High-resolution spatiotemporal transcriptome mapping of tomato fruit development and ripening
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Tomato (Solanum lycopersicum) is an established model for studying fruit biology; however, most studies of tomato fruit growth and ripening are based on homogenized pericarp, and do not consider the internal tissues, or the expression signatures of individual cell and tissue types. We present a spatiotemporally resolved transcriptome analysis of tomato fruit ontogeny, using laser microdissection (LM) or hand dissection coupled with RNA-Seq analysis. Regulatory and structural gene networks, including families of transcription factors and hormone synthesis and signaling pathways, are defined across tissue and developmental spectra. The ripening program is revealed as comprising gradients of gene expression, initiating in internal tissues then radiating outward, and basipetally along a latitudinal axis. We also identify spatial variations in the patterns of epigenetic control superimposed on ripening gradients. Functional studies elucidate previously masked regulatory phenomena and relationships, including those associated with fruit quality traits, such as texture, color, aroma, and metabolite profiles.
The fleshy fruits of angiosperms have evolved elaborate physiological and biochemical processes to promote animal attraction, consumption, and seed dispersal. These involve the interplay of numerous gene regulatory networks that are associated with diverse mechanisms of hormonal and epigenetic control throughout fruit ontogeny. Tomato (Solanum lycopersicum) has emerged as the principal model to study fleshy fruit development and ripening, and to investigate the molecular bases of commercially important traits, including fruit set, size, texture, color, flavor, aroma, and nutritional quality, which support an industry with an estimated annual market value of >$55 billion. Such targeted studies are supported by large-scale "omics"-based analyses of tomato fruit, including transcriptome, proteome, metabolome, and epigenome profiling initiatives.

Fruit anatomy is complex, with distinct interacting tissue and cell types, many of which undergo major changes in morphology during development, reflecting their functional diversification and specialization. However, most studies of tomato fruit biology to date have focused on only the pericarp, ignoring the various internal tissues that play diverse and potentially fundamental roles in fruit biology. As just one example, the expression patterns of families of genes that encode polysaccharide modifying proteins have been extensively studied in the pericarp, where they contribute to cell wall assembly during growth and disassembly during ripening. However, the importance of such genes in processes such as the gellation of the locular tissue during ripening, or the structural degradation of other internal supporting tissues has not been addressed. Moreover, the common practice of homogenizing the isolated pericarp material prior to extracting analytes eliminates the possibility of acquiring cell-type-associated spatial information, and can dilute lower abundance molecules below the limits of detection. Laser microdissection (LM) has been used to demonstrate the application of high-resolution transcriptome mapping of fruit tissue/cell-dependent genetic networks including in cuticle, cell wall, and carbohydrate metabolism, but such spatially resolved studies have only targeted fruit initiation or early fruit expansion. Other transcriptomic studies of plant organ/tissue development have resolved spatially or temporally specific patterns of gene expression at tissue or cell-level resolution, such as analyses of seeds and roots. However, current perspectives of the gene expression landscape in tomato fruit are of low spatial resolution and those related to a large portion of the fruit are missing entirely. Consequently, despite decades of research into the core molecular mechanisms underlying processes such as fruit expansion, softening, color change, and the accumulation of phytonutrients, the lack of holistic and high-resolution gene expression data remains a major barrier to advancing progress in understanding many areas of fruit biology.

We present here a comprehensive tomato fruit transcriptome atlas, incorporating global gene expression and co-expression data at a cell- or tissue-type level of resolution, including five pericarp cell/tissue types, whole pericarp and five fruit internal tissues, across the gamut of developmental stages, spanning early fruit growth and ripening. In addition, we take into account the fact that tomato fruit ripen along a latitudinal gradient, starting at the stylar, or blossom, end and spreading basipetally to the stem end, and examine transcriptional dynamics along this axis. We use these data sets to characterize the distribution and timing of gene regulatory and structural networks along spatial and developmental gradients, including functional evaluation of specific hormonal and epigenetic control points, thereby elucidating diverse aspects of fleshy fruit biology and quality traits that are typically indistinguishable in unseparated cells or tissues.

**Results**

**Tissue or cell-type-based RNA-Seq of developing tomato fruit.** We generated transcriptome data by RNA sequencing (RNA-Seq) of LM derived, or hand-dissected, samples from six major fruit tissues (Fig. 1a and Supplementary Figs. 1a and 2a–d) and five pericarp cell/tissue types (Fig. 1b and Supplementary Fig. 2e–x), at the equatorial regions of fruit collectively spanning ten developmental stages (Fig. 1c). Although “seeds” are themselves complex reproductive organs including distinct progeny (embryos), they were categorized here as a fruit tissue, and at anthesis corresponded to unfertilized ovules. Fruit tissues were also isolated from different latitudinal sections at pre-ripe and early ripening stages: in the latter, ripening-related color change is observable in the pericarp at the stylar end (Fig. 1d and Supplementary Fig. 1b).

A summary of RNA-Seq data from a total of 483 samples is shown in Supplementary Data 1 and 2. The expression values, indicated by reads per million mapped reads (RPM) (Supplementary Data 3 and 4), showed high correlations (Spearman correlation coefficient (SCC) = 0.85–0.99) among biological replicates (Supplementary Data 5 and 6). Boxplots showed that the distribution of the normalized expression levels were comparable with respect to both cell/tissue type and developmental stages (Supplementary Fig. 3). A total of 24,506 and 20,732 genes were expressed (averaged RPM ≥1) in the six fruit tissues and five pericarp LM samples, respectively, in at least one of the tissue/cell types at some point during development. Similar numbers were expressed at individual stages, but in general, more were detected during fruit expansion (Supplementary Fig. 4). Almost half the genes were ubiquitously expressed in the six fruit tissues (10,328 genes: 42% or five pericarp cell/tissue types (9842 genes: 47%) throughout development. Conversely, relatively few genes displayed tissue/cell-specific expression throughout development: the largest subsets were in seeds (1189; 4.9%) among the transcriptome, proteome, metabolome, and epigenome proomics.

