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Determination of the Attitudes of the Health Staff Working for the Institutions Providing Primary Health Care Towards Ageism
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ABSTRACT

Aim: This descriptive and correlational study was conducted to determine the attitudes of the health care staff who work for the institutions providing primary health care services in Kayseri.

Material and Methods: The study was conducted with 259 individuals who agreed to participate in the study. In the quantitative part of the study, a questionnaire form and the Ageism Attitude Scale were used. In the qualitative part of the research, in-depth interviews were conducted with eight health care personnel who had the highest and lowest scores on the Ageism Attitude Scale and agreed to interview. Mann-Whitney U, Kruskal-Wallis, and chi-square tests were used to analyze the data. The statistical significance level was taken as 0.05 in all tests.

Results: In the study, it was found that while male health care personnel wanted to live with the elderly, female health care personnel did not (p <0.05). In the mean score distribution of the ageism attitude scale, it was determined that physicians and health officers had higher positive discrimination scores towards the elderly. Nurses’ and midwives’ negative discrimination scores against the elderly were higher than the other groups (p<0.05). As the education level increased, the scores of positive discrimination against the elderly also increased.

Conclusion: In the research, we can say that healthcare personnel discriminates elderly at different points. The important thing is to reveal the prejudices of the health personnel about the elderly and ensure that the health personnel serving the elderly are aware of these prejudices. We think that this research will give health care professionals an insight.
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ÖZ

Birinci Basamak Sağlık Hizmeti Veren Kurumlarda Çalışan Sağlık Personelinin Yaşlı Ayrımcılığına İlişkin Tutumlarının Belirlenmesi

Amaç: Bu çalışma Birinci Basamak Sağlık Hizmeti veren kurumlarda görev yapan sağlık personelinin yaşlı ayrımcılığı hakkındaki tutunun belirlenmesi amacıyla tanımlayıcı ve ilişkili araç tıpte yapılmıştır.

Gereç ve Yöntem: Araştırma çalışmasına katılmayı kabul eden 259 kişi ile tamamlanmıştır. Araştırmanın nicel kısmında sağlık çalışanlarının sosyodemografik ve aile özellikleri tespit ettme yönelik anket formu ve Yaşlı Ayrımcılığı Tutum Ölçeği kullanılmıştır. Araştırında Yaşlı Ayrımcılığı Tutum Ölçeği (YATÖ) puanları en yüksek ve en düşük çıkan ve görüşme yapmayı kabul eden 8 sağlık personeli ile derinlemesine görüşülmüştür. Verilerin analizinde Mann-Whitney U, Kruskal-Wallis ve ki-kare testi kullanılmıştır. Tüm testlerde istatistiksel önem düzeyi 0.05 olarak alınmıştır.

Bulgular: Araştırmda erkek sağlık personeli yaşlı ile birlikte yaşamak isteren, kadın sağlık personelinin istemediği bulunmuştur (p<0.05). Yaşlı ayrımcılığı tutum ölçeği ortalamadan puan dağılımlarında ise doktor ve sağlık memurlarının yaşlıya yönelik olumlu ayrımcılık puanlarının daha yüksek olduğu, hemşire ve ebeveler yaşlıya yönelik olumsuz ayrımcılık puanlarının diğer gruplara göre yüksek olduğu belirlenmiştir (p<0.05). Eğitim durumu yükseklikçe yaşlıya yapılan olumlu ayrımcılık puanları da artmaktadır.

Sonuç: Araştırmda sağlık personelinin farklı noktaldarında yaşlı ayrımcılığı yaptığı söylenebiliriz. Önele olan ise sağlık personelinin yaşlı konusundaki ön yargılardan ortaya çıkması ve yaşlıya hizmet veren sağlık personelinin bu ön yargılardan faydalanmasıdır. Bu araştırma ile sağlık çalışanlarına bir iç görü kazandıracagını düşünmektedir.
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INTRODUCTION

Aging is a process that continues from birth to death, as in every living thing. In this process, individuals experience many physiological, sociological, and psychological changes. These changes can cause many problems in the lives of the elderly. As in the world, life expectancy in Turkey has also been increasing, and it causes an increase in the elderly population steadily. The elderly population in the world was found as 9.3% in 2019. In Turkey, the elderly population increased to 9.1% in 2019. Population projections estimate that the elderly population will continue to grow. According to the population projections, the rate of the elderly population is projected to be 10.2% in 2023, 12.9% in 2030, 16.3% in 2040, 22.6% in 2060, and 25.6% in 2080.

