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Abstract

We derive explicit expressions for the conformal blocks of the Ising conformal field theory for the correlators of an arbitrary number of primary fields. These results are obtained from the bosonized description of the Ising model. Interestingly, correlators involving Majorana fermions can be obtained in two different ways, giving rise to identities between the ‘bosonic’ and ‘fermionic’ description of these correlators. These identities are generalizations of the famous Cauchy identity. The conformal blocks of the Ising model are used to derive the expression for the conformal blocks of the $su(2)_2$ WZW conformal field theory.

PACS numbers: 11.25.Hf, 05.30.Pr

1. Introduction

To completely specify a conformal field theory, one has to provide some data, including the central charge, the scaling dimensions of the primary fields, their fusion rules and so on. However, from this data, it is far from trivial to calculate the arbitrary correlation functions of (primary) fields. In general, it is a daunting task to obtain correlation functions of more than four fields. Of course, in the case of free fields (such as a chiral boson or a Majorana fermion), one can use Wick’s theorem to calculate correlation functions of an arbitrary number of fields. In almost all other cases, this seems to be utterly impossible. A notable exception is the spin field $\sigma$ (with the scaling dimension $h_\sigma = 1/16$), present in the (chiral) Ising conformal field theory. This theory can be bosonized, which allows one to calculate the correlation function of an arbitrary (even) number of $\sigma$ fields, and express it in a completely explicit form.

The Ising conformal field theory is relevant for various systems, which in recent years have attracted a lot of attention in condensed matter physics. Apart from the fractional quantum Hall effect, which we will introduce shortly, there are several examples. Notable are the $p + ip$-type superconductors, whose vortices have Majorana-zero modes [1]. Kitaev introduced the ‘Majorana wire’ [2], a one-dimensional wire, which harbors Majorana fermions at the endpoints. In recent proposals [3], these Majorana fermions are braided around each other, which hopefully leads to the detection of non-Abelian statistics. Kitaev’s famous model
of interacting spin-1/2 particles on the honeycomb lattice [4] has, in the presence of a magnetic field, a gapped phase, whose excitations are of the Ising type. Several ways of realizing such a model have been proposed, see for instance [5]. Finally, we mention a new class of materials, the topological insulators. Fu and Kane [6] proposed a system of a 3D topological insulator, coated with an s-wave superconductor, resulting a chiral p-wave superconductor, harboring Majorana bound states at its vortices.

To answer the question why one would be interested in correlation functions of an arbitrary number of $\sigma$ fields, we take an excursion to the quantum Hall effect. The quantum Hall effect observed at the filling fraction $\nu = \frac{5}{2}$ is attributed to the formation of the Moore–Read quantum Hall state [7]. Theoretically, this quantum Hall state is ‘constructed’ from the chiral Ising conformal field theory (combined with a compactified chiral boson). The operator creating an electron consists of a Majorana fermion, combined with a vertex operator of the chiral boson, which is associated with the charge of the electron. The wavefunction obtained in this way contains a Pfaffian factor (coming from the Majorana fermions). The operator creating an excitation (or quasi-hole) contains the spin-field $\sigma$, apart from a vertex operator. The recent excitement about the $\nu = \frac{5}{2}$ quantum Hall effect originates in the properties of these $\sigma$ fields, which (hopefully) describe the excitations of this state.

Most importantly, the $\sigma$ field has non-trivial fusion rules. That is, upon fusion of two $\sigma$’s, there are two possible outcomes: $\sigma \times \sigma = 1 + \psi$. The other fusion rules read $\sigma \times \psi = \sigma$ and $\psi \times \psi = 1$. For a correlator to be non-zero, it is necessary that all field can be fused to the identity 1. In the case of four $\sigma$ fields, this can be done in two independent ways. As a result, the correlator of four $\sigma$’s ‘stands for’ two conformal blocks (CBs).

On the level of the quantum Hall effect, this has the following interpretation. Creating four excitations in the Moore–Read state (for instance, by increasing the magnetic field) can give two different results. These two different states differ in their topological properties. It has been proposed to use these different states as states of a qubit, which inherently is protected from decoherence by the environment, due to its topological nature [8, 9]. Braiding the quasi-holes around each other has the effect of acting by unitary matrices. These matrices have been shown not to commute, which underlies the nomenclature of the ‘non-Abelian’ quantum Hall state.

To observe effects of non-Abelian statistics, one has to do a measurement on the $\nu = \frac{5}{2}$ quantum Hall state. Most measurements in the quantum Hall effect involve some kind of (charge) transport measurement. In the quantum Hall effect, the charge is transported via the edge states, which are described with an (edge) conformal field theory. The charge and statistics of excitations can be probed by measuring the response of the so-called point contacts, which are constrictions, in which two edges are brought close together, allowing particles to tunnel from one edge to another. By measuring the shot-noise in a single point contact, the fractional charge of the excitations of the $\nu = \frac{5}{2}$ Laughlin state has been confirmed [10]. To get a hand on the statistics properties is harder, but attempts in that direction have been made in double point contact ‘interferometers’ [11], trying, amongst other things, to observe the predicted ‘even–odd effect’ for the $\nu = 5/2$ state [12].

To calculate the response of these constricted geometries, one uses the edge state formalism, expressing the response in terms of conformal field theory correlators. In the non-Abelian case, such a program has been carried out for single and double point contacts [13, 14]. In those calculations, one ends up with (to lowest order in perturbation theory) four-point functions. These four-point functions are known for most conformal field theories. However, in going to different geometries, or in higher order in perturbation theory, one will encounter higher order correlators. For ‘free’ theories, such as the compactified $u(1)$ chiral boson theories, these correlators are well known. As indicated above, it is extremely hard to
find such correlators for arbitrary conformal field theories. The notable (and physically very relevant) exception is the Ising conformal field theory. In this paper, we will explicitly give the (chiral) correlators of an arbitrary (even) number of $\sigma$ fields, and an arbitrary number of $\psi$ fields.

Another use of the chiral correlators to which this paper is devoted in the context of quantum Hall wavefunctions is the issue of the effect of braiding non-Abelian particles around each other. It has been conjectured that if one expresses the wavefunctions in terms of CBs, one only needs to consider the monodromies of the CBs, while the Berry phase does not give a contribution to the (non-Abelian) statistics [7]. For the Abelian Laughlin state, this follows from an explicit Berry phase calculation [15]. Progress in proving this conjecture in the non-Abelian case was made in [16–18] and more recently in [19] and [20]. Having access to the explicit expressions presented in this paper might facilitate such calculations in a more general setting.

The knowledge of the Ising chiral correlators has yet another application which is the computation of the CBs of the WZW model based on the $su(2)_{1}$ current algebra. These correlators will also be given in this paper using the fact that this WZW model can be expressed as the product of the Ising model times a compactified boson. In the case of the $su(2)_{1}$ WZW model there is a unique chiral correlator involving an arbitrary number of spin 1/2 primary fields. This correlator has a Jastrow-type form which, interestingly enough, gives the ground state of the Haldane–Shastry Hamiltonian for a spin 1/2 chain with inverse square exchange interactions [21, 22]. In a similar way, the $su(2)_{2}$ WZW chiral correlators can be used to construct the ground states of a non-Abelian version of the Haldane–Shastry model [23]. These results suggest an interesting analogy between spin systems and fractional quantum Hall systems having a common conformal field theory underlying structure.

We will conclude this introduction by going back to the early days of conformal field theory. Shortly after the seminal paper of Belavin, Polyakov and Zamolodchikov [24], Dotsenko and Fateev expressed the correlation functions of arbitrary minimal models, in terms of contour integrals [25, 26], based on the Coulomb gas formalism. Despite these expressions, in many calculations it is advantageous to use more explicit expressions. The connection between the integral formulation of Dotsenko and Fateev and the expressions presented here is an interesting problem.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we will review some basic properties of the Ising conformal field theory and give some simple correlators as examples. In section 3, we will show how one can obtain a simple explicit form of the correlator of $2n\sigma$-fields, by using a bosonized form of the CBs.

Section 4 contains the results on the arbitrary CBs of the Ising model. These blocks are obtained in two different ways, giving rise to a curious set of identities between bosonic and fermionic forms of these CBs. In section 5, we present a different form of the CBs which will be useful in future applications. Finally, before we conclude, we use the results obtained in the earlier sections, to present the arbitrary CBs of the WZW theory based on the $su(2)_{2}$ current algebra in section 6.