A summary of RNA-Seq data from a total of 483 samples is shown in Supplementary Data 1 and 2. The expression values, indicated by reads per million mapped reads (RPM) (Supplementary Data 3 and 4), showed high correlations (Spearman correlation coefficient (SCC) = 0.85–0.99) among biological replicates (Supplementary Data 5 and 6). Boxplots showed that the distribution of the normalized expression levels were comparable with respect to both cell/tissue type and developmental stages (Supplementary Fig. 3). A total of 24,506 and 20,732 genes were expressed (averaged RPM ≥1) in the six fruit tissues and five pericarp LM samples, respectively, in at least one of the tissue/cell types at some point during development. Similar numbers were expressed at individual stages, but in general, more were detected during fruit expansion (Supplementary Fig. 4). Almost half the genes were ubiquitously expressed in the six fruit tissues (10,328 genes: 42%) or five pericarp cell/tissue types (9842 genes: 47%) throughout development. Conversely, relatively few genes displayed tissue/cell-specific expression throughout development: the largest subsets were in seeds (1189; 4.9%) among the transcriptome, proteome, metabolome, and epigenome proomics.

Fruit anatomy is complex, with distinct interacting tissue and cell types, many of which undergo major changes in morphology during development, reflecting their functional diversification and specialization. However, most studies of tomato fruit biology to date have focused on only the pericarp, ignoring the various internal tissues that play diverse and potentially fundamental roles in fruit biology. As just one example, the expression patterns of families of genes that encode polysaccharide modifying proteins have been extensively studied in the pericarp, where they contribute to cell wall assembly during growth and disassembly during ripening. However, the importance of such genes in processes such as the gellation of the locular tissue during ripening, or the structural degradation of other internal supporting tissues has not been addressed. Moreover, the common practice of homogenizing the isolated pericarp material prior to extracting analytes eliminates the possibility of acquiring cell-type-associated spatial information, and can dilute lower abundance molecules below the limits of detection.

Laser microdissection (LM) has been used to demonstrate the application of high-resolution transcriptome mapping of fruit tissue/cell-dependent genetic networks including in cuticle, cell wall, and carbohydrate metabolism, but such spatially resolved studies have only targeted fruit initiation or early fruit expansion. Other transcriptomic studies of plant organ/tissue development have resolved spatially or temporally specific patterns of gene expression at tissue or cell-level resolution, such as analyses of seeds and roots. However, current perspectives of the gene expression landscape in tomato fruit are of low spatial resolution and those related to a large portion of the fruit are missing entirely. Consequently, despite decades of research into the core molecular mechanisms underlying processes such as fruit expansion, softening, color change, and the accumulation of phytonutrients, the lack of holistic and high-resolution gene expression data remains a major barrier to advancing progress in understanding many areas of fruit biology.

We present here a comprehensive tomato fruit transcriptome atlas, incorporating global gene expression and co-expression data at a cell- or tissue-type level of resolution, including five pericarp cell/tissue types, whole pericarp and five fruit internal tissues, across the gamut of developmental stages, spanning early fruit growth and ripening. In addition, we take into account the fact that tomato fruit ripen along a latitudinal gradient, starting at the stylar, or blossom, end and spreading basipetally to the stem end, and examine transcriptional dynamics along this axis. We use these data sets to characterize the distribution and timing of gene regulatory and structural networks along spatial and developmental gradients, including functional evaluation of specific hormonal and epigenetic control points, thereby elucidating diverse aspects of fleshy fruit biology and quality traits that are typically indistinguishable in unseparated cells or tissues.

**Principal component analyses (PCA) revealed a clear clustering of transcript profiles, corresponding to tissues or cell types in the equatorial regions of the fruit, based on the developmental stage (Fig. 1e, f). Expression profiles in seeds and vascular tissue were largely distinct from other tissues or cells at each stage, consistent with the relatively large number of corresponding specifically expressed genes (Supplementary Fig. 5). Locular tissue profiles showed a lower correlation with other tissues throughout ontogeny, indicating a more distinct developmental trajectory. The cell types of the pericarp, other than the vascular tissue, showed close correlation at 10 days post anthesis (DPA), while collenchyma and parenchyma maintained high correlation.**
throughout development. In contrast, profiles of the outer and inner epidermal layers were distinct after 20 DPA, suggesting that a program of developmental differentiation was initiated between 10 and 20 DPA. Gene expression patterns in both fruit tissues and pericarp cell types became more closely correlated after the pink (Pk) stage as ripening progressed. Notably, at the breaker (Br) stage, which is characterized by the initiation of multiple ripening phenomena, transcript profiles between the pericarp and placenta or locular tissue were particularly distinguishable compared to earlier stages. This indicated differences in the progression of ripening between external and internal tissues at this early ripening stage, as also evidenced by differences in pigmentation (Supplementary Fig. 1).

The transcriptome data were integrated into the Tomato Expression Atlas (TEA; http://tea.solgenomics.net/) database15, which includes two- and three-dimensional anatomical visualization of tomato fruit internal structures obtained by light microscopy and computed tomography, respectively (Supplementary Fig. 7). The TEA enables the visualization of gene expression profiles across cell/tissue types and developmental stages in several formats, including pictographs, as exemplified in Fig. 2.

**Spatiotemporal insights into key fruit quality traits.** Decades of research have sought to identify genes underlying commercially important fruit quality traits, but have almost always been based on the analysis of bulk pericarp tissues. The many key molecular pathways that contribute to attributes, such as texture, flavor, aroma, and metabolite profiles, have therefore been characterized to some degree. However, as exemplified below, we found that our high-resolution spatiotemporal expression data, as well as a holistic consideration of all tissues, provided new perspectives of the timing and distribution of gene expression underlying those traits.

Fruit shelf life and textural changes during ripening have long been associated with modification of the cell wall, and particularly with the depolymerization of pectin polysaccharides9. Two genes involved in pectin degradation, a polygalacturonase (PG2A)9 and

---

**Fig. 1** A tissue/cell-based transcript profiling of developing tomato fruit. a Traced image of six targeted fruit tissues. Shaded areas of the total pericarp and the placenta were not harvested. b Traced image of five pericarp cells. c Representative pictures of harvested fruit spanning ten developmental stages. d Representative pictures of the stylar end of MG and Br stage fruit. e, f Principal component analysis (PCA) of transcript profiles from six fruit tissues (e) and five pericarp cell/tissue types (f) through the fruit expansion and ripening. An, anthesis; DPA, days post anthesis; MG, mature green; Br, breaker; Pk, pink; LR, light red; RR, red ripe. Scale bars in c, d are 1 cm.
a pectate lyase (PL1)\textsuperscript{16}, have been studied in detail, although not in internal fruit tissues, in order to understand the mechanistic basis of softening. Both are expressed during ripening, but are functionally distinct since suppressing the expression of PL1, but not of PG2A, substantially reduces the ripening-related loss of fruit firmness\textsuperscript{16}. It may be that this reflects the sequential action of the two pectinases, but we also uncovered distinct expression patterns, where PG2A was predominantly expressed in the pericarp and septum, whereas PL1 showed earlier and abundant expression in the locule at the mature green (MG) stage, and then spread from internal to external tissues (Fig. 2a). Previous studies reported that most of the pectin in the locular tissue at MG or
earlier stages is de-esterified\textsuperscript{17,18}, and so is likely more susceptible to depolymerization by PL1. This contrasts with the pectins in other fruit tissues, including the pericarp and septum, where the ratio of de-esterified/esterified pectin increases later, during ripening\textsuperscript{17,18}. Our results suggest not only that different modes of pectin breakdown and associated textural changes occur in different tissues, but that canonical ripening-related pectin disassembly initiates in internal tissues at a stage that is ostensibly "pre-ripe" based on external pericarp coloration.

