Absence of association between pyronaridine \textit{in vitro} responses and polymorphisms in genes involved in quinoline resistance in \textit{Plasmodium falciparum}
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Abstract

Background: The aim of the present work was to assess the \textit{in vitro} cross-resistance of pyronaridine with other quinoline drugs, artesunate and several other commonly used anti-malarials and to evaluate whether decreased susceptibility to pyronaridine could be associated with genetic polymorphisms in genes involved in reduced quinoline susceptibility, such as \textit{pfcrt}, \textit{pfmdr1}, \textit{pfmrp} and \textit{pfhne}.

Methods: The \textit{in vitro} chemosusceptibility profiles of 23 strains of \textit{Plasmodium falciparum} were analysed by the standard 42-hour \textsuperscript{3}H-hypoxanthine uptake inhibition method for pyronaridine, artesunate, chloroquine, monodesethylamodiaquine, quinine, mefloquine, lumefantrine, atovaquone, pyrimethamine and doxycycline. Genotypes were assessed for \textit{pfcrt}, \textit{pfmdr1}, \textit{pfhne-1} and \textit{pfmrp} genes.

Results: The IC\textsubscript{50} values for pyronaridine ranged from 15 to 49 nM (geometric mean = 23.1 nM). A significant positive correlation was found between responses to pyronaridine and responses to artesunate ($r^2 = 0.20; P = 0.0317$) but too low to suggest cross-resistance. No significant correlation was found between pyronaridine IC\textsubscript{50} and responses to other anti-malarials. Significant associations were not found between pyronaridine IC\textsubscript{50} and polymorphisms in \textit{pfcrt}, \textit{pfmdr1}, \textit{pfmrp} or \textit{pfhne-1}.

Conclusion: There was an absence of cross-resistance between pyronaridine and quinolines, and the IC\textsubscript{50} values for pyronaridine were found to be unrelated to mutations in the transport protein genes \textit{pfcrt}, \textit{pfmdr1}, \textit{pfmrp} or \textit{pfhne-1}, known to be involved in quinoline resistance. These results confirm the interest and the efficacy of the use of a combination of pyronaridine and artesunate in areas in which parasites are resistant to quinolines.

Background

Over the past 20 years, many strains of \textit{Plasmodium falciparum} have become resistant to chloroquine and other anti-malarial drugs [1]. This development has prompted a search for new effective anti-malarial drugs with minimal side effects. One strategy for reducing the prevalence of malaria is the use of drug combinations, which is thought to protect each drug from the development of resistance and reduce the overall transmission of malaria [2]. Since 2001, more than 60 countries have officially adopted artemisinin-based combination therapy (ACT) for the treatment of falciparum malaria [3,4]. The artemisinin derivatives cause a rapid and effective reduction in parasite biomass as well as gametocyte carriage, while the partner drug, which has a longer duration of action, achieves effective clinical and parasitological cure. Several different forms of ACT have been evaluated, including artesunate-sulphadoxine-pyrimethamine [5], artesunate-amodiaquine [6], artemether-lumefantrine [7], artesunate-mefloquine [8], artesunate-chlorproguanil-dapsone [9], artesunate-
atovaquone-proguanil, dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine [10] and artesunate-pyronaridine [11].

However, clinical failures or at least longer parasite clearance times have been described in Cambodia [12-15]. In addition, prior therapy with an amodiaquine-containing ACT has been found to select for a reduced response to monodesethylamodiaquine, suggesting that amodiaquine-containing regimens may rapidly lose efficacy in Africa [16]. This emergence of parasite resistance to some forms of ACT indicates that novel compounds and combinations must be discovered and developed.

A pyronaridine-artesunate combination (Pyramax®) is one of the latest ACT combinations currently under development by the not-for-profit organization Medicines for Malaria Venture (Geneva, Switzerland) and the pharmaceutical company Shin Poong Pharmaceuticals (Seoul, Republic of Korea) for the treatment of uncomplicated *P. falciparum* malaria and for the blood stages of *Plasmodium vivax* malaria. Pyramax® has recently completed phase III trials in humans.

Pyronaridine, a Mannich base, has been shown to be highly effective against *P. falciparum* and *P. vivax*, with few side effects in clinical trials involving more than 1,000 Chinese patients [17]. Pyronaridine is also effective in children in cases that are resistant to chloroquine [18]. However, there are insufficient preclinical pharmacology data on this combination therapy, and little information exists regarding the mechanisms of action as well as interactions between the two components in terms of anti-malarial activity. Furthermore, there are no reports on the influence of known resistance mechanisms on parasite susceptibility. While antagonism *in vitro* has been reported for combinations of pyronaridine and dihydroartemisinin or artesunate [19,20], the same combinations demonstrated *in vivo* synergy in a rodent model [20].

