Introduction: Acute appendicitis is one of the most common surgical diseases. Perforated appendicitis resulting in catastrophic complication because of a burst abdomen with necrotizing fasciitis of the abdominal wall is exceedingly a rare case.

Presentation of case: A 13-year-old girl with perforated appendicitis who had undergone laparotomy had to experience a burst abdomen with necrotizing fasciitis of the abdominal wall. The patient has temporarily closed the abdominal cavity with a urine bag (modified Bogota bag). After 23 times replacement of the Bogota bag and the condition of the sepsis and nutrition had improved, the abdominal wall reconstruction was performed by carrying out two random rotational flaps to close the abdominal defect. One year later, the patient came for ileocolostomy closure; then the patient was sent home with uneventful condition.

Discussion: Perforated appendicitis is a type of acute appendicitis with a low morbidity rate. Compared to other complications, burst abdomen and necrotizing fasciitis (NF) in perforated appendicitis are exceptionally rare events. Surgery with sepsis and recurrent intra-abdominal abscesses as a result of previous surgery are the causes of burst abdomen. The use of abdominal drainage has also been shown to cause NF. Both of these conditions will increase the cost and length of stay, and reduce the quality of life. The rotational flap procedure is the procedure of choice for a large burst abdomen.

Conclusion: Surgeons should and have to perform a meticulous operation to prevent catastrophic complication and to increase the quality of life.
performed. Five days later, feces came out again from the surgical wound, then the patient was sent to the referral hospital in Banda Aceh (Fig. 1A). The patient was in sepsis condition and we performed a preoperative Early Goal Direct Therapy (EGDT) procedure. The senior pediatric surgeon performed a redo laparotomy and found multiple perforations in the proximal stump ileostomy and caecum which were likely to occur due to the previous surgery of the intestinal adhesion. Besides, fasciitis necroticans was found in the right waist to the right inguinal which is caused by fecal spillage to the subcutis due to the use of intra-abdominal drainage (Fig. 1B). Redo ileostomy and colostomy mucous fistula were performed. The fascia and muscles of the laparotomy wound are fragile, so the abdomen is temporarily closed with a urine bag (modified Bogota sac) (Fig. 1C).

Every 3 days, we replaced the urine bag in the operating room to clean the abdominal cavity for 21 times until the condition of the sepsis and the abdominal tissue is optimal for reconstruction. Provision of meropenem and metronidazole antibiotics was done intravenously (no antibiotic allergy). Total parenteral nutrition was given for 14 days, followed by giving ceftriaxone according to the results of blood culture. After 14 days, the patient’s condition began to stabilize, and the administration of oral diet was done gradually to optimal body weight for reconstructive surgery (the patient lost 10 kg of weight). The abdominal wall reconstruction procedure was performed by senior plastic and pediatric surgeons by performing 2 random rotational flaps from the upper right abdominal and left inguinal to close the abdominal defect (Fig. 2A). Before the flap was sutured, we used a partial absorbable surgical mesh to close the abdominal fascia defect. The defect caused by the rotational flap was covered with skin grafts from both thighs. The reconstruction operation was carried out in two stages because there were infections of the surgical wound from the inguinal flap, and ischemia of the rotational abdominal flap. The total length of stay was 90 days and the patient returned home in good condition.

One year later, the patient came to our hospital for ileocolostomy closure (Fig. 2B). Minimal bowel adhesion and size of ileostomy proximal 4 cm and distal 2 cm were obtained. The end to end Nixon anastomosis procedures were performed. Patients fasted for 1 day, and then the oral administration of the diet was done gradually until free diet. The patient returned home on the seventh day in good condition (Fig. 2C). The patient is currently in college with good performance.

3. Discussion

Appendicitis is one of the most common abdominal surgical emergencies which is usually managed by doing an appendectomy. Perforated appendicitis is a type of acute appendicitis with a morbidity rate of 15.8%. Compared to other complications, necrotizing fasciitis (NF) is an exceptionally rare event. Although the incidence is relatively low, NF is a life-threatening soft tissue infection associated with high mortality (6%–76%) [5]. It is nearly always associated with the perforation of a retrocecal appendix due to delayed diagnosis and treatment. There are two orifices that have been described: the inferior lumbar triangle (known as the Petit triangle) and the superior lumbar triangle (known as the Grynfeltt-Lesshaft triangle). As these two triangles void of muscular layers are the areas of relative weakness in the abdominal wall, unusual traumatic lumbar hernias are known to develop through them [6]. Our patient has a unique aetiology, we suggest it from the spillage of the abdominal drain (NGT tube) to subcutaneous layers. Usually, in a rural hospital, the general surgeon uses a gastric tube and make another hole to maximize the drain of the fluid collection for abdomen. We found there are two holes in the fat layer, and a lot of feces were spillage to that layer. This is following the two studies which concluded that the abdominal drainage after an emergency open appendectomy delays hospital discharge and may increase the rates of wound infection and morbidity for patients with complicated appendicitis [7,8].

Burst abdomen is a severe postoperative complication experienced by Surgeons and Gyneecologist. It commonly occurs among patients on the 6th-8th day after the operation. There might be different factors related to the occurrence of burst abdomen such as suture material and technique, postoperative coughing and vomiting, distension, wound infection, obesity, hypoproteinemia, anemia, and immunocompromised conditions [2]. Because the patient had undergone two surgeries and experienced sepsis, the muscle and fascia tissue were fragile and difficult to close. So we decided to do a temporary closure of the abdomen with a modified Bogota bag with a plastic urine bag. The disadvantage of using a urine bag is the occurrence of contamination and a continuous process of infection, so we have to perform a routine wash of the abdominal cavity every 3 days to treat sepsis in the patient. Due to the abundant soft tissue and laxity of the abdominal wall, most of the burst abdomen are amenable to local soft tissue closure. However, when the abdominal wall defects are accompanied by a lack of soft tissue, the surgeon faces a more complex subset of reconstructions [9]. We choose the two rotational flap due to the large of the abdominal defect. The right upper abdominal flap was performed due to the large of tissue needed, while the inguinal flap was chosen to close the small remaining defect at the inferior. Before closing the abdominal defect, we partially put absorbable surgical mesh to prevent ventral hernia. The retrospective study concluded that the combined reconstruction of the complicated abdominal defect with flap and mesh has decreased the incidence of ventral hernia [10].

In conclusion, the catastrophic complication is usually low and very rare, associated with a high mortality rate. The surgeon should and have to perform meticulous operation to prevent catastrophic complication and to increase the quality of life.
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