Biomass Accumulation and Cell Wall Structure of Rice Plants Overexpressing a Dirigent-Jacalin of Sugarcane (ShDJ) Under Varying Conditions of Water Availability
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A sugarcane gene encoding a dirigent-jacalin, ShDJ, was induced under drought stress. To elucidate its biological function, we integrated a ShDJ-overexpression construction into the rice Nipponbare genome via Agrobacterium-mediated transformation. Two transgenic lines with a single copy gene in T0 were selected and evaluated in both the T1 and T4 generations. Transgenic lines had drastically improved survival rate under water deficit conditions, at rates close to 100%, while WT did not survive. Besides, transgenic lines had improved biomass production and higher tillering under water deficit conditions compared with WT plants. Reduced pectin and hemicellulose contents were observed in transgenic lines compared with wild-type plants under both well-watered and water deficit conditions, whereas cellulose content was unchanged in line #17 and reduced in line #29 under conditions of low water availability. Changes in lignin content under water deficit were only observed in line #17. However, improvements in saccharification were found in both transgenic lines along with changes in the expression of OsNTS1/2 and OsMYB58/63 secondary cell wall biosynthesis genes. ShDJ-overexpression up-regulated the expression of the OsbZIP23, OsGRAS23, OsP5CS, and OsLea3 genes in rice stems under well-watered conditions. Taken together, our data suggest that ShDJ has the potential for improving drought tolerance, plant biomass accumulation, and saccharification efficiency.
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INTRODUCTION

Sugarcane is a commercially important crop in tropical and subtropical regions (Inman-Bamber and Smith, 2005), and is the fifth most important crop in the world (FAOSTAT, 2013). Worldwide, sugarcane is considered the main raw material for table sugar production, and is being explored for the generation of clean and renewable energy, such as bioethanol and bioelectricity from first-generation ethanol (EIG) (Dias et al., 2011). In Brazil, the world leader in sugarcane production, the crop is cultivated over more than 9 million hectares, and the estimated production for the 2018/2019 season is around 365 million tons (CONAB, 2018).

In recent years, sugarcane production has been affected by unfavorable climatic conditions, which are increasing in frequency and intensity. Drought is an important abiotic stress that negatively impacts sugarcane productivity (Zhao and Li, 2015). This can be, due to water shortage even in rainy seasons or to the expansion of sugarcane cultivation to non-traditional planting regions, such as the Brazilian Cerrado (drought-prone conditions). Therefore, a challenge for sugarcane breeding programs is to develop cultivars with high productivity under water scarcity.

Despite advances in the conventional breeding of sugarcane, molecular biology and genetic engineering tools now have the potential to accelerate cultivar development and crop productivity by introducing new genes or manipulating gene expression. However, a lack of genetic and molecular information on drought tolerance mechanisms and their inheritance in sugarcane has limited the development of improved cultivars. Thus, functional genomics play a relevant role in the identification of target genes for the generation of transgenic sugarcane cultivars.

To withstand conditions imposed by water deprivation, plants have developed several strategies and responses on morphological, physiological, hormonal, molecular, and biochemical levels (Fang and Xiong, 2015). Among stress-responsive pathways, hormone signaling can regulate plant growth and enhance drought tolerance (Tiwari et al., 2017). Although abscisic acid is the principal mediator of drought stress, hormone signaling can regulate plant growth and development (Lannoo and Van Damme, 2010). In sugarcane, the exact functions of the JRL domain associated with a dirigent domain (Dirigent-Jacalin or DJ) have not yet been characterized. Despite the importance of plant JRLs, current knowledge on genome function and the regulation of JRLs in polyploid species, as in sugarcane (Saccharum spp.), remains elusive. Although several studies have addressed drought tolerance in genetically modified sugarcane (Zhang et al., 2006; Molinari et al., 2007; Kumar et al., 2014; Reis et al., 2014), the lack of well-characterized genes that guarantee satisfactory yield under water deficit conditions represents a bottleneck for the commercial generation of transgenic cultivars. Thus, elucidating the molecular mechanism that underlies drought tolerance in sugarcane is mandatory for developing new cultivars with improved drought tolerance. Therefore, our group has dedicated efforts to understand the molecular basis of drought tolerance in sugarcane aiming to identify candidate target genes to improve sugarcane yield under conditions of low water availability. In a previous experiment (Oliveira, 2012), transcriptome analyses (microarray and RNA-seq) of two sugarcane genotypes contrasting in drought tolerance were performed to identify stress responsive genes.

