Wood Surface Finishing of Selected Invasive Tree Species
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ABSTRACT • Due to their strong renewal power, the invasive tree species are spreading rapidly, especially in abandoned agricultural and forest areas and along traffic routes and water courses. One way of their restriction is the promotion of their use. In our research, we investigated surface finishing of wood of five selected invasive species in Slovenia, Box elder (Acer negundo L.), Black locust (Robinia pseudoacacia L.), Horse-chestnut (Aesculus hippocastanum L.), Honey locust (Gleditsia triacanthos L.) and Chinese sumac (Ailanthus altissima (Mill.) Swingle), and compared it with the finishing of the European beech (Fagus sylvatica L.) wood. For coatings, we selected one-component waterborne finish on acrylate-polyurethane basis, one-component solventborne finish on polyurethane basis and tung oil as a natural finish. Several surface properties were investigated. We proved that surface hardness, resistance to impact and adhesion were very much related to interactions between the coating and the substrate, while resistance to cold liquids was only dependant on the type of the finish. It was also shown that, in general, finishing of woods of selected invasive tree species was not problematic. The only exception was Black locust wood on which tung oil cured very slowly.
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SAŽETAK • Invazivne vrste drva brzo se šire zbog izrazito jakog svojstva obnavljanja, posebice na zapuštenim poljoprivrednim i šumskim površinama te uz prometnice i vodotokove. Jedan od načina ograničavanja njihova širenja jest poticanje uporabe njihova drva. U ovom smo istraživanju proučavali površinsku obradu pet invazivnih vrsta drva u Sloveniji: negundovca (Acer negundo L.), bagrema (Robinia pseudoacacia L.), divljeg kestena (Aesculus hippocastanum L.), gledišće (Gleditsia triacanthos L.) i pajasena (Ailanthus altissima (Mill.) Swingle), u usporedbi s površinskom obradom bukovine (Fagus sylvatica L.). Kao premazni materijali upotrijebili su jednokomponentni vodeni lak na bazi poliakrilata i poliuretan, jednokomponentni otapajući poliuretan i ulje kao prirodni premazni materijal. Pritom je ispitivano nekoliko svojstava površine. Dokazano je da tvrdoća površine, otpornost na udarce i adhezija izrazito ovisio o međudjelovanju premaza i podloge, a otpornost na hladne tekućine ovisi samo o vrsti premaza. Također je dokazano da površinska obrada promatranih invazivnih vrsta drva nije problematična. Izuzetak je drvo bagrema, na kojem se tungovo ulje vrlo sporo suši.

Ključne riječi: premaz, površinska obrada; invazivne vrste drva; ulje; drvo

INTRODUCTION
1. UVOD

According to the definition of the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN), invasive alien species (IAS) is an alien species that has stabilized and is causing environmental change, threatening human health, the economy and/or native biotic variety (Bačić and Strgulc Krajšek, 2013). Due to their strong renewal power, the invasive tree species are spreading rapidly, especially in abandoned agricultural and forest areas and along traffic routes and water courses.
areas and along traffic routes and water courses. One way of their restriction is the promotion of their use.

The optimal processing of wood species for high added value products is only possible with a thorough knowledge of the basic properties of the raw materials. Some properties also restrict the use of certain wood species. In our previous research, 17 Slovenian wood IAS were identified as suitable for processing into wood products. Several species grow as ornamental shrubs and as such are not interesting for technological exploitation. Therefore, the research was more focused on species from which a sufficient quantity of wood could be obtained for carrying out the tests. Those were Box elder (*Acer negundo* L.), Black locust (*Robinia pseudoacacia* L.), Horse-chestnut (*Aesculus hippocastanum* L.), Honey locust (*Gleditsia triacanthos* L.) and Chinese sumac (*Ailanthus altissima* (Mill.) Swingle). The following properties were investigated: physical-mechanical properties, basic chemical composition with specificities, machinability, gluing properties, durability and impregnability (Merela et al., 2019). However, no research has been made about the wood surface finishing yet. Also, in the literature, only few investigations can be found.

