Tweens are not teens: the problem of amalgamating broad age groups when making pandemic recommendations
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Abstract
Demarcating childhood into two distinct and broad 10-year age bands of over and under age 10 is a disservice to our tween population (9–12 years), and may be overlooking our role in understanding the negative impacts of SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) during a formative period of development. In this commentary, we discuss the importance of considering tweens as a unique population of youth who are differentially impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic. We first describe the distinctive progress of tweens across various facets of developmental health, followed by recommendations to improve understanding and address impact of the pandemic and its restrictions on tweens. The COVID-19 pandemic has had a large impact on the day-to-day lives of tweens and what we do now will have long-lasting effects on their lifelong trajectories.
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While SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) is not a severe health risk to the vast majority of children (Castagnoli et al., 2020), our public health response to it is. Subjected to strict stay-at-home orders, children and adolescents have suffered from negative impacts on mental health, well-being, and educational attainment, which are only beginning to be quantified (Christakis, 2020; Cost et al., 2021; Hafstead et al., 2021). As countries refine public health measures in response to fluctuations in community prevalence rates of COVID-19, preventive measures have generally been implemented using the World Health Organization (WHO) definition of adolescence (10 to 19 years; World Health Organization, 2020), considering children as either under or over age 10, without much thought, or transmission data, to support (Kim et al., 2020) the consequences of these arbitrary groupings. Although it may be statistically easier to amalgamate older...
tweens (i.e., 10–13 years, or middle schoolers) with teens (i.e., 14–19 years, or high schoolers) and to consider 10-year age bands when interpreting COVID-19 data and recommendations, within Western contexts tweens (ages 9–12) represent a unique population of youth who are differentially impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic. Categorizing adolescents as individuals aged 10–19 years both medically and developmentally overlooks and underserves “tweens,” who represent a particularly distinct population during this pandemic. Below we present the distinctive progress of tweens across various facets of developmental health, followed by recommendations to improve understanding and address the impact of the pandemic and its restrictions on tweens.

**Brain and cognitive development**

Compared with the childhood (under 9 years) or teen years (13–19 years), the tween stage is notable for its unique developmental changes. During the tween years, the brain undergoes extensive remodeling and activation of subcortical structures, a peak in grey matter volume, maturation of axonal connections, and synaptic reductions and consolidations (Del Giudice, 2014). In fact, the tween period is second only to infancy in terms of the magnitude of developmental changes in the brain (Knudsen, 2004; Sharma et al., 2013). Due to these substantial neurodevelopmental changes, the tween period involves increases in reasoning and problem-solving skills, self-regulation and executive functions (i.e., attention, inhibition, planning), sense of gender identity, as well as the onset of sexual and romantic attractions, and overall more complex social and moral reasoning (e.g., the ability to hold and consider multiple perspectives and conflicting views, and to understand stereotypes and social hierarchies; Dahl et al., 2018; Del Giudice, 2014). As such, the tween years represent a “sensitive period” (Knudsen, 2004) in which youth have the best chance of reaching their full potential when they are exposed to stimulating environments, learning experiences, and social interactions that promote and strengthen their capabilities. However, in many countries, their age requires that they have continued supervision at home, and thus, decisions regarding school closures and other COVID-19 recommendations should distinguish children and tweens, from teens, when deciding if activities (i.e., online programming) can occur in the absence of parental supervision.

The COVID-19 pandemic has resulted in significant changes to the daily activities and growth opportunities for tweens via school closures, social distancing from peers and other supports (e.g., teachers, coaches), home confinement, and online instruction, all examples of environmental, learning, and social experiences that may adversely impact how tweens come to view, interact with, experience, and contribute to the world and our society lifelong.

**Peer relationships**

The tween years springboard a child into the transition from exclusive dependence on family to one of increased autonomy. Peer interactions take on more primacy for tweens when compared with children (Schonert-Reichl, 2011), and youth place increasing emphasis on social affiliations and acceptance. Research supports that tweens direct a high level of psychosocial attention toward peer relationships, whereas older adolescents tend to shift their focus to matters of identity development (Collins & Steinberg, 2006). Thus, peer relations during the tween period are crucial for developmental health (Prinstein & Giletta, 2016).

Due to the current COVID-19 recommendations, tweens have lost valuable resources to develop and maintain social interactions (Brooks et al., 2020). As public health prevention strategies have refrained from considering developmental stage, many COVID-19 mitigation strategies have treated tweens similarly to teens, and in some cases, even similarly to adults. For the tween age range, re-opening measures have included: wearing a mask at school and in most social situations, physical distancing, online school models, and limited or no sport and extracurricular activities. These strategies are particularly harmful to tweens who are developmentally tasked with establishing the foundations of their peer networks. Having limited in-person contact with peers, and physical distancing when in contact, poses a challenge for building new relationships. Indeed, research during the COVID-19 pandemic is beginning to show the detrimental impact of the COVID-19 public health mitigation strategies on youth outcomes (Magson et al., 2021).