Another well-studied aspect of ripening in tomato fruit is the change in volatile profiles that affect flavor and aroma\textsuperscript{3,19}. Our high-resolution mapping revealed the pericarp cell/tissue-dependent expression of several genes involved in the biosynthesis of volatile compounds, which included the expression of \textit{CTOMT1}, encoding a catechol-O-methyltransferase that produces guaiacol\textsuperscript{20}, exclusively in vascular tissues, and the predominant expression of \textit{TOMLOXC}, encoding a 13-lipoxygenase essential for C5 flavor volatiles\textsuperscript{21}, in collenchyma cells (Fig. 2b and Supplementary Fig. 8). Similarly, we saw considerable spatial variation in the expression of metabolic genes involved in the accumulation of compounds that are nutritionally important or used as dietary supplements. For example, tomato fruit accumulate large amounts of gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA), a non-proteinogenic amino acid, considered as a bioactive compound that has a range of benefits to human health\textsuperscript{22,23}. We detected the highest expression of a glutamate decarboxylase (GAD) gene (\textit{SIGAD3}), a key contributor to GABA levels\textsuperscript{24}, in the placenta during fruit expansion (Supplementary Fig. 9a), consistent with the accumulation pattern of GABA in tomato fruit\textsuperscript{25}. Interestingly, \textit{SIGAD3} showed predominant expression in the inner epidermis and vascular tissue in the pericarp (Supplementary Fig. 9b). The expression of \textit{SIGABA-TI}, a GABA transaminase (GABA-T) involved in GABA catabolism in ripening tomato fruit, showed a broadly similar spatial expression profile to that of \textit{SIGAD3}, although with a temporal delay (Supplementary Fig. 9).

### High-resolution gene co-expression networks

Co-expression analysis using global transcript profiling allows the identification of functionally associated gene networks\textsuperscript{26}, and higher spatiotemporal data sets should result in more tightly defined network predictions. To test this idea, we first used a guide-gene approach and searched for genes that were co-expressed with a chalcone synthase gene, \textit{SICHS-2}. This encodes a rate-limiting enzyme involved in the biosynthesis of antioxidant flavonoid pigments, which accumulate in the tomato fruit peel during ripening\textsuperscript{27}. \textit{SICHS-2} showed preferential expression in outer epidermal cells, which increased after the onset of ripening (Fig. 2c). Expression of \textit{SICHS-2} was also detected in seeds after 30 DPA (Fig. 2d), where various flavonol derivatives are known to accumulate\textsuperscript{28}. The top 10 genes co-expressed with \textit{SICHS-2} (SCC = 0.74–0.85) (Fig. 2e) included six core genes encoding enzymes in the flavonoid biosynthetic pathway and a transcription factor (TF), \textit{SIMYB12/COLORLESS FRUIT EPIDERMIS}, that regulates structural genes in the pathway\textsuperscript{27,29} (Fig. 2f). Interestingly, the other three co-expressed genes (a non-specific lipid-transfer protein 1 (\textit{nsLTP1}), which is also peel-enriched allergen\textsuperscript{30}, a protein of unknown function and an ovarian cancer-associated gene 2 protein (\textit{OVC2A2}) homolog) all have elevated expression in tomato fruit that ectopically express an \textit{Arabidopsis thaliana} ortholog of \textit{SIMYB12}\textsuperscript{31,32}, supporting the potential regulatory and functional associations among the identified genes.

As a complementary non-targeted approach to define the major gene regulatory networks throughout fruit development, we examined co-expressed gene sets reflecting spatiotemporal specificity, using weighted gene co-expression network analysis (WGCNA)\textsuperscript{33}. A total of 43 modules were identified (M1–M43) based on the similar expression patterns, and associated with biological functions, including both known and new spatiotemporal aspects of tomato fruit ontogeny, using enriched gene ontology (GO) terms\textsuperscript{34} and intramodular hub genes\textsuperscript{33} (Supplementary Data 7–9, Supplementary Figs. 10–12 and Supplementary Note). Spatiotemporal data indeed provided gene regulatory network resolution and functional insights that could not be achieved via analysis of homogenized pericarp tissue alone.

### Predicting protein interactions in auxin signaling

Global co-expression data also provide an opportunity to determine the conditions, tissues/cells, and the developmental stages in which functional protein–protein interactions may occur\textsuperscript{35,36}. In this context, we investigated protein interactions associated with the plant hormone auxin, which plays an important role in fruit development\textsuperscript{1}. Auxin signal transduction is mediated by protein–protein interactions between members of the auxin response factor (ARF) and Aux/IAA families\textsuperscript{36–38}. Specific paired combinations of ARF and Aux/IAA proteins are necessary for the diversity of auxin responses in different tissues, developmental stages, and biological processes\textsuperscript{39}, and some tomato ARFs and AUX/IAAs have been functionally defined\textsuperscript{40}. However, the specificity of most tomato ARF and Aux/IAA combinations has not been reported. We performed a pairwise expression analysis of 21 ARF and 24 Aux/IAA genes\textsuperscript{37,38} across the different tissue/cell types and developmental stages. Among all the ARF-Aux/IAA combinations, the highest correlation (Pearson correlation coefficient (PCC) = 0.78) was found between \textit{SIARF4} and \textit{SIILAA15} (Fig. 3a), which showed relatively high expression during fruit expansion in all tissues other than seeds (Supplementary Fig. 13). \textit{SIARF4} is involved in the control of sugar metabolism and other ripening-related quality traits during fruit development\textsuperscript{41}, while \textit{SIILAA15} shows auxin responsive expression and plays multiple roles in vegetative development, including trichome formation, as well as in fruit set\textsuperscript{42}. However, it is not known whether \textit{SIARF4} and \textit{SIILAA15} are interacting partners. A bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC) assay with \textit{SIARF4} and \textit{SIILAA15} fused to the C- or N-terminal half of a yellow fluorescent protein (SIARF4-YFPC and SIILAA15-YFPN, respectively) resulted in a strong positive fluorescence in the nucleus (Fig. 3b), confirming physical interaction in planta. Co-introduction of YFPC fused to \textit{SIARF3}, which lacks essential binding domains to Aux/IAAs\textsuperscript{43,44} and belongs to a phylogenetic sister group of \textit{SIARF4}, with \textit{SIILAA15-YFPN} was used as a negative control, and resulted in no fluorescence. A significant barrier to elucidating gene regulatory networks is the large size of transcriptional regulator families, and the consequent multiplicity of potential interactions between proteins from these families. Our ability to predict and then confirm the interaction between \textit{SIARF4} and \textit{SIILAA15} proteins, both members of large families, illustrates how the high-resolution spatiotemporal expression information can facilitate the prediction and experimental validation of interacting protein partners.

