Groundwater Mineralization Analysis of Osisioma Local Government Area of Aba, Abia State, Nigeria
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Abstract Water is directly linked to human and animal health, so it has to be suitable for drinking. Its suitability depends on its characteristics. The objective of this study is to determine the quality and suitability of groundwater of Osisioma Local Government Area (L.G.A) of Aba, Abia State, Southeastern Nigeria, for drinking and domestic purposes or otherwise, through physiochemical analysis. Five (5) samples of borehole water were collected and analyzed. The physiochemical analysis showed that the water is tasteless, odorless and colourless. It was determined to be free from heavy metals contamination, like Lead, Arsenic, Mercury and Barium. Aluminum and Manganese were also not detected. A mean pH of 6.3 was recorded, while the highest calcium Hardness value recorded out of the five samples was 15mg/L. This indicates that the water is soft. Conductivity is low, (23µs/cm – 145 µs/cm). The chloride concentration is also low (11mg/L-22mg/L) while an average value of 0.15mg/l of magnesium was detected. Copper falls within the range of 0.1mg/l to 0.14mg/l, Phosphate ranged from 0.04mg/l – 0.7mg/l and Zinc from 0.29mg/l – 0.34mg/l. All of these values are within the permissible limits of WHO drinking water standards.. Total Dissolved Solid was found to be, between 10mg/L and 67mg/L. The groundwater was found to be safe, therefore the people of this area do not stand the health risk associated with heavy metals contaminated drinking water.
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1. Introduction

Groundwater constitutes over 90% of the world’s readily available freshwater resources with remaining 10% in lakes, reservoirs, rivers and wetlands.[1] Water quality is extremely important because constant access to good quality water is necessary for life as well as the economy.[2] The presence of adequate supply of quality water for human consumption is essential for sustainable development program of any society. The chemical composition of ground water is a measure of its suitability as a source of water for human consumption or for other usages like Agricultural and Industrial purposes.

Groundwater hydro-geochemistry Is mainly affected by the geological formations that the water passes through during its course and by anthropogenic activities.[3-6]) In some cases natural water may contain elevated concentrations of several potentially toxic elements or microbiological contaminants that may lead to adverse effects on human health.[7,8.] Groundwater can be rich in dissolved solids, especially carbonates and sulfates of calcium and magnesium as well as chloride and bicarbonates, depending on the strata through which groundwater flow. In that case, additional treatment may be required in order to provide pleasant water for drinking and household use. There are a lot of waste generation in Osisioma Local Government Area of Aba due to population increase, much commercial and artisans activities. These wastes are disposed of at open dumping landfills located at different parts of the city. Landfill leachate which is contaminated waste water produced in the process of decomposition when rain water percolates through the layers in landfill, has become an important and serious environmental problem in many societies.[9,10]. Yet, a lot of small scale water industries are striving well and having a fair share of the local economy. It is therefore the objective of this study to determine the quality and suitability of the groundwater for drinking and domestic purposes or otherwise, through physiochemical analysis of the water samples from this area and comparison of the results with WHO standards for drinking water.

1.1. Location

Osisioma Local Government Area Is located within Latitude 7° 10'E and longitude 5°27'N. It forms one of the major districts in Aba, the central commercial city of Abia State, South eastern Nigeria. It is highly populated, with an average population density of 3000 inhabitants per square kilometer. The people are predominately traders. See figures 1and 2 (map of the study area).
1.2. Geology/Hydrogeology of the Area

This area is underlain by the Benin Formation, consisting of coarse sands interrupted by clay lenses of Quaternary age. It has good aquiferous potential. The aquifer is about 1500m thick. Depths to water are shallow (8m-26m). The area, has an average temperature of 27°C, monthly maxima and minima deviating by no more than 5.5°C – 6.5°C.[11] It has two main seasons in a year. The rainy season occurring between March and October while the Dry Season prevails for the rest of the years. Its vegetation is characterized by tropical rainforest. Aba is generally drained by the Aba River and its tributaries.

2. Materials / Method

A litre of each of the water samples were collected from five (5) existing boreholes at Okpulumubo, World Bank, Umuezze (Teaching Hospital), Faulks Road and Nweke. Each sample point is about 2.5km away from each other. These were collected in clean plastic bottles and taken to the laboratory within an hour for treatment and analysis. The various analytical techniques implored are shown in Table 1.

| Analysis                  | Techniques used          |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| pH                        | pH meter                 |
| Calcium hardness          | EDTA Titrametric method  |
| TDS, Salinity, Conductivity | Conductivity meter   |
| Heavy metals              | Spectrophotometer         |
| Total acidity             | Gravimetric method       |
| Colour                    | Lovibond comparator      |
| Temperature               | Thermometer               |
| Turbidity                 | Turbidometer              |