### 2. Some preliminaries on the Ising conformal field theory

The critical Ising model is the simplest conformal field theory (CFT) amongst the ‘minimal’ model CFTs studied by Belavin, Polyakov and Zamolodchikov [24]. This theory has three primary fields $I$, $\epsilon$ and $\sigma$, whose conformal dimensions $(h, \bar{h})$ are $(0, 0)$, $(1/2, 1/2)$ and

---

3 We were informed by the authors of [20] that they also obtained the Ising correlators as we present here.
The fusion rules read
\[
\begin{align*}
1 \times 1 &= 1 \\
1 \times \sigma &= \sigma \\
\sigma \times \sigma &= 1 + \sigma \\
1 \times \varepsilon &= \varepsilon \\
\sigma \times \varepsilon &= \sigma \\
\varepsilon \times \varepsilon &= 1,
\end{align*}
\]
or in terms of the fusion coefficients,
\[
N_{11} = N_{1\sigma} = N_{\sigma 1} = N_{\sigma \sigma} = N_{\varepsilon \sigma} = N_{\varepsilon \varepsilon} = 1,
\]
where \(x\) stands for any of the fields, and all the other coefficients are zero.

These rules imply that the number of CBs involved in a correlator of an (even) number \(2n\) primary fields \(\sigma\) and \(N\) primary fields \(\varepsilon\) is \(2^{n-1}\). The field \(\varepsilon\) can be written as the product of a chiral Majorana fermion \(\psi(z)\) and an antichiral Majorana fermion \(\bar{\psi}(\bar{z})\), i.e. \(\varepsilon(z, \bar{z}) = \psi(z)\bar{\psi}(\bar{z})\). The CBs are the building blocks of the non-chiral correlation functions (see below), and we will denote them by
\[
F_{2n,N}^m(v_1, \ldots, v_{2n}, z_1, \ldots, z_N) = \langle \sigma(v_1) \cdots \sigma(v_{2n})\psi(z_1)\cdots\psi(z_N) \rangle_m.
\]

Here, the vector \(m\) of length \(n\) labels the CB. The \(i\)th entry of \(m\) specifies the fusion channel of the fields \(\sigma(v_{2i-1})\) and \(\sigma(v_{2i})\). If \(m_i = 0\), they fuse to the trivial particle \(1\), while for \(m_i = 1\), they fuse to \(\psi\). Naively, this gives rise to \(2^n\) different labels for the CBs, but for the CB to be non-zero, there have to be an even number of \(m_i = 1\). We will find that the explicit expressions we will give below do in fact not depend on \(m\), so we indeed obtain the right number \(2^{n-1}\) of different CBs.

In this paper, we will give two essentially different forms for the CBs of an arbitrary number of \(\sigma\) fields \(2n\) and an arbitrary number \(N\) of fields \(\psi\). From these CBs, one can obtain the full, non-chiral correlation functions as follows:
\[
(\sigma(v_1, v_1) \cdots \sigma(v_{2n}, v_{2n})\varepsilon(z_1, \bar{z}_1) \cdots \varepsilon(z_N, \bar{z}_N)) = \sum_m F_{2n,N}^m \bar{F}_{2n,N}^m,
\]
where \(\bar{F}_{2n,N}^m\) is the complex conjugate of \(F_{2n,N}^m\). In the remainder of the paper, we will mainly be concerned with the chiral CBs.

Belavin, Polyakov and Zamolodchikov derived a set of second-order differential equations, whose solutions are the CBs \(F_{2n,N}^m\), which take the form
\[
\begin{align*}
\left(4 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial v_a^2} - \sum_{b \neq a} \frac{1}{(v_a - v_b)^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_b} - \sum_i \frac{1}{(v_a - z_i)^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \right) F_{2n,N}^m &= 0 \\
\left(3 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_i^2} - \sum_a \frac{1}{(z_i - v_a)^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} - \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{1}{(z_i - z_j)^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} \right) \bar{F}_{2n,N}^m &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

We will frequently make use of the (chiral) operator product expansion (OPE), which describes the behavior of two fields in the limit they approach each other and reflect the fusion rules (1). In particular, we have
\[
\sigma(z)\sigma(w) \sim \frac{1}{(z - w)^{1/8}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(z - w)^{3/8}\psi(w).
\]
\[ \psi(z)\psi(w) \sim \frac{1}{(z-w)}. \]  

The factor \( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \) stems from the constant \( C_{\sigma \sigma} = \frac{1}{2} \) which appears in the OPE of the non-chiral fields.

\[ \sigma(z, \overline{z})\sigma(w, \overline{w}) \sim \frac{1}{|z-w|^{1/4}} + C_{\sigma \sigma}|z-w|^{3/4}\varepsilon(w, \overline{w}). \]

We note that these OPE coefficients can be calculated from (four-point) correlators, which are specified by the differential equations they satisfy. The constant \( C_{\sigma \sigma} = 1 \) normalizes the fields \( \sigma \).

Before we start with the correlator of an arbitrary (even) number of fields, we will first deal with the simpler two- and four-point correlators. The two-point correlators (as well as the three-point correlators) are determined by global conformal symmetry. In particular, we have

\[ \mathcal{F}^{2,0} = \langle \sigma(v_1)\sigma(v_2) \rangle = \frac{1}{(v_1-v_2)^{1/8}} = v_{12}^{-1/8}, \]

where we introduced the notation \( v_{12} = v_1 - v_2 \), and the exponent is given by \( -2h_{\sigma} \).

The four-point correlators are not completely determined by global conformal symmetry. However, one can always make a transformation, which transforms the variables \( (v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4) \) to \( (x, 0, 1, \infty) \), where \( x = \frac{v_2 v_3}{v_1 v_4} \) is the cross-ratio. The partial differential equations for the four-point function transform into an ordinary differential equation, which is in general much easier to solve. Typically, this gives rise to hypergeometric functions, but in the case of the spin field of the Ising CFT, the result is simpler:

\[ \mathcal{F}^{4,0} = \langle \sigma(v_1)\sigma(v_2)\sigma(v_3)\sigma(v_4) \rangle_{m} \]

\[ \quad = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(v_1 - v_2)^{-3/4}(v_3 - v_4)^{-1/4} \sqrt{(1-x)^{1/4} + (-1)^{m_2}(1-x)^{-1/4}}, \]

where \( m \) labels the two CBs, the possible values being \( (0, 0) \) and \( (1, 1) \), for pairwise fusion of the \( \sigma \) fields to the \( \mathbf{1} \) and \( \psi \) channel, respectively. Note that the expression does not depend on \( m_1 \). The overall factor is fixed by the requirement that in the limit \( v_2 \to v_1, v_4 \to v_3 \), one obtains, by making use of the OPE, the value 1 for the correlator in the case \( m = (0, 0) \). The same limit gives rise to the correlator \( \langle \psi(v_1)\psi(v_3) \rangle = \frac{1}{v_1-v_3} \) in the case \( m = (1, 1) \).

### 3. The 2n-point \( \sigma \) correlator

In this section, we will present a particular simple form of the correlator of an arbitrary even number of \( \sigma \) fields. This will allow us to use the operator product expansion to obtain expressions for CBs of an arbitrary (even) number of \( \sigma \) fields and an arbitrary number of \( \psi \) fields. We will obtain these correlators in both a ‘bosonic’ and ‘fermionic’ form, giving rise to a curious set of functional identities, some of which appear to be new. It is well known that one can bosonize the Ising model [27], which allows one to obtain the correlation functions. By starting from the results of [27], Fendley, Fisher and Nayak [13] provide a systematic way of writing down the chiral 2n-point correlation functions of \( \sigma \)-fields, in the representation in which the \( \sigma \) fields are pairwise in a definite fusion channel, in terms of a bosonized correlator, which we will give below. We will take this expression as our starting point to obtain the results presented in this paper.

