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Abstract: Indolent T- and NK-cell lymphoproliferative disorders of the gastrointestinal tract are uncommon clonal neoplasms that have a protracted clinical course and limited response to therapy. In recent years, advances in the immunophenotypic, genetic, and clinical characterization of these disorders have led to increased awareness and a better understanding of disease pathogenesis. However, many questions remain unanswered, including those concerning the cell(s) of origin, inciting immune or environmental factors, and the molecular pathways underlying disease progression and transformation. In this review, we discuss recent findings regarding the immunophenotypic and genomic spectrum of these lymphoproliferative disorders and highlight unresolved issues.
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1. Introduction

Accumulating evidence over the past two decades has led to the recognition of rare indolent T- and NK-cell lymphoproliferative disorders (LPDs) occurring in the gastrointestinal (GI) tract and rarely other organs that have unique clinical, morphologic, immunophenotypic, and genetic features. Carbonnel et al. reported the first case of “low grade” small intestinal T-cell lymphoma in 1994 involving the duodenum of a 28 year-old man with a 7-year history of diarrhea and weight loss [1]. Since then, over 70 additional cases have been reported in a variety of GI sites, all showing similar patterns of mucosal infiltration by bland appearing small-sized lymphocytes (Figure 1A,B,D,E; Table 1), indolent clinical behavior, and poor response to chemotherapy [2–29]. The vast majority of these LPDs persisted as organ-confined disease for years, at times with regional lymph node involvement; however, dissemination outside the GI tract has been documented in some cases, and transformation to aggressive lymphomas has rarely been observed. Although early series described predominantly CD4+ cases (Figure 1C), many subsequent studies have reported CD8+ cases (Figure 1F), and less commonly CD4−/CD8− and CD4+/CD8+ LPDs. The clonal nature of these diseases was established and confirmed by investigators from the 1990s through the early 2010s, but no recurrent chromosomal changes were identified. In recent years, high throughput DNA- and RNA-based sequencing approaches have provided greater insights into the genetic bases of these LPDs and recurrent alterations in multiple gene classes and pathways are now recognized. The knowledge gained thus far has led to the inclusion of these diseases within a provisional category in the 2017 revised 4th edition of the WHO classification of lymphoid neoplasms, termed “indolent T-cell LPD of the GI tract” (ITLPD-GI) [30].

In addition to LPDs of T-cell lineage, indolent LPDs of natural killer (NK) cells also occur in the GI tract. The first case (“atypical NK-cell proliferation of the gastrointestinal tract”) was reported by Vega et al. in 2006 [31], followed by two series from the United States...
and Japan describing morphologically similar lymphoproliferations, albeit with distinct clinical presentations and sites of involvement, designated “NK-cell enteropathy” (NKCE) and “lymphomatoid gastropathy” [32,33]. Over the years, nearly 50 cases of indolent NK-cell LPDs have been reported, as isolated case reports or small series [34–46], further refining the clinical, morphologic, and immunophenotypic features of these diseases, which are currently considered to represent a single entity, referred to as NKCE in this manuscript. In contrast to ITLPD-GI, the lesional cells of NKCE are medium- to large-sized and show mild pleomorphism (Figure 1J,K; Table 1) but lack the histopathologic features of extranodal NK/T-cell lymphomas, i.e., angioinvasion/angiodestruction and EBV infection [31–46]. Until recently, it wasn’t clear whether NKCE represented reactive or neoplastic proliferations, in part due to the challenges associated with demonstrating clonality of NK-cells; however, a recent study identified recurrent mutations in a small number of cases [46], supporting the neoplastic character of at least some if not all cases of NKCE.