3. Results

Table 2. The physiochemical analysis of the samples

| S/N | Test Parameters | Okpulumubo | World Bank | Teaching Hospital | Faulks Road | Eziama | WHO Standard Value | NIS Value |
|-----|----------------|------------|------------|-------------------|-------------|--------|-------------------|-----------|
| 1   | Temperature (°C) | 25         | 25         | 25                | 26          | 26     | -                 | Ambient   |
| 2   | Colour (TCU)    | 3.0        | 3.0        | 3.0               | 3.0         | 3.0    | 15                | 3         |
| 3   | Turbidity (NTU) | 5.0        | 5.0        | 5.0               | 5.0         | 5.0    | 5.0               | 5         |
| 4   | pH              | 6.3        | 6.6        | 6.3               | 6.1         | 6.0    | 6.5-8.5           | 6.5-5.5   |
| 5   | Total hardness (mg/l) | 6.00     | 15.00      | 5.00              | 12.00       | 15.00  | 100               | 100       |
| 6   | Total dissolved solids (TDS) (mg/l) | 25.00    | 29.00      | 10.00             | 67.00       | 63.00  | 1000              | 500       |
| 7   | Conductivity (us/cm) | 56.00     | 63         | 23.00             | 145.00      | 137.00 | 1000              | 1000      |
| 8   | Total acidity (mg/l) | 35.00     | 36.00      | 32.5              | 27.00       | 26.4   | 1.0               | -         |
| 9   | Iron (mg/l)     | 0.05       | 0.04       | 0.04              | 0.09        | 0.08   | 0.3               | 0.3       |
| 10  | Calcium (mg/l)  | 2.13       | 3.19       | 1.72              | 3.06        | 3.72   | 200               | 75        |
| 11  | Magnesium (mg/l) | 0.15       | 0.15       | 0.14              | 0.16        | 0.16   | 0.02              | 0.02      |
| 12  | Lead (mg/l)    | ND         | ND         | ND                | ND          | ND     | 0.01              | 0.01      |
| 13  | Arsenic (mg/l) | ND         | ND         | ND                | ND          | ND     | 0.01              | 0.01      |
| 14  | Copper (mg/l)   | 0.10       | 0.11       | 0.04              | 0.14        | 0.12   | 2.0               | 1.0       |
| 15  | Zinc (mg/l)     | 0.31       | 0.32       | 0.29              | 0.34        | 0.31   | 3.0               | 5.0       |
| 16  | Mercury (mg/l)  | ND         | ND         | ND                | ND          | ND     | 0.001             | 0.001     |
| 17  | Alkalinity (mg/l) | 60.0       | 65.00      | 50.00             | 45.00       | 40.00  | 200               | 200       |
| 18  | Chloride (mg/l) | 12.00      | 14.00      | 11.00             | 22.00       | 18.00  | 250               | 100       |
| 19  | Nitrate (mg/l)  | 8.59       | 9.79       | 8.26              | 12.37       | 10.49  | 50                | 10.0      |
| 20  | Odour           | OL         | OL         | OL                | OL          | OL     | OL                | OL        |
| 21  | Taste           | TL         | TL         | TL                | TL          | TL     | TL                | TL        |
| 22  | Aluminum        | ND         | ND         | ND                | ND          | ND     | 0.2               | 0.2       |
| 23  | Manganese       | ND         | ND         | ND                | ND          | ND     | 0.5               | 0.2       |
| 24  | Barium (mg/l)   | ND         | ND         | ND                | ND          | ND     | 0.7               | 0.7       |
| 25  | Phosphate (mg/l) | 0.70       | 0.04       | 0.4               | 0.47        | 0.52   | 0.54              | -         |
| 26  | Total suspended solids(mg/l) | 75.0      | 71.0       | 90.0              | 133.0       | 137.0  | -                 | -         |

NOTE: ND – Not detected, TCU – True Colour Unit, NTU – Nephelometric Turbidity Unit, SP- Sample, NIS – Nigerian Industrial Standard
Table 3. Suitability of Groundwater for drinking based on TDS values / water class after Venu et al.,[12]

| TDS(mg/l) | Water class  | Sample Result | Remark |
|-----------|--------------|---------------|--------|
| < 300     | Excellent    | 25 29 10 67 63 | Excellent |
| 300 – 600 | Good         | - - - - -      | -      |
| 600 – 900 | Fair         | - - - - -      | -      |
| 900 – 1200| Poor         | - - - - -      | -      |
| > 1,200   | Unacceptable | - - - - -      | -      |

Table 4. Suitability of Groundwater for drinking Based on Total Hardness (CaCO₃mg/l) after Sawyer and McCartly (1967)[13]

| Total Hardness (CaCO₃mg/l) | Classification | SP1 | SP2 | SP3 | SP4 | SP5 | Remark |
|----------------------------|----------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|--------|
| < 75                       | Soft           | 6   | 15  | 5   | 12  | 15  | Soft   |
| 75 – 150                   | Moderately hard| -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -      |
| 150 – 300                  | Hard           | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -      |
| 300                        | Very Hard      | -   | -   | -   | -   | -   | -      |

4. Discussion

4.1. Colour, Appearance, Turbidity

The colour indices for all the samples is 3.0 TCU, while turbidity is 5.0NTU. All the borehole water samples were colourless, tasteless and odourless. This implies that there is an absence of decomposed vegetation, colloidal substances and suspended vegetation. These characteristics met the WHO standards for drinking water. Turbidity above 5.0NTU affects the appearance of the water.

