Two-dimensional iodine-monofluoride epitaxy on WSe₂
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Interhalogen compounds (IHCs) are extremely reactive molecules used for halogenation, catalyst, selective etchant, and surface modification. Most of the IHCs are unstable at room temperature especially for the iodine-monofluoride (IF) whose structure is still unknown. Here we demonstrate an unambiguous observation of two-dimensional (2D) IF bilayer grown on the surface of WSe₂ by using scanning transmission electron microscopy and electron energy loss spectroscopy. The bilayer IF shows a clear hexagonal lattice and robust epitaxial relationship with the WSe₂ substrate. Despite the IF is known to sublime at −14 °C and has never found as a solid form in the ambient condition, but surprisingly it is found stabilized on a suitable substrate and the stabilized structure is supported by a density functional theory. This 2D form of IHC is actually a byproduct during a chemical vapor deposition growth of WSe₂ in the presence of alkali metal halides as a growth promoter and requires immediate surface passivation to sustain. This work points out a great possibility to produce 2D structures that are unexpected to be crystallized or cannot be obtained by a simple exfoliation but can be grown only on a certain substrate.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Synthesis and characterization of 2D iodine-monofluoride on WSe₂

During a chemical vapor deposition method to grow WSe₂, we added potassium iodide (KI) and Sodium fluoride (NaF) as the growth promoter. The experimental setup is shown in Fig. 1 and the growth detail is presented in the method. The grown WSe₂ with the possible halogenated surface was passivated with a layer of polycarbonate immediately after the growth and gently transferred to TEM Cu quantify oil by using the well-established clean transfer technique. The W and Se peaks are shown in the low energy region around 40 eV. The extra surface layer in brighter contrast. An EELS chemical analysis (Fig. 2b) shows the brighter contrast layer is a compound of iodine and fluorine. The W and Se peaks are shown in the low energy region around 40–50 eV. The red spectrum shows a clear iodine signal at 650 eV and fluorine edge at ~700 eV.
energy region, iodine edge at 50 eV is overlapping with W and Se peaks which gives extra background to the WSe₂ substrate spectrum. A quantitative analysis shows a wide range of the atomic ratio $0 < F:I < 4$ (Supplementary Fig. 1), which suggests strong beam damage happening during the EELS experiments resulting in severe changes in the composition from the stoichiometric value. In fact, a few seconds of acquisition time for EELS always destroys the IF layers, and no longer time acquisition with a better statistic is possible. Halogens are actually known for their beam sensitives. The IF layer was originally a continuous film with a size of about 0.07 μm² as pointed by the white arrows in a low-magnification image of Fig. 2a inset. The ADF contrast of the IF layer is slightly weaker than the overgrown WSe₂ bilayer pointed by the black arrow and is distinguishable from the second layer of WSe₂. In order to minimize the electron beam damage in observation at high magnification, we moved the specimen under the gun valve closed condition prior to the image acquisition. A moiré pattern is formed at IF and WSe₂ overlapping area which is more clearly seen in the magnified ADF image shown in Fig. 2c. The fast Fourier transform (FFT) image shown in Fig. 2d displays the structure information of the 2D IF/

Fig. 1  CVD growth of 2D IF layer on WSe₂. a Schematic of the WSe₂ growth with adding KI and NaF as the growth promoter. b Temperature–time plot of the WSe₂ growth.

Fig. 2  STEM and EELS characterization of 2D IF layer. a A STEM-ADF image of IF layer is grown on WSe₂ substrate. The scale bar is 20 nm. b EEL spectra of the IF layer (red spectrum) and WSe₂ substrate (blue spectrum) taken from the brighter layer and the dark region in (a), respectively. c A closeup of the yellow rectangle area in (a), which shows a moiré pattern of the surface IF layer with the WSe₂ substrate. The scale bar is 2 nm. d An FFT pattern of the whole image in (a), where the left part marked with red and blue circles in the diffraction spots showing a clear epitaxial relationship of the surface IF layer and the WSe₂ substrate.
**Structural analysis of the 2D iodine-monofluoride**

Most of the ever-recognized interhalogen compounds are known as molecules consisting of two different halogen atoms (i.e., fluorine, chlorine, bromine, and iodine) without elements from other groups. The gas-phase IF was firstly identified by its emission spectrum (435–690 nm) in 1951 and its laser response was also reported. The interhalogen compounds are assembled in the forms of $XY_n$, ($n=1, 3, 5$ or $7$, $X$ is the heavier or less electronegative halogen, $Y$ is the lighter or more electronegative halogen) by halogen atoms reaction at high temperature. They are very reactive and have covalent bonds in nature with diamagnetic due to the existence of bond pairs and lone pairs. Most of the $X$–$Y$ bond in interhalogens is much weaker than the $X$–$X$ bond in halogens except for the F–F bond because F has the strongest electronegativity with the possible ionic charge of only $-1$. The IF is a powder below $-58 \degree C$ and sublimes at $-14 \degree C$ which cannot be isolated as a pure substance and should disproportionate rapidly into elemental iodine and iodine trifluoride at room temperature.

