CHANDRA DETECTION OF DIFFUSE HOT GAS IN AND AROUND THE M31 BULGE
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ABSTRACT

We report the detection of diffuse hot gas in M31, using archival Chandra observations that allow us to map out a 30' × 30' field (covering a galactocentric radius up to 4.5 kpc) and to detect sources in the galaxy down to a 0.5–8 keV luminosity limit of ∼10^{35} ergs s^{-1}. We estimate the remaining stellar contribution from fainter X-ray sources (primarily cataclysmic variables and coronally active binaries), assuming that they spatially follow the stellar distribution. Indeed, the near-IR K-band light of the galaxy closely traces the 2–8 keV unresolved X-rays, indicating a collective stellar X-ray emissivity consistent with those determined for the Galactic ridge and M32, whereas the amount of the 0.5–2 keV unresolved emission is significantly greater than the expected stellar contribution, especially within a galactocentric radius of ∼2 kpc. Morphologically, this soft X-ray excess appears substantially rounder than the bulge as seen in K band and is elongated approximately along the minor-axis at large radii. The excess thus most likely represents the emission of diffuse hot gas in and around the galactic bulge. Furthermore, the near side of the M31 disk casts an apparent shadow against the soft X-ray excess, indicating that the hot gas extends to at least 2.5 kpc from the galactic plane. We briefly discuss the implications of these results on the energy balance in the M31 bulge and on understanding the large-scale soft X-ray enhancement observed toward the inner region of our own Galaxy.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The bulge of a galaxy, although consisting of mainly old stars, is a mecca of high-energy activities. Low-mass X-ray binaries (LMXBs), in the luminosity range of ∼10^{35}–10^{36} ergs s^{-1}, are among the brightest X-ray sources observed in a galaxy like our own. At lower luminosities, typically ∼10^{30}–10^{35} ergs s^{-1}, are numerous cataclysmic variables (CVs) and coronally active binaries (ABs) that can be individually detected normally only in the solar neighborhood. Such stars are most likely responsible for the bulk of the unresolved 2–10 keV emission observed in the Galactic bulge/ridge (Revnivtsev et al. 2006), whereas lower energy X-rays from the same regions are subject to heavy interstellar absorption and hence difficult to detect. One thus needs external perspectives of nearby galaxies. Indeed, Revnivtsev et al. (2007) have shown that the unresolved emission from the low-mass bulge-dominated galaxy M32 over the entire 0.5–7 keV range is primarily stellar in origin.

The interstellar medium (ISM) in a galactic bulge is also expected to be extremely energetic, chiefly due to a concentration of Type Ia supernovae (SNe Ia). The bulk of the mechanical energy release from such SNe is expected to be in shock-heated gas, which can be naturally traced by its X-ray emission. However, the observed luminosity of the unresolved (source-removed) X-ray emission from a galactic bulge typically accounts for only a small fraction (a few percent) of the expected SN energy release. How the remaining energy is dissipated remains unknown. It may be propagated into the large-scale halo of the host galaxy in a mechanical outflow or sound waves, for example. In any case, determining the fate of this “missing” stellar feedback energy is fundamentally important in our understanding of its role in galaxy evolution (e.g., Wang 2007). Here we present the first step of such a study.

We detect the truly diffuse soft X-ray emission from the bulge of M31—the nearest spiral galaxy (d ∼ 780 kpc; 1' ∼ 0.23 kpc) that is similar to our own Galaxy. The galaxy contains no AGN, so the nonnuclear X-ray emission can be studied even in the very central region. The M31 bulge has little cool gas and star formation, so the X-ray contribution from young stellar populations is minimal. The moderate inclination (∼78°) of the M31 disk and the relatively low Galactic foreground absorption (N_H ∼ 6.7 × 10^{20} cm^{-2}) also allow us to detect extraplanar X-ray emission in the 0.5–2 keV range. Indeed, detections of diffuse soft X-ray emission have been claimed, based on spectral decompositions (Shirey et al. 2001; Takahashi et al. 2004). However, such a decomposition depends sensitively on rather arbitrary choices of spectral models for various components contributing to the spectrum, both diffuse and discrete. Our approach here is to spatially map out the diffuse X-ray emission (in addition to its energy dependence) and to study its relationship to other stellar and interstellar components of the galaxy. While details of the data reduction as well as a comprehensive analysis of the sources and modeling of the hot gas will be presented elsewhere (Z. Li et al. 2007, in preparation), we focus here in presenting the detection of the diffuse hot gas in and around the M31 bulge and its immediate implications. We quote our statistical error estimates at the 90% confidence level.