Among several candidate genes, ShDJ was upregulated in response to drought. Therefore, we chose the ShDJ gene characterized its role in drought tolerance. The ShDJ full-length coding DNA sequence (CDS) was cloned and overexpressed in rice, a monocot model used in functional genomics (Tyagi and Mohanty, 2000). We investigated the performance of transgenic rice lines constitutively expressing the ShDJ gene under varying levels of water availability. Our results indicated that constitutive expression of the ShDJ gene improved drought tolerance in transgenic rice lines and exerted a positive impact on biomass accumulation, an important trait for agriculture. Then, we further investigated possible changes in cell wall components (cellulose, hemicellulose, and pectin) and lignin composition, as these elements affect the production of lignocellulosic bioethanol (Bottcher et al., 2013), also known as second-generation ethanol.
(E2G). Biochemical analyses revealed that ShDJ-overexpression modulated pectin and hemicellulose components, and improved saccharification efficiency. Together, our findings may represent a disruptive technology for the development of a sugarcane cultivar overexpressing ShDJ, which would be drought tolerant and show higher biomass production with enhanced saccharification for the sugar, E1G and E2G industries.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Sugarcane Dirigent-Jacalin Gene Identification and Expression Analyses**

In order to understand the mechanisms involved in the drought response of sugarcane plants, 'IACSP94-2094' (drought-tolerant) and 'IACSP97-7065' (drought-sensitive) sugarcane (Saccharum spp.) genotypes developed by "Programa Cana" (Instituto Agronômico, Ribeirão Preto, Brazil) were previously evaluated under irrigated and non-irrigated conditions both on field and greenhouse conditions (Oliveira, 2012). The field trial was carried out in Goianésia, Brazil (15°13′ S; 48°56′ W) during the dry season. Briefly, leaf samples (leaf +1) of first-cut plants were collected between 9:00 and 9:30 a.m. in irrigated (the irrigation was applied by linear sprinkler system) and non-irrigated areas at 42, 89, and 117 days after the last rainfall, when plants were 6, 7, and 9 months old respectively. The greenhouse trial was carried out in Campinas, Brazil (22°52′ S; 47°44′ W), and both genotypes were grown in the same tanks (0.6 m3) containing soil previously fertilized according to Van Raij et al. (1996). Leaf samples (leaf +1) from 6 months old plants were collected between 9:00 and 9:30 a.m. in irrigated and non-irrigated treatments at: 15 and 21 days after water withholding deficit and also after 9 days of soil rehydration for evaluating plant recovery. For more details about field and greenhouse trials, refer to Andrade et al. (2016). Leaf samples from both field and greenhouse experiments were subjected to microarray and RNA-seq assays, respectively (Oliveira, 2012). From these expression global analyses, ShDJ was chosen to be validated by real time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) in the present study.

Total RNA was extracted from leaves, according to Chang et al. (1993). Genomic DNA was removed using DNase I, following the manufacturer's instructions (Promega, Fitchburg, WI, United States). RNA concentration was determined using a spectrophotometer NanoDrop 2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Wilmington, DE, United States), and RNA integrity was checked in 1.0% agarose gel electrophoresis stained with ethidium bromide (1 µg mL−1). Reverse transcription reaction was synthesized from 1 µg of total RNA using the QuantiTect Reverse Transcription Kit following the manufacturer's instructions (Qiagen, Foster City, CA, United States).

Real time quantitative polymerase chain reaction reactions were performed on the Applied Biosystems StepOnePlus System (Foster City, CA, United States). Briefly, a 10 µL reaction mixture consisted of 5 µL SYBR Green Super Mix (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, United States), 3 µL of diluted cDNA (1:30) with 0.2 µM primers concentration, besides a negative control (without cDNA) included for each primer combinations. Expression was evaluated by the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method ($n = 3 \pm$ standard error (SE)), which represents the relative quantification of ShDJ expression in relation to the UBQ1 reference gene (Andrade et al., 2017), as shown in Supplementary Table 1.

**Alignments and Phylogenetic Analyses**

The ShDJ gene sequence (SUCEST Accession No. SCJLLR1103A10) was used as a bait for identifying its homologous using Basic Local Alignments Search Tools (Altschul et al., 1997) in different databases, such as the SUCEST database1, GenBank (NCBI2), and Phytozome3 (Supplementary Table 2). Sugarcane assembled sequences (SAS) were retrieved using the tblastn tool with a cut-off of E-value $2e^{-56}$, and a minimum SAS coverage rate in relation to the original protein sequence used as bait of at least 50%. Homologous ShDJ protein sequences obtained from sugarcane hybrid (Sh), Sorghum bicolor (Sb), maize (Zm), rice (Os), Hordeum vulgare (Hv), Brachypodium distachyon (Bd), Triticum aestivum (Ta), and Arabidopsis thaliana (At) (Supplementary Table 2) were aligned using ClustalW program (Thompson et al., 1994) based on Jaccard’s index of similarity. Phylogenetic analyses were generated and visualized using Mega 6 (Tamura et al., 2013), with the maximum likelihood cluster analyses based on the JTT amino acid substitution matrix (Jones et al., 1992). Rates among sites were obtained using Gamma Distributed (with five discrete gamma categories). Trees were generated using BIONJ (Gascuel, 1997), a modified neighbor-joining algorithm, and each node was tested with 1,000 bootstrap replicates.