### Cell-type-based variation in carbon metabolism-related genes

One of the most studied aspects of tomato fruit biology is the acquisition and metabolism of carbon compounds and the factors that influence its function as a sink organ\textsuperscript{45}. Synthesis, metabolism, and accumulation of sugars and organic acids are key determinants of nutritional and commercial value. While many of the genes, proteins, and enzyme activities associated with photosynthetic carbon fixation and storage have been characterized in terms of their developmental patterns in tomato fruit, little is known at the cell/tissue level of resolution.
and ARF map showing pairwise correlation scores between auxin signaling related genes across the analyzed fruit tissues and cells during development. Pearson correlation coefficient (PCC) values were calculated for each pair of ARF-Aux/IAA genes. a SIARF4 interacts with SIIA15 in planta. BiFC analysis in N. benthamiana leaf epidermal cells expressing full length of SIARF4 and SIIA15 fused to the amino (YFPN) or carboxyl (YFPC) portions of YFP. Yellow fluorescence indicates interaction between SIARF4-YFPC and SIIA15-YFPN. No clear interaction was detected between SIARF3-YFPC and SIIA15. N. Nucleus. Scale bars: 25 μm

Fig. 3 Identifying protein interactions between spatiotemporally co-expressed ARF and Aux/IAA auxin signaling associated proteins. a Heat map showing pairwise correlation scores between auxin signaling related ARF and Aux/IAA genes across the analyzed fruit tissues and cells during development. Pearson correlation coefficient (PCC) values were calculated for each pair of ARF-Aux/IAA genes. b SIARF4 interacts with SIIA15 in planta. BiFC analysis in N. benthamiana leaf epidermal cells expressing full length of SIARF4 and SIIA15 fused to the amino (YFPN) or carboxyl (YFPC) portions of YFP. Yellow fluorescence indicates interaction between SIARF4-YFPC and SIIA15-YFPN. No clear interaction was detected between SIARF3-YFPC and SIIA15. N. Nucleus. Scale bars: 25 μm

During early tomato fruit development, fixed carbon is imported as sucrose from source leaves and then converted to starch for storage[45,46]. Among the pericarp cell types, we found that several genes encoding key enzymes involved in starch biosynthesis, including ADP-glucose pyrophosphorylase (AGPase) and starch-branching enzyme, showed particularly abundant expression in the parenchyma (Fig. 4a). Accordingly, transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analysis revealed that at 5 DPA, the parenchyma cells adjacent to the inner epidermis predominantly contained plastids harboring grana with stacked thylakoids and large starch granules, indicating an intermediate structure between chloroplasts and starch-storing amyloplasts (Fig. 4b, c). The starch granules in the plastids of the parenchyma developed further and the grana structures were disrupted at 10 DPA (Fig. 4d). This observation was consistent with previous reports that starch accumulates predominantly in the inner layers of the pericarp[12,46], and here we found that this occurs specifically in the parenchyma cells, and not in the inner epidermis.

Although young green tomato fruit are photosynthetically active, several studies have suggested that fruit photosynthesis is not essential for primary carbon metabolism and growth, but may be important for seed development[45,47,48]. Gene co-expression modules associated with the pericarp inner epidermis (M33), or both the inner epidermis and parenchyma (M32), showed significant enrichment of several photosynthesis-related GO terms and hub genes (Supplementary Data 8 and 9 and Supplementary Fig. 12). Consistent with this, we found that among the pericarp cell/tissue types, the inner epidermis showed the most substantial expression of genes related to photosynthetic light reactions, as well as several key genes of the Calvin cycle, including those encoding small subunits of ribulose 1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (RuBiSCO) (Fig. 4e) and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH), during fruit expansion (Supplementary Fig. 14). This pattern was also evident in parenchyma cells, but to a lesser extent.

The predominance of photosynthesis-related genes in the inner epidermal cell layer, which is shielded from light by the overlaid tissues, is somewhat counterintuitive. In the absence of light, proplastids do not differentiate to chloroplasts, but rather develop into etioplasts that lack chlorophyll[49]. However, TEM analysis confirmed that the inner epidermis at 5 DPA contains chloroplasts with grana, rather than typical etioplasts (Fig. 4b, f), and that the grana structures were further developed at 10 DPA (Fig. 4g). We propose that despite its location, the pericarp inner epidermis of tomato fruit is most likely photosynthetically active, and may play a role in the photoassimilation of CO2 from the fruit locular cavity, as has been suggested to be the case in the dry, dehiscent pea fruit/pod[50].

The high expression of photosynthetic genes in the inner epidermis may also contribute to maintaining sufficient O2 in the locular cavity for successful seed development, since such a role for O2 has been suggested in pepper (Capsicum annuum) fruit[51]. The cell-type-dependent gene expression profiling therefore highlights clear spatial variation in central carbon metabolism across the pericarp and provides a platform for investigating mechanisms by which sugars traffic between, and are distributed among, the constituent cell types.