To be able to write the CBs in a compact way, we define the following cross-ratios. First we pair the variables \( (v_{2j-1}, v_{2j}) \), and for each of the pairs, we introduce

\[ x_{i,j} = \frac{(v_{2i-1} - v_{2i})(v_{2j-1} - v_{2j})}{(v_{2i-1} - v_{2j})(v_{2j-1} - v_{2i})}. \]
for $i, j = 1, \ldots, n$ and the $v_i$ are the locations of the $\sigma$ fields. So for four fields, we have one cross-ratio, consistent with global conformal transformations. What might be a little surprising is that we introduce, for $2n$ large enough, more cross-ratios than there are variables, namely $n(n - 1)/2$ of them. Even though in general not all of these cross-ratios are independent, the expressions for the correlators are rather simple in terms of this over complete set of cross-ratios.

Before we explicitly give the form of the $2n$-point correlators, we should point out again that there are $2^{n-1}$ fusion channels. We will label these fusion channels by a vector with $n$ entries, namely $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, m_2, m_3, \ldots, m_n)$; there the $m_i$ take the values 0 or 1. The expression for the $2n$-point $\sigma$ correlator we present below does not depend on the value of $m_1$. Thus, we indeed have the correct number of independent CBs. We will start by giving the expression of the correlation function.

The correlator of the vertex operators of the chiral boson $\phi$:

$$\langle \sigma(v_1)\sigma(v_2)\cdots \sigma(v_{2n}) \rangle_{\mathbf{m}} \propto \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left( e^{i\phi(v_{2i-1}) - \phi(v_{2i})}/2 + (-1)^{m_i} e^{-i\phi(v_{2i-1}) - \phi(v_{2i})}/2 \right) \right\}^{\mathbf{m}}. \tag{13}$$

The correlator of the vertex operators of the chiral boson $\phi$ can easily be evaluated by making use of the result

$$\langle e^{ia_1\phi(v_1)} \cdots e^{ia_n\phi(v_n)} \rangle = \prod_{i<j} (v_i - v_j)^{a_i a_j} \quad \text{when} \quad \sum_i a_i = 0. \tag{14}$$

This correlator vanishes when $\sum_i a_i \neq 0$. Swapping the signs of all the $a_i$ does not change the correlation function.

By using the result (13) of [13], we obtain the following expression for the $2n$-point correlator:

$$\langle \sigma(v_1)\sigma(v_2)\cdots \sigma(v_{2n}) \rangle_{\mathbf{m}} = 2^{\frac{n}{2}(n-1)} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (v_{2i-1} - v_{2i})^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\times \sum_{t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n = -1, 1} \prod_{i=1}^{n} t_{i}^{m_i} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left( 1 - x_{i,j} \right)^{t_i t_j} \tag{15}$$

where the normalization is fixed by requiring that for $\mathbf{m} = (0, 0, \ldots, 0)$, the correlator reduces to 1 if one fuses all pairs $(2i - 1, 2i)$ of $\sigma$-fields ($i = 1, \ldots, n$). The correlator $\langle \sigma(v_1)\sigma(v_2) \rangle = (v_1 - v_2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ gives the normalization of the $\sigma$-field. Also note that the correlator (15) does not depend on the value of $m_1$, which reduces the number of independent correlators of $2^{n-1}$.

Let us first use the above expression with $\mathbf{m} = (1, 1, \ldots, 1)$ to reduce a correlator of $2n$ $\sigma$-fields (with $2n$ a multiple of 4) to a correlator of $n \psi$-fields, which is just the Pfaffian. In doing so, we will make use of the operator product expansion (OPE)

$$\lim_{v_2 \to v_1} \sigma(v_1)\sigma(v_2) = (v_1 - v_2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} 1 + (v_1 - v_2)^{\frac{3}{2}} C_{\sigma,\sigma}^{\psi} \sigma(v_1) + \text{higher order terms}, \tag{16}$$

with the (chiral) OPE coefficient $C_{\sigma,\sigma}^{\psi} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}$.

Using this OPE several times, by taking the appropriate limits, namely $v_{2i} \to v_{2i-1}$, for $i = 1, \ldots, n$ we find that the correlator (equation (15)) with $\mathbf{m} = (1, 1, \ldots, 1)$ reduces to (after a relabeling of the variables $v_{2i-1} \to z_i$, with $i = 1, \ldots, n$, and $N = n$)

$$\langle \psi(z_1)\psi(z_2)\cdots \psi(z_N) \rangle = \sqrt{\text{Hf} \left( \frac{1}{(z_i - \bar{z}_i)^2} \right)}. \tag{17}$$
where $Hf(M)$ denotes the Haffnian of a symmetric $N \times N$ matrix $M$ and is given by

$$Hf(M) = \frac{1}{\Sigma_{n=1}^{N/2}} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \prod_{i=1}^{N/2} M_{\sigma(2i-1), \sigma(2i)}.$$ 

In other words, the Haffnian of a matrix $M_{\sigma}$ is obtained by summing over all different ways of pairing the indices, where each term contributes a factor $M_{\sigma(2i-1), \sigma(2i)} \cdots M_{\sigma(2n-1), \sigma(2n)}$.

To convince oneself that equation (17) follows from equation (15) by making use of the OPE we note that

$$
\lim_{v_{2i} \to v_{2i-1}, x_{i,j} \to 0} \left( C^J_{\sigma, \sigma} \right)^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (v_{2i-1} - v_{2i})^{-1/2} \langle \sigma(v_1) \sigma(v_2) \cdots \sigma(v_{2n}) \rangle_{(1,1,\ldots,1)}
$$

$$
= \lim_{v_{2i} \to v_{2i-1}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (v_{2i-1} - v_{2i})^{-1/2} \left[ \sum_{t_{ij}=1}^{n} \prod_{i=1}^{n} t_{ij} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} \left( 1 - \frac{t_{ij}}{4} x_{i,j} \right) \right],
$$

(18)

because in the limit $v_{2i} \to v_{2i-1}, x_{i,j} \to 0$. Now, in the expansion of $\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} (1 - t_{ij} x_{i,j} / 4)$, only those terms which contain all indices on $x$ once (and only once) survive in the limit. If an index $i$ appears more than once, the term will vanish, because we get an overall contribution proportional to at least $(v_{2i-1} - v_{2i})^{1/2}$, which vanishes in the limit. If an index $i$ does not appear in the expansion of $\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} (1 - t_{ij} x_{i,j} / 4)$, then there will be a similar term in the sum over the $t_{ij}$’s, but with the opposite overall sign (namely, if the index $i \neq 1$, it is the term in which $t_{ij}$ has the opposite value; for $i = 1$, it is the term in which all $t_{ij}$ have the opposite value). Thus, we conclude that only those terms in which each index appears once and only once will be present. It is not difficult to convince oneself that all these terms have a positive sign (because the $t_{ij}$ will enter as $t_{ij}^2$). In the terms present, the numerator of the $x_{i,j}$ present in the expansion will be canceled by the prefactor $\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} (v_{2i-1} - v_{2i})^{-1/2}$ (thus making the limit well defined). The denominators which remain give rise to the Haffnian. In the limit, the denominators of the term $x_{i,j} x_{k,l} \ldots$ give rise to factors $1 / (v_{2i-1} - v_{2j-1})^2 1 / (v_{2k-1} - v_{2l-1})^2 \ldots$. Because in the expansion of $\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} (1 - t_{ij} x_{i,j} / 4)$ only terms in which all indices appear once and only once are present, we end up with an (unsigned) sum over all possible ways of picking pairs $v_{2i-1}, v_{2j-1}$, and for each pair, we have a factor $1 / (v_{2i-1} - v_{2j-1})^2$. This is precisely the Haffnian $Hf\left(\frac{1}{(v_{2i-1} - v_{2j-1})^2}\right)$. It is not difficult to check that the overall factors of 2 work out as well. The OPE coefficients $C^J_{\sigma, \sigma} = 1 / \sqrt{2}$ give rise to a factor $2^{3}$ because the factors of 1/4 in products contribute $2^{-3}$ (after taking the square root). Finally, the sum over the $t_{ij}$ gives a factor of $2^{3/2}$. Combining all this with the prefactor $2^{3/2}$, we indeed find that the factors of 2 cancel.

