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Product-state distribution after isotopic substitution in ultracold atom–molecule collisions
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Abstract

We theoretically investigate the isotopic substitution reactions in gaseous samples at ultracold temperature (\(T \ll 1\) K) during atom–molecule collisions where one of the constituent atoms of the molecule is replaced by its isotope. We focus on molecular species that can be created experimentally in this range. We show that the molecular products are translationally cold, which could allow their trapping and thus their detection in order to shed new light on ultracold molecular collisions. We also demonstrate that in a few cases, the molecular products may occupy only the lowest rotational states. We discuss the possibility of controlling the kinetic energy release of such chemical reactions by an external electric field.
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The past decade has witnessed rapid progress in the investigation of chemistry at ultracold temperatures. Ospelkaus et al [1] demonstrated field-free chemical reactions between KRb molecules and constituent atoms. By controlling the internal state of KRb molecule, it was possible to enhance the reaction rate between two KRb molecules by allowing s-wave collisions. They have also described collisions of KRb with ultracold K or Rb atoms finding that a formation of K + Rb\(_2\) products is energetically forbidden. Later on, the same experimental group [2, 3] has also shown that an external electric field may be applied to orient KRb molecules and to influence their reaction rate. Recently, a mechanism to monitor the chemical reactivity by the selection of reactant vibrational state has been utilized in the reaction between NaRb molecules [4]. Other experimental attempts involve Feshbach molecules and ultracold atoms in the presence of an external magnetic field [5, 6].

Measurements of the reactant loss is a straightforward way to probe reactivity at ultracold temperatures. However, the detection of products would provide much more details about the underlying dynamics. A basic difficulty in detecting product states of such reactions is that the kinetic energy release is often larger by several orders of magnitude than the depths of most traps used in experiments (see e.g. [7, 8]). Despite several successful realizations of ultracold molecular gases of ground-state heteronuclear alkali-metal diatomic species [9–17] and promising prospects [18, 19], no detailed study of product-state distribution has yet been reported so far. However two important steps in this direction were
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microwave traps in future experiments based for instance on electrostatic or experimental interest are found small enough to be considered

If the molecule is initially in its lowest rovibrational level

recently achieved: the detection of weakly-bound $^{87}\text{Rb}_2$

It would be desirable to explore cases in which the products can be accumulated in the trap after the chemical reaction. Inspired by a recent proposal for chemical reaction with very small energy release, involving isotope exchange in ultracold molecular collisions [23], we investigate in the present work the isotopic substitution reactions between a ground-state alkali-metal atom $^a\text{A}$ with atomic mass $a$ and a ground-state heteronuclear diatomic molecule containing a different isotopic species $^b\text{A}$ (with $a > b$), and another atom B

$^a\text{A} + ^b\text{B} \rightarrow ^b\text{A} + ^a\text{AB}$.  (1)

If the molecule is initially in its lowest rovibrational level $v=0$, $n=0$, (neglecting the hyperfine structure for now), the energy release $\Delta\text{E}_{a-b}$ for the reaction (1) can be expressed in terms of the change of the zero-point energy

$$\Delta\text{E}_{a-b} = \frac{\omega_a - \omega_b}{2},$$

where $\omega_a(\omega_b)$ is the harmonic constant of the $^a\text{AB}$ ($^b\text{AB}$) molecule. Values of $\Delta\text{E}_{a-b}$ for a selected series of species of experimental interest are found small enough to be considered in future experiments based for instance on electrostatic or microwave traps (table 1).

Our previous studies show that atom-exchange reactions involving alkali-metal dimers and atoms, as well as strontium monofluoride and strontium atoms, are barrierless processes [7, 8]. In all these cases the potential energy surfaces are very deep and support tens of vibrational levels and hundreds of rotational levels. Full quantum scattering calculations for atom–molecule reactive collisions, even for the field-free case, are cumbersome for strongly interacting species as alkali-metal atoms [42–46], due to the huge number of involved channels. Interestingly enough, the isotope-exchange of lithium in field-free atom-diatomic collisions has been investigated in [44], and the product-state distribution for this system has been analyzed.