According to the statistics, Turkey is among the developing countries where the aging process is fast. Aging often means disability or an increase in disability. With the increase in the disability of the elderly, they need others, and they are more dependent on others for daily activities such as dressing, bathing, moving, and eating. With the rapid increase in the elderly population in developed and developing countries, many individual and social problems emerge. These problems can be stated as the lack of adequate access to health care services, failure to cover health expenditures, inability to benefit from social security institutions and financing, reduced income after retirement, and limited employment opportunities for the elderly. In addition, it is expected that the elderly will face some other problems such as a reduction in social support from their families and their immediate environment, adaptation or non-adaptation to the aging process, accommodation, and daily care. With the change in the sociocultural structure of societies, the age perceptions of individuals and society are also changing. Along with the changing age perception, elderly neglect and abuse in the society and problems such as ageism can also be seen.

There are many definitions of ageism in literature, and the most common definition is the one by Palmore. According to this definition, ageism is explained as a term expressed through prejudices, attitudes, and behaviors towards older people. Recent studies show that the elderly are exposed to discrimination in their families and institutions where they receive service. The opinion that the elderly are discriminated in all areas of health care is becoming increasingly common. Ageism is important for people living in all areas of society, but it is much more important for health workers. A positive attitude towards the elderly is predicted to improve the quality of life of the elderly. Especially the attitude of the health care providers who work in primary health care institutions and who have one-to-one contact with the elderly is critical. Studies are revealing that health care providers have negative and positive attitudes towards elderly individuals. Nurses’ prejudices, values, beliefs, lack of knowledge, and negative attitudes about ageism are stated to reduce not only the quality of the care given to elderly people but also the self-esteem of elderly individuals. Studies conducted on this subject are mostly based on quantitative data. It is crucial to reveal the prejudices of the health care providers about the elderly and ensure that the health care providers serving the elderly are aware of these prejudices.

Aim

This study was conducted in Kayseri province center and in the central districts to determine the attitude of the health care providers working in primary health care institutions towards the elderly.

MATERIAL and METHODS

Study Design

This descriptive study was carried out to determine the attitude of the health care staff working in primary health care institutions in the center of Kayseri and the districts towards the elderly.

Study Sample

The study was conducted at 42 centers, including primary care clinics (PCC) in Melikgazi, Kocasinan, Talas, Hacilar, and Incesu districts and community health centers (CHC) and home care centers in the center of Kayseri between the years 2016-2017.

The research population consists of 342 health care providers. Sample selection was not made, and all of the healthcare providers working at that time and agreeing to participate in the research were included in the study. The sample of the study consisted of 259 people. The participation rate was 75.73%.

Eight healthcare providers who had the highest and lowest scores after the quantitative evaluation and who agreed to in-depth interviews were interviewed for 10-15 minutes. Interviews were recorded on tape.

Data Collection Tools

Questionnaire Form

The questionnaire was formed by literature review and included 29 questions which had 23 questions aiming to define the sociodemographic and family characteristics of the healthcare providers and six questions seeking to determine their states about the elderly. The scale includes 23 items and three subtitles: positive discrimination towards the elderly, negative discrimination towards the elderly, and restricting the life of the elderly. Vefikuluçay developed the scale in 2008, and a validity and reliability study (Cronbach Alpha Reliability Coefficient 0.80) was conducted. The scale includes 23 items and three subtitles: positive discrimination towards the elderly, negative discrimination towards the elderly, and restricting the life of the elderly. In the Ageism Attitude Scale, questions 1st, 5th, 12th, 14th, 17th, 19th, 21st, 22nd, and 23rd questions are for determining restricting the life of the elderly, 2nd, 4th, 6th, 7th, 8th, 9th, 13th, and 20th questions are for positive discrimination towards the elderly, and the 3rd, 10th, 11th, 15th, 16th, and 18th questions are for negative discrimination towards the elderly. The scale is a 5-point Likert scale, and the participants are asked to answer each phrase as “strongly disagree,” “disagree,” “undecided,” “agree” and “strongly agree.” When assessing the scale, for the phrases...
including positive discrimination towards the elderly, “strongly agree” is scored as 5, “agree” as 4, “undecided” as 3, “disagree” as 2, and “strongly disagree” as 1. For negative expressions for ageism, “strongly agree” is scored as 1, “agree” as 2, “undecided” as 3, “disagree” as 4, and “strongly disagree” as 5. The maximum score obtained from the scale is 115, and the minimum score is 23 (the study about validity and reliability is given in the References). In our study, Cronbach Alpha Reliability Coefficient is 0.68.