Despite advances in our understanding of the pathobiology of ITLPD-GI and NKCE, many questions remain. The cell(s) of origin of these lymphoid proliferations have not been established and the (micro)environmental and immunological factors associated with disease initiation as well as those related to disease progression and transformation are unknown. Alterations of molecular pathways and signaling networks, as a consequence of mutations and structural genetic alterations, remain to be deciphered, and optimal strategies for disease monitoring need to be defined. Here we review recent progress in the immunophenotypic and genetic characterization of ITLPD-GI and NKCE and call attention to some of the lingering questions.

2. Indolent T-Cell Lymphoproliferative Disorder of the Gastrointestinal Tract (ITLPD-GI)

2.1. Immunophenotype

ITLPD-GI encompasses immunophenotypically heterogeneous diseases. Of the >70 cases reported to date, 34 are CD4+ [2,3,5,8,10,14,16,17,22–24,26–28], 29 are CD8+ [4–6,10–13,15,18,19,25,27,29], 5 are CD4−/CD8− ("double-negative", DN) [7,10,25,27], and 3 are CD4+/CD8+ ("double-positive," DP) [5,9,27] (Table 1). Comprehensive immunophenotypic analyses have not been performed in all cases; however, virtually all cases express CD2 and CD3, and partial downregulation or loss of CD5 and/or CD7 has been reported in ~25% of cases. CD103 is generally negative, though variable expression has been described in 2 CD4+ cases [14,17] and 3 CD8+ cases [10,27] and partial weak CD56 expression has been observed in one CD8+ case [27]. Comprehensive immunophenotypic analyses have not been performed in all cases; however, virtually all cases express CD2 and CD3, and partial downregulation or loss of CD5 and/or CD7 has been reported in ~25% of cases. CD103 is generally negative, though variable expression has been described in 2 CD4+ cases [14,17] and 3 CD8+ cases [10,27] and partial weak CD56 expression has been observed in one CD8+ case [27]. T-cell receptor (TCR) αβ or β1 is positive in all analyzed cases and T-follicular helper cell (TFH) markers (CD10, BCL6, PD1, CXCL13) are usually negative, although weak positivity for CD10 and CXCL13 was reported in one DN case [10] and PD1 expression in two cases, each exhibiting DN and DP phenotypes [27]. Expression of the regulatory T-cell (Treg) marker FOXP3 was negative in all cases tested [21,27]. The cytotoxic marker TIA1 is frequently expressed by CD8+ cases, and CD8+ and DN cases display variable granzyme B and perforin expression [4–8,10,12,15,18,19,21,25,27]. Aberrant CD20 expression has been reported in five cases (2 CD4+, 1 CD8+, 2 DN) [7,10,15,16]. Epstein–Barr virus (EBV)-encoded RNA (EBER) is negative in the T-cells, though rare admixed EBV+ cells, probably B-cells, have been reported in two cases [2,10]. The Ki-67 proliferation index is inherently low (usually <5%). CD30 and/or MUM1 expression has been reported in transformed cases, but not during the indolent phase of disease [5,21,22].
Figure 1. Histopathologic and immunophenotypic features of ITLPD-GI and NKCE. (A–C) CD4+ ITLPD-GI: (A) A duodenal biopsy shows expansion of the lamina propria by a dense lymphocytic infiltrate that extends into the submucosa, accompanied by villous atrophy and crypt hyperplasia. (B) The lymphocytes are small and have round or mildly irregular nuclei, condensed chromatin, inconspicuous nucleoli, and scant cytoplasm. (C) The vast majority of lymphocytes express CD4. (D–F) CD8+ ITLPD-GI: (D) The lymphocytic infiltrate within the lamina propria mildly distends the lower portions of the villi; no villous atrophy or crypt hyperplasia is evident. (E) The lymphocytes are small and mature appearing and do not show significant atypia. (F) In this case, the lymphocytes are CD8-positive. (G–I) Reactive B-cell follicles: (G) Scattered, small lymphoid follicles can be seen in some cases, which are comprised of (H) B-cells (CD20+) and surrounded by neoplastic (I) T-cells (CD3+). (J–L) NKCE: (J) A colonic biopsy shows an infiltrate of lymphoid cells within the lamina propria that displaces the crypts. (K) The lymphoid cells are medium- to large-sized and have ovoid or irregular nuclei, fine chromatin, indistinct or small nucleoli, and moderate or abundant cytoplasm. (L) The cells express CD56.
2.2. Cell(s) of Origin