Without in-person contact, there is a reliance on virtual peer connections that are independent of parent supervision, but that tweens may not yet be able to navigate from a social, cognitive, or safety perspective. This premature increase in online exposure may lead to miscommunication with peers, feelings of exclusion, online solicitation, bullying, and increased social isolation as children in this age group are both vulnerable to social criticism (Diliberti et al., 2019; Kowalski & Limber, 2007; Westenberg et al., 2007) and immature with respect to development of the adaptive defense mechanisms that are needed to buffer online rebukes or requests (Madigan et al., 2018). Additionally, recent research has shown that online social interactions are distinct from face-to-face or in-person interactions with peers (Nesi et al., 2020) and likely are not meeting the needs of tweens during this developmental stage. Since tweens are developmentally tasked with developing new peer networks (Collins & Steinberg, 2006; Schonert-Reichl, 2011), in many
cases, they may not have an established friendship network to transfer to online interactions.

**Physical activity, sleep, and screen time**

Another important developmental task during the tween years is establishing healthy lifestyle habits, such as physical activity, sleep, and screen use. Research confirms a decline in physical activity, increased sleep difficulties, and greater screen use as youth enter the teen years (Keyes et al., 2015; Rideout, 2015; Sallis, 2000). Healthy habits during the tween years are instrumental for mental health and well-being as tweens move into adolescence.

Although designed to slow the rate of infection, many of the strategies used to manage COVID-19 are forcing tweens to stay indoors, resulting in increased sedentary time (McArthur et al., 2021), a reduction in structured activities (e.g., sports; Elliott et al., 2021), and disrupted sleep routines (Ramos Socarras et al., 2021), all of which can have a negative effect on health patterns lifelong. These disruptions pose a unique problem for tweens who are less responsive to their parents’ directions of their daily activities, unlike younger children. Furthermore, compared with teens, tweens are still developing the insight and executive functioning required to appreciate the importance of healthy behaviours and implement these activities in their lives independently. With the implementation of COVID-19 prevention measures comes a clear need to consider the risk-to-benefit ratio for this particular age group.

**Mental health**

Arguably the most important reason to consider tweens as a unique population differentially impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic is the strong evidence that it is in this developmental period where we see the most rapid rise in mental health disorder symptoms (Whiteford et al., 2013). Specifically, there is a rapid escalation of anxiety, depression, and child behaviour symptoms from ages 10 to 13 (Erskine et al., 2015), and suicide is now a leading cause of death for this age range (Statistics Canada, 2020).

It is no surprise that youth mental health difficulties have increased during COVID-19 (Racine et al., 2021), given that many of the stressors present during the pandemic (e.g., social isolation, family stress, cancellation of important milestones such as graduation) are predictors of deleterious mental health outcomes. The mental health vulnerability of tweens and its associated downstream consequences necessitate targeted recommendations and preventive measures for this developmental stage.

What can we do to help tweens during the pandemic?

A growing appreciation of the distinctive neurodevelopmental, social, health, behavioural, and mental health factors associated with the tween years is at odds with our current pandemic-related recommendations related to the COVID-19 pandemic. Demarcating childhood into two broad 10-year age bands of over and under age 10 is a disservice to our tween population, and may be overlooking our role in understanding the negative impacts of COVID-19 during a formative period of development.

We propose the following recommendations to improve our understanding and strategy to address the impact of pandemic restrictions on tweens: First, we recommend reporting COVID-19 prevalence and transmission data in developmentally appropriate age bands to distinguish 9–12-year olds from 13–19-year olds. Few sources have taken this approach, and data are needed to support and inform tailored recommendations for tweens. Second, we suggest examining the impact of public health prevention strategies by developmental stage. Applying a developmental lens to the interpretation and understanding of public health recommendations will allow a more informed method of assessing risks and benefits of mitigation strategies to direct future decision-making. Last, we recommend providing targeted resources for tweens when lifting or re-instating mitigation strategies. From a cost–benefit analysis, investing now in our tweens’ health—mental and physical—can result in a substantial return on investment in the long term (Heckman, 2006), and may help moderate some of the expected adverse outcomes for this age range.

The COVID-19 pandemic has had a large impact on the day-to-day lives of tweens. As we continue to manage and recover from this crisis, we need to consider tweens as a unique population differentially impacted by the choices we make and the strategies we use today. What we do now will have long-lasting impacts on the lifelong trajectories of these youth.
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