Spatiotemporal regulation of tomato fruit ripening. The onset and progression of ripening in tomato is typically associated with changes in the external color of the pericarp, reflecting carotenoid and flavonoid pigment accumulation. Indeed, the Br ripening stage is so-called due to the “break” in external color (Fig. 1c, d). However, prior to this event, changes reminiscent of ripening occur in the fruit inner tissues (Supplementary Fig. 1), including pigment accumulation in some genotypes, as well as gelification of the locular tissue associated with substantial pectin degradation[52] and induction of PL1 (Fig. 2a). We found that the WGCNA co-expression module M6 contained a number of genes with well-established functions in various aspects of ripening (Supplementary Data 7). These included the TFs RIPENING INHIBITOR (RIN; Fig. 5a) and NON-RIpening (NOR), which act as key ripening regulators[53, 54], PL1 and an expansin (LeEXP1), which are associated with softening[55, 56], and two carotenoid biosynthetic genes, encoding phytoene synthase (PSY1) and carotenoid isomerase (CRTISO)[54], and the ripening-associated gene E8[57].

Expression of these genes, as well as most of those in M6, starts in the locular tissue and then radiates out to the placenta and other tissues, but does not occur in seeds (Supplementary Fig. 10). This indicates that the ripening program initiates in internal tissues before the Br stage, although the earliest molecular changes that initiate and define, de facto ripening have not yet been identified.

We found that RIN forms a central hub gene in M6 (Supplementary Data 9), suggesting that it acts as a major regulator of co-expressed genes in this module. Gene sets that were reported to be positively regulated by, and/or direct targets of, RIN[56, 57] were particularly enriched in module M6 (Supplementary Fig. 15a) and to a lesser degree in M18 and M39. To identify new ripening regulators based on these module
assignments, we focused on another hub gene (Supplementary Data 9) encoding a GRAS family TF, *SIGRAS38* (Solyc07g052960)\(^{58}\), from M6, which has been reported to be a direct target of RIN\(^{56,57}\) (Fig. 5a). We generated six independent *SlGRAS38*-RNAi silenced tomato lines in cultivar Ailsa Craig that had reduced *SlGRAS38* expression (Fig. 5b and Supplementary Fig. 16a), of which two (*gras-13* and *gras-20*) were chosen for more detailed characterization. All transgenic and WT Ailsa Craig untransformed control lines were grown together and fruit were tagged at the Br stage. To identify transcriptomic changes resulting from *SlGRAS38* repression, we performed RNA-seq analysis of *gras-13*, *gras-20*, and WT pericarp samples at the Br stage. A large proportion of the genes with reduced expression in both transgenic lines, compared to WT with ratio \(\leq 0.5\) (FDR-adjusted \(p\) value <0.05, exact test using the edgeR software package), showed significant overlap with M6 and adjacent M39 assigned genes (Supplementary Data 10 and Supplementary Figs. 12 and 15b), indicating a regulatory function for *SlGRAS38* in these ripening-related gene networks. The *SlGRAS38*-silenced plants produced fruit with significantly lower content of the predominant carotenoid, lycopene, in the pericarp of both red ripe (RR) and over-ripe stage fruit (7 and 15 days after Br stage, respectively; Fig. 5c and Supplementary Fig. 16b), although the impaired coloration was not as severe as in the *rin* mutant (Fig. 5d). Production of the ripening-related hormone ethylene was also lower in the *SlGRAS38*-silenced lines (Fig. 5e). Of the 32 genes that were downregulated in both *gras-13* and *gras-20*, 10 are repressed in the *rin* mutant\(^{56}\) and 3 are direct RIN target genes\(^{57}\), but this subset includes no known ripening regulators or structural genes involved in carotenoid or ethylene metabolism (Supplementary Data 10). These results indicate that *SlGRAS38* represents a newly discovered component of the regulatory cascade downstream of RIN, and may coordinate ripening processes associated with carotenoid and ethylene metabolism that have not yet been elucidated.

**Fig. 4** Cell-type-dependent differentiation of plastids in the pericarp. **a, e** Expression of genes associated with starch biosynthesis (a) and photosynthesis (e). Colored bars indicate mean RPM ± s.e.m. (\(n = 3\)) in each cell type at each stage (left to right; 5 DPA, 10 DPA, 20 DPA, MG, Br, Pk, LR, and RR). **b–d, f–g** Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images show plastids in pericarp inner cell layers (b), parenchyma adjacent to inner epidermis (c, d) and inner epidermis (f, g). Representative images from 5 DPA (b, c, f) and 10 DPA (d, g) fruits are shown. Plastids containing starch granules are indicated by red arrows. Chloroplasts without large starch granules are indicated by blue arrows. The grana with stacked thylakoids in plastids form linear structures with a higher electron density. DPA, days post anthesis; CW, cell wall; LC, locular cavity; SG, starch granule. Scale bars: 10 μm in b and 1 μm in c, d, f, g.
plastid accumulation regulated by the UNIFORM gene, which operates separately from the ripening gradient\textsuperscript{14}. Previous analyses, focused only on the ripening pericarp, revealed that several genes associated with ethylene biosynthesis and responses show a latitudinal gradient of expression in RR, but not in Br stage fruit, although core ripening regulators, including RIN and NOR, do not show ripening-related expression gradients\textsuperscript{14}. Here, we identified differentially expressed genes (DEGs) with ratio $\geq 1.5$ or $\leq 0.66$ (FDR-adjusted $p$ value $< 0.05$, exact test using edgeR) in various fruit tissues comparing stem-region and stylar-region (total pericarp, septum, locular tissue, placenta, and seeds), or stem-region versus equatorial-region (columella) during early ripening (Supplementary Data 11 and Supplementary Fig. 17a). Most DEGs showed differential expression in only one or two tissues at each stage (Supplementary Fig. 17b), suggesting the presence of highly tissue-dependent transcript profiles associated with the ripening gradient. The largest numbers of tissue-specific DEGs were found in the columella at the Br and Pk stages (Supplementary Fig. 17a), including several ethylene-biosynthetic or -responsive genes (ACS2, ACS4, and E8) and RIN, which showed higher expression in the equatorial-region than in the stem-region (Supplementary Fig. 17c). NOR, which acts upstream of RIN\textsuperscript{8}, showed a gradient of expression in the MG placenta and columella at the transition from maturation to ripening, consistent with a role in establishing the ripening gradient in internal tissues. Among the DEGs shared in three or more tissues, we found several genes associated with another ripening-related hormone, abscisic acid (ABA), including key ABA metabolic genes (NCED1 and CYP707A2)\textsuperscript{59} and an ABA receptor gene (PYL1)\textsuperscript{60} (Supplementary Fig. 17d). Notably, several genes associated with auxin, which has been proposed to inhibit tomato fruit ripening\textsuperscript{61}, showed consistently higher expression in the stem-region in all tissues (e.g., auxin-responsive Saur37 and IAA3), or specifically in the placenta and columella at particular stages (e.g., the auxin transporters LAX1 and LAX2) (Supplementary Fig. 17e). Collectively, this analysis highlighted the spatial variation along the fruit latitudinal axis of interacting hormone networks and TF-mediated signaling.