To show that the reduction described above gives rise to the Pfaffian $Pf\left(\frac{1}{(\xi_j - \xi_j)}\right)$, we note a famous identity between the Pfaffian and Haffnian, namely

$$
\left[ Pf\left(\frac{1}{(\xi_j - \xi_j)}\right) \right]^2 = Hf\left(\frac{1}{(\xi_j - \xi_j)^2}\right),
$$

(19)

where Pf$(A)$ denotes the Pfaffian of an anti-symmetric $N \times N$ matrix $A$, and is given by

$$Pf(A) = \frac{1}{\Sigma_{n=1}^{N^2/2}} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \prod_{i=1}^{N^2/2} \text{sign}(\sigma) A_{\sigma(i), \sigma(2i-1)} \sigma(2i), \sigma(2i-1)).$$

This identity follows from the Cauchy identity.

**4. Correlators of an arbitrary number of $\sigma$’s and $\psi$’s**

We will now continue with the description of the CBs of both $\sigma$ and $\psi$ fields. Such correlators were known for two [7] and four [16] $\sigma$ fields, and an arbitrary number of $\psi$ fields. Because
we have an explicit result for the correlator of an arbitrary (even) number of \( \sigma \) fields, we can also obtain the mixed correlators of an arbitrary number of \( \psi \) fields and an arbitrary even number of \( \sigma \) fields, by making use of the OPE. We will derive these results explicitly for two \( \sigma \) fields and an arbitrary number of \( \psi \) fields. For the other cases, we will merely state the (somewhat cumbersome) results.

Apart from the method sketched above, there is another way to obtain the arbitrary CBs of the Ising model, which uses the approach of \cite{16} (see \cite{28} for a generalization of the results of \cite{16} to \( \mathbb{Z}_4 \) parafermions and parafermions based on \( su(3)_2 \)). In this approach, one constructs the blocks by starting from the \( \psi \) correlators, with the correct (polynomial) dependence of the coordinates of the \( \sigma \) particles built in. There are \( 2^{n-1} \) such functions which are linearly independent, and one considers a general linear combination, where the ‘coefficients’ will depend on the coordinates of the \( \sigma \) fields (we note that a ‘manifestly’ independent set is given in \cite{29}). These ‘coefficients’ are obtained by performing appropriate fusions, to obtain already known correlators. In this way, one constructs a set of \( 2^{n-1} \) independent functions, which have the right degree, zero and pole structure. This constitutes a proof that the functions obtained are indeed the CBs of the Ising model. We refer to \cite{16, 28} for more details on this approach.

Thus, we can obtain different, but necessarily equivalent, expressions for the correlators, generalizing the Haffnian–Pfaffian identity (19). We will refer to the expressions obtained by using the bosonization procedure (i.e., those containing the ‘Haffnians’) as the bosonic form, while we refer to the expressions obtained by the procedure outlined in the previous paragraph (i.e., those which will contain the ‘Pfaffians’) as the fermionic form.

In the context of the Moore–Read state, this identity for two \( \sigma \) fields already noted in \cite{7}, while for more \( \sigma \)’s, these identities appear to be new.

### 4.1. The case of two \( \sigma \) fields

The case of two \( \sigma \) fields and an arbitrary number of \( \psi \) fields is the last example we will deal with in some detail; the results for the remaining cases will be simply stated. The arguments are completely equivalent, however.

We consider a correlator of \( 2 + 2N \) \( \sigma \)-fields, with \( m = (0, 1, 1, \ldots, 1) \). We fuse all but the first two fields in pairs. The result is

\[
\langle \sigma(v_1)\sigma(v_2)\psi(z_1) \cdots \psi(z_N) \rangle
= 2^{-\frac{N}{2}}(v_1 - v_2)^{-\frac{i}{4}} \sum_l 2^{\nu_l} \left( \frac{1}{\prod (z_i - z_j)^2} \right) \frac{1}{\prod_j (v_1 - v_2)(v_1 - z_j)}.
\]  

(20)

where \( N \) is even and the sum is over all subsets of \( \{1, 2, \ldots, N\} \), containing an even number of elements \( |I| \). The set \( \tilde{I} \) (containing \( \tilde{I} \) elements) is equal to \( \{1, 2, \ldots, N\} \setminus I \).

We will now briefly describe how this result can be obtained. Even though we will not take the limit \( v_2 \to v_1 \), we still have that all \( x_{i,j} \to 0 \) in the limit \( v_2 \to v_2-1 \) for \( i = 2, \ldots, N+1 \). As before, we have the result that in the expansion of \( \prod (1 - t_i x_{i,j}/4) \), any index \( i > 1 \) must appear once and only once. This implies that we will have an even number \( r \) of factors \( t_i x_{1,i} \), which in the limit give rise to a factor \( (v_1 - v_2)^r / \prod (v_1 - v_2-1)(v_2 - v_2-1) \). The remaining factors \( t_i x_{1,i} \) give rise to a Haffnian in the ‘remaining’ variables, in the same way as in the previous section. Let us check the factors of 2. Both the OPE coefficients and the sum over the \( t_i \) give a factor of \( 2^{N/2} \). The number of factors of \( x \) depends on \( r \), which results in an overall factor of \( 2^{(N-r)/2} \). Combined with the two factors \( 2^{N/2} \), this gives the factor \( 2^{r/2} \) in the square root. The prefactor \( 2^{-N/2} \) originates in the prefactor in (15).
Due to an identity already noted in [7], namely
\[
\sum_I 2^{\#I}(v_1 - v_2)^{N-\#I}\left(\frac{1}{(z_j - z_j)^2}\right) \prod_{j \in I}(z_j - v_1)(z_j - v_2) = \left[ \text{Pf}\left(\frac{(z_i - v_1)(z_j - v_2) + (z_i - v_2)(z_j - v_1)}{z_i - z_j}\right) \right]^2,
\]
equation (20) reduces to the result which normally appears in the literature, namely
\[
\langle \psi(v_1)\psi(v_2)\psi(z_1)\psi(z_N) \rangle = 2^{-\frac{N}{2}}(v_1 - v_2)^{-\frac{N}{2}} \prod_{\sigma_1 \neq \sigma_2}(z_{\sigma_1} - z_{\sigma_2})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text{Pf}\left(\frac{(z_i - v_1)(z_j - v_2) + (z_i - v_2)(z_j - v_1)}{z_i - z_j}\right).
\]

We can repeat the above exercise for \(2 + 2N\), with \(N\) an odd integer. This means that the first two \(\sigma\)-fields now also should fuse to a \(\psi\). It turns out that in this case, the correlator takes exactly the form (20), but now with \(N\) being an odd integer. Note that in this case, all the terms in the square root are proportional to \((v_1 - v_2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\), which is not the case for \(N\) even. It is not that difficult to generalize the relation (21) to an odd number of \(z\) variables as well, namely for \(N\) being an odd integer, we have
\[
\sum_I 2^{\#I}(v_1 - v_2)^{N-\#I-1}\left(\frac{1}{(z_j - z_j)^2}\right) \prod_{j \in I}(z_j - v_1)(z_j - v_2) = \left[ \sum_{m=1}^{N} (-1)^m \text{Pf}_{\sigma \neq \mu}(z_{\sigma_1} - z_{\sigma_2})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text{Pf}\left(\frac{(z_i - v_1)(z_j - v_2) + (z_i - v_2)(z_j - v_1)}{z_i - z_j}\right) \right]^2.
\]

4.2. The case of four \(\sigma\) fields

Before we give the most general result, we will first check if we can reproduce the result obtained in [16] for the correlator of four \(\sigma\)-fields and an arbitrary even number of \(\psi\)-fields. Using contractions of equation (15), we find the following, somewhat involved, expression:
\[
\langle \psi(v_1)\psi(v_2)\sigma(v_1)\sigma(v_2)\psi(z_1)\psi(z_N) \rangle = 2^{-\frac{N}{2}}(v_1 - v_2)^{-\frac{N}{2}}(v_3 - v_2)^{-\frac{N}{2}} \prod_{\sigma_1 \neq \sigma_2}(z_{\sigma_1} - z_{\sigma_2})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text{Pf}\left(\frac{(v_k - z_i)(v_k - z_j)(v_k - z_j)(v_k - z_i) + (v_k - z_i)(v_k - z_j)(v_k - z_i)(v_k - z_i)}{z_i - z_j}\right).
\]

Some remarks are in order here. As above, the sum over \(I\) is over all subsets of \(\{1, \ldots, N\}\) with an even number of elements, while the sum over the sets \(\tilde{I}_1\) and \(\tilde{I}_2\) is over all possible ways to divide the set \(\{1, \ldots, N\}\) into two sets, whose order is important.

