Development of data-to-text (D2T) on generic data using fuzzy sets
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Abstract

Data-to-Text (D2T) is an option for translating non-linguistic data into textual form. However, along with technological developments, the various fields of data and the variety of users are one of the focuses that must be considered in the development of D2T. This study aims to develop a D2T system with input in the form of general data so that it can receive data from any field or domain, whether the data have header information, data types, rules or not. Then fuzzy rule based systems are used to interpret data in general. The system developed can produce information in the form of data summaries, newest data information, and predictive information. It is carried out in the R programming language by utilizing several available packages. Experiments are carried out by measuring the level of readability of the news generated, computation time, and comparing the results with related research. The experimental results show that the information generated is proven to represent the data provided and can be understood by the level of students even at the elementary school level, and the computation time is quite good.
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1. Introduction

Along with current technological developments, the availability of information is increasing, especially information in the form of non-linguistic data or numerical data. Non-linguistic data can be presented in text or linguistic form to make it easier to retrieve information [1]. So the D2T system is developed with the ability to produce information in textual form with input in the form of non-linguistic data or numeric data [2]. The data used can be obtained from various data sources such as recordings of a sensor, event logs, and other data sources that are recorded periodically. D2T is part of the Natural Language Generation (NLG) system where D2T translates data into text by assuming that the data used is fundamentally true and accurate [3]. An NLG system is divided into at least four main parts, namely (macro planning, micro planning, linguistic realization, and presentation), where each section has its own sub-sections, such as in macro planning there are subsections of content planning, text planning, and Rhetorical Structure Theory (RST) and in microplanning, there is lexicalization [4].

D2T architecture is almost similar to NLG which is divided into four main stages, i.e., signal analysis, data interpretation, document planning, microplanning and realization [2]. It is a solution that can be used to translate non-linguistic data to the public without eliminating the meaning contained in the data, with the aim of making information in the form of text that is presented easier to understand compared to non-linguistic data. There are at least two main problems that must be considered in the construction of a corpus. First, the various types of information stored in a corpus, so that the resource aspect is an important factor and must be considered. Second, the various types of users, so that the corpus that is built must be able to cover the various needs of each user [5].

Various studies have been carried out to build a D2T system for each specific area, for example, in the climatology field there is a Forecast Generator (“FoG”) system that can convert weather maps into forecasts in sentence form with natural language processing [6], then there is D2T Weather Prediction (DWP) system which can produce climatological news summaries and weather for one month and
provides prediction information for the next day [7], besides that there is “SumTime-Mousam”, this application can generate textual oceanic weather forecasts for offshore oil rigs [8]. In the health sector, the “BabyTalk” system was introduced with the intent to produce text summaries from 45 minutes of neonatal data which would later be used as supporting material for the decision to present the modalities that occurred at that time [9], the “BT-Nurse” system summarizes events during the nursing shift, based on the results of the patient’s electronic medical record [10]. In the economic field, “Knowledge-Based Report Generator” can produce stock reports based on product stock data (non-linguistic) of a market [11].

In this study, we are aimed to develop an application of D2T to generate news based on general data with a period of hours, daily, weekly, monthly, or even yearly but restricted only to exact data and time series. The General data in question is data that is not bound to any domain, whether the data has an identity in the form of header information, category or not, so that the corpus and the resulting output are as general as possible. In this research, a D2T system will be built that is not tied to any field and can receive input in the form of general data and then produce the most general output possible so that the system built is expected to be a solution to the problems previously mentioned. To achieve this, the development of the D2T system uses machine learning methods [12], such as Linear Regression [13, 14], Knuth-Morris-Pratt (KMP) [15, 16], Pearson correlation, and statistical tools for data processing, analysis, and prediction [17].

2. Methods
Basically, the D2T Model is divided into four main parts, namely signal analysis, data interpretation, document planning, microplanning and realization [2]. In this research, the development of a D2T model for general data was carried out, so that it focused on modifications of signal analysis and data interpretation as illustrated in "Figure 1". This model describes a summary of the stages of system development.

2.1 General data handler
In this process, the dataset is checked, whether there is a header in the dataset, otherwise the header name becomes the default (e.g., “DateTime”, “v2”, “v3”, “v4”, etc.). Then, the next process is parameters consisting of names, types (numerical/categorical), rules (crisp/fuzzy), and alternate which will function to replace parameter names on the final display of the text as shown in Table 1.

| ColName    | Type  | Rule | Alternate       |
|------------|-------|------|-----------------|
| CloudCoverage | Numeric | crisp | Cloud Coverage  |
| Temperature | Numeric | fuzzy | NA              |
| WindSpeed  | Numeric | crisp | Wind Speed      |
| WindDirection | Numeric | crisp | Wind Direction  |
| Rainfall   | Numeric | fuzzy | NA              |

2.2 Signal analysis
Basically, this process is utilized to transform numerical data into discrete patterns. In this research, statistical tools such as Min, Max, Mean, and Machine Learning are applied. Linear Regression is used to determine the trend of a parameter [13, 14, 18]. KMP is used to look for the same data pattern as this week's data pattern [19], while time series analysis and exponential smoothing are used in determining predictions for further data [20]. This process is carried out to look for discrete patterns of data, which will be further processed at a later stage, for more details described in Table 2.