Nemeth et al. (2014) performed an evaluation of Black locust strip parquet flooring panels after long-term, in-service exposure. By controlled steam treatment prior to oiling, they further increased the utilization potential of this material. After 5 years of in-service and laboratory tests, they concluded that oil treatment of wood did not contribute significantly to a higher performance of the parquet compared to the untreated one. According to Pánek and Reinprecht (2014), the Black locust was usually shown as better wood substance for transparent paints in comparison to the Norway spruce (*Picea abies* (L.) Karst) wood, evaluating colour stability and rate of surface destruction at natural weathering. Later on, Pánek and Reinprecht (2016) investigated the impact of the number of coats on the colour stability and the surface defects of painted Black locust and Norway spruce woods after up to three years of natural weathering. The colour stability of the surfaces and their resistance to defects was better when the coating system was applied to Black locust wood compared with Norway spruce wood. Smoother surfaces of wood before painting resulted in a higher resistance against cracking and other defects caused by natural weathering; however, the effect of the initial wood roughness on the colour stability of painted woods during natural weathering was negligible. On the same woods, Pánek and Reinprecht (2019) also showed some possibilities for predicting changes in the colour of painted wood in the exterior by its accelerated weathering in Xenotest, using suitable correlation analyses.

Kúdela et al. (2016) focused on the influence of accelerated ageing on surface-treated Chinese sumac wood veneers. The observed coating system had a high resistance against photo-degradation under the dry mode, while under the wet mode (UV radiation with rainfall simulation) some colour changes were present. They established that bigger amounts of dark pigments in the coatings resulted in better protection of the Chinese sumac wood surface against UV-induced degradation. Further on, Kúdela et al. (2017) evaluated aged colour effects on quality of Chinese sumac wood surface finished with a water-based coating system applied in several colour hues, and concluded that the colour variability of the coating system had no effects on roughness, wetting performance and surface free energy values.

The red stain common in Box elder wood appears to be created by the tree as a response to wounding. Wood displaying this pigment is commonly used in decorative woodturning. Unfortunately, when the pigment is exposed to UV light, it is degraded regardless of the used coating (Robinson et al., 2013).

In the case of wood surface finishing with usually thin coating films, the properties of the finished surface depend not only on the final coat but also on the layers just below the top and definitely on the substrate itself. There is a synergy between the coating system (consisting of one or more layers of the same or different coating materials) and wood substrate. All this forms the so-called surface system, which determines the quality of all constituents (Pavlič et al., 2003). Therefore, protection or resistance of the wooden structure against physical and chemical influences can be obtained by surface treatment, which depends on the selected coating and the type of substrate (Keskink and Tekin, 2011; Veigel et al., 2014). Substrate properties, such as structure, density, surface roughness, chemical composition and colour may influence the effect of the coating. In indoor applications, the mechanical coating properties, like surface hardness, deformability as well as resistance to impact, friction, scratch and abrasion, are of prime importance (Brischke et al., 2019).

In our research, we investigated the surface finishing of wood of five above mentioned invasive species in Slovenia, Box elder, Black locust, Horse-chestnut, Honey locust and Chinese sumac, and compared it with the finishing of the European beech wood. For coatings, we selected one-component waterborne finish on acrylate-polyurethane basis, one-component solventborne finish on polyurethane basis and tung oil, as a natural finish. We presumed that interactions between wood substrates and selected finishes are suitable to achieve quality surface systems that will be investigated by the determination of several properties.

2 MATERIALS AND METHODS
2. MATERIJALI I METODE
2.1 Sample preparations
2.1. Priprema uzoraka

We prepared three boards with radial orientation of the growth rings and dimensions of (800 × 120 × 20) mm from dry wood for each wood species (Table 1). After machining, the boards were kept for one week at a temperature of (23 ± 2) °C and a relative humidity of (50 ± 5) %. Before application of finishes, the boards were sanded with a P180 grit size sand paper and sawn into three equal parts. In this way, for each wood species, we prepared three sets of three sequential sam-
on the first set of sequential coated samples of each substrate. A paper disc with a diameter of 26 mm and grammage of 80 g/m² was placed on the surface and loaded with a mass of 20 g for 60 s. Later on, the surface was examined for any changes. If the surface was not damaged, the procedure was repeated and a paper disc was loaded with the higher mass, until the final, 7th drying stage was reached (drying stage 2 - 20 g, 3 - 200 g, 5 - 2 kg, 7 - 20 kg).