**Spatial variation in epigenetic regulation of ripening.** In addition to the network of TFs and hormonal regulation, epigenetic modifications, involving changes in DNA methylation, have also been shown to regulate tomato fruit ripening\textsuperscript{27,28}. We noted that the ripening-associated module M6 included SIDML2 (Solyc10g083630) (Supplementary Data 7), a DEMETER-like DNA demethylase gene that has been shown to control the loss of 5-methylcytosine in promoters of key ripening regulators, such as RIN, in the fruit pericarp during ripening, or at earlier stages\textsuperscript{62,63}. This suggested possible tissue-dependent regulation of demethylation at promoters of ripening regulators in M6 that might modulate their spatiotemporal expression pattern during fruit ripening. To test this hypothesis, we targeted RIN, a central hub gene in M6. As the RIN promoter is a known target of SIDML2-

---

**Fig. 5** The role of SIGRAS38 in fruit ripening regulation. a Tissue-based expression images of two M6 hub TF genes, RIN and SIGRAS38 (Solyc07g052960). b Expression of SIGRAS38 in Br and RR transgenic fruit from two independent SIGRAS38-RNAi lines and WT normalized to RPL2. c Carotenoid levels of RR fruit at 7 days after Br from SIGRAS38-RNAi lines and WT. d Representative fruit of WT, SIGRAS38-RNAi, and rin mutant plants. Fruit of WT and SIGRAS38-RNAi lines at 4 days after Br and that of rin at a stage corresponding to RR in WT are shown. Scale bars are 1 cm. e Ethylene production in SIGRAS38-RNAi and control fruit. Values are mean $\pm$ s.e.m. ($n = 3$ in b, c, and 7 in e). Asterisks in c, e indicate significant differences between WT and each SIGRAS38-RNAi line (**$P < 0.01$, *$P < 0.05$; Student’s t test).
mediated demethylation\(^{62,63}\), it was used here as an indicator of more general locule demethylation. An McrBC-PCR analysis was conducted to examine the DNA methylation status of two regions of the RIN promoter (pRIN-1 and pRIN-2; Fig. 6a) spanning RIN self-binding sites. These regions were also shown to be differentially methylated in pericarp samples during ripening\(^2\). Ripening-associated demethylation of both regions was observed in locular tissue prior to demethylation in the pericarp, at the MG (pRIN-1) or Pk (pRIN-2) stages (Fig. 6b). These results illustrate a pattern of tissue-specific epigenetic changes, and suggest that differences in promoter methylation levels across tissues contribute to spatiotemporal differences in the expression of key ripening regulators and phenomena, with the ripening program initiating in the locule prior to the pericarp.

**Discussion**

We present a global analysis of the tomato fruit transcriptome through tissues, cell types, development, and fruit topology. A total of 24,660 unique genes were detected in at least one cell/tissue and stage, corresponding to 71% of predicted protein-coding genes in the tomato genome. The high sensitivity of the LM-based RNA-Seq approach enabled the detection of low-abundance genes and their spatial assignment to the specific cell types of the pericarp. Moreover, the detection of 1238 genes in LM-derived samples, but not in those from total pericarp (Supplementary Fig. 6) underlines the considerable potential value of cell-type-specific profiling for inspiring functional studies. We found that the fruit internal tissues, which are rarely considered in studies of tomato fruit biology, collectively express 2464 genes that were not detected in the pericarp. Taken together with the cell/tissue-type-specific profiling, these gene expression data sets substantially enhance both the qualitative and quantitative resolution of the fruit transcriptome landscape, and provide a large reservoir of information for the development of new hypotheses.

In this study, we also describe several examples of data sets that elucidate spatiotemporal regulatory networks associated with various stages of fruit ontogeny, including mechanisms that control cell wall metabolism, as well as the production of volatile aroma compounds, GABA and carbohydrates. In addition, we show how these data can be used to predict protein interactions, and present an example of how this can be confirmed experimentally. Collectively, the data provide a platform for a broad range of studies to resolve the specialized functions of tissue/cell types and biological processes in fruit. It should be noted that understanding correlations, or lack thereof, between levels of transcripts and their corresponding proteins is fundamentally important for any systematic interpretation of complex molecular networks\(^{64}\). The high spatial resolution gene expression data set may enable more precise prediction of quantitative transcript-protein associations, although correlations can vary depending on the protein function, developmental stage, and cell/tissue type, as shown in metazoans\(^{65,66}\) and plants\(^{67,68}\).

High-resolution expression analyses revealed complex programs that were coordinately regulated across cell/tissue types and the developmental stages. These included latitudinal
expression gradients of hormonal genes associated with ethylene, ABA and auxin through the external and internal tissues, which likely play key roles in governing the ripening gradient (Supplementary Fig. 17). Another characteristic ripening-related expression program showing dynamic change across multiple cells/tissues was exhibited by co-expression module M6, members of which showed gradients of expression, initiating in internal tissues then radiating outward as maturation progressed (Supplementary Fig. 10). A previously uncharacterized TF from the M6 module, SIGRAS38, was shown to have a function in ripening regulation (Fig. 5). The expression correlation of PL1 and LeEXP1 in this module suggests that they contribute to locular gellation prior to the cell wall disassembly in the pericarp that is a hallmark of fruit softening. Additionally, several key ripening regulators, represented by a central hub, RIN, were found in this module, suggesting a spatial origin of tomato fruit ripening in the locular tissue. This was further supported by earlier ripening-associated change in the epigenetic state of the RIN promoter in the same tissue (Fig. 6). An interesting possibility is that the seeds, which are embedded within the locule, provide a ripening signal that is transmitted through RIN. It is intriguing that parthenocarpic (seedless) fruit exhibit ripening-related changes, but they are delayed, consistent with a role for the seed in influencing ripening phenomena.

In summary, we present a high-resolution atlas of the tomato fruit transcriptome that provides new molecular insights into development and ripening. We have illustrated the value of these data sets to investigate diverse aspects of development and ripening. We have illustrated the value of these fruit transcriptome that provides new molecular insights into ripening phenomena.