Lamina propria (LP) helper T-cells (Th) are believed to be the cell of origin of CD4+ ITLPD-GI. CD4+ T-cells comprise the largest LP lymphocyte subset (~70%) [47] and they represent a diverse family, including Th type 1 and 2 (Th1, Th2) cells, T-follicular helper (TFH) cells, and regulatory T-cells (Tregs), amongst others [48,49]. Absence of TFH and Treg markers by CD4+ ITLPD-GI argues against their derivation from these T-cell lineages. By immunohistochemistry, CD4+ cases show heterogeneous expression of T-bet (TBX21) and GATA3 [27], which are master transcription factors governing Th1 vs. Th2 cell development [50]. Th1, Th2, and hybrid Th1/2 profiles have each been observed (Figure 2A,B), and in one case with longitudinal data, a phenotypic shift from a Th1/Th2 to Th2 profile was noted over time [27]. The etiology and significance of this phenotypic variability is unknown. Studies have shown that Th cells, both within and outside the GI tract, are not restricted to singular fates and can display phenotypic plasticity even after lineage specification [50]. It is presently unclear whether T-bet+/GATA3+ ITLPD-GI derive from bifunctional Th1/2 cells that develop directly from naïve T-cells in certain immune/inflammatory conditions [51] or committed Th subsets, which have been reprogrammed to adopt a mixed Th1/Th2 phenotype [52]. Inter-tumoral differences in the frequency of T-bet and GATA3 expression favors the latter supposition. The impact of lineage-specific transcription factor co-expression on cellular identity and function, however, remains poorly understood. Importantly, since RORγt (transcriptional regulator of Th17 differentiation) can be co-expressed with either T-bet or GATA3 in certain pathological conditions, induction of a Th17 program by some CD4+ ITLPD-GI cannot be ruled out (Figure 2A,B).

CD8+ ITLPD-GI are favored to originate from lamina propria CD8+ T-cells, which constitute a smaller subset of lamina propria T-cells (~30%) [47]. Similar to their CD4+ counterparts, LP CD8+ T-cells are subclassified based on their cytokine expression profiles into type 1 effector (Tc1) and type 2 effector (Tc2) T-cells. Immunohistochemical analysis has shown that most CD8+ ITLPD-GI express GATA3, suggesting an origin from Tc2 T-cells [27,53] (Figure 2A,B).

The cellular derivation of the DN and DP ITLPD-GI cases is not known. DN and DP T-cells represent uncommon GI mucosal T-cell subsets, and most of the knowledge regarding origin, differentiation, and function of these cells is inferred from their peripheral blood (PB) counterparts or from murine studies. LP DN T-cells have not been functionally characterized, but PB DN T-cells have been shown to derive from activated CD4+ or CD8+ T-cell subsets, which have downregulated these antigens due to chronic antigenic stimulation in response to infectious agents or in autoimmune/inflammatory conditions [54,55] (Figure 2A,B). LP DP T-cells have also been characterized in humans; however in rhesus macaques (Macaca mulatta), LP DP T-cells have been shown to represent terminally differentiated effector memory T-cells with innate cytotoxic activity [56], which are inferred to play roles in viral immune responses, similar to human PB DP T-cells [57] (Figure 2A,B). It remains to be determined whether DN and DP ITLPD-GI arise from CD4+ or CD8+ T-cell subsets that have silenced or upregulated CD4 or CD8 expression (Figure 2A,B). In one reported DN case, the authors hypothesized that CD4 expression had been lost during disease progression; however, this supposition was not confirmed by TCR clonality analysis of early and late samples [22]. The lineage of the DN case expressing two TFH antigens (CD10 and CXCL13) is unclear, given the co-expression of TIA1 and perforin [10]. Expression of PD-1 by DN and DP ITLDPs (in the absence of other TFH markers) could be a manifestation of an “exhausted” cell state due to chronic activation/antigen stimulation [58].