### 2.4 Determination of coating hardness

Coating hardness of WPU and SPU was determined by the pendulum damped oscillations test method with the use of König pendulum tester (Model 299/300, Erichsen GmbH & Co. KG, Hemer, Germany; EN ISO 1522, 2007). The coatings were applied on a glass plates with manual quadruple film applicator (Model 360, ERCHSEN GmbH & Co. KG, Hemer, Germany) at an application gap height of 360 μm and a speed of (3 ± 0.5) cm/s. After drying and conditioning at (23 ± 2) °C and a relative humidity of (50 ± 5) % for 21 days, the coating hardness was measured (3 samples per coating, 5 measurements per sample). The hardness value corresponded to the damping time of the pendulum oscillating on the coating surface from 6° to 3°, in respect to normal axis, measured with an electronic counter.

### 2.5 Colour measurements

The colour of wood surfaces before and after finishing was determined according to CIELAB system (SIST EN ISO 11664-4, 2011) using a spectrophotometer (SP 62, X-Rite Pantone, Grand Rapids, MI USA) with diffuse/8° sphere optical geometry, fixed 14 mm aperture, specular component included, illuminant D65 and a 10° standard observer. For each sample (3 samples per wood species for each finish), ten measurements were performed and the average values were recorded. The colour differences (∆E*) between untreated wood and 21 days after the finishing were calculated as follows:

\[
\Delta L^* = \Delta L^*_1 - \Delta L^*_0 \\
\Delta a^* = \Delta a^*_1 - \Delta a^*_0 \\
\Delta b^* = \Delta b^*_1 - \Delta b^*_0 \\
\Delta E^* = (\Delta L^*2 + \Delta a^*2 + \Delta b^*2)^{1/2}
\]

where ∆E* represents the total colour difference, \(L^*\) is the lightness and darkness of colour, \(a^*\) is the redness and greenness of colour, \(b^*\) is the yellowness and blueness of colour, and \(\Delta L^*, \Delta a^*, \text{ and } \Delta b^*\) are the differences of the finished (1) and untreated wood surface (0) of \(L^*, a^*, \text{ and } b^*\), respectively (Wang et al., 2017).

During colour measurements, any anomalies on the surface, like brown to red stain spots on Box elder wood, were avoided. We must also point out that, in case of coloured heartwood species (Black locust and Honey locust), only heartwood was used in our research.
2.6 Gloss measurements
2.6. Mjerenje sjaja

The specular gloss of coated surfaces 21 days after the finishing was determined with gloss meter (AcuGloss TRI, X-Rite Pantone, Grand Rapids, MI USA) according to the method described in EN ISO 2813 (2015). Ten measurements of each sample (3 samples per wood species for each finish) were made and the average value was reported.

2.7 Dry film thickness
2.7. Debljina filma osušenog premaza

Dry coating film thickness of WPU and SPU was measured using the microscopic method according to SIST EN ISO 2808:2007. We prepared cross sections of the coated systems, which were observed under the Olympus SZH stereomicroscope with additional illumination and 120x magnification. As a result, the representative (average) value was reported (3 cross sections per coating, 5 measurements per sample).

2.8 Coating adhesion
2.8. Adhezija premaza

Coating adhesion of WPU and SPU was determined using a pull-off test described in SIST EN ISO 4624 (2016). For this, we used the first set of sequential coated samples of each substrate. On each coated surface, ten dollies were glued, using an epoxy adhesive (UHU plus endfest 300, UHU, Bühl, Germany). After 24 hours, the cured epoxy adhesive and the coating were cut to the substrate around the circumference of the dolly and the test was conducted using a PosiTest AT adhesion tester (DeFelsco Corporation, Ogdensburg, NY, USA). A tensile stress was applied at an increasing speed not greater than 1 MPa/s until the dolly and the test was conducted using a PosiTest AT adhesion tester (DeFelsco Corporation, Ogdensburg, NY, USA) according to the method described in EN ISO 4624 (2016). For this, we used the first set of sequential coated samples of each substrate, on which resistance to cold liquids was also measured. After the impacts, the surface was carefully examined, which resistance to cold liquids was also measured. After the impacts, the surface was carefully examined, also with a magnifier (10x magnification). The resistance of the surface to impacts (average value) from different heights was evaluated with numerical grades from 5 (no change) to 1 (strong change). From each height, 5 impacts were performed and the nearest whole number to the mean was reported. The dropping height was increased until the first cracks in coating film occurred (grade 3).