In addition, in this process there is an Extreme Event tracing process, first looking for the difference between the data on index i and i+i, then adding up the difference according to whether the difference is negative (decreasing) or positive (increasing), then the highest sum of differences between a negative and positive number, stored in the form of a list, the information stored are namely: the number of increases/decreases, the initial and final indices of the increase/decrease, and the duration of the increase/decrease.

Whereas data is categorized as a repeated event if the number of rows of data of the same value consecutively exceeds n rows of data, the user can determine the value of n by changing the value. However, if the user does not define it, the default value is used, namely: for data with a period of hours, the minimum limit used is 6 hours. For data with a daily timeframe, the minimum limit used is one week.
or 7 days. For data with a monthly period, the minimum limit is used, namely one quarter or the equivalent of 4 months, and for data with an annual period, the minimum limit is used for one quarter or 3 months.

All of these processes are applied to numerical data, for categorical data a special process is applied, namely motive discovery, where the system will look for whether there is a data pattern that is the same as the data pattern number \((n-i)\) until \(n\), where \(n\) is the index of data for today, while \(i\) is the parameter limit such as weekly, quarterly, quarter as used in the repeated event process.

![Diagram](https://via.placeholder.com/150)

**Figure 1** The proposed D2T Model for general data in this research
Table 2 Model signal analysis results

| ColName | MaxDate       | MaxValue | MaxIndex | MinDate       | MinValue | MinIndex | SumValue | Average | Trend |
|---------|---------------|----------|----------|---------------|----------|----------|----------|---------|-------|
| 1 V2    | 09/01/2015 00:00 | 14.65    | 177      | 05/01/2002 00:00 | 8.28    | 17       | 2174.52  | 10.35   | +     |
| 2 V3    | 06/01/2018 00:00 | 13.03    | 210      | 06/01/2002 00:00 | 6.91    | 18       | 2086.52  | 9.93    | +     |
| 3 V4    | 09/01/2015 00:00 | 22.20    | 177      | 05/01/2001 00:00 | 12.87   | 5        | 3517.32  | 16.74   | +     |
| 4 V5    | 09/01/2015 00:00 | 15.08    | 177      | 05/01/2001 00:00 | 5.62    | 5        | 2040.77  | 9.71    | +     |
| 5 V6    | 06/01/2018 00:00 | 10.52    | 210      | 06/01/2002 00:00 | 4.71    | 18       | 1512.70  | 7.20    | +     |
| 6 V7    | 05/01/2009 00:00 | 3.94     | 101      | 05/01/2010 00:00 | 1.17    | 113      | 603.21   | 2.87    | +     |
| 7 V8    | 11/01/2016 00:00 | 3.03     | 191      | 05/01/2002 00:00 | 1.06    | 17       | 280.79   | 1.33    | +     |
| 8 V9    | 06/01/2014 00:00 | 11.26    | 162      | 09/01/2001 00:00 | 4.89    | 9        | 1759.47  | 8.37    | +     |
| 9 V10   | 12/01/2013 00:00 | 11.44    | 156      | 12/01/2001 00:00 | 5.67    | 12       | 1858.65  | 8.85    | +     |

2.3 Data interpretation
It is focused on mapping the discrete patterns and events produced by signal analysis into messages and relationships defined by developers. Because the news that will be generated is in the form of general news, this stage is divided into two main processes, namely rule-based interpretation using the crisp set and interpretation using the fuzzy rule-based systems. However, for general data that doesn't have a rule as a reference for interpretation, there is a special process called general fuzzy generator and general trend generator, where data of general type will use the rule-based concept by using fuzzy sets. The general data will be interpreted based on the corpus with a membership value which is a modification of the fuzzy membership function on the general trend generator as can be seen in Figure 2, where the membership value for each parameter is highly dependent on the value range. The maximum and minimum values are obtained from the signal analysis stage [21].

In addition to general data, there are data interpretations that have been defined in Config Parameters, including air quality [22], wind speed [23], wind direction [24], and cloud coverage [25] using the crisp membership function while for temperature [26], rainfall [27], and parameters that have not been identified (general), data interpretation will be carried out using the fuzzy membership function. In addition, users can customize this process by changing the value or adding new parameters to be interpreted.