2. DATA PREPARATION

Our X-ray study was based on 31 Chandra ACIS archival observations of M31 taken by 2005. The majority (21 of 31) of these observations were taken with the ACIS-I array and aimed toward the M31 bulge with the aim points located within 1' from the galactic center. To maximize the coverage and uniformity of the combined field, we utilized data only from the front-illuminated CCDs (the ACIS-I array and the S2 chips) of the 21 observations. For the same reason, we also included I-chip data from four ACIS-S observations. These data together cover a field of r ∼ 18' around the center of M31. Furthermore, for local sky background determination, we used six additional
ACIS-I observations that were aimed toward an “off-field” ∼20′ southwest of the center.

We reprocessed the data using CIAO (version 3.3), following the Chandra ACIS data analysis guide. We generated count and exposure maps for each observation in the 0.5–1, 1–2, 2–4, and 4–8 keV bands. Corresponding instrumental background maps were generated from the “stowed” data, after calibrating the 10–12 keV count rate with individual observations. The total effective exposure is ∼95 ks in the central region and gradually drops to ≲20 ks at radii r ≳ 10′.

Following a procedure detailed in Wang (2004), we performed source detection in the soft (0.5–2 keV), hard (2–8 keV), and broad (0.5–8 keV) bands. With a local false detection probability $P \leq 10^{-4}$, a total of 305 sources are detected in the field. To study the unresolved X-ray emission, we excluded each of the sources from maps of individual observations with circular regions enclosing ∼97% of the source counts. The residual of this source removal contributes about 10% of the remaining unresolved X-ray emission in the field. The source-removed maps were then reprojected to generate combined images in the four bands. We further statistically corrected for the variation of the detection incompleteness across the field, to a common detection limit of $8 \times 10^{-14}$ ergs s$^{-1}$ (0.5–8 keV). Because of the relatively flat luminosity function of the sources (mostly LMXBs; Z. Li et al. 2007, in preparation; see also Voss & Gilfanov 2007), the correction (normalized according to the 2MASS K-band intensity; Fig. 1a; Jarrett et al. 2003) typically amounts to less than 6% of the unresolved emission. For the same reason, the residual contribution from LMXBs at lower luminosities is found to be negligible.

3. ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

Figure 1a shows the 0.5–2 keV unresolved X-ray emission from a 30′ × 30′ region around the center of M31, compared with the near-IR K-band image. In the inner bulge and along the major axis of the disk, the X-ray emission shows morphological similarities with the K-band light, whereas at large radii the X-ray morphology appears substantially rounder and is elongated approximately along the minor axis, in particular at the southeast side, indicating the presence of diffuse hot gas.

3.1. The Collective Stellar Emission

To quantify the diffuse hot gas, we need to isolate the collective stellar contribution, which presumably spatially follows the K-band light distribution. Optimal for this purpose is to inspect regions along the major axis, where the soft X-ray emission morphologically mimics the K-band light better than in regions farther away from the major axis (Fig. 1a). Figure 2a shows the unresolved X-ray intensity profiles along the major axis, together with the corresponding K-band intensity profile. Indeed, the K-band profile as a “model” fits the hard band profile (triangles in Fig. 2a) well with a normalization factor $N_K = (4.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-3}$ counts s$^{-1}$ arcmin$^{-2}$ (MJy sr$^{-1}$). Therefore, the hard band X-ray emission is fully consistent with an origin in the old stellar population.

The collective stellar emission should also contribute at lower energies. However, while the soft X-ray profile (Fig. 2a, diamonds) can match that of the K-band light reasonably well at major-axis radii ≥8′, there is a clear excess above the collective stellar contribution in the inner region. This soft excess in the bulge is another indication for the presence of hot gas, although its exact spatial distribution is yet to be determined. We include an exponential law to approximately account for the excess. The resulting fit is satisfactory (Fig. 2a, solid curve), with a fitted $N_K = (25.0 \pm 2.4) \times 10^{-3}$ counts s$^{-1}$ arcmin$^{-2}$ (MJy sr$^{-1}$). The fit predicts that the stellar component contributes about 60% to the soft emission within a major-axis radius of ∼8′ and becomes dominant farther beyond. The fit also reveals an interesting drop of the X-ray to K-band intensity ratio within the central 0.5′ (more clearly indicated in a radial intensity profile, which is not shown here), with values still comparable to or greater than that at the large major-axis radii. The nature of this ratio drop is currently being investigated, and we note that it has little effect on the result of the above procedure.
3.2. The Diffuse X-Ray Emission

