Vasculopathy and Stroke

HO-1 is one of the most redox-sensitive genes described in nature so far; its expression is regulated by an array of conditions that are associated with a moderate or persistent imbalance of the intracellular redox state, which is the common denominator in the development of most human pathologies. Elevation of intracellular ROS levels activates the Nrf2 pathway and induces HO-1, leading to CO production [103]. In addition, CO produced by HO-1 or exogenous delivery also increases the transcriptional induction of HO-1, probably by mitochondrial ROS generation [104]. These results indicate that there is positive circuit between ROS/Nrf2 and HO-1/CO pathways, leading to continuous production of CO. Endogenous and exogenous CO protects cells from cytotoxicity induced by pathological levels of ROS, which is an important factor in the pathogenesis of human diseases, including atherosclerosis, restenosis, neointimal hyperplasia, and stroke.

4.1. CO in Vascular Disorders. Atherosclerosis, restenosis, and neointimal hyperplasia are the most common vascular disorders and result in significant long-term morbidity and mortality. Their pathogenesis is multifactorial and the common initiating event appears to be endothelial dysfunction and subsequent overproliferation of the underlying SMCs. CO has emerged as a potently protective, homeostatic molecule that prevents the development of vascular disorders when administered prophylactically. Growing evidence reveals a close relationship between oxidative stress and endothelial dysfunction [105]. Enhanced ROS production and decreased antioxidant levels potentially contribute to endothelial dysfunction by regulating NO production, vascular inflammation, and apoptosis. In addition, ROS promotes vascular remodeling via stimulation of VSMC proliferation. However, CO improves function, proliferation, and survival of ECs, but inhibits VSMC proliferation [106]. These observations suggest that the HO-1/CO pathway prevents vascular disorders via improvement of endothelial function and suppression of VSMC proliferation.

Aortic transplantation in HO-1-deficient mice results in 100% mortality within 4 days as a consequence of severe arterial thrombosis. Notably, treatment of these mice with CORM-2 considerably improved survival (62% survival at >56 days) [107]. HO-1 gene transfer into the vessel wall or systemic CO delivery resulted in a significant reduction in intimal hyperplasia in a rat model of allogenic aorta transplantation compared to controls by decreasing leukocyte activation, adhesion molecule expression, and VSMC accumulation in the intima [108]. HO-1 gene transfer and CO delivery showed a reduction in the number of leukocytes and expression of adhesion molecules and cytokines, with gene transfer treatment displaying a more pronounced effect than CO treatment. Conversely, CO exerts a greater inhibitory effect on VSMC accumulation in the intima than HO-1 gene delivery. These studies indicate that the beneficial effects of HO-1 gene expression on vascular inflammation and VSMC proliferation are associated with CO production, but possibly also with other antioxidant end products (biliverdin and bilirubin) from heme degradation by HO-1.

Higher levels of HO-1 expression exhibit a lower restenosis and cardiac vasculopathy after balloon angioplasty and heart transplantation compared with low HO-1 response to stress stimuli [109, 110]. In addition, direct exposure to CO suppresses restenosis after carotid balloon injury in rats and mice, which is closely associated with a strong inhibition of VSMC proliferation, constrictive neointimal hyperplasia, and recurrent lumen narrowing [111]. These effects probably elicit the generation of cGMP, activation of p38 MAPK, and upregulation of the cyclin-dependent protein kinase inhibitor p21 [111]. It has been also shown that probucol, a drug used to prevent restenosis, inhibits intimal thickening by inhibiting the proliferation of VSMCs via induction of HO-1 [112]. These evidences indicate that the HO-1/CO pathway plays an important role in the suppressive effect on VSMC proliferation, subsequently preventing neointimal hyperplasia and restenosis.

Inhalation of authentic CO gas suppresses established pulmonary arterial hypertension by upregulating eNOS expression and NO production, as well as elicits a simultaneous increase in apoptosis and decrease in VSMC proliferation [113], supporting the use of CO clinically to treat pulmonary hypertension and vasculopathy. CO gas activates the Akt-eNOS-retinoblastoma axis, which is responsible for survival, proliferation, and migration of ECs, leading to the promotion of reendothelialization [106]. CO accelerates reendothelialization following balloon angioplasty in rats and wire injury in mice, and neovascularization does not occur in mice lacking eNOS, suggesting that CO requires NO to enhance reendothelialization of denuded vessels.
CORM-3 causes a rapid and prompt vasorelaxation and hypotension via a cGMP-dependent and endothelium-dependent manner [51]. In concert with NO, CO-releasing compounds exert therapeutically beneficial effects on vascular damage and dysfunction, which cause hypertension, intimal hyperplasia, and restenosis, by promoting vasorelaxation and reendothelialization.

