Permanent Neonatal Diabetes in INS<sup>C94Y</sup> Transgenic Pigs
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Mutations in the insulin (INS) gene may cause permanent neonatal diabetes mellitus (PNDM). Ins2 mutant mouse models provided important insights into the disease mechanisms of PNDM but have limitations for translational research. To establish a large animal model of PNDM, we generated INS<sup>C94Y</sup> transgenic pigs. A line expressing high levels of INS<sup>C94Y</sup> mRNA (70–80% of wild-type INS transcripts) exhibited elevated blood glucose soon after birth but unaltered β-cell mass at the age of 8 days. At 4.5 months, INS<sup>C94Y</sup> transgenic pigs exhibited 41% reduced body weight, 72% decreased β-cell mass (~53% relative to body weight), and 60% lower fasting insulin levels compared with littermate controls. β-cells of INS<sup>C94Y</sup> transgenic pigs showed a marked reduction of insulin secretory granules and severe dilation of the endoplasmic reticulum. Cataract development was already visible in 8-day-old INS<sup>C94Y</sup> transgenic pigs and became more severe with increasing age. Diabetes-associated pathological alterations of kidney and nervous tissue were not detected during the observation period of 1 year. The stable diabetic phenotype and its rescue by insulin treatment make the INS<sup>C94Y</sup> transgenic pig an attractive model for insulin supplementation and islet transplantation trials, and for studying developmental consequences of maternal diabetes mellitus. Diabetes 62:1505–1511, 2013

Heterozygous mutations of the insulin (INS) gene have been identified as a cause of permanent neonatal diabetes mellitus (PNDM) in humans (1). Ins2<sup>C94Y</sup> (Akita) and Munich Ins2<sup>C94Y</sup> mutant mice have development of hyperglycemia early in life, reduced insulin secretion after glucose challenge, ultrastructural β-cell alterations, and progressive β-cell loss (2,3). These models contributed substantially to the clarification of disease mechanisms induced by misfolded (pre)proinsulin, including impaired trafficking of normal proinsulin by formation of high-molecular-weight complexes with mutant (pro)insulin (4), accumulation of misfolded insulin in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), and ER stress (5). ER stress triggers β-cell apoptosis and diabetes mellitus when it exceeds a certain level (6). Although there is increased degradation of misfolded insulin molecules (7), β-cell failure finally occurs.

Whereas Ins2<sup>C94Y</sup> mutant mouse models provided important mechanistic insights, they have limitations for translational studies. To establish a large animal model of PNDM, we generated transgenic pigs expressing a mutant porcine INS gene (INS<sup>C94Y</sup>) that resembles the human INS<sup>C936Y</sup> mutation and the mutation of the Akita mouse.

**RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS**

**Generation of INS<sup>C94Y</sup> transgenic pigs.** All animal experiments were performed according to the German Animal Welfare Act with permission of the responsible animal welfare authority. The INS<sup>C94Y</sup> expression vector including the porcine INS gene with the G→A transition leading to a Cys→Try exchange at amino acid position 94 as well as its essential regulatory elements and a neomycin selection cassette (neo) (Fig. 1A) were nucleofected into male primary porcine fetal fibroblasts, and pools of stable nucleofected cell clones were used for nuclear transfer to generate transgenic founder pigs (8). Gene-typing was performed by PCR using the transgene-specific primers 5′-CAG CTG TCG TGG ACG TTC-3′ and 5′-GAG TCA ACT AGT CCT CAG AAG AAA G-3′, and Southern blot analysis using a 32P-CTP-labeled probe specific for the neo cassette (Fig. 1B). For transgene expression studies, total RNA was extracted from pancreatic tissue (RNase Kt; Qiagen), DNase-treated (Roche), and reverse-transcribed with SuperscriptII (Life Technologies) using random hexamer primers. INS<sup>C94Y</sup> and wild-type INS cDNAs were amplified by PCR using insulin-specific primers 5′-TTT TTA TCA GCA TTC TTA CAC GG-3′ and 5′-ATC TTC CTC AGC TTC TCC CAG G-3′, and their ratio was determined by next-generation sequencing of RT-PCR amplicons (Genome Analyzer IIX; Illumina; >10,000 reads per sample).