The aims of the present work were as follows: i) to assess the *in vitro* cross-resistance of pyronaridine with other quinoline drugs, including chloroquine, quinine, mefloquine, monodesethylamodiaquine, lumefantrine, and artesunate, atovaquone, pyrimethamine and doxycycline; and ii) to identify genetic polymorphisms that could be associated with decreased susceptibility to pyronaridine in the genes *pfcr*, *pfmp*, *pfdhr* and *pfne-1*, which are known to be associated with reduced quinoline susceptibility [21-24], with the goal of identifying molecular markers of pyronaridine resistance for use in resistance surveillance.

**Methods**

**Plasmodium falciparum cultures**

A total of 23 pre-identified parasite strains (well-characterized laboratory strains or strains obtained from isolates after growth in culture for an extended period of time) from a wide panel of countries (Brazil, Cambodia, Cameroon, Comoros, Djibouti, the Gambia, French Guyana, Honduras, Niger, Republic of Congo, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Sudan, Thailand and Uganda) were maintained in culture in RPMI 1640 (Invitrogen, Paisley, United Kingdom), supplemented with 10% human serum (Abcys S.A. Paris, France) and buffered with 25 mM HEPES and 25 mM NaHCO₃. Parasites were grown in type A+ human red blood cells under controlled atmospheric conditions that consisted of 10% O₂, 5% CO₂ and 85% N₂ at 37°C with a humidity of 95%. All strains were synchronized twice with sorbitol before use [25]. Clonality was verified using PCR genotyping of polymorphic genetic markers *msp1*, *msp2*, and microsatellite loci [26,27]. The susceptibility of each strain to anti-malarial drugs was assessed in 6 to 21 independent experiments.

**Drugs**

Pyronaridine and artesunate were obtained from Shin Poong Pharm Co. (Seoul, Korea). Chloroquine, quinine, pyrimethamine and doxycycline were purchased from Sigma (Saint Louis, MO). Monodesethylamodiaquine was obtained from the World Health Organisation (Geneva, Switzerland). Mefloquine was from Roche (Paris, France), lumefantrine was from Novartis Pharma (Basel, Switzerland) and atovaquone was from GlaxoSmithKline (Evreux, France). Pyronaridine, chloroquine and pyrimethamine were dissolved and diluted in water in concentrations ranging from 0.15 to 100 nM for pyronaridine, 5 to 3200 nM for chloroquine and 5 to 40000 nM for pyrimethamine. Quinine, monodesethylamodiaquine, mefloquine, artesunate, atovaquone and doxycycline were dissolved first in methanol and then diluted in water to obtain final concentrations ranging from 5 to 3200 nM for quinine, 1.56 to 1000 nM for monodesethylamodiaquine, 3.2 to 400 nM for mefloquine, 0.1 to 100 nM for artesunate, 0.3 to 100 nM for atovaquone and 0.1 to 502 μM for doxycycline. Lumefantrine was suspended and diluted in ethanol to obtain final concentrations ranging from 0.5 to 310 nM. Each drug concentration was tested in triplicate in each individual assay.

**In vitro assay**

For *in vitro* isotopic microtests, 200 μL/well of a suspension of synchronous parasitized red blood cells (final parasitaemia, 0.5%; final haematocrit, 1.5%) was distributed in 96-well plates preseeded with anti-malarial drugs. Parasite growth was assessed by adding 1 μCi of tritiated hypoxanthine with a specific activity of 14.1 Ci/mmol (Perkin-Elmer, Courtaboeuf, France) to each well at time zero. The plates were then incubated for 48 h in controlled atmospheric conditions. Immediately after
incubation, plates were frozen and then thawed to lyse erythrocytes. The contents of each well were collected on standard filter microplates (Unifilter GF/B; Perkin-Elmer) and washed using a cell harvester (Filter-Mate Cell Harvester; Perkin-Elmer). The filter microplates were dried, and 25 μL of scintillation cocktail (Microscint O; Perkin-Elmer) was placed in each well. The radioactivity incorporated in nucleotides by the parasites was measured with a scintillation counter (Top Count; Perkin-Elmer).