Figure 1b shows an image of the truly diffuse emission after subtraction of the collective stellar contribution from the total unresolved X-ray emission of M31. The emission along the major axis is confined within a projected distance of $\sim 8'$ ($\sim 1.8$ kpc) from the galactic center to the southwest and is slightly more extended to the northeast. The overall morphology is elongated approximately along the minor axis, with an extent of more than 15' ($\sim 3.5$ kpc) on both sides with respect to the center; but the emission appears considerably fainter on the northwest side and somewhat interrupted by the presence of spiral arms. This asymmetry is further illustrated in Figure 2b, where diffuse X-ray intensity profiles along the minor axis are shown separately in the 0.5–1 and 1–2 keV bands. The asymmetry can be naturally explained by the soft X-ray absorption of the galactic disk, as its near side is to the northwest. In particular, a major spiral arm and the star-forming ring, as traced by the peaks of the Spitzer MIPS 24 $\mu$m emission (Gordon et al. 2006), apparently cast deep X-ray shadows on the northwestern side. Estimated from the relative depth of these shadows, the equivalent X-ray–absorbing column densities are $\sim 1.2 \times 10^{22}$ and $\sim 3.6 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$, consistent with the hydrogen column densities of the spiral arm and the star-forming ring (Nieten et al. 2006). No similar shadow is apparent on the southeastern side, indicating that the emission on this side is mostly from the bulge region in front of the disk. Therefore, the diffuse emission seems to have an intrinsic (absorption-corrected) overall coherent morphology reminiscent of a bipolar outflow from the bulge. The soft X-ray absorption by the disk suggests that the vertical extent of the X-ray–emitting gas from the galactic plane is at least 2.5 kpc.

We characterize the profiles (Fig. 2b) at distances of $-7' < z < 0'$ off the major axis with an exponential law: $I(z) = I_0 e^{-|r|/\alpha}$, where $I_0$ is the central intensity and $\alpha$ is the projected scale height. The best-fit $\alpha$, being 2.5' $\pm$ 0.1' ($\sim 0.6$ kpc), shows no statistically significant difference between the two bands, indicating that the hot gas in the bulge has little temperature variation. The hardness ratio, $I_{0.5–1 \text{ keV}}/I_{0.5–1 \text{ keV}} \sim 0.25$, is consistent with a spectrum from an isothermal gas with a temperature of $\sim 0.4$ keV, subject to the Galactic foreground absorption. For comparison, the hardness ratio of the stellar emission is $\sim 0.72$; the scale height of the K-band light is $\sim 2.1'$, if characterized by an exponential law as well. Therefore, the diffuse X-ray emission is both softer and more extended than the stellar contribution. At $|z| \geq 7'$, however, the soft X-ray intensity distribution levels off (Fig. 2b). Part of this leveling may be related to emission associated with the galactic disk, partially compensating its X-ray absorption. The exact intensity level at such large distances, however, depends on an accurate subtraction of the local sky background, which has been estimated in the off-field and may be biased (§ 2). The sky coverage of the present ACIS observations is still too limited to accurately determine both the background and the large-scale distribution of the diffuse emission associated with the bulge. Assuming the above exponential fit and temperature estimate as well as an intrinsic symmetry with respect to the galactic plane, we infer a 0.5–2 keV luminosity of the diffuse emission as $\sim 2.2 \times 10^{38}$ ergs s$^{-1}$. The flat tail parts of the profiles give an additional $\sim 3 \times 10^{37}$ ergs s$^{-1}$.

4. DISCUSSION

In § 3.1 we have estimated the stellar contribution to the total unresolved X-ray emission, which is a key step in isolating the truly diffuse emission. It is thus instructive to compare our result with independent measurements. Sazonov et al. (2006)
measured the collective X-ray emissivity (per unit stellar mass) of the old stellar populations in the solar neighborhood to be \((9 \pm 3) \times 10^{37} \text{ergs s}^{-1} \text{M}_{\odot}^{-1}\) in the 0.5–2 keV band and \((3.1 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{37} \text{ergs s}^{-1} \text{M}_{\odot}^{-1}\) in the 2–10 keV band. Revnivtsev et al. (2006) showed that the Galactic ridge X-ray emission closely follows the near-IR light that traces the Galactic stellar mass distribution, and that the X-ray to near-IR intensity ratio is consistent with the collective X-ray emissivity of old stellar populations inferred from the solar neighborhood. Revnivtsev et al. (2007) further found that the 0.5–7 keV unresolved X-ray emission and K-band stellar light in M32 have consistent spatial distributions, but they did not explicitly give fitted parameters of the spectral model, which would allow for an immediate comparison with the M31 values.