**Methods**

**Plant materials and tissue preparation.** Tomato (S. lycopersicum cv M82) plants were grown in a greenhouse at Cornell University (Ithaca, NY, USA) with a light/dark photoperiod of 16:8 h. Soil moisture sensors (MAS-1, Decagon Devices, Pullman, USA) were used to monitor pot volumetric water content (VWC) and to regulate an irrigation system to maintain 40% VWC. Flowers at anthesis (0 DPA) were tagged and manually pollinated and ovaries at anthesis were harvested immediately prior to the point when they would otherwise be self-fertilized. Expanding fruit were harvested at 5, 10, 20, or 30 DPA. Mature and ripening fruit were harvested based on the tomato color chart (USDA Visual Aid TM-L-1) as follows: MG stage (full-size green and stylar-region latitudinal sections (see Supplementary Fig.1b). At least 12 fruit samples were harvested based on the tomato color chart (USDA Visual Aid TM-L-1) as follows: MG stage (full-size green, approximately Br + 4 days), red ripe (RR) stage (approximately breaker + 8 days with full red), light red (LR) stage (100% light red, approximately Br + 2 days), light red (LR) stage (100% light red, approximately Br + 4 days), red ripe (RR) stage (approximately breaker + 8 days with full red). Ovary and fruit samples were harvested from 60 randomly selected individual plants at the same time each day.

The six major fruit tissues, consisting of pericarp, septum, locular tissue, placenta, columnella, as well as seeds (categorized here as a tissue-type), were collected by hand dissection from fruit equatorial sections, snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen, and kept at −80°C until further analysis. For the MG, Br, and Pk stages, fruit tissues (with the exception of the columnella) were also harvested from stem- and stylar-region latitudinal sections (see Supplementary Fig. 1b). At least 12 fruit were harvested for each biological replicate. Due to their small size, laser microdissection (LM) was used to harvest these six tissues at anthesis, as well as locular tissue, placenta, and seeds at 0 DPA. The five pericarp cell/tissue types (outer epidermis, collenchyma, parenchyma, vascular tissue, and inner epidermis) were harvested by LM from equatorial sections of fruit at 5 DPA, 10 DPA, 20 DPA, MG, Br, Pk, LR, and RR stages. Samples for LM were fixed, cryoprotected, embedded in optimal cutting temperature (OCT) medium, and frozen in molds using liquid nitrogen, whereas those collected at Pk, LR, and RR were directly embedded in OCT without fixation/cryoprotection, to preserve their integrity.

**Laser microdissection.** Sections of embedded tissues for the LM samples were mounted on adhesive-coated glass slides using a Cryoloop transfer system (Instrumetrics®), except the anthesis and 5 DPA samples, which were mounted on PET-membrane 1.4-μm slides (Leica). Section thickness was set at 12 μm for membrane slides and 16 μm for glass slides. Approximately 25 μm surface layers of the placenta at anthesis and 5 DPA were collected as prospective locular tissue (Supplementary Fig. 3c, d). Sample areas ranging from 6.8 x 10^5 to 10.9 x 10^5 μm^2 were collected using a Zeiss PALM MicroBeam laser microdissection system, pooled from sections of at least three independent fruit/pericarp samples and stored at −80°C.

**RNA isolation and sequencing.** Total RNA from hand dissection samples was isolated using an RNasy Mini Kit (Qiagen). For LM samples, total RNA was isolated using an RNasy Micro kit (Qiagen), and in vitro transcription was performed using a TargetAmp two-round linear amplification kit (Epichem). Strand-specific libraries were constructed and sequenced on a HiSeq2500 system (Illumina) from a single end for 100 cycles.

**Read mapping and transcript profiling.** Raw RNA-Seq reads were processed using Trimomatic22 to remove adapter and low-quality sequences, and then aligned to the ribosomal RNA database using bowtie33 and the mappable reads discarded. The resulting high-quality cleaned reads were aligned to the tomato Heinz genome17 reference SL 2.50 with gene models ITAG2.4 (https://solgenomics.net/organism/Solanum_lycopersicum/gene) using HISAT2.26. Following alignments, raw counts for each tomato gene were normalized to reads per million mapped reads (RPM). Pairwise Spearman correlation coefficient (SCC) values between biological replicates were calculated with log2-transformed RPM values (i.e., log [RPM + 1]) using the cor function in the R program (https://www.r-project.org). Genes with averaged RPM among replicates ≥21 were considered expressed. Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed to compare the log2-transformed RPM values of the expressed gene profiles among cell/tissue-type and stages using the pcoromp function in R. Hierarchical clustering of AAR and IAF sequences were carried out using Gene-E (https://software.broadinstitute.org/Gene-E) with one minus Pearson correlation as the distance metric. Pairwise SCC values between the expression patterns of AAR and AuxIAA genes were calculated with relative RPMs (RPM/mean in sample-type) across all sample-types using the cor function in R. DEGs among latitudinal sections were identified using an exact test provided in the edger software package27, where genes were considered differentially expressed if the ratio was ≤2.5 or ≥0.66 and an adjusted p value (false discovery rate (FDR)) was <0.05.

**Gene annotation and functional enrichment analysis.** Gene descriptions were assigned according to the ITAG 2.4 loci descriptions (ftp://ftp.solgenomics.net/genomes/Solanum_lycopersicum/annotation/ITAG2.4_release/ITAG2.4_loci.gene_descriptions.txt). Gene ontology (GO) terms for S. lycopersicum were obtained from the PANTHER classification system version 10.18. The GO enrichment analysis was performed for GO Biological Process Complete using the Bioconductor R library clusterProfiler25, applying a hypergeometric test with FDR correction (adjusted p value < 0.05).

**Visualization of fruit anatomical structures.** Images of fruit sections or cell/tissue types were generated by hand tracing high-resolution photographs or light microscopy viewed pericarp sections, respectively. To create three-dimensional (3D) images of fruit, including internal structures, micro-computed tomography (micro-CT) was used to scan individual fruit at 10, 15, and 20 DPA (14.2, 16.9, and 26.8 μm/voxel resolution, respectively; Zeiss Xradia 520 Versa), and at 30 DPA, MG, Br, and Pk (30 μm/voxel resolution, GE Healthcare (Explore CF-120)). Digital radiographs were reconstructed into 3D images using Osirix software v.5.7 (Pixmeo SARL).