The drug concentration able to inhibit 50% of parasite growth (IC50) was designated as the concentration at which the tritiated hypoxanthine incorporation reached 50% of the total incorporation by parasites in the drug-free control wells. The IC50 value was determined by non-linear regression analysis of log-based dose-response curves (Riasmart, Packard, Meriden, USA).

**Nucleic acid extraction**

Total genomic DNA of each strain was isolated using the E.Z.N.A. Blood DNA kit (Omega Bio-Tek, GA, U.S.A.) extraction method. From each strain was purified using the QIAamp Blood Mini kit (QIAGEN, Germany).

**pfcrter single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs)**

A 1250-nucleotide length fragment of the pfcrter gene was amplified by RT-PCR using F1-sense 5′-TAA TTT CTT ACA TAT AAC AAA ATG AAA TTC-3′ and F1-antisense 5′-TTA TTG TGT AAT AAT TGA ATC GAC-3′ primers and sequenced using F2-sense 5′-TAG GTG GAG GTT CTT GTC TTG GTA-3′ and F2-antisense 5′-TCG ACC ACG TTG GTT AAT TCT CTC-3′ primers as previously described [28]. Amplifications were performed using the Access RT-PCR System kit (Promega, WI, U.S.A.) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Sequencing was conducted using ABI Prism Big Dye Terminator v1.1 (Applied Biosystems, CA, U.S.A.) cycle sequencing ready reaction kits according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**pfmdr1 SNPs**

pfmdr1 (PFE1150w) was amplified by PCR using the following primer pairs 5′-AGA GAA AAA AGA TGG TAA CCT CAG-3′ and 5′-ACC ACA AAC ATA AAT TAA CGG-3′ to amplify codons 86 and 184 and 5′-CAG GAA GCA TTT TAT AAT ATG CAT-3′ and 5′-CGT TTA ACA TCT TCC AAT GTA-3′ to amplify codons 1034, 1042, and 1246. The reaction mixture consisted of approximately 200 ng of genomic DNA, 0.5 μM of forward and reverse primers, buffer (50 mM KCl, 10 mM Tris, pH 8.3), 2.5 mM MgCl2, 200 μM deoxynucleotide triphosphate (dNTP) and 0.3 U Taq DNA polymerase (Eurogentec) in a final volume of 50 μL. The thermal cycler (T3 Biometra) was programmed as follows: an initial 94°C for 2 min followed by 40 cycles of 94°C for 30 sec, 52°C for 30 sec and 72°C for 1 min. A final 15-min extension step was done at 72°C. The amplified fragments were sequenced as previously described. Sequences were analysed with the software BioEdit Sequence Alignment Editor 7.0.9.0.

**pfmrp SNPs**

PCR amplification followed by sequencing was used to detect SNPs in pfmrp at positions 191 and 437. The primers used for amplification and sequencing were pfmrp-501F 5′-TTT CAA AGT CAG TGG GT-3′ and pfmrp-1409R 5′-GGC ATA ATA ATT GAT GTA AA-3′.

**pfnehe-1 microsatellite profiles**

A sequence containing the previously described ms4760 microsatellite was amplified using pfnehe-3802F 5′-TTATATATATATAAAGA-3′ and pfnehe-4322R 5′-TTTTTTTATTTATTAAAGA-3′ primers [29]. The amplified fragments were sequenced as previously described.

**Statistical analysis**

Assessment of cross-resistance of standard anti-malarial drugs with pyronaridine was estimated by coefficient of correlation (r) and coefficient of determination (r2). The Kruskal-Wallis test or Mann-Whitney U test was used, when appropriate, to compare the IC50 values for each gene mutation. The differences in IC50 for pyronaridine were then tested 19 times (i.e., once per locus). The probability of getting a significant result with 19 tests at the α = 0.05 level of significance was 1-0.9519 (1-probability of getting a significant result with 19 tests). According to the Bonferroni correction, it was concluded that a difference was significant when at least one of the 19 comparisons yielded a significance level below 0.05/19 = 0.0026.

**Results**

Twenty-three P. falciparum strains were tested for their in vitro susceptibility to pyronaridine, artesunate, chloroquine, quinine, mefloquine, monodesethylamodiaquine, lumefantine, atovaquone, pyrimethamine and doxycycline (Additional file 1 and Additional file 2). The mean IC50 values for pyronaridine are shown in Additional file 1. The IC50 values for pyronaridine ranged from 15 to 49 nM (geometric mean = 23.1 nM, 95% CI 20-26 nM).