Recently, it was demonstrated [47, 48] that the distribution of product rotational states after the atom-exchange reaction between ultracold K atom and KRb molecule, calculated with rigorous quantum scattering calculations exhibits the Poissonian distribution, while the of positions and widths of scattering resonances display signatures of quantum chaos: Wigner–Dyson distribution of resonances spacings in energy domain, and Porter–Thomas distribution of widths of resonances. A similar conclusion has been reached for the $\text{D}^+ + \text{H}_2 \rightarrow \text{H}^+ + \text{DH}$ reaction [49, 50]. Furthermore, it was noticed in [51] that the collisions of alkali-metal dimers with atoms exhibit strongly resonant character: near the collision threshold, the density of bound states supported by closed channels (related to excited hyperfine, rotational and vibrational states of products) is very high. Because of that, long-lived collision complexes are predicted to be formed, and full randomization of the reaction energy (via internal modes) should occur before the complex is destroyed. This essentially makes the product state distribution of the complex statistical, i.e. without featuring correlation between products and reactants. We then use the statistical approach adopted in [52] for ultracold molecular collisions to investigate such isotope-substitution reactions when all particles are in their absolute ground state in free space. We will show how the electric field can be used to control their reactivities by blocking the reaction channels and by tuning product state distributions. Proposal for control of reactivity by a static electric field has been discussed by Tscherbul and Krems [53] for the case of the LiF + H → Li + HF reaction, where the energy gap between reactant and product thresholds can be altered. However, in the case of isotopic substitutions, the Stark shifts are comparable to $\Delta\text{E}$, hence, the reactions can be modified significantly. Although we focus here on the electric field control, one could imagine a similar scenario with the magnetic field for paramagnetic molecules or microwave radiation fields.

For the chemical reactions addressed in table 1, the kinetic energy release is small. Values for $\Delta\text{E}_{a-b}$ have been obtained using experimental data [31, 24, 27, 29, 33, 34, 36] and mass-scaled harmonic frequencies of the ground

### Table 1.

| Products | $\Delta\text{E}_{a-b}$ (mK) | $B_0/k_B T$ (mK) | $A/k_B T$ (mK) | $\gamma_{SR}$ (mK) | $n_{\text{max}}$ | $\ell_{\text{max}}$ |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| $^{39}\text{K} + ^{23}\text{Na}^{40}\text{K}$ | 415 [24] | 136 [25] | 11 [26] | — | 1 | 18 |
| $^{39}\text{K} + ^{23}\text{Na}^{41}\text{K}$ | 811 [24] | 136 [25] | 11 [26] | — | 1 | 23 |
| $^{40}\text{K} + ^{23}\text{Na}^{41}\text{K}$ | 396 [24] | 136 [25] | –14 [26] | — | 1 | 18 |
| $^{39}\text{K} + ^{40}\text{K}^{87}\text{Rb}$ | 472 [27] | 55 [28] | 11 [26] | — | 2 | 22 |
| $^{39}\text{K} + ^{40}\text{K}^{133}\text{Cs}$ | 478 [29] | 44 [30] | 11 [26] | — | 2 | 23 |
| $^{85}\text{Rb} + ^8\text{Li}^{87}\text{Rb}$ | 123 [31] | 316 [30] | 49 [32] | — | 0 | 16 |
| $^{85}\text{Rb} + ^{23}\text{Na}^{87}\text{Rb}$ | 188 [33] | 100 [11] | 49 [32] | — | 0 | 20 |
| $^{85}\text{Rb} + ^{39}\text{K}^{87}\text{Rb}$ | 198 [27] | 55 [28] | 49 [32] | — | 1 | 22 |
| $^{85}\text{Rb} + ^{87}\text{Rb}^{133}\text{Cs}$ | 253 [34] | 23 [35] | 49 [32] | — | 1 | 27 |
| $^{86}\text{Sr} + ^{88}\text{Sr}^{19}\text{F}$ | 745 [36] | 365 [36] | 5 [35] | 4 [35] | 1 | 27 |
Figure 1. The $^{40}\text{K} + ^{23}\text{Na}^{19}\text{K} \rightarrow ^{39}\text{K} + ^{23}\text{Na}^{40}\text{K}$ reaction. (a) Splitting of the molecular rotational levels in a absence and presence of external electric field. The diagram shows field-free rotational levels which are labeled by $n_0$ (indicating $^{23}\text{Na}^{39}\text{K}$) and $n_0$ (indicating $^{23}\text{Na}^{40}\text{K}$) quantum numbers as well as by $j = i + s$ quantum number describing the hyperfine interactions of the atomic partner ($^{40}\text{K}$ for reactants and $^{39}\text{K}$ for products). The plot shows a splitting of these levels due to the interaction with external electric field. Note that, the hyperfine states of the system are superimposed the resolution of the diagram and plot. The $\Delta E_{40\rightarrow 39}$ is the reaction exothermicity. The red thick line corresponds to initial state of the reactants. The dashed vertical lines in the plot indicate crossings between reactant and product states due to the Stark effect. (b) Product distribution of the translational energy. (c) Distribution of the projection of the rotational quantum number, $m_r$ corresponding to $^{23}\text{Na}^{40}\text{K}$ molecule. The results presented in panels (b) and (c) are obtained with an initial collisional energy of 1 $\mu$K.