We have thus re-extracted the unresolved X-ray spectrum of M32 from two Chandra ACIS observations (ObsID 2017 and 5690) with a total exposure of 160 ks. The spectrum can be adequately fitted by a model consisting of a power-law component (with a photon index of 1.86 ± 0.28) and a thermal plasma component (temperature of 0.45 ± 0.16 keV), with the Galactic foreground absorption. The collective emissivity is \(5.8 \pm 1.1(5.6 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{37} \text{ergs s}^{-1} \text{M}_{\odot}^{-1}\) in the 0.5–2 (2–10) keV band, consistent with the values reported by Revnivtsev et al. (2007). The spectral model-predicted ACIS-I count rate is \(\sim 17.1(3.9) \times 10^{-5} \text{counts s}^{-1} \text{arcmin}^{-2} \text{MJy sr}^{-1}\) in the 0.5–2 (2–8) keV band. The hard band value agrees well with the measurement for M31 \([4.3 \pm 0.2] \times 10^{-5}\), accounting for the residual photons spilling outside the source-removal regions \((\sim 10\%); \S 2\). The soft band stellar emissivity of M31 (residual LMXB contribution excluded) is a factor of \(1.4 \pm 0.3\) higher than the M32 value but is consistent with that inferred from the solar neighborhood. This discrepancy is not totally unexpected, considering various statistical errors and hidden systematic uncertainties (e.g., in the mass-to-light ratio and in the spatial and spectral modeling). We note that adopting the M32 stellar emissivity to remove the stellar contribution in M31 would enhance the hot gas contribution in and around the M31 bulge but would not qualitatively alter the picture of the diffuse emission presented in § 3.2 and below.

The characterization of the diffuse hot gas sheds important insights into the energy balance in the M31 bulge. The estimated luminosity of the hot gas \((2.5 \times 10^{46} \text{ergs s}^{-1})\) is only about \(0.6\%\) of the expected SNe mechanical energy input, \(\sim 4 \times 10^{46} \text{ergs s}^{-1}\). As mentioned in § 1, this indicates that the input energy may be removed primarily in an outflow. Dynamically, such an outflow tends to find its way along the input energy may be removed primarily in an outflow. Its distribution to follow that of the gravitational potential, i.e., more extended along the major axis. However, the gas may not be hot enough to ultimately escape from the deep gravitational potential of M31; it is also not clear how the outflow interacts with the large-scale halo of M31 and how the mechanical energy is dissipated. Similar considerations also challenge the studies of X-ray–faint elliptical galaxies (e.g., David et al. 2006). Ongoing numerical simulations would help to understand the nature of the hot gas and its role in the evolution of these systems.

Our unambiguous detection of the diffuse hot gas in and around the M31 bulge also helps to understand the soft X-ray enhancement observed toward the inner region of our Galaxy. The temperature of the hot gas associated with the M31 bulge, 0.4 keV, is similar to that with the Galactic bulge, as estimated from the ROSAT all-sky survey (Snowden et al. 1997). On the basis of a hydrostatic model of the Galactic bulge X-ray emission developed by Wang (1997), Almy et al. (2000) further inferred a total 0.5–2 keV luminosity of \(\sim 8 \times 10^{39} \text{ergs s}^{-1}\), about 4 times greater than our estimated M31 bulge luminosity. The relatively high luminosity of the Galactic bulge manifests in the large extent of the soft X-ray enhancement from the Galactic bulge. At Galactic latitudes \(b \sim -15^\circ\) (\(\sim 2\) kpc from the plane), for example, where both the confusion with the foreground emission features and the interstellar absorption are relatively small, the intensity has an average value of \(\sim (64) \times 10^{-4} \text{ROSAT PSPC counts s}^{-1} \text{arcmin}^{-2}\) in the 0.75 (1.5) keV band (Snowden et al. 1997). Had this emission been detected from M31 by the Chandra ACIS-I, it would be measured with an intensity of \(\sim 10(3) \times 10^{-4} \text{counts s}^{-1} \text{arcmin}^{-2}\) in the 0.5–1 (1–2) keV band, about 2–4 times higher than the observed M31 values represented by the tails (Fig. 2b). The intensity drops slowly and even shows local enhancements at high latitudes (\(b \approx -30^\circ\); Snowden et al. 1997). Within \(|b| \lesssim 10^\circ\), the interstellar absorption is severe, and little can be inferred reliably about the properties of the hot gas. It is in this corresponding region in the M31 bulge (\(|z| \lesssim 6^\circ\) that the diffuse soft X-ray intensity shows the steepest increase (by about 1 order of magnitude) toward the galactic center. Such a midplane concentration of diffuse soft X-ray emission may also be present intrinsically in our Galactic bulge. Clearly, a more careful comparison and modeling of the X-ray data sets are needed in order to understand the similarity and difference in the hot gas characteristics and their relationship to other galactic properties (e.g., the effect of recent active star formation in the Galactic center).
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