**Construction of the ShDJ Expression Cassette and Rice Transformation**

To construct the overexpression vector, the complete open reading frame (ORF) of ShDJ cDNA sequence was obtained using the SMARTer RACE CDNA Amplification Kit (Clontech, Mountain View, CA, United States), and the CDS was cloned into a pGEM-Teasy (Promega, Fitchburg, WI, United States). The binary vector pHb7m24GW, from the Functional Genomics unit of the Department of Plant Systems Biology (VIB-Ghent University), carrying the maize phosphotransferase gene as a selectable marker (Karimi et al., 2007), was obtained by multi-recombination using the Gateway Recombination SystemTM (Invitrogen Life Technologies, United States), and was transferred to Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain EHA105.

Embryogenic calli were obtained from mature seeds of Japonica rice (Oryza sativa L. ‘Nipponbare’), and transgenic lines were produced as described by Toki et al. (2006), with modifications. Plants were regenerated on medium containing 30 mg L−1 hygromycin for selection and 20 mg L−1 Meropenem to prevent overgrowth of A. tumefaciens. The progenies were obtained by self-pollination following the selection of seeds

1http://succest-fun.org/
2http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/
3https://phytozome.jgi.doe.gov/
through a germination test on hygromycin-containing media. Seeds of ShDJ lines were screened on ½ MS medium supplemented with 50 mg L⁻¹ hygromycin (incubation at 27°C for 7 days under a 16 h photoperiod) to obtain T₁ and successive progenies for further analyses.

**Gene Expression Analyses of ShDJ Transgenic Lines**

Total RNA was isolated from rice tissues as described by Chang et al. (1993). To nullify any genomic DNA contamination, isolated RNA was treated with RQ1 RNase-Free DNase following the manufacturer’s instructions (Promega, Fitchburg, WI, United States). RNA concentration was determined using a NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Wilmington, DE, United States), and RNA integrity was checked in 1.0% agarose gel electrophoresis stained with ethidium bromide (1 µg mL⁻¹). First-strand cDNA was synthesized from 1 µg of total RNA with the GoScript™ Reverse Transcription System (Promega, Fitchburg, WI, United States), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. RT-qPCR was carried out in the StepOnePlus System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, United States) using GoTaq®qPCR Master Mix (Promega, Fitchburg, WI, United States).

Gene-specific primers of the cell wall and drought-stress responsive genes used in RT-qPCR analyses are listed in **Supplementary Table 1**. Analyses of transgene expression level (n = 3 ± standard error) were conducted using rice eukaryotic elongation factor-1α gene (Accession No. AK061464) as endogenous control to normalize the CDNA variance between samples (Martins et al., 2018).

**Transgene Copy Number Estimation in ShDJ Lines**

To confirm the copy number of T-DNA inserted in the transgenic lines, genomic DNA from leaves was isolated using the cetlytrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) method (Aljanabi et al., 1999). ShDJ copy number was evaluated in primary transformants (T₀) by PCR using Taqman®-Assay technology (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, United States). The hptII and sucrose phosphate synthase (SPS) primers were synthesized by Applied Biosystems (Foster City, CA, United States) and used in all analyses (**Supplementary Table 1**) (Ding et al., 2004). RT-qPCR and thermal profile reactions were performed as described by Martins et al. (2018) and the transgene copy number was determined as described by Mason et al. (2002) method.

**Transgenic Rice Plants Under Water Deficit Conditions**

Transgenic rice seedlings were transferred to pots (5 L for T₁; and 3 L for T₄ plants) containing a mixture (1:1, w/v) of soil and substrate (Carolina Soil, Santa Cruz do Sul, Brazil) and grown under greenhouse conditions in Ribeirão Preto, Brazil (21°11’ S, 47°48’ W). Each pot contained one WT rice and one transgenic rice seedling, to ensure they were exposed to the same levels of water availability (Verslues et al., 2006). During the experimental period, the maximum photosynthetically active radiation (PPFD) and average air temperature were 1,567 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ and 26.8 ± 8.8°C for T₁ plants, and 1,691 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ and 27.2 ± 3.1°C for T₄ plants. Those environmental conditions were monitored with a Watch Dog 1450 Micro Station (Spectrum Technologies, Aurora, IL, United States).

To evaluate drought tolerance at the whole-plant level, two treatments were imposed 45 days after sowing (DAS): the control condition (n = 4 ± standard error), in which plants were maintained well-watered through daily irrigation; and the water deficit condition, which was induced by water withholding. According to Hsiao et al. (1984), severe stress for rice plants is considered when there is visible leaf wilting. In fact, this occurred in two previous pilot experiments and we adopted leaf wilting as an index of stress level. The recovery capacity of plants previously exposed to severe stress was evaluated 24 h after rehydration. Then, four plants from each ShDJ line and WT plants were used to evaluate total dry mass and tillering. Pot weight was evaluated daily to monitor the water availability (**Supplementary Figure 1**).