In vitro cross-resistance was measured by pairwise correlation of IC50 values of all 23 strains (Table 1 and Additional file 3). A significant positive correlation was found between responses to pyronaridine and responses to artesunate (r2 = 0.20; P = 0.0317). These coefficients
of determination were much lower than those for chloroquine and monodesethylamodiaquine ($r^2 = 0.84$; $P < 0.0001$), chloroquine and quinine ($r^2 = 0.78$; $P < 0.0001$) or monodesethylamodiaquine and quinine ($r^2 = 0.72$; $P < 0.0001$). No significant correlation was found between pyronaridine $IC_{50}$ and responses to other antimalarial drugs, with the exception of artesunate.

The following amino acid substitutions were identified for at least one strain: pfcrtd M74I, N75E, K76T, A220S, Q271 (E/V), N326S, I356T and I371R; pfmrp N86Y, Y184F, S1034C, N1042, M74I, N75E, K76T, A220S, H191Y and S437A; and pfmdrl N86Y, Y184F, S1034C, N1042D and D1246Y (Additional file 1). Eight different ms4760 microsatellite profiles of pfnehe-1 were observed. The number of DNND and DDHNDNHNH repeats in ms4760 ranged from 1 to 4 and 1 to 3, respectively.

No significant association was found between pyronaridine $IC_{50}$ ($0.0556 < P < 0.8248$) and polymorphism in pfcrtd, pfmdrl, pfmrp or pfnehe-1. However, significant associations were found between responses to chloroquine, monodesethylamodiaquine, quinine and mefloquine and polymorphism in pfcrtd, as well as between responses to monodesethylamodiaquine and quinine and polymorphism in pfmrp (Additional file 4). The associations between ms4760 profiles, number of DNNDNND repeats and quinine, chloroquine, monodesethylamodiaquine or mefloquine responses were not significant according to the Bonferroni correction ($P < 0.05$ but $> 0.0026$). In addition, polymorphism in pfmdrl (codons 1034 and 1042) and quinine or mefloquine responses were not significantly associated according to the Bonferroni correction ($P < 0.05$ but $> 0.0026$).

**Discussion**

The continued spread of *P. falciparum* drug resistance to monotherapies has forced a shift toward the use of ACT. Nevertheless, resistance to at least one component of some forms of ACT currently in clinical use has been documented, and it is feared that ACT will gradually lose its clinical efficacy due to widespread use. Individual *P. falciparum* parasites with longer clearance times have been described in Cambodia [12-15]. In addition, prior therapy with an amodiaquine-containing ACT has been found to select for a reduced response to monodesethylamodiaquine, suggesting that amodiaquine-containing regimens may rapidly lose efficacy in Africa [16]. Antagonistic *in vitro* drug interactions between pyronaridine and artemisinin derivatives have been described [19,20,30]. In addition, previous studies have demonstrated *in vitro* cross-resistance between pyronaridine and dihydroartemisinin or chloroquine, with coefficients of determination of 0.84 and 0.19-0.46, respectively [31-35]. However, the combination of pyronaridine and artesunate has undergone successful clinical evaluation in Africa [11]. The goal of the present study was to investigate the susceptibility of several strains of *P. falciparum* to pyronaridine, artesunate and the commonly used antimalarial drugs, as well as to determine cross-susceptibilities between these drugs and the molecular determinants of susceptibility.

Twenty-three *P. falciparum* strains were tested for their *in vitro* susceptibility to pyronaridine, artesunate, chloroquine, quinine, mefloquine, monodesethylamodiaquine, lumefantrine, atovaquone, pyrimethamine and doxycycline. The $IC_{50}$ values for pyronaridine ranged from 15 to 49 nM (geometric mean = 23.1 nM, 95% CI 20-26 nM). These values are in accordance with previous studies on *P. falciparum* strains (1.9 to 47.8 nM) [32] or in isolates of Thailand from patients cured with pyronaridine (geometric mean = 15.7 nM) or that recurred after pyronaridine treatment (geometric mean = 23.0 nM) [33] but higher than those found in isolates from Cameroon (geometric mean = 3.58 nM), Senegal (geometric mean = 3.8 nM and 4.52 nM) and Gabon (geometric mean = 3.0 nM and 1.87 nM) [19,31,34-36]. However, pyronaridine was found to be highly active against chloroquine- and pyrimethamine-resistant strains and against parasites with reduced susceptibility to quinine, monodesethylamodiaquine or mefloquine.