**Data integration into the TEA database.** Expression data and anatomical images were deposited in the TEA database (http://tea.sgn.cornell.edu)15. Spearman correlation coefficients of gene expression profiles were calculated using the RPM average values for all genes among all samples.

**Weighted gene co-expression network analysis.** Co-expression network modules were identified using averaged RPM values and the WGCNA package (v1.51) in R29. Genes with a low coefficient of variation of averaged RPM (CV < 1) among all sample types (cell/tissue types, different latitudinal sections, or developmental stages) were discarded and the remaining 12,662 genes were used for the analysis. The co-expression modules were obtained using the automatic network construction function (blockwiseModules) with default settings, except that the soft threshold (power) was 16, TOMType was signed, minModuleSize was 30, and mergeCutHeight was 0.25. Relative RPM values were averaged to summarize expression pattern of individual modules. A module eigengene (ME) value, which summarizes the expression profile of a given module as the first principal
component, was calculated for each individual module. Intermodular similarities were calculated as a PCC between ME values. ME-based gene connectivity (kME), a quantitative factor indicating the correlation strength of an individual gene in each module, was calculated using the signedKME algorithm as a PCC between the RPM expression levels of each gene and the ME of modules. The central hub genes in this study were defined as those with kME > 0.9 within the assigned module. To determine if there was a significant difference between the frequency of gene sets clustering in a particular WGCNA module and with relative frequency in the genome, a hypergeometric test was performed using the phyer function with FDR correction in R.

Transmission electron microscopy. Pericarp segments (2 × 2 mm) were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde/1% glutaraldehyde in 0.057 M Sorensen’s buffer (pH 7.4; EMS, PA, USA) for 45 min at 4 °C. The fixed segments were washed with ice-cold 0.075 M Sorensen’s buffer and then post-fixed on ice with 0.75% osmium tetroxide in 0.075 M Sorensen’s buffer for 90 min or overnight. The segments were washed, dehydrated in a stepwise acetone series, and embedded in Spurr’s low viscosity resin (EMS, PA, USA). Sections (60–100 nm) were cut on a Leica Ultramicrotome, collected on 0.4% Formvar-coated grids, and viewed with a Zeiss Libra 120 TEM at 120 kV.

BiFC assay. Full-length cDNAs corresponding to SIARF3 (SolyCG00277560), SIARF4 (SolyCG0061901), and SIARAS (SolyCG0120390) were cloned into the binary vectors PYCF43 and PYF45 and integrated into Agrobacterium tumefaciens (GV2200). The constructs were agroinfiltrated into Nicotiana benthamiana leaves17 without using a silencing suppressor and expression of the fusion proteins was examined 2 days later with a Leica TCS-SP5 confocal microscope (Leica Microsystems, Exton, PA, USA) using a x20 water immersion objective. VFP was excited with the blue argon ion laser (514 nm). Images were processed using Leica LAS-AF software (version 2.6.0).

Construction and transcript profiling of transgenic plants. To create the SGRAS38 (SolyCG0052960) RNAi construct, a 381 bp fragment of the SGRAS38 transcript was amplified using cDNA from tomato fruit pericarp (cv Heinz 1706) using the GRAS_attB1 and GRAS_attB2 primers and recombined into the pHILLSGATE2 vector (kindly provided by CSIRO, Plant Industry, Canberra, Australia) using a BP Clonase enzyme kit (Invitrogen), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The construct was introduced into tomato (cv Ailsa Craig; AC) by Agrobacterium-mediated transformation using A. tumefaciens (LBA 4040)51. Primer sequences are listed in Supplementary Table 1.

Total RNA was extracted from total pericarp tissue from the Br and Br + 7 stages of AC (WT) and two T3 SGRAS38–RNAi lines using an RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The construct was introduced into tomato (cv Ailsa Craig; AC) by Agrobacterium-mediated transformation using A. tumefaciens (LBA 4040)51. Primer sequences are listed in Supplementary Table 1. For these samples, strand-specific libraries derived from the total RNA were constructed and sequenced, and read mapping was performed as above. Raw counts for each tomato gene were normalized to reads per kilobase million mapped reads (RPKM). A summary of the mapping data is shown in Supplementary Tables 2 and 3. Genes with averaged RPKM among replicates ≥ 1 were considered expressed. Significantly downregulated genes in the SGRAS38–RNAI fruit pericarp compared to WT were identified using the edger package (FDR-adjusted p value < 0.05, exact test) with ratio ≥ 0.5.

Carotenoid and ethylene measurements. Carotenoid extracts40 from approximately 200 mg frozen pericarp were dried at reduced pressure and reconstituted in ethylacetate containing 50 µg/ml of diindolylmethane (internal standard). Carotenoid extracts were separated and quantified using ultra performance convergence chromatography (UPC2) system and a 2.1 x 150 mm HSS C18 SB column packed with 1.8 µm diameter particles (Waters, Milford, MA). The column effluent was monitored between 250 and 500 nm. See Supplementary Table 4 for details. β-carotene was used to construct a 5-point calibration curve (10–140 ng/ml) and the relative concentration of individual carotenoids was identified in β-carotene standards. Ethylene production by the fruit was measured with seven biological replicates using a gas chromatograph (Hewlett-Packard 5890 series II) with standard curve method and normalized by fruit mass18.

McrBC-PCR. Genomic DNA extracted from fruit of cv M82 plants was quantified using a Nanodrop (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and fractionated on a 1% agarose gel to check integrity. A total of 0.5 mg DNA was digested with 7.5 U McrBC (NEB) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations for 6 h at 37 °C, or the same reaction without GTP as a negative control. PCR was performed using 40 ng McrBC-treated DNA. Thermal cycling conditions were: 95 °C for 2 min followed by 30–33 cycles of 30 s at 95 °C, 30 s at 55 °C and 1 min at 72 °C, and finally 5 min at 72 °C. Primer sequences are listed in Supplementary Table 1.

Data availability. The raw transcriptome sequences have been deposited into the NCBI sequence read archive (SRA) under accessions SRP109982 for fruit cell/tissue types and SRP109878 for the fruit pericarp from SGRAS38–RNAI lines and the control. Transcriptome profiles can be accessed at the TEA (http://tea.solgenomics.net/) that integrated as a web-based bioinformatics tool in the Sol Genomics Network (SGN, https://solgenomics.net/). All other data supporting the findings are available in the paper and its Supplementary Information files.
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