To evaluate plant survival under greenhouse conditions, 1-month-old T₄ progeny seedlings were maintained in substrate (Carolina Soil, Santa Cruz do Sul, Brazil) under well-watered. The water was withheld for 5 days, which was sufficient to cause leaf wilting in transgenic rice overexpressing ShDJ. Then, plants were re-watered for two days, recovery was evaluated, and survival rates were estimated based on the percentage of survival in relation to all plants tested.

**Cell Wall Composition and Saccharification Analyses**

Cell wall analyses were carried out in plants maintained under well-watered and water deficit conditions. Analyses were performed in shoots (stem and leaves) of T₄ transgenic lines and WT plants, with four biological replicates each. Cell wall polysaccharides were evaluated as described by Chen et al. (2002) and the soluble and insoluble lignin contents (Klason’s Methods) were determined following the Tappi protocol UM-250 (TAPPi, 1985). The saccharification ratio was measured as described by methodology of Brown and Torget (1996), with modifications as described by Martins et al. (2018).

**Statistical Analyses**

The experimental design was randomized in a 2 × 2 factorial scheme, with variation in terms of ShDJ (transgenic lines and WT plants) and water regimes (well-watered and water deficit). Data were subjected to analyses of variance (ANOVA) and when statistical significance was detected, the mean values were compared using a t-test (P < 0.05) with SAS statistical software (version 9.2; SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC, United States).
RESULTS

ShDJ Gene Expression in Sugarcane Genotypes With Contrasting Drought Tolerance

The global expression analyses of ‘IACSP94-2094’ (drought-tolerant) and ‘IACSP97-7065’ (drought-sensitive) sugarcane (Saccharum spp.) genotypes were performed using microarray and RNA-seq assays in leaves provided from field and greenhouse experiments, respectively (Oliveira, 2012). Further details about the experiments and methodologies are described in Andrade et al. (2016).

Among several differentially expressed candidate genes, the expression of dirigent-jacalin gene (SUCEST accession no. SCJLLR1103A10), here named ShDJ (Saccharum hybrid Dirigent-Jacalin) increased when the tolerant genotype was subjected to water deficit under field conditions (Figure 1A). In order to evaluate ShDJ expression, RT-qPCR assays were performed for each genotype, using the same leaf tissues (leaf +1, i.e., the first fully expanded leaf with visible ligule) used for the microarray and RNA-seq assays and sampled in both field (42, 89, and 117 days after the last rainfall) and greenhouse (15 and 21 days of water deficit and after 9 days of recovery) experiments.

Under field conditions, ShDJ transcripts were not detected 42 and 89 days after the last rainfall in ‘IACSP97-7065’ (Figure 1B). Conversely, ShDJ transcripts were detected at all time points in ‘IACSP94-2094,’ with drought-stressed plants presenting higher transcript levels than irrigated plants after 89 and 117 days of water deficit conditions (Figure 1B). Under greenhouse conditions, ShDJ expression responded to drought in the ‘IACSP94-2094’ genotype, with lower transcript abundance under drought conditions compared with irrigated conditions. In ‘IACSP97-7065,’ the ShDJ transcript was not detected after 21 days of water deficit and after rehydration (Figure 1C). Based on these results, the role of the ShDJ gene on drought tolerance was further investigated by sequence and phylogenetic analyses, followed by cloning and rice heterologous overexpression.

Phylogenetic Analyses of ShDJ

A total of 46 annotated amino acid sequences homologous to ShDJ were obtained from various plant species (Supplementary Table 2) and used to construct a phylogenetic tree (Figure 2), aiming to investigate the evolutionary history of DJ proteins. Two SAS from the SUCEST database were identified as a chimeric DJ protein, and the sequences were named DIR4/JRL (SCJLLR1103A10, corresponding to ShDJ) and DIR8/JRL (SCCRT3002G10) according to Nobile et al. (2017). The phylogenetic tree presented three major groups composed of DJ and Jacalin sequences (Figure 2). The DJ proteins comprised the major group I exclusive for monocot plants, while group II and group III were formed by proteins with a jacalin domain from Arabidopsis, respectively (Figure 2). Two JRL sugarcane sequences (SCJLRT1020A04-JRL and SCBGST3105112-JRL) were positioned between group II and group III (Figure 2).

The sequence similarity search revealed several proteins with high identity to ShDJ. The phylogenetic tree showed that the ShDJ protein was close to rice (Os12g12720-DIR/JRL, OsEAY82651-DIR/JRL, Os12g14440-DIR/JRL, Os12g09720-DIR/JRL, Os12g09700-DIR/JRL, Hordeum vulgare (Hv DIR/JRL) and sorghum (Sb005G183600-DIR/JRL) (Monocot JRL, Figure 2). ShDJ was closest to sorghum (Sb005G183600), showing high confidence level (100% bootstrap). According to blast2, ShDJ, and Sb005G183600 shared 85% identity.