Figure 2 Fuzzy membership function for general parameter

2.4 Document planning
It is a process to determine whether events will be mentioned in the text along with the document structure. This process involves selecting content (content determination) and forming a text structure (document structuring) [28]. For the content selection process, it is done by dividing the content into two groups, namely routine message and significant event message [7], while document structuring is done by creating a scheme based on the target text created [7].
At this stage, the user can customize what will be displayed in the text. For summary content and current data description, content is selected by grouping the two previous groups, whereas for predictive information only uses the routine message group.

2.5 Microplanning and realization
It is a step to generate natural language in text based on the previous steps. At this stage, there are at least four things that need to be done, namely, lexicalization, aggregation, referring expression generation, and structure realization. In the lexicalization stage, a representation process is carried out between data changes, for example, "turn progressively to", "decrease to", "keep stable at", and so on [6]. The aggregation stage is carried out when connecting several messages into one unit using simple conjunction referring to contrast value [29]. Referring expression generation is done by generating randomly based on the corpus made [6].

Implementation of structure realization is carried out by arranging all content into a predetermined structure [2], the next process is to replace the parameter names with alternate values found in the config parameters.

3. Results
Experiments were carried out by generating news with 12 test-cases as in Table 3. For example, the raw data of CL_NHM as illustrated in Table 4, it can be seen that we have six attributes (i.e., date and time, cloud coverage, temperature, wind speed, wind direction, and rainfall) in numeric. By running the system and inputting these data, we will obtain the paragraphs in text illustrating the data behaviors.

Each experimental result was measured on four aspects, namely, reliability and computation time [26–29], as well as the comparison of outputs. General systems are compared with systems in a specific field, also a validation of representative text. The reliability test is performed using the readability analyzer application and for the computation time it is done using the system.time() function in R, while representative text validation is done by comparing the information with data visualization.

After doing some experiments using datasets as presented in Table 3, we obtain results as paragraphs. For example, the paragraphs generated by the D2T system for the CL_NHM data in Table 4 is presented in Figure 3.

| Table 3 Data collection: dataset code and their sources for experiments |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Dataset code | Dataset | Source and detail |
| KB_WH | (Buy) March 2018 | Bank Indonesia website (https://www.bi.go.id/) rupiah exchange rate against foreign currencies with a monthly range, from 1 January 2020 to 1 June 2018, using headers |
| KB_NH | (Buy) April 2018 | Bank Indonesia website (https://www.bi.go.id/) rupiah exchange rate against foreign currencies with a monthly range, from 1 January 2020 to 1 June 2018, without headers |
| KB_NHM | (Buy) Mei 2018 | Bank Indonesia website (https://www.bi.go.id/) Rupiah exchange rate against foreign currencies with a monthly range, from 1 January 2002 to 1 June 2018 with Corpus customization, without using headers |
| SD_WH | July 2016 | Daily interval data from the website https://solargis.com. For one year starting from 01 July 2016 to 31 December 2016 using a header |
| SD_NH | August 2016 | Daily interval data from the website https://solargis.com. For one year starting from 01 August 2016 to 31 December 2016 without using a header |
| SD_NHM | September 2016 | Daily interval data from the website https://solargis.com. For one year from 01 September 2016 to 30 September 2016 with Corpus customization, without using headers |
| CL_WH | 1 January 2016 - 31 December 2017 | Climatological data on the website www.MeteoGalicia.gal, for one year in the 2016-2017 period using headers |
| CL_NH | 1 January 2016 - 31 December 2017 | Climatological data on the website www.MeteoGalicia.gal, for one year in the 2016-2017 period without using a header |
| CL_NHM | 1 January 2016 - 31 December 2017 | Climatological data on the website www.MeteoGalicia.gal, for one year in the 2016-2017 period with Corpus customization, without using headers |
| Dataset code | Dataset | Source and detail |
|-------------|---------|------------------|
| AQ_WH       | 2016-2017 | Air quality data on the website www.MeteoGalicia.gal, for one year in the 2016-2017 period using headers |
| AQ_NH       | 2016-2017 | Air quality data on the website www.MeteoGalicia.gal, for one year in the 2016-2017 period without using a header |
| AQ_NHM      | 2016-2017 | Air quality data on the website www.MeteoGalicia.gal, for one year in the 2016-2017 period with Corpus customization, without using headers |