Encouragingly, no correlation was found between pyronaridine and the other quinoline drugs (i.e., chloroquine, quinine, monodesethylamodiaquine, lumefantrine or mefloquine). However, there have been conflicting reports on the correlations between *P. falciparum* responses to pyronaridine and chloroquine. Previous studies showed weak (from 0.13 to 0.26) [31,34,35] to middle (0.40 and 0.46) [32,33] coefficients of determination for correlations between pyronaridine and chloroquine. Pyronaridine appeared to be equally effective *in vitro* against 37 isolates from two areas of Thailand with different chloroquine resistance levels [37].

| Drug pair | $r$ | $r^2$ | P-value |
|-----------|-----|-------|---------|
| PND AS    | +0.448 | 0.2014 | 0.0017  |
| PND ATV   | +0.3758 | 0.1412 | 0.0072  |
| PND PY    | +0.2116 | 0.0448 | 0.3323  |
| PND QN    | +0.2035 | 0.0414 | 0.3516  |
| PND MQ    | -0.3124 | 0.1050 | 0.1468  |
| PND DOX   | -0.1782 | 0.0318 | 0.4159  |
| PND LMF   | -0.1412 | 0.0199 | 0.5205  |
| PND MDAQ  | -0.1050 | 0.0110 | 0.6335  |
| PND CQ    | -0.0548 | 0.0030 | 0.8040  |
Basco and Le Bras showed no correlation between resistance to pyronaridine and chloroquine for 31 isolates from Central and West Africa [38]. These results suggest that no cross-resistance exists between pyronaridine and chloroquine or between pyronaridine and quinoline antimalarial drugs. The potency of pyronaridine against chloroquine-resistant \textit{P. falciparum} strains and those with decreased susceptibility to quinine, monodesethylamodiaquine, or mefloquine, combined with the absence of cross-resistance, suggests that pyronaridine and chloroquine have different modes of action or that different mechanisms of resistance are involved.

In addition, $IC_{50}$ values for pyronaridine were unrelated to mutations in the transport protein genes \textit{pfcrtr}, \textit{pfmdr1}, \textit{pfmrp} and \textit{pfhhe-1}, which are involved in quinoline antimalarial drug resistance. These results are in accordance with the absence of cross-resistance of pyronaridine with quinolines. Qi \textit{et al} suggested that pyronaridine could be an inhibitor of P-glycoprotein-mediated multidrug resistance in tumour cells [39,40]. However, this was not confirmed for Pgh1 or PfMRP. Furthermore, because combinations of pyronaridine and mefloquine, quinine, artesunate or dihydroartemisinin have been shown to have antagonistic effects, this hypothesis is of limited interest [19,30]. Susceptibilities to these anti-malarial drugs are associated with polymorphisms in ABC transporters, such as Pgh1 and PfMRP [41-45]. However the best association between resistance to mefloquine and a molecular marker is amplification of \textit{pfmdr1} gene in southeast Asian isolates and not polymorphisms in \textit{pfmdr1} [46].

A significant positive correlation was found between responses to pyronaridine and artesunate ($r^2 = 0.19$). Nevertheless, this coefficient of determination was lower than those for chloroquine and monodesethylamodiaquine, chloroquine and quinine and monodesethylamodiaquine and quinine. This coefficient of determination was also lower than those obtained in previous studies for dihydroartemisinin (0.31) [19] and artesunate (0.84) [31]. A positive correlation between the $IC_{50}$ values of two anti-malarial drugs may suggest \textit{in vitro} cross-resistance or at least common mechanisms of action; however, the relationship between \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo} resistance depends on the level of resistance and the coefficients of correlation ($r$) and determination ($r^2$). To suggest the same mechanism of action or resistance (which could induce cross-resistance) for two compounds, the coefficient of determination must be high, such as the one for chloroquine and monodesethylamodiaquine ($r^2 = 0.84$). A coefficient of determination of 0.19 means that only 19% of the variation in the response to pyronaridine is explained by variation in the response to artesunate. One explanation for this significant positive correlation is that the range $IC_{50}$ values for the two drugs is extremely narrow, and most of the strains are still susceptible to the both drugs. The one possible exception is the strain IMT K4 from Cambodia which shows $IC_{50}$ values higher for pyronaridine (49 nM) and artesunate (4.0 nM). This strain was culture-adapted in 1992 and there was no data on its \textit{in vivo} response to artesinin derivatives (or longer clearance time). In addition, \textit{in vitro} test does not reflect artemisinin derivatives failure or clinical response with longer clearance time: $IC_{50}$ values are not significantly different between parasites from patients cured and parasites from patient with treatment failure [14].