Isolation and Characterization of ShDJ

The ShDJ gene sequence in the SUCEST database is incomplete; then the full-length sequence was revealed by using SMARTer™ RACE cDNA Amplification Kit (Clontech, Mountain View, CA, United States). The CDS of the ShDJ gene was successfully amplified from the 5’ and 3’ RACE libraries of ‘IACSP94-2094’ leaves. The cloned ShDJ full-length sequences revealed two
probable allelic variants A and B (Supplementary Figure 2). Variant B (MK000561) presented four additional nucleotides compared to variant A (MK000560), thereby changing the ORF, to encode a truncated protein (Supplementary Figure 2). Therefore, variant A was used for vector construction and for functional genomic analyses. The isolated sequence exhibited a 924 bp ORF encoding a polypeptide of 308 amino acids with a predicted molecular mass of 76.09 kD and isoelectric point (pI) of 5.07 calculated with the ExPASy compute pI/Mw tool\(^4\). The 308 amino acids encode a dirigent (amino acids 29–148) and a jacalin (amino acids 175–306) domain according to a BLAST protein–protein search of Pfam\(^5\).

\(^4\)https://web.expasy.org/compute_pi/  
\(^5\)https://pfam.xfam.org/
**Overexpression of the ShDJ Gene Increases the Drought Tolerance of Rice Plants**

To investigate the role of ShDJ in drought tolerance, a ShDJ-overexpression vector was constructed under control of the maize ubiquitin promoter and used for rice transformation (Oryza sativa L.) (Figure 3A). Thirty independent lines were produced, hereafter called rice ShDJ lines, and confirmed as positive transformants by conventional PCR in T0 plants (data not shown). The ShDJ lines grew to maturity for setting seeds, and no morphological alterations were observed under normal growth conditions. Variation in the transgene expression of ShDJ lines was evaluated by RT-qPCR, ranging from 0.003 to 0.617 (Supplementary Figure 3). Among ShDJ lines, line #24 showed the highest ShDJ expression and line #5 showed the lowest expression, whereas no ShDJ expression was detected in WT plants (Supplementary Figure 3), as expected.

Analyses of transgene expression were followed by evaluation of copy number as described by Mason et al. (2002) using TaqMan methodology. Twelve ShDJ lines showing differential expression of the ShDJ transgene (high, medium, and lower) were chosen, and the transgene copy number integrated into the genome ranged from 1 to 4 (Supplementary Figure 3). According to those results, T1 progeny from five independent transgenic lines (#1, #8, #17, #24, and #29) exhibiting different expression levels, but carrying one transgene copy were selected to evaluate the role of ShDJ under water deficit conditions. In a preliminary experiment, five ShDJ lines of T1 progeny were evaluated for drought tolerance under greenhouse conditions. Following this initial screening, transgenic lines #17 and #29 were further investigated considering T4 progeny (Figure 3B). Lines #17 and #29 were chosen due to their drought tolerance and biomass accumulation, and represent the greatest contrast to WT plants.

Leaf wilting was observed after 12 and 8 days of water deficit in T1 and T4 progenies, respectively. When evaluating T1 progeny, line #17 exhibited higher biomass and tillering compared with the WT plants under water deficit conditions, while line #29 exhibited higher vigor than WT plants under both water regimes (Figures 4A,B). In T4 progeny, line #29 showed higher biomass and line #17 presented higher tillering compared with WT plants under water deficit conditions (Figures 4C,D). In general, tillering was improved in ShDJ transgenic line #17 under water deficit conditions, regardless of progeny. Among the T1 progeny, line #29 showed a large increase in biomass under both well-watered and water deficit conditions (Figure 4B). No differences were found in the seed size of transgenic lines under varying levels of water availability (Supplementary Figure 4).

To investigate the role of ShDJ in plant survival under drought, water was withheld from rice seedlings for 5 days. The survival rate (%) was determined 2 days after re-watering. The survival rate of the transgenic lines was higher than that of the WT plants (Figure 5). Notably, none of the WT plants survived under water deficit conditions (0%), while only one transgenic plant (out of 68), line #17 failed (98.5%), and all plants of line #29 survived (100%) following rehydration. These results clearly revealed the role of the ShDJ gene on the drought tolerance of transgenic plants.

In addition, ShDJ-overexpression was evaluated for salinity tolerance. Although a recent report showed that drought and salinity tolerance share the same complex regulatory processes involved in cellular homeostasis (for review, see Golldack et al., 2014), no differences were observed in ShDJ transgenic lines when compared with WT plants under salt stress (Supplementary Figure 5). All the experiments have been adhered the standard biosecurity and institutional safety procedures, following requirements and biosafety procedures of National Technical Commission on Biosafety (CTNBio) to GMOs manipulation biosafety level 1.