**Animal husbandry, in vivo parameters, and insulin treatment.** Animals were housed under controlled conditions, had a once-daily feeding regimen, and had free access to water. Body weight was recorded regularly. Random and fasting blood glucose levels were determined regularly using a Precision Xceed glucometer and Precision XtraPlus test strips (Abbot). Intravenous glucose tolerance, homeostasis model assessment of β-cell function, and homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance were determined as described previously (9,10). Continuous glucose monitoring was performed using the Guardian REAL-Time System (Medtronic) whereby a glucose sensor was inserted subcutaneously behind the ear and data were transferred via a connected transmitter to an external computer. Plasma insulin levels were determined by radioimmunoassay (Millipore). Insulin-treated pigs received a combination of long-acting insulin (Lantus; Sanofi) and short-acting insulin (NovoRapid; NovoNordisk). Blood glucose levels were determined once or twice daily using a glucometer to control treatment.

**Necropsy, pancreas sampling, quantitative stereological analyses, and electron microscopy.** The 8-day-old and 4.5-month-old pigs were killed and subjected to routine necropsy. Selected organs were weighed. Pancreas samples were fixed in 6.25% glutaraldehyde in Sorensen phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) for 24 h and processed as described (5). The left kidney was fixed by orthovaginal perfusion with 4% formaldehyde. Six specimens of the renal cortex obtained by systematic random sampling (11) were processed for plastic embedded light microscopy (11) and transmission electron microscopy as described previously (11). The mean glomerular volume was determined from the mean glomerular profile area, as described (11). The true harmonic mean thickness of the glomerular basement membrane (GBM) was determined by the orthogonal intercept method (11). Tibial nerve...
FIG. 1. Generation of diabetic INS\(^{C94Y}\) transgenic pigs. A total of 562 embryos reconstructed from INS\(^{C94Y}\) nucleofected cell clones were transferred to five recipient gilts, resulting in three pregnancies, two of which went to term. Southern blot analysis revealed that all seven offspring were INS\(^{C94Y}\) transgenic, with different transgene integration patterns (Fig. 1A). Founder boar 9747 exhibited elevated fasting blood glucose levels at the age of 85 days, further increasing with age. The boar showed distinct growth restriction, which could be substantially improved by insulin treatment. Transgenic offspring were delivered after mating 9747 to wild-type sows and showed the same transgene integration pattern as the sire, arguing for a single integration site in the genome. The other six INS\(^{C94Y}\) transgenic founder boars had normal development and exhibited unaltered glucose levels (Fig. 1C) and intravenous glucose tolerance (data not shown) within the observation period of 213 days. The INS\(^{C94Y}\)-to-INS transcript ratios in pancreas samples of 9747 and his diabetic offspring (\(n = 3\)) were 0.75 and 0.78 ± 0.05. In the other founder boars, this ratio was at least five-fold lower (Fig. 1D), indicating that the diabetogenic effect of INS\(^{C94Y}\) is dose dependent.

**Glucose control and insulin secretion.** To evaluate the effect of INS\(^{C94Y}\) expression on glucose homeostasis, blood glucose levels were measured regularly beginning at birth before the first food intake. Transgenic offspring exhibited significantly (\(P < 0.05\)) elevated random blood glucose levels within 24 h after birth compared with their nontransgenic littermates (Fig. 2A). However, divergence in basal plasma insulin levels of 8-day-old INS\(^{C94Y}\) transgenic pigs compared with controls was less pronounced (Fig. 2B). In contrast, fasting insulin levels of 4.5-month-old INS\(^{C94Y}\) transgenic pigs were significantly (\(P < 0.01\)) reduced compared with nontransgenic littermates (Fig. 2B). Homeostasis model of assessment of \(\beta\)-cells index was significantly reduced in 4.5-month-old INS\(^{C94Y}\) transgenic pigs (1.8 ± 0.4 vs. 47.2 ± 10.5; \(P < 0.01\), one-tailed), indicating reduced steady-state \(\beta\)-cell function. Homeostasis model of assessment of insulin resistance index was significantly elevated in 4.5-month-old INS\(^{C94Y}\) transgenic pigs (2.4 ± 0.48 vs. 1.2 ± 0.24; \(P < 0.05\), one-tailed), suggesting insulin resistance. For long-term survival, INS\(^{C94Y}\) transgenic pigs were treated with insulin starting at the age of 4.5 months. Glucose control under insulin treatment was evaluated over a period of 42 h, and the average blood glucose concentration in the INS\(^{C94Y}\) transgenic pigs was 123.2 (SD) ± 11.9 mg/dL in the control pigs (Fig. 2C). Variations in blood glucose levels were generally greater in the INS\(^{C94Y}\) transgenic (40–198 mg/dL) than in the control pigs (62–114 mg/dL).