In this study, the excellent anti-malarial activities of ACT components pyronaridine and artesunate were confirmed, even against parasites resistant to chloroquine or pyrimethamine and with reduced susceptibility to quinine, monodesethylamodiaquine or mefloquine. A five-day regimen of pyronaridine alone (total dose = 1800 mg) produced a better cure rate than artesunate, arteether or mefloquine used alone in the same conditions in Thailand [33]. The absence of cross-resistance with quinoline drug and the fact that the $IC_{50}$ values for pyronaridine were found to be unrelated to mutations in transport protein genes involved in quinoline antimalarial drug resistance confirms the efficacy of the combination of pyronaridine and artesunate for areas in which parasites are resistant to chloroquine or other quinoline drugs [11].

**Additional material**

Additional file 1: Table S1: \textit{In vitro} susceptibility of 23 strains of \textit{Plasmodium falciparum} to pyronaridine (PND) and \textit{pfcrtr}, \textit{pfmdr1}, \textit{pfmrp} and \textit{pfhhe-1} polymorphisms.

Additional file 2: Table S2: \textit{In vitro} susceptibility of 23 strains of \textit{Plasmodium falciparum} to pyronaridine, chloroquine, quinine, mefloquine, monodesethylamodiaquine, lumefantrine, artesunate, atovaquone, pyrimethamine and doxycycline.

Additional file 3: Table S3: Correlation of \textit{in vitro} responses of 23 strains of \textit{Plasmodium falciparum} to pyronaridine (PND), chloroquine (CQ), quinine (QN), mefloquine (MQ), monodesethylamodiaquine (MDAQ), lumefantrine (LMF), artesunate (AS), atovaquone (ATV), pyrimethamine (PY) and doxycycline (DOX).

Additional file 4: Table S4: Association between \textit{in vitro} responses ($IC_{50}$) to pyronaridine (PND), artesunate (AS), chloroquine (CQ), monodesethylamodiaquine (MDAQ), quinine (QN), mefloquine (MQ) and polymorphisms in the \textit{pfhhe-1}, \textit{pfcrtr}, \textit{pfmdr1} and \textit{pfmrp} genes of 23 strains of \textit{Plasmodium falciparum}.

**Acknowledgements**

This work was supported by the Direction Centrale du Service de Santé des Armées and Medicines for Malaria Venture.

**Author details**

1Unité de Recherche en Biologie et Epidémiologie Parasitaires - Unité de Recherche pour les Maladies Infectieuses et Tropicales Emergentes - UMR 6236, Institut de Recherche Biomédicale des Armées - antenne de Marseille,
Marseille, France. "Medicines for Malaria Venture, Geneva, Switzerland.
3Fulcrum Pharma (Europe) Ltd, Hemel Hempstead, UK.

Authors’ contributions
CO, ED and BP conceived and designed the experiments. EB and RA performed the in vitro experiments. MH and SB performed the genotyping. CR and BP analysed the data. CO, ED, CR and BP wrote the paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 9 August 2010 Accepted: 25 November 2010