In-Depth Interview Form
In the in-depth interview part of the study, a semi-structured questionnaire form was used. The questions were formed by consulting two specialist lecturers and by depending on the literature. In the interview form used in the interviews with the health care providers, the following topics were identified as themes.

In-depth interview themes
- Opinion on the physical appearance of the elderly
- Opinion on the psychology of the elderly
- Opinion on the participation of senior citizens in working life
- Opinion about the sexual life of the elderly
- Opinion on neglect, abuse, and violence against the elderly

Data Collection
The researcher collected the quantitative data the same day after the questionnaires were distributed and filled in between May 2016 and April 2017. A team including a moderator and a reporter conducted the in-depth reviews. The voice recording method was used to record the data obtained by the interviews. The same person performed the interviews to get a valid and reliable result.

Data Analysis
The data obtained from the research were evaluated by using IBM SPSS Statistics 21.0 (Chicago, USA) statistical package. The normality test was applied with the Kolmogorov-Smirnov (K-S) test to determine whether the data showed normal distribution and the data distribution was not normal. Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare two independent groups, and the Kruskal-Wallis test was used to compare three or more groups. Chi-square test \( \chi^2 \) was used to compare the categorical variables. For the values below 5, Fisher’s Exact Chi-square test was used. The value of \( p<0.05 \) was accepted as significant.

After the qualitative data were decoded by the researcher, records and the record inventory were examined by three other lecturers to ensure the reliability of the data, and they were compared with the researchers’ inventory. The qualitative data were presented in the determined thematic fields afterward the quantitative data.

Ethical Approval
Necessary permissions were obtained from the institutions where the research would be carried out. Also, after the approvals from the academic board and Erciyes University Ethics Committee (2016/21) received, institutions were applied for permission. The research was started after obtaining the necessary permissions. The health care staff who participated in the study were informed about the research content, and their written and verbal consents were obtained before conducting the questionnaire.

Research participation criteria
All health care providers working at the primary health care institutions and accepting to participate in the study were included in the study.

Research variables
Dependent variables consist of the data obtained from the Ageism Attitude Scale. Independent variables consist of the demographic characteristics of the healthcare providers, such as profession and age.

Limitations
The limitation of this study is that the research was done in only one city. Therefore, the findings cannot be generalized to all primary health care professionals; generalization can be made only for health care professionals working in institutions where the research was conducted. In addition, the fact that the validity and reliability study of the “Ageism Attitude Scale” used in the study was conducted on university students but not on health care professionals can be seen as a limitation for the research. Although the Cronbach alpha coefficient found in the study is an acceptable value as 0.68, it is believed that it was resulted as so depending on the sample selection. It is believed that developing and conducting a scale measuring the ageism attitudes of the health care professionals is necessary for the reliability of the findings for the researchers desiring to carry on studies on the issue.

RESULTS
The average age of the healthcare providers participating in the study is 39.2. 67.6% of the participants are female, and 32.4% are male. 37.5% of the staff are physicians, 26.3% are midwives, and 24.7% are nurses. 46% of them have a bachelor’s degree, and the tenure of 43.6% is 20 years and above. 87.6% of the staff are married, 93.8% have a nuclear family structure, 20.5% do not have children, and 58.3% have children. The income of 64.9% of the health care staff is equal to their expenses (Table 1).