2.10 Surface hardness – Scratch test
2.10. Tvrdća površine – ispitivanje grebanjem

The hardness of the coated surfaces was measured indirectly by the SIST EN ISO 1518:2001 scratch test. For this, we used the third set of sequential finished samples of each substrate. We used the so-called spring test pencil (Model 318, Erichsen GmbH & Co. KG, Hemer, Germany). A needle is inserted in it with a tip of a half-shaped sphere of 1 mm in diameter. The load on the tip can vary (from 1 N to 20 N), which is adjusted by moving the slip ring, pressing inside the inserted spring. With this spring pencil, we scratched the surface in a length of at least 60 mm. The scratch speed was between 30 mm/s and 40 mm/s and the scratch was performed perpendicular to the grain direction. We increased the load to the tip to the extent that cracks in the coating film appeared or the width of the trace (plastic deformation) was greater than 0.5 mm. The greater the load (force) is, the more the coated surface is resistant to scratching and consequently is harder.

2.11 Resistance to impact
2.11. Otpornost na udarce

To determine the resistance to impact of a coated surface (WPU and SPU), the method SIST ISO 4211-4:1995 was used by descending the weight of (500 ± 5) g from different heights (10 mm, 25 mm, 50 mm, 100 mm, and 200 mm) on a steel ball with a diameter of 14 mm. For this, we also used the second set of sequential coated samples of each substrate, on which resistance to cold liquids was also measured. After the impacts, the surface was carefully examined, also with a magnifier (10x magnification). The resistance of the surface to impacts (average value) from different heights was evaluated with numerical grades from 5 (no change) to 1 (strong change). From each height, 5 impacts were performed and the nearest whole number to the mean was reported. The dropping height was increased until the first cracks in coating film occurred (grade 3).

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
3. REZULTATI I RASPRAVA

3.1 Oil sorption and drying stage of oiled surfaces
3.1. Sorpcija ulja i stupanj osušenosti površine premazane uljem

Drying stage of the oiled surfaces was monitored already 24 h after the last application. Yang et al. (2015) reported that tung oil usually requires more than 5 days to dry. This correlates with our findings, in which tung oil reached the final drying stage after...
We presumed that these results must have been connected with the interactions of the fresh oil with a substrate. The sorption test (Figure 1) showed that the highest uptake into the substrate was indeed in the case of beech and honey locust wood, but the uptake of oil into box elder wood, on which oil dried normally, was quite low and the sorption dynamic was similar to the ones in case of Chinese sumac and black locust wood.

Nevertheless, black locust wood, on which tung oil dried abnormally slowly, has among the observed species one of the lowest uptake of tung oil, the highest density and the highest value of total extractives (Merela et al., 2019). From this, we can conclude that interactions between the substrate and the finish did have an effect on the drying speed of tung oil.

3.2 Coating sorption and dry film thickness

3.2. Sorpcija i debljina osušenog premaza

Thickness of the dry coating finish depends on properties of wet coating and substrate. Nevertheless, from the results (Table 3), we can see that, with the same application parameters on the same substrate,
higher thickness of the dry coating film of WPU was always achieved compared to SPU. This relation is pretty much correlated with the results of coating sorption test. The uptake of SPU on all substrates (Figure 2) was higher than the uptake of WPU (Figure 3). This higher uptake of SPU contributed to a lower thickness of the SPU coating films compared to WPU ones.

In our previous research, we investigated the wood anatomy, chemical, physical and mechanical properties (Merela et al., 2019) of the used IAS. If we correlate this data with our sorption results, we can conclude that the average wood density in oven dry state ($\rho_0$) (FaSy – 680 g/m$^3$, AcNe – 520 g/m$^3$, RoPs – 678 g/m$^3$, AeHi – 479 g/m$^3$, GlTr – 702 g/m$^3$, AiAl – 602 g/m$^3$) correlates the best with the sorption results (Figure 1, 2 and 3). In general, investigated IAS with higher density have lower uptake of coating material.

### 3.3 Colour and gloss of finished surfaces

#### Boja i sjaj površinski obrađenog drva

Colour changes calculated from measurements made 21 days before and after the finishing are shown in Table 4. In general, the coated surfaces with solvent-borne coating changed the colour the most. The two exceptions are the coated surfaces of black locust and honey locust wood, which changed the colour the most after coating with the waterborne coating. Surfaces became more yellow than the others (RoPs: $\Delta b^* = 15.27$; GlTr: $\Delta b^* = 8.6$). This yellowing occurred later on, during the measurements of coating adhesion connected with the yellowing of the coating film due to possible migration of the extractives, as discussed after ATR FT-IR measurements.