References
1. Le Bras J, Musset L, Clain J: Antimalarial drug resistance. Med Maladies Infect 2008, 38:405-416.
2. White NJ: Preventing antimalarial drug resistance through combinations. Drug Resist Updat 2001, 4:13-9.
3. Nosten F, White NJ: Artemisinin-based combination treatment of falciparum malaria. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2007, 77:181-192.
4. Eastman RT, Fidock DA: Artemisinin-based combination therapies: a vital tool in efforts to eliminate malaria. Nat Rev Microbiol 2009, 7:684-694.
5. Von Seidelin J, Milligan P, Pinder M, Bojang K, Anyalebechi C, Goliing R, Coleman R, Udé JL, Sadag J, Duraisingham M, Warhurst D, Allouche A, Target G, Mcdam K, Greenwood B, Waltaven G, Olliaro P, Doherty T: Efficacy of artesunate plus pyrimethamine-sulphadoxine for uncomplicated malaria in the Gambian children: a double-blind, randomized, controlled trial. Lancet 2000, 355:352-357.
6. Brasseur P, Agnemay P, Gaye O, Vaillant M, Taylor WRJ, Olliaro P: Efficacy of combining artesunate and pyrimethamine in routine use for the treatment of uncomplicated malaria in Casamance, southern Senegal. Malar J 2007, 6:150.
7. Yeka A, Dorsey G, Kamya RM, Talisuna A, Lugemwa M, Rwakimbi JN, Staelk BS, Rosenthal PJ, Waibwng M, Fukima K: Artemether- lumefantrine versus dihydroartemisinin-piperazine for treating uncomplicated malaria: a randomized trial to guide policy in Uganda. Plos One 2008, 3:2390.
8. Ashley EA, Lwin KM, McGready R, Simon WH, Phaiphun L, Proux S, Coleman R, Udé JL, Sadag J, Duraisingham M, Warhurst D, Allouche A, Target G, Mcdam K, Greenwood B, Waltaven G, Olliaro P, Doherty T: Efficacy of artesunate plus pyrimethamine-sulphadoxine for uncomplicated malaria in the Gambian children: a double-blind, randomized, controlled trial. Lancet 2000, 355:352-357.
9. Brasseur P, Agnemay P, Gaye O, Vaillant M, Taylor WRJ, Olliaro P: Efficacy of combining artesunate and pyrimethamine in routine use for the treatment of uncomplicated malaria in Casamance, southern Senegal. Malar J 2007, 6:150.
10. Rouse J, Dorsey G, Kamya RM, Talisuna A, Lugemwa M, Rwakimbi JN, Staelk BS, Rosenthal PJ, Waibwng M, Fukima K: Artemether- lumefantrine versus dihydroartemisinin-piperazine for treating uncomplicated malaria: a randomized trial to guide policy in Uganda. Plos One 2008, 3:2390.
11. Ashley EA, Lwin KM, McGready R, Simon WH, Phaiphun L, Proux S, Coleman R, Udé JL, Sadag J, Duraisingham M, Warhurst D, Allouche A, Target G, Mcdam K, Greenwood B, Waltaven G, Olliaro P, Doherty T: Efficacy of artesunate plus pyrimethamine-sulphadoxine for uncomplicated malaria in the Gambian children: a double-blind, randomized, controlled trial. Lancet 2000, 355:352-357.
12. Brasseur P, Agnemay P, Gaye O, Vaillant M, Taylor WRJ, Olliaro P: Efficacy of combining artesunate and pyrimethamine in routine use for the treatment of uncomplicated malaria in Casamance, southern Senegal. Malar J 2007, 6:150.
13. Yeka A, Dorsey G, Kamya RM, Talisuna A, Lugemwa M, Rwakimbi JN, Staelk BS, Rosenthal PJ, Waibwng M, Fukima K: Artemether- lumefantrine versus dihydroartemisinin-piperazine for treating uncomplicated malaria: a randomized trial to guide policy in Uganda. Plos One 2008, 3:2390.
14. Ashley EA, Lwin KM, McGready R, Simon WH, Phaiphun L, Proux S, Coleman R, Udé JL, Sadag J, Duraisingham M, Warhurst D, Allouche A, Target G, Mcdam K, Greenwood B, Waltaven G, Olliaro P, Doherty T: Efficacy of artesunate plus pyrimethamine-sulphadoxine for uncomplicated malaria in the Gambian children: a double-blind, randomized, controlled trial. Lancet 2000, 355:352-357.
15. Brasseur P, Agnemay P, Gaye O, Vaillant M, Taylor WRJ, Olliaro P: Efficacy of combining artesunate and pyrimethamine in routine use for the treatment of uncomplicated malaria in Casamance, southern Senegal. Malar J 2007, 6:150.
16. Rouse J, Dorsey G, Kamya RM, Talisuna A, Lugemwa M, Rwakimbi JN, Staelk BS, Rosenthal PJ, Waibwng M, Fukima K: Artemether- lumefantrine versus dihydroartemisinin-piperazine for treating uncomplicated malaria: a randomized trial to guide policy in Uganda. Plos One 2008, 3:2390.
17. Ashley EA, Lwin KM, McGready R, Simon WH, Phaiphun L, Proux S, Coleman R, Udé JL, Sadag J, Duraisingham M, Warhurst D, Allouche A, Target G, Mcdam K, Greenwood B, Waltaven G, Olliaro P, Doherty T: Efficacy of artesunate plus pyrimethamine-sulphadoxine for uncomplicated malaria in the Gambian children: a double-blind, randomized, controlled trial. Lancet 2000, 355:352-357.
18. Brasseur P, Agnemay P, Gaye O, Vaillant M, Taylor WRJ, Olliaro P: Efficacy of combining artesunate and pyrimethamine in routine use for the treatment of uncomplicated malaria in Casamance, southern Senegal. Malar J 2007, 6:150.
19. Yeka A, Dorsey G, Kamya RM, Talisuna A, Lugemwa M, Rwakimbi JN, Staelk BS, Rosenthal PJ, Waibwng M, Fukima K: Artemether- lumefantrine versus dihydroartemisinin-piperazine for treating uncomplicated malaria: a randomized trial to guide policy in Uganda. Plos One 2008, 3:2390.
33. Looareesuwan S, Kyle DE, Vivaran C, Vanjianonta S, Wilairatana P, Wemderger WH: Clinical study of pyronaridine for the treatment of acute uncomplicated falciparum malaria in Thailand. Am J Trop Med Hyg 1996, 54:205-209.