Table 1. Distribution of Demographic Characteristics of Health Care Providers (n=259)

| Demographic Characteristics | n    | %    | Demographic Characteristics | n    | %    |
|-----------------------------|------|------|-----------------------------|------|------|
| Age Education               |      |      | Age Education               |      |      |
| 18-24                       | 18   | 6.9  | PhD                         | 6    | 2.3  |
| 25-34                       | 47   | 18.1 | MSc                         | 38   | 14.7 |
| 35-44                       | 117  | 45.5 | Associate                   | 59   | 22.8 |
| 45-54                       | 71   | 27.4 | Bachelor                    | 121  | 46.7 |
| 55-64                       | 6    | 2.3  | High School                 | 35   | 13.5 |
| Gender                      |      |      | Marital Status              |      |      |
| Female                      | 175  | 67.6 | Married                     | 227  | 87.6 |
| Male                        | 84   | 32.4 | Single                      | 30   | 11.6 |
| Occupation                  |      |      | Working Year in the Profession | |      |
| Physician                   | 97   | 37.5 | 1-4 years                   | 23   | 8.9  |
| Midwife                     | 68   | 26.3 | 5-9 years                   | 27   | 10.4 |
| Nurse                       | 64   | 24.7 | 10-14 years                 | 43   | 16.6 |
| Health Officer              | 14   | 5.4  | 15-19 years                 | 53   | 20.5 |
| Others                      | 16   | 6.2  | 20 years and above          | 131  | 43.6 |
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59.1% of the healthcare providers reported that they lived with an elderly individual in any period of their lives, and 44.4% reported that they lived with their grandmothers/grandfathers. 14.7% of them reported that they lived with their parents after they got married. 39.6% of the healthcare providers stated that they lived with an elderly individual for 1-4 years, and 29.9% lived with an elderly individual for 11-16 years. 13.6% of them indicated that their role in elderly care was providing healthcare, the role of 42.9% was to support the elderly in their daily life skills, and 43.5% stated that the elderly they live with did not need care (Table 2). 54.4% of the health care staff included in the study do not want to live with their parents after they get married. 52.2% of the healthcare staff who do not want to live with their parents stated that they do not want to disarrange; 18.6% claim that they have conflicts in communication with the elderly; 12.4% state that the elderly want to live independently, and 11.5% indicate that their houses are small and they have a busy life. 5.3% of them do not present a reason of their unwillingness to live with the elderly. 28% of the healthcare staff who stated they did not want to live with the elderly did not state any reason. 45% of the healthcare providers want to live with their parents after they start their own family. 32.7% of the staff want to live with the elderly since they have love and respect. 30.2% of them accept caring for the elderly as a responsibility. 29.5% consider the comfort of themselves and the elderly. 11.2% of them want to improve their family ties, and they see the elderly as guides to themselves. 2 healthcare providers stated that they wanted to live with the elderly, but they did not state any reasons for this.

Table 2. Distribution of Health Care Provider’s Living with an Elderly and Their Living Conditions (continues)

| Time Spent with the Elderly in the Same House (n=154) | n | % |
|-----------------------------------------------------|---|---|
| 1-4 years                                           | 61 | 39.6 |
| 5-10 years                                          | 47 | 30.5 |
| 11 and above                                        | 46 | 29.9 |

| The Reason of the Desire n (116)                     | n | % |
|-----------------------------------------------------|---|---|
| Love and respect to the elderly                     | 38 | 32.7 |
| To consider the care of the elderly as a responsibility | 35 | 30.2 |
| For the comfort of the elderly and themselves       | 30 | 25.9 |
| To desire to improve family ties and to see the elderly as guides | 13 | 11.2 |

| The Reason of Unwillingness n (113)                  | n | % |
|-----------------------------------------------------|---|---|
| I don’t want to disarrange my life                  | 59 | 52.2 |
| Conflicts in communication                           | 21 | 18.6 |
| The elderly want to maintain their independence     | 14 | 12.4 |
| Small houses and busy life conditions                | 13 | 11.5 |
| No reason                                           | 6  | 5.3 |

In Table 3, sociodemographic characteristics of the healthcare staff and their state of willingness to live with the elderly were compared. When gender and willingness for living with the elderly were compared, it was found that male staff reported their willingness for living with the elderly more than the female ones (p<0.05). A statistically significant difference was found between the occupation groups and the willingness to live with the elderly. Physicians and health officers are more willing to live with the elderly when compared to midwives and nurses (p<0.05). The state of living in an extended family in childhood and willingness to live with the elderly were examined. 52.0% of the healthcare providers who had lived in an extended family were willing to live with an elderly, and 60.3% of the healthcare providers who had not lived in an extended family stated that they did not want to live with the elderly (p<0.05).
The Desire to Live with the Elderly according to the Demographic Data of the Health Care Providers