Interrogation of gene expression programs and chromatin accessibility states of ITLPD-GI by transcriptome (e.g., RNA-seq [59]) and chromatin profiling (e.g., ATAC-seq [60]), and comparisons with normal mucosal and/or PB T-cell subsets, could help delineate the cell(s) of origin of the different immunophenotypic subtypes and unravel the transcriptional and epigenetic mechanisms responsible for disease heterogeneity.
2.3. Genetics

2.3.1. Clonality, Karyotyping, and Chromosome Microarray Analyses

All cases analyzed demonstrate clonal T-cell receptor gene (TR) rearrangements [2–10,12,14,15,17,19–29]. Karyotype analysis of isolated cases has identified a few non-recurrent chromosome abnormalities [2,13,27]. In a CD4+ case, Carbonnel et al. detected a translocation t(4q27; 16p13) involving the interleukin-2 (IL2) gene on chromosome 4q27 and TNF Receptor Superfamily Member 17 (TNFRSF17), also known as B-cell maturation antigen (BCMA), on chromosome 16p13 [1,2,61]. In another CD4+ case, a bone marrow sample showed a balanced translocation t(9;17)(p24;q21) that later was shown to represent a STAT3-JAK2 fusion [27]. Chromosome microarray studies have revealed a multitude of non-recurrent copy number changes in 10 of the 13 analyzed cases [21,26]. Large segmental chromosomal gains and losses were seen in some cases, encompassing numerous genes, and no minimal commonly altered region has been uncovered till now. Of interest, however, some of the altered loci (1p13, 4q27, 16p13, 17q21) harbor genes with potential relevance to ITLPD-GI pathogenesis, which might be deregulated as a consequence of the copy number aberrations, e.g., loss of SOCS1, TNIP3, and CD58, and gain of STAT3.

2.3.2. Next-Generation Sequencing (NGS) JAK/STAT Pathway Alterations

Recent RNA- and DNA-based sequencing studies identified frequent genetic abnormalities in ITLPD-GI, with an enrichment of alterations involving the JAK/STAT pathway (Figure 2D). Recurrent STAT3-JAK2 rearrangements were observed in 5/11 (45%) CD4+ cases, but not in any CD8+ (0/12, 0%), DN (0/3, 0%), or DP (0/2, 0%) cases (one case listed in the EAHP workshop report by Montes-Moreno et al. was included in the study of Soderquist et al.) [5,10,27]. The rearrangements generate fusion transcripts joining the first 21 coding exons of STAT3 with the last 9 exons of JAK2. The resultant protein retains many key functional domains of STAT3, including the Src homology 2 (SH2) domain, and JAK2, including the JAK homology 1 (JH1) tyrosine kinase domain, and activates STAT5.

In some cases lacking STAT3-JAK2 rearrangements, genetic alterations in other components of the JAK/STAT pathway were detected. Specifically, activating STAT3 point mutations were seen in 3 cases; 1/4 (25%) CD4+ cases, 1/1 (100%) DP case, and 1/2 (50%) DN cases, but in none of the 10 CD8+ cases assessed [6,10,25,27]. The reported STAT3 mutations, D661Y and S614R, are well-characterized SH2 domain hotspot mutations, which enhance dimerization, nuclear transport, and activation of the STAT3 transcription factor, leading to heightened JAK/STAT signaling in response to cytokine stimulation [62,63]. Deletion of SOCS1, a negative regulator of the JAK family proteins [64], was also reported in one CD4+ case [27].

