**Effect of Mechanobiology of Cell Response on Titanium with Multilayered Aluminum Nitride Thin Film**
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**Abstract:** In the present study, the piezoelectric aluminum nitride (AlN)/tantalum (Ta) (PAT) thin film was investigated as a biocompatible film and osseointegrated with biomedical devices such as implants. The stress variation on the interaction of cells with the PAT surface was investigated using osteoblast-like cells (MG-63) and fibroblast cells (NIH3T3). A singular behavior was observed on the PAT film with a (002) texture, in which the MG-63 cells were more dispersed and displayed longer and more filopodia than the NIH3T3 cells. Moreover, the MG-63 cells showed ingrowth, adherence, and proliferation on the PAT film surface. The MG-63 cells had more obvious stress variation than the NIH3T3 cells in the differentiation and proliferation. The mechanobiological reaction to cell differentiation and proliferation not only caused osseointegration, but also reduced the surface activation energy, thus enhancing bone remodeling. The formation of a nanopolycrystalline PAT film is believed to enhance the mechanobiological effect, promoting osseointegration.
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1. Introduction

Recently, numerous methods have been used to modify implant surfaces to enhance the speed of bone healing [1–10]. It was found that osseointegration could be effectively promoted by surface modification [1,2,4,11,12]. The osseointegration time is a crucial factor in clinical cases, for example, patients with submerged implants and devices. However, invasive detection is not suitable for sensing stability on the osseointegration of submerged implants. The voltage-driven full Wheatstone bridge configuration is a traditional instrumentation system, which is applied to quantify strain gauges. While
there is a disadvantage of low-power for submerged implantology applications [13]. Accordingly, it is very important to fabricate bioactive surfaces on implants and monitoring osseointegration for biomedical engineering.

According to previous studies [11,14], aluminum nitride (AlN), as a biosensing film, performed effective sensing for fibroblast cell (NIH3T3) and for the biophysical detection of implants. However, the biological reaction of bone cells is a critical factor in bone remodeling and enhancing osseointegration. Furthermore, the effects of molecules and cells on the osseointegration of bone implants include factors affecting bone formation [15] and bone adsorption [16]. Numerous studies have shown that growth factors, matrix molecules, or stem cells can be used to facilitate bone healing and regeneration in implants [17–20]. Predictable bone regeneration after a pathological bone loss in implants, a solution to reduce bone loss, and accelerated healing at the site of traditionally poor prognosis as well as simultaneous enlargement and implant placement, may become clinical realities. Biologic approaches such as molecular imaging define a research method. Nevertheless, these strategies are intrinsically linked to biomechanical factors.

As stated above, developing a new method to realize the reaction of bone cells is important. Therefore, the study was to fabricate a promising biosensing approach to detect bone-cell integration such as (osteoblast-like MG-63) with the piezoelectric AlN/Ta (PAT) thin film, and the reaction between them. The measurement of stress variation was utilized to analyze the reaction between the bone cells and the PAT thin film.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Preparation of the Investigated Specimens

The radio-frequency magnetron sputtering system with the Al target ($\Phi$20 cm and purity 99.99%), Ta target ($\Phi$20 cm and purity 99.99%), and Cu target ($\Phi$20 cm and purity 99.99%) were employed to fabricate the PAT thin film on the Si (100) wafer substrate. Before the deposition process, the SiO$_2$ oxide layer with a thickness of 1000 nm was grown as a buffer at a temperature of 250 °C for 15 min under the plasma power of 20 W, chamber running pressure of $5 \times 10^{-3}$ Torr, and O flow rate of 30 sccm. Subsequently, the Cu film was sputter deposited on the surface of SiO$_2$ at a temperature of 400 °C for 15 min under the plasma power of 20 W, chamber running pressure $5 \times 10^{-6}$ Torr, and Ar flow rate of 30 sccm. Hereafter, a thickness of a 10 nm Ta layer was sputter deposited on the surface of the Cu film at the same deposition conditions. Finally, the AlN film was homogeneously deposited on the Ta layer surface at a temperature of 400 °C for 15 min under the plasma power of 20 W, chamber running pressure of $5 \times 10^{-6}$ Torr, and gas mixture ratio of Ar/N$_2$ (1:2). Therefore, the PAT thin film could be obtained for the experiments.

2.2. Microstructure Analysis

The crystalline structure of the PAT thin film was analyzed by a grazing-angle x-ray diffractometry (GAXRD, Rigaku 2200, Japan). Moreover, the interface microstructure was also identified by a high-resolution transmission electron microscope (TEM; JEOL-2100, Japan). The focused ion beam system was applied to prepare an electron transparency area of the cross-sectional TEM sample.