| Descriptive Characteristics | The Desire to Live with the Elderly |  |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
|                             | I want | % | I don’t want | % |
| Gender                      |        |   |              |   |
| Female                      | 62     | 35.4 | 113          | 64.6 |
| Male                        | 56     | 66.7 | 28           | 33.3 |
| Total                       | 118    | 45.6 | 141          | 54.4 |
| Test statistics (X²)        | 22.330*|   |              |   |
| p                           | 0.000  |   |              |   |
| Marital Status              |        |   |              |   |
| Married                     | 106    | 46.7 | 121          | 53.3 |
| Single                      | 12     | 37.5 | 20           | 62.5 |
| Total                       | 118    | 45.6 | 141          | 54.4 |
| Test statistics (X²)        | 0.956* |   |              |   |
| p                           | 0.580  |   |              |   |
| Occupation                  |        |   |              |   |
| Physician                   | 53     | 54.6 | 44           | 45.4 |
| Nurse                       | 22     | 34.4 | 42           | 65.6 |
| Health officer              | 9      | 64.3 | 5            | 35.7 |
| Midwife                     | 23     | 33.8 | 45           | 66.2 |
| Others                      | 11     | 68.8 | 5            | 31.3 |
| Total                       | 118    | 45.6 | 141          | 54.4 |
| Test statistics (X²)        | 15.676*|   |              |   |
| p                           | 0.003  |   |              |   |
| Education                   |        |   |              |   |
| PhD                         | 2      | 33.3 | 4            | 66.7 |
| Msc                         | 23     | 60.5 | 15           | 39.5 |
| Bachelor                    | 58     | 47.9 | 53           | 52.1 |
| Associate                   | 19     | 32.2 | 40           | 67.7 |
| High school                 | 16     | 45.7 | 19           | 54.3 |
| Total                       | 118    | 45.6 | 141          | 54.4 |
| Test statistics (X²)        | 8.316* |   |              |   |
| p                           | 0.081  |   |              |   |
| Working year in the profession |      |   |              |   |
| 1-4 years                   | 9      | 39.1 | 14           | 60.9 |
| 5-9 years                   | 12     | 44.4 | 15           | 56.6 |
| 10-14 years                 | 17     | 39.5 | 26           | 60.5 |
| 15-19 years                 | 25     | 47.2 | 28           | 52.8 |
| 20 years and above          | 55     | 48.7 | 58           | 51.3 |
| Total                       | 118    | 45.6 | 141          | 54.4 |
| Test Statistics (X²)        | 1.523* |   |              |   |
| p                           | 0.823  |   |              |   |
| Monthly Income              |        |   |              |   |
| Income is less than the expense | 16   | 44.4 | 20           | 55.6 |
| Income equals expense       | 70     | 41.7 | 38           | 58.3 |
| Income is more than the expense | 118  | 45.6 | 141          | 54.4 |
| Total                       | 118    | 45.6 | 141          | 54.4 |
| Test Statistics (X²)        | 4.577* |   |              |   |
| p                           | 0.101  |   |              |   |
| Extended family life during childhood |  |   |              |   |
| Yes                         | 64     | 52.0 | 59           | 48.0 |
| No                          | 54     | 39.7 | 82           | 60.3 |
| Test statistics (X²)        | 3.957* |   |              |   |
| p                           | 0.047  |   |              |   |
As long as their health is convenient, they can. Many people can participate. They can share their knowledge. They cannot participate in the active works requiring physical strength, but they can participate in different works. Even they can advise at homes; sharing experience can be sufficient...” (E.A., 47, female, physician). On the other hand, a nurse participant answered the same question by stating her negative thoughts about old age and the elderly by saying that “... I think they cannot participate in the production. I don't think they can, but I wish they could do. Then they feel more peaceful and happier. They feel that they serve a purpose. For example, I wish they had hobbies such as making flowers or beading as a part of community health. I think it will be very good for them to be interested in something even if they cannot do properly...” (K.C., 50, female, nurse). According to the comparison of the education levels and ageism attitude scale, it was found that high school graduates’ negative discrimination score averages were high, and the positive discrimination score averages of those having MSc and PhD degrees were higher (p<0.05). As the education level gets lower, the negative ageism score increases. When the working year in the profession and ageism attitude scale were compared, it was found that the negative discrimination score of the health care staff who had been working for 1-5 years was higher (p<0.05). As the working year in the profession increases, the negative ageism score decreases.