In [16], the case of four \(\sigma\)’s and an arbitrary (but even) number of \(\psi\)’s was obtained differently. The expression obtained makes use of the following functions:
\[
\Psi_{\{k_1k_2\};\{k_3k_4\}} = \text{Pf}\left(\frac{(v_k - z_i)(v_k - z_i)(v_k - z_j)(v_k - z_j) + (v_k - z_i)(v_k - z_j)(v_k - z_i)(v_k - z_i)}{z_i - z_j}\right).
\]
The three functions $\Psi_{12(34)}$, $\Psi_{13(24)}$, and $\Psi_{14(23)}$ are not independent but satisfy $\Psi_{14(23)} = x\Psi_{12(34)} + (1 - x)\Psi_{13(24)}$. In terms of these functions, we can write the correlator (24) in the following form:

$$
\langle \sigma(v_1)\sigma(v_2)\sigma(v_3)\sigma(v_4)\psi(z_1) \cdots \psi(z_N) \rangle_m
$$

$$
= 2^{-n/2} (v_1 - v_2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (v_3 - v_4)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{N} (v_i - z_j)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left( (1 - x)^{\frac{1}{4}} + \frac{(-1)^{m_j}}{(1 - x)^{\frac{1}{4}}} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}
\times \left( (1 - x)^{\frac{1}{4}} \Psi_{13(24)} + (-1)^{m_j}(1 - x)^{\frac{1}{4}} \Psi_{14(23)} \right).
$$

(26)

We should point out that (24) and (26) differ by at most a sign.

4.3. The case of an arbitrary even number of $\sigma$ fields

We will now provide an expression for the CBs of $2n$ $\sigma$-fields and $N$ $\psi$-fields, with both $N$ and $n$ integers. We first give the expression and explain the notation afterward:

$$
\langle \sigma(v_1) \cdots \sigma(v_{2n})\psi(z_1) \cdots \psi(z_N) \rangle_m = 2^{-\frac{x_N - x_{\psi}}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (v_{2i-1} - v_{2i})^{-\frac{1}{2}}
\times \left[ \sum_{l} 2^{2l} \left( \frac{1}{(z_i - z_j)^2} \right) \right]^n \sum_{l_{i,j}, \ldots, l_{2n}} A_{m}^{(m+r)} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (v_{2i-1} - v_{2i})^{r_i}
$$

(27)

In the formula above, we used the following notation. First of all, the sum over $I$ is over all subsets of $\{1, \ldots, N\}$ with an even number of elements. The sum over $l_{1}, \ldots, l_{2n}$ is over all different ways of dividing the set $\{1, \ldots, N\} \setminus I$ into $n$ subsets, which might be empty, and the order of these sets is important. Furthermore, $r_i = |l_i|$ is the number of elements of $l_i$ and $r = (r_1, \ldots, r_n)$. We use the convention that $\sum_{i} m_i = N \mod 2$, and the vector $(m + r) \mod 2$ has elements $(m_1 + r_1) \mod 2, \ldots, (m_n + r_n) \mod 2$. The function $A_{m}^{(m+r)}$ is closely related to the correlator of $2n$ $\sigma$ fields

$$
A_{m}^{(m+r)} = \prod_{t_i=1}^{t_n} \prod_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} (1 - x_{t_i})^{\frac{v_{t_i}}{x_{t_i}}}.
$$

(28)

Finally, we introduced the notation $\delta_{n>0}$, which is 1 if $n > 0$, and zero for $n = 0$.

It is not to difficult to generalize equation (26) to an arbitrary (but for now, even) number of $\psi$ fields. To do so, we start with the ‘preferred basis’ for the functions $\Psi$, as described in [16]. Nayak and Wilczek label the $2^{n-1}$ functions with two $n$ tuples, which have the property that $2i - 1$ and $2i$ are never in the same tuple. Note that the order of the two tuples is irrelevant. For us, it will be convenient to label the functions $\Psi$ by the vector $t = (t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n)$. The relation with the two tuples is a follows. If $t_i = 1$, we have $2i - 1$ in the first tuple and $2i$ in the second, while for $t_i = -1$ the situation is reversed. As an example, $\Psi_{(1,-1,1)}$ corresponds to $\Psi_{(145)(236)}$. We will write the ‘preferred basis’ functions $\Psi$ explicitly in terms of the $t_i$:

$$
\Psi_t = \text{Pf} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n} (v_{(2i-1)-\frac{v_{(2i)}}{x_{(2i)}} - z_j) + \prod_{i=1}^{n} (v_{(2i-1)-\frac{v_{(2i)}}{x_{(2i)}} - z_k)(v_{(2i)+\frac{v_{(2i)}}{x_{(2i)}} - z_j}) \right) / (z_j - z_k).
$$

(29)

The subscript on the $v$'s is a little involved, but for $t_i = 1$, the pair $(v_{(2i-1)-\frac{v_{(2i)}}{x_{(2i)}}}, v_{(2i)+\frac{v_{(2i)}}{x_{(2i)}}})$ is simply $(v_{2i-1}, v_{2i})$, while for $t_i = -1$, we get $(v_{2i}, v_{2i-1})$. We note that it is in principle
possible to write \( \Psi \) related to an arbitrary pair of tuples in terms of the functions (29),

generalizing the relation \( \Psi_{(1)(2)(34)} = - \frac{1}{2^k} \Psi_{(1)(3)(4)} + \frac{1}{2} \Psi_{(1)(4)(3)} \).

We have now introduced all the notation necessary to write down the generalization of

(26) to an arbitrary even number of \( \sigma \) and an even number of \( \psi \) fields:

\[
\langle \sigma (v_1) \cdots \sigma (v_n) \psi (z_1) \cdots \psi (z_N) \rangle_m = 2^{Nn} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{i=1}^{N} (v_{i-1} - v_{i})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{N} (v_{i} - z_{j})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left( A_{m}^{n} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}
\]

\[
\times \left( \sum_{t_1,t_2,...,t_m=1}^{n} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n} t_{i}^{m_{i}} \prod_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} (1 - x_{i,j})^{
u_{ij}} \right) \Psi_{t} \right).
\]

To generalize (30) to an odd number of \( \psi \) fields, we just have to perform a contraction of (say)
the first \( \psi \) field and one of the \( \sigma \) fields. For convenience, we choose to fuse the first \( \psi \) at \( z_1 \).
It turns out that we only have to modify the form of \( \Psi_{t} \) to obtain the generalization of (30)
to an odd number of \( \psi \) fields. Namely, we define for \( N \) odd

\[
\Psi_{t} = (v_1 - v_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m=1}^{N} \left[ (-1)^m \left( \prod_{i=2}^{n} (v_1 - v_{i}) \left( v_{i-1} - z_m \right) \prod_{i=2}^{n} (v_2 - v_{i}) \left( v_{i-1} - z_m \right) \right) Pf_{j,k \neq m}
\times \left( \prod_{i=2}^{n} (v_{i-1} - z_j) \left( v_{i} - z_k \right) \prod_{i=2}^{n} (v_2 - v_{i}) \left( v_{i-1} - z_k \right) \right) \right] \] 

By using this form for \( \Psi_{t} \) in (30) when \( N \) is odd, we obtain an expression for the correlator of
an even number of \( \sigma \) fields, and an odd number of \( \psi \) fields. We could have fused the \( \psi \) field with any other \( \sigma \) field as well. This would have led to different, but equivalent, correlators.

For instance, if we fused the \( \psi \) at \( z_1 \) to the \( \sigma \) at \( v_2 \), instead of \( v_1 \) as we did above, we would need to do the following replacement in equation (31):

\[
\left( \prod_{i=2}^{n} (v_1 - v_{i}) \left( v_{i-1} - z_m \right) \right) \rightarrow \left( \prod_{i=2}^{n} (v_2 - v_{i}) \left( v_{i-1} - z_m \right) \right).
\]

If we would have fused \( z_1 \) to any other \( v_j \), we would have obtained slightly more complicated expressions (basically, because our expressions do not depend on \( m_1 \)). We will not give these expressions here, but we trust that the interested reader can work them out.