IL2 Structural Alterations

Rearrangements or deletions involving the 3′ untranslated region (UTR) of the IL2 gene, which encodes an important T-cell cytokine that signals via multiple pathways, including the JAK/STAT pathway [65], have been identified in two CD8+ cases (2/4, 50%), but not in any CD4+ (0/4, 0%), DP 0/1 (%), or DN (0/1, 05) cases [27]. Mapping of these structural alterations showed that they result in loss of most or all of the 3′ UTR AU-rich regulatory elements (AREs) which serve as binding sites for components of the mRNA degradation machinery and play a key role in mRNA stability [66]. In cultured T-cells, deletion of certain IL2 3′ UTR AREs resulted in longer mRNA half-life [67], demonstrating a potential mechanism wherein 3′ UTR alterations could modulate turnover and concentration of IL2 transcripts. The functional significance of these structural abnormalities (genes and signaling pathways impacted) in ITLPD-GI, however, is not clear.

Other Altered Genes and Pathways

Beyond JAK/STAT signaling, other pathways/gene classes are also altered in ITLPD-GI. Putative loss-of-function mutations in epigenetic modifier genes, including genes
involved in DNA methylation (TET2, DNMT3A) and histone modification (KMT2D, EZH2), have been identified in five cases, including 3/5 (60%) CD4+ cases, 1/1 (100%) double-negative case, and 1/1 (100%) double-positive case, but not in five CD8+ cases analyzed [6,10,27]. While these specific variants have not been functionally characterized, mutations in epigenetic modifiers are pervasive in hematopoietic neoplasia and are known to disrupt CpG methylation, chromatin structure, and gene expression. Additionally, non-recurrent alterations have been observed in genes affecting NF-κB signaling. One CD4+ case harbored a frameshift TNFAIP3 mutation and one CD8+ case demonstrated a small inversion disrupting TNIP3 (also known as TNFAIP3 Interacting Protein 3). Since both TNFAIP3 and TNIP are negative regulators of NF-κB signaling, these presumed loss-of-function mutations are predicted to result in aberrant NF-κB activation.

2.3.3. Genetic Stability and Evolution

Longitudinal genetic data have only been reported for five cases to date [27]. Four of those five cases, including two with JAK/STAT pathway and epigenetic modifier mutations, showed stable genomic profiles for many years, which tracked the indolent disease course. In contrast, the ITLPD of one patient treated with multiple chemotherapeutic regimens over the years, including antimetabolite drugs, which eventually transformed to aggressive lymphoma, demonstrated genetic evolution over time.

Mutations targeting epigenetic modifiers and the JAK/STAT pathway likely represent early events in ITLPD-GI pathogenesis, as has been proposed in other hematopoietic neoplasms [68–70], whereas mutations targeting other pathways, particularly those predisposing to genomic instability, e.g., TP53, may be late events. The implications of the sequence of acquisition and interplay of somatic lesions, as well as the role of chemotherapy, in fostering clonal evolution and disease progression await clarification.

2.4. Environmental and Immunologic Factors

To date, no inherited, environmental, or immunologic factors have been definitively linked to ITLPD-GI and disease-specific triggers are unknown. Some observations, however, suggest a potential role of chronic antigenic stimulation and/or immune dysregulation. An underrecognized histologic feature of ITLPD-GI is the presence of reactive lymphoid follicles (Figure 1G–I). Whether these structures are related to disease pathogenesis or represent epiphenomenon (“reactive”) change, is not known. Prior and/or concurrent inflammatory or autoimmune diseases, including Crohn’s disease, ulcerative colitis, autoimmune enteropathy, and rheumatoid arthritis have been reported in a few patients [6,12,22,25–27], and viral infections, either gastrointestinal (e.g., HHV6), extra-intestinal (e.g., HSV), or systemic (e.g., HTLV-1) have also been described [25,26].