The IF layer existing on WSe$_2$ surface at room temperature is, therefore, extremely surprising. The IF layer alone is not stable in air but probably stable in air for a short time when it absorbs to the WSe$_2$ surface. We transferred specimens in a vacuum-sealed package and minimized the exposure time before the STEM observations. Figure 3a shows the raw ADF image of the IF/WSe$_2$ layer with a clear moiré pattern. In order to visualize the structure of the IF layer, we applied an image filtering to the FFT pattern by masking the WSe$_2$ spots (Fig. 3b), and the inverted FFT image presenting only the IF structure is shown in Fig. 3c. The 2D IF layer clearly exhibits in hexagonal structure with homogeneous contrast. Recently IF compounds have been predicted to crystalize in the 2D planner structure at high-pressure condition. Numerous possible 2D atomic configurations of IF$_n$ ($n=1, 3, 5$, or $7$) were searched globally using an evolutionary algorithm combined with DFT calculations but the IF structure was the only one found to match our experiments. The IF molecule was found relatively stable as a linear chain structure than the other formation but still cannot preserve the structure as a monolayer IF chain array. The most stable 2D IF structure is bilayer IF chain array stacking with $120^\circ$ rotation as shown in Supplementary Fig. 2. The formation energy of the IF bilayer is $-4.76 \text{ eV}$ per unit IF, while it is $-4.89 \text{ eV}$ when IF epitaxy on WSe$_2$. Figure 3e shows the atomic model of the IF layer on the WSe$_2$ surface. The calculated unit cell constant of the IF bilayer is 4.297 Å which is 1.3 times larger than the WSe$_2$ lattice (3.289 Å). The simulation image based on this theoretical atomic model is shown in Fig. 3d, which matches extremely well with the experimental image shown in Fig. 3a. The IF bilayer was also found $30^\circ$ rotational stacking on the WSe$_2$ surface, which suggests an again a strong epitaxial relationship with the substrate. The ADF image in Fig. 3f shows the epitaxial IF layer on the WSe$_2$ surface consisting of $0^\circ$ and $30^\circ$ rotational domains highlighted by cyan and yellow lines, respectively. The IF structural orientation can be clearly seen in the FFT image in the inset and also the inverse FFT image (Fig. 3g) after the WSe$_2$ structure been filtered out. The simulation image of $30^\circ$ epitaxial IF layer on WSe$_2$ shown in Fig. 3h again fits greatly well with the experimental image. According to our DFT calculation, the IF layer is energetically stable on the surface of 2D materials. So, it should be possible to epitaxy 2D IHCs on the surface of graphene or hBN. The reproducibility of the epitaxy IF layer on 2D materials should be high once the iodine and fluoride sources are added to the synthesis system, however, the stability of the IF layer is extremely low in the ambient condition. The preserving of the IF layer is still challenging, but we believe it can be stabilized under an inert environment.

**Theoretical electronic properties of the 2D iodine-monofluoride**

This is the direct proof of any interhalogen compound in a 2D crystal structure form. Figure 4a shows a colored ADF of the IF bilayer image after filtering out the WSe$_2$ contrast. The STEM simulation image and the corresponding atomic model of the IF bilayer are shown in Fig. 4b, c. As we have known the ADF contrast is proportional to the atomic mass where heavier atom gives rise to brighter ADF contrast. As a result, the contrast of fluorine ($Z=9$) is much weaker than the iodine ($Z=53$) and is barely seen in both the experimental and the simulation images. The hexagonal atomic configuration is basically contributed by the contrast of iodine atoms. The IF is a parallely assembled linear chain structure with a distance of 3.8 Å. The second layer of IF is stacking with an in-plane rotation of $120^\circ$. The iodine atoms in the 2D IF layer form a hexagonal arrangement in the top view. Figure 4d displays the energy band profile of the IF bilayer along with high-symmetry points. The IF bilayer is calculated as a semiconductor with an indirect energy gap of $-0.19 \text{ eV}$ if free-standing. The WSe$_2$ is a semiconductor with a direct energy gap of $-1.64 \text{ eV}$ (Fig. 4e), while the band structure becomes metallic when IF bilayer absorbed on WSe$_2$ as shown in Fig. 4f. The transition from semiconductor to metal is caused by the interaction between IF bilayer and WSe$_2$ as shown in the density of states and charge density difference analysis as shown in Fig. 4g, h. The main factor of semiconductor to metal transition is the Fermi level shift as electrons in the Se $p$-orbital transferred to $p$-orbital. The IF epitaxial adhesion can be a robust surface modification material to improve the contact with metal electrodes in electronic devices.