The fact that the arbitrary chiral Ising correlators can be obtained in two independent ways
gives rise to what appear to be new identities. For completeness, we will give this identity
in its most general form. This identity is based on the equivalence between the correlators in
equations (27) and (30). Namely, we have

\[
\sum_{I} z_{I}^{l} \left( \text{Hf}_{l_{i}, j} \right) \left( \prod_{i=1}^{N} (v_i - z_j)^{-1} \left( A_{m}^{n} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{t_1,t_2,...,t_m=1}^{n} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n} t_{i}^{m_{i}} \prod_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} (1 - x_{i,j})^{
u_{ij}} \right) \Psi_{t} \right)^{2}.
\]
Here, we used the same notation as above, and \( \Psi_t \) is given by equation (29) for \( N \) even, while it is given by equation (31) for \( N \) odd.

5. Alternative representation

In this section, we provide an alternative representation of the fermionic form of the CBs of an arbitrary number of \( \sigma \) and \( \psi \) fields, which will be useful later on. To obtain the representation that we present in this section, one only has to reshuffle some overall factors. In particular, we will consider the form where there is an overall factor \( \prod_{1 \leq a < b \leq n} (v_a - v_b)^{-1/8} \), while the factor of the type \( \prod_{i,a} (z_i - v_a)^{-1/2} \) will be completely absorbed in the ‘Pfaffian part’ of the wavefunction.

5.1. CBs of \( \sigma \) fields

The simplest correlator for which there is a difference between the two representations is the 4-\( \sigma \) correlator, for which the two possible CBs can be written as

\[
F_{0,1}^{4} = \langle \sigma(v_1)\sigma(v_2)\sigma(v_3)\sigma(v_4) \rangle_{0,1} = 2^{-1/2} \prod_{a<b} (\sqrt{v_{13}v_{24}} \pm \sqrt{v_{14}v_{23}})^{1/2},
\]

where the block \( p = 0 \) (resp. \( p = 1 \)) corresponds to the plus sign (resp. minus sign) on the RHS.

Let us write equation (34) in the following form:

\[
F_{p}^{4} = 2^{-1/2} \prod_{a<b} v_{ab}^{-1/8} (\epsilon_{p0} \sqrt{v_{13}v_{24}} + \epsilon_{p1} \sqrt{v_{14}v_{23}})^{1/2},
\]

where \( \epsilon_{pq} \) are sign factors and \( q \) label the monomials in the summand on the RHS. The values of these quantities are

\[
\begin{align*}
\epsilon_{00} &= 1, & \epsilon_{01} &= 1, \\
\epsilon_{10} &= 1, & \epsilon_{11} &= -1.
\end{align*}
\]

(36)

The expression of the CBs for six \( \sigma \)-fields has a structure similar to equation (34):

\[
F_{p}^{6} = 2^{-1} \prod_{a<b} v_{ab}^{-1/8} (\epsilon_{p0} \sqrt{v_{13}v_{24}v_{56}} + \epsilon_{p1} \sqrt{v_{14}v_{23}v_{56}} + \epsilon_{p2} \sqrt{v_{15}v_{23}v_{46}} + \epsilon_{p3} \sqrt{v_{15}v_{24}v_{36}})^{1/2},
\]

(37)

where

\[
v_{abc} = v_{ab}v_{ac}v_{bc}.
\]

(38)

The four possible CBs correspond to the following choices:

\[
\begin{align*}
\epsilon_{00} &= 1, & \epsilon_{01} &= 1, & \epsilon_{02} &= 1, & \epsilon_{03} &= 1, \\
\epsilon_{10} &= 1, & \epsilon_{11} &= -1, & \epsilon_{12} &= 1, & \epsilon_{13} &= -1, \\
\epsilon_{20} &= 1, & \epsilon_{21} &= 1, & \epsilon_{22} &= -1, & \epsilon_{23} &= -1, \\
\epsilon_{30} &= 1, & \epsilon_{31} &= -1, & \epsilon_{32} &= -1, & \epsilon_{33} &= 1.
\end{align*}
\]

(39)

One can verify for these choices that (37) satisfies the BPZ (equation (5)), and that they correspond to the expression given in section 3.

Consider a CB of \( 2n \) \( \sigma \)-fields whose coordinates are \( v_1, \ldots, v_{2n} \) and pair them as \( (v_1, v_2)(v_3, v_4) \cdots (v_{2n-1}, v_n) \), which we will call reference pairs. We might as well use the
labels of these coordinates so that the reference pairs are given by \((1, 2)(3, 4) \cdots (2n - 1, 2n)\). A basis of CBs is associated with two macrogroups containing \(n\) elements each

\[
\ell_1, \ell_2, \ldots, \ell_n, \quad \ell_1', \ell_2', \ldots, \ell_n'
\]

such that two members of a reference pair never belong to the same macrogroup. As an example, take the case \(n = 3\). The four possible pairs of macrogroups are given by \((135)(246), (136)(245), (146)(235), (145)(236)\). For \(n\) integer, there are \(2^{n-1}\) different macrogroup pairs \((40)\), which can be labeled by an integer \(q = 0, 1, \ldots, 2^{n-1} - 1\). The macrogroup \(\ell = (\ell_1, \ell_2, \ldots, \ell_n)\) associated with \(q\) is given by

\[
\ell_{k+1} - \ell_k = 2 \quad \text{if} \quad q_k = 0
\]

\[
\ell_{k+1} - \ell_k = 1 \quad \text{if} \quad q_k = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \ell_k : \text{even}
\]

\[
\ell_{k+1} - \ell_k = 3 \quad \text{if} \quad q_k = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \ell_k : \text{odd},
\]

where \(q_k\) are the binary digits of the integer \(q = (q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_{n-1})\). The macrogroup \(\ell' = (\ell'_1, \ell'_2, \ldots, \ell'_n)\) associated with \(q\) also satisfies the recursion relations \((41)\) plus the initial condition \(\ell'_1 = 2\). The macrogroup pair associated with \(q = 0\) are \((1, 3, \ldots, 2n - 1)(2, 4, \ldots, 2n)\). A graphical representation of this construction is given in terms of a two-leg ladder where the \(k\)th-rung \((k = 1, \ldots, n)\) corresponds to the reference pair \((2k - 1, 2k)\). The pair \((\ell^*_q, \ell^*_q)\) is described by two strings of integers on the ladder, which on the \(k\)th-plaquette crosses or not for \(q_k = 1\) or \(q_k = 0\), respectively (see figure 1). As explained earlier, a macrogroup is associated with a set of \(n\) coordinates \(v_{\ell_k}\). We shall now assign an overall factor to it:

\[
v_{\ell} = \prod_{k<m} v_{\ell_k, \ell_m}, \quad v_{\ell_k, \ell_m} = v_{\ell_k} - v_{\ell_m},
\]

and similarly for the macrogroup \(\ell'\). For \(n = 1\) we take by convenium \(v_{\ell} = v_{\ell'} = 1\). For \(n = 2\), we get \(v_{\ell_1, \ell_2} = v_{\ell_1} - v_{\ell_2}\), which agrees with the earlier definition of \(v_{ab} = v_a - v_b\). The case \(n = 3\) coincides with the earlier definition of \(v_{abc}\) given in equation \((38)\) which corresponds to the macrogroup \((abc)\).

The connection between the \(q_i\) and the \(t_i\) can be described as follows. First, we consider \((1 - t_i)/2\), which takes the values 0 and 1 for \(t_i = 1\) and \(t_i = -1\), respectively. Then, the \(q_i\) are given by \(q_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (1 - t_j)/2 \mod 2\).

The CBs can be labeled by graphs whose links are associated with primary fields which meet at vertices whenever the coincident fields satisfy the fusion rules. The primary fields
Figure 2. Label of a correlator, specifying the conformal block. For the block displayed, \( p = 6 \), or in binary notation \( p = 110 \).

appearing in the correlator are associated with the external lines of the graph, while the primary fields of the internal lines give rise to the existence of different CBs. This picture is the familiar one in particle physics if we view the primary fields as particles and the CBs as scattering processes. Figure 2 shows the scattering representation of the CB (43), according to which the fields \( \sigma(v_2 k) \) and \( \sigma(v_2 k - 1) \) fuse together giving rise to either the identity or the Majorana field. These fields in turn fuse together on a baseline. The binary digits of \( p \) describe the primary fields running on this baseline: the identity if \( p_i = 0 \) or the Majorana field if \( p_i = 1 \).