**Table 4 The raw data of CL_NHM (Climatological data)**

| DateTime    | CloudCoverage | Temperature | WindSpeed | WindDirection | Rainfall |
|-------------|---------------|-------------|-----------|---------------|----------|
| 07/06/2016 00:00 | 40.8          | 21.3        | 5.47      | 315           | 0        |
| 07/07/2016 00:00 | 20.9          | 20.1        | 6.41      | 315           | 0        |
| 07/08/2016 00:00 | 27.2          | 19.5        | 7.02      | 315           | 0        |
| 07/09/2016 00:00 | 23.2          | 19.1        | 5.94      | 315           | 0        |
| 07/10/2016 00:00 | 77.5          | 18.7        | 5.44      | 180           | 0        |

... ... ... ... ... ...

| 07/02/2017 00:00 | 12.6          | 18.9        | 7.34      | 315           | 0        |
| 07/03/2017 00:00 | 13.3          | 21.8        | 4.86      | 315           | 0        |
| 07/04/2017 00:00 | 18.7          | 24          | 6.59      | 225           | 0        |
| 07/05/2017 00:00 | 81.1          | 19.2        | 8.35      | 225           | 0        |
| 07/06/2017 00:00 | 58.3          | 17.9        | 6.48      | 315           | 0        |

**Figure 3** Texts generated by the D2T system in the 6th experiment using climatological data with corpus customization (CL_NHM)

4. Discussion

Overall, based on the result, the amount of content is certainly increasing, but textually this application is not as good as the DWP output [7] in his explanation, because the concept of this application is built for general data so that it can generate news based on any data as long as the data follows the input data format, while in DWP research input data must be the same as that in the study (parameters). In the DWP study, there are two input data, namely climatology and air quality, so that the content that appears has two parts. Whereas in research conducted by Ramos et al. [27, 28, 29], the text was built only for air quality and wind speed. In Goldberg’s research [6], there is a message about wind and snow separately whereas in Gkatzia study it is only communicated regarding the state of the sky [30]. This shows that the D2T that has been built before is only for specific data, not general, so there is a possibility that the previous system will not work if given other time-series data. Moreover, in the aspect of reliability, an assessment is carried out based on the Flesch Reading Ease Score obtained using the ReadabilityAnalyzer tool on the www.datayze.com website and the www.Readabilityformulas.com site, so that the results are in Table 5. The results of the Computation Time are obtained by running the system.time() function in R language, so that the results are as in Table 6. It can be seen that in general speaking the computation times of the developed D2T system is quite fast.
Table 5 Readability aspect measurement results

| Dataset code | Flesch reading ease score (Readability formulas) | Flesch reading ease score (Datayze) |
|--------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| CL_WH        | 79.3                                          | 67.65                               |
| CL_NH        | 90.3                                          | 82.27                               |
| CL_WHM       | 87.5                                          | 79.58                               |
| KB_WH        | 60.3                                          | 50.6                                |
| KB_NH        | 89.5                                          | 84.38                               |
| KB_NHM       | 91.5                                          | 86.77                               |
| SD_WH        | 82.1                                          | 78.1                                |
| SD_NH        | 83.7                                          | 74.3                                |
| SD_NHM       | 74.9                                          | 90.2                                |
| AQ_WH        | 87.2                                          | 81.5                                |
| AQ_NH        | 81.3                                          | 86.6                                |
| AQ_NHM       | 72.4                                          | 75.7                                |
| Average      | 81.7                                          | 78.1                                |
| Overall Average | 80.0                                      |                                     |

Table 6 Computation time measurement results

| Dataset code | Running time (s) |
|--------------|------------------|
| CL_WH        | 2.01             |
| CL_NH        | 1.98             |
| CL_WHM       | 2.14             |
| KB_WH        | 1.84             |
| KB_NH        | 2.08             |
| KB_NHM       | 2.08             |
| SD_WH        | 1.98             |
| SD_NH        | 2.03             |
| SD_NHM       | 2.25             |
| AQ_WH        | 2.44             |
| AQ_NH        | 2.63             |
| AQ_NHM       | 2.79             |
| Average      | 2.235            |

5. Conclusion and future work
The development of a D2T system for general data using machine learning and other approaches (i.e., KMP and statistical tools) has been done, where it can work without input in the form of numeric data tables of any kind. This is one of the advantages, because any input system whether the data has information in the form of headers, data types, rules, or not, the system will still be usable. From the overall results of the experiments conducted, the output of the system is proven to represent the given data. This study obtained an overall average score of 80.0 in the aspect of reliability, which means that the output of this system is classified as a reading category that is very easy to understand even by the level of elementary school students. Meanwhile, in the aspect of Computation Time, the average computation time is 2,235 seconds. For further research, the development of corpus can be carried out so that the type of output produced becomes more varied, especially in the content determination section, it is hoped that the machine learning algorithm can be used for content selection in the output text. Moreover, UI / UX will be improved so that users can more freely customize the application.
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