**ShDJ-Overexpression in Rice Causes Changes in the Cell Wall Composition**

Besides increasing drought tolerance, the overexpression of ShDJ was investigated considering possible modifications in cell wall composition, such as lignin and polysaccharides. Cell wall composition was evaluated in T4 progeny and WT plants, considering the entire plant shoots. ShDJ and WT plants showed different ranges of pectin, hemicelluloses, cellulose, and total lignin contents. Under well-watered conditions, lines #17 and #29 presented a significant decrease in pectin and hemicellulose compared with WT plants, with reductions ranging...
from ~18–30 to ~13–25%, respectively (Figures 6A–D). Under water deficit conditions, no significant difference in pectin was observed in line #17, whereas a decrease in hemicellulose content was observed in both transgenic lines compared with WT (Figures 6A–D). ShDJ overexpression did not affect cellulose content in line #17 under both water regimes, whereas the cellulose content of line #29 was lower (~14%) than that in WT plants under water deficit conditions (Figures 6E, F). Regarding
lignin, there was a significant increase in line #17 compared with WT plants (Figure 6G). Conversely, ShDJ overexpression in line #29 did not affect total lignin under both water regimes (Figure 6H). We also found significant increases in saccharification efficiency in lines #17 and #29, ranging from 28% (line #17) to 132% (line #29) compared with WT plants under well-watered conditions (Figures 6I,J).

**Expression Analyses of Secondary Cell Wall and Drought-Stress Responsive Genes in ShDJ Transgenic Lines**

To investigate the contribution of constitutive ShDJ expression in transgenic rice lines, the expression pattern of genes involved in the regulation of the secondary cell wall (OsMYB58/63 and OsNST1/2) and water deficit response (OsP5CS, OsLea3, OsGRAS23, and OsbZIP23) was assessed. Gene expression pattern of leaves and stems of T₄ plants were compared under well-watered conditions.

The expression of OsMYB58/63 (Ambavaram et al., 2011; Noda et al., 2015) and OsNST1/2 (Ambavaram et al., 2011) were significantly increased in the leaves of line #17 (11- and 1.3-fold increases, respectively) compared with WT plants (Figure 7A). In line #29, OsMYB58/63 expression was down-regulated in leaves (2.3-fold) and stems (24-fold), while OsNST1/2 expression was reduced only in stems (1.5-fold) (Figure 7B).

There was a significant decrease in OsP5CS (Zhang and Chen, 2017) expression in leaves of line #17 compared with WT plants (1.5-fold), while expression was up-regulated (2.2-fold) in stems of line #29 (Figure 7). OsLea3 (Zhang and Chen, 2017) expression was up-regulated in stems, with lines #17 and #29 showing 3.4- and 31-fold higher expression compared with WT plants (Figure 7). Decreased expression of OsLea3 was observed in leaves of line #17 (Figure 7A). Transcription factors related to drought stress via ABA-independent (OsGRAS23; Xu et al., 2015) and ABA-dependent (OsbZIP23; Xiang et al., 2008) pathways were also evaluated and found to present a similar expression profile. While the transcript abundance of OsGRAS23 was clearly decreased in leaves of lines #17 (3.9-fold repression) and #29 (2.5-fold repression) compared with WT, it was increased (3-fold) in stems of line #29 (Figure 7). OsbZIP23 expression was up-regulated in stems of lines #17 (1.7-fold) and #29 (6.4-fold) and down-regulated (5.61-fold) in leaves of line #17 (Figure 7).

**DISCUSSION**

In the present study, expression of the ShDJ gene was systematically investigated in sugarcane genotypes with contrasting levels of drought tolerance and characterized in drought stress through the heterologous overexpression of ShDJ in rice. Rice (Oryza sativa) is widely used as a model plant for functional analyses of monocots. Therefore, we used rice for functional analyses of sugarcane genes as it is a monocot plant evolutionarily close to sugarcane and has well-established transformation protocols. Furthermore, rice is...
transcriptome data for the tolerant genotype (strongly to drought stress in 'IACSP94-2094, ' a drought-

functional analyses of sugarcane genes evolved in drought stress, obtained from the overexpression/silencing in sugarcane (proof of concept—data not published). Indeed, rice is a good model for generations per year). Our recent results of sugarcane genes (a diploid species with a small genome (about 7–8 fold smaller than sugarcane genome), and with a short life cycle (up to three generations per year). Our recent results of sugarcane genes overexpressed/silenced in rice have shown linearity with those obtained from the overexpression/silencing in sugarcane (proof of concept—data not published). Indeed, rice is a good model for functional analyses of sugarcane genes evolved in drought stress, although we need to validate ShDJ in sugarcane.

Although genes encoding DJ proteins are widely present in monocot plants (Schutter and Van Damme, 2015), their biological functions are still poorly understood. The sugarcane genome contains at least four DJ groups comprising seven non-

networks. Therefore, DJ genes are inducible by both biotic and abiotic stresses, suggesting multiple roles of DJ genes in plants.