The contributions of immune dysregulation and/or suppression in triggering or modifying the course of ITLPD-GI is not known, since many patients have been treated with a variety of immunomodulatory agents, including TNF inhibitors (infliximab, adalimumab, and certolizumab), mycophenolate mofetil, methotrexate, and 6-mercaptopurine, either before or after ITLPD-GI diagnosis [6,12,19,22,25,27]. Two ITLPD-GI cases were also reported following solid organ transplant (liver and kidney) [12,17].

It is possible that persistent antigenic stimulation amplifies signaling cascades normally utilized by mucosal immune subsets, resulting in augmented survival and proliferation, which can increase the likelihood of incurring genetic lesions. It is unclear whether some immunomodulatory agents have mutagenic effects in addition to impairing tumor immunosurveillance, which allows the unrestrained expansion of neoplastic T-cell clones.
Table 1. Pathologic and genetic characteristics of ITLPD-GI and NKCE.

| ITLPD-GI | NKCE |
|----------|------|
| **Site of involvement** | **GI tract**: Stomach (73%, 35/48), small intestine (31%, 15/48), colon (27%, 13/48) **Other**: Gallbladder, cystic duct lymph node, esophagus, vagina * |
| **Abdominal lymph nodes** | **Immunophenotype** |
| enlarged (47%, 22/47), biopsy confirmed involvement (12 cases) **Other**: Bone marrow (9 cases), blood, liver, peripheral lymph nodes |
| **Cytomorphology** | **Mutations** |
| Small size, round, oval or mildly irregular nuclei, condensed chromatin, inconspicuous nucleoli, scant/moderate cytoplasm |
| **Immunophenotype** | **CD4** |
| CD4+: 48% (34/71), CD8+: 41% (29/71), DN: 7% (5/71), DP: 4% (3/71) **Typical**: CD2+, CD3+, CD5+, CD7+, TCRαβ+, CD103−, CD10−, BCL6−, PD1−, CXCL13−, FOXP3+, CD30−, MUM1+, MAIT− **Variant**: CD5− (14%, 6/42), CD7− (24%, 9/38), CD103− (5 cases), CD20+ (5 cases), CD56+ (1 case), PD1+ (2 cases), CXCL13+ and CD10+ (1 case) **Cytotoxic markers**: CD8: TIA1+ (86%), GrzB+ (30%) CD4: TIA− (0%), GrzB− Ki-67 index: <10% |
| **Chromosome/ genomic structural alterations** | **Translocations**: STAT3-JAK2 (45%, 5/11) IL2-TNFRSF17 **Gains/Losses**: Gains: Chr: 1p, 1q, 8q, 13q, 15q, 17q, 19q, X Losses: Chr: 1p, 3q, 4q, 7q, 9p, 10p, 15q, 16p, 19p, 19q, 20q, X **Structural alterations**: IL2 3′ UTR-RHOH IL2 3′ UTR del/IL2-TNIP3 † |
| **Mutations** | **CD4** | **CD8** |
| JAK/STAT pathway: STAT3, SOCS1 del Epigenetics: TET2, KMT2D, EZH2 Other: TNFAIP3, DIS3 | **JAK/STAT pathway**: None reported **Other**: MCM5 |
| **JAK/STAT pathway**: JAK3 (27%, 3/11) **Other**: PTPRS, AURKB, AXL, ERBB4, IGFR1, PIK3CB, CUL3, CHEK2, RUNX1T1, CIC, SMARCBI, SETD5 |

DN: double-negative (CD4−/CD8−); DP: double-positive (CD4+/CD8+); GI: gastrointestinal; GrzB: granzyme B. * Indolent NK-cell proliferations can occasionally be seen outside the GI tract. † Two IL2 alterations were observed in one case: a deletion spanning the majority of the 3′ UTR ("IL2 3′ UTR del") and an inversion involving IL2 and TNIP3 ("IL2-TNIP3").