**Results**

**Generation of INS\(^{C94Y}\) transgenic pigs.** A total of 562 embryos reconstructed from INS\(^{C94Y}\) nucleofected cell clones were transferred to five recipient gilts, resulting in three pregnancies, two of which went to term. Southern blot analysis revealed that all seven offspring were INS\(^{C94Y}\) transgenic, with different transgene integration patterns (Fig. 1B). Founder boar 9747 exhibited elevated fasting blood glucose levels at the age of 85 days, further increasing with age. The boar showed distinct growth restriction, which could be substantially improved by insulin treatment. Transgenic offspring were delivered after mating 9747 to wild-type sows and showed the same transgene integration pattern as the sire, arguing for a single integration site in the genome. The other six INS\(^{C94Y}\) transgenic founder boars had normal development and exhibited unaltered glucose levels (Fig. 1C) and intravenous glucose tolerance (data not shown) within the observation period of 213 days. The INS\(^{C94Y}\)-to-INS transcript ratios in pancreas samples of 9747 and his diabetic offspring (\(n = 3\)) were 0.75 and 0.78 ± 0.05. In the other founder boars, this ratio was at least five-fold lower (Fig. 1D), indicating that the diabetogenic effect of INS\(^{C94Y}\) is dose dependent.

**Glucose control and insulin secretion.** To evaluate the effect of INS\(^{C94Y}\) expression on glucose homeostasis, blood glucose levels were measured regularly beginning at birth before the first food intake. Transgenic offspring exhibited significantly (\(P < 0.05\)) elevated random blood glucose levels within 24 h after birth compared with their nontransgenic littermates (Fig. 2A). However, divergence in basal plasma insulin levels of 8-day-old INS\(^{C94Y}\) transgenic pigs compared with controls was less pronounced (Fig. 2B). In contrast, fasting insulin levels of 4.5-month-old INS\(^{C94Y}\) transgenic pigs were significantly (\(P < 0.01\)) reduced compared with nontransgenic littermates (Fig. 2B). Homeostasis model of assessment of \(\beta\)-cells index was significantly reduced in 4.5-month-old INS\(^{C94Y}\) transgenic pigs (1.8 ± 0.4 vs. 47.2 ± 10.5; \(P < 0.01\), one-tailed), indicating reduced steady-state \(\beta\)-cell function. Homeostasis model of assessment of insulin resistance index was significantly elevated in 4.5-month-old INS\(^{C94Y}\) transgenic pigs (2.4 ± 0.48 vs. 1.2 ± 0.24; \(P < 0.05\), one-tailed), suggesting insulin resistance. For long-term survival, INS\(^{C94Y}\) transgenic pigs were treated with insulin starting at the age of 4.5 months. Glucose control under insulin treatment was evaluated over a period of 42 h, and the average blood glucose concentration in the INS\(^{C94Y}\) transgenic pigs was 123.2 ± 41.9 (SD) vs. 92 ± 11.9 (SD) mg/dL in the control pigs (Fig. 2C). Variations in blood glucose levels were generally greater in the INS\(^{C94Y}\) transgenic (40–198 mg/dL) than in the control pigs (62–114 mg/dL).