2.3. Cell Culturing

In order to evaluate the mechanobiological reaction of MG-63 cells and NIH3T3 cells, the specimens with a dimension of 10 mm × 10 mm × 1 mm were subjected to cell culture. Before culturing the cells, the specimens were rinsed three times using a mixture solution of 0.1 M phosphate-buffered saline (pH 7.2) and Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM, Gibco). Afterward, the specimens were placed into the 24-well polystyrene plate and sterilized with ultraviolet rays for 24 h. The DMEM containing fetal bovine serum (10%), streptomycin (100 mg/mL), and penicillin (100 units/mL) was used as the culture medium. A density of $3 \times 10^4$/cm$^2$ cell suspension (MG-63 cell and NIH3T3) was
added to the plate, respectively. Then, the specimens were cultured at 37 °C for 4 h, 8 h, 24 h, and 72 h in a humidified atmosphere of 95% air and 5% CO₂. Subsequently, the cells on the treated surface were observed via a scanning electron microscope (SEM; JEOL JSM-6500F, Tokyo, Japan) at different magnifications to evaluate their morphology, adhesion, and proliferation features.

2.4. Biosensing Capability

The top Cu electrodes (3500 nm in thickness) were finally deposited on the surface of the investigated specimens through the sputtering system and patterned to measure current–voltage (IV). Both capacitors of Cu/PAT and Cu/cell/PAT were used to investigate the capacitor capability of the PAT film against the cell reaction by electrical analysis. An HP 4145B semiconductor parameter analyzer (Palo Alto, CA, USA) at a reverse bias of −5 V was adopted to measure the leakage current of the investigated specimens. An average value of 30 specimens was performed to obtain the leakage current density at the 300 µm × 300 µm detected area. The diode leakage current was measured after culturing for various periods.

2.5. Stress Variation Measurement

Stress measurements were taken by means of a Toho FLX 2320-S thin film stress measurement system (Chicago, IL, USA). The stress was determined using the Stony’s Equation (1).

\[ \sigma_f = \left( \frac{E}{1 - \nu} \right) t_s^2 \frac{r}{6rt_f} \] (1)

where the symbols \( t_f \), \( t_s \), and \( r \) refer to film thickness, substrate thickness, and film curvature, respectively. The Stony’s equation shows that by measuring the curvature and the thickness of the film and the substrate, and by knowing the Young’s modulus (\( E \)) and Poisson’s ratio (\( \nu \)) of the substrate, we can determine the stress (\( \sigma_f \)) in the film. The curvature can be measured by laser interference or by stylus profiling.

3. Results and Discussion

The GAXRD and cross-sectional TEM of the PAT film were investigated as shown in Figure 1. The GAXRD pattern and TEM micrograph analysis of the PAT film showed a diffraction peak of (002), which confirmed that the crystalline structure of the PAT film belonged to the hexagonal close-packed (hcp) structure. The cross-sectional TEM image also obviously indicated the formation of nanopolycrystalline grains (~50 nm) in the PAT film. Similar results have been reported by Ou et al. [21]. Figure 2 depicts the high-magnification SEM and cross-sectional TEM images of MG-63 cells cultured for 72 h on the PAT film. Numerous filopodia are visible, as displayed in Figure 2a. Cells on the PAT film were more spread out and had filopodia that were longer and in greater number. Figure 2b shows a TEM micrograph of the PAT film from the cross-sectional direction following cell culturing. The MG-63 cell (as indicated by the arrow and dash-line covered area) is well adhered and obviously a polygon with no prominent nuclei. After 72 h of culture, most of the cells began to differentiate along small irregular regions, and the cells were firmly adhered, flattened, and irregularly networked, demonstrating that the PAT film had great proliferation ability.
As mentioned, implants with a surface coating are well known to have a high degree of biocompatibility. After surface modification of the implants, they have better function and biocompatibility. Thus, surface modifications are recommended as coatings for implants that are in contact with blood. The adhesion of blood cells such as platelets, red blood cells, and leukocytes can be improved by surface treatment [22]. Compared with a smooth surface, an increase in the surface roughness from 0.2 to 0.5 mm (arithmetical mean roughness (Ra)) can enhance blood cell adhesion ability [23]. Moreover, the nitrided implants can significantly promote the adsorption of human salivary albumin. This feature proved that human albumin has a high affinity for the nitriding-treated surfaces [24]. Based on the above discussion, it revealed that changes in surface properties caused by surface modifications play a vital role in biocompatibility [13]. Hence, the surface characteristics and biocompatibility of implants could be potentially improved by the nanopolycrystalline PAT coating.
After culturing for 72 h, the PAT film with MG-63 cells exhibited a severe leakage current density at 150 kV/cm in comparison to the PAT film without MG-63 cells, as shown in Figure 3. In particular, the bone cell/PAT specimen showed a high percentage of breakdown specimen (~80%) at 150 kV/cm, revealing that the dielectric layer is passed by most of the currents before the capacitor breakdown. Similar results were also observed in the previous studies [11,14].