Finishing with oil resulted in less colour variability between surfaces and all surfaces became a bit yellowish, as expected (Yang et al., 2015).

The oiled surfaces had the lowest gloss (from 2.18 to 4.55). The gloss of coated surfaces with semi-gloss waterborne coating was from 18.97 to 25.29. The highest gloss was achieved in the case of finishing with glossy solventborne coating (from 77.44 to 94.12) (Table 5).

### Table 3 Dry coating film thickness

| Substrate | Thickness / Debeljina, μm |
|-----------|---------------------------|
| WPU       | SPU                       |
| $\bar{x}$ | $\sigma$                  |
| FaSy      | 65                         |
| AcNe      | 75                         |
| RoPs      | 91                         |
| AeHi      | 83                         |
| GlTr      | 54                         |
| AiAl      | 90                         |

### Table 4 Changes of colour components ($\Delta L^*$, $\Delta a^*$, $\Delta b^*$) and total colour difference ($\Delta E^*$) after finishing

| Substrate | WPU | SPU | TO | $\Delta L^*$ | $\Delta a^*$ | $\Delta b^*$ | $\Delta E^*$ | $\Delta L^*$ | $\Delta a^*$ | $\Delta b^*$ | $\Delta E^*$ | $\Delta L^*$ | $\Delta a^*$ | $\Delta b^*$ | $\Delta E^*$ |
|-----------|-----|-----|----|-------------|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|-------------|-------------|
| FaSy      | -4.66 | -0.59 | 2.24 | 5.20 | 2.35 | -0.01 | 10.20 | 10.47 | -0.85 | 2.29 | 9.64 | 9.94 |
| AcNe      | 0.10 | 0.33 | 1.76 | 1.80 | -4.01 | 1.31 | 11.07 | 11.84 | -1.78 | 1.18 | 9.21 | 9.46 |
| RoPs      | -2.40 | -2.73 | 15.27 | 15.69 | -5.86 | 3.62 | 11.10 | 13.06 | -6.75 | 4.38 | 9.82 | 12.69 |
| AeHi      | -0.38 | 0.71 | 3.87 | 3.95 | -4.12 | 1.87 | 12.94 | 13.71 | -7.46 | 4.74 | 9.96 | 13.32 |
| GlTr      | -1.86 | 0.54 | 8.60 | 8.82 | -0.18 | -1.26 | 3.36 | 3.60 | -3.75 | 2.19 | 8.62 | 9.65 |
| AiAl      | -1.50 | -2.48 | 6.56 | 7.18 | -2.66 | -1.77 | 12.72 | 13.11 | -2.84 | -1.82 | 10.76 | 11.28 |

![Figure 3](image-url)  
*Sorption of one-component waterborne coating on acrylate-polyurethane basis (WPU)*

*Slika 3. Sorpcija jedinokomponentnoga vodenog premaza na bazi poliakrilata i poliuretana (WPU)*
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3.5 Coating and coated surface hardness

The pendulum hardness test is based on the principle that the harder the measured surface, the greater the oscillation time of the pendulum is. The amplitude of the pendulum oscillation decreases gradually because of the damping during the pendulum hardness test. The pendulum hardness of the coating is greatly related to its dry film thickness (Ma et al., 2013). The coating film of SPU applied on a glass plate (thickness film about 55 μm) had a very low oscillation time of 28.39 s in average, meaning high damping of the pendulum due to the elastic deformations of the film. The coating film of WPU on glass, despite of a bit higher thickness (60 μm), exhibited higher oscillation time (65.54 s), meaning that it had much greater hardness.

It is known that coating hardness greatly depends on the thickness of coating; it gradually decreases with the increasing thickness of coating (Sönmez et al., 2011; Ma et al., 2013; Gurleyen et al., 2017). However, in our research, this was not evident since the coating hardness and type of the substrate had much higher influence on coated surface hardness, determined by the scratch test (Table 7). We can see that surfaces coated with SPU had marks wider than 0.5 mm at lower forces (FaSy, AcNe) and at the same or higher forces no cracks in the coating film appeared during the scratch test (RoPs, AeHi, GiTr, AiAl), meaning that the surfaces coated with SPU are more flexible than the ones coated with WPU.