**Loss of \(\beta\)-cell mass and ultrastructural alterations in \(\beta\)-cells.** Pancreas weight did not differ between INS\(^{C94Y}\) transgenic pigs and controls at 8 days of age (4.4 ± 0.6 vs. 4.8 ± 0.3 grams, \(P = 0.15\)). Pancreatic tissue of all \(\beta\)-cell transgenic pigs (expression cassette including the porcine INS gene with the Cys−→Tyr exchange at amino acid position 94, essential regulatory elements, and a neomycin selection cassette (neo)) were analyzed by next-generation sequencing of RT-PCR amplicons. Differences in transcript ratios in pancreas samples of \(\beta\)-cell transgenic pigs and littermate control animals (wt) showing differential expression of INS\(^{C94Y}\) compared with the other six founders (F0) and similar expression compared with its F1 offspring (F1; \(n = 3\)). Insert: RT-PCR products of INS\(^{C94Y}\)/INS transcripts in pancreatic tissue of all INS\(^{C94Y}\)tg founders (left) and founder 9747, as well as its offspring (right). M, pUC Mix Marker; gDNA, genomic DNA; H2O, Aqua bidest.
4.9 ± 0.4 g; \( P = 0.5 \)) but was significantly reduced in 4.5-month-old \( \text{INS}^{\text{C94Y}} \) transgenic pigs compared with nontransgenic littermates (79 ± 6 vs. 134 ± 5 g; \( P = 0.001 \)), proportionate with body weight reduction. Qualitative histological evaluation of the pancreas revealed single \( \beta \)-cells and small islet clusters in 8-day-old \( \text{INS}^{\text{C94Y}} \) transgenic pigs to the same extent as in controls (Fig. 3A). Compared with nontransgenic littermates, pancreas sections of 4.5-month-old \( \text{INS}^{\text{C94Y}} \) transgenic pigs revealed a visibly reduced \( \beta \)-cell mass and insulin immunostaining intensity (Fig. 3B). The volume density of non-\( \beta \)-cells in the pancreas was not altered in 4.5-month-old \( \text{INS}^{\text{C94Y}} \) transgenic pigs. The total non-\( \beta \)-cell volume of \( \text{INS}^{\text{C94Y}} \) transgenic pigs was reduced by 38\% (290 ± 39 vs. 472 ± 59 mm\(^3\); \( P < 0.05 \)); however, in relation to body weight, their total non-\( \beta \)-cell volume was not different from that of controls (6.5 ± 0.8 vs. 6.0 ± 0.5; \( P = 0.9 \)).

**Secondary alterations.** \( \text{INS}^{\text{C94Y}} \) transgenic pigs grew normally up to an age of 2 months; thereafter, their growth rate decreased, resulting in a 41\% lower body weight at the age of 4.5 months (Fig. 4A). The weights of most organs, including the pancreas, were proportionately reduced (data not shown). A notable exception was kidney weight, which was reduced by only 15\%. Thus, relative kidney weight was significantly increased (Fig. 4B). Further, the ratio of glomerular volume to body weight was significantly increased in 4.5-month-old \( \text{INS}^{\text{C94Y}} \) transgenic pigs.
FIG. 3. Reduced β-cell mass and ultrastructural changes in INS<sup>C94Y</sup> transgenic (tg) pigs. A: Immunohistochemical staining for insulin in 8-day-old pigs and double immunohistochemical staining for insulin (pink) and glucagon, somatostatin, and pancreatic polypeptide (brown) in 4.5-month-old pigs. Representative histological sections of pancreatic tissue from a control (wt) and an INS<sup>C94Y</sup> tg pig. Scale bar = 50 μm. B: Quantitative stereological analyses of the pancreas. Unaltered total β-cell volume (V(β-cell, Pan)) in 8-day-old INS<sup>C94Y</sup> tg pigs (n = 4 per group) and significant reduction of V(β-cell, Pan) in 4.5-month-old INS<sup>C94Y</sup> tg pigs (n = 7 per group) compared with littermate controls. Data are means ± SEM. ***P < 0.001 vs. control. C: Transmission electron microscopy of pancreatic tissue from a representative 8-day-old and 4.5-month-old INS<sup>C94Y</sup> tg and a littermate control (wt) pig. In 4.5-month-old INS<sup>C94Y</sup> tg pigs, only a few insulin granules (arrows) and severe dilation of endoplasmic reticulum (*) are visible, whereas in 8-day-old tg pigs insulin granules are present and dilation of the endoplasmic reticulum is less severe.
In this study, we established the first transgenic pig model of PNDM triggered by an INS mutation. Induction of insulin-deficient diabetes by other methods has substantial drawbacks. Chemical diabetes induction, e.g., by streptozotocin treatment, is hampered by variable outcomes, in- cluding age, sex, or individual differences in susceptibility or spontaneous reversal of diabetes (13). Pancreatectomy is highly invasive, time consuming, and expensive, and it requires supplementation of the pancreatic enzymes (14). In contrast, INS$_{C94Y}$ transgenic pigs have development of stable diabetes because of the lack of the autoimmune component. Unaltered blood glucose levels and body weight at birth suggest postnatal disturbance of $\beta$-cells, although impairment of (pro)insulin action to some extent during fetal development cannot be excluded. Like the Ins$_{2}$ mutant mouse models, the INS$_{C94Y}$ transgenic pig model does not reflect all disease mechanisms of human type 1 diabetes because of the lack of the autoimmune component. Importantly, INS$_{C94Y}$ transgenic pigs can be propagated by conventional breeding when treated with insulin. However, the costs for maintenance of pigs ($3.30 per animal and per day in our facility) and, in particular, of diabetic pigs ($12 per day) are markedly higher than for rodent models.