3. NK-Cell Enteropathy (NKCE)

3.1. Immunophenotype

The neoplastic cells demonstrate a phenotype typical of NK-cells: CD3(cytoplasmic)+, CD8(surface−), CD7+, CD5−, and CD56− (Figure 1L, Table 1), with variable downregulation/loss of CD2. They often express one or more cytotoxic granule proteins (TIA1, granzyme-B, and/or perforin) and usually lack CD4 and CD8 expression; however, four CD8+ cases have been reported [31–46]. The Ki-67 labeling index is generally <40%, but
higher proliferation indices (up to 90%) have been reported in a few cases. In situ hybridization for EBER is always negative.

3.2. Cell of Origin

It is not known whether mucosal tissue-resident or circulating NK-cells are the normal counterparts of NKCE (Figure 2C). Mucosal NK-cells normally account for a small fraction of lymphoid cells in the GI tract [71–74]. Various subpopulations of cells with NK attributes reside within the LP, including conventional NK-cells, tissue-resident NK-cells, and NK-like innate lymphoid cells; however, knowledge of the function and distribution of these populations is limited [71–74]. These cells are believed to play roles in combatting viruses and other intracellular pathogens via secretion of cytotoxic proteins and IFN-γ [72,74]. The occurrence of two cases of indolent NK-cell LPDs in the gallbladder, one in a cystic duct lymph node and one in the vagina [38,43,45,75], suggests that either extra-intestinal (and rarely extra-GI sites) harbor unique tissue-specific NK-cell populations or provide a microenvironment conducive to the growth and proliferation of NK-cells that traffic to these locations.

3.3. Genetics

Until recently, there was no evidence that NKCE represented a clonal or neoplastic disorder. In keeping with NK-cell derivation, the LPDs show an absence of clonal TR gene rearrangements [31–35,40–42,45]. Heterogeneous killer-cell immunoglobulin-like receptor (KIR) expression was reported in a single case [31]. In 2019, Xiao et al. identified identical somatic JAK3 K563_C565del mutations in 3 out of 10 (30%) NKCE cases [46] (Figure 2D, Table 1). This in-frame deletion of three amino acids is predicted to disrupt a portion of the JH2 pseudokinase domain responsible for modulating inhibitory feedback and suppression of JAK kinase activity. While the K563_C565del mutation has not been functionally characterized, similar mutations in the JH2 domain in other lymphoid neoplasms have been shown to result in upregulation of JAK activity [76]. Immunohistochemical analysis showed p-STAT5 staining in all NKCE cases tested, irrespective of JAK3 mutations, suggesting ubiquitous activation of the JAK/STAT pathway in NKCE [46]. In addition, an assortment of non-recurrent somatic variants were identified, but their pathogenic significance is presently uncertain [46].

3.4. Environmental and Immunologic Factors

No instigating factors for NKCE are known, though similar to ITLPD-GI, chronic antigenic stimulation and dysregulated immune signaling have been implicated. In cases from Japan, many of the gastric cases had co-existing H. pylori infection, and a history of gastric cancer was noted in others; however, no definitive causal links between NKCE and these diseases have been identified [33,34,36,39,41,42,45,46]. In two cases, anti-gliadin antibodies were reported in the absence of histologic evidence of celiac disease [31,45].