ShDJ-Overexpression in Rice Promotes Drought Tolerance, Biomass Accumulation, and Improves Saccharification

In this study, the role of the ShDJ gene in the water deficit response was characterized for the first time. Transgenic rice lines overexpressing the ShDJ gene were generated and phenotyped in response to water deficit stress under greenhouse conditions. Large differences in total biomass production (tiller number and total dry mass) were found between ShDJ lines and WT plants in both generations evaluated (Figure 4). Under drought, ShDJ lines maintained growth and development, resulting in higher biomass in lines #17 and #29, while a significant reduction in total dry mass occurred in WT plants (Figure 4). In contrast, the survival ratio test, revealed that the ShDJ lines showed strong tolerance to water deficit stress (Figure 5). These results strongly suggest that ShDJ plays a role in drought tolerance as well as in the growth and development of transgenic plants. The role in the growth and development of rice plants were suggested by Jiang et al. (2007). The authors evaluated the OsJAC1 gene promoter fused to the GUS reporter gene (pOsJAC1::GUS) and presents a constitutive gene expression in rice. However, when the OsJAC1 gene was driven by the maize constitutive promoter (Ubi::OsJAC1), the plants showed a reduction in coleoptile and stem elongation (Jiang et al., 2007). Similarly to our study, Ambavaram et al. (2014) observed that overexpression of the transcription factor HYR in rice resulted in an increase in grain yield and biomass accumulation, regardless of water availability. Likewise, Karaba et al. (2007) showed that constitutive expression of the HARDY Arabidopsis gene in rice, improved drought tolerance and increased biomass production. Recently, Bi et al. (2018) demonstrated that the overexpression of Arabidopsis SHN1 in wheat increased biomass production under drought stress conditions compared with WT plants. Therefore, a series of complex traits relevant to biomass or yield, such as survival rate, tillering, and dry mass have been used to evaluate the
drought tolerance (Fang and Xiong, 2015). In fact, these traits are important criteria for phenotyping drought stress tolerance in crop breeding (Mitra, 2001).

To investigate how ShDJ would affect cell wall composition and saccharification efficiency, rice shoots of T4 progeny were examined. Biochemical analyses were also performed to elucidate the contribution of ShDJ-overexpression to biomass saccharification, since the recalcitrance of cell walls to hydrolysis represents the major bottleneck for the E2G industry (Himmel et al., 2007). Under well-watered conditions, there was a significant reduction in pectin and hemicellulose in both transgenic lines compared with WT plants, whereas the cellulose content was unchanged under well-watered conditions (Figure 6). Under drought, there was a decrease in hemicellulose content in line #17 and we observed a significant reduction in pectin, hemicellulose, and cellulose content in line #29 (Figure 6). The same response to drought has been reported in wheat coleoptile (Wakabayashi et al., 1997), squash hypocotyls (Sakurai et al., 1987a,b), maize leaves (Acevedo et al., 1971), grape leaves (Sweet et al., 1990), corn stover, mixed grasses, and Miscanthus (Emerson et al., 2014). In those studies, drought had a negative impact on growth, and therefore on total biomass yield. Similarly, Lionetti et al. (2010) showed reduced polysaccharide content due to genetic modification of the cell wall inhibited plant growth. However, in our study, modulation of cell wall did not adversely affect the growth of transgenic lines, as they displayed normal plant phenotypes and even increases in biomass production (Figure 6).

Pectin and hemicellulose link cellulose and lignin, and this interaction has a major role in cell wall recalcitrance (Himmel and Bayer, 2009). Changes in cell wall polysaccharides may cause cell wall loosening (Thompson, 2005), and this loosening phenomenon, as proposed by Moore et al. (2008), is related to increases in cell wall elasticity (Gall et al., 2015), promoting polysaccharides accessibility and increasing biomass solubilization (Phitsuwan et al., 2013). Based on our results, the reduction of pectin and hemicellulose content in ShDJ lines compared with WT plants suggests less interaction between cell wall polysaccharides and a significant improvement in saccharification efficiency (Figure 6). Herein, we provide strong evidence that modifications in cell wall composition affect biomass recalcitrance, thus increasing saccharification. This may due to efficient enzymatic action, reducing interactions among pectin, hemicellulose, and lignocellulose components (Himmel et al., 2007; Scheller and Ulvskov, 2010). Removal of hemicellulose (Qing et al., 2010; Shin et al., 2010) and pectin (Lionetti et al., 2010; Chen and Peng, 2013; Biswal et al., 2014) from cell wall enhances saccharification. Downregulation of the GAUT12.1 gene (hemicellulose biosynthesis) in Populus deltoides by RNAi led to a reduction in recalcitrance due to decreases in hemicellulose and pectin contents, while lignin content was unchanged with a significant increase in plant growth (Biswal et al., 2015). Our results suggest that ShDJ acts by altering pectin and hemicellulose metabolism and support our hypothesis that overexpression of ShDJ increases drought tolerance and causes cell wall modifications, with benefits for plant growth saccharification in transgenic lines.