Expression of INS$_{C94Y}$ transcripts at a level of 75% of the endogenous INS mRNA was sufficient to cause hyperglycemia already in newborn transgenic pigs, whereas $\beta$-cell mass was initially unaltered. Nevertheless, structural alterations of $\beta$-cells suggested ER stress already at this early stage. At the age of 4.5 months, ratio of $\beta$-cell mass to body weight of transgenic pigs was significantly reduced and was associated with marked ultrastructural evidence for loss of insulin granules and ER stress. Because insulin staining was used to identify $\beta$-cells, we may have over-estimated the reduction of $\beta$-cell mass attributable to loss of insulin granules. Nevertheless, our findings indicate that the INS$_{C94Y}$ transgenic pig model reflects the disease mechanisms demonstrated in Ins$_{2}$ mutant mice and imposed in human PNDM. Binding affinity of INS$_{C94Y}$ might differ from endogenous INS, which possibly could have led to accompanying insulin resistance in cases of higher binding affinity of INS$_{C94Y}$ (15). However, in vitro studies using des mutants of human insulin showed that each of the three disulfide bonds is essential for receptor binding affinity, and that lack of the A7B7 interchain disulfide bond has the greatest impact on binding affinity (16). In addition, it remains to be clarified if INS$_{C94Y}$ is at all secreted from $\beta$-cells because in vitro studies suggest failure of INS$_{C94Y}$ to undergo exocytosis in response to glucose (17–19) and that mutant misfolded proinsulin also affects bystander proinsulin in the ER (4).

The growth rate of untreated INS$_{C94Y}$ transgenic pigs was markedly reduced as compared with controls. This is in line with stunted growth of diabetic children with insufficient insulin therapy (20) and underlines the essential role of insulin as growth factor and anabolic hormone. Whereas the weights of most organs of INS$_{C94Y}$ transgenic pigs revealed proportional reduction in body weight, the relative kidney weight was increased by 29%. Renal and glomerular hypertrophy is a common early-stage finding in diabetic patients (21); however, histopathological examination did not reveal alterations diagnostic for diabetic nephropathy in INS$_{C94Y}$ transgenic pigs. Whereas the thickness of the GBM is an early and sensitive indicator of diabetic kidney disease in mice (11), it takes years until

---

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we established the first transgenic pig model of PNDM triggered by an INS mutation. Induction of insulin-deficient diabetes by other methods has substantial drawbacks. Chemical diabetes induction, e.g., by streptozotocin treatment, is hampered by variable outcomes, including age, sex, or individual differences in susceptibility or spontaneous reversal of diabetes (13). Pancreatectomy is highly invasive, time consuming, and expensive, and it requires supplementation of the pancreatic enzymes (14). In contrast, INS$_{C94Y}$ transgenic pigs have development of stable diabetes because of the lack of the autoimmune component. Unaltered blood glucose levels and body weight at birth suggest postnatal disturbance of $\beta$-cells, although impairment of (pro)insulin action to some extent during fetal development cannot be excluded. Like the Ins$_{2}$ mutant mouse models, the INS$_{C94Y}$ transgenic pig model does not reflect all disease mechanisms of human type 1 diabetes because of the lack of the autoimmune component. Importantly, INS$_{C94Y}$ transgenic pigs can be propagated by conventional breeding when treated with insulin. However, the costs for maintenance of pigs ($3.30 per animal and per day in our facility) and, in particular, of diabetic pigs ($12 per day) are markedly higher than for rodent models.