Table 4. The Distribution of the AAS Average Scores according to the Demographic Data of the Health Care Providers

| The Score Averages of Ageism Attitude Scale | n   | %    | Total Score | Restricting the life of the Elder | Positive Discrimination Towards the Elder | Negative Discrimination Towards the Elder |
|--------------------------------------------|-----|------|-------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
|                                            |     |      | Median (25-75)% | Median (25-75)% | Median (25-75)% | Median (25-75)% |
| Gender                                    |     |      |              |                    |                           |                           |
| Female                                    | 175 | 67.6 | 65.72 % (62-71) | 19.26 % (17-21) | 29.33 % (27-33) | 17.12 % (15-19) |
| Male                                      | 84  | 32.4 | 66.10 % (63-70) | 18.64 % (17-21) | 31.66 % (30-34) | 15.79 % (14-18) |
| Test Statistics                           |     |      | -0.43        | -1.26              | -3.86                  | -3.25                     |
| p                                         | 0.662 | 0.205 | 0.000          | 0.001               |
| Age                                       |     |      |              |                    |                           |                           |
| 18-24                                     | 18  | 6.9  | 70.00 % (65-75-75) | 19.55 % (17-22.25) | 31.11 % (30-32) | 19.33 % (18.50-21.50) |
| 25-34                                     | 47  | 18.1 | 65.42 % (61-71) | 19.65 % (17-22) | 28.00 % (26-31) | 17.68 % (15-21) |
| 35-44                                     | 117 | 45.5 | 65.27 % (62-70) | 18.82 % (17-21) | 30.10 % (27-34) | 16.35 % (14-18) |
| 45-54                                     | 71  | 27.4 | 65.64 % (64-69) | 18.83 % (17-21) | 30.95 % (29-34) | 15.85 % (14-18) |
| 55-64                                     | 6   | 2.3  | 70.16 % (66.25-74) | 20.33 % (18.50-22.25) | 32.16 % (30.50-33.50) | 17.66 % (14-20.25) |
| Test Statistics                           | 11.20 | 4.98 | 13.71           | 21.45                |
| p                                         | 0.024 | 0.289 | 0.008          | 0.000               |

The state of willingness for living with the elderly after starting their own family

| I want                                     | 118 | 45.6 | 66.17 % (63-71) | 18.75 % (17-21) | 31.07 % (29-35) | 16.34 % (14-19) |
| I do not want                              | 141 | 54.4 | 65.56 % (61-70) | 19.31 % (17-21.50) | 29.26 % (27-33) | 16.98 % (15-19) |
| Test Statistics                            | -1.178 | -1.475 | -3.35            | -1.52               |
| p                                         | 0.239 | 0.140 | 0.001           | 0.127               |
The participants were asked about their thoughts on old age. 66% of them stated their thoughts as compassion. 17.3% defined old age as a disease, 9.6% as a dependency, 2.8% as weakness, and 1.9% as wisdom. In addition to these findings, E.A. (47, female, physician) stated for our qualitative data that “…people are in the mood of old age after a certain age. They are in the mood that they have some problems due to their age. Generally, they are heading for a fall. I think that if people are healthy, they should be as old as they feel if they are healthy…”

R.H (43, female, lab technician) statement: “I think young individuals do not understand old individuals entirely. Ummm... the reason for this is those young individuals do not want to engage in old individuals. They don’t want to engage in dialogue. Now technology has improved, there are cell phones, that is, they prefer to engage in their social life rather than in the elderly. The elderly expect attention and love from the young. They want the others to be interested and chat with them. Ummm... They want warm communication, but they do not have that.”

The statement of Ö.G. (43, female, midwife), on how she sees the psychology of the elderly: “The elderly think that they are neglected by their children, daughters-in-law, and grandchildren as I have observed the patients who come here. They have a longing for the past. In the past, they were all together. Now they think they are alone. They want to live together, so I don’t think they are in a good mood. Their expectations from the young are too much. They expect from their children, from other people; they always expect things from others. They make themselves unhappy since they have too many expectations.”