The IF should possess a physical property intermediate between iodine and fluorine. Our report on the epitaxial growth of IF opens up another bottom-up process to assemble unstable elements in a 2D form on the surface of 2D materials. A suitable passivation layer is necessary to preserve the 2D IF layer for further applications. This can be particularly useful for gas detection, ohmic contact engineering, conductivity, and magnetic property modulation especially beneficial in the design of van der Waals heterostructures. Our findings unveil the understanding of halogen surface absorption which is helpful for the modeling of the surface halogenation process and we expect to inspire more research interests in surface physics and material science.

**METHODS**

**Material growth**

The WSe$_2$ was grown in a 1-in. quartz tube placed in a single-zone tube furnace. We used WO$_3$ (300 mg) as the metal precursor and placed it at the center of the furnace. The growth substrate, sapphire, was placed in close proximity to the WO$_3$ source at the downstream side. The Se (500 mg) source was placed 5 cm in front of the WO$_3$. The growth process was controlled at low temperature, the Se was heated to 250 °C and the temperature of KI at the growth, the Se was heated to 250 °C and the temperature of KI at the growth and heated using an independent nichrome wire heater. Alkali metal halides (KI and NaF) were added as the growth promotor. KI (0.85 mg) was placed at the edge of the tube furnace, which is 15 cm from the furnace center, while the NaF was placed 5 cm in front of the WO$_3$. The growth process was controlled at low pressure (15 Torr) with the flow of Ar (120 sccm) and H$_2$ (30 sccm). The quartz tube was purged with Ar and pumped to a base pressure of 0.1 Torr at 100 °C for 30 min prior to the growth. Then the furnace center was heated to 840 °C in 40 min with maintaining the pressure of 15 Torr. During the growth, the Se was heated to 250 °C and the temperature of KI at the
edge of the furnace was at about 600 °C. The growth time was 15 min. After the growth, the furnace was cooled down to room temperature with a cooling rate of 0.3 °C s\(^{-1}\).

### Scanning transmission electron microscopy and electron energy loss spectroscopy

STEM images were acquired by using an ARM200F-based UHV microscope equipped with a JEOL delta corrector and a cold field emission gun operating at 60 kV. The probe current is about 15 pA. The convergence semi-angle and the inner acquisition semi-angle are 37 and 76 mrad. Typical ADF image was 1024 × 1024 pixels resolution captured by using 38.5 μs pixel time. The EELS core-loss spectra were taken by using Gatan Rio CMOS camera optimized for low-voltage operation. EEL spectrum was acquired by using a line scan with an exposure time of 0.1 s pixel\(^{-1}\).

### DFT calculation

We performed structure search using the evolutionary algorithm implemented in USPEX code\(^{24-26}\) combined with Vienna ab initio simulation package\(^{27}\). Variable-composition 2D structure search was performed within the thickness of 0–4 Å and a total number of atoms 2–10. The initial population of IF structures was generated randomly under the 80-layer group symmetry. DFT calculations for structure searching and electronic property analyses were carried out within the projector-augmented wave method\(^{28}\) and the exchange-correlation part was treated using the generalized gradient approximation of Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof functional code\(^{29}\). Van der Waals interaction was correlated using Grimme’s DFT-D3 method\(^{30}\).

For the structural searching, the plane-wave basis set was contained up to 400 eV and the Brillouin zone was sampled using 2\(m\times 0.06\) Å\(^{-1}\) resolution of Monkhorst-Pack mesh. Structural optimization was
performed until the Hellman–Feynman force of all atoms to be less than 0.02 eV Å⁻¹.

For the electronic property analysis, the same basis set was used, and the Brillouin zone was sampled using 8 × 8 × 1 Monkhorst–Pack meshes for the primitive unit cell of IF bilayer and WSe₂ monolayer. To simulate IF bilayer on WSe₂ substrate, 3 × 3 IF bilayer supercell was placed on 4 × 4 WSe₂ monolayer supercell, and 3 × 3 × 1 Monkhorst–Pack mesh was used.

**DATA AVAILABILITY**

All data that support the findings of this study included in this published article and its Supplemental Material are available from the corresponding author upon request.
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