state. Non-Born–Oppenheimer (NBO) effects, leading to an estimated shift of about dozens of MHz between the two isotopologues $^4\text{AB}$ and $^6\text{AB}$ for alkali-metal dimers [54], are neglected, so that they have both the same dissociation limit before including hyperfine structure. The same origin is considered for the hyperfine manifold of the colliding atomic partner. The values of $\Delta E_{a-b}$ are obtained after setting the origin of energies at this dissociation limit, which is the center of gravity of the hyperfine manifold of the constituent atoms. The hyperfine structure of the $(v = 0, n = 0)$ ground-state level is also neglected for these evaluations. The $^{86}\text{Sr} + ^{86}\text{Sr}^{19}\text{F}$ reaction must be considered with care, as $\Delta E_{a-b}$ is only about 300 MHz larger than the $n = 0 \rightarrow n = 1$ rotational excitation in $^{88}\text{Sr}^{19}\text{F}$. Using the same methodology as in [54], based on ADF quantum-chemistry code [55] with the density functional method (PBE96) [56], we found that the NBO shift between the ground-state $(v = 0, n = 0)$ level energies of $^{36}\text{Sr}^{19}\text{F}$ and $^{36}\text{Sr}^{19}\text{F}$, is smaller than 10 MHz, and thus, can be safely neglected.

The $\Delta E_{a-b}$ values displayed in table 1 range from 123 mK to 811 mK, corresponding to the $^8\text{Rb} + ^{85}\text{Li}^{13}\text{Rb}$ and $^{41}\text{K} + ^{23}\text{Na}^{39}\text{K}$ collisions, respectively. These energy releases are larger than the typical depth of optical dipole traps, but it should be possible to retain the products in electrostatic traps or in microwave cavities, or even in magnetic traps for the case of monofluoride reactants. The maximal value $P_{\max}$ of the molecular rotational quantum number $n$ allowed by the energy release is deduced within the rigid-rotor approximation for the rotational energies $E(n) = n(n + 1)B_0$, where $B_0$ is the rotational constant of the $(v = 0, n = 0)$ ground-state level. We neglected collision energy of 1 $\mu$K in the $P_{\max}$ estimation. Interestingly, very few rotational levels can be populated in the products, and only $n = 0$ in the cases of $^{87}\text{Rb} + ^{85}\text{Rb}^{23}\text{Na}$, and $^{87}\text{Rb} + ^{85}\text{Rb}^{13}\text{Li}$ collisions. In contrast, very large number of hyperfine levels can be populated in the alkali-metal. Specifically, there are 144 hyperfine levels for both $n = 0$ and $n = 1$ rotational states of the Na$^{40}$K and even more for the $^{87}\text{Rb}$Cs due to the presence of $n = 2$ rotational state (both in $X^1\Sigma^+$ ground-state). For the alkali-metal-atom + alkali-metal-dimer system, these levels are additionally split by the hyperfine interactions of the atomic collision partner. The rotational splitting in the SrF molecule in the $X^2\Sigma^+$ ground-state needs additional comments. Firstly, due to non-zero electronic spin, each rotational level is split by the spin-rotation interaction into states described by $j = n + s$. Secondly, these states are split by the fluorine hyperfine interaction. Overall, there are 16 hyperfine levels in the $^{86}\text{Sr}$F which can be populated for $n = 0$ and $n = 1$ rotational states. In field free case we use $f = j + i$ quantum number to label them, accordingly.