Cells on the PAT film surface were also observed by a cross-sectional microstructure image, as shown in Figure 4. Slit-like microvoids (as indicated by the black arrow) were found across the cell/PAT film. However, no slit-like microvoids could be observed in the PAT film without cells. This feature indicates that the failure is caused by mechanical reasons, and not from electrical reasons. The microvoids act as an electrical path that ultimately breaks down the capacitor with a low applied voltage. This is probably due to the PAT film undergoing some osteogenic reactions such as proliferation and differentiation. The formation of microvoids can be used to realize the stress variation of the PAT biosensing capacitor.

The calculation and analysis results exhibited that the state of stress altered from compressive stress to tensile stress at the PAT film and the bone cell layer boundary, as shown in Figure 5. Based on the stress variation analysis, the bone cell reacted with the thin film containing stress flow resulted in the formation of defects (voids, dislocation, and slips). As discovered in all specimens, bone cells causing the stress formation seem to be large enough to induce the mechanical failure of the biosensing capacitor with microvoids. Similar results have been observed in previous studies [11,14]. It is well known that surface atoms are usually bonded to internal atoms through chemical bonding. If surface atoms are to be released, the bonds to internal atoms must be broken. Surface activation energy is often required to break these bonds. During cell differentiation and proliferation, the equivalent activation energy formed by a mechanobiological reaction happens to endure the surface activation energy of the PAT film. The equivalent activation energy generated from cell differentiation and proliferation is named as the cell activation energy (CAE). In the mechanobiological reaction, the CAE is the redox potential in the mechanobiological reaction of MG-63 cells and NIH3T3 cells in a multilayered PAT film. The lattice defects and/or initial stress of PAT film have all been found to affect the cell differentiation and proliferation. Moreover, the surface activation energy was reduced as the grain boundaries and sub-grain boundaries of the PAT film increased. The nanopolycrystalline {002} PAT film is preferred and reacts faster than the [111] planes, forming a mechanobiological reaction. Thus, the mechanobiological reaction of cell differentiation and proliferation not only causes osseo/osteointegration, but also decreases the surface activation energy, then enhancing bone remodeling. The formation of a nanopolycrystalline {002} PAT film is believed to promote biocompatibility, enhancing osseointegration. Therefore, the mechanobiological formation of PAT on a Ti implant with and without cell differentiation and proliferation must be discussed at length.

Furthermore, the adhesion, morphology, and proliferation of MG-63 cells on the surface of the PAT film led to the following results: first, the cells exhibited a higher thickness, and a nanometer-scale roughness favored the formation of longer and more filopodia than that of the NIH3T3 cell. The MG-63 cells and NIH3T3 cells responded to the PAT surface topography with adhesion, altered morphology, and proliferation. Additionally, an excellent biosensing and a biocompatible film should offer a superior surface topography that allows for rapid bone healing. In the stress analysis results, the PAT film was capable of enhancing more differentiation and proliferation of MG-63 cells than that of NIH3T3 cells over the same period of time. This revealed that the enhancement of the proliferation capability of MG-63 bone cells can enhance osseointegration and re-osseointegration. In addition, it is also an important factor in the postoperative healing and bone remodeling of cortical bone [25]. The biosensing effect of the biomechanical reaction on an osseointegrated implant with PAT film was evaluated efficiently using a biosensing capacitor and a representation of the implant-to-bone and stress-transfer performances. Therefore, the PAT film could potentially be applied to monitor implant osseointegration and stability using wireless technology in the near future.
Figure 3. Histograms of the distributions of breakdown field for the PAT biosensing capacitor with (MG-63 cell cultured for 72 h) and without cell cultures.

Figure 4. The cross-sectional TEM micrograph of the PAT biosensing capacitor with cells.
4. Conclusions

The PAT thin film was fabricated as a biocompatible film to be used for osseointegration biosensing on an implant. The MG-63 cells were more dispersed and displayed longer and more filopodia than the NIH3T3 cells on the PAT film. It was obvious that the PAT film had excellent biocompatibility. The stress change during proliferation and differentiation of MG63 cells was more pronounced than those of the NIH3T3 cells. The stress altered the cell morphology, proliferation, and adhesion will exist as the piezoelectric effect on the PAT film surface. It is believed that biomedical devices can be utilized to monitor the piezoelectric effect induced by osseointegration, re-osseointegration, and tissue healing in situ.
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