Expression Profiles of Genes Related to Cell Wall Composition and Water Deficit Response

Several studies have shown that changes in gene expression and regulatory genes (transcription factors) are involved in the activation of drought response and tolerance (Bartels and Sunkar, 2005). As ShDJ-overexpression could have affected many genes, we examined the molecular mechanisms modified in transgenic lines under well-watered conditions, considering genes involved in cell wall biosynthesis and water deficit tolerance.

Transcription factors OsMYB58/63 (Ambavaram et al., 2011; Noda et al., 2015) and OsNST1/2 (Ambavaram et al., 2011) regulate transcription of secondary cell wall genes. The present study evaluated OsMYB58/63 and OsNST1/2 genes to confirm that ShDJ-overexpression affected the expression of cell wall genes in transgenic lines. In addition, Zhou et al. (2009) described MYB58 and MYB63 as transcriptional activators of the lignin biosynthetic pathway during secondary cell wall formation in Arabidopsis. However, we observed a contrasting expression profile of both genes in the transgenic lines evaluated. Expression of cell wall genes was induced in leaves of line #17, which was consistent with the higher lignin content in this line compared with WT plants (Figure 7). Conversely, the reduced expression of genes did not alter lignin content in line #29 (Figure 7). A reduction in expression was observed by Ambavaram et al. (2011), and AtSHN-overexpression in rice directly repressed OsMYB58/63 and OsNST1/2 in leaves and stems, resulting in a large reduction in lignin content. Recently, Martins et al. (2018) demonstrated that the overexpression of sugarcane ShSHN1 in rice repressed OsMYB58/63 and OsNST1/2 in leaves and tillers followed by a decrease in lignin content in the transgenic lines and improvement of saccharification efficiency. Interestingly, ShSHN1 rice transgenic lines showed an increase in biomass production when compared with WT plants. Altogether, those results support the conclusion that ShDJ modulates the expression of OsMYB58/63 and OsNST1/2 genes related to cell wall formation. However, the relation between expression and cell wall components needs to be further studied and understood to uncover the underlying processes leading to biomass accumulation and how ShDJ affects them.

To date, various signaling pathways have been reported to be involved in drought tolerance in rice, including responsive genes and transcription factors. Earlier reports showed that activation of these genes improved drought tolerance (Xiao et al., 2007; Xiang et al., 2008; Xu et al., 2015; Lou et al., 2017; Zhang and Chen, 2017). LEA and P5CS proteins have crucial roles in osmotic adjustment in many plants, protecting them from damage caused by environmental stresses, such as drought (for review, see Fang and Xiong, 2015). Conversely, transcription factors play important roles in the transcriptional regulation of stress-related genes (Shinozaki et al., 2003).

In the present report, we found that ShDJ-overexpression upregulated the expression of drought-related genes and
transcription factors in rice stems. OsP5CS and OsLea3 showed a similar expression profile, with increased expression levels observed in stems and decreased expressed in leaves in both transgenic lines compared with WT plants (Figure 7). According to Zhang and Chen (2017), the overexpression of OsNRRB activated the expression of OsLea3 and OsP5CS, while the expression of OsbZIP23 was repressed in leaves of well-watered plants, as reported herein (Figure 7). Xu et al. (2015) observed that expression of the transcription factor OsGRAS23 was induced by drought stress, and showed that OsGRAS23-overexpression is involved in abiotic stress responses, plant growth, development, and phytohormone signal transduction (e.g., JA) in rice. In addition, transgenic rice overexpressing OsLea3 (Xiao et al., 2007) and OsbZIP23 (Xiang et al., 2008) presented a significant improvement in drought tolerance. In contrast, OsSAPK2-silenced plants, there were no differences in the expression levels of OsLea3, OsP5CS, and OsbZIP23 in leaves as compared with WT plants under well-watered conditions (Lou et al., 2017). Additionally, the overexpression of ShDJ increased the expression of OsbZIP23 and OsGRAS23 transcription factors in stems, revealing changes in ABA-dependent and ABA-independent signaling pathways, respectively. This suggests that drought tolerance can be genetically regulated by both hormonal pathways. Therefore, our results indicated that overexpression of the ShDJ gene in rice may impact the steady-state of transcription of stress-response genes, which may improve drought tolerance and promote changes in secondary cell walls.

CONCLUSION

In this study, we revealed that overexpression of ShDJ gene contributed to drought tolerance, maintaining plant growth and development of transgenic lines under conditions of low water availability. The ShDJ gene proved to be a good candidate for genetic transformation of plants to improve drought tolerance, using only one gene as a target. While further experimentation is needed under field conditions, our results highlight an interesting pathway for enhancing productivity within a sustainable context, where water is a limiting factor. Given that ShDJ-overexpression promotes improvement in saccharification efficiency in rice, our findings are of special interest for bioenergy production using sugarcane. The development of new sugarcane cultivars focused on first (E1G) and second-generation (E2G) ethanol, and on the co-generation of energy, should promote environmental and economic benefits, increasing crop yield per area planted.
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