Expression of INS$_{C94Y}$ transcripts at a level of 75% of the endogenous INS mRNA was sufficient to cause hyperglycemia already in newborn transgenic pigs, whereas $\beta$-cell mass was initially unaltered. Nevertheless, structural alterations of $\beta$-cells suggested ER stress already at this early stage. At the age of 4.5 months, ratio of $\beta$-cell mass to body weight of transgenic pigs was significantly reduced and was associated with marked ultrastructural evidence for loss of insulin granules and ER stress. Because insulin staining was used to identify $\beta$-cells, we may have over-estimated the reduction of $\beta$-cell mass attributable to loss of insulin granules. Nevertheless, our findings indicate that the INS$_{C94Y}$ transgenic pig model reflects the disease mechanisms demonstrated in Ins$_{2}$ mutant mice and imposed in human PNDM. Binding affinity of INS$_{C94Y}$ might differ from endogenous INS, which possibly could have led to accompanying insulin resistance in cases of higher binding affinity of INS$_{C94Y}$ (15). However, in vitro studies using des mutants of human insulin showed that each of the three disulfide bonds is essential for receptor binding affinity, and that lack of the A7B7 interchain disulfide bond has the greatest impact on binding affinity (16). In addition, it remains to be clarified if INS$_{C94Y}$ is at all secreted from $\beta$-cells because in vitro studies suggest failure of INS$_{C94Y}$ to undergo exocytosis in response to glucose (17–19) and that mutant misfolded proinsulin also affects bystander proinsulin in the ER (4).

The growth rate of untreated INS$_{C94Y}$ transgenic pigs was markedly reduced as compared with controls. This is in line with stunted growth of diabetic children with insufficient insulin therapy (20) and underlines the essential role of insulin as growth factor and anabolic hormone. Whereas the weights of most organs of INS$_{C94Y}$ transgenic pigs revealed proportional reduction in body weight, the relative kidney weight was increased by 29%. Renal and glomerular hypertrophy is a common early-stage finding in diabetic patients (21); however, histopathological examination did not reveal alterations diagnostic for diabetic nephropathy in INS$_{C94Y}$ transgenic pigs. Whereas the thickness of the GBM is an early and sensitive indicator of diabetic kidney disease in mice (11), it takes years until
thickening of the GBM can be detected in human diabetic patients, and even longer until clinical diabetic nephropathy is present (22). Therefore, it is not surprising that GBM thickness is still unaltered in 4.5-month-old INS\textsuperscript{C94Y} transgenic pigs. Future studies need to address if time or complicating factors such as high-protein diet or elevated blood pressure (23) will provoke kidney lesions in diabetic INS\textsuperscript{C94Y} transgenic pigs.

The lack of kidney pathology in young INS\textsuperscript{C94Y} transgenic pigs is in contrast to findings in a diabetic transgenic pig model expressing mutant hepatocyte nuclear factor-1\(\alpha\). Immature renal development and liver alterations were detected in two hepatocyte nuclear factor-1\(\alpha\) transgenic-cloned piglets, whereas two others revealed glomerular hypertrophy and sclerosis. Whether these lesions were attributable to the dominant-negative effects of mutant hepatocyte nuclear factor-1\(\alpha\), secondary changes attributable to chronic hyperglycemia, or anomalies associated with somatic cell nuclear transfer remains unclear (24). Structural changes in peripheral nerves possibly will develop with increasing age in INS\textsuperscript{C94Y} transgenic pigs because chronic sensorimotor diabetic polyneuropathy is highly dependent on diabetes duration in humans (25). Similar to humans, INS\textsuperscript{C94Y} transgenic pigs have development of remarkable cataracts, which never has been reported in Ins2 mutant mice. This might be related to a lower aldose reductase activity in lenses of mice compared with humans and rats (26). Therefore, pigs seem to be a favorable model for diabetic cataracts, although the underlying molecular mechanism has to be clarified.

A number of interesting questions can be addressed with this novel pig model for PNDM. A genetically diabetic pig model facilitates testing the functionality of transplanted pancreatic islets, e.g., after microencapsulation or in a specific macrodevice (27), in an organism of human size. Also, INS\textsuperscript{C94Y} transgenic pigs seem to be a valuable model for preclinical testing of novel treatment strategies to improve glucose control. The reproducible loss of \(\beta\)-cells makes the INS\textsuperscript{C94Y} transgenic pig an attractive model for the development of in vivo imaging techniques to monitor \(\beta\)-cell mass. Developmental consequences of maternal diabetes on oocytes and embryos and their interaction with the maternal environment are other interesting research topics. Because of the similarities in oocyte maturation and early development of human and pig embryos (28), the INS\textsuperscript{C94Y} transgenic pig is an attractive model to address these questions.
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