4. Disease Monitoring of ITLPD-GI and NKCE

While the majority of ITLPD-GI persist for years without evidence of disease dissemination outside the GI tract, imaging reveals enlarged abdominal lymph nodes in ~50% of cases [2,4,13,16,17,19,23–28], and biopsy-confirmed nodal involvement has been reported in ~15% of cases [2,10,13,26,27] (Table 1). Spread to bone marrow and peripheral blood, amongst other sites, is considered uncommon [2,4,7,13,19,21,22,24,26,27]. NKCE can also persist for years to decades, sometimes showing a relapsing/remitting course, though unlike ITLPD-GI, disease dissemination outside the GI tract has not been documented [31–46]. It is important to note, however, that either systemic evaluation was not documented in many studies of ITLPD-GI and NKCE or information regarding the assays used to investigate presence of disease outside the GI tract was not provided.
Figure 2. Pathogenetic aspects of ITLPD-GI and NKCE: (A) During “normal” T-cell development, immature double-negative (“DN”) thymocytes give rise to double-positive (“DP”) thymocytes in the thymus, which subsequently express either CD4 or CD8 following positive and negative selection. (B) Mature T-cells migrate to the gastrointestinal tract lamina propria. CD4+ ITLPD-GI manifest Th1, Th2, or hybrid Th1/2 profiles; acquisition of a Th17 fate has not been excluded. CD8+ cases predominantly display a Tc2 profile. It is not known whether the rare DP cases derive from DP thymocytes, which migrate directly to the intestinal mucosa, or from single-positive T-cells which up-regulate CD4+ or CD8+. DN ITLPD-GI may derive from activated CD4+ or CD8+ T-cells that have downregulated the T-cell co-receptors. (C) The cell of origin of NKCE (tissue-resident or circulating NK-cells) is unclear. The inciting factors for ITLPD-GI and NKCE (local or systemic) have not been elucidated. (D) Genetic alterations in the JAK/STAT signaling pathway have been identified in most immunophenotypic subsets of ITLPD-GI and in NKCE.

Currently, the optimal strategies and frequency of testing for extra-GI disease in these indolent LPDs are unclear. When present, the burden of ITLPD-GI in the peripheral blood is generally low, therefore high analytic sensitivity of the assay is paramount. Multiplex polymerase chain reaction (PCR) analysis for \( TR \) rearrangement, which has utility in the evaluation of ITLPD-GI but not NKCE, shows a limit of detection (LOD) of \( \sim \)5% of T-cells (range 1–10%), though comparison with involved GI biopsy results can improve confidence at the lower end [77]. NGS-based clonality methods are more sensitive (LOD \( \sim \)2.5%) and can more accurately identify and track disease-associated clonotypes, as well as distinguish them from background non-neoplastic clonal expansions due to other etiologies [78]. Flow cytometry can detect immunophenotypically aberrant T- and NK-cell populations, but a substantial proportion of ITLPD-GI and NKCE do not harbor overt immunophenotypic abnormalities. Finally, targeted NGS analysis of PB circulating DNA to detect disease-associated mutations (“liquid biopsy”), although not yet attempted in ITLPD-GI or NKCE, has been reported to be equally or more sensitive than standard PCR analysis in other lymphoid malignancies harboring mutations [79]. Periodic imaging is recommended, and bone marrow biopsy may also be considered; however, invasive procedures should perhaps be used more sparingly (e.g., at initial diagnosis or on suspicion of disease progression). For each of these modalities, additional studies are required to establish the appropriate assessment intervals.

5. Summary

Progress in the clinicopathologic, immunophenotypic, and molecular characterization of ITLPD-GI and NKCE has increased awareness of these rare and underrecognized disorders, furthered our understanding of disease pathogenesis, and confirmed their neoplastic
nature; however, many questions remain. While targeted genomic profiling studies have
identified recurrent alterations in many cases of ITLPD-GI and NKCE, unbiased whole ex-
ome or genome sequencing analyses are required to delineate the genetic landscape of these
diseases and decipher their clonal architecture. The etiology of the different immunophe-
notypic subsets of ITLPD-GI is still a mystery and it remains to be seen whether similar
diseases in some animals can serve as surrogates for human ITLPD-GI and provide clues
about disease-initiating factors [80]. The use of newer multi-omic approaches integrating
genetic, epigenetic, transcriptomic, and metabolomic data may unmask novel therapeutic
targets. Finally, it is hoped that incorporation of newer disease monitoring tools will allow
a better understanding of the natural course of indolent T- and NK-cell LPDs.
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