The influence of the substrate on coated surface hardness was especially evident in the case of substrates coated with WPU (Table 7). The coating film on the ring-porous wood species (RoPs, GiTr, AiAl) cracked, even at lower scratch forces. This is most often the case of the surface systems with ring-porous substrates when the scratch tip passes the coating on non-totally filled open wood cell lumens (Pavlič et al., 2003).

3.6 Impact resistance

As a result of the impact resistance of the coated surfaces, the minimum dropping height at which the coating cracked (grade 3 or less) is stated to differ the impact marks at the same height, if relevant (Table 8).

### Table 5 Gloss of finished surfaces

| Finish Premaz | FaSy | AcNe | RoPs | AeHi | GiTr | AiAl |
|---------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| WPU           | 20.84| 0.96 | 22.97| 1.27 | 24.73| 0.36 |
| SPU           | 82.46| 6.16 | 94.12| 2.58 | 88.86| 1.23 |
| TO            | 4.27 | 0.18 | 4.55 | 0.39 | 2.18 | 0.30 |

### Table 6 Coating adhesion (σ) and type of fracture (A – adhesive fracture between coating and substrate, K – cohesive fracture of the substrate)

| Substrate Podloga | WPU | Adhesion / Fracture, MPa |
|-------------------|-----|-------------------------|
| FaSy              | 4.95| 0.36 | 70 % A, 30 % K, 5.45 | 0.33 | 80 % A, 20 % K |
| AcNe              | 4.09| 0.29 | 20 % A, 80 % K, 4.11 | 0.30 | 85 % A, 15 % K |
| RoPs              | 4.47| 0.48 | 100 % A, 4.58 | 0.41 | 100 % A |
| AeHi              | 3.98| 0.33 | 25 % A, 75 % K, 4.01 | 0.29 | 20 % A, 80 % K |
| GiTr              | 4.56| 0.45 | 100 % A, 4.89 | 0.44 | 100 % A |
| AiAl              | 4.05| 0.43 | 100 % A, 5.12 | 0.42 | 100 % A |
It is interesting that there were such big differences between surfaces coated with WPU and SPU. With WPU coated surfaces, the film cracked at much lower dropping height (10 mm) than it was the case with SPU, where the first cracks appeared not before the dropping height of 100 mm. This result is directly connected with the established higher hardness of WPU film and with higher WPU coated surface hardness.

From the results, we can also see the influence of the substrate. In the case of finishing with WPU, we can see that all these substrates cracked at a dropping height of 10 mm, but the amount of cracks was different (grade 3 and 2). Even much higher differences occurred in the case of SPU coated surfaces. There, we had a different minimum dropping height at which the coating cracked and also the grades were different. It is interesting that, in the case of this property this time RoPs systems (WPU and SPU) proved to be the most resistant to impact. This result could be connected to the highest density of RoPa wood (Merela et al., 2019).

### Table 7 Impact resistance of coated surfaces

| Substrate | WPU | SPU |
|-----------|-----|-----|
| | Resist. to scratching | Thickness, μm | Resist. to scratching | Thickness, μm |
| | Otpornost na ogrebotine | Debljina, μm | Otpornost na ogrebotine | Debljina, μm |
| FaSy | 11 N, w | 65 | 10 N, w | 42 |
| AcNe | 11 N, w | 75 | 10 N, w | 60 |
| RoPs | 4 N, c | 91 | 9 N, w | 75 |
| AeHi | 10 N, c | 83 | 10 N, w | 58 |
| GiTr | 8 N, c | 54 | 10 N, w | 50 |
| AiAl | 8 N, c | 90 | 9 N, w | 73 |

*5 – no visible changes (no damage) / nema vidljivih promjena (nema oštećenja)

4 - no cracks in the surface but the impact mark is visible when light is reflected from the surface at or very near the test point / nema pukotina na površini, ali je pri reflektiranju svjetlosti s površine vidljivo mjesto udara

3 - slight cracking at the surface, usually one or two circular cracks within the impact mark / vide se male pukotine na površini, obično jedna ili dvije krugove unutar mjesto udara

2 - moderate to heavy cracking limited to the impact mark / umjereno do intenzivno pucanje ograničeno na mjesto udara

1 – cracks that extend outside the impact mark and/or flaking of the surface finish / pukotine koje se protežu izvan mješta udara i/ili se ljušti premaz