Cold polar molecules considered in this paper exhibit a permanent dipole moment in their own frame (identical for all isotopologues to a very good approximation) large enough (see, e.g. [57]) to strongly interact with a static electric field. Noticeable Stark shifts comparable to $\Delta E_{a-b}$ at zero field can be induced by applying an experimentally achievable electric field. Figures 1(a), 2(a), and 3(a) show the variation of the level energies of the reactant and product complexes with the electric field for three typical cases, $^{40}\text{K} + ^{87}\text{K}^{23}\text{Na}$, $^{87}\text{Rb} + ^{85}\text{Rb}^{13}\text{Cs}$, and $^{86}\text{Sr} + ^{86}\text{Sr}^{19}\text{F}$ collisions, respectively. The energy levels of reactants and products are parallel, with different splittings within each $n$ manifold due to the change of hyperfine states. In case of molecules with lighter atoms, such as NaK or SrF molecules, the hyperfine splittings of the reactant atoms are much smaller than rotational energy of the molecules. On the other hand, the Rb hyperfine splitting is much larger than the RbCs rotational constant, so the pattern of thresholds of reactants and products is quite complex. Note that the hyperfine states of molecules are superimposed at the resolution of the plot.

As can be seen in the panels (a) of the figures, it is possible to induce crossings between the energies of reactants and products by tuning the electric field, thus closing or opening reaction thresholds for the related collisions. For the
Figure 2. The $^{87}\text{Rb} + ^{85}\text{Rb}^{133}\text{Cs} \rightarrow ^{85}\text{Rb} + ^{87}\text{Rb}^{133}\text{Cs}$ reaction. (a) Splitting of the molecular rotational levels in a absence and presence of external electric field. The diagram shows field-free rotational levels which are labeled by $n_{a5}$ (indicating $^{85}\text{Rb}^{133}\text{Cs}$) and $n_{a7}$ (indicating $^{87}\text{Rb}^{133}\text{Cs}$) quantum numbers as well as by $f = i + s$ quantum number describing the hyperfine interactions of the atomic partner$^{85}\text{Rb}$ for reactants and $^{87}\text{Rb}$ for products). The plot shows a splitting of these levels due to the interaction with external electric field. Note that, the hyperfine states of the system are superimposed the resolution of the diagram and plot. The $\Delta E_{\text{Rb-Cs}}$ is the reaction exothermicity. The red thick line corresponds to initial state of the reactants. The dashed vertical lines in the plot indicate crossings between reactant and product states due to the Stark effect. (b) Product distribution of the translational energy. (c) Distribution of the projection of the rotational quantum number, $m_n$, corresponding to $^{87}\text{Rb}^{133}\text{Cs}$ molecule. The results presented in panels (b) and (c) are obtained with an initial collisional energy of $1\mu\text{K}$.

Figure 3. The $^{88}\text{Sr} + ^{86}\text{Sr}^{19}\text{F} \rightarrow ^{86}\text{Sr} + ^{88}\text{Sr}^{19}\text{F}$ reaction. (a) Splitting of the molecular rotational levels in a absence and presence of external electric field. The diagram shows field-free rotational levels which are labeled by the $n$, $j = n + s$, and $f = n + s + i$ quantum numbers which characterize to rotation, spin-rotation and hyperfine couplings in the SrF molecule. The subscripts $a$ and $b$ indicates reactants and products, respectively. The plot shows a splitting of these levels due to the interaction with external electric field. Note that, the hyperfine states of the system are superimposed the resolution of the diagram and plot. The $\Delta E_{\text{Sr-a}}$ is the reaction exothermicity. The red thick line corresponds to initial state of the reactants. The dashed vertical lines in the plot indicate crossings between reactant and product states due to the Stark effect. (b) Product distribution of the translational energy. (c) Distribution of the projection of the rotational quantum number, $m_n$, corresponding to $^{86}\text{Sr}^{19}\text{F}$ molecule. The results presented in panels (b) and (c) are obtained with an initial collisional energy of $1\mu\text{K}$.