4.2. pH

pH is a measure of the hydrogen-ion concentration in a medium. The result showed that the pH of the water samples ranged from 6.0 - 6.3 as against the WHO standard value of 6.5 - 8.5. This indicates acidity. The acidity may be attributed to the landfill gases arising from the dumpsites that have percolated through the porous sub-surfaces into the aquifer.

4.3. Conductivity

Electrical conductivity is a function of magnesium, calcium, sodium and sulphates. There is a significant variation in the conductivity of the water samples, ranging from a very low value of 23.00µs/cm in SP3 to 135µs/cm in SP5 and 145µs/cm in SP4, therefore the salinity of the groundwater was classified into two categories: A fairly low salinity in SP (1 - 3), resulting to low mineral content and High Salinity values in samples 4 & 5. There is therefore a possible conclusion, that the water in later sample points are in contact with much inorganic constituents within the aquiferous materials as it tends to develop chemical equilibrium with its environment than the former.

4.4. Total Dissolved Solids (TDS), Total Suspended Solids (TSS) and Total Hardness

The dissolved solids are obtained by the difference between total solids and total Suspended solids.

\[ TDS = TS - TSS \]  

where TS = Total solids

When TDS values are between 20mg/l and 1000mg/l, the water is classified as fresh. Between 1000mg/l – 10,000mg/l
the water is considered brackish, while above is unacceptable.[14,15]. The physiochemical analysis of the samples shows a fairly good values of TDS (2.5mg/l – 6.7mg/l), that indicates low impurities. The hardness (CaCO3 mg/l) was calculated as follows:

\[ \text{Total Hardness} = \frac{(\text{Vol of 0.01m EDTA used} \times 1000)}{\text{vol of sample taken}} \]  

(2)

This falls within the permissible limits of the WHO standard for drinking water. Above the value of 0.2mg/l, it affects the appearance, taste and odour of the water. There is therefore no possibility of the people residing in the study area to be associated with the health hazards that are linked with Heavy metals contaminated drinking water.

4.5. Heavy Metals: Lead, Arsenic, Barium and Mercury

The presence of heavy metals in drinking water poses a serious threat to health. Drinking water containing Barium causes diarrhea, vomiting and abdominal cramps. Arsenic above 0.1mg/l causes cancer. Lead also above the value of 0.01mg/l in water causes cancer, affects the metabolism of vitamin D, hypertension and metal development in infants. It’s also toxic to central and peripheral nervous system.[16,17]. However these metals were not detected in all the samples.

4.6. Aluminum, Manganese

Manganese above 0.1mg/l stains laundry and sanitary wares, while above 0.2mg/l in drinking water causes Neurological disorder,[18] similarly, Aluminum above 0.2mg/l may cause discoloration. The result showed that these metals were not detected.

4.7. Magnesium

An average value of 0.15mg/l of magnesium was detected. This falls within the permissible limits of the WHO standard for drinking water. Above the value of 0.2mg/l, it affects the appearance, taste and odour of the water. There is therefore no possibility of the people residing in the study area to be associated with the health hazards that are linked with Heavy metals contaminated drinking water.

4.8. Chloride

Chloride is one of the major anions in water. It is generally associated with sodium. High concentration of chloride ions may result in an objectionably salty taste. Chloride contains greater than 40mg/l in the coastal aquifer indicates salt water contamination.[19]. The chloride concentration in the samples ranged from 1mg/l – 22mg/l. This implies that there is no salt water intrusion into the aquifer and also an absence of source rock that host salt.

4.9. Copper, Zinc, Phosphate, Nitrate

Consumption of water contaminated by nitrates could lead to several adverse health effects.[20-22]. From the result of the analysis, the nitrate values were not acute (8.26mg/l – 12.37mg/l). Phosphate value ranged from 0.4mg/l to 0.7mg/l. Copper falls within the range of 0.1mg/l to 0.14mg/l, Phosphate ranged from 0.04mg/l – 0.7mg/l and Zinc from 0.29mg/l – 0.34mg/l. All of these values are within the permissible limits of WHO drinking water standards. Copper value above 1mg/l may cause gastrointestinal disorder.

5. Conclusions

This research work is aimed at investigating the suitability of the groundwater supply in Osisioma L.G.A of Aba, Southeastern Nigeria. The physiochemical analysis revealed that the present status of the groundwater in this area is safe and suitable for drinking and domestic purposes. There is an absence of Barium, Lead, Arsenic, Mercury, Aluminum and Manganese. The water is soft, odourless, tasteless and colourless. All other anions and cations recorded fall within the limits of WHO standards for drinking water. However, there is a sharp variation in electrical conductivity indicated by two sampled boreholes in this area i.e. SP4 and SP5. This means that the water in these sample points are in contact with much inorganic constituents within the aquifers materials. The chloride concentration in the samples ranged from 11mg/l – 22mg/l, implying that there is no salt water intrusion into the aquifer. From the result obtained, Leachate contamination from the open landfill dumpsites, has not really affected the ground water of this area. However, a better and modern waste management technique is recommended in order to preserve and sustain the groundwater quality of Osisioma.
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