The connection with the labels of the CBs in the previous sections is as follows. There, the labels \( m_i \) indicated the fusion channel of the pair \( (v_2 i - 1, v_2 i) \) with \( i = 1, \ldots, n \), \( m_i = 0 \) for the fusion into the identity channel, while \( m_i = 1 \) for the \( \psi \) channel. It follows that the relation with \( p \) reads

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} m_i \mod 2.
\]

After these definitions we can finally give the expression of the CB of \( 2n \sigma \)-fields and no Majorana fermions:

\[
\mathcal{F}_{p}^{2n,0} = C_{n,0} \prod_{a<b} v_{ab}^{-1/8} \left( \sum_{q=0}^{2^{n-1}-1} \epsilon_{pq} \sqrt{v_q v_q'} \right)^{1/2}, \quad p = 0, 1, \ldots, 2^{n-1} - 1, \tag{43}
\]

where \( \epsilon_{pq} \) is a sign given in terms of the binary digits of \( p \) and \( q \)

\[
\epsilon_{pq} = (-1)^{\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} p_k q_k} \tag{44}
\]

and the constant \( C_{n,0} \) is given by

\[
C_{n,0} = 2^{-(n-1)/2}. \tag{45}
\]

The latter result can be checked taking the limit \( v_{2k-1} \to v_{2k} \) and using the OPE (equation (16)).

As explained in equation (4), the CB can be used to construct the non-chiral correlator of fields. For the Ising model these correlators are known, thanks to a bosonic version of two copies of the Ising model. In particular, one has

\[
\langle \sigma(v_1, v_1) \cdots \sigma(v_{2n}, v_{2n}) \rangle^2 = 2^{-n} \sum_{\epsilon_i = \pm 1} \prod_{i<j} |v_i - v_j|^{\epsilon_i \epsilon_j / 2}. \tag{46}
\]

We have checked for \( n = 2, 3 \) that

\[
\langle \sigma(v_1, v_1) \cdots \sigma(v_{2n}, v_{2n}) \rangle^2 = \left( \sum_{p} \mathcal{F}_{p}^{2n,0} \mathcal{F}_{\overline{p}}^{2n,0} \right)^2. \tag{47}
\]
5.2. CBs with $\sigma$ and $\psi$ fields

The chiral correlator or CB of an even number $2m$ of Majorana fields is given by

$$\mathcal{F}^{(0,2m)}(z_1, \ldots, z_{2m}) = \langle \psi(z_1) \cdots \psi(z_{2m}) \rangle = \text{Pf} \left( \frac{1}{z_i - z_j} \right), \tag{48}$$

where Pf is the Pfaffian of the $2m \times 2m$ antisymmetric matrix $1/(z_i - z_j)$. For a generic antisymmetric matrix $A_{ij}$, the Pfaffian is given by

$$\text{Pf} A = \sqrt{\det A} = \frac{1}{m!2^m} \sum_{\pi \in S_{2m}} \text{sgn}(\pi) \prod_{i=1}^n A_{\pi(2i-1), \pi(2i)},$$

where $S_{2m}$ is the permutation group of $2m$ symbols. For an odd number of Majorana fields the CB is zero.

Let us next consider the CB of two $\sigma$ fields and an even number of $\psi$ fields. There is only one CB, whose expression was found by Moore and Read [7]:

$$\langle \sigma(v_1)\sigma(v_2) \prod_{i=1}^{2m} \chi(z_i) \rangle = 2^{-m} v_{12}^{-1/8} \prod_{i=1}^{2m} ((z_i - v_1)(z_i - v_2))^{-1/2} \times \text{Pf} \left( \frac{(z_i - v_1)(z_j - v_2) + (z_i - v_2)(z_j - v_1)}{z_i - z_j} \right).$$

For later purposes it is convenient to write this equation as

$$\mathcal{F}^{(2,2m)}(v_1, v_2, z_1, \ldots, z_{2m}) = 2^{-m} v_{12}^{-1/8} \text{Pf} \left( \frac{h_{(1),(2)}(z_i, z_j)}{z_i - z_j} \right), \tag{49}$$

where

$$h_{(1),(2)}(z_i, z_j) = \left[ \frac{(z_i - v_1)(z_j - v_2)}{(z_i - v_2)(z_j - v_1)} \right]^{1/2} + (i \leftrightarrow j). \tag{50}$$

For four $\sigma$ fields and $2m$ $\psi$ fields there are two CBs which were found by Nayak and Wilczek, which can be written as [16]

$$\mathcal{F}^{(4,2m)}(v_1, \ldots, v_{2m}, z_1, \ldots, z_{2m}) = C \prod_{a<b} v_{ab}^{-1/8} \left( \sqrt{v_{13}v_{24}} \pm \sqrt{v_{14}v_{23}} \right)^{-1/2} \times \left[ \sqrt{v_{13}v_{24}} \text{Pf} \left( \frac{h_{(13),(24)}(z_i, z_j)}{z_i - z_j} \right) \pm \sqrt{v_{14}v_{23}} \text{Pf} \left( \frac{h_{(14),(23)}(z_i, z_j)}{z_i - z_j} \right) \right], \tag{51}$$

where

$$h_{(ab),(cd)}(z_i, z_j) = \left[ \frac{(z_i - v_a)(z_i - v_b)(z_j - v_c)(z_j - v_d)}{(z_i - v_c)(z_i - v_d)(z_j - v_a)(z_j - v_b)} \right]^{1/2} + (i \leftrightarrow j). \tag{52}$$

Note the analogy between equations (51) and (34). The subindices of the $h$ matrix elements are nothing but the two macrogroups (13)(24) and (14)(23) associated with the CB of four $\sigma$ fields. Indeed, one can write the following generalization of equations (49) and (51):

$$\mathcal{F}^{(2n,2m)}(v_1, \ldots, v_{2m}, z_1, \ldots, z_{2m}) = C_{2n,2m} \prod_{a<b} v_{ab}^{-1/8} \left( \sum_{q=0}^{2^{n-1}-1} \epsilon_{pq} \sqrt{v_{aq}v_{q}} \right)^{-1/2} \times \left[ \sum_{q=0}^{2^{n-1}-1} \epsilon_{pq} \sqrt{v_{aq}v_{q}} \text{Pf} \left( \frac{h_{(a),(q)}(z_i, z_j)}{z_i - z_j} \right) \right], \tag{53}$$
where
\[
\hat{h}_{i,j}(z_i, z_j) = \left[ \prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(z_i - v_{i_k}) (z_j - v_{j_k})}{(z_i - v_{j_k}) (z_j - v_{i_k})} \right]^{1/2} + (i \leftrightarrow j)
\] (54)
and
\[
C_{2n,2m} = \begin{cases} 
1 & n = 0 \\
\frac{1}{2^{-(n-1)/2+m}} & n > 0.
\end{cases}
\]

It is not difficult to convince oneself that this expression is equivalent to equations (30) and (29). We also checked for small values of \(n\) and \(m\) that (53) satisfies the BPZ equations (5).

Let us now consider the CB with an odd number of fermions. For \(m > 1\) we start from the equation
\[
\mathcal{F}_{2m}^{(2,2m)}(v_1, v_2, z_1, \ldots, z_{2m}) = 2^{-m} v_{12}^{-1/8} Pf \left( \frac{h_{i,j}(z_i, z_j)}{z_i - z_j} \right)
\] (55)
and take the limit \(z_{2m} \rightarrow v_1\). One gets
\[
\frac{h_{i,j}(z_i, z_{2m})}{z_i - z_{2m}} \rightarrow v_{12}^{1/2} \left( \frac{(z_i - v_1) (z_i - v_2)}{(z_{2m} - v_1)^{1/2} [ (z_i - v_1) (z_i - v_2) ]^{1/2}} \right).
\]

Next we use the expansion of the Pfaffian of a \(2m \times 2m\) matrix
\[
Pf A = \sum_{i=1}^{2m-1} (-1)^{1+i} A_{i,2m} Pf_{j,k\neq i,2m} A_{j,k}
\]
where the RHS contains the Pfaffian of the matrix obtaining from \(A\) by deleting the \(i\)'th and \((2m)\)th rows and columns. Using again the OPE of \(\psi(z_{2m})\) and \(\sigma(v_1)\) one gets
\[
\mathcal{F}_{2m}^{(2m-1)}(v_1, v_2, z_1, \ldots, z_{2m-1}) = 2^{-m+1/2} v_{12}^{3/8} \sum_{i=1}^{2m-1} (-1)^{1+i} \times [ (z_i - v_1) (z_i - v_2) ]^{-1/2} Pf_{j,k\neq i,2m} \left( \frac{h_{i,j}(z_j, z_k)}{z_i - z_k} \right).
\] (56)