It has been shown that HO-1 downregulates expression of vascular inflammatory molecules, such as ICAM-1, VCAM-1, and E-selectin in TNF-α-stimulated ECs [114], consequently blocking attachment of monocytes/leukocytes to endothelium and inhibiting subsequent transmigration across the subendothelial basal lamina. CORM-2-liberated CO significantly reduced LPS-induced ROS generation, NF-κB activation, and ICAM-1 expression in primary cultured HUVECs (Figure 4(a)), resulting in a decrease in interaction between neutrophils and LPS-stimulated HUVECs (Figure 4(b)) [115]. We have recently found that the HO-1/CO pathway suppresses expression of the adhesion molecules, ICAM-1 and VCAM-1, in TNF-1α-stimulated human ECs by inhibiting intracellular ROS levels and NF-κB activation [116] (Figure 4). Moreover, in vivo induction of HO-1 by specific Keap-1 knockdown reduced the expression of ICAM-1 and VCAM-1 in endotoxemic mice, leading to the protection from liver and lung injuries and lethality [117]. NF-κB plays a crucial function in the expression of various inflammatory genes, such as cytokine genes and adhesion molecules. This transcription factor is activated by two distinct signaling pathways, receptor-mediated phosphorylation-dependent signal cascade [118] and ROS-mediated redox cascade [119]. CO downregulates phosphorylation-dependent NF-κB (canonical pathway) activation by increasing interaction between caveolin-1 and TLR4 in LPS-stimulated macrophages (Figure 4), preventing TLR4 association with MyD88. The association between TLR4 and MyD88 activates IκB kinase activity, leading to NF-κB activation via p65 phosphorylation and nuclear translocation [120, 121]. On the other hand, CO inhibits NADPH oxidase activity and ROS generation in LPS-stimulated macrophages [51]. Similarly, we also found that HO-1/CO inhibited cellular levels of ROS, which play a role of intracellular signal molecules in activating NF-κB activation for adhesion molecule expression, in TNF-α-stimulated HUVECs [117, 122]. These observations indicate that HO-1 induction or CO delivery can regulate inflammation-mediated vascular disorders, including atherosclerosis, via suppression of NF-κB activation by inhibiting both NADPH oxidase-mediated ROS generation and phosphorylation-dependent cascade.

Although HO-1/CO can accelerate pathologic conditions (tumor and retinopathy) via persistent angiogenic vascular activation, HO-1 induction or exogenous CO delivery imparts potent cytotoxic and homeostatic actions in preclinical models. The beneficial activities mediated by HO-1/CO play a key role in regulating EC function, VSMC proliferation, and inflammation responsible for pathogenesis of vascular diseases, such as hypertension, restenosis, and atherosclerosis in part by regulating ROS-mediated multiple signal pathways.

4.2. CO in Stroke. The involvement of the HO/CO system has received a considerable amount of attention as a target for therapeutic interventions against degenerative and inflammatory diseases in the central nervous system, including stroke. Stroke is a clinical condition in which the blood supply to the brain is limited or severed by embolism and blood clotting or by the rupture of blood vessels and subsequent cerebral hemorrhage. Brain ECs closely interact with other types of cells, such as astrocytes, microglia, and neurons, to form a functional “neurovascular unit,” which maintains proper brain homeostasis. Free heme released from hemoglobin of erythrocytes following cerebral hemorrhage may contribute to oxidative toxicity [123]. Heme toxicity has been shown to occur in ECs [124] and brain cells [125].

Although protective in pathological conditions, HO is not suggested to be as beneficial for neurons as it is for other cell types. Free heme levels are thought to increase with ischemic insults due to the hydrolysis of heme proteins. Astrocytes isolated from HO-1-deficient animals were more vulnerable to the toxicity of hemoglobin and to hemin than wild-type cells [126, 127]. HO-1 is essential for the resistance of astrocytes to hemoglobin but has no protective effect in neurons. As a consequence of the differential effects of HO-1 in neurons and in astrocytes, it can be important to induce HO-1 overexpression selectively in astrocytes. An interesting approach has been utilized to express HO-1 in astrocytes using the glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) promoter. Ad-GFAP-HO-1 gene transfer resulted in exclusive overexpression of HO-1 in astrocytes, but not in neurons and was able to reduce hemin-induced cell death [128]. In contrast to HO-1, HO-2 gene deletion attenuated oxidative stress of neurons exposed to heme, thus suggesting that HO-2/CO exacerbates oxidative injury of neuronal cells by cerebral hemorrhage [129–131].