$^{39}\text{K} + ^{40}\text{K}^{23}\text{Na}$ (figure 1 (a)), close to $4\text{ kV cm}^{-1}$, the ground state of the reactant $^{23}\text{Na}^{39}\text{K}$ approaches $m_n = 0$ states of $^{23}\text{Na}^{40}\text{K}$ molecule (which for zero field correlate with $n = 1$), and becomes a closed channel while the electric field is increased further. The same situation can be seen close to $7.5\text{ kV cm}^{-1}$: the channels with $|m_n| = 1$ close as the electric field is swept across the state crossing. On the other hand, there are multiple crossings of the ground state of reactants with rotationally excited states of product molecules up to $n = 2$ in case of the RbCs system (figure 2(a)). A magnitude of electric field above $15\text{ kV cm}^{-1}$ closes channels corresponding to $n = 2$ states of product $^{87}\text{Rb}^{133}\text{Cs}$. The reaction starting with $^{85}\text{Sr} + ^{86}\text{Sr}^{19}\text{F}$ (see figure 3(a)) is very peculiar, since the reactant ground-state energy is very close to the one of rotationally-excited product states, and an electric field of about $2.5\text{ kV cm}^{-1}$ is sufficient to close all channels except ground rotational $n = 0$ state. The molecules which are produced in $n = 1$ state are very slow with total translational energy on the order of $20\text{ mK}$ or less.

The statistical approach for collisions was initially formulated in the case of nuclear scattering by Feshbach [58–60], and adapted for molecular collisions by Bernstein, Light, and Miller [61–63]. It has been since then extended and combined with more rigorous close-coupling schemes to study the reactive scattering in many-partial wave regime for a number of important chemical reactions [64–66]. Recently, the statistical approach was used for ultracold collision studies between Li and LiYb, and compared to quantum reactive scattering [67]. Here, the statistical approach of [52] is extended to the case of ultracold atom/molecule collisions in a presence of an electric field, and is used to analyze the product state distributions in reactions with exothermicity on the order of tens to hundreds of kelvins.

An essential assumption of the statistical method is that collisions proceed via the long-lived intermediate complex, so that a full randomization of quantum state populations in the interaction region occurs. Then the reactants and products are uncorrelated, and the transition probability for reactant state $\alpha$
to product state $\beta$ is expressed as

$$P_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta}^M = P_{\alpha}^M P_{\beta}^M \sum_{\gamma} P_{\gamma}^M,$$

(3)

where $\gamma$ denotes all possible values $\beta$. The quantities $P_{\alpha}^M$ and $P_{\beta}^M$ are the probabilities for capture in the entrance channel, and isotopologue formation in the outgoing channel. All these probabilities depend on the collision energy $E$ and on the electric field magnitude $\varepsilon$. Note that in the external field the total angular momentum $J$ of the collision complex is not conserved but its projection $M$ onto the space-fixed axis (along the direction of the electric field) is.

The statistical method should be particularly useful for collisions of heavy atoms with alkali-metal dimers and systems with monofluorides of atoms with an external $s^2$ shell, like alkaline-earth atoms. The method relies on complex formation due to a presence of resonances and for systems with large reduced mass, small rotational constants, and a rich hyperfine structure. The initial estimations of the density of states near the collision threshold were performed by Mayle et al. [68] and recently revisited by Christianen et al. [69] for the systems with neglected hyperfine structure. In the latter paper, the density of states which do not conserve the total angular momentum but conserve its projection (which is the case for electric field) for $K_2 + Rb$ collisions was calculated to be on the order of $10^4$ states per inverse Kelvin which is two orders of magnitude more than in case when the total angular momentum is conserved (in field-free case). This number is possibly smaller for NaK + K system but larger for RbCs + Rb. The density of states in all systems concerned here is, in fact, more significant due to complex hyperfine structure. The strongest effect which couples different hyperfine states is nuclear quadrupole interaction with the electric field gradients at nucleus which changes with geometry, but also interaction-induced modification of hyperfine coupling of alkali-metal atoms [70] or SrF molecule. The detailed analysis of resonances in these complexes in an electric field with hyperfine couplings included is very challenging. However, for the sake of the present paper, one can safely assume that statistical regime is achieved in all systems studied in the present paper.