For generic CBs involving an even number of \(\sigma\) fields one has in the limit \(z_{2m} \rightarrow v_1\)
\[
\frac{h_{i,j}(z_i, z_{2m})}{z_i - z_{2m}} \rightarrow \frac{(z_i - v_1)^{1/2} \prod_{k=1}^{n} \sqrt{v_{i_k}}}{(z_{2m} - v_1)^{1/2} \prod_{k=2}^{n} \sqrt{v_{i_k}}} \prod_{k=1}^{n} \left( \frac{z_i - v_{i_k}}{z_i - v_{i_k}} \right)^{1/2}
\]
which gives
\[
\mathcal{F}_{p}^{(2m-1)} = C_{2n,2m-1} \prod_{a<b}^{2n-1} v_{ab}^{-1/8} \left( \sum_{q=0}^{2n-1} \epsilon_{pq} \sqrt{v_{i_q} v_{i_q}} \right)^{-1/2} \times \left[ \sum_{q=0}^{2n-1} \epsilon_{pq} \sqrt{v_{i_q} v_{i_q}} \prod_{k=1}^{n} \sqrt{v_{i_k}} \prod_{k=2}^{n} \sqrt{v_{i_k}} \sum_{i=1}^{2m-1} (-1)^{i+1} (z_i - v_1)^{-1} \times \prod_{k=1}^{n} \left( \frac{z_i - v_{i_k}}{z_i - v_{i_k}} \right)^{1/2} Pf_{j,k\neq i,2m} \left( \frac{h_{i_k,j}(z_j, z_k)}{z_i - z_k} \right) \right]
\] (57)
with
\[
C_{2n,2m-1} = \begin{cases} 
1 & n = 0 \\
\frac{1}{2^{-(n/2m-1)}} & n > 0.
\end{cases}
\]
Again one can check that this expression is equivalent to equations (30) and (31).
6. Free field representation of $su(2)_k$ for $k = 1, 2$

In this section, we will use the expressions we found for the chiral Ising correlators, to give the correlators of the primary fields of the WZW conformal field theory based on $su(2)_2$. For more details on the correlators and CBs of primary fields in the WZW model, we refer to the seminal paper by Knizhnik and Zamolodchikov [30]. The free field representation for WZW theories was introduced by Wakimoto [31] (for more information, we refer to [32]).

Let us use the Cartan–Weyl basis for the generators of the $su(2)_k$ algebra $J^0(z), J^\pm(z)$.

The OPEs are given by

\[ J^0(z)J^0(w) \sim \frac{k/2}{(z-w)^2} \]
\[ J^0(z)J^\pm(w) \sim \pm \frac{J^\pm(w)}{z-w} \]
\[ J^+(z)J^-(w) \sim \frac{k}{(z-w)^2} + \frac{2J^0(w)}{z-w} \]

For $k = 1$ these currents can be realized as

\[ J^0(z) = i \sqrt{2} \partial_z \phi(z), \quad J^\pm(z) = e^{\pm i \sqrt{2} \phi(z)} \]

The primary fields with spin $j = 1/2$ are

\[ V_{1/2,s}(z) = e^{i s \phi(z)}/\sqrt{2}, \quad s = \pm 1, \quad h_{1/2} = \frac{1}{4} \]

For $k = 2$ the currents can be written as

\[ J^0(z) = i \partial_z \phi(z), \quad J^\pm(z) = \sqrt{2} \psi(z) e^{\pm i \phi(z)} \]

where $\psi(z)$ is the Majorana field of the Ising model. The primary fields with spin $j = 1$ are

\[ V_{1,1}(z) = e^{i \phi(z)} \]
\[ V_{1,0}(z) = \psi(z), \quad h_1 = \frac{1}{2} \]

while the primary fields with spin $j = 1/2$ are

\[ V_{1/2,s}(z) = \sigma(z) e^{i s \phi(z)/2} \quad s = \pm 1, \quad h_{1/2} = \frac{3}{16} \]

where $\sigma(z)$ is the spin field of the Ising model, with $h_\sigma = 1/16$.

6.1. CBs of N spin 1 fields

Consider $N$ spins 1 labeled by $s_i = \pm 1, 0$ associated with the coordinates $z_i$ ($i = 1, \ldots, N$). The wavefunction is given by

\[ \psi(s_1, \ldots, s_N) = \chi_s \prod_{i<j} (z_i - z_j)^{s_i s_j} \text{ Pf}_0 \left( \frac{1}{z_i - z_j} \right) \]

where

\[ \chi_s = (-1)^{\sum_{i<j} (s_i - 1)} \]

and the Pfaffian is restricted to the positions where $s_i = 0$.

Note that the term $\prod_{i<j} (z_i - z_j)^{s_i s_j}$ only depends on the sites where $s_i = \pm 1$. The total spin must be zero so $\sum_{i=1}^N s_i = 0$. Before we continue with the case combining the spin 1 and spin 1/2 fields, we state the following. For $N$ odd and greater than 1, one can form singlet(s) out of the spins. In principle, one could expect a contribution from the case $s_i = 0$ for all $i$, which would correspond to the correlator of an odd number of $\psi$ fields. However, this correlator is zero since it involves an odd number of $\psi$ fields.
6.2. CBs of N spin 1 and 2n spin 1/2 fields

Let $v_a$ ($a = 1, \ldots, 2n$) be the coordinates of the spin 1/2 fields, $\tau_a = \pm 1$ their spin, and $z_i$ ($i = 1, \ldots, N$) the coordinates of the spin 1 fields, and $s_i = 0, \pm 1$ their spin. We shall denote by $z_i^0$ the coordinates where $s_i = 0$, and assume there are $M$ such coordinates. The total spin $S_z = 0$ imposes that

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=1}^{2n} \tau_a + \sum_{i=1}^{N} s_i = 0.$$  

The wavefunctions (or CBs) are given, in terms of the chiral Ising correlators, by

$$\psi_p(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_{2n}, s_1, \ldots, s_N) = \chi_{\tau} \chi_{s} \prod_{a<b}^{\tau_{ab}} v_{ab}^{\tau_{a} \tau_{b}/4} \prod_{i<j}^{s_{ij}} z_{ij}^{s_I} \prod_{i,a}^{s_I} (z_i - v_a)^{1/4} \tau_a \mathcal{F}_{p}^{(2n,M)}(v_j, z_k^{(0)}),$$

(58)

where $\mathcal{F}_{p}^{(2n,M)}(v_j, z_k^{(0)})$ is the Ising CB computed earlier and the overall sign is given by

$$\chi_s = (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^{N} s_i - 1}, \quad \chi_{\tau} = (-1)^{\sum_{a=1}^{2n} (\tau_a - 1)/2}.$$

7. Conclusion and outlook

In this paper, we gave explicit expressions for all the CBs of the Ising model. These expressions were obtained by starting from the bosonized formulation of the Ising model, which gave us the expression for the CB of an arbitrary number of $\sigma$ fields. From this, two different expressions for the correlators of an arbitrary number of $\sigma$ and $\psi$ fields were obtained. The equivalence between these expressions gave rise to a family of identities, which are a consequence of the fact that the Ising model can be bosonized. We used the expressions for the CBs of the Ising model, to obtain expressions for the CBs of the $\text{su}(2)$ WZW conformal field theory.

It is straightforward to generalize these results to the CBs of those WZW theories which can be written in terms of a set of chiral bosons, augmented with the Ising conformal field theory. Theories of this type are $\text{so}(2n + 1)_1$ and $E_8$ at level 2.

We hope that the explicit form of the Ising CBs and correlators presented in this paper will aid in the design of experiments (and perhaps applications) to detect the effects of non-Abelian statistics, which has been conjectured to be present in several different condensed matter systems, ranging from the quantum Hall effect, $(p+i)$ superconductors, systems based on topological insulators, to cold atomic gases. In addition, the form of the correlators might inspire to make progress in obtaining the explicit form of (typically much harder) multi-point correlators in other conformal field theories.
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