The statement of M.A. (39, male, health officer) on what he sees the psychology of the elderly: “Even if they have raised strong individuals, the elderly are not benefited much psychologically after a certain age. I see they isolate themselves, and I think this affects them psychologically very much. I think society pushes them, pushes them to loneliness. This is so in our society, I don’t know if this is so in other societies, I haven’t searched about it. However, as we see, the elderly are more active in other societies. They are more active than the ones we see in our environment. They are more social. I feel that the elderly in our society isolate themselves, and also we push them to retire into their shells.”

The statement of G.B. (42, female, midwife) about the old age and the participation of the elderly in working life: “I
think they should be in working life to benefit from their wide experiences, but perhaps there can be works they cannot put up with. In this case, they can take passive roles. These roles may be in the management, or their experiences can be benefitted. There are many professors above the ages 65 and 70 in our country or the world. How can we isolate people who have devoted themselves to society? They have made a great effort. How can we isolate people at that age? We have cardiac surgeons above 70 years old. Can we isolate these people by saying that they are old?"

DISCUSSION

Most of the health care providers included in the research are females (Table 1). Generally, women provide care for the elderly in the world and our country. With the involvement of women in working life, it is thought that the load of elderly care on women, who are exposed to every type of gender apartheid, especially in our country, has increased. It is thought that this burden results in the situation that the women participating in the working life do not want to take the responsibility of the elderly individuals' care at home25,26.

In Turkish society, it is important to respect the elderly, listen to their advice, and look after them1,2,17. The rate of the health care providers stating that they wanted to live with their parents was 45.6%, and 32.7% of them indicated that they felt love and respect for the elderly, 30.3% stated that looking after the elderly was a responsibility, and 11.2% stated that they wanted to improve their family ties (Table 2). They said that elderly individuals were good guides, and it was better to live together to comfort the elderly and themselves. The number of people who want to live with the elderly decreases due to the changing social structure, rural-urban migration, nuclear family's becoming widespread, small houses, and people's desire to spend more time with their nuclear family4,5,28. When the reasons for the unwillingness to live with the elderly (parents) were examined, the participants mentioned the conflicts in communication, small houses, and busy life conditions. Female health care providers stated the willingness to live with the elderly less than the male ones (p<0.05) (Table 3). In the literature, some studies reveal that there is no difference in ageism in terms of gender1,13,29,31, but others reveal that there is a difference4,20,25,32. In this study, negative ageism scores of females were found higher when compared to males (p<0.05) (Table 3). This result may be because women have many responsibilities both at work and at home. In Turkey, care of the elderly is usually the responsibility of women according to gender roles. The women providing care expect support from others, and they also expect the elderly to take their responsibilities. When these expectations fail to be satisfied, they may not want to provide care.

When the state of willingness to live with the elderly was examined in terms of occupational groups, most physicians, health officers, emergency medical technicians, and lab technicians were willing to live with the elderly. However, most nurses and midwives did not want to live with an elderly individual (p<0.05) (Table 3). In the comparison of the occupational groups with the score averages they obtained from the scale, the difference was statistically significant (p<0.05) (Table 3). The positive discrimination score averages of the physicians and health officers were found higher than the other occupational groups. The negative discrimination score averages of the nurses and midwives were found higher than the other occupational groups. The majority of the physicians and all health officers included in the study were males, and the whole nurses and midwives were females. This conforms to the other data obtained from the research. According to the study conducted by Ögender et al., physicians take a positive attitude towards the elderly33,35. This result is similar to our findings.

“How their appearance should be? They should take good care of themselves. They should be dressed as they are happy. Of course, some need to use canes. Umm.. in our society, there is so much vitamin D deficiency and osteolysis, so their body can be bent. I don’t know if I would express this physical appearance as the appearance. That is .. umm.. I think.. years ago..as we have learned that people at the age of 40, our parents.. they do not wear red clothes, colorful clothes. They were in the mood of being elderly. However, as I said, I am about 50, and I wear red clothes, pink ones and also purple ones.. that is I prefer the colors that I like.” (E.A., 47, female, physician).

“I don’t think they will be productive after that age. However, as I said, it isn’t right for them to take a back seat. They should produce what they can produce, do what they can do. Nobody will find it odd, ummmm... nobody will look down on what they do. Ummm... it is important to do what they can. Ummm... neither we nor the society expects great productivity from them. They should do what they can, and they shouldn’t take the back seat.” (Ö.G., 43, female, midwife).