The reaction cross-section $\sigma_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta}^M$ for a collision at energy $E$ (taken from an arbitrary origin) and an electric field magnitude $\varepsilon$ can be expressed as

$$\sigma_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta}^M(E, \varepsilon) = \frac{\pi \hbar^2}{2\mu (E - E_o(\varepsilon))} \sum_M p_M^\alpha(E, \varepsilon) p_M^\beta(E, \varepsilon),$$

(4)

where $\mu$ is the reduced mass of the reactants, $E - E_o(\varepsilon)$ gives the effective collisional energy above the reactant energy $E_o$ at a given $\varepsilon$. In the studied cases, the initial channel corresponds to a single hyperfine state of the reactant molecule and of the atom partner, colliding in the s-wave regime (i.e. the $\ell$ quantum number associated to the atom–molecule mutual rotation is equal to 0, and it is assumed to be decoupled from the other angular momenta). Following [52], we obtained the energy levels of molecules concerned by diagonalizing the Hamiltonian of the system including the hyperfine structure and the Stark operator (see e.g. [71, 72]). We used accurate atomic and molecular constants to obtain the energy levels of the systems [11, 31, 24, 27, 29, 33, 34, 36, 25, 26, 28, 30, 32, 35, 71–76]. Although the spacing between hyperfine energy levels of $^1\Sigma^+$ molecules is several orders of magnitude smaller than the one between rotational levels, we included them to properly account for state counting. To calculate complex formation probability $P_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta}^M$, we used the semi-classical approach of tunneling through the reaction barrier (which in this case reduces to the centrifugal barrier): unit probability for $E - E_c$ above the centrifugal barrier (similarly as in Langevin model), and a non-zero probability of the tunneling effect expressed in the Wentzel–Kramers–Brillouin model otherwise, which can be written as [52, 77]:

$$p_{\gamma}^M(E, \varepsilon) = \exp \left\{ -\frac{2}{\hbar} \int_{R_{\min}}^{R_{\max}} \frac{\ell_s(\ell_s + 1) \hbar^2}{2\mu, R^2} - \frac{C_6}{R^6} - (E - E_{\gamma}(\varepsilon)) \right\} dR,$$

(5)

where the $R_{\min}$ and $R_{\max}$ are classical turning points characterizing a position of the centrifugal barrier and $C_6$ is the long-range dispersion coefficient. We took van der Waals $C_6$ coefficients from [75] to represent long-range potentials.

Figures 1(b), 2(b), and 3(b) show the probabilities of forming the atom + diatom products with the specific translational energy for the reactants with an initial collisional energy of $1 \mu K$, while the panel (c) therein shows the formation probability of the molecules in a given $|m_\ell|$ quantum state. Each curve in the panels (c) is a sum of all contributions to the probability presented in the panels (b). Interestingly, for zero electric field, the largest propensity of forming the product molecule corresponds to the state with the largest energy difference between ground state $n = 0$ and product state. That is due to the distribution of products among all accessible $\ell$ quantum numbers in an outgoing channel is the largest. This result can be rationalized using the phase-space theory (PST) of Pechukas and Light [62], which is essentially a particular case of equation (3) in which step-function probabilities (equal to 0 for barrier reflection, and equal to 1, for transmission over the barrier) are used. If we neglect the hyperfine states, one can easily show that for final rotational state $n$ of products, one should populate $(2n + 1)(\ell_{\max}(n) + 1)/(\sum_{m < \ell_{\max}}(2n + 1)(\ell_{\max}(n) + 1))$, where $\ell_{\max}(n)$ is the maximum end-over-end angular momentum for which the centrifugal barrier fits below $\Delta E_{\ell, \ell} - B_{\ell n}(n + 1)$. In table 1, we specified the largest possible $\ell_{\max}$ value corresponding to $n = 0$ in the product state. These values are on the order of 20, so clearly, in the outgoing channel, we are no longer in the quantum regime. Approximate estimation of branching ratios in the zero-field with the PST theory agree well with calculations using the theory developed in [52] for K + NaK and Sr + SrF reactions, for which the energy level splitting due to hyperfine coupling is much smaller than the rotational spacing.