### Table 8 Impact resistance of coated surfaces

| Substrate | WPU | SPU |
|-----------|-----|-----|
| | Dropping height | Grade* | Dropping height | Grade* |
| | Visina udara | Ocjena | Visina udara | Ocjena |
| FaSy | 10 mm | 2 | 100 mm | 3 |
| AcNe | 10 mm | 2 | 100 mm | 1 |
| RoPs | 10 mm | 3 | 100 mm | 3 |
| AeHi | 10 mm | 2 | 100 mm | 1 |
| GiTr | 10 mm | 3 | 200 mm | 3 |
| AiAl | 10 mm | 3 | 200 mm | 1 |

*5 – no visible changes (no damage) / nema vidljivih promjena (nema oštećenja)

4 - no cracks in the surface but the impact mark is visible when light is reflected from the surface at or very near the test point / nema pukotina na površini, ali je pri reflektiranju svjetlosti s površine vidljivo mjesto udara

3 - slight cracking at the surface, usually one or two circular cracks within the impact mark / vide se male pukotine na površini, obično jedna ili dvije krugove unutar mjesto udara

2 - moderate to heavy cracking limited to the impact mark / umjereno do intenzivno pucanje ograničeno na mjesto udara

1 – cracks that extend outside the impact mark and/or flaking of the surface finish / pukotine koje se protežu izvan mješta udara i/ili se ljušti premaz

### 3.7 Finished surface resistance to cold liquids

Assessment of finished wood surface resistance to cold liquids was performed by selection of the most common liquids and test periods that are usually used in the laboratories for such quick evaluation. In the case of 1-h exposure to water, coffee and alcohol (48 % ethanol), all surfaces proved to be totally resistant to these liquids (grade 5). However, in the case of 1-h exposure to red wine, only surfaces finished with SPU and TO had no problems (grade 5), since the surfaces finished with WPU were drastically coloured (grade 1). This proves that, in our case, the resistance to selected liquids was only dependent on the type of finish used.

### 3.8 ATR FT-IR spectra

Infrared spectroscopy is a sensitive and reliable technique that permits to detect the chemical changes of the chemical structure of polymer materials. Figure 4 shows the infrared spectra of WPU, applied on glass, GiTr and RoPs.

The marked bands are discriminative in showing the difference between WPU on glass and WPU on GiTr and RoPs. This proves that the chemical structure of the last two coating films is different. This different chemical structure, which resulted in the yellowish coating film on GiTr and RoPs, can be connected with the extractives from the wood substrates. It is known that wood extractives can migrate into the coating and cause undesired changes in the dry coating film colour (Kúdela and Liptáková, 2006). Among the investigated IAS, the RoPs has the highest value of total content of extractives, determined by speed extraction (9.26 % w/w), followed by GiTr (6.35 % w/w), while the value of other wood species is significantly much lower (Merela et al., 2019). However, to undoubtedly confirm the presence of extractives in the dry coating film, a more detailed analysis of the discriminative peaks should be made.

### 4 CONCLUSION

The woods of selected invasive alien tree species (IAS) were successfully finished with one-component waterborne finish on acrylate-polyurethane basis.
(WPU), one-component solventborne finish on polyurethane basis (SPU) and tung oil (TO). The quality of the surface finishing was evaluated by investigation of various important properties and compared with finishing of most commonly used beech wood.

Interactions between the substrate and finish did have an effect on the drying speed of TO and dry coating film thickness (WPU and SPU). Wood species with higher density had lower oil uptake and consequently oil on those surfaces had longer drying time. Wood species with higher density also had lower uptake of used coatings, which contributed to a higher thickness of the coating films.

The final appearance evaluated by colour and gloss measurements very much depended on the type of the substrate and finish being used. On the black locust (RoPs) and honey locust wood (GItTr), the yellowing of the coating film due to most possible migration of wood extractives was noticed.

Lower coating hardness of SPU compared to WPU resulted in a higher flexibility and higher resistance to impact of SPU systems. It was also shown that surface hardness (determined by scratch test), resistance to impact and coating adhesion are very much related to interactions between the coating and the substrate, while resistance to cold liquids was only dependant on the type of the finish.

In general, woods of the selected IAS are not problematic for finishing. However, it is reasonable to take into account the findings of our study, which also showed that TO cured very slowly on RoPs wood and that the coating film may turn yellow on RoPs and GItTr wood.
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