34. Pradines B, Tall A, Parzy D, Spiegel A, Fusai T, Hienne R, Trape JF, Doury JC: In vitro activity of pyronaridine and amodiaquine against African isolates (Senegal) of Plasmodium falciparum in comparison with standard antimalarial agents. J Antimicrob Chemother 1998, 42:333-339.

35. Pradines B, Mabika Mamfoumbi M, Parzy D, Owono Medang M, Lebeau C, Mourou Mbaia JR, Doury JC, Kombila M: In vitro susceptibility of African isolates of Plasmodium falciparum from Gabon to pyronaridine. Am J Trop Med Hyg 1999, 60:106-108.

36. Pradines B, Tall A, Ramiandrasoa F, Spiegel A, Sokhna C, Fusai T, Mawnier J, Daries W, Trape JF, Kunesch G, Parzy D, Rogier C: In vitro activity of iron-binding compounds against Senegalese isolates of Plasmodium falciparum. J Antimicrob Chemother 2006, 57:1093-1099.

37. Childs GE, Hausler B, Milhous W, Chen C, Wimonwattrawatee T, Pooyindee N, Boudreau EF: In vitro activity of pyronaridine against field isolates and reference clones of Plasmodium falciparum. Am J Trop Med Hyg 1988, 38:24-29.

38. Basco LK, Le Bras J: In vitro activity of pyronaridine against African strains of Plasmodium falciparum. Ann Trop Med Parasitol 1992, 86:447-454.

39. Qi J, Yang CZ, Wang CY, Wang SB, Yang M, Wang JH: Function and mechanism of pyronaridine: a new inhibitor of P-glycoprotein-mediated multidrug resistance. Acta Pharmacol Sin 2002, 23:544-550.

40. Qi J, Wang S, Liu G, Wang J, Zhu Z, Yang C: Pyronaridine, a novel modulator of P-glycoprotein-mediated multidrug resistance in tumor cells in vitro and in vivo. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2004, 319:1124-1131.

41. Reed MB, Saliba KJ, Caruana SR, Kirk K, Cowman AF: Pgh1 modulates sensitivity and resistance to multiple antimalarials in Plasmodium falciparum. Nature 2000, 403:906-909.

42. Sidhu AB, Valderramos SG, Fidock DA: Pfmdr1 mutations contributed to quinine resistance and enhanced mefloquine and artemisinin sensitivity in Plasmodium falciparum. Mol Microbiol 2005, 57:913-926.

43. Sidhu AB, Uhlemann AC, Valderramos SG, Valderramos JC, Krishna S, Fidock DA: Decreasing pfmdr1 copy number in Plasmodium falciparum malaria heightens susceptibility to mefloquine, lumefantrine, halofantrine, quinine, and artemisinin. J Infect Dis 2006, 194:528-535.

44. Ursing J, Zakeri S, Gil JP, Bjorkman A: Quinoline resistance associated polymorphisms in the pfcr, pfmdr1 and pfmrp genes of Plasmodium falciparum in Iran. Acta Trop 2006, 97:352-356.

45. Pradines B, Parquet V, Orlandi-Pradines E: ABC Transporters in Plasmodium falciparum and their involvement in resistance to antimalarial drugs. In ABC transporters in microorganisms. Edited by: Ponte-Sucre A. UK: Horizon Scientific Press, 2009:113-127.

46. Price RN, Uhlemann AC, Brockman A, McGready R, Ashley E, Phaiupun L, Patel R, Lang K, Looareesuwan S, White NJ, Nosten F, Krishna S: Mefloquine resistance in Plasmodium falciparum and increased pfmdr1 gene copy number. Lancet 2004, 364:438-447.