“I don’t think they can participate in the production. I don’t think they can do; I wish they could. Then they would feel more peaceful, happier, and useful. Not the production, but, for example, I wish they had some hobbies such as making artificial flowers or beading, by being a part of society health. I think, even if they cannot do properly, it will be good to try to do something for them.” (K.Ç., 50, female, nurse).

In addition to the quantitative data, it can be stated that the data obtained from the interviews with the health care providers support the quantitative data when they are evaluated in terms of occupational groups. When the ages of the health care providers were compared with the ageism attitude score averages, it was found that there was a significant difference between the score averages of the participants in the 18-24 age group and the ones in the 55-64 age group (Table 4) (p<0.05). Adibelli et al. found that the participants above 25 had more positive discrimination towards the elderly17. Soyuer et al. determined that as the students studying in health care progressed in their education, their attitude towards the elderly became more positive with the effect of the courses they took11. In another study, it was found that the young were in a more positive attitude towards the elderly in the later periods of their lives16. It was determined that individuals between the
ages of 25-54 had more negative attitudes towards the elderly. It can be stated that rural-urban migration and nuclear family structure have become more common with the changing social structure. People want to be interested in their own family and do not want to live with the elderly\cite{37}. It was determined that the health care providers between the ages 55-64 had a more positive attitude towards the elderly. In the literature, there are some similar studies\cite{38,39,11}. World Health Organization (WHO) defines old age as the age of 65 and above. It can be considered that the health care providers between the ages 55-64 had a higher score average since they had more working time in the profession and their ages were close to the age that WHO stated. However, when the educational status of the health care providers was compared to the ageism attitude score averages, it was found that the average score of the ones who were more highly trained got lower (Table 5) (p<0.05). Yilmaz et al. examined the attitudes of young adults towards ageism in their study. They found that high school and bachelor degree graduates had more positive discrimination towards the elderly when compared to the primary school and secondary school graduates\cite{41}. Külahçı evaluated the ageism in the freshmen and senior nursing students, and he determined that senior students had a more positive attitude than the freshmen. The result was that as the educational status increased, ageism decreased\cite{38}. Ünalan et al. found that university graduates had more negative attitudes when compared with the elementary and high school graduates\cite{42}. When the working year and ageism attitude scale scores were compared, it was seen that the health care providers who worked between 1-5 years revealed more ageism according to the negative discrimination score averages (p<0.05). As the working years in the profession increases, discrimination against the elderly decreases. In the study conducted by Ünalan et al., it was determined that as the working year increases, ageism became more positive\cite{42}. This result is similar to our findings.

While 52.0% of the health care providers who had lived in an extended family in childhood period were willing to live with the elderly, 60.3% of the participants who had not lived in an extended family during childhood period stated that they did not want to live with the elderly (Table 3). In the study conducted by Ünalan et al., it was detected that individuals living in an extended family spend more time with the elderly, and they have positive thoughts about the elderly\cite{42}. Living in an extended family and having been accustomed to this situation can comfort individuals about the willingness to live with an elderly\cite{39,40}. However, people who have grown up in a nuclear family may not want to give up their lifestyles (having their own bedroom and having only their own rules). This case can explain why health care providers growing up in a nuclear family do not want to live with the elderly.

In the research, while some health care providers take old age and getting old as compassion and wisdom, others express their opinions about old age as a disease, dependency, and weakness. In the studies conducted by Külahçı and Adıbelli et al., it is stated that nursing students express their thoughts on the old age as “dependency on someone else, hopelessness, loneliness and poverty”\cite{9,38}. Özdemir and Bilgili state that students report that old age is associated with the concepts of disease, compassion, and weakness\cite{43}. In the related studies, it can be stated that old age is usually associated with negative situations. It can be thought that there are physical, social, and psychological losses with old age, and these might cause negative thoughts. Positive thoughts of the health care providers included in the study are more than negative thoughts.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Depending on the data obtained from this research and the statements of the health care providers, it is determined that the participants' thoughts that there is ageism in the society on different points. In addition, it can be stated that health care providers have positive and negative thoughts about old age. It can be thought that especially female health care providers should be trained on ageism and old age so that their risk of discrimination decreases. During the training on ageism, it is thought that the health care providers who work with the elderly will increase their knowledge about old age characteristics, and this knowledge will help health care providers to expand their awareness for the elderly and to be more patient and calmer towards them.
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