However, many recent studies using stroke models suggest cytoprotective effects of HO-1 and HO-2, both in neurons and astrocytes. These conflicting results may arise since HO products can reduce or induce oxidative damage, depending on the concentration and environment. HO-1 induction is generally considered an adaptive cytoprotective response against the toxicity of oxidative stress. Overexpression of HO-1 in the mouse brain reveals a reduction in infarct volumes induced by middle cerebral artery occlusion [132]. When challenged acutely with the excitotoxic amino acid glutamate, the viability of primary neuronal cells from HO-1-knockout mice was lowered, compared with those of wild type [133]. HO-1 overexpression also significantly protected neurons from glutamate- and H2O2-induced cell death [134]. Inducible HO-1 is not normally detectable in an unaffected brain, whereas constitutive HO-2 is highly expressed in brain cells, neurons, astrocytes, and cerebral ECs [135, 136] and appears to play an important role in the protection of the brain from stroke. HO-2 appears to protect against lipid peroxidation-mediated cell loss and impaired motor recovery after traumatic brain injury [137], whereas its deletion exacerbated cerebral hemorrhage-induced brain edema [138]. These lines of evidence indicate that
both HO-1 and HO-2 protect neuronal cells and cerebral ECs from glutamate- and ROS-mediated cell death.

Ischemic stroke resulting from vascular disorders induces several biochemical and cellular reactions such as increased ROS production, inflammatory response, impairment of blood-brain barrier, and calcium overload. During reperfusion, ROS concentration is further raised to a peak point, which causes cytotoxicity through lipid peroxidation, oxidation of proteins, and DNA fragmentation [139, 140]. Increasing evidence has considered HO-1, Hsp70, antiapoptotic Bcl-2 family, and antioxidant enzymes as a therapeutic funnel in a number of pathophysiological situations and has labeled these several protective genes as the “vitagene system” [141]. Exogenous treatment of CO increases Hsp70 expression by elevating p38 MPAK activity and subsequent nuclear translocation of HSF-1 and protects ECs from TNF-α-induced apoptosis [142] and retinal cells form ischemia/reperfusion injury [143]. In addition, exogenous CO increases the expression of antiapoptotic Bcl-2 and Bcl-Xi, in an animal model and cultured ECs and protects mice from ischemia/reperfusion injury [144]. HO-1 overexpression has been also shown to be neuroprotective in a model of permanent middle cerebral artery by increasing Bcl-2 levels in neurons [132]. A recent study demonstrates that CO protects astrocytes against oxidative stress-induced apoptosis by improving metabolic functions, namely: (i) inhibition of apoptosis, (ii) stimulation of COX activity, and (iii) mitochondrial biogenesis, particularly enhancing Bcl-2 expression [145]. Treatment of mice with the water-soluble CO-releasing molecule CORM-3 significantly increases expression of extracellular SOD and cFLIP(L), compared with control mice. Moreover, CORM-3 induced a delayed protection against myocardial infarction similar to that observed in the late phase of ischemic preconditioning [146]. Therefore, HO-1/CO can protect against ischemic stroke and other oxidative neuronal cytotoxicity by upregulating the expression of vitagenes.

5. Therapeutic Effects of CO

There is an abundance of preclinical evidence in large and small animals demonstrating the beneficial effects of CO, administered as an authentic CO gas or as a CO-releasing molecule CORM, in cardiovascular disease, stroke, sepsis, transplanted organ rejection, and acute organ injury [35, 147]. The first single, blinded, randomized, placebo-controlled Phase I trial to evaluate the clinical safety and pharmacokinetics of inhaled CO in healthy humans has recently been completed (reviewed in [35]). Authentic CO gas has been evaluated for safety in Phase I, testing in healthy humans with rigorous dose-escalation studies and was acceptable for Phase II testing, which is currently ongoing. Since a larger number of people are studied, further information is gained on safety during this study. In addition, the Phase III study is currently evaluating the safety and potential efficacy of inhaled CO in subjects with severe pulmonary arterial hypertension.

The technology is now in place to bring CO to clinical applications in the form of inhaled gaseous therapy or through the use of potentially parenteral and orally active CORMs. CO gas is nonreactive, inexpensive, and straightforward to produce and thus is easily administrated as an
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6. Summary

The significant actions of biological gaseous molecules, such as CO and NO, generated by HO and NOS, respectively, have attracted substantial interest. The reciprocal interactions between CO and NO are complex, dynamic, and adaptable. Numerous experiments demonstrate that CO is involved in cellular adaptation to oxidative stress and vascular dysfunction, leading to the maintenance of cellular and vascular homeostasis. CO produced by HO can stimulate a prooxidant milieu in aerobic cells by promoting ROS-dependent signaling, resulting in the activation of redox-sensitive transcription factors such as HIF-1α. CO signaling through the regulation of ROS production has been implicated in a number of cellular regulatory processes, including cell proliferation, angiogenesis, mitochondrial biogenesis, and adaptation to oxidative stress. In addition, CO elicits a beneficial effect in animal models of oxidative injury, vascular diseases, and stroke. Although CORMs have been developed for tissue-selective agents for disease-specific treatments, CORMs and CO gas are still in the early stages of clinical treatment. As a consequence, understanding the mechanism by which CO regulates oxidative stress and vascular function will assist in the medical battle against vascular diseases and oxidative stress.
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