An inspection of figure 1(b) reveals that the zero-field probabilities for the K + NaK system are 63% for the channels corresponding to $n = 1$ state with a translational energy
order of 100 mK and 37% for \( n = 0 \) state with energy about 400 mK. Interestingly, product distributions for the K + NaK system are less complicated than in case of the Rb + RbCs reaction (see figure 2(b)). For the latter one, probabilities are 22% for 60 mK, and 34% for 110 mK in a mixture of products with \( n = 1 \), and \( n = 2 \) states, and 26% for separated \( n = 1 \) state with 210 mK, and 9% for \( n = 0 \) states with energy above 100 mK, and 250 mK. In case of the Sr + SrF reaction, probabilities are 60% for \( n = 0 \) state with an energy order of 750 mK, and 40% for energies between about 10 mK, and 20 mK which correspond to \( n = 1 \), and \( n = 0 \) states, respectively.

Reaction products and their probability distributions can be controlled by tuning of an external electric field, since the energy levels have different effective dipole moments (defined as energy derivative with respect to electric field). The Stark shifts of the rotational energy of reactants close reactive channels if product states are above reactant states in the energy. As the energy gap between reactant and product can cross the centrifugal barrier decreases. Hence the number of product states also decreases with the energy gap, as the \( L_{\text{max}} \approx \sqrt{108 \Delta E / E_{\text{vdw}}} \). For this reason it is rather inefficient to tune the difference between products and reactants arbitrarily close with the electric field as a very small quantity of very cold products will be produced in such reaction. For the \( ^{40}\text{K} \) (\( F = 9/2 \) + \( ^{23}\text{Na} ^{40}\text{K} \) (\( v = 0 \), \( n = 0 \) reaction), the intensity of the electric field of 8.16 kV cm\(^{-1} \) is sufficient to produce molecules in the pure rotational ground state. For a slightly detuned value of the electric field, e.g. for the intensity of about 7.3 kV cm\(^{-1} \), one can produce the molecules in the \( |m_{s}| = 1 \) state with translational energy of products equalled 16 mK with a probability of 10%. Translational energy of products may even be smaller than 100 \( \mu \)K for about 8.1 kV cm\(^{-1} \) but with a population of about 3%. Interestingly, the probability is 23% for molecules in \( n = 1 \) state with translational energy about 10 \( \mu \)K in the Rb + RbCs system. In this reaction, the Stark shifts close reactive channels corresponded to \( n = 1 \) state for intensities above 36.3 kV cm\(^{-1} \). The probability for production of samples of \( ^{88}\text{SrF} \) molecules with the translational energy smaller than 100 \( \mu \)K is 4% for an electric field 2.6 kV cm\(^{-1} \) which is a slightly detuned value of the field at which all reactive channels close, except ones for the ground rotational state.

In summary, we have shown that products of the isotopic substitution reactions are cold according to their translational energy below hundreds of mK, and molecular products may occupy the lowest rotational states. We have also discussed the possibility of controlling the chemical reactions by the electric field in ultracold mixtures of molecules and atoms with low kinetic energy release, where one of the constituent atoms of colliding molecule is replaced by its isotope. Such experiments could be implemented with present experimental techniques, using a modest electric field (up to 20 kV cm\(^{-1} \)). With such an experimental setup it is possible to trap a large amount of the product molecules in the trap, if the external microwave cavity trap is used, or—in case of \( ^{2}\Sigma^{+} \) molecules—in a magnetic trap. Given that full quantum dynamics calculations in external fields are very challenging and at present approximations need to be introduced, such experiments can shed new light on untangling complicated dynamics near the reaction thresholds.
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